

federal register

March 5, 1975—Pages 10165-10432

WEDNESDAY, MARCH 5, 1975

WASHINGTON, D.C.

Volume 40 ■ Number 44

Pages 10165-10432



PART I

HIGHLIGHTS OF THIS ISSUE

This listing does not affect the legal status of any document published in this issue. Detailed table of contents appears inside.

PETROLEUM —FEA amends mandatory allocation regulations; effective 3-1-75.....	10165
FEA proposals on stripper well lease exemption; comments by 3-13-75.....	10195
FOOD STAMPS —USDA/FNS rescinds purchase price increases; effective 2-13-75.....	10165
TOBACCO —USDA/AMS proposals on inspection and price supports; comments by 3-25-75.....	10190
USDA/CCC proposes change to grower designation procedures; comments by 4-4-75.....	10192
PIPELINE SAFETY —DOT/OPS amends welding requirements for gas and liquid pipelines; effective 3-20-75....	10182
MULTIFAMILY HOUSING —	
HUD/FHA establishes eligibility requirements for mortgage insurance; effective 3-10-75.....	10175
HUD/FHA rules on contract rights and obligations; effective 3-10-75.....	10177
ULTRAVIOLET AND SUN LAMPS —HEW/FDA requires model change reports from manufacturers; effective 4-4-75	10174
X-RAY DEVICES —DOT/FAA revises requirements to concur with FDA rules; effective 4-4-75.....	10173

(Continued inside)

PART II:

SUDDEN INFANT DEATH —HEW/PHS proposes grants for information and counseling; comments by 4-7-75.....	10317
---	-------

PART III:

SECURITIES OF MEMBER STATE BANKS —FRS proposed revisions to conform with SEC regulations; comments by 4-28-75.....	10321
---	-------

PART IV:

SECURITIES OF INSURED STATE NONMEMBER BANKS —FDIC proposed revisions to conform with SEC regulations; comments by 5-27-75....	10375
--	-------

HIGHLIGHTS—Continued

MEETINGS—

Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, 3-20-75.....	10224	Research Advisory Council Panel on Space Vehicles, 3-25, 3-26-75.....	10242
Defense Science Board Task Force on Gun System Acquisition, 3-21, 4-2, 4-3, 4-14 to 4-18-75 (3 documents)	10198, 10199	NRC: Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards Fulton Generating Station Subcommittee and Summit Power Station Subcommittee, 3-20-75.....	10251
DOD: Defense Advisory Committee on Women in the Services, 4-6 to 4-10-75.....	10198	USDA/AMS: Shippers Advisory Committee, 3-25-75..	10200
DOT: Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corp. Advisory Board, 3-20-75.....	10224	USIA: U.S. Advisory Commission on Information, 4-7-75	10241
EPA: Science Advisory Board, National Air Quality Criteria Advisory Committee, 3-21-75.....	10233	CANCELLED MEETINGS—	
HEW/NIH: National Arthritis, Metabolism and Digestive Diseases Advisory Council, 3-19 through 3-22-75	10224	NSF: Advisory Panel on Science Education Projects, Subpanel on Minority Institutions Science Improvement Program, 3-6 and 3-7-75.....	10249
National Heart and Lung Advisory Council, 3-19 through 3-22-75.....	10224	RESCHEDULED MEETINGS—	
NASA: Space Program Advisory Council, 3-25, 3-26-75	10241	State: Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Advisory Committee, 3-26-75	10198

ATTENTION: Questions, corrections, or requests for information regarding the contents of this issue only may be made by dialing 202-523-5266. For information on obtaining extra copies, please call 202-523-5240. To obtain advance information from recorded highlights of selected documents to appear in the next issue, dial 202-523-5022.

federal register

Phone 523-5240

Area Code 202



Published daily, Monday through Friday (no publication on Saturdays, Sundays, or on official Federal holidays), by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, Washington, D.C. 20408, under the Federal Register Act (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C., Ch. 15) and the regulations of the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register (1 CFR Ch. I). Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

The FEDERAL REGISTER provides a uniform system for making available to the public regulations and legal notices issued by Federal agencies. These include Presidential proclamations and Executive orders and Federal agency documents having general applicability and legal effect, documents required to be published by Act of Congress and other Federal agency documents of public interest.

The FEDERAL REGISTER will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for \$5.00 per month or \$45 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies is 75 cents for each issue, or 75 cents for each group of pages as actually bound. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

contents

ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION

Notices
Meeting 10224

AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE

Rules
Limitation of handling and shipments:
Lettuce grown in south Texas.. 10165

Proposed Rules

Tobacco (flue-cured); inspection and price support service; allocation of..... 10190

Notices

Meetings:
Shippers Advisory Committee.. 10200

AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT

See Agricultural Marketing Service; Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service; Commodity Credit Corporation; Cooperative State Research Service; Farmers Home Administration; Food and Nutrition Service; Forest Service; Rural Electrification Administration.

ANIMAL AND PLANT HEALTH INSPECTION SERVICE

Proposed Rules

Meat and poultry food products; geographical origin representations; extension of time for comments 10191

Notices

Animal welfare; registered research facilities, list of..... 10200
Soil samples; list of approved laboratories 10208

ARMY DEPARTMENT

See Engineers Corps.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR HOUSING PRODUCTION AND MORTGAGE CREDIT—FEDERAL HOUSING COMMISSIONER (FEDERAL HOUSING ADMINISTRATION)

Rules

Mortgage and loan insurance programs:
Existing multifamily housing, interim rules (2 documents) .. 10175, 10177

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

Rules

Military transportation; extension of air carriers..... 10174

Notices

Hearings, etc.:

Bradley Air Services Ltd..... 10225
Braniff Airways, Inc..... 10225
International Air Transport Association (2 documents) 10225, 10226

COMMERCE DEPARTMENT

See Domestic and International Business Administration; Maritime Administration; National

Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration; National Technical Information Service.

COMMODITY CREDIT CORPORATION

Proposed Rules

Tobacco (flue-cured) producers; warehouses designation..... 10192

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

Notices

Architectural glass; extension of time 10227

Power lawn equipment; extension of time..... 10228

Textbooks, regulation of; petition denied 10227

COOPERATIVE STATE RESEARCH SERVICE

Notices

Special grants program..... 10214

DEFENSE DEPARTMENT

See also Engineers Corps.

Notices

Meetings:

Women in the Services, Advisory Committee on..... 10198
Science Board Task Force on Gun System Acquisition (3 documents) 10198, 10199

DOMESTIC AND INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Notices

Organization and functions:
Domestic Commerce Bureau (2 documents) 10217, 10218

ENGINEERS CORPS

Proposed Rules

Navigation regulations; Ohio River, Mississippi River above Cairo, Ill. and their tributaries. 10187

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Notices

Environmental impact statements; agency comments..... 10228

Meetings:

Air Quality Criteria Advisory Committee, National..... 10233

FARMERS HOME ADMINISTRATION

Notices

Disaster areas:
Pennsylvania 10216
Texas 10216
Wisconsin 10216

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION

Rules

Control area..... 10169
Control zones (2 documents) 10170
Transition areas (8 documents) .. 10171-10172

X-ray devices; requirements for use for carry-on baggage inspection 10173

Proposed Rules

Reporting point..... 10194
Transition area (2 documents) ... 10193, 10194

VOR Federal airways..... 10194

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Rules

FM broadcast stations; table of assignments:
West Virginia..... 10180

Organization and procedure; authority delegation; correction.. 10180

Notices

Canadian broadcasting; list of new stations..... 10234

General World Administrative Radio Conference; inquiry..... 10234

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

Proposed Rules

Securities of insured state non-member banks..... 10375

FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION

Rules

Mandatory petroleum allocations:
Fractions greater than one, residential use of propane, surplus propane and butane purchase reports; shifting of entitlements among motor gasoline retail sales outlets..... 10165

Proposed Rules

Stripper well leases..... 10195

Notices

Propane and butane; adjustments and assignments, guidelines for. 10234

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

Notices

Agreements filed:
Port of Palm Beach and Bird-sall, Inc..... 10234

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

Proposed Rules

Annual Report forms to obtain additional information on non-utility affiliates; revision of certain schedule pages..... 10196

Notices

Statement and reports..... 10239
Hearings, etc.:

Anadarko Production Co., et al. 10235
Boston Edison Co..... 10236

Columbia Gas Transmission Corp. and Columbia Gulf Transmission Co..... 10236

El Paso Natural Gas Co. (2 documents) 10236

Exxon Pipeline Co. of California 10237

Mississippi River Transmission Corp 10237

Natural Gas Pipeline Co. of America 10237

North Penn Gas Co..... 10237

Pacific Gas Transmission Co.... 10237

Phillips Petroleum Co..... 10237

Shell Oil Co..... 10238

Southern Natural Gas Co..... 10239

Tenneco Oil Co., et al..... 10239

Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corp 10239

Transwestern Coal Gasification Co 10239

CONTENTS

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM			
Proposed Rules			
Credit:			
Securities of member State banks	10321		
FINE ARTS COMMISSION			
Notices			
Meeting	10227		
FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION			
Rules			
Sunlamps and medical ultraviolet lamps; record and report requirements	10174		
Notices			
Animal drugs:			
Intramammary infusion products	10221		
FOOD AND NUTRITION SERVICE			
Rules			
Food stamp program:			
State agency participation and eligible households	10165		
Proposed Rules			
School lunch program; extension of comment period	10192		
FOREIGN CLAIMS SETTLEMENT COMMISSION			
Rules			
Freedom of information; regulations to conform	10178		
FOREST SERVICE			
Notices			
Environmental statements:			
Rainy Day Planning Unit, multiple use plan	10216		
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY			
Notices			
Geothermal resource areas, classification:			
California	10200		
HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE DEPARTMENT			
See Food and Drug Administration; National Institutes of Health; Public Health Service.			
HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT			
See Assistant Secretary for Housing Production and Mortgage Credit—Federal Housing Commissioner (Federal Housing Administration).			
INTERIOR DEPARTMENT			
See Geological Survey; Land Management Bureau; Reclamation Bureau.			
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE			
Proposed Rules			
Income and miscellaneous excise taxes; individual retirement accounts; correction	10187		
INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION			
Notices			
Electronic flash devices; postponement of hearing	10241		
Petition:			
Weiss-Lawrence, Inc.; investigation	10241		
INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION			
Notices			
Hearing assignments	10275		
Motor carriers:			
Alternate route deviation notices (2 documents)	10266		
Applications and certain other proceedings	10267		
Intrastate applications	10263		
Irregular route property carriers; gateway elimination	10253		
Temporary authority applications	10272		
Transfer proceedings	10275		
United States Railway Association; hearing; correction	10275		
JUSTICE DEPARTMENT			
Notices			
U.S. vs. Norris Industries; correction	10199		
LAND MANAGEMENT BUREAU			
Notices			
Pipeline right-of-way applications:			
New Mexico (3 documents)	10199		
MARITIME ADMINISTRATION			
Notices			
Applications, etc.:			
Waterman Steamship Corp.	10220		
NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION			
Notices			
Meetings:			
Research and Technology Advisory Council	10242		
Space Program Advisory Council	10241		
NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION			
Rules			
Federal credit unions; mergers; updating procedures	10167		
NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON ARTS AND HUMANITIES			
Notices			
Guidelines for grants:			
Dance touring program	10246		
Public media program	10243		
NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH			
Notices			
Meetings:			
National Arthritis, Metabolism and Digestive Diseases	10224		
National Heart and Lung Advisory Council	10224		
NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION			
Rules			
Marine mammals:			
Procedures for hearings and proposed regulations	10182		
Proposed Rules			
Marine mammals; incidental taking in course of commercial fishing operations	10193		
NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION			
Notices			
Meetings:			
Science Education Projects Advisory Panel	10249		
NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE			
Notices			
Government-owned inventions; availability for licensing	10220		
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION			
Notices			
Applications, etc.:			
Gulf States Utilities Co. (2 documents)	10249		
Meetings:			
Reactor Safeguards Advisory Committee	10251		
PIPELINE SAFETY OFFICE			
Rules			
Transportation of liquids, natural and other gas; welding requirements	10181		
PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE			
Proposed Rules			
Project grants for sudden infant death syndrome information and counseling	10317		
RECLAMATION BUREAU			
Notices			
Environmental statements:			
Central Valley Project, San Felipe Division, California	10200		
RURAL ELECTRIFICATION ADMINISTRATION			
Proposed Rules			
Telephone facilities; changes in construction contract	10192		
SAINT LAWRENCE SEAWAY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION			
Notices			
Meeting	10224		
STATE DEPARTMENT			
Notices			
Meetings:			
Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Advisory Committee, rescheduled	10198		
TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT			
See Federal Aviation Administration; Pipeline Safety Office; Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation.			
TREASURY DEPARTMENT			
See also Internal Revenue Service.			
Notices			
Treasury Dept. Order No. 150-55; rescission	10198		
UNITED STATES INFORMATION AGENCY			
Notices			
Meetings:			
Information Advisory Commission	10241		

list of cfr parts affected

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in today's issue. A cumulative list of parts affected, covering the current month to date, follows beginning with the second issue of the month. A cumulative guide is published separately at the end of each month. The guide lists the parts and sections affected by documents published since January 1, 1974, and specifies how they are affected.

7 CFR		14 CFR		33 CFR	
271.....	10165	71 (11 documents).....	10169-10172	PROPOSED RULES:	
971.....	10165	121.....	10173	207.....	10187
PROPOSED RULES:		288.....	10174		
29.....	10190	PROPOSED RULES:		42 CFR	
210.....	10192	71 (4 documents).....	10193, 10194	PROPOSED RULES:	
1464.....	10192	18 CFR		51a.....	10318
1701.....	10192	PROPOSED RULES:			
9 CFR		141.....	10196	45 CFR	
PROPOSED RULES:		260.....	10196	503.....	10178
317.....	10191	21 CFR			
381.....	10191	1002.....	10174	47 CFR	
10 CFR		24 CFR		0.....	10180
211.....	10165	207 (2 documents).....	10176, 10177	73.....	10180
PROPOSED RULES:		26 CFR			
210.....	10195	PROPOSED RULES:		49 CFR	
12 CFR		1.....	10187	192.....	10181
708.....	10167	54.....	10187	195.....	10181
PROPOSED RULES:				50 CFR	
206.....	10322			216.....	10182
335.....	10376			PROPOSED RULES:	
				216.....	10193

CUMULATIVE LIST OF PARTS AFFECTED—MARCH

The following numerical guide is a list of parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during March.

3 CFR		14 CFR		33 CFR	
PROCLAMATIONS:		39.....	8795, 8796, 8937	207.....	8949
4313 (Amended by Proc. 4356).....	8931	71.....	8796, 8797, 10169-10172	PROPOSED RULES:	
4345 (Amended by Proc. 4356).....	8931	73.....	8940	117.....	8958
4356.....	8931	121.....	10173	207.....	10187
EXECUTIVE ORDERS:		288.....	10174		
10973 (Amended by E.O. 11841).....	8933	PROPOSED RULES:		38 CFR	
11803 (Amended by E.O. 11842).....	8935	71.....	8830, 8958, 10193, 10194	2.....	8819
11837 (Amended by E.O. 11842).....	8935	121.....	8830	17.....	8819
11841.....	8933	137.....	8831		
11842.....	8935			39 CFR	
		17 CFR		111.....	8820
5 CFR		200.....	8797	243.....	8820
213.....	8937	PROPOSED RULES:			
		250.....	8968	40 CFR	
7 CFR				180.....	8820, 8821
271.....	8937, 10165	18 CFR			
272.....	8937	3.....	8940	41 CFR	
301.....	8763	35.....	8946	5A-2.....	8949
401.....	8770, 8771	141.....	8803	5A-7.....	8950
908.....	8772	154.....	8946, 8947	5A-16.....	8951
971.....	10165	260.....	8940		
982.....	8773	PROPOSED RULES:		42 CFR	
PROPOSED RULES:		141.....	10196	PROPOSED RULES:	
25.....	8824	260.....	10196	51a.....	10318
25A.....	8824				
29.....	10190	19 CFR		45 CFR	
210.....	10192	PROPOSED RULES:		503.....	10178
1464.....	10192	1.....	8955	1100.....	8821
1701.....	10192			PROPOSED RULES:	
		21 CFR		103.....	8955
9 CFR		121.....	8804	249.....	8956
73.....	8938	135e.....	8804		
78.....	8773	630.....	8804	47 CFR	
113.....	8774	701.....	8924	0.....	10180
317.....	10191	740.....	8917, 8928	73.....	10180
381.....	10191	1002.....	10174	87.....	8951
				89.....	8951
10 CFR		22 CFR		91.....	8951
Ch. I.....	8774	201.....	8947	93.....	8952
Ch. III.....	8794	503.....	8805	PROPOSED RULES:	
211.....	10165			73.....	8963-8965
PROPOSED RULES:		23 CFR		76.....	8967
2.....	8832	712.....	8947		
21.....	8832			49 CFR	
31.....	8832	24 CFR		192.....	10181
35.....	8832	200.....	8948	195.....	10181
40.....	8832	207.....	10176, 10177	215.....	8952
210.....	10195	1915.....	8807, 8811	571.....	8953
				1033.....	8823
12 CFR		26 CFR		PROPOSED RULES:	
545.....	8795	1.....	8948	256.....	8958
701.....	8938	PROPOSED RULES:		571.....	8962
708.....	10167	1.....	10187		
PROPOSED RULES:		54.....	10187	50 CFR	
206.....	10322	29 CFR		33.....	8954
335.....	10376	1601.....	8818	216.....	10182
701.....	8967	1602.....	8819	PROPOSED RULES:	
745.....	8967	1952.....	8948	216.....	10193

FEDERAL REGISTER PAGES AND DATES—MARCH

Pages	Date
8764-8929.....	Mar. 3
8931-10163.....	4
10165-10432.....	5

reminders

Rules Going Into Effect Today

CPSC—Labeling requirements for non-complying packaging... 4648; 1-31-75
 DOT/FAA—Airworthiness directives; Lockheed Model L-1011-385-1 Airplanes. 4647; 1-31-75

Next Week's Deadlines for Comments On Proposed Rules

AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT

Agricultural Marketing Service—
 Eggs and poultry; Federal grading and inspection standards; comments by 3-10-75 1706; 1-9-75
 Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service—
 Cattle destroyed because of tuberculosis; indemnity regulations; comments by 3-12-75 5784; 2-7-75
 Devices and substances for use on horses at certain horse shows; proposed prohibitions; comments by 3-10-75 6979; 2-18-75
 Farmers Home Administration—
 Reamortizing and renewing operating loans; comments by 3-10-75. 5538; 2-6-75
 Federal Housing Administration—
 Liquidation of chattel security and related actions; comments by 3-10-75 5539; 2-6-75
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
 Proposed new medium and heavy duty trucks, noise emission standards; comments by 3-10-75 3467; 1-22-75

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Bangor, Maine; addition of a Class A FM assignment; comments by 3-11-75 2828; 1-16-75
 FM broadcast stations in Louisiana; table of assignments; comments by 3-14-75 1716; 1-9-75

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Freedom of information; Federal Open Market Committee; fee schedule; comments by 2-13-75 4022; 1-27-75

HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE DEPARTMENT

Social and Rehabilitation Service—
 Medical assistance program; skilled nursing and intermediate care facilities; comments by 3-13-75. 6368; 2-11-75
 Federal health insurance for the aged and disabled; skilled nursing facilities; comments by 3-13-75. 6369; 2-11-75

Federal old-age survivors and disability insurance; comments by 3-10-75 5540; 2-6-75
 Federal old-age, survivors, and disability insurance; benefit increase; comments by 3-10-75 5789; 2-7-75
 Public assistance programs; comments by 3-10-75 5541; 2-6-75

INTERIOR DEPARTMENT

Indian Affairs Bureau—
 Flathead Irrigation Project; operation and maintenance rates; comments by 3-13-75 6360; 2-11-75

JUSTICE DEPARTMENT

Immigration and Naturalization Service—
 Nonimmigrant (H-1) visa petitions for physicians and nurses; comments by 3-14-75 6211; 2-10-75

LABOR DEPARTMENT

Occupational Safety and Health Administration—
 Occupational exposure standards; carcinogens; comments by 3-10-75. 4934; 2-3-75

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Interim financial data; increased disclosure; comments by 3-15-75. 1079; 1-6-75

TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT

Federal Aviation Administration—
 Carriage of radioactive materials on passenger planes; comments by 3-10-75 5168; 2-4-75
 Control zone (2 documents); comments by 3-10-75.. 5542; 2-6-75
 Control zone and transition area; comments by 3-10-75.. 5543; 2-6-75
 Transition area; East Liverpool, Ohio; comments by 3-10-75 5543; 2-6-75
 Transition area; Middlefield, Ohio; comments by 3-10-75 5793; 2-7-75
 Transition area; Salina, Kans.; comments by 3-10-75.. 5793; 2-7-75
 Transition area; Ulysses, Kans.; comments by 3-10-75 5793; 2-10-75
 Transition area; proposed alteration; comments by 3-13-75 6369; 2-11-75

TREASURY DEPARTMENT

Internal Revenue Service—
 Regulation relating to exception for certain insurance contract plans from minimum funding standards; comments by 3-10-75 5535; 2-6-75

Next Week's Public Hearings

DEFENSE MANPOWER COMMISSION

Requirements of the Department of Defense; to be held in Washington, D.C.; 3-13-75 4032; 1-27-75

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

President's list of articles which may be affected by international trade negotiations; to be held at San Francisco, Calif. 3-13-75 3517; 1-22-75

President's list of articles which may be affected by international trade negotiations; to be held at Phoenix, Ariz. 3-11-75 3517; 1-22-75

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Houston Lighting & Power Co. (Allens Creek Nuclear Generating Stations, Units 1 & 2); to be held in Wallis, Tex., 3-11-75 6836; 2-14-75

Next Week's Meetings

ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

Committee on Compliance and Enforcement Proceedings; to be held in Washington, D.C. (open with restrictions) 3-12-75 6703; 2-13-75
 Committee on Licenses and Authorizations; to be held in Washington, D.C. (open with restrictions), 3-13-75. 6703; 2-13-75

CIVIL RIGHTS COMMISSION

Montana State Advisory Committee; to be held in Great Falls, Mont. (open) 3-15-75 6815; 2-14-75
 Texas State Advisory Committee; to be held in Austin, Tex. (open) 3-9-75. 6815; 2-14-75

Texas State Advisory Committee; to be held in Austin, Tex. (open) 3-15 and 3-16-75 6815; 2-14-75

COMMERCE DEPARTMENT

CTAB Panel on Sulfur Oxide Control Technology; to be held in Washington, D.C. (open with restrictions) 3-6 and 3-7 and 3-10 and 3-11-75. 5175; 2-4-75

Domestic and International Business Administration—

Advisory Committee on East-West Trade; to be held in Washington, D.C. (open) 3-14-75. 4171; 1-28-75

Telecommunications Equipment Technical Advisory Committee; to be held in Washington, D.C. (open) 3-11-75 5800; 2-7-75

National Bureau of Standards—

Federal Information Processing Standards Coordinating and Advisory Committee to be held in Gaithersburg, Md. (open) 3-12-75 4954; 2-3-75

REMINDERS—Continued

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration—
New York Bight Mesa Advisory Committee; to be held at Stony Brook, N.Y. (open) 3-11-75..... 6217;
2-10-75

DEFENSE DEPARTMENT

Defense Science Board Task Force on Identification Friend, Foe or Neutral; to be held in Washington, D.C. (closed) 3-10-75.... 4465; 1-30-75
Office of the Secretary—
Joint Strategic Target Planning Staff Scientific Advisory Group; to be held at Fort George G. Meade, Md. (closed) 3-11 thru 3-13-75.
6995; 2-18-75

DEFENSE MANPOWER COMMISSION

To be held in Washington, D.C. (open with restrictions) 3-14-75..... 8379;
2-27-75

FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION

Construction Advisory Committee; to be held at Washington, D.C. (open with restrictions) 3-11-75..... 7007;
2-18-75

HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE DEPARTMENT

Health Insurance Benefits Advisory Council; to be held in Washington, D.C. (open) 3-13 and 3-14-75.
6386; 2-11-75

National Commission for the Protection of Human Subjects of Biomedical and Behavioral Research; to be held in Bethesda, Md. (open with restrictions) 3-14 and 3-15-75.... 8374; 2-27-75
Education Office—

National Advisory Council on Vocational Education; to be held at Washington, D.C. (open) 3-12 thru 3-14-75 6999; 2-18-75

National Advisory Council on Adult Education; to be held at Louisville, Kentucky (open) 3-13 thru 3-15-75 7000; 2-18-75

Food and Drug Administration—

Clinical Chemistry Subcommittee of the Diagnostic Products Advisory Committee; to be held in Washington, D.C. (open and closed) 3-10 and 3-11-75 7113; 2-19-75

Panel on review of bacterial vaccines and bacterial antigens; to be held in Bethesda, Md. (open and closed) 3-10 and 3-11-75..... 7113;
2-19-75

Panel on review of cardiovascular devices; to be held in Washington, D.C. (open and closed) 3-11-75.
7114; 2-19-75

Panel on review of contraceptives and other vaginal drug products; to be held in Rockville, Md. (open and closed) 3-14 and 3-15-75.
7115; 2-19-75

Panel on review of ear, nose and throat devices; to be held in Washington, D.C. (open and closed) 3-10 and 3-11-75..... 7114;
2-19-75

Panel on review of topical analgesics; to be held in Rockville, Md. (open and closed) 3-13 and 3-14-75.
7115; 2-19-75

National Institutes of Health—

Acupuncture Research Conference to be held in Bethesda, Md. (open) 3-14-75..... 4954; 2-3-75

Board of Regents; to be held at Bethesda, Md. (open with restrictions) 3-13 and 3-14-75 2855;
1-16-75

Breast Cancer Epidemiology Committee; to be held in Bethesda, Md. (open with restrictions) 3-14-75.
6812; 2-14-75

Cancer Clinical Investigation Review Committee; to be held in Bethesda, Md. (open) 3-10-75..... 6812;
2-14-75

Cancer Control Intervention Programs Review Committee; to be held in Bethesda, Md. (open with restrictions) 3-10 and 3-11-75.
6812; 2-14-75

Committee on Cancer Immunodiagnosis; to be held in Bethesda, Md. (open with restrictions) 3-9 thru 3-11-75..... 6812; 2-14-75

Committee on Cancer Immunotherapy; to be held in Bethesda, Md. (open with restrictions) 3-13-75.
6812; 2-14-75

National Advisory Research Resources Council; to be held at Bethesda, Md. (open with restrictions), 3-13 and 3-14-75. 2860; 1-16-75

National Commission on Diabetes; to be held in Bethesda, Md. (open with restrictions) 3-10 and 3-11-75 6812; 2-14-75

Subcommittee on Environmental Carcinogenesis; to be held in Bethesda, Md. (open) 3-11-75.... 6812;
2-14-75

Tumor Registry Workshop to be held in Bethesda, Md. (open) 3-14-75.
5574; 2-6-75

Health Resources Administration—
National Advisory Council on Nurse Training; to be held in Bethesda,

Md. (open with restrictions) 3-12 thru 3-14-75..... 6811; 2-14-75

INTERIOR DEPARTMENT

National Park Service—

Chesapeake and Ohio Canal National Historical Park Commission; to be held in Harpers Ferry, W. Va. (open with restrictions) 3-15-75.
8421; 2-27-75

NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

National Endowment for the Arts—
Dance Advisory Panel; to be held in Washington, D.C. (closed) 3-9 thru 3-12-75..... 6833; 2-14-75

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Advisory Panel for Engineering Chemistry and Energetics; to be held in Washington, D.C. (open) 3-10 and 3-11-75..... 7718; 2-21-75

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards' Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Subcommittee; to be held in Denver, Colo. (open with restrictions) 3-14 and 3-15-75..... 8393; 2-27-75
Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards' Working Group on HCDA; to be held in Denver, Colo. (open with restrictions) 3-14-75..... 8393;
2-27-75

STATE DEPARTMENT

Shipping Coordinating Committee; to be held at Washington, D.C. (open) 3-11-75..... 6991; 2-18-75

Study Group 7 of the U.S. National Committee for the International Radio Consultative Committee; to be held at Washington, D.C. (open with restrictions) 3-13-75.. 6991; 2-18-75

VETERANS ADMINISTRATION

Veterans Administration Wage Committee; to be held in Washington, D.C. (closed) 3-13-75.... 4187; 12-2-75

Daily List of Public Laws

This is a listing of public bills enacted by Congress and approved by the President, together with the law number, the date of approval, and the U.S. Statute citation. Subsequent lists appear each day in the FEDERAL REGISTER, and copies of the laws may be obtained from the U.S. Government Printing Office.

S. 281..... Pub. Law 94-5
Regional Rail Reorganization Act Amendments of 1975
(Feb. 28, 1975; 89 Stat. 7)
H.J. Res. 210..... Pub. Law 94-6
Supplemental appropriations, 1975
(Feb. 28, 1975; 89 Stat. 11)

rules and regulations

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains regulatory documents having general applicability and legal effect most of which are keyed to and codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510.

The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of new books are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each month.

Title 7—Agriculture

CHAPTER II—FOOD AND NUTRITION SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

[Amtd. No. 55]

PART 271—PARTICIPATION OF STATE AGENCIES AND ELIGIBLE HOUSEHOLDS Food Stamp Program

On January 22, 1975 (40 FR 3483), the Department of Agriculture amended its regulations governing the Food Stamp Program (7 CFR Part 271) to provide that in the 48 States and the District of Columbia, the amount certain households would pay for their coupon allotments would be 30 percent or their adjusted net monthly income, effective March 1, 1975.

A similar amendment was published on February 7, 1975 (40 FR 5747-52) for Alaska, Hawaii, Puerto Rico, Guam and the Virgin Islands.

On February 13, 1975, the President announced that he will allow H.R. 1589 to become law without his signature. H.R. 1589 states that the charge imposed on any household for a coupon allotment after the date of enactment of the Act and prior to December 30, 1975, may not exceed the charge that would have been imposed on such household for such coupon allotment under rules and regulations promulgated and in effect on January 1, 1975.

On that same date, telegrams were sent to all State welfare agencies advising them (1) of the President's announcement; (2) the content of H.R. 1589; (3) that effective immediately the amendments to the Food Stamp Regulations concerning the 30 percent purchase requirement published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on January 22, 1975 (40 FR 3483) and February 7, 1975 (40 FR 5747-52) are rescinded and (4) that until further notice the Basis of Coupon Issuance schedules effective January 1, 1975 shall remain in effect.

Accordingly, the following FSP Notices, which were issued pursuant to a part of Subchapter C—Food Stamp Program under Title 7, Chapter II, Code of Federal Regulations and which were to be effective March 1, 1975 are rescinded: FSP Notice No. 1975-1.2 (40 FR 3483; January 22, 1975), FSP Notice No. 1975-2.2, FSP Notice No. 1975-3.2, FSP Notice No. 1975-4.2, FSP Notice No. 1975-5.2, and FSP Notice No. 1975-6.2 (40 FR 5747-52; February 7, 1975). The following FSP Notices, which were effective January 1, 1975, shall remain in effect: FSP Notice No. 1975-1.1 (39 FR 40520; November 18, 1974); FSP Notice No. 1975-2.1, FSP Notice No. 1975-3, FSP Notice No. 1975-4.1, FSP Notice No. 1975-5.1

and FSP Notice No. 1975-6.1 (39 FR 41283-88, November 26, 1974).

Effective date: This amendment became effective February 13, 1975.

(78 Stat. 703, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 2011-2026)

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Programs, No. 10.551, National Archives Reference Services)

Dated: February 28, 1975.

JOHN M. DAMGARD,
Deputy Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-5833 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

CHAPTER IX—AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE (MARKETING AGREEMENTS AND ORDERS; FRUITS, VEGETABLES, NUTS), DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

[Amtd. 3]

PART 971—LETTUCE GROWN IN LOWER RIO GRANDE VALLEY IN SOUTH TEXAS

Handling Regulation

Findings. (a) Pursuant to Marketing Agreement No. 144 and Order No. 971 (7 CFR Part 971) regulating the handling of lettuce grown in the Lower Rio Grande Valley in South Texas it is hereby found that the amendment to the handling regulation, hereinafter set forth, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act. This program is effective under the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.). The amendment is based upon recommendations and information submitted by the South Texas Lettuce Committee, established pursuant to said marketing agreement and order and upon other available information.

Recent cold weather has reduced harvest and packing. This amendment is necessary so that the industry can have sufficient operating time to satisfy existing and prospective orders for lettuce.

(b) It is hereby found that it is impractical and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, or to engage in public rule making procedure, and that good cause exists for not postponing the effective date of this amendment until 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 553) in that (1) this amendment must become effective immediately if producers are to derive any benefits therefrom, (2) compliance with this amendment will not require any special preparation on the part of handlers, (3) information regarding the proposed regulation has been made available to producers and handlers in the production area, and (4) this amendment relieves restriction on the handling of lettuce grown in the production area.

Regulation, as amended.

In § 971.315 (39 FR 3886; 40 FR 2794; 40 FR 4277) the introductory paragraph is hereby amended by adding the following thereto:

§ 971.315 Handling regulation.

... and also except that the prohibition against the packaging of lettuce on Sundays shall not apply on March 2, 1975.

Effective date. Issued February 28, 1975, to become effective March 2, 1975.

CHARLES R. BRADER,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc.75-5827 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

Title 10—Energy

CHAPTER II—FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION

PART 211—MANDATORY PETROLEUM ALLOCATION REGULATIONS

Allocation Fractions Greater Than One, Residential Use of Propane, Surplus Propane and Butane Purchase Reports, and Shifting of Entitlements Among Motor Gasoline Retail Sales Outlets

On February 5, 1975, the Federal Energy Administration issued a notice of proposed rulemaking and public hearing (40 FR 6372, February 11, 1975) to amend Chapter II of Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 211, the Mandatory Petroleum Allocation Regulations, with respect to regulations concerning allocation fractions greater than one, residential use of propane, surplus propane and butane purchase reports, and shifting of entitlements among motor gasoline retail sales outlets. Written comments were invited through February 21, 1975 and a public hearing was held on February 21, 1975.

Eighteen written comments were received by FEA in response to the notice of proposed rulemaking and one oral presentation was made at the public hearing on February 21. Those making comments included refiners, manufacturers, trade associations and independent marketers of petroleum products.

ALLOCATION FRACTIONS GREATER THAN ONE

The amendments to § 211.10(g), which governs the disposition of surplus product when allocation fractions are greater than one, were proposed to correct a number of deficiencies in the operation of that paragraph. As revised, § 211.10(g)(2) requires suppliers not subject to the reporting requirements of § 211.10(g)(3) to distribute surplus product in

the same manner specified for reporting suppliers in § 211.10(g)(5). Revised § 211.10(g)(3) provides that in addition to suppliers previously required to report surplus product to FEA, a supplier which is a gas processing plant operator must report surplus butane.

Revised § 211.10(g)(5) provides that a supplier which has surplus product to distribute must offer a proportionate share of its surplus product to non-branded and branded independent marketers entitled to receive an allocation from the supplier. In response to several comments, § 211.10(g)(5) has been revised to make it clear that a supplier must make its surplus product available to all wholesale purchaser-resellers within the class of branded independent marketers and the class of non-branded independent marketers which are entitled to receive an allocation from the supplier. A supplier may not satisfy the requirement that surplus product must be supplied to its wholesale purchaser-resellers which are independent marketers by offering it to only some of its purchasers in those two classes.

Revised § 211.10(g)(5) also provides that a supplier may not supply to retail sales outlets which it owns and operates a greater proportion of its surplus than that which the total base period volumes of those outlets, prior to any adjustments, bear to the total base period volumes, prior to any adjustments, of all purchasers entitled to receive an allocation from the supplier, unless the supplier first offers surplus product to and meets all requests for surplus product from any independent marketer which is a base period or assigned base period purchaser of the supplier, up to the proportions specified in § 211.10(g)(5)(i)(A) and (B). In this connection, it should be noted that direct sales to wholesale purchaser-consumers or end-users are not deemed to be sales to independent marketers.

The provision of proposed § 211.10(g)(5) relating to the obligation of a supplier to offer surplus to a purchaser which has refused to lift its entitlement has been revised to make it clear that if a purchaser has refused to lift its entitlement in one period corresponding to a base period, its supplier need not offer that purchaser any surplus which is available during that same period corresponding to a base period. However, refusal to lift an entitlement during one period corresponding to a base period does not relieve the supplier of its obligation to offer to supply surplus product to the purchaser in any subsequent period corresponding to a base period when the supplier has surplus to distribute.

Several comments noted that in § 211.10(g)(8)(i) of the proposal, reference to limitations on acceptance or use of propane or butane for synthetic natural gas feedstock use and gas utility use was deleted. This deletion was inadvertent and has been corrected in the revision.

RESIDENTIAL USE OF PROPANE

Almost all comments regarding the proposed amendment establishing a minimum allocation fraction for residential use of propane were favorable. The few objections raised were not considered to be substantial. Therefore, the proposed amendment is adopted as proposed.

SURPLUS PROPANE AND BUTANE PURCHASE REPORTS

Although several comments opposed the surplus propane and butane purchase reports, FEA believes such reports are essential if it is to effectively monitor the distribution of surplus propane and butane.

Some comments expressed concern that the surplus purchase reports would delay sales. It was not the intent of FEA to require FEA approval prior to consummation of sales. Therefore the amendment as adopted provides that the surplus purchase reports may be submitted within ten days after the purchase of surplus propane or butane by the wholesale purchaser.

SHIFTING OF ENTITLEMENTS AMONG MOTOR GASOLINE RETAIL SALES OUTLETS

No objections were raised with respect to the proposed amendment to permit the entity which owns and operates two or more motor gasoline retail outlets to reassign among outlets up to 30 percent of the allocation entitlements of each outlet it operates. Therefore, the proposed amendment is adopted.

(Emergency Petroleum Allocation Act of 1973, Pub. L. 93-159; Federal Energy Administration Act of 1974, Pub. L. 93-275; E.O. 11790, 39 FR 23185)

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 211 of Chapter II, Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as set forth below. The effective date of the amendment to § 211.83(c)(3) is April 1, 1975. All the remaining amendments are effective March 1, 1975.

Issued in Washington, D.C., February 28, 1975.

DAVID G. WILSON,
Acting General Counsel,
Federal Energy Administration.

1. Section 211.10 is amended in paragraph (g) by revising subparagraphs (2), (3), (5) and (8) to read as follows:

§ 211.10 Supplier's method of allocation.

(g)

(2) *Non-reporting suppliers.* Any wholesale purchaser-reseller which is a retail sales outlet or any other supplier not subject to paragraph (g)(3) of this section and which has an allocable supply of sufficient magnitude that its allocation fraction will exceed one (1.0) for a period corresponding to a base period, shall make allocations based on an allocation fraction of one (1.0) and shall distribute its surplus product as provided by paragraph (g)(5) of this section. There is no requirement that such

a wholesale purchaser-reseller report its surplus product to FEA.

(3) *Surplus product reports.* A supplier which is either a refiner, a gas processing plant operator, a prime supplier in any state, or a supplier of a prime supplier (such as a broker) and which is not a retail sales outlet and which has an allocable supply of sufficient magnitude that its allocation fraction computed pursuant to paragraph (b) of this section will exceed one (1.0) for an allocated product for a period corresponding to a base period, shall make allocations based on an allocation fraction of one (1.0) and shall report the volume, location, price, availability of transportation and significant specifications of surplus product available. The surplus product report shall be submitted in writing to the FEA National Office, with a copy to the appropriate FEA Regional Offices, within five (5) days of the supplier's determination that its allocation fraction will exceed one (1.0). The report must be clearly labeled "Surplus Product Report" both on the document and on the outside of the envelope in which the document is transmitted and shall be addressed to: Federal Energy Administration, Surplus Product Report, Post Office Box 19407, Washington, D.C. 20036. The FEA shall provide written notification to each supplier submitting a surplus product report of the exact time of receipt of the surplus product report.

(5) *Distribution of surplus products.* Any supplier subject to paragraph (g)(2) or any supplier which reports pursuant to paragraph (g)(3) of this section and which is not notified to the contrary within ten (10) days of receipt by FEA of the supplier's notification under paragraph (g)(3) of this section, may distribute its surplus product at its discretion except that (1) the supplier shall supply, in the aggregate, to all purchasers in the category of (A) wholesale purchaser-resellers which are entitled to receive an allocation from that supplier and which are branded independent marketers, to the extent that such category of purchaser is willing to accept it, at least the same proportion of the supplier's surplus product as the total base period volumes (prior to any adjustments) of branded independent marketers which are entitled to receive an allocation from that supplier bear to the total base period volumes (prior to any adjustments) of all purchasers, including those assigned by FEA, which are entitled to receive an allocation from that supplier; and (B) wholesale purchaser-resellers which are entitled to receive an allocation from that supplier and which are non-branded independent marketers to the extent that such category of purchasers is willing to accept it, at least the same proportion of the supplier's surplus product as the total base period volumes (prior to any adjustments) of non-branded independent marketers which are entitled to receive an allocation from that supplier bear to the total base period volumes (prior to

any adjustments) of all purchasers including those assigned by FEA, which are entitled to receive an allocation from that supplier; and (ii) the supplier may not supply to retail sales outlets owned and operated by the supplier, in the aggregate, a greater proportion of the supplier's surplus product than the total base period volumes (prior to any adjustments) of all such retail sales outlets bear to the total base period volumes (prior to any adjustments) of all purchasers, including those assigned by FEA, which are entitled to receive an allocation from that supplier unless the supplier first offers surplus product to and meets all requests for surplus product from all independent marketers which are entitled to receive an allocation from that supplier to the extent required in clause (i) of this subparagraph. *Provided*, That a supplier shall not be required to offer surplus product available for distribution during a period corresponding to a base period to any purchaser which has refused to lift all of its allocation entitlement in the same period corresponding to a base period.

(8) *Limitation on purchaser's rights including special restrictions on propane and butane.* (i) Unless directed by FEA no supplier shall supply and no end-user or wholesale purchaser-consumer shall accept quantities of an allocated product which exceed one hundred (100) percent of the end-user's or wholesale purchaser-consumer's current requirements. *Provided*, That (A) no supplier shall supply and no end-user or wholesale purchaser-consumer shall accept or use quantities of propane or butane (including the propane and butane content of natural gas liquids and refinery gas) in excess of one hundred (100) percent of base period use for synthetic natural gas feedstock use, gas utility use or any industrial use except for the purpose of increasing inventories for such uses to the levels allowed under § 211.86(g) or § 211.95 (e), and *Provided further*, That (B) no supplier shall supply and no end-user or wholesale purchaser-consumer shall accept or use quantities of propane or butane (including the propane and butane content of natural gas liquids and refinery gas) in excess of one hundred (100) percent of base period use for refinery use.

(ii) Suppliers and wholesale purchasers shall comply with §§ 211.87 and 211.97 in the purchase and sale of surplus propane and butane.

2. Section 211.83 is amended in paragraph (c) by revising subparagraph (3) to read as follows:

§ 211.83 Allocation levels.

(c)

(3) Ninety-five (95) percent of base period use for all residential use, except that whenever the allocation fraction of a supplier which supplies end-users and wholesale purchaser-consumers pursuant to this allocation level is less than 0.9, that supplier shall supply the resi-

dential use requirements of those end-users and wholesale purchaser-consumers at an allocation level of eighty-five (85) percent of base period use, not subject to an allocation fraction.

3. Section 211.87 is amended by adding a paragraph (f) to read as follows:

§ 211.87 Procedures and reporting requirements.

(f) *Surplus propane purchase report.* (1) Notwithstanding the provisions of § 211.12 of this part, any wholesale purchaser may purchase propane subject to paragraphs (f) (2) and (3) of this section from any supplier which certifies to the wholesale purchaser in writing that the supplier has surplus propane to distribute and that the supplier has complied with the provisions of § 211.10(g) of this part.

(2) A wholesale purchaser which purchases surplus propane shall report the purchase to the FEA National Office within ten days in accordance with forms and instructions issued by FEA including the name and address of the purchaser, the supplier and the broker, if any, the quantity of surplus propane purchased and the price of the surplus propane, and a statement certifying that the supplier has provided the wholesale purchaser with a written certification that the supplier has complied with the provisions of § 211.10(g). The report must be clearly labelled "Surplus Propane Purchase Report" both on the document and on the envelope in which the document is transmitted and shall be addressed to: Federal Energy Administration, Surplus Propane Purchase Report, Post Office Box 19407, Washington, D.C. 20036.

(3) End-users which purchase surplus propane are not required to report pursuant to this paragraph but shall be subject to § 211.10(g) of this part.

4. Section 211.97 is amended by adding a paragraph (e) to read as follows:

§ 211.97 Procedures and reporting requirements.

(e) *Surplus butane purchase report.* (1) Notwithstanding the provisions of § 211.12 of this part, any wholesale purchaser may purchase butane pursuant to paragraphs (e) (2) and (3) of this section from any supplier which certifies to the wholesale purchaser in writing that the supplier has surplus butane to distribute and that the supplier has complied with the provisions of § 211.10 (g) of this part.

(2) A wholesale purchaser which purchases surplus butane shall report the purchase to the FEA National Office within ten days in accordance with forms and instructions issued by FEA including the name and address of the purchaser, the supplier and the broker, if any, the quantity of surplus butane purchased and the price of the surplus butane, and a statement certifying that the supplier has provided the wholesale purchaser

with a written certification that the supplier has complied with the provisions of § 211.10(g). The report must be clearly labelled "Surplus Butane Purchase Report" both on the document and on the envelope in which the document is transmitted and shall be addressed to: Federal Energy Administration, Surplus Butane Purchase Report, Post Office Box 19407, Washington, D.C. 20036.

(3) End-users which purchase surplus butane are not required to report pursuant to this paragraph, but shall be subject to § 211.10(g) of this part.

5. Section 211.106 is amended by revising paragraph (b) (3) to read as follows:

§ 211.106 Retail sales outlets.

(b)

(3)

(ii) Each entity which operates two or more retail sales outlets which are supplied by a common supplier may reassign up to thirty (30) percent of the allocation entitlement (excluding any amounts which those retail sales outlets have certified pursuant to § 211.12(d) to be for ultimate use under an allocation level not subject to an allocation fraction) of a retail sales outlet which it operates to another retail sales outlet which it operates provided that no retail sales outlet which it operates provided that no retail sales outlet may have its allocation entitlement (excluding any amounts which those retail sales outlets have certified pursuant to § 211.12 (d) to be for ultimate use under an allocation level not subject to an allocation fraction) increased by more than thirty (30) percent pursuant to any reassignment permitted by this paragraph (b) (3) (ii).

[FR Doc. 75-5853 Filed 3-3-75; 8:45 am]

Title 12—Banks and Banking

CHAPTER VII—NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION

PART 708—MERGERS OF CREDIT UNIONS

Updating of Procedures

On pages 39476-39478 of the November 7, 1974, edition of the FEDERAL REGISTER (39 FR 39476-39478) there was published a proposal to revise the entire Part 708 (12 CFR Part 708). The purpose of the proposal is to update merger procedures in light of Title II of the Federal Credit Union Act (12 U.S.C. 1781, *et seq.*). Interested persons were given until February 3, 1975, to submit written comments, suggestions and objections regarding the proposed revision. As a result of the comments, the following changes have been made:

1. Section 708.0 is revised.

2. In § 708.1, paragraph (a) (3) is added.

3. In § 708.2, paragraph (a) (2), delete the words "federally-insured" and insert in lieu thereof the words "a state credit union."

4. In § 708.3(b), insert the word "continuing" before the words "credit union" the last time they appear in the paragraph.

5. In § 708.3(c), delete the comma immediately following the first appearance of the words "federally-insured" and insert the word "and" in lieu thereof.

6. In § 708.5(a), delete the words "any federally-insured credit union(s)." Insert the words "the credit unions" in lieu thereof.

7. In § 708.7(a)(2)(ii), delete the words "combined financial reports for each credit union" and insert the words "a combined financial report for the continuing credit union" in lieu thereof.

8. Section 708.7(b) is revised.

9. In § 708.9(a)(2), delete the words "and after" from paragraph (i). Insert a new paragraph (ii) to read: "A financial report for the continuing credit union after the completion of merger." Redesignate previous paragraphs (ii) and (iii) as paragraphs (iii) and (iv) respectively.

Accordingly, with the above changes and additions, the proposed Part 708 is adopted as set forth below.

Effective date. March 10, 1975.

HERMAN NICKERSON, Jr.,
Administrator.

FEBRUARY 26, 1975.

Sec.	
708.0	Scope.
708.1	Definitions.
708.2	When Permissible.
708.3	Special Provisions for National Credit Union Share Insurance.
708.4	Preparation of Merger Plan.
708.5	Submittal of Merger Proposal to Administration.
708.6	Approval of Merger Proposal by Administrator.
708.7	Approval of Merger Proposal by Members.
708.8	Certification of Vote on Merger Proposal.
708.9	Completion of Merger.

AUTHORITY: Sec. 120, 73 Stat. 635 (12 U.S.C. 1764) and Sec. 209, 84 Stat. 1014 (12 U.S.C. 1789).

§ 708.0 Scope.

This part prescribes the procedures that enable one or more credit unions to merge with a single continuing credit union where at least one of the merging credit unions or the continuing credit union is a Federal credit union or a federally-insured state credit union.

§ 708.1 Definitions.

(a) As used herein:

(1) The continuing credit union is that credit union which will continue in operation after the merger.

(2) The merging credit union is that credit union which will cease to exist as an operating credit union at the time of the merger.

(3) State credit union means any credit union organized and operated according to the laws of any state, the several territories and possessions of the United States, the Panama Canal Zone, or the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, or any credit union organized and operating under the jurisdiction of the Department of Defense and in compliance with the

requirements of Title I of the Federal Credit Union Act and regulations issued thereunder. Accordingly, state means the appropriate regulatory or supervisory authority for any such credit union.

§ 708.2 When permissible.

(a) When the requirements enumerated herein have been met, merger may be effected if:

(1) There has been compliance with National Credit Union Administration chartering policies (where the continuing credit union is a Federal credit union); or

(2) Permitted by state law or authorized by the state supervisory authority (where the continuing or merging credit union is a state credit union).

(b) In any case where the continuing credit union is federally-insured, and the merging credit union is not insured, a determination shall be made by the Administrator as to the potential risk to the National Credit Union Share Insurance Fund (NCUSIF).

§ 708.3 Special provisions for National Credit Union share insurance.

(a) Where the continuing credit union is not federally-insured, but the share accounts of the merging credit union are so insured, such insurance ceases as of the effective date of the merger. Members of the merging credit union shall be notified accordingly prior to any required voting activity to approve the merger.

(b) When a credit union's insurance is terminated in accordance with paragraph (a) of this section, it is not entitled to a rebate of premiums, but the continuing credit union shall be entitled to a refund of the unused portion of the premiums.

(c) Where the merging credit union is federally-insured and the continuing credit union is not so insured, but desires to be insured as of the date of the merger, an application shall be submitted to the Administrator when the merging credit union requests his approval of the merger proposal.

(d) An insurance premium will be assessed on the additional share accounts insured as a result of the merger. The amount of the premiums will be prorated as of the effective date of the merger on these additional share accounts.

§ 708.4 Preparation of merger plan.

(a) Upon the approval of a proposition for merger by the boards of directors of credit unions, a plan for the proposed merger shall be prepared. The plan shall include:

- (1) Current financial reports;
- (2) Current delinquent loan schedules annotated to reflect collection problems;
- (3) Combined financial report;
- (4) Analyses of share values;
- (5) Explanation of any proposed share adjustments;
- (6) Explanation of any provisions for reserves, undivided earnings or dividends;
- (7) Provisions with respect to notification and payment of creditors;

(8) Explanation of any changes relative to insurance of member accounts;

(9) Provisions for insuring that all assets and liabilities of the continuing credit union will conform with the requirements of the Act (where the continuing credit union is a Federal credit union); and

(10) Proposed charter amendments (where the continuing credit union is a Federal credit union).

§ 708.5 Submittal of merger proposal to Administration.

(a) Upon approval of the merger plan by the boards of directors of the credit unions, the following information will be submitted to the Regional Director:

(1) The merger plan, as described in this part;

(2) Resolution of the boards of directors;

(3) Proposed Merger Agreement;

(4) Proposed Notice of Special Meeting of the Members (for merging Federal credit unions);

(5) Copy of the form of Ballot to be sent to the Members (for the merging Federal credit unions);

(6) Evidence that the state's supervisory authority is in agreement with the merger proposal (for state credit unions); and

(7) Application and Agreements for Insurance of Member Accounts (for continuing state credit unions desiring to become federally-insured).

(b) The Regional Director will review the proposal and forward it, with his recommendations, to the Administrator.

§ 708.6 Approval of merger proposal by Administrator.

(a) If the Administrator finds that the merger proposal complies with this and other parts of these regulations, he may approve the proposal subject to such other specific requirements as may be prescribed to fulfill the intended purposes of the proposed merger.

(b) Any proposed charter amendments for a continuing Federal credit union will be approved contingent upon the completion of the merger.

§ 708.7 Approval of the merger proposal by members.

(a) When the merging credit union is a Federal credit union, the members shall:

(1) Have the right to vote on the merger proposal in person at the annual meeting, if within 120 days after the Administrator's approval, or at a special meeting to be called within 120 days of such approval, or by mail ballot post-marked no later than the date and time announced for the annual meeting or the special meeting called for that purpose.

(2) Be given advance notice of the meeting at which the merger proposal is to be submitted, in accordance with the provisions of Article V, Meetings of Members, Federal Credit Union Bylaws. The notice shall:

(i) Specify the purpose of the meeting and time and place;

(ii) Include a summary of the merger plan, which shall contain, but not necessarily be limited to, current financial reports for each credit union, a combined

financial report for the continuing credit union, analyses of share values, explanation of any proposed share adjustments, explanation of any changes relative to insurance of member accounts;

(iii) State reasons for the proposed merger;

(iv) Provide name and location (to include branches) of the continuing credit union;

(v) Inform the members that they have the right to vote on the merger proposal in person at the meeting or by written ballot to be postmarked no later than the date and time announced for the annual meeting or the special meeting called for that purpose; and

(vi) Be accompanied by a Ballot for Merger Proposal.

(b) The merger proposal of a merging Federal credit union must be approved by affirmative vote of a majority of the members of the credit union who vote on the proposal in a vote in which at least 20 per centum of the total membership of the credit union participates.

§ 708.8 Certification of vote on merger proposal.

The board of directors of the merging Federal credit union shall promptly certify the results of the membership vote to the Regional Director.

§ 708.9 Completion of merger.

(a) Upon approval of the merger proposal by the Administrator, and by the members of each credit union where required, action may be taken to complete the merger. The boards of directors shall:

(1) Promptly certify the completion of the merger to the Regional Director; and

(2) Forward, along with the certification, the following documents:

(i) Financial reports for each credit union before the completion of merger;

(ii) A financial report for the continuing credit union after the completion of merger;

(iii) The charters of merging Federal credit unions; and

(iv) Insurance certificates, for merging federally-insured credit unions.

(b) If the Administrator is satisfied that the merger has been accomplished in accordance with the approved plan, he shall cancel the charters of the Federal credit unions which have lost their identity in the merger.

[FR Doc.75-5803 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

Title 14—Aeronautics and Space

CHAPTER I—FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

[Airspace Docket No. 74-WA-3]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration and Expansion of Terminal Control Area at Atlanta, Georgia

On May 7, 1974, a notice of proposed rule making (NPRM) was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (39 FR 16157)

stating that the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) was considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would alter the Atlanta, Ga., Terminal Control Area (TCA).

The NPRM was in two parts. The first involved expansion of the TCA vertically to 12,500 feet MSL and horizontally to 35 miles. The second involved lowering of airspace in an east and west extension in order to accommodate parallel Instrument Landing System (ILS) approaches. The Air Transport Association (ATA) and an individual commenter endorsed the overall proposal. Aircraft Owners and Pilots Association (AOPA) opposed both parts of the proposal. National Business Aircraft Association (NBAA) suggested the proposed expansion be tabled until more research was undertaken on the complex subject of airspace usage. Over a hundred individual commenters responded.

Concern was expressed over such subjects as: compression of traffic below TCA floors; increased controller workload; preference for climb and/or descent corridors; the economic burden caused by purchase of required new equipment; circumnavigation of the expanded airspace by nonparticipating aircraft; feeling that the expansion was unjustified and unnecessary; the difficulty encountered by pilots in obtaining clearance through TCAs; the thought that the "see-and-be-seen" principle should be the sole basis for separation; an increase in noise and pollution levels due to lowering of the floor and the statement that FAA was violating its own "Keep-'em-High" Program, and the belief that sky diving, aerobatics and soaring will be severely affected.

The first three objections were discussed in detail when the general air traffic rules for operation within TCAs were adopted in Docket No. 9880 (35 FR 7782) effective June 25, 1970. It is not considered necessary to discuss them further at this point.

While there will be additional costs involved in the purchase of the required new equipment, the safety and air traffic control efficiency gained will far outweigh the cost to the affected users. Additionally, the cost of compliance should decrease as manufacturers respond to the need for the new equipment.

The new equipment is a transponder and an encoding altimeter that enables the transponder to continuously transmit the altitude of the aircraft to the surface radar displays in our air traffic control facilities. Use of this equipment eliminates much conversation on busy communication frequencies where pilot and controller are in voice communication. It also furnishes vital altitude information to controllers in situations where the pilot is not in radio communication with the ground system. In effect, with the use of this automatic altitude reporting equipment, our current two-dimensional radar becomes three-dimensional—furnishing the controller with continuous distance, bearing and altitude information.

Concern was raised over circumnavigation of the expanded airspace by nonparticipating aircraft. Some operational restrictions will be encountered by nonparticipating aircraft; however, these restrictions are considered to be the minimum restraints necessary to achieve the desired operational advantages. Any restrictions imposed by the expansion should be far outweighed by the resultant increase in safety.

The question of justification of the expansion was raised. Due to increased air traffic around major air terminals and the resultant midair collision potential a system of positive control was instituted around these locations called Terminal Control Areas (TCAs). There is clear evidence of a decided reduction in the number of incidents occurring where TCAs are now established.

It is recognized that potential for mid-air collision continues to exist between jet aircraft controlled by ATC and uncontrolled aircraft operating between the tops of existing TCAs and 18,000 feet MSL. The mandatory transponder rule (after July 1, 1975) together with expansion of the TCA to 12,500 feet MSL would create a positive controlled environment where the high-performance jet aircraft could be contained during the critical climb and descent phase of flight.

It is acknowledged that pilots wishing to traverse a TCA with an aircraft equipped with the required equipment will occasionally experience deviation, delay, or even refusal to enter a TCA. These factors are due to particular air traffic situations at given times.

Concerning the "seen-and-be-seen" principle, collision avoidance is based upon the pilot's ability to see and avoid other traffic. This results in a dual responsibility—with ATC responsible for separation of IFR aircraft as they relate to each other and the IFR pilot assuming responsibilities for separation from the "see-and-avoid" traffic. The risk of a midair collision in any given segment of airspace is related to the number of aircraft therein, and, of those aircraft, the proportion that are relying solely upon seeing and avoiding other traffic, the weather conditions, the operating characteristics of the aircraft, the dispersal of aircraft with the given airspace, and whether the aircraft are climbing, descending, or in cruise.

Concern was raised over possible increases in noise and air pollution as a result of lowering a portion of the 3,500-foot floor east and west of the airport and the statement the FAA was violating its own "Keep-'em-High" Program. The reasons for lowering east and west portions of the TCA floor were discussed in the notice. The ATC procedures required for conducting simultaneous parallel ILS approaches require the use of the floor altitudes as proposed. The change in floor altitudes will now contain these vector patterns and altitudes, thus reducing the possibility of arrivals having to exist the TCA. The lowering of the floor will not alter procedures currently used, and will, therefore, cause no change in noise and air pollution patterns.

The question of restrictions to sky diving, soaring and aerobatic activity was raised. Since the airspace in question is presently controlled airspace and will remain so, jump activities could continue to be conducted in accordance with those provisions of FAR 105 which pertain to parachute jumping in controlled airspace. The jump aircraft of course, while within the TCA itself, would have to comply with the equipment requirements contained in FARs 91.24 and 91.90 to the same extent as other aircraft. Any soaring and aerobatic activity that has been conducted above 8,000 feet MSL beyond the 20-mile arc of the TCA or in the east and west extensions will be affected.

A parallel exists between the airplane and the automobile in that added growth in each mode necessitates additional controls and restrictions. These controls are necessary in order to achieve the desired degree of safety. The FAA feels obligated to provided this degree of safety to aircraft operating in the airspace between the tops of existing TCAs and 18,000 feet MSL as is presently provided to aircraft flying in existing TCA airspace and above 18,000 feet MSL.

The FAA believes the expansion vertically to 12,500 feet MSL and horizontally to 35 miles is warranted. However, the expansion will be initially instituted on a one-year test basis to determine whether or not permanent action is justified. The test period will run from April 24, 1975, to April 23, 1976.

The ceilings of Areas A, B, C and D in the rule differ from the notice in that they reflect 8,000 feet MSL instead of 12,500 feet MSL. Area E is now described as that airspace extending upward from 8,000 feet MSL to and including 12,500 feet MSL within a 35-mile radius of the Atlanta Airport. There is no change in the total quantity of airspace affected nor is there any change in operating procedures involved.

It is determined that this change is of such minor nature that notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0901 G.m.t., April 24, 1975, as hereinafter set forth.

§ 71.401 [Amended]

In § 71.401(A) (40 FR 640) is amended to read:

ATLANTA, GA. (TCA)

Primary Airport: Atlanta Airport (Lat. 33°-38'31" N., Long. 84°25'34" W.)

Boundaries:

Area A. That airspace extending upward from the surface to and including 8,000 feet MSL within a 7-mile radius of the Atlanta Airport, excluding the Fulton County Control Zone and the airspace north of a line four miles north of and parallel to the extended centerline of Runways 8/26.

Area B. That airspace extending upward from 2,500 feet MSL to and including 8,000 feet MSL within a 12-mile radius of the Atlanta Airport, and that airspace between the 12-mile and 20-mile radii, bounded on the north by the 090° and 270° radials of the Rex VOR and on the south by the 091° and 271° radials of the Atlanta VORTAC, excluding Area A, the Fulton County Control Zone, and the airspace north of a line four miles north

of and parallel to the extended centerline of Runways 8/26.

Area C. That airspace extending upward from 3,500 feet MSL to and including 8,000 feet MSL within a 20-mile radius of the Atlanta Airport, excluding Area A, Area B, and the airspace north of a line one mile south of and parallel to the 271° and 091° radials of the Fulton County VOR.

Area D. That airspace extending upward from 6,000 feet MSL to and including 8,000 feet MSL north of the Atlanta Airport bounded on the east by a 20-mile radius arc from the Atlanta Airport, on the south by a line one mile south of and parallel to the 271° and 091° radials of Fulton County VOR, on the west by a 20-mile radius arc from the Atlanta Airport, and on the north by the southern boundary of the area described as the Atlanta, Ga., (Dobbins AFB/NAS Atlanta Control Zone) and the 260° radial of Norcross VOR east of the Atlanta, Ga. (Dobbins AFB/NAS Atlanta Control Zone).

Area E. That airspace extending upward from 8,000 feet MSL to and including 12,500 feet MSL within a 35-mile radius of the Atlanta Airport.

(Sec. 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)) and Sec. 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c))).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on February 27, 1975.

F. L. CUNNINGHAM,
Acting Chief, Airspace and
Air Traffic Rules Division.

[FR Doc.75-5236 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 75-SO-18]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Redesignation of Control Zone

The purpose of this amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to redesignate the Eglin AF Aux No. 3 (Duke Field), Fla., control zone.

The Eglin AF Aux No. 3 (Duke Field) control zone is described in § 71.171 (40 F.R. 354) and is designated part time, with effective times of 0930 to 1730 hours, local time, Monday; 0730 to 2300 hours, local time, Tuesday through Friday, and 0900 to 1700 hours, local time, Saturday and Sunday. A change in the daily operations at Duke Field necessitates a change in the effective times of the control zone to 1100 to 1900 hours, local time, Monday; 0800 to 2400 hours, local time, Tuesday and Wednesday; 1100 to 1900 hours, local time, Thursday; 0900 to 0100 hours, local time, Friday; 0900 to 1700 hours, local time, Saturday, and 0800 to 2400 hours, local time, Sunday. It is necessary to amend the description to reflect this change. Since this amendment is minor in nature, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0901 G.m.t., March 10, 1975, as hereinafter set forth.

§ 71.171 [Amended]

In § 71.171 (40 FR 354), the Eglin AF Aux No. 3 (Duke Field), Fla., control zone is amended as follows:

" * * * 0930 to 1730 hours, local time, Monday; 0730 to 2300 hours, local time, Tuesday through Friday, and 0900 to 1700 hours, local time, Saturday and Sunday * * * " is deleted and " * * * 1100 to 1900 hours, local time, Monday; 0800 to 2400 hours, local time, Tuesday and Wednesday; 1100 to 1900 hours, local time, Thursday; 0900 to 0100 hours, local time, Friday; 0900 to 1700 hours, local time, Saturday, and 0800 to 2400 hours, local time, Sunday * * * " is substituted therefor.

(Sec. 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)) and of Sec. 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c))).

Issued in East Point, Ga., on February 20, 1975.

PHILLIP M. SWATEK,
Director, Southern Region.

[FR Doc.75-5732 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 74-CE-28]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Control Zone

On Page 44776 of the FEDERAL REGISTER dated December 27, 1974, the Federal Aviation Administration published a notice of proposed rule making which would amend § 71.171 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to alter the control zone of Dubuque, Iowa.

Interested persons were given 30 days to submit written comments, suggestions or objections regarding the proposed amendment.

No objections have been received and the proposed amendment is hereby adopted without change and is set forth below.

This amendment shall be effective 0901 G.m.t., April 24, 1975.

(Sec. 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348), and of Section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655 (c)))

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on February 11, 1975.

GEORGE R. LACAILLE,
Acting Director, Central Region.

§ 71.171 [Amended]

In § 71.171 (40 FR 354), the following control zone is amended to read:

DUBUQUE, IOWA

Within a 5-mile radius of Dubuque Municipal Airport (latitude 42°24'10" N., longitude 90°42'25" W.); within 3 miles each side of the Dubuque VORTAC 321° radial, extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 8 miles northwest of the VORTAC; and within 3 miles each side of the Dubuque VORTAC 126° radial, extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 8 miles southeast of the VORTAC; within 3 miles each side of the Dubuque VORTAC 182° radial, extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 8 miles south of the VORTAC. This control zone is effective during the specific dates and times established in advance by a Notice to Airmen. The effective date and time will thereafter be continuously published in the Airman's Information Manual.

[FR Doc. 75-5733 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 74-CE-33]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Designation and Alteration of Transition Area

On Pages 44776 and 44777 of the FEDERAL REGISTER dated December 27, 1974, the Federal Aviation Administration published a notice of proposed rule making which would amend § 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to designate a transition area at Charleston, Missouri, and alter the transition area at Cape Girardeau, Missouri.

Interested persons were given 30 days to submit written comments, suggestions or objections regarding the proposed amendment.

No objections have been received and the proposed amendment is hereby adopted without change and is set forth below.

This amendment shall be effective 0901 G.m.t., April 24, 1975.

(Section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348), and of Section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c))).

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on February 11, 1975.

GEORGE R. LACAILLE,
Acting Director, Central Region.

§ 71.181 [Amended]

In § 71.181 (40 FR 441), the following transition area is added:

CHARLESTON, MISSOURI

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 6.5-mile radius of the Mississippi County Airport (latitude 36°50'22" N., longitude 89°21'42" W.); and within 3 miles each side of the 188° bearing from Charleston RBN (latitude 36°50'42" N., longitude 89°21'24" W.), extending from the 6.5-mile radius area to 8.5 miles south of the RBN, excluding that portion overlying the Sikeston transition area.

In § 71.181 (40 FR 441), the following transition area is amended to read:

CAPE GIRARDEAU, MISSOURI

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 10-mile radius of Cape Girardeau Municipal Airport (latitude 37°13'31" N., longitude 89°34'15" W.); within 4.5 miles east and 9.5 miles west of the Cape Girardeau VOR 194° radial, extending from the 10-mile radius area to 18.5 miles south of the VOR, excluding the portion which overlies the Sikeston transition area.

[FR Doc.75-5734 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 74-CE-29]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Designation of Transition Area

On page 44776 of the FEDERAL REGISTER dated December 27, 1974, the Federal Aviation Administration published a

Notice of Proposed Rule Making which would amend § 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to designate a transition area at Hampton, Iowa.

Interested persons were given 30 days to submit written comments, suggestions or objections regarding the proposed amendment.

No objections have been received and the proposed amendment is hereby adopted without change and is set forth below.

This amendment shall be effective 0901 G.m.t., April 24, 1975.

(Section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348), and of Section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c))).

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on February 11, 1975.

GEORGE R. LACAILLE,
Acting Director, Central Region.

§ 71.181 [Amended]

In Section 71.181 (40 FR 441), the following transition area is added:

HAMPTON, IOWA

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a five (5) mile radius of the Hampton Municipal Airport (latitude 42°43'35" N., longitude 98°13'35" W.); excluding that airspace which overlies the Mason City, Iowa transition area.

[FR Doc.75-5735 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 74-CE-27]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Transition Areas

On Pages 44034 and 44035 of the FEDERAL REGISTER dated December 20, 1974, the Federal Aviation Administration published a Notice of Proposed Rule Making which would amend § 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to revoke and redesignate controlled airspace within the State of Kansas except west of Longitude 99°04'00" W., north of V216, V4-108 and V132 east, and in accomplishing this end to amend the Colby, Kansas, Phillipsburg, Kansas, and Hugo, Colorado, transition areas to eliminate reference to airspace within the State of Kansas.

Interested persons were given 30 days to submit written comments, suggestions or objections regarding the proposed amendment.

No objections have been received and the proposed amendment is hereby adopted without change and is set forth below.

This amendment shall be effective 0901 G.m.t., April 24, 1975.

(Section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348), and of Section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c))).

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on February 11, 1975.

GEORGE R. LACAILLE,
Acting Director, Central Region.

§ 71.181 [Amended]

In § 71.181 (40 FR 441), the following transition areas are amended by deleting references to that airspace extending upward from 1200 feet above the surface.

KANSAS

Anthony	Larned
Chanute	Lawrence
Colby	Liberal
Dodge City	Manhattan
Emporia	Oswego
Fort Scott	Parsons
Garden City	Phillipsburg
Goodland	Pittsburg
Great Bend	Pratt
Hays	Russell
Hutchinson	Salina
Independence	Topeka
Kansas City	Wichita

In § 71.181 (40 FR 441), the following 1200' transition areas would be amended to now read as follows:

COLBY, KANS.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 5½ mile radius of Colby Municipal Airport (latitude 39°25'30" N., longitude 101°02'40" W.); and within 3 miles each side of the 017° bearing from Colby Municipal Airport, extending from the 5½ mile radius area to 8 miles north of the airport and that airspace extending upward from 1200' above the surface within 4½ miles east and 9½ miles west of the 017° and 197° bearings to/from the Colby Municipal Airport extending from one mile south to 18½ miles north of the airport.

GOODLAND, KANS.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 7-mile radius of Renner Field-Goodland Municipal Airport (latitude 39°22'10" N., longitude 101°41'55" W.); and within 5 miles each side of the Goodland VORTAC 163° radial, extending from the 7-mile radius area to 12 miles south of the VORTAC; and that airspace extending upward from 1,200' above the surface within 5 miles each side of the Goodland VORTAC 343° radial extending from the north edge of V4 and V132 to 12 miles north of the VORTAC.

PHILLIPSBURG, KANS.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 7-mile radius of the Phillipsburg Municipal Airport (latitude 39°44'15" N., longitude 99°19'00" W.); and within 3 miles each side of the 142° bearing from Phillipsburg Municipal Airport, extending from the 7-mile radius area to 10½ miles southeast of the airport; and that airspace extending upward from 1,200' above the surface within 4½ miles northeast and 9½ miles southwest of the 142° bearing from the Phillipsburg Municipal Airport extending from the airport to the north edge of V216.

HUGO, COLORADO

That airspace south of Hugo, Colo., VOR extending upward from 8,500 feet MSL, bounded on the north by V-108S, on the northeast by V-263, on the south by V-210, and on the west by V-19E and that airspace east of Hugo, extending upward from 9,500 feet MSL, bounded on the north by V-4, on the east by V-17, on the southeast by V-216, on the southwest by V-263, and on the northwest by V-169, excluding the airspace within Federal airways, the Pueblo and Colorado Springs, Colo., transition areas and that portion within the state of Kansas.

In § 71.181 (39 FR 440), the following transition area is added:

KANSAS

That airspace extending upward from 1,200' above the surface within the state of Kansas excluding that portion west of Longitude 99°04'00" W., North of V216, V4-108, V132 East.

[FR Doc.75-5736 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 74-CE-26]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Transition Areas

On Pages 44035 and 44036 of the FEDERAL REGISTER dated December 20, 1974, the Federal Aviation Administration published a Notice of Proposed Rule Making which would amend § 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to revoke and redesignate controlled airspace within the State of Missouri and alter the Marion, Illinois, and Blytheville, Arkansas, transition area designations to eliminate reference to airspace within the State of Missouri.

Interested persons were given 30 days to submit written comments, suggestions or objections regarding the proposed amendment.

No objections have been received and the proposed amendment is hereby adopted without change and is set forth below.

This amendment shall be effective 0901 G.m.t., April 24, 1975.

(Section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348), and of Section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c))).

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on February 11, 1975.

GEORGE R. LACAILLE,
Acting Director, Central Region.

§ 71.181 [Amended]

In § 71.181 (40 FR 401), the following transition areas are amended by deleting references to that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface.

MISSOURI

Cape Girardeau	Moberly
Columbia	Neosho
Dexter	Nevada
Farmington	Perryville
Festus	Point Lookout
Fort Leonard Wood	Poplar Bluff
Higginsville	Readsville
Joplin	St. Joseph
Kaiser	St. Louis
Kansas City	Sedalia
Kirksville	Sikeston
Lebanon	Springfield
Malden	Trenton
Mexico	Vichy

MARION, ILLINOIS

That portion lying in the state of Missouri.

BLYTHEVILLE, ARKANSAS

That portion lying in the state of Missouri.

In § 71.181 (40 FR 401), the following transition area is added:

MISSOURI

That airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface within the boundary of the state of Missouri.

[FR Doc.75-5737 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 74-CE-20]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Designation of Transition Area

On Pages 41994 and 41995 of the FEDERAL REGISTER dated December 4, 1974, the Federal Aviation Administration published a Notice of Proposed Rule Making which would amend § 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to designate a transition area at Bonneville, Missouri.

Interested persons were given 30 days to submit written comments, suggestions or objections regarding the proposed amendment.

No objections have been received and the proposed amendment is hereby adopted without change and is set forth below.

This amendment shall be effective 0901 G.m.t., April 24, 1975.

(Section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348), and of Section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c))).

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on February 11, 1975.

GEORGE R. LACAILLE,
Acting Director, Central Region.

§ 71.181 [Amended]

In § 71.181 (40 FR 441), the following transition area is added:

BOONEVILLE, MISSOURI

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a five-mile radius of the Jesse Viertel Airport (latitude 38°56'50" N., longitude 92°41'19" W.); and within three miles each side of the 011° bearing from the Jesse Viertel Airport, extending from the five-mile radius area to eight miles north of the airport; and that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface within an area north of the Jesse Viertel Airport bounded on the south by the north edge of V4; on the northwest by the southeast edge of V424; and on the northeast by the southwest edge of V175, excluding the portion which overlies the Moberly, Missouri transition area; and that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface within an area south of the Jesse Viertel Airport bounded on the north by the southwest edge of V12S; on the east by the west edge of V63, excluding the portion which overlies the Columbia, Missouri transition area; on the south by the north edge of V234; and on the west by the east boundary of the Sedalia, Missouri transition area.

[FR Doc.75-5738 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 74-EA-95]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Transition Area

On page 2824 of the FEDERAL REGISTER for January 16, 1975, the Federal Aviation Administration published a proposed rule which would alter the Sussex, N.J., Transition Area (40 FR 599).

Interested parties were given 30 days after publication in which to submit writ-

ten data or views. No objections to the proposed regulations have been received.

In view of the foregoing, the proposed regulation is hereby adopted, effective 0901 G.m.t. April 24, 1975.

(Sec. 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749 (49 U.S.C. 1348)), and sec. 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)))

Issued in Jamaica, N.Y., on February 20, 1975.

JAMES BISPO,
Acting Director, Eastern Region.

§ 71.181 [Amended]

Amend § 71.181 of Part 71 Federal Aviation Regulations so as to alter the description of the Sussex, N.J. 700-foot floor transition area by deleting, "This transition area is effective from sunrise to sunset, daily."

[FR Doc.75-5740 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 74-EA-94]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE AND REPORTING POINTS

Designation of Transition Area

On page 2825 of the FEDERAL REGISTER for January 16, 1975, the Federal Aviation Administration published a proposed rule which would designate a Corry, Pa., Transition Area.

Interested parties were given 30 days after publication in which to submit written data or views. No objections to the proposed regulation have been received.

In view of the foregoing, the proposed regulation is hereby adopted, effective 0901 G.m.t. March 20, 1975.

(Sec. 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749); (49 U.S.C. 1348) and sec. 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)))

Issued in Jamaica, N.Y., on February 18, 1975.

JAMES BISPO,
Acting Director, Eastern Region.

§ 71.181 [Amended]

Amend § 71.181 of Part 71, Federal Aviation Regulations by adding the Corry, Pennsylvania 700-foot floor Transition Area as follows:

CORRY, PENNSYLVANIA

The airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 5-mile radius of the center, lat. 41°54'30" N., long. 79°38'30" W. of Lawrence Airport, Corry, Pennsylvania and within 3 miles each side of the 305° bearing from the Corry RBN (lat. 41°54'44" N., long. 79°38'54" W.) extending from the 5-mile radius area to 8.5 miles northwest of the RBN.

[FR Doc.75-5741 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 74-EA-78]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE AND REPORTING POINTS

Amendment to Docket

The Federal Aviation Administration issued the subject docket so as to alter

the Hudson, N.Y., Transition Area, effective March 13, 1975. A recent replot of the NDB final approach course for the standard instrument approach procedure disclosed the true bearing should have been 194° in lieu of 191°.

Since the amendment is minor in nature, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary and the amendment may be made effective in less than 30 days.

In view of the foregoing, Docket 74-EA-78 is amended, effective March 5, 1975, as follows:

1. In the description of the Hudson, N.Y., Transition Area, delete the figure "191°" where it appears and insert in lieu thereof "194°".

(Sec. 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348) and Sec. 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)))

Issued in Jamaica, N.Y., on February 14, 1975.

JAMES BISPO,
Acting Director, Eastern Region.
[FR Doc.75-5742 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

[Docket No. 13841; Amdt. No. 121-117]

PART 121—CERTIFICATION AND OPERATIONS: DOMESTIC, FLAG, AND SUPPLEMENTAL AIR CARRIERS AND COMMERCIAL OPERATORS OF LARGE AIRCRAFT

Requirements for Use of X-Ray Devices

The purpose of this amendment to Part 121 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to prescribe requirements governing the use of X-ray devices to inspect carry-on baggage and other items in accordance with approved security programs required by § 121.538.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment by a notice of proposed rule making (Notice 74-22) published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on June 21, 1974 (39 FR 22275). Due consideration has been given to all comments presented in response to the notice.

Most of the comments received were in accord with the proposal, but certain of them recommended several changes to the proposed amendment.

The Notice proposed to require, for FAA approval of an X-ray system to be used for the inspection of carry-on baggage, that the system meet those standards prescribed by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 21 CFR 1020.40, regardless of the date the system was manufactured. However, upon further consideration of the proposal, in light of comments received, the agency has concluded that the rule as adopted should be consistent with the regulations of the FDA. Accordingly, the proposal has been changed in this amendment to require a showing of compliance with the provisions of 21 CFR 1020.40 for those X-ray systems manufactured on or after April 25, 1974. For systems manufactured prior to April 25, 1974, the proposal has been changed to require a showing that it

complies with either (1) the FDA guidelines as published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of August 8, 1973 (38 FR 21442) or (2) the provisions in 21 CFR 1020.40.

In paragraph (a) (4) two examples of the kind of personnel dosimeter acceptable for use have been added parenthetically for purposes of clarification. In addition, that paragraph has been changed from the proposal to provide for the evaluation of dosimeters at the end of each calendar month, rather than every 30 days, in response to comments which suggested such a change for administrative reasons.

The proposal has also been changed by adding in paragraph (b) of this amendment a requirement for a radiation survey to be made of each X-ray system within the 6 calendar months preceding its use in order to ensure that it is performing safely. In addition, the proposal to require a radiation survey to be made each time an X-ray system is moved to a new location has been revised (paragraph (c) of this amendment) to provide for an exception to the survey requirement, when it is shown to the satisfaction of the Administrator that the particular system is so designed as to be capable of being moved without altering its performance.

The FAA considers reasonable and appropriate the proposal (paragraph (e) of this amendment) to require a sign to be posted in a conspicuous place which notifies passengers that carry-on baggage and items are being inspected by an X-ray system and advises them to remove X-ray, and scientific film from their carry-on baggage and other items before inspection. Consistent with the intent of the proposal, and in response to comments received, a provision has been added to paragraph (e) to ensure that all carry-on photographic equipment and film packages are physically inspected without exposure to an X-ray system, if the passenger requests such an inspection.

The FAA believes the use of X-ray systems facilitates the security inspection of passenger carry-on baggage and serves to discourage potential hijackers from attempting to smuggle weapons and other dangerous articles aboard aircraft. The FDA guidelines and standards provide performance requirements for radiation attenuation, safety interlock systems, warning devices, and instructions. These criteria should be sufficient to prevent harmful radiation emissions due to unsafe design or system malfunction.

The FDA regulations require a means that ensures the presence of an operator in a position which permits surveillance of the ports and doors during generation of X-ray radiation. The FAA believes this requirement will provide adequate protection against any person climbing on the baggage conveyor belt and being exposed to radiation from the X-ray system.

In addition, the FAA believes this amendment will ensure adequate monitoring of X-ray systems, since it requires each system to meet FDA performance

criteria, requires a radiation survey of each system at least every 6 months, and requires each operator of a system to wear a personnel dosimeter.

As pointed out in the notice, any person who knows of the use of an X-ray system by a Part 121 certificate holder to inspect carry-on baggage or items that does not comply with that certificate holder's security program, as approved under § 121.538, or comply with the provisions of § 121.538a, may report the matter to any FAA regional or district office. The FAA will investigate each alleged violation reported and take appropriate administrative or enforcement action in accordance with the procedures set forth in 14 CFR Part 13. If deemed appropriate, the FAA may request advice and assistance from the Food and Drug Administration or any other government agency in the conduct of its investigation.

(Secs. 313(a), 601, and 604 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958; (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, and 1424) Sec. 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act; (40 U.S.C. 1655(c)))

In consideration of the foregoing, and for the reasons stated in Notice 74-22, Part 121 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended effective April 4, 1975, by adding after § 121.538 a new § 121.538a to read as follows:

§ 121.538a Use of X-ray system.

(a) No certificate holder may use an X-ray system to inspect carry-on baggage or items unless specifically authorized under an approved security program required by § 121.538 or use such a system contrary to its approved security program. The Administrator authorizes a certificate holder to use an X-ray system for inspecting carry-on baggage or items, under an approved security program, if the certificate holder shows that:

(1) For a system manufactured prior to April 25, 1974, it meets either the guidelines issued by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), Department of Health, Education, and Welfare and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (38 FR 21442, August 8, 1973; or the performance standards for cabinet X-ray systems designed primarily for the inspection of carry-on baggage issued by the FDA and published in 21 CFR 1020.40 (39 FR 12985, April 10, 1974);

(2) For a system manufactured after April 24, 1974, it meets the standards for cabinet X-ray systems designed primarily for the inspection of carry-on baggage issued by the FDA and published in 21 CFR 1020.40 (39 FR 12985, April 10, 1974);

(3) A program for initial and recurrent training of operators of the system has been established, which includes training in radiation safety, the efficient use of X-ray systems, and the identification of weapons and other dangerous articles;

(4) Procedures have been established to ensure that each operator of the system will be provided with a personnel dosimeter (such as a film badge or thermo luminescent dosimeter), each

RULES AND REGULATIONS

CHAPTER II—CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

SUBCHAPTER A—ECONOMIC REGULATIONS

[Regulation ER-900, Amdt. 96]

PART 288—EXEMPTION OF AIR CARRIERS FOR MILITARY TRANSPORTATION

Amendment of Part

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C. February 28, 1975.

In accordance with established procedure and methodology, the Board, having completed its review of fuel prices for foreign and overseas MAC air transportation services as of February 1, 1975, is herein amending the surcharge provisions in Part 288 of its Economic Regulations (14 CFR Part 288) applicable to the rates established for those services.¹

Appendices A and B² set forth the results of our computations of reported fuel price changes as of February 1, 1975, for both commercial and military fuel, based upon application of the "active stations" methodology to fuel consumption reported for the quarter ended September 30, 1974; and the rate impact of the change in average fuel prices from that reflected in the base rates. Based on these computations, we will revise the fuel surcharge rates effective March 1, 1975, as follows: (a) decrease the long-range Category B and Category A rate from 1.53 to 1.51 percent; (b) increase the Pacific interisland short-range Category B rate from 1.72 to 1.73 percent; and, (c) increase the "all other" short-range Category B rate from 1.93 to 2.05 percent.

In view of the continuing need for a fuel surcharge to the minimum rates set forth in Part 288, we find good cause exists to make the within amendments effective on less than thirty (30) days' notice.

In consideration of the foregoing, the Board hereby amends Part 288 of its Economic Regulations (14 CFR Part 288) effective March 1, 1975 as follows:

1. Amend § 288.7(a) by amending the third proviso following the tables to read as follows:

§ 288.7 Reasonable level of compensation.

(a) * * * : *Provided, however,* That effective March 1, 1975, the total minimum compensation pursuant to the rates set forth in subparagraph (1) above for (1) services performed with regular jet, wide-bodied jet, and DC-8F-61/63 air-

¹ ER-896, effective January 17, 1975.

² Filed as part of original document.

³ The surcharge provisions for services performed with B-727 aircraft will be applied to all other common-rated aircraft types.

dosimeter used will be evaluated at the end of each calendar month, and records of operator duty time and the results of dosimeter evaluations will be maintained by the certificate holder; and

(5) The system has the capability of distinguishing an insulated 24-gauge, solid copper wire.

(b) No certificate holder may use an X-ray system, unless within the preceding 6 calendar months a radiation survey has been conducted which shows that the system meets the applicable performance standards in 21 CFR 1020.40 or guidelines published by the Food and Drug Administration in the FEDERAL REGISTER of August 8, 1973 (38 FR 21442).

(c) No certificate holder may use an X-ray system after the system is initially installed or after it has been moved from one location to another, unless a radiation survey is conducted which shows that the system meets the applicable performance standards in 21 CFR 1020.40 or guidelines published by the Food and Drug Administration in the FEDERAL REGISTER of August 8, 1973 (38 FR 21442); except that a radiation survey is not required for an X-ray system that is moved to another location, if the certificate holder shows that the system is so designed that it can be moved without altering its performance.

(d) No certificate holder may use an X-ray system that is not in full compliance with any defect notice or modification order issued for that system by the Food and Drug Administration, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, unless that Administration has advised the FAA that the defect or failure to comply is not such as to create a significant risk or injury, including genetic injury, to any person.

(e) No certificate holder may use an X-ray system to inspect carry-on baggage or items, unless a sign is posted in a conspicuous place which notifies passengers that such items are being inspected by an X-ray system and advises them to remove all X-ray and scientific film from their carry-on baggage and items before inspection. If the X-ray system exposes any carry-on baggage or item to more than one milliroentgen during the inspection, the certificate holder shall post a sign which advises passengers to remove film of all kinds from their carry-on baggage and items before inspection. If requested by a passenger, his photographic equipment and film packages shall be physically inspected without exposure to an X-ray system.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on February 26, 1975.

ALEXANDER P. BUTTERFIELD,
Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-5747 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

craft, (ii) Pacific interisland services performed with B-727 aircraft, and (iii) all other services performed with B-727 aircraft shall be increased by surcharges of 1.51 percent, 1.73 percent, and 2.05 percent, respectively.³

2. Amend § 288.7(d) by amending the proviso to subparagraphs (1) and (2) to read as follows:

§ 288.7 Reasonable level of compensation.

(d) For category A transportation

(1) * * *

(2) * * *

Provided, That effective March 1, 1975, the total minimum compensation pursuant to the rates specified in paragraphs (d) (1) and (2) of this section shall be increased by a surcharge of 1.51 percent.

(Secs. 204, 403 and 416 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended; 72 Stat. 749, 758 and 771 as amended; (49 U.S.C. 1324, 1373 and 1386).)

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

Adopted: February 28, 1975.

Effective: March 1, 1975.

[SEAL] PHYLLIS T. KAYLOR,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-5823 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

Title 21—Food and Drugs

CHAPTER I—FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

SUBCHAPTER J—RADIOLOGICAL HEALTH

PART 1002—RECORDS AND REPORTS

Sunlamps and Medical Ultraviolet Lamps

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs is amending 21 CFR 1002.61 by adding a new paragraph (a) (5) which lists sunlamps and certain types of medical ultraviolet lamps in the specific product group for which initial and model change reports are required under §§ 1002.10 and 1002.12. He is also amending 21 CFR 1002.10 requiring for newly listed products already introduced into commerce, the same 90-day submittal period originally allowed when the current reporting regulations became effective.

These amendments, which were proposed in a notice published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of July 8, 1974 (39 FR 24913), will become effective April 4, 1975.

Interested persons were given until September 6, 1974, to file written comments with the Hearing Clerk, Food and Drug Administration, regarding the proposal.

Comments were received from five

manufacturers of sunlamps and medical ultraviolet lamps. These comments and the Commissioner's conclusions are summarized as follows:

1. One comment suggested using the degree of medical hazard posed by product emission rather than the manufacturer's intended use of the product as the criterion for listing sunlamps and medical ultraviolet lamps in the group of specific products subject to the reporting requirements of §§ 1002.10 and 1002.12.

The Commissioner observes that such an approach would eliminate the reporting of products emitting light in the wavelength region below 320 nanometers (nm), which pose no medical hazard, but would include those products that emit large amounts of light below 320 nm and thus pose a medical hazard even though such emissions were not part of the manufacturer's stated intent. The Commissioner concludes that the use of medical hazard as the criterion for reporting is inappropriate, because the extent of hazard for a given product may not be known. One function of the requirement is to accumulate product information for comparison with the constantly increasing, but presently incomplete, knowledge of possible hazards. The Commissioner believes that the applicability of the reporting requirement can be best determined by noting whether emissions below 320 nm are intended to irradiate the body for any of the four specified purposes. Intent in this case is determined both by the statements of the manufacturer and by product design.

2. One comment stated that sunlamps should not be subject to the reporting requirements of § 1002.10 because they do not emit ionizing radiation or present an unreasonable hazard.

The Commissioner disagrees with the implication in the comment that only ionizing radiation can pose a real hazard and that ultraviolet radiation is strictly non-ionizing. The Food and Drug Administration is changed by statute with protecting the public health and safety from the hazards of both ionizing and non-ionizing radiation generated by electronic products (42 U.S.C. 263c(1)). The list of specific product groups under § 1002.61 presently includes products which emit ionizing radiation as well as products which emit non-ionizing radiation. Sunlamps usually emit a considerable amount of visible light. However, they also emit invisible light, particularly below 320 nm, which the used may not recognize as presenting a hazard.

3. One comment noted that in the preamble to the proposal it was stated that information is needed on the design and emission characteristics of ultraviolet lamps, including sunlamps, to enable the Bureau of Radiological Health to evaluate the need for promulgating a radiation safety performance standard for such lamps. The comment contended that, because of the possible inflexibility of use for physicians in the case of medical ultraviolet lamps, and due to the existence of criteria for cosmetic type sunlamps set forth by the Council on

Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation of the American Medical Association, no performance standard for such lamps would appear to be needed, nor would there be a need for the amendment to include these products in § 1002.61(a) requiring reports from manufacturers.

The Commissioner concludes that it is important and necessary to obtain information on the inherent characteristics of these lamps to identify any radiation hazard accompanying the use of such products. The Commissioner will consider any comments concerning a performance standard in his evaluation of the need for and development of such a standard.

4. Several comments concerned the supporting data on file with the Food and Drug Administration Hearing Clerk, compiled by the National Electronic Injury Surveillance System. These data include several thousand sunlamp-related injuries per year. The comments attributed the injuries to product misuse and disregard of the caution notices, warning signs, and instructions for safe use provided by the manufacturers. The manufacturers should not, therefore, be burdened with the proposed reporting requirements.

The Commissioner recognizes the potential for product misuse but concludes that, in the interest of public health and safety, the continued occurrence of such injuries warrants an examination of the effectiveness of manufacturers' warnings and consideration of the need for built-in product safety features.

For purposes of general clarification, some modifications have been made in § 1002.61(a) (5) to make the subject matter of the amendment more readily apparent.

No environmental impact statement or environmental impact analysis report is required pursuant to 21 CFR 6.1(b) and (c), because these amendments will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment. Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Public Health Service Act, as amended by the Radiation Control for Health and Safety Act of 1968 (sec. 360A, 82 Stat. 1182-1184; (42 U.S.C. 2631)) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner, Part 1002 of Subchapter J is amended as follows:

1. In § 1002.10 by revising the introductory text to read as follows:

§ 1002.10 Initial reports.

Every manufacturer of a product listed under § 1002.61, shall submit an initial report to the Director, Bureau of Radiological Health, Food and Drug Administration, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20852, in accordance with this section. The report shall be submitted within 90 days following the effective date of listing such product under § 1002.61 or prior to the introduction of such product into commerce, whichever is later. The report shall be distinctly marked "Initial Report of (Name of Manufacturer)" and shall:

2. In § 1002.61 by adding a new paragraph (a) (5) to read as follows:

§ 1002.61 List of specific product groups.

(a) . . .

(5) Ultraviolet lamps, including sunlamps, and products containing such lamps intended for irradiation of any part of the living human body by light of wavelength in air less than 320 nanometers to induce skin "tanning" or other cosmetic change or to perform a diagnostic or therapeutic function.

Effective date. This order shall become effective April 4, 1975.

(Sec. 360A, 82 Stat. 1182-1184; (42 U.S.C. 2631))

Dated: February 26, 1975.

SAM D. FINE,
Associate Commissioner
for Compliance.

[FR Doc.75-5777 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

Title 24—Housing and Urban Development

CHAPTER II—OFFICE OF ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR HOUSING PRODUCTION AND MORTGAGE CREDIT—FEDERAL HOUSING COMMISSIONER (FEDERAL HOUSING ADMINISTRATION)

SUBCHAPTER B—MORTGAGE AND LOAN INSURANCE PROGRAMS UNDER THE NATIONAL HOUSING ACT

[Docket No. R-75-320]

MULTIFAMILY HOUSING MORTGAGE INSURANCE

Eligibility Requirements for Existing Multifamily Housing; Interim Rule

Part 207 is being amended by adding a new § 207.32a entitled "Eligibility of mortgages on existing projects." The amendment will implement section 223 (f) of the National Housing Act, which was added by section 311(a) of the "Housing and Community Development Act of 1974", Pub. L. 93-383, effective August 23, 1974, to provide mortgage insurance for the purchase or refinancing of existing multifamily housing projects, whether conventionally financed or subject to federally insured mortgages at the time of application for mortgage insurance pursuant to the new rule.

Because of the present inadequacy of available mortgage funds to finance the construction of housing projects, it has been determined that it would be in the public interest to provide, through mortgage insurance, an additional method of obtaining permanent mortgage financing for multifamily rental housing projects which have been constructed with conventional financing not insured under a Federal Housing Administration program of mortgage insurance. The implementation of section 311(a) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 by this regulation will make available an additional source of permanent financing to owners of existing projects and projects under construction by attracting lenders who might not otherwise make loans without the availability of

the mortgage insurance. The new regulation will also permit mortgage insurance for mortgages which will enable the purchase or refinancing of existing multifamily rental housing projects which are over three years old even though they do not require rehabilitation. Prior to the enactment of section 311(a) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, Part 207 required, as a condition for mortgage insurance, that a project involve either new construction or rehabilitation. It is considered that implementation of the authority to insure mortgages executed in connection with the purchase or refinancing of existing multifamily rental housing projects will favorably affect the attractiveness of housing as an investment medium. Therefore, it has been determined that good cause exists for making this amendment to Part 207 effective, as an interim rule, on March 10, 1975.

Parts 220, 227, 231 and 234 are also being amended to exclude § 207.32a from incorporation by reference into those Parts. Mortgages to be insured pursuant to section 223(f) will be eligible for insurance only under Part 207.

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the final rule by submitting written data, views or statements regarding this rule. Communications should be filed using the above docket number and title, with the Rules Docket Clerk, Office of General Counsel, Room 10245, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20410. All relevant material received on or before April 10, 1975, will be considered by the Secretary before adoption of a final rule. Copies of comments submitted will be available during business hours, both before and after the specified closing date, at the above address, for examination by interested persons.

PART 207—MULTIFAMILY HOUSING MORTGAGE INSURANCE

Accordingly, Part 207 is amended as follows:

1. The table of contents is amended to include a new section numbered 207.32a and designated, *Eligibility of mortgages on existing projects*, as follows:

Sec.

207.32a Eligibility of mortgages on existing projects.

2. The following new section is added and designated as § 207.32a:

§ 207.32a Eligibility of mortgages on existing projects.

Notwithstanding the generally applicable requirement that mortgages insured under this subpart be limited to projects to be constructed or substantially rehabilitated after commitment for mortgage insurance, a mortgage executed in connection with the purchase or refinancing of an existing multifamily housing project containing twenty-five or

more rental units may be insured under this subpart pursuant to section 223(f) of the Act. A mortgage insured pursuant to this section shall meet all other requirements of this part except as modified by this section and shall be limited as to amount, terms, and conditions for insurance as follows:

(a) *Application, commitments, inspection and required fees.* (1) *Application.* An application for a conditional or firm commitment for insurance of a mortgage on a project shall be submitted by the sponsor and an approved mortgagee. Such application shall be submitted to the local HUD office on an FHA approved form. No application shall be considered unless accompanied by the exhibits required by the form. An application involving a purchase transaction may, at the option of the applicant, be submitted for a firm commitment omitting the conditional commitment stage; an application involving a refinancing transaction may be submitted only for firm commitment. An application may be made only for a commitment which provides for the insurance of the mortgage upon completion of the improvements.

(2) *Application fee—conditional commitment.* An application-commitment fee of \$2 per thousand dollars of the requested mortgage amount shall accompany an application for conditional commitment.

(3) *Application fee—firm commitment.* An application for firm commitment shall be accompanied by an application-commitment fee of \$3 per thousand dollars of the requested mortgage amount to be insured less the amount of any fee previously received for a conditional commitment.

(4) *Inspection fee.* No inspection fee will be required.

(b) *Maximum mortgage amounts.* A mortgage may involve a principal obligation not in excess of the lesser of the following:

(1) 80 percent of the Commissioner's estimate of value of the project;

(2) The total of the amounts per family dwelling unit (excluding exterior land improvements as defined by the Commissioner) depending on the number of bedrooms which may be:

- (i) \$13,000 without a bedroom.
- (ii) \$18,000 with one bedroom.
- (iii) \$21,500 with two bedrooms.
- (iv) \$26,500 with three bedrooms.
- (v) \$30,000 with four or more bedrooms.

(A) *Increased mortgage amount—elevator type structures.* In order to compensate for the higher cost incident to construction of elevator type structures of sound standards of construction and design, the Commissioner may increase the dollar amount limitations per family unit as provided in paragraph (b)(2) of this section, not to exceed:

- (1) \$15,000 without a bedroom,
- (2) \$21,000 with one bedroom,
- (3) \$32,250 with three bedrooms,
- (4) \$25,750 with two bedrooms,
- (5) \$36,465 with four or more bedrooms.

(B) *Increased mortgage amount—high cost areas.* (1) In any geographical area where the Commissioner finds cost levels so require, the Commissioner may increase, by not to exceed 45 percent, the dollar amount limitations set forth in paragraphs (b)(2) and (b)(2)(A) of this section. (2) If the Commissioner finds that because of high costs in Alaska, Guam, or Hawaii it is not feasible to construct dwellings without the sacrifice of sound standards of construction, design, and livability within the limitations of maximum mortgage amounts provided in this section, the principal obligation of mortgages may be increased in such amounts as may be necessary to compensate for such costs, but not to exceed in any event the maximum, including high cost area increases, if any, otherwise applicable by more than one-half thereof.

(3) *Property to be acquired.* If the project is to be acquired by the mortgagor and the purchase price is to be financed with the insured mortgage, the maximum mortgage amount shall not exceed 85 percent of the cost of acquisition as determined by the Commissioner.

(4) *Property subject to an existing mortgage.* If the mortgagor owns the project subject to an outstanding indebtedness, which is to be refinanced by the insured mortgage, the maximum mortgage amount shall not exceed the sum of the following:

(i) The amount required to pay off the existing indebtedness, as determined by the Commissioner.

(ii) An amount, as determined by the Commissioner, for the initial deposit for the Reserve Fund for Replacements.

(iii) Reasonable and customary fees and charges by the mortgages as may be approved by the Commissioner, and,

(iv) The estimated repair cost, if any, as determined by the Commissioner.

(c) *Maturity.* The term of the mortgage shall not be less than 10 years, nor shall it exceed the lesser of 35 years or 75 percent of the estimated remaining economic life of the physical improvements. The term of the mortgage shall begin on the first day of the second month following the date of initial-final endorsement of the mortgage for insurance.

(d) *Eligible property.* A mortgage given to purchase or refinance an existing project shall be eligible for insurance if such project contains at least twenty-five dwelling units. The project shall have attained sustaining occupancy (occupancy that would produce rental income sufficient to pay operating expenses, annual debt service and reserve fund for replacement requirements), as determined by the Commissioner, prior to endorsement of the mortgage for insurance, or the mortgagor shall provide an operating deficit fund at the time of endorsement for insurance, in an amount, and under an agreement, approved by the Commissioner. In addition to the other requirements of this section, the project must also meet one of the following requirements:

(1) Prior to the time of filing an application for mortgage insurance, the project shall have been fully completed and at least three years must have elapsed from the date of completion, or initial occupancy, as determined by the Commissioner, whichever is later, or

(2) Prior to June 30, 1974, construction of the project shall have commenced, and prior to December 31, 1975, an application for insurance shall have been filed and the project shall have been completed.

(e) *Refinancing eligible property in older, declining urban areas.* An existing project to be refinanced in an older, declining urban area, in addition to the requirement stated in paragraph (d) (1) of this section and the other requirements of this section, shall comply with the following conditions:

(1) The refinancing shall be used to lower the monthly debt service only to the extent necessary to assure the continued economic viability of the project as determined by the Commissioner taking into account any rent reductions to be implemented by the mortgagor; and

(2) The mortgagor shall agree that, during the mortgage term, no rental increases shall be made except those which are necessary to offset actual and reasonable operating expense increases or other necessary expense increases approved by the Commissioner.

(f) *Occupancy requirements.* The requirements contained in § 207.20(a) shall not apply to a mortgage insured pursuant to a commitment issued in accordance with this section if the Commissioner determines that the project is intended primarily for occupancy by the elderly or handicapped and is not compatible with occupancy by families with children.

PART 220—URBAN RENEWAL MORTGAGE INSURANCE AND INSURED IMPROVEMENT LOANS

Section 220.501(a) is amended by adding a new section number and heading as follows:

§ 220.501 Incorporation by reference.

(a) * * *

207.32a Eligibility of mortgages on existing projects.

PART 227—ARMED SERVICES HOUSING-IMPACTED AREAS (SEC. 810)

Section 227.1(b) (1) is amended by adding a new section number and heading as follows:

§ 227.1 Incorporation by reference.

(b) (1) * * *

207.32a Eligibility of mortgages on existing projects.

PART 231—HOUSING MORTGAGE INSURANCE FOR THE ELDERLY

Section 231.1(a) is amended by adding a new section number and heading as follows:

§ 231.1 Incorporation by reference.

(a) * * *

207.32a Eligibility of mortgages on existing projects.

PART 234—CONDOMINIUM OWNERSHIP MORTGAGE INSURANCE

Section 234.501(a) is amended by adding a new section number and heading as follows:

§ 234.501 Incorporation by reference.

(a) * * *

207.32a Eligibility of mortgages on existing projects.

(Sec. 7(d), Department of Housing and Urban Development Act; (42 U.S.C. 3535 (d)).)

Effective date. These amendments will be effective on March 10, 1975.

DAVID M. DEWILDE,
Acting Assistant Secretary for
Housing Production and Mortgage
Credit—Federal Housing
Commissioner.

[FR Doc.75-5798 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. R-75-320]

PART 207—MULTIFAMILY HOUSING MORTGAGE INSURANCE

Subpart B—Contract Rights and Obligations

MORTGAGE INSURANCE FOR EXISTING MULTIFAMILY HOUSING; INTERIM RULE

Part 207 is being amended to provide for a larger mortgage insurance premium for a one year period for loans to be insured under this part pursuant to section 223(f) of the National Housing Act. All of these loans will be insured pursuant to Commitments to Insure Upon Completion. There will be no inspection fee of one-half percent required for these loans as in regular 207. Due to actuarial considerations the first mortgage insurance premium shall be one percent of the average outstanding principal obligation of the mortgage during its first policy year, rather than the current one-half percent. The remaining mortgage insurance premiums shall be one-half of one percent of the average outstanding principal obligation for each year.

This amendment is being made in connection with the implementation of section 311(a) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 authorizing the Secretary to insure mortgages executed in connection with the purchase or refinancing of existing multifamily housing projects. Since it is con-

sidered that implementation of this authority will favorably affect the attractiveness of housing as an investment medium, it has been determined that good cause exists for making this amendment to Part 207 effective as an interim rule on March 10, 1975.

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the final rule by submitting written data, views or statements regarding this rule. Communications should be filed, using the above docket number and title, with the Rules Docket Clerk, Office of General Counsel, Room 10245, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20410. All relevant material received on or before April 10, 1975, will be considered by the Secretary before adoption of a final rule. Copies of comments submitted will be available during business hours, both before and after the specified closing date, at the above address, for examination by interested persons.

Accordingly, Part 207 is amended as follows:

1. The table of contents is amended to include a new section numbered 207.252b and designated, "Premiums—mortgages insured pursuant to section 223(f) of the Act", as follows:

207.252b Premiums—mortgages insured pursuant to section 223(f) of the Act.

2. The following new section is added and designated as 207.252b

§ 207.252b Premiums—mortgages insured pursuant to section 223(f) of the Act.

(a) The mortgagee, upon the initial final endorsement of the mortgage for insurance pursuant to a Commitment to Insure Upon Completion issued in accordance with § 207.32a, shall pay to the Commissioner a first mortgage insurance premium equal to one percent of the original face amount of the mortgage.

(b) The mortgagee, on the date of the first principal payment, shall pay a second premium equal to one percent of the average outstanding principal obligation of the mortgage for the year following such first principal payment date which shall be adjusted as of that date so that the aggregate of the first and second premiums shall equal the sum of one percent per annum of the average outstanding principal obligation of the mortgage for the period from the date of the insurance endorsement to one year following the date of the first principal payment.

(c) The provisions of paragraphs (d), (e) and (f) of § 207.252 shall apply to mortgages insured pursuant to section 223(f) of the Act.

(Sec. 7(d), Department of Housing and Urban Development Act; (42 U.S.C. 3535(d)).)

Effective date. This amendment will be effective on March 10, 1975.

DAVID M. DEWILDE,
Acting Assistant Secretary for
Housing Production and
Mortgage Credit—Federal
Housing Commissioner.

[FR Doc.75-5799 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

Title 45—Public Welfare

CHAPTER V—FOREIGN CLAIMS SETTLEMENT COMMISSION OF THE UNITED STATES

PART 503—PUBLIC INFORMATION

Revisions To Conform With Freedom of Information Act

The following, to become effective February 19, 1975, revise the Foreign Claims Settlement Commission regulations to conform the regulations to the requirements of the Freedom of Information Act as amended by Pub. L. 93-502, enacted November 21, 1974:

Sec.	
503.1	Organization and authority—Foreign Claims Settlement Commission.
503.2	Material to be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER pursuant to Pub. L. 89-487.
503.3	Effect of nonpublication.
503.4	Incorporation by reference.
503.5	Records generally available.
503.6	Current index.
503.7	Additional documents and records generally available for inspection and copying.
503.8	Effect of noncompliance.
503.9	Availability of records.
503.10	Actions on requests.
503.11	Appeal.
503.12	Exemptions.
503.13	Fees—policy and services available.
503.14	Fees for services.

AUTHORITY: The provisions of Part 503 issued under sec. 3, 81 Stat. 54 (7 U.S.C. 2243). Sec. 3 Administrative Procedure Act 60 Stat. 237, as revised by Pub. L. 89-487, 80 Stat. 250 as amended by Pub. L. 93-502, 88 Stat. 1661 (5 U.S.C. 552).

§ 503.1 Organization and authority—Foreign Claims Settlement Commission.

(a) The Foreign Claims Settlement Commission of the United States is an independent agency of the Federal Government created by Reorganization Plan No. 1 of 1954 (68 Stat. 1279), effective July 1, 1954. Its duties and authority are defined in the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949, as amended (64 Stat. 12 (22 U.S.C. 1621-1642)) and the War Claims Act of 1948 (62 Stat. 1240; (50 U.S.C. 2001-2016)).

(b) The Commission has jurisdiction to determine claims of U.S. nationals against foreign governments for compensation for losses and injuries sustained by such nationals, pursuant to programs which may be authorized under either of said Acts. Available funds have their sources in international settlements or liquidation of foreign assets in this country by the Department of Justice or Treasury, and from public funds when provided by the Congress.

(c) The three members of the Commission are appointed by the President with the advice and consent of the Senate to serve for 3-year terms of office as provided by the Act of October 22, 1963 (76 Stat. 1107 (50 U.S.C. 2001)). The President designates the Chairman.

(d) All functions of the Commission are vested in the Chairman with respect to the internal management of the af-

fairs of the Commission, including but not limited to: (1) The appointment of personnel employed under the Commission; (2) the direction of employees of the Commission and the supervision of their official duties; (3) the distribution of business among employees and organizational units under the Commission; (4) the preparation of budget estimates; and (5) the use and expenditures of funds of the Commission available for expenses of administration.

(e) The Chairman also has the control and direction of the Micronesia Claims Commission, established under the Micronesia Claims Act of 1971 (85 Stat. 92), for the purpose of settling certain war and post-secure claims of Micronesia inhabitants of the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands.

(f) Request for records shall be made in writing by mail or presented in person, to the Executive Director, Foreign Claims Settlement Commission.

(g) The offices of the Commission are located at 1111 20th Street NW (Vanguard Building), Washington, D.C. An information center for the convenience of the public is located on the fourth floor.

§ 503.2 Material to be published in the Federal Register pursuant to Pub. L. 89-487.

The Commission shall separately state and concurrently publish the following materials in the FEDERAL REGISTER for the guidance of the public:

(a) Descriptions of its central and field organization and the established places at which, the officers from whom, and the methods whereby, the public may secure information, make submittals or requests, or obtain decisions.

(b) Statements of the general course and method by which its functions are channeled and determined, including the nature and requirements of all formal and informal procedures available.

(c) Rules of procedure, descriptions of forms available or the places at which forms may be obtained, and instructions as to the scope and contents of all papers, reports, or examinations.

(d) Substantive rules of general applicability adopted as authorized by law, and statements of general policy or interpretations of general applicability formulated and adopted by the agency.

(e) Every amendment, revision, or repeal of the foregoing.

§ 503.3 Effect of nonpublication.

Except to the extent that a person has actual and timely notice of the terms thereof, no person shall in any manner be required to resort to, or be adversely affected by, any matter required to be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER and not so published.

§ 503.4 Incorporation by reference.

For purposes of this part, matter which is reasonably available to the class of persons affected thereby shall be deemed published in the FEDERAL REGISTER when incorporated by reference therein with

the approval of the Director of the Federal Register.

§ 503.5 Records generally available.

The Commission will make promptly available to any member of the public the following documents:

(a) Proposed and Final Decisions (including dissenting opinions) and all orders made with respect thereto;

(b) statements of policy and interpretations which have been adopted by the Commission which have not been published in the FEDERAL REGISTER; and

(c) a current index, which shall be updated at least quarterly, covering the foregoing material adopted, issued or promulgated after July 4, 1957. Publication of an index is deemed both unnecessary and impractical. However, copies of the index are available upon request for a fee of the direct cost of duplication.

§ 503.6 Current index.

The Commission shall maintain and make available for public inspection and copying, current indexes providing identifying information for the public as to any matter issued, adopted, or promulgated after July 4, 1967, as required to be indexed by 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(2).

§ 503.7 Additional documents and records generally available for inspection and copying.

The following kinds of documents are also available for inspection and copying at the public information center of the Commission:

(a) Rules of practice and procedure.

(b) Annual report of the Commission to the Congress of the United States.

(c) Bound volumes of Commission decisions.

(d) International Claims Settlement Act of 1949, with amendments; the War Claims Act of 1948, with amendments; and related Acts.

(e) Claims Agreements with foreign governments effecting the settlement of claims under the jurisdiction of the Commission.

(f) Press releases and other miscellaneous material concerning Commission operations.

(g) Indexes of claims filed under the various claims programs administered by the Commission.

§ 503.8 Effect of noncompliance.

No decision, statement of policy, interpretation, or staff manual or instruction that affects any member of the public will be relied upon, used, or cited, as precedent by the Commission against any private party unless it has been indexed and either made available or published as provided by this subpart, or unless that private party shall have actual and timely notice of the terms thereof.

§ 503.9 Availability of records.

(a) Each person desiring access to a record covered by this part must comply with the following provisions:

(1) A written request must be made for the record.

(2) Such request must indicate that it is being made under the Freedom of Information Act.

(3) The envelope in which the request is sent must be prominently marked with the letters "FOIA".

(4) The request must be addressed to the appropriate official or employee of the Commission as set forth in paragraph (c) of this section.

(5) The foregoing requirements must be complied with whether the request is mailed or hand-delivered to the Commission.

(b) If the requirements of paragraph (a) of the section are not met, the ten day time limit described in § 503.10(a) will not begin to run until the request has been identified by an official or employee of the Commission as a request under the Freedom of Information Act and has been received by the appropriate official or employee of the Commission.

(c) Each person desiring access to a record covered in this Part that is located in the Commission, or to obtain a copy of such a record, must make a written request to the Executive Director, Foreign Claims Settlement Commission, 1111 20th Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20579.

(d) Each request should reasonably describe the particular record requested. The request should specify the subject matter, the date when it was made and the person or office that made it. If the description is insufficient, the official or employee handling the request may notify the person making the request and, to the extent possible, indicate the additional data required.

(e) Each record made available under this section is available for inspection and copying during regular working hours. Original documents may be copied but may not be released from custody.

(f) Authority to administer this Part in connection with Commission records is delegated to the Executive Director or Commission employee acting in his stead.

§ 503.10 Actions or requests.

(a) The Executive Director or any employee acting in his stead shall determine within ten days (excepting Saturdays, Sundays and legal public holidays) after the receipt of any such request whether to comply with such request. Upon receipt of a request for a Commission record which is available, the Executive Director or any employee acting in his stead shall notify the requester at to the time the record is available, and shall promptly make the record available after advising such requester of the applicable fees under § 503.14. The person making such request shall be notified immediately after any adverse determination, the reasons for making such adverse determination and the right of such person to appeal.

(b) Any denial of a request for a record shall be written and signed by the Executive Director or Commission employee acting in his stead, including a statement for the reason of denial. Such statement shall contain, as applicable:

(1) A reference to the specific exemption under the Freedom of Information Act authorizing the withholding of a record, and to the extent consistent with the purpose of the exemption of how the exemption applies to the record withheld.

(2) If a record requested does not exist or has been legally disposed of, the requester shall be so notified.

(c) In unusual circumstances, the time limits prescribed in paragraph (a) may be extended by written notice to the person making such request setting forth the reasons for such extension and the date on which a determination is expected to be dispatched. No such notice shall specify a date that would result in an extension for more than ten working days. As used in this paragraph, "unusual circumstances" means, but only to the extent reasonably necessary to the proper processing of the particular request—

(1) the need to search for and collect the requested records from other establishments that are separate from the office processing the request;

(2) the need to search for, collect, and appropriately examine a voluminous amount of separate and distinct records which are demanded in a single request; or

(3) the need for consultation, which shall be conducted with all practicable speed, with another agency having a substantial interest in the determination of the request or among two or more components of the agency having substantial subject-matter interest therein.

(d) With respect to determinations on appeals, such determinations shall be made within twenty days (excepting Saturdays, Sundays, and legal public holidays) after the receipt of such appeal. If, on appeal, the denial of the request for records is in whole or in part upheld, the Commission shall notify the person making such request of the provisions for judicial review of that determination under section 552(a)(4) of title 5, U.S.C.

(e) The General Counsel is designated to make determinations on appeals.

§ 503.11 Appeal.

(a) Any person to whom a record has not been made available within the time limits established by paragraph (d) of § 503.10, and any person who has been given an adverse determination pursuant to paragraph (b) of § 503.10, that a record he has requested will not be disclosed, may apply to the General Counsel of the Commission, for reconsideration of the request. A determination that a record will not be disclosed is not administratively final for the purpose of judicial review unless it was made by the Executive Director (or his designee), or the General Counsel, as the case may be, unless the applicable time limit has passed without a determination of the appeal having been made.

(b) Each application for reconsideration must be made in writing within thirty days from the date of receipt of the original denial and must include all information and arguments relied upon by the person making the request. Such application must indicate that it is an

appeal from a denial of a request made under the Freedom of Information Act. The envelope in which the application is sent must be prominently marked with the letters "FOIA". If these requirements are not met, the twenty day time limit described in § 503.10 will not begin to run until the application has been identified by an employee of the Commission as an application under the Freedom of Information Act and has been received by the appropriate office.

(c) Whenever the Executive Director or the General Counsel, as the case may be, determines it to be necessary, he may require the person making the request to furnish additional information, or proof of factual allegations, and may order other proceedings appropriate in the circumstances. The decision of the Executive Director or the General Counsel, as the case may be, as to the availability of the record is administratively final.

(d) The decision by the Executive Director, or the General Counsel, as the case may be, not to disclose a record under this part is considered to be a withholding for the purposes of section 552(a)(3) of Title 5, U.S.C.

(e) Any final decision by the Executive Director or his delegee not to disclose a record under this part is subject to concurrence by the General Counsel.

§ 503.12 Exemptions.

In the event any document or record requested hereunder shall contain material which is exempt from disclosure under this section, any reasonably segregable portion of such record shall, notwithstanding such fact, and to the extent feasible, be provided to any person requesting same, after deletion of the portions which are exempt under this section. Documents or records determined to be exempt from disclosure hereunder may nonetheless be provided upon request in the event it is determined that the provision of such document would not violate the public interest or the right of any person to whom such information may pertain, and the disclosure is not prohibited by law or Executive Order. The following categories of records are exempt from disclosure under the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552(b):

(a) Records specifically required by Executive Order to be kept secret in the interest of the national defense or foreign policy and are in fact properly classified pursuant to such executive order. This exception may apply to records in the custody of the Commission which have been transmitted to the Commission by another agency which has designated the record as nonpublic under Executive Order.

(b) Records related solely to the internal personnel rules and practices of the Commission.

(c) Records specifically exempted from disclosure by statute.

(d) Information given in confidence. This includes information obtained by

or given to the Commission which constitutes confidential commercial or financial information, privileged information, or other information which was given to the Commission in confidence or would not customarily be released by the person from whom it was obtained.

(e) Interagency or intraagency memoranda or letters which would not be available by law to a private party in litigation with the Commission. Such communications include interagency memoranda, drafts, staff memoranda transmitted to the Commission, written communications between the Commission, the Executive Director, and the General Counsel, regarding the preparation of Commission decisions, other documents received or generated in the process of issuing a decision, or regulation, and reports and other work papers of staff attorneys, accountants, and investigators.

(f) Personnel and medical files and similar files, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

(g) Investigatory files compiled for law enforcement purposes but only to the extent that the production of such records would (1) interfere with enforcement proceedings; (2) deprive a person of a right to a fair trial or an impartial adjudication; (3) constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy; (4) disclose the identity of a confidential source and, in the case of a record compiled by a criminal law enforcement authority in the course of a criminal investigation, or by an agency conducting a lawful security intelligence investigation, confidential information furnished only by the confidential source; (5) disclose investigative techniques and procedure; or (6) endanger the life or physical safety of law enforcement personnel.

§ 503.14 Fees for services.

Unless otherwise waived by paragraphs (d) and (e) of this section, the following fees shall be imposed for the reproduction of any record disclosed pursuant to this part.

(a) Copying of records and documents. Twelve cents per copy for each page.

(b) Search fees. (1) clerical searches. \$1.25 for each quarter hour spent by clerical personnel searching for and producing a requested record or document, including time spent copying any record. (2) \$3 for each one quarter hour spent by professional or supervisory personnel searching for and producing a requested record, including time spent copying any record.

(c) Certification and validation of records. \$1 per certification or authentication.

(d) The Executive Director may reduce or waive payment of fees in whole or in part when he determines that such reduction or waiver is in the public interest because furnishing the information can be considered as primarily benefiting the general public, or unless the requester is a government agency or indigent.

(e) No fees will be charged (1) for time spent in examining the requested

records for the purpose of determining whether an exemption can and should be asserted, (2) for time spent in deleting exempt matters being withheld from records to furnish, (3) for time spent in monitoring a requester's inspection of agency records made available to him in this manner, or (4) for records not found or determined to be totally exempt from disclosure.

(f) Payment of fees under this part should be made by check or money order payable to the Treasury of the United States.

(g) Unless the request for services where fees are chargeable under this part, specifically states that whatever cost is involved will be acceptable, or acceptable up to a specified limit that covers anticipated costs, a request that is expected to involve assessed fees in excess of \$50 will not be deemed to have been received until the requester is advised promptly at the time of receipt of the request of the anticipated cost and agrees to bear it. When the anticipated fees exceed \$50, a deposit of \$25 must be made within 5 days of advising the requester of such costs.

(h) Transcripts of testimony and of oral argument taken by a private firm may be purchased directly from the reporting firm.

WAYLAND D. McCLELLAN,
General Counsel.

[FR Doc.75-5826 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

Title 47—Telecommunication

CHAPTER I—FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

PART 0—COMMISSION ORGANIZATION

Delegation of Authority; Correction

In the matter of amendment of Part 0 of the Commission's rules and regulations concerning delegations of authority to the Chief, Cable Television Bureau.

In the amendment to the above captioned Order, FCC 75-199, released February 24, 1975 (40 FR 7914), § 0.288(d) is corrected to read as follows:

§ 0.288 Authority delegated.

(d) To dismiss applications, as provided in §§ 76.20 and 78.21 of this chapter, or those applications or petitions which are not timely filed under the Commission's rules, not acceptable under the Commission's rules, or clearly moot;

Released: February 27, 1975.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,

[SEAL] VINCENT J. MULLINS,
Secretary

[FR Doc.75-5786 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

[FCC 75-213; Docket No. 20137]

PART 73—RADIO BROADCAST SERVICES Report and Order; Proceeding Terminated

In the Matter of Amendment § 73.202
(b), Table of Assignments, FM Broad-

cast Stations. (Charleston, West Virginia).

By the Commission: 1. The Commission here considers a Notice of Proposed Rule Making issued on its own motion proposing the deletion of Channel 253 from Charleston, West Virginia, in § 73.202(b) of the Commission rules, the FM Table of Assignments (39 FR 29937 (1974)).

2. As indicated in the Notice, Station WKNA, Charleston, was authorized to operate on Channel 253 prior to adoption of the FM Table of Assignments. When the Table was adopted, this station was grandfathered into the Table even though it was substantially short-spaced to co-channel Station WKPT-FM, Kingsport, Tennessee (which was also grandfathered). WKNA's license was forfeited on June 6, 1974 (46 F.C.C. 2d 635), and the Commission therefore proposed deletion of this short-spaced assignment. This would leave Charleston with four Class B assignments which, as was indicated in the Notice, would be consistent with our general population criteria.¹

3. Comments favoring this proposal have been received from Holston Valley Broadcasting Corporation, licensee of broadcast Station WKPT-FM. It indicates that the existent short-spacing stands in the way of improvement of its Class C facilities.²

4. Two petitions in opposition to the proposal have been filed, one by Ken Stephens and the other by Francis L. Blake and H. Leon Drye, Jr. Stephens, who would like to apply for use of Channel 253, argues:

Although short-spaced, these two assignments are separated by mountainous terrain with numerous peaks above 2000 feet, and at least 3 peaks of over 2500 feet in direct line-of-sight and one peak of 3700 feet in direct line-of-sight. With Charleston, West Virginia, at a 601 foot level, and Kingsport, Tennessee, at 1200 feet, the intervening terrain described above sufficiently mitigates, if indeed it does not eliminate entirely, any possibility of co-channel interference.

However, no engineering statement has been provided to support this position and, standing alone, it does not convince us to abandon our policy of requiring a minimum mileage separation between co-channel assignments.

5. Blake and Drye, arguing that short-spacing is not uncommon, cite the grandfathered short-spaced assignments of Channel 284 at Charlotte, North Carolina, and Columbia, South Carolina. In making new assignments, the Commission strictly adheres to its minimum separation requirements. However, stations that were authorized prior to adoption of the Table of Assignments were given special treatment and such assignments as were short-spaced were grandfathered. Nevertheless, where, as here, a

¹ The 1970 U.S. Census places Charleston's population at 71,505. For communities of 50,000 to 100,000, the criteria suggest 2 to 4 channels.

² WKPT-FM presently operates with 45 kilowatts ERP at an antenna height of 980 feet AAT. It could increase to 100 kilowatts ERP and 2000 feet AAT if the Charleston assignment is deleted.

short-spaced grandfathered assignment becomes unlicensed, it is no longer entitled to this special treatment. Therefore, in view of our policy against allowing substandard assignments, we believe that the public interest requires deletion of Channel 253 from Charleston.⁹

§ 73.202 [Amended]

6. Accordingly, pursuant to authority contained in sections 4(l), 303 (g) and (r) and 307(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, it is ordered, That effective April 7, 1975, the FM Table of Assignments, § 73.202(b) of the rules, is amended to read as follows for the city listed below:

City	Channel No.
Charleston, West Virginia	241, 248, 260, 274

7. It is further ordered, That this proceeding is terminated.

Adopted: February 19, 1975.

Released: February 26, 1975.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
[SEAL] VINCENT J. MULLINS,
Secretary.

NOTE.—Rules changes herein will be covered by T.S. III(72)–6.

[FR Doc.75–5788 Filed 3–4–75; 8:45 am]

Title 49—Transportation

CHAPTER I—DEPARTMENT OF
TRANSPORTATION

SUBCHAPTER B—OFFICE OF PIPELINE SAFETY
[Docket No. OPS–25; Amdts. 192–18 & 195–8]

PART 192—TRANSPORTATION OF NATURAL AND OTHER GAS BY PIPELINE: MINIMUM FEDERAL SAFETY STANDARDS

PART 195—TRANSPORTATION OF LIQUIDS BY PIPELINE

Welding Requirements

The purpose of this amendment is to improve the quality of welding performed on gas and liquid pipeline facilities under Parts 192 and 195, respectively. In Part 192, the amendment incorporates by reference sections 2.0, 3.0, and 6.0 of the 1973 (13th) edition of API Standard 1104, "Standard for Welding Pipe Lines and Related Facilities." Sections 3.0 and 6.0 of the 13th edition are incorporated by reference in Part 195. However, as discussed hereinafter, the standards in subsection 6.9 for depth of undercutting adjacent to the root bead governing acceptability of a weld are not adopted. The amendment also makes certain editorial modifications in the regulations for clarity. Other changes make the transition from the currently referenced 11th edition to the 13th edition less burdensome for operators and carriers.

On July 24, 1974, the Director, Office of Pipeline Safety (OPS), issued Notice 74–5 (39 FR 27589; July 30, 1974), proposing to make this amendment. Inter-

ested persons were invited to participate in the rule making by submitting written data, views, or arguments by August 21, 1974. The notice provided a relatively brief period for public comment because interested persons previously had been given an opportunity to comment on similar proposed rule changes in Notice 74–3 (39 FR 14220, April 22, 1974). This earlier notice, which proposed incorporation by reference of sections 2.0, 3.0, and 6.0 of the 1971 (12th) edition of API Standard 1104, was withdrawn by OPS in Notice 74–5 because the 12th edition was out of print.

Eighteen persons filed written comments in Docket OPS–25 in response to the invitation to participate. All commenters favored incorporation by reference of sections 2.0 and 3.0 of the 13th edition as proposed. Although a majority favored adoption of section 6.0 in its entirety, seven commenters objected to the method prescribed in subsection 6.9 for using radiography to measure the depth of undercutting adjacent to the root bead of a weld.

Unlike previous editions, the 13th edition includes depth of an internal undercut area as one of the criteria for determining whether a weld is acceptable. Under subsection 6.9 of the 13th edition, when using radiography alone, depth is determined by comparing the density of the film image of a defect with the density of the film image of an object of known thickness. This object is a shim-type comparator on which narrow V-shaped notches of specified depth are machined. When compared on a radiograph, the shade of the image of the narrow V-shaped notches in this comparator and the shade of the image of an undercut area of weld will show if the depth of the undercut is within acceptable limits.

Recognizing a possible difficulty in accurately comparing the images of the notches with the images of an undercut area on a radiograph, in Notice 74–5 OPS invited interested persons to comment on their experience in using the shim-type comparator to measure depth. In general, commenters indicated that the pipeline industry has had very little experience in using this comparator.

Some commenters who favored adoption of subsection 6.9 stated that because means other than radiography can be used to determine depth of undercutting, the use of the shim-type comparator would not be mandatory under subsection 6.9. This comment is only partially valid. Although other means are available to determine the depth of undercutting on the outside of pipe, there is no practical alternative to radiography in determining the depth of undercutting inside of pipe. Sonics cannot detect the difference between the defect called "wagon tracks" and undercutting. Other methods of nondestructive testing, including visual, would require personal contact with the weld inside the pipe. Personal contact is impossible on small diameter pipe and im-

practical on large diameter pipe if the weld is located too far from an open end for a person to reach by crawling into the pipe. In almost all cases, therefore, radiography is the only reasonable method available to determine the depth of undercutting at root beads inside pipe.

One commenter noted that depth of undercutting had been difficult to determine in a laboratory test using radiography and the shim-type comparator. OPS believes that this comment is indicative of the results which could be expected under field conditions where most pipeline welds are made.

Apart from the lack of experience by pipeline operators in using a shim-type comparator, OPS believes that difficulty in determining depth of undercutting by radiography occurs for several reasons. First, the tolerances specified in subsection 6.9 for the depth of undercutting are too small for easy determination by radiography in the field. Secondly, many variables are present in making a determination, including film density, film processing, misalignment, and change in pipe wall thickness. Thirdly, unless the radiation source is centered, a difference in densities along the length of film results in an accurate determination of depth of undercutting only where the shim is placed. Lastly, OPS believes that use of the shim-type comparator would result in many disagreements over the proper interpretation of a radiograph. Poor welds might be accepted and sound welds rejected. For these reasons, OPS has not adopted the depth of undercutting adjacent to a root bead as a standard of weld acceptability.

Two commenters suggested that the industry begin a testing program to verify the use of the shim-type comparator. OPS believes this is an excellent suggestion. The program could be carried out by selected companies or all companies during pipeline construction. Radiographs could be made using the shim-type comparator and the results could be documented. The legal standard of acceptability for internal undercutting would be the length dimensions prescribed in the 13th edition, but the results could be compared with the 13th edition's depth requirements to determine how many welds would have been accepted or rejected using the shim-type comparator. The documented results could be presented to OPS for evaluation of the need for further rulemaking.

Report of the Technical Pipeline Safety Standards Committee. Section 4(b) of the Natural Gas Pipeline Safety Act of 1968 requires that all proposed standards and amendments to such standards be submitted to the Committee and that the Committee be afforded a reasonable opportunity to prepare a report on the "technical feasibility, reasonableness, and practicability of each such proposal." This amendment to Part 192 was submitted to the Committee as Item 3 in a list of five proposed amendments. The Committee has made a favorable report which is set forth

⁹ See Lake Geneva, Wisconsin, 17 F.C.C. 2d 284 (1969) and; Portland, Tennessee, 35 F.C.C. 2d 601 (1972).

below. The Committee member who disagreed with the majority of the Committee on Item 3 did not submit a statement of his views.

JANUARY 17, 1975.

Memorandum to: The Secretary of Transportation, Attention: Joseph C. Caldwell, Director, Office of Pipeline Safety.
From: Secretary, Technical Pipeline Safety Standards Committee.

Subject: Proposed Changes to CFR Part 192, Minimum Federal Safety Standards for Transportation of Natural and Other Gases by Pipeline.

The following letter and attachments represent an official report by the Technical Pipeline Safety Standards Committee concerning the Committee's action related to five proposed amendments to 49 CFR Part 192, Minimum Federal Safety Standards for Transportation of Natural and Other Gases by Pipeline.

The Committee reviewed the proposals of the Office of Pipeline Safety at a meeting, held in Washington, D.C., on October 30 and 31, 1974, and through an informal balloting procedure recommended certain modifications, some of which were acceptable to the Office of Pipeline Safety. A formal ballot, reflecting the suggested changes, was prepared and distributed to the Committee members, by the undersigned on December 5, 1974.

Formal ballots have been submitted by all fourteen members of the Committee. The majority of the Committee approved all five items on the ballot as being technically feasible, reasonable, and practicable. Negative votes were cast by one member against Items 1, 2, and 3, by two members against Item 4 and by four members against Item 5. Another member, who had been unable to attend the meeting and participate in the discussions, abstained from voting.

Attachment A sets forth the minority opinions submitted in support of the negative votes on Items 4 and 5.

LOUIS W. MENDONSA.

In view of the improved safety criteria provided by this amendment and the short lead time necessary to prepare for compliance, I have determined that good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than 30 days after issuance.

In consideration of the foregoing, Parts 192 and 195 of Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations are amended to read as follows, effective March 20, 1975.

1. Section 192.225(a) is amended to read as follows:

§ 192.225 Qualification of welding procedures.

(a) Each welding procedure must be qualified under section IX of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code or section 2 of the 1973 edition of API Standard 1104, whichever is appropriate to the function of the weld, except that a welding procedure qualified under section 2 of the 1968 edition of API Standard 1104 before March 20, 1975, may continue to be used but may not be requalified under that edition.

2. Section 192.227(a) (2) is amended to read as follows:

§ 192.227 Qualification of welders.

(a) * * *

(2) The following editions of section 3 of API Standard 1104:

(i) The 1973 edition, except that a welder may be qualified by radiography under subsection 3.51 without regard for the standards in subsection 6.9 for depth of undercutting adjacent to the root bead; or

(ii) If a welder is qualified before March 20, 1975, the 1968 edition, except that a welder may not requalify under the 1968 edition.

3. Section 192.229(c) is amended to read as follows:

§ 192.229 Limitations on welders.

(c) A welder qualified under § 192.227 (a) may not weld unless within the preceding 6 calendar months the welder has had one weld tested and found acceptable under—

(1) Section 3 or 6 of the 1973 edition of API Standard 1104, except for the standards in subsection 6.9 for depth of undercutting adjacent to the root bead; or

(2) In the case of tests conducted before March 20, 1975, section 3 or 6 of the 1968 edition of API Standard 1104.

4. Section 192.241(c) is amended to read as follows:

§ 192.241 Inspection and test of welds.

(c) The acceptability of a weld that is nondestructively tested or visually inspected is determined according to the standards in section 6 of the 1973 edition of API Standard 1104, except for the standards in subsection 6.9 for depth of undercutting adjacent to the root bead.

5. Item II.A.8 of Appendix A of Part 192 would be amended to read as follows:

APPENDIX A—INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

II. Documents incorporated by reference.

A. American Petroleum Institute:

8. API Standard 1104 "Standard for Welding Pipe Lines and Related Facilities" (1968 and 1973 editions).

6. Section 195.222 is amended to read as follows:

§ 195.222 Welders: Testing.

Each welder must be qualified in accordance with one of the following editions of section 3 of API Standard 1104:

(a) The 1973 edition, except that a welder may be qualified by radiography under subsection 3.51 without regard for the standards in subsection 6.9 for depth of undercutting adjacent to the root bead; or

b) If a welder is qualified before March 20, 1975, the 1968 edition, except that a welder may not requalify under the 1968 edition.

7. Section 195.228 is amended to read as follows:

§ 195.228 Welds and welding inspection: Standards of acceptability.

Each weld and welding must be inspected to ensure compliance with the requirements of this subpart. Visual inspection must be supplemented by non-destructive testing. The acceptability of a weld is determined according to the standards in section 6 of the 1973 edition of API Standard 1104, except for the standards in subsection 6.9 for depth of undercutting adjacent to the root bead.

8. The table of section, § 195.228 is amended to read as follows:

Sec.
195.228 Welds and welding inspection: Standards of acceptability.

This amendment is issued under the authority of section 3 of the Natural Gas Pipeline Safety Act of 1968 (49 U.S.C. § 1672), sections 831-835 of Title 18, United States Code, section 6(e) (4) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(e) (4)), § 1.58(d) of the regulations of the Office of the Secretary of Transportation (49 CFR 1.58(d)), and the redelegation of authority to the Director, Office of Pipeline Safety, set forth in Appendix A to Part 1 of the regulations of the Office of the Secretary of Transportation (49 CFR Part 1).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on February 27, 1975.

JOSEPH C. CALDWELL,
Director,
Office of Pipeline Safety.

[FR Doc. 75-5807 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

Title 50—Wildlife and Fisheries

CHAPTER II—NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE, NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

PART 216—REGULATIONS GOVERNING THE TAKING AND IMPORTING OF MARINE MAMMALS

Procedures for Hearings on Proposed Regulations

The Marine Mammal Protection Act authorizes the Secretary to prescribe regulations and to waive the moratorium on the taking and/or importation of marine mammals and marine mammal products and, for such prescription or waiver, refers the Secretary to section 103 of the Act (16 U.S.C. 1373). Section 103(d) requires that regulations be made on the record after opportunity for an agency hearing on such regulations and, in the case of a waiver, on a determination by the Secretary to waive the moratorium.

On July 12, 1974, proposed regulations to govern hearings on the record as required by section 103 of the Act (16 U.S.C. 1373) were published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, 39 FR 25664-25667. Thirty days were provided for comments on the proposed regulations.

The only comment received was from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). The EPA suggested that provision be made for introducing the environmental impact statement into the record of the hearing. To comply with that suggestion, §§ 216.73(b)(6) and 216.85(b) have been amended. Furthermore, if an environmental impact statement is necessary, the statement will be considered when the Director determines the issues of fact published in the notice of hearing pursuant to § 216.73(b)(5).

Section 216.89 has also been amended to provide all interested persons an opportunity to comment on the presiding officer's recommended decision. All comments must be submitted on or before March 25, 1975.

Section 216.90 has been amended to provide for the Director's consideration of written comments on the recommended decision. In addition, the Director may remand the hearing record to the presiding officer for a fuller development of the record.

The following regulations are hereby published in final form to govern hearings on the record required by section 103 of the Marine Mammal Protection Act (16 U.S.C. 1373).

Effective date: These amendments shall be effective on March 5, 1975.

Dated: February 28, 1975.

JACK W. GEHRINGER,
Acting Director.

PART 216—SUBPART G—NOTICE AND HEARING ON SECTION 103 REGULATIONS

Sec.	
216.70	Basis and Purpose
216.71	Definitions
216.72	Scope of Regulations
216.73	Notice of Hearing
216.74	Notification by Interested Persons
216.75	Presiding Officer
216.76	Direct Testimony Submitted as Written Documents
216.77	Mailing Address
216.78	Inspection and Copying of Documents
216.79	Ex parte Communications
216.80	Prehearing Conference
216.81	Final Agenda of the Hearing
216.82	Determination to Cancel the Hearing
216.83	Rebuttal Testimony and New Issues of Fact in Final Agenda
216.84	Waiver of Right to Participate
216.85	Conduct of the Hearing
216.86	Direct Testimony
216.87	Cross-Examination
216.88	Oral and Written Arguments
216.89	Recommended Decision, Certification of the transcript and submission of comments on the recommended decision
216.90	Director's Decision

AUTHORITY: Title I of the Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972, 86 Stat. 1027 (16 U.S.C. 1361-1407), Pub. L. No. 92-522.

Subpart G—Notice and Hearing on § 103 Regulations

§ 216.70 Basis and purpose.

(a) Sections 101(a)(2), 101(a)(3)(A), and 101(b) (16 U.S.C. §§ 1371(a)(2), 1371(a)(3)(A), 1371(b) (1972)) of the

Act and these regulations authorize the Director, National Marine Fisheries Service, to (1) impose regulations governing the taking of marine mammals incidental to commercial fishing operations; (2) waive the moratorium and to adopt regulations with respect to the taking and importing of animals from each species of marine mammals under his jurisdiction; (3) prescribe regulations governing the taking of depleted marine mammals by any Indian, Aleut or Eskimo, respectively. In prescribing regulations to carry out the provisions of said sections, the Act refers the Director to § 103 (16 U.S.C. § 1373 (1972)). In accordance with § 103(d), regulations must be made on the record after opportunity for an agency hearing on such regulations and, in the case of a waiver, on the determination by the Director to waive the moratorium pursuant to section 101(a)(3)(A) (16 U.S.C. 1371(a)(3)(A) (1972)).

(b) The purpose of this subpart is to establish rules of practice and procedure for all hearings conducted pursuant to § 103(d).

§ 216.71 Definitions.

Definitions shall be the same as in subpart A of this Part except as follows:

(a) "Party" means, for the purposes of this subpart:

(1) The Director or his representative;

(2) A person who has notified the Director by specified dates of his or her intent to participate in the hearing pursuant to §§ 216.74 and 216.83(b).

(b) "Witness" means, for the purposes of this subpart, any person who submits written direct testimony on the proposed regulations.

A person may be both a party and a witness.

§ 216.72 Scope of regulations.

The procedural regulations in this subpart govern the practice and procedure in hearings held under § 103(d) of the Act. These hearings will be governed by the provisions of 5 U.S.C. § 556 and § 557 of the Administrative Procedure Act. The regulations shall be construed to secure the just, speedy, and inexpensive determination of all issues raised with respect to any waiver or regulation proposed pursuant to § 103(d) of the Act with full protection for the rights of all persons affected thereby.

§ 216.73 Notice of hearing.

(a) A notice of hearing on any proposed regulations shall be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, together with the Director's proposed determination to waive the moratorium pursuant to section 101(a)(3)(A) (16 U.S.C. § 1371(a)(3)(A)), where applicable.

(b) The notice shall state:

- (1) The nature of the hearing;
- (2) The place and date of the hearing. The date shall not be less than 60 days after publication of notice of the hearing;
- (3) The legal authority under which the hearing is to be held;

(4) The proposed regulations and waiver, where applicable, and a summary of the statements required by § 103(d) of the Act (16 U.S.C. § 1373(d));

(5) Issues of fact which may be involved in the hearing;

(6) If a draft Environmental Impact Statement is required, the date of publication of the draft and the place(s) where the draft and comments thereon may be viewed and copied;

(7) Any written advice received from the Marine Mammal Commission;

(8) The place(s) where records and submitted direct testimony will be kept for public inspection;

(9) The final date for filing with the Director a notice of intent to participate in the hearing pursuant to § 216.74;

(10) The final date for submission of direct testimony on the proposed regulations and waiver, if applicable, and the number of copies required;

(11) The docket number assigned to the case which shall be used in all subsequent proceedings; and

(12) The place and date of the prehearing conference.

§ 216.74 Notification by interested persons.

Any person desiring to participate as a party shall notify the Director, by certified mail, on or before the date specified in the notice.

§ 216.75 Presiding officer.

(a) Upon publication of the notice of hearing pursuant to § 216.73, the Director shall appoint a presiding officer pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 3105. No individual who has any conflict of interest, financial or otherwise, shall serve as presiding officer in such proceeding.

(b) The presiding officer, in any proceeding under this subpart, shall have power to:

- (1) Change the time and place of the hearing and adjourn the hearing;
 - (2) Evaluate direct testimony submitted pursuant to these regulations, make a preliminary determination of the issues, conduct a prehearing conference to determine the issues for the hearing agenda, and cause to be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER a final hearing agenda;
 - (3) Rule upon motions, requests and admissibility of direct testimony;
 - (4) Administer oaths and affirmations, question witnesses and direct witnesses to testify;
 - (5) Modify or waive any rule (after notice) when determining no party will be prejudiced;
 - (6) Receive written comments and hear oral arguments;
 - (7) Render a recommended decision; and
 - (8) Do all acts and take all measures, including regulation of media coverage, for the maintenance of order at and the efficient conduct of the proceeding.
- (c) In case of the absence of the original presiding officer or his inability to act, the powers and duties to be performed by the original presiding officer under this part in connection with a proceeding may, without abatement of

the proceeding, be assigned to any other presiding officer unless otherwise ordered by the Director.

(d) The presiding officer may upon his own motion withdraw as presiding officer in a proceeding if he deems himself to be disqualified.

(e) A presiding officer may be requested to withdraw at any time prior to the recommended decision. Upon the filing by an interested person in good faith of a timely and sufficient affidavit alleging the presiding officer's personal bias, malice, conflict of interest or other basis which might result in prejudice to a party, the hearing shall recess. The Director shall immediately determine the matter as a part of the record and decision in the proceeding, after making such investigation or holding such hearings, or both, as he may deem appropriate in the circumstances.

§ 216.76 Direct testimony submitted as written documents.

(a) Unless otherwise specified, all direct testimony, including accompanying exhibits, must be submitted to the presiding officer in writing no later than the dates specified in the notice of the hearing (§ 216.73), the final hearing agenda (§ 216.81), or within 15 days after the conclusion of the prehearing conference (§ 216.83) as the case may be. All direct testimony shall be in affidavit form and exhibits constituting part of such testimony, referred to in the affidavit and made a part thereof, must be attached to the affidavit. Direct testimony submitted with exhibits must state the issue to which the exhibit relates; if no such statement is made, the presiding officer shall determine the relevance of the exhibit to the issues published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(b) The direct testimony submitted shall contain:

- (1) A concise statement of the witness' interest in the proceeding and his position regarding the issues presented. If the direct testimony is presented by a witness who is not a party, the witness shall state his relationship to the party; and
- (2) Facts that are relevant and material.

(c) The direct testimony may propose issues of fact not defined in the notice of the hearing and the reason(s) why such issues should be considered at the hearing.

(d) Ten copies of all direct testimony must be submitted unless the notice of the hearing otherwise specifies.

(e) Upon receipt, direct testimony shall be assigned a number and stamped with that number and the docket number.

(f) Contemporaneous with the publication of the notice of hearing, the Director's direct testimony in support of the proposed regulations and waiver, where applicable, shall be available for public inspection as specified in the notice of hearing. The Director may submit additional direct testimony during the time periods allowed for submission of such testimony by witnesses.

§ 216.77 Mailing address.

Unless otherwise specified in the notice of hearing, all direct testimony shall be addressed to the Presiding Officer, c/o Director, National Marine Fisheries Service, Washington, D.C. 20235. All affidavits and exhibits shall be clearly marked with the docket number of the proceedings.

§ 216.78 Inspection and copying of documents.

Any document in a file pertaining to any hearing authorized by this subpart or any document forming part of the record of such a hearing may be inspected and/or copied in the Office of the Director, National Marine Fisheries Service, Washington, D.C. 20235—unless the file is in the care and custody of the presiding officer, in which case he shall notify the parties as to where and when the record may be inspected.

§ 216.79 Ex parte communications.

(a) After notice of a hearing is published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, all communications, whether oral or written, involving any substantive or procedural issue and directed either to the presiding officer or to the Director, Deputy Director or Marine Mammal Coordinator, National Marine Fisheries Service, without reference to these rules of procedure, shall be deemed ex parte communications and are not to be considered part of the record for decision.

(b) A record of oral conversations shall be made by the above persons who are contacted. All communications shall be available for public viewing at the place(s) specified in the notice of hearing.

(c) The presiding officer shall not consult any person or party on any fact in issue or on the merits of the matter unless notice and opportunity is given for all parties to participate.

§ 216.80 Prehearing conference.

(a) After an examination of all the direct testimony submitted pursuant to § 216.76, the presiding officer shall make a preliminary determination of issues of fact which may be addressed at the hearing.

(b) The presiding officer's preliminary determination shall be made available at the place or places provided in the notice of the hearing (§ 216.73(b)(8)) at least five days before the prehearing conference is held.

(c) The purpose of the prehearing conference shall be to enable the presiding officer to determine, on the basis of the direct testimony submitted and prehearing discussions:

- (1) Whether the presiding officer's preliminary determination of issues of fact for the hearing has omitted any significant issues;
- (2) What facts are not in dispute;
- (3) Which witnesses may appear at the hearing; and
- (4) The nature of the interest of each party and which parties' interests are adverse.

(d) Only parties may participate in the prehearing conference and a party may appear in person or be represented by counsel.

(e) Parties who do not appear at the prehearing conference shall be bound by the conference's determinations.

§ 216.81 Final agenda of the hearing.

(a) After the prehearing conference, the presiding officer shall prepare a final agenda which shall be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER within ten days after the conclusion of the conference. A copy of the final agenda shall be mailed to all parties.

(b) The final agenda shall list: (1) all the issues which the hearing shall address, the order in which those issues shall be presented, and the direct testimony submitted which bears on the issues; and (2) a final date for submission of direct testimony on issues of fact not included in the notice of hearing if such issues are presented. The final agenda may also specify a final date for submission of direct testimony to rebut testimony previously submitted during the time specified in the notice of the hearing.

(c) The presiding officer shall publish with the final agenda a list of witnesses who may appear at the hearing, a list of parties, the nature of the interest of each party, and which parties' interests are adverse on the issues presented.

§ 216.82 Determination to cancel the hearing.

(a) If the presiding officer concludes that no issues of fact are presented by the direct testimony submitted, the presiding officer shall publish such conclusion and notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER that a hearing shall not be held and shall also publish a date for filing written comments on the proposed regulations. Written comments may include proposed findings and conclusions, arguments or briefs.

(b) A person need not be a party to submit any written comments.

(c) Promptly after expiration of the period for receiving written comments, the presiding officer shall make a recommended decision based on the record, which in this case shall consist of the direct testimony and written comments submitted. He shall transfer to the Director his recommended decision, the record and a certificate stating that the record contains all the written direct testimony and comments submitted. The Director shall then make a final decision in accordance with these regulations (§ 216.90).

§ 216.83 Rebuttal testimony and new issues of fact in final agenda.

(a) Direct testimony to rebut testimony offered during the time period specified in the notice of hearing may be submitted pursuant to these regulations within fifteen days after the conclusion of the prehearing conference unless the presiding officer otherwise specifies in the final agenda.

(b) If the final agenda presents issues not included in the notice of the hearing published pursuant to § 216.73:

(1) Any person interested in participating at the hearing on such issues presented shall notify the Director by certified mail of an intent to participate not later than ten days after publication of the final agenda. Such person may present direct testimony or cross-examine witnesses only on such issues presented unless he previously notified the Director pursuant to § 216.74; and

(2) Additional written direct testimony concerning such issues may be submitted within the time provided in the final agenda. Such direct testimony will comply with the requirements of § 216.76.

§ 216.84 Waiver of right to participate.

Persons who fail to notify the Director pursuant to § 216.74 and § 216.83 shall be deemed to have waived their right to participate as parties in any part of the hearing.

§ 216.85 Conduct of the hearing.

(a) The hearing shall be held at the time and place fixed in the notice of hearing, unless the presiding officer changes the time or place. If a change occurs, the presiding officer shall publish the change in the FEDERAL REGISTER and shall expeditiously notify all parties by telephone or by mail: *Provided*, That if the change in time or place of hearing is made less than five days before the date previously fixed for the hearing, the presiding officer shall also announce, or cause to be announced, the change at the time and place previously fixed for the hearing.

(b) The presiding officer shall, at the commencement of the hearing, introduce into the record: the notice of hearing as published in the FEDERAL REGISTER; all subsequent notices published in the FEDERAL REGISTER; the draft Environmental Impact Statement if it is required and the comments thereon and agency responses to the comments; and a list of all parties. Direct testimony shall then be received with respect to the matters specified in the final agenda in such order as the presiding officer shall announce. With respect to direct testimony submitted as rebuttal testimony or in response to new issues presented by the prehearing conference, the presiding officer shall determine the relevancy of such testimony.

(c) The hearing shall be publicly conducted and reported verbatim by an official reporter.

(d) If a party objects to the admission or rejection of any direct testimony or to any other ruling of the presiding officer during the hearing, he shall state briefly the grounds of such objection, whereupon an automatic exception will follow if the objection is overruled by the presiding officer. The transcript shall not include argument or debate thereon except as ordered by the presiding officer. The ruling of the presiding officer on any objection shall be a part of the transcript and shall be subject to review at the same time and in the same manner as the Director's final decision. Only objections made before the presiding officer may subsequently be relied upon in the proceedings.

(e) All motions and requests shall be addressed to, and ruled on by, the presiding officer, if made prior to his certification of the transcript or by the Director if made thereafter.

§ 216.86 Direct testimony.

(a) Only direct testimony submitted by affidavit as provided in these regulations and introduced at the hearing by a witness shall be considered part of the record. Such direct testimony shall not be read into evidence but shall become a part of the record subject to exclusion of irrelevant and immaterial parts thereof;

(b) The witness introducing direct testimony shall:

(1) State his name, address and occupation;

(2) State qualifications for introducing the direct testimony. If an expert, the witness shall briefly state the scientific or technical training which qualifies him as an expert;

(3) Identify the direct testimony previously submitted in accordance with these regulations; and

(4) Submit to appropriate cross and direct examination. Cross-examination shall be by a party whose interests are adverse on the issue presented, to the witness, if the witness is a party, or to the interests of the party who presented the witness.

(c) A party shall be deemed to have waived the right to introduce direct testimony if such party fails to present a witness to introduce the direct testimony.

(d) Official notice may be taken of such matters as are judicially noticed by the courts of the United States: *Provided*, That parties shall be given adequate notice, by the presiding officer, at the hearing, of matters so noticed and shall be given adequate opportunity to show that such facts are inaccurate or are erroneously noticed.

§ 216.87 Cross examination.

(a) The presiding officer may:

(1) Require the cross-examiner to outline the intended scope of the cross-examination;

(2) Prohibit parties from cross-examining witnesses unless the presiding officer has determined that the cross-examiner has an adverse interest on the facts at issue to the party-witness or the party presenting the witness. For the purposes of this subsection, the Director's or his representative's interest shall be considered adverse to all parties;

(3) Limit the number of times any party or parties having a common interest may cross-examine an "adverse" witness on the same matter; and

(4) Exclude cross-examination questions that are immaterial, irrelevant or unduly repetitious.

(b) Any party shall be given an opportunity to appear, either in person or through an authorized counsel or representative, to cross-examine witnesses. Before cross-examining a witness, the party or counsel shall state his name, address and occupation. If counsel cross-examines the witness, counsel shall state

for the record the authority to act as counsel. Cross-examiners shall be assumed to be familiar with the direct testimony.

(c) Any party or party's counsel who fails to appear at the hearing to cross-examine an "adverse" witness shall be deemed to have waived the right to cross-examine that witness.

(d) Scientific, technical or commercial publications may only be utilized for the limited purposes of impeaching witnesses under cross-examination unless previously submitted and introduced in accordance with these regulations.

§ 216.88 Oral and written arguments.

(a) The presiding officer may, in his discretion, provide for oral argument at the end of the hearing. Such argument when permitted, may be limited by the presiding officer to the extent necessary for the expeditious disposition of the proceeding.

(b) The presiding officer shall announce at the hearing a reasonable period of time within which any interested person may file with the presiding officer any written comments on the proposed regulations and waiver, including proposed findings and conclusions and written arguments or briefs, which are based upon the record and citing where practicable the relevant page or pages of the transcript. If a party filing a brief desires the presiding officer to reconsider any objection made by such party to a ruling of the presiding officer, he shall specifically identify such rulings by reference to the pertinent pages of the transcript and shall state his arguments thereon as a part of the brief.

(c) Oral or written arguments shall be limited to issues arising from direct testimony on the record.

§ 216.89 Recommended Decision, Certification of the transcript and submission of comments on the recommended decision.

(a) Promptly after expiration of the period for receiving written briefs, the presiding officer shall make a recommended decision based on the record and transmit the decision to the Director. The recommended decision shall include:

(1) A statement containing a description of the history of the proceedings;

(2) Findings on the issues of fact with the reasons therefor; and

(3) Rulings on issues of law.

(b) The presiding officer shall also transmit to the Director the transcript of the hearing, the original and all copies of the direct testimony, and written comments. The presiding officer shall attach to the original transcript of the hearing a certificate stating that, to the best of his knowledge and belief, the transcript is a true transcript of the testimony given at the hearing except in such particulars as are specified.

(c) Immediately after receipt of the recommended decision, the Director shall give notice thereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER, send copies of the recommended decision to all parties, and provide opportunity for the submission of

comments. The recommended decision may be reviewed and/or copied in the office of the Director, National Marine Fisheries Service, Washington, D.C. 20235.

(d) Within twenty days after the notice of receipt of the recommended decision has been published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, any interested person may file with the Director any written comments on the recommended decision. All comments, including recommendations from or consultation with the Marine Mammal Commission, must be submitted during the twenty-day period to the Director at the above address.

§ 216.90 Director's decision.

(a) Upon receipt of the recommended decision and transcript and after the twenty-day period for receiving written comments on the recommended decision has passed, the Director shall make a final decision on the proposed regulations and waiver, where applicable. The Director's decision may affirm, modify, or set aside, in whole or in part, the recommended findings, conclusions and decision of the presiding officer. The Director may also remand the hearing record to the presiding officer for a fuller development of the record.

(b) The Director's decision shall include:

- (1) A statement containing a description of the history of the proceeding;
- (2) Findings on the issues of fact with the reasons therefor; and
- (3) Rulings on issues of law.

(c) The Director's decision shall be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. If the waiver is approved, the final adopted regulations shall be promulgated with the decision.

[FR Doc.75-5775 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

proposed rules

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

[26 CFR Parts 1, 54]

INDIVIDUAL RETIREMENT ACCOUNTS

Proposed Rule Making; Correction

On Friday, February 21, 1975, Notice of Proposed Rule Making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (40 CFR 7661). The following corrections are made to the proposed regulations:

(1) In line 5 of subdivision (A) of § 1.408-1 (b) (2) (ii) (page 7666), the reference to § 1.402-3 should be redesignated "1.402 (a)-3".

(2) In line 9 of subdivision (A) of § 1.408-1 (b) (2) (ii) (page 7666), the reference to 1.403-3 should be redesignated "1.403 (a)-3".

(3) In line 6 of subparagraph (6) of § 1.408-2 (b) (page 7668), the reference to (b) (5) (ii) should be redesignated "(b) (6) (ii)".

(4) In line 7 of subdivision (iii) of § 1.409-1 (b) (2) (page 7671), the phrase "excludable from" should be "includible in".

(5) In line 2 of subdivision (ii) of § 54.4973-1 (b) (2) (page 7672), the reference to (b) (2) (ii) should be redesignated "(b) (2) of this section".

JAMES F. DRING,
Director, Legislation and
Regulations Division.

[FR Doc.75-5851 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Corps of Engineers

[33 CFR Part 207]

OHIO RIVER, MISSISSIPPI RIVER ABOVE CAIRO, ILLINOIS AND TRIBUTARIES

Proposed Navigation Regulations

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to section 7 of the River and Harbor Act of August 8, 1917 (40 Stat. 266; (33 U.S.C. 1)) the regulations set forth in tentative form below are proposed by the Secretary of the Army (acting through the Chief of Engineers) to govern the use, administration and navigation of the Ohio River, Mississippi River above Cairo, Illinois, and their tributaries. It is proposed to amend the present regulations in 33 CFR 207.300.

Prior to the adoption of the proposed regulations, consideration will be given to any comments, suggestions or objections thereto which are submitted in writing to the Office of the Chief of Engineers, Forrestal Building, Washing-

ton, D.C. 20314, Attention: DAEN-CWO-N on or before April 4, 1975.

Dated: February 8, 1975.

By authority of the Secretary of the Army.

FRED R. ZIMMERMAN,
Lt. Colonel, U.S. Army,
Chief, Plans Office, TAGO.

§ 207.300 Ohio River, Mississippi River above Cairo, Ill., and their tributaries; use, administration, and navigation.

(a) *Authority of lockmasters.* The lockmaster shall be charged with the immediate control and management of the lock, and of the area set aside as the lock area, including the lock approach channels. He shall see that all laws, rules, and regulations for the use of the lock and lock area are duly complied with, to which end he is authorized to give all necessary orders and directions in accordance therewith, both to employees of the Government and to any and every person within the limits of the lock or lock area, whether navigating the lock or not. No one shall cause any movement of any vessel, boat, or other floating thing in the lock or approaches except by or under the direction of the lockmaster or his assistants. In the event of an emergency, the lockmaster may depart from these regulations as he deems necessary. The lockmasters shall also be charged with the control and management of Federally constructed mooring facilities.

(b) *Safety rules for vessels using navigation locks.* The following safety rules are hereby prescribed for vessels in the locking process, including the act of approaching or departing a lock:

(1) *Tows with flammable or hazardous cargo barges, loaded or empty.* (i) Stripping barges or transferring cargo is prohibited.

(ii) All hatches on barges used to transport flammable or hazardous materials shall be closed and latched, except those barges carrying a gas-free certificate.

(iii) Spark-proof protective rubbing fenders ("possums") shall be used.

(2) *All vessels.* (i) Leaking vessels may be excluded from locks until they have been repaired to the satisfaction of the lockmaster.

(ii) Smoking, open flames, and chipping or other spark-producing activities are prohibited on deck during the locking cycle.

(iii) Painting will not be permitted in the lock chamber during the locking cycle.

(iv) Tow speeds shall be reduced to a rate of travel such that the tow can be

stopped by checking should mechanical difficulties develop. Pilots should check with the individual lockmasters concerning prevailing conditions. It is also recommended that pilots check their ability to reverse their engines prior to beginning an approach. Engines shall not be turned off in the lock until the tow has stopped and been made fast.

(v) U.S. Coast Guard Regulations require all vessels to have on board life saving devices for prevention of drowning. All crew members of vessels required to carry work vests (life jackets) shall wear them during a lockage, except those persons in an area enclosed with a hand-rail or other device which would reasonably preclude the possibility of falling overboard. All deckhands handling lines during locking procedure shall wear a life jacket. Vessels not required by Coast Guard Regulations to have work vests aboard shall have at least the prescribed life saving devices, located for ready access and use if needed. The lockmaster may refuse lockage to any vessel which fails to conform to the above.

(c) *Reporting of navigation incidents.* In furtherance of increased safety on waterways the following safety rules are hereby prescribed for all navigation interests:

(1) Any incident resulting in uncontrolled barges shall immediately be reported to the nearest lock. The report shall include information as to the number of loose barges, their cargo, and the time and location where they broke loose. The lockmaster or locks shall be kept informed of the progress being made in bringing the barges under control so that he can initiate whatever actions may be warranted.

(2) Whenever barges are temporarily moored at other than commercial terminals or established fleeting areas, and their breaking away could endanger a lock, the nearest lock shall be so notified, preferably the downstream lock.

(3) Sunken or sinking barges shall be reported to the nearest lock both downstream and upstream of the location in order that other traffic passing those points may be advised of the hazards.

(4) In the event of an oil spill, notify the nearest lock downstream, specifying the time and location of the incident, type of oil, amount of spill, and what recovery or controlling measures are being employed.

(5) Any other activity on the waterways that could conceivably endanger navigation or a navigation structure shall be reported to the nearest lock.

(6) Whenever it is necessary to report an incident involving uncontrolled,

sunken or sinking barges, the cargo in the barges shall be accurately identified.

(d) *Precedence at locks.* (1) The vessel arriving first at a lock shall normally be first to lock through, but precedence shall be given to vessels belonging to the United States. Licensed commercial passenger vessels operating on a published schedule or regularly operating in the "for hire" trade shall have precedence over cargo tows and like craft. Commercial cargo tows shall have precedence over recreational craft, except as described in paragraph (f).

(2) Arrival posts or markers may be established ashore above and/or below the locks. Vessels arriving at or opposite such posts or markers will be considered as having arrived at the locks within the meaning of this paragraph. Precedence may be established visually or by radio communication. The lockmaster may prescribe such departure from the normal order of precedence as in his judgment is warranted to achieve best lock utilization.

(e) *Unnecessary delay at locks.* Masters and pilots must use every precaution to prevent unnecessary delay in entering or leaving locks. Vessels failing to enter locks with reasonable promptness when signalled to do so shall lose their turn. Rearranging or switching of barges in the locks or in approaches is prohibited unless approved or directed by the lockmaster. This is not meant to curtail "jackknifing" or set-overs where normally practiced.

(f) *Lockage of recreational craft.* In order to fully utilize the capacity of the lock, the lockage of recreational craft shall be expedited by locking them through with commercial craft, provided that both parties agree to joint use of the chamber. When recreational craft are locked simultaneously with commercial tows, the lockmaster will direct, whenever practicable, that the recreational craft enter the lock and depart while the tow is secured in the lock. Recreational craft will not be locked through with vessels carrying volatile cargoes or other substances likely to emit toxic or explosive vapors. If the lockage of recreational craft can not be accomplished within the time required for three other lockages, a separate lockage of recreational craft shall be made. Recreational craft operators are advised that many locks have a pull chain located at each end of the lock which signals the lockmaster that lockage is desired. Furthermore, many Mississippi River locks utilize a strobe light at the lock to signal recreational type vessels that the lock is ready for entry. Such lights are used exclusively to signal recreational craft.

(g) *Simultaneous lockage of tows with dangerous cargoes.* Simultaneous lockage of other tows with tows carrying dangerous cargoes or containing flammable vapors normally will only be permitted when there is agreement between the lockmaster and both vessel masters that the simultaneous lockage can be executed safely. He shall make a separate decision each time such action seems safe and appropriate, provided:

(1) The first vessel or tow in and the last vessel or tow out are secured before the other enters or leaves.

(2) Any vessel or tow carrying dangerous cargoes is not leaking.

(3) All masters involved have agreed to the joint use of the lock chamber.

(h) *Stations while awaiting lockage.* Vessels awaiting their turn to lock shall remain sufficiently clear of the structure to allow unobstructed departure for the vessel leaving the lock. However, to the extent practicable under the prevailing conditions, vessels and tows shall position themselves so as to minimize approach time when signaled to do so.

(i) *Stations while awaiting access through navigable pass.* When navigable dams are up or are in the process of being raised or lowered, vessels desiring to use the pass shall wait outside the limits of the approach points unless authorized otherwise by the lockmaster.

(j) *Signals.* Signals from vessels shall ordinarily be by whistle; signals from locks to vessels shall be by whistle, another sound device, or visual means. When a whistle is used, long blasts of the whistle shall not exceed 10 seconds and short blasts of the whistle shall not exceed 3 seconds. Where a lock is not provided with a sound or visual signal installation, the lockmaster will indicate by voice or by the wave of a hand when the vessel may enter or leave the lock. Vessels must approach the locks with caution and shall not enter nor leave the lock until signaled to do so by the lockmaster.

The following lockage signals are prescribed:

(1) *Sound signals by means of a whistle.* These signals apply at either a single lock or twin locks.

(i) Vessels desiring lockage shall on approaching a lock give the following signals at a distance of not more than one mile from the lock:

(A) If a single lockage only is required: One long blast of the whistle followed by one short blast.

(B) If a double lockage is required: One long blast of the whistle followed by two short blasts.

(ii) When the lock is ready for entrance, the lock will give the following signals:

(A) One long blast of the whistle indicates permission to enter the lock chamber in the case of a single lock or to enter the landward chamber in the case of twin locks.

(B) Two long blasts of the whistle indicates permission to enter the riverward chamber in the case of twin locks.

(iii) Permission to leave the locks will be indicated by the following signals given by the lock:

(A) One short blast of the whistle indicates permission to leave the lock chamber in the case of a single lock or to leave the landward chamber in the case of twin locks.

(B) Two short blasts of the whistle indicates permission to leave the riverward chamber in the case of twin locks.

(iv) Four or more short blasts of the lock whistle delivered in rapid succession

will be used as a means of attracting attention, to indicate caution, and to signal danger. This signal will be used to attract the attention of the captain and crews of vessels using or approaching the lock or navigating in its vicinity and to indicate that something unusual involving danger or requiring special caution is happening or is about to take place. When this signal is given by the lock, the captains and crews of vessels in the vicinity shall immediately become on the alert to determine the reason for the signal and shall take the necessary steps to cope with the situation.

(2) *Lock signal lights.* At locks where density of traffic or other local conditions make it advisable, the sound signals from the lock will be supplemented by signal lights. Flashing lights (showing a one-second flash followed by a two-second eclipse) will be located on or near each end of the land wall to control use of a single lock or of the landward lock of double locks. In addition, at double locks, interrupted flashing lights (showing a one-second flash, a one-second eclipse and a one-second flash, followed by a three-second eclipse) will be located on or near each end of the intermediate wall to control use of the riverward lock. Navigation will be governed as follows:

(i) *Red light.* Lock cannot be made immediately. Vessel shall stand clear.

(ii) *Amber light.* Lock is being made ready. Vessel may approach but under full control.

(iii) *Green light.* Lock is ready for entrance.

(iv) *Green and amber.* Lock is ready for entrance but gates cannot be recessed completely. Vessel may enter under full control and with extreme caution.

(3) *Radio communications.* (i) VHF-FM radios, operating in the FCC authorized Maritime Band, have been installed at all operational locks (except those on the Kentucky River and Lock 3, Green River). Radio contact may be made by any vessel desiring passage. Commercial tows are especially requested to make contact at least one half hour before arrival in order that the pilot may be informed of current river and traffic conditions that may affect the safe passage of his tow.

(ii) All locks monitor 156.8 MHz (Ch. 16) and 156.65 MHz (Ch. 13) and can work 156.65 MHz (Ch. 13) and 156.7 MHz (Ch. 14). Ch. 16 is the authorized call, reply and distress frequency, and locks are not permitted to work on this frequency except in an emergency involving the risk of immediate loss of life or property. Vessels may call and work Ch. 13, without switching, but are cautioned that vessel to lock traffic must not interrupt or delay Bridge to Bridge traffic which has priority at all times.

(k) *Rafts.* Rafts to be locked through shall be moored in such manner as not to obstruct the entrance of the lock, and if to be locked in sections, shall be brought to the lock as directed by the lockmaster. After passing the lock the sections shall be reassembled at such distance beyond the lock as not to interfere with other vessels.

(1) *Entrance to and exit from locks.* In case two or more boats or tows are to enter for the same lockage, their order of entry shall be determined by the lockmaster. Except as directed by the lockmaster, no boat shall pass another in the lock. In no case will boats be permitted to enter or leave the locks until directed to do so by the lockmaster. The sides of all craft passing through any lock shall be free from projections of any kind which might injure the lock walls. All vessels shall be provided with suitable fenders, and shall be used to protect the lock and guide walls until it has cleared the lock and guide walls.

(m) *Mooring.* (1) *At Locks.*—(i) All vessels when in the locks shall be moored as directed by the lockmaster. Vessels shall be moored with bow and stern lines leading in opposite directions to prevent the vessel from "running" in the lock. All vessels will have one additional line available on the head of the tow for emergency use. The pilothouse shall be attended by qualified personnel during the entire locking procedure. When the vessel is securely moored, the pilot shall not cause movement of the propellers except in emergency or unless directed by the lockmaster. Tying to lock ladders is strictly prohibited.

(ii) Mooring of unattended or non-propelled vessels or small craft at the upper or lower channel approaches will not be permitted within 1200 feet of the lock.

(2) *Outside of Locks.* (1) No vessel or other craft shall regularly or permanently moor in any reach of a navigation channel. The approximate centerline of such channels are marked as the sailing line on Corps of Engineers' navigation charts. Nor shall any floating craft, except in an emergency, moor in any narrow or hazardous section of the waterway. Furthermore, all vessels or other craft are prohibited from regularly or permanently mooring in any section of navigable waterways which are congested with commercial facilities or traffic unless it is moored at facilities approved by the Secretary of the Army or his authorized representative. The limits of the congested areas shall be marked on Corps of Engineers' navigation charts. However, the District Engineer may authorize in writing exceptions to any of the above if, in his judgment, such mooring would not adversely affect navigation and anchorage.

(ii) No vessel or other craft shall be moored to railroad tracks, to riverbanks in the vicinity of railroad tracks when such mooring threatens the safety of equipment using such tracks, to telephone poles or power poles, or to bridges or similar structures used by the public.

(iii) Except in case of great emergency, no vessel or craft shall anchor over revetted banks of the river, and no floating plant other than launches and similar small craft shall land against banks protected by revetment except at regular commercial landings. In all cases, every precaution to avoid damage to the revetment works shall be exercised. The construction of log rafts along

matted or paved banks or the tying up and landing of log rafts against such banks shall be performed in such a manner as to cause no damage to the mattress work or bank paving. Generally, mattress work extends out into the river 600 feet from the low water line.

(iv) Any vessel utilizing a federally constructed mooring facility (e.g., cells, buoys, anchor rings) at the points designated on the current issue of the Corps' navigation charts shall advise the lockmaster at the nearest lock from that point by the most expeditious means.

(n) *Draft of vessels.* No vessel shall attempt to enter a lock unless its draft is at least three inches less than the least depth of water over the guard sills, or over the gate sills if there be no guard sills. Information concerning controlling depth over sills can be obtained from the lockmaster at each lock or by inquiry at the office of the district engineer of the district in which the lock is located.

(o) *Handling machinery.* No one but employees of the United States shall move any lock machinery except as directed by the lockmaster. Tampering or meddling with the machinery or other parts of the lock is strictly forbidden.

(p) *Refuse in locks.* Placing or discharging refuse of any description into the lock, on lock walls or esplanade, canal or canal bank is prohibited.

(q) *Damage to locks or other work.* To avoid damage to plant and structures connected with the construction or repair of locks and dams, vessels passing structures in the process of construction or repair shall reduce their speed and navigate with special caution while in the vicinity of such work. The restrictions and admonitions contained in these regulations shall not affect the liability of the owners and operators of floating craft for any damage to locks or other structures caused by the operation of such craft.

(r) *Trespass on lock property.* Trespass on locks or dams or other United States property pertaining to the locks or dams is strictly prohibited except in those areas specifically permitted. Parties committing any injury to the locks or dams or to any part thereof will be responsible therefor. Any person committing a willful injury to any United States property will be prosecuted. No fishing will be permitted from lock walls, guide walls, or guard walls of any lock or from any dam, except in areas designated and posted by the responsible District Engineer as fishing areas. Personnel from commercial and recreational craft will be allowed on the lock structure for legitimate business reasons; e.g., crew changes, emergency phone calls, etc.

(s) *Restricted areas at locks and dams.* All waters immediately above and below each dam, as posted by the respective District Engineers, are hereby designated as restricted areas. No vessel or other floating craft shall enter any such restricted area at any time. The limits of the restricted areas at each dam will be determined by the responsible District Engineer and marked by signs and/or

flashing red lights installed in conspicuous and appropriate places.

(t) *Statistical information.* (1) Masters of vessels shall furnish to the lockmaster such statistics of passengers or cargo as may be requested.

(2) The owners or masters of vessels sunk in the navigable waters of the United States shall provide the appropriate District Engineer with a copy of the sunken vessel report furnished to the U.S. Coast Guard Marine Inspection Office in accordance with Code of Federal Regulations Title 33 Subpart 64.10-1.

(u) *Operations during high water and floods in designated vulnerable areas.* Vessels operating on these waters during periods when river stages exceed the level of "ordinary high water," as designated on Corps of Engineers' navigation charts, shall exercise reasonable care to minimize the effects of their bow waves and propeller washes on river banks; submerged or partially submerged structures or habitations; terrestrial growth such as trees and bushes; and man-made amenities that may be present. Vessels shall operate carefully when passing close to levees and other flood protection works, and shall observe minimum distances from banks which may be prescribed from time to time in Notices to Navigation Interests. Pilots should exercise particular care not to direct propeller wash at river banks, levees revetments, structures or other appurtenances subject to damage from wave action.

(v) *Navigation lights for use at all locks and dams except on the Kentucky River and Lock 3, Green River.* (1) At locks at all fixed dams and at locks at all movable dams when the dams are up so that there is no navigable pass through the dam, the following navigation lights will be displayed during hours of darkness:

(i) Three green lights visible through an arc of 360° arranged in a vertical line on the upstream end of the river (guard) wall unless the intermediate wall extends farther upstream. In the latter case, the lights will be placed on the upstream end of the intermediate wall.

(ii) Two green lights visible through an arc of 360° arranged in a vertical line on the downstream end of the river (guard) wall unless the intermediate wall extends farther downstream. In the latter case, the lights will be placed on the downstream end of the intermediate wall.

(iii) A single red light, visible through an arc of 360° on each end (upstream and downstream) of the land (guide) wall.

(2) At movable dams when the dam has been lowered or partly lowered so that there is an unobstructed navigable pass through the dam, the navigation lights indicated in the following paragraphs will be displayed during hours of darkness until lock walls and weir piers are awash.

(i) Three red lights visible through an arc of 360° arranged in a vertical line on the upstream end of the river (guard) wall.

(ii) Two red lights visible through an arc of 360° arranged in a vertical line on the downstream end of the river (guard) wall.

(iii) A single red light visible through an arc of 360° on each end (upstream and downstream) of the land (guide) wall.

(3) After lock walls and weir piers are awash they will be marked as prescribed in paragraph (x) below.

(4) If one or more bear traps or weirs are open or partially open, and may cause a set in current conditions at the upper approach to the locks, this fact will be indicated by displaying a white circular disk 5 feet in diameter, on or near the light support on the upstream end of the land (guide) wall during the hours of daylight, and will be indicated during hours of darkness by displaying a white (amber) light vertically under and 5 feet below the red light on the upstream end of the land (guide) wall.

(5) At Locks No. 1 and 2, Green River, when the locks are not in operation because of high river stages, a single red light visible through an arc of 360° will be displayed on each end (upstream and downstream) of the lock river (guard) wall at which time the lights referred to above will not be visible.

(w) *Navigation lights for use at locks and dams on the Kentucky River and Lock 3, Green River.* A single red light visible through an arc of 360° shall be displayed during hours of darkness at each end of the river wall or extending guard structures until these structures are awash.

(x) *Buoys at movable dams.* (1) Whenever the river (guard) wall of the lock and any portion of the dam are awash, and until covered by a depth of water equal to the project depth, the limits of the navigable pass through the dam will be marked by buoys located at the upstream and downstream ends of the river (guard) wall, and by a single buoy over the end or ends of the portion or portions of the dam adjacent to the navigable pass over which project depth is not available. A red nun-type buoy will be used for such structures located on the left-hand side (facing downstream) of the river and a black can-type buoy for such structures located on the right-hand side. Buoys will be lighted, if practicable.

(2) Where powerhouses or other substantial structures projecting considerably above the level of the lock wall are located on the river (guard) wall, a single red light located on top of one of these structures may be used instead of river wall buoys prescribed above until these structures are awash, after which they will be marked by a buoy of appropriate type and color (red nun or black can buoy) until covered by a depth of water equal to the project depth. Buoys will be lighted, if practicable.

(y) *Vessels to carry regulations.* A copy of these regulations shall be kept at all times on board each vessel regularly engaged in navigating the rivers to which these regulations apply. Copies

may be obtained from any lock office or District Engineer's office on request. Masters of such vessels are encouraged to have on board copies of the current edition of appropriate navigation charts.

NOTES

1. Muskingum River Lock & Dam 1 has been removed. Ohio River slackwater provides navigable channel for recreational craft to Lock 2 near Devola, Ohio. Muskingum River Locks 2 through 11 inclusive have been transferred to the State of Ohio and are operated during the recreational boating season by the Ohio Department of Natural Resources. Inquiries regarding Muskingum River channel conditions and lock availability should be directed to the aforementioned Department.

2. Little Kanawha River Lock and Dam 1 has been removed, thus permitting recreational craft to navigate up to Lock 2 near Slate, W. Va. Operation of Locks 2 through 5 on the Little Kanawha River has been discontinued.

3. Big Sandy River: Lock 1 has been removed, thus permitting recreational craft to navigate to Lock 2, near Buchanan, Ky. Operation of Lock 2 and Lock 3 near Fort Gay, W. Va. has been discontinued. Operation of Lock and Dam 1 on Levisa Fork near Gallup, Ky., and Lock and Dam 1 on Tug Fork near Chapman, Ky. has been discontinued.

4. Operation of the following Green River Locks has been discontinued: Lock 4 near Woodbury, Ky., Lock 5 near Glenmore, Ky., and Lock 6 near Brownsville, Ky.

5. Operation of Barren River Lock and Dam No. 1 near Richardsville, Ky. has been discontinued.

6. Operation of Rough River Lock and Dam No. 1 near Hartford, Ky. has been discontinued.

7. Operation of Osage River Lock and Dam 1 near Osage City, Mo., has been discontinued.

8. Operation of the 34 locks in the Illinois and Mississippi (Hennepin) Canal, including the feeder section, has been discontinued.

9. Operation of the Illinois and Michigan Canal has been discontinued.

[FR Doc.75-5797 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

[7 CFR Part 29]

Allocation of Tobacco Inspection Service; Eligibility for Price Support

Notice is hereby given that the Department is considering the further amendment of its regulations (published at 39 FR 17753, 39 FR 20066, 39 FR 30475, and 39 FR 32975) relating to tobacco inspection and price support services with regard to flue-cured tobacco by amending Subpart A—Tobacco Loan Program (7 CFR Part 1464) and Subpart G—Policy Statement and Regulations Governing Availability of Tobacco Inspection and Price Support Services to Flue-Cured Tobacco on Designated Markets (7 CFR Part 29). The proposed amendments would modify the rules in regard to the producer's right to redesignate subsequent to the opening of the marketing season, eliminate the separate warehouse designation card in favor of using the producer's marketing card for the

same purpose, change the consideration for allocation of sales opportunity for undesignated tobacco, allow a warehouse, which has not used the full opportunity for designated tobacco allowed by the schedule, to carry over the unused designated opportunity, to a maximum of 2,500 pounds, to the next immediate sales day. The aforesaid policy statement and regulations are statements of agency policy and rules and regulations issued pursuant to the authority of the Tobacco Inspection Act as amended (49 Stat. 731, (7 U.S.C. 511 *et seq.*)), the Agricultural Act of 1949, as amended (63 Stat. 1051, (7 U.S.C. 1421 *et seq.*)), and the Commodity Credit Corporation Charter Act as amended (62 Stat. 1070 (15 U.S.C. 714 *et seq.*)).

STATEMENT OF CONSIDERATION

At its December 13, 1974, and February 10, 1975 meetings, the Flue-Cured Tobacco Advisory Committee reviewed a report of its subcommittee which was appointed to study and consider making recommendations to the Secretary to further amend the provisions of Title 7, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 29, which were published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of May 20, 1974 (39 FR 17753) and subsequently amended the FEDERAL REGISTERS of August 23, 1974 (39 FR 30475), and September 13, 1974 (39 FR 32975). The Committee recommended that the regulations in regard to the producer's right to redesignate his tobacco subsequent to the opening of the flue-cured tobacco markets be changed. The amended regulations would allow the producer to redesignate his tobacco starting the fourth Friday in July and every second Friday thereafter during the marketing season but would only allow him to redesignate three times. This change in the regulations will allow the producer more flexibility in redesignating tobacco but will also encourage redesignation only when necessary. Further, the regulations did not allow for the immediate redesignation of a farm's allotment upon reconstitution (the combining or dividing of a farm due to a change in operation) and the regulations will be amended to authorize redesignation under such a circumstance. Procedures for such redesignations, as well as those done as a result of a lease, shall be established by the Deputy Administrator, Programs, ASCS. No change in the regulations is being made with regard to a producer's right to redesignate under emergency conditions.

The Committee recommended that the warehouse designation card be eliminated in favor of use of the producer's marketing card, issued by ASCS, to indicate the warehouse code number for the designated warehouse. Such a change in the regulation would eliminate the necessity for a producer to have two cards in order to sell his tobacco with price support. The producer's marketing card will indicate the warehouse number of the warehouse which the producer has designated for the sale of his tobacco. In the case of producers who chose not

to designate their tobacco, the marketing card will indicate that that tobacco is undesignated.

At the Secretary's suggestion, the Committee considered and made two recommendations. First, in order to clarify the regulations now in force, the regulations will be amended to reflect that violations in one marketing season will be carried over into the next. This amendment will clarify the current regulations that merely imply that warehouses that oversell at the end of the season cannot do so with impunity but must come back into compliance at the beginning of the next selling season. The second recommendation made by the Committee was that, if a warehouse undersells its designated sales opportunity, it be allowed to carry such designated sales opportunity over to the next immediate sales day to a maximum of 2,500 pounds. This added tolerance would not add much, if any, tobacco to the weekly sales schedule and would enable the warehouses to use as much sales time as they can without an onerous burden of computation and scheduling.

Additionally, the Committee made a recommendation for an amendment with regard to the provision in the regulations which concerns sales opportunity for undesignated tobacco. During part of the 1974 season, the amount of undesignated tobacco was computed by subtracting the amount of tobacco designated from 110 percent of quota. When this proved to allow warehouses in certain geographical areas excess selling time for undesignated tobacco due to the amount of tobacco not planted in those areas, the regulations were amended to limit undesignated sales opportunity to 2 percent of a warehouse's designated sales opportunity. The Committee recommended that the sales opportunity authorized for undesignated tobacco reflect the Secretary's calculation as to the amount of undesignated tobacco available for sale in any marketing area. A change in the provisions of the current regulations, whereby the Secretary can authorize additional undesignated sales opportunity is also proposed. Heretofore, the only requirement on the warehouse has been that it provide proper proof that it has available for sale undesignated tobacco which has not been previously designated in excess of the amount allowed by the schedule. It is proposed that the undesignated tobacco that is available, in addition to never having been designated, also be produced in the marketing area in which the warehouse is located and have been eligible for designation to that warehouse had the producer chosen to designate it. Moreover, in order to clarify the current provision, the regulations should be modified to indicate that extra selling time for undesignated tobacco can be made available by the Secretary only during the close-out period in any marketing area.

All persons who desire to submit written data, views, or arguments for con-

sideration in connection with these proposals may file the same and four copies with the Hearing Clerk, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Room 112, Administration Building, Washington, D.C. 20250, not later than March 25, 1975.

All written submissions made pursuant to this notice will be made available for public inspection at the Office of the Hearing Clerk during regular business hours (7 CFR 1.27(b)).

PART 29—TOBACCO INSPECTION

The proposed amendments to Subpart G, Part 29, are as follows:

1. Section 29.9404 is amended as follows:

§ 29.9404 Marketing area opening dates and marketing schedules.

(a) The Flue-Cured Tobacco Advisory Committee shall recommend, to the Secretary, marketing areas in the flue-cured tobacco production area and marketing area opening dates and selling schedules for both designated and undesignated tobacco for each marketing area and for the individual warehouses in each marketing area, which specify the length of time inspectors will be available to inspect designated tobacco and undesignated tobacco and/or the quantity of designated or undesignated tobacco to be marketed in each area and through each warehouse within such marketing area. In developing such opening date and selling schedules, the Committee shall take into account the following:

(1) When a sufficient volume of tobacco produced within a specific area of the flue-cured tobacco production area will be ready for marketing.

(2) The volume of tobacco ready for marketing which the producers have designated under § 1464.2(e) of this title to be sold at specific warehouses and also the volume of tobacco ready for marketing which has not been so designated by the producers; for the purpose of developing opening date and selling schedules, the Committee shall consider that a warehouse should receive in addition to the time allotted for sale of its designated tobacco, a reasonable period of time for the sale of its share of the amount of undesignated tobacco which the Secretary calculates is available for sale in the geographical area served by that warehouse. *Provided, however,* That, during the close-out period in a marketing area, the Secretary may authorize additional undesignated sales opportunity if the warehouse provides proper proof that it does, in fact, have available for sale a volume of tobacco which has not previously been designated, which was produced within the marketing area in which the warehouse is located and which could have been designated to that warehouse had the producer chosen to designate which requires more time than allowed by the schedule.

2. Section 29.9406 is amended as follows:

§ 29.9406 Failure of warehouse to comply with opening date and selling schedule.

(b) Except as provided in paragraph (c) below, on any sales day, a warehouseman sells tobacco in excess of that allowed by the selling schedules, such excess amount shall be deducted from the quantity of tobacco authorized to be sold at that warehouse on either of the following two sales days, and if a warehouse sells tobacco in excess of that allowed by the selling schedule on either of the last two sales days in one selling season, then it shall make such reduction on either of the first two sales days of the next selling season. If such reduction in the quantity of tobacco sold is not made by the warehouse within such two days, no tobacco inspection or price support services shall be made available at such warehouse on the next succeeding sales day. However, any adjustment which is within 100 pounds of the required reduction shall be considered as in compliance with the section.

(d) If, on any sales day, a warehouse does not sell the full quantity of designated tobacco authorized to be sold at such warehouse, the designated sales opportunity at such warehouse on the next immediate sales day shall automatically be increased by the unsold quantity: *Providing, however,* That no such increase in designated sales opportunity, pursuant to this subsection, shall be made in excess of 2,500 pounds.

Done at Washington, D.C., this 28th day of February 1975.

JOHN DAMGARD,
Deputy Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 75-5819 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

Animal and Plant Health Inspection
Service

[9 CFR Parts 317 and 381]

REPRESENTATIONS REGARDING THE
GEOGRAPHICAL ORIGIN OF MEAT AND
POULTRY FOOD PRODUCTS

Extension of Time for Comments

On November 27, 1974, there was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (39 FR 41381-41382), a notice proposing to amend the meat and poultry inspection regulations (9 CFR 317.8 and 381.129), to provide criteria for the use of geographical terms on labeling of meat and poultry products. Interested persons were given until February 7, 1975, to comment.

The Department has determined to extend the period of time within which written data, views, or arguments may be submitted, or oral views may be presented. A number of meat and poultry industry associations have requested an extension so that they can consolidate and present the views and comments of their memberships to the proposal.

Since the Department is interested in receiving meaningful comments, these circumstances are considered as sufficient justification for an extension of the time originally allotted for filing comments. Accordingly, any person who wishes to submit written data, views, or arguments concerning the proposed amendment may do so by filing them in duplicate with the Hearing Clerk, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250, by April 7, 1975. Also, the period for oral presentation of views is extended to April 7, 1975. Persons desiring opportunity for oral presentation of views should address such requests to Labels and Packaging Staff, Technical Services, Meat and Poultry Inspection Program, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250, so that arrangements may be made for presentation of such views by April 7, 1975.

In all other respects, the procedure specified in the proposal published on November 27, 1974, shall continue to apply in this rulemaking proceeding.

Done at Washington, D.C., on February 24, 1975.

F. J. MULHERN,
Administrator, Animal and Plant
Health Inspection Service.

[FR Doc. 75-5828 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

Commodity Credit Corporation

[7 CFR Part 1464]

TOBACCO LOAN PROGRAM

Flue Cured Tobacco Producers' Designation of Warehouses at Which They Will Market Their Tobacco

Notice is hereby given that Commodity Credit Corporation is considering amendments to the Tobacco Loan Program (39 FR 20066, as amended 39 FR 30477). For a preamble statement and a statement of consideration regarding Part 1464, see a document proposing amendments to this part and to Part 29 of Chapter I of this title (FR Doc. 75-5819) appearing in this issue.

It is proposed that § 1464.2 be amended by revising paragraphs (e) (2) (iii), (iv), (v), and (vi), as follows:

§ 1464.2 Availability of Price Support.

- (e) . . .
(2) . . .

(iii) *When producer designations shall be made.* Producer designations of the warehouse or warehouses at which they will market their tobacco shall be made each year during a period which shall be announced by the county ASCS office in their county prior to the start of the period. Such period shall be prior to May 31 each year. Producers who lease quota or whose farm is reconstituted (the combining or dividing of a farm due to a change in operation) after such period may designate the warehouse or warehouses at which the tobacco involved will be marketed, as advised by the county ASCS office, pursuant to proce-

dures to be established by the Deputy Administrator, Programs, ASCS. Re-designation (a change in warehouses designated or in pounds designated to the warehouse) or initial designations for underdesignated farms may be made starting the fourth Friday in July and every second Friday thereafter during the marketing season. Not more than three redesignations may be made for a farm during the entire marketing season. Producers who have designated warehouses which cease to operate or cease to have tobacco inspection or price support available may change their designations of such warehouses at any time subsequent to such occurrences.

(iv) *Form and content of designations.* A designation shall be made for each warehouse at which a producer desires to market his tobacco by executing a form provided by the county ASCS office. The producer will be required to indicate on such form the name of the warehouse or warehouses designated by him and the pounds of flue-cured tobacco he desires to sell at such warehouse as well as any other information required on such form.

(v) *Entering warehouse designation information.* The warehouse code number of the warehouse the producer has designated for his tobacco will be indicated on the farm marketing card. If the producer has not designated a warehouse, a warehouse number code number will not be shown on the marketing card. Changes in designation by the producer shall be accomplished by the producer returning his marketing card to county ASCS office and requesting the transfer of any unmarketed pounds of flue-cured tobacco shown on any marketing card to another eligible warehouse or warehouses.

(vi) *Use of warehouse designation in-*
(a) A separate sale bill marked "no price support" shall be prepared for that quantity of tobacco weighed in that is in excess of the balance of the pounds designated as shown on the marketing cards;

(b) The warehouse shall mark "no price support" on the sale bill for any tobacco which is presented for sale and which is accompanied by a marketing card which does not show a warehouse code or which shows a warehouse code of another warehouse.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on February 28, 1975.

GLENN A. WEIR,
Acting Executive Vice President,
Commodity Credit Corporation.

[FR Doc. 75-5818 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

Food and Nutrition Service

[7 CFR Part 210]

NATIONAL SCHOOL LUNCH PROGRAM REGULATIONS

Extension of Comment Period

Notice is hereby given that the period is extended for receipt of comments on the proposed amendment, published January 22, 1975 (40 FR 3452), which would

implement Federal Management Circular 74-7 and apply uniform administrative procedures in the National School Lunch Program.

State agencies have advised FNS that the time allowed for comments, suggestions, or objections to the proposed amendment is insufficient for State agencies to adequately assess the impact of this amendment on their management, supervisory and audit responsibilities. The FNS agrees that a reasonable extension of the comment period closing date of February 21, 1975, is justified. Therefore, the closing date for the comment period is extended to 20 days after being printed in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

The FNS does not anticipate that this extension will result in any extension of the amendment's proposed effective date of July 1, 1975.

Dated: March 3, 1975.

RICHARD L. FELTNER,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 75-5944 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

Rural Electrification Administration

[7 CFR Part 1701]

REA SPECIFICATIONS FOR RURAL TELEPHONE FACILITIES

Proposed Changes in the Telephone System Construction Contract; (Labor and Materials), REA Form 511

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Rural Electrification Act, as amended (7 U.S.C. 901 et seq.), REA proposes to issue revised pages to REA Form 511 entitled "Telephone System Construction Contract."

REA Form 511 (paragraph 15, page 52, of the Contractor's Proposal) obligates the Bidder, under certain circumstances, to supply additional Assembly Units, up to 15 percent of the quantity of such units specified in the contract, required by the Owner, at the specified unit contract price. It is proposed to change this provision to limit such obligation to an additional 5 percent, instead of 15 percent, of the quantity of such units specified in the contract.

REA Form 511 (sections 1 (d) and (e) of Article III, on pages 59 and 60, of the Construction Agreement) obligates the Owner to pay to the Contractor interest at the rate of 7 percent per annum on unpaid balances due on monthly estimates, and on the final payment, commencing fifteen (15) days after the due date. It is proposed to change such interest rate to a rate which will be 1 percent below the prime rate of the Chase Manhattan Bank, New York, New York, but not to exceed the interest rate allowed by federal or state law, the applicable rate to be determined as of the first date interest becomes due.

It is proposed that these changes will become effective July 1, 1975. On issuance of File With REA Bulletin 383-1, revised sheets to REA Form 511 will be included to cover these changes. Persons interested in these changes may submit written data, views or comments to the

Director, Telephone Operations and Standards Division, Rural Electrification Administration, Room 1355, South Building, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250, on or before April 4, 1975. All written submissions made pursuant to this notice will be made available for public inspection at the Office of the Director, Telephone Operations and Standards Division during regular business hours.

A copy of the proposed changes to REA Form 11 may be secured in person or by written request from the Director, Telephone Operations and Standards Division.

Dated: February 27, 1975.

C. R. BALLARD,
Assistant Administrator,
Telephone.

[FR Doc.75-5834 Filed 3-4-75; 8:54 am]

COMMERCE DEPARTMENT

National Oceanic and Atmospheric
Administration

[50 CFR Part 216]

MARINE MAMMALS

Incidental Taking in the Course of Commercial Fishing Operations

On September 5, 1974, final regulations were published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (39 FR 32117-32124) pertaining to the incidental taking of marine mammals in the course of commercial fishing operations. These regulations authorize the issuance of general permits to allow the taking of mammals incidental to commercial fishing operations and further provide for issuance of certificates of inclusion under the general permits to masters or other persons in charge of vessels engaged in commercial fishing. Certificate holders are required to maintain logbooks of, among other things, the incidental take of marine mammals in such form as prescribed by the Director, National Marine Fisheries Service. In addition, all occurrences resulting in death or injury to marine mammals in the course of commercial fishing operations under the conditions of a general permit are to be recorded in these logs.

At a public hearing on December 10-11, 1974, held to obtain views with respect to possible amendments to the terms and conditions of existing regulations established pursuant to the Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. 1361-1407) governing "Encircling gear: yellowfin tuna purse seining" [50 CFR 216.24(d)(2)] requests were made for deletion of the logbook requirement. However, since revision of the logbook requirement was not one of the subject areas announced for consideration at the December 10-11, 1974, hearing, such requests were subsequently denied (40 FR 765) on the basis that a separate rule-making procedure would be necessary to modify the logbook requirement.

Comments at the hearing supporting the logbook requirement are also on record indicating that the logbooks are intended specifically as a source of valu-

able data for the purpose of reducing porpoise mortality and serious injury. For this purpose, accurate and comprehensive reporting is essential. It was further indicated that, provided the certificate holders comply with the procedures specified by the final regulations referred to above, during commercial fishing operations, the Act and the regulations promulgated thereunder permit the incidental killing or injuring of porpoises to the extent that it cannot be avoided. The logbook requirement should not be construed as a punitive action but merely a tool to be used in carrying out our responsibilities under the Act.

The National Marine Fisheries Service is currently evaluating all comments received regarding the logbooks during and subsequent to the hearing and re-analyzing the advantages and disadvantages of requiring logbooks. Further comments or proposals with respect to the logbook requirement currently in the regulations are solicited and should be submitted to the Director, National Marine Fisheries Service, Washington, D.C. 20235 by March 28, 1975. Additionally, the Service will evaluate all porpoise mortality information received as the result of all first trips this season by vessels both with and without NMFS observers aboard to reassess the value of the logbook data as a supplement to observer data. Based on the results of this review, either the current logbook requirement will be maintained or proposed rulemaking modifying the requirement will be published for public consideration.

Dated: February 27, 1975.

JACK W. GEHRINGER,
Acting Director,
National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc.75-5776 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

[Airspace Docket No. 74-NW-25]

[14 CFR Part 71]

ALTERATION OF TRANSITION AREA

Proposed Rule Making

The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) is considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would alter the Newport, Oreg., Transition Area.

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rule making by submitting such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the airspace docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Northwest Region, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, FAA Building, Boeing Field, Seattle, Wash. 98108. All communications received on or before April 4, 1975, will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. The proposal contained in this

notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

An official docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the Chief Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20591. An informal docket also will be available for examination at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief.

As part of this proposal relates to the navigable airspace outside the United States, this notice is submitted in consonance with the ICAO international standards and recommended practices.

Applicability of international standards and recommended practices by the Air Traffic Service, FAA, in areas outside domestic airspace of the United States is governed by Article 12 of and Annex 11 to the Convention on International Civil Aviation, which pertain to the establishment of air navigation facilities and services necessary to promoting the safe, orderly, and expeditious flow of civil air traffic. Their purpose is to insure that civil flying on international air routes is carried out under uniform conditions designed to improve the safety and efficiency of air operations.

The international standards and recommended practices in Annex 11 apply in those parts of the airspace under the jurisdiction of a contracting state, derived from ICAO, wherein air traffic services are provided and also whenever a contracting state accepts the responsibility of providing air traffic services over high seas or in airspace of undetermined sovereignty. A contracting state accepting such responsibility may apply the international standards and recommended practices to civil aircraft in a manner consistent with that adopted for airspace under its domestic jurisdiction.

In accordance with Article 3 of the Convention on International Civil Aviation, Chicago, 1944, state aircraft are exempt from the provisions of Annex 11 and its standards and recommended practices. As a contracting state, the United States agreed by Article 3(d) that its state aircraft will be operated in international airspace with due regard for the safety of civil aircraft.

Since this action involves, in part, the designation of navigable airspace outside the United States, the Administrator has consulted with the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Defense in accordance with the provisions of Executive Order 10854.

The proposed amendment would alter the Newport, Oreg., Transition Area to read as follows:

NEWPORT, OREG.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 5-mile radius of Newport Municipal Airport (Lat. 44°34'45" N., Long. 124°03'24" W.): within 2 miles each side of the Newport VORTAC 005° radial, extending from the 5-mile radius area to 10 miles N of the VORTAC; within 2 miles each side of the Newport VORTAC 044° radial, extending from the 5-mile radius area to 13 miles NE of the VORTAC; and within 3

miles each side of the Newport VORTAC 341° radial, extending from the 5-mile radius area to 8 miles N of the VORTAC; and that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface within lines 5 miles each side of the Newport VORTAC 237° radial including the additional airspace between lines beginning adjacent to the VORTAC and diverging at angles of 5° from the parallel lines, extending from the VORTAC to a line extending through Lat. 44°35'00" N., Long. 124°17'30" W. and Lat. 44°22'00" N., Long. 124°13'25" W.; and that airspace within 5 miles E and 10 miles W of the Newport VORTAC 341° radial, extending from the VORTAC to 19 miles N.

The (VOR-A) instrument approach procedure is being relocated from the south to the northwest of the Newport VORTAC which will permit reduction of the transition area on the south and require an increase in the transition area on the northwest of the VORTAC to provide controlled airspace for that procedure. The proposed amendment would conform to the requirements of the revised terminal procedures.

(Sec. 307(a) and 1110 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a) and 1510), Executive Order 10854 (24 FR 9565) and Sec. 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on February 27, 1975.

F. L. CUNNINGHAM,
Acting Chief, Airspace and
Air Traffic Rules Division.

[FR Doc.75-5743 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 75-NE-7]

[14 CFR Part 71]

ALTERATION OF VOR FEDERAL AIRWAYS

Notice of Proposed Rule Making

The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) is considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would:

1. Extend V-39 from Gardner, Mass., to Chester, Mass.
2. Alter V-58 between Ellenville, N.Y., Intersection and Hartford, Conn.
3. Revoke V-146 between Pawling, N.Y., and Putnam, Conn.
4. Revoke the 4-mile segment of V-433 north of V-167.

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rule making by submitting such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the airspace docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the Director, New England Region, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, Mass. 01803. All communications received on or before April 4, 1975 be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

An official docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Federal Aviation Administration, Office

of the Chief Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20591. An informal docket also will be available for examination at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief.

The proposed amendment would:

1. Extend V-39 from Gardner, Mass., to Chester, Mass.
2. Alter V-58 from Ellenville, N.Y., Intersection to Hartford, Conn., via Ellenville Intersection, Kingston, N.Y., Kingston 112°M/100°T and Hartford 281°M/268°T radials to Hartford.
3. Revoke V-146 between Pawling, N.Y., and Putnam, Conn.
4. Revoke that portion of V-433 north of the 281°M/268°T Hartford radial.

The extension of V-39 would provide an alternate route to V-106 when required that would benefit Bradley International Airport arrival and departure traffic and reduce use of off-course radar vectors. Use of the currently aligned V-58 is negligible and its alteration would conform to current Bradley terminal ATC procedures. That portion of V-146 between Pawling and Putnam and the 4-mile segment of V-433 are being proposed for revocation because current usage does not justify their retention.

(Sec. 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)) and Sec. 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on February 27, 1975.

F. L. CUNNINGHAM,
Acting Chief, Airspace and
Air Traffic Rules Division.

[FR Doc.75-5744 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 75-AL-1]

[14 CFR Part 71]

DESIGNATION/REDESIGNATION OF AMBER FEDERAL AIRWAYS AND RESCINDING OF REPORTING POINT

Notice of Proposed Rule Making

The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) is considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would:

1. Designate A-4 from Bettles, NDB to Put River, NDB via the Umiat, NDB.
2. Designate A-6 from Chandalar Lake NDB to Browerville, NDB via the Umiat NDB.
3. Change the floor of A-3 and A-15 to coincide with the floor of the Deadhorse transition area.
4. Rescind Naknek River, RBN reporting point.
5. Designate Umiat NDB, Cold Bay LOM and King Salmon LOM reporting points. All within the State of Alaska.

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rule making by submitting such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the airspace docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Alaskan Region, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, 632 Sixth Avenue, Anchorage, Alaska 99501. All communications

received on or before April 4, 1975, will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

An official docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the Chief Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20591. An informal docket also will be available for examination at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief.

The proposed amendment would:

1. Designate A-4 from Bettles, Alaska NDB, direct to Umiat, Alaska NDB, direct to Put River, Alaska, NDB.
2. Designate A-6 from Chandalar Lake, Alaska NDB, direct to Umiat, Alaska NDB, direct to Browerville, Alaska NDB.
3. Redesignate A-3 from Bettles, Alaska NDB, direct to Put River, Alaska NDB. (without altitude restriction)
4. Redesignate part of A-15 from Chandalar Lake, Alaska NDB, direct to Put River, Alaska NDB. (without altitude restriction)
5. Rescind the Naknek River, Alaska, RBN reporting point.
6. Designate Umiat, Alaska, NDB reporting point.
7. Designate Cold Bay, Alaska, LOM reporting point.
8. Designate King Salmon, Alaska, LOM reporting point.

A nondirectional radio beacon (NDB) is scheduled to be commissioned in March 1975 at Umiat (Lat. 69°22'12" N., Long. 152°08'12" W.). The proposed airways would provide controlled routes for IFR flight operations in an area where oil explorations continue to generate a requirement. By definition, (§ 71.5(2)) A-3 and A-15 airways have a floor coincident with the floor of the Deadhorse transition area. Their redesignation as proposed herein would simply remove the floor stated in their description. The Naknek River RBN is scheduled to be decommissioned and will no longer be available as a reporting point. Reporting points are required at Umiat NDB, Cold Bay LOM and King Salmon LOM to assist in the control of IFR air traffic.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of Sec. 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348 (a)) and Sec. 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on February 27, 1975.

F. L. CUNNINGHAM,
Acting Chief, Airspace and
Air Traffic Rules Division

[FR Doc.75-5745 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 75-SO-17]

[14 CFR Part 71]

DESIGNATION OF TRANSITION AREA Proposed Rule Making

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering an amendment to Part 71

of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would designate the Mt. Sterling, Ky., transition area.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration, Southern Region, Air Traffic Division, P.O. Box 20636, Atlanta, Ga. 30320. All communications received on or before April 4, 1975, will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials may be made by contacting the Chief, Airspace and Procedures Branch. Any data, views or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in light of comments received.

The official docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Federal Aviation Administration, Southern Region, Room 645, 3400 Whipple Street, East Point, Ga.

The Mt. Sterling transition area would be designated as:

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 5.5-mile radius of Mt. Sterling-Montgomery County Airport (Lat. 38°03'35" N., Long. 83°58'50" W.).

The proposed designation is required to provide controlled airspace protection for IFR operations at Mt. Sterling-Montgomery County Airport. A prescribed instrument approach procedure to this airport, utilizing the Lexington VORTAC, is proposed in conjunction with the designation of this transition area. If the proposed designation is deemed acceptable, the airport authorization will be changed from VFR to IFR.

(Sec. 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)) and of Sec. 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c))).

Issued in East Point, Ga., on February 20, 1975.

PHILLIP M. SWATEK,
Director, Southern Region.

[FR Doc. 75-5746 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION

[10 CFR Part 210]

STRIPPER WELL LEASE EXEMPTION

Proposed Rulemaking and Public Hearing

The Federal Energy Administration hereby gives notice of a proposal to revise its regulations implementing the stripper well lease exemption which is provided for by section 4(e)(2)(A) of the Emergency Petroleum Allocation Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93-159). This amendment is proposed in order to remove a disincentive to increased production from such marginally producing stripper well leases which exists under the current

regulation. The present stripper well lease exemption applies in each year following a calendar year in which production from the lease was at or below stripper well level. The proposed revision would provide that the exemption be retained, if production were at or below stripper well levels for calendar year 1974, in all subsequent years, even if production were to increase in calendar year 1975 or thereafter. Rather than effecting the deregulation of any presently price-controlled crude oil, FEA expects the proposed amendment to encourage the production of additional crude oil which producers would not otherwise produce because threatened by the loss of the stripper well lease exemption that would result under present regulations.

Section 210.32(a) of the FEA regulations now exempts from the provisions of Parts 211 (Mandatory Petroleum Allocation Regulations) and 212 (Mandatory Petroleum Price Regulations) the "first sale of domestic crude petroleum and petroleum condensates, including natural gas liquids produced from any stripper well lease * * *." A "stripper well lease" is currently defined in § 210.32(b) as "a 'property' whose average daily production of crude petroleum and petroleum condensates, including natural gas liquids, per well did not exceed 10 barrels per day during the preceding calendar year." The rule thus provides to a property an exemption (of a year's duration) based upon the level of production from that property during the preceding calendar year. Once qualified, however, the property enjoys the exemption for only one calendar year, during which the property must again qualify in order to enjoy the exemption during the succeeding calendar year.

Thus, a producer must compute the average daily production per well during each calendar year to determine whether the particular property will qualify as a stripper well lease during the following calendar year. If for example, a property's average daily production was 12 barrels per well per day during calendar year 1972, 11 barrels per well per day during 1973, 8 barrels per well per day during 1974, and (following the implementation of enhanced recovery techniques in late 1974) 15 barrels per well per day during 1975, the property would qualify (because of its 1974 production) for the stripper well lease exemption in 1975, but would lose the exemption in 1976 and thereafter, until the year following a calendar year during which the average daily production was less than 10 barrels per well per day.

The amendment proposed today would extend the exemption (in the example above, the property would also qualify in 1975 and thereafter) by providing that if a property qualifies as a stripper well lease (by virtue of its average daily production for the calendar year 1974), that property would not lose the exemption if in any succeeding calendar year, it produced crude oil in excess of 10 barrels per well per day.

As the foregoing example illustrates, the exemption in its present form serves as a disincentive to the implementation of secondary recovery methods, particularly since those methods typically involve a decrease in the number of producing wells and therefore a corresponding increase in the average number of barrels of crude oil produced per well. (It should be noted in this regard that Ruling 1974-29 (39 FR 44414, December 24, 1974) makes it clear that injection wells are not wells for the purpose of determining a property's average daily production per well.) This aspect of the definition of the stripper well lease exemption operates not only to discourage secondary recovery methods on a particular property, but also affects in like manner the implementation of unitization agreements intended to facilitate enhanced recovery techniques for a number of properties. The proposed revision, therefore, is intended to eliminate this disincentive and thereby encourage secondary recovery and unitization agreements intended to promote secondary recovery.

Accordingly, the FEA proposes to amend § 210.32 so as to provide that the stripper well lease exemption will apply to a property whose average daily production of crude oil, including condensates, per well did not exceed 10 barrels per day during the calendar year 1974. Other non-substantive changes are proposed to conform the terminology used in § 210.32 to other recently amended definitions.

Public hearings in this proceeding regarding the proposed revision to the stripper well lease exemption will be held beginning at 9:30 a.m. on Thursday, March 21, 1975, at the Federal Building, Room 3000, 12th and Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C., in order to receive comments from interested persons.

Any person who has an interest in the proposed amendment or who is a representative of a group or class of persons that has an interest in the proposed amendment, may make a written request for an opportunity to make oral presentation. Such a request should be directed to Executive Communications, FEA, Room 3309, Federal Building, 12th and Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20461, and must be received before 4:30 p.m. e.d.s.t., Thursday, March 13, 1975. Such a request may be hand-delivered to Room 3309, Federal Building, 12th and Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C., between the hours of 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday. The person making the request should be prepared to describe the interest concerned; if appropriate, to state he is a proper representative of a group or class of persons that has such an interest; and to give a concise summary of the proposed oral presentation and a phone number where he may be contacted through March 19, 1975. Each person selected to be heard will be so notified by the FEA before 4:30 p.m., e.d.s.t., March 17, 1975 and must submit 100 copies of his statement to Executive Communications, FEA, Room 3309, Fed-

eral Building, Washington, D.C. 20461, before 4:30 p.m., e.d.s.t., on March 20, 1975

The FEA reserves the right to select the persons to be heard at these hearings, to schedule their respective presentations and to establish the procedures governing the conduct of the hearings. The length of each presentation may be limited, based on the number of persons requesting to be heard.

An FEA official will be designated to preside at the hearings. These will not be judicial or evidentiary-type hearings. Questions may be asked only by those conducting the hearings and there will be no cross-examination of persons presenting statements. Any decision made by the FEA with respect to the subject matter of the hearings will be based on all information available to the FEA. At the conclusion of all initial oral statements, each person who has made an oral statement will be given the opportunity, if he so desires, to make a rebuttal statement. The rebuttal statements will be given in the order in which the initial statements were made and will be subject to time limitations.

Any interested person may submit questions, to be asked of any person making a statement at the hearings, to Executive Communications, FEA, before 4:30 p.m., e.d.s.t., March 18, 1975. Any person who makes an oral statement and who wishes to ask a question at the hearings may submit the question, in writing, to the presiding officer. The FEA or the presiding officer, if the question is submitted at the hearings, will determine whether the question is relevant, and whether time limitations permit it to be presented for answer.

Any further procedural rules needed for the proper conduct of the hearings will be announced by the presiding officer.

A transcript of the hearings will be made and the entire record of the hearings, including the transcript, will be retained by the FEA and made available for inspection at the Administrator's Reception Area of the FEA, Room 3400, The Federal Building, 12th and Pennsylvania, N.W., Washington, D.C., between the hours of 8 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday. Any person may purchase a copy of the transcript from the reporter.

Interested persons are invited to participate in this rulemaking by submitting data, views, or arguments with respect to the proposed regulations set forth in this notice to Executive Communications, Room 3309, Federal Energy Administration, Box CJ, Washington, D.C. 20461.

Comments should be identified on the outside envelope and on documents submitted to the FEA, Executive Communications, with the designation "Stripper Well Lease Exemption." Fifteen copies should be submitted. All comments received by March 18, 1975 and all relevant information, will be considered by the Federal Energy Administration be-

fore final action is taken on the proposed regulations.

Any information or data considered by the person furnishing it to be confidential must be so identified and submitted in writing, one copy only. The FEA reserves the right to determine the confidential status of the information or data and to treat it according to its determination.

As required by section 7(c)(2) of the Federal Energy Administration Act of 1974, Pub. L. 93-275, a copy of this Notice has been submitted to the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency for his comments concerning the impact of this proposal on the quality of the environment. The Administrator had no comments to offer in this regard.

(Emergency Petroleum Allocation Act of 1973, Pub. L. 93-159; Federal Energy Administration Act of 1974, Pub. L. 93-275; E.O. 11790 (39 FR 23185)).

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 210 of Chapter II, Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations, is proposed to be amended as set forth below.

Issued in Washington, D.C., February 28, 1975.

DAVID G. WILSON,
Acting General Counsel,
Federal Energy Administration.

Section 210.32 is revised to read as follows:

§ 210.32 Stripper well leases.

(a) The first sale of crude oil, including condensates, produced from any stripper well lease is exempt from the provisions of Parts 211 and 212 of this title.

(b) *Definitions.* "Average daily production" means the qualified maximum total production of crude oil, including condensates, produced from a property, divided by a number equal to the number of days in the year times the number of wells which produced crude oil, including condensates, from that property in that year. To qualify as maximum total production, each well on the property must have been maintained at the maximum feasible rate of production, in accordance with recognized conservation practices, and not significantly curtailed by reason of mechanical failure or other disruption in production.

"First sale" means the first transfer for value by the producer or royalty owner.

"Property" is the right which arises from a lease in existence in 1972 or from a fee interest to produce crude oil in existence in 1972 and is coextensive with the term "property" used in § 212.72 for purposes of determining "base production control level for crude petroleum."

"Stripper well lease" means a "property" whose average daily production of crude oil, including condensates, per well did not exceed 10 barrels per day during calendar year 1974, or any calendar year thereafter.

[FR Doc.75-5854 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket No. RM75-20]

[18 CFR Parts 141 and 260]

NON-UTILITY AFFILIATES

Revisions of Certain Schedule Pages of FPC Annual Report Forms No. 1 and No. 2 To Obtain Additional Information

FEBRUARY 25, 1975.

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553, sections 301, 304, and 309 of the Federal Power Act (49 Stat. 854, 855, 858; (16 U.S.C. 825, 825c, 825h)) and sections 8, 10, and 16 of the Natural Gas Act (52 Stat. 825, 826, 830; (15 U.S.C. 717g, 717i, 717o)), the Commission gives notice it proposes to amend, effective for the reporting year 1974:

A. Certain schedules of FPC Form No. 1,¹ Annual Report for Class A and Class B Electric Utilities, Licensees and Others, prescribed by § 141.1, Chapter I, Title 18, CFR.

B. Certain schedules of FPC Form No. 2,¹ Annual Report for Class A and Class B Natural Gas Companies, prescribed by § 260.1, Chapter I, Title 18, CFR.

The amendments as proposed herein are the result of renewed concern in the impact diversification may have on utilities under the Commission's jurisdiction, the subject of a previous rulemaking proceeding, Docket No. R-423, which was terminated on February 4, 1974 (39 FR 5200, February 11, 1974).

Inquiries emanating both from the Congress and the public on the broad subject of corporate disclosure are markedly increasing. Consequently, the Commission has under consideration a proposed rulemaking, Docket No. RM74-17, Reporting of Security Holders and Voting Power Disclosure and Debt Holders Disclosure, and Docket No. RM75-7, Amendments to Schedule Pages 104 and 105 of Annual Report Forms No. 1 and No. 2 to Extend Reporting of Business Interest and Securities Held by Company Officers and Directors. Because of the increasing number of utilities engaged in diversified operations not subject to regulation, the Commission feels that there is also a need for more extensive information in this specific area. While corporate diversifications have as an objective the strengthening of the combined entities' financial base and profit potential, such control relationships could lead to the dilution of the resources of the regulated activity and thus adversely affect the regulated companies' potential for growth and service. Therefore, the public interest seems to require that the Commission be kept fully informed of such relationships and activities in order to evaluate how the regulated segment is faring within its corporate structure.

Our present reporting requirements are predicated primarily on the single entity corporate reporting concept, which tends to obscure the Commission's

¹ Forms referenced above, contained in appendices A-D filed as part of the original.

view of the actual proprietary and management functions resulting from the aforementioned control relationships; consequently, we believe this hinders the Commission evaluation of the effect of such relationships on the public interest. Additionally, it is believed that present reporting requirements raise questions whether the results of the regulated segment of the entity, as distinct from the unregulated in which the controlling person may be engaged, are being fairly presented and whether the Commission has complete information with respect to the coordination of multiple activities. It is the purpose of the proposed amendments to provide the Commission with more information, where there are more than one tier of subsidiary companies, which would serve as indicators of both the strong and weak areas in the whole corporate structure. This information should also be available to other interested persons for similar determinations and investment purposes.

The proposed amendments to the Commission's Annual Report Form No. 1 would be issued under authority granted the Federal Power Commission by the Federal Power Act, particularly

Sections 301, 304, and 309 (49 Stat. 854, 855, 858; (16 U.S.C. 825, 825c, 825h)).

The proposed amendments to the Commission's Annual Report Form No. 2 would be issued under authority granted the Federal Power Commission by the Natural Gas Act, particularly Sections 8, 10, and 16 (52 Stat. 825, 826, 830; (15 U.S.C. 717g, 717i, 717o)).

Any interested person may submit to the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, to be received no later than April 11, 1975, data, views, comments or suggestions in writing concerning all or part of the proposals herein. Written submittals will be placed in the Commission's public files and will be available for public inspection at the Commission's Office of Public Information, Washington, D.C. 20426, during regular business hours. The Commission will consider all such written submittals before acting on the matters herein proposed. An original and 14 conformed copies should be filed with the Secretary of the Commission. Submittals to the Commission should indicate the name, title, mailing address and telephone number of the person to whom communications concerning the proposal should be addressed, and whether the

person filing them requests a conference with the staff of the Federal Power Commission to discuss the proposed revisions. The staff, in its discretion, may grant or deny requests for conference.

(A) Effective for the reporting year 1974, it is proposed to amend General Instructions, page 1, schedule page 203, and add a new schedule page 203-A to FPC Form No. 1, Annual Report for Electric Utilities, Licensees and Others, (Class A and Class B) prescribed by § 11.1, Chapter I, Title 18 of the Code of Federal Regulations, all as set forth in Attachments A, B, and C hereto.

(B) Effective for the reporting year 1974, it is proposed to amend General Instructions, page 1, schedule page 203, and add a new schedule page 203-A (Class A and Class B) prescribed by § 260.1, Chapter I, Title 18 of the Code of Federal Regulations, all as set forth in Attachments A, B, and D hereto.

The Secretary shall cause prompt publication of this notice to be made in the **FEDERAL REGISTER**.

By direction of the Commission.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-5655 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

notices

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[CM-5/24]

NORTHWEST ATLANTIC FISHERIES ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Rescheduled Meeting

The Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Advisory Committee will hold a public meeting on March 26, 1975, at 10 am, at the Ramada Inn, 225 William F. McClellan Highway, East Boston, Massachusetts.

The meeting of the Committee originally scheduled for March 13, 1975, as published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of February 25, 1975 (40 FR 8112) has been cancelled.

The purpose of the meeting is to discuss preparations being made for the Annual Meeting of the International Commission for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries (ICNAF), and to discuss the results of the meeting of the ICNAF enforcement committee which will have taken place the first week in March.

Limited seating is available for public attendance and participation. Inquiries may be directed to Mr. W. G. Gordon, Deputy Director, Northeast Region, National Marine Fisheries Service, Gloucester, Massachusetts, telephone 617/281-0640.

Dated: February 28, 1975.

WILLIAM L. SULLIVAN, JR.,
Coordinator of Oceans and
Fisheries Affairs, Department
of State.

[FR Doc. 75-5903 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

[T.D. Order No. 150-55 Rescission]

SECRETARY OF THE INTERIOR

Delegation of Functions

By virtue of the authority vested in me as Secretary of the Treasury, Treasury Department Order 150-55, 1961-1 C.B. 860, 26 FR 800 (1961) is hereby revoked.

Dated: February 21, 1975.

[SEAL] WILLIAM E. SIMON,
Secretary of the Treasury.

[FR Doc. 75-5816 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary of Defense

DEFENSE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON WOMEN IN THE SERVICES

Meeting

Pursuant to Pub. L. 92-463 notice is hereby given that the next meeting of

the Defense Advisory Committee on Women in the Services (DACOWITS) will be held April 6-10, 1975 in Washington, D.C.

Composed of 30 civilian women, DACOWITS meets twice each year to provide the Department of Defense with assistance and advice on matters relating to women in the Armed Forces, to interpret to the public the role of and the need for servicewomen and to encourage the acceptance of military service as a career opportunity.

The agenda for this meeting will include discussion on pending legislation, affecting women, pre-commissioning training, recruitment needs, fringe benefits, assignment and promotion. Sessions will be conducted from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m. daily and will be open to the public.

The following rules and regulations will govern the participation by members of the public at this meeting:

(1) All business sessions, to include executive committee sessions, will be open to the public.

(2) Since the Pentagon is closed to the general public, persons desiring to attend the session on April 7, 1975 in the Pentagon must notify the DACOWITS Secretariat (202) OXFORD 5-5153 by April 2, 1975 so that proper escorts to and from the meeting can be arranged.

(3) Interested persons may submit a written statement and/or make an oral presentation for consideration by the Committee during the meeting.

(4) Persons desiring to make an oral presentation or submit a written statement to the Committee must notify Lieutenant Colonel Martha A. Cox, DACOWITS Executive Secretary, OASD (Manpower and Reserve Affairs), Room 25257, The Pentagon, Washington, D.C. 20301 by March 20, 1975.

(5) Length and number of oral presentations to be made will depend on the number of requests received.

(6) Oral presentations will be permitted only from 4:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. on April 7, 1975 before the full Committee.

(7) Each person desiring to make an oral presentation or submit a written statement must provide the DACOWITS Secretariat with 30 copies of the presentation/statement by April 3, 1975.

(8) Persons submitting a written statement only for inclusion in the minutes of the meeting must submit one (1) copy either before or during the meeting or within ten (10) days after the close of the meeting.

(9) Members of the public will not be permitted to enter into the oral discussion conducted by the Committee members at any of the sessions; however, they

will be permitted to reply to questions directed to them by members of the Committee.

(10) Members of the public will be permitted to orally question the scheduled speakers if time allows after the official participants have asked questions and/or made comments.

(11) Questions from the public will not be accepted during the new member orientation, subcommittee sessions, the executive committee sessions or the final general session on April 10, 1975.

(12) Members of the public will not be permitted to question the Committee members during any session.

Additional information regarding the Committee and/or this meeting may be obtained by contacting LtCol Martha A. Cox, DACOWITS Executive Secretary, OASD(M&RA), The Pentagon, Washington, D.C. 20301, telephone (202) OXFORD 5-5153.

Dated: February 28, 1975.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Director, Correspondence and
Directives OASD (Comptroller).

[FR Doc. 75-5778 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

DEFENSE SCIENCE BOARD TASK FORCE ON GUN SYSTEM ACQUISITION

Advisory Committee Meeting

The Defense Science Board Task Force on Gun System Acquisition will meet in closed session 21 March 1975 at the Pentagon, Arlington, Virginia.

The mission of the Defense Science Board is to advise the Secretary of Defense and the Director of Defense Research and Engineering on overall research and engineering and to provide long range guidance in these areas to the Department of Defense.

The responsibility of the Task Force is to provide an independent review and assessment of the military/industrial capability to design, develop, manufacture, and field reliable gun systems and to identify the strengths and weaknesses in our current way of acquiring gun systems for the Services.

At this meeting, the Task Force will review gun development programs; will receive briefings on U.S. gun production capability and will review and discuss other pertinent and related subjects as may come before the group.

In accordance with Pub. L. 92-463, section 10, paragraph (d), it has been determined that Defense Science Board meetings concern matters listed in section 52(b) of Title 5 of the United States Code, particularly subparagraph (1)

thereof, and that the public interest requires such meetings to be closed insofar as the requirements of subsections (a) (1) and (a) (3) of section 10, Pub. L. 92-463 are concerned.

Dated: February 28, 1975.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Director, Correspondence and Directives OASD (Comptroller).

[FR Doc.75-5779 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

DEFENSE SCIENCE BOARD TASK FORCE ON GUN SYSTEM ACQUISITION

Advisory Committee Meeting

The Defense Science Board Task Force on Gun System Acquisition will meet in closed session 2 and 3 April 1975 at the Pentagon, Arlington, Virginia.

The mission of the Defense Science Board is to advise the Secretary of Defense and the Director of Defense Research and Engineering on overall research and engineering and to provide long range guidance in these areas to the Department of Defense.

The responsibility of the Task Force is to provide an independent review and assessment of the military/industrial capability to design, develop, manufacture, and field reliable gun systems and to identify the strengths and weaknesses in our current way of acquiring gun systems for the Services.

At this meeting, the Task Force will review gun development programs; will receive briefings on U.S. gun production capability and will review and discuss other pertinent and related subjects as may come before the group.

In accordance with Pub L. 92-463, section 10, paragraph (d), it has been determined that Defense Science Board meetings concern matters listed in section 552(b) of Title 5 of the United States Code, particularly subparagraph (1) thereof, and that the public interest requires such meetings to be closed insofar as the requirements of subsections (a) (1) and (a) (3) of section 10, Pub. L. 92-463 are concerned.

Dated: February 28, 1975.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Director, Correspondence and Directives OASD (Comptroller).

[FR Doc.75-5781 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

DEFENSE SCIENCE BOARD TASK FORCE ON GUN SYSTEM ACQUISITION

Advisory Committee Meeting

The Defense Science Board Task Force on Gun System Acquisition will meet in closed session 14 through 18 April 1975 at the Pentagon, Arlington, Virginia.

The mission of the Defense Science Board is to advise the Secretary of Defense and the Director of Defense Research and Engineering on overall research and engineering and to provide long range guidance in these areas to the Department of Defense.

The responsibility of the Task Force is to provide an independent review and assessment of the military/industrial capability to design, develop, manufacture, and field reliable gun systems and to identify the strengths and weaknesses in our current way of acquiring gun systems for the Services.

At this meeting, the Task Force will synthesize and assess data and prepare first drafts of the report.

In accordance with Pub. L. 92-463, section 10, paragraph (d), it has been determined that Defense Science Board meetings concern matters listed in section 552(b) of Title 5 of the United States Code, particularly subparagraph (1) thereof, and that the public interest requires such meetings to be closed insofar as the requirements of subsections (a) (1) and (a) (3) of section 10, Pub. L. 92-463 are concerned.

Dated: February 28, 1975.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Director, Correspondence and Directives OASD (Comptroller).

[FR Doc.75-5780 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE UNITED STATE V. NORRIS INDUSTRIES

Proposed Consent Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement Correction

In FR Doc. 75-4761 appearing at page 7689 in the FEDERAL REGISTER of Friday, February 21, 1975, make the following correction:

In the middle column, the 26th line from the top should read: "tion or the Court gives its consent to the".

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[NM 24545]

NEW MEXICO

Application

FEBRUARY 25, 1975.

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to section 28 of the Mineral Leasing Act of 1920 (30 U.S.C. 185), as amended by the Act of November 16, 1973 (87 Stat. 576), Natural Gas Pipeline Company has applied for a .01 acre meter site and a 4 inch natural gas pipeline right-of-way across the following lands:

NEW MEXICO PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN,
NEW MEXICO

T. 23 S., R. 30 E.,
Sec. 21, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$.

This pipeline will convey natural gas across .124 mile of national resource lands in Eddy County, New Mexico.

The purpose of this notice is to inform the public that the Bureau will be proceeding with consideration of whether the application should be approved, and if so, under what terms and conditions.

Interested persons desiring to express their views should promptly send their name and address to the District Manager, Bureau of Land Management, PO

Box 1397, 1717 West Second Street, Roswell, NM 88201.

RAUL E. MARTINEZ,
Acting Chief, Branch of Lands and Minerals Operations.

[FR Doc.75-5800 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

[NM 24605]

NEW MEXICO

Application

FEBRUARY 24, 1975.

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to section 28 of the Mineral Leasing Act of 1920 (30 U.S.C. 185), as amended by the Act of November 16, 1973 (87 Stat. 576), El Paso Natural Gas Company has applied for a 4½ inch natural gas pipeline right-of-way across the following lands:

NEW MEXICO PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, NEW MEXICO

T. 29 N., R. 8 W.,
Sec. 3, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.

This pipeline will convey natural gas across .168 mile of national resource lands in San Juan County, New Mexico.

The purpose of this notice is to inform the public that the Bureau will be proceeding with consideration of whether the application should be approved, and if so, under what terms and conditions.

Interested persons desiring to express their views should promptly send their name and address to the District Manager, Bureau of Land Management, 3550 Pan American Freeway, NE, Albuquerque, NM 87107.

RAUL E. MARTINEZ,
Acting Chief, Branch of Lands and Minerals Operations.

[FR Doc.75-5801 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

[NM 24527]

NEW MEXICO

Application

FEBRUARY 25, 1975.

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to section 28 of the Mineral Leasing Act of 1920 (30 U.S.C. 185), as amended by the Act of November 16, 1973 (87 Stat. 576), El Paso Natural Gas Company has applied for two 4½ inch natural gas pipelines rights-of-way across the following lands:

NEW MEXICO PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, NEW MEXICO

T. 20 S., R. 29 E.
Sec. 15, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 16, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.

These pipelines will convey natural gas across .978 mile of national resource lands in Eddy County, New Mexico.

The purpose of this notice is to inform the public that the Bureau will be proceeding with consideration of whether the application should be approved, and if so, under what terms and conditions.

Interested persons desiring to express their views should promptly send their

name and address to the District Manager, Bureau of Land Management, P.O. Box 1397, 1717 West Second Street, Roswell, NM 88201.

RAUL E. MARTINEZ,
Acting Chief, Branch of
Lands and Minerals Operations.

[FR Doc.75-5802 Filed 3-5-75;8:45 am]

Bureau of Reclamation
SAN FELIPE DIVISION, CENTRAL VALLEY
PROJECT, CALIFORNIA

Public Hearing on Draft Environmental
Statements

Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the Department of the Interior has prepared a draft environmental statement for the authorized San Felipe Division of the Central Valley Project in Merced, Santa Clara, and San Benito Counties in California. The statement (INT DES 75-7) was transmitted to the Council on Environmental Quality and made available to the public on February 19, 1975.

The draft environmental statement deals with the construction and operation of the San Felipe Division facilities to provide about 200,000 acre-feet of supplemental municipal, industrial, and irrigation water to parts of Santa Clara and San Benito Counties, California. The division facilities will import water from the Central Valley through a tunnel and distribute it through a system of open and closed conduits and relift pumping plants.

Three public hearings have been scheduled to receive views and comments from interested individuals and organizations concerning the draft environmental statement. The locations, dates, and times for the hearings are as follows:

1. In San Jose, California, at the San Jose Civic Auditorium, 145 West San Carlos Street, in McCabe Hall, on April 9, 1975, at 7:30 p.m.
2. In Hollister, California, at the San Benito County Joint Union High School at Monterey and Nash Roads on April 10, 1975, at 1:30 p.m.
3. In Gilroy, California, in the Cafeterium of the Gilroy High School on I.O.O.F. Avenue on April 10, 1975, at 7:30 p.m.

Each hearing will continue until all persons desiring to comment have been heard. Individuals and representatives of organizations desiring to present their views at the hearings should contact the Regional Environmental Quality Officer, Bureau of Reclamation, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, California 95825, telephone (916) 484-4792. Requests for scheduling of oral presentations will be accepted until 4 p.m., April 4, 1975, and any subsequent requests will be handled on a first-come-first-served basis following the scheduled presentation. Insofar as practicable, speakers will be scheduled according to the time preferences indicated in their letter or telephone re-

quests, and any scheduled speaker not present when called will lose his privilege in the scheduled order and his name will be recalled at the end of the scheduled speakers.

The time permitted for oral presentations at the hearings may be limited to 10 minutes per speaker, depending on the number of presentations scheduled. Speakers will not be permitted to trade or consolidate their scheduled times to make longer individual presentations. However, the person presiding at the hearing may allow additional oral comments by anyone after all scheduled speakers have been heard. Written statements by persons who desire to supplement their oral presentations and by those unable to attend the public hearing may be submitted to the Regional Environmental Quality Officer (address given above) through April 17, 1975, for inclusion in the hearing record.

Copies of the draft environmental statement are available for public examination at the Mid-Pacific regional office of the Bureau of Reclamation, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, California 95825. Single copies of the statement may be obtained without charge by writing to the Regional Director, Bureau of Reclamation, at the address given above for the Mid-Pacific regional office.

Dated: February 27, 1975.

G. G. STAMM,
Commissioner of Reclamation.

[FR Doc.75-5730 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

Geological Survey

LITTLE HORSE MOUNTAIN, CALIF.
Known Geothermal Resources Area

Pursuant to the authority vested in the Secretary of the Interior by sec. 21 (a) of the Geothermal Steam Act of 1970 (84 Stat. 1566, 1572; (30 U.S.C. 1020)), the delegations of authority in 220 Departmental Manual 4.1 H, Geological Survey Manual 220.2.3, and Conservation Division Supplement (Geological Survey Manual) 220.2.1 G, the following described lands are hereby defined as the Little Horse Mountain known geothermal resources area, effective February 1, 1974.

(5) CALIFORNIA

LITTLE HORSE MOUNTAIN KNOWN GEOTHERMAL RESOURCES AREA, MT. DIABLO MERIDIAN, CALIFORNIA

T. 16 N., R. 8 W.,
Sec. 6: All.

T. 16 N., R. 9 W.,
Sec. 1: Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, S½N½, N½S½,
S½SW¼.

The area described aggregates 1,196 acres, more or less.

Dated: February 3, 1975.

HILLARY A. ODEN,
Acting Conservation Manager,
Western Region.

[FR Doc.75-5812 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

SHIPPERS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Handling of Oranges, Grapefruit, Tangerines, and Tangelos Grown in Florida; Public Meeting

Pursuant to the provisions of section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (86 Stat. 770), notice is hereby given of a meeting of the Shippers Advisory Committee established under Marketing Order No. 905 (7 CFR Part 905). This order regulates the handling of oranges, grapefruit, tangerines, and tangelos grown in Florida and is effective pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674). The committee will meet in the A. B. Michael Auditorium of the Florida Citrus Mutual Building, 302 South Massachusetts Avenue, Lakeland, Florida, at 10:30 a.m., on March 25, 1975.

The meeting will be open to the public and a brief period will be set aside for public comments and questions. The agenda of the committee includes analysis of current information concerning market supply and demand factors, and consideration of recommendations for regulation of shipments of the named fruits.

The names of committee members, agenda, and other information pertaining to the meeting may be obtained from Frank D. Trovillion, Manager, Growers Administrative Committee, P.O. Box R, Lakeland, Florida 33802; telephone 813-682-3103.

Dated: February 27, 1975.

JOHN C. BLUM,
Associate Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-5784 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service
ANIMAL WELFARE

List of Registered Research Facilities

Pursuant to the provisions of the Act of August 24, 1966, as amended by the Animal Welfare Act of 1970 (7 U.S.C. 2131 et seq.), and the regulations thereunder (9 CFR Part 2), notice is hereby given that the following research facilities are registered under said Act:

ALASKA

University of Alaska, College 99701.

ALABAMA

Auburn University, Auburn 36830.
Southern Research Institute, 2000 Ninth Avenue South, Birmingham 32505.
Tuskegee Institute, Tuskegee Institute 36088.
University of Alabama Medical Center, 1919 Seventh Avenue South, Birmingham 35233.

ARIZONA

Arizona Heart Institute, 350 West Thomas Road, Phoenix 85113.
Arizona State University, Animal Resource Center, Room 236, Tempe 85381.
Good Samaritan Hospital, 1033 East McDowell Road, Phoenix 95002.

Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff 86001.
St. Joseph's Hospital and Medical Center,
350 W. Thomas Road, Phoenix 85113.
University of Arizona, Tucson 85721.

ARKANSAS

Animal Behavior Enterprises, Inc., Route 6,
Box 368, Hot Springs 71901.
University of Arkansas, Fayetteville 72701.

CALIFORNIA

Adams Caviary, 3846 N. Charlotte Ave., San
Gabriel 91776.
Aerojet Medical & Biological Systems, 9200
East Flair Drive, El Monte 91734.
Alchem Laboratories, Inc., 13478 Beach Ave.,
Marina Del Rey 90291.
Allergan Pharmaceuticals, 2525 Dupont Drive,
Irvine 92664.
Alpha Gamma Labs, 160 E. Montacito Avenue,
Sierra Madre 91024.
Alza Corporation, 950 Page Mill Road, Palo
Alto 94304.
Applied Biological Sciences Laboratory, Inc.,
6320 San Fernando Road, Glendale 91201.
Attending Staff Association, Los Angeles
County Harbor General Hospital, 1000 West
Carson Street, Torrance 90509.
Barlow Hospital, 2000 Stadium Way, Los
Angeles 90026.
Barnes-Hind Pharmacy, Inc., 895 Kifer Road,
Sunnyvale 94086.
Beckman Instruments, Inc., Diagnostics
Operations, 2041 E. Lambert Road, La
Habra 90631.
Bentley Laboratories, Inc., 17502 Armstrong
Ave., Irvine 92705.
Bio-Science Laboratories, 7600 Tyrone Ave-
nue, Van Nuys 91405.
Bio-Technics Laboratories, Inc., 1133 Cren-
shaw Blvd., Los Angeles 90019.
Biological Sonar Laboratory, 8100 Patterson
Ranch Road, Fremont 94536.
Bruce Lyon Memorial Research Laboratory,
51st and Grove Streets, Oakland 94609.
Bureau of Public Health Laboratories, Los
Angeles 90012.
California Institute of Technology, 1201 East
California Boulevard, Pasadena 91109.
California State Colleges, Office of the Chan-
cellor, 5670 Wilshire Boulevard, Los Angeles
90036.
Cedars-Sinai Medical Research Institute, 8720
Beverly Boulevard, Los Angeles 90048.
Children's Hospital of Los Angeles, 4650 Sun-
set Boulevard, Los Angeles 90027.
Children's Hospital of San Francisco, Exper-
imental Surgical Laboratory, P.O. Box 3805,
San Francisco 94119.
City of Hope Medical Center, 1500 East
Duarte Road, Duarte 91010.
C L M G, Inc., Los Angeles 90057.
Consumnes River College, 8401 Center Park-
way, Sacramento 95823.
Cutter Laboratories, Inc., Fourth and
Parker Streets, Berkeley 94710.
Diagnostic Date, Inc., Mountain View 94043.
Doheny Eye Foundation, Estelle, 272 S. Lake
Street, Los Angeles 90057.
Charles R. Drew Post-Graduate Medical
School, 1621 E. 120th Street, Los Angeles
90059.
Emery Cancer Research Fund, Los Angeles
90057.
Endocrine Sciences, 18418 Oxnard Street,
Tarzana 91356.
Ershoff, Benjamin, Ph.D., 9331 Venice Boul-
evard, Culver City 90230.
The Hine Laboratories, Inc., 1099 Folsom
Street, San Francisco 94103.
Hollywood Presbyterian Hospital, 1322 North
Vermont Avenue, Los Angeles 90027.
Huntington Institute of Applied Medical Re-
search, 734 Fairmount Avenue, Pasadena
91105.

Hyland Division of Travenol Laboratories,
4501 Colorado Boulevard, Los Angeles
90039.
ICN-Nucleic Acid Research Institute, 2727
Campus Drive, Irvine 92664.
Institute of Chemical Biology, University of
San Francisco 94117.
Institute of Medical Sciences, 2361 Clay
Street, San Francisco 94115.
Institute for Medical Research of Santa Clara
County, 751 South Bascom Avenue, San
Jose 95128.
International Medication Systems, Ltd., 1886
Santa Anita Avenue, South El Monte 91733.
Inter Science Institute, Los Angeles 90025.
Kearley, D.V.M., Edward O., Turlock 95380.
Lee Pharmaceuticals, 1444 Santa Anita Ave.,
South El Monte 91733.
Loma Linda University, Loma Linda 92354.
Los Angeles Pierce College, 6201 Winnetka
Boulevard, Woodland Hills 96413.
Loyola University, 7101 W. 80th Street, Los
Angeles 90045.
McGaw Laboratories, 1015 Grandview Ave-
nue, Glendale 91201.
Medi-Physics, Inc., 5855 Christie Avenue,
Emeryville 94608.
Memorial Hospital of Long Beach, 2801 At-
lantic Avenue, Long Beach 90800.
Mercy San Juan Hospital, 6501 Coyle Avenue,
Carmichael 95608.
MGD Laboratories, 2795-A Delmonte Street,
W. Sacramento 95691.
Mount Zion Hospital and Medical Center,
1600 Divisadero Street, San Francisco
94115.
Murphy, Howard F., Carlsbad 92008.
National Institute of Scientific Research,
12330 Santa Monica Boulevard, Newport
Beach 92660.
Nelson Research and Development Company,
19723 Jamboree Boulevard, Irvine 92664.
Newport Pharmaceuticals International,
Inc., 1590 Monrovia Boulevard, Newport
Beach 92660.
Nichold Institute for Endocrinology, 1300
South Beacon Street, San Pedro 90731.
Nutralite Products, Inc., 19600 Sixth Street,
Lakeview 92353.
Occidental College, Los Angeles 90041.
Olive View Hospital, 14445 Olive View Drive,
Sylmar 91342.
Orange County Medical Center, Attending
Staff, 101 Manchester Avenue, Orange
91108.
Pasadena City College, Pasadena 91106.
Pasadena Foundation for Medical Research,
99 North El Molino Avenue, Pasadena
91101.
Pasadena Hospital Association, Ltd., 734 Fair-
mount Avenue, Pasadena 91105.
Pharmaseal Laboratories, 4401 Foxdale Ave-
nue, Irwindale 91706.
Pomona College, Claremont, 91711.
Rancho Los Amigos Hospital, Inc., 7413
Golondrinas Street, Downey 90242.
Radioassay Systems Laboratories, Inc., 1511
E. Del Amo Blvd., Carson 90746.
Rancho Santiago Community College, Santa
Ana 92706.
Redken Laboratories, Inc., 14721 Califa
Street, Van Nuys 91401.
Salk Institute for Biological Studies, P.O.
Box 1809, San Diego 92104.
San Bernardino Valley College, San Bernar-
dino 92403.
San Diego Bio-Medical Research Institute,
3585 Fourth Avenue, San Diego 92104.
Sansum Clinic Research Foundation, 2219
Bath Street, Santa Barbara 93102.
Scripps Clinic and Research Foundation, 476
Prospect Street, La Jolla 92037.
Shell Development Co., P.O. Box 4248 Modesto
95352.
Sonoma State Hospital, Eldridge 95431.
St. Joseph's Hospital, 355 Buena Vista Avenue
East, San Francisco 94117.
St. Jude Hospital and Rehabilitation Center,
101 East Valencia Mesa Drive, Fullerton
92632.

St. Mary's Hospital and Medical Center, 220
Hayes Street, San Francisco 94117.
Standard Oil Company of California, 576
Standard Avenue, Room 5201, Richmond
94802.
Stanford Research Institute, 333 Ravenswood
Avenue, Menlo Park 94025.
Stanford University, School of Medicine,
Stanford Medical Center L003D, Stanford
94305.
Star-Kist Foods, Inc., 920 Barracuda Street,
Terminal Island 90731.
State of California Department of Public
Health, 2151 Berkeley Way, Berkeley 94704.
Sutter Community Hospitals of Sacramento,
2820 L. Street, Sacramento 95816.
Syntex Corporation, Research Division, 3401
Hillview Avenue, Palo Alto 94304.
Syva Company, 2349 Charleston Road, Moun-
tain View 94040.
Tera Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 6920 Stanton
Avenue, Buena Park 90621.
University of Southern California, University
Park, Los Angeles 90007.
University of the Pacific, Pacific Avenue and
Stadium Drive, Stockton 95204.
Valley Childrens Hospital and Guidance
Clinic, 3151 North Millbrook, Fresno 93703.
Vita Minerals, Inc., 1815 Flower Street, Glen-
dale 91201.
White Memorial Medical Center, 1720 Brook-
lyn Avenue, Los Angeles 90033.
Whittier College, Department of Biology,
Whittier 90608.
Yuba College, Linda and Beale Roads, Marys-
ville 95901.

COLORADO

Arapahoe Community College, 5000 South
Santa Fe Drive, Littleton 80120
Bel Rae Institute, 9870 East Alameda Avenue,
Denver 80231.
Children's Asthma Research Institute and
Hospital, 3401 West 19th Avenue, Denver
80204.
Cobe Laboratories, Inc., 1201 Oak Street,
Lakewood 80215.
The Colorado College, Colorado Springs
80903.
Colorado Mountain College, Glenwood
Springs 81601.
Colorado Serum Co., 4950 York Street, Denver
80216.
Colorado Statet University, Fort Collins
80521.
Community College of Denver, Auraria
Campus, 1201 Acoma, Denver 80204.
Community College of Denver, Red Rocks
Campus, 1209 Quail Street, Lakewood
80215.
Community College of Denver, 1001 East
62nd Avenue, Denver 80216.
Department of Health and Hospitals, West
8th Avenue and Cherokee Street, Denver
80204.
Fort Lewis College, Biology Department,
Durango 81301.
Meridian Bio-Medical, Inc., 3278 South Wads-
worth, Denver 80227.
Otero Junior College, 18th and Colorado Ave-
nue, La Junta 81050.
Penrose Hospital, 2215 North Cascade Avenue,
Colorado Springs 80903.
Regis College, West 50th and Lowell Boul-
evard, Denver 80221.
Saint Joseph Hospital, 1835 Franklin Street,
Denver 80218.
University of Colorado, Boulder 80302.
University of Northern Colorado, Greeley
80631.
Western State College of Colorado, Gunnison
81230.

CONNECTICUT

Bio-Medical Research, Incorporated, 858 Oak-
wood Road, Orange 06477.
Center for Laboratory Animal Care, Farming-
ton 06032.

Connecticut State Department of Health, P.O. Box 1689, Hartford 06101.
 Fairfield University, Fairfield 06430.
 Hartford Hospital, 80 Seymour Street, Hartford 06115.
 The Hospital of St. Raphael, 1450 Chapel Street, New Haven 06511.
 The John B. Pierce Foundation of Connecticut, Inc., 290 Congress Avenue, New Haven 06519.
 New England Institute, 90 Grove Street, Ridgefield 06877.
 The Norwalk Museum and Zoo, 133 Lexington Avenue, Norwalk 06854.
 Quinnipiac College, 555 New Road, Hamden 06518.
 Saint Francis Hospital, 114 Woodland Street, Hartford 06105.
 Saint Mary's Hospital Surgical Laboratory, 56 Franklin Street, Waterbury 06702.
 The University of Connecticut, Storrs 06268.
 University of Hartford, 200 Bloomfield Avenue, West Hartford 06117.
 Yale University, School of Medicine, 333 Cedar Street, New Haven 06510.

DELAWARE

Alfred I. DuPont Institute, Rockland Road, Wilmington 19899.
 Atlas Chemical Industries, Inc., Concord Pike and New Murphy Road, Wilmington 19899.
 DuPont Experimental Station Building 328, Room B-33, Wilmington 19898.
 Haskell Laboratory for Toxicology and Industrial Medicine, Elkton Road, Newark 19711.
 Hercules, Inc., Hercules Agricultural Chemicals Laboratory, Wilmington 19899.
 Sterwin Laboratories, Inc., Dupont Highway, Millsboro 19966.
 Stine Laboratory, E. I. Du Pont de Nemours and Co., Inc., P.O. Box 30, Newark 19711.
 University of Delaware, Newark 19711.
 Wilmington Medical Center, Inc., 14th and Washington Streets, Wilmington 19899.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

The American University, Massachusetts and Nebraska Avenues, NW, 20016.
 Children's Hospital of the District of Columbia, 2125 13th Street, NW, Washington 20009.
 Georgetown University, Animal Care Facility, 3900 Reservoir Road, NW, Washington 20007.
 The George Washington University, Washington 20006.
 James F. Mitchell Foundation for Medical Education & Research, 5401 Western Ave., NW, Washington 20015.
 National Canners Association, 1133 20th Street, NW, Washington 20036.
 Washington Hospital Center, George Hyman Memorial Research Building, 110 Irving Street, NW, Washington 20010.

FLORIDA

Cape Laboratories Corporation, Box B1, Venice 33595.
 Dawson Research Corporation, 114 West Grant Avenue, Orlando 32806.
 Eckerd College, St. Petersburg 33733.
 Dr. G. T. Edds, Institute of Food and Agriculture Sciences, Gainesville 32601.
 Entomological Research Center, P.O. Box 520, Vero Beach 32960.
 Florida A & M University, Tallahassee 32307.
 Florida Institute of Technology, Box 1150, Country Club Road, Melbourne 32901.
 Florida Technological University, P.O. Box 2500, Alafaya Trail, Orlando 32816.
 Laboratory Animal Resources, Tallahassee 32306.
 J. Hillis Miller Health Center and College of Medicine, Gainesville 32601.
 Miami Heart Institute, Adams Research Building, 4701 N. Meridian Avenue, Miami Beach 33140.

Mount Sinai Hospital, 4300 Alton Road, Miami Beach 33140.
 University of Southern Florida, Medical Center, 4202 Fowler Avenue, Tampa 33620.
 University of Florida, Office of the President, Gainesville 32601.
 University of Southern Florida, Science Center, 801 First Street, South St. Petersburg 33733.
 University of Miami, Coral Gables 33124.
 Sherwood Medical Industries, Inc., P.O. Box 2078, Deland 32720.

GEORGIA

Emory University, School of Medicine, Atlanta 30322.
 Maag and Easterbrook, Inc., 1805 Tapawingo Drive, Gainesville 30501.
 Medical College of Georgia, Augusta 30902.
 Mercer University, Southern School of Pharmacy, 223 Walton Street, N.W., Atlanta 30303.
 Palmer Chemical and Equipment Company, Inc., Palmer Village, P.O. Box 867, Douglasville 30134.
 St. Joseph's Infirmary, 265 Ivy Street, N.E., Atlanta 30303.
 University of Georgia, Athens 30601.

HAWAII

Kuakini Hospital and Home, 347 North Kuakini Street, Honolulu 96817.
 Kuakini Medical Research Institute, 347 North Kuakini Street, Honolulu 96817.
 Pacific Biomedical Research Center, University of Hawaii, Honolulu 96813.
 The Queen's Medical Center, P.O. Box 861, Honolulu 96808.
 Research Corporation of the University of Hawaii, 1110 University Avenue, Honolulu 96814.
 St. Francis Hospital Research Laboratories, 2260 Liliha Street, Honolulu 96817.

IDAHO

Idaho State University, Pocatello 83201.
 University of Idaho, Moscow 83843.

ILLINOIS

Abbott Laboratories, 1400 Sheridan Road, North Chicago 60664.
 American Dental Association, 211 East Chicago Avenue, Chicago 60611.
 Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 South Cass Avenue, Argonne 60439.
 Armour Pharmaceutical Company, P.O. Box 511 Kankakee 60901.
 Arnar-Stone Laboratories, Inc., 601 East Kensington Road, Mount Prospect 60056.
 Biolabs, Inc., 2910 MacArthur Blvd., Northbrook 60062.
 Blackburn College, Carlinville 62626.
 Boebel, Dr. F. W., Sleepy Hollow Kennel and Cattery, Route 2, Box 73, Mundelein 60060.
 Bradley University, Peoria 61606.
 Chicago Board of Health, Chicago Civic Center, Chicago 60602.
 Chicago College of Osteopathy, 1122 East 53rd Street, Chicago 60615.
 Chicago Institute for Animal Research, P.O. Box 519, Morton Grove 60053.
 The Chicago Medical School, 710 South Wolcott Avenue, Chicago 60612.
 Children's Memorial Hospital, 2300 Children's Plaza, Chicago 60614.
 College of DuPage, 22 Lambert Road, Glen Ellyn 60137.
 Cook County Graduate School of Medicine, 707 South Wood Street, Chicago 60612.
 Department of Mental Health, 160 North LaSalle Street, Chicago 60601.
 DePaul University, Dept. of Biological Sciences, 1036 W. Belden Avenue, Chicago 60614.

Dyrkacz, Stanley, dba Herpetology Research Laboratory, 5215 W. Rt. 120 McHenry 60050.
 Edgewater Hospital, Research Laboratory, 5700 North Ashland, Chicago 60626.
 Elmhurst College, Elmhurst 60126.
 Eureka College, 300 East College, Eureka 61530.
 Evanston Hospital, Research Laboratories, 2650 Ridge Avenue, Evanston 60201.
 Galesburg State Research Hospital, Galesburg 61401.
 G. D. Searle and Company, Box 5110, Chicago 60680.
 General Foods Corporation, c/o Gaines Research Kennels, R.R. 3, St. Anne 60964.
 George Williams College, 555 31st Street, Downers Grove 60515.
 John A. Hartford Foundation, Lutheran General Hospital, Hyperbaric Oxygen Unit, 1775 Dempster, Park Ridge 60068.
 Hektoen Institute for Medical Research of the Cook County Hospital 627 South Wood Street, Chicago 60612.
 Illinois Benedictine College, Lisle 60532.
 Illinois Department of Public Health, 536 West Jefferson Street, Springfield 62706.
 Illinois Institute of Technology, 3300 South Federal Street, Chicago 60616.
 Illinois State University, Normal 61761.
 Illinois Wesleyan University, Bloomington 61701.
 Industrial Bio-Test Laboratories, Inc., 1810 Frontage Road, Northbrook 60062.
 International Scientific Industries, Inc., P.O. Box 9, Cary 60013.
 IIT Research Institute, 10 West 35th Street, Chicago 60616.
 Kendall Research Center, 411 Lake Zurich Road, Barrington 60010.
 Kraftco Research Farm, Box 143, Danville 61832.
 Lake-Erickson Lab, Inc., 112 North Tenth Avenue, Melrose Park 60160.
 La Rabida University of Chicago Institute, East 65th Street at Lake Michigan, Chicago 60649.
 Lake Forest College, Lake Forest 60045.
 Lincoln Land Community College, 3865 South Sixth Street, Springfield 62703.
 Loyola University, Stritch School of Medicine, 1400 South First Avenue, Hines 60141.
 Medi-Physics, Inc., 9820 Bryn Mawr, Rosemont 60018.
 The Memorial Hospital, 4501 North Park Drive, Belleville 62221.
 Memorial Hospital, First and Miller Streets, Springfield 62701.
 Mercy Hospital and Medical Center, Dept. of Research, Stevenson Expressway at King Drive, Chicago 60616.
 Mount Sinai Hospital Medical Center, California Avenue at 15th Street, Chicago 60608.
 Nelson M. Percy Medical Research Foundation, Augustana Hospital, 411 West Dickens, Chicago 60614.
 Northeastern Illinois University, Bryn Mawr at St. Louis, Chicago 60625.
 Northern Illinois University, De Kalb 60115.
 Northwestern University, Administration Building, Room 115, 619 Clark Street, Evanston 60201.
 Peoria Municipal TB Sanitarium, P.O. Box 60, Peoria 61601.
 Presbyterian-St. Luke's Hospital, Animal Research Facility, 1753 West Congress Parkway, Chicago 60612.
 Quaker Oats Company, 617 W. Main Street, Barrington 60010.
 Quincy College, Quincy 62301.
 Rosner-Hixson Laboratories, Division of Art-nell Company, Inc., 7737 South Chicago Avenue, Chicago 60612.

Saint Francis Hospital, 530 NE. Glen Oak Avenue, Peoria 61603.

Saint Francis Hospital, Surgical Research Department, 355 Ridge Avenue, Evanston 60202.

Saint James Hospital, Clinical Laboratories, 1423 Chicago Road, Chicago Heights 60411.

Saint John's Hospital Research Laboratories, 1111 North Lincoln Street, Springfield 62707.

Sangamon State University, Springfield 62703.

Sherman Hospital, 934 Center Street, Elgin 60120.

Smith-Douglass Division, Borden Chemical, Borden, Inc., Animal Care Facilities, N.R.L., R.R. No. 1, Elgin 60120.

Southern Illinois University, Carbondale 62901.

Southern Illinois University, Edwardsville 62025.

A. E. Staley Manufacturing Co., P.O. Box 151, Decatur 62525.

The Suburban Cook County TB Sanitarium District, 55th and County Line Road, Hinsdale 60521.

Thompson Research Foundation, Route 1, Box 97, Monette 60499.

Timberwood Game Research Center, Route 1, Kankakee 60901.

Travenol Laboratories, Inc., 6301 Lincoln Avenue, Morton Grove 60053.

The University of Chicago, 950 East 59th Street, Chicago 60637.

The University of Illinois at Chicago Circle, 2833 University Hall, Chicago 60680.

University of Illinois at the Medical Center, 833 South Wood Street, Chicago 60612.

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana 61801.

Western Illinois University, Macomb 61455.

West Laboratories, P.O. Box 666, St. Anne 60964.

Wilson Laboratories, Division of Wilson Pharmaceutical & Chemical Corporation, 4221 S. Western Blvd., Chicago 60609.

INDIANA

Ball State University, Cooper Life Science Building, Muncie 47306

Butler University, 4600 Sunset, Indianapolis 46208.

Central Soya Company, Inc., Research Feed Division, Decatur 46733.

El Lilly and Company, 740 South Alabama, Indianapolis 46206.

George M. Haley, M.D., Inc., 220 Sherland Building, South Bend 46601.

Indiana State University, Terre Haute 47809.

Indiana University, Bloomington 47401.

Fort Wayne Surgical Associates, Inc., 3124 East State Boulevard, Fort Wayne 46805.

Mead Johnson & Company, dba Mead Johnson Research Center, 2404 Pennsylvania Avenue, Evansville 47721.

Methodist Hospital of Indiana, Inc., Animal Research Facility, 1604 North Capitol Avenue, Indianapolis 46202.

Michigan Road Animal Hospital, 7720 N. Michigan Road, Indianapolis 46268.

Middle Mississippi Research Laboratory, 2819 W. Franklin Street, Evansville 47712.

Miles Laboratories, Inc., Therapeutics Research Laboratory, Elkhart 46514.

Purdue University, Purdue Research Foundation, Lafayette 47907.

Rose Polytechnic Institute, Biological Engineering Dept. 5500 Wabash Avenue, Terre Haute 47803.

University of Notre Dame, Lobund Laboratory, Notre Dame 46556.

Valparaiso University, Department of Biology, Valparaiso 46383.

Wabash College, Crawfordsville 47933.

IOWA

College of Osteopathic Medicine and Surgery, 720 Sixth Avenue, Des Moines 50309.

Diamond Laboratories, Inc., P.O. Box 863, Des Moines 50311.

Drake University, Des Moines 50311.

Fort Dodge Laboratories, Inc., 800 Fifth Street Northwest, Fort Dodge 50501.

Nutritional Research Center, National Pet Food Corporation, P.O. Box 443, Indianapolis 50125.

Iowa State University, Ames 50010.

Osborne Conservation Education Center, Elkader 52043.

The University of Iowa, Iowa City 52240.

Viva Laboratories, Inc., 255 Elliott Street, Council Bluffs 51501.

KANSAS

Biotec Laboratories, Inc., 9426 Rosehill Road, Lenexa 66215.

Chemagro Corporation, P.O. Box 4913, Hawthorn Road, Kansas City 64120.

Douglas Pharmacal Industries, Inc., 9900 Pfumum Road, #32 Lenexa 66215.

Haver-Lockhart Laboratories, P.O. Box 390, Shawnee Mission 66201.

Inter Research Corporation, 2201 West 21st Street, Lawrence 66044.

Kansas State University, Laboratory Animal Care Committee, Manhattan 66502.

National Laboratories Corporation, 12300 Santa Fe Drive, Lenexa 66215.

Parsons State Hospital, Research Center, Parsons 67357.

The University of Kansas, Lawrence 66044.

University of Kansas Medical Center and School of Medicine, Rainbow Boulevard at 39th Street, Kansas City 66103.

KENTUCKY

Harlan Appalachian Regional Hospital, Harlan 40831.

University of Louisville School of Medicine, 101 West Chestnut, Louisville 40202.

University of Kentucky, Lexington 40506.

LOUISIANA

Alton Ochsner Medical Foundation, Division of Research, 1520 Jefferson Highway, New Orleans 70121.

Gulf South Research Institute, P.O. Box 1177, New Iberia 70560.

Louisiana State University System, Baton Rouge 70803.

Louisiana Tech University, Ruston 71270.

N.E. Louisiana University, 40001 De Siard, Monroe 71201.

Northwestern State University of Louisiana, Natchitoches 71457.

Touro Research Institute, 1400 Foucher Street, New Orleans 70115.

Tulane University, New Orleans 70118.

University of Southwestern Louisiana, USL Station, Lafayette 70501.

Xavier University, Chemistry Department, New Orleans 70125.

MAINE

The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor 04609.

Maine Medical Center, 23 Bramhall Street, Portland 04102.

Nasson College, Springvale 04083.

The President & Trustees of Bowdoin College, Brunswick 04011.

University of Maine, Orono 04473.

University of Maine, Biomedical Research Institute, 246 Deering Avenue, Portland 04102.

Westbrook College, 716 Stevens Avenue, Portland 04103.

MARYLAND

American Red Cross, Blood Research Laboratory, 9312 Old Georgetown Road, Bethesda 20014.

Biotech Research Laboratories, Inc., Rockville 20852.

Coppin State College, Biology Department, Baltimore 21216.

Eye Research Foundation of Bethesda, 8710 Old Georgetown Road, Bethesda 20014.

Friends Medical Science Research Center, Inc., 52 Wade Avenue, Baltimore 21228.

Harford Community College, 401 Thomas Run Road, Bel Air 21014.

The Johns Hopkins University, 34th and Charles Street, Baltimore 21218.

Litton Bionetics, Inc., 7315 Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 400W, Bethesda 20013.

Maryland Psychiatric Research Center, P.O. Box 3235, Baltimore 21228.

Mercy Hospital, Inc., Department of Surgery, 301 St. Paul Place, Baltimore 21201.

Microbiological Associates, Inc., 4733 Bethesda Avenue, Bethesda 20013.

Peninsula General Hospital, South Division and West Locust Street, Salisbury 21801.

Pharmacopathics Research Laboratories, Inc., 1261 North Washington Blvd., Laurel 20810.

Sacred Heart Hospital, 900 Seton Drive, Cumberland 21502.

Saint Joseph Hospital Research Laboratory, 7620 York Road, Baltimore 21204.

Sinal Hospital of Baltimore, Inc., Belvedere and Greenspring Avenue, Baltimore 21215.

Survival Research Laboratories, Baltimore City Hospitals, 4940 Eastern Avenue, Baltimore 21224.

Towson State College, Baltimore 21204.

University of Maryland, Baltimore City Campus, Baltimore 21201.

University of Maryland, College Park 20742.

MASSACHUSETTS

Arthur D. Little, Inc., 25 Acorn Park, Cambridge 02140.

Astra Pharmaceutical Products, Inc. 7½ Neponset Street, Worcester 01606.

Avco Everett Research Foundation, 2385 Revere Beach Parkway, Everett 02139.

Bassett, Harry W., Brewster 02431.

Berkshire Community College, Second Street, Pittsfield 01201.

Beth Israel Hospital Animal Unit, 330 Brookline Avenue, Boston 02215.

Bio-Research Institute, Inc., 9 Commercial Avenue, Cambridge 02141.

Boston City Hospital, Department of Health and Hospitals, 818 Harrison Avenue, Boston 02118.

Boston College, 140 Commonwealth Avenue, Chestnut Hill 02187.

Boston State Hospital, 591 Morton Street, Boston 02124.

Boston University, 705 Commonwealth Avenue, Boston 02118.

Brandeis University, Waltham 02154.

Cambridge Nuclear Radiopharmaceutical Corp., 575 Middlesex Turnpike, Billerica 01821.

The Children's Cancer Research Foundation, 35 Binney Street, Boston 02114.

Children's Hospital Medical Center, 300 Longwood Avenue, Boston 02114.

Children's Museum, Jamaica Way, Jamaica Plain 02130.

Clark University, 950 Main Street, Worcester 01610.

Faunalabs Research Foundation, Room 2200, 28 State Street, Boston 02109.

Forsyth Dental Center, 140 The Fenway, Boston 02115.

The Gillette Company, Prudential Tower Building, Boston 02199.

Harvard University, Cambridge 02138.

Holyoke Community College, Division of Biological Sciences, 303 Homestead Avenue, Holyoke 01040.

Howes, Dr. John F. Sharps Associate, North Easton 02356.

Institute of Laboratories, Massachusetts Department of Public Health, 375 South Street, Jamaica Plain 02130.

Lahey Clinic Foundation, 605 Commonwealth Avenue, Boston 02215.

Lemuel Shattuck Hospital, Department of Research, 170 Morton Street, Jamaica Plain 02130.

Mason Research Institute, Inc., 21 Harvard Street, Worcester 01608.
 Massachusetts College of Pharmacy, 179 Longwood Avenue, Boston 02115.
 Massachusetts Eye and Ear Infirmary, 243 Charles Street, Boston 02114.
 Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston 02114.
 Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge 02139.
 The Memorial Hospital, Research Laboratory, 119 Belmont Street, Worcester 01605.
 Mount Holyoke College, South Hadley 01075.
 NEN Biomedical Assay Laboratories, 615 Albany Street, Boston 02118.
 New England Deaconess Hospital, 185 Pilgrim Road, Boston 02215.
 New England Educational Center, 100 Summer Street, Holliston 01748.
 New England Medical Center Hospitals, 171 Harrison Avenue, Boston 02111.
 New England Nuclear Corp., 575 Albany Street, Boston 02118.
 Newton-Wellesley Hospital, 2014 Washington Street, Newton Lower Falls 02162.
 Northeastern University, 360 Huntington Avenue, Boston 02115.
 Peter Bent Brigham Hospital, 721 Huntington Avenue, Boston 02115.
 Pondville Hospital, Box 111, Walpole 02081.
 Retina Foundation, 20 Staniford Street, Boston 02114.
 Robert B. Brigham Hospital, 125 Parker Hill Avenue, Boston 02109.
 St. Elizabeth's Hospital, Department of Research, 736 Cambridge Street, Brighton 02135.
 St. Margaret's Hospital, 90 Cushing Avenue, Dorchester 02125.
 St. Vincent Hospital, 25 Winthrop Street, Worcester 01610.
 Sears Surgical Laboratory, Boston City Hospital, 818 Harrison Avenue, Boston 02118.
 Shriners Burns Institute, 50 Blossom Street, Boston 02114.
 Smith College, Northampton 01060.
 Springfield Hospital Medical Center, 750 Chestnut Street, Springfield 01107.
 Thermo Electron Corporation, 85 First Avenue, Waltham 02154.
 The Trustees of Hampshire College, School of Natural Science and Mathematics, Amherst 01002.
 Tufts University, Medford 02155.
 University of Massachusetts, Amherst 01002.
 Westfield State College, Westfield 01085.
 Williams College, Williamstown 01267.
 Worcester Foundation for Experimental Biology, 222 Maple Avenue, Shrewsbury 01545.
 Worcester Polytechnic Institute, Worcester 01609.

MICHIGAN

Blodget Memorial Hospital, 1840 Wealth, SE., Grand Rapids 49506.
 Butterworth Hospital, 100 Michigan, NE., Grand Rapids 49503.
 Central Michigan University, Mt. Pleasant 48858.
 Children's Hospital of Michigan, 3901 Beaubien, Detroit 48201.
 Detroit Memorial Hospital, 1420 St. Antoine Street, Detroit 48226.
 Detroit Osteopathic Hospital, 100 Michigan NE., Grand Rapids 49503.
 Detroit Osteopathic Hospital, 12523 Third Avenue, Detroit 48203.
 The Dow Chemical Company, Midland 48560.
 The Dow-Corning Corporation, South Saginaw Road, Midland 48640.
 Elyria Memorial Hospital, 620 E. River Street, Elyria 44035.
 Ferris State College, Big Rapids 49307.
 Grand Valley State College, College Landing, Allendale 49401.
 Henry Ford Hospital and Edsel B. Ford, 2799 West Grand Boulevard, Detroit 48202.

Hope College, Holland 49423.
 Hurley Hospital, 6th Avenue and Begole, Flint 48502.
 Ingham Medical Hospital, 401 West Greenlawn, Lansing 48910.
 International Research and Development Corporation, 900 Main Street, Mattawan 49071.
 Laboratory Research Enterprises, Inc., 6251 South 6th Street, Kalamazoo 49001.
 Lafayette Clinic, 951 East Lafayette, Detroit 48207.
 Lake Superior State College, Sault Ste. Marie 49783.
 Michigan Cancer Foundation, 110 East Warren Ave., Detroit 48201.
 Michigan State University, East Lansing 48823.
 Michigan Technological University, Houghton 49931.
 Mount Carmel Mercy Hospital, Animal Research Laboratory, 6071 West Outer Drive, Detroit 48235.
 Oakland University, Rochester 48063.
 Parke, Davis and Company, G.P.O. Box 118, Detroit 48232.
 Pontiac Medical Science Laboratories, Inc., 140 Elizabeth Lake Road, Pontiac 48053.
 Providence Hospital, 16001 Nine Mile Road, Southfield 48075.
 John Ramsey Residence (Dr. Martin Jaffe), Bay City 48706.
 St. Joseph Mercy Hospital, 326 North Ingalls, Ann Arbor 48104.
 Sinal Hospital of Detroit, Division of Research, 6767 West Outer Drive, Detroit 48235.
 The Upjohn Company, 7000 Portage Road, Kalamazoo 49001.
 University of Detroit, 4001 West McNichols Road, Detroit 48221.
 University of Detroit, School of Dentistry, 2985 E. Jefferson, Detroit 48207.
 University of Michigan, Ann Arbor 48104.
 Wayne County General Hospital, Elyse 48132.
 Wayne State University, Division of Laboratory Animal Resources, Detroit 48202.
 Western Michigan University, Kalamazoo 49001.
 William Beaumont Hospital, 3601 West 13 Mile Road, Royal Oak 48037.

MINNESOTA

Austin State Junior College, 1600 8th Avenue, NW., Austin 55912.
 Cargill, Inc., Cargill Building, 110 South 7th Street, Minneapolis 55402.
 Kallestad Laboratories, Inc., 1000 Lake Hazeltine Drive, Chaska 55318.
 Life Science Foundation, Glenwood Hills Hospital, 4101 Golden Valley Road, Minneapolis 55422.
 Mankato State College, Mankato 56001.
 Mayo Foundation, 200 First Street, SW., Rochester 55901.
 Medtronic, Inc., 3055 Old Highway Eight, Minneapolis 55418.
 Metropolitan Reference Laboratory, 2304 Park Avenue South, Minneapolis 55404.
 Minneapolis Medical Research Foundation, Inc., Hennepin County General Hospital, 619 South 5th Street, Minneapolis 55415.
 Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Co., Central Research Laboratories, 2301 Hudson Road, St. Paul 55101.
 Mount Sinal Hospital, 22nd and Chicago Avenues, Minneapolis 55404.
 North Star Research and Development Institute, 3100 38th Avenue South, Minneapolis 55406.
 Northwestern Hospital, 810 E. 27th Street, Minneapolis 55318.
 Saint Joseph's Research Laboratory, 69 West Exchange Street, St. Paul 55102.
 Saint John's University, Collegeville 56321.
 Saint Mary's Hospital, Research Laboratory, 2414 South 7th Street, Minneapolis 55406.

Saint Paul-Ramsey Hospital, 640 Jackson Street, St. Paul 55101.
 Southwest Minnesota State College, Marshall 56258.
 University of Minnesota, Minneapolis 55455.

MISSISSIPPI

Mississippi State University, Drawer G, State College 39762.
 University of Mississippi, Office of the Chancellor, University 38677.

MISSOURI

The Curators of the University of Missouri, Columbia 65201.
 Deaconess Hospital, 6150 Oakland Avenue, St. Louis 63139.
 Douglas Pharmacal Industries, Inc., 10711 Hideman Mills Drive, Kansas City 64164.
 Institute of Medical Education and Research, 1605 South 14th Street, St. Louis 63104.
 The Jewish Hospital of St. Louis, 216 South Kingshighway Boulevard, St. Louis 63110.
 Kansas City College of Osteopathic Medicine, 2105 Independence Boulevard, Kansas City 64124.
 Kansas City General Hospital and Medical Center, Research Animal Care Unit, 24th and Cherry Streets, Kansas City 64108.
 Kirksville College of Osteopathy and Surgery, Kirksville 63501.
 Laboratory for Experimental Biology, Inc., Creve Coeur 63141.
 Mallinckrodt Chemical Works, 2nd and Mallinckrodt Streets, St. Louis 63160.
 Marion Laboratories, Inc., 10236 Bunker Ridge Road, Kansas City 64137.
 Menorah Medical Center, 4949 Rockhill Road, Kansas City 64110.
 Midwest Research Institute, 425 Volker Boulevard, Kansas City 64114.
 Missouri Analytical Laboratories, Inc., St. Louis 63103.
 Missouri Institute of Psychiatry, 5400 Arsenal Street, St. Louis 63139.
 Philips Roxane, Inc., 2621 North Belt Highway, St. Joseph 64502.
 Ralston Purina Company, 835 South 8th Street, St. Louis 63199.
 Ranel Laboratories, 800 Woodswether Road, Kansas City 64105.
 Saint John's Mercy Hospital, Research Laboratory, 621 South New Ballas Road, St. Louis 63141.
 Saint Louis University, 1402 South Grand Avenue, St. Louis 63104.
 Saint Mary's Hospital, 101 Memorial Drive, Kansas City 64108.
 Scientific Associates, Inc., 6200 South Lindbergh, St. Louis 63123.
 Sigma International, LTD., 3500 DeKalb Street, St. Louis 63118.
 Washington University, Lindell and Skinker Boulevards, St. Louis 63130.
 William Jewell College, Department of Psychology, Liberty 64068.
 Younger Laboratories, Inc., 123 Cliff Cave Road, St. Louis 64108.

MONTANA

Montana State University, Bozeman 59715.
 University of Montana, Missoula 59801.

NEBRASKA

The Creighton University School of Medicine, 657 North 27th Street, Omaha 68131.
 Dellen, Inc., 2704 North 84th Street, Omaha 68134.
 Harris Laboratories, Inc., 624 Peach Street, Lincoln 68501.
 University of Nebraska, 14th and R Streets, Lincoln 68508.

NEVADA

University of Nevada, Reno 89507.

NEW HAMPSHIRE

Gianferrari, Dr. Edmund and Dr. Arthur Cohen, Department of Biology, K. S. C., Keene 03431.

Notre Dame College, 2321 Elm Street, Manchester 3104.
 University of New Hampshire Animal Facilities, Spaulding Building, University of New Hampshire, Durham 03824.
 Trustees of Dartmouth College, P.O. Box 432, Hanover 03755.

NEW JERSEY

Affiliated Medical Research, Inc., P.O. Box 5700, Princeton 08540.
 Bio Dynamics, Inc., P.O. Box 43, East Millstone 08873.
 Becton, Dickinson and Company, Rutherford 07070.
 Biometric Testing, Inc., 375 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs 07632.
 Bio-Toxicology Laboratories, 7645 Maple Ave., Merchantville 08109.
 Branchville Testing Labs, Box 369, Branchville 07826.
 Bristol-Meyers Products, 225 Long Avenue, Hillside 07205.
 Campbell Soup Company, Research Institute, 375 Memorial Avenue, Camden 08101.
 Carter-Wallace, Inc., 1/2 Acre Road, Cranbury 08512.
 CIBA Pharmaceutical Company, 556 Morris Avenue, Summit 07901.
 Clinical Sciences, Inc., 30 Troy Road, Whippany 07981.
 Colgate-Palmolive Company, 909 River Road, Piscataway 08854.
 Cooper Laboratories, Inc., 110 East Hanover Avenue, Cedar Knolls 07927.
 Cyanamid Foundation for Agricultural Development, P.O. Box 400, Princeton 08540.
 E. R. Squibb & Sons, Inc., 745 Fifth Avenue, New York 10022 and Georges Road, New Brunswick 08903.
 Ethicon Research Foundation, U.S. Highway 22, Somerville 08876.
 Fairleigh Dickinson University, Dental Research Building, 1000 River Road, Teaneck 17666.
 Food & Drug Research Lab., Inc., 60 Evergreen Place, East Orange 07018.
 Hackensack Hospital, Cardio Pulmonary Animal Laboratory, Hackensack 07601.
 Hoechst-Roussel Pharmaceutical, Inc., Route 202-206 North Somerville 08876.
 Hoffman Laboratories, Inc., 17-50 River Road, Fairlawn 07410.
 Hoffman-LaRoche, Inc., 340 Kingsland Street, Nutley 07110.
 Institute for Medical Research, Copewood Street, Camden 08103.
 Johnson and Johnson Research Foundation, Route 1, New Brunswick 08903.
 Leberco Laboratories, 123 Hawthorne Street, Roselle Park 07204.
 Dr. Clarence Manzano, 603 West Side Avenue, Jersey City 07304.
 McGee Laboratories, Inc., 3651 Hill Road, Parsippany 07054.
 Medi+Physics, Inc., 900 Durham Ave., South Plainfield 07080.
 Merck & Co., Inc., 126 East Lincoln Avenue, Rahway 07065.
 Middlesex General Hospital, 180 Somerset Street, New Brunswick 08901.
 Monmouth Medical Center, Department of Physiology and Clinical Research, 3rd and Pavillion Avenues, Long Branch 07740.
 Newark Beth Israel Medical Center, 201 Lyons Avenue, Newark 07112.
 New Jersey College of Medicine and Dentistry, 24 Baldwin Avenue, Jersey City 07306.
 New Jersey Department of Health, Division of Laboratories, Box 1540, Trenton 08625.
 Organon, Inc., 375 Mt. Pleasant Avenue, West Orange 07052.
 Ortho Research Institute, U.S. Highway 202, Raritan 08869.
 Passaic General Hospital, 350 Boulevard, Passaic 07065.
 Path-O-Tex, Box 6, Wenonah 08090.

Pfizer & Co., Chas., 199 Maywood Ave., Maywood 07607.
 Pitman-Moore, Inc., P.O. Box 344, Washington Crossing 08530.
 Price Research Lab., Inc., 7300 N. Crescent Blvd., Pennsauken 08110.
 Princeton Laboratory Products Co., 1 Cherry Hill Road, Princeton 08540.
 Reed and Carnrick, 30 Boright Avenue, Kenilworth 07033.
 Rutgers, The State University, New Brunswick 08903.
 St. Barnabas Medical Center, Old Short Hills Road, Livingston 07039.
 St. Michael's Medical Center, Research Laboratory, 306 High Street, Newark 07102.
 Sandoz Pharmaceuticals, Research Department, Hanover 07936.
 Schering Corporation, 60 Orange Street, Bloomfield 07003.
 Seton Hall University, South Orange 07079.
 Foster D. Snell, Inc., Biological Science Laboratories, 800 Dowd Avenue, Elizabeth 07021.
 South Mountain Life Sciences, 1102 Industrial Parkway, Bricktown 08723.
 South Mountain Life Sciences Laboratories, Fischer Boulevard and Thistle Street, Toms River 08753.
 Sylvania Company, Inc., 22 E. Willow Street, Millburn 07041.
 The Trustees of Princeton University, Office of Research and Project Administration, New South Building, Princeton 08540.
 University Laboratories, Inc., 810 North Second Avenue, Highland Park 08904.
 U.S. Testing Company, Inc., 1415 Park Avenue, Hoboken 07070.
 Warner-Lambert Research Institute, 170 Tabor Road, Morris Plains 07950.
 Wells Laboratories, Inc., 25-27 Lewis Avenue, Jersey City 07306.

NEW MEXICO

Carlsbad Botanical-Zoological Park of the Southwest, Box 1569, Carlsbad 88220.
 Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, P.O. Box 1663, Los Alamos 87544.
 The Lovelace Foundation for Medical Education and Research, 5200 Gibson Boulevard, S.E., Albuquerque 87106.
 Dr. Rollie Schafer and Dr. G. Sanchez, Department of Biology, NMIEMT, Socorro 87801.
 The University of New Mexico, Albuquerque 87106.

NEW YORK

Agway Research Laboratory, 777 Warren Road, Ithaca 14850.
 Albany College of Pharmacy, 106 New Scotland Avenue, Albany 12203.
 Albany Medical College, 47 New Scotland Avenue, Albany 12208.
 Alfred University, Alfred 14602.
 American Cyanamid Company, Lederle Lab. Div., North Middletown Road, Pearl River 10965.
 The American Museum of Natural History, Central Avenue West at 79th Street, New York 10024.
 The Animal Medical Center, 510 East 62nd Street, New York 10021.
 Arnot-Ogden Memorial Hospital, Roe Avenue, Elmira 14901.
 Associated Universities, Inc., Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, Long Island 11973.
 Avon Products, Inc., 9 West 57th Street, New York 10019.
 Ayerst Research Laboratories, Division of Animal Health, Chazy 12921.
 Beth Israel Medical Center, 10 Nathan D. Periman Place, New York 10003.
 Booth Memorial Hospital, Main Street at Booth Memorial Avenue, Flushing 11355.
 Bristol Laboratories, P.O. Box 657, Syracuse 13201.

The Bronx-Lebanon Hospital Center, 1276 Fulton Avenue, Bronx 10456.
 The Brookdale Hospital Center, Brookdale Plaza, Brooklyn 11212.
 Brooklyn College of Pharmacy, 600 Lafayette Avenue, Brooklyn 11216.
 The Brooklyn Hospital, 121 DeKalb Avenue, Brooklyn 11201.
 Buffalo General Hospital, 100 High Street, Buffalo 14203.
 Bureau of Laboratories, City of New York, 455 First Avenue, New York City 10016.
 Canisius College, 2001 Main Street, Buffalo 14208.
 Carter-Wallace, Inc., 2 Park Avenue, New York 10016.
 Cattaraugus County Laboratory, 302 Laurens Street, Olean 14760.
 The Children's Hospital of Buffalo, 219 Bryant Street, Buffalo 14222.
 The City University of New York, 1411 Broadway, New York 10018.
 Colgate University, Hamilton 13346.
 Commercial Solvents Corporation, 245 Park Avenue, New York 10017.
 Cornell University, Ithaca 14850.
 Cornell University Medical College, 1300 York Avenue, New York 10021.
 Corning Glass Works, Corning 14830.
 D'Youville College, 320 Porter Avenue, Buffalo 14201.
 E. J. Meyer Memorial Hospital, 462 Grider Street, Buffalo 14215.
 Eastman Dental Center, 800 Main Street E., Rochester 14603.
 Eastman Kodak Company, Health and Safety Lab, Kodak Park, Rochester 14650.
 Elmira College, College Avenue, Elmira 14901.
 Erie Community College, North Main Street and Youngs Road, Buffalo 14221.
 Endo Laboratories, Inc., 1000 Stewart Avenue, Garden City 11533.
 Ex-Lax, Inc., 423 Atlantic Avenue, Brooklyn 11217.
 Food and Drug Research Laboratories, Inc., Maurice Avenue at 58th Street, Maspeth 11378.
 Geigy Chemical Corporation, Geigy Research Division, Ardsley 10502.
 General Foods Corporation, 250 North Street, White Plains 10625.
 The Genesee Hospital, 224 Alexander Street, Rochester 14607.
 Grand Island Biological Company, 3175 Staley Road, Grand Island 14072.
 Great Atlantic and Pacific Tea Company, Inc., 420 Lexington Avenue, New York 10017.
 Health Research, Inc., 84 Holland Avenue, Albany 12208.
 Hobart & William Smith Colleges, Geneva 14456.
 Hofstra University, Hempstead 11550.
 Hudson Valley Community College, 80 Vandenberg Avenue, Troy 12180.
 Institute for Muscle Disease, Inc., 515 East 71st Street, New York 10021.
 Jamestown Community College, 525 Falconer Street, Jamestown 14701.
 Jewish Chronic Disease Hospital, 86 E. 49th Street, Brooklyn 11203.
 Jewish Hospital and Medical Center of Brooklyn, 555 Prospect Place, Brooklyn 11238.
 Lenox Hill Hospital, 100 East 77th Street, New York 10021.
 The L. G. H. Laboratory, Mercy Hospital Association, 1000 North Village Avenue, Rockville Centre 11570.
 The Long Island College Hospital, 340 Henry Street, Brooklyn 11201.
 Long Island Jewish Medical Center, 270-05 76th Avenue, New Hyde Park 11040.
 Malmonides Medical Center, 4802 Tenth Avenue, Brooklyn 11219.
 Manhattan Eye, Ear & Throat Hospital, 210 East 64th Street, New York 10021.

- The Mary Imogene Bassett Hospital, Atwell Road, Cooperstown 13326.
 Masonic Medical Research Laboratory, Bleecker Street, Utica 13501.
 The Medical Foundation of Buffalo, 73 High Street, Buffalo 14203.
 Methodist Hospital of Brooklyn, 506 Sixth Street, Brooklyn 11215.
 Millard Filmore Hospital, Urology Research Division, 3 Gates Circle, Buffalo 14209.
 Misericordia Hospital, 600 East 233rd Street, Bronx 10466.
 The Mount Sinai Hospital, School of Medicine, 100 Street and Fifth Avenue, New York 10029.
 NAACC Testing and Research Laboratory, 80 Hanson Place, Brooklyn 11217.
 N.Y.C. Health & Hospital Corporation, 126 Worth Street, New York 10013.
 Nassau County Medical Center, P.O. Box 175, East Meadow 11554.
 Nassau Hospital, First Street, Mineola 11501.
 The New York Blood Center of the Comm. Blood Council of Greater New York, Inc., 310 East 67th Street, New York 10021.
 New York Medical College, Fifth Avenue at 160th Street, New York 10029.
 New York State Health Department, Division of Laboratories and Research, New Scotland Avenue, Albany 12201.
 New York State Department of Mental Hygiene, 44 Holland Avenue, Albany 12208.
 New York University, Washington Square, New York 10003.
 North Shore Hospital, Valley Road, Manhasset, Long Island 11030.
 The Norwich Pharmacal Company, P.O. Box 191, Norwich 13815.
 Pfizer, Inc., 235 East 42nd Street, New York 10017.
 Phillip D. Wilson Research Foundation, 535 East 70th Street, New York 10021.
 Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn, 333 Jay Street, Brooklyn 11201.
 The Population Council, 245 Park Avenue, New York 10017.
 The Public Health Research Institute of the City of New York, Inc., 455 First Avenue, New York City 10016.
 Queens Hospital Center, 8267 164th Street, Jamaica, 11432.
 Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, Troy 12181.
 Research Institute for Skeletomuscular Diseases of the Hospital for Jt. Diseases & Medical Center, 1919 Madison Avenue, New York 10035.
 Revlon Research Center, Inc., 945 Zerega Avenue, Bronx 10473.
 Richardson-Merrell, Inc., 122 East 42nd Street, New York 10017.
 Rochester General Hospital, 1425 Portland Avenue, Rochester 14621.
 The Rockefeller University, York Avenue at 66th Street, New York 10021.
 The Roosevelt Hospital, 428 West 59th Street, New York 10019.
 St. Barnabas Hospital, 163rd Street and Third Avenue, Bronx 10457.
 St. Bonaventure University, St. Bonaventure 14778.
 St. Clare's Hospital and Health Center, 415 West 51st Street, New York 10019.
 St. John Fisher College, 3690 East Avenue, Rochester 14618.
 St. John's University, Grand Central and Utopia Parkway, Jamaica 11432.
 St. Joseph's Hospital, Health Center, 301 Prospect Avenue, Syracuse 13202.
 St. Lawrence University, Canton 13617.
 St. Luke's Hospital Center, Amsterdam Avenue at West 114th Street, New York 10025.
 St. Mary's Hospital, 89 Genesee Street, Rochester 14611.
 St. Vincent's Hospital and Medical Center of New York, 153 West 11th Street, New York 10011.
 Sisters of Charity Hospital, 2157 Main Street, Buffalo 14214.
 Skidmore College Biology Department, Saratoga Springs 12866.
 Sloan-Kettering Institute for Cancer Research, 410 East 68th Street, New York 10021.
 South Shore Analytical & Research Laboratory, Inc., 148 Islip Avenue, Islip 11751.
 Standard Brands Incorporated, 625 Madison Avenue, New York 10022.
 State University of New York, Thurlow Terrace, Albany 12201.
 Syracuse University, 201 Marshall Street, Syracuse 13210.
 Sterling Drug, Inc., Columbia Turnpike, Rensselaer 12155.
 Boyce Thompson Institute for Plant Research, Inc., 1086 North Broadway, Yonkers 10701.
 Tissue Culture Association, Inc., P.O. Box 631, Lake Placid 12946.
 Trudeau Institute, Inc., Algonquin Avenue, Saranac Lake 12983.
 The Trustees of Columbia University in the City of New York, Box 20, Lowe Memorial Library, New York 10027.
 University of Rochester, River Boulevard, Rochester 14627.
 USV Pharmaceutical Corp., Div. of Pharmacology, 1 Scarsdale Road, Tuckahoe 10707.
 Waldemar Medical Research Foundation, Sunnyside Boulevard, Woodbury 11797.
 Westchester County Medical Center, Valhalla 10595.
 Westwood Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 468 Dewitt Street, Buffalo 14213.
 Wilson Memorial Hospital, Heart Lung Laboratory, Broome-D, Johnson City 13790.
 Yeshiva University, 55 Fifth Avenue, New York 10003.
- NORTH CAROLINA
- Behavior Systems, Inc., 2008 Hillsboro Street, Raleigh 27607.
 Burroughs Wellcome Company, 3030 Cornwallis Road, Research Triangle Park 27709.
 Duke University, Durham 27706.
 East Carolina University, Greenville 27834.
 Greer Laboratories, Inc., Box 800, Lenoir 28645.
 Lorillard Research Center, 420 English Street, Greensboro 27420.
 North Carolina State University at Raleigh, Raleigh 27607.
 Research Triangle Institute, P.O. Box 12194, Research Triangle Park 27709.
 University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill 27514.
 University of North Carolina at Wilmington, 7205 Wrightsville Avenue, Wilmington 28401.
 Wake Forest University, Winston-Salem 27107.
- NORTH DAKOTA
- Minot State College, Minot 58701.
 North Dakota State University of Agriculture and Applied Science, Fargo 58102.
 University of North Dakota, School of Medicine, Grand Forks 58201.
- OHIO
- Akron City Hospital, 525 East Market Street, Akron 44309.
 Akron General Medical Center, 400 Wabash Avenue, Akron 44307.
 Allergy Laboratories of Ohio, Inc., 623 E. 11th Ave., Columbus 43211.
 Baldwin-Wallace College, Berea 44017.
 Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus Laboratories, 505 King Avenue, Columbus 43201.
 Ben Venue Laboratories, Inc., 270 Northfield Road, Bedford 44146.
 Bio/Toxicological Research Associates, Division of Acres, Inc., 533 North Broadway Street, Spencerville 45887.
 Borden, Inc., 50 West Broad Street, Columbus 43215.
 Bowling Green State University, Bowling Green 43402.
 Capital University, Department of Biology, Columbus 43209.
 Case Western Reserve University Circle, Cleveland 44106.
 Central State University, Banneker Science Hall, Wilberforce 45384.
 Children's Hospital of Akron, Animal Laboratories, Buchtel Avenue at Bowery Street, Akron 44308.
 The Children's Hospital Research Foundation, Eiland Avenue and Bethesda, Cincinnati 45229.
 Children's Hospital Research Foundation, 561 South 17th Street, Columbus 43205.
 Cincinnati Milacron, Inc. 4701 Marburg Avenue, Cincinnati 45209.
 The Cleveland Clinic Foundation, 2020 East 93rd Street, Cleveland 44120.
 Cleveland Metropolitan General Hospital, 3395 Scranton Road, Cleveland 44109.
 The Cleveland State University, 1983 East 24th Street, Cleveland 44115.
 The College of Wooster, Wooster 44691.
 Cox Coronary Heart Institute, 3525 Southern Boulevard, Kettering 45429.
 Creative Biology Laboratory, 3070 Cleveland-Massillon Road, Barberton 44203.
 Cuyahoga Community College, Cleveland 44115.
 Denison University, Granville 43023.
 Elyria Memorial Hospital, 630 East River Street, Elyria 44035.
 Fairview General Hospital, 18101 Lorain Avenue, Cleveland 44111.
 Good Samaritan Hospital, Animal Research Laboratory, 3217 Clifton Avenue, Cincinnati 45220.
 The Good Samaritan Hospital of Dayton, Dayton 45420.
 Grady Investment Corporation, 9211 Winton Road, Cincinnati 45231.
 Hess and Clark, Route 250, Ashland 44805.
 Highland View Hospital, 3901 Ireland Drive, Cleveland 44122.
 Hill Top Research, Inc., Miamiville 45147.
 Hiram College Biological Station, P.O. Box 1838, Hiram 44234.
 Huron Road Hospital, 13951 Terrace Road, Cleveland 44112.
 Jewish Hospital, 3200 Burnet Avenue, Cincinnati 45229.
 John Carroll University, Cleveland 44118.
 Kent State University, Kent 44240.
 Kettering, Charles F., Res. Laboratory, 150 East South College Street, Yellow Springs 45387.
 Lakeland Community College, Mentor 44060.
 Marietta College, Marietta 45750.
 Medical College of Ohio, P.O. Box 6190, Toledo 43614.
 Miami University, Office of the President, Oxford 45056.
 Miami Valley Hospital, 1 Wyoming Street, Dayton 45409.
 Mogul Corporation, Chagrin Falls 44022.
 Mt. Sinai Hospital, University Circle, Cleveland 44106.
 Muskingum College Biology, Department Science Center, New Concord 43762.
 North American Science Associates, Inc., 2261 Tracy Road, Northwood 43605.
 Notre Dame College, Biology Department, South Euclid 44121.
 Oberlin College, Department of Psychology, Oberlin 44074.
 Ohio College of Podiatric Medicine, 2057 Cornell Road, Cleveland 44105.
 Ohio Northern University, Department of Psychology, Ada 45810.
 The Ohio State University, 190 North Oval Drive, Columbus 43210.
 Ohio University, Athens 45701.
 Otterbein College, Westerville 43081.
 Procter and Gamble Company, P.O. Box 39175, Cincinnati 45239.
 Riverside Methodist Hospital, 3585 Olentangy River Road, Columbus 43214.

Saint Elizabeth Hospital, 1044 Belmont Avenue, Youngstown 44512.
 Saint Luke's Hospital Association of the Methodist Church, 11311 Shaker Boulevard, Cleveland 44104.
 Saint Thomas Hospital of Akron, Ohio, 444 North Main Street, Akron 44310.
 Saint Vincent Charity Hospital, Research Division, 2351 East 22nd Street, Cleveland 44115.
 Saint Vincent Hospital and Medical Center, 2213 Cherry Street, Toledo 43608.
 Searle Diagnostic, Inc., P.O. Box 2440, Columbus 43216.
 Shriner's Hospitals for Crippled Children, Burns Institute, 202 Goodman Street, Cincinnati 45219.
 Timken Mercy Hospital, 2015-12th Street, NW., Canton 44708.
 The University of Akron, Akron 44304.
 University of Cincinnati, Clifton Avenue, Cincinnati 45221.
 University of Dayton, Biology Dept., 300 College Park 45469.
 Warren-Teed Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 582 West Goodale Street, Columbus 43215.
 Wittenburg University, Springfield 45501.
 Wright State University, Department of Biological Sciences, Col. Glenn Highway, Dayton 45431.
 Youngstown State University, 410 Wick Ave., Youngstown 44503.

OKLAHOMA

Baptist Memorial Hospital, 5800 NW. Grand Boulevard, Oklahoma City 73112.
 Institute for Primate Studies, Route 6, Box 395, Norman 73069.
 Oklahoma City University, 2501 North Blackweider, Oklahoma City 73106.
 Oklahoma Medical Research Foundation, 825 Northeast 13th Street, Oklahoma City 73104.
 Oklahoma State Department of Health, Central Laboratory, 3400 North Eastern, Oklahoma City 73105.
 Oklahoma State University of Agriculture and Applied Science, Stillwater 74074.
 Southwestern State College, Weatherford 73096.
 University of Oklahoma, 660 Farrington Oval, Norman 73069.

OREGON

E. Laboratories, 1954 NW. Pettygrove, Portland 97209.
 Neurophysiology Research Laboratory, 1015 NW. 22nd Avenue, Portland 97210.
 Oregon Regional Primate Research Center, 505 NW. 185th Avenue, Beaverton 97005.
 Oregon State University, Corvallis 97331.
 Pacific University, Optometry Department, Forest Grove 97116.
 Portland State University, P.O. Box 751, Portland 97207.
 University of Oregon, Eugene 97403.
 University of Oregon Dental School, 611 SW. Campus Drive, Portland 97201.
 University of Oregon Medical School, 3181 SW. Sam Jackson Park Road, Portland 97201.

PENNSYLVANIA

Albert Einstein Medical Center, York and Tabor Road, Philadelphia 19141.
 Allegheny General Hospital, 320 E. North Avenue, Pittsburgh 15212.
 Allen Products Co., Inc., Alpo Center for Advanced Pet Study, P.O. Box 2187, R.D. 3, Allentown 18004.
 Bucknell University, Lewisburg 17837.
 Burrton Medical Laboratories, Inc., 824 Twelfth Avenue, Bethlehem 18018.
 California State College, California 16410.
 Carnegie-Mellon University, 4400 Fifth Avenue, Pittsburgh 15212.
 Cannon Laboratories, P.O. Box 3627, Reading 19805.
 Centre Square Veterinary Clinic, 1030 De Kalb Pike, Centre Square 19422.

The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, 1740 Bainbridge Street, Philadelphia 19146.
 College Misericordia, Dallas 18612.
 The Contributors to the Pennsylvania Hospital, 8th and Spruce Streets, Philadelphia 19107.
 Coratomic, Inc., P.O. Box 434, Indiana 15701.
 Dalmation Research Foundation, 720 Woodberry Road, York 17403.
 Drexel Institute of Technology, 32nd and Chestnut Streets, Philadelphia 19104.
 Duquesne University of the Holy Ghost, Pittsburgh 15219.
 Eastern Pennsylvania Psychiatric Institution, Henry Avenue and Abbottsford Road, Philadelphia 19129.
 Federated Medical Resources, RD #2, Honey Brook, 19344.
 The Hahnemann Medical College and Hospital of Philadelphia, 230 North Broad Street, Philadelphia 19102.
 Hamot Medical Center, P.O. Box 339, Erie 16512.
 Homestead Hospital, 1800 West Street, Homestead 15120.
 The Institute for Cancer Research, 7701 Burholme Avenue, Philadelphia 19111.
 Institute for Medical Education and Research, The Gelsinger Medical Center, Danville 17821.
 The Jefferson Medical College of Philadelphia, 1025 Walnut Street, Philadelphia 19107.
 Lanckenau Hospital, Lancaster and City Line Avenues, Philadelphia 19151.
 LaWall & Harrison Research Laboratories, Inc., 1921 Walnut Street, Philadelphia 19103.
 Lehigh University, Bethlehem 18015.
 Lincoln University, Lincoln University 19352.
 Lycoming College, Williamsport 17701.
 Lock Haven State College, Lock Haven 17745.
 McNeil Laboratories, Inc., Camp Hill Road, Fort Washington 19034.
 M. B. Research Laboratories, Inc., 2025 Ridge Road, Perkasie 18944.
 The Medical College of Pennsylvania, 3300 Henry Avenue, Philadelphia 19129.
 Mercy Catholic Medical Center, Lansdowne Avenue, Darby 19023.
 Moravian College, Main and Elizabeth Avenue, Bethlehem 18018.
 N. L. Cappel Laboratories, Inc., P.O. Box 155, Downingtown 19335.
 Pennsylvania College of Podiatric Medicine, 8th at Race Street, Philadelphia 19107.
 Pennsylvania Department of Health, 2100 West Girard Avenue, Philadelphia 19130.
 The Pennsylvania State University, 207 Old Main, University Park 16802.
 Pennwalt Corporation, 3 Penn Center, Philadelphia 19102.
 Penrose Research Laboratory, Zoological Society of Philadelphia, 34th Street and Girard Avenue, Philadelphia 19104.
 Pharmachem Corporation, 719 Stefko Boulevard, Bethlehem 18018.
 Philadelphia College of Osteopathic Medicine, 48th and Spruce Streets, Philadelphia 19139.
 Philadelphia College of Pharmacy & Science, 43rd Street and Kingsessing Avenue, Philadelphia 19104.
 Philadelphia General Hospital, 34th Street and Civic Center Boulevard, Philadelphia 19104.
 Presbyterian University of Pennsylvania Medical Center, 51 North 39th Street, Philadelphia 19104.
 Rachelwood Wildlife Research Preserve, R.D. 1, New Florence 15944.
 Rohm and Haas Company, Norristown & McKean Roads, Spring House 19477.
 William H. Rorer, Inc., Research Div., 500 Virginia Drive, Fort Washington 19034.
 Sacred Heart Hospital, 4th and Chew Streets, Allentown 18102.

Saint Vincent College, Latrobe 15650.
 Smith, Kline & French Laboratories, 1500 Spring Garden Street, Philadelphia 19101.
 Susquehanna University, Selinsgrove 17870.
 Syndot Laboratories, 1075 New Dehaven Road, West Conshohocken 19428.
 Temple University of the Commonwealth System of Higher Education, Broad and Montgomery Streets, Philadelphia 19122.
 University Health Center of Pittsburgh, Terrace and Desoto Streets, Pittsburgh 15213.
 University of Pennsylvania, Office of the President, 101 College Hall, Philadelphia 19104.
 The Western Pennsylvania Hospital, 4800 Friendship Avenue, Pittsburgh 15224.
 Westinghouse Electric Corporation, Research & Development Center, Beulah Road, Churchill Borough, Pittsburgh 15235.
 Whitmoyer Laboratories, Inc., 19 North Railroad Street, Myerstown 17067.
 Wills Eye Hospital Research Institute, Philadelphia 19130.
 The Wistar Institute, 36th and Spruce Streets, Philadelphia 19104.
 Wyeth Laboratories, Inc., P.O. Box 8299, Philadelphia 19101.

PUERTO RICO

University of Puerto Rico, Rio Piedras 00923.

RHODE ISLAND

Brown University, 79 Waterman Street, Brown Station, Providence 02912.
 The Memorial Hospital, Prospect Street, Pawtucket 02860.
 The Miriam Hospital, 164 Summit Avenue, Providence 02906.
 Rhode Island College, Providence 02906.
 Rhode Island Hospital, 593 Eddy Street, Providence 02903.
 Roger Williams College, Old Ferry Road, Bristol 02809.
 Roger Williams General Hospital, 825 Chalkton Avenue, Providence 02908.
 St. Joseph's Hospital, 200 High Service Avenue, North Providence 02903.
 University of Rhode Island, Kingston 02881.

SOUTH CAROLINA

Clemson University, Clemson 29631.
 Medical College of South Carolina, 80 Barre Street, Charleston 29401.
 University of South Carolina, Columbia 29208.

SOUTH DAKOTA

South Dakota State University, Brookings 57006.
 University of South Dakota, Vermillion 57069.

TENNESSEE

Baptist Memorial Hospital, 899 Madison Avenue, Memphis 38103.
 Meharry Medical College, 1005-18th Avenue, Nashville 37208.
 Memphis State University, Memphis 38111.
 Oak Ridge Associated University, Medical Division, P.O. Box 117, Oak Ridge 37830.
 George Peabody College for Teachers, Box 512, Nashville 37203.
 Plough, Inc., 3030 Jackson Avenue, Memphis 38112.
 The S. E. Massengill Company, 501 Fifth Street, Bristol 37620.
 St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, P.O. Box 318, Memphis 38103.
 The University of Tennessee, Knoxville 37916.
 Vanderbilt University, Division of Animal Care, School of Medicine, Station 17, Nashville 37203.

TEXAS

Baylor College of Dentistry, 800 Hall Street, Dallas 75235.
 Baylor University, Waco 76703.
 Callier Hearing and Speech Center, 1966 Inwood Road, Dallas 75235.

Carwile, D.V.M., Henry F., Drawer 821, Montgomery 77356.
 Conrose Biologicals, 523 E. Court Street, Sequin 78155.
 Franklin Laboratories, P.O. Box 669, Amarillo 79105.
 Helena Laboratories, 1530 Lindbergh Drive, Beaumont 77704.
 Methodist Hospital of Dallas, P.O. Box 5999, Dallas 75222.
 Redden, Dr. David R., Box 5218 NT Station, Denton 76203.
 Rice University, P.O. Box 1892, Houston 77001.
 Southern Methodist University, Dallas 75222.
 Southwest Research Institute, 8500 Culebra Road, San Antonio 78206.
 St. Joseph's Hospital, Surgical Res. Lab., 1919 LaBranch, Houston 77002.
 Texas Heart Institute, Houston 77002.
 Texas Woman's University, Box 23971, TWU Station, Denton 76204.
 Thuron Industries, Inc., 12200 Denton Drive, Dallas 75234.
 Trinity University, 715 Stadium Drive, San Antonio 78212.
 The University of Texas System, P.O. Box 7969, Austin 78712.
 Wadley Institutes of Molecular Medicine, 9000 Harry Hines, Dallas 75236.

UTAH

Brigham Young University, College of Biological and Agricultural Sciences, 106 Heber J. Grant Buiding, Provo 84601.
 EcoDynamics, Inc., 82 West Louise Avenue, Salt Lake City 84116.
 Latter-day Saints Hospital, 325 8th Avenue, Salt Lake City 84103.
 Primary Children's Hospital, 320 Twelfth Avenue, Salt Lake City 84103.
 University of Utah, University Avenue at 2nd Street, Salt Lake City 84112.
 Utah State University, Logan 84321.
 Weber State College, Ogden 84403.

VERMONT

Bennington College, Bennington 05201.
 Castleton State College, Castleton 05735.
 Lyndon State College, Lyndonville 05851.
 Middlebury College, Middlebury 05753.
 Putnam Memorial Hospital, Dewey Street, Bennington 05201.
 University of Vermont and State Agricultural College, Burlington 05401.
 Windham College, Putney 05346.

VIRGINIA

A. H. Robins Co., Incorporated, Research Laboratories, 1211 Sherwood Avenue, Richmond 23220.
 Bionetics Research Laboratories, Inc., 101 West Jefferson Street, Falls Church 22046.
 Blue Ridge Community College, P.O. Box 80, Weyers Cave 24486.
 College of William and Mary, Williamsburg 23185.
 Cook Engineering Company, 900 Slaters Lane, Alexandria 22314.
 Hazleton Laboratories, Inc., P.O. Box 30, Falls Church 22046.
 Lynchburg College, Lynchburg 24504.
 Medical College of Virginia, Animal Research Division, 12th and Broad Streets, Richmond 23219.
 Meloy Laboratories, 6631 Iron Place, Springfield 22151.
 The Research Institute of the Norfolk Area, Medical Center Authority, 600 Gresham Drive, Norfolk 23507.
 University of Virginia, Charlottesville 22903.
 Virginia Polytechnic Institute, Blacksburg 24061.
 The Washington and Lee University, Lexington 24450.
 Weston Research Laboratories, Inc., Route 1, Box 33, Purcellville 22132.
 Woodard Research Corp., 12310 Pinecrest Road, Herndon 22070.

WASHINGTON

Department of Social and Health Services, 1409 Smith Tower, Seattle 98104.
 Eastern Washington State College, Cheney 99004.
 Fort Steilacoom Community College, Box 90186, Tacoma 98499.
 Hollister-Stier Laboratories, Box 3145, Terminal Annex, Spokane 99220.
 Pacific Northwest Laboratories, P.O. Box 999, Richland 99352.
 Pacific Northwest Research Foundation, 1102 Columbia Street, Seattle 98104.
 Providence Hospital, 528-18th Avenue, Seattle 98122.
 Saint Joseph Hospital, Tacoma 98405.
 Seattle-King County Health Department, 1303 Public Safety Building, Seattle 98104.
 Seattle University, Seattle 98122.
 Sweden Freezer Manufacturing Co., 3401-17th Avenue, West Seattle 98119.
 University of Washington, Seattle 98105.
 Virginia Mason Research Center, 1000 Seneca Street, Seattle 98101.
 Washington State University, Laboratory, Animal Units, Pullman 99163.
 Western Washington State College, Bellingham 98225.
 Weyerhaeuser Company, Forestry Research Center, P.O. Box 420, Centralia 98531.

WEST VIRGINIA

West Virginia University, Morgantown 26506.

WISCONSIN

Allen-Bradley Medical Science Laboratory, 8700 W. Wisconsin Avenue, Milwaukee 53226.
 Altech Laboratories, P.O. Box 4186, Madison 53711.
 Appleton Memorial Hospital Association, 1818 North Meade Street, Appleton 54911.
 Bellin Memorial Hospital, 744 South Webster Avenue, Green Bay 54301.
 Beloit College, Beloit 53511.
 Bjorksten Research Foundation, Madison 53701.
 Central Wisconsin Colony and Training School, 317 Knutson Drive, Madison 53704.
 Colgate-Palmolive Company, Lakeside Laboratories Division, 1707 E. North Avenue, Madison 53706.
 Columbia Hospital, 3321 N. Maryland Avenue, Milwaukee 53211.
 Endocrine Laboratories of Madison, Inc., P.O. Box 1436, 979 Jonathan Drive, Madison 53701.
 Fromm Laboratories, Inc., Grafton 53024.
 Adolf Gundersen Medical Foundation, 1836-1910 South Avenue, La Crosse 54601.
 Marshfield Clinic Foundation for Medical Research and Education, 630 S. Central Avenue, Marshfield 54448.
 Marquette University, 615 North Eleventh Street, Milwaukee 53233.
 Medical College of Wisconsin, 561 N. 15th Street, Milwaukee 53233.
 Mt. Sinai Hospital, May and Sigmund Winter Research Laboratory, 948 N. 12th Street, Milwaukee 53233.
 The Regents of the University of Wisconsin, 750 University Avenue, Madison 53706.
 St. Luke's Research Foundation, Inc., 2900 W. Oklahoma Avenue, Milwaukee 53215.
 St. Norbert College, De Pere 54115.
 Vocational, Technical and Adult Education No. 4, 211 North Carroll Street, Madison 53711.
 Warf Institute, Inc., 506 N. Walnut, P.O. Box 2599, Madison 53701.
 Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Madison 53711.

WYOMING

Casper College, 125 College Drive, Casper 82601.
 Laramie County Community College, 1400 East College Drive, Cheyenne 82001.

The University of Wyoming, Laramie 82070. (Sec. 6, 80 Stat. 351, as amended, 84 Stat. 1561, (7 U.S.C. 2136) 37 FR 28464, 28477; 88 FR 19141; 9 CFR 2.127.)

Done at Washington, D.C., this 27th day of February, 1975.

PIERRE A. CHALOUX,
 Acting Deputy Administrator,
 Veterinary Services, Animal
 and Plant Health Inspection
 Service.

[FR Doc.75-5785 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

[PPQ 639]

SOIL SAMPLES

List of Approved Laboratories for Receipt of Certain Soil Samples

This document lists certain laboratories as indicated herein which are approved by the Deputy Administrator for receipt in interstate commerce of soil samples for processing, testing, or analysis pursuant to §§ 301.48-3, 301.72-3, 301.80-3, 301.81-3, 301.85-3, of the Japanese beetle, whitefringed beetle, witchweed, imported fire ant, and golden nematode regulations (7 CFR 301.48-3, 301.72-3, 301.80-3, 301.81-3, 301.85-3).

Also, in connection with the regulations relating to the movement into or through the United States of soil from foreign countries or Territories or possessions of the United States (7 CFR 330.300 et seq.), this document lists certain laboratories as indicated herein which the Deputy Administrator has approved for receipt, under permit, of soil samples for research or analytical purposes in accordance with safeguards and other conditions specified in the permits. The list reads as follows:

LABORATORY AND ADDRESS

A

A & H Corp., Consulting Engineers, Carbon-dale, IL.
 A & H Corp., Consulting Engineers, Campaign, IL.
 A & H Corp., Consulting Engineers, Chicago, IL.
 A & H Corp., Consulting Engineers, Peoria, IL.
 A & H Engineering Corp., Springfield, IL.
 A & L Laboratory, Memphis, TN¹ (6-30-79).
 ATS, Arvin, CA¹ (6-30-76).
 Abbott Laboratories, North Chicago, IL¹ (6-30-76).
 Ackenhell, A. C., & Associates, Inc., Nitro, WV.
 Ackenhell, A. C., & Associates, Inc., Nitro, burgh, PA.
 Agrico Chemical Co., Washington Court-house, OH.
 Agricultural Service Laboratories, Pharr, TX¹ (6-30-77).
 Agrimanagement, Yakima, WA.
 Alfred Agricultural and Technical Institute, State University of New York, Department of Agronomy, Alfred, NY.
 Allied Chemical Corp., Morristown, NJ.
 Ambric Testing & Engineering Associates, Inc., Testing Laboratories, Arlington, VA.
 American Cyanamide Co., Princeton, NJ.
 American Oil Co., Soil Laboratories, Holland, TX.

¹ See footnotes at end of document.

- American Oil Co., Soil Testing Laboratory, Yoder, IN.
 Ameron, South Gate, CA.
 Amoco, Soil Guide Laboratory, Rochelle, GA.
 Anaerobe Laboratory, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA.
 Analysis Laboratories, Inc., Metalrie, LA.
 Analytical Biochemistry Laboratories, Inc., Columbia, MO.
 Analytical Development Corp., Monument, CO² (6-30-79)
 Analytical Research Labs., Inc., Monrovia, CA² (6-30-76)
 Anco Testing Laboratory, Inc., St. Louis, MO.
 Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ.
 Arizona State University, Department of Anthropology, Tempe, AZ² (6-30-79)
 Arizona Testing Laboratory, Phoenix, AZ.
 Arizona, University of, Department of Plant Pathology, Tucson, AZ² (6-30-77)
 Arizona, University of, Department of Soils, Water, and Engineering, Tucson, AZ² (6-30-75)
 Arkansas, University of, Experiment Station, Fayetteville, AR.
 Arkansas, University of, Experiment Station, Marianna, AR.
 Arkansas Highway Department, Materials and Testing Laboratory, Little Rock, AR.
 Arkansas Laboratories, Inc., Fort Smith, AR.
 Asphalt Institute, College Park, MD.
 Asphalt Technology, Bellmawr, NJ.
 Astrotech, Inc., Harrisburg, PA.
 Atkins Farmlab, Chico, CA.
 Atlanta Testing & Engineering Co., Atlanta, GA.
 Auburn University, Soil Testing Laboratory, Auburn, AL.
- B**
- Babcock, Edward S. & Sons, Riverside, CA.
 Baker, Michael, Inc., Rochester, PA.
 Barbot, D. C. & Associates, Inc., Florence, SC.
 Barrow-Agee Laboratories, Inc., Memphis, TN.
 Beckman, Inc., Microbics Operations, La Habra, CA.
 Benedict, Bowman, Craig and Moos, Columbus, OH.
 Bethany Laboratory of Uni-Royal Chemical, Division of Uni-Royal, Inc., Bethany, CT.
 Biological Testing and Research Laboratory, Lindsay, CA.
 Boring Soils & Testing Co., Inc., Harrisburg, PA.
 Boswell, J. G., Co., Corcoran, CA² (6-30-76).
 Bowes & Associates, Strawberry Park Road, Steamboat Springs, CO² (6-30-76).
 Bowser-Morner Testing Laboratories, Inc., Dayton, OH.
 Brandley, Reinard W., Sacramento, CA.
 Braun, Skaggs, and Kevorkian Engineering, Inc., Fresno, CA.
 Bristol Laboratories, Syracuse, NY.
 Broeman, F. C. & Co., Cincinnati, OH.
 Brookside Laboratory, Division of Chemical Service Laboratory, Inc., New Knoxville, OH.
 Brown and Root-Northrop IRL, Houston, TX.
 Brucker & Associates, St. Louis, MO.
- C**
- CIBA-Gelby Corp., Agriculture Division, Greensboro, NC² (6-30-77).
 OPC International, Inc., Argo, IL.
 California Department of Food & Agriculture, Chemistry Laboratories, Sacramento, CA.
 California Department of Public Works, Division of Highways Materials and Research, Sacramento, CA.
 California Department of Transportation, Transportation Laboratory, Sacramento, CA² (6-30-75).
 California State Polytechnic College, Department of Biological Sciences, Pomona, CA² (6-30-75).
 California State University, Dept. of Biology, San Diego, CA² (6-30-75).
 California State University, Department of Civil Engineering, Sacramento, CA² (6-30-75).
 California Testing Laboratories, Los Angeles, CA.
 California, University of, Agricultural Extension Service, Riverside, CA.
 California, University of, Department of Agronomy & Range Science, Davis, CA² (6-30-75).
 California, University of, Department of Anthropology, Davis, CA² (6-30-77).
 California, University of, Department of Botany, Berkeley, CA² (6-30-75).
 California, University of, Department of Civil Engineering, Davis, CA² (6-30-77).
 California, University of, Department of Food Science & Technology, Davis, CA² (6-30-77).
 California, University of, College of Natural Resources, Department of Plant Pathology, Berkeley, CA² (12-31-75).
 California, University of, Department of Soil Science & Agricultural Engineering, Riverside, CA² (6-30-75).
 California, University of, Department of Soils & Plant Nutrition, Berkeley, CA² (6-30-75).
 California, University of, Lawrence Livermore Laboratory, Livermore, CA² (12-31-75).
 California, University of (Los Angeles), Laboratory of Nuclear Medicine and Radiation Biology, Los Angeles, CA.
 California, University of (Southern), Department of Geological Sciences, Los Angeles, CA² (6-30-77).
 California, University of, School of Engineering and Applied Science, Mechanics-Structures Department, Los Angeles, CA² (6-30-76).
 California State College, San Bernardino, Department of Biology, San Bernardino, CA² (6-30-75).
 Calspan Corp., Buffalo, NY.
 Campbell Institute for Agricultural Research, Riverton, NJ² (6-30-79).
 Capozzoli, Louis J., & Associates, Inc., Baton Rouge, LA.
 Carnegie-Mellon University, Civil Engineering Department, Pittsburgh, PA² (6-30-75).
 Carpenter Construction Co., Inc., Virginia Beach, VA.
 Cascade Agricultural Service Co., Mt. Vernon, WA.
 Central Michigan University, Department of Biology, Mount Pleasant, MI² (6-30-75).
 Chem-Lawn Corporation, Columbus, OH.
 Chemagro Corp., Kansas City, MO² (6-30-77).
 Chembac Laboratories, Charlotte, NC.
 Chemical Service Laboratory, Inc., Jeffersonville, IN² (6-30-75).
 Chemical Service Laboratory, Inc., New Knoxville, OH² (6-30-76).
 Chemonics Industries, Phoenix, AZ² (6-30-78).
 Chevron Chemical Co., Richmond, CA.
 Chevron Oil Field Research Co., La Habra, CA.
 Chicago, University of, Department of Geography, Chicago, IL² (6-30-76).
 Christian Testing Laboratories, Inc., Montgomery, AL.
 Cincinnati, University of, Dept. of Geology, Cincinnati, OH² (6-30-75).
 Citizens National Bank of Paris Soil Testing Laboratory, Paris, IL.
 Clarkson Laboratory & Supply, Inc., San Diego, CA² (6-30-75).
 Clemson University, Clemson, SC.
 Clinton Corn Processing Company, Clinton, IA² (6-30-79).
 Coenen and Associates-Engineers, Newport News, VA.
 Coleman Engineering Laboratories, Inc., Augusta, GA.
 Colorado State University, Department of Agronomy, Fort Collins, CO² (6-30-75).
 Colorado State University, Department of Economics, Fort Collins, CO.
 Colorado, University of, Department of Geological Sciences, Boulder, CO² (6-30-80).
 Colorado School of Mines, Research Institute, Golden, CO² (6-30-80).
 Commercial Testing & Engineering Co., Chicago, IL.²
 Commercial Testing & Engineering Co., Norfolk, VA.
 Commonwealth Laboratory, Inc., Richmond, VA.
 Connecticut, University of, Soil Testing Laboratory, Plant Science Department, College of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Storrs, CT.
 Consolidated Cigar Corp., Glastonbury, CT.
 Construction Aggregates Corp., Ferrysburg, MI.
 Contractors & Engineers Service, Inc., Fayetteville, NC.
 Contractors & Engineers Service, Inc., Goldsboro, NC.
 Converse, Davis, and Associates, Pasadena, CA² (6-30-75).
 Cook Research Laboratories, Inc., Menlo Park, CA.
 Cookwell Strainer, Cincinnati, OH.
 Cooper-Clark & Associates, Palo Alto, CA.
 Coors Spectro-Chemical Laboratory, Denver, CO.
 Core Laboratories, Inc., Aurora, CO.
 Core Laboratories, Inc., Houma, LA.
 Core Laboratories, Inc., Lafayette, LA.
 Core Laboratories, Inc., New Orleans, LA.
 Core Laboratories, Inc., Shreveport, LA.
 Core Laboratories, Inc., Farmington, NM.
 Core Laboratories, Inc., Hobbs, NM.
 Core Laboratories, Inc., Dallas, TX.
 Core Laboratories, Inc., Casper, WY.
 Cornell University, Department of Agronomy, Ithaca, NY² (6-30-79).
 Cornell University, Food Science Department, Ithaca, NY² (6-30-75).
 Cornell University, Department of Floriculture and Ornamental Horticulture, Ithaca, NY² (6-30-76).
 Craig Testing Laboratories, Mays Landing, NJ.
 Crobaugh Laboratories, Cleveland, OH.
 Crop Chemical Testing Services, Inc., Arcola, IL.
- D**
- Dade County Soils Laboratory, Homestead, FL² (6-30-75).
 Dames & Moore, Denver, CO² (6-30-76).
 Dames & Moore, Cincinnati, OH² (6-30-76).
 Dames & Moore, Los Angeles, CA² (6-30-76).
 Dames & Moore, San Francisco, CA² (6-30-77).
 Dames & Moore, Atlanta, GA² (6-30-76).
 Dames & Moore, Houston, TX² (6-30-75).
 Dames & Moore, Park Ridge, IL² (6-30-78).
 Dames & Moore, Cranford, NJ² (6-30-75).
 Dames & Moore, Seattle, WA² (6-30-79).
 D'Appollonia, E., Consulting Engineers, Inc., Pittsburgh, PA² (6-30-77).
 Darwin, Charles, Research Institute, Dana Point, CA² (6-30-75).
 Davey Tree Expert Co., Kent, OH.
 Daylin Laboratories, Inc., Los Angeles, CA.
 Del Monte Corp., San Leandro, CA.
 Del Monte Corp., Walnut Creek, CA.
 Delta Testing and Inspection, Inc., Baton Rouge, LA.
 Delta Testing and Inspection, Inc., Lafayette, LA.
 Delta Testing and Inspection, Inc., New Orleans, LA.
 Denver, University of, Department of Geography, Denver, CO² (6-30-77).
 Diamond Shamrock Corp., Painesville, OH.
 Dickinson Laboratories, Inc., Mobile, AL.
 Dixie Laboratories, Inc., Mobile, AL.
 Dow Chemical Co., Walnut Creek, CA² (6-30-77).
 Dow Chemical Co., Midland, MI² (6-30-76).

du Pont de Nemours, E. I. & Co., Industrial and Biochemicals Department, Foreign Sales, Wilmington, DE² (6-30-76).
 Duke University, Durham, NC.
 Duke University, Department of Botany, Durham, NC² (6-30-75).
 Duke University, Department of Zoology, Durham, NC² (6-30-76).

E

EFCO Laboratories, Tucson, AZ² (6-30-78).
 Eagle Iron Works, Des Moines, IA² (6-30-77).
 Earlham College, Department of Biology, Richmond, IN² (6-30-75).
 Effingham Equity, Effingham, IL.
 Eli Lilly & Co., Lilly Research Laboratories, Indianapolis, IN² (6-30-75).
 Elsenhauer Laboratories, Covina, CA
 Ellerbe Architect, Bloomington, MN
 Elmira College, Department of Botany, Elmira, NY² (6-30-75).
 El Paso Chemical Laboratories, El Paso, TX² (6-30-78).
 Empire Soils Investigations, Groton, NY
 Engineering, Surveys, and Services, Columbia, MO
 Engineers Laboratories, Inc., Jackson, MS
 Engineers Testing Laboratories, Phoenix, AZ
 Environmental Science & Engineering Corp., Mt. Juliet, TN
 Eustis Engineering Co., Metairie, LA
 Evans, Jay, Testing Laboratory, Albany, GA
 Evans, L. T., Inc., Los Angeles, CA
 Everglades Laboratories, Inc., West Palm Beach, FL² (6-30-75).

F

Farm Clinic, West Lafayette, IN² (6-30-76).
 Federal Chemical Co., Columbus, OH.
 Fertilizers, John Taylor, Sacramento, CA.
 Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, Pesticide Residue Program, Tallahassee, FL.
 Florida State University, Dept. of Geology, Tallahassee, FL² (6-30-75).
 Florida State University, Dept. of Oceanography, Tallahassee, FL² (6-30-75).
 Florida Testing Laboratories, Inc., St. Petersburg, FL.
 Florida, University of, Agricultural Research & Education Center, Bradenton, FL² (6-30-76).
 Florida, University of, Agricultural Research & Education Center, Lake Alfred, FL.
 Florida, University of, Agricultural Extension Service, Soil Testing Laboratory, McCarty Hall, Gainesville, FL.
 Florida, University of, Department of Geology, Floyd Hall, Gainesville, FL² (6-30-79).
 Florida, University of, Soil Science Department, Gainesville, FL² (6-30-76).
 Flowers Chemical Laboratories, Altamonte Springs, FL.
 Foley, Hubert L., Jr., New Albany, MS.
 Foundation Engineering Consultants, Inc., Columbia, SC.
 Foundation Test Services, Inc., Bethesda, MD.
 Franklin, R. T., & Associates, Burbank, CA.
 Frederick Cancer Research Center, Fermentation Laboratory, Frederick, MD² (6-30-76).
 Froehling & Robertson, Inc., Richmond, VA²
 Fraco & Associates, St. Louis, MO.
 Fruin-Colnon Corp., St. Louis, MO.
 F. S. Royster Guano Co., Toledo, OH.
 Fugro, Inc., Long Beach, CA² (6-30-75).
 Fugro Gulf, Inc., Houston, TX² (6-30-75).
 Fuller Company, Catasauqua, PA² (6-30-80).

G

GHT Laboratories of Imperial Valley, Inc., Brawley, CA² (6-30-76).
 GREFCO, Inc., Torrance, CA² (6-30-78).
 GREFCO, Inc., Lompoc, CA² (6-30-79).
 GX Laboratories, Inc., Golden, CO² (6-30-77).

General Testing Laboratory, Kansas City, MO.
 Geochemical Surveys, Dallas, TX.
 Geologic Associates, Franklin, TN.
 Geologic Associates, Knoxville, TN.
 Georgia State Department of Transportation, Office of Material and Test, Forest Park, GA.²
 Georgia Testing Laboratory, Atlanta, GA.
 Georgia, University of, Cooperative Extension Service, Athens, GA.
 Georgia, University of, Department of Agronomy, Athens, GA² (6-30-78).
 Georgia, University of, Institute of Ecology, Athens, GA² (6-30-75).
 Geo-Survey, Inc., Camp Hill, PA² (6-30-75).
 Geotechnical Consultants, Inc., Burbank, CA.
 Geotechnical Engineering-Testing, Inc., Mobile, AL.
 Geotechnical Engineers Inc., Winchester, MA² (6-30-76).
 Geo-Testing, Inc., San Rafael, CA² (6-30-79).
 Gillen Engineering Co., Inc., Metairie, LA.
 Girdler Foundation & Exploration Co., Lenexa, VA.
 Glassmire, S. H., & Associates, Metairie, LA² (6-30-75).
 Gooch, George W., Laboratory, Ltd., Los Angeles, CA.
 Gore Engineering, Inc., Metairie, LA.
 Grace, W. R., & Co., Columbia, MD² (6-30-77).
 Grace, W. R., & Co., Fort Pierce, FL² (6-30-76).
 Grace, W. R., & Co., Washington Research Center, Clarksville, MD² (6-30-77).
 Grace, W. R., & Co., Nashville, TN.
 Green Engineering Co., Sewickley, PA.
 Green Giant Co., Agricultural Research Department, Le Sueur, MN² (6-30-75).
 Green Thumb Corp., Apopka, FL² (6-30-79).
 Grimes, Walter B., & Associates, Chico, CA.
 Growers Chemical Corp., Milan, OH.
 Grubbs Consulting Engineers, Little Rock, AR.
 Gulf Coast Testing Laboratory, Inc., Corpus Christi, TX.
 Gulf South Research Institute, Baton Rouge, LA.
 Gulf South Research Institute, New Orleans, LA.

H

Hales Testing Laboratories, Santa Clara, CA.
 Hales Testing Laboratories, Oakland, CA.
 Hamilton Company, Soil Testing Laboratory, McLeansboro, IL.
 Hampton Roads Testing Laboratories, Newport News, VA.
 Hanks, Abbot A., Testing Laboratory, San Francisco, CA.
 Hanson Engineers, Inc., Springfield, IL² (6-30-78).
 Harding-Lawson Associates, San Rafael, CA² (6-30-75).
 Harlan, R. C., and Associates, San Francisco, CA² (6-30-75).
 Harris, Inc., Frederick R., Woodbridge, NJ² (6-30-76).
 Harris Laboratories, Inc., Phoenix, AZ² (6-30-77).
 Harris Laboratories, Inc., Lincoln, NE.
 Harvard University, Peabody Museum, Cambridge, MA² (6-30-78).
 Harvard University, Soil Mechanics Laboratory, Cambridge, MA.
 Harza Engineering Co., Chicago, IL² (6-30-77).
 Hawley & Hawley, Division of Skyline Labs, Inc., Tucson, AZ² (6-30-75).
 Haynes, John H., Consulting Engineer, Dallas, TX.
 Haze Research Inc., Golden, CO² (6-30-78).
 Hazelton Laboratories, Inc., Falls Church, VA.

Hector Agricultural Expert Co., Miami, FL.
 Heinrichs Geoeexploration Co., Tucson, AZ² (6-30-76).
 Heinz, H. J., Bowling Green, OH.
 Hemphill Corp., Tulsa, OK.
 Herbert & Associates, Virginia Beach, VA.
 Hercules, Inc., Wilmington, DE² (6-30-75).
 Hess, John D., Testing Corp., El Centro, CA² (6-30-76).
 Hill-Harned & Associates, Redding, CA.
 Hoffman-LaRoche, Inc., Nutley, NJ² (6-30-78).
 Hollywood Testing Laboratories, Hollywood, CA.
 Horvitz Research Laboratories, Houston, TX.
 Hunt, Robert W., Co., Chicago, IL.
 Hunter College, Department of Anthropology, New York, NY.
 Hurst-Rosche Engineers, Inc., Hillsboro, IL.

I

IFT Research Institute, Chicago, IL.
 IRI Research Institute, Inc., New York, NY.
 Illinois Division of Highways, Bureau of Materials, Chicago, IL.
 Illinois Division of Highways, Bureau of Materials, Dixon, IL.
 Illinois Division of Highways, Bureau of Materials, Effingham, IL.
 Illinois Division of Highways, Bureau of Materials, Elgin, IL.
 Illinois Division of Highways, Bureau of Materials, Paris, IL.
 Illinois Division of Highways, Bureau of Materials, Springfield, IL.
 Illinois Division of Highways, Carbondale, IL.
 Illinois Division of Highways, East St. Louis, IL.
 Illinois Division of Highways, Ottawa, IL.
 Illinois Division of Highways, Peoria, IL.
 Illinois, University of, Department of Agronomy, Urbana, IL.
 Illinois, University of, Department of Anthropology, Urbana, IL² (6-30-75).
 Illinois, University of, at Chicago Circle, Department of Geography, Chicago, IL² (6-30-78).
 Independent Testing Laboratories, Greensboro, NC.
 Indiana Farm Bureau Co-op, Indianapolis, IN.
 Indiana State Highway Commission, Division of Materials and Testing, Indianapolis, IN.
 Indiana University, Biology Department, Bloomington, IN² (6-30-75).
 Indiana University, Department of Geology, Bloomington, IN.
 Industrial Bio-Test Laboratories, Inc., Northbrook, IL.
 Institute for Research, Inc., Houston, TX.
 International Agriculture Services, San Francisco, CA² (6-30-77).
 International Geochemicals, Ltd., Gretna, LA² (6-30-76).
 International Mineral & Chemical Corp., Libertyville, IL.
 International Mineral & Chemical Corp., Mulberry, FL.
 International Mineral Engineers, Inc., Golden, CO.
 International Research Corp., Mattawan, MI.
 Interpace Corp., Los Angeles, CA² (6-30-75).
 Iowa State Highway Commission Soil Laboratory, Ames, IA.
 Iowa State University, Department of Agronomy, Ames, IA² (6-30-80).
 Iowa State University, Engineering Research Institute, Ames, IA² (6-30-75).
 Iowa, University of (Northern) Department of Biology, Cedar Falls, IA² (6-30-76).

J

Jennings Laboratories, Virginia Beach, VA.
 Jersey Testing Laboratories, Atco, NJ.
 Jersey Testing Laboratories, Newark, NJ.
 Johnson Soil Engineering Laboratory, Fallsides Park, NJ.

K

Kaiser Agricultural Chemical Co., Sullivan, IL.
 Kaiser Agricultural Chemicals Corp., Liberty, IN.
 Kaiser Agricultural Chemicals Corp., Savannah, GA.
 Kaiser Aluminum and Chemical Corp., Center for Technology, Pleasanton, CA.² (6-30-80).
 Kalo Laboratories, Inc., Quincy, IL.
 Kansas City Testing Laboratory, Inc., Kansas City, MO.
 Kansas State University, Civil Engineering Department, Manhattan, KS.² (6-30-76).
 Kansas, University of, Department of Geography, Lawrence, KS.² (6-30-75).
 Kearney Field Station, University of California, Division of Agricultural Sciences, Parlier, CA.
 Kentucky, University of, Department of Agronomy, Lexington, KY.² (6-30-76).
 Kentucky, University of, Division of Regulatory Services, Lexington, KY.
 Kinlab, Inc., Cincinnati, OH.
 Kleinfelder, J. H., & Associates, Fresno, CA.
 Kleinfelder, J. H., & Associates, Merced, CA.
 Kleinfelder, J. H., & Associates, Sacramento, CA.
 Kleinfelder, J. H., & Associates, Stockton, CA.
 Krueger Enterprises, Inc., Cambridge, MA.² (6-30-76).

L

LFE Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Richmond, CA.
 Lake Ontario Environmental Laboratory, Oswego, NY.
 Lancaster Laboratories, Inc., Lancaster, PA.² (6-30-75).
 Langan Engineering Associates, Clifton, NJ.
 Langford & Meredith Laboratories, Division of The Analysts, Inc., The Analysts, Inc., New Orleans, LA.
 Larsen, Heriuf T., Enola, PA.
 Larutan of the South, Hiram, GA.
 La Salle County Farm Bureau, Soil Testing Laboratory, Ottawa, IL.
 Law Engineering Testing Co., Atlanta, GA.² (6-30-78).
 Law Engineering Testing Co., Marietta, GA.² (6-30-76).
 Law Engineering Testing Co., McLean, VA.² (6-30-79).
 Law Engineering Testing Co., Tampa, FL.² (6-30-76).
 Layne-Western Co., Kansas City, MO.
 Layne-Western Co., Kirkwood, MO.
 Lederle Laboratories, Pearl River, NY.² (6-30-75).
 Lerch Brothers Inc., Hibbing, MN.² (6-30-80).
 Leroy Crandall & Associates, Los Angeles, CA.² (6-30-77).
 Lewin, David W., Corp., Geotechnical Engineering, The Arcade, Cleveland, OH.
 Libby, McNeill, & Libby, Janesville, WI.² (6-30-76).
 Lilly, Eli, & Co., Greenfield, IN.² (6-30-79).
 Lilly, Eli, & Co., Lilly Research Laboratories, Indianapolis, IN.² (6-30-75).
 Loma Linda University, Department of Biology, Loma Linda, CA.² (6-30-75).
 Louisiana Department of Highways, Baton Rouge, LA.
 Louisiana State University, Department of Agronomy Laboratory, Baton Rouge, LA.
 Louisiana State University, Coastal Studies Institute, Baton Rouge, LA.
 Louisiana State University, New Orleans, LA.
 Lowry Testing Laboratory, Sacramento, CA.
 Lubbock Labs, Lubbock, TX.

M

M & T Chemicals, Inc., Rahway, NJ.
 Maine State Highway Commission, Bangor, ME.
 Maine, University of, Orono, ME.

Maine, University of, Plant & Soil Science Department, Orono, ME.² (6-30-76).
 Manchester College, Biology Department, North Manchester, IN.
 Mapeco, Inc., Indiana Point Division, Athens, IL.
 Marr-Waddoups and Associates, Pasco, WA.
 Mason-Johnston & Associates, Inc., Dallas, TX.
 Massachusetts Department of Public Works, Wellesley Hills, MA.
 Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Soil Mechanics Division, Cambridge, MA.² (6-30-75).
 Massachusetts, University of, Department of Plant and Soil Sciences, Amherst, MA.
 Maurseth Howe Lockwood & Associates, Los Angeles, CA.² (6-30-75).
 McCallum Inspection Co., Chesapeake, VA.¹
 McClelland Engineers, Clayton, MO.
 McClelland Engineers, Inc., Houston, TX.² (6-30-79).
 McGauthy, Marshall, and McMillian, Norfolk, VA.
 McGillivray, Ross T., Consulting Engineer, Temple Terrace, FL.
 Memphis State University, Department of Biology, Memphis, TN.
 Memphis State University, Department of Civil Engineering, Memphis, TN.
 Merck Institute for Therapeutic Research, Rahway, NJ.² (6-30-78).
 Merck & Co., Inc., Agri Chemical Development, Rahway, NJ.
 Miami, University of, Department of Biology, Coral Gables, FL.² (6-30-76).
 Michigan Department of Public Health, Bureau of Laboratories, Division of Antibiotics and Fermentation, Lansing, MI.² (6-30-78).
 Michigan State University, Department of Animal Husbandry, East Lansing, MI.² (6-30-76).
 Michigan State University, Department of Botany and Plant Pathology, East Lansing, MI.² (6-30-77).
 Michigan State University, Department of Entomology, Nematology Lab, East Lansing, MI.² (6-30-76).
 Michigan State University, Crop and Soil Science Department, East Lansing, MI.² (6-30-76).
 Michigan State University, Soil Testing Laboratory, East Lansing, MI.
 Michigan, University of, Botany Department, Ann Arbor, MI.² (6-30-79).
 Michigan, University of, Department of Zoology, Ann Arbor, MI.² (6-30-75).
 Michigan Testing Engineers, Inc., Michigan Drilling Division, Detroit, MI.
 Midwest Soil Testing Service, Danforth, IL.
 Mier, Ezra, Raleigh, NC.
 Miles Laboratories, Inc., Marschall Division, Elkhart, IN.² (6-30-77).
 Miles Laboratories, Inc., Miles Research Division, West Haven, CT.² (6-30-77).
 Milwaukee, City of, Sewage Commission, Milwaukee, WI.
 Minnesota Department of Transportation, St. Paul, MN.
 Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Co., Agrichemical Laboratories, St. Paul, MN.² (6-30-76).
 Minnesota, University of, Department of Geology & Geophysics, Minneapolis, MN.² (6-30-80).
 Minnesota, University of, The Hormel Institute, Austin, MN.² (6-30-76).
 Minnesota, University of, Department of Soil Science, St. Paul, MN.² (6-30-75).
 Mississippi State University, State College, MS.
 Mississippi, University of, University, MS.
 Missouri Highway Commission, Jefferson City, MO.
 Missouri, University of, Department of Food Sciences and Nutrition, Columbia, MO.² (6-30-75).
 Missouri, University of, Division of Biology, Columbia, MO.² (6-30-76).
 Monsanto Co., Agricultural Division, St. Louis, MO.² (6-30-78).
 Morse Laboratories, Sacramento, CA.
 Mueser, Rutledge, Wentworth, and Johnston, New York, NY.² (6-30-80).

N

Na-Churs Plant Food Co., Marion, OH.² (6-30-75).
 Na-Churs, Red Oak, IA.
 National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA.² (6-30-75).
 National Bulk Carriers, Inc., New York, NY.
 National Laboratories, Evansville, IN.
 National Soil Services, Inc., Dallas, TX.
 National Soil Services, Inc., Houston, TX.² (6-30-75).
 National Spectrographic, Division of Shiller Industries, Warrenville Heights, OH.
 Natural Resources Laboratory, Golden, CO.
 Nebraska Department of Roads, Soil Testing Laboratory, Lincoln, NE.
 Nebraska, University of, Department of Agronomy, Helm Hall, Lincoln, NE.² (6-30-78).
 Nelson Laboratories, Stockton, CA.² (6-30-75).
 Nevada State Highway Department Laboratory, Carson City, NV.
 New Jersey Department of Transportation, Trenton, NJ.
 New Mexico State Highway Department, Santa Fe, NM.
 New Mexico State University, Soil and Water Testing Laboratory, Las Cruces, NM.² (6-30-76).
 New York Botanical Garden, Cryptogamic Herbarium, Bronx, NY.² (6-30-76).
 New York State, University of, College of Environmental Sciences & Forestry, Dept. of Forest Botany & Pathology, Syracuse, NY.² (6-30-80).
 Niagara Chemical Division of FMC Corp., Middleport, NY.
 North Carolina Department of Agriculture, Raleigh, NC.
 North Carolina Department of Geology, Raleigh, NC.
 North Carolina State University, Department of Soil Science, International Soil Testing Project, Raleigh, NC.² (6-30-75).
 North Carolina, University of, Research Lab. of Anthropology, Chapel Hill, NC.² (6-30-76).
 North Carolina, University of, Department of Botany, Chapel Hill, NC (Dr. J. N. Couch).
 North Carolina, University of, Department of Botany, Chapel Hill, NC (Dr. N. G. Miller).
 North Carolina, University of, Department of Botany, Chapel Hill, NC.² (6-30-75) (Dr. Edward G. Barry).
 North Dakota State Highway Department, State Highway Department Laboratory, Bismarck, ND.
 Norvell Plowman Laboratories, Little Rock, AR.
 Nu-ag, Division of U.S. Testing Co., Inc., Rochelle, IL.² (6-30-75).
 Nutting, H. C., Co., Cincinnati, OH.

O

Ohio Florist Association, Columbus, OH.
 Ohio State University, Botany Department, Columbus, OH.² (6-30-76).
 Ohio State University, Department of Agronomy, Columbus, OH.² (6-30-78).
 Ohio State University, Institute of Polar Studies, Columbus, OH.² (6-30-76).
 Ohio State University, Ohio Extension Service, Columbus, OH.
 Oklahoma State Highway Department, Materials Division, Oklahoma City, OK.
 Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK.
 Oklahoma State University, Department of Agronomy, Stillwater, OK.

- Oklahoma State University, School of Civil Engineering Stillwater, OK.
 Oklahoma Soil Testing Laboratories, Oklahoma City, OK.
 Oklahoma, University of, School of Civil Engineering and Environmental Science, Norman, OK.
 Old Dominion University, Norfolk, VA.
 Olson Management Service, Freeport, IL.
 Onondaga Soil Testing, Inc., East Syracuse, NY.
 Oregon State Highway Department, Salem, OR.
 Oregon State University, Soils Department, Corvallis, OR.
 Osborne Laboratories, Inc., Los Angeles, CA.
- P
- Pacific Environmental Laboratory, San Francisco, CA.
 Pacific Spectro Chemical Laboratory, Los Angeles, CA.
 Pan American Laboratories, Brownsville, TX.
 Parke, Davis, & Co. (Joseph Campau at the River), Detroit, MI.
 Parke, Davis, & Co., Medical and Science Affairs Division, Detroit, MI.
 Parrill, Irwin H., Edwardsville, IL.
 Pattison's Laboratories, Inc., Harlingen, TX.
 Penniman & Browne, Inc., Baltimore, MD.
 Penniman & Browne, Inc., Richmond, VA.
 Pennsylvania State University, Department of Agronomy, University Park, PA.
 Pennsylvania State University, Department of Anthropology, University Park, PA.
 Pennsylvania, University of, Dept. of Geology, Philadelphia, PA.
 Perry Laboratory, Los Gatos, CA.
 Peters, Robert B. Co., Allentown, PA.
 Pfeiffer Foundation, Inc., Threefold Farm, Spring Valley, NY.
 Pfizer, Charles, & Co., Inc., Groton, CT.
 Phifer, Allen, Thorofare, NJ.
 Pickett, Ray, and Silver, St. Peters, MO.
 Pioneer Testing Laboratory, Inc., Redlands, CA.
 Pittsburgh Testing Laboratory, Pittsburgh, PA.
 Plains Laboratory, Lubbock, TX.
 Pope, W. I., Mobile, AL.
 Portland Cement Association, Skokie, IL.
 Portland State College, Department of Biology, Portland, OR.
 Princeton University, Department of Geological & Geophysical Sciences, Princeton, NJ.
 Purdue University, Department of Agronomy, Lafayette, IN.
 Purdue University, Department of Entomology, Lafayette, IN.
- Q
- Queens College, Flushing, NY.
- R
- Raamot Associates, P. C., Corona, NY.
 Rabe, Fred N., Engineering, Inc., Fresno, CA.
 Raymond International, St. Louis, MO.
 Reitz and Jeans, Clayton, MO.
 Resources International, Fresno, CA.
 Richfield Oil Corp., Long Beach, CA.
 Ringel and Associates, Chico, CA.
 Rochester, University of, Department of Biology, Rochester, NY.
 Rocky Mountain Geochemical Corp., Midvale, UT.
 Rocky Mountain Geochemical Corp., Prescott, AZ.
 Rocky Mountain Technology, Inc., Golden, CO.
- Rohm & Haas Company, Bristol, PA.
 Royster Co., Norfolk, VA.
 Rummel, Klepper, & Kahl, Lansdowne, MD.
 Rutgers, the State University, Department of Soils and Crops, New Brunswick, NJ.
 Rutgers, the State University, International Agricultural Programs, New Brunswick, NJ.
 Rutgers, the State University, Soils Extension Specialist, New Brunswick, NJ.
- S
- San Fernando Valley State College, Department of Biology, Northridge, CA.
 Sayre & Sutherland, Inc., Richmond, VA.
 Schering Corp., Bloomfield, NJ.
 Scientific Associates, Inc., St. Louis, MO.
 Scott, O. M., & Sons, Seed Co., Marysville, OH.
 Scotland Soil Laboratory, Chrisman, IL.
 Seabrook Farms, Seabrook, NJ.
 Shankman Laboratories, Los Angeles, CA.
 Shannon & Wilson, Inc., Burlingame, CA.
 Shannon & Wilson, Inc., Portland, OR.
 Shannon & Wilson, Inc., Seattle, WA.
 Shawnee College Soils Laboratory, Ullin, IL.
 Shell Development Co., Biological Sciences Research Center, Modesto, CA.
 Shilstone Testing Laboratory, Inc., Baton Rouge, LA.
 Shilstone Testing Laboratory, Corpus Christi, TX.
 Shilstone Testing Laboratory, Inc., Houston, TX.
 Shilstone Testing Laboratory, Inc., Lafayette, LA.
 Shilstone Testing Laboratory, Inc., Monroe, LA.
 Shilstone Testing Laboratory, Inc., New Orleans, LA.
 Skyline Laboratories, Inc., Wheat Ridge, CO.
 Smith-Douglas, Chesapeake, VA.
 Smith-Douglas Co., Division of Borden Inc., Columbus, OH.
 Smith, Kline & French Laboratories, Swedeland, PA.
 Snohomish Farm Veterinary Service, Snohomish, WA.
 Soil and Materials Engineers, Detroit, MI.
 Soil and Plant Laboratory, Inc., Santa Ana, CA.
 Soil and Plant Laboratory, Inc., Santa Clara, CA.
 Soil Consultants, Inc., Charleston, SC.
 Soil Consultants, Inc., Merrifield, VA.
 Soil Control Laboratory, Watsonville, CA.
 Soil Engineering Services, Decatur, IL.
 Soil Engineering Services, Inc., Minneapolis, MN.
 Soil Exploration Co., St. Paul, MN.
 Soil Services, Inc., Mountain View, CA.
 Soil Test, Moorestown, NJ.
 Soil Testing, Burlington, WA.
 Soil Testing Services, Inc., Northbrook, IL.
 Sollab Enterprises, Lancaster, CA.
 Soils Mechanics Services, Mt. Vernon, NY.
 South Alabama, University of, Department of Geology, Mobile, AL.
 South Carolina, University of Columbia, SC.
 South Carolina, University of, Department of Anthropology and Sociology, Columbia, SC.
 South Dakota State Highway Department, Materials and Testing Department, Pierre, SD.
 South Dakota, University of, Department of Zoology, Vermillion, SD.
 Southern California Testing Lab., Inc., San Diego, CA.
- Southern Illinois Farm Foundation, Vienna, IL.
 Southern Laboratories, Mobile, AL.
 Southern Technical Services, Inc., Jackson, MS.
 Southern Testing and Research Laboratories, Wilson, NC.
 Southern Testing Laboratory, Montgomery, AL.
 Southern Turf Nurseries, Tifton, GA.
 Southwestern Assayers & Chemists, Inc., Tucson, AZ.
 Southwestern Irrigation Field Station, Brawley, CA.
 Southwestern Laboratories, Inc., Houston, TX.
 Southwestern Laboratories of Louisiana, Inc., Alexandria, LA.
 Southwestern Laboratories of Louisiana, Inc., Baton Rouge, LA.
 Southwestern Laboratories of Louisiana, Inc., Monroe, LA.
 Southwestern Laboratories of Louisiana, Inc., Shreveport, LA.
 Southeastern Materials Laboratory, Phoenix, AZ.
 Squibb, E. R., & Sons, Dept. of Microbiology, Lawrenceville, NJ.
 St. Louis Testing Laboratories, Inc., St. Louis, MO.
 Stabilization Chemicals, Orange, CA.
 Standard Laboratories, Goodfield, IL.
 Standard Testing & Engineering Co., Oklahoma City, OK.
 Stanford Research Institute, Menlo Park, CA.
 Stauffer Chemical Co., Mountain View, CA.
 Stauffer Chemical Co., Richmond, CA.
 Stillwell & Gladding, Inc., New York, NY.
 Stone, Ralph and Co., Inc., Los Angeles, CA.
 Stone & Webster Engineering Corp., Boston, MA.
 Stoner Laboratories, Campbell, CA.
 Strawinsky Laboratory, Long Beach, CA.
 Suerdrup and Parcel & Associates, Inc., St. Louis, MO.
 Superior Oil Company, Geophysical Laboratory, Houston, TX.
 Syracuse University Research Corp., Syracuse, NY.
- T
- T-M-T Chemical Co., Inc., Five Points, CA.
 Teledyne Isotopes, Palo Alto, CA.
 Tennent & Associates, Memphis, TN.
 Tennessee, University of, Soil Testing Laboratory, Nashville, TN.
 Tennessee Valley Authority, Materials Engineering Laboratory, Knoxville, TN.
 Test, Inc. Memphis, TN.
 Testing Engineers, Inc., Oakland, CA.
 Testing Engineers, Inc., San Jose, CA.
 Testing Laboratories, Inc., El Paso, TX.
 Testing Service Corp., Wheaton, IL.
 Tetco, Trinity Engineering Testing Corp., Corpus Christi, TX.
 Texas A & M University, Department of Sociology and Anthropology, College Station, TX.
 Texas A & M University, Soil & Crop Sciences Department, College Station, TX.
 Texas A & M University, Soil Testing Laboratory, Agricultural Extension Service and Experiment Station, College Station, TX.
 Texas Soil Laboratory, McAllen, TX.
 Texas Technological University, Department of Agronomy, Lubbock, TX.
 Texas Testing Laboratories, Dallas, TX.
 Texas, University of, Department of Botany, Austin, TX.

Texas, University of Audiocarbon Laboratory, Batcones Research Center, Austin, TX² (6-30-79).

Thompson, Foster J., Jr., Inc., Mobile, AL.

Thornton Laboratories, Inc., Tampa, FL.

Three Gee Dee, Pembroke, FL.

Tippetts-Abbett-McCarthy-Stratton, New York, NY² (6-30-76).

Trinity Testing Laboratories, Inc., Corpus Christi, TX.

Triple S Laboratory, Inc., Loveland, CO² (6-30-79).

Truesdale Laboratories, Inc., Los Angeles, CA.

Tulsa, University of, Department of Geology, Tulsa, OK² (6-30-76).

Twin City Testing and Engineering Laboratory, Inc., St. Paul, MN² (6-30-75).

Twin County Services Co., Murphysboro, IL.

Twining Laboratories, Inc., Fresno, CA² (6-30-79).

Twining Laboratory of Southern California, Long Beach, CA.

U

U.S. Agricultural Consultants Laboratories, San Gabriel, CA.

U.S. Borax Research Corp., Anaheim, CA.

U.S. Plant, Soil, and Nutrition Laboratory, Ithaca, NY.

U.S. Sugar Corporation, Research Department, Clewiston, FL.

U.S. Terrestrial Plants Laboratory, Hanover, NH.

U.S. Testing Co., Inc., Los Angeles, CA.

U.S. Testing Co., Inc., Hoboken, NJ.

U.S. Testing Co., Memphis Laboratory, Memphis, TN² (6-30-79).

U.S. Testing Laboratory, Richland, WA.

USS Agri-Chemicals, Belmond, IA.

USS Agri-Chemicals, Decatur, GA.

Union Carbide Corp., Grand Junction, CO.

Union Carbide Corp., Niagara Falls, NY² (6-30-75).

Union Carbide Corp., South Charleston, WV.

Union Oil Company of California, Brea, CA.

Upjohn Co., Pharmaceutical Division, Kalamazoo, MI.

Utah State University, College of Engineering, Agriculture and Irrigation Engineering, Logan, UT.

Utah State University, Department of Biology, Logan, UT² (6-30-79).

Utah State University, Soil Laboratory, Logan, UT.

Utah State University, Soil and Water Conservation Research, Mechanic Arts, Logan, UT.

Utah State University, Crops Research Laboratory, Logan, UT.

Utah, University of, Department of Anthropology, Salt Lake City, UT² (6-30-76).

U.S. GOVERNMENT

U.S. Department of Agriculture, APHIS, Environmental Quality Laboratory, Brownsville, TX.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, APHIS, Golden Nematode Laboratory, Hicksville, NY.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, APHIS, Gypsy Moth Laboratory, Otis AFB, MA.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, APHIS, Environmental Quality Laboratory, Gulfport, MS.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, APHIS, Southern Methods Development Laboratory, Gulfport, MS.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, ARS, CARD, U.S. Fruit, Vegetable, Soil, and Water Laboratory, Nematology Investigation, Weslaco, TX² (6-30-77).

U.S. Department of Agriculture, ARS, SWC, Citrus, Vegetable, Soil, and Water Laboratory, Weslaco, TX² (6-30-75).

U.S. Department of Agriculture, ARS, Plant and Entomological Sciences, Washington, DC.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, ARS, Soil, Water, and Air Sciences, Washington, DC.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, ARS, Southern Piedmont Conservation Research Center, Watkinsville, GA² (6-30-76).

U.S. Department of Agriculture, ARS, U.S. Water Conservation Lab, Phoenix, AZ² (6-30-79).

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Riverside, CA.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, FS, Southern Forest Experiment Station, Pineville, LA.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, FS, SEFES, Athens, GA² (6-30-75).

U.S. Department of Agriculture, FS, Washington, DC.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, FS, Wood Products Insect Laboratory, Gulfport, MS.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, SCS, Engineering and Watershed Planning Unit, Materials Testing Section, Portland, OR² (6-30-77).

U.S. Department of Agriculture, SCS, Engineering Division, Washington, DC¹.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, SCS, Soil Mechanics Laboratory, Lincoln, NE.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, SCS, Soil Survey Investigations Unit, Lincoln, NE² (6-30-78).

U.S. Department of Agriculture, SCS, Soil Survey Laboratory, Riverside, CA² (6-30-77).

U.S. Department of Agriculture, SCS, Soil Survey, Washington, DC¹.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, SCS, Survey Investigations Unit, Beltsville, MD² (6-30-75).

U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Health Physics Section, Gaithersburg, MD² (6-30-75).

U.S. Department of Defense, U.S. Air Force, AFCEC/DL Civil Engineering Center, Tyndall AFB, Panama City, FL² (6-30-78).

U.S. Department of Defense, U.S. Air Force, Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories (AFSC), Laurence G. Hanscom Field, Bedford, MA.

U.S. Department of Defense, U.S. Air Force, Air Force Weapons Laboratory, Kirkland AFB, Albuquerque, NM² (6-30-76).

U.S. Department of Defense, U.S. Army, Construction Engineering Research Laboratory, Champaign, IL² (6-30-75).

U.S. Department of Defense, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago, IL.

U.S. Department of Defense, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Engineering Division Laboratory, Marietta, GA² (6-30-77).

U.S. Department of Defense, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Vicksburg, MS.

U.S. Department of Defense, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS² (6-30-76).

U.S. Department of Defense, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Washington, DC¹.

U.S. Department of Defense, U.S. Army, Electronics Command, Institute for Exploratory Research, Fort Monmouth, NJ² (6-30-75).

U.S. Department of Defense, U.S. Army Environmental Hygiene Agency, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD² (6-30-80).

U.S. Department of Defense, U.S. Army Facility Engineering Support Agency, Engineering Division, Nuclear Branch, Fort Belvoir, VA² (6-30-79).

U.S. Department of Defense, U.S. Army Mobile Equipment Research Development Center, Countermeasures/Counter Intrusion Dept., Fort Belvoir, VA² (6-30-79).

U.S. Department of Defense, U.S. Army, South Pacific Corps of Engineers, Engineering Division Laboratory, Sausalito, CA² (6-30-78).

U.S. Department of Defense, U.S. Navy, Atlantic Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Norfolk, VA² (6-30-76).

U.S. Department of Defense, U.S. Navy, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Soil Mechanics and Paving Branch, Norfolk, VA.

U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, National Communicable Disease Center, Mycology Branch, Atlanta, GA² (6-30-78).

U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Indian Affairs, Soil Testing Laboratory, Gallup, NM.

U.S. Department of the Interior, Branch of Central Environmental Geology, Denver, CO² (6-30-76).

U.S. Department of the Interior, Engineering and Research Center, Bureau of Reclamation, Denver, CO² (6-30-75).

U.S. Department of the Interior, Geological Survey, Branch of Exploration Research, Denver, CO² (6-30-75).

U.S. Department of the Interior, Geological Survey, Albuquerque, NM.

U.S. Department of the Interior, Geological Survey, Harrisburg, PA.

U.S. Department of the Interior, Geological Survey, Washington, DC¹.

U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service Science Center, National Space Technology Labs., Bay St. Louis, MS² (6-30-76).

U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, Fairbanks Highway Research Station, McLean, VA.

U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, Materials Testing Laboratory, Vancouver, WA² (6-30-77).

U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, Washington, DC¹.

U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, Sevier County Industrial Park, Sevierville, TN.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Pesticides Monitoring Laboratory, Bay St. Louis, MS.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Robert Kerr Laboratories, Ada, OK² (6-30-75).

U.S. Geological Survey, Quality of Water Laboratory, Water Resources Division, Menlo Park, CA.

V

Valmont Industries, Inc., Valley, NE² (6-30-75).

Value Engineering Company, Alexandria, VA.

Veisicol Chemical Corp., Chicago, IL² (6-30-75).

Vermillion Co., Farm Bureau, Danville, IL.

Vermont, University of, Burlington, VT.

Virginia Department of Highways, Richmond, VA.

Virginia Polytechnic Institute, Blacksburg, VA.

Virginia Truck Experiment Station, Painter, VA.

Virginia Truck Experiment Station, Virginia Beach, VA.

Vistron Company, Lima, OH.

W

Wahler, W. A., & Associates, Palo Alto, CA² (6-30-75).

Walker Laboratories, Columbia, SC.

Walker Laboratories, Florence, SC.

Ward, J. S., & Associates, Caldwell, NJ² (6-30-76).

Ward Lind Engineers, Inc., Jackson, MS.

Warf Institute, Inc., Madison, WI.

Washington State University, Department of Agronomy and Soils, Pullman, WA² (6-30-75).

Washington State University, Department of Botany, Pullman, WA² (6-30-76).

Washington, University of, College of Forest Resources, Seattle, WA² (6-30-76).

Washington, University of, Department of Anthropology, St. Louis, MO² (6-30-76).

Washington, University of, Department of Biology, St. Louis, MO² (6-30-76).

Washington, University of, Department of Geological Sciences, Seattle, WA² (6-30-77).

Washington, University of, Department of Radiation Ecology, Seattle, WA² (6-30-80).

Washington University, St. Louis, MO.

Weber State College, Department of Microbiology, Ogden, UT.

Western Agricultural Laboratory, Redlands, CA² (6-30-77).
 Western Research Laboratories, Niagara Chemical Division, FMC, Richmond, CA.
 West Virginia Department of Highways, Charleston, WV.
 West Virginia, University of, Soil Testing Laboratory, Morgantown, WV.
 Westfall Engineers, Saratoga, CA² (6-30-75).
 Wharton County Junior College, Soil Testing Laboratory, Wharton, TX.
 Whittaker Laboratories, Inc., Savannah, GA.
 Whittaker Corp., San Diego, CA.
 William and Mary, College of, Williamsburg, VA.
 Williams, E. V., Co., Inc., Virginia Beach, VA.
 Wisconsin Department of Transportation, Madison, WI.
 Wisconsin, University of, Department of Soil Science, Madison, WI² (6-30-79).
 Wisconsin, University of, Department of Anthropology, Milwaukee, WI² (6-30-79).
 Wisconsin, University of, Department of Geography, Milwaukee, Wisconsin² (6-30-75).
 Wolf's, Dr., Agricultural Laboratories, Fort Lauderdale, FL² (6-30-75).
 Woodard Research Corp., Herndon, VA.
 Woodson-Tenent Laboratories, Memphis, TN.
 Woodward & Associates, Inc., Baton Rouge, LA.
 Woodward-Cleveneger & Associates, Inc., Denver, CO² (6-30-75).
 Woodward, Clyde, & Associates, Orange, CA.
 Woodward, Clyde, & Associates, Clifton, NJ.
 Woodward, Clyde, & Associates, San Diego, CA.
 Woodward, Gizinski & Associates, San Diego, CA² (6-30-80).
 Woodward-Gardner & Associates, Philadelphia, PA.
 Woodward-Krazynski & Associates, Inc., Houston, TX² (6-30-78).
 Woodward-Lundgren & Associates, San Jose, CA.
 Woodward-McMaster & Associates, Kansas City, MO.
 Woodward-McMaster & Associates, Inc., St. Louis, MO.
 Woodward-Moorehouse & Associates, Inc., Clifton, NJ² (6-30-78).
 Woodville Lime Products, Woodville, OH.
 Wyoming, University of, Department of Botany, Laramie, WY² (6-30-76).

Y

Yakima Testing Laboratory, Yakima, WA² (6-30-79).
 Yale University, Department of Geology & Geophysics, New Haven, CT² (6-30-78).
 Yale University, Greeley Laboratories, New Haven, CT² (6-30-77).
 Yeshiva University, New York, NY.
 Yule, Jordan, and Associates, Camp Hill, PA.

Z

Zeff Associates, Inc., Denver, CO² (6-30-76).
 Zoeson Corp., Palo Alto, CA.

Done at Washington, D.C., this 28th day of February, 1975.

JAMES O. LEE, Jr.,
 Acting Deputy Administrator,
 Plant Protection and Quarantine Programs.

[FR Doc.75-5829 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

¹ Approval includes all branch laboratories in conterminous United States.

² Approved to receive soil samples pursuant to Subpart—Movement of Soil, Stone, and Quarry Products (7 CFR 330.300 *et seq.*) only.

³ Approved to receive soil samples pursuant to Subpart—Movement of Soil, Stone, and Quarry Products (7 CFR 330.300 *et seq.*) and pursuant to sections 301.48-3, 301.72-3,

Cooperative State Research Service
 SPECIAL GRANTS PROGRAM
 Special Grants and Closing Date for
 Applications

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the authority contained in section 2 of Pub. L. 89-106 (7 U.S.C. 4501) the Cooperative State Research Service (CSRS) will award competitive grants in the following areas:

	(Thousands)
1. Environmental quality.....	\$350
2. Food and nutrition.....	760
3. Beef and pork production.....	760
4. Soybeans.....	500
5. Pest management.....	400

This notice solicits proposals that will be evaluated by peer panels in competition with proposals from other institutions or organizations. Proposals will be considered for funding only if they conform to the guidelines (Appendix I). Statements of review criteria (Appendix II and II.A) and proposal format (Appendix III) will assist in the preparation of proposals. Maximum project duration is five (5) years from the time of the award.

Submit four (4) copies of your proposal to the Administrator, Cooperative State Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250. To be considered for funding, proposals must reach this office before March 28, 1975.

After grants are awarded, proposals not funded will be returned along with summaries of the peer panel evaluations.

The regulations applicable to this grant program include Subpart 4-3.51 of the USDA Procurement Regulations (41 CFR 4-3.51), "Negotiated Research Agreements with Educational Institutions", and Title 4 of the USDA Administrative Regulations, "Agriculture Grant and Agreement Regulations".

ELIGIBILITY

Grants may be made to State Agricultural Experiment Stations, colleges, universities, and other research institutions and organizations, and to federal and private organizations, and individuals for research to further the programs of the Department of Agriculture.

JOSEPH R. WRIGHT, Jr.,
 Assistant Secretary
 for Administration.

FEBRUARY 26, 1975.

301.80-3, 301.81-3, and 301.85-3, of the Japanese beetle, whitefringed beetle, witchweed, imported fire ant, and golden nematode regulations (7 CFR 301.48-3, 301.72-3, 301.80-3, 301.81-3, 301.85-3).

NOTE.—All laboratories not designated by footnote¹ are approved to receive soil samples pursuant to §§ 301.48-3, 301.72-3, 301.80-3, 301.81-3, and 301.85-3, of the Japanese beetle, whitefringed beetle, witchweed, imported fire ant, and golden nematode regulations (7 CFR 301.48-3, 301.72-3, 301.80-3, 301.81-3, 301.85-3). A date after a name indicates when approval to receive soil samples pursuant to Subpart—Movement of Soil, Stone, and Quarry Products (7 CFR 330.300 *et seq.*) expires.

APPENDIX I

GUIDELINES FOR SUBJECT MATTER CATEGORIES

I. ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY (\$350,000)

Specific areas of inquiry

1. Development of processes by which wastes from animal production units can be made into useful byproducts including, but not limited to, animal feed and methane.

2. Development of methods and practices to minimize pollution hazards from land applications of animal wastes and municipal sludge and effluent.

A proposal should not exceed \$90,000 in grant support.

II. FOOD AND NUTRITION (\$750,000)

Specific areas of inquiry

1. Nutrient requirements of specific age groups, sexes and other segments of the population.

a. Determine nutrient needs of specific age groups, especially children, pregnant women, teenagers and older persons.

b. Determine the factors, including socio-economic conditions, which influence the selection, acceptability and consumption of foods, and evaluate ways to improve eating habits and nutritional status of consumers.

c. Identify changes in food consumption patterns due to inflationary forces and their effect on nutritional adequacy of the diet.

d. Develop means for improving dietary patterns and nutritional status of the consumers by designed modification and delivery of food.

e. Clarify the role of dietary fiber in nutrition.

2. Effects of processing and fabrication of foods from traditional and non-conventional materials on nutrient quality.

a. Develop procedures and principles for alteration of food processes which will result in improved nutritive value, better handling and storage characteristics, and acceptability of foods.

b. Determine the effects of processing, storage and distribution on the nutritive value of various foods, compatible with other desired characteristics.

c. Develop improved techniques for determining the nutrient content of processed foods and monitoring changes due to processing and handling.

d. Evaluate the nutritive content and value of raw and processed foods, food ingredients and food combinations.

e. Study problems of nutrient deterioration and compatibility in foods and ways to improve through formulation, enrichment, and fortification.

A proposal should not exceed \$150,000 in CSRS grant support.

III. BEEF AND PORK PRODUCTION (\$750,000)

Specific Areas of Inquiry

1. Beef Production. a. Increase reproductive efficiency and capacity of beef animals by natural or induced methods (including genetics, nutrition, physiology, and management).

b. Develop improved forage-beef production systems that combine innovative use of forage production and utilization of technology with cattle management practices to increase use of forages in beef production, decrease dependence on feed grains, and decrease costs of production of acceptable beef. (Forages include range, pasture, harvested forage, silage, and crop residues.)

c. Determine the causes and develop methods of diagnosis for the prevention and control of the bovine respiratory disease complex and diseases of calves.

2. Pork Production. a. Investigate the effect of management practices on physiological processes affecting reproduction to

maximize the number of pigs produced per sow per year.

b. Determine the etiology and means of control of the porcine stress syndrome and diseases of baby pigs.

A proposal should not exceed \$190,000 in CSRS grant support.

IV. SOYBEAN RESEARCH (\$500,000)

Specific Areas of Inquiry

1. Multiple crop protection strategies involving:

a. Multiple resistance or tolerance to diseases, weeds, and insects including genetics of host and pests

b. Management practices

c. Control mechanisms involving pesticides, biological controls, and other possible approaches.

2. Research to increase the utilization of, or the creation of, plant material to exploit the maximization of genetic diversity.

3. Identify functions of biochemical and physiological factors as they may interact with environmental conditions to affect productivity and quality.

4. Soil factors limiting production, including root development and plant competitive factors.

A proposal should not exceed \$100,000 in CSRS grant support.

V. PEST MANAGEMENT (\$400,000)

Specific Areas of Inquiry

1. Development of biological and other methodologies for the suppression of pest losses in important crops, including an isolation and analysis of component environmental (climatic and edaphic) and biological parameters as to their relative importance and the degree to which they can be manipulated in influencing the fecundity, survival and impact of disease agents, insect pests and weeds.

2. Refinement of economic threshold levels for major pests on our major agricultural crops and development of threshold levels for more pests on these and other crops, including procedures for predicting the effect on yield of various control techniques and effective methods for appraising losses caused by the pests.

3. Development of biological controls of weeds using insect and pathogen populations and their variants as selected biocontrol agents in a fashion compatible with insect and disease control.

4. Determination of mechanisms of resistance of plant hosts to pest organisms, incorporation of pest resistance into major agricultural crops and the integration of these resistant varieties, as suppression components, into pest management systems.

5. Development and integration of additional computer simulation models of plant growth and pest population dynamics for predictive and forecasting purposes.

A proposal should not exceed \$100,000 in CSRS grant support.

APPENDIX II

INSTRUCTIONS FOR PEER PANELS ON USE OF SCORING FORM

The following items are numbered to correspond to those on the scoring form.

A. *Relevancy of Proposal to Guidelines.* Conformance of each proposal to the guidelines will be evaluated first in CSRS and if Item (1) is checked, the proposal will go routinely to peer review. Peers may not agree with CSRS and can so indicate on the scoring form. Where Item (2) is checked, CSRS will send the proposal for peer review to get additional insights. Where Item (3) is checked, the proposal will be returned to the originating institution as inappropriate for further consideration.

B. *Technical Criteria.* These criteria will provide the primary rationale for making awards. For all items, positive scoring will range from 1 (poor) through 10 (outstanding). A score of "0" will be reserved for those cases in which the proposal does not cover the item. In such cases, the Peers will recommend (or not) for negotiation with the originating institution largely as a function of the quality of other items.

Item 4: Divide ten points equally between educational background (1 to 5 points) and research experience (1 to 5 points). [Refer to Element 9 in Format.]

Item 5: Score from 1 to 10 points based on your assessment of the adequacy of the time and attention that the principal investigator(s) will devote to the project. [Refer to Element 9 in Format.]

Item 6: Distribute 10 points based on the adequacy of all facilities and equipment. Reduce points to reflect excessive purchases of equipment with grant funds. [Refer to Elements 7 and 10 in Format.]

Item 7: Peers will need to rely on their own experience and knowledge of programs at institutions around the country. [For related information, refer to Elements 4, 5, 6, 9, and 11.]

Item 8: Assess the probability that the research can be completed and written for publication during the period of the grant. [Refer to Element 8 in particular in Format.]

Item 9: Distribute points in increasing amount based on the relationships of the proposed research to current published knowledge and technology. [Refer to Element 5 in Format.]

Item 10: Distribute points in increasing amount based on the relationships of the proposed research to on-going and as yet unpublished research. [Refer to Element 6 in Format.]

C. *Research Impacts.* The purpose of the research impact criteria is to assess the magnitude of the benefits to be derived from the new knowledge or technology generated from the research project. These criteria will provide supplementary information to the Peers and to CSRS. Both items may not be applicable to particular proposals. Basic research, for example, may be evaluated only in Item 11.

Item 11: This criterion is based on the clear identification of and the likelihood of being used. Distribute 1 to 5 points based on the realistic identification of potential direct users of the research information and 1 to 5 points to assessment of how the information would be used. [Refer to Elements 4 and 12-A in Format.]

Item 12: This criterion requires clear and realistic identification and the degree of benefit that might be gained. Distribute 1 to 5 points on realistic identification of beneficiaries and 1 to 5 points on estimates of benefit magnitude. [Refer to Elements 4 and 12-B in Format.]

APPENDIX II.A

FREE PANEL SCORING FORM

Project title:

A. Relevancy of proposal to guidelines (check 1 item):

- (1) Within guidelines (forward for peer evaluation);
- (2) Some minor deviation(s) from guidelines (forward for peer evaluation with notation);
- (3) Does not conform to guidelines (return proposal to originating institution).

Score 1
1 to 10

B. Technical criteria:

- (4) Research competence of principal investigator(s) 3 or lower, project may be returned to institution.
- (5) Amount of time and attention principal investigator(s) will devote to the project. 3 or lower, possible negotiation with institution.
- (6) Adequacy of facilities and equipment 3 or lower, project may be returned to institution.
- (7) Quality of research in the institution in the program area of the proposal.
- (8) Feasibility of attaining objectives during life of proposed research. 3 or lower, project may be returned to institution.
- (9) Relevancy of the proposed research to published literature. 3 or lower, project may be returned to institution.
- (10) Relevancy of proposed research to ongoing research 3 or lower, project may be returned to institution.

Subtotal

C. Research impacts criteria:

- (11) Identification of direct users of research results 3 or lower, project may be returned to institution.
- (12) Identification of research beneficiaries 3 or lower, project may be returned to institution.

Subtotal

D. Written comments or explanation of scoring (use back of page).

¹ All criteria will be scored from 0 to 10. A score of 0 indicates that proposal does not contain information on which to base a judgment and negotiation with institution may be indicated.

APPENDIX III

FORMAT FOR RESEARCH PROPOSAL

1. *Title page:*

A. *Title:* A brief, clear, specific designation of the subject of the research. Do not include such terms as, "A Study of—" or "A Detailed Analysis of—." Names of geographical regions or political subdivisions should not be included unless they are important to the subject of the research.

B. *Principal Investigator(s):*

C. *Name of performing organization and address:*

D. *Category of research program in which proposal will compete for funds:*

E. *Date of Submission:*

F. *Approval Signatures of appropriate officials:*

G. Submitted to: Dr. R. L. Lovvorn, Administrator, Cooperative State Research Service, United States Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250.

2. *Objectives:* A clear, complete, and logically arranged statement of the specific aims of the research.

3. *Procedures:* A statement of the essential working plans and methods to be used in attaining each of the stated objectives. Procedures should correspond to the objectives and follow the same order. Procedures should include items such as: the sampling

plan, experimental design, and analyses anticipated.

4. *Justification*: This should describe: (1) the importance of the problem to the state or region, being sure to include estimates of the magnitude of the problem; (2) the importance of starting the work now; and (3) reasons for the work being performed in your particular institution.

5. *Literature review*: A summary of pertinent publications with emphasis on the relationship to the proposed research. Cite important and recent publications from other institutions as well as your own institution. Citations should be accurate and complete.

6. *Current research*: Describe the relevancy of the proposed research to on-going research and as yet unpublished research at your own and at other institutions. This section may be convenient to combine with literature review.

7. *Facilities and equipment*: The location of the work and the facilities and equipment needed and available should be clearly indicated. This section may be combined with Section 3, PROCEDURES, but the combination must clearly show needed and available facilities and equipment.

8. *Research timetable*: Show all important research phases as a function of time.

9. *Personnel support*: Identify clearly all personnel who will be involved in the research. For each scientist involved include: (1) An estimate of the time commitments necessary; (2) Statement of training and research experience; and (3) List of other research projects on which currently engaged.

10. *Financial support*: Show estimated annual costs by source of funds (grant and other sources) in conventional budget categories. Be certain to include indirect costs where appropriate.

11. *Institutional units involved*: List each unit of the institution contributing essential services or facilities. The responsibilities of each should be clearly shown. If there is an advisory, or coordinating committee for the project, list members by name, title, and affiliation.

12. *Research impacts*:

A. *Direct users of research results* should be described and projections made on how results would be used.

B. Identify *potential beneficiaries of the research* on the assumption that the results of the research will be put into use. Include estimates of the magnitudes of the benefits being sure to consider negative as well as positive effects. It is important to include consideration of environmental and energy impacts where the direct use of the research results would influence, plus or minus, significant environmental factors or the supply of non-renewable energy.

[FR Doc.75-5659 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

Farmers Home Administration

[Notice of Designation No. A156]

PENNSYLVANIA

Designation of Emergency Areas

The Secretary of Agriculture has found that a general need for agricultural credit exists in Westmoreland County, Pennsylvania, as a result of a natural disaster consisting of a snowstorm on December 1 and 2, 1974.

Therefore, the Secretary has designated this area as eligible for Emergency loans, pursuant to the provisions of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act, as amended by Pub. L. 93-237,

and the provisions of 7 CFR 1832.3(b) including the recommendation of Governor Milton J. Shapp that such designation be made.

Applications for Emergency loans must be received by this Department no later than April 21, 1975, for physical losses and November 21, 1975, for production losses, except that qualified borrowers who receive initial loans pursuant to this designation may be eligible for subsequent loans. The urgency of the need for loans in the designated area makes it impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give advance notice of proposed rule making and invite public participation.

Done at Washington, D.C., this 27th day of February, 1975.

FRANK B. ELLIOTT,
Administrator,
Farmers Home Administration.

[FR Doc.75-5830 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

[Notice of Designation No. A110, Amdt. 1]

TEXAS

Designation of Emergency Area

The Secretary of Agriculture has found that an additional general need for agricultural credit exists in the following county in Texas:

FARMER

The Secretary has found that this additional need exists as a result of a continuing natural disaster consisting of cold and wet weather August 16 to October 31 and hailstorms June 2, July 25, August 8, and October 4, 1974. He has also amended his previous designation of December 12, 1974, to correct the incidence period for drought in this county to be from October 1973 to August 15, 1974.

Therefore, the Secretary has designated this area as eligible for Emergency loans, pursuant to the provisions of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act, as amended by Pub. L. 93-237, and the provisions of 7 CFR 1832.3(b) including the recommendation of Governor Dolph Briscoe that such designation be made.

Applications for emergency loans must be received by this Department no later than April 21, 1975, for physical losses and November 20, 1975, for production losses, except that qualified borrowers who receive initial loans pursuant to this designation may be eligible for subsequent loans. The urgency of the need for loans in the designated area makes it impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give advance notice of proposed rule making and invite public participation.

Done at Washington, D.C., this 27th day of February, 1975.

FRANK B. ELLIOTT,
Administrator,
Farmers Home Administration.

[FR Doc.75-5831 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

[Notice of Designation Number A155]

WISCONSIN

Designation of Emergency Areas

The Secretary of Agriculture has found that a general need for agricultural credit exists in the following counties in Wisconsin:

**GREEN LAKE
PRICE**

The Secretary has found that this need exists as a result of a natural disaster consisting of excessive rainfall April 1 through May 31 and killing frost September 21, 1974, in Green Lake County and killing frost August 27 and 28 and September 1, 2, and 3, 1974, in Price County.

Therefore, the Secretary has designated these areas as eligible for Emergency loans, pursuant to the provisions of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act, as amended by Pub. L. 93-237, and the provisions of 7 CFR 1832.3(b) including the recommendation of Governor Patrick J. Lucey that such designation be made.

Applications for emergency loans must be received by this Department no later than April 21, 1975, for physical losses and November 21, 1975, for production losses, except that qualified borrowers who receive initial loans pursuant to this designation may be eligible for subsequent loans. The urgency of the need for loans in the designated areas makes it impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give advance notice of proposed rule making and invite public participation.

Done at Washington, D.C., this 27th day of February, 1975.

FRANK B. ELLIOTT,
Administrator,
Farmers Home Administration.

[FR Doc.75-5832 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

Forest Service

**MULTIPLE USE PLAN RAINY DAY
PLANNING UNIT**

**Availability of Draft Environmental
Statement**

Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the Forest Service, Department of Agriculture, has prepared a draft environmental statement for Rainy Day Planning Unit, Forest Service Report Number USDA-FS-DES (Adm) R-1-75-6.

The environmental statement concerns a proposal for development of a 61,700 acre tract of National Forest land. The proposal sets constraints and controls associated with the proposed developed area and delineates that part of the total area which will be left in a natural, undeveloped state.

This draft environmental statement was transmitted to CEQ on February 26, 1975.

Copies are available for inspection during regular working hours at the following locations:

USDA Forest Service
South Agriculture Bldg., Room 3230
12th St. & Independence Ave., SW.
Washington, DC 20250

USDA Forest Service
Northern Region
Federal Building
Missoula, MT 59801

USDA Forest Service
Nezperce National Forest
319 East Main
Grangeville, ID 83530

USDA Forest Service
Elk City Ranger District
Elk City, ID 83525

A limited number of single copies are available upon request to Forest Supervisor Don Biddison, Nezperce National Forest, 319 East Main, Grangeville, Idaho 83530.

Copies of the environmental statement have been sent to various Federal, State, and local agencies as outlined in the CEQ guidelines.

Comments are invited from the public, and from State and local agencies which are authorized to develop and enforce environmental standards, and from Federal agencies having jurisdiction by law or special expertise with respect to any environmental impact involved for which comments have not been requested specifically.

Comments concerning the proposed action and requests for additional information should be addressed to Forest Supervisor Don Biddison, Nezperce National Forest, 319 East Main, Grangeville, Idaho 83530. Comments must be received by April 25, 1975, in order to be considered in the preparation of the final environmental statement.

KEITH M. THOMPSON,
Acting Regional Forester,
Northern Region, Forest Service.

FEBRUARY 26, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-5794 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Domestic and International Business Administration

[Organization and Function Order 45-1]

BUREAU OF DOMESTIC COMMERCE

Organization and Functions

This order effective February 11, 1975 supersedes the material appearing at 39 FR 18488 of May 28, 1974.

Section 1. Purpose. This order delegates authority to the "Deputy Assistant Secretary for Domestic Commerce and prescribes the organization and functions of the Bureau.

Sec. 2. Delegations of Authority. .01 Pursuant to section 5.03 of Department Organization Order 10-3 of November 11, 1973, the following authorities delegated to the Assistant Secretary, DIB by the Secretary of Commerce are hereby delegated to the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Domestic Commerce:

a. Such provisions of the Act of February 14, 1903 (15 U.S.C. 1512 et seq.; 15 U.S.C. 171 et seq.) as amended, to foster, promote, and develop the domestic commerce of the United States, as are necessary to the performance of the Bureau's functions; and

b. Headnote 2, subpart B, part 6, schedule 6 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States (19 U.S.C. 1202) relating to the development, maintenance, and publication of a list of bona fide motor-vehicle manufacturers, and authority to promulgate rules and regulations pertaining thereto under section 501(2) of Title V of the Automotive Products Trade Act of 1965 (19 U.S.C. 2031).

.02 For purposes of the following authority the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Domestic Commerce shall serve as Deputy to the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Domestic and International Business and shall act in the latter's absence:

a. The Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, (50 U.S.C. App. 2061, et seq.) conferred on the Secretary under Executive Order 10480, dated August 14, 1953, as amended, except the authority with respect to transportation facilities and the creation of new agencies within the Department of Commerce;

b. Executive Order 11490 of October 28, 1969, as it relates to the development of national emergency preparedness plans and programs concerning production functions;

c. The National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 401 et seq.) as amended, as it relates to mobilization preparedness responsibilities assigned thereunder;

d. The Strategic and Critical Materials Stockpiling Act, (50 U.S.C. 98-98h), as amended, with respect to the quality and quantity of materials acquired for the national stockpile and disposal of materials determined to be in excess of national defense requirements;

e. Executive Order 11179 of September 22, 1964, with respect to the establishment and training of the National Defense Executive Reserve; and

f. Executive Order 10421 of December 31, 1952, providing for the physical security of facilities important to the national defense.

.03 The Deputy Assistant Secretary for Domestic Commerce may redelegate authorities listed in section 2.01 to any employee of the Bureau of Domestic Commerce or to any other appropriate officer or agency of the Government subject to such conditions in the exercise of such authority as he may prescribe.

Sec. 3. Organization And Line Of Authority. .01 The Bureau of Domestic Commerce shall be headed by the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Domestic Commerce who shall be the Director and who shall report and be responsible to the Assistant Secretary for Domestic and International Business, except that for purposes of the administration of the Defense Production Act of 1950, he shall report and be responsible to the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Domestic and International Business. The Deputy Assistant Secretary shall be assisted by a

Deputy Director who shall perform the functions of the Deputy Assistant Secretary during the latter's absence and by a Technical Operations Staff.

.02 The Bureau of Domestic Commerce shall consist of the following principal organizational elements: Office of Business and Legislative Issues, Office of Business Research and Analysis, Office of Industrial Mobilization, Office of Ombudsman for Business.

Sec. 4. Functions. The Bureau of Domestic Commerce shall:

.01 Perform the following functions:

a. Provide analyses and quantitative assessments of domestic business and legislative issues that support, supplement or complement activities of other elements of the Domestic and International Business Administration, the Department, or other agencies of the government engaged in developing and evaluating domestic business policy options.

b. Collect, analyze and maintain factual data on individual U.S. Industries, exclusive of data related to the fiber, textile, and apparel sector of the industrial economy, which shall be the responsibility of the Bureau of Resources and Trade Assistance. Data related to the fiber, textile and apparel sector of the industrial economy shall be the responsibility of the Bureau of Domestic Commerce insofar as required for the administration of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended.

This information will be used in support of policy decisions and program actions by the Bureau of Domestic Commerce, the Department of Commerce, and other areas of the Government. Both domestic and international data shall be included in categories such as production, pricing, inventories, marketing, labor, financing, taxation, and location and size of companies.

c. Serve as a focal point for business assistance, consultation, and advice; receive and respond to inquiries from business and industry, the Congress, other agencies of the Government, and the public; identify and take action to clarify business concerns involving Government policies and programs, and develop recommended alternatives; serve as the Department contact in consumer affairs matters with other Government agencies, business and public organizations.

.02 Perform the following action specifically required by law:

a. Certify U.S. firms as "bona fide motor-vehicle manufacturers" qualified to trade under the provisions of the U.S.-Canadian Automotive Agreement; and prepare the President's Annual Report to Congress concerning implementation of the Automotive Products Trade Act of 1965;

b. Prepare the Secretary's Annual Report to Congress as required by section 6 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972.

.03 Perform the following national defense and industrial mobilization functions:

a. Assist in assuring, through the administration of a system of priorities and

allocations under the Defense Production Act, as amended, the timely completion of current military, atomic energy and space programs of production, construction and research and development; and through mobilization planning and preparedness programs assist in assuring adequate supplies of and methods of distribution of critical materials, products and components, including availability of facilities needed for defense, defense supporting and essential civilian requirements during any type of national emergency.

Sec. 5. Effect on Other Orders. This Order supersedes DIBA Organization and Function Order 45-1 of April 26, 1974.

Effective date. February 11, 1975.

TILTON H. DOBBS,
*Assistant Secretary for Domestic
and International Business.*

[FR Doc.75-5795 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

[Organization and Function Order 45-2]
BUREAU OF DOMESTIC COMMERCE

Organization and Function Order

This order effective February 11, 1975 supersedes the material appearing at 39 FR 18489 of May 28, 1974.

SECTION 1. Purpose. This order prescribes the organization and assignment of functions within the Bureau of Domestic Commerce.

Sec. 2. Organization and structure. The organization structure and line of authority of the Bureau of Domestic Commerce (the "Bureau") shall be as depicted in the attached organization chart. A copy of this chart is on file with the original of this document in the Office of the Federal Register.

Sec. 3. Delegation of Authority. The following authority delegated to the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Domestic Commerce, is hereby redelegated to the Director, Office of Business Research and Analysis in accordance with Section 2.03 of DIBA Organization and Function Order 45-1:

Headnote 2, subpart B, part 6, schedule 6 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States (19 U.S.C. 1202) relating to the development, maintenance, and publication of a list of bona fide motor-vehicle manufacturers, and authority to promulgate rules and regulations pertaining thereto under Section 501(2) of Title V of the Automotive Products Trade Act of 1965 (19 U.S.C. 2031).

Sec. 4. Office of the Deputy Assistant Secretary

.01 The Deputy Assistant Secretary for Domestic Commerce, shall be responsible to the Assistant Secretary for Domestic and International Business and shall determine the objectives of the Bureau, formulate the policies and programs for achieving those objectives and direct execution of the programs. For the purpose of administration of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, the Deputy Assistant Secretary shall report

to the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Domestic and International Business and shall act as his Deputy.

.02 The Deputy Director shall assist in the direction of the Bureau and perform the functions of the Director in the latter's absence.

.03 The Technical Operations Staff shall coordinate bureau planning and development of information collection, data base, and modeling systems, and general-purpose cross-industry data develop guidelines and standards for economic and statistical analyses, reports, and publications and coordinate technical review of major bureau outputs; coordinate bureau program planning and evaluation and special projects involving more than one office; and evaluate and identify appropriate actions with respect to technical contracts and automated data processing services.

.04 The Deputy Assistant Secretary shall supervise and direct the following organizational components:

- a. Office of the Deputy Assistant Secretary.
- b. Office of Business and Legislative Issues.
- c. Office of Business Research and Analysis.
- d. Office of Industrial Mobilization.
- e. Office of Ombudsman for Business.

Sec. 5. Office of Business and Legislative Issues.

.01 The Office of the Director includes: The Director who shall plan and direct the execution of policies and programs of the Office, and the Deputy Director who shall assist in the direction of the Office and perform the functions of the Director in his absence. The Director shall be a principal advisor to the Bureau Director on legislation and policy matters which have broad industry impact or involve broad sectors of business activity. The Director shall supervise and direct the following organizational components:

.02 The Legislation Division shall review proposed legislation on business-related issues and develop policy positions on such matters. Based on current major economic issues, it shall research and develop Department legislative initiatives; it shall prepare and coordinate within the Bureau the preparation of responses to legislative inquiries, and coordinate its activities with the Office of the General Counsel. It shall analyze Federal policies and industry practices, concerning such issues as labor-management relations, conditions of work, employee benefits, and labor law and practices, which affect industrial efficiency, and develop such policy options as will promote optimum utilization of human resources. The Division shall provide staff support to the Bureau Director on matters concerning the International Labor Organization and related activities.

.03 The Environmental Affairs Division shall conduct studies and analyses, including estimates of the probable costs and public benefits of various alterna-

tives, in order to insure a balanced and informed approach to dealing with the nation's environmental problems, particularly as they affect business and economic activity. Areas of concern include industrial pollution problems, occupational safety and health, and the development and use of land, water, air, and marine resources, including energy/resource development and utilization. The Division shall provide economic and technological assessments of legislative and regulatory proposals and evaluate specific industry impacts as appropriate; participate in the development of Department legislative initiatives in the environmental area; provide technical assistance for environmental assessments to the Bureau of Resources and Trade Assistance; and prepare annual reports to the Congress on the effects of pollution abatement costs on the international comparative advantage of U.S. industries.

.04 The Quantitative Analysis Division shall conduct quantitative analyses of issues as they impact domestic business and industry. The bases for selection of issues to be analyzed are as follows: (1) the issue arises as a result of governmental action or proposed governmental action; (2) governmental action may be capable of reducing or mitigating an adverse impact; (3) the issue impacts industry or business in general rather than an individual industry; and (4) analysis of the issue may be accomplished through the application of complex analytical methods such as regression analysis, input/output models, or computer simulation. Such analyses are typically done on a cooperative basis with other units of Government within or outside the Department, with the Division having either the primary responsibility for conducting the analysis or a supporting role in carrying out discrete segments of analyses for which other units have primary responsibility. The Division shall develop new methodologies and techniques, or modify existing methodologies such as input/output models, linear programs, and regression packages, to the extent that such tools are required for analyzing issues but are not obtainable from other sources. The Division shall develop data bases and information systems as required to carry out office analyses if they are not available from other sources. Such activity will generally consist of processing, restructuring, or integrating data developed from other sources. After the data are processed and assembled, they will be made available to other interested groups to the extent that they can be readily packaged and distributed.

Sec. 6. Office of business research and analysis.

.01 The Office of the Director includes: The Director shall supervise and direct the execution of policies and programs of the Office, and the Deputy Director who shall assist in the direction of the Office and perform the functions of the Director in his absence. The Director shall be the principal assistant and policy advisor to the Bureau Director on commodity/industry activities essential to

American economic growth and stability. The Director shall supervise and direct the following organizational components:

.02 Economic Studies and Statistical Standards Staff shall undertake or support quantitative economic analyses in close cooperation with this Office's industry sector divisions, the Department, and other Federal agencies; develop techniques and methods to improve measures to forecast activity for specific industries and business segments including the responsibility for the economic content of public forecasts (e.g. Industrial Outlook); assist in obtaining and maintaining data, and develop sector data bases to support the activities of the industry sector divisions and the Bureau; advise the Bureau on industrial and commodity classifications, domestic and international; coordinate, monitor, and review the statistical activities of the industry sector divisions (e.g. industrial mobilization, GATT); represent the Bureau on interagency statistical committees for both domestic activity and foreign trade; and review and develop Bureau public use forms for survey purposes.

.03 The Industry Sector Divisions are:

Consumer Goods and Services Division, Materials Division, Construction and Forest Products Division, Transportation and Capital Equipment Division, Science and Electronics Division.

.04 Each Division shall for the industries under its cognizance:

a. collect, analyze, and disseminate information and data on production, capacity, consumption, inventories, markets, distribution, sources of supply, the business implications of technological developments, and financial structure; analyze trends in the economy as they affect industries, products and services; prepare analytical and statistical reports for use of business and industry and perform specific commodity/industry economic impact analyses and studies; identify and monitor short supply situations as required by the Export Administration Act; provide policy support for the Federal Government through analysis of industrial trends and problems; analyze and review the impact of existing and proposed regulations, standards and controls upon specific industries and recommend measures to revise and improve them in the best interest of the Nation; provide staff assistance including coordination and review of loan proposals, and supply industrial information to officials of the Department designated to carry out responsibilities in connection with commissions and with national and international organizations (e.g. wage and price stability council; General Services Administration/Office of Preparedness, Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), World Bank, United Nations, Export-Import Bank, Inter-American Development Bank); coordinate activities pertaining to special industry committees of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Develop-

ment (OECD); perform for the Office of Industrial Mobilization, industrial preparedness and post-attack capability studies, Industry Evaluation Board studies, stockpile analyses, and special expediting assistance for defense production; participate in National Defense Executive Reserve activities; conduct research on marketing practices, methods, structure, costs and facilities and disseminate the findings to Government, consumers and business; assemble and disseminate domestic and foreign marketing data for use by business and Government; assist in the development of a comprehensive data and information base on the supply and consumption of energy in U.S. industry; provide energy-related industry expertise and analysis to other Bureau and Departmental units and the Federal Energy Administration; assist the Office of Business and Legislative Issues in preparation of Departmental responses to proposed EPA guidelines and standards for individual industries.

b. In addition to those functions set forth above:

1. the Transportation and Capital Equipment Division shall provide staff assistance to officials designated to carry out the Department's responsibilities for implementing the Agreement concerning Automotive Products between the Government of the United States and the Government of Canada, including annual and special reporting requirements; recommend certification of qualified applicants as "bona fide motor-vehicle manufacturers;" and maintain and prepare for publication from time to time, lists of bona fide motor-vehicle manufacturers under the provisions of the Automotive Products Trade Act of 1965;

2. the Construction and Forest Products Division shall maintain an industrial water use data base and shall develop and apply methodologies to project industrial water demands for coordinated Federal/state/local planning and development of water and related land resources; fulfill its Departmental assigned responsibility to serve as claimant to the Department of Interior for industrial water requirements under Executive Order 11490; and provide market information to meet materials and equipment requirements for water resources projects; and

3. the Consumer Goods and Services Division shall serve jointly with the Office of Ombudsman for Business, as the DOC contact with the Special Assistant to the President for Consumer Affairs, and the Council of Better Business Bureaus.

Sec. 7. Office of Industrial Mobilization.

.01 The Office of the Director includes: the Director who shall plan and direct the execution of policies and programs of the Office, and the Deputy Director who shall assist in the direction of the Office and perform the functions of the Director in his absence. The Director shall supervise and direct the following organizational components:

.02 The Mobilization Readiness Division shall develop and test the organizational plans and procedures for the Bureau to assume the responsibility for industrial production, construction, and distribution in the event of national emergencies; assist and guide industry in preparing for the conduct of emergency operations to assure the continuity of required production; and recruit and train Executive Reservists to assume major responsibilities in the event of a national emergency.

.03 The Industrial Resources Division shall provide guidance and recommendations to the Office of Preparedness/GSA on matters relating to the National Stockpile Program, including the establishment of objectives, development of procurement programs and purchase specifications, special instructions, disposal programs, storage manuals and special studies; provide staff support for the U.S. Representatives, NATO Industrial Planning Committee, and the Co-Chairman, U.S./Canada Emergency Industrial Production and Material Committee; and investigate and report on alleged impact of imports on national security.

.04 The Industrial Evaluation Division shall identify industrial facilities of exceptional importance to the national security, mobilization readiness, post-attack survival, and recovery, specify standards for assessing and evaluating their production capabilities; supervise the preparation of industrial analyses of critically important products and industrial services, including essential survival items; conduct industrial feasibility studies to determine capabilities to meet national emergencies; provide liaison between the Bureau and the Resource Analysis office of the Office of Preparedness/GSA; and support the Industry Evaluation Board.

.05 The Mobilization Operations and Plans Division shall support current national defense requirements by administering the Defense Materials System and the Defense Priorities System under Title I of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended and extended; plan for and maintain emergency measures for regulating industrial production and distribution during emergency situations; and develop plans for assisting defense contractors suffering injury from natural disasters and implement such plans as necessary.

Sec. 8. Office of Ombudsman for Business.

.01 The Office of the Director includes the Director who shall plan and direct the execution of policies and programs of the Office and who shall also serve as the Ombudsman for Business, and the Deputy Director who shall assist in the direction of the Office and perform the functions of the director in his absence. The Office of the Director shall be the Bureau liaison with the OFO in developing domestic business programs. In carrying out its functions, the Office shall not represent, intervene on behalf of or otherwise seek to assist

business and individuals on specific matters, cases, or issues before Federal regulatory agencies or before Federal departments exercising a regulatory function with respect thereto; nor shall it participate in, intervene in regard to, or in any way seek to influence, the negotiation or renegotiation of the terms of contracts between business and the Government.

The Director shall supervise and direct the following organizational components:

.02 The Domestic Program Support Staff shall edit and, with the Office of Public Affairs, coordinate the printing and distribution of the *U.S. Industrial Outlook*; edit, reproduce, and distribute special reports for Government use, e.g. information packages for OFO, situation reports and the weekly *Business Conditions Report*; provide automated word processing support for the Bureau's controlled correspondence and generation of reports; design and coordinate the collection of information from the Office of Field Operations to support Bureau programs.

.03 The Business Assistance Division shall be the Bureau focal point for gathering information and drafting replies to controlled correspondence and other inquiries from business and industry, the Congress, other agencies of Government and the public and preparing situation reports on short supply problems and shall provide assistance to the businessman in dealing with Government agencies and clarification of their functions.

.04 The Business Relations Division shall be responsible for promoting available Government services and programs of help to business and will identify business and industry views and opinions on Government policies, programs, and activities. The Division shall organize periodic Secretarial briefings with industry representatives, and other formal conferences as requested. The Division shall be responsible for this Office's relations with the business community on all matters concerned with consumer affairs and will serve jointly with the Office of Business Research and Analysis as the DOC contract with the Special Assistant to the President for Consumer Affairs, and the Council of Better Business Bureaus.

Sec. 9. Administrative, Public Affairs and Field Services.

.01 The Office of Public Affairs, Domestic and International Business Administration shall furnish public affairs and information services to Bureau organization units.

.02 The Directorate of Administrative Management, Domestic and International Business Administration shall furnish management, budget, personnel, travel and administrative services to Bureau organization units. The Directorate will also serve as liaison with Departmental elements providing other administrative services to Bureau organization units.

Sec. 10. Effect on Other Orders. This Order supersedes DIBA Organization and Function Order 45-2 of April 26, 1974.

Effective date. February 11, 1975.

SAMUEL B. SHERWIN,
Deputy Assistant Secretary
for Domestic Commerce.

DONALD E. JOHNSON,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for
Domestic and International
Business.

Approved:

JUDITH S. CHADWICK,
Deputy Assistant Secretary, for
Administrative Management.

[FR Doc.75-5796 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

Maritime Administration

[Docket No. S-438]

WATERMAN STEAMSHIP CORP.

Application

Notice is hereby given that Waterman Steamship Corporation (Waterman) has filed an application pursuant to Title VI of the Merchant Marine Act, 1936, as amended (the Act), for an extension of its Operating-Differential Subsidy Agreement, Contract No. MA/MSB-138 (the Agreement).

Under the Agreement, Waterman is authorized to provide services on Trade Route No. 12 until the expiration date of the Agreement, now set at May 7, 1975. Waterman's request is for an amendment to Article I-9 of the Agreement to extend the expiration date thereof until the processing of its application for a long-term operating-differential subsidy agreement for Trade Route No. 12 has been completed, if such application is not fully processed by May 7, 1975. Waterman's application for a long-term subsidy contract on Trade Route No. 12 is currently the subject of a hearing before Administrative Law Judge Hunt.

Interested parties are invited to inspect the application at the Office of the Secretary, Maritime Subsidy Board (Board), Room 3099-B, Department of Commerce Building, 14th & E Streets, NW., Washington, D.C. 20230.

The Board, after consideration of all relevant facts and upon a review of the Board action of August 27, 1973, is prepared to find that the effect of awarding a contract, for a period not to exceed the time required to complete the hearings now in process in Docket Nos. S-336 and S-390 would not be unduly prejudicial or give undue advantage, as between citizens of the United States operating on Trade Route No. 12.

Any person, firm, or corporation having an interest in the application, and who would contest the above proposed finding of the Board is invited, by March 14, 1975, to file a written statement showing cause why this finding should not be made. Any party requesting oral argument before the Board shall

specifically include such a request in its statement.

Any party desiring an evidentiary hearing on the application should set forth his interest in the application and should, with particularity, articulate any or all of the facts upon which he desires to adduce evidence. Any allegation of undue prejudice or unfair advantage shall be accompanied by a statement describing in detail the undue prejudice or unfair advantage.

Allegations of other factual issues which the party wishes the Board to consider in a hearing on the application shall include: (1) a clear and concise statement of the issues upon which a hearing is desired; and (2) the grounds upon which such allegations rest, in such detail as to permit the Board to determine their exact nature.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 11.504 Operating-Differential Subsidies (ODS))

By Order of the Maritime Subsidy Board.

Dated: February 23, 1975.

JAMES S. DAWSON, Jr.,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-5850 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

National Technical Information Service
GOVERNMENT-OWNED INVENTIONS

Availability for Licensing

The inventions listed below are owned by the U.S. Government and are available for licensing in accordance with the licensing policy of each Agency-sponsor.

Copies of patents are available from the Commissioner of Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, at \$50 each. Requests for copies of patents must include the patent number.

Copies of patent applications, either paper copy (PC) or microfiche (MF), can be purchased from the National Technical Information Service (NTIS), Springfield, Virginia 22161, at the prices cited. Requests for copies of patent applications must include the PAT-APPL-number. Claims are deleted from patent application copies sold to the public to avoid premature disclosure in the event of an interference before the Patent Office. Claims and other technical data can usually be made available to serious prospective licensees by the agency which filed the case.

Requests for licensing information should be directed to the address cited below for each agency.

DOUGLAS J. CAMPION,
Patent Program Coordinator,
National Technical Information Service.

Department of the Navy, Assistant Chief for Patents, Office of Naval Research, Arlington, Va. 22217.

Patent 3,758,180: Deep Submergence Container Lid Seal; filed 27 March 1972, Patented 4 September 1973; not available NTIS.

Patent 3,756,320: Fire Detection and Suppression System for Use in a Decompression Chamber; filed 20 July 1972, Patented 4 September 1973; not available NTIS.

Patent 3,758,540: Minimum Drug Circulation Profile; filed 6 August 1971; Patented 4 September 1973; not available NTIS.

Patent 3,756,758: Pressure Mold for Removing Liquid from Material Being Cast; filed 25 May 1972, Patented 4 September 1973; not available NTIS.

Patent 3,756,874: Temperature Resistant Propellants Containing Cyclotetramethylenetetranitramine; filed 1 July 1969, Patented 4 September 1973; not available NTIS.

Patent 3,756,887: Method of Making Microfuses on a Thin Film Circuitry Panel; filed 29 July 1971, Patented 4 September 1973; not available NTIS.

Patent 3,757,042: Pan and Tilt Underwater Optical Viewing System with Adjustable Source-Receiver Separation and Zoom Lenses; filed 18 February 1972, Patented 4 September 1973; not available NTIS.

Patent 3,757,247: Frequency Selective Optical Isolator; filed 22 June 1972, Patented 4 September 1973; not available NTIS.

U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Assistant General Counsel for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20545.

Patent application 457,327: Tissue Irradiator; filed 10 July 1974; PC \$3.95/MF \$2.25.

Patent 3,801,446: Radioisotope Fueled Heat Transfer System; filed 5 June 1968, Patented 2 April 1974; not available NTIS.

U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, National Institutes of Health, Chief, Patent Branch, Bethesda, Md. 20014.

Patent application 512,622: Scanning Ultrasonic Spectrograph for Fluid Analysis; filed 7 October 1974; PC \$3.25/MF \$2.25.

Patent application 513,565: Esters of Aromatic Sulfonic Acids; filed 10 October 1974; PC \$3.25/MF \$2.25.

Patent 3,844,894: Apparatus for Performing Assays on Reactions that Produce Radioactive Gases; filed 30 August 1971, Patented 29 October 1974; not available NTIS.

[FR Doc. 75-5791 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. FDC-D-708]

INTRAMAMMARY INFUSION PRODUCTS FOR TREATING MASTITIS

Order Denying Requests for Hearing and Withdrawing Approvals of Masti-Kure Products Company, Inc.

In the FEDERAL REGISTER of August 30, 1974 (39 FR 31678), the Commissioner of Food and Drugs issued a Notice of Opportunity for Hearing for certain intramammary infusion products for treating mastitis. Included in that notice were products manufactured by numerous companies, including the Masti-Kure Products Co., Inc., 166 Yantic Street, Norwich, CT 06360, hereinafter referred to as Masti-Kure. The following is a list of Masti-Kure's products subject to that notice, identified by the new animal drug application (NADA) number assigned to the product, the labeled active ingredients, the number of milliliters per dose and the applicable monograph regulation.

New animal drug application No.	Active ingredients	Milliliters per dose	Citation
1. NADA 65-164	Procaine penicillin G; 100,000 units; neomycin 350 mg.	7	21 CFR 146a.62.
2. NADA 65-239	Procaine penicillin G; 100,000 units; neomycin 350 mg.	10	21 CFR 146a.62.
3. NADA 65-246	Procaine penicillin G; 100,000 units; dihydrostreptomycin 600 mg.; sulfathiazole 500 mg.	7	21 CFR 146a.57.
4. NADA 65-255	Procaine penicillin G; 100,000 units; Neomycin 500 mg.	7	21 CFR 146a.62.
5. NADA 65-304	Procaine penicillin G; 100,000 units; dihydrostreptomycin 50 mg.; hydrocortisone acetate 20 mg.	13	21 CFR 146a.57.
6. NADA 65-306	Procaine penicillin G; 100,000 units; neomycin 350 mg.; hydrocortisone acetate 20 mg.	7	21 CFR 146a.62.
7. NADA 65-307	Procaine penicillin G; 100,000 units; neomycin 350 mg.; hydrocortisone 20 mg.	10	21 CFR 146a.62.
8. NADA 65-350	Procaine penicillin G; 100,000 units; dihydrostreptomycin 125 mg.; sulfamethazine 1,000 mg.; hydrocortisone acetate 20 mg.	25	21 CFR 146a.57.
9. NADA 65-351	Procaine penicillin G; 100,000 units; neomycin 25 mg.; sulfathiazole 1,000 mg.; hydrocortisone 20 mg.	10	21 CFR 146a.62.
10. NADA 65-352	Procaine penicillin G; 100,000 units; dihydrostreptomycin 50 mg.; sulfathiazole 1,000 mg.; hydrocortisone acetate 20 mg.	10	21 CFR 146a.57.
11. NADA 65-353	Procaine penicillin G; 100,000 units; neomycin 50 mg.; sulfamethazine 1,000 mg.; hydrocortisone 20 mg.	25	21 CFR 146a.62.
12. NADA 65-354	Procaine penicillin G; 100,000 units; dihydrostreptomycin 125 mg.; sulfamethazine 1,000 mg.; hydrocortisone acetate 20 mg.	25	21 CFR 146a.57.
13. NADA 65-359	Procaine penicillin G; 100,000 units; neomycin 25 mg.; sulfamethazine 750 mg.; hydrocortisone 20 mg.	7	21 CFR 146a.62.
14. NADA 65-360	Procaine penicillin G; 100,000 units; dihydrostreptomycin 50 mg.; sulfamethazine 750 mg.; hydrocortisone acetate 20 mg.	7	21 CFR 146a.57.
15. NADA 65-361	Procaine penicillin G; 100,000 units; neomycin 500 mg.	7	21 CFR 146a.62.
16. NADA 65-364	Procaine penicillin G; 100,000 units; neomycin 500 mg.	10	21 CFR 146a.62.
17. NADA 65-381	Procaine penicillin G; 100,000 units; neomycin 25 mg.	10	21 CFR 146a.62.
18. NADA 65-382	Procaine penicillin G; 100,000 units; dihydrostreptomycin 50 mg.; sulfathiazole 500 mg.; hydrocortisone acetate 20 mg.	10	21 CFR 146a.57.
19. NADA 65-383	Procaine penicillin G; 100,000 units.	10	21 CFR 146a.45.
20. NADA 65-385	Procaine penicillin G; 100,000 units; dihydrostreptomycin 50 mg.	10	21 CFR 146a.57.
21. NADA 65-386	Procaine penicillin G; 100,000 units; dihydrostreptomycin 50 mg.	25	21 CFR 146a.57.
22. NADA 65-387	Procaine penicillin G; 100,000 units; neomycin 25 mg.; hydrocortisone 20 mg.	10	21 CFR 146a.62.
23. NADA 65-388	Procaine penicillin G; 100,000 units; dihydrostreptomycin 50 mg.; hydrocortisone 20 mg.	30	21 CFR 146a.57.
24. NADA 65-392	Procaine penicillin G; 100,000 units; neomycin 25 mg.; sulfathiazole 500 mg.	10	21 CFR 146a.62.
25. NADA 65-397	Procaine penicillin G; 100,000 units; neomycin 50 mg.; sulfamethazine 1,000 mg.; hydrocortisone 20 mg.	14	21 CFR 146a.62.
26. NADA 65-401	Procaine penicillin G; 100,000 units; dihydrostreptomycin 100 mg.; sulfamethazine 1,000 mg.; hydrocortisone 20 mg.	25	21 CFR 146a.57.
27. NADA 65-414	Procaine penicillin G; 100,000 units; neomycin 250 mg.	10	21 CFR 146a.62.
28. NADA 65-416	Procaine penicillin G; 100,000 units; neomycin 250 mg.; sulfathiazole 500 mg.	10	21 CFR 146a.62.
29. NADA 65-438	Procaine penicillin G; 100,000 units; neomycin 25 mg.; sulfathiazole 1,000 mg.	10	21 CFR 146a.62.
30. NADA 65-444	Procaine penicillin G; 100,000 units; neomycin 400 mg.	10	21 CFR 146a.62.

One product, designated as NADA 65-383, is a single entity product, Procaine Penicillin G 100,000 units, and was erroneously listed in the Notice of Opportunity for Hearing. That drug product approval will not be withdrawn or affected by this order since it is not a combination product.

In addition to those products listed in the Notice, pursuant to a requirement in the Notice, Masti-Kure identified an additional drug product which had not been listed and for which Masti-Kure contends it holds an approval: NADA 65-450 containing Procaine Penicillin G, 100,000 units, and Dihydrostreptomycin, 500 mg., at 7 ml. per dose. Pursuant to the Notice, this order withdraws any other approvals held by Masti-Kure which may exist for drug products not listed and not identified by Masti-Kure.

The notice of opportunity for hearing stated that the Commissioner proposed to issue an order under section 512(e) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 360b(e)) withdrawing approval of the products subject to the

Notice on the ground that new information before him with respect to the drug products, evaluated together with evidence available to him at the time of the approval of the products, shows there is a lack of substantial evidence that the drug products will have the effect that they purport or are represented to have under the conditions of use prescribed, recommended, or suggested in the labeling thereof, in that there is a lack of substantial evidence that the products are effective as fixed combinations, in accordance with the requirements set forth in 21 CFR 135.4a(b)(8)(v). This notice of opportunity for hearing also encompassed all issues relating to the legal status of the drug products subject to it.

The history of the developments leading to the notice of opportunity for hearing is set forth in that notice. Some of the drug products manufactured by the several companies subject to the notice were first marketed prior to the Animal Drug Amendments of 1968, which for the first time required approved new animal

drug applications for animal drugs containing antibiotics subject to certification. Continuing approval for those products was contained in section 108(b) (2) of those Amendments, subject to withdrawal of approval in accordance with the provisions of section 512 of the Act. Other drug products subject to the notice of opportunity for hearing were first marketed subsequent to the effective date of the Animal Drug Amendments of 1968 under interim procedures adopted by the Food and Drug Administration for these mastitis products, which interim procedures have since been terminated. The interim procedures were explained in the notice of opportunity for hearing.

The notice of opportunity for hearing was framed as an opportunity for hearing on the issue of withdrawal of approval of new animal drug applications, rather than on the issue of refusal to approve new animal drug applications, which is the required procedure after termination of the interim procedures, because no search of Food and Drug Administration files had yet been made to determine the original approval date for each drug product of each affected company, and because the issue of effectiveness is the same whether it is withdrawal of an existing approval or denial of a new application.

In litigation brought by Masti-Kure against the Food and Drug Administration concerning these mastitis products (*Masti-Kure Products Co. v. Weinberger*, Civil Action No. 74-1444, (D.D.C.)), the court, at the request of Masti-Kure, ruled in its conclusions of law accompanying a preliminary injunction that the products have approved new animal drug applications within the meanings of 21 U.S.C. 360b, section 108(b) (2) of Pub. L. 90-399 and 21 CFR 3.517(f). This was not a final decision, no appeal has yet been taken, and the Commissioner believes that this conclusion of law is erroneous. Food and Drug Administration records reveal that the Masti-Kure products subject to the Notice were approved subsequent to the effective date of the Animal Drug Amendments of 1968. As a technical matter, therefore, the Commissioner believes this administrative proceeding concerns denial of approval of new animal drug applications for the products subject to the Notice. Nevertheless, in accordance with the court's conclusion this order will refer to the action as withdrawal of approval of the drug products, rather than as denial of approval of new animal drug applications. As stated above, the issue of effectiveness is the same in either case.

In its submission, Masti-Kure contends that the requirement that a fixed combination drug product be effective as a fixed combination; i.e., that the combination be more effective than the individual components, as required by 21 CFR 135.4a(b) (8) (v), is not required by statute. The Commissioner rejects this contention. The Food and Drug Administration policy on the effectiveness of fixed combination drugs was expressly

approved in *Pfizer, Inc. v. Richardson*, 434 F.2d 536, 548 (2d Cir. 1970) and implicitly approved in *Upjohn Co. v. Finch*, 422 F.2d 944 (6th Cir. 1979).

Pursuant to the notice, Masti-Kure filed a request for hearing on seven of the products subject to the notice: NADA's 65-154, 65-239, 65-354, 65-361, 65-381, 65-386, and 65-450. In accordance with 21 CFR 135.16, the failure of Masti-Kure to file a request for hearing for the other products constitutes an election not to avail itself of the opportunity for hearing concerning the action proposed with respect to such drug products and a waiver of any contentions concerning the legal status of such drug products. No data on any of these products were submitted and the Commissioner therefore finds with respect to each of the following drugs, identified by assigned NADA number, that on the basis of new information before him, evaluated together with the evidence available to him when the drug was approved, that there is a lack of substantial evidence that such drug will have the effect it purports or is represented to have under the conditions of use prescribed, recommended or suggested in the labeling thereof: NADA's 65-246, 65-255, 65-304, 65-306, 65-307, 65-350, 65-351, 65-352, 65-353, 65-359, 65-360, 65-366, 65-382, 65-385, 65-387, 65-388, 65-392, 65-397, 65-401, 65-414, 65-416, 65-438, and 65-444.

The Supreme Court held in *Weinberger v. Hynson, Westcott and Dunning, Inc.*, 412 U.S. 609 (1973), that the Food and Drug Administration may exercise administrative summary judgment on a request for hearing when it is clear from the fact of the requester's submission that its data cannot meet the required standards. As the Court stated:

There can be no question that to prevail at a hearing an applicant must furnish evidence stemming from "adequate and well-controlled investigations." We cannot impute to Congress the design of requiring, nor does due process demand, a hearing when it appears conclusively from the applicant's "pleadings" that the application cannot succeed.

Thus, if the submission does not contain adequate and well-controlled investigations, as defined in the regulations, or if the submission does not purport to demonstrate what is required to be shown, then administrative summary judgment may be exercised, and approval of the drug withdrawn.

In response to the notice of opportunity for hearing Masti-Kure submitted studies purporting to show that the drug product covered by NADA 65-381 is effective as a fixed combination, and these data have been studied by the Food and Drug Administration. The Commissioner is advised by the Director of the Bureau of Veterinary Medicine that the Director intends shortly to issue to Masti-Kure for its comment an analysis of deficiencies in the studies. This additional notice to Masti-Kure is intended to comply with the requirements for specificity of notice established by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit in *Hess & Clark, Division of Rhodia, Inc.*

v. FDA, 495 F.2d 975 (D.C. Cir. 1974). This order therefore does not deal with the drug product covered by NADA 65-381. This order applies only to those drug products for which Masti-Kure submitted no data whatsoever that purport to show that the product is effective as a fixed combination.

Studies were also submitted on the product covered by NADA 65-154. On page 20 of its submission, however, Masti-Kure states, "Because of the practical difficulty in obtaining sufficient numbers of infected dry cows in a reasonable period of time, it was not possible to show a statistically significant difference between the combination and its components in this study." Thus, Masti-Kure does not represent this study as purporting to show that the combination is more effective than the individual components, which is the showing required to be made by 21 CFR 135.4a(b) (8) (v).

Although Masti-Kure did not submit any studies represented as demonstrating effectiveness conducted with the drug products covered by NADA's 65-154, 65-239, and 65-361, it contends that the studies conducted with a similar but different drug product, NADA 65-381, are applicable to the other three. The submission states on page 21, "Since NADA 65-154 utilizes the same ingredients [as NADA 65-381] for the treatment of mastitis caused by the same pathogenic organisms, it is reasonable to conclude that both ingredients contribute in this formulation as well." The same contention is made for the drug products covered by NADA's 65-239 and 65-361.

The submission, however, presents no explanation of why such a conclusion is reasonable, and the Commissioner is unaware of any. On the contrary, the Commissioner believes it is unreasonable and improper to assume that because a combination of drugs at certain levels is effective as a combination, that a combination will still be more effective than its individual components when the levels of the component drugs are changed. Such a conclusion can only be established through adequate and well-controlled investigations, and Masti-Kure's submission does not contain any studies that purport to demonstrate that conclusion.

Moreover, the products covered by NADA's 65-154, 65-239, and 65-361 are represented in their labeling for use in dry (non-lactating period) cows, while the product covered by NADA 65-381 is represented for use in lactating cows. That these are different conditions of use, and the subject animals are physiologically different, are additional reasons why data from study of the latter product cannot be applied to the former products and why adequate and well-controlled investigations must be conducted to demonstrate the effectiveness of those products under their intended conditions of use. Masti-Kure has submitted no studies purporting to show that data for lactating cow products can be applied to dry cow products, and the Commissioner believes that such data cannot be so applied.

The data submitted also include a study conducted with an experimental drug formulation consisting of 300,000 units of penicillin and 50 milligrams of neomycin. Masti-Kure makes no representation that the data from this study demonstrate the effectiveness of any of the products subject to the notice, and the Commissioner agrees that the study does not purport to do so. The study was conducted with components of different levels from those in any drug product subject to the notice, and does not purport to show that the products subject to the notice are themselves effective, as required by 21 CFR 135.4a(b)(8)(v).

Therefore, the Commissioner finds that Masti-Kure has submitted no adequate and well-controlled studies purporting to demonstrate that the drug products covered by NADA's 65-154, 65-239, and 65-361, are effective as required by 21 CFR 135.4a(b)(8)(v). Accordingly, the Commissioner finds with respect to each such drug, on the basis of new information before him with respect to such drug, evaluated together with the evidence available to him when such drug was approved, that there is a lack of substantial evidence that such drug will have the effect it purports or is represented to have under the conditions of use prescribed, recommended, or suggested in the labeling thereof.

Masti-Kure also requested a hearing on three penicillin-dihydrostreptomycin combinations, namely those drug products covered by NADA's 65-386, 65-354, and 65-450. Masti-Kure submitted no studies whatsoever purporting to demonstrate the effectiveness of these products, however. On pages 22-23 of its submission, Masti-Kure states that studies are in progress, but that they are not yet complete. Obviously, studies that are not yet complete and that are not submitted to the Food and Drug Administration do not constitute adequate and well-controlled studies by which the Commissioner can determine the effectiveness of a drug product.

Masti-Kure contends in its submission (pages 11-12, 22) that it should be allowed additional time to complete the studies since it was misled by the Food and Drug Administration. Specifically, Masti-Kure contends that until November 1973 the Food and Drug Administration regarded a penicillin and dihydrostreptomycin combination as a single entity drug.

The Commissioner admits that a penicillin-dihydrostreptomycin combination was for some time erroneously regarded as a single entity product. In its submission, however, Masti-Kure does not contend that a penicillin-dihydrostreptomycin combination is not a fixed combination drug; its request for additional time is based solely on the erroneous prior determination of the Food and Drug Administration.

There is no provision in the act that requires that the sale of a drug product be permitted while studies to demonstrate its effectiveness are conducted. However, it is within the Commissioner's

discretion to delay ruling on a request for hearing, and the Commissioner has considered whether it would be advisable to delay ruling on this request for hearing until the results of these studies are available. The sponsor must show that the proposed studies are capable of demonstrating the existence of substantial evidence of effectiveness for the products before the Commissioner will consider postponing a ruling on the request for hearing. This can only be accomplished by showing that these proposed studies will qualify as adequate and well-controlled investigations. Therefore, the statement of protocol must satisfy the criteria for adequate and well-controlled investigations established in 21 CFR 135.12(a)(5).

The limited information submitted concerning the test protocols in Exhibits D through H of Masti-Kure's submission reveals major defects which do not justify any delay in ruling on a request for hearing. Even if these defects were not present, the protocols are inadequately specific to establish that the studies will be adequate and well-controlled investigations which would produce substantial evidence of effectiveness. While it is not appropriate in this order to discuss the deficiencies in the protocols, if requested, representatives of the Food and Drug Administration will meet with representatives of Masti-Kure to assist in the development of proper protocols. Because the submitted protocols are defective and do not establish that the studies will be adequate and well-controlled, the Commissioner cannot justify any delay in ruling on a request for hearing.

The Commissioner therefore denies the request for additional time to conduct studies. The Commissioner notes that this decision works no injustice, since there was approximately one year between the announcement of the change of policy on penicillin-dihydrostreptomycin combinations (November 1973) and the deadline for submission of data pursuant to the notice of opportunity for hearing, and the extension of time granted thereto (October 30, 1974).

The only data on which Masti-Kure relies to support the effectiveness of its penicillin-dihydrostreptomycin products are the original studies on penicillin and dihydrostreptomycin which were the basis for the antibiotic monograph, 21 CFR 146a.57, authorizing certification of this combination. The Commissioner advises that the data originally submitted years ago by a company other than Masti-Kure to support initial establishment of the monograph are not pertinent to the question raised in this notice of opportunity for hearing. The original data were not included in Masti-Kure's submission, and they are no longer available to the Food and Drug Administration. Moreover, the old studies presumably did not purport to show that the combination was more effective than the individual components, as required by 21 CFR 135.4a(b)(8)(v), since that issue has arisen only in recent years, as is dis-

cussed in the notice of opportunity for hearing.

Therefore, the Commissioner finds that Masti-Kure has submitted no adequate and well-controlled studies purporting to demonstrate that the drug products covered by NADA's 65-354, 65-386, and 65-450 are effective as required by 21 CFR 135.4a(b)(8)(v). Accordingly, the Commissioner finds with respect to each of such drugs, on the basis of new information before him with respect to such drug, evaluated together with the evidence available to him when the drug was approved, that there is a lack of substantial evidence that such drug will have the effect it purports or is represented to have under the conditions of use prescribed, recommended, or suggested in the labeling thereof.

In its submission, Masti-Kure objects to the incorporation by reference in the notice of opportunity for hearing of the requirements in 21 CFR 314.200 (c)(2) and (d). The Commissioner rejects this objection, since the requirements prescribe only the format in which the submission was required to be made. Such requirements can clearly be imposed without first offering opportunity for comment. In any event, no failure to comply with any requirement in 21 CFR 314.200 (c)(2) or (d) is the basis of any action in this order.

Since this order withdraws approval of the drug products, no further certification of batches will be made. This termination of certification services applies to batches submitted for certification but not yet certified, as well as to batches not yet submitted.

Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (secs. 409, 507, 512, 701; 52 Stat. 1055-1056 as amended; 59 Stat. 463 as amended; 72 Stat. 1785-1788 as amended; 82 Stat. 343-351 (21 U.S.C. 348, 357, 360b, 371)) and the Animal Drug Amendments of 1968 (sec. 108(b), 82 Stat. 353) and under authority delegated to him (21 CFR 2.120), the Commissioner hereby orders, based on the findings stated above:

1. That the requests for hearing on withdrawal of approval of the following drug products, identified by the assigned new animal drug application numbers, be and they hereby are denied: NADA's 65-154, 65-239, 65-354, 65-361, 65-386, and 65-450.

2. That approvals of the following drug products, identified by assigned new animal drug application numbers, be and they hereby are withdrawn effective on the date of the signature of this order: NADA's 65-154, 65-239, 65-246, 65-255, 65-304, 65-306, 65-307, 65-350, 65-351, 65-352, 65-353, 65-354, 65-359, 65-360, 65-361, 65-366, 65-382, 65-385, 65-386, 65-387, 65-388, 65-392, 65-397, 65-401, 65-414, 65-416, 65-436, 65-444, 65-450.

3. That any approval held by the Masti-Kure Products Co., Inc., for any fixed combination intramammary infusion drug product for treating mastitis, under 21 CFR 146a.45, 21 CFR 146a.57, 21 CFR 146a.62, or 21 CFR 146a.128,

which was not named in the notice of opportunity for hearing published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of August 30, 1974 (39 FR 31678), except NADA 65-450, which was identified by Masti-Kure in its submission and which is specifically dealt with in this order, be and it hereby is withdrawn effective on the date of the signature of this order.

Dated: February 24, 1975.

A. M. SCHMIDT,
Commissioner of Food and Drugs.

[FR Doc.75-5468 Filed 2-26-75; 11:11 am]

National Institutes of Health

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF ARTHRITIS, METABOLISM, AND DIGESTIVE DISEASES Meetings

Pursuant to Pub. L. 92-463, notice is hereby given of the meeting of the National Arthritis, Metabolism, and Digestive Diseases Advisory Council, National Institute of Arthritis, Metabolism, and Digestive Diseases on March 20-22, 1975, from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m., in Building 31, Conference Room 6, Bethesda, Maryland. This meeting will be open to the public from 9 a.m. to 1 p.m. on March 20, 1975, to discuss administrative reports. Attendance by the public will be limited to space available. In addition, a meeting of the Digestive Diseases and Nutrition Subcommittee of the above Council will be held from 9 a.m. to 7:30 p.m. on March 19, 1975, in Building 31, Room 9A51, Bethesda, Maryland.

In accordance with the provisions set forth in sections 552(b)(4), 552(b)(5) and 552(b)(6), Title 5, U.S. Code and section 10(d) of Pub. L. 92-463, the meeting of the Council will be closed to the public on March 20 from 1 p.m. to 5 p.m. and on March 21, 1975, from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m., for the review, discussion and evaluation of individual initial pending and renewal grant applications. The Digestive Diseases and Nutrition Subcommittee of the above Council will be closed to the public from 9 a.m. to 7:30 p.m. on March 19, 1975, also for the review, discussion and evaluation of individual initial and renewal grant applications. The closed portions of the meetings involve solely the internal expression of views and judgments of committee members on individual grant applications which contain information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including detailed research protocols, designs, and other technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the applications.

Mr. Victor Wartofsky, Information Officer, NIAMDD, National Institutes of Health, Building 31, Room 9A04, Bethesda, Maryland 20014, (301) 496-3583, will furnish rosters of committee members, summaries of the meetings, and other information pertaining to the meetings.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 13.309, National Institutes of Health.)

Dated: February 28, 1975.

S. L. FREMEAUX,
Committee Management
Officer, NIH.

[FR Doc.75-5824 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

NATIONAL HEART AND LUNG INSTITUTE Meetings

Pursuant to Pub. L. 92-463, notice is hereby given of the meeting of the National Heart and Lung Advisory Council, National Heart and Lung Institute, March 20-22, 1975, from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m., in Building 31, Conference Room 10, Bethesda, Maryland. This meeting will be open to the public on March 20 from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m. to discuss program policies and issues. Attendance by the public will be limited to space available. In addition, meetings of the Manpower Subcommittee and the Research Subcommittee of the above Council will be held on March 19, 1975 in Building 31; the Manpower Subcommittee at 8 p.m. in Conference Room 3, and the Research Subcommittee at 9 p.m. in Conference Room 10.

In accordance with the provisions set forth in sections 552(b)(4), 552(b)(5) and 552(b)(6), Title 5, U.S. Code and section 10(d) of Pub. L. 92-463, the meeting of the Council will be closed to the public on March 21 from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m. and on March 22 from 9 a.m. to adjournment for the review, discussion and evaluation of individual initial pending, supplemental and renewal grant applications. The Manpower Subcommittee of the above Council will be closed from 8 to 10 p.m., and the Research Subcommittee will be closed from 9 to 11 p.m. on March 19, 1975, also for the review, discussion and evaluation of individual initial pending, supplemental and renewal grant applications. The closed portions of the meetings involve solely the internal expression of views and judgments of committee members on individual grant applications which contain information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including detailed research protocols, designs, and other technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the applications.

Mr. York Onnen, Chief, Public Inquiries and Reports Branch, National Heart and Lung Institute, Building 31, Room 5A21, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20014, (301) 496-4236, will provide summaries of the meetings and rosters of the Council members.

Dr. Jerome G. Green, Director of Extramural Affairs, NHLI, Westwood Building, Room 5A18 (301) 496-7416, will provide substantive program information.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 13.837, 13.838, and 13.839, National Institutes of Health.)

Dated: February 28, 1975.

S. L. FREMEAUX,
Committee Management
Officer, NIH.

[FR Doc.75-5825 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Saint Lawrence Seaway Development
Corporation

ADVISORY BOARD

Open Meeting

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, section 10(a)(2), dated October 6, 1972, that an open meeting of the Advisory Board of the Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation will be held in the offices of the Corporation on the 8th floor at 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. on March 20, 1975 at 1:30 p.m.

Agenda items are as follows: (1) Opening remarks by the Administrator; (2) Approval of minutes of prior meeting; (3) Administrative report; (4) Program reviews; (5) Closing remarks.

Reservations and further information may be obtained from Mr. Robert Kraft, Special Assistant to the Administrator, Office of the Administrator, at the above address, or by calling 202-426-3574.

D. W. OBERLIN,
Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-5806 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING

Notice is hereby given in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463) and section 800.5(c) of the Advisory Council's Procedures for the Protection of Historic and Cultural Properties (36 CFR, Part 800) that on March 20, 1975, at 7:00 PM a public information meeting will be held at the Phyllis Wheatley Public School, 2300 Du Maine Street, New Orleans, Louisiana 70119, so that representatives of National, State, and local units of government and representatives of public and private organizations and interested citizens can receive information and express their views on a proposed undertaking of the United States Postal Service that will have an adverse effect upon a property listed in the National Register of Historic Places. The proposed undertaking is construction of Mid-City Postal Station, New Orleans, Louisiana. The property listed in the National Register is the General Laundry Building, N. Rocheblanc and St. Peter Streets. A summary of the agenda of the public information meeting follows:

(1) Explanation of the procedures and purpose of the meeting by representatives of the Executive Director of the Advisory Council.

(2) Explanation of the project by the representatives of the U.S. Postal Service.

(3) Statement by the Louisiana State Historic Preservation Officer on the project.

(4) Statements from the public on the project.

Speakers will be permitted to present their views on the project and should limit their statements to approximately five minutes. Statements should be limited to the undertaking, its effects on historic and cultural properties and alternate courses of action. Written statements and furtherance of oral remarks will be accepted by the council at the time of the meeting. Additional information regarding the meeting is available from the Executive Director, Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, 1522 K Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20005 (202-254-3380).

JOHN D. McDERMOTT,
Acting Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 75-5942 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Docket 27525]

BRADLEY AIR SERVICES LTD. CANADA- U.S. CHARTER SERVICES (SMALL AIR- CRAFT)

Prehearing Conference and Hearing

Notice is hereby given that a prehearing conference in this proceeding is assigned to be held on March 17, 1975, at 10 a.m. (local time), in Room 911, Universal Building, 1825 Connecticut Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C., before Administrative Law Judge Frank M. Whiting.

Notice is also given that the hearing may be held immediately following conclusion of the prehearing conference unless a person objects or shows reason for postponement on or before March 7, 1975.

Ordinary transcript will be adequate for the proper conduct of this proceeding.

Dated at Washington, D.C., February 27, 1975.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. PARK,
Chief Administrative Law Judge.
[FR Doc. 75-5811 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

[Docket No. 22859; Order 75-2-122]

BRANIFF AIRWAYS, INC.

Domestic Air Freight Rate Investigation; Order of Suspension

By tariff revisions filed January 30 and marked to become effective March 1, 1975, Braniff Airways, Inc. (Braniff) proposes to adjust its freight rates by an average of 9.19 percent, as follows:

1. Increase general commodity rates and charges for bulk and containerized shipments;

2. Cancel the pivot points for Type A containers of 3,100 and 3,200 pounds and

establish a new pivot weight of 4,500 pounds for these containers in certain markets;¹

3. Increase the bulk maximum charges in the Mainland-Hawaii market from \$15 to \$16 and cancel the 250/500-pound weight-breaks in this market; and

4. Cancel the 179 percent premium for human remains (not cremated) and add specific commodity rates, resulting in no rate changes on such shipments.

In support of its proposal, Braniff contends, *inter alia*, that (1) the carrier has adopted the same formula for bulk rates used by American Airlines, Inc. (American) that became effective January 1, 1975, and was approved by Board Order 74-12-128; (2) the proposed container rates are identical to those of American for a 4,500-pound shipment, and thus the proposed container rates are cost-justified; (3) the two minor changes in the Hawaiian market represent the first change in this structure since April 1973, and are fully justified, especially in view of the well-documented cost increases in this market since 1973; and (4) the proposal is expected to generate \$1.7 million additional annual revenue for 1975, leaving the carrier still 6.59 percent short of obtaining a 12 percent return on investment.

The proposed rates and charges come within the scope of the *Domestic Air Freight Rate Investigation*, Docket 22859, and their lawfulness will be determined in that proceeding. The issue now before the Board is whether to suspend the proposal or to permit it to become effective pending investigation.

The Board has reviewed Braniff's proposed rates and charges in the light of industry-average costs of carrying air freight (including a full return on investment),² and finds that the proposed rates and charges for Type A containers exceed costs in the following markets:

Chicago to Dallas and Houston
New York to Dallas and Houston

In view of the foregoing and upon consideration of all relevant factors, the Board concludes that those rates that are above costs should be suspended. The remaining portions of the proposal, including increases in bulk rates and minimum charges, appear sufficiently related to costs that the Board will permit them to become effective.

Braniff's proposal to cancel the pivot points of 3,100 and 3,200 pounds for Type A containers and establish a new pivot weight of 4,500 pounds per container results in rate increases as high as 86 percent above rates currently in effect. While the carrier contends that its proposed rates at the new pivot weight are identical to those currently in effect for

¹ Between Chicago and Dallas/Ft. Worth/Houston and from New York to Dallas/Ft. Worth/Houston.

² These cover industry-average online and interline noncapacity costs (which include transfers from one plane to another) plus online capacity costs. These costs have been adjusted for recent increases in unit operating costs through the period January-September 1974.

American for a shipment weighing 4,500 pounds,³ the important distinction between the Braniff and American tariffs is that American's minimum charge per container is based upon a pivot weight of 3,100 pounds as compared to 4,500 pounds for Braniff, which gives the shipper the option of a much lower minimum charge if he ships via American. Braniff's proposed minimum charge applies to a pivot weight of 4,500 pounds for Type A containers, which reflects an unduly high minimum density of 10.11 pounds per cubic foot. This is significantly above the average stowed density of 8.83 pounds per cubic foot. Furthermore, the instant proposal unduly penalizes the shipper of lightweight or average density traffic, and the minimum charge for the higher pivot weight is greater than the industry costs of providing the service in some markets.

Accordingly, pursuant to the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, and particularly Sections 204(a), 403, 404, and 1002 thereof,

It is ordered, That:

1. Pending hearing and decision by the Board, the rates and charges described in Appendix A⁴ hereto are suspended, and their use deferred to and including May 29, 1975, unless otherwise ordered by the Board, and that no change be made therein during the period of suspension except by order or special tariff permission of the Board; and

2. Copies of this order shall be filed with the tariffs and served upon Braniff Airways, Inc.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

[SEAL] PHYLLIS T. KAYLOR,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 75-5821 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

[Docket No. 25280; Agreements C.A.B.
24965, 24966; Order 75-2-107]

INTERNATIONAL AIR TRANSPORT ASSOCIATION

Specific Commodity Rates; Order

FEBRUARY 27, 1975.

Agreements have been filed with the Board pursuant to section 412(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (the Act) and Part 261 of the Board's Economic Regulations between various air carriers, foreign air carriers, and other carriers embodied in the resolutions of the Joint Traffic Conferences of the International Air Transport Association (IATA), and adopted pursuant to the provisions of Resolution 590 dealing with specific commodity rates.

The agreements name additional specific commodity rates as set forth below,

³ For example, in the New York to Dallas market, Braniff's proposed minimum charge for a Type A container with a pivot weight of 4,500 pounds is \$793. American's current rates in this market are \$596 for a pivot weight of 3,100 pounds and \$14.05 for each additional 100 pounds, resulting in a \$793 charge for a 4,500-pound shipment.

⁴ Filed as part of original document.

reflecting reductions from general cargo rates; and were adopted pursuant to unprotested notices to the carriers and promulgated in IATA letters dated February 13, 1975 (C.A.B. Agreement 24965) and February 18, 1975 (C.A.B. Agreement 24966).

Agreement C.A.B.	Specific commodity item No.	Description and rate
24965.....	9615	Pipes, Smoking 224 cents per kg., minimum weight 100 kgs., 210 cents per kg., minimum weight 500 kgs., from Nairobi/Arusha to New York, NY.
24966.....	1050	Horses, 215 cents per kg., minimum weight 500 kgs., from Sydney to Los Angeles, 200 cents per kg., minimum weight 500 kgs., from Auckland to Los Angeles.

Pursuant to authority duly delegated by the Board in the Board's Regulations, 14 CFR 385.14, it is not found that the subject agreements are adverse to the public interest or in violation of the Act, provided that approval is subject to the conditions hereinafter ordered.

Accordingly, it is ordered, that:

Agreement C.A.B. 24965 and Agreement C.A.B. 24966 are approved, provided that approval shall not constitute approval of the specific commodity descriptions contained therein for purposes of tariff publications; provided further that tariff filings shall be marked to become effective on not less than 30 days' notice from the date of filing.

Persons entitled to petition the Board for review of this order, pursuant to the Board's Regulations, 14 CFR 385.50, may file such petitions within ten days after the date of service of this order.

This order shall be effective and become the action of the Civil Aeronautics Board upon expiration of the above period, unless within such period a petition for review thereof is filed or the Board gives notice that it will review this order on its own motion.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

[SEAL] PHYLLIS T. KAYLOR,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-5820 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 25280; Agreements C.A.B. 24851, 24873; R-1 through R-6; Order 75-2-111]

INTERNATIONAL AIR TRANSPORT ASSOCIATION

North and Mid-Atlantic Cargo Rates; Order

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C. on the 27th day of February, 1975.

By Order 75-1-14, January 6, 1975, the Board established procedural dates for the receipt of carrier justification and comments and/or objections from interested persons on an agreement of the carrier members of the International Air Transport Association (IATA) to estab-

lish North Atlantic cargo rates through September 30, 1975.¹

In general, the agreement would increase all North Atlantic rates, except the 30,000-kilogram rates, 10 cents per kilogram. Scheduled service 30,000-kilogram rates would be increased 15 cents per kilogram with an equivalent increase in minimum cargo charter rates in order to maintain the same differential *vis-a-vis* the scheduled 30,000-kilogram rates. Charges for minimum shipments would remain unchanged and westbound 30,000 kilogram rates from certain European countries would be specified in local currency.

Statements of justification and supporting data have been submitted by Pan American World Airways, Inc. (Pan American) and Trans World Airlines, Inc. (TWA). In addition, comments have been filed by Seaboard World Airlines, Inc. (Seaboard).

Carrier Justification. Pan American and TWA both forecast significant increases in cargo-service revenue under the proposed agreement compared with the results anticipated if the present rates were retained. These carriers indicate the proposed agreement would bring about improvements in their overall operating results of \$9.5 million and \$4.7 million, respectively, and would produce rates of return on investment of 13.9 percent and 5.5 percent. While TWA forecasts an increase of 4.9 percent in its overall revenue ton-miles (RTM's), Pan American expects its total overall RTM's to decline 8.2 percent.²

In comments accompanying their data, both carriers maintain the increases are warranted by continued rapid cost increases and TWA further contends the increases are necessary in order to achieve a reasonable rate of return on investment in its transatlantic cargo operations. Additionally both carriers discuss in some detail the difficulties and uncertainties encountered in properly allocating cargo-related costs on combination service (cargo carried on the lower deck of passenger aircraft) to cargo revenue and contend that the choice of the cost allocation method can have a significant impact on investment return.³ Accordingly, both recommend judging the instant agreement in terms

¹ Also mentioned in Order 75-1-14 was Agreement C.A.B. 24851 which would increase all general cargo and specific commodity rates between the U.S. Virgin Islands/Puerto Rico and Europe/Middle East/Africa by 5 percent.

² The decline in Pan American's total RTM's reflects that carrier's estimation of the effects of the Pan American-TWA route realignment recently approved by the Board in Order 75-1-133 (January 30, 1975). TWA's forecast RTM's do not take into account the effects of the route realignment. In addition, while Pan American's forecast period is for the year ending September 30, 1975, TWA's forecast period is for calendar year 1975.

³ In procedural Order 75-1-14, the carriers were requested to submit justification in terms of impact on both all-cargo and belly-cargo revenues and costs.

of all-cargo freighter service.

Comments. Although certain aspects of the agreement do not accord with Seaboard's views of an ideal transatlantic structure,⁴ the carrier strongly urges approval thereof, in light of its need for additional cargo revenues. Seaboard forecasts a \$6.5 million increase in its cargo revenue with the agreement, to produce a return on investment of 7.07 percent as opposed to a 1.11 percent return at current rates. Additionally, while the carrier forecasts a 9.4 percent increase in capacity, traffic is forecast to increase by only 5.8 percent for a net decline in overall load factor.

Findings. Pan American's forecast for 1975 considers the recent North Atlantic route realignment. However, it appears that its operating costs are projected to increase significantly, due in large part to proposed introduction of the B-747 freighter, whose additional capacity will apparently result in a lower overall load factor.

On the other hand, TWA's submission does not incorporate the results of the route realignment. Although TWA projects an increase of only 2 percent in total freight RTM's (excluding mail), it anticipates a 16.7 percent increase in freight carried in scheduled all-cargo equipment and projects that its load factor for scheduled all-cargo service will decline from 59.9 percent for the year ended September 30, 1974 to 56.1 percent during calendar year 1975. We can perceive no rationale for such a capacity increase from a base already low when compared with competitors providing similar service.⁵

The Board notes the contentions of Pan American and TWA as to the difficulties of a cost allocation for cargo carried in combination aircraft as well as the infirmities in the submissions of these carriers. We conclude that sufficient data are not available to permit evaluation of the impact of the proposed agreement upon both Pan American and TWA because of the distortions caused by the availability of cargo space in combination aircraft, the recent North Atlantic route realignment and the introduction by Pan American of B-747 freighters. Because of these circumstances, we find it more appropriate to evaluate the instant agreement in terms of the operations of Seaboard, the only U.S. all-cargo carrier providing service over the Atlantic. Seaboard's operations are not materially affected by the Pan American-TWA route realignment, its operations are not distorted by combination services and belly capacity and it is currently flying B-747 freighter aircraft.

⁴ The particular features of the agreement with which Seaboard disagrees concern the failure to incorporate proper unitization incentives for 15,000 and 30,000 kg. rates and the absence of appropriate rates for 10 and 20 ft. bungalow units to countries other than Germany.

⁵ For example, Seaboard's and Pan American's respective load factors were 69.8 percent and 63.4 percent for the year ended September 30, 1974.

While Seaboard's data may be somewhat distorted by additional capacity resulting from projected B-747 freighter operations for the forecast period, when forecast operating revenues are adjusted by holding the load factor to 1974 levels, the carrier would still realize rates of return on investment of only 5.7 percent at present rates and 10.4 percent at the proposed rates, well below the 12 percent benchmark.

In these circumstances the increases in North Atlantic cargo rates appear warranted. Moreover, the manner by which the increases are applied, although they result in a greater percentage increase to lower individual rates within the separate general and specific commodity rate structures, reduces the discount spread between the specific and general commodity rates structures. This reduction in discounts from the general commodity rates is in line with long established Board policy.

However, we will disapprove the proposed 5 percent increase in rates between the U.S. Virgin Islands/Puerto Rico and Europe/Middle East/Africa since Pan American, the only U.S. carrier serving this market, does not specifically address this proposed increase in its justification. Accordingly, in the absence of specific justification by Pan American and the earnings position which the carrier itself projects, we are unable to conclude that the proposed increase is warranted and, as such, it will be disapproved.

The Board, acting pursuant to sections 102, 204(a), and 412 of the Act, makes the following findings:

(1) It is not found that the following resolutions, incorporated in Agreement C.A.B. 24873 as indicated, are adverse to the public interest or in violation of the Act provided that approval is subject, where applicable, to conditions previously imposed by the Board:

Agreement

C.A.B.:

24873:

IATA resolution

R-1.....	JT12 (Mail 857) 001v (N. Atlantic except Africa).
R-2.....	JT12 (Mail 857) 002 (N. Atlantic except Africa).
R-3.....	JT12 (Mail 857) 046e.
R-4.....	JT12 (Mail 857) 534a.
R-5.....	JT12 (Mail 857) 554a.
R-6.....	JT12 (Mail 857) 590.

(2) It is found that the following resolution, incorporated in Agreement C.A.B. 24851, is adverse to the public interest and in violation of the Act:

Agreement

C.A.B.:

24851..... JT12 (Mail 858) 008ff.

Accordingly, *It is ordered, That:*

1. Agreement C.A.B. 24873, R-1 through R-6 be and hereby is approved subject, where applicable, to conditions previously imposed by the Board;

2. The carriers are hereby authorized to file tariffs implementing the approved agreement on not less than one day's notice for effectiveness not earlier than March 3, 1975. The authority granted in this paragraph expires with April 2, 1975;

3. Tariffs implementing the approved agreement shall be marked to expire on September 30, 1975; and

4. Agreement C.A.B. 24851 be and hereby is disapproved.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

[SEAL] PHYLLIS T. KAYLOR,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-5822 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

THE COMMISSION OF FINE ARTS MEETING

FEBRUARY 19, 1975.

The Commission of Fine Arts will meet on Wednesday, March 19, 1975, and again on April 16, 1975, at 11:30 a.m., in the Commission offices at 708 Jackson Place NW., Washington, D.C. 20006 to discuss various public projects affecting the appearance of Washington, D.C. Inquiries regarding the agenda and requests to submit written or verbal statements should be addressed to Charles H. Atherton, Secretary, Commission of Fine Arts, at the above address.

CHARLES H. ATHERTON,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-5935 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

ARCHITECTURAL GLASS

Extension of Time for Publishing a Proposed Rule or Withdrawing Notice of Proceeding

The purpose of this notice is to extend the period in which the Consumer Product Safety Commission must publish a rule proposing a consumer product standard for architectural glass or a notice withdrawing the notice of proceeding. This extension merely reflects the extension of the development proceeding previously granted by the Commission.

By notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER of May 28, 1974 (39 FR 18502), the Commission commenced a proceeding under section 7 of the Consumer Product Safety Act (15 U.S.C. 2056) for the development of a consumer product safety standard applicable to architectural glass. On August 15, 1974, the Commission accepted the offer of the Consumer Safety Glazing Committee to develop a recommended standard and published a notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER on August 21, 1974 (39 FR 30191) announcing the acceptance. On November 1, 1974, the Commission announced by notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER (39 FR 38715) that it had granted the CSGC's request for an extension of time for the development of a safety standard for architectural glass from October 25, 1974 until January 24, 1975.

In extending the period for development of the standard, the Commission inadvertently neglected to extend the period provided in section 7(f) of the CPSA (15 U.S.C. 2056(f)) within which

it is required to publish a proposed product safety standard or a notice withdrawing the notice of proceeding. Section 7(f) specifies that the Commission must take the aforementioned action no more than 210 days after the publication of the notice of proceeding. In view of the fact that the Commission, for good cause shown, extended the development period for 120 days, or for 270 days from the date the notice of proceeding was published, publication of a proposed standard or a notice withdrawing the notice of proceeding within the 210 day period provided in section 7(f) is not realistic.

Accordingly, the Commission hereby extends the period in which it must publish a proposed standard or withdraw the notice of proceeding by 120 days, the same period for which an extension of the development period was granted. This period will end on March 21, 1975 but may be further extended by a notice published in the FEDERAL REGISTER stating good cause therefor.

Dated: February 27, 1975.

SADYE E. DUNN,
Secretary, Consumer
Product Safety Commission.

[FR Doc.75-5771 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

HARVEY E. SCHOCK, JR.

Denial of Petition for Regulation of Textbooks

In a petition dated October 1, 1974, Harvey E. Schock, Jr., of Haddonfield, New Jersey, requested that the Consumer Product Safety Commission regulate certain textbooks because they present an unreasonable risk of injury. Specifically, the petitioner claimed that the textbooks contain instructions for performing science experiments that do not include discussions, cautions, or illustrations relating to safety consideration.

The Commission, after careful deliberation, concluded that its jurisdiction under the Consumer Product Safety Act (CPSA) and the other acts the Commission administers does not extend to risks of injury such as the one alleged by the petitioner. Accordingly, the Commission has denied the petition, although its decision was based solely upon its judgment regarding the Commission's jurisdictional limitations and not upon the merit or lack of merit of the petition.

The Commission believes that textbooks are clearly "consumer products" under section 3(a)(1) of the Consumer Product Safety Act (15 U.S.C. 2052(a)(1)). If any textbook were manufactured with unreasonably sharp edges, for example, that hazard could be addressed under the Act. However, the Commission could find no evidence that Congress intended to authorize regulation of the written contents of textbooks which contain directions for scientific experiments when it enacted the Act. Congress was concerned with those injury risks directly associated with and caused by the consumption, use or enjoyment of consumer products. While it is no doubt true that unsafe textbook directions might affect

the way a child performs a scientific experiment and could even contribute to an injury resulting from the unsafe performance of that experiment, the Commission does not believe that such an indirect causal connection was included within the congressional intent in passing this legislation. Nevertheless, the Commission has decided to investigate the possibility of urging publishers of educational materials intended for children to avoid text and/or illustrations which could encourage any unsafe use of consumer products.

Pursuant to section 10(d) of the Consumer Product Safety Act (15 U.S.C. 2059(d)), notice is hereby given that Mr. Schock's petition for regulation of textbooks is denied.

Dated: February 27, 1975.

SADYE E. DUNN,
Secretary, Consumer Product
Safety Commission.

[FR Doc. 75-5772 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

POWER LAWN EQUIPMENT

Extension of Standard Development Period

The purpose of this notice is to announce that the Consumer Product Safety Commission has (1) granted a request by Consumers Union of the United States, Inc. (Consumers Union) for an extension of time from December 19, 1974, until June 19, 1975, for the development of a recommended safety standard for power lawn mowers, lawn tractors, and lawn and garden tractors (hereinafter referred to as power lawn mowers), (2) provided additional funds for the extended development period, and (3) extended the period in which the Commission must publish a proposed rule or a notice withdrawing the notice of proceeding.

By notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER of July 22, 1974 (39 FR 26662), the Commission commenced a proceeding under section 7 of the Consumer Product Safety Act (15 U.S.C. 2056) for the development of a recommended consumer product safety standard applicable to power lawn mowers. On October 17, 1974, the Commission accepted the offer of Consumers Union to develop a recommended standard and published a notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER (39 FR 37803) on October 24, 1974, announcing the acceptance. The Commission agreed to contribute \$66,745 toward the offeror's cost in developing the standard. These funds were to be used in connection with offeror personnel expenses, offeror personnel travel expenses, consumer participant travel expenses, and certain administrative expenses. In addition, a contingency fund of \$25,000 was set aside for machine shop use and testing expenses if the offeror could not obtain these services without charge and could demonstrate a need for them.

The expiration period for the development of the standard was specified in the notice as December 19, 1974. However, section 7(e)(3) of the Act (15 U.S.C. 2053(e)(3)) and the regulations issued under section 7 (16 CFR 1105) provide that the Commission may extend

the development period if good cause is shown and the reasons for such extension are published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

On December 6, 1974, Consumers Union petitioned the Commission for an extension of the development period and additional funds to cover the additional development period. Based on a discussion with members of Consumers Union's management in which they clarified their reasons for requesting an extension of the development period, the Commission has determined that Consumers Union has shown good cause for extending the time for development of the power mower standard to June 19, 1975. Consumers Union's reasons for requesting an extension of the development period included the complexity of the subject and the need to allow additional time for post development review. A copy of Consumers Union's petition is available for review in the Office of the Secretary.

The Commission also believes Consumers Union has demonstrated (1) a revised and pragmatic plan for completing the development by June 19, 1975, and (2) a firm commitment by its Executive Director, Rhoda Karpatkin, to fully complete the development of a recommended standard by that time. The Commission has, therefore, extended the development period until June 19, 1975. In addition, the Commission has provided additional support funds for offeror personnel expenses, offeror personnel travel expenses, consumer participant travel expenses, and certain administrative expenses in the amount of \$90,165.

Section 7(f) of the CPSA (15 U.S.C. 2056(f)) specifies in relevant part that

"not more than 210 days after publication of a notice of proceeding . . . (which time may be extended by the Commission by a notice published in the FEDERAL REGISTER stating good cause therefor), the Commission shall publish in the FEDERAL REGISTER a notice withdrawing such notice of proceeding or publish a proposed rule . . . which proposes a product safety standard. . . ."

In view of the fact that the Commission has, for good cause shown, extended the power mower development period for 6 months until June 19, 1975 or for 332 days from the date the notice of proceeding was published, publication of a proposed standard, or a notice withdrawing the notice of proceeding within the 210 day period provided in section 7(f) is not realistic.

Accordingly, the Commission hereby extends the period in which it must publish a proposed standard or withdraw the notice of proceeding, by 6 months, the same period for which an extension of the development period was granted. This period will end on August 18, 1975 but may be further extended by a notice published in the FEDERAL REGISTER stating good cause therefor.

Dated: February 27, 1975.

SADYE E. DUNN,
Secretary, Consumer Product
Safety Commission.

[FR Doc. 75-5773 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL 340-2]

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS AND OTHER ACTIONS IMPACTING THE ENVIRONMENT

Availability of Comments

Pursuant to the requirements of section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, and section 309 of the Clean Air Act, as amended, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has reviewed and commented in writing on Federal agency actions impacting the environment contained in the following appendices during the period of January 1, 1975 and January 31, 1975.

Appendix I contains a listing of draft environmental impact statements reviewed and commented upon in writing during this review period. The list includes the Federal agency responsible for the statement, the number and title of the statement, the classification of the nature of EPA's comments as defined in Appendix II, and the EPA source for copies of the comments as set forth in Appendix V.

Appendix II contains the definitions of the classifications of EPA's comments on the draft environmental impact statements as set forth in Appendix I.

Appendix III contains a listing of final environmental impact statements reviewed and commented upon in writing during this reviewing period. The listing will include the Federal agency responsible for the statement, the number and title of the statement, a summary of the nature of EPA's comments, and the EPA source for copies of the comments as set forth in Appendix V.

Appendix IV contains a listing of proposed Federal agency regulations, legislation proposed by Federal agencies, and any other proposed actions reviewed and commented upon in writing pursuant to section 309(a) of the Clean Air Act, as amended, during the referenced reviewing period. The listing includes the Federal agency responsible for the proposed action, the title of the action, a summary of the nature of EPA's comments, and the source for copies of the comments as set forth in Appendix V.

Appendix V contains a listing of the names and addresses of the sources for copies of EPA comments listed in Appendices I, III, and IV.

Copies of the EPA Manual setting forth the policies and procedures for EPA's review of agency actions may be obtained by writing the Public Inquiries Branch, Office of Public Affairs, Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C. 20460. Copies of the draft and final environmental impact statements referenced herein are available from the originating Federal department or agency.

Dated: February 25, 1975.

SHELDON MEYERS,
Director,
Office of Federal Activities.

NOTICES

10229

APPENDIX I—Draft environmental impact statements for which comments were issued between Jan. 1, 1976 and Jan. 31, 1976

Identifying No.	Title	General nature of comments	Source for copies of comments
Atomic Energy Commission:			
D-AEC-A00108-WA	Waste Management Operations, Hanford Reservation, Richland, Wash.	ER-2	A
D-AEC-A06143-MO	Callaway Plant Units 1 and 2, Union Electric Co., Docket Nos. STN 50-483 and STN 50-486, Callaway County, Mo.	ER-2	H
D-AEC-A06144-TX	South Texas Project Units 1 and 2, Houston Lighting & Power Co., Docket Nos. 50-498 and 50-499, Matagorda County, Tex.	ER-2	A
D-AEC-A06145-WA	Washington Public Power Supply System, Nuclear Projects 1 and 4, Docket Nos. 50-460 and 50-513, Benton County, Wash.	ER-2	A
Department of Agriculture:			
D-AFS-G60001-NM	Proposed Sandia Peak Tram Co. Land Exchange, Cibola National Forest, N. Mex.	LO-2	G
D-AFS-J65008-ND	Little Missouri National Grasslands, Custer National Forest, N. Dak.	LO-1	I
D-AFS-K60001-CA	Triangle Range Wetlands, Land Exchange, Modoc National Forest, Calif.	LO-1	J
D-AFS-K65002-CA	Proposed Aspen-Horsechief Timber Sales, Sierra National Forest, Fresno County, Calif.	LO-2	J
D-AFS-K65004-CA	Upper Trinity Planning Unit, Shasta-Trinity National Forest, Trinity County, Calif.	ER-2	J
D-AFS-K65006-NV	Ruby Mountains Land Use Plan, Humboldt National Forest, Nev.	LO-1	J
D-SCS-D36006-PA	Pine Run Watershed Project, Montgomery County, Pa.	LO-1	D
D-SCS-E36009-KY	East Fork Pond River Watershed, Watershed Protection and Flood Prevention, Christian, Muhlenberg, and Todd Counties, Ky.	LO-1	E
D-SCS-E36010-NC	Stoney Creek Watershed, Wayne County, Watershed Protection, Flood Prevention and Recreation Facility Development, N.C.	LO-2	E
D-SCS-G36003-OK	Pott-Sem-Turkey Watershed, Okla.	LO-2	G
D-SCS-G36004-LA	Kinder Watershed, Allen and Jefferson Davis Parishes, La.	LO-1	G
D-SCS-G36005-OK	Upper Muddy Boggy Creek Watershed, Coal, Hughes, Pittsburg Counties, Okla.	LO-1	G
D-SCS-G36007-LA	East Franklin Watershed, Catahoula, Franklin and Richland Parishes, La.	LO-1	G
D-SCS-G36008-AR	Ozan Creek Watershed, Hempstead County, Ark.	LO-1	G
D-AFS-L61012-ID	Bighorn Winter Sports Site, Caribon National Forest Oneida and Bannock Counties, Idaho.	LO-2	K
D-AFS-L61013-OR	Wallow-Whitman National Forest, Lake Fork Management Unit, Baker and Wallowa Counties, Ore.	LO-1	K
D-DOA-A82095-00	1975 Addendum to 1974 Gypsy Moth Suppression	LO-2	C
D-SCS-I36002-NH	Indian Brook Watershed, Installation of Watershed Protection, Flood Prevention and Fish and Wildlife Development Project, Coos County, Lancaster, N.H.	ER-1	B
Corps of Engineers:			
DS-COE-A35073-RI	Providence River and Harbor Rock Removal, R.I.	LO-1	B
D-COE-C32001-NY	Safe Navigation, St. Lawrence Seaway to Pollys Gut, N.Y.	LO-1	C
D-COE-C35003-NY	Maintenance dredging, snagging and clearing of Hudson River, N.Y.	ER-2	C
D-COE-C36008-NY	Root Creek, Bolivar, N.Y.	ER-2	C
D-COE-C36009-NY	Feasibility study for flood control and related purposes, Cazenovia Creek, Erie County, N.Y.	LO-2	C
D-COE-D35003-00	Albemarle and Chesapeake Canal and the Dismal Swamp Canal Routes of the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway, Va. and N.C.	ER-2	D
D-COE-D36005-PA	Girty's Run, local flood protection project, Millvale, Pa.	LO-1	D
D-COE-F23008-WI	Sheboygan Harbor, maintenance and dredging, Wis.	LO-1	F
D-COE-F35005-OH	Diked disposal facility, site No. 7, Lorain Harbor Area, Lake Erie, Lorain, Ohio	ER-3	F
D-COE-F36007-IL	Milan-Big Island local flood protection area, Rock River and Mill Creek, Milan, Ill.	LO-2	F
D-COE-F36008-IN	Small flood control project at Indianapolis, Lower Eagle Creek, Ind.	LO-1	F
DS-COE-G30001-TX	Corpus Christi Beach, restoration project, Tex.	LO-2	G
D-COE-G32008-TX	Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Texas section, main channel and tributary channels, maintenance and dredging, Tex.	LO-3	G
D-COE-G33009-TX	Clear Creek and Clear Lake, maintenance and dredging, Tex.	LO-2	G
D-COE-G32010-TX	Double Bayou, maintenance dredging, Tex.	LO-2	G
D-COE-G36006-OK	Mud Creek local protection project, McCurtain County, Idabel, Okla.	LO-2	G
D-COE-G39001-TX	Neches River and tributaries, saltwater barrier on Neches River at Beaumont, Tex.	LO-2	G
D-COE-H34001-KS	Melvern Dam and Lake, Osage County, Kans.	LO-2	H
D-COE-H36004-IA	Davenport local flood protection, Iowa.	ER-2	H
D-COE-K35001-CA	San Quentin landfill, regulatory permit application, Marin County, Calif.	LO-2	J
D-COE-K36009-HI	IAO stream flood control project, Maui, Hawaii	ER-2	J
D-COE-L36011-00	Columbia and Lower Willamette River, maintenance and completion of the 40-ft navigational channel, downstream of Vancouver, Wash., and Portland, Oreg.	LO-1	K
Department of Defense:			
D-USA-E11001-00	Blackbird control on Army installations, Fort Campbell, Christian, and Trigg Counties, Ky. and Milan Army Ammunition Plant, Montgomery, Stewart, Gibson, and Carroll Counties, Tenn.	LO-2	E
D-USN-D11001-MD	Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, Bethesda, Montgomery County, Md.	LO-2	D
D-USN-K11005-CA	New ammunition facility, Naval Air Station, San Diego County, Calif.	ER-2	J
General Services Administration:			
D-GSA-G99001-TX	GSA interagency motor pool, Dallas County, Tex.	LO-2	G
D-GSA-J81001-CO	Repair and alteration project, Denver Federal Center, Lakewood, Colo.	LO-1	I

NOTICES

APPENDIX I—Draft environmental impact statements for which comments were issued between Jan. 1, 1975 and Jan. 31, 1976

Identifying No.	Title	General nature of comments	Source of copies of comments
Department of Housing and Urban Development:			
D-HUD-E85004-GA	Stoneleigh subdivision, ASP 71-140, De Kalb County, Ga.	LO-2	E
D-HUD-F85001-MN	New community of Cedar Riverside, stage II development, Minneapolis, Minn.	ER-2	F
Interstate Commerce Commission:			
RD-ICC-A25033-00	49 CFR Part 1062, transportation of waste products for reuse and recycling.	LO-1	A
D-ICC-B53001-ME	Authority to acquire control of Maine Central Railroad, <i>Maine Central Railroad Company v. Amoskeag Company, et al.</i> , Maine.	LO-1	B
D-ICC-D53001-MD	Proposed railline abandonment, B&O line from Clifford Junction, Baltimore to Annapolis, Md.	LO-1	D
D-ICC-D58002-00	Norfolk & Western Railroad Co. abandonment between Arlington, Va. and West Jefferson, N.C.	LO-1	D
D-ICC-F53001-IL	Illinois Central Gulf Railroad Co., electric commuter train fares, Cook County, Ill.	ER-2	F
Department of the Interior:			
D-BIM-A02064-00	Proposed increase in acreage to be offered for oil and gas leasing, outer continental shelf.	ER-3	A
D-BPA-L08010-WA	Lower Snake grid reinforcement, lower granite-little goose 500 kv line, lower Monumental-Ashe No. 1, 500 kv line, Washington.	LO-1	K
D-IBR-K32001-00	Granite reef aqueduct transmission system, central Arizona project, Ariz. and N. Mex.	ER-2	J
D-NPS-G65006-NM	Proposed master plan, Bandelier National Monument, N. Mex.	LO-2	G
D-NPS-G65007-TX	Proposed master plan for Guadalupe Mountains National Park, Tex.	LO-2	G
LD-NPS-E61007-00	Proposed wilderness, Gulf Island National Seashore, Fla. and Miss.	LO-1	E
LD-NPS-E61008-00	Wilderness recommendation, Great Smokey Mountains National Park, N.C. and Tenn.	LO-1	E
Department of Transportation:			
D-CGD-E60001-SC	Proposed fixed highway bridge across Station Creek in Beaufort County, access from St. Helena Island to St. Phillips Island, S.C.	EU-2	E
D-FAA-B51002-CT	Igor I. Sikorsky Memorial Airport, establishment of instrument landing system for runway 6, Stratford, Conn.	LO-2	B
D-FAA-D51002-VA	Brookneal Municipal Airport, Campbell County, Va.	LO-2	D
RD-FAA-A88009-00	Civil airplane fleet noise requirements	LO-1	A
D-FAA-E51006-MS	Fletcher Field, Clarksdale, Miss.	LO-2	E
D-FAA-H51007-KS	Rush County Airport, LaCrosse, Kans.	ER-2	H
D-FAA-K51001-CA	Whiteman Airport, Los Angeles County, Calif.	ER-2	J
DS-DOT-A41101-KS	I-435 extension, Johnson County, Kans.	LO-2	H
DS-FHW-A41741-FL	Air quality analysis, Broward County, FL-5, SJ 86010-1512, FJ 7-003-3(49), Florida.	LO-2	E
DS-FHW-A42150-CA	I-210 freeway, Los Angeles County, Calif.	ER-2	J
D-FHW-A42337-CO	Second bore of Eisenhower Tunnel, I-70, Summit and Clear Creek Counties, Colo.	ER-3	I
D-FHW-B40007-ME	Westbrook arterial, Westbrook-Portland, Cumberland County, Maine.	LO-2	B
D-FHW-C40010-NY	Improvement of Route 31, NY-31, Baldwinsville, Route 690 to Belgium Route 481, Onondaga County, N.Y.	ER-2	C
D-FHW-E40021-MS	I-10 from MS-57, easterly to Mississippi-Alabama State line, Mississippi.	ER-2	E
D-FHW-E40024-TN	Northwest County Highway Route No. S-8268, Shelby County, Tenn.	LO-2	E
D-FHW-E40025-GA	Projects M-3059(1) and S-0761, Bibb County, Ga.	LO-2	E
D-FHW-F40019-MN	TH 36, MN-36, Cedar Avenue, Hennepin and Dakota Counties, Minn.	ER-2	F
D-FHW-F40021-WI	North 14th Street extension, Sheboygan, WI-42 and Business Highway 141, Wis.	LO-2	F
D-FHW-F40022-IL	FAR Route 14, IL-14, relocated IL-13, New Athens Bypass, St. Clair County, Ill.	ER-2	F
D-FHW-K40007-CA	Los Positas Interchange, City and County of Santa Barbara, Calif.	LO-1	J
D-FHW-G40019-TX	FM 1765 from I-45 to TX-3, Texas City, Galveston County, Tex.	LO-2	G
D-FHW-G40020-TX	U.S. 59 from Fort Bend-Wharton county line to 2 miles west of Rosenberg, Tex.	LO-2	G
D-FHW-G40021-NM	State road 283, NM-283, San Miguel County, N. Mex.	LO-2	G
D-FHW-H40013-KS	U.S. 56, Marion County, Kans.	LO-2	H
D-FHW-H40014-IA	U.S. 18, expressway, Clayton County, Iowa.	LO-2	H
D-FHW-H40015-OO	Missouri River Bridge, South Sioux City, Nebraska to Sioux City, Iowa.	ER-3	H
DS-FHW-H40016-IA	I-380, Linn, Benton, Black Hawk and Buchanan Counties, air quality and noise supplement, Iowa.	LO-2	H
D-FHW-H40017-KS	Improvement of 57th Street from KS-32 and Parallel Avenue, Kansas City, Kans.	ER-2	H
D-FHW-J40005-CO	Project I-70-2-(24) Wheeler Junction to Frisco, Summit County, Colo.	ER-3	I
D-FHW-J40007-CO	Reconstruction of Forest Highway 15, CO-133, bottom of McClure Pass to Paonia Reservation, Colo.	LO-2	I
D-FHW-K40006-HI	Salt Lake Boulevard, Puuloa Road to Halawa Heights Road extension, Hawaii.	3	J
D-FHW-L40009-OR	Cascade Lakes Highway, Forest Highway route 46, Deschutes County, Ore.	LO-1	K
D-FHW-L40010-AK	Petersburg to Kake Highway, proposal project E80122, Alaska.	LO-1	K
D-FHW-L40012-OR	Head Road, Airport Road, Section Biddle Road, FAS 15-107, Jackson County, Ore.	LO-1	K
D-FHW-L40016-AK	Taylor Highway, Tetlin Junction to Canadian Border, Alaska.	LO-1	K
D-FHW-L40018-OR	Elk River, Port Orford Section, Oregon Coast Highway, Curry County, Ore.	LO-2	K
Tennessee Valley Authority:			
D-TVA-E85008-TN	Timberlake new community, planned community on shoreline of Tellico Lake, Tenn.	ER-2	E

APPENDIX II—DEFINITIONS OF CODES FOR THE GENERAL NATURE OF EPA COMMENTS

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF THE ACTION

LO—Lack of Objection. EPA has no objections to the proposed action as described in the draft impact statement; or suggests only minor changes in the proposed action.

ER—Environmental Reservations. EPA has reservations concerning the environmental effects of certain aspects of the proposed action. EPA believes that further study of suggested alternatives or modifications is required and has asked the originating Federal agency to reassess these impacts.

EU—Environmentally Unsatisfactory. EPA believes that the proposed action is unsatisfactory because of its potentially harmful effect on the environment. Furthermore, the agency believes that the potential safeguards which might be utilized may not adequately protect the environment from hazards arising from this action. The agency recommends that alternatives to the action be analyzed further (including the possibility of no action at all).

ADEQUACY OF THE IMPACT STATEMENT

Category 1—Adequate. The draft impact statement adequately sets forth the environmental impact of the proposed project or action as well as alternatives reasonably available to the project or action.

Category 2—Insufficient Information. EPA believes that the draft impact statement does not contain sufficient information to assess fully the environmental impact of the proposed project or action. However, from the information submitted, the agency is able to make a preliminary determination of the impact on the environment. EPA has requested that the originator provide the information that was not included in the draft statement.

Category 3—Inadequate. EPA believes that the draft impact statement does not adequately assess the environmental impact of the proposed project or action, or that the statement inadequately analyzes reasonable available alternatives. The agency has requested more information and analysis concerning the potential environmental hazards and has asked that substantial revision be made to the impact statement.

APPENDIX III—Final environmental impact statements for which comments were issued between Jan. 1, 1975 and Jan. 31, 1976—Continued

Identifying No.	Title	General nature of comments	Source for copies of comments
Atomic Energy Commission:			
F-AEC-A06136-WY.	Shirley Basin Uranium Mill, Utah International, Inc., docket No. 40-6622, Carbon County, Wyo.	EPA generally had no objections to the proposed project. However, EPA raised the generic concern of advanced planning for radioactive tailings disposal along with several misinterpretations by the AEC of environmental data generated by either the license applicant or the EPA.	I
Department of Agriculture:			
F-AFS-A65067-MT..	Bitterroot north planning unit, Bitterroot National Forest, Mont.	EPA had no objections to the proposed project..	I
F-AFS-A65079-FL..	Withlacoochee State Forest, limestone mining, plan of operation, Citrus County, Fla.	EPA generally expressed no objections to the proposed project; however, EPA recommended that consideration be given to benefits of using the rehabilitation of the area as a demonstration project, and that more specific information be provided on emission of particulate to the atmosphere.	E
F-AFS-A82078-00...	Strategy for control of southern pine beetle in the southeastern United States.	EPA generally expressed no objections to the proposed project; however, EPA recommended that a supplementary sheet be prepared to cover the effect of open burning on urban areas which conflicts with State air pollution regulations.	E
Corps of Engineers:			
F-COE-A32392-GA.	Carters Dam and Lake, Coosawatee River, Ga.	EPA generally had no objections to the proposed project, however, EPA recommended that more information be provided on increasing low flows at Rome and manipulations at Carters Dam to improve these flows.	E
F-COE-A32441-FL.	Canaveral Harbor extension, navigation, Fla.	EPA had no objections to the proposed project..	I
F-COE-A36429-ND.	Minot Channel modifications, flood control, Souris River, N. Dak.	The interrelated nature of the Minot Channel and Burlington Dam projects makes it imperative that the combined impact of the project alternatives be assessed. To date the cumulative environmental impacts have not been evaluated for the proposed projects. This is a major deficiency of both the Minot Channel and Burlington Dam impact statements. EPA requested that the COE reconsider the comments made by EPA before proceeding with the project.	
F-COE-A89084-OH.	Paint Creek, Scioto River Basin, Ohio.	EPA generally had no objections to the project as proposed.	F
General Services Administration:			
F-GSA-K81001-CA.	Disposal of Ornard Air Force Base, Caparillo, Ventura County, Calif.	Since the alternative for airport use was selected, EPA critically reexamined the noise impacts. Given the close proximity to residential areas, EPA recommended that the noise contours be recalculated on the basis of current aircraft noise data, frequency of Santa Ana Winds, business jet usage. In the event of violations of the California airport noise regulations, a contingency plan of action, based on a noise monitoring program, should be adopted. EPA requested further evidence that operating restrictions proposed by Ventura County will be imposed by the Federal Aviation Administration.	J

NOTICES

APPENDIX III—Final environmental impact statements for which comments were issued between Jan. 1, 1975 and Jan. 31, 1975—Continued

Identifying No.	Title	General nature of comments	Source for copies of comments
Department of Housing and Urban Development:			
F-HUD-A85035-DC.	Proposed "Seasons" 221 D4 project 1775 Que St. NW., Washington, D.C.	EPA expressed no objections on the proposed project.	D
F-HUD-G85001-TX.	The proposed colony subdivision, Denton County, Tex.	EPA had no objections to the project as proposed.	G
Department of the Interior:			
F-DOI-A61038-WY.	Master plan, Yellowstone National Park, Wyo.	EPA had no objection to the project as proposed.	
Department of Transportation:			
F-DOT-A41513-PA.	LR 1033, Sec. AO2, Mifflin and Juniata Counties, Pa.	EPA expressed no objections to further development of the project but advised that more detailed analysis of projected noise impacts would insure compliance with noise standards established by the Federal Highway Administration.	D
F-FHW-A41763-NC.	Charlotte inner belt loop from York Rd, NC-49 to Central Ave., Mecklenburg County, SP 8-272 3001 FAF F-20-1(1), N.C.	EPA generally had no objections to the proposed project; however, EPA recommended that an air quality analysis supplemental be prepared.	E
F-FHW-A41834-FL.	Okaloosa and Walton Counties, SJ 57080-1524 and 60020-1504, FJ F-017-1-3(1) and F-017-1(32), FL-30, U.S. 98, Fla.	EPA generally had no objections to the proposed project. However, EPA recommended that ambient noise measurements within the proposed corridor be provided, and any noise-sensitive sites be identified on an area map.	E
F-FHW-A41890-NY.	Elmira North-South arterial, Chemung County, N.Y.	While EPA raised no objections to the project itself as described in the environmental statement, information requested by EPA during the review of the draft EIS is lacking. EPA requested clarification of air quality predictions, noise attenuation measures and the proposed relocation of Seeley Creek.	C
F-FHW-A42025-KS.	KS-96, Sedgwick County, Kans.	EPA generally had no objections to the proposed project.	H
F-FHW-A42337-CO.	Second bore of the Eisenhower Tunnel, I-70, Summit and Clear Creek Counties, Colo.	EPA reviewed the final environmental impact statement in conjunction with the review of a draft impact statement for a segment of I-70 located a short distance away because of the cumulative secondary effects of the 2 projects. EPA expressed environmental reservations concerning the construction of the second bore of the Eisenhower Tunnel. In addition, pending the completion of additional studies which would document potentially adverse secondary environmental effects of the project (E.G., air quality and land use impacts), EPA recommended that final project approval be deferred.	I
F-FHW-A42338-CO.	Highway project M-7598 (004) and F 050-4(L), Troy Ave., Pueblo, Pueblo County, Colo.	Environmental reservations were expressed concerning the noise and air quality impacts of the proposed highway project. For the proposed alternative, the FHWA noise standard is exceeded at all locations analyzed along Troy Ave. Measures to mitigate this adverse noise impact are planned for only one residential community. Other residential areas will be affected by noise levels which exceed standards. Further study is recommended with proposals given for possible lowering of noise impact of this project. The analysis of air quality impacts overlooked several important aspects which will result in the prediction of higher CO concentrations. Recommendations were made for further analysis to determine if standards will be violated.	I
F-FHW-A42340-CA.	I-580 and CA-258, joint freeway mass transit corridor project, Alameda County, Calif.	Due to the serious air pollution problems in the Livermore Valley, EPA had environmental reservations concerning the action as described in the final statement. EPA's concerns are based upon the deficiencies of information available to properly advise the public of the air quality impact, and the need to establish a commitment to public transit in the Livermore Valley. EPA is seeking a reconciliation of the various air pollution studies done by California Department of Transportation, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission, and the City of Livermore, and is seeking a commitment from CALTRANS to reserve bus lanes for public transit in the freeway alignment.	J
FS-FHW-A49006-KS.	U.S. 54, Sedgwick County, Kans.	EPA had no objections to the project as proposed.	H
Tennessee Valley Authority:			
F-TVA-E05001-TN.	Johnsonville steam plant, units 11-16, 6 unit gas turbine peaking plant addition, Humphreys County, Tenn.	EPA generally raised no objections to the proposed project.	E
F-TVA-E05002-TN.	Gallatin steam plant, units 1-4 gas turbine peaking plant addition, Sumner County, Tenn.	EPA generally had no objections to the proposed project. However, EPA recommended that identification of model used in assessing pollutant concentrations and the listing of predicted concentrations be included in the impact statement.	E

NOTICES

10233

APPENDIX IV—REGULATIONS, LEGISLATION AND OTHER FEDERAL AGENCY ACTIONS FOR WHICH COMMENTS WERE ISSUED BETWEEN JAN. 1, 1975 AND JAN. 31, 1975

Identifying No.	Title	General nature of comments	Source for copies of comments
Atomic Energy Commission: R-AEC-A09024-00.	10 CFR Part 34, licenses for radiography and radiation safety requirements for radiographic operations, personnel monitoring of radiographers.	EPA indicated that, although the proposed amendment represents a Step in the right direction, it appears that much more should be done by the AEC in this area. In EPA's opinion, a thorough analysis of industrial radiography operations personnel overexposure incidents is needed to devise remedial measures. Further, EPA believes provisions should be incorporated in the regulations for initial and subsequent calibrations of the self-reading dosimeters. Also the AEC should give consideration to the use of the more modern, and currently available, pocket dosimeters which incorporate audible alarms and are easier to read.	A
R-AEC-A09025-00.	10 CFR Pt 70, special nuclear material, criticality accident dosimetry.	In general EPA agrees with the proposed regulation. However, the purpose for sec. 70.24(a)(5) is not clear since there may be certain reactor types or new types of nuclear fuels for which it may be desirable to impose the proposed provisions for criticality accident dosimetry. EPA believes the final regulations should clarify this point. In addition, EPA questions the necessity of sec. 70.24(a)(4), since it is this agency's interpretation that equivalent provisions are required in accident plans for all nuclear reactors.	A
R-AEC-A09026-00...	10 CFR pts. 40, 70, effluent monitoring and reporting, proposed requirements.	EPA supports this proposed regulation as it will provide detailed effluent data which are not presently available. Although the proposed regulation does not contain specific reporting requirements, we assume that a future regulatory guide will provide these details since, in our opinion, they will be necessary to the implementation of this regulation.	A
R-AEC-A09027-00...	Notice, regulatory guide 4.7, general site suitability criteria for nuclear power stations.	EPA commented that, although regulatory guide 4.7 could be the means to provide needed strong guidance, it will have to be improved in order to be effective. EPA indicated that much of the material presented defines requirements for information rather than detailing acceptability criteria to be used in judging sites. Numerical or other definitive guidance is lacking in many areas. EPA further indicated that more definitive guidance would increase the chances that environmentally acceptable sites would be chosen at the outset, thus, decreasing burdens on both the utilities and the AEC staff and reducing chances for delay in licensing new nuclear plants.	A
Department of Agriculture: A-AFS-A06107-00...	Environmental program for the future, a long-term forestry plan.	EPA's comments offered specific suggestions in the areas of economic analysis, minerals development, comprehensive resource and area planning, timber resource management, the range resource system and the recreation and wilderness system. The comments were directed to aiding the Forest Service in developing a final report which provides a better understanding of the Forest Service's programs and other national policies, such as the role of timber and mineral exports, and their relationship to environmental values.	A
Department of the Interior: R-BLM-A01028-00...	43 CFR pts. 3500, 3520, Coal Leases, Diligent Development and Continuous Operations.	The EPA recommended a revision of the definition of "Diligent Development" to include a time specification. Additional recommendations called for a shortening of the time limit for an operator to have his lease included within an IMU to 1 year, and forfeiture of the lease when substantial noncompliance with the lease terms can be shown.	A
R-BLM-A02085-00...	Notices, Outer Continental Shelf Oil and Gas Leasing Schedule Through 1978.	EPA recommended that the proposed schedule be revised to more closely reflect recommendations contained in the Council on Environmental Quality's April 1974 assessment of OCS oil and gas development, developmental potential, and EPA's comments on the draft programmatic environmental impact statement for the 10,000,000-acre lease offering.	A
Department of Transportation: R-CGD-A99095-00...	33 CFR pt. 153, Oil and Hazardous Substances Liability.	EPA's comments expressed concern about how the proposed regulations could confuse existing reporting procedures and response actions.	A

- Peachtree Street NE., Atlanta, Georgia 30309.
- F. Director of Public Affairs, Region V, Environmental Protection Agency, 230 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois 60604.
- G. Director of Public Affairs, Region VI, Environmental Protection Agency, 1600 Patterson Street, Dallas, Texas 75201.
- H. Director of Public Affairs, Region VII, Environmental Protection Agency, 1735 Baltimore Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64108.
- I. Director of Public Affairs, Region VIII, Environmental Protection Agency, 1860 Lincoln Street, Denver, Colorado 80203.
- J. Director of Public Affairs, Region IX, Environmental Protection Agency, 100 California Street, San Francisco, California 94111.
- K. Director of Public Affairs, Region X, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101.

[FR Doc.75-5559 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

[FRL 340-8]

SCIENCE ADVISORY BOARD; NATIONAL AIR QUALITY CRITERIA ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Meeting

Pursuant to Pub. L. 92-463, notice is hereby given that a meeting of the National Air Quality Criteria Advisory Committee of the Science Advisory Board will be held at 9 a.m. on March 21, 1975 in Conference Room A (Room 1112), Crystal Mall Building No. 2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Virginia.

The purpose of the meeting will be (1) to consult the committee regarding the determination and documentation of adverse effects on the public health and welfare of nickel as an atmospheric pollutant; (2) to brief the committee on and to discuss certain aspects of the airborne lead problem and specifically (a) the anticipated impact of controlling stationary sources of airborne lead on reducing environmental contamination by lead, (b) on-going and planned EPA research on the health implications of airborne lead, and (c) recent research findings on the health implications of airborne lead; (3) to brief the committee on and to discuss EPA monitoring activities of atmospheric vinyl chloride; and (4) to discuss the future role of the committee and ways of assuring its effectiveness. The agenda will also include (5) brief reports or informational items of current interest to the members.

The meeting will be open to the public. Any member of the public wishing to attend or submit a paper should contact the Executive Secretary, Mr. Ernst Linde, Scientist Administrator, National Environmental Research Center, Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711.

The telephone number is (919) 549-8411, extension 2266.

WILSON K. TALLEY,
Assistant Administrator
for Research and Development.

FEBRUARY 28, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-5856 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

APPENDIX V—SOURCE FOR COPIES OF EPA COMMENTS

- A. Director, Office of Public Affairs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20460.
- B. Director of Public Affairs, Region I, Environmental Protection Agency, John F. Kennedy Federal Building, Boston, Massachusetts 02203.

- C. Director of Public Affairs, Region II, Environmental Protection Agency, 2 Federal Plaza, New York, New York 10007.
- D. Director of Public Affairs, Region III, Environmental Protection Agency, Curtis Building, 6th and Walnut Streets, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106.
- E. Director of Public Affairs, Region IV, Environmental Protection Agency, 1421

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
CANADIAN STANDARD BROADCAST STATIONS
List of New Stations**

List of new stations, proposed changes in existing stations, deletions, and corrections in assignments of Canadian standard broadcast stations modifying the assignments of Canadian broadcast stations contained in the Appendix to the Recommendations of the North American Regional Broadcasting Agreement Engineering Meeting January 30, 1941.

Canadian List No. 338

FEBRUARY 7, 1975.

Call letters	Location	Power kilowatts	Antenna	Schedule	Class	Antenna height (feet)	Ground system		Proposed date of commencement of operation
							Number of radials	Length (feet)	
CHFA (PO 690 kHz, 5 kW, DA-1, N. 53°27'20" W. 118°27'03")	Edmonton, Alberta, N. 53°24'23" W. 118°36'41"	10	690 kHz DA-1	U	II	E.I.O., 2-7-76.
CBF (PO 690 kHz, 50 kW, ND-238, 585, 120, 585, N. 45°49'13" W. 73°17'50")	Montreal, Quebec, N. 45°25'42" W. 73°26'58"	50	690 kHz ND-D-254.6 ND-N-226.3	U	I-A	1575	180	600	E.I.O., 2-7-76.
CFRG (PO 710 kHz and 1230 kHz)	Gravelbourg, Saskatchewan, N. 49°52'16" W. 106°28'19"	5	690 kHz DA-N ND-D-183	U	II	E.I.O., 2-7-76.
CFRG (vide: 690 kHz)	Gravelbourg, Saskatchewan, N. 49°52'20" W. 106°28'34"	5	710 kHz ND-198	D	II	245	120	554
CBM (PO 940 kHz, 80 kW, ND-246, 525, 120, 500, N. 45°26'09" W. 78°10'40")	Montreal, Quebec, N. 45°25'42" W. 73°26'58"	50	940 kHz DA-1	U	IB	E.I.O., 2-7-76.
CJMR (now in operation)	Mississauga, Ontario, N. 43°26'10" W. 79°43'06"	10	1190 kHz DA-D	D	II
CHFC (PO Fort Churchill, 1230 kHz, 0.25 kW, ND-150, N. 58°45'48" W. 94°04'42", inverted L antenna)	Churchill, Manitoba, N. 58°45'17" W. 94°05'39"	0.25	1290 kHz ND-180	U	IV	154	120	320	E.I.O. 2-7-76.
CFGR (vide: CFRG, 690 kHz)	Gravelbourg, Saskatchewan, N. 49°52'20" W. 106°28'34"	0.25	1290 kHz ND-200	N	IV	245	120	600
CKMK (now in operation)	Mackenzie, British Columbia, N. 55°20'48" W. 123°08'54"	ID/0.25N	1240 kHz ND-182	U	IV	150	120	318
(New)	Prince George, British Columbia, N. 53°54'10" W. 122°44'30"	0.25	1490 kHz ND-224	U	IV	298	120	300-800	E.I.O. 2-7-76.

¹ Sectionalized tower.

[SEAL]

WALLACE E. JOHNSON,
Chief, Broadcast Bureau, Federal Communications Commission.

FR Doc.75-5676 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

[Docket No. 20271]

**NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF
BROADCASTERS**

Order Extending Time

In the matter of an inquiry relating to preparation for a General World Administrative Radio Conference of the International Telecommunication Union to consider revision of the Radio Regulations.

The National Association of Broadcasters (NAB) has filed a petition for extension of time for filing reply comments in the above-captioned proceeding (40 FR 3245). The request is that the time be extended from February 28 to April 1, 1975.

In support of its request, NAB states that 35 days were allowed for filing comments, only 14 days were allowed for filing reply comments, but that the main task for broadcast interests is to respond to comments of non-broadcast interests who advocate changes which would adversely affect the broadcasting industry. The 14 days provided, it states, is inadequate for this task.

On considering this request, we have concluded that an extension is warranted but that time should be extended only to

March 17, 1975, rather than for the full period requested. We believe that the period, as thus extended, is ample, particularly in light of the preliminary nature of this proceeding. Further opportunities will be provided for interested parties to develop and express their positions in detail.

In view of the foregoing it is ordered, That the time for filing reply comments in this proceeding is extended to March 17, 1975.

Adopted: February 27, 1975.

Released: February 27, 1975.

[SEAL]

ASHTON R. HARDY,
General Counsel.

[FR Doc.75-5790 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

**FEDERAL ENERGY
ADMINISTRATION**

**GUIDELINES FOR ADJUSTMENTS AND
ASSIGNMENTS OF PROPANE AND BUTANE TO PURCHASERS**

Notice of Establishment; Correction

On January 25, 1975, the Federal Energy Administration issued a notice setting forth in an Appendix the "Guide-

lines for Adjustments and Assignments of Propane and Butane to Purchasers Whose Supplies of a Source of Energy Have Been or May Be Curtailed as Provided by 10 CFR § 211.12(h)" (40 FR 4485, January 30, 1975).

In subparagraph (b) (1) (B) of paragraph 3 of the Guidelines, reference was inadvertently made to 10 CFR § 211.12 (d) of the Mandatory Petroleum Allocation Regulations for the requirement that a user having an allocation level of one hundred percent of current requirements subject to an allocation fraction must certify its increased current requirements to its base period supplier. This reference should have been to 10 CFR § 211.13(d). Therefore, the guidelines are corrected by changing the reference in the second sentence of paragraph 3(b) (1) (B) from "10 CFR § 211.12 (d)" to "10 CFR § 211.13(d)."

Dated: February 28, 1975.

DAVID G. WILSON,
Acting General Counsel,
Federal Energy Administration.

[FR Doc.75-5855 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

**FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION
PORT OF PALM BEACH AND
BIRDSALL, INC.**

Notice of Agreement Filed

Notice is hereby given that the following agreement has been filed with the Commission for approval pursuant to section 15 of the Shipping Act, 1916, as amended (39 Stat. 733, 75 Stat. 763, 46 U.S.C. 814).

Interested parties may inspect and obtain a copy of the agreement at the Washington office of the Federal Maritime Commission, 1100 L Street, NW, Room 10126; or may inspect the agreement at the Field Offices located at New York, N.Y., New Orleans, Louisiana, San Francisco, California, and Old San Juan, Puerto Rico. Comments on such agreements, including requests for hearing, may be submitted to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C. 20573, on or before March 25, 1975. Any person desiring a hearing on the proposed agreement shall provide a clear and concise statement of the matters upon which they desire to adduce evidence. An allegation of discrimination or unfairness shall be accompanied by a statement describing the discrimination or unfairness with particularity. If a violation of the Act or detriment to the commerce of the United States is alleged, the statement shall set forth with particularity the acts and circumstances said to constitute such violation or detriment to commerce.

A copy of any such statement should also be forwarded to the party filing the agreement (as indicated hereinafter) and the statement should indicate that this has been done.

Notice of Agreement Filed by:

Mr. Dwight Green
Traffic Consultant
Port of Palm Beach
P.O. Box 9935
Riviera Beach, Florida 33404

Agreement No. T-3062, between the Port of Palm Beach District (Port) and Birdsall, Inc., (Birdsall), provides for Birdsall's sale to Port of certain truck weighing scales at Palm Beach, Florida, in exchange for Birdsall's free use of said scales for a seven-year period. As compensation for its sale of the scales, Birdsall shall receive a sum of \$1,000.

By Order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

Dated: February 27, 1975.

**FRANCIS C. HURNEY,
Secretary.**

[FR Doc. 75-5817 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket No. G-5999, et al.]

**ANADARKO PRODUCTION CO.
(OPERATOR), ET AL.**

Applications for Certificates, Abandonment of Service and Petitions To Amend Certificates¹

FEBRUARY 19, 1975.

Take notice that each of the applicants listed herein has filed an application or petition pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act for authorization to sell natural gas in interstate commerce or to abandon service as described herein, all as more fully described in the respective applications and amendments which are on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said applications should on or before March 12, 1975, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, petitions to intervene or protests in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appro-

¹This notice does not provide for consolidation for hearing of the several matters covered herein.

appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Persons wishing to become parties to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file petitions to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on all applications in which no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein if the Commission on its own review of the matter believes that a grant of the certificates or the authorization for the proposed abandonment is required by the public convenience and necessity. Where a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or where the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for applicants to appear or be represented at the hearing.

**KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.**

Docket No. and date filed	Applicant	Purchaser and location	Price per thousand cubic feet	Pressure base
G-5999-1-17-75 ¹	Anadarko Production Co. (operator), et al., P.O. Box 9317, Fort Worth, Tex. 76107.	Northern Natural Gas Co. Hugoton and Panoma Council Grove Fields, Stevens County, Kans.		
G-5999-1-17-75 ¹	Anadarko Production Co. (operator), et al.	Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co. Panoma Council Grove Field, Seward County, Kans.		
G-13138-E 1-27-75	PGP Gas Products, Inc. (successor to Atlantic Richfield Co.) P.O. Box 3669, Odessa, Tex. 79760.	Northern Natural Gas Co. Imperial Gas Processing Plant, Peos County, Tex.	\$ 22.64	14.65
CI62-28-1-17-75 ¹	Anadarko Production Co. (operator), et al.	Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co. Panoma Council Grove Field, Seward County, Kans.		
CI65-1286-C 1-23-75	PWG Partnership 1700 Mercantile Bank Bldg., Dallas, Tex. 75201.	El Paso Natural Gas Co. Basin Dakota Field, Rio Arriba County, N. Mex.	\$ 28.0 \$ 28.0 \$ 50.0 \$ 51.0	15.025 15.025 15.025 15.025
CI69-766-2-5-75 ¹	Sohio Petroleum Co., 1100 Penn Tower, Oklahoma City, Okla. 73118.	Kansas-Nebraskas Natural Gas Co., Inc., Lost Cabin Field, Fremont County, Wyo.		
CI69-849-2-5-75 ¹	Sohio Petroleum Co.	Colorado Interstate Gas Co., a Division of Colorado Interstate Corp. Madden Field, Fremont and Natrona Counties, Wyo.		
CI72-233-1-17-75 ¹	Anadarko Production Co. (operator), et al., P.O. Box 9317, Fort Worth, Tex. 76107.	Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co., Panoma Council Grove Field, Seward County, Kans.		
CI72-260-1-17-75 ¹	Anadarko Production Co. (operator), et al.	Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co. Acreage in Stevens County, Kans.		
CI75-165-C 1-30-75	Chevron Oil Co., Western Division, P.O. Box 599, Denver, Colo. 80201.	Mississippi River Transmission Co., Mills Ranch (Hanton) Field, Wheeler County, Tex.	\$ 51.0	14.73

Filing code: A—Initial Service.
B—Abandonment.
C—Amendment to add acreage.
D—Amendment to delete acreage.
E—Total Succession.
F—Partial Succession.

Docket No. and date filed	Applicant	Purchaser and location	Price per thousand cubic feet	Pressure base
CI75-384 C 1-27-75	American Petrofina Co. of Texas, P.O. Box 2159, Dallas Tex. 75221.	El Paso Natural Gas Co., Sand Hills Field, Crane County, Tex.	\$ 54.875	14.65
CI75-430 (G-16069) B 1-22-75	Joseph M. Jones (operator), et al., 226 Baronne St., New Orleans, La. 70112	Texas Gas Transmission Corp., North Cankton Field, St. Landry Parish, La.	depleted	
CI75-441 A 1-27-75	Colorado Oil & Gas Corp., Five Greenway Plaza East, Houston, Tex. 77046.	Colorado Interstate Gas Co., a Division of Colorado Interstate Corp., Madden Deep Unit, Fremont County, Wyo.	\$ 55.1337	14.65
CI75-443 (C871-727) F 1-28-75	Sun Oil Co. (successor to Pelto Oil Co. Division of Southdown, Inc.), P.O. Box 2830, Dallas, Tex. 75221.	Texas Gas Transmission Corp., Ship Shoal Block 23, offshore Terrebonne Parish, La.	\$ 31.1125	15.025
CI75-445 A 1-30-75	HNG Oil Co., P.O. Box 2267, Midland, Tex. 79701.	Natural Gas Pipeline Co. of America, Everts Field Area, Loving and Winkler Counties, Tex.	\$ 17.3021	14.65
CI75-446 A 1-31-75	Mesa Petroleum Co., P.O. Box 2099, Amarillo, Tex. 79106.	Transwestern Pipeline Co., Potash Eddy Field, Eddy County, N. Mex.	\$ 80.0	14.65
CI75-447 A 2-3-75	Amoco Production Co., P.O. Box 3092, Houston, Tex. 77001.	Natural Gas Pipeline Co. of America, Seven Oaks Field, Polk County, Tex.	\$ 60.7580	14.65
CI75-448 A 2-3-75	Amoco Production Co.	do.	\$ 60.7580	14.65
CI75-449 A 2-3-75	Gulf Oil Corp., P.O. Box 1639, Tulsa, Okla. 74102.	El Paso Natural Gas Co., A-B-M Field, Upton County, Tex.	\$ 74.9286	14.73
CI75-451 A 2-3-75	Exxon Corp., P.O. Box 2180, Houston, Tex. 77001.	El Paso Natural Gas Co., Cooper Area, Lea County, N. Mex.	\$ 55.20	14.73
CI75-452 (C161-1146) F 1-29-75	Branda Oil Co. (successor to J. N. Ingraham), 3250 Liberty Tower, Oklahoma City, Okla. 73102.	Michigan-Wisconsin Pipe Line Co., NE Seiling Field, Major County, Okla.	\$ 21.05	14.65
CI75-453 (C163-647) F 1-29-75	Branda Oil Co. (successor to Cities Service Oil Co.).	do.	\$ 21.05	14.65
CI75-454 (C161-1261) F 1-29-75	Branda Oil Co. (successor to Mobil Oil Corp.), 3250 Liberty Tower, Oklahoma City Okla. 73102.	Michigan-Wisconsin Pipe Line Co., NE Seiling Field, Major County, Okla.	\$ 21.05	14.65
CI75-456 (C872-739) F 1-31-75	Exchange Oil & Gas Corp. (successor to Union Texas Petroleum, a division of Allied Chemical Corp.), 16th floor, 1010 Common St., New Orleans, La 70112.	Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corp., Orange Grove Field, Terrebonne Parish, La.	\$ 71.1125	15.025
CI75-457 (G-4582) B 1-30-75	Sohio Petroleum Co. 1100 Penn Tower, Oklahoma City, Okla. 73115.	Texas Eastern Transmission Corp., Delhi Field, Richland, Madison, and Franklin Parishes, La.	Contract expired. ¹⁴	
CI75-458 A 13-075	Atlantic Richfield Co., P.O. Box 2819, Dallas, Tex. 75221.	United Gas Pipe Line Co., Willow Springs Field, Gregg County, Tex.	\$ 55.24	17.65

[Docket No. CP73-131]
EL PASO NATURAL GAS CO.
Scheduling of Oral Argument

FEBRUARY 26, 1975.

The Commission has before it the initial decision of the Presiding Administrative Law Judge issued June 21, 1974, the briefs on exceptions, and the briefs opposing exceptions, in the above-designated matter.

Take notice that an oral argument is scheduled to be heard by the Commission *en banc* commencing at 9:30 a.m. (e.d.t.) on March 13, 1975, in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, Washington, D.C. 20426.

All participants in this proceeding who desire to present oral argument shall notify the Secretary of the Commission in writing, on or before March 6, 1975, of the amount of time requested for presentation of their respective oral arguments.

By direction of the Commission.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-5750 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP-67-9, and Area Rate Proceeding, Docket Nos. AR61-1, et al. and AR-64-1, et al.]

EL PASO NATURAL GAS CO.
Notice of Report of Refunds

FEBRUARY 26, 1975.

Take notice that on February 3, 1975, El Paso Natural Gas (El Paso) submitted to the Commission a report of refunds received from its producer-suppliers during the period January 1, 1973, through December 31, 1974. El Paso's report states that during the period January 1, 1973, through December 31, 1974, El Paso has received refunds aggregating \$42,630,239.86 from certain Southern Division System producer-suppliers, which refunds have been retained by El Paso and commingled with its corporate funds in accordance with Article IV of its Stipulations and Agreements in Docket Nos. G-4769 et al., and RP-67-9.

With respect to Northwest Division System refunds pending flow through, El Paso states that it had received from Northwest Division System producer-supplies' refunds aggregating \$125,596.-43, applicable to periods prior to January 31, 1974. El Paso further states that on October 11, 1974, it flowed through to its former Northwest Division System customers the jurisdictional portion of said \$125,596.43 refunds and on November 14, 1974, reported such disposition to the Commission.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol

- ¹ Amendment to redesignate Anadarko as the operator in lieu of William H. Chamberlain, d.b.a. Saturn Oil & Gas Co. (operator), et al., for continuation of same service.
- ² Includes 0.76¢/Mcf upward Btu adjustment.
- ³ Subject to upward and downward Btu adjustment.
- ⁴ Rate for gas from date of first delivery (December 1973) to Dec. 31, 1973.
- ⁵ Rate for gas from Jan. 1, 1974, to June 21, 1974.
- ⁶ Rate for gas from June 22, 1974 to Dec. 31, 1974.
- ⁷ Rate for gas after Jan. 1, 1975.
- ⁸ Applicant requests that the order issuing the certificate be amended by deleting authorization for sales from other than applicant's interests. Applicant states that it is no longer operator of the producing properties.
- ⁹ Subject to upward Btu adjustment.
- ¹⁰ Includes 2.6254¢/Mcf upward Btu adjustment and 1.7853¢/Mcf tax adjustment.
- ¹¹ Includes 0.2625¢/Mcf downward Btu adjustment and 0.0646¢/Mcf tax reimbursement.
- ¹² Applicant is willing to accept a certificate in accordance with Opinion No. 699.
- ¹³ Includes 5.9223¢/Mcf upward Btu adjustment.
- ¹⁴ Includes 4.1351¢/Mcf production taxes and 19.7935¢/Mcf upward Btu adjustment.
- ¹⁵ Subject to upward and downward Btu adjustment; estimated upward adjustment is 4.25¢/Mcf.
- ¹⁶ Subject to upward Btu adjustment; estimated adjustment is 0.0153¢/Mcf.
- ¹⁷ Includes tax reimbursement and is subject to downward Btu adjustment.
- ¹⁸ Sales will be continued under the contract of the operator, Sun Oil Co. FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 35.

[FR Doc.75-5575 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-8855 and E-9037]

BOSTON EDISON CO.
Postponement of Hearing

FEBRUARY 26, 1975.

Take notice that due to a schedule conflict of the Presiding Administrative Law Judge, the hearing date in the above-designated matter fixed by notice issued February 14, 1975, is extended to March 18, 1975, at 10 a.m. (e.d.t.).

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-5748 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. RP74-82, RP74-81]

COLUMBIA GAS TRANSMISSION CORP.,
COLUMBIA GULF TRANSMISSION CO.

Postponement of Hearing

FEBRUARY 26, 1975.

Take notice that due to a schedule conflict of the Presiding Administrative Law Judge, the hearing date in the above-designated matter, fixed by notice issued September 27, 1974, is extended to March 18, 1975, at 10 a.m. (e.d.t.).

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-5749 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before March 7, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-5751 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. CP74-35]

EXXON PIPELINE COMPANY OF CALIFORNIA

Notice of Extension of Time

FEBRUARY 27, 1975.

On February 13, 1975, the United States Department of Commerce filed a motion to extend the time for filing comments to the draft environmental impact statement in the above designated matter.

Upon consideration, notice is hereby given that pursuant to § 2.81(b) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, the time for comments in the above matter is extended to and including March 7, 1975.

By direction of the Commission.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-5752 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP75-20]

MISSISSIPPI RIVER TRANSMISSION CORP.

Notice of Extension of Procedural Dates

FEBRUARY 27, 1975.

On February 21, 1975, Staff Counsel filed a motion to extend the procedural dates fixed by order issued October 31, 1974, in the above-designated matter. The motion states that the parties have been notified and have no objection.

Upon consideration, notice is hereby given that the procedural dates in the above matter are modified as follows:

Service of staff's testimony.	Apr. 15, 1975.
Service of intervenors testimony.	May 6, 1975.
Service of company rebuttal.	May 20, 1975.
Hearing	June 3, 1975 (10 a.m. e.d.t.).

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-5753 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP71-125; PGA75-7]

NATURAL GAS PIPELINE COMPANY OF AMERICA

Notice of PGA Filing To Track a Pipeline Supplier Rate Increase

FEBRUARY 26, 1975.

Take notice that on February 21, 1975, Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America (Natural) submitted for filing as part of its FPC Gas Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 1, Twentieth Revised Sheet No. 5, to be effective March 1, 1975.

Natural states the filing was made pursuant to the provisions of section 18, Purchased Gas Cost Adjustment, of the General Terms and Conditions of its FPC Gas Tariff, to track the increased cost of gas purchased, effective March 1, 1975, from United Gas Pipe Line Company, a pipeline supplier to Natural. United's filing was made on February 21, 1975 to track the effect of United of the Uniform National Rate approved by the Commission in Opinion No. 699-H.

Natural states that as notice of the supplier filing was not received by Natural in time to permit it to meet the 45 day filing requirement of its PGA tariff provision, it requests that that provision be waived to permit Natural's PGA unit adjustment to become effective March 1, 1975.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said application should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before March 11, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this application are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-5754 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP74-88]

NORTH PENN GAS CO.

Notice of Further Extension of Time

FEBRUARY 26, 1975.

On January 31, 1975, North Penn Gas Co. filed a motion to extend the procedural dates fixed by order issued June 28, 1974, as most recently modified by notice issued January 8, 1975, in the above-designated matter.

Upon consideration, notice is hereby given that the procedural dates in the above matter are modified as follows:

Service of intervenor's testimony.	Apr. 3, 1975.
Service of company rebuttal.	Apr. 16, 1975.
Hearing	May 6, 1975 (10 a.m. e.d.t.).

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 75-5755 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP75-63]

PACIFIC GAS TRANSMISSION CO.

Notice of Tariff Change

FEBRUARY 26, 1975.

Take notice that on February 12, 1974, Pacific Gas Transmission Company (PGT) tendered for filing certain new and revised Tariff Sheets to be included in its FPC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 1. These tariff sheets are:

Second Revised Sheet No. 4
Second Revised Sheet No. 14
Original Sheet No. 14A
Original Sheet No. 14B

PGT states that the purpose of these sheets is to permit it to include advances to suppliers in its rate base.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before March 10, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-5756 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RI75-111]

PHILLIPS PETROLEUM CO.

Hearing on and Suspension of Proposed Change in Rate, and Allowing Rate Change To Become Effective Subject to Refund

FEBRUARY 18, 1975.

Respondent has filed a proposed change in rate and charge for the jurisdictional sale of natural gas, as set forth in Appendix A hereof.

The proposed changed rate and charge may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The Commission finds. It is in the public interest and consistent with the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter

NOTICES

upon a hearing regarding the lawfulness of the proposed change, and that the supplement herein be suspended and its use be deferred as ordered below.

The Commission orders. (A) Under the Natural Gas Act, particularly sections 4 and 15, the Regulations pertaining thereto [18 CFR, Chapter I], and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a public hearing shall be held concerning the lawfulness of the proposed change.

(B) Pending hearing and decision thereon, the rate supplement herein is suspended and its use deferred until date shown in the "Date Suspended Until" column. This supplement shall become effective, subject to refund, as of the expiration of the suspension period without any further action by the Respondent or by the Commission. Respondent shall comply with the refunding procedure required by the Natural Gas Act and § 154.102 of the regulations thereunder.

(C) Unless otherwise ordered by the Commission, neither the suspended supplement, nor the rate schedule sought to be altered, shall be changed until disposition of this proceeding or expiration of the suspension period, whichever is earlier.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

APPENDIX A

Docket No.	Respondent	Rate schedule No.	Supplement No.	Purchaser and producing area	Amount of annual increase	Date filing tendered	Effective date unless suspended	Date suspended until—	Cents per thousand cubic feet ¹		Rate in effect subject to refund in docket numbers
									Rate in effect	Proposed increased rate	
RI75-111...	Phillips Petroleum Co....	279	24	Northwest Pipeline Corp. (Hogback, area, Sublette and Lincoln Counties, Wyo.), (Rocky Mountain area).	\$1,997,160	1-20-75	7-20-75	\$25.25	\$61.0	RI73-91.

¹ Unless otherwise stated, the pressure base is 14.73 lb/in² a.

² Base rate—exclusive of adjustments.

The proposed rate increase of Phillips exceeds the applicable rate in Opinion No. 658 and is suspended for five months.

[FR Doc.75-5649 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. CI61-104, CI68-1146, and CI70-102]

SHELL OIL CO.

Order Setting Date for Prehearing Conference and Granting Interventions

FEBRUARY 27, 1975.

On December 16, 1974, Shell Oil Company (Shell) filed a petition for the release to it of certain funds held in escrow in Docket Nos. CI61-104, CI68-1146, and CI70-102.

The escrow fund resulted from the jurisdictional sale by Shell of casinghead gas from the Disputed Zone in Southern Louisiana. This controversy was settled in favor of the United States in 1971 by *United States v. Louisiana*.¹ Prior to this resolution, however, part of the rate Shell was authorized by the Commission to charge Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company (Tennessee) and United Gas Pipe Line Company (United) was held in escrow to cover a potential liability for Louisiana state tax should the subject acreage ultimately be determined to be within the taxing jurisdiction of the State of Louisiana.

The sale in Docket No. CI61-104 to Tennessee was authorized by a temporary certificate issued November 7, 1963. The initial rate was 20 cents per Mcf, of which .5 cent per Mcf was placed in the escrow account pending settlement of the boundary dispute. According to the terms of the temporary certificate, in the event the property were determined to be in the Federal Domain, Tennessee would re-

ceive all funds deposited in the escrow account. Prior to the issuance of the temporary certificate, a petition to intervene was filed in this docket by the Long Island Lighting Company (Long Island), and notices of intervention were filed by the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission and the Public Service Commission of the State of New York (NYPSC).

Docket No. CI68-1146 concerns a sale to United pursuant to a temporary certificate issued May 27, 1968, which provided for an initial price of 20 cents per Mcf, 1.5 cents per Mcf of which was to be placed in an escrow account. In the event the differential between the just and reasonable rate determined for the Southern Louisiana taxing jurisdiction and the Federal Domain was less than 1.5 cents per Mcf then the volume delivered by the reduction in the differential. The just and reasonable rates in Opinion No. 598² established a differential of only one cent per Mcf. At the time of the issuance of the temporary certificate petitions to intervene had been filed by Long Island and Brooklyn Union Gas Company (Brooklyn Union), and a notice of intervention was filed by NY PSC.

In Docket No. CI70-102 Shell was permitted, by a temporary certificate issued August 29, 1969, to sell both gas well and casinghead gas to United at an initial rate of 20.0 and 18.5 cents per Mcf, respectively, with 1.5 cents per Mcf held in escrow pending the settlement of the

jurisdictional issue. The temporary certificate also provides that if the sale is determined to have been made in the Federal Domain, Shell would be entitled only to the 1.5 cents per Mcf held in escrow as the result of the sale of gas well gas. However, only casinghead gas was the subject of the sale. A notice of intervention at the time of the issuance of the temporary certificate was filed by NYPSC, and petitions to intervene were filed by Long Island, Brooklyn Union, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., and Philadelphia Gas Works Division of UGI Corporation (PGW).

Notice of the December 16, 1974, Shell petition was issued on January 8, 1975, and appeared in the FEDERAL REGISTER on January 14, 1975, at 40 FR 2622. Interventions were filed by Tennessee and United. A notice of intervention was filed late by NYPSC, and a hearing was requested.

The arguments presented by Shell in its petition in favor of releasing the escrowed funds to it parallel those made by the producers in Kerr-McGee Corporation, et al., Docket Nos. CI67-1594, et al., Order Conditionally Accepting Settlement Proposal, issued October 29, 1974.³ In both proceedings it has been contended that because Opinion No. 598 set the just and reasonable rates for the disputed offshore area above the rate actually collected, the producer was entitled to the escrow fund. This assertion

¹ Mobil Oil Corp. v. F.P.C., ---- U.S. ----, 94 S. Ct. 2328 (June 10, 1974).

² ---- FPO ---- (October 20, 1974), petition for rehearing denied, ---- FPO ---- (December 20, 1974).

³ 404 U.S. 388 (1971).

was contested in Kerr-McGee by certain distribution companies, the Staff, NYPSC, and PGW.

It was not necessary for us to decide the question of ownership of the escrow fund in Kerr-McGee because we concurred in the agreement reached by the parties, subject to certain conditions embodied in our order of October 29, 1974, that the settlement proposed as a resolution of the controversy was more in the public interest than protracted litigation over the question of entitlement to the escrow fund.¹ The settlement provided that the \$23 million in the escrow fund would be augmented by the contribution of producer capital on a three for two matching basis to produce an exploration and development fund of approximately \$57.5 million. This amount would be expended on producer owned leases to explore for and develop gas reserves, primarily for the benefit of the subject pipeline.²

The similarity between the Kerr-McGee proceeding and the instant petition recommends to us that the same procedural steps be followed in seeking a resolution of the controversy over ownership to the escrow fund. Accordingly, it is in the public interest that the Shell petition be set for a prehearing conference.

The Commission finds. (1) It is necessary and in the public interest that the above-docketed proceeding be set for hearing.

(2) It is desirable and in the public interest to allow Long Island, Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, NYPSC, Brooklyn Union, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, PGW, Tennessee, and United to intervene in this proceeding.

The Commission orders. (A) Pursuant to the authority of the Natural Gas Act, a public hearing shall be held concerning the issues presented herein.

(B) On March 18, 1975, a prehearing conference shall be held in accordance with § 1.18 of the rules of practice and procedure to resolve the issues herein in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C., at 10 a.m. (e.d.t.).

(C) A Presiding Administrative Law Judge, to be designated by the Chief Administrative Law Judge for that purpose shall convene the prehearing conference in the proceeding.

(D) The Administrative Law Judge may in his discretion grant recesses from time to time if he deems a settlement or submission of the issues upon stipulated facts to be possible. If no stipulation or settlement can be reached by the parties hereto after reasonable time and provisions have been made to the

¹ *Id.* at mimeo 7-8.

² *Id.* at mimeo 15. Some of the gas found as the result of the use of money attributable to Southern Natural Gas Company would be sold to that company's pipeline affiliate rather than to Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation.

same, the Presiding Administrative Law Judge shall establish the time for the submission of other evidence by any party desiring so to do, and the commencement of the hearing, and shall prescribe relevant procedural matters not herein provided.

(E) The above-named petitioners are permitted to intervene in this proceeding subject to the rules and regulations of the Commission; *Provided, however,* That the participation of such intervenors shall be limited to matters affecting asserted rights and interests as specifically set forth in said petitions for leave to intervene; and *provided, further,* That the admission of such interests shall not be construed as recognition by the Commission that such intervenors might be aggrieved because of any order or orders of the Commission entered in this proceeding.

BY THE COMMISSION.

[SEAL]

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-5757 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP72-91 (Phase II), et al.]

SOUTHERN NATURAL GAS CO.

Notice of Further Postponement of Hearing

FEBRUARY 26, 1975.

On February 24, 1975, Southern Natural Gas Co. filed a motion to extend the hearing date fixed by order issued July 19, 1974, as most recently modified by notice issued January 2, 1975, in the above-designated matter. The motion states that the parties have been notified and have no objection.

Upon consideration, notice is hereby given that the hearing date in the above matter is postponed until April 21, 1975, (10 a.m. e.d.t.).

MARY B. KIDD,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-5758 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. RI75-90, et al.]

Correction

TENNECO OIL CO., ET AL.

FEBRUARY 13, 1975.

In the Order Providing for Hearing on and Suspension of Proposed Changes in Rates, and Allowing Rate Changes to Become Effective Subject to Refund issued December 31, 1974 and Published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on January 10, 1975 40 FR 2282, Appendix "A" Page 2284, Docket No. RI75-93, *Continental Oil Company*, Change footnote reference "2" to "8". Page 2284, footnote reference 8 please change from "Includes applicable tax and Btu adjustments" to read "Rate includes applicable tax and is subject to Btu adjustment".

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-5759 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. RP75-3 and RP74-48]

TRANSCONTINENTAL GAS PIPE LINE CORP.

Notice of Further Extension of Procedural Dates

FEBRUARY 27, 1975.

On February 20, 1975, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation filed a motion to extend the procedural dates fixed by order issued August 30, 1974, as most recently modified by notice issued January 6, 1975, in the above-designated matter. The motion states that the parties have been notified and have no objection.

Upon consideration, notice is hereby given that the procedural dates in the above matter are modified as follows:

Service of intervenor's testimony.	Mar. 27, 1975.
Service of company rebuttal.	Apr. 11, 1975.
Hearing	Apr. 22, 1975 (10 a.m. e.s.t.).

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-5760 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. OP73-211]

TRANSWESTERN COAL GASIFICATION CO., ET AL

Scheduling of Oral Argument

FEBRUARY 26, 1975.

The Commission has before it the initial decision of the Presiding Administrative Law Judge issued June 13, 1974, the briefs on exceptions, and the briefs opposing exceptions, in the above-designated matter.

Take notice that an oral argument is scheduled to be heard by the Commission en banc commencing at 9:30 a.m. (e.s.t.) on March 14, 1975, in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 525 North Capitol Street, Washington, D.C. 20426.

All participants in this proceeding who desire to present oral argument shall notify the Secretary of the Commission in writing, on or before March 7, 1975, of the amount of time requested for presentation of their respective oral arguments.

By direction of the Commission.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-5761 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. R-472; Order No. 523]

STATEMENTS AND REPORTS (SCHEDULES)

Schedule 1A to F.P.C. Form No. 16, Report of Gas Supply and Requirements

FEBRUARY 6, 1975.

By notice issued July 25, 1974, and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on July 31, 1974 (39 FR 27735), in Docket

No. R-472, pursuant to section 553 of Title 5 of the U.S. Code and section 16 of the Natural Gas Act (52 Stat. 830 (15 U.S.C. 7178)), the Commission proposed to amend § 260.12 of Part 260, Statements and Reports (Schedules) Subchapter G-Approved Forms, Natural Gas Act, Chapter 1, Title 18 of the Code of Federal Regulations, to add six new schedules to FPC Form No. 16, Report of Supply and Requirements.

By Order No. 489 issued August 24, 1973, in Docket No. R-472 (50 FPC 561), the Commission promulgated § 260.12 to prescribe FPC Form No. 16, Report of Supply and Requirements, to be filed by natural gas pipeline companies making sales in interstate commerce of natural gas for resale. The schedules that are now in Form No. 16 show system-wide summary information concerning pipeline company supply, requirements and curtailments, by months, for one year of actual experience and one year of projections. The proposed additional schedules in Form No. 16, as noticed, were designed to provide the Commission with detailed information on the impact of gas shortages on the individual distributors and the direct industrial customers of the pipelines as well as on the customers of the distributors. Additionally, they were to provide information concerning the impact of the curtailments on alternate fuels and assist the Commission in cooperating with the Federal Energy Administration (FEA) in comprehending the effect of the gas shortage on the national economy.

Proposed new Schedule 1A would have required the pipelines to furnish information showing their deliveries, curtailments and requirements, customer-by-customer, by states, each month for a year prior to the filing of the report. It would require such details concerning each customer of the pipeline receiving from the pipeline 100,000 Mcf or more of gas per year and combined data for the remainder. A similar schedule was to require the data projected month-by-month for one year subsequent to the filing of the form.

Proposed Schedule 1B would have required the pipelines to report for each curtailed distributor receiving normally 100,000 Mcf or more of gas per year (remainder combined), the names of the large customers curtailed by the distributor, month-by-month, with volumes, locations, end-use, SIC code¹ and alternate fuel information for each such large customer. Similar information was to be projected month-by-month for one year in the future.

Proposed Schedule 1C would have required the pipelines to furnish end-use, location, SIC code and alternate fuel information for each large direct customer curtailed by the pipeline, actual and projected for one year.

The notice of July 25, 1974, also proposed elimination of FPC Form No. 17

because the proposed new schedules in Form No. 16 would have duplicated the information filed monthly in Form No. 17.

Twenty-nine responses to the notice of proposed rulemaking have been received² with a majority of the comments originating from gas distributors and interstate natural gas pipeline companies. There was little opposition by pipelines to proposed Schedule 1A covering pipeline information, other than requests for definitions and clarifications, which are provided in Appendix C hereto. The major opposition centered on proposed Schedule 1B which covers curtailments by the distributors that are customers of the pipelines. The pipelines would be required to obtain the information from the distributors.

Of the 15 distributors that filed comments, 12 opposed Schedule 1B, 2 favored the entire proposal and one was not opposed. Associated Gas Distributors (AGD) opposed Schedule 1B. Of the 9 pipelines that filed comments, opposition was registered against Schedule 1B and in some cases against Schedules 1A and 1C. Two pipelines were not opposed to any of the schedules. Interstate Natural Gas Association of America (INGAA) opposed proposed Schedules 1B and 1C. The Public Utility Commission of Ohio opposed Schedule 1B unless the data to be reported is more clearly defined and verified. Southern California Edison Co. favored the proposed new schedules and the Good Samaritan Hospital of Lebanon, Pennsylvania, feared that the increased reporting costs of its gas supplier would ultimately raise its hospital costs. There was no opposition to the proposal to eliminate Form No. 17.

Many of the respondents raised problems concerning the difficulty of obtaining the information from their customers, the legality of the FPC's collecting data from distributors and the burden of collecting and furnishing the data, among others. We have thoroughly considered these arguments against collecting the data.

Pursuant to notice issued November 12, 1974, a public meeting was held in this proceeding on November 18, 1974, concerning coordination of the proposed revisions to Form No. 16 with FEA. Representatives of FEA, the Commission, and 24 other organizations participated in the meeting. A transcript of the conference was made for the public record. Essentially the same contentions were made in the public meeting by the pipelines and distributors as those contained in their written comments. At the meeting, FEA expressed a desire to obtain from gas distributors historical data on their curtailments, end-uses, and alternate fuels of their customers back to April 1, 1971, to make comparisons with base year fuels data; to require reporting not only to the state level but also

to the county level; to require updates on a monthly basis; to require projections of distributor curtailments on a normal winter basis and on a colder than normal variation; to provide definitions to leave as little room as possible for misinterpretation; and to share with the Commission the work load and costs of obtaining, processing and analyzing of the data. FEA also suggested the use of its authority by the FPC to collect the data from the distributors.

It was proposed that a working committee be established consisting of members of the staffs of the Commission, FEA and the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (NARUC) to formulate the expanded forms. Subsequent to the meeting, additional written comments were submitted by the respondents listed in Appendix B hereto. These comments urge postponement of any action in this proceeding, as noticed July 25, 1974, until agreement is reached among the Commission, FEA, and NARUC on the expanded information desired by FEA, with the proceeding then renoticed based on such changes.

Since FEA is primarily concerned with collecting alternate fuel and end use data from distributors and their customers and the pipelines' ultimate consumers, we shall at this time promulgate only proposed new Schedule 1A which involves data to be collected only from the interstate pipelines regarding the requirements and curtailments of their customers for one year of actual experience and one year of projections.³ The distributor data proposed in Schedule 1B and the pipeline direct consumer data proposed in Schedule 1C shall be proposed at a future time in another proceeding to encompass the expanded information desired by FEA; and the instant proceeding, as noticed July 25, 1974, shall be concluded. Additionally, the proposal to eliminate the requirement to file Form No. 17 shall be postponed pending the disposition of the future expanded proceeding. The additional information concerning pipeline requirements, deliveries and curtailments in Schedule 1A will assist the Commission in its handling of curtailment proceedings and provide additional essential information to the public.

The Commission finds.

(1) The notice and opportunity to participate in this proceeding through the submission in writing of data, views, comments, and suggestions in the manner described above and by participation in

³With respect to proposed Schedule 1A, United Gas Pipe Line Company requests that it be modified to report customer-by-customer data only for those customers (with requirements of 100,000 Mcf per year or more) that either were curtailed or are projected to be curtailed on a regular basis in the year ahead. We shall not grant this request since we believe it would provide an incomplete and inadequate picture of the pipeline company's handling of its shortage situation.

¹Standard Industrial Classification, issued by the Office of Management and Budget.

²A list of respondents is in Appendix A to this order.

the public meeting heretofore described are consistent and in accordance with the procedural requirements in 5 U.S.C. 553.

(2) Schedule 1A as set forth in Appendix C⁴ hereto is necessary and appropriate in carrying out the provisions of the Natural Gas Act.

(3) The proposed Schedule 1A herein promulgated will provide the Commission periodically with information showing deliveries, curtailments, and requirements, customer-by-customer, by states, of natural gas pipeline companies making sales in interstate commerce of natural gas for resale. The customer-by-customer data shall be for those receiving 100,000 Mcf per year or more of gas from the reporting pipeline with combined data for the remaining customers.

The Commission, acting pursuant to authority granted by the Natural Gas Act, as amended, particularly sections 10 and 16 thereof (52 Stat. 826, 15 U.S.C. 7171; 52 Stat. 830 (15 U.S.C. 7170)), and in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 553, orders:

(A) Effective March 14, 1975, § 260.12 of Part 260, Statements and Reports (Schedules), Subchapter G—Approved Forms, Natural Gas Act, Chapter 1, Title 18 of the Code of Federal Regulations, is amended by promulgating Schedule 1A to FPC Form No. 16, Report of Supply and Requirements.

(B) Schedule 1A to FPC Form No. 16, Report of Gas Supply and Requirements, is adopted in the form set forth in Appendix C hereto.

(C) The proceeding in the instant docket, as noticed July 25, 1974, is concluded.

(D) The Secretary shall cause prompt publication of this order to be made in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-5765 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

U.S. INFORMATION AGENCY

U.S. Advisory Commission on Information
USIA MAGAZINES

Meeting

FEBRUARY 27, 1975.

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), notice is hereby given of a meeting to be held on April 7, 1975. The session will commence at 9:15 a.m. in Room 660 at 1776 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. There will be an examination of U.S. Information Agency (USIA) magazines in Washington and a presentation of USIA magazines produced by the Agency's overseas posts. The agenda of the meeting follows:

1. An Overview of USIA's Magazines
2. USIA's Overseas Magazines
3. USIA Magazines Produced by Washington Headquarters:

⁴ Appendices A, B, and C filed as part of original document.

- a. America Illustrated
- b. Horizons USA
- c. Dialogue
- d. Problems of Communism
- e. Economic Impact
- f. Al-Majal
- g. Topic

This session will be open to the general public. Persons wishing to attend the Commission's meeting should contact Mr. Louis T. Olom, Staff Director, U.S. Advisory Commission on Information, Room 1008, 1750 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20547, telephone 632-5210, so that adequate space will be assured. Written statements concerning topics set forth in the agenda should also be submitted to Mr. Olom.

MARGARET J. MILLER,
Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc.75-5808 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[337-40]

ELECTRONIC FLASH DEVICES

Postponement of Hearing

On February 27, 1975, the U.S. International Trade Commission postponed indefinitely the public hearing scheduled for February 28, 1975, in connection with investigation No. 337-40, Electronic Flash Devices. Notice of the institution of the investigation and the ordering of the hearing was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on November 20, 1974 (39 FR 40828).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: February 27, 1975.

[SEAL] KENNETH R. MASON,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-5766 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

[TEA-F-68]

WEISS-LAWRENCE, INC.

Notice of Investigation

On the basis of a petition filed under section 301(a)(2) of the Trade Expansion Act of 1962 on behalf of Weiss-Lawrence, Inc., Dover, New Hampshire, the United States International Trade Commission, on February 26, 1975, instituted an investigation under section 301(c)(1) of the said Act to determine whether, as a result in major part of concessions granted under trade agreements, articles like or directly competitive with footwear for women, misses and children (of the types provided for in items 700.45 and 700.55 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States) produced by the aforementioned firm, are being imported into the United States in such increased quantities as to cause, or threaten to cause, serious injury to such firm.

The optional public hearing afforded by law has not been requested by the petitioners. Any other party showing a proper interest in the subject matter of the investigation may request a hearing,

provided such request is filed on or before March 14, 1975.

The petition filed in this case is available for inspection at the Office of the Secretary, United States International Trade Commission, 8th and E Streets, NW., Washington, D.C., and at the New York City office of the International Trade Commission located at 6 World Trade Center.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: February 27, 1975.

[SEAL] KENNETH R. MASON,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-5767 Filed 3-4-75; 8:54 am]

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice (75-15)]

NASA SPACE PROGRAM ADVISORY COUNCIL

Meeting

The NASA Space Program Advisory Council will meet on March 25 and 26, 1975, in Room 7002, Federal Office Building 6, 400 Maryland Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. The meeting is open to the public from 9 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. on March 25, 1975, and from 8:30 a.m. to 1 p.m. on March 26, 1975. The seating capacity of the room is about 40 persons, including Council members and other participants. Visitors will be requested to sign a visitor's register.

The NASA Space Program Advisory Council was established as an interdisciplinary group to advise NASA senior management with respect to the plans for, the work in progress on, and the accomplishments of NASA's space programs. The Council is concerned with the disciplines appropriate to Physical Sciences, Life Sciences, Space Applications, and Space Systems, as they bear on space programs. The Chairman of the Council is Dr. Frederick Seitz. There are currently fifteen members on the Council and additional members on four committees which report to the Council. The following list sets forth the approved agenda and schedule for the meeting. For further information contact the Executive Secretary, Mr. Nathaniel B. Cohen, Area Code 202, 755-8433.

MARCH 25, 1975

Time	Topic
	OPENING REMARKS
9 a.m.-----	This time is provided for the Chairman's introductory remarks and for the Executive Secretary to cover administrative matters.
	NASA BUDGET STATUS
9:15 a.m.-----	The status of the NASA budget will be reviewed. The extent of the FY 1975 budget deferrals and the contents of the FY 1976 budget proposals will be described and their respective implications outlined. The prospects for the FY

NOTICES

Time **Topic**

1977 budget and its schedule will be reviewed. The Council will be asked to study the issues considered to be important and to give their views on how best to resolve them at the next SPAC meeting.

STRATOSPHERIC RESEARCH

11:15 a.m.----- The Council will be briefed on recent developments in the NASA Stratospheric Research Program. The contents of the program will be reviewed, including elements related to space shuttle environmental effects, effects of high altitude aircraft, and effects of chlorofluoromethanes. The relation of this program to programs of other parties and to national needs for stratospheric research will be described, along with the elements of NASA's organization for stratospheric research. The Council is asked to advise NASA on the adequacy of this program in the light of national needs.

LUNCH

12 Noon-----

OUTLOOK FOR SPACE

1:15 p.m.----- The interim results of the planning study, "Outlook for Space," will be summarized for the Council. The Council is asked to provide their comments and criticisms so that they may be factored into the final report.

SPAC Ad Hoc SUBCOMMITTEE ON SCIENTIST ASTRONAUTS

3:45 p.m.----- The status of and program Council and, if its final report is complete, will provide it for the Council's consideration.

ADJOURN

4:30 p.m.-----

MARCH 26, 1975

US/USSR COOPERATIVE PROGRAMS

8:30 a.m.----- The status of and prospects for US/USSR Cooperative Programs will be described for the information of the Council. Included will be summaries of present cooperative programs, new programs being developed, and proposals for future cooperation. A description of the overall framework for the Apollo-Soyuz Test Program (ASTP) will also be included.

Time **Topic**

ASTP STATUS REPORT

9:15 a.m.----- The Council will be presented a report on the specific technical status of the ASTP US/USSR joint docking mission, scheduled for launch in Summer, 1975.

SPACELAB MISSION OBJECTIVES

9:45 a.m.----- The status of plans for the first Spacelab mission will be described to the Council. SPAC will be asked to comment on the experiment objectives that are being established by the joint NASA-ESRO planning activity for this mission.

STANDING COMMITTEE REPORTS

10:15 a.m.----- The four standing committees of the Council will report on their activities since the last SPAC meeting. Recommendations of each Committee to SPAC and to the Associate Administrator will be summarized for the Council, and the Council will consider its own position on each recommendation.

SPAC WORKING SESSION

12 ----- The Council, in working session, will discuss the subjects and issues raised during the previous sessions. The issues and SPAC views on them will be summarized for feedback to NASA; issues requiring further study will be identified and arrangements made for their consideration.

LUNCH

1 p.m.-----

EXECUTIVE SESSION

2:15 p.m.----- The Council will reconvene in executive session to critique NASA objectives, programs, program implementation, and program personnel relative to the issues presented in prior sessions of this meeting. Discussion of these topics will require frequent references to and comments on the professional qualifications of these program personnel. Because discussion of these matters may invade the personal privacy of these individuals, the session will be closed pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552 (b) (6).

ADJOURN

4 p.m.-----

DUWARD L. CROW,
Assistant Administrator for
DOD and Interagency Affairs,
National Aeronautics
and Space Administration.

FEBRUARY 23, 1975.

[FR Dec.75-5809 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

[Notice (75-16)]

**NASA RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY
ADVISORY COUNCIL**

Panel on Space Vehicles

The NASA Research and Technology Advisory Council Panel on Space Vehicles will meet on March 25-26, 1975, at the NASA Marshall Space Flight Center, Huntsville, Alabama. The meeting will be held in Conference Room 715 of Building 4200. Members of the public will be admitted on a first-come, first-served basis, up to the seating capacity of the room, which is about 50 persons. All visitors must report to the Visitors Center of the Marshall Space Flight Center for badging.

The NASA Research and Technology Advisory Council Panel on Space Vehicles serves in an advisory capacity only. The current Chairman is Mr. R. James Gunkel. There are nine members. The following list sets forth the approved agenda and schedule for the March 25-26, 1975, meeting of the Panel on Space Vehicles. For further information, please contact Mr. William C. Hayes, Jr., Executive Secretary, Area Code 202, 755-8504, or Dr. James B. Dozier, Area Code 205, 453-3083.

MARCH 25, 1975

Time **Topic**

8:30 a.m.----- Report of the Chairman (Purpose: To summarize action taken at the November 1974 meeting of the Research and Technology Advisory Council.)

9:15 a.m.----- Report of the Executive Secretary (Purpose: To brief the Panel on recent or proposed changes, if any, in NASA policy or organization that could affect the Panel on Space Vehicles' functions.)

9:30 a.m.----- Report on Space Shuttle Main Engine (Purpose: To review the technical development progress of the space shuttle main engine on a component/subsystem basis.)

2:30 p.m.----- Report on Space Vehicle Lee-Side Heating and Related Technology Areas (Purpose: To review the technical data and analytical prediction techniques for lee-side heating of space vehicles.)

4 p.m.----- Report on Space Shuttle Dynamics and Ground Vehicle Test Programs (Purpose: To review the currently planned vehicle testing program.)

MARCH 26, 1975

8:30 a.m.----- Report on Space Shuttle Orbiter/747 Separation Testing (Purpose: To inform members of the Panel on Space Vehicles on the operational testing aspects of the separation of the space shuttle orbiter from the 747 transport vehicle.)

Time	Topic
9:30 a.m.-----	Report on the Office of Aeronautics and Space Technology's Long Duration Exposure Facility Program (Purpose: To inform the Panel with respect to questions concerning retrieval of the Long Duration Exposure Facility, off-the-shelf equipment testing and experimental opportunities in space.)
11 a.m.-----	Report on "Outlook for Space" Technologies (Purpose: To inform members of the Panel on Space Vehicles of the progress to date in determining possible technology needs and trends for future space programs as identified by the "Outlook for Space" study.)
1 p.m.-----	Selection of Topics to be Reported to the Research and Technology Advisory Council (Purpose: To recapitulate previous issues and formulate topics to be forwarded by the Panel Chairman to the Research and Technology Advisory Council.)
4:30 p.m.-----	Adjournment.

DUWARD L. CROW,
Assistant Administrator for
DOD and Interagency Affairs,
National Aeronautics
and Space Administration.

FEBRUARY 27, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-5810 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE ARTS

PUBLIC MEDIA PROGRAM Guidelines, Fiscal Year 1976

The following are guidelines for grants made under the Public Media Program of the National Endowment for the Arts, an independent agency of the Federal government which makes grants to organizations and individuals concerned with the arts throughout the United States.

Notice is hereby given that the deadline dates for this program are:

- Programming in the Arts, September 15, 1975.
- Media Studies, May 15, 1975.
- Regional Development, August 1, 1975.

Interested persons should contact Chloe Aaron, Director, Public Media Program, National Endowment for the Arts, Washington, D.C. 20506, (202) 634-6300 for further information and application forms. Only the Public Media Program office may distribute application forms.

Signed at Washington, D.C. on February 25, 1975.

FANNIE TAYLOR,
Director,
Program Information.

PUBLIC MEDIA PROGRAM

INTRODUCTION

In Fiscal Year 1976 (July 1, 1975—June 30, 1976), the Public Media Program will provide support in three main areas:

Programming in the Arts. Support for production, research and development designed to improve the quality of arts programming on film, television, and radio by professional individuals and organizations.

Regional Development. Support for regional media organizations to build new audiences through regional showcases and to provide resources for research and study of film and video art.

Media Studies. Support for: 1) filmmakers and video artists in short-term residencies at educational or other similar institutions; and 2) seminars, workshops, institutes and conferences designed to further the creation and study of film and video as an art form.

The Endowment will continue support of The American Film Institute for its work in preserving and developing the Nation's artistic and cultural resources in film. The Institute is concentrating essentially in the following areas of endeavor: archives, education, advanced filmmaker training, filmmaker grants and research and publication.

The Public Media Program also works with the Corporation for Public Broadcasting by jointly funding specific projects which foster the arts on public television.

GENERAL INFORMATION

DEADLINES

There will be three deadlines in Fiscal Year 1976: Programming in the Arts, September 15, 1975; Media Studies, May 15, 1975; Regional Development, August 1, 1975.

Applicants applying for support under General Programs may apply under any of the above deadlines. We regret because of review procedures, applications post-marked after the deadline date *cannot be considered*.

Applicants who can be encouraged to mail early.

ELIGIBILITY

For Organizations. By statute, the National Endowment for the Arts is limited to the support of organizations which meet the following criteria:

1) Only those organizations which meet the applicable requirements of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 for the duration of any project supported in whole or in part by the National Endowment for the Arts.

2) Only those organizations in which no part of net earnings inure to the benefit of a private stockholder or individual and to which donations are allowable as a charitable contribution under Section 170(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, as amended. *Copy of Internal Revenue Service Determination letter for tax-exempt status must be submitted with each application.*

3) Only those organizations which compensate all professional performers, related or supporting professional personnel, laborers, and mechanics at the equivalent of the prevailing minimum compensation level or on the basis of negotiated agreements which would satisfy the requirements of Parts 3, 5, and 505 of Title 29 of the Code of Federal Regulations for the duration of any project supported in whole or in part by the National Endowment for the Arts.

(4) In addition to statutory requirements, applicants should note that the Public Media Program provides funds on a specific project basis. If the total cost of a project applied for represents a substantial portion of the organization's total fiscal activity, the application will not be considered eligible.

For Individuals. By statute, individuals must be of exceptional talent to qualify for grants from the Endowment. Ordinarily, grants are made only to U.S. citizens or permanent residents of the United States. Students are not eligible.

APPLICATION PROCEDURES

If, after careful review of the guidelines, you feel that your project falls within the scope of the Public Media Program, please request the appropriate application forms (either individual or project grant) by writing the Public Media Program, National Endowment for the Arts, Washington, D.C. 20506.

Typewritten application forms must be submitted in triplicate to:

Grants Office
National Endowment for the Arts
Washington, D.C. 20506

Only information requested on the application form itself is submitted in triplicate. The applicant should send only one copy of reviews supporting statements, letter(s) of interest and examples of previous work(s).

The application, if not completed properly, will be returned to the applicant for corrections. The Endowment cannot accept responsibility for delays occasioned by the late arrival of applications or requests which have been improperly submitted.

An application will be returned to the applicant if the project does not meet the eligibility criteria set forth in these guidelines or if the proposed project does not fall within the scope of these guidelines.

If an application form is incomplete and/or if all required material has not been submitted, the application may be rejected due to insufficient information for review.

Applicants are urged to retain duplicates of any print materials sent to the agency.

For Organizations. (1) Organizations should use the forms entitled "Project Grant Application" (NEA-3, Rev.). Please follow closely the instruction sheet attached to your application and supply all information requested.

(2) Project Description: The Project Description should be brief but specific.

Spell out concrete details. All essential elements of the proposal must be included in a concise project summary in the space provided on the application. If applicants wish to supply additional information, they should submit no more than one side of one additional page (8½" by 11") with the application.

(3) **Budget:** Budget estimates should cover the total project costs. Project costs include total direct and indirect costs essential to the project and consistent with specific program guidelines that follow. All budget items, irrespective of the amount requested, should be broken down. It is important to fully explain all sources of matching funds. Please provide all budget detail and supplemental material required by the Project Grant Application (NEA-3, Rev.) instructions and specific program guidelines.

Project budget may not include amounts for past deficits, entertainment, construction of facilities, and/or contingencies.

Indirect cost amounts, regardless of how established, will be subject to negotiation.

Names and titles should be *typed or printed* beneath all signatures appearing on the application.

For Individuals. Individuals applying to the Endowment should use the application forms entitled "Individual Grant Application" (NEA-2, Rev.). These forms must be submitted in triplicate and in accordance with the instruction sheet which is attached. A budget breakdown typed on a separate page should be submitted with the application form.

NOTE.—The Internal Revenue Code and regulations provide that certain fellowships to individuals who are not candidates for degrees are, within limitations, excludable from gross income, for tax purposes. If a fellowship qualifies for this exclusion, the amount is limited to \$300 times the number of months the fellowship is intended to cover, but not in excess of 36 months. In addition, amounts received to cover certain expenses for travel, research, clerical help or equipment incident to the fellowship are excludable to the extent of the recipient's actual expenses provided that these expenses are not claimed as a deduction. A pamphlet published by the Internal Revenue Service on this subject and entitled *Tax Information on Scholarships and Fellowships* (Publication 520 [Rev. 10/73]) should be available from your own tax counselor or local Internal Revenue Service Office. The Endowment cannot advise you as to the deductibility of all or any portion of a fellowship, should one be awarded to you. Advice should be sought from your local Internal Revenue Service Office.

APPLICATION REVIEW

After an application with all the necessary information has been received, it will be reviewed as follows:

1) The Endowment's Public Media staff, the Public Media Advisory Panel, and the National Council on the Arts successively review the application.

2) The applicant is then notified concerning final action taken by the Chairman of the Endowment.

Information regarding action taken on applications cannot be made available until after the groups listed above in Item 1 have made their recommendations and the Chairman of the Endowment has reached a final decision. Applicants are requested not to seek information on the status of their requests.

All inquiries in regard to the Public Media Program and application procedures of Public Media grants should be directed to:

Public Media Program
National Endowment for the Arts
Washington, D.C. 20506

METHODS OF FUNDING

Program Funds Method. Generally, grants will be made on at least a dollar-for-dollar *matching* basis. Applicants requesting assistance from Program Funds must present evidence in the proper space (Section X) on the application (Project Grant Application/NEA-3, Rev.) that at least one-half of the total cost of the project will be provided by the applicant. Anticipated sources of matching must be identified.

Treasury Fund Method. When the National Endowment for the Arts was created, Congress included a unique provision in its enabling legislation. This provision allows the Endowment to work in partnership with private and other nonfederal sources of funding for the arts. Designed to encourage and stimulate continued private funding for the arts, the Treasury Fund allows non-federal contributors to join the Endowment in the grant-making process.

The Endowment encourages use of the Treasury Fund method as an especially effective way of combining federal and private support, and as an encouragement to all potential donors, particularly those representing new or substantially increased sources of funds. Treasury Fund grants are project grants applied for and approved in the same manner and for the same purposes as regular grants.

Under the Treasury Fund method, when a donation is received, it frees an equal amount from the Treasury Fund, and the doubled amount is then made available to the grantee to match. Thus for every \$1.00 given by private sources under this program, another \$1.00 is released from the Treasury. The grantee then matches this \$2.00 with an additional \$2.00 since almost all Endowment grants are for only half the total budget of an approved project. Please see the enclosed brochure for further information.

BICENTENNIAL PROJECTS

The Endowment recognizes that the arts will play an important role in the celebration of our country's bicentennial. The Endowment welcomes this involvement on the part of artists and cultural organizations. The Endowment has an active interest in participating in these efforts, within funds available to it, and insofar as they are directed to professional creation and presentation of

new works; improvement of artistic standards, preservation of our cultural heritage, and increasing the availability of the arts for all Americans. If funds under these guidelines are sought for projects deemed by the applicant to be related to the bicentennial, a brief description of this relationship should be made in the application.

RESOLUTION ON ACCESSIBILITY TO THE ARTS FOR THE HANDICAPPED

One of the main goals of the National Endowment for the Arts is to assist in making the arts available to all Americans. The arts are a right, not a privilege. They are central to what our society is and what it can be. The National Council on the Arts believes very strongly that no citizen should be deprived of the beauty and the insights into the human experience that only the arts can impart.

The National Council on the Arts believes that cultural institutions and individual artists could make a significant contribution to the lives of citizens who are physically handicapped. It therefore urges the National Endowment for the Arts to take a leadership role in advocating special provision for the handicapped in cultural facilities and programs.

The Council notes that the Congress of the United States passed in 1968 (P.L. 90-480) legislation that would require all public buildings constructed, leased or financed in whole or in part by the Federal Government to be accessible to handicapped persons. The Council strongly endorses the intent of this legislation and urges private interests and governments at the state and local levels to take the intent of this legislation into account when building or renovating cultural facilities.

The Council further requests that the National Endowment for the Arts and all the program areas within the Endowment be mindful of the intent and purposes of this legislation as they formulate their own guidelines and as they review proposals from the field. The Council urges the Endowment to give consideration to all the ways in which the agency can further promote and implement the goal of making cultural facilities and activities accessible to Americans who are physically handicapped. (Adopted by the National Council on the Arts, September 15, 1973.)

CATEGORIES OF FUNDING

PROGRAMMING IN THE ARTS

Matching grants up to \$50,000 for production, research and development designed to improve the quality of arts programming on film, television and radio. Some of the grants made specifically in regard to programming on Public Television will be jointly funded by the Corporation for Public Broadcasting and the National Endowment for the Arts.

Applications for matching *Treasury Fund* grants will be accepted from recognized, nonprofit producing organizations for production, research and development of major programs on the arts.

including those designed specifically for broadcast as a series over the Public Television network. There is no set maximum for applications in this area.

The Endowment also supports a grant program for independent filmmakers at The American Film Institute. Inquiries should be addressed to: The American Film Institute, 501 Doheny Drive, Beverly Hills, California 90210.

Eligibility. Nonprofit, tax-exempt organizations that plan to utilize the services of a project director who has completed at least one film or program that has been broadcast or placed in educational or commercial distribution. (In the case of research and development, the project director should be a recognized expert in the field.)

Grant Amounts. Grants generally will not exceed \$50,000. Grants for radio projects will rarely exceed \$10,000. Grants to individuals of exceptional talent will be made occasionally on a non-matching basis. These grants will generally not exceed \$10,000.

Deadline and Announcement Dates. Applications must be postmarked no later than September 15, 1975. Applicants should not anticipate announcement of awards and rejections before March 15, 1976. Projects should not be scheduled to begin before April 15, 1976.

Project Examples: 1) Film or television program designed to showcase the work of individual artists, or performing or visual arts groups; or to present specific art works or art movements;

2) Experimental workshop at a Public Television station designed to explore new techniques and formats for presenting the performing or visual arts on television;

3) Research and development such as a special study or seminar designed to encourage the presentation of outstanding short and feature films on television;

4) Production of radio program(s) of and about drama, poetry, music, etcetera designed for national distribution.

Essential Information To Be Supplied by Applicant. All applicants requesting support for a project involving film, video or radio production must submit with the application the following:

1) **Sample Work**—For Film Project: A loan print (16mm optical) of at least one completed work by the filmmaker.

For Video Project: A ¾ inch cassette or ½ inch reel sample of work by the video artist.

For Radio Project: Sample audio tape of at least one completed program by the project director on a 7" reel, 7½ speed, head out in a tape box. Please be sure to clearly label all materials with the name of the applicant, address, title of work, and identification of the artists. All films, videotapes, and audio tapes received at the Endowment will be returned although the Endowment cannot accept responsibility for losses incurred en route.

Please note that failure to submit sample work will result in unavoidable delays which will prevent the scheduled consideration of your application.

2) **Biographical Information**—Please specify who will have primary artistic responsibility (filmmaker, video artists, et cetera) for the proposed work and include a career summary of his or her professional background.

3) **Supporting Information**—In the case of films or programs about an artist, a statement from the artist indicating willingness to participate must be included.

4) **Distribution Plans**—A statement of what arrangements, if any, have been made for distribution.

5) **Supplementary Budget Information**—Applicants requesting funds for film or television productions should include in their budgets the costs of providing two 16mm prints or two ¾ inch video cassettes—one to be sent to the Endowment upon completion of the project and one to be provided to the Library of Congress. In addition, applicants requesting funds for radio productions should include the costs of providing two complete copies on 7 inch reels, 7½ speed—one to be sent to the Endowment upon completion of the project and one for the Library of Congress. This material will be used by the Endowment for internal purposes only.

Procedure: Please review the instructions given on page 1 (as well as the essential information outlined above) and complete the forms entitled "Project Grant Application" (NEA-3, Rev.). Applicants are once again reminded to provide a complete concise description of their project in the space provided on the first page of the application.

REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT

Matching grants up to \$30,000 to regional organizations to provide:

1) High quality exhibition of film and video art and visiting artists programs.

2) Access to production facilities.

3) A resource for film and video research, study and information.

4) Training of regional development personnel.

5) Integration and coordination of media resources on a regional basis.

Applicants should describe their region, its needs and the applicants' plans for meeting those needs. This should include any existing or proposed coordination with other organizations in the region. Please limit to one side of one additional page (8½" x 11").

Eligibility. The regional media organization may be independent or attached to a museum, university, state arts agency, et cetera. It should have had an ongoing program in any of the areas listed above for at least one year.

The general purpose of this program is to encourage quality projects that will stimulate the interest and involvement in film and video art of as many people as possible. For this reason, support will be restricted to those facilities which maintain the following policies:

(1) Activities open to the public at a reasonable cost.

(2) Access where practical to all materials for any person who needs access to screen-

ing, presentations, facilities and articles of information in the collection without restriction to age level, education or affiliation.

Grant Amounts. Matching grants will generally be for less than \$30,000. Applicants are advised to apply for what they need and can match rather than the maximum amount.

Deadline and Announcement Dates. Applications must be postmarked no later than August 1, 1975. Applicants should not anticipate announcement of awards and rejections before January 1, 1976. Projects should not be scheduled to begin before February 15, 1976.

Project Examples:

1) Partial support for a curator to program films or video tapes for public exhibition.

2) Partial support for a media extension agent to provide information services to the region, possibly traveling throughout the region, but maintaining headquarters at a resource center.

3) Partial support for a film information officer in residence to work with visitors, to travel, and to handle telephone inquiries.

4) Partial support of film rentals and costs of preparing program notes for a specific series, retrospective, etcetera.

5) Partial support for purchase of production equipment for public access use of artists. (Organization is asked to submit procedures for selecting artists who will have access to equipment.) Please note that the Public Media Program provides funds on a specific project basis. Applicants with requests for unspecified general support of on-going programs will not be considered eligible.

Factors for Review. In general, assistance to regional development projects in Fiscal Year 1976 will be determined by a review of the following factors:

1) A nearby concentration of audience, which can guarantee substantial audience support for general exhibition.

2) Demonstrated willingness to work with all film and video related elements in the region, as well as other film and video centers in other regions.

3) Accessible location within a geographic region.

Supplemental Information to be Supplied by Applicant. Applicants are requested to submit with their application the following information:

1) When and where project will be carried out.

2) History of operation and programs, including sample program notes and brochures.

3) Description of services provided to the region.

4) Biographical material about the project director.

Any background information pertinent to the project may be attached.

Procedure. Please review the instructions given on page 1 and complete the forms entitled "Project Grant Application" (NEA-3, Rev.) and the supplemental information outlined above. Applicants are requested to provide a concise narrative response to "Factors for Review"

listed above. Please note that irrespective of the amount requested, all budget items should be broken down on the application form.

Note. The Museum Program, under its Museum Purchase Plan, will entertain applications from museums for the purchase of prints of films made by living independent American filmmakers. Inquiries should be addressed to the Museum Program, National Endowment for the Arts, Washington, D.C. 20506.

MEDIA STUDIES

Grants will be awarded in Fiscal Year 1976 in this category to support:

1) Filmmakers and video artists in short term residencies at educational and other institutions; 2) Seminars, workshops, institutes and conferences designed to further the creation and study of film and video as an art form.

Filmmakers and Video Artists in Residence: Grants are made to educational institutions and other organizations to invite professional filmmakers and/or video artists of national reputation who do not normally support themselves by teaching for short term stays to instruct, influence and stimulate students, faculty and the general public while practicing their professions. Institutions select the artist(s) of their choice and work out a mutually acceptable schedule of activities. While new methods are not necessarily better, more inventive ways of bringing this contact about may be desirable; for instance, making the evolution of a work of art itself the teaching situation or engaging the students as assistants in some project or process.

Eligibility. While aimed primarily at university and college film and media departments, other film and video related organizations also may apply.

Grant Amounts. Grants will not exceed \$5,000 and will be made on a matching basis. Project budgets should generally include only artists' fees and transportation for one round trip for the artist.

Deadline and Announcement Dates. Applications for this program are accepted and grants made throughout the year. However, applications should be received six months before the planned residency will begin.

Procedure. Please review the instructions given on page 1 and complete the forms entitled "Project Grant Application" (NEA-3, Rev.).

Seminar/Workshop Program. To support seminars, workshops, institutes and other conferences designed to bring together professional film and video artists, scholars, and students to further the creation and study of film and video as an art form.

Eligibility. Educational and other similar institutions, film and video related nonprofit tax-exempt organizations, museums and state arts agencies are eligible to apply.

Applicants should submit detailed plans for the implementation of the program and delineation of the program's broad goals, as well as an estimate of the number of participants, faculty and provisions for scholarships.

Grant Amounts. Grants will be available up to \$15,000 and will be made on a matching basis. Grants will generally be for less than the maximum amount.

Deadline and Announcement Dates. Applications must be postmarked no later than May 15, 1975. Notices of approval or rejection will not be sent before October 15, 1975. Projects should not be scheduled to begin before November 1, 1975.

Procedure. Please review the instructions given on page 1 and complete the forms entitled "Project Grant Application" (NEA-3, Rev.).

OTHER RESIDENCY PROGRAMS

In addition to the residency program described above, there are two other residency categories.

Filmmakers in Residence at Public Television Stations: Jointly with the Corporation for Public Broadcasting, the Endowment will provide support for filmmakers in residence at selected public television stations. The project is designed to provide an opportunity for an experienced filmmaker and a public television station to work together creatively in the production of programming for broadcast. Interested public television stations should write: Public Media Program, National Endowment for the Arts, Washington, D.C. 20506.

Filmmakers in Residence at Cable Television Stations: The Endowment through the Alternate Media Center will provide support aimed at utilizing the skills of young filmmakers with an interest in local programming in collaboration with the facilities and personnel of established cable television stations. Inquiries should be addressed to the Alternate Media Center, New York University, Washington Square, New York, New York, 10012.

GENERAL PROGRAMS

Projects which do not fit into any of the foregoing areas of support may be considered under General Programs. They may be one-time or on-going projects but in every case they must fit in with the overall Public Media Program guidelines of being responsive to the needs and aims of the field.

If funds are requested for productions in film, television or radio, applicants should carefully review the information provided under Programming in the Arts: Essential Information to be Supplied by Applicant on page 7.

PILOT PROGRAMS

In addition to the established areas of support, the Public Media Program has set up several new programs on a special pilot basis. These pilot programs will not be open to inquiries or applications in this fiscal year.

Short Film Showcasing. With the cooperation of theatre owners and distributors, the Endowment hopes to encourage the exhibition of a limited number of outstanding short films by American filmmakers in theatres throughout the country. The Endowment will contribute print costs, including blowups from

16mm to 35mm, and a fellowship to the filmmakers who are included in the program.

Post-Graduate Fellowships. The Endowment, through a pilot program with universities, is giving selected students the opportunity to gain production experience necessary to their professional careers. The pilot program will be a three-way partnership between a host university, a local public broadcast station and a filmmaker.

JANUARY 1975.

[FR Doc.75-5806 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

DANCE TOURING PROGRAM

Special Instructions for Sponsors of Larger Companies, Fiscal Year 1976

The following are guidelines for grants made under the Dance Touring Program of the National Endowment for the Arts, an independent agency of the Federal government which makes grants to organizations and individuals concerned with the arts throughout the United States.

Notice is hereby given that the deadline dates for this program are:

Deadline:	Residencies during—
Mar. 14, 1975 ---	July 1, 1975 to Oct. 15, 1975.
July 18, 1975 ---	Oct. 16, 1975 to Dec. 31, 1975.
Oct. 10, 1975 ---	Jan. 1, 1976 to Mar. 31, 1976.
Jan. 2, 1976 ----	Apr. 1, 1976 to June 30, 1976.

Interested persons should contact Joe Krakora, Director, Dance Program, National Endowment for the Arts, Washington, D.C. 20506, (202) 634-6383 for further information and application forms. Only the Dance Program office may distribute application forms.

Signed at Washington, D.C. on February 25, 1975.

FANNIE TAYLOR,
Director,
Program Information.

DANCE TOURING PROGRAM GUIDELINES:
(LARGER COMPANIES)

INTRODUCTION

The National Endowment for the Arts will continue to offer support for touring activities of the three largest companies in Fiscal Year 1975 through the Dance Touring Program. The Program of support for the largest companies was initiated in fiscal 1972 as a pilot program and continued the following year on the same basis. The purpose of the program is to assist nonprofit sponsorship in the presentation of residency programs by large dance companies, and to allow the companies to receive fees adequate to meet the expenses of a touring engagement. This program intends to continue to make the larger dance companies available to the growing dance public. It is the Endowment's hope that this program will engender new audiences and support for local, regional, and resident dance companies as well.

The National Endowment strongly encourages sponsors to develop local sources of contributed support toward the costs of large company residences. While the largest and best of our American dance companies must continue to be widely available to audiences outside New York City, their touring costs continue to rise sharply. Contributed income in addition to the Endowment grant will be necessary to assure their continued availability.

The National Endowment for the Arts is hopeful that the largest possible public will be reached under this program. It wishes to encourage promotion plans that will make knowledge of performances available throughout the entire community and to encourage distribution plans that will make tickets available to portions of the public that might not otherwise be able to attend.

The minimum requirement of a one-half-week residency under this program is intended to afford the local sponsor the opportunity to present a wider range of repertoire than has been possible previously, and to engage civic support and develop other areas of community involvement through master classes, special performances for youth audiences, lecture-demonstrations, and so forth, in association with local educational institutions and cultural organizations. The emphasis of the Program is on performance, but it is expected that the sponsor and company will also include opportunities for these kinds of community involvement in other programs and activities offered during the residency period.

A complete explanation of application deadlines for residencies under this program appears on page 6. This is a summary of deadline dates. Application must be made by the date in the left column for residencies taking place in the corresponding time period in the right column:

Deadline:	Residencies during—
Mar. 14, 1975----	July 1, 1975 to Oct. 15, 1975.
July 18, 1975----	Oct. 16, 1975 to Dec. 31, 1975.
Oct. 10, 1975----	Jan. 1, 1976 to Mar. 31, 1976.
Jan. 2, 1976-----	Apr. 1, 1976 to June 30, 1976.

GENERAL INFORMATION

THE BICENTENNIAL

The Endowment recognizes that the arts will play an important role in the next few years in the celebration of our country's bicentennial. The National Council on the Arts believes that efforts to make America's great cultural resources available to all of our citizens, through programs such as touring, is an appropriate thrust for the bicentennial era. Therefore, for our information, if funds under these Guidelines are sought for performances planned by the applicant to be related to the bicentennial, a brief description of this relationship should be made in the application.

LEVEL OF FUNDING

The National Endowment for the Arts, under the Dance Touring Program (larger companies), will offer grants to the local sponsors of 30% of the company's established minimum weekly fee as quoted below. The minimum half-week fee will be exactly one-half the quoted minimum weekly fee, and the Endowment participation will be 30% of that amount.

	Weekly fee	30 percent (NEA share)
American Ballet Theatre.....	\$80,000	\$24,000
City Center Joffrey Ballet.....	56,200	16,860
New York City Ballet.....	98,300	27,990

The companies will certify to the National Endowment that they will accept no smaller weekly fee nor part thereof for similar engagements. Negotiations for guarantees or percentages above the established minimum are permissible. However, the Endowment's participation will be limited to a maximum of 30% of the certified minimum weekly fee.

ELIGIBILITY

Local sponsors must meet the following criteria:

a) Organizations in which no part of net earnings inures to the benefit of a private stockholder or individual and to which donations are allowable as a charitable contribution under Section 170(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, as amended. *A copy of the Internal Revenue Service Determination letter for tax-exempt status must be submitted with each application.*

b) Organizations which meet the requirements of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 for the duration of any project supported in whole or in part by the National Endowment for the Arts.

c) Organizations which compensate all professional performers, related or supporting professional personnel, laborers, and mechanics at the equivalent of the prevailing minimum compensation level or on the basis of negotiated agreements which would satisfy the requirements of Parts 3, 5, and 505 of Title 29 of the Code of Federal Regulations for the duration of any project supported in whole or in part by the National Endowment for the Arts.

DIVISIONS OF RESPONSIBILITY

The following lists define the divisions of responsibility for residencies under this program. In general, responsibility for an item has been placed with the dance company or with the local sponsor because one or the other is best able to control the costs of that item.

The Dance Company Will Provide:

- the dance company.
- conductors.
- solo musicians.
- pianists for rehearsal.
- technical personnel, including stage managers and road crew, wardrobe head,

and make-up specialist (where required).

—administrative personnel, including company manager and press agent.

—all artists' and composers' royalties.

—full technical information as required in the first five points of the sponsor's responsibility.

—personnel and public liability, fire and theft insurance for company and materials.

—¹ transportation of company to and from city (hotel to hotel).

—¹ transfer of technical materials (scenery, costumes, et cetera) theatre to theatre.

The Local Sponsor Will Provide:

—necessary stage crew for take-in, hanging, rehearsals, changeover, running, striking, take-out, and mopping calls.

—truck loaders.

—local wardrobe assistance and dressers.

—orchestra for rehearsals and performances.

—supers, children, singers and other performers.

—all front-of-house staff, including box office staff, and mail order personnel, door men, ticket takers, ushers and porters.

—exclusive use of stage and rehearsal facilities during the residency.

—rehearsal space, if required.

—all house equipment (as required), including switchboard, electric, curtain, follow-spot, et cetera.

—stage ready as specified prior to company arrival.

—adequate sized orchestra pit with chairs and lighted music stands.

—piano(s), properly tuned, in pit for performance and on stage for rehearsals.

—dressing rooms equipped with chairs, tables, lighted mirrors, and hot and cold running water.

—all local advertising charges, including newspaper, radio, TV, bill posting, freight and necessary printing of publicity materials.

—tickets.

—programs.

—local transportation, as required by union regulations.

—heated or air-conditioned theatre during all working hours.

FINAL DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

Sponsors should note that the Final Descriptive Report required of all grantees at the close of the project period will ask as a minimum for certain specific information on the residency: statistics on attendance and the composition of the audience, activities in the development of new audiences, generation of new sources of income in the community, types of activities and services sponsored during the engagement, detailed breakdown of total actual engagement costs,

¹These items are supported by the National Endowment for the Arts in separate corollary grants made directly to the companies. These costs are not included in the weekly fee.

specific problems encountered under the program, and the general effectiveness and impact of the program. The final report should also include two copies of the printed programs from the engagement. Sponsors should plan to gather this information as the residency progresses.

HOW TO APPLY: INSTRUCTIONS TO SPONSORS

Applications forms are available from:

Dance Program
National Endowment for the Arts
Washington, D.C. 20506
202/634-6383

If you are making application for more than one company, they should be included on one application, if possible. Please use Project Grant Application NEA-3(Rev.). The application form should be filled out in the following manner:

Under "II. Program Under Which Support is Requested," enter "Dance Touring Program: larger companies."

The time span under "III. Period of Support Requested" should allow for the planning, execution, and closing out of the project, and should be longer than simply the dates during which the company is in residence.

The following information should be included under "IV. Summary of Project Description:" the name of the company to be presented, the dates of the residency, the number of performances and their nature (i.e., public, school, etcetera), the name and location of the theatre where the company will appear, and any other general information the applicant deems relevant, such as joint sponsorship, premieres, and so forth.

The "Total Project Cost" (under "VI. Summary of Estimated Costs") is just the company's fee: \$56,200 per week for the Joffrey, \$80,000 per week for ABT, and \$93,300 per week for the New York City Ballet. The "Total Amount Requested From the NEA (VII)" is 30% of that figure. On a one-week basis, that would be the following amounts for the Joffrey, \$16,860; for ABT, \$24,000; and for NYCB, \$27,990.

All budget Breakdown sections may be left blank except No. 5, "Other," which is where the company fee should be listed. (It should also be carried over to the line labeled "Other" on the first page of the application.)

Under "X. Contributions, Grants and Revenues (for this project)," fill in the source(s) of the remaining income, other than the NEA grant, to cover the company fee (in other words, 70% of the company fee) in the appropriate blanks.

Be sure the final page of the application has the necessary signatures in the appropriate places and is dated. The application is to be submitted in triplicate.

The following information should not appear on the application form, but must be attached to it:

(1) The full budget for the presentation of the company.

(2) Seating capacity of the house, ticket prices, and the approximate number of seats available at each price.

(3) Projected sources of income other than ticket revenue.

(4) A brief description of public service programs planned.

(5) A copy of the applicant's IRS Tax-Exempt Determination Letter.

Applications (in triplicate) and all supplementary material should be mailed to:

Grants Office
National Endowment for the Arts
Washington, D.C. 20506

Any questions about the program or application forms should be directed to:

Dance Program
National Endowment for the Arts
Washington, D.C. 20506
202/634-6383

DEADLINES

It is impossible to set a uniform deadline for submission of applications for all residencies under the program as the companies' touring periods occur at different times through the year. The following dates refer to the NEA's internal structures for approval and processing of grants, and are based on meetings of the National Council on the Arts, which must make final recommendations on applications.

In order for funds to be available to the sponsor by the time of the residency, application must be made no later than the date in the left column for residencies taking place in the corresponding time period in the right column:

Deadline:	Residencies during
Mar. 14, 1975.....	July 1, 1975 to Oct. 16, 1975.
July 18, 1975.....	Oct. 16, 1975 to Dec. 31, 1975.
Oct. 10, 1975.....	Jan. 1, 1976 to Mar. 31, 1976.
Jan. 2, 1976.....	Apr. 1, 1976 to June 30, 1976.

INSTRUCTIONS TO DANCE COMPANIES

Participation in the program requires that the following information be provided to the Endowment:

(1) Full touring budget substantiating the quoted minimum weekly fee, including the number of personnel touring with the company (by category: dancers, technicians, administrative, et cetera) and file copies of the union contracts under which tour personnel are engaged.

(2) Certification that for Fiscal Year 1976 (July 1, 1975 through June 30, 1976) the company has not contracted and will not contract for any similar touring engagements at less than the minimum weekly fee quoted in these Guidelines.

(3) A copy of the company's IRS Tax-Exempt Determination Letter.

Application for a grant to cover travel and transportation expenses for touring

dates under the program should be made on the Project Grant Application Form, NEA-3 (Rev.). The application should include *only* the expenses of travel (not per diems) for dancers and staff, transportation of scenery and directly related travel services. Any directly related travel services the company may wish to include in the application must be described, itemized, and cleared in writing by the Dance Program office. Since it is a non-matching grant, Contributions, Grants and Revenues should be left blank. Travel and transportation expenses should be listed and described in some detail. (Travel should be listed on the application form under No. 3 "Travel," and transportation of scenery under No. 5 "Other.") The National Endowment will support the costs of all reasonable and usual travel under the program, but extraordinary transportation costs, such as air transportation of scenery, isolated tour engagements requiring transportation over long distances, and so forth will not be eligible for assistance without prior approval of the Endowment.

Please Note: It is the responsibility of the dance company to provide copies of the signed contracts between sponsor and company to the National Endowment for the Arts for each residency. Grants will not be awarded and funds will not be available until contracts have been received in the Dance Program Office.

In all published material and announcements regarding residencies sponsored under the Dance Touring Program, including publicity and program material, it is requested that special notice be made that: "This project is supported in part by a grant from the National Endowment for the Arts in Washington, D.C., a Federal agency."

RESOLUTION ON ACCESSIBILITY TO THE ARTS FOR THE HANDICAPPED

One of the main goals of the National Endowment for the Arts is to assist in making the arts available to all Americans. The arts are a right, not a privilege. They are central to what our society is and what it can be. The National Council on the Arts believes very strongly that no citizen should be deprived of the beauty and the insights into the human experience that only the arts can impart.

The National Council on the Arts believes that cultural institutions and individual artists could make a significant contribution to the lives of citizens who are physically handicapped. It therefore urges the National Endowment for the Arts to take a leadership role in advocating special provision for the handicapped in cultural facilities and programs. (Portion of resolution adopted by the National Council on the Arts, September 1973.)

FEBRUARY 1975.

[FR Doc.75-5804 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

**NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION
ADVISORY PANEL ON SCIENCE EDUCATION
PROJECTS SUBPANEL ON MINORITY
INSTITUTIONS SCIENCE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM**

Cancellation of Meeting

The meeting of the Subpanel on Minority Institutions Science Improvement Program (MSIP) scheduled to be held on March 6 and 7, 1975, which was announced in the FEDERAL REGISTER, Vol. 40, No. 32, Friday, February 14, 1975, is hereby cancelled.

Dated: February 28, 1975.

R. GAIL ANDERSON,
Acting Committee Management
Officer.

[FR Doc.75-5849 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

**NUCLEAR REGULATORY
COMMISSION**

[Docket Nos. 50-458 and 50-459]

**GULF STATES UTILITIES CO. (RIVER
BEND STATION, UNITS 1 & 2)**

Hearing

FEBRUARY 28, 1975.

In the matter of Gulf States Utilities Co., (River Bend Station, Units 1 & 2).

Please take notice that a public hearing will be held before an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board (the Board), pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the Commission's Regulations (Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 2 & 50), starting at 9:30 a.m. local time, Monday, March 24, 1975, at the following location:

Committee Room "E" (Basement)
State Capitol Building
Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70804

The purpose of this public, evidentiary hearing, as set forth in the Atomic Energy Commission's "Notice of Hearing on Application for Construction Permits" dated October 17, 1973 and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER October 23, 1973, (38 FR 29243),¹ is to consider the application of the Gulf States Utilities Company for construction permits to build two boiling water nuclear reactors to be known as the River Bend Station, Units 1 and 2, in the West Feliciana Parish, on the east bank of the Mississippi River, about 24 miles north-northwest of Baton Rouge, Louisiana. Each of the two units is designed for initial operation at 2894

megawatts thermal [Mw(t)] to generate a net electrical output of 934 megawatts [Mw(e)].

The issues to be considered at this hearing are those environmental and "NEPA" issues set forth in the Commission's "Notice of Hearing on Application for Construction Permits," *supra*, as well as the issue of site suitability, as prescribed in the Commission's Regulations regarding pre-construction permit activities. (See, particularly, 10 CFR § 50.10(e) (1) & (e) (2) (ii), amendments published April 24, 1974, 39 FR 14506). The radiological health and safety issues will be considered at a separate public hearing session to be scheduled later.

The hearing will start on March 24 and continue daily, from 9:30 a.m. to 5 p.m., until completed. All requests to make limited appearance statements (orally or in writing) have been granted. Limited appearances will be received early on the first day of the hearing, but for cases involving personal schedule problems, the Board will also receive limited appearances later in that same week.

The agreement between counsel for the parties proposing a schedule for submission of responses to Applicant's motion for summary disposition (deadline: March 10), and the filing of written direct testimony (deadline: March 17), is approved.

Interested members of the public are invited to attend the hearing.

It is so ordered.

Issued at Bethesda, Maryland this 28th day of February, 1975.

For the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board.

THOMAS W. REILLY, Esq.,
Chairman.

[FR Doc.75-5770 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. 50-510A and 50-511A]

**GULF STATES UTILITIES CO. (BLUE
HILLS STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2)**

**Receipt of Attorney General's Advice and
Time for Filing of Petitions To Intervene
on Antitrust Matters**

The Commission has received, pursuant to section 105c. of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, a letter of advice from the Attorney General of the United States, dated February 19, 1975, a copy of which is attached as Appendix A.

Any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding may, pursuant to § 2.714 of the Commission's "Rules of Practice," 10 CFR Part 2, file a peti-

¹National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, P.L. 91-190, 42 U.S.C. § 4321 et seq., 83 Stat. 852 et seq.

tion for leave to intervene and request a hearing on the antitrust aspects of the application. Petitions for leave to intervene and requests for hearing shall be filed by April 4, 1975 either (1) by delivery to the NRC Public Docketing and Service Section at 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C., or (2) by mail or telegram addressed to the Secretary, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, ATTN: Docketing and Service Section.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ABRAHAM BRAITMAN,
Chief, Office of Antitrust & In-
demnity, Nuclear Reactor
Regulation.

APPENDIX A

BLUE HILLS STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2, GULF STATES UTILITIES CO., NRC DOCKET NOS. 50-510A AND 50-511A, DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE FILE 60-415-110

FEBRUARY 19, 1975.

You have requested our advice pursuant to the provisions of section 105 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, in regard to the above-cited application.

Applicant. The applicant is a large electrical utility principally engaged in the business of generating electrical energy and distributing and selling such energy at retail in Southeast Texas and South Central Louisiana, an approximately 28,000 square-mile area with an estimated population of 1,270,000. The applicant sells electric energy at retail to 286 communities in this territory. It sells for resale electric energy to ten municipal systems, six rural electric cooperatives, and one other utility. Applicant is seeking a license to build and operate two generating units in Newton County, Texas, to be known as Blue Hills Station, Units 1 and 2. Each of these units will have a generating capacity of approximately 930 megawatts. Blue Hills Station Unit 1 is scheduled to be in service in September 1981, Unit 2 in September 1983.

Applicant's 1970 system peak was 3,039 MW, with a little less than one-half of its business in Texas. Applicant owns most of the high voltage and extra-high voltage transmission lines within its service area in the southeastern part of Texas.

Structure of the electric power supply market in Texas. The principal interconnecting and coordinating power pooling organization in Texas is the Texas Interconnected System (TIS). TIS is a group of nine interconnected systems that operate throughout the State of Texas. These systems do not operate outside the State of Texas and are therefore not subject to regulation by the Federal Power Commission. Applicant is not a member of TIS since applicant does substantial business in the State of Louisiana and applicant is subject to regulation by the FPC. Applicant is interconnected with and a member of the Southwest Power Pool.

Competitive conduct of the applicant. By letter dated March 25, 1974 the Department of Justice rendered antitrust advice pursuant to section 105 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 with regard to an application by applicant for a construction permit for River

Bend Station, Units 1 and 2, to be located in Louisiana. We mentioned therein that the Department had been conducting an independent antitrust investigation of the applicant's conduct in that state. We also indicated in that letter that applicant had lately evidenced a constructive attitude in its relations with smaller electric systems. Finally, we advised that the applicant had agreed to accept the imposition of certain license conditions to the permit concerning the River Bend Station and that, therefore, an antitrust hearing was not deemed necessary. In connection with the River Bend application the applicant made the following additional commitment:

Applicant will shortly file an application for a construction permit for two nuclear units known as Blue Hills Units 1 and 2 which it plans to build in Texas. License conditions generally similar to those involved here will be acceptable to Applicant in connection with the Blue Hills Units permits.

Applicant's policy commitments concerning bulk power dealings with other electric systems which are contained in the attachment to applicant's letter of January 29, 1975 concerning the Blue Hills Station which is attached hereto conform with the prior understanding between the Department and applicant.

Conclusion. If the policy commitments contained in the attachment to applicant's January 29, 1975 letter to the Department were to be imposed by the Commission as conditions to the permit applied for in the instant docket, as we hereby recommend, an antitrust hearing on this application would appear to be unnecessary.

ATTACHMENT

JANUARY 29, 1975.

A March 20, 1974 letter to you relating to applicant's River Bend Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2 in Louisiana outlined applicant's policies with respect to such aspects of its operations in Louisiana as access to nuclear units, interconnections and reserve sharing, transportation service and exchange of bulk power. Similar policies will be followed by the applicant in connection with its operations in Texas of the Blue Hills Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2. The purpose of this letter is to outline these policies.

We understand that your review of this application has raised certain questions under the antitrust laws relating to the bulk power supply policies of the applicant. While it is the position of applicant that its activities, and in particular its bulk power supply policies, should not concern any such questions, the applicant submits this letter setting forth certain statements regarding such policies.

In order to obviate the possibility of a hearing on possible antitrust issues in the above-referenced proceeding, the applicant sets forth in the appendix to this letter policies which it will maintain during the period of this license, subject to the understandings stated herein. It is understood that this statement of policy satisfies the Department's antitrust questions and will enable the Department to render a no hearing antitrust advice letter. In the event the Atomic Energy Commission in any event should institute a hearing on antitrust issues or attempt to impose different, inconsistent or additional conditions, applicant does not intend by this letter or the statement of policies attached to waive, and instead

hereby reserves all of its rights under law to appear in such proceeding and contest the imposition of different, inconsistent or additional conditions.

During our discussions with you about participation in the subject nuclear units, we advised you that we have not constructed and have not planned to construct any jointly-owned electric generating plants on our system, and we have not and have not planned to sell unit power from any present or future electric generating units. While applicant appreciates that financial and business conditions in the future may require it to change such policies and for such reason reserves the right to do so, applicant understands that the proposed license conditions relating to participation apply only to nuclear units in Texas.

Nothing in this letter shall be construed to be a waiver by the applicant of its rights to appear and express its position on behalf of or in opposition to any specific proposals relating to bulk power supply before any legislative, administrative, judicial, or other body.

The applicant would not object to an inclusion of this letter in, and the statements and policies expressed herein being made conditions to the license applied for before the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, as referenced above, specifically related to the Blue Hills Nuclear Power Station Units 1 and 2 for which the subject application is pending.

The commitments made are subject to the following understandings:

(1) Applicant does not intend by these commitments to become a common carrier.
 (2) Applicant reserves all rights and protection afforded it by law with respect to retail distribution of electricity in those areas in which it holds franchises to conduct its business.

(3) That any license conditions imposed by the Atomic Energy Commission upon applicant based in whole or in part upon these commitments shall be subject to continuing jurisdiction; and that Applicant shall have the right to seek relief from or modification of such conditions by appropriate legal recourse through the Atomic Energy Commission, its successor agencies, or through any other administrative, legislative, or judicial authority having jurisdiction.

(4) That none of these commitments shall require applicant to enter into any binding arrangements with another party until the financial responsibility of such party is evident or reasonably assured nor prior to resolution of any substantial questions as to the lawful authority of such party to engage in the transaction.

(5) All of the understandings and commitments of the applicant are contained in the commitments herein made and this cover letter.

(6) None of the commitments herein made shall be construed as a waiver by applicant of its rights to contest whether or not a future factual situation is inconsistent with the commitments herein made or to contest by appropriate legal proceedings the validity of any regulation, order, or requirement imposed upon applicant by any governmental or regulatory authority, including but not limited to the Atomic Energy Commission and the Federal Power Commission, or their successor agencies.

(7) Enforcement of any of the commitments shall only be through appropriate proceedings before the Atomic Energy Commission.

POLICY COMMITMENTS OF GULF STATES UTILITIES CO. TO BE APPEALED AS CONDITIONS TO BLUE HILLS NUCLEAR POWER PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2, AEC LICENSE, AEC DOCKETS NOS. 50-510 AND 50-511

JANUARY 29, 1975.

Definitions. 1. "Bulk Power" means the electric power, and any attendant energy, supplied or made available at transmission or subtransmission voltage by an entity from its generating facilities.

2. "Entity" means person, a private or public corporation, governmental agency, an association, a joint stock association, business trust, municipality, or rural electric cooperative owning, operating, or proposing to own or operate equipment or facilities for the generation, transmission, or distribution of electricity primarily for sale or resale to the public. Provided, that, except for municipalities, governmental agencies, or rural electric cooperatives, "entity" is further restricted to those which are or will be public utilities under the laws of the State in which the entity transacts or will transact business or under the Federal Power Act, and are or will be providing electric service under a contract or rate schedule on file with and subject to the regulation of a State regulatory commission or the Federal Power Commission.

3. "Cost" means all operating and maintenance expenses and ownership and capital costs properly allocable to the particular transaction. "Cost" to be shared by participants under condition 8 shall include all costs of acquisition, construction, ownership, capital, operation, and maintenance reasonably allocable to the subject unit. Costs shall include no value for loss of revenues from sale of power at wholesale or retail by one party to a customer which another party might otherwise serve, except as otherwise authorized by any regulatory authority having jurisdiction. Costs shall include a reasonable return on applicant's investment.

Policy Commitments. 1. Applicant shall interconnect with and coordinate reserves by means of the sale and purchase of emergency and/or scheduled maintenance bulk power with any entity(ies) in or within reasonable proximity to applicant's service area in Texas engaging in or proposing to engage in electric bulk power supply on terms that will provide for applicant's costs in connection therewith and allow the other entity(ies) full access to the benefits and obligations of reserve coordination.

2. Such emergency service and/or scheduled maintenance service to be provided by each entity shall be furnished to the fullest extent available from the supplying entity and desired by the entity in need. Applicant and each entity(ies) shall provide to the other such emergency service and/or scheduled maintenance service if and when available from its own generation and from generation of others to the extent it can do so without impairing service to its customers including other electric systems to whom it has firm commitments.

3. Applicant and the other entity(ies) which is (are) party(ies) to a reserve sharing arrangement shall from time to time jointly establish the minimum reserves to be installed and/or provided as necessary to maintain in total a reserve margin sufficient to provide adequate reliability of power supply to the interconnected systems of the parties, consistent with good utility industry practice in the region. If applicant plans its reserve margin on a pooled basis with other regional companies, the reserves jointly established hereunder shall be on the same basis.

Unless otherwise agreed upon or established by such regional practice, minimum reserves shall be calculated as a percentage of estimated peak load responsibility.

No party to the arrangement shall be required to maintain greater reserves than the percentage of its estimated peak load responsibility which results from the aforesaid calculation; provided, that if the reserve requirements of applicant are increased over the amount applicant would be required to maintain without such interconnection, then the other party(ies) shall be required to carry or provide for as its (their) reserves the full amount in kilowatts of such increase.

4. The entities which are parties to such a reserve sharing agreement shall provide such amounts of ready reserve capacity as may be adequate to avoid the imposition of unreasonable demands on the others in meeting the normal contingencies of operating its system. However, in no circumstances shall the ready reserve requirement exceed the installed reserve requirement.

5. Interconnections will not be limited to low voltages when higher voltages are available from applicant's installed facilities in the area where interconnection is desired, when the proposed arrangement is found to be technically and economically feasible. Control and telemetering facilities shall be provided as required for safe and prudent operation of the interconnected systems.

6. Interconnection and coordination agreements shall not embody any unlawful or unreasonably restrictive provisions pertaining to intersystem coordination. Good industry practice as developed in the area from time to time (if not unlawfully or unreasonably restrictive) will satisfy this provision.

7. Applicant will sell (when available) bulk power at its costs to or purchase (when needed) bulk power from any other entity(ies) in or within reasonable proximity to applicant's service area in Texas engaging in or proposing to engage in generation of electric power at such entity(ies) cost when such transactions would serve to reduce the overall costs of new bulk power supply, each for itself and for the other party(ies) to the transactions and would serve to coordinate the planning of new generation, transmission, and related facilities by both the applicant and the other entity. This provision shall not be construed to require applicant to purchase or sell bulk power if it finds such purchase or sale infeasible or its costs in connection with such purchase or sale would exceed its benefits therefrom.

8. Applicant and any successor in title shall offer an opportunity to participate in Blue Hills Nuclear Units Nos. 1 and 2 for the term of the instant license, or any extension or renewal thereof, or such shorter term as applicant and the participant(s) may mutually agree upon, to any entity(ies) in or within reasonable proximity to applicant's service area in the State of Texas which has in writing requested participation therein prior to April 15, 1975, and which no later than April 15, 1976 has entered into an executory contract with respect to such participation, having taken all necessary action for it to lawfully do so prior to so doing, to a fair and reasonable extent and on reasonable terms and conditions and on a basis that will fully compensate applicant for its costs in-

curring and to be incurred and that will not adversely affect the financing and constructing of such nuclear units. Applicant shall similarly offer an opportunity to participate in any additional nuclear generating unit(s) the power from which is intended for use in applicant's general system operations, which the applicant may construct, own, and operate in Texas during the term of the instant license, or any extension or renewal thereof. Participation shall be either by ownership of or purchase of unit participation power from the respective nuclear units. Participation in any form shall be on an equitable basis whereby the participants, in proportion to their interests, share fully in all costs and risks of the respective nuclear unit. In connection with such participation, applicant will offer transmission service as may be required for delivery of such power to such participant(s) on a basis that will fully compensate applicant for its costs.

9. Applicant shall facilitate the exchange of bulk power by transmission over its transmission facilities between two or more entities engaging in bulk power supply in its service area in Texas with which it is interconnected; and between any such entity(ies) and any entity(ies) engaging in bulk power supply outside applicant's service area in Texas between whose facilities applicant's transmission lines and other transmission lines would form a continuous electrical path; provided that (1) Permission to utilize such other transmission lines has been obtained by the entities involved, (2) applicant has appropriate agreements for transmission service with the entities interconnected with applicant at both the receiving and delivery points on applicant's system, and (3) the arrangements reasonably can be accommodated from a functional and technical standpoint. Such transmission shall be on terms that fully compensate applicant for its cost. Any entity(ies) requesting such transmission arrangements shall give reasonable advance notice of its (their) schedule and requirements. (The foregoing applies to any entity(ies) engaging in bulk power supply to which applicant may be interconnected in the future as well as those to which it is now interconnected).

10. Applicant shall include in its planning and construction program sufficient transmission capacity as required for the transactions referred to in paragraph 9; provided, that any entity(ies) in its service area in Texas give applicant sufficient advance notice as may be necessary to accommodate its (their) requirements from a functional and technical standpoint and that such entity(ies) fully compensates Applicant for its cost. Applicant shall not be required to construct transmission facilities which will be of no demonstrable present or future benefit to applicant.

11. Applicant will sell power (when available) for resale to any entity(ies) in its service area in Texas now engaging in or proposing in good faith to engage in retail distribution of electric power, whenever power to meet the needs of such entity(ies) is not available from alternate sources at competitive costs.

12. The foregoing conditions shall be in all respects implemented on reasonable terms and conditions in a manner consistent with

the provisions of the Federal Power Act and other applicable federal and state laws and regulatory orders, and shall be subject to force majeure, applicable curtailment programs, and engineering and technical feasibility for applicant's system. None of the foregoing conditions shall require applicant to sell power, perform any service, or engage in any course of action on a basis which would be unlawfully preferential or discriminatory under any applicable law or that would impair applicant's ability to render adequate and reliable service to its own customers. All rates, charges or practices in connection therewith are to be subject to the approval of regulatory agencies having jurisdiction over them.

[FR Doc.75-5418 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON REACTOR SAFEGUARDS, FULTON GENERATING STATION SUBCOMMITTEE, AND SUMMIT POWER STATION SUBCOMMITTEE Meeting

In accordance with the purposes of Sections 29 and 182 b. of the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 2039, 2232b.), the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards' Subcommittees on the Fulton Generating Station and the Summit Power Station will hold a meeting on March 20, 1975 in the Sir Galahad Room of the Royal Court Inn, 1750 S. Elmhurst, Des Plaines, Illinois.

The purpose of the meeting will be to discuss the application by the Philadelphia Electric Co. for construction permits for the Fulton Generating Station and the Delmarva Power and Light Co. for construction permits for the Summit Power Station.

The following constitutes that portion of the Subcommittees' agenda for the above meeting which will be open to the public:

THURSDAY, MARCH 20, 1975, 9 A.M.-5 P.M.

Discussions with representatives of the Philadelphia Electric Co., the Delmarva Power and Light Co., and the NRC Staff.

Representatives of the Philadelphia Electric Co. will make presentations on various aspects of the design of the high temperature gas-cooled reactors proposed for the Fulton Generating Station.

In connection with the above agenda, the subcommittees will hold executive sessions prior to, and at the close of, the day's public session, which will involve a discussion of their preliminary views, and an exchange of opinions of the subcommittees members and internal deliberations and formulation of recommendations to the ACRS. In addition, the subcommittees may hold a closed session with the NRC Staff and representatives of the Philadelphia Electric Co. and/or the Delmarva Power and Light Co. to discuss privileged information relating to the proposed design features.

I have determined, in accordance with subsection 10(d) of Pub. L. 92-463, that the executive sessions at the beginning and end of the day's session will consist of an exchange of opinions and formulation of recommendations, the discussion of which, if written, would fall within exemption (5) of 5 U.S.C. 552(b) and that a closed session may be held, if necessary, to discuss certain documents and information which are privileged and fall within exemption (4) of 5 U.S.C. 552(b). Further, any non-exempt material that will be discussed during the above closed sessions will be inextricably intertwined with exempt material, and no further separation of this material is considered practical. It is essential to close such portions of the meeting to protect such privileged information and protect the free interchange of internal views and to avoid undue interference with agency or committee operation.

Practical considerations may dictate alterations in the above agenda or schedule.

The Chairman of the Subcommittees is empowered to conduct the meeting in a manner that, in his judgment, will facilitate the orderly conduct of business, including provisions to carry over an incomplete open session from one day to the next.

With respect to public participation in the open portion of the meeting, the following requirements shall apply:

(a) Persons wishing to submit written statements regarding the agenda item may do so by mailing 25 copies thereof, postmarked no later than March 13, 1975, to the Executive Secretary, Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555. Such comments shall be based upon documents which are on file and available for public inspection at the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20555; the Lancaster County Library, 125 North Duke Street, Lancaster, Pennsylvania 17602; and the Newark Free Library, Elkton and Delaware Roads, Newark, Delaware 19711.

(b) Those persons submitting a written statement in accordance with paragraph (a) above may request an opportunity to make oral statements concerning the written statement. Such requests shall accompany the written statement and shall set forth reasons justifying the need for such oral statement and its usefulness to the Subcommittees. To the extent that the time available for the meeting permits, the Subcommittees will receive oral statements during a period of no more than 30 minutes at an appropriate time, chosen by the Chairman of the Subcommittees between the hours of 1:30 p.m. and 3:30 p.m. on March 20, 1975.

(c) Requests for the opportunity to make oral statements shall be ruled on by

the Chairman of the Subcommittees who is empowered to apportion the time available among those selected by him to make oral statements.

(d) Information as to whether the meeting has been cancelled or rescheduled and in regard to the Chairman's ruling on requests for the opportunity to present oral statements, and the time allotted, can be obtained by a prepaid telephone call on March 18, 1975 to the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (telephone 202-634-1374) between 8:15 a.m. and 5 p.m., Eastern Time.

(e) Questions may be propounded only by members of the Subcommittees and their consultants.

(f) Seating for the public will be available on a first-come, first-served basis.

(g) The use of still, motion picture, and television cameras, the physical installation and presence of which will not interfere with the conduct of the meeting, will be permitted both before and after the meeting and during any recess. The use of such equipment will not, however, be allowed while the meeting is in session.

(h) Persons desiring to attend portions of the meeting where proprietary information, other than plant security information, is to be discussed may do so by providing to the Executive Secretary, Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards, 1717 H St., NW., Washington, D.C. 20555, 7 days prior to the meeting, a copy of an executed agreement with the owner of the proprietary information to safeguard this material.

(i) A copy of the transcript of the open portions of the meeting will be available for inspection on or after March 24, 1975 at the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20555, and within approximately nine days at the Lancaster County Library, 125 North Duke Street, Lancaster, Pennsylvania 17602, and the Newark Free Library, Elkton and Delaware Roads, Newark, Delaware 19711. Copies of the transcript may be reproduced in the Public Document Room or may be obtained from Ace Federal Reporters, Inc., 415 Second Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20002 (telephone 202-547-6222) upon payment of appropriate charges.

(j) On request, copies of the minutes of the meeting will be made available for inspection at the NRC Public Document Room 1717 H St., NW., Wash., D.C. 20555 after June 20, 1975. Copies may be obtained upon payment of appropriate charges.

JOHN C. HOYLE,
Acting Advisory
Committee Management Officer.

FEBRUARY 27, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-5769 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

IRREGULAR-ROUTE MOTOR COMMON CARRIERS OF PROPERTY—ELIMINA- TION OF GATEWAY LETTER NOTICES

Notice

FEBRUARY 24, 1975.

The following letter-notices of proposals to eliminate gateways for the purpose of reducing highway congestion, alleviating air and noise pollution, minimizing safety hazards, and conserving fuel have been filed with the Interstate Commerce Commission under the Commission's Gateway Elimination rules (49 CFR 1065(a)), and notice thereof to all interested persons is hereby given as provided in such rules.

An original and two copies of protests against the proposed elimination of any gateway herein described may be filed with the Interstate Commerce Commission on or before March 17, 1975. A copy must also be served upon applicant or its representative. Protests against the elimination of a gateway will not operate to stay commencement of the proposed operation.

Successively filed letter-notices of the same carrier under these rules will be numbered consecutively for convenience in identification. Protests, if any, must refer to such letter-notices by number.

No. MC 107107 (Sub-No. E4), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: ALTERMAN TRANSPORT LINES, INC., P.O. Box 425, Opa Locka, Fla. 33054. Applicant's representative: Ford W. Sewell (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Fresh meat*, from points in Texas to points in Maine, New Hampshire, and Vermont (Pensacola, Fla.);* (2) *Dairy products*, as described by the Commission, and *frozen foods*, from Houston and San Antonio, Tex., and points in Bosque, Collin, Cooke, Dallas, Denton, Ellis, Erath, Fannin, Grayson, Henderson, Hill, Hood, Hunt, Jack, Jackson, Kaufman, Navarro, Palo Pinto, Parker, Rains, Rockwall, Somervell, Tarrant, Van Zandt, and Wise Counties, Tex., to points in Florida (points in Alabama on and south of U.S. Highway 80).* The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways indicated by asterisks above.

No. MC 107107 (Sub-No. E5), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: ALTERMAN TRANSPORT LINES, INC., P.O. Box 425, Opa Locka, Fla. 33054. Applicant's representative: Ford W. Sewell (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Foods and food products and food ingredients* requiring temperature control in transit, from Philadelphia, Pa., to points in Chatham, Wayne, Lowndes, Ware, and Glynn Counties, Ga. (Jacksonville, Fla.);* and (2) *Meat, meat products, and meat by-products*, from Philadelphia, Pa., to those points in Alabama on and south of U.S. Highway 80 and those points in Georgia on and south of U.S. Highway 280 (except

Savannah, Ga.) (Florida).* The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways indicated by asterisks above.

No. MC 107107 (Sub-No. E6), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: ALTERMAN TRANSPORT LINES, INC., P.O. Box 425, Opa Locka, Fla. 33054. Applicant's representative: Ford W. Sewell (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods*, from Cleveland, Ohio, to points in Louisiana and those points in Mississippi on and south of U.S. Highway 82. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Doraville, Ga.

No. MC 107107 (Sub-No. E7), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: ALTERMAN TRANSPORT LINES, INC., P.O. Box 425, Opa Locka, Fla. 33054. Applicant's representative: Ford W. Sewell (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Foodstuffs* (except frozen foodstuffs, canned citrus fruits, canned citrus juices, coffee, and tea), and *related advertising and promotional material* when moving with such commodities, in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from Miami, Fla., to Salisbury, Md. (Wilmington, Del.);* (2) *Candy and confectionery and related advertising material*, when shipped with candy and confectionery, from Atlanta, Ga., and Chattanooga, Tenn., to points in Baldwin and Mobile Counties, Ala., and those points in Mississippi on and south of U.S. Highway 90 and those in Louisiana on and south of U.S. Highway 84 (Pensacola, Fla.).* The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways indicated by asterisks above.

No. MC-107107 (Sub-No. E8), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: ALTERMAN TRANSPORT LINES, INC., P.O. Box 425, Opa Locka, Fla. 33054. Applicant's representative: Ford W. Sewell (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Food and food ingredients* requiring temperature control (except dairy products as defined by the Commission), from Chicago, Ill., to points in Wayne, Chatham, Lowndes, Ware, and Glynn Counties, Ga. (Jacksonville, Fla.);* and (2) *Frozen foods*, from the Chicago, Ill., commercial zone, to points in and west of Hampton, Calleton, Dorchester, and Berkeley Counties, S.C. (Savannah, Ga.).* The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways indicated by asterisks above.

No. MC 112617 (Sub-No. E39), filed May 11, 1974. Applicant: LIQUID TRANSPORTERS, INC., P.O. Box 21395, Louisville, Ky. 40221. Applicant's representative: Charles R. Dunford (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Chemicals*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Calvert City, Ky., and points in Marshall County, Ky., within 5 miles thereof, to points in North Carolina and Virginia.

The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in Robertson County, Tenn.

No. MC 112617 (Sub-No. E40), filed May 11, 1974. Applicant: LIQUID TRANSPORTERS, INC., P.O. Box 21395, Louisville, Ky. 40221. Applicant's representative: Charles R. Dunford (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Chemicals*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in Kentucky within 5 miles of Brandenburg, Ky., excluding Brandenburg and Ekron, Ky., to points in New Mexico, Wyoming, and Utah. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in Robertson County, Tenn., and Calvert City, Ky.

No. MC 112617 (Sub-No. E41), filed May 11, 1974. Applicant: LIQUID TRANSPORTERS, INC., P.O. Box 21395, Louisville, Ky. 40221. Applicant's representative: Charles R. Dunford (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Chemicals*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in Kentucky within 5 miles of Brandenburg, Ky., excluding Brandenburg and Ekron, Ky., to points in North Dakota. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in Robertson County, Tenn., and Calvert City, Ky.

No. MC 112617 (Sub-No. E42), filed May 11, 1974. Applicant: LIQUID TRANSPORTERS, INC., P.O. Box 21395, Louisville, Ky. 40221. Applicant's representative: Charles R. Dunford (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Chemicals*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in Kentucky within 5 miles of Brandenburg, Ky., excluding Brandenburg and Ekron, Ky., to points in Colorado and Montana. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Robertson County, Tenn., and Calvert City, Ky.

No. MC 112617 (Sub-No. E43), filed May 11, 1974. Applicant: LIQUID TRANSPORTERS, INC., P.O. Box 21395, Louisville, Ky. 40221. Applicant's representative: Charles R. Dunford (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Chemicals* (except petrochemicals); in bulk, in tank vehicles, from the facilities of the Polymers & Chemicals Division of W. R. Grace and Co., at Owensboro, Ky., to points in New Mexico, Wyoming, and Utah. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Calvert City, Ky.

No. MC 112617 (Sub-No. E44), filed May 11, 1974. Applicant: LIQUID TRANSPORTERS, INC., P.O. Box 21395, Louisville, Ky. 40221. Applicant's representative: Charles R. Dunford (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Chemicals*, (except petrochemicals), in bulk,

in tank vehicles, from the facilities of the Polymers & Chemicals Division of the W. R. Grace and Co., at Owensboro, Ky., to points in North Dakota. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Calvert City, Ky.

No. MC 112617 (Sub-No. E45), filed May 11, 1974. Applicant: LIQUID TRANSPORTERS, INC., P.O. Box 21395, Louisville, Ky. 40221. Applicant's representative: Charles R. Dunford (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Chemicals* (except petrochemicals), in bulk, in tank vehicles, from the facilities of the Polymers & Chemicals Division of the W. R. Grace and Co., at Owensboro, Ky., to points in Colorado and Montana. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Calvert City, Ky.

No. MC 112617 (Sub-No. E47), filed May 11, 1974. Applicant: LIQUID TRANSPORTERS, INC., P.O. Box 21395, Louisville, Ky. 40221. Applicant's representative: Charles R. Dunford (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquid feed supplement*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from the facilities of National Molasses Co., at Owensboro, Ky., to points in Alabama (except Lauderdale and Colbert Counties), Georgia, Mississippi, and North Carolina, restricted to the transportation of shipments originating at the above-named origin facility. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points in Robertson County, Tenn.

No. MC 112617 (Sub-No. E49), filed May 11, 1974. Applicant: LIQUID TRANSPORTERS, INC., P.O. Box 21395, Louisville, Ky. 40221. Applicant's representative: Charles R. Dunford (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquid Chemicals*, (except those which are petroleum products and are listed in Appendix XIII to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209), in bulk, in tank vehicles from Huntington, W. Va., to points in Arkansas, Oklahoma, Kansas, and Texas (except points in Harris County, Tex.). The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Calvert City, Ky.

No. MC 112617 (Sub-No. E50), filed May 11, 1974. Applicant: LIQUID TRANSPORTERS, INC., P.O. Box 21395, Louisville, Ky. 40221. Applicant's representative: Charles R. Dunford (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquid chemicals* (except those which are petroleum products and are listed in Appendix XIII to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209), in bulk, in tank vehicles from Huntington, W. Va., to points in New Mexico, Wyoming, and Utah. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Calvert City, Ky.

No. MC 112617 (Sub-No. E51), filed May 11, 1974. Applicant: LIQUID

TRANSPORTERS, INC., P.O. Box 21395, Louisville, Ky. 40221. Applicant's representative: Charles R. Dunford (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquid chemicals* (except those which are petroleum products and are listed in Appendix XIII to the report in *Description in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209), in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Huntington, W. Va., to points in Colorado and Montana. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Calvert City, Ky.

No. MC 112617 (Sub-No. E53), filed May 11, 1974. Applicant: LIQUID TRANSPORTERS, INC., P.O. Box 21395, Louisville, Ky. 40221. Applicant's representative: Charles R. Dunford (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquid chemicals* (except those which are petroleum products and are listed in Appendix XIV to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209), in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Huntington, W. Va., to points in Georgia and Florida. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Doe Run, Ky.

No. MC 112617 (Sub-No. E54), filed May 11, 1974. Applicant: LIQUID TRANSPORTERS, INC., P.O. Box 21395, Louisville, Ky. 40221. Applicant's representative: Charles R. Dunford (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquid chemicals*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Sheffield, Ala., and points within 15 miles thereof, to points in Maryland, Michigan, Pennsylvania, and West Virginia. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Doe Run, Ky.

No. MC 112617 (Sub-No. E55), filed May 11, 1974. Applicant: LIQUID TRANSPORTERS, INC., P.O. Box 21395, Louisville, Ky. 40221. Applicant's representative: Charles R. Dunford (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Chemicals*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Sheffield, Ala., and points within 15 miles thereof, to points in Minnesota, Iowa, Nebraska, and Kansas. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Calvert City, Ky.

No. MC 112617 (Sub-No. E57), filed May 11, 1974. Applicant: LIQUID TRANSPORTERS, INC., P.O. Box 21395, Louisville, Ky. 40221. Applicant's representative: Charles R. Dunford (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquid chemicals*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Sheffield, Ala., and points within 15 miles thereof, to points in Wisconsin. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Doe Run, Ky.

No. MC 112617 (Sub-No. E59), filed May 11, 1974. Applicant: LIQUID TRANSPORTERS, INC., P.O. Box 21395, Louisville, Ky. 40221. Applicant's repre-

sentative: Charles R. Dunford (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Chemicals*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Sheffield, Ala., and points within 15 miles thereof, to points in New Mexico, Wyoming, Utah, North Dakota, Colorado, and Montana. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Calvert City, Ky.

No. MC 112617 (Sub-No. E61), filed May 11, 1974. Applicant: LIQUID TRANSPORTERS, INC., P.O. Box 21395, Louisville, Ky. 40221. Applicant's representative: Charles R. Dunford (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Chemicals*, as described in Appendix XV to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Charleston, Tenn., to points in Michigan, Minnesota, Iowa, Nebraska, and Kansas. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Doe Run, Ky.

No. MC 112617 (Sub-No. E62), filed May 11, 1974. Applicant: LIQUID TRANSPORTERS, INC., P.O. Box 21395, Louisville, Ky. 40221. Applicant's representative: Charles R. Dunford (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Chemicals*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Charleston, Tenn., to points in North Dakota, New Mexico, Wyoming, Utah, Colorado, Montana, and Oklahoma. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Calvert City, Ky.

No. MC 112617 (Sub-No. E65), filed May 11, 1974. Applicant: LIQUID TRANSPORTERS, INC., P.O. Box 21395, Louisville, Kentucky 40221. Applicant's representative: Charles R. Dunford (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Chemicals*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in Robertson County, Tenn., to points in North Dakota, Montana, New Mexico, Wyoming, Utah, and Colorado. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Calvert City, Ky.

No. MC 114019 (Sub-No. E254), filed May 12, 1974. Applicant: MIDWEST EMERY FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 7000 South Pulaski Road, Chicago, Illinois 60629. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Sibik (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Aluminum siding and parts, accessories and materials* used in the installation of aluminum siding, in mixed shipments with other building, roofing, and insulating materials, from Sparrows Point and Baltimore, Md., New York, N.Y., and points within 30 miles thereof, points in those parts of New Jersey, Delaware, and Maryland, which are within 30 miles of Philadelphia, Pa., those points in West Virginia on and north of U.S. Highway 50, those points

in Pennsylvania on and south and east of a line beginning at the Maryland-Pennsylvania State line and extending along U.S. Highway 119 to junction U.S. Highway 22, thence U.S. Highway 22 to the Pennsylvania-New Jersey State line, to points in Wisconsin, Iowa, Missouri, Illinois, these points in Indiana on, south, and west of a line beginning at the Ohio-Indiana State line and extending along U.S. Highway 40 to junction Interstate Highway 65, then Interstate Highway 65 to Lake Michigan, and those points in Kentucky on and west of Interstate Highway 27. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Lockland, Ohio.

No. MC 114019 (Sub-No. E402), filed May 16, 1974. Applicant: MIDWEST EMERY FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 7000 South Pulaski Road, Chicago, Illinois 60629. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Sibik (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods and foods not frozen*, when transported in the same vehicles with frozen foods in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from Sparrows Point and Baltimore, Md., points in New Jersey and Connecticut which are within 30 miles of New York, N.Y., points in those parts of New Jersey, Delaware, and Maryland, which are within 30 miles of Philadelphia, Pa., and those points in West Virginia, on and east of a line beginning at the Ohio-West Virginia State line and extending along Interstate Highway 77 to its junction with U.S. Highway 219, then U.S. Highway 219 to the West Virginia-Virginia State line, to points in Michigan. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Cleveland, Ohio.

No. MC 114019 (Sub-No. E414), filed May 19, 1974. Applicant: MIDWEST EMERY FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 7000 South Pulaski Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60629. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Sibik (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Plastic and vinyl building materials, backerboard, and materials and supplies* used on the installation thereof, from the facilities of Baird and Son, Inc., at Bardstown, Ky., to points in West Virginia, (except points in Mingo County). The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Chesapeake, Ohio.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E708), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 402, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Road building equipment* (except in each instance, commodities which because of size or weight, requires the use of special equipment, and except commodities described in *Mercer Extension-Oil Field Commodities*, 74 M.C.C. 459), to points in Washington, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, Minnesota,

Iowa, Kentucky, Virginia, West Virginia, New Jersey, and to points in that part of Tennessee on and north of a line beginning at the Missouri-Tennessee State line extending along Tennessee Highway 20 to junction Tennessee Highway 104, thence along Tennessee Highway 104 to junction U.S. Highway 70, thence along U.S. Highway 70 to junction Tennessee Highway 42, thence along Tennessee Highway 42 to junction Tennessee Highway 52, thence along Tennessee Highway 52 to junction U.S. Highway 27, thence along U.S. Highway 27 to junction Tennessee Highway 63, thence along Tennessee Highway 63 to junction U.S. Highway 25E, thence along U.S. Highway 25E to junction U.S. Highway 11W, thence along U.S. Highway 11W to the Tennessee-Virginia State line; to points in that part of Arkansas on and north of a line beginning at the Oklahoma-Arkansas State line extending along U.S. Highway 64 to junction U.S. Highway 67, thence along U.S. Highway 67 to junction Arkansas Highway 25, thence along Arkansas Highway 25 to the Arkansas-Missouri State line; to points in that part of Oklahoma on and northeast of a line beginning at the Kansas-Oklahoma State line extending along U.S. Highway 281 to junction U.S. Highway 64, thence along U.S. Highway 64 to junction U.S. Highway 60, thence along U.S. Highway 60 to junction U.S. Highway 177, thence along U.S. Highway 177 to junction U.S. Highway 64, thence along U.S. Highway 64 to the Oklahoma-Arkansas State line; to points in that part of Kansas on and northeast of a line beginning at the Kansas-Colorado State line extending along U.S. Highway 24 to junction Interstate Highway 70, thence along Interstate Highway 70 to junction U.S. Highway 281, thence along U.S. Highway 281 to the Kansas-Oklahoma State line; to points in that part of Colorado on and northeast of a line beginning at the Wyoming-Colorado State line extending along U.S. Highway 85 to junction U.S. Highway 34, thence along U.S. Highway 34 to junction U.S. Highway 385, thence along U.S. Highway 385 to junction U.S. Highway 24, thence along U.S. Highway 24 to the Colorado-Kansas State line; to points in that part of Wyoming on and north of a line beginning at the Idaho-Wyoming State line extending along U.S. Highway 30N to junction Interstate Highway 80, thence along Interstate Highway 80 to junction U.S. Highway 85, thence along U.S. Highway 85 to the Wyoming-Colorado State line; to points in that part of Idaho on and north of a line beginning at the Oregon-Idaho State line extending along U.S. Highway 20 to junction Interstate Highway 80N, thence along Interstate Highway 80N to junction Interstate Highway 15W, thence along Interstate Highway 15W to junction U.S. Highway 30N, thence along U.S. Highway 30N to the Idaho-Wyoming State line; and to points in that part of Oregon on and north of a line beginning at Coquille Point, Oreg., extending along Oregon Highway 425 to junction Oregon Highway 42, thence along Oregon Highway 42 to junction Interstate Highway 5, thence along Interstate Highway 5 to

junction Oregon Highway 126, thence along Oregon Highway 126 to junction U.S. Highway 20, thence along U.S. Highway 20 to the Oregon-Idaho State line, restricted against shipments moving in foreign commerce to points in Canada. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Claremore, Okla.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E1037), filed July 3, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Self-propelled farm machinery and parts thereof*, (except commodities the transportation of which because of size or weight requires the use of special equipment) from Madison, S. Dak., to points in Florida, Alabama, South Carolina, North Carolina, Tennessee, Kentucky, West Virginia, Ohio, Indiana, Michigan, and to points in that part of Minnesota on and east of a line beginning at the Wisconsin-Minnesota State line extending along U.S. Highway 10 to junction Minnesota Highway 65, thence along Minnesota Highway 65 to junction Minnesota Highway 169, thence along Minnesota Highway 169, to junction Minnesota Highway 6, thence along Minnesota Highway 6, to junction Minnesota Highway 72, thence along Minnesota Highway 72, to junction Minnesota Highway 11, thence along Minnesota Highway 11 to Warroad, Minn., to points in that part of Wisconsin on and east of a line beginning at the Illinois-Wisconsin State line extending along U.S. Highway 51 to junction U.S. Highway 12, thence along U.S. Highway 12, to junction U.S. Highway 10, thence along U.S. Highway 10 to the Wisconsin-Minnesota State line, to points in that part of Illinois on and east of a line beginning at the Missouri-Illinois State line extending along U.S. Highway 66 to junction Illinois Highway 29, thence along Illinois Highway 29, to junction U.S. Highway 51, thence along U.S. Highway 51 to the Illinois-Wisconsin State line, to points in that part of Missouri on and east of a line beginning at the Arkansas-Missouri State line extending along U.S. Highway 67 to the Missouri-Illinois State line, to points in that part of Arkansas on and east of a line beginning at the Missouri-Arkansas State line extending along U.S. Highway 67 to junction Arkansas Highway 1, thence along Arkansas Highway 1 to the Arkansas-Mississippi State line thence along the Arkansas-Mississippi State line to junction U.S. Highway 82, thence along U.S. Highway 82 to junction U.S. Highway 65, thence along U.S. Highway 65, to junction Arkansas Highway 8, thence along Arkansas Highway 8, to junction U.S. Highway 165, thence along U.S. Highway 165 to the Arkansas-Louisiana State line, to points in that part of Mississippi on and east of a line beginning at the Arkansas-Mississippi State line extending along U.S. Highway 49 to junction U.S. Highway 61, thence along U.S. Highway 61, to junction U.S.

Highway 82, thence along U.S. Highway 82 to the Arkansas-Mississippi State line, and to points in that part of Louisiana on and east of a line beginning at Morgan City, La., extending along Louisiana Highway 70 to junction Louisiana Highway 69, thence along Louisiana Highway 69, to junction Louisiana Highway 1, thence along Louisiana Highway 1, to junction U.S. Highway 190, thence along U.S. Highway 190, to junction U.S. Highway 71, thence along U.S. Highway 71, to junction U.S. Highway 165, thence along U.S. Highway 165 to the Louisiana-Arkansas State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Minneapolis, and Nassau, Minn.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E1045), filed July 3, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Farm machinery and parts* thereof (except commodities the transportation of which because of size or weight requires the use of special equipment or special handling), from Thief River Falls, Minn., to points in Nebraska and Wyoming. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Nassau, Minn. and points in South Dakota.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E1048), filed July 3, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Farm machinery and parts* thereof (except commodities the transportation of which because of size or weight requires the use of special equipment) to points in Louisiana, and to points in that part of Alabama on and south of a line beginning at the Alabama-Mississippi State line extending along U.S. Highway 84 to junction Wisconsin State Highway 52, thence along Alabama State Highway 52, to junction Alabama State Highway 27, thence along Alabama State Highway 27 to the Florida-Alabama State line, to points in that part of Mississippi on and south of a line beginning at the Arkansas-Mississippi State line extending along U.S. Highway 49 to junction U.S. Highway 82, thence along U.S. Highway 82, to junction U.S. Highway 51, thence along U.S. Highway 51, to junction Mississippi State Highway 35, thence along Mississippi State Highway 35, to junction U.S. Highway 80, thence along U.S. Highway 80, to junction U.S. Highway 45, thence along U.S. Highway 45, to junction U.S. Highway 84, thence along U.S. Highway 84 to the Mississippi-Alabama State line, to points in that part of Arkansas on and south of a line beginning at the Oklahoma-Arkansas State line extending along Interstate Highway 40 to junction Arkansas State Highway 130, thence along Arkansas State Highway 130, to junction U.S. Highway 79, thence

along U.S. Highway 79, to junction U.S. Highway 49, thence along U.S. Highway 49 to the Arkansas-Mississippi State line to points in that part of Texas on and south of a line beginning at the New Mexico-Texas State line extending along Interstate Highway 40 to the Texas-Oklahoma State line and to points in that part of New Mexico on and south of a line beginning at the Arizona-New Mexico State line extending along Interstate Highway 40 to the junction Interstate Highway 25, thence along Interstate Highway 25, to junction New Mexico State Highway 3, thence along New Mexico State Highway 3 to junction Interstate Highway 40, thence along Interstate Highway 40 to the New Mexico-Texas State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Des Moines, Iowa, Martin City, Mo., points in Kansas within 15 miles of Martin City, Mo., and Tulsa, Okla.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E1050), filed July 3, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Self-propelled farm machinery and parts* thereof, from Pella, Iowa, to points in Washington, Montana, and to points in that part of California on and west of a line beginning at the California-Nevada State line extending along U.S. Highway 6 to junction U.S. Highway 395, thence along U.S. Highway 395 to junction California Highway 58, thence along California Highway 58 to junction Interstate Highway 15, thence along Interstate Highway 15 to junction Interstate Highway 10, thence along Interstate Highway 10 to junction California Highway 111, thence along California Highway 111 to junction California Highway 74, thence along California Highway 74 to junction California Highway 71, thence along California Highway 71 to junction California Highway 79, thence along California Highway 79 to junction Interstate Highway 8, thence along Interstate Highway 8 to junction Interstate Highway 5, thence along Interstate Highway 5 to the United States-Mexico Boundary line, to points in that part of Nevada on and southwest of a line beginning at the California-Nevada State line extending along U.S. Highway 40 to junction Alternate U.S. Highway 95, thence along Alternate U.S. Highway 95 to junction U.S. Highway 50, thence along U.S. Highway 50 to junction Nevada Highway 8A, thence along Nevada Highway 8A to junction U.S. Highway 6, thence along U.S. Highway 6 to the California-Nevada State line, to points in that part of Oregon on and northwest of a line beginning at the Idaho-Oregon State line extending along U.S. Highway 95 to the Oregon-Nevada State line, to points in that part of Idaho on and north of a line beginning at the Idaho-Wyoming State line extending along U.S. Highway 20 to junction Idaho Highway 68, thence along Idaho Highway 68 to junction U.S. Highway 20, thence along U.S. Highway 20 to

junction U.S. Highway 95, thence along U.S. Highway 95 to the Idaho-Oregon State line, to points in that part of Wyoming on and north of a line beginning at the Wyoming-South Dakota State line extending along U.S. Highway 14 to junction U.S. Highway 16, thence along U.S. Highway 16 to junction U.S. Highway 20, thence along U.S. Highway 20 to the Wyoming-Idaho State line, to points in that part of Michigan on and west of a line beginning at the Michigan-Wisconsin State line extending along U.S. Highway 2/141 to junction Michigan Highway 95, thence along Michigan Highway 95 to junction U.S. Highway 41, thence along U.S. Highway 41 to Marquette, Mich., to points in that part of Wisconsin on and northwest of a line beginning at the Wisconsin-Minnesota State line extending along U.S. Highway 63 to junction U.S. Highway 10, thence along U.S. Highway 10 to junction Wisconsin Highway 27, thence along Wisconsin Highway 27 to junction Wisconsin Highway 29, thence along Wisconsin Highway 29 to junction Wisconsin Highway 52, thence along Wisconsin Highway 52 to junction Wisconsin Highway 32, thence along Wisconsin Highway 32 to junction U.S. Highway 8, thence along U.S. Highway 8 to junction U.S. Highway 8/141, thence along U.S. Highway 8/141 to the Wisconsin-Michigan State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E1051), filed July 3, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Farm machinery and parts* thereof, from Armstrong, Iowa, to points in that part of Missouri on and south of a line beginning at the Kansas-Missouri State line extending along U.S. Highway 66 to junction Interstate Highway 44, thence along Interstate Highway 44 to junction U.S. Highway 60, thence along U.S. Highway 60 to junction U.S. Highway 63, thence along U.S. Highway 63 to the Arkansas-Missouri State line, restricted against movement to oil field locations. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Beatrice and Nebraska City, Nebr.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E1052), filed July 3, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Farm machinery*, (except, in each instance, commodities which, because of size or weight require the use of special equipment, and except commodities described in *Mercer Extension-Oil Field Commodities*, 74 M.C.C. 459), from Pella, Iowa, to points in Arizona, New Mexico, Louisiana, to points in that part of Florida on and south of a line beginning at the Florida-Alabama State line extending along U.S.

Highway 90 to junction U.S. Highway 98, thence along U.S. Highway 98 to Panama City, Fla., to points in that part of Alabama on and south of a line beginning at the Mississippi-Alabama State line extending along U.S. Highway 45 to junction U.S. Highway 90, thence along U.S. Highway 90 to the Alabama-Florida State line, to points in that part of Mississippi on, south and west of a line beginning at the Arkansas-Mississippi State line extending along U.S. Highway 82 to junction U.S. Highway 49, thence along U.S. Highway 49 to junction U.S. Highway 84, thence along U.S. Highway 84 to junction U.S. Highway 45, thence along U.S. Highway 45 to the Mississippi-Alabama State line, to points in that part of Arkansas on and southwest of a line beginning at the Arkansas-Oklahoma State line extending along Interstate Highway 40 to junction U.S. Highway 71, thence along U.S. Highway 71 to junction U.S. Highway 270, thence along U.S. Highway 270 to junction U.S. Highway 65, thence along U.S. Highway 65 to junction U.S. Highway 82, thence along U.S. Highway 82 to the Arkansas-Mississippi State line, to points in that part of Nevada on and south of a line beginning at the California-Nevada State line extending along Interstate Highway 15 to the Nevada-Arizona State line, to points in that part of California on, south and west of a line beginning at San Francisco, Calif., extending along Interstate Highway 80 to junction Interstate Highway 580, thence along Interstate Highway 580 to junction Interstate Highway 5, thence along Interstate Highway 5 to junction California Highway 4, thence along California Highway 4 to junction California Highway 99, thence along California Highway 99 to junction California Highway 140, thence along California Highway 140 to junction California Highway 120, thence along California Highway 120 to junction U.S. Highway 395, thence along U.S. Highway 395 to junction California Highway 58, thence along California Highway 58 to junction Interstate Highway 15, thence along Interstate Highway 15 to the California-Nevada State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Des Moines, Iowa, Claremore, Okla., Martin City, Mo., and points in Kansas within 15 miles of Martin City, Mo.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E1053), filed July 3, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Cast iron pressure pipe* (other than pipe used in, or in connection with the discovery, development, production, refining, manufacture, processing, storage, transmission, and distribution of natural gas, and petroleum and their products and by-products), and *fittings and accessories* therefor when moving with such pipe from Bridgeton, N.J., and Macungie, Pa., to points in Idaho, Utah, and Arizona. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of the plant site of Griffin

Pipe Company located at or near Council Bluffs, Iowa.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E1054), filed July 3, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Farm machinery and parts* thereof, from Thief River Falls, Minn., to points in Texas and to points in that part of Missouri on and south of a line beginning at the Missouri-Nebraska State line extending along U.S. Highway 275 to junction U.S. Highway 136, thence along U.S. Highway 136 to junction U.S. Highway 59, thence along U.S. Highway 59 to junction Missouri Highway 46, thence along Missouri Highway 46 to junction U.S. Highway 71, thence along U.S. Highway 71 to junction Missouri Highway 48, thence along Missouri Highway 48 to junction U.S. Highway 69, thence along U.S. Highway 69 to junction Missouri Highway 6, thence along Missouri Highway 6 to junction Missouri Highway 13, thence along Missouri Highway 13 to junction U.S. Highway 36, thence along U.S. Highway 36 to junction U.S. Highway 65, thence along U.S. Highway 65 to junction U.S. Highway 24, thence along U.S. Highway 24 to junction U.S. Highway 63, thence along U.S. Highway 63 to junction Missouri Highway 22, thence along Missouri Highway 22 to junction U.S. Highway 54, thence along U.S. Highway 54 to junction Interstate Highway 70, thence along Interstate Highway 70 to the Missouri-Illinois State line, restricted against movement to oil field locations. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of points in Iowa and Beatrice, Nebr.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E1056), filed July 3, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Self-propelled farm machinery and parts* thereof, from Armstrong, Iowa, to points in Washington, Oregon, California, Nevada, Alabama, Georgia, Florida, Tennessee, Kentucky, Ohio, North Carolina, South Carolina, and to points in that part of Wisconsin on, north and east of a line beginning at Kenosha, Wis., extending along Wisconsin Highway 32 to junction U.S. Highway 141, thence along U.S. Highway 141 to junction Wisconsin Highway 29, thence along Wisconsin Highway 29 to junction Wisconsin Highway 49, thence along Wisconsin Highway 49 to junction Wisconsin Highway 153, thence along Wisconsin Highway 153 to junction U.S. Highway 51, thence along U.S. Highway 51 to junction Wisconsin Highway 34, thence along Wisconsin Highway 34 to junction U.S. Highway 10, thence along U.S. Highway 10 to the Wisconsin-Minnesota State line, to points in that part of Michigan on and east of a line beginning at the Indiana-Michigan State line extending along U.S. Highway 31 to junc-

tion Michigan Highway 140, thence along Michigan Highway 140 to junction U.S. Highway 31, thence along U.S. Highway 31 to Muskegon, Mich., to points in that part of Indiana on and east of a line beginning at the Kentucky-Indiana State line extending along U.S. Highway 41 to junction Indiana Highway 57, thence along Indiana Highway 57 to junction Indiana Highway 54, thence along Indiana Highway 54 to junction Indiana Highway 45, thence along Indiana Highway 45 to junction Indiana Highway 37, thence along Indiana Highway 37 to junction U.S. Highway 31, thence along U.S. Highway 31 to the Indiana-Michigan State line, to points in that part of Mississippi on and east of a line beginning at the Louisiana-Mississippi State line extending along Interstate Highway 55 to the Mississippi-Tennessee State line, to points in that part of Louisiana on and south of a line beginning at the Texas-Louisiana State line extending along Interstate Highway 10 to junction U.S. Highway 190, thence along U.S. Highway 190 to junction Interstate Highway 55, thence along Interstate Highway 55 to the Louisiana-Mississippi State line, to points in that part of Texas on and south of a line beginning at the New Mexico-Texas State line extending along Texas Highway 18 to junction Texas Highway 302, thence along Texas Highway 302 to junction U.S. Highway 385, thence along U.S. Highway 385 to junction County Highway 305, thence along County Highway 305 to junction Texas Highway 29, thence along Texas Highway 29 to junction County Highway 42, thence along County Highway 42 to junction Texas Highway 71, thence along Texas Highway 71 to junction U.S. Highway 290, thence along U.S. Highway 290 to junction Interstate Highway 10, thence along Interstate Highway 10 to the Texas-Louisiana State line, to points in that part of New Mexico on and west of a line beginning at the Arizona-New Mexico State line extending along Interstate Highway 40 to junction New Mexico Highway 32, thence along New Mexico Highway 32 to junction U.S. Highway 60, thence along U.S. Highway 60 to junction Interstate 25, thence along Interstate Highway 25 to junction U.S. Highway 380, thence along U.S. Highway 380 to junction U.S. Highway 54, thence along U.S. Highway 54 to junction U.S. Highway 82, thence along U.S. Highway 82 to junction U.S. Highway 285, thence along U.S. Highway 285 to junction New Mexico Highway 128, thence along New Mexico Highway 128 to junction New Mexico Highway 18, thence along New Mexico Highway 18 to the New Mexico-Texas State line, to points in that part of Arizona on, west and south of a line beginning at the Utah-Arizona State line extending along U.S. Highway 89 to junction Interstate Highway 40, thence along Interstate Highway 40 to the Arizona-New Mexico State line, to points in that part of Utah on and west of a line beginning at the Idaho-Utah State line extending along Interstate Highway 15, thence along Interstate Highway 15 to junction Utah Highway 28, thence along Utah Highway 28 to junction U.S. High-

way 69, thence along U.S. Highway 89 to the Utah-Arizona State line, to points in that part of Wyoming on and west of a line beginning at the Montana-Wyoming State line extending along Wyoming Highway 120 to junction U.S. Highway 20, thence along U.S. Highway 20 to the Wyoming-Idaho State line and to points in that part of Montana on and north of a line beginning at the North Dakota-Montana State line extending along U.S. Highway 10 to junction U.S. Highway 310, thence along U.S. Highway 310 to junction County Highway 306, thence along County Highway 308 to junction County Highway 397, thence along County Highway 397 to the Montana-Wyoming State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E1058), filed July 3, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Construction equipment* (except commodities which because of size or weight require the use of special equipment and except commodities described in *Mercer Extension-Oil Field Commodities*, 74 M.C.C. 459), from Barnesville, Minn., to points in that part of California on and south of a line beginning at the California-Arizona State line extending along U.S. Highway 95 to junction Interstate Highway 10, thence along Interstate Highway 10 to junction California Highway 111, thence along California Highway 111 to junction California Highway 74, thence along California Highway 74 to junction California Highway 71, thence along California Highway 71 to junction U.S. Highway 395, thence along U.S. Highway 395 to junction California Highway 75, thence along California Highway 75 to Oceanside, Calif., to points in that part of Arizona on and south of a line beginning at the Oklahoma-Arizona State line extending along U.S. Highway 66 to the Arizona-California State line, to points in that part of New Mexico on and south of a line beginning at the New Mexico-Texas State line extending along U.S. Highway 66 to the New Mexico-Arizona State line, to points in that part of Oklahoma on and south of a line beginning at the Arkansas-Oklahoma State line extending along U.S. Highway 59 to Oklahoma Highway 51, thence along Oklahoma Highway 51 to junction Interstate Highway 35, thence along Interstate Highway 35 to junction U.S. Highway 64, thence along U.S. Highway 64 to junction U.S. Highway 81, thence along U.S. Highway 81 to junction Oklahoma Highway 33, thence along Oklahoma Highway 33 to junction U.S. Highway 183, thence along U.S. Highway 183 to junction U.S. Highway 66, thence along U.S. Highway 66 to the Oklahoma-Texas State line, to points in that part of Arkansas on and south of a line beginning at the Tennessee-Arkansas State line extending along U.S. Highway 63 to junction Arkansas High-

way 14, thence along Arkansas Highway 14 to junction Arkansas Highway 27, thence along Arkansas Highway 27 to junction U.S. Highway 65, thence along U.S. Highway 65 to junction Arkansas Highway 123, thence along Arkansas Highway 123 to junction Arkansas Highway 16, thence along Arkansas Highway 16 to junction Arkansas Highway 68, thence along Arkansas Highway 68 to the Arkansas-Oklahoma State line, to points in that part of Mississippi on, west and south of a line beginning at the Alabama-Mississippi State line extending along U.S. Highway 82 to junction U.S. Highway 45, thence along U.S. Highway 45 to junction U.S. Highway 78, thence along U.S. Highway 78 to the Mississippi-Tennessee State line, to points in that part of Alabama on and south of a line beginning at the Alabama-Georgia State line extending along U.S. Highway 80 to junction U.S. Highway 82, thence along U.S. Highway 82 to the Alabama-Mississippi State line, and to points in that part of Georgia on and south of a line beginning at Brunswick, Ga., extending along U.S. Highway 84 to junction U.S. Highway 82, thence along U.S. Highway 82 to junction U.S. Highway 41, thence along U.S. Highway 41 to junction U.S. Highway 280, thence along U.S. Highway 280 to the Georgia-Alabama State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of points in Kansas and Claremore, Okla.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E1059), filed July 3, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Farm tractors* (except those with vehicle beds, bed frames and fifth wheels), equipment designed for use in conjunction with tractors, attachments for the above described commodities described in mixed loads with such commodities, from Barnesville, Minn., to points in that part of South Dakota on and west of a line beginning at the South Dakota-North Dakota State line extending along South Dakota Highway 25 to junction South Dakota Highway 10, thence along South Dakota Highway 10 to junction South Dakota Highway 23, thence along South Dakota Highway 23 to junction South Dakota Highway 25, thence along South Dakota Highway 25 to junction South Dakota Highway 20, thence along South Dakota Highway 20 to junction U.S. Highway 81, thence along U.S. Highway 81 to junction U.S. Highway 14, thence along U.S. Highway 14 to the South Dakota-Minnesota State line and to points in Maine, Vermont, New Hampshire, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut, New York, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, Delaware, Maryland, the District of Columbia, West Virginia, Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, Alabama, Tennessee, Kentucky, Ohio, Michigan, Indiana, Wisconsin, Mississippi, Louisiana, Arkansas, Missouri, Texas, Oklahoma, Kansas, Nebraska, Montana, Wyoming, Colorado,

New Mexico, Arizona, Nevada, Utah, Idaho, Washington, Oregon, and California. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Fargo, North Dakota.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E1060), filed July 3, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Self-propelled tractors, road-making machinery and contractors' equipment and contractors' equipment and supplies*, from Barnesville, Minn., to points in Arizona, California, New Mexico, Texas, Oklahoma, Arkansas, Louisiana, Illinois, Michigan, Indiana, Ohio, Kentucky, West Virginia, Tennessee, North Carolina, Mississippi, Alabama, Georgia, South Carolina, Florida, Vermont, New Hampshire, Maine, to points in that part of Missouri on and south of a line beginning at the Iowa-Missouri State line extending along U.S. Highway 169 to junction U.S. Highway 36, thence along U.S. Highway 36 to the Missouri-Kansas State line, to points in that part of Kansas on and south of a line beginning at the Missouri-Kansas State line extending along U.S. Highway 59 to junction Kansas Highway 4, thence along Kansas Highway 4 to junction Interstate Highway 35, thence along Interstate Highway 35 to junction U.S. Highway 54, thence along U.S. Highway 54 to junction U.S. Highway 154, thence along U.S. Highway 154 to junction Kansas Highway 23, thence along Kansas Highway 23 to junction Kansas Highway 96, thence along Kansas Highway 96 to junction U.S. Highway 83, thence along U.S. Highway 83 to junction Interstate Highway 70, thence along Interstate Highway 70 to junction Kansas Highway 27, thence along Kansas Highway 27 to the Kansas-Nebraska State line, to points in that part of Colorado on and south of a line beginning at the Nebraska-Colorado State line extending along U.S. Highway 34 to junction Colorado Highway 61, thence along Colorado Highway 61 to junction Colorado Highway 14, thence along Colorado Highway 14 to junction U.S. Highway 287, thence along U.S. Highway 287 to junction U.S. Highway 34, thence along U.S. Highway 34 to junction U.S. Highway 40, thence along U.S. Highway 40 to junction U.S. Highway 6, thence along U.S. Highway 6 to junction Colorado Highway 13, thence along Colorado Highway 13 to junction Colorado Highway 64, thence along Colorado Highway 64 to junction U.S. Highway 40, thence along U.S. Highway 40 to the Colorado-Utah State line, to points in that part of Utah on and south of a line beginning at the Utah-Colorado State line extending along U.S. Highway 40 to junction Interstate Highway 80, thence along Interstate Highway 80 to junction Interstate Highway 80N, thence along Interstate Highway 80N to junction U.S. Highway 91, thence along U.S. Highway 91 to junction Interstate Highway 80, thence along Interstate Highway 80 to the Utah-Ne-

vada State line, to points in that part of Nevada on and south of a line beginning at the Utah-Nevada State line extending along U.S. Highway 50 to junction Nevada Highway 51, thence along Nevada Highway 51 to junction U.S. Highway 40, thence along U.S. Highway 40 to junction U.S. Highway 95, thence along U.S. Highway 95 to junction Nevada Highway 140, thence along Nevada Highway 140 to the Nevada-Oregon State line, to points in that part of Oregon on and southwest of a line beginning at the Nevada-Oregon State line extending along Oregon Highway 140 to junction U.S. Highway 97, thence along U.S. Highway 97 to junction Oregon Highway 62, thence along Oregon Highway 62 to junction Oregon Highway 227, thence along Oregon Highway 227 to junction Oregon Highway 99, thence along Oregon Highway 99 to junction Oregon Highway 138, thence along Oregon Highway 138 to junction Oregon Highway 38, thence along Oregon Highway 38 to Reedsport, Oreg., to points in that part of Wisconsin on and south of a line beginning at the Minnesota-Wisconsin State line extending along Wisconsin Highway 77 to junction Wisconsin Highway 13, thence along Wisconsin Highway 13 to junction Wisconsin Highway 70, thence along Wisconsin Highway 70 to junction U.S. Highway 45, thence along U.S. Highway 45 to the Wisconsin-Michigan State line, and to points in that part of Upper Peninsula of Michigan on and east of a line beginning at the Wisconsin-Michigan State line extending along U.S. Highway 45 to junction U.S. Highway 2, thence along U.S. Highway 2 to junction Michigan Highway 64, thence along Michigan Highway 64 to Silver City, Mich., restricted against the transportation to points in Maine, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont of agricultural implements and machinery as defined in Appendix XII to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 292. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E1061), filed July 3, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Tractors* (except those with vehicle beds, bed frames and fifth wheels), *equipment* designed for use in conjunction with tractors, and *attachments* for the above-described commodities in mixed loads with such commodities, from Barnesville, Minn., to points in that part of North Dakota on and west of a line beginning at the North Dakota-Minnesota State line extending along Interstate Highway 94 to junction North Dakota Highway 38, thence along North Dakota Highway 38 to junction North Dakota Highway 46, thence along North Dakota Highway 46 to junction North Dakota Highway 32, thence along North Dakota Highway 32 to the North Dakota-South Dakota

State line, and to points in Maine, Vermont, New Hampshire, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut, New Jersey, Delaware, Maryland, the District of Columbia, Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, Alabama, Tennessee, Kentucky, West Virginia, Ohio, Pennsylvania, New York, Indiana, Michigan, Wisconsin, Illinois, Mississippi, Louisiana, Arkansas, Missouri, Oklahoma, Texas, New Mexico, Wyoming, Montana, Idaho, Utah, Arizona, California, Nevada, Oregon, and Washington. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E1065), filed July 3, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Farm tractors* (except those with vehicle beds, bed frames and fifth wheels), *equipment* designed for use in conjunction with tractors, and *parts* thereof, from Thief River Falls, Minn., to points in that part of Montana on and south of a line beginning at the United States-Canada Boundary line extending along County Highway 247 to junction Montana Highway 24, thence along Montana Highway 24 to junction Montana Highway 200, thence along Montana Highway 200 to junction Montana Highway 200S, thence along Montana Highway 200S to junction Interstate Highway 94, thence along Interstate Highway 94 to the Montana-South Dakota State line, points in that part of Wisconsin on and south of a line beginning at the Minnesota-Wisconsin State line extending along U.S. Highway 12 to junction Wisconsin Highway 29, thence along Wisconsin Highway 29 to junction Wisconsin Highway 52, thence along Wisconsin Highway 52 to junction U.S. Highway 45, thence along U.S. Highway 45 to junction Wisconsin Highway 64, thence along Wisconsin Highway 64 to the Michigan-Wisconsin State line, to points in that part of the Upper Peninsula of Michigan on, east, and south of a line beginning at Marquette, Mich., extending along U.S. Highway 41 to the Michigan-Wisconsin State line, and to points in Maine, Vermont, New Hampshire, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut, New Jersey, Delaware, Maryland, the District of Columbia, Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, Alabama, Tennessee, Kentucky, West Virginia, Ohio, New York, Pennsylvania, Indiana, Illinois, Michigan, Missouri, Arkansas, Louisiana, Texas, Oklahoma, Kansas, Nebraska, South Dakota, Wyoming, Colorado, New Mexico, Arizona, Utah, Idaho, Nevada, Washington, Oregon, and California. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Fargo, N. Dak.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E1070), filed July 3, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same

as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Farm machinery* and *parts* thereof (except commodities the transportation of which because of size or weight requires the use of special equipment), from Green Isle, Minn., to points in Louisiana and to points in that part of California on and south of a line beginning at San Luis Obispo, California extending along U.S. Highway 101 to junction California Highway 58, thence along California Highway 58, to junction U.S. Highway 66, thence along U.S. Highway 66 to Arizona-California State line, and to points in that part of Arizona on and south of a line beginning at the California-Arizona State line extending along U.S. Highway 66 to the Arizona-New Mexico on and south of a line beginning at the Arizona-New Mexico State line extending along U.S. Highway 66 to junction New Mexico Highway 52, thence along New Mexico Highway 52, the junction U.S. Highway 85, thence along U.S. Highway 85, to junction U.S. Highway 380, thence along U.S. Highway 380 to junction U.S. Highway 70, thence along U.S. Highway 70 to the Texas-New Mexico State line, to points in that part of Arkansas on and south of a line beginning at the Oklahoma-Arkansas State line extending along Interstate Highway 40 to junction U.S. Highway 71, thence along U.S. Highway 71, to junction U.S. Highway 270, thence along U.S. Highway 270, to junction Arkansas Highway 9, thence along Arkansas Highway 9, to junction Arkansas Highway 8, thence along Arkansas Highway 8, to junction U.S. Highway 167, thence along U.S. Highway 167, to junction U.S. Highway 82, thence along U.S. Highway 82 to the Arkansas-Mississippi State line, and to points in that part of Mississippi on and south of a line beginning at the Mississippi-Arkansas State line extending along Mississippi Highway 454 to junction U.S. Highway 80, thence along U.S. Highway 80, to junction U.S. Highway 49, thence along U.S. Highway 49, to junction U.S. Highway 98, thence along U.S. Highway 98, to junction Mississippi Highway 63, thence along Mississippi Highway 63 to Pascagoula, Miss. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Beatrice and Nebraska City, Nebr., Claremore, Okla., and points in Iowa.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E1071), filed July 3, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Road building equipment* (except commodities which because of size or weight require the use of special equipment and except commodities described in Mercer Extension—Oil Field Commodities, 74 M.C.C. 459), from Thief River Fall, Minn., to points in Louisiana, Florida, and to points in that part of Oklahoma on and southeast of a line beginning at the

Kansas-Oklahoma State line extending along U.S. Highway 281 to junction Oklahoma Highway 15, thence along Oklahoma Highway 15, to junction U.S. Highway 283, thence along U.S. Highway 283, to junction U.S. Highway 60, thence along U.S. Highway 60 to the Oklahoma-Texas State line, to points in that part of New Mexico on and south of a line beginning at the Texas-New Mexico State line extending along U.S. Highway 66 to junction New Mexico Highway 104, thence along New Mexico Highway 104, to junction U.S. Highway 85, thence along U.S. Highway 85, to junction U.S. Highway 66, thence along U.S. Highway 66 to the New Mexico-Arizona State line, to points in that part of Arizona on and south of a line beginning at the New Mexico-Arizona State line extending along U.S. Highway 66 to the Arizona-California State line, to points in that part of California on and south of a line beginning at the Arizona-California State line extending along U.S. Highway 95 to junction Interstate Highway 10, thence along Interstate Highway 10, to junction California Highway 74, thence along California Highway 74 to junction Interstate Highway 5, thence along Interstate Highway 5 to Los Angeles, Calif., to points in that part of Arkansas on and south of a line beginning at the Missouri-Arkansas State line extending along Arkansas Highway 5 to junction U.S. Highway 62, thence along U.S. Highway 62, to junction U.S. Highway 63, thence along U.S. Highway 63, to junction U.S. Highway 61, thence along U.S. Highway 61 to the Arkansas-Tennessee State line, to points in that part of Mississippi on and south of a line beginning at the Tennessee-Mississippi State line extending along U.S. Highway 72 to the Mississippi-Alabama State line to points in that part of Tennessee on and southwest of a line beginning at the Arkansas-Tennessee State line extending along U.S. Highway 61 to junction U.S. Highway 72, thence along U.S. Highway 72 to the Tennessee-Mississippi State line, to points in that part of Alabama on and south of a line beginning at the Mississippi-Alabama State line extending along U.S. Highway 72 to junction Alabama Highway 20, thence along Alabama Highway 20, to junction U.S. Highway 31, thence along U.S. Highway 31, to junction Alabama Highway 67, thence along Alabama Highway 67, to junction U.S. Highway 231, thence along U.S. Highway 231, to junction U.S. Highway 278; thence along U.S. Highway 278 to the Alabama-Georgia State line, and to points in that part of Georgia on and south of a line beginning at the Alabama-Georgia State line extending along U.S. Highway 278 to junction Interstate Highway 20, thence along Interstate Highway 20, to junction Georgia Highway 24, thence along Georgia Highway 24, to junction Georgia Highway 21, thence along Georgia Highway 21 to Savannah, Ga. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Claremore, Okla., and points in Kansas.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E1077), filed July 3, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Wa-

terloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Cast iron pressure pipe and fittings and accessories* therefor when moving with such pipe, from Hughes Springs, Tex., to points in Montana, Wyoming, South Dakota, North Dakota, and to points in that part of Nebraska on and north of a line beginning at the Colorado-Nebraska State line extending along Interstate Highway 80S to junction Interstate Highway 80, thence along Interstate Highway 80 to the Nebraska-Idaho State line, to points in that part of Illinois on and north of a line beginning at the Iowa-Illinois State line extending along Illinois Highway 92 to junction Illinois Highway 2, thence along Illinois Highway 2 to junction U.S. Highway 51, thence along U.S. Highway 51 to the Wisconsin-Illinois State line, and to points in that part of Michigan on and north of a line beginning at Tawas City, Mich. extending along Michigan Highway 55 to junction Michigan Highway 33, thence along Michigan Highway 33 to junction Michigan Highway 72, thence along Michigan Highway 72 to Empire, Mich. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of the plant site of Griffin Pipe Products Co., located at or near Council Bluffs, Iowa.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E1078), filed July 3, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Cast iron pressure pipe and fittings and accessories* therefor, when moving with such pipe, from Tyler, Tex., to points in Montana, South Dakota, North Dakota, and to points in that part of Wyoming on and north of a line beginning at the Nebraska-Wyoming State line extending along Interstate Highway 80 to the Wyoming-Utah State line, to points in that part of Nebraska on and north of a line beginning at the Iowa-Nebraska State line extending along Interstate Highway 80 to junction Interstate Highway 80S, thence along Interstate Highway 80S to the Colorado-Nebraska State line, to points in that part of Illinois on and north of a line beginning at the Iowa-Illinois State line extending along Illinois Highway 92 to junction Illinois Highway 2, thence along Illinois 2 to junction U.S. Highway 51, thence along U.S. Highway 51 to the Wisconsin-Illinois State line, and to points in that part of Michigan on and north of a line beginning at Traverse City, Mich., extending along Michigan Highway 72 to junction Highway 33, thence along Michigan Highway 33 to junction Michigan Highway 55, thence along Michigan Highway 55 to Tawas City, Mich. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of the plant site of Griffin Pipe Products Co., located at or near Council Bluffs, Iowa.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E1079), filed July 3, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420,

Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Farm machinery and parts thereof*, (except commodities the transportation of which because of size or weight requires the use of special equipment or special handling), from Valley, Nebraska, to points in Iowa, Minnesota, and Illinois. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Omaha, Nebr., and Council Bluffs, Iowa.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E1080), filed July 3, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Road building equipment* (except commodities which because of size or weight require the use of special equipment, and except commodities described in Mercer Extension—Oil Field Commodities, 74 M.C.C. 459), from Durant, Okla., to points in Washington, Oregon, California, Nevada, Idaho, Montana, Wyoming, North Dakota, South Dakota, Iowa, Wisconsin, West Virginia, Virginia, and to points in that part of North Carolina on and east of a line beginning at the Tennessee-North Carolina State line extending along U.S. Highway 70 to junction Interstate Highway 26, thence along Interstate Highway 26 to the North Carolina-South Carolina State line, to points in that part of South Carolina on and east of a line beginning at the North Carolina-South Carolina State line extending along Interstate Highway 26 to Charleston, S.C., to points in that part of Tennessee on and east of a line beginning at the Kentucky-Tennessee State line extending along U.S. Highway 25E to junction U.S. Highway 70, thence along U.S. Highway 70 to the Tennessee-North Carolina State line, to points in that part of Kentucky on and north of a line beginning at the Illinois-Kentucky State line extending along U.S. Highway 45 to junction U.S. Highway 68, thence along U.S. Highway 68 to junction Kentucky Highway 90, thence along Kentucky Highway 90 to junction U.S. Highway 127, thence along U.S. Highway 127 to the Kentucky-Tennessee State line, to points in that part of Kansas on and east of a line beginning at the Oklahoma-Kansas State line extending along U.S. Highway 75 to junction Kansas Highway 57, thence along Kansas Highway 57 to junction Kansas Highway 99, thence along Kansas Highway 99 to junction Kansas Highway 18, thence along Kansas Highway 18 to junction U.S. Highway 24, thence along U.S. Highway 24 to junction U.S. Highway 77, thence along U.S. Highway 77 to the Kansas-Nebraska State line, to points in that part of Nebraska on and north of a line beginning at the Colorado-Nebraska State line extending along Interstate Highway 80 to junction Nebraska Highway 70, thence along Nebraska Highway 70 to junction Nebraska Highway 2, thence along Nebraska Highway 2 to

junction U.S. Highway 281, thence along U.S. Highway 281 to junction U.S. Highway 6, thence along U.S. Highway 6 to junction Nebraska Highway 15, thence along Nebraska Highway 15 to the Nebraska-Kansas State line, to points in that part of Colorado on and north of a line beginning at the Utah-Colorado State line extending along U.S. Highway 6 to junction U.S. Highway 138, thence along U.S. Highway 138 to the Colorado-Nebraska State line, and to points in that part of Utah on and north of a line beginning at the Colorado-Utah State line extending along U.S. Highway 6 to junction Interstate Highway 70, thence along Interstate Highway 70 to junction Utah Highway 4, thence along Utah Highway 4 to junction U.S. Highway 91, thence along U.S. Highway 91 to the Utah-Arizona State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Claremore, Okla.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E1081), filed July 3, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Tractors* (except those with vehicle beds, bed frames, and fifth wheels), (2) *equipment* designed for use in conjunction with tractors, (3) *attachments* for the above described commodities, and (4) *parts* of the commodities described in (1) through (3) above, in mixed loads with such commodities, from Madison, South Dakota, to points in Washington, Oregon, Vermont, New Hampshire, Maine, Connecticut, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, New Jersey, Delaware, District of Columbia, South Carolina, Virginia, and to points in that part of California on and west of a line beginning at San Diego, Calif., extending along Interstate Highway 15 to junction U.S. Highway 395, thence along U.S. Highway 395 to junction Interstate Highway 10, thence along Interstate Highway 10 to junction U.S. Highway 95, thence along U.S. Highway 95 to junction Interstate Highway 40, thence along Interstate Highway 40 to junction California Highway 58, thence along California Highway 58 to junction U.S. Highway 395, thence along U.S. Highway 395 to the California-Nevada State line, to points in that part of Nevada on and west of a line beginning at the California-Nevada State line extending along U.S. Highway 395 to junction U.S. Highway 40, thence along U.S. Highway 40 to junction U.S. Highway 95, thence along U.S. Highway 95 to the Nevada-Oregon State line, to points in that part of Idaho on and north and west of a line beginning at the Oregon-Idaho State line extending along U.S. Highway 95 to junction Idaho Highway 19, thence along Idaho Highway 19 to junction U.S. Highway 30, thence along U.S. Highway 30 to junction U.S. Highway 26, thence along U.S. Highway 26 to the Idaho-Montana State line, to points in that part of Montana on and northwest of a line beginning at the Idaho-Montana State line extending

along Interstate Highway 15 to junction Montana Highway 200, thence along Montana Highway 200 to junction Montana Highway 200S, thence along Montana Highway 200S to junction Interstate Highway 94, thence along Interstate Highway 94 to the Montana-North Dakota State line, to points in that part of North Dakota on and north of a line beginning at the Montana-North Dakota State line extending along Interstate Highway 94 to the North Dakota-Minnesota State line, to points in that part of Minnesota on and north of a line beginning at the North Dakota-Minnesota State line extending along U.S. Highway 10 to junction Minnesota Highway 34, thence along Minnesota Highway 34 to junction U.S. Highway 71, thence along U.S. Highway 71 to the United States-Canada Boundary line, to points in that part of New York on and east of a line beginning at Buffalo, N.Y., extending along U.S. Highway 62 to the New York-Pennsylvania State line, to points in that part of Pennsylvania on and east of a line beginning at the New York-Pennsylvania State line extending along U.S. Highway 62 to junction Pennsylvania Highway 948, thence along Pennsylvania Highway 948 to junction U.S. Highway 219, thence along U.S. Highway 219 to the Pennsylvania-Maryland State line, to points in that part of Maryland on and east of a line beginning at the Pennsylvania-Maryland State line extending along U.S. Highway 219 to junction U.S. Highway 40, thence along U.S. Highway 40 to junction U.S. Highway 220, thence along U.S. Highway 220 to the Maryland-Virginia State line, to points in that part of Tennessee on and southeast of a line beginning at the Tennessee-Virginia State line extending along U.S. Highway 11W to junction U.S. Highway 129, thence along U.S. Highway 129 to the Tennessee-North Carolina State line, to points in that part of North Carolina on and east of a line beginning at the Tennessee-North Carolina State line extending along U.S. Highway 129 to junction U.S. Highway 19, thence along U.S. Highway 19 to junction U.S. Highway 25, thence along U.S. Highway 25 to the North Carolina-South Carolina State line, to points in that part of Georgia on and southeast of a line beginning at the South Carolina-Georgia State line extending along U.S. Highway 25 to junction Georgia Highway 121, thence along Georgia Highway 121 to junction U.S. Highway 84, thence along U.S. Highway 84 to junction Georgia Highway 31, thence along Georgia Highway 31 to the Georgia-Florida State line, to points in that part of Florida on and east of a line beginning at the Georgia-Florida State line extending along Florida Highway 145 to junction Florida Highway 14, thence along Florida Highway 14 to junction U.S. Highway 221, thence along U.S. Highway 221 to junction Florida Highway 27A to the Gulf of Mexico. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Fargo, North Dakota, and that part of the Fargo, North Dakota, commercial zone located in Moorhead, Minn.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E1082), filed July 3, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Agricultural implements and parts* thereof (except those commodities the transportation of which require the use of special equipment or special handling), between Valley, Nebr., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Colorado, Kansas, and Oklahoma. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Beatrice, Nebr.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E1083), filed July 3, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Farm machinery*, between Armstrong, Iowa, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Texas restricted against movement to oil field locations. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Beatrice, Nebr.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E1084), filed July 3, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Self-propelled agricultural implements and parts* for agricultural implements, from Tonkawa, Okla., to points in that part of Wisconsin on and north of a line beginning at the Wisconsin-Minnesota State line extending U.S. Highway 16 to junction Wisconsin State Highway 33, thence along Wisconsin State Highway 33 to junction Wisconsin State Highway 68, thence along Wisconsin State Highway 68 to junction U.S. Highway 151, thence along U.S. Highway 151 to junction Wisconsin State Highway 23, thence along Wisconsin State Highway 23 to Sheboygan, Wis., and to points in that part of North Dakota on and northeast of a line beginning at the United States-Canada Boundary line extending along North Dakota State Highway 81, thence along U.S. Highway 81 to junction U.S. Highway 10, thence along U.S. Highway 10 to the North Dakota-Minnesota State line, and to points in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan restricted against movement to oil field locations. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Beatrice, Nebr., and Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E1088), filed July 3, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Self-propelled farm machinery and parts* thereof, (except commodities the transportation of which because of size or weight re-

quires the use of special equipment), from Mitchell, S. Dak., to points in Florida, Alabama, Georgia, South Carolina, North Carolina, West Virginia, Ohio, Michigan, and to points in that part of Mississippi on and east of a line beginning at the Tennessee-Mississippi State line extending along U.S. Highway 45 to junction Mississippi Highway 4, thence along Mississippi Highway 4 to junction Mississippi Highway 25, thence along Mississippi Highway 25 to junction U.S. Highway 45, thence along U.S. Highway 45 to junction Mississippi Highway 63, thence along Mississippi Highway 63 to junction U.S. Highway 90, thence along U.S. Highway 90 to Gulfport, Miss., to points in that part of Kentucky on and east of a line beginning at the Kentucky-Indiana State line extending along U.S. Highway 231 to junction U.S. Highway 68, thence along U.S. Highway 68 to junction U.S. Highway 41, thence along U.S. Highway 41 to the Kentucky-Tennessee State line, to points in that part of Tennessee on and east of a line beginning at the Kentucky-Tennessee State line extending along U.S. Highway 41 to junction Tennessee Highway 13, thence along Tennessee Highway 13 to junction U.S. Highway 70, thence along U.S. Highway 70 to junction Tennessee Highway 22, thence along Tennessee Highway 22 to junction U.S. Highway 64, thence along U.S. Highway 64 to junction U.S. Highway 45, thence along U.S. Highway 45 to the Tennessee-Mississippi State line, to points in that part of Indiana on and northeast of a line beginning at the Indiana-Illinois State line extending along U.S. Highway 52 to junction Interstate Highway 65, thence along Interstate Highway 65 to junction U.S. Highway 50, thence along U.S. Highway 50 to junction U.S. Highway 231, thence along U.S. Highway 231 to the Indiana-Kentucky State line, to points in that part of Illinois on and east of a line beginning at the Wisconsin-Illinois State line extending along U.S. Highway 14 to junction Illinois Highway 59, thence along Illinois Highway 59 to junction U.S. Highway 52, thence along U.S. Highway 52 to the Illinois-Indiana State line, to points in that part of Wisconsin on the east of a line beginning at the Minnesota-Wisconsin State line extending along Wisconsin Highway 35 to junction U.S. Highway 8, thence along U.S. Highway 8 to junction U.S. Highway 51, thence along U.S. Highway 51 to the Wisconsin-Illinois State line, and to points in that part of Minnesota on and north of a line beginning at the United States-Canada Boundary line extending along U.S. Highway 71 to junction Minnesota Highway 6 thence along Minnesota Highway 6 to junction Minnesota Highway 38, thence along Minnesota Highway 38 to junction U.S. Highway 2, thence along U.S. Highway 2 to the Minnesota-Wisconsin State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Nassau and Minneapolis, Minnesota.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E1089), filed July 3, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representa-

tive: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Self-propelled tractors, road making machinery and contractors' equipment*, from Madison, S. Dak., to points in Michigan, Wisconsin, Illinois, Indiana, Ohio, Rhode Island, Vermont, New Hampshire, Maine, Kentucky, West Virginia, Tennessee, North Carolina, South Carolina, Mississippi, Alabama, Georgia, Florida, and to points in that part of Minnesota on and east of a line beginning at the United States-Canada Boundary line extending along U.S. Highway 53 to junction Minnesota Highway 73, thence along Minnesota Highway 73 to junction U.S. Highway 169, thence along U.S. Highway 69 to junction Minnesota Highway 210, thence along Minnesota Highway 210 to junction Minnesota Highway 371, thence along Minnesota Highway 371, to junction U.S. Highway 10, thence along U.S. Highway 10, to junction U.S. Highway 52, thence along U.S. Highway 52 to the Minnesota-Iowa State line, to points in that part of Iowa on and east of a line beginning at the Minnesota-Iowa State line extending along U.S. Highway 52 to junction Iowa Highway 150, thence along Iowa Highway 150, to junction U.S. Highway 218, thence along U.S. Highway 218, to junction U.S. Highway 61, thence along U.S. Highway 61 to points in that part of Missouri on and east of a line beginning at the Iowa-Missouri State line extending along U.S. Highway 61 to junction U.S. Highway 67, thence along U.S. Highway 67 to the Missouri-Arkansas State line, to points in that part of Arkansas on and east of a line beginning at the Missouri-Arkansas State line extending along U.S. Highway 67 to junction U.S. Highway 63, thence along U.S. Highway 63, to junction U.S. Highway 61, thence along U.S. Highway 61 to the Arkansas-Tennessee State line, and to points in that part of Louisiana on and south and east of a line beginning at the Mississippi-Louisiana State line extending along U.S. Highway 80 to junction U.S. Highway 165, thence along U.S. 165, to junction U.S. Highway along U.S. Highway 90 to Houma, La. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E1090), filed July 3, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Self-propelled road building equipment* from Perry Okla., to points in that part of Wisconsin on and north of a line beginning at the Wisconsin-Minnesota State line extending along U.S. Highway 10 to Manitowoc, Wis. and to points in that part of Minnesota on and north of a line beginning at the United States-Canada Boundary line extending along U.S. Highway 75 to junction Minnesota 175, thence along Minnesota 175 to junction U.S. 59, thence along U.S. Highway 59 to junction U.S. Highway 2, thence along U.S. Highway 2, to junction U.S. High-

way 71, thence along U.S. Highway 71 to junction U.S. Highway 10, thence along U.S. Highway 10 to the Minnesota-Wisconsin State line and to points in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of points of Kansas and Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E1093), filed July 3, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Agricultural machinery and implements* (except commodities the transportation of which, because of size or weight, requires the use of special equipment or special handling) between Madison, S. Dak., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Kansas, Oklahoma, points in that part of Colorado on and south of a line beginning at the Colorado-Kansas State line extending along U.S. Highway 36 to junction Interstate Highway 70, thence along Interstate Highway 70 to the Colorado-Utah State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Beatrice, Nebr.

No. MC 117344 (Sub-No. E47), filed May 21, 1974. Applicant: THE MAXWELL CO., 10380 Evendale Drive, Cincinnati, Ohio 45215. Applicant's representative: Thomas L. Maxwell (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Liquid blue and synthetic liquid resins*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Cincinnati, Ohio, to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Mississippi, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, and Wisconsin; (2) *Liquid glue*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Cincinnati, Ohio, to points in North Carolina and Virginia; and (3) *Synthetic liquid resins*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Cincinnati, Ohio, to points in Pennsylvania (except points in Allegheny, Butler, Chester, Delaware, Franklin, Mercer, Philadelphia, Beaver, Cambria, Dauphin, Fayette, McKean, Montgomery, Schuylkill, and Venango Counties), and those in West Virginia (except points in Brooke, Hancock, Monongalia, Hampshire, Kanawha, Ohio, Marion, Marshall, Pleasants, and Wetzel Counties). The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Taylorsport, Ky.

No. MC 117344 (Sub-No. E48), filed May 19, 1974. Applicant: THE MAXWELL CO., 10380 Evendale Drive, Cincinnati, Ohio 45215. Applicant's representative: Thomas L. Maxwell (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Benzol, Benzine, Toluol and Xylol*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Middletown, Ohio to points in Illinois (except points in the St. Louis, Mo.-East St. Louis, Ill. Commercial Zone as defined by the Commission), points in Kentucky on and west of a line beginning at Louisville, Ky. and extending south along U.S. Route 31E to Hodgenville, thence south along State Route

61 to Columbia, thence east along State Route 80 to Russell Springs, thence south along U.S. Route 127 to the Kentucky-Tennessee State line, points in Tennessee on and west of U.S. Route 127, and points in Wisconsin. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Jackson County, Ind.

No. MC 117344 (Sub-No. E51), filed May 19, 1974. Applicant: THE MAXWELL CO., 10380 Evendale Drive, Cincinnati, Ohio 45215. Applicant's representative: Thomas L. Maxwell (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Phenol, Alkybenzine and Resin Sizing*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Adyston, Ohio to points in Illinois (except points in the St. Louis, Mo.-East St. Louis, Ill. Commercial Zone, as defined by the Commission), the Upper Peninsula of Michigan, those in the Lower Peninsula of Michigan on and west of a line beginning at the Michigan-Indiana boundary and extending north along U.S. Route 131 to its junction with U.S. Route 31, thence along U.S. Highway 31 to Mackinaw City (except Grand Rapids, Michigan, and points in its Commercial Zone as defined by the Commission), those in Tennessee on and west of U. S. Highway 127, and Wisconsin. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Jackson County, Ind.

No. MC 117344 (Sub-No. E76), filed May 19, 1974. Applicant: THE MAXWELL COMPANY, 10380 Evendale Drive, Cincinnati, Ohio 45215. Applicant's representative: Thomas L. Maxwell (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Aluminum sulphate and rosin sizing*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in Butler County, Ohio to points in Illinois (except points in the St. Louis, Mo.-East St. Louis, Ill. Commercial Zone as defined by the Commission); points in Tennessee on and west of U.S. Highway 127, and points in Wisconsin. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Jackson County, Ind.

No. MC 117574 (Sub-No. E7), filed May 31, 1974. Applicant: DAILY EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 39, Carlisle, Pa. 17013. Applicant's representative: E. S. Moore, Jr., (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Such commodities as by reason of their size or weight* require the use of special equipment except machinery, equipment, materials and supplies used in, or in connection with, the construction, operations, repair, servicing, maintenance and dismantling of pipelines, between points in Florida and Georgia, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the Pennsylvania Counties of Bucks, Chester, Delaware, Berks, Montgomery, Philadelphia, Lehigh, Schuylkill, Carbon, Monroe, Northampton, Pike, and Wayne, and those points in Susquehanna, Wyoming, Lackawanna, Luzerne, Columbia, Montour, and Northumberland, on and east of U.S. Highway 11 (Danville,

Pa.); (2) *such commodities as by reason of their size or weight* require the use of special equipment except machinery, equipment, materials and supplies used in, or in connection with, the construction, operations, repair, servicing, maintenance and dismantling of pipelines, between points in South Carolina, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Pennsylvania on and east of U.S. Highway 11 in the Counties of Susquehanna, Wyoming, Lackawanna, Luzerne, Columbia, Montour, and Northumberland, all points in the Pennsylvania Counties of Carbon, Wayne, Pike, Monroe, Schuylkill, Northampton, and Lehigh, and those in Berks, Bucks and Montgomery Counties lying north of a line drawn along U.S. Highway 422 from the Berks-Lebanon County line to its intersection with U.S. Highway 202 and thence along U.S. Highway 202 to the Pennsylvania-New Jersey State line (Danville, Pa.); (3) *commodities*, which because of size or weight require the use of special equipment or special handling, (except boats), between points in the Pennsylvania Counties of Bucks, Berks, Philadelphia, Montgomery, Chester, Delaware, Lehigh, Northampton, Carbon, Schuylkill, Monroe, Pike, Wayne, and those points on and east of U.S. Highway 11 in the Counties of Susquehanna, Wyoming, Lackawanna, Luzerne, Columbia, Montour, and Northumberland, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in North Carolina and those in the District of Columbia, Maryland, and Virginia, west of the Susquehanna River and the Chesapeake Bay (Wakefield, Pa.); (4) *heavy machinery*, building and contractors' equipment, materials and supplies which because of size or weight require the use of special equipment or special handling, between points in Pennsylvania on and north of U.S. Highway 30, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Delaware, those in Maryland on and east of a line beginning at the Pennsylvania-Maryland State line and extending along Interstate Highway 83 to junction Interstate Highway 695, thence along Interstate Highway 695 to junction Maryland Highway 3, thence along Maryland Highway 3 to junction U.S. Highway 301, thence along U.S. Highway 301 to the Maryland-Virginia State line, and points in Virginia on and east of U.S. Highway 301 and U.S. Highway 17, through Norfolk, to the North Carolina-Virginia State line, and those points in North Carolina on and east of U.S. Highway 15 (25 miles radius of Baltimore, Md., and Shrewsbury, Pa.); (5) *heavy machinery*, building and contractors' equipment, materials and supplies which because of size or weight require the use of special equipment or special handling, between points in Connecticut, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, New Jersey, and those points in New York on and south of a line beginning at the Pennsylvania-New York State line at Port Jervis, N.Y., along U.S. Highway 209 to junction U.S. Highway 44, thence along U.S. Highway 44 to the Massachusetts-New York State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Pennsylvania on and south of a line from the Ohio-Pennsylvania State

line in an easterly direction along U.S. Highway 422 to its junction with U.S. Highway 22 at Ebensburg, Pa., thence along U.S. Highway 22 to U.S. Highway 11/15 at Amity Hall, Pa., thence along U.S. Highway 15 to the Pennsylvania-Maryland State line (Shrewsbury, Pa.); (6) *heavy machinery*, building and contractors' equipment, materials and supplies which because of size or weight require the use of special equipment or special handling, between points in New York City, Staten Island, and Long Island, N.Y., and those in New Jersey on and south of U.S. Highway 46, on the one hand, and, on the other, those in Pennsylvania in an area bounded on the west by the Ohio-Pennsylvania State line, thence along the shore of Lake Erie, thence along the New York-Pennsylvania State line to U.S. Highway 219, thence along U.S. Highway 219 to junction U.S. Highway 322, thence along U.S. Highway 322 to junction U.S. Highway 220, thence along U.S. Highway 220 to junction U.S. Highway 22, thence along U.S. Highway 22 to junction U.S. Highway 422, thence along U.S. Highway 422 to the point of beginning at the Pennsylvania-Ohio State line (Shrewsbury, Pa.); (7) *commodities*, which because of size or weight require the use of special equipment or special handling, between points in New York on, north and west of a line beginning at the Pennsylvania-New York State line at Corbettsville, N.Y., and thence along New York Highway 7 to junction U.S. Highway 9, through Glens Falls, N.Y., thence along U.S. Highway 4 to the Vermont-New York State line, on the one hand, and, on the other, all points in the Pennsylvania Counties of Luzerne, Carbon, Northampton, Monroe, Schuylkill, Berks, Lehigh, Bucks, Montgomery, Delaware, Chester, and Philadelphia, and those in Montour, Northumberland, and Columbia Counties, Pa., on and south of U.S. Highway 11 (Scranton, Williamsport and Bloomsburg, Pa.). The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways indicated by the asterisks above.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-5846 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

FILING OF MOTOR CARRIER INTRASTATE APPLICATIONS

FEBRUARY 28, 1975.

The following applications for motor common carrier authority to operate in intrastate commerce seek concurrent motor carrier authorization in interstate or foreign commerce within the limits of the intrastate authority sought, pursuant to section 206(a)(6) of the Interstate Commerce Act, as amended October 15, 1962. These applications are governed by § 1.245 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, issue of April 11, 1963, page 3533, which provides, among other things, that protests and requests for information concerning the time and place of State Commission hearings or

other proceedings, any subsequent changes therein, any other related matters shall be directed to the State Commission with which the application is filed and shall not be addressed to or filed with the Interstate Commerce Commission.

Alaska Docket No. 75-18 MF/O, filed January 21, 1975. Applicant: CLEVELAND TRUCKING, 8277 Spring Street, Anchorage, Alaska 99502. Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity sought to operate a freight service as follows: Machinery, equipment, materials and supplies used in or in connection with the discovery, development, production, refining, manufacturing, processing, storage, transmission, and distribution of natural gas, oil and petroleum and their products and by-products; machinery, equipment, materials and supplies used in or in connection with the construction on, operation, repair, servicing, maintenance and dismantling of pipelines, including the stringing and picking up thereof; building and construction, machinery, materials, equipment and supplies, between all points and places in Alaska, except Zones 1 and 8. Intrastate, interstate, and foreign commerce authority sought.

HEARING: Date, time and place not yet fixed. Requests for procedural information should be addressed to the Alaska Transportation Commission, 1000 MacKay Building, 338 Denali Street, Anchorage, Alaska 99501 and should not be directed to the Interstate Commerce Commission.

California Docket No. A 55483, filed February 6, 1975. Applicant: ROBERT E. SMITH, doing business as ALCAL MAIL SERVICE, 4365 Evelyn Court, Pleasanton, Calif. 94566. Applicant's representative: Edward J. Hegarty, 100 Bush St., 21st Fl., San Francisco, Calif. 94104. Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity sought to operate a freight service as follows: General commodities (except as hereinafter provided): Between all points and places in and within 10 miles of the boundaries of the San Francisco Territory as follows: San Francisco Territory includes all the City of San Jose and that area embraced by the following boundary: Beginning at the point the San Francisco-San Mateo County line meets the Pacific Ocean; thence easterly along said County Line to a point 1 mile west of State Highway 82; southerly along an imaginary line 1 mile west of and paralleling State Highway 82 to its intersection with Southern Pacific Company right-of-way at Arastradero Road; southeasterly along the Southern Pacific Company right-of-way to Pollard Road, including industries served by the Southern Pacific Company spur line extending approximately 2 miles southwest from Simla to Permanente; easterly along Pollard Road to W. Parr Avenue; easterly along W. Parr Avenue to Capri Drive; southerly along Capri Drive to Division Street; easterly along Division Street to the Southern Pacific Company right-of-way; southerly along the Southern Pacific right-of-way to the Campbell-Los

Gatos City Limits; easterly along said limits and the prolongation thereof to South Bascom Avenue (formerly San Jose-Los Gatos Road); northeasterly along South Bascom Avenue to Foxworthy Avenue; easterly along Foxworthy Avenue to Almaden Road; southerly along Almaden Road to Hillsdale Avenue.

Easterly along Hillsdale Avenue to State Highway 82; northwesterly along State Highway 82 to Tully Road; northeasterly along Tully Road and the prolongation thereof to White Road; northwesterly along White Road to McKee Road; southwestly along McKee Road to Capitol Avenue; northwesterly along Capitol Avenue to State Highway 238 (Oakland Road); northerly along State Highway 238 to Warm Springs; northerly along State Highway 238 (Mission Blvd.) via Mission San Jose and Niles to Hayward; northerly along Foothill Blvd. and MacArthur Blvd. to Seminary Avenue; easterly along Seminary Avenue to Mountain Blvd.; northerly along Mountain Blvd. to Warren Blvd. (State Highway 13); northerly along Warren Blvd. to Broadway Terrace; westerly along Broadway Terrace to College Avenue; northerly along College Avenue to Dwight Way; easterly along Dwight Way to the Berkeley-Oakland Boundary Line; northerly along said boundary line to the campus boundary of the University of California; westerly, northerly and easterly along the campus boundary to Euclid Avenue; northerly along Euclid Avenue to Marin Avenue; westerly along Marin Avenue to Arlington Avenue; northerly along Arlington Avenue to San Pablo Avenue (State Highway 123); northerly along San Pablo Avenue to and including the City of Richmond to Point Richmond; southerly along an imaginary line from Point Richmond to the San Francisco waterfront at the foot of Market Street; westerly along said waterfront and shoreline to the Pacific Ocean; southerly along the shoreline of the Pacific Ocean to point of beginning.

Except that applicant shall not transport any shipments of: 1. Used household goods and personal effects not packed in accordance with the crated property requirements set forth in paragraph (d) of Item No. 10-C of Minimum Rate Tariff No. 4-A. 2. Automobiles, trucks and buses, viz.: new and used, finished or unfinished passenger automobiles (including jeeps), ambulances, hearses and taxis; freight automobile chassis, trucks, truck chassis, truck trailers, trucks and trailers combined, buses and bus chassis. 3. Livestock, viz.: bucks, bulls, calves, cattle, cows, dairy cattle, ewes, goats, hogs, horses, kids, lambs, oxen, pigs, sheep, sheep camp outfits, sows, steers, stags or swine. 4. Liquids, compressed gases, commodities in semi-plastic form and commodities in suspension in liquids in bulk, in tank trucks, tank trailers, tank semi-trailers, or a combination of such highway vehicles. 5. Commodities when transported in bulk in dump trucks or in hopper-type trucks. 6. Commodities equipped for mechanical mixing in

transit. 7. Cement. 8. Logs. 9. Commodities of unusual or extraordinary value. 10. Fresh Fruits and Vegetables. Intrastate, interstate and foreign commerce authority sought. **HEARING:** Date, time and place not yet fixed. Requests for procedural information should be addressed to the California Public Utilities Commission, California State Building, 350 McAllister Street, San Francisco, Calif. 94102 and should not be directed to the Interstate Commerce Commission.

California Docket No. A 55486, filed February 7, 1975. Applicant: H. R. McDONALD, doing business as R & S DRAYAGE, 760 Dolores Avenue, San Leandro, Calif. 94577. Applicant's representative: Daniel W. Baker, 100 Pine Street, San Francisco, Calif. 94111. Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity sought to operate a freight service as follows: General commodities, except the following: (a) Used household goods and personal effects not packed in accordance with the crated property requirements; (b) Livestock; (c) Liquids, compressed gases, commodities in semi-plastic form and commodities in suspension in liquids in bulk, in tank trucks, tank trailers, tank semi-trailers or a combination of such highway vehicles; (d) Commodities when transported in bulk in dump trucks or in hopper-type trucks; (e) Commodities when transported in motor vehicles equipped for mechanical mixing in transit; (f) Logs; (g) Fresh fruits and vegetables; (h) Automobiles, trucks, and buses.

Between all points and places in the San Francisco Territory as described hereafter, and all points within ten miles of any point therein. In performing the service herein authorized, applicant may make use of any and all streets, roads, highways and bridges necessary or convenient for the performance of said service. San Francisco Territory includes all the City of San Jose and that area embraced by the following boundary: Beginning at the point the San Francisco-San Mateo County Boundary Line meets the Pacific Ocean; thence easterly along said boundary line to a point 1 mile west of U.S. Highway 101; southerly along an imaginary line 1 mile west of and paralleling U.S. Highway 101 to its intersection with Southern Pacific Company right of way at Arastradero Road; southeasterly along the Southern Pacific Company right of way to Pollard Road, including industries served by the Southern Pacific Company spur line extending approximately 2 miles southwest from Simla to Permanente; easterly along Pollard Road to W. Parr Avenue; easterly along W. Parr Avenue to Capri Drive; southerly along Capri Drive to E. Parr Avenue; easterly along E. Parr Avenue to the Southern Pacific Company right of way; southerly along the Southern Pacific Company right of way to the Campbell-Los Gatos city limits; easterly along said limits and the prolongation thereof to the San Jose-Los Gatos Road.

Northeasterly along San Jose-Los Gatos Road to Foxworthy Avenue; easterly along Foxworthy Avenue to Almaden Road; southerly along Almaden Road to

Hillsdale Avenue; easterly along Hillsdale Avenue to U.S. Highway 101; northwesterly along U.S. Highway 101 to Tully Road; northeasterly along Tully Road to White Road; northwesterly along White Road to McKee Road; southwesterly along McKee Road to Capitol Avenue; northwesterly along Capitol Avenue to State Highway 17 (Oakland Road); northerly along State Highway 17 to Warm Springs; northerly along the unnumbered highway via Mission San Jose and Niles to Hayward; northerly along Foothill Boulevard to Seminary Avenue; easterly along Seminary Avenue to Mountain Boulevard; northerly along Mountain Boulevard and Moraga Avenue to Estates Drive; westerly along Estates Drive, Harbord Drive and Broadway Terrace to College Avenue; northerly along College Avenue to Dwight Way; easterly along Dwight Way to the Berkeley-Oakland boundary line; northerly along said boundary line to the campus boundary of the University of California; northerly and westerly along the campus boundary of the University of California to Euclid Avenue; northerly along Euclid Avenue to Marin Avenue; westerly along Marin Avenue to Arlington Avenue; northerly along Arlington Avenue to U.S. Highway 40 (San Pablo Avenue); northerly along U.S. Highway 40 to and including the city of Richmond; southwesterly along the highway extending from the city of Richmond to Point Richmond; southerly along an imaginary line from Point Richmond to the San Francisco Waterfront at the foot of Market Street; westerly along said waterfront and shore line to the Pacific Ocean; southerly along the shore line of the Pacific Ocean to point of beginning. Intrastate, interstate and foreign commerce authority sought.

HEARING: Date, time and place not yet fixed. Requests for procedural information should be addressed to the California Public Utilities Commission, California State Building, 350 McAllister Street, San Francisco, Calif. 94102 and should not be directed to the Interstate Commerce Commission.

Texas Docket No. 2047, filed December 16, 1974. Applicant: VICTOR L. LANGE, doing business as LANGE TRUCK LINE, P.O. Box 28, Pleasanton, Tex. 78064. Applicant's representative: Mike Cotten, P.O. Box 1148, Austin, Tex. 78767. Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity sought to operate a freight service as follows: Transportation of general commodities, over the following regular routes: (1) Between Pleasanton and Floresville, Tex.: From Pleasanton over State Highway 97 to Floresville and return over the same route, serving no intermediate points; (2) Between George West and Beeville, Tex.: From George West over U.S. Highway 59 to Beeville and return over the same route, serving no intermediate points; (3) Between Junction U.S. Highway 281 and State Highway 9 and Junction State Highway 9 and U.S. Highway 59: From Junction U.S. Highway 281 and State Highway 9 over State Highway 9 to Junction State Highway 9 and U.S. Highway 59 and return over the same route, serving no

intermediate points, coordinating the proposed service with service rendered under existing authority. Intrastate, interstate and foreign commerce authority sought.

HEARING: Date, time and place not yet fixed. Requests for procedural information should be addressed to the Texas Railroad Commission, Drawer 12967, Capitol Station, Austin, Tex. 78711, and should not be directed to the Interstate Commerce Commission.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-5838 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

[Notice 6]

MOTOR CARRIER ALTERNATE ROUTE DEVIATION NOTICES

FEBRUARY 28, 1975.

The following letter-notices of proposals (except as otherwise specifically noted, each applicant states that there will be no significant effect on the quality of the human environment resulting from approval of its application), to operate over deviation routes for operating convenience only have been filed with the Interstate Commerce Commission under the Commission's Revised Deviation Rules-Motor Carriers of Passengers, 1969 (49 CFR 1042.2(c)(9)) and notice thereof to all interested persons is hereby given as provided in such rules (49 CFR 1042.2(c)(9)).

Protests against the use of any proposed deviation route herein described may be filed with the Interstate Commerce Commission in the manner and form provided in such rules (49 CFR 1042.2(c)(9)) at any time, but will not operate to stay commencement of the proposed operations unless filed within 30 days from the date of publication.

Successively filed letter-notices of the same carrier under the Commission's Revised Deviation Rules-Motor Carriers of Property, 1969, will be numbered consecutively for convenience in identification and protests, if any, should refer to such letter-notices by number.

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PASSENGERS

No. MC 1515 (Deviation No. 693), GREYHOUND LINES, INC. (Eastern Division), P.O. Box 6903, 1400 W. Third Street, Cleveland, Ohio 44101, filed February 19, 1975. Carrier proposes to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, of passengers and their baggage, and express and newspapers in the same vehicle with passengers, over deviation routes as follows: From Beckley, W. Va., over West Virginia Highway 3 to junction Interstate Highway 77, thence over Interstate Highway 77 to junction U.S. Highway 21 (southwest of Bland, Va.), with the following access route: (a) From junction Interstate Highway 77 and West Virginia Highway 290 over West Virginia Highway 290 to Bluefield, W. Va., and return over the same routes for operating convenience only. The notice indicates that the carrier is pres-

ently authorized to transport passengers and the same property, over a pertinent service route as follows: From Beckley, W. Va., over U.S. Highway 21 via Bluefield, W. Va., to junction Interstate Highway 77 (southwest of Bland, Va.), and return over the same route.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-5839 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

[Notice 8]

MOTOR CARRIER ALTERNATE ROUTE DEVIATION NOTICES

FEBRUARY 28, 1975.

The following letter-notices of proposals (except as otherwise specifically noted, each applicant states that there will be no significant effect on the quality of the human environment resulting from approval of its application), to operate over deviation routes for operating convenience only have been filed with the Interstate Commerce Commission under the Commission's Revised Deviation Rules-Motor Carriers of Property, 1969 (49 CFR 1042.4(c)(11)) and notice thereof to all interested persons is hereby given as provided in such rules (49 CFR 1042.4(c)(11)).

Protests against the use of any proposed deviation route herein described may be filed with the Interstate Commerce Commission in the manner and form provided in such rules (49 CFR 1042.4(c)(12)) at any time, but will not operate to stay commencement of the proposed operations unless filed within 30 days from the date of publication.

Successively filed letter-notices of the same carrier under the Commission's Revised Deviation Rules-Motor Carriers of Property, 1969, will be numbered consecutively for convenience in identification and protests, if any, should refer to such letter-notices by number.

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PROPERTY

No. MC 2229 (Deviation No. 22), RED BALL MOTOR FREIGHT, INC., 3177 Irving Blvd., P.O. Box 47407, Dallas, Tex. 75247, filed February 19, 1975. Carrier proposes to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, of general commodities, with certain exceptions, over a deviation route as follows: From Jefferson, Tex., over U.S. Highway 59 to Texarkana, Tex.-Ark., and return over the same route for operating convenience only. The notice indicates that the carrier is presently authorized to transport the same commodities, over a pertinent service route as follows: From Jefferson, Tex., over Texas Highway 49 to Mount Pleasant, Tex., thence over U.S. Highway 67 to Texarkana, Tex.-Ark., and return over the same route.

No. MC 5888 (Deviation No. 5), MID-AMERICAN LINES, INC., 127 West 10th Street, Kansas City, Mo. 64105, filed February 19, 1975. Carrier proposes to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, of general commodities, with certain exceptions, over a deviation route as follows: From Kansas City, Mo., over

Interstate Highway 70 to junction Interstate Highway 270, thence over Interstate Highway 270 to junction Interstate Highway 70, thence over Interstate Highway 70 to junction U.S. Highway 52, and return over the same route for operating convenience only. The notice indicates that the carrier is presently authorized to transport the same commodities, over a pertinent service route as follows: From Kansas City, Mo., over U.S. Highway 169 to junction U.S. Highway 36, thence over U.S. Highway 36 to junction U.S. Highway 66, thence over U.S. Highway 66 to Chicago, Ill., thence over U.S. Highway 41 to junction U.S. Highway 52, thence over U.S. Highway 52 to junction Interstate Highway 70 and return over the same route.

No. MC 80430 (Deviation No. 14), GATEWAY TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., Executive Offices, La Crosse, Wis. 54601, filed February 19, 1975. Carrier proposes to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, of *general commodities*, with certain exceptions, over a deviation route as follows: From Kansas City, Mo., north over Interstate Highway 35 to junction Interstate Highway 80 at Des Moines, Iowa, thence east over Interstate Highway 80 to junction Interstate Highway 55 near Joliet, Ill., thence north over Interstate Highway 55 to Chicago, Ill., and return over the same route for operating convenience only. The notice indicates that the carrier is presently authorized to transport the same commodities, over a pertinent service route as follows: From Kansas City, Mo., over U.S. Highway 24 to Chenoa, Ill., thence over unnumbered highway to junction U.S. Highway 66, thence over U.S. Highway 66 to junction Alternate U.S. Highway 66 (formerly U.S. Highway 66) near Gardner, Ill., thence over Alternate U.S. Highway 66 to junction U.S. Highway 66, thence over U.S. Highway 66 to Chicago, Ill., and return over the same route.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FE Doc.75-5840 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]

[Notice 17]

MOTOR CARRIER APPLICATIONS AND CERTAIN OTHER PROCEEDINGS

FEBRUARY 28, 1975.

The following publications (except as otherwise specifically noted, each applicant (on applications filed after March 27, 1972) states that there will be no significant effect on the quality of the human environment resulting from approval of its application), are governed by the new Special Rule 1100.247 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, issue of December 3, 1963, which became effective January 1, 1964.

Special Notice. The publications hereinafter set forth reflect the scope of the applications as filed by applicant, and may include descriptions, restrictions, or limitations which are not in a form acceptable to the Commission. Authority

which ultimately may be granted as a result of the applications here noticed will not necessarily reflect the phraseology set forth in the application as filed, but also will eliminate any restrictions which are not acceptable by the Commission.

No. MC 59223 (Sub-No. 5) (Republication), filed June 17, 1974, and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of August 1, 1974, and republished this issue. Applicant: NEW DEAL DELIVERY SERVICE, INC., 206 West 37th Street, New York, N.Y. 10015. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Piken, 1 Lefrak City Plaza, Flushing, N.Y. 11368. An Order of the Commission, Operating Rights Board, dated January 22, 1975, and served February 18, 1975, finds that the present and future public convenience and necessity require operation by applicant, in interstate or foreign commerce, as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, of *wearing apparel, accessories, and dry goods*, (1) between points in the New York, N.Y., Commercial Zone as defined in *Commercial Zone and Terminal Areas*, 53 M.C.C. 451, within which local operations may be concluded pursuant to the partial exemption of section 203(b) (8) of the Interstate Act (the "exempt" zone), and Keasby, N.J., on the one hand, and, on the other, Deptford, Toms River and Laurence, N.J., and Springfield, Montgomeryville and King of Prussia, Pa., restricted to the transportation of traffic to or from the facilities of Bamberger's Division of R. H. Macy & Co., Inc., and located at the above specified points and (2) between Cherry Hill, East Brunswick, Livingston, Menlo Park, Eatontown, Morristown, Newark, Bloomfield, Edison, Paramus, Plainfield, Wayne, Deptford, Toms River, and Lawrence, N.J., and Manuet, N.Y., Langhorne, Springfield, Montgomeryville, and King of Prussia, Pa., restricted to the transportation of traffic between the facilities of Bamberger's Division of R. H. Macy & Co., Inc., located at the above specified points; that applicant is fit, willing, and able properly to perform such service and to conform to the requirements of the Interstate Commerce Act and the Commission's rules and regulations thereunder; The purpose of this republication is to more appropriately describe the territorial description. Because it is possible that other parties who have relied upon the notice of the application as published, may have an interest in and would be prejudiced by the lack of proper notice of the authority described above, issuance of a certificate in this proceeding will be withheld for a period of 30 days from the date of this publication of the authority actually granted, during which period any proper party in interest may file an appropriate petition for intervention or other relief in this proceeding setting forth in detail the precise manner in which it has been so prejudiced.

MC 128383 (Sub-No. 59) (Republication), filed July 31, 1974, and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of September 12, 1974, and republished this issue.

Applicant: PINTO TRUCKING SERVICE, INC., 1414 Calcon Hook Road, Sharon Hill, Pa. 19079. Applicant's representative: Gerald K. Gimmell, 303 North Frederick Ave., Galtersburg, Md. 20760. An order of the Commission, Operation Rights Board, dated January 20, 1975, and served February 18, 1975, finds that the present and future public convenience and necessity require operation by applicant in interstate or foreign commerce, as a *common carrier* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, of *general commodities* (except Class A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), between the Greater Pittsburgh Airport, at or near Pittsburgh, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, Philadelphia International Airport, Philadelphia, Pa., Baltimore-Washington International Airport, Anne Arundel County, Md., National Airport, Gravelly Point, Va., and Dulles International Airport, Fairfax and Loudon Counties, Va., restricted to the transportation of traffic having a prior or subsequent movement by air that applicant is fit, willing, and able properly to perform such service and to conform to the requirements of the Interstate Commerce Act and the Commission's rules and regulations thereunder; The purpose of this republication is to omit (except those of unusual value), from the commodity description. Because it is possible that other parties who have relied upon the notice of the application as published, may have an interest in and would be prejudiced by the lack of proper notice of the authority described above, issuance of a Certificate in this proceeding will be withheld for a period of 30 days from the date of this publication of the authority actually granted, during which period any proper party in interest may file an appropriate petition for intervention or other relief in this proceeding setting forth in detail the precise manner in which it has been so prejudiced.

MC 139666 (Sub-No. 2) (Republication), filed April 25, 1974, and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of May 31, 1974, and republished this issue. Applicant: AICRAG CORPORATION, 2721 SW Plum Ct., Portland, Ore. 97219. Applicant's representative: Frank E. Larwood, 4786 SW Elm Lane, Portland, Ore. 97221. An order of the Commission, Operating Rights Board, dated January 30, 1975, and served February 19, 1975, finds, that the present and future public convenience and necessity require operation by applicant, in interstate or foreign commerce, as a *common carrier* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, of *general commodities* (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment) between Portland International Airport, Ore., and Seattle-Tacoma International Airport, Wash., restricted to the transportation of traffic having an immediately prior or immediately subsequent movement by air; that applicant is fit,

willing, and able properly to perform such service and to conform to the requirements of the Interstate Commerce Act and the Commission's rules and regulations thereunder. The purpose of this republication is to modify the authority requested from contract route authority, to common route authority; and adding to the exceptions after General commodities (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, and those requiring special equipment). Because it is possible that other parties who have relied upon the notice of the application as published, may have an interest in and would be prejudiced by the lack of proper notice of the authority described above, issuance of a Certificate in this proceeding will be withheld for a period of 30 days from the date of this publication of the authority actually granted, during which period any proper party in interest may file an appropriate petition for intervention or other relief in this proceeding setting forth in detail the precise manner in which it has been so prejudiced.

No. MC 52709 (Sub-Nos. 91 and 92) (Notice of Filing of Petition for Extension of Explosives Authority), filed February 13, 1975. Petitioner: RINGSBY TRUCK LINES, INC., P.O. Box 192, Littleton, Colo. 80120. Petitioner's representative: Wayne E. Lucore (same address as petitioner). Petitioner holds motor common carrier certificates in No. MC 52709 (Sub-Nos. 91 and 92), issued February 4, 1969 and June 5, 1969, respectively, authorizing transportation, over regular routes, in Sub No. 91, of *Classes A and B explosives*, Serving the plant site of the Thikol Chemical Corporation, located near Corinne, Utah, as an off-route point in connection with carrier's regular-route operations, such authority to be of no further force and effect after January 13, 1974; and in Sub-No. 92, of *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, commodities in bulk, those requiring special equipment, livestock, and household goods as defined by the Commission) and *compressed gas* (other than liquefied petroleum gas) in government-owned compressed gas trailers, Serving intercontinental ballistic missile testing and launching sites, and supply points therefor, located (a) in Weld, Washington, Lincoln, Gilpin, Jefferson, Adams, Morgan, Arapahoe, Elbert, Douglas, El Paso, Larimer, Teller, Park, Clear Creek, and Boulder Counties, Colo., as off-route points in connection with carrier's authorized regular-route operations to, from, or through Denver, Colo.; and (b) in Laramie, Platte, and Goshen Counties, Wyo., Weld and Larimer Counties, Colo., and Kimball County, Nebr., in connection with carrier's authorized regular-route operations to, from, or through Cheyenne, Wyo., such authority, to the extent it authorizes the transportation of explosives, to be limited in point of time to a period expiring April 4, 1974. By the instant petition, petition

seeks the reinstatement and extension of the explosives authority in the above certificates. Any interested person or persons desiring to participate may file an original and six copies of his written representations, views or arguments in support of or against the petition within 30 days from the date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

No. MC 105733 (Sub-No. 34) (Notice of Filing of Petition To Modify Territorial Description), filed February 18, 1975. Petitioner: H. R. RITTER TRUCKING CO., INC., 928 East Hazelwood Ave., Rahway, N.J. 07065. Petitioner's representative: Chester A. Zyblut, 1522 K Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20005. Petitioner holds a motor common carrier certificate in No. MC 105733 (Sub-No. 34), issued December 23, 1964, authorizing transportation, as pertinent, over irregular routes, of *Liquefied Petroleum Gas*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from pipeline outlets on the Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation (Little Big Inch Div.) pipeline in Pennsylvania, to points in Delaware, Maryland, Ohio, Virginia, West Virginia and the District of Columbia. By the instant petition, petitioner seeks to add points in New York and New Jersey as destination points in the above territorial description: Any interested person or persons desiring to participate may file an original and six copies of his written representations, views or arguments in support of or against the petition within 30 days from the date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

No. MC 109397 (Sub-No. 177) (Notice of Filing of Petition To Partially Remove a Restriction), filed February 13, 1975. Petitioner: TRI-STATE MOTOR TRANSIT CO., a corporation, P.O. Box 113, Joplin, Mo. 64801. Petitioner's representative: Max G. Morgan, Suite 223 Ciudad Bldg., Oklahoma City, Okla. 73112. Petitioner holds a motor common carrier certificate in No. MC 109397 (Sub-No. 177), issued July 3, 1972, authorizing transportation, over irregular routes, of: (1) *Commodities* bearing a security classification by the United States Government and (2) *weapons, ammunition, and drugs* which are designated sensitive by the United States Government, between points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii), restricted against the transportation of shipments weighing in the aggregate more than 5,000 pounds from one consignee to one consignee on any one day, such authority to be of no further force and effect after July 3, 1977. By the instant petition, petitioner seeks to delete the application of the restriction of 5,000 pounds insofar as it pertains to the transportation of part (1) *Commodities* bearing a security classification by the United States Government. The restriction would remain applicable to part (2). Any interested person or persons desiring to participate may file an original and six copies of his written representations, views or arguments in support of or against the petition within 30 days from the date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

No. MC 115353 (Sub-No. 11) (Notice of Filing of Petition To Modify a Territorial Description), filed February 13, 1975. Petitioner: LOUIS J. KENNEDY TRUCKING COMPANY, a corporation, 342 Schuyler Avenue, Kearny, N.J. 07032. Petitioner's representative: Bert Collins, Suite 6193, 5 World Trade Center, New York, N.Y. 10048. Petitioner holds a motor contract carrier permit in No. MC-115353 (Sub-No. 11), issued June 24, 1971, authorizing transportation, over irregular routes, of *Building materials, gypsum and gypsum products, paint and paint products, lime* (except liquid in bulk), and *such materials and supplies* as are used in the manufacture, installation and distribution of the aforementioned commodities (except commodities in bulk), between Staten Island and Stony Point, N.Y., and Kearny, N.J., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia, under a continuing contract or contracts with United States Gypsum Company, of Chicago, Ill. By the instant petition, petitioner seeks to modify the territorial description in the above authority by substituting Woodbridge Township (Middlesex County), N.J. for Staten Island, N.Y. Any interested person or persons desiring to participate may file an original and six copies of his written representations, views or arguments in support of or against the petition within 30 days from the date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

No. MC 118959 (Sub-No. 37) (Notice of Filing of Petition To Modify Territorial Description), filed February 10, 1975. Petitioner: JERRY LIPPS, INC., 130 S. Frederick St., Cape Girardeau, Mo. 63701. Petitioner's representative: William P. Jackson, Jr., 919 Eighteenth Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20006. Petitioner holds a motor common carrier certificate in No. MC 118959 (Sub-No. 37), issued August 1, 1972 authorizing transportation, over irregular routes, of (1) *Paper and paper products*: (a) From the plant site and storage facilities utilized by the St. Regis Paper Company, at or near Cantonment, Fla., to Jacksonville, Fla., restricted to foreign commerce only; (b) From the plant site and storage facilities utilized by the St. Regis Paper Company, at or near Cantonment, Fla., to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Arizona, Georgia, Kentucky, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Michigan, Missouri, Minnesota, North Carolina, New Mexico, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, West Virginia, and Wisconsin; and (c) From the plant sites and storage facilities utilized by Hudson Pulp & Paper Corp., in Putnam County, Fla., and Terminal Paper Bag Co., Inc., at Yulee, Fla., to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Arizona, Georgia, Kentucky, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Mississippi,

Michigan, Missouri, Minnesota, North Carolina, New Mexico, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, West Virginia, and Wisconsin; and

(2) *Materials* used in the processing or manufacture of paper products (except commodities in bulk, and those which because of size or weight require special equipment and handling), From points in Alabama, Arkansas, Arizona, Georgia, Kentucky, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Michigan, Missouri, Minnesota, North Carolina, New Mexico, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, West Virginia, and Wisconsin, to the plant sites and storage facilities of Hudson Pulp & Paper Corp. in Putnam County, Fla., and Terminal Paper Bag Co., Inc., at Yulee, Fla., and the plant site and storage facilities utilized by the Knight Paper Company and Crusader Paper Products Manufacturing Company, at Jacksonville, Fla., subject to the right of the Commission, which is hereby expressly reserved, to impose such terms, conditions or limitations in the future as it may find necessary in order to insure that carrier's operations shall conform to the provisions of section 210 of the Act. By the instant petition, petitioner seeks to modify the territorial description in the above authority by adding the plant sites and storage facilities of Central States Diversified, Inc., in Putnam County, Fla. as an origin point (1)(c) above, and as a destination point in (2) above. Any interested person or persons desiring to participate may file an original and six copies of his written representations, views or arguments in support of or against the petition within 30 days from the date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

No. MC 123075 (Sub-No. 2) (Notice of filing of petition to modify a permit), filed February 10, 1975. Petitioner: SHUPE & YOST, INC., P.O. Box 1123, Greeley, Colo. 80631. Petitioner's representative: Stuart L. Poelman, Seventh Floor, Continental Bank Building, Salt Lake City, Utah 84101. Petitioner holds a motor contract carrier permit in No. MC 123075 (Sub-No. 2), issued May 3, 1974, authorizing transportation, over irregular routes, of (1) *Animal and poultry feed and animal and poultry feed ingredients and supplements*, From Ault, Colo., to points in those parts of South Dakota, Nebraska, and Kansas located on and west of a line extending along U.S. Highway 83 from the North Dakota-South Dakota State line to Vivian, S. Dak., thence along U.S. Highway 16 to Presho, S. Dak., and thence along U.S. Highway 183 to the Kansas-Oklahoma State line, and to points in Wyoming, under a continuing contract or contracts, with Farmland Industries, Inc. of Kansas City, Mo.; (2) *Animal and poultry feed and animal and poultry feed ingredients and supplements* (except liquid feed in tank vehicles), From the sites of the plants or other facilities of Wilgro, Inc., at or near Longmont, Eaton, Fort

Morgan, and Rocky Ford, Colo., to points in Kansas, Nebraska, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Texas, and Wyoming, under a continuing contract or contracts, with Wilgro, Inc., of Denver, Colo., restricted against the transportation (1) of alfalfa meal and alfalfa pellets to points in New Mexico and Texas, and (2) of dry chemicals in bulk, to points in Harris, Galveston, Brazoria, Fort Bend, Austin, Waller, Montgomery, Liberty, and Chambers Counties, Tex.; and (3) *Salt and salt products*:

(a) From the plant site of Leslie Salt Company, at or near Lake Point, Utah, to points in that part of Nebraska on and west of U.S. Highway 83, and points in Yuma, Washington, Adams, Denver, Jefferson, Clear Creek, Summit, Eagle, Grand, Jackson, Sedgwick, Phillips, Logan, Weld, Morgan, Larimer, Boulder, Fremont, Pueblo, El Paso, and Gilpin Counties, Colo., and those in Albany, Platte, Goshen, and Laramie Counties, Wyo., under a continuing contract, or contracts with Leslie Salt Company and Hardy Salt Company, of St. Louis, Mo.

(b) From the plant site of the American Salt Company, Solar Division, Tooele County, Utah, to points in Colorado, Kansas, those parts of Nebraska and South Dakota on and west of U.S. Highway 83, and Wyoming, under a continuing contract, or contracts, with Carey Salt Company, Hutchinson, Kans., and American Salt Company, Solar Division, Salt Lake City, Utah; and (c) From the plant site of the Leslie Salt Company at or near Lake Point, Utah, to points in Colorado (except points in Yuma, Washington, Adams, Denver, Jefferson, Clear Creek, Summit, Eagle, Grand, Jackson, Sedgwick, Phillips, Logan, Weld, Morgan, Larimer, Boulder, Fremont, Pueblo, El Paso, and Gilpin Counties, Colo.), that part of South Dakota on and west of U.S. Highway 83, and Wyoming (except points in Albany, Platte, Goshen, and Laramie Counties, Wyo.), under a continuing contract, or contracts, with Hardy Salt Company, of St. Louis, Mo.

By the instant petition, petitioner seeks to modify the authority in the above permit by: (1) extending the territorial description in (3) above to include all points in North Dakota and those points in Nebraska and South Dakota east of U.S. Highway 83; (2) correcting the name of "Leslie Salt Company" to "Hardy Salt Company"; and (3) combining territorial descriptions relating to Colorado destination points as they apply to service rendered on behalf of Hardy Salt Company. The permit would then read as follows: (1) *Animal and poultry feed and animal and poultry feed ingredients and supplements*, From Ault, Colo., to points in those parts of South Dakota, Nebraska, and Kansas located on and west of a line extending along U.S. Highway 83 from the North Dakota-South Dakota State line to Vivian, S. Dak., thence along U.S. Highway 16 to Presho, S. Dak., and thence along U.S. Highway 183 to the Kansas-Oklahoma State line, and to points in Wyoming, under a continuing contract, or

contracts, with Farmland Industries, Inc., of Kansas City, Mo.; (2) *Animal and poultry feed and animal and poultry feed ingredients and supplements*, (except liquid feed in tank vehicles), From the sites of the plants or other facilities of Wilgro, Inc., at or near Longmont, Eaton, Fort Morgan, and Rocky Ford, Colo., to points in Kansas, Nebraska, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Texas, and Wyoming, under a continuing contract, or contracts, with Wilgro, Inc., of Denver, Colo., restricted against the transportation (1) of alfalfa meal and alfalfa pellets to points in New Mexico and Texas, and (2) of dry chemicals in bulk, to points in Harris, Galveston, Brazoria, Fort Bend, Austin, Waller, Montgomery, Liberty, and Chambers Counties, Tex.; and (3) *salt and salt products*:

(a) From the plant site of Hardy Salt Company, at or near Lake Point, Utah, to points in Wyoming, Colorado, Nebraska, North Dakota and South Dakota, under a continuing contract, or contracts, with Hardy Salt Company, of St. Louis, Mo.; (b) From the plant site of American Salt Company, Solar Division, in Tooele County, Utah, to points in Colorado, Kansas, those parts of Nebraska and South Dakota on and west of U.S. Highway 83, and Wyoming, under a continuing contract, or contracts, with Carey Salt Company, Hutchinson, Kans.; and (c) From the plant site of American Salt Company, Solar Division, in Tooele County, Utah, to points in Wyoming, Colorado, Kansas, Nebraska, North Dakota and South Dakota, under a continuing contract, or contracts, with American Salt Company, Solar Division, Salt Lake City, Utah. Any interested person or persons desiring to participate may file an original and six copies of his written representations, views or arguments in support of or against the petition within 30 days from the date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

No. MC 124621 (Sub-No. 4) (Notice of Filing of Petition To Add a Contracting Shipper), filed February 18, 1975. Petitioner: CLEMENT RISBERG, doing business as RISBERG TRUCK SERVICE, 2339 Southeast Grand Ave., Portland, Ore. 97214. Petitioner's representative: Jerry R. Woods, 620 Blue Cross Building, 100 S.W. Market Street, Portland, Ore. 97201. Petitioner holds a motor contract carrier permit in No. MC 124621 (Sub-No. 4), issued February 21, 1973, authorizing transportation, over irregular routes, of *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and commodities requiring special equipment), Between points in Oregon, Washington, Idaho, and Montana, under a continuing contract, or contracts, with Fred Meyer, Inc., and its subsidiaries, subject to the right of the Commission, which is hereby expressly reserved, to impose such terms, conditions or limitations in the future as it may find necessary in order to insure that carrier's operations shall conform to the provisions of section 210 of the Act, and such authority to the extent that it authorizes the transportation of

classes A and B explosives to be limited, in point of time, to a period expiring 5 years after October 17, 1972. By the instant petition, petitioner seeks to add Pay Less Drug Stores Northwest, Inc. and its subsidiaries as a contracting shipper in the above authority. Any interested person or persons desiring to participate may file an original and six copies of his written representations, views or arguments in support of or against the petition within 30 days from the date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

No. MC 127187 (Sub-No. 10) (Notice of Filing of Petition To Modify Restrictions), filed February 14, 1975. Petitioner: FLOYD DUENOW, 1728 Industrial Park Blvd., Fergus Falls, Minn. 56537. Petitioner's representative: Gene P. Johnson, 425 Gate City Building, Fargo, N. Dak. 58102. Petitioner holds a motor common carrier certificate in No. MC 127187 (Sub-No. 10), issued June 20, 1974 authorizing transportation, in interstate commerce, over irregular routes, of: *Animal and poultry feed ingredients* (except in bulk, in tank vehicles), between points in Montana, Wyoming, North Dakota and South Dakota, restricted against tacking with carrier's other operating authority. By the instant petition, petitioner seeks to remove the restriction against foreign commerce, and to modify the tacking restriction, so as to read: in interstate or foreign commerce, over irregular routes, of: *Animal and poultry feed ingredients* (except in bulk, in tank vehicles), between points in Montana, Wyoming, North Dakota and South Dakota, restricted against tacking with carrier's other operating authority except to perform transportation service in foreign commerce. Any interested person or persons desiring to participate may file an original and six copies of his written representations, views or arguments in support of or against the petition within 30 days from the date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

No. MC 129695 (Notice of Filing of Petition for Extension of Explosives Authority), filed February 10, 1975. Petitioner: HAWKEYE TRUCKING COMPANY, a corporation, Northwest 66th and Toni Drive, Rural Route 4, Des Moines, Iowa 50313. Petitioner's representative: William L. Fairbank, 1980 Financial Center, Des Moines, Iowa 50309. Petitioner holds a motor contract carrier permit in No. MC 129695 issued March 4, 1969, authorizing transportation, over irregular routes, of *Explosives and nitro-carbonate*, from Seneca, Ill., and Mineral Springs, Ala., to points in Iowa, under a continuing contract, or contracts with Quick Supply Co., of Des Moines, Iowa, such permit to be of no further force and effect after March 4, 1974. By the instant petition, petitioner seeks a reinstatement and extension of the above authority. Any interested person or persons desiring to participate may file an original and six copies of his written representations, views or arguments in support of or against the petition within

30 days from the date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

APPLICATIONS UNDER SECTIONS 5 AND 210a(b)

The following applications are governed by the Interstate Commerce Commission's Special Rules governing notice of filing of applications by motor carriers of property or passengers under Sections 5(a) and 210a(b) of the Interstate Commerce Act and certain other proceedings with respect thereto. (49 CFR 1.240.)

No. MC-F-12391. Authority sought for purchase by ACE DORAN HAULING & RIGGING CO., 1601 Blue Rock St., Cincinnati, OH 45223, of a portion of the operating rights of FRED CARPENTER, INC., 201 Peat St., Syracuse, N.Y., and for acquisition by R. J. DORAN, R. E. DORAN, and C. M. DORAN, all of Cincinnati, OH 45223, of control of such rights through the purchase. Applicants' attorneys: John P. McMahon, 100 East Broad St., Columbus, OH 43215, and Frank J. Weiner, 15 Court Square, Boston, MA 02108. Operating rights sought to be transferred: *Commodities*, which because of their size or weight require special handling or the use of special equipment, as a *common carrier* over irregular routes, between Syracuse, N.Y., and points in New York within 75 miles of Syracuse, on the other, points in Vermont, New Hampshire, Massachusetts, Connecticut and Rhode Island. Vendee is authorized to operate as a *common carrier* in all of the States in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii). Application has not been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

MC-F-12398. (Correction) (O.N.C. FREIGHT SYSTEMS — CONTROL — RITEWAY TRANSPORT, INC.), published in the January 29, 1975, issue of the FEDERAL REGISTER on page 4390. Prior notice should have read "... between Blanding, Utah and the minesite of the Industrial Uranium Corporation..."

No. MC-F-12429. (Correction) (CAR-RANO'S EXPRESS, INCORPORATED—PURCHASE (PORTION)—F. L. CASTINE, INCORPORATED), published in the February 12, 1975, issue of the FEDERAL REGISTER on page 6567. Prior notice should read, "Vendee is authorized to operate as a common carrier in Connecticut."

No. MC-F-12437. Authority sought for purchase by CROUCH FREIGHT SYSTEMS, INC., P.O. Box 1059, St. Joseph, MO 64502, of the operating rights of MILLS TRANSFER COMPANY, ET AL., 31 Fargo St., Boston, MA 02210, and for acquisition by UTS FREIGHT SYSTEMS, O.N.C. FREIGHT SYSTEMS, both of 2800 W. Bayshore, Palo Alto, CA 94303, and ROCOR INTERNATIONAL, a noncarrier holding company, and in turn by DAVID P. ROUSH, and DIANE G. ROUSH, as custodian, for their minor children, all of 260 Sheridan, Palo Alto, CA 94303, of control of such rights through the purchase. Applicants' attorney: Roland Rice, Suite 618 Perpetual Bldg., 1111 E Street NW., Washington,

D.C. 20004. Operating rights sought to be transferred: *General commodities*, with the usual exceptions, as a *common carrier* over regular routes, serving points in Massachusetts and New Hampshire; general commodities, with the usual exceptions over irregular routes, between Boston, Mass., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Massachusetts, Rhode Island, and those within 110 miles of Boston in Maine and New Hampshire, with restriction. Vendee is authorized to operate as a *common carrier* in Arkansas, Colorado, Connecticut, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Maine, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Tennessee, and Texas. Application has been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

No. MC-F-12438. Authority sought for purchase by NEUENDORF TRANSPORTATION CO., P.O. Box 588, Madison, WI 54701, of the operating rights and property of CHARLES A. GROEFFER, doing business as BADGER TRUCK LINE, INC., Route #6, Menomonie, WI 54751, and for acquisition by C. J. NEUENDORF, of Madison, WI 53701, and E. H. PRIES, 341 S. 8th St., Medford, WI 54451, of control of such rights and property through the purchase. Applicants' attorney: Steven L. Weiman, 303 N. Frederick Ave., Gaithersburg, MD 20760. Operating rights sought to be transferred: *General commodities*, with the usual exceptions, as a *common carrier* over irregular routes, between points in the Towns of Menomonie, Lucas, Stanton, (not including the Village of Knapp), and Weston, Dunn County, Wis., and points in the Town of Cady, St. Croix County, Wis., on the one hand, and, on the other, Hastings, Minneapolis, Newport, St. Paul, South St. Paul, and Stillwater, Minn., from South St. Paul, St. Paul, and Minneapolis, Minn., to points in the Towns of Dunn, Spring Brook, Red Cedar, Weston, and Menomonie, Dunn County, Wis., from South St. Paul, St. Paul, Minneapolis, and Stillwater, Minn., to points in a defined area of Wisconsin, except the incorporated municipalities of Wilson, Woodville, Hammond, and Baldwin, Wis.; *livestock and agricultural commodities*, from points in the Towns of Dunn, Spring Brook, Red Cedar, Weston, and Menomonie, Dunn County, Wis., to South St. Paul, and Minneapolis, Minn.; *livestock*, between Glenwood City, Wis., and points within 15 miles of Glenwood City, Wis., on the one hand, and, on the other, South St. Paul and Newport, Minn., from points in the Towns of Forest, Emerald, and Glenwood, St. Croix County, Wis., and New Haven and Tiffany, Dunn County, Wis., to Newport and South St. Paul, Minn., from New Richmond and Deer Park, Wis., and points in the Towns of Glenwood, Forest, Springfield, Emerald, Cylon, Baldwin, Erin Prairie, Hammond, and Richmond, St. Croix County, Wis., and Stanton, Tiffany, New

Haven, and Hay River, Dunn County, Wis., except the incorporated municipalities of Glenwood City, Wilson, Woodville, Hammond, and Baldwin, Wis., to St. Paul, South St. Paul, Minneapolis, and Stillwater, Minn.; well drilling supplies, from Minneapolis and St. Paul, Minn., to points in St. Croix, Polk, Dunn, Barron, and Pierce Counties, Wis.; flour, feed, and feed mill products, from New Prague, Minn., to Glenwood City, Wis. Vendee is authorized to operate as a common carrier in Wisconsin, Illinois, Iowa, Minnesota, and Indiana. Application has been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

No. MC-F-12439. Authority sought for purchase by CAROLINA FREIGHT CARRIERS CORPORATION, P.O. Box 697, Cherryville, NC 28021, of a portion of the operating rights of O. K. MOTOR SERVICE, INC., 3400 S. Pulaski Rd., Chicago, IL 60623, and for acquisition by C. GRIER BEAM (Custodian for L. M'Shel Beam), Route #2, Cherryville, NC, of control of such rights through the purchase. Applicants' attorney: Leonard R. Kofkin, 39 S. LaSalle St., Chicago, IL 60603. Operating rights sought to be transferred: *General commodities*, with the usual exceptions, as a common carrier over regular routes, between Peoria, Ill., and Waukesha, Wis. Vendee is authorized to operate as a common carrier in Alabama, Connecticut, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Virginia, West Virginia and Wisconsin. Application has not been filed.

No. MC-F-12441. Authority sought to control by CENTRAL TRANSFER CO., 1080 Springfield Rd., Union, NJ 07083, of ELGIN TRUCKING CO., INC., 4450 Rising Sun Ave., Philadelphia, PA 19140, and for acquisition by P. A. ALBANESE, also of Union, NJ 07083, of control of ELGIN TRUCKING CO., INC., through the acquisition by CENTRAL TRANSFER COMPANY. Applicants' attorneys: A. David Millner, 744 Broad St., Newark, NJ 07102, and Alan Kahn, Two Penn Central Plaza, Philadelphia, PA 19102. Operating rights sought to be controlled: *General commodities*, with the usual exceptions, as a common carrier over irregular routes, between points in Hudson, Bergen, Passaic, Essex, Middlesex, Somerset, and Morris Counties, N.J., and Trenton, N.J., on the one hand, and, on the other, Philadelphia, Pa., between points in the New York, N.Y., Commercial Zone, as defined by the Commission, other than points in Hudson and Bergen Counties, N.J., on the one hand, and, on the other, Philadelphia, Pa., moving through Harrison, Kearny, and Newark, N.J. CENTRAL TRANSFER CO., is authorized to operate as a common carrier in Maryland, New Jersey and Pennsylvania. Application has been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

No. MC-F-12442. Authority sought for purchase by HADLEY AUTO TRANS-

PORT, P.O. Box 96, Pico Rivera CA., 90660, of the operating rights of DEALERS TRANSPORT COMPANY, 7130 Wornall Road, Kansas City, MO., 64114, and for acquisition by SANTA ANITA CONSOLIDATED, INC., Suite 2525 One Wilshire Bldg., Los Angeles, CA., 90017; of control of such rights through the purchase. Applicants' attorney: Edward T. Lyons, Jr., 1600 Lincoln Center Building, 1660 Lincoln Street, Denver, CO., Operating rights sought to be transferred: *New Automobiles, new trucks, chassis, and new tractors, etc.*, as a contract carrier over irregular routes from places of manufacture and assembly in Kansas City, Mo., to points and places in Kansas, Missouri, Nebraska, and Oklahoma, from the site of the Ford Motor Company, Claycomo, Mo., to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Louisiana, Minnesota, Mississippi, North Dakota, Oregon, Tennessee and Wisconsin, with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized, from the site of the Ford Motor Company assembly plant at Claycomo, Mo., to points in Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Utah, and Wyoming, from the plant site of the Ford Motor Company, in Claycomo, Mo., to points in Michigan, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Virginia, West Virginia, North Carolina, and South Carolina, from the plant site of the Ford Motor Company, in Claycomo, Mo., to points in Texas on, along and north of U.S. Highway 380 and on, along and west of U.S. Highway 283. *Automobiles, trucks, and tractors, etc.*, from places of manufacture and assembly in Kansas City, Mo., to points and places in Kansas, Missouri, Nebraska, and Oklahoma, from the site of the Ford Motor Company plant located at or near Claycomo, Mo., in Clay County, Mo., to points in North Dakota, from the site of the Ford Motor Company plant in Clay County, Mo., to points in Iowa and South Dakota, from the site of the Ford Motor Company plant in Clay County, Mo., to points in Arkansas, Iowa, South Dakota, Illinois, Minnesota, and Wisconsin, with restrictions.

Motor vehicles, in initial movements, in truckaway service, from Kansas City, Mo., to points in Colorado, from Kansas City, Mo., to points in Montana, Utah, Wyoming, Idaho, Nevada, and New Mexico, traversing Arizona, Iowa, and South Dakota for operating convenience only, with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized. *Automobiles, trucks, chassis, and tractors*, in initial movements, in truckaway and driveaway service, from the site of the Ford Motor Company plant in Clay County, Mo., to points in Colorado, Idaho, Kansas, Missouri, Nebraska, Oklahoma, Montana, New Mexico, Nevada, Utah, and Wyoming, with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized. Vendee is authorized to operate as a contract carrier in Alabama, Arkansas, Colorado, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Mexico,

North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming. Application has been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

No. MC-F-12443. Authority sought for purchase by JERRY LIPPS, INC., 130 South Frederick Street, Cape Girardeau, MO 63701, of the operating rights of PASCAGOULA DRAYAGE COMPANY, INC., 701 East Pine Street, Hattiesburg, MS 39401, and for acquisition by Jerry Lipps, 130 S. Frederick St., Cape Girardeau, MO 63701, of control of such rights through the purchase. Applicants' attorneys: Edward G. Bazelon, 39 South LaSalle St., Chicago, IL 60603 and Harold D. Miller, Jr., P.O. Box 22567, Jackson, MS 39205. Operating rights sought to be transferred: *Specified commodities*, as a common carrier, over irregular routes, from, to, and between specified points in the States of Mississippi, Tennessee, Alabama, Arkansas, Louisiana, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Texas, Virginia, North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, Kansas, New Mexico, Minnesota, Iowa, Missouri, Illinois, Indiana, Ohio, Wisconsin, Michigan, West Virginia, Maryland, Pennsylvania, New York, New Jersey, Delaware, Connecticut, Rhode Island, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Vermont, Maine, District of Columbia, Arizona, California, Nevada, Oregon, Montana, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming. Vendee is authorized to operate as a common carrier in all States in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii). Application has been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

No. MC-F-12445. Authority sought for control by BRANCH INDUSTRIES, INC., a non carrier, 114 Fifth Ave., New York, NY 10011, of WHITE TRUCK LINE, INC., 260 University Ave., S.W., Atlanta, GA 30315, and for acquisition by BRANCH MOTOR EXPRESS COMPANY, also of New York, N.Y. 10011, of control of WHITE TRUCK LINE, INC., through the acquisition by BRANCH INDUSTRIES, INC. Applicants' attorneys: Jack R. Turney, 2001 Massachusetts Ave., Washington, DC 20036, and Paul M. Daniell, P.O. Box 872, Atlanta, GA 30301. Operating rights sought to be controlled: *General commodities*, with the usual exceptions, as a common carrier over regular routes, between Atlanta, and Rex, Ga., between Gainesville, and Jefferson, Ga., between Gainesville, and Commerce, Ga., between Gainesville, Ga., and Greenville, S.C., between junction U.S. Highway 129 and Interstate Highway 85 near Jefferson, Ga., and Greenville, S.C., between Atlanta, and Gainesville, Ga., between Atlanta, and Warm Springs, Ga., between Jonesboro, and Woodbury, Ga., between Atlanta, and Buford, Ga., between Atlanta, and Doraville, Ga., between Manchester, and Columbus, Ga., serving various intermediate and off-route points; *general commodities*, with the usual exceptions over irregular routes, between Atlanta,

Ga., on the one hand, and, on the other, Peachtree City, Ga., and the sites of Tuckerstone Mountain Industrial District and Stone Mountain Industrial Park, De Kalb County, Ga. BRANCH INDUSTRIES, INC., holds no authority from this Commission. However, it is affiliated with (1) BRANCH MOTOR EXPRESS COMPANY, 114 Fifth Ave., New York, N.Y. 10011, and (2) MOTOR FREIGHT CORPORATION, also of New York, N.Y. 10011, which are authorized to operate as *common carriers* in (1) New York, Maryland, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Delaware, Virginia, West Virginia, Ohio, Massachusetts, Connecticut, Rhode Island, North Carolina, Tennessee, South Carolina, Michigan, and the District of Columbia; and (2) Indiana, Illinois, Missouri, Ohio, Kentucky, Nebraska, Tennessee and Michigan. Application has been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

No. MC-F-12446. Authority sought for purchase by MILTON TRANSPORTATION, INC., P.O. Box 355, Milton, PA 17847 of a portion of the operating rights of HUNT TRANSPORTATION, INC., 10770 "I" St., Omaha, NE 68127, and for acquisition by RAY B. BOWERSOX, GARY L. WIEAND, both of R.D. No. 1, Milton, Pa., GLEN L. HAREHOOD, 233 Turbot Ave., Milton, PA, SANDRA J. BOWER, R.D. No. 2, Milton, PA 17847, and JAMES R. SPOTTS, 21 Main, Watertown, PA 17777, of control of such rights through the purchase. Applicants' attorneys: George A. Olsen, 69 Tonnel Ave., Jersey City, NJ 07306, and Donald L. Stern, 530 Univac Bldg., 7100 W. Center Rd., Omaha, NE 68106. Operating rights sought to be transferred: *Paper and paper products and woodpulp*, as a *common carrier* over irregular routes, from Calhoun, Tenn., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, and Virginia, with restriction. Vendee is authorized to operate as a *common carrier* in Pennsylvania, New York, New Jersey, Delaware, Maine, Ohio, Maryland, West Virginia, Virginia, Connecticut, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Vermont, New Hampshire, Indiana, Illinois, Michigan, Wisconsin, Tennessee, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, Alabama, Mississippi, Kentucky, Iowa, Missouri, Nebraska, Texas, and the District of Columbia. Application has not been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-5841 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

[Notice 23]

MOTOR CARRIER TEMPORARY AUTHORITY APPLICATIONS

FEBRUARY 27, 1975.

The following are notices of filing of application, except as otherwise specifically noted, each applicant states that there will be no significant effect on the quality of the human environment re-

sulting from approval of its application, for temporary authority under section 210a(a) of the Interstate Commerce Act provided for under the new rules of Ex Parte No. MC-67 (49 CFR Part 1131), published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, issue of April 27, 1965, effective July 1, 1965. These rules provide that protests to the granting of an application must be filed with the field official named in the FEDERAL REGISTER publication, within 15 calendar days after the date of notice of the filing of the application is published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. One copy of such protests must be served on the applicant, or its authorized representative, if any, and the protests must certify that such service has been made. The protests must be specific as to the service which such protestant can and will offer, and must consist of a signed original and six (6) copies.

A copy of the application is on file, and can be examined at the Office of the Secretary, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., and also in field office to which protests are to be transmitted.

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PROPERTY

No. MC 5101 (Sub-No. 8TA), filed February 21, 1975. Applicant: SREIN FURNITURE CARRIERS, INC., 2307 Bristol Pike, Croydon, Pa. 19020. Applicant's representative: James H. Sweeney, P.O. Box 684, Woodbury, N.J. 08096. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Styrofoam chests, containers and parts, and materials used or useful in the manufacture thereof*, except in bulk, between the plant site and warehouse facilities of Life-Like Products, Inc., at Baltimore, Md., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Alabama, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Massachusetts, Mississippi, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Vermont, Virginia and West Virginia, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Edward Byczyski, Sales Administration Manager, Life-Like Products, Inc., 1600 Union Avenue, Baltimore, Md. 21211. Send protests to: F. W. Doyle, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, 600 Arch St., Room 3238, Philadelphia, Pa. 19106.

No. MC 10761 (Sub-No. 273TA), filed February 20, 1975. Applicant: TRANS-AMERICAN FREIGHT LINES, INC., 5650 Foremost Dr., S.E., Grand Rapids, Mich. 49506. Applicant's representative: L. R. Knapp (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Vacuum bottles, lunch kits, jugs, chests, cooling boxes, tents, insulating material, bowls and cups, cups fillers*, (VB) from the plantsite of King Seeley Thermos Co., at or near Macomb, Ill., to all points in the state of Michigan (with the exception of the Upper Peninsula), for 180 days. Supporting shipper: King Seeley Thermos,

Thermos Avenue, Norwich, Conn. 06360. Send protests to: C. R. Flemming, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, 225 Federal Bldg., Lansing, Mich. 28933.

No. MC 41116 (Sub-No. 50TA), filed February 21, 1975. Applicant: FOGLEMAN TRUCK LINE, INC., P.O. Box 1504, Crowley, La. 70526. Applicant's representative: Byron Fogleman (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Woodpulp*, in rolls, from Pineville, La., to Lake Charles, La., having a subsequent movement by water, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Pineville Kraft Corporation, P.O. Box 870, Pineville, La. 71360. Send protests to: Ray C. Armstrong, Jr., District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, Room T-9038 U.S. Postal Service Bldg., 701 Loyola Avenue, New Orleans, La. 70113.

No. MC 50307 (Sub-No. 74TA), filed February 24, 1975. Applicant: INTERSTATE DRESS CARRIERS, INC., 247 West 35th Street, New York, N.Y. 10001. Applicant's representative: Herbert Burstein, One World Trade Center, New York, N.Y. 10048. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Wearing apparel and materials, supplies and equipment* used in the manufacture thereof, over irregular routes, between Miami, Fla., on the one hand, and, on the other, Ocala, Fla., having a prior or subsequent movement by air, for 150 days. Supporting shipper: Silver Springs Sportswear, P.O. Box 1150, Ocala, Fla. 32670. Send protests to: Paul W. Assenza, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, 26 Federal Plaza, New York, N.Y. 10007.

No. MC 59367 (Sub-No. 96 TA), filed February 21, 1975. Applicant: DECKER TRUCK LINE, INC., P.O. Box 915, Fort Dodge, Iowa 50501. Applicant's representative: William L. Fairbank, 1980 Financial Center, Des Moines, Iowa 50309. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Mustards, cranberry products, salad dressings, meat flavoring sauces, vinegar, relishes, and pickles*, from Green Bay, Wis., to Omaha, Nebr., and Waterloo, Cedar Rapids, Cedar Falls, and Sioux City, Iowa, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Green Bay Food Company, P.O. Box 460, Green Bay, Wis. 54305. Send protests to: Herbert W. Allen, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, 875 Federal Bldg., Des Moines, Iowa 50309.

No. MC 71459 (Sub-No. 47TA) (Correction), filed February 11, 1975, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of February 25, 1975, and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: O.N.C. FREIGHT SYSTEMS, 2800 West Bayshore Road, Palo Alto, Calif. 94303. Applicant's representative: Martin J. Rosen, Esq., 140 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, Calif. 94104. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor

vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities*, (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk and those requiring special equipment), between Questa, New Mexico and Albuquerque, N. Mex., serving all intermediate points and the off-route points of; Espanola, N. Mex., the facility of the Amalia Lumber Company located 8 miles east and north of Costilla, N. Mex., the facilities of the Chad Land Company, located approximately 2½ miles east of the junction of U.S. Highway 64 and New Mexico Highway 38; the facility of the Angel Fire Construction Company, located approximately 3 miles east of the junction of U.S. Highway 64 and New Mexico Highway 38; and the facilities of the Angel Fire Ski Resort and Country Club, located approximately 4½ miles east to the junction of U.S. Highway 64 and New Mexico Highway 38, from Questa, over New Mexico State Highway 38 to its junction with U.S. Highway 64, thence over U.S. Highway 64 to its junction with New Mexico Highway 68, thence over New Mexico Highway 68 to its junction with U.S. Highway 84, thence over U.S. Highway 84 to its junction with U.S. Highway 85, thence over U.S. Highway 85, thence over U.S. Highway 85 to Albuquerque, New Mexico, and return over the same route. Applicant requests the right to serve the commercial zones of all points. Carrier intends to tack requested authority with existing authority at Questa and Taos, N. Mex. Carrier intends to interline with other carriers at Albuquerque, Santa Fe and Taos for 180 days. Supporting shippers: There are approximately 41 statements of support attached to the application, which may be examined at the Interstate Commerce Commission in Washington, D.C., or copiers thereof which may be examined at the field office named below. Send protests to: Claud W. Reeves, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36004, San Francisco, Calif. 94102.

NOTE.—The purpose of this republication is to add the duration days, which was omitted in the previous publication.

No. MC 109708 (Sub-No. 61TA) (Correction), filed February 10, 1975, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of February 25, 1975, and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: INDIAN RIVER TRANSPORT CO., doing business as INDIAN RIVER TRANSPORT INC., P.O. Box 966, State Highway 70, East at West 14th Street, Okeechobee, Fla. 33472. Applicant's representative: James E. Wharton, 17th Floor, CNA Bldg., P.O. Box 231, Orlando, Fla. 32802. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Alcoholic beverages* (in bulk, in tank vehicles), from Ft. Pierce and Miami, Fla., to Burlingame, Calif., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Hiram Walker & Sons, Inc., Foot of Edmund Street, Peoria, Ill. 61601. Send protests to: Joseph B. Telchert, Dis-

trict Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, Palm Coast II Bldg., Suite 208, 5255 Northwest 87th Ave., Miami, Fla. 33178.

NOTE.—The purpose of this republication is to state the correct name of the applicant.

No. MC 115654 (Sub-No. 34TA), filed February 18, 1975. Applicant: TENNESSEE CARTAGE CO., INC., P.O. Box 1193, Nashville, Tenn. 37202. Applicant's representative: Walter Harwood, P.O. Box 15214, Nashville, Tenn. 37215. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Confectionery and confectionery products*, except in bulk, in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from Nashville, Tenn., to points in Alabama which lie on and south of U.S. Highway 278 and on and north of U.S. Highway 80, for 180 days. Supporting shippers: Standard Candy Company, Inc., 443 2nd Avenue, North, Nashville, Tenn. 37202. Ward-Johnston, Inc., 2131 Utopia Avenue, Nashville, Tenn. Send protests to: Joe J. Tate, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, A-422 U.S. Court House, Nashville, Tenn. 37203.

NOTE.—Applicant intends to interline at Birmingham, Ala.

No. MC 119493 (Sub-No. 135TA), filed February 21, 1975. Applicant: MONKEM COMPANY, INC., West 20th Street Road, P.O. Box 1196, Joplin, Mo. 64801. Applicant's representative: J. J. Knotts, Jr. (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Manufactured animal and poultry feeds and ingredients* (except in bulk), from Muscatine, Iowa, to points in Indiana, Ohio, Michigan, Minnesota, Wisconsin, Kentucky, and Atlanta, Ga., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Doane Products Co., P.O. Box 879, Joplin, Mo. 64801. Send protests to: John V. Barry, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, 600 Federal Office Bldg., 911 Walnut Street, Kansas City, Mo. 64106.

No. MC 123233 (Sub-No. 54TA) (Correction), filed February 14, 1975, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of February 25, 1975, and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: PROVOST CARTAGE INC., 7887 Grenache, Ville d'Anjou, Quebec, Canada. Applicant's representative: J. P. Vermette (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Alcoholic liquor*, (in bulk, in tank vehicles), from Baltimore, Dundalk and Relay, Md., to the Port of Entry on the International Boundary Line, between the United States and Canada, located at or near Detroit, Mich., restricted to the transportation of traffic having an immediate subsequent movement in foreign commerce, for 90 days. Supporting shipper: Joseph E. Seagram & Sons, Inc., 300 Third Ave., New York, N.Y. 10022. Send protests to: Paul D. Collins, District Supervisor, Bureau of Op-

erations, Interstate Commerce Commission, P.O. Box 548, 87 State St., Montpelier, Vt. 05602.

NOTE. The purpose of this republication is to correct the duration days.

No. MC 124328 (Sub-No. 73TA), filed February 20, 1975. Applicant: BRINK'S INCORPORATED, 234 East 24th St., Chicago, Ill. 60616. Applicant's representative: Ben Cotton, 704 Southern Bldg., Washington, D.C. 20005. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Precious metal products and items of unusual value*, from Waterbury, Conn., Attleboro and Plainville, Mass., Carteret and Winslow, N.J., New York City, and Malvern, Pa., to N. Andover, Mass., Oklahoma City, Okla., Chicago, Ill., Columbus, Ohio, Kansas City, Mo.-Kans., Shreveport, La., Richmond, Va., Winston-Salem, N.C., and Indianapolis, Ind. for 180 days. Supporting shipper: J. L. Young, Jr., Transportation Supervisor, Contracts & Service, Western Electric, 222 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10038. Send protests to: Richard K. Shullaw, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, Everett McKinley Dirksen Bldg., 219 S. Dearborn St., Room 1086, Chicago, Ill. 60604.

No. MC 128217 (Sub-No. 16TA), filed February 21, 1975. Applicant: REINHART MAYER, doing business as MAYER TRUCK LINE, 1203 South Riverside Drive, Jamestown, N. Dak. 58401. Applicant's representative: James B. Hovland, 425 Gate City Bldg., Fargo, N. Dak. 58102. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Materials, parts and supplies* used in the manufacture of agricultural, industrial and construction machinery (except commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles), from points in Illinois, Wisconsin, Missouri, the lower peninsula of Michigan, Indiana on and north of U.S. Highway 70, and Ohio (except Youngstown, Lorain and Warren), to Bismarck, Cooperstown and Gwinner, N. Dak., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Clark Equipment Co., Melroe Division, Gwinner, N. Dak. 58040. Send protests to: J. H. Ambs, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, P.O. Box 2340, Fargo, N. Dak. 58102.

No. MC 128409 (Sub-No. 3TA), filed February 21, 1975. Applicant: HAROLD A. MILLER, P.O. Box 623, Moorhead, Minn. 56560. Applicant's representative: F. H. Kroeger, 1745 University Avenue, St. Paul, Minn. 55104. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Boats*, from Waubun, Minn., to points in North Dakota, South Dakota, Iowa and Wisconsin, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Austin Aeromarine, Incorporated, Box 5461, Fargo, N. Dak. 58102. Send protests to: J. H. Ambs, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, P.O. Box 2340, Fargo, N. Dak. 58102.

No. MC 129664 (Sub-No. 3TA), filed February 18, 1975. Applicant: COMET MESSENGER AND DELIVERY SERVICE, INC., 7-11 South Avenue, Garwood, N.J. 07027. Applicant's representative: Alan Kahn, 1920 Two Penn Center Plaza, Philadelphia, Pa. 19102. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Business forms and materials used in accounting systems*, no single parcel or package to exceed 25 lbs. in weight, from the facilities of Safeguard Business Systems, Inc., in Lansdale, Pa., to points in New Jersey (except points in Atlantic, Burlington, Camden, Cumberland, Gloucester, Salem and Cape May Counties); New York, N.Y., and points in Orange, Rockland, Nassau, Suffolk, and Westchester Counties, N.Y. *Return shipments*, from the above described destination territory to the above named origin point, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Safeguard Business & Systems, Inc., P.O. Box 151, Lansdale, Pa. Send protests to: Robert E. Johnston, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, 9 Clinton St., Newark, N.J. 07102.

No. MC 134323 (Sub-No. 71TA), filed February 19, 1975. Applicant: JAY LINES, INC., 720 North Grand, Amarillo, Tex. 79105. Applicant's representative: Gailyn Larsen, P.O. Box 80806, 521 South 14th Street, Lincoln, Nebr. 68501. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, and meat by-products, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, from the plant sites and storage facilities of MBPXL Corporation at Wichita, Kans., to points in the United States (except Nebraska, Missouri, Arkansas, Oklahoma, Texas, Idaho, Montana, Wyoming, North Dakota, South Dakota, Alaska, and Hawaii), for 180 days. Supporting shipper: MBPXL Corporation, P.O. Box 910, Plainview, Tex. 79072. Send protests to: Haskell E. Ballard, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, Box H-4395, Herring Plaza, Amarillo, Tex. 79101.

No. MC 138000 (Sub-No. 17TA), filed February 18, 1975. Applicant: ARTHUR H. FULTON, P.O. Box 86, Stephens City, Va. 22655. Applicant's representative: Charles E. Creager, P.O. Box 1417, 1329 Pennsylvania Ave., Hagerstown, Md. 21740. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Malt beverages*, from Detroit, Mich., to points in Virginia, for 180 days. Supporting shippers: The Stroh Brewery Company, 909 East Elizabeth, Detroit, Mich. 48226. Valley Distributing Corp., Box 1377, Salem, Va. J. W. Sales, Co., 2813 Carrol Avenue, Lynchburg, Va. 24501. Lawrence Distributing Co., P.O. Box 536, Danville, Va. 24591. Send protests to: W. C. Hersman, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, Room 317, 12th Constitution Ave. NW, Washington, D.C. 20432.

No. MC 138498 (Sub-No. 6TA), filed February 13, 1975. Applicant: ASI, INC.,

P.O. Box 10444, Jacksonville, Fla. 32207. Applicant's representative: Sol H. Proctor, 1107 Blackstone Bldg., Jacksonville, Fla. 32202. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* having a prior or subsequent movement by air, between the Raleigh-Durham Airport between Raleigh and Durham, N.C., on the one hand, and points in Virginia on and south of U.S. Highway 58 and on and east of U.S. Highway 220 and points in North Carolina on and east of U.S. Highway 220, from the Virginia Border to its junction with U.S. 1 and then U.S. 1 to the South Carolina Border, for 180 days. Supporting shippers: There are approximately 10 statements of support attached to the application, which may be examined at the Interstate Commerce Commission in Washington, D.C., or copies thereof which may be examined at the field office named below. Send protests to: G. H. Fauss, Jr., District Supervisor, Box 35008, 400 West Bay St., Jacksonville, Fla. 32202.

No. MC 140615 TA (Correction), filed January 31, 1975, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of February 18, 1975, and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: DAIRYLAND TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 1064, Wisconsin Rapids, Wis. 54494. Applicant's: Dennis C. Brown (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Dairy products, dairy by-products, and gift paks*, from Alpha, plantsite of East Auburndale Cheese Co., near Auburndale, Arpin, Ellsworth, Greenwood, plantsite of John Wuethrich Creamery Co., Inc., near Greenwood, Gilman, Knapp, Lena, Medford, plantsite of Ino Food Corp., near Merrill, Poy Sippi, Spencer, Thorp, and Wisconsin Rapids, Wis., Bongard and Dalbo, Minn., to all states east of the Mississippi River, Texas, Louisiana, Arkansas, Oklahoma and Missouri, and (2) *Materials, supplies and equipment*, used in the preparation, packing and sale of these commodities, from the above mentioned states to Alpha, Auburndale, Arpin, Ellsworth, Greenwood, Gilman, Knapp, Lena, Medford, Merrill, Poy Sippi, Spencer, Thorp, and Wisconsin Rapids, Wis., Bongard and Dalbo, Minn., (3) *dairy products, dairy by-products and gift paks* from Big Stone City, S. Dak., to Lena, Wis., for 180 days. Supporting shippers: Frigo Cheese Corp., Lena, Wis. 54139. Arpin Dairy, Inc., Arpin, Wis. 54410. Ino Food Corp., Route 5, Merrill, Wis. Wuethrich Creamery Co., Inc., Greenwood, Wis. 54437. Cheez Co., Inc., 2319-2321 Jefferson St., Wisconsin Rapids, Wis. 54494. Send protests to: Barney L. Hardin, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, 139 W. Wilson St., Room 202, Madison, Wis. 53703.

NOTE.—The purpose of this republication is to add Wisconsin Rapids, Wis., as a destination point.

No. MC 140627 (Sub-No. 1TA) (Correction), filed February 7, 1975, pub-

lished in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of February 25, and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: ROBERT F. KAZIMOUR, 1200 Norwood Drive SE., Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52403. Applicant's representative: A. J. Swanson, P.O. Box 81849, Lincoln, Nebr. 68501. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Motorcycles, recreational vehicles and machines, accessories, parts, and materials, equipment, and supplies* (except commodities in bulk), between the facilities of Kawasaki Motors Corp., U.S.A., located in the commercial zone of Lincoln, Nebr., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Alabama, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Mexico, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Washington, Wisconsin, and Wyoming, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Kawasaki Motors Corp., 1062 McGaw Avenue, Santa Ana, Calif. 92705. Send protests to: Herbert W. Allen, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, 875 Federal Bldg., Des Moines, Iowa 50309. The purpose of this republication is to add Nevada as a destination point.

No. MC 140643 TA (Correction), filed February 11, 1975, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of February 25, 1975, and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: HOWARD N. CHILD, doing business as EIGHT BALL LINE TRUCKING, 2717 Goodrick Avenue, Richmond, Calif. 94804. Applicant's representative: Ramond A. Greene, Jr., 100 Pine St., Suite 2550, San Francisco, Calif. 94111. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Mineral wool*, from LaMirada and Union City, Calif., to points in Arizona, Idaho, Montana, New Mexico, Oregon, Utah, Washington, Wyoming and Nevada, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Certain-Teed Products, Inc., P.O. Box 860, Valley Forge, Pa. 19482. Send protests to: A. J. Rodriguez, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, 450 Golden Gate Ave., Box 36004, San Francisco, Calif. 94102.

NOTE.—The purpose of this republication is to indicate irregular routes in lieu of regular routes.

No. MC 140664 (Sub-No. 1TA), filed February 18, 1975. Applicant: PATRICK CARROLL ENTERPRISES, INC., Box 153, Lucinda, Pa. 16235. Applicant's representative: William J. Lavelle, 2310 Grant Bldg., Pittsburgh, Pa. 15219. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Coal*, from points in Clarion County, Pa., to Buffalo, Phelps, Fayetteville, Ithaca, Silver Springs, Jamestown and Lockport, New York and Niles, Ohio. Restriction: The operations authorized herein are limited to a transportation service under a continuing contract or contracts with Carroll Coal

Trusts, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Carroll Coal Trusts, Box 153, Lucinda, Pa. 16235. Send protests to: James C. Donaldson, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, 2111 Federal Bldg., Pittsburgh, Pa. 15222.

No. MC 140670 TA, filed February 21, 1975. Applicant: LOWELL L. TREF-FERT, INC., 3323 Rodney Lane, Racine, Wis. 53406. Applicant's representative: Richard C. Alexander, 710 North Plankinton Avenue, Milwaukee, Wis. 53203. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Corn twists, cheese corn and popcorn*, from Milwaukee, Wis., to Louisville, Ky., and *prepared foods*, from Milwaukee, Wis., to Waukegan and Chicago, Ill., for the account of Geiser's Potato Chip Company, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Geiser's Potato Chip Company, Milwaukee, Wis. Send protests to: John E. Ryden, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, 135 West Wells St., Room 807, Milwaukee, Wis. 53203.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-5842 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

[Notice No. 712]

ASSIGNMENT OF HEARINGS

FEBRUARY 28, 1975.

Cases assigned for hearing, postponement, cancellation or oral argument appear below and will be published only once. This list contains prospective assignments only and does not include cases previously assigned hearing dates. The hearings will be on the issues as presently reflected in the Official Docket of the Commission. An attempt will be made to publish notices of cancellation of hearings as promptly as possible, but interested parties should take appropriate steps to insure that they are notified of cancellation or postponements of hearings in which they are interested. No amendments will be entertained after the date of this publication.

No. 36098, Sterling Colorado Beef Company, Inc. Vs. The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company, et al., now being assigned May 6, 1975, at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C.

No. 36114, Potomac Electric Power Company Vs. Penn Central Transportation Company, John H. McArthur, Robert W. Blanchette, and Richard C. Bond, Trustees, et al., now being assigned May 13, 1975, at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-5843 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

[Notice No. 243]

MOTOR CARRIER BOARD TRANSFER PROCEEDINGS

MARCH 5, 1975.

Synopses of orders entered by the Motor Carrier Board of the Commission pursuant to sections 212(b), 206(a), 211, 312(b), and 410(g) of the Interstate Commerce Act, and rules and regulations prescribed thereunder (49 CFR Part 1132), appear below:

Each application (except as otherwise specifically noted) filed after March 27, 1972, contains a statement by applicants that there will be no significant effect on the quality of the human environment resulting from approval of the application. As provided in the Commission's Special rules of practice any interested person may file a petition seeking reconsideration of the following numbered proceedings on or before March 25, 1975. Pursuant to section 17(8) of the Interstate Commerce Act, the filing of such a petition will postpone the effective date of the order in that proceeding pending its disposition. The matters relied upon by petitioners must be specified in their petitions with particularity.

No. MC-FC-75464. By order of February 24, 1975, the Motor Carrier Board approved the transfer to Luvene S. Christensen, doing business as Christensen Truck Line, Redwood Falls, Minn., of the operating rights in Certificate No. MC 127143 issued May 17, 1966 to Duane Fruin, Cottonwood, Minn., authorizing the transportation of animal and poultry feeds and feed ingredients from Weeping Water, Nebr. to points in North Dakota, South Dakota, and Minnesota. Gene P. Johnson, 425 Gate City Building, Fargo, N.D. 58102, Attorney for applicants.

No. MC-FC-75467. By order of February 24, 1975, the Motor Carrier Board approved the transfer to Clifton A. Schultz, doing business as Midwest Express, Danville, Ill., of the operating rights in Permits No. MC 90760, MC 90760 (Sub-No. 1), MC 90760 (Sub-No. 2), and MC 90760 (Sub-No. 12), issued November 18, 1946, June 5, 1959, August 14, 1950, and August 27, 1956 respectively to Russell D. Enos, Danville, Ill., authorizing the transportation of various commodities from, to and between specified points and areas in Indiana, Illinois, Iowa, Minnesota, Nebraska, South Dakota, Tennessee, Kentucky, Ohio, Missouri, Wisconsin, and Michigan. Ray M. Foreman, 41 North Vermilion St., Danville, Ill. 61832, Attorney for applicants.

No. MC-FC-75664. By order of February 24, 1975, the Motor Carrier Board approved the transfer to A & R Lumber Sales, a corporation, Eugene, Oreg., of the operating rights in Permit No. MC 124186 (Sub-No. 3) issued January 11, 1965, to J-Ways Trucking Co., Inc., Silverton, Oreg., authorizing the transportation of dry fertilizer, from the plant

sites of Best Fertilizers Co., near Lathrop, Calif., Filtrol Corporation, Los Angeles, Calif., Collier Carbon & Chemical Corporation at Brea Chem, Calif., California Chemical Co., at Richmond, Calif., Western States Chemical Co., Nichols, Calif., Shell Chemical Company at Nitroshell, north of Ventura, Calif., and at Shell Point, near Pittsburg, Calif., and Dominguez, Calif., to points in Oregon. Robt. B. Hollis, 400 Pacific Building, Portland, Oreg. 97204, Attorney for applicants.

No. MC-FC-75667. By order of February 25, 1975, the Motor Carrier Board approved the transfer to A. L. Seymore, Ronald Seymore, Terry Seymore, and Michael Seymore, a partnership, doing business as Seymore Truck Lines, Greenville, S.C., of the operating rights in Certificate No. MC 125895 and Permit No. MC 112977 both issued March 22, 1973, to Sims Transfer Company, Spartanburg, S.C. authorizing the transportation (1) in motor common carriage, of textile waste materials and used bagging and textile waste materials and cotton which are within the exemption of section 203 (b) (6) of the Act, when transported in the same vehicle with the above-specified commodities, between points in North Carolina, South Carolina, Alabama, Georgia, and Tennessee, and (2) in motor contract carriage, of concrete pipe, from Spartanburg, S.C. to points in Buncombe, Burke, Clay, Cleveland, Gaston, Haywood, Henderson, Jackson, Macon, McDowell, Polk, Rutherford, Transylvania, Cherokee, Graham, Swain, Madison, Yancey, and Mitchell Counties, N.C., and lumber, brick, concrete blocks, and construction machinery, between Spartanburg and Greenville, S.C., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Buncombe, Henderson, McDowell, Rutherford, Polk, Cleveland, Gaston, and Mecklenburg Counties, N.C., and Richmond County, Ga. *Dual operations were approved.* Jefferson V. Smith, Jr., 123 Broadus Avenue, Greenville, S.C. 29603, and Frank A. Graham, Jr., 707 Security Federal Bldg., Columbia, S.C. 29201, Attorneys for applicants.

ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-5844 Filed 3-4-75; 8:45 am]

[Ex Parte No. 293 (Sub-No. 5)]

REVIEW OF THE UNITED STATES RAILWAY ASSOCIATION'S PRELIMINARY SYSTEM PLAN—RAIL SERVICES PLANNING OFFICE HEARINGS

Notice of Public Hearings; Corrections and Additions

On February 21, 1975, a listing of hearings to be conducted by the Rail Services Planning Office on the preliminary system plan of the United States Railway Association pursuant to section 207(a) (2) of the Regional Rail Reorganization Act of 1973 was published in the FEDERAL

NOTICES

10275-10315

REGISTER at pp 7725-6. To that notice are the following corrections and additions.

CORRECTIONS

The following is the correct address for the hearing site in Boston, Massachusetts:

Minihan Auditorium
Massachusetts Division of Employment
Security Building
(C.F. Hurley Building)
Government Center
Boston, Massachusetts

The following is the correct address for the hearing site in Providence, Rhode Island:

City Council Chamber
City Hall
Dorrance Street
Providence, Rhode Island

The following are the correct dates for the hearings to be held in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania:

March 17-20.

ADDITIONS

Three (3) additional locations to the 23 public hearing sites previously announced have been selected:

It is therefore ordered, That:
(1) The following dates and hearing sites are established together with the local contact coordinator who will receive requested appearance times at the respective hearings:

FRIDAY, MARCH 21, 1975

Allentown, Pennsylvania—Council Chambers, Room 119, 435 Hamilton Street, Allentown, Pennsylvania.

CONTACT: Sandra Diehl, c/o ICC Office, 278 Federal Building, 228 Walnut Street, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17108 Phone: 717-782-3458.

Altoona, Pennsylvania—Roosevelt Jr. High School, 15th Street and 7th Avenue, Altoona, Pennsylvania.

CONTACT: Henrietta Vlasic, c/o ICC Office, 2111 Federal Building, 1000 Liberty Avenue, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15222 Phone: 412-644-2929.

MONDAY, MARCH 24, 1975—WEDNESDAY, MARCH 26, 1975

Traverse City, Michigan—Park Place Motor Inn, Michigan, Room, 300 East 8th Street, Traverse City, Michigan.

CONTACT: Mary Brown, c/o ICC Office, 225 Federal Building, 325 West Allegan Street, Lansing, Michigan 48933 Phone: 517-373-1910.

(2) The availability of free legal assistance and the rules and procedures applicable to the previously announced hearings, given in sections (2) and (3) of the February 21, 1975 notice at pp. 7725-6 apply equally to persons testifying at these additional hearing sites.

[SEAL]

ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-5845 Filed 3-4-75;8:45 am]