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#### Abstract

Radiation dose distribution measurements have been carried out by the Naval Surface Warfare Center (NSWC) using 200 and 391 MeV electron beams completely penetrating layers of Aluminum, lead and aluminum, polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA), and PMMA sandwiching an air gap. For the case of 391 MeV electrons, the results have been compared previously to the corresponding distributions predicted by the Monte Carlo simulation codes EGS3 and ACCEPT [Ref. 1]. Those measurements/predictions for 391 MeV are here compared to predictions by the CYLTRAN electron/photon transport code, and the 200 MeV measurements done by NSWC are compared to CYLTRAN calculations. The CYLTRAN code predictions agree well with measurements at 391 MeV . Comparison of CYLTRAN calculations with the NSWC results for 200 MeV indicates possible saturation of the detectors used to take the measurements. The distribution of energy dose within the target has a large dependence on the location of the air gap. The variation in dose distribution is caused by the change in target geometry resulting from insertion of the air gap.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

The objective of this work is to test the ability of a computer model, using the Integrated Tiger Series (ITS) code, to accurately predict the behavior of radiation cascades caused when a high energy electron beam traverses a solid target. The approach used has been to study experimental measurements, construct a computer model suitable for use as input to the ITS simulation code system, run the code, and compare the results to the measurements. In order to understand the approach taken in the construction of the computer model forming the basis of this work, some details of the experiments to which the model will be compared must be examined.

## A. BACKGROUND

Researchers from the Naval Surface Warfare Center (NSWC) have conducted experiments at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Bates Linear Accelerator Center to measure the three-dimensional spatial distribution of energy deposited by radiation showers in a multilayer, multi-element target stack when the target is bombarded by a high energy electron beam [Ref. 1, 2, and 3]. The experiment by NSWC was conducted using 200 MeV and 391 MeV electrons incident on four separate target stack configurations. The results of the 391 MeV experiment were compared with energy deposition distributions calculated
using two different Monte Carlo electron transport model computer codes, EGS3 (Electron-Gamma Shower, Version 3) and ACCEPT. The EGS (for Electron-Gamma-Shower) system of computer codes is a general purpose package developed by the Stanford Linear Accelerator Center (SLAC) for the Monte Carlo simulation of coupled electron and photon transport in arbitrary geometry. ACCEPT is one of a series of codes based on ETRAN [Ref. 5] called the Integrated Tiger Series (ITS), developed by Sandia National Laboratory [Ref. 4].

The target materials examined in the NSWC work were Aluminum, Lead followed by Aluminum, Polymethyl Methacrylate (PMMA, also known as Lucite or Plexiglass), and PMMA sandwiching an air gap. Target plates of roughly equal thickness were constructed of each type of target material. These 5 or 6 plates were stacked together to make target stacks. Each target stack was configured so that the total target thickness, expressed as the areal mass density, was approximately $50 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$. A schematic of a target stack as configured for the NSWC experiments is shown in Figure 1.

Interspaced at regular intervals in the target stack were planar arrays of Lithium Fluoride Thermoluminescent Dosimeters (TLDs). The TLD arrays consisted of 88 individual dosimeters, 0.1 cm in diameter, 0.3 cm in length, arranged in concentric annular patterns with their centers along the beam axis, and were designed so as to measure the amount of energy deposited
(dose) as a function of radial distance from the beam at several positions along the length of the target stack.

The target stacks were irradiated by electron beams of 200 and 391 MeV . The results obtained in the work by the NSWC form the basis of this work and are reported in references 1 and 2 for 391 MeV , and in Reference 3 for 200 MeV .

The work by NSWC included a comparison of the 391 MeV measurements to predictions by two Monte Carlo electron/photon shower simulation codes, EGS3 and ITS/ACCEPT. This comparison led the researchers at NSWC to three specific conclusions (these conclusions pertained only to the 391 MeV data). The NSWC conclusions are summarized below. [Ref. 1-3]

- CYLTRAN and EGS3 both predicted values for the dose distribution that agreed well with measurements except in the PMMA target configurations with an air gap.
- Neither ACCEPT nor EGS3 was able to accurately predict the dose distribution in the PMMA configurations with an air gap. The codes predicted doses as much as 100\% larger than the measurements.
- The dose distribution in the PMMA target stacks was largely dependent on the location of the air gap within the stack.

In comparison of the results from the present work to those from the NSWC work, the following conclusions have been reached.

- CYLTRAN predictions agreed well with the 391 MeV data taken by NSWC with no exceptions. Even though the dose distribution in the target configuration with an air gap varied with the location of the air gap, CYLTRAN was able to predict the dose.
- The variation in dose distribution caused by changing the location of the air gap seems to be a geometry effect.
target stack mounted on rig


Figure 1. Schematic drawing of a target stack as configured for the NSWC measurements. [Ref. 1]

This conclusion was drawn from the results obtained by comparing the dose in a target with an air gap to that obtained when the air gap was replaced by a void, and finding that they were identical.

- A comparison of the NSWC 200 MeV data to CYLTRAN calculations was done. This was a new comparison since the NSWC work had not compared the data to a transport code at this energy. CYLTRAN produced results that agree very well with the data at 200 MeV except for on-axis measurements in two target configurations (PMMA and Lead followed by Aluminum). Analysis of these results indicates that the dosimeters used to take the data may have been saturated.


## B. SOME CONSIDERATIONS IN ELECTRON TRANSPORT MODELING

## 1. Electron step size

The number of collisions undergone by an electron as it passes through a target are too numerous, except possibly at very low electron energies, to allow treatment of individual collisions even by the most powerful computers. Instead, the electron path through the material is divided into discreet segments in which a large number of collisions occur. The smaller pathlengths, or steps, allow the calculation of energy deposition by the sampling of net angular deflection and net energy loss using the appropriate multiple scattering approximation (the Goudsmit-Saunderson approximation for the ITS CYLTRAN code [Ref. 5]) and a "condensed history model" approach [Ref. 6].

Seltzer explains that the step size is chosen by the code user by weighing a set of conflicting requirements. The steps should be short enough that (1) most of the steps in the
electron history are completely inside the target so that the use of multiple-scattering theories for unbounded media are appropriate, (2) the average energy loss is small so that the use of one-velocity multiple-scattering distributions is justified, and (3) the net angular deflection is, on the average, small so that the spatial displacement for the step can be approximated closely by a straight line. The steps should be long enough that (1) there are a sufficient number of collisions per step to justify the use of multiplescattering theories, and (2) the number of steps per history is not too large. [Ref. 7]

The portion of the ITS code package that generates cross-section data for the transport code to use in its calculations is called XGEN. The step size is determined during the execution of XGEN, in the cross-section generation process, so that it satisfies the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{i+1}=2^{-\frac{1}{k}} E_{i} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The value $\underline{k=8}$ is chosen by default in XGEN, the ITS cross-section generating program, so that in passing through a given step, an electron will lose $8.3 \%$ of its energy [Ref. 8 ].

Translation of the percentage decrease in electron energy to an equivalent physical step length is done by assuming the electron slows down continuously over the step. The step size is given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}=I_{0}\left(E_{n}\right)-r_{0}\left(E_{n+1}\right), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\underline{\underline{r}}_{0}$ is the electron range at the initial and final energies in the step as given by the continuous-slowing-down approximation.

## 2. Electron Radiation Length

It is convenient, in considering the passage of electromagnetic radiation through material, to measure the thickness of the material in terms of the radiation length. In most processes, some or all of the dependence on the medium is contained in the radiation length. The radiation length is defined as that distance $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{R}}$ over which a high energy electron is slowed to a fraction equal to $1 / e$ of its original energy. The values of radiation length for electrons for materials of interest in this work are given in Table I. The table gives values of radiation length in units of cm and $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$, the latter being the product of the former and the density of the material.

Table I. RADIATION LENGTHS FOR SELECTED MATERIALS [Ref. 9]
Material Rad. Length (cm) Rad. Length (g/cm $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$

| Aluminum | 8.9 | 24.01 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Lead | 0.56 | 6.37 |
| PMMA | 34.4 | 40.55 |
| Air | 30420 | 36.66 |

## 3. Thin Film Scattering

The experimental work on which this work is based involved a target stack configuration with an air gap between two sections of solid material (Lucite). The possibility was explored in the present work that the ITS code might better predict doses in air if the air gap were treated as a thin film, due to the low density of air, and the long radiation length of an electron in air. Most electron/photon transport codes in current use, including the ITS series of codes, are subject to the constraint that they must be used in situations where the material depth exceeds the step size calculated for the electron as it traverses the material. The GoudsmitSaunderson theory breaks down in the limit of small material thicknesses (thin films). Work in this area has produced the Jordan-Mack correction to the CYLTRAN code to correct the multiple scattering distribution in the code to give better agreement with measurements for thin film targets. [Ref.3, pp. 14-16] The effect of the Jordan-Mack correction, which can be applied to the CYLTRAN code by the user, was investigated in this case to determine if the same sort of thin film phenomenon might be involved in electron transport through an air gap.

## C. OVERVIEW OF ITS

The Integrated Tiger Series of computer codes was developed over the last 20 years at the U.S. Department of

Energy's Sandia National Laboratory for use in the simulation of electron/photon transport through various materials. ITS is based primarily on ETRAN (for Electron TRANSport), which was developed by the National Bureau of Standards [Ref. 7, p. 249]. The codes forming the basis of ITS are TIGER, CYLTRAN, and ACCEPT. The three codes differ primarily in that (1) they treat problems in different dimensionalities and (2) they are designed to handle different problem geometries. The TIGER code is confined to the analysis of problems in one dimension. The three-dimensional codes, CYLTRAN and ACCEPT, have been applied in this work and will be explained in further detail below.

## 1. CYLTRAN

CYLTRAN, a fully three-dimensional transport code employing cylindrical geometry, is a natural choice for simulating problems involving electron beam sources. A complete description of the ITS-CYLTRAN code system is provided in Appendix A.

CYLTRAN enables the code user, relatively easily, to break a target with cylindrically symmetric geometry into an arbitrary number of zones and will determine the energy deposited in each zone by a beam of electrons (as in this case) or photons. The decision of the fineness of division of the target into zones must be balanced against the need for timely results, as the cost for more (or finer) zones is
longer run time in executing the CYLTRAN code. The CYLTRAN geometry allows the electron beam to be incident on the cylinder at any arbitrary point and from any direction. The CYLTRAN code has no ability to present results in a graphical form. The user must provide the resources to take the output from CYLTRAN (which by default is manageable, but can be quite lengthy) and manipulate it either manually or electronically into a form which can be analyzed graphically or in some other manner.

In this work, the CYLTRAN code was given an input file which gave geometry data defining the boundaries of the desired number of target zones. The ensuing code calculations produced a formatted output file containing the energy deposition distributions and other code results. This output file was read by an interactive program written in the Interactive Data Language (IDL), which manipulated the appropriate geometry data and energy deposition distributions into plottable formats for analysis.

## 2. ACCEPT

ACCEPT is a fully three-dimensional electron/photon transport code which is applicable to problems of any geometry using a combinatorial geometry scheme. While the combinatorial geometry system makes ACCEPT more complex to use, its basic computational algorithms are identical to those in CYLTRAN and
the two should give identical results, as long as the problem geometry allows the use of either code.

## II. SIMULATION PROCEDURE

## A. GENERAL PROCEDURAL APPROACH

As mentioned previously, references 1 through 3 reported the results of measurements taken by NSWC, and also reported the results of the comparison of measurements at 391 MeV beam energy with dosages predicted by two different Monte Carlo electron-photon transport simulation codes, EGS3 and ACCEPT. The measurements taken by NSWC, reported in reference 3 , were not compared to any computer code calculations.

The NSWC results reported in references 1 through 3 were modeled in this work using the CYLTRAN member of the ITS code package. The CYLTRAN code was run on a DEC VAXStation 3200 minicomputer using VAX Fortran. The results of the CYLTRAN runs were read and plotted by a program written for this work using the Interactive Data Language (IDL), a commercially available graphics package marketed by Research Systems, Incorporated. A user's guide and the source code for the IDL program written for the manipulation and plotting of the calculations done in this work are attached as Appendix B.

Although the target stacks used in the NSWC experiment were actually rectangular, or box-shaped, the homogeneous nature of the target stack materials and the use of a normally incident, monoenergetic electron beam suggested that the
targets be modeled as a right circular cylinder with the electron beam (which is taken to be a "point" beam) traveling along the cylinder's longitudinal axis and incident on the face of the cylinder. This geometry allowed the natural use of the CYLTRAN member of the ITS code with its simpler input stream. Figure 2 is a schematic of the target model in the case of the NSWC target consisting of PMMA sandwiching an air gap located downstream of the first $10 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ of target material.

The first runs of the CYLTRAN code were intended to determine whether CYLTRAN in fact produced the same results, within statistical expectations, as those obtained at NSWC using ACCEPT. The chief concern in these runs was the prediction of the dose in the TLDs interleaved between each target 'slab' along the length of the target, as opposed to later simulation runs, which would divide the target stack into many more zones, attempting to resolve in greater detail what was happening to the electron energies as the particles progress through the target. For reasons of distinguishing between the degree of fineness of the zones, these first target geometries were called "low resolution targets" and the corresponding CYLTRAN runs were called "low resolution" runs.

After the initial low resolution CYLTRAN runs had been completed, a series of runs was done to determine how the dose in the air gap and the layers of PMMA surrounding it responded to changes in various model characteristics. The


Figure 2. Low Resolution Target With a 39 cm Air Gap Downstream of One PMMA Target section. This target is called a low resolution target because the target material itself is not resolved into zones in which the dose is evaluated.
characteristics which were varied for comparison were the number of CYLTRAN input zones, the number of primary electron histories to be followed by CYLTRAN, the scattering angle approximation used in the code, and the location of the air gap.

CYLTRAN allows the user to determine the number and location of spatial zones to be monitored within the target cylinder. The user simply places into the input data file geometry data specifying the location of zone boundaries (in the terminology used by the ITS system, each zone is called an
"input zone"). One can treat the entire target cylinder as a single input zone or divide the target cylinder into hundreds of finely divided input zones and track the dose deposited in each zones, if so desired. In this series of runs, the CYLTRAN model cylinder and its target sections were divided into small, highly resolved "input zones". Each section of target material was divided longitudinally into 10 slices of equal thickness, and the 39 cm air gap was divided into 13 slices, each having a thickness of 3 cm . Each slice in all materials was itself divided into 12 radial zones to identically match the radial locations of the LiF TLD's used in the NSWC experiments. The resultant large number of input zones (828 in the case of PMMA sandwiching a 39 cm air gap, 660 for all other configurations considered here) allowed CYLTRAN to track the energy deposition at a much finer scale, and a "high resolution" view was obtained of the energy dose in the target as a function of radius and depth. Figures 3 and 4 compare the zoning of the low resolution and high resolution cases.

The high resolution zoning approach to the CYLTRAN model was applied to all targets of concern in the NSWC experiment in order to better assess the performance of CYLTRAN in predicting the measured dose.

Some CYLTRAN runs were done to test the plausibility of the idea that the air gap could be treated as a thin film. The Jordan-Mack thin film scattering correction to the ITS codes was applied to CYLTRAN. Runs were conducted on one of the


Figure 3. Low Resolution Target Section and Dosimeter Array


Figure 4. High Resolution Target Section and Dosimeter Array
targets of concern. The results of this run were compared to the results for the same target obtained from CYLTRAN without the correction applied to assess the correction's effect, if any, on the dose distribution in the air gap.

It was of some interest in this work to evaluate the statistical uncertainty involved in the CYLTRAN calculations, and to get some idea of how this uncertainty changed with the choice of input parameters. Of particular interest was the question of how the CYLTRAN-calculated dose in the target varies with a change in the number of primary electrons. To this end, it was necessary to consider how many electrons would be sufficient to statistically represent the real experiment. In the NSWC experiments, the targets were exposed for 10 to 20 seconds to a $0.1 \mu \mathrm{~A}$ current. This translates to a total of from $1.6 \times 10^{13}$ to $3.2 \times 10^{13}$ electrons. Diagnostic CYLTRAN runs on the Digital VaxStation 3200 used in this work indicated that, with a baseline run time of about 2 hours for 10,000 primary electrons, increasing the number of primary electrons 10 fold to 100,000 would require a run time of about 24 hours, or a factor of 10 increase in computer run time. Changing the number of primary electrons from 10,000 to 100,000 causes a decrease in uncertainty from 20-50\% in the former case to $10-20 \%$ in the latter (for all materials in this work except air). Due to relatively low value of uncertainty for 100,000 electrons, and to the fact that any further gains in the level of certainty would cost dearly in computer run
time, a CYLTRAN run with 100,000 electrons was decided to be sufficiently representative of the real beam. The CYITRAN runs for the high resolution target were repeated using 100,000 electron histories and the impact of the gain in statistical certainty was assessed.

## B. NEW ANALYSIS FOR 200 MEV ELECTRONS

Reference 3 listed the measurements obtained in experiments using 200 MeV electron beams. Data was reported by NSWC on the three target stacks consisting of only Aluminum, Lead followed by Aluminum, and PMMA. Computer simulations had not been done previously against this data. As part of this work, CYLTRAN runs were performed to see how well CYLTRAN would predict the dose distribution at this energy.
III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS OF PREVIOUSLY ANALYZED DATA AT 391 MEV
A. RESULTS WITH CYLTRAN CORRECTED FOR THIN FILM SCATTERING The Jordan-Mack correction for use in correcting the ITS code to accurately predict energy deposition in thin films, when applied to CYLTRAN in this work, has no effect on the dose distribution in any of the target configurations considered. This is an indication that, if the codes are inherently unable to treat an air gap at high energies, that inability is not related to any inability to hande thin film scattering phenomena.
B. GENERAL COMPARISON OF CYLTRAN TO ACCEPT AND NSWC DATA Reference 1 reported dose distributions in the target stack as predicted by ACCEPT. ACCEPT calculations were reported for dose in the LiF dosimeters at 6 longitudinal points along the stack in the case of the 2 targets with PMMA sandwiching an air gap, and for 5 points along the stack in the cases of the other targets (PMMA, aluminum, and lead followed by aluminum). Figures 5 through 9 show the results of predictions calculated using CYLTRAN (it is important to note that figures 5 through 9 show points calculated at a few discreet points along the length of the cylinder corresponding to the longitudinal depths at which the NSWC data were taken.

Lines drawn between the points are shown only to help distinguish between sets of calculations/data). These calculations were done using the "low resolution" model detailed in Chapter II so that a fair comparison could be done between the two sets of calculations. Generally, agreement between the NSWC data and CYLTRAN calculations is good to very good. Discrepancies between the code and experiment seems to be greatest at a radius of 5 cm . In view of a statistical uncertainty at this radius of $20-40$ percent, however, the discrepancy may not be significant. ITS calculations, in all target materials, agree very well for radii in the range from the axis to about 2 cm .

CYLTRAN scores a dose by whether it was deposited by a primary, knock-on, or gamma-secondary electron. Analysis of the CYLTRAN calculations shows that dose on axis is due almost totally to primary electrons. At a radius of 20 cm , the dose is due mostly to gamma-secondary electrons. The doses in between are due to various combinations of primary and gammasecondary electrons. Knock-on electrons have only a minor contribution in all cases.

ACCEPT and CYLTRAN give results that vary by little in most cases. However, in some cases, especially as the radius approaches 20 cm , the two codes seem to give widely varying answers. It has been noted previously that ACCEPT and CYLTRAN have the same transport physics as their basis, so their is no reason to believe the two codes should produce widely varying


Figure 5. Low Resolution 391 MeV CYLTRAN Dose Distribution in PMMA with 39 cm Air Gap Downstream of lst PMMA Target Section, 10,000 primary electrons. Note close agreement of CYLTRAN and data. Compare with Figure 10.


Figure 6. Low Resolution 391 MeV CYLTRAN Dose Distribution in PMMA with 39 cm Air Gap Downstream of 4 th PMMA Target Section, 10,000 primary electrons.


Figure 7. Low Resolution 391 MeV CYLTRAN Dose Distribution in PMMA Target with No Air Gap, 10,000 primary electrons.


Figure 8. Low Resolution 391 MeV CYLTRAN Dose Distribution in Aluminum Target, 10,000 primary electrons.

Figure 9. Low Resolution 391 MeV CYLTRAN Dose Distribution in Target of Lead followed by Aluminum, 10,000 primary electrons.
results. However, when consideration is given to the fact that, at 20 cm radius the uncertainty in the calculations can be as high as $50 \%$, the variation between the results is not that surprising. That is, ACCEPT and CYLTRAN seem to be within the statistical uncertainty of each other.

## C. EFFECT OF AIR GAP LOCATION ON TARGET DOSE DISTRIBUTION

If an air gap is inserted in the target stack, there is a marked variation in the dose distribution. The dose in the portion of the target material on or close to the beam axis doesn't change significantly. However, as one looks at the results at distances away from the axis, the dose in any particular section of target material is significantly affected by whether or not it is preceded in the longitudinal direction by an air gap. As the air gap is moved to a different depth in the target stack, the dose in the material preceding the air gap is reduced. However, the section of target material immediately following the air gap receives a higher dose than that which would have been received if the air gap were not there. Both of these effects are increasingly pronounced as radius from the beam axis increases. A comparison of Figures 5, 6, and 7 illustrates this point.

CYLTRAN calculations agree very well with data in reference 1 . That is, the changes in dose due to insertion of an air gap seem to be faithfully predicted within statistical uncertainty, which will be discussed later. Incidentally, in
those cases where there are noticeable discrepancies between CYLTRAN and data, the CYLTRAN dose distribution shows a tendency to overpredict. The only case in which the agreement between CYLTRAN and the data may be questionable is shown in Figure 5 at 5 cm radius and, most remarkably, at 20 cm radius. In this regard, it is well to note the large statistical uncertainty inherent in the CYLTRAN Monte carlo algorithm, which runs from $25-50$ percent at 20 cm radius for 10,000 primary electrons in the target of Figure 5, as will be discussed later. In light of this uncertainty, the apparent disagreement in Figure 5 between CYLTRAN and data may not be significant.

The low resolution CYLTRAN calculations shown in figures 5 through 9 show only the doses in the dosimeter arrays located between the target sections and before and after the air gap. A high resolution CYLTRAN run, in which target sections are divided in much finer zones, sheds a great deal of light on how the dose distribution behaves in the target material itself. Figure 10 illustrates the high resolution dose distribution in the case of PMMA with the air gap located downstream of the first target section. Figure 11 shows the dose distribution for PMMA with the air gap downstream of the fourth target section. Figures 12,13 , and 14 show dose distributions for targets of solid PMMA, Aluminum, and Lead followed by Aluminum, respectively.

A comparison of Figure 10 with Figure 5 shows that the overall disagreement between the NSWC data and these CYLTRAN calculations is reduced by dividing the problem target geometry into smaller zones. The high resolution calculations verify the continuous nature of the dose distribution. Except for statistical fluctuations of the dose about a mean value, the dose is in fact smoothly distributed in the cylinder, even across the air gap. The most well-defined results are given on axis. This is what would be expected, as there the beam is most intense and the probability of an electron colliding with an "air molecule" would be the highest. In other words, the statistics are better on axis and get increasingly worse with increasing radius. This would explain the absence of plottable points at 5 cm and 20 cm radius in the air gap in Figure 10. At radii greater than about 5 cm in the air gap with 10000 primary electrons the probability of a collision is extremely low. Thus, the dose in the air gap will be calculated by CYLTRAN to be zero in most cases. This is born out in Figure 10.

## D. NUMBER OF PRIMARY ELECTRON HISTORIES

Since the Monte Carlo calculations which are the basis of the CYITRAN code is statistical in nature, one might expect that increasing the number of primary electrons would cause a decrease in the statistical uncertainty of the CYITRAN calculations. Results obtained in this work would indicate that this is so. A plot of dose per incident electron for the
target of Figure 10 using 100,000 incident electrons is shown as Figure 15. The better definition of the dose distribution at large radii in the air gap is a certain indication that statistics have improved significantly. Comparison of figures 10 and 15 reveals that, in the target material, the value of the dose calculated by CYLTRAN doesn't depend on the number of primary electron histories followed. The statistical benefit in raising the number of histories is shown in the comparison of Figures 16 and 17. A factor of 10 increase in the number of primary electrons produces a decrease in uncertainty of at least $50 \%$ at all radii. The most significant benefit derived by using more electrons is gained in the air gap and at large radii, where the collision probability is smallest.

## E. DOSE BREAKDOWN BY ELECTRON TYPE

The dose deposited in a target zone by an electron can be classified by the type of electron that deposited the dose. The electron type is identified as either primary, gammasecondary, or knock-on. A primary electron is an electron that was in the original electron beam incident on the target. A knock-on electron is one that has been knocked free of an atom through some collisional process. A gamma-secondary electron is an electron that has been emitted from an atom through any process involving a photon. An important part of this classification is that once a photon has knocked an electron from an atom, that electron is a gamma-secondary electron as


Figure 10. High Resolution 391 MeV CYLTRAN Dose Distribution in PMMA with 39 cm Air Gap Downstream of lst PMMA Target Section, 10,000 primary electrons. Compare to figure 5. Note reduced disagreement with data.


Figure 11. High Resolution 391 MeV CYLTRAN Dose Distribution for PMMA with 39 cm Air Gap Downstream of 4 th PMMA Target Section, 10,000 Primary Electrons. CYLTRAN agrees very well with data here.


Figure 12. High Resolution 391 MeV CYLTRAN Dose Distribution for PMMA Target, 10,000 Primary Electrons. CYLTRAN agrees very well with data.


Figure 13. High Resolution 391 MeV CYLTRAN Dose Distribution for Aluminum Target, 10,000 Primary Electrons. CYLTRAN agrees very well with data.


Figure 14. High Resolution 391 MeV CYLTRAN Dose Distribution for Target of Lead Followed by Aluminum, 10,000 Primary Electrons. CYLTRAN agrees very well with data.


Figure 15. High Res. 391 MeV CYLTRAN Dose Distribution in PMMA with Air Gap Downstream of 1st PMMA Target Section, 100,000 primary electrons. Compare to previous figure to note drastic decrease in statistical uncertainty.


Figure 16. Uncertainty in Total Dose by Radius for 10,000 Primary Electrons in Target of Figure 9. Compare to following figure where 100,000 electrons are used. Note the improvement in statistics with a large number of electrons.


Figure 17. Uncertainty in Total Dose by Radius for 100,000 Primary Electrons in Target of Figure 10. Compare with the previous figure for 10,000 electrons. Note the improvement in statistics with a larger number of primary electrons.
well as all electrons on down the chain that the secondary electron might start. Figure 18 illustrates the classification of these dose deposition mechanisms.

The CYLTRAN output provides detailed analysis of whether the dose in any particular target zone comes from a primary, gamma-secondary, or knock-on electron. Figures 19 through 23 show the dose per incident primary electron at various radii on the target of Figure 10 with 100,000 primary electrons. Figures 19 and 20 show that, on axis, the dose is almost totally due to loss of energy by primary electrons. The negative dose contributed by the knock-on electrons simply says that a small portion of the energy deposited by the primaries is carried out of the zone by knock-ons created in the zone. Note that by the time the primary electrons traverse the first PMMA target section and enter the air gap, the onaxis dose is reduced to $25 \%$ of its original value. Once the electrons are one third of the way through the air gap, the on-axis dose due to primary electrons has been reduced to about $5 \%$ of its original value. Figure 20 shows that, although the dose on-axis through the end of the air gap is mostly from primaries, immediately downstream of the air gap the dose becomes dominated by gamma-secondary electrons. The small energy contribution from knock-ons does not play a significant role on-axis except in the first target section. We see from the off-axis CYLTRAN calculations in Figures 21 and 22 that, while there is very little dose away from the axis in the


Figure 18. Classification of Dose Deposition by Type of Electron Depositing the Dose.
first target section, as the beam progresses down the cylinder the contribution from the primaries is increasingly overtaken by that from gamma-secondaries farther away from the beam axis. Finally, as shown in Figure 23 , the dose at a radius of 20 cm is almost totally due to gamma-secondary electrons. In fact, there is very little energy deposited in the cylinder at


Figure 19. Dose Breakdown by Electron Type on Beam Axis for Target of Figure 10 with 100,000 Electrons, 391 MeV . Most of the dose is due to primary electrons in the first 15 cm depth. The next figure expands the region beyond 20 cm depth.


Figure 20. Dose Breakdown on Beam Axis (Expanded View Beyond 20 cm Depth) for 100,000 Electrons at 391 MeV . Photon processes cause rapid increase in gamma-secondaries at air gap boundary, so beyond 48 cm dose is mostly from low energy g-secondaries.


Figure 21. Dose Breakdown by Electron Type at 2 cm Radius for Target of Figure 10 with 100,000 Electrons, 391 MeV . Dose at this radius is mostly from primaries and is highest at middepth after electrons have scattered to that radius.


Figure 22. Dose Breakdown by Electron Type at 5 cm Radius for Target of Figure 10 with 100,000 Electrons, 391 MeV . Statistics in the air gap begin to decrease because few electrons reach that radius inside the gap.


Figure 23. Dose Breakdown by Electron Type at 20 cm Radius for Target of Figure 10 with 100,000 Electrons, 391 MeV . Dose from knock-ons overshadows others in the air gap. Uncertainty in the air gap is very high due to the few collisions occurring.

20 cm until the air gap is passed, at which point the dose due to secondary and knock-on electrons rises dramatically, the knock-on dose falling slowly back to a very low level and the secondary dose falling and becoming a constant at a level slightly higher than the knock-on dose. This dose breakdown leads to the conclusion that the rise one sees in the dose after the air gap as indicated in Figure 10 is formed by an increase contribution from gamma-secondary electrons somehow built up in the beam's passage through the air gap.

Calculations identical to those above for the case of 10,000 electrons have been done, the results of which are attached in Appendix $C$ as Figures Cl through C 4.

## F. effect of replacing the air gap by a void

Some insight into the nature of the calculated dose distribution in the air gap was gained by substituting a void for the 39 cm air gap. The result of doing this is shown as Figure 24. The dose in the void is, of course, zero. But, perhaps more significantly, there is no appreciable change in the dose distribution in the target material in this case from that reflected in Figure 10, the identical target with an air gap. These results would tend to suggest that the increased dose in the target material downstream of the air gap is not an artifact of the air itself, but rather one of the target geometry.


Figure 24. CYLTRAN Dose Distribution for Target of Figure 10 with a 39 cm Void Replacing the Air Gap. This figure and figure 10 are very similar, showing that dose distribution dependence on air gap location is a geometry effect.

## G. AIR GAP STEP SIZE EFFECTS

Work done by Bielajew and Rogers on calculations of the dose distributions in air due to high energy electrons has shown that if the default Monte Carlo step size is used, one could expect the dose to be overpredicted [Ref. 10]. In this work, it has not been possible to investigate the effect of changing the step size, as CYLTRAN does not have the capability of accomplishing this for only one material in a multi-material target. However, at an energy of 391 MeV , the step size required to obtain the optimum results, according to Biejalew and Rogers, would be about one target diameter, or 20 cm . This step size would require the value of $k$ in equation 1 to be equal to about 19,400 .

## H. RANDOM NUMBER EFFECTS

The dose in the material has a large dependence on the value of the initial random number seed used to start the ITS calculation. Obvious though this observation may be for a Monte Carlo-based algorithm, it is well to keep in mind that any result achieved using the code is subject to a calculable uncertainty. Figures C5 through C7 in Appendix C are given as examples of the effect of changing the random number seeds. When compared to their counterparts, they reflect noticeable variations. However, the variations are verifiably within that statistical uncertainty which is given by CYLTRAN along with
the dose values. The uncertainty in a dose in solid materials in this work typically runs about 20-50\%.

## IV. RESULTS FOR PREVIOUSLY UNANALYZED 200 MEV DATA

CYLTRAN calculations were done to check the validity of predictions at 200 MeV . Calculations were compared to measurements made at Bates and reported in Reference 1 . Figures 25,26 , and 27 illustrate the results of the comparison for Aluminum, PMMA, and Lead followed by Aluminum targets, respectively.

The results of CYLTRAN runs compare very well with the measurements in all three targets, as was expected from the previous results (discussed in Chapter III) for the 391 MeV data. The sole notable deviation of CYLTRAN from the data is in the latter depths of the $\mathrm{Pb} / \mathrm{Al}$ and PMMA targets. In both of these cases, the CYLTRAN calculations on the beam axis begin to provide results lower than the data in the third target section. The deviation on axis increases continuously through the end of the problem cylinder. Comparison of figures 25,26 , and 27 to figures 12,13 , and 14 in chapter III (which are the corresponding problems at 391 MeV ), shows that general trends in the CYLTRAN calculations for the 391 MeV case have been repeated in the 200 MeV case, as one might expect. Also, examination of the NSWC data as shown in figures 25 and 27 (particularly for $\mathrm{Pb} / \mathrm{Al}$ and PMMA targets) shows that the onaxis dose data begins to plateau after about two target sections depth. This seems suspect since, in all cases looked
at in this work, after a dose peak in the first few centimeters of target material, dose generally decreases continuously. Along with those facts, it is noteworthy that the CYLTRAN calculations have produced very good agreement in every other case examined in this work. There is no reason to expect such a significant difference in the results for the two energies, since the target configurations involved are identical. All this would tend to suggest that the TLD's used in the NSWC measurements for the target configurations of PMMA and Lead followed by Aluminum, on axis at least, had become saturated and did not provide accurate data.


Figure 25. High Res. CYLTRAN Dose Dist. for 200 MeV Electrons in PMMA Target. CYLTRAN agrees well with data except on beam axis and large depth. Linearity of NSWC data past 25 cm depth suggests saturation of TLD's on axis. Compare with figure 12.


Figure 26. High Resolution CYLTRAN Dose Distribution for 200 MeV Electrons in Aluminum Target, 10,000 Primary Electrons. CYLTRAN agrees well with data for this case. Compare to figure 13 at 391 MeV .


Figure 27. High Res. CYLTRAN Dose Distribution for 10,000 200 MeV Electrons in Target of Lead followed by Aluminum. CYLTRAN agrees with data except on axis at large depth. Again, TLD saturation is suggested. Compare to figure 14.
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## V. CONCLUSIONS

In general the results obtained at Bates, as detailed in
Reference 1, have been verified. A comparison of the Bates data to the calculations done here using CYLTRAN yields the following conclusions.

- The dose in any particular section of target material is significantly affected by whether or not it is preceded in the longitudinal direction by an air gap. However, this dependence is not a product of the air itself. Rather, it is an effect of the change in geometry produced when the air gap is inserted. We come to this conclusion by replacing the air gap by a void and getting identical results. A small angular deflection gives a small lateral displacement at short range, but gives a much larger lateral displacement at longer ranges. See Chapter III, section C.
- Uncertainty in dose calculations in the air gap is very large due to the small number of collisions undergone by an electron as it traverses the air gap. The uncertainty is as much as $99 \%$ in some cases. This is not affected by changing the number of input zones (dividing the air more finely). However, it is decreased significantly by increasing the number of primary electrons used in the simulation. See Chapter III, Section D.
- In the PMMA/Air/PMMA target stack, the dose on axis is due almost totally to primary electrons. At a radius of 20 cm , the dose is due mostly to gamma-secondary electrons. The doses in between are due to various combinations of primary and gamma-secondary electrons. Knock-on electrons have only a minor contribution in all cases. See Chapter III, Section E.
- In constructing a model, the numerical results are not affected by the degree of fineness with which the target is divided into input zones. However, the added sense of continuity in the data provided when the problem geometry is more finely divided are tremendously beneficial. See Chapter III, Section C.
- Correcting the ITS code to treat the air gap as a thin film seems to have no affect on the dose distribution. See Chapter III, Section A.
- The final value of dose in the material depends greatly on the value of the initial random number seed used to start the ITS calculation. That is, any one ITS calculation is not absolute in its correctness. The value given for the energy deposited in a particular zone in this work could vary from $20-50 \%$. However, the results obtained using different random seeds are statistically consistent, given that the statistical uncertainty in the calculations is also 20-50\%. See Chapter III, Section H.
- CYLTRAN agrees well with data for all of the targets considered in this work. This is true for electron energies at both 200 MeV and 391 MeV . The 391 MeV data has been analyzed before by NSWC using ACCEPT. Our analysis shows the failure of the previous ACCEPT comparison to the data is probably due to lack of resolution and a small number of electron histories.
- The analysis of the 200 MeV data has not been done previously. This work shows that CYLTRAN is able to accurately calculate the measured dose. Beyond a radiation length, the dose on axis begins to deviate from the data. The trends in the data compared to the calculations suggest that the TLD's used in taking the 200 MeV measurements were saturated.

In this work, ITS was installed and executed on a dedicated DEC VAXStation 3200 computer. The work has not been completed without a significant amount of time spent in selfeducation in the DEC terminology and the use of the VAX VMS operating system used by the computer itself, and in learning the subtleties of properly using the ITS computer code. In the hope of saving future ITS code users some time at the front of the "learning curve", this appendix will attempt to explain rudimentary details of how to (1) turn on (boot) the computer, $\log$ on to a user account and to begin to work, and (2) how to execute a CYLTRAN run using the ITS system as currently installed on the VAXStation 3200.

## A. BOOTING AND USING THE VAXSTATION 3200

1. Turning the Computer on

Turn on the Constant Voltage Conditioner with the red power switch. It will make an annoying constant humming noise, which is nothing to be alarmed about.

Turn on the computer by pressing the switch on the right of the little VAXStation 3200 logo on the front panel of the computer cabinet. The switch will glow orange after being pressed.

The system will go through diagnostics and booting procedures for a few minutes. The entire booting procedure should be complete in less than five minutes.

After the computer has completed booting, the monitor screen should show the DECWindows login screen with distinctive huge blocked letters spelling out DIGITAL and a small window below containing spaces to type in the username and password. At this time users are able to $\log$ on to the system, if a user account has been set up by accessing the computer's System Manager account. If a user account has not been previously established, it is recommended that it be done immediately by accessing the System Manager account as explained in Section 2 below and by following instructions in the computer's system management manual (a set of several volumes, usually kept on shelves next to the computer station). It is a dangerous habit (speaking from personal experience) to use the System Manager account for routine user tasks.
2. Logging on to the Computer

After the computer has completed the booting process, you are ready to log on to the computer and begin work. First, press any button. If it wasn't awake already, the monitor will "wake up" and display the DECWindows login screen (described in Section 1). Select USER by clicking the left mouse button on the space for the username and type your username. Then
select PASSWORD and type your password. Then press the ENTER key. The computer will begin to log in to your account and will put the DECWindows user screen on the monitor. This process takes a couple of minutes. After you $\log$ in, you can enter commands to the computer by using the pull-down menus (not a very fast way to do it) or by clicking on the DECterm window (which activates the window so you can enter a command) and type commands directly (much better).

If you wish to log in to SYSTEM (the System Manager account), type the username SYSTEM and the password ESMERALDA. You must $\log$ on to SYSTEM to establish any necessary user accounts. There will be times, since this is a machine essentially dedicated to one user, that the user will have to log on as SYSTEM to accomplish some task that can only be done by the System Manager, such as device allocation and memory management. After you log on to SYSTEM and the work screen appears on the monitor, click on the DECterm window to enter commands at the "\$" prompt.

To log out, select SESSION on the Session Manager Window Menu Bar and click on QUIT. You will be asked to confirm that you want to quit and, if so, you will be logged out.

## 3. Turning the Computer Off

Log in to SYSTEM as described above. Click on the DECterminal window and type SHUTDOWN.

When the system goes completely through the shutdown sequence, which takes a minute or two, the message SYSTEM SHUTDOWN COMPLETE--USE CONSOLE TO HALT SYSTEM appears on the monitor. Shut off the computer with the orange switch and then turn off the Constant Voltage Conditioner with the red power switch.
4. Miscellaneous Comments on Operation of the Computer Users accomplish tasks on the computer through the entering of user commands. Commands are entered to the VAXStation in a DEC language called DCL (Digital Command Language). Rather than try to explain a lot of commands here, it's best that you review the VAXStation User Manual volume titled "DCL Dictionary" to get a feel for the commands and what they can do. A few commands that apply directly to the use of ITS and IDL (Interactive Data Language) will be mentioned in this appendix and in Appendix B.

The vaxstation is currently connected to an ethernet accessing several computers in the Naval Postgraduate School Physics Department and other campus facilities, including the Physics Simulation Lab and the W.R. Church Computer Center. Access to this network is through a smaller network (the DECnet) of DEC VAXes (there are currently 4 VAXes on the DECnet) belonging to the Physics Department. To access another node on the network, the DCL command is SET HOST nodename

You must know the nodename and it must be defined in the local database. If you have the right nodename the other computer will prompt you for a username and password.

There are two user-interface systems available on the VAXStation: DECWindows and VWS (VAX Window System). Currently the default on this machine is set to DECWindows due to the user friendliness of the interface. The VWS is a more barebones window system that runs faster but doesn't make things especially easy for the inexperienced user. The window system can be changed by making changes in the SYSTEM account file SYSTARTUP_V5.COM and going through a reboot procedure. Read the manual on the topic or seek help from a more experienced user.

Remember to do complete system backups on a regular basis. To backup the entire system:

- Set the Break Enable/Disable switch to Enable (in back of the computer above the LED number display). Point the switch toward the circle with a dot inside it.
- Shutdown the system.
- When you get the message SYSTEM SHUTDOWN COMPLETE--USE CONSOLE TO HALT SYSTEM appears on the monitor press the halt button on front of the computer twice. You should get the >>> prompt.
- Type the command: b/e0000000 (that's seven zeros).
- Write protect the hard disk by pressing the button on front of the computer.
- Perform the backup with the command (all on one line):
"BACKUP/IMAGE/VERIFY/BUFFER_COUNT=5 (space)
DUAO:MUAO: name.BCK/REWIND/LABEL=date
- Load a blank TK70 cartridge in the tape drive when prompted. When the backup is finished, unload the tape, write enable the hard disk and restart the computer.


## B. ITS OVERVIEW

The Integrated Tiger Series of Electron/Photon transport codes (ITS) is the most widely used particle transport code in the world. The code package was developed to incorporate eight individual codes which were developed over the period from 1968 to 1981. All the codes are based on the original ETRAN model developed by M. Berger and S. Seltzer. The ITS code system consists of four primary code packages, which are listed in Table Al.

Table A1. FOUR PRIMARY ITS CODE PACKAGES.

XDATA: The electron and photon cross section file.
XGEN: The cross section generation program. ITS: The Monte Carlo program file.
UPEML: A machine portable update emulator.

The heart of the ITS is the program library file ITS, which contains the eight Monte Carlo programs plus system directives for the CRAY, IBM, VAX, and CDC operating systems. The update emulator program UPEML creates the various Monte Carlo codes for a given system with any corrections to those codes that may be desired. The output fortran source code from UPEML is then compiled, linked, and stored as an executable module. Program XGEN generates the problem specific cross
section data tape using file XDATA for referenced inputs and a user defined input file. The Monte Carlo codes then read in the cross section tape and process the user defined problem. One of the eight ITS codes is CYLTRAN, which simulates the transport of particle trajectories through a three-dimensional multimaterial right circular cylinder. For this project only the CYLTRAN code was used. As an ITS user the following steps were required to execute an ITS run.

1. Create the specific ITS code CYLTRAN with the required correction schemes.
2. Generate a cross section tape based on the different type of materials contained in the cylindrical geometry of a problem.
3. Create an input file which lists all the input parameters required to calculate desired outputs.
4. Submit the input file and the generated cross section tape to the ITS Monte Carlo codes to execute a run.

Table A2 is a sample input file to generate the cross section tape for the materials in a cylindrical geometry. Each material line represents a different medium in the cylinder. Percentages of each material in a compound and its density must be specified. Single element lines such as Cu , has its density stored in ITS and is automatically used for the simulation when needed.

Once a cross section tape is generated, an input file with the parameters designed for a particular simulation must be created. Section $D$ below contains a sample input file to

Energy 16.0
Material Au
TITLE
391 MeV Cross Section for Au Foil
execute a CYLTRAN run for one of the problems in this work.[Ref. 3, pp. 39-40]
C. RUNNING ITS ON THE VAXSTATION 3200

The VAXStation 3200 is currently set up to run ITS in either a batch mode or interactively. In the batch mode, the user can submit the code run to the computer as a job separate from any user interactive processes. This way, the user can continue to use the computer interactively while the ITS run is being processed in the background by the computer. In the interactive mode, the user cannot use the computer terminal while the run is in progress. The batch mode is by far the most efficient method to use when submitting ITS runs.

Before any ITS code may be run on the computer, an executable version of the code must be generated. Assume that you have generated, using the UPEML processor provided with the ITS package, an ITS specific fully compilable file with the name [.ITS]CYLO.FOR which you wish to run on the computer. Generate the executable file by following this procedure:

- Enter the command FOR [.ITS]CYLO.FOR. The computer's VAX Fortran compiler will compile the fortran file and save an object file in your default directory named cyLo.OBJ.
- Enter the command LINK CYLO.OBJ. The computer will link the program properly and will produce an executable file named CYLO.EXE and save it in your default directory.
- The executable file can then be executed under one of the procedures below.

The process to be followed in submitting an ITS run is given below. The example given is typical of a run done in this work. As ITS is currently configured on the VAXStation 3200, the process must be followed as given. In the example, it is assumed that a specific ITS code file, a cross section file, and a problem input file have been generated.

## 1. Batch Mode Submission of an ITS Run

Before an ITS job can be submitted in batch mode, two separate data files must be constructed using the VAX text editor. The first file contains 1) a command telling the computer where to look for input required in processing the batch job, and 2) a command telling the computer to run the executable file containing the ITS code. An example of the first file is the file BATCH.COM, which contains the following two lines.

DEFINE/USER_MODE SYS\$INPUT '[YAW]BATCH_IN.DAT' RUN [.ITS]CȲLO_BATCH.EXE

The second data file contains the name of the XGENgenerated cross section file and the name of the file
containing the problem input parameters and geometry input. An example of the second data file for this work is the file BATCH_IN.DAT, which could contain the following two lines.
[YAW. PMMA]CYL10_39_40.INP
[YAW.ITS2]XTAPE.OUT

Once the two required files have been created, the batch job may be submitted. Submit the job by entering the DCL command

SUBMIT/NOTIFY/NOPRINTER BATCH.COM
where the filename BATCH.COM is the name of the file containing the name of the executable ITS file. The terms NOTIFY and NOPRINTER are keywords telling the computer to notify you when the batch is completed and to send the job log to a file in your login directory, but not to the print queue. See the DCL Dictionary for other keywords to use with the SUBMIT command.

After submitting the batch job, you may return to interactive use of the computer while the computer processes the job in the background. The nice thing about the batch process is that you may queue as many runs as you want and let the machine run overnight or over a weekend. However, before doing this, it's a smart idea to set the batch queue job limit to 1 by logging in to SYSTEM and entering the command SET QUEUE/JOB_LIMIT=1 SYS\$BATCH

Be sure to $\log$ out of SYSTEM and back in to your user account before submitting a job. If the job limit were higher than 1 (say 3, for instance) the computer would try to run all 3 jobs at the same time, and the run time for all 3 jobs would be significantly increased. Also, the computer's capabilities for running that many jobs at once are really limited, and it could bomb out altogether. With a job limit of 1 , the jobs run one at a time, with an unlimited number of jobs held in the queue pending execution.
2. Running ITS Interactively on the VAXStation 3200 The interactive mode is the most user-friendly way to run ITS on the VAXStation 3200. To execute the ITS run, enter the command RUN followed by the filename of the ITS executable code you wish to run. A command to execute a run in this work would look like this:

RUN [.ITS]CYLO.EXE
where the file [.ITS]CYLO.EXE is an executable ITS problem specific code generated for this work.

The computer will then begin executing the code and interactive use of the computer will no longer be available. After the computer has begun execution of the code, the user will be prompted interactively for the names of the files containing the cross section input data and the problem input data. The user will enter the filenames at the keyboard and the computer will continue executing the program. Execution of
the program will be complete (usually after between 1 and 1.5 hours) when the message FORTRAN COMPLETE is shown on the screen and the "\$" prompt returns.

## D. SAMPLE CYLTRAN INPUT FILE

The input file to execute an ITS run consists primarily of order-independent keywords followed by keyword-specific parameter values. The following sample input file for a CYLTRAN run illustrates the use of the keywords and parameters.

ECHO 0
NO DUMP
TIILE
391 MeV Electrons - 10.0 PMMA, 38cm Air, 40.0 PMMA, 8 Lf Arrays
CEOMETRY 78

* Zone 1 (PMMA)
$0.00 \quad 8.42 \quad 0.00 \quad 22.0 \quad 1$
* Zones 2-13 (Lif Oetector Array)
$\begin{array}{lllll}8.42 & 8.72 & 0.00 & 0.1 & 3\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}8.42 & 8.72 & 0.1 & 0.95 & 0\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}8.42 & 8.72 & 0.85 & 1.05 & 3\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}8.42 & 8.72 & 1.05 & 1.95 & 0\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}8.42 & 8.72 & 1.85 & 2.05 & 3\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}8.42 & 8.72 & 2.05 & 4.85 & 0\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}8.42 & 8.72 & 4.85 & 5.05 & 3\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}8.42 & 8.72 & 5.05 & 8.95 & 0\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}8.42 & 8.72 & 8.85 & 10.05 & 3\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}8.42 & 8.72 & 10.05 & 19.95 & 0\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}8.42 & 8.72 & 19.85 & 20.05 & 3\end{array}$
$8.42 \quad 8.72 \quad 20.05 \quad 22.0 \quad 0$
* Zone 14 (Air)
$8.72 \quad 47.72 \quad 0.00 \quad 22.0 \quad 2$
* Zones 15 - 28 (LI Oetector Array)
$47.7248 .02 \quad 0.00 \quad 0.1 \quad 3$
$\begin{array}{lllll}47.72 & 48.02 & 0.1 & 0.95 & 0\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}47.72 & 48.02 & 0.85 & 1.05 & 3\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}47.72 & 48.02 & 1.05 & 1.95 & 0\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}47.72 & 48.02 & 1.85 & 2.05 & 3\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}47.72 & 48.02 & 2.05 & 4.95 & 0\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}47.72 & 48.02 & 4.85 & 5.05 & 3\end{array}$

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 47.72 | 48.02 | 5.05 | 9.85 | 0 |
| 47.72 | 48.02 | 8.85 | 10.05 | 3 |
| 47.72 | 48.02 | 10.05 | 18.95 | 0 |
| 47.72 | 48.02 | 18.85 | 20.05 | 3 |
| 47.72 | 48.02 | 20.05 | 22.0 | 0 |
| Z Zone | 27 | (PMMMA) |  |  |
| 48.02 | 56.44 | 0.00 | 22.0 | 1 |
| * Zones | 28 | -39 | (LF | Detector | Array)

```
74.16 82.6 0.00 22.0 1
* Zones 87-78 (LF Detector Array)
```




```
82.8
82.6
82.6
82.6
82.6
82.8
82.6
```




```
82.6
```

EleCTRONS
ENERGY 391.00
CUTOFFS 1.6030 .01
DIRECTION
RANDOM-NUMBER
10234
ELECTRON-ESCAPE
NBINT 10 USER
10.020 .030 .040 .050 .070 .090 .0120 .0150 .0180 .0
HISTORIES 10000
E. SAMPLE CYLTRAN OUTPUT FILE

The following sample CYLTRAN output file was generated using the sample input file given in section $A$.

```
PROGRAM ITS - DECEMBER D, 1987
VEBSON 2.1
soun A. malblelb
TMOMA& А. MEELHORM
EOHALD P. MEN&EK
\&ANDU MATONAL LABOBATORIES
CYITAA
BOUBLE PRECISON RUM
number ar materals
``` 3
* camma bay cross sccton data for materlal rumber

MPAIR MTAE MTAX
\(25 \quad 148\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{ENERGIES (MEV)} \\
\hline 1000.000000 & 800.000000 & 1800.000008 & 500.000000 & 400.000000 & 300.000000 \\
\hline 200.000000 & 150.000000 & 100.000000 & 20.000009 & 20.000008 & 50.000000 \\
\hline 40.000000 & 20.000038 & 20.000008 & 15.000008 & 10.000008 & 8.000008 \\
\hline 1.000008 & 5.000008 & 4.000000 & 3.000008 & 2.000008 & 1.500008 \\
\hline 1.000000 & 1.800000 & 2.800008 & 0.500000 & 1.400000 & 1.300008 \\
\hline 8.200000 & 0.150000 & 1.100000 & 0.880000 & 0.050008 & 1.050000 \\
\hline 1.040000 & 1.038008 & 1.020000 & 0.015000 & 0.010000 & 8.008000 \\
\hline 1.006000 & 1.004008 & 1.003000 & 1.002008 & 1.001500 & 1.001000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{TOTAL ATEEMUATON COEFFICLERTS (CM2/E)} \\
\hline 0.017839 & 0.017843 & 1.017368 & 0.017172 & 1.018912 & 0.018553 \\
\hline 0.018008 & 1.015838 & 0.015055 & 0.81477 & 1.014717 & 1.014808 \\
\hline 0.015011 & 0.015421 & 0.018544 & 1.017989 & 1.020970 & 0.023128 \\
\hline 1.028538 & 0.029111 & 0.037788 & 1.038338 & 1.447873 & 1.055805 \\
\hline 0.088575 & 0.078285 & 0.088889 & 1.093938 & 0.1102908 & 0.114920 \\
\hline 0.132288 & 0.144572 & 0.101838 & 1.171293 & 0.185888 & 0.197748 \\
\hline 0.219839 & 1.275460 & 1.507558 & 1.998602 & 2.178913 & 1.285552 \\
\hline 15.164587 & 52.254905 & 123.215628 & 399.962170 & 908.0565 & 8.01562 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline kate & TE & PAIR PROOUCT & TOM T0 TOTAL & Аттенияпо & CacFicient \\
\hline 1.000008 & 1.000000 & 1.000008 & 1.000000 & 1.000008 & 1.800000 \\
\hline 1.000008 & 1.000000 & 0.999999 & 1.999999 & 1.999998 & 1.299998 \\
\hline 0.999999 & 1.999998 & 0.999997 & 2.999997 & 2.999988 & 1.999995 \\
\hline 1.999994 & 0.999993 & 0.999992 & 1.999990 & 0.999985 & 0.999988 \\
\hline 1.999985 & 0.999950 & 0.999915 & 0.99987 & 0.999798 & 0.999582 \\
\hline 0.998737 & 0.997102 & 4.990193 & 0.980818 & 1.954011 & 0.921738 \\
\hline 0.854502 & 2.784040 & 0.395241 & 0.204879 & 1.065454 & 0.033437 \\
\hline 0.013920 & 0.004071 & 0.001733 & 1.000538 & 0.000237 & 1.000077 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{ratio of scaternic te scattebuc pus pais proouction attewanom coeffici} \\
\hline 0.014854 & 2.018471 & 0.024920 & 0.030187 & 1.838128 & 1.251574 \\
\hline 0.078908 & 0.106274 & 0.101482 & 0202014 & 2.288871 & 130887 \\
\hline 1.367431 & 2.455755 & 0.590550 & 1.880387 & 0.789872 & 1241050 \\
\hline 0.896388 & 0.324388 & 0.951209 & 0.975017 & 0.993018 & 1.898507 \\
\hline 1.000000 & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{X ghell monlation pata} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{BINOING ENERCY (MEV), PHOTOEFFECT EFFLCIENCY ANO FLUORESCENT EFFLCLEMCY} \\
\hline 0.000533 & 1.482888 & 0.001849 & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{X X-RAY ENERGIES (men)} \\
\hline 0.000533 & 1.000533 & 0.000533 & 0.000533 & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{K X-bay accumulateo belative mitngile} \\
\hline 1.000000 & 1.000000 & 1.000000 & 1.000000 & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{auter elictron energies (mev)} \\
\hline 0.000533 & 1.000533 & 0.000533 & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{auger electron accumulateo relative miensmies} \\
\hline 1.000000 & 1.000000 & 1.000000 & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{3}{*}{CAMMA BAY CROES ECTION DATA FOR MATERLAL RUMBER 2 *}} \\
\hline & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & \\
\hline MPAIS NTAB & miax & & & & \\
\hline \(25 \quad 1\) & 48 & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Enerciss (men)} \\
\hline 1000.000000 & 100.000000 & 600.000000 & 500.000000 & 400.000000 & 300.000000 \\
\hline 200.000000 & 150.000000 & 180.000000 & 20.000008 & 80.800008 & 54.000000 \\
\hline 40.000000 & 30.000000 & 20.000000 & 15.000000 & 10.800009 & 8.800000 \\
\hline 1.000000 & 5.000000 & 4.000000 & 2.000000 & 2.800000 & 1.500008 \\
\hline 1.000000 & 1.800008 & 1.800008 & 1.500008 & 1.400000 & 1.300008 \\
\hline 0.200000 & 2.150000 & 0.100008 & 2.080000 & 1.060009 & 1.050000 \\
\hline 0.040000 & 1.030000 & 0.020000 & 2.015000 & 1.810000 & 1008000 \\
\hline 0.008008 & 1.004000 & 1.003000 & 0.002000 & 1.001500 & 1.801000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{TTOTAL ATEEMURTON COEFFICIENTS (CM2/E)} \\
\hline 1.020823 & 0.020405 & 1.020092 & 2.019889 & 0.01958 & 2.10141 \\
\hline 0.018454 & 1.817958 & 0.017127 & 0.016895 & 0.018413 & 1.188341 \\
\hline 1.018331 & 0.018454 & 0.017138 & 1.018222 & 1.820645 & 1.22248 \\
\hline 1.025348 & 1.027591 & 0.030818 & 1.835814 & 1.844488 & 1.051754 \\
\hline 0.083568 & 0.070717 & 1.880538 & 0.087078 & 0.095408 & 1.108588 \\
\hline 0.12275 & 1.134348 & 0.151881 & 1.101418 & 0.178903 & 1.185834 \\
\hline 1.229234 & 0.318820 & 0.708959 & 1.528621 & 5.132228 & 18281398 \\
\hline 24.718960 & 4.788389 & 198.519335 & 835.034882 & 19.555 & 4.41 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 000 & 1.800008 & 1.000000 & 1.000000 & 1.800000 & 18 \\
\hline 1.000000 & 1.999999 & 0.999999 & 1.999999 & 1.999989 & 1899988 \\
\hline 1.999998 & 1.999997 & 0.999998 & 1.999995 & 0.999998 & 1.999991 \\
\hline 1.999989 & 1.999987 & 0.999985 & 1.999981 & 1.999972 & 1.999982 \\
\hline B.999935 & 1.999908 & 0.999842 & 8.999770 & 0.999621 & 1.999222 \\
\hline 1.997858 & 0.994834 & 8.981984 & 0.984821 & 8.91787 & 1.833849 \\
\hline 0.759832 & 0.562098 & 8.262291 & 1.123980 & 0.037558 & 1.819038 \\
\hline 0.007910 & 0.002320 & 1.000997 & 0.000313 & 0.000140 & 1.0000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

RAMO OF SCATIERIIG TO SCATIERILC PLUS PAIR PROOUCTION ATTERUATION COEFFICLENTS
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 0.01174 & 0.014805 & 2.019974 & 0.024188 & 0.030545 & 1.841343 \\
\hline 0.063448 & 0.085828 & 1.131583 & 0.165703 & 8.218487 & 1.257825 \\
\hline 0.313035 & 0.398082 & 0.528459 & 0.621934 & 1.743388 & 1.803349 \\
\hline 0.869759 & 2.904074 & 1.937428 & 0.967509 & 8.990738 & 1.998039 \\
\hline 1.000000 & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

X BHELL DNHZATON RATA

\(0.000533 \quad \mathbf{0 . 7 4 8 0 1 3} \mathbf{8 . 0 0 1 8 4 9}\)
X X-BAY ENEBGIES (MEV)
0.0005330 .0005330 .0005330 .000533

X X-bAY ACCUMULATED RELATVE WTENSTILES
1.0000001 .0000001 .0000081 .000000

AUCER ELECTRON EXEBGIES (MEV)
\(0.000533 \mathbf{0 . 0 0 0 5 3 3} \mathbf{0 . 0 0 0 5 3 3}\)
aUEER EEETRON ACCUMULATE RELATME WIENSTIES
1.0000001 .0000001 .000000
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{ENERGIES (MEV)} \\
\hline 1000.000000 & 800.000000 & 600.000000 & 500.000000 & 400.000000 & 30.000000 \\
\hline 200.000008 & 150.000008 & 100.000008 & 80.000008 & 10.000008 & 50.000008 \\
\hline 40.000000 & 30.000000 & 20.000000 & 15.000008 & 10.000000 & 8.800000 \\
\hline 1.000008 & 5.000000 & 4.000008 & 3.000000 & 2000000 & 1.500000 \\
\hline 1.000008 & 1.800000 & 1.600008 & 0.500000 & 1.400000 & 1.300008 \\
\hline 1.200008 & 0.150000 & 0.100000 & 1.080000 & 1.080008 & 1850008 \\
\hline 1.040000 & 1.830000 & 1.1820008 & 1.015000 & 2.010000 & 1.008000 \\
\hline 1.006000 & 1.804008 & 1.003008 & 1.002000 & 1.001508 & 1.001000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{TOTAL ATIEMUTION COEFFICIENTS (CM2/C)} \\
\hline 1.018451 & 1.818274 & C. 118000 & 4.817805 & 1.017552 & 1.817182 \\
\hline 1.018602 & 1.810178 & 0.015415 & 0.015114 & 0.014898 & 1.114224 \\
\hline 1.014800 & C. 014928 & 1.015848 & 0.818701 & 1.818981 & 1.120852 \\
\hline 0.023357 & 1.25451 & 1.028480 & 0.033109 & 0.041158 & 1.44789 \\
\hline 0.058827 & 1.085443 & 1.07452 & 1.080589 & 1.088309 & 1088841 \\
\hline 0.113688 & 0.124538 & 0.140599 & C. 151021 & 0.16977 & 1.188850 \\
\hline 0.227694 & 0.334742 & 1.794744 & 1.748197 & 5.865155 & 11.585241 \\
\hline 27.658005 & 32.734359 & 213.500435 & 888.128321 & 70.804 & 4291.996621 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

RATIO OF sCATIERING PLUS PAIR PRODUCTION TO TOTAL ATIENUATION COEFFICIENTS
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1.000000 & 1.000000 & 1.000000 & 1.000000 & 0000 \\
\hline 9 & 0.999999 & 0. & 0.999999 & 98 & 1.999998 \\
\hline 4.999997 & 0.999998 & 0.999994 & 1.999993 & 1.999998 & 1.999988 \\
\hline 0.999988 & 1.999983 & 1.999980 & 1. 999975 & 0.9998 & 1.999958 \\
\hline 0.999914 & 4.999878 & 1.999789 & 1. 999892 & 1.999491 & 1.98 \\
\hline 0.996895 & 1. 992938 & 0.978452 & 1.954098 & 1.894974 & 1.828807 \\
\hline 0.707718 & 1.498989 & 0.216524 & 1. 100189 & 0.030418 & 1.015539 \\
\hline 0065 & .00196 & . 0008 & . 0002 & 1.0001 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 0.01 & 0.015298 & 0.02 & 0.02 & 6.031513 & 1042821 \\
\hline 0.085284 & 0.888151 & 0.135287 & 0.189384 & 1.222823 & 0283007 \\
\hline 0.319538 & 1.403979 & 0.535888 & 0.627980 & 4.748387 & 1.80789 \\
\hline . 873404 & 1.906979 & 0.9394 & B. 9684 & 0.9909 & 1.998088 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
1.000000

\section*{I CHELI DMILATION MATA}

BHOLNG ENEBCY (MEY), PHOTOEFFEGT EFFICLENCY ARD FLUOBESCENT EFFICEMCY \(0.000687 \quad 1.9273230 .003378\)

\section*{X X-BAY ENEREIES (MEV)}
\(0.000687 \quad 0.000687\) © 0000887000687

K X-bAY ACCUMULATEO BELATME NTENETIES
\(1.000000 \quad 1.000000 \quad 1.000000 \quad 1.000000\)

AUCER ELECTBON ENERCIES (MEV)
0.0008870 .0008870 .000687

AUGER ELECTBON ACCUMULATED RELATME WTENSTEE
1.0000081 .0000001 .000000

\section*{NUMBER OF ETS OM MATAPAC TAPE = 3}

Cross sections for 391 Mel Electrons in PMMA, Ar San, LIF Detectors

```

0.10000E + 01 1.10080E +01 0.80500E-01
8.B0OOOE + \$1 1.12011E +02 0.59990E +00
0.80000E + 01 1.15999E +02 1.31980E +00

```

```

MATAPREP DATA FOR DATAPAC \&T 1 S4 33 % 121 7.513907E + 01

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline MATERLAL & DEKETY & BETOUR \\
\hline 2 & 1.11850F.02 & \(1.83500 E+00\) \\
\hline & 1 & A \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(0.70000 E+81 \quad 0.14007 E+02 \quad 1.28340 E+00\)
\(0.80000 E+01 \quad 0.159995+82 \quad \mathbf{1 . 7 3 6 6 0 E}+00\)
NEF ITRM DP EEAN IENB WAL ICYE NCYE MMAX EMAX EMNN RMAX LMAT

OATAPREP DATA FOR BATAPAC ST \(\quad 2 \quad 34 \quad 33 \quad 3 \quad 121 \quad\) C. \(842833 F+01\)

MRIERLAL BENETY DETOUR
3 1.26350E \(+01 \quad 8.83500 E+00\)
\(\boldsymbol{l} \quad \mathrm{A} \quad \mathrm{H}\)
\(0.30000 E+01 \quad \mathbf{1 . 6 9 3 9 0 E}+01 \quad 0.26750 E+00\)
\(\mathbf{1 . 9 0 0 0 0 E}+01 \quad 0.18998 E+02 \quad 0.73250 E+00\)

QATAPREP DATA FOR DATAPAC \&T 3 \& \(3 \times 31218.0237295+81\)

CGLLIEON / TETAL BE/DX BATOS FOR DATAPAG EX 3

CUMULATME BREMESTRALUNAE CROSS \&ECTIONS FOR BATAPAC ET 3

CUMULATME BREMSSTBAKUNG ANCULAB MSTRIBUTDAKS FOR MTAPAC ETT 3

LANGAUSE - EQUPPROBABLE ENDPOLNTS FOR MTERPCLATON

K X-BAY PBODUCTION FOR BATAPAC ETT 3

\section*{photoelectron ancular distributions}

PAIR EIECTRON ENEREY BNISOH BISTRIBUTION (LEAO)

NUMBER OF MATERALS CN CROES EETTON FHE MAXIMUM WUMBER OF ELEMENTS D A PROBLEM MATEBUL LENGTII OF EECTRON EROSS EETTON EMEBEY ERID
ELFCTBON ENERCY CRID LENGTI FAN SAMPLHE BREME PMOTON ENEBCY PMOTON EMEREY ERI LENGTI FOA EAMPLLAR BREME. PHOTON ENERSY EEGTRON ANGLE CRIS LENGTN FOA SAMPLINC EEGTRON SCATIEBMT AMMF PIOTON ANAF GRII LENGTM FOB BAMPLNE BREME. PUOTON ANELE PHOTON ENEBEY ERII LENCTI FOR EAMPLDNS BREME PHOTON ANME EIECTBON ENERSY ERH LENGTI FOB SAMPLRN BREME PHOTON ANELE PHOTON ENERCY GRID LENGTN FOR SAMPLLIS PHOTO-ELFCTROA RIRECTMN
 PHOTON EMEBSY ERAB LEMGTM FOR SAMPLINS PAR EIECTBOH ENERGY
 GAUESAN FUNCTION GRID FOR SAMPIING ELECTRON ENEBEY LOSS STRAGEIWS LANOAU FUNCTION ERMD FOR EAMPLLNE EIECTRON EMEBCY LOSS STRAGCLINS MAXIMUM NUMBER OF TABLES OF PHOTON CROSS \&ECTONS MAXIWUN LENGTN OF PHOTON CROSE EECTION TABLE NUMBER OF ELECTBON ESCAPE ENERGY BIHS NUMBER OF PHOTON ESCAPE ENERGY BHS NUMBER OF EEFTRON EECAPE POLAR ANGLE BINS RUMBER of PHOTON ESCAPE POLAR ANGLE RINS LENGTI of gUuRE EPECTRUM EMEBGY GRIO NUMBER OF PUEE MEICRT ENERGY BIHS NUMBER OF ELECTRON FLUX ENERGY BIIS RUMBER OF PHOTON FLUX ENEBGY BINS NUMBER OF ELECTBON FLUX ZONES RUMBER OF PHOTON FIUX ZONES NUMBER OF PBOBLEM TONES gII OF DOUBLY BHFFEBERTAAL BBEMS MSTRIBUTION פIE GF ENGEY BFFEBENTLAL BBEMS DISTRIBUTION ©IIE OF COLDEMIT-EAUNDEBSON ANGULAR DISTRIBUTION RO. OF ELECTROH ESCAPE RDMUTHAL ANELE BINS NO. OF PHOTON ESCAPE RZMUTHAL ANGLE BINS

    \(/\) MNT \(=3 / 10\)
    1 MNAX \(=4\) / 8
    / MTO \(=85 / 05\)
    / MTP \(=45 / 49\)
    / MMAAX \(=33\) / 33
        / WPAMG = \(21 / 21\)
        / HRARE \(=25 / 25\)
        / WTAN \(=110\)
        / WIEF \(=13 / 17\)
    / MPPL \(=21 / 21\)
    / MEPS \(=\) S \(/ 17\)
    / MPPS \(=21 / 21\)
    / WEAS \(=1000 / 1000\)
    / MLAK \(=5000 / 5008\)
        \(/\) MTAB \(=1 / 15\)
    / MTAX \(=48 / 48\)
    / LMAAK \(=11 / 50\)
    / LPPMAX \(=1150\)
    / MAMAX \(=11 / 33\)
    / MPMAX \(=1 / 30\)
    / WSPEC = 151
/ WEMAX = 1 | 53
    | WFMAX = 1 | 50
    / WFMXP = 1 / 50
    / WLF = \| / 100
    / MIFP = 1100
    / WION \(=78 / 100\)
/ NCHANS \(=4725 / 4725\)
NBOIS \(=3185 / 3185\)
    | NEG = 2112 / 2112
    \(\mid\) IXPMAL \(=1 / 1\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{left} & स1ह斤T & WNER & WTIE Ele & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{IECTROM PMOTOM} \\
\hline & & & Plame & hams & Lapms cu & ITaFf morcin & \\
\hline TOME & MAT & (CM) & (CM) & (CM) & (cm) & (MEV) FRA & actan \\
\hline 1 & 1 & \(1.000005+00\) & 1.42000E +00 & \(1.000000+014\) & \(2200005+01\) & \(1.6035+\infty\) & \(1.0005+m\) \\
\hline 2 & 3 & \(1.420005+00\) & \(1.720005+00\) & \(1.000005+010\) & 1.800005 -01 & 1.8035 & L. \(.10005+0\) \\
\hline 3 & 1 & \(1.420005+010\) & \(1.720005+00\) & \(1.800005-01\) & 2.500005-01 & \(1.8035+\) & \(1.0005+00\) \\
\hline 4 & 3 & \(1.420005+00\) & 1.72000F + 00 & \(2.500005 \cdot 01\) & \(1.250005+00\) & \(1.803 F+\infty\) & 2.000F+ +0 \\
\hline 5 & 1 & \(1.420005+00\) & 1.72000E + & \(1.550000 \times\) & \(1.250005+00\) & \(1.8038+08\) & L. \(0000 \mathrm{~F}+\mathrm{M}\) \\
\hline 1 & 3 & \(1.420000+00\) & \(1.72000 ¢+08\) & \(1.250000+014\) & \(2850005+00\) & \(1.2035+\infty\) & 1.000x \(+\infty\) \\
\hline 7 & 1 & \(1.420005+00\) & \(1.720005+00\) & \(2050000 \times+0\) & \(4.850005+00\) & \(1.8035+00\) & \(0.0005+10\) \\
\hline 1 & 3 & \(1.42000 \mathrm{E}+00\) & \(1.720005+00\) & 4.95000\% +0 & 5.850006 +00 & \(1.6035+00\) & 1.000F+ +10 \\
\hline 5 & 1 & \(1.420005+00\) & 1.72000¢ + +0 & \(5.850006+\infty\) & \(1.95000 \mathrm{E}+00\) & \(1.8035+00\) & 1.000F+ +10 \\
\hline 10 & 3 & \(1.420005+00\) & 8.72000E + 00 & 2.95000E \(+\infty 0\) & \(1.00500 \times+01\) & \(1.603 \mathrm{~F}+00\) & c. \(00005+00\) \\
\hline 11 & 1 & \(1.42000 \mathrm{E}+00\) & 8.720005 \(+\infty\) & \(1.005005+01\) & \(1.89500 \mathrm{c}+01\) & \(1.5035+80\) & \(1.0005+00\) \\
\hline 12 & 3 & \(1.420005+00\) & 2.72000E + 0 & 1.99500 + +11 & \(200500 \mathrm{~F}+01\) & \(1.6035+00\) & 1.000E \(+\infty\) \\
\hline 13 & 1 & 1.42000E + +0 & 1.72000F+00 & \(2005005+01\) & \(220000 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(1.8035+00\) & 0.000F +00 \\
\hline 14 & 2 & 1.72000E + 00 & 4.77200E+01 & \(1.000005+\infty\) & \(2200005+01\) & \(1.6035+80\) & 1.000F+60 \\
\hline 15 & 3 & 4.7200E+ 01 & \(4.802005+01\) & 8. \(000000+\infty\) & \(1.000005 \cdot 01\) & \(1.8035+\infty 0\) & 8.000F + +00 \\
\hline 10 & 1 & \(4.7200 \mathrm{E}+01\) & 4.80200E + 81 & \(1.000005-01\) & 9.500005-01 & \(1.5038+80\) & 1.000E \(+\infty 0\) \\
\hline 17 & 3 & 4.7200E+01 & \(4.802005+81\) & 1.50000F-01 & 1. \(1.5000 \mathrm{E}+00\) & \(1.5035+00\) & \(1.000 \mathrm{E}+00\) \\
\hline 18 & 1 & \(4.72005+01\) & 4.80200E +81 & \(1.050002+00\) & \(1.950005+00\) & \(1.6035+\infty 0\) & \(1.0005+10\) \\
\hline 19 & 3 & \(4.772005+01\) & \(4.80200 ¢+81\) & \(1.950005+\infty\) & \(2.050005+00\) & \(1.6035+00\) & \(0.0005+60\) \\
\hline 20 & 1 & 4.7200E + 01 & \(4.802005+01\) & 205000E + +0 & \(4.950005+00\) & \(1.6035+80\) & \(0.0005+60\) \\
\hline 21 & 3 & \(4.7200 \mathrm{+}+01\) & \(4.80200 E+81\) & \(4.950005+\infty\) & \(5.55000 \mathrm{E}+00\) & \(1.603 E+\infty 0\) & \(1.0005+00\) \\
\hline 22 & 0 & \(4.7200 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(4.80200 \mathrm{~F}+11\) & 5.05000E \(+\infty 0\) & 2.95000E +00 & \(1.6035+80\) & \(1.0005+00\) \\
\hline 23 & 3 & \(4.772005+01\) & \(4.802005+01\) & 1.95000E +00 & \(1.005005+01\) & \(1.8035+\infty 0\) & \(1.0005+80\) \\
\hline 24 & 1 & \(4.7200 \mathrm{C}+01\) & 4.80200F+ +1 & \(1.005005+01\) & \(1.99500 ¢+01\) & \(1.8035+80\) & \(1.0005+00\) \\
\hline 25 & 1 & 4.7200E + 01 & \(4.80200 \mathrm{~F}+81\) & \(1.99500 E+01\) & \(2.205005+01\) & \(1.603 E+00\) & 1.000E +60 \\
\hline 28 & 1 & \(4.7200 \mathrm{C}+01\) & \(4.802005+01\) & \(2005005+01\) & \(220000 \mathrm{c}+01\) & \(1.6035+00\) & \(1.0005+00\) \\
\hline 27 & 1 & \(4.802005+01\) & 5.84400E +01 & \(1.000005+00\) & \(2200005+01\) & \(1.6035+00\) & 0.000F +00 \\
\hline 28 & 3 & \(5.844005+01\) & \(5.874005+01\) & \(1.000005+\infty\) & \(1.000005-01\) & \(1.8035+00\) & \(0.0005+\infty\) \\
\hline 29 & 1 & 5.84400E +81 & \(5.87400 ¢+01\) & \(1.000005-01\) & \(2.500005-01\) & \(1.6035+\infty 0\) & 1.000F +00 \\
\hline 30 & 3 & \(5.844005+01\) & 5.87400E +11 & \(2.500005 \cdot 01\) & \(1.850005+00\) & \(1.5035+010\) & \(1.0005+00\) \\
\hline 31 & 1 & \(5.84400 \mathrm{E}+81\) & \(5.87400 \mathrm{~F}+01\) & \(1.050005+00\) & \(1.95000 \mathrm{E}+0\) & \(1.6038+00\) & \(1.0005+00\) \\
\hline 32 & 1 & 5.84400E +01 & 5.87400E + 11 & 1.95000E \(+\infty 0\) & \(2.050005+00\) & \(1.5035+00\) & 1.000E +00 \\
\hline 33 & 1 & \(5.844005+01\) & \(5.87400 ¢+01\) & \(2.250005+\infty\) & \(4.850005+00\) & \(1.6038+8\) & \(1.0005+010\) \\
\hline 4 & 3 & 5.84400E +11 & \(5.87400 ¢+11\) & \(4.950005+00\) & \(5.85000 \mathrm{E}+0 \mathrm{CO}\) & \(1.803 E+00\) & 1.000E + + M \\
\hline 25 & 1 & \(5.844005+01\) & \(5.874005+81\) & \(5.558005+00\) & 2.25000E +00 & \(1.6035+010\) & 1.000F+60 \\
\hline 38 & 3 & 5.84400E +01 & 5.87400E + 01 & \(2.950005+\infty\) & \(1.00500 \mathrm{c}+01\) & \(1.8035+010\) & C.000E + M \\
\hline 37 & 1 & 5.84400E +01 & \(5.874005+01\) & \(1.805005+01\) & 1.29500E +01 & \(1.6035+00\) & 1.000E +00 \\
\hline 38 & 3 & 5.64400E +11 & 5.87400E + 01 & \(1.995005+01\) & \(2005005+01\) & \(1.603 \mathrm{~F}+00\) & 1.000F+000 \\
\hline 39 & 1 & 5.84400E + 01 & 5.87400E + 01 & \(2005005+01\) & \(220000 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(1.8035+80\) & 1.000E +09 \\
\hline 40 & 1 & \(5.37400 \mathrm{E}+11\) & \(1.518005+11\) & 1.00000F +00 & \(220000 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(1.603 F+00\) & \(1.0005+80\) \\
\hline 41 & 3 & 6.51600E +01 & 6.54600E + 01 & \(1.000005+\infty\) & \(1.000005-01\) & \(1.603 \mathrm{E}+00\) & \(1.0005+\infty\) \\
\hline 42 & 1 & 1.51600E +01 & \(1.546005+01\) & \(1.000005-01\) & \(2.500005-01\) & \(1.6035+00\) & \(1.000 \mathrm{E}+10\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 3 & 800F+ +1 & 1.54600F+ 01 & 1.500000-01 & \(1.550005+00\) & \(1.8035+00\) & 1.0 \\
\hline 44 & 1 & 0.51800F+01 & 1.54800F+ 01 & \(1.05000 \mathrm{~F}+00\) & \(1.250005+00\) & \(1.5035+00\) & 1.000E +00 \\
\hline 45 & 3 & 1.51800F+ 01 & \(1.548005+01\) & \(1.850005+0\) & \(2050005+10\) & \(1.803 \mathrm{~F}+\mathrm{M}\) & 1.080E + 00 \\
\hline 4 & 1 & 1.51600F+01 & 8.5460aF+ 01 & \(2.250005+010\) & 4.85000E + 28 & \(1.2035+00\) & 1.000E + +00 \\
\hline 47 & 3 & 1.51800F+ 01 & 1.54600F+ 01 & \(4.550005+011\) & \(5.850005+00\) & \(1.6035+\infty\) & 0.000F + 80 \\
\hline 48 & 1 & 8.51800E + 01 & 8.54800E+ 01 & \(5.05000 \mathrm{~F}+00\) & \(2.85000 \mathrm{E}+0 \mathrm{OH}\) & 1.803 + +00 & 1.000F+00 \\
\hline 49 & 3 & 8.51600E + 81 & 1.54800E +01 & 8.95000E + 0 & 1.20500E +01 & \(1.8035+00\) & C. \(0000 \mathrm{E}+00\) \\
\hline 50 & 1 & . \(51600 \mathrm{~F}+11\) & 1.54600E +11 & \(1.00500 \mathrm{C}+11\) & \(1.995005+81\) & \(1.2035+00\) & 1.000E + +00 \\
\hline 51 & 3 & 0.51800E + 11 & 8.54600F+ 81 & \(1.895005+11\) & \(200500 E+11\) & \(1.8035+110\) & \(1.0005+00\) \\
\hline 52 & 1 & 8.51800F+ 11 & \(8.546005+01\) & \(2.005008+01\) & \(2280098+11\) & \(1.8085+00\) & 1.000F + +00 \\
\hline 53 & 1 & 1.54600F + 01 & \(7.38800 ¢+11\) & \(1.000000+00\) & \(2200005+11\) & \(1.80 \%+09\) & \(1.0005+010\) \\
\hline 54 & , & 7.38800F+01 & 7.41800F+ 11 & \(1.000008+4\) & \(1.800005-01\) & \(1.8035+010\) & \(1.0005+14\) \\
\hline 55 & 1 & 7.38800E + 01 & \(7.418005+11\) & \(1.800005-11\) & \(1.500005 \cdot 11\) & \(1.2035+41\) & .000\% +10 \\
\hline 58 & 3 & 7.38800E +01 & 7.41800E+01 & 2.500005- 11 & 1.250000 + 08 & \(1.5835+04\) & 1.000E + 010 \\
\hline 57 & 1 & \(7.388005+01\) & \(7.418005+01\) & \(1.250005+00\) & \(1.250005+010\) & \(1.1 .832 \mathrm{~F}+00\) & 1.000F+010 \\
\hline 58 & 3 & \(7.388005+11\) & 7.41800E+01 & \(1.850005+00\) & 205000E + +0 & \(1.603 \mathrm{~F}+00\) & 1.000E + 41 \\
\hline 59 & 1 & 7.38800F+01 & \(7.41800 \mathrm{~F}+01\) & \(2.850005+010\) & \(4.550005+00\) & \(1.6035+00\) & 1.000E + +10 \\
\hline 80 & 3 & \(7.388005+01\) & \(7.418005+81\) & \(4.950005+00\) & \(5.050005+00\) & \(1.8038+00\) & 1.000F +00 \\
\hline 01 & 0 & \(7.38800 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(7.41800 E+01\) & \(5.050005+00\) & \(1.950005+00\) & \(1.603 \%+00\) & 0.000F+00 \\
\hline 82 & 3 & 7.38800E+01 & \(7.418005+81\) & \(1.950005+00\) & \(1.005000+01\) & \(1.603 \mathrm{t}+00\) & 1.000E + 00 \\
\hline 8 & 1 & \(7.38800 \mathrm{E}+01\) & 7.41000E + 81 & \(1.00500 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(1.005005+01\) & \(1.0035+00\) & 1.000E +00 \\
\hline 84 & 3 & 7.38800E+01 & 7.41800E + 81 & \(1.99500 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(2.005005+01\) & \(1.2038+00\) & 0.000E + 00 \\
\hline 85 & 1 & \(7.38800 \mathrm{~F}+01\) & 7.41800E + 81 & \(2.005005+01\) & \(2200005+01\) & \(1.8035+00\) & 1.000E +00 \\
\hline 88 & 1 & \(7.41800 \mathrm{~F}+01\) & 0.28000E + 01 & \(1.000005+00\) & \(2.200005+01\) & \(1.2035+00\) & 1.000F +00 \\
\hline 87 & 3 & \(8.280005+01\) & \(1.290005+01\) & \(0.00000 \mathrm{E}+00\) & \(1.000005 \cdot 01\) & \(1.8035+80\) & L.000F + 80 \\
\hline 88 & 1 & \(8.28000 \mathrm{~F}+01\) & \(8.290005+81\) & \(1.000005 \cdot 01\) & \(0.500005 \cdot 01\) & \(1.6035+00\) & \(0.0005+00\) \\
\hline 89 & 3 & 8.28000E + 01 & \(1.290005+01\) & 8.50000F-01 & \(1.05000 \mathrm{E}+08\) & \(1.6035+00\) & 1.000F+00 \\
\hline 70 & 0 & \(1.280005+01\) & 1.29000E + 01 & \(1.050005+00\) & \(1.95000 \mathrm{E}+00\) & \(1.603 E+00\) & 0.000F+00 \\
\hline 71 & 3 & \(1.280005+01\) & 1.29000E + 01 & \(1.95000 \mathrm{E}+\infty\) & \(2050005+00\) & \(1.6035+00\) & 0.000E + 00 \\
\hline 72 & 1 & \(1.280005+01\) & \(1.290005+01\) & \(2050005+00\) & \(4.950005+00\) & 1.603E+00 & 0.000F+000 \\
\hline 73 & 3 & \(8.280005+01\) & \(1.290005+01\) & 4.95000E+00 & 5.05000E +00 & \(1.8035+00\) & 0.000F+00 \\
\hline 74 & 0 & \(1.28000 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(1.290005+01\) & \(5.05080 \mathrm{E}+00\) & 2.95000E + 010 & 1.6035 + 80 & 1.000E + 00 \\
\hline 75 & 3 & \(8.280005+01\) & \(8.290005+01\) & 1.95000E + +0 & \(1.005005+01\) & \(1.18035+04\) & 1.000E +00 \\
\hline 78 & 1 & \(8.280005+01\) & \(8.290005+01\) & \(1.005005+81\) & \(1.995005+11\) & \(1.6035+00\) & 1.000E +00 \\
\hline 7 & 8 & 8.28000E +01 & 1.29000E + 01 & \(1.99500 E+01\) & \(2005005+01\) & \(1.6035+80\) & 1.000F + 00 \\
\hline 78 & 1 & 8.28000E +01 & 1.29000E+01 & \(2.005005+01\) & \(22000005+01\) & \(1.6035+00\) & \(1.0005+80\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{* gource marmation}
sOURCE EECTRONS
THE MAXIMuM gOURCE ENERCY IS 291.00000 MEV
THE elobal bectran cutoff enercy is 1.60300 MEY
THE PHOTRM CUTOFF ENEREY IS \(\quad \mathbf{B} 1000 \mathrm{MEY}\)

\(X=1.00000 \mathrm{~F}+\mathrm{COCM} \quad Y=1.00000 \mathrm{~cm}+\mathrm{CB} \mathrm{cm} \quad l=1.00000 \mathrm{E}+\mathrm{CH} \mathrm{cm}\)

REEERENCE DREECTON FOR AMGULAR CISTRIBUTION IS REFINED BY
THETA \(=1.0000\) PEGREES PNII \(=\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0 0}\) DECREES
MONODIRECTIONAL DOURCS M REFERENCE DIRECTON
THE STAMOARD ERROR ESTMMATES ABE BAEEO OH 18 batches of 1000 mstories EACH

\section*{ELECTBON-ECAPE ENEREY CLASMFICATONS (MEV)}
\(351.90000 \quad 312.80000 \quad 273.70000\)
\(234.80000 \quad 195.50008 \quad 158.40008\)
\(117.30008 \quad 78.20000 \quad 34.10000 \quad 1.80300\)
EEECTROH-SECAPS POLAR AMELF CLASMFICATONS (DEGREES)
 \(30.00000 \quad 128.000001158 .00000 \quad 180.00000\)

ELECTBOH-ESCAPE ADMUTI AMCLE CLASPFICATOHS (DECEEES) 180.00000

\section*{}

\section*{KNOCK-ON EEETRON PRODUCTON}

\section*{MO COUPLE MELASTC SAATEERME DEFLECTIONS}

\section*{LREMSTRAMUUE AND CHARACTEASTIC X-RAY QUANTA FOLOWED}

\section*{RREMSTRAMLUNG WTRANSE AMCLE EF EMIEYON FROM TABUATEE MSTRBMTRY}

\section*{PLOTOH-PRODUCE EEETRONS FOLOWEE}

MATERAL MO. 1

X X-BAY QUAKTA MOT FOLLOWED
EEECTRON IMPACT DONLATION MOT EAMPLEE
matebui mo. 2
EIECTRON RANGE AT MAXUMUM ミOURCE EMERGY is 0.6642bE +02 (e/cm*2)
XX-BAY QUANTA MOT FOLOWED
EEECTBON IMPACT DNHZATION NOT \&AMPLED
MATERLAL NO. 3
Electron rance at maximum gource enerey is 0.80237e +02 (e/cm**)
X X-RAY QUAMTA MOT FOLLOWED
eiectron umpact maization not eampled

ANNIHLLATION QUAKTA FOLLOWED
THE YOLUME RATD IS \(\quad 1.1000000000000\) E +01

\footnotetext{
391 MeV Electrans - 10.1 PMMA, \(39 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{Alr}\),40.0 PMMA, ILF Armas
}

AVERACE DOURCE EMERCY \(=\mathbf{2 : 1 0 0 E}+22\) IMEV
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Rambom mumber mf tus run is & 1 \\
\hline  & 31411431531 \\
\hline THe FIWAL Lavoom mumber of THe Current micy is & 8280170762 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

MBLK \(=\quad 1\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & EMERCY (men) & ave exergy (mev) & MUMBER/PRIMAPY & number eenebatit \\
\hline FIBST LIOCX (ABOVE TEN) & \(1.5502 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(1.74195+08\) & \(2.29945+00\) & 22994 \\
\hline TOTAL BNOCX (ABOVE TCUT) & \(1.93505+01\) & \(5.93885+00\) & 2.2594F+00 & 32594 \\
\hline P4OTO-ELECTRON & 1.04485-01 & 3.8338E-E2 & \(2.7253 \mathrm{C}+00\) & 27253 \\
\hline PAIB & 1.8541E +10 & \(3.84585+01\) & \(1.78225+00\) & 17822 \\
\hline COMPTOM & \(2.02895+01\) & 4.4750F-01 & \(4.59945+01\) & 452938 \\
\hline AUCER & 0.0000E +00 & 1.0000F + 00 & \(1.00005+20\) & 1 \\
\hline FIRST BREMSTRAHUUK & \(223135+12\) & \(2.4169 \mathrm{E}+11\) & \(3.2321 \mathrm{E}+00\) & 92321 \\
\hline Ttial bremsetrahlune & \(2.40595+02\) & \(1.70295+01\) & \(1.41285+01\) & 141278 \\
\hline K X-8AY(P-Dمuzation) & \(0.00005+00\) & 2.00006 +00 & \(1.0000 \mathrm{E}+00\) & 1 \\
\hline K X-bay(E-WMILATIOM) & 1.0000E + 00 & 2.0000E + +00 & \(1.00005+88\) & 1 \\
\hline annuliction quanta & 1.28815-01 & 5.1098E-01 & \(1.230 B E+00\) & 12308 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
number and enercy (mpy per gource parncie) of sdurce particies bejected for benc below me gobal cutoff
1
\(1.00000 \mathrm{E}+0099\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|r|}{TRANSMISEM} \\
\hline crocx & P. EC & анй & \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{2.485-02 \(418.815-0121.13 \mathrm{~F}-611\)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{\(0.005+883988.005+00898 \quad 1.005+0898\)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|r|}{EEFLECTIN:} \\
\hline ravers & P-86 & ANMU & \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{K X-MYS From matirlil me. 1, x X-RAYS from matrrul m. 2 , tic.} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{\(8.005+00898.005+0098 \quad 1.005+0099\)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|r|}{LIEEAL ESCAPE} \\
\hline crocer & P.8EC & ANMH & \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{\(\begin{array}{lllllllllll}3.305-02 & 4 & 1.785-02 & 8 & 245-02 & 2\end{array}\)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{} \\
\hline 1.00E + 0099 & \(0.005+0099\) & \(0.00 \mathrm{+}+0099\) & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{NUMBER AND ENERGY ESCAPE FRACTDRKS}

\section*{IBAMSMISSOK}

EECTRON \(\qquad\) ........... PMOTON ............ RUMBER ENERGY COUNTS RUMBER ENEREY COUNTS


\section*{REFLECTION}

ELECTRON
........... PMOTOK
NUMBER ENEREY COUNTS NUMBER ENEREY COUNTS
\(0.00 E+00998.00 E+0099\)
\(11.405-0128.111-05\)
14288

LATERAL EECAPE
.......... EIECTBON ..........
number energy counts mumber enercy counts
\(\begin{array}{lllllll}5.40 K-02 & 5 & 7.095-04 & 4 & 540 & 3.055 & 238785\end{array}\)

EMEREY REPDSTIDM
(MORMALIEI TI OME MCIDETT PARTICLE)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline TONE & MAIERLAL & MASE(CM) & VOLume(CC) \\
\hline 1 & 1 & \(1.52105+04\) & \(1.28035+84\) \\
\hline 2 & 3 & 2.4834F-E2 & 2.42485-03 \\
\hline 3 & 1 & \(1.08005+00\) & 1.41185-01 \\
\hline 4 & 3 & 4.98695. 11 & 1.88501-01 \\
\hline 5 & 1 & \(0.00005+00\) & \(2.5447 \mathrm{E}+00\) \\
\hline 1 & 1 & 1.93375-01 & 3.76995-01 \\
\hline 7 & 1 & \(0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00\) & \(1.9132 \mathrm{E}+11\) \\
\hline 1 & 3 & \(2.48345+00\) & 1.42485.01 \\
\hline 1 & 1 & 1.00005 +00 & \(1.9272 \mathrm{~F}+01\) \\
\hline 10 & 3 & \(4.98895+00\) & \(1.8850 ¢+00\) \\
\hline 11 & 1 & \(0.00005+00\) & \(2.7992 \mathrm{~F}+12\) \\
\hline 12 & 3 & 9.9337E +00 & \(3.76995+00\) \\
\hline 13 & 1 & 0.0000 +00 & 7.7281E+01 \\
\hline 14 & 2 & \(7.0271 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(5.9301 \mathrm{E}+04\) \\
\hline 15 & 3 & 2.48345-82 & 9.4248E-03 \\
\hline 10 & 1 & \(1.08005+00\) & 2.4118E-81 \\
\hline 17 & 3 & 4.9663E.01 & 1.8850F. 01 \\
\hline 11 & 1 & \(1.00005+00\) & \(2.5447 \mathrm{E}+00\) \\
\hline 19 & 3 & 1.9337E-01 & 3.7899E-01 \\
\hline 20 & 1 & 1.0000E + 00 & \(1.8132 \mathrm{~F}+81\) \\
\hline 21 & 3 & 2.4834E +08 & 1.42485-81 \\
\hline 22 & 1 & 0.0000E + 08 & 1.9272F+01 \\
\hline 23 & 3 & 4.9663F + 00 & 1.8850F+00 \\
\hline 24 & 1 & \(0.00005+00\) & \(2.7992 \mathrm{~F}+02\) \\
\hline 25 & 3 & \(1.93375+00\) & \(3.78995+08\) \\
\hline 20 & 1 & \(0.00002+08\) & 7.7281E+01 \\
\hline 27 & 1 & \(1.52105+04\) & \(1.28035+4\) \\
\hline 28 & 3 & 2.4834F-02 & 0.4248E-03 \\
\hline 29 & 1 & \(0.00005+00\) & 0.4118E-01 \\
\hline 30 & 3 & 4.9669E-01 & 1.88505-01 \\
\hline 31 & 1 & 0.0000E + 08 & \(2.5447 \mathrm{E}+00\) \\
\hline 32 & 3 & 1.9337E-01 & 27899E-01 \\
\hline 33 & 1 & \(1.00005+08\) & \(1.9132 \mathrm{~F}+01\) \\
\hline 34 & 3 & \(2.48345+00\) & 9.4248E-01 \\
\hline 35 & 1 & 1.0000E +00 & \(0.9272 \mathrm{E}+01\) \\
\hline 30 & 3 & 4.9869E +09 & \(1.88505+00\) \\
\hline 37 & 1 & 1.0000F+00 & \(2.7992 \mathrm{~F}+12\) \\
\hline 38 & 3 & \(1.93375+00\) & \(3.76992+00\) \\
\hline 38 & 1 & 1.0000E + 80 & 7.7281E+ 11 \\
\hline 40 & 1 & \(1.52105+4\) & \(1.2803 \mathrm{~F}+4\) \\
\hline 41 & 3 & 2.4834F-02 & 1.42485-03 \\
\hline 42 & 1 & \(8.80005+20\) & 1.41185-81 \\
\hline 43 & 3 & 4.98895.81 & 1.88505-01 \\
\hline 44 & 1 & \(1.00005+08\) & \(254475+00\) \\
\hline 45 & 3 & 1.93375-81 & 3.78995-01 \\
\hline 48 & 1 & \(0.00005+00\) & \(1.5132 \mathrm{~F}+01\) \\
\hline 47 & 3 & \(2.48345+00\) & 1.4248E-01 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

EMERCY BEPQSTINM (MEV)



ENERAY DEPOSTROM
(NORMALIEE TE ONE MCIDENT PARTCLE)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 2OnE & MATterial & MASs(CM) & VGILIME(CC) \\
\hline 51 & 3 & 2.9337E +00 & \(3.78995+00\) \\
\hline 52 & 1 & 2.0000E + 00 & 7.72815+11 \\
\hline 53 & 1 & 1.52104 & \(1.28035+4\) \\
\hline 54 & 3 & 2.4834F-12 & 1.42485-03 \\
\hline 55 & 1 & \(1.80005+00\) & 0.41185-01 \\
\hline 50 & 3 & 4.98695.01 & 1.88505.01 \\
\hline 5 & 1 & 1.0000E + 010 & \(2.54471+00\) \\
\hline 58 & 3 & 1.93375-81 & 3.78995-81 \\
\hline 55 & 1 & \(0.00005+88\) & \(1.8132 \mathrm{~F}+11\) \\
\hline 00 & 3 & \(2.48345+88\) & 1.4248E-81 \\
\hline 81 & 1 & 1.8000\% + +10 & 0.9772+ +1 \\
\hline 12 & 3 & \(4.96895+00\) & \(1.08505+00\) \\
\hline 83 & 1 & \(0.00005+00\) & \(2.7992+02\) \\
\hline 04 & 3 & 1.8337E +00 & \(3.76995+00\) \\
\hline 85 & 1 & 1.0000E + 00 & 7.72815+01 \\
\hline 68 & 1 & \(1.52105+04\) & \(1.28035+4\) \\
\hline 87 & 3 & 2.48345 .02 & 9.42485-03 \\
\hline 68 & 1 & \(1.00005+80\) & 0.41185-01 \\
\hline 09 & 3 & 4.9889E-81 & 1.8850F-01 \\
\hline 70 & 1 & 1.0000E + 00 & \(2.54475+00\) \\
\hline 71 & 3 & 0.93375-81 & 3.78995-01 \\
\hline 72 & 1 & \(0.00005+00\) & \(1.81325+01\) \\
\hline 73 & 3 & \(2.48345+00\) & 0.42485-01 \\
\hline 74 & 1 & \(0.00005+00\) & \(0.977 \%+01\) \\
\hline 75 & 3 & \(4.96695+08\) & \(1.88505+00\) \\
\hline 70 & 1 & \(0.00005+00\) & \(279925+02\) \\
\hline \(\pi\) & 3 & 0.9337E +00 & \(3.78998+00\) \\
\hline 78 & 1 & 0.0000E +08 & \(7.7281 \mathrm{E}+11\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TOTAL

EMERGY REPOSTINY (MEY)
PRIM NHOCK S-EXC

> TITAL


\(1.8775+01 \quad 1 \quad 7.48485-02871.38005+111297545+011\)

 2.4305K-02 \(12.74055-0342.75955 \cdot 12 \mid 5.441 \mathrm{E}-125\)
 2.97795-02 7 2.05755-83 48 250375-02




\(1.0000 \mathrm{~F}+00991.0000 \mathrm{~F}+08981.0000 \mathrm{~F}+00888.0000 \mathrm{~F}+0828\)

 \(\begin{array}{llllllllll}1.8320 E \\ +01 & 1 & 1.8378 E-01 & 31 & 1.08835+01 & 1 & 2.31875 & +01 & 1\end{array}\)

 1.2975K-02 11 20852F-03 77 2.3036E-02 7 2.20955-02 5
 2.3282F-02 11 2.77305-03 71 2.44875-02 1 4.8842F-02 7
 1.04785-02 \(\operatorname{s}\)-2.13885-03 85 7.7355-83 17 1.5913F-02 11




 \(1.0039 \mathrm{E}+\mathrm{O2}:-2.7818 \mathrm{E}+0034.7785 \mathrm{t}+0111.4439 \mathrm{E}+021\)

THE ENERCY COH\&ERVATION FRACTION IS \(\quad .1000237785204 E+01\) 1

CHAREE DEPQSTLIOM
(MORMALIEE TI OUE MCIDERT PARTCLE) EECTRONS
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ZONE & MATERIAL & 11 & 0 & 1 0 & & prim muack & 6-85 & & \\
\hline 1 & 1 & \(0.0005+10\) & \(1.4205+00\) & \(1.0005+0\) & 2.200F+01 & 7.30005-03 18-1.170¢-01 & -2.78006-62 & 51.48000601 & \\
\hline 2 & 3 & 1.420E + 00 & \(1.7205+00\) & 1.000E + 00 & 1.8005-01 & \(200005-0488\) 87.1800E-02 & 1.40005-68 11 & 1.72005-12 & \\
\hline 3 & 1 & 1.4209 + +10 & \(1.7205+00\) & 1.0006.01 & \(2.5005 \cdot 01\) &  & 1 c.eant & 88.800 & + \\
\hline 4 & 3 & \(1.4205+00\) & \(1.7205+00\) & 2.5005-01 & \(1.8505+0\) & \(1.80005+\) M 81.120005 & \(75.8080 \%\) & ¢ 1.71 eex & \\
\hline 5 & 1 & B.420¢ + W & \(8.7205+00\) & \(1.1505+00\) & \(1.2505+\) M &  & 981808 & \% 8 & + +10 \\
\hline 1 & 3 & \(1.4205+10\) & \(1.7205+80\) & \(1.950 \mathrm{~K}+00\) & 2.050 + + 0 & \(1.00005+\) +10 88.8 .80000 & M 4 Pem & cr & \\
\hline 7 & & \(1.4200+00\) & \(1.7205+10\) & \(2.2505+00\) & \(4.5505+10\) & \(1.00005+\) H0 41.800005 & \% 1.800 & W \(\mathrm{H}_{1}\) & + 10 \\
\hline 1 & 31 & 2.4205+010 & 8.720E +00 & 4.950F+ +10 & 5.050F+00 &  & 88.800 & W 818 & M \\
\hline 1 & 1 & C. \(4205+10\) & \(1.7205+08\) & 5.850F+00 & 2.150F + +10 & \(1.00005+\) H8 81.80005 & 9 1.800 & M 81 & 8 \\
\hline 18 & 3 & 0.420F+ & \(8.7205+00\) & 2.950F+00 & \(1.8055+11\) & \(1.00005+81881.80005\) & 818.800 & - \(\mathrm{H}_{1}\) & + 0 \\
\hline 11 & 1 & 2.420¢ + & L.7205 + 08 & \(1.8055+11\) & \(1.2955+11\) &  & 81.8000 & M 81 & - \({ }^{4}\) \\
\hline 12 & 3 & 0.420E + & 8.720E +10 & \(1.2955+01\) & \(20055+11\) &  & 8 B . Bom & M 1. & 8 \\
\hline 13 & 1 & 2.420¢ + & 8.720x+20 & \(2.005 t+11\) & \(22005+11\) & \(1.00005+80888.00005\) & 20 2.000 & \(\boldsymbol{4} 8\) & + \({ }^{10} 9\) \\
\hline 14 & 2 & C.720E +00 & \(4.772 \mathrm{~F}+11\) & 1.000k +00 & \(22005+01\) & 1.00005-04 89-1.00005. & 77.80005 & 8-1.8000F.M & \\
\hline 15 & 3 & \(4.72 \mathrm{~F}+01\) & \(4.802 \mathrm{~F}+01\) & \(1.000 \mathrm{E}+00\) & 1.8005.01 & \(1.00005+08981.8005 \mathrm{~F}\)-84 & 19-210005-83 & 17.22000F-83 & 15 \\
\hline 18 & 1 & \(4.772 \mathrm{~F}+11\) & \(4.8027+01\) & 1.0006-01 & 2.500F-01 & 1.00005 + 00 M1.0000E + & 19 1.0000F & 18.80000 & +10 \\
\hline 17 & 3 & \(4.72 \mathrm{~F}+01\) & \(4.802 \mathrm{~F}+11\) & 2.5005.01 & \(1.0505+00\) &  & 15-1.10006-13 & 28-2.40005-13 & 12 \\
\hline 18 & & \(4.772 \mathrm{~F}+11\) & \(4.802 \mathrm{~F}+11\) & \(1.0505+00\) & \(1.9505+80\) & \(1.00005+80000.00005\) & 998.0000 & 0080.00 & + \({ }_{0}\) \\
\hline 19 & 1 & \(4.772+81\) & \(4.802 \mathrm{~F}+11\) & \(1.9505+80\) & \(20505+80\) & C.OOOOF + 88 89-2.0000 & 51-4.00005. & 41-7.80006-0 & M \\
\hline 20 & & \(4.772 \mathrm{~F}+01\) & \(4.802 \mathrm{~F}+01\) & \(20505+00\) & 4.950E + 010 & \(1.00005+10888.00005\) & 10988.0000\% & + 118.81 .0000 & OOFE + CH \({ }^{\text {a }}\) \\
\hline 21 & 1 & \(4.72 \mathrm{~F}+11\) & \(4.802 \mathrm{~F}+01\) & \(4.9505+10\) & \(5.0502+80\) & 1.0000¢ + 85888.0000 E & W 8.0000 & M \(\%\) ¢ 800 & + +10 \\
\hline 22 & & \(4.772 \mathrm{~F}+01\) & \(4.802 \mathrm{~F}+01\) & \(5.0505+00\) & 8.950E + 10 & 1.00005 + \(00888.00005+\) & 60 598.00000 &  & OOF + W \\
\hline 23 & 3 & \(4.72{ }^{\text {F }}+11\) & \(4.802 \mathrm{~F}+01\) & \(1.950 \mathrm{t}+18\) & \(1.805 t+01\) & 1.8000F+ +081.00000 & 82.000 & 983.000 & 71 \\
\hline 24 & 1 & \(4.772 \mathrm{~F}+81\) & \(4.802 \mathrm{~F}+01\) & \(1.005 E+01\) & \(1.995 E+11\) & \(1.0000 \mathrm{E}+0981.0000 \mathrm{E}\) & 0099 \(9.0000 \times\) & + 48 \$4 1.0000 & a0x+min \\
\hline 25 & 3 & \(4.72 \mathrm{~F}+11\) & \(4.802 F+11\) & \(1.995 t+01\) & \(2.0055+01\) & \(1.80005+00888.0000\) & + 8081.800 & H 41.80 & -M \\
\hline 20 & 4 & \(4.72 \mathrm{~F}+01\) & \(4.802 \mathrm{~F}+01\) & \(2.0055+01\) & \(2.200 E+11\) & L.0000F+00898.0000E & 29 0.0000K & \% 81.8000 & + +108 \\
\hline 27 & 1 & \(4.802 \mathrm{~F}+11\) & \(5.8445+01\) & \(1.0005+00\) & \(2.200 \mathrm{E}+01\) & 2.1100E.02 7-8.1400F-02 & -82900F-02 & -8.52006-12 & \\
\hline 28 & 3 & \(5.844 \mathrm{~F}+11\) & \(5.8745+01\) & \(8.0005+00\) & 1.0005-01 & \(1.00005+m 898-00005\) & 11-120005.03 & 27.1.8000F-0 & \\
\hline 29 & 1 & \(5.844 \mathrm{~F}+01\) & \(5.8748+11\) & \(1.0005 \cdot 01\) & 2.5005-81 & \(1.00005+00\) \& \(1.0000 \mathrm{E}+0\) & \& \(8.00005+\) & W 11.800005 & + +10 \\
\hline 30 & 3 & \(5.6445+01\) & \(5.3745+01\) & 2.5005-81 & \(1.0505+0\) &  & 18.00000 & 85-5.000 & H \\
\hline 31 & 5 & \(5.8445+11\) & 5.874E+01 & \(1.0505+10\) & \(1.950 \mathrm{+}+0\) & 1.0000k + 60888.00005 & 838.000 & M 41.80 & + +808 \\
\hline 32 & 3 & \(5.8445+01\) & 5.874E+01 & \(1.950 \mathrm{~F}+10\) & \(2.0505+80\) & \(1.00005+\) + 88.7 .00005 - 1 & 14 84271055-2 & 88-7.80ar-0 & - \(\%\) \\
\hline 33 & 5 & \(5.8445+01\) & \(5.8745+01\) & \(20505+00\) & \(4.9505+\) M & \(1.00005+108898.00005\) & 848.00000 & H 888.0000 & ONF + M M \\
\hline 4 & 3 & \(5.6445+81\) & \(5.8745+01\) & \(4.950 \mathrm{~F}+88\) & \(5.5505+00\) & \(1.00005+08981.00005\) & 89-1.80006-0 & 181.1842\%-2 & 218 \\
\hline 35 & 5 & \(5.844 \mathrm{~F}+11\) & \(5.8745+11\) & 5.1505 +08 & \(1.9505+0\) & \(1.00005+\) W 81.00000 F & 84 \(\mathbf{1}\).0000x & -18 81.0000 & OMF + CH \\
\hline 38 & 1 & \(5.8445+01\) & \(5.8745+01\) & \(1.9505+00\) & \(1.8055+11\) &  & 04.7105 & -21 8427105 & 555-21 \\
\hline 37 & 15 & \(5.844 \%+11\) & \(5.874 E+01\) & \(1.8055+01\) & \(1.995 \mathrm{+}+11\) & \(1.00005+\) OR 818.00005 & \% 88.00005 & + 28888.0000 & axt +10 \\
\hline 38 & 3 & \(5.844 \mathrm{~F}+11\) & 5.874E+01 & \(1.995 t+01\) & \(2.0055+01\) & 1.00000 + 00898.50000 & 28981.000 & - 8.1 .800 & M 8 \\
\hline 39 & 15 & \(5.844 \mathrm{~F}+11\) & 5.874E+11 & \(28055+01\) & \(2.2005+11\) &  & 1898.00005 & \% 81 2.8000 & OOF + W \({ }^{\text {S }}\) \\
\hline 40 & 1 & \(5.874{ }^{\text {c }}+01\) & 8.518E+01 & \(2.000 \mathrm{E}+80\) & \(2.2005+11\) & \(15.75005-0284.70005 \cdot 0\) & 53-4.58006-12 & 12 2a0005. 13 & \\
\hline 41 & 3 & 8.51 BE + 11 & 1.54BE+ 11 & 8.800k + 08 & 1.0006.01 & \(1.00005+18881.00005-84\) & 89-200005.4 &  & \% \\
\hline 42 & 1 & 8.51 BF +11 & 2.548E +01 & 1.8005 .01 & 1.500¢-01 &  & 19.80005 + & 18. 1.00005 & \(\mathrm{F}+\mathrm{mm}\) \\
\hline 43 & 1 & 8.51 EF+ +11 & 1.548E +01 & \(2.5005-01\) & \(1.9505+00\) &  & 98-1.40005.03 & 42-1.30005-08 & 1375 \\
\hline 44 & 1 & \(8.518 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(2.5485+11\) & 1.1505 + +00 & \(1.9505+00\) & \(8.00005+\infty 881.00005\) & 181.00005 & H1888.0000 & OOF + CH \\
\hline 45 & 3 & 2.518E+01 & 1.548E + 01 & \(1.950 \mathrm{+}+0\) & \(2.0505+00\) & L.0000F+ +1088.200005 &  & 4 84-0.00005-1 & M \% \\
\hline 48 & 1 & 2.518E+11 & 1.54BE +01 & \(21505+00\) & 4.950F+010 & 1.0000F + M M 8 E.0000E & \% 1.0000 & M 818.80000 &  \\
\hline 47 & 3 & \(1.518 \mathrm{+}+01\) & 1.548E+01 & \(4.950 \%+60\) & \(5.050 \mathrm{C}+0\) & 1.00005.04 981.00005 & \$2-20000\%-4 & 4-4.3388\%-21 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\title{
cuarar mepostran \\ (NORMALIFE TI BYE ECIDEVT PARTCLE)
}


\section*{TOTAL 2.1020F-01 \(\quad 1 \cdot 1\). T7 OKF-01 \(\quad 32.28905-01 \quad 32.84005-6212\)}

\section*{ENEREY SPECTRA OF TRAMEMITIEA ELFCTBONS} (NUMBEB/MEV, MOBMALDEA TE CME WCIDENT PABTICLD)
E (ME)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 391.0000 & 000 & 1.00E + 0898 \\
\hline 351.9000 & 312.8000 & 7.575-03 51 \\
\hline 312.8000 & 273.7000 & 1.106-M 14 \\
\hline 273.7000 & 234.9000 & 8.317-4 5 \\
\hline 234.8000 & 195.5000 & 1.535-03 5 \\
\hline 195.5000 & 158.4000 & 2205-83 \\
\hline 158.4000 & 117.3000 & 2335-83 \\
\hline 117.3000 & 78.2000 & 4.145-03 \\
\hline 78.2008 & 39.1008 & 1.895-83 \\
\hline 39.1008 & 1.6030 & 1.94F-62 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

ENERGY PPECTA PF REFLECTE EIECTROHS (RUMBER/MEY, HORMALIIEO TE ONE IMCIDENT PABTICLE) E (ME)
\(391.0000 \cdot 351.9000 \quad 8.005+0099\)
\(351.9000 \cdot 312.80000 .00 E+0099\)
\(312.8000-273.70001 .00 K+0099\)
\(273.7000 \cdot 234.60001 .00 E+0099\)
\(234.8000 \cdot 135.50001 .00 E+0099\)
\(195.5000 \cdot 158.4008\) 0.00E +0098
\(158.4000 \cdot 117.30001 .00 E+8099\)
\(117.3000 \cdot 78.20001 .00 E+0099\)
78.2000 - 39.1000 8.00E +0099
39.1000-1.8030 1.00E +0099

EAERGY EPECTRA OF LATERALLY ESCAPLIC ELECTBONS
(NUMBER/MEV, NORMALIZED TE OME HCIDENT PARIICLE)
E (MEY)
\(391.0000-351.90008 .00 E+0099\)
\(351.9000 \cdot 312.8000 \quad\) 8.00E +0099
\(312.8000 \cdot 273.7000 \quad\) C.00E +0098
\(273.7000 \cdot 234.6000 \quad 8.00 E+0098\)
\(234.8000 \cdot 195.50008 .00 K+0099\)
\(195.5000 \cdot 158.4000\) 8.00E +9098
\(158.4000 \cdot 117.3000 \quad 1.00 E+0099\)
\(117.3000 \cdot 78.2000\) 0.00E +0099
78.2000 - 39.1000 7.87E-06 51
39.1000-1.6030 1.435-03 5

\section*{PM(DEFC) \(=1.009\)}

TसETA (DEC) 180.000
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 0.0000 & 18.8009 & 8. \(2045+00\) \\
\hline 10.0000 & 20.0008 & \(1.328+102\) \\
\hline 20.0008 & 34.8000 & 2.335.014 \\
\hline 30.0008 & 40.8008 & 1.305-81 \\
\hline 40.0008 & 50.8000 & 5.288-62 \\
\hline 50.0008 & 70.8000 & 1.845-02 \\
\hline 78.0000 & 30.0008 & 1.31F.03 14 \\
\hline 20.0000 & 128.8008 & \(1.005+8088\) \\
\hline 120.0000 & 150.8000 & \(1.005+1089\) \\
\hline 150.0000 & 18.80008 & \(1.005+0089\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

AMEULAR EISTRIBUTONS OF LATERALIY EECAPMC EEEETROKS (NUMBER/SR, MORMALIIE TI OUE MCIDENT PARTCLI)
\[
\text { PHI(DEE })=0.000
\]

THETA (DEG) 180.000
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 0.0000 & 10.0000 & 0.005 + 0099 \\
\hline 10.0000 & 20.0000 & 1.785-03 45 \\
\hline 20.0000 & 30.0000 & 1.82F-02 12 \\
\hline 30.0000 & 40.0000 & 3045-02 7 \\
\hline 40.0000 & 50.0000 & 1.545-02 11 \\
\hline 50.0000 & 70.0000 & B. 775 -03 \\
\hline 70.8000 . & 90.0000 & 1.845.84 15 \\
\hline 80.0008 & 120.0008 & 1.555-05 71 \\
\hline 120.0000 & 150.0000 & \(1.005+8099\) \\
\hline 150.0000 & 120.0000 & 1.OOF + 8089 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}














 ADMUTHAL DIEEVAL B \(\mathbf{L} .00000\) TI 188.00008 EECREFS (NUMBER/(MEV*ER), MORMALIEE TI OWE PRBTICLE)
E (MEV) \(\quad 180.000\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 391.0000 - 251.8000 & 1.00F+010 8 \\
\hline 251.9004-312.8000 & \(1806+1089\) \\
\hline 312.2000-273.7000 &  \\
\hline 273.7009 - 24.6009 & \(1.80 \%+010\) \\
\hline 234.8000 - 195.5000 & 1. \(000 \times+010\) \\
\hline 195.5000 - 158.4000 & \(1.008+\infty\) \\
\hline 158.4009 - 117.3009 & \(1.005+0099\) \\
\hline 117.3009 - 78.2008 & \(1.005+10\) \\
\hline 78.2008 - 32.1808 & \(8.002+10\) \\
\hline 39.1000-1.80 & . 00 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


(MUMBER/(MEV* ER), MORMALIIE TI MME PRRTCLE)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & TMETA \(=0.800\) & 18.000 & 0.000 & 30.000 & 40.008 & 50.008 & 70.009 & 000 & \\
\hline (MEV) & 11.800 & . 10 & 30.000 & 40.8 & 51. & 70 & 10.008 & 120.008 & 151 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}











\section*{}

ADMUTHAL MTERYAL B \(\mathbf{B} 0000 \mathrm{TI} 180.00000\) DEGREES
(NUMBER/(MEV*ER), MORMALIJES TI CME PABTCLE)
\[
\begin{array}{cc} 
& \text { THETA }=150.000 \\
\text { E (MEV) } \quad 180.000
\end{array}
\]
```

391.0000 - 351.8000 1.00K+00 84
351.0000 - 3128000 1.00E + \$0 84
3128000-273.7004 1.00E + N0%
272.7000 - 24.6004 1.00E +00 84
234.800% - 185.5000 L.00E + N0 84
195.5005 - 158.4000 1.00E + 80 80
158.4005 - 117.3000 1.00E + 00 99
117.3008 - 78.200% 1.605+N0 98
78.2008-28.1000 1.00E + N8 80
39.1008-1.8039 0.60E+60 39

```

\section*{APPENDIX B. INTERACTIVE DATA LANGUAGE (IDL) DATA MANIPULATION AND PLOTTING PROGRAM}

IDL is a programming language commercially available from Research systems Incorporated that is capable of being used in a wide variety of computing and graphics applications. In this work the IDL language was used to write a relatively user-friendly program that performs basic functions necessary to extract data from the standard ITS/CYLTRAN formatted output files, rearrange the data into proper data structures for plotting, and plot the data on a selected device. There is no substitute for reading the IDL user manuals as an education in how to use the language, however, the following is a user's guide to using the analysis and plotting program written for this work.

\section*{A. RUNNING IDL AND EXECUTING PROGRAMS}

The IDL compiler is an interactive program that is installed on the VAXStation 3200 in the directory IDL.DIR. All IDL commands are compiled as they are entered, whether they are entered directly into the compiler from the terminal or as a batch stream from a command file, or program. In this respect, then, IDL runs in a mode very similar to PC Basic. To invoke the IDL compiler after logging on to a user account, type "IDL". Some licensing
information will be printed on the screen and the prompt "IDL>" will appear. At this point, IDL commands may be entered and compiled directly from the terminal. The point of entering commands this way is that the powerful capabilities of the language (which can be appreciated by reviewing the commands and what they can do) can be used without a lot of programming effort.

A complicated series of IDL commands, such as the ones necessary to manipulate the large CYLTRAN output files, should be typed into a command file. The format of the commands is the same as if they were entered interactively, but they are put in a text file using the text editor available with the VAXStation 3200 (called EVE). After constructing the command file, save it with a name ending in the file extension ". PRO", for example MY_PROGRAM.PRO.

To run an IDL program saved in a text file, type the IDL executive command ".run MY_PROGRAM.PRO". The IDL compiler will compile and execute the program.

Output from the program can be displayed on one of several devices with great ease. To display a plot on the monitor, the command "SET_PLOT, 'X' " should be entered prior to the PLOT command, which generates the plot itself. X (for X -windows) is the default output device. To plot a drawing on a Hewlett-Packard plotter which uses the HPGL language, enter " SET_PLOT, 'HP' " prior to the PLOT command.

\section*{B. RUNNING PLOT.PRO TO ANALYZE CYLTRAN OUTPUT}

The name of the IDL program written to analyze the formatted output generated by CYLTRAN in this work is PLOT. PRO. The main program calls the three procedures (which is the IDL name for a subroutine) called READ.PRO, PLOTDATA.PRO, and REFDOSE.PRO. The IDL command code for these four command procedures are located each in separate text files of the same names. To run the program, all four of these files must be in the user's directory (they don't actually have to be in the user's directory, per se, but the user must be able to tell the IDL compiler where to look for them, and the IDL compiler must be able to access them).

To execute the program PLOT.PRO, at the IDL> prompt, type the command " .run PLOT.PRO ". This assumes that all four of the program files are in the user's root directory. IDL will compile and execute the plotting program.

As the program is executing, it will prompt the user, either through the terminal command window or by a mouse menu window, for input or choices. If the user knows the name of the CYLTRAN output file, the answers to some elementary questions about the problem geometry and CYLTRAN input zones, what kind of output he wants, and what device he wants it on, the use of the program should be selfexplanatory.

The data reading portion of the program is generally applicable. That is, the PLOT.PRO procedure should be able
to read most of the data usually of interest from any CYLTRAN output file, regardless of the problem material, geometry, of number of input zones. This capability is a tremendous asset in itself, because the user has the ability to halt the program after it reads the data and to enter commands interactively to plot or manipulate the data in any manner desired. However, if the program is used for graphics output on any CYLTRAN problem that deviates even in a small way from the geometry of this work (cylindrical with annular arrays of \(T L D\) 's radially dispersed at regular longitudinal intervals) the results cannot be guaranteed. Proceed with caution.

More comments on the specific use and purpose of each portion of the program are included in the source code documentation for the program itself. The user is encouraged to read through the source code briefly before running the program.
```

;begin main mrogram
COMMON PLOT,
modules,zones,radius,ztact,aigap,series,series1,series2,series3,\$
series4,series5,series6,strucZ, elect_emergy,target,ref,re11,re12,\$
ref3,re{4,refpts,acceptx1,accepty1,acceptx2, accepty2;
acceptx},accepty3,acceptx4,accepty4,zstapt, geochoice,choice,\$
Motchoice, radaum, maxval minval,ile,cma, choice2, struc1,\$
flag, titie, fiename, carl, tempstruc,zonetemp, temp1, lowmuk, temp2,8
eflag,ilk,zone
zones=0 \& titie="
choice =0
struc1 = {zone,mumber:0,mat:0,zteft:0.0,zright:0.0,rin:0.0,rout:0.0,8
elcut:0.0,photcut:0.0,mass:0.0, volume:0.0,energy:隹arP(8)}
struc2 = {annzone,number:0,mat:0, zeft:0.0,zright:0.0,rim:0.0,rout:0.0,8
elcut:0.0,photcut:0.0,mass:0.0,volume:0.0,energy.ftarP(8), \$

```
radius:0.0,2:0.0,ztact:0.0, dose:ftarr(8)\}
;This is the main menu section
flag = 0
whice flas EQ 0 do begin
choice = wmenu(['TI8 ERAPHICS ROUTWN','Process a set of data',\$
'Produce a standaril plot','Exit'],Tate \(=0\) )
case choice of
1: readdata
2: plotdata
3: \(\mathrm{flag}=1\)
endcase
endwhile
arint, Type .continue to halt the program '
print,' or enter interactive ibl commands.'
stop
end
PRO Peaddata
;
;This procedure shoukl be able to read any output file produced by the ;CYLTRAN TT8 code. It may even be able to read ACCEPT output files, ;but its abity to do so has not been tested.
;
COMMON PLOT,
modules,zones,radius, ziact, aigap, series, series 1 , series 2 , series \(3, \$\)
series4,series5,series6,struc2,elect_energy,_arget,rel,ref1,re12,\$
ref3,re14,refpts,acceptx1,accepty1,acceptx2,accepty2,\$
acceptx3,accepty3,acceptx4,accepty4,zztart, geochoice, choice,\$
mlotchoice, radnum, maxval minval file, cmad, choice2, struc \(1, \$\)
flag, tatie, filename, card, tempstruc, zonetemp, temp 1 , lowmult, temp \(2, \$\)
eflag, ijik,zome
Rename \(=\) " \& title \(=" \&\) zones \(=0 \& i=0 \& j=0 \& k=0\) \&ellag \(=0\)
card="
print, 'Type the name of the T8 output file '
print, format = '(" e.g. [jones.directory]cy 50.0 ut; 1 ",\$) '
read, flename
openr, 1, filename
for \(\mathrm{I}=0,14\) do readf, 1, card
WHILE NOT EOF(1) DO BEGN
readf, 1, card ;begin reading data
;100k for key beadings
4 strmid(card 0,5 ) eq \({ }^{11 * * * * ' ~ t h e n ~ m e g i n ~}\)
readi, 1, card
; find the mumber of zones and the zone geometry tata
;and read them
Y strmid(capd, 5,24 ) eq 'GEOMETRY DEPENDENT WPUT' \(\$\)
then begin
readl, 1, caril
;read the number of zones
readi, 1 , format ='(24x,15)', zenes
zone \(=\) replicate(struc 1,zones)
;ereate a structure for each input zoue
tempstruc \(=\{\) zonetemp, mumber: 0.0, mat: 0.0, zleft:0.0, \(\$\)
zrinht: 0.0, rin: 0.0, reurt: 0.0, elcur: 0.0, photent: 0.0 \}
zonetemp \(=\) repicate (tempstruc, zomes)
;This section reads in zone geometry data for any mumber of zones.
;The number ef zones is read from the ITS outpurt file.
for \(\mathrm{l}=0,2\) do readl, 1, card
readi, 1, zonetemp
zene. number \(=\) zeactemp. number
zome.mat \(=\) zometemp.mat
zome. teft = zonetemp. deft
zone. \(\mathrm{zinght}=\) zonetemp. .right
zove. \(\mathrm{rlm}=\) zonetemp.rim
zone. rout \(=\) zonetemp.rout
zone. elcurt = zonetemp.elcut
zoue. photcut \(=\) zonetemp. photcut
endif
;ind the title
il strmid (card, 0,5 ) eq ' * ' then begin readi, 1, card Y strmid (card, 0,5 ) eq ' * ' then \$ title \(=\) strmid \((\) card \(, 5,74)\)
endif
endit
; find the energy data and read in inte the structure
\(u\) (stritrim(strmid (card, 1,68),1) eq 'ENERGY DEPOSTTON') \$ and (eflag EQ 0 ) then hegin
;This section reads in energy deposition data for 800-849 zones. ;Te read for more or fewer zones, you must change the size ef ;the structure accordingly, Le. te read 658 zones, set the vakue ;of "lowmulk" te 850 or , in general, in should be \(x\), the mearest ;lower multiple of 50 . Also, the upper crit on J is automatically ;set equal to that number divided by 50 minus 1 , 0.5. 850/50-1, ;se \(\mathrm{J}=\mathbf{0 , 1 2}\)
tempstruc1 = \{zonetemp1, aumber:0.0, mat:0.0,mass:0.0, volume:0.0, \(\$\) energy.ftarp (8)\}
temp \(1=\) replicate (tempstruc 1,50 )
wrint,
print, ' The parameter "lowmult" should he set te the '
mint, ' mearest lower multiple of 50 to the number ef '
print, ' mput zones in the problem. '
mint, ' \({ }^{\prime}\)
print, format = '(" Type the value el lowmult:",\$)'
read, lowmult
temp2 \(=\) replicate (tempstruc 1, zones-lowmult)
for \(\mathrm{I}=0,2\) do readif, 1, card
for \(\mathrm{J}=0\), fox (lowmult \(/ 50.0-1.0\) ) to begin readi, 1, temp 1 2ene ( \({ }^{*} 50 ;{ }^{*} 50+49\) ). number \(=\) temp1. mumber zone ( \({ }^{*} 50: j * 50+49\) ). mat \(=\) temp 1 . mat zone ( \({ }^{*} 50\); \({ }^{*} 50+49\) ).mass \(=\) temp 1 .mass zone ( \({ }^{*} 50: j * 50+49\) ).volume \(=\) temp 1.volume
```

        zone(j*50:j*50 + 49).energy = templ lemergy
        for I=0,3 do readl, I,card
    endfor
    Peadf,1,temp2
    zone(lowmult:zones-1).aumber = temp2.number
    zone(lowmuli:zones-1).mat = temp2.mat
    zone(bwmult:zones-1).mass = temp2.mass
    zone(bwmult:zones-1).volume = temp2.volume
    zone(lowmuth:zones-1).emergy = temp2.energy
        eflag=1
    endif
    ENDWHHE
    mrint, 'Ead of lata fice reached'
    Peturn
    end

```

PRO plotdata
;
;This procedure is writen to produce plots for the specific ;CYLTRAN geometry being studied in the thesis by RN Yaw. It will ;prohahly not produce meaningful graphics for any other ;configuration, athough any other mrogram for photing ; \(\Pi 8\) output will probably be similar to this.
;
COMMON PLOT
modules,zones,radius,ziact, airgap,series,series1,series2,series3,\$ series4,series5,series6,strucZ,elect_energy,target,rel,ref1,ref2, \$
Pef3,re14, refpts,acceptx1, accepty1,acceptx2, accepty2,8
accepto3,accepty3, acceptx4,accepty4,zstapt,geochoice, choice,8
plotchoice, radnum, maxval minval, \(\mathrm{Iic}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{cmi}}\), choice 2, struc1, \(\$\)
fag, titic, fiename,card, tempstruc, zonetemp, temp1,1owmul, temp2,8
eflag, ijk,zone
;The parameter modules must be set to describe the number of sets ;of annular rings used in the prohiem, ie. 6 ( 78 zones),
;83 (756 zanes), 69 ( 828 zones), 55 ( 660 zones), etc
\(8 \mathrm{j}=0 \quad \& \mathrm{j}=0\) \& choice \(=0\) \& choice \(1=0\)
mrint
primi format = '(" Type the number of detector \(\$\)
modules present:",\$)'
pead, modules
Z = 隼arr(zones)
radius \(=0.0\)
ziact \(=0.0\)
aingap \(=0.0\)
series \(=\) replicate(struc2, moduies)
series1 = series \& series2 = series \& series3 = series \& series4 = serles
series5=series \&series6=series
print,
print, format = '(" What is the average electron energy ims
MeV?", \$)'
Pead, elect_energy
```

mrint,
;
;This section takes note of the target materials being used and ;assigns values to the doses ehtained by experiment at Bates-MTT ;se that a reference fine can he plotted against IIS calculations
;

```
```

target=0

```
target=0
zstart =0.0
zstart =0.0
ref_lose
ref_lose
;"***********************************************************; The folowing bop assiuns zone data te 6 separate structures;
;one for each radius at which dose is measured. The index i counts ;through the mumber of input zones mresent at eack radius. This is ;different for each geometry. The upper fmit of \(I\) is ;(zones-unmeasured 20nes)/\#anaular rings - 1
;For series of 6 LF detectors with me intermediate target zoues \(\mathrm{i}=\mathbf{0 , 5}\)
;For 758 input zomes, me LF detectors, \(\mathrm{I}=0,62\)
;For 828 imput zones, with 8 LF detectors, \(\mathrm{I}=0,68\)
;For 880 input zomes, with 5 LF detectors, \(i=0,54\)
;im aay case, the upper fimit on I is modules-1
```

```
geochoice =" "
print,
print, ' Are there any target sections between detector$
    rings for which'
print, format = '(" the dose wil not be plotted? y or m:",$)'
read, geochoice
print,'
for i=0,modules-1 do begim
    for j= O,N_TAGS(zone)-1 do hegin
        | (geochoice eq "y") then begin
        series1(D).(D= zone(1+i*13).(0)
        series2(D).(D) = zone(3+I*13).(D)
        series3(1).(1) = zone(5+I*13).(1)
        series4(D.(0) = zone(7+i*13).(D)
        series5().(1) = zone( }9+\mp@subsup{\textrm{i}}{}{*}13).(1
        series8(1).(0) = zone(11+i*13).(1)
        series1(0)}\mathbf{I=Z(1+i*13)
        series2(0)I=Z(3+1*13)
        series3(0.1=Z(5+1*13)
        series4(1), = =2(7+1*13)
        serics5(D. . =2(9+1*13)
        series8(I). = = (11+1* 13)
        ondif else begin
            series1(D.(D) = zone(1*12).(0)
            series2(D.(0)=zone(2+I*12).(0)
            series3(i).(1) = zone(4+I*12).(1)
            series4(D.(0)=zone(6+1* 12).0
            serles5(i).(1) = zone(8+1* 12).(1)
            series8(1).(0) = zone(10+i*12).(0)
```

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { series2(i) } \mathbf{I =} \mathbf{Z ( 2 + 1 * 1 2 )} \\
& \text { series } 3(1) . Z=Z\left(4+\mathrm{i}^{*} 12\right) \\
& \text { series4(1) } \mathbf{Z = Z ( 6 + 1 * 1 2 )} \\
& \text { series5(1). } 1=Z\left(8+\mathrm{i}^{*} 12\right) \\
& \text { series6(1) } 1=2\left(10+1^{*} 12\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

endelse
series 1(i). Tfact = (series 1 (i).mass/series 1 (i).vahme)* (series1(D.zriaht-series 1 (D.deft)
series 1 ().dose $=$ series 1 (i).energy/series 1 ().mass series2(i). zfact = (series2(i).mass/series2(i).vhume)* \$ (series2(0).aright-series2(i).dett)
series2 (i).dose $=$ series2 (i).emergy/series2 ().mass series3(i). Tiact = (series3(i).mass/series3(i).vahme)* (series3(i). Trinht -series3(D).teft)
series3(1).dose $=$ series3().energy/series3().mass
series4(i). Ifact = (series4(0).mass/series4(D).volume)* $\$$
(series4(1).zright-series4(D.deft)
series4().dose $=$ series4().energy/series4(1).mass
series5(i). Itact = (series5(0).mass/series5().vohume)* \$
(series5(0).7right-series5(i).theft)
series5().dose $=$ series5(D).energy/series5(D).mass
series6(i). Tfact = (series8(i).mass/series6(i).vokume)* \$ (series8(i).7right-series6(i).teft)
series8(1) dose $=$ series6(1).energy/series8(1).mass
endfor
endfor
series $1(0) .1=$ series $1(0)$.zlact
series2(0). $=$ series2 $(0)$.zlact
series3(0) $\mathbf{I}=$ series3(0).zfact
series $4(0) .1=$ series $4(0)$. fl act
series $5(0) .1=$ series $5(0)$.zlact
series $8(0) .1=$ series $6(0)$.ztact
for $\mathrm{I}=1$, modules -1 do begin
series $1(\mathrm{D})=$ series $1(1-1) .1+$ series $1(\mathrm{D}$. .flact
series2(D) $1=$ series2( $(1) .1+$ series2(0).zlact
series3(D) $1=$ series3(1-1) $1+$ series3(D).zfact
series 4 (i) $1=$ series $4(1-1) .1+$ series 4 (I). Ifact
series5(D) $1=$ series $5(1-1) 1+$ series $5(\mathrm{D}$. .zact
series8(1) $1=$ series $6(1-1) .1+$ series8(1).ziact
endior
series 1. radius $=0.0$
series2.radius $=1.0$
series3.radius $=2.0$
series4. $\mathrm{radius}=5.0$
series5.radius $=10.0$
series6. $\mathrm{radius}=20.0$
loop: choice = wmenu(['Select a plot -',\$
Total dose - all radi, 2 in g/cm2', \$
Total dose - all radif, 2 in cm',8
'Breakdown by electron type - one radius',\$
'Stop and Make a Custom Plot','Exit'], Tite $=0$ )

## case choice of

1: begin
; This section does a plot of emergy deposition versus $Z$ for al ; radil with $\mathbf{Z}$ given in grams per square centimeter
; allow a choice of output araphics tevices
Motchoice = wmenu (\$ ['Choose a graphics device -','X-windows', \$ 'HPCL','PCL','Pestscrint'],Tite = 0 )
case plotchoice of 1: set_rlot, $X$ '
2: set_Mlot,'HP'
3: set_plot,'PCL' 4: set_plot,'PS'
endcase
; plot the Bates data for reference
M (target eq 5) then begin
;there are only 3 reference faes
; given in data for this target
plot_1o,ret2.2, ret2. dose, title $=$ strtrim (tite), S
ytitle $=$ 'Dose $(\mathbf{M e V} / \mathrm{kg})^{\prime}, \$$
xtitle $=$ 'Longitudinal Distance ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm} 2$ )', S
yrange $=[1.0 e-08,1.0 e+02], \$$ xrange $=[0, \max (r e(2.2+10)], p s y m=8$
aplot,ret2.2,ret2.dose,color = 3
xyouts,ret2.2(refpts-1)+1.5,8
ret2.dose(refpts-1), $\mathrm{r}=2.0 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~m}^{\prime}$
endif else begin
Mot_io, rel1.z, ref1.dose, title $=$ strtrim(tite), $\$$
ytitle = 'Dose ( $\mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{kg}$ )', $\$$
xtitle $=$ 'Longitudinal Distance ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm} 2$ )', $\$$
yrange $=[.0000001, \max ($ (ref1.dose $)], \$$
xrange $=[0, \max (r e f 1.2+10)]$, psym $=6$
oplot,rel1.z, ref1.dose,color $=3$
xyouts,ref1.z(refpts-1)+1.5,\$
rel1.dose (refpts-1), $\mathrm{r}=0.0 \mathrm{~cm}{ }^{\prime}$
aplot,ret2.z,ref2.dose,psym=8
oplot,ret2. दrel2.dose,color $=3$
M (target eq 4) then \$
xyouts,ret2.z(refpts-1)+1.5,\$
rel2.dose(refpts-1), $\mathrm{r}=2.0 \mathrm{~cm}$ '
else \$
xyouts,ref1.z(refpts-1)+1.5,\$
rel1.dose(refpts-1), $r=1.0 \mathrm{~cm}{ }^{\prime}$
endelse
aplot, rel3.2, rel3.dose,psym $=8$
oplot,ref3.2, reł3.dose,color $=3$
xyouts,ref3.2(refpts-1)+1.5,\$
ret3.dose(refpts-1), $\mathrm{r}=5.0 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~m}^{\prime}$
eplot, rel4.zref4.dose, psym = 8
oplot, rel4. 2 , rel4.dose,color $=3$
$x$ youts,ref4.z(refpts-1)+1.5,\$
rel4.dose(refpts-1),'r=20.0cm'
$\mathrm{x}=[10,18]$
$y=[6.0 e-08,6.0 e-08]$

- (target eq 5) then $y=[2.0 e-07,2.0 e-07]$
$x y$ youts, $x(1)+1,0.8^{*} y(1), ' B a t e s ~ d a t a '$
oplot, $x, y$, color $=3$
;plot the calculated doses
;if target is 4-PMMA/air/1-PMMA, there are only 3 retereace fres ;given in the data for the target, so don't plot series 1

M (target me 5) then \$
oplot, series 1.2, series 1 .dose (6), psym =1

- (target eq 4) or (target eq 5) then \$
oplot, series3. $L$, series3. $\operatorname{dose}(8)$, msym $=2 \$$
else aplot, series2. 2 , series2. dose( 8 ), psym =2
aplot, series 4.2 , series 4 .dose $(B)$, psym $=4$
oplot, series 8.2 , series8.dose( 8 ), psym=5
end
2: begin
;This section does a plot of energy deposition versus $\mathbf{Z}$ for all radi ;with $\mathbf{Z}$ given in centimeters
;
;allow a choice al output graphics devices motchoice = wmenu(\$
['Choose a graphics device -','X-windows', \$
'HPE','PCL','Postscript'],Tite = 0)
case plotchoice of
1: set_plot, 'X
2: set_plot,'HP'
3: set_plot, 'PC''
4: set_plot,'P8'
endcase
;plot the Bates data for reference
(target eq 5) then hegin
;there are only 3 reference lines
;given in data for this target
plot_lo,ref2.zight, ret2.dose,title $=\mathbf{s t r t r i m}($ tite $), \delta$ ytitle $=$ 'Dose $(\mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{kg})^{\prime}, \mathrm{S}$ xtitle $=$ 'Longitudinal Distance ( cm )', s yrange $=[1.0 e-08,1.0 e+02], \$$ xrange $=[0$, max $($ rel2. $\operatorname{\text {right}})]$, psym $=8$
xyouts,re12. .right(refpts -1$)+1.5,8$
rel2.dose(refpts-1), $r=2.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{\prime}$
endif else hegin
plot_lo,rel1.zright, rel1.dose, titite $=\mathbf{s t r t r i m}$ (titk), $\$$ ytite $=$ 'Dose $(\mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{kg})^{\prime}, \mathrm{S}$ xtitie $=$ 'Longitudinal Distance ( cm$)^{\prime}$ ', yrange $=[.0000001, \max ($ rell 1 dose $)], \$$ xrange $=[0$, max $($ refl 1 .zright $)]$, psym $=6$
xyouts,rel1. $\mathrm{might}($ refpts -1$)+.05, \$$
rel1.dose(refpts-1),'r=0.0 cm '
oplot, ref2.zright, ret2.dose, psym=6

```
    | (elect_energy eq 391.0) then begin
    oplot,acceptx1,accepty1,mestyle =2
    oplot,acceptx2,accepty2, Eestyle = 2
    endif
    M (target eq 4) or (target eq 5) then $
    xyouts,re12.mright(refpts-1)+.05,$
            re12.dose(retpts-1),'r=2.0\textrm{cm}
    else $
    xyours,re{2.mriaht(refpts-1)+.05,$
                re{2.dose(refpts-1),'r=1.0cm'
endetse
oplot,ref3.zrinht,ref3.dose,psym=6
xyouts,ref3.might(refpts-1)+.05,ref3.dose(refpts-1),$
        'r=5.0cm'
oplot,rel4.zright, rel4.dose,psym=6
xyouts,ref4.zright(refpts-1)+.05,ref4.dose(refpts-1),$
        'r=20.0 cm'
x=[16,16]
y=[1.0e-07,1.0e-07]
| (target eq 5) then y=[2.0e-07,2.0e-07]
xyouts,x(1)+2,0.6*y(1),'Bates datz'
eplot, x,y,psym=6 ;color=3
aplot,[x(1)-10,x(1)],[0.5*y(1),0.5*y(1)]
xyouts,x(1)+2,0.45*y(1),'Present CYLTRAN Model'
Y(target ne 5) and (elect_energy eq 391.0) then hegin
    oplot, acceptx3, accepty3,Fnestyle =2
    oplot,acceptx4,accepty4, [nestyle =2
    oplot,[x(1)-10,x(1)],[0.25*y(1),0.25*y(1)],$
        Enestyle=2
    xyouts,x(1)+2,0.2*y(1),'Bates ACCEPT Mode''
endif
;iblot the calculated doses
;ill target is 4-PMMM/air/1-PMMA,there are ouly 3 reference fines ;yiven in the data for the target, so don't mot series 1
M (target me 5) then \(\$\)
            oplot,series1.mright,series1.dose(6);psym=1
            M (target eq 4) er (target eq 5) then $
            oplot, series3.mright,series3.dose(6) $
            else oplot, series2.7rinht, series2.dose(6)
            oplot, series4.mright,series4.dose(B)
            aplot,series6.zright,series6.dose(B)
    end
    3: begin
;
;This section does a plot of the energy deposition calculated at a ;chosen radus. The total energy deposited is plotted over the values ;for deposition by each type of electron (Le. primary, secondary, ;and kuockous)
choice1 = wmenu(['What radius wil you plot?',' \(0.0 \mathrm{~cm}{ }^{\prime}, 8\) ' \(1.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{\prime}, 2.0 \mathrm{~cm}\) ', \(5.0 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~m}^{\prime}, 10.0 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~m}^{\prime}, 20.0 \mathrm{~cm}\) ', \(\$\)
```

```
            'Exir'],Title =0)
    case choicel of
    1: begin &series= series 1 &radius =0.0 &ead
    2: hegin &series = series2 &radius=1.0 &ead
    3: begin &series = series3 &radus = 2.0 &ead
    4: hegin &series = series4 &radius=5.0 &emd
    5: megin &series = series5 &radus = 10.0 &end
    8: hegin &series = series8 &radus=20.0 &ead
    endcase
;alow a choice sf output graphics tevices
    motchoice = wmenu($
    ['Choose a graphics device -','X-windows', $
            'HPCL','PCL','Postscript'],Tite = 0)
    ease plotchoice of
            1: set_plot,'X
            2: set_plot,'HP'
            3: set_plot,'PG''
            4: set_plot,'P8'
        endcase
        radnum = string(radius)
    maxval = max(series.dose) &minval=min(series.dose)
    plot_io,series.2, series.dose(0),$
    tinle = strtrim(title) +'!CRadius =' + strmid (radnum,4,5) + $
        'cm',$
            ytitle ='Dose (MeV/kg)',S
            xtitle = 'Longitudinal Distance (m/cm2)',$
            yrange = [minval,maxval],$
            xrange = [0,60],ymargin = [4,4]
            xyouts,series(5).z+2,series(5).dose(0),'Primary'
            oplot,series.L, series.dose(2)
            xyouts,series(5).2+2, series(5).dose(2),'Knock-ans'
            oplot,series.2, series.dose(4)
            xyouts,series(5).2+2,series(5).dose(4),'Gsec'
            oplot,series.L, series.dose(6)
            xyouts,series(5).1+2,series(5).dose(6),'Total'
            end
            4: megin
;alow a choice of output graphics devices
    motchoice = wmenu($
                            ['Choose a graphics device -','X-windows', $
            'HPEL','PCL','Postscripl'],Tite = 0)
    ease plotchoice of
            1: set_plot,'X
            2: set_plot,'HP'
            3: set_plot,'PCL'
            4: set_plot,'P8'
    endcase
    ;alow user to make a custom mot with processed
    ;data file
    stop
    end
```

```
    endcase
    il (plotchoice eq 1) them i=1
;if the device is a hardcopy device, glve the option of producing
;hardcopy
    il (plotchoice eq 2) or (plotchoice ex 3) or $
        (plotchoice eq 4) them $
        I=2
    case i of
        1: mrit,'CONTINUNGG W X-WINDOW8 MODE'
        2: hevin
Mard: set ylot''x'
        Mardcopy = wmenu(['Hardcopy Options',$
                    'Produce a Haricopy on the Platter',$
                    'Make an addition to the plot','No Hardcopy'l,$
                title=0)
                case haricopy of
                1: megim
                    set_plot,'hp'
                    device/close
                    fie='ill.' + striowcase(!d.name)
                    cmd = 'copy' + fie + 'csa0:'
                    spawn, cmd
                    set_plot,' }x\mathrm{ '
                    end
            2: begin
                mrint, *** Enter any additional plot annotations$
                    interactively."'
                print, '*** Type .continue to continue the program.
                    stop
                    yoto, hard
                    end
                    3: begin
                    set_plot,'hp'
                    device,/close
                    end
                endcase
            end
        endcase
    mpint,**** Type .continue to halk the program
    mrint,'**** Or enter miteractive lil commands.****'
    stop
    set_plot,'X'
    choice2 = wmenu(['Make a choice!',$
        'Make another plot with current data', $
        'Return to main menu','Exit'],Ttie=0)
    case choice2 of
        1: gote, lop
        2: returu
    endcase
end
```

```
PRO rel_dose
;
COMMON PLOT
    modules,zones,radius,ztact, aigap,series,series 1,series2, series3,$
    series4,series5,series6,struc2, elect_energy,target,rel,rel1,re12,$
    reł3,re14,refpts,acceptx1,accepty1,acceptx ,accepty2,$
    acceptx},accepty8, accept44,accepty4,zstart, seochoice,choice,$
    mot choice, radnum, maxval,miaval,ile, cma, choice2, struc 1,$
    Ifag,title, Flename, carl, tempstruc,zonetemp, temp 1,lowmuk, temp2,8
    eflaghly,zome
target = wmemu(['What is the Target?', $
    'Aluminum', 'Leal Folowed by Aluminum',$
    'PMMM (Lucite)',$
    '1-PMMM, Nir Gap, 4-PMMA','4-PMMM, Ar Gap, 1-PMMM'], Trte=0)
case target of
    1: begin ;for Auminum target
```



```
        dose:fltarr(5) }
        ref1 = ref &ret2=ref &re{3=ref &rel4=ref
        refpts=5
    acceptx1 = marp(refpts) &accepty1 = flarp(refpts)
    acceptx2 = fltarp(refpts) &accepty2 = fltarr(refpts)
    acceptx3= fitarp(refpts) &accepty3= ftarr(refpts)
    accep0X4 = fitarr(refpts) &accepty4 = mapr(refpts)
    Pel1.z=[11.50,24.50,38.00,51.50,86.50]
    rel1.radius=0.0
    rel1.zright = [4.56,9.88,14.98,20.28,28.14]
    re12.1= rel1.z
    re12.might = Pel1.mright
    rel2.radius=1.0
    reł3.z= rel1.z
    ref3.zright = Pel1.mright
    reß3.radius=5.0
    ref4.z= rel1.z
    rel4.mright = rel1.zright
    rel4.radius=20.0
    #(elect_energy eq 391.0) then begin
        ref1.dose = [19.0,6.5,2.4,1.2,0.6]
        acceptx1 = rel1.mright
        accepty1 = [20.0,5.4,2.1,1.1,0.65]
        re{2.dose = [3.2e-02,1.4e-01,2.3e-01,2.2e-01,1.5e-01]
        acceptx2 = ret2.uright
        accepty2 = [2.5e-02,13,.32,0.25,0.14]
        re\3.dose = [1.1e-04,3.2e-04,8.5e-04,2.0e-03,2.5e-03]
        acceptx3 = ref3.zright
        ассерty3 = [4.0e-04,2.8e-04,8.0e-04,1.8e-03,1.8&-03]
        rel4.dose = [1.8e-06,3.2e-06,5.0e-06,6.0e-06,8.0e-06]
        acceptx4 = rel4.zright
        accepty4=[5.4e-06,1.8e-07,3.5e-06,5.5e-06,5.0e-06]
        endif
        M(elect_energy eq 200.0) then megim
```

ref1.dose $=[5.0,1.9,0.75,0.35,0.18]$
reł2. dose $=[0.58,0.24,0.19,0.1,0.055]$
ref3. dose $=[1.4 e-04,4.3 e-04,1.1 e-03,1.8 e-03,2.05 e-03]$
rel4.dose $=[3.3 e-08,1.1 e-05,1.3 e-05,1.35 e-05]$
endit
end
2: hegim
;ior Leal followed by Ahminum

lose:ITarr(5) \}
ref1 = ref \&ret2 = ref \&ref3 = ref \&ref4 = ref
relpts=5
acceptr $1=$ frapr (refpts) saccepty1 $=$ fitarp (refpts)
acceptx2 $=$ Marp(refpts) \&accepty2 $=$ Marp (refpts)
acceptx3 $=$ fitarp(refpts) $\quad$ saccepty $3=$ marr (refpts)
acceptx4 $=$ fitarp (refipts) saccepty $=$ = itarp(refpts)
Pell. $=$ [10.9,25.0,38.0,51.0,87.1]
ref1. $\mathrm{minght}=[1.29,6.81,11.93,17.05,23.32]$
rell. radius $=0.0$
rê2. $=$ ref1. 2
rel2. zight $=$ ref1. zright
re12. radius $=1.0$
reł3.z = rel1. $\mathbf{z}$
rel3.zright $=$ ref1.zright
reł3.radius=5.0
Pe14.1 $=$ re11. $z$
rel4. might $=$ ref1. might
rel4. radius $=20.0$

- (elect_energy eq 391.0) then hegin
ref1.dose $=$ [21.0,3.2, 1.3,0.48,0.24]
acceptx1 $=$ ref1. $\mathbf{z i n g h t}$
accepty1 $=[30.0,2.95,2.0,0.55,0.25]$
ret2. dose $=[0.18,0.21,0.19,0.14,8.0 \mathrm{e}-02]$
acceptx2 $=$ ret2. uight
accepty2 $=[0.2,0.3,0.24,0.13,0.1]$
ref3.dose $=[4.5 e-04,1.7 e-03,2.5 e-03,2.8 e-03,2.8 e-03]$
acceptx $3=$ ref3.zright
sccepty $3=[3.8 e-04,2.2 e-03,4.1 e-03,5.5 e-03,3.8 e-03]$
Pet4.dose $=[5.0 e-06,1.5 e-05,2.0 e-05,2.2 e-05,2.1 e-05]$
acceptx4 $=$ rel4. .right
accepty $4=[4.2 e-06,1.3 e-05,1.5 e-05,7.8 e-06,2.8 e-06]$
endif
- (elect_energy eq 200.0) then begin

Pef1. dose $=[7.5, .80, .54,34,41]$
re12. dose $=[.26, .21, .10, .055, .03]$
ref3.dose $=[5.8 \mathrm{e}-04,1.6 \mathrm{e}-03,2.0 \mathrm{e}-03,2.4 \mathrm{e}-03,2.0 \mathrm{e}-03]$
ret4.dose $=[9.9 e-06,1.7 e-05,2.3 e-05,2.5 e-05,2.3 e-05]$
endif
end
3: hegin ;ifor PMMA adly
rel $=\{$ reference, ziftarr(5), urioht:fitarp(5), radius:0.0,\$ dose:位arp(5) \}

```
    ref1 = ref &ret2=ref &re\3=ref &rel4 = ref
    refpts=5
    acceptx1 = 自arp(refpts) &accepty1 = 隹arp(retpts)
    acceptx2=fitarp(refpts) &accepty2 = 倣arp(refpts)
```



```
    acceptx4 = marr(relpts) &accepty4 = Tramp(refpts)
    ref1.z=[10.0,20.0,30.5,41.0,51.8]
    ref1.mright = [8.72,17.44,26.57,35.71,45.10]
    rel1.radus=0.0
    re12.1 = rel1.z
    rel2.might = ref1.might
    re{2.radius=1.0
    re13.1= rel1.z
    reß.mright = ref1.zright
    rel3.radius=5.0
    re14.2= rel1.z
    rel4.might = ref1.might
    ret4.radius=20.0
    |(elect_energy eq 391.0) then begin
        ref1.dose =[19.0,4.8,1.7,0.75,0.21]
        acceptx1 = ref1.mright
        accepty1 = [19.0,2.9,1.5,0.6,.38]
        ret2.dose = [3.2e-02,1.4e-01,2.3e-01,2.2e-01,1.5e-01]
        acceptx2 = re{2.zright
        accepty2 = [5.8e-03,8.0e-02,0.29,0.30,0.70]
        re\3.dose = [5.0e-05,1.8e-04,4.9e-04,1.1e-03,1.7e-03]
        acceptx3 = ret3.zright
        accepty3=[2.0e-05,5.4e-05,2.0e-03,2.4e-03,6.0e-03]
        re14.dose = [2.0e-00,3.8e-06,5.1e-06,6.5e-06,7.1e-08]
        acceptx4 = ret4.zright
        accepty4 = [2.4e-08,1.0e-06,1.9e-06,6.0e-07,3.1e-06]
    endif
    |(elect_energy eq 200.0) then hegin
    ref1.dose = [6.0,2.0,85,.82,68]
    re12.dose = [.059,22,16,09,05]
        rel3.dose =[1.1e-04,4.4e-04,1.3e-03,3.2e-03,4.9e-03]
        ref4.dose = [1.2e-05,1.5e-05,1.7e-05,1.9e-05,2.0e-05]
    endif
end
4: begim ;1-PMMM followed by am air gap, followed by
                ;4-PMMA
ref={reference,z:marr(8),zright:marr(B),radius:0.0,$
        dose:Marr(6) }
ref1= ref &re\2=ref &ref3=ref &rel4=ref
refpts=6
acceptx1 = marr(refpts) &accepty1 = marp(refpts)
```



```
acceptx3 = marp(retpts) &accepty3 = marp(refpts)
acceptX4 = marp(refpts) &accepty4= marp(refpts)
mriat,format ='("Enter the length ef the air gap in $
        cm -",$)'
```

read, airgap
rell. $1=[10.35,10.40,20.80,31.60,42.30,53.10]$
rell. rright $=[8.72,48.02,56.74,65.46,74.18,82.80]$
rell. radius $=0.0$
Pell.dose $=$ [19.0,0.55,0.50,0.45,0.30,0.25]
acceptx1 $=$ rel1. zright
accepty1 $=[18.0,25, .45,32,37,28]$
re12. $=$ rel1. $z$
re12. דivat $=$ ref1. zright
PeR2. Padius = 2.0
Pet2.10se $=[1.6 e-03,1.7 \mathrm{e}-02,2.6 e-02,4.5 e-02,5.0 e-02,4.5 e-02]$ acceptx2 $=$ ref2. यright
accepty2 $=[3.2 e-04,2.6 e-02,6.0 e-02,8.5 e-02,7.0 e-02,5.5 e-02]$
ref3. $=$ rel1. 2
rel3. .right $=$ rel1. .right
Pel3. $\mathrm{radius}=5.0$
reł. $\mathrm{Aose}=$ [2.Be-05,8.0e-04,8.5e-04,1.3e-03,2.5e-03,4.0e-03] acceptx $=$ ref3. zinght
accepty3 $=[4.0 e-05,2.0 e-03,2.2 e-03,3.8 e-03,7.5 e-03,8.0 e-03]$ Pel4.2 = rell. 2
rel4.zright $=$ rel1. zright
ref4.radius $=20.0$
rel4.dose $=[4.5 e-07,4.0 e-05,7.0 e-08,8.0 e-06,1.0 e-05,1.5 e-05]$ acceptx4 $=$ rel4. $\mathbf{3 i g h t}$
accepty $=[2.1 e-07,5.5 e-05,1.0 e-06,1.3 e-05,2.0 e-06,8.5 e-06]$ end
5: begin ;4-PMMA followed by an air gap, folowed by 1-PMMA Pef $=\{$ relerence, z: ftarp(8), zright:Marp(8),radius:0.0,\$ dose:ftharr(B) \}
ref1 = ref $\&$ refZ $=$ ref $\&$ ref3 $=$ ref $\& r e f 4=r e f$
refpts=6
mint, format ='("Enter the length of the air gap in \$
cm -", \$)'
read, airgap
ref1. $1=[10.0,20.0,31.0,41.5,43.1,53.1]$
rell. zright $=[8.72,17.44,26.16,34.88,74.18,82.80]$
refl. radius $=0.0$
Pell. dose $=[0.00,0.00,0.00,0.00,0.00,0.00]$
re12. $=$ Pel1. 2
rel2.zrinht = rel1. zrijht
Pe12. Pad Ius $=2.0$
ref2.dose $=[1 . B e-03,5.8 e-03,1,6 e-02,3.0 e-02,2.1 e-02,3.1 e-02]$
ref3. $=$ refl. $\mathbf{z}$
ref3.zright $=$ ref1. mriyht
Pe「3. radius $=5.0$
ref3.dose $=[8.0 e-05,2.7 \mathrm{e}-04,6.4 \mathrm{e}-04,1.5 e-03,1.0 e-02,1.1 \mathrm{e}-02]$ ref4.2 = Pel1.2
rel4.zright $=$ ref1. mivint
ref4.radus $=20.0$
rel4.dose $=[2.8 e-06,7.0 e-06,8.1 e-06,6.9 e-06,2.8 e-04,8.8 e-05]$ end

## APPENDIX C. SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES

The supplementary figures are intended to enhance the discussion in chapter 3 , but are not essential to understanding the results of this work. The figures begin on the following page.


Figure cl. Dose Breakdown on Beam Axis for Target of Figure 11 w 10000 Electrons. On the centerline the dose is almost completely due primary electrons. Note the lack of dose after a radiation length, wh is 34.4 cm in PMMA.


Figure C2. Dose Breakdown at 2 cm Radius for Target of Figure 11 with 10,000 Electrons. There is very little energy deposited in the first 20 cm . Note the reduction in scale from the previous figure, i.e the dose is reduced quickly off-axis.


Figure C3. Dose Breakdown at 5 cm Radius for Target of Figure 11 w 10,000 Electrons. Dose is negligible until electrons reach the air $g$ Photon processes obviously play a more important role off-axis.


Figure C4. Dose Breakdown at 20 cm Radius for Target of Figure 11 with 10,000 Electrons. Note reduction in scale from previous figures. Also note that the dose from primary, gamma-secondary, and knock-on electrons is roughly equal.


Figure C5. Low Resolution 391 MeV Dose Distribution for Target of Fig 4. Comparison of CYLTRAN calculations using 2 different random num seeds, all other parameters remaining the same.


Figure C6. High Resolution Dose Distribution for Target of Figure 10 with Different Random Number Seed. Compare to figure 10 to see that the random number seed can cause the results to fluctuate about $20-50 \%$.


Figure C7. Dose Breakdown at 20 cm Radius for Target of Figure 10 wi Different Random Number Seed. Notice the small number of collisio experienced in the air gap. The change in random number seed causes drastic change in the distribution in the air.
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