THE DAILY BEE,
PUBLISHED BY
S. P. WEISSE & CO.,
EDITORS AND PROPRIETORS.
S. P. WEISSE, S. HARBY,
H. DEVRIENDRE, M. BUFOUR.
DAILY BEE (one year in advance).....$12 00
PAPER, semi-weekly, (one) year in.....$ 00
Subscribers must give one week's notice
either can be allowed to discontinue

The New Orleans Bee.

VOL. XVII—NEW SERIES. VOL. XXIX—WHOLE NO 10,087.

OFFICE 83 CHARTRES ST. NEW ORLEANS, THURSDAY MORNING, JULY 17, 1856.

THE DAILY BEE.

THURSDAY MORNING, JULY 17, 1856.

Subscriptions by the Week.
...ers are not the lies are authorized to ...subscriptions by the week, at the ...Twenty-five cents.

NORTHERN MAIL came through yesterday. ...the latest dates.

SIGNS AND PROOFS.

...Democrats are still calling ...BUCHANAN's weakness. Very ...With a moderate amount of ...what have confirmation strong ...which. We have furnished ...to satisfy ordinary minds. ...ers are men of little faith, they ...tracking the amount—They ...Here are a few additional facts ...

...ll the position of the Ger...the United States. *Volksblatt* has ...during the political ...*issued as the successor of John C. Calhoun. It had that deceased statesman presented, Mr. Fillmore is to practice.* If the majority of the people of the United States shall dare to decide contrary to the wishes of the minority, the minority [with Mr. Fillmore at their head] are to "REFUSE TO SUBMIT," and will proceed to carry out PRACTICAL NULLIFICATION!

"The South, with all its boldness, has not, since the death of John C. Calhoun, ventured upon even the enunciation of such black treason. It remained for a Northern Doughface to utter such a sentiment."

Again, it says of the Fillmore party:

"They hold that one part of the Union may separate from the other, if defeated in a Presidential contest. They are the only party that avow such a treasonable doctrine. All other parties adhere to the constitutional principle, that it is the right of the majority to govern, and the duty of the Minority to submit. But the Fillmore party declare they 'won't submit' if left in a minority, they will secede, unless their sectional preferences are consulted. And so far from calling such resistance by its right name, Treason, they argue that it is a thing to be counted and yielded to. Their candidate himself proclaims the "new dogma" from the portico of the Capitol. The Fillmore do not venture to say him nay. Give them credit, then, for the one doctrine they agree upon. They are the disunion party."

In the same number of Weed's paper we find the following paragraphs one after another:

REMEMBER! That Fillmore, in one of the scores of speeches which he has made since his return from Europe, has uttered one word in rebuke of the Border Ruffians, or in favor of freedom upon Free Soil!

REMEMBER! Fillmore stands upon a Platform so thoroughly Pro-Slavery as that reared for Buchanan at Cincinnati.

REMEMBER! That Fillmore is as acceptable to the Border Ruffians as Buchanan—They sleep in the same Pro-Slavery bed!

REMEMBER! Every Fillmore member of Congress voted against Free Kansas!

REMEMBER! That Mr. Haven, member of Congress from Buffalo, and Law Partner of Mr. Fillmore, voted in Congress against admitting Kansas a Free State!

We ask our electors and orators, says the Richmond *Whig*, to file this precious scrap away. They will be of eminent service during the canvass. And when an empty-headed, unscrupulous Locofoco slangwhanger talks of the Abolitionism of Millard Fillmore, just pitch them into his teeth, and even his hardened face may be made to blush for the calumny he utters.

CONGRESS.

WASHINGTON, July 9.—In the SENATE, upon the receipt of a message from the House announcing the passage by a two-thirds vote of the three internal improvement bills previously passed over the veto, Mr. Benjamin moved that the secretary of State be notified of the fact, and this was agreed to. Mr. B. cited a precedent from the proceedings of the Senate at the first session of the first Congress after the adoption of the Constitution to show that two-thirds of a quorum was a legal body to transact business such as the Senate had dispatched on Monday.

Upon a resolution of the Committee on Printing to print 10,000 copies of the extra copies of the bill to authorize the people of Kansas to form a Constitution and State government preparatory to admission into the Union, a long and discursive debate ensued, in which the whole merits and objects of the bill were freely canvassed. On the one hand, the property of principle was urged on the ground that the people ought to have an official copy in order to obviate and correct any misrepresentation of the provisions and objects of the measure. On the other hand, this was objected to as but a partial means of giving the public, and it was alleged that the majority felt the necessity of an electioneering document to mislead the people: that the bill, with an appropriate phrase, provided no additional persons who had been expelled which they did not possess before; and that the brief time allowed in the bill for a starting point as to population was insufficient to allow the three-fifths' men to resume their residences, from which they had been illegally driven.—A portion of the opposition, however, were willing to aid in printing the largest number desired, but once the bill would develop its true character, and the intelligence of the people would detect the motives and objects of its framers. Hailed to severe return, even to the extinction of parliamentary privilege, and the accusation was made against the minority that they did not desire peace in Kansas while the Presidential contest was pending. The discussion was maintained on one side by Messrs. Johnson, Weller, Toucey, Bigler, Douglas, Stuart, Toombs, and Crittenden; and on the other by Messrs. Trumbull, Wilson, Wade, Hale, Pugh, Fessenden, and Foster, and was continued until nine o'clock, when the question on printing was decided in the affirmative.

In the HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES the sitting was occupied with a discussion of the report of the Select Committee on the assault made by Mr. Brooks, of the House, upon Mr. Sumner, of the Senate. The House, after ordering ten thousand extra copies of the reports of the majority and minority to be printed, (instead of one hundred thousand, as recommended by the Committee on Printing,) proceeded to consider the resolution proposing to expel Mr. Brooks. For this resolution a substitute was offered by Mr. Cobb, of Georgia, to the effect that the assault was not a breach of privileges of the Senate, and could not, therefore, be punished by the House. Thereupon a discussion of some sarcasticness ensued. Mr. Campbell, of Ohio, the chairman of the committee, stated that he should reserve himself for the closing speech, simply remarking that the subject was brought to the House by the action of the House by a report from the Senate that a breach of privilege had been committed upon one of its members by a member of the House.

Mr. Clingman denied the power of the House to punish in this case, the enormity of which he thought had been greatly magnified for political effect. He referred to several scenes of violence which had occurred on the floor of the House since he had been a member, to which no punishment had been inflicted. Mr. Bingham, of Ohio, supported the report, and urged with great earnestness the power to punish, and the propriety of guarding with vigilance the sacred provisions of the Constitution, which provided guarantees for the freedom of speech and the personal safety of members in the exercise of their duties. Mr. Orr, of South Carolina, criticised with much severity portions of the report of the committee, alleging that it did not fairly represent the facts of the case, and that the whole affair had been greatly exaggerated with a view to make political capital. He denied the power of the House to inflict any punishment for the assault, and justified it as the only redress left in a case in which reproach and shame had been unjustly cast upon an honorable and absent Senator. When Mr. Orr concluded, the floor was assigned to Mr. Comins, of Massachusetts, and a quarter past four o'clock the House adjourned.—*Nat. Intel.*

THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY AND THE NATIONAL INTELLIGENCER.—A North Carolina journal, which endorses the nomination of Mr. Buchanan, is urging the *National Intelligencer* to "take ground and this fearful hour." In reply the *Intelligencer* says:

It would have us "take ground" in favor of Mr. Buchanan and the "platform" on which he is nominated. That would be "taking ground," certainly; but we think that our contemporary himself will admit that there is reason why we should hesitate before we "take that ground." Let us examine the case a little.

Perhaps it may be found that a "sense of duty" as well as a "feeling of prejudice" may prevent us from going all the length of that Democratic platform upon which our North Carolina friend finds it so easy to stand. And, moreover, if the present is a "fearful hour," by whom, we should ask, has it been brought upon us? This we feel is not the time for reproach or criminations; probably sooner would we heal a wound than open one. But if, in place of the unity and concord which prevailed in the country three years ago, the heart of the patriot is now pained by acts of violence, his ear stunned by words of fierce and angry strife, and the Union itself threatened, by sectional parties, can the Democratic party be acquitted of its full share of responsibility in the origination of this abnormal state of sentiment throughout the country?

What Democrat could give a satisfactory reply to this momentous question? We hope the *National Intelligencer* will not wait in anticipation of ever receiving an answer, but that it will at once declare its allegiance to Millard Fillmore.

The Philadelphia *Inquirer*, the organ of the Straight Whigs, and a very influential journal, has a strong article in favor of Mr. Fillmore. We quote:

"One thing, at least, is certain—the election of Millard Fillmore by the Union party of the country is the only way to defeat Buchanan. He has been tried, and he has failed; and the highest compliment we can pay Mr. Fillmore is to say that he is the man to do it. He is the only candidate who can unite the Whig and Democratic parties, and he is the only candidate who can defeat Buchanan. Let the Whigs of the country, then, rally to the support of Mr. Fillmore, and the Democrats will be compelled to follow. Let the Democrats rally to the support of Buchanan, and the Whigs