

Rob 501 Handout: Grizzle Recursive Least Squares

Model:

$$y_i = C_i x + e_i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

$$C_i \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$$

i = time index

x = an unknown constant vector $\in \mathbb{R}^n$

y_i = measurements $\in \mathbb{R}^m$

e_i = model "mismatch" $\in \mathbb{R}^m$

Objective 1: Compute a least squared error estimate of x at time k , using all available data at time k , (y_1, \dots, y_k) !

Objective 2: Discover a computationally attractive form for the answer.

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{x}_k &:= \operatorname{argmin}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k (y_i - C_i x)^\top S_i (y_i - C_i x) \right) \\ &= \operatorname{argmin}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k e_i^\top S_i e_i \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $S_i = m \times m$ positive definite matrix. ($S_i > 0$ for all time index i)

Batch Solution:

$$Y_k = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_k \end{bmatrix}, A_k = \begin{bmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \\ \vdots \\ C_k \end{bmatrix}, E_k = \begin{bmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \\ \vdots \\ e_k \end{bmatrix}$$

$$R_k = \begin{bmatrix} S_1 & & & \\ & S_2 & & \\ & & \mathbf{0} & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & \mathbf{0} & & S_k \end{bmatrix} = \text{diag}(S_1, S_2, \dots, S_k) > 0$$

$$Y_k = A_k x + E_k, \text{ [model for } 1 \leq i \leq k]$$

$$\|Y_k - A_k x\|^2 = \|E_k\|^2 := E_k^\top R_k E_k$$

Since \hat{x}_k is the value minimizing the error $\|E_k\|$, which is the unexplained part of the model,

$$\hat{x}_k = \underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}{\text{argmin}} \|E_k\| = \underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}{\text{argmin}} \|Y_k - A_k x\|,$$

which satisfies the Normal Equations $(A_k^\top R_k A_k) \hat{x}_k = A_k^\top R_k Y_k$.

$\therefore \hat{x}_k = \underline{(A_k^\top R_k A_k)^{-1} A_k^\top R_k Y_k}$, which is called a Batch Solution.

Drawback: $A_k = km \times n$ matrix, and grows at each step!

Solution: Find a recursive means to compute \hat{x}_{k+1} in terms of \hat{x}_k and the new measurement y_{k+1} !

Normal equations at time k , $(A_k^\top R_k A_k) \hat{x}_k = A_k^\top R_k Y_k$, is equivalent to

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^k C_i^\top S_i C_i \right) \hat{x}_k = \sum_{i=1}^k C_i^\top S_i y_i.$$

We define

$$M_k = \sum_{i=1}^k C_i^\top S_i C_i$$

so that

$$M_{k+1} = M_k + C_{k+1}^\top S_{k+1} C_{k+1}.$$

At time $k + 1$,

$$\underbrace{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} C_i^\top S_i C_i\right)}_{M_{k+1}} \hat{x}_{k+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} C_i^\top S_i y_i$$

or

$$M_{k+1} \hat{x}_{k+1} = \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^k C_i^\top S_i y_i}_{M_k \hat{x}_k} + C_{k+1}^\top S_{k+1} y_{k+1}.$$

$$\underline{\therefore M_{k+1} \hat{x}_{k+1} = M_k \hat{x}_k + C_{k+1}^\top S_{k+1} y_{k+1}}$$

Good start on recursion! Estimate at time $k + 1$ expressed as a linear combination of the estimate at time k and the latest measurement at time $k + 1$.

Continuing,

$$\hat{x}_{k+1} = M_{k+1}^{-1} [M_k \hat{x}_k + C_{k+1}^\top S_{k+1} y_{k+1}].$$

Because

$$M_k = M_{k+1} - C_{k+1}^\top S_{k+1} C_{k+1},$$

we have

$$\hat{x}_{k+1} = \hat{x}_k + \underbrace{M_{k+1}^{-1} C_{k+1}^\top S_{k+1}}_{\text{Kalman gain}} \underbrace{(y_{k+1} - C_{k+1} \hat{x}_k)}_{\text{Innovations}}.$$

Innovations $y_{k+1} - C_{k+1} \hat{x}_k$ = measurement at time $k + 1$ minus the "predicted" value of the measurement = "new information".

In a real-time implementation, computing the inverse of M_{k+1} can be time consuming. An attractive alternative can be obtained by applying the Matrix Inversion Lemma:

$$(A + BCD)^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1}B (DA^{-1}B + C^{-1})^{-1} DA^{-1}$$

Now, following the substitution rule as shown below,

$$A \leftrightarrow M_k \quad B \leftrightarrow C_{k+1}^\top \quad C \leftrightarrow S_{k+1} \quad D \leftrightarrow C_{k+1},$$

we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} M_{k+1}^{-1} &= (M_k + C_k^\top S_{k+1} C_{k+1})^{-1} \\ &= M_k^{-1} - M_k^{-1} C_{k+1}^\top [C_{k+1} M_k^{-1} C_{k+1}^\top + S_{k+1}^{-1}]^{-1} C_{k+1} M_k^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which is a recursion for M_k^{-1} !

Upon defining

$$P_k = M_k^{-1},$$

we have

$$P_{k+1} = P_k - P_k C_{k+1}^\top [C_{k+1} P_k C_{k+1}^\top + S_{k+1}^{-1}]^{-1} C_{k+1} P_k$$

We note that we are now inverting a matrix that is $m \times m$, instead of one that is $n \times n$. Typically, $n > m$, sometimes by a lot!