





Digitized with financial assistance from the Government of Maharashtra on 02 January, 2016

•

# HISTORY

# LATIN CHRISTIANITY;

INCLUDING THAT OF

# THE POPES

THE PONTIFICATE OF NICOLAS V.

### BY HENRY HART MILMAN, D.D.,

DEAN OF ST. PAUL'S.

68288 al

VOLUME 111,



LONDON:

JOHN MURRAY, ALBEMARLE STREET.

1854. \*



LONDON :

.

PRINTED BY W. CLOWES AND SONS, STAMFORD STREET, AND CHARING CROSS.

# **CONTENTS**

#### OF

# THE THIRD VOLUME.

.

. .

. ^

# BOOK VI.

### CHAPTER I.

•

#### THE GERMAN POPES.

| А.О. , |                         |       |        |       |       |    |   |   | PAGE    |
|--------|-------------------------|-------|--------|-------|-------|----|---|---|---------|
| 1046   | Clement II.             | •     | •      | · .   |       | •  | : |   | 3       |
| 1047   | Council at Rome—Dea     | th of | f Clen | nent  | •     |    | • | • | 4–5     |
| 1048   | Election and death of   | Dam   | asus 🤅 | II.   |       | •  |   |   | 5       |
| 1048   | Leo IX                  | •     | •      |       | • •   | ÷  |   |   | 6       |
| •      | Enters Rome             | •     | •      | •     |       |    |   | • | 8       |
| .1049  | Peter Damiani           | •     | •      | •.    |       | •  |   |   | 9       |
|        | Leo's visitation beyond | l the | Alps   | —in ( | Colog | ne | • | • | 11      |
|        | At Rheims-Council       | •     | •      | •     |       |    |   |   | 13 - 14 |
|        | The French hierarchy    |       |        | •     |       |    | • |   | 15      |
|        | Council at Mentz        |       |        | •     | •.    | •  | • |   | 17      |
|        |                         |       |        |       |       |    |   |   |         |

#### CHAPTER II.

#### BERENGAR OF TOURS.

|           | Transubstantiation     | ۰.     | • ·    |                | •      |      |            |     | 21        |
|-----------|------------------------|--------|--------|----------------|--------|------|------------|-----|-----------|
|           | John Scotus Erigena    |        | •      |                |        |      | •          |     | <b>22</b> |
| • •       | Lanfranc               |        |        |                | . •    | •    |            |     | . 23      |
| 1050      | Council at Rome-at     | Verce  | lli    |                |        | •    | •          |     | 24 - 5    |
| . 1054    | Hildebrand at Tours    | · .    |        | •              |        |      |            |     | 26        |
| 1051      | Leo's second Transal   | ine vi | isitat | $\mathbf{ion}$ |        |      | •          | · í | 27        |
| 1052      | Leo's third visitation | •      |        |                | •      |      |            | •   | <b>29</b> |
|           | Conversion of Hungar   | y      |        |                | . •    |      | •          |     | ib.       |
| 1000-1036 | St. Stephen            | •      | •      | •              | •      |      | •          |     | ib.       |
| 1052      | The Emperor's unsuce   | œssfu  | l can  | opaigi         | n in I | Iung | ıry        |     | 30        |
| -         | Fore Leo at Worms      |        |        | •              | •      | •    |            | •   | 31        |
| 1053      | Council at Mantua      | •      |        | •              |        |      | •          | •   | 32        |
| 1053      | Leo's campaign agains  | t the  | Nor    | mans           | -defe  | rat  | •          | •   | 35 - 6    |
| 1054      | Captivity and death    | •      | •      | •              | •      |      | •          | •   | 37        |
| · .       |                        |        | •      | •              |        | (    | <i>x</i> 2 |     |           |

#### CHAPTER III.

# CONTINUATION OF GERMAN POPES.

- - - -

| A.D.         | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·    |            |        |     | PAGE  |
|--------------|--|------------|--------|-----|-------|
| 1055         | Gebhard of Eichstadt-Pope Victor II      | •          | •      | •   | 39-40 |
|              | Council of Florence                      | •          | •      |     | 41    |
| 1056         | Death of the Emperor Henry III           |            |        |     | 42    |
| $\cdot 1057$ | Council at Florence                      | •          | •      |     | 43    |
|              | Death of Pope Victor II                  | •          |        |     | ib.   |
|              | Stephen IX. Pope (Frederick of Lorraine) |            | •      | •   | 44    |
|              | Legate (formerly) at Constantinople .    |            |        | •   | 45    |
| 1058         | Illness and death                        | •          |        |     | 46    |
| . 1058–9     | Nicolas II. Pope-(Benedict X. Antipope)  |            |        | •   | 48    |
| 1059         | Second Lateran Council-Papal election t  | trans      | ferred | to  |       |
|              | Cardinals                                | •.         |        |     | 49    |
|              | Berengar condemned                       | •          |        | ••. | 51    |
| •            | Norman alliance                          | •          |        | •   | . 52  |
| 1061 .       | Death of Nicolas II.                     |            |        |     | 54    |
| 868-891      | Archbishops of Milan-Anspert             |            | •      | •   | 55    |
| 1018         | Archbishop Heribert                      |            | •      |     | 56    |
|              | Archbishop Guido                         |            |        |     | 60    |
|              | Marriage of clergy at Milan              |            | •      | • . | 61    |
|              | Landulph-Ariald                          |            | •      |     | 63    |
| 1059         | Peter Damiani                            | •          |        | •   | 65    |
| 1061         | Anselm of Badagio, Pope Alexander II.    | •          | •      |     | 67    |
|              | Honorius II. (Cadalous) Antipope         | - <b>.</b> | · .    |     | 68    |
|              | Benzo, Bishop of Alba                    |            | •      |     | 69    |
|              | Revolution in Germany-Hanno of Cologn    | е.         | •      | .•  | 73    |
| 1062         | Council of Osbor                         | •          | · .    | •   | 75    |
| 1063         | Pope and Antipope in Rome                | •          |        |     | 77    |
| • •          | Adalbert of Bremen                       | •          | •      |     | ib.   |
|              | Plunder of German abbeys                 | • '        |        |     | 79    |
| ,            | Overthrow of Adalbert                    | ` <b>.</b> |        |     | 81    |
| 1064         | Council of Mantua                        | · .        | •      |     | 83    |
|              | Strife at Milan about married clergy-Her | lemb       | ald.   |     | 83-4  |
| 1075-6       |  | •          | •      | •   | 89    |
| . 1073       | Death of Alexander II.—Gregory VII.      | •          | •      | •   | 92 •  |
| •            |  |            | •      |     | -     |
|              | -  |            |        |     |       |

iv

•

. -

#### . BOOK VII.

#### CHAPTER I.

| рабк<br>97<br>100<br>103<br>104 |
|---------------------------------|
| 100<br>103                      |
| 103                             |
|                                 |
| 104                             |
|                                 |
| 105                             |
| 108                             |
| 110                             |
| 114                             |
| 117                             |
| 118                             |
| 119                             |
| 120                             |
| 121                             |
| 122                             |
|                                 |

# . CHAPTER II.

#### KING HENRY IV. - CANOSA.

|      | Papacy and the Empire       | •       | •      |       | •   |     | •   | 123         |
|------|-----------------------------|---------|--------|-------|-----|-----|-----|-------------|
|      | Excommunication .           |         |        | •     | •   |     | •   | 126         |
| •    | Character of Henry IV.      | •       | •      | •     | ,   | •   | •   | 128         |
| 1073 | Saxon revolt                | •       |        |       | •   | • - | •   | 130         |
| · .  | Neutrality of the Pope      | •       | •      |       |     | •   | •   | . 131       |
|      | Embassy from Rome .         | •       |        | • ·   | •   | :   |     | 133         |
| 1074 | German prelates-Synod o     | of Erfi | urt    | •     | •   |     | •   | 1346        |
| 1075 | Council at Rome-Investi     | tures   | •      | •     | •   | · . |     | <b>13</b> 8 |
|      | Breach between Henry IV     | . and   | the I  | Pope  |     |     |     | 139         |
|      | Effects of decree against m | narried | l cler | gy    | •   | •   | •   | 141         |
|      | Hatred against Hildebrand   | 1 j. /  |        | •     |     | •   | •** | 143         |
|      | Pope seized by Cencius      | • '     |        |       | •   | ·   | •   | 145         |
| 1076 | Hildebrand's declaration a  | gainst  | Kin    | g Hen | ry  |     |     | 147         |
|      | Synod of Mentz-Pope de      | posed   | •      | •     | •   |     |     | 149-50      |
|      | First Lateran Council       | -<br>   |        |       |     | ••• |     | 152         |
|      | Henry deposed               |         |        | •     | •.  | . • | •   | 154         |
|      | William of Utrecht .        |         | •      |       |     |     |     | 156         |
|      | Diet at Mentz .             |         |        |       |     |     |     | 157         |
|      | Diet at Tribur              |         |        | •     | , . | •   |     | 161         |
|      | Submission of Henry .       |         |        | •     | •   | •   | . • | 164         |
| •    | Gregory at Canosa           | •       | •      | · · . |     |     |     | . 167       |
| 1077 | Henry at Canosa             |         | •      | •     | •   | •   |     | 168         |

: • . . . . .

1074

A.D.

# CHAPTER III.

| <u>.</u> | CONTINUED STRIFE WITH ]          | XING    | HENI   | RY.   |        |      |             |
|----------|----------------------------------|---------|--------|-------|--------|------|-------------|
| A.D.     | · · · · ·                        |         | • •    | •     |        |      | PAGE        |
|          | Growth of Henry's power          | •       | •      | • •   | •      | •    | 175         |
| •        | Diet of Forcheim-Election of Ru  | .dolph  | of S   | wabia | , .    | •    | 177-8       |
| 1077-8   | Henry in Germany                 | •       |        | •     | :      | •    | 180         |
| • *      | Policy of Gregory                |         | •      |       |        | •    | 181         |
| 1079     | Berengar of Tours                | •       | •      | •     | •      |      | 182         |
| 1080     | Henry again excommunicated       | •       | •      | •     | •      | •    | 185         |
| - ,      | Gregory deposed at Mentz-Guibe   | rt of I | Raven  | na Ar | itipop | be - | 188-9       |
|          | Battle of the Elster-Death of Ru |         |        | •     |        | •    | <b>1</b> 89 |
| •        | Henry in Italy                   | •       | • ·    | •     | •      | •    | 190         |
| 1081-4   | Three years siege of Rome .      |         | •      | •     | • •    |      | 192         |
| 1084     | Succours from the Normans        | •.      | •*     | •     | •      |      | 195         |
| 1085     | Henry master of Rome .           |         | • •    |       | •      | •    | 196         |
| •        | Normans take Rome-Insurrectio    | n—M     | lassac | re    | •      | •    | 1978        |
|          | Gregory's retirement and death   | •       | •      | •     | •      | •    | 198–9       |

#### CHAPTER IV.

#### GREGORY'S SUCCESSORS.

| •    | Victor III. (Desiderius of Monte Casino)  |     | 203     |
|------|---|-----|---------|
|      | Countess Matilda :                        | • • | 206     |
| 1087 | Council of Benevento-Death of Victor III. | . • | 207 - 8 |

#### CHAPTER V.

#### URBAN H.

| 1088 | Marriage of Countess Matilda       |     |     | • |   | ۰.  | 211 |
|------|------------------------------------|-----|-----|---|---|-----|-----|
| 1090 | Henry in Italy-Siege of Mantua     | -   | • . |   |   | • • | ib. |
| 1093 | Rebellion of Prince Conrad         |     |     |   |   |     | 213 |
| 1095 | Council of Piacenza                | •   | •   | • | · | •   | 215 |
|      | Urban II. in France                | ٠   | • , |   |   |     | 217 |
|      | Imperial interests revive in Italy | • ' | •   | • |   | •   | 218 |
|      | Philip I. of France                | •   | • • | • | • | • • | 220 |

#### CHAPTER VI.

#### THE CRUSADES.

| Sanctity of the Holy Land |   |    | •   |     |     |   | 222         |
|---------------------------|---|----|-----|-----|-----|---|-------------|
| Dilaminna ana             |   |    |     |     | • . | • | 444         |
| Pilgrimages               | • | •. | •   | •   | •   | • | 22 <b>3</b> |
| Reliqués-Commerce .       | • | •. | ٠   | · • | •   | • | 225         |
| Scheme of Gregory VII.    | • | •  | • • |     |     |   | 228         |

| •                     |  |  |  |  |                     | •                   |                     | PAGE                |
|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Peter the Hermit      | •  |  | •.'  |  |                     |                     |                     | 229                 |
| Council of Clermont   |  |  | •  |  |                     |                     | :                   | 231                 |
| Results of Crusades   | ۰.   |  |  | :  | •.                  |                     |                     | 235                 |
| Estrangement of the   | East   | •  |  | •  |                     | · .                 |                     | 239                 |
|                       | •  |  |  | •  | •                   | •                   |                     | 241                 |
| Wealth of clergy .    | •  |  | •  | •  |                     | •                   | •                   | 245                 |
| Religious wars agains | st Jew   | s—H  | leretic  | sU   | nbelie              | evers               | · .                 | 247 - 51            |
|                       | •  | •  | •  | .• .   | . •                 | •                   | •                   | 251                 |
|                       | Council of Clermont<br>Results of Crusades<br>Estrangement of the<br>Power of the Pope<br>Wealth of clergy | Council of Clermont<br>Results of Crusades<br>Estrangement of the East<br>Power of the Pope<br>Wealth of clergy<br>Religious wars against Jewa | Council of Clermont<br>Results of Crusades<br>Estrangement of the East .<br>Power of the Pope<br>Wealth of clergy<br>Religious wars against Jews—H | Council of Clermont<br>Results of Crusades<br>Estrangement of the East<br>Power of the Pope<br>Wealth of clergy<br>Religious wars against Jews—Heretic | Council of Clermont | Council of Clermont | Council of Clermont | Council of Clermont |

# BOOK VIII.

.

#### CHAPTER I.

### END OF THE EMPEROR HENRY IV.

| 1099   | Paschal II.                   | •  | • | ,   | ·. | •   | 261        |
|--------|-------------------------------|----|---|-----|----|-----|------------|
|        | The Emperor resumes his power | •  | • | •   | •  | •   | <b>264</b> |
|        | Peace of the Empire           | •  | • | •   |    | •   | 266        |
| 1103   | The Pope excommunicates Henry |    | • | •   |    | • ' | 267        |
|        | Unpopularity of the peace .   | •  | • | •   |    | •   | 269        |
| 1104   | Revolt of Prince Henry        | •` | • |     | •  | •   | 271        |
| 1105   | Henry IV. a prisoner          | •  | • | • · | •  | • • | 273        |
| 1106 . | Death of Henry                | •  | • |     | •  | •   | <b>276</b> |

#### CHAPTER II.

HENRY V. AND POPE PASCHAL II.

| • ,   |                             |         |        |     |      |     |   |               |
|-------|-----------------------------|---------|--------|-----|------|-----|---|---------------|
|       | Synod of Guastalla          |         |        | •   |      | •   | • | <b>279</b>    |
| 1110  | Diet at Ratisbon            | •       | •.*    | · • | • .  | •   | • | 281           |
|       | Henry V. in Italy-Advance   | es on   | Rome   | 9   | • ·  |     | • | 2823          |
| 1111  | Treaty                      | •       | •      | •   | •    |     | • | 284           |
|       | Henry V. Emperor            |         | •      | •   |      | •   |   | <b>2</b> 86 · |
|       | Pope refuses the coronation | •       | •      | •   |      | •   | • | 289           |
|       | Insurrection in Rome .      | •       | • . •  |     |      | •   |   | ib.           |
|       | Coronation of the Emperor   | :       | • .    | • • | •    | •   | • | - 292         |
| 1112  | Council in the Lateran      | ••      | • •    |     | •    |     |   | 294           |
|       | Council of Vienne excommu   | inicate | es the | Emp | eror |     |   | 295           |
|       | Discontents in the Empire   |         | •      | •   | •    | •   | • | 296           |
| -1115 | Death of Countess Matilda   |         | • '    | • . | • '  | •   | • | 298           |
|       | Archbishopric of Milan      |         | •      |     |      | •   | • | 299           |
| 1116  | Council in the Lateran      | •       | •      |     | • •  | • . | • | 300           |
|       |                             |         |        | ,   |      |     | · |               |

| A.D. | •                                      |       |       |     | PAGE  |
|------|--|-------|-------|-----|-------|
|      | Henry V. in Italy                      | •     | •     |     | 302   |
|      | Advances to Rome-in Rome               | •     | •     | •   | 303 · |
| 1118 | Death of Pope Paschal                  |       |       | · • | 304   |
| -    | Gelasius II.—seized by Frangipani      |       | •     | ••• | 305   |
|      | Flies to Gaeta-Burdinus Antipope (Greg | ory V | III.) |     | 306   |
|      | Gelasius II. in Rome-in France-Death   |       | · ,   | · . | 307-8 |

#### CHAPTER III.

#### CALIXTUS II.

| 309 |
|-----|
| 311 |
| 313 |
| 315 |
| 316 |
| 319 |
| 321 |
|     |

#### CHAPTER IV.

### St. Bernard-Innocent II.

| 1124 | Death of Calixtus .          |       | <b>.</b> | •      | •     | •   |   | 323        |
|------|------------------------------|-------|----------|--------|-------|-----|---|------------|
| 1125 | of Henry V                   | •     | •        | •.     |       | •   | • | ib.        |
| 1124 | Honorius II.                 | •     | •        | • .    |       |     | • | <b>324</b> |
| 1130 | Contested election-Innocent  | ; II  | -Ana     | cletus | s 11. | •   | • | 325        |
|      | Bernard of Clairvaux .       | •     | : `      | •      | •     | •   | • | 327        |
| (    | Molesme                      | . •   | •        |        | •     | •   | • | 331        |
|      | Stephen Harding—Citeaux      | • .   | •        | •      | •     | •   |   | 332        |
|      | Early life of S. Bernard     | •     | •        | • •    | •     | •   | • | 333        |
|      | Innocent II. in France .     | •     | •        |        | •     | •   |   | 337        |
|      | Acknowledged by France-F     | Ingla | nd       | the E  | mpire |     | • | 339        |
| 1132 | The Emperor Lothair the Sa   | xon   | in Ita   | ly     | •     | •   | • | 340        |
| 1139 | Lateran Council              | •     | •        | •      | •     |     | • | . 342      |
|      | Innocent II. prisoner of the | Norn  | nans     | •      | •     | • . | • | 344        |

#### CHAPTER V.

#### INTELLECTUAL MOVEMENT.

| Intellectua | l mo         | vement |     |    |   | • | •  | •   | •   | 346   |
|-------------|--------------|--------|-----|----|---|---|----|-----|-----|-------|
| Gotschalk   | ` <b>.</b> ' | •      | •   |    | • |   | ٠  | •   |     | 848   |
| John Scott  | is Ei        | rigena | •   |    |   |   |    | •   |     | 350   |
| Roscelin    |              |        | •   |    | • |   | •  |     | • • | . 354 |
| Anselm      | ••           | · · /  | •   | •  | • | • | •  | . • | •   | 355   |
| Abelard     | •            | •      | \•  | ·. | • | • | •• | •   |     | 359   |
|             | •            |        | Ϋ́, |    |   |   |    |     |     |       |

#### viii

ix

| A.D.   |                             |     |     |   |     |   | PAGE    |
|--------|-----------------------------|-----|-----|---|-----|---|---------|
|        | William of Champeaux        |     |     |   | •   | • | 360     |
|        | Heloisa                     | •   |     |   |     |   | 362     |
| 1121   | Council of Soissons .       | •   |     |   | · . | • | 365     |
| 1122-5 | The Paraclete               | •   |     | • | •   |   | 367     |
| 1126   | St. Gildas                  | · . | •   | • | • · |   | 368     |
| 1140   | St. Bernard—Council of Sens | •   |     | • |     |   | 370 - 1 |
|        | Condemnation at Rome .      | •   | •   |   |     | • | 375     |
| 1142   | Death-Philosophy            | •   | • . | • | •   |   | 377     |

# CHAPTER VI.

1.

#### ARNOLD OF BRESCIA.

| •    | Arnold of Brescia—Doctrines     | •    | •    |          |    | •    | - 381       |
|------|---------------------------------|------|------|----------|----|------|-------------|
| 1132 | In Brescia                      | •    |      |          |    | •    | 386         |
| 1139 | Condemned by Council of Latera: | n    | ·    | · •      |    | -: . | 388         |
|      | In Zurich-in Rome               | •    |      |          |    |      | 390         |
| 1143 | Death of Innocent II.—Celestine | II.  |      |          | •  | •    | 392         |
| 1144 | Lucius II                       | •    | •    | •        |    |      | ib.         |
| •.   | Death of Lucius .               | • •  |      |          | •. | · •  | <b>3</b> 93 |
| 1146 | Eugenius III.—Arnold in Rome    | •    | •-   | <b>.</b> | :  | • •  | 394         |
| 1146 | Eugenius flies to France .      |      | • .  |          |    | •    | 396         |
|      | Bernard and William of York     |      |      |          | •  |      | ٠ib.        |
|      | Gilbert de la Porée             | •    |      |          |    |      | 397         |
|      | Bernard's Crusade               | • •  | •    | •        |    | •    | 398         |
| •    | The Jews-Disasters of the Crus  | ades | ·. · |          |    |      | 401         |
|      | Suger, Abbot of St. Denys .     | •    | •    | •        | •  |      | <b>403</b>  |

#### CHAPTER VII.

#### HADRIAN IV.-FREDERICK BARBAROSSA.

| • .      | Hadrian IVFrederick Barbarossa     | ı     | •     | •   | • •  |     | 407 |
|----------|------------------------------------|-------|-------|-----|------|-----|-----|
| 1152 - 3 | Eugenius in Rome—Death .           |       | •     | •   |      | •   | 408 |
| 1153-4   | Anastasius IVHadrian IV.           | ·     | •     | •   | •    |     | ib. |
| 1155     | Fall of Roman republic             |       | •     | •   | •    |     | 410 |
|          | Frederick Barbarossa in Italy .    |       | •     | •   |      | . • | 411 |
|          | Death of Arnold of Brescia .       |       | • •   | •   |      | •   | 413 |
|          | Romans and Barbarossa              | -     | •     | •   | •    | •.  | 414 |
|          | Frederick and Pope Hadrian-Coro    | natio | 5n    | •   | •    | •   | 416 |
| 1156     | Frederick retires to Germany .     |       | •     | •   |      |     | 417 |
|          | Alliance of the Pope with Sicily . |       | • -   | •   | •    | •   | ib. |
| 1157     | Diet at Besançon-Strife of the Em  | pero  | r and | the | Pope | • . | 418 |
| 1158     | Frederick in Italy                 |       | •     | •   | •    |     | 420 |
| 1159     | Death of Hadrian                   | •     | •     | •   | •    |     | 425 |
|          |                                    |       |       |     |      |     |     |

VOL. III.

|              | ALEXANDER IIIVICTOR IVTHOMAS-A-BECKET.  |            |
|--------------|---|------------|
| <b>A</b> ,D. | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   | PAGE       |
| •            | Contested election—Alexander III.—Victor IV.  | 427        |
| 1160         | Schism  | 430        |
|              | Alexander III. in France  | 433        |
| 1164         | Death of Victor-Calixtus III  | ib.        |
|              | Thomas-a-Becket   | ib.        |
|              | England—Decay of Saxon clergy   | 435        |
|              | Norman hierarchy—Lanfranc   | 436        |
|              | Anselm  | 439        |
|              |   | 440        |
|              | Bishops under Stephen   | 443        |
| 11559        | Becket Chancellor   | .448       |
| 1162         | Becket Archbishop of Canterbury   | . 453      |
|              | Gilbert Foliot  | 454        |
| 1163         | Becket at Tours   | 457        |
| 1100         |   | ib.        |
|              | Beginning of strife   | 458        |
|              | Character of Henry <sup>*</sup> II.   | 460        |
|              | Parliament  | 462        |
| 1164         | Council of Clarendon—Constitutions  | 463-4      |
| 1101         | Parliament of Northampton   | 468        |
| , ,          | Flight of Becket . ,  | 475        |
|              | King Louis of France  | 477        |
|              |   | 478        |
|              |   | 480        |
|              | Becket at Sens  | 482        |
| 1165         | <b>U V</b>  | 483        |
| 1105         | <b>The second se</b> | 487        |
| 1100         |   | 491        |
| 1166         |   | 493-5      |
| 1167         | William of Pavia-Cardinal Otho-Legates  | 493-5      |
| 1100         | Flight of Frederick from Italy  | 495        |
| 1168         | Meeting at Gisors   | 493        |
| 1169         | Meeting at Montmirail   | 500        |
|              | war of Flance and England, 22,  | ib.        |
|              | . Excommunication of the Bishops by Becket  | 502        |
|              | Gratian and Vivian Legates  | 506        |
|              | Meeting at Montmartre   | ÷.         |
|              | King's proclamation   | 508        |
|              | Commission to Archbishop of Rouen and Bishop of Nevers  | 509<br>510 |
|              | Bishops absolved  |            |
|              | Coronation of Prince of Wales   | 511        |
| 1170         |   | 512        |
|              | Return of Becket to England   | 516        |

#### CHAPTER VIII.

| A.D. |                         |      |      |       |     |      |   | PAGE |
|------|-------------------------|------|------|-------|-----|------|---|------|
| •    | Assassination .         |      |      | •     | . • | •    |   | 519  |
|      | Reconciliation of the F | King | at A | vranc | hes | . •  | • | 524  |
|      | Penance at Canterbury   | -    |      |       | •   | <br> | • | 525  |
| •    | <b>T</b>                | •    |      |       | •   |      |   | 526  |
|      |                         |      |      |       |     |      |   |      |

#### CHAPTER IX.

ALEXANDER III.--POPES TO CLOSE OF TWELFTH CENTURY.

| 1165           | Alexander embarks for Italy         | `• <b>.</b> | ۰.  | •        | . 530   |   |
|----------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|-----|----------|---------|---|
| 1167           | Pestilence in Frederick's army      | · ·         |     |          | . 533   |   |
| 1176           | Truce of Venice                     |             | ۰.  |          | . 535   |   |
| 1179           | Death of Alexander III.             | :           |     |          | . : 538 |   |
| 1181           | Pope Lucius III.                    |             |     | <b>.</b> | . 539   |   |
| 1185           | Pope Urban III.                     | •           | •   |          | . 540   |   |
| 1187           | Pope Gregory VIII                   |             | •   | •        | . 543   |   |
|                | Pope Clement III.                   | •           | •.  |          | . 544   |   |
| 1189           | Barbarossa's crusade and death .    |             | •   |          | . 545   |   |
|                | Pope Cœlestine III                  | . •         | •   | • •      | · ib.   |   |
|                | Coronation of the Emperor Henry     |             |     |          | . 546   | , |
| . ,            | Demolition of Tusculum              |             | ••  | •        | . 547   |   |
| •              | Imprisonment of Richard I. of Engla | and         | •   | • •      | . 548   |   |
| 1194           | The Emperor Henry in Italy .        | ;           | •   | •        | . 550   |   |
| 1195           | Cruelties in Sicily                 | •           | •   |          | . 551   |   |
| 1196           | Frederick elected King of the Roman | ns: .       | •.  |          | . 553   |   |
|                | Queen Constance                     | •           |     |          | . ib.   |   |
| 1197           | Death of the Emperor Henry          |             | • • | • •      | . ib.   |   |
| $1198^{\circ}$ | Death of Pope Cœlestine III.        |             | •   | •        | 554     |   |
|                | • —                                 | ~           |     |          | • •     |   |

#### CORRIGENDUM-VOL. III.

P. 135, line 17 from bottom, for vassals read vessels.

\_\_\_\_\_

xi

### HISTORY

OF

# LATIN CHRISTIANITY.

-

BOOK VI.

VOL. III.

ł

٠

B

# BOOK VI.

# CONTEMPORARY CHRONOLOGY.

| Hores.                   |              | EMPERORS OF<br>THE EAST. |                      |             |      | TATRIARCHS<br>OF<br>CONSTANTINOPLE. |           |      | GERNAN<br>ENPERORS. |             |       | KINGS OF<br>FRANCE. |      |  |
|--------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------|------|-------------------------------------|-----------|------|---------------------|-------------|-------|---------------------|------|--|
| A.D.<br>1915 Clement II. | а.в.<br>1047 | A.D.                     | • •                  | <b>A.D.</b> | A.D. |                                     | A,D.      | A.B. | ÷                   | Ą.D.        | A.D.  | •                   | A.D  |  |
| 1068 Damasus II.         | I            | •                        |                      |             | 1    | <u> </u>                            | ·         |      |                     |             | [     |                     |      |  |
| 1018 I.co IX.            | 1054         |                          | Constantin<br>nomach |             |      |                                     | . I       |      |                     |             |       |                     |      |  |
| 1034 Victor 11.          | 1057         | 1051                     | Theodora             | 11. 1056    |      | 1                                   |           |      | lienry I            | 11. 1056    | 1     |                     |      |  |
| 1037 Stephen IX.         | 1058         | 1056                     | Michael·V            | J. 1057     | 1    |                                     |           |      | . •                 |             |       |                     |      |  |
| 1058 Benedict X.         | 1059         | 1037                     | Isaac Con<br>neaus   | 1050 '      |      | • .                                 | ·         | 1056 | licnry 1            | ιγ <b>.</b> | ŀ.,   | •                   |      |  |
| 1630 Nicolus II.         | 105T         | <b>. 18</b> 20           | Constantin<br>Ducas  | 4063        | 1059 | Constantin                          | d<br>1053 |      |                     |             |       | Henry 1.            | 1061 |  |
| 1061 Alexander II.       | 1073         | 1068                     | Romanu<br>Diogene    | s -1071     | 1063 | John<br>Xiphilin                    | 1075_     |      |                     |             | 1,061 | Philip I.           | •    |  |
| 1073 Giegory VII:        |              | 1071                     | Michael V            | n           |      |                                     |           |      |                     |             |       |                     |      |  |

#### BOOK VI.

#### CHAPTER I.

#### THE GERMAN POPES.

THE evil of the degraded papacy lay deeper: it was absolutely necessary to rescue it entirely and for ever from the Counts of Tusculum and the Barons of Rome. The only remedy was the appointment of a stranger. Murmurs were heard that no one could canonically be elected Pope, who had not been ordained Deacon and Priest in the Church of Rome. The insulting language of the Germans was, that in the whole Church there was scarcely one who was not disqualified either as illiterate, or as tainted with simony, or as living in notorious concubinage.<sup>a</sup>

Suidger, the Bishop of Bamberg, was consecrated Pope at Sutri; the first Pope consecrated out of Rome.<sup>b</sup> On the arrival of the Emperor at Rome, the usual appeal was made to the Roman people whether they knew one worthier to be Pope. The German soldiers stood around; the people preserved an obsequious silence. The Bishop of Bamberg was led by Henry himself to the papal throne; the people seemed to assent by their acclamations.° Suidger took the name of Clement II., the first, it might be hoped, of a new line of apostolic pontiffs,

a "Neminem ad Romanum debere ascendere pontificatum, qui non in eadem ecclesià presbyter et diaconus." —Bonizo, apud Œfalium. "Ut in tantâ ecclesiâ vix unus reperiri potuit, quin vel illiteratus, vel simoniacus, vel esset con-cubinatus." Bonizo is a bad historian for the past, but an unexceptionable evidence of the violence of the Italian feelings against a German pope. Compare Benzo, apud Menckenium, i. 393. Leo Ostiens. and Victor III.

<sup>b</sup> So at least says Bonizo. Compare Herman. Contract. A.D. 1096.

· If Benzo of Albi is to be believed, Henry told them to elect any one pre-sent. The Romans replied, that in the presence of the Emperor the election was not according to their will: "Ubi adest præsentia regis, non est electionis consensus in arbitrio nostræ voluntatis."-

called after the immediate successor of St. Peter. Henry and his Empress Agnes received the imperial crown from the hands of the new Pope. The coronation was cele-Christmas, brated with unusual pomp and solemnity. The 1046. Pope exacted from the religious Emperor, not merely the most full confession of faith, the oath of fidelity and of protection to the Roman see, but of chastity, justice, humility, and charity. The Pope enforced on the Emperor, the Emperor with the most profound submission pledged himself in the face of heaven to observe these Christian virtues.<sup>d</sup>

The first act of reformation, which the religious part of Christendom expected from the promotion of this blameless and holy stranger to the Roman see, was the summoning a Council to brand the all-prevailing vice of the Simony was condemned in the strongest general times. terms and in all its various forms; but even this Jan. 1047. Council was obliged to mitigate its censure. The severer bishops proposed the absolute degradation of any one of their order who had been guilty of this sacrilegious sin; they were reduced to the melancholy confession, that the Church would be nearly deprived of all its pastors, since the ordination by a simoniacal bishop annulled the orders." Whoever was knowingly ordained by a simoniacal bishop, was bound not to exercise his functions till after forty days' penance. But Clement sate alone in his unworldly holiness; the Council, assembled to reform the Church, was interrupted, if not broken up, by a fierce dispute for precedence between the Archbishops of Ravenna, of Milan, and of Aquileia. The decision in favour of the German Archbishop of Ravenna, unpopular doubtless with the Italians, was confirmed by threats of excommunication against the other contumacious prelates, if they should renew the strife." Rome herself might seem impatient of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> Cenni Monumenta, ii. 261, contains the ordo for the coronation of Henry and Agnes. Höfler devotes many pages

to the ceremony, i. 236-250. \* So universal was this crime, that the Abbot Guido, when Boniface of Tuscany, the father of Matilda, one of the most pious churchmen of the day, went to make his annual confession at the

monastery of Pomposa, thought it right to scourge this vice out of the penitent :---

<sup>&</sup>quot;Sic de re Guido sacer abbas arguit, immo Suc de re Guido sacer abbas arguit, immo Hunc Bonifacium, ne venderet amplius, ipsum Ante Dei Matria altare flageilat amaris Verheribus nudum, qui delicitis firth usus, Pompose vovit tunc abbatique Guidoni, Ecclesiam nullam quod per se venderet un-quam." Donizo. i. 14.

<sup>&#</sup>x27; Labb, Concil, sub ann.

Снар. І.

-

foreign rulers; the fatal climate asserted her injured supremacy. Clement II. died before the close A.D. 1047. of the year.<sup>g</sup> Oct. 9.

A bold attempt was made to reassert the claims of Benedict IX. He appeared in Rome under the protection of the Marquis of Tuscany, and held the manne. Nov. 8, 1047. pontificate for nine months. But he fled again on the first appearance of the new Pope environed by German soldiers: he had been abandoned by the Tuscan Marquis.<sup>h</sup> For the obsequious clergy and people had in the July 16, meantime sent to Germany to submit themselves 1048. to the nomination of the Emperor.<sup>1</sup> Haliward, Archbishop of Lyons, declined the perilous advancement; the choice fell on Poppo, Bishop of Brixen. He had hardly time to reach Rome, to take the name of Damasus II., Damasus II., when he too fell a victim to the summer fever. Aug. 9, 1048. This pontificate lasted but twenty-three days.<sup>k</sup>

This rapid succession could not but give rise to reports of foul means, employed by the unscrupulous Italians to get rid of these strangers, no less dreaded for their austerity, than hated for their usurpation of the Roman rights. But Italy was overawed by the commanding character and unshaken authority of the Emperor Henry III. No secular power dared to offer resistance, there was no Cisalpine prelate, whose lofty piety and courageous sacerdotal dignity could venture, or warrant opposition. Rome and Italy again looked submissively to the trans-Alpine monarch for a successor to these two short-lived pontiffs.

Yet this absolute nomination to the papacy by the uncontrolled authority of the Emperor could not but alarm

of Peter Damiani, applicable on so many German invasions of Rome :---

<sup>&</sup>quot;Roma vorax hominum, domat ardua colla virorum,

Roma ferax febrium, necis est uberrima frugum, Romanæ febres stabili sunt jure fideles."

h Vit. apud Murat. Annal. Roman.

p. 469. i It is said that Benedict IX., persuaded by the Abbot of Grotta Ferrata, retired into that monastery, repented of his sins, and died an exemplary monk. teristic. But S. Peter Damiani, on the authority

g I quote once for all the famous lines of the Bishop of Capri, raises his ghost, to compel his successor to devote some of his ill-gotten wealth to the poor.---Opuscul. xiv. 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>k</sup> Boniface, Marquis of Tuscany, received orders from the Emperor to conduct this bishop "full of pride" to Rome, where in twenty days he died, body and soul.—Bonizo, p. 803. Was this merely the Italian hatred to a German pope, or some personal hostility of Bonizo? Either way it is charac-

the jealous hierarchical spirit throughout Europe, as well as in Italy. The flagrant venality and vices of the Roman clergy might justify, for once or for a time, the intervention of the supreme secular power. The declared aversion of Henry to the dominant evil of simony, the lofty language which he used concerning the reformation of the Church, his own profoundly religious life, might tempt the most zealous churchmen to acquiesce in a despotism, commended by such results, and exercised so much for the honour and for the welfare of Christendom. But the clergy, ever as intuitively and sagaciously jealous to detect the secret encroachment of any principle dangerous to their power, as skilful in establishing any one favourable to their interest, were not off their guard. There was one, whose searching evesight was watching, who was warning, and taking measures to awaken that dread of secular interference, which came even countenanced by such manifest and uncontested advantages. Hildebrand, in his exile in Germany, was steadily surveying the course of affairs.

The imperial choice fell upon a prelate, in whom, although of noble descent, and nearly allied to Leo IX. the Emperor,<sup>m</sup> the churchman predominated over the subject of the empire. Though with such claims to the highest advancement, supported as it now too rarely was, with the fame of transcendent piety, avouched by vision, wonder, and spiritual communion with the other world, Bruno had contented himself with the poor and humble bishopric of Toul.<sup>n</sup> There he was distinguished by his unimpeachable holiness, his gentleness to those below him, (he constantly washed the feet of the poor,) but no less by his inflexible assertion of all the rights and possessions of his see, and the privileges of his order. According to his affectionate biographer, his person was beautiful, his charity boundless, and he had a rare power of affecting his hearers as a preacher, even hardly less as officiating in the services of the church. He was accom-

<sup>m</sup> The Emperor Conrad's mother and the father of Bruno were cousins german. Conrad spoke of his "consanguineum et ... affectum avitæ propinquitatis." —Wibert, Vit. Leon. IX. i. 18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> The early life of Bruno is related by his affectionate and admiring follower, Archdeacon Wibert, with its full portion of legendary marvel.— Apud Muratori, Script. Ital. iii.

CHAP. I.

plished in all the science of the time, especially in music. Nor did he altogether decline, or betray any want of capacity for secular affairs; he had interposed as ambassador in the disputes between the Empire and the kingdom of France; his negotiations had maintained the peace between Conrad and King Robert.

The Bishop of Toul might tremble at the awful responsibilities of the papacy.<sup>o</sup> As a pilgrim he had visited year after year the tombs of the Apostles; he knew Rome, he knew how uncongenial was her air to the German constitution, her manners to the austere virtue of a severe German prelate. Some natural dread, some misgivings as to the possibility of a complete reform may have mingled with the Christian humility which shrunk from the glorious burthen. Even after his reluctant consent, he absolutely refused to owe his election to the mere will of the Emperor; he would at the least have the outward show of free consent from the clergy and people of Rome. This strong hierarchical feeling was confirmed, it is said, by the refusal of Hildebrand, whose austere virtue and lofty churchmanship had begun to command notice, to accompany to Rome a Pope, chosen by the uncanonical appointment of a layman. In the strong language of Hildebrand, it was to appear as an apostate, not as an apostle.<sup>p</sup> Had it been suggested by no loftier motive, nothing could have been more politic than this flattery to the pride of the Roman clergy and people. Whether he did not assume, or threw off by the advice of Hildebrand, the mitre and the purple robe, the Bishop of Toul did not travel to Rome as a pontiff, but as a pilgrim. humble attire and demeanour attracted far more notice than the familiar pomp of a prelate. Multitudes crowded around him; it was rumoured that celestial music was heard, and that wonders attended upon his journey. The Teverone suddenly withdrew its overflowing waters to let him pass. He was met, as he drew near, barefooted, to Rome by the clergy and the people; but even then he

<sup>o</sup> There is a recent, prolix, and some-what feeble biography of Leo IX., by a zealous Roman Catholic, Hünkler (Maintz, 1851). It contains, I think, v. ii. p. 6. nothing new.

would not ascend the papal throne without a solemn appeal to the semblance at least of an election, a recognition of his authority by what appeared to be free suffrages.<sup>q</sup>

Nothing could contrast more strongly than the whole demeanour of Leo IX., such was the name he assumed, with the Italian popes, who had recently held the holy office. His first object was the restoration of the dilapidated church of St. Peter, and visits to the celebrated places of pilgrimage, Mount Garganus, and the monastery of St. Benedict at Monte Casino. He had unexampled difficulties to struggle with. The wealthy See of Rome was reduced to the lowest state of poverty. The clergy had alienated the benefices to their own children, the barons had seized the estates; Pope John had plundered the churches; no pilgrims dared to approach with costly The money which Bruno had brought from offerings. home was soon exhausted; his German followers showed a disposition to desert their poor master, of whose wealth as Pope they had doubtless entertained magnificent notions; a timely offering by some wealthy votaries from Benevento, who had heard of the Pope's virtues, relieved his immediate necessities. Public confidence was restored, the Pope went on performing all the great and imposing acts of his Office; the consecration of wealthy abbots, the confirmation of privileges to remote monasteries; and, doubtless, the grateful oblations began again to flow into the papal treasury. Of his measures to resume the usurped possessions of the church the records are silent. But the great object of his saintly care and ambition was the reformation of the corrupted church. He devoted himself to wage implacable war with the two dominant evils of his time, as they were esteemed by all zealous churchmen, simony and concubinage. A council met at Rome: again the severer prelates proposed by one sweeping interdict to annul the orders, and to degrade every clerical person who was any way implicated in simony, who had made any gift, payment, or contract to obtain a

<sup>9</sup> One account, intended for panegyric, would convict him of downright hypo-crisy. He declared that he merely came Arragon.

bishopric, or other office in the church.<sup>r</sup> But again it was found that the times would not endure these summary remedies. It would have deprived almost the whole of the clergy; and as, by annulling their orders, it rendered all their acts invalid, every sacrament, ordination, consecration; it absolutely interrupted, or rendered doubtful the whole spiritual succession of the order.<sup>s</sup> The Pope, either from the gentleness of his disposition, or from the necessity of the times, was obliged to adopt more lenient measures, to accept certain penances from the delinquents, and on confession, humiliation, and absolution, to restore the offender to his function or dignity.

The general concubinage, or rather marriage of the clergy, no less embarrassed the austere reformers.<sup>t</sup> It was determined that the clergy of Rome should no longer live scattered about in private houses, but in colleges or separate dwellings, and so be submitted to rigid superintendence and discipline. Women convicted of unlawful intercourse with the clergy were to lose their freedom and become slaves attached to the Lateran palace." But these were not the worst vices of the clergy. The stern ascetic Peter Damiani, who now comes forward the absolute unswerving model of monkhood, presented a book to the Pope, the title of which expressed in the coarsest form the unnatural vices widely prevalent among the monks as well as the secular clergy, a book which would shock a more sensitive age, but was received by the Pope as an honest and bold exposition of the morals of the times.<sup>x</sup>

\* On the notoriety of the simoniac proceedings at Rome :--

> Heu sedes Apostolica Orbis olim gloria, Nunc, pro dolor ! efficeris Officina Simonis. Damian, ilb. iv. Epist. ix. p. 109.

" 'Ita ut non solum ab ipsis, sed a plerisque diceretur episcopis, omnes pene basilicas sacerdotalibus officiis destitutas, et præcipue missarum solemnia ad subversionem Christianæ religionis, et desperationem omnium circumquaque fidelium funditus omittenda." — Damiani, Liber Gratissimus, c. 35.

<sup>•</sup> "Perrarus inveniretur qui non esset uxoratus vel concubinatus. De simoniâ quid dicam? omnes pene ecclesiasticos

-Bruno Sign. ap. Murator. pp. 346,347. <sup>u</sup> Et quæcunque damnabiles fæminæ intra Romana mænia reperirentur Presbyteris prostitutæ, deinceps Lateranensi palatio adjudicarentur ancillæ. This may have been somewhat later in 1051. -Petri Damiani Epist. ad Cunibert. Taurin: Epise.

\* The title of one chapter is enough to show the nature of this odious book,

Damiani's blind monastic fury perceived not that the argument of his repulsive book was against himself. His remedy, the prohibition of marriage, was not likely to correct this frightful state of things. The Bishops at a synod in Rome acquiesced in the prohibition of marriage, but took no steps to enforce it. Of the worse evil, perhaps wisely, they were silent.<sup>y</sup> The German Pope might appear to turn his back in horror and disgust from the scenes of He would seek elsewhere for devout and rigid such vices. minds, which might console him by their holy sympathy; and some were yet to be found in every part of Europe, either on the episcopal throne, or in the rigorous cloister.

The saintly ambition therefore of Leo did not confine his views for the reformation of the Church to the city of Rome or to Italy. He aspired to comprehend the whole of Latin Christendom under his personal superintendence. Though now hardly seated firmly in his throne at Rome, he resolved to undertake, as it were, a religious visitation of Western Europe, to show himself in each of the three great kingdoms as the Supreme Pontiff, as the equal or superior of all secular princes; and that in all the genuine characteristics of power, the protection of the oppressed, the redress of grievances, the correction of abuses, the punishment even of the haughtiest and most powerful offenders against the statutes of the church, the suppression of simony, the restoration of monastic discipline. Some of Leo's predecessors had indeed crossed the Alps, either to obtain by personal supplication the assistance of the Transalpine sovereigns against their enemies; or to take part in the secular or ecclesiastical affairs of these kingdoms. Latterly the Popes had dwelt in their remote seclusion at Rome, and that seclusion alone had permitted the reverential imagination of the world still to invest them in some lingering sanctity. Yet rumours and the reports of the

cum uxoribus non coeant: quæ res magnum veternosum serpentem concitavit Leonis Epist, prefixed to Damian's book, in iram. Quod audientes episcopi primo The wiser Alexander II. stole the book quidem veritati non valentes resistere and shut it up. Of this Damiani com-plains bitterly.-Epist. ii. 6. generis inimico, inobedienter celavere.ains bitterly.—Epist. ii. 6. generis inimico, inobedienter celavere.— 7 Sub anathemate interdictum est, . . . Bonizo, p. 803.

the Gomorrhianus of Peter Damiani- ut sacerdotes et Levitæ et subdiaconi ' De diversitate peccantium contra naturam.' No detail is spared. Compare

pilgrims could not but disseminate through Europe, even to its remotest parts, the degraded character of the Italian Popes; the rapacity, the licentiousness, the venality had become more and more notorious. How some Popes had lived, how they had died, could not be altogether disguised. This had been proclaimed in full synods of Transalpine prelates, as at Rheims. The difficulty of reconciling the loftiest spiritual offices, the holiest functions, with the most unholy life, could not but force itself upon the religious mind of Christendom.

Leo came forth to Europe, not only with the power and dignity, but with the austere holiness, the indefatigable religious activity, the majestic virtue which became the head of Christendom. His personal character and habits would bear the closest and most jealous inspection; he was not merely blameless in morals, but exemplary in the depth and intensity of his devotion. Wherever he went, he visited the most severe of the clergy, or Leo's visitaof the monastic orders, men already sainted by the Alps. popular devotion; like St. Gualberto of Vallombrosa,<sup>z</sup> and the successor of the holy Odilo at Clugny. All recognised a kindred spirit, and hailed the genuine Pontiff. He passed by Florence; he held a council at Pavia, he crossed the Alps to Germany. Throughout Germany his time was occupied, till he reached Cologne, in A.D. 1049. consecrating churches, and bestowing privileges March 14. on monasteries. On his arrival at Cologne he was received by the Archbishop Herman, the Chancellor of the Empire, with the greatest state. Herman was a June 29. prelate of a kindred spirit, pious, and disposed to hierarchical magnificence; both himself and the Pope knowing, no doubt, the influence of the splendid ecclesiastical ceremonial on the popular mind. The Pope created a new and high office for the Archbishop of Cologne, the archchancellorship of the Apostolic See. The archbishop became a kind of northern Pope; seven cardinal priests were appointed daily to read mass, sandalled, at the altar of St. Peter in the cathedral. At Cologne appeared the pious Emperor, Henry III., in military array; he was engaged in

\* See the Lives of S. Gualberto.

war with Godfrey, Duke of Upper Lorraine, and a powerful confederacy, comprehending Baldwin of Flanders, and Herman of Mons, and Theodoric of Holland, secretly supported by Henry I., King of France. Godfrey had been already under the ban of the Empire for expelling his brother from his inheritance, the dukedom of Lower Lorraine. He had been defeated and pardoned. But when, on the death of his brother, the Emperor granted away the dukedom of Lower Lorraine to Frederick of Luxemburg, he again rushed to arms. With his lawless allies, he had destroyed the imperial palace at Nimeguen, and burned Verdun. But their predatory bands had suffered a defeat by the forces of the Bishops of Liege, Metz, and Utrecht.

Leo scrupled not to smite with his spiritual arms the enemy, the rebel against the Emperor, who was accused, in his marauding warfare, of burning churches. He excommunicated Godfrey of Lorraine; and that turbulent prince, who had defied the authority and the power of the Emperor, bowed in awe beneath the spiritual censure. He came to Aix-la-Chapelle, where the Pope advanced to receive him; he came as a humble suppliant.

Juty 27. The Pope with difficulty extorted his pardon, but not the restitution of his dukedom, from the resentful Emperor. But Godfrey was broken in spirit by the appalling presence of the Pope; he went to Verdun, and submitted to the most humiliating penance: he was publicly scourged before the altar, in order to obtain re-admission into the church. He was condemned to rebuild the cathedral which he had burned; and the fierce marauder was seen labouring like a common workman in the repairs of the ruined church.

Nor was the religion of Leo IX. too lofty or spiritual for his age; he was as deeply involved in its superstitions. The ecclesiastical Hercules, who travelled about beating down the hydra heads of clerical avarice and licentiousness, is surrounded, like him of old, with an atmosphere of mystic legend. Leo was the most sure discoverer of relics, wherever it was desirable that relics should be found; wherever he prayed for them, the bodies of saints came to light. His life was a life of visions; miracles broke out on all great, sometimes on more insignificant occasions.<sup>a</sup>

Germany had received with submission, not unmingled with pride, the holy German Pope. The German clergy, on the whole, stood higher than that of any other part of Latin Christendom. The religious character of the reigning Emperor, Henry III., had maintained at least superior decency of manners; he had discouraged simony, and advanced the more religious of the clergy. But when the austere Pope proposed to pass into France, Leo IX. in to visit Rheims, the king and the clergy heard France. with equal dismay of the unwelcome design. In France, with the exception of some exemplary prelates, the hierarchy were more feudal in their tenures and in their habits: the benefices had fallen into the hands of warlike nobles, more secular than ecclesiastic in their lives; they were obtained by more questionable means, devoted far less exclusively to religious purposes. The king, no doubt at the suggestion of his clergy, excused himself from this unwonted visitation, on the plea that his bishops and abbots, with the rest of his feudal array, had been summoned to attend his banner against the hostile Normans.

The courteous pertinacity of the Pope would not admit the excuse. As Bishop of Toul he had pledged himself to be present at the consecration of the new and splendid church at Rheims, and the removal of the remains of the holy St. Remi; as Pope he was bound to fulfil his pious engagement. St. Remi was the popular saint of France, equal to St. Martin of Tours, superior to that host of saints which had been canonized by the early zeal of the Franks during the reigns of the Merovingians. St. Remi had baptised Clovis, and so had expelled Arianism from the kingdom of France. Nothing could deepen so much the reverence for the Pope throughout that part of France

<sup>a</sup> The most remarkable miracle, of the most extraordinary part was, that later date, was this. A precious cup, presented to him by the Archbishop of Cologne, fell on the ground and was broken to pieces. At the word of Leo witness, who piously stole the cup from broken to pieces. At the word of Leo witness, who piously stole the cup from the pieces came together, the cup was the Pope "devoto furto." Wibert, ii. again whole, and the fracture was only 6. It is related in a Papal diploma, marked by a thin thread (capillo). But was avouched by Gregory VII.

as his devout respect for St. Remi. The abbot Heriward had been summoned on his allegiance to attend the royal array: the king was obliged to dismiss him, when advanced some days' march, to attend on his sacred functions.

The Pope came to Rheims: nothing could surpass the pomp of the ceremonial for the consecration of the church.

It was the day of St. Remi, the day on which, in Oct. 2. ordinary years, pilgrims crowded from all quarters of the world to the shrine of the tutelary saint of France. It was a time singularly well chosen for the papal visit. Such vast multitudes thronged from all sides (at the council there were representatives of England, no doubt many English among the zealous votaries) that the Pope was obliged to address them from the roof of a house. The church was with the utmost difficulty cleared for the performance of the ceremony; the pious spectators trampled each other under foot. The Pope himself supported for a time the chest or coffin which contained the inestimable bones of St. Remi, during the long procession which awed, delighted, prostrated in reverence and elevated in pride, as the possessors of such wonder-working relics, the countless worshippers.

The consecration of the church was a preliminary to a council summoned to meet at Rheims. The council was not imposing for its numbers; it reckoned but twenty Oct. 3. bishops, including the strangers, and about fifty abbots; the rest were engaged in following the royal wars. A strife for precedence arose between the Metropolitans of Treves and of Rheims. Treves had but recently received the title of Primate from Leo himself; Rheims asserted his immemorial primacy over the Church Council of of Gaul. The prudent Leo refused to decide the The four Archbishops of Treves, Rheims, question. Lyons, and Besancon sat in a circle around the Pope.<sup>b</sup> The Cardinal-Deacon opened the conclave, declaring the subjects which demanded the grave consideration of the assembled fathers: simony, the unlawful possession of clerical benefices by the laity, marriages within the pro-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> There were present three English the Abbot of St. Augustine in Canterdignitaries: Dudie, Bishop of Bath, bury, and the Abbot Alvisius.

hibited degrees, desecration of churches, irregular divorces and second marriages, the abandonment of their vows by monks, the military services of the clergy, the plunder and imprisonment of the poor, unnatural crimes, and certain heresies which had arisen in France. Every prelate present was summoned, under pain of the papal anathema, if he was conscious of any guilt of simony, openly to confess his sin. The Archbishop of Treves arose and made his protestation in the most solemn terms. He was followed by Haliward, the venerable Archbishop of Lyons, who had declined the papacy; and by the Archbishop of Besançon. All eyes were turned on Guido of Rheims, who sat in suspicious silence. Guido arose, and demanded a delay until the next morning, that he might have some private communication with the Pope. His request was granted. The turn of the bishops came. All declared their innocence except Pudicus of Nantes, Hugo of Langres, Godfrey of Coutances, Hugo of Nevers. The examination of their offences was adjourned to the next sitting. The Abbots were not so scrupulous or not so exempt from guilt. Heriward of Rheims declared his own innocence. Even Hugh of Clugny, though he called God to witness that he had no concern in any simoniacal contract, acknowledged that there were suspicious rumours abroad concerning him. Of the rest, some who could not excuse themselves, endeavoured to palliate or conceal their crimes. One, Arnold of Poictiers, accused of grave offences, was deposed. The Cardinal-Deacon, then under the menace of the same apostolic anathema, demanded whether there was present any man who held any one besides the Pope to be the head of the Catholic Church. There was a profound silence: the traditionary passages of the canons were then read, on which was grounded the right of the Pontiff of Rome to the primacy of the Church.° It was then proclaimed that the Pope forbade any one, under pain of anathema, to leave Rheims, without his permission, before the close of the council.

The following morning Guido of Rheims, before the

• Was this, as it were, to exorcise claimed at the former council under the Rheims from the evil doctrines pro- influence of Gerbert?

opening of the synod, had his private conference with the Pope. Notwithstanding this he was summoned again by the Cardinal-Deacon to answer on the question of simony, and other grave offences, of which he was publicly accused. Guido answered not; he demanded a consultation with his friends, he retired with the Archbishop of Besançon, the bishops of Angers, Soissons, Nevers, Senlis, Morin (Boulogne). On his return he demanded that the Bishop of Senlis should be heard in his name. The Bishop of Senlis came forward and declared that the Archbishop of Rheims was not guilty of simony. The Pope demanded that he should take the oath : so had his holy predecessor Gregory the Great required of Maximus of Salona. Guido struggled in the toils, again he requested delay: the Pope, content with his humiliation, granted it on condition that in the next spring he should appear to answer before a council at Rome. The other charges were allowed to fall from want of proof. But the bishops escaped not so easily. Hugo of Langres was arraigned not only for simony, but for murder, whoredom, and unnatural crimes. Witnesses were at hand to prove these monstrous wickednesses. The bishop confessed the simony, but repelled the other accusations; the examination of these charges therefore was postponed till the next sitting of the council. Before that sitting Hugo of Langres had fled; he was solemnly cited; he was sought for in his lodgings in due legal form by the Bishops of Senlis and Angers; he was deposed and anathematised as guilty of contumacy. Hugo of Nevers acknowledged that his father had given a large sum of money to purchase his bishopric. Since that time he could condemn himself for no offence, yet he dreaded God's wrath, and was prepared to lay down, he did actually lay down, his pastoral staff at the feet of the Pope. The Pope was content with his oath, that at the time he knew nothing of the simony, and restored his staff. Godfrey of Coutances confessed that his brother had, without his knowledge, bought him his bishopric. As soon as he knew the fact, he had endeavoured to fly; the people had brought him back by force. The council on his oath pronounced him innocent. Bishop Pudicus of Nantes con-

fessed that his father, who had been Bishop of Nantes before him, had secured him the succession by bribery; he admitted that by the same ungodly means he had obtained the ordination after his father's death. He was deposed, but in mercy allowed to retain the order of a priest. The council proceeded to condemn the bishops, who had dared to disobey the papal summons to the council. The anathema fell on the Archbishop of Sens, the Bishops of Amiens and Beauvais; the Bishop of Laon, the adviser of the King of France to impede the council, and the Abbot of St. Medard, who had left the council without the permission of the Pope. Their sees were declared void (the Archbishop had been forced upon his diocese by the King; the Bishop of Amiens was a great hunter); the clergy and people proceeded to new elections. Among the other statutes of this council, chiefly the reenactment of former canons, one condemned a Spanish prelate, the Archbishop of St. James of Compostella, who had dared to assume the title of an Apostolic bishop; and aspired, as Gerbert of Rheims to be a Gallican pope, to be the Pope of Spain.

The Norman historian sums up the acts of the Council of Rheims. Priests were forbidden to bear arms, or to have wives. The bearing arms they gave up without reluctance; but even now they will not their harlots (such is the name with which their wives were branded), nor submit to chastitv.<sup>d</sup>

To the Gallican council at Rheims succeeded a German council, attended by forty prelates at Mentz, among these three great Metropolitans, Bardo of Mentz, Eberhard of Treves, Herman of Cologne, with Engelhard of Magdeburg, Adalbert of Hamburgh and Bremen.<sup>e</sup> The Council of Mentz confirmed the acts of the Council of Oct. 19. Rheims. The same strong resolutions passed

d "Tunc ibidem generale concilium nolunt abstinere, nec pudicitiæ inser-tenuit, et inter reliqua ecclesiæ com- vire."-Orderic Vital. Compare Vit. moda, quæ instituit, presbyteris arma ferre et conjuges habere prohibuit. Unde consuetudo lethalis paulatim exinaniri cœpit—arma quidem ferre presbyteri jam gratanter desière, sed a pellicibus adhuc

Hildeberti, in Act. SS. April 29: Alberic Destroisfontaines, Leibnitz, p. 89. \* Adam. Bremens. Hist. Eccl. ap.

Lindenbrog. Mansi et Hartzheim, sub ann.

VOL. III.

С

against simony and concubinage. Sibico, Bishop of Spires, was forced to take the sacrament in proof of his guiltlessness of simony. According to the biographer of Leo, Sibico's cheek was struck with palsy, and he bore for life the brand of his perjury.<sup>f</sup> A contest for the archbishopric of Besançon was decided in favour of the Metropolitan Hugo, who had rendered such true allegiance to the Pope at Rheims. During his return to Italy, Leo either visited in person, or confirmed by statute, the privileges of many famous monasteries-Fulda, Lorsch, Moyen-Moutier, Altorf, Hirschau, Reichenau, Donawerth.<sup>g</sup> He passed Christmas in Verona. Leo IX. returned to Rome. In this single spiritual campaign, by the calm dignity of his holiness, by his appeal to the strong religious reverence of Christendom, he had restored the papacy to all its former authority over the minds of men. He had justly elevated the pride of Germany in having bestowed such a Pope upon the world, crushed the tendencies at least to rebellion in the churches of France and Spain, and brought them again into acknowledged subordination to the See of Rome; he carried back to Italy the respect and the obedience of the world to overawe any still unextirpated desire for the recovery of Italian spiritual domination; to Rome the assurance of the most powerful Transalpine protection, to suppress the turbulent and mutinous spirit of her nobles and her people. +

the garments of the Redeemer; of the by Hoefler, ii. p. 64. See also the dis-vine which he had planted with his own covery of the site of Hirschau. hand ! - of the cross, - of the robe of

the Virgin, and the beard of John the <sup>5</sup> Among the countless treasures of Baptist. All this and much more is re-relics bestowed on the church of Altorf, lated as if with grave unsuspiciousness

<sup>&#</sup>x27; Wibert, Vit. Leon. ii. 5.

#### CHAPTER II.

#### BERENGAR OF TOURS.

THE unity of Latin Christendom had been threatened during the dark age of the Papacy not only by the separation of the spiritual monarchy into independent dynasties, - by the elevation of a Gallican and of a Spanish primate; the allegiance even of Ravenna and Milan to the supreme pontiff was doubtful and contested. Nothing could have preserved the papal supremacy if it had continued to descend in its line of lawless Roman princes. It might have Leen endangered even by a succession of German pontiffs of less energetic, commanding, and holy character than Leo IX.—pontiffs in whom the German nationality had predominated over their churchmanship—for Christendom would hardly have submitted long to the Pope, only an obsequious vassal of the German emperor. More, however, than this, the unity of doctrine, that great system of imaginative Christianity which had so long ruled the mind of Latin Christendom, was menaced with a controversy which struck at the roots of its power, prematurely undermined in the hearts of men the greatest of those influences by which the hierarchy swayed the world, and might have led, long before Christendom was ripe for a more spiritual and intellectual religion, to a fatal disturbance of the traditional and dominant faith. The controversy raised by Berengar on the doctrine of the Real Presence in the Eucharist, his protest against the new rigid form of Transubstantiation into which that doctrine had but recently been cast by Paschasius Radbert and his followers, was totally different from those which to this period had broken the unity of the Church. Sects from time to time had arisen and were still rising a in different parts of Latin Christendom whose opinions departed widely from the

\* This affiliation of these very obscure sects will be hereafter traced.

c 2

But the principle of these sects was, in dominant faith. general, separation from the Church; they were societies working in secret, withdrawing their members from the communion of the Church and from subordination to the hierarchy; bound together either by peculiar tenets or by some intuitive harmony of feeling and opinion; here organised under their own priesthood, there held together by aversion to the pride and to the vices of the established clergy; esteeming apostolic poverty and apostolic humility the only signs of apostolic truth and authority. Infinitely various, but with some leading kindred principles, these sects had one common character, they were sects. They either asserted each itself to be the sole true ehurch, or, altogether rejecting the notion of a visible church, rested on the evangelic truth of their doctrines, on their conformity with the sacred Scriptures (never altogether abandoned as a distinct tenet); or they had inherited the yet unextinguished principles of Arian or Manichean opinions, the latter of which seem to have been constantly flowing by untraceable channels into the west. These sects were the authors, in different quarters of Europe, of wide-spread and still renewed revolt; but this revolt was still beaten down in detail by the strong arm of ecclesiastical and temporal power: they were confuted by the irrefragable argument of fire and sword.

But the opinions of Berengar threatened a civil war-a contest within the Church, within the clergy itself. He declared that his was the true Catholic doctrine; from his school at Tours he proclaimed a haughty intellectual defiance to all the other theologic schools of Christendom. He was himself probably unconscious of the ultimate bearing of his own views. He appealed to the clergy generally, in all likelihood as unconscious, but who had an intuitive apprehension, equally alarming to the prudence of the cautious and to the sensitive jealousy of the devout, that they were descending from a higher to a lower ground-that the Sacrament, by this new or revived interpretation, was sinking in its majesty, and in its efficacy. This Sacrament-the Eucharist-from the earliest times had withdrawn into the most profound mystery; it had been guarded with the most solemn reverence, shrouded in the

most impressive ceremonial. It had become as it were the Holy of Holies of the religion, in which the presence of the Godhead was only the more solemn from the sur-That Presence had as yet been unaprounding darkness. proached by profane and searching controversy, had been undefined by canon, neither agitated before Council, nor determined by Pope. During all these centuries no language had been thought too strong to express the overpowering awe and reverence of the worshippers. The oratory of the pulpit and the hortatory treatise had indulged freely in the boldest images; the innate poetry of the faith had worked those images into realities. Christ's real Presence was in some indescribable manner in the Eucharist; but under the notion of the real Presence might meet conceptions the most dissimilar, ranging from the most subtle spiritualism to the most gross materialism; that of those whose faith would be as profoundly moved by the commemorative symbols, which brought back upon the memory in the most vivid reality the one sacrifice upon the cross; as that of the vulgar, to whom the more material the more impressive the notion, to whom the sacred elements would be what the fetiche is to the savage.

Between these two extremes would be the great multitude of believers, who would contemplate the whole subject with remote and reverential awe. To these the attempt at the scrutiny or even the comprehension of the mystery would appear the height of profane presumption; yet their intuitive apprehension would shrink on the one hand from refining the holy bread and wine into mere symbols, on the other from that transubtantiation which could not but expose the actual Godhead to all the accidents to which those elements, not now merely corporeal, and with all the qualities of the human flesh and blood, but actually deified, might be subject. It was the fatal term Transubstantiation, first used by Paschasius Radbert, a monk of Corvey, which startled some of the more reflective minds; according to this term the elements ceased entirely to be what they still seemed to be to the outward senses. The substance of the bread and wine was actually annihilatednothing existed but the body and blood of the Redeemer, the body and blood of the Redeemer rescuscitated in the flesh,

yet to which belonged the ubiquity, the eternity of the divine nature. Such a phrase could not but cause some reaction. But the leader of that reaction, Berengar, had surrendered himself as the humble pupil of the one great thinker, the one purely metaphysical intelligence, who during this period had been so much in advance of his age as almost to elude their theological jealousy.

It was impossible but that among the minds withdrawn into profound contemplation by monasticism, altogether secluded from practical intercourse with the world, there should be some in whom the severe intelligence should entirely predominate. Such men—the religious awe being less strong and less exclusively exercised than the logical faculty—would not be restrained from the cool analytic examination even of the most accredited theologic phraseology; they would subtly scrutinise the inward sense of words, reduce them from their rhetorical or poetic form to their present meaning; they would be, by mental constitution, the intellectual parents of the Nominalists in the twelfth century.

Of these the most remarkable was the Scotch or Irish monk, John Scotus or Erigena. Erigena was a philosopher of a singularly subtle mind : men wondered at this subtlety, which was so high above the general train of popular notions as to command universal reverence rather than suspicion. But he had not only broken the bonds of Latin Christianity, he went almost beyond the bounds of Christianity itself. The philosopher dwelt alone in his transcendental world; he went fathoming on, fearless and unreproved, in the very abysses of human thought; and it is not improbable, had followed out his doctrines into that theory in which men in whom the rationalistic faculty prevails, and yet are still under the influence of a latent religiousness, so often arrive. He had wrought out a vague Pantheism, singularly anticipative of that which in its various forms now rules in modern Germany. But we must at a later period revert to Erigena as in one sense the parent of scholasticism, but of scholasticism as a free, discursive, speculative science, before it had been bound up with rigid orthodoxy by Aquinas, Bonaventura, and Duns Scotus. Berengar, it should seem, with a weaker and far less original mind, had been caught in the logical trammels

Снар. 11.

of Erigena, from which he could not escape. He was not without the pride which arises from the adoption as well as the discovery of new and apparently distinct views of mysterious subjects, as manifesting superior intellectual strength and acuteness (that pride is betrayed in his somewhat contemptuous challenge to Lanfranc), or he shrank from the coarser materialism which seemed enforced by the doctrines of Paschasius Radbert, which had already encountered some opposition from Rabanus Maurus, the monk Ratramn, and some others.<sup>b</sup> He proclaimed, as the true doctrine of the Church, the counter definition of his master Erigena, which asserting the real Presence, declared that real Presence spiritually conceived.°

On the other hand, in the vast European hierarchy there could not be wanting minds of equally powerful logical subtlety, and trained in dialectic science, who would Lanfranc. repress within themselves the rebellious intelligence; and in the confidence arising out of their infelt accordance with the dominant creed, with the sagacity, not merely timorous, but conscientiously jealous, which would tremble . at any approach to the unsettling of great religious questions, or the diminishing of the sacerdotal power,<sup>d</sup> the only bulwark against brate force and blind ferocity, would espouse the established creed with the zeal and ardour of conscientious churchmanship. Such was Lanfranc, a native of Pavia, under whose learned government the Norman monastery of Bec was rising into fame; and Lanfranc himself was gaining that high character which designated him hereafter for the Norman primate of England. Some jealousy may have lurked in the mind of the master of the great school of Tours, which had so long enjoyed the reputation as a seat of theologic learning, against the upstart university, if it may be so called,<sup>e</sup> among the yet bar-

<sup>- b</sup> Compare Schröckh, xxiii. 441, et seqq.

<sup>c</sup> The discovery of the famous trea-tise of Berengar by Lessing, its recent publication at Berlin, furnishes us with Berengar's own distinct, deliberate statement of his views. It is a hard, harsh, obscure treatise, apparently little likely to awaken enthusiasm, or to attach devoted followers.

<sup>d</sup> Miracles were not wanting to refute Berengar. A priest saw and touched the form of a child on the altar. He kissed it; it resumed the appearance of bread. Berengar tauntingly said, "Speciosa certe pax nebulonis, ut cui oris bisit of the second sec

account of the virtue, austerity, and sanctity of Berengar by Hildebert, Bishop of Le Mans, p. 466.

barous Normans. In his challenge to Lanfranc, Berengar acknowledges the ability of that teacher with a haughty condescension, reproving him for the haste with which he has presumed to condemn the judgments of Erigena.<sup>f</sup>

The opinions of Berengar were widely desseminated by the poor scholars<sup>g</sup> who wandered about the country from the school at Tours, and who were maintained at the cost of Berengar. By some they were heard with horror: he was accused, as usual, of opinions which he did not hold-of reducing the Holy Sacrament to a shadow, not a truthof vague concubinage, and the denial of baptism.<sup>h</sup> Others seem to have been overawed by the fame of his erudition. His first antagonist did no great honour to the cause of orthodoxy; it was Hugo, Bishop of Langres, the bishop condemned and degraded by the Council of Rheims for notorious criminality of life. Others wrote in a more respectful and expostulatory tone. The writings of Berengar himself have all the contemptuousness of a mind in which the severe and naked logical faculty has complete mastery, and which cannot comprehend that unison of faith and reasoning which commends itself to those in whom the religious sentiment maintains its power.

Lanfranc had his revenge for the insolent superiority assumed by Berengar. He was present at the Council in Rome held by Pope Leo IX. The opinions of Berengar were brought under discussion, but of those opinions there was no acknowledged or authoritative statement which could expose him to condemnation. Lanfranc, suspected (such was his excuse) of correspondence with the heretic, and thus implicated in his doctrines, produced the fatal letter in which Berengar avowed the opinions of Erigena, proclaimed the Holy Sacrament, as it was asserted, and inaccurately asserted, to be but a figure and a similitude.<sup>1</sup> Berengar was condemned at once; he was com-

<sup>s</sup> Jamque scatebat omnis Gallia ejus doctrinâ per egenos scolares, quos ipse quotidiană stipe sollicitabat, disseminatâ. Wm. Malmesb., jii.

<sup>h</sup> Anthorities in Mabillon, Analect., and Schröckh, p. 509. <sup>1</sup> Compare Vita Lanfranci apud Giles.

Compare Vita Lanfranci apud Giles, Oper, Lanfranc, i. 188. There his frieuds are said to have sent the letter after him, that Lanfranc, suspected of heresy on account of his correspondence with Berengar, produced the letter.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>t</sup> "Hac ergo in re, si ita est, pater, indignum fecisti ingenio quod tibi Deus, *non aspernabile*, contulit, præproperam ferendo sententiam. Nondum enim sategesti in scripturå divinå, nec multum contulisti cum tuis diligentioribus." --Lanfranco Berengarius, apud Giles. Lanfranci Opera, Epist. 1.

manded to appear at the Council summoned to meet at Vercelli. But to Vercelli Berengar came not;<sup>k</sup> he had <sub>Sept. 1050</sub>.

appealed to King Henry of France for protection: <sup>sept. 1060</sup> he was imprisoned by that monarch in order, as he himself states, to extort money from him; nor would he submit to be judged out of his province. He had not merely proselytes, but fanatical followers. The Church of Tours sent one of their body to deprecate the hasty wrath of the Pope; he was accompanied by a learned clerk of

Burgundy, named Stephen.<sup>m</sup> Words ran high: to Lanfranc's learned arguments it was replied, that he who rejected the conclusions of Erigena rejected the words of St. Augustine.<sup>n</sup> One of Lanfranc's party, who branded Berengar with the name of heretic, the Canon of Tours declared, in the name of Almighty God, to be "a liar." But notwithstanding this bold resistance, the Council of Vercelli passed its censure both upon Erigena the master, and the disciple Berengar.

But Berengar treated the excommunication of the Pope and of the Council with sovereign contempt. His disdainful language towards the Pope offended even his friends. He charges his antagonists with ignorant or wilful misrepresentation.<sup>o</sup> In France his opinions divided the public mind; one distinguished prelate, Bruno of Angers, openly espoused his doctrines; they were favourably received by Froilant of Senlis. The king vacillated between the condemnation of Berengar and tacit connivance at his opinions. Two Councils were held, one by the Duke of

<sup>k</sup> It is remarkable with what supercilious contempt Berengar writes of the Council of Vercelli, even of Leo IX. He denies the right of the Pope to summon him to be judged beyond his metropolitan province. He accuses Leo of having lodged with the Bishop of Vercelli, who was living in open adultery with the wife of his uncle, a nobleman of Pavia, and of having refused to take cognisance of the charge publicly made by his uncle against the bishop.—p. 40. By an adverse writer he is reported to have spoken with equal scorn of the Pope and of the see of Rome: "Nempe S. Leonem P. non Pontificem sed pompificem et pulpificem appellavit, S. Romanam Ecclesiam vanitatis concilium et Ecclesiam malignantium, Romanam

sedem non apostolicam sed sedem Satanæ dictis et scriptis non timuit appellare."—Bernald. de Berengar. damnatione. Gieseler has quoted the whole passage, p. 285.

passage, p. 285. <sup>m</sup> "Dissuaserant secundum ecclesiastica jura, secundum quæ nullus extra provinciam ad judicium ne cogendus est ire."

<sup>n</sup> Much of the treatise 'De Sacrâ Comâ'is devoted to the proof that his own doctrines and those of Erigena were the same as those of Ambrose and Augustine.

"Berengar bitterly complains of the "Berengar bitterly complains of the misrepresentation of his doctrines: "Humbertus enim ille tuus, inaudito me...scripsit quod voluit, et quod meum non erat, mendaciter meum esse confinxit."

Normandy, whom Berengar had endeavoured to win over, at his castle of Brion: of this synod the date and the proceedings are but vaguely known. Berengar is said to have withdrawn discomfited, and admitting the truth of the established doctrine. The second was summoned by the king at Paris. There the Bishop of Orleans, the adversary of Berengar, took the lead. The tenets of Berengar and the book of Scotus were denounced by wild acclamation; and it was declared, that if Berengar did not recant that the clergy would summon the array of the kingdom, march at its head, besiege Berengar in whatever fortress or city he might take refuge, compel him to recant, or to surrender himself as prisoner. But the name of Erigena stood high in France; he had always been held as the most honoured divine at the court of Charles the Bald. The king hesitated. , and took no further measures for the restraint of Berengar.<sup>p</sup> It was not till towards the close of Leo's life and pontificate that the alarm grew so great at Rome that no less than Hildebrand himself, the cardinal-subdeacon of the Church, now rising towards that height of fame, afterwards to exalt him above all the world, was sent as legate into France to compose that dangerous feud. Hildebrand, with his natural intrepidity, summoned a Council at Tours, to assail his adversary in his stronghold. But at Tours April, 1054. Hildebrand, instead of taking the high ground of

authority, condescended to become persuasive and conciliatory; he was content with an ambiguous declaration extorted from Berengar, that after consecration the bread and wine were really the body and blood of the Lord.<sup>q</sup> With this, and with a faint expression of his determination to carry Berengar with him to Rome, Hildebrand closed his part in this momentous controversy. The secret is clear: Hilde-

<sup>q</sup> He states that before Hildebrand : "quod jurarem, panem atque vinum altaris post consecrationem sunt corpus Christi et sanguis. Hæc me sicut re proferrem, juramento confirmavi, cordetenui." It was the material change which he rigorously and constantly opposed, by every argument of obscure, indeed, and tortuous logic, but still with unaccommodating rigour.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>p</sup> "Ego interim dico: panem et vinum nions, p. 274. Compare De Sacrâ per consecrationem converti, in altari, Cœnâ, pp. 52, 53. in verum Christi corpus et sanguinem, non mea, non tua, sed evangelica apostolicaque simul autenticarum scripturarum quibus contra ire nefas, est senten-tia." He subjoins lower : "Quam diceres conversionem . . . minime assignasti."-P. 57. See against Material Transmuta-tion, p. 173. In more than one place Berengar states that he had disguised his opinions for fear of death.-p. 73. See also the distinct view of Berengar's opi-

brand was wanted at Rome; his place was there." The King of France was bringing forward in his council questions of great political importance, an accusation against the King of Spain, and a demand of aid against that king from the Emperor. The Council might be interminably protracted, and Pope Leo was in the utmost peril; his army had been defeated, he was a prisoner; if released, released only to die.<sup>8</sup> Besides the fatal effects of his humiliation, his macerations had begun to threaten his life; to those so deep in the politics of Rome the progress, the fatal end of his disease might be known by sure prognostics.

But we must reascend two years to Leo still in the full activity of his youth, still endeavouring to command the whole Latin world by his personal presence. Besides his aspiration to be Pope of Christendom, not of Rome only; though, as will hereafter appear, he had great schemes for the aggrandisement of the Pope in Italy, during this period he visited rather than took up his residence, or fixed his court in Rome. Three times, during his pontificate of five years, he crossed the Alps; once already he had been seen in Germany and at Rheims; the second Transalpine visitation took place immediately after the Council of Vercelli. Its ostensible object was a great religious ceremony in honour of the city of which he was still the bishop. The German Popes had introduced a singular kind of plurality, as if the Teuton felt insecure in his Roman see, and still reserved a safe retreat in his native land. Clement II. had retained the bishopric of Bamberg; Leo had been several Oct. 21, 1050. years Pope before he vacated that of Toul.

No gift could be bestowed on a city or on a church of equal value with that of a popular saint; the city grew in honour and in wealth. Not merely were its own citizens more under the influence of the clergy from this increased devotion, but it became a place of pilgrimage; multitudes flocked annually to the shrine with their offerings, and not seldom a profitable commercial mart grew up to the advan-

<sup>r</sup> Hildebrand had ordered all the tes, et superiores se in scientiâ alios non books bearing on the subject to be collected : "Ut ex eorum auctoritate satisfieret de eucharistiâ pro cujus diligen-tiori consideratione et veritatis, Dei misericordiâ, comprehensione hæreticis me insimulaverant homines nihil scien-

æquo animo tolerantes."-p. 52.

<sup>6</sup> According to Berengar, p. 52, he had heard of the actual death of Leo: "Nunciatum illi est, Papam Leonem rebus decessisse humanis."

tage of the town. Gerard had been bishop of Toul; he had reposed for fifty-six years in his tomb. During a ceremonial, as solemn as that which had installed the holy St. Remi in his new shrine, the tomb of Gerard was opened. The body was found in perfect preservation. An altar was consecrated to the new saint; wonderful cures were not wanting; privileges were lavishly bestowed on the favoured church, and on the clergy of the favoured see.

The Pope again visited some of the great cities of Germany, everywhere making munificent grants, confirming the rights and possessions of monasteries. He was at

Treves and at Augsburg; at the Feast of the. Feb. 2, 1051. Purification of the Virgin met the Emperor and the Pope. But enemies of the Pope had now arisen at the court of the Emperor. Leo IX. was too much of a German pontiff for the Italians, not German enough for his countrymen. The Germans, during the reign of the Franconian emperors, had possessed themselves of some of the wealthiest sees in Italy, as well as of that of Rome.<sup>t</sup> A German held the see of Ravenna; and under his episcopate Ravenna had begun to renew her ancient pretensions to independence of Rome. Leo, in the true Roman spirit, would not endure the encroachments even of a German prelate, raised to his see by the special favour of the Emperor. The Italian prelates at Vercelli joined eagerly in the humiliation of the German of Ravenna; Humfred was degraded and excommunicated by the Pope and Council. At this act the brooding jealousy against the Pope broke out at the court of Henry into open hostility. Bishop Nitger of Freisingen, a magnificent prelate, whose revenue, if in part dedicated to less sacred uses, was splendidly employed on ecclesiastical buildings, during some warm dispute relating to the affair of Ravenna, grasping his neck, said, "May a sword cleave this throat if I work not the ruin of this Pope." The biographer of Leo adds that the bishop " was seized with a pain in the neck, and died in a few days. At Augsburg the Pope was compelled

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>t</sup> Hoefler has drawn out a list of Ger-man prelates, by which it appears that the Patriarchate of Aquileia, the Bishop-rics of Como, Padua, and Verona, were Nitger survived the Archbishop of a long time almost exclusively in their Ravenna some time,

to submit to the restoration of his haughty antagonist. - Humfred, it is true, was ordered to make restitution of all which he had unlawfully usurped from the Pope, to acknowledge his supremacy and to request his forgiveness. He knelt; "According to the depth of his repentance," said the Pope, "may God forgive him his sins." The prelate rose, and broke out in scornful laughter. Tears filled the eyes of the Pope. "Miserable, he is a dead man!" Humfred returned to Ravenna, fell ill, and in a few days died, not without strong suspicions of poison.<sup>x</sup>

The third journey of Leo IX. beyond the Alps was as mediator between the Emperor Henry and An- stephen drew King of Hungary. Fifty years had elapsed King, 1000-since that formidable people the Hungarians had 1052, Leo's third journey been converted to Christianity. St. Stephen, their to Germany. king, had wrought this almost sudden change. Conversion of Stephen was the son of a Christian mother, Sarolta, Hungary. herself the daughter of Gizela, who had been converted by a monk of Constantinople, Hierotheus. King Geisa, father of Stephen, seems to have hovered between the old Magyar religion of his subjects and his new faith. Stephen was not baptised in his infancy; the holy St. Adalbert administered to him that redeeming rite; he received the Christian name of Stephen; he obtained the hand of a Christian bride, Gisela, the daughter of the Emperor Otho. On the death of Geisa, an insurrection of the Magyars against the foreign councils and the foreign faith was suppressed. The Christian King ascended the throne; his first act was to unite himself to Latin Christendom; he sent an embassy to Pope Sylvester II., and received the present of a crown, and a Papal edict empowering him to regulate the ecclesiastical offices of his realm. He was crowned King of Hungary at Gran. Throughout the land. rose churches: the nation received the religion of their Sovereign. Stephen, during his reign of thirty years, continued in the practices of that faith and of those virtues, which acquired for him the name and renown of a saint. But

<sup>\*</sup> Gfrörer, with somewhat dubious picion. He lays it to the hostility of the charity, labours to exculpate the Pope from all share in this crime, of which no candid man can have the least sus-

Peter, the successor of Stephen, did not rule, he was ruled by German and Italian priests. The rude and warlike people had indignantly thrown off the voke. The unhappy king was dethroned, blinded; Andrew and Levanta, two princes of the royal race, were placed on the throne, heathenism became again the national religion; everywhere the old altars rose; the Christians were persecuted; some priests and bishops suffered martyrdom. But on the death of Levanta, Andrew boldly declared himself a Christian; he was crowned by a Christian bishop (probably the native bishops had been permitted to remain); he restored the churches, and prohibited heathenism on pain of death.

The Emperor Henry had espoused the cause of the dethroned Peter; on his death he declared Hungary a fief of the empire; Andrew offered tribute, it was rejected with scorn. At the instigation of Henry, himself engaged in the war with Godfrey of Lorraine, his uncle Gebhard, Bishop of Ratisbon, led a marauding expedition into Hungary. He was defeated; but the Count of Bavaria and Adalbert Margrave of Austria entered into the war. It was waged with greater yet not with conclusive success. Haimbourg the frontier town was taken and retaken. Henry himself in the year 1051 headed a campaign without important result, the next year he advanced with a more overwhelming force, and laid siege to Presburg. Pope Leo appeared in his camp to reconcile the temporal head of Christendom y with a king who had restored

the Christianity of his realm. But his mediation Aug. Sept. was rejected by both parties. He urged on the Emperor the terms of submission and tribute, offered by the Hungarians; Henry coldly and contemptuously declined the conditions. But the tide of war turned, the Hungarians sunk the provision ships on the Danube, on which the army of the Emperor depended for their subsistence; and now the Hungarian in his turn refused the humiliating concessions, which he had offered before. The Pope withdrew, not without some loss of dignity; the peace was not established till the following year, and then without his

<sup>7</sup> An Hungarian prelate, Coloczy, had of King Andrew to the Pope. Compare been among the bishops present at Toul, throughout Mailath, Geschichte der perhaps as ambassador, secret or avowed, Magyaren.

CHAP. II.

interference. At Ratisbon during the close of this year Pope Leo pursued his favourite avocation, the canonization of Saints. Two bishops of that city, Erhard and Wolfgang, were installed in that honour with the usual imposing ceremony.

Christmas was celebrated by the Pope and the Emperor, and many of the great prelates of Germany, at Worms. They met not merely to celebrate the birth of the A.D. 1052 Pope Leo at Redeemer with more than usual magnificence, but Worms.

on secular affairs of great, it appeared of vital importance to the Pope. Leo, though maintaining his hold on Transalpine Christendom, had not neglected the affairs of Italy. Those affairs in which he appeared in a new character, and of which he was perhaps the victim, must be unfolded hereafter. He aspired it is clear to restore the Pope to his rank as an Italian Potentate, to become something more than a secular vassal of the empire, something beyond the spiritual monarch of Christendom. The See of Rome laid claim to many wealthy churches and abbeys,<sup>z</sup> either as the pious donations of the founders, or as the grants of emperors. Among these were the famous Abbey of Fulda, and the bishopric of Bamberg.<sup>a</sup> Leo agreed to surrender; these endowments in exchange for the city and territory of Benevento, stipulating at the same time for a strong imperial force to put him in possession of that city, and to enable him to subdue the formidable and hostile Normans.

But the Antipapal party at the court of Henry had grown in strength and in bitterness of hostility; the more the Pope became an Italian, and the more he asserted his independence, the more odious he became to the great German prelates. His most attached friend and most powerful supporter, the holy and charitable Bardas, the Archbishop of Mentz, was dead; the emperor of his own authority had appointed Liutpold, Provost of the Chapter of Bamberg, to this German primacy. The Pope had bestowed, in order to propitiate the new primate, some further privileges on the See of Mentz. The

<sup>2</sup> See the list of 31 churches and 47 monasteries, besides some allodial

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Gfrörer conjectures that the Bishopric of Bamberg was attached to the estates, in Muratori Antiq. v. Dissert. 69. Papacy on the promotion of Clement the -Hoefler, p. 367. Second.

archbishop was the Papal Legate in his own diocese. But as if he knew the character of Liutpold, he took Bamberg, Oct. 18. the opportunity of this grant to remind him of the duties of his function. A triffing incident betrayed the mutual jealousy of the German and Italian churchmen, the difficult position of the Pope, who having rashly favoured the insolent superiority of the Italians, was obliged to humble himself before the sullen obstinacy of the Germans. In the mass for Christmas day, the Pope read the service, the next day the Archbishop of Mentz, the Metropolitan of Worms, performed the function. The procession was ended, the archbishop had taken his seat, Humbert, a deacon of the archbishop, chanted the Gospel in a tone different from the Roman usage, perhaps jarring to Italian ears. The Italians requested the Pope to forbid him from proceeding in his dissonant chant; the Pope did so, but the deacon went on, disregarding the papal mandate. The Pope allowed the Gospel to be ended, summoned the refractory deacon, and declared him deposed from his office: the archbishop instantly sent some of his clergy to demand the restoration of his deacon; the Pope declined. The service went on, it was the time for the elevation of the Host. The archbishop sat stubbornly in his seat, and declared that neither he himself, nor any other should proceed with the office. The whole ceremony paused; the Pope was obliged to yield, his apologists said because he would not interfere with the rights of a metropolitan in his own diocese; the deacon was reinvested in his functions; the archbishop condescended to discharge the rest of his holy office.

But this, humiliating as it was, was not the most fatal mark of jealousy displayed by German churchmen against the unpopular Pontiff. At a council at Mantua, suddenly broken off, A.D. 1053. Quinquage-sima. were undisguised signs of German hostility.<sup>b</sup> Gebhard, Bishop of Eichstadt, who was of great weight in the councils of the Emperor, persuaded him to withdraw the greater part of the troops, which were to march with

<sup>b</sup> At the council of Mantua the up-roar against the Pope was not on ac-count of the married elergy, against whom stronger measures were threa-tened, but the attempt of the Pope to

the Pope into Italy, and put him in possession of Benevento. The Pope had influence enough to retain in his service 500 Swabian knights. With these, and assembling around his standard, as he went, a host of lawless adventurers and mercenaries, the holy Leo marched through Italy to appear at the head of his own forces, the first or almost the first martial pope, against the terrible Normans. The Italian policy of Leo, bold, aggressive to a certain degree, had been justified by success. In the reconquest of Sardinia from a new invasion of the Saracens, his admonitions and advice had encouraged the Pisans to achieve the conquest. In the neighbourhood of Rome he had not been able to subdue the fierce barons, who still maintained the fastness cities, and awaited their time: a Crescentius still held Tusculum. But Southern Italy offered a more promising field for the extension and consolidation of his sovereignty. It was held by three powers mortally hostile to each other, the Greeks, the Saracens, and the Normans. Of these the Saracens, by recent feuds, had been so weakened, that Leo, in his lofty visions, began to dream of the reconquest of Sicily to Christendom. The Greeks, almost in despair of maintaining their ground against the Norman adventurers, had vainly sought recourse in craft. Thev endeavoured to bribe them with enormous pay to enter into their service, and engage in new wars in the East. But the Normans knew their strength: this body of men, who had arrived in Italy as pilgrims, had now become sovereigns of many cities; they warred impartially on all.° The deliverance of Southern Italy from these half christianised and barbarous freebooters seemed to justify to Pope Leo even his warlike propensities. His first incursion into the South had been of a more peaceful, more seemly character; but it had opened to his ambition views which matured slowly to the close of his life. In the second year of his pontificate, he had again visited Monte Casino, and held a synod at Salerno. At his approach the May, 1050. city of Benevento threw off the voke of its sovereign prince, Landulf of Capua. Leo hesitated not to accept the popular surrender; and to receive the city as part of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> On the settlement of the Normans, Normans, 'published by M. Champollion in Italy read the curious chronicle, 'Li Figeac. Soc. de l'Histoire de France. VOL. III. D

domain of St. Peter. The faction of the Capuan regained possession, Leo excommunicated the rebellious city. He was now by the Imperial grant Lord of Benevento. He was at the head of an army, enlisted to expel the Normans from the land. He wrote to the Greek Emperor, Constantine Monomachus, to declare the reasons which urged him to undertake this war in person. In his martial ardour he forgot the theologic controversy,<sup>d</sup> which was rising to its height with the patriarch of Constantinople, Michael Cerularius; the controversy, which prolonged for centuries the schism between the Greek and Latin churches. While the vital question as to the nature of the Sacrament threatened to distract the West, the East broke off all connexion with a church which dared to use unleavened bread in that solemn rite. The Normans, thus wrote Leo to the Emperor, that undisciplined and foreign race, were still ravaging Christian Italy with more than Pagan impiety; they spared neither age nor sex, and not merely slew the Christians indiscriminately in promiscuous fray, but put them to death slowly with indescribable tortures. They made no distinction between things sacred and profane; they plundered, burned, razed churches. Princes, according to the apostles, were not to bear the sword in vain; and as a prince Leo went out to war, not that he desired the death of a single Norman, nor of any human being, but by the terrors of human judgments, these unbelievers must be taught the terms of God's judgments.<sup>dd</sup>

In his youthful days, Leo had acquired some fame for military conduct; he had commanded the vassals of the bishopric of Toul in one of the Emperor Conrad's expeditions into Italy. Some vain self-confidence may have

bus, et ab ejus immaculato corpore sanctificando manus confestim ad muliebres transferat amplexus. . . . Pro quibus omnibus et aliis, quos longum est scripto prosequi erroribus, nisi resiperitis et digne satisfeceritis, irrevocabile anathema hic et in futuro eritis a Deo et ab omnibus Catholicis, pro quibus Chris-tus animam suam posuit." Compare the answer by Nicetas. Apud Canis. pp. 301-314.

dd Leo IX. Epist. Constant. Mono-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> For this controversy between Her-bert, Cardinal Bishop of Sylva Candida, legate at Constantinople, and the clergy of Constantinople, which ended in haughty words on both sides, see Le-onis Epist., apud Mansi, xix. Canisii Lectiones Antiq. One of the strongest objections against the Greek Church was the marriage of her clergy. The Latin replies: "Hæccine quoque sunt illa majora et perfectiora, ut novus maritus et recente carnis voluptate resolutus et totus marcidus Christi ministret altari- mach. vii.; Labbe, p. 982.

mingled with the zeal which induced him to lead his own army against the enemies of the faith "---an act at which some of the more religious stood amazed, and did not disguise their utter repugnance. The stern recluse Peter Damiani protested with all his natural energy."

The conduct of Leo in the campaign belied his early fame. The sagacity and forethought, formerly ascribed to him, utterly failed. Not that he actually took the generalship of his troops in the battle, but all the movements seem to have been made under his guidance. From San Germano he advanced to Capua, accompanied by many bishops, by Frederick of Lorraine the Chancellor of the Empire, the Duke of Gaeta, the Counts of Aquino and Teano. Thence he marched into the Capitanata, the stronghold of the Normans. The Apulians and other Italians flocked to his standard. He had an interview with Argyrous, the Greek Catapan of Calabria, who promised his succour. He fixed his quarters at Civitella, and June 18, launched his first blow, the excommunication of the 1053. Normans. The Normans either were, or pretended to be,

appalled by these vast preparations. They offered terms of submission. These were peremptorily refused by Frederick of Lorraine: the only terms offered were their total abandonment of Italy. The Pope saw not the danger of driving them to despair. He pushed forward his troops to the banks of the Fertorio, near Dragonata. The German troops were not above 500. The terrible Normans mustered 3000 knights, men who were said to be able to cleave an enemy from the head to the saddle with one blow. They were commanded by the sons of Tancred, Humfrey, Richard of

dispositione, sic repente sagax ap-paruit et providus, quasi hujusmodi ne-gotiis tantum fuisset hactenus exercitatus."—Wibert, i. 7.

f Damiani lays down this irrefra-gable proposition: "Si ergo pro Fide, quâ universalis vivit Ecclesia, nusquam ferrea corripi arma conceditur, quomodo pro terrenis ac transitoriis Ecclesiæ facultatibus loricatæ acies in gladios de-bacchantur." "When the saints have power they do not even slay heretics Peter, and the adultery of David, and infidels." He proceeds to condemn have scorned this distinction? — Da-Leo IX : "Ad hac si quis objiciat, bellicis usibus Leonem se frequenter

<sup>°</sup> "In illius itaque sæcularis militiæ implicuisse Pontificem, verumtamen spositione, sic repente sagax ap- sanctum esse. Dico quod sentio, quo-uruit et providus, quasi hujusmodi ne- niam nec Petrus ob hoc Apostolicum obtinet principatum, quia negavit; nec David ideirco propheticæ meretur oraculum, quia torum alieni viri invasit." It is curious to read Damiani's com-It is currous to read Damain's com-mentator, trying to make out that Da-miani does not condemn the Pope's using the sword as a temporal prince. How would the old Saint, who com-pared Leo's wars with the denial of Peter, and the adultery of David, have correct this distinction? David miani, Epist. iv. 9.

Aversa, and the yet unrenowned Robert Guiscard. Three days the armies watched each other. While the negotiations, were pending, the Normans occupied a hill, on which depended the fate of the battle. The fourth day they burst down in three squadrons. The Lombards, on one wing, the Apulians on the other, fled at once: the Germans were surrounded and cut to pieces to a man.

The Normans rushed from the field to seize the Pope at Civitella. An accidental conflagration repelled them for that night: the next day they entered the town. The humbled Pope at once relieved them from their excommunication: they became again sons of the Church. Was it religious awe, or was it subtle policy, which made them at once her obsequious sons? The rude soldiery perhaps from dread, the leaders from that craft in which the Normans excelled as much as in valour, cast themselves down before the Pope, entreated his pardon, professed deep penitence. But they lost no time in securing again all the cities which had thrown off their yoke. Count Humfrey, the gentlest of the sons of Tancred, remained as gaoler, or as an attendant on the Pope. Leo was allowed to visit the battle-field,<sup>g</sup> to bury his own soldiers with the honours of martyrs. He declared that he had heavenly visions of their glory, as having died for the faith. The Normans themselves afterwards assisted in building a church over their remains. The Pope was conducted with all respect by Count Humfrey to Benevento: there he remained, a prisoner, though treated with the most profound outward reverence, for some months.

Heart-broken at the failure of all his schemes; perhaps now conscious of his own unclerical conduct, in becoming the leader of an army; seeing the divine condemnation in his abasement, his imprisonment, notwithstanding the courteous and deferential demeanour of the Normans; knowing that he was absolutely in their power, the pious Leo betook himself to the severest acts of penitential austerity. He wore nothing but sackcloth; he slept on a carpet, with a stone for his pillow, the few hours which he allowed for sleep. Every day he performed mass; almost all the rest of the day and night were passed in prayer <sup>8</sup> The battle was fought Juge 16, 1053. Leo set off for Rome March 21, 1054. CHAP. II.

and the recital of the Psalter. He did not absolutely neglect his ecclesiastical functions; he appointed a new abbot of Santa Sophia, in Benevento. He kept up a correspondence with Africa, with Constantinople, with the most remote parts of Latin Christendom; but his chief occupation, besides his prayers, was works of charity. IIis admirers glorify his imprisonment with many miracles. But his sorrow and his maccrations had wasted all his strength; the hand of death was upon him. The Normans, perhaps out of compassion, perhaps lest they should be accused of the death of the Pope while in their hands, were willing to release the dying man. On the 12th of March he left Benevento, under the escort of the Norman Humfrey. He was obliged to rest twelve days at Capua. He arrived at Rome, but repressed the universal joy by melancholy intimations of his approaching death, too visibly confirmed by his helpless condition. His calm departure reaches sublimity. He ordered his coffin to be carried to St. Peter's; he reposed on a couch by its There he gave his last admonitions to the ecclesiside. astics around, entreating them to abstain from simony and the alienation of the estates of the Church; there he received the last sacraments. He rose with difficulty, and looked into his coffin. "Behold, my brethren, the mutability of human things. The cell which I dwelt in as a monk expanded into yonder spacious palace; it shrinks again into this narrow coffin." The next morning he was dead. He died before the altar of St. Peter's. As might be expected, his death had been announced by visions: monks had beheld in their dreams angels in white robes April 13, by his bed-side. Wonderful cures immediately fol- 1059. lowed his departure. A devil, who had possessed a Tuscan woman for above nine years, confessed that Leo had already ascended to heaven, and that it was by his power that he was driven forth. A woman laughed this tale to scorn; she was seized by the expelled fiend, and compelled to pray to the new Saint. The lame, the dumb, the lepers, were brought from all parts of Italy to touch his remains. Churches were built to his honour in Benevento, in Toul, and in many other cities.

## CHAPTER III.

## CONTINUATION OF GERMAN POPES.

HILDEBRAND having concluded his hasty treaty with Berengar, but not leading with him, as he had threatened, the captive heretic, travelled with the utmost speed to Rome. Pope Leo, it is said, had bequeathed the administration of the see, during the vacancy, to the Cardinalsubdeacon; but tumults were threatened, or actually broke out: the party of Benedict, the old Roman party, was not extinct. According to one account it made a bold attempt to regain its power. Hildebrand was too wise himself as yet to aspire to the unsafe dignity. Pope must be a wealthy prelate, for the larger part of the papal domains were still in the hands of the baronial plunderers. An Italian pope of the most awful piety, of the most determined energy, would only have wielded spiritual weapons, to which those lawless men had been too long habituated not to laugh them to scorn. The Pope must command the imperial protection, without which Rome might at any time become the prey of the Normans. That terrible race had again resumed their hostile aspect; their ally, the Count of Reate, had not scrupled to seize and imprison the future Cardinal Frederick of Lorraine and the other legates of Pope Leo on their return from Constantinople. The Pope would have become the slave, he had not yet learned the wiser policy of being the patron and ally, of these barbarians. After some months it was determined to send an embassy to the Emperor, at the head of which was Hildebrand himself, to implore his nomination. But Hildebrand had already determined upon his choice-a Pope who might meet the exigencies of the times, and whose election would so flatter the Emperor, that he would hardly refuse to concur cordially in. the appointment. Gebhard of Eichstadt was one of the

richest, undoubtedly the ablest of the German prelates. Gebhard might be considered the remote cause of the discomfiture of Leo at Civitella, and of his premature death. He it was who had advised the Emperor to countermand the march of the great body of his troops to the support of Leo. He had veiled this act of jealous hostility to Leo under affected scorn of the Normans; with two hundred knights he would chase them from Italy. If Gebhard could command the German troops to retire, he could command them to advance in these perilous times to the rescue of Rome.

The rise of Gebhard of Eichstadt to power and influence had been rapid and extraordinary. Gebhard, Bishop of Ratisbon, the uncle of the Emperor, had demanded for his favourite, Cuno, the succession to his see. From some latent cause, on the pretext that Cuno was the son of a married priest, Henry refused the nomination, but endeavoured to propitiate his uncle by leaving the appointment absolutely in his power. The Bishop of Ratisbon immediately named Gebhard, a remote descendant of the noble house of Calw. To the Emperor's objection against his extreme youth, the bishop replied with prophetic sagacity, that Gebhard would rise to still higher honours. This vaticination began immediately to give promise of fulfilment. The Bishop of Eichstadt showed consummate abilities; he was of the greatest service to the Emperor in most difficult circumstances, particularly during the Hungarian war. He became his most intimate and confidential counsellor.

It was a great stroke of policy to secure the full exertion of the imperial power to reinstate the Pope in the dignity and security of his office, to repel the Normans, perhaps to wrest back from their unworthy possessors some of the estates of the see; while at the same time it deprived the Emperor of a counsellor who was most likely to give success to his policy, to the German policy, of retaining the Pope in obsequious vassalage to the Empire. It might be boldly predicted from the ambition and abilities of such a Pope as Gebhard, that after the great work of the reestablishment of the papacy was completed, the Churchman, as in his predecessors, would predominate over the faithful subject, the Italian Pope over the German Liege-Gebhard foresaw the danger, shrunk from the man. temptation; he had rather remain the commanding counsellor than the equal, the rival, it might be the enemy, of He yielded to the pressing entreaties of his master. Hildebrand and the Romans, and of his Imperial sovereign, only after long delay, only on the significant terms that the Emperor would restore the rights and possessions which he held belonging to the Papacy. This speech implied the pledge of his assistance to recover April 13, 1055. those usurped by others. A whole year had elapsed before the successor of Leo IX. was inaugurated at Rome under the name of Victor II.

The Emperor followed his Pope into Italy at the head of an imposing and powerful array. But a new enemy had arisen, if not more formidable, more hateful to the Emperor than the Normans or the usurpers of the Papal Godfrey, the deposed Duke of Lorraine, had been estates. Henry's ancient antagonist. Godfrey, anathematized by Leo IX., deserted by his allies, had submitted to the loss of his hereditary dukedom; he had led an aimless and adventurous life. One of the acts which was considered as betraving hostility to the Emperor in Pope Leo, had been the elevation of Godfrey's brother, Frederick of Lorraine, to the Cardinalate, and to the highest honours of the Church. Godfrey had accompanied his brother, the Cardinal Legate, on his mission to Constantinople. On his return he married Beatrice, the widow of Boniface, Marguis of Tuscany, who had been murdered a few years before.

The whole inheritance of that family, the most powerful in Italy, the inheritance which, afterwards falling to the famous Countess Matilda, was the great source of the independence and overweening power of Gregory VII., was at the command of the Emperor's implacable enemy. The depression of the house of Lorraine was the one object which now occupied the Emperor. The mother and her daughter fell into his hands. Godfrey of Lorraine was forced to abandon his Italian possessions; he fled to Germany, to stir up more perilous revolt against the Imperial authority. The Cardinal Frederick, pursued by the implacable jealousy of the Emperor, did not find himself safe even in the holy sanctuary of Monte Casino. He took refuge in a more unapproachable monastery in the rocky island of Thermita, to emerge in a short time, under other circumstances, as the Supreme Pontiff.

Pope Victor II. held a council in the presence of the Emperor at Florence, then an unimportant city.

Besides the ordinary denunciations against simoniacal proceedings, and a new sentence against the excommunicated Berengar, a decree was passed which attempted to strike at the root of that evil which impoverished the papacy, broke up the Church property into small pieces, and made laymen the actual possessors of the estates of the Church. It prohibited, under pain of excommunication, all bishops and abbots from granting the estates of the Church as fiefs to knights or nobles. The Pope set the example of this new proceeding; on the falling in of the fief of Spoleto and Camerina, he became himself the Marquis. He proceeded, no doubt under the dread of the protection of his imperial master, to resume other lands which had been rashly and fraudulently granted away in the more turbulent periods to the barons of the Romagna.

But, whether from his severity in the condemnation of simony, the enforcement of ecclesiastical discipline, and the threatened resumption of the estates of the Church, the Italian hatred to a German pope soon found a man bold and guilty enough to endeavour the murder of Victor. A subdeacon mingled poison even with the blood of the Lord in the Eucharist; the story took the form of legend; the Pope could not lift the chalice; he entreated the prayers of the faithful to enable him to investigate the cause of this wonder; the affrighted culprit fell on his knees and confessed, or, as it was said, the dæmon who possessed him confessed his guilt.

The Emperor, on the news of threatened insurrection, had hastened back to Germany. Instead of descending again in the next year to Italy, he sent messenger after messenger pressing the return of his one faithful and wise counsellor to Germany. The Empire was in open or secret revolt in many parts. Godfrey of Lorraine had organised an insurrection : France threatened war: the Pope hastened to the aid of his old master. He arrived at Botfeld to receive his confession, to administer the last Sacraments. The Emperor, in consequence of violent exertion in the chase, had caught a fever, which, working on a mind harassed by the perplexing state of affairs, • Oct. 5, 1056. brought him to the grave. He died, forgiving all Oct. 28. his enemies, making restitution of all which he had unjustly possessed, bequeathing his infant son to the care of the Pope. He was buried by the faithful Pope at Spires.

Victor II., Gebhard of Eichstadt, was now in power both Pope and Emperor; his wise moderation appeased the angry conflict. He reconciled Baldwin of Flanders to the young king by a timely concession of his hereditary dukedom. He allayed the enmity of Godfrey of Lorraine; he gave no offence to those who were most likely to take offence at this pre-eminent elevation of one of June, 1057. Oct. 29, 1055. their own order, the great prelates of Germany; he raised the celebrated Adalbert into a metropolitan of Northern Germany, as Archbishop of Hamburg. He sent Hildebrand again into France to reform the Church, to depose the simoniacal prelates, to wrest the power and the wealth of the clergy out of the hands of the laity. In Italy it had already, before the Emperor's death, begun to appear that the Pope now wielded the power of the Empire. He had made a progress into the March of Ancona as Duke of Spoleto and Marquis of Camerina and Fermo Nov., Dec., 1055. and of the March of Ancona. He raised his . tribunal, and was received with the utmost submission; many of the unruly barons attended obsequiously upon his court. He summoned the Count Teuto and his sons for unlawfully withholding the castle of La Vitice from the Bishop of Teramo. The contumacious Teuto not appearing, the judge of the Pope declared him in rebellion, pronounced against him the ban of the Empire and of the Pope, and gave orders to take the castle by force. These

Υ.

proceedings were not always carried out without strong murmurs. Peter Damiani, in one case, thought himself called upon to intrude his remonstrances, and to admonish Pope Victor as to the observance of more equal justice. It was an ungrateful return to God who raised Victor to the favour of the Emperor, and had now invested him with imperial power, to abuse that power, to despoil unrightfully a man who had withdrawn from the world and dedicated himself to Christ." But at the summons of Pope Victor a large synod of bishops from Northern and Central Italy met Apul 18, at Florence; those of Florence, Arezzo, Nocera, Castello, Popilia, Sienna, Vercelli, Turin, Eugubio, Velletri, Fiesole, Pisa, Pistoia. Of the acts of this Synod nothing is known but the presentation of Frederick of Lorraine, fallen into such disgrace with the Emperor Henry III., but now wisely restored to favour, as Abbot of Monte Casino to the Pope. Frederick was received with the utmost courtesy, confirmed as abbot, and at the same time acknowledged as the Cardinal of St. Chrysogonus in the Roman Church. The ambition of Victor rose with his power; his grants assume a loftier tone; the Apostolic throne of Peter, the chief of the Apostles, is raised high above all people, and all realms, that he may pluck up and destroy, plant and build in his name. He was preparing again to cross the Alps to arrange, in his character of guardian of the Empire, with the Empress Agnes the affairs of Germany; he was meditating a second great Council July 28. at Rheims, to accomplish the reform in the 1057. Church of France. He suddenly died at Arezzo, and with him expired all these magnificent schemes of universal rule.

When the unexpected intelligence of Pope Victor's death arrived at Rome, the Cardinal Frederick of Lorraine had not departed to Monte Casino. The death of Victor caused almost a vacancy in the Empire as well as in the Papacy. The Empress mother alone, now deprived of her ablest counsellor, and her young son, represented the Franconian Cæsars. The House of Lorraine was in the ascendant; not only had Duke Godfrey been permitted to resume his hereditary rank and title, Victor, the Pope,

<sup>\*</sup> Damiani, Epist. i. v. The circumstances to which Damiani alludes are unknown.

had either from policy consented, or yielded through fear, to admit Godfrey and his wife Beatrice of Tuscany as joint representatives of the Empire, and as rulers of Italy. Frederick of Lorraine was not a Roman, not even an Italian, but he was the hereditary enemy of the Imperial House; he had suffered bitter persecution from the late Emperor. The Romans determined to seize the occasion of reasserting their privilege of themselves creating the Pope without regard to the permission or sanction of the Emperor. Hildebrand was absent; and as they still hesitated, they consulted the Abbot of Monte Casino concerning the future Pope. Frederick of Lorraine named no single prelate; he embarrassed them (if indeed the whole was not well understood between the parties) with the choice among five prelates, Humbert Cardinal Bishop of St. Rufino, the Bishops of Velletri, of Tusculum, and of Perugia, and the Subdeacon Hildebrand. It was proposed to await his return; but the dominant party would hear of They declared none of these to be equal to the no delay. Papacy; Frederick of Lorraine himself must be the Pope. "Be it as you will," said the unresisting Abbot, "ye can only do what God permits you to do." Five days after the death of Victor, Frederick, under the name of Stephen Pope, Aug. 2, 1057. Stephen IX., was inaugurated in the church of St. Peter ad Vincula, and installed amid universal joy in the Lateran Palace. Frederick of Lorraine was a churchman of the sternest and haughtiest views. As the Legate of Leo IX. at Constantinople, he had asserted the Roman supremacy in the strongest terms. He had anathematised the Byzantine Church in language which, notwithstanding that the policy of the reigning Emperor Constantine Monomachus, intent on retaining, as an ally of the Pope, his small remaining territory in the south of Italy, led him to endure any degradation of his Church by the representatives of the Pope, eventually tended to widen the irreparable breach between the East and West. He drew up, with his colleagues, a paper which he solemnly deposed on the high altar of St. Sophia, which, while it condescended to admit that among the pillars of the realm and the great dignitaries of the state, they had found much true faith and -

orthodox doctrine; the so-called Patriarch and his followers were sowing the seeds of all imaginable heresies. Like the Simonists, they sold the grace of God: like the Valesians, they appointed men whom they had castrated not only to the priesthood but to the episcopate:<sup>b</sup> like the Arians, they rebaptised those who had been baptised before in the name of the Holy Trinity, even Latin Christians; like the Donatists, they declared that without the Church of Byzantium was no true Church, the sacraments were of none effect: like the Nicolaites, they permitted carnal union to priests: like the Severians, they declared the law of Moses accursed; and cut off from the article about the Holy Ghost his procession from the Son as from the Father: like the Manicheans, they asserted that whatever is leavened has life. Like the Nazarenes, they so highly respect the purification of the Jews, that they do not baptise children who die before the eighth day, and do not administer the communion to women who are in danger during childbirth; if heathens, do not baptise them. Finally, they do not, because they themselves wear their hair and beard long, admit to the communion those who, according to the Roman usage, clip their hair and shave their chins. "Accursed therefore be Michael, miscalled Patriarch, Leo Bishop of Acrida, and all their followers, with those of Simon, Vales, Donatus, Arius, Nicolaus, Severus, with all the enemies of God and the Holy Ghost, the Manicheans and Nazarenes, and all heretics, yea with the Devil and his Angels. Amen! amen! amen!" With this protest Frederick of Lorraine and the other legates had shaken the dust from their feet, and left the guilty Constantinople.

The abbot of Monte Casino was a rigid monk as well as a haughty churchman: the appointment of Peter Damiani, the austere champion of clerical celibacy, the sworn enemy of the married clergy to the cardinalate, showed to the world the inclination of his mind on these great points, on which the Church was plunging into a mortal contest.

But the secular prince, the heir of a German dukedom, was not sunk either in the monk or in the churchman.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Compare on this extraordinary charge against these Valesians (Valentinians, qu.?) the letter in Mansi.

Pope Stephen IX. had great schemes at once for the deliverance of Italy, for the elevation of his own family, perhaps some undetected desire of revenge against the house of his enemy, Henry III. He proposed, by the aid of Godfrey, now in possession of the marquisate of Tuscany, to expel the Normans from Italy, and afterwards to elevate Godfrey, the deliverer and master of Italy, to the Imperial throne. But great means were necessary to arm a force sufficiently powerful to subdue the Normans. The Abbot of Monte Casino (he was still Abbot) remembered the vast treasures which the piety of centuries had accumulated in the vaults of Monte Casino (though once plundered by the Saracens),° the votive offerings to St. Benedict, whom every devout monk considered his spiritual ancestor. He caused these treasures to be conveyed to Rome: he intended to devote them to this sacred crusade. But as he surveyed them religious terror seized his mind; visions were not wanting in which the holy Benedict and his sister Scolastica appeared to pious worshippers to protest against and to denounce this sacrilegious alienation of their riches. In an agony of remorse the Pope sent back the whole, except one picture, which himself had brought The secret of this conduct is clear: from Constantinople. it was the consciousness of failing health which repressed the bold ambition of the Pope. On St. Andrew's day, but four months after his election, he had retired to Monte Casino. At Christmas he was seized with a vio-Christmas. 1057. lent illness, and was at the point of death. Already had he begun to take measures for the administration of affairs after his decease. On his return Feb. 10, 1058. to Rome in February, an access of returning health re-awakened his paralysed ambition. But the hand of death was upon him. He set off for Florence, March 29, turned aside to visit the holy Gualberto in his 1058. retirement at Vallombrosa, and after a few days died in his arms.

The death of Stephen IX. was no sooner announced in Rome than each faction took its measures. The Imperial party sent a submissive message to the Empress Agnes,

<sup>&</sup>quot; Leo Ostien.

laving the nomination at the feet of her and of her son. But the old Roman feudatory barons, who had been already compelled to relax their hold on some of the wealth of the Church, saw at once their opportunity to seize the Papal election again into their own hands. The minority of the Emperor gave them courage. The Count of Tusculum, the Count of Galeria, the Crescentii of Monticello rose without delay. John Mincius, the Cardinal Bishop of Velletri, had been one of the five prelates named by Cardinal Frederick of Lorraine on the death of Victor II.: he was of the famous house of Cres-Him they persuaded or compelled to accept the centius. dignity. He was hastily inaugurated, enthroned by night, and took the name of Benedict X. From him they proceeded to extort the most lavish grants. They plundered the treasures, no doubt on the specious pretext of purchasing the good-will of the people. The sacred oblations of St. Peter were not secure; the hammer of the coiner was heard beating up those holy ornaments into money. The cardinals present protested, and fled Cardinal Humbert of St. Sabina and Peter from Rome. of Tusculum returned from the burial of the Pope: they found Benedict on the throne, the Romans submitting to a Roman Pope. They withdrew in all haste to Florence, to concert measures with him whose master-mind they had begun to acknowledge. Hildebrand was accidentally at the Imperial Court, on a mission from the late Pope; he would have no difficulty in rousing the resentment of the Empress against this usurpation, this insult, after the nomination had been offered by the Romans. She empowered him to proceed to a new election. Hildebrand alleged the specious reason of visiting the tomb of his late benefactor, the deceased Stephen IX., to delay in Flo-There he enlisted in the same cause the ancient rence. enemy of the Imperial House and of the Empress, Godfrey of Lorraine, the Marquis of Tuscauy. Rivals for the empire, these two potentates had a common interest in wresting the appointment to the Papacy from the lawless Romans, a common worldly interest, if not a religious dread of seeing the Papacy, notwithstanding the high character of the Pontiff elect, designated by the former Pope as one of the five most worthy ecclesiastics, sink to its former degradation. The choice skilfully adapted itself to the hopes and passions of both parties. It was Gerard, a Burgundian, a fellow student of the Lorrainer the late Pope Stephen IX., now the Archbishop of Florence, and therefore connected, no doubt, with Godfrey, who heartily concurred in the choice.<sup>d</sup> The price of the concurrence of the Empress was a secret stipulation to crown her son as The anti-Pope took the name of Nicolas II. emperor. He moved to Sutri, escorted by Godfrey of Tuscany, supported by Guibert of Parma the Chancellor of the Empire, whom he had summoned to attend at Sutri in a council of Pope Benedict was declared excombishops. Jan. 1059. municate, Nicolas II., the rightful Pope. Resistance was vain. Nicolas II. advanced to Rome: he was received with apparent joy by the clergy and the people; the barons had disappeared with their plunder.

The Pontificate of Nicolas II. witnessed the two great changes in the Papal policy, which laid the founda-Nicolas II. Pope. tions of its vast mediæval power - the decree for the election of the Pope by the cardinals of Rome, and the alliance with the Normans. With the aid of the Hagarenes (so are the Normans called) Nicolas besieged his rival in Galeria. The Count of that fortress repented of his rashness in offering protection to the Pope Benedict. Benedict ascended the walls; he began to make signs, to utter curses against the Roman people. "You have forced me, against my will, to be your Pope; give me security for my life, and I will renounce the Pontificate." Thirty Roman nobles pledged themselves as his guarantees for life and limb, for his safe reception in Rome. Nicolas, at the head of his army, returned to Rome; his rival followed, having stripped himself of his pontifical robes, and found a retreat with his mother, who lived near the Church of S. Maria Maggiore. Thirty days after, Hildebrand the archdeacon seized him by force, and placed him April 13. before Nicolas and a council in the Lateran They stripped him before the altar of his pontichurch.

<sup>d</sup> Annitente Gothofredo duce.--Leo Ostien. iii. 12.

fical robes (in which he had been again invested), set him thus despoiled before the synod, put a writing in his hand, containing a long confession of every kind of wickedness. He resisted a long time, knowing himself perfectly innocent of such crimes; he was compelled to read it with very many tears and groans. His mother stood by, her hair dishevelled, and her bosom bare, with many sobs and lamentations. His kindred stood weeping around. Hildebrand then cried aloud to the people,-"" These are the deeds of the Pope whom ye have chosen!" They rearrayed him in the pontifical robes, and formally deposed He was allowed to retire to the monastery of S. him. Agnes, where he lived in the utmost wretchedness. Thev prohibited him from all holy functions, would not allow him to enter the choir. By the intercession of the Archpresbyter of S. Anastasia, he was permitted at length to read the Epistle; a short time after, the Gospel; but never suffered to celebrate mass. He lived to the Pontificate of Hildebrand, who, when informed of his death, said, "In evil hour did I behold him; I have committed a great sin." Hildebrand commanded that he should be buried with pontifical honours.<sup>e</sup>

Immediately on his accession, while he was yet in his strength, supported both by the Imperial power and by the Marquis of Tuscany, while Rome and the barons were depressed by their late discomfiture, Nicolas II. summoned a council, the second Lateran council in Rome. A.D. 1059.

A hundred and thirteen bishops obeyed the call. April 13. The first decree of this assembly wrested at once the power

of nominating the Pope from the lower clergy, the turbulent barons, and the populace. It left to the people and to the Emperor a barren approbation, but it vested the actual election solely in the higher clergy. With the cardinal bishops was the initiative; the assent of the cardinal priests and deacons was first required, then that of the laity, and finally that of the Emperor.<sup>r</sup> The higher spiritual aristocracy took

VOL. III.

<sup>e</sup> Annales Romani, *first*, I believe, published by Pertz, vol. v. Pertz thinks that these annals had been seen by Baronius. Electronic duration of the second difference " Nimirum cum Electio illa per suspendenda est causa, usque dum regiæ.

Е

the lead, the others were to be their humble followers.<sup>g</sup> Besides this, it established a kind of prerogative right in the Roman clergy to the Pontificate: only in default of a fit person within that Church was a stranger to be admitted to the honour. Rome was to be the place of election; but even Rome, by tumult or by contumacy, might forfeit her, privilege. Wherever the cardinals were assembled, there was Rome. It had been at Sienna or at Sutri. In case the election could not take place within the city-and of this they were the sole judges-the cardinals, assisted by some of the religious clergy and religious laity, even though few (their religion would be their fidelity to their party), might proceed elsewhere to the election. The Imperial rights were reserved vaguely and ambiguously.<sup>h</sup>

This decree, and an anathema of more than usual terror (the most dreadful imprecations in the Scripture were selected with sedulous care), was ratified by the consent of all, by the signature of above 70 bishops, with many other ecclesiastics. The anathema condemned the offender against the statute to irrevocable excommunication, to be counted among the wicked to all eternity. "May he endure the wrath of the Father, Son, and Holy Ghost, and that of St. Peter, and St. Paul, in this life and the next! May his house be desolate, and no one dwell in his tents! Be his children orphans, his wife a widow, his sons outcasts and beggars! May the usurer consume his substance, the stranger reap his labours; may all the world and all the elements war upon him, and the merits of all the saints which sleep in the Lord, confound and inflict visible vengeance during this life! Whosoever, on the other hand, shall keep this law, by the authority of St. Peter is absolved from all their sins." Yet two years were hardly

clericis, Catholicisque Laicis, licet paucis, p. 177.

jus potestatis obtineant eligere Apostolicæ sedis pontificem, ubi congruere viderint."-Conc. ii. Lateran. Throughout, however, there is a respectful redebito honore et reverentià Henrici, qui in presentiarum rex habetur, et futurus imperator speratur." The last clause cited above has in the copy in Pertz: "Ubi cum rege congruentius ju-dicaverunt."- Pertz, Leges, ii. App.

celsitudinis consulatur auctoritas: nisi, sicut nuper contigit, periculum fortassis immineat, quod rem quantocyus accel-erare compellat."- Peter Damian. i.

Epist. xx. <sup>6</sup> "Et ideo religiosissimi viri præ-duces sint in promovendå pontificis electione: reliqui autem sequaces." The religiositas unhappily was estimated solely by rank in the Church. <sup>h</sup> "Cardinales Episcopi, cum religiosis

passed, when on the death of Nicolas a contested election distracted the Church of Rome; and some of the subscribing bishops are found in each furious faction.

The same Council, the second Lateran Council, which had thus made provision for the unity of the church by a new form of election, which had wrung the misused power from a lawless and irresponsible body, and seemed to repose it in security in the most holy and intelligent of the sacerdotal order; aspired also to establish the endangered unity of doctrine, and authoritatively to decide the most perilous theological controversy which had arisen in Latin Christendom. Berengar of Tours had been persuaded or compelled to appear before the Lateran Council. He had his choice between death and the recantation of his tenets. . But logic makes no martyrs. The temperament of Berengar's mind was not that of a reckless fanatic.<sup>1</sup> He fairly confesses that the fear of death extracted from him the humiliating admission of his errors; he accepted a creed equivocal according to his view, and elusive of the main question, in which the real presence of Christ in the Eucharist was distinctly asserted, though not in the strongest terms of transubstantiation. No sooner was he beyond the power of his adversaries, than Berengar recanted his recantation; reassumed the contemptuous language of a superior mind towards Pope Nicolas himself; reasserted the doctrines of his master Érigena, whom in the presence of danger he had not hesitated to forswear. But though the decree of the Lateran Council had no effect on Berengar, it had for a short time the effect of almost suppressing his doctrine.<sup>k</sup> Yet as will appear, it was not

\* Among the most bold of Berengar's assumption so perpetually made, that a p. 27. certain doctrine, because supported by

<sup>1</sup>Compare Berengar's own account the majority, is the doctrine of the whole of these proceedings: he claims the Church. He boasts multitudes who victory, and boastfully avers that, by their own terms, the bread, as he had clesiae totiens ineptorum multitudini noid his opinions : "Quod nomen Ec-clesiæ totiens ineptorum multitudini always asserted, remains after conse-cration. — p. 70 et seq. "Dum dici-tur, panis in altari consecratio, vel contra conscientiam tuam dicis, quam panis sanctus, panis sacrosanctus est Christi corpus, omni veritate panis su-peresse conceditur." sacrificio Ecclesiæ execrantur errorem, assertions was his protest against the atque Pascasii Corbejensis Monachi."

altogether swallowed up in the more absorbing question, the marriage of the clergy.

By the decree of the Lateran Council the popedom was restored to Italy, to Rome. The great organised and simultaneous effort of the higher Clergy, to become as it were the chief feudatories and to choose their monarch. had been successful. But the decree of a Council was only a mass of idle words, unless the Papacy could command some strong military force to secure its independence against domestic and against foreign foes. Either the Emperor must still dictate, or the Roman barons overawe the election. The Pope with all his magnificent pretensions was but a defenceless vassal, a vassal dependent on foreign resources for his maintenance on his throne.

The second great act of the pontificate of Nicolas II. was the conversion of the hostile, the unbelieving Normans into the faithful allies, the body guard of the Pope. The Normans were now in almost undisputed possession of the whole of Southern Italy: the Greek Argyrous, the last Catapan, the ally of Leo IX., had retired in despair, finding his dominions almost shrunk to the one faithful city of Reggio the very verge of Calabria, to Constantinople. The Normans were not less politic than brave; they were not without superstition; their policy and their superstition might render them the allies, the protectors of the Papacy. Robert Guiscard, the most powerful of the Norman princes, no doubt Alliance with the knowing how such advances would be received, Normans, June 24, sent an embassage to Rome, to request the revo-1059. cation of the ban of excommunication, still in force against his Normans. The Pope made a progress, partly of a spiritual, partly of a secular character, into the South. He held a synod at Melfi; the extirpation of concubinage, universal among the Neapolitan clergy, was the pretext;<sup>m</sup>

<sup>m</sup> "Hic ecclesiastica propter Ad partes illas tractanda negotia venit. Namque sacerdotes, Levitæ, clericus omnis Hâc regione palam se conjugio sociabant. Concilium celebrans ibi Papa faventibus illi Præsulibus centum jus ad synodale vocatis,

Ferre sacerdotes monet altarisque ministros Arma pudicitiæ : vocat hos et præcipit esse Ecclesia sponsos, quia non est jure sacerdos Luxuriæ cultor; sie extirpavit ab illis Partibus uxores omnino Presbyterorum Spretores minitans anathemate percutiendos." -Gul, App., lib. ii. ; Muratori, v. 202.

the Norman treaty the real object. The Normans wanted a more imposing title than that of conquest to their Italian possessions. They were not disposed to question the right of one, who was on his part disposed to make such title on his own authority. The Pope wanted the Norman aid, he scrupled not to advance the enormous pretension of a seignorial suzerainty to the whole kingdom of Naples, how devolved and how obtained, or on what ground, no one ever presumed or cared to inquire, and no one as yet has been able to answer, though few for centuries could safely dispute. He invested the Norman Richard in the principality of Capua; Robert Guiscard in the Dukedom of Apulia, of Calabria, and of Sicily, which he was to recover from the Saracens.

The Sovereign was not long in putting his feudatories to the test of their fidelity. The Pope returned to Rome, followed by his new Prætorian guards. Their first duty, which they undertook with fierce delight, was the extermination, or at least the humiliation of those ruthless nobles. who had so long ruled over Rome. They trampled on the pride of the Counts of Tusculum, Præneste, and Nomentana," who looked out from their inaccessible castles to see their territories wasted with fire and sword; and were even driven to go to Rome and make their peace with the Pope. The Normans crossed the Tiber, attacked the Count of Galeria, whose castle commanded the road to Rome, and who plundered all the pilgrims on their way. This ruffian had been vainly anathematised by each succeeding Pope, the last time in full synod by Nicolas himself, for robbing an English archbishop (Stigand of Canterbury) and an English Count of 1000 pounds.º This castle and others as far as Sutri they demolished or subdued.

The proceedings of Nicolas II., this absolute Italianisation of the Pope, this close alliance with the only race

The clergy of the kingdom of Naples, perbiam calcaverunt, sed et Romam like that of the rest of Italy, were to a transcuntes, Galeriam et omnia castra great extent married : they were Greeks in this usage, as in subjection to the empire; but Nicolas and the Normans were leagued to extirpate all Greek influences.

"" Nam non solum Tusculanorum, et Prænestinorum et Nomentanorum su- Synodalis, p. 1169.

Comitis Gerardi, usque Sutrium vaste-verunt, quæ res Romanam urbem Capitaneorum liberavit dominatione."-Bonizo, p. 806.

<sup>o</sup> Money of Pavia, says the Disceptatio

who could confront the military prowess of the Germans, were watched with the utmost jealousy by the Imperialists in Italy, and by the whole of Germany. At Florence Nicolas felt the approach of death, he began to take measures for the appointment of his successor. It was his manifest aim absolutely to exclude the Germans from all hope, from all concern in this splendid inheritance. Already the great German prelates had observed, that all which the Lateran Council had left to the Emperor had been the supercilious notification of the appointment to the Papacy; or if this statute might bear a stronger interpretation, Pope Nicolas is said to have issued a second decree almost annulling the consent of the Emperor. Hanno of Cologne, who had already been involved in some dispute with Pope Nicolas, with the consent of the other great prelates of Germany, took up the cause of the Emperor, which was now that of the Transalpine Church; July 27, 1061. he sent letters of excommunication, of deposition from the Papal throne to the dying Nicolas. Nicolas is said to have read it with deep affliction, and immediately to have expired.<sup>p</sup>

The Cardinals on the death of Nicolas still held together. vet they did not venture at once to act upon the Lateran decrees or that of the deceased Pope. They feared, or were too prudent to defy the whole German interest. The Counts of Tusculum and the other barons, in revenge for their humiliation, threw themselves headlong into the Imperial faction. They sent the golden crown and the other insignia of the Patriciate to the young Henry, and urged him to nominate a Pope, not a Roman. It might be seen at once at whom was pointed their sentence of exclusion.

orumque injuriam erexit se animo Coloniensis. . . . . Communi consensu orthodoxorum episcoporum, direxit illis dinal Deusdedit), who asserts that the vi. p. 221. Compare Höfler, p. 358. Emperor and the Germans had made

 $^{p}$  "Ad vindicandam vero suam ali- themselves unworthy even of this umque injuriam erexit se animo Co- scanty mark of respect, the notification of the appointment of the Pope: " Primum quia postea Nicolaum Colonien-(the Pope and the Cardinals) excommunicationis epistolam, quà visà et
dolens et gemens præsentem (the Pope)
deseruit vitam." This passage of Benzo
(lib. vii. p. 397) is partly confirmed by a
dem in canone consecrationis nominari
dem in canone consecrationis nominari The Cardinals likewise sent a Legate, the Cardinal Stephen, to the youthful King and to his mother. This Cardinal was not admitted, probably as representing a body who were usurping the rights of the Empire.

Hildebrand knew that his time was not yet come; and of all the great qualifications of this lofty Churchman, nothing is more extraordinary than his suppression of his personal ambition, the patience with which he was content to work in a subordinate station, to be the first in influence without being the first in worldly dignity. Nor was there any other ecclesiastic in the Church of Rome whom he dared or chose to advance. The vacancy continued for three months, even before the initiatory nomination of the Cardinals took place. At length they chose a Lombard, Anselm of Badagio, Bishop of Lucca; but a Lombard with peculiar claims and marked opinions, who brought with him a strong and now triumphant party in Northern Italy; who was the sworn and tried enemy of doctrines odious to a large part, especially odious to the whole monastic section of the Church. Anselm had at one time been proposed as Archbishop of Milan: had he obtained that rank, the feud which was kept alive by the weakness, the connivance, if not the inclination of that great prelate towards the married clergy, had come to an earlier issue.

The Archbishop of Milan was the most powerful prince, when there was not an Italian Emperor or King of Italy, in the north of the Peninsula. The power of the Archbishop, and the use which he could make of this power, cannot be estimated without ascending to the beginning of this century, and even higher than the archiepiscopate of Milan owes almost all her glory to her Arch-Heribert. The first restorer of her greatness was Anspert bishops. Archbishop Anspert. Milan, which had ranked archbishop, 868; died 881. among the nine great cities of the Empire, whose wonders had been commemorated in the poetical panegyric of Ausonius,<sup>q</sup> had never recovered its utter ruin by Attila. Pavia, under the Ostrogoths and Lombards, was the capital of Northern Italy. The great Archbishop

" "Et Mediolani mira omnia." The verses are worth reading.-Auson.

Anspert (during the reign of Charles the Fat) first assumed his metropolitan dignity over his suffragans of Cremona and Bergamo, haughtily neglected the citations of the Pope; and when John VIII. commanded the clergy of Milan to proceed to a new election in place of the contumacious prelate, the clergy paid no regard whatever to the mandate. Anspert was a magnificent as well as powerful prelate; he built the porch of San Ambrogio. Heribert, who now stands before us, was the second founder of Milan's greatness. The Archbishop Otto of Visconti (in later times) was the first Lord of Milan, and handed down the ducal dominion (a more dubious title to the gratitude of Milan!) to the house of Visconti.<sup>r</sup> The prelate-prince Heribert was magnificent in his charities and uncompromising in his assertion of his episcopal rights. During a long famine, more Archbishop Heribert, or less severe, of twenty years, his prodigality to 1018. the poor was unexhausted; at the same time he seized with a strong hand all the property of the Church which had been wasted or alienated by the rapacity or weakness of his predecessors. He was esteemed a great divine, but not less a master in worldly policy." One of his first acts was to cross the Alps,<sup>t</sup> and of his sole authority to elect Conrad the Salic King of Italy. According to the right asserted by the Archbishop of Milan to crown the King of Italy (that of crowning the Emperor belonged to the Pope), Conrad received the famous iron crown from the hand of Heribert;" and at the diet of Roncaglia, Italy recognized the sovereign thus chosen by the Archbishop of Milan.<sup>x</sup> When Conrad went to Rome to receive the Imperial crown, there broke out one of the fierce quarrels for A. D. 1026. precedence between the Archbishops of Milan and Ravenna. It was suspended for a time by the Pope's authority, but followed by a war, in which the two martial

prelates of the same name headed their own forces. Heri-

que ingenio astutus.'

<sup>\*</sup> Verri, Storia di Milano, c. ii. p. 87. " Arnulfus, Rer. Ital. Script., ix. 14;

Wippo, Vit. Conrad.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup> Landulph, Sen, ii. <sup>\*</sup> "Divinâ polleńs scientiâ, sæculari-ch' egli occupò questa sede, Milano divento la città precipua della Lombardia."- Verri, p. 124.

bert of Ravenna escaped with difficulty within the walls Among the rewards for his service the of his city. Emperor Conrad had bestowed on the Archbishops of Milan the right to appoint, or at least to grant the investiture of the See of Lodi. On the death of the bishop, Heribert hastened to supply the place with a faithful partisan. The clergy and people of Lodi resented this invasion of their rights, and proceeded to another election. Heribert instantly marched upon Lodi; after a vigorous resistance he compelled the city to receive his bishop, and from this cause sprang the implacable hatred between Milan and Lodi.<sup>y</sup> The martial prelate, also in obedience to the summons of his liege lord, crossed the Alps, and aided Conrad in the conquest of Burgundy. But his own warlike nobles began to rebel against the tyranny of Heribert. The important law of Conrad, passed at the Diet of Roncaglia, had wrought a revolution in the feudal system; it recognized the hereditary descent of fiefs hitherto, nominally at least, held at the will of the suzerain. Heribert refused to admit the valvassors of the Church of Milan to this privilege; he seized on a vacant fief, and thus embodied against him all the great beneficiarics. They broke out in open rebellion.<sup>z</sup> Heribert attempted to allay the mutiny by prudent measures; he then had recourse to force. With the assistance, no doubt, of the people, to whom the tyrannous nobles were odious. he drove them out of the city. They left it in sorrow." There, however, they were joined by the lords of the surrounding castles and by the people of Lodi, burning for revenge against Heribert. A great battle took place at Campo Malo; the Bishop of Asti, on Heribert's side, was slain. Heribert, confounded by many desertions, retired into the city, and summoned the Emperor to his aid.<sup>b</sup>

Conrad crossed the Alps, but instead of espousing the

<sup>b</sup> Arnulf, ii.; Landulf, ii. 22.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>y</sup> "Ab illo etenim tempore inter Mediolanenses et Laudenses implacabile "Cor viguit odium." The Milanese were the more powerful and wealthy; those of Lodi valiant to ferocity (truces). They cared not for loss, if they could Ibid. infict loss on their enemics.—Arnulf, ii. c. vii,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This, I think, is clear from Arnulf; "Comperta autem occasione cujusdam beneficio privati, subito proruant."— Ibid.

Ibid. <sup>a</sup> "Ab urbe discedunt mœrentes."— Ibid.

cause of the archbishop, offended by the freedom of the populace, he retired to hold his court at Pavia. There, at a great diet, he sat as suzerain, to grant redress to all appellants to his tribunal. A charge was brought against the Archbishop of Milan; it was seconded by clamorous outcries from his enemies. The Emperor commanded the arrest of the Archbishop of Milan, and his partisans the Bishops of Vercelli, Piacenza, and Cremona. The intelligence was received with profound sorrow and indignation at Milan. The clergy chanted solemn litanies for his deliverance, the people rushed to arms. Heribert contrived to escape from his drunken German guards, and threw himself into the city, where he was received with universal joy. The Emperor speedily laid siege to Milan; the Archbishop made a gallant defence, and Conrad retired discomfited. In revenge he declared Heribert deposed, and appointed a rival prelate. The dauntless Heribert retaliated by secretly endeavouring to set up a rival King of Italy. Insulted and baffled, after having ravaged the whole country in his impotent wrath, the mighty Emperor Conrad retired beyond the Alps.º The triumphant Heribert wreaked his vengeance on the adherents of the rival prelate, punishing them in person and in property.

Thus the conqueror at once of his own rebellious liegemen and of the Emperor himself, the Archbishop ruled his splendid city, which he seemed determined to arm against any future aggression upon its liberties. It was at this time that the Christian bishop invented the carroccio, the car-borne standard of Milan, afterwards adopted by the other Italian cities. In the great car rose a tall mast with a transverse beam supporting a banner, on which above were the arms of the city, below the crucified Saviour, visible to the whole army, to animate or console the combatants in success or defeat. The elevation of this banner

<sup>&</sup>quot; Conrud obtained the excommunicaton fte Artish pof Milan from ing panegyrist of Heilbert. Pre Herch tXI. Heibert paidnon ore Compare Verri, p. 133; Muratori, respect to the exemminin cation of the P pe than to the hin of the empire. Ce raided son after, AD 1019

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Landnlf is throughout the wonder-

Dissert.; Arnulf, ii. 16.

was the summons which all Milan was to obey, the peasant and the noble, the rich and the poor.

Milan, but for her internal dissensions, now relieved by the death of Conrad from her one formidable enemy, might have enjoyed long prosperity. For a time Heribert overawed both nobles and people. But other feuds began. The new military captains trained by Heribert in his wars had proved more intolerable tyrants than the old nobles, whom the people began to regret, and to call the guardians and protectors rather than the oppressors of the people.<sup>f</sup> Yet we find the whole nobility soon united in a common cause. Lanzo, one of the nobles, espoused the popular faction. The valvassors and the populace met in bloody strife in every quarter of the city. Again the worsted nobles were forced to leave the city; again the country chieftains made common cause with them. Six fortresses were built to bar every access to the city; for three years Milan was in a state of siege." But the skill and courage of Lanzo baffled all assault. The city suffered greatly from famine. small domain under the walls produced some corn and wine. On both sides the prisoners were treated with the utmost inhumanity. The part which Heribert took in this conflict is not clear. By some he is represented as having left the city with the expelled nobles. His panegyrist ascribes to him a stately neutrality.<sup>h</sup> Though connected with them by birth, he rebuked, with vain but earnestseverity, the avarice and licentiousness of the nobles, yet would not commit himself to the popular cause.

Lanzo at length found his way to the Court of Henry, the son and successor of the Emperor Conrad. But Henry suspected the fickleness of the Italians; he dreaded the enmity of Heribert, so fatal to his father. He imposed the hard terms, that four thousand of his cavalry should be admitted within the city, and that Lanzo should be answerable for the fidelity of the citizens. Lanzo was a man of inexhaustible resources. With patriotic treachery

<sup>&#</sup>x27; Lan luif panegyrises the ancient b "Qualiter nec fuit cum populo, nec "Duris." voluntati majorum juvit."-Landulph.

The beginning of this feud was A.D. 16 (1 or 16.52)

he revealed his own secret negotiations with the Emperor, and used these haughty demands as an argument to force the conflicting parties to peace. He urged on the nobles and the people that their quarrels would only yield them up to the remorseless tyranny of the barbarous Germans. The nobles re-entered the city; peace was restored.

Soon after this Heribert died. The Archbishop consoled his weeping attendants on his deathbed-"I am going to the feet of St. Ambrose." He received the Sacrament in the presence of the whole clergy of Milan; and this martial and secular prelate was, if not directly canonised by the admiration of his countrymen, yet admitted to equal veneration with the holiest and most worlddespising saints. His miracles were soon recorded in the chronicles of the city. The worthy successor of St. Ambrose was reported to have averted famine from the land by his prayers. The pastoral staff of Ambrose, having been stolen by a sacrilegious robber for the sake of its precious metal, revealed itself to his successor.

Who was to fill the throne of the mighty and sainted Among the names proposed was that of Heribert? Anselm of Badagio, afterwards Bishop of Lucca, and Pope under the name of Alexander II.<sup>1</sup> The choice fell upon Guido, a man of far inferior character and determination than his predecessor,<sup>k</sup> whose warlike example he seemed at first disposed to follow; he headed the troops of Milan in a war with Pavia; he asserted at Rome the precedency of the Milanese see against rival Ravenna. But Guido was unequal to the imminent crisis, more important to the Church and to Christianity than all the disputes between the nobles, valvassors, and people, when the clergy of Milan dared to assert their real or supposed privileges against all the power of Rome, against the reviving austerity of monasticism, and against the populace of Milan. It involved the great question of the marriage of the priesthood of which the Milanese clergy were the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>i</sup> The four candidates were presented to the Emperor Henry. Guido vas not a noble.—Giulini, iii. p. 422. \* "Vi volle tutta l' astuzia di Guido, Verri, p. 136.

Силр. ШІ.

7-161

avowed champions. It was a protest, or rather a direct rebellion against the vast scheme of ecclesiastical dominion already matured, at least in the mind of Hildebrand; and which could never have been accomplished but by a celibate clergy. Anselm of Badagio, an avowed monk, a monk of monks, as Archbishop of Milan, would have taken a decided part; Guido, by his vacillation, incurred the contempt of both parties, inflaming the feud by his unsettled policy, and betraying, from want of courage to support it, that cause to which no doubt he had a secret leaning.

The Church of Milan reverenced the memory of St. Ambrose almost as proudly as Rome that of St. Peter. Milan boasted the most numerous, best appointed, and best organised clergy. According to a proverb of the time, Milan was to be admired for its clergy, Pavia for its pleasures, Rome for its buildings, Ravenna for its churches.<sup>m</sup> It had its peculiar service, the Ambrosian, which had been respected, and was undisturbed by Gregory the Great.

But the Church of Milan possessed likewise—or boasted that it possessed—an unbroken tradition from St. Church of Ambrose himself. They cited boldly, publicly, <sup>Milan asserts</sup> and without any charge that they had falsified <sup>marriage</sup>. the text—the very words of St. Ambrose, authorising, if not the marriage of the clergy, the Greek usage, that priests married before their ordination should retain their wives.<sup>n</sup> Heribert himself, the great Archbishop, was a married man; his wedlock had neither diminished his power nor barred his canonization. In assertion of this privilege

<sup>m</sup> "Ecclesia enim Ambrosiana Domino annuente, sapientibus sacerdotibus, Levitis et Subdiaconibus, super ceteras abundabat ecclesias. Unde in proverbium dictum est, Mediolanum in clericis, Pavia in deliciis, Roma in ædificiis, Ravenna in ecclesiis." — Landulph, Sen. iii. p. 96. Damiani himself praises highly the clergy of Turin (allied with Milan) as in all other respects virtuous, learned. "They chanted like angels; they seemed a noble ecclesistical senate." When he found them

married, all their virtues disappeared. —Opuscul. xviii.

<sup>a</sup> This was the sentence: "De monogamid sacerdotum quid loquar? quum una tantum permittitur copula et non repetita, et hæc lex est non iterare conjugium." This text now stands: "De castimonid autem quid loquar, quando una tantum nec repetita permittitur copula? Et in ipso ergo conjugio lex est non iterare conjugium."—S. Ambros. Oper. edit. St. Maur. ii. 66, Paris, 1686. Another passage was triumphantly cited they dauntlessly defied all superior authority, denied as to this, as to their other precious rights, all supremacy of the Pope. Nor was it a privilege of which they availed themselves sparingly. By the accounts of friends and focs, the practice of marriage was all but universal among the Lombard clergy.<sup>o</sup> They were publicly, legally, married with ring and dowry,<sup>p</sup> as were those of Milan; and this, which was elsewhere esteemed a vice, became in Milan, by their bold assertion of its lawfulness, a heresy.<sup>q</sup>

in a public speech (Rer. Ital. Script. iv. p. 109): "Virtutum autem magister apostolus est . . . qui unius uxoris virum præcipit esse, non quod exortem excludat conjugii, nam hoe supra legem præcepti est, sed ut conjugali casti-monia fruatur absolutionis sui gratia : nulla enim culpa est conjugii, sed lex. Ideo apostolus legem posuit dicens; si quis sine crimine est, unius axoris vir, tenetur ad legem sacerdotii supradicti (am?) qui autem iferaverit conjugium, culpam quidem non habet coinquinati, sed prærogativa exuitur sacerdotis." In the editions this now stands : "Ut conjugali castimonia servet absolutionis sui gratiam." Instead of the words in italics, omitted : "Neque iterum ut filios in sacerdotio creare apostolica invitetur auctoritate, habentem enim dixit filios non facientem, neque conjugium iterare." Then : "Ideo apostolus legem posuit dicens, si quis sine erimine est unius uxoris vir, tenetur ad legem sacer-dotii suscipiendi," et seqq.

At the revival of letters there were great disputes about the falsification of the texts of the Fathers. See Koster's Preface to Edit. Basil. 1555; Francis Junius, Præfat, ad Indie. Expurgat.; Rivet, Critica Sacra, iii. 6; Daillè: on the other side, the Benedictine Editors and Puriselli, apud Muratori, R. It. Scrip.; and the Preface of Cardinal Montalto to the Roman edition. Sound and impartial criticism would, in my judgment, unquestionably maintain the older reading. Yet forgeries were clearly not all on one side. Galvaneo Fiamma quotes from an ancient chronicle of Dazio the account of a synod held at Constantinople (an apocryphal synod), in which Ambrose was present! in which one party asserted that married priests could not be saved. The supreme pontiff (Damasus !) sub-

mitted the question to S. Ambrose. He replied: " Perfectio vitæ non in castitate, sed in charitate consistit, secundum illud Apostoli, si linguis hominum loquor et angelorum, etc. Ideo lex concedit sacerdotes semel virginem uxorem ducere, sed conjugium non iterare. Si autem mortuâ primă uxore sacerdos aliam duxerit, sacerdotium amittat.' Peter Azerid wrote thus at the beginning of the fourtcenth century : " lis omnino benedicens B. Ambrosius, una uxore uti posse concessit, quâ defunctâ, et ipsi vidui in æternum permaneant. Quæ consuetudo duravit annis septuagiata usque ad tempora Alexandri Papæ, quem civitas Mediolanensis genuerat." In the older editions of Corio (mine is Venezia, 1554) is a passage which was struck out in the later editions: "Con-. cesse loro (S. Ambrogio) chè potessero havere moglie vergine, la qual morendo, restassero poi vedove, si come chiara-. 

ir, 122. • "Hic Archepiscopus habuit uxorem nobilem mulierem: que donavit dotem suam monasterio Saneti Dionysii, que usque hodid Uxeria dicitur."— Galvaneus Fianana, sub ann. 1840.

<sup>9</sup> "Cuncti enim cum publicis uxoribus sive scortis snam ignominiose ducebant vitam." — Vib. B. Arialdi, a B. Andreå, Bolland, xxvii. Jun. In the first sermon of Ariald, he says of the clergy: "Et ipsi, ut cernits, sicut laici palam uxores ducunt." He adds "Stuprum quemadmodum scelesti laici sequuntur." — ibid. He speaks of their greater vigour as not labouring but living "ex dono Dei," " "Vitium quippe in hæresin vertitur,

<sup>4</sup> "Vitium quippe in hæresin vertitur, cum perversi dogmatis assertione firmatur." See the furious invective of Damiani " contra clericos intemperantes,"

Still there were many of the austerer clergy in Milan, as in other parts of Italy, who looked with what they esteemed righteous indignation at this licentious and Three persons bound themselves in a sensual privilege. holy league of enmity against the married clergy: of these Anselm of Badagio was one; the second, Ariald, a man of humble birth, and therefore more able to speak to the hearts of the rude populace; the third, Landulph a noble, remarkable for his eloquence. Landulph and Ariald began to preach in Milan to the populace of the city, and to the peasantry, the unlawfulness and licentiousness of a married clergy.<sup>x</sup> Each party strove to implicate the other with the name of an odious heresy; the monastics branded the assertors of clerical marriage with the old name proverbial for sensuality, Nicolaitans. The Lombard Clergy affected to treat their adversaries as Paterines or Manicheans. This was no unmeaning phrase. During the rule of Heribert, one of those strange sects, with many old Gnostic opinions, had appeared at Monteforte. A certain Gerard was at their head: their doctrines contained much of mystic Gnosticism. They identified the Saviour with the soul of man born into a corruptible state.<sup>s</sup> The Holy Ghost was apparently the divine Intelligence (Nous) revealing itself to man. They were severe ascetics, condemned all union of the sexes, and said that if men would abstain from corruption, they would generate like bees, without conjunction. They denied the absolving power of the priesthood, that they ascribed to an unseen influence which visited God's people. Their great tenet was, that it was right to die in torments, so to purify the soul; they rejoiced therefore in martyrdom: if not so happy as to meet it before the approach of death, they were released by one of their

c. vii. "Nec vos terreat-(he is urging .cubitus mille annorum negotiantur in-

cendium."-Ibid. c. iii. The Council of Pavia, under Benedict VIII. (A.C. 1021), with the appro-bation of the emperor, Henry II., had passed an ordinance to enforce the celi-

bacy of the clergy. \* Rodulphus Glaber, iv. 2; Landulph,

the pellices, as he calls them, to break off their connexions)-quod forte, non dicam fidei, sed perfidiæ vos annulus subarrhavit; quod rata et monimenta dotalia notarius quasi matrimonii jure conscripsit: quod juramentum ad confirmandam quodammodo conjugii copu- 1 lum utrinque processit. Ignorantes quia Sen. pro uniuscujusque fugaci voluptate con-

## LATIN CHRISTIANITY.

64

own people. Heribert gratified their passion for martyrdom; he burned all, except a few, who shrunk from death, on an immense pyre in Milan.<sup>t</sup> The married clergy taunted their opponents with the name and tenets of this hated sect; they even lodged a formal accusation against them before the archbishop. Guido attempted to silence both parties by gentle admonitions, but without effect; at length the conflict broke out.

During a great festival, for the translation of the reliques of the martyr Nazarius, a priest was maddened by the unmeasured invectives of Ariald against his married brethren. Ariald had driven the singers and all the clergy out of the choir of the church ; he caused a paper to be written, which bound all the clergy under an oath to maintain their chastity; he endeavoured to compel all ecclesiastics to sign this paper." The priest broke out into a violent harangue, and struck Ariald. This was the signal for a general tumult; the adherents of Ariald rushed through the streets, the bells rang, the populace gathered from all quarters. The populace are usually on the side of those who make the most austere show of religion; they were jealous of the wealth of the clergy : many of them, like the plebeians of Rome, were burthened with heavy debts, severely exacted no doubt by the clergy.<sup>x</sup> The higher ecclesiastics were mostly patrician in birth, and habits, and faction. Every where they were insulted, assaulted, beaten, their houses plundered; and they were forced by a summary process of divorce to abandon their wives.y The nobles were overawed and dared not interpose. Nor were the clergy of the city alone exposed to this popular perse-The preachers roved through the country and cution. stirred up the peasantry against the priests and their concubines,---they would give them no more respectful name. Ariald and Landulph went to Rome, to obtain the sanction of the Pope, Stephen IX., for their proceedings.

\* Landalph, apad Muratori. \* Arnalf.

lenter, subito multi quibus alienum ses cuniam illorum amantes, gladiis et fus-purissime exigebatur, quosque foris et tibus feriebant,"--Ibid, intus dura paupertas agebat," etc.---Landulph, vi. 9. \* "Horum disseminatis verbis pesti-

7 " Postea vero summo cum dedecore mulierum divortium sine lege, sine jure, sine Episcopo, non Deum, sed pe-

BOOK VI.

The Cardinal Dionysius, a Milanese by birth, attempted a cautious and timid opposition; he did not venture, except slightly and incidentally, to enter on the grave question of the marriage of the clergy; but remonstrated against the violence of their adversaries, the stirring up the rabble with clubs, and spears, and swords, against the holy anointed priesthood. A Legation was appointed by Pope Stephen, consisting of Ariald, Anselm of Lucca, and Hildebrand. This first mission had no effect in allaying the strife; the dissension was growing fast into a civil war."

Guido at length took courage, and assembling a synod at Novara, or rather at Fontaneto, in the territory A.D. 1058. of Novara, asserted his full archiepiscopal authority," and excommunicated the turbulent Ariald, Landulph, and their partisans; they treated the excommunication with contempt. Another Legation arrived, with the famous Peter Damiani, now compelled to be a cardinal, who, with Anselm of Lucca, was commissioned by the new Pope, Nicolas, to investigate the spiritual state of Milan. Peter Damiani was the austerest monk in Italy, a monk who, compelled to be a bishop, had striven with all his might to throw off the worldly and unholy burthen. His horror at sexual indulgence was almost a madness.<sup>b</sup> Yet the cardinal and his colleagues were received with all A.D. 1059. outward show of respect by the Archbishop and the clergy; but the pride of the Milanese of all ranks was in secret skilfully excited ; would they permit the church of St. Ambrose to be enslaved by that of Rome? The popular indignation was further roused by the appearance of the

" This first legation is distinctly asseried by Landulph ; it is barely pos-sible that it may be another version of the later one.

lanenais suis jusaibus obtemperaret."

elericorum, pulpamenta diaboli, projectio Paradisi, virus mentium, gladii ani-marum, aconita bibentium, toxica convivarum, materia peecandi, occasio pereundi, Vos, inquam, alloquor, gy-neezea hostis antiqui, vos upape, ulule, VOL. III.

nocture, lupre, sanguisugre, affer, affer sine cessatione dicentes. Venite itaque the that it may be another version of ble that it may be another version of "Ut quodammodo Ecclesia Medio-nemais suis jusaibus obtemperaret."
" "It quodammodo Ecclesia Medio-inemais suis jusaibus obtemperaret."
" Interea et vos alloquor, o lepores tericorum, pulpamenta diaboli, projectio aradisi, virus mentium, gladii ani-arum, aconita bibentium, toxica con-yarum, meteris construitatione dicentes. Venite Itaquie audite me, scorta, prostibula, savia, volutabra porcorum pinguium, cubilia spirituum immundorum, nymphue, si-tericorum, pulpamenta diaboli, projectio sirens atque charybdides ..., vos providente dicentes. Venite Itaquie audite me, scorta, prostibula, savia, volutabra porcorum pinguium, cubilia spirituum immundorum, nymphue, si-tericorum, pulpamenta diaboli, projectio sirens atque charybdides ..., vos providente dicentes. Venite Itaquie audite me, scorta, prostibula, savia, volutabra porcorum pinguium, cubilia spirituum immundorum, nymphue, si-tericorum, pulpamenta diaboli, projectio sirens atque charybdides ..., vos providente dicentes. Venite Itaquie di constitue di constit he addresses the wives of the clergy. Damiani must be read to understand his sacred horror of priestly wedlock.

12

Legate with Anselm of Lucca on his right hand, and the archbishop of Milan on his left. Milan assembled at the ringing of the bells in all the churches, and the summons of an enormous brazen trumpet, which shrieked through The fickle populace were now as furious in the streets. defence of the clergy, who seemed the champions of the liberties of the city, as they had been in their persecution. The cry was loud that the church of S. Ambrose would never submit to the Roman pontiff. The life of Damiani was in danger;<sup>d</sup> but Damiani was not a man to quail before popular tumult; he mounted the pulpit; he asserted with firm and argumentative tone the supreme jurisdiction of Rome;<sup>e</sup> he boldly appealed to their own archives to prove that Ambrose himself had applied to the Pope Siricius, and that the Pope had sent his legates, a priest, a deacon, and a subdeacon, to assist Ambrose in rooting out from his city. that same Nicolaitan heresy, the marriage of the clergy, for which they now asserted the authority of Ambrose.<sup>f</sup> Guido was grown older and more timid; the people saw him seated of his own accord on a stool at the fect of Damiani. The clergy, deserted by the bishops, deserted again by the populace, who were overawed by the eloquence and lofty bearing of the cardinal, had no resource but humble submission.<sup>g</sup> The Archbishop, reluctantly it is, said, took a solemn oath against simony, and against the marriage of priests. The clergy were compelled to subscribe the humiliating concession; every simoniac (and of simony every clergyman of Milan, from the Archbishop downwards, was accused) was to submit to a penance of five or seven years in proportion to his guilt: but there

<sup>d</sup> "Intentabant mihi, ut ita loquor, omnem mentem, et, ut ab amicis meis sæpe suggestum est, nonnulli meum sanguinem sitiebant."--Damian. Op. v. <sup>e</sup> Damiani (ad Card. Hildebrand) de-

<sup>6</sup> Damiani (ad Card. Hildebrand) describes the sensitive pride of the Milanese as to the Church of St. Ambrose: "Factione clericorum repente in populo murmur ecoritur non debere Ambrosianam ecclestiam Romanis legibus subjicere, nullumque judicandi vel disponendi jus Romano pontifici in illâ scde competere. Nimis l'endignum, inquiunt, ut que sub progenit oribus nostris semper fuit libera ad nostræ confusionis opprobrium nunc alteri, quod absit, ecclesiæ sit subjecta !"

<sup>f</sup> Damiani probably believed this dauntless assertion. Siricius was certainly the first Pope who authoritatively condemned the marriage of the clergy; but imagine Ambrose needing or demanding aid from the Pope to exterminate this heresy in his own diocese!

<sup>9</sup> Damiani's letter to Guido, humbly thanking him for the gift of two stoles, contrasts singularly with his demeanour and influence in the city.—Epist, iii. 7. were those who felt the pride of Milan humbled, Damiani's assuming precedence over the archbishop goaded them to frenzy. "O senseless Milanese! (writes the indignant historian, who represents the feelings of this party) who has fascinated you? Yesterday you clamoured for the independent supremacy of your see, to-day you submit to this base subjection. If Rome is to be honoured for the apostle, Milan is not to be despised, who boasts her Ambrose."<sup>h</sup>

At the great Council, however, at Rome (1059), which assigned the election of the popes to the cardinals, Guido sate, as archbishop of Milan, at the right hand of the Pope, a reward for his submission. He was attended by his suffragans, the Bishops of Brescia, Turin, Asti, Novara, Lodi, Vercelli. Ariald assailed Guido, as a favourer of simony and of concubinage; he was defended by his suffragans; the temper of Nicolas allayed the strife. Guido perhaps hence was again supposed to espouse the cause of the married clergy; he rose, therefore, with them into high popularity. Though the Council denounced both simony and concubinage in severe statutes, the Lombard bishops dared not publish them in their cities. Adrian of Brescia alone ventured to do this: he hardly escaped being torn to pieces by the rabble. In Cremona and Piacenza the people split into two parties-those who adhered to, and those who refused communion with the married clergy.<sup>i</sup>

Anselm of Badagio, Bishop of Lucca, the instigator of Landulf and of Ariald, was now summoned to a loftier station; on him had fallen the choice of <sup>A.D. 1061.</sup> the cardinals assembled at Rome. But the election of Alexander II (such was his title) without the consent of the Emperor, was received as a bold invasion of the Imperial rights by the Transalpine prelates. The Lombard

<sup>b</sup> Arnulph. Compare Tristano Calchi, Hist. Patr. vi. 132.

creta Papæ publice recitasset, a clericis verberatus pæne occisus est, quod factum non mediocre Pateriæ dedit incrementum. Nam non solum Brixiæ, sed et Cremone et Placentiæ et per omneş alias provincias multi concubinatorum abstinebant communione."—Bonizo, p. 807.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "Concilio igitur rite celebrato episcopi Longobardi donum rementes, cum magnas Levitis concubinariis et sacerdotibus accepissent pecunias [Bonizo was on the other side] decreta Patrum celaverunt præter unum scilicet Brixiensium Episcopum qui veniens Brixian, cum de-

ecclesiastics, especially those who were for the marriage of the clergy, dreaded the elevation of Alexander, whom they hated with personal hatred, and foresaw no doubt the overweening influence of Hildebrand, and of the high monastic party. They too would have an Italian Pope, but a Pope from their part of Italy.<sup>k</sup>

Guibert was the Chancellor of the Empire, the administrator of the Imperial interests in Italy. By his advice a Council was assembled in Basle, composed of German and Lombard prelates. The Council annulled the election of Alexander, and chose Cadalous, Bishop of Parma, who took the name of Honorius II.<sup>m</sup> The Roman people were represented at Basle by the Count of Galeria, and some of the other barons who had been put down by the Nor-The passions of the time can be best known by mans. the language of the time. It would be unjust to estimate the character of Cadalous by the frantic words of Damiani; but they show clearly the fanaticism of hatred with which his appointment was viewed by the adverse party. He is described as the preacher of the devil, the enemy of man's salvation, the apostle of Anti-Christ, the gulf of lewdness, the filth of mankind, the sink of all vices, the abomination of heaven, food for hell fire." After these and many other equally opprobrious terms, it is nothing to accuse him of the most deplorable ignorance." 'Unfortunately Damiani

\* "Nec aliunde se habere Papam, nisi ex Paradiso Italiæ, talemque qui scivit compati infirmitatibus eorum."-Bonizo, p. 80.

nizo, p. 80. <sup>m</sup> Compare throughout the Disceptatio Synodalis between the advocate of the Emperor and the Defender of the Roman Church before the Council of Osbor (near Cologne), remembering that it was the work of Peter Damiani. <sup>n</sup> "Cadalous videlicet perturbator ec-

<sup>n</sup> "Cadalous videlicet perturbator ecclesiæ, eversor Apostolicæ disciplinæ, inimicus salutis humanæ.... radix peccati, præco Diaboli, apostolus Antichristi, et quid plura dieam? sagitta producta de pharetra Satanæ, virga Assur, filicæ Belial, filius perditionis, qui adversatur et extollitur supra omne quod dicitur Deus, aut quod colitur: vorago libidinis, nanfizgium castitatis, Christianitatis opprobrium, ignominia sacer-

dotum, genimen viperätim, fætor orbis, spurcitia sæculi, dedecus universitatis . . . . serpens lubricus, coluber tortuosus, stercus hominum, latrina eriminum, sentina vitiorum, abominatio cœli, projectio paradisi, pabulum Tartari, stipula ignis æterni." — Damiani, Epist. vii. 3. The whole epistle is to obtain the interposition of the youthful Emperor.

<sup>o</sup> Damiani, writing to the Archbishop of Ravenna, who seems to have doubted which side to take, represents Cadalous as without character or learning: "Ita est homo stolidus et nullius ingenii ut credi possit nesciisse per se talia machinari." If he can explain a single verse, I will not say of a psalm, but of a homily, I will at once submit to him, and own him not merely the successor of the Apostle, but an Apostle. CHAP. III.

assumed the language of a prophet, and foretold that the impious usurper would not live a year from the period of his elevation !<sup>4</sup> At the election of Cadalous, writes another hostile historian, the Simoniacs rejoiced, the priests who had concubines exulted with loud joy.<sup>r</sup> His partisans declared that all the Catholic Bishops of Italy, Germany, and Burgundy approved his elevation.<sup>s</sup>

The election of Alexander had taken place on the 1st of October, that of Honorius II. on the Feast of St. Simon and St. Jude (Oct. 28). Open war must decide the contest for the vicegerency of the Prince of Peace. It was a war of Germany and the antimonastic part of the clergy in Lombardy and other parts of Italy on one side, against the Hildebrandism of Rome and the monasticism of Christendom, aided by the arms of the Normans. Winter alone suspended the hostile operations; the passes of the Alps were closed. With the spring, Cadalous descended upon Italy; he was received with joyful acclamations, as the champion of their cause, by the Lombard prelates. But while he advanced, an unarmed conflict was taking place in Rome. Neither Pope nor Antipope was the most prominent man of his party. On the side of Cadalous (the Chancellor Guibert stood more aloof) was Benzo, Bishop of Albi, a faithful adherent of the Empire, but a man of Italian subtlety, utterly unscrupulous, and of ready and popular eloquence, with that coarse saturnalian humour which pleases the Italian, especially the Roman ear.<sup>t</sup> Benzo appeared, and was received in Rome as the ambassador of the Emperor. He was lodged in the Octavian palace. During a whole week he was permitted to address the people day after day. Those whom he could not persuade with his elo-

<sup>q</sup> "Fumea vita volat, mors improvisa propinquat, Imminet expleti præpes tibi terminus

" "Tunc symoniaci lætabantur, concubinati vero sacerdotes ingenti exultabant tripudio."—Bonizo, p. 807. " "Collaudantibus Italiæ, Alemanniæ,

\* "Collaudantibus Italiæ, Alemanniæ, Burgundiæ Catholicis Episcopis." — Benzo, c. iv.

' The strange, barbarous rhapsody, 'much truth.

the panegyric of Benzo on Henry IV., written partly in verse, in jingling Leonine rhyme, partly in what may hardly be called prose, as a contemporary document is of considerable value. It was written avowedly to obtain preferment; its adulation therefore is even more worthless than that of ordinary panegyrics. But Benzo's account of the affairs in which he was personally engaged is too characteristic not to contain much truth.

anni; Non ego te fallo: cœpto morieris in anno."—Epist. i. 20.

quence he bribed with money, for from the private wealth of Cadalous, which was large, and other sources, he was richly provided with means of working on the Roman nobles and people.<sup>u</sup> In his harangues he treated Alexander with the bitterest contempt, and openly strove to alienate the people from him; to Hildebrand he paid the homage of his most furious invective. Neither the Pope nor Hildebrand ventured to disturb this avowed emissary of Cadalous; he was also the representative of the Empire. At the end of the week a great meeting was held in the Hippodrome, and there Alexander determined to confront his adversary. He appeared on horseback, and was received with a doubtful murmur. Benzo rose, and in his character as ambassador, reproached him with ingratitude and rebellion against the Emperor, as having abandoned his See of Lucca and usurped that of Rome.<sup>x</sup> "Thou hast obtained thy election to the popedom by the aid of Normans, robbers, and tyrants, and by notorious bribery. Hildebrand, that son of Simon Magus, was the chief agent in this detestable merchandise, for which ye have both incurred damnation before God and man." He accused Alexander of acts of cruelty and bloodshed, warned him to retire to Lucca, and after remaining there a month, to proceed to the court of the Emperor, there to undergo whatever penance might be imposed upon him. Alexander calmly answered, that he had received the Roman pontificate, but had not thereby broken his allegiance; that he would send his legate to the court of Henry to declare his will. He then turned his horse and rode off, amid the hootings of the populace-" Away, leper! out, wretch! begone, hateful one !" <sup>y</sup> On his return to the Octavian palace, Benzo assembled what he dignifies by the name

- <sup>u</sup> A large quantity of furs was among the presents: "Clitellarios honustos preciosarum pellium donis."— Benzo, ii. c. 1.
- \* The translation of bishops was still of doubtful legality, at least in many minds.
  - y It is the boast of Benzo :---
- "Bellum egi cum Prandello [Hildebrand] atque cum Badaculo [Anselm] Qui thesaurum sancti Petri ponebant in sacculo.
  - Eos expulí ex area, potitus primaculo." He expects his reward :---
- "Non est magnum tanto regi unum signum

facere, Hoc est dicere Benzoni, veni foras Lazare, Redditá tibi mercede, sta sub meo latere." Lib. iv.

Benzo was a better partisan than poet.

of the Senate of Rome. He repeats a strange, coarse speech of Nicolas, the master of the palace, heaping the grossest insults on Hildebrand, and asserting that the election of the Pope must not be abandoned to monks and Normans. Benzo acknowledges the utter instability of the Roman populace, but dwells on the effect of his own eloquence, his lavish promises of mountains of gold, and, if he be taken literally, the joys of Paradise." By these means, and by skilful management of the leaders, . he had organized a most powerful party.

Hildebrand on the other hand, if he came less boldly forward, was neither irresolute nor inactive during this perilous crisis. Hildebrand is acknowledged no less by the undisguised homage of his admirers than by the discerning hatred of his enemies, throughout the pontificate of Alexander, as something above the Pope. "You made him Pope," writes Damiani in one of his moments of bitterness, "he made you a god." He was commonly called the Lord of the Lord Pope." To him were attributed all the more vigorous and warlike measures of Alexander;<sup>b</sup> he held together the Romans of their faction;<sup>c</sup> and, according to his antagonist, lavished money with emulous prodigality.<sup>d</sup> He was the impersonation, as it were, of monkhood.<sup>e</sup>

The Antipope in the meantime advanced with a large force and an ample treasure towards Rome. At Sutri he was met by Benzo, the Imperial ambassador, who had discharged his office of shaking the allegiance of the Romans and forming a strong faction in the city. Honorius II. advanced towards Rome; the neighbouring barons

- "Papam rite colo, sed te prostratus adoro, Tu facis hunc Dominum, te facit ille Deum." "Vivere vis Romæ? clara depromite voce,
- "Plus Domino Papæ, quam Domno pareo Papæ."
- <sup>b</sup> " Magis solers vincere effuso mortalium sanguine, quam sacrorum canonum gloriosissimo certamine."-Benzo.

° Hildebrand took counsel with Leo, a Jew by descent, with Cencius Frangipani, "et cum brachiato Johanne." Of possint *ciculati Demonis* allidere tergi-the two former we shall hear more. The versationes."—Benzo, xi.

rest of his partisans were beggars, who lived on the alms of the Church.-Benzo.

<sup>d</sup> Benzo says of his opponents that they put their trust not in the Lord, but "in multitudine divitiarum." Whence the wealth at the command of Hildebrand? from the monasteries? the Papal estates? the votive offerings of the faithful? the now religious Normans?

e "Cotidie autem coram domno electi disputabant seniores, quomodo

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> " Nunc pollicendo auri montes, nunc paradisi mellifluos fontes."—Benzo.

<sup>\*</sup> The two well-known epigrams :----

hailed their deliverer from the Pope and his Norman satellites. An embassy arrived from the Byzantine Emperor, or rather from the few Greeks who held their ground in Southern Italy, proposing a confederacy against the Normans. The Normans partly, perhaps, pre-occupied, or setting too high a value on their services, were unusually, suspiciously slow in their movements. The forces of Alexander ventured into the open field, they were defeated and • driven within the walls.<sup>4</sup> Cadalous was not strong enough to force his way within the walls, but he crossed the Tiber, to put himself in connexion with the barons on that side of the city. He fixed his camp at Tusculum, where he received the Greek embassy. He was joined by the Count of Tusculum, the grandson of the famous Alberic.

Godfrey of Lorraine, the Duke of Tuscany, had learned Godfrey of caution by his eventful life: it had degenerated into craft. He aspired, no doubt with ulterior views, to hold the balance of power in Italy.<sup>g</sup> Hitherto he had declared for neither Pope.<sup>h</sup> He had not interrupted the march of Cadalous along his frontier; he had allowed the attack on Rome. He was suspected of too friendly intercourse with Cadalous. Godfrey now appeared with an overpowering force; but, instead of joining either party, he assumed the lofty tone, not of a mediator, but an arbiter. He proposed that the two Popes should retire, each to his episcopal city, and there await the decision of the contest by the Emperor and the proper authorities. The haughty prelates were obliged to submit. Cadalous, having been first compelled by gentle but irresistible violence to surrender all his treasures to Godfrey, withdrew to Parma. Alexander had no alternative but to

A.D. 1062. receive the fair promises of friendship lavished upon him by the Tuscan, and in like manner retired to

These affairs in the Annales Romani.— Pertz, v. 472. <sup>8</sup> He was early an object of jealousy at the Imperial Court: "Quo comperto imperator Henricus gravi scrupulo per-bis suspicious interview with Cadalous. urgeri cœpit, reputans ne forte per eum —vii. 10, &c. [Goffredum] animi Italorum, semper

f There is a rapid but curious view of avidi novarum rerum, ut a regno Tento-

Lucca. The Church seemed to have surrendered herself by her unnatural quarrel to the superior secular power; Pope and Antipope waited their doom from the princes of the world.

A sudden revolution in Germany decided the contest for the Papacy. That revolution was accomplished Revolution in by one of the powerful churchmen of the Rhine. It Germany. might seem but as the daring effort of one bold man for ascendancy; but there are evident signs that if Hanno of Cologne was not supported by a widely organised conspiracy, which embraced the Hildebrandine party in Italy, he knew that he could reckon on their perfect sympathy. A young widow was the person least suited to govern the ambitious and mutually hostile feudatories of the empire, the almost independent princes and prelates, all aspiring to rule, none disposed to obey. She had power enough to give offence, none to control the refractory. Every grant or favour made many enemies-that of the fief of Bavaria to Otho of Nordheim, a treacherous and ungrateful instead of an open foe. Whoever became the chief counsellor of the Empress was immediately an object of universal dislike. She now placed her full confidence in the Bishop of Augsburg; but so unscrupulous was the jealousy of the rivals for her favour, so slight the confidence in the sanctity of the sacerdotal character, Hanno of that the bishop's influence was attributed by Cologne. popular rumour, not discountenanced by the highest in the land, to criminal intercourse. Agnes was no doubt blameless; but the haughtiness of the bishop confirmed the opinion that he must possess more than lawful power over her mind.<sup>i</sup> It was murmured abroad, among the people as well as by these great prelates and princes, that the King, now twelve years old, was kept entirely under female control, and not instructed either in manly studies or chivalrous amusements. A plot for his deliverance, or rather a design to obtain possession of his person, was contrived and conducted with consummate skill by Hanno

On the death of this prelate Lambert stratam regni gubernationem tempore says: "Obiit invisus regi, invisus epis-copis omnibus, propter superbe admini-

and Siegfried, the Archbishops of Cologne and Mentz, by Otho of Bavaria, and the Count Ecbert. Hanno of Cologne undertook in person the abduction of the youthful Emperor from his mother's care. At Whitsuntide after a joyous banquet on an island on the Rhine (that of Saint Suithbert),<sup>k</sup> Hanno invited the boy to embark in a gay and richly decorated barge, prepared for the occasion. No sooner was he on board than the rowers rose to their Abduction of oars, and the barge went rapidly down the stream. The affrighted boy, thinking that their design the king. could be nothing but his death, threw himself headlong into the stream. He was rescued by Count Ecbert, who plunged in after him, at the peril of his life.<sup>m</sup> The multitude followed along the shore, resenting with loud but vain cries this insult upon the majesty of the Empire. But Hanno pursued his course; he soothed the popular indignation by artful declarations that he acted only for the public good. The gentle Empress, if wounded in her motherly feelings, relieved from an oppressive burthen, contemplated immediate retirement into a convent, but was persuaded for a time to suspend her pious intention.<sup>n</sup>

The policy of the Empire, as to the Papacy, veered suddenly round. Duke Godfrey could hardly but be cognisant of this conspiracy. Both he and the whole Hildebrandine party hastened to take their advantage. The unworldly Damiani at this crisis cannot keep within his cloister. He plunges with as much zeal as Hildebrand himself, whose secular ambition at times so distresses the saint, into the political turmoil. He writes a letter to Hanno, hailing his success, and urging him to fulfil his design of discomfiting the scaly monster of Parma. Ilis act is that of the good priest Jehoiada rescuing the pious youth of Joas from the influence of his wicked mother Athalia. But he has done nothing unless he tramples on the smouldering brand, the limb of the devil, the Antipope.º

7, 8) urging her to contempt of impe-Near Neuss.
 <sup>m</sup> Bonizo, Annalista Saxo; Lambert, rial greatness.
 <sup>h</sup> m 1169.
 <sup>h</sup> Epist. iii. 6. Damiani is seized in Epist. iii. 6. Damiani is seized in Epist.

sub an. 1162. <sup>o</sup> Epist. iii. 6. Damiani is seizea in <sup>n</sup> See the Letters of Damiani (vii. 6, this letter with a classical fit. He com-

<sup>▶</sup> Near Neuss.

A council was summoned at Osbor, near Cologne. Damiani appeared as a legate, the representative Council of of the monkish and Hildebrandine party. Instead Osbor. of a grave deliberation, a singular composition by Damiani was read—a disputation between the advocate of Damiani. the Empire and the defender of the Papacy. It was drawn up with much skill and some moderation. The defender of the Papacy does not openly contest the Imperial right to confirm the election of the Pope, though he suggests a long line of Popes who had ruled without such sanction. But during the infancy of the Emperor that right was in abevance. The legate of the Roman cardinals had been refused a hearing at the Court; the clergy, therefore, were compelled to proceed to the election of Pope Alexander. In temporal affairs the mother of the Emperor might guide her son; but the Roman Church was the mother of the Emperor in a higher sense, and as his rightful guardian was to act for him in spiritual concerns. Gradually the Imperial advocate yields to the overpowering argument of the Papal defender; and the piece concludes with a fervent prayer that the Empire and the Papacy may henceforth be united in indissoluble alliance; that as the kingdom and the priesthood, founded by one Mediator, were blended together as in one holy sacrament, so by this mysterious union the King might be recognised in the Pontiff, the Pontiff in the Emperor, saving that incommunicable prerogative which belonged to the Pope alone: the King supreme in temporal Courts, the Pontiff with unlimited jurisdiction over the souls of men.

Damiani's triumph as an orator over an audience who needed no persuasion was complete. Alexander was declared the rightful Pontiff, with full powers; but Damiani's fame as a prophet was in some danger. The election of the Antipope Cadalous had taken place on the Oct. 29, 1062. feast of St. Simon and St. Jude in the last year. The council was held on the same festival in the present;

pares Cadalous descending on the bed bosom of *Diana 1*—if this be not an error of the apostolic throne in a shower of of the transcriber. gold, with Jupiter descending into the

but Cadalous, instead of having closed his impious life, as Damiani had distinctly foretold, was in a state of dangerous activity. Damiani took refuge in the spiritual death inflicted by the excommunicatory decree of the Church at Osbor.

Alexander II. had returned to Rome; the Pope recognised by the higher clergy of Rome, by the council of Osbor, by the Court of the youthful Emperor. But Cadalous did not abandon his pretensions. A large part of the Italian clergy still adhered to his cause; he was in correspondence with the Empress and her partisans in Germany; his wealth he devoted to increase his warlike resources; above all, the barons of his faction in and about Rome, hating a Pope allied with the Normans, occupied the tower of Cencius (the Castle of St. Angelo), and kept the city in constant dread and insecurity.

Hanno of Cologne could not retain the authority which he had acquired with such boldness, but exercised with too much pride. In vain had he heaped imperial grants on his more powerful episcopal brethren, the Archbishops of Salzburg and Magdeburg, the Bishops of Freisingen and Halberstadt.<sup>p</sup> Gunther of Bamberg, for his loyal service, it was alleged, to the Empress, against whom he had been in open rebellion, received Forcheim, with thirty-six villages and townships, which Henry III. had alienated from the Those who thus obtained the spoils were dismonastery. contented that they got no more; those who got nothing were only more exasperated against those who did, and against their misjudging patron. The young King could scarcely forgive the insult of his violent abduction, nor, if he had any natural affection (a doubtful point), his forcible separation from his mother; a deep repugnance against ecclesiastical tyranny may have taken root within his heart, hostile not only to the ambitious churchmen, who were encroaching more and more on the Imperial power, but to the wholesome restraints and holy influences of religion itself. But he could only hope to pass from the control of one hateful ecclesiastic to that of another better able and

<sup>p</sup> Stenzel, Frankische Kaiser, i. 217.

disposed to win his affections. Adalbert, Archbishop of Bremen, had every quality to rule a court, and Adalbert of the councils of a nation such as Germany then was.

Of a commanding person (no one officiated in the Church with so much dignity and splendour), ready eloquence, great knowledge of business, he would not condescend to ask, scarcely to receive, favours; while his lavish munificence gathered round him troops of admiring and ardent partisans. To these more worldly distinctions he added those peculiar to his profession, unblemished chastity, saintly piety. The church of Bremen, which he built, was of the noblest in Germany, and served with unrivalled magnificence; and he displayed that haughty humility which, while it constantly stooped to wash the feet of the poor, made him assert his equality with the proudest princes of the Empire. Adalbert became the guardian, the counsellor, almost the friend and favourite of the youthful Henry; and in him the loyal subject of the Empire predominated over the punctilious churchman,

Encouraged by this new revolution in the Imperial court. and on the invitation of his allies the Roman Pope and nobles, the Antipope made a second unexpected Antipo His faction commanded Apr. 14, 1063. descent upon Rome. the gates of the Leonine city. He entered that district with all his forces; but in the other quarters of Rome the partisans of Alexander made a brave resistance. Cadalous was attacked in the rear by the Norman troops, hardly escaped being made a prisoner, and was hurried by Cencius and his Roman allies into the Castle of St. Angelo. In that impregnable fortress he maintained his position for two years. Rome had two Popes with their armed troops glaring defiance at each other from opposite quarters of the city. The spiritual thunders-each of course, and each in his synod, had hurled his direst excommunication at the other-were drowned in the louder din of arms.

The final possession of the Papacy still hung on the revolutions in the Imperial Court. For two years Adalbert of Bremen maintained his influence by his own stately respectfulness and courteous domination, and by the aid of Count Werner, the younger favourite and companion of Henry.<sup>q</sup> The affairs of state, the disposition of preferments, the Royal grants, were left to Adalbert; while the boy-Emperor and his friend were allowed to devote themselves too exclusively to the light and unimproving pursuits of youth, the chase and other idle amuse-Adalbert committed the unpardonable errorments. more than error, the crime-of not endeavouring to bring up the young Emperor in habits of business suited to his station, to teach him the great lesson of commanding men, of commanding himself. Adalbert's own great qualities were leavened by an ostentatious vanity. His magnificent profusion soon exhausted even his vast resources. He could not supply his wants but by encroaching on the possessions of the great and comparatively defenceless monasteries. Some of these indeed, as it were, provoked the spoiler. The secular clergy in Germany-if the hatred between the regulars and seculars had not attained the same height as in other parts of Latin Christendom, in England, and in Lombardy-could not but envy and covet the often ill-gotten and ill-spent estates of the wealthier conventual foundations. While the more rigid monastics denounced the vices of the clergy, and were the stern examples of piety and ascetic devotion, which put to shame the worldly, often warlike, lives of the prelates-not even the most pious declined the Court offerings and grants, which increased with the fame of their piety. The more worldly abbots, on the other hand, aspired in rank, in opulence, even in secular power, to an equality with the prince bishops. They, too, would be prince abbots. There were constant collisions. In a dispute for precedence

between the Bishop of Hildesheim and the Abbot A.D. 1063. of Fulda in the church of Goslar, there was a wild battle between their armed followers; the King was present, and with difficulty extricated from the fray. The Bishop was furious.<sup>r</sup> The Abbot was condemned as the

<sup>9</sup> Bruno (de bello Saxonico) as a Saxon hated Henry. He is more full, not always decent, and by no means trustworthy, in his history. He charges Adalbert of Bremen with more than unepiscopal connivance: "Stultum dixit episcopus, qui tanti sanguinis manu<sup>8</sup>

cause of the tumult. The hatred of the seculars against the monks was hardly sated, though the Abbot bought his pardon by fines, which utterly ruined the abbey of Fulda, to the King, to his counsellors, to the Bishop. The feeling ran high against the Abbot. On his return to his convent he was encountered by an insurrection among his own monks, by whom he was hated for his tyranny. The younger and more violent broke from their cloister to lay their grievances before the King. But Henry's counsellors, Hanno of Cologne, Otho of Bavaria, would not encourage this monastic rebellion. The Abbot was restored by the soldiers of the King, and took his revenge on the contumacious monks. Some were publicly whipped, others condemned to fasting and imprisonment, some drafted off to other convents; but according to their birth and connexions was their punishment.<sup>\*</sup>

The great metropolitans, though in possession of their splendid sees, and now ruling absolutely in the Plunder of King's councils, were not great enough for their the abbeys. ambition. They did not plunder the magnates or the bishops, but it was from fear, not from respect. They wielded the whole power of the Empire; they sold all promotions, ecclesiastical and secular: yet this was not enough; the defenceless abbots were at their mercy. Siggfried of Mentz was as rapacious as the Archbishops of Cologne. and Bremen; for in this the common interests of Hanno and of Adalbert joined them in a common league. They condescended to throw part of the spoils to the King, and so bought his support. They asserted the King's power over the abbots and lands of the abbeys, and his right to grant them away, to be as full as over his bailiffs and other administrators of the royal domains. The Archbishop of Bremen attempted to sieze Laurisheim and New Corbey. Corbey was, however, rescued from his grasp. The Abbot

suas Deo consecraverat, et violatæ ecclesiæ injurias truculentius atque immitius quam rex suas persequedatur . . . Abbatem, præter acerbitatem rei, quæ acciderat odium quoque gravabat nominis unoachici, quod inveterata malitia hominis sæculi sæmper opprimere atque

obfuscare conabatur." Lambert was a monk of Hertzfeld, not of Aschaffenburg. —Pertz.

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;De singulis tamen non pro modo culpæ, sed pro natalitium suorum claritate vel obscuritate sumptum est supplicium."—Lambert.

of St. Lavers stood on the defensive. Archbishop Siegfried seized Seligenstadt. Hanno of Cologne, not content with a ninth part of the Imperial treasure, had for his share Cornelius-Munster and Malmedy. St. Remacle wrested his cloister from the rapacious prelate by wonders, in which his monks were singularly skilful.<sup>t</sup> The Bishop of Spires had two abbeys; the Bishops of Magdeberg, Saltzburg, Halbertstadt, Freisingen, Minden, Bamberg, whole villages, with large privileges. Nor were the nobles without their portion. Otho of Bavaria had the abbey of Kempten; the Duke of Saxony the castle of Retzburg; Werner, the King's favourite, estates of Charlemagne's favoure. Abbey of Hertzfeld. Werner added insult to spoliation. The monks of Hertzfeld took to prayer and fasting against him. "See," said Werner, scoffingly, to the King, "I have roused these monks to most unwonted devotion; they have taken to fasting and prayer."-And men wondered that the young King was not imbued with awe and reverence for the Church !

In the depression of the monasteries and the invasion of their possessions the rival prelates, Hanno of Cologne and Adalbert of Bremen, might agree: no one repudiated his share of the plunder.<sup>u</sup> But the strife between these two men was a kind of prelude to the great conflict between the Empire and the Church. Hanno sought to strengthen his power by establishing his friends and kindred in the great bishoprics. Adalbert aspired to be surrounded by a vassalage of temporal nobles. The minority of Henry was one long strife of ambition and violence, in which the Churchmen ever took the lead, strangely crossed with acts of the most profound and self-denying devotion. the time when a powerful confederacy was secretly forming against the overweening power of Adalbert of Bremen, many of the greatest prelates in Germany were seized with a sudden passion of pilgrimage to Jerusalem. Sieg-

\* See the Triumphus S. Remacli, in which the monks of Etable contested the possession of Malmedy with Hanno, and by playing off the popular supersti-tion, which the bishop and the King saw through, but could not resist, main-tained possession of their property.—

Apud Chapeaville, Gesta Pontificum Leodensium, ii. 517 et seq.

" So writes Lambert, one of the suf-

fried of Mentz broke off his career of plunder. Gunther of Bamberg, Otho of Ratisbon, William of Utrecht, with many other distinguished ecclesiastics of France as well as Germany, set out in the autumn of 1064 for the Holy Land. Their imprudent display of wealth excited the astonishment and, of course, the cupidity of the wild Mohammedans, through whose territories they passed. one affray with these rude enemies, they escaped massacre only by the personal courage and strength of the Bishop of Bamberg; and they were so fortunate as to buy the protection of a more powerful chieftain, who kept his word with true Eastern fidelity. They returned to Germany, Gunther of Bamberg to die, Siegfried of Mentz to plunge again into the world; he would compensate himself for the hardships of his pilgrimage by bolder gratification of his ambition and rapacity.

Adalbert of Bremen had ruled too absolutely, too ostentatiously in the court of the young King. His virtues were not less dangerous than his faults. His transcendant abilities awoke jealousy, his magnificence compelled him to more insatiate rapacity. He had more than his share in the plunder of the Empire.

The prelates and the secular princes combined for his overthrow-Hanno of Cologne, Siegfried of Mentz, Rudolph of Swabia, Otho of Bavaria, and the counts of Saxony-who hated Adalbert, and longed to plunder his wealthy bishopric, which in the north of Germany overshadowed their power and riches. They obtained the support of Godfrey of Tuscany, now in Germany. At a great diet at Tribur they boldly laid before the young King the alternative-the abandonment of his archiepiscopal minister, or the loss of his crown. Henry had been already cowed by the death of his favourite Count Werner in a fray at Ingelheim. He attempted to fly to Goslar with the insignia of the Empire. His palace was surrounded. Adalbert of Bremen was in danger of his life, and with difficulty, under a strong guard, he reached his But the fallen man must fall still further. bishopric. Duke Ordulf of Saxony, his son Magnus, and his brother Herman, broke into the territories of the See. They VOL. III. G

threatened death to the archbishop; he sought concealment in a distant estate. At length he was compelled to make terms, by which he granted one-third of his vast estates as a fief of the archiepiscopate to Magnus of Saxony; other estates to other secular princes.

The magnificent prelate who aspired to be the Patriarch of the north of Germany had to endure poverty. Alms ceased to be distributed in the splendid church of Bremen. So the administration of affairs returned to the bishops.<sup>\*</sup>

The fall of Adalbert crushed the lingering hopes of the Antipope Cadalous. Latterly he had been a prisoner rather than the master in the castle of St. Angelo; and Cencius only on hard terms permitted this useful ally or rallying point to his own faction, that of the old Roman nobles, to escape. Cadalous was obliged to pay 300 pounds of silver for the privilege of making a hasty and ignominious flight to the north of Italy.<sup>9</sup>

Hanno of Cologne, now all powerful at the court of King Henry, had espoused the cause of Alexander II.; he was desirous, as a Churchman, to put an end to this perilous and disgraceful schism; but he had too much of the German pride to abandon altogether the imperial claims. With his confederates, the German princes and prelates, he summoned, in the name of the Emperor, a Council to meet at Mantua to decide the great May, 1064. Himself, with a large retinue of German cause. princes and three hundred knights, proceeded to Rome. A discussion was held with Hanno of Cologne on one side, Hildebrand on the other; Hanno asserting the right of the King, the Patrician of Rome, to confirm the Papal election; Hildebrand, the indefeasible liberties of the Church.

Alexander, or Alexander's counsellors, thought it more wise to confirm his title by the authority of a council. He condescended to appear, not doubtful of the event, at Mantua.

" "Conscenso strigosissimo equo inde solus aufugit."-Bonizo.

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Sic iterum rerum publicarum administratio ad episcopos rediit."---Lambert." The temporal nobles were not too faithful to Adalbert.

CHAP. III.

The Council of Mantua declared Alexander the legitimate Pope; but hardly was this done, when the city was disturbed by a sudden irruption of the soldiers of Cadalous, swarming through the city and heaping scorn on Alexander. Cadalous had raised these troops in his neighbouring diocese of Parma: but Godfrey, Duke of Tuscany, the patron of Alexander, had guaranteed the security of the Pope. He drove the Parmesans in ignominious flight from the town.<sup>t</sup> The Lombard prelates threw themselves at the feet of Alexander and implored his forgiveness. This forgiveness is said to have been extended to Cadalous himself, who nevertheless, though his friends fell off, never renounced the title of Pope. He died at last, almost forgotten by the world, except by the hatred of his enemies, which pursued him beyond the grave." But either lest the German or Imperial interest should be too much depressed, or as the price of his abandonment of the Antipope, the author of the schism, Guibert the Chancellor was rewarded by the Archbishopric of Ravenna.

During the whole pontificate of Alexander II. the strife in Lombardy and in other parts of Northern Strife about Italy had continued with but remitting obstinacy. derry. Alexander in his first address, as a Milanese, to the clergy and people of Italy, had declared the enforced celibacy of the priesthood the great object of his pontifical ambition.<sup>x</sup> Damiani did not hold his peace: he bitterly complained that the Simoniac and Nicolaitan heresies, which he thought he had suppressed, had broken out again. He addressed, or more actively promulgated, an invective against the married clergy, even more furious than before. Phineas is his favourite example of zeal, Eli of criminal indulgence in the fathers of the Church as abstaining

<sup>t</sup> Lambert expresses the feelings of religious men on these scenes : "Homines, non ut quondam ut præessent ecclesiæ Dei injectâ manu trahebantur, sed ne non præessent armata manu præliabantur, fundebantque mutuo sanguinem non pro ovibus Christi, sed ne non dominarentur ovibus Christi. luxuria cum cæteris hæresibus confun-Anselmus tamen, qui et Alexander, et vir-tute militum et favore principum sedem lumque Mediolanensem. obtinuit."—Sub ann. 1064.

" " Eodem tempore Cadalous Parmensis Episcopus corpore et animâ de-functus est."-Bonizo, p. 810.

\* "Speramus autem in co qui de virgine dignatur est nasci, quia nostri ministerii tempore sancta clericorum castitas exaltabitur, et incontinentium

from using the sword of vengeance.<sup>y</sup> Damiani, Pope Alexander, fulminated not in vain.

Landulph, one of the sworn triumvirate of Milan, had died; but a more implacable adversary of the married clergy

rose up in his place—his brother Herlembald,<sup>z</sup> of a A.D. 1065. stern, warlike character. An event in Herlembald's early life had embittered his heart against the less rigid clergy. His plighted bride had behaved lightly with a priest: Herlembald indignantly broke off his marriage. He then made a pilgrimage to Jerusalem, and was just returned, with his whole soul full of religious enthusiasm. He soon ruled in Milan, by espousing the faction of the people against the nobles;" and with their aid proceeded to assail the married priests. It was a spiritual tyranny exercised by a layman, though in conjunction with his brothercolleague Ariald, and maintained by armed partisans. Obnoxious priests were dragged from the altar, and consigned to shame and insult.<sup>b</sup> The services of the Church, the most holy sacraments, were suspended altogether, or administered only by the permission of Herlembald. It is said that, in order to keep his rude soldiery in pay, he made every one in holy orders take a solemn oath that he had never known woman since the day of his ordination. For those who refused the oath, their whole property was confiscated. The lowest rabble, infected with Paterinism, poor artisans and ass-drivers, furtively placed female ornaments in the chambers of priests, and then attacking their houses dragged them out and plundered their property.

<sup>7</sup> See two letters to Ariald, v. 14, 15. Damiani's Commentary on the Old Testament is rather bold. He confounds Phineas with Elijah! Phineas was rewarded for his act of zeal with a life of 620 years. Eli's guilt is aggravated, for he was a metropolitan, Hophni and Phineas only bishops. The coarse iudecency of this model of monkhood might provoke laughter, if laughter were not sobered by disgust: "Sanctis eorum femoribus volui seras apponere; tentavi genitalibus sacerdotum, ut ita loquar, continentiæ fibulas adhibere."-De Cæleb. Sacerd. Opusc. If the evil were concealed, it might, perhaps, be tolerated;

but it is public, notorious; names, places are bruited abroad: "Nomina concubinarum, socerorum quoque et socruum, fratrum denique et quorumlibet propinquorum." If lavish gifts, jests, secret meetings, betray them not; "omnis dubietas tollitur," there are "nteri tumentes et pueri vagientes."

<sup>2</sup> Herlembald's person and character are described at length.—Landulph, iii. 13.

iii. 13. <sup>a</sup> See note quoted from Petrus Arragonensis by Puticelli, ad. Vit. Arialdi, apud Bolland : June 27.

<sup>b</sup> Landulph, iii. 20.

Herlembald assumed the title of standard-bearer of the Church. Pope Alexander, at the instigation of Herlembald, bestowed upon him a consecrated banner.<sup>c</sup> Sometimes these ecclesiastical tribunes condescended to argument and expostulation; but their usual reasoning was force. Herlembald assumed a power far above that of the archbishop. His followers contested, indeed, the title and authority of the archbishop, no doubt as guilty of simony, of which they had constituted themselves judges as well as avengers.<sup>d</sup>

Guido at length, after nearly nine years of silent strife, determined on an attempt to throw off the yoke. The churches of Milan were for the most part without ministers. The married clergy had been expelled, and there were none to take their place.<sup>e</sup> A synod at Novara (1065) summoned Herlembald and Ariald to render an account of their proceedings. Their answer was silent contempt. At length the sentence of excommunication

<sup>o</sup> Vit. Arialdi.

<sup>d</sup> "Guido qui dicebatur archepiscopus." And Ariald in his hour of martyrdom will not own Guido for archbishop.—Vit. Ariald.

<sup>e</sup> Among the most curious parts of Landulph's history, and among the most singular documents of the age, is his account of a conference held in the presence of Herlembald on the marriage of the clergy. The speeches on both sides are given at length. The debate is opened by Guibert, the arch-deacon, who boldly broaches the doctrine that all Christians, laity as well as clergy, are priests: "Forsitan cogitatis, quod de Laicis tantum dicat, de quibus non est dubium habere conjugem. Omnes tamen, Laici et Clerici, quicunque sunt filii ecclesiæ, sacerdotes sunt." Landulph, perhaps, has not done justice to the arguments of Ariald; more than justice to his opponents. The most remarkable speech of all, how-The ever, is that of Andrew, "Sacerdos De-cumanus." He dwelt most vividly on the gross immoralities which as he believed-and he appealed to general ex-perience-inevitably followed the interdiction of marriage to the clergy : " Et si mihi de naturâ humanâ non credis, maximè non credis de ordine nostro, qui dum magis constringitur, amplius inlicitis accenditur; vel tibi, quod olim

fuisti, vel eras, [vel] esse poteris, crede. Vetando unam et propriam uxorem centum fornicatrices ac adulteria mille concedis; præterea vitium detestabile (ob quod quidam ex tuis simulantes sese caste vivere uxoribus falsâ religione dimissis, vitio imbuti detestabili, in dimissis, vito imout detestabil, in theatro populi tracti, et in fronte de-cocti sunt), te anice tangendo deter-reat." He indignantly inveighs against the violence of the celibate faction: "Thou hast separated us from our wives, thou that art more rightcous than the Apoclas, holing then the Prophete. the Apostles; holier than the Prophets; purer than the Patriarchs; not by jus-tice, not by charity, but by spears and swords, and every kind of persecution." He accuses them of holding the ascetic doctrines of "those of Monteforte," who proscribed all connexion between the sexes. He repels the argument that a priest-cannot offer at the altar, if pol-luted by contact with a wife. The priest who has a wife cannot serve God faithfully, if he loves his wife more than God: that is all. Yet Andrew does not pretend to excuse a priest who marries after he is in orders: he must suffer the penalty of that breach of discipline; but he protests against dissolving, even in the case of such priest, the indissoluble union .-- Landulph, iii. c. 25. Compare with this Damiani's dispute with the chaplain of Duke Godfrey, Epist. v. 13.

was pronounced against Ariald and Herlembald as refractory. But the inflexible Ariald appealed to Rome. He sent letters to inquire what course was to be pursued with this bishop, whom he loaded with the appellations of simoniac and adulterer. Damiani again blew a fierce blast from his monastic trumpet, and urged on these indefatigable warriors to extirpate this Nicolaitan heresy, as Jesus, from whose mouth goes forth the two-edged sword, will hew down all his enemies, and pour their blood on the earth.<sup>f</sup>

But Ariald presumed beyond his strength. He had returned from Rome armed with full powers, with the ban of the Church pronounced against Guido, which had been extorted from the reluctant Pope by the more intrepid Hildebrand. The people of Milan had borne his tyrannous sway; they had aided him in his persecution of the married clergy, and of those accused of simony. But now the manifest object of Ariald and of Herlembald was the total subjugation of Milan to Rome, the abrogation of all her peculiar rights and privileges. When, therefore, Ariald began to interfere with the ritual, received by constant tradition from St. Ambrose himself-to command a fast on certain days on which St. Ambrose had appointed no fastto preach against, to treat as heathen, a fast and procession on Ascension Day, instituted by St. Ambrose-he fell at once from the commanding height of his popularity.<sup>g</sup> The factions of the different litanies met in conflict on more equal terms. The archbishop himself, whose life had been in danger during the strife, headed the insurrection. The whole of Milan was summoned to meet in the great church at Pentecost. Guido appealed to the people:---" Let all who love S. Ambrose leave the church." Of seven thousand persons, but twelve remained with Ariald and with Herlembald. They stood near the altar to protect or to be protected by it. The partisans of Guido rushed to the attack; the clergy selected Ariald, the laity Herlembald, for their victim. Ariald was dragged from the church sorely wounded; Herlembald escaped better. At night his followers rallied, and rescued

<sup>1</sup> Epist v. 14. s Tristan Calchi, vi. 133.

CHAP. III.

them both from their enemies. Six men, probably of note, were killed. The palace of the archbishop was stormed and pillaged. They then attacked the church. The aged Guido hardly escaped, sorely maltreated in the tumult. But the nobles, the more distinguished of the citizens, the vassals of the Church, would endure this tyranny no longer. Guido of Landriano placed himself at their head; the city was laid under interdict; no service was to be performed, no bell sounded, till Ariald should be driven from the city. So great was the fury of Milan against Ariald, that he fled to Legnano. He fell into the hands of Oliva, the niece of Archbishop Guido. She carried him to an island on the Lago Maggiore. There she demanded whether he would acknowledge Guido for archbishop (he had been excommuni- June 28, cated by Rome). "As long as my tongue can 1066. speak," he replied, "I will not acknowledge him." The servants of Oliva, after a more shameful mutilation, tore out his tongue, and left him half-dead. Landulph, his former colleague, had suffered before his death from a disease in the tongue; and thus, says the hostile historian, "God punished these men by the member which was the cause of all their wickedness." Ariald soon found and still holds his place as a martyr in the annals of the Church.<sup>h</sup>

The strife was not allayed by the death of Ariald, nor by the appearance of two Papal legates, the Cardinal Bishop of Sylva Candida, and the cardinal priest John Minuto. They passed strong constitutions against simony and the married clergy.<sup>1</sup> Herlembald, who had fled to Pavia, returned, regained his power, and, openly supported by the Pope's authority, re-organised his tyranny. Guido, as he advanced in years, became more consciously incapable of rule. He had been archbishop twenty-seven years, the last ten of civil war. He deter-

cations, adulteries, and even worse crimes, among the clergy.

<sup>i</sup> "Constitutiones, quas S. Legati Mediolanensibus observandas præscribunt."—Mansi, xix.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>h</sup> The least credible part of Landulph, the historian's, story is the public confession of his errors, which he ascribes to Ariald, who humbly owns himself guilty of the blood of his fellowcitizens, as the cause of countless forni-

mined to vacate the see: he burthened it with a fixed pension to himself, and then made it over to a certain Godfrey. To him he resigned the pastoral staff, and the ring of investiture bestowed by the Emperor. Godfrey crossed the Alps, and promised the King, if he would grant the investiture, to destroy Pateria (so the adversaries of the monastic party opprobriously named them), take Herlembald alive, and send him prisoner into Germany. The Emperor, won, or bribed, as it is said, ratified the appointment.<sup>k</sup>

But Herlembald, who now conducted himself not merely as secular tyrant, but as a Pope in Milan, refused to acknowledge Godfrey, expelled him from the city, and besieged him in Castiglione. Guido, not receiving his stipulated pension, annulled his resignation, and resumed his state as archbishop. But he unwisely trusted himself to the faith of Herlembald; he was seized, and shut up in a monastery till his death.<sup>m</sup>

Before the death of Guido, Herlembald had set up a certain Atto,<sup>n</sup> nominated by himself with the legate of Rome by his side, and without regard to the Church of Milan or their liege lord the Emperor. Atto was but a youth, just entered into holy orders. The people were furious, rose and attacked the archbishop's palace, tore him from his refuge in an upper chamber, dragged him by the legs and arms into the church, and there compelled him to renounce his dignity. The Roman legate hardly escaped with his robes torn.

During this strife Milan had suffered two dreadful fires. which burned down some of the finest churches, A.D. 1071-75. as well as a large part of the city. These calamities goaded the factions to more relentless cruelty: as each party would attribute them to the direct wrath of God, so each would receive them as the summons to wreak vengeance on their adversaries, thus designated as the foes of God as of themselves. Herlembald, now strong in the armed protection of the great Hildebrand ° (we have

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>m</sup> Benzo. <sup>m</sup> Giulini. iv. 140; Verri, p. 173.

by the Pope in 1072.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>°</sup> Landulph (the historian) says of Herlembald: "Solum Romani illius <sup>n</sup> Atto was sanctioned as archbishop Hildebrandi auscultabat consultum.'

reached his ponfificate), maintained his power; yet so vigorous and inflexible was the party called that of the married clergy, that it prolonged the contest on the whole during twenty years,<sup>p</sup> and obtained at last a temporary triumph in the death of Herlembald.<sup>q</sup>

This man at length fell in an insurrection. The standard of St. Peter was trampled in the dust. Liutprand, a priest of his faction, was mutilated, his ears and his nose cut off. His enemies would scarcely allow Herlembald decent burial. A solemn procession passed to the Ambrosian Church, with hymns of thanksgiving for the deliverance of the Church of Milan from her oppressor." Yet he, too, is placed as a martyr in the calendar of Christian saints.<sup>8</sup> The canonization of these two religious demagogues, who, whatever may be thought of their spiritual objects, governed by popular insurrections and plunder, by carnage-which did not respect the most sacred persons, by exaction, and by every kind of persecution, closes this melancholy chapter in church history.

It was not in Milan alone that the war raged against the married clergy; nor only in the Milanese that the married clergy were strong enough to maintain a long and obstinate resistance to the Hildebrandine yoke.<sup>4</sup> In Monza, in Cremona, in Piacenza, in Pavia, in Padua, in Asti, fierce feuds, as fierce as the later conflicts of Guelfs and Ghibellines, disturbed the streets, not without blood shed. Alexander II. addressed an hortatory letter to the Cremonese; it rung like a tocsin through the city. The people rose upon the married clergy.<sup>u</sup>

But in Florence the secular clergy, headed by Peter, the Bishop of Florence, opposed a long but vain resistance to the monks, those especially of Vallombrosa, with their

<sup>t</sup> Verri in his Storia Milanese adduces strong reasons for supposing that the married priests continued to exercise their functions, however with greater caution, in the Milanese. A synod, held in 1098, condemns the abuse of the clergy handing down their benefices to their children by a kind of hereditary succession.

" See authorities in Theiner, p. 133; Benzo, p. 808, 9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>P</sup> "Crescebat quotidie numerus infidelium, et de die in diem numerus minuebatur Paterinorum."—Bonizo, p. 813.

<sup>813.</sup> <sup>9</sup> The enemies of Herlembald were the Capitanei and Valvassores (these Hullman interprets bas vassaux), the simple populace: "Dicentes se integritatem beati Ambrosii velle jurare."

Arnulf.

<sup>&#</sup>x27; In his epitaph it is said: "Hunc Veneris servi perimunt, Simonisque magistri."

abbot, hereafter sainted. John Gualberto. The legend of this holy man is among the most striking in hagiology. He was of noble Florentine birth; his brother had been murdered. The honour of his house, paternal love, the solemn imprecation of his father, imposed upon Gualberto the sacred duty of avenging his brother's blood. He brooded in fixed and sullen determination on this settled purpose. One day (it was Good Friday) he met his destined victim, the murderer, in a narrow pass: he drew his sword to plunge it to the heart of the guilty man. The assassin attempted no defence, but threw himself from his horse, and folded his arms over his breast in the form of a cross. Gualberto held his arm-he forgave for the sake of that holy sign. He rode on to pay his devotions in the Church of San Miniato; the crucifix seemed to bow towards him, as if in approval of his holy deed. From that moment Gualberto was a monk in heart as in life. He found a hermitage under the dark pines of Vallombrosa, on the banks of the Acqua Bella. The hermitage grew into a monastery; and of all cloisters none was so rigid as that of Vallombrosa; later times had seen no monk so austere, so self-mortified, as John Gualberto. Peter, Bishop of Florence, was accused as a Simoniac; the protection of Peter Damiani, who at first endeavoured to repress the intemperate zeal of the monks, may seem to absolve the prelate from this charge. But the secular clergy of Florence were deeply tainted it is said by this vice; they lived separate, there were no colleges of canons-an unmarried clergyman was rare-they were intent on their worldly interests, the heritage of their children, or provision for their families.<sup>x</sup>

The strife lasted for many years. Gualberto denounced Peter, the simoniac Bishop, in the streets of Florence; the monks of Vallombrosa renounced all allegiance to their

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Quæ enim lingua etiamsi ferrea ipsins cuncta posset referre bona? Quæ clericorum congregatio vitam erat ducens communem? Quis clericorum propriis et paternis rebus solummodo non studebat? Qui potius inveniretur, proh dolor! qui non esset uxoratus vel concubinarius? De simoniâ quid dicam? Omnes pene ecclesiasticos or-

dines hæc mortifera bellua devoraverat, ut, qui ejus morsum evaserit, rarus inveniretur." — Andreas Strum. in Vita S. Gualberti, apud Bolland, July 12. Atto says: "Exemplo vero ipsius et admonitionibus delicați eleri spretis connubiis coperunt simul în Ecclesiis stare, et communem ducere vitan<sup>3</sup>."

sullied prelate. Appeals to Rome were in vain; the Pope Alexander inclined to milder and more conciliatory measures; Hildebrand hailed the kindred spirit of his friend, the abbot Gualberto, and maintained with his more than Papal authority the cause of the monks.

But the monks had determined on, they had repeatedly urged, an appeal to a higher authority even than Rome, to God himself. They demanded the ordeal of fire. There was a fierce commotion in Florence. Many of the clergy had been awed by the denunciations of Gualberto and the monks; they fell off from the bishop, they declared that they could not obey a simoniac prelate. The civil authorities were summoned to drive the refractory priests from their residences. The populace arose, ever on the sterner, as they thought the more religious side; women ran about rending their veils, beating their breasts, and shrieking There was a loud cry: "Christ, thou art driven wildly. out! Simon Magus will endure thee no longer!"

A great rout, at least 5000, with monks at their head, marched forth to Settimo, a monastery dependent on Vallombrosa, a few miles from Florence. At Settimo had been prepared two lofty scaffolds; between them a narrow path, heaped with dry wood. The scaffolds were crowded with spectators, who gazed in transports of weeping devotion on the celebration of the mass below, by a popular monk, named Peter,<sup>y</sup> appointed as the champion of his cause by Gualberto. As the Agnus Dei was sung, four priests advanced, one bearing the cross, one with holy water, one with the swinging censer, one with two lighted torches. There was a wild intonation throughout all the people of the Kyrie Eleison-prayers to Christ, to the Virgin, to St. Peter-then all was silence. The mass was over; Peter, the monk, advanced in slow procession, amid the chanting of the Litanies and of the Psalms-he bore the cross. An abbot uttered a solemn prayer that this ordeal might root out the simony which reigned throughout the world. Peter knelt and prayed with deep fervour : "If Peter, Bishop of Florence, be a simoniac, may I pass unscathed through the flames." "Amen !" answered the awe-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The monk who passed the ordeal thold apud Pertz, with note of Usser-was called afterwards Petrus Igneus. man, p. 273; the whole account chiefly He became Bishop of Albano. Ber- from Berthold, in 1071, p. 109.

struck crowd. He gave and received the kiss of peace from his brethren. He waved the cross over the burning wood; walked slowly through the hissing flames, over the glowing embers. He passed unhurt; it was said that even the hairs on his feet were unsinged. All rushed around him, pressed his feet, the folds of his garments. There was one shout of triumph, demanding the degradation of the bishop. Peter, a man of gentle character, yielded to the storm; he withdrew from Florence, but he retained his bishopric till his death.<sup>z</sup>

The death of Alexander II. (after a pontificate of nearly twelve years, including the contest with Cadalous) Oct. 1, 1061; April 21, 1073. was neither sudden nor unexpected; the election

of his successor could not but be a subject of intense public anxiety. In Anselm of Lucca the pontificate had been restored to Italy: would Rome any longer endure the bitter ignominy, that no one of her clergy, according to the precedence assigned to them by the decree of Pope Nicolas and the Lateran Council, was fit to be elevated to the shrine of St. Peter? Hildebrand had already for more than two pontificates been virtually Pope; the popular voice had described him as Lord of the Pope; would he still condescend to a subordinate station, and out of humility, policy, timidity, decline the ostensible supremacy? An unusual fast of three days might indicate that some measure of more than ordinary solemnity was in contemplation.

The clergy were assembled in the Lateran church to celebrate the obsequies of Alexander; Hildebrand, as Archdeacon, was performing the mournful service. At once from the whole multitude of clergy and people arose a simultaneous cry, "Hildebrand is Pope!" "St. Peter chooses the Archdeacon Hildebrand!" The Archdeacon rushed towards the pulpit to allay the tumult, either with real or assumed modesty to repel the proffered honour; but Hugo the White, a cardinal presbyter of weight and influence, yet under the accusation of simony, and excommunicated by the late Pope, eager perhaps to retrieve his

<sup>\*</sup> Theiner adduces evidence that he was recognised by the Pope some time after his supposed degradation. The Mantuan biographer of S. Gualberto will

endangered position, at once came forward and made himself heard above the acclamations of the multitude. "Well know ye," he said, "beloved brethren, that since the days of the blessed Leo this tried and prudent Archdeacon has exalted the Roman See, and delivered this city from many perils. Wherefore, since we cannot find any one better qualified for the government of the Church, or the protection of the city, we, the bishops and cardinals, with one voice elect him as the pastor and bishop of your souls." The voice of Hugo was drowned in universal cries, "It is the will of St. Peter; Hildebrand is Pope." Hildebrand was led to the Papal throne; he was presented to the people as a man of profound theological knowledge, as a man of prudence, a lover of equity and justice, firm in adversity, temperate in prosperity; according to the Apostolic words, of good conversation ; blameless, modest, sober, chaste, hospitable, one that ruleth his own house; a man well brought up in the bosom of his Mother Church, and advanced already for his distinguished merits to the dignity of Archdeacon. "This our Archdeacon then we choose. to be called henceforth and for ever by the name of Gregory, for our Pontiff, as the successor of the Apostle." He was immediately arrayed with the scarlet robe, crowned with the Papal tiara, and, reluctant and in tears, enthroned in the chair of St. Peter.

Hildebrand wept! Were they tears of pride and joy, or of humility and sadness, or of mingling and conflicting emotions? It was impossible but that his ambition, his conscious superiority, must long have contemplated this ultimate advancement; but even his firm mind, in its profound religious devotion, may have been shaken at this crisis in his life. The higher Hildebrand estimated the power of the Pope, the more awful the responsibility. According to his view the Pope stood alone on earth between God and man; the destinies of the human race, the temporal no less than the eternal destinies, which must depend on the issue of the imminent contest into which he was about to plunge, hung henceforward upon his acts and words. The monk was not entirely dead within him; to his monastic friends, especially to Desiderius, Abbot of

\* Bonizo, sub ann. 1073. Compare Jaffé Regesta, p. 401.

Monte Casino, afterwards his successor, he imparts, with seeming sincerity, the struggle of mind with which he undertook the inevitable office.<sup>b</sup>

He commenced his reign with temper and prudence. The decree of Nicolas II. had acknowledged that, in the last instance, after the nomination of the Cardinals, the ratification by the clergy and by the people of Rome, the assent of the Emperor was necessary to complete the full legal title. Gregory despatched messengers to Germany to inform Henry IV. of his elevation, and to receive his assent. It is said that at the same time he warned the Emperor not to sanction his nomination: the warning was couched in words of prophetic minacity: "If I be indeed made Pope, I must no longer patiently endure your great and flagrant excesses." <sup>c</sup> But this is probably the language of later admirers of the great theocrat, who would at once invest him in all the terrors which he afterwards assumed. In the decree of Nicolas the assent of the Emperor had been reduced almost to a form; Gregory was a rigid and punctilious observer of forms, and it was most important that there should be no flaw whatever in his charter, no defect of which his enemies might avail themselves hereafter in his title. But by such language, thus more than usually offensive and contemptuous, Gregory himself raised the form into a reality. The words imputed to him absolutely submitted the validity of his . election to the Emperor, and acknowledged the Emperor's power to cancel his promotion. It is utterly irreconcileable with his character, directly at issue with the lofty principles so soon, so firmly, and so haughtily maintained by Hildebrand, to suppose that if the Emperor had refused his assent he would quietly have descended from the Pontifical throne; it was either base hypocrisy, or a perfidious attempt to betray the Emperor at once into hostile proceedings. If it be true-if the address of Gregory was more severe than the ordinary parental

beret, ipsum attentius exoravit. Quod si non faceret certum sibi esset, quod graviores et manifestos ipsius excessus impunitos nullatenus toleraret." – Cardin Arragm in Vit.

b April 24.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> "Interminatusque (sc. al.) si ejus electioni assensum præbuisset, nunquam ejus nequitiam patienter portaturum."— Bonizo, p. 811. "Ne assensum prædin. Arragm, in Vit.

admonitions which were wont to form part of the Papal addresses to sovereigns—if more than a grave or tender. remonstrance against his personal conduct-Gregory must have been prepared to discharge his conscience with this deliberate defiance, with which he cancelled beforehand any claim upon his gratitude for the assent of the Emperor, and held himself at full liberty to appear as an open adversary of the Empire in defence of the loftiest pretensions of the Papacy. It was presuming, too, somewhat over boldly on the timidity and irresolution of the Emperor and his council. Hildebrand's character was too well known---it had been known for too many years--- . not to excite apprehensions of his ambitious views in Germany. He was an Italian-a Roman prelate. His austerity would alarm all who were either guilty or under the imputation of simoniacal or incontinent lives; he would have many adversaries even among the better, but not unambitious, German Prelates. Henry was in truth strongly urged to annul at once the election. " If he did not at once tame this violent man, on no one would the storm fall so heavily as on himself." Count Eberhard of Nellenberg was sent to Rome to demand of the Romans why they had presumed, contrary to ancient usage, to elect the Pope without previous consultation of the Emperor; if the answer was unsatisfactory, Eberhard was to insist on the abdication of Gregory. But Count Eberhard was received with courteous deference by Gregory, who declared that he had not sought, but that the honour had been forced upon him by the clergy and the people. He had, however, deferred, and should defer, his inauguration until he had received the assent of the King. This skilful concession was accepted Eberhard returned to Germany. Gregory Bishop of Vercelli, the Chancellor. of Italy, was sent to Rome to signify the Imperial assent. Hildebrand thus assumed the Pontifical power unembarrassed by a contested title. Yet the watchful Pope still took every opportunity of asserting indirectly the independence of the Papacy. His name of Gregory VII. was a declaration that Gregory VI., whose Pontificate had been annulled by the Imperial authority, was a legitimate Pope.

| , statut   | EMPEROR OF GERMANY.                                    | KING OF FRANCE.           | KINGS OF ENGLAND KINGS OF SPAIN.                    | KINGS OF SPAIN.  | KINGS OF DENMARK.  | EMPERORS OF THE<br>EAST.  |
|--|--|---------------------------|---|--|--|---|
|  |  |                           |   |  |  |   |
| A.D.<br>A.D.<br>1073 Gregory VII. 1065 1056 Henry IV.<br>1060 (Guiber, Crement |  | Philip I.                 | A.D. A.D. A.D. A.D. A.D. 1108 Jose William the Con- | Castile. A.D.<br>Castile. Alfonso VI., the<br>Valiant 1106 | A.D. A.D. A.D. A.D. A.D. A.D. A.D. 1078 [1078 Saint IV, the 1066 [1078 1078 [1086 ]1078 ]1086 [1078 ]1 | A.D. A.D.<br>1072 Ducas 1075<br>1073 Nicryhorus Boto-<br>niutes 1081  |
| 1006 Victor III. 1007<br>1008 Urban II. 1089                                   |  |                           | 1087 William Rufus 1100                             | Arragon.<br>1057 Sancho 1094<br>1054 Peter I. 1103         | 1096 Eric the Good.  | 1081 Alexius Com-<br>nenus 1118   |
| ARCHEISHOPS OF<br>MILAN.   | ARCHRISHOPS OF MENTZ.                                  | ARCHBISHOPS OF<br>RHEIMS, | ARCHRISHOPS OF<br>CANTERBURY.                       | EARL OF PORTUGAL.  | KINGS OF NAPLES.   | KINGS OF HUNGARY.   |
| a.P. A.P. 1076 Tedaldo. 1088 Auselm 1088                                       | A.D.<br>1000 Siegfried<br>1084 W czil.<br>1068 Ruthard | Manasses I.<br>Rainald    | a.b.<br>1070 Lanfranc<br>1088 Auseim.               | A.D.<br>1088 Henry I.                                      | A.D. A.D. A.D. A.D. A.D. A.D. 1112 Robert Guiteerd 1065 Solomon 1063 Roger I. 1074 Geian (Steily) 1111 1077 Geian (Steily) 1111 1077 Ladialar  | A.D.         A.D.         A.D.           1065         1065         Solomon         1074           1074         Geim         1077         1071           1111         1077         Ladialaus I.         1065           1055         Kolomun         1114         1067         1114 |
|  |  |                           |   | ,  |  |   |

CONTEMPORARY CHRONOLOGY.

BOOK VII. `

BOOK VII.

( 97 )

# BOOK VII.

## CHAPTER I.

#### HILDEBRAND.

HILDEBRAND was now Pope; the great contest for the dominion over the human mind, the strife between Pope Gregory the temporal and spiritual power, which had been VII. April 22, carried on for some centuries as a desultory and A.D. 1073.

intermitting warfare, was now to be waged boldly, openly, implacably, to the subjugation of one or of the other. Sacerdotal, or rather Papal Christianity, had not yet fulfilled its mission, for, the Papal control withdrawn, the sacerdotal rule would have lost its unity, and with its unity its authority must have dissolved away. Without the clergy, not working here and there with irregular and uncombined excitement on the religious feelings of man, awakening in one quarter a vigorous enthusiasm, while in other parts of Europe men were left to fall back into some new Christian heathenism, or into an inert habitual Christianity of form; but the whole order labouring on a fixed and determined system, through creeds sanctified by ancient reverence, and a ceremonial guarded by rigid usage: without this vast uniform, hierarchical influence, where, in those ages of anarchy and ignorance, of brute force and dormant intelligence, had been Christianity itself? And looking only to its temporal condition, what had the world been without Christianity?

The Papacy has still the more splendid part of its destiny to accomplish. It has shown vital power enough to recover from its seemingly irrecoverable degradation. It might have been supposed that a moral and religious depravation so profound, would utterly have destroyed that reverence of opinion, which was the one VOL. III. groundwork of the Papal power. The veil had been raised; and Italy at least, if not Europe, had seen within it, not a reflex of divine majesty and holiness, but an idol not only hideous to the pure moral sentiment, but contemptible for its weakness. If centuries of sanctity had planted deeply in the heart of man his veneration for the successor of St. Peter, it would have been paralysed (the world might expect) and extinguished by more than a century of odious and un-Christian vices. A spiritual succession must be broken and interrupted by such unspiritual inheritors. Could the head of Christendom, living in the most un-Christian wickedness, perpetuate his descent, and hand down the patrimony of power and authority, with nothing of that piety and goodness which was at least one of his titles to that transcendant power?

But that idea or that opinion would not have endured for centuries, had it not possessed strength enough to reconcile its believers to contradictions and inconsistencies. With all the Teutonic part of Latin Christendom, the belief in the supremacy of the Pope was coeval with their Christianity; it was an article of their original creed as much as the Redemption; their apostles were commissioned by the Pope; to him they humbly looked for instruction and encouragement, even almost for permission to advance upon their sacred adventure. Augustine, Boniface, Ebbo, Anschar, had been papal missionaries. If the faith of Italy was shaken by too familiar a view of that which the Germans contemplated with more remote and indistinct veneration, the national pride, in Rome especially, accepted the spiritual as a compensation for the loss of the temporal supremacy; it had ceased to be the centre of the Imperial-it would not endure not to be that of ecclesiastical dominion. The jealousy of a Pope elected, or even bern, elsewhere than in Italy, showed the vitality of that belief in the Papacy, which was belied by so many acts of violence towards individual Popes. The religious minds would be chiefly offended by the incongruity between the lives and the station of the Pope; but to them it would be a part of religion to suppress any rebellious doubts. Their souls were deeply impressed with the paramount necessity of the unity of the Church; to them

the Papacy was of divine appointment, the Pope the successor of St. Peter: all secret questioning of this integral part of their implanted faith was sin. However then they might bow down in shame and sorrow at the inscrutable decrees of Heaven, in allowing its Vicegerent thus to depart from his original brightness, yet they would veil their faces in awe, and await in trembling patience the solution of that mystery. In the Christian mind in general, or rather the mind within the world of Christendom, the separation between Christian faith and Christian morality was almost complete. Christianity was a mere unreasoning assent to certain dogmatic truths, an unreasoning obedience to certain ceremonial observances. Controversy was almost In the former century, the predestinarian doctrines dead. of Gotschalk, in general so acceptable to the popular ear, had been entirely suppressed by the sacerdotal authority. The tenets of Berengar concerning the presence of Christ in the Sacrament, had been restrained, and were to be once more restrained, by the same strong hand; and Berengar's logic was beyond his age: The Manichean - doctrines of the Paulicians and kindred sects were doubtless spreading to a great extent among the lower orders, but as yet in secrecy, breaking out now in one place, now in another, yet everywhere beheld with abhorrence, creating no wide alarm, threatening no dangerous disunion. In all the vulgar of Christendom (and that vulgar comprehended all orders, all ranks) the moral sentiment, as more obtuse, would be less shocked by that incongruity which grieved and oppressed the more religious. The great body of Christians in the West would no more have thought of discussing the character of the Pope, than the attributes of God. He was to them the apostle, the vicegerent of God, enveloped in the same kind of awful mystery. They feared the thunders of the Lateran as those of heaven; and were no more capable of sound discrimination as to the limits, grounds, and nature of that authority, than as to the causes of the destructive fire from the clouds. Their general belief in the judgment to come was not more deeply rooted than in the right of the clergy, more especially the head of the clergy, to anticipate, to declare, or to ratify their doom.

-99

The German line of Pontiffs had done much to re-invest the Papacy in its ancient sanctity. The Italian Alexander II. had been at least a blameless Pontiff; and now every qualification which could array the Pope in imposing majesty, in what bordered on divine worship, seemed to

meet in Gregory VII. His life verified the Character. splendid panegyric with which he had been presented by Cardinal Hugo to the Roman people. He had the austerest virtue, the most simple piety, the fame of vast theologic knowledge, the tried ability to rule men, intrepidity which seemed to delight in confronting the most powerful; a stern singleness of purpose, which, under its name of Churchmanship, gave his partisans unlimited reliance on his firmness and resolution, and yet a subtle policy which bordered upon craft. To them his faults were virtues; his imperiousness the due assertion of his dignity; his unbounded ambition zeal in God's cause: no haughtiness could be above that which became his station. The terror by which he ruled (he was so powerful that he could dispense with love), as it was the attribute of the Divinity now exclusively worshipped by man, so was it that which became the representative of God on earth.

Hildebrand, if not a Roman by birth, was an adopted Birth and Roman by education. He was of humble origin: youth of Hildebrand. so humble as to be obscure, almost doubtful. His father was a carpenter in Saona, a small town on the southern border of Tuscany. His name implies a Teutonic descent, though later adulation allied it with the great Roman house, the Aldobrandini. His later glory, as usual, cast back a preternatural splendour on his early life: prognostics of his future greatness began to embellish the dark years of his infancy and youth. His youth was passed in a monastic house in Rome, St. Mary on the Aventine, of which his uncle was abbot. That Abbot. named Lawrence, if the same who was afterwards Archbishop of Amalfi, was a man of ability and reputation. . The disposition of Hildebrand was congenial to his educa-He was a monk from his boyhood. Mortification tion. in the smallest things taught him that self-command and rigour which he was afterwards to enforce on himself and on mankind: it was his self-imposed discipline, perhaps

his pride, to triumph over every indulgence of the senses, even on the most trivial occasions. His sternness to others was that which throughout life he exercised upon himself.

Rome was no favourable school for monastic perfection; yet perhaps the gross and revolting licentiousness of the city, and the abuses in the monastic system, which, whether they had penetrated or not into the sanctuary on the Aventine, by exciting the abhorrence of the devout Hildebrand may have hardened his austerity. The alternative to a Roman monk was between shameless profligacy and the extremest rigour; and Hildebrand would not be outdone in the holier course. But arrived at manhood. he determined to seek some better school for his ardent devotion, and to suppress, by travel and by study in some more safe retreat, the yet mutinous passions of his adolescence. There were still, in the general degeneracy of the monastic institutes, some renowned for their sanctity. At no period have been wanting men, who carried out to the utmost, who aimed at surpassing, the severe rules of Benedict or Columban. Among these was Odilo, abbot of Clugny, in Burgundy, the great Reformer of the Hildebrand monastic life in France. The situation of this in Clugny. monastery was beautiful. Hildebrand here found a retreat among brethren, whose asceticism might test his most rigorous power of self-discipline. The studies which he had commenced with promising success at Rome, proceeded rapidly in the peaceful shades of Clugny. Hildebrand soon became master of all the knowledge of the times; and, perhaps at no period was in greater danger of abandoning the lofty destiny for which he seemed born. Where there was such depth of devotion there must have been strong temptation to remain, and to permit that devotion to luxuriate undisturbed and uninterrupted. Hildebrand might have been content to live and die the successor of Odilo of Clugny, not of the long line of Roman Pontiffs.

But holy retirement was not the vocation of his busy and energetic spirit. Hildebrand is again in Rome; he is attached to that one of the three conflicting Popes, whose cause would doubtless have been espoused by a

68288

man of devout feeling, and rigidly attached to canonical order. When Gregory VI., compelled to abdicate the Papacy, retired into Germany, he was followed by Hildebrand; on Gregory's death Hildebrand returned for a short time to his beloved retreat at Clugny.

But during all this period, as a resident in France and in Germany, he was acquiring that knowledge of men and of affairs, which he was hereafter to employ in his great scheme of dominant churchmanship: It was the Italian and the Churchman surveying the weakness of the enemy's position. From Clugny he emerged, having cast his spell

on the congenial mind of Leo IX., and admo-A p 1014 nished him to maintain the dignity and independence of the Papal election. From this time he was Pope, or becoming so. On every great occasion he was the legate: he was commissioned to encounter and suppress the daring Berengar; he was, no doubt, the adviser of Nicolas II. in the change of the Roman policy, the assumption of the power of election by the Cardinals, and the Norman alliance. He created Alexander II., and discomfited his rival, Cadalous. The strongest indication, indeed, of his superiority, his prophetic consciousness of his own coming greatness, was the self-command with which he controlled his own ambition. There was no eager or premature struggle for advancement; offices, honours, laid themselves at his feet. He was content to holour in a subordinate capacity, to have the substance without the pomp of authority, the influence without the dignity of the Papal power. For a long period in the Papal annals, Hildebrand alone seems permanent; Pope after Pope dies, disappears; Hildebrand still stands unmoved, or is rising more and more to eminence. The Italian might even seem to trust, not without stern satisfaction, to the fatal climate of his country, to wear out the rapid succession of German pontiffs, who yet were rendering the gn at service of regenerating the Popedom. One by one they fall off, Clement, Damasus, Leo, Victor, Nicolas. The only one who rules for ten years is the Italian, Alexander II.

While Hildebrand was thus rising to the height of power, and becoming more and more immersed in the affairs of the world, which he was to rule, his aged colleague in one of his important missions, the sup-Damiani. pression of the married clergy in Lombardy, Peter

Damiani, beheld his progress with amazement, with friendly terror and regret. The similitude and contrast between these two men is truly characteristic of the age. Damiani was still a monk at heart; he had been compelled by Pope Stephen, his persecutor, as he called him, rather than his patron, to take upon him the episcopate. He had been invested by the same gentle violence in the rank of a Cardinal; and in that character had wrought his temporary triumph in Milan. Already had he addressed an earnest argument to Pope Nicolas II, to be allowed to abdicate the weary, unthankful, unmonastic office. Damiani saw the monk, in all but its personal austerity, departing from the character of Hildebrand. Hildebrand could not comprehend the pusillanimity, and, as it were, spiritual selfishness with which Damiani, in anxious apprehension for his own soul, would withdraw from the world, which himself would confront and cope with, not seek his safety in cowardly flight. Damiani trembled even for the stern virtue of Hildebrand, when raised to the pomp, and at least able to command the luxuries of a magnificent prelate. His argument is a bitter satire against the Bishops, and, of course, the still loftier dignitaries of the Church. "What would the bishops of old have done, had they to endure the torments which now attend the episcopate? To ride forth constantly attended by troops of soldiers, with swords and lances; to be girt about with armed men, like a heathen general! Not amid the gentle music of hymns, but the din and clash of arms! Every day royal banquets, every day parade! The table, loaded with delicacies, not for the poor, but for voluptuous guests; while the poor, to whom the property of right belongs, are shut out, and pine away with famine.<sup>a</sup>

From that time Gregory and Damiani trod their opposite paths: Damiani to subdue the world within himself<sup>b</sup>

<sup>\*</sup> In one passage Damiani declares no sins which he had to struggle against. single clerk fit to be a bishop; one is a Those which elung to him were scur-little better (meliusculum) than another. rility (Damiani was not wanting in self-The Bishop of Fano he calls "latro Fanensis."—Opuscul.

knowledge) and disposition to lunghter. -Epist. v. 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> See Damiani's black account of the

with more utter aversion, more concentered determination; Hildebrand to subdue the world without—how far within his own heart God alone may judge.

The first, the avowed object of Gregory's pontificate, was the absolute independence of the clergy, of the Pope, of the great prelates throughout Latin Christendom, down to the lowest functionary, whose person was to become sacred; that independence under which lurked Views of Hildebrand, the undisguised pretension to superiority. His remote and somewhat more indistinct vision, was the foundation of a vast spiritual autocracy in the person of the Pope, who was to rule mankind by the consentient, but subordinate authority of the clergy throughout the world. For this end the clergy were to become still more completely a separate, inviolable caste; their property equally sacred with their persons. Each in his separate sphere, the Pope above all and comprehending all, was to be sovereign arbiter of all disputes; to hold in his hands the supreme mediation in questions of war and peace; to adjudge contested successions to kingdoms; to be a great feudal lord, to whom other kings became Beneficiarics. His own arms were to be chiefly spiritual, but the temporal power was to be always ready to execute the ecclesiastical behest against the ungodly rebels who might revolt from its authority; nor did the Churchman refuse altogether to use secular weapons, to employ armies in its own name, or even to permit the use of arms to the priesthood.

For this complete isolation of the hierarchy into a pecu-Hierarchical liar and inviolable caste was first necessary the reformation of the clergy in two most important preliminary matters; the absolute extirpation of the two evils, which the more rigid churchmen had been denouncing for centuries, to the suppression of which Hildebrand had devoted so much of his active energies. The war against simony and against the concubinage of the clergy (for under this ill-sounding name was condemned all connexion, however legalised, with the female sex), must first be carried to a triumphant issue, before the Church could assume its full and uncontested domination.

Like his predecessors, like all the more high-minded Churchmen, Hildebrand refused to see that simony was the

104

inevitable consequence of the inordinate wealth of the clergy. It was a wild moral paradox to attempt simony. to reconcile enormous temporal possessions and enormous temporal power, with the extinction of all temporal motives for obtaining, all temptations to the misuse of, these all-envied treasures. Religion might at first beguile itself into rapacity, on account of the sacred and beneficent uses to which it designed to devote wealth and power. Works of piety and charity might, for a short time, with the sacred few, be the sole contemplated, sole sought object. But rapacity would soon throw off the mask, and assume its real character. Personal passions and desires would intrude into the holiest sanctuary. Pious works would become secondary, subordinate, till at last they would vanish from the view; ambition, avarice, pride, prodigality, luxury, would, by degrees, supplant those rare and singular virtues. The clergy had too much power over public opinion themselves to submit to its control; they awed mankind-were under awe to none. In the feudal system, which had been so long growing up throughout Western Europe, bishops had become, in every respect, the equals of the secular nobles. In every city the bishop, if not the very first of men, was on a level with the first: without the city he was lord of the amplest domains. Archbishops almost equalled kings; for who would not have covered the station and authority of a Hincmar, Archbishop of Rheims, rather than that of the feeble Carlovingian monarch? The citizen might well be jealous of the superior opulence and influence of the priest;. even the rustic, the serf, might behold, not without envy, his son or his brother (for from this sacerdotal caste there was no absolute exclusion either in theory or practice of the meanest) enjoying the security, the immunities, the respect paid even to the most humble orders of the clergy; and so it was throughout the whole framework of society. But if this was the nobler part of the democratic constitu-tion of the Church, that it was a caste not of birth or race, it had its countervailing evils. There was a constant temptation; a temptation growing in proportion to its privileges and immunities; a temptation which overleaped, or trampled down every barrier, to enter the Church from

unhallowed motives. The few who assumed the sacred office with high and pure and perfectly religious views, became comparatively fewer. Men crowded into it from all quarters, and seized at once on its highest and its almost menial offices. That which had been obtained by unworthy means, or for unworthy motives, would be employed for no higher ends. We have seen the Barbarians forcing their way into the sacred ranks, and bringing with them much of their barbarity. Charlemagne himself had set the example of advancing his natural sons to high ecclesiastical dignities. His feebler descendants, even the more pious, submitted to the same course from choice or necessity. The evil worked downwards. The Bishop, who had bought his see, indemnified himself by selling the inferior prebends or cures. What was so intrinsically valuable began to have its money-price; it became an object of barter and sale. The layman who purchased holy orders bought usually peace, security of life, com-parative ease. Those who aspired to higher dignitics soon repaid themselves for the outlay, however large and extortionate. For several centuries, Pope after Pope, Council after Council, had continued to denounce this crime, this almost heresy. The iteration, the gradually increasing terrors of their anathemas, show their inefficacy. While the ambitious churchmen on the one hand were labouring to suppress it, by the still accumulating accessions to their power and wealth they were aggravating the cvil. At this period, not merely the indignant satire of the more • austere, but graver history and historical poetry, even the acts and decrees of Councils declare that, from the Papacy down to the lowest parochial cure, every spiritual dignity and function was venal. The highest bishops confessed their own guilt; the bishopric of Rome had too often been notoriously bought and sold. Sometimes, indeed, but not always, it condescended to some show of decency. Simony might veil itself under the appearance of ordinary and ancient usage. The universal feudal practice of making offerings to the sovereign, or to the liege lord, or even largesses to the people, at every act of promotion, grant, or enfranchisement, might seem to justify these donations, at first honorary and voluntary, at length exacted as a

tribute, with unscrupulous rapacity. With this was connected the whole famous question of investiture.

But however disguised, simony from its odious name was acknowledged to be a crime and a sin.° It undermined the power and authority of the clergy. The priest or bishop labouring under this imputation was held up, by the decrees of Popes and Councils, as an object of hatred and contempt, rather than of respect. But beyond this the vast possessions which tempted to Simony were endangered by its inevitable consequences. While the clergy were constantly working on the fears of men to increase their own wealth, the only reprisals in the power of the laity was through the venality of the clergy. It was their only means of rescuing some part of their property from the all-absorbing cupidity of those who made it their duty to secure, in theory for God and for pious uses, but too often for other ends, very large proportions of the land throughout Latin Christendom. According to the strict law, the clergy could receive everything, alienate nothing. But the frequent and bitter complaints of the violent usurpation, or the fraudulent alienation by the clergy themselves, of what had been Church property, show that neither party respected this sanctify when it was the interest of both to violate it.<sup>d</sup> While, on the one hand, the clergy extorted from the dying prince or noble some important grant, immunity, or possession, the despoiled heir would scruple at no means of resuming his alienated rights or property. The careless, the profligate, the venal, the warlike bishop or abbot would find means, if he found advantage, to elude the law; to surrender gradually, and imperceptibly; to lease out the land so as to annihilate its value to the Church; to grant in perpetuity for triffing compensations or for valueless service, the coveted estate;

<sup>c</sup> Tedaldo, Bishop of Arezzo, so de- "Metebant jugi labore in sæcularium campis clerici, ac præcipue monachi; vicissim vero et sæculares nihil intentatum relinquebant, ut messem ab eccle-siasticis congestam, in horrea sua leviori interdum negotio deducerent. Propterea quamvis universam pene tellurem absorbere posse ac velle videretur cleri utriusque industria, plura sacris locis erepta quam relicta fuisse."—Ant. It.

tested simony, that he would have become a simoniac Pope himself to root out the sin; at least, so says Donizo, the panegyrist of the Countess Matilda :--

<sup>&</sup>quot; Ipsos detestans dicebat mente modestà

Mille libras certè pro Papatu dare vellem.

Ut quod ego glisco simoniacos maledictos Ejicerem cunctos per totum denique mun-dum."-i. 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> Muratori describes well this struggle : Diss. lxxxii.

and so to relax the inexorable grasp of the Church. His own pomp and expenditure would reduce the ecclesiastic to the wants and subterfuges of debtors and of bankrupts; and so the estates would, directly or circuitously, return either to the original or to some new owner.

With this universal simony was connected, more closely than may at first appear, the other great vice of the age, as it was esteemed by Hildebrand and his school, the marriage. of the clergy. Few of these men, actuated only by religious motives, by the stern, dominant spirit of monasticism in their refusal of this indulgence to religious men, may have had the sagacity to discern the real danger arising to their power from this practice. The celibacy of the clergy was necessary to their existence, at the present period, as a separate caste. The clergy, in an advanced period of civilisation, may sink into ordinary citizens; they may become a class of men discharging the common functions of life, only under a stronger restraint of character and of public opinion. As examples of the domestic, as of the other virtues; as training up families in sound morals and religion, they are of inappreciable advantage; they are a living remonstrance and protest against that licentiousness of manners which is the common evil of more refined society. But the clergy of this age, necessarily a caste, would have degenerated from an open, unexclusive caste, to a close and hereditary one.<sup>f</sup> Under the feudal system, everything, from the throne to the meanest trade, had an hereditary tendency. The benefices, originally revocable at the will of the liege lord, were becoming patrimonies; rank, station, distinction, descended from father to son: the guilds, if they were beginning to be formed in towns, were likewise hereditary. The son followed the trade, and succeeded to the tools, the skill of his parent. But hereditary succession once introduced into the Church, the degeneracy of the order was inevitable; the title to its high places at least, and its emoluments, would have become

<sup>f</sup> See in Damiani a frightful story of the recking proofs of the murder to him. a bishop in Marsia, who had a son by a He died, however, suddenly at the mothe monastery of Casino, hired assassins to pluck out the abbot's eyes, and send

concubine, whom he substituted for him-self in his bishopric. He himself coveted True or false, Damiani believed the story. -Epist. iv. 8.

more and more exclusive: her great men would cease to rise from all ranks and all quarters.<sup>8</sup>

Hereditary succession once introduced into the Church, the degeneracy of the order followed as of necessity Great as were the evils inseparable from the dominion o. the priesthood, if it had become in any degree the privilege of certain families that evil would have been enormously aggravated; the compensating advantages annulled. Family affections and interests would have been constantly struggling against those of the Church. Selfishness, under its least unamiable form, would have been ever counteracting the lofty and disinterested spirit which still actuated the better Churchmen; one universal nepotism-a nepotism, not of kindred, but of parentage-would have preved upon the vital energies of the order. Every irreligious occupant would either have endeavoured to alienate to his lay descendants the property of the Church, or bred up his still more degenerate descendants in the certainty of succession to their patrimonial benefice.<sup>h</sup>

Yet celibacy may be the voluntary self-sacrifice of an

<sup>6</sup> "Ampla itaque prædia, ampla patrimonia, et quæcunque bona possunt, de bonis ecclesiæ, neque enim aliunde habent, infames patres infamibus filiis acquirunt. Et ut liberi non per rapinam appareant, volunt enim in terra rapere libertatem, ut diabolus in cœlo voluit deitatem, in militiam eos mox faciunt transire nobilium."—Concil. Papiens. A.D. 1022. Mansi, xix.; Pertz, Leg. ii. 561. Compare Theiner, i. 457. It was prohibited, but vainly prohibited, to receive the sons of priests into orders. Gerhard, Bishop of Lorch, asks Pope Leo VII. whether it was lawful; the Pope decided that the sons must not bear the sins of their fathers.—Labbe, ix., sub ann 937. Compare Planck, iii.

p. 601. <sup>h</sup> Ratherus of Verona, a century earlier (he died 974), declaims against this hereditary priesthood. He had already asserted, "Quam perdita tonsuratorum universitas tota, ut nemo in eis qui non aut adulter aut sit arsenoquita. Adulter enim nobis est, qui contra caiones uxorius est." He declares that there were priests and deacous not only bigami, but trigami et quadrigami. "Presbyter vero aut diaconus uxorem legitimam non possit habere. Si filium de ipsa fornica-

tione, vel quod pejus est, adulterio, genitum facit presbyterum, ille iterum suum, suum alter iterum ; pullulans illud usque in finem sæculi taliter adulterium. cujus est, nisi illius qui illud primitus seminavit? Quocirca monendi et obsecraudi fratres, ut quia prohiberi, proh-dolor! a mulieribus valetis nullo modo, filios de vobis generatos dimitteretis saltem esse laicos, filias laicis jungeretis, ut vel in fine saltem vestro terminaretur, et nusquam in finem sæculi duraret adulterium vestrum."-De Nuptu cujusdam illicito, ap. d'Achery, i. pp. 370, 1. The Synod of Worms thus writes of the object of Hildebrand's law .: " Causa legis est. ne ecclesiarum opes collectæ per sacerdotum matrimonia et liberos rursus diffluerent." The same complaints are made in England as late as the reign of Henry II. (Epist. Gul. Folliott, 361-362). So little effect had the measures of Gregory and his successors, that Folliott excuses Pope Alexander III. for not carrying out the law: "Si vero prorsus vitium . extirpatum non est, id non imputandum sibi sed magis delinquentium multitudini, vixque, vel nunquam, abolendæ consue-tudini."-Document Hist. apud Giles, vol. ii. p. 237.

individual, it may be maintained for a time by mutual control and awe; by severe discipline; by a strong corporate spirit in a monastic community. But in a low state of morals as to sexual intercourse, in an order recruited from all classes of society, not filled by men of tried and matured religion; in an order crowded by aspirants after its wealth, power, comparative ease, privileges, immunities, public estimation; in an order superior to, or dictating public opinion (if public opinion made itself heard); in a permanent order, in which the degeneracy of one age would go on increasing in the next, till it produced some stern reaction; in an order comparatively idle, without social duties or intellectual pursuits; in an order not secluded in the desert, but officially brought into the closest and most confidential relations as instructors and advisers of the other sex, it was impossible to maintain real celibacy; i and the practical alternative lay between secret marriage, concubinage without the form of marriage, or a looser and more corrupting intercourse between the sexes.

Throughout Latin Christendom, throughout the whole spiritual realm of Hildebrand, he could not but know there had been long a deep-murmured, if not an avowed doubt, as to the authority of the prohibitions against the marriage of the clergy; where the dogmatic authority of the Papal canons was not called in question, there was a bold resistance, or a tacit infringement of the law. Italy has been seen in actual, if uncombined, rebellion from Calabria to the Alps. Married clergy The whole clergy of the kingdom of Naples has appeared, under Nicolas II, from the highest to the lowest, openly living with their lawful wives. Still earlier, we have seen Leo IX. contesting, and

It is impossible entirely to suppress all notice of other evils which arose out of, and could not but arise out of the or, and could not but arise out of the a enforced cellbacy of the clergy, a bar-barous clergy, an unmarried clergy, not, throughout the order, under the very strong control of a vigilant and fearless public opinion. Damiani's odious book has been already named; its name is enough. Damiani saw not that, by his own measures, he was probably making such a book almost necessary in future matrem, amitam, sororem." A.D. 895.

times. In the Council of Metz, 898, a stronger prohibition is needed than against wives and concubines. " Nequaquam in sua domo secum aliquam fæminam habeant, nec matrem, nec sororem ; sed auferentes omnem occasionem Satanæ . . . . "-Can. v. That of Nantes gives more plainly the cause of the prohibition : "Quia instigante diabolo, etiam in illis scelus frequenter perpetratum reperitur, aut etiam in pedissequis illorum, scilicet it should seem in vain, this undisguised license in Rome itself.<sup>k</sup> Milan and other Lombard cities, and Florence, had withstood authority, eloquence, popular violence, even the tribunitian fury of ecclesiastical demagogues; they were silenced, but neither convinced nor subdued. The married clergy were still, if for the present cowed, a powerful faction throughout Italy; they were awaiting their time of vengeance.<sup>m</sup> Ravenna, if she had now fallen into comparative obscurity, and was not, as far as appears, so deeply committed in the strife, yet preserved in her annals (perhaps from the days of her Greek Exarchate) the memory of saintly prelates who had asserted the right of marriage.<sup>n</sup> The memory of the married Pope, Hadrian II. was but recent.

In Germany the power and influence of the married clergy will make itself felt, if less openly pro-Married clergy claimed, as a bond of alliance with the Emperor and in Germany. the Lombard prelates. The famous letter of Ulric, Bishop of Augsburg, to Pope Nicolas I.,<sup>o</sup> had already boldly asserted the Teutonic freedom in this great question. Ulric had urged with great force the moral and scriptural argu-

<sup>k</sup> See quotation, p. 52, from Gulielmus Appulus. See pp. 62-90, with quotations from Peter Damiani and the biographer of S. Gualberto.

<sup>n</sup><sup>The</sup> best testimony for the whole of Italy, including Rome (even beyond the declamations of Damiani), is the statement of the more sober Pope Victor in his Dialogues. "Itaque cum vulgus clericorum per viam effrænatæ licentiæ, nemine prohibente, graderetur, cæperunt ipsi presbyteri et diacones (qui tradita sibi sacramenta Dominica mundo corde castoque corpore tractare debebant), laicorum more uxores ducere susceptosque fillos hæredes relinquere. Nonnulli etiam episcoporum, verecundiâ omni contemptâ, cum uxoribus domo símul in unâ habitare. Et hæc pessima et execranda consuetudo intra Urbem maximè pullulabat, unde olim religionis norma ab ipso Apostolo Petro ejusque successoribus ubique diffusa processerat." —Max. Biblioth. Patr. xviii. Compare Bonizo apud Œfel. Rer. Boic. Script. ii.

799. <sup>n</sup> Compare Agnelli, Vit. Pontif. Ravennat. "Sed quærendum nobis est cur iste conjugatus talem egregiam obtinuit

sedem. Si intelligatis auctorem Apostolum dicentem, unius uxoris virum, et filios habentem, episcopos ordinari recte providetur, cum et hoc Canones præceperint."—P. 113. Saint Severus was married, when Archbishop of Ravenna, according to a life written about this time. "Sicut enim ciborum edulio non polluitur homo, nisi insidiatrix concupiscentia præcedit, sic quoque legali conjugio non inquinatur Christianus, qui se nullatenus vel virginitatis vel continentiæ alligarit."—Compare p. 192, where the example of Peter is alleged. The saint abstained when archbishop.— Ibid., p. 189.

• Apud Eccard, Hist. Med. Ævi, ii. p. 26. I see no just grounds to doubt the authenticity of this letter, though it contains a very foolish story. Compare Shroeck, xxii. p. 533. "Quid divinæ maledictioni obligatius, quam cum aliqui eorum episcopi videlicet et archidiaconi ita præcipites sint in libidinem, ut neque adulteria, neque incestus, neque masculorum, proh pudor i sciant abhorrere concubitus, quod casta clericorum conjugia dicunt fætere." ments; sternly contrasted the vices of the unmarried with ' the virtues of the married clergy. Adelbert, the magnificent Archbishop of Bremen, almost conceded the marriage of the clergy to avoid worse evils: the statesman prevailed over the prelate.<sup>p</sup> Gregory himself had to rebuke the Archbishop of Saltzburg for his remissness in not correcting the uncleanness of his clergy (a phrase which may be safely interpreted, not separating them from their wives), the Bishop of Constance for being indulgent to such flagitious courses.<sup>q</sup>

Among the detested and incorrigible offences which drove Saint Adalbert in indignation from his bishopric of Prague, were the marriage of the clergy, and the polygamy of the laity."

There is no reason to suppose the marriage of the clergy less common in France, though it had either the In France. good fortune, or the prudence, not to come into such bold and open collision with the stern Reformer. Their councils denounce the crime as frequent, notorious. That of Bourges had threatened to deprive the married priests, deacons, and subdeacons, if they did not give up all connexion with their wives or concubines.<sup>8</sup> Under Gregory VII. the Bishop of Toul is accused, it is true, by a refractory clerk, of living publicly with a concubine, by whom he had a son.<sup>t</sup>

In Normandy the fierceness of the conqueror, the Teutonic independence, if there were priests so early In Normandy. of Norman descent—if the priesthood were of the old Frankish race, the long years of anarchy-had broken down or so dissolved all the old bonds of law and order, that even bishops openly lived with their wives, and sate proudly in the midst of their sons and daughters." When

pænam libidinis laxaverit, ut qui mulierculis se inquinaverint in flagitio persisterent."-Dec. 1074.

<sup>r</sup> In 990. Cosmas Pragensis, y. S. Adalbert, p. 77.

" Canon. v.

Regest. ii. 10. ""Tunc quippe in Neustriâ post adventum Normannorum, in tantum iii. 32, apud Lindenbrog, p. 41. <sup>q</sup> Regest. i. 30, Nov. 15, 1073, and Udalrie Bab. apud Eccard. "Quod libere uterentur thoris concubinarum, et

P "Audivinus cum sæpenumero Adelbertus clerum suum de continentiâ hortaretur, Admoneo vos, inquit, et postulans jubeo, ut pestiferis mulierum vinculis absolvamini, aut si ad hoc non potestis cogi, sáltem cum verecundià vinculum matrimonii custodite, secundum illud quod dicitur, Si non castè tamen cautè."-Scoliast, in Adam. Brem.

CHAP. I.

Herluin, the founder of the monastery of Bec, betook himself to monastic life, an unmarried priest or bishop was hardly to be found in Normandy. Leo IX., as has been seen, in vain denounced, at his council at Rheims, the martial and married prelates. They gave up reluctantly their arms; nothing would induce them to yield their wives. The Archbishop of Rouen daring, in a public synod, to prohibit under anathema the priests to retain those whom he opprobriously called their concubines, was overwhelmed with a shower of stones, and driven out of the Church.

Among the Anglo-Saxon clergy before Dunstan, marriage was rather the rule, celibacy the exception.<sup>x</sup> In older Anglo-Saxon Britain monasticism itself had but seldom aspired either to the dreamy quietude of the East, or the passionate and excessive austerity of the West: it was a religious profession, no more. The monks attached to most of the cathedrals lived under a kind of canonical rule, but were almost universally married. In the richer conventual foundations ruled mostly, as in France, noble and warlike abbots, and noble abbesses; they took no vow of chastity; they married or remained unmarried at their will.<sup>y</sup> The only true monks were the Benedictines, who had been introduced by Archbishop Wilfred. They were chiefly in the northern kingdoms, but throughout England these monasteries had been mercilessly wasted by the Danes: a white cowl was as rare as a ghost. When Dunstan began his career there were true monks only at Abingdon and Glastonbury.<sup>2</sup>

palam superbirent multiplici propagine filiorum et filiarum. Tunc ibidem (Re-mis) generale concilium tenuit (Leo IX.) et inter reliqua ecclesiæ commoda, quæ constituit, presbyteris arma ferre et con-juges habere prohibuit. Exinde consuetudo lethalis paulatim exinaniri cœpit. Arma quidem ferre presbyteri jam pa-tienter desiere; sed a pellicibus adhue nolunt abstinere, nec pudicitiæ inser-vire."—Orderic. Vital., apud Duchesne, p. 372. "Rarus in Normanniå tunc rectæ tramitis aut index aut prævius erat: sacerdotes et summi pontifices libere conjugati, et arma portantes, ut laici."-Vit. S. Herluin. apud Lanfranc. Oper., p. 263. "Multum contra impudicos presbyteros pro auferendis pellicibus laboravit, a quibus dum in synodo con-

cubinas eis sub anathema prohiberet, lapidibus percussus aufugit, fugiensque ab ecclesiâ, 'Deus, venerunt gentes in hæreditatem tuam,' fortiter clamavit."--

Orderic. Vital., A.D. 1069-1079. \* Kemble, ii. pp. 441-471. \* "Monasteria nempe Angliæ ante Reformationem a Dunstano et Edgaro rege institutam, totidem erant conventus clericorum sæcularium; qui amplissimis possessionibus dotati et certis sibi in-vicem regulis astricti, officia sua in ecclesiis quotidiè frequentarunt; omnibus interim aliorum clericorum privilegiis, atque ipsâ uxores ducendi licentiâ gaudebant."--Wharton, Anglia Sacra, i. p. 218.

\* Theiner, p. 530.

VOL. III.

An English historian may be permitted to dwell some-In England. what more at length on this great question in Anglo-Saxon Britain. A century before Gregory VII., the Primate Odo, and after him Dunstan, had devoted themselves to work that which they too deemed a holy revolution. Dunstan's life was a crusade, Dunstan. a cruel, unrelenting, yet but partially successful crusade against the married clergy, which in truth comprehended the whole secular clergy of the Anglo-Saxon kingdom. Dunstan was, as it were, in a narrower sphere, among a ruder people, a prophetic type and harbinger of Like Hildebrand, or rather like Damiani Hildebrand. doing the work of Hildebrand in the spirit, not of a rival sovereign, but of an iron-hearted monk, he trampled the royal power under his feet. The scene at the coronation of King Edwy, excepting the horrible cruelties to which it. was the prelude, and which belong to a more barbarous race, might seem to prepare mankind for the humiliation of the Emperor Henry at Canosa.

Archbishop Odo was the primary author, Dunstan the agent, in the outrage on the royal authority at the coronation of young Edwy. Odo was a Dane; had been a warrior: in him the conquering Dane and the stern warrior mingled with the imperious churchman.<sup>a</sup> Dunstan not from his infancy, but from his youth, had been selftrained as a monk. In Dunstan were moulded together the asceticism almost of an Eastern anchorite (his cell would hardly give free room for his body, yet his cell was not only his dwelling, it was his workshop and forge), with some of the industry and accomplishments of a Benedictine. He wrought in iron, in ivory, in the precious metals; practised some arts of design; it is said that he copied manu-Odo became Primate of England. Dunstan at scripts. first refused a bishopric: he was Abbot of Glastonbury. The admiring, the worshipping monkish biographers of Dunstan, while they have laboured to heighten him to the glory of a saint, have unconsciously darkened him into one

<sup>a</sup> Among the constitutions of Arch-bishop Odo was the emphatic one:— Const. ii., Wilkins, sub ann. 943. "Nec "Ammonemus regem et principes et omnes qui in potestate sunt ut eum magnà reverentià Archiepiscopo et

CHAP. I.

of the most odious of mankind. Their panegyric and their undesigned calumny must be received with doubt and reservation. Among the perpetual miracles with which they have invested his whole career, some are so awkwardly imagined as to suggest to the most candid an inevitable suspicion of fraud.

With them it was holy zeal (and zeal it doubtless was, how far leavened with harshness and pride who shall know?) which sent Dunstan, at the Primate's order, to drag forth the boy monarch of sixteen from the arms of his wife, back into the banquet-hall of his nobles, who were said to have held themselves insulted by his early withdrawal from their boisterous conviviality. The searing the face of the beautiful Elgiva with a red-hot iron, on her return from her exile in all her beauty and influence; the ham-stringing the unhappy woman; the premature death of Edwy, are related, not merely without compassion, but with a kind of savage triumph, by men in whose hearts not only the affections, but the humanity of our nature have been crushed out by their stern discipline.<sup>b</sup>

The scene at Calue, when the great question between the secular and monastic clergy, it might almost be said the celibate and married clergy, was on the issue before the great national council; when the whole of the seats filled by the adverse party fell with a crash, and buried many of them in the ruins, was so happily timed, that although it might have been fortuitous (with the monks of course it was providential, miraculous), it is difficult not to remember Dunstan's mastery over all the mechanic skill of the dav.°

But, whatever the apparent triumph of Dunstan and of monasticism, it needed all the power of Odo the Primate, all

and summed up, in one of his Supplemental Notes, with his usual rigid candonr, all the probabilities - they are hardly more-of this dark transaction.

\* " Omnibus ad terram elisis, solus Dunstanus, stans super unam tral em quæ superstes erat, probè evasit."---Compare Osbern, in Vit. Dunstani. "Hoe miraculum archiepiscopo exhibuit pacem de clericis, omnibus Anglis t ve

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Even in our own day the sympathies of such a man as Dr. Lingard are not with the victims, but with the churchmen. He labours to show that Elgiva was not a wife, but a concubine; (she was connected probably with Edwy by some remote kindred). He relates as undoubted truth the monstrous charge, adduced by the gross imagination of the monkish party, of the criminal intimacy of the boy with the mother as well as et deinceps in sententiam ejus conc-the daughter. Mr. Hallam has weighed dentibus."-Gul. Malmesb., p. 2-8.

the commanding perseverance of Dunstan, when the King Edgar, who now held the throne, became the slave of their will, and the royal laws and royal authority might seem to have no aim but the proscription of the marriage of the clergy <sup>d</sup> to obtain even transient conformity. It was not by law, but by armed invasion of cathedral after cathedral, that the married clergy were ejected ; the Benedictines installed in their places. Twice the seculars had influence enough to prevent the elevation of Dunstan, whose pious ambition at last condescended to a bishopric, that of Worcester, then both of Worcester and London, finally to the Primacy. Dunstan welcomed, so said his admirers, by visible angels, died ; Dunstan wrought countless miracles at his

tomb; Dunstan became a Saint; and yet he had achieved no permanent victory. Hardly twenty years after the death of Dunstan, a council is held at Enham; it declares that there were clergy who had two, even more wives; some had dismissed their wives, and in their lifetime taken others. It might seem that the compulsory breach of the marriage bond had only introduced a looser, promiscuous concubinage; men who strove, or were forced, to obey, returned to their conjugal habits with some new consort.<sup>e</sup>

Canute, the Dane, aspired to be a religious monarch; his laws are in the tone of the monastic hierarchy.

After the great revolution, which dispossessed the Saxon clergy of all the higher benefices, the Bishop of Lichfield is accused, before the Papal legate, of living in open wedlock and with sons by his wife.<sup>f</sup> Archbishop Lanfranc is commanded, by Pope Gregory, to prohibit canons from taking wives; and if priests and deacons, to part

<sup>d</sup> Compare Edgar's Charta de Oswald's ticus jamdudum lapsus, præcipue caput awe, A.D. 964. "Hoc est de ejiciendis erexit. p. 247.

<sup>•</sup> A.D. 1009. In more est, ut quidam duas, quidam plures habeant, et nonnullus quamvis eam dimiserit, quam antea habuit, aliam tamen ipsa vivente accipit. This, although "certissime norint quod non debeant habere ob aliquam coitus causam" uxoris consortium, the latter offence is "quod nullus Christianus facere debet."—Mansi. xxi. Wilkins i. 287.

<sup>f</sup> Cui uxor publicè habita, filiique procreati testimonium perhibent. — Lanfranc. Epist. iv.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> Compare Edgar's Charta de Oswald's Lawe, A.D. 964. "'Hoe est de ejiciendis elericis uxoratis et introducendis monachis." — Ap. Harduin, vi. p. 637.
Malmesbury writes of Edgar like a true monk. It was a glorious reign of sixteen years. Nec ullus fere annus in chronicis præteritus est, quo non magnum et necessarium patriæ aliquid fecerit, quo non monasticum novum fundaveria. p. 236. — Edit. Hist. Society. See p. 237 on Dunstan, note, and on Dunstan's turning the secular priests of Worcester into regulars. Tunc ordo monas-

them immediately from their wives, or to inflict the sentence of deprivation.<sup>5</sup>

The strife throughout Christendom between the monks and the secular clergy, if it rose not directly out Monks and of, was closely connected with, this controversy. secular clergy. In the monks the severer ecclesiastics had sure allies; they were themselves mostly monks: nearly all the great champions of the Church, the more intrepid vindicators of her immunities, the rigid administrators of her laws, were trained in the monasteries for their arduous conflict. was an arduous, but against the married clergy, an unequal The monastic school were united, determined, contest. under strong convictions, with undoubting confidence in broad and intelligible principles; the married clergy in general doubtful, vacillating, mostly full of misgiving as to the righteousness of their own cause; content with the furtive and permissive licence, rather than disposed to claim it boldly as their inalienable right. The former had all the prejudices of centuries in their favour, the greatest names in the Church, long usage, positive laws, decrees of Popes, axioms of the most venerable fathers, some seemingly positive texts of Scripture: the latter only a vague appeal to an earlier antiquity with which they were little acquainted; the true sense of many passages of the sacred writings which had been explained away; a dangerous connection with suspicious or heretical names; the partial sanction of the unauthoritative Greek Church. Their strongest popular ground was the false charge of Manicheism against the adversaries of marriage.

The great strength of the monastic party was in the "revival of monasticism itself. This had taken place, more or less, in almost every part of Christendom. The great monasteries had sunk on account of their vast possessions—too tempting to maintain respect—some into patrimonies of noble families—some into appanages, as it were, of the crown. The kings granted them to favourites, not always ecclesiastical favourites. Many were held by lay abbots, who, by degrees, expelled the monks; the cloisters became the camps of their retainers, the stables of their coursers, the kennels of their hounds, the meutes of their

8 Regesta, Greg. vii. i, 30.

hawks. In Germany we have seen the extensive appropriation of the wealthiest monasteries by the lordly prelates. But even now one of those periodical revolutions had begun, through which monasticism for many ages renewed its youth, either by restoring the discipline and austere devotion within the old convents, or by the institution of new orders, whose emulation always created a strong reaction throughout the world of Monachism. In France, William of Aquitaine, and Bruno of the royal house of Burgundy, began the reform. It had spread from Clugny under Odo and his successors; in Italy from Damiani, and from S. Gualberto in Vallombrosa; Herlembald was still upholding the banner of monkhood in Milan; in England the strong impulse given by Dunstan had not expired. Edward the Confessor, a monk upon the throne, had been not merely the second founder of the great Abbey of Westminster, but had edified and encouraged the monks by his example. Even in Germany a strong monastic party had begun to form: the tyranny and usurpation of the crown and of the great prelates could not but cause a deep, if silent revulsion.

Almost the first public act of Gregory VII. was a declaration of implacable war against these his two Gregory's synod at Rome. mortal enemies, simony, and the marriage of the He was no infant Hercules; but the mature eccleclergy. siastical Hercules would begin his career by strangling these two serpents; the brood, as he esteemed them, and parents of all evil. The decree of the synod held in March 9, 10. Rome in the eleventh month of his pontificate is 1074. not extant, but in its inexorable provisions it went beyond the sternest of his predecessors. It absolutely invalidated all sacraments performed by simoniacal or married priests: h baptism was no regenerating rite; it might almost seem that the Eucharistic bread and wine in their unhallowed hands refused to be transubstantiated into the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>h</sup> Grégorius Papa celebratâ synodo simoniacos anathematizavit, uxoratos sacerdotes a divino officio removit, et laicis missam eorum audire interdixit novo exemplo et (ut multis visum est) inconsiderato præjudicio contra sanctorum patrum sententiam qui scripserunt, quod sacramenta quæ in ecclesiâ fiunt, baptismus videlicet, chrisma, corpus e

operante eorundem sacramentorum effectum seu per bonos, seu per malos intra Dei ecclesiam dispensentur. Tamen quia Spiritus Sauctus mystice illa vivificat, nec meritis bonorum dispensatorum amplificantur, nec peccatis malorum extenuantur.—Sig. Gemblac. ad a. 1074. Matth. Paris sub eod. ann. West. Flor. Hist. ibid.

CHAP. I.

body and blood of Christ. The communicants guilty of perseverance at least in the sin, shared in the sacerdotal guilt. Even the priesthood were startled at this new and awful doctrine, that the efficacy of the sacraments depended on their own sinlessness. Gregory, in his headstrong zeal, was promulgating a doctrine used afterwards by Wickliffe and his followers with such tremendous energy. And this was a fearless, democratical provocation to the people; for it left to notoriety, to public fame, to fix on any one the brand of the hidden sin of simony, or it might be the calumnious charge of concubinage; and so abandoned the holy priesthood to the judgment of the multitude.

But the extirpation of these two internal enemies to the dignity and the power of the sacerdotal order was far below the holy ambition of Gregory; this and the King of France. was but clearing the ground for the stately fabric of his Theocracy. If, for his own purposes, he had at first assumed some moderation in his intercourse with the empire, over the rest of Latin Christendom he took at once the tone and language of a sovereign. We must rapidly survey, before we follow him into his great war with the empire, Gregory VII. asserting his autocracy over the rest of Latin Christendom. In the monastery of Clugny, accompanying, or vigilantly watching the German pontiffs in their Transalpine spiritual campaigns, Gregory had taken the measure of the weakness which had fallen on the monarchy of France. The first kings of the house of Capet were rather the heads of a coequal feudal federalty than kings; their personal character had not raised them above their unroyal position. King Robert, the son of Hugh Capet, had abandoned his wife Bertha, to whom he was deeply attached, because the imperious Church had discovered some remote impediment, both of consanguinity and spiritual affinity.<sup>i</sup> He had undergone seven years' penance; the Archbishop of Tours, who had sanctioned the incestuous wedlock, must submit to deposition. But Robert aspired to be, and was, a saint. Leo IX. had held his council at Rheims in despite of Robert's successor (Henry I.), and compelled the prelates to desert the feudal

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>t</sup> She was his cousin in the fourth degree: he had been godfather to one of riage.

banner of their king for that of their spiritual liege lord.<sup>k</sup> Hildebrand's letters to Philip I., King of France, are in the haughtiest, most criminatory terms. "No king has reached such a height of detestable guilt in oppressing the churches of his kingdom as Philip of France." He puts the King to the test; his immediate admission of a Bishop of Macon, elected by the clergy and people, without payment to the crown. Either let the King repudiate this base traffic of simony, and allow fit persons to be promoted to bishoprics, or the Franks, unless apostates from Christianity, will be struck with the sword of excommunication, and refuse any longer to obey him.<sup>m</sup> In a later epistle to the Bishops of France, describing the enormous wickedness of the land, among other crimes the plunder and imprisonment of pilgrims on their way to Rome, he charges the King, or rather the tyrant of France, as the head and cause of all this guilt. Instead of suppressing, he is the example of all wickedness.<sup>n</sup> The plunder of all merchants, especially Italians, who visit France, takes place by royal authority. He exhorts the bishops to admonish him, rebukes their cowardly fears and want of dignity; if the King is disobedient, the Pope commands them to excommunicate him, and to suspend all religious services throughout France.° At one time, in the affair of the Archbishop Manasseh of Rheims, all the Archbishops of France were under excommunication.

Whether, as part of the new Roman policy, which looked to the Italian Normans as its body-guard England. in the approaching contest with the Transalpine powers, and therefore would propitiate that brave and rising race throughout the world, Hildebrand's predecessor (and Alexander II. did no momentous act without the counsel of Hildebrand) had given a direct sanction to the Norman Conquest of England.<sup>p</sup> The banner of St. Peter floated in the van of the Bastard at Hastings. The reliques, over which Harold had been betrayed into the oath of abandoning his claims on the throne to William,

\* Concil. Rem., A.D. 998.

- m Ad Roderic. Cabillon, i. 35, Dec. 4, 1079.
- Ad Episcop. Franc. ii. 5, Sept. 10,

Compare Letter to Philip, i. 75, to the Count of Ponthieu, ii. 18, Nov. 13, 1074.

• Regest., v. 17. <sup>p</sup> Compare Letter to Lanfranc, Re-1074, still stronger, ii. 32, Dec. 8, 1074. gest. v., also on England, viii. 1, ix. 5.

were ostentationsly displayed. It was with the full papal approbation, or rather with the actual authority of the Pope, that Stigand, the Anglo-Saxon primate, was deposed, and the Anglo-Saxon hierarchy ejected from all the higher dignities, the bishoprics and abbacies. A papal bull declared it illegal to elect a Saxon to a high benefice. The holiness of the sainted Confessor was forgotten; the Norman Abbey of Bec must furnish primates, the Norman hierarchy prelates, not all of the same high ecclesiastical character as Lanfranc and Anselm, for conquered England.

Hildebrand may have felt some admiration, even awe, of the congenial mind of the Conqueror. Yet with England the first intercourse of Gregory was an imperious letter to Archbishop Lanfranc concerning the Abbey of St. Edmondsbury, over which he claimed papal jurisdiction.<sup>4</sup> To the King his language is courteous. He advances the claim to Peter's pence over the kingdom. William admits this claim : it was among the stipulations, it was the price which the Pope had imposed for his assent to the Conquest. But to the demand of fealty, the Conqueror returns an answer of haughty brevity,-""I have not, nor will I swear fealty, which was never sworn by any of my predecessors to yours." And William maintained his Teutonic independence; created bishops and abbots at his will; was absolute lord over his ecclesiastical, as over his feudal liegemen."

To the Kings of Spain, in one of his earliest letters, Pope Gregory boldly asserts that the whole realm Gr g ry and of Spain is not only within the spiritual juris- span. diction of the Holy See, but her property; whatever part may be conquered from the usurping infidels may be granted by the Pope, or held by the conquerors as his vassals. He reminds the Kings of Spain, Alphonso of Castile, and Sancho of Arragon, of the ancient obedience of Spain to the Apostolic See, and exhorts them not to receive the services of Toledo, but that of Rome."

\* Regest. i. 7, April 30, 1073, regnum Hispanue ab antiquo proprii juris S. Pehave a great respect for the Pope's Le- tri fuisse. He appeals to a legend of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Alexandri Epist. apud Lanfranc, iv.

<sup>\*</sup> Fidelitatem facere nolui nec volo, quia nec ego promisi, nec antecessores meos antecessoribus tuis id fecisse com-

perio.— Lanfranc. Oper. Epist. x. \* William's temper in such matters was known. An abbot of Evreux went to complain at Rome. William said. " I

gate in things which concern religion. Mais, ajouta-t-il, si un moine de mes terres osait porter plainte contre m i, je le ferai pendre à l'arbre le plus cleve de la foret."-Depping, Hist. des Normands, p. 350.

No part of Latin Christendom was so remote or so barbarous as to escape his vigilant determination to bring it under his vast ecclesiastical unity." While yet a deacon he had corresponded with Sweyn, King of Denmark; on him he bestows much grave and excellent advice. In a letter to Olaf, King of Norway, he dissuades him solemnly from assisting the rebellious brothers of the Danish King.<sup>x</sup>

Between the Duke of Poland and the King of the Russians, he interposes his mediation. The son of the Russian had come to Rome to receive his kingdom from the hands of St. Peter.<sup>y</sup>

The kingdom of Hungary, as that of Spain, he treats as Oct. 28, 1074. a fief of the papacy; he rebukes the King Solomon for daring to hold it as a benefice of the King of the Germans.<sup>2</sup>

He watches over Bohemia; his legates take under their Jan. 31, 1074. care the estates of the Church; he summons the Archbishop of Prague to Rome.<sup>a</sup>

Even Africa is not beyond the care of Hildebrand.<sup>b</sup> The clergy and people of Carthage are urged to adhere to their Archbishop; not to dread the arms of the Saracens; though that once flourishing Christian province, the land of Cyprian and Augustine, is so utterly reduced, that three bishops cannot be found to proceed to a legitimate consecration.°

St. Paul having sent seven bishops from Rome to convert Spain, i. 64, March 19, 1074. Compare iv. 28. <sup>u</sup> Regest., ii. 51.

\* vi. 13.

y Regest., ii. 73, 74, April 20, 1075.

<sup>2</sup> Regnum Hungariæ sanctæ Romanæ ecclesiæ proprium est, ii. 13; compare ii. 63 (March 23, 1075), Geusæ. R. H.,

consanguineus tuus (Solomon) a rege Teutonico non a Romano pontifice, usurpative obtinuit dominium ejus, ut credimus, divinum judicium impedivit.

∎ i. 45. <sup>b</sup> i. 23.

° Regest., iii. 19, June, 1076. Compare a remarkable letter to Ahazir, King of Mauritania, iii. 21.

### CHAPTER II.

#### KING HENRY IV. CANOSA.

But the Empire was the one worthy, one formidable antagonist to Hildebrand's universal theocracy, whose Gregory and prostration would lay the world beneath his feet. the Empire. The Empire must acknowledge itself as a grant from the papacy, as a grant revocable for certain offences against the ecclesiastical rights and immunities; it must humbly acquiesce in the uncontrolled prerogative of the Cardinals to elect the Pope; abandon all the imperial claims on the investiture of the prelates and other clergy with their benefices; release the whole mass of Church property from all feudal demands, whether of service or of fealty; submit patiently to rebuke; admit the Pope to dictate on questions of war and peace, and all internal government where he might detect, or suppose that he detected, oppression. This was the condition to which the words and acts of Gregory aspired to reduce the heirs of Charlemagne, the successors of the Western Cæsars.

These two powers, the Empire and the Papacy, had grown up with indefinite and necessarily conflicting The Papacy relations; each at once above and beneath the Empire. other; each sovereign and subject, with no distinct limits of sovereignty or subjection; each acknowledging the supremacy of the other, but each reducing that supremacy to a name, or less than a name. As a Christian, as a member of the Church, the Emperor was confessedly subordinate to the Pope, the acknowledged head and ruler of the Church.<sup>a</sup> As a subject of the Empire, the Pope owed temporal allegiance to the Emperor. The authority of each depended on loose and flexible tradition, on variable and contradictory precedents, on titles of uncertain signification, Head of the Church, Vicar of Christ:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Even Henry IV., perhaps in his de- Henric, Epist. ad Pap. This was after spair, admitted that he might justly be the Council of Worms. deposed if he had abandoned the faith.—

Patrician, King of Italy, Emperor; each could ascend to a time when they were separate and not dependent upon each other. The Emperor boasted himself the successor to the whole autocracy of the Cæsars, to Augustus, Constantine, Charlemagne: the Pope to that of St. Peter, or of Christ himself. On the one hand, when the Emperor claimed the right of nominating and electing the Pope, he could advance long, recent, almost unbroken precedent. The Pope, nevertheless, could throw himself still further back on his original independent authority, to the early times of the Church before the conversion of Constantine, and to the subsequent period before the election of the Pope had become of so much importance as to demand the constant supervision of the civil power; above all, to the nature of that power, of divine not of human institution. Besides, on their part, Charlemagne no doubt, and his Transalpine successors, had received both the Patriciate and the Imperial crown, if not as a gift, yet from the hands of the Popes, and had been consecrated by them; and so, if the imperial authority was not conferred, it was hallowed and endowed with a stronger title to Christian obedience by that almost indispensable ceremony. Yet the power of the Cæsars mounted far higher, to the times when they were the sole autocratic representatives of the all-ruling Rome; Cæsars to whom the Apostles themselves had paid loyal, conscientious obedience. Nero had been the higher power to whom Paul had enjoined subjection; and the temporal power itself, so said the Scripture, in words of emphatic distinctness, was likewise of divine appointment. The agency of either being requisite to complete and ratify the power of the other, the popular conception would construe that consent, concurrence, or approval, into an act of free will, therefore of superiority. The perplexity would be without end; perplexity from which men would escape only by closing their eyes, and choosing their course in the blindness of desperate partisanship. The loftiest minds might espouse either side on a great immutable principle; each cause became a religion. Nor would either Pope or Emperor be without precedent or groundwork in the theory of his power, if he claimed, as each did, the right of acting towards his adversary as a

40

rebel, and of deposing that rebel; the Emperor the right of appointing an Anti-pope, the Pope of setting up a rival Emperor.<sup>b</sup>

The strife, therefore, might seem at once internecine and interminable; and in this mortal warfare the powers, which each commanded, were strangely counterbalanced, though in this age the advantage was on the side of the Pope. The Emperor might seem to wield the whole force of the Empire, to command an irresistible army; the German soldiers were a terror to the Italians; often had they marched, without encountering a foe, upon Rome itself. The Pope, on the other hand, was a defenceless prelate, by his character prohibited from bearing arms, without military force, without a defensible territory, with no allies on whom he could depend. Yet the Pope had no scruple in waging war by secular arms. War for the aggrandisement of the Church had no horrors for the vicegerent of Christ. Neither Gregory nor his successors, nor did the powerful Churchmen in other parts of the world, hesitate to employ. even to wield, the iron arms of knights and soldiery for spiritual purposes, as they did not spiritual arms for ends strictly secular. They put down ecclesiastical delinquents by force of arms; they anathematised their political enemies. The sword of St. Peter was called in to aid the keys of St. Peter.<sup>c</sup> Leo IX, had set the example of a military campaign against the Normans; but these were thought at that time scarcely better than infidels. Neither the present nor the succeeding age would have been greatly shocked at the sight of a Pope, in complete armour, at the head of a crusade.° Nor were allies wanting to counterbalance the armies of the Empire. The policy of Pope Nicolas had attached the Normans to the Roman cause; Gregory at one time had rashly cast off the Norman alliance; but he was strong in that of the house of Tuscany.

demned (si) arma militaria portaverit, excepto si pro tuendă justitia sua vel domini, vel amici, seu etiam pauperum, nec non pro defendendis ecclesiis.—Ad

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Dixerat enim ille Sarabaita (this 1060), is fully confirmed by Gregory's was an opprobrious term for Pope Greats and words. gory) quod in sua esset potestate, quem <sup>c</sup> Gregory decides the cases in which vellet ad imperium promovere, et quem vellet removere. Sed arguitur foeditatis testimonio libri pontificalis. Ibi enim coporum sit, et esse debet, per manus regum et imperatorum. This declara-tion of Harnyci Renzo (n tion of Henry's panegyrist, Benzo (p.

The Countess Beatrice, and her daughter Matilda, were his unshaken adherents. But the great power of each lay in the heart of his adversary's territory. In Rome the Counts of Tusculum and the neighbouring barons were dangerous partisans of the Empire, because enemies of the Pope. At scarcely any period was the Emperor undisputed lord of Germany. Unwilling, if not rebellious subjects, princes, often as powerful as himself, were either in arms, or watching a favourable opportunity for revolt. Usually there was some ambitious house waiting its time to raise itself upon the ruins of the ruling dynasty. Nor was the Church more united than the Empire. If many of the great ecclesiastics of the Empire, from Churchmanship, from religious fear, or jealousy of the temporal power, maintained the Papal cause beyond the Alps, the Emperor was rarely without powerful prelates on his side, even in Italy. But though thus in some degree thwarted and opposed, even by his natural subjects, the spiritual power of the Pope was of tremendous efficacy. The anathema, which, in its theory at least, and in its unmitigated language, devoted its victim to eternal death, had hardly lost any of its terrors. In the popular belief, and that popular belief included the highest as well as the lowest, the actual doom of each man depended on the award of the elergy, that of nations on the supreme flat of the Pope. The. necessities of religious guidance and direction were far more deeply felt than those of temporal government. The world could do better without a Cæsar than without a Pope-at least without a priesthood, who at once, at the word of the Pope, suspended all their blessed offices. Without the Sacraments salvation was impossible; and these sacraments ceased at once : if baptism was granted to infants, if to the dying the Eucharist was not absolutely denied; yet even these were conceded only as acts of mercy, and on ample submission. To the excommunicated they were utterly, absolutely refused.

Anathema became, without shaking the common dread of its effects, the ordinary weapon employed by the Pope in his quarrels; by Hildebrand it was fulminated with all the energy of his character. The more religious, indeed, had been for some time shocked at the lavish frequency

with which this last extremity of punishment was inflicted, even on refractory bishops, and for ecclesiastical offences.<sup>d</sup> There might be some prudent apprehension, lest it should lose its force by familiarity. But Damiani argues against it, on the high religious ground of the utter disproportion of the punishment in many cases to the offence of the criminal. But it had long ceased to be confined to delinquencies against the faith or the practice of the Gospel.<sup>e</sup> À new class of crimes was gradually formed, disobedience to the clergy or the See of Rome, in matters purely secular; encroachment, real or supposed, upon the property of the Church; the assertion of rights questioned by the Church; the withholding immunities claimed by the Church. It was not as infringing the doctrines of Christ as an infidel, or as a heretic; it was not as violating the great moral law of Christ, not as a murderer or an adulterer, that the baron, the king, or the Emperor, in general incurred the Papal ban, and was thereby excluded from the communion of the faithful and from everlasting salvation; it was as a contumacious subject of the worldly kingdom of the Supreme Pontiff.<sup>f</sup> Even where moral or spiritual offences were mingled up with the general charge, that of contumacy to the ecclesiastical superior was placed in the same rank, and to the common feelings of mankind was the real, if not avowed ground of the censure.

But not only was the excommunicated himself under this awful condemnation, the ban comprehended all who communicated with excommunicated persons. Every one in the councils, every one in the army, every one who obeyed, almost every subject who rendered allegiance to

<sup>d</sup> Damiani remonstrates against the perpetual affixture of the anathema to all papal, almost to all ecclesiastical decrees. He is afraid of impairing its solemnity: he would reserve it for more awful crimes, such as heresy. A man may almost inadvertently rush "in æternæ mortis barathrum," find himself, for some trivial offence, the consort of heretics—continuo velut hæreticus et tanquam cunctis criminibus teneatur obnoxius, anathematis sententiå condemnatur.—Epist. 1, xii., ad Alexas. Pap. Damiani has no doubt that the anathema eternally damns its victims !—Ep. 1, vii. and xiv.

" Anathema even aspired to temporal

<sup>d</sup> Damiani remonstrates against the effects. Festinabimus a communione rpetual affixture of the anathema to Christianæ societatis abscindere, ita ut l papal, almost to all ecclesiastical demity: he would reserve it for more ful crimes, such as heresy. A man ay almost inadvertently rush "in æter-

<sup>1</sup> The Norman princes, to whom the pope had granted their great possessions and privileges, and on whom the papacy had for some time relied for its defence against the barons of the Campagna, having given offence, and Hildebrand being secure in the more powerful protection of Beatrice of Tuscany and her daughter, were excommunicated by the dauntless pope. an excommunicated prince, was virtually under excommunication; and under the weight of this censure, with this aggravation of death before their eyes, men were to go forth to battle against those who proclaimed themselves the champions of the Church, the armies of the faith. To these, if immediate transition from the battle-field to Paradise was not explicitly promised, as afterwards to the Crusaders in the Holy Land (Mohammedan rewards calculated to animate them against Mohammedan foes), yet they fought under consecrated banners, their heroes were compared with those of the Old Testament; the grateful Church, the Dispenser of everlasting life and death, would not forget their services; St. Peter would recognise the faithful servants of his successor; their religious courage could not but rise to fanaticism; they were warring for the Saints of God-for God himself.<sup>g</sup>

But if on this broad and general view the Pope stood thus on the vantage ground in his contest with the Emperor, never was a time in which the adversaries met on more unequal terms; the Papacy in the fullness of its strength, the Empire at the lowest state of weakness. The Pope, Hildebrand, mature in age, of undisputed title, with a name which imposed awe throughout Latin Christendom, and with the unswerving conviction that, in raising the Papal power to the utmost, he was advancing the glory of God; perhaps, if he stooped to think on such subjects, the weltare of mankind. The Emperor, a youth, with all the distion of the distribution of the temperature of temperature of the temperature of t

<sup>4</sup> Compare the elaborate argument of Honizo, Bishop of Sutri, in favour of wight and arguments the adherents of Generit the antipope. After reciting all the solfiers named with honour in the New Testament, he goes on to infer that if it is lawful ever to wage war, it is a must heretics. Did not S. Hilary a m king (lovis against the Arians? Dil not 5. Argustine urge Cont Bonifa to hang an 1 every way to persecute the Donatast and Circumedions? Did not Argustine, in his Exposition of the Som 1 on the Mount on the text, "Ill set are ye who suffic persecution for rig iteousness sake," say that those are pitally blessed who india to persecution

for the sake of righteousness? He quotes Jerome as saying, non est impictas pro Deo crudelitas (ad Rustic. Narbon.). "Hear the teaching of the Fathers, look to the example of those who have fought for the truth!" He then triumphantly appeals to the burning of Hermogenes the Prefect at Constantinople by the orthodox, the battles waged by the Alexandrians against the Arians, which are "praised throughout the world." He concludes with Cyril's sanctification of the monk Ammonius, who had attempted the life of the Prefect Orestes, as a martyr: he ends with the example of Pope Leo and of Herlembald of Milan.

titious and romantic interest which might attach the generous to his cause. He had been educated, if education it might be called, by a gentle and tender mother, by imperious Churchmen who had galled him with all that was humiliating with none of the beneficial effects of severe control.<sup>h</sup> They had only been indulgent to his amusements; they had not trained him to the duties of his station, or the knowledge of affairs, and of man. In his earliest youth, thus altogether undisciplined, he had been compelled to contract a marriage, for which he felt profound aversion; and the stern Churchmen, who had bound this burthen upon him, refused to release him.<sup>1</sup> He tried to bribe Siegfried of Mentz to sanction the divorce, by promising his aid in despoiling the abbots of Fulda and Herzfeld of the tithes of Thuringia,\* but the Pope sent the stern Peter Damiani to forbid the evil example. "Well then," said Henry, "I will bear the burthen which I cannot throw off." And when, no doubt in consequence, he plunged with reckless impetuosity into the licentiousness which his station could command, this, unexcused, unpalliated, was turned to his shame and discredit by his inexorable adversaries. At length, indeed, his generous nature revolted at his ill-treatment of a gentle

and patient wife. She bore him a son. From that time he was deeply attached to her. She was his faithful companion in all his trials and sorrows;

was his faithful companion in an his trais and sorrows; she gave him four children. Thus with all the lofty titles, the pomp without the power, the burthen with nothing but the enervating luxuries, none of the lofty self-confidence of one born and fitly trained to Empire, the character of Henry was still further debased by the shame of perpetual defeat and humiliation. His greater qualities, till they were forced out by adversity, his high abilities, till gradually ripened by use and experience, were equally unsuspected by his partisans and by his enemies.

VOL. III.

<sup>b</sup> Stenzel, i. p. 249, has justly deribed the character of Henry and the before he should be committed in his il influences of the domination of this strife with Hildsbrand.

<sup>4</sup> Quam snasionibles principum invitus duxerat. Bruno de Bello Nox nito, p. 176. He was but ten y ars olt when he was forced to marry her that nitor known her, as he dichred.

\* Compare Stenzel, 254.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Stenzel, i, p. 249, has justly describel the character of Henry and the evil influences of the domination of this ambitious, manacious, and unprincipled hierarchy. The great German ecclesiastics abandoned him to himself where they shoul i have controlled, controlled where they should have left hum free. It might almost seem that they had

The great contest of Henry's reign found the Emperor Seron revolt with no part of his subjects attached to his person, Against Honry. with but few regarding the dignity of the Empire irrespective of their own private interests, and with the most powerful and warlike in actual rebellion. The June 29, 30, lota, day after the inauguration of Pope Gregory the Saxon princes met, and determined on their revolt. Nothing can show more clearly the strange confusion of civil and religious matters than the course of proceedings during this conflict. The Saxon insurrection takes the character of a religious war. The confederates first named by the historian are Wenzel Archbishop of Magdeburg, Bucco Bishop of Halberstadt, the Bishops of Hildesheim, Merseburg, Minden, Paderborn, and Meissen. The three ecclesiastics favourable to the cause of Henry, Liemar Archbishop of Bremen, the Bishops of Zeitz and Osnaburg, are obliged to fly the country. To the first imperative demand, the demolition of the castles which Henry had built on many of the hills and mountain fastnesses, to control these turbulent Saxon chieftains, they added these terms:—that he should dismiss his favourites. and commit the administration of affairs to his legitimate counsellors, the princes of the Empire; that he should disperse the bevy of concubines which he maintained, contrary to decency and to the canons of the Church; and reinstate his lawful wife in his bed and in his affections, and so altogether abandon the follies of his youth. ʻʻ If he refused their just demands, they were Christians, and would not be defiled by communion with a man who insulted the Christian faith through such wickedness. They were bound by an oath of allegiance; and if he would rule for the edification, not the destruction of the Church, justly and according to ancient usage, maintain inviolate the laws, rights, and liberties of all, their oath was valid; but if he first broke his oath they were absolved from theirs; they would wage war upon him, even to death, as a Barbarian, and as an enemy of the Christian name, for the ('hurch of God, the faith of Christ, and their own liberties." It was well for Henry that this first Saxon revolt was quelled before the breaking out of direct hostilities with Gregory; for if his insurgent subjects could issue a CHAP, II,

manifesto so bold, and in some respects so noble, what had been the consequence if the Pope had supported their demands? Thuringia,<sup>m</sup> as well as Saxony, was in arms, and Henry received his first bitter, if instructive lesson of humiliation. His revolted subjects had openly avowed the right of deposing him. "So great was his wickedness, that he ought not only to abdicate his throne, but be stripped of his military belt, and for his sins forswear the world."" He had been publicly accused by Reginger, a noble of high character, of conspiring basely to massacre the princes of the Empire; and the challenge of Reginger to make good his charge in single combat had been eluded rather than cheerfully accepted in **bold** defiance of its injustice. Henry, unequal to these adversaries, had been reduced to the utmost poverty, to abject flight and concealment. One city alone, Worms, adhered to the Emperor's waning fortune, and gave time for the formidable league to fall asunder. Henry found that there was still power in the name of the King and Emperor; many of the princes on the Rhine, with the great prelates, rallied around the sovereign ; the battle of Hohenburg broke the Saxon power; the principal insurgents had been betrayed into his hands, for Henry scrupled not at perfidy to regain his authority.

Till the close of this Saxon war the Pope had maintained a stately neutrality; events had followed so rapidly, that even had he been disposed, he could scarcely have found time for authoritative interposition. The first overt act of Hildebrand relating to the Emperor," had been a general admoniton to the King to return into the bosom of his mother, the holy Roman Church, and to rule the Empire in a more worthy

<sup>10</sup> A dispute concerning the titles of is our clief anthogram with the that whole region, claim is and lested. Hello savants? filling, an ifer loss the Archbishop of Mentre, was inby the Archbishop of Mentre, was invited in the rebello in of Thuringia. To Drike the first he writes, in-

Mil fare congulum et omnom pror-8 4 4 1 i di us i n'quanto dia 114 re - 1 im alchi are - The Saxons four at pro-rele-90 D t, pro-file Christianorum, pro-1 or at 1 4 00, p. 15° - 1 u bort f H rzsf 41. Secon Lambert of Hyrrfold, im-

prightly call i of Asel affer ours, the Postere of Perts. It is fo funate that, for these critical times, we have perhaps the best of the monastic historians—he

ith n titli To Dake towing the write. - 11 won I wond erv se to H w g w nwautriten hitr nutrnaulite a linia ar v odstara pradi rija mija i ۳. tur, rol r 4 mit aft a que pre t ւի ու առազո 4 per 1 + 7 Mix. 1 - 1, 1 .nn≠ × ≠ € 1 ter to Ru Ip for a will

κ 2

manner; to abstain from simoniacal presentations to benefices; to render due allegiance to his spiritual The Pope's admonition superior. But when he spoke of Henry to his more to King Henry. confidential friends, it was in another tone. If his admonitions are treated with contempt, it will not move him. "It is safer for us to resist him for his salvation to the shedding of our blood, than by yielding to his will, to consent to his ruin."<sup>p</sup> The admonition probably reached Henry in the most perilous time of his war with the Saxons; he had hardly escaped from their Aug. 8, 1073. hands, had either fled, or was meditating his ignominious flight from the castle in the Hartzberg. His reply, as suited his fortunes, was in the most submissive tone. He acknowledged his sins against Heaven About Aug. 18. and the Pope; he attributed them to his youth, to the intoxication of imperial power, to the seductions of evil counsellors. He had invaded the property of the Church; he had made simoniacal promotions of unworthy persons. He entreated the clemency of the Pope; he trusted that from henceforth the kingdom and the priesthood, bound together by the necessity of mutual assistance, might adhere to each other in indissoluble union.<sup>q</sup> Hildebrand was delighted with language more gentle and lowly, than had ever been used by the predecessors of Henry to the pontiffs of Rome. Hildebrand even then had not confined himself to his admonition to Henry; he had already erected himself into supreme arbiter of the affairs of Germany. A letter to the insurgent prelates, the Archbishop of Magdeburg, the Bishop of Halberstadt, and the Saxon princes, commanded them to suspend their arms until he should have inquired into the justice of their quarrel with the King their Lord.<sup>r</sup> This was more than a solemn persuasive to peace, a religious remonstrance on the homicides, conflagrations, the plunder of the churches and of the poor, and the desolation of the country (such language had been becoming in the vicar of Christ);

about Aug. 18, 1073. I refer to this letter Gregory's remarkable words:--"Henricum regem præterea scias dulce-dinis et obedientiæ plena nobis verba

<sup>p</sup> Gregor. Epist. ad Beatricem et Ma- misisse, et talia, qualia neque ipsum neque antecessores suos recordamur Ro-<sup>9</sup> Epistola Henric. Regis. Mansi, date manis Pontificibus misisse."—Herlem-out Aug. 18, 1073. I refer to this baldo. Regest. i. 25, Sept. 27, 1073. On • Henry's conduct in this affair Gregory . lays great weight. Regest. i. 39, Dec. 20, 1073.

thildam, 1, xi.

he took the tone of a supreme judge. An act of sacrilege on the part of the Saxons gave Henry, as he supposed, a favourable opportunity for placing the spiritual power on . his own side. While negotiations were proceeding, a rising of the Saxon people took place in the neighbourhood of Harztburg. This was the strong fortress which commanded the whole country; from which Henry had made incursions to waste the district around, in which he had found secure refuge from the popular indignation, and from which he had but now been forced to fly. But as long as the Hartzburg remained impregnable, the Saxon liberties were insecure; with but a garrison there the Emperor might at any time renew hostilities. The insurgents surprised this stronghold, but were not content with levelling the military works to the ground. Henry had built a temporary church of timber, furnished with great elegance. The insurgents scrupled not to destroy this sacred edifice, to plunder the treasures, to break the altar to pieces. In wanton insult, or with a fixed design to break the bonds of Henry's attachment to the place, they dug up the bodies of a brother and a son whom he had buried there. The reliques of the Saints were saved with difficulty, and carried by the trembling Feb. 24, 1174. clergy to a neighbouring sanctuary. The Saxon chieftains shuddered at the consequences of this rash act; Henry's indignation knew no bounds. To that power which was to be used with such commanding energy against himself, he did not hesitate to appeal. He sent messages to Rome to demand the censures of the Pope against the Saxons, all of whom he involved in the odious charge of burning churches, breaking down altars, violating Christian graves, and barbarously insulting the remains of the dead.

But the vengeance of Henry was fulfilled; the Saxon insurrection had been put down at Hohenburg (1075) without the interposition of the Pope, before, indeed, he could come to any decided resolution.

An embassy in the mean time had arrived in Germany from Rome; an embassy, it might seem, intended Embassy to work on the pious feelings, as well as on the from Rome. fears of the King. The mother of Henry had left her peaceful convent sanctuary, and accompanied the Papal legates, the Bishops of Præneste, Ostia, and Cumæ. Henry was accustomed from his youth to the overweening haughtiness, he had experienced the tyranny, of the prince prelates of Germany. The Italian bishops, bred in the school of Hildebrand, held even a more high and dictatorial tone. Their first demands were abject, unquestioning submission. They refused to communicate with the King till he had done penance for all his simoniacal acts, and had been absolved from the ban of the Church, under which he lay, either actually or virtually, as employing excommunicated persons for his counsellors. They demanded the dismissal of those persons against whom Pope Alexander had issued his censures, the Bishops of Ratisbon, Constance, and Lausanne, the Counts Eberhard and Ulric. They required him also to summon a Council of the prelates of Germany and Gaul, in which they were to preside, as representing the Pope. The avowed object of this Council was the degradation of all the prelates who owed their rise to simoniacal means. Henry at this time hardly looked beyond his immediate advantages, and the gratification of his passions. Partly yielding to the persuasions of his mother, partly out of revenge against some of the Saxon prelates, obnoxious to censure, especially from hatred of the Bishop of Worms, who alone opposed his unbounded popularity in that city, he was disposed to acquiesce in the convocation of the Council, and to allow full scope to its proceedings.

But most of the Bishops dreaded this severe inquisition German pre- into their titles; others, of whom the chief was lates. Licmar, the learned and sagacious Archbishop of Bremen, stood upon the privileges of the German Church. It was determined that, unless the Pope appeared in person, his representative, and the only lawful president of such a Council, was the Primate of Germany. Siegfried, Archbishop of Mentz, a man of timid and vacillating character, was as ill-qualified to be the representative of Hildebrand in Germany, as boldly to oppose his ambitious encroachments. He feared alike the Pope and the King. The fate of some of his brother prelates might well make him tremble, if the King, notwithstanding his seeming acquiescence, should enter into the contest, and the popular favour take the part of the King. The Bishop of

Worms had been driven from his city with the utmost indignity; and it was doubtful whether it was not a faction, eager to avenge the royal cause which had Hanno of endangered the life of Hanno, the great Arch- Cologne. bishop of Cologne, expelled him from the city, and maintained Cologne for some time in a state of defiant rebellion.<sup>s</sup> The origin of this tumult may show the haughty tyranny of these kingly prelates. The Archbishop was about to leave the city after the celebration of Easter. A vessel was wanted for his voyage. His people, after examining all that were in the port (this purveyance it must be presumed was of ancient usage), chose that of a rich merchant, cast the valuable lading on the shore, and proceeded to seize the bark for the Archbishop's use. The merchant's men resisted, headed by his son: it ended in a furious fray. When the Archbishop heard of it, he threatened summary punishment against the seditious. youths. "For," proceeds the historian, "he was a man endowed with every virtue, and renowned for his justice in civil, as well as in ecclesiastical causes." Lambert admits, indeed, "that he was liable to transports of ungovernable anger." The whole city rose in insurrection; the Archbishop was hurried, to save his life, to the Church of St. Peter. His palace, his cellars were plundered : his chapel, with the pontifical robes, and even the sacred vassals, destroyed; one of his attendants, mistaken for the Archbishop, killed: the Archbishop hardly made his escape in disguise. But the country people were attached to Hanno, perhaps hated the citizens; a military force sprang up among his vassals; the city was forced to surrender. Six hundred of the wealthiest merchants withdrew to the court of King Henry to implore his intercession. The soldiers of the Archbishop, it was given out without his sanction, plundered and committed horrible cruelties. The Archbishop wreaked a terrible vengeance on the first movers in the tumult; the son of the merchant and many others were blinded, many scourged, and the city, the richest and most powerful north of the Alps, was a long time before it recovered its former prosperity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>•</sup> Incertum levitate vulgi, an factione eorum qui vicem regis in archiepiscopum ulcisci cupiebant, &c.--Lambert, sub ann. 1704.

· Siegfried of Mentz might well quail before the difficulties of his position. Not merely was he called upon to summon this dreaded Council, but to carry at once into effect the stern and peremptory decrees of Hildebrand, and the Councils which he had held at Rome for the suppression of the married clergy. Throughout Western Christendom these decrees had met with furious, or with sullen and obstinate opposition. In Lombardy not all the preaching of Ariald nor his martyrdom; not all the eloquence of Da-. miani, not all the tyranny of Herlembald, nor even the fanaticism of the people who were taught to abstain from the unholy ministrations of this defiled priesthood, had succeeded in extirpating the evil. Herlembald was now about to suffer the miserable or glorious destiny of Ariald. Siggfried knew the state of the German clergy; March 18. it was not till he was formally threatened with the Papal censure that he consented to promulgate the decree of Gregory.<sup>t</sup> Even then he attempted to temporise. He did not summon the clergy at once to show their obedience, he allowed them six months of delay for consideration-six months employed by the clergy only to organise a more obstinate opposition.

A synod met at Erfurt. The partisans of the marriage of the clergy assembled in prevailing numbers. Their October. language among themselves had been unmeasured. "The Pope," they said, "must be a heretic or a madman. Has he forgotten the saving of the Lord? All cannot fulfil his word. The apostle says, 'Let him that cannot contain marry.' He would compel all men to live like angels. Let him take care, while he would do violence to nature, he break not all the bonds which restrain from fornication and every uncleanness. They had rather abandon their priesthood than their wives, and then let the Pope, who thought men too grovelling for him, see if he can find angels to govern the Church."<sup>u</sup> Siegfried could not but betray that he was acting a part in opposition to his own judgment; his arguments, therefore, had little effect. The clergy withdrew to deliberate. Some proposed quietly to return to their own homes. Some of the more violent, with confused but

\* Siegfried had been already rebuked for other causes by the Pope .-- Regest. i. 60.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>u</sup> Lambert, sub ann.

intelligible menace, called for vengeance on him who dared to promulgate this execrable decree; they threatened to depose the Archbishop, and even to put him to death, as a warning to his successors not to publish such statutes, which they strangely affected to treat as calumnious to the priesthood. The affrighted Primate expressed his readiness to appeal to Rome, and to endeavour to obtain some mitigation at least of the obnoxious law. Either to distract the assembly from the main subject in debate, or from mere folly or rapacity, he suddenly revived an old question of his claim on the tithes of Thuringia. These claims had been settled in a treaty at Gerstingen; and the enraged Thuringians, at first with sullen murmurs, at length with open violence, so terrified the Archbishop, that he was glad to make his way, environed by his own soldiers, out of the town. So closed the synod of Erfurt.

But the impatient zeal of Hildebrand would brook no delay. At the head of his Roman clergy, men synod at vowed by conscience and religion, by interest and Rome. pride, to his cause (Guibert of Ravenna, the Emperor's representative, the representative of the German party in Italy, as yet ventured no opposition), he determined at all hazards, even that of changing the yet obsequious, or at least consenting Emperor, from an ally in the subjugation of the simoniacal and married clergy into an implacable antagonist,<sup>x</sup> to strike at the root of all these abuses, comprehended under the opprobrious name of simony. He might justly apprehend that the total suppression of the evil was absolutely impossible, while the temporal sovereign possessed the power of conferring spiritual benefices. So long as the greater dignities, the rich abbeys, or even stations of inferior rank and authority, coveted for their wealth, their dignity, or even their ease or quiet, were in any way at the disposal of the laity, so long would an impoverished sovereign traffic in these promotions or an ambitious sovereign crowd them with his creatures; each regardless of the worthiness of those elevated to the sacred offices, either looking for remuneration out of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup> In a letter to King Henry (Dec. 7, the fornication of the clergy.—ii. 30. 1074) he praises him for his amicable Compare 31, where he proposes a cru-reception of his envoys, rejoices that he had determined to destroy simony and

actual revenues of the see, or in servile adherence to his commands.<sup>y</sup> But the Church, as a great proprietor of lands, originally granted and mostly held on the common feudal tenure, was bound by the laws which regulated other benefices. It had been content to receive these estates with their secular advantages and their secular services. The secular power throughout declared that it did not bestow, or if it sold for any stipulated gift or service the benefice attached to the see, the abbacy or the prebend, it did not presume to sell the spiritual function, but only the property of the endowment. The sovereign was the liege lord, not of the bishop or the abbot, in his hierarchical, solely in his feudal rank.

The form of investiture, indeed, was in favour of Gregory's views; the ring and the staff which the Bishop received from the temporal sovereign. The ring, the symbol of his mystic marriage with his diocese; the staff, the sceptre of his spiritual sway, might seem to belong exclusively to his holy function. But this investiture conveyed the right to the temporal possessions or endowments of the benefice: it assigned a local jurisdiction to the Bishop; it was in one form the ancient consent of the laity to the spiritual appointment; it presumed not to consecrate, but permitted the consecrated person to execute his office in a certain defined sphere, and under the protection and guarantee of the civil power. This was only the outward mark of allegiance : the acknowledgment of the secular supremacy as far as the estate or its feudal obligations.

In a Council held at Rome, at the beginning of the year 1075, Gregory abrogated, by one decree, Synod of Rome, Feb. the whole right of investiture by the temporal -24-28 about investitures. sovereign."

<sup>7</sup> But were the Popes guiltless? Her-man of Bamberg had bought his bishopric; he was accused as a Simo-niac, and summoned to Rome. By large gifts to Alexander II. he not only obtained pardon under a covenant not to

sonæ susceperit, nullatenus inter episcopos vel abbates habeatur, nec ulla ei ut episcopo aut abbati audientia concedatur. İnsuper ei gratiam beati Petri, et introitum ecclesiæ interdicimus, quoad usque locum, quem sub crimine tam sell any church preferments-he re turned in honour with an archbishop's pall.-Lambert, sub an. 1070.
 <sup>2</sup> Si quis deinceps episcopatum vel abbatiam de manu alicujus laicæ per turned in honour with an archbishop's pall.-Lambert, sub an. 1070.
 <sup>3</sup> Si quis deinceps episcopatum vel abbatiam de manu alicujus laicæ per-

The prohibition was couched in the most imperious and comprehensive terms. It absolutely deposed every bishop, abbot, or inferior ecclesiastic who should receive investiture from any lay person. It interdicted whomsoever should be guilty of this act of ambition and rebellion (which was the sin of idolatry) until he should have abandoned the benefice so obtained, from all communion in the favour of St. Peter, and from admission into the Church. And if any Emperor, duke, marquis, count, or secular potentate or person should presume to grant such investiture of bishopric or inferior dignity, he is condemned to the same sentence. This statute made a revolution in the whole feudal system throughout Europe as regarded the relation of the Church now dominant to the State. In the Empire it annulled the precarious power of the Sovereign over almost half his All the great prelates and abbots, who were at subjects. the same time the princes, the nobles, the counsellors, the leaders in the Diets and national assemblies, became to a great degree independent of the Crown: the Emperor had no concern, unless indirectly, in their promotion, no power over their degradation. Their lands and estates were as inviolable as their persons. Where there was no fealty there could be no treason. Every benefice, on the other hand, thus dissevered from the Crown was held, if not directly, yet at the pleasure of the Pope. For as with him was the sole judgment (the laity being excluded) as to the validity of the election, with him the decision by what offences the dignity might be forfeited; and as the estates and endowments were now inalienable, and were withdrawn from the national property and became that of the Church and of God, the Pope might be in fact the liege lord, temporal and spiritual, of half the world.

From this time the sudden and total change takes place in the courteous and respectful, if still jealous and Breach beguarded, intercourse between Henry and the tween the pope and the Pope. Till now Henry was content to sacrifice Emperor. the simoniacal and the married clergy and to be the submissive agent of the Pope in their degradation. They are

mitum, vel quilibet sæcularium potes-tatum, aut personarum, investituram episcopatus, vel alicujus ecclesiasticæ Labbe Concil., p. 342.

now, with short intervals but of seeming peace, resolute, declared, unscrupulous, remorseless enemies. Each is determined to put forth his full powers, each to enlist in his party the subjects of the other. If Gregory had condescended, which he did not, to dissemble his deliberate scheme, his avowed sacred duty to subject the temporal to the spiritual power, a man of Henry's experience, even if without natural sagacity, could not but perceive what was now at issue. This act despoiled the Emperor of one of his most valuable prerogatives; a prerogative indispensable to his authority.

Nor was Henry now in a condition tamely to endure the aggression even of the Pope. The sudden revolution in the German mind in his favour, the victory of Hohenburg, the submission of the Saxons, the captivity of their chiefs (the fruits of that victory) might have intoxicated a mind less unused to success. Nor was he without powerful allies, pledged by their interests to his cause, and incensed by the bold and uncompromising manner in which the Pope asserted and seemed determined to enforce his supremacy." The German Church, as shown at Erfurt, had still a strong inclination to independence. Of the more powerful prelates, some indeed were old, some irresolute; but some, sharing in his condemnation, were committed to his side. Hanno of Cologne died<sup>b</sup> during the early part of the conten-Siegfried of Mentz was timid, wavering, consciously tion. oppressed by the fearful responsibility of his position. By the same Roman synod, Licmar, Archbishop of Bremen, Werner of Strasburg, Herman of Bamberg, Henry of Spires, William of Pavia, Cunibert of Turin, Dionysius of Piacenza, besides the three Bishops of Constance, Zeitz, and Lausanne, the proscribed counsellors of Henry, were interdicted from the performance of their functions. The Saxon prelates were now conquered rebels; the Bishop of Worms an exile from his city. Few were disposed by denying the legality of lay investiture to imperil their own

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> See the additions made to the Re-gesta of Gregory VII., in Mabillon Cor-those days. "If austere, magnificent; lavish to monasteries;" of his ambition <sup>b</sup> Dec. 4, 1075. According to Lambert he went "ad angelos." Miracles were wrought at his tomb. See his high cha-

CHAP. II.

right to the estates of their churches. But the more determined and reckless resistance was among the partisans of the married clergy. Siegfried, yielding to the urgent commands, to the menaces of the Pope, called a second synod at Mentz.° The Papal Legate was present; he displayed the mandate of the Apostolic See, that the bishops in their several dioceses should compel the priests to renounce their wives or abstain altogether from their sacred ministry. The whole assembly rose; so resolute was their language, so fierce were their gestures, that the Archbishop again trembled for his life. He declared that from henceforth he would take no concern in such perilous matters, but leave the Pope to execute his own decrees.

At Passau the Bishop Altman had already not only published the papal prohibition against the marriage of the elergy; he interdicted the married clergy from the altar. He had met with stubborn, sullen resistance. On. A.D. 1074. St. Stephen's day he ascended the pulpit, and read the Pope's brief; he would have been torn in pieces but for the intervention of some of the powerful citizens. Bishop Henry of Coire hardly escaped with his life.<sup>d</sup>

No doubt it was this which raised a fixed and determined opposition to Hildebrand in a large Effects of departy of the clergy throughout Latin Christen- cree against dom, more especially in Italy and in Germany.<sup>e clergy.</sup> The manner in which the Pope commanded the execution of the decree aggravated its harshness and cruelty. The Pope deliberately sacrificed the cherished sanctity, the inviolability of the priesthood; or rather he disowned as a priesthood, and cast forth to shame and ignominy those whom he branded as unworthy of its privileges. The personal exposure and degradation could not be more galling. By the judgment of the laity, by force employed against them by unhallowed hands, they were not merely to be prohibited from their sacred functions; they were expelled from the choir, and thrust down into the place of the penitents. Even bishops were to be summarily de-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Lambert.

that it caused maximum odium in domi-<sup>d</sup> Lambert, sub ann. 1074. <sup>e</sup> Berthold (sub ann. 1075) says of the edict against the marriage of the clergy, Yet Berthold was an Hildebrandist.

graded, or rather not recognised as bishops.<sup>f</sup> Who may imagine the fierceness of the more rude and profligate, thus sternly and almost suddenly interrupted in their licentiousness; whose secret but ill-concealed voluptuousness was dragged to light and held up to shame and obloquy, perhaps to the now unawed vengeance of the injured husband and father. In proportion to their unprincipled looseness would be the passion of their resentment, the depth of their vindictiveness. But these, it may be charitably, and as far as the documents show, justly concluded, were the few. What must have been the bitterness of heart of those, the far larger part of the clergy, whose marriage, or at least an implied and solemn engagement almost as sacred as marriage, had been endeared by the sweet charities of life, by the habits of mutual affection, the common ties of parental love. Their wives were to be torn from them and treated with the indignity of prostitutes: their children to be degraded as bastards. In some cases these wretched women were driven to suicide: they burned themselves, or were found dead in their beds from grief, or by their own hands; and this was proclaimed as the vengeance of God upon their sins.<sup>g</sup> With some of the married clergy there may have been a consciousness, a misgiving of wrong, at least of weakness inconsistent with the highest clerical function: but with others it was a deliberate conviction, founded on the authority of St. Paul; on the usage of the primitive Church, justified by the law of Eastern Christendom, and in Milan asserted to rest on the authority of St. Ambrose; as well as on a conscientious assurance of the evils, the manifest and flagrant evils, of enforced clerical celibacy. And these men, even when they acknowledged their weakness, and were content with the lower stations in religious estimation, were to be mingled up in one sweeping anathema with the worst profligates; to

<sup>f</sup> Letter to Adela Countess of Flanders, iv. 10, and to Robert, iv. 11.

\* Paul Bernried triumphs in the misery of these women, many of them the wives, as he acknowledges, of the clergy. Interea super ipsas quoque uxores, seu concubinas Nicolaitarum sævit divina ultio. Nam quædam illarum in reprobum sensum traditæ, se-

metipsas incendio tradiderunt; aliquæ dum sanæ cubitum issent mortuæ repertæ sunt in matutino absque ullo præeunte infirmitatis indicio; aliquarum etiam corpora, post evulsas animas, maligni spiritus rapientes et in sua latibula reponentes, humanâ sepulturâ privaverunt. In what shape did these malignant spirits appear? Vit. Gregory VII. Murat. S. I. iii.

be condemned to poverty and shame, to be thrown loose to the popular judgment, the popular jealousy, the popular fury.

It was not indeed in Germany or Lombardy alone that the opposition to one or both the Hildebrandine decrees against lay investiture and the marriage of the clergy encountered fierce opposition. The latter, as of more immediate operation, excited the most furious passion. It was about this time that the Archbishop of Rouen, venturing to read the decree in his cathedral, was driven from the pulpit with a shower of stones. At the Council of Paris,<sup>h</sup> when the decree was read, there was a loud outcry of appeal to St. Paul's Epistle to Timothy. The Abbot of Pont-Isère i dared to say that the Pope's commands, just or unjust, must be obeyed. He was dragged out of the assembly, spat upon, struck in the face by the King's servants, hardly rescued alive.<sup>k</sup> Everywhere, in Italy, in Rome itself, in France, throughout Germany, the decrees were received with the most vigorous or stubborn oppugnance; Gregory acknowledges the reluctance with which it was submitted to by the great mass of the clergy, the tardiness of the bishops to enforce its penalties.<sup>m</sup> This, doubtless, more than the strife with the empire, the collision between the Italian and German party, was the chief source of the deep and wide-spread rancour excited in the hearts of men, rancour almost unprecedented, against Gregory VII. Later history shows Hildebrand, if not an object of Hatred against admiration, of awe. Those who most deprecate Hildebrand. his audacious ambition, his assumption of something bordering on divinity, respect the force and dignity of his character. The man who by the mere power of mind, by spiritual censures, without an army, except that which he levied by his influence over others, with enemies in his own city, aspired to rule the world, to depose the mightiest sovereigns, to raise up a barrier against the dominion of

<sup>h</sup> Mansi, sub ann. Orderic Vital. <sup>i</sup> If the bishops of France, writes Gregory, are lukewarm in enforcing these decrees, we hereby interdict the people from attending the ministrations

\* Epist. Theodor. Virdunens. ad Gre-gor. VII. Martene et Ducand. i. 218. Epistola cujusdam, p. 231. The popu-tudinem (simony and marriage) nulla lace sometimes took the other side. The studuerunt prohibitione decidere, nulla people of Cambray burned a man for districtione punire .-- Ad Rodolph. ii. 45.

venturing to say that the Simoniac or married clergy were not to be allowed to say mass. So writes Gregory. The clergy of Cambray were generally mar-ried. Gregory would make this man a martyr.

m Ad hæc tamen inobedientes, exceptis perpaucis, tam execrandam consuc-

mere brute force and feudal tyranny, is contemplated, if by some with enthusiastic veneration, by others if with aversion, as the Incarnation of anti-Christian spiritual pride, nevertheless not without the homage of their wonder, and wonder not unmingled with respect. But in his own day the hostility against his name did not confine itself to indignant and vehement invectives against his overweening ambition, severity, and imperiousness; there is no epithet of scorn or debasement, no imaginable charge of venality, rapacity, cruelty, or even licentiousness, which is not heaped upon him, and that even by bishops of the opposite party." The wilful promoting of unnatural sins is retorted by the married clergy on the assertor of clerical chastity; even his austere personal virtue does not place him above calumny; his intimate alliance with the Countess Matilda, the profound devotion of that lofty female to her spiritual Father, his absolute command over her mind, is attributed at one time to criminal intercourse,° at another to magic.

Even at the time at which Hildebrand was thus declaring war against the empire, and precipitating the inevitable conflict for supremacy over the world, he was not safe in Rome. It cannot be known whether Guibert of Parma, the Archbishop of Ravenna, the representative of the imperial interests in Italy, who in Rome had opposed all that he dared—a sullen and dissembled resistance to the Pope—was privy to the daring enterprise of Cencius. That leader, and descendant of the old turbulent barons of Romagna, had old scores of vengeance to repay against

• Hæc est mulier illa, de qua ab obtrectatoribus fidei et concultatoribus veritatis crimen incestus sancto Pontifici objiciebatur. — Hugon. Chron. apud Pertz. x. p. 462. His defenders, singularly enough, think it necessary to appeal to miracle to explain this domination of a powerful and religious mind like Hildebrand's, over perhaps a weakly religious one like Matilda's. This scandal appears in its grossest and most particular form in Cosmas of Prague, who adds, "hæc sufficit breviter

dixisse, quæ utinam non dixissem." Apud Menckenium, p. 39. The age of one of the two might be enough to contradict those foul tales, if they were worth contradiction. Yet was the charge publicly made in the address of the German Bishops in the Synod at Mentz. Thus writes a bishop. Qui etiam fotore quodam gravissimi scandali totam ecclesiam replesti de conventu et cohabitatione alienæ mulieris familiariori, quam necesse est. In quâ re verecundia nostra magis quam causa laborat, quamvis hæc generalis querela ubique personuerit omnla judicia omnia decreta per feminas in sede apostolică actuari denique per feminas totum orbem ecclesia administrari. Udalrici Cod. apud Eccard. ii p. 172.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>n</sup> That which in the poetical invective (I am ashamed to abuse the word poetry) of Benzo, apud Menckenium, p. 975 (be it observed a bishop), takes the coarsest and plainest form, is noticed also by the grave Lambert of Herzfeld.

Hildebrand, the adviser of that policy which had brought down the Normans for their subjugation.

Cencius had been master of the castle of St. Angelo, and the master of the castle of St. Angelo was an important partisan for the Pope. The Normans' might now seem to have done their work; for some offence they were excom-· municated in their turn by the fearless Gregory; the Counts of Tusculum were to be the protectors of the Roman See. But Cencius was afterwards suspected of dealings with the excommunicated Guibert. He was attacked and taken the castle of St. Angelo for a time dismantled; the life of Cencius was spared only on the merciful intervention of the Countess Matilda.<sup>P</sup> Concius therefore had long arrears of revenge; success would make him an ally who might dictate his own terms to those who had a common interest in the degradation of Gregory. Master of the Pope's person, he might expect not merely not to be disowned, but to claim whatever reward might be demanded by his ambition.

On the eve of Christmas-day the rain had poured down in torrents. The Romans remained in their AD 1075, houses; the Pope, with but a few ecclesiastics, by Ceneius. was keeping the holy vigil in the remote church of Santa Maria Maggiore. The wild night suited the wild purpose The Pope was in the act of administering the of Cencius. Holy Communion, when a fierce shout of triumph and a shriek of terror sounded through the church. The soldiers of Cencius burst in, swept along the nave, dashed down the rails, rushed to the altar, and seized the Pontiff. One fatal blow might have ended the life of Hildebrand, and changed the course of human events; it glanced aside, and only wounded his forehead. Bleeding, stripped of his holy vestments, but patient and gentle, the Pope made no resistance; he was dragged away, mounted behind one of the soldiers, and imprisoned in a strong tower.<sup>4</sup> The rumour ran rapidly through the city; all the night, trumpets pealed, bells tolled. The clergy who were officiating Rescue. in the different churches broke off their services, and ran about the streets summoning the populace to rescue and revenge; soldiers rushed to the gates to pre-

P Cencius, according to Lambert, had been excommunicated by the Pope.
 <sup>4</sup> Bonizo.

VOL. III.

vent the prisoner from being carried out of the town. At the dawn of morn the people assembled in the Capitol, ignorant whether the Pope was dead or alive. When the place of his imprisonment was known, they througed to the siege; engines were brought from all quarters; the tottering walls began to yield. Cencius shuddered at his One faithful friend and one noble matron had own deed. followed the Pope into his dungeon. The man had covered his shivering body with furs, and was cherishing his chilled feet in his own bosom; the woman had staunched the blood, had bound up the wound in his head, and sat weeping beside him. Cencius, cowardly as cruel, had no course left but to throw himself at the feet of the Pontiff, and to implore his mercy. In the most humiliating language he confessed his sins, his sacrilege, his impiety. The Pope, thus insulted, thus wounded, thus hardly escaped from a miserable death, maintained throughout the mild dignity and self-command of a Christian Pontiff. His wisdom might indeed lead him to dread the despair of a ruffian. "Thine injuries against myself I freely pardon. Thy sins against God, against his mother, his apostles, and his whole Church must be explated. Go on a pilgrimage to Jerusalem, and if thou returnest alive, present thyself to us, and be reconciled with God. As thou hast been an example of sin, so be thou of repentance !" Christ himself might seem to be speaking in his Vice-gerent."

Gregory was brought out; he made a motion to the people to arrest the fury with which they were rushing to storm the tower; it was mistaken for a sign of distress. They broke down, they clambered over, the walls. Gregory, yet stained with blood, stood in the midst of his deliverers; he was carried in triumph to the church from -which he had been dragged, finished the service, and returned to the Lateran. Cencius and his kindred fled; their houses and towers were razed by the indignant populace.

This adventure showed to Hildebrand at once his danger and his strength. It was not the signal for, it was rather simultaneous with, the final and irreparable breach with the King—a breach which, however, had been preparing

Paul. Bernried, Vit. Greg. Lambert. Berthold sub ann. 1076. Arnulf, v. 6, apud Pertz. Bonizo. Lib. ad Amic.

for some months. Guibert of Ravenna was allowed to depart unquestioned, if not unsuspected as the secret author of this outrage, suspicions which were not lightened by one of his acts which took place some time after-the burial of Cencius, which he celebrated with great magnificence in Pavia. But even against Guibert Hildebrand now countenanced no such charge, still less against Henry himself. Nothing of the kind is intimated in the letter addressed but two weeks after to the King of Germany, which, if not the direct declaration of war, was the sullen murmuring of the thunder before the storm.

It is important carefully to observe the ground which Hildebrand took in that manifesto of war, of war Letter to disguised under the words of reconciliation: King Henry. whether the lofty moral assertion that he was placed on high to rebuke the unchristian acts of kings, or even to assert the liberties of their oppressed subjects; or the lower, the questionable right to confer Benefices, and the King's disobedience in ecclesiastical matters to the See of Rome.<sup>\*</sup>

"Deeply and anxiously weighing the responsibilities of the trust committed to us by St. Peter, we have with great hesitation granted our apostolic benediction, for it is reported that thou still holdest communion with excommunicated persons. If this be true, the grace of that benediction avails thee nothing. Seek ghostly counsel of some sage priest, and perform the penance imposed upon thee." He proceeds to reprove the King for the hypocritical submissiveness of his letters, and the disobedience of his conduct. The grant of the archbishopric of Milan without waiting the decision of the apostolic see, the investiture of the bishoprics of Fermo and Spoleto made to persons unknown to the Pope, were acts of irreverence to St. Peter and his successor. "The apostolic synod over which we presided this year, thought fit in the decay of the Christian religion to revert to the ancient discipline of the Church, that discipline on which depends the salvation of man. This decree (however some may presume to call it an insupportable burthén or intolerable oppression) we esteem a necessary law; all Christian kings and people are bound

<sup>\*</sup> This missive must have been received early in January, when Henry was at, Goslar .- Stenzel, in loc.

directly to accept and to observe it. As thou art the highest in dignity and power, so shouldest thou surpass others in devotion to Christ. If, however, thou didst consider this abrogation of a bad custom hard or unjust to thyself, thou shouldest have sent to our presence some of the wisest and most religious of thy realm, to persuade us, in our condescension, to mitigate its force in some way not inconsistent with the honour of God and the salvation of men's souls. We exhort thee, in our parental love, to prefer the honour of Christ to thine own, and to give full liberty to the Church, the Spouse of God." Hildebrand then alludes to the victory of Henry over the Saxons, with significant reference to the fate of Saul, whom success in war led into fatal impiety.

The date of this letter, when written, and when received. is not absolutely certain;<sup>t</sup> it was coupled with or immediately followed by a peremptory summons to Henry to appear in Rome to answer for all his offences Henry summoned to before the tribunal of the Pope, and before a Rome on Feb. 22. synod of ecclesiastics; if he should refuse or delay, he was at once to suffer the sentence of excommunication. The 22nd of February was the day appointed for his appearance.

Thus the king, the victorious king of the Germans, was solemnly cited as a criminal to answer undefined charges, to be amenable to laws which the judge had assumed the right of enacting, interpreting, enforcing by the last penalties. The whole affairs of the empire were to be suspended while the King stood before the bar of his imperious arbiter; no delay was allowed; the stern and immutable alternative was humble and instant obcdience, or that sentence which involved deposition from the Empire, eternal perdition."

In this desperate emergency one course alone seemed left open. In Germany the idea of the temporal sovereign was but vague, indistinct, and limited; he was but the head of an assemblage of independent princes, his powers,

<sup>• &#</sup>x27; It is dated by Jaffe Jan. 8.

de criminibus quæ objicerentur, causam " Aderant præterea Hildebrandi Papæ dicturus : alioquin sciret se absque omni legati, denunciantes Regi, ut secunda ferià secundæ hebdomadæ in quadra-gesimâ ad synodum Romæ occurreret, abscindendum esse.—Lambert.

if not legally, actually bounded by his ability to enforce obedience. The Cæsar was but an imposing and magnificent title, which Teutonic pride gloried in having appropriated to its sovereign, but against which the old Teutonic independence opposed a strong, often invincible resistance. The idea of the Pope was an integral part of German Christianity; dread of excommunication part of the faith, to question which was a bold act of infidelity.

It was only then by invalidating the title of the individual Pope that he could be lawfully resisted, or his authority shaken in the minds of the multitude. It was a daring determination, but it was the only determination to which Henry and his ecclesiastical counsellors could well have recourse, to depose a pope who had thus declared war, even to the death, against him. Not a day was to be lost; if the Pope were still Pope on the fatal 22nd of February, the irrepealable excommunication would be passed. The legates who brought this denunciatory message were dismissed with ignominy. Messengers were despatched with breathless haste to summon the prelates of Germany to meet at the faithful city of Worms, on Sep-A.D. 1076. tuagesima Sunday, January 24th. After the death of Hanno of Cologne, Henry, knowing too well the danger of that princely See in able hands, had forced into it a monk named Hildorf, of obscure birth, insignificant in person, feeble in mind.

On the appointed day, besides the secular partisans of Henry, the bishops and abbots of Germany obeyed Synod of the royal summons in great numbers. Siegfried Mentz. of Mentz<sup>\*</sup> took his seat as president of the synod. Cardinal Hugo the White, the same man who had taken the lead in the election of Hildebrand, and commended him by the glowing panegyric on his virtues to the Roman people, came forward, no doubt as pretending to represent the clergy of Rome, and arraigned Pope Gregory before the synod as the worst and wickedest of men. His extravagant and monstrous charges dwelt on the early life of Gregory, on the bribery and violence by which he had gained the Papacy, the licentiousness, the flagitiousness of

\* He had been degraded by the Pope.-Lambert, sub ann.

his life as Pope, his cruelty, his necromancy. He demanded the deposition of Gregory VII. With loud unanimous acclamation the synod declared that a man guilty of such crimes (crimes of which no shadow of proof was adduced, and which rested on the assertion of one himself excommunicated, it was averred, for simony), had forfeited the power of binding and loosing, he was no longer Pope. The renunciation of allegiance was drawn up in the strictest and most explicit form. "I, \* \* Bishop of \* \* \*, disclaim from this hour all subjection and allegiance to Hildebrand, and will neither estecim nor call him Pope." Two bishops only, Adelbert of Wurtzburg and Herman of Metz, hesitated to sign this paper. They argued that it was unjust and uncanonical to condemn a bishop without a general Council, without accusers and defenders, and without communicating the charges against him, how much more a Pope, against whom the accusation of a bishop, or even an archbishop, was not valid. But William of Utrecht, the boldest, the most learned, and the staunchest partisan of Henry, offered them the alternative of disclaiming their allegiance to the King, or affixing their signature. To this force they yielded an unwilling approbation.<sup>2</sup>

The letter of Henry to the Pope, conveying the decree Letter to the of the council, was couched in the most arrogant Pope an-nouncing his and insulting terms, and so neutralised the bitter deposition. truths which, more calmly expressed, might have wrought on impartial minds, if such there were. "Henry, not by usurpation but by God's ordinance, King, to Hildebrand, no longer Pope, but the false monk." It accused him of the haughtiness with which he tyrannized over every order of the Church, and had trampled archbishops, bishops, the whole clergy, under his feet. He had pretended to universal knowledge as to universal power. "By the authority of the priesthood, thou hast even threatened to deprive us of our royal authority, that priesthood to which thou wast never called by Christ." " By craft thou hast got money, by money influence, by in-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup> The Chronicle of Hildesheim says that the bishop of that city signed only from fear of death, sed quod scripserat, ut homo sagacissimi ingenii obelo sup-

fluence the power of the sword; by the sword thou hast mounted the throne of peace, and from the throne of peace destroyed peace, arming subjects against their rulers, bringing bishops appointed by God into contempt, and exposing them to the judgment of the laity. Us, too, consecrated of God, amenable to no judge but God, who can be deposed for no crime but absolute apostasy, thou hast ventured to assail, despising the words of that true Pope St. Peter, 'Fear God! honour the King!' Thou that honourest not the King fearest not God! Paul held accursed even an angel from heaven who should preach another Gospel: this curse falls upon thee who teachest this new doctrine." "Thus accursed, then, thus condemned by the sentence of all our bishops and by our own, down! Leave the apostolic throne which thou hast usurped. Let another take the chair of St. Peter, one who preaches not violence and war, but the sound doctrine of the holy Apostle. I, Henry, by the grace of God King, with all the bishops of my realm, say unto thee, 'Down! down!'"

Another letter was addressed to the clergy and people of Rome. In this the King accuses the Pope To clergy and of having sworn to deprive him of the king-People of Rome. dom of Italy. "Gregory would hazard his own life, or strip the King of his life and kingdom." As Patrician, therefore, Henry had deposed the Pope, and now commands them on their allegiance to rise up against him. "Be the most loyal the first to join in his condemnation. We do not ask you to shed his blood; let him suffer life, which, after he is deposed, will be more wretched to him than death; but if he resist, compel him to yield up the apostolic throne, and make way for one whom we shall elect, who will have both the will and the power to heal the wounds inflicted on the Church by their present pastor."

The German Church seemed to enter into the bold and open revolt of Henry; in Lombardy the old party of Cadalous and of the married clergy, maintained and guided by Guibert of Ravenna, showed equal Early in resolution. A synod at Piacenza ratified the February. decree of Worms.

Gregory in the mean time had summoned his third council in the Lateran. He sat among his assembled Lateran Council. Feb. 21, 22, 1076. bishops. The hymn had ceased which implored the descent of the Holy Ghost on this great Christian assembly. The bold and sudden entrance of Roland, a priest of Parma, was hardly perceived amid the grave occupation to which (as genuine descendants of the old Romans who, when the fate of kings and nations depended on their vote, usually commenced their solemn council by consulting the augurs, and waiting for some significant omen) they had surrendered their absorbed attention. An egg had been found which, by its mysterious form, portended the issue of the conflict. What seemed a black serpent, the type of evil, rose as it were in high relief, and coiled around the smooth shell; but it had struck on what seemed a shield, and recoiled, bruised and twisting in a mortal agony. On this sight sate gazing the mute ecclesiastical senate."

But the voice of Roland made itself heard. "The King and the bishops of Germany send this mandate. Down at once from the throne of St. Peter! yield up the usurped government of the Roman Church! none must presume to such honour but those chosen by the general voice, and approved by the Emperor." He turned to the amazed assembly,—"Ye, my brethren, are commanded to present yourselves at the Feast of Pentecost before the King my master, there to receive a Pope and Father; for this man is no Pope, but a ravening wolf."

The fiery Bishop of Porto sprung from his seat, and shouted with a loud voice, "Seize him." Cencius, the governor of the city,<sup>b</sup> and his soldiers sprung forth to hew the audacious envoy in pieces. Gregory interposed his own person, protected the King's ambassador, and with difficulty restored order. He received the documents

This, be it remembered, is history, not poetry. Robert is called elsewhere Roland.

Incipiens synodum pastor Gregorius, ovum Gailine sculptom, gestanis in cortice scutom Et colubram higran qui tendelat caput, ictu Quippe repercussus, quodam, pertingere sur-

Non potnit, caudamque plicans dabat sinua-

tam. Non erat haso plana, sed era' sculptura levata. Af synamic ertur, nunquam par ante reper-

Quod dum miratur, prædictus et ecce Robertus Dosuzo,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Stephen Cencins, another of the same family, according to Bonizo, brother of the famous Cencius, a partizan of the Pope. He was afterwards put to a cruel death by the Imperialists.—Bonizo, p. 816.

presented by Roland, and with his wonted calm dignity read the acts of the councils, with the taunting letter of the King.

Murmurs of vehement indignation burst from the whole synod; they sank again as Gregory commenced his address, urging them to respect the sanctity of the place. In his speech, skilfully it may hardly be said, yet naturally, his own cause was assumed to be that of the clergy, of the Church, of Christianity. "These were the coming and predicted days in which it behoved the clergy to show the innocence of the dove, blended with the wisdom of the serpent. The forerunner of Anti-Christ had risen against the Church; the dry harvest was about to be wet with the blood of the saints. Now is the time when it will be shown who is ashamed of his Lord, of whom the Lord will be ashamed at his second coming. Better is it to die for Christ and his holy laws, than, by shamefully yielding to those who violate and trample them under foot, to be traitors to the Church : not to resist such impious men were to deny the faith of Christ." With the gravity of an ancient augur he proceeded to interpret the sign of the egg. The serpent was the dragon of the Apocalypse raging against the Church; and in the same old Roman spirit he drew the omen of victory from its discomfiture. "Now, therefore, brethren, it behoves us to draw the sword of vengeance; now must we smite the foe of God and of his Church ; now shall his bruised head, which lifts itself in its haughtiness against the foundation of the faith and of all the Churches, fall to the earth; there, according to the sentence pronounced against his pride, to go upon his belly, and eat the dust. Fear not, little flock, saith the Lord, for it is the will of your Father to grant you the kingdom. Long enough have ye borne with him: often enough have ve admonished him: let his seared conscience be made at length to feel !"

The whole synod replied with one voice, "Let thy wisdom, most holy Father, whom the divine mercy has raised up to rule the world in our days, utter such a sentence against this blasphemer, this usurper, this ty ant, this apostate, as may crush him to the earth, and make him a warning to future ages. . . Draw the sword, pass the judgment, that the righteous may rejoice when he seeth the vengeance, and wash his hands in the blood of the ungodly."°

The formal sentence was delayed, to prepare it in more awful terms, till the next day. On the morning arrived letters from many prelates and nobles of Germany and Italy, disclaiming the acts of the synods at Worms and Piacenza, and imploring the forgiveness of the Pope for their enforced assent to these decrees. The Pontiff again took his seat in the Lateran, encircled by 110 bishops and abbots. The first sentence fell on Siegfried of Mentz, and the prelates who had concurred in the proceedings at Worms. They were suspended from their episcopal functions, interdicted from the holy Eucharist, unless in the hour of death, and after due and accepted penance. Those who had assented from compulsion were allowed time to make their peace with the apostolic see. The prelates who met at Piacenza were condemned to the same punishment. Some other censures were spoken against other prelates and nobles of the empire; but the awestruck assembly awaited in eager expectation that against the arch-criminal King Henry. The Empress Agnes was among the audience; the stern stoicism of the monastic life had even wrought a mother's heart to listen to the sentence, perhaps of eternal damnation, against her son.<sup>d</sup>

· Hildebrand commenced his sentence with an address to St. Peter, and renewed protestations of the reluc-Henry deposed. tance against which he had been compelled to ascend the pontifical throne. "In full confidence in the authority over all Christian people, granted by God to the delegate of St. Peter," "for the honour and defence of the Church, in the name of the Almighty God, the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost, and by the power and authority of St. Peter, I interdict King Henry, son of Henry the Emperor, who in his unexampled pride has risen against the Church, from the government of the whole realm of Germany and of Italy. I absolve all Christians from the oaths which they have sworn or may

<sup>c</sup> Psalm lviii. 10. Paul Bernried, the occupied two; but the distribution of fullest authority on this period, gives the business between those two days is

<sup>d</sup> Berthold, p. 283.

the whole as the proceedings of one day. somewhat conjectural. Other write:s seem to show that they

swear to him; and forbid all obedience to him as King. For it is just that he who impugns the honour of the Church should himself forfeit all the honour which he seems to have; and because he has scorned the obedience of a Christian, nor returned to the Lord, from whom he had revolted by holding communion with the excommunicate, by committing many iniquities, and despising the admonitions which, as thou knowest, I have given him for his salvation; and has separated himself from the Church by creating schism: I bind him, therefore, in thy name, in the bonds of thy anathema; that all the nations may know and may acknowledge that thou art Peter, that upon thy rock the Son of the living God has built his Church, and that the gates of hell shall not prevail against it."

When the Senate or the Emperors of Rome issued their mandates to the extremity of the world, they were known to be supported by vast and irresistible armies. The mandates of Hildebrand were to promulgate, to execute themselves. He was master indeed in Rome; he might depend, perhaps, on the support of his ally, the Countess Matilda; he might, possibly, as a last refuge, summon the Normans, an uncertain trust, to his succour. But on these things he seemed to disdain to waste a thought; in himself, in his censures, in the self-assured righteousness of his cause, on the fear's of men, and doubtless on what he believed the pledged and covenanted protection of the Saints, of Christ, of God, he calmly relied for what he would not doubt would be his final triumph.

King Henry heard in Utrecht, March 27, the sentence

<sup>c</sup> For the modern views on the subject of deposing kings, see perhaps the ablest work, Gosselin, Pouvoir du Pape au Moyen Age. The foundation of Fenelon's theory, embraced partially by M. De Maistre, fully by M. Gosselin, is that the Pope's power of dethroning sovereigns rested on a "droit public," acknowledged throughout Europe. But whence this droit public, but from the exaggerated claims of the Pope and the clergy, beaten by superstitious ter-rors into the minds of men? The whole argument of Gosselin's book is, that the power existed and was acknowledged, when admitted, by making them sub-therefore it was absolute law. De servient to their own passions and to Maistre has said that possession on one their own temporal ends.

hand, consent (assentiment) on the other, is the foundation of all power; but what tyranny does not this justify and eternise? The first premise nobody will deny in one sense; and even may admit the conclusion, so far as it may mitigate the attributing the growth of such principles to deliberate, far-seeing, conscious ambition on the part of the clergy and the Pope; but it will not ab-solve them from having been unconsciously influenced by the desire of cor-porate or personal aggrandisement, or from their abuse of those principles,

of the Pope. His first impression was that of dismay; but he soon recovered himself, affected to treat it with Measures of contempt, and determined to revenge himself by the excommunication of the Pope. The Bishops of Toul and Verdun, though attached to Henry, had disapproved of the condemnation of the Pope; they secretly withdrew from the city to escape the perilous office now demanded of them. In William of Utrecht fidelity to the King had grown into a fierce hatred of the Pope. Not merely did he utter the sentence of excommunication, but followed it up with busy zeal. At every opportunity, even when performing the sacred office, he broke forth against the perjurer, the adulterer, the false apostle; and pronounced him excommunicated, not by himself William of Utrecht ex-communicates alone, but by all the bishops of Germany.<sup>f</sup> Nor was William absolutely alone: a council at Pavia, April. summoned by the indefatigable Guibert, met and anathematised Gregory.

But while these vain thunders had no effect on the rigid churchmen and the laity who adhered to the Pope, the excommunication of Henry was working in the depths of the German mind, and mingling itself up with, and seeming to hallow all the other motives for jealousy, hatred, and revenge which prevailed in so many parts of the empire. A vast and formidable conspiracy began to organise itself, hardly in secret. The Dukes Rudolph of Swabia, Guelf of Bavaria, Berthold of Carinthia, with the Bishops of Wurzburg and Metz, were at the head of the league, which comprehended men knew not whom, there was no one whom it might not comprehend. The King summoned a diet at Worms, but the prudent and April 28. those conscious of sinister designs, kept away : it separated without coming to any conclusion. A second was summoned for St. Peter's day, to meet at Mentz.

But even before the diet at Worms an event had taken place which had appalled all Germany—the sudden Death of William of Utrecht. Terrible rumours william of the circumstances of his fate spread throughout the land, darkening, no doubt, as they went on. In the delirium of his mortal sickness he had reproached himself

f Omnibus pæne diebus solemniter, rabido ore declamavit.-Lambert.

for his wicked and impious conduct to the Pope, entreated his attendants not to weary themselves with fruitless prayers for a soul irrecoverably lost. He had died, it was said, without the Holy Communion. The blasphemer of Hildebrand had perished in an agony of despair; and God had not only pronounced his awful vengeance against the blasphemer himself, the cathedral which had witnessed the ceremony of Gregory's excommunication had been struck by the lightning of heaven.

Even after death the terrible power of Gregory pursued William of Utrecht. In answer to an inquiry of the Bishop of Liege, the Pope sternly replied, that, if William of Utrecht had knowingly communicated with the excommunicated Henry (and of this fact and of his impenitence there could be no doubt), the inexorable interdict must follow him beyond the grave. Unabsolved he lived and died, there was no absolution after death; no prayers, no sacrifices, no alms could be offered for the soul of William of Utrecht.<sup>g</sup>

Henry looked abroad into the Empire, which, but the year before, his victory at Hohenburg had awed at Deposition of least into outward peace, and where the obsequious Henry. clergy at Worms had seemed to join him almost with unanimity in his defiance of Hildebrand. On every side he now saw hostility, avowed or secret; conspiracy, desertion; the princes meditating revolt; the prelates either openly renouncing or shaken in their allegiance. Herman of Metz had released some of the Saxon chieftains committed to his charge; he was evidently assuming the rank of head of the Hildebrandine party among the ecclesiastics of Germany. Henry had threatened to revenge himself by marching at once and occupying Metz, but had been obliged to abandon that decisive measure. The defection of Othe of Nordheim, to whom the final suppression of the Saxon rebellion had been entrusted, and who at least had listened to the overtures of the insurgents, was still more embarrassing, and broke up all his warlike plans.

At Mentz the assembly both of prelates and nobles was more numerous than at the second assembly May 15. Diet at. Summoned at Worms; but the leaders of the op-Mentz.

<sup>g</sup> Regest. iv. 6.

position, whom Henry hoped either to gain or to overawe, and whose attendance, sinking from the imperious language of command, he had condescended to implore, still kept aloof, and, without declaration of hostility, maintained a sullen but menacing neutrality. Yet enough appeared at the Diet to show the dreadful effects to be apprchended from the approaching conflict, and the nature of the resistance which was to be encountered by the King. Throughout Germany house was divided against house, family against family, kindred against kindred. Udo, Archbishop of Treves, the third of the great Rhenish prelates, had passed the Alps to make his peace with Gregory; he had been received with courtesy, and had yielded himself up absolutely to the spell of Hildebrand's commanding mind. His conduct on his return was suffi-ciently expressive. With cold determination he refused. to hold any intercourse with his brother metropolitans, the excommunicated Siegfried and Hildorf of Cologne, and with the other bishops of Henry's party. Only by the express permission of the Pope would be venture into the infected presence of the excommunicated King himself, in order to give him good counsel. He shrank from the sin and contamination of eating with him or joining him in The contagion of fear and aversion spread into praver. the palace of Henry. The ecclesiastics shrank away one by one, lest they should be defiled by the royal intercourse. To the King's repeated commands, to his earnest entreaties that they would return, they answered, that it was better to lose the royal favour than endanger their souls.<sup>h</sup> The more ardent and resolute of Henry's party were excited to the utmost fury; they urged the King to draw at once the sword, committed to him by God, to chastise the rebellious prelates and his other contumacious subjects.

But Henry felt the ebbing away of his strength. Everything seemed blasted with a curse and turned against him. - His last hold on the fears of the Saxons was that he still had in his power some of their more formidable leaders. He issued orders to use the utmost vigilance for their detention. Of these the most dangerous, and, as most

h Lambert, sub ann.

dangerous, most hateful to Henry was Burchard, Bishop of Halberstadt, whom Henry determined to send Escape and to Hungary for safer custody. On his descent of Saxon prithe Danube a bold and adventurous partisan con-June 24. trived the liberation of the bishop: Burchard found his way to Saxony. The King's measures began to be those of a man in utter despair, wild, inconsistent, passionate. He at once changed his policy. He determined to have the merit of granting freedom to those whom he could not hope to detain in prison. To the Bishops of Magdeburg, Merseburg, and Meissen, to Duke Magnus and the Palatine Frederick, he sent word that, though by the laws of the empire he would be justified in putting them to death, vet, out of respect for their exalted rank, he would not merely release them on the promise of their fidelity, but reward that fidelity with the utmost liberality. They met hypocrisy with hypocrisy, and solemnly swore fidelity. They were brought to Mentz to receive their liberation from Henry himself; but he was defeated even in this measure.<sup>i</sup> A fray took place in the city between  $J_{\text{une 29.}}$ the followers of the Bishop of Bamberg and a rival ecclesiastic; the prisoners escaped in the confusion.

An expedition into Saxony, through Bohemia, ended in total and disgraceful failure. The King, instead of quelling his rebellious subjects, only by good fortune effected an ignominious retreat, and fled to Worms.

Hildebrand in the mean time neglected none of his own means of warfare, that warfare which was con-July 25. Letters of the ducted not in the battle-field, but in the hearts Pope. and souls of men, which he felt himself to command, and knew how to sway to his purpose. Words were his weapons, but words which went to the depths of the human mind, and shook almost every living man with fear. There were two classes, the churchmen, and the vulgar, which comprehended the larger part of the human race; to both he spake the fit and persuasive language; he addressed a spiritual manifesto to all Christendom, but more especially to the bishops and clergy. He reverted to his former affection for Henry; the love with which

<sup>i</sup> Lambert, sub ann.; Benzo, 33-36.

even when a deacon he had warned his youth; he had continued his earnest admonitions in mature age. But Henry had only returned evil for good; had lifted up his heel against St. Peter. He commanded the bishops to urge the contumacious King to repentance, but "if he prefers the devil to Christ, adheres to his simoniacal and excommunicated counsellers, the bishops, the Pope himself, must manfully discharge their duty. They must enforce upon all, clergy and laity; the peremptory obligation of avoiding all intercourse whatever with the excommunicated; all intercourse which was death to the souls of those wretched men and to their own."<sup>k</sup>

In a letter to Herman of Metz he presses this doctrine with more relentless rigour. "All who had com-July 25. Aug. 25. municated with the excommunicated king, if king he might be called, by that act had themselves incurred excommunication." Such were the doctrines of him who assumed to represent the Prince of Pcace! "But there were those who denied his right to excommunicate a king: though their folly deserved it not, he would condescend to answer,"<sup>m</sup> What then was his answer? One of the most audacious fictions of the Decretals; an extract from a charge delivered by St. Peter to Clement of Rome; the deposition of Childebert by Pope Zacharias; certain sentences of Gregory the Great intended to protect the estates of the Church, and anathematising all, even kings, who should usurp them; finally the memorable example of St. Ambrose and Theodosius the Great. "Why is the King alone excepted from that universal flock committed to the guardianship of St. Peter? If the Pope may judge spiritual persons, how much more must secular persons give an account of their evil deeds before his tribunal? Think they that the royal excels the episcopal dignity ?--the former the invention of human pride, the latter of divine holiness: the former ever coveting vain glory, the latter aspiring after heavenly life. 'The glory of a king,' St. Ambrose says, 'to that of a bishop is as lead to gold.' Constantine the Great took his seat below the lowest

\* Regest, iv. 1.

<sup>m</sup> Licct pro magnâ fatuitate nec etiam eis respondere debemus.

bishop, for he knew that God resisteth the proud, but giveth grace to the humble:"—The humility of Hildebrand! He then peremptorily forbade all bishops to presume to grant absolution to Henry, or to enter into communion with him. "The consecration of a bishop who communicates with the excommunicate is an execration."

A third-letter, to the German people, commanded them, if the King did not immediately repent, dismiss his evil counsellors, acknowledge that the Church <sup>sept. 3.</sup> was not subject to him as a handmaid, but superior as a mistress, and abandon those usages which had been established in the spirit of pride against the liberty of the holy Church (the investiture), to proceed at once to the election of a new sovereign, a sovereign approved by the Pope. He anticipates the embarrassment of their oath sworn to the Empress Agnes. She, no doubt, when Henry shall be deposed, will give her consent ; the Pope would absolve them from their oath.

The diet met at Tribur near Darmstadt. Thither came Rudolph of Swabia, Otho of Saxony, Guelf of Bavaria, the two former rivals for the throne if it

should be vacant by the deposition of Henry. All the old enemies, all the revolted friends, the bishops who had opposed, the bishops who had consented, some even who had advised his loftydemeanour towards the Pope, appeared drawn together by their ambition, by their desire of liberty or of power, by their fears, and by their hopes of gain or advancement, by their conscientious churchmanship, or their base resolution to be on the stronger side. Already in Ulm, where the diet at Tribur had been agreed upon, Otho of Constance had made his peace with the Church; the feeble Siegfried of Mentz did the same. The Bishops of Verdun, Strasburg, Liege, Munster, and Utrecht obtained easier absolution, some of them having from the first disapproved of the King's proceedings.

The legates of the Pope, Sighard Patriarch of Aquileia, and Altman Bishop of Passau, whose life had been endangered in the suppression of the married clergy, with many laymen of rank who had embraced the monastic life, appeared to vindicate the Pope's right to excommunicate the King, and to sanction the election of a new sovereign,

VOL. III.

М

These men kept themselves in severe seclusion from all who, since his excommunication, had held the slightest intercourse by word or deed with the King. They avoided with equal abhorrence all who communicated, even in prayer, with married or simoniacal clergy.

For seven days the conclave sat in high and independent, and undisturbed deliberation on the crimes of the Diet at Trihur. Emperor; the sins of his youth, by which he had disgraced the majesty of the Empire; the injuries which he had inflicted on individuals and on the public weal; his devotion to base-born counsellors, and his deliberate hostility to the nobles of the realm; his having left the frontiers open to barbarous enemies, while he was waging cruel war on his subjects; the state of the Empire which he had inherited flourishing in peace and wealth, but which was now in the most wretched condition, laid waste by civil wars; the destruction of churches and monasteries and the confiscation of their estates for the maintenance of a lawless army; and the building fortresses to reduce his free-born liegemen to slavery: widows and orphans were without protection; the oppressed and calumniated without refuge; the laws had lost their authority, manners their discipline, the Church her power, the State her dignity. Thus by the recklessness of one man things sacred and profane, divine and human, right and wrong, were in confusion and anarchy. For these great calamities one remedy alone remained, the election of another king, who should restrain the general license, and bear the weight of the tottering The right of the Pope to separate the King from world. the communion of the faithful was fully recognised; even if the Pope had passed such sentence unjustly no Christian could communicate with the interdicted person till reconciled to the Church.

On the other side of the Rhine, at Oppenheim, the Henry at deserted Henry, with a few armed followers, a Oppenheim. very few faithful nobles, and still fewer bishops, kept his diminished and still dwindling court. The Rhine flowed between these strangely contrasted assemblies. The vigour of Henry's character seemed crushed by the universal defection. There was no dignity in his humiliation. Even with his imperfect sense of kingly duty, and his notions of kingly power, the terrible truth of some of these accusations may have depressed his conscience. Whatever his offences against the Pope, he could not wonder at the alienation of his subjects. He sunk to abject submission. Day after day came his messengers offering concession on concession, the redress of all grievances, the amendment of all errors, the promise to efface by his future benefits the memory of all past injuries. He was ready to do no public act without consulting the great Council of the realm; he would even surrender up his power, place the government in other hands, if they would leave him the royal name and dignity, which could not be taken away without degrading the crown of Germany in the eyes of For the fulfilment of these terms he offered any men. oaths and any hostages demanded by the Diet.

The conclave coldly replied that they could have no faith in his promises; on every favourable opportunity he had broken, like spiders' webs, the solemn oaths which he had pledged before God. They had been patient too long. Their religious reverence for their allegiance had made them endure the dissolution of all order in the state, the loss of peace in all the churches of the realm, the majesty of the Empire subverted, the dignity of the public morals debased, the laws suspended, the ruin of justice and piety. As long as his temporal life was concerned, they had borne all this out of respect for their oath of fealty; but now that he was cut off by the sentence of the Pope from the Church of God, it would be madness not to seize the hour of deliverance. It was their fixed determination, therefore, without delay to provide a man to go before them, and to wage the war of the Lord, to the destruction of his pride who had lifted himself against the justice and truth of God and the authority of the Roman Church.

The treacherous Archbishop of Mentz had given orders to collect all the boats upon the Rhine, in order to attack Henry at Oppenheim, to seize his person, disperse his followers, and by one decisive blow to end the contest. But the partisans of Henry and Henry himself drew courage from the desperate state of their affairs. They boldly manned the shores, and bade defiance to their enemies. The confederates shrank from the conflict; some were not prepared for the last extremity of arms; others, remembering Hohenburg, might dread the issue of a lattle even at such advantage. But this was a transient gleam of courage and success; the consciousness of his weakness returned; Henry was at the mercy of his revolted subjects. He had but to accept the hard terms which they might be pleased to impose. The terms were these: the whole affair was to be reserved for the decision of the supreme Pontiff, who was to hold a council at Augsburg on the feast of the Purification in the ensuing year. In the mean time Henry was to declare his unreserved subjection and submission to the Pope, to dismisshis army and live as a private man at Spires, with no ensigns of royalty, performing no act of kingly authority, not presuming to enter a church, and holding no intercourse with his excommunicated counsellors. He was to deliver the city of Worms to its bishop, to disband the garrison, and to bind the citizens by an oath to commit no act of insult or rebellion against their prelate. If the King was not absolved from the ban of excommunication before the full year expired from the date of his sentence (in that same month of February in which fell the feast of the Purification), he forfeited irrevocably all right and title to the throne; his subjects were released from their allegiance.

Henry bowed his head before his fate. He dismissed Henry his counsellors; the Bishops of Cologne, Strasburg, Bamberg, Basle, Spires, Lausanne, Zeitz, and Osnaburg were left to make their peace as they could with the Pope. Even his favourite Counts, Ulric of Cosheim, and Eberhard of Nellenburg, were obliged to depart. He disbanded his troops, yielded up faithful Worms to its triumphant bishop, retired to Spires, and Beginning of he who had been born, as it were, a king, who November. could have had no recollection of the time in which he was not honoured with the name and ensigns of royalty, sank into a private station.

But in that intolerable condition he could not remain; he must determine on his future course. Whatever might be the end, it was better to confront the inexorable Pope; to undergo, if it must be undergone, the deep humiliation of submission in Italy rather than in the Diet of the Empire, in the face, amid the scorn and triumph, of his revolted subjects. He resolved to anticipate the journey of the Pope to Germany. Udo of Treves, his adversary, consented to be his messenger to solicit the Pope's permission to make his act of submission in Rome rather than at Augsburg. Udo's journey was stopped at Piacenza; the enemies of Henry had anticipated his message to the Pope. Hildebrand declared his intention to hold the court at Augsburg; however difficult and inconvenient the journey, before the 8th of January he should be at Mantua.

Nature seemed to conspire with the Pope and with his enemies against the fallen King. So hard a winter had not been known for years; from Martinmas to the middle of April the Rhine was frozen, so as to be passable on foot. The Dukes of Bavaria and Carinthia, the enemies of Henry, commanded and jealously watched the passes of the Alps. With difficulty Henry collected from A few days still diminishing partisans sufficient money to defray the expenses of his journey. With his wife and infant son, and one faithful attendant, he left Spires, and turned aside into Burgundy, in hopes of finding hospitality and aid. He reached Besançon before Christmas day. William of Burgundy entertained him with courtesy." He passed Christmas in Besancon with something approaching to royal state. From Besancon he made his way to Geneva, and crossed the Rhone, to the foot of Mont Cenis. There he was met by his mother-in-law, Adelaide, the powerful Marchioness of Susa, and her son Amadeus. They received him with an outward show of honour; but, taking advantage of his extreme necessity, they demanded the cession of five rich bishoprics as the price of his free passage through their territories. This demand might seem an insidious endeavour to commit him still further with the Pope, by forcing him to exercise or to transfer, in a simoniacal manner, the contested power of investiture. Henry was glad to extricate himself by the sacrifice of a rich district which he possessed in Burgundy.

But the Alps were still between him and Italy. The

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>n</sup> Satis magnifice pro sua calamitate susceptus et habitus.

passage of Mont Cenis, notwithstanding the hardier habits of the time, was always a work of peril and difficulty; the unusual severity of the winter made it almost desperate. Vast quantities of snow had fallen; the slippery surface, where it had hardened, was not strong enough to bear; the ascent seemed impracticable. But the fatal day was hastening on; the King must reach Italy or forfeit his crown for ever. At a large cost they hired some of the Henry passes mountaineers, well acquainted with the paths, to go before and cut something like a road through the snow for the King and his few followers. So they reached with great labour the summit of the pass. The descent seemed impossible; it looked like a vast precipice, smooth, and almost sheer. But the danger must be overcome; some crept down on their hands and knees; some clung to the shoulders of the guides, and so sliding and at times rolling down the steeper declivities, reached at length the bottom without serious accident. The queen, and her infant son, were drawn down in the skins of oxen, as in sledges. Some of the horses were lowered by various contrivances; some with their feet tied allowed to roll from ledge to ledge. Many were killed, many maimed; few reached the plain in a serviceable state.

No sooner was the King's unexpected arrival made known in Italy, than the princes and the bishops assembled in great numbers, and received him with the highest honours; in a few days he found himself at the head of a formidable army. The great cause of his popularity with so many of the Lombard nobility and the prelates was the notion that he had crossed the Alps to depose the Pope. All, and they were neither few nor without power, who were excommunicated by Hildebrand, looked eagerly for vengeance. But Henry could not pause to plunge into this new warfare, where even in Lombardy he would have encountered half the magnates and people. He could not imperil the throne of Germany. He must obtain the absolution from his excommunicator before the fatal 25th of February.

The Pope meantime, accompanied by his powerful protectress Matilda of Tuscany, and by the Bishop of Vercelli, had crossed the Apennines, on his way to Mantua. The news of Henry's descent into Italy arrested his march. Uncertain whether he came as a humble suppliant or at the head of an army (Gregory well knew the state of Lombardy), he immediately turned aside, and took up his abode in Canosa, a strong fortress belonging to Matilda.

To Canosa first came in trembling haste many of the nobles and prelates who had been included under the ban of excommunication, and whom Henry had been forced to dismiss from his service. Most of them had been so fortunate as to elude the guards set to watch the passes of the Alps. Dietrich, Bishop of Verdun, one of the most faithful and irreproachable of Henry's partisans (he had not concurred in his more violent proceedings), had been seized by Adelbert, Count of Calw, plundered, imprisoned, forced to promise a large ransom, and not to revenge this cruel outrage. Rupert of Bamberg, still more odious to the adverse party, was taken by Guelf, Duke of Bavaria. stripped of all his treasures, even to his pontifical robes, and kept in close captivity; neither his own entreaties, nor those of his friends, could obtain his liberation. With naked feet, and in the garb of penitents, the rest appeared before the Pope. To them Gregory tempered his severityby mildness. He would not refuse absolution to those who confessed and lamented their sins; but they must be purified as by fire, lest by too great facility of pardon, the atrocious and violent crime of which they had been guilty to the apostolic see should be regarded as a light sin, or as no sin at all. The bishops were shut up in separate and solitary cells, with but a scanty supply of food till the evening. The penance of the laity was apportioned with regard to their age and strength. After this ordeal of some days, they were called before the Pope, and received absolution, with a mild rebuke, and repeated injunctions to hold no communion with their master till he should be reconciled to the Holy See.

The lenity of the Pope to his adherents may have decided the wavering mind of Henry; it may have been designed to heighten by contrast the haughty and inexorable proceedings towards the King. Hildebrand would be content with the moderate chastisement of the

inferiors, from the King he would exact the most degrading humiliation. Henry first obtained an interview with Matilda of Tuscany. He sent her to the Pope, loaded with prayers and promises. She was accompanied by Adelaide of Susa, the Marquis Azzo, and Hugh, the Abbot of Clugny,° who was supposed to possess great influence over the mind of Gregory. He entreated the Pope not too rashly to credit the jealous and hostile charges of the German princes, but to absolve the King at once from his excommunication. The Pope coldly replied, that it was inconsistent with the ecclesiastical laws to pass judgment, except in the presence of the accusers ; "let him appear on the appointed day at Augsburg, and he shall receive rigid and impartial justice." The ambassadors of Henry urged that the King by no means declined, he humbly submitted to the judgment of the Pope, but in the mean time earnestly desired to be released from the excommunication. The possession of his crown depended on his immediate absolution; he would undergo any penance, and be prepared to answer hereafter before the Pope to any charges advanced against him. The implacable Pope would vield no step of his vantage ground. He might indeed dread the versatility of Henry's character, and his ready assent to the advice of flattering and desperate counsellors. "If he be truly penitent, let him place his crown and all the ensigns of royalty in my hands, and openly confess himself unworthy of the royal name and dignity." This demand seemed too harsh even to the ardent admirers of the Pope; they entreated him to mitigate the rigour of the sentence, "not to break the bruised reed." The Pope gave a vague assent to their representations.<sup>p</sup>

On a dreary winter morning, with the ground deep in snow, the King, the heir of a long line of empe-Henry at Canosa. rors, was permitted to enter within the two outer Jan. 25, 1077. of the three walls which girded the castle of Canosa. He had laid aside every mark of royalty or of distinguished station; he was clad only in the thin white

father of Henry.—Dach Spic. iii. p. 441. the monkish annalists who aspires to <sup>P</sup> It is fortunate that this scene, the most remarkable in the history of the of Hertzfeld.

. Hugh of Clugny had been the god- middle ages, is related by that one of

CHAP. II.

linen dress of the penitent, and there, fasting, he awaited in humble patience the pleasure of the Pope. But the gates did not unclose. A second day he stood, cold, hungry, and mocked by vain hope. And yet a third day dragged on from morning to evening over the unsheltered head of the discrowned King. Every heart was moved except that of the representative of Jesus Christ. Even in the presence of Gregory there were low deep murmurs against his unapostolic pride and inhumanity.<sup>4</sup> The patience of Henry could endure no more; he took refuge in an adjacent chapel of St. Nicolas, to implore, and with tears, once again the intercession of the aged Abbot of Clugny. Matilda was present; her womanly heart was melted; she joined with Henry in his supplications to the Abbot. "Thou alone canst accomplish this," said the Abbot to the Coun-Henry fell on his knees, and in a passion of grief tess. entreated her merciful interference. To female entreaties and influence Gregory at length yielded an ungracious permission for the King to approach his presence. With bare feet, still in the garb of penitence, stood the King, a man of singularly tall and noble person, with a countenance accustomed to flash command and terror upon his adversaries, before the Pope, a grey haired man, bowed with years, of small unimposing stature.

The terms imposed on Henry, who was far too deeply humiliated to dispute anything, had no redeeming touch of gentleness or compassion. He was to appear in the place and at the time which the Pope should name to answer the charges of his subjects before the Pope himself, if it should please him to preside in person at the trial. If he should repel these charges, he was to receive his kingdom back from the hands of the Pope. If found guilty, he was peaceably to resign his kingdom, and pledge himself never to attempt to seek revenge for his deposition. Till that time he was to assume none of the ensigns of royalty, perform no public act, appropriate no part of the royal revenue which was not necessary for the maintenance of himself and of his attendants; all his subjects were to

Nonnulli vero in nobis non aposto-liere severitatis gravitatem, sed quasi words in his public account of the affair. tyrannicæ feritatis crudelitatem esse -Ad Germanos. Regest. iv. 12.

be held released from their oath of allegiance; he was to  $T_{erms of}$  banish for ever from his court Rupert Bishop of submission. Bamberg, and Ulric Count of Cosheim, with his other evil advisers; if he should recover his kingdom, he must rule henceforward according to the counsel of the Pope, and correct whatever was contrary to the ecclesiastical laws. On these conditions the Pope condescended to grant absolution, with the further provision that, in case of any prevarication on the part of the King on any of these articles, the absolution was null and void, and in that case the princes of the empire were released from all their oaths, and might immediately proceed to the election of another king.

The oath of Henry was demanded to these conditions, to his appearance before the tribunal of the Pope, and to the safe conduct of the Pope if he should be pleased to cross the Alps. But the King's oath was not deemed sufficient; who would be his compurgators? The Abbot of Clugny declined, as taking such oath was inconsistent with his monastic vows. At length the Bishops of Vercelli and Zeitz, the Marquis Azzo, and others of the princes present, ventured to swear on the holy reliques to the King's faithful fulfilment of all these hard conditions.

But even yet the unforgiving Hildebrand had not forced the King to drink the dregs of humiliation. He had degraded Henry before men, he would degrade him in the presence of God; he had exalted himself to the summit of earthly power, he would appeal to Heaven to ratify and to sanction this assumption of unapproachable superiority.

After the absolution had been granted in due form, the The Sacrament Pope proceeded to celebrate the awful mystery of at Canosa. the Eucharist. He called the King towards the altar, he lifted in his hands the consecrated host, the body of the Lord, and spoke these words :—"I have been accused by thee and by thy partisans of having usurped the Apostolic See by simoniacal practices,—of having been guilty, both before and after my elevation to the Episcopate, of crimes which would disqualify me for my sacred office. I might justify myself by proof, and by the witness of those who have known me from my youth, whose suffrages have raised me to the Apostolic See. But to remove every shadow of suspicion, I appeal from human testimony to divine. Behold the Lord's body; be this the test of my innocence. May God acquit me by his judgment this day of the crimes with which I am charged; if guilty, strike me dead at once." He then took and ate the consecrated A pause ensued; he stood unscathed in calm wafer. assurance. A sudden burst of admiration thrilled the whole congregation. When silence was restored, he addressed the King, "Do thou, my son, as I have done! The Princes of the German Empire have accused thee of crimes heinous and capital; such as in justice should exclude thee not only from the administration of public affairs, but from the communion of the Church, and all intercourse with the faithful to thy dying day. They eagerly demand a solemn trial. But human decisions are liable to error; falsehood, dressed out in eloquence, enslaves the judgment; truth, without this artificial aid, meets with contempt. As thou hast implored my protection, act according to my counsel. If thou art conscious of thy innocence, and assured that the accusations against thee are false, by this short course free the Church of God from scandal, thyself from long and doubtful trial. Take thou too the body of the Lord, and if God avouches thy innocence, thou stoppest for ever the mouths of thy accusers. I shall become at once the advocate of thy cause, the asserter of thy guiltlessness, thy nobles will be reconciled to thee, thy kingdom restored, the fierce tumult of civil war which destroys thy empire be allayed for ever."<sup>r</sup>

Was this a sudden impulse or a premeditated plan of Gregory? Was it but a blind determination to push his triumph to the utmost; or was it sincere confidence in the justice and certainty of this extraordinary ordeal? Had he fully contemplated the dreadful alternative which he offered to the King-either boldly to deny the truth, to the smallest point, of charges not like those against himself, clear and specific, but vague, undefined, including his

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Waltram either knows nothing of manu ejus accepit.—De Unit, Eccles, ii. this part of the scene or passes it over. Ad comprobandum ecclesiasticæ reconciliationis testimonium, sacram commu-. nionem corporis et sanguinis Domini de niam culpabiliorem eum reddo vobis.

<sup>15.</sup> But he attributes this almost diabolical speech to Gregory, as addressed to Henry's enemies, ne soliciti sitis, quo-

whole life? In that case, did he not discern the incredible wickedness of thus tempting the King, in his stupor and confusion, to reckless perjury? Or should the King, so adjured, prostrate himself at the feet of the Pope, and by acknowledging his guilt, deprive himself at once and for ever of his crown? Or did he suppose that God would indeed interpose, and as tradition reported of Lothair of Lorraine, who had been put to the same test by Hadrian II. and met with a speedy and miserable death, so would the perjured Henry, by a still more striking example, rivet for ever the bonds of ecclesiastical power upon the hearts of kings?

Henry, in his amazement, hesitated, and stood in visible agitation. He then retired to a short distance to consult with his few followers how he should escape this terrible "judgment of God." He then summoned his courage, and declared that he must first obtain the opinion of those princes who had adhered to his cause; that though this trial might be satisfactory to the few present, it would not have any effect on the obstinate incredulity of his absent enemies: he adjured the Pope to reserve the whole question for a General Council, in whose equitable decision he would acquiesce. The Pope hardly consented to this request; but as if conscious that he had himself gone too far, he now condescended to receive the King at a banquet, treated him with courtesy, and gave him much grave advice.

Gregory had sent, in the mean time, Eppo, Bishop of Zeitz, to announce to the Italian nobles the absolution of the King. But the Lombards had come not to see the King, but the Pope humbled. When they heard the history of Henry's debasement, they broke out into furious indignation, glared on the Bishop with fierce and menacing looks, and loaded him with insulting and contumelious language. They openly avowed their contempt for the Pope's excommunication, denied his right to the Papacy, renewed all the opprobrious accusations of adultery and other capital offences against the Pontiff. Of the King they spoke with • contemptuous bitterness; he had dishonoured the royal dignity by his submission to a man, a heretic and loaded with infamy; they had followed him as the avenger of their wrongs, as the asserter of justice and of ecclesiastical law; he had deserted them in the CHAP. II.

cowardly reconciliation. Their angry discontent spread through the camp. There was a general cry that the King should be compelled to abdicate the throne of which he was so unworthy, and that his son Conrad should be instantly proclaimed. With him at their head they would march to Rome, elect another Pope, who should crown the infant Emperor, and annul all the acts of this apostate Pontiff.

Henry sunk at heart, and perhaps now imagining that he had underrated his own power, did not dare to confront the tumult. He sent out some of the nobles around him to assuage the dissatisfaction, to explain the stern necessity to which he had bowed, and to assure them that hereafter he would apply all his thoughts to the assertion of their rights. The tumult was stilled; but many of the more powerful Lombards retired in disgust to their strongholds. The rest received him as he came forth from that fatal Canosa with cold and averted looks; no one approached him, but they stood apart in small knots, discussing, in hardly suppressed murmurs, his weakness and his disgrace. He retired in shame and sorrow to Reggio.

The triumph of sacerdotal Christianity, in the humiliation of the temporal power, was complete, but it was Hildebrand, like other conquerors, premature. must leave the fruits of his victory to later times. He had established in the face of Europe the great principle, the Papal power of judging Kings. Henry himself seemed at first stunned by the suddenness, the force of the blow; Christendom had in like manner been taken by surprise. But the pause of awe and reverence was but brief and transitory; a strong recoil was inevitable; the elements of resistance were powerful, and widely spread. The common hatred of Hildebrand brought together again all who, from lower or from loftier motives, abhorred his tyranny: the Germans, who resented the debasement of the Empire; the Italians, who dreaded the ascendancy of the house of Tuscany; the clergy, who, more or less conscientiously, were averse to the monastic rigour of Hildebrand-those who had felt or who dreaded his censures.

## CHAPTER III.

## CONTINUED STRIFE WITH KING HENRY. BERENGAR OF TOURS. DEATH OF GREGORY.

AROUND the fallen King in Reggio assembled almost all the distinguished prelates and laity who had Henry in Reggio. formed his small court at Oppenheim. Thev were released from their excommunication, and prepared, with greater prudence, perhaps, but with unmitigated hostility, to resume the contest. Licmar Archbishop of Bremen, the Bishops of Zeitz, Osnaburg, Lausanne, and Basle, Ulric of Cosheim, Eberhard of Nellenberg, and Berthold, the proscribed counsellors of the King; above all. Guibert of Ravenna, whose ambition aspired to the seat of Hildebrand, with many other Italian bishops.

The two parties remained for a time watching, it might seem, each other's movements; neither could trust Henry, still wearing the outward show the other. of submission, advanced from Reggio to Ravenna. There he sent a message to the Pope, requesting that the General Council might be held in Mantua rather than in Augsburg. To this Gregory, dreading, perhaps, the passage of the Alps, and uncertain or unwilling to commit himself too far with the German adversaries of the King, now he had withdrawn the excommunication, gave an ambiguous assent. Henry, after an interview with his mother at Piacenza, where he was said to have held secret and nightly conferences with the enemies of Gregory, approached Mantua.<sup>a</sup> But either secret intelligence, or not unnatural suspicion that Henry had laid a deep plot to surprise the person of the Pope in that city, alarmed the partisans of Gregory. Matilda hurried the Pope A.D. 1077. March 1. back, through bye-roads, to the Apennines; and again entrenched him in her impregnable fortress at

<sup>a</sup> According to Berthold, Henry was to have been visited at Pavia by the famous Cencius, who surprised Gregory in Rome. The king refused him the Sub ann. 1077. kiss as being excommunicate ! Cencius

Canosa. Henry, during this time, was making a progress through the cities of Lombardy. Everywhere he encountered the same sullen and contemptuous indignation. There were no deputations of the magistrates-no processions of the people to meet him; the gates were closed; he was left to lodge in the suburbs. Provisions were doled out just sufficient for his maintenance, but altogether unbecoming his royal station; guards were posted to watch his followers, lest they should dare to maraud in the neighbouring villages. Henry beheld all this not without some satisfaction; if it showed aversion and contempt for him, it showed still more profound hatred for the Pope. From Monza he sent to demaid permission for his coronation as King of Italy by certain other bishops, the Arch- End of bishop of Milan and the Bishop of Pavia being February. still under the Papal interdict. Gregory eluded this request, which might have the appearance of a public acknowledgment of Henry's still unquestioned, uncontested title to his crown.

Slowly, as he felt his growing strength, Henry began to throw off the ill-worn mask of submission. He Henry grows inveighed publicly against the harshness—the in power. tyranny of the Pope. He openly reinstated his old counsellors, especially the obnoxious Ulric of Cosheim; he was in more and more open communication with the declared foes of the Pope; still there was no outward breach to justify Hildebrand in renewing the excommunication—in declaring the solemn and hard-wrung absolution null and void; and Henry was now too strong to be safely driven to despair. He was in Italy amid potentates ready to hazard everything in their own cause—not in his: not in Germany with almost the whole Empire in rebellion.

The revolted German Princes had gone too far to retreat. The few who aspired to the throne—the many who dreaded the vengeance of Henry—the Dukes of Swabia, Bavaria, and Carinthia, with some of the Saxon chiefs; Siegfried of Mentz, who was now too deeply committed, the Hildebrandine Bishops of Wurtzburg and Metz—had no course but to advance boldly to the Beginning of deposition of the King. They had met at Ulm, Beginning of Wurtzburg and the unusual cold of the season compelled them to disperse. The snow had prevented the arrival of many. They appointed the decisive Diet on the 13th of March at Forcheim.

For Gregory the position of affairs had become embarrassing to the utmost. By his severity, not Embarrass-ment of the Pope. merely had he not conciliated, he had degraded too deeply for reconciliation-debased, trampled upon the King. Christian forgiveness might seem to be too high a virtue to be expected from any man after such an example of implacability, least of all from a king like Henry. But yet he had released him from the ban of excommunica-Before the appointed day of trial Henry stood tion. absolved; the fact was public and notorious, the conditions hardly known or forgotten. The magician had dissolved his own spell. The strong ground on which the adversaries of Henry stood crumbled beneath them; they had lost the great excuse which justified them in the eyes of men for their revolt, for the deposition of Henry, for the election of a new King. Gregory conducted himself with that subtle policy in which he was as great a master as in bold resolve. He left free course to the fears and passions of the Germans hostile to Henry, yet took no step which would prevent them from disclaiming, in the face of the world, the election of Rudolph, now put openly forward as the intended successor of the deposed Henry. He retired to his safer sanctuary at Rome, where he Sept. 16, 1077. resumed his state. Count Maingold, the brother of the historian Herman the Lame, had been despatched to Gregory with the account of the proceedings at Ulm, and a respectful invitation to the Pope to attend in person at the Diet at Forcheim. The Pope sent a March 1. message to the confederate Princes, advising them to delay (if it could be done without danger, of which he would not take the responsibility) their final decision concerning the throne. At the same time he sent Count Maingold to Henry, to demand a safe conduct for himself across the Alps. This was to be the test of About March 7. Henry's fidelity. At the same time with the embassy of the Pope, Henry had received a summons to Forcheim, and also an insidious admonition from his rival Rudolph, not to enter into Germany until his mother

or the Pope should have prepared the way for his reception.

Henry met subtlety with subtlety. He excused himself from appearing at Forcheim on the appointed day. "He had newly taken upon himself the functions of King of Italy; he was overwhelmed with business. The Italians would be offended at his sudden departure before he had settled their affairs." To Gregory he replied that it was 'beyond his ability to pledge himself for the security of the Pope; he was himself in the power of the Lombards, of whose profound hatred Gregory was aware. These ungovernable men might not respect his safe-conduct, and he might but be betraying the Pope into personal danger. Gregory did not think fit to question the truth or sincerity of these representations. He sent his two legates—the Abbot Bernhard of Marseilles, and Bernhard the Cardinal Deacon—as his representatives to the Diet.

The Diet met at Forcheim; the Papal Legates appeared. They made at first some show of moderation, Diet at Which soon gave way before the resolute and not March 13. unexpected determination of the confederates to proceed at once to the election of a new Sovereign. The Legates mildly suggested the expediency of giving Henry another chance, and of awaiting the arrival of the Pope; but, with convenient modesty, they intimated doubts whether it could be done without danger. With the same unusual deference, they said that the possession of the throne depended not on their counsels, but on the decision of the Princes: it was for the Princes to judge what was best for the public weal:<sup>b</sup> but they expressed their astonishment that the German nation should so long have endured such a Determined, though the Legates of the Pope King. thus dexterously shrunk from responsibility, to have the Pope's name on their side, the confederates declared that before, and independent of Henry's excommunication, Gregory had annulled their oaths of allegiance; themselves would be under the Apostolic censure if they should remain any longer subject to the King.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Si hoc sine periculo fieri posse perpenderent. Bernried. Cæterum provisionem regni non tam in eorum consi-

The election fell upon Rudolph of Swabia; the Papal Election of Rudolph of Swabia. March 16. March 26. The election fell upon Rudolph of Swabia; the Papal Legates interfered to assuage some fierce jealousies which threatened to break out among the rival Princes. Among the terms to which Rudolph swore was—I, to leave the choice of the Bishops free; and II., not to endcavour to make the throne hereditary in his family. He was at once consecrated at Mentz by Archbishop Siegfried and the Archbishop of Magdeburg. The Papal Legates gave the sanction of their presence to the ceremony.

Thus was civil war proclaimed throughout Germany. A writer on the Imperial side describes its guilt Civil War. and miserv. For seventeen years wars and seditions raged throughout the Roman Empire. Bishop rose against Bishop;<sup>c</sup> the clergy against the clergy; the people against the people; father against son, son against father, brother against brother. He deliberately charges Gregory with the guilt of all this unchristian fraternal hatred-of all this unchristian bloodshed.<sup>d</sup> Is posterity to allow itself to be overawed by the grandeur of Gregory's character, his inflexible adherence to what he supposed to be right, his conscientious conviction that he was maintaining the cause of God-and to dismiss this grave contemporary charge from the bar of its judgment? To take refuge in the high predestinarianism that it was the inevitable collision of two great principles—that much eventual good arose out of the maintenance of the high ecclesiastical principle-does not solve the moral difficulty. It is not sufficient to say that the good survived and the evil passed away,-that the clergy maintained a power beneficialgreatly beneficial, on the whole, to civilisation-while the earth drank up the blood that was shed, and the grave closed alike over those who suffered and those who inflicted misery. Was Gregory right in the assertion of the subordination of the temporal to the spiritual power?

• In a battle (Aug. 7, 1078). The Saxon battle-word was St. Peter; but the bishops on St. Peter's side ran away. Quos omnes, quia melius sciebant psalmos cantare eo quod nutriti sub religione essent, quam legiones armatas ad bella disponere, solo visu præliantium in fugam conversi sunt. Magdeburg,

in his flight, was killed by the peasants; Merseburg fled naked: Siegfried of Mentz (he was retaken); Bernard, Archdeacon of Rome, Adelbert of Worms, were brought before Henry.-Bruno, c. 96.

<sup>d</sup> Waltram de Unit. Eccles. apud Freber, p. 251.

Even if right, was civil war, with all its horrors, the legitimate means of maintaining it-legitimate to a Christian Pontiff? Was not Gregory, as the vicegerent of Christ, bound to have that deep abhorrence for human misery (and of the sins as well as the misery of civil war he could not be ignorant), so as to use every means to Did he attempt to allay the storm, or allow his avert it? own pride and passions to embark in it? Did not his subtle policy protract wilfully-knowingly protract for his own ends-the doubtful conflict? Were the liberties of the German people, the beneficent exercise of the power of the clergy-not the power itself-the leading incentives in his thoughts? How far was the supreme Christian law sacrificed, and by him who proclaimed himself Christ's representative on earth?

The inauguration of Rudolph was in blood. No sooner had he been crowned, than a fierce tumult broke Inauguration out, from an accidental cause, between the fol- of Rudolf. lowers of some of his partisans and those of Henry. Though they succeeded in restoring quiet, the Archbishop and the new King left the Imperial city, never to return.<sup>6</sup>

It might seem that the assumption of the throne by a rival monarch called into action all the slumbering forces of Henry's cause. Now rallied the conviction that the royal authority was, no less than that of the Pope, of the ordinance of God. Loyalty, submissive conscientious loyalty, had been the boasted attribute of the primitive Christians. The watchword of the party was that St. Peter himself had connected in indissoluble union, the two unrepealed truths, "Fear God" and "Honour the King." The populace of Mentz had broken out in a sudden access of fidelity to the King. Rudolph and his followers next proceeded to Worms, but Worms again cast out her tyrannous and rebel bishop, and closed her gates. Everywhere a large part of the clergy, even in Swabia, refused to break their oath of fealty. The three Hildebrandine Bishops of Wurtzburg, Metz, and Passau, alone adhered to Rudolph: some, like Otho of Constance, at once declared for Henry; others, like Emmeric of Augsburg, only awaited a favourable time to renounce the Swabian cause.

\* Peracta electione simul et sanguinea illius ordinatione. - Waltram, p. 275

No sooner had the news of the rival Emperor's election reached King Henry in Italy, than he sent to the Pope to demand Rudolph's excommunication as an unauthorised usurper. Gregory had recourse to his usual subterfugethe injustice of condemnation without regular investigation of the cause.

Henry, with no longer delay than was necessary to collect some forces, which rapidly increased as A.D 1077. Henry in he proceeded, left the care of his son Conrad and April and May. the government of Italy to the Bishops of Milan and Piacenza, and crossed the Alps. He was received with ardour by his partisans. Swabia first paid the penalty for the ambition of her prince. From the Necker to the Main all was laid waste. The fierce Bohemian half-pagan allies, who had joined the standard of Henry, treated churches with no more reverence than stables; women were violated on the altars. The war at once took its most ruthless and exterminating character.

The confederates looked in vain to Rome, which at least had not forbidden, which, it could hardly be denied, had fomented, had encouraged, had justified the rebellion.<sup>f</sup> Gregory now assumed the lofty tone of arbiter, and commanded them to lay aside their arms, and await his sublime award. The Saxons addressed him in May 31. strong remonstrances; he had excited them to revolt by his excommunication of Henry; he had absolved them from their oaths, and now he affected to speak with equable impartiality. The heavens, they had thought, would stand still, earth move like the heavens, ere the throne of St. Peter would lose the firmness of Peter.<sup>g</sup> Thrice they wrote, in grief, in remonstrance, in indignation. Thrice must the cock crow to remind St.

<sup>f</sup> At the synod at Rome, March 3, injusta resipiscat et apostolică auctori-1078, Gregory anathematized the Arch-bishops of Ravenna and Milan, the tatis robur obtineat.—Mansi. xx. p. 503. Bishops of Cremona and Treviso, the Car-See, however, forward for further prodinal Hugo of St. Clement. He decreed as to the disturbances of the kingdom of Germany-nuncii a latere apostolicæ omnes religiosos et justitiæ amantes, clericalis et laicalis ordinis viros convocent cum quibus aut finem aut pacem juste componant. aut veritate percognită, cui parti magis justitia faveat, ad ple-num addiscere valeant: quatenus pars

ceedings.

<sup>6</sup> Bruno. They complained that he was apostolici vigoris oblitus ....at nostrates ... a magna spe, quam in apostolică petră posuerant, exciderunt, quia prius cœlum stare, vel terram cre-diderunt cœli modo moveri, quam cathedram Petri amittere constantiam Petri. -c. 107.

Peter of his weakness. At one juncture, indeed, the Legate, who had made common cause with the <sub>Oct. 12</sub>, confederates, ventured to renew the excommuni- <sup>At Goslar</sup>, cation; he was neither avowed nor disclaimed by the Pope, and the interdict, therefore, had no great effect.

The character of Gregory cannot claim the excuse of irresolution. Yet for nearly two years did Hildebrand, while the war raged fiercely, maintain this doubtful policy, holding the language of peace, but claiming the right which could not but be inadmissible, to dictate that peace. Wherever the final Council or Diet of the Empire was to meet and adjudicate on the conflicting titles of the two sovereigns, there he was to be present, to preside in person or by his legates, and pronounce his award. Total submission to the Roman see was the first pre-March 1078.

liminary admitted in the Court of the Poper "Marce, 1078. "If either of these Kings (thus he writes to the German nation), inflated by pride, shall in any way impede our journey to you, and conscious of his unjust cause, decline the judgment of the Holy Ghost, resisting in his disobedience his Holy Mother the Catholic Church, him despise ye as a brood of anti-Christ, a destroyer of the Christian religion, and respect any sentence which our legates may pronounce against him. To those, on the other hand, who shall humbly submit to our judgment, pay all reverence and honour." h

But Henry's submission to any arbitration, even if the scene at Canosa had not taught him mistrust of the Pope's equity, of the Pope's justice, had invalidated his title. That he was the actual, undeposed, undeposable King, his rival a rebel and an usurper, was the strength of his cause. Gregory's words of peace therefore, however lofty, could not be expected even by himself to overawe the civil war, of which his own pretensions were one of the causes. His language, indeed, was appalling enough. In a Policy of second address to the German nation, he anathe-Gregory. matises all who shall impede the assembling a general Diet to judge between the two kings, whether king, archbishop, duke, marquis, or of whatsoever station or dignity. Nor does he confine his denunciations to the remote spiritual state of the transgressor; he imprecates vengeance on his body as on his soul. "In all his acts may he feel (the imprecation, no doubt, was intended as a prediction) the vengeance of Almighty God; in every battle may he find his strength fail; may he never obtain a victory, but, prostrate in  $\frac{March 3}{1078}$ , humble contrition, be abased and confounded, till he is brought to true repentance." Such was the Papal address, sanctioned by a great synod at Rome.<sup>1</sup>

But in the midst of this conflict with the temporal power, it might seem for the life or death of Feb. 11, 1079. Berengar of Tours: Papal, of sacerdotal Christianity, the doctrinal antagonist of that power had risen again, still pertinaciously determined, to know no defeat. Berengar of Tours demands another solemn condemnation. In vain had three Councils-at Paris, at Rome, at Vercelli-issued their decrees; Berengar either treated them with scorn, or with his subtle logic attempted to prove that while they censured they acceded to his doctrines. He had recanted all his enforced recantations, or denied that he had in truth recanted. In vain had one Pope (Leo) committed himself, committed the Papal authority, to the actual censure; in vain his successors, Victor, Nicolas, Alexander, had at least acquiesced in the repudiation of the perilous tenet. In vain had Lanfranc, now Primate of Norman England, and esteemed among the first, if not the first theologian of Christendom, promulgated his refutation. The mere fact that at such a crisis a new council must be held at Rome, that the heresiarch dares again appear to answer for his doctrine, manifests the obstinate vitality, if not the increasing power and expanding influence of Berengar.

But the conduct of Gregory at this council, his treatment of the great heresiarch, is in the strangest contrast with that to his imperial antagonist. Hildebrand, on all questions of Church power so prompt, decisive, instantaneous in his determinations; so impatient of opposition, so merciless to a foe within his power; so pertinacious to crush out the last words of submission where he feels his superiority; so utterly, it should seem conscientiously, remorseless, when the most remote danger can be apprehended or warded off from the vast fabric of the theocracy, from the

<sup>i</sup> Ad Germanos. Regest. v. 15.

universal, all-embracing, as he hoped, eternal ecclesiastical dominion-is now another man. Compare Gregory VII. in the condemnation of Investitures and Gregory in defence of Transubstantiation: Gregory with King Henry at Canosa, and with Berengar at Tours, or at Rome. Hildebrand, it might almost seem for the first time, on this cardinal doctrine, is vacillatory, hesitating, doubtful. He will recur to the Blessed Virgin k to enlighten him, and the Blessed Virgin appears to acquit Berengar of any dangerous heresy.<sup>m</sup> He even bears the clamour of the populace.<sup>n</sup> He lays himself open to the bitter taunts which he must well have known that his enemies would seize every opportunity to heap upon him, to protect Berengar from an unjust or too rigorous sentence. He dismisses the heresiarch, it might seem uncondemned, or even with honour. Berengar, already censured by former Popes, bears with him in triumph recommendatory letters from Gregory VII.º Berengar dies in peace, in full possession of his ecclesiastical dignities.

Was it that from the first the bold logical mind of Berengar at Tours had cast a spell upon Hildebrand? Was it a calm, stern sense of justice, which believed, and dared to assert, that Berengar's opinions had been mis-

\* Ego planè te de Christi sacrificio@corde habere confirmo, sic me adjuvet secundum Scripturas bene sentire non Deus et hæc sacra. There is no word dubito, tamen quia consuetudinis mihi est ad B. Mariam de his quæ me mo-vent, recurrere, ante aliquot dies imposui religioso cuidam amico, jejuniis et orationibus operam dare, atque ita a B. Maria obtinere, ut per eum mihi non taceret. How strange is this! The Pope propitating the Virgin by another's fasts and prayers, and receiving the oracle, not directly, but through him. His religious friend heard from the Virgin-a B. Maria audivit-that Berengar's views were scriptural. This is -Berengar's statement.—Acta Berengarii, Mansi, xix. p. 766.

<sup>m</sup> This vague oath of Berengar was accepted as orthodox. Profiteor panem altaris post consecrationem esse verum corpus Christi, quod natum est de Virgine, quod passum est in cruce, quod sedet ad dexteram Patris; et vinum altaris, postquam consecratum est, esse verum sanguinem qui manavit de latere Christi. Et sicut ore pronuncio, ita me accuracy and copiousness.

of transubstantiation. Luther and the Anglican Church might subscribe this; perhaps even under the ambiguous verum, many other believers. Gregory not only declares that himself, but that Peter Damiani had rejected the views of Lanfranc,-Berengarii Act. Roman. Concil., Mansi xix.

<sup>n</sup> Berengar asserts that he lived a year with the Pope, who supposed that by this creed, and by the assertion of the authority of Damiani, he had restrained or silenced the rabble (turba), but his hopes were vain: the tumult began again, et ita circa quædam per Papæ inconstantiam (is this Hildebrand?) quoad sperabat turba, rei exitus habuit. ° Literæ commendatitiæ Gregorii VII.

datæ Berengario, d'Achery Spicileg. iii. 413. He anathematises those who call Berengar, the son of the Roman Church, a heretic. Gieseler, ii. p. 1, p. 293-4, has quoted the passages with his usual represented by his blind or malignant enemies? Was it that he was caught in the skilful web of Berengar's dialectics? Was his sagacity at fault for once; and was his keen foresight obtuse to the inevitable consequences which the finer instinctive dread of the greater part of the religious world felt to its very heart, that from the doctrine of Transubstantiation, in its hardest, most material form, once defined, once avowed, once established by the decrees of Popes and Councils, there was no retreat without shaking the sacerdotal power to its base-that bolder men would inevitably either advance on Berengar's opinions, or teach undisguised that which Berengar concealed under specious phraseology? The priests' power, as it was afterwards intrepidly stated, of making God; the miracles which became, or had become so common, to prove, not the spiritual, but the grosser material transmutation, fell away at once: and with it how much of sacerdotal authority, sacerdotal wealth, sacerdotal dominion !---some might suppose of true and humble reverence for the mystery of the Eucharist! With the whole religion, now and for some centuries become materialism more or less refined, how perilous spiritualism in its holiest, most august rite! Gregory can hardly have supposed that by mildness, moderation, candour, he could propitiate to silence or to inactivity the busy, vain heresiarch. Be it as it may, Gregory had to bear, and he can hardly but have foreseen that he should have to bear, the reproach that he himself doubted the real presence of the body and blood of the Redeemer in the Sacrament-that he was an infidel.<sup>p</sup>

In the same year with the council which arraigned Berengar, Gregory was reduced, by the increasing October, 1079. successes of Henry, to disavow his legates: the war went on, unheeding his commands, his rebukes, his menaces; even his thunders were drowned in the din of arms; fiercer passions had quelled for a time even religious fears.

P En verus pontifex et verus sacer-dos qui dubitat, si illud quod sumitur in rectius sentiret de corpore Domini, Rodominică mensă, si verum corpus et sanguis Christi. So writes Egilbert, Archbishop of Treves, In Eccard. C. H. Medii zevi, ii. 170. Jejunium indixit

It was not till the unwearied activity, enterprise, courage, and craft of Henry had given him great hopes of final triumph,<sup>4</sup> and the cause of Rudolph, from the divisions which Henry had artfully sowed among his formidable partisans the Saxons seemed desperate, that Gregory abandoned his temporising policy. Up to this time his ambition might still hope that he might be recognised by the two weary and exhausted parties as the irrefragable arbiter, in the Diet of Germany, of their quarrels; and his prerogative of adjudicating the crown might be admitted in the face of Christendom by the consentient Teutonic nation.

But the low state of Rudolph's affairs compelled him now to a more decided course. To surrender Rudolph was to surrender himself. If he allowed Rudolph to be utterly crushed, the conqueror of Germany, the head of Northern Italy, with an army flushed with victory, and inured to contempt of things sacred, might descend, irresistible as that of Charlemagne or Otho, but with far other designs, on Rome; scatter the Tuscans-win, perhaps, the Normans by a share of the plunder-the Normans whom Gregory now held in excommunication, and now in close alliance. A decision in favour of Henry would only increase his strength without in the least slaking his inveterate, treasured, long-provoked vengeance. Hildebrand's old resolution returned. He determined again to wield that weapon which had before served him with such tremendous force: he might almost seem to have reserved the last resource of excommunication for such a perilous crisis.

At Rome, with no solemn trial, on the earnest supplication of Rudolph's ambassadors, notwithstanding A.D. 1080. March 7. the hardy protests from those of Henry, the Henry again Archbishop of Bremen and the Bishop of Bamberg, eated. the Pope proceeded again to this terrific sentence; again he pronounced against King Henry the decree of excommunication—of deposition.

The Council commenced its proceedings with a strong prohibition against lay investiture, against the acceptance of it by the clergy, the grant by the laity. It then went on to the excommunication of Tedaldo claiming to be Archbishop of Milan, against Guibert of Ravenna, and

<sup>9</sup> Bonizo owns Henry to have been magni consilii et mirabiliter sagax.

Roland Bishop of Treviso. The anathema against King Henry was worded with great care and solemnity. It began with prayer to St. Peter and St. Paul. It repeated the usual declaration of Gregory as to the reluctance with which he had entered into public affairs, and the compulsion which had forced him into the Papacy. It recited the former excommunication, the submission of Henry; declared that the Pope had taken no part in the election of Rudolph, but that Rudolph, thus freely elected, had professed unlimited obedience to the Roman See; that Henry likewise had implored his support against Rudolph; that he had consented to hold a council to decide on their conflicting claims, and anathematised all who should impede the meeting of that council. The guilt of impeding the Council, and all the crimes and miseries of the civil war, are charged against Henry alone. "Wherefore, trusting in the justice and mercy of God, and of his blessed Mother, the ever-blessed Virgin Mary, on your authority. (that of St. Peter and St. Paul,) the above-named Henry and all his adherents I excommunicate and bind in the fetters of anathema; on the part of God Almighty, and on yours, I interdict him from the government of all Germany and of Italy. I deprive him of all royal power and dignity. I prohibit every Christian from rendering him obedience as king. I absolve all who have sworn or shall swear allegiance to his sovereignty from their oaths.<sup>r</sup> In every battle may Henry and his partisans be without strength, and gain no victory during his life. And that Rudolph, whom the Germans have elected for their king, may he rule and defend that realm in fidelity to you! On your part, I give and grant to those who shall faithfully adhere to the said Rudolph full absolution of all their sins, and in entire confidence blessing in this life and in the life to As Henry, for his pride, disobedience, and falsecome.

\* Bernried shows the manner in which the papal power of deposing kings was the papal power of deposing kings was interpreted by his adherents. In all his extreme acts of power Gregory was under the direct inspiration of the Holy Ghost. Nemo autem Romanorum Pon-tificum Reges a regno deponere posse time acts of power Gregory as under the direct inspiration of the Holy Ghost. Nemo autem Romanorum Pon-tificum Reges a regno deponere posse time action and the direct is and the time action of the Holy ghost. Nemo autem Romanorum Pon-tificum Reges a regno deponere posse time action and the time action of the time action of the time action of the time time action of the Holy ghost. Nemo autem Romanorum Pon-tificum Reges a regno deponere posse time action action action action action action action action the papal power of deposing kings was time action of the Holy minici corporis et sanguinis carere, si temnere.—Vit. Gregor. vii. c. xevii.

judicabit. Ipse enim vir apostolicus, cui Spiritus Sanctus in aurem decernenda

hood, is justly deposed from his royal dignity, so that royal power and dignity is granted to Rudolph, for his humility, obedience, and truth." The censure did not conclude without the personal sentence upon Henry. It proceeded to the broad, bold assertion of more than the absolute supremacy of the ecclesiastical over the civil power; it declared all possessions, all dignities, all powers, to be at the sole disposition of the Church. "Come, then, ye fathers and most holy prelates, let all the world understand and know, that since ye have power to bind and loose in heaven, ye have power to take away and to grant empires, kingdoms, principalities, duchies, marquisates, counties, and the possessions of all men according to their deserts. Ye have often deprived wicked and unworthy men of patriarchates, primacies, archbishoprics, bishoprics, and bestowed them on religious men. If ye then judge in spiritual affairs, how great must be your power in secular : and if ye are to judge angels, who rule over proud princes, what may ye not do to these their servants. Let kings, then, and all the princes of the world learn what ye are, and how great is your power, and fear to treat with disrespect the mandates of the Church; and do ye on the . aforesaid Henry fulfil your judgment so speedily that he may know that it is through your power, not by chance, that he hath fallen-that he be brought to repentance by his ruin, that his soul may be saved in the day of the Lord."

Not content with this tremendous excommunication, Gregory ventured to assume the prophetic office. He declared publicly, and either believed himself, or wished others to believe, with the authority of divine revelation, that unless Henry made his submission before the Festival of St. Peter and St. Paul, the 29th of June, he would be deposed or dead; and if his vaticination failed, men were to cease to believe in the authority of Gregory.

War was thus declared. Gregory, it is said, sent a crown to Rudolph, with an inscription that it was The Pope the gift of St. Peter.<sup>s</sup> Henry and the Bishops of  $\frac{acknowledges}{Rudolph}$ his party heard not now with cowering fear, with King.

Petra dedit Petro, Petrus diadema Rudolfo.

disordered minds, and distracted counsels, but with the strongest indignation-with the most resolute determination to run all hazards-the anathema of the Pope. It seemed to have lost all its terrors even on the popular mind: no defections took place; no desertions from the court, the council, or the army. All disclaimed at once further allegiance to Gregory. Henry, in a letter to Dieterich Bishop of Verdun, issued his commands that the princes and prelates of the empire should be summoned to Mentz on the 31st of May, to depose the Pope, and to elect a new Head of the Church. At Mentz April 12. nineteen Bishops met, and with one voice determined to renounce Hildebrand as Pope. To this decree it was important to obtain the assent of the Lombard The Bishop of Spires crossed the Alps; the prelates. Archbishops of Milan and Ravenna assembled their suffragans at Brixen, in the Tyrol. There, in a synod of thirty bishops, they confirmed the deposition of the June 25. Gregory de-posed. false monk Hildebrand called Gregory VII.<sup>t</sup> To the charges of licentiousness, bribery, and disturbance of the peace of the empire, they added accusations of heresy and necromancy. "We assembled by the authority of God in this place, having read the letter from the synod of. nineteen bishops held at Mentz against the licentious Hild brand, the preacher of sacrilegious and incendiary doctrines; the defender of perjury and murder; who, as an old disciple of the heretic Berengar, has endangered the Catholic and Apostolic doctrine of the Body and Blood of Christ;<sup>u</sup> the worshipper of divinations and of dreams; the notorious hecromancer; himself possessed with an evil spirit, and therefore guilty of departing from the truth; him we adjudge to be canonically deposed and expelled from his see, and unless, on hearing our judgment,

<sup>t</sup> Quod a sæculo non est auditum, ut ration which Berengar asserted him to tot uno tempore inimicus humani gene- have made, that he had received a spe-ris mente captos contra sanctam Roma- bial message from the Virgin Mary, tesfifying that the doctrine of Berengar was consonant with the Scriptures.— Atta Concil. in caus. Berengar.; Mar-tene et Durand Thesaur. Anecdot. iv. p. 103.

ris mente captos contra sanctam Roma-nam ecclesiam armasset episcopos.--Bonizo, p. 815.

<sup>&</sup>quot; This charge no doubt arose from his acceptance of the ambiguous confession from Berengar, see p. 183; and no doubt much was made of the decla-

he shall descend from his throne, to be condemned for everlasting."

And now Guibert of Ravenna attained the object of his ambition : he was elected Pope by the unanimous Jame 25. Guibert voice of the assembly. But Christendom had Anti-pope submitted too long to the supremacy of Hildebrand to disbelieve or to question his title to the Popedom. This proceeding would appear to the world, not as a solemn decree of the Church, but as a passionate act of revenge, inflaming both the King and the prelates to overstep their powers. It neither shook the faith of his partisans, nor strengthened in their animosity the enemies of Hildebrand. Guibert was probably more dangerous as Archbishop of Ravenna and Chancellor of Italy than as the Anti-pope Clement III.

The horrors of civil war might appear to be drawing to a close in Germany. The two armies met for a Battle of the decisive battle near the Elster. It might seem a Elster. religious no less than a civil war. Henry was accompanied to the battle by the Archbishops of Cologne and Treves and fourteen other prelates. The Saxons advanced to the charge, with the bishops of their party and the clergy chaunting the eighty-second psalm, "God standeth in the congregation of the princes." At the first gleam of

| MPERIALIST PRELATES,  | PAPALISTS.   |
|---|--|
|   | ttral, driven by his fears to be an ardent Hilde-<br>brandine after the excommunication,<br>retwards an Imperialist at the bister.<br>Wezclin, Archbishop of Magdeburg, killed   |
| Licmar ", Bremen.<br>Rupert, Bishop of Bamberg.<br>William ", Utrecht.<br>Fppo ", Zeitz.<br>Otho ", Constance.<br>Burchard ", Bassil.<br>Henry ", Spires.<br>Werner ", Strasburg.<br>Finmeric ", Augsburg.<br>Poppo ", Toul.<br>* Dietrich ", Verdun.                 | 1073.<br>Gebbard, Archbishop of Satzburg.<br>Burchard, Bishop of Halberstadt.<br>Wezel " " Hildesheim.<br>Conrad, Bishop of Wurtzburg.<br>Herman " Metz.<br>Altman " " Passau.<br>Adalbert " " Worms.<br>Wenham " " Merseburg.<br>Hugh, Bishop of Lyons. |
| Italians.<br>Guibert, Archbishop of Ravenna.<br>Tedaldo , Milan.<br>William, Bishop of Pavia<br>Arnuif , Cremona.<br>Alexander , Piacenza.<br>, Spoleto.<br>Grisforna , Fermo.<br>Reland , Teviso.<br>Cumibert , Turin.<br>Siegfried , Bologna.<br>Heribert , Modena. | Italians.<br>Anselm, Bishop of Lucca.<br>Gregory , , , Vercelli.<br>Otto , , , Ostia.<br>Reginald , , , Como   |

success, the army of Henry broke out into the "Te Deum laudamus," and when, after the great reverse in the battle,

their camp at Erfurt was surprised, they were Oct. 13. singing a triumphant Kyrie Eleison. The defeat of Henry was more than counterbalanced by the fall of his rival. Rudolph, notwithstanding that he was the champion of the Pope, the subject of his triumphant vaticipation, was mortally wounded in the battle. Death of Rudolph. Some misgiving as to the justice of his cause embittered his last moments. His hand had been struck off by a sabre: as he gazed on it, he said, "With this hand I ratified my oath of fealty to my sovereign Henry; I have now lost life and kingdom. Bethink ye, ve who have led me on, in obedience to whose counsels I have ascended the throne, whether ye have guided me right."

The death of Rudolph, though it did not restore peace to Germany—though the fatal strife was yet to last many years—paralysed the adversaries of Henry for a time, and gave him leisure to turn his forces against his more irreconcilable enemy.

In the spring of the year 1081 Henry crossed the Alps in far different condition from that in which four Henry in Italy. years before he had stolen, a deserted and brokenspirited suppliant, to the feet of the Pope. Gregory had been shown in the face of the world a false prophet: Heaven had ratified neither his anathema nor his pre-Instead of his defeat and death, Henry came in dictions. the pride of conquest; and it was his adversary who had fallen, as his friends declared, by the manifest judgment of God, in the battle-field by the Elster. There was now no reluctance to follow him in a war which before seemed sacrilegious and impious: no desertion from his ranks-no defection from his councils.<sup>x</sup> All Lombardy was zealous in his cause: on the same day that the battle was fought on the Elster the troops of his partisans had defeated those of the Countess Matilda; the allegiance of her subjects was shaken.

The only protectors to whom Gregory could now look

\* All the Italians, Gregory himself repeatedly says, were for Henry.--Regest. ix. 3.

were the Normans; but even the Normans, on account of some border disputes about territories, which they refused to abandon at the word of the Pope, were under the ban of excommunication. With them, however, he made a hasty treaty, withdrawing the interdict on the first seeming concession, and condescended to leave in abeyance the contested claims to Fermo. But the Normans, instead of marching, as Gregory proposed, with the Pope at their head, against Ravenna,<sup>y</sup> had embarked on a wild enterprise against the Greek empire, and were besieging Durazzo on the other side of the Adriatic.

Still Gregory was as firm in danger and adversity as he had been imperious and disdainful in the height of his power. The very depth of his soul was filled with confidence in the justice of his cause, and the certainty of divine favour. The way to Rome lay open to the army of Henry; the Countess Matilda could not venture on resistance in the field.; she retired for security to her fortresses in the Apennines. By Pentecost the Germans and Lombards might be at the gates of Rome, the Germans infuriated by the hard measure dealt to their master; the Lombards by religious as well as by civil animosity. But the inflexible Gregory refused all .concession; he indignantly rejected the advice, the supplications of his adherents, at least to make a show of submission. Even at the time when the vengeance of Henry was rapidly advancing against his undefended foe, he renewed his most imperious proclamations; he wrote to the leader of his partizans in language even for him unprecedentedly bold and contemptuous. The secular power is no longer admitted, as with the sacerdotal, a coincident appointment of God. It has its origin in human wickedness and diabolic suggestion; in blind ambition and intolerable presumption; kingship is an audacious usurpation on the natural equality of man.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>y</sup> Epist. viii. 7.

<sup>\*</sup> To Herman of Metz. Quis nesciat reges et duces ab iis habuisse principium, qui Deum ignorantes superbiâ, rapinis, perfidiâ, homicidiis, postremo universis pœne sceleribus, mundi principe diabolo scilicet aptante, super pacis scilicet homines, dominari cœcà cupidi-

tate et intolerabili præsumptione affectaverint. Are we reading a journalist of Paris in 1791? Every king, he proceeds, on his deathbed, as a humble and pitiful suppliant implores the assistance of a priest to save him from the eternal dungcon of hell. Can a king baptise? Can a king make the body and blood of

But Rome was under the absolute control of Gregory; . it was not merely faithful, it was firm, united, Gregory besieged in courageous. Cencius had died in exile, and, Rome. though magnificently buried by Guibert of Ravenna, his faction seemed to have died with him. The city must ·have been well provisioned, the fortifications had been strengthened, and more than its outward strength, the old Roman energy and determination appears to have revived in the hearts of its defenders.<sup>a</sup>

For three successive years Henry encamped under the walls of Rome, while the Pope within those impregnable walls, which the Germans did not venture at first even to attempt to storm, held him at defiance, and all this time the Romans, for once, maintained their fidelity. May, 1081. The wealth of Matilda, it is said, assisted in securing their loyalty.

Three years' siege. July 7, 1081. 1083.

Year after year, summer, by its intolerable heats, and by the sickness, which constantly spread among the German troops, relieved the Pope and his city from the presence of his enemies. In the first year the (April 24), (April 24), the siere or block de la de the siege or blockade lasted no longer than Easter. In the third Henry lay encamped against the Leo-

nine city, on the right bank of the Tiber, from Christmas to the beginning of June. All his attempts to storm the city or to make a practicable breach in the walls had been in vain. An accident made him master of this part of Rome. While both parties were in profound repose, two followers of the Archbishop of Milan stole under a part of the walls which had been slightly broken. They climbed up, found the sentinels asleep, killed them, got possession of a tower, and made a signal to the royal army, which advanced rapidly to their support. The Leonine June 9, 1083. city was thus lost; but the Pope threw himself into the castle of St. Angelo, and the whole

Christ by a word (quis eorum potest proprio ore corpus et sanguinem Domini conficere?) What king has ever wrought miracles (we say not as the apostles or the martyrs), but as St. Martin, St. An-tony, or St. Benedict? Could Constantine, Theodosius, Honorius, Charles, or Louis, the most Christian kings?- Ibid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Two senators of Rome, according to Benzo, had been present in the Council at Brixen, and promised to surrender Rome. They termed the Pope's sup-porters "prevaricatores," but they admit that Gregory had *fascinated* the Romans. -Introduct. ad Lib. vi. p. 1044.

of Rome on the left bank of the Tiber still defied the enemy.<sup>b</sup>

The Romans at length grew weary of enduring the miseries of a siege; there seemed no hope of speedy relief from the Normans. The resources of Gregory, which as yet had been amply supplied by Matilda, began to fail. The Eastern Emperor Alexius, attacked in his own dominions by Roger Guiscard, had entered into close alliance with Henry, and supplied him with large sums of money, which were unscrupulously distributed among the wavering Romans.°

At this juncture negotiations were commenced, but with profound mistrust, and undissembled conviction .Negotiations. that. Henry on his side would observe no oaths. July. The Pope had openly asserted his own prerogative of releasing from all oaths. Henry offered to accept the imperial crown from the hand of Hildebrand. By this proposition he recognised the right of Gregory to the papal see, and threw aside his own anti-pope, Guibert of Ravenna. But under this lurked subtle policy. If he accepted these terms, Gregory annulled at once all his former acts, pronounced his own excommunication unjust, and that he who had been declared unworthy to rule as king, was now fit to receive from the hands of the Pope the imperial crown. If he rejected these overtures, which wore the appearance of moderation, on him lay all the blame of the prolonged contest; the charge of inexorably pursuing his own imperious views, even in these desperate times, at any cost of human bloodshed and misery, even at the hazard of endangering the Papacy itself.

Not less sagacious than intrepid and inflexible, Gregory maintained as lofty a tone as if Henry were still at his Firmness of feet at Canosa. He demanded unconditional sub- Gregory. mission: "Let the King lay down his crown, and give satisfaction to the Church." The clergy and the laitybishops, abbots, monks, entreated him to have mercy on the afflicted city. The Romans implored, clamoured, murmured, menaced his unvielding obstinacy. Hildebrand despised alike supplications, murmurs, and menaces.

VOL. III.

93

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Bernold. Chronicon, sub ann,

omnes fere sibi acquisivisset Romancs .----<sup>e</sup> Cumque pecunia et terrore et vi Bonizo.

The Romans, at length, at once assailed by bribes and fears, declared in favour of Henry. They took the management of the treaty into their own hands. The Pope was to summon a general Council for the middle of November; the Emperor to grant safe-conduct to all who might attend it. Rome, in the mean time, was to observe a kind of independent neutrality. But the Roman leaders agreed, at the same time, on a separate, perhaps a secret article, that at the appointed time, either Gregory himself, The Romans or another Pope elected for that purpose, should waver. present Henry with the imperial crown. They gave twenty hostages for the fulfilment of this treaty.

The troops of Henry were suffering from heat and from fevers. He hastily ran up a fort on a small hill called the Palatiolus, left a garrison of one hundred knights, with Ulric of Cosheim, which commanded the Leonine city, and departed to subdue the fortresses of Gregory's faithful ally the Countess Matilda.<sup>d</sup> He wasted Tuscany with fire and sword. The subjects of Matilda, even some Henry in Tuscany. of the strongest episcopal partisans of Hildebrand, began either openly to revolt, or to make separate terms with Henry. Adelheid, the Marchioness of Susa, attempted to negotiate a treaty between the King and the Papalist Countess. The Anti-Pope assailed her with flattering letters. 'But Anselm, Bishop of Lucca, counteracted all the intrigues of the royal party: he raised troops to revenge the burning of Matilda's castles by burning those of the chieftains who had revolted to the King. He bribed as boldly as he fought; and if the womanly fears of Matilda, or her gentler feelings towards her afflicted subjects, had shaken her steadfast mind, she neither dared nor wished to shake off the commanding control of the martial Bishop.

The Council met on the 20th of November; but it was not a full assembly of stately prelates, but a few, and those exclusively of Hildebrand's party. Those who had already committed themselves by acknowledging the Anti-Pope could not obey the summons of Hildebrand, as they could hardly hope on his own ground to overbear him by numbers. They stood aloof; and moreover, the titles of

<sup>d</sup> Compare throughout Benzo apud Mencken.-Lib. vi.

most of these would have been called in question. Henry, on his side, foreseeing the predominance of the Papal party, prevented some of Hildebrand's avowed partisans, Anselm of Lucca, Hugh of Lyons, Reginald of Como, and Otto of Ostia, from approaching Rome. Gregory displayed his highest eloquence in his address to this assembly, which sate for three days in melancholy deliberation. He spake, it is said, with the voice of an angel, not of a man; and the groans and sobs of almost all present acknowledged his still prevailing power over their hearts and minds. Their prudence, however, restrained them from repeat- Nov. 20, ( ing, in this trying hour, the sentence of excommunication. The censure of the Church was only uttered against those who had presumed to prevent the prelates from attending the council, and, as in the case of the Bishop of Ostia, to seize their persons.

But a more seasonable succour arrived : a gift of 30,000 pieces of gold (Eastern plunder) from Robert Succours of Guiscard. The mercenary Romans were again the Normans. faithful subjects of the Pope; and when Henry, again under the walls, demanded the fulfilment of the treaty, they evaded their oaths at once by the most insolent mockery and pitiful casuistry. They had promised that the Pope should give the crown, not that he should crown and anoint the King. They proposed, and the Representative of all Truth sanctioned their proposition, that if penitent, and his penitence implied his resignation of his authority into the hands of the Pope, he should receive the crown, with the Papal benediction. If not, he should still receive the crown -it was to be let down to him upon a rod from the Castle of St. Angelo. Such was the power and holiness of oaths!

Henry renewed the siege with the resolute determination to hear no further terms from his stubborn and treacherous foe. But the city still held out. His garrison had been obliged by sickness to abandon the fort on the Palatiolus and his other works. All was to recommence anew. He made some predatory incursions into Campania, and perhaps to watch any hostile movements of Robert Guiscard, into Apulia. But Germany imperatively required his presence; his interests there were in peril; and in despair of success against Rome, he was actually about to give orders for his retreat. Suddenly an embassy arrived from Henry master the Romans (the gold pieces of Guiscard were of Rome. Christmas. now, no doubt, exhausted, and those of Henry 1084. more lavishly distributed), offering to surrender the city. Hildebrand hastily retired into the Castle of St. Angelo; and from its walls the haughty Pope might behold the excommunicated King and his rival Pope entering in triumph through the Lateran gate. He saw the procession pass, as it were, under his feet, first to an assembly of prelates to elect the Pope. He had to endure the mockery of a summons to this hostile Council, which affected to wait three days for his appearance; and then again on Palm Sunday he saw them pass, to the consecration of Guibert of Ravenna in the Church of St. Peter. Guibert A.D. 1085. March 29. was consecrated by the Bishops of Modena, Bologna, and Arezzo. On Easter Day the King, with his wife Bertha, passed in state to the Vatican, to receive the imperial crown from the hands of Clement III. A few feeble attempts by his adherents to excite tumult, and to maintain some strongholds in the city, were suppressed by the troops of Henry. Gregory was a prisoner - a prisoner who, it might seem, must soon be compelled by despair, by famine, or by treachery, to yield himself up to the unslaked vengeance of the King.

Tidings, however, soon arrived which at once changed Approach of the aspect of affairs. Desiderius, the Abbot of the Normans. Monte Casino, arrived in Rome, and communicated both to the Emperor and to the Pontiff that Robert Guiscard was rapidly advancing at the head of 6,000 knights and 30,000 foot. It was a strange army of the faith: from every quarter men had rushed to his Ganner, some to rescue the Pope, others from love of war. The Saracens had enlisted in great numbers.

The news was as appalling to Henry as welcome to the Pope. His army was not strong enough to cope with this formidable host. He made the Romans swear fidelity to their Cæsar; he took forty hostages; he destroyed part of the fortifications which had resisted his power, the Castle on the Capitoline Hill, and

e Expectatur per triduum delitescendo.-Benzo, Proleg. ad L. vii.

some of the walls of the Leonine city. He then retired towards Civita Castellana.

Three days after he had evacuated the city, appeared the Norman army under the walls. The Romans had reason to dread---they cordially hated (their hatred affected the tone of contempt) these barbarous Northmen. The gates were closed; the walls manned for defence. But on the first day the Normans surprised the gate of St. Laurence: the city, which had for three years defied the besieging army of Henry, was at once in their Normans take power.<sup>f</sup> The first act of the dutiful son of the the city. Church was to release the Pope from his imprisonment in the Castle of St. Angelo. He conducted him with the utmost respect to the Lateran Palace. But Gregory must now witness those horrors which, as long as they afflicted Germany or Northern Italy, he had contemplated unmoved, intent on building up his all-ruling Theocracy. From the feet of the Pope, having just received his blessing, the Normans spread through the city, treating it with all the cruelty of a captured town, pillaging, violating, murdering, wherever they met with opposition. The Romans had been surprised, not subdued. For two days and nights they brooded over their vengeance; on the third Insurrection. day they broke out in general insurrection, rushed armed into the streets, and began a terrible carnage of their conquerors. The Normans were feasting in careless security; but with the discipline of practised soldiers they flew to arms; the whole city was one wild conflict. The Norman horse poured into the streets, but the Romans fought at advantage, from their possession of the houses and their knowledge of the ground. They were gaining the superiority; the Normans saw their peril. remorseless Guiscard gave the word to fire the houses. From every quarter the flames rushed up; houses, palaces, convents, churches, as the night darkened, were seen in awful conflagration. The distracted inhabitants dashed wildly into the streets, no longer endeavouring Ravages of to defend themselves, but to save their families. Normans. They were hewn down by hundreds. The Saracen allies

<sup>&#</sup>x27; Non per triennium ut Henricus, sed sequente die, quam venit, perfidam civitatem cepit.-Bonizo.

of the Pope had been the foremost in the pillage, were now the foremost in the conflagration and the massacre. No house, no monastery, was secure from plunder, murder, rape. Nuns were defiled, matrons forced, the rings cut from their living fingers.<sup>g</sup> Gregory exerted himself, not without success, in saving the principal churches. It is probable, however, that neither Goth nor Vandal, neither Greek nor German, brought such desolation on the city as this capture by the Normans. From this period dates the desertion of the older part of the city, and its gradual extension over the site of the modern city, the Campus Martius.

Guiscard was at length master of the ruins of Rome, but the vengeance of the Pope's deliverer was yet unappeased. Many thousand Romans were sold publicly as slaves; many carried into the remotest parts of Calabria.<sup>h</sup> We have heard no remonstrance from the Bishop, from the Sovereign of Rome, on this hateful alliance with the enemies of the faith, the Saracens. Of this, perhaps, he was ignorant when in the Castle of St. Angelo. No powerful intercession is now made—no threatened excommunication is now menaced—in behalf of his rebellious, his perfidious, yet subdued subjects—most of the sufferers, no doubt, guiltless and defenceless. The ferocious Guiscard is still recognised as his ally, his deliverer, his protector, perhaps his avenger!

Unprotected by his foreign guard, the Pope could not now trust himself in the city, which would, no doubt, and not without justice, attribute its ruin and misery to his obstinacy. In the company of Robert Guiscard, oppressed Gregory with shame and affliction, he retired from the smoking ruins and the desolated streets of the city of St. Peter, first to the monastery of Monte Casino, after-

<sup>8</sup> Itaque gens diversa, de Deo ignara, sceleribus ac homicidiis edocta, adulteriis variisque fornicationibus assuefacta, omnibus criminibus que ferro et igne, talibus agi solet negotiis, sese furialiter immerserat: quin etiam virgines sacratas corrumpentes, miserorimque Romanorum uxores incestantes, ac annulos earum digitis detruncantes, -- Landulph Sen. iv. 3. The hostile writer lays all to Gregory's charge. Cum Roberto exiliens, Salernum profectus est. Ubi per

pauca vivens tempora tanquam malorum pœnam emeritus, interiit.

<sup>b</sup> Bonizo relates and triumphs in this act of vengeance. Dehine apud Lateranense palatium per multos dies degens (the Pope, too, was in the Lateran palace) multa millia Romanorum vendidit ut Judxos; quosdam vero captivos duxit usque Calabriam; et tali pœnâ digni erant multari, qui ad similitudinem Judæorum pastorem suorum tradiderant. wards to the Norman's strong castle of Salerno. From Salerno, unshaken by the horrors which he had witnessed or the perils he had escaped, Hildebrand thundered out again the unmitigated excommunication against Henry, the Anti-Pope Clement, and all their adherents.<sup>i</sup>

To Rome Gregory never returned : death came slowly upon him at Salerno. He spoke even to the end with undoubting confidence on the goodness of his

cause, of his assurance that he was departing to Heaven. He gave a general absolution to mankind; but from this all-embracing act of mercy he excepted his deadly enemies, and those of the Church, Henry so called the King, the usurping Pontiff Guibert, and those who were their counsellors and abettors in their ungodly cause. His last memorable words have something of proud bitterness: "I have loved justice and hated iniquity, and therefore I die in exile." The words might not be intended as an arraignment of Divine Providence, but where was the beauty of resignation? or was it a Pharisaic reproach on the wickedness of mankind, blind and ungrateful to May 25, 1085. his transcendant virtues? "In exile," said a Churchman of congenial feelings, whose priestly pride was not rebuked by that spectacle of mortality, "in exile thou couldst not die! Vicar of Christ and his Apostles, thou hast received the nations for thine inheritance, and the uttermost parts of the earth for thy possession !" "

Gregory is the Cæsar of spiritual conquest; the great and inflexible assertor of the supremacy of the Character of sacerdotal order. The universal religious Auto- Gregory. cracy, the Caliphate, with the difference that the temporal power was accessory to the spiritual, not the spiritual an hereditary appendage to the temporal supremacy, expanded itself upon the austere yet imaginative mind of Gregory as the perfect Idea of the Christian Church. The theory of Augustine's City of God, no doubt, swam before the mind

<sup>i</sup> At quia Normannorum instâbilitas urbe capta, et prædæ data, multa mala perpetraverit, nobilium Romanorum filias stuprando et nocentes pariter inno-centesque pari pænå affligendo, nullum-que modum, uti victoribus mos est, in ra-pinâ, crudelitate, direptione habendo... k Bernried, 109, 110.

of the Pontiff, in which a new Rome was to rise and rule the world by religion. Augustine's theory, indeed, was aristocratic rather than monarchical, or rather the monarchical power remained centered in the Invisible Lord-in Christ himself. To the Pope there could be no Rome without a Cæsar, and the Cæsar of the spiritual monarchy was himself: in him was gathered and concentred all power; that of the collective priesthood and episcopacy; it flowed from him with a kind of Pantheistic emanation, and was reabsorbed in him. But, unhappily, that ideal Pope is as purely imaginary as an ideal King, or an ideal Republic governed by virtue alone. The Pope was to be a man elected by men. If this spiritual monarchy either could confine, or had attempted to confine that universal authority to which it aspired, or that vast authority which it actually obtained over the hopes and fears of men, to purposes purely and exclusively spiritual; if it could have contented itself with enforcing, and by strictly religious means, an uniformity-a wise and liberal uniformity-an uniformity expanding with the expansion of the human intellect, of Christian faith and practice and Christian virtue throughout the whole Christian community; if it had restrained itself in its warfare to the extirpation of evil, to the promotion of social and domestic virtue ; in its supremacy over kings, to the suppression of unchristian vices, tyranny, injustice, inhumanity; over mankind at large, to moral transgressions and infringements on the rights and persons and property of others ; if it had taught invariably by Christian means of persuasion; if it had always kept the ultimate end of all religion in view, the happiness of mankind through Christian holiness and love ; then posterity might wisely regret that this higher than Platonic vision was never realised; that mankind are receding further than ever from the establishment in this form of the Christian commonwealth of nations. But throughout the contest of many centuries the sacerdotal supremacy was constantly raising the suspicion, too well grounded, that power, not the beneficial use of power, was its final object. It was occasionally popular, even democratic, in assisting the liberties of man, as in later times, in its alliance with the Italian republics; but it was too manifestly not from the high and disinterested love of freedom, but from jealousy of any other Lord over the liberties of men but itself. In this respect Gregory was the type, the absolute model and example of the spiritual monarch. Posterity demands whether his imperial views, like those of the older Cæsar, were not grounded on the total prostration of the real liberty of mankind; even in that of the liberty of the subordinate sacerdotal order. It was a magnificent Idea, but how was it reconcileable with the genuine sublimity of Christianity, that an order of men-that one single man-had thrust himself without authority, to an extent men began early to question, between man and God-had arrayed himself, in fact, in secondary divinity. Against his decrees every insurrection of the human mind was treason; every attempt to limit his power impiety. Even if essentially true, this monarchical autocracy was undeniably taught and maintained, and by none more than by Hildebrand, through means utterly at variance with the essence of Christianity, at the sacrifice of all the higher principles, by bloody and desolating wars, by civil wars with all their horrors, by every kind of human misery. Allow the utmost privilege of the age-of a warlike, a ferocious age, in which human life had no sanctity or security-vet this demand of indulgence for the spirit of the times is surely destructive of the claim to be immutable Christianity : the awful incongruity between the Churchman and the Christian, between the Representative of the Prince of Peace and the Prince of Peace himself, is fatal to the whole.

Yet in a lower view, not as a permanent, eternal, immutable law of Christianity, but as one of the temporary phases, through which Christianity, in its self-accommodation to the moral necessities of men, was to pass, the hierarchical, the Papal power of the Middle Ages, by its conservative fidelity as guardian of the most valuable relics of antiquity, of her arts, her laws, her language; by its assertion of the superiority of moral and religious motives over the brute force of man; by the safe guardianship of the great primitive and fundamental truths of religion, which were ever lurking under the exuberant mythology and ceremonial; above all by wonderful and stirring examples of the most profound, however ascetic devotion, of mortification and self-sacrifice and self-discipline, partially, at least, for the good of others; by splendid charities, munificent public works, cultivation of letters, the strong trust infused into the mind of man, that there was some being even on earth whose special duty it was to defend the defenceless, to succour the succourless, to be the refuge of the widow and orphan, to be the guardian of the poor; all these things, with all the poetry of the Middle Ages, in its various forms of legend, of verse, of building, of music, of art, may justify, or rather command mankind to look back upon these fallen idols with reverence, with admiration, and with gratitude. The hierarchy of the Middle Ages counterbalances its vast ambition, rapacity, cruelty, by the most essential benefits to human civilisation. The Papacy itself is not merely an awful, but a wonderful institution. Gregory VII. himself is not contemplated merely with awe, but in some respects, and with great drawbacks, as a benefactor of mankind.

# CHAPTER IV.

#### GREGORY'S SUCCESSORS.

GREGORY VII. had died in exile, overpowered, if unsubdued; a fugitive before the face of his enemies, Election of yet disdaining to yield one point of his lofty Victor III. pretensions. But who would fake his place and maintain with equal vigour and intrepidity the imperilled Papacy? The last of that race of men who had laboured with Hildebrand for the establishment of the Italian, monastic. Hildebrandine Papacy, was Desiderius, the Abbot of Monte Casino: the sharer in his councils, his supporter in all Gregory had already designated, on one his difficulties. occasion, Desiderius as the future Pope; and when his faithful adherents pressed around him, to endeavour to obtain from his dying lips the nomination of his successor, he had in the first instance named Desiderius; in default of his acceptance of the office (which Gregory seems to have anticipated), he added three Prelates, Otto of Ostia, Hugh of Lyons, and Anselm of Lucca. Even in Salerno Desiderius was urged to accept the Pontificate; but he was advanced in years; he was determined not to abandon the holy quiet of Monte Casino. He retired to his monastery, and was followed by the Cardinals and Bishops of the party, still pressing upon him the onerous distinction." His obstinate humility resisted their flattering impor-But he acquiesced in the necessity of taking tunities. measures to elect a legitimate Pope, under the protection of the Countess Matilda. The summer heats prevented any approach to Rome. In the autumn, apprehending that they were about to compel him to assume the office, he exacted a promise from the Roman Cardinals and Bishops, from the Norman Princes, from Jordano of Capua, and

<sup>•</sup> Waltram de Unit. Eccles. gives a list of the German bishops on each side after Gregory's death in 1085. Some bishops, Adelbert of Wurtzburg, gave up

Count Rainulf, that they would neither themselves use any violence to compel him to be Pope, nor permit others to do May 25, 1085. so. Thus passed a year. In the mean time, the May 24, 1086. Anti-Pope, Clement III., ruled in part of Rome; his progress excited increasing apprehension At Easter many Cardinals and Prelates ventured to enter Rome from different quarters; they sent to summon Desiderius, and the Bishops and Cardinals who had taken refuge in Monte Casino, with Gysulf, Prince of Salerno. Desiderius, not suspecting any design upon himself, hastened with his Bishops to Rome. On the eve of Pentecost there was a great assemblage of the clergy, and the diaconate of the Church of Santa Lucia; again the Pontificate was pressed on Desiderius by the unanimous voice; again he refused it, and threatened to return to Monte Casino. A private meeting was held between the leaders of the ecclesiastical party and Cencius, the Consul of Rome (a Cencius now . on the high Papalist side); it was determined to elect the Bishop of Ostia, with the singular provision that Desiderius should pledge himself to receive the new Pope in his impregnable fortress convent of Monte Casino, to assist his cause, and protect him from all his enemies. Desiderius consented at once; and with the abbot's crosier, which he held in his hand, pledged the fealty of his people. Another public assemblage took place, more crowded, more imposing; the suffrages were nearly all united in favour of the Bishop of Ostia; when a Cardinal arose, and urged the objection which had so often before been overruled, that the translation of a Bishop from one see to another was against the Canons. The whole assembly rose, seized-the struggling Desiderius, hurried him into the Church A.D. 1087.

of Saint Lucia, and proclaimed him Pope, under the name of Victor III. Desiderius, to show his unyielding reluctance, though arrayed in the scarlet cope, refused to put on the alb.

The Imperial Præfect, overawed by the Norman forces, which, under Gysulf, Prince of Salerno, had accompanied Desiderius to Rome, and by the powerful Cencius, had not ventured to disturb these proceedings. But the Prince of Salerno seized the opportunity of demanding the consecration of a creature of his own as

the Archbishop of that city: it was sternly refused by Desiderius and his Bishops. The Præfect seized the opportunity of the defection of Gysulf; collected some troops, seized the Capitol, and threatened the safety of the new Pope. Four days after his election Desiderius fled from Rome; he remained three days at Ardea; at Terracina he put off all the Papal insignia, returned to Monte Casino the simple Abbot, as if determined to close his days in peace in his humbler sphere : no remonstrances, no representations of the desolate condition of the Church, could induce him to resume his state; for nearly a whole year the Church remained without an ostensible head; the Anti-Pope Guibert without a rival. Otto, Bishop of Ostia, had quietly submitted to the loss of the tiara, which had so nearly fallen upon his head, and thus paved the way for his own speedy election as Urban II. Hugh of Lyons has left Hugh of a bitter record of his disappointed ambition: he Lyons. was absent from Rome at the time of the election, but acquiesced in the inauguration of Desiderius. He visited Monte Casino; and if there be the shadow of truth in the incredible scheme which, writing to the Countess Matilda, he declares that he heard from the lips of Desiderius, and from other Bishops to whose testimony he refers the Countess, Desiderius must have contemplated a total departure from the policy of Pope Gregory. He openly asserted that he had consented to crown King Henry; more incredible still, he averred that the invasion of the patrimony of St. Peter by Henry was with his cognisance and assent. Pope Victor III. was guilty of other acts of treason against the memory of Gregory: he declared one Bishop elect, though absolved by Gregory, still under excommunication; Atto of Milan, though he had died impenitent, March 21. unabsolved from his excommunication, to be among the blessed; and that himself should desire no higher place in glory than that of Atto.<sup>b</sup> His ordinary conversation was a continued reproval of the acts of Gregory; he had even proposed the election of a German Pope, Herman of Metz. These are either calumnies, utterly groundless and sheerly mendacious, or exaggerations of some peaceful

<sup>b</sup> The two letters of Hugh of Lyons to Matilda in Labbe Concil.-P. 414.

counsels which Desiderius, weary of strife, and under Mid-Lent, the fond hope of restoring peace to the Church, 1087. may have ventured to suggest in his holy solitude.

Early in the spring, not two weeks after his retirement, assembled at Capua many Bishops and Cardinals; among the latter, Otto of Ostia and Hugh of Lyons, Desiderius Pontificate. Cencius the Consul of Rome, Jordano Prince of Capua, and Roger Duke of Apulia, with other Norman princes, as Hugh of Lyons no doubt hoped, to elect a new Pope. But the partisans of Desiderius, at his own secret suggestions (according to the malicious statement of Hugh of Lyons), or rather the whole assembly, urged Desiderius, even with pravers and tears, to resume his Pontificate. After two days' resistance, he yielded at length; and notwithstanding the remonstrances of Otto and the stricter Cardinals, submitted to pay what seemed the price of hearty support from the Norman Princes; he submitted to the consecration of Alfanus, who was accused of aspiring to the see by unlawful means, as Archbishop of Salerno. He returned on Palm Sunday to Monte Casino, where he celebrated Easter. He then advanced, under the escort of the Princes of Capua and Salerno, crossed the Tiber near the city of Ostia, which perhaps its Bishop maintained in

his allegiance; and pitched his tents before the Church of St. Peter, now occupied, or rather garrisoned by the Anti-Pope Guibert. A sudden attack of the Norman soldiery made him master of the Church. On the Sunday after Ascension, in the presence of multitudes of the Normans, chiefly from the Transteverine region, where his party predominated, he was consecrated by the Roman Bishops of Ostia, Porto, Tusculum, and Alba, with many other Cardinals and Prelates. But he ventured on no long stay in the insecure capital; after eight days he retired to Bari, and thence to Monte Casino.

Shortly afterwards the Countess Matilda entered Rome; Countess she sent earnest messages to the Pope; it was Matilda. chiefly to see and to enjoy the converse of the Holy Pontiff, that she had gone to Rome. Victor, though labouring under the infirmities of age and sickness, embarked on the coast, and landed at Ostïa. He was received with the utmost respect by the Countess Matilda. His partisans

were still in possession of St. Peter's; on St. Barnabas' Day he celebrated mass on the high altar. The day closed with a sudden irruption of the forces of Matilda and the Pope into the city itself, which was chiefly in the possession of the Anti-Pope. Victor was master of the whole Transteverine region, of St. Peter's, of the Castle of St. Angelo, and considerable part of Rome, with the cities of Ostia and Porto. But on St. Peter's Eve an Imperial messenger arrived : he summoned the Senators, the Consuls, and the people of Rome, on their allegiance to the crown, to abandon the cause of Victor. The versatile people rose on his side, drove out the troops of Matilda, who still from the heights above maintained possession of the Church of St. Peter. This became the centre of the bloody strife: men warred with the utmost fury as to who should celebrate the Apostle's holyday in his great church.<sup>c</sup> Neither party obtained this triumph; the altar remained the whole day without light, incense, or sacrifice; for the discomfited troops of the Pope were forced to take refuge in the Castle of St. Angelo; those of the Anti-Pope did not yet venture to take possession of the Church. Guibert celebrated high mass in the neighbouring Church of Santa Maria, with the two towers or belfries, from both of which he had just smoked or burned out the garrison. The next day the partisans of Guibert took possession of St. Peter's, washed the altar clean from the pollution of the hostile mass, and then celebrated the holy Eucharist. But their triumph, too, was short; the following day they were again driven out; and Pope Victor ruled in St. Peter's.

Yet Victor dared not remain in Rome; he retired again to his Monte Casino.<sup>4</sup> In August a council was held at Benevento. Pope Victor III. presided in the assembly,

<sup>c</sup> According to the Chronicon Augustense Guibert was absent from Rome when it was thus surprised by his rival Victor. That chronicle gives the darker and Imperialist character of Desiderius and his proceedings. He is accused of buying the Norman aid, and by that purchased aid alone obtained a triumph for the monkish party.—Apud Freher., vol. i.

<sup>a</sup> The monks of Monte Casino boasted of a wonder which took place at the shrine of St. Benedict. Among the pil-

grims who approached the altar was one in ecclesiastical attire. He was asked who he was; he replied, "St. Peter. I am come to celebrate the day of my martyrdom at the altar of my brother Benedict; since I cannot stay at Rome, where my church is descerated by strife and war." The monks of Monte Casino celebrated from thenceforth St. Peter's day with the same solemnity as that of St. Benedict, a comparison which provokes the indignant remonstrance of Cardinal Baronius. LATIN CHRISTIANITY.

and renewed in the strongest terms the excommunication of Guibert the Anti-Pope, who, by the aid of the Imperial arms, not fearing the judgment of the great Eternal Emperor, had filled Rome with every kind of violence, crime, and bloodshed, invaded the pontifical throne, and driven forth the rightful Pope. To this excommunication was subjoined another against Hugh of Lyons and the Abbot of Marseilles. The about had been party to the election of Pope Victor. The archbishop had offered his allegiance, implored and received from him the legation to France. Yet their ambition, disappointed of the Papacy, had driven them into open schism; they had cut themselves off from the Roman Church, and therefore, as self-condemned heretics, were excluded from that communion. The condemnation was renewed of all who should receive the investiture to any ecclesiastical benefice whatever from the hands of the laity. But even before the close of Death of Victor III. the council Victor was seized with a mortal He had hardly time to retire to Monte Casino. malady. to order the affairs of his monastery, to commend Oderisi as his successor to the abbacy of Monte Casino, A D. 1087. Sept. 16, the Bishop of Ostia to that of the Pontificate. He died in three days.

In those times of blind and obstinate mutual hostility no rapid death, common enough, especially in that climate, could take place without suggesting a providential judgment, or something out of the course of nature. In Germany it was rumoured and believed that the Pope, while celebrating mass, in ratification of the excommunicating decrees of the council, was seized with his mortalpains,<sup>e</sup> and that his fortid body was hardly removed from the church. Later writers, with no ground whatever, imputed his death to poison administered in the sacred chalice.<sup>f</sup>

· Chronicon Augustense sub ann.

<sup>1</sup> Dandulus in Chronic. T. xii. Rev. Ital. Martinus Polonus.

208

## CHAPTER V.

#### URBAN II.

THE Pontificate of Urban II. is one of the great epochs in the history of the Papacy and of Latin Christianity. The first Crussde united Christendom in one vast warlike

The first Crusade united Christendom in one vast warlike confederacy; and at the head of that confederacy the Pope, by common consent, took his proper place. The armies were the armies of the faith, and therefore the armies of him who represented the chief apostle of the faith. From the Pope they derived, what they believed their divine commission; they were his martial missionaries to recover, not for any one Christian prince, but for Christianity itself, that territory to which it asserted an indefeasible title. The land in which the Saviour of mankind was born and died, could not but be the domain, the seigniorial possession of the Christian Church.

But the Crusade belongs to the later period of Urban's Pontificate.

On the death of Victor III. the scattered and disorganised monastic or Hildebrandine party was struck almost with despair: yet messengers were sent on all sides to rally their ecclesiastical forces. It was not till above five months had elapsed, that a Council, summoned by a number of bishops, assembled at Monte Casino, and by A.D. 1088. the counsel of Oderisi, the Abbot, the successor March 12. of Desiderius, met at Terracina; for Rome was in the power of the enemy. The number of archbishops, bishops, and abbots was forty. The Bishop of Porto, with the bishop of Tusculum, represented the Roman clergy; the Prefect Benedict appeared, and boasted that he bore the unanimous suffrage of the Roman people. There were ambassadors from some Ultramontane prelates, March 13. and from the Countess Matilda. After a solemn 1088. fast of three days the Bishop of Ostia was elected by acclamation, arrayed in the pontifical robes, and placed on the pontifical throne.

VOL. III.

р

Otto, Bishop of Ostia, was by birth a Frenchman, of Rheims or of some town in the neighbourhood. He had been brought up under the severe monastic discipline of Clugny: to embrace this rule he had surrendered the dignity of a canon at Rheims. His instructor had been the famous Bruno, the founder of the Carthusian Order. There was no more bold or sincere assertor of ecclesiastical power; his hostility towards the Emperor had been embittered by his imprisonment and hard usage during the time that he was in the power of Henry. Urban lost no time in proclaiming himself as the elected Pope to the sovereigns of Christian Europe.<sup>a</sup>

Some sudden and unexplained revolution enabled Urban to hold a council at Rome in the year after his election. It is probable that the reconciliation, through his intervention, between the sons of Robert Guiscard, Roger and Bohemond, may have placed some Norman forces at his command. One hundred and fifteen bishops ventured to assemble around the Pope.<sup>b</sup> The excommunication against the Simonians and the Anti-Pope was renewed in unmitigated rigour: on the Emperor he seems to have preserved a cautious silence. Guibert, shut up by the Romans in one of the strong fortresses of the city, began to enter into negotiations for his peaceful departure. But neither did Urban venture to take up his residence in Rome. He retired to the faithful south: at Amalfi he summoned another council, the decrees of which were marked by the sternly monastic character of the Hildebrandine school.°

Urban had all the resolute firmness of Gregory, but less aggressive, and tempered with the wisdom of the serpent. His subtler policy was more dangerous, and eventually more fatal, to the Imperial cause, than the more bold and violent oppugnancy of Hildebrand. The times needed consummate prudence. Even in the south the Normans were but uncertain allies, and protectors who rarely failed to exact some grant or privilege in return for their protection. Rome was on that party which at the time

rand. A. C. i. 520.

<sup>\*</sup> Urbani Epist. apud Martene et Du- Primacy of Spain .- Florez España Sagrada, vi. 347.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Among Urban's first acts was the elevation of the Archbishop of Toledo, Stenzel). Jaffé, in the Regesta, now won from the Saracens, to the assembles the 115 bishops at Amalfi.

could awe her with the greatest power or win her by the most lavish wealth. The Countess Matilda still faithfully maintained the Papal interests in the north of Italy; she still firmly rejected the claims of the Anti-Pope; and had taken great part in the election, first of Victor III., now of Urban II. But Anselm of Lucca, who had ruled her mind with his religious authority, was now dead; the firmness, even the fidelity, of Matilda might yield to the overpowering strength of the Imperial party. A terrible event showed the ferocity with which the hatred of the conflicting factions raged in those cities. Bonizo, the expelled Bishop of Sutri (who had written with great vehemence in defence of Hildebrand), was received in Parma as bishop by the Papal party; the Imperial faction seized him, threw him into prison, plucked out his eyes, and put him to a horrible death by mutilation.

Though in this model of female perfection the clergy, especially the monastic clergy, might, in ordinary times, have expected and admired the great crowning virtue of the sex, virginity, yet it was for the Pope, with his approbation if not in obedience to his commands, that she yielded to what at first at least seemed feffinine weakness. She consented, at the age of forty-three, to marry a youth of eighteen. Even this sacrifice was to be made for the welfare of the Church.<sup>d</sup> Matilda wedded Guelf the Marriage of younger, the son of the powerful Duke of Ba- Matilda. varia, from the family most equal to cope with the Imperial This alliance not merely might give manly power. strength to her counsels, and a warlike leader to her arms in Italy, but it secured her an alliance in Germany itself, dangerous and menacing to King Henry. The marriage was at first kept secret from the Emperor. No sooner was it announced than Henry found it necessary to march into Italy to crush this powerful confederacy. He A.D. 1090. laid siege to Mantua; after eleven months' resistance he became master of the town by treachery. For two years the war continued, so greatly to the advantage of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> A.D. 1089. Tam pro incontinentia, quam pro Romani pontificis obedientia, videlicet ut tanto virilius sanctæ Ro-manæ ecclesiæ contra schismaticos posset subvenire.—Berthold. Const. in Chro-

the Emperor that the vassals of Matilda began to remonstrate against her obstinate hostility. She was Sept. 1092. compelled to open negotiations for peace at Carpineto, not far from Canosa. The recognition of the Anti-Pope was the stern and inexorable demand of Henry. The pious Matilda assembled the bishops, the abbots, and the holy hermits, many of whom had taken refuge in her strong fortress from the wild soldiery. She declared herself ready to make peace on just terms. The Bishop of Reggio and the other prelates advised submission, and the abandonment of Urban and his hopeless cause.<sup>e</sup> But a hermit named John sprang up, and declared, with all the fire of an inspired prophet, that peace with Henry on such terms would be sin against the Holy Ghost. The

treaty was broken off; the war raged again, but Henry miscarried in an attack on the strong castle of Montorio; his besieging engines were burned; one of his natural sons slain in the trenches. He made an attempt to surprise Canosa; the scene of his humiliation he hoped to make the scene of his revenge. The troops of Matilda not only succeeded in relieving Canosa, but, covered by a thick fog, fell on the rear of Henry's army: the Imperial banner was trailed in the dust, taken, and hung up as a trophy by the victorious Matilda in the church of St. Apollonia at Canosa.

But Urban and Matilda found more useful allies in the bosom of the king's own family. The terrible and revolting tragedy in his own household combined with the unfavourable circumstances in Germany and in Northern Italy to subdue the haughty spirit of Henry. In Germany the elder Guelf, the Duke of Bavaria, thwarted all his measures. Swabia refused allegiance to Frederick of Hohenstauffen, and chose for her prince Berthold, the brother of Gebhard Bishop of Constance, one of Henry's implacable enemies. At a diet in Ulm the States, rejecting Arnold, the bishop named by the Emperor and the Anti-Pope, submitted to Gebhard of Constance as the legate of Pope Urban. They proclaimed a Truce of God until Easter, 1096, for the protection of the estates of the bishops, churches, and monasteries, and of the merchants.

<sup>\*</sup> See authorities in Stenzel, p. 547.

The cities eagerly embraced the boon; it was accepted through almost the whole of Southern Germany from the borders of Hungary to Alsace. These were difficult and embarrassing measures; but it was the revolt of his beloved son Conrad which crushed Henry to the earth.

Conrad was a youth of great beauty, gentle disposition, with profound religious impressions, a weak and Prince dreamy character. His sensitive piety surrendered him to the influence of the more austere clergy, who found means of access to his inmost heart. He was shocked with the horrors, with the sacrilegious evils of war, the desecration of churches, the ruin of monasteries. If such were his feelings, his acts were those of unmeasured and unscrupulous ambition. His piety was soon taught to spurn the vulgar virtues of love and obedience to his father. Henry, perhaps on a somewhat questionable title, had endeavoured to obtain for him the rich inheritance of his mother, Adelheid of Susa. With this view he had carried him to Italy, and left him there to prosecute his claim, but exposed to those fatal influences of the papal clergy. His father's enemies held out a nobler prize-the immediate possession of the kingdom of Italy. For neither did the devout Matilda nor the austere Pope decline this unnatural alliance, though it may be doubtful how far they secretly prompted and encouraged at first this breach of the laws of nature.<sup>f</sup> But it is curious to observe how constantly that proverbial hostility of the heirs of kings to their fathers was sanctioned by those who were bound by their station to assert the loftiest Christian morality and the strictest adherence to the commandments of God. So completely was the churchman's interest to absorb all others, that crimes thus against nature, not only were excused by the ordinary A.D. 1093. passions of men, but by those of the highest pretensions to Christian holiness. What Pope ever, if it promised advantage, refused the alliance of a rebellious son?

The cause which Conrad assigned, or which was assigned

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>f</sup> The honest Muratori observes, "Un grande incanto a i figliuoli d'Adamo è la vista d'una corona."—Ann. d'Italia. Donizo, the poetical panegyrist of Ma-tilda, is prudently silent as to her mar-riage and all its cousequences.

by Conrad's new friends, for his revolt, was too monstrous to obtain credit except with those whose minds were prepared to receive it by long and bitter hatred: it is altogether irreconcileable with the conduct of Henry. It was no plea of deep religious scruple at the disobedience of his father to the Church, or his sacrilegious destruction of holy things and holy places. It was an accusation against his father connected with that foul story of the Empress which ere long obtained such appalling publicity at the council of Piacenza. On Conrad's refusal to commit incest with his mother-in-law, it is even said with the sanction of Henry (the revolting history must be given in plain words), the Emperor had threatened to stigmatise and disinherit him as a bastard, on no other evidence than the want of likeness to himself, and so to insult the memory of his mother, which nevertheless Henry cherished with tender reverence to the close of his life; and even at that time the father was striving by violence to put him in possession of the territory of Susa; and the effect, almost the fatal effect, of his conduct on the king his father, can only be ascribed to profound affection, deeply, cruelly, wantonly wounded. It is true that on the discovery of his treasonable intrigues Henry had placed his son under arrest; but Conrad found means to escape, and was received with open arms by the triumphant Matilda. His new allies kept their faith with the revolted son, under whose banner they might now contend with renewed hope, and whom it was their interest to commit irreparably with his father. Conrad was crowned King of Italy, first at Monza, afterwards at Milan in the Ambrosian Church. Anselm, the archbishop, hitherto on the Imperial side, embraced the stronger party: Milan, Cremona, Lodi, and Piacenza fell off at once from the cause of Henry, and signed a treaty of mutual defence for twenty vears against the Empire.<sup>g</sup>

The revolt of Conrad seemed to crush the aged Emperor to the earth.<sup>h</sup> He had borne all the vicissitudes of his earlier life with unbroken courage; he had risen from his humiliation at Canosa with refreshed energy; he now

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Anselm died Dec. 4, 1093. Stenzel observes, almost feels compa <sup>b</sup> Even the monkish historian, as sion, nimio dolore afflictus.—Bernold. Stenzel observes, almost feels compas-

abandoned himself to despair, threw off the robes and insignia of royalty, and was hardly prevented by his friends from falling on his own sword.

As the affairs of the Empire became more dark, the Pope emerged from his place of refuge in the convent fortress of Monte Casino, or in some of the Norman cities under Norman protection.<sup>i</sup> The temporary success of Henry had emboldened the Roman party of Guibert. He had returned to Rome. But Urban ventured to approach and to celebrate Christmas, 1093, in that city. March 26. He took up his abode in the palace of one of the <sup>1094.</sup> Frangipanis. The Anti-Pope held the Vatican, the castle of St. Angelo, and the Lateran ; the rest of the city rendered its allegiance to Urban. Early in the following year (Guibert had then fled to Henry, and had even expressed his readiness, if peace could be restored on no other terms, to lay down his papal dignity), fifteen

days before Easter, Ferruccio, who occupied the Lateran for the Anti-Pope Guibert, offered to surrender his charge for a large sum of money. But Urban, whose only resources had been the devotional offerings of the churches and convents in Southern Italy, and of those who came from more distant regions to acknowledge his supremacy or to bring their affairs before his tribunal, was too poor to pay the price. Fortunately Godfrey, the wealthy Abbot of Vendôme on the Loire, was at Rome; he had brought with him considerable treasures; besides these he sold his mules and horses, and laid the whole sum at the feet of the Pope. The Christmas of the same year (1094). Urban kept in Tuscany. On the 1st of March he advanced, and at the Council of Piacenza struck Council of the last mortal blow at the fame and popularity <sup>Piacenza</sup>. March 1-7, of Henry, at the Anti-Pope, and the party of the <sup>1095.</sup> married clergy. It was not, however, the expectation of this triumph of the Pope over the Empire, or even the exhibition of the Empress as the accuser of her husband, but rather the universal pre-occupation with the proposed appeal to Christendom on behalf of their eastern brethren, the proclamation of a Crusade for the conquest of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>i</sup> Urban is at different times at Bari, Salerno, Anagni, and other less known Brundusium, Capua, Benevento, Troja, places.—See Jaffé, Regesta.

Holy Land, which swelled the enormous multitudes assembled at the Council of Piacenza. Bishops and abbots erowded from Italy, France, Bavaria, Burgundy, and most parts of Germany. There were 3000 of the clergy, 30,000 of the laity; no church or public building could contain the vast host. They met in the great plain outside of the city: the ambassadors of the Emperor of the East were present to implore the aid of Christendom against the Unbelievers, who were before the gates of Constantinople.

The Pope would have been more than man not to have seized this opportunity of obtaining the sanction of March 7, this vast Christian assembly to his condemnation 1095. of his enemies-of compelling them to witness the humiliation of the Emperor. Before this assembly appeared Adelaide, or Praxedes (as she is also named), the Charges made by the Empress. daughter of a King, the widow of a powerful Prince of Germany, the wife of the Emperor, to accuse her husband of enormities better, it might have seemed, concealed in the sanctuary of the confessional than proclaimed aloud in all their loathsome detail, to infect the ears of Christendom.<sup>k</sup> These charges had already been rehearsed in a Council at Constance, before the Bishop Gebhard, the implacable enemy of Henry. The Empress had been left in prison at Verona; a party of Matilda's soldiers surprised

the guards, and rescued the captive Princess.  $\mathbf{It}$ April, 1094. is almost incredible, that even in a coarse age, with that deadness to delicacy which belongs to monastic life, and to the now almost universal practice of confession, that the clergy should instigate, an ecclesiastical assembly listen without repugnance to, the public depositions, or at least to the attestation of depositions publicly read by a wife against her husband, so loathsome, so unnatural. The Empress accused her husband of abandoning her, or rather of compelling her to submit to promiscuous violation by his court and camp; of urging her to incest with her own son. After

\* Donizo relates, to the praise of Ma-tilda, her share in this transaction. He tians by the loss of their first born. has misplaced the revolt of the son, which he relates after the flight of the empress. That revolt he compares to

Abstulit uxorem sibi primitus, ut modo prolem. Vit. Mathild. ii. xi.

Illius tractat patrem (Matilda) sic Hester ut Aman.

times are left to some one of these wretched alternatives to believe in dissoluteness almost bestial, without any motive but absolute depravity, and with some of the circumstances which form an integral part of the story absolutely contradictory; or in an almost inconceivable depth of malignity in Henry's enemies—malignity too much, indeed, betrayed during the proceedings of the Council; or in the most wicked and shameless unprompted falsehood in the Empress, shameless enough, even if all were true; or (we fear it is but a subterfuge to find a merciful construction) some insanity on her part, which the simple believed, the crafty made use of for their own purposes.

But without waiting, any reply or defence from the Emperor, the Pope and the Assembly admitted the whole charges as undeniable, unexaggerated truth. With an ostentatious leuiency the Empress was excused from all penitential discipline, as having been the unassenting victim of the crimes with which she charged herself. She retired to spend the rest of her days in a monastery. The reception of these charges was almost the total ruin of the Imperial party in Lombardy, which was almost abandoned by Henry himself. Some of his most faithful partisans went over to his son and to the Countess Matilda.

The Council of Piacenza, in all its other decrees, obeyed the dictation of Pope Urban. Canons were passed against the Simoniacs and the married clergy. The Faithful were forbidden to be present at any sacred functions performed by the clergy who had not parted with their wives, branded by the name of concubines. The usual anathemas were uttered with lighted candles against the usurper Guibert, and all who abetted his usurpation. Orders conferred by him, or by Bishops excommunicated by the Pope, were declared null; "the opinion of Berengar on the Sacrament was pronounced a heresy."

Urban, triumphant in Italy, went on to France, to consummate his more perfect victory over the mind April 10. Urban in of Christendom in the Council of Clermont. He France. was met at Cremona by Conrad, King of Italy, who paid him the most humble and obsequious homage.<sup>m</sup> The Pope

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Bernoldi Chronicon, 1095. dens stratoris officio, usus est.--Cod.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>m</sup> Rex Conrhadus II. obviam proce- Mus. Brit. apud Pertz, viii. 474.

promised to maintain him as King of Italy, but exacted his cession of the right of investiture. To complete the alienation of Conrad from his father, and to attach him more closely to the Papal party, a marriage was arranged between him and the youthful daughter of the Norman Roger Count of Sicily. She brought him a rich dowry.

Pope Urban had hardly crossed the Alps, when an Imperial in-terests revive again from his prostration and despisin riages contracted under the auspices and at the instigation of the Pope himself seemed not to secure conjugal happiness. No sooner had the party of Matilda gained this uncontested superiority, than a sudden separation took place between the Countess and ker youthful husband." Guelf declared that he had never asserted a husband's privilege; he had respected either her age or her religious scruples. Matilda, whether from some lingering womanly vanity, or from humility which shrunk from that fame she would have acquired from her connubial continency, had kept the secret which her husband disclosed in his indiscreet anger. But there were other reasons for this mutual estrangement. So long as she needed his valour and military aid to protect her dominions she had treated him with respect and affection; on her triumph she needed him no longer, and began to show coldness and indifference. The young and ambitious Bavarian might bear with patience the loss of some of his conjugal rights, but there were others, no doubt his chief temptations, which were refused, to his infinite disappointment. The vast possessions to which, by his marriage, he had supposed himself the undoubted successor, had already been made over by a solemn donation to the Church. The Duke of Bavaria, the father of the younger Guelf, made a hasty journey into Italy, and endeavoured in vain to work up a reconciliation. In his indignation at his ill-success, he threw himself again into the party of the Emperor, and appealed to Henry to compel the Countess to alter the disposition of her dominions in favour of his son. Henry arose from his retreat in the territory of Padua; he summoned his faithful Veronese, and laid siege to Matilda's strong town Nogarà.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Donizo, the panegyrist of Matilda, marriage: he does not even name maintains a prudent silence as to this Guelf.

CHAP. V.

Matilda rallied her forces to the rescue, and Henry had not strength to maintain the siege. The Guelfs retired to Germany; followed not long after by Henry himself. Matilda, strong in the alliance of Conrad, now connected by marriage with the Norman Roger, and the Papal party, with the King of Italy in the North, the Normans in the South, bade defiance to the enfeebled and disorganised Imperialists, and hoped finally to crush the obstinate Anti-Pope. Yet it was not till two years after that a party of Crusaders, on their way through Rome, reduced the whole city, except the Castle of St. Angelo, to obedience to the Pope. Guibert was at length dispossessed even of the Castle of St. Angelo.<sup>o</sup>

Pope Urban, in the mean time, had passed on to accomplish, in a more congenial land, his great Pope Urban. purpose, the proclamation of the Crusade. He knew that Italy was not the land which would awaken to a burst of religious enthusiasm at the summons of a Pope; one, too, with a contested title. The maritime cities, Pisa, Genoa, Venice might be roused, as they had been by Victor III., to piratical expeditions against the Mohammedans of Africa, where their pious zeal might be rewarded by rich plunder. But the clergy were too much engrossed and distracted by their own factions; the laity too much divided between the Papal and Imperial interests, with the exception of the Normans were by no means so rudely enamoured of war as to embark, on an impulse of generous or pious feeling, on a dangerous and unpromising cause. At Piacenza the cold appeal met with a cold reception; the Council came to no determination; even the Pope, occupied with his own more immediate objects, the degradation of the Emperor, the subjugation of the Anti-Pope and the hostile clergy, displayed none of that fiery energy, that kindling eloquence, which he reserved for a more auspicious occasion.

Urban entered France; he celebrated the feast of the Assumption of the Virgin at Puy, in the Velay; he visited many other cities—Tarrascon,<sup>p</sup> Avignon,<sup>q</sup> Macon on the Saone;<sup>r</sup> retired to his beloved monastery of Clugny, to await the Council summoned for the

<sup>o</sup> He beld it in 1097. <sup>p</sup> Sept. 11. <sup>q</sup> Sept. 12. <sup>r</sup> Oct. 17.

18th of November at Clermont, in Auvergne. There he remained occupied in confirming and enlarging the privileges conferred by his predecessor on this great centre of the monastic religious movement of the age, and in consecrating the high altar of the church. On his entrance into France he was met by a happy omen and manifestation of his increasing power—the acknowledgment of his title to the Papacy by England. This had been accomplished by Anselm the Norman, the learned Primate of the island.

Urban entered his native France, not deigning to consider that it was the realm of a king whom, if of more daring character, he might have tempted to hostility. But over Philip of France the sentence of excommunication was but suspended; and he cowered before the condemnation of the Pope.

Philip I., a sovereign of weak character, and not less weak in authority over his almost coequal nobles, Philip I. of having grown weary of his wife Bertha, the -daughter of the Count of Holland, had endeavoured to divorce her on some frivolous plea of consanguinity not admitted by the clergy. His seduction of Beltrada, the wife of a powerful noble, was an offence against the feudal honour of his great vassals and the duty of a sovereign, as well as against the Church and the religion of Christ. The clergy of France refused to solemnise the unlawful and adulterous marriage. A Norman or a French Bishop<sup>\*</sup> had been tempted by gratitude for actual favours, and by the hope of future advantage, to desecrate the holy ceremony. Hugh of Lyons, the rival of Urban for the Pontificate, had been restored to favour, and reinvested in the legatine authority in France. He summoned a National Council at Autun, which ventured to anticipate that sentence which could not but be approved and ratified by the Pope. Philip had implored delay, his ambassadors had appeared at Piacenza, and the Pope had consented for a time to suspend the sentence; an act not perhaps uninfluenced by his desire of humiliating Hugh of Lyons, who had eluded or disregarded the Pope's summons to the Council at Piacenza. But the case was too glaring to

\* Some authorities assert Odo, Bishop of Bayeux, others the Bishop of Senlis.

escape the censure; the monarch too impotent to demand further delay; in the preliminary business of the Council of Clermont, despatched with haste, hardly noticed, Excommepassed the excommunication of the greatest sove- nicated. reign of Christendom, at least in rank, except the Emperor; the ruler of the country in which the Council sate." So completely were men's minds absorbed by the expectation of that great Event for which they had been so long in preparation, and concerning which they were now wrought to the utmost height of eagerness, the Crusade for the conquest of the Holy Land.

\* Philip cowered under the ecclesiasti- puram induit, neque solennitatem alical censure. He gave up his royal state. quam regio more celebravit.—Orderie. Nunquam diadema portavit, nec pur-Vit. lib. 8.

## CHAPTER VI.

### THE CRUSADES.

THIS vast subject, the Crusades, with all its causes and consequences, demands its place in the History of Latin Christianity, but must submit to be limited to an extent perhaps not quite commensurate to its importance.

The sanctity of the Holy Land, the scene of the Saviour's life and death, untraceable in the first records of the religion, had grown up as the faith became the mistress of the whole inward nature of man, of the imagination as well as the moral sentiment, into almost a part of the general, if undefined, creed. Pilgrimage may be considered as belonging to the universal religion of man. Some sacred spots, connected either with the history of the faith or with some peculiar manifestation of the Deity, have ever concentrated the worshippers within their precincts, or drawn them together at periodical intervals to revive their pious emotions, to partake in the divine influences still supposed to be emanating from the holy ground, or to approach nearer to the present and locally-indwelling godhead. From the lowest Fetichism up to Christianity itself this general and unconquerable propensity has either been sanctioned by the religion or sprung up out of it. Like the other more sublime and purely spiritual truths of the Gospel, the impartial ubiquity of God, the equable omnipresence of the Redeemer and the Holy Spirit throughout the whole universe and in the soul of every true believer, became too vague and unsubstantial, at least for the popular faith. It might seem an inevitable consequence of the Incarnation of the Godhead in human nature, that man should lean, as it were, more strongly on this kindred and comprehensible Saviour than on the same Saviour when retired into his remoter divinity. Everything which approximated the human Saviour to the heart and understanding was cherished with deep reverence. Even in the coldest and most unimaginative

times the traveller to the Holy Land seems to enjoy a privilege enviable to the Christian, who, considering its natural effects on the religious emotions, will not venture to disdain the blameless at least, if not beneficial excite-The objective reality which arises from the actual ment. places where the Saviour was born, lived, rose from the grave, ascended into heaven, works back upon the inward or subjective faith in the heart of the believer. Where the presence, the being of the Redeemer, is more intensely felt, there it'is thought to dwell with greater power.

The Holy Land was very early visited by Christian pilgrims. The supposed discovery of the sacred sepulchre, with all the miraculous legend of the Emperor's vision, the disinterment of the true cross, the magnificent church built over the sepulchre by the devout Helena and her son Constantine, were but the consequences and manifestations of a pre-existent and dominant enthusiasm. This high example immeasurably strengthened and fed the growing passion.

It is remarkable, however, to find among those who yielded in other respects to the more materialising influences The Fathers of the dominant Christianity some who attempted ages. to maintain on this point a lofty spirituality. Gregory of Nyssa, Augustine,<sup>a</sup> even Jerome, remonstrated against the dangerous and unnecessary journey to such remote lands; dangerous to the virtue especially of the female sex, unnecessary to him who might worship God with equal fervour in every region. Others of the Fathers during the fourth century strongly opposed the more sublime tenet of the divine omnipresence to the sanctity of peculiar places; the superiority of a quiet holy life in any part of the world, to the wandering over sea and land, to east or west, to seek more intimate assurance of the divine presence.

Jerome, as is not unusual with him, is vehement on both sides of the question. While he himself was revelling, as it were, in all the luxury of this religious excite-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Compare the celebrated letter of Gregory of Nyssa. Dominus non dixit, vade in Orientem, et quære justitiam; naviga usque ad Occidentem, ut acci-pias indulgentiam.—Augustin. Sermo.

ment, and, by his example, drawing multitudes, especially the noble females of Rome, who followed his steps and would not be divided from the object of their pious friendship, to the Holy Land; at the same time he dissuades his friend Paulinus from the voyage, declares that heaven is equally accessible from Britain as from Palestine,<sup>b</sup> and laments with a kind of selfish querulousness the orowds which from all quarters throng the sacred places. His example was more powerful than his precept.

During the following centuries pilgrimage became the ruling passion of the more devout. The lives of Saints teem with accounts of their pious journeys. Itineraries were drawn up by which pilgrims might direct their way from the banks of the Rhine to Jerusalem. It was a work of pious munificence to build and endow hospitals along the roads for the reception of pilgrims. These pilgrims were taken under the protection of the law; they were exempt from toll, and commended by kings to the hospitality of their subjects. Charlemagne ordered that through his whole realm they were to be supplied at least with lodging, fire, and water.º In some religious houses the statutes provided for their entertainment. In Jerusalem there were public caravansaries for their reception. Gregory the Great sent money to Jerusalem to build a splendid hospital. The pilgrim set forth amid the blessings and prayers of his kindred or community, with the simple accoutrements which announced his design-the staff, the wallet, and the scallop-shell: he returned a privileged, in some sense a sanctified, being.<sup>d</sup> Pilgrimage expiated all sin. The bathing in the Jordan was, as it were, a second baptism, and washed away all the evil of the former life. The shirt which he had worn when he entered the holy city was carefully laid by as his windingsheet, and possessed, it was supposed, the power of transporting him to heaven. Palestine was believed to be a land not merely of holy reminiscences, and hallowed not

<sup>b</sup> De Ilierosolymis et de Britannia tibus per terram, sive cuilibet itineranti. æqualiter patet aula cælestis.— Epist. ad Propter amorem Dei et propter salutem animæ suæ tectum et focum et aquam nemo illi deneget.

<sup>d</sup> Compare Wilken, Geschichte der Kreuzzüge, i. p. 10.

Paul.

Capitul. A.D. 802. Ut in omni regno nostro neque dives, neque pauper, peregrinis hospitia denegare audeat: id est sive peregrinis propter Deum ambulan-

only by those of the Saviour, but by the remains of many saints. Places had already, by the pious invention and belief of the monks, been set apart for every scene in the Gospels or in early Christian history-the stable in Bethlehem, the garden of Gethsemane, the height where the ' Ascension took place; but the whole land was a land of miracle, each spot had its wonders to confirm its From an early period the descent of the authenticity. fire from heaven to kindle the lights around the holy sepulchre had been played off before the wondering worshippers. The privilege of beholding Jerusalem and the sacred places was not the only advantage of the pilgrim. There was the great emporium of reliques; and the pilgrim returned bearing with him a splinter of the true cross, or some other memorial of the Saviour, of the Virgin Mother, the apostles, or some earlier saint. The prodigal demand did not in the least drain the inexhaustible supply. These reliques bore a high price in the West. At a later period commercial speculation in less sacred goods mingled with the devout aspirations after the Holy Land; and the silks, jewels, spices, paper, and other products of the East, were brought home from Palestine by the pious but not unworldly merchants of Venice, Pisa, Marseilles, and even of France and Germany.

Down to the conquest of Jerusalem by Chosroes the Persian the tide of pilgrimage flowed uninter- Pilgrimages rupted to the Holy Land. The victory of Heraclius and the recovery of the true Cross from the hands of the fire-worshippers re-established the peaceful communication; and throughout this whole period the pilgrims had only to encounter the ordinary accidents, privations, and perils of a long journey.

Nor did the capture of Jerusalem by the Mahommedans at first break off this connexion between Christendom and the birth and burial-place of the Redeemer. To the Mahommedans Jerusalem was no indifferent possession; it was sacred, if in a less degree than Mecca. It had been visited by their prophet; once, according to their legend, in a mysterious and supernatural manner. The prophet had wavered between Jerusalem and Mecca as the Kebla of prayer for his disciples. The great religious VOL III.

ancestor of the Jews was also that of the Arabs; the holy men and prophets of Israel were held in honour by the new faith; the Korân admitted the supreme sanctity, though not the divinity, of Jesus. On the surrender of · Jerusalem to the Caliph Omar, Christianity was allowed to perform all its rites though shorn of their pomp and publicity.<sup>e</sup> Their bells might no longer peal over the city; their processions were forbidden; they were to allow without resistance the conversion of Christians to Islamism; to keep themselves distinct by name, dress, and language; to pay tribute, and to acknowledge the sovereign power of the Caliph. They were constrained to behold the mosque of Omar usurp the site of the ancient Temple of Jerusalem. Yet pilgrimage was not as the worship of images to those stern Iconoclasts. It was a part of religion, so common with their own belief, that they were rather disposed to respect than to despise this mark of attachment in the Christians to their own prophet. The pious therefore soon began to flock again in undiminished numbers to Mahommedan as to Christian Jerusalem.

In the plan of his great Christian Empire Charlémagne threw the shadow of his protection over the Christians in the remotest parts of the world. Not merely did he assist the churches in Syria with large alms, he entered into treaties for their protection with the Mahommedan rulers. In his amicable intercourse with Haroun Al-Raschid, the courteous Caliph bestowed on him no gift more precious than the keys of the holy sepulchre. At the great millennial period, the close of the tenth and the commencement of the eleventh century, the strong religious movement, which arose from the expectation of the Lord's coming to judgment, wrought with no less intensity ' on the pilgrimages to the Holy Land than on the other religious services. Men crowded to Jerusalem, as to the scene of the Lord's revelation in glory, to be witnesses of the great assize in the valley of Jehoshaphat. They were eager not merely to visit, but if their death anticipated the last day, to die in the Holy Land.

The wars which followed, the fall of the Caliphate had towards this time made Syria less secure; more than

\* They might not speak Arabic, the holy language .-- Compare vol. ii. page 41.

CHAP. VI.

once it had been the field of battle to contending parties; and in the year 1010 there was a fierce persecu- Increasing tion of the Christians by Hakim, the fanatic Sultan danger of pilgrimages. The Church of the Holy Sepulchre, and of Egypt. other Christian buildings in Jerusalem and the neighbourhood, were razed to the ground. The persecution of the Christians in Palestine led to a furious persecution of the Jews in France. Rumours' spread abroad that the Jews of Orleans had sent intelligence to Sultan Hakim of a meditated invasion of the Holy Land by the Christians; and this had stirred up his slumbering fanaticism. It was an awful omen to the Jews, perhaps had some effect in producing those more terrible calamities which awaited them at the commencement of the actual Crusades. Hakim, however, himself repented or grew weary of the persecution, or perhaps dreaded the vengeance of the maritime powers of Italy, now becoming formidable to all the coasts of the Mediterranean. The pilgrims were permitted to resume their interrupted devotions; they had no great peril to encounter and no degrading indignity to undergo, except the payment of a toll on the entrance to Jerusalem, established soon after this time by the Mahommedan rulers. This might sometimes be a grievous affliction to the poorer pilgrims, but it gave an opportunity for the more wealthy to display their pious munificence by defraving the cost of their admission.

Throughout the earlier half of the century men of all ranks, princes like Robert of Normandy, lordly bishops like those of Germany, headed pilgrimages. Humble monks and even peasants found their way to the Holy Land, and returned to awaken the spirit of religious adventure by the account of their difficulties and perils—the passionate enthusiasm by the wonders of the Holy Land.

Now, however, the splendid, polished, and more tolerant Mahommedanism of the earlier Caliphs had sunk before the savage yet no less warlike Turks. This race of the Mongol stock had embraced all that was enterprising, barbarous, and aggressive, rejecting all that was humane or tending to a higher civilisation in Mahommedanism. They were more fanatic Islamites than the followers of the Prophet, than the Prophet himself. The

Q 2

Seljukians became masters of Jerusalem, and from that time the Christians of Palestine, from tributary subjects became despised slaves; the pilgrims, from respected guests, intruders whose hateful presence polluted the atmosphere of pure Islamism. But neither the tyranny nor the outrages perpetrated by these new lords of Jerusalem arrested the unexhausted passion for pilgrimage, which became to some even a more praiseworthy and noble act of devotion from its perils.<sup>f</sup> The pilgrin might become a martyr. Year after year came back the few survivors of a long train of pilgrims, no longer radiant with pious pride at the accomplishment of their holy purpose, rich in precious reliques, or even the more costly treasures of the East; but stealing home, famished, wounded, mutilated, with lamentable tales of their own sufferings and of those who had died of the ill-usage of the barbarous unbelievers.

At length the afflictions of the Christians found a voice which woke indignant Europe—an apostle who could rouse warlike Latin Christendom to encounter with equal fanaticism this new outburst of the fanaticism of Islam. This was the mission of the hermit Peter.

Latin Christendom was already in some degree prepared for this great confederacy. A league of the whole Earlier schemes Christian world against the Mahommedans had of Crusades. expanded before Gerbert, Silvester II. The Cæsar of the West, his master Otho III., was to add at least Palestine to the great Christian realm.<sup>g</sup> It was among the bold visions which had floated before the imagination of Gregory VIL<sup>h</sup> His strong sagacity, aided no doubt by good intelligence, had discerned the revolution in the spirit of Mahommedanism from the Turkish superiority. Hildebrand's more immediate object, however, was not the recovery of the Holy Land, but the defence of the Greek Empire, which was now threatened by the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>f</sup> Lambert the historian performed a furtive pilgrinage. He was much alarmed. lest his abbot (of Herzfeld), without whose permission he set forth, should die without having forgiven him. He speaks of having incurred extreme peril, and of having returned to his mopastery, quasi ex implis redivivus. We

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>f</sup> Lambert the historian performed a should have been glad to have heard his artive pilgrimage. He was much own perils described by so powerful a armed lest his abbot (of Herzfeld), writer.—Sub ann. 1059.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Gerbert's letter in the name of Jerusalem. In Murat. R. I. S. iii, 400,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>h</sup> Compare Gregory's Regesta, i. 30, i. 49, ii. 31.

advance of the irresistible Seljukians into Asia Minor. The repression of Mahommedanism on all sides, in Italy especially, where it had more than once menaced Rome itself, conspired with the one paramount object of Hildebrand, the subjugation of Christendom to the See of Rome, the unity of the Church under the supremacy of . the Pope, to whom all temporal powers were to own their The Greek Empire was to render its subordination. allegiance to the Pontiff as the price of its protection from the Turks; it was to become an integral and essential part of the spiritual Empire. Gregory had intimated his design of placing himself at the head of this Crusade, which was at once to consolidate and secure from foreign and infidel aggression the ecclesiastical monarchy of the West. But the deliverance of the decrepit, unrespected, and often hostile Empire of the East would have awakened no powerful movement in Latin Christendom: the fall of Constantinople would have startled too late the tardy fears and sympathies of the West. The ambassadors of Alexius Commenus at Piacenza were received with decent respect, but with no passionate impulse. The letters from the East, imploring aid, had no power to hush and suspend the hostilities which distracted the West. If not heard with indifference, they left but superficial and evanescent impressions on the minds even of those who had most reason to dread the progress of the Mahommedan arms.

For the conquest of the Holy Land a zealous Pope might alone in favourable times have raised a great Christian army; he might have enlisted numbers of warlike and adventurous nobles, even sovereigns, in the cause. But humbler and more active instruments were wanting for a popular and general insurrection in favour of the oppressed and afflicted pilgrims, for the restoration of the Holy Land to the dominion of the Cross. All great convulsions of society are from below.

Peter the Hermit is supposed, but only supposed, to have been of gentle birth. He was of ignoble reter the stature, but with a quick and flashing eye; his Hermit, spare, sharp person seemed instinct with the fire which worked within his restless soul. He was a Frank (of Amiens in Picardy), and therefore spoke most familiarly the language of that people, ever ready for adventurous warfare, especially warfare in the cause of religion. Peter had exhausted, without satisfying the cravings of his religious zeal, all the ordinary excitements, the studies, the austerities and mortifications, the fasts and prayers of a devout life. Still yearning for more powerful emotions, . he had retired into the solitude of the strictest and severest cloister. There his undoubting faith beheld in the visions of his disturbed and enthralled imagination revelations from heaven. In those days such a man could not but undertake a pilgrimage to the Holy Land, more especially in times when martyrdom might be his reward. The deeper his feelings at visiting the holy places, the more strong would be his sorrow and indignation at their desecration by their rude and cruel masters. Peter saw with a bleeding heart the sufferings and degradation of his brethren; his blood turned to fire; the martial Frank was not extinct within him. In an interview with Simeon, the persecuted patriarch, he ventured to rebuke his despondency. When Simeon deplored the hopeless weakness of the Byzantine Empire, the natural lords and protectors of the Christians in Syria, Peter fearlessly promised him the succour of Western Christendom. His vow seemed to obtain the ratification of God. Prostrate in the temple he heard, as it were, the voice of our Lord himself, "Rise, Peter, go forth to make known the tribulations of my people; the hour is come for the delivery of my servants, for the recovery of the holy places !"

Peter fully believed in his own mission, and was therefore believed by others. He landed in Italy, he hastened to Rome. The Pope, Urban, was kindled by his fervour, acknowledged him as a Prophet, and gave full sanction to his announcement of the immediate deliverance of Jerusalem.

The Hermit traversed Italy, crossed the Alps, with indefatigable restlessness went from province to province, from city to city. His appearance commanded attention, his austerity respect, his language instantaneous and vehement sympathy. He rode on a mule, with a crucifix in his hand, his head and feet bare; his dress was a long

robe girt with a cord, and a hermit's cloak of the coarsest stuff. He preached in the pulpits, in the roads, in the market-places. His eloquence was that which stirs the heart of the people, for it came from his own, brief, figurative, full of bold apostrophes; it was mingled with his own tears, with his own groans; he beat his breast; the contagion spread throughout his audience. IIis preaching appealed to every passion : to valour and shame, to indignation and pity, to the pride of the warrior, the compassion of the man, the religion of the Christian, to the love of the Brethren, to the hatred of the Unbeliever. aggravated by his insulting tyranny, to reverence for the Redeemer and the Saints, to the desire of explating sin, to the hope of eternal life. Sometimes he found persons who, like himself, had visited the Holy Land; he brought them forth before the people, and made them bear witness to what they had seen or what they had suffered. He appealed to them as having beheld Christian blood poured out wantonly as water, the foulest indignities perpetrated on the sacred places in Jerusalem. He invoked the Holy Angels, the Saints in Heaven, the Mother of God, the Lord himself, to bear witness to his truth. He called on the holy places—on Sion, on Calvary, on the Holy Sepulchre, to lift up their voices and implore their deliverance from sacrilegious profanation : he held up the Crucifix, as if Christ himself were imploring their succour.

His influence was extraordinary, even beyond the immediate object of his mission. Old enemies came to be reconciled; the worldliest to forswear the world; prelates to entreat the hermit's intercession. Gifts showered upon him; he gave them all to the poor, or as dowries for loose women, whom he provided with husbands. His wonders were repeated from mouth to mouth; all ages, both sexes, crowded to touch his garments; the very hairs which dropped from his mule were caught and treasured as reliques.

Western Christendom, particularly France, was thus prepared for the outburst of militant religion. Nothing Council of was wanted but a plan, leaders, and organisation. Clermont. Such was the state of things when Pope Urban presented himself to the Council of Clermont, in Auvergne.

Where all the motives which stir the mind and heart,

the most impulsive passion, and the profoundest policy, conspire together, it is impossible to discover which has the dominant influence in guiding to a certain course of action. Urban, no doubt, with his strong religiousness of character, was not superior to the enthusiasm of his times; to him the Crusade was the cause of God. This is manifest from the earnest simplicity of his memorable speech in the Council. No one not fully possessed by the phrenzy could have communicated the phrenzy. At the same time, no event (to this his discerning mind could not be blind) could be more favourable, or more opportune for the advancement of the great Papal object of ambition, the acknowledged supremacy over Latin Christendom; or for the elevation of Urban himself over the rival Pope and the temporal Sovereigns his enemies. Placing himself at the head of this vast popular movement, he left his rival at an immeasurable distance below him in general reverence. He rose to no less a height over the temporal Sovereigns. The author of the Crusades was too holy a person, too manifest a vicegerent of Christ himself, for men either to question his title or circumscribe his authority. Thus the excommunication of the King of France, like the earthquake during the victory of Hannibal at Thrasymene, passed almost without notice.

Never, perhaps, did a single speech of man work such speech of extraordinary and lasting results as that of Urban II. at the Council of Clermont. Urban, as a native of France, spoke, no doubt, the language of the country;<sup>1</sup> his speech has survived only in the colder and more stately ecclesiastical Latin; and probably has preserved but few of those pathetic and harrowing details of the crucity, the licentiousness, the sacrilege of the Turks, which told most effectively on his shuddering and maddening audience.<sup>\*</sup> He dwelt on the sanctity, on the wonders of the land of promise; the land chosen of God, to whom all the carth belonged as his own inheritance; the land of which the history had been recorded both in the Old and New Testament; of this land the foul Infidels were now

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Certatim currunt Christi purgare sepulchrum Francigenus cunctus populus, de quo fuit ortus Urbanus Pastor. Donizo.

<sup>\*</sup> There are three copies of Urban's one printed from speech, unless they are, as is most pro- in the Concilia.

bable, different speeches delivered on different occasions: one in William of Tyre, one in William of Malmesbury, one printed from a MS. in the Vatican in the Coucilia.

the lords-of the Holy City itself, hallowed by the Life and Death of the Saviour. Whose soul melted not within; whose bowels were not stirred with shame and sorrow? The Holy Temple had become not only a den of thieves, but the dwelling place of Devils. The churches, even that of the Holy Sepulchre itself, had become stalls for cattle, and Christian men were massacred and Christian women ravished within the holy precincts. The Heavenly fire had ceased to descend; the Lord would not visit his defiled sanctuary. While Christians were shedding Christian blood, they were sinfully abandoning this sacred field for their valour, and yielding up their brethren in Christ to the yoke, to the sword of the Unbeliever; they were warring on each other, when they ought to be soldiers of Christ. He assured them that the Saviour himself, the God of armies, would be their leader and their guide in battle. There was no passion which he left unstirred. "The wealth of your enemies shall be yours; ye shall plunder their treasures. Ye serve a commander who will not permit his soldiers to want bread, or a just reward for their services.<sup>m</sup> He offered absolution for all sins (there was no crime-murder, adultery, robbery, arson-which might not be redeemed by this act of obcdience to God); absolution without penance to all who would take up arms in this sacred cause. It was better to fall in battle than not to march to the aid of the Brethren; he promised eternal life to all who should suffer the glorious calamity of death in the Holy Land, or even in the way to it. The Crusader passed at once into Paradise. For himself, he must remain aloof; but, like a second Moses, while they were slaughtering the Amalekites, he would be perpetually engaged in fervent and prevailing prayer for their success.""

The Pontiff could scarcely conclude his speech ; he was interrupted by ill-suppressed murmurs of grief ensate and indignation. At its close, one loud and simultaneous cry broke forth: It is the will of God ! it is the

<sup>m</sup> Facultates etiam inimicorum nos-trorum vestræ erunt; quoniam et illo-rum thesauros exspoliabitis.... Tali of compiling from all three.

" This likewise is from the Vatican

Imperatori militare debetis cui panis de-esse non potest, cui quæ rependat, nulla speech.

will of God! All ranks, all classes, were seized with the contagious frenzy; the assembly declared itself the army of God. Not content with his immediate success, the Pope enjoined on all the Bishops to preach instantly, unremittingly, in every diocese, the imperative duty of taking up arms to redeem the Holy Sepulchre. The epidemic madness spread with a rapidity inconceivable, except from the knowledge how fully the mind and heart of man were prepared to imbibe the infection. France, including both its Frank and Norman population, took the lead; Germany, of colder temperament and distracted by its own civil contentions, the Imperialist faction from hatred of the Pope, moved more tardily and reluctantly; in Italy it was chiefly the adventurous Normans who crowded to the war; in England the Normans were too much occupied in securing their vast possessions, the Anglo-Saxon population too much depressed, to send large numbers of soldiers. All Europe, however, including the Northern nations, except Spain, occupied with her own crusade in her own realm, sent their contingent, either to the wild multitudes who swarmed forth under Walter the Pennyless, or the more regular army under Godfrey of Boulogne. The Crusade was no national war of Italy, France, or Germany against the Egyptian Empire of the Fatimites, or the Seljukian Sultan of Iconium: it was a war of Christendom against Mahommedanism. No government hired the soldiers, unless so far as the feudal chief summoned his vassals to accompany him; nor-provided transports or the artillery and implements of war, or organised a commissariat, or nominated to the chief command. Each was a volunteer, and brought his own horse, arms, accoutrements, provisions. In the first disastrous expeditions, under Peter the Hermit and Walter the Pennyless, the leaders were designated by popular acclamation or by bold and confident self-election. The general deference and respect for his admirable character and qualifications invested Godfrey of Boulogne in the command of the first regular army. It was fortunate, perhaps, that none of the great Sovereigns of Europe joined the first Crusade; the Emperor and the King of France were under excommunication; Conrad, King of Italy, too necessary

Chap. VI.

to the Pope to be spared from Italy; in William Rufus was wanting the great impulse, religious faith. The ill success of the later Crusades, undertaken by Emperors and Kings, their frequent want of ability for supreme command when alone, their j-alousies when allied, show that a league of princes of the second rank, though not without their intrigues and separate interests, was better suited for this kind of expedition.

The results of these wars, rather than the wars themselves, must find their place in the history of performance Christianity. Urban II. lived to hear hardly (mater more than the disasters and miscries of his own work. His faith had the severe trial of receiving the sad intelligence of the total destruction of the myriads who marched into Hungary and perished on the way, by what was unjustly considered the cruelty of the Hungarians and treachery of the Greeks; hardly one of these ever reached the borders of the Holy Land. His depression may have been allayed by the successes of the army under Godfrey of Boulogne: he heard of the capture of Antioch, but died before the tidings of the capture of Jerusalem on the 15th of July, 1099, could reach Rome.

The Crusades — contemplated not with cold and indifferent philosophy, but with that lofty spiritualism of faith ... which cannot consent to limit the ubiquitous God, ' \* \* and Saviour, and Holy Spirit to any place, to any peculiar mountain or city, and to which a war of religion is essentially, irreconcileably oppugnant to the spirit of Christianity-may seem the height of human folly. The Crusades, if we could calculate the incalculable waste of human life from first to last (a waste without achieving any enduring result), and all the human misery which is implied in that loss of life, may seem the most wonderful phrenzy which ever possessed mankind. But from a less ideal point of view-a view of human affairs as they have actually evolved under the laws or guidance of Divine Providence—considerations suggest themselves which mitigate or altogether avert this contemptuous or condemnatory sentence. If Christianity, which was to mould and fuse the barbarous nations into one great European society if Latin Christianity and the political system of the West

were to be one in limits and extent, it was compelled to assume this less spiritual, more materialistic form. Reverence for holy places-that intense passion which first showed itself in pilgrimages, afterwards in the Crusadewas an inseparable part of what has been called mediæval Christianity. Nor was this age less inevitably an age of war-an age in which human life, even if it had not been thrown away on so vast a scale on one object, would hardly have escaped other (probably hardly less extensive) destruction. It would be bold to say how much the Crusades, at such a time, enhanced the mass of human suffering. Those who strewed the plains of Hungary or of Asia Minor with their bones—who for above a century watered the soil of Palestine with their blood-would probably have fallen in great numbers in nearer and more intestine wars; wars waged for a less generous and unselfish end. The Crusades consummated, and the Christian Church solemnly blessed and ratified, the unnatural it might be, but perhaps necessary and inevitable, union between Christianity and the Teutonic military spirit. Yet what but Christian warlike fanaticism could cope with the warlike Mahommedan fanaticism which had now revived by the invasion of the Turks, a race more rude and habitually predatory and conquering than the Arabs of the Prophet, and apparently more incapable of yielding to those genial influences of civilisation which had gradually softened down the Caliphs of Damascus, Bagdad, Cairo, and Cordova, to splendid and peaceful monarchs? Few minds were, perhaps, far-seeing enough to contemplate the Crusades, as they have been viewed by modern history, as a blow struck at the heart of the Mahommedan power; as a politic diversion of the tide of war from the frontiers of the European kingdoms to Asia. Yet neither can this removal of the war to a more remote battle-field, nor the establishment of the principle that all Christian powers were natural allies against Mahommedan powers (though this principle, at a later period, gave way before European animosities and enmities), have been without important influence on the course of human affairs.

To this union of the military spirit of Europe and of Christianity each brought its dowry-the military spirit its unmitigated ferocity, its wild love of adventure, its licentiousness, its contempt for human lif, at times its generosity, and here and there touches in a 'a of that chivalrous respect for females which had belonged to the Teutonic races, and was now mingled up with the religion. Christianity was content to bring it. devotional without any of its humanising influences, its fervent faith, which was assured of its everlasting reward, its strict obedience to all the outward ceremonial of religion, its earnest prayers, its profound humility. But it left out all restraining discipline of the violent and revengeful passions; it checked not the fury of conquest; allaved in no way the miseries of the strife. The knight, before the battle, was as devout as the bishop; the bishop, in the battle, no less ferocious than the knight. No one denied himself the full privilege of massacre or of plund r; it was rather a duty against unbelievers : the females of a conquered town had no better fate with a crusading than with a Mahommedan soldiery.

The Crusades have been called, and justly, the heroie age of Christianity-the heroic age in the ordinary, not the Christian sense, that of the Gospel which would seek her own heroes rather among the martyrs and among the benefactors of mankind. It had all the violence, the rudeness, but also the grandeur, the valour, daring, endurance, self-sacrifice, wonderful achievements, the development of strength, even of craft, which belong to such a period: the wisdom of Godfrey of Boulogne. the gallantry of Tancred of Hauteville, the subflety of Raimond of Toulouse; in later times the rivalry of the more barbarous Richard of England with the more courteous and polished Saladin. But in no point are the Crusades more analogous to the heroic ages of other times than in the elevation of the heroes of the war above th. common herd of the soldiery." In all wars the glory of

. The Crusades ought to have been vernacular and popular not to be m the heroie age of Christianity in poetry; time of the Crusades there was wanting a common language, or indeed any language already formed, and approaching to the life and energy of the Homeric

antiquated in the course of time 14 but their Homer arose too late. At the fore the polite and get the 1 .... the Italian had lost the rul is a at i picturesque simplicity of its Di te form; the reli ious enthu ison hat been subdued to a timorous orth doxy, Greek; at the same time sufficiently which trembled before the liquin up. the few is bought by the misery of the many. The superior armour and weapons, the fighting on horseback, as well as the greater skill in managing the weapons and the horse, no doubt the calmer courage, maintained the nobles as a martial and feudal aristocracy, who obtained all the glory and the advantages of their transient successes. Never, perhaps, were expeditions so utterly, hopelessly disastrous, so wildly prodigal of human life, as the popular Crusade, which set off first under Peter the Hermit. all this the blind enthusiasm of that day took as little notice as in later times did Godfrey's Frank knights in their poetic admiration of his exploits. In the fame of Godfrey's conquest of Jerusalem, in the establishment of that kingdom, no one under the rank of knight acquired honour, power, emolument. But since, in the account of the Crusades, even more than in other parts of the Christian annals, the life, the reality, the character, even the terror and beauty, the poetry of the whole period, consists in the details, it is only in the acts and words of individuals that clearly transpire the workings of the religion of the times. The History of Christianity must leave those annals, as a separate province, and content itself with following out some of the more general results of those extraordinary and characteristic events. I will only relate two incidents: one illustrative of the frightfulness of this Holy War; one of the profound religion which, nevertheless, lay in the hearts of its leaders.

No barbarian, no infidel, no Saracen, ever perpetrated Incidents of such wanton and controlocity and the crusades. as the wearers of the Cross of Christ (who, it is such wantou and cold-blooded atrocities of cruelty said, had fallen on their knees and burst into a pious hymn at the first view of the Holy City), on the capture of that city. Murder was mercy, rape tenderness, simple plunder the mere assertion of the conqueror's right. Children were seized by their legs, some of them plucked from their mothers' breasts and dashed against the walls, or whirled from the battlements. Others were obliged to leap from the

the martial spirit was that of the earlier Beautiful as a work of art, it is still a sader's fanatic love of battle and hatred of the Unbeliever. With all its exqui-site and pathetic passages the 'Jerusa-fem Delivered' is no Crusader's epic.

romantic poems rather than the Cru- work of art. It is suited to the court

walls; some tortured, roasted by slow fires. They ripped up prisoners to see if they had swallowed gold. Of 70,000 Saracens there were not left enough to bury the dead: poor Christians were hired to perform the office. Every one surprised in the Temple was slaughtered, till the reek from the dead bodies drove away the slayers. The Jews were burned alive in their synagogue. Even the day after, all who had taken refuge on the roofs, notwithstanding Tancred's resistance, were hewn to pieces; still later the few Saracens who had escaped, not excepting babes of a year old, were put to death, to avoid the danger from the Egyptian army, and to avenge the insults to the dead. Bishop Adhemar de Puy, the Legate, was seen in his sacerdotal habits partaking in the triumph, and it appears, not arresting the carnage.<sup>p</sup>

Yet when Godfrey was unanimously saluted as sovereign of the conquered realm, to the universal admiration, he refused to be king: he would only be administrator, where the Saviour had been called a servant; he would wear no golden crown where the Redeemer had worn a crown of thorns.<sup>q</sup>

Return we to the effects of the expeditions to the Holy Land.

I. The first and more immediate result of the Crusades was directly the opposite to that which had been Estrangement promised, and no doubt expected, by the advisers of the East. of these expeditions. Though not the primary, the security of the Eastern Christian Empire, and its consequent closer alliance with Latin Christendonk, was at least a secondary object. Latin and Greek Christendom would become, if not one Empire, one indissoluble league: the Greek Church would become part of the kingdom of St. Peter. But instead of the reconciliation of the Byzantine Empire with the West, the Crusade led to a more total estrangement; instead of blending the Churches into one,

<sup>P</sup> Malieres muerone perfoderunt, in-fantes dahae sugentes per plantam pedis e sinu matris aut cunabulis arreptos fractis cervicibus, alios armis trucida-runt.-Albert. Aquens, p. 281. Alii illorum quos levins erat capitibus ob-truncadantur; alii autem sagittati de
<sup>P</sup> Malieres muerone perfoderunt, in-duction diutissime torti et ignibus adusti,-Hist. B. Sacri, p. 179. Compare the later historians of the Crusades, Wilken. Michaud, i. 411; Von Raumer
<sup>Q</sup> All the later authorities.

the hostility became more strong and obstinate.  $\mathbf{T}$ he Emperors of the East found their friends not less dangerous and destructive than their enemies could have been. Vast hordes of disorderly and undisciplined fanatics came swarming across the frontiers, trampling down everything in their way, and spreading desolation through the more peaceful and flourishing provinces. Already the Hungarians had taken up arms against these unwelcome strangers; and a Christian power had been the first to encounter the champions of the Cross. The leaders of the Crusade, the Hermit himself, and a soldier of fortune, Walter, who went by the name of the Pennyless, were altogether without authority, and had taken no steps to organise or to provide food for this immense population which they had set in This army mainly consisted of the poorer classes, motion. whose arms, such as they were, were their only possession. The more enthusiastic, no doubt, vaguely trusted to the protection of Providence; God would not allow the soldiers of his blessed Son to perish with want. The more thoughtful calculated on the hospitality of their Christian brethron. The pilgrims of old had found hospitals and caravansemies established for their reception; they had been fed by the inexhaustible bounty of the devout But it had occurred to none that, however friendly, the inhabitants of Huhgary and the Provinces of the Byzantine Empire, through which they passed, could not, without miracles, feed/ the swelling, and, it seemed, never-ending swarm of strangers. Hunger led to plunder, plunder to hostility, hostility hardened and inflamed to the most bitter mutual antipathy. Europe rung with denunciations of the inhospitality, the barbarity of these more than unbelievers, who were accused of secret intelligence and confederacy with the Mahommedans against the cause of Christ. The subtle policy of Alexius Comnenus, whose craft was in some degree successful in the endeavour to rid his subjects of this intoisrable burthen, was branded as the most malignant treachery. Hence mistrust, hatred, contempt, sprang up between the Greek and Latin Christians, which centuries could hard, have eradicated, even if the had been centuries of friends, intercourse, rather than of agravated wrong and unmingling hostility. The Greeks despised

CHAP. VI.

the Franks, as rude and savage robbers; the Franks disdained the Greeks, as wily and supple slaves.

The conduct of the more regular army, which took another and less destructive course, was restrained by some discipline, and maintained at first some courtesy, yet widened rather than closed this irreparable breach. The Emperor of the East found that his Western allies conquered not for him, but for themselves. Instead of considering Syria and Palestine as parts of the Eastern Empire, they created their own independent principalities, and owned no sovereignty in him who claimed to be the legitimate lord of those territories. There was a singular sort of feudal title made out to Palestine: God was the Sovereign owner; through the Virgin, of royal descent from the house of David, it descended to our Lord. At a later period the contempt of the Franks reached its height in their conquest of Constantinople, and the establishment of a Latin dynasty on the throne of the Eastern Emperors; contempt which was amply repaid by the hatred of the Greeks, who when they recovered the Empire, were only driven by hard necessity to cultivate any friendly alliance with the West.

This implacable temporal hostility did not tend to soften or reconcile the religious difference. The supremacy of the Pope became a sign, a bitter remembrancer of their subjugation. Even at the last hour, after the Council of Florence, the Eastern Church refused to surrender its freedom or to accept the creed of the West.

II. The Pope, the clergy, the monastic institutions, derived a vast accession of power, influence, and Power of the wealth, from the Crusades. Already Urban, by Pope. placing himself at the head of the great movement, had enshrined himself in the general reverence, and to the Pope reverence was power and riches.<sup>r</sup> He had crushed his adversaries in the popular mind of great part of Christendom. He bequeathed this great legacy of pre-eminence to his successors. The Pope was general-in-chief of the armies of the faith. He assumed from the commence-

VOL. III.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>•</sup> Compare Heeren's Essay on the in-fluence of the Crusades, Werke, vol. ii., for the general effects on commerce, and Choiseul d'Aillecourt, who obtained arts, and literature. the second prize from the French Aca-

ment, and maintained to the end of the Crusades, an enformous dispensing authority, to which no one ventured or was disposed to raise any objection; not a dispensing authority only from the penalties of sin in this world or the next, a mitigation of the pains of purgatory, or a remittal of those acts of penance which the Church commuted at her will: the taking the cross absolved, by his authority, from all temporal civil, and social obligation. It substituted a new and permanent principle of obedience for feudal subordination. The Pope became the liege lord of mankind. His power commanded, though unhappily it could not enforce, a truce from all other wars throughout Christendom. The theory was the universal amicable alliance of all Christians against the common foe, the unbeliever: war therefore of Christian against Christian became treason against the sacred cause. The prince who took the cross left his dominions under the protection of the Holy See; but as the more ambitious, rapacious, and irreligious of the neighbouring sovereigns were those who remained behind, this security was extremely precarious. But the noble became really exempt from most feudal claims; he could not be summoned to the banner of his Lord: even the bonds of the villein, the serf, and the slave were broken or enfeebled; they were free, if they could extricate themselves from a power which, in the eye of the Church, as interfering with the discharge of a higher duty, was lawless, to follow the cross.<sup>a</sup> Even the creditor could not arrest the debtor. The Crusader was the soldier of the Church, and this was his first allegiance which released him from all other. The Pope was thus invested in a kind of supremacy altogether new and unprecedented.

But though the acknowledged head and leader in this universal league, no Pope was so rash or so ad-No Pope a Crusader. venturous as to commit himself to the actual perils of an expedition to the Holy Land. Some pon-

\* Men were allowed to commute maleficiis suis, mutilationibus membrobase or even capital punishments for perpetual exile to the Holy Land. James de Vitry complains bitterly of the degra-dation of the honour of the Crusades, perpetuo condemnati exilio, remancrent. ram promissionis sine spe revertendi, perpetuo condemnati exilio, remancrent. Hi autem non penitentià compuniti, &c.-Hist. Orient. i. 82.

242

and other evil consequences of this doctrine. Viri sanguinum et filii mortis in patriå suâ deprehensi in iniquitatibus et

religious sanction.

tiffs professed their intention, some made preparations to place themselves at the head of a crusading army. But from prudence or timidity, from circumstances or from design, Christendom was spared what might have been almost the fatal humiliation of defeat and disaster, the seeming abandonment by God of his vicar upon earth, the desecration, it might be, of his person by the hands of barbarous unbelievers, his captivity in a foreign landfiery trials which might end in glorious martyrdom, but, if not in martyrdom, might it not be in weakness? dare it be supposed in apostacy? No devout mind could contemplate the possibility, under the most awful ordeal ever encountered by flesh and blood, of a renegade Pope; still it might be well that even the remotest peril of such an appalling event should be avoided. He was spared, too, from being an eye witness of the indescribable calamities, the bootless carnage, the sufferings from plague and famine, as well as from the enemy, by which the Crusades were distinguished from almost all other wars; and the more unseemly spectacle of the crimes, the cruelties, the unbridled licentiousness, the strife, and jealousies, and treacheries, which prevailed too often in the Christian camp, and would hardly have been overawed by his presence. The Pope, however, though not personally mingled up in this humiliating it might be, no doubt almost inevitably disenchanting and too frequently debasing intercourse with the wild soldiery, was present by his Legate. Adhemar, Bishop of Puy, was the representative of the Pope in the first Crusade; and so, although the temporal princes assumed the right of election to the kingdom of Jerusalem, yet he was there to assert the right of ecclesiastical interference in the direction of a war waged for religious ends and under

But the hold on the human mind, which directly or indirectly accrued to the Pope in Europe from this right of levying war throughout Christendom against the unbeliever, of summoning, or at least enlisting, all mankind under the banner of the cross, could not but increase in its growth as long as the crusading phrenzy maintained its power. The holy war was a means opened by God of atonement for sins, besides sacerdotal sanctity or devo-

tion to the monastic life; a lower and easier kind of atonement for the vulgar, incapable of that higher religiousness. Who was beyond or above this motive ?t Thus that which was at first a passion became a duty, and once recognised as a duty, it was a test by which the Pope could try the faith or the fidelity of his more contumacious spiritual subjects. To take the cross was the high price which might obtain absolution for the most enormous offence; and therefore, if the Pope so willed, he would be satisfied with nothing less. There were few sovereigns so cautious, or so superior to the dominant superstition, as not, in some period of enthusiasm or disaster, of ambition or affliction, either from the worldly desire of propitiating the favour of the Pope, or under the pangs of wounded conscience, to entangle himself with this irrevocable vow; that vow at least which could only be annulled by the Pope, who was in general little disposed to relax his hold on his self-fettered subject. The inexorable taskmaster, to whom the king or prince had sold himself in the hour of need, either demanded the immediate service, or held the mandate in terror over his head to keep him under subjection. It will appear hereafter how the most dangerous antagonist of the papal power, the Emperor Frederick II., was trammelled in this inextricable bondage, from which he could not release himself even by fulfilling its conditions.

The legatine authority of the Pope expanded to a great extent in consequence of the Crusades.<sup>u</sup> Before authority of this period an ecclesiastic, usually of high rank the Pope. or fame, had been occasionally commissioned by the Pope to preside in local councils, to determine controversies, to investigate causes, to negotiate with sovereigns. As acting in the Pope's person, he assumed or exercised the right of superseding all ordinary jurisdiction, that of the bishops and even of the metropolitans. The Crusades gave an opportunity of sending legates into every country in Latin

instituit, ut ordo equestris et vulgus oberrans, qui vetustæ Paganitatis ex-emplo in mutuas versabatur cædes, novum reperirent salutis promerendæ genus : ut nec funditas electa, ut fieri assolet monastică conversatione, seu religiosă

\* Deus nostro tempore prælia sancta qualibet professione sæculum relinquere cogerentur; sed sub consuetâ licentiâ et habitu ex suo ipsorum officio Dei aliquatenus gratiam consequerentur.— Guido Abbas, p. 1076. <sup>u</sup> Compare Heeren, p. 147; Plauck,

ii. p. 631.

Christendom, in order to preach and to recruit for the Crusades, to urge the laity who did not take up the cross in person to contribute to the expenses of the war, to authorise or to exact the subsidies of the clergy. The public mind became more and more habituated to the presence, as it were, of the Pope by his representative, to the superseding of all authority in his name. The hierarchy, in such a cause, could not venture to resist the encroachment on their jurisdiction; the exactions from the clergy, though still disguised under the semblance.of a voluntary contribution, furnished a dangerous precedent for demands on the revenues of other churches for the use of Rome. Not only the secular clergy but the monasteries were bound to assign part of their revenues for the conquest of the Holy Land; with them, too, the free-will offering became a tax, and the principle was thus established of taxation for foreign purposes and by a superior authority.\* The Pope became, to a certain degree, the absolute supreme lord, as far as the right of assessing burthens, at first for a specific object, at length for his own objects (whatever might appear so to his wisdom must be a worthy object), on the whole ecclesiastical property of Latin Christendom.

But to the clergy and to the monastic institutions the vast increase in their wealth and territorial pos- Wealth of sessions more than compensated for this at first the clergy. light taxation. There may have been few, but doubtless there were some of all ranks up to princedoms, who in their reckless enthusiasm stripped themselves of all their goods, abandoned their lands and possessions, and reserved nothing but their sword, their horse, and a triffing sum for their maintenance, determined to seek either new possessions or a glorious and saintly grave in the Holy Land. If they had no heirs it was a triffing sacrifice; if they had, it was a more praiseworthy and truly religious sacrifice to make over their estates to the Church; this consum-

\* The bishops in partibus Infidelium had their origin in the Crusades; as the Crusaders conquered, they founded or re-established sees. When their conquests fell back to the Mohammedans, great importance to the Papal power. the bishops were obliged to fly: many

took refuge in Rome. These being already invested in episcopal power, they were often employed as vicars-general in different countries, a new office of mated the merit of him who had sunk every duty and every tie in the character of champion of the cross. But all were suddenly called upon for a large expenditure, to meet which they had made no provision. The private adventurer had to purchase his arms, his Milan or Damascus steel, his means of transport and provision; the nobles and the princes, in proportion to their rank and territory, to raise, arm, and maintain their vassals. Multitudes were thus compelled to pledge or to alienate their property. The Jews were always at hand to receive in pawn or to purchase their personal possessions. But the Jews in most parts of Europe had no concern in the cultivation of the soil, in some could not be landed proprietors. Here and there prudent nobles, or even kings, might watch this favourable opening, when estates were thrown so prodigally and abundantly on the market. So William Rufus bought his elder brother's dukedom of Normandy.

But there was one wealthy body alone which was not deeply embarked in these costly undertakings-the Church. The bishops who took up the cross might possibly burthen, they could not alienate, their estates. On the other hand, the clergy and the monasteries were everywhere on the spot to avail themselves of the embarrassments and difficulties of their neighbours. It was their bounden duty to increase to the utmost that which was called the property of God; rapacity had long been a virtue, it was thought to have lost all its selfishness when exercised in behalf of the Church. Godfrey of Boulogne alienated part of his estates to the Bishop of Verdun; he pledged another part to the Bishop of Liege. For at least two centuries this traffic went silently on, the Church always receiving, rarely alienating; and this added to the ordinary offerings of devotion, the bequests of deathbed remorse, the exactions for hard-wrung absolution, the prodigal bribes of superstitious terror, the alms of pure and self-denying charity.<sup>y</sup> Whoever during the whole period of the Crusades sought to whom he might entrust his lands as guardian, or in perpetuity if he should find his

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> On sale or alienation of lands, see Robertson, Introduction to Charles V.; Choiseul d'Aillecourt, note 80.

grave or richer possessions in the Holy Land, turned to the Church, by whose prayers he might win success, by whose masses the sin which clung to the soul even of the soldier of the cross might be purged away. If he returned he returned often a disappointed and melancholy man, took refuge from his despondent religious feelings in the cloister, and made over his remaining rights to his brothren. If he returned no more, the Church was in possession. The churchman who went to the Holy Land did not hold in himself the perpetual succession to the lands of his see or of his monastery; it was in the Church or in the fraternity.<sup>z</sup> Thus in every way the all-absorbing Church was still gathering in wealth, encircling new lands within her hallowed pale, the one steady merchant who in this vast traffic and sale of personal and of landed property never made a losing venture, but went on accumulating and still accumulating, and for the most part withdrawing the largest portion of the land in every kingdom into a separate estate, which claimed exemption from all burthens of the realm, until the realm was compelled into measures, violent often and iniquitous in their mode, but still inevitable. The Church which had thus peaceably despoiled the world was in her turn unscrupulously despoiled.

<sup>1</sup>III. The Crusades established in the Christian mind the justice and the piety of religious wars. The Holiness of history of Christianity for five centuries is a per-religious wars. petual Crusade; in this spirit and on these principles every war against unbelievers, either in the general doctrines of Christianity, or in the dominant forms, was declared, waged, maintained. The cross was almost invariably the banner, the outward symbol, the object was the protection or the enlargement of the boundaries of the Church. The first Crusades might be in some degree vindicated as defensive. In the long and implacable contest the Mahommedan had no doubt been the aggressor;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup> Heeren, Werke, p. 149. Rappelonsnous l'encan général des fiefs et de tous les biens des Croisés. Au milieu de tant de vendeurs empressés, il se présentait peu d'acquéreurs, autres que les Eglises et les Communautés religieuses,

qui n'abandonnaient pas leur patrie, et qui pouvoient placer des sommes considérables. They gained the direct domain of many fiefs, by failure of heirs to those who perished in the Holy Land --Choiseul d'Aillecourt, p. 90.

Islam first declared general and irreconcileable war against all hostile forms of belief; the propagation of faith in the Korân was the avowed aim of its conquests. The extent and rapidity of those conquests enforced toleration; conversion could not keep pace with subjugation; but the unconverted, the Jewish, or the Christian sank to an inferior, degraded, and tributary population. Nor was the spirit of conquest and invasion either satiated by success or broken by discomfiture. Neither the secure possession of their vast Asiatic dominions, of Egypt, Africa, and Spain, nor their great defeat by Charles Martel, quelled their aggressive ambition. They were constantly renewing hostilities in every accessible part of the East and West, threatening or still further driving in the frontier of the Byzantine Empire, covering the Mediterranean with their fleets, subduing Sicily, and making dangerous inroads and settlements in Italy. New nations or tribes from the remoter East, with all the warlike propensities of the Arabs, but with the fresh and impetuous valour of young proselytes to the Korân, were constantly pouring forth from the steppes of Tartary, the mountain glens of the Caucasus, or the Himalaya, and infusing new life into Mahommedanism. The Turks had fully embraced its doctrines of war to all of hostile faith in their fiercest intolerance; they might seem imperiously to demand a general confederacy of Christendom against this declared enemy. Even the oppressions of their Christian brethren, oppressions avowedly made more cruel on account of their religion, within the dominions of the Mahommedans, might perhaps justify an armed interference. The indignities and persecutions to which the pilgrims, who had been respected up to this period, were exposed, the wanton and insulting desecration of the holy places, were a kind of declaration of war against everything Christian.

But it is more easy in theory than in fact to draw the line between wars for the defence and for the propagation of the faith. Religious war is too impetuous and eager not to become a fanaticism. From this period it was an inveterate, almost uncontested tenet that wars for religion were not merely justifiable, but holy and Christian, and if holy and Christian, glorious above all other wars. The unbeliever was the natural enemy of Christ and of his Church; if not to be converted to be punished for the crime of unbelief, to be massacred, exterminated by the righteous sword.

Charlemagne indeed had already carried simultaneously conquest and conversion into the forests of Germany; but the wars against the Saxons still pretended to be defensive, to be the repulse of invasions on their part of the territories of the Empire, and the wanton destruction of churches within the Christian frontier. Baptism was among the terms of capitulation offered to conquered tribes, and accepted as the only secure guarantee for their future observance of peace.

But the actual Crusades against Mahommedanism had not begun before they were diverted from their Crusades declared object—before they threw off all pretence aggressive. to be considered defensive wars. The people had The Jewe. no sooner arms in their hands than they turned them against the first enemies, according to the new code, of Christ and of the Church, the unfortunate Jews. The frightful massacre of this race in all the flourishing cities in Germany and along the Rhine by the soldiers of the Cross seemed no less justifiable and meritorious than the subjugation of the more remote enemies of the Gospel. Why this fine discrimination between one class of unbelievers and another? Shall zeal presume to draw distinctions between the wicked foes of the Church? Even in the later Crusades it was an act of heroic Christian courage: no one but a St. Bernard would have dared, or dared with success, to discriminate with nice justice between the active and passive adversaries of the faith, the armed Saracen and the defenceless Jew. Long-suppressed hatred, jealousy of their wealth, revenge for their extortions, which probably, when almost every one was at their mercy, were intolerable enough (the Jew perhaps might, on his side, consider the invasion of the Holy Land an usurpation of his inalienable territory by the Christian, and might impose harder terms for his assistance in the purchase of arms and other provisions for that end); many old and many recent feelings of antipathy, might still further designate the Jew as the enemy of the

Christian cause; but it was as the Unbeliever, not the wealthy extortioner, that he was smitten with the sword. The Crusaders would not go in search of foreign foes of the Gospel, and leave in their homes men equally hateful, equally obstinate, equally designated for perdition in this world and in the next.

That which was lawful, just, and meritorious against the Jew and Mahommedan was so against the idolater. Out of Orders of Christian Knights for the defence of the Christian conquests in Palestine arose Orders of armed Apostles, for the conversion of the Heathen in the North of Germany. The Teutonic Knights were the brethren in arms of the Templars and Hospitallers of the Holy Land.

The heretic was no less odious, and therefore no less Crusades against here-tics. dangerous an enemy to the faith: he was a rene-grade to the true and the true and the true and the true gade to the true creed of the Gospel, a revolted subject of the Church. Popular opinion, as well as the decrees of the Pope, hallowed the exterminating wars against the Albigenses and other schismatics of the South of France, as undertaken for the cause of God. They were openly designated as Crusades. Simon de Montfort was as much the champion of the true faith as Godfrey of Boulogne. The Inquisition itself was a Crusade, in a more peaceful and judicial form; it rested on the same principles, and executed against individuals that punishment which the Crusades accomplished by the open and indiscriminate carnage of war. Crusades were even preached and proclaimed against persons not Against the Pope's ene-mies. charged with heresy. The Popes scrupled not to unfold the banner of the Cross against any of their disobedient sons. The expedition against John of England by Philip of France, to reduce the refractory King to his obedience under his Papal liege lord, was called a Crusade. Philip of France was summoned to take arms as a true vassal of the Church against a rival Sovereign. At length every enemy of the political power of the Pope in Italy became as a heretic or an unbeliever. Crusades will hereafter be levied against those who dared impiously to attempt to set bounds to the temporal aggrandisement of the Roman See, or to the personal or nepotic ambition of the ruling Pontiff.

A new world of heathens was opened before this great dominant principle was effaced or weakened, at America.

least in the Spanish mind. Spain had owed America. almost her national existence, her supremacy within her own peninsula to crusades of centuries with the Mahommedans. The conquest of Mexico by Cortes was a crusade; the rapacity, and avarice, and passion for adventure in his followers, disguised itself, even to them, as a pious act for the propagation of the Gospel.

Philip II. justified his exterminating wars in the Low Countries and his hostilities against England on the same principle as his ancestor Ferdinand the Catholic the expulsion of the Moors from Spain. That expulsion of the Moors was almost the last impulse of the

pulsion of the Moors was almost the last impulse of the irreconcileable hostility which had been kindled in the heart of Christendom by the speech of Pope Urban at Clermont. The wars of the Low Countries were crusades, and finally the Spanish Armada—the last crusade—was swallowed up, we trust but we dare not vaticinate, with the crusading spirit, for ever in the Ocean.

IV. A fourth result of the Crusades, if in its origin less completely so and more transitory and unreal, Chivalry. yet in its remote influence felt and actually living in the social manners of our own time, was Chivalry; or at least the religious tone which Chivalry assumed in all its acts, language, and ceremonial. The Crusades swept away, as it were, the last impediment to the wedlock of religion with the warlike propensities of the age. All the noble sentiments, which blended together are chivalry-the high sense of honour, the disdain or passion for danger, the love of adventure, compassion for the weak or the oppressed, generosity, self-sacrifice, self-devotion for others-found in the Crusades their animating principle, perpetual occasion for their amplest exercise, their perfection and consum-How could the noble Christian knight endure the mation. insults to his Saviour and to his God, the galling shame that the place of his Redeemer's birth and death should be trampled by the scoffer, the denier of his Divinity? Where were adventures to be sought so stirring as in the distant, gorgeous, mysterious East, the land of fabled wealth, the birthplace of wisdom, of all the religions of the

world; a land only to be approached by that which was then thought a long and perilous voyage along the Mediterranean Sea, or by land through kingdoms inhabited by unknown nations and people of strange languages; through Constantinople, the traditions of whose wealth and magnificence prevailed throughout the West? For whom was the lofty mind to feel compassion, if not for the downtrodden victim of Pagan mockery and oppression, his brother-worshipper of the Cross, who for that worship was suffering cruel persecution? To what uses could wealth be so fitly or lavishly devoted as to the rescue of Christ's Sepulchre from the Infidel? To what more splendid martyrdom could the valiant man aspire than to death in the fields which Christ had watered with his own blood? What sacrifice could be too great? Not even the absolute abnegation of home, kindred, the proud castle, the host of retainers, the sumptuous fare, for the tent on the desert, the scanty subsistence it might be (though this they would disdain to contemplate), the dungeon, the bondage in remote Svria. Lastly, and above all, where would be found braver or more worthy antagonists than among the Knights of the Crescent; the invaders, too often it could not be denied, the conquerors of the Christian world? Hence it was that France and Spain were pre-eminently the crusading kingdoms of Europe, and, as it were, the birthplace of chivalry: Spain as waging her unintermitting crusade against the Saracens of Granada and Cordova, France as furnishing by far the most numerous, and it may be said, with the Normans, the most distinguished leaders of the Crusades, from Godfrey of Boulogne down to Saint Louis; so that the name of Frank and of Christian became almost equivalent in the East.

This singular union, this absolute fusion of the religion of peace with barbarous warfare; this elevation of the Christian knighthood, as it were, into a secondary hierarchy (even before the establishment of the military orders), had already in some degree begun before the Crusades. The ceremonial of investing the young noble warrior in his arms may be traced back to the German forests. The Church, which interfered in every human act, would hardly stand aloof from this important rite. She might well CHAP, VI.

delude herself with the fond trust that she was not transgressing her proper bounds. The Church might seem to enter into this closer if incongruous alliance with the deliberate design of enslaving war to her own beneficent purposes. She had sometimes gone further; proclaimed a Truce of God; and war, at least private war, had ceased at her bidding." The clerk, the pilgrim, the merchant, husbandman, pursued his work without fear; women were all secure; all ecclesiastical property, all mills, were under special protection.

But in such an age it could but be a truce, a brief temporary, uncertain truce. By hallowing war, the Church might seem to divert it from its wanton and iniquitous destructiveness to better purposes, unattainable by her own gentle and persuasive influences; to confine it to objects of justice, even of righteousness; at all events, to soften and humanise the usages of war, which she saw to be inevitable. If. then, before the Crusades, the Church had thus aspired to lay her spell upon war; to enlist it, if not in the actual service of religion, in that of humanity, defence of the oppressed, the widow, the orphan, the persecuted or spoliated peasantry, how much more so when war itself had become religious! The initiation, the solemn dedication to arms, now the hereditary right, almost the indispensable duty, of all high-born men, of princes or nobles (except where they had a special vocation to the Church or the cloister), became more and more formally and distinctly a religious ceremony. The noviciate of the knight was borrowed, with strange but unperceived incongruity, from that of the monk or priest. Both were soldiers of Christ under a different form, and in a different sense. It was a proud day in the Castle (as it was in the cloister when some distinguished votary took the cowl) when the young heir assumed his arms. The vassals of all orders met around their liege lord; they paid, perhaps, on this joyous occasion

Dei is exhausted in the work of Dat. He thus describes (quoting de Marca de lib. Eccl. Gall.) and dates the first Treuga Dei. Pacem et Treugam dici hanc a bellis privatis feriationem, quod triene alericorum omnium, peregrino-1032 aut 1034 referent.—Radulf. Glabobus aratoriis, Dominarum cum sociis

\* The whole question of the Treuga suis omnibus, mulierum omnium, rerum

alone, their willing and ungrudged fees; they enjoyed the splendour of the spectacle; feasted, if at lower tables, in the same hall; witnessed the jousts or military exercises, the gaver sports, the tricks of the jongleurs, and heard the romances of the Trouveurs. But the clergy were not absent; the early and more impressive solemnity was theirs. The novice, after bathing, bound himself by a vow (not always too rigidly observed) of chastity, to shed his blood for the faith, to have the thought of death ever present to his mind. He fasted till the evening, passed the night in prayer in the church or the castle chapel. At the dawn of morn he confessed; as the evening before he had purified his body by the bath, so now his soul by the absolution; he heard mass, he partook of the Holy Eucharist. He knelt before his godfather in this war-baptism. He was publicly sworn to maintain the right, to be loyal to all true knighthood, to protect the poor from oppression. He must forswear all treason, all injustice. Where woman needed his aid, he must be ever prompt and valiant; to protect her virtue was the first duty and privilege of a true knight, \_ He must fast every Friday, give alms according to his means, keep faith with all the world, especially his brethren in arms, succour, love, honour, all loyal knights. When he had taken his oath, knights and ladies arrayed him in his armour: each piece had its symbolic meaning, its moral lesson. His godfather then struck him with a gentle blow, and laid his sword three times on his neck-" In the name of God, St. Michael (or St. George, or some other tutelar Saint), and (ever) of our Lady, we dub thee knight." The church bells pealed out; the church rang with acclamations; the knight mounted his horse, and rode round the lists, or over the green meadows, amid the shouts of the rejoicing multitude.

But what young knight, thus dedicated, could doubt that the conquest of the Holy Land was among his primary duties, his noblest privileges? Every knight was a soldier of the Cross; every soldier of the Cross almost enlisted for this great object. There could be no doubt of the justice of his cause, nor of the enemies whom it was his duty to attack, to slaughter without remorse. The infidel, as much as the giant or dragon of romance, was the natural foe of the Christian. Every oppressed Christian, and every Christian in the Holy Land was oppressed, was the object of his sworn protection. Slaving Saracens took rank with fastings, penitential discipline, visus to shrines, even almsgivings, as meritorious of the Divme mercy. So by the Crusades chivalry became more religions, religion more chivalrous; for it was now no unusual, no startling sight, as the knight had become in one sense part of the hierarchy, to behold bishops, priests, serving, fighting as knights. In a holy war the bishop and the abbot stood side by side with the prince or the noble; struck as lusty blows; if they conquered, disdained not the fame; if they fell, supposed that they had as good a right to the honour of martyrdom.

Even the most incongruous and discordant part of chivalry, the devotion to the female sex, took a religious tone. There was one Lady of whom, high above all and beyond all, every knight was the special servant. It has been remarked that in the French language the Saviour and his Virgin Mother are worshipped under feudal titles (Notre Seigneur, Notre Dâme). If the adoration of the Virgin, the culminating point of chivalrous devotion to the female sex, is at times leavened with phrases too nearly allied with human passion, the general tone to the earthly mistress is purified in word, if not always in thought, by the reverence which belongs to the Queen of Heaven. This was the poetry of chivalry-the religious poetry; and in an imaginative age the poetry, if far, very far above the actual life, cannot be absolutely without influence on that life. If this ideal love, in general, existed only in the outward phrase, in the ceremonial address, in the sonnet, or in the song; if, in fact, the Christianised Platonic love of chivalry in real life too often degenerated into gross licentiousness; if the sanctity of marriage, which permitted without scruple the homage. the adoration of the true knight in consideration of his valour and fidelity, was not only perpetually endangered, but habitually violated, and the violation became the subject of sympathy rather than of reprobation; yet, on the whole, the elevation, even the inharmonious religiousness of chivalry, must have wrought for the benefit of mankind. War itself became, if not less sanguinary, conducted with

more mutual respect, with some restraint. Christian chivalry, in Spain and in the Holy Land, encountered Asiatic Mahommedan chivalry (for in the Arab, in most of the Oriental races, there was a native chivalry, as among the Teutonic or European Christians). If Achilles, as has been finely said, is a model of knighthood, so is the Arabian Antar; both Achilles and Antar may meet in Richard Cœur de Lion; though Saladin, perhaps (and Saladin described by Christian as well as Mahommedan writers), may transcend all three.<sup>b</sup> Hence sprang courtesy, at least an initiatory humanity in war; hence that which proclaimed itself, which might have been expected to continue, the most bloody, remorseless, internecine strife, gradually became subject to the ordinary laws of war, in some respects to something above the prevailing laws of war. The most intolerant strife worked itself into something bordering on toleration. There was a contest of honour, as of arms.

If, finally, the Crusades infused into the mind of Europe a thirst for persecution long indelible; if they furnished an authority for persecution which wasted continents, and darkened centuries with mutual hostility; Chivalry, at once, as it were, the parent and the child of the Crusades, left upon European manners, especially in the high-born class, a punctilious regard for honour, a generous reverence for justice, and a hatred (perhaps a too narrow and aristocratical hatred) of injustice; a Teutonic respect for the fair sex; an element, in short, of true nobleness, of refinement, of gentleness, and of delicacy. The chivalrous word courtesy designates a new virtue, not ordained by our religion; and words are not formed but out of the wants, usages, and sentiments of men; and courtesy is not yet an obsolete Even gallantry, now too often sunk to a frivolous term. or unnatural sense, yet retains something of its old nobility, when it comprehended valour, frankness, honourable devo-The age of chivalry may be gone, but the tion to woman. influences of chivalry, it may be hoped, mingling with and softened by purer religion, will be the imperishable heirloom of social man.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Compare Mr. Hallam's passage on chivalry. It were presumption now to praise that book; but I may be per-Middle Ages.—ii. p. 450.

| Popes.  | GERMANY.                               | KINGS OF<br>HUNGARY.  | ABCHBISHOPS OF<br>MENTZ.             | KINGS OF<br>FRANCE.   |
|---|--|---|--------------------------------------|---|
| A.D. A.D.   | *.D, A.D.                              | ۵۰۵۰ ۸۰۵۰   | A.D. A.D.                            | A.D. A.   |
|   |  | 1095 Koloman 1114   | · · .                                | • • •   |
| 1099 Paschal II. 1118   |  | •   | Notker 1403                          | 1160 Philip J. 11   |
| · .   | Henry IV. 1105<br>1106 Henry V. 1125   | ·   | . 1.                                 |   |
| •   |  |   | 1103 Ruthard 1109-                   | 1108 Louis VI. 11   |
| `   |  | 1114 Stophen II. 4131   | cellor, of Sanr-<br>bruck 1137       |   |
| 1118 Gelasms 41. 4119<br>1119 Calixtus II. 1124<br>1118 (Bundinus,          |  |   |                                      |   |
| Gregory VIII.<br>nutipope 1121<br>1121 (Theobald.<br>Celeatine antipope)    |  |   |                                      |   |
| Celeatine antipope)<br>1124 Honorius II. 1130                               |  | ÷ .   | •                                    |   |
| 1190 Innocent Jr. 1143  | 1125 Lothnic the<br>Saxon 1137         |   |                                      |   |
| 1180 Anacletus II.<br>antipope 1138   | 1                                      | 1131 Beis H. 1141   |                                      |   |
| :   |  |   |                                      | х   |
| · .   | 1137 Conrad II1. 1152                  |   | 1187 Albert II. of<br>Saarbruck 1141 | 1137 Louis VII. 11  |
| 1138 Victor IV. antipopo  |  | 1141 Geim III. 1161   | 1141 Markolf 1142<br>1142 Henry 1153 |   |
| 1143 Cocleatine H., 1444<br>1144 Lucius II. 1145<br>1145 Eugenius III, 1153 |  |   | tive neary [133                      |   |
| 1158 Hadrian IV. 3159   | 1152 Predcrick I.<br>(Barbarossa) 1190 |   | 1153 Arneid of<br>Scelenboren 1160   |   |
| 1159 Alexander III.1181<br>1159 (Victor IV.                                 |  | ,   | ,                                    | 1   |
| antipope) 1164  |  |   | 1160 Conrad of<br>Wittlesbach 1164   |   |
|   |  | 4161 Ladislaus JI, 1162<br>Stephen IIK, 1162<br>1163 Stephen IV, 1173 |                                      | *   |
| 1164 (Paschal H1.<br>antipope) 2168   |  |   | 1164 Christian von<br>Bach 1183      |   |
| 168 (Calixtes III.<br>antipope) 1178  |  | 1178 Bela III. 1196   | •                                    |   |
|   |  |   | · · ·                                | 1180 Philip Augustus  |
| 1181 Lucius III. 1185   |  | •. •  | 1183 Conrad of Wittlesbach           | Archbishops of  |
| 1185 Urban HI. 1187<br>1187 Gregory VIII.<br>1187 Glemont HI. 1191          |  |   |                                      | Rheims.   |
| 1191 Corlestine III. 1198   | 1190 Henry VI 1497                     | • • •   | • • •                                | 1097 Manassch II. 11<br>1107 Redolf 11<br>1124 Rainald II. 11 |
|   | •                                      | 1196 Emeric   |                                      | 1140 Samson 11<br>1162 Henry L 11<br>1176 William 12          |
| ۰.  | 1197 Philip                            |   |                                      |   |

## CONTEMPORARY CHRONOLOGY .-- BOOK VIII.

VOL. III.

s

# CONTEMPORARY CHRONOLOGY .- BOOK VIII. - Continued.

| ALNG 10.       XINGS OF STAIN.       DENIARE.       THE EAST.       * MILLAN.         A.D.       A.D. <th>······</th> <th>R. 1</th> <th>KINGS OF</th> <th>EMPERORS OF</th> <th>ARCHRISHOPS OF</th>   | ······   | R. 1                                | KINGS OF             | EMPERORS OF         | ARCHRISHOPS OF          |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| A.D.       A.D.       Castific.       A.D.       Castific.       1007       Alexius II.       1007       Alexius II.       1007       Alexius II.       1107       1007       Alexius II.       1107       1007       Alexius II.       1107       1107       1108       1107       1108       1107       1108       1107       1107       1102  | KINGS OF<br>ENGLAND:                                   | KINGS OF SPAIN.                     |                      | THE EAST.           | T BILANY                |
| William Rudar 100       107 A Alfonso I. 1100       1603 Erick I. 1103       1007 Anaplin IV. 74         1109 Heirry I. 1135       1109 Urraca       1129       1103 Nicolss       1134         1109 Heirry I. 1135       1109 Urraca       1129       1103 Nicolss       1134         1109 Heirry I. 1135       1109 Urraca       1129       1103 Nicolss       1134         1118 Alfonso II. 1137       1135       1118 John I. 1143       1119 Giordano       1111         1135 Stephen       1155 Alfonso II. 1154       1124 Erick II. 1137       1135       1126 Anacim V. 11         1135 Stephen       1155 Alfonso II. 1154       1124 Erick II. 1137       1145 Mpsuual       1100       1148 Robaldo       11         1135 Stephen       1154 Alfonso I. 1154       1147 Streno IV.       1146 Mpsuual       1100       1145 Oberto       11         1154 Henry II. 1180       1184 Romiso II. 1137       1147 Streno IV.       1146 Mpsuual       1160       1145 Oberto       11         1155 Alfonso II. 1136       1147 Streno IV.       1156 Mpsuual       1160       1165 Oberto       11         1156 Alfonso II. 1136       1157 Periodella and Diverso Training Time Train   | A.D. , A.D.  |                                     | A.D. A.D.            |                     | A.D. 7.1                |
| 1109 Urraca       1193       1103 Nicolas       1136         1109 Urraca       1193       1103 Nicolas       1136         1118 Alfonso II.       1137       1119       1110         1135 Stephen       1156       Afryagoga.       1136       1137         1135 Stephen       1156       Afryagoga.       1137       1134       1137         1135 Stephen       1156       Afryagoga.       1137       1137       1137       1136         1135 Stephen       1156       Afryagoga.       1137       1137       1147       1137       1147         1135 Stephen       1156       Afryagoga.       1137       1147       1147       1147         1145 Alfonso T.       1134       1137       1147       1147       1147       1146       1146       1146         1154 Henry H.       1180       Afronso H.       1157       1147       1147       1146       1145       1145         1155 Alfonso H.       1157       1147       1147       1156       1156       1156       1156       1156       1156       1156       1156       1156       1156       1156       1156       1157       1156       1156       1157       1156   | William Rufus 2100                                     |                                     | 1605 Erick I. 1105   | ROST ALCOURT. 1118  | 1097 Anarim IV. 130     |
| 1109 Urraca       1128       1100 Nicolas       1135         1126 Alfonso II.       1157         1128 Alfonso II.       1157         1128 Alfonso II.       1157         1128 Stephen       1154         1125 Stephen       1155         1126 Alfonso II.       1126 Erick II.         1128 Alfonso II.       1126 Alfonso II.         1128 Alfonso I.       1126 Erick II.         1128 Robido       1128 Alfonso I.         1129 Bichard I.       1126 Alfonso II.         1129 Bichard I.       1126 Terick II.         1129 Bichard I.       1129         1129 Bichard I.       1129 Portugal.         1129 Bichard I.       1129 Portugal.         1129 Bichard I.       1129 Portugal.         1129 Alfonso II.       1129         1129 Bichard I.       1129 Portugal.         1129 District Portugal.       1120 Interv 1129         1129 Alfonso II.       1129 Portugal.         1129 District Portugal.       1120 Interv 1129         1129 Alfonso II.       1129 Portugal.         1129 District Portugal.       1120 Interv 1129         1129 District Portugal.       1120 Portugal.         1120 District Portugal.       1120 Portugal.         1   | 1109 Henry T. 1185                                     | • ·                                 | · · ·                |                     | 1102 Grossolano 111     |
| 1107 Alfonso II. 1137         1108 Alfonso II. 1137         1108 Alfonso II. 1138         1109 Bichard I. 1159         1109 Bichard I. 1150         1109 Bichard I. 1150         1109 Bichard I. 1150         1109 Bichard I. 1150         1112 Alfonso 1185         1112 Alfonso 1185         1112 Alfonso 1185      <  | •  | 1109 Urraca 1126                    | 1103 Nicolas 1134    |                     |                         |
| 1107 Alfonso II. 1137         1108 Alfonso II. 1137         1108 Alfonso II. 1138         1109 Bichard I. 1159         1109 Bichard I. 1150         1109 Bichard I. 1150         1109 Bichard I. 1150         1109 Bichard I. 1150         1112 Alfonso 1185         1112 Alfonso 1185         1112 Alfonso 1185      <  | •  |                                     |                      |                     | -                       |
| Sancho 117. 1158       1156 Alfonso       121         1135 Stephen       1154       Arragoga.         1135 Stephen       1154       Arragoga.         1135 Stephen       1154       1154         1135 Stephen       1154       1154         1135 Stephen       1154       1154         1135 Stephen       1154       1154         1155 Henry 11.       1164 Alfonso T.       1154         1155 Henry 11.       1166 Alfonso T.       1157         1156 Henry 11.       1166 Alfonso T.       1157         1157 Petroinelin and<br>Roymond 1105       1167 Streno IV.       1166 Oberio         1169 Eleharil I.       1166 Pedro II.       1167 Winklemar         1169 Alfonso II.       1196         1169 Eleharid I.       1166 Pedro II.       1167 Winklemar         1169 Eleharid I.       1166 Pedro II.       1167 Milli         1169 Eleharid I.       1166 Oberio       1178 Androni-<br>erts I.       1164 Uberto Cravelli 11         1177 Objishopis of<br>Conterbury.       1169 Henry       1112       1169         1177 Debid       1169       1161       1161       1164         1177 Debid       1169       1162       1163       1164         1177 Debid <t< td=""><td></td><td>1128 Alfonso II. 1157</td><td>•</td><td>1118 John T. 1147</td><td>1112 Giordano 112</td></t<>   |  | 1128 Alfonso II. 1157               | •                    | 1118 John T. 1147   | 1112 Giordano 112       |
| 1135       Sancho 117, 1135         1135       Stephen       1155         1135       Marragon         1135       Stephen       1154         1136       Alfonso T.       1154         1137       Frick III.       1137         1136       Ramino II.       1137         1137       Petroincide and<br>Roymond 1102       1147         1139       Stechard I.       1196         1149       Marcina 1102       1145         1149       Stechard I.       1196         1149       Ites Atfonso II.       1196         1149       Stechard I.       1196         1149       Ites Atfonso II.       1196         1149       Ites Atfononi.       11111  |  | -                                   | † .                  | 1110 2000 21 1143   |                         |
| 1135 Stephen       1154       Arragogi         1135 Stephen       1154       1154         1135 Stephen       1154       1154         1135 Stephen       1154       1154         1155 Adaction       1154       1157         1155 Adaction       1157       1154         1155 Henry II.       1166       1157         1157 Petronella and<br>Roymond       1157       1157         1157 Petronella and<br>Roymond       1157       1157         1157 Petronella and<br>Roymond       1156       1157         1157 Petronella and<br>Roymond       1156       1156         1157 Petronella and<br>Roymond       1156       1157         1157 Petronella and<br>Roymond       1156       1156         1157 Petronella and<br>Roymond       1156       1157         1157 Adactions II.       1156       1157         1158 Addronel-<br>rets I.       1165       1164         1158 Thebadi       1155       1155         1157 Stephen       1155       1155         1158 Thebadi       1155       1155         1157 Robadi       1155       1155         1158 Robadi       1155       1155         1155 Adexins IF.       1594 <tr< td=""><td>•</td><td>Sancho 111. 1158</td><td>}</td><td>• •</td><td>  1120 Ulrick   119</td></tr<>   | •  | Sancho 111. 1158                    | }                    | • •                 | 1120 Ulrick   119       |
| 1135 Stephen       1184       Arragogi.         1135 Stephen       1184       Erick II.       1137         1136 Antenni I.       1184       Erick II.       1137         1136 Antenni I.       1184       Erick II.       1137         1136 Antenni I.       1187       Erick II.       1147         1137 Frick III.       1147       1147       1148         1138 Ramino II.       1137       Erick III.       1147         1137 Petronella and<br>Roymond 1102       1147 Streno IV.       1148       1148         1189 Elehani I.       1189 Petronella and<br>Roymond 1102       1156       1157         1189 Elehani I.       1189 Petronella and<br>Roymond 1102       1166 Action II.       1166         1189 Elehani I.       1180 Petro II.       1196       1166 Action II.         1189 Elehani I.       1180 Petro II.       1196       1166 II.         1189 Elehani I.       1166 Petro II.       1196       1167 Algisi       1168 II.         1189 Elehani I.       1166 Petro II.       1196       1168 Adexina II.       1168 II.         1189 Elehani I.       1169 Ilemity       1119       1168 Ilemity       1168 Ilemity         1180 Econel I.       1180 Ilemity       1119       1118       1  |  | •                                   | } · · ·              |                     |                         |
| 1135 Seepterin       1164       1164       1164       1167       1166       1166       1166       1166       1166       1166       1166       1166       1166       1166       1166       1166       1166       1166       1166       1166       1166       1166       1167<  | . ,  | 1158 Alfonso, 1214                  |                      |                     | 1126 Amelai V. 11       |
| 1135 Seepterin       1164       1164       1164       1167       1166       1166       1166       1166       1166       1166       1166       1166       1166       1166       1166       1166       1166       1166       1166       1166       1166       1166       1167<  | ·  | Arragon.                            | · · ·                |                     | }                       |
| 106 Alfonso T. 1124         1164 Henry II. 1189         1189 Elchard I. 1190         1180 Alcoule V. 1154         1180 Landre V. 1190         1181 Landre V. 1190         1182 Landre V. 1190         1183 Landre V. 1190         1184 Landre V. 1190         1185 Landre V. 1190         1180  | 135 Stephen 1154                                       |                                     | 1184 Erick II. 1137  |                     | 1136 Robaldo 11-        |
| 1154 Henry II.       1157 Petrionella and<br>Raymond 1152       1147 Succes IV.       1143 Manual 1160       1145 Oberio       1145 Oberio       11         1157 Petrionella and<br>Raymond 1152       1157 Wukdemar 1101       1156 Galdino       11       1156 Galdino       11         1189 Elebaril I.       1196 Petrionella and<br>Raymond 1152       1196 Conute V. 1156-7       1196 Alexius II.       1196 Galdino       11         1189 Elebaril I.       1196 Petrionella and<br>Raymond 1152       1196 Conute VI.       1000       1196 Alexius II.       1198         1189 Elebaril I.       1196 Petrionella and<br>Raymond 1152       1196 Conute VI.       1000       1198 Alexius III.       1198         1189 Elebaril I.       1196 Portugal.       1196 Conute VI.       1000       1198 Alexius II.       1198 Ilebario Cravelli 11         1187 Millo de Con-<br>US Thebel 1.       1112 Alfonse 1183       1185       1193 Alexius III.       1195 Oberto II.       1195 Obe   |  | · · - ·                             | 1137 Erick III. 1147 | • • •               | •                       |
| 1154 Henry II.       1157 Petrionelli and<br>INST Petrio | 、  | 1104 Aifonso I. 1134                |                      | · ·                 | · ·                     |
| 1154 Henry II.       1189         1187 Perronellin and<br>Raymond 1102       1187 Wukkemar 1181         1189 Bicharid I.       1196 Pedro II.         1189 Bicharid I.       1196 Pedro II.         1189 Bicharid I.       1196 Pedro II.         Archbishopis of<br>Canterbury.       Portugal.         1189 Bicharid I.       1196 Pedro II.         Archbishopis of<br>Canterbury.       Portugal.         1189 Bicharid I.       1196 Ilenity         1189 Kinght       1122         1189 Bicharid I.       112 Alfonno         1189 Filtped III       1112 Alfonno         1189 Bicharid       1195 Alexius III.         1195 Philip de Con-<br>Transbard       1195 Philip de Con-<br>Transbard  | · · ·  |                                     |                      | 1148 Manual 1160    | 1145 Oberto 116         |
| 1187       Perconscient and<br>Baymond 1169       Canute V. 1136-7<br>1137       1136-7         1189       Bichard I. 1199       1196       Perconscient and<br>Baymond 1169       1196         1189       Bichard I. 1199       1196       Perconscient and<br>Baymond 1169       1196         1189       Bichard I. 1199       1196       Perconscient and<br>Baymond 1169       1196         Anchoishop's of<br>Canterbury.       Portugal.       1181       1180       1183         Asseins       1169       Henry 4112       1181       1183       1184         1189       Bickard 1183       1184       1184       Uberto Crivelli 11         1187       Tiss Androni-<br>ers I. 1185       1184       1184       1184         1189       Tiss Androni-<br>ers I. 1185       1184       1186       1187         1187       Tiss Androni-<br>ers I. 1185       1184       1186       1187         1189       Tiss Androni-<br>ers I. 1185       1184       1186       1187         1189       Tiss Androni-<br>ers I. 1185       1184       1186       1187         1189       Tiss Androni-<br>ers I. 1185       1184       1186       1187         1180       Tiss Androni-<br>ers I. 1185       1184       1186       1187   | 141 Banes 11   | 1184 Ramino II. 1187                | 1147 Sueno IV.       | :                   | · ·                     |
| 1167       Proceedin and<br>Brymond 1162         1169       Bitchard I.         1160       Feedro II.         1160       Feedro II.         1161       Canter Oury.         Asschim       100         1160       Henry         1117       Anacim.         1127       Corbell         1128       Hills Sand Androni-         cut J.       1185         1127       Corbell         1128       Hild Sandroni-         cut J.       1185         1127       Corbell         1128       1112         1129       1112         1129       1112         1129       1112         1129       1124         1120       1124         1121       1124         1125       1124         1126       1124         1127       1124         1128       1124  | tiot newy ii. they                                     |                                     | - Canute V. 1156-7   |                     |                         |
| 1189 Bicharil I.       1196 Fedre [I. • 7273         Archbikhopis of<br>Canterbury.       Portugal.         1180 Redraw 100<br>(Vaccarb) 1114       1196 Ilemry 1112         1187 Millo de Cor.<br>(Vaccarb) 1114       1186         1187 Millo de Cor.<br>(Vaccarb) 1114       1112 Alfonno 1185         1187 Millo de Cor.<br>(Vaccarb) 1114       1112 Alfonno 1185         1188 Tarbahan 1187       1112 Alfonno 1185         1189 Relation 1105       1115 Alexius III. 1994  |  | 1137 Petronella and<br>Baymond 1162 | 1157 Waldemar 1161   |                     | · ·                     |
| 1189 Bicharit I.       1196 Fedre [I. • 7273         Archbishops of<br>Canterbury.       Portugal.         1180 Kingh       1196 Alexius II.         1180 Kingh       1196 Ilemity         1180 Kingh       1122         1180 Kingh       1122         1187 Kingh       1122         1188 Throbbish 105       1112 Alfonso         1183 Throbbish 1161       1112 Alfonso         1184 Balarity       1195         1185 Finity of Composition       1113         1186 Kingh       1112         1187 Kingh       1112         1188 Throbatish       1112 Alfonso         1188 Balarity       1195         1198 Balarity       1195  | •  |                                     |                      |                     |                         |
| 1189 Elcharil I.         1196 Tedro II.         1217           Archbishopis of<br>Landerbüry.         Portugal.         1101 Cenuie VI.         1202           Auseins.         1109         1169 Ilerity.         1101 Cenuie VI.         1202           Auseins.         1109         1169 Ilerity.         1112         1101 Cenuie VI.         1202           Viscanterbüry.         1169 Ilerity.         1112         1112         1112         1112           Viscanterbüry.         1169 Ilerity.         1112         1112         1112         1112           Viscant.         1105 Alexius III.         1105 Alexius III.         1105 Oberto II.         1105 Oberto II.         1105 Philip de Con-           1173 Bichand         1105         1105 Alexius III.         1105 Oberto II.         1105 Philip de Con-           1173 Bichand         1105         1105 Oberto II.         1105 Philip de Con-   |  | 1162 Alfonso II. 1196               |                      | ÷                   |                         |
| 189 Bichardt I.       1196 Pedro II. • 7213         Archbishops of<br>Canterbiry.       Portugal.         1160 Ilentry       1161 Cenute VI.         1170 Algisi       1176 Algisi         1180 Ilentry       1181 Cenute VI.         1181 Instance An-<br>try Control       1180 Ilentry         1182 Cenute VI.       1990 Alexius II.         1183 Instance An-<br>try Control       1180 Ilentry         1187 Millo de Cor.       1187 Millo de Cor.         1187 Control       1185         1187 Elenard       1184 Uberte Crivelli 11         1187 Millo de Cor.       1185 Ilenter An-<br>geles         1187 Billonin       1196         1188 Flance An-<br>geles       1195 Alexius III.         1197 Richard       1196         1198 Baldwin       1195   | ( _ · · · ·  |                                     |                      | · · ·               | 1166 Galdino 112        |
| Archbishopis of<br>Canterbishopis of<br>Itanterbishopis of<br>Vanterbishopis of<br>Vanterbishopis of<br>Vacanti Vianterbishopis br>Vacanti Vianterbishopis of<br>Vacanti Vianterbishopis of<br>Vacanti Vianterbishopis of<br>Vacanti Vianterbishopis of<br>Vacanti Vianterbishopis<br>Vacanti Vacanti Vac  | 189 Richard I. 1199                                    | 1196 Pedro II. • 7213               |                      |                     | · · ·                   |
| Canterbiry.         Portugal.         1161 Canule VI.         1902         T183 Androni.ext J.         1164 Uberto Crirelli 11           Asscim.         1000 Henry         1112         11   | Archhishon's of  |                                     |                      | 1100 Alwins IT 1000 |                         |
| (Vaccast)         1142         1165         Isanc An-<br>gelus         1187         Mile die Cor.<br>Sano         1187           192         William         1197         gelus         1193         Isanc         1187         Mile die Cor.<br>gelus         1193         1195         1195         1105         <   | Canterbury.  |                                     | 1161 Cemule VI. 1202 | Tint Androni-       | 1184 Uberto Crivelli 11 |
| 127 Corbell / 1488<br>128 Throbald 1161 1112 Alfonso 1183<br>102 T. Becket 1173<br>173 Richard 1194<br>173 Richard 1194  | Anseim, 1109<br>(Vacant) 1114<br>114 Raigh 1122        | 1169 Henry 3112                     |                      | 1185 Isaac An-      | 1187 Milo de Cor-       |
| 102 T. Beeket 1173<br>1135 Oberto JI, 11<br>173 Richard 1134<br>116 Baldwin 1100   | 127 Corbell / 1488                                     | 1110 Alfonet 1104                   |                      |                     |                         |
| 104 Baldwin 1190 menata 12   | 162 T. Becket 1173<br>1173 Richard 1194                | TITE VIACUMO 1193                   |                      | and manna the 1204  | 1186 Philinde Com-      |
| 192 Hubert 1185 Sancho J. 1212   | 1101 Baldwin 1190<br>1191 Reginald 1192<br>1192 Hubert | 1185 Sanche I. 1910                 | ·                    | · · · ·             | pagnata 120             |

## **(** 259<sup>'</sup> )

## BOOK VIII.

### CHAPTER I. .

#### END OF THE EMPEROR HENRY IV.

The hundred years which elapsed between the death of Urban II. and the accession of Innocent III., in General view whom the Papal power attained its utmost height, of the period. were nearly coincident with the twelfth century. Of the sixteen Popes who ruled during this period, the Pontificates of two, Paschal II. and Alexander III., occupy near forty years. The reigns of Calixtus II., of Innocent II., and of Adrian IV., are distinguished each by its memorable event; the first by the settlement of the dispute concerning the investitures in the compact of Worms; the second by the coronation of Lothair the Saxon, and the intimate alliance between the Papacy and the Empire; the third by the coronation of Frederick Barbarossa and the execution of Arnold of Brescia.

It was an age of great men and of great events, preparing the world for still greater. It was the age of the Crusades, not merely the expeditions of vast undisciplined hordes, or the leagues of knights, nobles, and princes, but the regular armies of great sovereigns at the head of the powers of their kingdoms. Two Emperors of Germany, two Kings of France, and one of England, at different times led their forces for the recovery of the holy sepulchre. The close of the last century beheld the rise, the present will behold the fall of the kingdom of Jerusalem; the vain attempt of Philip Augustus of France and of Richard of England to restore it; the rise of the military orders, the Knights of St. John and the Templars, their organisation, their long and stubborn resistance to Mohammedanism in its Asiatic territory; their

. :

s 2

retreat to take their defensive stand on the frontiers of Christendom; the final triumph of the unconquerable Saladin; after which the East settled down again under the scarce-disturbed and iron sway of Mahommedanism. The later Crusades were diverted to other quarters, to Constantinople and to Egypt; the Emperor Frederick II. alone visited the Holy Land, and by negotiation rather than by arms obtained better terms of capitulation for the Christians.

Western Christendom, in this age, beheld in France the growing power of the monarchy; in England the first ineffectual struggles of the nation and of the king for ecclesiastical freedom; in Germany the rise of the House of Hohenstaufen, the most formidable, for a time the most successful, antagonists of the Papacy; in Italy the foundation of the Lombard republics, the attempt to set up a temporal commonwealth in Rome; the still growing ascendancy of the Papacy, notwithstanding the perpetual or ever-renewed schism, and the aspirations of the Romans to share in the general establishment of republican institutions.

Nor was it only the age in which new political views began to develope themselves, and the temporal affairs of Christendom to take a more permanent form; a great intellectual movement was now approaching. Men appeared, whose thoughts and studies began to awaken the slumbering mind of Europe. Their own or after ages have felt and recognised the power of Anselin, Abélard, Bernard of Clairvaux, and Arnold of Brescia. The religious republicanism of Arnold, the least intellectual impulse, was that which produced the most immediate but the least enduring effects: he was crushed by the uncongenial times. The strong arm of the temporal and ecclesiastical power combined to put down the rebel against both. To all outward appearance the doctrines of Arnold perished with him on, his funeral pyre. They may have lurked among the more odious hidden tenets of some among the heretical sects which were persecuted so violently during the next century; kindred principles are so congenial to human nature, and so sure to be provoked into being by the inordinate wealth and ambition of the Church, that no doubt they

CHAP. I.

were latent and brooding in many hearts: but Arnold founded no sect, left no writings, had no avowed followers. Those who in later times advanced similar tenets, Wycliffe, Huss, Savonarola, may never have heard of their premature ancestor. Of the other three great names Bernard was the intellectual representative of his own age, Anselm the forerunner of that which was immediately to come, Abélard of one far more remote. Bernard has been called the last of the Fathers; Anselm was the parent of the schoolmen; Abélard the prophet of a bolder and severer philosophy, the distant harbinger of Descartes, of Locke, and of Kant. Each must find his proper place in our history.

Paschal II., another monk of Clugny, already a cardinal of the Church, succeeded Urban II. He Pope Paschal had been bred in the school of Gregory VII., <sup>11,</sup> but with much of the ambition he possessed not Aug. <sup>13,14,10,1099,</sup> the obstinate fortitude of his predecessors. The death of the Anti-pope Clement, expelled at length from A.D. 1100. Rome by Pope Paschal immediately on his acces- September. sion, followed during the year after that of Urban. Guibert of Ravenna must have been a man of strong resolution. great capacity, and power of commanding respect and ardent attachment. He had not only an active and faithful party while he had hopes of attaining the ascendancy, but his adherents, many of whom no doubt could have made their peace by disloyalty to their master, clung fondly to him under the most adverse circumstances. His death did not extinguish their affections; the followers of the Anti-pope declared that many miracles were wrought at his tomb.

Christendom might hope that the schisin would expire with this rival of so many Popes. The Imperial party in Italy whose interest it might have been, if still powerful, to contest the see, was utterly depressed, and indeed so nearly extinct that it might seem the better policy to conciliate the ruling Pontiff. The Emperor Henry had retired beyond the Alps, discomfited, broken in spirit by the revolt of his son, in affliction, in disgust, in despair. The affairs of Germany, as he descended the Alps, might appear no less dark and unpromising. His enemies had gained the ascendancy in almost all parts; they had established a truce throughout the Empire, which might seem to overawe any attempts on his part to resume his power, while it left them to pursue their intrigues and strengthen their alliances at their pleasure.

The presence of Henry in his native land appeared to work a sudden revolution in his favour. Ger-Strong reaction in many, with a generous sympathy, seemed disavour of Henry. posed to console her now aged Emperor for the wrongs and afflictions which he had suffered in Italy. In a few years he found himself sufficiently powerful to establish a more perfect, it might be hoped an enduring, Peace of the Empire; and Germany assented to his just revenge against his revolted son Conrad, by assenting to his demand to devolve the inheritance of his German crown on his younger son Henry.

Many circumstances conspired in favour of the Emperor. The German leagues seemed fated to fall asunder from the mutual jealousy of the princes. Duke Guelf of Bavaria had been driven into Henry's party by his indignation at the conduct of the Countess Matilda, and the fraud which he asserted she had practised on his son. She had tempted the youth to marriage by the hopes of her vast patrimony, which she had deliberately in broken faith settled on the Church. His only chance of wresting away that patrimony, to which he asserted his son's right, was by the aid of Henry. He became an ardent Imperialist.

The Crusades had not produced the same effects in Germany as in France, in Burgundy, and in other Effect of Crusades. countries in Europe. They had not drained away and were not continuing to drain away to the same extent the turbulent and enterprising of the population. The more calm or sluggish German devotion had not kindled to the same violent enthusiasm. It was no less strong and profound, but was content with a more peaceful and, as it were, domestic sphere. Just before the Crusades the monastic system had shown a sudden and powerful impulse to development and extension. New monasteries had been founded on a magnificent scale : knights and princes had retired into cloisters; laymen by thousands, especially in Swabia, made over their estates to

CHAF. I. CRUSADERS PASS THROUGH GERMANY.

these religious institutions, and even where they did not take the vows, pledged themselves to live according to the rule, to forsake their secular employments, and devote themselves to the service of monks and ecclesiastics. The daughters of free peasants formed themselves into religious sisterhoods under the direction of some respected priest, and the inhabitants of whole villages embraced at once the religious life, and vied with each other in their austerities.<sup>a</sup>

Still the Crusades absorbed the public mind, and diverted it for a time from the internal feuds of the Empire. Germany, where not drawn away by the torrent of fanaticism, was suddenly called upon to defend itself against the lawless votaries of the cross. The crusading cause was by no means commended to respect or to emulation by the general sufferings witnessed or endured in many parts of the land from the Crusaders. The hordes of the first loose and ungoverned soldiers of the cross passed through Germany restrained by no discipline, and considering their holy cause not merely an expiation for their former sins, but a licence for sinning more freely, from the assurance of full pardon in the Holy Land. The first swarm under Walter Perejo and his nephew Walter the Pennyless, with eight knights to command 15,000 men, had straggled through the whole of Germany from Cologne, where he parted from Peter the Hermit, to the frontiers of Hungary. Then followed Peter the Hermit, whose eloquence was not with-• out effect on the lower orders. His host gathered as it advanced through Bavaria, Swabia, Austria, till from 15,000 it had swollen to 40,000 followers, without the least attempt at array or organisation. Two other armies brought up the rear, one from Lorraine and the Lower Rhine, led by the ferocious Emico, Count of Leiningen, the other under the priests Folkmar and Gotschalk, a man whose fanaticism was suspected to be subservient to baser sordid motives. The march of these formidable hosts spread terror throughout the whole land. They had begun by the massacre of the Jews in the great cities on the Rhine; their daily sustenance was by plunder, or from that compulsory provision for their necessities which was

<sup>a</sup> Stenzel, page 560. Bernold, sub ann. 1091.

263

plunder in another form, and which was reluctantly doled out in order to get rid of the unwelcome guests. All this tended to quell rather than awaken the crusading enthusiasm among the Germans, who had few examples either among their princes or princely bishops to urge them into the tide. The aged Guelf of Bavaria, almost alone among the sovereign princes, the Bishops of Saltzburg, Passau, and Strasburg, among the great prelates, the two first strong anti-Imperialists, left their palaces; and as of these not one returned to his native land; their example rather repressed than excited the ardour of others.

The secret of the Emperor's quiet resumption of power The Emperor lay no doubt in a great degree in the preresumes his occupation of men's minds with this absorbing power. subject. His first act on his return to Germany was one of generous justice and humanity-the protection of the persecuted Jews. This truly imperial conduct was not without its advantage. He exacted severe restitution of all the wealth plundered from these unhappy men; that, however, of those who had been murdered was escheated, as without lawful owner, to the Imperial treasury. Some of the ecolesiastics had behaved with Christian humanity. The Bishops of Worms and of Spires ran some risk in saving as many as they could of this defenceless people. The Archbishop of Treves, less generous, gave them refuge in his palace on condition that they would submit to Some of the kindred of Notker, the Archbaptism. bishop of Mentz, had joined in the general pillage; the prelate was more than suspected of participation in the guilt and in the booty. When summoned to an account he fled from the city, and with his kindred shut himself up in the strong castle of Hardenberg in the Thuringian forest. The Emperor seized the revenues of the see, but took no steps to depose the bishop. It was probably from . this time that the Jews were taken under feudal protection by the Emperor; they became his men, owing to him special allegiance, and with full right therefore to his protection. This privilege, in after times, they bought dearly, being constantly subject to heavy exactions, which were enforced by merciless persecutions.

The Emperor had already reinstated Guelf of Bavaria

in his dukedom, and entailed the inheritance on his sons. Henry held a Diet at Mentz to settle the contested claims on Swabia. A satisfactory arrangement was made, by which the rising house of Hohenstaufen became Dukes of Northern Swabia. For their rival, Berthold of Zahringen, a new dukedom was created, comprehending Zurich, the country between the Jura and the St. Bernard, with his patrimonial Countship of the Brisgau. Of all the great princes and prelates none were in hostility to the Emperor but the fugitive Archbishop of Mentz.

Henry seized the favourable opportunity to compass the great object which he had at heart. He urged upon the princes and bishops, in public and in private, the unnatural rebellion of his son Conrad, who had conspired against the crown, and even the life of his father. He pressed the fatal example of such treason against a sovereign and a parent. Conrad had justly forfeited his claim to the succession, which fell of right to his younger brother Henry. To Conrad there could be no attachment among the princes in Germany; if known, he could only be known as a soft and fantastic youth. He had fallen into contempt, notwithstanding his royal title in Italy, as a mere instrument in the hands of the crafty Matilda and of the Pope. Sympathy with the injured father, and prudent considerations for the interest of the Empire, as well as the urgent solicitations of the Emperor, swayed the majority of the princes. In a great Diet at Cologne, Conrad was declared to Jan. 6, 1099. have forfeited his title. With unanimous consent the succession was adjudged to his younger brother Henry, who was anointed King at Aix-la-Chapelle. The suspicious father exacted a solemn oath from his son, that during his father's lifetime, and without his permission, he would neither claim the government of the Empire, nor even the patrimonial territories. As if oaths would bind a son who should despise the affection and authority of a father! The death of Conrad removed all fears July, 1101. of a contention between the brothers for the

Imperial Crown.

All was prosperity with Henry: his turbulent and agitated life seemed as if it would close in an august and

peaceful end. By skilful concessions, by liberal grants, by courteous demeanour, he reconciled, or more firmly attached the Princes of Saxony, Bohemia, and other parts of Germany to his cause. Even religious hatred seemed to be dying away; his unrepealed excommunication was forgotten; and some of the severest ecclesiastics of the Papal party condescended to accept promotion from the hands of the interdicted Sovereign.

The Emperor proclaimed Peace throughout the land Peace of the and the realm for four years; <sup>b</sup> he required a empire. solemn oath from the princes to maintain this peace; he imposed heavy penalties on its violation; and (in these times a wonderful and unprecedented event!) the Emperor was obeyed. The writers of the period speak of the effects of this peace on all classes and conditions, especially on the poor and defenceless, with admiring astonishment. The ways became safe, commerce began to flourish; the cultivation of the land went happily on. What seemed most astonishing was, that boats could descend the large rivers without being stopped and plundered by the great cities on the banks, who might be in want of their corn and other commodities; that the powerful were held in check; that might for a time ceased to be right. The truce of the Empire, though proclaimed by the excom-municated Henry, was as well observed and as great a blessing as the truce of God at times proclaimed by the Pope or the hierarchy.° Still the fatal excommunication. hung over the head of Henry. The golden opportunity was missed of putting an end to the schism, on the death of the Anti-pope Guibert, without loss of dignity; of obtaining from a Pontiff of Paschal's more pliant character less injurious terms. The miserable failure of the attempt to support a successor to Guibert ought to have urged the same policy. Three were appointed in succession: one, Theodore, fled from the city immediately that he was invested in his perilous honours. One hundred and five . days after he was in the power of Paschal, condemned to be a hermit.<sup>d</sup> The second, Albert, was chosen Pope and "dispoped" in the same day; dragged on a horse with his

b Land und Reich's Friede. It comprehended private and public wars.

° Vita Henrici, p. 386.

<sup>d</sup> Pandulph Pisan., 1. Ann. Roman., 1.

CHAP. I. PASCHAL EXCOMMUNICATES THE EMPEROR. 267

face to the tail before the Pope, who sat in state in the Lateran; he was thrust into the monastery of St. Laurence; in Aversa.<sup>e</sup> The third, Maginolfo, who took the name of Silvester IV., had a longer Papal life. He had been A.D. 1105. raised by a strong party hostile to Paschal II., but Nov. 18. was abandoned by all, and eventually deposed by the Emperor himself.<sup>f</sup> To this more pacific course, the recognition of Paschal, the Emperor was strongly persuaded by his wiser friends; he even announced his intention of visiting Rome to effect a reconciliation of all parties by his personal presence; to submit to a General Council the whole dispute between himself and the Pope. It would have been well not to have announced this intention to which it was difficult to adhere, and which he had strong motives to renounce. Henry may naturally have shrunk from venturing again on the inhospitable soil of Italy, so fatal to his glory and his peace. He may have hesitated to leave the affairs of Germany in their yet precarious state; for the peace had neither been proclaimed nor accepted by the princes. Many of the Imperialist bishops may have been alarmed lest their titles, resting on the authority of the Anti-pope, might be shaken by any concession to that Pope who had condemned them as usurpers of their sees. Henry appeared not in Italy; and Paschal proceeded without delay to renew the Excommunication. Paschal ex-This sentence is remarkable, as being recorded by Henry. one who himself heard it delivered by the Pope. "Because the King Henry has never ceased to rend the vesture of Christ, that is, to lay waste the Church by plunder and conflagration; to defile it by his sensualities, his perjuries, and his homicides; and hath therefore, first by Pope Gregory of blessed memory, afterwards by the most holy Urban, my predecessor, on account of his contumacy, been excommunicated and condemned: We also, in this our Synod, by the judgment of the whole Church, deliver him up to a perpetual anathema. And this we would

that they may abstain from all fellowship in his iniquity."<sup>g</sup> • This was the one who, according to Muratori's expression, was dispoped, dis-papato.—Annal. Roman. Pandulph Pisan. • Annal. Leodicen. apud Pertz.— ap. Pertz, vi. 224.

have known to all, especially to those beyond the Alps,

This renewal of the excommunication had no immediate effect on the fidelity either of Henry's temporal or spiritual subjects. Many ecclesiastics of high rank and character were about his court; above all, Otho the Apostle of Pomerania. Otho had been compelled with difficulty to accept the Bishopric of Bamberg. ""The ambitious man," said the Emperor to the Ambassadors from that city, "he has already refused two bishoprics, Halberstadt and Augsburg, and would now reject the third." Otho accepted the investiture of the fief from Henry, but required the assent of the Pope to his consecration. In other respects this holy man was on the most intimate footing with the Emperor; his private chaplain, who instructed him in the Church psalmody. The Emperor even learned to sing and to compose Church music. Otho prepared for him a course of sermons for the whole year, so short as to be easily retained in the memory.

Nor did this violent measure of the Pope provoke the Emperor to hostility. At the same time that he established peace throughout the Empire, he endeavoured with apparent earnestness to restore peace to the Church. He publicly announced his intention, as soon as he should be reconciled to the Pope, to make over the Empire to his son, and to undertake a Crusade to the Holy Land. Many of the more distinguished warriors of Germany were prepared to follow his footsteps.

But this most secure and splendid period in the life of Henry IV. was like one calm and brilliant hour of evening before a night of utter gloom. The greatest act of his power, the establishment of peace throughout the Empire, was fatal to that power. The proclamation of war against Mahommedanism was the triumph, the confirmation of the Pope's supremacy; the maintenance of peace the ruin of the Emperor. At the same time when the interdict seemed to sit so lightly upon him, it was working in secret, and reconciling his most faithful followers to treason and to rebellion.

The peace—so precious and so unwonted a blessing to the lower orders, to the peasant, the artisan, the trader, which made the roads and rivers alive with commerce—was not merely irksome, it was degrading and ruinous to the

warlike nobles. The great feudatories more immediately around the court complained that the Emperor had not only deprived them of their occupation, of their glory, of their power; but that he was deluding them with a false promise of employing their eager and enterprising valour in the Holy Land. They were wasting their estates on soldiers for whom they had no use, and in idle but costly attendance on a court which dallied with their noble solicitude for active life. Throughout the Empire the princes had for thirty restless years enjoyed the proud privilege of waging war against their neighbours, of maintaining their armed followers by the plunder of their enemies, or of the peaceful commercial traveller. This source of wealth, of power, of busy occupation, was cut off. Unpopularity They could no longer sally from their impregnable of peace. castles and bring home the rich and easy booty. While the low-born vulgar were rising in opulence or independence, they were degraded to distress and ruin and famine. Their barns and cellars were no longer stocked with the plundered produce of neighbouring fields or vineyards; they were obliged to dismiss or to starve their once gallant and numerous retinue.<sup>h</sup> He who was accustomed to ride abroad on a foaming courser was reduced to a sorry nag; he who disdained to wear any robes which were not dyed with purple must now appear in coarse attire of the same dull colour which it had by nature. Among the princes of the Empire it was more easy to establish than to maintain peace. The old jealousies and animosities were constantly breaking out; the Bavarian house looked with suspicion on the favour shown to that of Saxony. Lawless acts were committed, either in popular insurrection or in sudden quarrels (as in the murder of Count Sighard near Ratisbon). Dark rumours were immediately propagated of connivance, at least of indolent negligence, on the part of the Emperor. The dissatisfaction was deep, dangerous, The rebellion was ripe, it wanted but a cause universal. and a leader.

The Emperor had seen with delight the intimacy which had grown up between his son and the nobles in The young his court. This popularity might strengthen and Henry.

h Vita Hénrici.

secure his succession to the throne. The Prince, in all the ardour of youth, joined in their sports, their huntings, their banquets, and in less seemly diversions. The associates of a prince soon grow into a party. The older and more subtle enemies of Henry, the Papal or religious faction, saw this, too, with pleasure. They availed themselves of these younger agents to provoke and inflame his ambition. It was time, they suggested, that he should be released from the yoke of his weak and aged but severe father; that he should no longer live as a slave without any share or influence in public affairs; the succession, his lawful right, might now be his own, if he would seize it. What it might be after his father's death, what rivals might contest it, who could foresee? or even in his father's lifetime; for it depended entirely on his caprice. He had disinherited one son, he might another. His oath, his extorted oath of obedience, was itself invalid; for it had been pledged to an excommunicated person; it was already annulled by the sentence of the Church.

The Emperor was without the least apprehension, or even suspicion of this conspiracy. With his son he set out at the head of an army to punish a certain Count Theodoric, who had surprised the Archbishop Elect and the Burgrave of Magdeburg on their way to Liege, where the Prelate was to receive his investiture from the Emperor. The Papal party had chosen another Archbishop, Henry, who Revolt of had been already expelled from the see of Pader-Prince Henry. born. They had reached Fritzlar, when the Prince Henry suddenly left his father's camp, fled to Ratisbon, where he was joined by many of the younger nobles and princes, and raised the standard of revolt.

No sooner had the Emperor heard of his son's flight than he sent messengers after messengers to implore him to respect his solemn oath, to remember his duty to his father, his allegiance to his sovereign, and not to expose himself to the scorn and hatred of mankind. The son sent back a cold reply, that he could have nothing to do with one under sentence of excommunication. In deep sorrow Henry returned to Mentz; the Archbishop of Cologne and Duke Frederick of Swabia undertook the pious office of reconciling the son and the father. The son rejected all their advances until his father should be reconciled to the Church.

No evidence implicates the Pope in the guilt of suggesting or advising this impious and unnatural rebellion. But the first act of the young Henry was to consult the Pope as to the obligation of his oath of allegiance. The holy father, daringly ascribing this dissension between the son and his parent to the inspiration of God, sent him without reserve the apostolic blessing, and gave him absolution on condition that he should rule with justice and be faithful to the Church; for his rebellion against his father, an absolution in the final judgment of Christ!

So was Germany plunged again into a furious civil war. Everywhere in the State and in the Church the old factions broke out in unmitigated ferocity. The papal clergy were the first to show their weariness of the unwelcome peace. At a meeting at Goslar the clergy of Saxony resolved to expel all the intruding and Simonian bishops (those who had received investiture from the Emperor), if alive, from their sees, if dead, to dig up their bodies and cast them out of the churches; to re-ordain by Catholic hands all whom these prelates had received into orders, to interdict the exercise of any function in the Church to the married clergy.

The young Henry conducted his own affairs with consummate vigour, subtlety, perfidy, and hypocrisy. In a great assembly of bishops, abbots, monks, and clergy, as well as of the people, at Nordhausen, he appeared without the dress or ensigns of royalty, and refused to ascend the throne; but while he declared himself ready to confirm all the old laws and usages of the realm, he dared to pray with profuse tears for the conversion of his father, protested that he had not revolted against him with any view to the succession, or with any design to depose him; that on the instant of his reconciliation with the Pope he would submit in dutiful fidelity. The simple multitude were deluded by his tears; the assembly broke out into an unanimous shout of approbation; the Kyrie Eleison

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>i</sup> So writes an ecclesiastical chronicler. evenire .... de hoc commisso sibi pro-"Apostolicus, ut audivit inter patrem et filium dissidium, sperans hoc a Deo —Annal. Hildesheim.

was sung by priests and people with accordant earnestness.

The tragedy was hastening towards its close. In everyquarter the Emperor found lukewarmness, treachery, and desertion. Prelates who had basked in his favour were suddenly convinced of their sin in communicating with an interdicted man, and withdrew from the court. The hostile armies were in presence not far from Ratisbon; the leaders were seized with an unwonted respect for human life, and with dread of the horrors of civil war. The army of the son retired, but remained unbroken, that of the father melted away and dispersed. He was obliged to take refuge in Mentz. Once before young Henry had moved towards Mentz to reinstate the expelled Archbishop Notker, the man accused of the plunder and even of the massacre of the Jews. Thence he had retired, being nuable to cross the Rhine; now, however, he effected his passage with little difficulty, having bribed the officer commanding in Spires. Before Mentz the son coldly rejected all propositions from his father to divide the Empire, and to leave the decision of all disputes between them to the Diet. He still returned the same stern demand of an impossible preliminary to negotiation-his father's reconciliation with the Church: but as if with some lingering respect, he advised the Emperor to abandon Mentz, lest he should fall into the hands of his enemies. Henry fled to the strong castle of Hammerstein, from thence to Cologne. The Archbishop of Cologne had already taken the stronger side; the citizens were true to the Emperor. A Diet was summoned at Metz, at which the legate of the Pope was to be present. The Emperor hastily collected all the troops he could command on the Lower Rhine, and advanced to break up this The army of the younger Henry dangerous council. having obtained some advantage stood opposed to that of the father on the banks of the Rhine not far from Coblentz. But the son, so long as he could compass his ends by treachery, would not risk his cause on the doubtful issue of a battle. An interview took place on the banks of the Moselle. At the sight of his son the passionate fondness of the father overpowered all sense of dignity or

CHAP. I.

resentment. He threw himself at the feet of young Henry; he adjured him by the welfare of his soul. know that my sins deserve the chastisement of God, but do not thou sully thy honour and thy name. No law of God obliges a son to be the instrument of divine vengeance against his father." The son seemed deeply moved; he bowed to the earth beside his father, entreated his forgiveness with many tears, promised obedience as a son, allegiance as a vassal, if his father would give satisfaction to the Church. He proposed that both should dismiss their armies, each with only three hundred knights repair to Mentz to pass together the holy season of Christmas. There he solemnly swore that he would labour for lasting reconcilement. The Emperor gave orders to disband his army. In vain his more cautious and faithful followers remonstrated against this imprudence. He only summoned his son again, who lulled his suspicions by a second solemn oath for his safety. At Bingen they passed the night together; the son showed the most profound respect, the father yielded himself up to his long-suppressed feelings of love. The night was spent in free and tender conversation with his son, not unmingled with caresses. Little thought he, writes the historian, that this was the last night in which he would enjoy the luxury of parental fondness. The following day pretexts were found for conveying the Emperor, not to Mentz, but to the strong castle of Bechelheim near Kreuznach. Henry could but remind his son of the perils and difficulties which he had undergone to secure him the succession to the Empire. A third time young Henry pledged his own head for the security of his father. Yet no sooner was he, with a few attendants, within the castle than the gates were closed-the Emperor Henry IV. was a prisoner! His gaoler was a churchman, his Henry IV. a enemy the Bishop Gebhard of Spires, whom he had prisoner. formerly expelled from his see. Either from neglect or cruelty he was scantily provided with food; he was denied a barber to shave his beard and the use of the bath. The inexorable bigot would not permit the excommunicated the ministrations of a priest, still less the holy Eucharist on the Lord's Nativity. He was compelled by menaces VOL. III.

against his life to command the surrender of all the regalia which had been left in the castle of Hammerstein.

The Diet, attended by almost all the magnates of the Empire, assembled at Mentz; but it was not safe to bring the fallen Henry before that meeting, for there, as elsewhere, the honest popular sympathy was strong on the side of the father and of the Emperor. He was carried to the castle of Ingelheim in the Palatinate; there, stripped of every ensign of royalty, broken by indignities of all kinds, by the insolent triumph of his foes, the perfidy of his friends, the Emperor stood before a Diet composed entirely of his enemies, the worst of those enemies his son, and the papal Legate at their head. He was urged, on peril of his life, to abdicate. "On that condition," he inquired, "will ye guarantee my life ?" ` The Legate of the Pope replied, and demanded this further sondition, he should publicly acknowledge that he had unjustly persecuted the holy Gregory, wickedly set up the Anti-pope Guibert, and oppressed the Church. In vain he strove for less humiliating terms, and even for delay and for a more regular judgment. His inexorable enemies offered him but this alternative or perpetual imprisonment. He then implored that, at least, if he conceded all, he might be at once released from excommunication. The Cardinal replied, that was beyond his powers; the Emperor must go to Rome to be absolved. All were touched with some compassion except the son. The Emperor surrendered everything, his castles, his treasures, his patrimony, his empire: he declared himself unworthy to reign any longer.

The Diet returned to Mentz, elected and invested Henry V. in the Empire, with the solemn warning that if he did not rule with justice and protect the Church, he must expect the fate of his father. A deputation of the most distinguished prelates from every part of Germany was sent to Rome to settle the terms of reconciliation between the Empire and the Pope.

But in the German people the natural feelings of jusresple in tice and of duty, the generous sympathies with favour of Henry IV. age and greatness and cruel wrong, were not extinguished as in the hearts of the princes by hatred and ambition, in the ecclesiastics by hatred and bigotry. In a popular insurrection at Colmar, caused partly by the misconduct of his own troops, the new Emperor was discomfited and obliged to fly with the loss

of the regalia of the Empire. The old Henry received warning from some friendly hand that nothing now awaited him but perpetual imprisonment or death. He made his escape to Cologne; the citizens heard the account of his sufferings with indignant compassion, and at once embarked in his cause. He retired to Liege, where he was received with the utmost honours by the Bishop Olbert and the inhabitants of the city.

The abdicated Emperor was again at the head of a powerful party. Henry of Lorraine and other princes of the Empire, incensed at his treatment, promised to meet him in arms at Liege, and there to celebrate the feast of The young Henry, intoxicated by his success, Easter. and miscalculating the strength of feeling aroused in his father's cause, himself proclaimed a Diet at Liege to expel his father from that city, and to punish those who had, presumed to receive him. He rejected with scorn his father's submissive, suppliant expostulations. So mistrustful had the old man become that he was with difficulty prevailed upon to remain and keep his Easter at Liege. His friends urged the unseemliness of his holding that great festival in some wild wood or cavern. But the enemy approached; Cologne offered no resistance: there the young Emperor observed Palm Sunday in great state. He advanced to Aix-la-Chapelle, but in an attempt to cross the Maes his troops suffered a shameful defeat. He fled back to Cologne; that city now ventured to close its gates and drove the king and the archbishop from their walls. Henry V. retired to Bonn, and there kept his Easter, but without imperial pomp.

At Worms he passed Whitsuntide, and laid Henry of Lorraine and all his father's partisans under the ban of the Empire: he summoned all the feudatories of Germany to meet at Wurzburg in July. Once more at the head of a formidable army he marched to crush the rebellion, as it was called, of his father, and to avenge the shame of his recent defeat. But Cologne had strengthened her walls and manned them with a large garrison. The city

resisted with obstinate valour. Henry V. was forced to undertake a regular siege, to blockade the town, and endeavour to reduce it by famine. His army advanced towards Aix-la-Chapelle; all negotiations failed from the mutual mistrust and animosity; a battle seemed inevitable which should decide the fate of the father and the son.

But Henry IV. was now beyond either the melancholy triumph over a rebellious son or the shame of de-Death of Henry. feat, and of those consequences which might have been anticipated if he had fallen again into those ruthless On the 7th of August Erlembold, the faithful hands. chamberlain of the Emperor, arrived in the camp of Henry with the diadem and sword of his father, the last ensigns of his imperial dignity. Worn out with fatigue and sorrow, Henry IV. had closed in peace his long and agitated life, his eventful reign of near fifty years. A.D. 1056-His dying prayers to his son were for forgiveness on account of these last acts of hostility, to which he had been driven by hard extremity, and the request that his earthly remains might repose with those of his ancestors in the cathedral of Spires.

No one can know whether any gentler emotions of pity, remorse, or filial love, in the tumult of rejoicing at this unexpected success, touched the heart of the son with tender remorse. The last request was inexorably refused; the Church continued its implacable warfare with the dead. The faithful Bishop of Liege, Olbert, conveyed the body of his sovereign in decent pomp to the church of St. Lambert. His nobler partisans had dispersed on all sides; but more true mourners, widows, orphans, the whole people crowded around as though they had lost a father; they wept, they kissed his bountiful hands, they embraced his cold body; they would scarcely permit it to be let down into the grave. Nor was this mere transient sorrow; they kept watch round the sepulchre, and wept and prayed for the soul of their deceased benefactor.<sup>k</sup>

Nevertheless, haughtily regardless of this better testimony to the Christian virtues of the Emperor than all

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>k</sup> Even Dodechin writes: "Enim-vero ut de eo omnia loquar, erat valde misericors." Having given an instance patiens et misericors in eleemosynis pauperum."—Apud Struvium, p. 677.

their solemn services, the bishops of the adverse party declared that he who was excommunicate in life was excommunicate in death. Olbert was compelled, as a penance for his precipitate act of gratitude and love, to disinter the body, which was placed in an unconsecrated building in an island on the Moselle. No sacred ceremonial was permitted; a single monk, just returned from Jerusalem, had the pious boldness to sing psalms beside it day and night. It was at length, by his son's permission, conveyed to Spires with a small attendance of faithful servants. It was received by the people, and even the clergy, with great honour and conveyed to the cathedral. At this the implacable bishop was seized with indignation; he imposed penance on all who had attended the procession, he prohibited the funeral service, and ordered the body to be placed in an unconsecrated chapel within the cathedral. The better Christianity of the people again rebuked the relentlessness of the bishop. They reminded him how the munificent Emperor had enriched the church of Spires; they recounted the ornaments of gold and silver and precious stones, the silken vestments, the works of art, the golden altar-table, richly wrought, a present of the eastern Emperor Alexius, which had made their cathedral the most gorgeous and famous in Germany. They loudly expressed their grief and dissatisfaction, and were hardly restrained from tumult. But they prevailed not. Yet the bier of Henry was still visited by unbought and unfeigning witnesses to his still more Christian oblations, his boundless charities. At length after five years of obstinate contention Henry was permitted to repose in the consecrated vault with his imperial ancestors.

## CHAPTER II.

## HENRY V. AND POPE PASCHAL II.

If it were ever unpresumptuous to trace the retributive justice of God in the destiny of one man, it might be acknowledged in the humiliation of Pope Paschal II. by the Emperor Henry V. The Pope, by his continual sanction, if not by direct advice, had trained the young Emperor in his inordinate ambition and his unscrupulous avidity for power. He had not rebuked his shameless perfidy or his revolting cruelty; he had absolved him from thrice-sworn oaths; he had released him from the great irrepealable obligations of nature and the divine law. Α rebel against his sovereign and his father was not likely, against his own interests or passions, to be a dutiful son or subject of his mother the Church, or of his spiritual supe-If Paschal suffered the result of his own lessons, if riors. he was driven from his capital, exposed to personal sufferings so great and menacing as to compel him to submit to the hardest terms which the Emperor chose to dictate, he had not much right to compassion. Paschal is almost the only later Pope who was reduced to the degrading necessity of being disclaimed by the clergy, of being forced to retract his own impeccable decrees, of being taunted in his own day with heresy, and abandoned as a feeble traitor to the rights of the Church by the dexterous and unscrupulous apologists of almost every act of the Papal See.

Hardly was Henry V. in peaceful possession of his father's throne when the dispute about the investitures was unavoidably renewed. The humble ally of the Church was not more inclined to concede the claims of the Teutonic sovereign than his contumacious and excommunicated father. The implacable enmity with which the Pope had pursued the aged Emperor turned immediately against himself. Instead of an adversary weary of strife, worn out with premature old age, under the ignominy not only of his former humiliation at the feet of Hildebrand, but of his recent expulsion from Italy, and with almost the whole of Germany in open arms, or leagued by discontent against him, Paschal had raised up an antagonist, a youth of unrivalled activity and unbridled ambition, flushed with the success of his rebellion, holding that authority over the princes of the Empire which sprang from their common engagement in a daring and unjustifiable cause, unencumbered with the guilt of having appointed the intrusive prelates, who held their sees without the papal sanction, yet sure of their support if he would maintain them in their dignities. The Empire had thus become far more formidable; and unless it would humbly cede all the contested rights (at such a time and under such a king an event most improbable) far more hostile.

Pope Paschal held a synod chiefly of Lombard bishops at Guastalla.<sup>a</sup> The first act was to revenge the synod of dignity of Rome against the rival see of Ra- Guastalla. venna, which for a century had set up an Anti-pope. Already, jealous no doubt of the miracles reported by his followers to be wrought at his tomb, Paschal had commanded the body of Guibert to be taken up from its sepulchre and cast into the Tiber. The metropolitan see of Ravenna was punished by depriving it of the province Æmilia, and its superiority over the bishoprics of Piacenza, Parma, Reggio, Modena, and Bologna. A prudent decree, which expressed profound sorrow for the divisions in Germany, acknowledged the titles of all those prelates who had been consecrated during the schism, and had received the imperial investiture, in fact of the whole episcopacy with few exceptions, in the Empire. Those alone who were usurpers, Simoniacs, or men of criminal character, were excluded from this act of amnesty. But another decree condemned the investiture by lay hands in the strongest terms, deposed the prelates who should hereafter admit, and excommunicated the laymen who should dare to exercise, this authority. Ambassadors from the young Emperor, the Bishops of Treves and Halberstadt, courteously solicited the presence of Paschal in Germany. They proposed a council to be held at Augs-

\* Labbe et Mansi, Concil. sub ann. 1106, Oct. 18.

burg to arrange definitively the ecclesiastical affairs of the Empire, at the same time expressing his hope that the Pope would fully concede all the rights of the Empire, an ambiguous phrase full of dangerous meaning."

The Pope acceded to the request, but the Emperor and the princes of the Empire held their Christmas at Augsburg, vainly awaiting his arrival. The Pope had advanced as far as Verona; a tumult in that city shook his confidence in the commanding sanctity of his presence. His more prudent counsellors suggested the unconquerable determination of the Germans to maintain the right of investiture, and the danger of placing himself in the power of a prince at once so daring and perfidious. Alte would be more safe in the friendly territory and under the less doubtful protection of the King of France. The acts of Henry might justify this mistrust. The king proceeded at once to invest the Bishops of Verdun and Halberstadt, and commanded the Archbishop of Treves to consecrate them; he reinstated the Bishop Udo, who had been deposed by the Pope, in the see of Hildesheim; he forced an abbot who was actually under an interdict in the monastery of St. Tron to violate his suspension. The papal clergy throughout Germany quailed before these vigorous So utterly were they prostrated that Gebhard measures. of Constance, Oderic of Passau, under the specious pretence of avoiding all communion with the excommunicate, had determined to engage in a foreign pilgrimage. Paschal entreats them to remain as shining lights, and not to leave-Germany a land of utter darkness.<sup>4</sup>

The tone of Henry's ambassadors, before a Council held by Pope Paschal at Troyes," in Champagne, was as haughty and unyielding. He demanded his full privilege of electing bishops, granted, according to his assertion, by the Pope to Charlemagne." He would not condescend to permit questions which related to the German Empire to be agitated in a foreign country, in France. At Rome

 Chronicon Usbergense, sub ann. 1107.
 <sup>d</sup> Epist. Gebhard Constant., &c. "Et in medio nationis pravæ et perversæ

tanquam luminaria lucere studeant."---Oct. 27, 1106.

<sup>4</sup> Chronicon/Usbergense, sub ann. 1107.

b "Quærens, ut jus sibi regni Concedat, sedi sanctæ cupit ipse fidelis Esse velut matri, subici sibi vel quasi patri." DONIZO.

<sup>\*</sup> May 23, 1107. The Archbishop of Mentz, Rothard, refused to be present at Troyes,

this great cause should be decided; and a year's truce was mutually agreed upon, to allow the Emperor to make his appearance in that city.

It was not, however, till the third year after this truce that Henry descended into Italy. These years were occupied by wars in Bohemia, Hungary, and Poland. Though not always or eventually successful, the valour and determination of Henry, as well as his unscrupulous use of treachery when force failed, strengthened the general dread of his power and his ambition.

In a great Diet at the Feast of the Epiphany, A.D. 1110, the Emperor announced his intention of proceeding Diet at Ratisbon. to Rome-I. For his coronation; the Pope had A.D. 1110. already expressed to the King's ambassadors his willingness to perform that ccremony, if Henry would declare himself a faithful son and protector of the church. II. To re-establish The Lombard Republics had now begun order in Italy. to assert their own freedom, and to wage furious battle against the freedom of their neighbours. Almost every city was at war with another; Milan with Lodi, Pavia with Tortona, Pisa with Lucca. III. To take measures for the protection of the Church in strict obedience to the Pope.<sup>g</sup> He delayed only to celebrate his betrothal with Matilda, the infant daughter of Henry I. of England.

The summons was obeyed in every part of the Empire. Above 30,000 knights, with their attendants, and Henry's the infantry, assembled under the Imperial banner, the most formidable army which for some centuries had descended from the Alps; and to be increased by the Italian partisans of the Emperor. Large contributions were made to defray the expenses of the expedition. order to cope with the Papal party, not in arms only, but likewise in argument, he was attended by the most learned of the Transalpine ecclesiastical scholars, ready to do theological battle in his cause.<sup>h</sup> Though an angry comet glared in the Heavens, yet the Empire seemed to adopt with eager loyalty this invasion of Italy.

The first act of Henry struck terror into all minds. With

to be the historian of the expedition. and by William of Malmesbury.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> "Ad nutum patris apostolici." His work is lost, but was used by the <sup>h</sup> His chaplain, David the Scot, was

a considerable division of the army, the Emperor himself descended from Savoy upon Ivrea, and reached Henry in Italy. Vercelli. Novara presumed to resist. The unfortunate town was given up to the flames, its walls razed to the ground. All the other cities of Lombardy, appalled by this example, sent their plate and large contributions in money to the Emperor. The haughty and populous Milan alone refused this mark of subjection.<sup>i</sup> The other division of the army had descended by the valley of Trent; the united forces assembled in the plains of Roncaglia, near Piacenza. The proud and politic Matilda had entertained the Imperial ambassadors on their return from Rome with friendly courtesy. The Emperor knew too well her importance not to attempt to gain her neutrality, if not her support; she was too prudent to offend a warlike sovereign at the head of such a force. She swore allegiance, and promised fealty against all enemies except the Pope. Henry confirmed her in all her possessions and privileges.

The army advanced, but suffered great losses both of horses and men, from continued heavy rains in the passes of the Apennines. The strong fortress of Pontremoli followed the example and shared the fate of Novara. At Florence Henry held his Christmas, and compelled Pisa and Lucca to make a treaty of peace. Such an army as Henry's was not likely to be restrained by severe discipline, nor was Henry likely to enforce discipline, unless from policy. Of many cities he gained possession by delusive offers of peace. No person or property was treated with respect; churches were destroyed; religious men seized and plundered, or expelled from their monasteries. In Arezzo Henry took the part of the clergy against the people, levelled the walls and fortifications, and destroyed great part of the city.<sup>k</sup>

And still his march continued unresisted and unchecked towards Rome. He advanced to Acquapendente, to Sutri. There the Pope, utterly defenceless, awaited this terrible visit. He had endeavoured to prevail on his vassals, the Norman princes of Calabria and Apulia, to succour

k Annalist. Saxo., sub ann. 1111.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>i</sup> "Aurea vasa sibi, necnon argentea misit Plurima, cum multis urbs omnis denique nummis. Nobilis urbs solum Mediolanum populosa

Non servivit ei, nummum neque contulit æris."-Donizo.

him in the hour of need; not a knight obeyed his summons.

· From the ruins of Arezzo Henry had sent forward an embassy-the Chancellor Albert, Count Godfrey Henry adof Calw, and other nobles, to negotiate with the Rome. Pontiff. Peter, the son of Leo, a man of Jewish descent, once a partisan of the Anti-pope Guibert, now a firm supporter of the Pope, who had extraordinary influence over the people of Rome, was called in to assist the Cardinals in their council. The dispute seemed hopelessly irreconcileable. The Pope could not cede the right of investiture, which his predecessors and himself in every Council, at Guastalla, at Troyes, still later at Benevento, and in the Lateran,<sup>m</sup> had declared to be a sacrilegious usurpation. Such an Emperor, at the head of an irresistible army, was not likely to abandon a right exercised by his ancestors in the Empire since the days of Charlemagne.

To the amazement and indignation of that age, and to the wonder of posterity,<sup>n</sup> the plain principles of right and equity began to make themselves heard. If the clergy would persist in holding large temporalities, they must hold them liable to the obligations and subordinate to the authority of the State. If they would surrender all these fiefs, royalties, privileges, and immunities, by which they were perpetually embroiled in secular concerns, and return into their purely ecclesiastical functions, all interference of the State with the consecration of bishops became a manifest invasion on the Church. The Church must content herself with its tithes and offerings, so the clergy would be relieved from those abuses inseparable from vast temporal possessions, and in Germany in general so flagrantly injurious to the sacred character. Through their vast territorial domains, bishops and abbots were not only compelled to perpetual attendance in the civil courts, but even bound to military service, by which they could scarcely escape being partakers in rapine, sacrilege, incendiarism, and homicide. The ministers of the altar had become ministers of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>m</sup> At Benevento, Oct. 1008; in the Lateran, 1110, March 7. Annalist. Saxo. apud Pertz, vi. 748. Annal. Hil-desheim, ibid. iii. 112. <sup>n</sup> "Annebè oggi si ha pena a credere, che un pontifice arrivassi a promettere una si smisurata concessione."—Mura-tori, Ann. d'Italia, sub ann. 1011.

court. Out of this arose the so branded monstrous claim of the right of investiture, which had been justly condemned by Gregory and by Urban. Remove the cause of the evil, the evil would cease.<sup>o</sup>

Pope Paschal, either in his fear, and in the consciousness of his desperate and helpless position,<sup>p</sup> or from some secret conviction that this was the real interest of the Church, as well as the most Christian course; or anticipating the unconquerable resistance of the clergy, which would release him from the fulfilment of his part of the treaty, and throw the whole prelacy and clergy on his side, suddenly acquiesced in this basis for the treaty.<sup>q</sup> The Church surrendered all the possessions and all the royalties which it had received of the Empire and of the kingdom of Italy from the days of Charlemagne, Louis the Pious, and Henry I.; all the cities, duchies, marguisates, countships, rights of coining money, customs, tolls, advocacies, rights of raising soldiers, courts and castles, held of the Feb. 12, 1111. Empire. The King, on his part, gave up the now vain and unmeaning form of Investiture.\*

The treaty was concluded in the porch of St. Peter's Church, it might seem, in the actual presence of the Apostle. The King pledged himself on the day of his coronation, in the sight of the clergy and the people, to grant the investiture of all the churches. The Pope, at the same time, was to confirm by an oath the surrender of all the royalties held by the Church. On one point alone the Pope was inflexible. Henry entreated permission to bury his father in consecrated ground. The Pope, who had already significantly reminded Henry that he had acknowledged and professed to abhor the wickedness

apud Stravium, p. 669. P He had already congratulated Henry, "quod patris nequitiam ab-

<sup>o</sup> The Emperor recites the letter of horreret." Paschal had been perplexed aschal. "In vestri autem regni parto show what wickedness of his father, bus episcopi vel abbates adeo curis as regards the Church, Heary abhorred. centaribus occupantur, at comitatam Chron. Casin.

<sup>4</sup> There is much which is contradictory in the statements. According to the writer of the Chronicon Cassinense, the trenty was concluded while Henry was still at Florence by Peter Lionis on the side of the Pope, and the ambassadors of Henry.

" "Advocatias regum, jura centurionum."

• The first convention in Pertz, Leg. ii. 68. Eccard, ii. 270.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>o</sup> The Emperor recites the letter of Paschal. "In vestri autem regni partibus episcopi vel abbates adeo curis secularibus occupantur, ut comitatum assiduè frequentare, et militiam exercere cogantur, que nimirum aut vix aut nullo modo sine rapinis, sacrilegiis, incendiis, aut homicidiis exhibetur. Ministri vero altoris, ministri curia facti sunt, quia cicitates, ducatus, marchionatus, monetas, turres, et cetera, ad regni serviliam pertimentia a regibus acceperunt."-Dodechin apud Struvium, p. 669.

CHAP. II.

of his father, infamous throughout the world, declared that the martyrs sternly exacted the expulsion of that guilty man from their churches; they would hold no communion in death with him who died out of communion with the Church.

The King pressed this point no further; but he consented to swear never hereafter to intermeddle in the investiture of the churches, which clearly did not belong to the Empire, or to disturb them in the free possession of oblations or property. He was to restore and maintain to the Holy See the patrimony of St. Peter, as it had been granted by Pepin, by Charlemagne, and by Louis. He was to pledge himself neither in word nor thought to injure either in life or limb, or by imprisonment by himself or others, the Pope or any of his adherents, by name Peter, the son of Leo, or his sons, who were to be hostages for the Pope.  $\Lambda \Pi$ the great princes of the Empire, among them Frederick Prince of Swabia, the Chancellor Albert, were to guarantee by oath the fulfilment of the treaty. Both sides gave hostages: the Emperor his nephew Frederick of Swabia, Bruno Bishop of Spires, and three others; the Pope the sons or kindred of Peter, the son of Leo. The Pope not only consented on these terms to perform the rite of coronation, he also pledged himself never hereafter to disturb the Emperor or the Empire on these questions; to bind his successors by an anathema not to presume to break this treaty. And Peter the son of Leo pledged himself, if the Pope should fail in his part of the contract, to espouse the cause of the Emperor, and to be his faithful vassal.

Such was the solemn compact between the two great Powers of Latin Christendom. The oaths may still be read with which it was ratified by the contracting parties."

On Saturday, the 11th of February, Henry appeared on the Monte Mario. A deputation from the city met him, and required his oath to respect the liberties of Rome. Henry, perhaps from ignorance of the language, replied in German; a suspicion of treachery arose; the Romans

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Hostis enim nequitiam, toto jam pellerentur, ut quibus visentibus non sweulo diffamatam, et interius cognos-ceret, et gravius abhorreret.... Ipsos etiam Dei Martyres jam in colestibus positos id terribiliter exegisse sciret, ut "Apud Pertz. Mansi, sub ann. sceleratorum cadavera de suis Basilicis

withdrew in deep but silent mistrust. The hostages were exchanged on each side; Henry ratified his compact, and guaranteed to the Pope, besides the patrimony of St. Peter, that which belonged to neither, Apulia, Calabria, Sicily, and the principality of Capua.

The next day (Sunday) a magnificent procession of the Procession to authorities and of the people, under their different St. Peter's. banners, escorted the King into the city! The standards of the old Republic and the new religion were mingled together. The torchbearers, the bearers of the Cross, the Eagles, the banners emblazoned with the Lion, the Wolf, and the Dragon.\* The people strewed flowers and palm-branches; all the guilds and schools marched in their array. According to usage, at two different places the Emperor took the oath to protect and maintain the franchises of the people. The Jews before the gate of the Leonine City, the Greeks in the gate itself, the whole people as he passed through the streets, welcomed him with songs and hymns and all royal honours. He dismounted from his horse, ascended the steps of St. Peter, approached the Pope, who was encircled by the cardinals, by many bishops, by the whole clergy and choir of the Church.<sup>y</sup> He kissed first the feet, and then the mouth of the Pontiff; they embraced three times, and three times in honour of the Trinity exchanged the holy kiss on the forehead, the eyes, and the lips. All without was the smoothest and most cordial harmony, but within there was profound misgiving. Henry had demanded that the gates and towers of the Vatican should be occupied by his soldiery.

The King took the right hand of the Pope; the people rent the air with acclamations. The King made Henry Emperor. his solemn declaration to observe the treaty; the Pope declared him Emperor, and again the Pope bestowed the kiss of peace. They now took their seats within the. porphyry chancel.

But after all this solemn negotiation, this imposing preparation, which would trust the other; which would

Annalista Saxo.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>y</sup> The Chron. Casin. makes Henry mount his horse again, and as it should seem ride up the steps, for he dismounts again to greet the Pope. This is not

unimportant, as the monk makes Henry -

first venture to make the full, the irrevocable concession? The character of Henry justifies the darkest suspicion of his treachery, but the Pope must by this time-have known that the Church would never permit him to ratify the rash. and prodigal concession to which he was pledged so solemnly. -All the more lofty Churchmen had heard with amazement that the successor of Hildebrand and of Urban had surren-· dered at once half the dignity, more than half of the power, the independence, perhaps the wealth of the Church. The Cardinals, no doubt, as appointed by the late Popes, were mostly high Hildebrandines. Many of the Lombard bishops held rights and privileges in the cities which would have been at the least emperilled by this unlimited surrender of all royalties. But the blow was heaviest on the Transalpine prelates. The great prince bishops of Germany ceased at once to be princes; they became but bishops. They were to yield up all their pomp, all their vast temporal power. It was the avowed design to banish them from the camp, the council, and the court, and to confine them to the cathedral. They were no longer, as holding the most magnificent imperial fiefs, to rank with the counts, and dukes, and princes; to take the lead at the Diet; to grant or to withhold their contingent of armed men for service under the Imperial banner; to ride abroad with a splendid retinue; to build not only sumptuous palaces but strong castles; to be the great justiciaries in their cities, to levy tolls, appoint markets and havens. Their sole occupation henceforth was to be their spiritual cure, the services in their churches, the superintendence of their dioceses; the clergy were to be their only vassals, their honour only that which they might command by their sacerdotal character, their influence that only of the chief spiritual pastor within their sees. The Pope might seem deliberately and treacherously to sacrifice all the higher ecclesiastics, to strip them remorselessly of all those accessories of outward show and temporal influence (some of the better prelates might regret the loss of that power, as disabling them from the protection of the poor against the rich, of the oppressed against the oppressor): at the same time he secured himself; to him the patrimony of St. Peter was to be confirmed in its utmost amplitude.

He, and he only, was still to be independent of the tithes and oblations of the faithful; to be a sovereign, at least with all the real powers of a sovereign.

They sate, then, the Emperor and the Pope, watching each other's movements; each determined not to commit himself by some hasty word or act. The object of each was to throw upon the other the shame and obloguy of the violation of contract. Their historians have faithfully inherited their mistrust and suspicion, and cast the blame of the inevitable breach on either of the irreconcileable parties. Henry indeed is his own historian, and asserts the whole to have been a stratagem on the part of the Pope to induce him to abandon the claim to the investiture. And no doubt the advantage was so clearly on the side of the king that even some of his own seemingly most ardent adherents might dread, and might endeavour to interrupt, a treaty which threw such immense power into his hands. Not merely was he relieved from the salutary check of the ecclesiastical feudatories, but some of the superior nobles becoming his vassals, holding directly of the Emperor instead of intermediately of the Church, were less safe from tyranny and oppression. On the other hand, it is asserted that Henry had determined never to concede the investiture—that this was one more added to his acts of perfidy and falsehood.<sup>z</sup>

At length the king withdrew into a private chamber to consult with his nobles and his prelates: among these were three Lombard bishops, of Parma, Reggio, and Piacenza. His principal adviser was the Chancellor Albert, afterwards Archbishop of Mentz, a man of daring and ambition: of the secrets of this council nothing transpired.

Time wore away. The Transalpine prelates, to remonstrate, (no doubt their remonstrance deepened into expostulation, into menace,) threw themselves at the feet of the. Pope. Paschal, if credit is to be given to the most full and distinct account, still held the lofty religious doctrine that all should be surrendered to Cæsar which belonged to Cæsar, that the clergy should stand altogether aloof from temporal concerns.<sup>a</sup> This doctrine, it might have

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Annal. Roman., p. 474; Eccard, Pandulf. Pisan.; Chron. Casin. Chron.; Annal. Hildesheim, 1111; <sup>\*</sup> Chronic. Casin.

been supposed, would have been most acceptable to the ears of Cæsar, who had now resumed his place. But instead of the calm ratification of the treaty, the assembly became more and more tumultuous. Loud voices clamoured that the treaty could not be fulfilled.<sup>b</sup> A partisan of Henry exclaimed, "What need of this dispute? Our Emperor shall receive the crown as it was received by Charlemagne, by Pepin, and by Louis!" The Pope refused to proceed to the ceremony. As it grew later he proposed to adjourn the meeting. The Imperialists, as the strife grew more hot, took measures to prevent the Pope from leaving the church until he should have performed the coronation. He and the clergy were surrounded by files of soldiers; they were scarcely allowed approach to the altar to provide the elements for the Eucharist or to celebrate the evening mass. After that mass they again sate under guard before the Confessional of St. Peter, and only at nightfall were permitted, under the same strict custody, to retire into an adjacent building. Acts of violence were committed; some of the attendant boys and even the clergy were beaten and stripped of their vestments: two bishops, John of Tusculum and Leo of Ostia, made their escape in disguise.

The populace of Rome, as soon as they heard of the imprisonment of the Pope, indignant at his treatment, or at least hating the Germans, who had already given much cause for suspicion and animosity, rose in furious insurrec-They slew all the unarmed Teutons who had tion. come up to the city for devotion or for trade. The next day they crossed the Tiber, attacked the army without the walls, and, flushed with some success, turned upon the Emperor and his troops, which occupied St. Peter's : they almost got possession of the porch of the church. The Emperor, who had mounted his horse, half armed, and charged into the fray, having transfixed five Romans with his lance, was thrown from his horse and wounded in the face. A devoted adherent, Otho, a Milanese count, gave the Emperor his horse, but was himself taken prisoner, carried

U

VOL. III.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> The monk of Monte Casino would of Henry; probably the loudest remonpersuade us that this was a cry strants were Transalpines. treacherously got up by the *partisins* 

into the streets and torn limb from limb: his flesh was thrown to the dogs. The Emperor shouted to his knights in a tone of bitter reproach, "Will ye leave your Emperor to be murdered by the Romans?" The chivalrous spirit kindled at his voice; the troops rallied; the battle lasted till nightfall, when the Romans, having plundered the dead, turned back towards the city with their booty. But the Imperialists had now recovered from their surprise, charged the retreating enemy, and slaughtered a great number, who would not abandon their plunder to save their lives. The castle of St. Angelo alone, which was in the power of the Romans, checked the Germans and protected the passage of the river.

All that night the warlike Bishop of Tusculum<sup>c</sup> harangued the Romans, and exhorted them to rescue the Pope and the cardinals from the hands of their ungodly enemies; he lavished on all sides his offers of absolution.

Henry found it prudent after three days to with-Feb. 16. draw from the neighbourhood of Rome: his army was on the wrong side of the Tiber, which lav between him and the city. He marched along the Flaminian Way towards Soracte, crossed the Tiber, and afterwards the Anio, and there joined his Italian adherents. On that side of Rome he concentrated his forces and wasted the whole territory. His prisoners, the Pope, the bishops, and the cardinals, were treated with great indignity, the Pope stripped of his robes of state, the clergy bound with ropes. The Pope, with two bishops and four cardinals, were imprisoned in the castle of Treviso; no one of his Roman adherents was permitted to approach him; the other cardinals were confined in the castle of Corcodilo.

The indefatigable Bishop of Tusculum showed the utmost energy in keeping up the resistance of the Roman people. But no help could be expected from the Normans. Duke Roger and his brother Bohemond were just dead; the Normans could only hope to protect their own territories against the advance of the Emperor. The Prince of Capua made an attempt to throw 300 men into

<sup>•</sup> The Bishop of Tusculum enhances Compare his letter to the Bishop of Alba. the prowess and success of the Romans. —Labbe, p. 775.

CHAP. II.

Rome; at Ferentino he found the Count of Tusculum posted, with other Italian partisans of Henry: his troops returned to Capua.

Two months passed away.<sup>d</sup> The German army wasted the whole land with merciless cruelty up to the gates of Rome. But still the resolute Paschal refused to acquiesce in the right of investiture or to crown the Emperor. Henry is said, in his wrath, to have threatened to cut off the heads of the Pope and all the cardinals. In vain the weary and now dispirited cardinals urged that he gave up only the investiture of the royalties, not of the spiritual powers; in vain they represented the danger of a new schism which might distract the whole Church. The miseries of his Roman subjects at length touched the heart of Paschal; with many tears he exclaimed, "I am compelled, for the deliverance of the Church and for the sake of peace, to yield what I would never have yielded to save my own life."

Near Ponte Mommolo over the Anio, this treaty was ratified. The Pope surrendered to the Emperor the right of investiture over the bishops and abbots of the Empire. He promised to take no revenge for what had passed, more especially solemnly pledged himself not to anathematise Henry, but to crown him as King, Emperor, and Patrician of Rome, and to render him all due allegiance. The king on his part covenanted to set the Pope, the cardinals, and all his other prisoners at liberty, and not to take them again into captivity; to make peace with the Romans and all Treaty. the adherents of the Pope; to maintain the Pope in the possession of his sacred dignity, to restore all the property of which he had been despoiled, and, saving the dignity

of the kingdom and of the Empire, to be obedient to the

<sup>d</sup> The rest of February and the whole of March, with some days of April.

" "Proponebatur pontifici captivorum calamitates quod amissis liberis et uxoribus domo et patriâ exules durioribus compedibusabducebantur. Proponebatur Ecclesiæ Romanæ desolatio, quæ pene omnes Cardinales amiserat. Proponebatur gravissimum schismatis pericu-lum, quod pene universæ Latinæ ecclesiæ immineret. Victus tandem miseriis fi-in loc. Also Annalista Saxo.

liorum, laborans gravibus suspiriis et gemitibus, et in lacrymis totus effusus ecclesiæ pro liberatione ac pace hoc pati, hoc permittere, quod pro vita mea nullatenus consentirem."-Annal. Roman, p. 475. An Imperialist writer strangely compares the conduct of Pope as other Catholic sovereigns to other Catholic Pontiffs of Rome.

The Germans suspected that into the written treaty might furtively be introduced some protest that the Pope was under force. Count Albert Blandrade declared to Paschal that his concession must be unconditional. "If "I may not add a written condition," replied the Pope, "I will do it by word." He turned to the Emperor: "So will we fulfil our oath as thou givest assurance that "thou wilt fulfil thine." The Emperor could not but assent. Fourteen cardinals and ecclesiastics on the part of the Pope, fourteen princes of the Empire on that of Henry, guaranteed by oath the fulfilment of the treaty. The written compact menaced with the anathema of the Church all who should infringe, or contumaciously persist in infringing, this Imperial privilege. No bishop was to be consecrated till he had received investiture.

The army advanced again to Rome; they crossed the Salarian bridge and entered the Leonine city be-Coronation of yond the Tiber. With closed doors, fearful of the Emperer. Some new tumult of the people, the Pope, in the church of St. Peter, performed the office of coronation. Both parties seemed solicitous to array the treaty in the most binding solemnities. That there might appear no compulsion, the Emperor, as soon as he had been crowned, replaced the charter of his privilege in the Pope's hand, and received it a second time, contrary to all usage, from his hands. The mass closed the ceremony; the Pope brake the Host: "As this part of the living body of the Lord is severed from the rest, so be he severed from the Church of Christ who shall violate this treaty."

A deputation of the Romans was then permitted to enter the church; they presented the Emperor with the golden diadem, the insignia of the Patriciate and Defensorship of the city of Rome. Yet Henry did not enter, as his predecessors were wont, the unruly city; he withdrew to his camp, having bestowed rich gifts upon the clergy and taken hostages for their fidelity: the Pope passed by the bridge over the Tiber into Rome.

The Emperor returned to Germany, having extorted in one successful campaign that which no power had been able to wring from the more stubborn Hildebrand and Urban. So great was the terror of his name that the devout defender of the Pope and of his supremacy, the Countess Matilda, scrupled not to maintain the most friendly relations with him. She would not indeed leave her secure fortress, but the Emperor condescended to visit her at Bianello; he conversed with her in German, with which, as born in Lorraine, she was familiar, released at her request the Bishops of Parma and Reggio, called her by the endearing name of mother, and invested her in the sovereignty of the province of Liguria.

It would be unjust to Paschal not to believe him sincere in his desire to maintain this treaty, so publicly Dissatisfaction made, so solemnly ratified. But he could no in Rome. more resist the indignation of the clergy than the menaces of the Emperor. The few cardinals who had been imprisoned with him as his accomplices feebly defended him; all the rest with one voice called upon him immediately to annul the unholy, the sacrilegious compact; to excommunicate the Emperor who had dared to extort by violence such abandonment of her rights from the Church. The Pope, who was omnipotent and infallible to advance the authority of the Church, when he would make any concession lost at once his power and infallibility. The leader of the old Hildebrandine party, more papal than the Pope himself, was Bruno, afterwards a saint, then Bishop of Segni and abbot elect of Monte Casino. He addressed the Pope to his face: "They say that I am thine enemy; I am not thine enemy: I owe thee the love and reverence of a father. But it is written, he who loveth father or mother more than me, is not worthy of me. I love thee, but I love Him more who made both me and thee." He proceeded to denounce the treaty, to arraign the Pope for violation of the apostolic canons, for heresy. "If I do not deprive him of his Abbey," said the Pope in his bitterness, "he will deprive me of the Papacy."<sup>f</sup> The monks of Monte Casino, at the Pope's instigation, chose another abbot, and as the new July 5. abbot was supported by arms, Bruno gave up his claims and retired to his bishopric of Segni.

f Chronic. Casin.

The oath which the Pope had taken, and ratified by Embarrassment such awful circumstances, embarrassed the Pope of the Pope. alone. The clergy, who had incurred no danger, suffered no indignity or distress, taunted him with his weakness, contrasted his pliancy with the nobly obstinate resolution of Hildebrand and of Urban, and exhorted him to an act of perfidy and treason of which he would bear at least the chief guilt and shame. Paschal was sorely beset. He sought for reasons which might justify him to the world and to himself for breaking faith with the Emperor; he found none, but the refusal to surrender certain castles and strongholds in the papal territory, and some vague charges of ill-usage towards the hostages.<sup>g</sup> At one time he threatened to lay down his dignity and to retire as a hermit to the desert island of Pontia. At length the violent and incessant reproaches of the cardinals, and what might seem the general voice of the clergy, overpowered his honour, his conscience, his religion. In a letter to the Archbishop of Vienne he declared that he had acted only from compulsion, that he had yielded up the right of investiture only to save the liberties of the Church and the city of Rome from total ruin;<sup>h</sup> he declared the whole treaty null and void, condemned it utterly, and confirmed all the strong decrees of Gregory VII. and of Urban II. When this intelligence was communicated to the Emperor, his German nobles were so indignant that the legate, had he not been protected by the Emperor, would hardly have escaped with his life.

But more was necessary than this unauthoritative letter of the wavering Pope to annul this solemn treaty, to reconcile by a decree of the Church the mind of man to this signal breach of faith and disregard of the most sacred oath.

In March (the next year) a council assembled in the

March 18, 1112. Lateran Council. Lateran Palace; almost all the cardinals, whether bishops, priests, or abbots, were present, more than a hundred prelates, almost all from the south of

<sup>6</sup> See his letter, apud Eccard, ii. 274 and 275. "Ex quo vobiscum illam, quam nostis, pactionem fecimus, non solum longius positi, sed ipsi etiam, qui circa nos suut, celvicem adversus nos crex-

erunt, et intestinis bellis viscera nostra collacerant, et multo faciem nostram rubore perfundunt."—Oct. 26, 1111.

<sup>h</sup> Card. Arragon, ap. Muratori.

Italy, from the north only the Venetian patriarch, from France the Archbishops of Lyons and Vienne, from Germany none.

The Pope, by a subtle subterfuge, endeavoured to reconcile his personal observance with the absolute abro-Equivoca-gation of the whole treaty. He protested that, though Pope. the Emperor had not kept faith with him, he would keep faith with the Emperor; that he would neither disquiet him on the subject of the investitures, nor utter an anathema against him,<sup>i</sup> though he declared the act of surrender compulsory, and so not obligatory: his sole unadvised act, an evil act which ought by God's will to be corrected. At the same time, with consummate art, he made his profession of faith, for his act had been tainted with the odious name of heresy; he declared his unalterable belief in the Holy Scriptures, in the statutes of the Œcumenic Councils, and, as though of equal obligation with these, in the decrees of his predecessors Gregory and Urban, decrees which asserted lay investiture to be unlawful and impious, and pronounced the layman who should confer, or the churchman who should accept such investiture, actually excommunicate. He left the Council to do that which he feared or scrupled to do. The Council proceeded to its sentence, which unequivocally cancelled and declared void, under pain of excommunication, this privilege, extorted, it was said, by the violence of Henry. The whole assembly with loud acclamations testified their assent, "Amen! Amen! So be it! So be it!"k

But Henry was still within the pale of the Church, and Paschal refused so flagrantly to violate his oath, Council of to which on this point he had been specifically Viewe ex-pledged with the most binding distinctness. The the Emperor. more zealous churchmen determined to take upon themselves this act of holy vengeance. A council assembled at Vienne, under the Archbishop Guido, afterwards Pope Calixtus II. The Emperor condescended to send his ambassadors with letters, received, as he asserted, from the

sub ann. 1112. Acta Concilii, apud Pertz.

i " Ego eum nunquam anathematisabo, et nunquam de investituris inquietabo, porro scriptum illud, quod magnis necessitatibus coactus, non pro vita meâ, non pro salute aut gloria meà, sed pro non pro salate aut gloria meà, sed pro \* "Neque vero dici debet privilegium solis ecclesiæ necessitatibus sine fratrum sed pravilegium."—Labbe et Mansi, consilio aut subscriptionibus feci, super

quo nulla conditione, nulla promissione constringimur !- pravè factum confiteor, et omnino corrigi, domino præstante, desidero."-Cardin. Arragon, loc. cit.

Pope since the decree of the Lateran Council, in which the Pope professed the utmost amity, and his desire of peace. The council were amazed, but not disturbed or arrested in their violent course; as they considered themselves sanctioned in their meeting by the Pope, they proceeded to their decree. One metropolitan Council took upon itself to excommunicate the Emperor. They declared investiture by lay hands to be a heresy; by the power of the Holy Ghost they annulled the privilege granted by the Pope, as extorted by violence. "Henry, the King of the Germans, like another Judas, had betraved the Pope by kissing his feet, had imprisoned him with the cardinals and other prelates, and had wrung from him by force that most impious and detestable charter; him we excommunicate, anathematise, cast out of the bosom of the Church, till he give full satisfaction." These decrees were sent to the Pope, with a significant menace, which implied great mistrust in his firmness. "If you will confirm these decrees, and abstain from all intercourse, will reject all presents from that cruel tyrant, we will be your faithful sons; if not, so God be propitious to us, you will compel us to renounce all subjection and obedience."<sup>m</sup>

To this more than papal power the Pope submitted; he ratified the decree of the Council of Vienne, thus Oct. 29. doing by others what he was solemnly sworn not to do himself; allowing what was usually supposed an inferior tribunal to dispense with the oath which he dared not himself retract; by an unworthy sophistry trying to obtain the advantage without the guilt of perjury.<sup>n</sup>

But these things were not done without strong remonstrance, and that from the clergy of France. A protest was issued, written by the learned Ivo of Chartres, and adopted by the Archbishop of Sens and his clergy, denying the temporal claim to the investitures to be heresy, and disclaiming all concurrence in these audacious proceedings.<sup>o</sup>

A good and prudent emperor might have defied an interdict issued by less than the Pope. But the Discontent and revolt of the German man who had attained his sovereignty by such prelates. violent and unjustifiable means was not likely to

m Letter of Archbishop of Vienne, and the account of the Council, apud Labbe et Mansi, A.D. 1112.

<sup>a</sup> Mansi. Bouquet, xv. 52. <sup>o</sup> Apud Labbe et Mansi, sub ann. 1112.

exercise it with justice and moderation. He who neither respected the authority nor even the sacred person of his father and Emperor, nor the more sacred person of the Pope, would trample under foot, if in his way, the more vulgar rights of vassals or of subjects. Henry condescended indeed to attempt a reconciliation with his father's friends, to efface the memory of his ingratitude by tardy piety. He celebrated with a mockery of splendour the funeral of his father (he had wrung at length the unwilling sanction of the Pope) in the cathedral of Spires; he bestowed munificent endowments and immunities on that Church. The city of Worms was rewarded by special privileges for her long-tried attachment to the Emperor Henry IV., an attachment which, if it could be transferred, might be equally necessary to his son. For while Henry V. aspired to rule as a despot, he soon discovered that he wanted despotic power; he found that the habit of rebellion, which he had encouraged for his own ends, would be constantly recoiling against himself. His reign was almost one long civil war. Prince after prince, either alienated by his pride or by some violent invasion of their rights, the seizure and sequestration of their fiefs, or interference with their succession, raised the standard of revolt. Instead of reconciling the ecclesiastical princes and prelates by a temperate and generous use of the right of investiture, he betraved, or was thought to betray, his determination to re-annex as much of the ecclesiastical domains as he could to the Empire. The excommunication was at once a ready justification for the revolt of the great ecclesiastical vassals of the Empire, and a formidable weapon in their hands. From the first his acts had been held in detestation by some of the Transalpine prelates. Gerard, Archbishop of Salzburg, had openly condemned him; the holy Conrad retired into the desert, where he proclaimed his horror of such deeds. The monks of Hirschau, as their enemies the monks of Laurisheim declared, spoke of the Emperor as an excommunicated heretic. The Archbishop of Cologue almost alone defied the whole force of Henry, repelled his troops, and gradually drew into one party the great body of malcontents. Almost the whole clergy by degrees threw themselves into the papal faction

The Legates of the Pope, of their own authority it is true, and without the express sanction of the Pope, disseminated and even published the act of excommunication in many quarters. It was renewed in a synod at Beauvais, with the sanction of the metropolitan; it was formally pronounced in the Church of St. Geryon at Cologne. The inhabitants of Mentz, though imperialists at heart, rose in insurrection, and compelled the Emperor to release their archbishop Albert, once Henry's most faithful partisan, his counsellor throughout all the strong proceedings against Pope Paschal in Italy, but now having been raised to the German primacy by Henry's influence, his mortal enemy.<sup>p</sup> Albert had been thrown into prison on a charge of high treason; he was worn to a skeleton by his confinement. He became an object of profound compassion to all the enemies of Henry; his bitter and powerful mind devoted itself to revenge. Erlang, Bishop of Wurtzburg, of whose fidelity Henry thought himself secure, was sent to negotiate with the revolted princes and prelates, and fell off at once to the papal party.

While half Germany was thus at open war with the Emperor, the death of the great Countess Matilda Death of imperiously required his presence in Italy. If the Countess July 24, 1115 Pope obtained peaceable possession of her vast inheritance, which by formal instruments she had made over on her death to the Apostolical See, the Pontiff · became a kind of king in Italy. The Emperor immediately announced his claim not only to all the Imperial fiefs, to the march of Tuscany, to Mantua and other cities, but to all the allodial and patrimonial inheritance held by the Countess;<sup>q</sup> and thus sprung up a new subject of irreconcileable strife between the Popes and Emperors. Henry expressed his determination to cross the Alps in the course of the following year.

At Rome the preparations of Henry for his second descent into Italy were heard by some with apprehension,

<sup>p</sup> The Pope arged his release; his only fault had been too great love for Henry. "Quantum novimus, quantum *experti sumus*, testimonium fecimus, quia te super omnia diligebat."—Epist: Paschal, apud Eccard, ii. 276. Mansi, sub am 1113. <sup>9</sup> Muratori suggests that the Emperor put forward the claim of the house of Bavaria, insisting that they were settled on Duke Guelf the younger, on his mar-riage. This claim was acknowledged afterwards by the Emperor Frederick Barbarössa. Barbaròssa.

sub ann. 1113.

by some with a fierce determination to encounter, or even to provoke his worst hostility in defence of the rights of the Church. Early in the spring which was to Lateran behold this descent, a Council was summoned March 6, 1116. in the Lateran. The clergy awaited in jealous impatience, the Hildebrandine party mistrusting the courage of the Pope to defy the Emperor, the more moderate doubting his firmness to resist their more violent brethren. As yet the great momentous question was not proposed. There was first a preliminary one, too important, even in the present state of affairs, not to receive due attention; it related to the Archbishopric of Milan. Grossolano, a man of learning and moderation, had been elected to that metropolitan see; he had taken the cross and gone to the Holy Land. During his absence the clergy of Milan had, on some charge of simoniacal proceeding (he may not have been so austerely opposed as they might wish to the old unextinguished faction of the married clergy), or, as it is alleged, because he had been uncanonically translated from the see of Savona, declared him to have forfeited his They proceeded to elect Giordano, represee. sented, by no friendly writer, as a man without education, perhaps of the monastic school, and of no great weight. Giordano had been consecrated by three suffragans: -Landolf Bishop of Asti, who attempted to fly, but was, brought back and compelled to perform the office; Arialdo Bishop of Genoa; and Mamardo Bishop of Turin. Mamardo hastened to Rome to demand the metropolitan pall for Giordano. The Archiepiscopate of Milan was of too great dignity and influence not to be secured at any cost for the high party. The Pope abandoned unheard the cause of Grossolano, and sent the pall to Giordano, but he was not to be arrayed in it till he had sworn fidelity to the Pope, and sworn to refuse investiture from the Emperor. For six months Giordano stedfastly declined to receive the pall on these terms. A large part of the people of Milan were still in favour of Grossolano, and seemed determined to proceed to extremities in his favour. The Bishops Azzo of Acqui, and Arderic of Lodi, strong Imperialists, took up the cause of Grossolano. Already was Giordano's determination shaken ; when Grossolano, on his return from

the Holy Land, having found his see occupied, nevertheless entered Milan. His partisans seized the towers of the Roman Gate; Giordano at once submitted to the Papal terms; and, arrayed in the pall, proclaimed himself Archbishop on the authority of the Pope. After some strife, and not without bloodshed of the people, and even of the nobles, Grossolano was driven from Milan; he was glad to accept of terms of peace, and even pecuniary aid A.D. 1113. (the exhaustion of his funds may account for his discomfiture) from his rival; he retired first to Piacenza. afterwards to Rome, to submit to the decision of the Pope."

But this great cause was first mooted in the Council of Lateran. There could be no doubt for which A.D. 1116. Archbishop of Milan-one who had sworn not to accept investiture from the Emperor, or one at least suspected of Imperialist views-it would declare. Giordano triumphed; and whether as part of the price stipulated for the judgment, or in gratitude and bold zeal for the cause which he had espoused, he returned rapidly to Milan. Henry was on the crest of the Alps above him; yet he dared, with the Roman Cardinal John of Cremona, to publish from the pulpit of the principal church, the excommunication of the Emperor. Even this affair of Milan, important as it was, had hardly commanded the attention of the Lateran Council. But when, after this had been dispatched, some other questions were proposed concerning certain disputes between the Bishops of Pisa and Lucca, they would no longer brook delay, a Bishop sprang up and exclaimed, "What have we to do with these temporal matters, when the highest interests of the Church are in The Pope arose; he reverted, in few words, to veril?"s his imprisonment, and to the crimes and cruelties to which the Roman people had been exposed at the time of his "What I did, I did to deliver the Church and concession. people of God from those evils. I did it as a man who am dust and ashes. I confess that I did wrong: I entreat you, offer your prayers to God to pardon me. That writing

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup> Eccard, Chronic. Landulf junior, apud Muratori S. H. T. V. sub ann. <sup>\*</sup> It was rumoured in Germany that Emperor's charter of investiture.

signed in the camp of the King, justly called an unrighteous decree, I condemn with a perpetual anathema. Be its memory accursed for ever!"<sup>t</sup> The Council shouted their acclamation. The loudest voice was that of Bruno, the Bishop of Segni-"Give thanks to God that our Lord Pope Paschal condemns with his own mouth his March 8. unrighteous and heretical decree." In his bitter triumph he added, "He that uttered heresy is a heretic." "What!" exclaimed John of Gaeta, "dost thou presume in our presence, to call the Roman Pontiff a heretic? What he did was wrong, but it was no heresy." "It was done," said another Bishop, " to deliver the people." The Pope interposed with calm dignity : he commanded silence by his gesture. "Give ear, my brethren; this Church has never yielded to heresy. It has crushed all heresies-Arian, Eutychian, Sabellian, Photinian. For our Lord himself said, in the hour of his Passion, I have prayed for thee, O Peter, that thy faith fail not."

But the strife was not over. On the following day. Paschal, with his more moderate counsellors, John Paschal atraid of his own act. into negotiations with the Ambassador of Henry, Peter Abbot of Clugny. The majesty of the Papal presence could not subdue the indignant murmurs of the more Papal party, who insisted on the Church holding all its endowments, whether fiefs of the temporal power or not, absolutely and without control. At length Conon, Cardinal of Præneste, broke out, and demanded whether Conon. the Pope acknowledged him to have been his Preneste. legate in Germany, and would ratify all that he had done as legate. The Pope acknowledged him in these terms: "What you have approved, I have approved; what you have condemned; I have condemned." Conon then declared that he had first in Jerusalem, and afterwards five times, in five councils, in Greece, in Hungary, in Saxony, in Lorraine, in France, excommunicated the Emperor. The same, as appeared from his letters, had been done by the Archbishop at Vienne. That excommunication was now therefore confirmed by the Pope, and became his act. Α feeble murmur of dissent soon died away; the Pope kept silence.

<sup>t</sup> Usbergensis, and Labbe and Mansi sub ann.

But Paschal's troubles increased. If the Emperor should again appear before Rome, in indignation at the broken treaty, and, by temperament and habit little disposed to be scrupulous in his measures against an enemy whom treaties could not bind, his only hope of resistance was in the attachment of the Roman people. That attachment was weakened at this unlucky moment by unforeseen circumstances. The Præfect of Rome died, and Paschal was persuaded to appoint the son of Peter Leonis to that office. The indelible taint of his Jewish descent, and his Jewish wealth, made Peter an object of envy and unpopularity. The vulgar called him a Jew, an usurerequivalent titles of hatred. The people chose the son of the late Præfect, a boy, and presented him to the Pope for his confirmation. On the Pope's refusal, tumults broke out in all the city; skirmishes took place between the populace and the soldiers of the Pope during the Holy Week. The young Præfect was taken in the country by the Pope's soldiers, and rescued by his uncle, the Count Ptolemy. The contest thus spread into the country. The whole territory of Rome, the coast, Rome itself, was in open rebellion. The Pope was so alarmed that he retired to Sezza. The populace revenged themselves on the houses of Peter Leonis and those of his adherents.

\*The Emperor had passed the Alps; he was received in Venice by the Doge Ordelaffo Faliero with loyal March 29. magnificence. Some of the other great cities of · Lombardy followed the example. The Emperor had taken peaceable possession of the territories of the Henry in Italy. April 3. Countess Matilda : neither then, nor during his lifetime, did the Pope or his successors contest this title. Italy could not but await with anxious apprehension the crisis of this second, perhaps personal strife between the Emperor and the Pope. But the year passed away without any attack on Rome. The Emperor was engaged in the affairs of Tuscany; the Pope by the rebellion of Rome. Early in the following year terrible convulsions of nature seemed to portend dire calamities. Earthquakes shook Venice, Verona, Parma, and Cremona; the Cathedral of Cremona, with many churches and stately buildings, were in ruins, and many lives lost. Awful storms seemed to

join with civil commotions to distract and desolate Germany.

The Ambassadors of Henry, the Bishops of Asti, Piacenza, and Acqui, appeared at Rome, to which Paschal had returned after the cessation of the civil commotions, with a public declaration, that if any one should accuse the Emperor of having violated his part of the treaty with the Pope, he was ready to justify himself, and if guilty, to give satisfaction. He demanded the abrogation of the interdict. The Pope, it is said, with the concurrence of the Cardinals, declared that he had not sent the Cardinals Conon and Theodoric to Cologne or to Saxony; that he had given no authority to the Archbishop of Vienne to excommunicate the Emperor; that he had himself pronounced no excommunication; but he could not annul an excommunication pronounced by such dignified ecclesiastics without their A general Council of the Church could alone consent. decide the question. Henry had too many enemies in the Church of Germany as well as Rome to submit to such a tribunal.

A second time Henry V. advanced towards Rome, but this second time under very different circumstances. A.D. 1117. He was no longer the young and successful Emperor with the whole of Germany united in his cause, and with an army of overwhelming numbers and force at his command. But with his circumstances he had learned to change his policy. He had discovered how to contest Rome with the Pope. He had the Præfect in his pay; he lavished gifts upon the nobles; he established his partisan Ptolemy, the Count of Tusculum, in all the old possessions and rights of that house, so long the tyrant, at one time the awarder, of the Papal tiara, gave him his natural daughter in marriage, and so established a formidable enemy to the Pope, and a powerful adherent of the Emperor, within the neighbourhood, within the city itself. There was no opposition to his approach, to his entrance into Rome. He passed through the streets with his Empress, the people received him with acclamations, the clergy alone stood aloof in jealous silence. The Pope had retired, March 16. first to Monte Casino, then to Benevento, to implore, but in vain, the aid of the Normans. The Cardinals made an offer of peace if Henry would surrender the right of investiture by the ring and staff; but as on this point the whole imperial authority seemed at that time to depend, the terms were rejected. No one but a foreign prelate," Burdinus, the Archbishop of Braga," who had been Legate of Pope Paschal to Henry, and had been dazzled or won to the Imperial party, could be tempted to officiate in the great Easter ceremony, in which the Emperor was accustomed to take off his crown in the Vatican, to make a procession through the city, and to receive it again from the hands of the Pontiff.<sup>y</sup>

But no steps were taken to approximate the hostile powers. The Emperor remained in undisturbed possession of Rome; the Pope in his safe city of refuge in the south of Italy; from hence he fulminated an excommunication. against the Archbishop of Braga. As the summer heats approached the Emperor retired to the north of Italy.

Paschal was never again master of Rome. In the Jan. 6, 1118, autumn he fell ill at Anagni, recovered, and early Paschal II. in the following year surprised the Leonine city and the Vatican. But Peter the Præfect and the Count of Tusculum still occupied the strongholds of the city. Paschal died in the Castle of St. Angelo, solemnly commending to the cardinals that firmness in the assertion of the claims of the Church which he alone had not displayed. He died leaving a great lesson to future Pontiffs, that

<sup>u</sup> The Abbot of Farsa was a strong Imperialist.

\* Baluzius (Miscellanea, vol. iii.) wrote a life of Burdinus, to vindicate wrote a life of Burdinus, to vindicate his memory from the sweeping censure of Baronius, with whom an Antipope was always a monster of iniquity. Maurice Bourdin was a Frenchman of the diocese of Limoges. When Bernard, Archbishop of Toledo, went to the Council of Clermont, he was struck with the learning and ability of the young French monk, and carried him back with him to Spain. Bourdin became back with him to Spain. Bourdin became Archbishop of Braga. While Bishop of Coimbra and Archbishop of Braga. While Bishop of Coimbra he went to the Holy Land, and passed three years in the East, in Jerusalem and Constantinople. On his return he was involved in a contest with his patron Bernard, resisting the claims of the archbishopric of Toledo to supre-

macy over the metropolitan see of Braga. There is a decree of Pope Paschal favourable to Maurice, acknowledging his jurisdiction over Coimbra. He was at present in Rome, in order, according to Baronius, to supplant his patron Bernard, who had been expelled from his see by Alfonce of Armer Alfonso of Arragon. He was scorn-fully rejected by Paschal, of whom he became the deadly enemy. This, as Baluzius repeatedly shows, is directly contradicted by the dates; for after this Paschal employed Maurice Burdinus as his Legate to the Emperor.

<sup>y</sup> Henry had been already crowned by Paschal: this second coronation is probably to be explained as in the text; though some writers speak of it as his first coronation. Muratori says that he desired "di farsi coronare di nuovo."--Sub ann. 1017.

CHAP. II.

there was no limit to which they might not advance their pretensions for the aggrandisement of the hierarchy, but to retract the least of these pretensions was beyond their otherwise illimitable power. The Imperialists made no opposition to the burial of Paschal II. in a great mausoleum in the Lateran Church. The Cardinals, in the utmost haste, before the intelligence could reach the Emperor, proceeded to fill the vacant See. John of Gaeta, though he had defended the Pope from the unseemly reproach of St. Bruno, and at one time appeared inclined to negotiate with the Emperor, seems to have commanded the confidence of the high party; he was of noble descent; the counsellor of more than one Pope, and had been a faithful partisan of Pope Urban against the Anti-pope Guibert; he had adhered in all his distresses to Paschal, and had shared his imprisonment. He was summoned from Monte Casino secretly, and without any notice chosen Gelasius II. Pope by the Cardinals and some distinguished Romans, and inaugurated in a Benedictine monastery near the Capitol.

The news reached the neighbouring house of Cencius Frangipani (this great family henceforward appears mingled in all the contests and intrigues of Rome), a strong partisan of the Emperor. In a sudden access of indignation he broke with his armed followers into the church, seized by seized the Pope by the throat, struck him with the Frangehis fists, trampled upon him, and dragged him a Jan. 24. prisoner and in chains to his own strong house. All the cardinals were miserably maltreated; the more fortunate took to flight; some were seized and put into irons. But this atrocious act rekindled all the more generous sympathies of the Roman people towards the Pope. Both parties united in his rescue. Peter the Prefect and Peter the son of Leo, the captain of the Norman troops, who had accompanied Paschal to Rome, the Transfeverines, and the twelve quarters of the city, assembled under their leaders; they marched towards the Capitol and summoned Frangipani to surrender the person of the Pope. Frangipani could not but submit; he threw himself at the Pope's feet, and entreated his forgiveness. Mounting a horse, the Pope rode to the Lateran, surrounded by the banners of the

VOL. III.

people, and took possession of the papal palace. There he received the submission of the laity and of the clergy. The friends of the new Pope were quietly making arrangements for his ordination as a presbyter (as yet he was but a deacon), and his consecration as Pope. On a sudden, in the night, intelligence arrived that the Emperor had not merely set off from the north of Italy, but was actually in Rome, and master of the portico of St. Peter's. The Pope was concealed for the night in the house of a faithful partisan. In the morning he embarked on the Tiber, but

a terrible storm came on; the German soldiers March 1. watched the banks of the river, and hurled burning javelins at the vessel. At nightfall, the Germans having withdrawn, the fugitives landed, and the Pope was carried on the shoulders of Cardinal Ugo to the castle of Ardea. The next day the German soldiers appeared again, but the followers of the Pope swearing that he had escaped, they dispersed in search of him. He was again conveyed to the vessel, and after a perilous voyage of four days, reached Gaeta, his native town. There he was ordained March<sup>9</sup>. Presbyter, and consecrated Pope.

Henry endeavoured by repeated embassies to persuade Gelasius II., such was the name assumed by the new Pope, to return to Rome; but Gelasius had been a fellowprisoner with Pope Paschal, and had too much prudence to trust himself in the Emperor's power.<sup>2</sup> He met cunning with cunning; he offered to hold a council to decide on all matters in dispute, either in Milan or in Cremona, cities in which the papal interest now prevailed, or which were in open revolt against the Emperor. This proposal was equally offensive to the Emperor and to the Roman people. "What," was the indignant cry, "is Rome to be deserted for Milan or Cremona?" They determined to set up an Anti-pope; yet none appeared but Burdinus, now called Maurice the Portuguese, the Archbishop of Braga.<sup>a</sup> March 8.

This stranger was led to the high altar of St. Peter's by the Emperor; and it was thrice proclaimed to the people, "Will ye have Maurice for Pope?" and thrice

\* Epist. Gelas. II. apud Labbe, Concil. nn. 1118. the restorer of the Roman Iaw, was in Rome; the form of election was supposed <sup>a</sup> The famous Irnerius of Bologna, to be regulated by his legal advice.

Ann. 1118.

CHAP. II.

the people answered, "We will." The Barbarian, as he was called by his adversaries, took the name of Gregory Of the Roman clergy only three adherents of the VIII. old unextinguished Ghibeline party, Romanus Cardinal of St. Marcellus, Cencius of St. Chrysogonus, and Teuzo, who had been long in Denmark, sanctioned this election. He was put in possession of the Lateran palace, and the next day performed the papal functions in St. Peter's.

No sooner did Gelasius hear this than he thundered his sentence of excommunication against the perjurer Maurice, who had compelled his mother the Church to public prostitution.<sup>b</sup> Now, however, his Norman vassals, as they acknowledged themselves, William, Duke of Apulia, and Robert, Prince of Capua, obeyed his summons; under their protection he returned towards Rome. Henry, who was besieging the papal castle Toricella, abandoned the siege, and retired on Rome. But almost immediately his presence was imperiously required in Germany, and he withdrew to the north of Italy. Thence, leaving the Empress as Regent in Italy, he crossed the Alps. Gelasius April 7. had already at Capua involved the Emperor in the common excommunication with the Anti-pope. Some misunderstanding arose between the Norman princes and the Pope;° they withdrew, and he could now only bribe his way back to Rome.

Gelasius entered Rome as a pilgrim rather than its master. He was concealed rather than hospitably July 5. entertained by Stephen the Norman, by Paschal

his brother, and Peter with the ill-sounding name of the Robber, a Corsican.<sup>d</sup> Thus were there again two Popes in the city, one maintained in state by the gold of the Emperor, the other by his own. But Gelasius in an imprudent hour ventured beyond the secure quarters of the Norman. He stole out to celebrate mass in the church of St. Praxedes, in a part of the city commanded by the Frangipani. The church was attacked; a scene of fearful confusion followed; the Normans, under the Pope's nephew Crescentius, fought valiantly, and rescued him from the

arx, which the Pope having granted to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> "Matris Ecclesiæ constupratorem the people of Terracina, repented of his publico." – Gelasii, Epist. ii. <sup>c</sup> It seemed to relate to the Circæa <sup>d</sup> Latro Corsorum.

The Frangipani were furious at their disappointenemy. ment, but when they found the Pope had escaped, with-"O what a sight," writes a sad eye-witness," "to drew. see the Pope, half clad in his sacred vestments, fiving, like a mountebank, ' as fast as his horse could gallop !---his crossbearer followed; he fell; the cross, which it might seem that his enemies sought as a trophy, was picked up and The Pope himself was found, concealed by a woman. weary, sorrowful, and moaning " with grief, in a field near the Church of St. Paul. The next day he declared his resolution to leave this Sodom, this Egypt; it were better to have to deal with one Emperor than with many tyrants. He reached Pisa, Genoa, Marseilles; but he entered Jan. 29, 1119. France only to die. After visiting several of the great cities of the realm, Montpellier, Avignon, Gelasius. Orange, Valence, Vienne, Lyons, a sudden attack of pleurisy carried him off in the abbey of Clugny.

See the letter of Bruno of Treves, in Hontheim, Hist. Trevir. Pandulph. Pisan., p. 397.

<sup>4</sup> Sicut scurra. <sup>8</sup> His follower says, "ejulans."

308

# CHAPTER III.

## CALIXTUS II.-CONCORDAT OF WORMS.

THE cardinals in France could not hesitate an instant in their choice of his successor. Gelasius had turned Calistus II. • his thoughts to the Bishop of Palestrina, but Otho Feb. 2, 1119. excused himself on account of his feeble health. Exiles from Rome in the cause of the Church, and through the hostility of the Emperor and his partisans, the Conclave saw among them the prelate who had boldly taken the lead in the excommunication of Henry; and who to his zeal for the Church added every other qualification for the supreme Pontificate. Guido, Archbishop of Vienne, was of more than noble, of royal birth, descended from the Kings of Burgundy, and so allied by blood to the Emperor; his reputation was high for piety and the learning of the age. But Guido, either from conscientious scruples, or in politic deference to the dominant opinion, refused to become the Pontiff of Rome without the assent of Rome. Messengers were speedily despatched and speedily returned with the confirmation of his election by the cardinals who remained at Rome, by Peter the son of Leo, by the prefect and consuls, by the clergy and people of Rome. It appears not how this assent was obtained in the presence of the Imperial garrison and the Anti-pope. Rome may have already become weary or ashamed of her foreign prelate, unconnected with the great families or interests of the city; but it is more probable that it was the assent only of the high papal party, who still, under the guidance of Peter the son of Leo, held part of the city.

Germany had furnished a line of pious, and, on the whole, high-minded Pontiffs to the Roman see. Calixtus a Calixtus II., though by no means the first French-<sup>Prench Pope</sup>. man, either by birth or education, was the first French Pontiff who established that close connection between -France (the modern kingdom of France as distinguished from the Imperial or German France of Pepin and Charlemagne) and the papacy, which had such important influence on the affairs of the Church and of Europe. From this period, of the two great kingdoms into which the Empire of Charlemagne had resolved itself, the Pope, who succeeded eventually in establishing his title, was usually connected with France, and maintained by the French interest; the Anti-pope by that of Germany. The anti-Imperialist republics of Italy were the Pope's natural allies against the Imperial power. For a time Innocent III. held his impartial authority over both realms, acknowledged in turn the king of each country; but as time advanced, the Popes were more under the necessity of leaning on Transalpine aid, until the secession to Avignon almost reduced the chief Pontiff of Christendom to a French prelate.

Christendom could scarcely expect that during the pontificate of so inflexible an assertor of the claims, and during the reign of an Emperor so resolute to maintain his rights, the strife about the Investitures should be brought to a peaceful close with the absolute triumph of neither party, and on principles of mutual concession. Nor was the first attempt at reconciliation, which appeared to end in a more irreparable breach, of favourable augury to the establishment of unity. Yet many circumstances combined to bring about this final peace. The removal of the scene of strife into France could not but show that the contest was not absolutely necessary. The quarrel had not spread into France, though the feudal system prevailed there to the same if not greater extent. In France had been found no great difficulty in reconciling the free election of the bishops with their allegiance in temporal concerns to their sovereign. The princes of Germany began to discover that it was a question of the Empire, not of the Emperor. When in revolt, and some of them were always in revolt, the alliance of the clergy, and the popularity which their cause acquired by being upheld against an excommunicated sovereign, had blinded them at first. They were firm allies of the Pope, only because they were implacable enemies of the Emperor. The long controversy had partly wearied, partly exhausted men's minds. Some moderate views by prelates of authority and learning. CHAP. III.

and of undoubted churchmanship had made strong impression. Hildebrand's vast plan of rendering the clergy altogether independent of the temporal power, not merely in their spiritual functions, but in all the possessions which they then held or might hereafter obtain, and thereby becoming the rulers of the world, was perhaps imperfectly understood by some of the most ambitious, and deliberately rejected by some zealous but less worldly ecclesiastics.

At first the aspect of affairs was singularly unpromising; the contending parties seemed to draw together only to repel each other with more hostile violence. The immediate recognition of Calixtus by the great German prelates, not his enemies alone but his adherents also, warned Henry of the now formidable antagonist arisen in the new Pope. Henry himself, by treating with Calixtus, acknowledged his supremacy, and so abandoned his own unhappy pageant, the Archbishop of Braga, to his fate.

Calixtus summoned a council at Rheims, and never did Pope, in Rome itself, in the time of the world's Council of most prostrate submission, make a more imposing Rheims, Nov. 19, display of power, issue his commands with more 1119. undoubting confidence to Christendom, receive, like a feudal monarch, the appeals of contending kings; and if he condescended to negotiate with the Emperor, maintain a loftier position than this first great French Pontiff. The Norman chronicler beheld in this august assembly an image of the day of judgment.<sup>a</sup> The Pope's consistorial throne was placed before the portal of the great church; just below him sate the cardinals, whom the annalist dignifies with the appellation of the Roman Senate. Fifteen archbishops, above two hundred bishops, and numerous abbots and other ecclesiastical dignitaries, were present; Albert of Mentz was attended by seven bishops, and guarded by five hundred armed men.

The first part of the proceedings might seem singularly in accordance with true pacific Christianity. After some canons on simony, some touching lay investitures, and the marriage of the clergy, had been enacted in the usual form and spirit, the Pope renewed in the strongest language the Truce of God, which had been proclaimed by Urban II.

\* Orderic. Vital., i. 726 ; Mansi, sub ann.

At certain periods, from the Advent of the Lord to the Octave of the Epiphany; from Quinquagesima to Pentecost, and on certain other fasts and festivals, war was to cease throughout Christendom. At all times the Church took under its protection and commanded peace to be observed towards monks and their property, females and their attendants, merchants, *hunters*, and pilgrims. The chaplains in the army were to discountenance plunder under severe penalties. The violators of the Truce of God were to be excommunicated every Sunday in every parish church: unless they made satisfaction, by themselves or by their kindred, were to be held unworthy of Christian burial.<sup>b</sup>

The King of France, Louis the Fat, appeared in person Kings of France and with his barons, and, as before a supreme tribunal, . himself preferred his complaint against Henry I. King of England. His complaint related to no ecclesiastical matters; he accused King Henry of refusing the allegiance due from the Duke of Normandy to the King of France, of imprisoning his own brother Robert, the rightful Duke of Normandy, of many acts of hostility and persecution against the subjects of France. Geoffrey, Archbishop of Rouen, rose to defend King Henry. But the fierce tumult which broke out from the more numerous partisans of France compelled him to silence.

After the Countess of Poitou had brought a charge against her husband of deserting her and marrying another wife, there arose a new dispute between the Franks and Normans concerning the bishopric of Evreux. Audoin, the bearded bishop of Evreux, accused Amalric of expelling him from his see, and burning his episcopal palace. The chaplain of Amalric stood up and boldly replied, "It is thine own wickedness, not the injustice of Amalric, which has driven thee from thy see and burned thy palace. Amalric, disinherited by the King through thy malignant perfidy, like a true Norman warrior, strong in his own valour and in his friends, won back his honours. Then the King besieged the city, and during the siege the bishop's palace and several of the churches were burned. Let the synod judge between Audoin and Amalric."

<sup>6</sup> Libbe, p. 684. Datt. de Treugâ Dei in Volum. Rer. German. Ulm, 1698. Ducange in voce "*Treuga*."

CHAP. III. INTERVIEW OF THE POPE AND EMPEROR.

The strife between the French and the Normans was hardly appeased by the Pope himself. Calixtus delivered a long address on the blessings of peace, on the evils of war, war alike fatal to human happiness and to religion. But these beautiful and parental sentiments were jealously reserved for the faithful sons of the Church. Where the interests of the Church were involved, war, even civil war, lost all its horrors. The Pope broke off the council for a few days to meet the Emperor, who had expressed his earnest desire for peace, and had apparently conceded the great point in dispute. It was no doubt thought a great act of condescension as well as of courage in the Pope to advance to meet the Emperor. The character of Interview with the Henry might justify the worst suspicions. He Emperor. was found encamped at the head of 30,000 men. The seizure and imprisonment of Paschal was too recent in the remembrance of the Pope's adherents not to excite a reasonable apprehension. Henry had never hesitated at any act of treachery to compass his ends; would he hesitate even on the borders of France? The Pope was safely lodged in the strong castle of Moisson; his commissioners. Oct. 23, 25. proceeded alone to the conference.

Their mission was only to give and to receive the final ratification of a treaty, already consigned to writing. Henry had been persuaded, in an interview with the Bishop of Châlons and Abbot Pontius of Clugny, that he might surrender the investiture with the ring and the pastoral staff. That form of investiture (argued the Bishop of Châlons) had never prevailed in France, yet as Bishop he had always discharged all the temporal claims of the sovereign, tribute, military service, tolls, and the other rightful demands of the State, as faithfully as the bishops of Germany, to whose investiture the Emperor was maintaining this right at the price of excommunication. "If this be so," replied the Emperor, with uplifted hands, "I require no more." The Bishop then offered his mediation on the condition that Henry should give up the usage of investitures, surrender the possession of the churches which he still retained, and consent to peace with all his enemies. Henry agreed to these terms, which were signed on the part of the Emperor by the Bishop of Lausanne, the Count

Palatine, and other German magnates. The Pope on this intelligence could not but suspect the ready compliance of the Emperor; the Bishop of Ostia and the Cardinal Gregory were sent formally to conclude the treaty. They met the Emperor between Metz and Verdun, and drew up the following Concordat:-Henry surrendered the investiture of all churches, made peace with all who had been involved in war for the cause of the Church, promised to restore all the churches which he had in his possession, and to procure the restoration of those which had been granted to others. All ecclesiastical disputes were to be settled by the ecclesiastical laws, the temporal by the temporal judges. The Pope on his side pledged himself to make peace with the Emperor and with all his partisans; to make restitution on his part of everything gained in the war. These terms by the Pope's orders had been communicated to the Council, first in Latin by the Bishop of Ostia, afterwards explained to the clergy and laity in French by the Bishop of Châlons. It was to ratify this solemn treaty that the Pope had set forth from Rheims; while he remained in the Treaty broken castle of Moisson, the Bishop of Ostia, John Cardinal of Crema, the Bishop of Vivarais, the Bishop of Châlons, and the Abbot of Clugny, began to scrutinize with more severe suspicion the terms of the treaty. They discovered, or thought they discovered, a fraud in the general concession of the investiture of all churches; it did not express the whole possessions of the churches. The Emperor was indignant at this new objection, and strong mutual recrimination passed between him and the Bishop of Châlons. The King demanded time till the next morning to consider and consult his nobles on the subject. But so little did he expect the sudden rupture of the treaty that he began to discuss the form of his absolution. He thought it beneath his dignity to appear with bare feet before the Pope. The legates condescended to this request, provided the absolution were private. The Oct. 26. next day the Emperor required further delay, and entreated the Pope to remain over the Sunday. But the Pope declared that he had already condescended too far in leaving a general Council to confer with the Emperor, and

returned with the utmost haste to Rheims.

At first the conduct of the Pope by no means found universal approval in the council. As the prohibition of the investiture of all churches and ecclesiastical possessions in any manner by lay hands was read, a murmur was heard not merely among the laity, but even among the clergy. It seemed that the Pope would resume all possessions which at any time might have belonged to the Church, and were now in lay hands; the dispute lasted with great acrimony till the evening. On the morning the Pope made a long speech so persuasive that the whole Council bowed to his authority. He proceeded to the excommunication of the Emperor, which he endeavoured to array in more than usual awfulness. Four hundred and thirtyseven candles were bought and held lighted in the hands of each of the bishops and abbots. The long endless list of the excommunicated was read, of which the chief were Henry the Emperor, and Burdinus the Anti-pope. The Pope then solemnly absolved from their allegiance all the subjects of the Emperor. When this was over he pronounced his blessing, in the name of the Father, Nov. 20. Son, and Holy Ghost, and dismissed the Council.

After a short time the Pope advanced to Gisors, and had an interview with King Henry of England. Henry boldly justified his seizure of the dukedom of his brother Robert, from the utter incapacity of that prince to administer the affairs of the realm. He had not imprisoned his brother; he had placed him in a royal castle, like a noble pilgrim who was broken with calamities; supplied him with food, and all that might suffice for a pleasant life. The Pope thought it wiser to be content with this hardly specious apology, and gently urged the Norman to make peace with the King of France.<sup>c</sup>

Thus acknowledged by the greater part of Christendom, Calixtus II. determined, notwithstanding the unreconciled hostility of the Emperor, to re-occupy his see of Rome. He made a progress through France, distributing everywhere privileges, immunities, dignities; crossed the Alps, and entered Italy by the pass of Susa.<sup>d</sup>

The journey of Calixtus through Italy was a triumphal

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Orderic. Vitalis, i. 2, 13; W. <sup>d</sup> Compare the Regesta from Nov. 27, Malmesbury. 1119, to March, 1120.

procession. The Imperialists made no attempt to arrest On his descent of the Alps he was met with his march. loyal deputations from the Lombard cities. Giordano, the Archbishop of Milan, hastened to pay homage to his spiritual sovereign. Landulph, the historian, appeared before the Pope at Tortona to lodge a complaint against the Archbishop for unjustly depriving him of his church. "During the winter we tread not the grapes in the winevat," replied Lambert Bishop of Ostia; " the Archbishop of Milan, he intimated, was a personage too important to run the risk of his estrangement. Piacenza, Lucca, Pisa, vied with each other in paying honours to the Pope.<sup>f</sup> As he drew near to Rome the Anti-pope fled and shut himself up in the strong fortress of Sutri. Rome had never received a Pope with greater apparent joy or unanimity. After a short stay Calixtus visited Monte Casino and Benevento. The Duke of Apulia, the Prince of Capua, and the other Norman vassals of the Church hastened to do homage to their liege lord. His royal descent as well as his high spiritual office, gave dignity to the bearing of Calixtus II. He sustained with equal nobleness the part of King and Pope.

At the commencement of the following year he collected an army to besiege the Anti-pope Gregory VIII. in Sutri. Gregory in vain looked for succour, for rescue, to the Emperor, who had entirely abandoned, it might seem entirely forgotten, his cause. The Cardinal John of Crema commanded the papal forces. The Pope himself joined the expedition. Sutri made no determined resistance; either through fear or bribery the garrison, after eight days, consented to surrender the miserable Capture of the Gregory. The cruel and unmanly revenge of Calixtus, if it were intended as an awful warning Anti-pope. against illegitimate usurpers of the papal power, was a signal failure.<sup>g</sup> The mockery heaped on the unsuccessful Gregory had little effect in deterring future ambitious prelates from setting up as Anti-popes. Whenever an Anti-pope was wanted an Anti-pope was at hand. Degra-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> Landulf, jun., c. 35. <sup>f</sup> He was at Piacenza, April 17; Lucca, early in May; Pisa, May 12; Rome, June 3; Monte Casino, July; Benevento, Aug. 8. <sup>g</sup> "Ut ipse in suâ confunderetur erubescentiâ, et aliis exemplum præ-beret, ne similia ulterius attemptare præsumant."—Cardin. Arragon, in Vit. Callist,

dation and insult could go no further. On a camel instead of a white palfrey, with a bristling hogskin for the scarlet mantle, the Archbishop of Braga was placed with his face towards the rump of the animal, holding the tail for a bridle. In this attire he was compelled to accompany the triumphant procession of the Pope into Rome. He was afterwards dragged about from one convent-prison to another, and died at length so utterly forgotten April 23, that the place of his death is doubtful.

The Pope and the Emperor might seem by the sudden rupture of the negotiations at Moisson and the Affairs of public renewal of the excommunication at Rheims, Germany. to be committed to more implacable hostility. But this rupture, instead of alienating still further the German princes from the Emperor, appeared to strengthen his party. His conduct in that affair excited no disapprobation, no new adversaries availed themselves of the Pope's absolution to renounce their allegiance. In the West of the Empire, when he seemed most completely deserted, a sudden turn took place in his affairs. Many of the most powerful princes, even the Archbishop of Cologne, returned at least to doubtful allegiance. Saxony alone remained in rebellion, and in that province Albert, Archbishop of Mentz, having fled from his metropolitan city, was indefatigable in organising the revolt.

Henry, having assembled a powerful army in Alsace, and having expelled the rebellious Bishops of Worms and Spires, marched upon Mentz, which he threatened to besiege as the head-quarters of the rebellion.

Albert, as legate of the Pope, appealed to the religion of the Saxons; he appointed fasts, he ordered public prayers to be offered in all the churches: he advanced at length at the head of an army, powerful enough to cope with that of the Emperor, to the relief of Mentz. The hostile armies of Germany were commanded by the temporal and spiritual head, the Emperor and the Primate: a battle seemed inevitable.

But a strong Teutonic feeling had arisen in both parties, and a disinclination to shed blood in a quarrel between the Church and the Empire, which might be reconciled by their commanding mediation. The more extravagant pretensions of both parties were equally hostile to their interests. It was not the supreme feudal sovereign alone who was injured by the absolute immunity of all ecclesiastical property from feudal claims; every temporal prince had either suffered loss or was in danger of suffering loss by this slow and irrevocable encroachment of the They were jealous that the ecclesiastics should Church. claim exemptions to which they could have no title. On the other hand it could by no means be their desire that the Emperor should fill all the great ecclesiastical sees, the principalities, as some were, either with his own favourites or sell them to the highest bidder, as some Emperors had been accused of doing, as arbitrary' Emperors might do, and so raise a vast and dangerous revenue which, extorted from the Church, might be employed against their civil liberties. Both parties had gradually receded from their extreme claims, and the Pope and the Emperor had made such concessions as, but for mutual suspicion, might at Moisson have led to peace, and had reduced the quarrel almost to a strife of words.

After some negotiation a truce was agreed upon; twelve princes were chosen from each party to draw up the terms of a future treaty, and a Diet of the Empire summoned to meet at Michaelmas in Wurzburg.

The Emperor appeared with his more distinguished followers in Wurzburg, the Saxon army encamped at a short distance. Hostages were exchanged, and, as Wurzburg could not contain the throng, the negotiations were carried on in the plain without the city.

The Diet had full powers to ratify a peace for the Em-Treaty of pire; the terms were simple but comprehensive. The Church and the Empire should each maintain its rights and revenues inviolable; all seized or confiscated property was to be restored to its rightful owner; the rights of each estate of the Empire were to be maintained. An Imperial Edict was to be issued against thieves and robbers, or they were to be dealt with according to the ancient laws; all violence and all disturbance of the peace to be suppressed. The King was to be obedient to the Pope, and with the consent and aid of the princes make peace with him, so that each should quietly CHAP. III.

possess his own, the Emperor the rights of the Empire, the Pope those of the Church. The bishops lawfully elected and consecrated retained their sees till the arrival of the Pope in Germany, those of Worms and Spires to be restored to their dioceses; hostages and prisoners to be liberated on both sides. But the dispute between the Pope and the Emperor concerning the investitures was beyond the powers of the Diet, and the papal excommunication was revocable by the Pope alone. These points therefore were reserved till the Pope should arrive in Germany to hold a General Council. But the Emperor gave the best pledge in his power for his sincerity in seeking reconciliation with the Church. He had granted a general amnesty to the rebellious prelates; he had agreed to restore the expelled Bishops of Worms and Spires, Even Conrad, Archbishop of Salzburg, who had taken an active part in the war against Henry, had been compelled to fly, and to conceal himself in a cave for a year, returned to his bishopric. On their side the Saxon bishops did not decline to enter into communion with the Emperor; for even the prelates most sternly adverse to Henry did not condescend to notice the papal absolution from their allegiance; it was considered as something which had not taken place.

Notwithstanding an ill-timed dispute concerning the succession to the bishopric of Wurzburg, which Concordat of led to some hostilities, and threatened at the last Worms. hour to break up the amicable settlement, affairs went smoothly on.

The Pope himself wrote with the earnestness and conciliatory tone of one disposed to peace. He re- Feb. 19. minded Henry of their consanguinity, and wel- 1122.

comed him as the dutiful son of St. Peter, as worthy both as a man and as an Emperor of the more affectionate love and honour of the Holy See, as he had surpassed his later predecessors in obedience to the Church of Rome. He emphatically disclaimed all intention in the Church to trench on the prerogative of the Empire.<sup>h</sup>

The treaty was framed at Mentz under the auspices of the papal legates, Lambert Bishop of Ostia, Saxo Cardinal of Monte Cælio, and the Cardinal Gregory. It was sealed with the golden seal of the Empire by the Chancellor, the Archbishop of Cologne; it was subscribed by the Archbishops of Cologne and Mentz, the Bishops of Bamberg, Spires, Augsburg, Utrecht, and Constance, and the Abbot of Fulda; by Duke Frederick of Swabia, Henry of Bavaria, the Margraves Boniface and Theobald, the Palsgrave of the Rhine, and some other princes.

So was it ratifieu at Worms by the papal les at and accepted by the German people.

These were the terms of this important treaty, which were read to the German nation amid loud applauses, and received as the fundamental principles of the Papal and Imperial rights.

The Emperor gives up to God, to St. Peter, and to the Catholic Church, the right of investiture by the ring and the pastoral staff; he grants to the clergy throughout the Empire the right of free election; he restores to the Church of Rome, to all other churches and nobles, the possessions and feudal sovereignties which have been seized during the wars in his father's time and his own, those in his possession immediately, and he promises his influence to obtain restitution of those not in his possession. He grants peace to the Pope and to all his partisans, and pledges himself to protect, whenever he shall be thereto summoned, the Church of Rome in all things.

The Pope granted that all elections of bishops and abbots should take place in the presence of the Emperor or his commissioners, only without bribery and violence, with an appeal in cases of contested elections to the metropolitan and provincial bishops. The bishop elect in Germany was to receive, by the touch of the sceptre, all the temporal rights, principalities, and possessions of the see, excepting those which were held immediately of the See of Rome; and faithfully discharge to the Emperor all duties incident to those principalities. In all other parts of the Empire the royalties were to be granted to the bishop consecrated within six months. The Pope grants peace to the Emperor and his adherents, and promises aid and assistance on all lawful occasions.

The treaty was ratified by the most solemn religious ceremony. The papal legate, the Bishop of Ostia, celebrated the mass; administered the Eucharist to the Emperor, declared him to be reconciled with the Holy See, and received him and all his partisans with the kiss of peace into the bosom of the Catholic Church. The Lateran Council ratified this momentous  $_{Feb.\ 27,}$ treaty, which became thereby the law of Chris- <sup>1128.</sup>

So closed one period of the long strife between the Church and the Empire. The Christendom of our own calmer times, when these questions, excepting among rigid controversialists, are matters of remote history, may wonder that where the principles of justice, dominant at the time, were so plain and simple, and where such slight and equitable concessions on either side set this long quarrel at rest, Germany should be wasted by civil war, Italy suffer more than one disastrous invasion, one Emperor be reduced to the lowest degradation, more than one Pope be exposed to personal insult and suffering, in short, that such long, bloody, and implacable warfare should lay waste a large part of Europe on points which admitted such easy adjustment. But, as usual in the collision of great interests, the point in dispute was not the sole, nor even the chief object of the conflict: it was on one part the total independence, and through the independence the complete ascendancy; on the other, if not the absolute subjugation, the secret subservience of the spiritual power; which the more sagacious and ambitious of each party aimed eventually at securing to themselves. Both parties had gradually receded from this remote and unacknowledged purpose, and now contended on open and ostensible ground. The Pope either abandoned as unattainable, or no longer aspired to make the Church absolutely independent both as to election and as to the possession of vast feudal rights without the obligations of feudal obedience to the Empire. In Germany alone the bishops and abbots were sovereign princes of such enormous territorial possessions and exalted rank, that if constant and VOL. III. Υ.

unswerving subjects and allies of the Pope, they would have kept the Empire in complete subjugation to Rome. But this rival sway had been kept down through the direct influence exercised by the Emperor in the appointment, and his theoretic power at least of withholding the temporalities of the great spiritual fiefs; and the exercise of this power led to monstrous abuses, the secularisation of the Church, the transformation of bishops and abbots to laymen invested in mitres and cowls. The Emperor could not hope to maintain the evils of the old system, the direct appointment of his creatures, boys or rude soldiers, to those great sees or abbacies; or to sell them and receive in payment some of the estates of the Church, and so to create an unconstitutional and independent revenue. It was even a wiser policy, as concerned his temporal interests, to elevate the order in that decent and imposing charactér which belonged to their sacred calling -to Teutonise the Teutonic hierarchy.

Indirect influence through the chapters might raise up, if a more free and more respected, yet more loyal race of churchmen; if more independent of the Empire they would likewise be more independent of the Pope; they would be Germans as well as churchmen; -become not the born, immitigable enemies, but the allies, the bulwarks of the Imperial power: and in the subsequent contest the armies of the Hohenstaufen, at least of Frederick Barbarossa, appear commanded by the great prelates of the Empire; and even Frederick II., if he had been more of a German, less of an Italian sovereign, might, supported by the German hierarchy, have maintained the contest with greater hopes of success.

## CHAPTER IV.

### ST. BERNARD AND INNOCENT II.

CALIXTUS II. had restored peace to Christendom; his strong arm during the latter part of his Pontificate kept even Rome in quiet obedience. He compelled both citizens and strangers to abandon the practice of wearing arms; he levelled some of the strongholds from which the turbulent nobles sallied forth with their lawless followers to disturb the peace of the city, and to interfere in the election of Popes, or to defend some usurping Anti-pope against the legitimate Bishop of Rome: the tower of Cencius and that of Donna Bona were razed to the ground. But neither Calixtus nor Henry lived to see the effects of the pacification. The death of Calixtus took place a year before that of the Emperor.<sup>\*</sup> With Henry V. closed the line of the Franconian Cæsars in Germany ; the second family which, since the separation of the dominions of Charlemagne, had handed down the Empire for several generations in regular descent. Of the Franconian Emperors, the first had been the faithful allies of the Papacy; the restorers of the successors of St. Peter to freedom, power, and even sanctity, which they had lost, and seemed in danger of losing for ever, as the slaves and instruments of the wild barons and potentates of Rome and the Romagna. The two later Kings, the Henrys, had been in perpetual and dangerous conflict with those Pontiffs whom their fathers had reinvested in dignity.

Calixtus had controlled, but not extinguished the Roman factions; they were only gathering strength and animosity to renew the strife for his spoils, to contest the appointment of his successors. Even on the death of Calixtus, a double election, but for the unwonted prudence and moderation of one of the candidates, might have broken out into a new

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Death of Calixtus, 1124 (rather Dec. 1125, May 23.—Falco Beneventanus in 13 or 14, 1123). The death of Henry, Chronic.; Pandulphus Pisanus.

schism, and a new civil war. The Frangipanis were at the head of one faction, Peter the son of Leo of the other. They watched the last hours of the expiring Pontiff with outward signs of agreement, but with the inward \* A.D. 1124. Dec. 15, 16. determination each to supplant the other by the rapidity of his proceedings. Lambert of Ostia, the legate who had conducted the treaty of pacification in Germany, was the Pope of the Frangipani. Their party had the scarlet robe ready to invest him; while the assembled Bishops in the Church of San. Panerazio had already elected Tebaldo Buccapecco, the Cardinal of Santa Anastasia, and were singing the Te Deum, Robert Frangipani proclaimed Lambert as Pope Elect, amid the acclamations of the people. Happily, however, one was as sincerely humble as the other ambitious.<sup>b</sup> The Cardinal of Santa Anastasia vielded up his claim without hesitation; yet so doubtful did the legality of his election appear to the Pope himself, that, twelve days after, he resigned the Papacy into the hands of the Cardinals, and went through the forms of a new election.

The Pontificate of Honorius II., during six years, was A.D. 1124-1130. Honorius II. sion of the Saxon house to the Imperial throne. Yet the thunders of the Vatican were not silent; his reign is marked by the anathemas which he pronounced, not now against invaders of his ecclesiastical rights and possessions. The temporal interests and the spiritual supremacy of the Popes became more and more identified; all invasion of the actual property of the Pope, or the feudal superiority which he might claim, was held as sacrilege, and punished by the spiritual censure of excommunication. Already the Lateran Council, under Calixtus, had declared that any one who attacked the city of Benevento, being the Pope's (a strong city of refuge in the south of Italy, either against a hostile Emperor or the turbulent Romans, was of infinite importance to the Pontiff), was under anathema. The feudal sovereignty of the whole South of Italy, which the Popes, on some vague claim as representatives of the Emperors, had appropriated to the Roman See, and which the

<sup>b</sup> Jaffé however says, I think without ground, "Voluntate an coactus abdicaverit, parum liquet."

Normans, holding only by the precarious tenure of conquest, were not inclined to dispute, as it confirmed their own rights, was protected by the same incongruous arms, and not by these arms alone; Honorius himself at times headed the Papal forces in the South.° When Roger the Norman laid claim to the succession of William Duke of Apulia, who had died childless, the Pope being unfavourable to his pretensions, he was cut off from the Church of Christ by the same summary sentence.

In Germany all was peace between the Empire and the Papacy. Lothair the Saxon, the faithful head of the Papal party, had been elected to the Empire. Honorius, in gratitude for past services, and in prophetic dread of the rising power of the Hohenstaufen, hastened to recognise the Emperor. Lothair, in his humble submission, did not demand the homage of the clergy for their Imperial fiefs.<sup>d</sup> Conrad, the nephew of the deceased King Henry, having attempted to seize the kingdom of Italy, was excommunicated as a rebel against his rightful April 24, Sovereign. The humiliation of his rival Frederick of Swabia, and the failure of Conrad, left the Papalising Emperor in his undisturbed supremacy.

The death of Honorius was the signal for a more violent collision between the ruling factions at Rome. Feb. 14, 1130. They watched the dying Pope with indecent detection. Impatience. In secret, (it was asserted before the Amacletus II. death, certainly on the day of the death, before the funeral of Honorius,) a minority of the Cardinals, but those, in their own estimation and in that of their adherents, the most eminent, elected Gregory, the Cardinal of St. Angelo, who took the name of Innocent II.<sup>e</sup> The more numerous party, waiting a more decent and more canonical time for their election, chose the Cardinal Peter Leonis, one of the sons of that Peter who had so long been conspicuous in Roman politics. He called himself Anacletus II. On his

<sup>c</sup> See Chron. Foss. Nov., Falco Beneventan., Romuald. Salernit. for brief notices of the Pope's campaigns. Apud Muratori, G. R. It. vii. Council at Troja, Nov. 11, 1127. <sup>d</sup> Jaffé, Lothair, p. 36, &c. <sup>e</sup> S. Bernard himself admits some irregularity at least in the election of Innocent. "Nam etsi quid minus forte

side Anacletus had the more canonical election, the majority, of the Cardinals," the strongest party in Rome. He immediately made overtures to Roger Duke of Sicily, who had been excommunicated by Honorius. The Sicilian espoused at once the cause of Anacletus, in order to deserve the title of King, the aim of his ambition. Thus there was a complete revolution in the parties at Rome. The powerful family of Peter Leonis and the Normans were on the side of the Pope, eventually reputed the Anti-pope; the Emperor with all Northern Christendom united for the successful, as he was afterwards called, the orthodox Pontiff. The enemies of Leo (Anacletus), who scrupled at no calumny,<sup>g</sup> attributed his success to his powerful connections of family and of interest. He inherited a vast patrimonial property; he had increased it by a large share in the exactions of the Curia, the Chancery of Rome, of which he had the command, and in legations. These treasures he had carefully hoarded for his great object, the Pontificate. Besides this, he scrupled not, it is said, to convert the sacred wealth of the churches to his use; and when the Christians trembled to break up the silver vessels and crucifixes, he called in the Jews to this unholy work. Thus it is acknowledged that almost all Rome was on his side; Rome, won as his enemies aver by these guilty and sacrilegious means, and maintained by the harshest cruelties.<sup>b</sup>

Innocent had in Rome the Frangipanis, a strong

' There were 16 cardinals for Innocent, 32 for Anacletus. – Anonym. apud Baronium. Epist., pp. 191, 192, 196. Other writers, of inferior authority, deny this. <sup>8</sup> "Qui licet monachus, presbyter,

cardinalis esset, scorto conjugatus, monachas, sororem propriam, ctiam conhaenas, soroten proprint, sanguineas ad instar canis quoquo modo habere potuit, non defecit."-Epist. Mantuin. Episcop. apud Neugart, diplom. Alemannize, 63, 64. Yet there seems no doubt that the Epistle of Peter the Cardinal, written by St. Bernard (not-withstanding Mabillon's doubts), was addressed to Anacletus. "Diligimus enim bonam famam vestram, reveremur quam in vobis audivimus circa res Dei sol-licitudinem et sinceritatem." Jaffé (p. 89) well observes that it would omnes, et plebsomnis Romana consuetam be fatal to the character of Calixtus <sup>a</sup> nobis fidelitatem feeerunt."—Baronius, II, to have promoted a man of such sub ann. 1130.

monstrous dissoluteness to the cardinalate.

h Innocent thus arraigns his rival:-" Qui papatum a longis retro temporibus affectaverat, parentum violentiä, san-guinis effusione, destructione saerarum imaginum, beati Petri cathedram occupavit et peregrinos ac religiosos quosdam ad apostolorum limina venientes captos, et tetris carceris squaloribus ac ferreis vinculis mancipatos fame, siti, diver-sisque tormentorum generibus tormen-tare non desinit."—Pisa, June 20, apud Jaffé, p. 561. On the other hand, Anacletus asserts, "Clerus omnis Ro-manus individud nobis charitate cohceret; purfecture units to promotione and præfectus urbis Leo Frangipane cum filio et Cencio Frangipane [this was. after the flight of Innocent] et nobiles omnes, et plebsomnis Romana consuetam

minority of the Cardinals, the earlier though questionable election; he had the indelible prejudice against his adversary-his name and descent from a Jew and an usurer.<sup>1</sup> But he obtained before long the support of the Emperor Lothair, of the King of France, of Henry-King of England, and, greater than these, one to whom he owed their faithful aid, who ruled the minds of all these Sovereigns, Bernard, the Abbot of Clairvaux.

For half this century the Pope ceases to be the centre around whom gather the great events of Christian history, from whose heart or from whose mind flow forth the impulses which animate and guide Latin Christendom, towards whom converge the religious thoughts of men. Bernard of Clairvaux, now rising to the height of his power and influence, is at once the leading and the governing head of Christendom. He rules alike the monastic world, in all the multiplying and more severe convents which were springing up in every part of Europe, the councils of temporal sovereigns, and the intellectual developments of the age. He is peopling all these convents with thousands of ardent votaries of every rank and order; he heals the schism in the Papacy; he preaches a new crusade, in which a King and an Emperor lead the armies of the Cross; he is believed by an admiring age to have confuted Abélard himself, and to have repressed the more dangerous doctrines of Arnold of Brescia. His almost worshipping admirers adorn his life with countless miracles; posterity must admit the almost miraculous power with which he was endowed of guiding the minds of men in passive obedience. The happy congeniality of his character, opinions, eloquence, piety, with all the stronger sentiments and passions of the time, will account in great part for his ascendancy; but the man must have been blessed with an amazing native power and greatness, which

that Calixtus II. declared his willing-ness to liberate the son of Peter the son of Leo, whom he had brought with him as one of the hostages of the former treaty with the Emperor. "So saying, he pointed to a dark pale youth, more like a Jew or a Hagarene than a Christian, clothed in rich raiment, but de-

<sup>i</sup> In the account of the Council of formed in person. The Franks, who Rheims by Ordericus Vitalis, we read that Calixtus II. declared his willing-him, imprecated disgrace and ruin on his head from their hatred to his father, whom they knew to be a most unscra-pulous usurer." This deformed boy could not be the future Pope, then probably a monk; most likely it was a brother.

alone could raise him so high above a world actuated by the same influences.

Bernard did not originate this new outburst of monasticism, which had already made greatprogress in Germany, and was growing to its height in parts of France. Hewas a dutiful son rather than one of the parents of that great Cistercian order, which was now commencing its career in all its more attractive seclusion from the world, and its more than primitive austerity of discipline; which in a short time became famous, and through its fame covered France, parts of England, and some other countries, with new monasteries under a more rigorous rule, and compelled some of the old institutions to submit to a harsher discipline. These foundations, after emulating or surpassing the ancient Benedictine brotherhoods in austerity, poverty, obedience, solitude, grew to equal and surpass them in splendour, wealth, and independent power.

It was this wonderful attribute of the monastic system to renew its youth, which was the life of mediæval Christianity; it was ever reverting of itself to the first principles of its constitution. It seized alike on all the various nations which now formed Latin Christendom; the Northern as the Southern, the German as the Italian. In this adventurous age there must be room and scope for every kind of religious adventure. The untameable independence and individuality of the Teutonic character, now dominant throughout Germany, France, and England, still displays itself, notwithstanding the complicated system of feudal tenures and their boudage, in the perpetual insubordination of the nobles to the sovereign, in private wars, in feats of hardihood and enterprise, bordering constantly on the acts of the robber, the freebooter, and the pirate. It had been at once fostered by, and found vent in the Crusades, which called on every one to become a warrior on his own account, and enrolled him not as a conscript, or even as a feudal retainer, but as a free and voluntary soldier of the Cross, seeking glory or plunder for himself, or working out his own salvation by deeds of valour against the Unbelievers.

It was the same within the more immediate sphere of Thirst for religious adventure. that self-isolating individuality was found in connexion with the strong and profound passion for devotion,

328<sup>•</sup>

there was nothing in the ordinary and established forms to satisfy the aspirations of this inordinate piety. Notwithstanding, or rather because of the completely organised. system of Church government throughout the West, which gave to every province its metropolitan, to every city its ' bishop, to every parish its priest, there could not but be a perpetual insurrection, as it were, of men ambitious of something higher, more peculiar, more extraordinary, more their own. The stated and uniform service of the Church, the common instruction, must be suited to the ordinary level of faith and knowledge. They knew no change, no progress, no accommodation to more earnest or craving spirits. The almost universal secularisation of the clergy would increase this holy dissatisfaction. Even the Pope had become a temporal sovereign, the metropolitan a prince, the bishop a baron, the priest perhaps the chaplain to a marauding army. At all events the ceremonial of the Church went on in but stately uniformity; the most religious man was but a member of the same Christian flock; there was little emulation or distinction. But all this time monastic Christianity was in the theory of the Church the only real Christian perfection; the one sublime, almost the one safe course, the total abnegation of the monk, renunciation of the world, solitude, asceticism, stern mortification. Man could not inflict upon himself too much humiliation and misery; the true Christian life was one long unbroken penance. Holiness was measured by suffering; the more remote from man the nearer to God; all human sympathies, all social feelings, all ties of kindred, all affections were to be torn up by the roots from the groaning spirit; pain and prayer, prayer and pain, were to be the sole, stirring, unwearving occupations of a saintly life.

All these more aspiring and restless and insatiable spirits the monasteries invited within their hallowed walls; to all these they promised peace. But they could rarely fulfil their promise; even they could not satisfy the yearnings for religious adventure. Most of the old monasteries which held the rule either of St. Benedict or of Cassian had become wealthy, and suffered the usual effects of wealth. Some had altogether relaxed their discipline, had long renounced poverty; and the constant dissensions, the appeals to the bishop, to the metropolitan, or where, as they all strove to do, they had obtained exemption from episcopal jurisdiction, to the Pope, showed how entirely the other great vow, obedience to the abbot or prior, had become obsolete. The best were regular and tranquil; they had achieved their labours, they had fertilised their immediate territory, and as though they had now but to enjoy the fruits of their toil, they sunk to indolent repose. Even where the discipline was still severe, it was monotonous, to some extent absolute; its sanctity was exacted, habitual, unawakening. All old establishments are impatient of innovation; a higher flight of devotion becomes insubordination, or a tacit reproach on the ordinary course. Monasticism had been and was ever tracing the same cycle. Now the wilderness, the utter solitude, the utmost poverty, the contest with the stubborn forest and unwholesome morass, the most exalted piety, the devotion which had not hours enough during the day and night for its exercise, the rule which could not be enforced too strictly, the strongly competing asceticism, the inventive self-discipline, the inexhaustible, emulous ingenuity of self-torture, the boastful servility of obedience: then the fame for piety, the lavish offerings of the faithful, the grants of the repentant lord, the endowments of the remorseful king-the opulence, the power, the magnificence. The wattled hut, the rock-hewn hermitage, is now the stately cloister; the lowly church of wood the lofty and gorgeous abbey; the wild forest or heath the pleasant and umbrageous grove; the marsh a domain of intermingling meadow and corn-fields; the brawling stream or mountain torrent a succession of quiet tanks or pools fattening innumerable fish. The superior, once a man bowed to the earth with humility, care-worn, pale, emaciated, with a coarse habit bound with a cord, with naked feet, is become an abbot on his curvetting palfrey, in rich attire, with his silver cross borne before him, travelling to take his place amid the lordliest of the realm.

New orders therefore and new institutions were ever growing out of the old, and hosts of youthful zealots were ripe and eager for their more extreme demands of self-

sacrifice, and that which appeared to be self-abandonment, but in fact was often a loftier form of self-adoration. Already, centuries past, in the Benedictine abbeys, the second Benedict (of Anianc) had commenced a new ara of discipline, mortification, saintliness, according to the monastic notion of saintship. But that ara, like the old one, had gradually passed away. Again, in the preceding century, Clugny had displayed this marvellous inward force, this reconstructing, reorganising, reanimating energy of monasticism. It had furnished the line of German pontiffs to the papacy, it had trained Hildebrand for the papal throne and placed him upon it. But Clugny was now undergoing the inevitable fate of degeneracy: it was said that the Abbot Pontius had utterly forgotten the stern inflexibility of his great predecessor St. Hugh: he had become worldly, and as worldly weak in discipline.

But in the meanwhile, in a remote and almost inaccessible corner of Burgundy, had been laid the founda-Molesme. tions of a community which by the time that the mind of Bernard of Clairvaux should be ripe for his great change, would be prepared to satisfy the fervid longings even of a spirit so intensely burning with the fire of devo-The first origin of this fraternity is one of the tion. most striking and characteristic stories of this religious age. Two brothers of the noble house of Molesme were riding through a wild forest, in arms, on their way to a neighbouring tournament. Suddenly in the mind of each rose the awful thought, "What if I should murder my brother, and so secure the whole of our inheritance!" The strong power of love, of virtue, of religion, or whatever influence was employed by the divine blessing, wrestled down in each the dark temptation. Some years after they passed again the same dreary road; the recollection of their former trial came back upon their minds; they shuddered at once at the fearful power of the Tempter. They hastened to confess themselves to a holy hermit; they then communicated each to the other their fratricidal thoughts; they determined to abandon for ever a world which abounded in such dreadful suggestions, to devote their lives to the God who had saved them from such appalling sin. So rose at Molesme a small community, which rapidly became a monastery. The brothers, however, disappear, at least are not the most conspicuous in the history of this community. In the monastery, in the forest of Colan near Molesme, arose dissension, at length secession. Some of the most rigid, including the abbot, the prior, and Stephen Harding, an Englishman, Stephen Harding. sought a more complete solitude, a more obstinate wilderness to tame, more sense-subduing poverty, more intense mortification. They found it in a desert place on the borders of Champagne and Burgundy. Nothing could appear more stubborn, more dismal, more hopeless than this spot; it suited their rigid mood; they had more than once the satisfaction of almost perishing by famine. The monastery of Citeaux had not yet softened away the savage character of the wilderness around when it opened its gates to Bernard of Clairvaux. Stephen Harding had become its abbot, and Stephen was the true founder of the Cistercian Order.

Stephen Harding had been bestowed as an offering by his pious parents on the monastery of Sherborne in Dorsetshire. There he received his education, there he was fed with cravings for higher devotion which Sherborne could not satisfy. He wandered as a pilgrim to Rome; he returned with his spiritual wants still more pressing, more fastidious, more insatiate. Among the brethren of Molesme he found for a time a relief for his soul's necessities: but even from Molesme he was driven forth in search of profound peace, of more full satisfaction; and he was among the seven who retired into the more desolate

and unapproachable Citeaux.<sup>k</sup> Yet already had Citeaux. Citeaux, though still rude and struggling, as it were, with the forest and the marsh, acquired fame. Odo, the mighty Duke of Burgundy, the first patron of the new community, had died in the Holy Land. Ere he expired he commanded that his remains should not rest in the vaults of his cathedral at Dijon, or any of the more stately abbeys of his land, where there were lordly prelates or chapters of priests to celebrate daily the splendid

<sup>k</sup> Compare the Life of Harding, in the Lives of the English Saints. If the writers of some of these biographies had of style, have enriched our literature.

condescended to write history rather

masses with their solemn music for his soul. IIe desired that they should rest in the humble chapel of Citeaux, blessed by the more prevailing prayers of its holy monks. In after ages Citeaux, become magnificent, was the buryingplace of the Dukes of Burgundy; but over their gorgeous marble tombs it might be questioned whether such devout and earnest supplications were addressed to heaven as by the simple choir of Stephen Harding.

But its glory and its power rose not from the sepulture of the Dukes of Burgundy, but from the entrance of the living Bernard within its walls.<sup>m</sup> Bernard was born of noble parentage in Burgundy. His father, Tecelin, was a man of great bravery and unimpeachable honour and justice; his mother, Alith, likewise of high birth, a model of devotion and charity. Bernard was the third of six brothers; he had one sister. The mother, who had secretly vowed all her children to God, took the chief part in their early education, especially in that of Bernard, a simple and studious, a thoughtful and gentle youth, yet even in childhood of strong will and visionary imagination. The mother's death confirmed the influence of her life. Having long practised secretly the severest monastic discipline, she breathed out her spirit amid the psalms of the clergy around her bed: the last movement of her lips was praise to God.

The world was open to the youth of high birth, beautiful person, graceful manners, irresistible influence. The Court would at once have welcomed a young knight, so endowed, with her highest honours, her most intoxicating pleasures; the Church would have trained a noble disciple so richly gifted for her most powerful bishoprics or her wealthiest abbeys. He closed his eyes upon the world, on the worldly Church, with stern determination. He became at once master of his passions. His eyes had dwelt too long and too curiously on a beautiful female; he plunged to the neck in a pool of cold water. His chastity underwent, but unattainted, severer trials. Yet he resolved to abandon this incorrigible world altogether. He

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>m</sup> The Life of St. Bernard (the first book) by William the Abbot (Gulielmus Abbas), was written during his lifetime,

inquired for the poorest, the most inaccessible, the most austere of monasteries. It was that of Citeaux. He arrived at the gates, but not alone. Already his irresistible influence had drawn around him thirty followers, all equally resolute in the renunciation of secular life, in submission to the most rigorous discipline; some, men of middle life, versed in, but weary of, the world; most, like himself, youths of noble birth, with life untried and expanding in its most dazzling promise before them. But this was not all; his mother's vow must be fulfilled. One after the other the strange and irresistible force of his character enthralled his brothers, and at length his sister. Two of the brothers with an uncle followed his steps at once: the elder, Guido, was married; his wife refused to yield up her claims on her husband's love. A seasonable illness enforced her submission; she too retired to a convent. wound in the side, prophesied, it was said, by Bernard, brought another, a gallant warrior, as a heart-stricken penitent into his company. When they all left the castle of their fathers, where they had already formed a complete monastic brotherhood, Guido, the elder, addressed Nivard the youngest son. "To you remains the whole patrimony of our house." "Earth to me and heaven to you, that is no fair partition," said the boy. He lingered a short time with his aged father and then joined the rest. Even the father died a monk of Clairvaux in the arms of Bernard. But it was not on his own kindred alone that Bernard wrought with this commanding power. When he was to preach, wives hurried away their husbands, mothers withdrew their sons, friends their friends from the resistless magic of his eloquence.

Notwithstanding its fame, the Cistercian monastery up to this time had been content with a few unincreasing votaries. Warlike and turbulent Burgundy furnished only here and there some conscience-stricken disciple to its dreary cells. The accession of the noble Bernard, of his kindred and his followers, raised at once the popularity and crowded the dormitories of this remote solitude. But Bernard himself dwelt in subjection, in solitude, in study. He was alone, except when on his knees with the rest in the choir; the forest oaks and beeches were his beloved companions; he diligently read the sacred Scriptures; he strove to work out his own conception of perfect

and angelic religion. He attained a height of abstraction from earthly things which might have been envied by an Indian Yogue. He had so absolutely withdrawn his senses from communion with the world that they seemed dead to all outward impressions: his eyes did not tell him whether his chamber was ceiled or not, whether it had one window or three. Of the scanty food which he took rather to avert death than to sustain life, his unconscious taste had lost all perception whether it was nauseous or wholesome. Yet Bernard thought himself but in his novitiate; others might have attained, he had but begun his sanctification. If aboured with the hardest labourers, discharged the most menial offices, was everybody's slave; the more degrading the office the more acceptable to Bernard.

But the monastery of Stephen Harding could no longer contain its thronging votaries. From this metropolis of holiness Bernard was chosen to lead the first colony. There was a valley in Champagne, not far from the river Aube, called the Valley of Wormwood, infamous as a den of robbers: Bernard and his companions determined to change it into a temple of God. It was a savage, terrible solitude, so utterly barren that at first they were reduced to live on beech-leaves: they suffered the direst extremity of famine, until the patient faith of Bernard was rewarded by supplies pouring in from the reverential piety of the neighbouring peasants.

To the gate of Clairvaux (Bernard's new monastery had taken that musical name, to which he has given immortality) came his sister, who was nobly married, in great state and with a splendid retinue. Not one of her brothers would go out to see her—she was spurned from the door as a sinner. "If I am a sinner," she meekly replied, "I am one of those for whom Christ died, and have the greater need of my brothers' kindly counsel. Command, I am ready to obey!" Bernard was moved; he could not separate her from her husband, but he adjured her to renounce all her worldly pomp. Humbeline obeyed, devoted herself to fasting and prayer, and at length retired into a convent.

Bernard's life would have been cut short by his austerities; this slow suicide would have deprived the Church of the last of her Fathers. But he had gone to receive orders from the Bishop of Châlons, William of Champeaux, the great dialectician, the teacher and the adversary of Abélard. With him he contracted a strong friendship. The wise counsel, and something like the pious fraud (venial here if ever) of this good prelate, compelled him to support his life, that most precious gift of God, without which the other high gifts of the Creator were without value.<sup>n</sup>

The fame and influence of Bernard spread rapidly and widely; his irresistible preaching awed and won all hearts. Everywhere Bernard was called in as the great pacificator of religious, and even of civil dissensions. His justice, his mildness, were equally commanding and persuasive. It was a free and open court, to which all might appeal without cost; from which all retired, even if without success, without dissatisfaction; convinced, if condemned by Bernard, of his own wrongfulness. His wondering followers saw miracles in all his acts,° prophecies in all his words. The Gospels contain not such countless wonders as the life of Bernard. Clairvaux began to send forth its colonies; to Clairvaux all looked back with fervent attachment to their founder, and carried his name with them by degrees through France, and Italy, and Germany, to England and Spain.

Bernard, worthy as he was, according to the biographer, to be compelled to accept them, firmly declined all ecclesiastical dignities. The Abbot of Clairvaux, with all the wealth and all the honours of the Church at his feet, while he made and unmade Popes, remained but the simple Abbot.

From the schism in the Papal See between Innocent II.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>n</sup> The more mature wisdom of Ber- <sup>o</sup> Some of them, of course, sink to nard viewed this differently. "Non the whimsical and the puerile. On one superfluis, est et in admittendis neces-sariis."-De Consider., i. viii. Compare the whole chapter.

ergo est temperantia in solis resecandis occasion he excommunicated the flies, which disturbed and defiled a church; they fell dead, and were swept off the floor by basket -full.

and Anacletus II., his life is the history of the Western Church.

Innocent, not without difficulty, had escaped from Rome, had dropped down to the mouth of the Tiber, May, June, and reached the port of Pisa. Messengers were Innovent in immediately despatched to secure the support of France. the Transalpine Sovereigns, more especially of Louis the Fat, the King of France. The King, who had now become a recognised protector of the Pope, summoned a Council of the Archbishops and Bishops of the realm at Etampes. Both the King and the Prelates imperatively required the presence of Bernard, the holy Abbot of Clairvaux. Bernard arrived, torn reluctant, and not without fear, from his tranquil seclusion, and thus plunged at once into the affairs of the world. The whole assembly, the King and the Prelates, with flattering unanimity referred the decision of this momentous question to him alone. Thus was Bernard in one day the arbiter of the religious destinies of Christendom. Was he so absolutely superior to that last infirmity of noble minds as to be quite undazzled by the unexpected majesty of his position ? He prayed earnestly; did he severely and indifferently examine this great cause? The burning passion of his letters, after he had embraced the cause of Innocent, does not impress the unbiassed inquirer with the calmness of his delibera-To the Archbishop of Tours, who was slow to tions. acknowledge the superior validity of Innocent's claims, he writes peremptorily-"The abomination of desolation is in the holy places. Antichrist, in persecuting Innocent, is persecuting all innocence: banished from Rome, he is accepted by the world." P

Innocent hastened to the hospitable shores of France. He landed at St. Gilles, in Provence, and Oct. 25. proceeded by Viviers and Puy, in Auvergne, to the monastery of Clugny. There he was received, in the King's name, by Suger, Abbot of St. Denys, and proceeded with horses and with a suitable retinue upon his journey. At Clermont he held a Council, and received the Nov. 18, 29. allegiance of two of the great Prelates of Germany, those of Salzburg and Munster. Near Orleans he was

p "Pulsus ab urbe, ab orbe receptus."-Epist. 124.

VOL. III.

z 🖕

welcomed by the King and his family with every mark of reverence and submission. At Chartres another Jan. 30, 1131, monarch, Henry I. of England, acknowledged Innocent as the legitimate successor of St. Peter," The influence of Bernard had overruled the advice of the. English Prelates, and brought this second kingly spiritual vassal, though reluctant, to the feet of Innocent. · Thou fearest the sin of acknowledging Innocent: answer thou for thy other sins, be that upon my head." Such was the language of Bernard to the King of England. The Pontiff condescended to visit Rouen, where the Norman Barons. and even the Jews of the city, made him splendid presents.

From Germany had come an embassy to declare May 10. that the Emperor Lothair and a Council of sixteen Bishop's, at Wurtzburg, had acknowledged Innocent. Anacletus was not only rejected, but included under proscription, with the disobedient Frederick the Hohenstaufen, and Conrad the King of Italy; they and all their partisans were menaced with excommunication. The ambassadors invited Council of him to visit Germany. Innocent held his first Rheims. Council at Rheims where he arowned the Vision Council at Rheims, where he crowned the King of France and his infant son. He visited, before or after the Council, other parts of France. He was at Etampes; Châlons, Cambray, Laon, Paris, Beauvais, Compiègne, Auxerre, as well as at Liège, Rouen, Gisors, Pont-Ysère, with Bernard as his inseparable companion. In public affairs he appeared to consult his Cardinals; but every measure had been previously discussed in his private conferences with the Abbot of Clairvaux. Bernard accompanied him to At Liège. March 22, Liège. The Pope was received with the highest

honours by the Emperor Lothair; the Emperor held the reins of the Pope's white palfrey; but to the dismay of Innocent and his Cardinals, Lothair renewed the old claim to the investitures;<sup>s</sup> and seemed disposed to enforce his demand as the price of his allegiance, if not by stronger measures. Innocent thought of the fate of Paschal, and trembled at the demand of the Barbarian. But the eloquence of Bernard overawed the Emperor: Lothair submitted to

ragon. in Vit.

· " Episcoporum sibi restitui inves-

<sup>9</sup> William Malmesbury.—Cardin. Ar-gon. in Vit. <sup>1</sup> Vita Bernardi. <sup>2</sup> William Malmesbury.—Cardin. Ar-geratore Henrico, Romana Ecclesia vin-dicarat."—Ernold, Vit. Bernard.

the spell of his authority.<sup>i</sup> On his return from Liege, the Pope visited the Abbey of Clairvaux. It was a strange contrast with the magnificence of his reception in the stately churches of Rheims, of Rouen, and of Liège, which were thronged with the baronial clergy, and their multitudes of clerical attendants, and rich with the ornaments offered by pipus kings and princes; nor less the contrast with the gorgeous state of the wealthy monasteries, even the now splendid, almost luxurious Clugny. He was met at Clairvaux by the poor of Christ, not clad in purple and fine linen, but in tattered raiment; not bearing Gospels or sacred books embossed in gold, but a rude stone cross. Not a trúmpet sounded, no tumultuous shouts were heard; no one lifted his looks from the earth, no curious eye wandered abroad to gaze on the ceremony; the only sound was a soft and lowly chant. The Prelates and the Pope were moved to tears. The Roman clergy were equally astonished at the meanness of the Church furniture, the nakedness of the walls; not less by the hardness and scantiness of the fare, the coarsest bread and vegetables, instead of the delicacies to which they were accustomed; a single small fish had been procured for the Pope. They had little desire to sojourn long at Clairvaux."

"INNOCEN'T AT CLATRVAUX."

CHAP, IV.

Bernard could boast that Innocent was now acknowledged, and chiefly through his influence, by the Innocent ac-Kings of France, England, Spain, and by the knowledged by all the transatories and the transatories the tran Emperor. The more powerful clergy beyond the kings. Alps, all the religious communities, the Camaldulites, the Vallombrosans, the Carthusians, those of Clugny, with other Benedictines; his own Cistercians, in all their widespreading foundations, were on the same side. In Italy, the Archbishop of Ravenna, the Bishops of Pavia, Pistoia, Asti, and Parma, offered their allegiance. Of all the Sovereigns of Europe, Duke Roger of Sicily alone, bribed by the promise of a crown, adhered to his rival.

Bernard has now become an ardent, impassioned, disdainful partisan; he has plunged heart and soul into

<sup>u</sup> Epist. 125.

mucro barbaricus compulit acquiescere overcharged the wrath of the meek importunis improbisque postulationibus Lothair. iracundi atque irascentis regis."-S. "Epis

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Sed nec Leodii cervicibus imminens Bernard, Epist. 150. Bernard has rather

the conflict and agitation of the world.<sup>x</sup> Anacletus had dared to send his legates into France ; Aquitaine had generally espoused his cause. "Why not," writes the indignant Bernard to the Bishops of that province, "to Persia, to Decapolis, to the farthest Scythians?" Bernard's letters are addressed to the cities of Italy in terms of condescending praise and commanding authority rather than of meek persuasion. He exhorts them, Genoa more especially, which seemed to have been delighted with his presence, to reject the insidious alliance of the King of Sicily.<sup>y</sup> He threatens Milan, and hints that the Pope may raise bishops into archbishops, degrade archbishops into bishops. His power over the whole clergy knows no limitation. Bernard offers his mediation; but the price of reconciliation is not only submission to the spiritual power of Pope Innocent, but to the renunciation of Conrad, who still claimed the kingdom of Italy. They must make satisfaction, not to the Pope alone, but to the Emperor Lothair, the Pope's ally.<sup>z</sup>

The Emperor Lothair had promised to reinstate Innocent in the possession of Rome. Innocent entered Italy; he was received in Asti, Novara, Piacenza, Cremona, Brescia; he met the Emperor on the plains of Roncaglia. From Piacenza he moved to Pisa; reconciled that Nov. 8, 1132. city with her rising rival Genoa, and rewarded the obedience of Genoa by raising the see into an archbishopric. The fleets of Genoa and Pisa became the most March, 1133. Useful allies of the Pope. The next year the Emperor and the Pope advanced to Rome, Bernard still by the side of the conquering Pontiff. Anacletus April 30. did not venture to defend the city; he retired beyond the Tiber, occupied the Vatican, and maintained

\* Bernard insists throughout on the canonical election of Innocent. In one place he doubtfully asserts the numbers to have been in favour of Innocent: to have been in favour of Innocent: "Cujus electio sanior numerum eligen-tium et numero vincens et merito." In solum ex principibus, ipsunque usur-other passages he rests the validity of the election altogether on the soundness of his adherents. It is the "dignitas clicantinm. Hanc enim, ni fallor, par-tion altogether on the soundness clicantinm. Hanc enim, ni fallor, par-tion altogether on the soundness clicantinm. Hanc enim, ni fallor, par-tion altogether on the soundness clicantinm. Hanc enim, ni fallor, par-tion altogether on the soundness clicantinm. Hanc enim, ni fallor, par-tion altogether on the soundness clicantine. Hanc enim, ni fallor, par-tion altogether on the soundness clicantine. Hanc enim, ni fallor, par-tion altogether on the soundness tum. —Anacletus had kept his compact, to the kingdom of Sicily, Sept. 27, 1130.—Epist. 129 to 134. the election altogether on the soundness of his adherents. It is the "dignitas eligentium. Hanc enim, ni fallor, par-tem saniorem invenies."—Epist. 126. "Electio meliorum, approbatio plurium, et quod hic efficacius est, morum attestatio, Innocentium apud omnes com-

mendant, summum confirmant Ponti-ficem." Consult these three epistles, of which the rhetoric is more powerful

during Bernard's progress through Italy.

\* Epist. 137, addressed to the Empress.

the Castle of St. Angelo. On either side of the river sate a Pope launching his interdict against his adversary. The Pope rewarded the Emperor's fidelity by crowning him and his Empress Richilda with great solemnity in the Lateran Church. Lothair swore to protect the Pope and June 4. the royalties of St. Peter to the utmost of his power; to enforce the restoration of all the rights and possessions withheld by violence from the See. But the presence of Lothair was the only safeguard of Innocent in Rome. No sooner had the Emperor returned to Germany than Innocent retired to Pisa, which, in St. Bernard's words, had the dignity of becoming a second Rome, the seat of exiled Pontiffs. Bernard was indignant at the long though necessary tardiness of the Emperor. It was not for him to excite to war, but it was for the Emperor to vindicate his throne from the Sicilian usurper; to defend the Church from the Jewish schismatic. His letter is that of a superior, under the guise of the lowest humility, dictating what is irrefragably right; in its address it is the supplication of a suitor; in its substance, in its spirit, a lofty reprimand." He rebukes him for other weaknesses; for neglecting the interests of God by allowing the Church of St. Gingoulph to be oppressed; he rebukes him for his ingratitude to Pisa, always the loyal subject and the most powerful ally of the Empire.

It was not till the fourth year of Innocent's retirement had begun (at Pisa<sup>b</sup> he exercised all the functions of a Pope, except over Rome and in the south of Italy), that Lothair appeared again under the Alps at the head of a formidable army. The Pope, at the head of one division, marched against the cities in the neighbourhood of Rome; Lothair against the great ally of Anacletus, the King of Sicily. Lothair subdued the March of Ancona, the Principality of Capua, and almost the whole of Apulia. But this conquest endangered the amity between the Emperor and the Pope. Each claimed the right of investiture. Since the Norman conquest the Popes had maintained their strange claim to sovereignty over the whole kingdom of Naples; their right was grounded on the exercise of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Epist. 139, 140. <sup>b</sup> Innocent was at Pisa from Nov. 16, plain of Roncaglia, Nov. 3, 1136.

right. The Emperor, as Emperor and King of Italy, declared himself undoubted sovereign of all which had not been expressly granted by his predecessors to the Holy A compromise took place; the new Duke Rainer See. swore fealty both to the Emperor and to the Pope. The King of Sicily had quietly withdrawn his troops, and waited his opportunity, when the Emperor should return to Germany,<sup>e</sup> to resume the offensive. Anacletus, in his impregnable fortress of St. Angelo, defied his enemies. Jan. 25, 1138. But his death relieved Innocent from his obstinate antagonist. The descendant of the Jew was buried secretly, lest his body, like that of Formosus, should be torn from its resting place by the vengeance of his enemies. An Anti-pope was elected two months after the death of Anacletus; he held his state but for two March to May 29. months more. For Innocent had returned to Rome, with Bernard by his side. Bernard, he himself declares, was constantly sighing for the quiet shades of Clair-. Jan. 12.

vaux, for seclusion, for unworldly self-sanctification; but the interests of God and the commands of the Pope detained him, still reluctant, in the turmoil of secular affairs. His eloquence now wrought, perhaps, its greatest triumph; it prevailed over Roman faction and priestly ambition. Victor II., such was the name which the Cardinal-Priest Gregory had assumed with the Popedom, renounced his dignity; the powerful family of Peter the son of Leo abandoned the weary contest, and all Rome acknowledged the Pope of St. Bernard.

Never had Rome or any other city of Christendom beheld so numerous a council as that held by Innocent II. in the Lateran Palace on the 4th of April, 1139—a thousand bishops (five from England), countless abbots, and other ecclesiastical dignitaries. The decrees have survived, not the debates of this Council. The speech of the Pope may be read; there is no record of those of Bernard and of the other ruling authorities. But the decrees, as well as the speech of Innocent, image forth the Christianity of the times: the Christianity of St. Bernard.

The oration of the Pope is remarkable, as distinctly claiming a feudal superiority over the whole clergy of

\* The Emperor Lothair died on his return to Germany, Dec. 3-4, 1137.

CHAP. IV. THE POPE LIEGE LORD OF THE HIERARCHY. 343

Christendom. Every ecclesiastical dignity is held of him, as the great spiritual liege lord.<sup>a</sup> After inveighing against the sacrilegious ambition of the Anti-pope, Innocent annulled all his decrees. "We degrade all whom he has promoted; we expel from holy orders and depose all whom he has consecrated." Those ordained by the legate of Anacletus, Gerard of Angoulême, were interdicted from their functions. Each of these degraded Prelates was summoned. The Pope assailed those that appeared with indignant reproaches, wrenched their pastoral staves out of their hands, himself stripped the palls from their shoulders, and without mercy took away the rings by which they were wedded to their churches.

The decrees of the Lateran Council, while the Pope. asserted his own unlimited power over the episcopal order, gave to the bishops the same unlimited power over the lower clergy.<sup>e</sup> Even for irregular or unbecoming dress they might be deprived of their benefices. The marriage of subdeacons was strictly forbidden. A remarkable statute inhibited the prevailing usage of monks and regular canons practising law and medicine; the law, as tending not merely to withdraw them from their proper occupation of psalmody, but as confounding their notions of right and wrong, of justice and iniquity, and encourag-ing them to be avaricious of worldly gain. The same avidity for lucre led them to practise medicine, the knowledge of which could not be reconciled with the severe modesty of a monk.

Another significant canon betrayed that already a secret insurrection was brooding in the hearts of men against the sacerdotal authority of the Church. These very times witnessed a formidable struggle against her wealth and power; but some bolder men had already begun to question her doctrines. The twenty-third canon of the Lateran Council might seem directed against the anabaptists of the 16th century. "We expel from the Church as heretics those who, under the semblance of religion, condemn the sacrament of the body and blood of Christ, the baptism of

\* Decret. iv.

d "Quia a Romani pontificis licentia ejus permissione legaliter non tenetur." ecclesiastici ordinis celsitudo, quâsi feo- — Chronicon. Maurin. apud Labbe. dalis juris consuetudine suscipitur, et sine

children, the priesthood, and the holy rite of marriage." The heretics against whom this anathema was aimed will before long force themselves on our notice.

The legislation of the Lateran Council did not confine itself to the affairs of the clergy, or, strictly speaking, of religion. The Council assumed the office of conservator of the public morals and the public peace. . It condemned usurers and incendiaries. It repeated the enactment demanding security at all times for certain classes, the clergy of all orders, monks, pilgrims, merchants, and rustics employed in agriculture, with their beasts, their seed, and their flocks. The Truce of God was to be observed on the appointed days under peril of excommunication; after a third admonition excommunication followed, which if the elergy did not respect, they were to be degraded from their orders. The persons of the clergy were taken under especial protection. It was sacrilege to strike a clergyman or a monk-a sacrilege, the penalty of which could only be absolved on the death-bed. A rigid decree prohibited tournaments as a vain display of strength and valour, and as leading to bloodshed. Another singular decree condemned the use of the cross-bow against Christians and Catholics as an act deadly and hateful to God.

This solemn Christian protest against the habits of a warlike age, as might be expected, had no immediate or visible effect: yet still as a protest it may have worked in the depths of the Christian mind, if not absolutely compelling its observance, yet giving weight and authority to kindred thoughts in reflective minds; at all events, rescuing Christianity. from the imputation of a total forgetfulness of its genuine spirit, an utter extinction of its essential character.

In that strange discordance indeed which is so embarrassing in ecclesiastical history, almost all the few remaining years of Innocent II., the great pacificator, are occupied in war. He is heading his own armies, first against Tusculum and other rebellious cities in the neighbourhood of Rome; then in an obstinate war against the King of Sicily. It would be curious, if it were possible, to ascertain how far the papal troops respected the monk and the pilgrim, the merchant and the husbandman; how far they observed the solemn days of the Truce of God. In these unseemly martial expeditions the Popes were singularly unfortunate, yet their disasters almost always turned to their advantage. Like his predecessor Leo IX., Innocent fell, as a prisoner of war, into the hands of his enemies. Again the awe-struck Norman bowed before his holy captive; and Innocent as a prisoner obtained better terms than he would have won at the point of the sword.

## CHAPTER V.

## GOTSCHALK.—ABÉLARD.

THE papacy is again united in the person of Innocent II., but the work of the real Supreme Pontiff of Christendom, of the ruling mind of the West, is but half achieved. Bernard must be followed to other conquests, to other victories; victories which for some centuries left their influence upon mankind, and arrested the precocious, irregular, and perilous struggles for intellectual and spiritual, and even civil freedom.

Monastic Christianity led to two unexpected but inevitable results, to the expansion of the human Pwo great intellectual understanding, even till it strove to overleap the movements lofty barriers of the established Catholic doctrine, and to a sullen and secret mutiny, at length to an open insurrection, against the power of the sacerdotal order. The former revolt was not only premature, but suppressed without any immediate outburst menacing to the stability of the dominant creed and institutions. It was confined not indeed to a few, for the schools of those whom the Church esteemed the most dangerous teachers were crowded with young and almost fanatical hearers. But it was a purely intellectual movement. The Church raised up on her side as expert and powerful dialecticians as those who strove for emancipation. Wherever philosophy aspired to be independent of theology, it was seized and carried captive back. Nor did the Church by any means exclusively maintain her supremacy by stern and imperious authority, by proscribing and suppressing inquiry. Though she did not disdain, she did not entirely rely on fixing the infamy of heretical doctrine upon the more daring reasoners; she reasoned herself by her sons with equal vigour, if with more submissiveness; sounded with her antagonists the depths of metaphysical inquiry, examined the inexhaustible processes of human thought and language, till gradually the gigantic bulwark of scholastic theology rose around the Catholic doctrine.

Of this first movement, the intellectual struggle for emancipation, Abélard was the representative and the victim. Of the second, far more popular, immediate, and while it lasted, perilous, that which rose up against the whole hierarchical system of Christendom, the champion was Arnold of Brescia. This last was for a time successful; combining with the inextinguishable republican spirit of the Roman populace, it curbed and subjugated the great head of the hierarchy in the very seat of his It required a league between a powerful Emperor power. and an able Pope to crush Arnold of Brescia; but in the ashes of Arnold of Brescia's funeral pile smouldered for centuries the fire, which was at length to blaze out in irresistible violence.

Both these movements sprang naturally out of monastic Christianity; it is necessary to trace the birth of each in succession from this unsuspected and unsuspecting origin. It was impossible, even in the darkest times, to seclude a large part of mankind from the active duties of life without driving, as it were, some into intellectual occupa-Conventual discipline might enslave or absorb the tion. greater number by its perpetual round of ritual observance; by the distribution of day and night into short portions, to each of which belonged its prayer, its maceration, its religious exercise. It might induce in most a religious terror, a fearful shrinking of the spirit from every possibly unlawful aberration of the mind, as from any unlawful emotion of the body. The coarser and more sluggish minds would be altogether ice-bound in the alternation of hard labour and unvarying religious service. They would rest contented in mechanical drudgery in the field, and as mechanical religion in the chapel. The calmer and more imaginative would surrender themselves to a dreamy ecstasy of devotion. Mysticism, in some one of its forms, would absorb all their energies of mind, all the aspirations of heart. Meditation with them might be one long, unbroken, unceasing adoration; the more indistinct the more awful, the more awful the more reverential; and that reverence would suppress at once any question bordering on pre-

sumption. Submission to authority, the vital principle of monasticism, would be a part of their being. Yet with some contemplation could not but lead to thought: meditation would quicken into reflection; reflection, however checked by authority and restrained by dread, would still wander away, would still strive against its barriers. The being and the attributes of God, the first prescribed subject of holy contemplation, what were they? Where was the bound, the distinction, between things visible and things invisible? things material and things immaterial? the real and the unreal? the finite and the infinite? The very object which was continually enforced upon the mind by its most sublime attribute, the incomprehensibility of God, tempted the still baffled but unwearied desire of comprehension. Reason awoke, composed itself again to despairing slumber in the lap of authority; awoke again; its slumbers became more disturbed, more irregular, till the anodyne of awe had lost its power. Religion itself seemed to compel to metaphysical inquiry; and the region of metaphysical inquiry once expanding on the view, there was no retreat. Reason no sooner began to cope with these inevitable subjects, than it was met on the threshold by the great question, the existence of a world, inapprehensible by our senses, and that of the mode of its apprehension by the mind. This great unanswerable problem appears destined to endure as long as mankind; but no sooner was it started and followed out by the contemplative monk, than from an humble disciple of the Gospel he became a philosopher; he was, perhaps, an unconscious Aristotelian, or an unconscious Platonist. But in truth the tradition of neither philosophy had absolutely died out. Among the few secular books which survived the wreck of learning and found their way into the monastic libraries, were some which might foster the bias either to the more rational or more ideal view.<sup>a</sup>

So in every insurrection, whether religious or more philosophical, against the dominant dogmatic system, a monk was the leader, and there had been three or four of these insurrections before the time of Abélard. Even early in the ninth century the German monk Gotschalk had revived the dark

\* The Isagoge of Porphyrius; the works of Boethius.

subject of predestination. This subject had almost slept since the time of Augustine and his scholar Fulgentius, who had relentlessly crushed the Semi-Pelagianism of his day.<sup>b</sup> It is a singular circumstance, as has been before shown, that this religious fatalism has been so constantly the creed or rather the moving principle of those who have risen up against established ecclesiastical authority, while an established religion tends constantly to acquiesce in a less inflexible view of divine providence. The reason is simpleand twofold. Nothing less than a stern fanaticism, which makes the reformer believe himself under the direct guidance, a mere instrument, predestined by God's providence for this work, would give courage to confront a powerful hierarchy, to meet obloquy, persecution, even martyrdom; the same fanaticism, by awakening a kindred conviction of an absolute and immediate call from God, gives hope of a successful struggle at least, if not of victory; he is pre-doomed or specially commissioned and avowed by the Most High. On the other hand an hierarchy is naturally averse to a theory which involves the direct and immediate operation of God by an irreversible decree upon each individual mind. Assuming itself to be the intermediate agency between God and man, and resistance to its agency being the sure and undeniable consequence of the tenet, it cannot but wish to modify or mitigate that predestination which it does not altogether reject. It is perpetually appealing to the free will of man by its offers of the means of grace; as the guide and spiritual director of each individual soul, it will not be superseded by an anterior and irrevocable law. Predestination, in its extreme theory at least, disdains all the long, slow, and elaborate work of the Church, in training. watching, controlling, and submitting to ecclesiastical discipline, the soul committed to its charge. The predestinarian (though in fact, such is the logical inconsistency of strong religious belief, by no means generally antinomian) is always represented and indeed believed to be antino-

<sup>b</sup> It is curious that the first heresy, after the *establishment* of Mohamme-danism, was the denial, or questioning at least, of predestinarianism. "A peine le prophète était mort qu'une dispute s'éleva entre les théologiens sur le dogme de Prédestination."— Schmolder's Essai, p. 192. See also Ritter, Christliche Philosophie, p. 693.

mian by those from whose rigid authority this primary tenct emancipates the disciple. So it was that the Transalpine hierarchy, under the ruling influence of Hinemar, Archbishop of Rheims, who at one time possessed almost papal authority, persecuted the Predestinatian as a dangerous and lawless heretic; and Gotschalk endured the censure of a council, the scourge, the prison, with stubborn and determined confidence, not merely that he was fulfilling his divine mission, but that in him the Church condenmed the true doctrine of the irrefragable Augustine.<sup>6</sup>

Hinemar called to his aid, against this premature Luther, an ally who alarmed the Church no less Scotus than Gotschalk himself by his appeal to a new Erig ma. power above Catholic authority, human reason. We have already encountered this extraordinary man as the spiritual ancestor, the parent of Berengar of Tours and of his antitransubstantiation doctrine. A sudden revulsion took place. Hincmar, by his overweening pride and pretensions to supremacy, at least over the whole Church of France, had awakened a strong jealousy among the great prelates of the realm. Prudentius of Troyes took the lead against him; and though eventually Gotschalk died in a prison, yet Hincmar became a tyrannical persecutor, well nigh a heretic, Gotschalk an injured victim, if not a martyr. This fatal ally of Hinemar was the famous John, commonly called Erigena.

Perhaps the only fact which may be considered certain as to the early years of John the Erin-born is, that he must have commenced at least this train of philosophic thought in some one of the monastic schools of Ireland or of the Scottish islands. In some secluded monastery among that last retreat of knowledge which had escaped the Tentonic invasion, or on the wave-beat shore of Iona,

<sup>•</sup> Gotschalk stands so much alone, that I thought it not necessary, during the age of Honemar, to arrest the course of events by the discussion of his views. His tenets may be seen in one sentence from his own works in Hinemar's De Prædesfinatione: "Quia sient Deus incommutabilis ante mundi constituta ohter per gratuntam gratiam suam randestin avit ad viram æfernam, simi-

liter omnino omnes reprobos, qui in die judicii damnabuntur propter ipsorum mala merita, idem ipse incommutabilis Deus per just...n judicium suum incommutabiliter pra destinavit ad mortem merito sempiternam." In Archbishop Usher's works will be found the whole controversy...-Gotteschalci et Pradestinatiariæ Controversiæ ab co motæ Historia. See also the Lectures of M. Annère.

John the Scot imbibed that passion for knowledge which made him an acceptable guest at Paris, the partner of the table and even of the bed of Charles the Bald.<sup>d</sup> Throughout those wild and turbulent times of Charles the Bald Erigena lived undisturbed by the civil wars which raged around, resolutely detached from secular affairs, not in monastic but in intellectual seclusion. John is said to have made a pilgrimage, not to the birthplace of the Saviour, but to that of Plato and Aristotle; and it is difficult to imagine where in the West he can have obtained such knowledge of Greek as to enable him to translate the difficult and mystic work which bore the name of Dionysius the Areopagite.<sup>f</sup> John the Scot professed an equal admiration for the antagonistic philosophies of Plato and of Aristotle; he even attempted the yet unaccomplished, perhaps the impossible, task of reconciling the poetry and prose of the two systems. In his treatise on Predestination he boldly asserts the supremacy of Reason; he throws off, what no Latin before had dared, the fetters of Augustinianism. His freewill is even more than the plain practical doctrine of Chrysostom and the Greek Fathers, who avoided or eluded that inscrutable question: it is an attempt to found it on philosophic grounds, to establish it on the sublime arbitration of human reason. In his translation of Dionysius the Areopagite with the Commentary of Maximus, Erigena taught the mysticism of the later Platonists. He aspired to the still higher office of harmonising philosophy with religion, which in their lofticst sense he declared to be the same.<sup>g</sup>

<sup>d</sup> Hence the anecdote, true or false, of his famous repartee to the King, "Quid distat inter Scotum et sotum?-mensa."

• Brucker thinks that John's knowledge of Greek gave rise to this report of his travels to the East.

<sup>t</sup> Archbishop Theodore of Canterbury, himself a Greek, had given a temporary impulse to the study of the language. It will be seen that two centuries later the universal Abélard was ignorant of Greek; and I doubt whether his fair pupil understood more than her master.

<sup>8</sup> Erigena's most remarkable work qu'aient jam hears a Greek title, στεί φυσαῶν μεισμοῦ, l'Académie,' published by Gale, Oxford, 1681; re- M. Ampère.

cently by M. Schruter, Munster, 1838. On this book compare Haureau, De la Philosophie Scolastique (an admirable treatise), p. 112, et seq. "Quel étonnement, disons même quel respect, doit nous inspirer la graude figure de ce docteur, qui causera tant d'agitation dans l'école, dans l'Eglise; qui semera les vents, et reoueillera les tempétes, mais saura les braver; qui ne laissera pas un héritier direct de sa doctrine, mais qui du moins aura la gloire d'avoir annoncé, d'avoir précédé Bruno, Vanini, Spinosa, les plus téméraires des logiciens qu'aient jamais erré sous les platanes de l'Académie," See also the Lectures of M. Ampère.

Thus John the Scot was at once a strong Rationalist. (he brings all theologic questions to the test of dialectic reasoning); and at the same time, not by remote inference, but plainly and manifestly a Pantheist. With him God is all things, all things are God. The Creator alone truly is; the universe is but a sublime Theophany, a visible manifestation of God. He distinctly asserts the eternity of the universe; his dialectic proof of this he proclaims to be irresistible. Creation could not have been an accident of the Deity; it is of his essence to be a cause: all things therefore have existed, do exist, and will exist through him their cause. All things flow from the infinite abyss of the Godhead, and are re-absorbed into it.<sup>h</sup> No wonder that, notwithstanding the profound devotion which John the Scot blended with his most daring speculations, and the valuable service which he rendered to the Church, especially by his confutation, on however perilous grounds, yet which the foes of the predestinarian alleged to be a full confutation of the predestinarian Gotschalk, he was met by a loud and hostile clamour. Under the general denunciation of the Church and of the Pope, Nicolas I., he was obliged to fly to England: there he is said to have taken refuge in Alfred's new University of Oxford.<sup>1</sup> But if by his bolder speculations John the Scot appalled his age, by his translation of Dionysius the Areopagite he compensated to the monastic system, by supplying to the dreamy and meditative a less lawless and more absorbing train of thought, a more complete, more satisfactory, yet inoffensive mysticism to the restless mind.<sup>k</sup> What could be more congenial to the recluse, who aspired beyond the daily routine of toil and psalmody, than this vision of the Godhead, this mystic union with the Supreme, the emancipation of the soul from its corporeal prison-

Philosophie dans le Moyen Age, cap. 2. John Scot had in distinct terms the "cogito, ergo sum" of Descartes; but in fact he took it from Augustine.— Haurcau, p. 133. Compare Ritter, ii. p. 186. We may return to John Scot. i The account of his death is bor-

<sup>h</sup> Compare Brucker, vol. iii. p. 618, Schmidt der Mystieismus der Mittel Alter. See also Guizot, Civilis. Mo-derne, Lec. 29; Rousselot, Etudes sur la The flight to England does not depend

, CHAP. V. THE CELESTIAL HIERARCHY OF DIONYSIUS. 353

. house, the ascent to, the absorption in, the primal fountain of light and blessedness, the attainable angelic, and higher than angelic perfection, the ascent through all the gradations of the celestial hierarchy up to the visible at once and invisible throne of God? The effect of this work on the whole ecclesiastic system, and on the popular faith, it is almost impossible justly to estimate. The. Church of France had now made it a point of their national and monastic honour to identify the St. Denys, the founder and patron saint of the church at Paris, with the Areopagite of St. Paul; to them there could be no gift so acceptable or so greedily received. But when the whole hierarchy found that they, each in their ascending order, were the image of an ascending hierarchical type in heaven; that each order, culminating in the Pope, was the representative of a celestial order culminating in the Supreme; this was too flattering to their pride and to their power not to become at once orthodox and ecclesiastical doctrine. The effect of this new angelology on the popular belief, on the arts, and on the imagination of Latin Christendom, will be more fully developed in our consideration of the rise and progress of Christian mythology.

Though an outcast and an exile, John the Scot maintained such authority on account of his transcendent learning, that in the second great rebellion, not merely against the supremacy but almost the life of the mediæval system, Berengar of Tours appealed to him as one whose name, whose intimacy with Charles the Bald, ought to overawe the puny opponents of his time. He seems to have thought, he fearlessly and repeatedly asserted even so learned and renowned a prelate as Lanfranc to be presumptuous in not bowing at once to the decisions of John the Scot.

As time rolled on, these speculations were no longer working only in the minds of solitary men, often no doubt when least suspected. They were not promulgated, as those of Gotschalk had been, by public preaching; even those of Berengar had gained their full publicity in the schools which were attached to many of the greater monasteries. In these schools, the parents of our modern universities, the thought which had been brooded over and perhaps suppressed in the silence of the cloister, found an oppor-VOL. III.

tunity of suggesting itself for discussion, of commanding a willing, often a numerous, auditory; and was quickened by the collision of adverse opinion. The recluse and meditative philosopher became a teacher, the head of a new philosophy. Dialectics, the science of logic, was one of the highest, if not the highest, intellectual study. It was part of the Quadrivium, the more advanced and perfect stage of public education; and under the specious form of dialectic exercises, the gravest questions of divinity became subjects of debate. Thus began to rise a new Christian theology; not that of the Church embodied in the devout forms of the Liturgy, and enforced in the simple or more impassioned discourse from the pulpit; not that of the thoughtful divine, following out his own speculations in their natural course; but that of the disputant, bound by conventional scientific forms, with a tendency to degenerate from a severe investigation of truth into a trial of technical skill. In its highest tone, however acute, ingenious, and subtile, it presented every question in every possible form; it was comprehensive so as to embrace the most puerile and frivolous as well as the most momentous and majestic inquiries; if dry, wearisome, unawakening in its form, as litigation and as a strife of contending minds, it became of intense interest. It was the intellectual tournament of a small intellectual aristocracy, to which all the scholars who were bred to more peaceful avocations thronged in multitudes.

The strife between the Nominalists and Realists, famous names, which to the schools were as the Guelphs and Ghibellines in the politics of Europe, was one of the first inevitable results of this importance assumed by the science of dialectics. It is difficult to translate this controversy out of its logical language, and to make it clearly intelligible to the popular apprehension; nor is it immediately apparent how the fundamental truths of Christianity, of religion itself, as the jealous and sensitive vigilance of the hierarchy could not but perceive, were involved in this dispute. The doctrine and fate of Roscelin, the first great Nominalist, the authoritative interpreter if not the author of the system, show at once the character and the fears excited by Nominalism. Roscelin peremptorily

denied the real existence of universals; nothing actually is but the individual, that of which the senses take immediate cognizance. Universals were mere conventional phrases. Each animal subsists; the animal race is but an aggregate of the thought; man lives, humankind is a creation of the mind; the inherent, distinctive, accidental qualities of things are inseparable from the objects to which they belong. He even denied the proper existence of parts, the whole alone had actual being; it was divided or analysed only by an effort of reflection. Though the materialising tendency of Roscelin's doctrine was clearly discerned<sup>m</sup> and sternly denounced by his adversaries, yet Roscelin himself did not absolutely deny the reality of the invisible, immaterial world: the souls of men, the angels, the Deity, were to him unquestioned beings. This appears even from the fatal syllogism which awoke the jealousy of the Church, and led to the proscription of Roscelin. For philosophy could not stand aloof from theology, and Roscelin was too bold or too consistent not to push his system into that forbidden domain. The statement of his opinions rests on the evidence of his adversary, but that adversary, Anselm, cites his own words and in a form likely to have been used by so fearless a dialectician. While he reasoned of the Godhead as if having no doubt of its real being, he used his own concessions to perplex or to destroy the doctrine of the Trinity. If the three persons are one thing and not three things, as distinct as three angels or three souls, though one in will and power, the Father and the Holy Ghost must have been incarnate with the Son.<sup>n</sup>

It was a churchman, but a churchman bred in a monastery, who in the quict of its cloisters had long sounded the depths of metaphysical inquiry and was practised in its schools, one really compelled to leave his contemplative seclusion to mingle in worldly affairs—Anselm, after-

 $2 \land 2$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>m</sup> "In eorum (the Nominalists) quippe animabus, ratio, quæ et princeps et judex omnium debet esse quæ sunt in homine, sie est in imaginationibus corporalibus obvoluta, ut ex eis se non possit evolvere; nec ab ipsis ea quæ ipsa sola et pura contemplari debet, valeat discernere."— Anselm, apud Rousselot.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>n</sup> "Si in Deo tres personæ sunt una tantam res, et non sunt tres res, unaquæque per se separatim, sicut tres angeli, aut tres animæ, ita tamen ut voluntate et potentiå sint idem, ergo Pater et Spiritus Sanctus cum Filio incarnatus est."—Anselm de fid. Trinit., Rousselot, t. i. p. 160.

wards Archbishop of Canterbury, who rose up to denounce and confute at once the heretical logic and heretical theology of Roscelin.

The Norman abbey of Bec seemed to aspire to that same pre-eminence in theologic learning and the The abbey of Bec. accomplishments of high-minded churchmen which the Normans were displaying in valour, military skill, and the conquests of kingdoms. The Normans had founded or subdued great monarchies at each extreme of Europe. Normans sate on the thrones of Sicily and England. From the Norman abbey of Bec came forth two archbishops of England, the champions of the Catholic doctrine, one Lanfranc against Berengar of Tours, the other Anselm, the triumphant adversary of Roscelin, and, if not the founder, the precursor of the scholastic theology. The monastery of Bec had been founded by Herluin, a fierce and ignorant knight, who toiled and prayed as a monk with the same vehemence with which he had fought as a warrior. Herluin, accustomed to head a band of savage freebooters, suddenly seized with a paroxysm of devotion, had become the head of a religious brotherhood, in which the no less savage austerity made a profound impression upon his countrymen, and obtained for it that fame for rigid discipline which led the Italian Lanfranc, as afterwards the Italian Anselm, to its walls.° It is true that the great theologians of Bec were strangers by birth, but they were adopted Normans, called to Norman sees, and protected by Norman kings.

The profound devotion of his age was the all-absorbing passion of Anselm.<sup>p</sup> The monastery was his home; Anselm. when he was forced into the Primate's throne of England, his heart was still in the quiet Abbey of Bec. In his philosophy, as in his character, Faith was the priest, who stood alone in the sanctuary of his heart; Reason, the awe-struck and reverential minister, was to seek satisfaction not for the doubts (for from doubts

<sup>•</sup> Compare throughout C. Rémusat, Anselme. This excellent work has ap-peared since the greater part of my work was written; the whole indeed of this passage. See also the treatises of Anselm, many of them separately repub-

lished; Frank, Anselm von Canterbury;

Möhler, Anselm; Bouchette. P Anselm will appear again in his high sacerdotal character as Archbishop of Canterbury.

Снар. V.

Anselm would have recoiled as from-treason against God), but for those grave questionings, how far and in what manner the harmony was to be established between the Godhead of Revelation and of Reason. The theology of the Church, in all its most imperious dogmatism, was the irrefragable truth from which Anselm set out. It was not timidity, or even awe, which kept him within the barriers; his mind intuitively shrunk from all without those bounds, excepting so far as profound thought might seem to elucidate and make more clear the catholic conceptions of the Godhead and of the whole invisible world. His famous philosophical axiom, which alone perpetuated his renown during the centuries which looked with contempt on the intellectual movements of the middle ages, the à priori proof of the being of God—" The idea of God in the mind of man is the one unanswerable evidence of the existence of God "--this with Anselm was an illustration rather than the ground-· work of his theology.- It was not the discovery of God, whom his soul had from its earliest dawn implicitly believed, whom his heart had from his youth upward loved with intense devotion; it was not even a satisfaction of his craving intellect (his intellect required no satisfaction); it was the bright thought which flashed across the reflective mind, or to which it was led by the slow gradations of reasoning.<sup>q</sup> Faith condescended to knowledge, not because faith was insufficient, but because knowledge was, as it were, in the contemplative mind a necessary fruit of faith. He could not understand unless he first believed. But the intellect, which had for so many centuries slumbered on the lap of religion, or at least only aspired to activity on subjects far below these primary and elemental truths; which when it fought, fought for the outworks of the creed. and left the citadel, or rather (for, as in Jerusalem, the Temple was the fortress as well as the fane) the Holy of Holies, to be guarded by its own inherent sanctity ;- the intellect however awakened with reverential hand, once stirred, could not compose itself to the same profound repose.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>q</sup> "Neque enim quæro intelligere, ut quod prius credidi te ducente; jam sic credam, sed credo ut intelligam. Nam intelligo te illuminante, ut si te esse et hoc credo, quia nisi credidero, non nolim credere, non possem non intel-intelligam."—Prolog., c. iv. "Gratias tibi: quia

Anselm unconsciously, being absolutely himself without fear and without danger, had entered; and if he did not first throw open, had expanded wide the doors of that region of metaphysical inquiry which others would hereafter tread with bolder steps. Questions which he touched with holy dread were soon to be vexed by ruder hands. Reason had received an admission which, however timidly, she would never cease to assert.

It may appear at first singular, that the thought which suggested itself to the mind of a monk at Bec should still be the problem of metaphysical theology; and theology must, when followed out, become metaphysical; metaphysics must become theological. This same thought seems, with no knowledge of its mediæval origin, to have forced itself on Descartes, was reasserted by Leibnitz, if not rejected was thought insufficient by Kant, revived in another form by Schelling and by Hegel; latterly has been discussed with singular fulness and ingenuity by M. de Rémusat. Yet will it less surprise the more profoundly reflective, who cannot but perceive how soon and how inevitably the mind arrives at the verge of human thought; how it cannot but encounter this same question; which in another form divided in either avowed or unconscious antagonism, Plato and Aristotle, Anselm and his opponents (for opponents he had of no common subtlety), Leibnitz and Locke; which Kant failed to reconcile; which his followers have perhaps bewildered by a new and intricate phraseology more than elucidated; which modern eclecticism harmonises rather in seeming than in reality; the question of questions; our primary, elemental, it may be innate or instinctive, or acquired and traditional, idea, conception; notion, conviction of God, of the Immaterial, the Eternal, the Infinite.

Anselm, at first by his secluded monastic habits, afterwards on account of his dignity as Archbishop of Canterbury, and the part which he was compelled to take in the quarrel about investitures in England, either shrunk from or stood above the personal conflicts, which involved other metaphysicians in active hostilities. Yet, however the schools might already have been startled by theories of alarming import (the more alarming, as few could foresee their ultimate end), so far, without doubt, in all these CHAP. V.

conflicts between the intellectual and religious development of man, in these first insurrections against the autocracy of the Church, as far as its power over the public mind, the Church had come forth triumphant. Its adversaries had been awed, it might be into sullen and reluctant silence, vet into silence. Even in that between Abélard and St. Bernard it seemed to maintain the same superiority.

The life of Abélard, contrasted with that of St. Bernard, gives, as it were, the full measure and perfect image of the time, in its intellectual as in its religious development.

Peter Abélard was a Breton (a native of Palais, about four leagues from Nantes). In him were centered Abélardborn, the characteristics of that race.<sup>r</sup> The uncontrol- A.D. 1079. lable impetuosity, the individuality, which delighted in isolation from the rest of mankind, the self-confidence which swelled into arrogance, the perseverance which hardened into obstinacy, the quickness and fertility which was rapidly fostered into a passion for disputation. His education ripened with unexampled rapidity his natural character; no man is so overbearing or so stubborn as a successful disputant; and very early in life Abélard became the most powerful combatant in the intellectual tilting matches of the schools, which had now become one of the great fashions of the day. His own words show the singular analogy between the two paths of distinction open to aspiring youth. "I preferred," said Abélard, "the strife of disputations to the trophies of war." Skill in dialectics became to the young churchman what the management of the lance and of the courser was to the knight. He descended into the lists, and challenged all comers; and those lists, in the peaceful conventual schools, were watched with almost as absorbing interest by spectators hardly less numerous. Before the age of twenty Abélard had wandered through great part of France as an errant logician, and had found no combatant who could resist his prowess. He arrived in Paris, where About the celebrated William of Champeaux was at the A.D. 1100. height of his fame. The schools of Paris, which afterwards

<sup>\*</sup> On Abélard, see above all his own works (the first volume of a new edition has appeared, by M. Cousin), more espe-cially the Historia Calamitatum and the

expanded into that renowned University, trembled at the temerity of the youth who dared to encounter that veteran in dialectic warfare, whose shield had been so long untouched, and who had seemed secure in his all-acknowledged puissance. Abélard in a short time was the pupil, the rival, the conqueror, and of course an object of implacable animosity to the vanquished chieftain of the schools. To have been the master of Abélard might seem, indeed, to insure his rebellion. He seized at once on the weak parts of his teacher's system, and in his pride of strength scrupled not to trample him in the dust. Abélard had once been the pupil of Roscelin; he denounced, refuted Nominalism. He was now the hearer of William of Champeaux; the peculiar Realism which William William of Champeaux. taught met with no more respect. Notwithstanding the opposition of his master, he set up a rival school, first, under the favour of the Court, at Melun, afterwards at Corbeil, nearer Paris. A domestic affliction, the death of his beloved mother, sent him back to Brittany, where he remained some short time. On his return he renewed the attempt to dethrone William of Champeaux, and succeeded in drawing off all his scholars. The philosopher, in disgust at his empty hall, retired into a brotherhood of black canons. Abélard assumed his chair. The Court interest. and perhaps the violence of some older and still faithful disciples of William of Champeaux, expelled him from his usurped seat. He retired again to Melun, and re-established his rival school. But on the final refirement of William of Champeaux from Paris, Abélard returned to the city; and notwithstanding that William himself came back to support his appointed successor, a general desertion of his pupils left Abelard in undisputed supremacy. William of Champeaux was consoled for his discomfiture by the Bishopric of Châlons.

But there was one field alone for the full, complete, and commanding development of dialectic skill, which had now drawn to a certain extent itself apart into a distinct and separate camp: philosophy was no longer, as with Anselm, <u>Abélard a</u> one with divinity. That field was theology. This theologian was the single, all-engrossing subject, which the disputant could not avoid, and which alone, through

the Church or the monastery, led to permanent fame, repose, wealth, or power: As yet Abelard had kept prudently aloof, as far as was possible, from that sacred and uncongenial domain. For Abélard had no deep devotional training, no severe discipline, no habits of submission. He might aspire remotely to the dignity, honour, or riches of the churchman, but he had nothing of the hierarchical spirit, no reverence for rigid dogmatic orthodoxy; he stood alone in his conscious strength, consorted not intimately with the ecclesiastics, espoused not ostentatiously their interests, perhaps betrayed contempt of their ignorance. Of the monk he had still less; whatever love of solitude he might indulge, was that of philosophic contemplation, not of religious or mystic meditation. His place in the convent was not the chapel at midnight or before the break of morning; his was not either the richly-intoned voice swelling the full harmony of the choir, or the tender orison of the humble and weeping penitent. Of his fasts, of his mortifications, of his self-torture, nothing is heard. His place is in the adjacent school, where he is perplexing his antagonists with his dexterous logic, or losing them with himself in the depths of his subtle metaphysics. Yet the fame at least of theologic erudition is necessary to crown his glory; he must be profoundly learned, as well as irresistibly argumentative. He went to Laon to study under Anselm, the most renowned theologian of his day. The fame of this Anselm survives only in the history of Abélard-lost, perhaps, in that of his greater namesake, now dead for many years. With more than his characteristic temerity and arrogance, he treated Anselm even less respectfully than he had treated William of Cham-He openly declared the venerable divine to owe peaux. his fame to his age rather than to his ability or knowledge. Abélard began at once to lecture in opposition to his master on the Prophet Ezekiel. His renown was now at its height; there was no branch of knowledge on which Abélard did not believe himself, and was not believed, competent to give the fullest instruction. Not merely did all Paris and the adjacent districts throng to his school, but there was no country so remote, no road so difficult, but that the pupils defied the toils and perils of the way. From

barbarous Anjou, from Poitou; Gascony, and Spain, from Normandy, Flanders, Germany, Swabia, from England notwithstanding the terrors of the sea, scholars of all ranks and classes crowded to Paris. Even Rome, the great teacher of the world in all arts and sciences, acknowledged the superior wisdom of Abélard, and sent her sons to submit to his discipline.

The romance of Abélard's life commenced when it usually begins to languish in others; that romance so singu-Heloisa. larly displaying the manners, habits, and opinions of the time, becomes grave history. He was nearer forty. than thirty when the passions of youth, which had hitherto been controlled by habits of severe study, came upon him with sudden and unresisted violence. No religious scruples seem to have interposed. The great philosopher, though as vet only an ecclesiastic in dignity, and destined for the sacred function, a canon of the Church, calmly determines to reward himself for his long continence. Yet his fastidious feelings loathed the more gross and vulgar sensualities. His studies had kept him aloof from the society of high-born ladies; yet, as he asserts, and as Heloisa in the fervour of her admiration scruples not to confirm his assertion, there was no female, however noble in birth or rank, or spotless in fame, who would have scrupled to receive the homage and reward the love of Abélard. Though Abélard was looking out, like a gallant knight, for a mistress of his affections, there was nothing chivalrous or reverential in his passion for Heloisa. He deliberately planned the seduction of this maiden, who was no less distinguished for her surpassing beauty than for her wonderful talents and knowledge. He offered to board in the house of her uncle, the Canon Fulbert, in order that he might cultivate to the utmost the mind of this accomplished damsel. The avarice and vanity of the uncle were equally tempted; without suspicion he made over his niece to the absolute authority of the teacher, permitting him even to inflict personal chastisement.

Abélard's new passion only developed more fully his wonderful faculties. The philosopher and theologian became a poet and a musician. The lovers made no attempt at the concealment of their mutual attachment. All Paris CHAP. V.

admired the beautiful amatory verses of Abélard, which were allowed to transpire; and Heloisa, in the deep devotion of her love, instead of shrinking from the breath of public fame, thought herself an object of envy to all her sex. The canon Fulbert alone was ignorant that he had entrusted, in Abélard's own words, "his spotless lamb to a ravening wolf." When the knowledge was at last forced upon him, Heloisa fled with her lover in the disguise of a nun, and in the house of his sister in Brittany, gave birth to a son, whom he called by the philosophic name of Astrolabius.<sup>\*</sup> The indignant Canon insisted on the reparation of his family honour by marriage. Abélard consented; Heloisa alone, in an absolute, unrivalled spirit of self-devotion, so wonderful that we forget to reprove, resisted; she used every argument, every appeal to the pride, the honour, even to the love of Abelard, which are usually urged to enforce that atonement, to dissuade her lover from a step so fatal to his fame and his advancement. As a philosopher Abélard would be trammelled by the vulgar cares of a family; as a churchman his career of advancement, which might soar to the highest place, was checked at once and for ever. Moral impediments might be got over, canonical objections were insuperable; he might stand above all but the inexorable laws of the Church through his transcendent abilities. Though she had been. though she might be still his mistress, she did not thereby incapacitate him for any high dignity; as his wife she closed against him that ascending ladder of ecclesiastical honours, the priorate, the abbacy, the bishopric, the metropolitanate, the cardinalate, and even that which was beyond and above There was no place to which Abélard, as her heart all. and mind assured her the first of men, might not reasonably, rightfully aspire, and was his Heloisa to stand in his way?<sup>t</sup> These were the arguments of Heloisa herself:

\* M. Cousin (Nouveaux Fragments Philosophiques, vol. ii.) has published a long Latin poem addressed to his son by Abélard. It is in part a versifica-tion of the Book of Proverbs. Of the life of Astrolabius nothing is known. M. Cousin found this singular name in the list of the abbots of a monastery in Sed quas sponte luam." Switzerland, of a date which agrees with Noble, but not nunlike lines! the age of Abélard's son.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>t</sup> Her whole soul is expressed in the quotation from Lucan, uttered, it is said, when she entered the cloister at Argenteuil :---

<sup>&</sup>quot; O maxime conjux! O maxime conjux i O thalamis indigne meis. Hoc juris habebat In tantum fortuna caput? Cur impia nupsi; Si miserum factura fui? Nunc accipe pœnas,

this is a heroism of self abnegation incredible in any but a deeply-loving woman; and even in her so rare as to be matter of astonishment.

The fears or the remorse of Abélard were stronger than the reasonings of Heloisa. He endeavoured to appease the injured uncle by a secret marriage, which took place at Paris. But the secret was soon divulged by the wounded pride and the vanity of Fulbert. Heloisa, still faithful to her lover's least wishes and interests, denied the marriage; and Abélard removed her to the nunnery of Argenteuil. There, in all but taking the veil and in receiving his stolen visits, which did not respect the sanctity of the place, her sweetness, her patience, her piety, her conformity to all the rules, won her the universal respect and esteem.

Fulbert still suspected, he might well suspect, that Abelard intended to compel his wife to take the veil, and so release him from the ties of wedlock. His revenge was that of the most exquisite and ingenious malice, as well as of the most inhuman cruelty. It aimed at blasting the ambition, as well as punishing the lust of its victim. By Mutilation . his mutilation (for in this respect the canon law strictly followed that of Leviticus) Abélard might, he thought, be for ever disqualified from ecclesiastical The punishment of Abélard's barbarous enehonours. mies, of Fulbert and his accomplices, which was demanded by the public voice, and inflicted by the civil power, could not console; the general commiseration could only aggravate his misery and despair. He threw himself, at first determined to shun the sight of the world, into the monastery of St. Denys; Heloisa, still passive to his commands, took the veil at Argenteuil. But even to the end the fervent affections of Heloisa were hardly transferred to holier and more spiritual objects; religion, when it became a passion, might soften, it could not efface from her heart, that towards Abélard.

The fame of Abélard, and his pride and ungovernable soul, still pursued him; his talents retained their vigour; his temper was unsubdued. The monastery of St. Denys was dissolute. Abélard became a severe reformer; he rebuked the abbot and the whole

 $364 \cdot$ 

CHAP. V.

community for their lax discipline, their unexemplary morals. He retired to a private cell, and near it opened a school. So great was the concourse of scholars, that lodging and provision could not be found for the countless throng. On the one side he was an object of the most excessive admiration, on the other of the most implacable hatred. His enemies urged the bishop of the province to interdict his lectures, as tainted with secular learning unbecoming a monk. His disciples, with more dangerous adulation, demanded of the great teacher the satisfaction of their reason on the highest points of theology, which they could no longer receive in simple . They would no longer be blind leaders of the blind. faith. nor pretend to believe what they did not clearly comprehend." Abélard composed a theological treatise, in which he discussed the awful mystery of the Trinity in Unity.

His enemies were on the watch. Two of his old discomfited antagonists at Laon, named Alberic and Council of Litolf, denounced him before Rodolph Arch- AD. 3121. bishop of Rheims, and Conon Bishop of Præneste, the Legate of the Pope. He was summoned to appear before a Council at Soissons. A rumour was spread abroad that he asserted that there were three Gods. He hardly escaped being stoned by the populace. But no one ventured to cope with the irresistible logician. Abélard: offered his book; not a voice was raised to arraign it. The prudent and friendly Godfrey, Bishop of Chartres, demanded a fair hearing for Abelard; he was answered by a general cry, that the whole world could not disentangle his sophisms. The Council was drawing to a close. The enemies of Abélard persuaded the Archbishop and the Legate, who were unlettered men and weary of the whole debate, to command the book to be burned, and the author to be punished by seclusion in a monastery for his intolerable presumption in writing and lecturing on such subjects without the authority of the Pope and of the Church. This was a simple and summary proceeding. Abélard was compelled to throw his book into the fire with -

" " Nec credi posse aliquid, nisi pri- nec illi quos doceret, intellectu capere."

mitus intellectum, et ridiculosum esse -Abélard, Oper. aliquem aliis prædicare, quod nec ipse,

his own hands, and, weeping at the loss of his labours, to recite aloud the Athanasian creed. He was then sent, as to a prison, to the convent of St. Médard, but before long was permitted to return to his cell at St. Denys.

His imprudent passion for truth plunged him in a new He ventured to question, from a passage in calamity. Bede, whether the patron saint of the abbey was indeed the Dionysius of St. Paul, the famous Areopa-St. Denvs. The monks had hardly endured his regite. monstrances against their dissolute lives; when he questioned the authenticity of their saint, their fury knew no bounds. They declared that Bede was an incorrigible liar, Abélard a sacrilegious heretic. Their founder had travelled in Greece, and brought home irrefragable proofs that their St. Denys was the convert of St. Paul. It was not the honour of the monastery alone which was now at stake, but that of the whole realm. Abélard was denounced as guilty of treasonable impiety against France by thus deposing her great tutelar saint. The vengeance of the King was invoked against him. Abelard fled. Both he and the prior of a monastery near Troyes, who was so rash as to be one of his believers, were threatened with excommunication. The blow so shocked the Abbot of St. Denys (he was said indeed to have broken his constitution by intemperance) that he died, and thus relieved Abélard from one of his most obstinate and bitter enemies. The Court was appeased, and through the royal interest, Abélard was permitted to withdraw to a more peaceful solitude.

After some delay Abélard availed himself of the royal permission; he found a wild retreat, near the small river Ardrissan, not far from Troyes. There, like the hermits of old, he built his solitary cabin of osiers and of thatch. But the sanctity of Antony or of Benedict, or of the recent founder of the Cistercian order, was not more attractive than the cell of the philosopher. Abélard, thus degraded in the eyes of men and in his own estimation by his immorality and by its punishment, branded with the suspicion of heresy by a council of the Church, with a reputation for arrogance and an intractable temper, which brought discord wherever he went, an outcast of society rather than a world-wearied anchorite, had nevertheless lost none of Снар. V.

his influence. The desert was peopled around him by his admiring scholars; they left the castle and the city to dwell in the wilderness; for their lofty palaces they built lowly hovels; for their delicate viands they fed on bread and wild herbs; instead of soft beds they reposed contentedly on straw and chaff. Abélard proudly adapted to himself the words of Scripture, "Behold, the whole world is gone after him; by our persecution we have prevailed nothing, we have but increased his glory." A monastery arose, which had hardly space in its cells for the crowding votaries; Abélard called it by the name of the Paraclete; a name which, for its novelty and seeming presumption, ADE = 1122, I122, I122, I122, I125, I1

But it was not the personal hatred alone which Abélard had excited by his haughty tone and vituperative language, or even by his daring criticism of old legends. His whole system of teaching, the foundation, and discipline, and studies, in the Paraclete, could not but be looked upon with alarm and suspicion. This new philosophic community, a community at least bound together by no religious vow, and governed by no rigid monastic The Pararules, in which the profoundest and most awful clete. mysteries of religion were freely discussed, in which the exercises were those of the school rather than of the cloister, and dialectic disputations rather than gloomy ascetic practices the occupation, awoke the vigilant jealousy of the two great reformers of the age, Norbert, the Archbishop of Magdeburg, whose great achievement had been the subjection of the regular canons to a severer rule, and Bernard, whose abbey of Clairvaux was the model of the most rigorous, most profoundly religious monastic life. The founder of the Paraclete was at least a formidable rival, if not a dangerous antagonist. Abélard scornfully afterwards designated these two adversaries as the new apostles; but they were the apostles of the ancient established faith, himself that of the new school, the heresy, not less fearful because undefinable, of free inquiry. Neither Norbert nor Bernard probably comprehended the full tendency of this premature intellectual movement, but they had an instinctive apprehension of its antagonism to

\* Opp. Abélard, Epist. i. p. 28.

their own power and influence, as well as to the whole religious system, which had now full possession of the human mind. There was as yet no declaration of war, no direct accusation, no summons to answer specific charges before council or legate; but that worse hostility of secret. murmurs, of vague suspicions spread throughout Christendom, of solemn warnings, of suggested fears. Abélard, in all his pride, felt that he stood alone, an object of universal suspicion; he could not defend himself against this unseen, unaggressive warfare; he was as a man reported to be smitten with the plague, from whom the sound and healthy shrunk with an instinctive dread, and who had no power of forcing an examination of his case. His overweening haughtiness broke down into overweening déjec-He was so miserable that in his despair he thought tion. seriously of taking refuge beyond the borders of Christendom, of seeking elsewhere that quiet which was refused him by Christian hostility, to live as a Christian among the declared foes of Christianity.<sup>y</sup>

Whether from personal respect, or the national pride of the Bretons in their distinguished countryman, he was offered the dignity of Abbot in a monastery on the Abélard at St. Gildas in Brittany, A.D. 1125-6. coast of Brittany in Morbihan, that of St. Gildas de Rhuys. It was a bleak and desolate region, the monks as rude and savage as the people, even the language was unknown to Abelard. There, on the very verge of the world, on the shores of the ocean, Abélard sought in vain for quiet. The monks were as lawless in life as in manners; there was no common fund, yet Abélard was expected to maintain the buildings and religious services of the community. Each monk spent his private property on his wife or his concubine. Abélard, always in extremes, endeavoured to submit this rugged brotherhood to the discipline of a Norbert or a Bernard; but rigour in an abbot who knows not how to rouse religious enthusiasm is resented as tyranny. Among the wild monks of St. Gildas the life of Abelard was in constant

" "Sæpe autem, Deus seit, in tantam micos Christi Christiané vivere." Does lapsus sum desperationem, ut Chris-tianorum finibus excessis, ad gentes transire disponerem, atque ibi quietè sub quacunque tributi pactione inter ini-Calamit.

368

peril. From their obtuse and ignorant minds his wonderful gifts and acquirements commanded no awe; they were utterly ignorant of his learned language; they hated his strictness and even his piety. Violence threatened him without the walls, treachery within. They tried to poison him; they even drugged the cup of the Holy Eucharist. A monk who had tasted food intended for him died in agony. The Abbot extorted oaths of obedience, he excommunicated, he tried to the utmost the authority of his office. He was obliged at length to take refuge in a cell remote from the monastery with a very few of the better monks; there he was watched by robbers hired to kill him.

The deserted Paraclete in the mean time had been reoccupied by far different guests. Heloisa had lived in blameless dignity as the prioress of Argenteuil. The rapacious monks of St. Denys, to whom Argenteuil belonged, expelled the nuns and resumed the property of the convent. The Paraclete, abandoned by Abélard's scholars and falling into decay, offered to Heloisa an honourable retreat with her sisters: she took possession of the vacant A correspondence began with the abbot of St. cells. Gildas. Abélard's history of his calamities, that most naked and unscrupulous autobiography, re-awakened the soft but melancholy reminiscences of the abbess of the Paraclete. Those famous letters were written, in which Heloisa dwells with such touching and passionate truth on her yet unextinguished affection. Age, sorrow, his great calamity, his persecutions, his exclusive intellectual studies, perhaps some real religious remorse, have frozen the springs of Abélard's love, if his passion may be dignified with that holy name. In him all is cold, selfish, almost coarse; in Heloisa the tenderness of the woman is chastened by the piety of the saint: much is still warm, almost passionate, but with a deep sadness in which womanly, amorous regret is strangely mingled with the strongest language of religion.

The monastery of St. Gildas seemed at length to have been reduced to order; but when peace surrounded Abélard, Abélard could not be at peace. He is again before the world, again in the world; again committed and now in fatal strife with his great and unforgiving adversary. VOL. III. 2 B His writings had now obtained popularity, as wide spread, as perilous, as his lectures and his disputations. Abélard, it might seem, in desperation provoked the contest with that adversary in his stronghold. He challenged Bernard before kings and prelates whom Bernard ruled with irresistible sway; he entered the lists against authority where authority was supreme—in a great Council. At issue with the deep devotional spirit of the age, he chose his time when all minds were excited by the most solemn action of devotion—the Crusade: he appealed to reason when reason was least likely to be heard.

A Council had been summoned at Sens for a religious June 2, 1140. ceremony which more than all others roused the passions of local and national devotion-the translation of the body of the patron saint. The king, Louis VII., the Counts of Nevers and Champagne, a train of nobles, and all the prelates of the realm were to be present. Before this audience Abélard dared his adversary to make good his charges of heresy, by which it was notorious that Bernard and his monks had branded his writings. Bernard himself must deliver his opinion of Abélard's writings in his own words: he is a St. Bernard, witness as well to their extensive dissemination as to their character in the estimation of the clergy and of the monks. "These books of Abélard are flying abroad all over the world; they no longer shun the light; they find their way into castles and cities; they pass from land to land, from one people to another. A new gospel is promulgated, a new faith is preached. Disputations are held on virtue and vice not according to Christian morality; on the Sacraments of the Church not according to the rule of faith; on the mystery of the Trinity not with simplicity and soberness. This huge Goliath with his armour-bearer Arnold of Brescia defies the armies of the Lord to battle !"<sup>z</sup> Yet so great was the estimation of Abélard's powers that Bernard at first shrunk from the contest. "How should an unpractised stripling like himself, unversed in logic, meet the giant who was practised in every kind of debate?" He consented at length to appear, not as the accuser, only as a witness against Abć-

\* Epist. ad Innocent Papam.

CHAP. V.

lard. But already he had endeavoured to influence the court; he had written to the bishops of France about to assemble at Sens rebuking their remissness, by which this wood of heresies, this harvest of errors, had been allowed to grow up around the spouse of Christ. The words of Abélard cannot be cited to show his estimation of Bernard. Outwardly he had even shown respect to Bernard. On a visit of friendly courtesy to the neighbouring abbess of the Paraclete a slight variation in the service had offended Bernard's rigid sense of ecclesiastical unity. Abélard, with temper but with firmness, defended the change.<sup>a</sup> But the quiet and bitter irony of his disciple, who described the contest, may be accepted as an unquestionable testimony to his way of speaking in his esoteric circle and among his intimate pupils, of the even now almost "Already has winged fame dispersed canonised saint. the odour of thy sanctity throughout the world, vaunted thy merits, declaimed on thy miracles. We boasted of the felicity of our present age, glorified by the light of so brilliant a star; we thought that the world, doomed to perdition, continued to subsist only through your merits; we knew that on your will depended the mercy of heaven, the temperature of the air, the fertility of the earth, the blessing of its fruits..... Thou hadst lived so long, thou hadst given life to the Church through so many holy institutions, that the very devils were thought to roar at thy. behest; and we, in our littleness, boasted of our blessedness under a patron of such power." Bernard and his admirers might well hate the man whose scholars were thus taught to despise that popular superstition which beheld miracles in all his works.

With these antagonistic feelings, and this disparaging estimate each of the other, met the two great <sub>Council of</sub> champions. In Bernard the Past and the Present <sup>Sens.</sup> concentred all their powers and influences, the whole

of plenary inspiration. He asserts from Augustine, Gregory the Great, and even Gregory VII., that usage must give way to reason; and retorts very curiously on the innovations introduced by Bernard himself into the ordinary services.

<sup>b</sup> Berengarii Epist., in Abelard Oper., p. 303.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> The question was the clause in the Lord's Prayer, "our daily bread," or "our bread day by day." This letter commences in a tone almost of deference; but Abélard soon resumes his language of superiority. What he says on the greater degree of authority to be ascribed to St. Matthew's Gospel over that of St. Luke is totally at variance with the notion

strength of the sacerdotal, ceremonial, inflexibly dogmatic, imaginative religion of centuries-the profound and submissive faith, the monastic austerity, the cowering superstition; he was the spiritual dictator of the age, above kings, prelates, even above the Pope; he was the model of •holiness, the worker of perpetual wonder. Abélard cannot be accepted as a prophetic type of the Future. Free inquiry could only emancipate itself at a much later period by allying itself with a strong counter religious passion; it must oppose the strength of individual Christianity to the despotism of ecclesiastical religion. Abélard's religion (it were most unjust to question his religion) was but a colder form of the dominant faith; he was a monk, though against his own temperament and tone of feeling. But Abélard was pure intellect, utterly unimaginative, logical to the most naked precision, analytical to the minutest subtlety; even his devotion had no warmth; he ruled the mind, but touched no heart. At best therefore he was the wonder. Bernard the object of admiration, reverence, love, almost of adoration.

The second day of the Council (the first had been devoted to the solemn translation of the reliques) was appointed for this grand theological tournament. Not only the king, the nobles, the prelates of France, but all Christendom watched in anxious solicitude the issue of the conflict. Yet even before a tribunal so favourable, so pre-occupied by his own burning words, Bernard was awed into calmness and moderation. He demanded only that the most obnoxious passages should be read from Abélard's works. It was to his amazement, no less than that of the whole council, when Abélard, instead of putting forth his whole strength in a reply, answered only, "I appeal to Rome," and left the hall of Council. It is said, to explain this unexpected abandonment of the field by the bold challenger, that he was in danger of his life. At Sens, as before at Soissons, the populace were so exasperated at the daring heretic, who was reported to have impeached the doctrine of the Trinity, that they were ready to rise against him.° Bernard himself would hardly have inter-

° "Dum de sua fide discuteretur, sedis præsentiam appellavit." – Otho seditionem populi timens, apostolicæ Freisingen, i. 46.

fered to save him from that summary refutation;<sup>d</sup> and Abélard, in the confidence of his own power and fame as a disputant, might perhaps expect Bernard to decline his challenge. He may have almost forgotten the fatal issue of the Council of Soissons; at a distance, in his retreat in Brittany, such a tribunal might appear less awful than . when he saw it in undisguised and unappeased hostility before him. The Council may have been disappointed at this sudden close of the spectacle which they were assembled to behold; but they were relieved from the necessity · of judging between the conflicting parties. Bernard, in the heat and pride of his triumph, after having in vain, and with taunts, provoked his mute adversary, proceeded now in no measured language to pursue his victory. The martial and unlearned prelates vainly hoped that as they had lost the excitement of the fray, they might escape the trouble and fatigue of this profound theological investigation. But the inflexible Bernard would as little spare them as his adversary. The faithful disciple of Abélard describes with some touches of satire, but with reality which reads like truth, the close of this memorable day. The discomfited Abélard had withdrawn; his books were now produced, a person commanded to read aloud all the objectionable parts at full length in all their logical The bishops, as evening drew on, grew weary, aridity. and relieved their fatigue with wine. The wine and the weariness brought on sleep: the drowsy assembly sate, some leaning on their elbows, some with cushions under their heads, some with their heads dropping on their mv' e condemn, till at length some cut short the word a faintly breathed "namus.".

Abélard had appealed to Rome; at Rome his adversaries had prepared for his reception.

The report of the Council to Rome is in such terms as these: "Peter Abélard makes void the whole Christian faith by attempting to comprehend the nature of God through human reason. He ascends up into heaven, he

<sup>d</sup> "An non justius os loquens talia fustibus conderetur, quam rationibus repelleretur."—So writes Bernard, Epist.p.1554.

• Epist. Berengar. apud Abélard Oper. goes down into hell. Nothing can elude him either in the height above or in the nethermost depths. A man great in his own eyes, disputing about faith against the faith, walking among the great and wonderful things which are above him, the searcher of the Divine Majesty, the fabricator of heresy. Already has his book on the Trinity been burned by order of one Council; it has now risen from the dead. Accursed is he that builds again the walls of Jericho. His branches spread over the whole earth; he boasts that he has disciples in Rome itself, even in the College of Cardinals; he draws the whole world after him; it is time therefore to silence him by apostolic authority."

An appeal from Bernard to Rome was an appeal from Bernard to himself. Pope Innocent II. was too completely under his influence, too deeply indebted to him, not to confirm at once his sentence. Bernard had already filled the ears of the Pope with the heresies of Abélard. He urged, he almost commanded, the Pope to proceed to instant judgment. "Shall he venture to appeal to the throne of Peter who denies the faith of Peter? For what has God raised thee up, lowly as thou wert in thine own eyes, and placed thee above kings and nations? Not that -thou shouldest destroy but that thou shouldest Bernard's triumph. build up the faith. God has stirred up the fury of the schismatics that thou mightest have the glory of crushing it. This only was wanting to make thee equal to the most famous of thy predecessors, the condemnation of a heresy."<sup>f</sup> Bernard addressed another long controversial epistle to Innocent, and through him to all Christendom; it was the full view of Abélard's theology as it appeared to most of his own generation. He inveighs against Abélard's dialectic theory of the Trinity, his definition of faith as opinion; his wrath is kindled to its mostfiery language by the tenet which he ascribes to Abélard, that the Son of God had not delivered man by his death from the yoke of the devil; that Satan had only the permitted and temporary power of a jailer, not full sovereignty over mankind : in other words, that man had still free will; that Christ was incarnate rather to enlighten

<sup>f</sup> Apud Labbe, et Mansi, et in Oper. S. Bernardi.

## CHAP. V. BERNARD'S VIEW OF ABÉLARD'S THEOLOGY. 375

mankind by his wisdom and example, and died not so much to redeem them from slavery to the devil, as to show his own boundless love.<sup>g</sup> "Which is most intolerable, the blasphemy or the arrogance of his language? Which is most damnable, the temerity or the impiety? Would it not be more just to stop his mouth with blows than confute him by argument? Does not he whose hand is against every one, provoke the hand of every one against himself? All, he says, think thus, but I think otherwise! Who, then, art thou? What canst thou advance which is wiser, what hast thou discovered which is more subtile? What secret revelation canst thou boast. which has escaped the saints and eluded the angels? .... Tell us what is this that thou alone canst see, that no one before thee hath seen? That the Son of God put on manhood for some purpose besides the deliverance of man from bondage. Assuredly this has been discovered by no one but by thee, and where hast thou discovered it? Thou hast received it neither from sage, nor prophet, nor apostle, nor from God himself. The apostle of the Gentiles received from God himself what he delivered to us. The apostle of the Gentiles declares that his doctrine comes from on high-'I speak not of myself.' But thou deliverest what is thine own, what thou hast not received. He who speaks of himself is a liar. Keep to thyself what. comes from thyself. For me, I follow the prophets and the apostles. I obey the Gospel, but not the Gospel according to Peter. Thou makest thyself a fifth evangelist. What says the law, what say the prophets, what say the apostles, what say their successors, that which thou alone deniest, that God was made man to deliver man from bondage? What, then, if an angel should come from heaven to teach us the contrary, accursed be the error of • that angel!"

Absent, unheard, unconvicted, Abélard was condemned by the Supreme Pontiff. The condemnation was Condemnation uttered almost before the charge could be fully Rome. known. The decree of Innocent reproved all public

postmodum dixit, instructionem : totum

<sup>5</sup> "Ut dicat totum esse quod Deus quod passus et mortuus est suæ erga nos in carne apparuit, nostram de vitâ et charitatis ostensionem vel commenda-exemplo ipsius institutionem, sive ut tionem."--Epist. xcii. 1539.

disputations on the mysteries of religion. Abélard was condemned to silence; his disciples to excommunication.<sup>h</sup>

Abélard had set out on his journey to Rome; he was stopped by severe illness, and found hospitable reception in the Abbey of Clugny. Peter the Venerable, Abélard at Clugny. the Abbot of that famous monastery, did more than protect the outcast to the close of his life. He had himself gone through the ordeal of a controversy with the fervent Bernard, though their controversy had been conducted in a milder and more Christian spirit. Yet the Abbot of the more luxurious or more polished Clugny might not be sorry to show a gentleness and compassion uncongenial to the more austere Clairvaux. He even wrought an outward reconciliation between the persecuted Abélard and the victorious Bernard. It was but an outward, a hollow reconciliation. Abélard published an apology, if apology it might be called, which accused his adversary of ignorance or of malice. The apology not mercly repelled the charge of Arianism, Nestorianism, but even the slightest suspicion of such doctrines; and to allay the tender anxiety of Heloisa, who still took a deep interest in his fame and happiness, he sent her his creed, which might have satisfied the most austere orthodoxy. Even in the highest quarters, among the most · distinguished prelates, there was at least strong compassion for Abélard, admiration for his abilities, perhaps secret indignation at the hard usage he had endured. Bernard knew that no less a person than Guido di Castello, afterwards Pope Cœlestine II., a disciple of Abélard, spoke of him at least with affection. To him Bernard writes. "He would not suppose that though Guido loved the man he could love his errors."<sup>i</sup> He suggests the peril of the contagion of such doctrines, and skilfully associates the name of Abélard with the most odious heresies. When he writes of the Trinity he has a savour of Arius; when of grace, of Pelagius; when of the person of Christ, of Nestorius. To the Cardinal Ivo he uses still stronger words-"Though a Baptist without in his austerities, he is a Herod within." Still for the last two years of his life Abélard found peace, honour, seclusion, in the Abbey of

<sup>i</sup> Epist. excii.

h Apud Bernard, Epist. exciv.

CHAP. V.

Clugny. He died at the age of sixty-three:\* Peter the Venerable communicated the tidings of his death April 21, 1142. to the still faithful Heloisa. His language may lard. be contrasted with that of St. Bernard. "I never saw his equal for humility of manners and habits. St. Germanus was not more modest; St. Martin more poor. He allowed no moment to escape unoccupied in prayer, reading, writing, or dictation. The heavenly visitor surprised him in the midst of these holv works."<sup>m</sup> The remains of Abélard were transported to the Paraclete; an absolution obtained by Peter was deposited in his tomb; for twenty-one years the Abbess of the Paraclete mourned over her teacher, her lover, her husband; and then reposed by his side.

The intellectual movement of Abélard, as far as any acknowledged and hereditary school, died with Abélard. Even his great principle, that which he asserted rather than consistently maintained-the supremacy of reasonthat principle which Bernard and the high devotional Churchmen looked on with vague but natural apprehension, as eventually fatal to authority, fell into abeyance. The ' schoolmen connected together, as it were, reason and authority. The influence remained, but neutralised. The Book of Sentences of Peter Lombard is but the "Sic et Non" of Abélard in a more cautious and reverential form. John of Salisbury, in his Polycraticus, is a manifest, if not avowed Conceptualist. The sagacious and prophetic jealousy of his adversaries seems to have had a more clear though instinctive perception of the remoter consequences of his doctrines than Abélard himself. The philosopher seems, notwithstanding his arrogance, to be perpetually sharing these apprehensions. He is at once the boldest and most timid of men; always striking out into the path of free inquiry, but never following it onward; he plunges back, as if afraid of himself, into blind and submissive orthodoxy. The remorse for his moral aberrations, shame and fear of the world, seem weighing upon his mind, and repressing its free energy. He is no longer the arrogant, overbearing

\* Peter writes to Pope Innocent in the name of Abélard: "Ut reliquos dies vitæ et senectutis suæ, qui fortasse non multi sunt, in Cluniacâ vestrâ eum con-summare jubcatis, et ne a domo quam

despot of the school; church authority is compelling him to ungracious submission. In his Lectures, even in his later days, it is probable that he was bolder and less inconsequent; many of the sayings on which the heaviest. charges of his adversaries rested, whether withdrawn or never there, are not to be found in his works: he disclaims altogether the Book of Sentences, which may have been the note-book of his opinions by some of his scholars. He limits the notion of inspiration to a kind of moral or religious influence; it belongs to those who are possessed with faith, hope, and charity. He is still more restrictive on the authority of the Fathers, and openly asserts their contradictions and errors. In his idolatry of the ancient philosophers, he compares their lives with those of the clergy of his day, to the disadvantage of the latter; places them far above the Jews, and those who lived under the Jewish dispensation; and gives them a dim, indeed, yet influential and saving knowledge of the Redeemer. When Bernard, therefore, confined himself to general charges, he might stand on strong ground; when he denounced the theology of Abélard as respecting no mystery, as rashly tearing away rather than gently lifting the veil from the holiest things, of rushing into the sanctuary, and openly disdaining to believe what it could not make pervious to the understanding.<sup>n</sup> But when he began to define his charges, he was betrayed into exaggeration and injustice. No two great minds were probably less capable of comprehending each other. Some of the gravest charges rest on works which Abélard never wrote, some on obvious misconceptions, some on illustrations assumed to be positions; all perverted into close assimilation or identification with the condemned and hated ancient heresies.

The mature and peculiar philosophy of Abélard, but for its love for barren logical forms, and this dreaded worship of reason, his Conceptualism, might in itself not merely have been reconciled with the severest orthodoxy, but might have opened a safe intermediate ground between the Nominalism of Roscelin and the Realism of Anselm and William of Champeaux. As the former tended to a sensuous rationalism, so the latter to a mystic pantheism.

<sup>n</sup> Epist. ad Episcop. 137, 138.

CHAP. V.

If everything but the individual was a mere name, then knowledge shrunk into that which was furnished by the senses alone. When Nominalism became Theology, the three persons of the Trinity (this was the perpetual touchstone of all systems), if they were more than words, were individuals, and Tritheism inevitable. On the other hand, God, the great Reality, absorbed into himself all other Realities; they became part of God; they became God. This was the more immediate danger; the deepest devotion became Mysticism, and resolved everything into God. Mysticism in Europe, as in India, melted into Pantheism. The Conceptualism of Abélard, allowing real existence to universals, but making those universals only cognisable as mental conceptions to the individual, might be in danger of falling into Sabellianism. The three persons would be but three manifestations of the Deity; a distinction only perceptible to the mind might seem to be made to the mind alone. Yet, on the other hand, as the perception of a spiritual Deity can only be through the mind or the spirit, the mystery might seem more profound according to this view, which, while it repudiated the materialising tendencies of the former system, by its more clear and logical Idealism kept up the strong distinction between God and created things, between the human and divine mind, the all-pervading soul-and the soul of man.°

There is one treatise, indeed, the famous "Sic et Non," which has been recovered in the present day, if of itself taken as the exposition of Abélard's philosophical theology, might, though written under the semblance of profound reverence for antiquity, even from its form and title, have

• The real place which Abélard's Conceptualism (if, as I think, it has its place) holds between the crude Nominalism of Roscelin, and the mysticism, Champeaux, belongs to the history of philosophy rather than of Christianity. M. Cousin denies to Abélard any inpendent position in philosophy and in quences of Nominalism and extreme Real-theology for the system of Abélard. ism. See also C. de Rémusat, Abélard.

Abélard certainly must have deceived himself if he was no more than a concealed Nominalist. See the summary of Abélard's opinions in Haureau, de la if not mystic Realism, of William of Philosophie Scolastique. M. Haureau Champeaux, belongs to the history of defines Abélard's Conceptualism as a " Nominalisme raisonnable. La philosophie d'Abélard est la philosophie de la prudence, la philosophie du sens commun." If I may presume to say so, Abélard was less led to this intermediate In Coustin defines to Aberard any in sopine difference, la philosophie du sens band, a writer, who in my judgment commun." If I may presume to say so, sometimes writes rather loosely, at Abélard was less led to this intermediate others with much sagacity, M. Xavier position by his own prudence, than by Rousselot, finds a separate and inde-bis keen sagacity in tracing the conse-

startled an age less devotional, less under the bondage of authority. In this treatise Abélard propounds all the great problems of religion, with the opinions, the conflicting opinions, of the Fathers; at times he may seem disposed to establish a friendly harmony, at others they are committed in irreconcileable strife. It is a history of the antagonism and inward discord, of the disunity of the Church. Descartes himself did not establish the principle of doubt as the only source of true knowledge more coldly and nakedly, or more offensively to his own age from its cautious justification in the words of him who is all truth.<sup>p</sup> If Bernard knew this treatise, it explains at once all Bernard's implacable hostility; to himself, no doubt, the suppression of such principles would justify any means of coercion, almost any departure from ordinary rules of fairness and justice. It is nothing that to the calmer judgment the "Sic et Non" by no means fulfils its own promise, that it is far more harmless to the devout than it threatens to be; far less satisfactory to the curious and speculative : it must be taken in its spirit, to estimate the rude shock which it must have given to the yet unawakened, or but half-awakened mind of Christendom: so only can a judgment be formed on the real controversy between the Founder of the Paraclete and the Abbot of Clairvaux.<sup>q</sup>

<sup>p</sup> "Dubitare enim de singulis non erit inutile. Dubitando enim ad inquisitionem venimus; inquirendo veritatem percipimus, juxta quos et Veritas ipsa 'quærite et invenietis, pulsate et aperietur vobis.'"—Prolog. ad Sic et Non.

Non. <sup>a</sup> M. Cousin has only printed parts of the Sic et Non. But he has given seen concise extruthe heads of the chapters omitted, many of which more provoke the curiosity than those which he has chosen. The whole Sic et Non has now been printed at Marburg from another manuscript (at Munich), by Henke and Lindenkohl, Marburg, 1851. Father Tosti, a monk of Monte Casino, author of a life or apology for Boniface VIII. (hereafter

to be quoted), has published a life of Abclard, written with more candour than might be expected from such a quarter: He was urged to this work by finding in the archives of Monte Casino MSS. containing unpublished fragments of Abclard's Theologia Christiana, and of the Sic et Non, of which he had only seen concise extracts.

In fact, the Sic et Non is nothing but a sort of manual for scholastic disputation, of which it was the rule that each combatant must fight, right or wrong. It was an armoury from which disputants would find weapons to their hands on any disputable point; and all points by the rule of this warfare were disputable.

# CHAPTER VI.

## ARNOLD OF BRESCIA.

BERNARD had triumphed over the intellectual insurrection against the authority of the Church; but there was a rebellion infinitely more dangerous, at least in its immediate consequences, brooding in the minds of men: the more formidable because more popular, the more imminent because it appealed at once to the passions and the plain vulgar sense of man. To judge from the number of his disciples, Abélard's was a popular movement; that of Arnold was absolutely, avowedly democratic; it raised a new class of men, and to them transferred at once power, authority, wealth. There was an ostensible connection between these two outbursts of freedom, which at first sight might appear independent of, almost incongruous with each other, except in their common hostility to the hierarchical system. . Arnold of Brescia was a hearer of Abélard, a pupil in his revolutionary theology, or revolutionary philosophy, and aspired himself to a complete revolution in civil affairs : he was called, as has been seen, the armour-bearer of the giant Abélard. The two were even more nearly allied in their kindred origin. Monasticism was the common parent of both. The theory of monasticism, which was acknowledged even by most of the clergy themselves to be the absolute perfection of Christianity, its true philosophy, was in perpetual and glaring contradiction with the actual visible state of the clergy and of the older and wealthier monasteries. This theory was the total renunciation of the world, of property, even of volition; the extreme of indigence, the scantiest fare, the coarsest dress, the lowliest demeanour, the hardest toil, both in the pursuits of industry and in the offices of religion; the short and interrupted sleep, the incessant devotional exercise, usually the most severe self-inflicted pain; the poorer, the more mortified, the more secluded, the more absolutely cut

off from all indulgence, the nearer to sanctity. Nor was this a remote, obsolete, traditionary theory. Every new aspirant after monastic perfection, every founder of an order, of every recent monastery, exemplified, or he would never have founded an order or built a monastery, this poor, self-abasing, self-excruciating holiness. Stephen Harding, Bernard and his followers, and all who lived up to their principles in their own persons, to those around them, and by their widespread fame, stood before the world not merely as beacon-lights of true Christianity, but as uttering a perpetual protest, a rebuke against the lordly, rich, and luxurious prelates and abbots. Their vital principles, their principles of action, were condemnatory of ecclesiastical riches. "It is just," writes St. Bernard, "that he who serves the altar should live of the altar; but it is not to live of the altar to indulge luxury and pride at the expense of the altar: this is robbery, this is sacrilege." The subtle, by no means obvious distinction, that the wealth of the Church was the wealth of God ; b that the patrimony of the Papacy was not in the Pope, but in St. Peter, of every other church in its patron saint; that not merely the churches, but the conventual edifices, with all their offices, stables, granaries, and gardens, wanting, perhaps, to the noblest castle, were solely for the glory of God, not for the use and pride of man; that the clergy on their palfreys with golden bits, and embroidered housings, and silver spurs, and furred mantles of scarlet or purple, were not men, but ministers of God; this convenient merging of the individual in the official character, while the individual enjoyed personally all the admiration, envy, respect, comfort, luxury, influence of his station, might satisfy the conscience of those whose conscience desired to be satisfied. but was altogether unintelligible to the common sense of mankind. The more devout abbots and prelates, some doubtless of the Popes, might wear the haircloth under the

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Concedatur ergo tibi ut si bene deservis de altario vivas, non autem ut de altario luxnrieris, ut de altario superbias, ut inde compares tibi frena aurea, sellas depictas, calcearia deargentata, varia grisiaque pellicia a collo et manibus ornatu purpureo diversifacta. Denique quicquid præter necessarium

victum ac simplicem vestitum de altario retineas tuum non est, impium est, sacrilegum est."-Bernard, Epist. ad Fulcon.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> "Saltem que Dei. sunt ipsius violenter auferre nolite."-Epist, Nicol. I. ad Aquitan. apud Bouquet, p. 416.

robe of purple and of fur; they might sit at the gorgeous banquet tasting only the dry bread or simple vegetable; after the pomp and ceremony of some great day of temporal or ecclesiastical business, might pass the night on the rough board or the cold stone, or on their knees in the silent church, unobserved by men. The outward show of pride or luxury might be secretly repressed or chastened by the most austere fast, by the bloody penitential scourge. But. mankind judges, if unjustly towards individuals, justly perhaps of systems and institutions, from the outward and manifest effects. A clergy with an ostentatious display of luxury and wealth was to them a wealthy and luxurious clergy; a clergy which was always grasping after power an ambitious clergy. Who could question, who refuse to see the broad irresistible fact of this discrepancy between the monastic theory, constantly preached and lauded in their ears, to which they were to pay, to which they were not disinclined to pay, respect bordering on adoration, and the ordinary actual Christianity of the great ecclesiastical body? Íf poverty was apostolic, if poverty was of Christ himself, if the only real living likenesses of the Apostles and of Christ were the fasting, toiling, barely-clad, self-scourging monks, with their cheeks sunk by famine, their eyes on the ground, how far from the Apostles, how far from Christ, were those princely bishops, those abbots, holding their courts like sovereigns! The cowering awe of the clergy, the influence of the envied wealth and state itself, might repress, but it would not subdue, if once awakened, the sense of this discrepancy. But once boldly stirred by a popular teacher, by a man of vehement eloquence, unsuspected sincerity, restless activity, unimpeachable religious orthodoxy, how fearful to the hierarchy, to the whole sacerdotal system !and such a man was Arnold of Brescia.<sup>c</sup>

Arnold was a native of the Lombard city of Brescia. Of his youth and education nothing is known. His Arnold disciple of adolescence ripened athid the advancing political disciple of Abelard. republicanism of the Lombard cities. With the inquisitive and aspiring youth from all parts of Europe, he travelled

• The birth of Arnold is vaguely 1105. There is a life of Arnold by H. assigned to the beginning of the twelfth century. Guadagnani conjectures with 1825.

some probability that he was born about.

to France, to attend the great instructor of the times, Peter Abélard, probably at that period when Abélard was first settled in the wilderness of the Paraclete, and when his highborn and wealthy scholars submitted to such severe privations in pursuit of knowledge, became monks in all but religious submissiveness. Arnold throughout his life passed as a disciple, as a faithful follower of Abélard. But while others wrought out the daring speculative views of Abélard, delighted in this logical subtleties, with him endeavoured to tear away the veil which hung over the sacred mysteries of the faith, Arnold seized on the practical, the political, the social consequences. On all the high mysterious doctrines of the Church, the orthodoxy of Arnold was unimpeachable; his personal life was that of the sternest monk; he had the most earnest sympathy with the popular religion. On the Sacraments alone his: opinions were questioned; and as to them on account of their connection with the great object of his hostility, the sacerdotal power. The old edifice of the hierarchy, which had been rising for centuries till it governed the world, possessed in all the kingdoms a very large proportion of the land; had assumed the judicial, in some cases the military functions of the State; had raised the Pope to a sovereign prince, who, besides his own dominions, held foreign kingdoms in feudal subordination to himself: all this Arnold aspired to sweep away from the face of the He would reduce the clergy to their primitive and earth. apostolic poverty;<sup>d</sup> confiscate all their wealth, escheat all their temporal power; their estates he secularised at once; he would make them ministers of religion and no more. modestly maintained by the first fruits and tithes of the people; and that only as a holy clergy, on a voluntary system, but in every respect subject to the supreme civil On that power, too, Arnold would boldly lay his power. reforming hand. His Utopia was a great Christian republic, exactly the reverse of that of Gregory VII. religious and as ambitious as Hildebrand, Arnold employed the terrors of the other world, with as little scruple to

d "Primitias et quæ devotio plebis Offerat, et decimas castos in corporis usus, Non ad luxuriam, neve oblectamina carnis Concedens, mollesque cibos, cultusque nitorem,

depose as the pontiff to exalt the authority of the clergy. Salvation was impossible to a priest holding property, a bishop exercising temporal power, a monk retaining any possession whatever. This he grounded not on the questionable authority of the Church, but on the plain Gospel of Christ: to this he appealed with intrepid confidence. It was the whole feudal system, imperial as well as pontifical, which was to vanish away. The temporal sovereign was to be the fountain of honour, of wealth, of power; to that sovereign were to revert all the possessions of the Church, the estates of the monasteries, the royalties of the Pope and the bishops.<sup>e</sup> But that sovereign was a popular assembly. Like other fond republicans, Arnold hoped to find in a democratic senate, chosen out of and chosen by the unchristian as well as the Christian part of the community, that Christianity for which he looked in vain in the regal and pontifical autocracies, in the episcopal and feudal oligarchies of the time.<sup>f</sup> This, which the most sanguine in the nineteenth century look upon as visionary, or, after a long discipline of religious and social education, but remotely possible, Arnold hoped to raise as if by enchantment, among the rude, ignorant, oppressed lower classes of the twelfth. The alliance of the imperial and pontifical power, which in the end was so fatal to Arnold, was grounded on no idle fear or wanton tyranny, it was an alliance to crush a common enemy.

The Church of Rome has indeed boasted its natural sympathy and willing league with freedom. Her confederacy with the young republics of Lombardy is considered the undeniable manifestation of this spirit. But there at least her love of freedom was rather hatred of the imperial power, the struggle at their cost for her own aggrandizement. In Brescia, as in many other cities in the north of Italy, the Bishop Arimanno had taken the lead in shaking off all subjection to the Empire. Brescia declared herself a republic, and established a municipal government; but the bishop usurped the sovereignty wrested from the Empire; he assumed the state, the power of a feudal lord; the

" "Dicebat nec clericos proprietatem, rum cedere opertere."-Otho Freisingen. nec episcopos regalia, nec monachos possessiones habentes aliquâ ratione salvari posse. Cuncta hæc principis esse, ab ejusque beneficentià in usum tantum laico- Compare the whole passage.

VOL. III.

2

f "Omnia principiis terrenis subdita, tantum Committenda viris popularihus atque re-genda"—Gunther, iii. 277.

estates of the Church were granted as fiefs, on the condition of military service to defend his authority. Brescia complained with justice that the Church and the poor were robbed to maintain the secular pomp of the baron. The republican spirit, kindled by the bishop, would not endure his tyranny. He was worsted in a bloody and desolating war; he was banished for three years to the Arimanno, the distance of fifty miles from the city. bishop, was deposed by Pope Paschal in the Lateran Council at Rome, A.D. 1116; his coadjutor Conrad promoted to the see. Conrad sought to raise again the fallen power of the bishopric, and Conrad in his turn was dispossessed by his coadjutor Manfred. Innocent II. appeared in Brescia. There is little doubt that Conrad had embraced the faction of the Anti-pope Anacletus, Manfred July 26-29, therefore was confirmed in the see. The new history attenued in the see. bishop attempted, in a synod at Brescia, to repress the concubinage and likewise the vices of the clergy; but in the assertion of his temporal power he was no less ambitious and overbearing than his predecessors. To execute his decree he entered into a league with the consuls of the city. But the married clergy and their adherents were -too strong for the bishop and the adherents of the rigorists. The consuls and the bishop were expelled from the city. Manfred was afterwards replaced by the legate of the Pope, and now appears to have thrown himself into the party of the nobles.

It was in this state of affairs that the severe and blameless Arnold began to preach his captivating but alarming doctrines. Prelates like Manfred and his predecessors were not likely to awe those who esteemed apostolic poverty and apostolic lowliness the only true perfection of the Christian. Secular pomp and luxury were almost inseparable from secular power. The clergy of a secular bishop would hardly be otherwise than secular. Arnold, on his return to Brescia, had received the two lower orders of the Church as a reader; he then took the religious vow and became a monk : a monk of primitive austerity.<sup>g</sup> He was a man of stern republican virtue, and of stern republican

<sup>6</sup> "Arnoldum loquor de Brixiâ qui utinam tam sanæ esset doctrinæ quam districtæ est vitæ; et si vultis scire, homo est neque manducans neque bibens, solo cum diabolo esuriens et sitiens sangui-nem animarum."—Bernard, Epist, 195.

sentiments; his enemies do justice to his rigid and blanneless character; the monk in truth and the republican had met in him, the admirer of the old Roman liberty and of the lowly religion of Christ. IIe was seemingly orthodox in all his higher creed, though doubts were intimated of his soundness on image-worship, on reliques, on infant baptism, and the Eucharist-those strong foundations of the sacerdotal power.<sup>h</sup> From his austerity, and the silence of his adversaries as to such obnoxious opinions, it is probable that he way severe on the question of the marriage of the clergy; he appears standing alone, disconnected with that faction. His eloquence was singularly sweet, copious, and flowing, but at the same time vigorous and awakening, sharp as a sword and soft as oil.<sup>4</sup> He called upon the people to compel the. clergy, and especially the bishop, to retire altogether into \* their proper functions; to abandon all temporal power, all property. The populace listened to his doctrines with fanatic ardour; he preached in the pulpits and the marketplaces, incessantly, boldly, and fearless whom he might assail, the Pope himself or the lowliest priest, in the deep inward conviction of the truth of his own doctrines. He unfolded the dark pages of ecclesiastical history to a willing auditory.\* The whole city was in the highest state of excitement; and not. Brescia alone, the doctrines spread like wildfire through Lombardy; many other cities were moved if not to tumult, to wild expectation.<sup>m</sup> Some of the nobles as laymen had been attracted by the doctrines of Arnold; but most of them made common cause with the bishop, who was already of their faction. The bishop-

ric was a great benefice, which each might hope to fill with some one of his own family. The bishop therefore, the whole clergy, the wealthier monasteries, the higher nobles, were bound together by their common fcars, by

<sup>h</sup> "Præter hæe de sacramento altaris et baptismo parvulorum non sand dicitur sensisse." — Osho Freisingen. Did he attach the validity of the rite to the holiness of the priest? ""Lingua ejus gladius acutus—mol-

<sup>1</sup> "Lingua ejus gladius actitus—molliti sunt sermones ejus sicut oleum, et ipsa sunt jacula — allicit blandis sermonibus." —Bernard, Epist. 195; see also 196. "Pulcram fallendi noverat artem . . . mellifluis admiseens toxica verbis." —Gunther.

· · · ·

\* Even Gunther is betrayed into some praise.

"Veraque multa quidem nisi tempora nostra fideles Respuerant monitus, falsis admixta monebat.

Dum Brixiensem ecclesiam perturbaret, laicisque terræ illins, prurientes erga clerum aures habentibus, ecclesiasticas malitiose exponeret - paginas." — Otho Freisingen, ii. 20.

Gunther.

2 c 2

their common danger. Yet even then a popular revolution was averted only by an appeal to Rome: to Rome where Innocent, his rival overthrown, was presiding in the great Council of the Lateran; Innocent replaced on his throne by all the great monarchs of Christendom, and environed by a greater number of prelates than had ever assembled in any Council.

Before that supreme tribunal Arnold was accused, not Arnold con- it should seem of heresy, but of the worst kind of demned by the Council schism;<sup>n</sup> his accusers were the bishop and all of Lateran. April, 1139. the higher clergy of Brescia. Rome, it is said, shuddered, as she might with prophetic dread, at the doctrine and its author; yet the Council was content with imposing silence on Arnold, and banishment from Italy. With this decree the bishops and the clergy returned to Brescia; the fickle people were too much under the terror of their religion to defend their teacher.° The nobles seized the opportunity of expelling the two popular consuls, who were branded as hypocrites and heretics. Arnold fled beyond the Alps, and Arnold in Zurich. took refuge in Zurich. It is singular to observe this more than Protestant sowing as it were the seeds of that total abrogation of the whole hierarchical system completed in Zurich by Zuingle, the most extreme of the reformers in the age of Luther.

Beyond the Alps Arnold is again the scholar, the faithful and devoted scholar of Abélard. Neither their admirers nor their enemies seem to discern the vital difference between the two; they are identified by their common hostility to the authority of the Church. Åbélard addressed the abstract reason, Arnold the popular passions; Abélard undermined the great dogmatic system, Arnold boldly assailed the vast temporal power of the Church; Abélard treated the hierarchy with respect, but brought into question the doctrines of the Church; Arnold, with deep reverence for the doctrines, shook sacerdotal Christianity to its base; Abélard was a philosopher, Arnold a demagogue. Bernard was watching both with the perse-

<sup>n</sup> "Accusatus est apud dominum Pa-pain schismate -pessime,"—S. Bernard, canon. There is no evidence that he was in-volved in the condemnation of Peter

vering sagacity of jealousy, and of fear for his own imperilled faith, his imperilled Church. His fiery zeal was not content with the condemnation of Abélard by the Council of Sens,<sup>p</sup> and the Pope's rescript condemnatory of Arnold in the Lateran Council. He urged the Pope to take further measures for their condemnation, for the burning of their books, and secure custody of their persons. The obsequious Pope, in a brief but violent letter addressed to the Archbishops of Rheims and Sens and to the Abbot of Clairvaux, commanded that the books containing such damnable doctrines should be publicly cast into the fire, the two heresiarchs separately imprisoned in some religious house. The papal letter was disseminated throughout France by the restless activity of Bernard,<sup>q</sup> but men were weary or ashamed of the persecution; he was heard with indifference. Abélard, as has been seen, found a retreat in the abbey of Clugny; what was more extraordinary, Arnold found a protector in a papal legate, in a future Pope, the Arnold with Cardinal Guido di Castello. Like Arnold, Guido Castello. had been a scholar of Abélard, he had betraved so much sympathy with his master as to receive the rebuke above alluded to from Bernard, softened only by the dignity of his position and character. His protection of Arnold was more open and therefore more offensive to the Abbot of Clairvaux. He wrote in a mingled tone of earnest admonition and angry expostulation. "Arnold of Brescia, whose words are as honey but whose doctrines are poison, whom Brescia cast forth, at whom Rome shuddered, whom France has banished, whom Germany will soon hold in abomination, whom Italy will not endure, is reported to be with you. Either you know not the man, or hope to convert him. May this be so; but beware of the fatal infection of heresy; he who consorts with the suspected becomes liable to suspicion; he who favours one under the

what manner Arnold undertook the defence of A'bélard's dangerous propositions. Abélard and his disciples had maintained silence before the Council of

P It is not clear at what time or in Abeilardum et Arnoldum de Brixia. perversi dogmatis fabricatores et catholicæ fidei impugnatores, in religiosis locis, ut iis melius fuerint, separatim faciant includi, et libros eorum, ubi-<sup>4</sup> See Nicolini's preface to his tragedy of Arnold of Brescia:—" Ut Petrum Oper., Appendix, p. 76.

· 389

papal excommunication, contravenes the Pope, and even the Lord God himself."<sup>r</sup>

The indefatigable Bernard traced the fugitive Arnold into the diocese of Constance. He wrote in the most vehement language to the bishop denouncing Arnold as the author of tunult and sedition, of insurrection against the clergy, even against bishops, of arraying the laity against the spiritual power. No terms are too harsh; besides the maledictory language of the Psalms, "His mouth is full of cursing and bitterness, and his feet swift to shed blood," he calls him the enemy of the Cross of Christ, the fomenter of discord, the fabricator of schisms. He urges the bishop to seize and imprison this wandering disturber of the peace; such had been the Pope's command, but men had shrunk from that good deed; the Bishop of Constance was at least not active in the pursuit of Arnold. Zurich was again for some time his place of refuge, or rather the Alpine valleys, where, at least from the

days of Claudius Bishop of Turin, tenets kindred to his own, and hostile, if not to the doctrines, to some of the usages of the Church, to the power and wealth of the clergy, had lurked in the hearts of men. The Waldenses look up to Arnold as to one of the spiritual founders of their churches; and his religious and political opinions probably fostered the spirit of republican independence which throughout Switzerland and the whole Alpine district was awaiting its time.<sup>s</sup>

For five years all traces of Arnold are lost; on a sudden Arnold in he appears in Rome under the protection of the intrepid champion of the new republic which had wrested the sovereignty of the city from the Pope, and had abrogated his right to all temporal possessions. In the foundation of this republic Arnold had personally no concern, but the influence of his doctrines doubtless much. The Popes, who had beheld with satisfaction the rise of the Lombard commonwealths, or openly approved their revolt, were startled to find a republic springing up in

Bernardi Epist. The expression "quem Germania abominabitur" favours the notion that Guido was Legate in Germany. So hints Guadagnani.

"Nobile Torregium, ductoris nomine falso Insedit, totamque brevi sub tempore terram, Perfidus, impuri fœdavit dogmatis aurå. Unde venenato dudum corrupta sapore, Et nimium falsi doctrinæ vatis inherens, Servat adhuc uvæ gustum gens illa paterna."

Gunther, iii.

Rome itself. Many Romans had crossed the Alps to the school of Abélard; but the practical doctrines of Abélard's scholar were more congenial to their turbulent minds than the abstract lore of the master. Innocent II. seemed doomed to behold the whole sovereignty, feudal as well as temporal, dissolve in his hands. The wars with Naples to assert his feudal title had ended in the establishment of Roger of Sicily in the independent kingdom of Naples. The Roman passion for liberty was closely allied, as in all the Italian republics, with less generous sentiments-an implacable hatred of liberty in others. There had been a long jealousy between Tivoli and Rome. Tivoli proclaimed its independence of Rome and of the Pope. It had despised the excommunication of the Pope and inflicted a disgraceful defeat on the Romans, as yet the Pope's loval subjects, under the Pope himself. After a war of at least a year Tivoli was reduced to capitulate; but Innocent, who perhaps might look hereafter to the strength of Tivoli as a check upon unruly Rome, refused to gratify the revenge of the Romans by dismantling and razing the city walls and dispersing the inhabitants. The Romans turned their baffled vengeance on Innocent himself. Rome assembled in the Capitol, declared itself a republic, restored the senate, proposed to elect a patrician, and either actually withdrew or threatened to withdraw all temporal allegiance from the Pope. But as yet they were but half scholars of Arnold; they only shook off the yoke of the Pope to place themselves under the yoke of the Emperor. The republicans addressed a letter to the Emperor Conrad, declaring that it was their object to restore the times of Justinian and of Constantine. The Emperor might now rule in the capital of the world, over Germany and Italy, with more full authority than any of his predecessors: all obstacles from the ecclesiastical power were removed; they concluded with five verses. Let the Emperor do his will on all his enemies, establish his throne in Rome, and govern the world like another Justinian, and let Peter, according to the commandment of Christ, pay tribute to Cæsar.<sup>i</sup> But they warned him at the same

Cæsaris accipiat Cæsar, quæ sunt sua Præsul, Ut Christus jussit, Petro solvente tributum." Otho Freisingen, i. 28.

<sup>\* &</sup>quot; Rex. valeat, quicquid cupit, obtineat, super hostes Imperium teneat. Roma sedeat. regat. orbem :

Imperium teneat, Romæ sedeat, regat orbem : Princeps terrarum, ceu fecit Justinianus;

time that his aid must be speedy and strong. "The Pope had made a league with the King of Sicily, whom, in return for large succours to enable him to defy the Emperor, he had invested in all the insignia of royalty. Even in Rome the Pope, the Frangipani, the Sicilians, all the nobles, even the family of Peter Leonis, except their leader Giordano, had conspired to prevent them, the Roman people, from bestowing on Conrad the imperial In order that this army might reach Rome in crown. safety, they had restored the Milvian bridge; Death of Innocent II. but without instant haste all might be lost." In Sept. 23, 1143. the midst of these tumults Innocent died, closing a Pontificate of fourteen years.

The successor of Innocent was Guido di Castello, the eardinal of S. Mario, the scholar of Abélard, the protector of Arnold. He was elected, from what motive or through what interest does not appear, yet by the unanimous suffrage of the cardinals and amidst the acclamations of the sept. 26. people.<sup>a</sup> He took the name of Cœlestine II. <sup>Cœlestine II.</sup> The only act of Cœlestine was one of gentleness and peace; he received the ambassadors of Louis VII., King of France, pronounced his benediction on the king-• dom, and so repealed the Interdict with which Innocent had rewarded the faithful services of his early patron and almost humble vassal.<sup>v</sup> Even the turbulence of the people was overawed; they might seem to await in anxious expectation how far the protector of Arnold might favour his resumption of the Roman liberties.

These hopes were disappointed by the death of Cœlestine March 8, after a pontificate of less than six months. On Lucius II. the accession of Lucius II., a Bolognese by birth,

<sup>u</sup> The Life of Cœlestine is at issue with his own letters. The Life asserts that the people were absolutely excluded from all share in the election. Cœlestine writes: "Clero et populo acclamante, partim et expetente."—Epist. ad Petr. Venerab.

• The interdict related to the election to the archbishopric of Bourges. The king, according to usage, named a candidate to the chapter. The Pope commanded the obsequious chapter to elect Peter de la Chatre, nephew to the Chancellor of the Roman Church. Even Louis

was provoked to wrath; he swore that Peter de la Chatre should never sit as Archbishop of Bourges. "We must teach this young man," said the haughty Pope, "not thus to meddle with the affairs of the Church." He gave the pall to the archbishop, who had fled to Rome. The interdict followed: wherever the King of France appeared, ceased all the divine offices. The interdict was raised by Cœlestine; but Peter de la Chatre was Archbishop of Bourges.— Compare Martin, Hist. de France, iii. 434.

the republic boldly assumed the ideal form imagined by Arnold of Brescia. The senate and the people assembled in the Capitol, elected a Patrician,<sup>x</sup> Gior-March 12. dano, the descendant of Peter Leonis. They announced to the Pope their submission to his spiritual authority, but to his spiritual authority alone. They declared that the Pope and the clergy must content themselves from that time with the tithes and oblations of the people; that all the temporalities, the royalties, and rights of sovereignty fell to the temporal power, and that power was the Patrician.<sup>y</sup> They proceeded to make themselves masters of the city, attacked and levelled to the ground many of the fortress palaces of the cardinals and the. nobles. The Pope, after some months, wrote an Dec. 28. urgent letter to the Emperor Conrad to claim his protection against his rebellious subjects. To the appeal of the Romans, calling him to the sovereignty, Conrad, spell-bound perhaps by the authority of Bernard, however tempting the occasion might be, paid no attention; even if more inclined to the cause of the Pope, he had no time for interference. Pope Lucius had recourse to more immediate means of defence. He armed the pontifical party, and that party comprehended all the nobles: it had become a contest of the oligarchy and the democracy. He placed himself at their head, obtained, it should seem, some success,<sup>z</sup> but in an attempt to storm the Capitol in the front of his soldiers, he was Feb. 25, 1145. mortally wounded with a stone. To have slain Lucius II. a Pope afflicted the Romans with no remorse. The papal party felt no shame at the unseemly death of a Pope who had fallen in actual war for the defence of his temporal power; republican Rome no compunction at the fall of her enemy. Yet the death of Lucius seems to have extinguished for a time the ambition of the cardinals. Instead of rival Popes contending for advancement, Pope and Anti-pope in eager haste to array themselves in the tiara,

\* This appears from the words of Otho Freisingen: "Senatoribus, quos ante instituerant, patricium adjiciunt." —Otho Freisingen, vii. 31. What place did this leave for the Emperor? I con-ceive, therefore, that the letter to the Emperore belower to the contingent of Emperor belongs to the pontificate of

all seemed to shrink from the perilous dignity. Thev drew forth from the cloister of the Cistercian monks the Abbot, Bernard of Pisa, a devout man, but obscure and of simplicity, it was supposed, bordering on imbecility. His sole recommendation was that he was a Cistercian, a friend of Bernard of Clairvaux, of Bernard the tried foe of Abélard and of Arnold of Brescia, Bernard through whom alone they could hope for the speedy succour of the Transalpine sovereigns. "In electing you," says Bernard himself, "they made me Pope, not you." The saint's letter of congratulation is in a tone of mingled superiority and deference, in which the deference is formal, the superiority manifest. To the conclave Bernard remonstrated against the cruelty, almost the impiety, of dragging a man dead to the world back into the peril and turmoil of worldly affairs. He spoke almost with contempt of the rude character of Eugenius III. "Is this a man to gird on the sword and to execute vengeance on the people, to bind their kings with chains and their nobles with links of iron?" (Such at present appeared to Bernard the office of Christ's representative on earth !) "How will a man with the innocence and simplicity of a child cope with affairs which require the strength of a giant?"<sup>b</sup> Bernard was for once mistaken in his estimate of human character. Eugenius III. belied all expectations by the unsuspected vigour of his conduct. He was compelled, indeed, at first to bow before the storm: on the third day after his election he left Rome to receive his consecration in the monastery of Farfa.

Arnold of Brescia at the head of a large force of Swiss mountaineers who had imbibed his doctrines, was Arnold in now in Rome.<sup>°</sup> His eloquence brought over the larger part of the nobles to the popular side; even some of the clergy were infected by his doctrines. The republic, under his influence, affected to resume the constitution of elder Rome. The office of prefect was abolished, the Patrician

traxit et Roman cum multitudine' venit."-Fasti Corbeicures. See Muller, Schweitzer's Geschichte, i. 409, u. 277. Eugen, Epist. 4.

<sup>• &</sup>quot;Aiunt non vos esse papam, sed me."--Epist. 237, 8. • Epist. 236. He calls him "pan-

nosum homuncionem."

<sup>&</sup>quot; " Arnoldus Alpinorum turbam ad se

Giordano established in full authority. They pretended to create anew patrician families, an equestrian order; the name and rights of tribunes of the people were to balance the power of the Senate: the laws of the commonwealth were re-enacted.<sup>d</sup> Nor were they forgetful of more substantial provisions for their power. The Capitol was rebuilt and fortified; even the church of St. Peter was sacrilegiously turned into a castle. The Patrician took possession of the Vatican, imposed taxes, and exacted tribute by violence from the pilgrims. Rome began again to speak of her sovereignty over the world. On the expulsion of Eugenius, the indefatigable Bernard addressed a letter to the Roman people in his usual tone of haughty apology for his interference; a protest of his own insignificance while he was dictating to nations and kings. He mingles what he means for gentle persuasion with the language of awful menace. "Not only will the powers of earth, but the martyrs of heaven fight against a rebellious people." In one part, he dexterously inquires how far they themselves had become richer by the plunder of the churches. It was as the religious capital of the world that Rome was great and wealthy; they were cutting off all their real glory and riches by ceasing to be the city of St. Peter.º In another letter, he called on the Emperor Conrad to punish this accursed and tumultuous people.

But Eugenius owed to his own intrepid energy and conduct at least a temporary success. He launched Eugenius rehis sentence of excommunication against the rebel covers Rome. Patrician: Rome was too much accustomed to such thunders to regard them. He appealed to more effective arms, the implacable hatred and jealousy of the neighbouring cities. Tivoli was always ready to take arms against Rome, (Innocent II. had foreseen the danger of dismantling this check on Rome,) other cities sent their troops; Eugenius was in person at Civita Castellana, Narni, Viterbo, where he took up his residence. The proud republic was compelled to capitulate. The Patrician abdicated his short-lived dignity; the Prefect resumed

° Epist. 242, 243.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> "Quin etiam titulos urbis renovare vetustos, Patricios recreare viros, priscosque Quirites, Nomine plebeio secernere nomen equestre ; Jura tribunorum, sanctum repararé senatum;

Et senio fessas, mutasque reponere leges; Reddere primevo Capitolia prisca nitori." *Gunther*.

his functions; the Senate was permitted to exist, but shorn of its power.<sup>f</sup> A general annesty was granted to all concerned in the late commotions. Some of the Roman nobles, the great family of the Frangipani, out of rivalry perhaps to the Peter Leonis, had remained faithful to the Eugenius returned to Rome, and celebrated Pope. Christmas with pomp at least sufficient to give A.D. 1145-1146. an appearance of popularity to his resumption of authority: he was attended by some of the nobles, and all the clergy.

But without the walls of Rome, at the head of a hostile army, the Pope was an object of awe; within the city with only his Roman partisans, he was powerless. . He might compel Rome to abandon her republican constitution, he could not her hatred of Tivoli. Under this black standard rallied all her adversaries: only on the condition of his treachery to Tivoli, which had befriended him in his hour of necessity, would Rome continue to obey him. Eugenius flies. He left the city in disgust; he retired first to March 23, 1146. Viterbo, then to Signate Viterbo, then to Sienna; eventually, after the delay of a year, beyond the Alps.<sup>g</sup> Arnold and Arnold's republic resumed uncontested possession of the capital of Christendom.

Beyond the Alps the Cistercian Pontiff sank into the satellite of the great Cistercian ruler of Chris-In France. The Pope maintained the state, the tendom. authority was with St. Bernard. Three subjects, before the arrival of Eugenius in France, had occupied the indefatigable thoughts of Bernard. The two first display his all-grasping command of the mind of Christendom; but it was the last which so completely absorbed his soul, that succours to the Pope struggling against his rebellious subjects, the sovereignty of Rome, might seem beneath his regard.

The Abbot of Clairvaux was involved in a disputed Bernard and election to the Archbishopric of York. The William of York. narrow corporate spirit of his order betrayed him York. into great and crying injustice to William, the elected

<sup>c</sup> In the few fragments of the histo-rians we trace the influence, but little of the personal history of Arnold. We know not whether be remained in the short triumph of Eu-genius. <sup>g</sup> He was at Vercelli, March 3, 1147; at Clugny, 26; at Dijon, 30.

prelate of that See. The rival of the Englishman, another William, once a Cluniac, was a Cistercian; and Bernard scruples not to heap on one of the most pious of men accusations of ambition, of worse than ambition: to condemn him to everlasting perdition.<sup>h</sup> The obsequious Pope, no doubt under the same party influence, or quailing under the admonitions of Bernard, which rise into menace, issued his sentence of deposition against William. England, true to that independence which she had still asserted under her Norman sovereigns, refused obedience. King Stephen even prohibited his bishops from attending the Pope's summons to a Council at Rheims; the Archbishop of Canterbury was obliged to cross the sea clandestinely in a small boat.<sup>i</sup> William eventually triumphed over all opposition, obtained peaceable possession of the see, died in the odour of sanctity, and has his place in the sacred calendar.

Bernard had detected new heresies in the church of France. Gilbert de la Porée, the aged Bishop of Gilbert de la Poitiers, was charged with heterodox conceptions of the divine nature.<sup>k</sup> This controversy wearied out two Councils; bewildered by the metaphysical subtleties, they came to no conclusion. It was, in fact, in its main article a mere dialectic dispute, bearing on the point whether the divine nature was God. It was Nominalism and Realism in another form. But the close of this contest demands attention. The Bishop of Poitiers, instead of shrinking from his own words, in a discussion before the Pope, who was now at Paris, exclaimed :----" Write them down with a pen of adamant!" Notwithstanding this, under the influence and direction of Bernard four articles were drawn and ratified by the Synod. The Pope himself,

calumnies." --Butler, Lives of Saints. <sup>1</sup> June 8th. S. William. Was Bernard imposed upon, or the author of these calumnies? It is a dark page in his life.

\* Othoof Freisingen, however, ascribes c. xviii.

two other tenets to Gilbert, one denying all human merit; the other, a peculiar opinion on baptism. "Quod meritum humanum attenuando, nullum mereri diceret præter Christum." He appeared too to deny that any one was really baptised, except those who were to be saved. —Otho Freisingen, i. 50. M. Haureau (Philosophie Scolastique) has a much higher opinion of Gilbert de la Porée as an original thinker than the historians of philosophy previous to him.—vol. i. – e xviii

h Epist. 241. "Sævit frustrata ambitio: imo desperata furit... Clamat contra eorum capita sanguis sanctorum de terrå." "St. William shewed no enmity, sought no revenge against his most inveterate enemies, who had prepossessed Eugenius III. against him by the blackest calumnies."—Butler, Lives of Saints.

worn out, acknowledged that the controversy was beyond his understanding. These articles were the direct converse to those of Gilbert of Poitiers. They declared the divine nature to be God, and God the divine nature. But Rome heard with indignation that the Church of France had presumed to enact articles of faith. The Cardinals published a strong remonstrance impeaching the Pope of presumption; of abandoning the advice of his legitimate counsellors, who had promoted him to the Papacy; and vielding to the sway of private, of more recent friendship.<sup>m</sup> " It is not for thee alone, but for us with thee to frame articles of faith. Is this good Abbot to presume to dictate to Christendom? The Eastern churches would not have dared-to do this." The Pope endeavoured to soothe them by language almost apologetic; they allowed themselves. at length to be appeased by his modest words, but on condition that no symbol of faith should be promulgated without the authority of the Roman court, the College of Cardinals.

These, however, were trivial and unimportant considerations; before and during the agitation of these Crusade. contests, the whole soul of Bernard was absorbed in a greater object: he aspired to be a second Pcter the Hermit, the preacher of a new crusade. The fall of Edessa, and other tidings of defeat and disaster, had awakened the slumbering ardour of Europe. The kingdom of Jerusalem trembled for its security. Peter himself was not more active or more successful in traversing Europe, and wakening the passionate valour of all orders, than Bernard. In the cities of Germany, of Burgundy, of Flanders, of France, the pulpits were open to him; he preached in the market-places and highways. Nor did he depend upon human eloquence alone: according to his wandering followers, eve-witnesses as they declared themselves, the mission of Bernard was attested by miracles, at least as frequent and surprising as all those of the Saviour, recorded

<sup>m</sup> The Bishop Otho of Freisingen rationibus, sæculari sapientiæ confisi, writes thus of Bernard: "Erat autem nimium inhærebant, abhorreret, et si prædictus Abbas, tam ex Christianæ quidquam ei Christianæ fidei absonum religionis fervore zelotypus, quam ex habituali mansuetudine quodammodo beret."—De Rebus Freder. I., i. 47.

398

in the New Testament. They, no doubt, imagined that they believed them, and no one hesitated to believe their report. In sermons, in speeches, in letters, by public addresses, and by his private influence, Bernard wrought up Latin Christendom to a second access of phrenzy equal to the first.<sup>n</sup> The Pope, Eugenius III., probably by his instigation, addressed an animated epistle to Western Christendom. He promised the same privileges offered by his predecessor Urban, the remission of all sins, the protection of the crusaders' estates and families during their absence in the Holy Land, under the tutelage of the Church; and he warned them against profane luxury in their arms and accoutrements; against hawks and hounds, while engaged in that hallowed warfare. Bernard preached a sermon to the Knights Templars, now in the dawn of their valour and glory. The Korân is tame to this fierce hymn of battle. "The Christian who slays the unbeliever in the Holy War is sure of his reward, more sure if he is slain. The Christian glories in the death of the Pagan, because Christ is glorified: by his own death both he himself and Christ are still more glorified." Bernard at the Council Easter, 1146. of Vezelay wrought no less wonderful effects Vezelay. than Pope Urban at Clermont. Eugenius alone, who had not vet crossed, or had hardly crossed the Alps, was wanting at that august assembly, but in a letter he had declared that nothing but the disturbances at Rome prevented him from following the example of his predecessor Urban. A greater than the Pope was there. The Castle of Vezelay could not contain the multitudes who thronged to hear the fervid eloquence of Bernard. The preacher, with the King of France Louis VII. by his side, wearing the cross conspicuously on his dress, ascended a platform of wood. At the close of his harangue the whole assembly broke out in tumultuous cries, "The Cross, the Cross!" They crowded to the stage to receive the holy badge; the preacher was obliged to scatter it among them, rather than deliver it to each. The stock at hand was soon exhausted. Bernard tore up his own dress to satisfy the eager claimants. For the first time, the two greatest sovereigns in Christendom, the Emperor and the

" Epist. to the Pope Eugenius, 256; to the Bishop of Spires, 322. .

King of France, embarked in the cause. Louis had appeared at Vezelay; he was taking measures for the cam-But Conrad shrank from the perilous enterprise; paign. the affairs of Germany demanded the unintermitting care of her sovereign. Bernard watched his opportunity. Ať a great Diet at Spires, at Christmas, after the re-Spires. conciliation of some of the rebellious princes with the Empire, he urged both the Emperor and the princes, in a long and ardent sermon, to testify to their Christian concord by taking the Cross together. Three days after, at Ratisbon, he had a private interview with the Emperor. Conrad still wavered, promised to consult his nobles, and to give an answer on the following day. On that day, after the mass, Bernard ascended the pulpit. At the close of his sermon he turned to the Emperor, and after a terrific description of the terrors of the last day, he summoned him to think of the great gifts, for which he would have to give account at that awful advent of the Lord. The Emperor and the whole audience melted into tears; he declared himself ready to take the Cross: he was at once invested with the irrevocable sign of dedication to the holy warfare; many of his nobles followed his example. Bernard, for all was prepared, took the consecrated banner from the altar, and delivered it into the hands of Conrad. Three bishops, Henry of Ratisbon, Otho of Freisingen, Reginbert of Padua, took the Cross. Such a multitude of thieves and robbers crowded to the sacred standard, that no one could refuse to see the hand of God.<sup>o</sup> Nowhere would even kings proceed without the special benediction of Bernard. At Etampes, and at St. Denys in the next year, he appeared among the assembled crusaders of France. The Pope Eugenius was now in France; the King at St. Denys prostrated himself before the feet of Pentecost, his Hollness and of Dollars, and the altar May 11, 1147. of golden crucifixes; they led him to the altar his Holiness and of Bernard; they opened a box and bestowed on him the consecrated banner, the pilgrim's wallet and staff. At another meeting at Chartres, Bernard, so great was the confidence in his more than human powers, was entreated himself to take the command of the crusade. But he wisely remembered the fate of Peter's

• Otho Freisingen, i. 40.

followers, and exhorted the warriors to place themselves under the command of some experienced general.

But there was a miracle of Christian love, as far surpassing in its undoubted veracity as in its evangelic beauty all which legend gathered around the preaching pilgrimage of Bernard. The crusade began; a wild monk named Rodolph raised the terrible cry against the The Jews. Jews, which was even more greedily than before heard by the populace of the great cities, and by the armed soldiers. In Cologne, Mentz, Spires, Worms, Strasburg, a massacre the most frightful and remorseless broke out. Bernard arose in all his power and authority. He condemned the unchristian act in his strongest language. "God had punished the Jews by their dispersion, it was not for man to punish them by murder." Bernard himself confronted the furious Rodolph at. Mentz, and commanded him to retire to his convent; but it required all the sanctity and all the eloquence of Bernard to control the furious populace, now drunk with blood and glutted with pillage.<sup>p</sup> Among the most melancholy reflections, it is not the least sad that the gentle Abbot of Clugny, Peter the Venerable, still to be opposed to Bernard, took the side of blind fanaticism.

Of all these holy wars, none had been announced with greater ostentation, of none had it been more Disasters of boldly averred that it was of divine inspiration, the Crusade. the work of God; of none had the hopes, the prophecies of success been more confident; none had been conducted with so much preparation and pomp; none had as yet been headed by kings-none ended in such total and deplorable disaster. So vast had been the movement, so completely had the West been drained to form the army of the Cross, that not merely had all war come to an end, but it was almost a crime, writes the warlike Bishop of Freisingen, to be seen in arms. "The cities and the castles are empty," writes Bernard, "there is hardly one man to seven women." What was the close? At least thirty thousand lives were sacrificed, and there was not even the consolation of one glorious deed achieved. The Emperor, the King of France, returned to their dominions, the ignominious P Otho Freisingen, i. 37, 8. It is cu-tisbonne, were once Jews. Their works rious that the two modern biographers are labours of gratitude as well as of of S. Bernard, Neander and M. de Ra-love.

VOL. III.

survivors of their gallant hosts! But would the general and bitter disappointment of Christendom, the widowed and orphaned houses, the families, scarcely one of which had not to deplore their head, their pride, their hope, or their stay, still respect the author of all these calamities? Was this the event of which Bernard had been the preacher, the prophet? Were all his miracles wrought only to plunge Christendom in shame and misery? There was a deep and sullen murmur against Bernard, and Bernard himself was prostrated for a time in profound depression. But this disappointment found its usual consolation. Bernard still declared that he had spoken with the authority of the Pope, with the authority of God.<sup>q</sup> The first cause of failure was the perfidy of the Greeks. The Bishop of Langres had boldly advised the measure which was accomplished by a later crusade, the seizure of Constantinople; and with still more fervent hatred and contempt for the Greeks, whom they overwhelmed, starved, insulted on their passage through their dominions, they complained of their inhospitality, of the unchristian lukewarmness of their friendship. But the chief blame of their disasters was thrown back on the crusaders themselves; on the licence and unchastity of their camp. God would not be served by soldiers guilty of such sins; sins which human prudence might have anticipated as the inevitable consequence of discharging upon a distant land undisciplined and uncontrolled hordes, all the ruffians and robbers of Europe, whose only penance was to be the slaughter of the unbelievers.<sup>r</sup> The Pope wrote a letter of consolation, cold consolation, to the Emperor Conrad; the admirers of Bernard excuse him by condemning themselves. But the boldest tone of consolation was taken by a monk named John. Not only did he assure Bernard that he knew from Heaven that many who had died in the Holy Land died with joy because they were prevented from returning to the wicked world, but in private confession he averred that the patron saints of his monastery,

9 "Diximus pax et non est pax:

" "Quamvis si dicamus sanctum illum promisimus bona et ecce turbatio.... Abbatem spiritu Dei ad excitandos nos Cucurrimus pland in eo non quasi in incertum, sed te jubente et imò per te Deo."—See the whole passage, De Con-sider. ii. 1. Construction de la co St. Peter and St. John, had appeared and submitted to be interrogated on this mournful subject. The Apostles declared that the places of many of the fallen angels had been filled up by the Christian warriors who had died for the Cross in the Holy Land. The Apostles had likewise a fervent desire for the presence of the holy Bernard among them.<sup>s</sup>

Only a few years elapsed before Bernard, according to the general judgment of Christendom, fulfilled the vision of the monk, and departed to the society of Saints, Apostles, and Angels.

The Saint, the Philosopher, the Demagogue of the century have passed before us (the end of the last is to come): it may be well to contemplate also the high ecclesiastical statesman. Suger, Abbot of St. Denys, has been sometimes represented as the unambitious Suger of Richelieu, the more honest Mazarin of his age. St. Denys. But Suger was the Minister of Kings of France, whose realm in his youth hardly reached beyond four or five modern departments; whose power was so limited that the road between Paris and Orleans, their two great efficies. was commanded by the castle of a rebellious noble.<sup>t</sup> But though the fame of Suger be unwisely elevated by such comparisons, the historic facts remain, that during the reigns of the two Kings, Louis the Fat and Louis the Young, of whom Suger was the chief counsellor, order was restored, royal authority became more than a name, the great vassals of the crown were brought into something more nearly approaching to subordination. If France became France, and from the Meuse to the Pyrenees some respect and homage belonged to the King; if some cities obtained charters of freedom; however the characters of the Kings and the circumstances of the times may have had greater actual influence than the administration of Suger, yet much must have been due to his wisdom and firmness.

Suger was born of obscure parentage at St. Omer, in 1081. He was received at fifteen in the Abbey of St. Denys. He became the companion of the King's son, educated at that abbey. In 1098 he went to finish his studies at St. Florent, in Saumur. He returned to St. Denys about the age of twenty-two.

<sup>•</sup> Bernardi Opera, Epist. 333. • Sismondi, Hist. des Français, v. pp. 7-20. 2 p. 2

In the wars of Louis, first named the Watchful,<sup>u</sup> an appellation ill-exchanged for that of the Fat, the young Education and monk of St. Denys scrupled not to wield a lance and to head the soldiers of the Abbey; for the early life. King's domains and those of the Abbey of St. Denys, as annoved by common enemies, were bound in close alliance, and were nearly of the same extent : the soldiers of St. Denvs formed a large contingent in the royal army. The Abbot relates, not without some proud reminiscences, how, while vet a monk, he broke gallantly through the marauding hosts of Hugh de Poinset, threw himself into Theury; he describes

the joy "of our men" at his unexpected appear-A.D. 1112. ance, which encouraged them to a desperate rally, and saved Theury, a post of the utmost importance, for the King. Suger became the ambassador between the two great powers, the King and the Abbot of St. Denys, with the Court of Rome. He was sent to welcome Pope Gelasius, when, after the death of Paschal, he fled to France. Yet -he could not lament the death of Gelasius: the prudent Suger did not wish to commit France in a quarrel with the Romans." Suger hailed the elevation of the half-· French Pope, Calixtus II. He went on the King's affairs to Rome; and followed Calixtus into Apulia. On his return he had a remarkable and prophetic vision, and woke to the reality. On the death of Abbot Adam he had been chosen

suger abbot. to the high place of Abbot of St. Denys. But the churchman and the courtier were committed in dire perplexity within him. The election had taken place without the King's permission. Louis, in fury, had committed the monks and knights of the Abbey to prison at-Orleans. Should he brave the King's wrath, throw himself on the power of the Pope, and compel the King to submission? or was he tamely to surrender the . A.D. 1123. rights of the Church? Louis, however, he found to his delight, had, after some thought, approved his election.

From that time Suger became the first counsellor, if not the minister of the king. The Abbey of St. Denys, was the centre of the affairs of France. The restless, all

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> L'Eveillé. Theury. 'Il avait ainsi, en quittant la \* Les Nôtres. Suger, Vie de Louis le Gros, in Guizot's Mémoires. Siege of et aux Romains.''-Ibid.

watchful piety of St. Bernard took alarm at this secularization of the holy foundation of St. Denys. He wrote a long, lofty rebuke to the abbot; he reproved his st. Bernard. , temporal pomp, his temporal business. "The abbey was thronged, not with holy recluses in continual prayer within the chapel, or on their knees within their narrow cells, but with mailed knights; even arms were seen within the hallowed walls. If that which was of Cæsar was given to Cæsar, that of God was not given to Suger himself had never thrown off the severe God." monk; the king's minister lodged in a close cell, ten feet by fifteen; he performed with punctilious austerity all the outward duties, he indulged in all the minute selftortures of his cloister. Throughout the rest of the reign of Louis the Fat, and the commencement of that of Louis the Young, during which the kingly power was gradually growing up in strength and authority, Suger ruled in the king's councils. When the irresistible eloquence of St. Bernard<sup>x</sup> swept Louis the Young, with the rest of Europe, to the Holy Land, Suger alone had the courage to oppose the abandonment of the royal duties in this wild enterprise: he opposed in vain. Yet by the unanimous voice Suger remained for two years chief of the re- From 1147 gency; the Archbishop of Rouen and the Count to 1149. of Vermandois held but a secondary authority. On the return of the king, the regent Abbot could appeal in honest pride to his master, whether he had not maintained the realm in unwonted peace (the more turbulent barons had no doubt accompanied the king to the Holy Land), supplied him with ample means in money, in warlike stores, in men; his palaces and domains were in admirable state. The Regent yielded up his trust, the kingdom of France, in a better state than it had been during the reign of the Capets. Suger the statesman had endeavoured to dissuade the king from the crusade, but from no want of profound religious zeal. In his old age, at seventy years, the Abbot of. St. Denys himself proposed to embark on a crusade: he would consecrate all his own wealth; he would persuade the bishops to devote their ample revenues to this holy cause; and thus the Church might conquer Jerusa-

\* Read the whole of the 78th epistle.-Bernardi Opera.

lem without loss or damage to the realm of France. Jan 13, 1152. Death cut short his holy design; he died the year before St. Bernard, who, .notwithstanding his rebuke, and the opposition to his views on the Holy Land, admired and loved the Abbot of St. Denys. It. may be some further homage to the high qualities of Abbot Suger (without exalting him beyond the narrow sphere in which he moved), that after his death begins the feeble and inglorious part of the reign of Louis VII.--Louis himself sinks into a slave of superstition. Suger was an historian as well as a statesman; but he administered better than he wrote; though not without some graphic powers, his history is somewhat pompous without dignity; it has many of the monkish failings without their occasional beauty and simplicity.<sup>y</sup>

<sup>7</sup> See throughout Sugeri, Vit. Louis Bouquet, in French in Guizol's Collec-Gr., and the Life of Suger, in Latin in tion des Mémoires.

# CHAPTER VII.

#### HADRIAN IV .- FREDERICK BARBAROSSA.

In the same year with Bernard died the friend of Bernard, the Cistercian Pope, Eugenius III. He had returned to Italy after the departure of the crusade. He took up his abode, not at Rome, but at first at Viterbo, Nov. 30, afterwards at Tusculum. There was a period of <sup>1148</sup>, <sup>April 8</sup>, hostility, probably of open war, with the republic <sup>1149</sup>. at Rome. But the temper or the policy of Eugenius led him to milder measures. The republic disclaimed Nov. 28, not the spiritual supremacy of the Pope, and 1149. Eugenius scrupled not to enter the city only as its bishop, not as its Lord. The first time he remained not long, and retired into Campania;\* the second time, the year before his death, the skilful and well-timed Dec. 9, use of means more becoming the Head of Chris-<sup>1152.</sup> tendom than arms and excommunications wrought wonders in his favour; by his gentleness, his lavish generosity, his magnificence (he built a palace near St. Peter's, another at Segni), and his charity, he was slowly supplanting the senate in the popular attachment: the fierce and intractable people were yielding to this gentler influence. Arnold of Brescia found his power gradually Sept. 7. wasting away from the silent counter-working 1152. of the clergy, from the fickleness, perhaps the reasonable disappointment of the people, who yearned again for the glory, the advantage of being the religious capital of the world-the centre of pilgrimage, of curiosity, of traffic, of business, from all parts of the world. The Archbishops of Cologne and Mentz came in all their pomp and extravagance of expenditure to Rome; for the first time they

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> He was at Alba, June; at Segni, is also said to have recovered some October?; Ferentino, November, De- parts of the papal domains. From cember, part of 1152, Then again at whom? Segni.--Cardin. Arragon in Vit. He

were sent back with their treasures.<sup>b</sup> Eugenius, in the spirit of an ancient Roman, or a true Cistercian, refused their magnificent offerings, or rather their bribes. It may be questioned whether the republicans of Rome were the most sincere admirers of this unwonted contempt of riches shown by the Pope. The death of Eugenius alone preserved the republic from an earlier but less violent fate July 7, 1152. than it suffered at last.<sup>c</sup> He died at Tivoli, but Beam of Eugenius. his remains were received in Rome with the utmost respect, and buried in the Vatican. The fame of miraculous cures around his tomb showed how strong the Pope still remained in the affections and reverence of the common people.

The Republic, true to its principles, did not, like the turbulent Roman nobles, or the heads of factions in the former century, interfere, either by force or intrigue, in the election of the Popes. The cardinals quietly raised Conrad, Bishop of Sabina, a Roman by birth, to the pon-Dec. 2, 1154. tifical chair with the name of Anastasius IV. On Hadrian IV. the death of Anastasius, after, it should seem, a Dec. 4. peaceful rule of one year and five months, the only Englishman who ever filled the papal chair was raised to the supremacy over Christendom.

Nicolas Breakspeare, born, according to one account, at St. Albans,<sup>d</sup> wandered forth from his country in search of learning; he was received into a monastery at Arles; became a brother, pricr, abbot. He went to Rome on the affairs of his community, and so won the favour of the Pope Eugenius that he was detained in his court, was raised to the cardinalate, undertook a mission as legate to Norway,<sup>o</sup> and, something in the character of the old English apostles of Germany, confirmed that hard-won kingdom in its

<sup>b</sup> "Nova res. Quando hactenus aurum Roma refudit?"—Bernard, de Consid. iii, 3.

"Et nisi esset mors æmula, quæ illum cito de medio rapuit, senatores noviter procreatos populi adminiculo usurpata dignitate privasset." — Romuald. Salern. in Chron. d Cardinal Arragon in Vitâ. He was

<sup>4</sup> Cardinal Arragon in Vitâ. He was down by degrees into Christianity.—See Bishop of Alba. Perhaps the notion of Bishop Munter, Einführung des Christhis birth at St. Albau's arose from his. enthums in Dänemark und Norwegen, being called Albanus. latter part of vol. i.

• Norway was slowly converted, not by preachers or bishops, but by her kings; by Harold the Fair-haired, Hacon Athelstan, Olaf Trigvesen-Saint Olaf--not with apostolic persuasion, But with the Mahommedan proselytism of the sword. And a strange, wild Christianity it was, worthy of its origin; but it softened down by degrees into Christianity.—See Bishop Munter, Einführung des Christenthums in Dänemark und Norwegen, latter part of yol. i. allegiance to the see of Rome. Nicolas Breakspeare was a man of exemplary morals, high fame for learning, and great eloquence; and now the poor English scholar, homeless, except in the home which he found in the hospitable convent; friendless, except among the friends which he has made by his abilities, his virtues, and his piety; with no birth or connections to advance his claims; is become the Head of Christendom-the Lord of Rome. which surrenders her liberties before his feet; the Pontiff from whose hands the mightiest and proudest Emperoris glad to receive his crown ! What pride, what hopes, might such a promotion awaken in the lowest of the sacerdotal order throughout Christendom! In remote England not a youthful scholar but may have had visions of pontifical grandeur! This at all times wonderful, how much more so in the age of feudalism, in which the pride of birth was paramount!

Nor did Hadrian IV. yield to any of his loftiest predecessors in his assertion of the papal dignity; he was surpassed by few in the boldness and courage with which he maintained it. The views of unlimited power which opened before the new pontiff appear most manifestly in his grant of Ireland to Henry II. of England. English pride Grant of might mingle with sacerdotal ambition in this boon ADD. 1155. of a new kingdom to his native sovereign. The language of the grant developed principles as yet unheard in Christendom. The Popes had assumed the feudal sovereignty of Naples and Sicily, as in some vague way the successors to the power of Imperial Rome. But Hadrian declared that Ireland and all islands converted to Christianity belonged to the special jurisdiction of St. Peter.<sup>f</sup> He assumed the right of sanctioning the invasion, on the ground of its advancing civilisation and propagating a purer faith among the barbarous and ignorant people. The tribute of Peter's pence from the conquered island was to be the reward of the Pope's munificence in granting the island to the English, and his recognition of Henry's sovereignty. The prophetic ambition of Hadrian might seem to have anticipated the time, when on such

<sup>1</sup> "Sanè Hiberniam et omnes insulas, quibus Sol justitiæ Christus illuxit, et quæ documenta fidei Christianæ rece-perunt, ad jus B. Patri et sacrosanctæ

principles the Popes should assume the power of granting away new worlds.

But Hadrian had first to bring rebellious Rome under his sway. The mild measures of Pope Eugenius had undermined the power of Arnold of Brescia. Hadrian had the courage to confront him with open hostility. He vouchsafed no answer to the haughty demands of the republic to recognise its authority; he pronounced sentence of banishment from the city against Arnold himself. Arnold denied the power of the Pope to issue such sentence. But an opportunity soon occurred in which Hadrian, without exceeding his spiritual power, bowed the whole rebellious people under his feet. The Cardinal of San Pudenziana, on his way to the Pope, who was in the palace raised on the Vatican by Eugenius III., encountered a tumult of the populace, and received a mortal wound. Rome under instantly placed the whole city under an interdict. Rome for the first time was deprived of all its religious ceremonies. No procession moved through the silent streets; the people thronged around the closed doors of the churches; the clergy, their functions entirely suspended, had nothing to do but to inflame the minds of the populace. Easter was drawing on; Easter, March 27, no mass would atone for, no absolution release 1155. them from their sins. Religion triumphed over liberty. The clergy and the people compelled the senate to yield. Hadrian would admit of no lower terms than the abrogation of the republican institutions; the banishment of Arnold and his adherents. The republic was at Fall of the Republic. an end, Arnold an exile; the Pope again master in Rome.

But all this time great events were passing in the north of Italy; events which, however in some respects menacing to Pope Hadrian, might encourage him in his inflexible hostility to the republicans of Rome.<sup>g</sup> On the death of

norum. Nisi enim noscat unde obstruat eorum ora manusque cohibeat, ad flagitia et sacrilegia perferenda, omnes oculos

<sup>8</sup> Compare the curious account given non effugiat manus et linguas Romaby John of Salisbury of conversations with Pope Hadrian, with whom, on ac-count probably of his English connections, he may have been on intimate terms. he may have been on intimate terms. duret et animam . . . nisi servirent, aut The condition of the Pope is most labo-rious, is most miserable. "Si enim necesse est."—Polycratic. L. viii. p. 324 avaritiæ servit, mors ei est. Sin autem, and 366, edit. Giles.

Conrad, Germany with one consent had placed the crown on the head of the great Hohenstaufen prince, Frederick nephew, Frederick Barbarossa. If the Barbarossa. his Papacy under Hadrian had resumed all its haughty autho-rity, the Empire was wielded with a terrible force, which it had hardly ever displayed before. Frederick was a prince of intrepid valour, consummate prudence, unmeasured ambition, justice which hardened into severity, the ferocity of a barbarian somewhat tempered with a highchivalrous gallantry; above all with a strength of character which subjugated alike the great temporal and ecclesiastical princes of Germany; and was prepared to assert the imperial rights in Italy to the utmost. Of the constitutional rights of the Emperor, of his unlimited supremacy, his absolute independence of, his temporal superiority over, all other powers, even that of the Pope, Frederick proclaimed the loftiest notions. He was to the empire what Hildebrand and Innocent were to the popedom. His power was of God alone; to assert that it is bestowed by the successor of St. Peter was a lie, and directly contrary to the doctrine of St. Peter.<sup>h</sup>

In the autumn of the year of Hadrian's accession Frederick descended the Alps by the valley of the Trent. Never had a more imposing might assembled around any of his predecessors than around Frederick on the plains of Roncaglia: He came to receive the iron crown of Italy from the Lombards, the imperial crown from the End of No. Pope at Rome. He had summoned all the feudatories of the Empire, all the feudatories of Italy, to his banner, declaring himself determined to enforce the forfeiture of their fiefs if they refused to obey. The Bishops of Crema and of Halberstadt were deprived, as contumacious, for their lives, of their temporalities.<sup>i</sup> The great prelates of Germany, instead of fomenting disturb-

h "Quum per electionem principum institutioni et doctrinæ Petri contrarius a solo Deo regnum et imperium nostrum sit, qui in passione Christi filii sui duobus gladiis necessariis regendum orbem subjecit, quumque Petrus Apostolus hac doctrinâ mundum informaverit : Deum timete, regem honorificate; quicunque nos imperialem coronam pro beneficio a domino Papa suscepisse dixerit, divinæ

est et mendacii reus est."--Otho Freisingen, apud Muratori, vi: 709\* Compare Eichhorn on the Constitution of the Empire, from the Swabische Spiegel and the Sachsische Spiegel, ii. pp. 364, et seq.

<sup>i</sup> Muratori, Ann. d'Italia sub ann.

ances in the Empire, were in the army of Frederick. The Archbishops of Cologne and Mentz were at the head of their vassals. The Lombard cities, most of which had now become republics, hastened to send their deputies to acknowledge their fealty. The Marquis of Montferrat appeared, it is said, the only ruling prince in the north of Italy. Pavia, Genoa, Lodi, Crema, vied in their loyalty; even haughty Milan, which had trampled under foot Fre-. derick's mandate commanding peace with Lodi, sent her consuls.<sup>k</sup> The Duke Guelf of Bavaria, under the protection of the Emperor, took quiet possession of the domains of the Countess Matilda;<sup>m</sup> it was no time for the Pope even to enter a protest. Frederick appeared with the iron crown in the Church of St. Michael at Pavia." There was just resistance enough to show the terrible power, the inflexible determination of Frederick. At the persuasion of faithful Pavia, Frederick laid siege to Tortona: notwithstanding the bravest resistance, the city fell through famine and thirst.<sup>o</sup> Frederick now directed his march to the south.

Hadrian had watched all the movements of Frederick with jealous apprehension. The haughty King had not yet declared his disposition towards the Church; nor was it known with certainty whether he would take part with

the people of Rome, or with their Pontiff. Hadrian was at Viterbo with the leaders of his party, the Frangipani, and Peter the prefect of the city. He sent forward an embassy of three cardinals, S. John and St. Paul, S. Pudenziana, S. Maria in Portico, who met Frederick at San Quirico. Among the first articles which the Pope enforced on the Emperor as the price of his coronation was the surrender of Arnold of Brescia into his hands. The Emperor and the Pope were united by the bonds of common interest and common dread and hatred of republicanism. Hadrian wanted the aid of Frederick to suppress the still powerful and now rallying faction in Rome. Frederick received the Imperial crown

<sup>n</sup> April 17, 1155. Muratori, sub ann. <sup>o</sup> Gunther, iii. ; Otho Freisingen, ii. 20.

<sup>\*</sup> Von Raumer, p. 18; Geschichte der Hohenstaufen, viii. 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>m</sup> Frederick's first descent into Italy <sup>o</sup> is fully and clearly related by Von 20. Raumer.

### CHAP. VII. EXECUTION OF ARNOLD OF BRESCIA.

from the hands of the Pope to ratify his unlimited sovereignty over the contumacious cities of Lombardy. Arnold of Brescia had struck boldly at both powers; he utterly annulled the temporal supremacy of the Pope; and if he acknowledged, reduced the sovereignty of the Emperor to a barren title.<sup>p</sup> To a man so mer-ciless and contemptuous of human life as Barbarossa, the sacrifice of a turbulent demagogue, guilty of treason alike to the temporal and spiritual power, was a light thing indeed. Arnold had fled from Rome, doubtful and irresolute as to his future course; his splendid dreams had vanished, the faithless soil had crumbled under his feet. In Otricoli he had met Gerhard, Cardinal of St. Nicolas, who took him prisoner. He had been rescued by some one of the viscounts of Campania, his partisans, perhaps nobles, who held papal estates by grant from the republic. By them he was honoured as a prophet.<sup>q</sup> Frederick sent his officers, who seized one of these Campanian nobles and compelled the surrender of Arnold: he was carried to Rome, committed to the custody of Peter, prefect of the city, who held for the Pope the castle of St. Angelo. No time was to be lost. He had been, even, till within a short time, an object of passionate attachment to the people; there might be an insurrection of the people for his rescue. If he were reserved for the arrival of Frederick at Rome, what change might be wrought by his eloquence before the Imperial tribunal, by the offers of his republican friends, by the uncertain policy of Frederick, who might then consider the demagogue an useful control upon the Pope! The Church · took upon itself the summary condemnation, the execution, of the excommunicated rebel. The execution was despatched with such haste, perhaps secrecy, that even at the time various rumours as to the mode and place of punishment were spread abroad. In one point alone all are agreed, that Arnold's ashes, lest the foolish people should worship the martyr of their liberties, were cast into the

P " Nil juris in hac re Pontifici summo, modicum concedere regi Suadebat populo: sic lessä stuluta utrique Majestate, reum geminæ se præbuit anlæ.". Gunther, ill. 383. <sup>9</sup> "Tanquam prophetam in terrâ suâ cum omni honore habebant."—Acta Hadriani in Cod. Vaticano apud Baronium. Tiber.<sup>r</sup> The Church had been wont to call in the temporal sword to shed the blood of man; the capital punishment of Arnold was, by the judgment of the clergy, executed by the officer of the Pope; even some devout churchmen shuddered when they could not deny that the blood of Arnold of Brescia was on the Church.

The sacrifice of human life had been offered, but the treaty which it was to seal between the Emperor and the Pope was delayed by mutual suspicion. Their embassies had led to misunderstanding and jealousy. Hadrian was alarmed at the haughty tone, the hasty movements of Frederick; he could not be ignorant that at the news of his advance to Rome the republicans had rallied and sent proposals to the Emperor; he could not but conjecture the daring nature of those propositions. He would not . trust himself in the power of Frederick; as the German advanced towards Rome Hadrian continued to retire. Romansmeet The deputation from the Roman republic encountered Barbarossa on the Roman side of Sutri. Frederick. Their lofty language showed how deeply and completely they were intoxicated with the doctrines of Arnold: they seemed fondly to hope that they should find in Frederick a more powerful Arnold of Brescia; that by some scanty concessions of title and honour they should hardly yield up their independence upon the Empire and secure en-

Adpensusque cruici, flammåque cremante solutus In cineres, Tiberine, tuas est sparsus in undas. Ne stolidæ plebis, quem fecerat, improbus error, Martyris ossa novo cineresve foveret honore." *Guuther*. Anselm of Gemblours and Godfrey of Viterbo say that he was hanged. Gunther may mean by his crux a simple gallows: "Strangulat hunc laqueus, ignis et unda vehunt." But the most remarkable account is that of Gerohus de Investigatione Antichristi (on Gerohus see Fabricius, Bibliotheca Lat. Med. Ætat. iii. p. 47): "Arnoldus pro doctrinâ suâ non solum ab ecclesiâ Dei anathematis mucrone separatus insuper etiam suspendio neci traditus atque in Tyberim projectus est, ne videlicet Romanus populus, quem suâ doctrinâ illexerat, sibi eum martyrem dedicaret. Quem ego vellem pro tali doctrinâ suâ, quamvis pravâ, vel exilio, vel carcere, aut allâ penâ præter mortem punitum esse, vel saltem taliter occisum, ut Romana Ecclesia seu curia ejus necis quæstione careret." The whole remarkable passage in Franke Arnold von Brescia, p. 193, and Nicolini's Notes, p. 375.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Sismondi, whom Von Raumer has servilely followed, gives a dramatic description of the execution before the Porta del Popolo; of Arnold looking down all the three streets which converge from that gate; of the sleeping people awakened by the tumult of the execution, and the glare of the flames from the pile on which his remains were burned, rising too late to the rescue, and gathering the ashes as relies. All this is pure fiction: neither the Cardinal of Arragon, nor Otho of Freisingen, nor Gunther, nor the wretched verses of Godfrey of Viterbo, have one word of it. Gunther and Otho of Freisingen affix him to a cross, and burn him.

<sup>&</sup>quot; Judicio cleri nostro sub principe victus,

### CHAP. VII. ROMAN EMBASSAGE TO BARBAROSSA.

tirely their independence of the Pope.<sup>s</sup> They congratulated Frederick on his arrival in the neighbourhood of Rome, if he came in peace, and with the intent to deliver them for ever from the degrading voke of the clergy. They ascribed all the old Roman glory, the conquest of the world, to the senate of Rome, of whom they were the representatives; they intimated that it was condescension on their part to bestow the imperial crown on a Transalpine stranger-"" that which is ours of right we grant to thee;" they commanded him to respect their ancient institutions and laws, to protect them against barbarian violence, to pay five thousand pounds of silver to their officers as a largess for their acclamations in the Capitol, to maintain the republic even by bloodshed, to confirm their privileges by a solemn oath and by the Imperial signature. Frederick suppressed for a time his kingly, contemptuous indignation. He condescended in a long harangue to relate the transference of the Roman Empire to Charlemagne and his descendants. At its close he turned fiercely round. "Look at my Teutonic nobles. my banded chivalry. These are the patricians, these are the true Romans: this is the senate invested in perpetual authority. To what laws do you presume to appeal but those which I shall be pleased to enact? Your only liberty is to render allegiance to your sovereign."

The crest-fallen republicans withdrew in brooding indignation and wounded pride to the city. It was now the turn of Hadrian to ascertain what reception he would meet with from the Emperor. From Nepi Hadrian rode to the camp of Frederick in the territory of Sutri. He was met with courteous respect by some of the German nobles and escorted towards the royal tent. But he waited in vain for the Emperor to come forth and hold his stirrup as he alighted from his horse.<sup>4</sup> The affrighted cardinals turned back and did not rest till they reached Civita Castellana. The Pope remained with a few attendants and dismounted : then came forth Frederick, bowed to kiss his feet, and offered himself to receive the kiss of peace. The intrepid Pope refused to comply

415

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>•</sup> Otho Freisingen, ii. 22. Gunther, <sup>t</sup> Otho Freisingen, ii. 21. Helmold, iii. 450. i. 80.

till the king should have shown every mark of respect usual from former emperors to his predecessors : he withdrew from before the tent. The dispute lasted the whole following day. Frederick at last allowed himself to be persuaded by the precedents alleged, and went to Nepi, where the Pope had pitched his camp. The Emperor dismounted, held the stirrup of Hadrian, and assisted him to alight." Their common interests soon led at least to outward amity. The coronation of Frederick as June 11. Emperor by the Pope could not but give great weight to his title in the estimation of Christendom, and Hadrian's unruly subjects could only be controlled by the strong hand of the Emperor. By the advice of Hadrian Frederick made a rapid march, took possession June 18. of the Leonine city and the church of St. Peter. The next day he was met on the steps of the church by the Pope, and received the crown from his hands amid Coronation of the acclamations of the army. The Romans on the Emperor. the other side of the Tiber were enraged beyond measure at their total exclusion from all assent or concern in the coronation. They had expected and demanded a great largess; they had not even been admitted as spectators of the pompous ceremony.<sup>x</sup> They met in the Capitol, crossed the bridge, endeavoured to force their passage to St Peter's, and slew a few of the miserable attendants whom they found on their way. But Frederick was too watchful a soldier to be surprised : the Germans met them, slew 1000, took 200 prisoners, whom he released on the interposition of the Pope.

But want of provisions compelled the Emperor to retire with the Pope to Tivoli; there, each in their apparel of state, the Pope celebrated mass and gave the holy Eucharist to the Emperor on St. Peter's day. The inhospitable climate began to make its usual ravages in the

<sup>&</sup>quot; "Imperator-descendit eo viso de equo, et officium stratoris implevit et streugam ipsius tenuit, et tune primo eum ad osculum dominus Papa recepit." --Cod. Ceneii. Carn. apud Muratori, Antiquit, M. A. i. 117. \* The Bishop is seized with a fit of

<sup>\*</sup> The Bishop is seized with a fit of martial enthusiasm, and expresses vividly the German contempt for the Romans,

<sup>&</sup>quot;Cerneres nostros tam immaniter quam audacter Romanos codendo sternere, sternendo codere, ac si dicerent, accipe nune Roma pro auro Arabico Teutonicum ferrum. Hæc est pecunia quam tibi princeps tuus pro tud offert corond. Sie emitur a Francis Imperium."— Otho Freisingen, ii. 22.

German army: Frederick, having achieved his object, after the capture and sacking of Spoleto, and some negotiations with the Byzantine ambassadors, retired beyond the Alps.<sup>y</sup>

Hadrian was thus, if abandoned by the protecting power, relieved from the importunate presence of Hadrian's the Emperor. The rebellious spirit of Rome albance with King of seemed to have been crushed ; the temporal sovereignty restored to the Pope. He began again to bestow kingdoms, and by such gifts to bind to his interests the old allies of the pontificate more immediately at hand<sup>z</sup>--allies, if his Roman subjects should break out into insurrection, if less powerful, more submissive than the Imperialists. Hadrian had at first maintained, he now abandoned, the cause of the barons of Apulia, who were in

arms against the King of Sicily. His first act Jane 9, 1166. had been to excommunicate that king: now, at

Benevento, William received from the hands of the Pope the investiture of the kingdom of Sicily, of the dukedom of Apulia, of the principalities of Capua, Naples, Salerno and Amalfi, and some other territories. William bound himself to fealty to the Pope, to protect him against all his enemies, to pay a certain tribute annually for Apulia and Calabria, and for the March.

The Emperor Frederick had aspired to be as absolute over the whole of Italy as of Germany. Hadrian had even entered into an alliance with him against Sicily; the invasion of that kingdom had only been postponed on account of the state of the Imperial army and the necessary retirement of the Emperor beyond the Alps. In this Sicilian alliance Frederick saw at once treachery, ingratitude, hostility.\* It betrayed a leaning to Italian independence, the growth and confederation with Rome of a power inimical to his own. William of Sicily had overrun the whole kingdom of Apulia; it was again Italian: yet fully occupied by the affairs of Germany, the Emperor's only revenge was an absolute prohibition to all German ecclesiastics to journey to Rome, to receive the confirma-

Von Raumer, Reg., p. 531. \* At St. Germano Oct. 1155) he had received the homage of Robert, Prince Storico, vol. vi. p. 2), p. 16.

<sup>&</sup>quot; He was in Verona early in Sept.- of Capua, and the other princes.-Cardin. Arragon. lor. cit. Marangoni Chronic. Pisan. (Archivio

tion of their ecclesiastical dignities, or on any other affairs. This measure wounded the pride of Rome; it did more, it impoverished her. It cut off a large part of that revenue which she drew from the whole of Christendom. The haughty jealousy betrayed by this arbitrary act was aggravated by a singular incident. Frederick was holding a Diet of more than usual magnificence at Diet at . Besançon. Oct. 24, 1157. Besançon; he was there asserting his sovereignty over another of the kingdoms of Charlemagne, that of Burgundy. From all parts of the world, from Rome, Apulia, Venice, Lombardy, France, England, and Spain, persons were assembled, either for curiosity or for traffic, to behold the pomp of the new Charlemagne, or to profit by the sumptuous expenditure of the Emperor and his superb magnates. The legates of the Pope, Roland the Chancellor, Cardinal of St. Mark, and Bernard Cardinal of St. Clement, presented themselves; they were received with courtesy. The letters which they produced were read and interpreted by the Chancellor of the Empire. Even the opening address to the Emperor was heard with some astonishment. "The Pope and the cardi-Conduct of some astonishment. In Lore you; he as a " " the importance of the Roman Church salute you; he as a " father, they as brothers." The imperious tone of the letter agreed with this beginning. It reproved the Emperor for his culpable negligence in not immediately punishing some of his subjects who had waylaid and imprisoned the Swedish Bishop of Lunden on his journey to Rome; it reminded Frederick of his favourable reception by the Pope in Italy, and that the Pope had bestowed on him the Imperial crown. . "The Pope had not repented of his munificence nor would repent, even if he had bestowed greater favours." The ambiguous word used for favours, "beneficia," was taken in its feudal sense by the fierce and ignorant nobles. They supposed it meant that the Empire was held as a fief from the Pope. Those who had been at Rome remembered the arrogant lines which had been placed under the picture of the Emperor Lothair at the feet of the Pope, doing homage to him as his vassal.<sup>b</sup> Indignant murmurs broke from the assembly;

> b « Rex venit ante fores, jurans prius urbis honores, Post homo fit Papæ, sumit quo dante coronam."

the strife was exasperated by the words of the dauntless Cardinal Roland, "Of whom, then, does he hold the Empire but of our Lord the Pope?" The Count Palatine, Otho of Wittlesbach, drew his sword to cut down the audacious ecclesiastic. The authority of Frederick with difficulty appeased the tumult and saved the lives of the legates. Frederick, in a public manifesto, appealed to the Empire against the insolent pretensions of the Pope.° He accused Hadrian of wantonly stirring up hostility between the Church and the Empire. His address asserted (no doubt to bind the Transalpine clergy to his cause) that blank billets had been found on the legates empowering them to despoil the churches of the Empire and to carry away their treasures, even their sacred vessels and crosses, to Rome.<sup>d</sup> He issued an edict prohibiting the clergy from all access to the apostolic see, and gave instructions that the frontiers should be carefully watched lest any of them should find their way to Rome. Hadrian published an address to the bishops of the Empire, bitterly complaining of the blasphemies uttered by the Chancellor Rainald and the Count Palatine against the legates, of the harsh proceedings of the Emperor, but without disclaiming the ambiguous sense of the offensive word; he claimed their loyal support for the successor of St. Peter and the holy Roman Church. But the bishops had now for the most part become German princes rather than papal churchmen. They boldly declared, or at least assented to the Emperor's declaration of the supremacy of the Empire over the Church, demanded that the offensive picture of Lothair doing homage to the Pope should be effaced, the insulting verses obliterated." They even hinted their disapprobation of Hadrian's treaty with the

<sup>c</sup> Radevic. i. 8, 10. Gunther, vi. 800. Concil. sub ann. 1157,

So taunted Frederick the ambition of

the Pope. <sup>d</sup>. " Porro quia multa paria litterarum apud eos reperta sunt, et schedulæ sigillatæ ad arbitrium eorum adhuc scribendæ (sicut hactenus consuetudinis eorum fuit) per singulas ecclesias Teu-

tonici regni conceptum iniquitatis suæ virus respergere, altaria denudare, vasa domûs Dei asportare, cruces excoriare nitebantur." This charge appears in the Rescript of Frederick in Radevicus. If untrue, it boldly calculated on as much ignorance in his clergy, as had been shewn by the laity. But what was the ground of the charge? Some taxa-tion, ordinary or extraordinary, of the clergy?--Radevic. Chron. apud Pistorium, i. 10.

e Radevic, ii. 31.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Jam non ferre crucem domini, sed tradere regna

Gaudet, et Augustus mavult quam præsul haberi."-Gunther,

King of Sicily, and in respectful but firm language entreated the Pope to assume a more gentle and becoming tone.

The triumphant progress of Frederick's ambassadors, Rainald the Chancellor of the Empire and Otho Palatine of Bavaria, through Northern Italy, with the formidable preparations for the Emperor's own descent during the next year, had no doubt more effect in bringing back the Pope to more seemly conduct. In the camp at Augsburg appeared the new legates, the Cardinal of St. Nireus and Achillas, and the Cardinal Hyacinth (who had been seized, plundered, and imprisoned by some petty chieftains in the Tyrol). They had authority to explain Explanations away the doubtful terms, to disclaim all pretenof Hadrian. Jan. 29, 1158. sions on the part of the Pope to consider the Empire a benefice of the Church, or to make a grant of the Empire. Frederick accepted the overtures, and an outward reconciliation took place.

The next year Frederick descended for the second time into Italy. Never had so powerful a Teutonic army, not even in his first campaign, crossed the Alps. The several roads were choked by the contingents from every part of the Empire; all Germany seemed to be discharging itself upon the plains of Italy. The Dukes of Austria and Carinthia descended the pass of Friuli; Duke Frederick of Swabia, the Emperor's nephew, by Chiavenna and the Lake of Como; Duke Bernard of Zahringen by the Great St. Bernard; the Emperor himself marched down the valley of Trent. At first his successes and his cruelties July, 1158. carried all before him. He enforced the submission of Milan; the haughty manner in which he asserted the Imperial rights, the vast army with which he enforced those rights, the merciless severity with which he visited all treasonable resistance, seemed to threaten the ruin of all which remained either of the temporal or spiritual independence of Italy.<sup>f</sup> He seemed determined, he avowed his determination, to rule the clergy like all the rest of his subjects; to compel their homage for all their temporal possessions; to exact all the Imperial dues, to be, in fact

<sup>t</sup> Radevic. i. 26. Gunther, vii. 220. Almost all the German chronicles.

CHAP. VII. JEALOUSY BETWEEN POPE AND EMPEROR. 421

as well as in theory, their feudal sovereign. He enforced the award already made of the inheritance of the Countess Matilda to his uncle Guelf VI. of Bavaria.

Slight indications betrayed the growing jealousy and alienation of the Emperor and the Pope. These Jealousy of two august sovereigns seemed to take delight in Pope. galling each other by petty insults, but each of these insults had a deeper significance.<sup>g</sup> Guido, of a noble German house, the Counts of Blandrada, was elected, if through the imperial interests yet according to canonical forms, to the Archiepiscopate of Ravenna, once the rival, Nov. 24. now next to Rome in wealth and state. Guido 1158. was subdeacon of the Roman Church, and Hadrian refused to permit the translation, under the courteous pretext that he could not part with so beloved a friend, whose promotion in the Church of Rome was his dearest object. Hadrian soon after sent a letter to the Emperor, couched in moderate language, but complaining with bland bitterness of disrespect shown to his legates; of the insolence of the imperial troops, who gathered forage in the Papal territories and insulted the castles of the Pope; of the exaction of the same homage from bishops and abbots as from the cities and nobles of Italy. This letter was sent by a common, it was said a ragged messenger, who disappeared without waiting for an answer. The Emperor revenged himself by placing his own name in his reply before that of the Pope, and by addressing him Letter of in the familiar singular instead of the respectful Hadrian. plural, a style which the Popes had assumed in addressing the Emperor, and which Frederick declared to be an usurpation on their part.<sup>h</sup> Hadrian's next letter June 24. "The showed how deep the wound had sunk. law of God promises long life to those who honour, threatens death to those who speak evil of their father and their mother. He that exalteth himself shall be abased. My son in the Lord, (such is the endearing name which Hadrian uses to convict the Emperor of a breach of the divine commandment,) we wonder at your irreverence. This mode of address incurs the guilt of insolence, if not

<sup>#</sup> Radevic. ii. 15, 20. Gunther, ix. 115.

<sup>b</sup> Appendix ad Radev. 562.

of arrogance. What shall I say of the fealty sworn to St. Peter and to us? How dost thou shew it? Bv demanding homage of bishops, who are God's, and the Saints of the Most High; thou that makest them place their consecrated hands in yours ! Thou that closest not merely the churches, but the cities of thy empire against our legates! We warn thee to be prudent. If thou hast deserved to be consecrated and crowned by our hands, by seeking more than we have granted, thou mayest forfeit that which we have condescended to grant." This was not language to soften a temper like Frederick's: his rejoinder rises to scorn and defiance. He reminds the Pope of the humble relation of Silvester to Constantine; all Answer of Frederick. that the Popes possess is of the gracious liberality A.D. 1159. of the Emperors. He reverts to higher authority, and significantly alludes to the tribute paid by our Lord himself, through St. Peter, to Cæsar. "The churches are closed, the city gates will not open to the Cardinals, because they are not preachers, but robbers; not peacemakers, but plunderers; not the restorers of the world, but greedy rakers up of gold.<sup>i</sup> When we shall see them, as the Church enjoins, bringing peace, enlightening the land, maintaining the cause of the lowly in justice, we shall not hesitate to provide them with fitting entertainment and allowances."---"We cannot but return such answer when we find that detestable monster 'pride' to have crept up to the very chair of St. Peter. As ye are for peace, so may ye prosper." k

Some of the German bishops, especially Eberhard of Bamberg, endeavoured to mediate and avert the threatened conflict. The Emperor consented to receive four Cardinals. They brought a pacific proposition, but accompanied with demands which amounted to hardly less than the unqualified surrender of the Imperial rights. I. The first involved the Terms pro-posed by the absolute dominion of the city of Rome. Pope. Emperor was to send no officer to got in his The Emperor was to send no officer to act in his name

i "Quod non videmus eos prædi-catores sed prædatores, non pacis corroboratores sed pecuniæ raptores, non orbis reparatores sed auri insatiabiles corrasores."—Append. Radevic. \* " Non enim non possumus respon-

dere auditis, cum superbiæ detestabilem bestiam usque ad sedem Petri reptasse videmus. Paci bene consulentes bene semper valete,"--Apud Baronium, sub ann. 1159.

CHAP. VII. POPE'S DEMANDS-ANSWER OF EMPEROR.

 $423 \cdot$ 

within the city without permission of the Pope; the whole magistracy of the city and all the royalties being the property of the Apostolic See. II. No forage was to be levied in the Papal territories, excepting on occasion of the Emperor's coronation. His armies were thus prohibited from crossing the Papal frontier. III. The Bishops of Italy were to swear allegiance, but not do homage to the Emperor. IV. The ambassadors of the Emperor were not to be lodged of right in the episcopal palaces. V. The possessions of the Church of Rome to be restored, and the Emperor to pay tribute for Ferrara, Massa, Ficoloro; the whole domains of the Countess Matilda, the territory from Acquapendente to Rome, the Duchy of Spoleto, and the islands of Corsica and Sardinia.

Frederick commanded his temper: such grave matters, he said, required the advice of his wisest counsellors; but on some points he would answer at once. He would require no homage of the bishops if they would give up the fiefs which they held of the Empire. If they chose to listen to the Pope when he demanded what they had to do with the Emperor, they must submit to the commands of the Emperor, or what had they to do with the estates of the Empire? He would not require that his ambassadors should be lodged in the episcopal palaces when those palaces stood on their own lands; if they stood on the lands of the Empire, they were imperial, not episcopal palaces. "For the city of Rome, by the grace of God I am Emperor of Rome: if Rome be entirely withdrawn from my authority, the Empire is an idle name, the mockery of a title." Nor were these the only subjects of altercation. The Emperor complained of the intrusion of the Papal legates into the Empire without his permission, the abuse of appeals, the treaties of the Pope with the Greek Empire and with the King of Sicily; above all, his clandestine dealings with the insurgents, now in arms in Lombardy. He significantly intimated that if he could not make terms with the Pope, he might with the Senate and people of Rome.

Peace became more hopeless. As a last resource, six Cardinals on the part of the Pope, and six German Bishops

on that of the Emperor, were appointed to frame a treaty. But the Pope demanded the re-establishment of the compact made with his predecessor Eugenius. The Imperial Bishops reproached the Pope with his own violation of that treaty by his alliance with the King of Sicily; the Germans unanimously rejected the demands of the Pope: and now the Emperor received with favour a Firmness of Hadrian. deputation from the Senate and people of Rome. These ambassadors of the Republican party had watched, had been present at the rupture of the negotiations.<sup>m</sup> The Pope, with the embers of Arnold's rebellion smouldering under his feet; with the Emperor at the head of all Germany, the prelates as well as the princes; with no ally but the doubtful, often perfidious Norman; stood unshaken, betrayed no misgivings. To the Emperor no reply from the Pope appears; but to "the Archbishops of Treves, Mentz, and Cologne, was sent, or had before been sent, an invective against the Emperor, almost unequalled in scorn, defiance, and unmeasured assertion of superiority. There is no odious name in the Old Testament-Rabshakeh, Achitophel-which is not applied to Frederick. "Glory be to God in the highest, that ye are found tried and faithful (he seems to reckon on their disloyalty to Frederick), while these flies of Pharaoh, which swarmed up from the bottom of the abyss, and, driven about by the whirling winds while they strive to darken the sun, are turned to the dust of the earth." He threatens the Emperor with a public excommunication: "And take ye heed that ye be not involved in the sins of Jeroboam, who made Israel to sin; and behold a worse than Jeroboam is here. Was not the Empire transferred by the Popes from the Greeks to the Teutons? the King of the Teutons is not Emperor before he is consecrated by the Pope. Before his consecration he is but King; after it Emperor and Augustus. From whence, then, the Empire but from us? Remember what were these Teutonic Kings before Zacharias gave his benediction to Charles, the second of that

m "Præsentes ibidem fuere Roma- erant."--Epist. Eberhard Bamberg, ap. norum civum legati; qui cum indigna- Radevicum, ii. 31. tione mirabantur super his quæ audi-

name, who were drawn in a waggon by oxen, like philosophers!<sup>n</sup> Glorious kings, who dwelt, like the chiefs of synagogues, in these waggons, while the Mayor of the Palace administered the affairs of the Empire. Zacharias I. promoted Charles to the Empire, and gave him a name great above all names. . . . That which we have bestowed on the faithful German we may take away from the disloval German. Behold it is in our power to grant to whom we will. For this reason are we placed above nations and kingdoms, that we may destroy and pluck up, build and plant. So great is the power of Peter, that whatsoever is done by us worthily and rightfully must be believed to be done by God !"°

Did the bold sagacity of Hadrian foresee the heroic resolution with which Milan and her confederate Lombard cities would many years afterwards, and after some dire reverses and long oppression, resist the power of Barbarossa? Did he calculate with prophetic foresight the strength of Lombard republican freedom? Did he anticipate the field of Legnano, when the whole force of the Teutonic Empire was broken before the carroccio of Milan? Already was the secret treaty framed with Milan, Brescia, and Crema. These cities bound themselves not to make peace with the Emperor without the consent of the Pope and his Catholic successor. Hadrian was preparing for the last act of defiance, the open declaration of war, the excommunication of the Emperor, which he was pledged to pronounce after the signature of the treaty with the Republics, when his death put an end to this strange conflict, where each antagonist was allied with a republican party in the heart of his adversary's dominions. Hadrian IV. died at Anagni: his remains were brought to Rome. and interred with the highest honours, and with the general

philosophi circumferebantur."

 " Qui in carpento boum, sicut to a letter of Archbishop Hillin of Treves (published before in Hontheim, Hist.
 ° Hahn. Monumenta, i. p. 122. The Trev. i. 581). Possibly I may have misate is March 19, 1159, from the La-placed it.--Pertz, Archiv.iv. pp. 428-434. Boehmer seems to receive it as authentic, but as belonging to a period in which Frederick Barbarossa actually contemplated throwing off the Roman supremacy .--- Preface to Regesta, p. vii.

<sup>°</sup> Hahn. Monumenta, i. p. 122. The date is March 19, 1159, from the Lateran palace. The date may be wrong, yet the bull authentic. Jaffé, I must observe, rejects it as spurious. This in-vective is reprinted in Pertz from a MS. formerly belonging to the Abbey of Malmedy. It appears there as an answer

BOOK VIH.

respect if not the grief of the city, in the Church of St. Peter. Even the ambassadors of Frederick were present at the funeral. So ended the poor English scholar, at open war with perhaps the mightiest sovereign who had reigned in Transalpine Europe since Charlemagne.<sup>P</sup>

<sup>Φ</sup> Radev, apid Muratori, Parsii, p. 83. John of Salisbury reports another very curious conversation which he held with Hadrian IV, during a visit of three months at Benevento. John spoke strongly on the venality of Rome, and urged the popular saying, that Rome was not the mother but the stepmother of the churches; the sale of justice, purchase of preferments, and other abuses. "Ipse Romanus Pontifex omnibus gravis et

pome intolerabilis est?" The Pope smiled: "And what do you think?" John spoke handsomely of some of the Roman clergy as inaccessible to bribery, acknowledged the difficulty of the Pope in dealing with his Roman subjects, "dum frenas alios, et in gravius opprimeris." The Pope concluded with the old fable of the belly and members.—Polycraticus, vi. 24.

## CHAPTER VIII.

## ALEXANDER III.-VICTOR IV.-THOMAS À BECKET.

THE whole conclave must have had the determined courage of Hadrian to concur in the election of a Pope: a Double. election. schism was inevitable; a schism now the natural defence of the Empire against the Papacy, as a rebellion in Germany or Italy, that of the Papacy against the Empire. On one side were the zealous churchmen, who would hazard all for the supremacy of the spiritual power, those who thought the Sicilian alliance the safer and more legitimate policy of the See of Rome: in Rome itself a faction of nobles, headed by the Frangipani, who maintained the papal authority in the city. On the other side were those who were attached to, or who dreaded the power of Barbarossa; the republican, or Arnoldine party in Rome; a few perhaps who loved peace, and thought it the best wisdom of the church to conciliate the Emperor. The conflicting accounts of the proceedings in the conclave were made public, on one side by the Pope, on the other by the Cardinals of the opposite faction,<sup>a</sup> and compel the inevitable conclusion that the passions of each party had effaced either all perception, or all respect for truth. Alexander III. is more minute and particular in his appeal to universal Christendom on the justice of his election. On the third day of debate fourteen of the Cardinals agreed in the choice of himself, Roland, the Cardinal of St. Mark. the chancellor of the Apostolic See, one of those legates who had shown so much audacity, and confronted so much peril at the Diet at Besancon. The cope was brought forth in which he was to be invested. Conscious of his insufficiency for this great post, he struggled against it with the usual modest reluctance. Three only of the Car-dinals, Octavian of St. Cecilia, John of St. Martin, and Guido of Crema, Cardinal of St. Callisto, were of the

<sup>a</sup> Both of these documents are in Radevicus.

adverse faction, in close league with the imperial ambassadors, Otho Count Palatine,<sup>b</sup> and Guido Count of Blandrada. Octavian, prompted it is said by that ambassador, cried aloud he must not be compelled, and plucked the cope from his shoulders. The two others, the Cardinals Guido and of St. Martin, declared Octavian Pope; but a Roman senator who was present (the conclave then was an open court), indignant at his violence, seized the cope, and snatched it from the hand of Octavian. But Octavian's party were prepared for such an accident. His chaplain had another cope ready, in which he was invested with such indecent haste that, as it was declared, by a manifest divine judgment, the front part appeared behind, the hinder part before. At this the assembly burst into derisive laughter. At that instant the gates, which had been closed, were forcibly broken open, a hired soldiery rushed in with drawn swords, and surrounding Octavian carried him forth in state. Roland (Alexander III.) and the cardinals of his faction were glad to escape with their lives, but reached a stronghold fortified and garrisoned for their reception near St. Peter's,° and for nine days they lay concealed and in security from their enemies. Octavian, in the mean time, assumed the name of Victor IV.: he was acknowledged as lawful Pope by a great part of the senators and people. The Frangipani then rallied the adverse party; Alexander was rescued from his imprisonment or blockade.

On the other side, Victor, and the Cardinals of his faction, thus relate the proceedings of the election. The Cardinals, when they entered the conclave, solemnly pledged themselves to proceed with calm deliberation, to ascertain the opinion of each with grave impartiality, not to proceed to the election without the general assent of all. But in a secret synod held at Anagni, during the lifetime of Hadrian, the anti-imperialist Cardinals, who had urged the Pope to excommunicate Frederick, had taken an oath to elect one of their own party. This conspiracy was or-

<sup>b</sup> This must have been the Otho who threatened to cut down the insolent Cardinal Reland at Besançon, Gaido of Blandrada, the Emperor's favourite, whom Hadrian had refused to elevate to the archiepiscopate of Ravenna.—

Epistola Canonic. apud Radevic., Otho Morena, Raoul de Reb. Ges. Frederic, Tristan Calchi.

<sup>•</sup> It was called the "munitio ecclesiæ Sancti Petri."

ganised and maintained by the gold of William of Sicily. In direct infringement of the solemn compact, made before the commencement of the proceedings, they had suddenly by acclamation attempted to force the election of the Cardinal Roland. The division was of nine to fourteen; they acknowledge themselves to have been the minority in numbers, but of course a minority of the wisest and best. While thus the nine protested against the violation of the agreement that the election was to be by general assent, the fourteen proceeded to invest Roland of Sienna. The nine then, at the petition of the Roman people, by the election of the whole clergy, the assent of almost all the senators, and of all the captains, barons, and nobles, both within and without the city, invested Victor IV, with the insignia of the popedom.

Rome was no safe place for either Pope; each faction had its armed force, its wild and furious rabble. As Victor advanced to storm the stronghold near St. Peter's, occupied by his rival, he was hooted by the adverse mob: boys and women shouted and shrieked, called him by opprobrious names, "heretic, blasphemer!" sung opprobrious verses, taunted him with the name of Octavian, so infamous in the history of the Popes; a pasquinade was devised for the occasion in Latin verse.<sup>a</sup> On the eleventh day appeared Otho Frangipani, and a party of the nobles, dispersed the forces of Victor, opened the gates of the stronghold, and led forth Alexander amid the acclamations of his partisans, but hurried him hastily away through the gates of the city.

Neither indeed of the rival Popes could venture on his consecration in Rome. Alexander was clad in Sept. 24. the papal mantle at a place called the Cistern of Nero; consecrated by the Bishop of Ostia at Nimfa,

d "Clamabant pueri contra ipsum ecclesiæ invasorem, dicentes, Maledicte; fili maledicti ! dismanta, non eris Papa, non eris Papa i Alexandrum volumus, quem Deus elegit. Mulieres quoque blas-phemantes ipsum hæreticum et eadem verba ingeminabant; et alia derisoria verba decantabant. Accedens autem Brito quidam audacter dixit hæc metrice :

Quid facis, insane, patriæ mors, Octaviane Cur præsumpsisti tunicam dividere Christi ? Jam jam pulvis eris, modo vivis, cras morieris.

-Vit. ii. apud Muratori : S. R. I. iii. i. p. 419. Compare the Acta Vaticana apud Baronium. Victor is there called Smanta compagnum-I presume from the plucking the stole from the shoulders of Alexander.

• This was not lost on the Victorians; the Cistern of Nero was the place to which Nero had fled from the pursuing Romans; a fit place for people to hew themselves "cisterns which could not hold water." "Undecimo (die) exierunt (a Româ) et pervenerunt ad Cis-

429

430

towards the Apulian frontier; Victor by the Cardinal Bishop of Tusculum and the Bishops of Nimfa

Oct. 4. and Ferentino, who had deserted the opposite party, in the monastery of Farsa.

The Emperor was besieging the city of Crema, when he received the intimation of this election from each

Schism. of the rival Popes. He assumed the language of an impartial arbitrator: he summoned a council of all Christendom to meet at Pavia, and cited both the Popes to submit their claims to its decision. The summons to Alexander was addressed to the Cardinal Roland, the chancellor of the see of Rome.<sup>f</sup> Alexander refused to receive a mandate thus addressed, he protested against the right of the Emperor to summon a council without the permission of the Pope, nor would the Pope condescend to appear in the court of the Emperor to hear the sentence of an usurping tribunal. Victor, already sure of the favourable judgment, appeared with attestations of his lawful election from the Canons of St. Peter, and a great body of the clergy of Rome. The points which the party of Victor urged were, that Cardinal Roland had never been invested, according to his own admission, with the papal cope; the consent or rather the initiative of the whole clergy and people of Rome to the election of Octavian; the appearance of Roland after the election without the insignia of the Pope. The argument afterwards urged by the Emperor, was the disqualification of the Cardinals. on account of their conspiracy, their premature election at Anagni during the lifetime of Hadrian. Neither Alexander, nor any one with authority to defend the cause of Alexander, appeared in the court. William of Pavia was silent.<sup>g</sup> The Council, after a grave debate and hearing of

ternam Neronis in qua latuit Nero fu- the other that he was executus, not congiens Romanos insequentes. Juste Cis-ternam adierunt, quia deliquerunt fontem aquæ vivæ, et foderunt sibi cisternas, cisternas dissipatas, quæ con-tinere non valent aquas. Et ibi die altero qui duodecimus erat ab electione domini Victoris induerunt cancellariam tionem et confusionem ecclesiæ, ibique primum cantaverunt; Te Deum lau-damus."—Epist. Canon, S. Petri, apud Radevic. ii, 31. Each party avers of ii. 21.

secratus.

According to the somewhat doubt-ful authority of John of Salisbury (Epist. 69), the Emperor's letter was addressed to Alexander as to Cardinal Roland, Chancellor of the Roman See, to Victor as Pontiff.

CHAP. VIII.

many witnesses (the Emperor had withdrawn to leave at least seeming freedom to the ecclesiastics), octave of the with one accord declared Victor Pope, condemned and excommunicated the contumacious Cardinal of Sienna. To Victor the Emperor paid the customary. honours, held his stirrup and kissed his feet.<sup>h</sup> .Feb. 10. Victor of course issued his excommunication of Feb. 11. the Cardinal Roland. There was a secret cause behind, which no doubt strongly worked on the Emperor, through the Emperor on the council: letters of Alexander to the insurgent Lombard cities had been seized, and were in the hands of the Emperor.

The Archbishop of Cologne set out for France, the Bishop of Mantua to England, the Bishop of Prague to Hungary, to announce the decision of the Council to Christendom, and to demand or persuade allegiance to Pope Victor.

Alexander did not shrink from the contest. At Anagni he issued his excommunication against the March 24. Emperor Frederick, the Anti-pope, and all his adherents.<sup>i</sup> He despatched his legates to all the kingdoms of Europe. His title was sooner or later acknowledged by France, Spain, England, Constantinople, Sicily, and Jerusalem, by the Cistercian and Carthusian monks. He struck a more formidable blow against Frederick, now deeply involved in his mortal strife with the Lombard republic. His legate, the Cardinal John, found his way into Milan, and there in the presence and with the sanction of the martial Archbishop Uberto (the Archbishop had commanded on more than one occasion the cavalry of Milan), he published the excommunication of Octavian the Anti-pope, and Frederick the Emperor. A few days after, the same ban was pronounced against the Bishops of Mantua and Lodi, and the consuls of all the cities in league with the Emperor.<sup>k</sup>

Thus the two Popes divided the allegiance of Christendom. France, Spain, England asserted Alexander. A council at Toulouse, representing France and England,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>h</sup> Muratori is provoked by this schism *fetenti* piedi."—Sub ann. from his usual calmness. "Rendè pos-cia Federigo a questo idolo tutti gli <sup>l</sup> Epist. Eberhardo Archep. Saltz-onori, con tenergli la staffa, e baciarli i burg, April 1.

had rejected the decision of the council of Pavia.<sup>m</sup> The Empire, Hungary, Bohemia, Norway, Sweden, submitted to Victor. Italy was divided : wherever the authority of the Emperor prevailed, Victor was recognised as the successor of St. Peter; wherever it was opposed, Alexander. Sicily and southern Italy were of Alexander's party. Each, Alexander at Anagni, Victor in Northern Italy, had uttered the last sentence of spiritual condemnation against his antagonist. From Anagni, knowing that Frederick dared june 16-26. Not withdraw any strong force from the North of

Italy, Alexander made a descent upon Rome, in order to add to the dignity of his cause by his possession of the capital city. He celebrated mass in the Lateran Church, and at Santa Maria Maggiore. But Rome, which would hardly endure the power of a Pope with undisputed authority, was no safe residence for one with a contested title. The turbulence of the people, the intrigues of the Antipope, the neighbourhood of some of the Germans in the fortresses around (all the patrimony of St. Peter but Civita Vecchia, Anagni, and Terracina was in their power),<sup>n</sup> the uncertainty of support from Sicily, which was now threatened with civil war, the humiliation of Milan, induced him to seek refuge in France. Leaving a representative of his authority, Julius, the Cardinal of St. John, he embarked on board a Sicilian fleet; Villani, Archbishop of Imperialist Pisa, had met him at Terracina in his galley.º after some danger, touching at Leghorn, Porto Venere, conveyed him to Piombino, and rendered him the highest honours: from thence he reached Genoa; and having remained there a short time, landed on the coast of France, near Montpellier.<sup>p</sup> He was received everywhere with demonstrations of the utmost respect. Notwithstanding some threatening appearances, notwithstanding a suspicious agreement, to which Louis had been betrayed, or had weakly consented, to meet the Emperor Frederick at Lannes in Burgundy, each with his Pope, to decide the great controversy, or with the design of raising a third

<sup>n</sup> Vit. Alexand. 111.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>m</sup> Pope Alexander, knowing his ground, condescended to appear by his representatives at this Council, though summoned by the kings of France and England.

º Marangoni, Cronica Pisana, p. 26.

P He disembarked near Montpellier, April, 1162; re-embarked at the same place, September, 1165.

Pope, an agreement which, neither being in earnest, each eluded with no great respect for veracity.<sup>4</sup> The soon after Christmas, rival kings of France and England seemed to 1161. forget their differences to pay him honour. He was met by both at Courcy on the Loire ; the two kings walked Feb. 9, 1162. on either side of his horse, holding his bridle, and April 8. so conducted him into the town. There for above three years he dwelt, maintaining the state, and performing all the functions of a Pope in every part of Europe which acknowledged his sway, During his absence Frederick and Frederick's Pope seemed at first to be establishing their power beyond all chance of resistance throughout Italy. Milan fell,<sup>r</sup> and suffered the terrible vengeance of March 26. the Emperor; her walls were razed, her citizens dispersed. Sicily was a prey to civil factions, and it might seem to depend on the leisure or the caprice of Frederick, how soon he would subjugate the rest of Italy to his iron and absolute tyranny. But dark reverses were to come. Two years after the departure of Alexander to Death of France, the Anti-pope Victor died at Lucca. Victor IV. Guido of Crema was chosen, it was said by one <sup>1164</sup>. Paschal III. Cardinal only, but by a large body of Lombard April 22. clergy, and took the name of Paschal III.

At this period the whole mind of Christendom was drawn away and absorbed by a contest in a Thomas a remoter province of the Christian world, which Becket. for a time obscured, at least among the more religious, and all who were enthralled to the popular and dominant religion, in truth, the larger part of Europe, the wars of monarchy and republicanism in Northern Italy, and the strife of Pope and Anti-pope. Neither Alexander III. nor Paschal III. in their own day occupied to such an extent

<sup>9</sup> The whole account of this affair, in which appears the consummate weakness of Louis of France, at his first interview the slave of Alexander, and the adroit pliancy mingled with firmness of Pope Alexander, is in the Hist. Veziliensis (apud Duchesne, and in Guizot's Collection des Mémoires, vol. vii.) compared with Vit. Alexandri, apud Muratori. See Reuter, Geschichte Alexander III., Berlin. The Protestant biographer is a thorough-going partisan of the sub-

VOL. III.

ject of his biography—almost as much overawed as the convert Hurter by Innocent III.—and almost as high a Hildebrandine. He seems to me to estimate the character of Alexander, even from that point of view, much too highly.

\* In the plunder of Milan the reliques of the three kings fell to the share of the Archbishop of Cologne: that city has ever since boasted of the holy spoil. —Otto de Sanct. Blas. cxvi.

2 F

the thoughts of the clergy and the laity throughout Christendom; the church has scarcely a Saint so speedily canonised after his death, so widely or so fervently worshipped, as Thomas Becket, Archbishop of Canterbury. Nor was it only the personal character of the antagonists, or the circumstances of the strife, it was the great principle involved, comprehending as it did the whole authority and sanctity of the sacerdotal order, which gave this commanding interest to the new war between the spiritual and temporal powers. It was in England that this war was waged; on its event depended to a great degree the maintenance of the hierarchy, as a separate and privileged caste of mankind, subject to its own jurisdiction, and irresponsible but to its own superiors.

Our history, therefore, enters at length into this contest, not from pardonable nationality over-estimating its importance, but in the conviction that it is a chapter in the annals of Christianity indispensable to its completeness, general in its interest, and beyond almost all others characteristic of its age. Nor is it insulated from the common affairs of Latin Christendom. Throughout, the history of Becket is in the closest connection with that of Pope Alexander, and that of the Emperor Frederick and his Anti-pope. If not the fate of Becket, his support by Alexander III. depends on the variable fortunes of the Pope. While Alexander is in France (in which Henry of England had a wider dominion than the King of France) Becket is somewhat coldly urged to prudence and moderation. Still more when Alexander is returned to Italy. Then Becket's cause rises and falls with the Pope's prosperous or adverse fortunes: it depends on the predominance or the weakness of the Imperial power. The gold of England is the When Frederick is in the strength of Alexander. ascendant, and Henry threatens to withhold those supplies which maintain the Papal armies in the South, or the Papal interests in Milan and the Lombard cities; or when Henry threatens to fall off to the Anti-pope; Becket is well-nigh abandoned. Becket himself cannot disguise his indignation at the tergiversation of the Pope, the venality of the College of Cardinals. No sooner is Frederick's power on the wane; no sooner has he suffered some of those fatal

disasters which smote his authority, than Becket raises the song of triumph. He knows that Pope Alexander will now dare to support him to the utmost.

The Norman conquest of England was as total a revolution in the Church of the island as in the civil government and social condition. The Anglo-Saxon clergy, since the days of Dunstan, had produced no remarkable The triumph of monasticism had enfeebled without man. sanctifying the secular clergy; it had spread over the island all its superstition, its thraldom of the mind, its reckless prodigality of lands and riches to pious uses, without its vigour, its learning, its industrial civilisation. Like its faithful disciple, its humble acolyte, its munificent patron, Edward the Confessor, it might conceal much gentle and amiable goodness; but its outward character was that of timid and unworldly ignorance, unfit to rule, and exercising but feeble and unbeneficial influence over a population become at once more rude and fierce, and more oppressed and servile, by the Danish conquest. Its ignorance may have been exaggerated. Though it may have been true that hardly a priest from Trent to Thames understood Latin, that the services of the Church, performed by men utterly unacquainted with the ecclesiastical language, must have lost all solemnity; yet the Anglo-Saxons possessed a large store of vernacular Christian literature-poems, homilies, legends. They had begun to form an independent Teutonic Christianity. Equally wonderful was the multitude of their kings who had taken the cowl, or on their thrones lived a monastic life and remained masters of wealth only to bestow it on the poor and on monasteries; the multitude of saints (no town was without its saint) was so numerous as to surpass all power of memory to retain them, and wanted writers to record them.<sup>s</sup>

The Normans were not only the foremost nation in arms. in personal strength, valour, enterprise, perseverance, and all the greater qualities of a military aristocracy: by a

• "De régibus dico qui pro ampli-tudine potestatis licenter indulgere voluptatibus possent; quorum quidam in patriâ, quidem Romæ, mutato habitu, cœleste lucrati sunt regnum, beatum entitis comparative di la co

nacti commercium: multi specie tenus, etiam periit memoria, pro scriptorum totà vità mundum amplexi; ut thesauros inopià."—Will. Malmes. p. 417, edit. egenis effunderent, monasteriis divide- Hist. Soc.

2 + 2

## LATIN CHRISTIANITY.

BOOK VIII.

singular accident, it might be called, they possessed a seminary of the most learned and able churchmen. The martial, ambitious, unlearned Odo of Bayeux was no doubt the type of many of the Norman prelates; of some of those on whom the Conqueror, when he built up his great system of ecclesiastical feudalism in the conquered land, bestowed some of the great sees in England, of which he had dispossessed the defeated Saxons. But from the same monastery of Bec came in succession two Primates of the Norman Church in England, in learning, sanctity, and general ability not inferior to any bishops of their time in Christendom-Lanfranc and Anselm. Lanfranc, to whom the Church had looked up as the most powerful antagonist of Berengar; Anselm as the profound metaphysician, who was to retain within the pale of orthodoxy those strong speculative minds, which before, and afterwards during the days of Abélard, should venture into those dangerous regions, as willing prisoners.

The Abbey of Bec, as has been said, had been founded Abbey of by a rude Norman knight, Herluin, in one of . those strange accesses of devotion which suddenly changed men of the most uncongenial minds and most adverse habits into models of the most austere and almost furious piety. Herluin was as ignorant as he was rude; his followers, who soon gathered around him, scarcely less But the Monastery of Bec, before half a century had so. elapsed, was a seat of learning. Strangers who were wandering over Europe found that which was too often wanting in the richer and settled convents, seclusion and austerity. Such was the case with Lanfranc: in the Abbey of Bec there was rigour enough to satisfy the most intense craving after self-torture; but the courtly Italian scholar was not lost in the Norman monk. Lanfranc became at once a model of the severest austerity and the

a mplished theologian, to whom Latin Christendom loo jup as the champion of her vital doctrine. Lanfranc becal, is thot of St. Stephen's at Caen. The toto of St. Stephen's at Caen. an conqueror found that, although he had subjugated in Anglo-Saxon thanes and Anglo-Saxon people, he he, not subjugated the Anglo-Saxon clergy. Notwithstandine te Papal benediction of the conquest of CHAP. VIII.

England, the manner in which Alexander II. openly espoused the cause, and the greater Hildebrand treated the kindred mind of the Conqueror with respect shown to no other monarch in Christendom, there was long a stubborn inert resistance, which with so superstitious a people might anywhere burst out into insurrection. As he had seized and confiscated the estates of the thanes, so the Conqueror put into safer, into worthier hands, the great benefices of the Church. Lanfranc (there could be no wiser measure than to advance a man so famous for piety and learning throughout Christendom) was summoned to assume the primacy, from which the Conqueror, of his own will, though not without Papal sanction, had degraded the Anglo-Saxon Stigand. Lanfranc resisted, not only from monastic aversion to state and secular pursuits, but from unwillingness to rule a barbarous people, of whose language he was ignorant. Lanfranc yielded : he came as a Norman :. . his first act was to impose penance on the Anglo-Saxon soldiers who had dared to oppose William at Hastings; even on the archers whose bolts had flown at random, and did slay or might have slain Norman knights.

The Primate consummated the work of William in ejecting the Anglo-Saxon bishops and clergy. William would even proscribe their Saints: names unknown, barbarous, which refused to harmonise with Latin, were ignominiously struck out of the calendar as unauthorised and intrusive. The Primate proceeded to the degradation of the holy Wulstan of Worcester. His crime was want of learning, ignorance of French, perhaps rather of Latin. Wulstan, the pride, the holy example of the Anglo-Saxon episcopate, appeared before the Synod: "From the first I knew my unworthiness. I was compelled to be a bishop: the clergy, the prelates, my master, by the authority of the Apostolic See, laid this burthen on my shoulder." He advanced to the tomb of the Confessor; he laid down his crosier on the stone : "Master, to thee only I yield up my staff." He took his seat among the monks. The crosier remained embedded in the stone; and this wonder, which might seem as if the Confessor approved the resignation, was interpreted the other way. Wulstan alone retained

his see. The Anglo-Saxon secular clergy, notwithstanding the triumph of monasticism, the severe laws of Edgar, even of Canute, still clung to their right or usage of marriage. Lanfranc could disguise even to himself, as zeal against the married priests, his persecution of the Anglo-Saxon clergy.

A king so imperious as William, and a churchman so firm as Lanfranc, could hardly avoid collision. Though they scrupled not to despoil the Saxon prelates, the Church must suffer no spoliation. The estates of the See of Canterbury must pass whole and inviolable. The uterine brother of the King (his mother's son by a second marriage), Odo the magnificent and able Bishop of Odo of Bayeux. Bayeux, his counsellor in peace, ever by his side in war, though he neither wore arms nor engaged in battle, had seized, as Count of Kent, twenty-five manors belonging to the Archiepiscopal See.<sup>u</sup> The Primate summoned the Bishop of Bayeux to public judgment on Penenden Heath; the award was in the Archbishop's Still William honoured Lanfranc: Lanfranc, in favour. the King's absence in Normandy, was chief justiciary, vicegerent within the realm, Lanfranc respected William. When the Conqueror haughtily rejected the demand "of Hildebrand himself for allegiance and subsidy, we hear no remonstrance from the Primate. The Primate refused to go to Rome at the summons of the Pope. William Rufus, while Lanfranc lived, in some degree restrained his covetous encroachments on the wealth of the Church. Lanfranc had the prudence not to provoke the ungovernable King. But for five years after the death of Lanfranc Rufus would have no Primate, whose importunate control he thus escaped, while at the same time he converted to his own uses, without remonstrance, or at least without resistance, the splendid revenue of the see. Nothing but the wrath of God, as he supposed, during an illness which threatened his life, compelled him to place the crosier in the hands of the meek and, as he hoped,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>u</sup> Odo of Bayeux, according to Mal-mesbury, had even higher aspirations; his wealth, like Wolsey's, was designed to buy the Papacy itself. "In aggerendis the-

unworldly Anselm. It required as much violence in the whole nation, to whom Anselm's fame and virtues were so well known, to compel Anselm to accept Archbishop of Canterbury: the primacy, as to induce the King to bestow it.

But when Primate, Anselm, the monk, the philosopher, was as high, as impracticable a churchman as the boldest or the haughtiest. Anselm's was passive courage, Anselm's was gentle endurance; but as unvielding, as impregnable, as that of Lanfranc, even of Hildebrand himself. No one concession could be wrung from him of property, of right, or of immunity belonging to his Church. He was a man whom no humiliation could humble : privation, even pain, he bore not only with the patience but with the joy of a monk. He was exiled : he returned the same meek, unoffending, unimpassioned man. His chief or first quarrel with Rufus was as to which of the Popes England should The Norman Anselm had before his. acknowledge. advancement acknowledged Urban. It ended in Urban being the Pope of England. Nor was it with the violent, rapacious Rufus alone that Anselm stood in this quiet, unconquerable oppugnancy; the more prudent. and politic Henry I. is committed in the same strife. It was now the question of Investitures. At Rome, during his first exile, Anselm was deeply impregnated with the Italian notions of Investiture, that "venomous source of all simony." But the Norman kings were as determined to assert their feudal supremacy as the Franconian or Hohenstaufen -Emperors.

Anselm is again in Rome: the Pope Urban threatens to excommunicate the King of England; Anselm interferes; the King is not actually excommunicate, but the ban is on all his faithful counsellors. At length, after almost a life, at least almost an archiepiscopate, passed in this strife with the King, to whom in all other respects except as regards the property of the see and the rights of the Church, Anselm is the most loyal of subjects, the great dispute about Investitures comes to an end. The wise Henry has discovered that, by surrendering a barren ceremony, he may retain the substantial power. He consents to abandon the form of granting the ring and pastoral staff; he retains the homage, and that which was the real object of the strife, the power of appointing to the wealthy sees and abbacies of the realm. The Church has the honour of the triumph; has wrung away the seeming concession; and Anselm, who in his unworldly views had hardly perhaps comprehended the real point at issue, has the glory and the conscious pride of success.

But the splendid and opulent benefices of the Anglo-Character of Norman Church were too rich prizes to be bestowed the Angloon accomplished scholars, profound theologians, Norman holy monks: the bishops at the close of Henry's hierarchy. reign are barons rather than prelates, their palaces are castles, their retainers vassals in arms. The wars between Stephen and the Empress Matilda are episcopal at least as much as baronial wars. It is the brother of Stephen, Henry Bishop of Winchester, the legate of the Pope, who is the author of Stephen's advancement. The citizens of London proclaim him: the coronation is at Winchester. The feeble Archbishop Theobald, the one less worldly prelate, yields to the more commanding mind of the royal bishop. In the Council of Oxford it was openly declared that the right to elect the king was in the bishops.<sup>x</sup> The Bishop of Salisbury had two nephews, the Bishops of Lincoln and of Ely; one of his sons (his sons by his concubine, Maud of Ramsbury) was Chancellor,<sup>y</sup> one Treasurer. Until the allegiance of the Bishops to Stephen wavered, the title of Matilda was hardly dangerous to the King. Stephen arrested the Bishops of Salisbury and Lincoln at Oxford, compelled them to surrender their strong castles of Newark, Salisbury, Sherborne, and Malmesbury. The Bishop of Ely flew to arms, threw himself into Devizes; it was only the threat to hang up his nephew, which compelled him to capitulate.<sup>3</sup> It was a strange confusion. The whole of the bishops' castles, treasures, munitions of war, were seized into the King's hands; he held them in the most rigid and inexorable grasp;<sup>a</sup> yet at the same time Stephen did public penance for having dared to lay his impious hands on the "Christs of the Lord." The revolt of the Bishop of

" "Qui nepos esse et plusquam nepos ferebatur."

<sup>2</sup> Gesta Stephani, p. 50. <sup>a</sup> Ib. p. 51.

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Eorum majori parti eleri Angliæ, ad cujus jus potissimum specat principem eligere, simulque ordinare."-p. 746.

Ely was only the signal for the general war: Stéphen was taken in the battle of Lincoln, his defeated army was under the walls of that city to chastise the Bishop. If Matilda's pride had not alienated Henry of Winchester, as her exactions did the citizens of London, she might have . obtained at once full possession of the throne. It was in besieging the castle of the Bishop of Winchester in that city that Robert of Gloucester, the leader of her party, was attacked by the Londoners under the Bishop of Winchester in person, and was taken in his retreat to Bristol. The Archbishop Theobald, who had now espoused Matilda's cause, hardly escaped.

Such were the prelates of England just before the commencement of Henry II.'s reign : all, says a contemporary writer, or almost all wearing arms, mingling in war, indulging in all the cruelties and exactions of war.<sup>b</sup> The lower clergy could hardly, with such examples, be otherwise than, too many of them, lawless and violent men. Yet the Church demanded for the property and persons of such prelates and such clergy an absolute, inviolable sanctity. The seizure of their palaces, though fortified and garrisoned, was an invasion of the property of the Church. The seizure, maltreatment, imprisonment, far more any sentence of the law in the King's Courts upon their persons was impiety, sacrilege.<sup>c</sup>

Such had been, not many years before, the state of the clergy in England, when broke out in England, and was waged for so many years, the great strife for the maintenance of the sacerdotal order as a separate caste of mankind, subject only to its own jurisdiction, and irresponsible but to its own superiors. Every individual in that caste, to its lowest doorkeeper, claimed an absolute immunity from capital punishment. The executioner in those ages sacrificed hundreds of common human lives to the terror

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> "Ipsi nihilominus, ipsi episcopi, quod pudet quidem dicere, non tamen omnes, sed plurimi ex omnibus, ferro accincti, armis instructi, cum patriæ perversoribus superbissimis invecti equis, prædæ participes in milites bellicâ sorte interceptos vel pecuniosos quibuscanque occurrunt vinculis et eruciatibus exponcre," &c. —Gesta Steph. p. 99.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> " Si episcopi tramitem justitiæ in aliquo transgrederentur non esse regis sed canonum judicium: sine publico et ecclesiastico concilio illos nulla possessione privari posse."— Malmesb. p. 719. The grant of these castles, when surrendered to laymen, was an invasion on Church property.

LATIN CHRISTIANITY.

BOOK VIII.

of the law. The churchman alone, to the most menial of the clerical body, stood above such law. The churchman too was judge without appeal in all causes of privilege or of property, which he possessed or in which he claimed the right of possession.

This strife was to be carried on with all the animation and interest of a single combat, instead of the long and confused conflict of order against order. Nor was it complicated with any of those intricate relations of the imperial and the papal power (the Emperor claiming to be the representative of the Cæsars of Rome, the Popes not only to be successors of the chief of the apostles, but also temporal sovereigns of Rome), which had drawn out to such interminable length the contest between the pontiffs and the houses of Franconia and Hohenstaufen. The cham-pion of the civil power was Henry II. of England, a Henry IL sovereign, at his accession, with the most extensive territories and less limited power, with vast command of wealth, above any monarch of his time; a man of great ability, decision, and activity; of ungovernable passions, and intense pride, which did not prevent him from stooping to dissimulation, intrigue, and subtle policy.

On the other hand, the Churchman, a subject of Becket. that sovereign, not of noble birth, but advanced by the grace of the king to the highest secular power; yet when raised by his own transcendent capacity and by the same misjudging favour to the height of ecclesiastical dignity, sternly and at once rending asunder all ties of attachment and gratitude, sacrificing the unbounded power and influence which he might have retained if he had still condescended to be the favourite of the king; an exile, yet so formidable as to be received not as a fugitive, but at once as a most valuable ally and an object of profound reverence by the King of France, and by other foreign princes. For seven years Becket inflexibly maintains his ground against the king, and almost all the more powerful prelates of England, and some of Normandy; at times seemingly abandoned by the Pope himself, yet disdaining to yield, and rebuking even the Pope for his dastardly and temporising policy, he at length extorts his restoration to his see from the reluctant

monarch. His barbarous assassination gave a temporary, perhaps, but complete triumph to his cause. The king. though not actually implicated in the murder, cannot avert the universal indignation but by the most humiliating submission, absolute prostration before the sacerdotal power, and by public and ignominious penance. The martyr for the Church, and this not only in the first paroxysm of devotion, not only by the clergy, whom the murder of a holy prelate threw entirely on his side, but by that of the whole people, to whom his boundless charities, his splendour, his sufferings, his exile, and the imposing austerity of his life, had rendered him an object of awe and of love; the Saint whom the Church hastened to canonise was compared in language, to us awfully profane, in his own time that of natural veneration, to the Saviour himself. The worship of Becket-and in those days it would be difficult to discriminate between popular worship and absolute adoration-superseded, not in Canterbury alone, nor in England alone, that of the Son of God, and even of his Virgin Mother.

Popular poetry, after the sanctification of Becket, delighted in throwing the rich colours of marvel Legend. over his birth and parentage. It invented, or rather interwove with the pedigree of the martyr, one of those romantic traditions which grew out of the wild adventures of the crusades, and which occur in various forms in the ballads of all nations. That so great a saint should be the son of a gallant champion of the cross, and of a Saracen princess, was a fiction too attractive not to win general acceptance.<sup>d</sup> The father of Becket, so runs the legend, a gallant soldier, was a captive in the Holy Land, and inspired the daughter of his master with an ardent attachment. Through her means he made his escape; but the enamoured princess could not endure life without him. She too fied and made her way to Europe: She had learned but two words of the Christian language,

<sup>d</sup> The early life of Becket has been rejecting the tale of the Saracen pfincess; mystified both by the imaginative ten-if ever there was an historic ballad, an dencies of the age immediately fol-lowing his own, and by the theorising Saxon descent of Becket, as undeniably tendencies of modern history. I shall an historic fable. shock some readers by unscrupulously

if ever there was an historic ballad, an

London and Gilbert. With these two magic sounds upon her lips she reached London; and as she wandered through the streets, constantly repeating the name of Gilbert, she was met by Becket's faithful servant. Becket, as a good Christian, seems to have entertained religious scruples as to the propriety of wedding the faithful, but misbelieving, or, it might be, not sincerely believing maiden. The case was submitted to the highest authority, and argued before the Bishop of London. The issue was the baptism of the princess, by the name of Matilda (that of the empress queen), and their marriage in St. Paul's, with the utmost publicity and splendour.

But of this wondrous tale, not one word had reached the ears of any of the seven or eight contemporary biographers of Becket, most of them his most intimate friends or his most faithful attendants." It was neither known to John of Salisbury, his confidential adviser and correspondent, nor to Fitz-Stephen, an officer of his court in chancery, and dean of his chapel when archbishop, who was with him at Northampton, and at his death; nor to Herbert de Bosham, likewise one of his officers, when chancellor, and his faithful attendant throughout his exile; nor to the monk of Pontigny, who waited upon him and enjoyed his most intimate confidence during his retreat in that convent; nor to Edward Grim, his standard-bearer, who, on his way from Clarendon, reproached him with his weakness, and having been constantly attached to his person, finally interposed his arm between his master and

• There are no less than seven full contemporary, or nearly contemporary, Lives of Becket, besides fragments, legends, and "Passions." Dr. Giles has reprinted, and in some respects enlarged, those works from the authority of MSS. I give them in the order of his volumes. I. Vita Sancti Thomæ. Auctore Edward Grim. 11. Auctore Roger de Pontiniaco. III. Auctore Willelmo Filio Stephani. IV. Auctoribus Joanne Decano Salisburiensi, et Alano Abbate Teuksburi-ensi. V. Auctore Willelmo Canter-buriensi. VI. Auctore Anonymo Lam-bethiensi. VII. Auctore Herberto de Bosham. Of these, Grim, Fitz-Stephen, and Herbert de Bosham were throughout his life in more or less close attendance on Becket. The learned John of ton, of the Saint.

Salisbury was his bosom friend and counsellor. Roger of Pontigny was his intimate associate and friend in that monastery. William was probably prior of Canterbury at the time of Becket's death. The sixth professes also to have been witness to the death of Becket. (He is called Lambethiensis by Dr. Giles, merely because the MS. is in the Lam-Herefy because the Mo, is in the Lam-beth Library.) Add to these the curious French poem, written five years after the murder of Becket, by Garnier of Pont S. Maxence, partly published in the Berlin Transactions, by the learned Immanuel Bekker. All these, it must be remembered, write of the man; the later modify for the star the later monkish writers (though near the time), Hoveden, Gervase, Diceto, Brompthe first blow of the assassin. Nor were these ardent admirers of Becket silent from any severe aversion to the marvellous; they relate with unsuspecting faith, dreams and prognostics, which revealed to the mother the future greatness of her son, even his elevation to the see of Canterbury.<sup>f</sup>

To the Saxon descent of Becket; a theory in which, on the authority of an eloquent French writer,<sup>g</sup> modern history has seemed disposed to acquiesce, these biographers not merely give no support, but furnish direct contradiction. The lower people no doubt admired during his life, and worshipped after death, the blessed Thomas of Canterbury, and the people were mostly Saxon. But it was not as a Saxon, but as a Saint, that Becket was the object of unbounded popularity during his life, of idolatry after his death.

The father of Becket, according to the distinct words of one contemporary biographer, was a native of Parentage and Rouen, his mother of Caen.<sup>h</sup> Gilbert was no education. knight-errant, but a sober merchant, tempted by commercial advantages to settle in London: his mother neither boasted of royal Saracenic blood, nor bore the royal name of Matilda; she was the daughter of an honest burgher of Caen. His Norman descent is still further confirmed by his claim of relationship, or connection at least, as of common Norman descent, with Archbishop Theobald.<sup>i</sup> The parents of Becket, he asserts himself, were merchants of unimpeached character, not of the lowest class. Gilbert Becket is said to have served the honourable office of sheriff, but his fortune was injured by fires and other casualties.<sup>k</sup> The young Becket received his earliest Born A.D. education among the monks of Merton in Surrey, <sup>1118</sup>.

<sup>g</sup> Mons. Thierry, Hist. des Normands. Lord Lyttleton (Life of Henry II.) had before asserted the Saxon descent of Becket : perhaps he misled M. Thierry.

<sup>h</sup> The anonymous Lambethiensis, after stating that many Norman merchants were allured to London by the greater

mercantile prosperity, proceeds: "Ex horum numero fuit Gilbertus quidam cognomento Becket, patria Rotomagensis...habuit antem uxorem, nomine Roesam natione Cadomensem, genere burgensium quoque non disparem."— Apud Giles, ii. p. 73.

<sup>i</sup> See below.

<sup>k</sup> "Quod si ad generis mei radicem et progenitores meos intenderis, cives quidem fuerunt Londonienses, in medio concivium suorum habitantes sine querelà, nec omnino infimi."—Epist. 130.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>f</sup> Brompton is not the earliest writer who recorded this tale; he took it from the Quadrilogus I., but of this the date is quite uncertain. The exact date of Brompton is unknown. See preface in Twysden. He goes down to the end of Richard II.

towards whom he cherished a fond attachment, and delighted to visit them in the days of his splendour. The dwelling of a respectable London merchant seems to have been a place where strangers of very different pursuits, who resorted to the metropolis of England, took up their lodging: and to Gilbert Becket's house came persons both disposed and qualified to cultivate in various ways the extraordinary talents displayed by the youth, who was singularly handsome, and of engaging manners.<sup>m</sup> A knight, whose name, Richard de Aquila, occurs with distinction in the annals of the time, one of his father's guests, delighted in initiating the gay and spirited boy in chivalrous exercises, and in the chase with hawk and hound. On a hawking adventure the young Becket narrowly escaped being drowned in the Thames. At the same time, or soon after, he was inured to business by acting as clerk to a wealthy relative, Osborn Octuomini, and in the office of the Sheriff of London.<sup>n</sup> His accomplishments were completed by a short residence in Paris, the best school for the language spoken by the Norman nobility. To his father's house came likewise two learned civilians from Bologna, no doubt on some mission to the Archbishop They were so captivated by young. of Canterbury. Becket, that they strongly recommended him to Archbishop Theobald, whom the father of Becket reminded of their common honourable descent from a knightly family near the town of Thiersy." Becket was at once on the His extraordinary abilities high road of advancement. were cultivated by the wise patronage, and emhousehold of the Arch. ployed in the service of the primate. Once he accompanied that prelate to Rome;<sup>p</sup> and on bishop. more than one other occasion visited that great centre of Christian affairs. He was permitted to reside for a certain time at each of the great schools for the study of the canon law, Bologna and Auxerre.<sup>q</sup> He was not, however, without enemies. Even in the court of Theobald began the jealous rivalry with Roger, afterwards Archbishop of York,

<sup>m</sup> Grim, p. 9. Pontiniac, p. 96.

<sup>n</sup> Grim, p. 8.

· "Eo familiarius, quod præfatus Gilbertus cum domino archipræsule de propinquitate et genere loquebatur: ut ille

ortu Normannus et circa Thierici villam de equestri ordine natu vicinus."-Fitz-Stephen, p. 184. Thiersy or Thierchville. <sup>p</sup> Roger de Pontigny, p. 100.

9 Fitz-Stephen, p. 185.

CHAP. VIII.

OFFEE BOM

**CFW1**/R then Archdeacon of Canterbury.<sup>r</sup> Twice' the superior influence of the archdeacon obtained his dismissal-from the service of Theobald; twice he was reinstated by the good offices of Walter, Bishop of Rochester. At length the elevation of Roger to the see of York left the field open to Becket. He was appointed to the vacant archdeaconry, the richest benefice, after the bishoprics, in England. From that time he ruled without rival in the favour of the aged Theobald. Preferments were heaped upon him by the lavish bounty of his patron.<sup>5</sup> During his exile he was reproached with his ingratitude to the king, who had raised him from poverty. "Poverty!" he rejoined ; "even then. I held the archdeaconry of Canterbury, the provostship of Beverley, a great many churches, and several prebends."<sup>t</sup> The trial and the triumph of Becket's precocious abilities was a negotiation of the utmost difficulty with the The first object was to obtain the legatine court of Rome. power for Archbishop Theobald; the second tended, more than almost all measures, to secure the throne of England to the house of Plantagenet. Archbishop Theobald, with his clergy, had inclined to the cause of Matilda and her son; they had refused to officiate at the coronation of Eustace, son of King Stephen. Becket not merely obtained from Eugenius III. the full papal approbation of this refusal, but a condemnation of Stephen (whose title had before been sanctioned by Eugenius himself) as a perjured usurper."

But on the accession of Henry II., the aged Archbishop began to tremble at his own work; serious appre-Accession of Henry II. hensions arose as to the disposition of the young Dec. 19, 1154. king towards the Church. His connection was but remote. with the imperial family (though his mother had worn the imperial crown, and some imperial blood might flow in his veins); and the Empire was still the implacable adversary of the papal power. Even from his father he might have received an hereditary taint of hatred to the Church,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup> According to Fitz-Stephen, Thomas was less learned (minus literatus) than his rival, but of loftier character and morals.—P. 184. <sup>\*</sup> "Plurimæ ecclesiæ, præbendæ pon-nullæ," Among the livings were one in Nute and St. Mourt lo Stemour to 
Kent, and St. Mary le Strand; among the

for the Count of Anjou had on many occasions shown theutmost hostility to the Hierarchy, and had not scrupled to treat churchmen of the highest rank with unexampled cruelty. In proportion as it was important to retain a young sovereign of such vast dominions in allegiance to the Church, so was it alarming to look forward to his disobedience. The Archbishop was anxious to place near his person some one who might counteract this suspected perversity, and to prevent his young mind from being alienated from the clergy by fierce and lawless counsellors. He had discerned not merely unrivalled abilities, but with prophetic sagacity, his Archdeacon's lofty and devoted churchmanship. Through the recommendation of the primate Becket was raised to the dignity of chancellor, \* an office which made him the second civil power in the realm, inasmuch as his seal was necessary to countersign all royal mandates. Nor was it without great ecclesiastical influence, as in the chancellor was the appointment of all the royal chaplains, and the custody of vacant bishoprics, abbacies, and benefices.<sup>y</sup>

But the Chancellor, who was yet, with all his great preferments, only in deacon's orders, might seem chancellor. disdainfully to throw aside the habits, feelings, restraints of the churchman, and to aspire as to the plenitude of secular power, so to unprecedented secular magnificence.<sup>\*</sup> Becket shone out in all the graces of an accomplished courtier, in the bearing and valour of a gallant knight; though at the same time he displayed the most consummate abilities for business, the promptitude, diligence, and prudence of a practised statesman. The beauty of his person, the affability of his manners, the extraordinary acuteness of his senses,<sup>\*</sup> his activity in all chival-

<sup>\*</sup> This remarkable fact in Becket's history rests on the authority of his friend, John of Salisbury : "Erat enim in suspectu adolescentia regis et juvenum et pravorum hominum, quorum conciliis agi videbatur . . . insipientiam et malitiam formidabat . . . cancellarium procurabat in curiâ ordinari, cujus ope et operâ novi regis ne sæviret in ecclesiam, impetum cohiberet et consilii sui temperaret malitiam."—Apud Giles, p. 321. This is repeated in almost the same words by William of Canterbury, vol. ii. p. 2. Compare what may be read almost as the dying admonitions of Theobald to

\* This remarkable fact in Becket's history rests on the authority of his friend, John of Salisbury: "Erat enim in suspectu adolescentia regiset juvenum et pravorum hominum, quorum conciliis agi videbatur... insipientiam et malitiam formidabat... cancellarium pro-

Also Roger de Pontigny, p. 101. <sup>7</sup> Fitz-Stephen, p. 186. Compare on the office of chancellor Lord Campbell's Life of Becket.

<sup>2</sup> De Bosham, p. 17.

<sup>a</sup> See a curious passage on the singular sensibility of his hearing, and even of his smell.—Roger de Pontigny, p. 96.

rous exercises, made him the chosen companion of the . king in his constant diversions, in the chase and in the mimic war, in all but his debaucheries. The king would willingly have lured the Chancellor into this companionship likewise; but the silence of his bitterest enemies, in confirmation of his own solemn protestations, may be admitted as conclusive testimonies to his unimpeached morals.<sup>b</sup> The power of Becket throughout the king's dominions equalled that of the king himself-he was king in all but name: the world, it was said, had never seen two friends so entirely of one mind.<sup>c</sup> The well-known anecdote best illustrates their intimate familiarity. As they rode through the streets of London on a bleak winter day they met a beggar in rags. "Would it not be charity," said the king, "to give that fellow a cloak, and cover him from the cold?". Becket assented; on which the king plucked the rich furred mantle from the shoulders of the struggling Chancellor and threw it, to the amazement and admiration of the bystanders, no doubt to the secret envy of the courtiers at this proof of Becket's favour, to the shivering beggar.<sup>d</sup>

But it was in the graver affairs of the realm that Henry derived still greater advantage from the wisdom and the conduct of the Chancellor." To Becket's counsels his admiring biographers attribute the pacification of the kingdom, the expulsion of the foreign mercenaries who during the civil wars of Stephen's reign had devastated the land and had settled down as conquerors, especially in Kent, the humiliation of the refractory barons and the demolition of their castles. The peace was so profound that merchants could travel everywhere in safety, and even the Jews collect their debts.<sup>r</sup> The magnificence of Becket redounded to the glory of his sovereign. In his ordinary life he was sumptuous beyond precedent; he

<sup>b</sup> Roger de Pontigny, p. 104. His character by John of Salisbury is re-markable: "Erat supra modum captator auræ popularis . . . etsi superbus esset et vanus et interdum faciem prætendebat insipienter amantium et verba proferret, admirandus tamen et imitandûs erat in corporis castitate."-P. 320. See an adventure related by William of Canterbury, p. 3.

<sup>e</sup> Grim, p. 12. Roger de Pontigny, p. 102. Fitz-Stephen, p. 192. <sup>d</sup> Fitz-Stephen, p. 191. Fitz-Stephen is most full and particular on the chancellorship of Becket.

e It is not quite clear how soon after the accession of Henry the appointment of the chancellor took place. I should incline to the earlier date, A.D. 1155. ' Fitz-Stephen, p. 187.

VOL. III.

2 G

BOOK VIII.

kept an open table, where those who were not so fortunate as to secure a seat at the board had clean rushes. strewn on the floor, on which they might repose, eat, and carouse at the Chancellor's expense. His household was on a scale vast even for that age of unbounded retainership, and the haughtiest Norman nobles were proud to see their sons brought up in the family of the merchant's Ambassador son. In his embassy to Paris to demand the to Paris. A.D. 1160. hand of the Princess Margaret for the king's infant son, described with such minute accuracy by Fitz-Stephen,<sup>g</sup> he outshone himself, yet might seem to have a loyal rather than a personal aim in this unrivalled pomp. The French crowded from all quarters to see the splendid procession pass, and exclaimed, "What must be the king, whose Chancellor can indulge in such enormous expendituré ?"

Even in war the Chancellor had displayed not only the abilities of a general, but a personal prowess, which, though it found many precedents in those times, might appear somewhat incongruous in an ecclesiastic, who yet held all War in Ton his clerical benefices. In the expedition made by King Henry to assert his right to the dominions of the Counts of Toulouse, Becket appeared at the head of seven hundred knights who did him service, and foremost in every adventurous exploit was the valiant Chancellor. Becket's bold counsel urged the immediate storming of the city, which would have been followed by the captivity of the King of France. Henry, in whose character impetuosity was strangely moulded up with irresolution, dared not risk this violation of feudal allegiance, the captivity of his suzerain. The event of the war showed the policy. as well as the superior military judgment of the warlike At a period somewhat later Becket, who Chancellor. was left to reduce certain castles which held out against his master, unhorsed in single combat and took prisoner a knight of great distinction, Engelran de Trie. He returned to Henry in Normandy at the head of 1200 knights and 4000 stipendiary horsemen, raised and maintained at his own charge. If indeed there were grave churchmen even in those days who were revolted by these

achievements in an ecclesiastic (he was still only in deacon's orders), the sentiment was by no means universal, nor even dominant. With some his valour and military skill only excited more ardent admiration. One of his biographers bursts out into this extraordinary panegyric on the Archdeacon of Canterbury: "Who can recount the carnage, the desolation, which he made at the head of a strong body of soldiers? He attacked castles, razed towns and cities to the ground, burned down houses and farms without a touch of pity, and never showed the slightest mercy to any one who rose in insurrection against his master's authority."<sup>h</sup>

The services of Becket were not unrewarded: the love and gratitude of his sovereign showered honours and emoluments upon him. Among his grants were the wardenship of the Tower of London, the lordship of the castle of Berkhampstead and the honour of Eye, with the service of a hundred and forty knights. Yet there must have been other and more prolific sources of his wealth of wealth, so lavishly displayed. Through his hands Becket. as Chancellor passed almost all grants and royal favours. He was the guardian of all escheated baronies and of all vacant benefices. It is said in his praise that he did not permit the king, as was common, to prolong those vacancies for his own advantage, that they were filled up with as much speed as possible; but it should seem, by subsequent occurrences, that no very strict account was kept of the king's monies spent by the Chancellor in the king's service and those expended by the Chancellor himself. This seems intimated by the care which he took to secure •a general quittance from the chief justiciary of the realm before his elevation to the archbishopric.

But if in his personal habits and occupations Becket lost in some degree the churchman in the secular dignitary, was he mindful of the solemn trust imposed upon him by his patron the archbishop, and true to the interests of his order? Did he connive at, or at least did he not resist, any invasion on ecclesiastical immunities, or, as they were called, the liberties of the clergy? did he hold their property absolutely sacred? It is clear that he consented to levy the

h Edward Grim, p. 12.

2 G 2

scutage, raised on the whole realm, on ecclesiastical as well as secular property. All that his friend John of Salisbury can allege in his defence is, that he bitterly repented of having been the minister of this iniquity.<sup>1</sup> "If with Saul he persecuted the Church, with Paul he is prepared to die for the Church." But probably the worst effect of this conduct as regards King Henry was the encouragement of his fatal delusion that as archbishop Becket would be as submissive to his wishes in the affairs of the Church as had been the pliant Chancellor. It was the last and crowning mark of the royal confidence that Becket was entrusted with the education of the young Prince Henry, the heir to all the dominions of the king.

Six years after the accession of Henry II. died Theobald Archbishop of Canterbury. On the charac-April, 1161. ter of his successor depended the peace of the realm, especially if Henry, as no doubt he did, already entertained designs of limiting the exorbitant power of the Church. Becket, ever at his right hand, could not but occur to the mind of the king. Nothing in his habits of life or conduct could impair the hope that in him the loval, the devoted, it might seem unscrupulous subject, would predominate over the rigid churchman. With such a prime minister, attached by former benefits, by, it might seem, the warmest personal love, still more by this last proof of boundless confidence, to his person, and as holding the united offices of Chancellor and Primate, ruling supreme both in Church and State, the king could dread no resistance, or if there were resistance, could subdue it without difficulty.

Rumour had already designated Becket as the future primate. A churchman, the Prior of Leicester, on a visit to Becket, who was ill at Rouen, pointing to his apparel, said, "Is this a dress for an Archbishop of Canterbury?" Becket himself had not disguised his hopes and fears.

<sup>i</sup> John of Salisbury denies that he sanctioned the rapacity of the king, and urges that he only yielded to necessity. Yet his exile was the just punishment of his guilt. "Tamen quia eum ministrum fuisse iniquitatis non ambigo, jure optimo taliter arbitror puniendum ut eo potissimum puniatur auctore, quem in

talibus Deo bonorum omnium auctori præferebat. . . Sed esto: nunc pænitentiam agit, agnoseit et confitetur culpam pro ea, et si cum Saulo quandoque ecclesiam impugnavit, nunc, cum Paulo ponere paratus est animam suam." --Bouquet, p. 518. "There are three poor priests in England, any one of whose elevation to the see of Canterbury I should wish rather than my own. I know the very heart of the king; if I should be promoted, I must forfeit his favour or that of God."\*

The king did not suddenly declare his intentions. The see was vacant for above a year,<sup>m</sup> and the administration of the revenues must have been in the department of the Chancellor. At length as Becket, who had received a commission to return to England on other affairs of moment, took leave of his sovereign at Falaise, Henry hastily informed him that those affairs were not the main object of his mission to England-it was for his election to the vacant archbishopric. Becket remonstrated, but in vain; he openly warned, it is said, his royal master that as Primate he must choose between the favour of God and that of the king-he must prefer that of God.<sup>n</sup> In those days the interests of the clergy and of God were held insepa-Henry no doubt thought this but the decent resistrable. ance of an ambitious prelate. The advice of Henry of Pisa, the Papal Legate, overcame the faint and lingering scruples of Becket: he passed to England with the king's recommendation, mandate it might be called, for his election.

All which to the king would designate Becket as the future primate could not but excite the apprehensions of the more rigorous churchmen. The monks of Canterbury, with whom rested the formal election, alleged as an insuperable difficulty that Becket had never worn the monastic habit, as almost all his predecessors had done.° The suffragan bishops would no doubt secretly resist the advancement, over all their heads, of a man who, latterly at least, had been more of a soldier, a courtier, and a lay statesman.

\* Fitz-Stephen, p. 193.

<sup>m</sup> Theobald died April 18, 1161. Becket was ordained priest and consecrated on Whitsunday, 1162.

<sup>n</sup> Yet Theobald, according to John of Salisbury, designed Becket for his successor.-

Quos habuit reges Anglia capta diu,

Esse putans reges, quos est perpessa, tyrannos Plus veneratur eos, qui nocuere magis." *Entheticus*, 1. 1295.

Did Becket decide against the Norman laws by the Anglo-Saxon ? Has any one guessed the meaning of the rest of John's verses on the Chancellor and his Court? I confess myself baffled.

<sup>&</sup>quot;hunc (i.e. Becket Cancellarium) successurum sibi sperat et orat, Hic est carnificum qui jus cancellat iniquum, Once hebrii socie i andi

Nor could the prophetic sagacity of any but the wisest discern the latent churchmanship in the ambitious and inflexible heart of Becket. It is recorded on authority, which I do not believe doubtful as to its authenticity, but which is the impassioned statement of a declared enemy, that nothing but the arrival of the great justiciary, Richard de Luci, with the king's peremptory commands, and with personal menaces of proscription and exile against the more forward opponents, awed the refractory monks and prelates to submission.

At Whitsuntide Thomas Becket received priest's orders, and was then consecrated Primate of England with great magnificence in the Abbey of Westminster. The see of London being vacant, the ceremony was performed by the once turbulent, now aged and peaceful, Henry of Winchester, the brother of King Stephen. One voice alone, that of Gilbert Foliot, Bishop of Hereford,<sup>p</sup> broke the apparent harmony by a bitter sarcasm-"The king has wrought a miracle; he has turned a soldier and a layman into an archbishop." Gilbert Foliot, from first to Gilbert Foliot. last the firm and unawed antagonist of Becket, is too important a personage to be passed lightly by.<sup>9</sup> This sally was attributed no doubt by some at the time, as it was the subject afterwards of many fierce taunts from Becket himself, and of lofty vindication by Foliot, to disappointed ambition, as though he himself aspired to the primacy. Nor was there an ecclesiastic in England who might entertain more just hopes of advancement. He was admitted to be a man of unimpeachable life, of austere habits, and great learning. He had been Abbot of Gloucester and

Foliot. Dr. Lingard observes that Mr. Berington has proved this letter to be spurious. I cannot see any force in Mr. Berington's arguments, and should certainly have paid more deference to Dr. Lingard himself if he had examined the rightly understand Dr. Giles, and I am not certain that I do), that it exists in more than one MS. of Foliot's letters. He has printed it as unquestioned; no very - ability and labour. satisfactory proceeding in an editor. <sup>q</sup> Foliot's nearest relatives, if not The conclusive argument for its authen himself, were Scotch; one of them had ticity with me is this: Who, after forfeited his estate for fidelity to the Becket's death and canonization, would King of Scotland.— Epist. ii. cclxxviii.

P In the memorable letter of Gilbert have ventured or thought it worth oliot. Dr. Lingard observes that Mr. while to forge such a letter? To whom was Foliot's memory so dear, or Becket's so hateful, as to reopen the whole strife about his election and his conduct? Besides, it seems clear that it is either a rejoinder to the long letter addressed by Becket to the clergy of England (Giles, iii. 170), or that letter is a rejoinder to Foliot's. Each is a violent party pamphlet against the other, and of great

then Bishop of Hereford. He was in correspondence with four successive Popes, Cœlestine II., Lucius II., Eugenius III., Alexander, and with a familiarity which implies a high estimation for ability and experience. He is interfering in matters remote from his diocese, and commending other bishops, Lincoln and Salisbury, to the favourable consideration of the Pontiff. All his letters reveal as imperious and conscientious a churchman as Becket himself, and in Becket's position Foliot might have resisted the king as inflexibly.<sup>r</sup> He was, in short, a bold and stirring ecclesiastic, who did not scruple to wield. as he had done in several instances, that last terrible weapon of the clergy which burst on his own head, excommunication.<sup>s</sup> It may be added that, notwithstanding, his sarcasm, there was no open breach between him and Becket. The primate acquiesced in, if he did not promote, the advancement of Foliot to the see of London;<sup>t</sup> and letters of that period, of courtesy which borders on adulation, were interchanged at least with apparent sincerity."

The king had indeed wrought a greater miracle than himself intended, or than Foliot thought possible. Becket became at once not merely a decent prelate, but an austere and mortified monk : he seemed determined to make up for his want of ascetic qualifications; to crowd a whole life of monkhood into a few years.<sup>x</sup> Under his canonical dress he wore a monk's frock, haircloth next his skin; his studies, his devotions, were long, regular, rigid. At the mass he was frequently melted into passionate tears. In his outward demeanour, indeed, though he submitted to pri-

' Read his letters before his elevation to the see of London.

<sup>5</sup> See, e.g., Epist. exxxi., in which he informs Archbishop Theobald that the Earl of Hereford held intercourse with William Beauchamp, excommunicated by the Primate. "Vilescit anathematis authoritas, nisi et communicantes ex-communicatis corripiat digna severitas."

confirmed by the Pope's permission to the choir, as he had done, in a secular elect him (March 19) rogatu H. regis et dress.—p. 16.

Archep. Cantuarensis. A letter from Pope Alexander on his promotion rebukes him for fasting too severely .- Epist. ccclix.

<sup>u</sup> Foliot, in a letter to Pope Alexander, maintains the superiority of Canterbury over York .- cxlix.

by the Frimate. Whese tanathemass terotry over 100.4. A state of the change in his habits, communicatis corriptad digna severitas. " Lambeth, p. 84; also the strange story, in Grim, of a monk who declared him-under anathema. Lambeth, p. 91. The election of person of terrible countenance to warn the Bishop of Hereford to London is the Chancellor not to dare to appear in

vate flagellation, and the most severe macerations, Becket was still the stately prelate; his food, though scanty to abstemiousness, was, as his constitution required, more delicate; his charities were boundless. Archbishop Theobald had doubled the usual amount of the primate's alms, Becket again doubled that; and every night in privacy, no doubt more ostentatious than the most public exhibition, with his own hands he washed the feet of thirteen beggars. His table was still hospitable and sumptuous, but instead of knights and nobles, he admitted only learned clerks, and especially the regulars, whom he courted with the most obsequious deference. For the sprightly conversation of former times were read grave books in the Latin of the church.

But the change was not alone in his habits and mode of life. The King could not have reproved, he might have admired, the most punctilious regard for the decency, the dignity of the highest ecclesiastic in the realm. But the inflexible churchman began to betray itself in more unexpected acts. While still in France Henry was startled at receiving a peremptory resignation of the chancellorship, as inconsistent with the religious functions of the primate. This act was as it were a bill of divorce from all personal intimacy with the king, a dissolution of their old familiar and friendly intercourse. It was not merely that the holy and austere prelate withdrew from the unbecoming pleasures of the court, the chase, the banquet, the tournament, even the war; they were no more to meet at the council board, and the seat of judicature. It had been said that Becket was co-sovereign with the king, he now appeared (and there were not wanting secret and invidious enemies to suggest, and to inflame the suspicion) a rival sovereign. The king, when Becket met him on his landing at Southampton, did not attempt to conceal his dissatisfaction; his reception of his old friend was cold.y

It were unjust to human nature to suppose that it did not cost Becket a violent struggle, a painful sacrifice, thus as it were to rend himself from the familiarity and friend-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Compare the letter of the politic tranquillitate degere, sed ipso etiam Arnulf, Bishop of Lisieux: "Si enim magis quam olim, Principe conregnare." favori divino favorem præferretis huma-num, poteratis non solum cum summå

ship of his munificent benefactor. It was no doubt a severe sense of duty which crushed his natural affections, especially as vulgar ambition must have pointed out a more sure and safe way to power and fame. Such ambition would hardly have hesitated between the ruling all orders through the king, and the solitary and dangerous position of opposing so powerful a monarch to maintain the interests and secure the favour of one order alone.

Henry was now fully occupied with the affairs of Wales. Becket, with the royal sanction, obeyed the summons of Pope Alexander to the Council of Tours. Becket had passed through part of France at the head of an army of his own raising, and under his command; he had passed a second time as representing the king, he was yet to pass as an exile. At Tours, where Pope Alexander now held his court, and presided over his Council, Becket at Becket appeared at the head of all the Bishops May 19, 1163. of England, except those excused on account of age or infirmity. So great was his reputation, that the Pope sent out all the cardinals except those in attendance on his own person to escort the primate of England into the city. In the council at Tours not merely was the title of Alexander to the popedom avouched with perfect unanimity, but the rights and privileges of the clergy asserted with more than usual rigour and distinctness. Some canons, one especially which severely condemned all encroachments on the property of the Church, might seem framed almost with a view to the \ npending strife with England.

That strife, so impetuou hight seem the combatants to join issue, broke out during he next year, in all Beginning of its violence. Both parties, if they did not comstrife. mence, were prepared for aggression. The first occasion of public collision was a dispute concerning the customary payment of the ancient Danegelt, of two shillings on every hide of land, to the sheriffs of the several counties. The king determined to transfer this payment to his own exchequer: he summoned an assembly at Woodstock, and declared his intentions. All were mute but Becket; the archbishop opposed the enrolment of the decree, on the ground that the tax was voluntary, not of right. "By the eyes of God," said Henry, his usual oath, "it shall be

BOOK VIII.

enrolled !" "By the same eyes, by which you swear," replied the prelate, "it shall never be levied on my lands while I live !" \* On Becket's part, almost the first act of his primacy was to vindicate all the rights, and to resume all the property which had been usurped, or which he asserted to have been usurped, from his see." It was not likely that, in the turbulent times just gone by, there would have been rigid respect of the inviolability of sacred property. The title of the Church was held to be inde-Whatever had once belonged to the Church feasible might be recovered at any time; and the ecclesiastical courts claimed the sole right of adjudication in such causes. The primate was thus at once plaintiff, judge, and carried into execution his own judgments. The lord of the manor of Evnsford in Kent, who held of the king, claimed the right of presentation to that benefice. Becket asserted the prerogative of the see of Canterbury. On the forcible ejectment of his nominee by the lord, William of Eynsford, Becket proceeded at once to a sentence of excommunication, without regard to Eynsford's feudal superior the king. The primate next demanded the castle of Tunbridge from the head of the powerful family of De-Clare; though it had been held by De Clare, and it was asserted, received in exchange for a Norman Claims of Becket. castle, since the time of William the Conqueror. The attack on De Clare might seem a defiance of the whole feudal nobility; a determination to despoil them of their conquests, or grants from the sovereign.

The king, on his side, wisely chose the strongest and more popular ground of the immunities of the clergy from all temporal jurisdiction. He appeared as guardian of the public morals, as administrator of equal justice to all his subjects, as protector of the peace of the realm. Immunities of great atrocity, it is said, of great fre-of the clergy. quency, crimes such as robbery and homicide, crimes for which secular persons were hanged by scores

Richard de Pontigny, who received his information on all those circumstances from Becket himself, or from his followers. See also Grim, p. 22.

<sup>a</sup> Becket had been compelled to give

\* This strange scene is recorded by up the rich archdeaconry of Canterbury, which he seemed disposed to hold with the archbishopric. Walter Ridel, who became archdeacon, was afterwards one of his most active enemies.

and without mercy, were committed almost with impunity, or with punishment altogether inadequate to the offence by the clergy; and the sacred name of clerk, exempted not only bishops, abbots, and priests, but those of the lowest ecclesiastical rank from the civil power. It was the inalienable right of the clerk to be tried only in the court of his bishop; and as that court could not award capital punishment, the utmost penalties were flagellation, imprisonment, and degradation. It was only after degradation, and for a second offence (for the clergy strenuously insisted on the injustice of a second trial for the same act),<sup>b</sup> that the meanest of the clerical body could be brought to the level of the most highborn layman. But to cede one tittle of these immunities, to surrender the sacred person of a clergyman, whatever his guilt, to the secular power, was treason to the sacerdotal order: it was giving up Christ (for the Redeemer was supposed actually to dwell in the clerk, though his hands might be stained with innocent blood) to be crucified by the heathen." To mutilate the person of one in holy orders was directly contrary to the Scripture (for with convenient logic, while the clergy rejected the example of the Old Testament as to the equal liability of priest and Levite with the ordinary Jew to the sentence of the law, they alleged it on their own part as unanswerable). It was inconceivable, that hands which had but now made God should be tied behind the back. like those of a common malefactor, or that his neck should be wrung on a gibbet, before whom kings had but now bowed in reverential homage.<sup>d</sup>.

The enormity of the evil is acknowledged by Becket's most ardent partisans." The king had credible infor-

<sup>b</sup> The king was willing that the clerk guilty of murder or robbery should be degraded before he was hanged, but tion is stated by Herbert de Bosham, hanged he should be. The archbishop the follower, almost the worshipper of insisted that he should be safe, "a læsione membrorum." Degradation was in itself so dreadful a punishment, that to hang also for the same crime was a double penalty. "If he returned to his vomit," after degradation, he might be

hanged.—Compare Grim, p. 30. <sup>c</sup> "De novo judicatur Christus ante Pilatum præsidem."—De Bosham, p. 117.

<sup>d</sup> De Bosham, p. 100.

" The fairness with which the ques-Becket, is remarkable. "Arctabatur itaque rex, arctabatur et pontifex. Rex etenim populi sui pacem, sicut archi-præsul cleri sui zelans libertatem, audiens sic et videns et ad multorum relationes et querimonias accipiens, per hujuscemodi castigationes, talium clericorum immo verius caracterizatorum, dæmonum flagitia non reprimi vel potins indies per regnum deterius fieri." He mation laid before him that some of the clergy were absolute devils in guilt, that their wickedness could not be repressed by the ordinary means of justice, and were daily growing worse.

Becket himself had protected some notorious and heinous offenders. A clerk of the diocese of Worcester had debauched a maiden and murdered her father. Becket ordered the man to be kept in prison, and refused to surrender him to the king's justice." Another in London guilty of stealing a silver goblet, was claimed as only amenable to the ecclesiastical court. Philip de Brois, a canon of Bedford, had been guilty of homicide. The cause was tried in the bishop's court; he was condemned to pay a fine to the kindred of the slain man. Some time after Fitz-Peter, the king's justiciary, whether from private ennity or offence, or dissatisfied with the ecclesiastical verdict, in the open court at Dunstable, called De Brois a murderer. De Brois broke out into angry and contumelious language against the judge. The insult to the justiciary was held to be insult to the king, who sought justice, where alone he could obtain it, in the bishop's court. Philip de Brois this time incurred a sentence, to our notions almost as disproportionate as that for his former offence. He was condemned to be publicly whipped, and degraded for two years from the honours and emoluments of his canonry. But to the king the verdict appeared far too lenient; the spiritual jurisdiction was accused as shielding the criminal from his due penalty.

Such were the questions on which Becket was prepared Character of to confront and to wage war to the death with the king; and all this with a deliberate knowledge the King. both of the power and the character of Henry, his power as undisputed sovereign of England, and of continental territories more extensive and flourishing than those of the king of France. These dominions included those of the Conqueror and his descendants, of the Counts of Anjou,

proceeds to state at length the argument on both sides. Another biographer of Becket makes strong admissions of the crimes of the clergy: "Sed et ordinatorum inordinati mores; inter regem et <sup>f</sup> This, according to Fitz-Stephen, was archepiscopum auxere malitiam, qui the first cause of quarrel with the king. solito abundantius per idem tempus ap- p. 215.

<sup>f</sup> This, according to Fitz-Stephen, was

and the great inheritance of his wife, Queen Eleanor, the old kingdom of Aquitaine; they reached from the borders of Flanders round to the foot of the Pyrenees. This almost unrivalled power could not but have worked with the strong natural passions of Henry to form the character drawn by a churchman of great ability, who would warn Becket as to the formidable adversary whom he had undertaken to oppose,-""You have to deal with one on whose policy the most distant sovereigns of Europe, on whose power his neighbours, on whose severity his subjects look with awe; whom constant successes and prosperous fortune have rendered so sensitive, that every act of disobedience is a personal outrage; whom it is as easy to provoke as difficult to appease; who encourages no rash offence by impunity, but whose vengeance is instant and summary. He will sometimes be softened by humility and patience, but will never submit to compulsion; everything must seem to be conceded by his own free will, nothing wrested from his weakness. He is more covetous of glory than of gain, a commendable quality in a prince, if virtue and truth, not the vanity and soft flattery of courtiers, awarded that glory. He is a great, indeed the greatest of kings, for he has no superior of whom he may stand in dread, no subject who dares to resist him. His natural ferocity has been subdued by no calamity from without; all who have been involved in any contest with him, have preferred the most precarious treaty to a trial of strength with one so pre-eminent in wealth, in the number of his forces, and the greatness of his puissance."<sup>g</sup>

A king of this character would eagerly listen to suggestions of interested or flattering courtiers, that unless the Primate's power were limited the authority of the King would be reduced to nothing. The succession to the throne would depend entirely on the clergy, and he himself would reign only so long as might seem good to the Archbishop. Nor were they the baser courtiers alone who feared and

Becket he plays the part of confidential counsellor.—Grim, p. 29. R. P., p. 119. Will. Canterb., p. 6. Compare on Arnulf, Epist. 346, v. 11, p. 189.

<sup>&#</sup>x27; s See throughout this epistle of Arnulf among the English bishops, while to of Lisieux, Bouquet, p. 230. This same Arnulf was a crafty and double-dealing prelate. Grim and Roger de Pontigny say that he suggested to Henry the policy of making a party against Becket

hated Becket. The nobles might tremble from the example of De Clare, with whose powerful house almost all the Norman baronage was allied, lest every royal grant should be called in question.<sup>h</sup> Even among the clergy Becket had bitter enemies; and though at first they appeared almost as jealous as the Primate for the privileges of their order, the most able soon espoused the cause of the King: those who secretly favoured him were obliged to submit in silence.

The King, determined to bring these great questions to Parliament of issue, summoned a Parliament at Westminster. Westminster. He commenced the proceedings by enlarging on the abuses of the archidiaconal courts. The archdeacons kept the most watchful and inquisitorial superintendence over the laity, but every offence was easily commuted for a pecuniary fine, which fell to them. The King complained that they levied a revenue from the sins of the people equal to his own, yet that the public morals were only more deeply and irretrievably depraved. He then demanded that all clerks accused of heinous crimes should be immediately degraded and handed over to the officers of his justice, to be dealt with according to law; for their guilt, instead of deserving a lighter punishment, was doubly guilty : he demanded this in the name of equal justice and the peace of the realm. Becket insisted on delay till the next morning, in order that he might consult his suffragan bishops. This the King refused : the bishops withdrew to confer upon their answer. The bishops were disposed to yield, some doubtless impressed with the justice of the demand, some from fear of the King, some from a prudent conviction of the danger of provoking so powerful a monarch, and of involving the Church in a quarrel with Henry at the perilous time of a contest for the Papacywhich distracted Europe. Becket inflexibly maintained the inviolability of the holy persons of the clergy.' The King then demanded whether they would observe the "customs of the realm." "Saving my order," replied the Archbishop. That order was still to be exempt from

These are the words which FitzHerbert de Bosham, p. 109. Fitz-Stephen places in the mouths of the Stephen, p. 209, ct seq.
king's courtiers.

all jurisdiction but its own. So answered all the bishops except Hilary of Chichester, who made the declaration without reserve.<sup>k</sup> The King hastily broke up the assembly, and left London in a state of consternation, the people and the clergy agitated by conflicting anxieties. He immediately deprived Becket of the custody of the Royal Castles, which he still retained, and of the momentous charge, the education of his son. The bishops entreated Becket either to withdraw or to change the offensive word. At first he declared that if an angel from Heaven should counsel such weakness, he would hold him accursed. At length, however, he vielded, as Herbert de Bosham asserts, out of love for the King,<sup>m</sup> by another account at the persuasion of the Pope's Almoner, said to have been bribed by English gold.<sup>n</sup> He went to Oxford and made the concession.

The King, in order to ratify with the utmost solemnity the concession extorted from the bishops, and Jan. 1164. even from Becket himself, summoned a great council of the realm to Clarendon, a royal palace between three and four miles from Salisbury. The two Council of archbishops and eleven bishops, between thirty Charendon. and forty of the highest nobles, with numbers of inferior barons, were present. It was the King's object to settle beyond dispute the main points in contest between the Crown and the Church; to establish thus, with the consent of the whole nation, an English Constitution in Church and State. Becket, it is said, had been assured by some about the King that a mere assent would be demanded to vague and ambiguous, and therefore on occasion disputable customs. But when these customs, which had been collected and put in writing by the King's order, appeared in the form of precise and binding laws, drawn up with legal technicality by the Chief Justiciary, . he saw his error, wavered, and endeavoured to recede.° The King broke out into one of his ungovernable fits of

<sup>m</sup> Compare W. Canterb., p. 6.

. <sup>n</sup> Grim, p. 29.

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Dicens se observaturos regias contrary to his policy; and Edward Grim consuetudines bonà fide." writes thus: "Nam domestici regis, dato consentiente consilio, securum fecerant archepiscopum, quod . nunquam • Dr. Lingard supposes that Becket scriberentur leges, nunquam illarum fieret demanded that the customs should be reduced to writing. This seems quite

passion. One or two of the bishops who were out of favour with the King and two knights Templars on their knees implored Becket to abandon his dangerous, fruitless, and ill-timed resistance. The Archbishop took the oath, which had been already sworn to by all the lay barons. He was followed by the rest of the bishops, reluctantly according to one account, and compelled on one side by their dread of the lay barons, on the other by the example and authority of the Primate, according to Becket's biographers, eagerly and of their own accord.<sup>p</sup>

These famous constitutions were of course feudal in Constitutions their form and spirit. But they aimed at the of Charendon. subjection of all the great prelates of the realm to the Crown to the same extent as the great barons. The new constitution of England made the bishops' fiels to be granted according to the royal will, and subjected the whole of the clergy equally with the laity to the common laws of the land.<sup>q</sup> I. On the vacancy of every archbishopric, bishopric, abbey, or priory, the revenues came into the King's hands. He was to summon those who had the right of election, which was to take place in the King's Chapel, with his consent, and the counsel of nobles chosen by the King for this office. The prelate elect was immediately to do homage to the King as his liege lord, for life, limb, and worldly honours, excepting his order. The archbishops, bishops, and all beneficiaries, held their estates on the tenure of baronies. amenable to the King's justice, and bound to sit with the other barons in all pleas of the Crown, except in capital cases. No archbishop, bishop, or any other person could quit the realm without royal permission, or without taking an oath at the King's requisition, not to do any damage either going, staying, or returning, to the King or the kingdom.

II. All clerks accused of any crime were to be summoned before the King's Courts. The King's justiciaries were to decide whether it was a case for civil or ecclesiastical jurisdiction. Those which belonged to the latter were to be removed to the Bishops' Court. If the clerk was

 <sup>p</sup> See the letter of Gilbert Foliot, of published by Lord Lyttleton, Constituwhich I do not doubt the authenticity.
 <sup>q</sup> According to the Cottonian copy, CHAP. VIII. CONSTITUTIONS OF CLARENDON.

found guilty or confessed his guilt, the Church could protect him no longer.<sup>r</sup>

III. All disputes concerning advowsons and presentations to benefices were to be decided in the King's Courts; and the King's consent was necessary for the appointment to any benefice within the King's domain.<sup>s</sup>

IV. No tenant in chief of the King, none of the officers of the King's household, could be excommunicated, nor his lands placed under interdict, until due information had been laid before the King; or, in his absence from the realm, before the great Justiciary, in order that he might determine in each case the respective rights of the civil and ecclesiastical courts.<sup>\*</sup>

V. Appeals lay from the archdeacon to the bishop, from the bishop to the Archbishop. On failure of justice by the Archbishop, in the last resort to the King, who was to take care that justice was done in the Archbishop's Court; and no further appeal was to be made without the King's consent. This was manifestly and avowedly intended to limit appeals to Rome.

All these statutes, in number sixteen, were restrictions on the distinctive immunities of the clergy: one, and that unnoticed, was really an invasion of popular freedom; no son of a villein could be ordained without the consent of his lord.

Some of these customs were of doubtful authenticity. On the main question, the exorbitant powers of the ecclesiastical courts and the immunity of the clergy from all other jurisdiction, there was an unrepealed statute of William the Conqueror. Before the Conquest the bishop sate with the alderman in the same court. The statute of William created a separate jurisdiction of great extent in the spiritual court. This was not done to aggrandise the Church, of which in some respects the Conqueror was jealous, but to elevate the importance of the great Norman prelates whom he had thrust into the English sees. It raised another class of powerful feudatories to support the foreign throne, bound to it by common interest as well as by the attachment of race. But at this time neither party

Constitution iii.
 Constitutions i. and ii.

<sup>t</sup> Constitution vii., somewhat limited and explained by x. 2 H

VOL. III.

took any notice of the ancient statute. The King's advisers of course avoided the dangerous question; Becket and the Churchmen (Becket himself declared that he was unlearned in the customs), standing on the divine and indefeasible right of the clergy, could hardly rest on a recent statute granted by the royal will, and therefore liable to be annulled by the same authority. The Customs, they averred, were of themselves illegal, as clashing with higher irrepealable laws.

To these Customs Becket had now sworn without reserve. Three copies were ordered to be made--one for the Archbishop of Canterbury, one for York, one to be laid up in the royal archives. To these the King demanded the further guarantee of the seal of the different parties. The Primate, whether already repenting of his assent, or under the vague impression that this was committing himself still further for oaths might be absolved, seals could not be torn from public documents), now obstinately refused to make any further concession. The refusal threw suspicion on the sincerity of his former act. The King, the other prelates, the nobles, all but Becket," subscribed and sealed the Constitutions of Clarendon as the laws of England.

· As the Primate rode from Winchester in profound silence, meditating on the acts of the council and on his own conduct, one of his attendants, who has himself related the conversation, endeavoured to raise his spirits. "It is a fit punishment," said Becket, " for one who, not trained in the school of the Saviour, but in the King's court, a man of pride and vanity, from a follower of hawks and hounds, a patron of players, has dared to assume the care of so many souls."<sup>x</sup> De Bosham significantly reminded his master of St. Peter, his denial of the Lord, his subsequent repentance. On his return to Canterbury Becket imposed upon himself the severest mortification, and suspended himself from his function of offering the sacrifice on the altar. He wrote almost immediately to the

April 1. Pope to seek counsel and absolution from his oath. He received both. The absolution restored all his vivacity.

\* " Superbus et vanus, de pastore

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>u</sup> Herbert de Bosham. <sup>t</sup> Cante qui-dam non de plano negat, sed différendum dicebat adhuc." avium factus sum pastor ovium ; dudum fautor histrionum et eorum sectator toj animarum pastor."—De Bosham, p. 126. dicebat adhuc."

But the King had likewise his emissaries with the Pope at Sens. He endeavoured to obtain a legatine commission over the whole realm of England for Becket's enemy, Roger Archbishop of York, and a recommendation from the Pope to Becket to observe the "customs" of the realm. Two embassies were sent by the King for this end: first the Bishops of Lisieux and Poitiers; then Walter Ridel, Archdeacon of Canterbury (who afterwards appears so hostile to the Primate as to be called by him that archdevil. not archdeacon), and the subtle John of Oxford. The embarrassed Pope (throughout it must be remembered that there was a formidable Anti-pope), afraid at once of estranging Henry, and unwilling to abandon Becket, granted the legation to the Archbishop of York. To the Primate's great indignation, Roger had his cross borne before him in the province of Canterbury. On Becket's angry remonstrance, the Pope, while on the one hand he enjoined on Becket the greatest caution and forbearance in the inevitable contest, assured him that he would never permit the see of Canterbury to be subject to any authority but his own.<sup>y</sup>

Becket secretly went down to his estate at Romney. near the sea-coast, in the hope of crossing the straits, and so finding refuge and maintaining his cause by his personal presence with the Pope. Stormy weather forced him to abandon his design. He then betook himself to the King at Woodstock. He was coldly received. The King at first dissembled his knowledge of the Primate's attempt to cross the sea, a direct violation of one of the constitutions: but on his departure he asked with bitter jocularity whether Becket had sought to leave the realm because England could not contain himself and the King.<sup>z</sup>

April 21). The canons of San Frediano, in Lucca, refused to bury him, because he was already "buried in hell." The writer announces that the Emperor also was ill, that the Empress had miscarried, and that therefore all France adhered with greater devotion to Alexander; and the Legatine commission to the Archbishop of York had expired without hope of

<sup>1</sup> Read the Epistles, apud Giles, v. iv. recovery. The writer ventures, however, 1, 3, Bonquet, xvi. 210, to judge of the skilful steering and difficulties of the Pope. There is a very curious letter of an emissary of Becket, describing the death of the Antipope (he died at Lucca, Antil 21). The granes of San Frediene to suggest to Becket to conduct himself to suggest to hecket to conduct himself with modesty; to seek rather than avoid intercourse with the king.—Apud Giles, iv. 240; Bouquet, p. 210. See also the letter of John, Bishop of Poitiers, who says of the Pope, "Gravi redimit pœ-nitentiâ, illam qualem qualem quam Eboracensi (forecrit), conversionen " Eboracensi (fecerit), concessionem."-

Bouquet, p. 214, <sup>1</sup> I follow De Bosham. Fitz-Stephen says that he was repelled from the gates of the king's palace at Woodstock; and that he afterwards went to Romney to attempt to cross the sea.

2 H 2

The tergiversation of Becket, and his attempt thus to violate one of the Constitutions of Clarendon, to which he had sworn, showed that he was not to be bound by oaths. No treaty could be made where one party claimed the power of retracting, and might at any time be released from his covenant. In the mind of Henry, whose will had never yet met resistance, the determination was confirmed, if he could not subdue the Prelate, to crush the refractory subject Becket's enemies possessed the King's ear. Some of those enemies no doubt hated him. for his former favour with the King, some dreaded lest the severity of so inflexible a prelate should curb their licence, some held property belonging to or claimed by the Church, some to flatter the King, some in honest indignation at the duplicity of Becket and in love of peace, but all concurred to inflame the resentment of Henry, and to attribute to Becket words and designs insulting to the King and disparaging to the royal authority. Becket, holding such notions as he did of Church power, would not be cautious in asserting it; and whatever he might utter in his pride would be embittered rather than softened when repeated to the King.

Since the Council of Clarendon Becket stood alone. All the higher clergy, the great prelates of the kingdom, were now either his open adversaries or were compelled to dissemble their favour towards him. Whether alienated, as some declared, by his pusillanimity at Clarendon, bribed by the gifts, or overawed by the power of the King, whether conscientiously convinced that in such times of schism and division it might be fatal to the interests of the Church to advance her loftiest pretensions, all, especially the Archbishop of York, the Bishops of London, Salisbury, and Chichester, were arrayed on the King's side. Becket himself attributed the chief guilt of his persecution to the bishops. "The King would have been quiet if they had not been so tamely subservient to his wishes."<sup>a</sup>

Before the close of the year Becket was cited to appear Parliament at before a great council of the realm at Northamp-Northampton. ct. 6, 1164. ton. All England crowded to witness this final

<sup>&</sup>quot; Quievisset ille, si non acquievissent illi."-Becket, Epist. ii. p. 5. Compare the whole letter.

strife, it might be, between the royal and the ecclesiastical power. The Primate entered Northampton with only his own retinue; the King had passed the afternoon amusing himself with hawking in the pleasant meadows. around. The Archbishop, on the following morning after mass, appeared in the King's chamber with a cheerful. countenance. The King gave not, according to English custom, the kiss of peace.

The citation of the Primate before the King in council at Northampton was to answer a charge of withholding justice from John the Marshall employed in the king's exchequer, who claimed the estate of Pagaham from the see of Canterbury. Twice had Becket been summoned to appear in the king's court to answer for this denial of justice: once he had refused to appear, the second time he did not appear in person. Becket in vain alleged an informality in the original proceedings of John the Marshall.<sup>b</sup> The court, the bishops, as well as the barons, declared him guilty of contumacy; all his goods and chattels became, according to the legal phrase, at the king's mercy.° The fine was assessed at 500 pounds. Becket submitted, not without bitter irony: "This, then, is one of the new customs of Clarendon." But he protested against the unheard-of audacity that the bishops should presume to sit in judgment on their spiritual parent; it was a greater crime than to uncover their father's nakedness.<sup>d</sup> Sarcasms and protests passed alike without notice. But the bishops, all except Foliot, consented to become sureties for this exorbitant fine. Demands rising Demands on one above another seemed framed for the purpose Becket. of reducing the Archbishop to the humiliating condition of a debtor to the King, entirely at his disposal. First 300 pounds were demanded as due from the castles of Eve and Berkhampstead. Becket pleaded that he had expended a much larger sum in the repairs of the castles: he found sureties likewise for this payment, the Earl of

Stephen. d " Minus fore malum verenda patris

Gospels, but on a tropologium, a book according to those of London.-Fitzof church music.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Goods and chattels at the king's mercy were redeemable at a customary detecta deridere, quam patris ipsius per-fine: this fine, according to the customs sonam judicaré."—De Bosham, p. 135.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> He had been sworn not on the of Kent, would have been larger than

Gloucester, William of Eynsford, and another of "his men." The next day the demand was for 500 pounds lent by the King during the siege of Toulouse. Becket declared that this was a gift, not a loan;" but the King denying the plea, judgment was again entered against Becket. At last came the overwhelming charge, an account of all the monies received during his chancellorship from the vacant archbishopric and from other bishoprics and abbeys. The debt was calculated at the enormous sum of 44.000 marks. Becket was astounded at this unexpected claim. As chancellor, in all likelihood, he had kept no very strict account of what was expended in his own and in the royal service; and the King seemed blind to this abuse of the royal right, by which so large a sum had accumulated by keeping open those benefices which ought to have been instantly filled. Becket, recovered from his first amazement, replied that he had not been cited to answer on such charge; at another time he should be prepared to answer all just demands of the Crown. He now requested delay, in order to advise with his suffragans and the clergy. He withdrew; but from that time no single baron visited the object of the royal disfavour. Becket assembled all the poor, even the beggars, who could be found, to fill his vacant board.

In his extreme exigency the Primate consulted sepa-Takes counsel with the bishops, then the abbots. Their bishops. advice was different according to their characters and their sentiments towards him. He had what might seem an unanswerable plea, a formal acquittance from the chief Justiciary De Luei, the King's representative, for all obligations incurred in his civil capacity before his consecration as archbishop.<sup>f</sup> . The King, however, it was known, declared that he had given no such authority. Becket had the further excuse that all which he now possessed was the property of the Church, and could not be made liable for

e Fitz-Stephen states this demand at 500 marks, and a second 500 for which a bond had been given to a Jew.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Neither party denied this acquittance 'Neither party denied this acquittance given in the King's name by the justiciary Richard de Luci. This, it should seem, unusual precaution, or at least this precaution taken with such unusual

care, seems to imply some suspicion that, without it, the archbishop was liable to be called to account; an account which probably, from the splendid prodigality with which Becket had lavished the King's money and his own, it night be difficult or inconvenient to produce.

responsibilities incurred in a secular capacity. The bishops, however, were either convinced of the insufficiency or the inadmissibility of that plea. Henry of Winchester recommended an endeavour to purchase the King's pardon; he offered 2000 marks as his contribution. Others urged Becket to stand on his dignity, to defy the worst, under the shelter of his priesthood; no one would venture to lay hands on a holy prelate. Foliot and his party be-trayed their object.<sup>g</sup> They exhorted him as the only way of averting the implacable wrath of the King at once to resign his see. "Would," said Hilary of Chichester, "vou were no longer archbishop, but plain Thomas. Thou knowest the King better than we do; he has declared that thou and he cannot remain together in England, he as King, thou as Primate. Who will be bound for such an amount? Throw thyself on the King's mercy, or to the eternal disgrace of the Church thou wilt be arrested and imprisoned as a debtor to the Crown." The next day was Sunday; the Archbishop did not leave his lodgings. On Monday the agitation of his spirits had brought on an attack of a disorder to which he was subject: he was permitted to repose. On the morrow he had determined on his conduct. At one time he had seriously meditated on a more humiliating course: he proposed to seek the royal presence barefooted with the cross in his hands, to throw himself at the King's feet, appealing to his old affection, and imploring him to restore peace to the Church. What had been the effect of such a step on the violent but not ungenerous heart of Henry? But Becket yielded to haughtier counsels more congenial to his own intrepid character. He began by the significant act of celebrating, out of its due order, the service of St. Stephen, the first martyr. It contained passages of holy writ (as no doubt Henry was instantly informed) concerning "king's taking counsel against the godly." The mass concluded; in all the majesty of his holy character, in his full pontifical habits, himself bearing the archiepis-- copal cross, the primate rode to the King's residence, and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> In an account of this affair, written vulgo dicitur, et creditur, in nostram later, Becket accuses Foliot of aspiring perniciem, utinam minus ambitiosè, to the primacy—"et qui adspirabant, quam avidè." This could be none but ad fastigium ccclesia Cantuarensis, ut Foliot.—Epist. 1xxv. p. 154.

dismounting entered the royal hall. The cross seemed, as Becket in the it were, an uplifting of the banner of the Church, King's hall. in defiance of that of the King, in the royal presence;<sup>h</sup> or it might be in that awful imitation of the Saviour, at which no scruple was ever made by the bolder churchmen-it was the servant of Christ who himself bore his own cross. "What means this new fashion of the Archbishop bearing his own cross?" said the Archdeacon of Lisieux. "A fool," said Foliot, "he always was and always will be." They made room for him; he took his accustomed seat in the centre of the bishops. Foliot endeavoured to persuade him to lay down the cross. "If the sword of the king and the cross of the archbishop were to come into conflict, which were the more fearful weapon?" Becket held the cross firmly, which Foliot and the Bishop of Hereford strove, but in vain, to wrest from his grasp.

The bishops were summoned into the King's presence: Becket sate alone in the outer hall. The Archbishop of York, who, as Becket's partisans asserted, designedly came later that he might appear to be of the King's intimate council, swept through the hall with his cross borne before Like hostile spears cross confronted cross.<sup>i</sup> him.

During this interval De Bosham, the archbishop's reader, who had reminded his master, that he had been standard-bearer of the King of England, and was now the standard-bearer of the King of the Angels, put this ques tion, "If they should lay their impious hands upon thee, art thou prepared to fulminate excommunication against them?" Fitz-Stephen, who sate at his feet, said in a loud clear voice, "That be far from thee; so did not the Apos tles and Martyrs of God: they prayed for their persecu tors and forgave them." Some of his more attached for lowers burst into tears. "A little later," says the faithful Fitz-Stephen of himself, "when one of the King's ushers

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>h</sup> "Tanquam in prælio Domini, signifer Domini, vexillum Domini erigens:
illud etiam Domini non solum spiritualiter, sed et figuraliter implens. 'Si quis,' inquit, 'vult meus esse discipulus, abneget semet ipsum, tollat erucem suam et sequatur me.'"—De Bosham, p. 143.
Compare the letter of the Bishops to

would not allow me to speak to the Archbishop, I made a sign to him and drew his attention to the Saviour on the cross."

The bishops admitted to the King's presence announced the appeal of the Archbishop to the Pope, and his inhibition to his suffragans to sit in judgment in a secular council on their metropolitan.\* These were again direct infringements on two of the constitutions of Clarendon, sworn to by Becket in an oath still held valid by the King and his barons. The King appealed to the council. Some seized the occasion of boldly declaring to the King that he had brought this difficulty on himself by advancing a low-born man to such favour and dignity. All Contemnation agreed that Becket was guilty of perjury and of Becket. treason.<sup>m</sup> A kind of low acclamation followed which was. heard in the outer room and made Becket's followers tremble. The King sent certain counts and barons to demand of Becket whether he, a liegeman of the King, and sworn to observe the constitutions of Clarendon, had lodged this appeal and pronounced this inhibition? The Archbishop replied with quiet intrepidity. In his long speech he did not hesitate for a word : he pleaded that he had not been cited to answer these charges; he alleged again the Justiciary's acquittance; he ended by solemnly renewing his inhibition and his appeal: "My person and my church I place under the protection of the sovereign Pontiff."

The barons of Normandy and England heard with wonder this defiance of the King. Some seemed awestruck and were mute; the more fierce and lawless could not restrain their indignation. "The Conqueror knew best how to deal with these turbulent churchmen. He seized his own brother, Odo Bishop of Bayeux, and chastised him for his rebellion; he threw Stigand, Archbishop of Canterbury, into a foetid dungeon. The Count of Anjou, the King's father, treated still worse the bishop elect of Seez and many of his clergy: he ordered them to be shamefully mutilated and derided their sufferings."

huc, ipsâ die, intra decem dies datæ sententiæ, eos ad dominum Papam appellaverat, et ne de cetero eum judicarent pro seculari querelâ, quæ de tempore ante

\* "Dicebant enim episcopi, quod ad- archipræsulatum ei moveretur, auctoritate domini Papæ prohibuit."-Fitz-Stephen, p. 230.

<sup>m</sup> Herbert de Bosham, p. 146.

The King summoned the bishops, on their allegiance as barons, to join in the sentence against Becket. But the inhibition of their metropolitan had thrown them into embarrassment, and perhaps they felt that the offence of Becket, if not capital treason, bordered upon it. It might be a sentence of blood, in which no churchman might concur by his suffrage-they dreaded the breach of canonical obedience. They entered the hall where Becket sate alone. The gentler prelates, Robert of Lincoln and others, were moved to tears; even Henry of Winchester advised the archbishop to make an unconditional surrender of his see. The more vehement Hilary of Chichester addressed him thus: "Lord Primate, we have just cause of . complaint against you. Your inhibition has placed us between the hammer and the anvil: if we disobey it, we violate our canonical obedience; if we obey, we infringe the constitutions of the realm and offend the King's majesty. Yourself were the first to subscribe the customs at Clarendon, you now compel us to break them. We appeal, by the King's grace, to our lord the Pope." Becket answered "I hear."

They returned to the King, and with difficulty obtained an exemption from concurrence in the sentence; they promised to join in a supplication to the Pope to depose Becket. The King permitted their appeal. Robert Earl of Leicester, a grave and aged nobleman, was commissioned to pronounce the sentence. Leicester had hardly begun when Becket sternly interrupted him. "Thy sentence! son and Earl, hear me first! The King was pleased to promote me against my will to the archbishopric of Canterbury. I was then declared free from all secular obligations. Ye are my children; presume ve against law and reason to sit in judgment on your spiritual father? I am to be judged only, under God, by the Pope. To him I appeal, before him I cite you, barons and my suffragans, to appear. Under the protection of the Catholic Church and the Apostolic See I depart !"" He rose and walked slowly down the hall. A deep murmur ran through the crowd. Some took up

• De Bosham's account is, that not- to the word "perjured," on which • withstanding the first interruption, Lei- Becket rose and spoke.

cester reluctantly proceeded till he came

straws and threw them at him. One uttered the word "Traitor!" The old chivalrous spirit woke in the soul of Becket. "Were it not for my order, you should rue But by other accounts he restrained not his that word." language to this pardonable impropriety—he met scornwith One officer of the King's household he upbraided scorn. for having had a kinsman hanged. Anselm, the King's brother, he called "bastard and Catamite." The door was locked, but fortunately the key was found. He passed out into the street, where he was received by the populace, to whom he had endeared himself by his charities, his austerities, perhaps by his courageous opposition to the king and the nobles, amid loud acclamations. They pressed so closely around him for his blessing that he could scarcely guide his horse. He returned to the church of St. Andrew, placed his cross by the altar of the Virgin. "This was a fearful day," said Fitz-Stephen. "The day of judgment," he replied, "will be more fearful." After supper he sent the Bishops of Hereford, Worcester, and Rochester to the King to request permission to leave the kingdom: the King coldly deferred his answer till the morrow.

Becket and his friends no doubt thought his life in danger: he is said to have received some alarming warnings.° It is reported, on the other hand, that the King, apprehensive of the fierce zeal of his followers, issued a proclamation that no one should do harm to the archbishop or his people. It is more likely that the King, who must have known the peril of attempting the life of an archbishop, would have apprehended and committed him to prison. Becket expressed his intention to pass the night in the church: his bed was strewn before the altar. At midnight he rose, and with only two monks and Fught of a servant stole out of the northern gate, the only Oct. 13. one which was not guarded. He carried with him only his archiepiscopal pall and his seal. The weather was wet and stormy, but the next morning they reached Lincoln, and lodged with a pious citizen-piety and admiration of Becket were the same thing. At Lincoln he took the disguise of a monk, dropped down the Witham to a

<sup>o</sup> De Bosham, p. 150.

hermitage in the fens belonging to the Cistercians of Sempringham; thence by cross-roads, and chiefly by night, he found his way to Estrey, about five miles from Deal, a manor belonging to Christ Church in Canterbury. He remained there a week. On All Souls Day he went on board a boat, just before morning, and by the evening reached the coast of Flanders. To avoid observation he landed on the open shore near Gravelincs. His large, loose shoes made it difficult to wade through the sand without falling. He sate down in despair. After some delay was obtained for a prelate accustomed to the prancing war-horse or stately cavalcade a sorry nag without a saddle, and with a wisp of hay for a bridle. But he soon got weary and was fain to walk. He had many adventures by the way. He was once nearly betraved by gazing with delight on a falcon upon a young squire's wrist; his fright punished him for this relapse into his secular vanities. The host of a small inn recognised him by his lofty look and the whiteness of his hands. At length he arrived at the monastery of Clair Marais, near St. Omer: he was there joined by Herbert de Bosham, who had been left behind to collect what money he could at Canterbury : he brought but 100 marks and some plate. While he was in this part of Flanders the Justiciary, Richard de Luci, passed through the town on his way to England. He tried in vain to persuade the archbishop to return with him: Becket suspected his friendly overtures, or had resolutely determined not to put himself again in the King's power.

In the first access of indignation at Becket's flight the King had sent orders for strict watch to be kept in the ports of the kingdom; especially Dover. The next measure was to pre-occupy the minds of the Count of Flanders, the King of France, and the Pope against his fugitive subject. Henry could not but foresee how formidable an ally the exile might become to his rivals and enemies, how dangerous to his extensive but ill-consolidated foreign dominions. He might know that Becket would act and be received as an independent potentate. The rank of his ambassadors implied the importance of their mission to France. They were the Archbishop of York, the Bishops of London, Exeter, Chichester, and Worcester, the Earl of Arundel, and three other distinguished nobles. The same day that Becket passed to Gravelines, they crossed from Dover to Calais.<sup>p</sup>

The Earl of Flanders, though with some cause of hostility to Becket, had offered him a refuge; yet Becket in perhaps was not distinctly informed or would not exile. know that the exile was in his dominions.<sup>q</sup> He received the King's envoys with civility. The King of France was at Compiègne. The strongest passions in the feeble mind of Louis VII. were jealousy of Henry of England, and a servile bigotry to the Church, to which he seemed determined to compensate for the hostility and disobedience of his youth. Against Henry, personally, there were oldcauses of hatred rankling in his heart, not the less deep because they could not be avowed. Henry of England was now the husband of Eleanor, who, after some years of marriage, had contemptuously divorced the King of France as a monk rather than a husband, had thrown From 1152 to herself into the arms of Henry and carried with 1164. her a dowry as large as half the kingdom of France. There had since been years either of fierce war, treacherous negotiations, or jealous and armed peace between the rival sovereigns.

Louis had watched, and received regular accounts of the proceedings in England; his admiration of Becket for his lofty churchmanship and daring opposition to Henry was at its height, scarcely disguised. He had already in secret offered to receive Becket, not as a fugitive, but as the sharer in his kingdom. The ambassadors appeared before Louis and presented a letter urging the King of France not to admit within his dominions the traitor Thomas, late Archbishop of Canterbury. "Late Archbishop! and who has presumed to depose him? Louis of I am a king, like my brother of England; I France. should not dare to depose the meanest of my clergy. Is this

<sup>p</sup> Foliot and the King's envoys crossed the same day. It is rather amusing that, hough Becket crossed the same day in <sup>q</sup> Compare, however, Roger of Ponthe same day. It is rather amusing that, though Becket crossed the same day in an open boat, and, as is incautiously be-trayed by his friends, suffered much from the rough sea, the weather is described as in his case almost miraculously eius fovebat?), would have arrested him. favourable, in the other as miraculously He escaped over the border by a trick. tempestuous. So that while Becket —Roger de Pontigny, p. 148.

tiany. By his account, the Count of Flanders, a relative and partisan of Henry ("consanguineus et qui partes the King's gratitude for the services of his Chancellor, to banish him from France, as he has done from England?<sup>4r</sup> Louis wrote a strong letter to the Pope, recommending to his favour the cause of Becket as his own.

The ambassadors passed onward to Sens, where resided Ambassadors the Pope Alexander III., himself an exile, and at Sens. opposing his spiritual power to the highest temporal authority, that of the Emperor and his subservient Antipope. Alexander was in a position of extraordinary difficulty : on the one side were gratitude to King Henry for his firm, support, the fear of estranging so powerful a sovereign, on whose unrivalled wealth he reckoned as the main strength of his cause; on the other, the dread of offending the King of France, also his faithful partisan, in whose dominions he was a refugee, and the duty, the interest, the strong inclination to maintain every privilege of the hierarchy. To Henry Alexander almost owed his pontificate. His first and most faithful adherents had been Theobald the primate, the English Church, and Henry King of England; and when the weak Louis had entered into dangerous negotiations at Lannes with the Emperor; when at Dijon he had almost placed himself in the power of Frederick, and his voluntary or enforced defection had filled Alexander with dread, the advance of Henry of England with a powerful force to the neighbourhood rescued the French king from his perilous position.<sup>s</sup> 'And now, though Victor the Antipope was dead, a successor, Guido of Crema, had been set up by the imperial party, and Frederick would lose no opportunity of gaining, if any serious quarrel should alienate him from Alexander, a monarch of such surpassing power. An envoy from England, John Cummins, was even now at the imperial court.<sup>t</sup>

Becket's messengers, before the reception of Henry's ambassadors by Pope Alexander, had been admitted to a private interview. The account of Becket's "fight with beasts" at Northampton, and a skilful parallel with St. Paul, had melted the heart of the Pontiff, as he no doubt thought himself suffering like persecutions, to a flood of

• See back, page 432.

<sup>t</sup> Epist. Nuntii; Giles, iv. 254; Bouquet, p. 217.

r Giles, iv. 253; Bouquet, p. 217.

How in truth could a Pope venture to abandon tears. such a champion of what were called the liberties of the church? He had, in fact, throughout been in secret correspondence with Becket. Whenever letters could escape the jealous watchfulness of the King, they had passed between England and Sens."

The ambassadors of Henry were received in state in the open consistory. Foliot of London began The King's ambassadors with his usual ability; his warmth at length be- au sens. trayed him into the Scriptural citation,-"The wicked fleeth when no man pursueth." "Forbear," said the Pope. "I will forbear him," answered Foliot. "It is for thine own sake, not for his, that I bid thee forbear." The Pope's severe manner silenced the Bishop of London. Hilary, Bishop of Chichester, who had overweening confidence in his own eloquence, began a long harangue; but at a fatal blunder in his Latin, the whole Italian court burst into laughter.\* The discomfited orator tried in vain to proceed. The Archbishop of York spoke with prudent brevity. The Count of Arundel, more cautious or less learned, used his native Norman. His speech was mild, grave, and conciliatory, and therefore the most embarrassing to the Pontiff. Alexander consented to send his cardinal legates to England; but neither the arguments of Foliot, nor those of Arundel, who now rose to something like a menace of recourse to the Antipope, would induce him to invest them with full power. The Pope would entrust to none but to himself the prerogative of final judgment. Alexander mistrusted the venality of his cardinals, and Henry's subsequent dealing with some of them justified his mistrust.<sup>y</sup>. He was himself inflexible to tempting offers. The envoys privately proposed to extend the payment of

" Becket writes from England to the est et indignatus." The Welsh were in arms against the King: this borders on high treason.—Apud Giles, iii. 1. Bouquet, 221. \* The word "oportuebat" was too

bad for monkish, or rather for Roman, ears.

<sup>y</sup> According to Roger of Pontigny, there were some of them "qui acceptâ

Pope: "Quod petimus, summo silentio petimus occultari. Nihil enim nobis tutum est, quum omnia ferè referuntur ad regem, quæ nobis in conclavi vel in aurem dicuntur." There is a significant clause at the end of this letter, which implies that the emissaries of the Church there were some of them "qui accepta did not confine themselves to Church there were some of them "qui accepta affairs: "De Wallensibus et Oweno, qui a rege pecunia partes ejus fovebat," particularly William of Pavia.—p. 153.

Peter's Pence to almost all classes, and to secure the tax in perpetuity to the see of Rome. The ambassadors retreated in haste; their commission had been limited to a few days. The bishops, so strong was the popular feeling in France for Becket, had entered Sens as retainers of the Earl of Arundel: they 'received intimation that certain lawless knights in the neighbourhood had determined to waylay and plunder these enemies of the Church, and of the saintly Becket.

Far different was the progress of the exiled primate. From St. Bertin he was escorted by the abbot, and by the Bishop of Terouenne. He entered France; he was met, as he approached Soissons, by the King's brothers, the Archbishop of Rheims, and a long train of bishops, abbots, and dignitaries of the church; he entered Soissons at the head of 300 horsemen. The interview of Louis Becket at Sens. with Becket raised his admiration into passion. As the envoys of Henry passed on one side of the river, they saw the pomp in which the ally of the King of France, rather than the exile from England, was approaching Sens. The cardinals, whether from prudence, jealousy, or other motives, were cool in their reception of Becket, The Pope at once granted the honour of a public audience; he placed Becket on his right hand, and would not allow him to rise to speak. Becket, after a skilful account of his hard usage. spread out the parchment which contained the Constitutions of Clarendon. They were read; the whole Consistory exclaimed against the violation of ecclesiastical privileges. On further examination the Pope acknowledged that six of them were less evil than the rest; on the remaining ten he pronounced his unqualified condemnation. He rebuked the weakness of Becket in swearing to these articles, it is said, with the severity of a father, the tenderness of a mother.<sup>2</sup> He consoled him with the assurance that he had atoned by his sufferings and his patience for his brief infirmity. Becket pursued his advantage. The next day, by what might seem to some trustful magnanimity, to others, a skilful mode of getting rid of certain objections which had been raised concerning his election, he tendered the resignation of his

\* Herbert de Bosham.

archiepiscopate to the Pope. Some of the more politic, it was said, more venal cardinals, entreated the Pontiff to put an end at once to this dangerous quarrel by accepting the surrender.<sup>a</sup> But the Pontiff (his own judgment being supported among others by the Cardinal Hyacinth) restored to him the archiepiscopal ring, thus ratifying his primacy. He assured Becket of his protection, and committed him to the hospitable care of the Abbot of Pontigny, a monastery about twelve leagues from Sens. "So long have you lived in ease and opulence, now learn the lessons of poverty from the poor." Yet Alexander thought it prudent to inhibit any proceedings of Becket against the King till the following Easter.

Becket's emissaries had been present during the interview of Henry's ambassadors with the Pope. Henry, no doubt, received speedy intelligence of these proceedings with Becket. He was at Marlborough after a disastrous Effect on campaign in Wales.º He issued immediate orders King Henry. to seize the revenues of the Archbishop, and promulgated a mandate to the bishops to sequester the estates wrath of of all the clergy who had followed him to France. Henry. He forbade public prayers for the Primate. In the exasperated state, especially of the monkish mind, prayers for Becket would easily slide into anathemas against the King. The payment of Peter's Pence<sup>d</sup> to the Pope was suspended. All correspondence with Becket was forbidden. But the resentment of Henry was not satisfied. He passed a sentence of banishment, and ordered at once to be driven from the kingdom all the primate's kinsmen,

<sup>b</sup> The Abbot of Pontigny was an ardent admirer of Becket. See letter of the Bishop of Poitiers, Bouquet, p. 214. Prayers were offered up throughout the struggle with Henry for Becket's success at Pontigny, Citeaux, and Clairvaux.— Giles, iv. 255.

<sup>e</sup> Compare Lingard. Becket on this news exclaimed, as is said, "His wise men are become fools; the Lord hath sent among them a spirit of giddiness; they have made Eugland to reel to and fro like a drunken man."—Vol. iii. p. 227.

VOL. III.

No doubt, he would have it supposed God's vengeance for his own wrongs.

<sup>d</sup> There are in Foliot's letters many curious circumstances about the collection and transmission of Peter's Pence. In Alexander's present state, notwithstanding the amity of the King of France, this source of revenue was no doubt important.—Epist. 149, 172, &c. Alexander wrote from Clermont to Foliot (June 8, 1665) to collect the tax, to do all in his power for the recal of Becket: to Henry, reprobating the Constitutions; to Becket, urging prudence and circumspection. This was later. The Pope was then on his way to Italy, where he might need Henry's gold.

2 I

.

<sup>•</sup> Alani Vita (p. 362); and Alan's Life rests mainly on the authority of John of Salisbury. Herbert de Bosham suppresses this.

dependents, and friends. Four hundred persons, it is said, of both sexes, of every age, even infants at the breast were included (and it was the depth of winter) in this relentless edict. Every adult was to take an oath to proceed immediately to Becket, in order that his eyes might be shocked, and his heart wrung by the miseries which he had brought on his family and his friends. This order was as inhumanly executed, as inhumanly enacted.<sup>e</sup> It was intrusted to Randulph de Broc, a fierce soldier, the bitterest of Becket's personal enemies. It was as impolitic The monasteries and convents of Flanders and as cruel. of France were thrown open to the exiles with generous hospitality. Throughout both these countries was spread a multitude of persons appealing to the pity, to the indignation of all orders of the people, and so deepening the universal hatred of Henry. The enemy of the Church was self-convicted of equal enmity to all Christianity of heart.

In his seclusion at Pontigny Becket seemed determined to compensate by the sternest monastic discipline for that.

Becket at deficiency which had been alleged on his election Pontigny. to the archbishopric. He put on the coarse Cistercian dress. He lived on the hard and scanty Cistercian diet. Outwardly he still maintained something of his old magnificence and the splendour of his station. His establishment of horses and retainers was so costly, that his sober friend, John of Salisbury, remonstrated against the profuse expenditure. Richer viands were indeed served on a table apart, ostensibly for Becket; but while he himself was content with the pulse and gruel of the monks, those meats and game were given away to the beggars. His devotions were long and secret, broken with perpetual groans. At night he rose from the bed strewn with rich coverings, as beseeming an archbishop, and summoned his chaplain to the work of flagellation. Not satisfied with this, he tore his flesh with his nails, and lay on the cold floor, with a stone for his pillow. His health suffered; wild dreams, so reports one of his attendants, haunted his broken slumbers, of cardinals plucking out his eyes, fierce assassing cleaving his tonsured crown.<sup>f</sup> His studies

\* Becket, Epist. 4, p. 7.

<sup>1</sup> Edw. Grim.

were neither suited to calm his mind, nor to abase his hierarchical haughtiness. He devoted his time to the canon law, of which the False Decretals now formed an integral part: sacerdotal fraud justifying the loftiest sacerdotal presumption. John of Salisbury again interposed with friendly remonstrance. He urged him to withdraw from these undevotional inquiries; he recommended to him the works of a Pope of a different character, the Morals of Gregory the Great. He exhorted him to confer with holy men on books of spiritual improvement.

The King in the meantime took a loftier and more menacing tone towards the Pope. "It is an un- Negotiations heard of thing that the court of Rome should with the Emperor. support traitors against my sovereign authority; I have not deserved such treatment.<sup>g</sup> I am still more indignant that the justice is denied to me which is granted to the meanest clerk." In his wrath he made overtures to Reginald, Archbishop of Cologne, the maker, he might be called, of two Antipopes, and the minister of the Emperor. declaring that he had long sought an opportunity of falling off from Alexander, and his perfidious cardinals, who presumed to support against him the traitor Thomas, late Archbishop of Canterbury.

The Emperor met the advances of Henry with promptitude, which showed the importance he attached to the alliance. Reginald of Cologne was sent to England to propose a double alliance with the house of Swabia, of Frederick's son, and of Henry the Lion, with the two daughters of Henry Plantagenet. The Pope trembled at this threatened union between the houses of Swabia and England. At the great diet held at Wurtzburg, Diet at Frederick asserted the canonical election of Paschal III., the new Antipope, and declared in the Whitsuntide. face of the empire and of all Christendom, that the powerful kingdom of England had now embraced his cause, and that the King of France stood alone in his support of Alexander.<sup>h</sup> In his public edict he declared to all Christendom that the

Oxford is said to have denied the oath • houquet, XVI. 250. • The letters of John of Salisbury are (p. 533); also Giles, iv. 264. He is from full of allusions to the proceedings at that time branded by John of Salisbury Wurtzburg.—Bouquet, p. 524. John of as an arch liar.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>g</sup> Bouquet, xvi. 256.

oath of fidelity to Paschal, of denial of all future allegiance to Alexander, administered to all the great princes and prelates of the empire, had been taken by the ambassadors of King Henry, Richard of Ilchester, and John of Oxford.<sup>i</sup> Nor was this all. A solemn oath of abjuration of Pope Alexander was enacted, and to some extent enforced; it was to be taken by every male under twelve years old throughout the realm.<sup>k</sup> The King's officers compelled this act of obedience to the King, in villages, in castles, in cities.

If the ambassadors of Henry at Wurtzburg had full powers to transfer the allegiance of the King to the Antipope; if they took the oath unconditionally, and with no reserve in case Alexander should abandon the cause of Becket ; if this oath of abjuration in England was generally administered; it is clear that Henry soon changed, or wavered at least in his policy. The alliance between the two houses came to nothing. Yet even after this he addressed a letter to Reginald, Archbishop of Cologne, declaring again that he had long sought occasion of falling off from Alexander and his perfidious cardinals, who presumed to support against their King, Thomas, late Archbishop of Canterbury. He demanded safe conduct for an embassy to Rome, the Archbishop of York, the Bishop of London, John of Oxford, De Luci, the Justiciary, peremptorily to require the Pope to annul all the acts of Thomas, and to command the observance of the Customs.<sup>m</sup> The success of

this service by the deanery of Salisbury, vacant by the promotion of the dean to the bishopric of Bayeux. Joscelin, Bishop of Salisbury, notwithstanding the papal prohibition that no election should take place in the absence of some of the canons, chose the safer course of obedience to the King's mandate. This act of Joscelin was deeply resented by act of Joscelin was deeply resented by Becket. John of Oxford's usurpation of the deanery was one of the causes assigned for his excommunication at Vezelay. See also, on the loyal but somewhat unscrupulous proceedings of John of Oxford, the letter (hereafter re-ferred to) of Nicolas de Monte Roto-ingensi. It describes the attempt of John of Oxford to prepossess the Em-press Matilda against Becket. It like-wise betrays again the double-dealing ferred to) of Nicolas de Monte Roto-

i John of Oxford was rewarded for of the Bishop of Lisieux, outwardly for the King, secretly a partisan and adviser of Becket. On the whole, it shews the moderation and good sense of the empress, who disapproved of some of the Constitutions, and especially of their being written, but speaks strongly of the abuses in the Church. Nicolas admires her skilfulness in defending her son.---

Giles, iv. 187. Bouquet, 226. \* "Præcepit enim publice et compulit

Alexander in Italy, aversion in England to the abjuration of Alexander, some unaccounted jealousy with the Emperor, irresolution in Henry, which was part of his impetuous character, may have wrought this change.

The monk and severe student of Pontigny found rest neither in his austerities nor his studies.<sup>n</sup> The Becket cites causes of this enforced repose are manifest --- the negotiations between Henry and the Emperor, the uncertainty of the success of the Pope on his return to Italy. It would have been perilous policy, either for him to risk, or for the Pope not to inhibit any rash measure.

In the second year of his seclusion, when he found that the King's heart was still hardened, the fire, not, we are assured by his followers, of resentment, but of parental love, not zeal for vengeance but for justice, burned within his soul. Henry was at this time in France. Three times the exile cited his sovereign with the tone of a superior to submit to his censure. Becket had communicated his design to his followers :--- "Let us act as the Lord commanded his steward :" 'See, I have set thee over the nations. and over the kingdoms, to root out and to pull down, and to destroy, and to hew down, to build and to plant."'P All his hearers applauded his righteous resolution. In the first message the haughty meaning was veiled in the blandest words,<sup>q</sup> and sent by a Cistercian of gentle demeanour, named Urban.' The King returned a short and bitter answer. The second time Becket wrote in severer Aanguage, but yet in the spirit, 'tis said, of compassion and leniency." The King deigned no reply. His third messenger was a tattered, barefoot friar. To him Becket, it might seem, with studied insult, not only entrusted his letter to the King, but authorised the friar to speak in his name. With such a messenger the message was not likely

agreeing with Baronius, in 1166; by Von So writes Roger of Pontigny. It is Raumer (Geschichte der Hohenstauffen, ii. p. 192) in 1165, before the Diet of Wurtzburg. This cannot be right, as the letter implies that Alexander was in Rome, where he arrived not before Nov. 1165. The embassy, though it seems that the Emperor granted the safe conduct, did not take place, at least as regards some of the ambassadors. " "Itaque per biennium ferme stetit."

difficult to make out so long a time .-p. 154.

<sup>o</sup> Herbert de Bosham.—p. 226.

P Jer. i. 10.

<sup>4</sup> "Suavissimas literas, supplicationem solam, correptionem vero nullam vel modicam continentes."-De Bosham.

 Urbane by disposition as by name.— Ibid.

\* Giles, iii. 365. Bouquet, p. 243.

to lose in asperity. The King returned an answer even more contemptuous than the address.<sup>t</sup>

But this secret arraignment of the King did not content. Nov. 11, 1165. the unquiet prelate. He could now dare more, unrestrained, unrebuked. Pope Alexander had been received at Rome with open arms: at the commencement of the present year all seemed to favour his cause. The Emperor, detained by wars in Germany, was not prepared to cross the Alps. In the free cities of Italy, the anti-imperialist feeling, and the growing republicanism, gladly entered into close confederacy with a Pope at war with the Emperor. The Pontiff (secretly it should seem, it might be in defiance or in revenge for Henry's threatened revolt and for the acts of his ambassadors at Wurtzburg<sup>u</sup>) ventured to grant to Becket a legatine power over the King's English dominions, except the province of York. Though it was not in the power of Becket to enter those dominions, it armed him, as it was thought, with unquestionable authority over Henry and his subjects. At all events it annulled whatever restraint the Pope, by counsel or by mandate, had placed on the proceedings of Becket.<sup>x</sup> The Archbishop took his determination alone.<sup>y</sup> As though to throw an awful mystery about his plan, he called his wise friends together, and consulted them on the propriety of resigning his see. With one voice they rejected the timid counsel. Yet though his most intimate followers were in ignorance of his designs, some intelligence of a meditated blow was betrayed to Henry. The

oribus, reporta et al profinances, duri a oribus, reporta verunt."—De Bosham. "The Pope had written (Jan. 28) to the bishops of England not to presume to act without the consent of Thomas, Archbishop of Canterbury. April 5, he forbade Roger of York and the other prelates to crown the King's son. May 3, he writes to Foliot and the bishops who had received benefices of the King to surrender them under pain of anathema; to Becket in favour of Joscelin, Bishop of Salisbury : he had annulled the grant of the deanery of Salisbury to John of Oxford. May 10, to the Archbishop of Rouen, denouncing the dealings of Henry with the Emperor and the Antipope.-Giles, iv. 10 a 80. Bouquet, 246.

\* The inhibition given at Sens to proceed against the King, before the Easter of the following year (A.D. 1166), had now expired. Moreover he had a direct commission to proceed by Commination against those who forcibly withheld the Apud Giles, iv. 8. Bouquet, xvi. 844. At the same time the Pope urged great discretion as to the King's person.— Giles, iv. 12. Bouquet, 244.

<sup>y</sup> At the same time Becket wrote to Foliot of London, commanding him under penalty of excommunication to transmit to him the sequestered revenues of Canterbury in his hands.—Foliot appealed to the Pope.—Foliot's Letter. Giles, vi. 5. Bouquet, 215.

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Quin potius dura propinantes, dura

King summoned an assembly of prelates at Chinon. The Bishops of Lisieux and Seez, whom the Archbishop of Rouen, Rotran, consented to accompany as a mediator, were despatched to Pontigny, to anticipate, by an appeal to the Pope, any sentence which might be pronounced by Becket. They did not find him there: he had already gone to Soissons, on the pretext of a pilgrimage to the shrine of St. Drausus, a saint whose intercession rendered the warrior invincible in battle. Did Becket hope thus to secure victory in the great spiritual combat? One whole night he passed before the shrine of St. Drausus; another before that of Gregory the Great, the founder of the English Church, and of the see of Canterbury; a third before that of the Virgin, his especial patroness.

From thence he proceeded to the ancient and famous monastery of Vezelay.<sup>2</sup> The church of Vezelay, if <sub>Reckot at</sub> the dismal decorations of the architecture are (which <sup>Vezelay.</sup> is doubtful) of that period, might seem designated for that fearful ceremony.<sup>a</sup> There, on the feast of the Ascension,<sup>b</sup> when the church was crowded with worshippers from all

<sup>\*</sup> The curious History of the Monastery of Vezelay, by Hugh of Poitiers (translated in Guizot, Collection des Mémoires), though it twice mentions Becket, stops just short of this excommunication, 1166. Vezelay boasted to be subject only to the See of Rome, to have been made by its founder part of the patrimony of St. Peter. This was one great distinction: the other was the unquestioned possession of the body of St. Mary Magdalene, "l'amie de Dieu." Vezelay had been in constant strife with the Bishop of Autun for its ecclesiastical, with the Count of Nevers for its territorial, independence; with the monastery of Clugny, as its rival. This is a document very instructive as to the life of the age.

<sup>a</sup> A modern traveller thus writes of the church of Vezelay: "On voit par le choix des sujets qui ont un sens, quel était l'esprit du temps et la manière d'interpréter la religion. Ce n'était pas par la douceur on la persuasion qu'on voulait convertir, mais bien par la terreur. Les discours des prêtres pourraient se résumer en ce pen de mots : 'Croyez, ou sinon vous périssez misérablement, et vous serez óternellement tourmentés dans l'autre monde!' De leur côté, les artistes, gens religieux, ecclésiastiques mème

pour la plupart, donnaient une forme réelle aux sombres images que leur inspirait un zèle farouche. Je ne trouve à Vezelay aucun de ces sujets que les ames tendres aimeraieut à retracer, tels que le pardon accordé au repentir, la récompense du juste, etc.; mais, au contraire, je vois Samuel cgorgeant Agag; des diables écartelant des damnés, ou les entraînant dans l'abîme; puis des animaux horribles, des monstres hideux, des têtes grimaçantes exprimant ou les souffrances des reprouvés, ou la joie des habitans de l'enfer: Qu'on se représente la dévotion des hommes élévés au milieu de ces images, et l'on s'étonnera moins des massacres des Albigeois."-Notes d'un Voyage dans le Midi de la France, par Prosper Merimée, p. 43.

b Diceto gives the date Ascension Day, Herbert de Bosham St. Mary Magdalene's Day (July 22nd). It should seem that De Bosham's memory failed him. See the letter of Nicolas de M. Rotomagensi, who speaks of the excommunication as past, and that Becket was expected to excommunicate the King on St. Mary Magdalene's Day. This, if done at Vezelay (as it were, over the body of the Saint, on her sacred day), had been tenfold more awful. quarters, he ascended the pulpit, and, with the utmost solemnity, condemned and annulled the Constitutions of Clarendon, declared excommunicate all who observed or enforced their observance, all who had counselled, and all who had defended them; absolved all the bishops from the oaths which they had taken to maintain them. This sweeping anathema involved the whole kingdom. But he proceeded to excommunicate by name the most active and powerful adversaries: John of Oxford, for his dealings with the schismatic partisans of the Emperor and of the Antipope, and for his usurpation of the deanery of Salisbury; Richard of Ilchester Archdeacon of Poitiers, the colleague of John in his negotiations of Wurtzburg (thus the cause of Becket and Pope Alexander were indissolubly welded together); the great Justiciary, Richard de Luci, and John of Baliol, the authors of the Constitutions of Clarendon; Randulph de Broc, Hugo de Clare, and others, for their forcible usurpation of the estates of the see of Canterbury. He yet in his mercy spared the King (he had received intelligence that Henry was dangerously ill), and in a lower tone, his voice, as it seemed, half choked with tears, he uttered his Commination. The whole congregation, even his own intimate followers, were silent with amazement.

This sentence of excommunication Becket announced to the Pope, and to all the clergy of England. To the latter he said, "Who presumes to doubt that the priests of God are the fathers and masters of kings, princes, and all the faithful?" He commanded Gilbert, Bishop of London, and his other suffragans, to publish this edict throughout their diocescs. He did not confine himself to the bishons of England; the Norman prelates, the Archbishop of Rouen, were expressly warned to withdraw from all communion with the excommunicate.°

The wrath of Henry drove him almost to madness. No Anger of the one dared to name Becket in his presence.<sup>d</sup> Soon after, on the occasion of some discussion about the King of Scotland, he burst into a fit of passion, threw away his cap, ungirt his belt, stripped off his clothes, tore

<sup>•</sup> See the curious letter of Nicolas de pride or anger (" extollentiæ aut iræ"): Monte Rotomagensi, Giles, iv., Bouquet, it made an unfavourable impression on 250. This measure of Becket was im-puted by the Archbishop of Rheims to definition of the Empress Matilda.—Ibid. 4 Episf. Giles, iv. 185; Bouquet, 258.

the silken coverlid from his bed, and crouched down on the straw, gnawing bits of it with his teeth." Proclamation was issued to guard the ports of England against the threatened interdict. Any one who should be apprehended as the bearer of such an instrument, if a regular, was to lose his feet; if a clerk, his eyes, and suffer more shameful mutilation; a layman was to be hanged; a leper to be burned. A bishop who left the kingdom, for fear of the interdict, was to carry nothing with him but his staff. All exiles were to return on pain of losing their benefices. Priests who refused to chant the service were to be mutilated, and all rebels to forfeit their lands. An oath was to be administered by the sheriffs to all adults, that they would respect no ecclesiastical censure from the Archbishop. A second time Henry's ungovernable passion betraved him into a step which, instead of lowering, only placed his antagonist in a more formidable position. He determined to drive him from his retreat at Pontigny. He sent word to the general of the Cistercian order, that Becket driven it was at their peril, if they harboured a traitor to from Pontumy. his throne. The Cistercians possessed many rich abbeys in England; they dared not defy at once the King's resentment and rapacity. It was intimated to the Abbot of Pontigny, that he must dismiss his guest. The Abbot courteously communicated to Becket the danger incurred by the order. He could not but withdraw; but instead now of lurking in a remote monastery, in some degree secluded from the public gaze, he was received in the archiepiscopal city of Sens; his honourable residence was prepared in a monastery close to the city; he lived in ostentatious communication with the Archbishop William, one of his most zealous partisans."

But the fury of haughtiness in Becket equalled the fury of resentment in the King: yet it was not without subtlety. Just before the scene at Vezelay, it has been said, the King had sent the Archbishop of Rouen and the Bishop of Lisieux to Pontigny, to lodge his appeal to the Pope. Becket, duly informed by his emissaries at the court, had taken care to be absent. He eluded likewise the personal service of the appeal of the English clergy. An active and

\* Epist, Giles, iv. 260 ; Bouquet, 256. / Herbert de Bosham, p. 232.

violent correspondence ensued. The remonstrance, pur-Controversy porting to be from the Primate's suffragans and the whole clergy of England, was not without dignified clergy. calmness. With covert irony, indeed, they said that they had derived great consolation from the hope that, when abroad, he would cease to rebel against the King and the peace of the realm; that he would devote his days to study and prayer, and redeem his lost time by fasting, watching, and weeping; they reproached him with the former favours of the King, with the design of estranging the King from Pope Alexander; they asserted the readiness of the King to do full justice, and concluded by lodging an appeal until the Ascension-day of the following year.<sup>g</sup> Foliot was no doubt the author of this remonstrance, and between the Primate and the Bishop of London broke out a fierce warfare of letters. With Foliot Becket kept no terms. "You complain that the Bishop of Salisbury has been excommunicated, without citation, without hearing, without judgment. Remember the fate of Ucalegon. He trembled when his neighbour's house was on fire." To Foliot he asserted the pre-eminence, the supremacy, the divinity of the spiritual power without reserve. " Let not your liege lord be ashamed to defer to those to whom God himself defers, and calls them 'Gods.'"h Foliot replied with what may be received as the manifesto of his party, and as the manifesto of a party to be received with some mistrust, yet singularly curious, as showing the tone of defence taken by the opponents of the Primate among the English clergy.<sup>i</sup>

The address of the English prelates to Pope Alexander was more moderate, and drawn with great ability. It asserted the justice, the obedience to the Church, the greatvirtue (a bold assertion !), the conjugal fidelity of the King. The King had at once obeyed the citation of the Bishops of London and Salisbury, concerning some encroachments on the Church condemned by the Pope. The sole design of

Epist, Giles, vi. 158; Bouquet, 259.
h "Non indignetur itaque Dominus noster deferre illis, quibus summus omnium deferre non dedignatur, Deos appellans eos sæpins in sacris literis. Sic enim dixit, 'Ego dixit, Dii estis,' et 'Deis
'Constitui te Deum Pharaonis,' et 'Deis

non detrahere.' "-- Epist, Giles, iii. p. 287; Bouquet, 261. Foliot took the precaution of paying

into the exchequer all that he had re-ceived from the sequestered property of the see of Canterbury.-Giles, v. p. 265.

Henry had been to promote good morals, and to maintain the peace of the realm. That peace had been restored. All resentments had died away, when Becket fiercely recommenced the strife; in sad and terrible letters had threatened the King with excommunication, the realm with interdict. He had suspended the Bishop of Salisbury without trial. "This was the whole of the cruelty, perversity, malignity of the King against the Church, declaimed on and bruited abroad throughout the world."k

The indefatigable John of Oxford was in Rome, perhaps the bearer of this address. Becket wrote to John of Oxthe Pope, insisting on all the cruelties of the ford at Rome. King: he calls him a malignant tyrant, one full of all malice. He dwelt especially on the imprisonment of one of his chaplains, for which violation of the sacred person of a clerk, the King was ipso facto excommunicate. "Christ was . crucified anew in Becket."<sup>m</sup> He complained of the presumption of Foliot, who had usurped the power of primate;" warned the Pope against the wiles of John of Oxford; deprecated the legatine mission, of which he had already heard a rumour, of William of Pavia. And all these letters, so unsparing to the King, or copies of them, probably bought out of the Roman chancery, were regularly transmitted to the King.

John of Oxford began his mission at Rome by swearing undauntedly, that nothing had been done at Wurtzburg against the power of the Church or the interests of Pope Alexander.<sup>•</sup> He surrendered his deanery of Salisbury

Giles, vi. 190; Bonquet, 265. <sup>m</sup> Giles, iii. 6; Bouquet, 266. Com-pare letter of Bishop Elect of Chartres. Cilua ii. 112 Barguret 260. -Giles, vi. 211; Bouquet, 269.

<sup>n</sup> Foliot obtained letters either at this time or 'somewhat' later from his own' Chapter of St. Paul, from many of the greatest dignitaries of the English Church, the abbots of Westminster and Reading, and from some distinguished foreign ecclesiastics, in favour of himself, his piety, churchmanship, and impartiality.

ambassadors. See the account in Von ambassadors. See the account in Von Raumer (*loc. cit.*), especially of the con-duct of Reginald of Cologne, and the authorities. John of Oxford is hence-forth called, in John of Salisbury's letters, jurator. Becket repeatedly charges him with perjury.—Giles, iii. p. 129 and 351; Bouquet, 280. Becket there says that John of Oxford had given up part of the "constoms" He here up part of the "customs." He begs John of Poitiers to let the King know this. See the very curious answer of John of Poitiers.-Giles, vi. 251; Bouquet, 280. It appears that as all Becket's letters to the Pope were copied and transmitted from Rome to Henry, so John of Poitiers, outwardly the King's <sup>o</sup> The German accounts are unani- loyal subject, is the secret spy of Becket, mous about the proceedings at Wurtz- He speaks of those in England who burg and the oath of the English thirst after Becket's blood.

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Hæc est Domini regis toto orbe declamata crudelitas, hæc ab eo persecutio, hæc operum ejus perversorum rumus-

into the hands of the Pope, and received it back again.<sup>P</sup> John of Oxford was armed with more powerful weapons than perjury or submission, and the times now favoured the use of these more irresistible arms. The Emperor Frederick was levying, if he had not already set in motion, that mighty army which swept, during the next year, through Italy, made him master of Rome, and witnessed his coronation and the enthronement of the Antipope.<sup>q</sup> Henry had now, notwithstanding his suspicious-more than suspicious-dealings with the Emperor, returned to his allegiance to Alexander. Vast sums of English money were from this time expended in strengthening the cause of the Pope. The Guelfic cities of Italy received them with greedy hands. By the gold of the King of England, and of the King of Sicily, the Frangipani and the family of Peter Leonis were retained in their fidelity to the Pope. Becket, on the other hand, had powerful friends in Rome, . especially the Cardinal Hyacinth, to whom he writes, that Henry had boasted that in Rome everything was venal. It was, however, not till a second embassy arrived, . Dec. 1166. consisting of John Cummin and Ralph of Tamworth, that Alexander made his great concession, the sign that

he was not yet extricated from his distress. He appointed William of Pavia, and Otho, Cardinal of St. Nicolas, his legates in France, to decide the cause." Meantime all Becket's acts were suspended by the papal authority. At the same time the Pope wrote to Becket, entreating him at this perilous time of the Church to make all possible concessions, and to dissemble, if necessary, for the present.\*

If John of Oxford boasted prematurely of his triumph (on his return to England he took ostentatious possession of his deanery of Salisbury<sup>t</sup>), and predicted the utter ruin

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>p</sup> The Pope acknowledges that this was extorted from him by fear of Henry, and makes an awkward apology to Becket.-Giles, iv. 18; Bouquet, 309.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> He was crowned in Rome August 1. Compare next chapter-Sismondi, Re-publiques Italiennes, ii. ch. x.; Von

Raumer, ii. p. 209, &c. Giles, iii. 128; Bouquet, 272. Com-pare Letters to Cardinals Boso and Henry,-Giles, iii. 103, 113; Bouquet,

<sup>&</sup>quot; "Si non omnia secundum beneplacitum succedant; ad præsens dissimulet. -Giles, vi. 15; Bouquet, 277.

<sup>· \*</sup> See the curious letter of Master Lombard, Becket's instructor in the canon law, who boldly remonstrates with the Pope. He asserts that Henry was so frightened at the menace of excommunication, his subjects, even the bishops, at that of his interdict, that they were in 

CHAP. VIII. WILLIAM OF PAVIA AND CARDINAL OTHO. 493

of Becket, his friends, especially the King of France,<sup>u</sup> were in utter dismay at this change in the papal policy. John, as Becket had heard (and his emissaries were everywhere), on his landing in England, had met the Bishop of Hereford (one of the wavering bishops), prepared to cross the sea in obedience to Becket's citation. To him, after some delay, John had exhibited letters of the Pope, which sent him back to his diocese. On the sight of these same letters, the Bishop of London had exclaimed in the fulness of his joy, "Then our Thomas is no longer archbishop!" "If this be true," adds Becket, "the Pope has given a death-blow to the Church." To the Archbishop of Mentz, for in the empire he had his ardent admirers, he poured forth all the bitterness of his soul.<sup>x</sup> Of the two cardinals he writes, "The one is weak and versatile, the other treacherous and crafty." He looked to their arrival with indignant apprehension. They are open to bribes, and may be perverted to any injustice.<sup>y</sup>

John of Oxford had proclaimed that the cardinals, William of Pavia, and Otho, were invested in full powers to passi udgment between the King and the Primate.<sup>\*</sup> But whether John of Oxford had mistaken or exaggerated their powers, or the Pope (no improbable case, considering the change of affairs in Italy) had thought fit afterwards to modify or retract them, they came rather as mediators than judges, with orders to reconcile the contending parties, rather than to decide on their cause. The cardinals did not arrive in France till the autumn of the year.<sup>a</sup>

Bouquet, 287.

 "Strangulavit," a favourite word.— Giles, iii. 214; Bouquet, 284. \* Giles, iii. 235; Bouquet, 285.

<sup>y</sup> Compare John of Salisbury, p. 539. " Scripsit autem rex Domino Coloniensi, Henricum Pisanum et Willelmum Papiensem in Franciam venturos ad novas exactiones faciendas, ut undique conradant et contrahant, unde Papa Alexander in urbe sustentetur: alter, ut nostis, levis est et mutabilis, alter dolosus et fraudulentus, uterque cupidus et avarus : et ideo de facili munera cœnabunt eos et ad omnem injustitiam incurvabunt. Audito eorum detestandoadventu formidare capi præsentiam eorum causæ vestræ multum nocituram; et ne vestro et ves-

<sup>4</sup> See Letters of Louis; Giles, iv. 308; trorum sanguine gratiam Regis Angliæ Bouquet, 287. He refers with great joy to the insurrection of the Saxons against the Emperor. He says elsewhere of Henry of Pisa, "Vir bonæ opinionis est; sed Romanus et Cardi-nalis,"-Epist. cc. ii.

\* The English bishops declare to the Pope himself that they had received this concession, scripto formatum, from the Pope, and that the King was furious at what he thought a deception .--- Giles,

vi. 194; Bouquet, 304. The Pope wrote to the legates to soothe Becket and the King of France; he accuses John of Oxford of spreading false reports about the extent of their commission; John Cummin of betraying his letters to the Antipope .- Giles, vi. 54.

BOOK VIII.

Even before their arrival, first rumours, then more certain intelligence had been propagated throughout Christendom of the terrible disaster which had befallen the Emperor. Barbarossa's career of vengeance and conquest A.D. 1167. Flight of had been cut short. The Pope a prisoner, a Frederick. fugitive, is unexpectedly released, restored to power, if not to the possession of Rome.<sup>b</sup> The climate of Rome, as usual, but in a far more fearful manner, had resented the invasion of the city by the German army. A pestilence had broken out, which in less than a month made such havoc among the soldiers, that they could scarcely find room to bury the dead. The fever seemed to choose its victims among the higher clergy, the partisans of the Antipope; of the princes and nobles, the chief victims were the younger Duke Guelf, Duke Frederick of Swabia, and some others; of the bishops, those of Prague, Ratisbon, Augsburg, Spires, Verdun, Liege, Zeitz; and the archrebel himself, the antipope-maker, Reginald of Cologne.<sup>c</sup> Throughout Europe the clergy on the side of Alexander raised a cry of awful exultation; it was God manifestly avenging himself on the enemies of the Church; the new Sennacherib (so he is called by Becket) had been smitten in his pride; and the example of this chastisement of Frederick was a command to the Church to resist to the last all rebels against her power, to put forth her spiritual arms, which God would as assuredly support by the same or more signal wonders. The defeat of Frederick was an admonition to the Pope to lay bare the sword of Peter, and smite on all sides.<sup> $\tilde{a}$ </sup>

There can be no doubt that Becket so interpreted what Becket against he deemed a sign from heaven. But even before the disaster was certainly known he had determined the legates.

<sup>b</sup> So completely does Becket's fortune follow that of the Pope, that on June 17 Alexander writes to permit Roger of <sup>d</sup> In a curious passage in a letter York to crown the King's son; no sooner written by Herbert de Bosham in the is he safe in Benevento, August 22 (perhaps the fever had begun), than he writes to his legates to confirm the excommunications of Becket, which he had suspended.

Muratori, sub ann. 1167 ; Von Raumer, ii. 210. On the 1st of August Frederick was crowned; September 4,

he is at the Pass of Pontremoli, in full retreat, or rather flight.

name of Becket, Frederick's defeat is compared to Henry's disgraceful cam-paign in Wales. "My enemy," says Becket, "in the abundance of his valour, could not prevail against a breech-less and ragged people ('exbraccatum et pannosum')."—Giles, viii. p. 268. CHAP: VIII.

to show no submission to a judge so partial and so corrupt as William of Pavia.<sup>e</sup> That cardinal had urged the Pope at Sens to accept Becket's resignation of his see. Becket would not deign to disguise his contempt. He wrote a letter so full of violence that John of Salisbury,<sup>t</sup> to whom it was submitted, persuaded him to destroy it. A second was little milder; at length he was persuaded to take a more moderate tone. Yet even then he speaks of the "insolence of princes lifting up their horn." To Cardinal Otho, on the other hand, his language borders on adulation.

The cardinal Legates travelled in slow state. Thev visited first Becket at Sens, afterwards King Henry at Rouen. At length a meeting was agreed on to Meeting near be held on the borders of the French and English Gisors. territory, between Gisors and Trie. The proud Becket was disturbed at being hastily summoned, when he was unable to muster a sufficient retinue of horsemen to meet the Italian cardinals. The two kings were there. Of Henry's prelates the Archbishop of Rouen alone was present at the first interview. Becket was charged with urging the King of France to war against his master. On the following day the King of France said in the presence of the cardinals, that this impeachment on Becket's loyalty was false. To all the persuasions, menaces, entrea- Octave of ties of the cardinals<sup>g</sup> Becket declared that he would Nov. 23. submit, "saving the honour of God, and of the Apostolic See," the liberty of the Church, the dignity of his person, and the property of the churches. As to the Customs he declared that he would rather bow his neck to the executioner than swear to observe them. He peremptorily demanded his own restoration at once to all the honours and possessions of the see." The third question was on the appeal of the bishops. Becket inveighed with bitterness on their treachery towards him, their servility to the King. "When the shepherds fled all Egypt returned to idolatry." Becket interpreted these

<sup>• &</sup>quot;Credimus non esse juri consentaneum, nos ejus subire judicium vel examen qui quærit sibi facere commercium de sanguine nostro, de pretio utinam non iniquitatis, quærit sibi nomen et gloriam." – D. Thom. Epist. Giles, iii, p. 15. The two legates are described as

<sup>&</sup>quot; plus avaritiæ quam justitiæ studiosi." —W. Cant. p. 21.

f Giles, iii. 157, and John of Salisbury's remarkable expostulatory letter upon Becket's violence.—Bouquet, p. 566.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Herbert de Bosham, p. 248; Epist. Giles, iii. 16; Bouquet, 296.

3.43 ..c**≵**96

× 18

"shepherds" as the clergy." He compares them to the - slaves in the old comedy; he declared that he would submit to no judgment on that point but that of the Pope himself. The Cardinals proceeded to the King. They were received but coldly at Argences, not far from The Cardi-nals before Caen, at a great meeting with the Norman and the King. English prelates. The Bishop of London entered at length into the King's grievances and his own; Becket's debt to the King, i his usurpations on the see of London. At the close Henry, in tears, entreated the cardinals to rid him of the troublesome churchman. William of Pavia wept, or seemed to weep from sympathy. Otho, writes Becket's emissary, could hardly suppress his laughter. The English prelates afterwards at Le Mans solemnly renewed their appeal. Their appeal was accompanied with a letter, in which they complain that Becket would leave them exposed to the wrath of the King, from which wrath he himself had fled; \* of false representations of the Customs, and disregard of all justice and of the sacred canons in suspending and anathematising the clergy without hearing and without trial. William of Pavia gave notice of the appeal for the next St. Martin's Day (so a year was to elapse), with command to abstain from all excommunication and interdict of the kingdom till that day.<sup>m</sup> Both cardinals wrote strongly to the Pope in favour of the Bishop of London.<sup>n</sup>

At this suspension Becket wrote to the Pope in a tone of mingled grief and indignation.º He described himself as the most wretched of men; applied the prophetic description of the Saviour's unequalled sorrow to himself. He inveighed against William of Pavia: P he threw himself

guinis effusionem, cum ipse mortem, quam nemo sibi dignabatur aut minabatur inferre, summo studio declinaverit et suum sanguinem illibatum conservando, ejus nec guttam effundi voluerit." -Giles, vi. 196. Bouquet, 304. <sup>m</sup> Giles, vi. 148. Bouquet, 304.

<sup>n</sup> Giles, vi. 135, 141. Bouquet, 306. William of Pavia recommended the translation of Becket to some other see.

<sup>o</sup> Giles, iii. 28. Bouquet, 306. <sup>p</sup> One of his letters to William of Pavia ncelled by promotion. \* "Ad mortem nos invitat et san- " Non credebam me tibi venalem proponendum emptoribus, ut de sanguine meo compareres tibi compendium de pretio iniquitatis, faciens tibi nomen et gloriam."—Giles, iii. 153. Becket always represents his enemies as thirsting after his blood,

h Giles, iii. p. 21. Compare the whole letter.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>i</sup> Foliot rather profanely said,' the primate seems to think that as sin is washed away in baptism, so debts are cancelled by promotion.

CHAP. VIII. BECKET'S INDIGNATION AGAINST THE POPE. 497

on the justice and compassion of the Pope. But this inhibition was confirmed by the Pope himself, in answer to another embassage of Henry, consisting of Clarembold, Prior Elect of St. Augustine's, the Archdeacon of Salisbury, and others.<sup>q</sup> This important favour was obtained through the interest of Cardinal John of Naples, who expresses his hope that the insolent Archbishop must at length see that he had no hope but in submission.

Becket wrote again and again to the Pope, bitterly complaining that the successive ambassadors of May 19. the King, John of Oxford, John Cummin, the Becket to the Pope. Prior of St. Augustine's, returned from Rome each with larger concessions." The Pope acknowledged that the concessions had been extorted from him. The ambassadors of Henry had threatened to leave the Papal Court. if their demands were not complied with, in open hostility. The Pope was still an exile in Benevento,<sup>s</sup> and did not dare to reoccupy Rome. The Emperor, even after his discomfiture, was still formidable; he might collect another overwhelming Transalpine force. The subsidies of Henry to the Italian cities and to the Roman partisans of the Pope could not be spared. The Pontiff wrote soothing letters to the King of France and to Becket. IIe insinuated that these concessions were but for a time. "For a time!" replied Becket in an answer full of fire and passion: "and in that time the Church of England falls utterly to ruin; the property of the Church and the poor is wrested from her. In that time prelacies and abbacies are confiscated to the King's use : in that time who will guard the flock when the wolf is in the fold? This fatal dispensation will be a precedent for all ages. But for me and my fellow exiles all authority of Rome had ceased for ever in England. There had been no one who had maintained the Pope against kings and princes." His significant language involves the Pope himself in the general and unsparing charge of rapacity and venality with which he brands the court of Rome. "I shall have to give an account at the last day, where gold and silver are of no avail, nor gifts which •

VOL. III.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>q</sup> Giles, iv. 128; vi. 133. Bouquet, 312, 313. <sup>r</sup> Epist. Giles, ii. 24.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> He was at Benevento, though with different degrees of power, from Aug. 22, 1167, to Feb. 24, 1170.

blind the eyes even of the wise."<sup>t</sup> The same contemptuous To the Cardia allusions to that notorious venality transpire in a vehement letter addressed to the College of Cardinals, in which he urges that his cause is their own; that they are sanctioning a fatal and irretrievable example to temporal princes; that they are abrogating all obedience to the Church. "Your gold and silver will not deliver you in the day of the wrath of the Lord." " On the other hand, the King and the Queen of France wrote in a tone of indignant remonstrance that the Pope had abandoned the cause of the enemy of their enemy. More than one of the French prelates who wrote in the same strain declared that their King, in his resentment, had seriously thought of defection to the Anti-pope, and of a close connection with the Imperial family.<sup>x</sup> · Alexander determined to make another attempt at reconciliation; at least he should gain time, that precious source of hope to the embarrassed and irresolute. His mediators were the Prior of Montdieu and Bernard de Corilo, a monk of Grammont.<sup>y</sup> It was a fortunate time, for just at this juncture, peace and even amity seemed to be established between the Kings of France and England. Many of the great Norman and French prelates and nobles offered themselves as joint mediators with the commissioners of the Pope.

A vast assembly was convened on the day of the Epi-Meeting at phany in the plains near Montmirail, where in the montmirail presence of the two kings and the barons of each realm the reconciliation was to take place. Becket held a long conference with the mediators. He proposed, instead of the obnoxious phrase "saving my order," to substitute "saving the honour of God;"<sup>z</sup> the mediators of the treaty insisted on his throwing himself on the King's mercy absolutely and without reservation. With great reluctance Becket appeared at least to yield: his counsellors acquiesced in

<sup>t</sup> Giles, iii. p. 55. Bouquet, 317. Read the whole letter beginning "Anima mea."

<sup>u</sup> Bouquet, 324.

\* Epist. Giles, iv. Bouquet, 320.

Their instructions are dated May 25, 1168. See also the wavering letters to Becket and the King of France.—Giles, iv. p. 25, p. 111. "Sed quid? Nobis ita consilium suspendentibus et hæsitantibus quid agendum a pacis mediatoribus, multis et magnis viris, et præsertim qui inter ipsos a viris religiosis et aliis archipræsuli amicissimis et familiarissimis, adeo sicut et supra diximus, suasus, tractus et impulsus est, ut haberetur persuasus."-De Bosham, p. 268. CHAP. VIII.

silence. With this distinct understanding the Kings of France and England met at Montmirail, and everything seemed prepared for the final settlement of this long and obstinate quarrel. The Kings awaited the approach of the Jan. 6, 1169. Primate. But as he was on his way, De Bosham (who always assumes to himself the credit of suggesting Becket's most haughty proceedings) whispered in his ear (De Bosham himself asserts this) a solemn caution, lest he should act over again the fatal scene of weakness at Clarendon. Becket had not time to answer De Bosham: he advanced to the King and threw himself at his feet. Henry raised him instantly from the ground. Becket, standing upright, began to solicit the clemency of the King. He declared his readiness to submit his whole cause to the judgment of the two Kings and of the assembled prelates and nobles. After a pause he added, "Saving the honour of God." a

At this unexpected breach of his agreement the mediators, even the most ardent admirers of Becket, stood aghast. Henry, thinking himself duped, as well he might, broke out into one of his ungovernable fits of Treaty broken anger. He reproached the Archbishop with arro- off.

gance, obstinacy, and ingratitude. He so far forgot himself as to declare that Becket had displayed all his magnificence and prodigality as chancellor only to court popularity and to supplant his king in the affections of his people. Becket listened with patience, and appealed to the King of France as witness to his loyalty. Henry fiercely interrupted "Mark, Sire (he addressed the King of France), him. the infatuation and pride of the man: he pretends to have been banished, though he fled from his see. He would persuade you that he is maintaining the cause of the Church, and suffering for the sake of justice. I have always been willing, and am still willing, to grant that he should rule his Church with the same liberty as his predecessors, men not less holy than himself." Even the King of France seemed shocked at the conduct of Becket. The prelates

<sup>a</sup> "Sed mox adjecit, quod nec rex nec pacis mediatores, vel alii, vel etiam sui propriè æstimaverunt, ut adjiceret vide-licet 'Salvo honore Dei.'"—De Bosham, p. 262. In his account to the Pope of 395.

2к2

and nobles, having in vain laboured to bend the inflexible spirit of the Primate, retired in sullen dissatisfaction. He stood alone. Even John of Poitiers, his most ardent admirer, followed him to Etampes, and entreated him to yield. "And you, too," returned Becket, "will you strangle us, and give triumph to the malignity of our enemies?"<sup>b</sup>

The King of England retired, followed by the Papal Legates, who, though they held letters of Commination from the Pope,<sup>c</sup> delayed to serve them on the King. Becket followed the King of France to Montmirail. He was received by Louis; and Becket put on so cheerful a countenance as to surprise all present. On his return to Sens, he explained to his followers that his cause was not only that of the Church, but of God.<sup>d</sup> He passed among the acclamations of the populace, ignorant of his duplicity. "Behold the prelate who stood up even before two kings for the honour of God."

Becket may have had foresight, or even secret information of the hollowness of the peace between the two kings. Before many days, some acts of barbarous cruelty by <sup>War of</sup> Henry against his rebellious subjects plunged the <sup>France and</sup> two nations again in hostility. The King of France and his prelates, feeling how nearly they had lost their powerful ally, began to admire what they called Becket's magnanimity as loudly as they had censured his obstinacy. The King visited him at Sens: one of the Papal commissioners, the Monk of Grammont, said privately to Herbert de Bosham, that he had rather his foot had been cut off than that Becket should have listened to his advice.<sup>e</sup>

Becket now at once drew the sword and cast away the scabbard. "Cursed is he that refraineth his sword from blood." This Becket applied to the spiritual weapon. On Excommunication. Ascension Day he again solemnly excommunicated Gilbert Foliot Bishop of London, Joscelin of

<sup>b</sup> "Ut quid nos et vos strangulatis?"
- Epist. Giles, iii. 312.

<sup>o</sup> Throughout the Pope kept up his false game: He privately assured the King of France that he need not be alarmed if himself (Alexander) scemed to take part against the archbishop. The cause was safe in his bosom. See the curious letter of Matthew of Sens-Epist. Giles, iv. p. 166.

Epist. Giles, iv. p. 166. <sup>d</sup> "Nunc preter ecclesiæ causam, expressam ipsius etiam Dei causam agebamus."—De Bosham, 272.

<sup>e</sup> De Bosham, 278.

Salisbury, the Archdeacon of Salisbury, Richard de Luci, Randulph de Broc, and many other of Henry's most faithful counsellors. He announced this excommunication to the Archbishop of Rouen,<sup>t</sup> and reminded him that whosoever presumed to communicate with any one of these outlaws of the Church by word, in meat or drink, or even by salutation, subjected himself thereby to the same excommunication. The appeal to the Pope he treated with sovereign contempt. He sternly inhibited Roger of Worcester, who had entreated permission to communicate with his brethren.<sup>g</sup> "What fellowship is there between Christ and Belial?" He announced this act to the Pope, entreating, but with the tone of command, his approbation of the proceeding. An emissary of Becket had the boldness to enter St. Paul's Cathedral in London, to thrust the sentence into the hands of the officiating priest, and then to proclaim with a loud voice, "Know all men, that Gilbert Bishop of London's excommunicate by Thomas Archbishop of Canterbury and Legate of the Pope." He escaped with some difficulty from ill-usage by the people. Foliot immediately summoned his clergy; explained the illegality, injustice, nullity of an excommunication without citation, hearing, or trial, and renewed his appeal to the Pope. The Dean of St. Paul's and all the clergy, excepting the priests of certain monasteries, joined in the appeal. The Bishop of Exeter declined, nevertheless he gave to Foliot the kiss of peace.<sup>h</sup>

King Henry was not without fear at this last desperate blow. He had not a single chaplain who had not Henry's mbeen excommunicated, or was not virtually under Italy. ban for holding intercourse with persons under excommunication.<sup>i</sup> He continued his active intrigues, his subsidies in Italy. He bought the support of Milan, Pavia, Cremona, Parma, Bologna. The Frangipanis and family of Leo, the people of Rome, were still kept in allegiance to the Pope chiefly by his lavish payments.<sup>k</sup> He made overtures to the King of Sicily, the Pope's ally, for a matrimonial

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>f</sup> Giles, iii. 290; vi. 293. Bouquet, 346. <sup>g</sup> Giles, iii. 322. Bouquet, 348. <sup>h</sup> Epist. Giles, iv. 225. domino Papæ, dummodo in nostrâ dejec-tione regis Angliæ satisfaciat voluntati." —Epist. ad Humbold Card. Giles, iii.

be joint Giles, iv. 225.
 be joint Giles, iv. 225.
 be joint Giles, iv. 225.
 control of the field in the field

LATIN CHRISTIANITY.

alliance with his family; and finally, he urged the tempting offer to mediate a peace between the Emperor and the Reginald of Salisbury boasted that, if the Pope Pope. should die, Henry had the whole College of Cardinals in his pay, and could name his Pope.<sup>m</sup>

But even independent of Henry's largesses to his partisans, Alexander's affairs now wore a more prosperous aspect. He began, yet cautiously, to show his real bias. New Legatine He determined to appoint a new legatine com-Commission. March 10, 1169. mission, not now rapacious cardinals and avowed partisans of Henry. The Nuncios were Gratian, a hard and severe canon lawyer, not likely to swerve from the loftiest claims of the Decretals; and Vivian, a man of more pliant character, but as far as he was firm in any principle, disposed to high ecclesiastical views. At the same time he urged Becket to issue no sentence against the King or the King's followers; or if, as he hardly believed, he had already done so, to suspend their powers.

The terrors of the excommunication were not without their effect in England. Some of the Bishops English prelates waver. began gradually to recede from the King's party, and to incline to that of the Primate. Hereford had already attempted to cross the sea. Henry of Winchester was in private correspondence with Becket: he had throughout secretly supplied him with money.<sup>n</sup> Becket skilfully laboured to awaken his old spirit of opposition to He reminded him of his royal descent, that the Crown. he was secure in his powerful connections; the impious one would not dare to strike him, for fear lest his kindred should avenge his cause.° Norwich, Worcester, Chester, even Chichester, more than wavered. This movement was strengthened by a false step of Foliot, which exposed all his former proceedings to the charge of irregular ambition. He began to declare publicly not only that he never swore canonical obedience to Becket, but to assert the independence of the see of London and the right of the see of London to the primacy of England. Becket speaks of this as an act of spiritual parricide: Foliot was another Absalom.<sup>P</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>m</sup> Epist. 188, p. 266.

<sup>•</sup> Fitz-Stephen, p. 271. per • "Domo vestra flagellum suspendit 355. impius, ne quod promereret, propin-

quorum vestrorum ministerio veniat super cum."-Giles, iii. 338. Bouquet,

P Giles, iii. 201. Bouquet, 361.

He appealed to the pride and the fears of the Chapter of Canterbury : he exposed and called on them to resist these machinations of Foliot to degrade the archiepiscopal see. At the same time he warned all persons to abstain from communion with those who were under his ban; for he had accurate information as to all who were guilty of that offence. Even in France this proceeding strengthened the sympathy with Becket. The Archbishop of Sens, the Bishops of Troyes, Paris, Noyon, Auxerre, Boulogne, wrote to the Pope to denounce this andacious impiety of the Bishop of London.

The first interview of the new Papal legates, Gratian and Vivian, with the King, is described with Interview singular minuteness by a friend of Becket.<sup>9</sup> On Legites with the eve of St. Bartholomew's Day they arrived Aug. 23. at Damport. On their approach, Walter Ridel and Nigel Sackville stole out of the town. The King, as he came in from hunting, courteously stopped at the lodging of the Legates : as they were conversing the Prince rode up with a great blowing of horns from the chase, and presented a whole stag to the Legates. The next morning the King visited them, accompanied by the Bishops of Seez and of Rennes. Presently John of Oxford, Reginald of Salisbury, and the Archdeacon of Llandaff were admitted. The conference lasted the whole day, sometimes in amity, sometimes in strife. Just before sunset the King rushed out in wrath, swearing by the eyes of God that he would not submit to their terms. Gratian firmly replied, "Think not to threaten us; we come from a court which is accustomed to command Emperors and Kings." The King then summoned his barons to witness, together with his chaplains, what fair offers he had made. He departed somewhat pacified. The eighth day was appointed for the convention, at which the King and the Archbishop were again to meet in the presence of the Legates.

It was held at Bayeux. With the King appeared the Archbishops of Rouen and Bordeaux, the Bishop of Le Mans, and all the Norman prelates. The second day arrived one English bishop—Worcester. John of Poitiers kept prudently away. The Legates presented

<sup>9 &</sup>quot; Amiei ad Tuomam."-Giles, iv. 227. Bouquet, 370.

the Pope's preceding letters in favour of Becket. The King, after stating his grievances, said, "If for this man I do anything, on account of the Pope's entreaties, he ought to be very grateful." The next day at a place called Le Bar, the King requested the Legates to absolve his chaplains without any oath : on their refusal, the King mounted his horse, and swore that he would never listen to the Pope or any one else concerning the restoration of Becket. The prelates interceded; the Legates partially gave way. The King dismounted and renewed the conference. At length he consented to the return of Becket and all the exiles. He seemed delighted at this, and treated of other affairs. He returned again to the Legates, and demanded that they, or one of them, or at least some one commissioned by them, should cross over to England, to absolve all who had been excommunicated by the Primate. Gratian refused this with inflexible obstinacy. The King was again furious : "I care not an egg for you and your excommunications." He again mounted his horse, but at the earnest supplication of the prelates he returned once more. He demanded that they should write to the Pope to announce his pacific offers. The bishops explained to the King that the Legates had at last produced a positive mandate of the Pope, enjoining their absolute obedience to his Legates. The King replied, "I know that they will lay my realm under an interdict, but cannot I, who can take the strongest castle in a day, seize any ecclesiastic who shall presume to utter such an interdict?" Some concessions allayed his wrath, and he returned to his offers of reconciliation. Walter Ridel and Nigel Sackville were absolved on the condition of declaring, with their hands on the Gospels, that they would obey the commands of the Legates. The King still pressing the visit of one of the Legates to England, Vivian consented to take the journey. The bishops were ordered to draw up the treaty; but the King insisted on a clause "Saving the honour of his Crown." They adjourned to a future day at Caen. The Bishop of Lisieux, adds the writer, flattered the King; the Archbishop of Rouen was for God and the Pope.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>r</sup> Henry, it should be observed, waived urged against Becket, for debts incurred all the demands which he had hitherto during his chancellorship.

Two conferences at Caen and at Rouen were equally inconclusive; the King insisted on the words, "saving the dignity of my Crown." Becket inquired if he might add, "saving the liberty of the Church."s

The King threw all the blame of the final rupture on the Legates, who had agreed, he said, to this clause,<sup>t</sup> but through Becket's influence withdrew from their word." He reminded the Pope that he had in his possession letters of his Holiness exempting him and his realm from all authority of the Primate till he should be received into the royal favour.<sup>x</sup> "If," he adds, "the Pope refuses my demands, he must henceforth despair of my good will, and look to other quarters to protect his realm and his honour." Both parties renewed their appeals, their intrigues in Rome: Becket's complaints of Rome's venality became louder.

Becket began again to fulminate his excommunications. Before his departure Gratian signified to Walter Ridel and Nigel Sackville that their absolution was conditional: if peace was not ratified by Michaelmas, they were still under the ban. Becket menaced some old, some new victims, the Dean of Salisbury, John Cummin, the Archdeacon of Llandaff, and others." But he now took a more decisive and terrible step. He wrote to the bishops of England," commanding them to lay the whole kingdom under interdict; all divine offices were to cease except baptism, penance, and the viaticum, unless before the Feast of the Purification the King should<sup>Nov. 2, 1170.</sup> have given full satisfaction for his contumacy to the Church. This was to be done with closed doors, the laity expelled from the ceremony, with no bell tolling, no dirge wailing; all church music was to cease. The act was

Epist. Giles, iv. 216. Bouquet, 373. t "Revocato consensu," writes the Bishop of Nevers, a moderate prelate, who regrets the obstinacy of the nuncios. --Giles, vi. 266. Bouquet, 377. Com-pare the letter of the clergy of Normandy to the Pope.--Giles, vi. 177. Bouquet,

377. <sup>u</sup> Becket thought, or pretended to think, that under the "dignitatibus" lurked the "consuetudinibus."—Giles, <sup>u</sup> Becomet 379. iii. 299. Bouquet, 379. \* "Ceteras vestras recepimus, et ipsas

adhuc penes nos habemus, in quibus terram nostram et personas regni a præfata Cantuarensis potestate eximebatis, donec ipse in gratiam nostram rediisset." Epist. Giles, vi. 291. Bouquet, 374. <sup>y</sup> "Nam quod mundus sentit, dolet,

ingemiscit, nullus adeo iniquam causam ad ecclesiam Romanam defert, quin ibi spe lucri concepta ne dixerim odore sordium, adjutorem inveniat et patronum."-Epist. iii. 133; Bouquet, 382. <sup>2</sup> Giles, iii. 250; Bouquet, 387.

<sup>a</sup> Giles, iii. 334; Bouquet, 388.

specially announced to the chapters of Chichester, Lincoln, and Bath. Of the Pope he demanded that he would treat the King's ambassadors, Reginald of Salisbury and Richard Barre, one as actually excommunicate, the other as contaminated by intercourse with the excommunicate.<sup>b</sup>

The menace of the Interdict, with the fear that the Bishops of England, all but London and Salisbury, might be overawed into publishing it in their dioceses, threw Henry back into his usual irresolution. There were other alarming signs. Gratian had returned to Rome, accompanied by William, Archbishop of Sens, Becket's most faithful admirer. Rumours spread that William was to return invested in full legatine powers—William, not only Becket's friend, but the head of the French hierarchy. If the Interdict should be extended to his French dominions, and the Excommunication launched against his person, could he depend on the precarious fidelity of the Norman prelates? Differences had again arisen with the

Henry at King of France.<sup>c</sup> Henry was seized with an access of devotion. He asked permission to offer his prayers at the shrines and the Martyrs' Mount (Montmartre) at Paris. The pilgrimage would lead to an interview with the King of France, and offer an occasion of renewing the negotiations with Becket. Vivian was

<sup>Nov. 1169.</sup> hastily summoned to turn back. His vanity was flattered by the hope of achieving that reconciliation which had failed with Gratian. He wrote to Becket requesting his presence. Becket, though he suspected Vivian, yet out of respect to the King of France, consented to approach as near as Château Corbeil. After the conference with the King of France, two petitions from Becket, in his usual tone of imperious humility, were presented to the King of England. The Primate condescended to entreat the favour of Henry, and the

<sup>b</sup> Giles, iii. 42; Bouquet, 390. Reginald of Salisbury was an especial object of Becket's hate. He calls him one born in fornication ("fornicarium"), son of a priest. Reginald hated Becket with equal cordiality. Becket had betrayed him by a false promise of not injuring his father. "Quod utique ipsi non plus quem cani faceremus."—This letter contains Reginald's speech about Henry

<sup>b</sup> Giles, iii. 42; Bouquet, 390. Regiald of Salisbury was an especial object pay.—Giles, iii. 225; Bouquet, 391.

having the concept of Caronias in ms pay.—Giles, iii. 225; Bouquet, 391. <sup>c</sup> Becket writes to the Pope, January, 1170. "Nee vos oportet de cætero vereri, ne transeat ad schismaticos, quod sic eum Christus in manu famuli sui, regis Francorum subegit, ut ab obsequio ejus non possit amplius separari."—p. 48.

restoration of the church of Canterbury, in as ample a form as it was held before his exile. The second was more brief, but raised a new question of compensation for loss and damage during the archbishop's absence from his see.<sup>d</sup> Both parties mistrusted each other; Negotiations each watched the other's words with captious renewed. jealousy. Vivian, weary of those verbal chicaneries of the King, declared that he had never met with so mendacious a man in his life.<sup>e</sup> Vivian might have remembered his own retractations, still more those of Becket on former occasions. He withdrew from the negotiation; and this conduct, with the refusal of a gift from Henry (a rare act of virtue), won him the approbation of Becket. But Becket himself was not yet without mistrust; he had doubts whether Vivian's report to the Pope would be in "If it be not, he deserves the doom of the same spirit. the traitor Judas."

Henry at length agreed that on the question of compensation he would abide by the sentence of the court of the French King, the judgment of the Gallican Church, and of the University of Paris.<sup>4</sup> This made so favourable an impression that Becket could only evade it by declaring that he had rather come to an amicable agreement with the King than involve the affair in litigation.

At length all difficulties seemed yielding away, when Becket demanded the customary kiss of peace, as Kiss of the pledge of reconciliation. Henry peremp- peace. torily refused; he had sworn in his wrath never to grant this favour to Becket. He was inexorable ; and without this guarantee Becket would not trust the faith of the King. He was reminded, he said, by the case of the Count of Flanders, that even the kiss of peace did not secure a revolted subject, Robert de Silian, who, even after this sign of amity, had been seized and cast into a dungeon. Henry's conduct, if not the effect of sudden passion or ungovernable aversion, is inexplicable. Why did he seek this in-

<sup>d</sup> Many difficult points arose. Did ton?), which Becket specifically re-ecket demand not merely the actual quired and declared that he would not Becket demand not merely the actual possessions of the see, but all to which he laid claim? There were three estates held by William de Ros, Henry of Essex, and John the Marshall (the original object of dispute at Northamp-

give up if exiled for ever .- Epist. Giles,

iii, 220; Bouquet, 400. <sup>e</sup> Epist. Giles, iii. 262; Bouquet, 199. <sup>f</sup> Epist. ibid.; Radulph de Diceto.

terview, which, if he was insincere in his desire for reconciliation, could afford but short delay? and from such oaths he would hardly have refused, for any great purpose of his own, to receive absolution.<sup>g</sup> On the other hand, it is quite clear that Becket reckoned on the legatine power of William of Sens, and the terror of the English prelates, who had refused to attend a council in London to reject the Interdict. He had now full confidence that he could exact his own terms and humble the King under his feet.<sup>h</sup>

But the King was resolved to wage war to the utmost. Walter Ridel, Archdeacon of Canterbury, was King's prosent to England with a royal proclamation containing the following articles:-I. Whosoever shall bring into the realm any letter from the Pope or the Archbishop of Canterbury is guilty of high treason. II. Whosoever, whether bishop, clerk, or layman, shall observe. the Interdict, shall be ejected from all his chattels, which are confiscate to the Crown. III. All clerks absent from England shall return before the feast of St. Hilary, on pain of forfeiture of all their revenues. IV. No appeal is to be made to the Pope or Archbishop of Canterbury under pain of imprisonment and forfeiture of all chattels. V. All lavmen from beyond seas are to be searched, and if anything be found upon them contrary to the King's honour, they are to be imprisoned; the same with those who cross to the Continent. VI. If any clerk or monk shall land in England without passport from the King, or anything contrary to his honour, he shall be thrown into prison. VII. No clerk or monk may cross the seas without the King's passport. The same rule applied to the clergy of Wales, who were to be expelled from all schools in England. Lastly, VIII. The sheriffs were to administer an oath to all freemen throughout England, in open court, that they would obey these royal mandates, thus abjuring, it is said, all obedience to Thomas, Archbishop of Canterbury.<sup>i</sup> The bishops, however, declined the oath;

<sup>8</sup> According to Pope Alexander, Henry offered that his son should give the kiss of peace in his stead.—Giles, iv. 55.

of peace in his stead,—Giles, iv. 55. <sup>h</sup> See his letter to his emissaries at Rome.—Giles, ii. 219; Bouquet, 401.

<sup>i</sup> Ricardus Dorubernensis apud Twys-

den. Lord Lyttleton has another copy, in his appendix; in that a ninth article forbade the payment of Peter's Pence to Rome; it was to be collected and brought into the exchequer. some concealed themselves in their dioceses. Becket addressed a triumphant or gratulatory letter to his suffragans on their firmness. "We are nowone, except that most hapless Judas, that rotten limb (Foliot of London), which is severed from us."<sup>k</sup> Another letter is addressed to the people of England, remonstrating on their impious abjuration of their pastor, and offering absolution to all who had sworn through compulsion and repented of their oath.<sup>m</sup> The King and the Primate thus contested the realm of England.

Yet the Pope was not yet to be inflamed by Becket's passions, nor quite disposed to depart from his The Pope temporising policy. John of Oxford was at still dubious. the court in Benevento with the Archdeacons of Rouen and Seez. From that court returned the Archdeacon of Llandaff and Robert de Barre with a commission to the Archbishop of Rouen and the Bishop of Nevers to make one more effort for the termination of the difficulties. On the one hand they were armed with powers, if the King did not accede to his own terms within forty days after his citation (he had offered a thousand marks as compensation for all losses), to pronounce an interdict against his continental dominions; on the other, Becket was exhorted to humble himself before the King; if Henry was inflexible and declined the Pope's offered absolution from his oath, to accept the kiss of peace from the King's son. The King was urged to abolish in due time the impious and obnoxious Customs. And to these prelates was likewise entrusted authority to absolve the refractory Bishops of London and Salisbury.<sup>n</sup> This, however, was not the only object of Henry's new embassy to the Pope. He had long determined on the coronation of his eldest son; it had been delayed for various He seized this opportunity of reviving a design reasons. which would be as well humiliating to Becket as also of great moment in case the person of the King should be struck by the thunder of excommunication. The coronation of the King of England was the undoubted prerogative of the Archbishops of Canterbury, which had never been invaded without sufficient cause, and Becket was the last man tamely to surrender so important a right of his see.

Epist. Giles, iii. 195; Bouquet, 404. <sup>m</sup> Giles, iii. 192; Bouquet, 405. <sup>n</sup> Dated February 12, 1170. - John of Oxford was to exert every means (what those means were may be conjectured rather than proved) to obtain the papal permission for the Archbishop of York to officiate at that august ceremony.

The absolution of the Bishops of London and Salisbury was an astounding blow to Becket. He tried to impede it by calling in question the power of the archbishop to pronounce it without the presence of his colleague. The archbishop disregarded his remonstrance, and Becket's sentence was annulled by the authority of the Pope. Rumours at the same time began to spread that the Pope had granted to the Archbishop of York power to proceedto the coronation. Becket's fury burst all bounds. He wrote to the Cardinal Albert and to Gratian: "In the court of Rome, now as ever, Christ is crucified and Barabbas released. The miserable and blameless exiles are condemned, the sacrilegious, the homicides, the impenitent thieves are absolved, those whom Peter himself declares that in his own chair (the world protesting against it) he would have no power to absolve.<sup>o</sup> Henceforth I commit my cause to God-God alone can find a remedy. Let those appeal to Rome who triumph over the innocent and the godly, and return glorying in the ruin of the Church. For me I am ready to die." Becket's fellow exiles addressed the Cardinal Ålbert, denouncing in vehement language the avarice of the court of Rome, by which they were brought to support the robbers of the Church. It is no longer King Henry alone who is guilty of this six years' persecution, but the Church of Rome.<sup>p</sup>

The coronation of the Prince by the Archbishop of York took place in the abbey of Westminster on the 15th of June.<sup>q</sup> The assent of the clergy was given with that

• Epist. Giles, iii. 96; Bouquet, 416; Giles, iii. 108; Bouquet, 419. "Sed pro ea mori parati sumus." He adds: "Insurgant qui voluerint cardinales, arment non modo regem Angliæ, sed totum, si possent orbem in perniciem Utinam vie Dermitiem to the section of the arment non modo regem Angliæ, sed totum, si possent orbem in perniciem nostram. . . Utinam via Romana non gratis peremisset tot miseros innocentes. Quis de cetero audebit illi regi resistere quem ecclesia Romana tot triumphis animavit, et armavit exemplo pernitioso manante ad posteros."

<sup>p</sup> "Nec persuadebitur mundo, quod

to John of Salisbury. Peter travelled from Rome to Bologna with the Papal legates: From them he gathered that either Becket would soon be reconciled to the King or be removed to another patriarchate.-Epist. xxii. apud Giles, i. p. 84.

of the laity. The Archbishop of York produced a papal brief, authorising him to perform the ceremony." An inhibitory letter, if it reached England, only came into the King's hand, and was suppressed; no one, in fact (as the production of such papal letter, as well as Becket's protest to the archbishop and to the bishops collectively and severally, was by the royal proclamation high treason or at least a misdemeanour) would dare to produce them.

The estrangement secmed now complete, the reconciliation more remote than ever. The Archbishop of Rouen and the Bishop of Nevers, though urged to immediate action by Becket and even by the Pope, admitted delay after delay, first for the voyage of the King to England, and secondly for his return to Normandy. Becket seemed more and more desperate, the King more and more resolute. Even after the coronation, it should seem. Becket wrote to Roger of York,<sup>6</sup> to Henry of Worcester, and even to Foliot of London, to publish the Interdict in their dioceses. The latter was a virtual acknowledgment of the legality of his absolution, which in a long letter to the Bishop of Nevers he had contested :<sup>t</sup> but the Interdict still hung over the King and the realm; the fidelity of the clergy was precarious.

The reconciliation at last was so sudden as to take the world by surprise. The clue to this is found in Fitz-Stephen. Some one had suggested by word or by writing to the King that the Primate would be less dangerous within than without the realm." The hint flashed conviction on the King's mind. The two Kings had appointed an inter-

. Dr. Lingard holds this letter, printed by the Pope at an early period of the by Lord Lyttleton, and which he ad-reign; and argues as if this were the only permission. Is it possible that a special permission to York to act was craftily interpolated into the general permission? But the trick may have been on the side of the Pope, now granting, now nullifying his own grants by inhibition. Bouquet is strong against Baronius (as on other points) upon Alexander's duplicity .--- p. 434.

Giles, iii. 229.

<sup>e</sup> Giles, iii. 302. <sup>u</sup> "Dictum fuit aliquém dixisse vel scripsisse regi Anglorum de Archepiscopo ut quid tenetur exclusus? melius tenebitur inclusus quam exclusus. Satisque dictum fuit intelligenti."-p. 272.

by Lord Lyttleton, and which he admits was produced, to have been a forgery. If it was, it was a most audacious one; and a most flagrant insult to the Pope, whom Henry was even now endeavouring to propitiate through the Lombard Republics and the Emperor of the East (see Giles, iv. 10). It is re-markable, too, that though the Pope declares that this coronation, contrary to his prohibition (Giles, iv. 30), is not to be taken as a precedent, he has no word of the forgery. Nor do I find any contemporary assertion of its spuriousness. Becket, indeed, in his account of the last interview with the King, only mentions the general permission granted

view at Fretteville, between Chartres and Tours. The Archbishop of Sens prevailed on Becket to be, Treaty of Fretteville. unsummoned, in the neighbourhood. Some days after the King seemed persuaded by the Archbishops of Sens and Rouen and the Bishop of Nevers to hold a conference with Becket.<sup>x</sup> As soon as they drew near the King rode up, uncovered his head, and saluted the Prelate with frank courtesy, and after a short conversation between the two and the Archbishop of Sens, the King withdrew apart with Becket. Their conference was so long as to try the patience of the spectators, so familiar that it might seem there had never been discord between them. Becket took a moderate tone; by his own account he laid the faults of the King entirely on his evil counsellors. After a gentle admonition to the King on his sins, he urged him to make restitution to the see of Canterbury. He dwelt strongly on the late usurpation on the rights of the primacy, on the coronation of the King's son. Henry alleged the state of the kingdom and the necessity of the measure; he promised that as his son's queen, the daughter of the King of France, was also to be crowned, that ceremony should be performed by Becket, and that his son should again receive his crown from the hands of the Primate.

At the close of the interview Becket sprung from his horse and threw himself at the King's feet. The King leaped down, and holding his stirrup compelled the Primate to mount his horse again: In the most friendly terms he expressed his full reconciliation not only to Becket himself, but to the wondering and delighted multitude. There seemed an understanding on both sides to suppress all points which might lead to disagreement. The King did not dare (so Becket writes triumphantly to the Pope) to mutter one word about the Customs.<sup>y</sup> Becket was equally prudent, though he took care that his submission should be so vaguely worded as to be drawn into no dangerous concession on his part. He abstained, too, from all other perilous topics; he left undecided the amount of

<sup>\*</sup> Giles, iv. 30; Bouquet, 436. <sup>7</sup> "Nam de consuetudinibus quas • tanta pervicaciâ vindicare consueverat nec mutire præsumpsit." Becket was as mute. The issue of the quarrel seems

satisfaction to the church of Canterbury; and on these general terms he and the partners of his exile July. were formally received into the King's grace.

If the King was humiliated by this quiet and sudden reconcilement with the imperious prelate, to outward appearance at least, he concealed his humiliation by his noble and kingly manner. If he submitted to the spiritual reproof of the prelate, he condescended to receive into his favour his refractory subject. Each maintained prudent silence on all points in dispute. Henry received, but he also granted pardon. If his concession was really extorted by fear, not from policy, compassion for Becket's six years' exile might seem not without influence. If Henry did not allude to the Customs, he did not annul them; they were still the law of the land. The kiss of peace was eluded by a vague promise. Becket made a merit of not driving the King to perjury, but he skilfully avoided this trying test of the King's sincerity.

But Becket's revenge must be satisfied with other victims. If the worldly King could forget the Beckevis rancour of this long animosity, it was not so vengeance. easily appeased in the breast of the Christian Prelate. No doubt vengeance disguised itself to Becket's mind as the lofty and rightful assertion of spiritual authority. The opposing prelates must be at his feet, even under his feet. The first thought of his partisans was not his return to England with a generous afmesty of all wrongs, or a gentle reconciliation of the whole clergy, but the condign punishment of those who had so long been the counsellors of the King, and had- so recently officiated in the coronation of his son.

The court of Rome did not refuse to enter into these views, to visit the offence of those disloyal bishops who had betrayed the interests and compromised the high principles of churchmen." It was presumed that the King would not risk a peace so hardly gained for his obsequious prelates. The lay adherents of the King, even the plunderers of Church property were spared, some ecclesiastics

VOL. III,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup> Humbold Bishop of Ostia advised the communication of the Bishops.—Giles, contining the triumph to the depression vi. 129; Bouquet, 443. • of the Archbishop of York and the ex-

about his person, John of Oxford himself, escaped censure: but Pope Alexander sent the decree of suspension Dated Sept. 10.

against the Archbishop of York, and renewed the excommunication of London and Salisbury, with whom were joined the Archdeacon of Canterbury and the Bishop of Rochester, as guilty of special violation of their allegiance to the Archbishop of Canterbury, the Bishop of St. Asaph. and some others. Becket himself saw the policy of altogether separating the cause of the bishops from that of the King. He requested that some expressions relating to the King's excesses, and condemnatory of the bishops for swearing to the Customs, should be suppressed; and the excommunication grounded entirely on their usurpation of the right of crowning the King.<sup>a</sup>

About four months elapsed between the treaty of Fretteville and the return of Becket to England. They were occupied by these negotiations at Rome, Veroli, and Ferentino; by discussions with the King, who was attacked during this period with a dangerous illness; and by the mission of some of Becket's officers to resume the estates of the see. Interview at Becket had two personal interviews with the King: the first was at Tours, where, as he was now in the King's dominions, he endeavoured to obtain the kiss of peace. The Archbishop hoped to betray Henry into this favour during the celebration of the mass, in which it might seem only a part of the service.<sup>b</sup> Henry was on his guard, and ordered the mass for the dead, in which the benediction is not pronounced. The King had received Becket fairly; they parted not without ill-concealed estrangement. At the second meeting the King seemed more friendly; he went so far as to say, "Why resist my wishes? I would place everything in your hands." Becket, in his own words, bethought him of the tempter, "All these things will I give unto thee, if thou wilt fall down and worship me."-

The King had written, to his son in England that the see of Canterbury should be restored to Becket, as it was three months before his exile. But there were two strong parties hostile to Becket: the King's officers who held in

"" "Licet ei (regi sc.) peperceritis, curious revelation of the arrogance and dissimulare non audetis excessus et cri-mina sacerdotum," This letter is a <sup>b</sup> It is called the Pax.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> It is called the Pax.

sequestration the estates of the sec, and seem to have especially coveted the receipt of the Michaelmas rents; and with these some of the fierce warrior nobles, who held lands or castles which were claimed as possessions of the church of Canterbury. Randulph de Broc, his old inveterate enemy, was determined not to surrender his castle of Saltwood. It was reported to Becket, by Becket represented to the King, that De Broc had sworn that he would have Becket's life before he had eaten a loaf of bread in England. The castle of Rochester was held on the same doubtful title by one of his enemies. The second party was that of the bishops, which was powerful with a considerable body both of the clergy and laity. They had sufficient influence to urge the King's officers to take the strongest measures, lest the Papal letters of excommunication should be introduced into the kingdom.

It is perhaps vain to conjecture, how far, if Becket had returned to England in the spirit of meekness, forgiveness, and forbearance, not wielding the thunders of excommunication, nor determined to trample on his adversaries, and to exact the utmost even of his most doubtful rights, he might have resumed his see, and gradually won back the favour of the King, the respect and love of the whole hierarchy, and all the legitimate possessions of his church. But he came not in peace, nor was he received in peace.° It was not the Archbishop of Rouen, as he had Becket pro-hoped, but his old enemy John of Oxford, who return. was commanded by the King to accompany him, and reinstate him in his see. The King night allege that one so much in the royal confidence was the best protector of the Archbishop. The money which had been promised for his voyage was not paid; he was forced to borrow 300l. of the Archbishop of Rouen. He went, as he felt, or affected to feel, with death before his eyes, yet nothing should now separate him from his long-divided flock. Before his embarcation at Whitsand in Flanders, he received intelligence that the shores were watched by his

<sup>&</sup>quot; Becket disclaims vengeance: "Neque correctionis exemplo possit per Dei grahoc dicinus, Deo teste, vindictam expe-tentes, quum scriptum esse noverimus, corum multos ædificare."-Giles, iii. 76. non quæres ultionem . . . sed ut ecclesia

enemies, it was said with designs on his life,<sup>d</sup> but assuredly with the determination of making a rigid search for the letters of excommunication.° To secure the safe carriage of one of these perilous documents, the suspension Latternof excommuniof the Archbishop of York, it was entrusted to a cation sent before him. nun named Idonea, whom he exhorts, like another Judith, to this holy act, and promises her as her reward " the remission of her sins.<sup>t</sup> Other contraband letters were conveyed across the Channel by unknown hands, and were delivered to the bishops before Becket's landing.

The Prelates of York and London were at Canterbury when they received these Papal letters. When the fulminating instruments were read before them, in which was this passage, "we will fill your faces with ignominy," their countenances fell. They sent messengers to complain to Becket, that he came not in peace, but in fire and flame, trampling his brother bishops under his feet, and making their necks his footstool; that he had condenined them uncited, unheard, unjudged. "There is no peace," Becket sternly replied, "but to men of good will." It was said that London was disposed to humble himself before Becket; but York,<sup>h</sup> trusting in his wealth, boasted that he had in his power the Pope, the king, and all their courts.

Instead of the port of Dover, where he was expected, Becket's vessel, with the archiepiscopal banner I an is at Surtainb. Iw. 1. displayed, cast anchor at Sandwich. Soon after his landing, appeared in arms the Sheriff of Kent, Randulph de Broc, and others of his enemies. They searched his baggage, fiercely demanded that he should absolve the bi-hops, and endeavoured to force the Archdeacon of Sens, a foreign ecclesiastic, to take an oath to keep the peace of the realm. John of Oxford was shocked, and repressed their violence. On his way to Canterbury the country clergy came forth with their flocks to meet him; they

Lord Lyttleton has drawn an in-

\* Fitz-Stephen, pp. 281, 284. <sup>b</sup> Becket calls York his ancient enemy: "Lucifer ponens sedem snam in aquilone."

p. 81. \* Lambeth says : " Visum est autem nonnilly, quod incircumspecte literarum vindicial post pacem usus est, quae i atom provi des er itune i crist date."---p. 116. Compare pp. 119 and 152

<sup>4</sup> See Becket's account .- Giles, iii. ference from these words unfavourable to the purity of Idonen's former life; and certainly the examples of the Magdalene and the woman of Egypt, if this be not the case, were unhappily chosen.

strewed their garments in his way, chanting, "Blessed is he that cometh in the name of the Lord." Arrived at Canterbury, he rode at once to the church with At Cantera vast procession of clergy, amid the ringing of burythe bells, and the chanting of music. He took his archiepiscopal throne, and afterwards preached on the text, • "Here we have no abiding city." The next morning came again; the Sheriff of Kent, with Randulph de Broc. and the messengers of the bishops, demanding their absolution.<sup>1</sup> Becket evaded the question by asserting that the Excommunication was not pronounced by him, but by his

superior the Pope; that he had no power to abrogate the sentence. This declaration was directly at issue with the bull of excommunication. If the Bishops gave satisfaction to the Archbishop, he had power to act on behalf of the Pope.<sup>k</sup> But to the satisfaction which, according to one account, he did demand, that they should stand a public trial, in other words place themselves at his mercy, they would not, and hardly could submit. They set out immediately to the King in Normandy.

The restless Primate was determined to keep alive the popular fervour, enthusiastically, almost fanatically, on his side. On a pretext of a visit to the young King Goes to at Woodstock, to offer him the present of three London. beautiful horses, he set forth on a stately progress. Wherever he went he was received with acclamations and prayers for his blessings by the clergy and the people. In Rochester he was entertained by the Bishop with great ceremony. In London there was the same excitement: he was received in the palace of the Bishop of Winchester in Southwark. Even there he scattered some excommunications.<sup>m</sup> The Court took alarm, and sent orders to the prelate to return to his diocese. Becket obeyed, but alleged as the cause of his obedience, not the royal command, but his own desire to celebrate the festival of Christmas in his metropolitan church. The week passed in

<sup>· &</sup>lt;sup>i</sup>' Becket accuses the bishops of thirst-ing for his blood ! "Let them drink it." lumus adimpleri."—Apud Bouquet, p. ing for his blood 1 "Let mem de men But this was a phrase which he uses on 461. But this was a phrase which he uses on 461. William of Pavia. " " Ipse tamen Londonias adiens,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>k</sup> "Si vero ita eidem Archiepiscopo et et ibi missarum solenniis celebratis, Cantuarensi Ecclesiæ satisfacere inve-niretis, ut pænam istam ipse videat p. 154.

holding sittings in his court, where he acted with his usual promptitude, vigour, and resolution against the intruders into livings, and upon the encroachments on his estates; and in devotions most fervent, mortifications most austere."

His rude enemies committed in the mean time all kinds of petty annoyances, which he had not the loftiness. to disdain. Randulph de Broc seized a vessel laden with rich wine for his use, and imprisoned the sailors in Pevensey Castle. An order from the court compelled him to release ship and crew. They robbed the people who carried his provisions, broke into his parks, hunted his deer, beat his retainers; and, at the instigation of Randulph's brother, Robert de Broc, a ruffian, a renegade monk, cut off the tail of one of his state horses.

On Christmas day Becket preached on the appropriate text, "Peace on earth, good will towards men." The sermon agreed ill with the text. He spoke of one of his predecessors, St. Alphege, who had suffered martyrdom. "There may soon be a second."-He then burst out into a fierce, impetuous, terrible tone, arraigned the courtiers, and closed with a fulminating excommunication against Nigel de Sackville, who had refused to give up a benefice into which, in Becket's judgment, he had intruded, and against Randulph and Robert de Broc. The maimed horse was not forgotten. He renewed in the most vehement language the censure on the bishops, dashed the candle on the pavement in token of their utter extinction, and then proceeded to the mass at the altar.°

In the mean time the excommunicated prelates had The bishops sought the King in the neighbourhood of Bayeux; they implored his protection for themselves and the clergy of the realm. "If all are to be visited by spiritual censures," said the King, "who officiated at the coronation of my son, by the eyes of God, I am equally guilty." The whole conduct of Becket since his return was detailed, and no doubt deeply darkened by the hos-

<sup>a</sup> Since this passage was written an excellent and elaborate paper has ap-peared in the Quarterly Review, full of local knowledge: I recognise the hand of a friend from whom great things may be expected. I find, I think, nothing

tility of his adversaries. All had been done with an insolent and seditious design of alienating the affections of the people from the King. Henry demanded counsel of the prelates; they declared themselves unable to give it. But one incautiously said, "So long as Thomas lives, you will never be at peace." The King broke out into one of his terrible constitutional fits of passion; and at length let fall the fatal words, "Have I none of my thankless and cowardly courtiers who will relieve me from the insults of one low-born and turbulent priest?"

These words were not likely to fall unheard on the cars of fierce and warlike men, reckless of bloodshed, The King's possessed with a strong sense of their feudal allegi- fatal words. ance, and eager to secure to themselves the reward of desperate service. Four knights, chamberlains of the King, Reginald Fitz-Urse; William de Tracy, Hugh de Morville, and Reginald Brito, disappeared from the court.<sup>p</sup> On the morrow, when a grave council was held, some barons are said, even there, to have advised the death of Becket. Milder measures were adopted: the Earl of Mandeville was sent off with orders to arrest the primate; and as the disappearance of these four knights could not be unmarked, to stop them in the course of any unauthorised enterprise.

But murder travels faster than justice or mercy. They were almost already on the shores of England. It is said that they met in Saltwood Castle. On the 28th of December, having, by the aid of Randulph de Broc, collected some troops in the streets of Canterbury, they took up their quarters with Clarembold, Abbot of St. Augustine's.

The assassination of Becket has something appalling, with all its terrible circumstances seen in the remote past. What was it in its own age? The most distinguished churchman in Christendom, the champion of the great sacerdotal order, almost in the hour of his triumph over the most powerful king in Europe; a man, besides the awful sanctity inherent in the person of every ecclesiastic, of most saintly holiness; soon after the most solemn festival of the Church, in his own cathedral, not only sacri-

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>p</sup> Sze, on the former history of these traced out all that can be known, much knights, Quarterly Review, vol. xciii. which was rumoured about these men.
 p. 355. The writer has industriously

legiously, but cruelly murdered, with every mark of hatred and insult. Becket had all the dauntlessness, none of the meckness of the martyr; but while his dauntlessness would command boundless admiration, few, if any, would seek the more genuine sign of Christian martyrdom.

The four knights do not seem to have deliberately de-The kolghis termined on their proceedings, or to have resolved, except in extremity, on the murder. Briket. They entered, but unarmed, the outer chamber.<sup>9</sup> The Archbishop had just dined, and withdrawn from the hall. They were offered food, as was the usage; they declined, thirsting, says one of the biographers, for blood. The Archbishop obeyed the summons to hear a message from the King; they were admitted to his presence. As thev entered, there was no salutation on Either side, till the Primate having surveyed, perhaps recognised them, moved to them with cold courtesy. Fitz-Urse was the spokesman in the fierce altercation which ensued. Becket replied with haughty firmness. Fitz-Urse began by reproaching him with his ingratitude and seditious disloyalty in opposing the coronation of the King's son, and commanded him, in instant obedience to the King, to absolve the prelates. Becket protested that so far from wishing to diminish the power of the King's son, he would have given him three crowns and the most splendid realm. For the excommunicated bishops he persisted in his usual evasion that they had been suspended by the Pope, by the Pope alone could they be absolved; nor had they yet offered proper satisfaction. "It is the King's command," spake Fitz-Urse, "that you and the rest of your disloyal followers leave the kingdom." "It becomes not the King to utter such command : henceforth no power on earth shall separate me from my flock." "You have presumed to excommunicate, without consulting the King, the King's servants and officers." "Nor will I ever spare the man who violates the canons of Rome, or the rights of the Church." "From whom do you hold your archbishopric?" "My spirituals from God and the Pope, my temporals from the King." "Do you not hold all from the King?" "Render unto Cæsar the things that

<sup>\*</sup> Tuesday, Dec. 29. See, on the fatality of Tuesday in Becket's life, Q. R. p. 357.

<sup>&#</sup>x27; Grim, p. 71. Fitz-Stephen.

are Cæsar's, and unto God the things that are God's." "You speak in peril of your life!" "Come ye to murder me? I defy you, and will meet you front to front in the battle of the Lord." He added, that some among them had sworn fealty to him. At this, it is said, they grew furious, and gnashed with their teeth. The prudent John of Salisbury heard with regret this intemperate language : "Would it may end well!" Fitz-Urse shouted aloud, "In the King's name I enjoin you all, clerks and monks, to arrest this man, till the King shall have done justice on his body." They rushed out; calling for their arms.

His friends had more fear for Becket than Becket for himself. The gates were closed and barred, but presently sounds were heard of those without, striving to break in. The layless Robert de Broc was hewing at the door with an axe. All around Becket was the confusion of terror: he only was calm. Again spoke John of Salisbury with his cold prudence-" Thou wilt never take counsel: they seek thy life." "I am prepared to die." "We who are sinners are not so weary of life." "God's will be done." The sounds without grew wilder. All around him entreated Becket to seek sanctuary in the church. He refused, whether from religious reluctance that the holy place should be stained with his blood, or from the nobler motive of sparing his assassing this deep aggravation of their crime. They urged that the bell was already tolling for vespers. He seemed to give a reluctant consent; but he would not move without the dignity of his crosier carried before him. With gentle compulsion they half drew, half carried him Becket in the through a private chamber, they in all the Church. hasty agony of terror, he striving to maintain his solemn state, into the church. The din of the armed men was ringing in the cloister. The affrighted monks broke off the service; some hastened to elose the doors; Becket commanded them to desist-"" No one should be debarred from entering the house of God." John of Salisbury and the rest fled and hid themselves behind the altars and in other dark places. The Archbishop might have escaped into the dark and intricate crypt, or into a chapel in the roof. There remained only the Canon Robert (of Merton), Fitz-Stephen, and the faithful Edward Grim. Becket stood between the altar of St. Benedict. and that of the

521

Virgin.<sup>s</sup> It was thought that Becket contemplated taking his seat on his archiepiscopal throne near the high altar.

Through the open door of the cloister came rushing in the four, fully armed, some with axes in their The murder. hands, with two or three wild followers, through the dim and bewildering twilight. The knights shouted aloud, "Where is the traitor?" No answer came back. "Where is the Archbishop?" "Behold me, no traitor, but a priest of God!" Another fierce and rapid altercation followed: they demanded the absolution of the bishops, his own surrender to the King's justice. They strove to seize him and to drag him forth from the Church (even they had awe of the holy place), either to kill him without, or to carry him in bonds to the King. He clung to the pillar. In the struggle he grappled with De Tracy, and with desperate strength dashed him on the pavement. His passion rose ; he called Fitz-Urse by a foul name, a pander. These were almost his last words (how unlike those of Stephen and the greater than Stephen!) He taunted Fitz-Urse with his fealty sworn to himself. "I owe no fealty but to my King!" returned the maddened soldier, and struck the first blow. Edward Grim interposed his arm, which was almost severed off. The sword struck Becket, but slightly, on the head. Becket received it in an attitude of prayer-" Lord receive my spirit," with an ejaculation to the Saints of the Church. Blow followed blow (Tracy seems to have dealt the first mortal wound), till all, unless perhaps De Morville, had wreaked their vengeance. The last, that of Richard de Brito, smote off a piece of his skull. Hugh of Horsea, their follower, a renegade priest surnamed Mauclerk, set his heel upon his neck, and crushed out the blood and brains. "Away !" said the brutal ruffian, "it is time that we were gone." They rushed out to plunder the archiepiscopal palace.

The mangled body was left on the pavement; and when his affrighted followers ventured to approach to perform their last offices, an incident occurred which, however incongruous, is too characteristic to be suppressed. Amid their adoring awe at his courage and constancy, their profound sorrow for his loss, they broke out into a rapture of wonder and delight on discovering

\* For the accurate local description, see Quarterly Review, p. 367.

CHAP. VIII.

not merely that his whole body was swathed in the coarsest sackcloth, but that his lower garments were swarming with vermin. From that moment miracles began. Even the populace had before been divided; voices had been heard among the crowd denying him to be a martyr; he was but the victim of his own obstinacy.<sup>t</sup> The Archbishop of York even after this dared to preach that it was a judgment of God against Becket—that "he perished, like Pharaoh, in his pride."<sup>n</sup> But the torrent swept away at once all this resistance. The Government inhibited the miracles, but faith in miracles scorns obedience to human laws. The Passion of the Martyr Thomas was saddened and glorified every day with new incidents of its atrocity, of his holy firmness, of wonders wrought by his remains.

The horror of Becket's murder ran throughout Christendom. At first, of course, it was attributed to Effects of the Henry's direct orders. Universal hatred branded murder the King of England with a kind of outlawry, a spontaneous excommunication. William of Sens, though the attached friend of Becket, probably does not exaggerate the public sentiment, when he describes this deed as surpassing the cruelty of Herod, the perfidy of Julian, the sacrilege of the traitor Judas.<sup>x</sup>

It were injustice to King Henry not to suppose that with the dread as to the consequences of this act must have mingled some reminiscences of the gallant friend and companion of his youth, and of the faithful minister, as well as religious horror at a cruel murder, so cruelly and impiously executed.<sup>3</sup> He shut himself for three days in his chamber, obstinately refused all food and comfort, till his attendants began to fear for his life. He issued orders for the apprehension of the murderers,<sup>2</sup> and despatched

<sup>u</sup> John of Salisbury. Bouquet, 619, 520.

<sup>x</sup> Giles, iv. 162. Bouquet, 467. It was fitting that the day after that of the Holy Innocents should be that on which should rise up this new Herod.

• J See the letter of Arnulf of Lisieux. --Bouquet, 469.

-Bouquet, 469. places of <sup>t</sup> The Quarterly reviewer has the *et seqq*. I merit of tracing out the extraordinary five year fate of the murderers. "By a singular borough.

reciprocity, the principle for which Becket had contended, that priests should not be subjected to the secular courts, prevented the trial of a layman for the murder of a priest by any other than a clerical tribunal." Legend imposes upon them dark and romantic acts of penanee; history finds them in high places of trust and honour.—pp. 377, et seqq. I may add that John of Oxford five years after was Bishop of Peterboroueh.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>t</sup> Grim, 70.

envoys to the Pope to exculpate himself from all participation or cognisance of the crime. His ambassadors found the Pope at Tusculum : they were at first sternly refused an audience. The afflicted and indignant Pope was hardly prevailed on to permit the execrated name of the King of England to be uttered before him. The cardinals still friendly to the King with difficulty obtained knowledge of Alexander's determination. It was, on a fixed day, to pronounce with the utmost solemnity, excommunication against the King by name, and an interdict on all his dominions, on the Continent as well as in England. The ambassadors hardly obtained the abandonment of this fearful purpose, by swearing that the King would submit in all things to the judgment of his Holiness. With difficulty the terms of reconciliation were arranged.

In the Cathedral of Avranches in Normandy, in the Reconciliation presence of the Cardinals Theodin of Porto, and at Avranches. Albert the Chancellor, Legates for that especial purpose, Henry swore on the Gospels that he had neither commanded nor desired the death of Becket; that it had caused him sorrow, not joy; he had not grieved so deeply for the death of his father or his mother.\* He stipulated-I. To maintain two hundred knights at his own cost in the Holy Land. II. To abrogate the Statutes of Clarendon, and all bad customs introduced during his reign.<sup>b</sup> III. That he would reinvest the Church of Canterbury in all its rights and possessions, and pardon and restore to their estates all who had incurred his wrath in the cause of the Primate. IV. If the Pope should require it, he would himself make a crusade against the Saracens in Áscension Day. May 22, 1172. Spain. In the porch of the church he was reconciled, but with no ignominious ceremony.

Throughout the later and the darker part of Henry's reign the clergy took care to inculcate, and the people were prone enough to believe, that all his disasters and calamities, the rebellion of his wife and of his sons, were judgments of God for the persecution if not the murder

but trifling customs, had been admitted during his reign.

<sup>\*</sup> Diceto, p. 557.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> This stipulation, in Henry's view, cancelled hardly any; as few, and these

of the Martyr Thomas. The strong mind of Henry himself, depressed by misfortune and by the estrangement, of his children, acknowledged with superstitious awe the justice of their conclusions. Heaven, the Martyr in Heaven, must be appeased by a public humiliating penance. The deeper the degradation the more valuable the atonement. In less than three years after his death the King visited the tomb of Becket, by this time a canonised saint, renowned not only throughout England for his wonderworking powers, but to the limits of Christendom. As soon as he came near enough to see the towers of Canterbury, the King dismounted from his horse, and Penance at for three miles walked with bare and bleeding feet Friday, July along the flinty road. The tomb of the Saint was 12, 1114. then in the crypt beneath the church. The King threw himself prostrate before it. The Bishop of London (Foliot) preached; he declared to the wondering multitude that on his solemn oath the King was entirely guiltless of the murder of the Saint: but as his hasty words had been the innocent cause of the crime, he submitted in lowly obedience to the penance of the Church. The haughty monarch then prayed to be scourged by the willing monks. From the one end of the church to the other each ecclesiastic present gratified his pride, and thought that he performed his duty, by giving a few stripes." The King passed calmly through this rude discipline, and then spent a night and a day in prayers and tears, imploring the intercession in Heaven of him whom, he thought not now on how just grounds, he had pursued with relentless animosity on earth.<sup>b</sup>

Thus Becket obtained by his death that triumph for which he would perhaps have struggled in vain through a long life. He was now a Saint, and for some centuries the most popular Saint in England: among the people, from a generous indignation at his barbarous murder, from the fame of his austerities and his charities, no doubt from admiration of his bold resistance to the kingly power;

a The scene is related by all the cence of Becket's death. See this letter, monkish chroniclers .- Gervaise, Diceto, Brompton, Hoveden.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Peter of Blois was assured by the two cardinal legates of Henry's inno-

which contains a most high-flown eulogy on the transcendent virtues of Henry .-Epist. 66.

### LATIN CHRISTIANITY.

among the clergy as the champion, the martyr of their order. Even if the clergy had had no interest in the miracles at the tomb of Becket, the high-strung faith of the people would have wrought them almost without suggestion or assistance. Cures would have been made or imagined; the latent powers of diseased or paralysed bodies would have been quickened into action. Belief, and the fear of disbelieving, would have multiplied one extraordinary event into a hundred; fraud would be outbid by zeal; the invention of the crafty, even if what may seem invention was not more often ignorance and credulity, would be outrun by the demands of superstition. There is no calculating the extent and effects of these epidemic outbursts of passionate religion.°

Becket was indeed the martyr of the clergy, not of the Becket mar- Church ; of sacerdotal power, not of Christianity ; tyr of the clergy. of a caste, not of mankind.<sup>d</sup>. From beginning to . end it was a strife for the authority, the immunities, the possessions of the clergy.<sup>e</sup> The liberty of the Church was the exemption of the clergy from law; the vindication of their separate, exclusive, distinctive existence from the rest of mankind. It was a sacrifice to the deified self; not the individual self, but self as the centre and representative of a great corporation. Here and there in the long full correspondence there is some slight allusion to the miseries of the people in being deprived of the services of the exiled bishops and clergy: " " there is no one . to ordain clergy, to consecrate virgins:" the confiscated property is said to be a robbery of the poor: yet in general the sole object in dispute was the absolute immunity of the clergy from civil jurisdiction,<sup>g</sup> the right of appeal

° On the effect of the death, and the immediate concourse of the people to Canterbury, Lambeth. p. 133,

<sup>d</sup> Herbert de Bosham, writing fourteen years after Bécket's death, declares him among the most undisputed martyrs. fuit aut apertior ego nec audivi, nec legi." So completely were clerical im-

' Especially in Epist. 19. "Interim." among the most unosputed martyrs.
"Quod aliculus martyrum causa justion fuit aut apertior ego nec audivi, nec legi." So completely were clerical immunities part and parcel of Christianity.
The enemies of Becket assigned base reasons for his opposition to the King.
"Ecclesiasticam etiam libertatem, quam
"Expectally in Epist. 19. "Interim."
" It is not just to judge the clergy by the trimes of individual men, but there is one case, mentioned by no less an authority than John of Salisbury, too flagrant to pass over: it was in Becket's death the Bishops of Excter

defensatis, non ad animarum lucrum sed ad augmentum pecuniarum, episcopos vestros intorquere." See the charges urged by John of Oxford.-Giles, iv. p. 188.

# CHAP. VIII. MARTYR FOR IMMUNITIES OF THE CLERGY. 527

from the temporal sovereign to Rome, and the asserted superiority of the spiritual rulers in every respect over the temporal power. There might, indeed, be latent advantages to mankind, social, moral, and religious, in this secluded sanctity of one class of men; it might be well that there should be a barrier against the fierce and ruffian violence of kings and barons; that somewhere freedom should find a voice, and some protest be made against the despotism of arms, especially in a newly-conquered country like England, where the kingly and aristocratic power was still foreign: above all, that there should be a easte, not an hereditary one, into which ability might force its way up, from the most low-born, even from the servile rank; but the liberties of the Church, as they were called, were but the establishment of one tyranny-a milder, perhaps, but not less rapacious tyranny-instead of another; a tyranny which aspired to uncontrolled, irresponsible rule, nor was above the inevitable evil produced on rulers as well as on subjects, from the consciousness of arbitrary and autocratic power.

Reflective posterity may perhaps consider as not the least remarkable point in this lofty and tragic Verdet of strife that it was but a strife for power. Henry II. Posterity was a sovereign who, with many noble and kingly qualities, lived, more than even most monarchs of his age; in direct violation of every Christian precept of justice, humanity, conjugal fidelity. He was lustful, cruel, treaicherous, arbitrary. But throughout this contest there is no remonstrance whatever from Primate or Pope against his disobedience to the laws of God, only to those of the Church. Becket *might*, indeed, if he had retained his full and acknowledged religious power, have rebuked the vices, protected the subjects, interceded for the victims of the King's unbridled passions. It must be acknowledged by all that he did not take the wisest course to secure this which

minas, adeo impudens ut libidinem, nisi quam publicaverit, voluptuosam esse non reputat." He debauched mothers and daughters: "Fornicationis abusum comparat necessitati." In one village he had seventeen bastards.—Epist. 310.

and Worcester were commissioned by Pope Alexander to visit St. Augustine's, Canterbury, They report the total dilapidation of the buildings and estates. The prior cleet "Jugi, quid hereticus dammat, fluit libídine, et hinnjt in fæ-

might have been beneficent influence. But as to what appears, if the King would have consented to allow the churchmen to despise all law—if he had not insisted on hanging priests guilty of homicide as freely as laymen—he might have gone on unreproved in his career of ambition; he might unrebuked have seduced or ravished the wives and daughters of his nobles; extorted without remonstrance of the Clergy any revenue from his subjects, if he had kept his hands from the treasures of the Church. Henry's real tyranny was not (would it in any case have been?) the object of the churchman's censure, oppugnancy, or resistance. The cruel and ambitious and rapacious King would doubtless have lived unexcommunicated and died with plenary absolution.

# CHAPTER IX.

### ALEXANDER III. AND THE POPES TO THE CLOSE OF THE . TWELFTH CENTURY.

THE history of Becket has been throughout almost its whole course that of Pope Alexander III.: it has shown the Pontiff as an exile in France, and after his return to Rome. The support, more or less courageous and resolute, or wavering and lukewarm, of the English Primate, has been in exact measure to his own prosperity and danger. When Alexander seems to abandon the cause of the English Primate, he is trembling before his own adversaries, or embarrassed with increasing difficulties; when he boldly, either through himself or his legates, takes part against the King of England, it is because he has felt strong enough to stand without the countenance or without the large pecuniary aids lavished by Henry.

Alexander remained in France above three years. During that time the kingdom of Sicily was restored to peace and order; the Emperor had returned to April, 1162, to Germany, where he seemed likely to be fully Sept. 1165. occupied with domestic wars; the Italian republics were groaning under the oppressive yoke of their conqueror, which they were watching the opportunity to throw off. Milan razed to the earth, if not sown with salt, given up to ruin, fire, and, most destructive of all, to the fury of her enemies. Lodi, Cremona, Pavia, had risen from her ashes; walls had grown up, trenches sunk around the condemned city. Her old allies had rivalled in zeal, activity, and devotion her revengeful foes. Her scattered citizens had returned. The Archbishop's palace towered in its majesty, the churches lifted up their pinnacles and spires, the republic had resumed its haughtiness, its turbulence." The Auti-pope Victor was dead,<sup>b</sup> but a new Anti-pope was not wanting. The Emperor might, without loss of honour, .

<sup>a</sup> Ann. 1162. On the extent of the lano, c. vii. He gives the authorities destruction of Milan, and its restoration, compare Verri, Storia di Mi-VOL. III. <sup>b</sup> April 1164. In Lucca. 2 M have made peace with Alexander; but the Imperialist churchmen dared not trust a Pope whom they had denied to be Pope. The Archbishop of Cologne and the German and Lombard prelates proclaimed Guido of Crema by the title of Paschal III.; he was consecrated by the Bishop of Liege. But the Anti-pope had not dared to contest Rome; he was, in fact, a German Anti-pope overawed by German prelates. In Rome the vicegerent of Pope Alexander ruled with almost undisturbed sway; but in that vicegerent had taken place an important change. Julius, the Cardinal of Palestrina, died; the Cardinal of St. John and St. Paul was appointed in his place. This Cardinal was a man of great address and activity. By artful language and well-directed bribery, notwithstanding all the opposition of Christian, the Chancellor of the Empire, he won over the versatile people: the senate were entirely at his disposal.

The Pope, at the summons of his Vicar, and lavishly supplied with money by the Kings of France and Sept. 1165. Alexander embarks for Italy. England, embarked, on the octave of the Assumption of the Virgin, at Marseilles, himself in one vessel, the cardinals of his party and Oberto, the anti-Imperialist Archbishop of Milan, in another. They were watched by the fleet of Pisa, in the interests of the Emperor. The vessel which conveyed the cardinals was taken. searched in vain for the person of the Pope, and then released; that with the Pope on board put back into the port. Shortly after in a smaller and swift-sailing bark he Early in November. reached Messina: there he received a splendid embassy from the King of Sicily; several large vessels were placed at his command. The Archbishop of Reggio (in Calabria) and many barons of Southern Italy joined themselves to the cardinals around him. The Nov. 22. fleet landed at Ostia: the clergy and senators of Rome crowded to pay their homage to the Pope. He was escorted to the city by numbers bearing olive-branches. At the Lateran gate the clergy in their sacred vestments, the authorities of the city and the militia under their banners, the Jews with their Bible in their hands, Nov. 24. presented themselves; and in the midst of this festive procession he took possession of the Lateran palace.

But it was not the policy of the Hohenstaufen Emperor to desert the cause of his Anti-pope, and to leave Alexander in secure possession of Rome. After Alexander had occupied Rome for a year, in the following year Frederick crossed the Alps with a great force. Rainald, Archbishop of Cologne and Archchancellor of Italy, preceded his march towards the south. Pisa received him: the Alexandrine archbishop, Villani, was degraded, Benencasa installed as archbishop.<sup>c</sup> Rome was notoriously the prize of the highest bidder; it had been bought by Alexander with the gold of France, England, and Sicily;<sup>a</sup> many were disposed to be bought again by the Emperor. Rainald of Cologne, an active, daring, and unscrupulous partisan, made great progress in the neighbourhood of Rome and in Rome itself in favour of the Anti-pope. The Emperor, at the head of his army, moved slowly southwards. Instead, however, of marching direct to Rome, he sate down before Ancona, which had returned or been re-subdued to its allegiance to the Byzantine Empire; for the Byzantine Manuel Commenus had found leisure to mingle himself again in the affairs of Italy; he even aspired to reunite Rome to what the Byzantines still called the Roman Empire.<sup>e</sup> Ancona made a brave resistance, and the Imperial forces were thus diverted from the capital.

The feeble Romans were constant to one passion alone, the hatred of their neighbours; that hatred was now centred on Tusculum. Notwithstanding all the remonstrances of the more prudent Pope, the whole militia of Rome, on whom depended the power of resistance to the Emperor, marched out to attack the detested neighbour. They suffered a disgraceful defeat by a few German troops,

" Quem venerabilis Pasqualis cum cancellario, et cardinalibus gloriose recepit."--Marangoni, p. 47.

" "Roma si invenerit emptorem, venalem se præberet."---Vit. Alex. III.

<sup>c</sup> Cinnamus, vi. 4, p. 261, ed. Bonn. According to the Byzantine, the Pope had agreed to this. i,  $\tau \delta \pi \delta 2.01$  ideal "whose treasury is inexhausi  $\delta v \pi \delta x 1 \chi \delta \sigma n \pi \delta x 1$ " is Poun degues in explanation of the Byzantine emhad agreed to this. i,  $\tau \delta \pi \delta 2.01$  ideal "whose treasury is inexhausi  $\delta v \pi \delta x 1 \chi \delta \sigma n \pi \delta x 1$ " Sand apud imperatorem (Consta  $\delta \sigma \gamma \delta \sigma \pi \sigma \sigma \pi \delta x 1$ " ideal for the son of the Byzantine emson of the Byzantine emscale in the son of the byzanti

sincerity? In 1171 (Feb. 28), Alexander, alarmed at a proposition of marriage between the son of the Emperor Frederick and the daughter of the King of France, offers to the King of France to procure for his daughter the hand of the son of the Byzantine emperor, "whose treasury is inexhaustible." "Sand apud imperatorem (Constantinopolitanum) regnum et consanguinei puellæ grarium indeficiens semper invenient."—Apnd Bouquet, xv. 901.

2м2

headed by the Archbishop of Mentz, their general, and the garrison of Tusculum under the command of the Archbishop of Cologne. Their loss was great End of May. and irreparable, 1000 slain, 2000 prisoners: the prowess of these warlike churchmen afflicted even to tears but did not subdue the courage of the resolute Pontiff.<sup>f</sup> IIe strengthened as far as he could the fortifications of Rome; a few troops were obtained from the Queen Regent of Sicily (William II. was now dead) and the youthful king. Frederick had broken up the siege of Ancona; he reached Rome, easily got possession of the Leonine city; the Vatican alone maintained an obstinate defence. till some of the buildings caught fire and compelled the garrison to capitulate. The Anti-pope took possession of St. Peter's, recking with blood up to the high altar,<sup>g</sup> and performed the papal functions. The Emperor July 30. attended; the Empress Beatrice received the imperial diadem, and the crown of Frederick was blessed again by the Pontiff.

Alexander seemed at first determined to defend to the utmost the city on the other side of the Tiber. Some Sicilian vessels had sailed up the river to bring supplies of money and to convey him away. Alexander refused to embark. The Frangipanis and the house of Peter Alexander at Leonis were firm and united in his cause. Be-Benevento. fore long he thought it more prudent to escape in disguise to Gaeta; there he resumed the pontifical attire and withdrew to Benevento.

Rome consoled herself for her enforced submission by the re-establishment of her senate in supreme Aug. 22. authority. The Emperor endeavoured, by the grant of various immunities, to secure the fidelity of the people; but the Frangipanis, the Peter Leonis, and many of the nobles, remained aloof in sullen silence, and kept within their impregnable fortress palaces. But the Pope had a more powerful ally. Never did the climate of

"" Paucissimi evaserunt, qui non occisi, aut captivati fuerint."—Chro-nicon Reichsperg. The best account of the victory of these martial prelates is in Otto de Saint Blaise, c. xx. "Compare Marangoni, p.48.

\* Otto de Saint Blaise. He says that

Rome so fearfully humiliate the pride of the Emperor, or work with such awful force for the liberation of Italy.<sup>h</sup> No wonder that the visible hand of God <sup>Pestilence.</sup> was seen in the epidemic which broke out in the German army. It seemed, as has been said, commissioned with especial violence against those rebellious churchmen who had taken part and stood in arms against the lawful Pope. The Archbishop Elect of Cologne, the Bishops of Prague, Liege, Spires, Ratisbon, Verdun, Augsburg, Zeitz, were among its first victims. With them perished Duke Frederick of Swabia, the young Duke Guelf, in whom expired the line of the Estensian Guelfs. The pestilence was no less terrific from its rapidity than from its intensity. Men were, in perfect health in the morning, dead before the evening: it was hardly possible to perform the rites of decent burial. The Emperor broke up his camp in the utmost haste, retreated, not without hostile re- Retreat of sistance in the pass of Pontremoli, by Lucca and Sept. 4, 1167. Pisa to Pavia. Of nobles, bishops, knights, and squires, not reckoning the common soldiers, he had lost 2000 by the plague and during his retreat. Nor was this the worst: all Lombardy was in arms. A league had been formed to throw off his tyrannical yoke by Venice, Verona and all her dependencies, Vicenza, Padua, Treviso, Ferrará, Brescia, Bergamo, Cremona, Milan, Lodi, Piacenza, Parma, Mantua, Modena, and Bologna. The Emperor was not safe in Pavia: early in the spring of the next year the haughty Barbarossa hardly found his way A.D. 1168. to Germany in disguise; with greater difficulty the wreck of his army stole through the passes of the Alps.<sup>1</sup> . With the flight of the Emperor fell the cause of the

Anti-pope. City after city declared its allegiance to Alex-The Auti-pope maintained himself in St. Peter's, ander. but his death in the autumn of the year might have been expected to terminate the schism. No single sept. 20, cardinal of his faction remained; but the obsti- 1168.

h Here perhaps may once more be cited Peter Damiani's lines, almost equally appropriate on every German invasion :

<sup>&</sup>quot;Roma verax hominum, domat ardua colla vi-- rorum, Roma ferax febrium, necis est uberrima frúgum,

Romanæ febres stabili sunt jure fideles." -c. Ixiii.

<sup>1 &</sup>quot; Sicque evadens Imperator, transcursis Alpous, exercitum, morte, morbo, omnique miseriâ confectum, in patriam reduxis"--Otto de Saint Blaise e ve

nate few who adhered to him persuaded John, formerly Abbot of Struma, now Bishop of Tusculum, to assume the papacy under the name of Calixtus III. His legates June 23, 1169, were received by Fréderick at a great Diet at Bamberg; yet the Emperor did not scruple during the following year to send Eberhard, the Bishop of Bamberg, to negotiate with Alexander, now avowedly the head of the Lombard League. The great fortress which had been erected in the plains of Piedmont, as the impregnable place of arms for the League, was named after the Pope, Alexandria. The Pontiff was too sagacious not to perceive that the object of these peaceful offers was to alienate him from his allies, the King of Sicily, the Emperor of Constantinople, and the Lombard cities. The Pope received Eberhard of Bamberg at Veroli;\* as the Bishop had no authority to acknowledge him unreservedly as Pope, he was dismissed with haughty courtesy. Yet Alexander dared not to take up his abode in Rome. The Prefect still commanded there in the name of the Emperor; and Tusculum, hard pressed by the Romans, whom the Prefect could not but indulge in their hope of vengeance for their late defeat, surrendered first to the Prefect, afterwards to the Pope as the mightier protector. To increase the confusion, Manuel the Eastern Emperor pressed more vigorously his intrigues to regain a footing in Italy. He condescended to court the Frangipani by granting his daughter in marriage to a prince of that powerful house. The Pope, still at Veroli, gave his blessing to the nuptials. Rome now offered her unqualified allegiance to A.D. 1172. the Pope at the price of the sacrifice of Tusculum,<sup>m</sup> which had yielded herself into his hands, and where he had held his papal state more than two years. Alexander consented to raze her impregnable walls; his treachery to Tusculum was punished by the treachery of the Romans. When the walls of her hated rival were levelled they laughed to scorn their own agreement. Alexander retired to Anagni, revenging himself by fortifying again

- the denuded city of Tusculum.<sup>n</sup>
- \* Alexander was at Veroli from March Jaffé, Regesta. to September. <sup>n</sup> Ile was at Seg <sup>m</sup> His bulls bear date at Tusculum, Anagni, March 28.

<sup>•</sup> from Oct. 17, 1170, to Jan. i173,-

<sup>&</sup>quot; He was at Segni, Jan. 27, 1173; at

It was not till above three years after, when the pride of Barbarossa had been humbled by his total May 29, 1176. Medeat at Legnano, the battle-field in which the Legnano. Lombard republics won their independence, that Alexander could trust the earnest wishes of the Emperor for peace. The Emperor could no longer refuse to recognise a pontiff at the head of the League of his conquerors; it was of awful often that the fortress named after the Pope had borne before the fatal battle all the brunt of the war, and defied his mightiest armament. A secret treaty, now that a treaty was necessary for both parties, arranged the chief points in dispute between the Pope and the Emperor; the general pacification was not publicly proclaimed till the following year.

Then the Pope, under the safe conduct of the Emperor, embarked with his retinue in eleven stately galleys, The Pope at for Venice. He was received with the highest March 13, honours by the Doge, Sebastiano Ziani,° and the 1177. senators. Some dispute took place as to the city in which was to be holden the general congress; the Lombards proposed Bologna; the Emperor Venice; and Venice was at length agreed upon by all parties. But though the terms of reconciliation between the Pope and the Emperor inight be arranged with no great difficulty, and on their main points had been settled before at Anagni (the full .recognition of Alexander-the abandonment of the Antipope, was the one important article), more embarrassing questions arose on the terms insisted on by the Pope's allies, especially the Lombard republics. The Emperor demanded the full acknowledgment of all the imperial rights recognised at the diet of Roncaglia, and claimed or enjoyed by his predecessors. The republics insisted on the confirmation of their customs as recognised by the late emperors, Henry V., Conrad, and Lothair. As peace seemed impracticable, the Pope at length sug-gested a truce. The Emperor at first indignantly Venice. rejected this proposition, but was prevailed on to yield to a truce of six years with the Lombard League; of fifteen with the King of Sicily. In the meantime the Emperor was to retain possession of the domains of the Countess

º He embarked at Viesti, March 9, 1177.

Matilda; after that they were to revert to the Pope. The Lombards bitterly complained of this abandonment of their cause; they had borne the brunt and expenditure of the war; the Pope only consulted his own advantage. But Alexander judged more wisely of their real interests. The cities during the truce were more likely to increase in wealth and power; might quietly strengthen their fortifications, and gather the resources of war; the Emperor, in that time, might be involved in new hostilities in Germany. At all evens the Christian prelate might fully determine to obtain a suspension of arms, if he could not a permanent peace : the chances of peace were better for all parties than those of war.

The Emperor then advanced towards Venice. When he arrived at Chioggia, the eager and tumultuous populace were disposed to transport him into the city, without precaution or exchange of hostages. The distrustful Pope was so alarmed, that he kept his galleys prepared for flight. The Lombard deputies actually set out towards Treviso. But the grave wisdom of the Doge Ziani, and of the senate, appeased the popular movement, arranged and guaranteed the ceremonial for the proclamation of the peace on the meeting of the Pope and of the Emperor.

On Tuesday the 24th of July, the Pope went in great state to the Church of St. Mark: the Doge, with the Bucentaur, and other splendid galleys, to meet the Emperor at S. Niccolo del Lido.<sup>p</sup> The bishops of Ostia, Porto, and Palestrina, with other cardinals, were sent forward to absolve the Emperor and his adherents from the ban of

<sup>P</sup> Daru alone, of modern historians, adheres to the old fables, as old as the fourteenth century, of the march of Frederick towards Anagni; the flight of the Pope in disguise to Venice, where he was recognised; Frederick's pursuit to Tarento; the defeat of his great fleet of seventeen large galleys by the Venetians, and the capture of his son Otho; finally, the Pope's insolent behaviour to the Emperor, his placing his feet upon his neek, with the words, "Super aspida et basiliscum ponam pedes nostros;" Frederick's indignant reply, "Non tibi, sed Petro." The account appears in a passage of Dandolo (in Chron.) of questioned authenticity, which appeals to, but does not cite, earlier Venetian his-

. .

tories. But the total silence and the irreconcileable accounts of the contemporary historians and of the Papal letters must outweigh these dubions authorities. A more powerful, but, from his Venetian patriotism, less impartial, advocate than Daru, Paolo Sarpi, had before maintained the same views. Yet such a fietion is extraordinary. Venetian pride might invent the part which redounds to the glory of Venice: but who invented the striking interview between the Emperor and the Pope? It is not an improbable suggestion, that it originated in paintings, representing the Pope and the Emperor in such attitudes. As Poetry has so often, here Painting for once became History. CHAP. IX. INTERVIEW OF THE EMPEROR AND POPE.

excommunication. The warlike Archbishop of Mentz, and the other German prelates, abjured the Anti-popes, Octavian, Guido of Crema, and John of Struma. The Emperor, with the Doge and senators, and with his own Teutonic nobles, advanced to the portal of St. Mark's, where stood the Pope in his pontifical attire. Frederick no sooner beheld the successor of St. Peter, than he threw off his imperial mantle, prostrated himself, and kissed the feet of the Pontiff. Alexander, not without tears, raised him up, and gave him the kiss of peace. Then swelled · out the Te Deum; and the Emperor, holding the hand of the Pope, was led into the choir, and received the papal benediction. From thence they proceeded together to the Ducal Palace.<sup>q</sup> The next day, the feast of St. James the Apostle, the Pope celebrated mass, and preached to the people. The Emperor held his stirrup when he departed from the church; but the courtesy of the Pope prevented him from holding the bridle along the Place of St. Mark. At a great council held in the church, the Pope excommunicated all who should infringe the treaty.

Thus Venice might seem to have the glory of mediating a peace, which at least suspended for some years all the horrors of war-the war which, throughout Italy, had arrayed city against city, on the Papal or Imperialist factions." They had assisted in terminating a disastrous schism which had distracted Christendom for so many years.

Even Rome was overawed by the unity between the Emperor and the Pope. The city sent seven of her nobles to entreat Alexander to honour Rome with his After some negotiation a treaty was agreed on. presence. The senate continued to subsist, but swore fealty and

"Jam Papa perisset in arto, Cæsar ibi vetulum ni relevasset eum."

This is an odd contrast of real life with romance .- Apud Pertz, Archiv. iv. p.-

363. Muratori has given the list. On the Emperor's side were Cremona (Pisa?), Pavia, Genoa, Tortona, Asti,

<sup>9</sup> A curious passage from a newly-re-overed poem, if poem it may be called, vono, Albenga, Casale, Montevio, Castel Bolognese, Imola, Faenza, Ravenna, Forli, Forlimpopoli, Cesena, Rimini, the Marquises of Montferrat, Guasto, and Bosco, the Counts of Blandrarte and Lomello. In the League, Venice, Trcviso, Padua, Vicenza, Verona, Brescia, Ferrara, Mantua, Bergamo, Lodi, Milan, Como, Novara, Vercelli, Alexandria, Carsino and Belmonte, Piacenza, Bobbio, the Marquis Malespina, Parma, Reggio, Modena, Bologna, Doccia, San Cassiano, &c.

`537

covered poem, if poem it may be called, by Godfrey of Viterbo, an attendant on the Emperor, gives an incident worth notice. So great was the press in the market that the aged Pope was thrown down :-

rendered homage to the Pope; the Church of St. Peter, and the royalties seized by the people, were restored. Alexander took possession of the Lateran palace, and April 9, 1175. A celebrated Easter with great pomp. In the August of the same year the Anti-pope, Calixtus III., abdicated his vain title. He had fled to Viterbo, determined to maintain a vigorous resistance; he received a message from the Emperor, threatening him, if he refused to submit, with the ban of the Empire. He fled on to Montalbano; he was received by John, the lord of that castle, whose design, it is said, was to sell him at a high price to Alexander. In Montalbano he was besieged by the Archbishop of Mentz, who wasted all the territory around.<sup>s</sup> Calixtus, in despair, threw himself on the mercy of his enemy; he went to Tusculum, fell at the feet of Alexander, confessed his sin of schism, and implored . Aug. 29, 1183. ander, confessed fils on of contract, forgiveness. Alexander received him with Christian gentleness, and even advanced him afterwards to a post of dignity—the government of the city of Benevento.

A great council in the Lateran was the last important Aug. 29, 1178. act in the long and eventful pontificate of Alex-March 17, 1179. and er.<sup>t</sup> He died in Civita Castellana.

Thus closed the first act of the great tragedy, the strife of the Popes with the imperial house of Hohenstaufen. The Pope had gained a great victory; he had won back the now uncontested papacy, and the city of Rome. He was at the head of a great Italian interest, both in the South and in the North, Sicily and the Lombard League. Yet though humbled, Barbarossa was still of formidable power; he had subdued, driven into exile his one dangerous German subject, the rebel Henry the Lion. Many cities,

\* This fierce prelate, whom in the regulated the election of the Pope Treaty of Venice Pope Alexander had (Itomuald-Salernit); he must have two-recognised as rightful Archbishop of thirds of the suffrages; enacted sump-Mentz, was afterwards involved in a thary laws as to the horses of prelates quarrel with the Marquis of Montferrat concerning the possession of Viterbo. The people were for the archbishop, and the Pope, Lucius III., now his ally; the nobles for Conrad, son of the Marquis. The archbishop was taken and kept for some time in iron chains. He ransomed himself at a great price, fought many more battles, and died at length of a fever.---Muratori, 1179.

' This Council,' among other acts,

thirds of the suffrages; enacted sump-tuary laws as to the horses of prelates on their visitation; hawks and hounds and costly banquets were prohibited; the Knights-Templars and Hospitallers were to be under episcopal authority; clerks to have no women in their houses. There were Canons on the house of God; in favour of lepers; against Christians furnishing arms to Saracens; against wreekers; against Jews and Saracens having Christian slaves. Cathari, Paterenes, Publicans were anathematised.

and some of the most powerful, were firmly attached to the imperial cause, the more firmly from their internecine hatred each to some other of the cities of the League; the proverbial animosity of Guelf and Ghibelline had begun to rage. Till towards the close of this century, the Papacy might seem to be in quiet repose, gathering its strength for the great culminating manifestation of its power in Innocent III.

Five Popes,<sup>u</sup> neither distinguished by their personal character, nor by the events of their pontificate, passed in succession, during less than twenty years, over the scene. Of these Popes two alone honoured Rome by their residence. The three first can hardly be called Bishops of Rome.

On the death of Alexander he was succeeded by a native of Lucca, Ubaldo, Bishop of Ostia and Velletri. Lucius III. (this was his pontifical name) retained his residence, probably his bishopric of Velletri. Rome, rarely visited by Alexander, for six months endured the presence of her new pontiff.<sup>x</sup> Then Rome was again in rebellion: the Pope at Velletri, afterwards at Anagni. The cruelty and insolence of the Romans was at its height. They blinded six-and-twenty Tusculan prisoners, and set cardinal's hats on their heads; a wretch with one eye left was crowned with the papal tiara, inscribed "Lucius III., the worthless, the deceiver." In this plight they were ordered to present themselves to the Pope in Anagni.<sup>y</sup>

The Pope and the Emperor, and the north of Italy, were still at peace. Even Alexandria had opened her gates, and for a short time took the name of Cesarea. The famous treaty of Constance seemed to fix the relations of the Emperor and the Lombard republics on a lasting ground. At Verona met the Emperor and the Pope in apparent amity. Frederick had hopes that the Pope would consent

to permit him to devolve the imperial crown upon his son. . Lucius had the address to suggest that a second emperor could not be crowned till the reigning emperor had actually abdicated the empire. They parted in mutual mistrust; but the Pope remained at Verona.<sup>\*</sup> Lucius III. had

| " Lucius III. inaugurated Nov. 1181 1185 |    |                |
|--|----|----------------|
| Urban III.                               | ", | 1185 1187      |
| Gregory VIII.                            | ** | 1187 1187      |
| Clement III.                             | "  | 1187 1190      |
| Cœlestine III,                           | "  | 1190 Jan. 1198 |

\* September, 1181, March, 1182.

<sup>y</sup> Chron. Foss nov.

<sup>2</sup> He was at Verona from July 25 to his death in 1185. fulminated an anathema against the sects which were now spreading in the north of Italy, and were all included under the hated name of Manicheans, the Cathari, the Paterenes, the Umiliati, the poor men of Lyons, the Passagini, the Giuseppini; he had visited with the like censures the Arnoldists and rebels of Rome. The Emperor left the papal thunders to their own unaided effects; he moved no troops; he would not break the peace of Italy, either to persecute the heretics, or to subdue Rome.

The cardinals, like the Pope, had abandoned the south for the north of Italy. On the death of Lucius, Uberto, Death of Lucius. Nov.25, 1185. or Humbert Crivelli, his successor, Urban III., elected by twenty-seven cardinals," retained the Urban III. archbishopric of Milan (thus holding at once the two great secs of Italy); he chiefly resided at Verona. The peace of Venice had seemed but precarious during the pontificate of Lucius. Uberto Crivelli, the Archbishop of Milan, and full of Milanese as well as papal jealousy of the Emperor, was not likely to smooth away the causes of animosity. Urban the Turbulent (Turbanus), such was the ill-omened name which he received from his enemies, was more the republican Archbishop (in that character he had already, even in war, been among the most dangerous enemies of Barbarossa) than the supreme Pontiff. There were three fatal points in dispute, each sufficient to break up so hasty a treaty; to estrange powers who had such little sympathy with each other. In Germany Frederick was accused of seizing the estates of vacant sees, confiscating all the moveable property, and even compelling the alienation Causes of enmity. of farms, lands, towns, and other rights; of suppressing monasteries, especially of nuns, under the pretext. that they had sunk into licence and irregularity. In Italy the great question of succession to the territories of the Countess Matilda had been only adjourned; the longer the Emperor maintained the possession, the less disposed was he to fulfil his covenant for the restoration of these wealthy

domains to the Roman see. The third and most dangerous controversy concerned the coronation of his son, if not as Emperor, as King of Italy. The Emperor had made with success a master-stroke of policy; he had obtained

\* Ciacconius gives their names .- Vit. Pontif.

the hand of Constantia, the heiress of the kingdom of Sicily, for his son Henry. The kingdom of Sicily was thus, instead of a place of refuge for the Pope against the Emperor, now an imperial territory; the king, instead of a vassal holding his realm as an acknowledged fief of the papacy, the Pope's implacable antagonist. He was placed at Rome, between two fires. Urban III. strove in vain against the perilous marriage; he resolutely refused the coronation of Henry with the iron crown of Italy: this was his function as Archbishop of Milan. The office was assumed by the Bishop of Aquileia. The conduct of the ferocious Henry, the son and heir of Barbarossa, the husband of the Sicilian Constantia, aggravated the terrors of beholding the crown of Sicily on the brows of a Hohenstaufen. While yet in Lombardy, he demanded of a bishop of whom he held the investiture of his see. "Of the Pope alone," three times replied the resolute ecclesiastic. Henry ordered his attendants to seize, to beat, and to roll in the mire the obstinate prelate. In the south he entered into an alliance with the rebel senate of Rome. A servant of the Pope, on the way from Rome with a large sum of money, was seized by his command, stripped of his treasures, and sent empty-handed, and with his nose cut off, to the Pope. The Emperor took measures, if not of equal ferocity, of more menacing hostility. He commanded the passes of the Alps to be occupied, to prevent all communication of the German ecclesiastics with the Pope; who was all this time holding his court, it might be supposed, in the midst of the Emperor's Italian territory in Verona. He commanded the Archbishop of Cologne, the Pope's legate, to assume complete ecclesiastical supremacy, and to decide all causes without the cognizance of the Pope.<sup>b</sup> At a full diet at Gelnhausen, Barbarossa arraigned the Pope, as having refused to crown his son; as having excommunicated the bishops, who at the Emperor's command had officiated at that cere-

<sup>b</sup> Urban III. writes to Wickman, Archbishop of Magdeburg, to use his good offices to soothe the Emperor. "Commonitam frequenter a sese impe-rialis culminis altitudinem ut ecclesiæ Romanæ restitueret possessiones, quas detineret occupatas, non eâ qua debuerat serenitate respondisse, nec videri velle stroke of policy to make Lombard Popes.

perficere, per quod inter ecclesiam et imperium firma possit pax et concordia evenire."—Feb. 24, 1187. This from almost the immediate successor of Alexander III., the antecessor only by ten years of Innocent III., and from such a

541

mony; of consecrating Fulmar Archbishop of Treves; without the approbation of the Emperor. Fulmar was finally expelled; Rudolf, the Emperor's partisan, consecrated Archbishop of Treves. Frederick disposed at his will of the German sees. The German bishops were called upon to aid their Emperor in his resistance to this contumacious Pope. They offered their mediation; they signed and sealed a document, imploring the Pope in these perilous times not to renew the old fatal wars; they urged him at least to politic dissimulation; at the same time they represented the exactions of his legates, and complained of the contributions levied by his officers on the monasteries in Germany, some of which had been reduced to penury. Urban III. at length determined on the excommunication of Frederick; but the citizens of Verona declared that no such act of hostility should take place within their walls.

• Urban departed to Ferrara; for this act of resistance on the part of Verona was of evil augury, as to the Sept., Oct. disposition of his only remaining allies, the Lombard republics, to risk their growing opulence in his cause. At Ferrara he died. Of his death there is an account by one who solemnly protests to the truth of his statementhe was an eye-witness. Peter of Blois rode with the Pope from Verona towards Ferrara. Peter endeavoured to appease the deadly hatred which had been instilled into the soul of Urban against Baldwin, Archbishop of Canterbury. The Pope, red with anger, broke out, "May I never dismount this horse and mount another, if I do not depose him!" He had hardly spoken, when the cross borne before him was dashed in pieces. It was hastily tied together. At the next town Urban fell ill: he never again mounted a horse.<sup>c</sup> He was conveyed slowly by water to Ferrara. Through Christendom it was reported that the cause of his hatred against the English Prelate • was this: Baldwin of Canterbury had set up a chapter of secular canons against the unruly monks of Canterbury; the monks appealed to Rome, and had inflamed the Pope with implacable resentment against Baldwin.

<sup>6</sup> See the very curious letter of Peter commensatis—Epist. 216. Giles, ii. p. of Blois. Peter says that he had been 165. On Baldwin's quarrel with the at school with Urban at Marlborough monks, see Collier, i. p. 393. (Maldebyrig), and was also Baldwin's

### CHAP. 1X. LOSS OF JERUSALEM-GREGORY VIII.

The peace of European Christendom was owing less to the respect for recent treaties, to either satiety of ambition in the contending parties, or the seeming isolation of the Pope, than to the calamities in the East. The rise · of the great Saladin had appalled, it had even extorted generous admiration from the chivalrous kings of the West. But when Jerusalem fell before the Saracen, the loss afflicted all Christendom with grief and shame; at one blow all the glories of the Crusades were levelled to the dust. The war was to begin anew, and if with a nobler enemy, and one more worthy to conflict with European kings-with an enemy more formidable-one unconquered, it might seem unconquerable. Urban hardly retired to Ferrara, and died of grief, it was said (though the news could not possibly have reached Italy), for this disaster.º

But Urban knew not that this disaster would save the papacy from its imminent peril; it diverted at once even Barbarossa himself from his hostile plans; it awed the most implacable enemies in Christendom to peace and amity. The first act of Gregory VIII.<sup>f</sup> (Albert, Cardinal of St. Lorenzo in Lucina) was to issue lamentable letters to the whole of Christendom. They described in harrowing terms Saladin (for the cross of Christ had the fall of Jerusalem. ceased to be the unconquerable defence of the Christians) had overthrown the whole Christian host; had broken into .the holy city; the cross itself was taken; the Bishop slain; the King a prisoner; many knights of the Temple and of St. John beheaded. This was the Divine visitation for the sins, not of the kingdom of Jerusalem, but of Christendom: it might melt the hearts, not only of all believers, but of mankind. The Pope exhorted all men to take arms, or at least to offer the amplest contributions for the relief of their imperilled brethren, the recovery of the city, thesepulchre, the cross of the Lord. He appointed a fast for five years, to appease the wrath of God. Every Friday in the year was to be observed as Lent; on Wednesdays and Saturdays meat was forbidden. To these days of

<sup>e</sup> Urban left Verona in September; <sup>f</sup> Gregory, consecrated Oct. 25, 1187. Jerusalem fell on the 2nd October; The letters are dated Oct. 29. Urban died on the 20th.

543

abstinence the Pope and the cardinals were to add Monday. The cardinals imposed on themselves even more exemplary duties: to take the cross, to go to the Holy Land as mendicant pilgrims, to receive no presents from those who came on business to the papal court; not to mount on horseback, but to go on foot so long as the ground on which the Saviour walked was trodden by the feet of the unbeliever.<sup>g</sup> Gregory set off for Pisa to reconcile the hostile republics of Pisa and Genoa, in order that their mighty armaments might combine for the reconquest of Palestine. But Gregory died before he had completed the second month of his pontificate.

His successor, elected two days after his decease, was by Clement III, birth a Roman, Paul Cardinal of Palestrina: he Dec. 19. took the Roman name of Clement III. The pontificate was rescued from the immediate influence of the northern republics, and, as a Roman, Clement had the natural ambition to restore the Papacy to Rome. Rome herself had now again grown weary of that republican freedom which was bought at the cost of her wealth, herimportance, her magnificence. Rome inhabited by the, Pope was the centre of the civilised world; as an independent republic, only an inheritor of a barren name, of unproductive glory. Yet must the Pope purchase his restoration by the sacrifice of Tusculum and of Tivoli; to a Roman perhaps no heartfelt sacrifice; Tivoli had become an object of jealousy, as Tusculum formerly of implacable hatred. On these terms Clement III. obtained not merely his safe return to Rome, but the restoration of the A.D. 1188. Papal royalties from the Roman people. The republic by this treaty recognised the sovereignty of the Pope; the patriciate was abolished, a prefect named with more limited powers. The senators were to be annually elected, to receive the approbation and swear allegiance to the Pope. St. Peter's Church and all its domains were restored to the Pope; of the tolls which were levied onethird was to be expended for the use of the March, 1191. Roman people. The senate and people were to

respect the majesty and maintain the honour and dignity of the Roman Pontiff; the Roman Pontiff to bestow the Hoveden. accustomed largesses on the senators, their judges, and officers.<sup>h</sup> Clement III. ruled in peace for two years; he died in Rome.

Hyacinth, Cardinal of St. Maria in Cosmedin, was elected to the Papacy; he took the name of April 15. Cœlestine III. His first act must be the corona- CœlestineIII. tion of the Emperor Henry. Since the loss of Jerusalem, the new Crusade had absorbed the mind of Europe. Of all these expeditions none had commenced with greater pomp, and it might seem security of victory. Notwithstanding the prowess of Saladin, could he resist the combined forces, the personal ability and valour of the three greatest monarchs of Europe? Barbarossa himself had yielded to the irresistible enthusiasm; at the head of such an army as might become the great Cæsar of the West, he had set forth by land to Palestine. The Kings of France, of England, Philip Augustus, Richard the Lionhearted, proceeded by sea. But, if possible, this Crusade was even more disastrous, achieved less and suffered more, than all before. The Emperor Frederick was drowned in a small river of Pisidia; his vast host wasted Drowning of away, and part only, and that in miserable plight Barbarossa. reached Antioch. The jealousies of Philip Augustus of France, and Richard of England made the success of their great army impossible. Philip Augustus left the fame of an accomplished traitor, Richard that of ungovernable pride and cruelty, as well as of unrivalled valour. His chivalrous courage had won the respect of Saladin, his ruthless massacres made his name the terror, for a long time, of Saracen mothers; but no permanent conquest was made; the kingdom of Jerusalem was left to sink into a barren title. Richard's short career of glory ended in his long imprisonment in Austria.

The news of Frederick's death had reached Italy before the decease of Clement III. His successor dared not refuse the coronation of Henry, now Lord of Germany and of Sicily. Fiction at times becomes history. It is as important to know what men were believed to do, as what they actually did. The account of Henry's coronation, in an ancient chronicler,

 $^{\rm h}$  The treaty in Baronius and Muratori. Antiqu. Ital. Dissert. 32. VOL. 111.  $2\,$  N

cannot but be false in many of its most striking particulars, as being utterly inconsistent, at least with the situation if Coronation of not with the character of the Pope, no less than the Emperor With the haughty and uncomputing days The Pope may have beheld with secret satis-Henry. faction the seizure of the Sicilian kingdom by Tancred the Norman, the progress made by his arms in the kingdom of Naples, the ill-concealed aversion of the whole realm to the Germans; he may have looked forward to the time when a new Norman kingdom, detached from the imperial alliance, might afford security to the Roman Pontiff. But Henry was still with his unbroken forces; the husband of the Queen of Naples; there was no power at hand to protect the Pope. Cœlestine could as yet reckon on no more than the precarious support of the Romans. Henry, when he appeared with his Empress and his army in the neighbourhood of Rome might, in his eager desire to secure his coronation, quietly smile at the presumptuous bearing of the Romans, who manned their walls, and though they would admit the Emperor, refused to open their gates to his German troops; he might condescend to enter alone, to meet the Pope on the steps of St. Peter's. But the haughty and insulting conduct attributed to Pope Collectine only shows what Europe, to a great extent, believed to be the relation in which the Popes supposed themselves to stand towards the Emperor; the wide-spread opinion of the supremacy which they claimed, and which they exercised on all practicable occasions. Cœlestine sate on his pontifical throne, holding the imperial crown between his feet; the Emperor and Empress bowed their heads, and from between the feet of the Pope received each the crown. But the Lord Pope immediately struck the crown of the Emperor with his foot and cast it to the ground, signifying that if he should deserve it, it was in the Pope's power to degrade him from the empire. The cardinals caught up the fallen crown and replaced it on the brow of the Emperor. Such was the notion of an English historian,<sup>i</sup> such in England was proclaimed to be the treatment of the Emperor by the Pope at this solemn time; it was

<sup>1</sup> Roger Hoveden. The passage is quoted with manifest satisfaction, as of undoubted authority, by Cardinal Baronius.

CHAP. IX.

received perhaps more readily, and repeated more emphatically on account of the deep hatred felt by the English nation to the ruling Emperor for his treachery to their captive sovereign King Richard.

Yet for his coronation Henry scrupled not to pay a price even more humiliating, but of which he felt not the humiliation, an act of his characteristic perfidy and cruelty. The Pope had not been able to fulfil that one of the terms of his treaty with the Roman people, which was to them of the deepest interest, the demolition of Tusculum. The city had admitted an imperial garrison to protect it from the Pope, and from Rome. The Pope demanded its surren- Surrender or der; without this concession he would not proceed AD 1191, to the coronation. The garrison received orders, without consulting the citizens, to open the gates to the Romans. The Romans hastened to glut the vengeance of years, unchecked by Emperor or by Pope. They massacred many of the principal citizens, and mutilated the rest; hardly one escaped without the loss of his eyes, his feet, his hands, or some other limb.<sup>k</sup> The walls were levelled to the ground, the citadel razed. Tusculum, the rival, at times the master, the tyrant of Rome, has at length disappeared. The Pope has abandoned the city, which at times enabled him to bridle the unruly populace of Rome; the Emperor one of his strongholds against the Pope himself.

Collectine III, during the rest of his pontificate maintained the high Christian ground, not indeed of mediator between the rivals for the kingdom of Apulia, but as protector of the distressed, the deliverer of the captive. Tancred, Count of Lecce, had been raised by the influence of the chancellor, Matthew of Salerno, to the throne of Sicily; the whole island had trembled at the chancellor's admonitions of the dangers of submission to a foreign yoke. Tancred, undisputed sovereign of Sicily, made rapid progress in the conquest of the kingdom of Naples. The Emperor Henry, after some successes, had been baffled by the obstinate resistance of Naples; sickness had weakened his forces;

\* "Hi acceptâ legatione Imperatoris Imperatori improperatum est multis."incautam civitatem Romanis tradiderunt qui multos peremerunt de civibus, et fere omnes sive pedibus, sive manibus, seu aliis membris mutilaverunt. Pro qua re

he was obliged to retire to Germany. He had entrusted his Queen Constantia to the inhabitants of Salerno, who had won his confidence by loud protestations of lovalty. But there was a strong Norman party in Salerno; Constantia was delivered as a prisoner into the hands of Tancred. Cœlestine interposed; the influence of the Pope, the generous chivalry of his own disposition; or perhaps the fear that the presence and misfortunes of Constantia might awaken the sympathy of his own subjects, induced Tancred to send her to the Emperor, not merely without ransom but loaded with magnificent presents.

Imprisonment of the Pope. King Richard of England had of King Richard. been seized on his return f For another prisoner was implored the interposition been seized, on his return from the Holy Land, by his deadly enemy Duke Leopold of Austria. The Emperor had compelled or bribed his surrender: he was now in a dungeon of the castle of Trefels. No sooner had the news of his capture reached his own dominions than the Archbishop of Rouen wrote to complain of this outrage against a king and a crusader, who as a crusader was under the special protection of the Holy See-"Unsheathe at once, most merciful father, the sword of St. Peter: show at once your debt of gratitude to such a son of the Church, that even those of lower rank may know what succour they may expect from you in their hour of necessity." Peter of Blois, the Archdeacon of Bath, whose high reputation for letters justified the step, addressed a letter to the Archbishop of Mentz, requiring his good offices and that of the whole German clergy for the deliverance of the King. He scrupled not, in his zeal, to compare the Duke of Austria and the Emperor himself to Judas Iscariot, who sold the Lord, and as deserving the fate of Judas.<sup>m</sup> Eleanor the Queen Mother addressed the Pope, Letters of letter after letter, in the most vehement and Queen Eieanor. impassioned language<sup>n</sup>-" On thee will fall all the guilt of this tragedy: thou who art the father of orphans, the judge of widows, the comforter of those that mourn

<sup>m</sup> Petri Blesensis, Epist. 64. <sup>n</sup> Petri Blesensis, Epist. 143, 144, 145, 146. These letters were written, it should seem, by Peter of Blois, with his usual force, his occasional felicity, occasional pedantry of scriptural illus-

CHAP. IX. LETTERS OF THE QUEEN MOTHER ELEANOR. 549

and weep, the city of refuge to all. If the Church of Rome sits silent with folded hands at such an outrage against Christ, let God arise and judge our cause. . . . Where is the zeal of Elijah against Ahab? the zeal of John against Herod? the zeal of Ambrose against Valens? the zeal of Alexander III., whom we have heard and seen awfully cutting off Frederick the father of this Prince from the communion of the faithful." The supplication, the expostulations, became more and more bitter. "For triffing causes your cardinals are sent in all their power even to the most barbarous regions; in this arduous, in this lamentable, in this common cause, you have not appointed even a subdeacon or an acolyth. It is lucre which in our day  $\cdot$ commissions legates, not respect for Christ, not the honour of the Church, not the peace of kingdoms, not the salvation of the people. . . . . You would not much have debased the dignity of the Roman See, if in your own person you had set out to Germany for the deliverance of so great a King. Restore me my son; oh man of God, if thou art indeed a man of God, not a man of blood; if thou art so lukewarm in his deliverance, the Most High may require his blood at thy hands." She dwells on the great services of the Kings of England, of Henry II. to the See of Rome: his influence had retained the King of France in fidelity to Alexander; his wealth had bought the obedience of the Romans. In a second, in a third letter, she is more pressing, more pathetic-" Can your soul be safe while you do not earnestly endeavour the deliverance of your son, the sheep of your fold, by frequent legations. by wholesome admonitions, by the thunders of commination, by general interdicts, by awful excommunications. You ought to lay down your life for him in whose behalf you are unwilling to speak or to write a single word." Cœlestine was unmoved by entreaties, remonstrances, rebukes. The promised legates never presented themselves so long as Richard was in prison.<sup>•</sup> It appears not whether from prudence or fear, but no sooner was the King released, than Coelestine embraced his cause with ardour : he demanded the restitution of the ransom, the deliverance of the hostages. He excommunicated Duke Leopold of

• Richard imprisoned, Dec. 20, 1192; released, Feb. 1194.

BOOK VIII.

Austria and all who had been concerned in the imprisonment of Richard. The Duke of Austria, at length, being in danger of his life by a fall from his horse, was glad to purchase his release from the excommunication by obedience to the Pope's demands.

By the death of Tancred King of Sicily, and of Roger the heir of Tancred, (he died, it was said, of grief for the loss of his son,) and the rapid reconquest of Apulia, and even of Sicily itself, by the Emperor Henry, the Empire had again consolidated its strength. The realm of the Hohenstaufens extended from the Mediterranean to the Baltic. It might seem that the coming century, instead of beholding the Pope, after years of obstinate strife with the house of Swabia, at the culminating point of his power, and seeing the last blood of the Hohenstaufens flow upon the scaffold, might sink into a vassal of the Emperor. It might seem that, enclosed and cooped in on every side, holding even spiritual communications with Christendom only by the permission of the German, the Pope might perhaps be compelled to yield up all the haughty pretensions of the Church under long, weary, irremediable, degrading oppression. Powers which he dared not wield, or wielded in vain, would fall into contempt; the Emperor would create Popes according to his own will, and Popes so created, having lost their independence, would lose their self-respect and the respect of mankind.

But Henry himself, by the curse which, without penetrating into the divine counsels, he may be supposed to have entailed on his race by his atrocious cruelties in Italy, by the universal execration which he brought on the German name and the Ghibelline cause, by tyranny which, after much allowance for the exaggeration of hate, is too strongly, too generally attested, contributed more, perhaps, than has been generally supposed, to the sudden growth of the Papal power.

Henry appeared in Italy: Pisa and Genoa forgot their The Emperor hostilities to join their fleets in his support. Pope Henry in Taly: Cœlestine bowed before the storm. Though Henry had neither restored the English gold nor the hostages; though he still retained possession of the lands of the Countess Matilda, and was virtually under excommunication as participant in the guilt of Richard's captivity, the Pope ventured on no measure of resistance, and Henry passed contemptuously by Rome to his southern prey. The Apulian cities opened their gates; Salerno only, in the desperation of fear for her treachery to the Empress, made some resistance, and suffered accordingly.<sup>p</sup> Henry marched without further opposition from the Garigliano to the Straits of Messina, from Messina to Palermo. Palermo received him with open gates, with clouds of incense and joyous processions. The youthful William, the second son of Tancred, laid his crown at the feet of the Emperor, and received the hereditary Countship of Lecce.

The campaign began in August; the Emperor celebrated Christmas in Palermo A.D. 1194. There had been no sound of arms, no disturbance, except from the jealousy of the Pisans and Genoese: not a drop of blood had been shed. At Christmas, the period of peace and festivity, Henry laid before a great assembly of the realm letters, it was said forged letters,<sup>q</sup> but letters, even if they did not reveal, declared to reveal an extensive conspiracy against his power. Bishops, nobles, the royal family, were implicated in the charges. No further evidence was offered or required. Peter de Celano sate as supreme justiciary, a man dear to Cruelties of the hard and ruthless heart of Henry. A judicial Henry. massacre began. Archbishops and bishops, counts and nobles; among them three sons of the Chancellor Matthew. Margantone the great naval captain, the Archbishop of Salerno, were apprehended, condemned, executed, or mutilated with barbarous variety of torture. Some were hanged, some buried alive, some burned; blinding and castration were the mildest punishments. The bodies of Tancred and his son were torn from their graves, the

P The eloquent Hugo Falcandus saw the coming ruin. "Intueri mihi jam videor turbulentas barbarorum acies, et quo feruntur impetu irruentes, civitates opulentas, et loca diuturnà pace florentia tonicorum ebrietatem mitiges, et eorum metu concutere, cæde vastare, rapinis at-servias fæditati."--Apud Murator. vi. terere et fædare luxuriâ.... Nec enim p. 251. aut rationis ordine regi, aut miseratione deflecti, aut religione terreri Teutonica novit insania, quam et innatus furor Sicily. The French were to come! exagitat et rapacitas stimulat et libido

præcipitat.... Væ tibi fons celebris et præclari nominis Arethusa, quæ ad hanc devoluta es miseriam, ut quæ poetarum solebas carmina modulari, nunc Teu-

q "Literas fictitias et mendosas."— Anon. Casin. Such were the Germans in

BOOK VIII.

crowns plucked from their usurping brows. The Queen Sybilla, with her three daughters Aleria, Constantia, and Mardonia, were thrown into prison; the young William. blinded and mutilated." On the very day when Dec. 26, 1194. these fatal disclosures were made, and the work of blood began, the Empress Constantia gave birth at Jesi to Frederick Roger, afterwards the Emperor Frederick II. The Nemesis of Grecian tragedy might be imagined as presiding over the birth.

The Pope, in righteous indignation at these inhumanities, took courage, and issued the edict of excommuni-Conduct of the Pope. cation against the Emperor. Excommunication, if reserved for such crimes, might have wrought more powerfully on the minds of men. But Henry was strong enough to treat such censures with disdain : he passed through Italy without condescending to notice Rome. As he passed he distributed to his faithful German followers territories, provinces, princedoms. Marquald obtained Ancona, Ravenna, and Romagna. Diephold had large lands in Apulia; at a later period he became Count of Richard the Count of that city, the brother-in-Ancona. law of Tancred, having been seized as a traitor, bound to the tail of a horse, dragged through the streets of Capua, was hung up by the leg, till the Emperor's fool, after two days' misery; put an end to his pain by tying a great stone to his neck. Philip, the Emperor's brother, had the domains of the Countess Matilda and all Tuscany. Philip married Irene, daughter of the Byzantine Emperor and widow of King Roger of Sicily. Not yet thirty years old, Henry VI., the Hohenstaufen, was at a greater height of power than had been attained by his father Barbarossa (he was absolute master of Germany and of Italy), or was subsequently reached by Frederick II. He could defy another Lombard League which was forming to control him; the feuds in Germany broke not out into open war. His proposition to make the Empire hereditary in his family, on the attractive condition that he should guarantee the hereditary

<sup>\*</sup> The cruelties of Henry are darkly Empereurs de la Maison de Snabe, Paris, told, but not overcharged, in a recent 1846. See, too, Von Raumer, Geschichte work, Chenier, Lutte des Papes et des der Hohenstauffen, b. vi. c. iii.

descent of the great fiefs, and abandon all claims on the estates of the Church, was heard with favour, A.D. 1195. and accepted by fifty-two princes of the empire. The great ecclesiastics were not indisposed to the measure; even the Pope hesitated, and only on mature deliberation declared himself opposed to the plan. But the election of his son Frederick as King of the Romans was acceded to by his brothers, by all A.B. 1196. the princes, and won the reluctant consent of Albert Archbishop of Maintz. His popularity in Germany was increased by his earnest support of a new crusade, to which the death of Saladin and the feuds among his sons might give some reasonable hopes of success. Henry did not venture to withdraw his own personal presence from his European dominions; but he was liberal in his influence, in his levies, and in his contributions to the holy cause. The only opposition to Henry's despotism was that of Queen Conthe gentler Empress, who tempered by every stance. means in her power the inhuman tyranny which still crushed her Sicilian subjects to the earth. So distasteful was her mildness, it was runnoured abroad, that it gave rise to serious dissensions between the husband and the wife, that she had even meditated an insurrection in favour of her depressed people, and the transfer of her kingdom and of her hand to some less tyrannic sovereign. But these were doubtless the fictions of those who hoped they might be true: there was no outward breach; nothing seemed to disturb the conjugal harmony.

Henry returned to his Italian dominions, to suppress in his own person all that threatened insurrection, or which might by its strength be tempted to insurrection. He levelled the walls of Capua and Naples. He crossed to Sicily, and sat down before the insignificant castle of St: John, the chieftain of which had been driven into rebellion by the fear of being treated as a rebel. On a hot autumn day he went out to hunt in the neighbouring forest, drank copiously of cold water, and exposed himself to Death of the chill dews of the evening. A fever came on; Henry he was with difficulty removed to Messina, and died in the arms of his wife. His son Frederick had not yet com-

VOL, III.

20

DOOK VIII.

pleted his second year. As soon as the Pope could be prevailed on to remove the excommunication, Henry VI. was buried in great state at Palermo. Three months after Cœlestine III. followed him to the grave. An infant was the heir of the Empire; Innocent III., in the prime of life, was Pope.

\* Henry died Sept. 28, 1197.

<sup>t</sup> Cœlestine died Jan. 8, 1198.

END OF VOL. III.

LONDON : FRINTED BY W. CLOWES AND SONS, STAMFORD STREET, . AND GILARING CHOSS.



Digitized with financial assistance from the Government of Maharashtra on 02 January, 2016

