





Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2014

<https://archive.org/details/b21354959>

AN
ESSAY
ON THE
NATURE OF FEVER,
BEING
AN ATTEMPT TO ASCERTAIN
THE PRINCIPLES
OF ITS
TREATMENT.

BY
A. PHILIPS WILSON, M. D. F. R. S. Ed.

*Fellow of the Royal College of Physicians
of Edinburgh, &c.*

WORCESTER:
PRINTED BY J. TYMBS,
FOR J. MURRAY, 32, FLEET-STREET, LONDON.

—•••••—
1807.

5356

CONTENTS.



	PAGE
<i>ADVERTISEMENT</i>	i.
<i>Introduction</i>	1

CHAP. I.

<i>Of the Opinions which have prevailed respecting the Proximate Cause of Fever</i>	11
---	----

SECT. 1.

<i>Of the Hypotheses of Hoffman and Boerhaave</i>	15
---	----

SECT. 2.

<i>Of the Hypothesis of Dr. Cullen</i>	22
--	----

SECT. 3.

<i>Of the Hypothesis of Dr. Brown, and the Laws of Excitability</i>	46
---	----

CHAP. II.

<i>Of the Proximate Cause of Fever</i>	89
--	----

<i>I. Of the Symptoms of Fever</i>	101
--	-----

II. *Of*

CONTENTS.

	PAGE
II. <i>Of the Remote Causes of Fever</i>	123
III. <i>Of the Treatment of Fever</i>	138
<i>Appendix</i>	160

Two of the Notes have accidentally been numbered 32, and two of them 42.

Page 179, l. 22, after *head*, add, *which occasions compression of the brain.*

ADVERTISEMENT.

AS the term *proximate cause* will frequently occur in the following Essay, it is necessary to define the sense in which I use it; for it will appear, I think, on the most cursory view, that different writers do not always affix the same meaning to it. Those who say that there can be no rational indications of cure, but such as are founded on a knowledge of the proximate cause of diseases, surely do not make the same application of this term, as those who maintain that an attempt to investigate the proximate cause, is absurd, until we are possessed
of

of organs capable of detecting the minutest motions of the animal system. The former cannot mean that our whole attention should be directed towards acquiring a knowledge, which every one must know it is impossible in the nature of things for us to acquire; nor is it the intention of the latter to assert that it is impossible for us to acquire such a knowledge of the morbid states of the body, as we possess of many of its functions in health, or that such a knowledge would not be of the most essential importance in the treatment of diseases.

The proximate cause has been defined, that state of the body which, when present, causes, when removed, removes, and when changed, changes, the disease. When we have ascertained any cause to which this definition applies, and pointed out the
means

means of removing it, we have done all that is necessary. These, therefore, ought to be our objects in treating of the nature of disease. It signifies not what minute motions of the system produced this cause, or by what minute motions the means which remove it, operate.

The word proximate cause is objectionable; it by no means expresses the idea which the best writers affix to it, and has led to fanciful theories respecting these minute motions of the system, which are doubtless the more immediate, and therefore, strictly speaking, the proximate cause of all diseases, but which we cannot trace, and which, if we could trace, it is probable we could not regulate.



INTRODUCTION.



FROM the silence of Physicians on the proximate cause of fever, since the appearance of Dr. Cullen's *First Lines*, and Dr. Brown's *Elementa Medicinæ*, they appear to have regarded its investigation as a hopeless labour; and it had, before this period, baffled the attempts of so many, that the following Essay would require some apology, were it not that in the advancing state of science, every new inquirer has better data than those who preceded him.

Besides, certain pre-conceived opinions seem to have influenced all who have written on the nature of fever; and it appears to me, that the difficulty which has attended the inquiry, has proceeded as much from the manner in which it has been conducted, as from the nature of the subject. Many have formed their hypotheses either from a partial view of fever, as in the *First Lines*, or from other phenomena of the animal system, as in the *Elementa Medicinæ*; and the chief aim of the theorist has been to shew in what way his hypothesis may be applied to account for the phenomena of fever.

This mode of reasoning would not be admitted in any other science. It is not only necessary for the theorist to point out the steps by which he has arrived at his conclusion, but to shew that the phenomena

mena

mena admit of no other, and not till then is he entitled to descend from his hypothesis to the phenomena, and to shew in what manner it accounts for those, which were not previously noticed, because they were not necessary to establish it.

Another cause which has retarded the inquiry into the proximate cause of fever, is a frequent reference to the *vis medicatrix naturæ*. Physicians seem to have thought, that to explain the nature of any disease, it is only necessary to shew that it is a salutary effort of the system, forgetting that the nature of the disease must first be understood before it is possible to ascertain whether its tendency is salutary or not.

No writer refers more frequently to the *vis medicatrix naturæ* than Dr. Cullen, yet in the Preface to his *First Lines*, after
some

some observations on the doctrine of Stahl, he remarks; “ I might go farther, and
“ shew how much the attention to the
“ *Autocrateia*, allowed of, in one shape or
“ other, by every sect, has corrupted the
“ practice among all Physicians, from Hip-
“ pocrates to Stahl. It must, however, be
“ sufficiently obvious, and I shall con-
“ clude the subject with observing, that
“ although the *vis medicatrix naturæ* must
“ unavoidably be received as a fact, yet
“ wherever it is admitted, it throws an
“ obscurity upon our system; and it is
“ only where the impotence of our art is
“ very manifest and considerable, that we
“ ought to admit of it in practice.” I
shall have occasion, in the beginning of the
Appendix, to make some observations on
this subject.

In the first volume of my Treatise on
Febrile Diseases, published in 1799, the
reader

reader will see the imperfect outline of the present Essay. I there only pointed out, that in fever the laws of excitability differ from those of health, and this change I was contented to regard as the proximate cause of fever, without attempting to ascertain on what it depends.

In the second volume, which appeared in 1800, I comprised in the following lines the result of my observations on this subject.

“ Towards ascertaining the proximate cause of fever I did not attempt to do much. It appears, as far as I can judge from the observations alluded to, that fever is not owing to any change induced on the fluids, their becoming too acrimonious, too viscid, &c. nor to any change in the state of the simple solid, nor to a partial change in that of the living solid, such as relaxation,

or

or spasm of particular parts; nor to any exhaustion or accumulation of the excitability; (these, as far as I know, are the only rational opinions which have been maintained on the subject); but to the laws of excitability being changed, not in any one, but in every part of the living solid, and equally changed in every part of it, in consequence of which, the natural agents no longer produce moderate excitement, followed by exhaustion, but atony, or that degree of excitement which is followed by atony."

In arriving at these conclusions, I was led to consider minutely the hypothesis of Dr. Brown, which professed, and by many was believed, to unfold the true nature of fever; whereas Dr. Cullen's, as will appear from what I shall have occasion to say of it in the first part of this Essay, * was confessedly

* See the 58th and two following Pages.

feffedly hypothetical. I endeavoured to point out, that the laws of excitability maintained by Dr. Brown, apply only to thofe powers on which the animal functions depend; that there is a ftate of debility, which I termed atony, wholly different from Dr. Brown's exhaustion, or accumulated excitability; and a ftate of excitement which differs from Dr. Brown's excitement in not being fucceeded by exhaustion, but by atony, which, to diftinguifh it, I called exceffive excitement; that Dr. Brown's excitement and exhaustion are always healthy ftates, and confequently that he erred in fupposing the fystem in difeafe regulated by the fame laws which it obeys in thefe ftates, and therefore failed in applying his doctrine to the cure of difeafes; that exceffive excitement and atony are the only general difeafes properly fo called, all other difeafes of the fystem arifing from fome local difeafe, and that
thefe

these states are the same which we term synocha and typhus.

After devoting, in vain, much time to a review of the phenomena of fever, in order to acquire a more explicit knowledge of its proximate cause, I republished my observations on this subject in 1803, in the same words, with very few exceptions, in which they first appeared. It seems to me, that since that time my endeavours have been more successful, and that the cause of the change in the laws of excitability which we observe in fever, may be distinctly traced, and its phenomena explained, in as simple and satisfactory a way as those of any other disease.

I shall divide the following Essay into two parts. In the first, I shall consider the chief opinions which have prevailed respecting the proximate cause of fever, and
point

point out what appears to me to be their defects. In the other, I shall lay before the reader the steps by which I arrived at my opinion on this subject, and the manner in which it explains the various phenomena of fever.





AN ESSAY, &c.



CHAP. I.

Of the Opinions which have prevailed respecting the proximate Cause of Fever.

IT required but a very imperfect knowledge of the animal œconomy to suggest, that some of the phenomena of fever may be explained by supposing the blood to have acquired morbid properties; and, till the time of Stahl, Hoffman and Boerhaave, Physicians endeavoured in vain to shew that the blood is so changed in fevers as to account for all their phenomena, on the supposition of the solids remaining in the same

same state as in health. To give an account of the more ancient opinions respecting the proximate cause of fever, or even of those which prevailed at the time just mentioned, when all Physicians were either followers of Galen, or had adopted the bolder, but equally unfounded hypothesis of Paracelsus, would be a tedious and uninstruative task. The error of the principles on which these hypotheses rest, will sufficiently appear from what it will be necessary to say of the opinions of later writers.

About the time I am speaking of, the discovery of the circulation of the blood, the most important step ever made towards a knowledge of the animal œconomy, and the true principles of reasoning, unfolded in the works of Lord Bacon, began gradually to open the eyes of Physicians to the errors of their systems. When they found that the fluids perform but a subordinate

dinate part in the animal œconomy, and were taught to distinguish between the workings of fancy and the inductions of reason, they began to feel less reverence for the system of Galen, and the opinions of Paracelsus. But these causes operated slowly; and, although Physicians were enabled to detect the errors of their predecessors, they were not prevented from falling into similar errors themselves, or even from, in part, adopting the errors they exposed.

It is true that Stahl, without hesitation or reserve, rejected both the hypotheses and mode of reasoning adopted by those who went before him; but to compensate for the service he thus rendered to medicine, his own hypothesis more eminently sets at defiance all just rules of reasoning than that of any of his predecessors.* He, however, must be regarded as the first who diverted the attention of Physicians from
what

* Appendix, Note 1.

what Dr. Cullen calls the humoral pathology.

Hoffman and Boerhaave retained many of the most exceptionable parts of this pathology; but their writings occasioned a change in medical reasonings, of far greater consequence than any that could be effected by the chimerical hypothesis of Stahl. They pointed out the solids as that part of the animal system to which we are chiefly to look for the causes of the various changes we observe in it.

The systems of these writers prevailed till the days of Cullen and Brown, who admiring the effects of this change in medical reasoning, have, if I may be allowed to give my opinion, carried it much too far, by endeavouring to account for all the symptoms of diseases by the changes induced on the solids alone.

I shall make a few observations on the theories of Hoffman and Boerhaave,
and

and then consider more at length those of Cullen and Brown.

SECT. I.

Of the Hypotheses of Hoffman and Boerhaave.

While Hoffman admitted many parts of the hypotheses of his predecessors, he saw the necessity of a principle essentially different from any hitherto assumed. As this principle is the only original, and indeed the only valuable part of his hypothesis, we shall confine our attention to it, and we cannot have a better account of it than in the author's words, which I shall quote: "Ex hisce autem omnibus uberius
 " hactenus excussis, perquam dilucide ap-
 " parere arbitror, quod solus spasmus et
 " simplex atonia, æquabilem, liberum, ac
 " proportionatum sanguinis, omnisque ge-
 " neris fluidorum motum, quibus excreti-
 " onum successus et integritas functionum
 " animi et corporis proxime nititur, tur-
 " bando

“ bando ac pervertendo, universam vitalem
 “ æconomiam subruant ac destruant; atque
 “ hinc universa pathologia longe rectius
 “ atque facilius ex vitio motuum microcos-
 “ micorum in solidis, quam ex variis af-
 “ fectionibus vitiosorum humorum, deduci
 “ atque explicari possit; adeoque omniis
 “ generis ægrotudines internæ ad præterna-
 “ turales generis nervosi affectiones sint
 “ referendæ. Etenim læsis quocunque
 “ modo, vel nervis per corpus discurren-
 “ tibus, vel membranosis quibusvis nervosis
 “ partibus, illico motuum anomaliam, modo
 “ leviores modo graviores subsequuntur.
 “ Deinde attenta observatio docet, motus
 “ quosvis morbosos sedem figere et tyrani-
 “ dem exercere in nervosis corporis parti-
 “ bus, cujus generis (præter omnes cana-
 “ les, qui systaltico et diastaltico motu
 “ pollentes, contentos succos tradunt, uni-
 “ versum nimirum intestinorum et ven-
 “ triculi ab œsophago ad anum canalem,
 “ totum

“ totum systema vasorum arteriosorum,
 “ ductuum biliariorum, salivalium, urina-
 “ riorum et subcutaneorum, sunt quoque
 “ membranæ nerveo-musculares cerebri
 “ et medullæ spinalis, præsertim hæc quæ
 “ dura mater vocatur, organis sensorii ob-
 “ ductæ, nec non tunicæ illæ ac ligamenta
 “ quæ ossa cingunt artusque firmant. Nam
 “ nullus dolor, nulla inflammatio, nullus
 “ spasmus, nulla motus et sensus impo-
 “ tentia, nulla febris aut humoris illius
 “ excretio accidit, in qua non hæ partes
 “ patiuntur.

“ Porro etiam omnes quæ morbos
 “ gignunt causæ, operationem suam potissi-
 “ mum perficiunt in partes motu et sensu
 “ præditas, et canales ex his coagmentatos,
 “ eorum motum, et cum hoc, fluidorum
 “ cursum, pervertendo; ita tamen, ut sicuti
 “ variæ indolis sunt, sic etiam varie in
 “ nerveas partes agunt, iisdemque noxam
 “ afferunt. Demum omnia quoque eximiæ
 “ virtutis

“ virtutis medicamenta non tam in partes
 “ fluidas, earum crasin ac intemperiem
 “ corrigendo, quam potius in solidas et
 “ nervosas, earundem motus alterando ac
 “ moderando suam edunt operationem: de
 “ quibus tamen omnibus, in vulgari usque
 “ eo recepta morborum doctrina, altum
 “ est silentium.”*

On the foregoing passage Dr. Cullen
 observes, “ There can be no sort of doubt
 “ that the phenomena of the animal œco-
 “ nomy in health and in sickness, can only
 “ be explained by considering the state and
 “ affections of the primary moving powers
 “ in it. It is to me surprising that Physi-
 “ cians were so long in perceiving this,
 “ and I think we are therefore particularly
 “ indebted to Dr. Hoffman for putting us
 “ into the proper train of investigation;
 “ and it every day appears that Physicians
 “ perceive the necessity of entering more
 “ and

* See Dr. Hoffman's Med. Rat. Syst. Tom. III. § 1. Chap. 4.

“ and more into this inquiry. It was this,
 “ I think, which engaged Dr. Kaaw Boer-
 “ haave to publish his work entitled *Impetum*
 “ *faciens*; as well as Gaubius to give the
 “ Pathology of the *Solidum vivum*. Even
 “ the Baron Van Swieten has upon the
 “ same view thought it necessary, in at
 “ least one particular, to make a very con-
 “ siderable change in the doctrine of his
 “ master, as he has done in his commentary
 “ upon the 755th Aphorism. Dr. Haller
 “ has advanced this part of science very
 “ much by his experiments on irritability
 “ and sensibility. In these and in many
 “ other instances, particularly in the writ-
 “ ings of Mr. Barthez, of Montpellier, of
 “ some progress in the study of the affections
 “ of the nervous system, we must perceive
 “ how much we are indebted to Dr. Hoff-
 “ man for his so properly beginning it.”

The principal circumstance in which
 the system of Boerhaave differs from that
 of

of Hoffman, is, in the former's resting rather on the state of the simple than of the living solid; for Boerhaave believed that most of the changes of the animal system, which do not depend on the state of the fluids, arise chiefly from the rigidity or laxity of the simple solid.

Of the state of the fluids, being a better Chemist, he spoke more accurately than his predecessors; but both in this and the other parts of his system his data are in general hypothetical, in some instances evidently erroneous, and his reasonings lead to no conclusions which can now be admitted.

The consistency and simplicity of Boerhaave's system, together with the great knowledge displayed both by himself and his commentator Van Swieten, in support of it, occasioned it to be very generally received, and for many years it wholly superceded the system of Hoffman.

Later

Later Physiologists, however, have pointed out, in a satisfactory manner, that in the changes of the animal system the living, not the simple, solid is chiefly concerned; and in medical reasoning the state of the latter is now almost neglected. However much the original constitution of an animal body may depend on the state of the simple solid, and however much a change in this solid may affect its state at any period of life, the changes induced on the simple solid must in general themselves depend on the state of the moving powers. Besides, no writer has pointed out to us of what nature these changes are, or in what manner they affect the system; while, on the other hand, we readily observe many changes which take place in the living solid, and the consequences which attend them.

These circumstances at length led Physicians to reject the system of Boerhaave,

have, and to aim at such a modification of that of Hoffman, as should adapt it to the improved state of physiological knowledge. This has been attempted by three writers of great name, Dr. Cullen, Dr. Brown, and Dr. Darwin. The opinions of the two latter are so similar, that it will be unnecessary to examine both, and as Dr. Brown's work was first given to the world, although it would appear that Dr. Darwin's was written about the same time, I shall confine my observations to the former.

SECT. II.

Of the Hypothesis of Dr. Cullen.

In the present section, I mean in the first place to give a view of Dr. Cullen's hypothesis, which cannot be better done than in his own words, and then to enquire how far it explains the nature of fever.

“ The

“ The proximate cause of fever,” he observes, “ seems hitherto to have eluded
“ the research of Physicians; and I shall
“ not pretend to ascertain it in a manner
“ that may remove every difficulty; but I
“ shall endeavour to make an approach
“ towards it, *and such as I hope may be of*
“ *use in conducting the practice in this disease;*
“ while at the same time I hope to avoid
“ several errors which have formerly pre-
“ vailed on this subject.

“ As the hot stage of fever is so con-
“ stantly preceded by a cold stage, *we*
“ *presume* that the latter is the cause of
“ the former; and, therefore, that the
“ cause of the cold stage is the cause of
“ all that follows in the course of the
“ paroxysm. See Boerh. app. 756.

“ To discover the cause of the cold
“ stage of fevers, we may observe, that it
“ is always preceded by strong marks of a
“ general debility prevailing in the system.

“ The

“ The smallness and weakness of the pulse,
 “ the paleness and coldness of the extreme
 “ parts, with the shrinking of the whole
 “ body, sufficiently shew that the action
 “ of the heart and larger arteries is for
 “ the time extremely weakened. Together
 “ with this, the languor, inactivity and
 “ debility of the animal motions, the im-
 “ perfect sensations, the feeling of cold
 “ while the body is truly warm, and some
 “ other symptoms, all shew that the energy
 “ of the brain is on this occasion greatly
 “ weakened; *and I presume, that as the*
 “ *weakness of the action of the heart can*
 “ *hardly be imputed to any other cause,* this
 “ weakness also is a proof of the diminish-
 “ ed energy of the brain.

“ I shall hereafter endeavour to shew,
 “ that the most noted of the remote causes
 “ of fever, as contagion, miasmata, cold
 “ and fear, are of a sedative nature, and
 “ *therefore render it probable that a debility*
 “ *is*

“ *is induced.* Likewise, when the parox-
 “ ysms of a fever have ceased to be repeat-
 “ ed, they may again be renewed, and are
 “ most commonly renewed, by the appli-
 “ cation of debilitating powers; and fur-
 “ ther, the debility which subsists in the
 “ animal motions, and other functions,
 “ through the whole of the fever, renders
 “ it *pretty certain* that sedative or debili-
 “ tating powers have been applied to the
 “ body.

“ It is therefore evident, that there
 “ are three states which always take place
 “ in fever—a state of debility, a state of
 “ cold, and a state of heat; and as these
 “ three states regularly and constantly suc-
 “ ceed each other, in the order we have
 “ mentioned them, *it is presumed that they*
 “ *are in the series of cause and effect with*
 “ *respect to one another.* This we hold as
 “ a matter of fact, even although we
 “ should not be able to explain in what
 “ manner,

“ manner, or by what mechanical means
 “ these states severally produce each other.

“ How the state of debility produces
 “ some of the symptoms of the cold stage,
 “ may perhaps be readily explained; *but*
 “ *how it produces all of them, I cannot ex-*
 “ *plain otherwise than by referring the mat-*
 “ *ter to a general law of the animal œconomy,*
 “ *whereby it happens, that powers which have a*
 “ *tendency to hurt and destroy the system, often*
 “ *excite such motions as are suited to obviate*
 “ *the effects of the noxious power. This is*
 “ *the vis medicatrix naturæ, so famous in*
 “ *the schools of physic; and it seems proba-*
 “ *ble, that many of the motions excited in*
 “ *fever are the effects of this power.*

“ That the encreased action of the heart
 “ and arteries, which takes place in the hot
 “ stage of fevers, is to be considered as an
 “ effort of the *vis medicatrix naturæ*, has
 “ been long a common opinion among Physi-
 “ cians, and I am disposed to assert, that
 “ some

“ *some part of the cold stage may be im-*
“ *puted to the same power.* I judge so,
“ because the cold stage appears to be
“ universally a means of producing the
“ hot: because cold externally applied has
“ very often familiar effects; and more
“ certainly still, because it seems to be in
“ proportion to the degree of tremor in the
“ cold stage, that the hot stage proceeds
“ more or less quickly to a termination of
“ the paroxysm, and to a more complete
“ solution and longer intermission.

“ It is to be particularly observed,
“ that during the cold stage of fever there
“ seems to be a spasm induced every where
“ on the extremities of the arteries, and
“ more especially of those on the surface
“ of the body. This appears from the
“ suppression of all excretions, and from
“ the shrinking of the extreme parts: and
“ although this may perhaps be imputed,
“ in part, to the weaker action of the
“ heart,

“ heart, in propelling the blood into the
 “ extreme vessels, yet as these symptoms
 “ often continue after the action of the
 “ heart is restored, *there is reason to believe*
 “ that a spasmodic constriction has taken
 “ place, that it subsists for some time, and
 “ supports the hot stage; for this stage
 “ ceases with the flowing of the sweat, and
 “ the return of other excretions, which
 “ are marks of the relaxation of vessels
 “ formerly constricted.—Hoffman. Med.
 “ Rat. Syst. Tom. 4th, P. I. § 1. Cap. 1.
 “ Art. 4.

“ The idea of fever then *may be*, that
 “ a spasm of the extreme vessels, however
 “ induced, proves an irritation to the
 “ heart and arteries, and that this con-
 “ tinues till the spasm is relaxed or over-
 “ come. There are many appearances
 “ which support this opinion, and there is
 “ little doubt that a spasm does take place,
 “ which proves an irritation to the heart,
 “ and

“ and therefore may be considered as a
 “ principal part in the proximate cause of
 “ fever. *It will still, however, remain a*
 “ *question, what is the cause of this spasm;*
 “ *whether it be directly produced by the*
 “ *remote causes of fever, or if it be only a*
 “ *part of the operation of the vis medicatrix*
 “ *naturæ?*

“ *I am disposed to be of the latter*
 “ *opinion, because, in the first place, while*
 “ *it remains still certain that a debility lays*
 “ *the foundation of fever, it is not obvious*
 “ *in what manner the debility produces*
 “ *the spasm, and what seems to be its effect,*
 “ *the increased action of the heart and*
 “ *arteries: and secondly, because, in almost*
 “ *all the cases in which an effort is made*
 “ *by the vis medicatrix naturæ, a cold fit*
 “ *and a spasm of the remote vessels are*
 “ *almost always the beginning of such an*
 “ *effort. See Gaub. Pathol. Medicin. Art.*
 “ *750.*

“ *It*

“ *It is therefore presumed,* that such a
“ cold fit and spasm at the beginning of
“ fever, is a part of the operation of the
“ vis medicatrix; but, at the same time,
“ *it seems to me probable,* that during the
“ whole course of the fever, there is an atony
“ subsisting in the extreme vessels, and that
“ the relaxation of the spasm requires the
“ restoring of the tone and action of these.

“ *This it may be difficult to explain;* but
“ I think it may be ascertained as a fact, by
“ the consideration of the symptoms which
“ take place with respect to the functions
“ of the stomach in fevers, such as the
“ anorexia, nausea, and vomiting. From
“ many circumstances it is sufficiently cer-
“ tain, that there is a consent between the
“ stomach and surface of the body; and,
“ in all cases of the consent of distant parts,
“ it is presumed to be by the connection
“ of the nervous system, and that the con-
“ sent, which appears is between the sentient
“ and

“ and moving fibres of the one part with
“ those of the other ; is such, that a certain
“ condition prevailing in the one part oc-
“ casions a similar condition in the other.
“ In the case of the stomach and surface of
“ the body, the consent particularly ap-
“ pears by the connection which is observed
“ between the state of the perspiration and
“ the state of the appetite in healthy
“ persons ; and if it may be presumed
“ that the appetite depends upon the state
“ of tone in the muscular fibres of the
“ stomach, it will follow that the connec-
“ tion of appetite and perspiration depends
“ upon a consent between the muscular
“ fibres of the stomach, and the muscular
“ fibres of the extreme vessels, or of the
“ organ of perspiration, on the surface of
“ the body. It is further in proof of the
“ connection between the appetite and per-
“ spiration, and at the same time of the
“ circumstances on which it depends, that
“ cold

“ cold applied to the surface of the body,
 “ when it does not stop perspiration, but
 “ proves a stimulus to it, is always a pow-
 “ erful means of exciting appetite. Having
 “ thus established the connection or consent
 “ mentioned, we argue, that as the symptoms
 “ of anorexia, nausea, and vomiting, in
 “ many cases manifestly depend upon a
 “ state of debility or loss of tone in the
 “ muscular fibres of the stomach, *so it may*
 “ *be presumed,* that these symptoms in the
 “ beginning of fever depend upon an
 “ atony communicated to the muscular
 “ fibres of the stomach from the muscular
 “ fibres of the extreme vessels on the
 “ surface of the body. That the debility
 “ of the stomach which produces vomiting
 “ in the beginning of fever, actually depends
 “ upon an atony of the extreme vessels on
 “ the surface of the body, appears par-
 “ ticularly from a fact observed by Dr.
 “ Sydenham. In the attack of the plague

“ a vo-

“ a vomiting happens which prevents any
“ medicine from remaining on the stomach ;
“ and Dr. Sydenham tells us that in such
“ cases he could not overcome this vomit-
“ ing but by external means applied to
“ produce a sweat, that is, to excite the
“ action of the vessels on the surface of
“ the body. The same connection be-
“ tween the state of the stomach and that
“ of the extreme vessels on the surface of
“ the body, appears from this also: that
“ the vomiting which so frequently hap-
“ pens in the cold stage of fevers com-
“ monly ceases upon the coming on of the
“ hot, and very certainly upon any sweats
“ coming out. It is indeed probable that
“ the vomiting, in the cold stage of fevers,
“ is one of the means employed by nature
“ for restoring the determination to the
“ surface of the body ; and it is a circum-
“ stance affording proof both of this and
“ of the general connection between the
“ stomach

“stomach and the surface of the body,
“that emetics thrown into the stomach,
“and operating there in the time of the
“cold stage, commonly put an end to it
“and bring on the hot stage. It also af-
“fords a proof of the same connection,
“that cold water taken into the stomach
“produces an encrease of heat on the
“surface of the body, and is very often
“a convenient and effectual means of pro-
“ducing sweat. From the whole we have
“now said on this subject, *I think it is*
“*sufficiently probable* that the symptoms of
“anorexia, nausea, and vomiting depend
“upon, and are a proof of, an atony
“subsisting in the extreme vessels on the
“surface of the body, and that this atony,
“therefore, now ascertained as a matter
“of fact, may be considered as a principal
“circumstance in the proximate cause of
“fever.

“This atony we suppose to depend
“upon

“ upon a diminution of the energy of the
“ brain; and that this diminution takes
“ place in fevers we conclude not only
“ from the debility prevailing in so many
“ of the functions of the body mentioned
“ above, but particularly from symptoms
“ which are peculiar to the brain itself.
“ Delirium is a frequent symptom of fever;
“ and as from the physiology and patho-
“ logy we learn that this symptom com-
“ monly depends upon some inequality in
“ the excitement of the brain, or intellectual
“ organ, we hence conclude that in fever
“ it denotes some diminution in the energy
“ of the brain. Delirium, indeed, seems
“ often to depend upon an encreased im-
“ petus of the blood in the vessels of the
“ brain, and therefore attends phrenitis.
“ It frequently appears also in the hot
“ stage of fevers, accompanied with a head
“ ach and throbbing of the temples. But
“ as the impetus of the blood in the vessels
“ of

“ of the head is often considerably encreased
“ by exercise, external heat, passions, and
“ other causes, without occasioning any
“ delirium ; so, supposing that the same im-
“ petus in the case of fever produces deli-
“ rium, the reason must be that at the same
“ time there is some cause which diminishes
“ the energy of the brain, and prevents a
“ free communication between the parts
“ concerned in the intellectual functions.
“ Upon the same principles also I suppose
“ there is another species of delirium de-
“ pending more entirely on the diminished
“ energy of the brain, and which may
“ therefore arise when there is no unusual
“ encrease of the impetus of the blood in
“ the vessels of the brain. Such seems to
“ be the delirium occurring at the begin-
“ ning of the cold stage of fevers, or in
“ the hot stage of such fevers as shew
“ strong marks of debility in the whole
“ system.

“ Upon

“ Upon the whole our doctrine of
“ fever is explicitly this: The remote
“ causes are certain sedative powers applied
“ to the nervous system, which diminishing
“ the energy of the brain, thereby produce
“ a debility in the whole of the functions,
“ and particularly in the action of the
“ extreme vessels. Such however is, at
“ the same time, the nature of the animal
“ œconomy, that this debility proves an
“ indirect stimulus to the sanguiferous
“ system, whence, by the intervention of
“ the cold stage and spasm connected with
“ it, the action of the heart and larger
“ arteries is increased, and continues so
“ till it has had the effect of restoring the
“ energy of the brain, of extending this
“ energy to the extreme vessels, of restoring
“ therefore their action, and thereby espe-
“ cially overcoming the spasm affecting
“ them; upon the removing of which the
“ excretion of sweat and other marks
“ of

“of the relaxation of excretories take
“place.”

From the parts of the preceding quotation which are printed in Italic letters, it is evident that Dr. Cullen regarded his doctrine of fever as little more than an hypothesis, calculated to give arrangement to detached facts, which, without some system, readily slip from the memory.

He believed, indeed, and it must be admitted, that it was founded on a better principle than that of any of his predecessors, except Hoffman; and he perceived that it was more simple and consistent than the doctrines of this writer; but he looked forward to a more advanced state of science, in which his system would suffer a change similar to that which he had effected in Hoffman's. All this he expresses fully in the Preface to his *First Lines*.

“Upon this general plan,” he observes,

“ I have endeavoured to form a
“ system of physic that should comprehend
“ the whole of the facts relating to the
“ science, and that will, I hope, collect and
“ arrange them in better order than has
“ been done before, as well as point out,
“ in particular, those which are still want-
“ ing to establish general principles. This
“ which I have attempted may, like other
“ systems, hereafter suffer a change; but
“ I am confident that we are at present in a
“ better train of investigation than phy-
“ sicians were in before the time of Dr.
“ Hoffman.”

But setting aside what Dr. Cullen says of his doctrine, if we examine the doctrine itself we shall find that it is wholly constructed on a hypothetical basis, on the supposed operations of the *vis medicatrix naturæ*, respecting which we have in the Introduction to this Essay given Dr. Cullen's opinion.

How

How the debility of the nervous, proves an indirect stimulus to the sanguiferous, system; how this stimulus acts in exciting the cold stage and spasm; how, through the intervention of these, the action of the heart and larger arteries is increased; is only explained by reference to the operation of the vis medicatrix, that is, is not explained at all; and yet it appears even at first view that on these the whole system rests.

Shall we suppose Dr. Cullen, after declaring "that wherever the vis medicatrix is admitted into medical systems it throws an obscurity on them," so inconsistent as to offer as a true system one wholly and avowedly founded on the supposed operations of this agent!

On entering on a more particular consideration of Dr. Cullen's hypothesis, we meet with other fundamental objections to it. From the nervous debility which attends

tends the commencement of fever, he infers, that the first morbid change induced is a diminished energy of the brain. But this debility is never observed at the commencement of fever, without a corresponding debility of the circulating system. It was incumbent on Dr. Cullen, therefore, to enquire which was the primary affection. We know that every change in the state of the circulating, is immediately felt in the nervous, system. But on the other hand, that the latter is often most essentially deranged (as I shall soon have occasion to point out more particularly) without materially, or even at all, deranging the functions of the former. The probability therefore is, that the causes of fever, which uniformly affect both systems, make their first impression on the sanguiferous system. Dr. Cullen adduces no arguments to counterbalance this probability.

We have every reason to believe that a spasm of the extreme vessels is not essential to fever, as Dr. Cullen supposes it to be. That atony of these vessels is essential to fever we can hardly doubt, because we see no instance of fever without evident marks of it; but whether the extreme vessels fall into a state of constriction, or relaxation, in consequence of this atony, the nature of the symptoms which ensue, is the same. In some fevers the secreting surfaces are relaxed from the commencement.* These have been found the most fatal, which will not surprise us when we reflect, that the debility which gives rise to spasm is much less than that which occasions relaxation, in which the parts may be said wholly to have lost their tone. Hence constriction of the extreme vessels is most common in the early, and relaxation in the latter stages of fever; and hence, also,
it

* Appendix, Note 2.

it is, that although a sweat, induced by a return of vigor to the extreme vessels, is the most favourable of all the symptoms of fever, that kind of sweat which arises from mere relaxation of these vessels, is often among the most fatal.*

As Dr. Cullen considers a weakened energy of the brain as the cause of all the symptoms of fever, he believes that a restoration of the due energy of this organ is the only means of removing them. This he supposes to be effected by the increased action of the heart and larger arteries. But as we never observe the energy of the brain restored, without a corresponding change taking place in the extreme vessels; here again it was necessary for Dr. Cullen to enquire which was the primary change; and in this instance, also, the general laws of the animal œconomy oppose his opinion. Is it more consonant with these

* Appendix, Note 3.

these laws to suppose, that the increased action of the heart and larger arteries restores energy to the brain, and that this energy gives tone to the extreme vessels; or, that the increased action of the heart and larger arteries is the means of exciting the extreme vessels, and that the tone of the brain is restored in the same way with that of the skin, kidneys, &c. by the renewed vigor of the circulation? Is it possible that there should be a renewal of the energy of the brain until the functions of the extreme vessels are restored?

Dr. Cullen supposes that the removal of the spasm of the extreme vessels, and the consequent relaxation of the excretories necessarily remove the fever. But we find from observation that these may take place while the fever increases, while the pulse becomes more frequent and feeble, the breathing more oppressed,

pressed, and the various other functions, both natural and animal, more deranged.

Dr. Cullen's hypothesis then appears to be doubly objectionable, as resting on the supposed operations of the *vis medicatrix naturæ*, and being inconsistent with observation and the known laws of the animal œconomy.

As an hypothesis to serve the temporary use of connecting together a long catalogue of facts, till we should arrive at a more explicit knowledge of the nature of fever, it is elegant and ingenious; and admitting the data, accounts for all the phenomena of this disease: but Dr. Cullen is every where ready to confess the insufficiency of these data.

Dr. Brown, on the other hand, with data no less, though not so apparently ill-founded, has endeavoured to conceal the defects of his hypothesis, and give it the appearance of what he wished it to be
thought,

thought, a true system of medicine; and it is so blended with certain positions, of the accuracy of which there can be no doubt, and which were universally admitted long before Dr. Brown wrote, that, to detect its errors, it will be necessary to examine it in detail.

SECT. III.

*Of the Hypothesis of Dr. Brown, and the
Laws of Excitability.*

We cannot state Dr. Brown's hypothesis* in the way in which Dr. Cullen's is stated in the preceding Section, for he never chose to give any connected view of it, but left the reader to collect it from various passages of his *Elementa Medicinæ*; and such are the contradictions that prevail in this work, that, in extracting from it a consistent doctrine, it will be necessary, we shall find, to reject many passages which
cannot

* Appendix, Note 4.

cannot be reconciled to the opinions in general maintained in it.

I shall give a concise view of this doctrine, and then point out what appears to me to be its defects, at the same time considering such topics as it is necessary to discuss before we enter on the subject of the next Chapter; so that the present Section may be regarded as an introduction to that Chapter, as well as a view and examination of the Brunonian hypothesis. To this arrangement there appears to be no objection; and, from the intimate connection of the subjects, it will save repetition.

That power by which the phenomena peculiar to the living state are produced, is termed by Dr. Brown, excitability; under this term he includes both the nervous and muscular power,* and seems in some places

* Appendix, Note 5.

places to include a power different from either.*

He considers every agent, capable of producing any change in the living body, an exciting power,† and consequently terms all agents stimuli,‡ and their effect on the living solid he terms excitement.§

In proportion as they produce excitement, they exhaust the excitability; that is, render the living body less capable of being excited.||

As Dr. Brown does not admit that there is any agent capable of producing a sedative effect, he maintains that excitement can only be diminished by an excess or abstraction of stimuli.**

The excitability, according to his hypothesis, has no existence except when acted upon by stimuli.†† By the total abstraction of stimuli, it is as effectually destroyed as by their excessive application;

* Appendix, Note 6. † Note 7. ‡ Note 8. § Note 9.
 || Note 10. ** Note 11. †† Note 12.

tion; it is on this account that Dr. Brown terms life a forced state.

He does not consider the excitability as a property residing in, and depending on the mechanism of, particular parts; but an uniform, indivisible property, pervading the whole system, which cannot be affected in any one, without being affected in a similar way in every other part. *

Upon the whole, according to the Brunonian system, the excitability (the power on which the phenomena of life depend) is an uniform, indivisible property residing in every living body, whether animal or vegetable, to whose existence the constant application of stimuli is necessary, which excitement tends constantly to exhaust, which may be destroyed by the excessive application of stimuli, and which accumulates in consequence of their partial abstraction. †

With

* Appendix, Note 13.

† Note 14.

With respect to the powers to which we are to attribute the accumulation of excitability, Dr. Brown leaves us in the dark. The powers in question, it may be said, are those of digestion and assimilation. But how is this to be reconciled with the favorite hypothesis of Dr. Brown, that a certain quantity of excitability, to last through life, is bestowed on every living body at the commencement of its existence?*

Between the healthy state, in which the excitability and stimuli applied are in due proportion, and death, in which the excitability is extinguished, either by excess, or too great an abstraction, of stimuli, the Brunonian system supposes all possible gradations. These are evidently to be divided into two classes, those in which the excitability is to a certain degree exhausted by too great an application of stimuli,

* Appendix, Note 15.

stimuli, and those in which a morbid accumulation is supposed to take place in consequence of too great an abstraction of stimuli. In the latter of these the body is said to be in a state of direct,* and in the former of indirect,† debility. These are supposed to be its morbid states.

It is evident, however, that there is a state of body different from either of these, and different also from the healthy state. When stimuli are too much abstracted, debility is supposed immediately to ensue; but the immediate consequence of too great an application of stimuli is not debility, but increased excitement. Dr. Brown, therefore, although he maintains that in the greater number of diseases the system is in a state of debility,‡ yet admits that there are many in which it is in a state of increased excitement.§

The diseases of excitement he terms
 sthenic,

* Appendix, Note 16. † Note 17. ‡ Note 18. § Note 19.

sthenic, those of debility asthenic. These two classes include all general diseases.

The foregoing principles Dr. Brown regarded as fully demonstrated, and with a want of caution, altogether inexcusable, founded on them his modes of practice.

As he allows excess of excitement to be a morbid condition of the body, he admits that an abstraction of stimuli is in some cases requisite;* but he chiefly depends for the cure of diseases on the addition of stimuli. On this, his plan of treatment in the diseases both of direct and indirect debility is founded. In the former, we are taught that the morbid accumulation of excitability is to be reduced by a cautious application of more stimuli;† in the other, in which the excitability has been morbidly diminished by too great an application of stimuli, that the cure is to be begun by a stimulus, but a little weaker

* Appendix, Note 20. † Note 21.

weaker than that which produced the disease, and the system brought to the healthy state, by gradually diminishing the quantity of stimulus employed; time being thus given for the excitability to be sufficiently restored for the functions of the system to go on, in consequence of the application of the natural stimuli alone.*

Such is the system of Dr. Brown, or rather that which may be collected from his *Elementa Medicinæ*, for, in order to give the reader a distinct view of it, I have been obliged to separate the system always aimed at, and often clearly expressed in the writings of Dr. Brown, from many of his opinions which have introduced much confusion into this system and often directly contradict its fundamental principles.

Although the opinions here alluded to are not to be regarded as any part of
the

* Appendix, Note 22.

the Brunonian hypothesis, since they are incompatible with it, as they have generally been thought to make part of this hypothesis, it is necessary to say something of them that my account of it may not appear defective.

One of the most striking inconsistencies in the writings of Dr. Brown, I have just had occasion to allude to—that every living body at the commencement of its existence receives a certain quantity of excitability, which, if not extinguished by violent stimuli, or by too great an abstraction of stimuli, will last for a certain length of time. The quantity received, he supposes, determines the natural duration of life, it being impossible to protract it after that quantity is exhausted.

“ We know not (he observes) what
“ excitability is, or in what manner it is
“ affected by the exciting powers. But
“ whatever it be, whether a quality or a
“ substance,

“ substance, a certain portion is assigned
“ to every being upon the commencement
“ of its living state. The quantity or
“ energy is different in different animals,
“ and in the same animal at different times.
“ It is partly owing to the uncertain na-
“ ture of the subject, partly to the poverty
“ of language, and partly to the novelty
“ of this doctrine, that the phrases of the
“ excitability, being abundant, increased,
“ accumulated, superfluous, weak, not well
“ enough sustained, not well enough ex-
“ ercised, or deficient in energy, when
“ enough of stimulus has not been em-
“ ployed; tired, fatigued, worn out, lan-
“ guid, exhausted, or consumed, when the
“ stimulus has operated in a violent degree;
“ at other times in vigour, or reduced to
“ one half, when the stimulus has neither
“ been applied in excess or defect; will be
“ employed in different parts of this work.”

It is almost unnecessary to observe,
that

that the different parts of the foregoing quotation are perfectly irreconcilable. The confusion which Dr. Brown here attributes to the poverty of language, and the novelty of the subject, arises from the most evident contradictions in his hypothesis. Dr. Beddoes justly observes, that “ he who assumes
“ that a certain portion of excitability is
“ originally assigned to every living system,
“ by his very assumption denies its con-
“ tinual production, subsequent diffusion
“ and expenditure, at a rate equal to the
“ supply, or greater or less.”

An inconsistency, if possible, more remarkable than this, is the supposition that both the above species of debility may exist in the same body at the same time. In paragraph CCXL. he observes, “ As
“ debility, therefore, whether direct or in-
“ direct, or both conjoined, &c.” and after pointing out the doses of medicines suited to his two species of debility, he observes,
in

in DCXCI. "when the affection is more a mixture of both sorts of debility, these proportions of the doses must be blended together." If direct debility be that state in which the excitability is morbidly accumulated, and indirect debility that in which a morbid exhaustion of it has taken place, in what state shall we suppose the system to be when both species of debility are conjoined! for, when the excitability and stimuli applied, are in due proportion, according to the hypothesis of Dr. Brown, it is in a state of health.

Nay, in some places, he seems to maintain that the same agent may, at the same time, induce both species of debility. In CXXXVII. he observes, "the same thing is to be said of excess of venery, which is partly an indirect, partly a direct, always a great, debilitating power."

Is it possible to suppose that sleep should ever be the consequence of what

Dr. Brown calls direct debility, of that state of the system in which every agent produces a greater degree of excitement than in health! yet, in a variety of passages, direct debility is regarded as capable of producing sleep.*

Although Dr. Brown maintains that the mode of action of every agent on the living body is the same (ccc xv. ccc xvi. ccc xvii. &c.), yet he is constantly obliged to admit that there is a specific difference in the effects of different agents, (ccci. ccc ix. &c.) Towards the end of the latter of these paragraphs, he observes, “when the excitability is worn out by
 “any one stimulus, any new stimulus finds
 “excitability, and draws it forth, and
 “thereby produces a further variation of
 “the effect.” If the operation of all stimuli is the same, a new stimulus can produce no effect which may not be produced
 by

* Appendix, Note 23.

by changing the quantity of that first applied.

Are not the supposed states of direct and indirect debility opposite conditions of body? Can we suppose them to produce precisely the same train of symptoms? Yet Dr. Brown is constantly forced into this inconsistency, (CLXI. CC. CCXXXIV. &c.) In CC. he observes, “epilepsy depends likewise on debility, and the same scantiness of fluids, only here the debility is *commonly* of the direct kind. Fevers may arise from indirect debility, as in the confluent small pox, or where drunkenness has been the principal exciting noxious power applied, but at the same time the *most frequent* cause of fever is direct debility.”

Various similar inconsistencies might be pointed out. In stating the hypothesis of Dr. Brown, we must regard the spirit of his writings, and overlook the passages which

which cannot be reconciled to the doctrines in general maintained in them.

When we consider the comprehensive nature and simplicity of this hypothesis, and that many of the facts on which it appears to rest are such as we every day experience in our own bodies, we may account for its having laid hold of the minds of all who were not wedded to former systems. On a closer view, however, it will be found, if we except that part of it which was universally admitted by Physicians before Dr. Brown's *Elementa Medicinæ* appeared, that, so far from being a legitimate deduction from facts, it is as unfounded as the hypothesis of any other writer on this subject.

I shall follow the same mode of arrangement, in the following observations on Dr. Brown's system, which has been adopted in giving an account of it.

All agents, according to this system, are stimuli. Every thing capable of producing any change in the living body excites the action of the muscular or nervous system, or both; and, in proportion as it excites them, exhausts their excitability. There is no agent, therefore, capable of diminishing the excitability, without occasioning previous excitement. Let us consider if this be a fact.

It seems, indeed, to be a law of the living solid, that every agent applied in a certain degree acts as a stimulus to it; and the subsequent exhaustion is proportioned to the excitement it occasions. Applied in other degrees, however, the same agent acts no longer as a stimulus. Distilled spirits received into the stomach, for example, occasion excitement, and, to a certain extent, the greater the quantity the greater is the excitement; but the immediate effect of a large quantity of
distilled

distilled spirits, suddenly received into the stomach, has often been instant death.

A small quantity of opium applied to the heart occasions increased excitement. A large quantity does not excite in it violent contractions, followed by the loss of its excitability, but instant paralysis, without any previous excitement.*

The first instance, then, in which this hypothesis departs from truth, is, in supposing that every thing capable of acting on the living solid is a stimulus, and consequently, that there is no agent which directly destroys the excitability.

The excitability, we have seen, is, according to Dr. Brown's system, an uniform, indivisible property of the living body, which cannot be increased or diminished in any one part, without being affected in the same way, although in a less degree, in every other.

This

* Appendix, Note 24.

This is true of the excitability, when the term is confined to that of the nervous system. As sensation and voluntary motion in every part of the body depend on the sensorium commune, and the excitability of this organ is affected by stimuli applied to any part of the system, every part is affected in consequence of the excitement of any one.

If we fatigue one limb, we find that we have, though in a less degree, diminished the power of every other. The exercised limb is more debilitated than other parts of the body, because it not only suffers in common with these, from the debilitated state induced on the sensorium, but the excitability of its muscles is also impaired in consequence of the contractions excited in them; for contractions excited in the muscles of voluntary motion, through the medium of the nervous system, impair the excitability of
the

the muscles affected, without influencing that of any other.* The excitability of the nervous system, then, may be said to be indivisible, the nervous excitability everywhere depending on the state of one particular organ. But the muscular excitability exists in every muscle, independently of its existence in any other.

If, for example, we apply a strong solution of opium to the denuded muscles of a limb, they are instantly deprived of their excitability, but that of every other muscle of the body remains as entire as before the application of the opium. If an animal be killed by destroying the excitability of the heart, the excitability of no other muscle is destroyed along with it. In every other part of the body the muscular excitability is found as entire as after any other death equally sudden.†

The difference in the laws of excitability

* Appendix, Note 25.

† Note 26.

bility of the muscular and nervous systems, Dr. Brown either overlooked, or could not prevail on himself so far to encroach on the simplicity of his system, which he considered its chief excellence, as to acknowledge.

It was observed above, that between the healthy state, in which the excitability and stimuli applied are in due proportion, and death, in which the excitability is extinguished either by an excess or too great an abstraction of stimuli, Dr. Brown supposes all possible gradations constituting the diseases of direct and indirect debility.

According to Dr. Brown's hypothesis, a state of indirect debility is that in which the excitability is more or less exhausted, and, consequently, the same stimuli produce a less degree of excitement than in health.

We need only reflect on what we

observe in our own bodies to know, that, in proportion as we are subjected to the action of stimuli, we become less capable of being excited by them; and if their application is continued, the strongest fail to rouse to any further exertion till a state of sleep (during which, if it be found; there is the greatest abstraction of stimuli which is consistent with health) has, to a certain degree, renewed the excitability. The application of the ordinary stimuli of life renders this state, during a certain portion of the day, necessary to our existence. We may delay sleep by constantly applying a stronger stimulus than that which preceded it; but in proportion as it is thus delayed, it becomes the more irresistible, and the longer its continuance must be, in order to restore the due degree of excitability.

On these facts, which it is unnecessary to say were as well known before the publication

publication of the *Elementa Medicinæ* as at present, Dr. Brown has founded the whole of his hypothesis, and has thus given to them an appearance of system which they have not in the works of any other writer. They form, as far as I can judge, the only part of that hypothesis which can be admitted; but he has so interwoven them with its other parts, that it requires some attention to make the separation.

Sleep is the only state, either healthy, or morbid, to which Dr. Brown's definition of indirect debility will at all apply; and here it applies only to those organs on which the animal functions depend; for those on which the vital and natural functions depend are never in a state of exhaustion. During sleep, these organs suffer no diminution of vigor but what is the consequence of the suspension of the animal functions.

The

The state of the heart and blood vessels, after each systole, is not that of exhaustion, as exhaustion is defined by Dr. Brown; nor does he, indeed, suppose it to be so. That it is not a state of exhaustion might be demonstrated in various ways. It is sufficient, however, to observe, that the exhausted excitability can never be restored while the stimulus which exhausted it continues to act. A man will never recover from the fatigue of a long walk while he continues walking; nor will the retina recover its sensibility while exposed to the same degree of light which impaired it. But the contractions of the heart continue to recur, although it is exposed to the uninterrupted action of the agent which excites them; for, as I have frequently observed in frogs, if a ligature be thrown round the aorta, so that the heart continues uniformly gorged with blood, its fibres are still alternately contracted

tracted and relaxed, and; for the space of four or five minutes, with the same frequency as before the ligature was applied. Thus, if we sprinkle salt upon a muscle, we do not produce permanent contraction, followed by exhaustion, but alternate contractions and relaxations, followed by exhaustion. But the state of the muscle, in the relaxation which intervenes between the contractions, is essentially different from its condition in that relaxation which succeeds them; because, in the former case, the same agent, although its application has not been interrupted, is still capable of exciting the muscle to action.

The vital and natural functions are the powers of assimilation, by which the excitability of those parts of the system which suffer exhaustion is renewed. If these powers also suffer exhaustion, to what powers in the animal system shall we attribute the renewal of their excitability!

From

From the nature of exhaustion, it is impossible that any exertion of these powers themselves can renew it. Every thing which calls them into action must further diminish their excitability.

It is this objection to the hypothesis of Dr. Brown which forced him into the supposition, that a quantity of excitability, which is to last through life, is bestowed on every animal at the commencement of its existence. In forming his hypothesis he could not but perceive that, admitting every part of the system to be in a state of exhaustion, there is no power inherent in it capable of restoring its excitability. This formidable objection he found it necessary to get rid of, although at the expence of introducing into his system the most evident inconsistency.

Besides, it is impossible that the excitability of the organs on which the vital and natural functions depend, can be
renewed,

renewed, while the same agents which occasioned its exhaustion continue to be applied. If the organs on which the vital and natural functions depend, were subject to the same exhaustion from the stimuli that excite them, which takes place in those of the animal functions from their usual stimuli, no animal could exist above a few hours.

The excitability of the organs on which the animal functions depend is renewed during sleep, because the stimuli which occasion the exhaustion are then withdrawn, and the powers of life remain unimpaired. But if the natural stimuli occasioned exhaustion in the organs on which these powers depend, as the stimuli are never withdrawn, would not their uninterrupted application, a fortiori, prevent any renewal of the excitability.*

In disease, indeed, the organs of the
vital

* Appendix, Note 27.

vital and natural functions are often debilitated; but this debility we shall find of a nature so different from exhaustion, that excitement is a means of removing it.

What Dr. Brown says of the action of stimuli in removing exhaustion, appears to be altogether unfounded; the excitability of those organs on which the animal functions depend, the only part of the system subject to exhaustion, in the sense in which he uses this term, is most speedily and effectually restored during the absence of every stimulus which excites them.

Direct debility, according to Dr. Brown, is a state in which the excitability is accumulated, and consequently every stimulus produces a greater degree of excitement than in that condition of the system which, alone, he allows to be a state of health.

The

The first question which here presents itself is, what is that condition of body which he admits to be a state of health? How shall we define that state in which he supposes the excitability and stimuli applied to be in due proportion?

In the morning the quantity of excitability is proportionably great; in the evening it is small; and between these there are infinite gradations. Which of these states does Dr. Brown consider that of perfect health?

From a review of his system, it appears, that wherever there is any addition made to the stimuli necessary for preserving a state of health, a morbid degree of excitement takes place, and a condition of body, differing only in degree from the most morbid, follows. However trifling the degree of morbidity may be, according to the hypothesis of Dr. Brown it is a morbid state; and, consequently, we are

only in perfect health on awaking from sound sleep.

But what shall we say of the doctrine of direct debility, if it can be shewn, which it is surely very easy to do, that this is the state of the system in which stimuli of every kind produce the greatest degree of excitement, that in which the excitability is accumulated in a greater degree than in any other? If it can be shewn, that Dr. Brown's definition of direct debility applies only to that state which, according to his system, is the only state of perfect health?

We come now to the application of the hypothesis of Dr. Brown, to explain the phenomena and treatment of general diseases; which, we have seen, turns wholly on the supposition that, in all diseases of the whole system, except those of increased excitement, concerning the general nature
of

of which there is no dispute, the body is in one of those states which he terms direct and indirect debility.

On reviewing all that he says on the diseases of direct debility, I can find but one fact on which his opinions are founded; and this, although at first view favorable to these opinions, if fairly examined, will not be found to afford any argument in support of them; namely, that the animal body is strongly affected by certain agents, food and heat, when they have not, for a considerable time, been applied in the usual degree.

The mildest food will often destroy life in animals who have fasted for several days; and a moderate temperature will produce violent effects on those who have been exposed to a great degree of cold.

Of these states of body, supposing them in the extreme, it may be observed,
in

in the first place, that neither heat in the one case, nor food in the other, occasion excitement, which, were the excitability accumulated, as Dr. Brown supposes, they ought to do.

But, admitting that the effects of the agents are what they ought to be according to the hypothesis of direct debility, they will go but a short way towards establishing it. A state of direct debility is that in which all agents occasion a greater degree of excitement than in health. Do all agents produce a greater than ordinary excitement in an animal that has been exposed to hunger or cold? Instead of applying heat* to the animal that has been exposed to cold, and giving food to that which has fasted, let food be given to the former, and let the latter be exposed to heat. According to Dr. Brown, violent excitement should still, in both cases, be the

* Appendix, Note 28.

the consequence. In both, the excitability is supposed to be accumulated; and to both powerful stimuli are applied.

But so much the reverse is the fact, that heat, in those who have fasted and are still deprived of food, and food, in those who have been exposed to cold, and are still deprived of a due degree of heat, occasion less excitement than in health. The truth is, that in animals under the operation of hunger or cold, every agent, except that whose application in the usual degree has been interrupted, produces less powerful effects than in ordinary states of the system.

The effects of every agent are, of course, proportioned to the change it induces on the body. It is not difficult, therefore, to account for the effects of a temperature of 100° being more remarkable in an animal which has been exposed to that of 10° , than in one that has been exposed

exposed to a temperature of 60° ; or for a hearty meal producing more powerful effects on one that has fasted for several days, than on one that has fasted for a few hours, although exposure both to hunger and cold tends to exhaust the excitability, which we know to be the case, from the effects of other agents. It is well known, that a quantity of fermented liquor, which proves a strong stimulus in a temperature of 50° , may be taken in a temperature of 15° without producing any marks of excitement; yet, in the latter case, according to Dr. Brown's hypothesis, an accumulation of excitability has taken place.

According to this hypothesis, sensation is one of the effects of stimuli. Are there any sensations more acute than the pains of hunger and cold? The sensation of cold, it is true, is occasioned by the abstraction of heat; that of hunger by the
want

want of food; but these sensations are not the less real, and are followed by the same exhaustion which succeeds powerful sensations from any other cause.

The few facts on which the hypothesis of direct debility is founded, are referable to a law of the animal œconomy, by which the body is rendered more sensible to the action of agents; in proportion as it has been previously less exposed to them. A person who has long indulged in indolence is overcome by the slightest exertion; but a high temperature, or a full meal, occasions no greater excitement in him than in those accustomed to exercise.

We have instances of the same kind in the effects of the various natural agents which excite the different organs of sense, light, noise, sapid and odoriferous bodies. An animal which has lived long in the dark is strongly affected by light: One which has been long accustomed to quietness

ness is disturbed by the least noise: The palate that has been habituated to insipid articles of diet is strongly affected by animal food: And the inhabitants of a pure atmosphere are sensible of odours which are unperceived by those of a large city. But in none of these instances is the body rendered more sensible to any other agent, than that whose application, in the usual degree, has been interrupted.

The hypothesis of direct debility supposes, that the abstraction of any one of these agents renders the system more sensible to every agent, and that the effect of all is, at all times, excitement.

The facts are, that the abstraction of any one of these agents only renders the body more sensible to the action of that agent; and the effect of that agent is not always excitement, but either excitement or atony, according to the degree in which it is applied, and the state of the body at
the

the time of its application, that is, according to the change it induces.

If the change is moderate, it proves a stimulus; and, within a certain range, the greater the change the greater is the excitement. Beyond this, as we have seen in the instances of opium and distilled spirits, it occasions debility; and, when excessive, death.

When the change induced is consistent with the health of the parts on which the agent acts, excitement is the consequence; but when the change is sufficient to derange the mechanism of the living solid, if I may use the expression, its immediate effects are debility or death. Nor is this more remarkable of the agents which are directly applied to the living solid, than of those whose first impression is on the mind. The passions, within a certain degree of intensity, act as stimuli; beyond this they debilitate, and even extinguish life, without previous excitement.

The degree of exhaustion which follows the operation of any agent is always proportioned to the excitement it occasions; but the degree of atony which a greater quantity of the same agent produces bears no proportion to its exciting power. Thus tobacco will not occasion the same degree of excitement which opium or distilled spirits do, but it is better fitted to produce atony.

Of those agents whose first impression is on the mind, some, grief, fear, disgust, are ill calculated to excite, although, when present only in a small degree, they act as stimuli; but they are chiefly calculated to produce atony; others, love and joy, on the contrary, produce much excitement, and only occasion atony when in excess.

With respect to what Dr. Brown says of the depressing passions, as it makes a part of his hypothesis of direct debility,
it

it must fall with that hypothesis: unless we allow that grief, fear, &c. occasion an accumulation of excitability, there is nothing which Dr. Brown says on this subject that can be admitted. According to a law of the animal œconomy, I have just had occasion to mention, those under the operation of grief are rendered more sensible to joy, and those under the operation of fear to confidence; but they are rendered less sensible to the operation of every other agent. His assertion that grief is only a less degree of joy, and fear nothing more than a diminution of confidence, is quite gratuitous. He might with equal reason assert, that confidence is a diminution of fear, and joy a less degree of grief. The one set of passions are as positive agents as the other; and if the one tend more to excite, and the other to depress, it is only what is true of agents of every other species.

The

The morbid states above considered, namely, those arising from the effects of cold and hunger, are the supposed states of direct debility, of which Dr. Brown speaks with most precision. It is unnecessary particularly to consider any of the others, because one observation applies to all of them; there is none in which many agents do not produce as little or less excitement than in health, therefore there is none in which the excitability is accumulated.

With regard to the diseases in which Dr. Brown supposes the system to be in a state of diminished excitability, a similar observation applies to them; there is no general disease in which the system is rendered less sensible to the action of all agents. In typhus, it is less sensible to that of opium and wine; but a degree of exercise or heat, which would not in-
mode

mode us in health, is, in this disease, often capable of destroying life.

The diseases which Dr. Brown terms sthenic, and which consist, in a morbid degree, of excitement, he falls into the error of regarding as only a greater degree of the same excitement which prevails in health, in the same way as he supposes the diseases of indirect debility only a greater degree of the same exhaustion which produces sleep. But as the latter does not bear the character of exhaustion; neither does the former, which is always followed by atony, bear the character of healthy excitement.

That excitement only is healthy which occasions a tendency to sleep. The debility it produces, we have seen, affects only the organs on which the animal functions depend. Whether these organs are in a state of excitement or exhaustion, the powers

powers by which the body is preserved are equally unimpaired. The latter cannot partake of the alternation of vigilance and sleep.

Morbid excitement, on the other hand, debilitates the vital as well as the animal functions. Every part of the system is enfeebled, and, instead of the organs on which the animal functions depend becoming uniformly less sensible to the various stimuli which excite them, they become preternaturally sensible to those stimuli, while the vital organs become proportionably less sensible to the action of their stimuli; and the consequence, as might have been foretold, instead of sleep, is a painful and restless watchfulness. These circumstances are here simply stated as facts; the manner in which they are to be explained will be considered in the next Chapter.

Upon

Upon the whole, the following, as far as I am capable of judging, are the facts which Dr. Brown overlooked in forming the great outlines of his hypothesis.

There is no accumulation of excitability beyond that which constitutes a state of the most perfect vigour. There is no exhaustion of excitability, in the sense in which Dr. Brown uses the term, beyond that which constitutes the most perfect sleep, and both are equally states of health.

Every agent is capable of producing either excitement or atony, according to the degree in which it is applied.

In health, the natural agents applied in the usual degree, viz. a certain temperature, a certain quantity of exercise, &c. always occasion that kind of excitement which is followed by exhaustion.

In general disease, that is, in fever, which is the only general disease properly so

so called,* the state of the excitability is so changed, that the same agents do not produce a greater or less degree of the same effects they produce in health, as Dr. Brown supposes; but either atony, or that kind of excitement which is followed by atony.

It must appear, I think, to every one who attentively considers the hypothesis of Dr. Brown, that its author, in speaking of diseases, has constantly in view the healthy state of the animal body; and attempts, in vain, to apply the laws which regulate the excitability of certain parts of the system in health, to explain the phenomena of disease.

CHAP. II.

Of the Proximate Cause of Fever.

THE functions of the animal body have been divided into three classes; the vital, the natural, and the animal.

There is an evident impropriety in this division. While the nature of the two first sets of functions differs so essentially from that of the third set, that nothing can be more evident than the line of distinction; the vital and natural functions so run into each other, that it is impossible to draw any precise line between them.

The objection is strengthened, when we consider the offices of the different sets of functions. By the animal functions, the individual is connected with the external world; by the vital and natural, his own existence is preserved.

We again see the impropriety of the division, if we turn our attention to the sources from which the organs of these functions immediately derive their power. The animal functions depend immediately on the brain and its appendages. The vital and natural functions, though influenced by the state of the nervous, depend immediately on the sanguiferous system, and are perfectly performed where the brain has never existed, as in the case of fœtus born without the head.

It may also be observed, that of the vital and natural functions the animal is unconscious; of the animal functions he is not only conscious, but many of them are subjected to his will.

The organs of these different sets of functions, we have seen in the preceding Section, possess different kinds of excitability suited to the purposes they serve. Those of the vital and natural functions

on

on which life depends, possess an excitability which never suffers any diminution except in disease, nor complete exhaustion except in death. Those of the animal functions which suffer intermissions that they may relieve the vital organs on which their action depends, possess an excitability which suffers a constant alternation of exhaustion and renewal; for it is only for a certain length of time that the vital can, without interruption, support the action of the animal organs.

The vital and natural functions, then, are similar in their nature; have for their object the preservation of life; are performed independently of the will or consciousness of the animal; and immediately depend on organs which possess an excitability that continues unimpaired through life.

The animal functions are not concerned in the preservation of life. They
have

have for their object to connect the animal with the external world; are subjected all to the consciousness, many to the will, of the animal; immediately depend on organs of which the other functions are independent, and which possess an excitability subject to a constant alternation of exhaustion and renewal.

The division of vital and natural functions, therefore, if adopted at all, can be regarded only as a subdivision. But it will be found more convenient, I believe, wholly to set aside this division, which seems to be of little use, and divide the functions into those which are necessary for the preservation of life, which we may call *vital*, and those by which the animal is connected with the external world, which, for want of a more appropriate term, may be called *animal*.

The one set of these functions is evidently designed for the support of the other.

other. The end of animal life is to feel and communicate enjoyment: The animal functions, or, to speak more accurately, their immediate organs (which, to save repetition, I shall call *animal organs*, in contradistinction to the organs properly called *vital*), are the immediate instruments of both; and they are maintained by the vital organs, whose office is to form and nourish them, and, when their vigor is exhausted by the impressions which excite them, so that they cease to act, to refit them for receiving and answering those impressions.*

It is evident, therefore, that when the vital organs are debilitated, the animal organs necessarily partake of the debility. It is equally evident why the converse of this is not true, the nervous system may be debilitated without affecting the powers of circulation. Thus when any cause impedes

* Appendix, Note 30.

pedes the action of the heart, the brain immediately partakes of the disorder; but even in apoplexy the pulse is generally strong and good, and only becomes slower and more languid in proportion as the respiration, which is performed by muscles that depend for their vigour on the influence of the brain, becomes so.*

Thus it is that in diseases properly termed nervous, the pulse is generally good, however great the languor and depression of strength may be; nay I have even found, from experiments made for the purpose of ascertaining this point, that the total destruction of the nervous system produces no immediate effects on the action of the heart, nor in any other way affects its motion than necessarily happens in consequence of the interruption of respiration.†

The heart is possessed of little sensibility,

* Appendix, Note 31:

† Note 32.

bility, so little indeed that abscesses and ulcers are often formed in it without giving any pain; * and is, considering the size and importance of the organ, scantily supplied with nerves, the use of which seems to be to convey from the sensorium to the heart certain impressions, not to give vigor to an organ which we find in a state of perfect vigor, where no sensorium has existed. †

It appears from what has been said in the preceding part of this Essay, that the terms excitement and exhaustion, in the sense in which Dr. Brown uses these terms, apply only to the animal functions, and are merely expressions for the healthy states of vigilance and sleep. There is no debility which can be induced on the animal organs while the vital retain their vigor, which is to be regarded as morbid, because, under such circumstances, the

vigor

* Appendix, Note 32.

† Note 33.

vigor of the former is always restored by sleep.

But if the excitement of the animal organs be continued till it occasions debility in the vital organs, which support it, a state very different from exhaustion is the consequence. The steps by which exhaustion is changed into this state, which may properly be termed atony, are easily traced.

A certain excitement of the animal functions continued for a certain length of time, occasions a tendency to sleep. This tendency increases as the excitement is protracted, as long as the sanguiferous system properly performs its functions. But if the excitement is continued beyond this point, a degree of restlessness comes on. Instead of a healthy action of the heart and arteries, their pulsations gradually become more feeble and frequent, and the various functions, all of which depend
more

more or less directly on the sanguiferous system, shew a corresponding debility.

The tendency to sleep at length ceases, sleep being no longer capable of restoring vigour. It is in vain to abstract light from the eyes and give rest to the limbs, the powers which should renew the excitability of the animal organs are themselves in want of refreshment.

The only means of restoring these powers to a due action, is applying to them a stimulus stronger than the natural stimulus, in proportion as their excitability is less than it ought to be; for the system, it is evident, possesses no organs by which the vigour of those of assimilation, that is, of the vital organs, can be restored.

The application of a preternatural stimulus to them, is the unavoidable consequence of their debility: for the secreting organs no longer performing their functions, the more irritating and noxious

parts of the blood, which ought to be expelled from the body, are retained, and soon excite the heart and blood vessels to an action as powerful as the healthy action, often more so.

It is to be recollected, that all debilitating causes applied to the vital organs are felt most in those parts which are least vigorous, that is, at the greatest distance from the heart.*

These, to avoid circumlocution, I shall call the circumference of the vital system; the heart and larger vessels I shall call the centre. Of the former are composed the different secreting organs. Hence debility of the vital system is first indicated by a failure of the various secretions.

If the increased action of the heart and larger vessels, as frequently happens, by applying an increased stimulus, restores vigour to the circumference of the vital system,

* Appendix, Note 34.

system, the action of the secreting organs will be renewed, the offending matter expelled, and health restored. If not, the preternatural excitement of the heart and larger vessels must necessarily go on till the debility extends to them; and there being now no longer any means of excitement in the system itself, if the debility induced be considerable, the animal dies. Death may frequently be prevented by exciting the heart and arteries by artificial means, until they have restored power to the capillaries.*

I have been considering the effects of too violent or long continued excitement of the animal organs. It is evident, however, that similar effects may be produced in two other ways. If we suppose a noxious power received into the sanguiferous system capable of debilitating the heart
and

* Appendix, Note 35.

and blood vessels, whether previous excitement of the animal organs has taken place or not, the same want of vigour in the excretories, and increased action of the heart and blood vessels, will ensue. These symptoms, and their consequences, may also take place without any debilitating power applied to the heart and blood vessels; for these remaining in their natural state, if any cause impede the proper action of the excretories, the same increased action of the sanguiferous system will take place, but it will not be preceded by equal marks of debility, but only by those which attend the failure of the excretions.*

It appears, then, that when a debilitating cause is applied to the vital system, the extreme parts of this system lose their tone; that in consequence of this, secretion being impeded, a preternatural stimulus

* Appendix, Note 36.

lus is applied to the heart and larger vessels, which, by exciting them, tends to restore tone to the capillaries, in the same way that an increased action of the larger vessels of an inflamed part tends to restore tone to the capillaries of that part.* On this principle, I believe, the whole phenomena of fever may be explained; to establish this position, it will be necessary to review these phenomena, which I shall do under the three heads of the Symptoms, Causes, and Cure of Fever.

Of the Symptoms of Fever.

The symptoms of fever may be divided into those of increased excitement and those of debility; and each of these sets of symptoms may be subdivided according as they indicate derangement in the vital or animal organs.

As

* Appendix, Note 37.

As the state of the animal organs depends on that of the vital, we find, provided there is no disease which immediately influences the former, that the excitement of the one set of organs always corresponds with that of the other. If the vital organs are debilitated, the animal organs partake of the debility; if the vital organs are preternaturally excited, the animal organs are affected in a corresponding manner. When this coincidence is observed then, and there is no other evident cause affecting the animal organs, we infer that the vital organs are primarily affected, because their affection is capable of producing the whole phenomena.

At the commencement of fever, when the pulse becomes weak, small, frequent, perhaps irregular; when the breathing is feeble, frequent, and interrupted with sighing; when the features and other extreme parts shrink; when the various
secretions

secretions begin to fail, the tongue becoming clammy, the throat dry and rough, the appetite being impaired* with increased thirst and constipated bowels, the urine limpid and in small quantity, and the skin cold, pale, dry and shrivelled; the patient, at the same time, complains of a general sense of debility; the limbs totter, the tongue trembles, the mind becomes feeble, unsteady, and anxious, the strength and acuteness of the different organs of sense are impaired, the sight wavers, the hearing is confused, the smell and taste often lost, and the feeling indistinct, a degree of numbness frequently affecting the limbs, and a sense of cold and creeping being referred to various parts of the body. Such are the symptoms with which fever makes its attack—those of an enfeebled circulation with the various consequences, which we could have foretold would attend it.

By

* Appendix, Note 38.

By degrees we see this state of general debility changing to one of a different nature. After the various excrementitious matter, which should have been thrown out of the body, has for some time been retained, the pulse begins gradually to increase in strength, and the breathing becomes fuller and less feeble; the blood is now impelled with vigour into the extreme vessels; the paleness, shrinking, and coldness of the skin, is succeeded by turgescence, redness, and heat; instead of the feebleness which attends the first stage, the muscles acquire a preternatural vigour; the sensibility, instead of being impaired, is now morbidly acute;* and various other symptoms, denoting an increased force of circulation, gradually shew themselves.

This general effort of the system restores the vigour of the secreting organs, and all that should have been gradually separated

* Appendix, Note 39.

separated from the blood is now forced off at once by the alimentary canal, kidneys and skin. Loose stools frequently occur; the urine becomes copious, and is loaded with the peculiar salts which the kidneys secrete from the blood, while the skin is bathed in sweat, highly charged with the saline substances thrown off by this organ. Thus the stimulus which roused to preternatural action the central parts of the sanguiferous system, by which vigour was restored to its circumference, is removed, and health restored.

A degree of general debility, it is true, prevails, but the action of the extreme vessels is so far restored, that it is in due proportion to the remaining vigour of the heart and larger vessels. In short, what now takes place throughout the system is analogous to what happens during resolution in an inflamed part. The extreme vessels are capable of effecting the

P

necessary

necessary changes in the fluids supplied to them by the larger vessels. Thus even the general debility which succeeds fever is a wise provision of nature; and thus it is that the debility of the capillaries, and along with it the fever, is often renewed for some time after it has ceased, by too full a diet, exercise, or any other cause that increases too much the force of the heart and larger vessels, and thus throws on the capillaries a larger quantity of the fluids than on the first return of their vigour they can easily bear. A full meal, under these circumstances, is often succeeded by a strong pulse, and a dry skin.

Such is the course of a simple attack of fever; and surely no train of symptoms appears more simple, or admits of a more easy explanation on the best established laws of the animal œconomy. Let a debilitating power (such as we know many of the causes of fever to be) be applied

to

to the vital organs, all the foregoing train of symptoms necessarily follow, unless the increased action of the heart and larger vessels fails to rouse to their natural action the extreme parts of the circulating system, that is, to restore the due vigour of the secreting organs.

Various symptoms, then, gradually unfold themselves, all of which, we shall find, are evident consequences of want of vigour in those organs. These, like the symptoms of the more simple form of fever, may be divided into those of increased excitement and those of debility.

When the debility of the extreme vessels is such, that the increased force of circulation excited by the retention of what the excreting organs should have thrown out of the body, is unable to restore their action, the preternatural excitement of the heart and larger vessels must go on till they themselves fall into a state of debility.

The

The period at which this debility supervenes, and the violence of the excitement which precedes it, depend on the vigour of the habit, and, as we shall presently see more particularly, on the nature of the cause which produces the fever.

It hardly ever happens, however, that the encreased action of the heart and larger vessels is wholly ineffectual. In the space of a few hours they rouse to a more or less perfect action the various excretories, in consequence of which part of the irritating cause is thrown off, and a mitigation of the symptoms follows. But on the abatement of the action of the central parts of the sanguiferous system, the capillaries again falling into a state of debility, the accumulation of what should be excreted again takes place, and the various symptoms of excitement are renewed. Thus fevers, which last more than a day, consist of a series of remissions and exacerbations.

As

As the debility of the extreme vessels is overcome with more difficulty the more frequently it returns, the excitement, during the exacerbations for the first days, gradually increases in violence, till by degrees the excitability of the heart and larger vessels being impaired, the symptoms of excitement gradually give place to those of debility.

The symptoms of excitement, in continued fevers, differ only in degree from those of a simple paroxysm. The symptoms of debility differ in kind as well as in degree.

As the paroxysms recur, the pulse becomes stronger and more rapid, the respiration more hurried and frequent, the heat greater, the face fuller and more florid, the eyes more turgid with blood, and incapable of bearing the light, and the
headach

headach, throbbing of the temples, and tinnitus aurium more troublesome,* and from the greater heat, and the increasing debility of the secreting organs, the skin, mouth and throat more arid.

Delirium much less frequently arises from excess of excitement than from debility, and in the first paroxysm of fever the excitement is seldom such as to produce it. As the excitement encreases, however, in the succeeding paroxysms, it sometimes supervenes, and then rises to a degree beyond what we usually meet with in debilitated states of the system, the patient becomes frantic, and is with difficulty retained in bed.

In violent excitement it is not unusual for some of the smaller vessels to be ruptured by the excessive action of the heart and larger arteries; hemorrhagies thus occur from the nose, ears, lungs, rectum or
uterus,

* Appendix, Note 40.

uterus, and lessen the excitement. It may be remarked, that when the excitement is considerable, we rarely meet with hemorrhagy from the eyes, skin, bowels, or urinary passages, from all of which they are so common in states of extreme debility.

The symptoms of excitement are few and simple; those of debility numerous and complicated. One cause of which is that excessive excitement never continues long. However powerful the exciting causes may be, the excitement soon arrives at that point beyond which the powers of the system cannot raise it. Debility, on the contrary, is of long continuance; during its influence there is time for various changes to take place.

The pulse, from the lessened tension and weaker pulsation of the heart and arteries, becomes soft, small, weak, and more frequent. It is not difficult to perceive

ceive the final cause of the beats of the heart generally becoming more frequent when they are enfeebled, for the feeble systole not propelling the same quantity of blood, or not propelling it with the same velocity, a more frequent systole becomes necessary in order to support a due vigour of circulation.

As the debility encreases the pulse becomes irregular, as well as weak. The enfeebled heart now and then refuses to be roused to any action, so that an intermission of the pulse takes place, or it is roused only to a tremulous and irregular action, occasioning a fluttering pulse, or its action is languid and unequal, and the pulse becomes undulating.

As the debility encreases, the beats of the heart at length fail to rouse to action the more distant arteries, themselves in a state ill calculated to obey the stimulus. The pulse in the extremities then ceases,
and

and they become cold, and the coldness gradually extends, till the heart ceasing to beat, it soon becomes general.

The state of the breathing corresponds with that of the circulation. It is weak, frequent, and interrupted with sighing; but when, from the encreasing debility of the heart and blood vessels, the rapidity of the circulation is much reduced, it often becomes slower than natural, being now and then interrupted for a considerable time.* It becomes difficult from the feebleness of the muscular powers which support it, and often rattling, from relaxation of the exhaling and inactivity of the absorbing system.

Under these circumstances we are not surpris'd to find the voice low, weak, wheezing, and often shriller or hoarser than usual.

The secreting and absorbing powers in every part of the body necessarily partake of the state of the circulating system.

Q

In

* Appendix, Note 41.

In proportion as these powers are diminished, the various secreted fluids become subject to the spontaneous changes which they undergo when uninfluenced by the contact of a living surface, by which the noxious parts being continually absorbed, and new parts secreted in their stead, they are preserved in a state of health.

Hence, in fevers of some continuance, the mouth is clammy, and the thinner parts being carried off by the air, its mucus becomes tough, brown, fœtid, sometimes black, and adheres firmly to the teeth, lips, and other parts of the mouth. In the advanced stage, if the tongue is not covered with brown or black mucus, it often appears of a shining dark red or purplish colour, from the debility and consequent relaxation of its extreme vessels; in either case it frequently becomes dry, and deep chaps are formed in it, the speech being rendered inarticulate.

The

The mucus of the trachea and bronchiæ is subject to the same changes, rendering the breath dry, hot, and offensive.

A considerable change happens in that of the œsophagus, deglutition being often impeded by the dryness of the passage, as well as the debility of its muscles; and a failure of secretion is indicated in the stomach and bowels by thirst and costiveness.

In all these appearances, however, there is considerable variety; for when the extreme vessels are greatly relaxed, the thinner fluids flow from them copiously. Thus the mouth is often moist to the fatal termination; and an ichorous, or even bloody diarrhœa frequently supervenes, the relaxation being so great as to permit the passage of the red particles, in the dissolved state in which they now exist in the blood, the consequence of there being no renewal of the component parts of this fluid, the
powers

powers of absorption and secretion being suspended.

The same states of the vessels are indicated by corresponding appearances of the urine. It is high-coloured and scanty, or more copious, ichorous, or bloody. The skin, in like manner, is dry and contracted, or relaxed and moist; and blood is sometimes mixed with the sweat.

All the hemorrhagies which occur in the advanced stage of typhus are of the same nature with those just mentioned, and are, for the most part, symptoms of great danger, both as indicating great debility of the circulation, and as the means of increasing that debility. In the worst cases of typhus, indeed, blood, or rather a thin serum in which more or fewer of the red globules are broken down and suspended, sometimes runs from almost every surface of the body, whether internal or external.

When blood thus extravasated is retained

tained beneath the cuticle, it forms the spots and stains called petechiæ, vibices, &c.

A greater degree of the debility which occasions these symptoms, gives rise to mortification, which appears in the worst kinds of fever, and which is to be regarded in no other light than a partial extinction of life; and accordingly we find it most common in the extremities, where the circulation is most feeble, or on the parts on which the patient rests, where it is apt to be interrupted by the pressure.

To a person acquainted with the symptoms of this disease, it is almost unnecessary to point out how exactly the various functions of the nervous system correspond with the state of the vital organs. Hence the uneasiness and confusion of mind which so constantly attend the foregoing symptoms. In proportion as the pulse loses its strength and becomes irregular, the mind becomes

becomes feeble and unsteady, till at length it is incapable of any exertion, and is carried along with whatever idea presents itself.*

Corresponding changes take place in the various organs of sense; depraved taste, smell, hearing, and sight, particularly the last, are among the most frequent symptoms of the advanced stage of fever. The taste and smell, indeed, are often depraved, independently of any affection of their nerves, from their peculiar secretions being vitiated.

The powers of voluntary motion must partake of the state of the nervous system. They become weak and irregular. Sometimes in particular parts of the body are wholly lost, or the muscles are affected with twitching and starting, and often with more violent convulsions. It is to be recollected that great debility of the brain disposes to convulsions. They supervene on syncope from a fatal loss of blood, and frequently

* Appendix, Note 42.

frequently on other states of great nervous debility.

As the debility encreases, a retension of urine, from a paralysis of the bladder, involuntary discharges of urine and fæces from that of the sphinters, loss of deglutition, &c. supervene. The cause of such symptoms is too evident to require any comment.

On the nature of those symptoms, which have given rise to the opinion of a putrescency of the fluids, it will be necessary to make some farther observations. Dr. Cullen, in the 86th paragraph of his First Lines, remarks, “that the production
“of human and marsh effluvia is favored,
“and their power encreased, by circumstances which favour putrefaction, and
“they often prove putrefactive ferments
“with respect to the animal fluids. As
“putrid

“ putrid matter, therefore, is always, with
 “ respect to animal bodies, a powerful
 “ sedative, so it can hardly be doubted
 “ that human and marsh effluvia are of
 “ the same quality; and it is confirmed
 “ by this that the debility which is always
 “ induced seems to be in proportion to the
 “ other marks that appear of the power
 “ of those causes;” and, in the 105th para-
 graph, he observes, “ the symptoms denot-
 “ ing a putrescent state of the fluids are,
 “ 1st, With respect to the stomach, the loath-
 “ ing of animal food, nausea and vomiting,
 “ great thirst, and a desire of acids.

“ 2d, With respect to the fluids: 1st,
 “ The blood drawn out of the veins not co-
 “ agulating as usual: 2d, Hemorrhagy
 “ from different parts, without marks of
 “ increased impetus: 3d, Effusions under
 “ the skin, or cuticle, petechiæ, maculæ,
 “ and vibices: 4th, Effusions of yellow se-
 “ rum under the cuticle.

“ 3d, With

“ 3d, With respect to the state of the
“ excretions, fœtid breath, frequent loose
“ and fœtid stools, high-coloured turbid
“ urine, fœtid sweats, and the fetor and
“ livid colour of blistered parts.

“ 4th, The cadaverous smell of the whole
“ body.”

From what has already been said the reader will perceive that the foregoing symptoms are readily accounted for without supposing any other putrescent state of the fluids than that which necessarily arises from the debility of the vital powers.

The first set of symptoms, the loathing of animal food, nausea, vomiting, thirst, and a desire for acids, arise from a failure or vitiated state of the secretions, are common to all kinds of fever, and as strongly marked in synocha, in which no putrescency of the fluids can be suspected, as in typhus.

Of the second set of symptoms, I have
R. already

already had occasion to observe, that the hemorrhagies and effusions so common in malignant fevers are readily accounted for by the relaxed state of the solids, and the blood being thinner than in health, a change that naturally arises from the powers of absorption and secretion being impaired, and the blood consequently partaking of the change it undergoes when these cease altogether. While the animal lives, however, this change never goes so far in the circulating fluids, as to occasion fetor in them.

With respect to the remaining symptoms, when the various functions are much impaired, the contents of the stomach and alimentary canal stagnate. These form no part of the living body. They are as apt to become putrid as the same matter out of the body exposed to the same degree of heat and moisture, unless the antiseptic fluids are supplied to check this tendency. They therefore become putrid in fevers of
long

long continuance, and the more readily if there be present a considerable portion of bile which is a septic.

A similar change takes place on the surface of the body. The failure of the due secretion and absorption there, causes a stagnation and putrefaction of the natural moisture. Hence the putrid smell of the sweat. This also happens in parts which have been blistered; in ulcers, &c. so that it is not difficult to account for the cadaverous smell of the body, without supposing any putrefactive ferment in the circulating fluids. And if those labouring under typhus are more subject to gangrene than people in health, this only proves that in them the vital powers are more languid, and consequently apt to fail. *

II. *Of the Remote Causes of Fever.*

Respecting the causes of fever it will
only

* Appendix, Note 42.

only be necessary to shew that they are all such as occasion debility of the vital organs, and that all causes which debilitate these organs produce fever.

All causes which excite violent and long-continued exertions of the animal organs are found to produce this disease. Any exertion of the muscles of voluntary motion too long continued, excessive venery, long watching, intense study, violent passions, pain, or any other species of fatigue, are among the most powerful causes of fever; and we shall find, in speaking of the treatment of this disease, that from whatever cause it may have arisen, every thing which excites the animal organs tends to increase and prolong it, because they cannot answer the stimulus without farther exhausting the vital organs. These causes I would term indirect, because they act through the medium of the animal organs.

The other causes of fever act immediately

ately on the vital organs. The most simple of these are such as tend to deprive these organs of their usual stimulus. This may be done either by abstracting part of the blood, or by preventing the formation of a due quantity. Thus it is that profuse evacuations, long fasting, and all diseases which prevent for a certain length of time the necessary supply of chyle, occasion fever.*

Other causes of fever operate by applying to the vital organs a preternatural stimulus, the immediate effects of which are followed by debility. Thus the excessive use of opium, † or fermented liquors, occasions fever. If the quantity of these is only such as to stimulate, without subsequent debility, fever does not take place. The pulse indeed becomes stronger and fuller, and the heat is increased, but the suspension of the secreting powers, and the other symptoms of fever, do not follow,
and

* Appendix, Note 43.

Note 44.

and the diseased state of the pulse and increased heat are only temporary. The noxious matter is soon expelled by the excretories, and the heart and blood vessels regain their healthy action. But if the preternatural excitement goes on till it occasions debility in these organs, the secretions fail, and all the symptoms of fever gradually shew themselves.

To the same head, the causes of fever acting directly on the vital organs, belong the most frequent of all these causes, cold and contagion. To be convinced that these act by debilitating the vital organs, we have only to take a view of their immediate effects, and of the circumstances in which they are most apt to excite fever.

It would be superfluous to adduce any proofs of cold below a certain degree being a debilitating power. * This degree is different at different times, according to the
state

* Appendix, Note 45.

state of the body, and the circumstances under which the cold is applied.

A degree which is easily resisted by a strong and vigorous habit, will debilitate a feeble one, and an uniform application of cold continued only for a short time, and applied at a time when the body has not previously been exposed, at least for a considerable time, to a much higher temperature, will be resisted; when a more partial, or longer application of cold, especially after exposure to a high temperature, will occasion debility. Damp clothes are the most pernicious mode of applying cold, probably from its being confined to the surface where the circulation is most apt to fail; * while a cold air is applied equally to the surface and to the lungs; † and from its being of long continuance. Such are the circumstances under which cold is most apt to debilitate the vital powers, and precisely under

* Appendix, Note 46.

† Note 47.

under these circumstances it is found most apt to occasion fever.

Dr. Cullen observes, in the 94th paragraph: “The circumstances of the cold applied, which seem to give it effect,” that is, in producing disease, “are, 1st, “The intensity, or degree of the cold: 2d, “The length of time during which it is “applied: 3d, The degree of moisture at “the same time accompanying it: 4th, Its “being applied by a wind or current of “air;” this circumstance seems only to operate by occasioning a more sudden and partial abstraction of heat: “5th, Its being “a vicissitude, or sudden and considerable “change of temperature from heat to cold.

“The circumstances of persons rendering them more liable to be affected “by cold, seem to be, 1st, The weakness “of the system, and particularly the lessened vigour of circulation, occasioned “by fasting, by evacuations, by fatigue,
“by

“ by a last night’s debauch, by excess in
“ venery, by long watching, by much
“ study, by rest immediately after great
“ exercise, by sleep, and by preceding dis-
“ ease: 2d, The body, or its parts, being
“ deprived of their accustomed coverings:
“ 3d, One part of the body being exposed
“ to cold while the rest is kept in its usual,
“ or a greater, warmth.

“ The power of these circumstances
“ is demonstrated by the circumstances
“ enabling persons to resist cold. These
“ are a certain vigour of constitution, exer-
“ cise of the body, the presence of ac-
“ tive passions, and the use of cordials.”
To the powers enabling the body to resist
cold, Dr. Cullen adds, the presence of other
impressions and habit. These of course
tend to obviate the effects of all agents.

With respect to contagion, little ac-
quainted as we are with its nature, we know
that it is a debilitating power. This appears

from the sources from which it originates, from its immediate effects, and from the states of body most favourable to its operation.

Many diseases, the small pox, measles, &c. we never see produced by any other cause but contagion; and it was a question once much agitated among physicians, whence these diseases originated, for the contagion seems to arise from the disease itself. The question seems only capable of being answered by the supposition that such diseases, though afterwards propagated by their peculiar contagions, are at first produced, independently of contagion, by a concurrence of causes which do not often take place. Hence we see in the history of medicine accounts of contagious diseases disappearing, and at some future period again shewing themselves, or of others appearing in their stead.*

What-

* Appendix, Note 48.

Whatever may be the difficulty of tracing the source of many contagious diseases, that of typhus is sufficiently apparent. A very simple concurrence of causes is capable of producing this disease. It may arise in any crowded and ill-ventilated place, (even brutes are subject to it under these circumstances *), or from putrid effluvia applied in certain quantity, and for a certain length of time, from whatever cause.

The first effects of the contagion of fever, as we might have expected from the nature of these sources, are symptoms of debility. At the commencement of a contagious fever there is a general prostration of strength, the pulse is small and feeble, the extreme parts of the circulating system performing their functions very imperfectly. The mind is unsteady, and the vigor of the muscles of voluntary motion much impaired. †

We

* Appendix, Note 49.

† Note 50.

We have seen that general debility, however induced, may occasion fever; it will not, therefore, seem surprising that all causes of debility are favourable to the operation of contagion; fear, and other depressing passions, or the debilitating effects of the more powerful exciting passions, a scanty diet or intemperance, indolence or fatigue, a very close and warm, or a cold damp atmosphere, render the body more liable to infection. Even the temporary debility from the stomach being empty, and sleep, in which the circulation is more languid than when we are awake, nay, the slight debility occasioned by a disgusting odour, have been observed to produce the same effect. On the same principle convalescents are found more liable to infection than people in health.

These remarks are well illustrated by the observations of a variety of writers, and particularly by Dr. Lind, in his Treatise
on

on Fevers and Infections. He observed, that those who had been slightly exposed to contagion often escaped the fever, if not soon after subjected to the action of debilitating causes; and that many who were recovering from contagious fevers, their bodies not yet free from the contagion, had the fever renewed by such causes.*

When, on the other hand, we take a view of the means of preventing infection, we find that all those which have proved at all efficacious are such as tend to support the vigor of the vital organs, equanimity and confidence, a generous but moderate diet, in which is included a proper quantity of wine, regular exercise, an interesting but not fatiguing application of mind, tonic medicines judiciously administered, that is, in such a way as shall not oppress the stomach nor disorder the bowels,
and

* Appendix, Note 51.

and the cold bath so employed as not to overpower the strength.

From the foregoing cursory view of the causes of fever, it appears that they are all such as more or less directly debilitate the vital system. The immediate operation of some appears to be on the centre of this system, the heart and larger blood vessels; of others on the circumference, the capillary vessels; and of some equally on all parts of the system.

When the debilitating cause acts on the extreme parts of the vital organs, we find the symptoms of debility slight, and the excitement which succeeds comparatively great, the cause of which is sufficiently evident. The debility of the extreme parts of the circulation is never so immediately felt throughout the system as that of organs more immediately essential to
life,

life, and the vigor of the heart and larger vessels remaining unimpaired, they are readily excited to increased action by the retention of what should have been thrown off by the capillaries. Thus it is that increased excitement is the characteristic feature of fever from cold, whose operation is on the extreme parts of the sanguiferous system.

When, on the other hand, the debilitating power is applied to the heart and large blood vessels, the symptoms of debility must be great, compared with the degree of excitement; for the debilitating cause being applied to the organs most immediately necessary to life, its effects are quickly felt in every part of the system; * and the debility of the extreme parts of the sanguiferous system being secondary, the retention of the matter which should be excreted by them is neither so sudden nor so complete

as

* Appendix, Note 52.

as in the former case, nor are the heart and larger vessels, with which the debility originated, in a state so well fitted for excitement, as when the debilitating cause operates only on the capillaries. Hence it seems to be that in fevers from contagion, debility is the leading feature.

It may be difficult to prove that the first operation of contagion is on the heart and larger vessels, yet the arguments afforded, both by the symptoms which characterise the commencement of typhus, and by analogy from the phenomena of other contagious fevers, leave little room to doubt it. The small pox, for example, when the contagion is very active, commences with a train of symptoms so similar to the commencement of typhus, that they can hardly be distinguished, but it commences with the same train of symptoms, whether it is received in the natural way or by inoculation.

Now

Now in the inoculated small pox we are assured that the first impression of the contagion is on the heart and large vessels, for the fever does not commence till matter is formed in the inoculated part and received into the sanguiferous system by means of the absorbents; and it would seem that in typhus and the natural small pox a certain time is required, as in the inoculated small pox, before the contagion, to whatever part applied, occasions the secretion of such a matter as, when absorbed, shall produce the disease.*

In fever from the third set of causes, those which act equally on every part of the vital organs, we do not perceive either the excitement or debility so predominant at the commencement, as the one or the other is in the preceding cases. Thus in fever from a poor and scanty diet, for example, or from chronic diseases, which

T impede

* Appendix, Note 53.

impede the due formation of chyle, the symptoms gradually shew themselves without any very marked debility or excitement; for here all the vital organs being equally and gradually debilitated, there is no sudden retention of the excreta to excite to powerful action the heart and larger vessels, nor are they, from partaking of the debility, in a state to be greatly excited; and, on the other hand, as no debilitating power is suddenly applied to the heart and larger vessels, the sudden debility which attends the commencement of typhus is not observed.

III. *Of the Treatment of Fever.*

If we admit the accuracy of the preceding observations, the principles which should conduct the treatment of fever are sufficiently obvious, and the practice at which we thus arrive, we shall find experience has pointed out to be the best. It has,
however,

however, been unsteady, complicated, and ill-defined, owing, as far as I can judge, to these principles having been mistaken, or but imperfectly understood.

We have seen that the debility of the vital system may be induced not only by debilitating powers immediately applied to it, but also by too great and long-continued excitement of the animal organs. We hence infer that this debility having taken place, any excitement of these organs must increase it.

We have also seen, that debilitating powers immediately applied to the vital system, produce fever, whether they make their first impression on its circumference or central parts; and in endeavouring to restore its vigor, we shall find, that the means of cure admit of the same division.

It appears, from what has been said, that although the circumference of the
vital

vital system is always in a state of debility in fever, the centre is often in that of increased excitement, namely, while sufficient excitability remains in the heart and larger vessels to occasion a preternatural excitement of them by the stimulus of the retained excreta. We shall, therefore, find that while at all periods of fever the means of exciting the circumference of the vital system are indicated, those of exciting the centre are only proper in the advanced stages.

It farther appeared, as in the case of inflammation, that although the increased excitement of the heart and larger vessels is evidently favorable to the restoration of vigor in the capillaries, yet it will often, when there is great difficulty in exciting the capillaries, and thus expelling the preternatural stimulus, become so violent and be so long continued as to occasion debility of the central parts of the vital system, instead

stead of restoring circulation to its circumference; or, if this be in some degree effected, it is more than compensated by the subsequent debility of the centre, which soon extends to the circumference. Under these circumstances, so far from employing means further to excite the heart and larger vessels, our practice must be directed to restrain their action.

In the treatment of fever, then, there are two indications which may be termed general, because they apply to all fevers and to all their periods, namely, to avoid the excitement of the animal organs, and to excite the circumference of the vital system; and two, which may be termed partial, because they apply only to certain states of fever, namely, to diminish the excitement of the heart and larger vessels when it is above the due degree, and to increase it when it falls below that degree.

The

The first indication in fever, then, is to remove every cause which may excite the animal organs. Of this indication little need be said. Every one knows of what importance it is in all kinds of fever to keep the patient quiet and still, and, when the symptoms are severe, whether those of synocha or typhus, to avoid as much as possible impressions of every kind. Not merely the excitement of the different organs of the senses, as they are termed, but much heat, the irritation occasioned by food in the stomach and bowels, when the powers of digestion are suspended, or too long a retention of the natural excretions of the latter, the continuance of thirst, in short, every thing that excites sensation, is injurious.*

To the second general indication belong many of the most important parts of the treatment of fever. It has from the
infancy

* Appendix, Note 54.

infancy of medicine been observed, that increasing the action of the skin often removes fever; but it is only lately that we have been taught how this ought to be done. When the patient was confined to a hot room, and loaded with bed cloaths, if a sweat were induced it was the consequence of relaxation from the exhausting effect of the temperature, not of a return of vigor, and so far from being accompanied with the good effects of spontaneous sweating, it almost always did harm, by leaving the skin in a more debilitated state than before its appearance, not to mention the injury done by the painful impressions occasioned by this mode of treatment.

We now excite the action of the surface by forcibly propelling the blood towards it by emetics, or by diaphoretic medicines, particularly the preparations of antimony and some neutral salts; for
it

it is to be observed, that the means which excite the natural action of the skin are much more powerful in relieving fever than those which produce sweat, during which this organ seems always to be rather in a state of relaxation than of vigor,* we succeed better with tartrat of antimony than with the compound powder of ipecacuanha; and, for the same reason, we succeed better by the application of cold than by the heating regimen. I need not here point out the great advantage of cool air in fever, and the excellent effects of the application of cold water, employed in the manner recommended by Dr. Currie.†

In warm weather the skin is more relaxed; in cold weather it is more vigorous. Even when the relaxation occasioned by warm weather goes so far as to produce sweat, the secreting power of the skin

is

* Appendix, Note 55.

† Note 56.

is not so great as under a due degree of exercise in a cold atmosphere. This appears both from the state of the urine, from which, as I have explained in a note just referred to, the degree of activity of the skin may be ascertained, and from the greater appetite in the latter case. Now a state of fever comes in place of exercise, it supports the proper warmth of the body under the application of cold to its surface, and secures the good effects of the latter; for the application of cold to the surface is never proper in fever when the temperature falls below the healthy degree, and is most successful when it is considerably above it, provided the skin is dry. In short, it is most successful in fevers in the same circumstances in which it is found in health most to excite the action of this organ, only in the one case the increased temperature is supported by exercise, in the other by a

preternatural stimulus applied to the heart and blood vessels.

Analogous to the office of the skin, the external surface of the animal system is the office of its internal surface, if I may use the expression, the alimentary canal; and such is the sympathy between these surfaces, that if one is languid the other is affected in the same way; and if we excite either, we at the same time, in a greater or less degree, increase the action of the other. If the bowels are constipated, we find the skin dry and shrunk; as soon as the bowels are restored to action, the skin becomes soft and moist, and vice versa, except when, the secretion by the skin being suddenly stopped, the fluid which should have passed by it is thrown on the bowels, applying to their vessels a stimulus which prevents their inactivity.

We might therefore, a priori, have
expected

expected considerable advantage in fever from exciting the bowels, not to mention that their vessels form so large a portion of the circumference of the vital system. Every physician must have observed the excellent effects of supporting the due action of the bowels in fever, particularly in its early stages; but no other writer has placed this subject in so clear a point of view as Dr. James Hamilton,* of Edinburgh. I have found the most decided advantage from exciting catharsis to the extent which he recommends.

Such are the chief means of exciting the capillaries in fever. Others have been proposed, particularly rubbing the surface with warm oil, which, in certain fevers, is said to be very successful. Of this, which appears a doubtful practice, from the heat and irritation which must attend it, we have had no experience in this country.

In

* Appendix, Note 57.

In the early stages of almost all fevers which last above a few hours, it is necessary, with the preceding means, to have recourse to such as are calculated more directly to lessen the increased action of the heart and larger vessels, and consequently the debility which succeeds this action.

As the means which excite the capillaries tend to throw off the cause of preternatural excitement in the heart and larger vessels, and as by the evacuation which they occasion they tend to reduce the volume of the blood, the remedies we have just been considering, it is evident, with their other good effects, must reduce the excitement, and are sometimes sufficient for this purpose.

We more directly diminish the stimulating quality of the blood, by diluting it by a plentiful supply of any mild fluid, and by the use of acids and certain neutral salts, which seem to act rather in this way than

as diaphoretics, particularly acetat of kali, and nitrat of foda.

When these fail sufficiently to diminish excitement, we are obliged to have recourse to venesection, the most powerful means of diminishing both the volume and stimulating quality of the circulating fluids; for it is to be recollected, that as the red blood is abstracted by this operation, the whole mass is rendered thinner and less stimulating. The precautions to be kept in view in the employment of venesection, and the circumstances which render them indispensable, I have detailed at length in the first volume of my Treatise on Fevers.

Our view in the commencement of fever, is not to reduce the action of the heart and larger vessels to the natural standard. This would be obviating the means which we have seen the nature of the circulation affords for removing the cause of the disease. It is only our object
to

to lessen excitement when it goes beyond the degree most favorable to this end; for we observe in fever, that when the excitement, in consequence of the difficulty of restoring action to the capillaries, runs very high, the heart and larger blood vessels themselves are soon debilitated, and the disease assumes a more formidable appearance, the various symptoms which indicate a general debility of the vital system supervening; but that, when their action is restrained, so that a longer continued though more gentle stimulus is applied to the extreme vessels, it more frequently proves successful.

If however the means employed for this purpose be so injudiciously directed as to reduce the excitement of the heart and larger blood vessels more than is necessary to insure the requisite continuance of that excitement, a double injury is done. Not only the stimulus necessary to the excitement

ment of the capillaries is removed, but the debility of the heart and larger vessels is hurried on, and the fever soon begins to assume its worst form. Hence the incalculable injury done by the indiscriminate use of the lancet, till lately so common in the commencement of fevers. *

Physicians seem insensibly to be substituting a free discharge by the bowels, for venesection, at this period of the disease; and this change has not arisen from any reasoning on the subject, but from experience having taught them, that it is the more successful practice. The nature of fever itself, however, might have pointed it out. It must appear, even at first view, of how great importance it is to save the strength in this disease, and particularly to preserve the mass of blood as entire as possible, at a time when the system is incapable of forming more.

Now

* Appendix, Note 58.

Now by catharsis, only the thinner and less important part of the blood is abstracted; by venesection, the most important, both because it is the most essential to vigour, and the most difficult to be renewed. Every motive dissuades us from having recourse to the latter, while it is in our power, as in almost all the fevers, properly so called, of this country, to produce the desired effect by catharsis.

These arguments in favor of catharsis are sufficient, not to mention, that by this evacuation, as I have just had occasion to observe, we directly rouse to action a large portion of the debilitated capillaries, and by sympathy tend to excite those of the skin.

It is not to be denied that venesection is sometimes necessary at the commencement of simple fever. In tropical climates, in particular, the excitement is often so excessive, that if allowed to go on it will
even

even in a few hours reduce the system to a state of extreme debility. Here catharsis is too feeble a remedy; and, precarious as the alternative is, we must abstract the more stimulating and vital parts of the blood.

To this peculiarity of the fevers of such climates we may chiefly, perhaps, attribute their great fatality; and such is the perplexity which it has occasioned to practitioners, that we find the best and most experienced in doubt whether the effects of the excitement, or of the means necessary to relieve it, are most to be dreaded.* If we might venture to give our opinion from what we observe in the fevers of this country, we should say, that most of those who have written on the fevers of tropical climates have been too sparing in the use of cathartics, which are indicated, not merely for the reasons just pointed out, but by the peculiar

x

peculiar

* Appendix, Note 59.

peculiar state of the biliary system, which almost always attends the fevers of warm climates.*

To the state of increased excitement, whatever be the mode of treatment, or nature of the fever, if it last above a day or two, always succeeds that of debility. The action of the heart and larger vessels now falls below, as in the first stage of fever it rises above, the healthy degree. If we cannot sufficiently excite them, the debility of the circumference increasing with that of the central parts of the vital system, the powers of life are at length lost in the former, and death gradually extends to the centre.

This part of the treatment of fever seems at present the most defective; no writer, as far as I know, having laid down any rules by which the treatment of what
may

* Appendix, Note 60.

may be called the second stage of fever, can be confidently regulated.

The feeble state of the circulation and the temporary good effects of powerful stimuli have led most physicians, and particularly those of later times, to employ them with great freedom. Many, however, confess that they have been disappointed in their effects; * of this number, I can feel no hesitation in declaring myself to be one. I have found the second stage of fever most tractable when all powerful stimuli were avoided.

It is true, indeed, that large quantities of opium, or wine, will often give a degree of vigour, increasing the strength and lessening the frequency of the pulse. But these effects are transitory. It is soon necessary to repeat the remedy, and at length to increase its power, in order to procure the same effects; and this transitory vigour
seems

* Appendix, Note 61.

seems frequently obtained at the expence of exhausting the strength, which, had it been more carefully husbanded, might have carried the patient through his disease.

Were I to state the result of my own experience in the second stage of fever, it would be, that opium is only useful when small doses allay irritation, and procure composure, if not sleep; and that wine is rarely beneficial if given in larger quantity than might be taken in health without subsequent debility, and can seldom, perhaps, be given without injury even to this extent,

Whether there are states of fever in which large doses of these stimuli may be of advantage, it is difficult to say. In extreme debility, when the patient is almost in *articulo mortis*, a strong stimulus may sometimes, perhaps, by rousing the languid system, be the means of preserving life. I have frequently seen the experiment made
with

with temporary, never with permanent good effects.

Is there reason to expect permanent good effects from increasing the quantity of oxygen in the air which the patient breathes? *

There is another class of stimuli employed in the second stage of fever which have obtained the name of tonics, which occasion less, but more permanent excitement, and on these accounts seem better adapted to this disease.

Some of these, particularly the cold infusion of bark, or, if this occasion heat and irritation, † that of colomba, with a little wine, if of the stronger kinds diluted, and, when there is any appetite, light food, taken in small quantities at a time; ‡ a careful attention to cleanliness, ventilation, and the state of the bowels, a cool atmosphere, and when the temperature of the
body

* Appendix, Note 62.

† Note 63.

‡ Note 64.

body is decidedly above the healthy degree, the application of cold water to the surface, form, according to my experience, the most successful treatment of the second stage of fever.

It is hardly necessary to observe, that I speak here of the general plan of treatment. Various remedies are occasionally indicated by the appearance of certain symptoms arising from local affections, the treatment of which, as they are not essential to fever, do not fall under the general plan of cure.

Universal experience has ascertained, that the more powerful means of exciting the capillaries, if we except the application of cold to the surface, should form no part of the treatment of the second stage of fever, the cause of which is sufficiently evident. With this exception, they all necessarily occasion more or less evacuation,
which

which ought, now, as much as possible to be avoided.

In the first stage of fever, our view is to restore tone to the capillaries; in the second, to the whole vital system.

APPENDIX.

NOTE 1.—IT was soon observed by physiologists, that the animal body, by its peculiar mechanism, tends to correct any injury it may sustain, and to expel any noxious matter introduced into it. If, instead of food, indigestible substances be received into the stomach, they are generally rejected by vomiting, or expelled by an increased peristaltic motion of the bowels. If these are injured by the passage of such substances, other motions are excited to repair this injury. If a thorn is introduced under the skin, inflammation and suppuration ensue, and the discharge of matter continues till the whole of the offending substance is washed out. This property of the animal body has long been known in the schools by the name of the *vis medicatrix naturæ*; and in medical reasonings, very unwarrantable uses have been made of it.

It would appear, at first view, that the attempt to ascertain whether a disease be an effect of the *vis medicatrix*, must be an important and very necessary inquiry, that we may not counteract its salutary efforts: but the difficulty of ascertaining what symptoms are to be referred to its agency,
and

and still more the uncertain tendency of these symptoms, which are sometimes too feeble to overcome the cause of the disease, and sometimes so violent as to endanger life, has rendered this inquiry of but little use in practice. Were we, for instance, to trust to the salutary efforts of nature when poison is received into the alimentary canal, we should find, in many instances, that the motions excited would not be sufficient to expel it. In others, these motions would become so violent and long continued, that they would exhaust the strength, after the cause which excited them was removed. In the one case, we must, by artificial means, increase the efforts of nature, in the other, restrain, and at length allay them. In short, we must use the means which our knowledge of the animal œconomy teaches us will speedily, and without injury to the system, expel the offending cause; and we trust no further to the operations of nature than we find them conducive to these ends.

It appears, indeed, from the works of those times, when the operations of the *vis medicatrix* were most studied, that this study has rather injured than improved the practice of medicine. It not only induced Physicians to rely too much on the efforts of the system, and rendered them averse to the use of active medicines; but it threatened to put a stop to all rational inquiry respecting the nature of diseases. Every symptom, which could not otherwise be accounted for, was referred to the operations of this power; and Physicians supposed they had sufficiently explained the nature of a disease when they said it was an effort of the *vis medicatrix*.

But supposing them right in this conjecture, it would have gone but a short way towards ascertaining the nature of the disease. To return to the illustration of poison, it would be of little use for us to know that it is expelled by an effort of nature, if we are not at the same time informed of the steps by which nature expels it. Without this knowledge could we at all understand the disease, or regulate the efforts, excited ?

Besides, those who wish to abridge their labour by a reference to the *vis medicatrix naturæ*, forget that it is only after we understand the nature of a disease that we can say whether it is a salutary effort of the system or not. They assume principles for the purpose of explaining the nature of a disease, at a knowledge of which they cannot arrive until its nature is understood. The result of our inquiry may be, that it is an effort of the *vis medicatrix*; but it is impossible that any reference to this power should assist us in the inquiry.

One would naturally suppose that when Physicians had convinced themselves that all diseases were only efforts of nature, to expel some noxious agent, or restore vigour to some debilitated part, they would have thought it worth their while to inquire by what steps she effected these ends. But this was too severe a task. Accustomed to expatiate in the regions of fancy, they could not stoop to the painful attention which an unbiassed observation of nature demands, nor be satisfied with the slow advances which an inquiry of this kind admits of.

But although they were confined on one side, on another there

there was still sufficient room for conjecture; although they could not explain the manner in which the *vis medicatrix* operates, they could speculate on the nature of this power. Hence arose the celebrated doctrine of Stahl and his followers, who maintained that the *vis medicatrix* is the immediate operation of the mind, which, independently of any influence necessarily communicated to it by the state of the body, perceives, by its own intelligence, the injuries done to the body, and excites such motions as are calculated to correct these injuries and obviate their consequences. In the present day it would be very superfluous to enter on any refutation of an hypothesis so unfounded and inconsistent. Even to spend time in stating it, would require some apology, did we not find among its advocates such men as Mead, Gaubius, and Porterfield.

NOTE 2.—In the yellow fever of America, Dr. Linning observes, that the urine often shews the critical sediment on the very first day, which he uniformly found a bad symptom; and the more copious the sediment was, the worse, he remarks, was the prognosis. See Dr. Linning's Letter to Dr. Whytt on the Yellow Fever of South America, in the 2d Volume of the Essays and Observations Physical and Literary.

One of the most fatal fevers, of which we have any account, is the Ephemera Britannica described by Caius; the leading symptom of which was a profuse flow of sweat, from which it received the name of Sudor Anglicus. See Caius, De Ephemera Britannica.

NOTE 3.

NOTE 3.—Sweats of this kind, Dr. Jackson informs us, are often the forerunner of death in the yellow fever of Jamaica. Other tropical writers make similar observations, and even in this country no Physician can practice long without seeing them confirmed.

NOTE 4.—If the reader has seen the account of Dr. Brown's hypothesis, in the first volume of my Treatise on Fevers, he may probably remark, that its merits are there estimated more highly than in the work before him. The truth is, from its having been the favorite system among the students of the University of Edinburgh, at the time I studied, I had conceived a strong prejudice in favor of it before I was capable of estimating its merits, and it was long before I could persuade myself that it had in fact made no real addition to our knowledge.

The passages I shall have occasion to quote from Dr. Brown's works, I shall give from his own translation of the *Elementa Medicinæ*, corrected by Dr. Beddoes.

NOTE 5.—In the following notes the numbers refer to the paragraphs of Dr. Brown's Elements of Medicine, and the Greek letters to the sections of the paragraphs.

For the sense in which Dr. Brown uses the term excitability, see α , α_1 , α_{II} , α_{IV} , &c.

NOTE 6.—See Dr. Brown's Elements of Medicine, paragraph CLXVII.

NOTE 7.

NOTE 7.—See Dr. Brown's Elements of Medicine, paragraph XIX.

NOTE 8.—Dr. Brown supposes the action of all stimuli to be the same, differing only in degree; he, however, divides them, in different parts of his work, in three different ways, into universal and local, diffusible and natural, or durable, and direct and indirect. “The effects common to all the
“exciting powers, are sense, motion, mental exertion, and
“passion. Now these effects being the same, it must be
“granted that the operation of all the powers is the same.” See xv. “Stimuli are either universal or local. The uni-
“versal stimuli are exciting powers, so acting upon the ex-
“citability as always to produce some excitement over the
“whole system. The appellation of universal is convenient
“to distinguish them from the local. The local stimuli act
“only on the part to which they are applied, and do not,
“without previously occasioning some change in it, affect
“the rest of the body.” See xvii, α , ϵ , γ .

Dr. Brown uses the terms natural, or durable stimuli, in contradistinction to diffusible, by which he means the stronger stimuli, such as distilled spirits, musk, volatile alkali, æther, opium, &c. See civ, cv, cvi, cxxvi, cxxx, ϕ , and ccxc.
“The stimulus of the articles of diet, not exclusive of the
“diffusible stimuli, should be denominated direct, because
“it acts directly, and immediately on the excitability of the
“part to which it is applied. Direct stimulus, at least, in so
“far as it regards the food, is assisted by another stimulus
“depending

“ depending upon distension of the muscular fibres, on which
 “ account, for the sake of distinction, the latter should be
 “ called indirect. The latter is owing to the bulk of animal
 “ and vegetable food, the former is produced by a relation or
 “ affinity of the stimulus to the excitability. The indirect
 “ acts upon the living solids in so far as they are to be consi-
 “ dered as simple. The direct act upon them as living only.”
 See CXXVII, CCLXVIII, &c.

NOTE 9.—I have here altered, a little, the language of
 Dr. Brown, by substituting living solid for excitability, as
 the exciting powers cannot be said to act on what he has de-
 fined to be a quality. His words are, “ The effect of the ex-
 “ citing powers acting upon the excitability may be denomi-
 “ nated excitement.”

NOTE 10.—See Dr. Brown’s Elements of Medicine,
 XXIV, and various other passages.

NOTE 11.—“ The sedative affections, as they are called,”
 Dr. Brown observes, “ are only a less degree of the exciting
 “ ones; thus fear and grief are only diminutions or lower
 “ degrees of confidence and joy, not passions different in
 “ kind. The subject of the passions admits of the same rea-
 “ soning in every respect as that of heat; and in the same
 “ manner, all the bodies in nature that seem to be sedative,
 “ are debilitating, that is, weakly stimulating, inducing de-
 “ bility by a degree of stimulus inferior to the proper one.”
 Dr. Brown’s Elements of Medicine, XXI. 7.

NOTE 12.

NOTE 12.—“ If the property which distinguishes living
 “ from dead matter, or the operation of either of the two sets
 “ of powers” (that is, either the external agents, or those which
 exist in the body itself) “ be withdrawn, life ceases; nothing
 “ else than the presence of these is necessary to life.” Dr.
 Brown’s El. of Med. XIII.

NOTE 13.—“ Whether the excitement has been in-
 “ creased or diminished in a particular part, and whether its
 “ diminution has been owing to direct or indirect debility,
 “ and in either way the sthenic diathesis has been produced,
 “ all the rest of the body soon follows the kind of change
 “ which has taken place, because the excitability is an uni-
 “ form, undivided, universal property of the system.”
 CLXVII. “ And must we, giving up our fundamental princi-
 “ ple, after so compleat an establishment of it, allow that the
 “ excitability is not the same uniform, undivided property
 “ over all the system,” &c. CCXXXII. See also a note be-
 longing to this paragraph. Dr. Brown, however, admits, that
 although the excitability is always affected in the same way
 in all parts of the system, yet it is affected in a greater degree
 in that part on which the stimulus acts than in any other.

NOTE 14.—See Dr. Brown’s El. of Med. XVIII, XXIV,
 XXXIX. In one of these paragraphs he observes, “ This mu-
 “ tual relation obtains between the excitability and excite-
 “ ment, that the more weakly the powers have acted, or the
 “ less the stimulus has been applied, the more abundant the
 “ excita-

“ excitability becomes. The more powerful the stimulus, the
 “ excitability becomes the more exhausted.” In xxxix, he
 observes, “ in this case the excitability becomes abundant;
 “ because in consequence of the stimuli being withheld, it is
 “ not exhausted,” &c. See what is said of sleep in ccxxxix.

NOTE 15.—See Dr. Beddoes’s Observations on this part
 of the Brunonian System, in the Introduction to his edition
 of Dr. Brown’s Elements of Medicine.

NOTE 16.—“ The debility arising from defect of stimu-
 “ lus may be called *direct*, because it is not produced by any
 “ positive noxious power, but by a subduction of the things
 “ necessary to support life.” XLV. For an account of this
 species of debility, see various parts of the Elem. Med. par-
 ticularly the xxxviii and eight following paragraphs.

NOTE 17.—“ The excitability thus exhausted by stimu-
 “ lus constitutes debility, which may be denominated *indi-*
 “ *rect*, because it does not arise from defect, but excess of
 “ stimulus.” xxxv. For an account of this species of debi-
 lity, see a variety of passages in the Elem. Med. particu-
 larly the xxviii and ten following paragraphs.

NOTE 18.—See an account of the diseases of debility,
 Elem. Med. from DIII. to DCXCV.

NOTE 19.—See Elem. Med. CCLI. In cccxxviii. Dr.
 Brown

Brown observes, "to every sthenia, to all sthenic diseases, increased excitement over the whole system is a common circumstance; it appears during the predisposition, in an increase of the functions of body and mind; and after the arrival of disease, in an increase of some of the functions, a disturbance of others, and a diminution of others, in such sort that the two latter phenomena are easily perceived to arise from the noxious powers that produce the former, and to depend upon their cause." See an account of diseases of excitement from CCCXXVIII to CCCCLIII.

NOTE 20.—See *Elém. Med.* cvi, &c. and the mode of treatment in sthenic diseases from CCCCLIII to DIII.

NOTE 21.—In DCLXXXVIII, Dr. Brown observes, "In direct debility, where the redundancy of excitability does not admit of much stimulus at a time, ten or twelve drops of laudanum every quarter of an hour, till the patient, if, as is usually the case in such a high degree of debility, has wanted sleep long, falls asleep. Afterwards, when some vigour is produced both by that and the medicine, and some of the excessive excitability worn off, a double quantity of the diffusible stimulus should be added, and in that way gradually increased, till the healthy state can be supported by stimuli, less in degree, more in number, and more natural."

NOTE 22.—In DCLXXXVII, Dr. Brown observes, "when
 2 " indirect

“ indirect debility has had more concern in the case, as in
“ agues, or more continued fevers, occasioned by drunkenness;
“ and in the confluent small-pox; the same remedies are to
“ be employed, but in an inverted proportion of dose. We
“ should consequently set out here in the cure with the largest
“ doses, such as are next in effect to that degree of stimulus
“ which produced the disease; then recourse should be had
“ to less stimuli, and a greater number of them, till, as was
“ said just now, the strength can be supported by the accu-
“ tomary and natural stimuli.”

NOTE 23.—See *Elem. Med.* ccl., &c. If it be said, that the Brunonian system does not suppose that stimuli necessarily occasion a greater degree of excitement when the system is in a state of direct debility than in health, what shall we understand by the excitability being accumulated in the former case? Is there any other test of the excitability's being accumulated but the greater degree of excitement produced by the same stimuli? It is true, indeed, Dr. Brown often loses sight of this, one of the fundamental principles of his system.

NOTE 24.—In various experiments, related in the Appendix to the fourth volume of my *Treatise on Febrile Diseases*, I had occasion to throw a strong solution of opium, or tobacco, into the heart. In all of them this organ immediately became paralytic.

NOTE 25.—I have found, by repeated experiments, that the convulsions excited by opium and tobacco only exhaust the excitability of those muscles which are affected by them; and that the exhaustion is not in proportion to the quantity of opium which the animal takes, but to the force and frequency of the convulsions it excites. The convulsions excited by opium are of the same kind as those in tetanus. During the intermissions the slightest touch will renew them; and although the animal dies in nearly the same time, when the quantity of opium taken is the same, whether he is subjected to such an irritation as constantly renews the convulsions, or is allowed to remain at rest, yet in the former case the excitability of the muscles of voluntary motion is found more impaired in proportion as the convulsions have been more frequent.

NOTE 26.—I have found on applying a solution of opium to the muscles of the leg of a frog, that they have immediately lost their excitability, while that of every other muscle of the body remained unimpaired, and this, whether the animal was dead or alive.

If a solution of opium is thrown into the heart of a frog, the excitability of the muscles of the limbs will be found much impaired after death. But this I have found is neither owing to any action of the opium on these muscles, nor to any sympathy between their excitability and that of the heart, but, analogous to the result of an experiment above alluded to, (Note 25,) to the convulsions excited in them.

When

When the aorta is either divided or secured by ligature before injecting the solution into the heart, no convulsions ensue, and the excitability of the muscles of the limbs remains as entire as after any other death equally lingering; for the convulsions which take place in this experiment, when the aorta is neither divided nor secured, do not arise, as has been supposed, from any sympathy existing between the heart and the other muscles of the body, but from the solution being conveyed by that vessel, and immediately applied to the brain. See the experiments related in the Appendix to the fourth volume of my Treatise on Febrile Diseases.

NOTE 27.—One set of the organs, indeed, on which the vital functions depend, are subject to exhaustion, the intercostal muscles and diaphragm. These, as I shall presently have occasion to observe more particularly, (Note 31.) are as completely muscles of voluntary motion as those of the limbs. The slight degree of exhaustion which takes place after each of the moderate contractions of these muscles in ordinary respiration, is sufficiently restored by the interval of rest which intervenes between these contractions, during which the stimulus which produced them is removed. The above muscles form a medium of connection of great importance between the vital and animal functions. They are the only muscles employed in what has usually been termed the vital functions, whose stimulus acts through the medium of the brain. In all other instances the stimulus is directly applied to the muscle
itself;

itself; and in all, the muscle is one of involuntary motion. On this peculiarity of the muscles of respiration many phenomena of disease seem to depend. We shall see, in a succeeding note, in what way it influences the symptoms of apoplexy. It seems to be the cause of death in this, and in most other diseases in which the injury is confined to the brain; for it would appear from experiment, (Note 32.) that no injury of the brain can directly destroy the action of the heart.

It is a question which has been much agitated among physiologists; why some muscles are subject to the will, while others are independent of it; and it has been supposed, that some of the nerves passing through ganglia is the cause of this difference. But would not a more evident explanation of it occur, were we to find on enquiry, that all the muscles of voluntary motion are excited through the brain, all the muscles of involuntary motion by stimuli, immediately applied to the muscle itself? See Note 31.

NOTE 28.—It would be more accurate here, and in other places, if custom permitted it, to follow chemical writers in the use of the term caloric. Expressing, by the same term, both the sensation, and that which causes it, is a frequent source of inaccuracy. The same objection applies to the use of the word cold: we want a term expressive of the abstraction of caloric.

NOTE 29.—Fever seems to be the only general disease properly so called. All other diseases are either simple local affections,

affections, or local affections complicated with a general affection. The only diseases which can be mistaken for simple general diseases, are affections of the heart and brain.

A local affection of the brain is known by a greater degree of delirium than can arise from the fever which attends it, or by coma, or convulsions. A local affection of the heart is known by palpitation, or syncope. When these symptoms occur in fever, the case is to be regarded as one of general and local disease combined.

NOTE 30.—It would seem, at first view, that animal enjoyment would have been much increased had nature enabled the vital to afford the animal organs so copious and constant a supply of excitability, that they should never have suffered exhaustion. What organs would have been necessary for this purpose we know not; but a little reflection will teach us, that animal enjoyment would no otherwise have been increased by these means than by prolonging the life of the animal. Temporary insensibility gives no interruption to enjoyment; for it is to be recollected, that as far as relates to the animal itself, perfect sleep has no duration.

NOTE 31.—I have had occasion to observe in a preceding note (27.) that if it should be found that all the muscles of voluntary motion are excited through the medium of the brain, of involuntary motion by stimuli immediately applied to the muscles themselves, we should hence have an easy solution
of

of the question, why the former are independent of the will, and the latter subjected to it. But what is of much more importance, we should be enabled to explain many phenomena of disease, the nature of which seems obscure, and, in some degree, inconsistent with the general laws of the animal economy.

As far as respects the principal muscles of either class, there can be no difference of opinion: every one admits that the muscles of the limbs are muscles of voluntary motion, and that they are stimulated through the medium of the brain; and that the heart and blood-vessels are muscles of involuntary motion, stimulated by the blood which is immediately applied to them. But besides these two classes of muscles, physiologists suppose that there is a third class, which, partaking of the nature of the other two, are partly muscles of voluntary, and partly of involuntary, motion.

Dr. Gregory, in the 11th chapter of his *Conspectus Medicinæ Theoreticæ*, after enumerating what he supposes to be the muscles of voluntary, and those of involuntary motion, observes, “Medium fere locum inter occupant respirationis muscoli, septum scilicet transversum, muscoli abdominis, et qui inter costas jacent, et quotquot cum costis ita conjunguntur muscoli, ut horum contractio eas vel firmare, vel elevare vel deprimere possit.” To these most physiologists would add certain muscles which Dr. Gregory regards as muscles of involuntary motion; those of the rectum and bladder, and their sphincters.

It is true that none of these muscles are either so perfectly

fectly subjected to the will as those of the limbs, nor so independent of it as the heart and blood-vessels: on a closer view, however, it will appear, I think, that this is not owing to any peculiarity of the muscles themselves, but to the nature of their functions; and that they are all in the strictest sense either muscles of voluntary motion, excited through the medium of the brain, or of involuntary motion, excited by stimuli immediately applied to them.

Can we give any definition of a muscle of voluntary motion, but that whose action we can renew, interrupt, retard, and accelerate at pleasure? This definition applies as strictly to the muscles of respiration as to those of the limbs: nobody feels any difficulty in renewing, interrupting, retarding and accelerating their action as often as he pleases. We cannot, indeed, interrupt it for a considerable length of time, but this is not from any want of power over the muscles, but for the same reason that we are obliged to call the muscles of the arm into action when a candle is held to the fingers; in both cases we are unable to bear the sensation excited, and instinctively call into action the muscles which remove it. It is true that the action of the muscles of respiration continues during sleep, but it is for the same reason that the action of the muscles of the limbs is exerted during sleep, when a continuance of the same position causes uneasiness. The muscles of respiration, then, are muscles of voluntary motion, whose action is habitually excited, by a peculiar sensation, and consequently through the medium of the brain.

Over the rectum and bladder, on the other hand, the will has no direct power, and they are never excited except by the stimulus of their contents. It is true, indeed, that they are to a certain extent subjected to the will; but it is through the intervention of other muscles, which are altogether muscles of voluntary motion. We can increase the stimulus of their contents when they are of a certain bulk, and thus call their muscular fibres into action, by gently pressing them against these contents by the action of the abdominal muscles; and every one, with a little attention, may find, that without acting with those muscles, he is quite unable, at any time, to excite the action either of the bladder or rectum. And so connected is the action of the abdominal muscles with a stimulus applied to the rectum or bladder, that when it is considerable, they are called into action against every effort of the will, in the same manner, that the whole of the muscles of voluntary motion are called into involuntary action by the operation of an emetic.

We can also, at pleasure, interrupt the action of the rectum and bladder, if the stimulus which excites it is not very powerful; but neither is this, by any direct influence, exerted on their muscles themselves, but through the medium of their sphincters, both of which are muscles of voluntary motion. It will be evident, to any one who will make the trial, that he can, at pleasure, excite, interrupt, retard, and accelerate the action of these muscles. The circumstances which lead us at first view to suppose that we do not possess this power, are, that their range of action is very limited; and their anta-

gonists, the muscles of the rectum and bladder, only acting occasionally, they remain for the most part in a state of gentle and uniform contraction, in the same way as happens to the muscles of the one side of the face, when their antagonists, those of the other side, have become paralytic; or to the flexors of the limbs, when the extensors are divided; and this state of gentle contraction is as readily increased at pleasure in the sphincters, as in the muscles of the face and limbs. We have no means of lessening it, either in the one case or the other, except by acting with the antagonist muscles. In short, the sphincters of the rectum and bladder are muscles of the same nature with the orbicularis oris; only the range of action in the two former, partly from their being of less extent, and partly from their having fewer antagonist muscles, is more limited. They are called into action for the purpose of closing the cavities of the rectum and bladder, when our sensations teach us that the contents of these cavities are discharged, and consequently are stimulated through the medium of the brain; every one feels, as I have just had occasion to observe, that he can make this effort when he pleases, unless the stimulus applied to the rectum and bladder is so great as to overcome the efforts of volition.

It appears, then, that all the muscles of the body are either muscles of voluntary motion, excited through the medium of the brain, or muscles of involuntary motion, excited by stimuli immediately applied to the muscle itself.

By the foregoing observations some light seems to be thrown on the pathology of apoplexy. If the muscles of respiration

spiration continue to act in apoplexy, while those of the limbs have lost their power, it is not that the one set of muscles is more affected than the other, but that a powerful stimulus is applied to the brain, tending to excite the one and not the other. The patient breathes in apoplexy for the same reason that he moves his limbs when symplicisms are applied to his feet; a due supply of air being withheld from the lungs excites the muscles of respiration, in the same way that irritating the feet excites the muscles of the limbs; and if he continues to breathe after no motion can be excited in the limbs, it is because the stimulus which excites us to breathe is stronger than any artificial stimulus we can employ. Men have held their hands in the fire till they were burned off; no man ever voluntarily interrupted his breathing till he became insensible. But as the quantity of the stimulus necessary to excite the muscles of voluntary motion, as it acts through the brain, must be greater in proportion as the sensibility of this organ is lessened, respiration is performed more slowly in apoplexy than in health.

I speak of apoplexy, properly so called, of the disease which is induced, for example, when a man in health receives a blow upon the head; not of the disease which has obtained the same name arising from gluttony, the excessive use of wine, &c. where derangement of various kinds takes place; and the affection of the brain is often not the primary disease.

From the respiration being performed more slowly than in health, the change which the blood undergoes in the lungs
is

is less perfect, and hence the blood stimulates the heart less powerfully; for it has been found, by various experiments, that by this change, whatever it be, for its nature seems by no means to be ascertained, notwithstanding all that has been written on this subject, the blood is enabled to stimulate the left side of the heart. Thus it is, that in apoplexy the pulse is affected, although it appears, from what is said in the following note, that the most severe injury the brain can sustain does not directly influence the motion of the heart. The pulse becomes slow and oppressed, because the heart must be more distended by the blood, before it is excited to contract, in proportion as the blood has become a worse stimulus. The insensibility increasing, the muscles of respiration at length cease to be roused to any action; the change which the blood should undergo in the lungs is thus wholly prevented; the heart, consequently, being no longer supplied with a stimulus capable of exciting it, ceases to beat, and the animal dies. Is there not reason to believe, that an apoplectic might be preserved for some time by inflating the lungs?

In the foetal state, the animal lives independently of the brain, because the circulation in the placenta, which is performed by muscles of involuntary motion excited, not through the medium of the brain, but by stimuli immediately applied to them, serves the office of respiration.

NOTE 32.—The experiments here alluded to, I have made both on the warm and cold blooded animals. The reader will find them detailed in the Appendix to the fourth volume

volume of my Treatise on Febrile Diseases. It appears from them, that neither chemical nor mechanical irritation of the brain can directly influence the motion of the heart.

In these experiments the interruption of respiration, of course, very soon in the warm, and in no great length of time in the cold-blooded animals, weakened, and at length destroyed the motion of the heart; and when the muscles of voluntary motion were thrown into violent contractions, the heart beat more frequently. The same effects would have been produced had the muscles of the limbs been excited, and the respiration destroyed by any other cause.

It was impossible, by any irritation of the brain, to excite irregularity of motion, or in any other way directly to affect the action of the heart. Even the instantaneous and total destruction of the nervous system seemed not at all to affect the motion of this organ.

After making a hole in the cranium, and another in the lower part of the spine, in several frogs, the thorax was laid open and the motion of the heart carefully observed. A strong solution of opium in water was then injected through the hole made in the cranium in such a manner, that it passed along the spinal marrow, and part of it came out by the hole made in the spine; a mode of applying opium employed by Dr. Monro, to shew how instantaneously the nervous system may be destroyed by this drug. The animals were immediately deprived of voluntary motion, and appeared quite dead; but the motion of the heart was not in the least affected: it continued with the same frequency and vigour as before the injection of the solution.

The

The strongest chemical and mechanical stimuli were applied to the brains of rabbits without producing any effect on the motion of the heart but that which arose from the convulsions of the muscles of the limbs; and after the death of the animals, it continued to beat with perfect regularity, gradually becoming weaker, as necessarily happened in consequence of the ceasing of the respiration.

I made similar experiments to ascertain how far the muscular coat of the intestines, which, next to the heart, may be regarded as the most important muscle of involuntary motion, can be influenced by stimuli applied to the brain, from which it appeared, that no irritation of the brain could at all affect its motion; while the muscles of the trunk and limbs were agitated by the most violent spasms, the peristaltic motion of the intestines remained wholly unaffected; its degree and regularity continued the same whether the stimulus was applied or withdrawn.

It has been asserted, that the motion of the heart may be affected by opium applied to distant parts of the body, and that opium applied to the heart will affect distant parts, through the medium of the brain. These opinions seem to have been founded on mistaken inferences from certain experiments which admit of a different explanation. But to enter on this subject, and the experiments by which the opposite opinions are, as far as I can judge, unequivocally established, would lead into too long a discussion. I must, therefore, refer the reader for it to the Appendix just alluded to.

A question may here occur, perhaps:—If the peristal-

tic

tic motion of the bowels is uninfluenced by the state of the brain, why is apoplexy almost uniformly attended by constipation? The rectum, we have seen, is never called into action without the previous excitement of the abdominal muscles, and these are only called into action in consequence of a peculiar sensation. (31.) It is not difficult, therefore, to explain the constipation which accompanies apoplexy, where both sensation and the power of the muscles of voluntary motion are so much impaired. The contents of the rectum not being regularly expelled, the whole intestines become inactive, and their contents soon acquire a greater than due consistence by the absorption of the thinner parts.

Why the heart and alimentary canal should be insensible to mechanical and chemical stimuli applied to the brain, and yet so sensible to mental stimuli, we shall never, perhaps, be able to explain.

As it would appear from the foregoing experiments, that we cannot influence the motion of the heart by the most powerful stimuli applied to the brain, so in tracing, as far as we can, the formation of the animal body, we find reason to believe that the former organ and some of its vessels perform their functions before the nervous system exists. The heart and umbilical vessels are the first parts observed in the formation of the chick in the egg. See Malpighi, *De Ovo Incub.* and Harvey, *De Generat Animal.* And in viviparous animals, the heart is vigorous while the brain is but imperfectly formed; and, indeed, as has already been observed, in monstrous cases, where the brain is never formed. When these observations

observations are compared with what we see in the perfect animal, that by means of the sanguiferous system the various parts of the body are constantly nourished and renewed, does it not seem probable that the power of the heart and vessels is employed in the original formation of the other organs?

NOTE 32.—That the heart is not wholly insensible, we know from the pain which sometimes attends its diseases. Thus, in the *Anatomia Practica* of Bonetus, we find cases in which considerable pain was excited in the heart from worms fixing themselves on it. Lib. II, Sect. 8, Obs. xix, Obs. xxv, § 2, &c. In other instances, however, mentioned by the same writer, the heart sustained the most severe injuries unattended by pain. In the section just referred to, a case is related, in which a worm was found, on dissection, adhering to the right ventricle. It was the cause of death; yet the only remarkable symptom was violent palpitation returning at intervals. Obs. xxv, § 3. See also Sect. 10, Obs. vii. The same writer gives cases of ulceration of the heart unattended by pain; some unattended either by pain or palpitation. Lib. II, Sect. 10, Additamenta, Obs. ii. In one instance, in which the patient died with only the usual symptoms of bilious fever, with a very intermitting pulse, a calculus was found in the heart. Lib. II, Sect. 19, Obs. xxxix. The reader will find similar cases in Morgagni's *Epistle on the Diseases of the Heart*, in his work, *De Caus. et Sed. Morb.* But not having this work by me, I cannot refer to the particular passages.

NOTE 33.

NOTE 33.—It is said, that in fœtus born without the brain the nerves are larger than usual; and it has been supposed by some, that in such cases they perform the functions of the brain. When, however, we consider the nature of these functions, no conjecture can appear more improbable. All the phenomena of the nervous system seem to prove that the brain alone is the active part of it, the nerves being little more than means of conveying its influence in the one direction, and in the other, the impressions which excite it.

NOTE 34.—That all causes debilitating the vital system are most felt in the extreme parts of it appears, from a great variety of phenomena, and seems to arise from two causes.

1st, The excitability of the capillaries being more readily exhausted than that of the heart and larger vessels. This is very observable in making experiments on living animals. I have found, with the assistance of a microscope, that a degree of irritation, which produces no sensible effect on a vessel of, perhaps, the twentieth part of an inch in diameter, deprives the capillaries of all power. Thus, rubbing the skin, or gently warming it, will so far exhaust the power of the capillaries that they will yield beyond the healthy degree to the *vis a tergo*, and become distended with red blood. It is only in this way we can explain the result of some experiments of Dr. Fowler in his *Th. de Infl.*

2d, The nature of the circulation. Although the power inherent in the arteries themselves tends, doubtless, to propel

pel the blood through them, yet it appears from the pulse that the influence of the heart is felt throughout the whole arterial system, and is a chief means of exciting its action.

A debilitating cause, then, applied to the heart, is most felt in the capillaries, because they are most distant from this organ. A debilitating cause applied to the whole circulating system, is most felt in them, both because they are most distant from the heart, and because their excitability is more easily impaired than that of any other part of this system.

NOTE 35.—The *vis a tergo* supplies the stimulus which excites every part of the sanguiferous system. The heart contracts in consequence of being distended with blood thrown into it by the veins. The arteries are excited by the blood propelled into them by the heart, and its impulse is supported by the power of these vessels, and conveyed to the extreme parts of the circulating system. Thus the capillaries are excited; and when by any cause their excitability is impaired, an increase of this natural stimulus is the most probable means of rousing them to action.

In inflammation, for example, in which the excitability of the capillaries is impaired, as may readily be seen with the assistance of the microscope, the larger vessels of the inflamed part are excited to increased action. The natural stimulus which excites the capillaries being thus increased, the inflammation is often removed.

The difference between inflammation and fever seems only to be, that in the latter the debility of the capillaries is
 general,

general, in the former it is confined to one part. In the one the debilitated vessels are greatly distended, because the increase of the *vis a tergo* is great, compared with the number of vessels debilitated; in the other, the whole capillaries being debilitated, the distending power bears a much smaller proportion to the resisting. When, however, the increased action of the heart and larger arteries is great, the state of the capillaries in fever approaches to that of the capillaries of an inflamed part, the various surfaces appearing redder, more turgid, and much hotter than natural. Hence it is, that in this state of the system inflammation so readily supervenes. For if any cause occurs to add to the debility of the capillaries of a particular part, distension more readily ensues than when the action of the heart and larger vessels is less excited.

NOTE 36.—A debilitating cause acting on the central parts of the sanguiferous system, we have seen, is soon felt in its circumference. Experience also evinces, what the general laws of the animal œconomy, indeed, would have led us, a priori, to suppose, that debility of the circumference is always more or less felt in the central parts of this system. The diminished vigour of the secreting organs, therefore, is always attended with general debility till the retained excreta have accumulated in sufficient quantity to apply a preternatural stimulus to the heart and larger vessels.

NOTE 37.—In the Introduction to the second part of my Treatise on Febrile Diseases, I have endeavoured to prove,
by

by direct experiment, that inflammation consists in the debility of the capillaries, and that it can only be removed by restoring their action. The larger vessels of inflamed parts are in a state of increased excitement, and the additional stimulus thus given to the capillaries appears to be the means which nature uses to remove the disease. The nature of fever, I have had occasion to observe in a preceding note, seems to differ from that of inflammation only in the one being a general and the other a local affection. All the differences of their symptoms, as well as modes of treatment, may easily be traced to this cause. In the first stage of both, our view is to excite the capillaries, and thus restore the due balance of excitement between them and the larger vessels. In the second stage of these diseases, our view in both, is to restore tone to the whole vital system. When the inflammation has assumed a tendency to gangrene, and the pulse has lost its firmness, and when synocha has changed to typhus, the principle of the treatment is the same.

NOTE 38.—So much does the appetite depend on the presence of the gastric fluid in the stomach, that we may at will destroy the appetite, as I have found by experiment, by clearing the stomach of it. We have reason to believe, that in complete anorexia there is no secretion of this fluid. I have found the stomach in such a case wholly destitute of it.

NOTE 39.—This state can be regarded in no other point
of

of view but as that of general, though moderate inflammation of the surfaces. Did it appear in only one particular surface, nobody would hesitate to give it this name. See Note 35.

NOTE 40.—The consequence of a more rapid circulation is, that the blood is sent more frequently through the lungs, and thus becomes more arterious. From this cause, and from the blood's being propelled in greater quantity into the superficial vessels during the hot fit of fever, the colour of the skin is more florid than natural. By these causes also the sensibility is increased. On the same principles, we readily account for the paleness and diminished sensibility in the cold stage of fevers, in which the powers of the circulating system being impaired, the blood becomes less arterious, and is impelled with less force towards the various surfaces. It is to be observed, that these causes operate doubly, on the parts affected and on the brain, on the state of which the sensibility of every part depends. From the latter operation arises the feebleness of the muscles of voluntary motion in the cold, and their irregular vigour in the hot stage, of fever.

NOTE 41.—The frequency of the breathing is *cet. par.* proportioned to the rapidity with which the blood passes through the lungs. Hence it is that the breathing sometimes becomes extremely slow towards the fatal termination of many diseases, particularly of those in which the sensibility is much impaired. I have seen a patient under these circumstances

circumstances breathe only once in three or four minutes for the last quarter of an hour of his life.

NOTE 42.—Language has been defined to be the means by which we communicate our ideas to each other; but it also seems to be the chief means of their arrangement, and even the source of a large proportion of them. We find, that those who have always been deaf, and consequently dumb, are generally of weak intellects, unless they have obtained some substitute for language; and a large proportion of them are idiots. This will not appear surprising when we consider attentively the advantages we derive from language. Words are originally the mere signs of our ideas; but, like the letters in Algebra, which are the signs of quantities, other uses are made of them besides that of merely representing our ideas. By habit, the words themselves acquire certain relations towards each other, and we use them without recalling to the mind the particular ideas which belong to them. We have once satisfied ourselves, that certain ideas bear certain relations to each other. These relations are unconsciously transferred to their signs, and an endless labour is thus saved. We are thus enabled to go through long trains of reasoning with ease, which, without such signs, would to the strongest mind be impossible. To return to the illustration of Algebra, words are used in reflection and conversation, as letters are used in equations; we have once given to each its particular signification, and we combine and separate them in a thousand

sand different ways, without recalling the ideas they represent till we arrive at the conclusion, perhaps not even then. Let any one attempt to pursue a train of reasoning of any length without the assistance of words, and he will find it impossible. He will find it much the same thing as going through a long arithmetical calculation without the assistance of figures. In this way, language enables us to compare our ideas, and by supplying us with results, at which we could not otherwise arrive, is the source of many of them.

When we make no use of language in thinking, the trains of ideas pass through the mind with great rapidity, and the reasoning power is very feeble. This seems generally to be the state of our minds in dreaming. The rapidity with which ideas often pass through the mind in sleep appears, at first view, incredible. Dr. Gregory used, in his *Physiological Lectures*, to relate the case of a lady, who was subject to dreams while engaged in the common occupations of life; their duration was so short that they often passed unobserved by those who conversed with her; yet it required much time to give an account of the crowd of ideas which in so short a time had passed through her mind. "The rapidity of the succession of transactions in our dreams," says Dr. Darwin, *Zoonomia*, Vol. I. p. 205, "is almost inconceivable; in so much, that when we are accidentally awakened by the jarring of a door, which is opened into our bedchamber, we sometimes dream a whole history of thieves, or fire, in the very instant of waking." This rapidity is too great to permit us to compare our ideas, so that the most incongruous

do

do not seem at all wonderful. We are not surprised to find ourselves suspended in the air, because our ideas pass with too great rapidity to permit us to recollect that this never happened before. But when we converse in our dreams, the charm is dispelled, the rapidity of our ideas is arrested, and the train of thought becomes as consistent with our experience as in our waking hours. This I have often remarked, and on mentioning it to others, have found they had made the same observation.

Many of the phenomena of delirium, as far as I am capable of judging, may be accounted for on the same principles. But there seems to be this difference between dreaming and delirium, that in the latter although the rapid succession of ideas is prevented, we are incapable of comparing them together so as to draw the proper inferences.

NOTE 42.—In considering the symptoms of fever, I have of course confined myself to those which properly belong to it. With regard to a variety of symptoms from local affections which sometimes accompany it, as these form no part of this disease, it was not necessary for me to say any thing of them. The nature of many of these local affections, however, tends to throw light on that of the primary disease. By far the most common, are inflammations of various kinds. How nearly the nature of inflammation and that of fever are allied, I have already endeavoured to point out; and their frequent concurrence seems to confirm what was said on this subject.

NOTE 43.

NOTE 43.—Hence it seems to be, that obstruction of the mesenteric glands soon occasions fever of however indolent a nature the obstruction may be, while that of other glands seldom has this effect till they become inflamed.

NOTE 44.—That opium only affects the state of the pulse in consequence of its being received into the system by the absorbents, and immediately applied to the heart and blood-vessels, is ascertained, as far as I am capable of judging, by a variety of experiments which I made with a view to determine this point, to which I have already had occasion to refer. And the strong analogy we observe, in every instance, between the effects of opium and fermented liquors, leaves little room to doubt that their mode of operation is the same.

NOTE 45.—Much has been said of the effects of cold; and on the question, whether it is to be regarded as a stimulus or sedative. The observations I have had occasion to make on the effects of agents in general on the animal body, will, I believe, be found strictly applicable to those of cold. All agents, it was observed, occasion either excitement or atony, according to the degree in which they are applied; but the degree of atony which a large quantity of any agent produces, is in no particular proportion to the degree of excitement produced by a smaller quantity of the same agent; some agents being better fitted to occasion excitement, others to occasion atony. Now if we compare the effects of cold with those of opium or wine, we should say that its stimulant bears but a

small proportion to its sedative effects. It is only within a very limited range that it acts as a stimulus: compared with these, therefore, it deserves the name of sedative. But if, on the other hand, we compare its effects with those of agents still less capable of producing excitement, of tobacco, for instance, for the same reason it deserves the name of stimulus; and in this way, I believe, all that has been said on the subject may be reconciled.

NOTE 46.—The circulation, we have seen, is most apt to fail on the surface of the body, both because the excitability of the extreme vessels is more readily impaired than that of other parts of the sanguiferous system, and because being most distant from the heart, they are least influenced by the impulse communicated by this organ.

NOTE 47.—The application of cold air to the lungs is almost always invigorating, which seems to arise from two causes; as the temperature of the lungs does not vary like that of the surface, however cold the air we breathe, it is probable that the temperature in the lungs never falls below that range within which cold acts as a stimulus, (Note 45.) and the colder air being more dense, affords a more copious supply of oxygen.

NOTE 48.—The leprosy of the Jews, and other species of leprosy which raged in the twelfth and thirteenth centuries, are

are scarcely now to be met with; and we have a remarkable instance both of the production and disappearance of a contagious disease, in the *Ephmera Britannica*, described by Caius. (*Caius, De Ephmera Britannica.*)

The gangrenous sore throat, Allionius (*Allionii Tractatio de Miliarium Origine.*) observes, was scarcely known before 1610. The scarlet fever appears to be of still later origin. Prosper Martianus, who wrote about the middle of the seventeenth century, is among the earliest writers on this disease. It soon after made its appearance in London, and was described both by Sydenham and Morton; and, indeed, so little was it known at this period, that Morton does not always distinguish it very accurately from measles.

Even the small pox was unknown to the ancients. The Arabian Physicians are the earliest writers on this disease; and of those whose works have come down to us, Rhazes is the oldest who treats of it. The oldest writer on the small pox mentioned by Rhazes, is Aahron, who resided at Alexandria, in 640 of the Christian æra. Nearly the same observations may be made respecting the measles, although some assert that this disease was not unknown to the ancients. (See the Observations of Matthiew, in *Baldinger's Sylloge Select. Opusc. Vol. IV.*) The Arabian Physicians were certainly the first who accurately described it.

Most writers agree with Allionius, that the military fever which appeared at Leipsic in 1652, was the first fever of this kind of which we have any account. The apthous fever seems also to be one of late date, although the term apthæ frequently

frequently occurs in the works of the ancients. “ Nam quæ
 “ a priscis medicinæ conditoribus aphthæ describuntur, adeo
 “ a nostris diversæ sunt, ut toto cœlo distent.” (*Ketelaer, De Aphthis Nostratibus.* Dr. Dickson (*Transactions of the Royal Irish Academy, for 1787.*) says, that with the exception of one case related by Carolus Piso, he can find no distinct mention of the pemphigus before the days of Morton. Bursarius doubts, if the disease mentioned by Morton be the true pemphigus. The following description of it, however, seems to leave no room to doubt this. “ Febris synocha cum vesiculis per pectus et collum sparsis.” (*Morton, De Feb. Inflam.*) The plica polonica, as we find from the Address of the Polish Physicians to the University of Paris, also made its first appearance in the seventeenth century.

Dr. Ferriar, in his *Medical Observations and Reflections*, remarks; “ The yaws, the sibbens, and other national infectious disorders, afford strong proofs of the variety of animal poisons: and Mr. Hunter, in his excellent work on the lues, has given good reason for believing that new poisons are constantly produced among the poor of great cities.”

NOTE 49.—It is remarked by Dr. Fordyce and others, that many brute animals are subject to typhus, when crowded together in ill-ventilated places. It has been observed to arise among hogs, and more frequently among sheep.

It is remarkable, however, that typhus cannot be communicated from brutes to men, nor vice versa. “ Illud præterea notabile est venenum pestilentielle hominibus infestum

“ non

“non nocere brutis, et e contrario, brutorum pestem non nocere hominibus.” (See the Observations of Waldschmidt, in *Haller's Disp. ad Morb. Hist. et Cur. Pert. Tom. V.*) Nor does it appear that one species of brute can communicate it to another. It cannot, for instance, be communicated from hogs to sheep, nor vice versa. It is curious that the contagious fevers of white people are seldom communicated to negroes; and I have been informed by West-Indians, that there are among the negroes many contagious febrile diseases to which white people are not subject.

NOTE 50.—When the power of the contagion is very great, the system sometimes seems incapable of any effort; the retained excreta produce no increased excitement of the heart and larger vessels, their excitability seeming almost instantaneously destroyed by the action of the contagion. This has sometimes happened in the plague. It is almost unnecessary to observe, that such cases terminate fatally in a very short time.

NOTE 51.—Dr. Lind remarks, that he has often seen these observations confirmed in sailors after they had been for some time on shore. The fever, which seemed at first to be merely the effect of a debauch, or some such cause, soon assumed the precise form of that which raged in the ship they had left. They are farther illustrated by the observations of other writers, and particularly by what Dr. Rush says

says of his own situation while the yellow fever raged at Philadelphia. See his Account of this fever.

NOTE 52.—The effects of any injury done to the body depend as much on the nature of the part affected as upon the kind and degree of the injury. An extensive inflammation, for instance, may exist in the skin without much general derangement, but the slightest degree of inflammation in the stomach and bowels is immediately felt in every part of the system; and so true is this observation, that from the degree of general derangement which ensues on an injury being done to any organ, we might very accurately estimate its importance to the life of the animal. Thus affections of the mouth occasion less general derangement than those of the pharynx; of the pharynx, less than those of the œsophagus; of this organ, than of the stomach, which is the most important part of the alimentary canal. A similar observation applies to the affections of this canal, if we trace them from its opposite extremity to the stomach. Diseases of the rectum occasion less derangement than those of the higher parts of the great intestines; diseases of these, less than diseases of the small intestines; and of these, less than diseases of the stomach. The same is true of the diseases of the head and thorax; the more vital the part affected, we still find the general derangement the greater.

NOTE 53.—The time required for the contagion of
typhus

typhus to produce its effects is very various. Sometimes, though very seldom, they are almost immediate. In general the infected feel no symptom of the disease for two, three, or more days, and in some cases, but much more rarely, even for weeks after they receive the contagion.

NOTE 54.—As all the organs of the animal functions are supported by the action of the extreme parts of the vital system, which, we have seen, are always debilitated in fever, we are at no loss to account for the injury occasioned by every thing which tends to excite these organs, which cannot be done without calling into action, and thus farther exhausting, the capillaries.

NOTE 55.—It appears, from experiments related in the Appendix to the third volume of my Treatise on Febrile Diseases, which I originally made with a view to ascertain the circumstances that occasion a deposition of lithic acid from the urine, that from the state of this fluid we may ascertain the degree of vigour which prevailed in the skin during the time of its secretion. It appears from these experiments, that when the skin is inactive the urine deposits a large proportion of lithic acid, that as we increase the action of the skin the deposition of the lithic acid is lessened, and that when the skin is most vigorous no lithic acid is ever spontaneously deposited from the urine, however long it is kept.

From the same experiments it also appears, that the deposition of lithic acid from the urine is more effectually

pre-

prevented by the medicines which increase the insensible perspiration than by those which occasion sweat. The following are the observations subjoined to the experiments with diaphoretic and sudorific medicines. It is remarkable, that a small dose of tartar emetic more certainly prevents the deposition of lithic acid from the urine than a large one of Dover's powder, although producing a copious sweat, which may be accounted for in the following manner. It will appear, from what will be said hereafter, that the secretion of the matter which occasions the deposition of the lithic acid from the urine, depends not on the mere relaxation of the kidneys, but on their vigorous action. I should imagine that the same thing takes place in the skin, and that this matter is only separated by it, and thus prevented going off by the kidneys, in proportion to its activity; for it will afterwards appear, that the matter occasioning the deposition of lithic acid from the urine, also passes by the skin; and, indeed, from the experiments already related, we can hardly suppose otherwise. Now the effect of Dover's powder must in a great measure be attributed to the relaxation induced on the skin by the opium it contains; whereas the antimony seems only to increase the natural action of the skin.

To the same circumstances we must also attribute another difference in the effects of these medicines on the urine; while the Dover's powder, for the most part, produced no effect on it, after the sweat had ceased to flow, the antimony continued for several days after it was taken, in a greater or less degree, to influence the state of that secretion. I have

also

also repeatedly observed, that the deposition of lithic acid from the urine was not so effectually prevented by this medicine when it produced nausea, as when it produced no sensible effect; which is to be explained on the same principles.

NOTE 56.—Both the external and internal use of cold water in fever, was known to the ancients; but it is only lately that the former practice has been revived and demanded much attention. Washing the body with cold water in fevers, is said to have been first practised in modern times, at Breslau, in Silesia; (See a Dissertation entitled, *Epidemia Verna, quae Wratislaviam, anno 1737, afflixit, in Act. Nat. Curios. Vol. X.*) and it appears that the practice was followed in some of the neighbouring countries. The external use of cold water in fevers, however, has never been prevalent in Europe, perhaps, as Dr. Currie supposes, from the manner in which it should be regulated not having been understood.

Several late practitioners in warm climates, particularly in the West Indies, have employed it freely; and in 1786, Dr. William Wright, who had practised for many years in the island of Jamaica, gave an account of some cases of fever successfully treated by the affusion of cold water, in the London Medical Journal. Dr. Wright has since published some additional observations on the same subject, in a Letter to Dr. Garthshore, in the seventh volume of the Medical Facts and Observations, in which he gives an account of Dr. Gregory's manner of employing this remedy, and the

success which attended its use in the Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh; and in the second volume of Annals of Medicine, he again gives a favourable testimony of its effects in the fevers of the West Indies. Dr. Jackson, (See *his Treatise on the Fevers of Jamaica.*) and others, also employed it. Even the alternation of the warm bath and affusion of cold water has been practised in fevers, and it is said with good effect. But no other writer has bestowed so much attention on the external use of cold water in fevers, and so accurately observed its effects, as the late Dr. Currie. See his Treatise entitled, *Medical Reports on the Effects of Water, Cold and Warm, as a Remedy in Fever and other Diseases.*

NOTE 57.—Dr. Hamilton gives the following-account of his practice, and of the circumstances which led to it. Speaking of the *calx antimonii nitrata*, he observes: “ This
 “ antimonial remedy was not ineffectual; but I remarked,
 “ that it was beneficial only when it moved the belly. The
 “ stools were black and fetid, and in general copious. On the
 “ discharge of these, the low delirium, tremors, floccitatio, and
 “ subsultus tendinum, which had prevailed, abated in some
 “ cases; the tongue, which had been dry and furred, became
 “ moister and cleaner; and a feeble creeping pulse acquired
 “ a firmer beat.

“ Reflecting afterwards on these circumstances, it oc-
 “ curred to me, as the purgative effect appeared to have been
 “ the useful one, that any purgative medicine might be sub-
 “ stituted

“stituted for the *calx antimonii nitrata*; and that by this substitution, the unnecessary debilitation of an exhausted patient, by vomiting and sweating, might be avoided.

“More extended experience confirmed these conjectures; and I was gradually encouraged to employ purgative medicines early in typhus, and to repeat them in the course of the disease. And after having long and strictly directed my attention to this point of practice, I am now thoroughly persuaded that the full and regular evacuation of the bowels relieves the oppression of the stomach, and mitigates the other symptoms of fever.” See *Observations on the Utility and Administration of Purgative Medicines in several Diseases*, by James Hamilton, M. D. &c.

I might, from my own experience, were it necessary, add many cases and observations in confirmation of these remarks. But it is sufficient to refer the reader to the Appendix to Dr. Hamilton’s work, where he will find a sufficient number of cases to illustrate the effects of the practice there recommended. I shall therefore only add, that I have very generally seen good effects from spontaneous diarrhœa, even in the worst forms of typhus; an observation which obtruded itself upon me many years ago, when, with most other Physicians, I was prejudiced against the use of cathartics in this fever, except as far as was necessary for the regular expulsion of the fæces.

NOTE 58.—It is very remarkable, that in a disease of so much debility as typhus; blood-letting seems always to have

have been a favourite remedy. Galen and Celsus speak of it in the highest terms. Prosper Alpinus informs us, that the Egyptians let blood in all putrid diseases. Among the moderns, if we look into the works of Sydenham, Hoffman, Huxham, Mead, Hassenhorl, Eller, Pringle, Monro, and indeed all the best Physicians of their days, we shall find, that they recommend too indiscriminate a use of the lancet in fevers. Yet there is hardly any of these writers from whose works we may not collect sufficient evidence of its hurtful effects.

Huxham, in his work on Fevers, observes: "The first
 " blood in malignant fevers frequently appears florid; what
 " is drawn twenty-four hours after, is commonly livid, black,
 " and too thin; a third quantity, livid, dissolved, and sanious.
 " This is frequently the case in malignant fevers. I have
 " sometimes observed the crisis of the blood so broken, as to
 " deposit a black powder like soot at the bottom, the superior
 " part being a livid gore, or a kind of a dark green, and ex-
 " ceedingly soft jelly. Besides, the pulse in these cases, sinks
 " oftentimes surprisingly after the second bleeding; nay, some-
 " times after the first; and this I have more than once noted
 " to my great concern and astonishment, and that even when
 " I thought I had sufficient indications from the pulse to draw
 " blood a second time." In his Essay on the Ulcerous Sore
 Throat, he observes: "I have very often met with this buffy,
 " or sily appearance of the blood in the beginning of malig-
 " nant fevers, and yet blood drawn two or three days after,
 " from the very same person, hath been quite loose, dissolved,
 " and sanious as it were; too many instances of this lately
 " occurred

“ occurred to me among the French captives here, who died
“ by dozens of a pestilential fever. In this fever the French
“ Surgeons bled every day, or every second day; and I
“ several times saw the blood of some of the officers a mere
“ sanious gore, on the third or fourth blood-letting.”

On reading observations of this kind, we are inclined to ask, what were the advantages expected from blood-letting in these fevers, that such consequences should have been risked? To this question we have no answer but that which the favourite hypothesis of the time afforded, which taught, in opposition to every day's experience, that the cause of fever might be as it were drained off by blood-letting.

NOTE 59.—Mr. Clark, in his *Observations on the Diseases of Long Voyages to Hot Climates*, after relating the fatal terminations of three cases, in which blood-letting was employed to lessen violent excitement at the commencement of the remittent of sultry climates, observes, that he has since found it necessary to lay aside blood-letting in these climates, both at sea and on shore, except in cases of local inflammation.

NOTE 60.—The present practitioners of tropical climates, I have been informed, use cathartics more freely in fever than was done by most of those who have written on the fevers of these climates. On the cathartic effects of calomel in particular they place great reliance, and, indeed, seem often to trust almost solely to it. (57.)

NOTE 61.

NOTE 61.—When we see a patient labouring under symptoms of extreme debility, and find these symptoms almost uniformly relieved by a considerable quantity of wine, it is difficult, at first view, to persuade ourselves that the wine is pernicious; but an attentive observer will look beyond its immediate effects, and will then readily see sufficient reason to doubt the safety of this practice. He will find, that the temporary excitement he thus procured is succeeded by a greater degree of debility than that which the stimulus had removed, and if he perseveres in this plan, that in a large proportion of cases the pulse, upon the whole, will gradually become more frequent, and feeble, till it ceases altogether. These effects I have so often witnessed, that I cannot help thinking that almost any fever may be rendered fatal by a certain quantity of wine. And when we recollect that the excessive stimulus of wine is a frequent cause of fever, can we be surprised that the constant repetition of this stimulus should increase its symptoms?

Beside the apparent good effects of wine for a short time after its exhibition, Physicians have been led to an excessive use of it in typhus by another observation, the comparatively small effects it produces. That a pint of wine in typhus will not produce a greater effect than a glass in health, is adduced as an argument for the pint in the one case being as innocent as the glass in the other. But it is to be recollected, that wine in typhus, only produces less excitement than in health, in proportion as the remaining excitability is less, and, consequently, that a degree of excitement which would

would occasion little or no inconvenience in health, may produce a fatal exhaustion in typhus. Here there is no excitability to spare, and the first principle of the treatment seems to be as much as possible to prevent its farther exhaustion. A very moderate and uniform exhibition of stimuli seems often necessary, that the action of the central parts of the sanguiferous system may not fall too low to support that of the circumference, but all excitement beyond this seems to have no other effect but that of exhausting the little vigour which yet remains. See the Observations of Dr. James Hamilton on the Use of Wine in Typhus, in his work on Purgative Medicines.

There is a case, which, at first view, may be mistaken for the second stage of fever, in which I have witnessed excellent effects from powerful stimuli, that in which spontaneous gangrene appears in one or more parts, from the failure of the vital principle in particular parts of the capillary system. But here the various secreting organs do their office, and the action of the heart and larger vessels often differs little from that of health. In short, there is no general debility of the vital system, and there seems not the same risk in exciting it to increased action in order either to restore to vigour, or entirely to throw off, the debilitated part.

NOTE 62.—As in typhus the circulation, from the feebleness of the powers which support it, must be comparatively slow, the blood must pass less frequently through the lungs, and consequently be less subjected to the action of the oxygenous

genous part of the atmosphere than in health; and that this is really the case, appears from its being more venous. The effects of the less frequent passage of the blood through the lungs would probably, as far as respects the change occasioned by the oxygenous part of the atmosphere, be counteracted by supplying the patient with air containing a larger quantity of oxygen, in proportion as the circulation is less rapid. What effect correcting the venous state of the blood in typhus might have, it is difficult to say.

NOTE 63.—I have seldom found the powder of bark, although more efficacious than the infusion, tincture, or extract, well suited to the common continued fever of this country. It is apt to oppress the stomach, disorder the bowels, and prevent the return of appetite. When symptoms of malignity, and particularly when a tendency to gangrene appears, it seems to be a valuable medicine, and should perhaps be given in as large doses as the stomach can easily bear. In whatever form bark is given, its good effects seem generally increased, and it is rendered more grateful to the stomach by giving along with it some of the mineral acids, particularly the sulphuric acid, if there is a tendency to sweats which do not relieve the symptoms.

Both the mineral and vegetable acids, indeed, deserve the name of tonics; and in fever they sometimes seem more beneficial than any other we possess. In eastern countries the cure of fever is often trusted wholly to the juice of acid fruits.

When

When the appetite does not return readily, and the patient continues subject to renewals of feverish heat, I have found the more simple bitters, the gentian, for example, answer better than either the bark, or colomba.

NOTE 64.—We should not err much, I believe, by laying it down as a general rule, not to permit the use of animal food in any form while the pulse retains a considerable degree of frequency, and the patient continues subject to returns of feverish heat, whatever be the state of the appetite. I have found the disease more tractable since I followed this rule. Recovery seems to go on more uniformly, and the return of the strength, so far from being delayed, seems to be promoted by it, not to mention that the risk of relapse is much lessened. Dr. Fordyce, in one of his Dissertations on Fever, makes some excellent remarks on the use of solid animal food towards the favourable termination of fevers. “ Even
 “ after the disease has been terminated by a crisis, animal
 “ food, in a solid state, should be rejected, there being no
 “ cause which has produced relapses, so far as the author’s
 “ experience has gone, so frequently as using solid animal
 “ food too soon. Supposing even that a complete crisis should
 “ have taken place, and entirely terminated the disease, it
 “ ought to be at least five or six days before any solid animal
 “ food is ventured upon.

“ The author wishes to press this more strongly, because
 “ if a perfect crisis should take place, the appetite often re-
 “ turns, and the patient is left in a very weak state. It has

“ in this case been often conceived by the patient, and much
 “ more frequently by the by-standers, that solid animal food
 “ would restore his strength soon. It must, however, be
 “ remembered, that when a complete crisis takes place, and
 “ carries off the fever entirely, the depression of strength,
 “ which was a symptom of the fever, ceases, and the weak-
 “ ness which was produced by the exertions and derange-
 “ ments of the faculties of the system, is no longer increasing;
 “ and that the patient, with very moderate nourishment, and
 “ the sleep and rest, which are so apt to ensue after the fever
 “ has been completely carried off, will have his strength re-
 “ stored in a very short time, without using any thing that
 “ shall run any risk of re-producing the disease.”

Although the patient should be restricted both with re-
 spect to the quality and quantity of his food, he should be
 allowed to eat as frequently as he pleases; and when the
 appetite begins to return, he should be reminded not to be
 too long without taking something. This both prevents the
 risk of his eating too much at one time, and the lowness which
 generally attends an empty stomach when there is any appe-
 tite. “ Perhaps,” says Sir John Pringle, “ there is no rule
 “ more necessary than never to let the patient, when low,
 “ remain long without taking something cordial or nourish-
 “ ing; as I have seen men, once in a promising condition,
 “ sink past recovery, by being allowed to pass a whole night
 “ without any support about the time of the crisis.” See Sir
 John Pringle’s *Treatise on the Diseases of the Army*.

6-3-28

