Contents

AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH SERVICE

Rules and Regulations

Cattle destroyed because of brucellosis, tuberculosis, or paratuberculosis; payment of indemnities ... 12082

Proposed Rule Making

Anti-hog-cholera serum and hog-cholera virus; handling ... 12102

AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT

See also Agricultural Research Service; Consumer and Marketing Service; Rural Electrification Administration.

Notices

California, etc.; designation of areas for emergency loans ... 12106

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

Notices

United States Radium Corp.; filing of petition ... 12111

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

Notices

Nonpriority and domestic service mail rate cases; order to show cause ... 12111

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

Rules and Regulations

Health, Education, and Welfare Department; excepted service ... 12081

Programs for specific positions and examinations; miscellaneous amendments ... 12081

COAST GUARD

Notices

New London Harbor; notice of closing during launching of "Pargo" ... 12106

COMMERCE DEPARTMENT

Notices

Statements of changes in financial interests:
- Lewis, Richmond ... 12110
- Plant, Marvin S ... 12110
- Steiner, Richard P ... 12110

CONSUMER AND MARKETING SERVICE

Proposed Rule Making

Dairy products; minimum specifications for plants manufacturing, processing and packaging ... 12354

Milk handling:
- Rock River Valley marketing area ... 12104
- Tampa Bay marketing area ... 12102

CUSTOMS BUREAU

Proposed Rule Making

Customs regulations; notice of intended revision ... 12102

Notices

Disc brake pads from Canada; antidumping proceeding notice ... 12106

EDUCATION OFFICE

Rules and Regulations

Training program; miscellaneous amendments ... 12101

FEDERAL AVIATION AGENCY

Rules and Regulations

Airworthiness directives:
- Bell Model 47 Series helicopters ... 12082
- Boeing Model 727 Series airplanes ... 12083

Alterations:
- Control area and reporting point ... 12084
- Control zone and transition area ... 12084
- Transition area ... 12084

Control zones and transition areas:
- Alteration and revocation ... 12084
- Redesignation and alteration ... 12083

Standard instrument approach procedures; miscellaneous amendments ... 12085

Proposed Rule Making

Jet routes; proposed realignment ... 12104

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notices

Hearings, etc.:
- Adirondack Television Corp., and Northeast TV Cablevision Corp ... 12113
- BBPS Broadcasting Corp., et al., (2 documents) ... 12113
- 1400 Corp. (KBMI), et al ... 12114
- Fox River Broadcasting Co., and Radio Oshkosh, Inc ... 12114
- Goodman Broadcasting Co. (2 documents) ... 12114, 12115
- KJRD, Inc., and Mount-Ed-Lynn, Inc ... 12115
- Lunde Corp., and KASI, Iowa, Inc ... 12115
- Lynn Mountain Broadcasting and WBEJ, Inc ... 12115
- Midwest Television, Inc. (KFMB-TV) ... 12116
- T.V. Broadcasting, Inc., and Tri-City Broadcasting Co., Inc ... 12116
- Yellow Cab, Inc ... 12116

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

Notices

Atlantic-Gulf/Puerto Rico trades; investigation of minimum charges and terminal delivery services ... 12116

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

Rules and Regulations

Power system statements; miscellaneous amendments ... 12093

Notices

Hearings, etc.:
- Mississippi River Transmission Corp ... 12116
- Mobil Oil Corp ... 12117
- Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America ... 12117
- U.S. Natural Gas Corp., et al ... 12117

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

Rules and Regulations

Hunting in certain national wildlife refuges:
- California ... 12098
- New York (2 documents) ... 12100
- Oregon (3 documents) ... 12099, 12100
- Washington ... 12099

FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION

Proposed Rule Making

Soda water; proposed amendment of identity standard ... 12104

Notices

Geigy Industrial Chemicals; filing of petition regarding food additives ... 12110

HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE DEPARTMENT

See also Education Office; Food and Drug Administration; Public Health Service; Social Security Administration.

Notices

Social Security Administration; statement of organization and delegation of authority ... 12111

IMMIGRATION AND NATURALIZATION SERVICE

Rules and Regulations

Nonimmigrant classes; transits without visas ... 12081

INTERAGENCY TEXTILE ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE

Notices

Certain cotton textiles; announcement of ITAC actions ... 12118

INTERIOR DEPARTMENT

See Fish and Wildlife Service; Land Management Bureau.

(Continued on next page)

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

Notices

Motor carrier:
- Intrastate applications 12119
- Temporary authority applications 12120
- Transfer proceedings 12121

JUSTICE DEPARTMENT

See also Immigration and Naturalization Service.

Rules and Regulations

Organization; Administrative Division 12082

LAND MANAGEMENT BUREAU

Rules and Regulations

Public lands; amendments to grazing regulations 12100

PANAMA CANAL

Rules and Regulations

Revision of chapter 12202

POST OFFICE DEPARTMENT

Rules and Regulations

Rules of procedure for a bank to become depository for postal savings funds 12082

PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE

Rules and Regulations

Fellowships 12096

Mental hospitals; modernization allotments; competitive bidding; release for good cause 12095

RURAL ELECTRIFICATION ADMINISTRATION

Notices

Various officials; delegations of authority 12107

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Rules and Regulations

Exemption of certain purchase or sale transactions between affiliated registered investment companies 12092

Notices

Wall Street Commodity Fund, Inc.; order temporarily suspending exemption and notice of opportunity for hearing 12118

SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

Rules and Regulations

Transitional insured status requirements for old-age, wife's and widow's insurance benefits; miscellaneous amendments 12093

TREASURY DEPARTMENT

See also Coast Guard; Customs Bureau.

Notices

Shoes from Poland; determination of sales at not less than fair value 12106

List of CFR Parts Affected

(Codification Guide)

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in today's issue. A cumulative list of parts affected, covering the current month to date appears at the end of each issue beginning with the second issue of the month.

A cumulative guide is published separately at the end of each month. The guide lists the parts and sections affected by documents published since January 1, 1966, and specifies how they are affected.

5 CFR
- 213 12081
- 930 12081

7 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:
- 58 12354
- 1012 12102
- 1038 12104

8 CFR
- 214 12081

9 CFR
- 51 12082

PROPOSED RULES:
- 131 12102

14 CFR
- 39 (2 documents) 12082, 12083
- 71 (5 documents) 12083, 12084
- 97 12085

PROPOSED RULES:
- 75 12104

17 CFR
- 270 12092

18 CFR
- 141 12093

19 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:
- Ch. I 12102

20 CFR
- 404 12093

21 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:
- 31 12104

28 CFR
- 0 12082

35 CFR
- Ch. I 12202

39 CFR
- 201 12082

42 CFR
- 53 12095
- 61 12096

43 CFR
- 4110 12100

45 CFR
- 160 12101

50 CFR
- 32 (7 documents) 12098–12100

Rules and Regulations

Title 5—ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL

Chapter I—Civil Service Commission

PART 213—EXCEPTED SERVICE

Department of Health, Education and Welfare

Section 213.3316 is amended to show that the positions of Deputy Assistant Secretary for Youth Development and Deputy Assistant Secretary for Community Development, are excepted under Schedule C. Effective on publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER, subparagraphs (2) and (3) are added to paragraph (n) of § 213.3316 as set out below.

§ 213.3316 Department of Health, Education, and Welfare.

* * * * *

(n) *Office of the Assistant Secretary for Individual and Family Services.* * * *

(2) Deputy Assistant Secretary for Youth Development;

(3) Deputy Assistant Secretary for Community Development.

(R.S. 1753, sec. 2, 22 Stat. 403, as amended; 5 U.S.C. 631, 633; E.O. 10577, 19 F.R. 7521, 3 CFR, 1954–1958 Comp., p. 218)

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,
[SEAL] MARY V. WENZEL,
Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10139; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:47 a.m.]

PART 930—PROGRAMS FOR SPECIFIC POSITIONS AND EXAMINATIONS

Miscellaneous Amendments

Section 930.102(b) is amended to include Job Corps enrollees in the definition of "employee." Sections 930.110 and 930.112 are amended to allow the waiver of the requirement for a State license when specifically authorized by the Civil Service Commission. These amendments, which are set forth below, are effective September 16, 1966.

§ 930.102 Definitions.

* * * * *

(b) "Employee" means a civilian employee or civilian officer of an agency in either the competitive or excepted service or an enrollee of the Job Corps established by section 102 of the Economic Opportunity Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. 2712).

* * * * *

§ 930.110 Incidental operator.

(a) To qualify as an incidental operator, an employee shall (1) meet the physical standards established by the Commission; (2) qualify on a road test determined by the agency to be appropriate; and (3) possess a State license.

(b) An agency head or his designated representative may waive the road test, but only when in his opinion it is impractical to apply it, and then only for an employee whose competence as a driver has been established by his past driving record.

(c) An agency head or his designated representative may waive the requirement for possession of a State license only under the circumstances set out in, and in accordance with, a specific authorization by the Commission to the agency concerned.

§ 930.112 To whom issued.

(a) Each agency shall issue an identification card to:

(1) Each employee who qualifies for and is assigned to an operator position;

(2) Each employee who qualifies as an incidental operator; and

(3) Other employees who qualify in accordance with the requirements for incidental operator in § 930.110.

(b) An agency may issue an identification card without regard to the requirements in §§ 930.106 and 930.110:

(1) To an employee in an operator position under temporary appointment or detail not exceeding 1 month;

(2) For 1 month or less, to an employee in an operator position in order to permit completion of special testing approved by the Commission in a particular selection program; and

(3) For 1 month or less, to other employees who are taking training to satisfy requirements as operators or incidental operators or under such circumstances as in the judgment of the agency is necessary in the interests of the Government.

An agency may issue an identification card under authority of this paragraph only to an employee who is in possession of a State license, except when this requirement is waived under § 930.110(c). An identification card issued under this paragraph shall include the time restriction imposed.

(R.S. 1753, sec. 2, 22 Stat. 403, as amended, sec. 2, 68 Stat. 1126, as amended; 5 U.S.C. 631, 633; 40 U.S.C. 491; E.O. 10577, 19 F.R. 7521, 3 CFR, 1954–1958 Comp., p. 218)

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,
[SEAL] MARY V. WENZEL,
Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10138; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:47 a.m.]

Title 8—ALIENS AND NATIONALITY

Chapter I—Immigration and Naturalization Service, Department of Justice

PART 214—NONIMMIGRANT CLASSES

Transits Without Visas

The following amendment to Chapter I of Title 8 of the Code of Federal Regulations is hereby prescribed:

The first two sentences of § 214.2(c)(1) are amended to read as follows:

§ 214.2 Special requirements for admission, extension and maintenance of status.

* * * * *

(c) *Transits*—(1) *Without visas.* Any alien may apply for immediate and continuous transit without a visa through the United States, except a citizen of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Rumania, Bulgaria, Albania, Cuba, Communist-controlled China ("Chinese People's Republic"), North Korea ("Democratic People's Republic of Korea"), the Soviet Zone of Germany ("German Democratic Republic"), North Viet-Nam ("Democratic Republic of Viet-Nam"), and Outer Mongolia ("Mongolian People's Republic"), resident in one of the countries named. Such an alien must establish that he is admissible under the immigration laws; that he has confirmed and onward reservations to at least the next country beyond the United States (except that, if seeking to join a vessel in the United States as a Crewman, he is in possession of or makes application upon arrival for, a Form I-184 permanent type landing permit and identification card, and upon joining the vessel will remain aboard at all times until it departs from the United States); that his departure from the United States will be accomplished within 5 calendar days after his arrival, and that he has a document establishing his ability to enter some country other than the United States. * * *

* * * * *

(Sec. 103, 66 Stat. 173; 8 U.S.C. 1103)

This order shall be effective on October 1, 1966. Compliance with the provisions of section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act (60 Stat. 238; 5 U.S.C. 1003) as to notice of proposed rule making and delayed effective date is unnecessary in this instance because the rule prescribed by the order confers

benefits upon the persons affected thereby.

Dated: September 12, 1966.

RAYMOND F. FARRELL,
Commissioner of Immigration and Naturalization.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10137; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:47 a.m.]

Title 9—ANIMALS AND ANIMAL PRODUCTS

Chapter I—Agricultural Research Service, Department of Agriculture

SUBCHAPTER B—COOPERATIVE CONTROL AND ERADICATION OF ANIMAL DISEASES

PART 51—CATTLE DESTROYED BECAUSE OF BRUCELLOSIS (BANG'S DISEASE), TUBERCULOSIS, OR PARATUBERCULOSIS

Payment of Indemnities

Pursuant to the provisions of sections 3 and 11 of the Act of May 29, 1884, as amended (21 U.S.C. 114, 114a), and section 2 of the Act of February 2, 1903, as amended (21 U.S.C. 111), § 51.9(d) of Part 51, Subchapter B, Title 9, Code of Federal Regulations, relating to payment of indemnity for cattle destroyed because of brucellosis, tuberculosis, or paratuberculosis, is amended to read as follows:

§ 51.9 Claims not allowed.

* * * * *

(d) If the cattle are classified as tuberculosis or paratuberculosis or brucellosis infected unless such cattle (1) reacted to the tuberculin or johnin test or revealed lesions of either disease upon autopsy or (2) reacted to the agglutination or other test for brucellosis approved by the Director of Division or (3) are found to be exposed, are a part of a known infected herd, and it has been determined by the Director of Division that destruction of the cattle will contribute to the tuberculosis or paratuberculosis or brucellosis eradication program.

* * * * *

(Sec. 3-5, 23 Stat. 32, as amended, sec. 2, 32 Stat. 792, as amended, sec. 3, 33 Stat. 1265, as amended, sec. 11, 58 Stat. 734, as amended; 21 U.S.C. 111, 112, 113, 114, 114a, 120, 125)

The foregoing amendment will be of benefit to affected persons as it will permit payment of indemnity on animals exposed to brucellosis where it is determined that destruction of the entire herd will contribute to the brucellosis eradication program. Accordingly, under section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 1003), it is found upon good cause that notice and other public procedure with respect to the amendment are impracticable and contrary to the public interest and that the amendment may be made effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Effective date. The foregoing amendment shall become effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Done at Washington, D.C., this 13th day of September 1966.

R. J. ANDERSON,
Administrator, Agricultural Research Service.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10166; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:48 a.m.]

Title 39—POSTAL SERVICE

Chapter I—Post Office Department

PART 201—PROCEDURES OF POST OFFICE DEPARTMENT

Subpart F—Rules of Procedure for a Bank To Become Depository for Postal Savings Funds

Public Law 89-377 of March 28, 1966 (80 Stat. 92), provides for the closing of the Postal Savings System. This Act provides that no further deposits would be accepted on and after April 27, 1966, and all existing accounts are being closed out. Accordingly, Subpart F (§ 201.70) is revoked.

(R.S. 161, as amended; 5 U.S.C. 22, 39 U.S.C. 501, 5201-5229, P.L. 89-377, Mar. 28, 1966, 80 Stat. 92)

TIMOTHY J. MAY,
General Counsel.

SEPTEMBER 12, 1966.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10130; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:46 a.m.]

Title 28—JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATION

Chapter I—Department of Justice

[Memo 483]

PART 0—ORGANIZATION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Subpart O—Administrative Division

Subpart V—Authorizations With Respect to Personnel and Certain Administrative Matters

SEPTEMBER 9, 1966.

This memorandum shall be published as an amendment to the Appendix of Subpart O of Part 0 of Title 28 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

Under and by virtue of the authority vested in me by §§ 0.84 and 0.159 of Title 28 of the Code of Federal Regulations, I hereby delegate to the First Assistant, Administrative Division, the authority conferred upon me by the following described sections of that title—

Section 0.77: (f) Experts, consultants, temporary employment; (g) expenses of witnesses and informants; (i) actual expenses of subsistence; (n) certificates re printing; and (o) certificates re long distance telephone calls.

Section 0.140: Advertising—purchase of supplies and services.

Section 0.142: (a) Travel; (c) travel advances; and (d) transportation expenses on change of headquarters.

Section 0.145: Overtime pay.

The provisions of this memorandum shall be effective on the date of the publication of this memorandum in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

ERNEST C. FRIESEN, Jr.,
Assistant Attorney General for Administration.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10147; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:48 a.m.]

Title 14—AERONAUTICS AND SPACE

Chapter I—Federal Aviation Agency

SUBCHAPTER C—AIRCRAFT

[Docket No. 1440; Amdt. 39-287]

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

Bell Model 47 Series Helicopters

Amendment 498 (27 F.R. 10320), AD 62-23-2, requires inspection of the engine oil filter for metal particles and inspection of the main rotor mast thrust bearing and replacement as necessary if metal particles are found on Bell Model 47 Series helicopters. Subsequent to the issuance of Amendment 498, the Agency has determined that the manufacturer has developed an improved main rotor mast thrust bearing with significantly longer life, and, due to good service experience, helicopters equipped with this improved bearing need not comply with this AD. Therefore, the AD is being amended to apply to Model 47 Series helicopters equipped with main rotor mast thrust bearing, P/N 47-130-110-1.

Since this amendment relieves a restriction, and imposes no additional burden on any person, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary and the amendment may be made effective in less than 30 days.

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (25 F.R. 6489), § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations, Amendment 498 (27 F.R. 10320), AD 62-23-2 is amended by amending the compliance statement to read as follows:

Applies to Model 47 Series helicopters equipped with main rotor mast thrust bearing, P/N 47-130-110-1.

This amendment becomes effective September 16, 1966.

(Secs. 313(a), 601, 603, Federal Aviation Act of 1958; 49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, 1423)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on September 9, 1966.

W. E. ROGERS,
Acting Director, Flight Standards Service.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10107; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:45 a.m.]

[Docket No. 7193; Amdt. 39-288]

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

Boeing Model 727 Series Airplanes

A proposal to amend Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations to include an airworthiness directive requiring inspection and replacement of defective fuel line B-nuts on Boeing Model 727 Series airplanes was published in 31 F.R. 4520.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the amendment. One comment requested that the AD reflect the aircraft effectivity as shown in the latest issue of the manufacturer's service bulletin. The applicability statement of the AD has been rewritten to apply only to those Boeing Model 727 Series airplanes listed in the manufacturer's service bulletin. Another comment requested extension of the compliance periods from 600 to 700 hours' time in service and from 3,000 to 3,500 hours' time in service to be compatible with that operator's existing program. Provision for adjustments of the repetitive inspection intervals is provided by paragraph (d) of the AD. The Agency feels that the 3,000 hour compliance time for the replacement of fuel feed line tube assemblies incorporating AFCO B-nuts is necessary and provides sufficient lead time for operators to schedule and plan compliance with the AD with a minimum burden.

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (25 F.R. 6489), § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

BOEING. Applies to Model 727 Series airplanes listed in Boeing Service Bulletin 28-25, Revision 1, dated January 19, 1966.

Compliance required as indicated, unless already accomplished.

It has been determined that certain of the B-nuts at the engine firewall on Boeing Model 727 Series airplanes are susceptible to cracking. To correct this condition:

(a) Within the next 600 hours' time in service after the effective date of this AD, inspect the engine fuel feed system B-nut, P/N NAS596, located at each engine firewall to determine if it is an AFCO (Aircraft Fitting, Inc.), manufactured part. Identification must be made in accordance with the instructions listed in Boeing Service Bulletin 28-25, dated December 3, 1965, or later FAA-approved revision.

(b) If the B-nut is not an AFCO part, no further action under this AD is required. If the B-nut is an AFCO part, accomplish the following before further flight:

(1) Inspect for cracks using a 10-power glass, dye penetrant or ultrasonic method.

(2) If cracks are found, remove the fuel line tube assembly and replace with a new part in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 28-25, dated December 3, 1965, or later FAA-approved revision or an equivalent approved by the Chief, Aircraft Engineering Division, FAA Western Region.

(3) If no cracks are found, repeat the inspection required under subparagraph (1) every 600 hours' time in service until the AFCO B-nuts are replaced as specified in paragraph (c).

(c) Within the next 3,000 hours' time in service after the effective date of this AD, unless already accomplished under paragraph (b), remove all fuel feed line tube assemblies incorporating AFCO B-nuts and replace in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 28-25, dated December 3, 1965, or later FAA-approved revision or an equivalent approved by the Chief, Aircraft Engineering Division, FAA Western Region.

(d) Upon request of the operator, an FAA maintenance inspector, subject to prior approval of the Chief, Aircraft Engineering Division, FAA Western Region, may adjust the repetitive inspection period of the operator if the request contains substantiating data to justify the increase for that operator.

This amendment becomes effective October 16, 1966.

(Secs. 313(a), 601, 603, Federal Aviation Act of 1958; 49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, 1423)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on September 12, 1966.

C. W. WALKER,
Director, Flight Standards Service.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10108; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:45 a.m.]

SUBCHAPTER E—AIRSPACE

[Airspace Docket No. 66-SW-26]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Redesignation of Control Zones and Alteration of Transition Area

On July 15, 1966, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (31 F.R. 9606) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to alter controlled airspace in the Houston, Tex., terminal area.

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the rule making through submission of comments. All comments received were favorable.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0001 e.s.t. November 10, 1966, as hereinafter set forth.

1. In § 71.171 (31 F.R. 2099) the Houston, Tex. (William P. Hobby) control zone is redesignated to read:

HOUSTON, TEX. (WILLIAM P. HOBBY)

That airspace within a 5-mile radius of William P. Hobby Airport (latitude 29°38'40" N., longitude 95°16'30" W.); within 2 miles each side of the Houston ILS localizer SW course extending from the 5-mile radius zone to the OM, within 2 miles each side of the Houston ILS localizer NE course extending from the 5-mile radius zone to the Pasadena RBN, within 2 miles each side of the Houston VORTAC 306° radial extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 6 miles NW of the VORTAC, within 2 miles each side of the Houston VORTAC 025° radial extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 6 miles NE of the VORTAC, within 2 miles each side of the Houston VORTAC 239° radial extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 6 miles SW of the VORTAC, within 2 miles each side of the Houston VORTAC 142° radial extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 11.5 miles SE of the VORTAC, and within 2 miles each side of a 223° bearing from the Houston DF station (latitude 29°38'48" N., longtiude 95°16'42" W.) extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 8 miles SW of the DF station, excluding the portion E of a line from the intersecting point of 5-mile radius circles centered on William P. Hobby Airport and Ellington AFB (latitude 29°36'25" N., longitude 95°09'20" W.) NE of William P. Hobby Airport, through the intersecting point of such 5-mile radius circles SE of William P. Hobby Airport, to latitude 29°32'00" N., longitude 95°15'00" W.

2. In § 71.171 (31 F.R. 2099) the Houston, Tex. (Ellington AFB), control zone is redesignated to read:

HOUSTON, TEX. (ELLINGTON AFB)

That airspace within a 5-mile radius of Ellington AFB (latitude 29°36'25" N., longitude 95°09'20" W.); within 2 miles each side of the Ellington VOR 209° radial extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 7 miles SW of the VOR, within 2 miles each side of the Ellington TACAN 213° radial extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 7 miles SW of the TACAN, within 2 miles each side of the Houston VORTAC 142° radial extending from the William P. Hobby Airport (latitude 29°38'40" N., longitude 95°16'30" W.) 5-mile radius zone to 11.5 miles SE of the VORTAC, excluding the portion within the William P. Hobby control zone.

3. In § 71.181 (31 F.R. 2201) the Houston, Tex., transition area is amended to read:

HOUSTON, TEX.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface bounded by a line beginning at latitude 29°25'00" N., longitude 95°30'00" W., to latitude 29°30'00" N., longitude 95°32'00" W., to latitude 29°46'00" N., longitude 95°27'00" W., to latitude 29°52'00" N., longitude 95°08'00" W., to latitude 29°40'00" N., longitude 94°57'00" W., to latitude 29°32'00" N., longitude 95°00'00" W., to point of beginning, and that airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 4-mile radius of Spaceland Airpark (latitude 29°30'30" N., longitude 95°03'01" W.) and within 2 miles each side of the 306° bearing from the League City RBN (latitude 29°28'00" N., longitude 94°59'08" W.) extending from the 4-mile radius area to the RBN; and that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface bounded by a line beginning at latitude 30°35'00" N., longitude 95°21'00" W., to latitude 30°28'00" N., longitude 94°09'00" W., thence S via longitude 94°09'00" W., to and counterclockwise along the arc of a 25-mile radius circle centered at latitude 29°54'40" N., longitude 94°02'40" W., to a point 3 nautical miles from the shoreline at longitude 94°10'00" W., thence SW 3 nautical miles from and parallel to the shoreline to latitude 28°22'00" N., thence W via latitude 28°22'00" N., to longitude 96°30'00" W., thence N via longitude 96°30'00" W., to the N boundary of V-20, and a line through latitude 28°43'40" N., longitude 96°28'00" W., and latitude 28°47'25" N., longitude 96°35'20" W., to latitude 28°47'25" N., longitude 96°35'20" W., to latitude 28°52'50" N., longitude 96°28'30" W., to latitude 29°08'00" N., longitude 97°00'00" W., to latitude 29°30'00" N., longitude 96°39'30" W., to latitude 29°54'00" N., longitude 96°49'00" W., to latitude 30°26'00" N., longitude 96°58'30" W., to latitude 30°30'30" N., longitude 96°32'00" W., to latitude 31°17'00" N., longitude 96°11'00" W., to latitude 31°19'00" N., longitude 95°58'00" W., to point of beginning, excluding the portion within the Corpus Christi and College Station, Tex., transition areas.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Fort Worth, Tex., on September 8, 1966.

HENRY L. NEWMAN,
Director, Southwest Region.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10109; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:45 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 66-SW-37]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Control Zone and Revocation of Transition Area

On July 15, 1966, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (31 F.R. 9607) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to alter controlled airspace in the McAllen, Tex., terminal area.

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the rule making through submission of comments. All comments received were favorable.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0001 e.s.t. November 10, 1966, as herein set forth.

1. In § 71.171 (31 F.R. 2112) the McAllen, Tex., control zone is amended to read:

McALLEN, TEX.

That airspace within a 5-mile radius of Miller International Airport (latitude 26°10'40" N., longitude 98°14'25" W.), within 2 miles each side of the McAllen VOR 095° radial extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 8 miles E of the VOR, within 2 miles each side of the McAllen VOR 322° and 324° radials extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 8 miles NW of the VOR, within 2 miles each side of the 320° bearing from latitude 26°12'20" N., longitude 98°16'05" W., extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 8 miles NW of latitude 26°12'20" N., longitude 98°16'05" W., and within 2 miles each side of the 319° bearing from latitude 26°10'41" N., longitude 98°14'05" W., extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 8 miles NW of latitude 26°10'41" N., longitude 98°14'05" W., excluding the portion outside of the United States.

2. In § 71.181 (31 F.R. 2220) the McAllen, Tex., transition area is revoked.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Fort Worth, Tex., on September 8, 1966.

HENRY L. NEWMAN,
Director, Southwest Region.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10110; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:45 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 66-EA-73]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Control Area and Reporting Point

The purpose of these amendments to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations are to make editorial changes in the descriptions of Control 1148 and the Shad Intersection.

Control 1148 and the Shad Intersection are described in part with reference to the Millville radio range and its southeast course. On November 10, 1966, the Millville radio range will be converted to a radio beacon. Action is taken herein to redescribe Control 1148 and the Shad Intersection by substituting in their descriptions Millville radio beacon for the Millville radio range. These amendments will not alter the extent of controlled airspace presently designated with Control 1148.

Since these actions are editorial in nature and do not alter the extent of controlled airspace, and impose no additional burden on any person, notice of public procedure hereon are unnecessary. However, since it is necessary that sufficient time be allowed to permit appropriate changes to be made on aeronautical charts, these amendments will become effective more than 30 days after publication.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0001 e.s.t., November 10, 1966, as hereinafter set forth.

1. In § 71.163 (31 F.R. 2050) Control 1148 is amended to read:

CONTROL 1148

That airspace within tangent lines drawn from the circumference of 5-mile radius circles centered on the Millville, N.J., RBN and at the INT of Millville RBN 135° bearing and the Atlantic Ocean-U.S. Coastline to a 15-mile radius circle centered on the INT of Millville RBN 135° bearing and the west boundary of the New York Oceanic Control area at latitude 37°43'00" N., longitude 73°00'00" W., excluding the portion below 2,000 feet outside the United States.

2. In § 71.209 (31 F.R. 2287) Shad INT: is amended to read:

Shad INT: INT of Millville, N.J., RBN 135° bearing and west boundary New York Oceanic Control area at latitude 37°43' N., longitude 73°00' W.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on September 9, 1966.

H. B. HELSTROM,
Chief, Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10111; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:45 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 66-SO-55]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Control Zone and Transition Area; Correction

On August 31, 1966, F.R. Doc. 66-9468 was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (31 F.R. 11461) amending Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations.

In the amendment, the Goldsboro, N.C., Municipal Airport longitudinal ordinate was incorrectly published as "83°33'50" W." The longitudinal ordinate should have been given as "78°05'00" W."

Since this amendment is editorial in nature and imposes no additional burden on any person, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary.

In consideration of the foregoing, effective immediately, F.R. Doc. 66-9468 is amended as follows: In the seventh line of the Goldsboro, N.C., transition area description "* * * longitude 83°33'50" W.) * * *" is deleted and "* * * Long. 78°05'00" W.) * * *" is substituted therefor.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958; 49 U.S.C. 1348(a))

Issued in East Point, Ga., on September 7, 1966.

JAMES G. ROGERS,
Director, Southern Region.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10112; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:45 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 66-SO-63]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Transition Area

On August 3, 1966, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (31 F.R. 10420) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency was considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would alter the Monroeville, Ala., transition area.

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the rule making through the submission of comments. All comments received were favorable.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0001 e.s.t., November 10, 1966, as hereinafter set forth.

In § 71.181 (31 F.R. 2149) the Monroeville, Ala., transition area (31 F.R. 4889) is amended to read:

MONROEVILLE, ALA.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 5-mile radius of the Monroeville Municipal Airport (latitude 31°27'25" N., longitude 87°20'50" W.); within 2 miles each side of the Monroeville, Ala., VOR 039° and 201° radials extending from the VOR to 8 miles NE and 8 miles SW and that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface within a 14-mile radius of the Monroeville, Ala., VOR.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958; 49 U.S.C. 1348(a))

Issued in East Point, Ga., on September 7, 1966.

JAMES G. ROGERS,
Director, Southern Region.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10113; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:45 a.m.]

SUBCHAPTER F—AIR TRAFFIC AND GENERAL OPERATING RULES

[Reg. Docket No. 7597; Amdt. 501]

PART 97—STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURES

Miscellaneous Amendments

The amendments to the standard instrument approach procedures contained herein are adopted to become effective when indicated in order to promote safety. The amended procedures supersede the existing procedures of the same classification now in effect for the airports specified therein. For the convenience of the users, the complete procedure is republished in this amendment indicating the changes to the existing procedures.

As a situation exists which demands immediate action in the interests of safety in air commerce, I find that compliance with the notice and procedure provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act is impracticable and that good cause exists for making this amendment effective within less than 30 days from publication.

In view of the foregoing and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (24 F.R. 5662), Part 97 (14 CFR Part 97) is amended as follows:

1. By amending the following automatic direction finding procedures prescribed in § 97.11(b) to read:

ADF STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles.

If an instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Agency. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitudes shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

Transition				Ceiling and visibility minimums			
					2-engine or less		More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	
CAE VOR	LOM	Direct	1900	T-dn	300-1	300-1	200-½
White Rock Int	LOM	Direct	2000	C-dn	600-1	600-1	600-1½
Lexington Int	LOM (final)	Direct	1900	S-dn-10*	600-1	600-1	600-1
Int CAE VOR, R 253° and 360° crs, CA LOM.	LOM	Direct	1900	A-dn	800-2	800-2	800-2
Int CAE VOR, R 006° and 260° crs, CA LOM.	LOM	Direct	1900				

Procedure turn S side of crs, 287° Outbnd, 107° Inbnd, 1900′ within 10 miles.
Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 1900′.
Crs and distance, facility to airport, 107°—5.5 miles.
If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 5.5 miles after passing CA LOM, climb to 1900′ on the 107° crs from the CA LOM within 10 miles, or when directed by ATC, climb to 1900′ on the 006° radial of CAE VOR within 20 miles.
*Reduction not authorized.
MSA within 25 miles of facility: 000°-090°—2900′; 090°-180°—1800′; 180°-270°—1900′; 270°-360°—2100′.

City, Columbia; State, S.C.; Airport name, Columbia Metropolitan; Elev., 236′; Fac. Class., LOM; Ident., CA; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 12; Eff. date, 8 Oct. 66; Sup. Amdt. No. 11; Dated, 10 Apr. 65

From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less: 65 knots or less	2-engine or less: More than 65 knots	More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
Lawson RBn	LOM	Direct	2200	T-dn	300-1	300-1	200-½
Columbus VOR	LOM	Direct	2200	C-dn	500-1	500-1	500-1½
Geneva Int	LOM	Direct	2200	S-dn-5*	500-1	500-1	500-1
Marvyn Int	LOM	Direct	2200	A-dn	800-2	800-2	800-2
Seale Int	LOM (final)	Direct	2200				

Procedure turn W side of crs, 233° Outbnd, 053° Inbnd, 2200′ within 10 miles of LOM.
Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 2200′ over LOM.
Crs and distance, facility to airport, 053°—6 miles.
If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 6 miles after passing LOM, climb to 2200′, proceed to Geneva Int via 046° bearing from CS LOM, or when directed by ATC, climb to 2200′, turn left and return direct to LOM.
*Reduction below ¾ mile not authorized.
MSA within 25 miles of facility: 000°-090°—3400′; 090°-180°—3300′; 180°-270°—1800′; 270°-360°—2300′.

City, Columbus; State, Ga.; Airport name, Muscogee County; Elev., 397′; Fac. Class., LOM; Ident., CS; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 15; Eff. date, 8 Oct. 66; Sup. Amdt. No. 14; Dated, 11 June 66

From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less: 65 knots or less	2-engine or less: More than 65 knots	More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
Lakeville Int	Fall River MHW	Direct	1900	T-dn	300-1	300-1	NA
				C-dn	600-1	600-1	NA
				A-dn	NA	NA	NA

Radar available.
Procedure turn N side of crs, 065° Outbnd, 245° Inbnd, 1900′ within 10 miles.
Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 800′.
Facility on airport.
If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 0 mile after passing MHW, make a right turn, climbing to 1900′, return to the Fall River MHW. Hold NE, 245° Inbnd, right turns, 1 minute.
NOTES: (1) Facility owned and operated by State of Massachusetts. (2) Facility must be monitored aurally during approach. (3) No weather reporting available. (4) Use New Bedford altimeter setting.
MSA within 25 miles of facility: 000°-270°—1600′; 270°-360°—2200′.

City, Fall River; State, Mass.; Airport name, Fall River Municipal; Elev., 192′; Fac. Class., MHW; Ident., FLR; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 1; Eff. date, 8 Oct. 66; Sup. Amdt. No. Orig.; Dated, 10 Apr. 65

ADF STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURES—Continued

Transition				Ceiling and visibility minimums			
From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less		More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
					65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	
Dundee Int	GBD RBn	Direct	3600	T-dn	300-1	300-1	200-½
				C-d	500-1	500-1	500-1½
				C-n	500-1	500-1½	500-1½
				A-dn	NA	NA	NA

Procedure turn S side of crs, 293° Outbnd, 113° Inbnd, 3600′ within 10 miles.
Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 2600′.
Crs and distance, facility to airport, 113°—1.3 miles.
If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 1.3 miles after passing GBD RBn, make right turn, climbing to 3600′ on 293° bearing from the GBD RBn within 10 miles, make left turn and return to GBD RBn.
NOTES: (1) Final approach from holding pattern at RBn not authorized. Procedure turn required. (2) Use Russell, Kans., altimeter setting. (3) Lights on Runways 17-35 only.
MSA within 25 miles of facility: 000°-090°—3900′; 090°-180°—3200′; 180°-360°—3600′.

City, Great Bend; State, Kans.; Airport name, Great Bend Municipal (city owned); Elev., 1890′; Fac. Class., MHW; Ident., GBD; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. Orig.; Eff. date, 8 Oct. 66

From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less, 65 knots or less	2-engine or less, more than 65 knots	More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
North Platte VOR	LBF RBn	Direct	4700	T-dn	300-1	300-1	*200-½
				C-dn	500-1	500-1	500-1½
				A-dn	800-2	800-2	800-2

Procedure turn E side crs, 175° Outbnd, 355° Inbnd, 4700′ within 10 miles.
Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 3800′.
Crs and distance, facility to airport, 355°—1.9 miles.
If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 1.9 miles after passing LBF RBn, make right turn, climbing to 4700′ on 175° bearing from LBF RBn within 15 miles, make right turn and return to LBF RBn.
NOTE: Final approach from holding pattern at RBn not authorized. Procedure turn required.
CAUTION: Aircraft departing northbound or northwestbound should plan flight to avoid 3627′ tower, 4.5 miles NNW of airport.
*300-1 required for takeoff on Runways 26 and 30.
MSA within 25 miles of facility: 000°-090°—4600′; 090°-180°—4200′; 180°-270°—4300′; 270°-360°—4700′.

City, North Platte; State, Nebr.; Airport name, Lee Bird Field (Municipal); Elev., 2779′; Fac. Class., H-SAB; Ident., LBF; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 2; Eff. date, 8 Oct. 66; Sup. Amdt. No. 1; Dated, 14 Oct. 65

From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less, 65 knots or less	2-engine or less, more than 65 knots	More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
North Platte VOR	LBF RBn	Direct	4700	T-dn	300-1	300-1	*200-½
				C-dn	500-1	500-1	500-1½
				S-dn-35	400-1	400-1	400-1
				A-dn	800-2	800-2	800-2

Procedure turn E side of crs, 175° Outbnd, 355° Inbnd, 4700′ within 10 miles.
Minimum altitude over Moran Int on final approach crs, 3800′; over LBF RBn, 3230′.
Crs and distance, facility to airport, 355°—1.9 miles.
If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 1.9 miles after passing LBF RBn, make right turn, climbing to 4700′ on 175° bearing from LBF RBn within 15 miles, make right turn and return to LBF RBn.
NOTE: Final approach from holding pattern at RBn not authorized. Procedure turn required.
CAUTION: Aircraft departing northbound or northwestbound should plan flight to avoid 3627′ tower, 4.5 miles NNW of airport.
*300-1 required for takeoff on Runways 26 and 30.
MSA within 25 miles of facility: 000°-090°—4600′; 090°-180°—4200′; 180°-270°—4300′; 270°-360°—4700′. ADF/VOR receivers required.

City, North Platte; State, Nebr.; Airport name, Lee Bird Field (Municipal); Elev., 2779′; Fac. Class., H-SAB; Ident., LBF; Procedure No. 2, Amdt. 1; Eff. date, 8 Oct. 66; Sup. Amdt. No. Orig.; Dated, 2 July 66

From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less, 65 knots or less	2-engine or less, more than 65 knots	More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
Whitman, Mass., VOR	OWD RBn	Direct	2000	T-dn	300-1	300-1	NA
				C-dn	600-1	600-1	NA
				A-dn	NA	NA	NA

Radar available.
Procedure turn W side of crs, 176° Outbnd, 356° Inbnd, 2000′ within 10 miles.
Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 1000′.
Crs and distance, facility to airport, 356°—1.1 miles.
If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 1.1 miles after passing OWD RBn, make left-climbing turn and return to OWD RBn at 2000′. Hold S, 356° Inbnd, 1-minute left turns.
NOTES: (1) Monitoring of Boston approach control required until landing assured. (2) Voice communications available sunrise to sunset. (3) Lighting Runways 17-35 only. (4) Use NAS S Weymouth altimeter setting.
MSA within 25 miles of facility: 000°-180°—1900′; 180°-360°—2500′.
Facility owned and operated by the State of Massachusetts.

City, Norwood; State, Mass.; Airport name, Norwood-Memorial; Elev., 50′; Fac. Class., MHW; Ident., OWD; Procedure No. 1, Admt. 5; Eff. date, 8 Oct. 66; Sup. Amdt. No. 4; Dated, 7 Dec. 63

2. By amending the following very high frequency omnirange (VOR) procedures prescribed in § 97.11(c) to read:

VOR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles.

If an instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Agency. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitudes shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

Transition				Ceiling and visibility minimums			
From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less: 65 knots or less	2-engine or less: More than 65 knots	More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
Anthony Int	CLL VOR (final)	Direct	1000	T-dn	300-1	300-1	200-½
				C-dn	500-1	500-1	500-1½
				S-dn-10	400-1	400-1	400-1
				A-dn	800-2	800-2	800-2

Procedure turn S side of crs, 279° Outbnd, 099° Inbnd, 1500′ within 10 miles.
Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 1000′.
Crs and distance, facility to airport, 099°—2.5 miles.
If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 2.5 miles after passing CLL VOR, climb to 1800′ on R 099° within 20 miles of VOR.
MSA within 25 miles of facility: 000°-090°—1900′; 090°-180°—1600′; 180°-270°—1600′; 270°-360°—1700′.

City, College Station; State, Tex.; Airport name, Easterwood Field; Elev., 319′; Fac. Class., L-BVOR; Ident., CLL; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 6; Eff. date, 8 Oct. 66; Sup. Amdt. No. 5; Dated, 10 Sept. 66

From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less: 65 knots or less	2-engine or less: More than 65 knots	More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
Lawson RBn	CSG VOR	Direct	2000	T-dn	300-1	300-1	200-½
Columbus LOM	CSG VOR	Direct	2000	C-d	700-1	700-1	700-1½
				C-n	700-2	700-2	700-2
				A-dn	800-2	800-2	800-2
				If Davis Int received, minimums become:			
				C-dn	500-1	500-1	500-1½

Procedure turn W side of crs, 328° Outbnd, 148° Inbnd, 2000′ within 10 miles.
Minimum altitude over CSG VOR on final approach crs, 1700′; over Davis Int, 1100′.
Crs and distance, CSG VOR to airport, 148°—6.8 miles; Davis Int to airport, 148°—1.5 miles.
If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 6.8 miles after passing VOR, turn left, climb to 2200′ and proceed to Geneva Int via 046° bearing from CS LOM, or when directed by ATC, turn left, climb to 2200′ and proceed to CSG VOR via R 148°.
MSA within 25 miles of facility: 000°-090°—3400′; 090°-180°—3300′; 180°-270°—2000′; 270°-360°—2300′.

City, Columbus; State, Ga.; Airport name, Muscogee County; Elev., 397′; Fac. Class., L-BVOR; Ident. CSG; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 9; Eff. date, 8 Oct. 66; Sup. Amdt. No. 8; Dated, 11 June 66

From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less: 65 knots or less	2-engine or less: More than 65 knots	More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
Camp Int	OGG VORTAC	Direct	6000	T-dn#	300-1	300-1	200-½
13-mile DME Fix, R 320°	13-mile DME Fix, R 027°	13-mile Arc	1500	C-dn	600-1	600-1	600-1½
13-mile DME Fix, R 069°	13-mile DME Fix, R 027°	13-mile Arc	1500	A-dn	800-2	800-2	800-2
13-mile DME Fix, R 027°	5-mile DME Fix, R 027°	Direct	700	When 5-mile DME Fix, OGG R 027° received minimums become:			
13-mile DME Fix, R 084°	13-mile DME Fix, R 069°	13-mile Arc	5000				
13-mile DME Fix, R 278°	13-mile DME Fix, R 320°	13-mile Arc	5000	S-dn-20	500-1	500-1	500-1

Procedure turn W side of crs, 027° Outbnd, 207° Inbnd, 1500′ within 20 miles. Beyond 20 miles not authorized.
Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 700′; 600′ if 5-mile DME Fix received.
Facility on airport. Breakoff point to Runway 20, 200°—1 mile (1.5-mile DME).
If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 0 mile of OGG VORTAC, turn left to 360°, intercept R 027° climbing to 3000′ within 20 miles, reverse crs and climb to 5000′ to VORTAC, or when authorized by ATC and DME operating, proceed to 13-mile DME Fix, R 027° at 3000′ and hold NE.
CAUTION: 1. 570′ tower 4 miles W of airport. 2. Runway 2° restricted to 5290′ available for landings due trees in approach path.
#Takeoff minimums Runways 23, 20, and 17 are 600-1, and all aircraft must cross airport under visual conditions prior to departing on crs. All IFR aircraft must comply with published Kahului SID's.
MSA within 25 miles of facility: 000°-090°—4300′; 090°-180°—12,100′; 180°-270°—7800′; 270°-360°—7000′.

City, Kahului, Maui; State, Hawaii; Airport name, Kahului; Elev., 57′; Fac. Class., H-BVORTAC; Ident., OGG; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 1; Eff. date, 8 Oct. 66; Sup. Amdt. No. Orig.; Dated, 13 Aug. 66

From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less: 65 knots or less	2-engine or less: More than 65 knots	More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
EPH VOR	MWH VOR	Direct	2900	T-dn%	300-1	300-1	200-½
Potholes Int	MHW VOR	Direct	2900	C-d	600-1	600-1	600-1½
Wilson Creek Int	MWH VOR	Direct	3200	C-n	600-2	600-2	600-2
				S-d-32	600-1	600-1	600-1
				S-n-32	600-2	600-2	600-2
				A-dn	900-2	900-2	900-2
				If aircraft equipped to receive VOR and RBn or Z Marker simultaneously and MW RBn/Z Marker identified, the following minimums apply:			
				S-dn-32*	400-1	400-1	400-1

Procedure turn E side of crs, 140° Outbnd, 320° Inbnd, 2900′ within 10 miles.
Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 2600′; over MW RBn/Z Marker, 2200′.
Crs and distance, facility to airport, 320°—6.1 miles; MW RBn/Z Marker to airport, 321°—4.7 miles.
If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 4.7 miles after passing MW RBn/Z Marker, or 6.1 miles after passing MWH VOR, turn left, climb to 2900′ direct MWH VOR.
NOTES: (1) Weather service available 0600-2200 local time. (2) Alternate minimums not authorized when weather service not available. (3) Use Ephrata altimeter setting when control zone is not effective.
%Takeoffs all runways: Climb direct to the Ephrata VOR, then continue on R 060° within 10 miles to cross the EPH VOR at or above: Southwestbound V2/V448, 2800′; Westbound V2N, 2800′.
*400-¾ authorized with operative HIRL or ALS, except for 4-engine turbojets.
AIR CARRIER NOTE: Sliding scale for landing authorized to ¾ mile on 400-1 minimums only.
MSA within 25 miles of facility: 340°-070°—3200′; 070°-160°—3000′; 160°-250°—3700′; 250°-340°—4100′.

City, Moses Lake; State, Wash.; Airport name, Grant County; Elev., 1186′; Fac. Class., L-VOR; Ident., MHW; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 1; Eff. date, 8 Oct. 66; Sup. Amdt. No. Orig.; Dated, 24 Sept. 66

VOR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE—Continued

Transition				Ceiling and visibility minimums			
From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less		More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
					65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	
R 261°, LBF VOR counterclockwise	R 198°, LBF VOR	Via 6-mile DME Arc.	4700	T-dn	300-1	300-1	*200-½
				C-dn	600-1	600-1	600-1½
R 062°, LBF VOR clockwise	R 198°, LBF VOR	Via 6-mile DME Arc.	4700	A-dn	800-2	800-2	800-2
				Minimums with DME:			
6-mile DME Fix, R 198°	LBF VOR (final)	Direct	4100	C-dn	500-1	500-1	500-1½

Procedure turn E side of crs, 198° Outbnd, 018° Inbnd, 4700′ within 10 miles.
Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 4100′; over 2.5-mile DME Fix (R 018°) 3379′.
Crs and distance, facility to airport, 018°—5 miles.
If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 5 miles after passing LBF VOR, climb to 4700′ on R 018° within 15 miles, make right turn and return to LBF VOR.
CAUTION: Aircraft departing N or northwestbound should plan flight to avoid 3627′ tower, 4.5 miles NNW of airport.
NOTE: Final approach from holding pattern at VOR not authorized. Procedure turn required.
*300-1 required for takeoff Runways 26 and 30.
MSA within 25 miles of facility: 000°-090°—4600′; 090°-180°—4200′; 180°-270°—4300′; 270°-360°—4700′.

City, North Platte; State, Nebr.; Airport name, Lee Bird Field (Municipal); Elev., 2779′; Fac. Class., L-BVORTAC; Ident., LBF; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 10; Eff. date, 8 Oct. 66; Sup. Amdt. No. 9; Dated, 2 July 66

				T-dn	300-1	300-1	NA
				C-dn	1000-2	1000-2	NA
				A-dn	NA	NA	NA

Procedure turn S side of crs, 324° Outbnd, 144° Inbnd, 2000′ within 10 miles.
Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 1800′.
Crs and distance, facility to airport, 144°—14.6 miles.
Minimum altitude 1149′ within 6 miles after passing HTM VOR.
If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within *6 miles after passing HTM VOR, make a climbing left turn to 2000′ returning to the HTM VOR. Hold SW, right turns, 1-minute, 060° Inbnd.
NOTE: Use NAS South Weymouth altimeter setting.
*Distance from point of visual contact to airport, 8.6 miles.
MSA within 25 miles of facility: 000°-090°—1900′; 090°-180°—1500′; 180°-360°—2500′.

City, Plymouth; State, Mass.; Airport name, Plymouth Municipal; Elev., 149′; Fac. Class., L-BVORTAC; Ident., HTM; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 1; Eff. date, 8 Oct. 66; Sup. Amdt. No. Orig.; Dated, 24 July 65

PROCEDURE CANCELED, EFFECTIVE 8 OCT. 1966.

City, Salina; State, Kans.; Airport name, Salina Municipal; Elev., 1332′; Fac. Class., H-BVORTAC; Ident., SLN; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 6; Eff. date, 30 July 66; Sup. Amdt. No. 5; Dated, 30 Sept. 61

				T-dn	300-1	300-1	NA
				C-d	1000-1	1000-1	NA
				C-n	1000-2	1000-2	NA
				A-dn	NA	NA	NA

Radar available.
Procedure turn E side of crs, 022 Outbnd, 202° Inbnd, 2000′ within 10 miles.
Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 2000′.
Crs and distance, facility to airport, 202°—11.5 miles.
Minimum altitude within 6 miles after passing HTM VOR, 1040′.
If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within *6 miles after passing HTM VOR, make right-climbing turn to 2000′ return to HTM VOR, hold SW on R 240°, 1-minute right turns, 060° Inbnd.
NOTE: Use NAS South Weymouth altimeter setting.
*Distance from point of visual contact to airport, 5.5 miles.
MSA within 25 miles of facility: 000°-090°—1900′; 090°-180°—1500′; 180°-360°—2500′.

City, Taunton; State, Mass.; Airport name, Taunton Municipal; Elev., 42′; Fac. Class., L-BVORTAC; Ident., HTM; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 2; Eff. date, 8 Oct. 66; Sup. Amdt. No. 1; Dated, 5 June 65

3. By amending the following terminal very high frequency omnirange (TerVOR) procedures prescribed in § 97.13 to read:

TERMINAL VOR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles.

If an instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Agency. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitudes shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

Transition				Ceiling and visibility minimums			
From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less: 65 knots or less	2-engine or less: More than 65 knots	More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
HBG VOR	LUL VOR	Direct	2000	T-dn	300-1	300-1	200-½
Louin Int	LUL VOR	Direct	2300	C-dn	700-1	700-1	700-1½
				S-dn-13*	700-1	700-1	700-1
				A-dn#	800-2	800-2	800-2
				If Soso Int received, the following minimums apply; dual VOR equipment required:			
				C-dn	500-1	500-1	500-1½
				S-dn-13%	500-1	500-1	500-1

Procedure turn W side of crs, 325° Outbnd, 145° Inbnd, 1700′ within 10 miles.
Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 900′ (700′ if Soso Int identified).
Facility on airport. Crs and distance, Soso Int to Runway 13, 145°—3.7 miles.
If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 0 mile of LUL VOR, turn right, climb to 1700′, return to LUL VOR, hold NW 325° radial, 145° Inbnd, 1-minute right turns.
CAUTION: Check latest issue Airman's Information Manual for information on oil burner routes in this area.
*Reduction not authorized.
%Reduction below ¾ mile not authorized.
#Alternate minimums authorized for air carriers only; provided such air carriers have approval of their arrangement for weather service at this airport. Weather service not available to the general public.
MSA within 25 miles of facility: 000°-360°—1900′.

City, Laurel; State, Miss.; Airport name, Municipal; Elev., 238′; Fac. Class., L-BVOR; Ident., LUL; Procedure No. TerVOR-13, Amdt. 3; Eff. date, 8 Oct. 66; Sup. Amdt. No. 2; Dated, 2 Oct. 65

From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less: 65 knots or less	2-engine or less: More than 65 knots	More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
LGB VOR	Sail Int	Direct	2500	T-dn%	300-1	300-1	200-½
OCN VOR	Sail Int	Direct	4000	C-dn	500-1	500-1	500-1½
Sail Int	Newport Int (final)	Direct	1500	S-dn-1L	500-1	500-1	500-1
ONT VOR	SNA VOR	Direct	5000	A-dn*	800-2	800-2	800-2
Int LAX, R 123° and SNA VOR, R 199°	Sail Int	Direct	2500				

Radar available.
Procedure turn S side of crs, 199° Outbnd, 019° Inbnd, 2500′ within 10 miles of Newport Int.
Minimum altitude over Newport Int on final approach crs, 1500′.
Crs and distance, Newport Int to airport, 019°—4.5 miles. Breakoff to Runway 1L, 013°—0.7 mile.
If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 0 mile of SNA VOR, turn left and climb to 2000′ on R 199° to Newport Int.
NOTE: Use El Toro Altimeter setting when control zone is not effective.
%IFR departures. Takeoffs all runways. Eastbound (020° through 189°): Unless otherwise directed by ATC, climb via SNA VOR, R 190° to 2000′, then via assigned route. Westbound (190° through 020°): On-course climb approved.
*Weather service 0600 to 2300.
MSA within 25 miles of facility: 045°-135°—6700′; 135°-225°—2100′; 225°-315°—2500′; 315°-045°—5200′.

City, Santa Ana; State, Calif.; Airport name, Orange County; Elev., 53′; Fac. Class., L-VOR; Ident., SNA; Procedure No. VOR-1L, Amdt. 2; Eff. date, 8 Oct. 66; Sup. Amdt. No. 1; Dated, 6 Nov. 65

From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less: 65 knots or less	2-engine or less: More than 65 knots	More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
Prado Int	Olive Int	Direct	3000	T-dn%	300-1	300-1	200-½
ONT VOR	Olive Int	Direct	4500	C-dn	700-1	700-1	700-1½
POM VOR	Olive Int	Direct	3000	A-dn*	800-2	800-2	800-2
Olive Int	Tustin Int (final)	Direct	1900	If Lane Int received, the following minimums apply, Dual VOR required:			
				C-dn	500-1	500-1	500-1½
				S-dn-19R#	400-1	400-1	400-1

Radar available.
Procedure turn W side of crs, 360° Outbnd, 180° Inbnd, 3000′ within 10 miles of Tustin Int.
Minimum altitude over Tustin Int on final approach crs, 1900′, over Olive Int, 3000′; over Lane Int, 753′.
Crs and distance, Tustin Int to facility, 180°—5.5 miles. Breakoff point to runway, 194°—0.6 mile; Lane Int to facility, 180°—2.6 miles.
If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished at the SNA VOR, climb to 2000′ on R 199° to Newport Int.
NOTE: Use El Toro altimeter setting when control zone is not effective.
%IFR departures. Takeoff all runways. Eastbound (020° through 189°); Unless otherwise directed by ATC, climb via SNA VOR R 190° to 2000′, then via assigned route. Westbound (190° through 020°): On-course climb approved.
*Weather service 0600–2300.
#400-¾ authorized, except for 4-engine turbojet aircraft, with operative high-intensity runway lights.
MSA within 25 miles of facility: 045°-135°—6700′; 135°-225°—2100′; 225°-315°—2500′; 315°-045°—5200′.

City, Santa Ana; State, Calif.; Airport name, Orange County; Elev., 53′; Fac. Class., L-VOR; Ident., SNA; Procedure No. VOR-19R, Amdt. 7; Eff. date, 8 Oct. 66; Sup. Amdt. No. 6; Dated, 16 Oct. 65

4. By amending the following very high frequency omnirange—distance measuring equipment (VOR/DME) procedures prescribed in § 97.15 to read:

VOR/DME STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles.

If an instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Agency. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitudes shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

Transition				Ceiling and visibility minimums			
From—	To—.	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less		More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
					65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	
Porpoise Int (21-mile DME Fix, R 320°)	10-mile DME Fix, R 320°	Direct	3000	T-dn#	300-1	300-1	200-½
10-mile DME Fix, R 320°	1-mile DME Fix, R 320° (final)	Direct	700	C-dn	600-1	600-1	600-1½
13-mile DME Fix, R 278°	13-mile DME Fix, R 320°	Via 13-mile Arc	5000	A-dn	800-2	800-2	800-2
13-mile DME Fix, R 320°	10-mile DME Fix, R 320°	Direct	3000				

Procedure turn not authorized.
Straight-in from Porpoise Int (21-mile DME Fix, R 320°) only.
Facility on airport.
Minimum altitude on final approach crs, 700′ at 1-mile DME Fix.
If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished at 1-mile DME Fix of OGG VORTAC, turn left, intercept R 027° climbing to 3000′ within 20 miles; reverse crs and return to VORTAC at 5000′, or when authorized by ATC, proceed to 13-mile DME Fix, R 027° and hold NE left turns.
CAUTION: 1. 570′ tower, 4 miles W of airport. 2. Runway 20 restricted to 5290′ available for landing due trees in approach path.
#Takeoff minimums Runways 23, 20, and 17 are 600-1, and all aircraft must cross airport under visual conditions prior to departing on crs. All IFR aircraft must comply with published Kahului SID's.
MSA within 25 miles of facility: 000°-090°—4300′; 090°-180°—12,100′; 180°-270°—7800′; 270°-360°—7000′.

City, Kahului, Maui; State, Hawaii; Airport name, Kahului; Elev., 57′; Fac. Class., H-BVORTAC; Ident., OGG; Procedure No. VOR/DME No. 2, Amdt. 2; Eff. date, 8 Oct. 66; Sup. Amdt. No. 1; Dated, 26 Feb. 66

From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
20-mile DME Fix, R 003°	OTH VORTAC	Direct	3000	T-dn%	300-1	300-1	200-½
15-mile DME Fix, R 024°	OTH VORTAC	Direct	3000	C-dn	800-1	800-1	800-1½
10-mile DME Fix, R 091°	OTH VORTAC	Direct	3000	A-dn	1000-2	1000-2	1000-2
20-mile DME Fix, R 162°	OTH VORTAC	Direct	3000				
20-mile DME Fix, R 344°	OTH VORTAC	Direct	3000				

Procedure turn S side of crs, 250° Outbnd, 070° Inbnd, 2000′ within 13 miles.
Minimum altitude over 3.5-mile DME Fix on final approach crs, 800′.
If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished at 3.5-mile DME Fix, R 250° (Inbnd, 070°) turn left, intercept and climb on R 250° to 2000′ within 13 miles.
NOTE: When authorized by ATC, DME may be used between OTH R 162° and R 003° clockwise within 10 miles at 2000′ with elimination of procedure turn.
%Takeoffs Runways 4, 31, and 34 turn left; takeoffs Runways 13, 16, and 22 turn right; intercept R 250° and climb westbound on R 250° to 500′, thence return to VOR via R 250° climbing to cross VOR at or above 1000′.

City, North Bend; State, Oreg.; Airport name, North Bend Municipal; Elev., 14′; Fac. Class., L-BVORTAC; Ident., OTH; Procedure No. VOR/DME No. 1, Amdt. Orig.; Eff. date, 8 Oct. 66

5. By amending the following instrument landing system procedures prescribed in § 97.17 to read:

ILS STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles.

If an instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Agency. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitudes shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

Transition				Ceiling and visibility minimums			
From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less		More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
					65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	
CAE VOR	LOM	Direct	1900	T-dn	300-1	300-1	200-½
White Rock Int	LOM	Direct	2000	C-dn	500-1	500-1	500-1½
Lexington Int	LOM (final)	Direct	1900	S-dn-10*	200-½	200-½	200-½
Int CAE VOR, R 253° and 360° crs CA LOM.	LOM	Direct	1900	A-dn	600-2	600-2	600-2
Int CAE VOR, R 006° and 260° crs CA LOM.	LOM	Direct	1900				

Procedure turn S side of crs, 287° Outbnd, 107° Inbnd, 1900′ within 10 miles.
Minimum altitude at glide slope interception Inbnd, 1900′.
Altitude of glide slope and distance to approach end of runway at OM, 1720′—5.5 miles; at MM, 420′—0.6 mile.
If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 5.5 miles after passing LOM climb to 1900′ on 107° crs from CA LOM within 10 miles, or when directed by ATC climb to 1900′ on R 006° of CAE VOR within 20 miles.
NOTE: Back crs unusable.
*500-¾ when glide slope not utilized.

City, Columbia; State, S.C.; Airport name, Columbia Metropolitan; Elev., 236′; Fac. Class., ILS; Ident, I-CAE; Procedure No. ILS-10, Amdt. 2; Eff. date, 8 Oct. 66; Sup. Amdt. No. 1; Dated, 10 Apr. 65

ILS STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE—Continued

Transition				Ceiling and visibility minimums			
From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less: 65 knots or less	2-engine or less: More than 65 knots	More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
Lawson RBn	LOM	Direct	2200	T-dn	300-1	300-1	200-½
Columbus VOR	LOM	Direct	2200	C-dn	500-1	500-1	500-1½
Marvyn Int	LOM	Direct	2200	S-dn-5*	300-¾	300-¾	300-¾
Geneva Int	LOM	Direct	2200	A-dn	600-2	600-2	600-2
Seale Int	LOM (final)	Direct	2200				

Procedure turn W side of crs, 233° Outbnd, 053° Inbnd, 2200′ within 10 miles.
Minimum altitude at glide slope interception Inbnd, 2200′.
Altitude of glide slope and distance to approach end of runway at OM, 2157′—6 miles; at MM, 623′—0.6 mile.
If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 6 miles after passing LOM, climb to 2200′ proceed to Geneva Int via 046° bearing from CS LOM, or when directed by ATC, climb to 2200′, turn left and return direct to LOM.
NOTE: No approach lights. Glide slope unusable below 693′. Back crs unusable.
*500-¾ required when glide slope inoperative. Reduction not authorized.

City, Columbus; State, Ga.; Airport name, Muscogee County; Elev., 397′; Fac. Class., ILS; Ident., I-CSG; Procedure No. ILS-5, Amdt. 9; Eff. date, 8 Oct. 66; Sup. Amdt. No. 8; Dated, 11 June 66

From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less: 65 knots or less	2-engine or less: More than 65 knots	More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
EPH VOR	MW RBn	Direct	2900	T-dn%	300-1	300-1	200-½
MWH VOR	MW RBn	Direct	2900	C-dn	600-1	600-1	600-1½
Potholes Int	MW RBn	Direct	2900	S-dn-32	200-½	200-½	200-½
Wilson Creek Int	MW RBn	Direct	3200	A-dn	700-2	700-2	700-2

Procedure turn E side of crs, 141° Outbnd, 321° Inbnd, 2900′ within 10 miles.
Altitude of glide slope and distance to approach end of runway at OM, 2523′—4.7 miles; at MM, 1402′—0.5 mile.
Minimum altitude at glide slope interception Inbnd, 2600′.
If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished, 4.7 miles after passing OM, turn left, climb to 2900′ direct MW RBn.
NOTES: (1) Weather service available 0600-2200 local time. (2) Alternate minimums not authorized when weather service not available. (3) Use Ephrata altimeter setting when control zone not effective.
%Takeoffs all runways: Climb direct to the Ephrata VOR, then continue on R 060° within 10 miles to cross the EPH VOR at or above: Southwestbound V2/V448, 2800′; V2N Westbound 2800′.

City, Moses Lake; State, Wash.; Airport name, Grant County; Elev., 1186′; Fac. Class., ILS; Ident., I-MWH; Procedure No. ILS-32, Amdt. 1; Eff. date, 8 Oct. 66; Sup. Amdt. No. Orig.; Dated, 24 Sept. 66

From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less: 65 knots or less	2-engine or less: More than 65 knots	More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
Cape Charles VORTAC	York Point Int (or 5-mile Radar Fix)	249°, CCV	1600	T-dn	300-1	300-1	200-½
Harcum VOR	York Point Int (or 5-mile Radar Fix)	143°, HCM	1600	C-dn	400-1	500-1	500-1½
Norfolk VORTAC	York Point Int (or 5-mile Radar Fix)	339°, ORF	1600	S-dn-24*	400-1	400-1	400-1
				A-dn	600-2	600-2	600-2

Radar available.
Procedure turn N side of crs, 065° Outbnd, 245° Inbnd, 1600′ within 10 miles of York Point Fix.
Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 1600′.
Crs and distance York Point Fix to airport, 245°—5 miles.
If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 5 miles after passing York Point Int, make right-climbing turn to 1600′, proceed to Williamsburg Int. Hold SE, 1-minute right turns.
*400-¾ authorized with operative HIRL, except for 4-engine turbojets.
ILS restrictions: Localizer back crs unusable below 1500′ beyond 5 miles, account of crs roughness.

City, Newport News; State, Va.; Airport name, Patrick Henry; Elev., 41′; Fac. Class., ILS; Ident., I-PHF; Procedure No. ILS-24 (back crs), Amdt. Orig.; Eff. date, 8 Oct. 66.

6. By amending the following radar procedures prescribed in § 97.19 to read:

RADAR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet, MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles.

If a radar instrument approach is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Agency. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitude(s) shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below. Positive identification must be established with the radar controller. From initial contact with radar to final authorized landing minimums, the instructions of the radar controller are mandatory except when (A) visual contact is established on final approach at or before descent to the authorized landing minimums, or (B) at pilot's discretion if it appears desirable to discontinue the approach, except when the radar controller may direct otherwise prior to final approach, a missed approach shall be executed as provided below when (A) communication on final approach is lost for more than 5 seconds during a precision approach, or for more than 30 seconds during a surveillance approach; (B) directed by radar controller; (C) visual contact is not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums; or (D) if landing is not accomplished.

Transition				Ceiling and visibility minimums			
From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less: 65 knots or less	2-engine or less: More than 65 knots	More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
		Within:		Surveillance approach			
225° clockwise	265°	15 miles	2000				
225° clockwise	265°	15-20 miles	2300	T-dn	300-1	300-1	200-½
265° clockwise	350°	20 miles	2300	C-dn	500-1	500-1	500-1½
350° clockwise	225°	20 miles	2200	S-dn# 16, 34, 25	400-1	400-1	400-1
000° clockwise	360°	20-30 miles	2500	S-dn-7	500-1	500-1	500-1
				A-dn	800-2	800-2	800-2

Radar control will provide 1000′ vertical clearance within a 3-mile radius of the 1629′ and 1625′ towers, 18 miles and 2049′ tower, 21 miles NE of airport.
If visual contact not established upon descent to authorised landing minimums or if landing not accomplished, Runway 7: Make climbing right turn to 2200′, proceed to Waterville VOR. Hold SE Waterville VOR R 140°, right turns, 1 minute, 320° Inbnd. Runway 16: Make climbing left turn to 2200′, proceed to Waterville VOR. Hold SE Waterville VOR R 140°, right turns, 1 minute, 320° Inbnd. Runway 25: Climb straight ahead to 2100′, proceed to Toledo LOM. Hold SW Toledo LOM, right turns, 1 minute, 069° Inbnd. Runway 34: Make climbing left turn to 2100′, proceed to Toledo LOM. Hold SW Toledo LOM, right turns, 1 minute, 069° Inbnd.
#400-¾ authorized for Runway 25, except for 4-engine turbojet aircraft, with operative high-intensity runway lights.

City, Toledo; State, Ohio; Airport name, Toledo Express; Elev., 684′; Fac. Class. and Ident., Toledo Radar; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 4; Eff. date, 8 Oct. 66; Sup. Amdt. No. 3; Dated, 22 May 65

These procedures shall become effective on the dates specified therein.

(Secs. 307(c), 313(a), 601, Federal Aviation Act of 1958; 49 U.S.C. 1348(c), 1354(a), 1421; 72 Stat. 749, 752, 775)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on September 1, 1966.

JAMES F. RUDOLPH,
Acting Director, Flight Standards Service.

[F.R. Doc. 66-9863; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:45 a.m.]

Title 17—COMMODITY AND SECURITIES EXCHANGES

Chapter II—Securities and Exchange Commission

[Release 40-4697]

PART 270—RULES AND REGULATIONS, INVESTMENT COMPANY ACT OF 1940

Exemption of Certain Purchase or Sale Transactions Between Affiliated Registered Investment Companies

On May 20, 1966, the Securities and Exchange Commission published notice (Investment Company Act Release No. 4604) (in the FEDERAL REGISTER on June 3, 1966 (31 F.R. 7913), that it had under consideration the adoption of Rule 17a-7 (17 CFR § 270.17a-7) under the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("Act") and invited all interested persons to submit their views and comments upon the proposal. The Commission has considered all the comments and suggestions received and has determined to adopt Rule 17a-7 (§ 270.17a-7) in the form set forth below.

Section 17(a) of the Act prohibits an affiliated person of a registered investment company or an affiliated person of such a person, acting as principal, from knowingly selling to or purchasing from the registered investment company or a company controlled by the registered investment company any security or other property, subject to certain exceptions, unless the Commission upon application pursuant to section 17(b) of the Act grants an exemption from the provisions of section 17(a) thereof. An exemption may be granted if the Commission finds that the evidence establishes that the terms of the proposed transaction are reasonable and fair and do not involve overreaching on the part of any person concerned and that the proposed transaction is consistent with the policy of each registered investment company concerned and with the general purposes of the Act. Section 6(c) of the Act provides that the Commission by rule, regulation or order may exempt any person or transaction or any class of persons or transactions from any provision of the Act if and to the extent that such exemption is necessary or appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the Act. Section 38(a) of the Act authorizes the Commission to issue such rules as are necessary or appropriate to the exercise of the powers conferred upon the Commission in the Act.

Rule 17a-7 (§ 270.17a-7) applies to registered investment companies which are affiliated persons, or affiliated persons of affiliated persons, of each other as defined in sections 2(a)(2) and 2(a)(3) of the Act. For purposes of section 2(a)(3)(C) (of the Act), affiliation based upon control would depend upon the facts of the given situation, including such factors as extensive interlocks of officers, directors of key personnel, common investment advisers or underwriters, etc.

The basic purpose of Rule 17a-7 (§ 270.17a-7) is to eliminate filing and processing applications under circumstances where there appears to be no likelihood that the statutory finding for a specific exemption under section 17(b) of the Act could not be made. In addition, it appears that the interests of investors will be served by the rule (§ 270.17a-7) in that it permits affiliated investment companies which heretofore may have chosen to avoid the application procedures of section 17(b) of the Act by purchasing or selling securities on the open market, thereby incurring duplicate brokerage charges, to avoid the payment of brokerage by effecting such transactions with each other. (Rule 17a-7 (§ 270.17a-7), of course, does not prevent or restrict in any way the filing of an application under section 17(b) of the Act with respect to transactions which are subject to section 17(a) of the Act but not exempted by the rule (§ 270.-17a-7) or by the other rules under section 17(a) (of the Act).)

The exemption from the provisions of section 17(a) of the Act created by the rule (§ 270.17a-7) is available only if the conditions specified therein are satisfied. Such conditions are designed to limit the exemption to those situations where the Commission, upon the basis of its experience, considers that there is no likelihood of overreaching of the investment companies participating in the transaction. It appears to the Commission that where such conditions are satisfied it is appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the Act to exempt certain purchase or sale transactions between affiliated investment companies from section 17(a) of the Act.

The pertinent details of transactions for which exemption is claimed under Rule 17a-7 (§ 270.17a-7) are required to be reported under Item 2.07 of Form N-1R (17 CFR § 274.101) under the Act.

After consideration of the comments received, the Commission has determined to adopt a rule (§ 270.17a-7) which differs in certain respects from that published for comment. The principal revisions are discussed below.

The phrase "or between separate series of a registered investment company" has been inserted in the first paragraph of the rule (§ 270.17a-7), and the corresponding phrase "or of separate series of a registered investment company" has been inserted in paragraph (c) of the rule (§ 270.17a-7). This language reflects the language section 18(f)(2) (of the Act), which describes the securities issued by a series company. In the absence of such language, it might be argued that the separate series of a registered investment company are not "registered investment companies" within the meaning of that term as used in the rule (§ 270.17a-7) and consequently not entitled to the exemption.

Paragraph (b) has been revised substantially to provide for a pricing requirement based on a current market price formula rather than on the 5-day average formula provided in the rule (§ 270.17a-7) as published for comment. Upon further study, it appears that a 5-day average formula might require one of the parties to effect a transaction at a price which is less favorable than the price obtainable in the open market at the time the transaction is effected. Such a result may be inconsistent with the obligation of each investment company to obtain the best price possible in its portfolio transactions, and therefore tend to stultify the use of the rule (§ 270.17a-7). While the revised paragraph refers to an "independent" current market price, sale price, bid and offer, the term "independent" has not been defined specifically in the rule (§ 270.17a-7). The term "independent" with respect to the bid, offer or sale price of a security is used elsewhere in the rules under the federal securities acts, and it is intended that it should be construed for purposes of Rule 17a-7 (§ 270.17a-7) in accordance with existing interpretations of the term.

The text of Rule 17a-7 (§ 270.17a-7), adopted by the Commission pursuant to the authority granted to it in sections 6(c) and 38(a) of the Act, is as follows:

§ 270.17a-7 Exemption of certain purchase or sale transactions between affiliated registered investment companies.

A purchase or sale transaction between registered investment companies, which are affiliated persons, or affiliated persons of affiliated persons, of each other, or between separate series of a registered investment company, shall be exempt from section 17(a) of the Act provided:

(a) The transaction is a purchase or sale, for no consideration other than cash payment against prompt delivery, of a security traded on a national securities exchange;

(b) (1) The principal market for such security is a national securities exchange, and (2) the transaction is effected at the independent current market price of such security on such principal market. For the purposes of this paragraph, the "current market price" shall be the last independent sale price of such security on such exchange if such security was traded on such exchange on such day, or the average of the highest current independent bid and the lowest current independent offer for such security on such exchange if it was not traded on such exchange on such day;

(c) The transaction is consistent with the policy of each registered investment company, or of separate series of a registered investment company, as recited in its registration statement and reports filed under the Act; and

(d) No brokerage commission, fee (except for customary transfer fees), or other remuneration is paid in connection with the transaction.

(Secs. 6(c), 38(a), 54 Stat. 800, 841, 15 U.S.C. 80a-6, 80a-37)

The Commission finds that the foregoing rule (§ 270.17a-7) relieves a prohibition by providing an exemption from the provisions of section 17(a) of the Act and that such rule (§ 270.17a-7) may be made effective immediately. Accordingly, the foregoing rule (§ 270.17a-7) is declared effective September 8, 1966.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL DUBOIS, *Secretary.*

SEPTEMBER 8, 1966.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10148; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:48 a.m.]

Title 18—CONSERVATION OF POWER AND WATER RESOURCES

Chapter I—Federal Power Commission

[Docket No. R-289; Order 312-A]

PART 141—STATEMENTS AND REPORTS (SCHEDULES)

Form No. 12, Power System Statements for Class I and II Systems and for Class IV and V Systems Where Requested

SEPTEMBER 9, 1966.

Power system statements; miscellaneous amendments to FPC Forms Nos. 12, 12-A, 12-D.

Order No. 312, issued in this proceeding on December 20, 1965 (34 FPC ——, 30 F.R. 16106, among other things, amended the Annual Report Form No. 12 by revising certain existing schedules and adding a new schedule. The list of schedules contained in the form which appears in paragraph (d) of § 141.51 of the regulations should have been amended so as to correctly list the current contents of the report form. We are here supplying the inadvertent omission.

The Commission finds:

Notice of this amendment prior to adoption is unnecessary and good cause exists for making it effective immediately.

The Commission, acting pursuant to the provisions of section 309 of the Federal Power Act as amended (49 Stat. 858; 16 U.S.C. 825h), orders:

(A) Paragraph (d) of § 141.51, Part 141, Subchapter D, Chapter I of Title 18 of the Code of Federal Regulations, is revised by changing item 4 in the list of schedules to read "4. Conventional hydroelectric plant data." and adding a new item 4-A to read "4-A. Pumped storage plant data.".

(B) The revisions here adopted shall be effective upon the issuance of this order.

(C) The Secretary shall cause prompt publication of this order to be made in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GORDON M. GRANT, *Acting Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 66-10115; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:45 a.m.]

Title 20—EMPLOYEES' BENEFITS

Chapter III—Social Security Administration, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare

[Regs. No. 4, further amended]

PART 404—FEDERAL OLD-AGE, SURVIVORS, AND DISABILITY INSURANCE (1950-)

Subpart B—Transitional Insured Status Requirements for Old-Age, Wife's and Widow's Insurance Benefits

MISCELLANEOUS AMENDMENTS

Regulations No. 4 of the Social Security Administration, as amended (20 CFR 404.1 et seq.), are further amended to read as follows:

(Sections 205, 227, and 1102, 53 Stat. 1368, as amended, 79 Stat. 379, 49 Stat. 647, as amended; section 5 of Reorganization Plan No. 1 of 1953, 67 Stat. 18, 631; 42 U.S.C. 405, 427, and 1302.)

1. Paragraphs (a), (b), and (e) of § 404.109 are amended to read as follows:

§ 404.109 Fully insured status; beginning August 1961.

(a) *Quarters of coverage requirement.* An individual is fully insured after July 1961, if credited with not less than:

(1) One quarter of coverage, whenever acquired, for each calendar year elapsing after 1950, or, if later, the year in which the individual attained age 21, and:

(i) In the case of a woman, before the year in which she attains age 62, or, if she is deceased and death occurred prior to attainment of age 62, before the year in which she died;

(ii) In the case of a man, before the year in which he would attain, or did attain age 65 or, if he is deceased, before the year in which he died if death occurred prior to attainment of age 65; or

(2) Forty quarters of coverage; or

(3) In the case of an individual who died before 1951, 6 quarters of coverage. (See § 404.113a(c) for an exception.)

(b) *Minimum quarters of coverage required for fully insured status under paragraph (a)(1) of this section.* No individual shall be fully insured under the provisions of paragraph (a)(1) of this section, if such individual is credited with less than 6 quarters of coverage, except as otherwise provided in § 404.113a (a) and (c).

* * * * *

(e) *Table for determining quarters of coverage required for fully insured status.* The following table may be used to ascertain the number of quarters of coverage required for a fully insured status for benefits for months after July 1961 and for the lump-sum death payment where death occurs after July 1961. Instructions for use of the table are set forth in subparagraphs (1), (2), and (3) of this paragraph. The numbers of quarters of coverage which appear in column II may be reduced by the application of the provision of subparagraph (3) of this paragraph. (See § 404.113a for circumstances under which the minimum 6 quarters of coverage may be reduced.)

I. Individual born before Jan. 2, 1930—Year of attainment of age 62—women, age 65—men; year of death	II. Quarters of coverage required for fully insured status	III. Individual born after Jan. 1, 1930—Age in year of death
1957 and prior years	6	28 or younger.
1958	7	29.
1959	8	30.
1960	9	31.
1961	10	32.
1962	11	33.
1963	12	34.
1964	13	35.
1965	14	36.
1966	15	37.
1967	16	38.
1968	17	39.
1969	18	40.
1970	19	41.
1971	20	42.
1972	21	43.
1973	22	44.
1974	23	45.
1975	24	46.
1976	25	47.
1977	26	48.
1978	27	49.
1979	28	50.
1980	29	51.
1981	30	52.
1982	31	53.
1983	32	54.
1984	33	55.
1985	34	56.
1986	35	57.
1987	36	58.
1988	37	59.
1989	38	60.
1990	39	61.
1991 and thereafter	40	62 or older.

(1) *Individual born before January 2, 1930—column I of table.* The number of quarters of coverage required for a fully insured status by an individual born before January 2, 1930, appears in column II on the same line as that in column I on which appears the year in which such

individual will attain (or did attain) age 62, if a woman, or age 65, if a man, or, if such individual died before attaining such age, on which appears the year of death. (However, see subparagraph (3) of this paragraph if the individual had established a period of disability.)

(2) *Individual born after January 1, 1930—column III of table.* The number of quarters of coverage required for a fully insured status by an individual born after January 1, 1930, appears in column II on the same line as that in column III on which appears such individual's age in the year in which such individual died. (However, see subparagraph (3) of this paragraph if the individual had established a period of disability.)

(3) *Period of disability to be excluded.* In any case in which an individual had a period of disability established which is to be excluded in determining the number of quarters of coverage required for a fully insured status (see § 404.109(c)), the number of quarters of coverage determined in accordance with subparagraphs (1) or (2) of this paragraph is reduced by one whenever all or any part of a year falls within the established period of disability.

2. A new section, designated § 404.113a is added after § 404.113 to read as follows:

§ 404.113a Transitional insured status.

The provisions of paragraphs (a), (b), and (c) of this section are applicable in the case of monthly benefits under title II of the Act for months beginning September 1965 on the basis of applications filed after June 1965.

(a) *Old-age insurance benefits.* (1) Quarters of coverage required: In the case of individuals who attain age 72 before 1969, the minimum quarters of coverage required for a fully insured status are reduced from 6, as provided in § 404.109(b), to 3. Thus, an individual who attains the age of 72 before 1969, who does not have the quarters of coverage required by § 404.109(b) for a fully insured status, may nevertheless qualify for an old-age insurance benefit of $35, upon attainment of age 72, if he or she has one quarter of coverage for each calendar year elapsing after 1950 and before the year of attainment of age 65, in the case of a man, or age 62, in the case of a woman, subject to reduction if § 404.109(c) is applicable. In no case, however, may an individual qualify for such benefit if the worker on whose earnings the benefit is based has less than 3 quarters of coverage.

(2) The following table may be used to determine the quarters of coverage required for a man under subparagraph (1) of this paragraph when no period of disability is involved.

Year attained age 65	*Quarters of coverage required*
1954 or earlier	3.
1955	4.
1956	5.
1957 or later	Table in § 404.109(e) applies.

(3) The following table may be used to determine the quarters of coverage required for a woman under subparagraph (1) of this paragraph when no period of disability is involved.

Year attained age 62	*Quarters of coverage required*
1954 or earlier	3.
1955	4.
1956	5.
1957 or later	Table in § 404.109(e) applies.

(4) Effect of period of disability: If the worker on whose earnings the benefit is based has established a period of disability which reduces the elapsed years below 6 in accordance with § 404.109(c), the claimant may qualify for an old-age insurance benefit under this paragraph on the basis of fewer than 6 quarters of coverage (but not less than 3) provided the claimant attains age 72 before 1969.

(5) Amount of benefit: For each month for which an individual is entitled to an old-age insurance benefit on the basis of subparagraph (1) of this paragraph, the amount of his old-age insurance benefit shall, notwithstanding the provisions of § 404.305, be $35.00.

(b) *Wife's insurance benefits.* If an individual is entitled to old-age insurance benefits at age 72 under the provisions of paragraph (a)(1) of this section, his wife, as defined in section 216(b) of the Act (but not a divorced wife as defined in section 216(d)(1) of the Act), may become entitled to wife's insurance benefits upon attainment of age 72 and upon filing application for such benefits, if she attains such age before 1969. For each month for which her husband is entitled to an old-age insurance benefit on the basis of paragraph (a)(1) of this section, the amount of her wife's insurance benefit payable under this paragraph, shall, notwithstanding the provisions of § 404.315, be $17.50.

(c) *Widow's insurance benefits.* (1) Quarters of coverage required; individual died before September 1965: In the case of any individual who died before September 1965, who does not have the minimum 6 quarters of coverage specified in § 404.109(a)(3) or § 404.109(b), whichever is applicable, but otherwise meets the quarters of coverage requirement specified in § 404.109(a) (i.e., one quarter of coverage for each calendar year elapsing after 1950 and before the year of attainment of age 65 or death, whichever occurred first), and whose widow as defined in section 216(c) of the Act (but not a surviving divorced wife as defined in section 216(d)(2) of the Act) attains the age of 72 before 1969, the 6 quarters of coverage specified in § 404.109(a)(3) or § 404.109(b), whichever is applicable, shall, for purposes of determining such widow's entitlement to widow's insurance benefits, instead be:

(i) 3 quarters of coverage if such widow attains the age of 72 in or before 1966,

(ii) 4 quarters of coverage if such widow attains the age of 72 in 1967, or

(iii) 5 quarters of coverage if such widow attains the age of 72 in 1968.

No widow's insurance benefit is payable to a widow under this paragraph for any month before the month she attains age 72. Since only the minimum number of quarters of coverage required for a fully insured status is affected by the year in which the widow attains age 72, the actual number of quarters of coverage required will depend on the year in which her husband attained age 65 or died, whichever is earlier.

(2) The following table may be used to determine the quarters of coverage required for entitlement to widow's insurance benefits under the transitional insured status provision when the worker died before September 1, 1965, and no period of disability is involved.

Year of deceased husband's death or attainment of age 65 (if earlier)	Deceased husband's required quarters of coverage if widow attains age 72 in:		
	1966 or earlier	1967	1968
1954 or earlier	3	4	5
1955	4	4	5
1956	5	5	5
1957 or later	Table in §404.109(e) applies.		

(3) Effect of period of disability: If the worker on whose earnings the benefit is based has established a period of disability which reduces the elapsed years below 6 in accordance with § 404.109(c), his widow may qualify for a widow's insurance benefit under this paragraph on the basis of fewer than 6 quarters of coverage (but not less than 3) provided she attains age 72 before 1969.

(4) Quarters of coverage required; individual died after August 1965: In the case of any individual who died after August 1965, who was entitled, or upon filing application therefor would have become entitled, to old-age insurance benefits under the provisions of paragraph (a) of this section, and whose widow attains the age of 72 before 1969, such deceased individual shall be deemed to meet the requirements of subparagraph (1) of this paragraph for purposes of determining entitlement of such widow to widow's insurance benefits under section 202(e) of the Act.

EXAMPLE. A worker, R, attained age 65 in 1954. He died in 1966 having 3 quarters of coverage. He had not filed an application for old-age insurance benefits. His widow will attain age 72 in 1968. If R had died before September 1965, it would have been necessary that he have 5 quarters of coverage for his widow to qualify under subparagraph (1) of this paragraph. However, since he died after August 1965, and would have become entitled to old-age insurance benefits upon filing application therefor by reason of the application of paragraph (a) of this section, R is deemed to meet the requirements of subparagraph (1) of this paragraph for purposes of determining entitlement of his widow to widow's insurance benefits. Therefore, R's widow may become entitled to widow's insurance benefits when she attains age 72 in 1968, upon filing application therefor.

(5) Amount of benefit: The amount of the widow's insurance benefit under this paragraph for each month shall, notwithstanding the provisions of § 404.330 (and sec. 202(m) of the Act), be $35.00.

3. The foregoing amendments shall become effective on the date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Dated: August 22, 1966.

[SEAL] ROBERT M. BALL,
Commissioner of Social Security.

Approved: September 8, 1966.

WILBUR J. COHEN,
Acting Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10145; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:47 a.m.]

Title 42—PUBLIC HEALTH

Chapter I—Public Health Service, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare

SUBCHAPTER D—GRANTS

PART 53—GRANTS FOR CONSTRUCTION AND MODERNIZATION OF HOSPITALS AND MEDICAL FACILITIES

Mental Hospitals; Modernization Allotments; Competitive Bidding; Release for Good Cause

Notice of proposed rule making, public rule making procedures, and delay of effective date have been omitted as unnecessary in the issuance of the following amendments to Part 53, which relates solely to grants for construction and modernization of hospitals and medical facilities. These amendments relate to the construction and modernization of mental hospitals, allotments for modernization of hospitals and medical facilities, competitive bidding on fixed equipment, and release or "waiver" of the right of recovery for good cause.

These amendments shall become effective July 1, 1966.

1. Paragraph (d) of § 53.1 is revised to read as follows:

§ 53.1 Definitions.

* * * * *

(d) *Mental hospital.* A hospital (including long-term care, intensive care, or both) for the diagnosis and treatment of mental illness.

* * * * *

2. Subpart D of Part 53 is revised to read as follows:

Subpart D—Eligibility, Distribution and Priority of Beds for the Mentally Ill

Sec.
53.31 Mental health services principally for persons residing in the community.
53.32 Mental health services not principally for persons residing in the community.

AUTHORITY: The provisions of this Subpart D issued under sec. 215, 58 Stat. 690, as amended; secs. 602, 603, 78 Stat. 448, 451; 42 U.S.C. 216, 291b, 291c.

Subpart D—Eligibility, Distribution and Priority of Beds for the Mentally Ill

§ 53.31 Mental health services principally for persons residing in the community.

(a) For the purpose of determining need and priority, the State plan approved or approvable under the Community Mental Health Centers Act (P.L. 88-164, 42 U.S.C. 2681 et seq.) shall constitute that portion of the plan under Title VI of the PHS Act (Hill-Burton) for construction of facilities for providing services principally for persons residing in a particular community or communities in or near which the facility is situated for the prevention or diagnosis of mental illness, or care and treatment of mentally ill patients, or rehabilitation of such persons.

(b) Special consideration shall be given to those projects for which the applicant sets forth in his application a reasonable and feasible proposal for the development, within a reasonable period of time, of a program for the provision of those essential elements of comprehensive mental health services prescribed in § 54.212 of this chapter relating to community mental health centers.

(c) An application for the construction of facilities specified in paragraph (a) of this section may be approved under this part only if the Surgeon General determines that funds are not available under the Community Mental Health Centers program (Part 54, Subpart C, of this chapter).

§ 53.32 Mental health services not principally for persons residing in the community.

(a) With respect to facilities for the mentally ill which do not provide services principally for persons residing in a particular community in or near which the facility is situated, special consideration shall be given to those projects for remodeling or replacing services and facilities which do not increase bed capacity, or if services are being expanded, the applicant demonstrates that no alternative plan for provision of such expanded services is feasible.

(b) An application for construction of facilities specified in paragraph (a) of this section may be approved only if it conforms with the State plan approved under Title VI of the Public Health Service Act (Hill-Burton).

(c) The number of beds required to provide adequate hospital services for mentally ill patients in any State or service area shall be determined by the following method: Divide the current average daily census of each hospital by 0.90 (occupancy factor).

(d) The count of existing mental hospital beds shall include the beds in mental hospitals which are not included in the count of beds in any other category, and also beds in any general hospital which are specifically assigned for the inpatient care of patients with mental illness.

(e) Existing mental hospital beds shall be classified as conforming or nonconforming according to plant evaluation standards as set forth in Subpart B of this part.

3. The heading of Subpart I—Priority of Projects is revised to read as follows:

Subpart I—Priority of Projects (Excluding Mental Hospitals)

4. Section 53.91 is revised to read as follows:

§ 53.91 Allotments for modernization.

The allotment to the several States under section 602(a)(2) of the Act for modernization shall be computed as follows:

(a) 33⅓ percent will be allotted to each State on the basis of population weighted by per capita income; and

(b) 66⅔ percent will be allotted to each State on the basis of the extent of the need for modernization of the facilities:

Provided, however, That the Secretary may make such adjustments as are necessary to assure that the allotment to any State for any fiscal year shall not be less than the allotment to such State for the fiscal year 1966.

5. Paragraph (c) of § 53.128 is revised to read as follows:

§ 53.128 Assurances from applicant.

* * * * *

(c) That applicant will perform actual construction work by the lump sum (fixed price) contract method; employ adequate methods of obtaining competitive bidding prior to awarding the construction contract, either by public advertising or circularizing three or more bidders, and award the contract to the responsible bidder submitting the lowest acceptable bid; and will purchase all fixed equipment by adequate methods of competitive bidding (including such fixed equipment as is not purchased through the construction contract) and award the contract to the responsible bidder submitting the lowest acceptable bid, except that competitive bidding procedures need not be employed for the purchase of specific fixed equipment items which are not included in the construction contract where such action is found by the State agency and the Surgeon General, upon written justification by the applicant, to be required by the needs of the program.

* * * * *

6. Section 53.134 is amended by adding a new paragraph (c) to read as follows:

§ 53.134 Good cause for other use of facility.

* * * * *

(c) The facility has been acquired from an agency of the United States (e.g., the Federal Housing Administration under its mortgage insurance commitment program) which has made a

reasonable effort to dispose of it for operation as a public or nonprofit facility.

(Sec. 215, 58 Stat. 690, as amended, secs. 602, 603, 78 Stat. 448, 451; 42 U.S.C. 216, 291b, 291c)

Dated: August 30, 1966.

[SEAL] WILLIAM H. STEWART, *Surgeon General.*

Approved: September 9, 1966.

WILLIAM H. STEWART, *Chairman, Federal Hospital Council.*

WILBUR J. COHEN, *Acting Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 66-10143; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:47 a.m.]

SUBCHAPTER E—FELLOWSHIPS, INTERNSHIPS, TRAINING

PART 61—FELLOWSHIPS

Notice of proposed rule making and public rule making procedures has been omitted in the issuance of the following revision which relates exclusively to the award of fellowships. As amended, Part 61 will be divided into subparts A and B relating to regular fellowships and service fellowships, respectively. The revisions in Subpart A make specific provision for fellowships relating to air pollution, community health services, medical library and related health sciences, communication of information, and writing and compilation of papers and other materials in sciences related to health; restrict sponsorship of fellows to public and other nonprofit institutions; make changes with respect to travel allowances, continuation, and termination; and add provisions relating to copyright and reproduction, inventions, interest, and hearings for fellows whose awards are terminated or not continued on grounds relating to loyalty or moral character. The revisions in Subpart B provide that fellowships may be established for research, studies, or investigations in any health-related field; eliminate the requirement for using a Fellowship Review Board to evaluate candidates for such fellowships; make changes with respect to travel allowances; and add provisions on benefits and training.

These regulations shall become effective immediately on publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Part 61 is revised to read as follows:

Subpart A—Regular Fellowships

Sec.
61.1 Definitions.
61.2 Applicability.
61.3 Purpose of regular fellowships.
61.4 Establishment and conditions.
61.5 Qualifications.
61.6 Method of application.
61.7 Review of applications; committees; awards.
61.8 Benefits: Stipends, dependency allowances, travel allowances; vacation.
61.9 Payments—Stipends, dependency allowances, travel allowances.
61.10 Benefits: Tuition and other expenses.
61.11 Payments—Tuition and other expenses.
61.12 Accountability.
61.13 Duration and continuation.
61.14 Separate consideration of information concerning moral character or loyalty.
61.15 Moral character or loyalty; reference to Special Review Committee; review and recommendation.
61.16 Termination of or refusal to continue award on grounds relating to moral character or loyalty; hearing.
61.17 Termination on grounds other than those relating to moral character or loyalty.
61.18 Publications.
61.19 Copyright and reproduction.
61.20 Inventions or discoveries.
61.21 Interest.
61.22 Nondiscrimination.

Subpart B—Service Fellowships

61.30 Definitions.
61.31 Applicability.
61.32 Purpose of service fellowships.
61.33 Establishment of service fellowships.
61.34 Qualifications.
61.35 Method of application.
61.36 Selection and appointment of service fellows.
61.37 Stipends, allowances, and benefits.
61.38 Duration of service fellowships.

Subpart A—Regular Fellowships

AUTHORITY: The provisions of this Subpart A issued under sec. 215, 58 Stat. 690, as amended, sec. 8, 77 Stat. 400; 42 U.S.C. 216, 1857g. Interpret or apply sections 301, 402, 58 Stat. 691, as amended, 707, sections 412, 422, 62 Stat. 464, 598, sec. 433, 64 Stat. 444, as amended, sec. 308, 74 Stat. 364, sec. 444, 76 Stat. 1073, sec. 3, 77 Stat. 394, sections 394, 395, 79 Stat. 1062; 42 U.S.C. 241, 282, 287a, 288a, 289c, 242f, 289g, 1857b, 280b-4, 280b-5.

§ 61.1 Definitions.

As used in this part:

(a) "Continuation award" is an award made by the Surgeon General, within the period of support recommended by a fellowship committee, without necessity for further action by the committee.

(b) "Noncitizen national" means any person who, though not a citizen of the United States, owes permanent allegiance to the United States.

(c) "Regular fellowship" means an award to support activity not requiring performance of services for the Public Health Service.

(d) "Surgeon General" means the Surgeon General of the U.S. Public Health Service or his delegate.

(e) "Continental United States" does not include Hawaii or Alaska.

§ 61.2 Applicability.

The regulations in this subpart apply to the establishment, award, and operation of all regular fellowships awarded under the Public Health Service Act and the Clean Air Act.

§ 61.3 Purpose of regular fellowships.

Regular fellowships are provided to encourage and promote:

(a) Research and training for research relating to (1) the physical and mental diseases and impairments of man, (2) the organization, provision, and financing of health services, (3) the causes, prevention, and control of air pollution, and (4) medical library and related health sciences and communication of information.

(b) Special scientific projects for the compilation of existing, or writing of original, contributions relating to scientific, social, or cultural advancements in sciences related to health.

§ 61.4 Establishment and conditions.

All regular fellowships in the Public Health Service shall be established by the Surgeon General. In establishing a fellowship or series of fellowships, the Surgeon General shall prescribe in writing the conditions (in addition to those provided in the regulations in this part) under which the fellowships are to be awarded and held.

§ 61.5 Qualifications.

In order to qualify for a regular fellowship, an applicant must:

(a) Meet the Public Health Service requirements of general suitability, including professional and personal fitness.

(b) Have been accepted by a public or other nonprofit institution for the purpose of the activity for which the fellowship is sought.

(c) Be free from any disease or disability that would interfere with the accomplishment of the fellowship purpose.

(d) If a citizen or noncitizen national of the United States, sign and file with the Surgeon General the following statement:

I do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I bear true faith and allegiance to the United States of America and will support and defend the Constitution and laws of the United States against all its enemies, foreign and domestic.

(e) Comply with such other requirements as may be prescribed by the Surgeon General.

§ 61.6 Method of application.

Application for a regular fellowship shall be made on forms prescribed by the Surgeon General. In addition to the information supplied by the applicant in his application, such further information may be required as is necessary to determine his qualifications and fitness.

§ 61.7 Review of applications; committees; awards.

The Surgeon General shall appoint one or more fellowship committees to examine the qualifications of applicants for fellowships and the merits of their proposals for research, training, or special scientific projects. A fellowship committee shall submit to the Surgeon General its recommendations concerning appointments. Awards of regular fellowships shall be made in writing by the Surgeon General.

§ 61.8 Benefits: Stipends, dependency allowances, travel allowances; vacation.

Individuals awarded regular fellowships shall be entitled to such of the following benefits as are authorized for the particular series of fellowship:

(a) Stipend.

(b) Dependency allowances.

(c) When authorized in advance, separate allowances for travel. Such allowances may not exceed amounts prescribed by the Surgeon General for (1)

travel to the place where the fellow is to be located during the fellowship term, and (2) travel to return the fellow at the end of the fellowship term to his home or other place he left to carry out the fellowship, provided that (unless otherwise prescribed by the Surgeon General) such return travel is to or from a place outside the continental United States. No allowances will be granted for shipping personal effects or household goods and no allowances will be granted for transporting dependents, except as authorized by the Surgeon General for travel undertaken by dependents (spouse and/or dependent children only) to or from a place outside the continental United States where the fellow is to be located during the fellowship term and for return from such place or except as otherwise prescribed by the Surgeon General for a particular series of fellowships.

(d) Vacation: Stipends and allowances will not be increased, or be paid beyond the term of a fellowship, on account of vacation an individual might have been entitled to but did not take.

§ 61.9 Payments—stipends, dependency allowances, travel allowances.

Payments for stipends, dependency allowances, and the travel allowances specified in § 61.8 may be made directly to the fellow or to the sponsoring institution for payment to the fellow.

§ 61.10 Benefits: Tuition and other expenses.

The Surgeon General may authorize allowances for payment of expenses, in whole or in part, of tuition, fees, equipment, supplies, attendance at meetings required to carry out the purposes of the fellowship, or other expenses of the activities of the fellow.

§ 61.11 Payments—tuition and other expenses.

(a) *Tuition and fees.* Allowances for tuition and fees may be made to the fellow or sponsoring institution.

(b) *Other expenses; standard or maximum allowances.* Any allowances for equipment, supplies, attendance at meetings, and other expenses shall, except as may otherwise be prescribed herein or by the Surgeon General, be paid to the sponsoring institution. The Surgeon General may establish a standard allowance or a maximum allowance for payment to the sponsoring institution for such expenses.

(c) *Attendance at meetings—fellows sponsored by Federal agencies.* Allowances for expenses of attendance at meetings by fellows who are sponsored by Federal agencies may be paid directly to such fellows.

(d) *Installments.* Payments to sponsoring institutions and to fellows under this section or under § 61.9 may be made in advance or by way of reimbursement and, except as may otherwise be prescribed by the Surgeon General, in monthly installments.

§ 61.12 Accountability.

Payments shall be subject to such requirements relating to accountability as may be specified by the Surgeon General.

§ 61.13 Duration and continuation.

An award period may be any period not in excess of 2 years. The Surgeon General may make one or more continuation awards for an additional period upon a finding of satisfactory progress toward accomplishment of the purposes of the initial fellowship award. Additional support may be provided on appropriate justification after expiration of the period of support involved in the previous award.

§ 61.14 Separate consideration of information concerning moral character or loyalty.

No information in the records or possession of the Public Health Service concerning the moral character or loyalty of a fellow will be made available to any fellowship committee involved in recommending appointments of fellows.

§ 61.15 Moral character or loyalty; reference to Special Review Committee; review and recommendation.

(a) *Moral character or loyalty; reference to Special Review Committee.* Whenever the Surgeon General has substantial evidence with respect to any fellow (1) that the statement filed pursuant to § 61.5(d) was not made in good faith; or (2) that a fellow has (i) been convicted of a crime involving moral turpitude or (ii) engaged in conduct involving moral turpitude (unless in the case of either subdivision (i) or (ii) of this subparagraph, it is established that the fellow is, nevertheless, then a person of good moral character), the Surgeon General shall refer the pertinent records to a Special Review Committee established as prescribed in paragraph (b) of this section.

(b) *Special Review Committee; composition.* The Special Review Committee shall be composed of a representative of the Office of the Surgeon General designated by the Surgeon General as chairman but nonvoting member, the appropriate Associate Director or comparable official of the bureau involved, the Chief of the Division of Research Grants, the Director of the Institute or the Chief of the Division which awarded the fellowship in question, or their delegates, and two additional members appointed by the Surgeon General.

(c) *Information; supplementation.* The Committee may supplement the information referred to it by such correspondence, personal interviews, or other informal methods as necessary in order to make its recommendation as provided in paragraph (d) of this section.

(d) *Review and recommendation.* The Committee shall review the pertinent records, determine whether there is substantial reason to believe that the award should be terminated or not continued either on grounds relating to moral character or on the ground that the statement filed pursuant to § 61.5(d) was not made in good faith, and make its recommendation to the Surgeon General in writing, with reasons therefor, accordingly.

§ 61.16 Termination of or refusal to continue award on grounds relating to moral character or loyalty; hearing.

If, after review of the recommendation of the Special Review Committee, the Surgeon General believes that the award should be terminated or should not be continued, he shall notify the fellow and sponsoring institution in writing that unless a request for a hearing is made by the fellow within 20 days after the fellow's receipt of such notice, his fellowship will be terminated or his application for continuation of the award denied. A copy of the regulations under this subpart and a copy of Part 10 of Title 45, Code of Federal Regulations, shall be enclosed with the notice. The notice shall set forth, as specifically as security permits, the grounds for the questions pertaining to moral character or loyalty. Any such request for a hearing shall be promptly submitted by the Surgeon General to the Chairman of the Departmental Fellowship Review Panel for handling in accordance with such Part 10.

§ 61.17 Termination on grounds other than those relating to moral character or loyalty.

The Surgeon General may terminate a fellowship upon receipt from the fellow of a written request for termination. The Surgeon General shall terminate any fellowship prior to the date it would otherwise expire, if he determines that the fellow's performance is unsatisfactory or that the fellow or the sponsoring institution is unfit or unable to carry out the purpose of the fellowship. The fellow and the sponsoring institution shall be notified in writing of such termination.

§ 61.18 Publications.

Publication, distribution, and disposition of all manuscripts and other materials resulting from a fellowship awarded hereunder shall be subject to the conditions that all such materials shall bear appropriate acknowledgement of Public Health Service support, that fellows shall furnish copies of such publications or other materials as may be requested by the Surgeon General, and to such other conditions as the Surgeon General may prescribe.

§ 61.19 Copyright and reproduction.

Where the work accomplished under a fellowship award results in a book or other copyrightable material, the author is free to copyright the work, but the Public Health Service reserves a royalty-free, nonexclusive, and irrevocable license to reproduce, publish, or otherwise use, and to authorize others to use, all copyrightable or copyrighted material resulting from the fellowship award.

§ 61.20 Inventions or discoveries.

Any fellowship award made hereunder is subject to the regulations of the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare set forth in Title 45 CFR Parts 6 and 8, as amended. Such regulations shall apply to any activity for which fellowship funds are in fact used, whether within the scope of the fellowship as approved or otherwise. Appropriate measures shall be taken by the fellow, the sponsoring institution, and the Surgeon General to assure that no contracts, assignments, or other arrangements inconsistent with the fellowship obligation are entered into or continued and that all personnel involved in the supported activity are aware of and comply with such obligation. Laboratory notes, related technical data and information pertaining to inventions or discoveries shall be maintained for such periods, and filed with or otherwise made available to the Surgeon General or those whom he may designate at such times and in such manner as he may determine necessary to comply with such Department regulations.

§ 61.21 Interest.

Any interest earned through deposit or investment by the sponsoring institution of funds paid pursuant to the provisions of this subpart shall be paid to the United States as such interest is received by the sponsoring institution.

§ 61.22 Nondiscrimination.

Attention is called to the fact that funds paid to a sponsoring institution pursuant to § 61.11 in order to meet the expenses of the activities of a fellow are considered Federal financial assistance to such institution. The institution is thus subject in this respect to the prohibition against discrimination on the basis of race, color, or national origin imposed by Title VI, Civil Rights Act of 1964, and the implementing Regulation of the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare (45 CFR Part 80).

Subpart B—Service Fellowships

AUTHORITY: The provisions of this Subpart B issued under sec. 215, 58 Stat. 690, as amended; 42 U.S.C. 216. Interpret or apply secs. 207 and 208, 58 Stat. 685, as amended, 58 Stat. 686, as amended; 42 U.S.C. 209 and 210.

§ 61.30 Definitions.

As used in this part:

(a) "Service fellowship" is one which requires the performance of services, either full or part time, for the Public Health Service. A service fellow is an employee of the Public Health Service.

(b) "Surgeon General" means the Surgeon General of the U.S. Public Health Service or his delegate.

(c) "Continental United States" does not include Hawaii or Alaska.

§ 61.31 Applicability.

The regulations in this part apply to the establishment of service fellowships in the Public Health Service, the designation of persons to receive such fellowships, and the appointment of service fellows under authority of section 207(g) of the Public Health Service Act.

§ 61.32 Purpose of service fellowships.

Service fellowships in the Public Health Service are for the purpose of encouraging and promoting research, studies, and investigations related to health. Such fellowships may be provided to secure the services of talented scientists for a period of limited duration for health-related research, studies, and investigations where the nature of the work or the character of the individual's services render customary employing methods impracticable or less effective.

§ 61.33 Establishment of service fellowships.

All service fellowships shall be established by the Surgeon General. In establishing a service fellowship, or a series of service fellowships, the Surgeon General shall prescribe in writing the conditions (in addition to those provided in the regulations in this part) under which service fellows will be appointed and will hold their fellowships.

§ 61.34 Qualifications.

Scholastic and other qualifications shall be prescribed by the Surgeon General for each service fellowship, or series of service fellowships. Each individual appointed to a service fellowship shall (a) have presented satisfactory evidence of general suitability, including professional and personal fitness; (b) be free from any disease or disability that would interfere with his carrying out the purpose of the fellowship; and (c) possess any other qualifications as reasonably may be prescribed.

§ 61.35 Method of application.

Application for a service fellowship shall be made in accordance with procedures established by the Surgeon General.

§ 61.36 Selection and appointment of service fellows.

The Surgeon General shall (a) prescribe a suitable professional and personal fitness review and an examination of the applicant's qualifications; (b) designate in writing persons to receive service fellowships; and (c) establish procedures for the appointment of service fellows.

§ 61.37 Stipends, allowances, and benefits.

(a) *Stipends.* Service fellows shall be entitled to such stipend as is authorized by the Surgeon General for each service fellowship or series of service fellowships.

(b) *Travel and transportation allowances.* Under conditions prescribed by the Surgeon General, an individual appointed as a service fellow may be authorized travel allowances or transportation and per diem for himself, travel allowances or transportation for his immediate family, and transportation of household goods and personal effects, in conjunction with travel authorized by the Service (1) from place of residence, within or outside the continental United States, to first duty station, (2) for any change of duty station ordered by the Service during the term of the fellowship, and (3) from last duty station to the place of residence which he left to accept the fellowship, or to some other place at no greater cost to the Government. A service fellow shall be entitled to travel allowances or transportation and per diem while traveling on official business away from his permanent duty station during the term of the fellowship. Except as otherwise provided herein, a service fellow shall be entitled to travel and transportation allowances authorized in this part at the same rates as may be authorized by law and regulations for other civilian employees of the Public Health Service. If a service fellow dies during the term of a fellowship, and his place of residence which he left to accept the fellowship was outside the continental United States, the payment of expenses of preparing the remains for burial and transporting them to the place of residence for interment may be authorized. In the case of deceased service fellows whose place of residence was within the continental United States, payment of the expenses of preparing the remains and transporting them to the place of residence for interment may be authorized as provided for other civilian employees of the Public Health Service.

(c) *Benefits.* In addition to other benefits provided herein, service fellows shall be entitled to benefits as provided by law or regulation for other civilian employees of the Public Health Service.

(d) *Training.* Service fellows are eligible for training at Government expense on the same basis as other civilian employees.

§ 61.38 Duration of service fellowships.

Initial appointments to service fellowships may be made for varying periods not in excess of 2 years. Such appointments may be extended on a year-to-year basis in accordance with procedures and requirements established by the Surgeon General.

Dated: September 9, 1966.

[SEAL] WILLIAM H. STEWART,
Surgeon General.

Approved:

JOHN W. GARDNER,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10144; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:47 a.m.]

Title 50—WILDLIFE AND FISHERIES

Chapter I—Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior

PART 32—HUNTING

Clear Lake National Wildlife Refuge, Calif.

The following special regulations are issued and are effective on date of pub-

lication in the FEDERAL REGISTER. The limited time ensuing from the date of adoption of the Federal migratory game bird regulations to and including the establishment of State hunting seasons makes it impracticable to give public notice of proposed rule making.

§ 32.12 Special regulations; migratory game birds; for individual wildlife refuge areas.

CALIFORNIA

CLEAR LAKE NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

The public hunting of ducks, coots, geese, and gallinules on the Clear Lake National Wildlife Refuge, Calif., is permitted from October 8, 1966, through January 5, 1967, inclusive, but only on the area designated by signs as open to hunting. This open area, comprising 10,600 acres, is delineated on a map available at refuge headquarters, Tule Lake National Wildlife Refuge, Tulelake, Calif., and from the Regional Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, 730 Northeast Pacific Street, Portland, Oreg. 97208.

Hunting shall be in accordance with all applicable State and Federal regulations subject to the following special conditions:

(1) Dogs—Not to exced two dogs per hunter may be used only to retrieve wounded or dead birds.

(2) Boats—Boats are permitted. Motors not larger than 10 horsepower may be used for access to the hunting area. Sculling and airthrust boats are prohibited.

(3) Persons may employ guides while hunting on the area subject to restrictions of State law and regulations.

(4) Abandonment of property—Leaving boats, decoys, or other hunting equipment in other than designated areas is prohibited. Boats, decoys, or other equipment so left 1 hour after close of shooting time will be subject to removal and impoundment. The expense of the removal shall be paid for by the person owning or claiming ownership of the property. Such property is subject to sale or other disposal after 3 months, in accordance with section 203m of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949, as amended (40 U.S.C. sec. 484m) and regulations issued thereunder.

The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern hunting on wildlife refuge areas generally which are set forth in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 32, and are effective through January 5, 1967.

JOHN D. FINDLAY,
Acting Regional Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife.

SEPTEMBER 8, 1966.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10122; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:46 a.m.]

PART 32—HUNTING

Klamath Forest National Wildlife Refuge, Oreg.

The following special regulations are issued and are effective on date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER. The limited time ensuing from the date of the adoption of the Federal migratory game bird regulations to and including the establishment of State hunting seasons makes it impracticable to give public notice of proposed rule making.

§ 32.12 Special regulations; migratory game birds; for individual wildlife refuge areas.

OREGON

KLAMATH FOREST NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

The public hunting of ducks, geese, coots, and gallinules on the Klamath Forest National Wildlife Refuge is permitted from October 8, 1966, through January 5, 1967, and the hunting of snipe is permitted from October 22, 1966, through December 10, 1966, only on the area designated by signs as open to hunting. This open area, comprising 3,675 acres, is delineated on a map available at the refuge headquarters, Tule Lake National Wildlife Refuge, Tulelake, Calif., and from the Regional Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, 730 Northeast Pacific Street, Portland, Oreg. 97208.

Hunting shall be in accordance with all applicable State and Federal regulations subject to the following special condition:

(1) Boats with motors not larger than 10 horsepower may be used for access to the hunting area. Sculling and airthrust boats are prohibited.

The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern hunting on wildlife refuge areas generally which are set forth in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 32, and are effective through January 5, 1967.

JOHN D. FINDLAY,
Acting Regional Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife.

SEPTEMBER 8, 1966.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10126; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:46 a.m.]

PART 32—HUNTING

Upper Klamath National Wildlife Refuge, Oreg.

The following special regulations are issued and are effective on date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER. The limited time ensuing from the date of the adoption of the Federal migratory game bird regulations to and including the establishment of State hunting seasons makes it impracticable to give public notice of proposed rule making.

§ 32.12 Special regulations; migratory game birds; for individual wildlife refuge areas.

OREGON

UPPER KLAMATH NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

The public hunting of ducks, geese, coots, and gallinules on the Upper Klamath National Wildlife Refuge is permitted from October 8, 1966, through January 5, 1967, only on the area designated by signs as open to hunting. This open area, comprising 3,364 acres, is delineated on a map available at the refuge headquarters, Tule Lake National Wildlife Refuge, Tulelake, Calif., and from the Regional Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, 730 Northeast Pacific Street, Portland, Oreg. 97208. Hunting shall be in accordance with all applicable State and Federal regulations subject to the following special condition:

(1) Boats with motors not larger than 10 horsepower may be used for access to the hunting area. Sculling and airthrust boats are prohibited.

The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern hunting on wildlife refuge areas generally which are set forth in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 32, and are effective through January 5, 1967.

JOHN D. FINDLAY,
Acting Regional Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife.

SEPTEMBER 8, 1966.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10127; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:46 a.m.]

PART 32—HUNTING

Columbia National Wildlife Refuge, Wash.

The following special regulations are issued and are effective on date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER. The limited time ensuing from the date of the adoption of the Federal migratory game bird regulations to and including the establishment of State hunting seasons makes it impracticable to give public notice of proposed rule making.

§ 32.12 Special regulations; migratory game birds; for individual wildlife refuge areas.

WASHINGTON

COLUMBIA NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

Public hunting of ducks, coots, and gallinules on the Columbia National Wildlife Refuge is permitted from October 15, 1966, through January 22, 1967, and the hunting of geese is permitted from October 15, 1966, through January 8, 1967, only on the area designated by signs as open to hunting. This open area, comprising 7,554 acres, is delineated on maps available at the refuge headquarters and from the Regional Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, 730 Northeast Pacific Street,

Portland, Oreg. 97208. Hunting shall be in accordance with all applicable State and Federal regulations subject to the following special conditions:

(1) Boats may be used with motors not larger than 10 horsepower for access to the hunting area.

(2) Camping is permitted in designated areas only.

(3) A Federal permit is not required but hunters will report at such checking stations as may be established when entering or leaving the area.

The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern hunting on wildlife refuge areas generally which are set forth in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 32, and are effective through January 22, 1967.

JOHN D. FINDLAY,
Acting Regional Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife.

SEPTEMBER 8, 1966.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10128; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:46 a.m.]

PART 32—HUNTING

Montezuma National Wildlife Refuge, N.Y.

The following special regulation is issued and is effective on date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

§ 32.22 Special regulations; upland game; for individual wildlife refuge areas.

NEW YORK

MONTEZUMA NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

The public hunting of gray squirrels, cottontail rabbits, raccoons, foxes, and opossums is permited from December 24, 1966, to February 28, 1967, inclusive, on the Montezuma National Wildlife Refuge, N.Y., except on areas designated by signs as closed. Hunting is prohibited on Sundays. The open area, comprising 6,269 acres, is delineated on maps available at refuge headquarters, 4 miles east of Seneca Falls, N.Y., and from the Regional Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, U.S. Post Office and Courthouse, Boston, Mass. 02109.

Hunting shall be in accordance with all other applicable State regulations governing the hunting of the above mammals.

The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern hunting on wildlife refuge areas generally, which are set forth in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 32, and are effective through February 28, 1967.

EUGENE E. CRAWFORD,
Acting Regional Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife.

SEPTEMBER 9, 1966.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10123; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:46 a.m.]

PART 32—HUNTING

Baskett Slough National Wildlife Refuge, Oreg.

The following special regulation is isued and is effective on date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

§ 32.22 Special regulations; upland game; for individual wildlife refuge areas.

OREGON

BASKETT SLOUGH NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

The public hunting of pheasants and quail is permitted on the Baskett Slough National Wildlife Refuge, Oreg., from October 22 through November 6, 1966, on the area designated by signs as open to hunting. The open area, comprising 580 acres, is delineated on a map available at refuge headquarters, William L. Finley National Wildlife Refuge, Corvallis, Oreg., and from the Regional Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, 730 Northeast Pacific Street, Portland, Oreg. 97208.

Hunting shall be in accordance with all applicable State and Federal regulations.

The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern hunting on wildlife refuge areas generally which are set forth in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 32, and are effective through November 6, 1966.

JOHN D. FINDLAY,
Acting Regional Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife.

SEPTEMBER 8, 1966.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10125; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:46 a.m.]

PART 32—HUNTING

Montezuma National Wildlife Refuge, N.Y.

The following special regulation is issued and is effective on date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

§ 32.32 Special regulations; big game; for individual wildlife refuge areas.

NEW YORK

MONTEZUMA NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

Public hunting of deer on the Montezuma National Wildlife Refuge, N.Y., is permitted except on the areas designated by signs as closed. The open area, comprising 3,639 acres, is delineated on maps available at refuge headquarters, 4 miles east of Seneca Falls, N.Y., and from the Regional Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Boston, Mass. 02109. Hunting shall be in accordance with all applicable State regulations covering the hunting of deer subject to the following special conditions:

(1) The open season is Mondays, Wednesdays, and Fridays from November 21 to December 5, 1966, inclusive. Actual dates open are November 21, November 23, November 25, November 28, November 30, December 2 and December 5, 1966.

(2) Only longbows may be used. No gun hunting will be allowed.

(3) Deer of either sex may be taken.

The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern hunting on wildlife refuge areas generally, which are set forth in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 32, and are effective through December 5, 1966.

EUGENE E. CRAWFORD,
Acting Regional Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife.

SEPTEMBER 9, 1966.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10124; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:46 a.m.]

Title 43—PUBLIC LANDS: INTERIOR

Chapter II—Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior

SUBCHAPTER D—RANGE MANAGEMENT (4000)

[Circular No. 2213]

PART 4110—GRAZING ADMINISTRATION (INSIDE GRAZING DISTRICTS) (THE FEDERAL RANGE CODE FOR GRAZING DISTRICTS)

Subpart 4111—Awards of Grazing Privileges

Subpart 4115—Records and Administrative Procedures

GRAZING REGULATIONS FOR PUBLIC LANDS

On page 7628 of the FEDERAL REGISTER of May 27, 1966, there was published a notice and text of proposed amendments of §§ 4111.1-1 and 4115.2(k)(4) of Title 43, Code of Federal Regulations. The purpose of this change is to recognize groups, associations, or corporations as qualified applicants for grazing use by amending § 4111.1-1. In addition, changes in grazing use will be simplified by amending § 4115.2-1(k)(4).

Interested persons were given 30 days within which to submit written comments, suggestions, or objections, with respect to the proposed amendments. No comments, suggestions or objections have been received, and the proposed amendments are hereby adopted without change and are set forth below. These amendments shall become effective at the beginning of the 30th calendar day following the date of this publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

STEWART L. UDALL,
Secretary of the Interior.

SEPTEMBER 9, 1966.

1. Section 4111.1-1 is amended as indicated below:

§ 4111.1-1 Qualifications.

An applicant for a grazing license or permit is qualified if:

(a) He is engaged in the livestock business and is a citizen of the United

States or has on file before a court of competent jurisdiction a valid declaration of intention to become a citizen or a valid petition for naturalization, or:

(b) It is a group, or association authorized to conduct business under the laws of the State in which the grazing privileges sought are to be exercised, all the members of which are qualified under paragraph (a) of this section; provided that the agreement or articles of association under which the association has been formed are approved by the State Director, or:

(c) It is a corporation, the controlling interest in which is vested in persons qualified under paragraph (a) of this section and which is authorized to do business under the laws of the State in which grazing privileges sought are to be exercised; provided that the articles of incorporation have been approved by the State Director.

2. In § 4115.2-1, paragraph (k)(4) is revised to read as follows:

§ 4115.2-1 License and permit procedures; requirements and conditions.

* * * * *

(k) * * *

(4) *Payment of fees; modification in fees due.* No license or permit shall be issued or renewed until payment of all fees due the United States under these regulations has been made. Fees for licenses and permits are due the United States upon issuance of the fee notice and are payable in full in advance before grazing use is authorized. Grazing privileges may be canceled or reduced pursuant to paragraph (d) of this section for failure to pay the fee in accordance with the fee notice. Any licensee or permittee who desires to make a change in authorized grazing use must file, in advance, a written request for such changes with the District Manager. If the District Manager approves the request he will issue an adjusted fee notice.

* * * * *

[F.R. Doc. 66-10129; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:46 a.m.]

Title 45—PUBLIC WELFARE

Chapter I—Office of Education, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare

PART 160—TRAINING PROGRAM UNDER MANPOWER DEVELOPMENT AND TRAINING ACT OF 1962

Allowable Costs and In-Kind Matching

Part 160 of Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, dealing with the rules and regulations for the administration of Part B of Title II of the Manpower Development and Training Act of 1962, Public Law 87-415, 76 Stat. 23, as amended by Public Law 88-214, 77 Stat. 422, 42 U.S.C. Chapter 30 and Public Law 89-15, 79 Stat. 75, is amended as follows:

§ 160.6 [Amended]

Section 160.6(a)(1) is amended by adding at the end thereof "and, in addition, the value of contributed services where no cash payments are made;". The amended paragraph (a)(1) reads as follows:

(1) Salaries, including employers' contributions to retirement, workmen's compensation, and other welfare funds maintained for one or more general classes of employees of the State agency; and, in addition, the value of contributed services where no cash payments are made;

Section 160.6(b)(1) is amended by adding at the end thereof "and, in addition, the value of contributed services where no cash payments are made;". The amended paragraph (b)(1) reads as follows:

(1) Salaries, including employers' contributions to retirement, workmen's compensation, and other welfare funds maintained for one or more general classes of employees; and, in addition, the value of contributed services where no cash payments are made;

Section 160.6(b)(2) is amended by including as an approved allowable cost the rental of publicly owned equipment and by adding a sentence regarding computation of rental cost at the end thereof. The amended paragraph reads as follows:

(2) Acquisition of supplies, training manuals, and materials, and other teaching aids; acquisition, transportation, maintenance, and repair of equipment; and rental of equipment (including publicly owned equipment) to the extent not purchased with funds obtained from the Federal Government or with funds expended for matching purposes under this or any other Federal program. All rental costs of equipment shall be computed on a per year basis or fraction thereof in terms of comparable rental charges in the community or locality for such equipment and, if the equipment is used for other purposes, prorated to the training project use.

Section 160.6(b)(3) is amended by deleting item (v) and substituting in lieu thereof "(v) in the case of publicly owned buildings, rental charges are not in excess of those made to other agencies occupying similar space for similar purposes or, if no such charges are made, the comparable rental that would be paid for such space in the particular locality." In addition, paragraph (b)(3) is amended by adding two new sentences at the end thereof. The amended item (v) and the two new sentences at the end thereof read as follows: "(v) in the case of publicly owned buildings, rental charges are not in excess of those made to other agencies occupying similar space for similar purposes or, if no such charges are made, the comparable rental that would be paid for such space in the particular locality. All rentals of space shall be computed on a square foot basis per year or fraction thereof according to type of space and shall be prorated to the training project use. Rental for space in any building to the extent constructed with funds obtained from the Federal Government or with funds expended for matching purposes under any Federal program is not an allowable cost beyond the cost of utilities and custodial services."

Section 160.6(d) is amended by adding a sentence at the end thereof regarding documentation of salaries and wages. The amended paragraph (d) reads as follows:

(d) *Proration of costs.* Only costs attributable to the carrying out of the provisions of the Agreement are allowable costs. Where only a portion of a total cost is attributable to carrying out the provisions of the Agreement, only that portion which is allowable shall be shown in the budget. Prorated salaries and wages must be documented to show time spent on carrying out provisions of the Agreement and the percent of time spent on each nonrelated activity.

A new section number § 160.16, entitled "In-kind matching," is added. The new section reads as follows:

§ 160.16 In-kind matching.

The total dollar value of in-kind matching, whether of plant, equipment, and/or services, shall be computed annually on a statewide basis for the fiscal year involved, and is allowable not in excess of one-ninth of the Federal payments towards all allowable costs under the Agreement (State direction and supervision, training by public agencies or institutions and, to the extent matching is required, training by private institutions).

The listing of sections is amended to add at the end thereof

Sec.
160.16 In-kind matching.

(Sec. 232, P.L. 87-415, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 2602. Interpret or apply sec. 231, P.L. 87-415, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 2601)

[SEAL] HAROLD HOWE, II,
U.S. Commissioner of Education.

Approved: September 9, 1966.

JOHN W. GARDNER,
Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10140; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:47 a.m.]

Proposed Rule Making

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Bureau of Customs

[19 CFR Ch. I]

CUSTOMS REGULATIONS

Notice of Proposed Rule Making

Notice is hereby given that the Commissioner of Customs has appointed a group to study and rewrite the Customs Regulations with the objective of insuring that the revised version will reflect the recent changes brought about by the reorganization of customs under Reorganization Plan No. 1 of 1965 (30 F.R. 7035) and at the same time will be as complete, clear, concise, and simple as the subject matter will permit in the proper discharge of the Bureau's responsibilities.

Importers, carriers, brokers, and others are invited to submit suggestions for improving the Customs Regulations as indicated above to the Commissioner of Customs, Washington, D.C. 20226, before January 1, 1967. Any such suggestions submitted will be given careful consideration for inclusion in the revised Customs Regulations which will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

[SEAL] LESTER D. JOHNSON,
Commissioner of Customs.

Approved: September 7, 1966.

TRUE DAVIS,
Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10170; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:50 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Research Service

[9 CFR Part 131]

ANTI-HOG-CHOLERA SERUM AND HOG-CHOLERA VIRUS

Notice of Proposed Termination of Marketing Agreement and Order Regulating Handling

Notice is hereby given in accordance with section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 1003), and pursuant to the provisions of Public Law 320, 74th Congress, approved August 24, 1935, as amended (49 Stat. 781; 7 U.S.C. 851-855), and the Marketing Agreement, as amended, and Marketing Order, as amended, Regulating Handling of Anti-Hog-Cholera Serum and Hog-Cholera Virus (9 CFR Part 131), that the Department of Agriculture proposes to terminate the said Marketing Agreement and Marketing Order.

In 1962 the Department of Agriculture, in accordance with the act authorizing the establishment of the National Hog-Cholera Eradication Program (75 Stat. 481, 21 U.S.C. section 114h, 114g), and in cooperation with the several States, initiated a program aimed toward eradication of hog-cholera disease. As a result thereof, in fiscal year 1966, the incidence of hog cholera was confirmed in only 583 swine herds as compared to 1,110 confirmations in 1965, and 1,117 in 1964. Further, the cooperative program with the States has severely limited interstate spread of hog cholera, there being only nine such occurrences reported in fiscal year 1966.

All the States are now actively participating in the National Hog-Cholera Eradication Program. As of January 1, 1966, the bulk of the Nation's swine population—over 97 percent—were in States which are in Phase II or higher in the said eradication program.

The progress of the National Hog-Cholera Eradication Program has brought about a greatly reduced incidence of hog-cholera disease outbreaks, with accompanying reductions in the manufacture and use of anti-hog-cholera serum which, together with the diminishing need for such serum, tend to indicate that the said Marketing Agreement and Order are no longer necessary in the public interest.

A principal purpose for the Marketing Agreement and Order was to provide a substantial and readily available anti-hog-cholera serum reserve to protect the Nation against disastrous outbreaks of hog-cholera disease. The serum reserve inventory of producers, as reported for each of the years since 1962, has steadily declined, to wit: 355 million cc's in 1963, 321 million cc's in 1964, 287.6 million cc's in 1965, and 121 million cc's in 1966.

Concurrent with the reduced demand for serum and the much lower serum inventory in 1966, several producers did not have the 40 percent reserve in 1966. This situation tends to indicate that the Marketing Agreement and Order may be imposing an economically inequitable or unreasonable requirement on the producers of anti-hog-cholera serum. It is anticipated that the need for serum will continue to decline with resultant lower inventories.

With the progress being made in the national program for the eradication of hog-cholera disease, and the demonstrated capabilities of the administering officials of the said eradication program to rapidly detect and control outbreaks of hog cholera, there appears to be little probability for an occurrence of an epizootic of hog cholera and the need for the maintenance of a substantial anti-hog-cholera serum reserve no longer exists.

In the circumstances, it is proposed that with the exception of the provisions dealing with liquidation of the affairs of the Control Agency (9 CFR 131.113) the said Marketing Agreement and Marketing Order Regulating the Handling of Anti-Hog-Cholera Serum and Hog-Cholera Virus be terminated, effective midnight on December 31, 1966.

Any person who wishes to submit written data, views, or arguments concerning this proposed termination may do so by filing them with the Director, Veterinary Biologics Division, Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Federal Center Building, Hyattsville, Md. 20782, within 60 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

All written submissions made pursuant to this notice will be made availible for public inspection as such times and places and in a manner convenient to the public business (7 CFR 1.27(b)).

Done at Washington, D.C., this 13th day of September 1966.

R. J. ANDERSON,
Deputy Administrator,
Agricultural Research Service.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10167; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:48 a.m.]

Consumer and Marketing Service

[7 CFR Part 1012]

[Docket No. AO-347-A1]

MILK IN TAMPA BAY MARKETING AREA

Notice of Recommended Decision and Opportunity To File Written Exceptions on Proposed Amendments to Tentative Marketing Agreement and to Order

Pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure governing the formulation of marketing agreements and marketing orders (7 CFR Part 900), notice is hereby given of the filing with the Hearing Clerk of this recommended decision with respect to proposed amendments to the tentative marketing agreement and order regulating the handling of milk in the Tampa Bay marketing area. Interested parties may file written exceptions to this decision with the Hearing Clerk, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250, by the 10th day after publication of this decision in the FEDERAL REGISTER. The exceptions should be filed in quadruplicate. All written submissions made pursuant to this notice will be made available for public inspection at the office of the Hearing Clerk during regular business hours (7 CFR 1.27(b)).

Preliminary statement. The hearing on the record of which the proposed amendments, as hereinafter set forth, to the tentative marketing agreement and

to the order as amended, were formulated, was conducted at Tampa, Fla., on March 7, 1966, pursuant to notice thereof which was issued February 18, 1966 (31 F.R. 3125).

The material issues on the record of the hearing relate to:

1. Producer-handler definition, and
2. Classification of milk shake mix.

Findings and conclusions. The following findings and conclusions on the material issues are based on evidence presented at the hearing and the record thereof:

1. *Producer - handler definition.* A producer-handler should be allowed to dispose of Class II products received from any source without losing his producer-handler status. No other change in the producer-handler definition should be made.

The order now provides that a producer-handler cannot dispose of any Class II products except those produced in his own plant or received from pool plants. The disposition of other Class II products would cause him to lose his producer-handler status.

The operator of a nonpool manufacturing plant proposed the recommended change in the producer-handler definition. The plant manufactures sour cream, a Class II product; and yogurt, cottage cheese and "dips", all Class III products. Before the Tampa Bay order became effective, the presently designated producer-handlers in the area purchased these products, particularly sour cream, from the operator of the nonpool plant. With the advent of the order, these persons ceased purchasing his products because they did not want to lose their producer-handler status by selling a Class II product purchased from a nonpool source.

Handlers and producer groups in the market opposed such a change in the order. They argued that with this change producer-handlers could enhance their competitive position relative to other handlers and producers in the market. They urged that, instead, more stringent restrictions are necessary. It was suggested that a producer-handler be allowed to sell only those Class II products made in his own plant.

The source of any Class II products sold by a producer-handler should not be a factor affecting his status under the order. Reliance on sources other than his own production or pool plants for Class II products would not provide a producer-handler with any significant competitive advantage over regulated handlers with respect to the sale of such products.

The Tampa Bay order Class II price was established at a level which would make producer milk competitive with concentrated milk products from uninspected sources. Such products are often used in making Class II products. Whether regulated handlers use producer milk or concentrated milk products to make Class II products, their product cost would be comparable to the product cost of Class II items processed by nonpool plants. In this circumstance, producer-handlers obtaining Class II products from nonpool plants for resale would not have a price advantage over regulated handlers on such items.

Under the present order, a producer-handler's status is not contingent on the source of Class III products which he may distribute. Since both Class II and Class III products may be made from non-Grade A milk, there is no economic reason to treat a producer-handler differently with respect to the source of Class II products which he sells.

Handlers asked for other changes in the producer-handler definition. They proposed that a producer-handler with Class I sales of over 200,000 pounds per month be fully regulated and that a person who loses his producer-handler status and become a fully regulated handler in 1 month may not qualify as a producer-handler in the following 11 months.

In January 1966, five handlers with own farm production qualified as producer-handlers under the order. Their gross Class I sales that month were 5.9 percent of the gross Class I sales of all handlers under the Tampa Bay order. Of the five producer-handlers, two had monthly Class I sales of over 200,000 pounds.

There is no indication that these larger producer-handlers have a cost advantage over regulated handlers on Class I milk or are a disruptive factor in the market. Moreover, it was not established that their exemption from the pooling and pricing provisions affect adversely the competitive position in the market of regulated handlers or producers.

In proposing that a producer-handler who fails to qualify as such in 1 month lose his producer-handler status for the next 11 months, handlers maintained that this would prevent him from exploiting the pool by becoming regulated when it is to his advantage, while retaining his exempt status at other times. Such a provision, however, could result in hardship in some instances. For example, an inadvertent failure to meet all requirements for producer-handler status in 1 month could cause a person to lose his designation as a producer-handler for an entire year. The regulatory effect of such action might too often tend to be disproportionate to the relative significance of the requirement that was not met. Since a producer-handler must rely on his own production, he must establish adequate production facilities to assure a sufficient milk supply for his Class I operation. Because of this, it is unlikely that a producer-handler will shift back and forth between his exempt status and that of a regulated handler for the purpose of exploiting the pool.

For the above-stated reasons, the proposal to limit producer-handler status to operations of not more than 200,000 pounds of milk monthly and the proposal that would deny producer-handler status during the succeeding 11 months to a producer-handler who failed to qualify as such in 1 month are denied.

2. *Classification of milk shake mix.* The order should specify that skim milk and butterfat used to produce milk shake mix be classified as Class III.

Including milk shake mix in Class III was proposed by a regulated handler who recently began producing and distributing this product. Because the order does not now specify another classification for milk shake mix, it is currently classified as Class I.

Milk shake mix is a product more nearly comparable to ice cream mix, a Class III product, than to flavored milk or any other Class I product. The ingredients used in its manufacture are butterfat, nonfat dry milk, sugar, flavoring, and stabilizer. The total solids in the end product are in excess of 25 percent. There is no requirement that milk shake mix be made from Grade A milk. It is free from any regulation by local health authorities other than as a food product.

Milk shake mix is generally considered in the same category as frozen dessert and ice cream mixes. As such, it is classified in the same class as such products in a number of other Federal orders. Milk shake mix is sold in Florida in competition with soft frozen desserts, which are readily available in retail food stores. Ice cream manufacturers, who are not subject to order regulation and who handle no Grade A fluid milk products, may and do market milk shake mix in the marketing area. There was no opposition to classifying milk shake mix as Class III in the Tampa Bay order.

Rulings on proposed findings and conclusions. Briefs and proposed findings and conclusions were filed on behalf of certain interested parties. These briefs, proposed findings and conclusions and the evidence in the record were considered in making the findings and conclusions set forth above. To the extent that the suggested findings and conclusions filed by interested parties are inconsistent with the findings and conclusions set forth herein, the requests to make such findings or reach such conclusions are denied for the reasons previously stated in this decision.

General findings. The findings and determinations hereinafter set forth are supplementary and in addition to the findings and determinations previously made in connection with the issuance of the aforesaid order and of the previously issued amendments thereto; and all of said previous findings and determinations are hereby ratified and affirmed, except insofar as such findings and determinations may be in conflict with the findings and determinations set forth herein.

(a) The tentative marketing agreement and the order, as hereby proposed to be amended, and all of the terms and conditions thereof, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act;

(b) The parity prices of milk as determined pursuant to section 2 of the Act are not reasonable in view of the price of feeds, available supplies of feeds, and other economic conditions which affect market supply and demand for milk in the marketing area, and the minimum prices specified in the proposed marketing agreement and the order, as hereby proposed to be amended, are such prices as will reflect the aforesaid factors, in-

sure a sufficient quantity of pure and wholesome milk, and be in the public interest; and

(c) The tentative marketing agreement and the order, as hereby proposed to be amended, will regulate the handling of milk in the same manner as, and will be applicable only to persons in the respective classes of industrial and commercial activity specified in, a marketing agreement upon which a hearing has been held.

Recommended marketing agreement and order amending the order. The following order amending the order as amended regulating the handling of milk in the Tampa Bay marketing area is recommended as the detailed and appropriate means by which the foregoing conclusions may be carried out. The recommended marketing agreement is not included in this decision because the regulatory provisions thereof would be the same as those contained in the order, as hereby proposed to be amended:

1. Section 1012.7 is revised to read as follows:

§ 1012.7 Fluid milk product.

"Fluid milk product" means milk (including frozen and concentrated milk), flavored milk, or skim milk. "Fluid milk product" shall not include sterilized products in hermetically sealed containers or milk shake mix.

2. Section 1012.14 is revised to read as follows:

§ 1012.14 Producer-handler.

"Producer-handler" means any person who:

(a) Operates a dairy farm and a distributing plant from which the Class I disposition (except that represented by nonfat solids used in the fortification of fluid milk products) is entirely from his own farm production;

(b) Receives no fluid milk products from sources other than his own farm production; and

(c) Provides proof satisfactory to the market administrator that the care and management of the dairy animals and other resources necessary to produce all fluid milk products handled and the operation of the processing and packaging business are his personal enterprise and risk.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on September 12, 1966.

CLARENCE H. GIRARD,
Deputy Administrator,
Regulatory Programs.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10134; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:47 a.m.]

[7 CFR Part 1038]

[Docket No. AO 194-A14]

MILK IN ROCK RIVER VALLEY MARKETING AREA

Notice of Hearing on Proposed Amendments to Tentative Marketing Agreement and Order

Pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure governing the formulation of marketing agreements and marketing orders (7 CFR Part 900), notice is hereby given of a public hearing to be held at the Faust Hotel, Rockford, Ill., beginning at 10 a.m., local time, on September 21, 1966, with respect to proposed amendments to the tentative marketing agreement and to the order, regulating the handling of milk in the Rock River Valley marketing area.

The public hearing is for the purpose of receiving evidence with respect to the economic and emergency marketing conditions which relate to the proposed amendments, hereinafter set forth, and any appropriate modifications thereof, to the tentative marketing agreement and to the order.

The proposed amendments, set forth below, have not received the approval of the Secretary of Agriculture.

Proposed by Mid-West Dairymen's Co.:

Proposal No. 1. Amend § 1038.11(a) to read:

(a) A distributing plant from which not less than 50 percent of the total Grade A milk receipts is disposed of during the month on routes and not less than 25 percent of such receipts is disposed of in the marketing area on routes.

Proposed by the Dairy Division, Consumer and Marketing Service:

Proposal No. 2. Make such changes as may be necessary to make the entire marketing agreement and the order conform with any amendments thereto that may result from this hearing.

Copies of this notice of hearing and the order may be procured from the Market Administrator, Room 814, 72 West Adams Street, Chicago, Ill. 60603, or from the Hearing Clerk, Room 112-A, Administration Building, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250 or may be there inspected.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on September 14, 1966.

CLARENCE H. GIRARD,
Deputy Administrator,
Regulatory Programs.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10192; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:50 a.m.]

FEDERAL AVIATION AGENCY

[14 CFR Part 75]

[Airspace Docket No. 66-SO-43]

JET ROUTES

Proposed Realignment

The Federal Aviation Agency is considering amendments to Part 75 which would:

1. Realign J-20, in part from Jackson, Miss., via Meridian, Miss.; intersection of Meridian 089° T (084° M) and the Montgomery, Ala., 282° T (279° M) radials; Montgomery; to Tallahassee, Fla.

2. Realign J-41, in part from Tallahassee, Fla., direct Montgomery, Ala.

The present alignment of Jet Route No. 20 over Crestview, Fla., creates an area of congestion and restricts the flow of IFR aircraft within the Eglin AFB, Fla., complex. The proposed realignment of J-20 would relieve the congestion over Crestview and provide for a more orderly flow of IFR aircraft arriving and departing this complex.

The proposed realignment of Jet Route No. 41 would ensure that this route coincides with the proposed realignment of J-20. In addition, realignment of J-41 would reduce route segment mileage from 161 to 151 nautical miles.

These proposals were discussed at an Informal Airspace Meeting held in Atlanta, Ga., on July 22, 1966, and all comments were favorable.

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rule making by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the airspace docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Southern Region, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Agency, Post Office Box 20636, Atlanta, Ga. 30320. All communications received within 30 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendments. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

An official docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Federal Aviation Agency, Office of the General Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20553. An informal docket also will be available for examination at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief.

These amendments are proposed under the authority of section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on September 9, 1966.

H. B. HELSTROM,
Chief, Airspace and
Air Traffic Rules Division.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10114; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:45 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Food and Drug Administration

[21 CFR Part 31]

CANNED SODA WATER

Proposal To Amend Identity Standard by Listing Stannous Chloride as Optional Chemical Preservative

Notice is given that a petition has been filed by American Bottlers of Carbonated Beverages, 1128 16th Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20036, proposing that the standard of identity for soda water (21 CFR 31.1) be amended to list stannous chloride as an optional chemical preservative for canned soda water.

Grounds set forth in the petition in support of the proposal are that stannous chloride in amounts ranging from 8 to 11 parts per million is effective in reducing solution of iron and the amount of dissolved oxygen in canned soda water beverages, that these effects tend to preserve the quality and increase the shelf life of such beverages, and that the proposed use complies with § 121.101(d) of the food additive regulations (21 CFR 121.101(d)) listing substances generally recognized as safe.

Accordingly, it is proposed that § 31.1 (b)(10) be revised to list stannous chloride as an optional chemical preservative for soda water, but only for canned soda water, as follows:

§ 31.1 Soda water; identity; label statement of optional ingredients.

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(10) One or more of the chemical preservatives ascorbic acid, benzoic acid, BHA, BHT, calcium disodium EDTA, erythorbic acid, glucose-oxidase-catalase enzyme, methylparaben or propylparaben, nordihydroguaiaretic acid, propyl gallate, potassium or sodium benzoate, potassium or sodium bisulfite, potassium or sodium metabisulfite, potassium or sodium sorbate, sorbic acid, sulfur dioxide, or tocopherols, and, in the case of canned soda water, stannous chloride with or without one or more of the preceding chemical preservatives.

* * * * *

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (secs. 401, 701, 52 Stat. 1046, 1055, as amended, 70 Stat. 919, 72 Stat. 948; 21 U.S.C. 341, 371) and in accordance with the authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs by the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare (21 CFR 2.120; 31 F.R. 3008), all interested persons are invited to submit their views in writing, preferably in quintuplicate regarding this proposal. Such views and comments should be addressed to the Hearing Clerk, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Room 5440, 330 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20201, within 60 days following the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, and may be accompanied by a memorandum or brief in support thereof.

Dated: September 8, 1966.

J. K. KIRK,
Acting Commissioner of Food and Drugs.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10141; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:47 a.m.]

Notices

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Bureau of Customs

[Antidumping—ATS 643.3-P]

DISC BRAKE PADS FROM CANADA

Antidumping Proceeding Notice

SEPTEMBER 9, 1966.

On June 13, 1966, the Commissioner of Customs received information in proper form pursuant to the provisions of § 14.6(b) of the Customs Regulations indicating a possibility that disc brake pads imported from Canada, manufactured by Certified Automotive Products, Rexdale, Ontario, Canada, are being, or likely to be, sold at less than fair value within the meaning of the Antidumping Act, 1921, as amended.

Ordinarily, merchandise is considered to be sold at less than fair value when the net, f.o.b. factory price for exportation to the United States is less than the net, f.o.b. factory price to purchasers in the home market, or, where appropriate, to purchasers in other countries, after due allowance is made for differences in quantity and circumstances of sale.

A summary of the information received is as follows: Price lists for home consumption effective January 1, 1966, and April 1, 1966, are substantially higher than the invoiced prices to the importer in the United States.

Having conducted a summary investigation pursuant to § 14.6(d)(1)(i) of the Customs Regulations and having determined on this basis that there are grounds for so doing, the Bureau of Customs is instituting an inquiry pursuant to the provisions of § 14.6(d)(1)(ii), (2), and (3) of the Customs Regulations to determine the validity of the information.

The information was submitted by Arnley, Inc., Pittsburgh, Pa.

This notice is published pursuant to § 14.6(d)(1)(i) of the Customs Regulations (19 CFR 14.6(d)(1)(i)).

[SEAL] EDWIN F. RAINS,
Acting Commissioner of Customs.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10171; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:50 a.m.]

Coast Guard

[CGFR 66-52]

"PARGO"

New London Harbor Closed to Navigation During Launching

By virtue of the authority vested in me as Commandant, U.S. Coast Guard, by Treasury Department Order 120 dated July 31, 1950 (15 F.R. 6521) and Executive Order 10173, as amended by Executive Orders 10277, 10352, and 11249, I hereby affirm for publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER the order of J. A. Alger, Jr., Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, Third Coast Guard District, who has exercised authority as District Commander, such order reading as follows:

SPECIAL NOTICE NEW LONDON HARBOR

Pursuant to the request of the Commander, Submarine Force, U.S. Atlantic Fleet, U.S. Navy and acting under the authority of the Act of June 15, 1917 (40 Stat. 220) as amended, and the regulations in Part 6, Chapter 1, Title 33, Code of Federal Regulations, I hereby establish a Security Zone in the Waters of New London Harbor, New London, Conn. between the latitudes of 41 degrees 20 minutes 32 seconds North, and 41 degrees 21 minutes 03 seconds North, from 1200 e.d.t., on Saturday, September 17, 1966, until the "Pargo" is made fast to the wetdock at the Electric Boat Division of the General Dynamics Corp., Groton, Conn. The launching of the "Pargo" is scheduled for 1230 e.d.t., on Saturday, September 17, 1966. The northern and southern limits of this area will be marked by ranges located on the eastern shore. Coast Guard vessels will be anchored off these ranges between the shore line and the main ship channel.

No person or vessel shall enter this Security Zone without the permission of the Captain of the Port, New London, Conn. No person shall board or take or place any article or thing on board any vessel in the Security Zone without the permission of the Captain of the Port, New London, Conn. No person shall take or place any article or thing upon any waterfront facility in this zone without such permission. This order will be enforced by the Captain of the Port, New London, Conn., and by U.S. Coast Guard vessels under his command. The aid of other Federal, State and municipal agencies may be enlisted to assist in the enforcement of this order.

Penalties for violation of the above order: Section 2, Title II of the Act of June 15, 1917, as amended, 50 U.S.C. 192, provides as follows:

"If any owner, agent, master, officer, or person in charge, or any member of the crew of any such vessel fails to comply with any regulations or rule issued or order given under the provisions of this title, or obstructs or interferes with the exercise of any power conferred by this title * * * or if any other person knowingly fails to comply with any regulation or rule issued or order given under the provisions of this title, or knowingly obstructs or interferes with the exercise of any power conferred by this title, he shall be punished by imprisonment for not more than 10 years and may, at the discretion of the court, be fined not more than $10,000."

Dated: September 12, 1966.

[SEAL] P. E. TRIMBLE,
Vice Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Acting Commandant.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10169; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:50 a.m.]

Office of the Secretary

[Antidumping—ATS 643.3-b]

SHOES FROM POLAND

Determination of Sales at Not Less Than Fair Value

SEPTEMBER 9, 1966.

On July 15, 1966, there was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER a "Notice of Intent To Discontinue Investigation and To Make Determination That No Sales Exist Below Fair Value" because of termination of sales with respect to shoes, leather, men's and boys', welt construction, imported from Poland.

The termination of sales occurred soon after the exporter was advised that price discriminations existed with respect to its sales. The complaint was withdrawn based on assurances that there would be no sales below fair value.

No persuasive evidence or argument to the contrary having been presented within 30 days of the publication of the above-mentioned notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, I hereby determine that because of termination of sales, shoes, leather, men's and boys', welt construction, from Poland are not being, nor likely to be, sold at less than fair value within the meaning of section 201(a) of the Antidumping Act, 1921, as amended (19 U.S.C. 160(a)).

This determination and the statement of reason therefor are published pursuant to section 201(c) of the Antidumping Act, 1921, as amended (19 U.S.C. 160(c)).

[SEAL] TRUE DAVIS,
Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10172; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:50 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Office of the Secretary

CALIFORNIA, ILLINOIS, KANSAS, NORTH CAROLINA

Designation of Areas for Emergency Loans

For the purpose of making emergency loans pursuant to section 321 of the Consolidated Farmers Home Administration Act of 1961 (7 U.S.C. 1961), it has been determined that in the hereinafter-named counties in the States of California, Illinois, Kansas, and North Carolina, natural disasters have caused a need for agricultural credit not readily available from commercial banks, cooperative lending agencies, or other responsible sources.

CALIFORNIA

Modoc.

ILLINOIS

Bond.
Clark.
Crawford.
Cumberland.
Coles.
Edgar.
Effingham.
Fayette.
Jasper.
Macoupin.
Madison.
Monroe.
Montgomery.
St. Clair.
Shelby.

KANSAS

Chautauqua.
Montgomery.
Wilson.

NORTH CAROLINA

Gaston. Vance.
Lincoln. Wayne.

Pursuant to the authority set forth above, emergency loans will not be made in the above-named counties after June 30, 1967, except to applicants who previously received emergency or special livestock loan assistance and who can qualify under established policies and procedures.

Done at Washington, D.C., this 12th day of September 1966.

ORVILLE L. FREEMAN,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10136; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:47 a.m.]

Rural Electrification Administration

VARIOUS OFFICIALS

Delegations of Authority Regarding Powers of Administrator

Pursuant to the authority delegated by the Secretary of Agriculture to the Administrator, Rural Electrification Administration, by sections 116 and 1500 of the Delegations of Authority and Assignment of Functions dated December 24, 1953, effective January 2, 1954 (19 F.R. 74), as amended made pursuant to Reorganization Plan No. 2 of 1953 and other authorizations, the following delegations of authority have been made:

A. Authority has been delegated to the officials listed below to exercise, in the absence of the Administrator, and in the following order of precedence, any powers of the Administrator:

1. Deputy Administrator.
2. Deputy Administrator for Policy and Program Review.
3. Assistant Administrator — Electric, designated to direct the electric program.
4. Assistant Administrator—Telephone, designated to direct the telephone program.
5. Assistant Administrator for Borrower Development.
6. Such other official as shall be designated by the Administrator.

B. Authority has been delegated to the Deputy Administrator to approve or execute:

1. REA bulletins and staff instructions (except those which establish policy) affecting the responsibilities of more than one assistant administrator or of the Information Services Division, Office of Budget, Office of Program Analysis, Personnel Management Division and the Program and Administrative Services Division.
2. Agreements or contracts covering management or operations services between telephone and electric borrowers.
3. Agreements between REA electric and telephone borrowers for the general joint use of facilities.
4. Contracts obligating the administrative funds of REA.
5. In addition, independently, all matters and documents as to which authority to approve or execute is conferred upon others in paragraphs C through DD hereof.

C. Authority has been delegated to the Assistant Administrator—Electric, to approve or execute for electric borrowers:

1. REA bulletins and staff instructions for the electric program (except those which establish policy or involve the responsibilities of another assistant administrator).
2. Action concerning disapproval of the selection of a manager or an attorney by a borrower.
3. Electric wholesale, wheeling, and interchange power contracts.
4. Cash sales of property in place or sales of real estate by borrowers involving transactions of more than $50,000 or more than 10 percent of a borrower's total assets, but not in excess of $100,000, and releases of lien and all other documents relating to such sales.
5. Contracts for acquisition by electric borrowers of existing facilities in place.
6. The use and reimbursement of general funds for construction purposes exceeding $50,000 or 10 percent of a borrower's total assets, whichever is the lesser, when approval is required, except for facilities subject to power supply survey and requiring certification by the Administrator.
7. Authorization for advance of loan funds under "conditional agreements" when the conditions have been met; the placement of "stop orders" on loan funds subsequent to the approval of the loan, and their release.
8. Loan budget adjustments which would constitute administrative approval of the following proposals except those which would provide funds for facilities subject to power supply survey and requiring certification by the Administrator.

a. Alter the capacity of generating facilities.

b. Change transmission facilities to serve loads or to connect to power sources that were not contemplated at the time of loan approval.

c. Increase the cost of headquarters facilities in excess of 10 percent of the amount approved to date.

d. Acquire electric plant.

9. Agreements for the common use of facilities by Power and Distribution borrowers.
10. In addition, independently, all matters and documents as to which authority to approve or execute is conferred upon others in paragraphs D, H, I, J, K, L, M, N, O, P, Q, and Z hereof.

D. Authority has been delegated to the Deputy Assistant Administrator—Electric, to approve or execute for electric borrowers:

1. Basis date agreements on approved forms providing for modification of existing mortgage notes.
2. Changes in borrowers' corporate status.
3. Action concerning payment of dividends or other cash distribution.
4. In addition, independently, all matters and documents as to which authority to approve or execute is conferred upon others in paragraphs H, I, J, K, L, M, N, O, P, Q, and Z hereof.

E. Authority has been delegated to the Assistant Administrator—Telephone, to approve or execute for telephone borrowers:

1. REA bulletins and staff instructions for the telephone program (except those which establish policy or involve the responsibilities of another assistant administrator).
2. Action concerning disapproval of the selection of a manager or an attorney by a borrower.
3. Cash sales and removals of property in place or sales of real estate by telephone borrowers involving transactions of more than $50,000 or more than 10 percent of a borrower's total assets, but not in excess of $100,000, and releases of lien and all other documents relating to such sales.
4. Waiver of mortgage provisions concerning borrowers' payments of dividends or other cash distribution to stockholders or members.
5. Contracts for acquisition by telephone borrowers of existing facilities in place.
6. Interim financing proposals, subject to subsequent approval of a loan or advance of funds.
7. Authorization for advance of loan funds where waiver of loan contract provisions or new or revised administrative findings are involved, except where equity requirements have not been met or where new findings of feasibility (studies) are required.
8. Engineering fee schedules.
9. Loan budget adjustments involving legal or policy questions or a new or revised administrative finding, except where new feasibility findings (studies) are required.
10. In addition, independently, all matters and documents as to which authority to approve or execute is conferred upon others in paragraphs F, R, S, T, U, and AA hereof.

F. Authority has been delegated to the Deputy Assistant Administrator—Telephone, to approve or execute for telephone borrowers:

1. Basis date agreements on approved forms providing for modification of existing mortgage notes.
2. Changes in borrowers' corporate status.
3. First advance of loan funds where waiver of loan contract conditions or new or revised administrative findings are not involved.
4. Cash sales and removals of borrower's property in place or sales of real estate involving transactions not exceeding $50,000 or 10 percent of a borrower's total assets, whichever is the lesser, and releases of lien and all other documents relating to such sales.
5. The purchase price of properties to be acquired by telephone borrowers where the purchase price exceeds REA appraisal value.
6. In addition, independently, all matters and documents as to which authority to approve or execute is conferred upon others in paragraphs R, S, T, U, and AA hereof.

G. Authority has been delegated to the Assistant Administrator for Borrower Development to approve or execute:

1. REA bulletins and staff instructions (except those which establish policy or involve the responsibilities of another assistant administrator) concerning responsibilities of the Borrowers' Financial Management Division, the Rural Areas Development Staff and the offices of the staff specialists for Labor Relations, Member Services, Commission Regulations, Safety, and Architecture.

2. Remedial action plans for electric and telephone borrowers designated as requiring specialized and coordinated attention of Borrower Development and other REA personnel.

3. In addition, independently, all matters and documents as to which authority to approve or execute is conferred upon others in paragraphs V, W, X, and Y hereof.

H. Authority has been delegated to the Area Directors—Electric, to approve or execute for electric distribution borrowers:

1. The award of contracts for construction and for the purchase of equipment where approval of the award is required.

2. Agreements for the joint use of electric borrowers' facilities, except for general joint use agreements between electric and telephone borrowers.

3. Loan budget adjustments excluding those which would constitute administrative approval of proposals to:

a. Provide funds for facilities subject to a Power Supply Survey and requiring certification by the Administrator.

b. Alter the capacity of generating facilities.

c. Change transmission facilities to serve loads or to connect to power sources that were not contemplated at the time of loan approval.

d. Increase the cost of headquarters facilities in excess of 10 percent of the amount approved to date.

e. Acquire electric plant.

4. Agreements between electric borrowers for operation of a borrower's facilities.

5. Waiver of specified defects in title and rights-of-way obtained by borrowers.

6. Cash sales of electric borrowers' property in place or sales of real estate involving transactions not exceeding $50,000 or 10 percent of a borrower's total assets, whichever is the lesser, and releases of lien and all other documents relating to such sales.

7. The use or reimbursement of general funds for construction purposes not exceeding $50,000 or 10 percent of a borrower's total assets, whichever is the lesser, when approval is required, except for facilities subject to power supply survey and requiring certification by the Administrator.

8. Use of nonstandard drawings, materials, and equipment.

9. Designation of borrowers required to obtain audits by Certified Public Accountants.

10. In addition, independently, all matters and documents as to which authority to approve or execute is conferred upon others in paragraphs I, J, K, and L hereof.

I. Authority has been delegated to Chiefs, Engineering Branches—Electric, to approve or execute for electric distribution borrowers:

1. Right-of-way clearing contracts.

2. The selection by borrower of an engineer or architect and contracts for engineering and architectural services.

3. Contracts for construction and for the purchase of equipment.

4. Final inventory documents and payments to contractors and engineers.

5. Financial Requirement and Expenditure Statements and work order inventories.

6. Plans and specifications and work orders for construction of generation facilities and for headquarters, garage, and warehouse facilities.

7. Technical engineering studies of distribution and transmission facilities requiring REA approval.

8. Borrowers' selection of force account method of construction.

9. Proposals for off-peak load control equipment.

10. In addition, independently, all matters and documents as to which authority to approve or execute is conferred upon others in paragraph J hereof.

J. Authority has been delegated to Field Engineers—Electric, to approve or execute for electric distribution borrowers:

1. Plans and specifications for the construction of substations, transmission facilities and nonstandard distribution facilities.

2. Engineering design data including drawings, plans, and profile sheets, etc., for transmission facilities, substations, distribution lines to serve large power loads and other major facilities included in construction work plans.

3. Construction contracts for distribution facilities on REA Forms 790 and 792 not exceeding $50,000 for "Labor Only" contracts or $100,000 for "Labor and Material" contracts.

4. Engineering approval of large power applications.

5. Final statements of engineering fees.

6. Construction work plans.

7. Certificates of completion for distribution and transmission contract construction.

8. Voltage drop, sectionalizing, and power factor studies.

K. Authority has been delegated to Chiefs, Operations Branches—Electric, to approve or execute for electric distribution borrowers:

1. Retail rate contracts between borrowers and others relating to large power installations.

2. Borrowers' cash sales of material and equipment excluding property in place, when approval is required, and releases of lien and all other documents relating to such sales.

3. Insurance and fidelity coverage of borrowers.

4. Special legal fees to be paid by borrowers from loan funds.

5. Borrowers' requests for approval to loan in excess of $2,500 of section 5 loan funds other than to commercial or industrial enterprises.

6. Purchase of real estate by borrowers.

7. Certificates regarding a borrower's incorporation and changes in a borrower's corporate status or name.

8. Affidavits, certificates, and statements with respect to the recording, filing, or renewing of mortgages and deeds of trust, including financing statements under the Uniform Commercial Code.

9. Municipal and county franchises obtained by borrowers from the standpoint of acceptability for REA loans.

10. In addition, independently, all matters and documents to which authority to approve or execute is conferred upon others in paragraphs L.1 and L.2.

L. Authority has been delegated to Operations Field Representatives—Electric to approve for electric distribution borrowers:

1. Long-range financial forecasts prepared and submitted in conjunction with "standard form" loan applications.

2. Economic feasibility determinations in relation to "simplified form" loan applications.

M. Authority has been delegated to the Director, Power Supply Division—Electric, to approve or execute for electric power borrowers:

1. Ageements for the joint use of electric borrowers' facilities, except for general joint use agreements between electric and telephone borrowers.

2. Loan budget adjustments excluding those which would constitute administrative approval of proposals to:

a. Provide funds for facilities subject to a power supply survey and requiring certification by the Administrator.

b. Alter the capacity of generating facilities.

c. Change transmission facilities to serve loads or to connect to power sources that were not contemplated at the time of loan approval.

d. Increase the cost of headquarters facilities in excess of 10 percent of the amount approved to date.

e. Acquire electric plant.

3. Agreements between power borrowers for operation of a borrower's facilities.

4. Waiver of specified defects in title and rights-of-way obtained by borrowers.

5. Cash sales of borrowers' property in place or sales of real estate involving transactions not exceeding $50,000 or 10 percent of a borrower's total assets, whichever is the lesser.

6. The use or reimbursement of general funds for construction purposes not exceeding $50,000 or 10 percent of a borrower's total assets, whichever is the lesser, when approval is required, except for facilities subject to power supply survey and requiring certification by the Administrator.

7. Use of nonstandard drawings, materials, and equipment.

8. Designation of borrowers required to obtain audits by Certified Public Accountants.

9. In addition, independently, all matters and documents as to which authority to approve or execute is conferred

upon others in paragraphs N, O, P, and Q hereof.

N. Authority has been delegated to the Assistant Director, Postloan—Electric, to approve or execute for power borrowers:

1. The award of contracts for construction and for the purchase and installation of generating equipment where REA approval is required.

2. In addition, independently, all matters and documents as to which authority to approve or execute is conferred upon others in paragraphs O, P, and Q hereof.

O. Authority has been delegated to the Chief, Power Plants Branch—Electric, to approve or execute for power borrowers:

1. The selection by borrower of an engineer or architect and contracts for engineering and architectural services.

2. Plans and specifications and work orders for construction of generation facilities.

3. Borrowers' selection of force account method of construction.

4. Final statements of engineering fees.

5. Purchase by power borrowers of generating plantsites.

6. Contracts for the construction and the purchase and installation of generating facilities.

P. Authority has been delegated to the Chief, Transmission Branch—Electric, to approve or execute for power borrowers:

1. Right-of-way clearing contracts.

2. The selection by borrower of an engineer and contracts for engineering services.

3. Technical engineering studies of transmission and distribution facilities requiring REA approval.

4. Borrowers' selection of force account method of construction.

5. Plans and specifications for construction of transmission facilities and for preliminary design data and plans and profile sheets for transmission facilities.

6. Estimate work orders for construction of transmission facilities.

7. Engineering approval of large power applications.

8. Final statements of engineering fees.

9. Certificates of completion for transmission and distribution contract construction.

10. Voltage drop, sectionalizing, and power factor studies.

11. Contracts for the construction of transmission facilities.

12. Purchase of substation sites by power borrowers.

Q. Authority has been delegated to the Chief, Management Branch—Electric, to approve or execute for power borrowers:

1. Final inventory documents and payments to contractors and engineers.

2. Financial Requirement and Expenditure Statements and work order inventories.

3. Retail rate contracts between power borrowers and others relating to large power installations.

4. Borrowers' cash sales of material and equipment excluding property in place, when approval is required.

5. Insurance and fidelity coverage of borrowers.

6. Special legal fees to be paid by borrowers from loan funds.

7. Certificates regarding a borrower's incorporation and changes in a borrower's corporate status or name.

8. Affidavits, certificates, and statements with respect to the recording, filing, or renewing of mortgages and deeds of trust, including financing statements, under the Uniform Commercial Code.

9. Municipal and county franchises obtained by borrowers from the standpoint of acceptability for REA loans.

10. Releases of lien relating to cash sales of property in place or sales of real estate involving transactions not exceeding $50,000 or 10 percent of a borrower's total assets whichever is the lesser.

11. Contracts for construction of headquarters, garage, and warehouse facilities.

12. Plans and specifications and work orders for headquarters, garage, and warehouse facilities.

13. The selection by a borrower of an architect and contracts for architectural services.

14. Purchase of real estate other than substation and generating plantsites.

R. Authority has been delegated to the Area Directors—Telephone, to approve or execute for telephone borrowers:

1. Borrowers' lease agreements and contracts covering management or operations services except those involving electric borrowers.

2. Increases in salaries and other compensation to be paid to borrowers' officers, directors, and managers, pursuant to authority, if any, granted under the REA mortgage.

3. Borrowers' use of nonstandard operating reports.

4. Designation of borrowers required to obtain audits by Certified Public Accountants.

5. The use of equity funds by borrowers prior to the first release of loan funds.

6. Area coverage design report and Form 780.

7. Borrowers' requests to waive competitive bidding for central office equipment where the borrower has existing equipment to be retained.

8. Award of contracts for construction and for central office equipment.

9. Loan budget adjustments as specified except those involving legal or policy questions or new or revised administrative findings.

10. Selection by telephone borrowers of the force account method of construction.

11. Use of nonstandard drawings, materials, and equipment.

12. Waiver of specified defects in title and rights-of-way.

13. Letters to loan applicants setting forth loan requirements proposed for inclusion in a pending loan recommendation.

14. Field trial installations of telephone materials and equipment jointly with the Director, Telephone Standards Division.

15. In addition, independently, all matters and documents as to which authority to approve or execute is conferred upon others in paragraphs S, T, and U hereof.

S. Authority has been delegated to the Chiefs, Engineering Branches—Telephone, to approve or execute for telephone borrowers:

1. Contracts, including amendments thereto for construction and central office equipment.

2. Plans and specifications for central office equipment, radio and microwave equipment, commercial office, garage, and warehouse buildings and for nonstandard central office equipment buildings.

3. Joint use agreements between telephone borrowers and parties other than REA borrowers.

4. The selection by a borrower of an engineer or an architect and contracts for engineering and architectural services.

5. Statement of final engineering fees.

6. Borrowers' proposals and cost estimates for force account engineering and construction.

7. Borrowers' proposals for the purchase of additions and modifications to central office equipment.

8. Contracts and amendments thereto, for the purchase of special equipment such as carrier, radio, repeaters, etc.

9. Financial requirement statements.

10. Final inventory documents and payments to contractors and engineers.

11. Purchase of real estate by borrowers.

12. Loan budget adjustments as specified except those involving legal or policy questions or new or revised administrative findings.

13. In addition, independently, all matters and documents as to which authority to approve or execute is conferred upon others in paragraph T hereof.

T. Authority has been delegated to Field Engineers—Telephone, to approve or execute for telephone borrowers:

1. Plans and specifications for outside plant, standard office buildings, repeaters, carrier equipment, and additions to radio and microwave equipment.

2. Borrowers' proposals for and completed construction of system improvements and extensions, when required.

3. Borrowers' proposals for purchase of repeaters, carrier equipment, and additions to radio and microwave equipment.

4. Selection by the borrower of the method of installing repeaters and carrier equipment.

5. Line extension contracts.

U. Authority has been delegated to the Chiefs, Operations Branches—Telephone, to approve or execute for telephone borrowers:

1. Affidavits, certificates, and statements with respect to the recording, filing, or renewing of mortgages and deeds of trust, including financing statements under the Uniform Commercial Code.

2. Municipal and county franchises obtained by borrowers from the standpoint of acceptability for REA loans.

3. Special legal fees to be paid by borrowers from loan funds.

4. Certificates regarding a borrower's incorporation and articles of incorporation and bylaws and changes in a borrower's corporate name.

5. State regulatory body orders and approvals from the standpoint of acceptability for REA loans.

6. Borrowers' cash sales of material and equipment, excluding property in place, when approval is required, and releases of lien and all other documents relating to such sales.

7. Borrowers' insurance and fidelity coverage.

8. Selection of Certified Public Accountant to perform audits.

9. Forms of stock and equity certificates.

10. Loan budget adjustments as specified except those involving legal or policy questions or new or revised administrative findings.

V. Authority has been delegated to the Director, Borrowers' Financial Management Division to approve:

1. Actions concerning disapproval of the selection of a Certified Public Accountant by an REA borrower.

2. In addition, independently, all matters and documents as to which authority to approve is conferred upon others in paragraphs W and X hereof.

W. Authority is delegated to the Chief, Borrowers' Accounting Branch to approve all matters and documents as to which authority to approve is conferred upon others in paragraph X hereof.

X. Authority is delegated to Field Accountants to approve with respect to REA borrowers:

1. The propriety of the disbursements of loan and equity funds as required by loan contract provisions and REA policy.

2. The adequacy of accounting systems and related records.

Y. Authority has been delegated to the Director, Rural Areas Development Staff to approve loans by borrowers of between $2,500 and $25,000 of section 5 loan funds to commercial or industrial enterprises.

Z. Authority has been delegated to the Director, Electric Operations and Standards Division to approve or accept reports and invoices submitted under contracts covering research and services performed for REA in connection with the electric program.

AA. Authority has been delegated to the Director, Telephone Standards Division to approve or accept:

1. Reports and invoices submitted under contracts covering research and services performed for REA in connection with the telephone program.

2. Field trial installations of telephone materials and equipment jointly with the appropriate area director.

BB. Authority has been delegated to the Technical Standards Committees as follows:

1. Committees "A" (Electric and Telephone) to accept or reject all proposals of standards, standard specifications, drawings, materials, and equipment submitted for acceptance for use on REA-financed electric and/or telephone systems.

2. Committees "B" (Electric and Telephone) to review and make final decision on cases referred to it by Committee "A" or by appeal from a sponsor from an adverse decision of Committee "A".

CC. Authority has been delegated to the Director, Program and Administrative Services Division and the Chief, Accounting and Statistics Branch to:

1. Execute endorsements or assignments of promissory notes or other collateral pledged by borrowers as security for Rural Electrification Administration loans, as may be necessary in connection with the return of such documents to borrowers because of the payment of the obligations in full or in order that the borrowers may institute legal action thereon or in connection therewith.

2. Cancel or endorse the fact of payment on borrowers' notes which have been paid in full or which are to be returned to borrowers by reason of the cancellation of such notes resulting from the receipt by REA of refunding, renewal, or substituted notes.

DD. Authority has been delegated to the Director, Program and Administrative Services Division, the Chief, General Services Branch, Program and Administrative Services Division and to the Head, Supply and Space Management Section, General Services Branch, Program and Administrative Services Division to approve the purchase of equipment, materials, and services for REA.

EE. In the event the incumbents of positions to whom delegations are made herein are absent or are unable to act, the person designated to act shall exercise the authority conferred by such delegations. Incumbents of positions delegated authority herein are authorized to designate persons to act for them in their absence. Such designation shall be in accordance with any instructions issued by the incumbent's supervisor.

FF. There is reserved in the Administrator authority for all matters not delegated hereby, or by other written delegation, including without limitation:

1. The making or rescission of loans.

2. Extensions of loan periods pursuant to section 12 of the Rural Electrification Act, as amended.

3. Execution of instruments relating to inter borrower transfers involving the assumption of indebtedness.

These delegations supersede all prior delegations with reference to these matters.

Issued this 10th day of September 1966.

NORMAN M. CLAPP,
Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10135; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:47 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Office of the Secretary

RICHMOND LEWIS

Statement of Changes in Financial Interests

In accordance with the requirements of section 710(b)(6) of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, and Executive Order 10647 of November 28, 1955, the following changes have taken place in my financial interests as reported in the FEDERAL REGISTER during the past 6 months:

A. Deletions: Automatic Radio Manufacturers; Hazel Bishop, Inc.; COI Corp.; Jessop Steel Co.; Sterling Precision Corp.; Brush Beryllium Co.; Loral Electronics.
B. Additions: None.

This statement is made as of August 27, 1966.

RICHMOND LEWIS.

AUGUST 30, 1966.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10102; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:45 a.m.]

MARVIN S. PLANT

Statement of Changes in Financial Interests

In accordance with the requirements of section 710(b)(6) of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, and Executive Order 10647 of November 28, 1955, the following changes have taken place in my financial interests as reported in the FEDERAL REGISTER during the past 6 months:

A. Deletions: No change.
B. Additions: No change.

This statement is made as of August 15, 1966.

MARVIN S. PLANT.

AUGUST 15, 1966.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10103; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:45 a.m.]

RICHARD P. STEINER

Statement of Changes in Financial Interests

In accordance with the requirements of section 710(b)(6) of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, and Executive Order 10647 of November 28, 1955, the following changes have taken place in my financial interests as reported in the FEDERAL REGISTER during the past 6 months:

A. Deletions: No change.
B. Additions: No change.

This statement is made as of August 21, 1966.

RICHARD P. STEINER.

AUGUST 21, 1966.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10104; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:45 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Food and Drug Administration

GEIGY INDUSTRIAL CHEMICALS

Notice of Filing of Petition for Food Additives

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(b)(5), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C.

348(b)(5)), notice is given that a petition (FAP 7B2076) has been filed by Geigy Industrial Chemicals, division of Geigy Chemical Corp., Ardsley, N.Y. 10502, proposing the issuance of a regulation to provide for the safe use of tetrakis[methylene(3,5-di-*tert*-butyl-4-hydroxyhydrocinnamate)]methane as a stabilizer in polymers for food-contact use.

Dated: September 8, 1966.

J. K. KIRK,
Acting Commissioner of Food and Drugs.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10142; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:47 a.m.]

Office of the Secretary

SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

Statement of Organization and Delegations of Authority

Part 8 of the Statement of Organization and Delegations of Authority of the Department (22 F.R. 1050), as amended, is amended by revising § 8.10 to read as follows:

SEC. 8.10. *Organization.* (a) The Social Security Administration, which is under the supervision and direction of the Commissioner of Social Security, consists of:

Office of the Commissioner.
- Immediate Office of the Commissioner.
- Office of the Assistant Commissioner, Field Community Planning Staff.
  - Office of the Regional Assistant Commissioner.

Office of the Actuary.
Office of Administration.
- Office of the Assistant Commissioner.
- Employee Management Relations and Equal Employment Opportunity Staff.
- Management Coordination and Special Projects Staff.
- Division of Administrative Appraisal and Planning.
- Division of Audits and Investigations.
- Division of Employee Development.
- Division of Financial Management.
- Division of Operating Facilities.
- Division of Personnel.
- Division of Systems Coordination and Planning.
- Employee Health Service.
- SSA Employee Communications Staff.
- SSA Operations Research Staff.

Office of Information.
- Office of the Information Officer.
- Operations Branch.
- Production Branch.
- Public Inquiries Branch.

Office of Program Evaluation and Planning.
- Office of the Assistant Commissioner.
- Division of Coverage and Disability Benefits.
- Division of Heatlh Insurance.
- Division of Retirement and Survivors Benefits.

Office of Research and Statistics.
- Office of the Assistant Commissioner.
  - International Staff.
  - Publications Staff.
  - Research Grants Staff.
- Division of Economic and Social Surveys.
- Division of Health Insurance Studies.
- Division of Program and Long-Range Studies.
- Division of Statistics.

Bureau of Data Processing and Accounts.
- Office of the Bureau Director.
- Division of Accounting EDP Systems.
- Division of Accounts and Adjustments.
- Division of Central EDP Operations.
- Division of Certification.
- Division of Claims and State Coverage Methods.
- Division of Claims EDP Systems.
- Division of Management Coordination.
- Division of Registration.
- Division of Report Processing.
- Division of Reporting and Accounting Methods.
- Division of Statistical Services.
- Division of Telecommunications Management.

Bureau of Disability Insurance.
- Office of the Bureau Director.
- Medical Consultant Staff.
- Division of Benefit Services.
- Division of Disability Policy and Procedures.
- Division of Evaluation and Authorization.
- Division of Management and Appraisal.
- Division of Reconsideration.
- Division of State Disability Operations.
- Office of the Regional Representative, Disability Insurance.

Bureau of District Office Operations.
- Office of the Bureau Director.
  - Operations Analysis and Standards Staff.
- Division of Field Operations and Management.
- Division of Field Organization and Methods.
- Division of Operating Policy and Procedure.
- Office of the Regional Representative, District Office Operations.

Bureau of Federal Credit Unions.
- Office of the Bureau Director.
- Division of Administration.
- Division of Examination and Accounting.
- Division of Organization and Standards.
- Division of Statistical Research and Analysis.
- Office of the Regional Representative, Federal Credit Unions.

Bureau of Health Insurance.
- Office of the Bureau Director.
- Office of the Chief Medical Officer.
- Division of Health Insurance Methods and Procedures.
- Division of Health Insurance Policy and Standards.
- Division of Health Insurance Reimbursement.
- Division of Insurance Operations.
- Division of Management.
- Division of State Operations.
- Office of the Regional Representative, Health Insurance.

Bureau of Hearings and Appeals.
- Office of the Bureau Director.
  - Appeals Council.
  - Medical Advisory Staff.
- Division of Administration.
- Division of Field Operations.
  - Office of the Regional Hearings Representative.
- Division of Program Operations.

Bureau of Retirement and Survivors Insurance.
- Office of the Bureau Director.
- Division of Administrative Review.
- Division of Appraisal Systems.
- Division of Benefit Continuity.
- Division of Coverage.
- Division of Entitlement.
- Division of Foreign Claims.
- Division of Management.
- Division of Operations.
- Division of Technical Services.
- Office of the Regional Representative, Retirement and Survivors Insurance.

(Sec. 6, Reorg. Plan No. 1 of 1953)

Approved: September 8, 1966.

[SEAL] WILBUR J. COHEN,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10146; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:48 a.m.]

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

[Docket No. PRM-30-25]

UNITED STATES RADIUM CORP.

Notice of Filing of Petition

Please take notice that the United States Radium Corp., Morristown, N.J., by letter dated August 26, 1966, has filed with the Commission a petition for rule making to amend the Commission's regulations "General Licenses for Certain Quantities of Byproduct Material and Byproduct Material Contained in Certain Items," 10 CFR Part 31, and "Specific Licenses to Manufacture, Distribute, or Import Exempted and Generally Licensed Items Containing Byproduct Material," 10 CFR Part 32.

The amendments proposed by the petitioner would amend § 31.7 of Part 31 and § 32.53 of Part 32 which pertain to luminous safety devices for use in aircraft. The petitioner requests that the maximum quantity of tritium specified in §§ 31.7 and 32.53 for luminous safety devices subject to the general license of § 31.7 be increased from four (4) curies to ten (10) curies per device.

A copy of the petition for rule making is available for public inspection in the Commission's Public Document Room at 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C.

Dated at Germantown, Md., this 9th day of September 1966.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

W. B. MCCOOL,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10106; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:45 a.m.]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Docket No. 10920, Docket No. 15726; Order No. E-24175]

NONPRIORITY MAIL RATE CASE AND DOMESTIC SERVICE MAIL RATE CASE

Order To Show Cause

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 12th day of September 1966.

The Postmaster General, on July 1, 1966, moved the Board for leave to file an otherwise unauthorized document consisting of a petition to include Guam as an off-shore point to and from which nonpriority first-class mail could be dispatched on a voluntary space-available basis under the terms of Order E-17255, July 31, 1961. The Secretary of the Interior has sought leave to file an answer in support of the Postmaster General's petition. No objections to the entertaining of such documents have been filed and the Board has decided to accept the petition of the Postmaster General and supporting answer of the Secretary of the Interior and consider them on their merits.

The Postmaster General alleges that he did not press for inclusion of the territory of Guam in the original nonpriority mail order because it did not appear

that there was then sufficient capacity available between the mainland and Guam to justify a rate for such services. He alleges that there are now 11 schedules weekly between San Francisco and Guam and additional flights between Honolulu and Guam. The Postmaster General alleges that sufficient capacity exists to accommodate a projected volume of from 65–100 pounds of first-class mail daily, and authority to move first-class mail by air will substantially improve the mail service to and from Guam since transit time between the West Coast and Guam on surface modes generally consumes from 13 to 18 days.

In his answer supporting the Postmaster General's petition, the Secretary of the Interior avers that the volume of service and available capacity appear to warrant the airlift of first-class mail to Guam. He further alleges that the movement of first-class mail by air would assist the developing economy of Guam as well as aid business and tourism there.

In view of the representations of the Postmaster General and Secretary of the Interior, and the failure of any person to oppose the Board's entertaining of the petition, it has been concluded that the Board should propose amendment of the nonpriority mail rate order, E–17255, July 31, 1961 (34 CAB 143), so as to extend the application of the existing multielement rate for nonpriority mail to services between the 48 contiguous States and Agana, Guam, and between Hawaii and Agana, Guam. It will also propose to classify Agana, Guam, as a Class B station under the existing station classification criteria of Order E–17255.

In addition, the Post Office Department has informally requested the Board to amend the current airmail rate order, E–22512, August 6, 1965, and the nonpriority mail rate order, E–17255, July 31, 1961, to adjust the linehaul elements and change the basis for computing compensation from standard miles to nonstop great circle miles.

The Department has computed the difference between the standard pound-miles and nonstop great circle pound-miles for each carrier during fiscal 1965. From this comparison it has derived an average circuity factor of 0.679 percent for airmail, 0.1980 percent for nonpriority mail within the 48 contiguous States and 0.0180 for nonpriority mail moving between points in the 48 contiguous States and Alaska. It would increase the airmail line-haul element of 27.15 cents per ton-mile by this factor, to 27.33 cents per ton-mile, the nonpriority line-haul element applicable to shipments within the 48 contiguous States from 15.085 cents per mail ton-mile to 15.115 cents per mail ton-mile, and the nonpriority line-haul element applicable to Alaska shipments from 18 cents per ton-mile to 18.003 cents per ton-mile. All line-haul rates would then be applied to nonstop great circle miles rather than standard miles.

The effect of adopting the Department's proposal is shown in Appendix A attached hereto. The overall industry yield would not be significantly affected but because the degree of circuity varies from carrier to carrier it is apparent that the shift to nonstop miles will produce distortions in individual carrier results. As shown in Appendix A,[1] the effect on individual carriers' airmail yields ranges from a maximum increase of 0.64 percent to a maximum decrease of 2.91 percent.

The Board has concluded that it should propose revision of the rate orders to use nonstop rather than standard mileages. The current service airmail rate order will expire by its own terms on December 31, 1966, and it appears that all parties to the pending Domestic Service Mail Rate Case, Docket 16749, have agreed that the rate to apply on and after January 1, 1967, should be based on nonstop rather than standard miles. Thus the impact of revising the mileage basis of the current rate order effective October 8, 1966, the date when new standard mileages would ordinarily be put into effect, will be felt for less than one quarter of a year. While the change will remain in effect indefinitely in the case of the nonpriority order, the circuity is much less and the revised mileage basis will have very little effect on individual carrier revenues. The Board has tentatively concluded that the distortions involved in individual carrier results are not substantial enough to require continued use of standard miles during the remainder of the year. The process of computing and tabulating new standard mileages is difficult, expensive and inconvenient to both the Department and the carriers.

In view of the foregoing, the Board has tentatively found and concluded that effective October 8, 1966:

(1) Subparagraph 1 of paragraph A of Order E–17255, July 31, 1961, should be amended to read as follows:

1. *Line-haul charges.* The line-haul charge shall be the product of the mail ton-miles times the line-haul rate of 15.115 cents per mail ton-mile, and the mail ton-miles shall be computed using the nonstop great circle miles between the point of origin and point of destination as the standard mileage except for mail transported between a point in Alaska and a point in the 48 contiguous States. For mail transported between a point in Alaska and a point in the 48 contiguous States the standard mileage between such points shall consist of two components, the first component of which shall consist of the nonstop great circle miles between the point of origin or destination in Alaska and the nearest point (referred to hereafter as the gateway point) in the 48 contiguous States served on the schedule, combination of schedules, or parts of schedules designated for nonpriority mail service and forming the shortest routing between the point of origin or destination in Alaska and point of origin or destination in the 48 contiguous States. The second component shall consist of the nonstop great circle miles between the gateway point and the point of origin or destination within the 48 contiguous States. For the first component the line-haul charge shall be 18.003 cents per mail ton-mile, and for the second component the line-haul charge shall be 15.115 cents per mail ton-mile.

(a) If, after October 7, 1966, there has been or shall be a change in the airport through which a particular point is served or service to a new point has been or shall be instituted, the standard mileage for each pair of points affected thereby shall be determined, in the manner described above.

(b) In case of any community served through more than one airport, the provisions hereof shall be applied as if the community were served by only one airport and that airport shall be the one having the greatest number of scheduled departures of domestic flights during the month of May preceding the commencement of each fiscal year by air carriers certificated to transport mail: *Provided, however,* That in any case where one of the multi-airports other than the controlling airport has a flight (or flights) which would produce a shorter distance to a given point, if the mileage for such flight (or flights) were computed from the controlling airport, then the flights actually serving the controlling airport, the standard mileage shall be computed as if such flight (or flights) serves the controlling airport.

(2) Paragraph B of Order E–17255, July 31, 1961, should be amended as follows:

B. The rates fixed and determined herein shall be applicable only to the transportation by air of nonpriority mail; i.e., such first-class mail, other than airmail and air parcel post, which may be tendered from time to time by the Post Office Department and carried on a voluntary space-available basis, between any points within the 48 contiguous States and between any point within them and Agana, Anchorage, Cordova, Fairbanks, Honolulu, Juneau, Ketchikan, Kodiak, San Juan, or Yakutat, and between Honolulu, Hawaii, and Agana, Guam.

(3) Appendix 2 to Order E–23774, June 6, 1966, shall be amended to add Agana, Guam, among the Class B stations there listed for nonpriority mail service only.

(4) Order E–22512, August 6, 1965, shall be amended as follows:

(a) The first full paragraph on page 5 shall be amended to read as follows:

On and after October 8, 1966, but not beyond December 31, 1966, in the case of American Airlines, Inc., Continental Air Lines, Inc., Eastern Air Lines, Inc., National Airlines, Inc., Northeast Airlines, Inc., United Air Lines, Inc., Western Air Lines, Inc., Airlift International, Inc., The Flying Tiger Line Inc., The Slick Corp., Braniff Airways, Inc., Delta Air Lines, Inc., Northwest Airlines, Inc., Pan American World Airways, Inc., and Trans World Airlines, Inc., Allegheny Airlines, Inc., Bonanza Air Lines, Inc., Central Airlines, Inc., Frontier Airlines, Inc., Lake Central Airlines, Inc., Mohawk Airlines, Inc., North Central Airlines, Inc., Ozark Air Lines, Inc., Pacific Air Lines, Inc., Piedmont Aviation, Inc., Southern Airways, Inc.,

[1] Filed as part of the original document.

Trans-Texas Airways, Inc., and West Coast Airlines, Inc., the mail compensation for each carrier shall be paid monthly or at such lesser interval as may be agreed upon by the carrier and the Post Office Department and shall be computed by obtaining the sum of (1) the line-haul charges, and (2) the terminal charges, computed as follows:

(b) On pages 5 and 6, the provisions denominated: "1. Line-Haul Charges," shall be amended to read as follows:

1. *Line-Haul charges.* The line-haul charge shall be the product of the mail ton-miles times the line-haul rate of 27.33 cents per mail ton-mile. The mail ton-miles for each shipment shall be computed by using the nonstop great circle miles between the station of origin and station of destination for each shipment as the standard mileage between such points.

(a) If, after October 7, 1966, there is a change in the airport through which a particular point is served, or service to a new point is instituted, the standard mileage for each pair of points affected thereby shall be determined in the manner described above.

(b) In the case of any community served through more than one airport, the provisions of this formula shall be applied as if the community were served by only one airport and that airport shall be the one having the greatest total number of scheduled departures of domestic flights during the month of May preceding the commencement of each fiscal year by air carriers certificated to transport mail: *Provided, however,* That in any cases where one of the multiairports other than the controlling airport has a flight (or flights) which would produce a shorter distance to a given point, if the mileage for such flight (or flights) were computed from the controlling airport, than the flights actually serving the controlling airport, the standard mileage shall be computed as if such flight (or flights) serves the controlling airport.

Accordingly, pursuant to the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 and particularly sections 204(a) and 406 thereof, and pursuant to the regulations promulgated in 14 CFR, Part 302,

It is ordered, That:

1. The application of Rule 303 of the Board's rules of practice shall be waived insofar as it would preclude the Postmaster General from filing a petition seeking modification of a final mail rate and the Secretary of the Interior from filing an answer in support of the Postmaster General's petition.

2. Leave to file the aforementioned petition and answer is hereby granted.

3. All interested persons, and particularly Airlift International, Inc., Alaska Airlines, Inc., Allegheny Airlines, Inc., American Airlines, Inc., Bonanza Air Lines, Inc., Braniff Airways, Inc., Central Airlines, Inc., Continental Air Lines, Inc., Delta Air Lines, Inc., Eastern Air Lines, Inc., The Flying Tiger Line Inc., Frontier Airlines, Inc., Lake Central Airlines, Inc., Mohawk Airlines, Inc., National Airlines, Inc., North Central Airlines, Inc., Northeast Airlines, Inc., Northwest Airlines, Inc., Ozark Air Lines, Inc., Pacific Air Lines, Inc., Pacific Northern Airlines, Inc., Pan American World Airways, Inc., Piedmont Aviation, Inc., The Slick Corporation, Southern Airways, Inc., Trans-Texas Airways, Trans World Airlines, Inc., United Air Lines, Inc., West Coast Airlines, Inc., Western Air Lines, Inc., and the Postmaster General are directed to show cause why the Board should not amend Orders E-23774, June 6, 1966, E-22512, August 6, 1965, and E-17255, July 31, 1961, as proposed above.

4. Further procedures herein shall be in accordance with the rules of practice, 14 CFR Part 302, and if there is any objection to the rates or to the other findings and conclusions specified therein, notice thereof shall be filed within 10 days, and, if notice is filed, written answer and supporting documents shall be filed within 30 days, after the date of service of this order.

5. If notice of objection is not filed within 10 days, or if notice is filed and answer is not filed within 30 days, after service of this order, all persons shall be deemed to have waived the right to a hearing and all other procedural steps short of a final decision by the Board, and the Board may enter an order fixing the rates and incorporating the findings and conclusions stated herein.

6. If notice of objection and answer are filed, all issues going to the establishment of the rates shall be open, in accordance with Rule 319 of the rules of practice, except as limited in prehearing conference.

7. This order shall be served upon the parties enumerated in paragraphs 1 and 3 above.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

[SEAL] HAROLD R. SANDERSON,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10150; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:48 a.m.]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 16737, 16738; FCC 66M-1206]

ADIRONDACK TELEVISION CORP. AND NORTHEAST TV CABLEVISION CORP.

Order Continuing Hearing

In re applications of Adirondack Television Corp., Albany, N.Y.; Docket No. 16737, File No. BPCT-3511; Northeast TV Cablevision Corp., Albany, N.Y.; Docket No. 16738, File No. BPCT-3635; for construction permit.

The Hearing Examiner having under consideration a letter request of August 17, 1966, from Northeast TV Cablevision Corp. for certain changes in procedural dates in the above-entitled matter, and

It appearing, that the request should be granted and that the other parties agree to its grant,

It is ordered, This 12th day of September 1966, that the aforementioned request is granted and that, accordingly:

1. The date for the exchange of exhibits is changed from September 16, 1966, to October 3, 1966,

2. The date for the notification of witnesses is changed from September 21, 1966, to October 10, 1966, and

3. The hearing now scheduled for September 26, 1966, is rescheduled to commence at 10 a.m., October 17, 1966, in the Commission's offices in Washington, D.C.

Released: September 12, 1966.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10153; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:49 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. 16861-16863; FCC 66M-1213]

BBPS BROADCASTING CORP. ET AL.

Order Scheduling Hearing

In re applications of BBPS Broadcasting Corp., Ellwood City, Pa.; Docket No. 16861, File No. BPH-5006; Thomas C. DeLanzo, Ellwood City, Pa.; Docket No. 16862, File No. BPH-5212; Scott Broadcasting Co., of Pennsylvania, Inc., Ellwood City, Pa.; Docket No. 16863, File No. BPH-5232; for construction permits.

It is ordered, This 9th day of September 1966, that Elizabeth C. Smith shall serve as Presiding Officer in the above-entitled proceeding; that the hearings therein shall be convened on November 7, 1966, at 10 a.m.; and that a prehearing conference shall be held on October 3, 1966, commencing at 9 a.m.: *And, it is further ordered,* That all proceedings shall be held in the offices of the Commission, Washington, D.C.

Released: September 13, 1966.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10154; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:49 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. 16861-16863; FCC 66-803]

BBPS BROADCASTING CORP. ET AL.

Order Designating Applications for Consolidated Hearing on Stated Issues

In re applications of BBPS Broadcasting Corp., Ellwood City, Pa.; Docket No. 16861, File No. BPH-5006; Requests: 92.1mc, No. 221; 1.75 kw; 377 ft.; Thomas C. DeLanzo, Ellwood City, Pa.; Docket No. 16862, File No. BPH-5212; Requests: 92.1mc, No. 221; 3 kw(H); 2.96 kw(V); 299 ft.; Scott Broadcasting Co., of Pennsylvania, Inc., Ellwood City, Pa.; Docket No. 16863, File No. BPH-5232; Requests: 92.1mc, No. 221; 3 kw; 300 ft.; for construction permits.

At a session of the Federal Communications Commission held at its offices in Washington, D.C., on the 7th day of September 1966;

1. The Commission has before it for consideration the above-captioned and described applications which are mutually exclusive in that operation by the applicants as proposed would cause mutually destructive interference.

2. According to his application, Thomas C. DeLanzo will require approximately $52,474 to construct and operate the proposed station for 1 year. In addition to cash on hand of $12,735, Mr. DeLanzo is relying on an arrangement with the Resolute Insurance Group which calls for Resolute's making a time deposit in a bank, which in turn would lend the required funds. Mr. DeLanzo has not provided adequate information regarding the terms of the proposed loan, nor has he demonstrated that such a loan would, in fact, be available in the amount required. Consequently, an issue regarding this matter is required.

3. Except as indicated by the issues set forth below, each of the applicants is qualified to construct and operate as proposed. However, because of their mutual exclusivity the Commission is unable to make the statutory finding that a grant of the subject applications would serve the public interest, convenience, and necessity, and is of the opinion that they must be designated for hearing in a consolidated proceeding on the issues set forth below.

It is ordered, That, pursuant to section 309(e) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the applications are designated for hearing in a consolidated proceeding, at a time and place to be specified in a subsequent Order, upon the following issues:

1. To determine whether and under what circumstances Thomas C. DeLanzo has funds available in addition to the $12,735 shown in his application to provide the additional $39,739 he indicates is required to finance construction and operation of the station for 1 year and thus demonstrate his financial qualifications.

2. To determine which of the proposals would best serve the public interest.

3. To determine, in the light of the evidence adduced pursuant to the foregoing issues, which of the applications for construction permit, if any, should be granted.

It is further ordered, That, to avail themselves of the opportunity to be heard, the applicants pursuant to § 1.221(c) of the Commission's rules, in person or by attorney, shall, within 20 days of the mailing of this order, file with the Commission in triplicate, a written appearance stating an intention to appear on the date fixed for the hearing and present evidence on the issues specified in this order.

It is further ordered, That the applicants herein shall, pursuant to section 311(a)(2) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and § 1.594 of the Commission's rules, give notice of the hearing, either individually or, if feasible and consistent with the rules, jointly, within the time and in the manner prescribed in such rule, and shall advise the Commission of the publication of such notice as required by § 1.594(g) of the rules.

Released: September 13, 1966.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,[1]
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10155; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:49 a.m.]

[1] Commissioners Bartley, Wadsworth and Johnson absent.

[Docket Nos. 16813-16815; FCC 66M-1210]

1400 CORP. (KBMI) ET AL.

Order Continuing Hearing

In re applications of 1400 Corp. (KBMI), Henderson, Nev.; Docket No. 16813, File No. BR-2937; for renewal of license of station KBMI; Joseph Julian Marandola, Henderson, Nev.; Docket No. 16814, File No. BP-16411; for construction permit; 1400 Corp., (Assignor); Thomas L. Brennen, (Assignee); Docket No. 16815, File No. BAL-5158; for assignment of license of station KBMI, Henderson, Nev.

Pursuant to agreement arrived at during the prehearing conference held on this date: *It is ordered*, This 12th day of September 1966, that the hearing in this proceeding presently scheduled for October 24, 1966, be and the same is hereby continued to October 25, 1966, at 10 a.m., in Washington, D.C.

Released: September 13, 1966.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10156; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:49 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. 16824, 16825; FCC 66M-1197]

FOX RIVER BROADCASTING CO. AND RADIO OSHKOSH, INC.

Order Continuing Hearing

In re applications of Sterling H. Saunders and Stanley H. Krinsky doing business as The Fox River Broadcasting Co., Oshkosh, Wis., Docket No. 16824, File No. BP-15129; Radio Oshkosh, Inc., Oshkosh, Wis.; Docket No. 16825, File No. BP-15805; for construction permits.

To avert a conflict in hearing dates: *It is ordered*, This 9th day of September 1966, by the Hearing Examiner on his own motion, that the hearing in the above-entitled matter now scheduled for October 17, 1966, is postponed to a date to be determined at the prehearing conference herein on September 16, 1966.

Released: September 9, 1966.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10157; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 16860; FCC 66-801]

GOODMAN BROADCASTING CO.

Order Designating Application for Hearing on Stated Issues

In re application of Hiram A. Goodman trading as Goodman Broadcasting Co., Madison, Ala.; Docket No. 16860, File No. BP-16501; Requests: 1110 kc, 1 kw, Day, Class II; for construction permit.

At a session of the Federal Communications Commission held at its offices in Washington, D.C., on the 7th day of September 1966;

1. The Commission has before it for consideration the above-captioned application requesting a construction permit for a new standard broadcast station to be located in Madison, Ala.

2. Madison has a population, according to the 1960 Census, of 1,435, and is located approximately 1 mile from the city limits of Huntsville, Ala., population 72,365.[1] The proposed 5 mv/m contour penetrates the geographic boundary of Huntsville thus raising a presumption that the applicant is realistically proposing to serve that city rather than Madison. Policy statement on section 307(b) Considerations for Standard Broadcast Facilities Involving Suburban Communities, adopted December 22, 1965, 2 FCC 2d 190, 6 RR 2d 1901.

3. In an amendment filed May 13, 1966, the applicant submitted data and arguments in an attempt to rebut the aforementioned presumption. However, after careful study of this material, the Commission finds that the applicant has failed to overcome this presumption and that an evidentiary hearing must be held to explore the matter further.

4. Except as indicated by the issues specified below, the applicant is qualified to construct, own and operate as proposed but, in view of the foregoing, the Commission is unable to find that a grant of the application would serve the public interest, convenience, and necessity, and is of the opinion that it must be designated for hearing on the issues set forth below.

It is ordered, That, pursuant to section 309(e) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the application is designated for hearing at a time and place to be specified in a subsequent order, upon the following issues:

1. To determine the areas and populations which would receive primary service from the proposed operation of Hiram A. Goodman and the availability of other primary service to such areas and populations.

2. To determine whether the proposal of Hiram A. Goodman will realistically provide a local transmission facility for Madison, Ala., or for Huntsville, Ala., in the light of all the relevant evidence, including, but not necessarily limited to, the showing with respect to:

(a) The extent to which Madison, Ala., has been ascertained by the applicant to have separate and distinct programing needs;

[1] There are four standard broadcast stations in Huntsville but none in Madison.

(b) The extent to which the needs of Madison, Ala., are being met by existing standard broadcast stations;

(c) The extent to which the applicant's program proposal will meet the specific, unsatisfied programing needs of Madison, Ala.; and

(d) The extent to which the projected sources of the applicant's advertising revenues within Madison, Ala., are adequate to support the proposed station as compared with the projected sources from all other areas.

3. To determine, in the event that it is concluded pursuant to Issue 2, above, that the proposal of Hiram A. Goodman will not realistically provide a local transmission service for Madison, Ala., whether the proposal meets all of the technical provisions of the rules, including §§ 73.30, 73.31, and 73.188(b) (1) and (2), for standard broadcast stations assigned to Huntsville, Ala.

4. To determine, in the light of the evidence adduced pursuant to the foregoing issues, whether a grant of the application would serve the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

It is further ordered, That, in the event of a grant of the above application, the construction permit shall contain the following condition: Pending a final decision in Docket No. 14419 with respect to presunrise operation with daytime facilities, the present provisions of § 73.87 of the Commission rules are not extended to this authorization, and such operation is precluded.

It is further ordered, That, to avail himself of the opportunity to be heard, the applicant, pursuant to § 1.221(c) of the Commission's rules, in person or by attorney, shall, within 20 days of the mailing of this order, file with the Commission in triplicate, a written appearance stating an intention to appear on the date fixed for the hearing and present evidence on the issues specified in this order.

It is further ordered, That the applicant herein shall, pursuant to section 311(a)(2) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and § 1.594 of the Commission's rules, give notice of the hearing, within the time and in the manner prescribed in such rule, and shall advise the Commission of the publication of such notice as required by § 1.594 (g) of the rules.

Released: September 13, 1966.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,[1]
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10158; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 16860; FCC 66M-1212]

GOODMAN BROADCASTING CO.

Order Scheduling Hearing

In re application of Hiram A. Goodman trading as Goodman Broadcasting Co., Madison, Ala.; Docket No. 16860, File No. BP-16501; for construction permit.

It is ordered, This 9th day of September 1966, that Basil P. Cooper shall serve as Presiding Officer in the above-entitled proceeding; that the hearings therein shall be convened on November 9, 1966, at 10:00 a.m.; and that a prehearing conference shall be held on September 30, 1966, commencing at 9:00 a.m.: And, *it is further ordered*, That all proceedings shall be held in the Offices of the Commission, Washington, D.C.

Released: September 13, 1966.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10159; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 16765, 16766; FCC 66M-1199]

KJRD, INC., AND MOUNT-ED-LYNN, INC.

Order Continuing Hearing

In re applications of KJRD, Inc., Monroe, Wash.; Docket No. 16765, File No. BP-16618; Mount-Ed-Lynn, Inc., Mountlake Terrace, Wash.; Docket No. 16766, File No. BP-16882; for construction permits.

In accordance with action agreed upon during the prehearing conference in the above-styled proceeding held on this date: *It is ordered*, This 9th day of September 1966, that the hearing presently scheduled to be held on September 29, 1966, be and same is hereby continued to a date to be fixed at a further prehearing conference.

Released: September 12, 1966.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10160; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 16667, 16668; FCC 66M-1205]

LUNDE CORP. AND KASI IOWA, INC.

Order Continuing Hearing

In re applications of Lunde Corp., Ames, Iowa; Docket No. 16667, File No. BPH-5016; KASI Iowa, Inc., Ames, Iowa; Docket No. 16668, File No. BPH-5118; for construction permits.

The Hearing Examiner having under consideration (1) the "Motion to Dismiss" filed on August 22, 1966, by Lunde Corp. in the above-entitled matter, requesting that the application of KASI Iowa, Inc., be dismissed for failure to prosecute; (2) the "Petition to Dismiss Application" filed by KASI on August 31, 1966; and (3) the Broadcast Bureau's comments on the above motion and petition filed September 2, 1966;

It appearing, that the KASI Iowa, Inc., petition to dismiss application renders moot the motion to dismiss filed by Lunde Corp., and the Lunde motion will accordingly be dismissed; and

It further appearing, that affidavits of no consideration, in compliance with § 1.525(c)(1), have been filed by both parties; and

It further appearing, that although the petition filed by KASI requests dismissal of its application without prejudice, such request can be granted only upon a showing that it is based on circumstances wholly beyond the applicant's control, in accordance with the provisions of § 1.568(c); and

It further appearing, that the reasons advanced by KASI in its aforesaid petition are based upon purely personal considerations involving the cost of further prosecution of its application, and certainly did not arise from circumstances beyond its control, and that, although the petition may be granted, it must be granted with prejudice;

Therefore, it is ordered, This 9th day of September 1966 that the "Petition to Dismiss Application" filed by KASI Iowa, Inc., on August 31, 1966, is granted, in part; that its application, be, and the same is, hereby dismissed, but with prejudice; that the "Motion to Dismiss" filed by Lunde Corp. as above noted, be, and the same is, hereby dismissed as moot; and the Lunde application, be, and the same is, retained in hearing.

It is further ordered, That the presently scheduled hearing date of September 14, 1966, be, and the same is, hereby continued to a date to be set by subsequent order after the Review Board has acted upon various interlocutory matters involving the qualifications of Lunde to be a licensee of the Commission.

Released: September 12, 1966.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10161; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 16794, 16795; FCC 66M-1202]

LYNN MOUNTAIN BROADCASTING AND WBEJ, INC.

Order Continuing Hearing

In re applications of Roy C. Nelson, Fred P. Davis, William E. Hale and C. M. Taylor doing business as Lynn Mountain Broadcasting, Elizabethton, Tenn.; Docket No. 16794, File No. BPH-5193; WBEJ, Inc., Elizabethton, Tenn.; Docket No. 16795, File No. BPH-5260; for construction permits.

The Hearing Examiner having under consideration the necessity of changing the date for commencement of hearing;

It appearing, that a prehearing conference was held on this date at which time further proceedings were discussed with the result that a change in the date for commencement of hearing was agreed upon;

It is ordered, This 9th day of September 1966, that the date for commence-

[1] Commissioners Bartley, Wadsworth and Johnson absent.

ment of hearing is changed from October 12 to October 25, 1966, at 10 a.m.

Released: September 12, 1966.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10162; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 16786; FCC 66M-1204]

MIDWEST TELEVISION, INC. (KFMB-TV)

Order Continuing Hearing

In the matter of the petition of Midwest Television, Inc. (KFMB-TV), San Diego, Calif.; Docket No. 16786; for immediate temporary and for permanent relief against extensions of service of CATV systems carrying signals of Los Angeles stations into the San Diego area.

A prehearing conference having been held on September 9, 1966;

It appearing, that certain procedural dates and prehearing and hearing procedures to govern this proceeding were agreed upon or ordered as will more fully appear from the transcript of the said conference;

It is ordered, This 12th day of September 1966, that the commencement of hearing herein is continued to December 6, 1966, at 10 a.m. in the offices of the Commission at Washington, D.C.

Released: September 12, 1966.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10163; Filed, Sept 15, 1966; 8:49 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. 16702, 16703; FCC 66M-1211]

T.V. BROADCASTERS, INC., AND TRI-CITY BROADCASTING CO., INC.

Order Continuing Hearing

In re applications of T.V. Broadcasters, Inc., Vineland, N.J.; Docket No. 16702, File No. BPCT-3539; Tri-City Broadcasting Co., Inc., Vineland, N.J.; Docket No. 16703, File No. BPCT-3716; for construction permit for new television broadcast station.

The Hearing Examiner having under consideration a motion for continuance filed on September 7, 1966, by Tri-City Broadcasting Co., Inc., and a verbal request from counsel for the Broadcast Bureau for an extension of time within which to reply to two pleadings which were filed on September 2, 1966, by Tri-City and which request, respectively, leave to amend and certification of proceeding to the Review Board by the Examiner;

It appearing, that the joint petition is now pending before the Review Board for approval of an agreement which, if granted, would eliminate the conflict between these applicants; and

It further appearing, that the pressure of other business makes it desirable that the Broadcast Bureau have additional time in which to reply to the aforementioned pleadings; and

It further appearing, that all parties have consented to the requests considered herein;

It is ordered, This 12th day of September 1966, that responses to the two pleadings filed by Tri-City Broadcasting Co., Inc., on September 2, 1966, may be filed not later than September 28, 1966; and

It is further ordered, That the motion for continuance is granted and the hearing is continued from September 14 to October 13, 1966.

Released: September 13, 1966.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10164; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 16799; FCC 66M-1215]

YELLOW CAB, INC.

Order Scheduling Hearing

In the matter of Yellow Cab, Inc., Revere, Mass.; Docket No. 16799; order to show cause why the license for radio station KCV-886 in the taxicab radio service should not be revoked.

It is ordered, This 13th day of September 1966, that Basil P. Cooper shall serve as Presiding Officer in the above-entitled proceeding; and that the hearing therein shall be held in the Offices of the Commission, Washington, D.C. on October 19, 1966, commencing at 10 a.m.

Released: September 13, 1966.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10165; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:49 a.m.]

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

[Docket No. 66-43; 3d Supp. Order]

ATLANTIC-GULF/PUERTO RICO TRADES

Investigation of Minimum Charges and Terminal Delivery Services

By orders served July 25 and August 25, 1966, the Commission entered into an investigation concerning the lawfulness of a $10 minimum bill of lading charge and a rule requiring receivers of minimum shipments to accept store door delivery, filed by Gulf Puerto Rico Lines, Inc., Indian Towing Co., Inc., and Sea-Land Service, Inc.:

On August 3, 1966, Helm's International, Inc., filed 2d Revised Page 41 to Tariff FMC-F No. 3 which became effective on September 9, 1966, containing a minimum bill of lading charge which was increased from $7.50 to $10.

The Commission is of the opinion that this amended tariff matter should be made the subject of a public investigation to the same extent as the matter currently under investigation herein to determine whether it is unjust, unreasonable, or otherwise unlawful, under the Shipping Act, 1916, or the Intercoastal Shipping Act, 1933;

Now therefore it is ordered, That this proceeding be, and it is hereby expanded to include Helm's International, Inc., as a respondent herein and to include an investigation into and a hearing concerning the lawfulness of the increased minimum charge published in Item No. 300 in the aforementioned publication to the same extent as the other increased minimum charges already under investigation in this proceeding;

It is further ordered, That (I) a copy of this order shall forthwith be served upon the respondents, and any interveners herein; (II) the said respondents and interveners be duly notified of the time and place of the hearing ordered; and (III) this order be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER and notice of the said hearing be served upon all parties to this proceeding.

All persons (including individuals, corporations, associations, firms, partnerships, and public bodies) having an interest in this proceeding and desiring to intervene herein, should notify the Secretary of the Commission promptly and file petitions for leave to intervene in accordance with Rule 5(l) (46 CFR 502.72) with a copy to respondents and interveners.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10151; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:48 a.m.]

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket No. CP67-52]

MISSISSIPPI RIVER TRANSMISSION CORP.

Notice of Application

SEPTEMBER 9, 1966.

Take notice that on September 6, 1966, Mississippi River Transmission Corp. (Applicant), 9900 Clayton Road, St. Louis, Mo. 63124, filed in Docket No. CP67-52 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction and operation of certain natural gas facilities, and the sale of natural gas in interstate commerce, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant specifically seeks authorization for the construction and operation of a 3,280 hp. turbine driven centrifugal compressor on its existing 18-inch East Line at a point in Clinton County, Ill., immediately downstream from the existing interconnection of said line with a 30-inch pipeline of Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America.

Applicant proposes to render priority interruptible service to its resale customers electing to receive such service pursuant to Applicant's proposed Rate Schedule PI-1. The application states that the compressor will be utilized to transport during the winter season volumes of gas expected to be available from its East Line supply sources that it would otherwise be unable to deliver because of the capacity limitations of its East Line. The application further states that installation of the compressor will provide some reserve capacity on Applicant's system, resulting in increased system flexibility and in safer and more reliable service for Applicant's customers.

The total cost of the compressor and related facilities is estimated by Applicant to be $711,000, which will be financed from funds on hand.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (157.10) on or before October 10, 1966.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no protest or petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a protest or petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

GORDON M. GRANT,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10131; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:46 a.m.]

[Docket No. G-11949]

MOBIL OIL CORP.

Notice of Petition To Amend

SEPTEMBER 9, 1966.

Take notice that on April 25, 1966, Mobil Oil Corp. (Petitioner), Post Office Box 2444, Houston, Tex. 77001, filed in Docket No. G-11949 a petition as amended on June 27, 1966, to amend the order issuing a certificate in said docket by authorizing Petitioner to continue the sale of natural gas heretofore authorized in Docket No. CI61-1739 to be made by William G. Slaughter, Jr., et al., pursuant to his FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 1, all as more fully set forth in the petition to amend which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Petitioner proposes to sell natural gas to El Paso Natural Gas Co. from the Pegasus Field, Midland and Upton Counties, Tex., at a rate of 10.0 cents per Mcf at 14.65 p.s.i.a.[1] pursuant to its FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 48. Petitioner acquired Slaughter's minor interest in the Pegasus Gasoline Plant in Midland County, Tex., effective as of April 1, 1961.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before October 3, 1966.

GORDON M. GRANT,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10132; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:46 a.m.]

[1] On June 27, 1966, Petitioner filed a notice of change in rate to 14.5 cents per Mcf plus 0.83 cents per Mcf B.t.u. adjustment. The notice was accepted for filing to be effective on July 27, 1966.

[Docket No. CP67-53]

NATURAL GAS PIPELINE COMPANY OF AMERICA

Notice of Application

SEPTEMBER 9, 1966.

Take notice that on September 7, 1966, Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America (Applicant), 122 South Michigan Avenue, Chicago, Ill. 60603, filed in Docket No. CP67-53 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the sale and delivery of an additional Maximum Daily Quantity of 410 Mcf to the city of Perryville, Mo. (Perryville), an existing customer of Applicant, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, Applicant seeks authorization to increase its presently effective Maximum Daily Quantity of 2,340 Mcf of natural gas to Perryville by 410 Mcf, to be effective as of December 1, 1966, thereby being able to meet larger than previously anticipated increases in firm service demands for the 1966-67 winter heating season.

Applicant states that no additional facilities will be required to make the proposed delivery.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (157.10) on or before October 10, 1966.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no protest or petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a protest or petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

GORDON M. GRANT,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10133; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:46 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. RI67-51, etc.]

U.S. NATURAL GAS CORP. ET AL.

Order Providing for Hearing on and Suspension of Proposed Changes in Rates, and Allowing Rate Changes To Become Effective Subject to Refund [1]

SEPTEMBER 9, 1966.

The Respondents named herein have filed proposed changes in rates and charges of currently effective rate schedules for sales of natural gas under Commission jurisdiction, as set forth in Appendix A hereof.

The proposed changed rates and charges may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The Commission finds: It is in the public interest and consistent with the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon hearings regarding the lawfulness of the proposed changes, and that the supplements herein be suspended and their use be deferred as ordered below.

The Commission orders:

(A) Under the Natural Gas Act, particularly sections 4 and 15, the Regulations pertaining thereto (18 CFR, Ch. I), and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, public hearings shall be held concerning the lawfulness of the proposed changes.

(B) Pending hearings and decisions thereon, the rate supplements herein are suspended and their use deferred until date shown in the "Date Suspended Until" column, and thereafter until made effective as prescribed by the Natural Gas Act: *Provided, however*, That the supplements to the rate schedules filed by Respondents, as set forth herein, shall become effective subject to refund on the date and in the manner herein prescribed if within 20 days from the date of the issuance of this order Respondents shall each execute and file under its above-designated docket number with the Secretary of the Commission its agreement and undertaking to comply with the refunding and reporting procedure required by the Natural Gas Act and § 154.102 of the regulations thereunder,

[1] Does not consolidate for hearing or dispose of the several matters herein.

accompanied by a certificate showing service of copies thereof upon all purchasers under the rate schedule involved. Unless Respondents are advised to the contrary within 15 days after the filing of their respective agreements and undertakings, such agreements and undertakings shall be deemed to have been accepted.

(C) Until otherwise ordered by the Commission, neither the suspended supplements, nor the rate schedules sought to be altered, shall be changed until disposition of these proceedings or expiration of the suspension period.

(D) Notices of intervention or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 and 1.37(f)) on or before October 20, 1966.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GORDON M. GRANT,
Acting Secretary.

APPENDIX A

Docket No.	Respondent	Rate schedule No.	Supplement No.	Purchaser and producing area	Amount of annual increase	Date filing tendered	Effective date unless suspended	Date suspended until—	Cents per Mcf		Rate in effect subject to refund in docket Nos.
									Rate in effect	Proposed increased rate	
RI67-51	U.S. Natural Gas Corp., 9601 Wilshire Blvd., Beverly Hills, Calif. 90212.	1	2	Oklahoma Natural Gas Gathering Corp.[1] (Ringwood Field, Major County, Okla.) (Oklahoma "Other" Area).	$2,750	8-11-66	[3] 9-11-66	[4] 9-12-66	11.0	[5][6] 12.0	
RI67-52	Sunset International Petroleum Corp., 8920 Wilshire Blvd., Beverly Hills, Calif. 90211.	45	14	El Paso Natural Gas Co. (Blanco Field, San Juan County, N. Mex.) (San Juan Basin Area).	19	8-15-66	[7] 9-15-66	[4] 9-16-66	[8] 13.0	[5][9][8] 14.0	

[1] Oklahoma Natural classed as a pipeline company in its certificate (Docket No. CI61-1408) resells the gas to Cities Service Gas Co. at a presently effective rate of 18.5 cents per Mcf subject to refund in Docket No. RP66-19. National Fuels Corp. jointly purchases gas for liquids only.
[3] The stated effective date is the first day after expiration of the statutory notice.
[4] The suspension period is limited to 1 day.
[5] Periodic rate increase.
[6] Pressure base if 14.65 p.s.i.a.
[7] The stated effective date is the effective date requested by Respondent.
[8] Pressure base is 15.025 p.s.i.a.
[9] Includes 1.0 cent per Mcf added to reflect minimum guarantee for liquids.

U.S. Natural Gas Corp. (U.S. Natural) requests waiver of the statutory notice to permit an effective date of September 1, 1966, for its proposed rate increase. Good cause has not been shown for waiving the 30-day notice requirement provided in section 4(d) of the Natural Gas Act to permit an earlier effective date for U.S. Natural's rate filing and such request is denied.

U.S. Natural's periodic rate increase from 11.0 cents to 12.0 cents per Mcf, at 14.65 p.s.i.a., is for a sale of gas to Oklahoma Natural Gas Gathering Corp. (ONG) from the Ringwood Field, Major County, Okla. The area increased rate ceiling is 11.0 cents per Mcf. ONG gathers the gas and resells it (after extraction of liquids by National Fuels Corp.) to Cities Service Gas Co. at a rate of 18.5 cents per Mcf, which is in effect subject to refund in Docket No. RP66-19. U.S. Natural's proposed 12.0 cents per Mcf rate was contractually due as of January 1, 1966, the same date that ONG's 18.5 cents per Mcf resale rate become contractually due. U.S. Natural's proposed rate also exceeds the area increased rate ceiling even though such ceiling is applicable to ONG's resale rate, not U.S. Natural's rate. Since ONG's resale rate is in effect subject to refund, we conclude that U.S. Natural's rate should be suspended for 1 day from September 11, 1966, the date of expiration of the statutory notice.

The periodic rate increase filed by Sunset International Petroleum Corp. (Sunset) did not include as part of its proposed rate the contractually provided 1.0 cent per Mcf minimum guarantee for liquids. The addition of this minimum guarantee of 1.0 cent per Mcf to the base rate results in a total rate in excess of the 13.0 cents per Mcf area ceiling for increased rates in the San Juan Basin Area. Sunset has advised that it is not willing to waive such minimum guarantee for liquids. Under the circumstances, Sunset's rate increase should be suspended for 1 day from September 15, 1966, the proposed effective date.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10119; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:46 a.m.]

INTERAGENCY TEXTILE ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE

CERTAIN COTTON TEXTILES AND COTTON TEXTILE PRODUCTS

Long-Term Arrangements Regarding International Trade

SEPTEMBER 13, 1966.

The purpose of this notice is to announce certain actions taken by the U.S. Government in furtherance of the objectives of, and under the terms of, the Long-Term Arrangements Regarding International Trade in Cotton Textiles, done at Geneva on February 9, 1962. This information is also published in Department of Commerce Press Release G 66-168 dated September 8, 1966.

This information supplements that contained in earlier Department of Commerce press releases, the most recent of which was G 66-124, dated June 30, 1966.

1. *Bilateral agreements—Israel.* On June 30, 1966, notes were exchanged in Washington amending the bilateral cotton textile agreement with Israel (see Department of State Press Release No. 157 of July 1, 1966).

Portugal. On August 17, 1966, the bilateral cotton textile agreement with Portugal was amended by an exchange of notes in Washington (see Department of State Press Release No. 185 of that date).

Hong Kong. On August 26, 1966, the United States and Hong Kong exchanged notes in Hong Kong constituting a new, comprehensive bilateral arrangement between the two countries (see Department of State Press Release No. 191 of Aug. 26, 1966).

Singapore. On August 30, 1966, the United States and Singapore exchanged letters which constituted a comprehensive understanding on Singapore's exports to the United States (see Department of State Press Release No. 194 of Aug. 30, 1966).

2. *Pending restraints.* There follows a list of countries with which consultations have been requested under Articles 3 and 6(c) of the LTA and the category involved:

Country	*Category*
Poland	52 and 53
Malaysia	45

3. *Bilateral consultations.* Bilateral consultations with Pakistan were successfully concluded in Karachi on August 20, 1966, with negotiators agreeing to recommend to their Governments a new comprehensive bilateral agreement with an overall ceiling of 55 million square yards. Consultations are being continued with Korea and with Mexico.

STANLEY NEHMER,
Chairman, Interagency Textile Administrative Committee, and Deputy Assistant Secretary for Resources.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10120; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:46 a.m.]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 24NY-6236]

WALL STREET COMMODITY FUND, INC.

Order Temporarily Suspending Exemption, Statement of Reasons Therefor, and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing

SEPTEMBER 12, 1966.

I. On February 9, 1966, The Wall Street Commodity Fund, Inc. (the Fund), c/o H. Lawrence Blasius, 226 East 74th Street, New York, N.Y.,

a Massachusetts corporation organized on January 14, 1966, filed a notification pursuant to Regulation A in connection with a proposed offering of 3,000 shares of its no par value common stock, subsequently amended to 2,900 shares, at an offering price of $100 per share. The Fund intends to operate as an open-end mutual fund specializing in the trading of commodity futures contracts and commodities with the objective of achieving long-term capital growth. The notification states that Commodity Management Service, Inc., a Massachusetts corporation, will act as advisor to the Fund and will be responsible for the underwriting of this offering.

II. The Commission has reasonable cause to believe that:

A. The notification and offering circular contain untrue statements of material facts and omit to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they are made, not misleading, particularly with respect to:

1. The background and lack of experience of the Fund manager, Commodity Management Service, Inc., and its president, Arthur Stavisky, in fund management and their limited experience in trading in commodity futures;

2. The failure to disclose that Mr. Stavisky intends to devote the major portion of his time to his law practice and therefore devote only a limited time to the management of the Fund;

3. The failure to disclose that Mr. Stavisky intends to rely on advice from and/or to engage outside commodity consultants;

4. The failure to disclose the role of the Fund manager;

5. The failure to disclose the true educational and professional background and training of H. Lawrence Blasius in the commodity field during the last 7 years;

6. The failure to disclose the true nature and present status of the Wall Street Commodity Advisory Service and the Wall Street Commodity Letter, of which H. Lawrence Blasius is proprietor;

7. The failure to disclose that H. Lawrence Blasius' principal vocational activities are in patent engineering and consulting and that he will continue these activities and devote only a limited time to the Fund;

8. The failure to disclose that the issuer will not be able to immediately resell its redeemed shares;

9. The failure to disclose that the 20 percent reserve of net assets that will be maintained for margin calls and for the repurchase of shares may be inadequate to serve this purpose;

10. The failure to disclose that to the extent shares are redeemed, the funds available for investment will be reduced;

11. The failure to disclose the formula that will be used to determine the net asset value of the Fund and the value to be assigned to the commodities held on margin;

12. The failure to disclose accurately and adequately in one prominent position in the offering circular the speculative aspects of the proposed business and in particular:

(a) That the issuer is newly formed, has never engaged in business, has no office space, has no experienced or full-time personnel and has only $1,000 in the bank;

(b) That investment in commodities carries high risk of substantial or even total loss in a short time due to the sharp day to day price fluctuations and the many factors that may cause them;

(c) That because of their limited experience in trading commodities and fund management, Messrs. Blasius and Stavisky intend to rely upon advice from consultants, though no such consultants have been engaged and it is not known whether they can be engaged.

B. The terms and conditions of Regulation A have not been complied with in that:

1. The issuer failed to name Commodity Management Service, Inc., Fund manager and underwriter, and Arthur Stavisky, president of Commodity Management Service, Inc. and a promoter and director of the Fund, as affiliates in the notification and offering circular;

2. The issuer failed to name as affiliates the Wall Street Advisory Service and the Wall Street Commodity Letter, both of which are controlled by H. Lawrence Blasius, Fund president;

3. The issuer failed to name in the notification H. Lawrence Blasius, owner of all of the Fund's presently outstanding stock, as owner of 10 percent or more of its outstanding securities;

4. The notification states that the offering will be conducted in all States when in fact the States of Arizona and New Jersey have issued cease and desist orders against the issuer and underwriter, respectively, for failure to comply with the registration regulations of those States;

5. The notification fails to disclose a sale by Commodity Management Service, Inc., an affiliate of the Fund, of $6,250 of securities under Regulation A within 1 year prior to the instant filing;

6. The issuer has failed to file a consent and certification by the underwriter as an exhibit to the notification;

7. The offering circular fails to disclose whether there are any provisions for return of funds to subscribers if less than the entire offering is sold.

C. The offering would be made in violation of section 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

III. It appearing to the Commission that it is in the public interest and for the protection of investors that the exemption of the issuer under Regulation A be temporarily suspended:

It is ordered, Pursuant to Rule 261(a) of the general rules and regulations under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, that the exemption of the issuer under Regulation A be, and it hereby is, temporarily suspended.

Notice is hereby given that any person having any interest in the matter may file with the Secretary of the Commission a written request for hearing within 30 days after the entry of this order; that within 20 days after receipt of such request, the Commission will, or at any time upon its own motion may, set the matter down for hearing at a place to be designated by the Commission for the purpose of determining whether this order of suspension should be vacated or made permanent, without prejudice, however, to the consideration and presentation of additional matters at the hearing; and that notice of the time and place for said hearing will be promptly given by the Commission. If no hearing is requested and none is ordered by the Commission, the order shall become permanent on the 30th day after its entry and shall remain in effect unless it is modified or vacated by the Commission.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DUBOIS, *Secretary*.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10149; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:48 a.m.]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

NOTICE OF FILING OF MOTOR CARRIER INTRASTATE APPLICATIONS

SEPTEMBER 13, 1966.

The following applications for motor common carrier authority to operate in intrastate commerce seek concurrent motor carrier authorization in interstate or foreign commerce within the limits of the intrastate authority sought, pursuant to section 206(a)(6) of the Interstate Commerce Act, as amended October 15, 1962. These applications are governed by Special Rule 1.245 of the Commission's rules of practice, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, issue of April 11, 1963, page 3533, which provides, among other things, that protests and requests for information concerning the time and place of State Commission hearings or other proceedings, any subsequent changes therein, and any other related matters shall be directed to the State Commission with which the application is filed and shall not be addressed to or filed with the Interstate Commerce Commission.

State Docket No. MC 19589, filed August 12, 1966. Applicant: CENTRAL OKLAHOMA FREIGHT LINES, INC., 207 North Cincinnati, Tulsa, Okla. Applicant's representatives: Rufus H. Lawson, Post Office Box 75124, Oklahoma City, Okla., and Glen Ham, Pauls Valley, Okla. Certificate of public convenience and necessity sought to operate a freight service as follows: Transporting *general commodities*, in intrastate and interstate or foreign commerce, over the following routes: (1) Between Oklahoma City, Okla., and Henryetta, Okla., via U.S. Highway 62, serving intermediate points of Meeker, Prague, Boley, and Okemah, Okla.; (2) between Okla-

homa City, Okla., and Holdenville, Okla., via U.S. Highway 270, serving all intermediate points; (3) between Oklahoma City, Okla., and Atoka, Okla., via U.S. Highway 270 to junction State Highway 99, thence State Highway 99 to junction State Highway 3, thence State Highway 3 to junction U.S. Highway 75, thence U.S. Highway 75 to Atoka, serving all intermediate points; and (4) between Oklahoma City, Okla., and Weleetka, Okla., via U.S. Highway 270 to junction State Highway 9, thence State Highway 9 to junction U.S. Highway 75, thence U.S. Highway 75 to junction U.S. Highway 62, serving all intermediate points. NOTE: Applicant seeks to join proposed authority with existing authority. Restriction: Shipments originating locally in Oklahoma City, Okla., shall not be transported to Tulsa, Okla., nor shall shipments originating locally at Tulsa, Okla., be transported to Oklahoma City, Okla.

HEARING: September 26, 1966, Commission's Courtroom, Third Floor, Jim Thorpe Office Building, Oklahoma City, Okla. Requests for procedural information, including the time for filing protests, concerning this application, should be addressed to the Corporation Commission of Oklahoma, Oklahoma City, Okla., and should not be directed to the Interstate Commerce Commission.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] H. NEIL GARSON, *Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 66-10174; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:50 a.m.]

[Notice 252]

MOTOR CARRIER TEMPORARY AUTHORITY APPLICATIONS

SEPTEMBER 13, 1966.

The following are notices of filing of applications for temporary authority under section 210a(a) of the Interstate Commerce Act provided for under the new rules in Ex Parte No. MC 67 (49 CFR Part 240) published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, issue of April 27, 1965, effective July 1, 1965. These rules provide that protests to the granting of an application must be filed with the field official named in the FEDERAL REGISTER publication, within 15 calendar days after the date notice of the filing of the application is published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. One copy of such protest must be served on the applicant, or its authorized representative, if any, and the protest must certify that such service has been made. The protest must be specific as to the service which such protestant can and will offer, and must consist of a signed original and six copies.

A copy of the application is on file, and can be examined, at the Office of the Secretary, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., and also in the field office to which protests are to be transmitted.

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PROPERTY

No. MC 49304 (Sub-No. 19 TA), filed September 7, 1966. Applicant: BOWMAN TRUCKING COMPANY, INC., Post Office Box 6, Stephens City, Va. Applicant's representative: Eston H. Alt, Post Office Box 81, Winchester, Va. 22601. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Masonry construction materials* (other than in bulk), *plastic mixing boxes used in connection with masonry construction materials, asphalt patching and coating materials, play sand, and decorative stone* or *gravel*, from Gibbsboro, N.J., to points in District of Columbia, Maryland, Virginia, and West Virginia, on and east of U.S. Highway 522, for 150 days. Supporting shipper: G. & W. H. Corson, Inc., Plymouth Meeting, Pa. 19462, Attention: W. C. Eckardt, Jr. Send protests to: Robert D. Caldwell, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations and Compliance, Room 1220, 12th and Constitution NW., Washington, D.C. 20423.

No. MC 52917 (Sub-No. 56 TA), filed September 9, 1966. Applicant: CHESAPEAKE MOTOR LINES, INC., 340 West North Avenue, Baltimore, Md. 21217. Applicant's representative: Thomas M. Jenkins (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Fresh meat and processed foods* in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from Washington, D.C., to points in Culpeper, Rappahannock, and Warren Counties, Va., for 150 days. Supporting shipper: Swift & Co., 115 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Ill. 60604. Send protests to: William L. Hughes, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations and Compliance, Interstate Commerce Commission, 103 South Gay Street, Baltimore, Md. 21202.

No. MC 66562 (Sub-No. 2190 TA), filed September 9, 1966. Applicant: RAILWAY EXPRESS AGENCY, INCORPORATED, 219 East 42d Street, New York, N.Y. 10017. Applicant's representative: Elmer F. Slovacek, 105 West Madison Street, Chicago, Ill. 60602. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities, including class A and B explosives*, moving in express service, (1) southeast and east from Grand Forks, N. Dak., on U.S. Highway 2 to junction with Minnesota Highway 32; thence north on Highway 32 to junction with U.S. Highway 59; thence north and west on Highway 59 to Lancester, Minn.; thence south on County Highway 6 to junction with County Highway 3; thence west on Highway 3 to junction with U.S. Highway 75; thence south on Highway 75 to junction with U.S. Highway 2, and return on Highway 2 to Grand Forks, N. Dak.; serving the intermediate points of Crookston, Red Lake Falls, Thief River Falls, Strandquist, Karlstad, Halma, Lake Bronson, Lancaster, Hallock, Stephen, and Warren, all in Minnesota, and (2) alternate routes, for operating convenience only, and serving no intermediate and/or off-line points: West from junction of U.S. Highway 59 and County Highway 3 to junction with County Highway 6, a distance of 5 miles; and west from junction of U.S. Highway 75 and Minnesota Highway 1 to junction with Minnesota Highway 220, a distance of 11 miles; thence south on Highway 220 to junction with U.S. Highway 2, a distance of 19 miles, and return over same route. The conditions are: (1) The service to be performed by the applicant shall be limited to that which is auxiliary to or supplemental of express service of the Railway Express Agency, Inc. (2) Shipments transported by applicant shall be limited to those on through bills of lading or express receipts. (3) Such further specific conditions as the Commission, in the future, may find necessary to impose in order to restrict applicant's operations to a service which is auxiliary to or supplemental of express service of the Railway Express Agency, Inc., for 180 days. Supporting shippers: There are 17 shippers' supporting statements attached to the application, which may be examined here in Washington, D.C., at the offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission. Send protests to: Anthony Chiusano, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations and Compliance, 346 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10013.

No. MC 66562 (Sub-No. 2191 TA), filed September 9, 1966. Applicant: RAILWAY EXPRESS AGENCY, INCORPORATED, 219 East 42d Street, New York, N.Y. 10017. Applicant's representative: W. H. Marx (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities, including class A and B explosives*, moving in express service, from Indianapolis, Ind., to Louisville, Ky., over regular routes, as follows: From Indianapolis, Ind., over U.S. Highway 31 to its junction with Interstate Highway 65, thence over Interstate Highway 65 to Louisville, Ky., and return over the same route; serving no intermediate points. Until such time that Interstate 65 is completed, at that time, request to operate over Interstate 65 from Indianapolis, Ind., to Louisville, Ky., and return over the same route. Serving no intermediate points, for 180 days. Supporting shippers: There are 28 shippers' supporting statements attached to the application, which may be examined here at the Interstate Commerce Commission in Washington, D.C. Send protests to: Anthony Chiusano, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations and Compliance, 346 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10013.

No. MC 113271 (Sub-No. 27 TA), filed September 9, 1966. Applicant: CHEMICAL TRANSPORT, 1627 Third Street NW., Great Falls, Mont. 59401. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Sodium sulphate*, in bulk, in hopper type vehicles, from the port of entry on the international boundary line between the United States and Canada at Raymond, Mont., to Plentywood, Mont., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Sybouts Sodium Sulphate Co., Ltd., Post Office Box 1911, Wilmington, Del. 19899. Send protests to:

Paul J. Labane, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations and Compliance, 251 U.S. Post Office Building, Billings, Mont. 59101.

No. MC 114091 (Sub-No. 77 TA), filed September 9, 1966. Applicant: HUFF TRANSPORT CO., INC., Post Office Box 13116, Louisville, Ky. 40213. Applicant's representative: C. L. Huff (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Salt*, in bags and in bulk, from the site of Kentucky Asphalt Terminal in Jefferson County, Ky., to points in Indiana on and south of Indiana Highway 28, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Harold L. Karr, General Truck Coordinator, Cargill, Inc., Cargill Building, Minneapolis, Minn. 55402. Send protests to: Wayne L. Merilatt, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations and Compliance, Interstate Commerce Commission, 426 Post Office Building, Louisville, Ky. 40202.

No. MC 124692 (Sub-No. 22 TA), filed September 9, 1966. Applicant: MYRON SAMMONS, Post Office Box 933, Missoula, Mont. 59801. Applicant's representative: Richard M. Bosard, 1160 Northwestern Bank Building, Minneapolis, Min. 55402. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Iron and steel articles, including foundry castings, patterns, and fireproof building materials*, from Sioux City, Iowa, to points in Kansas, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wyoming, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Sioux City Foundry Co., 801 Division Street, Post Office Box 3067, Sioux City, Iowa 51102. Send protests to: Paul J. Labane, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations and Compliance, 251 U.S. Post Office Building, Billings, Mont. 59101.

No. MC 125168 (Sub-No. 8 TA), filed September 9, 1966. Applicant: OIL-CHEM, INC., Box 190, Darby, Pa. Applicant's representative: R. H. Davis (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *Petroleum Products*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, between Falling Rock, W. Va., and Brainards, N.J., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Elk Refining Co., Post Office Box 1033, Charleston, W. Va. Send protests to: Peter R. Guman, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, 900 U.S. Customhouse, Second and Chestnut Streets, Philadelphia, Pa. 19106.

No. MC 128549 (Sub-No. 1 TA), filed September 9, 1966. Applicant: P. A. LaVALLEY, doing business as LaVALLEY TRUCKING SERVICE, Box 61, Clitherall, Minn. 56524. Applicant's representative: Lyle Huseby, 403 First National Bank Building, Fargo, N. Dak. 58102. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Rough lumber* (all hard wood and green), from points in Cass, Richland, Ransom, Traill, and Steele Counties, N. Dak., to McGregor, Aitkin and St. Cloud, Minn., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: George Lund, Lakeside Manufacturing Co., McGregor, Minn. Send protests to: Joseph H. Ambs, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations and Compliance, Interstate Commerce Commission, 1621 South University Drive, Room 213, Fargo, N. Dak. 58102.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] H. NEIL GARSON, *Secretary*.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10175; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:50 a.m.]

[Notice 1412]

MOTOR CARRIER TRANSFER PROCEEDINGS

SEPTEMBER 13, 1966.

Synopses of orders entered pursuant to section 212(b) of the Interstate Commerce Act, and rules and regulations prescribed thereunder (49 CFR Part 179), appear below:

As provided in the Commission's special rules of practice any interested person may file a petition seeking reconsideration of the following numbered proceedings within 20 days from the date of publication of this notice. Pursuant to section 17(8) of the Interstate Commerce Act, the filing of such a petition will postpone the effective date of the order in that proceeding pending its disposition. The matters relied upon by petitioners must be specified in their petitions with particularity.

No. MC-FC-68969. By order of September 12, 1966, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to Diamond T Trucking Co., a corporation, Philadelphia, Pa., of the operating rights of Phillips Specials, a corporation, Jersey City, N.J., in certificates Nos. MC-78092 and MC-78092 (Sub-No. 2), issued March 20, 1961, and April 18, 1961, respectively, authorizing the transportation, over irregular routes, of general commodities, between New York, N.Y., and Jersey City, N.J., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Bergen, Passaic, Hudson, Essex, and Union Counties, N.J., and points in that part of Middlesex County, N.J., on and north of New Jersey Highway 18, between Philadelphia, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, Camden and Trenton, N.J., Wilmington, Del., and points in that part of Delaware, Montgomery, and Bucks Counties, Pa., on and east of U.S. Highway 202; of household goods, as defined, and general commodities, excluding commodities in bulk and other specified commodities, between points in Bucks County, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New Jersey within 40 miles of Pineville, Pa.; general commodities, excluding household goods, commodities in bulk, and other specified commodities, from Jersey City, N.J., and points in New Jersey within 10 miles of Jersey City, to Schenectady and Troy, N.Y., and points on U.S. Highway 9 from New York, N.Y., to junction U.S. Highway 9N, those on U.S. Highway 9N from its junction with U.S. Highway 9 to Ticonderoga, those on N.Y. Highway 32B from Glens Falls, N.Y., to Hudson Falls, N.Y., those on U.S. Highway 4 from Hudson Falls to Whitehall, N.Y., and those on New York Highway 22 from Whitehall to Ticonderoga; barrels and barrel heads, from Hoboken, N.J., to Waterford, N.Y., and graphite, clay, charcoal, and castings, from Ticonderoga, N.Y., to Jersey City, N.J. Ralph C. Busser, Jr., 1710 Locust Street, Philadelphia, Pa. 19103, attorney for applicants.

No. MC-FC-68999. By order of September 9, 1966, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to the Gray Line, Inc., Boston, Mass. 02116, of the operating rights of Rawding Lines, Inc., Boston, Mass., in certificates Nos. MC-21279 and MC-21279 (Sub-No. 1), issued June 4, 1941, and March 1, 1939, respectively, authorizing the transportation, over irregular routes, of passengers and their baggage, restricted to traffic originating at the points indicated, in round trip or one-way charter operations, from Boston, Mass., and points within 20 miles of Boston, to points in Connecticut, New Hampshire, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, and Vermont, and return, and of passengers and their baggage, restricted to traffic originating and terminating at the point indicated, in special operations, on round trip sightseeing or pleasure tours, over irregular routes, during the season extending from the 1st day of April to the 31st day of October, inclusive, from Boston, Mass., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maryland, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Virginia, Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, and the District of Columbia, and return, and from Boston, Mass., to points at the international boundary between Canada and Maine, on trips to points in Canada, and return; and of passengers and their baggage, in special operations (round trip or sightseeing service), over regular routes and between fixed termini, specified below (a) during the Easter or Spring season and during the season extending from the 1st day of July to the 30th day of September, inclusive, from Norfolk, Va., over a described route to Tappanannock, Va., Fredericksburg, Va., Warsaw, Va., Washington, D.C., Luray, Va., Charlottsville, Va., Harrisonburg, Va., Natural Bridge, Va., Buena Vista, Va., Richmond, Va., Williamsburg, Yorktown, and Norfolk, and (b) during the season extending from the 1st day of July to the 30th day of September, inclusive, from Norfolk, Va., to Salisbury, N.C., and (c) during the Easter or Spring season, from Salisbury, N.C., to Norfolk, Va. William J. Lippman, 1824 R Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20009, attorney for applicants.

No. MC-FC-68962. Corrected notice.[1] By notice appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER of September 13, 1966, the public was advised that the operating rights of Mildred Mattingly in No. MC-97992, had been authorized for transfer to Able Express, Inc., this notice was entered in error and should be disregarded. Rudy

[1] Corrected to delete previous notice.

Yessin, Box 457, McClure Building, Frankfort, Ky. 40601, attorney for applicants.

No. MC-FC-69008. By order of September 8, 1966, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to Lawrence J. Cimino doing business as John Cimino Mover, Easton, Pa., of certificates in Nos. MC-18141 and MC-18141 (Sub-No. 2) issued November 1, 1941, and January 19, 1961, respectively, to John Cimino, Easton, Pa., authorizing the transportation of: General commodities, with the usual exceptions including household goods and commodities in bulk, between Easton, Pa., and points within 3 miles of Easton, on the one hand, and, on the other, Phillipsburg, N.J., and points within 3 miles of Phillipsburg; and, household goods, between Easton, Pa., and Phillipsburg, N.J., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Virginia, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia, and, between points in Monroe and Northampton Counties, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New York, New Jersey, Connecticut, Massachusetts, Maryland, Virginia, West Virginia, Delaware, and the District of Columbia. Jacob A. Raub, Jr., Easton, Pa. 18042, attorney for applicants.

No. MC-FC-69034. By order of September 12, 1966, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to Sanitary Transfer, Inc., Pittsburgh, Pa., of certificate No. MC-123308, issued May 1, 1961, to Anna Manculich, doing business as Sanitary Transfer, Pittsburgh, Pa., and authorizing the transportation of bakery products, materials, equipment, and supplies, incidental to the production of bakery products, potato chips, in containers, and empty containers for potato chips and bakery products, over regular routes, between Pittsburgh, Pa., and Wheeling, W. Va., serving the intermediate point of Hollidays Cove, W. Va., and the off-route point of Steubenville, Ohio; between Pittsburgh, Pa., and Cleveland, Ohio, serving the intermediate point of Akron, Ohio; between Pittsburgh, Pa., and Youngstown, Ohio, serving no intermediate points; between Pittsburgh, Pa., and Clarksburg, W. Va., serving the intermediate point of Fairmont, W. Va., and between Pittsburgh, Pa., and Cumberland, Md., serving no intermediate points; also, over irregular routes, bakery products, containers therefor, and advertising matter used in connection therewith, and potato chips, in containers, from Pittsburgh, Pa., to Zanesville, Ohio, and Huntington and Charleston, W. Va.; bakery products, from Cleveland, Ohio, to Greenville, Rochester, Butler, Charleroi, Brownsville, Dunbar, Connellsville, Jeannette, Ford City, Blairsville, Johnstown, Altoona, and Warren, Pa. A. A. Bluestone, 523 Grant Building, Pittsburgh, Pa. 15219, representative for applicants.

No. MC-FC-69046. By order of September 12, 1966, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to Harry R. Ridilla, doing business as H & H Motor Freight Co., Latrobe, Pa., of the operating rights in certificate No. MC-65134, issued November 12, 1964, to James W. Franko, Jr., Latrobe, Pa., authorizing the transportation of: General commodities, with the usual exception, between specified points in Pennsylvania. Thomas R. Mahady, 317 Weldon Street, Latrobe, Pa. 15650, attorney for applicants.

[SEAL] H. NEIL GARSON,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-10176; Filed, Sept. 15, 1966; 8:50 a.m.]

CUMULATIVE LIST OF PARTS AFFECTED—SEPTEMBER

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during September.

3 CFR
Page

PROCLAMATIONS:
Nov. 5, 1906 (revoked in part by PLO 4083) 11755
33 (revoked in part by PLO 4083) 11755
1066 (revoked in part by PLO 4083) 11755
3682 (see Proc. 3743) 12003
3739 11639
3740 11705
3741 11707
3742 11855
3743 12003

EXECUTIVE ORDER:
April 17, 1926 (revoked in part by PLO 4077) 11547
6143 (revoked in part by PLO 4077) 11547
6276 (revoked in part by PLO 4077) 11547
6583 (revoked in part by PLO 4077) 11547
7373 (revoked by PLO 4079) 11547
7676 (superseded in part by EO 11305) 12007
8962 (superseded by EO 11305) 12007
9721 (modified by EO 11303) 11963
9746 (superseded by EO 11305) 12007
10103 (see EO 11303) 11963
10595 (superseded by EO 11305) 12007
11142 (superseded by EO 11302) 11741
11175 (amended by EO 11304) 12005
11198 (superseded in part by EO 11304) 12005
11301 11709
11302 11741
11303 11963
11304 12005
11305 12007

PRESIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS OTHER THAN PROCLAMATIONS AND EXECUTIVE ORDERS:
Reorganization Plan No. 5 of 1966 11857

5 CFR
213 11545, 11651, 12081
511 11545
534 11545, 11651
930 12081

7 CFR
51 11546
52 11591
68 11653
215 11743
701 11591, 11965
717 12011
722 11965
724 11655
728 11859
811 11711
831 11591, 11931

7 CFR—Continued
Page

855 11966, 11967
905 11971
908 11656, 11743, 11931, 12012
910 11656, 11931, 12012
921 11932
924 11657
926 11657
927 11657
931 11657
944 12012
946 11743
948 11658, 11712, 11743
981 11744
1079 11859, 12013
1134 11860
1421 11932, 12051
1446 11592
1488 11861

PROPOSED RULES:
55 11666
58 12354
110 11614
112 11614
113 11614
301 12023
905 11553
932 11758
993 11987
1012 12102
1013 11669
1038 12104
1079 11896
1096 12023

8 CFR
103 11713
212 11714
213 11714
214 11714, 11744, 12081
221 11714
243 11744
299 11714

9 CFR
51 12082
131 11607

PROPOSED RULES:
131 12102
324 11614
325 11614

10 CFR
PROPOSED RULES:
30 12023

12 CFR
1 11641
531 11972

PROPOSED RULES:
545 12061, 12062

13 CFR
121 11651, 11973

PROPOSED RULES:
121 12024

14 CFR
Page

25 11933
39 11593, 11641, 11714, 11715, 11973, 12082, 12083.
71 11546, 11594, 11595, 11715–11717, 11745, 11861, 11862, 11934, 11973, 12054, 12083, 12084.
73 11863
75 11745
91 11641
95 11745
97 11596, 11864, 12085
151 11605, 11747

PROPOSED RULES:
39 11615, 11897
71 11615, 11616, 11724, 11725, 11759–11761, 12061.
75 11725, 12104
121 11725
296 12060
297 12060

15 CFR
230 11974
399 11870

16 CFR
13 11719, 11747, 11750–11754, 11875, 11876, 12055.
15 11607, 12014, 12015

PROPOSED RULES:
300 12063

17 CFR
270 12092

18 CFR
141 12055, 12093
154 11934
260 12015

PROPOSED RULES:
2 11947

19 CFR
PROPOSED RULES:
Ch. I 12102
10 11593
14 11974

20 CFR
404 12093

21 CFR
2 12018
3 11935
8 12018
19 11717, 11876
27 12019, 12020
29 11754
120 11754
121 11608–11610, 11718, 11876, 11936
144 11876
166 11754
191 11719

21 CFR—Continued Page

PROPOSED RULES:
- 31 ... 12104
- 37 ... 12060

22 CFR

42 ... 11755

24 CFR

1600 ... 12055

26 CFR

1 ... 11794
170 ... 11974
197 ... 11974
198 ... 11975
201 ... 11975
211 ... 11975
213 ... 11975
240 ... 11975
245 ... 11976
252 ... 11976

PROPOSED RULES:
- 1 ... 11845, 11850, 11978

28 CFR

0 ... 11720, 12082

29 CFR

800 ... 11720

31 CFR

315 ... 11879
232 ... 11879

32 CFR

142 ... 11936
163 ... 12020
536 ... 11880
579 ... 11642
1455 ... 11651

32A CFR

NSA (Ch. XVIII):
AGE-4 ... 11651

33 CFR Page

80 ... 11722
95 ... 11722

35 CFR

Ch. I ... 12202

38 CFR

3 ... 12055
13 ... 12056
36 ... 12056

39 CFR

201 ... 12082

41 CFR

9-7 ... 12021
9-12 ... 12021
9-16 ... 12021
13-1 ... 11885

PROPOSED RULES:
- 50-201 ... 12061

42 CFR

53 ... 12095
61 ... 12096

43 CFR

2230 ... 11546
3320 ... 11548
4110 ... 12100

PUBLIC LAND ORDERS:
156 (revoked by PLO 4079) ... 11547
750 (revoked in part by PLO 4076) ... 11546
4019 (corrected by PLO 4081) ... 11755
4076 ... 11546
4077 ... 11547
4078 ... 11547
4079 ... 11547
4080 ... 11755
4081 ... 11755
4082 ... 11755
4083 ... 11755

45 CFR Page

12 ... 11886
160 ... 12101
704 ... 11890
801 ... 11890, 11935

46 CFR

222 ... 11607
510 ... 11652, 12022

PROPOSED RULES:
- 10 ... 11665
- 11 ... 11665
- 12 ... 11665
- 531 ... 11616

47 CFR

0 ... 11755
73 ... 11977
74 ... 11720

PROPOSED RULES:
- 73 ... 11761, 11898
- 91 ... 11726

48 CFR

410 ... 11890

49 CFR

71-79 ... 11756
77 ... 11549
101 ... 11550

50 CFR

10 ... 11658, 11892
32 ... 11551, 11552, 11610–11612, 11662, 11663, 11721, 11722, 11756, 11757, 11892–11895, 11936, 11937, 11987, 12021, 12056–12059, 12098–12100.
33 ... 12059
280 ... 11938
281 ... 11938
401 ... 11944

PROPOSED RULES:
- 32 ... 11947, 11987
- 33 ... 11987

180

PART 2

FEDERAL REGISTER

VOLUME 31 • NUMBER 180

Friday, September 16, 1966 • Washington, D.C.

PART II

PANAMA CANAL

•

Canal Zone Regulations