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## PREFACE.

The object of this number of the English Studies of the University of Chicago is to make accessible in a literal reprint the first Rhetoric printed in the English language. The work here reproduced is one of the earliest English schoolbooks and is significant for the history of English prose in the first half of the sixteenth century. It is moreover a work connected in many interesting ways with the humanistic movement and the revival of learning in England, and with Erasmus, Melanchthon, and their associates. In the Introduction I have endeavored to arrange and present all the important material available for the elucidation of the life and work of Cox, himself one of this circle. Much of this material apparently has been hitherto overlooked or insufficiently considered, but I have studied to present it without comment so far as possible. I regret that several points still remain in doubt and that I have been unable to discover and consult several works ascribed to Cox and here listed in the Bibliography of his Works.

The digest of Melanchthon, Cox's principal source, by Mosellanus, is here given, inasmuch as the correspondence between the works of Cox and Melanchthon is so close that this digest serves equally well as an analytical table of contents for Cox. Later on the source in full in Melanchthon, so far as used by Cox, also is reprinted. The reprint of Cox's own text follows the undated first edition (A) of circa ${ }^{1} 530$, usually assigned by bibliographers to 1524. Corrections and variant readings from the edition of 1532 (B) are noted at the foot of the page; but a few corrections in punctuation introduced in B have been silently adopted. Contractions have been generally expanded and in all cases are indicated by italics.

I desire to express my especial obligations to Professor W. D. MacClintock of the University of Chicago, who first suggested the
present reprint. I am indebted for suggestions or for assistance received also to the authorities of the Library of the British Museum, and especially to Messrs. A. W. Pollard, R. Proctor, and Richard Garnett ; to Mr. Henry R. Plomer, London ; to Professor R. M. Werner of the University of Lemberg ; to Professor C. H. Moore of Harvard University ; and to Professors Paul Shorey and J. M. Manly and Dr. Karl Pietsch of the University of Chicago.

Frederic Ives Carpenter.
University of Chicago, January 1899.

## INTRODUCTION.

The beginnings of English literary criticism in the sixteenth century have a curious interest. In them, scanty and halting as The Beginnings they often are, we can trace the first expression of the of the Theory literary self-consciousness which was awakening with of English the growth of the new literature and the new civilizaProse. tion of the Renaissance. In poetry it is long before there is a full statement of principles ${ }^{\mathrm{T}}$; in prose, an artistic form much later in reaching its full development than poetry, it is longer still. The theory of prose, during the entire century and even far beyond the century, clings to the traditions of oratory and the classifications and precepts of ancient rhetoric, as modified and interpreted by Mediæval and Renaissance thought. The first steps in the formation of, modern English prose are strangely timid and groping. Strong practical needs drive men to seek the means of ordered and effective expression in the prose vernacular. But native models of expression are lacking. Hence there is a movement of education and a resort to foreign teaching and aid. All England is at school to foreign models.

It is in this way that the early English rhetorical treatises of the sixteenth century are of importance. They are documents in the

Interest and Value of Cox's Work history of English education as they are in English literary history. They did practical service in training men to ordered utterance, and at the same time they gave expression, at least in part, to the accepted theory of English prose.

The first of these treatises by a quarter-century, and in its way the most interesting, perhaps as much for what it lacks as for what it gives, is the little work by Leonard Cox on the Arte or Crafte of Rhethoryke, herewith reprinted for the first time. ${ }^{2}$ It is characteristic of its period and highly interesting as one of the rather slender list of productions by that little band of humanists and reformers in letters, education, and religion, of whom Colet, Lilly, and More were the chief members in England.
${ }^{1}$ See Schelling's Poetic and Verse Criticism of the Reign of Elizabeth.
${ }^{2}$ The originals are excessively rare. I know of only two copies, that in the British Museum and that in the Bodleian Library.

## I. THE AUTHOR AND HIS CAREER.

Cox himself, scholar, schoolmaster, and preacher in the reigns of Henry VIII and Edward VI, so far as we can reconstruct the story of his career from the confused and defective Annals of the
Life of Cox. materials at our command, although playing a minor part, seems to have led a life typical of the times and interesting in its vicissitudes. Educated at both universities, traveling abroad and teaching in three or four of the foreign universities, translating from Erasmus, Melanchthon, and others, writing learned scholia and commentaries, Cox came into touch in one way or another with most of the great men of letters and of learning in his age, and counted among his friends such men as Erasmus, Melanchthon, Leland, Palsgrave, Bale, Faringdon, Toy the printer, and John Hales. He was in public employment, patronized by Cromwell, and pensioned off in a small way ${ }^{\mathrm{I}}$ among the other beneficiaries from the spoliation of the ancient religious foundations, and so finally became a preacher of the reformed religion under Edward VI and teacher in the grammar schools at Reading, and perhaps at Caerleon and Coventry. Cox thus witnessed and took his share in the two great movements of the first half of the century in England, that of the early Humanism, whose chief representatives were Erasmus and Colet, and that of the religious Reformation which at first was so intimately associated with the movement of Humanism.

Concerning the date of Cox's birth we know nothing. It must be placed before the opening of the sixteenth century, for as early as 1518 we find the learning of Cox already so well

## Birth and Early Life.

 established as to secure for him the honor of delivering a Latin oration at Cracow in Poland. ${ }^{2}$ It is probable that by this date Cox was teaching in the Academy at Cracow, where at any rate in 1524 we find him entered as full master.Between these dates, however, he had traveled elsewhere and had been concerned with other matters, for in 1519 we find the following entry concerning him among the "Accounts at Tournay." ${ }^{3}$
${ }^{1}$ See infra p. 16.
${ }^{2}$ See entry of the title of this oration in list of Cox's works below, p. 18 .
${ }^{3}$ In Letters and Papers, Foreign and Domestic, of the Reign of Henry VIII, ed. J. S. Brewer (London 1867), Vol. III, No. 153 (24).
" Mem. A horse and money given to Leonard Cokks to convey stuff from Tournay to Antwerp . . . . Money given to Leonard Cox, Shurland the jester and gunner, and to Matthew's brother at his going to school at Paris."

The next definite date in the life of Cox which I can discover is the publication in 1524 of his scholia, in Latin, on the Latin poem on Hunting by the Cardinal Adrian. ${ }^{\text { }}$ This work is dedicated by Cox to "Iodoco Ludovico Dedo serenissimo ac potentissimo Regi Poloniæ à Secretis. Mœcenati suo. S. D. P." and the dedication is dated "ex Gymnasio nostro Cassoviæ" IIII Calendas Maij. Anno à Natali Servatoris. M.D.XXIIII." The work was published at Cracow in June of the same year. On the title page the poem is described as accompanied with "Scholiis non ineruditis Leonardi Coxi Britanni." All these references can hardly apply to a young man less than twenty-four years of age.

Cox is said to have been the second son of Lawrence Cox of the city of Monmouth in Monmouthshire by Elizabeth Willey his

## Education.

 wife, and the grandson of John Cox. ${ }^{3}$ Of his edution before entering college we know nothing beyond Bale's general statement that "from his very childhood he was well instructed in liberal studies," nor do we know the date of his entering or of his receiving his degree at Cambridge, where it is stated that he was educated. ${ }^{4}$ It is probable, however, that he graduated before 15i8, for without a university training, even in those days of precocious learning, he could hardly have occupied the position we find him holding in Poland in 1518 and again in 1524, and have published such work as he then did.In 1524 at any rate Cox was abroad again, as we have seen. There he remained at least until 1527 , since in 1526 we find him publishTravels. ing another work in Cracow, ${ }^{5}$ his Methodus Studiorum Humaniorum, and in 1527 Erasmus is writing to him about affairs in Hungary. ${ }^{6}$
${ }^{r}$ See entry of the title below, p. 18. There is a copy in the British Museum.
${ }^{2}$ I. e., doubtless Casehau, or Kaschau, in Upper Hungary.
${ }^{3}$ Cooper, Ath. Cantub. I, 94 ; Chalmers, Biog. Dict.; Dict. Natl. Biog.
${ }^{4}$ Cooper, loc. cit.
${ }^{5}$ Panzer, Annales Typographici. See infra p. I8.
${ }^{6}$ See below, p. ir.

It therefore seems improbable that the first edition of his Rhetoric, published without date, but assigned definitely to 1524 by many bibliographers, could have appeared in that year,

Date of Cox's Rhetoric. written as it is from his school in Reading. ${ }^{\text {r }}$ Probably, however, somewhere between 1527 and I530 Cox returned to England and was appointed master of the school at Reading ${ }^{2}$ by Hugh Faringdon, the Abbot of the place. He was certainly in this position before ${ }^{3}$ February I 530, when he supplicated for incorporation and for M. A. at Oxford, " as being schoolmaster at Redyng. ${ }^{1}{ }^{4}$

Again, it is impossible to assume with Hallam ${ }^{5}$ that Cox's Rhetoric was written in 1524 and that his Methodus Humaniorum Studiorum in 1526 is a translation of the Rhetoric into Latin, for the simple reason that the Rhetoric is itself in greater part a translation from a well-known Latin original into English, as I shall later have occasion to show, and there could be no reason for making another version in Latin by translating back from the English.

In May 1527 , Erasmus, whose name we find mentioned several times in the course of the following Rhetoric, wrote to Cox, who was probably still at Casehau, a letter which has been

## Letter from Erasmus.

 preserved among the Epistles of Erasmus (Erasmi Epistola, Lugduni Batavorum I706, 982 C., Epistola DCCCLXVI). The following synopsis of the letter is given in Brewer: ${ }^{6}$${ }^{x}$ See Cox's dedication to his Rhetoric, infra p. 39.
${ }^{2}$ John Man, History and Antiquities of Reading (Reading, 1816), p. 196. says John Long was master of this school from 1503 to 1530 , and was "succeeded in 1530 by Leonard Cox A. M."
${ }^{3}$ Not "soon afterwards," as is stated in the D. N. B. and other biographies.
${ }_{4}$ In Boase, Register of the University of Oxford (Oxford, 1885), Vol. I, p. 159, the entry stands: "Cox, Leonard, B.A. of Cambridge sup. is Feb. $15{ }_{3}^{2} 9$ for incorporation and for M.A. and for disp. as being schoolmaster at Redyng." See also Cox's verses in Palsgrave's L'Esclarcissement, in 1530, infra, p. 20.
${ }^{5}$ Hallam, Literature of Europe, Pt. I, ch. viii, at end. Followed by Jebb, article "Rhetoric" in Encycl. Brit., gth ed.

[^0]"Thanks him for his letters. Is sorry to hear of the ill-health of their friend Justus. ${ }^{\text { }}$ His Copia has been again edited six months ago. Gives an account of a [disputed] reading in Aulus Gellius, when, twenty years ago, he was engaged at Sienna in teaching Alexander, the archbishop of St. Andrews, brother of the present king of Scotland. Basle, 2 I May, $527 .{ }^{\prime}$

In addition I find in the original letter the following passage, the precise bearing of which perhaps cannot now be explained, but which is interesting as throwing some light on Cox's ambitions and affiliations during his abode in Poland. The churchman referred to may possibly be the Justus already mentioned in the letter; while "Cassoviensis" evidently refers to the Cassovia or Casehau already mentioned as the seat of the school whence Cox dates the dedication to his Scholia on the Venatio of Adrian :
"Ecclesiastæ Cassoviensis animum satis admirari non possum ; censeo fortunam amplectendam, vel ob id quo pluribus prodesse queas, vel ob hoc ne pessimo cuique sis contemtui. Etsi qui dignitate præeminent non possunt omnia corrigere, quæ geri conspiciunt vel à populo, vel à Principibus, tamen non parum malorum possunt excludere. Si nos invisat, reperiet nihil aliud, quam pro thesauro carbones."

Cox apparently did not embrace the opportunity suggested, but soon after returned to England. Whether he made any other sojourn abroad is doubtful, and it is probably during Cox's Learning : Leland's Encomium. these years that his reputation as a European scholar, testified to by Leland, Bale, and other and later biographers, ${ }^{2}$ was established. Leland's verses are interesting, and taken in connection with Erasmus' letter, show us among other things the comparatively high regard in which Cox was held in his own day, and evince at least some sort of a connection with Melanchthon:
${ }^{x}$ The Justus here referred to is probably Justus Jonas (1493-1555), Luther's coadjutor and a friend of Melanchthon and Erasmus. See Letter of Erasmus to Jonas, June 1, 1519, in Erasmus' Epistola, lib. V, ep. 27. See art. on Justus in Herzog \& Plitt's Real-Encyklopädie für protestantische Theologie und Kirche, Leipzig, 1880.
${ }^{2}$ E. g., Knight, Life of Erasmus, p. 229, tells of Cox's travels in France, Germany, Poland, and Hungary, and states that he "taught there the tongues, and became more eminent in Foreign Countries than at home."

Browne Willis, View of the Mitred Abbeys, 1719 (Appendix II of Leland's Collectanea): "Cox was a man universally celebrated for his Learning and Eloquence. He is one of Leland's Worthies."
" AD LEONARDUM COXUM.
Inclyta Sarmaticæ Cracouia gloria gentis, Virtutes novit Coxe diserte tuas.
Novit et eloquii phœnix utriusque Melanchthon, Quàm te Phœbus amet, Pieriúsque chorus.
Praga tuas cecinit, cecinitque Lutetia laudes, Urbs erga doctos officiosa viros.
Talia cum constent, genetrix tua propria debet Anglia te simili concelebrare modo.
Et faciet, nam me cantantem nuper adorta Hoc ipsum jussit significare tibi." ${ }^{1}$
In or about 1530 , then, Cox was appointed master of the grammar school of Reading, Berks, under the patronage of the Abbot

## Schoolmaster at Reading.

 Hugh Faringdon, a man of some prominence in the political and religious affairs of the day. And soon afterwards Cox was incorporated at Oxford, receiving his B.A. degree there Feb. I9, I 530 N. S. Cox appears to have remained at Reading as schoolmaster, with occasional journeys elsewhere connected with other matters, from I 530 to 1541 .In or about ${ }^{5} 530$ also I date conjecturally the first edition of Cox's Rhetoric, for the reasons given above. The second edition appeared in 1532 , with a few slight changes, to be noted further on.

In I530 appeared John Palsgrave's "L'Esclarcissement de la Langue Francoyse," in which occur two sets of prefatory Latin verses written by Cox, ${ }^{2}$ the first being headed "Leonardi Coxi Readingiensis ludi moderatoris, ad Gallicæ linguæ studiosos, Carmen," while the second are complimentary verses "Eiusdem Coxi ad eruditum virum Gefridum Troy de Burges Gallum."

In 1532 we hear of Cox again at Reading. About the middle of this year John Frith the martyr, venturing back to England after his long exile abroad, visited Reading, where on his

## Cox Aids the

 Protestant Frith. arrival he was set in the stocks. "Cox," says Wood, " who soon discovered his merit by his conversation, relieved his wants, and out of regard to his learningx "Principum, ac illustrium aliquot, \& eruditorum in Anglia virorum Encomia, Trophæa, Genethliaca, et Epithalamia. A Joanne Lelando Antiquario conscripta, nunc primùm in lucem edita." London 1589. Page 50. "Lutetia" of course is Paris.
${ }^{2}$ Cited infra, p. 20
procured his release," ${ }^{\text {r }}$ - a deed worthy of a Humanist and friend of Erasmus !

In 1534 we get a glimpse of Cox's occupations and ambitions in a letter of his dated from Reading, $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ May [1534], and addressed to " the Goodeman Toy, at the Signe of Saint Nicholas

## Letter to Toy the Printer.

 in Powles Churchyarde." ${ }^{2}$ It is to be found among the Letters and Papers of the Reign of Henry VIII in the Record Office, Vol. VII, No. 659 :"Goode man Toy: I hartely commend me to you and to your goodwife and here I have sent you the paraphrase of Erasmus with the epistle of saint Poule to Titus, and my preface made, as you can bere me recorde, but sodaynly. Wherfor it cannott be but easy. Neuertheles I wyll desyer you to show it vnto the right wurshipfull Master ${ }^{3}$ Cromwell, and in any wise to know his pleasure whether it shall abrode or not. If his mastershipp think it meate to be prentid, ${ }^{4}$ I shall, if it so pleas him, either translate the work that Erasmus made of the maner of prayer or his paraphrase vppon the first and seconde epistle to Timothe or els such works as shall pleas his mastershipp, and dedicate also any suche labours to him. But if this that I have done shall nott pleas his mastershipp, my trust is yet that he wyll take no displeasure with me, seing I did it for a goode entent as the preface to the redar declareth ; and agayne I wold not have it abrode with out his pleasure afore knowen. I am also a translating of a boke which Erasmus made of the bringing upp of children, which I entend to dedicate to the saide Master Cromwell, and that shortly after Whitsontide. ${ }^{5}$ Moreover it is shewid me that his mastershipp is recorder of bristow [Bristol], wherfor if I may know by your letters that he is content with my doings, I entend to write to him to besech him to be my goode master for the obteynyng of the fre schole there; for though I Foxe, Actes, etc.; Dict. Natl. Biog.; etc,

[^1]have many goode masters in the cawse, yet I had leuer have his favour then all the oothers.

Ye, and it so pleasid his mastershipp, I wold be right glad to bere the name of his servant, and so, if you have oportunite, I pray you shewe him, and send me worde what answere you have. ffare you well. from Reding the xiijth day of maii.

## Your own <br> leonard Cox.

The Goodman Toy to whom this letter was written was the printer John Toy, who issued in 1531 a Gradus Comparationum cum verbis anomalis simul cum corum compositis,--" Imprinted at London, in Poules chyrche yard, at the sygne of saynte Nycolas, by me John Toye." ${ }^{\text {r }}$ Wolsey's fall occured in 1529 and by 533 Cromwell's position and power were well established. Cox is turning to the rising sun.

We do not hear of Cox again till 1540 , when

## Letter to Cromwell.

 we find him writing directly to his patron Cromwell as follows :Pleas your good Lordeshippe. Whereas I your poore bounden servant and dayly bedeman have often tymes considered your speciall goode favour towarde me in tymes past when I was wayting in the courte on Sir Iohn Walloppe, ${ }^{2}$ whiche it afterwarde pleasid you to renew of your singular goodnes when I was last in your Lordeshippes presence att Thorneburie, ${ }^{3}$ - I have ben at all tymes greatly ashamed of my self that I had nothing whereby I myght declare again to your goode Lordeshippe my faithfull harte and serviceable mynde for your so great beneuolence. Where vppon I have at the last drawen a comment vppon a boke made some tyme by master lillie \& correctid by Erasmus, whiche work of grammer is moche set by in all scholes bothe on this side the sea \&

[^2]beyonde. ${ }^{\text {r }}$ This comment of myne made vppon the saide boke, I have here sent and dedicatid to you my speciall goode Lorde, as parte of witnes of my faithfull service owid to you tor your singulare goodnes to me your poore bedeman. And thowghe my saide diligence be fer beneth my dutie to your so singular beneuolence, yet I moste humbly beseche your moste goode Lordeshippe to accept it. And I shall, God willing, or long dedicate to you better things. Our lorde preserve your estate with all prosperite and encrease of honore,

> Your goode Lordeshippes bounden servant \& bedeman Leonard Cox

Endorsed: "To the right honorable and my speciall goode lorde the lorde prevy seale." ${ }^{2}$

The second letter is as follows :
My singulare goode Lorde : pleas your goode Lordeshippe to vnderstonde that a lytle afore Whitsontide I receyvid a letter from M. Berthlet

## Second Letter to Cromwell.

prenter to the Kings moste honorable highnes, wherin he certified me of your lordshippes goodnes towarde me as well in accepting my poore boke ${ }^{3}$ as in admitting me into your service, and of a ferther promes of your speciall benevolence; ffor the whiche I am moste bounden of all men nott onely to employ my self with all trewe diligence to do your Lordshippe the best service that I can, but also to be your dayly bedeman during my life. I boseche your good Lordeshippe to pardon me that I have not or this tyme, as my dutie is, geven attendaunce on your Lordshippe. But I trust or Michaelmas to bring with me to you a ferre better worke than that which I have dedicate to yowe all redy, \& that vppon rhetorik, which I entende to entitle Erotemata rhetorica. I knowe right well the feblenes of my witte is suche that in oother things I can do your lordeshippe but small service or none; yet in this I trust so to serve you that the worlde shall alwaies be myndefull of your singulare beneficence, not to me onely, but to all that be studiouse of goode lernyng. Wherin I will neither spare busy studie \& labour, nor coste on books. And ons euery yeare I entend during my life, by Goddes
${ }^{x}$ Published 1540 . See list of Cox's works, infra, p. 21.
${ }^{2}$ This letter, of which he gives a synopsis, is dated April 1540 by Gairdner in his edition of Letters and Papers of the Reign of Henry VIII (London, 1896), Vol. XV, No. 614 ; see also No. 706. Cromwell was made Lord Privy Seal 2 July 1536, and was executed on 28 July 1540 . It was evidently written before Whitsuntide : see next letter.
${ }^{3}$ I. e. The Latin Commentaries on Lilly, printed by Berthelet in 1540 (see Herberts' Ames I, 438), and spoken of in the preceding letter.
grace to set abrode one thing or oother to the perpetuall praise of your Lordeshippes most excellente vertues, \& the commune proufite of students. Thus with all humilite I for this present tyme take my leve, beseching the blessid Trinitie long to preserve your goode Lordeshippe with continuall encrease of most prosperous honour.

Written at Caerleon in Wales on Trinite sonday ${ }^{\text {x }}$
Your goode Lordeshippes
poor servante \& bounden bedeman
Leonard Cox.
Endorsed: "To the right honorable and my singular goode Lorde the lorde prevy seale."

The Erotemata Rhetorica unfortunately we do not possess. It is likely enough that the confusion and change of fortune intervening on the tragic ending of his patron so soon after writing these letters prevented Cox from going on with his plan.

This last letter, it will be noticed, is dated from Caerleon, in Wales. Whether Cox, whose birthplace was in Wales, was there

## At Caerleon.

 simply on a visit, or whether he had gone to reside old patron, the Abbot of Reading, ${ }^{2}$ in I 539, and was teaching school there, as Wood ${ }^{3}$ conjectures, is uncertain. ${ }^{4}$It is, however, certain, whether in the meanwhile he had left Reading or not, that on Feb. ıo, 154 I , a royal patent ${ }^{5}$ was issued Royal Grant granting and confirming to Cox the office of master to Cox at of the grammar-school at Reading-"Dedimus et Reading. Concedimus," as the document runs, "ac per Præsentes Damus \& Concedimus eidem Leonardo Officium Magistri sive Praceptoris Schola Grammaticalis sive Ludi literarii Villæ nostræ de Reading in Comitatu nostro Berks." The patent then proceeds also to grant to Cox the messuage which he was then occupying, together with a plot of ground adjoining "ex parte

$$
{ }^{\text {II }} \text {. e. } 23 \text { May, } 1540 .
$$

${ }^{2}$ See infra, p. 104, note to p. I, line 3 .
${ }^{3}$ Athen. Oxon. ed. Bliss, I, 123: "In the year 1540 (32 Hen. 8) I find that he was living at Caerleon in his native country, where I think he taught school."

[^3]Australi, . . . . ac etiam quoddam aliud Mesuagium sive Domum in Reading prædicta, modo in Tenura \& Occupatione prædicti Leonardi vocata $A$ Schole-house, in quo Pueri modo erudiuntur \& docentur in Arte \& Scientia prædictis." It is also provided that Cox during his lifetime may hold the grant by deputy. In addition he is to receive "quandam Annuitatem, sive Annualem Redditum Decem Librarum . . . . de Exitibus, Proficuis, Firmis \& Reventionibus Manerii nostri de Cholsey in dicto Comitatu nostro Berks." The manor of Cholsey, from which Cox was to receive his annual stipend of ten pounds, belonged to the lately dissolved monastery of Reading.

Of Cox's later years we know very little. Bale, in his brief account of Cox, mentions vaguely only one date. "Claruit," he Later Years. writes, "anno Domini 1540 ." ${ }^{1}$ Tanner, ${ }^{2}$ giving Bale as his authority for the first date, says: "Claruit grandævus A. MDXL . . . . vel A. MDXLIX. Vid. Præfat. Paraphr. ad Titum." Tanner thinks that perhaps Cox was master of the grammar-school founded at Coventry by his friend John Hales, to whom he dedicates the translation of the Paraphrase just referred to. Colvile ${ }^{3}$ and Cooper ${ }^{4}$ both positively assert that he became master there in 1572 . Cooper adds that "if he held that appointment till his death, he must have died in 1599 , when John Tovey succeeded to the mastership." At this last date Cox would have been probably over a hundred, and on his appointment at
${ }^{\text {x }}$ Bale, Scriptorum Illustrium maioris Brytannia Catalogus, Basle, 1557, p. 713 (Centuria nona, no. $x \times x i$ ). - The whole of Bale's account of Cox, as that of a contemporary, is interesting, and, as it is short, may be quoted here: "Leonardus Coxus, ab ipsa pueritia, liberalibus disciplinis bene institutus, rhetor, poeta, ac theologus, piusque divini verbi demum concionator, transtulit è Graeco in Latinum venerabilis antiquitatis scriptorem, Marcum Eremitam de lege et spiritu, lib. I. Transtulit in patrium sermonem Paraphrasim Erasmi in Paulum ad Titum, lib. I. Incip. Yostquam regia majestas per. Scripsit contra eos qui ab operibus justificant, lib. I. Scripsit et scholia in G. Lilium, de Octo partium constructione, lib. I; ac diversi generis carmina et epistolas, lib. I. Claruit anno Domini 1540."
${ }^{2}$ Bibliotheca Britannico-Hibernica (Lond. 1748), p. 205. I regret that I have been unable to verify the reference to the Preface to the Paraphrase of the Epistle to Titus.

[^4]Coventry over seventy! If the name of Leonard Cox appears in the list of the masters of the Coventry school, the conjecture may be hazarded that this was perhaps a son of our Leonard Cox bearing the same name. At all events it is evident that Cox lived on into the reign of Edward VI, under whom it is stated ${ }^{\mathrm{I}}$ that he was one of the licensed preachers. He left a son Francis, ${ }^{2}$ who became a D.D. of New College, Oxford, in 1594; and according to Knight ${ }^{3}$ another son, William, who was more likely, as others state, a grandson. Cox's name since his death has been known to few except professed antiquarians.

## II. LIST OF WORKS BY COX.

(Works about ${ }^{\text {T}}$.the existence of which there is considerable doubt are enclosed in brackets.)
r. Coxus, L. De laudibus Cracoviensis Academiæ 8 Idus Decembris habita oratio a 15 I8. Cracoviæ, $4^{\circ}$, Vietor. Copy in the Czartoryskische Museum in Cracow.
2. Adriani Cardinalis Venatio, una cum Scholiis non ineruditis Leonardi Coxi Britanni. [Colophon :] Cracouiæ, in ædibus Hieronymi Vietoris Typographi diligentissimi. Mense Iunio. An. D. M.XXIIII [sic].

There is a copy in the British Museum and one also in the National Library at Paris. In the Dedication Cox discusses the Latinity of his author, the value of the book for reading in schools, and how it has helped to repel barbarous Latinity and to lead the way back to Cicero. There is a word in praise of Politian, who, it will be noticed, is cited also in the Rhetoric. Cox's text is merely a scholastic commentary, line by line, on Adrian's verses. At H iiij recto there is a mention of Erasmus.
3. (a) Leonardi Coxi Methodus humaniorum studiorum. Cracoviæ in ædibus Hieronymi Vietoris, ipsis Calendis Augusti Anno M.D.XXVI.
(b) Also in the same year a second edition with the same title, but the following imprint: Cracoviæ in officina typographica Matthiæ Scharffenberg. Anno M.D.XXVI.

From Panzer, Annales Typographici (Norimbergæ 1798) Vol. VI, pp. $468-9$. It will be noticed that the first edition is from the same printer as No. I. I have been unable to discover a copy of either edition.

[^5]4. De erudienda iuventute ad P. Tomicium. Cracoviæ, I526, Vietor.
5. (a) The $A$ rte / or Crafte of / Rhetho/ryke/. [n. d.] [Colophon:] Imprinted at London in Flete strete / by me Robert Redman / dwelling at the sygne of the George / Cum priuilegio./
(b) The Arte / or Crafte of / Rheto/ryke./ [within a rude ornamental border]. [Colophon :] Imprinted at London in Fletestrete by saynt Dunstones chyrche /, at the sygne of the George / by me Robert Redman, The yere of our lorde god a thousande / fyue hundred and two and thyrty $/ . \quad$ Cum priuilegio.

The Dedication in both editions is addressed to Hugh Faryngton, Abbot of Redynge, by Cox - "Leonarde Cox" in (a) and "Leon.،rde Cockes" in (b). Both are printed in "eights" in very small 8vo size ( 16 mo ). In (a) the signatures run from A i to F iiii, a total of eightyeight pages, about thirty lines to the page; in (b) to F viii or ninety-six pages (ninety-one pages of text), about twenty-nine lines to the page. Both are in black letter of apparently the same font.

For reasons given above (p. io) I date (a) conjecturally circa 1530. It is not impossible, however, that (b) was the first edition, although it is highly improbable (see notes infra p. IO3). Considering the close similarity of the two in typographical appearance it is not likely that they were separated in date more than two or three years. (a) is the basis of the present reprint, although all the more important variations in (b) have been noted. There is a copy of (a) in the British Museum, and of (b) in the Bodleian Library at Oxford. Mr. A. W. Pollard of the British Museum conjectures from its appearance that (a) was printed circa i530; Mr. R. Proctor puts it circa 1535 . In the British Museum catalogue and by most bibliographers it is put in 1524 . Redman, the printer of this work, began business in 1525 and died in 1540 . Herbert, however, says in a note: "Mr. Ames was informed that he [Redman] began printing in the year 1523; but he had not seen any proof of it before 1525 ; neither have I' (Herbert's Ames' Typographical Antiquities, London, I785, Vol. I, p. 385).

This is the work mentioned by Tanner in his list of Cox's works as "De rhetorica anglice. Hollinsh. iii 978. Librum aliquem dedic. Hugoni abbati Readingiensi." Hollinshed, in the passage referred to, merely mentions Cox as the author of a Rhetoric in English not mentioned by Bale.
6. Latin Verses appearing on the verso of the title-page of John Palsgrave's L'Esclarcissement de la Langue Francoyse, I530; folio. As follows:

Leonardi Coxi Readingiensis ludi moderatoris, Ad Gallicæ linguæ studiosos, Carmen.

Gallica quisquis amas, exacte verba sonare,
Et pariter certis jungere dicta modis,
Nulla sit in toto menda ut sermone reperta,
Pro vero Gallo, quin facile ipse probes,
Hæc euolue mei Palgraui scripta diserti,
His inguam normis usque polire stude.
Sic te miretur laudetque urbs docta loquentem
Lutecia, indigenam iuret et esse suum.
Eiusdem Coxi ad eruditum uirum Gefridum Troy de Burges Gallum, Campi Floridi authorem, quem ille sua lingua Champ Fleury vocat, nomine omnium Anglorum Phaleutium [sic].

Campo quod toties Gefride docte
In florente tuo cupisti, habemus.
Nam sub legibus hic bene approbatis
Sermo Gallicus ecce perdocetur.
Non rem grammaticam Palæmon ante
Tractarat melius suis latinis, Quotquot floruerantue posterorum, Nec Græcis melius putato Gazam, Instruxisse suos libris politis, Seu quotquot prætio prius fuere, Quam nunc Gallica iste noster tradit.
Est doctus, facilis, breuisque quantum
Res permittit, et inde nos ouamus, Campo quod toties Gefride docte In florente tuo cupisti, habentes.

These doubtless, and perhaps others, are to be included in the "diversi generis carmina et epistolas, lib. I," written by Cox, according to Bale, and described by Tanner in the following terms: "Epigrammata varia et epistolas. Duo ejus carmina (1) Ad lingua Gallica studiosos; (2) Ad Galfr. Troy auctorem Gallicum; prefiguntur Lexico Joh. Palsgrave, Lond., 1530 , fol."

The Geoffrey Troy addressed is alluded to by Palsgrave in the "Epistle" as "Geffrey Troy de Bourges (a late writer of the frenche nation) in his boke intituled Champ Fleury." Troy, or Tory (Lat. Torinus), was a celebrated printer, engraver, scholar, and author of the time. See, e. g.,
the "Summaire de Chroniques . . . . translate de Latine en Langaige Françoys, par Maistre Geofroy Tory de Bourges," 1529 . He was born at Bourges c. 1485, and died 1533 at Paris. Palsgrave's phrase, above, probably does not mean to refer to him as dead, but as having lately written books. "Son œuvre capitale est un ouvrage qu'il composa et publia sous le titre de Champ fleury, auquel est contenu art et science de la due et vraye proportion des lettres attiques, qu'on dit autrement lettres antiques, et vulgairement lettres romaines, proportionnées selon le corps et le visage humain (Paris, 1529) . . . . où il jette les bases d'une nouvelle grammaire française." (Larousse, Grand Dictionnaire Universel, XV, 325.)
7. Translation of Erasmus' Paraphrase of the Epistle of Paul to Titus, with a Preface. Made in 534 (see supra p. I 3), but apparently not printed till 1549, in "The Paraphrase of Erasmus vpon the newe Testamente," London, Edw. Whytchurch, I 548-9, two vols., folio ; in Vol. II.

Cf. Lowndes, Bibliog. Man, 748. Described by Tanner as follows: E Latino in Anglicum sermonem Paraphrasim Erasmi in Paulum aa Titum lib. I. Pr. ded. mag. Johanni Hales. "After that the kinges maiestye." London, 1549, ubi se alia industriæ monumenta brevi missurum promittit.
[8. Translation of "a boke which Erasmus made of the bringing upp of children": in 1534. See supra p. 13. Probably not printed.]
9. Commentaries upon Lilly: "De octo orationis partium constructione Libellus, editus a Guil. Lilio, emendatus ab Erasmo Roter: \& scholiis, non solum Henrici Primæi, verum etiam doctissimis Leonar: Coxi illustratus. Anno M.D.XL." [Colophon:] Ex officina regii Impressoris. Cum privilegio solum. Anno M.D.XL.Quarto.

From Herbert's Ames' Typographical Antiquities (London 1785) vol. I, p. 438, among works printed by Thos. Berthelet. Cf. Wood, Athen. Oxon. I, 123. Many other editions of this work of Lilly's appeared during the sixteenth century, but none other, I believe, with Cox's Scholia. A copy is said by Herbert to have been " in the collection of Dr. Lort." I have not been able to find one. Referred to in Cox's letters above, pp. i4.
[io. Erotemata rhetorica.-Probably not printed, but evidently nearly completed in May 1540 . See supra, p. I5.]
[ir. (a) The Translation, described by Bale, "é Græco in Latinum venerabilis antiquitatis scriptorem, Marcum Eremitam de lege et spiritu, lib. I."
(b) To which Tanner adds "Ejusdem de justificatione operum."]
(b) is perhaps the same work referred to by Tanner when he says that Cox-
[12. "Scripsit Contra justificationem ab operibus lib I." And by Bale: "Scripsit contra eos, qui ab operibus justificant. lib. I."] So far as I can discover none of these last mentioned works were ever printed.

## III. THE RHETORIC OF COX: ITS PREDECESSORS AND SUCCESSORS.

The work of Cox and his chief service to his age was that of a translator and commentator, a sort of work much more important in that century than in this. Cox, like Colet, Grocyn, Cox's Services to Learning. Linacre, and Lilly, served as an intermediary in the transmission to England of the Renaissance and Humanistic influence and literature. He had a reputation of his own among European scholars and men of the new learning, and he helped to carry their work into England. And so the questions of rhetoric and of literary form which deeply concerned all the men of the new learning came to concern Cox also, and to their elucidation, as is evident from the foregoing inspection of his letters and of the list of his writings, he devoted a large share of his attention.

The rhetorics of the Renaissance are mainly founded upon Hermogenes, Cicero, ${ }^{\mathbf{r}}$ and Quintilian, and, following the divisions of these authors, are chiefly of two sorts, those that

## Renaissance Rhetoric.

 concern themselves with questions of invention and disposition, and those that mainly discuss matters of style and diction. ${ }^{2}$ Cox, whose work falls in the first class,${ }^{\text {r }}$ Especially Cicero. See Voigt, Die Wiederbelebung des classischen Alterthums, oder das erste Jahrhundert des Humanismus, Berlin, 1893, vol. II, p. 442: "Die Lehrbücher über Rhetorik . . . . bilden nicht gerade eine reiche Literatur, weil die Humanisten sich gern unmittelbar an Cicero zu halten liebten. Dessen 'alte Rhetorik,' dass heist die Bücher de inventione, und die an Herennius gerichtete Rhetorik waren im Mittelalter immer beachtet und gelesen worden, wie ja schon Alcuin sein Lehrbuch nach ihnen verfasste . . . . auch hören wir von den Humanisten oft die Meinung, man lerne die Redekunst besser aus Cicero's Reden als aus seinen Theorien." Notice in this connection that the last five or six pages of Cox's Rhetoric are directly founded on Cicero, while Cox's original, Melanchthon, constantly draws upon Cicero. It is a striking feature in Cox's work also, wherein he departs from Melanchthon, that at every opportunity he introduces and translates long extracts from Cicero's orations.
${ }^{2}$ On the emphasis laid on style in the rhetoric of the Italian Renaissance of. Symonds, Ren. in Italy, The Revival of Learning (N. Y., I888) p. 525.
refers his readers who may wish to carry their studies further, to "Hermogines among the Grekes, or els Tully or Trapesonce among the Latines." ${ }^{\text {I }}$ The Trapesonce or Trapezuntius referred to was a typical rhetorician of the Renaissance period. Born in Crete in 1396 , he taught Greek at Venice, and philosophy and belles-lettres at Rome. On account of an attack of his on Quintilian he was involved n various literary quarrels with Valla, Poggio, and other scholars. He made numerous translations from the Greek into Latin. He died at Rome in 1486. His Rhetoric, the first edition of which appeared at Venice circa ${ }_{470}$, is a paraphrase from Hermogenes. His work, transmitting that of his original, was widely circulated and exercised a great influence throughout Europe during the succeeding century. His divisions and order of treatment in a general way are those of Cox and of course of Cox's original, Melanchthon. Orations are of three sorts : Judicial, referring to the Past, Deliberative, to the Future, and Demonstrative, to the Present. The chief parts of an Oration are the Exordium, Narratio, and Contentio, whereunder are discussed Confirmatio and Confutatio, "Quot sint Status" (the "States" of Cox), and de Propositione et Divisione. In the last Book (Book V) is comprehended a discussion "de Elocutione," wherein the different qualities and kinds of style are considered, a part included by Melanchthon but omitted by Cox for reasons hinted at in his Dedicatory Epistle. ${ }^{2}$ As in Cox's Rhetoric

[^6]${ }^{2}$ Other rhetorical treatises much in vogue, but not leading directly to Cox which may be mentioned, are :
(a) Priscianus Grammaticus, De praexercitamentis Rhetorica ex Hermogene translatis (circa 1475).-A short elementary handbook treating of varıous topics such as " De Narratione," " De Usu," "De Refutatione," " De Descriptione," etc.
(b) Guliemus Fichetus, Rhetorica (Paris 147I).-By a famous doctor of the Sorbonne. Cites frequently Cicero, Quintilian, Origen, etc. Follows the division of Judicial, Deliberative, and Demonstrative, with the subdivisions of Trapezuntius. In manner largely scholastic, putting emphasis mainly upon definitions. Book III, " de Elocutione."
(c) Guillermi Tardivi [Guillaume Tardif] Rhetorica Artis ac Oratoria Facultatis Compendium (Paris, circa 1475). - An attempt to present a digest of the Rhetorics of Cicero and Quintilian. The Divisions: Inventio, Dispositio, Elocutio, Memoria, Pronunciatio.
(d) Oratoria Artis Epitoma Jacobi Publicii Florentini. Venetiis 1485.-Refers to Cicero, Quintilian, Cyril, etc., as authorities. "Civilium questionum genera tria sunt. Concionale : Sermocinatiuum : \& Forense." Treats briefly of Invention,
so in most of his predecessors we frequently find appeal made not only to direct classical authority, but occasionally also to mediæval authority, and to that of the fathers of the Church, especially the Greek fathers, as Origen, Basil, and Chrysostom.

Most interesting for the history of English Rhetoric, however, is the first Rhetoric printed in England, which was also " the first book First Rhetoric printed at St. Albans," the Latin treatise of Traver-

Printed sanus entitled [incipit] Fratris laurencii guilelmi de
in England. saona . . . . prohemium in novam rhetoricam. The colophon is: Compilatum autem fuit hoc opus in alma universitate Cantabrigiæ. Anno domini 1478.... sub protectione . . . . Regis Anglorum Eduardi quarti. Impressum fuit hoc presens opus Rhetoricæ facultatis apud villam sancti Albani. Anno domini M.CCCC.LXXX. The work follows in general the divisions of the ancient rhetorics (especially Cicero. - Cf. D ii recto.),

Disposition, and their parts and loci; then at length of Elocutio, and of Tropes and Figures.
(e) De primis apud rhetorem exercitationibus praceptiones P. Mosellani in studiorum usum comparatæ. Cologne 1523.-A book of rhetorical exercises in each kind, with models, for the use of schools. De Fabula (model : the Fable of the Grasshopper and the Ant), De Narratione (An example from Aulus Gellius), De Refutatione, De Confirmatione, De Laudatione, De Vituperatione, De Locis Communibus, etc. The plan is similar to that of Rainolde's Foundacion of Rhetoric (see infra p. 33).
( $f$ ) See also the Rhetorics of Melanchthon, discussed infra, pp. 29-3I.
-Rhetorics of the second class, dealing chiefly with matters of style and diction ("Elocutio ") were :
(g) [Incipit] "Summa Rhetoricæ condita per egregium P. de la Hazardiere nacionis normaniæ" (Paris circa 1475). -"Rhetorica est ars arcium ceterarum expositiva. Cujus officium est apposite dicere ad suadendum." Cites Cicero, Quintilian, and Aristotle. Treats only of Elocutio and its three parts, "elegantia, compositio, and dignitas.
(h) Joannes Balbus, Catholicon. Venetiis I506.-- A monkish compendium widely used. The Grammar, part IV, treats of figures and tropes.
(i) Barzizius, De Eloquentia. Colophon: Explicit opusculum domini Gasparini [Barzizii] Pergamensis de Eloquentia congrue dictum. Circa 1498.
(j) Le grant et vray art de pleine Rhetorique, composé par maistre Pierre Fabri. Rouen 152 I.-Book I, a Rhetoric of Prose for those who wish to learn how to compose " Descriptions . . . . Oraisons, Lettres . . . . Sermons, Recitz," etc. Book II, of Poetics. Compare with Puttenham's Arte of English Poesie, 1589.
(k) De Elocutionis Imitatione. Autore Jacobo Omphalio. Paris 1537.- The rhetoric of style. With exercises.
(l) Andomari Talaæi Rhetorica. Paris 1552 (fifth ed.)--Widely used.
and draws its examples both from Cicero and from the Bible. It is scholastic in tone, with frequent reference to the fathers of the Church, as St. Bernard, St. Anselm, St. Basil, Beda, etc. Book I discusses "quid sit oratoris: quid oratoris officium : quis ejus finis \& de partibus ejus \& oracionis." In the third Book style and diction, including tropes and figures, are treated. In this work, however, notwithstanding certain signs of the approaching dawning of the new learning, we are still in the atmosphere of the Middle Ages. With Cox fifty years later, in spite of the rudeness of the new vernacular in which he is working and the elementary nature of his design, we feel ourselves in a new age.

Between Traversanus and Cox there are two passages in English literature relating to the art of rhetoric which are significant. Other Passages The former of these, which is perhaps the first printed on Rhetoric account of rhetoric in English, is the short passage preceding Cox. on the subject in Caxton's Myrrour \&o dyscrypcyon of Caxton. the worlde, with many meruaylles of the .vii. scyences As Gramayre, Rethorike, with the arte of memorye, etc., 1481, which is of sufficient curious interest to reproduce here in its entirety. ${ }^{\text { }}$

Entered for publication in England, the Stationer's Register, Nov. II, 1577 (ed. Arber, II, 319). "Rhetorica est doctrina bene dicendi . . . . Partes ejus duæ sunt, Elocutio \& Pronuntiatio." The author claims that "inventio rerum et dispositio " are properly a part of Dialectics. Treats only of Style and Elocution : chiefly of Tropes and Figures.
——Other treatises of a miscellaneous character relating to rhetoric are :
( $m$ ) Ars scribendi epistolas Jacobi Publicii Florentini. Ars Memoria J. P. F. With his Oratoria Epitoma 1485.
(n) Albertanus, Compendiosus tractatus de arte loquendi \& tacendi, 1485. - A manual of the art of conversation. Moralistic.
(o) Rhetorica Poncii. Colophon: Explicit Modus Dictandi Magistri Poncii .... 1486. - Mainly an art of writing "Epistolæ." "Partes dictaminis essentiales : Salutatio, Exordium, Narratio, Petitio, \& Conclusio."
( $p$ ) Erasmus, De Copia verborum. Basle 1514. Epistle dedicatory (to Colet) dated "London 1512." Of vocabulary and diction. What authors help to "Copia." Vices of excessive "Copia." Poetic vocabulary, metaphor, synonyms, etc. Of Fable, Apologue, Description, Imagery, etc.
(q) Aquilæ Romani de Figuris sententiarum et elocutionis liber. Venice 1523. - A list of the figures of rhetoric with definitions.
(r) Jacobus Omphalius De Elocutionis Imitatione ac Apparatu. Paris 1537. Treats of Imitation as a means of acquiring style.
${ }^{1}$ The work is a translation by Caxton of the French version of the Speculum Mundi. Blades' Caxton, II, 82-3. I quote from the reprint of circa 1527.
$\lfloor\mathrm{D}$ iii recto $\rceil$ Rethoryke is a scyence to cause another man by speche or by wrytynge to beleue or to do that thynge whyche thou woldest haue hym for to do. To the which thou must fyrst deuyse some wey to make thy herers glad \& wel wyllyng to here. The which thynge to brynge to passe thou must deuyse dyuers weys. The fyrst is that thou promyse hym some meruelous thynge, or some other strange thyng, or some thyng touchyng hym self or some thynges touchyng his fryndes or his enemyes.

- Also whan thou haste made hym gladde to here the, thou must take hede that in the matter which thou shewest thou must vse. V. maner thynges. The fyrst is: inuencion, as to ymagyn the mater which thou intendest to shew, which must be of trew thynges, or lyke to be trew \& to note well how many thynges in that mater ought to be spoken.
- The . ii. thynge is disposicion, which is to shew euery thyng of thy matter in ordre, as whan thou haste inue $n$ tyd \& appoynted in thy mynd how many thynges thou wylte speke of, than thou must dyspose euery thyng in ordre \& which mater shalbe fyrst spoken $\&$ whiche shalbe last.
TThe third thing is eloquens, as whan thou haste disposed how euery poynt \& mater shalbe shewed in ordre than thou must vtter it with fayre eloquent wordes, and not to vse many curyous termes, for superfluyte in euery thyng is to be dyspraysed; And it hyndreth the sentence. And whan a man delatith his matter to long or that he vtter the effecte of his sentence, though it be neuer so well vtteryd, it shalbe tedyous vnto the herers; for euery man naturally that hereth a nother, desyreth moste to know the effecte of his reason that tellyth the tale, as the philosopher seith (omnis homo naturaliter scire desiderat). Therfor the pryncypall poynt of eloquens reityth [restyth] euer in the quycke sentence. And therfor the lest poynt belongyng to Rethorike is to take hede that the tale be quycke \& sentencious.

A passage on "Ars memoratiua, Or Memory" and one on voice and gesture follow.

Equally curious are the chapters in Hawes' Pastime of Pleasure (chs. $\left.7^{-1} 3\right)^{\text {r }}$ in which we are told how Graunde Amoure "was re-

## Hawes.

 ceyved of Rethoryke, and what rethoryke is ; Of the first part, called Invencion, and a commendacion of poetes ; Of Disposition, the .ii. part of rethorike; Of Elocution, the thirde part of rethoryke, with colouryng of sentences; Of Pronunciation, the . iiii. part of rethoryke: of Memory, the .v. part of rethorike," and[^7]the like. ${ }^{\text {I }}$ No one can complain of the importance attributed to the art of rhetoric in Hawes' allegorical system.

Cox's aim in presenting an Art or Craft of Rhetoric to the English public of his day was a simple and practical one. Education Aim and Plan
of Cox's was spreading; new grammar schools were being founded; in much of the work of teaching in these
Rhetoric. schools the vernacular necessarily was used ; the new learning brought with it a new sense of style and form in prose; and there were no text-books of the subject in existence written in English. Lawyers, ambassadors, preachers, and all public speakers, says Cox in his interesting preface, have need of rhetoric, yet nothing today is less taught. What wretched work do we daily see around us for lack of such teaching! So that when we hear a speaker, very often " greate tediosnes is engendred to the multytude beynge present, by occasyon where of the speker is many times or he haue endyd his tale eyther lefte almost alone to hys no lytle confusyon, or els, which is a lyke rebuke to hym, the audyence falleth for werynes of his ineloquent langage ${ }_{\text {on }}$ slepe." Furthermore, Cox aims especially to help those who "haue by neclygence or els false parsuasyons be put to the lernynge of other scyences or euer they haue attayned any meane knowledge of the latyne tongue." For, of course, not only is Latin the accepted central discipline in the Humanistic theory of education, but it is the store-house of all existing learning. The book is intended for "young beginners" ${ }^{2}$; others, who can read Latin or Greek, may consult "Hermogines among the Grekes, or els Tully or Trapesonce among the Latines." "And to them that be yonge begynners nothinge can be to playne or to short." We are reminded of the similar words of Colet, in his "Proheme" to the Introducyon of the partes of spekyng, for chyldren and yonge begynners into latyn speche, written for his "newe schole of Powels" in I5IO, where that kindly humanist maintains "that nothinge may be to soft nor to famylyer for lytell chyldren. ${ }^{3}$
${ }^{\text {r }}$ Cf. Gower, Confessio Amantis, Book VII, "Hic tractat de secunda parte philosophiæ, cuius nomen Rhetorica facundos efficit," etc. (Chalmer's Poets, II, 215). Naturally Rhetoric, as one of the members of the Trivium, or undergraduate curriculum in mediæval education, receives frequent mention in most of the early writers.
${ }^{2}$ See the 'Conclusion of the Author' p. 87.
${ }^{3}$ Cf. Seebohm, The Oxford Reformers (London 1887) p. 213. See also Flugel, Neuenglisches Lesebuch (Halle 1895) p. 298.
$\checkmark$ Cox is thus, it will be seen, little concerned with the theory of rhetoric. His aim is to tell very plainly the manner of the putting together (the "Invention") of orations of the several kinds then recognized by the rhetoricians. Every point is illustrated by an example. We are told in a given situation what is the leading idea pertinent thereto which it is incumbent on the orator to bring forward. Most of these leading cases are drawn from Cicero; others from Livy, Sallust, and the like. Then we are shown how Cicero or another actually did put his oration together. The whole method is that of the Ciceronians and the Renaissance educators simplified and put in the vernacular for the use of those who cannot use Latin texts and manuals. Fifty years later the same method without simplification or vernacularization is still in use in the English universities, where the orations of Cicero continue to serve as models in the teaching of rhetoric.

Cox's work, then, is designed as a schoolbook and as an elementary introduction for those who have missed the advantages of a scholastic training. His plan is restricted to the treatment of invention and the formal ordering of speech, for that once mastered, "there is no very great maystry to come by the resydue," and it is in this that the public speaking of the day is particularly deficient. Questions of style must be postponed to a later generation, after the matter of structure has been mastered. And, indeed, by the time of Sir Thomas Wilson in 1553 the question of style has begun to assert itself, until with the Elizabethans it is the question of questions. Furthermore, if this work, "the fyrste assay of my pore and symple wyt," find favor, the author promises "to endight other werkes both in this facultye and other." ${ }^{2}$ Inasmuch as the Rhetoric passed to a second edition, ${ }^{3}$ we may conclude that it met with success; and probably the Erotemata Rhetorica upon which Cox was engaged in 1540 were designed as a part fulfillment of this promise.

[^8]Cox's Arte or Crafte of Rhethoryke is only in part his own composition. It is, as he frankly avows, largely founded upon the work of another. "I haue partely traunslatyd out of a

Cox's Chief Source werke of Rhetoryke wrytten in the lattyn tongue, and partely compyled of myne owne, and so made a lytle' treatise in maner of an introduccyon into this aforesaid scyence and that in the englysshe tongue." ${ }^{\text {r }}$ And later, in the "Conclusion," Cox says: "But nowe I haue folowed the facion of Tully, who made a seuerall werke of inuencion." ${ }^{2}$ Cicero however is not Cox's chief authority, nor does he seem to have taken very much directly out of Cicero's rhetorical writings. ${ }^{3}$ The "werke of Rhetoryke wrytten in the lattyn tongue" out of which Cox translates and on which his work is mainly founded is the "Institutiones Rhetoricæ" of Melanchthon, published in 1521. Melanchthon is "oure auctour," so frequently referred to in the course of Cox's work. ${ }^{4}$ Readers of Professor C. H. Herford's scholarly work on the Literary Relations of England and Germany in the Sixteenth Century are aware how close was the connection of English and German scholarship and letters in the first half of that century. Cox, like Melanchthon, was an educator and humanist, and inclined to the reformed religious doctrine, while his failure to mention Melanchthon's name anywhere is doubtless to be attributed to the prejudice against the German reformers in high quarters in England at this moment. When the idea of bringing out a work on the Art of Rhetoric written in English first occurred to Cox, it was natural that he should turn to the convenient compendium of the subject recently written by the great humanist educator and religious reformer of Germany, with whom, probably enough, he had already come in contact on the continent.

In 1519 Melanchthon had written a larger work on rhetoric, his De rhetorica, libri tres, ${ }^{5}$ to which Cox refers two or three times, and
${ }^{1}$ Infra, p. $42 . \quad{ }^{2}$ P. 87.
${ }^{3}$ See, however, infra p. 103.
4 See Modern Languagé Notes, May 1898, where I have described my discovery of the source of Cox's Rhetoric.
${ }^{5}$ At Wittenberg: reprinted at Basle in the same year; at Leipzig 152I; Cologne 152I; and Paris 1527 and 1529. Cf. Bretschneider, Corpus Reformatorum, Halle 1834 f . (the first 28 volumes comprise the works of Melanchthon; the rhetorical writings are in Vol. XIII).
from which he borrows several passages. ${ }^{\text {r }}$ In 152 I , however, a Melanchthon shorter and much simplified version, adapted to school use, was compiled, perhaps from the notes of Melanchthon's lectures, ${ }^{2}$ and published with the title Institutiones Rhetorica Philip. Mel. ${ }^{3}$ From the first book of this work, treating of Invention, Cox draws the greater part of his treatise, and this book accordingly is herewith reprinted for convenience of comparison. I reserve for the Notes the discussion of the exact relation between the two works. ${ }^{4}$ A cursory comparison of the two texts will show the closeness of Cox's dependence on his original. At the same time numerous passages in Cox seem to be of independent composition. Particularly interesting among these are many of the illustrations drawn from Renaissance and Mediæval history and lit-
${ }^{\text {r }}$ See the Notes infra pp. 105, 106, 108-9, III, 112, concerning this work.
${ }^{2}$ Melanchthon himself, in an epistle to Joannes Agricola concerning this work, writes: "Qualescunque sunt hæ præceptiunculæ Rhetoricæ, quas dictavimus non scripsimus, opto ut lectori prosint. . . . Porro magna ex parte res Rhetorica purius emendatiusque tractata est, quam in prioribus meis libellis." Bretschneider's note on this is: "Intelligitur itaque, hæc quæ hic edita sunt, dictata esse a Melanthone in schola, et ab amicis, probante Melanthone, edita."
${ }^{3}$ At Hagenau ; reprinted Cologne 152I ; Paris 1523; Strassburg, 1524.
${ }^{4}$ Other rhetorical works by Melanchthon, which do not concern us here, were the "Phil. Mel. Elementorum rhetorices libri II," Wittenberg 153I, a recast of the earlier works (also I 532, I 534, I536, I542, etc.), finally re-edited 1542 (reprinted many times), and his Encomium Eloquentice or "Necessarias esse ad omne studiorum genus artes dicendi Philip. Melanchthonis declamatio," Wittenberg n. d.,-not a treatise but a brief general essay on the subject of the title (compare Gabriel Harvey's Rhetor). One passage from this latter work, which illustrates both the abuses of the time and the aims of the reformers and humanists, is worth quoting:
" Disciplinæ omnes dicendi genere sic obscuratæ sunt, ut ne doctores quidem ipsi, quid profiterentur satis compertum haberent. Digladiabantur inter se de figuris sermonis philosophi, tanquam in tenebris Andabatæ, nec quisquam à domesticis suis plane intelligebatur."

On M's rhetorical writings and their importance see further A. Planck, Melanchthon Praceptor Germania, eine Denkschrift (Nördlingen 1860); Paulsen, Gesch. des gelehrten Unterrichts auf den Deutschen Schulen und Universitäten (Leipzig 1885), especially p. I49: "Melanchthon's Kompendien . . . . der Rhetorik und Dialektik . . . [etc.], dienten bis ins 18. Jahrhunderts hinein dem gelehrten Unterricht auf den deutschen Universitäten und Schulen als Grundlage." According to Hallam (Lit. Europe) Melanchthon was, "far above all others, the founder of general learning in Germany."
erature, as well as some things also from Cicero and the classics. Not only does Cox add to Melanchthon, but he freely omits and condenses as suits his purpose. Thus, as already stated, he omits the whole of Books II and III, on Dispositio and Elocutio. Melanchthon's own direct prototypes seem to be Hermogenes or Trapezuntius (the latter he refers to with approval), Cicero, and Quintilian. All of these, except the last, are expressly named by Cox as trustworthy authorities.

Cox's Rhetoric doubtless served its turn with its own generation, but any direct influence from it on later English rhetorical writers
$\sqrt{\text { can scarcely be traced. Cox's work helped to teach }}$ Service of Cox's better order and method in public speaking, an aim Rhetoric. which also inspires his next important successor, Sir Thomas Wilson ; but with anything beyond the structural part of composition Cox is hardly concerned. The preoccupation with style comes in with the next generation.

Cox's own prose has some historical value among the none too numerous monuments of English prose in the first half of the sixteenth century. His style is of purpose extremely sim-

Cox's Prose Style. ple and plain, in order to meet the understanding of "young beginners;" but joined with his simplicity there is a certain rudeness which is not the strong and eloquent rudeness of Latimer, and a certain awkwardness of phrase and syntax which prevent our placing him as a writer of English anywhere near his great predecessor, Malory, his great contemporaries, More, Colet, Tyndale and Coverdale, and Elyot, or his great successors, Ascham and Wilson. He writes purely didactic prose, it is true, in which there is no opportunity for style ; he saves himself from excessive Latinisms ; his manner is straightforward and to the point; but little more than this can be said for him as a writer of English. In Cox's day English prose is but in the making, and with few, except one or two original spirits, does it advance to style. And Cox is not one of the originators. Nevertheless, in his way, by precept if not by example, he contributed to the formation of the new art, and so is to be reckoned with in the history of English prose.

The next ${ }^{\text {r }}$ and the only other important English Rhetoric of the sixteenth century after Cox was The Arte of Rhetorique, for the.
${ }^{\text {x }}$ But see note A at the end of this Introduction, p. 33.
vse of all suche as are studious of Eloquence, sette forth in English, by Thomas Wilson. Anno Domini, M.D.LIII.

## English

Rhetorics following Cox. Mense Ianuarij. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ Wilson's work is much superior to Cox in originality and scope. Wilson follows the Ciceronian tradition with more independence. He aims to cover the entire field of the older rhetorics, treating in order of Invention, Disposition, "Elocution" (i.e., Diction, or " an applying of apt wordes and sentences to the matter"), Memory, and "Utterance" (or "a framyng of the Wilson. voyce, countenance, and gesture, after a comely maner"). The parts of an oration, too, from "the Enteraunce" to the Conclusion, are as in Cox and his predecessors; and so are the sorts of oratory, "Oracion demonstrative," deliberative, and judicial. In his first and second books, except for greater amplification and a surer hand, Wilson's work differs little in structure and design from Cox's. The rest of the work, however, is entirely additional matter. And the chief interest of Wilson's Rhetoric is in his discussion of English style and diction in his third book. It is probable enough that Wilson may have seen Cox's book, but evidently he owes less to it than to their common sources. After Wilson, the emphasis in the popular rhetorics of the day is upon style and ornament, rather than upon structure and argument as with Cox and Wilson. No original work however is done until Ben Jonson's scholarship touches the subject in his Timber or Discoveries, and until Bacon, ${ }^{2}$ in his Advancement of Learning, "stirs the earth a little about the roots of this science," reprehending " the first distemper Bacon. of learning, when men study words and not matter," and uttering upon the rhetorical precept and practice of the preceding century, upon Car and Ascham, upon Sturmius and Erasmus, the trenchant comment that "the whole inclina-

$$
{ }^{\times} \text {Also 1560, '62, '67, '69, '80, '84 and '85. }
$$

${ }^{2}$ Advancement of Learning, Book I, chap. iv, § 2. See especially Book II, chaps. xviiif. Bacon is the first to urge that rhetoric, or the theory of prose, is a fitter subject for the Quadrivium or graduate course than for the Trivium. See also Bacon's Antitheta. "Perhaps one of the most notable modern contributions to the art [of rhetoric] is the collection of commonplaces framed (in Latin) by Bacon . . . . He called them 'Antitheta.'" (Jebb, art. "Rhetoric," Encycl. Brit., ninth ed.)
tion and bent of those times was rather towards copie than weight." ${ }^{2}$
A. Next in point of time, after Cox, among English rhetorics was, perhaps, $A$ Treatise of Schemes and Tropes, very profytable for the better vnderstanding of good authers, gathered out of the best Grammarians \& Orators, by Rychard Sherry, Londoner, i550. Partly rewritten and under an altered title in 1555 . This as its titie implies, is not a complete rhetoric, but is noteworthy as indicating the new interest in matters of style at even this early date. The preface is of interest for its discussion of the state of contemporary English and of the work of English authors. Latin rules of rhetoric with English paraphrases. Brief consideration of style, perspicuity, etc. Then of tropes and figures. His chief authorities, as cited, are Cicero, Quintilian, Erasmus, "Mosellane," and "Rodul phus Agricola." To the last named he seems to express especial indebtedness.

Other works on rhetoric in England during the century were, (b) " $A$ booke called the Foundacion of Rhetorike . . . . made by Richard Rainolde, Maister of Arte, of the Uniuersitie of Cambridge, I 563." Less a systematic treatise than a discursive consideration of the value and nature of rhetoric, followed by "Progimnasmata " or practical precepts, accompanied with model exercises or "Oracions." Of considerable antiquarian interest. Refers to Aphthonius, Quintilian, Hermogenes, and Tully, as the best authorities. Refers in complimentary terms to Wilson's Rhetoric, but ignores Cox.
(c) In Ascham's Schoolmaster, 1570 , Book II, passim, are numerous passages of rhetorical precept (e. g., Works ed. Giles, London, 1864, Vol. III, I84 f., 208 f. 240 f. -cf. 95).
(d) "The Enimie of Idleness: Teaching the maner and stile how to indite, compose, and write, all sorts of Epistles and Letters . . . Set forth in English by William Fuiwood, Marchant, 1568 ." Also 157 I, I578, I586, I 593, I598, 162 I. A ready letter-writer in four books. In the dedication we are told :
"For know you sure, I meane not I the cunning clerks to teach: But rather to the vnlearned sort a few precepts to preach." Many model letters, both for common occasions, as well as from Cox's heroes, Hermolaus Barbarus, Angelus Politian, etc. Evidently a translation, at least in part, from some foreign original. Important in the history of Elizabethan style.
(e) H[enry] P[eacham], "The Garden of Eloquence, conteining the most excellent Ornaments, Exornations, Lightes, flowers, and formes of speech, commonly called the figures of Rhetorike . . . . Manifested and furnished with varietie of examples," 1577 . Also 1593, revised, under above title. A mere list and description of tropes and figures, with illustrations chiefly scriptural, partly classical. Unimportant, but another sign of the devotion of the age to "exornation" of speech.
( $f$ ) "Gabrielis Harveii Rhetor, vel duorum dierum Oratio de Natura, Arte, \& Exercitatione Rhetorica," 1577. An academic essay on the scholastic study of Rhetoric, in praise of the Ciceronian style, ancient and modern, with rules of good
${ }^{2}$ A similar criticism is made in 1531 by Sir Thos. Eliot, in his Governor (ed. Croft I, II6).
writing, etc. Interesting peroration reciting the great masters of style, ancient and modern, and mentioning Chaucer, More, Eliot, Ascham, and Jewell. Will not touch upon the future, "nam de futuro nihil audeo in tanto praesertim tam admirabilium ingeniorum flore affirmare."
(g) Richard Mulcaster, "The First Part of the Elementarie which entreateth chefelie of the right writing of our English tung," 1582. Valuable and original observations on the art of writing English, and upon the theory of Education. Largely occupied with orthography. Warm defense of the possibilities of English. The first of handbooks of composition or rhetorics in the modern sense. An elementary text-book of language-teaching, a treatise on education, and a practical rhetoric, all in one. Highly important in the history of Elizabethan prose criticism. Cf. the same writer's Positions, I58I (reprinted, London, 1887).
(h) Dudley Fenner, "The Artes of Logike and Rhetorike, plainlie set foorth in the English Tounge;" 1584, I592, etc. A rhetoric of style and figures, by a dissenting minister. A translation, as the author tells us. "Rhetorike is an Arte of speaking finely . . . It hath two partes: Garnishing of speech, called Eloquution; Garnishing of the maner of utterance, called Pronunciation." Barren, schematic, and inadequate.
(i) " The Arcadian Rhetorike: or, the Præcepts of Rhetorike made plaine by examples, Greeke, Latin, English, Italian, French, Spanish, out of Homers Ilias and Odissea, Virgils Æglogs, Georgikes, and Æneis, Sir Philip Sydneis Arcadia, Songs and Sonets, Torquato Tassoes Goffredo, Aminta, Torrismondo, Salust his Iudith, and both his Semaines, Boscan and Garcilassoes Sonets and Æglogs. By Abraham Fraunce," 1588. Sufficiently described by the title. Excessively rare; only one copy known, that in the Bodleian (?). A rhetoric of style and figures. Significant of new foreign literary influence, and of the style and literary standards then à la mode.
( $j$ ) With the rhetorics of style and figures should also be reckoned Book III of Puttenham's Arte of English Poesie, 1589. This is the most elaborate treatment of figures yet. See Arber's reprint, 1869.
(k) "The Orator: Ifandling a hundred seuerall Discourses, in forme of Declamations: . . . . Written in French by Alexander Seluayn, and Englished by L. P.," 1596. "L[azarus] P[iot]" is one of Antony Munday's pseudonyms. The preface states that the aim of the book is to teach rhetoric. A collection of model orations - most of them sufficiently spiced for the Elizabethan popular taste. The author of the original was Alexander van den Busche, called Le Sylvain.

All of these works were more or less popular and elementary. At the universities the Latin rhetorics were studied. "At Cambridge in 1570 the study of rhetoric was based on Quintilian, Hermogenes, and the speeches of Cicero viewed as works of art. An Oxford statute of 1588 shows that the same books were used there" (Jebb, art. "Rhetoric," Encycl. Brit., 9th ed.).

## IN PHILIPPI MELANCTHONIS RHETORICA TABULÆ.

TRIA SUNT OMNINO CAUSARUM GENERA. DEMONSTRATIVUM, DELIBERATIVUM, JUDICIALE.

## I. DEMONSTRATIVUM.

Demonstrativum, cum laudamus aut vituperamus.

Et est triplex, silicet
(1. Personarum
2. Factorum
3. Rerum
I. Demonstrativum personarum.

Demonstrativum personarum habet orationis partes quatuor c) Contentionem
d) Perorationem
a) Exordium constat $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Benevolentiæ } \\ \text { locis }\end{array}\right.$ -Benevolentia petitur à $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { Rebus } \\ \& \\ \text { Personis }\end{array}\right.$

Sunt vero plurimi benevolentiæ captandæ loci, qui hic recenseri nequeunt. Utimur nonnunquam Insinuatione etiam, cum turpitudinem quæ in causa videtur esse, excusamus.
-Attentio, cum af- $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Novis } \\ \text { Necessariis } \\ \text { firmas te dicturum } \\ \text { esse de }\end{array}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Uilibus rebus } \\ \text { Diffilibus } \\ \text { Obscuris }\end{array}\right.\right.$

b) Narrationis loci $\begin{aligned} & \text { sunt }\end{aligned}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Natales } \\ \text { Pueritia, ubi de ingenio dicitur et educatione } \\ \text { Adolescentia, ubi studia considerantur } \\ \text { Juventus, ubi res publice aut privatim gestæ consid- } \\ \text { erantur } \\ \text { Mors, quæ illam secuta sunt }\end{array}\right.$
c) Contentione fere hoc genus caret, quia non agitur de dubiis rebus.
d) Peroratio constat $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Enumeratione argumentorum } \\ \text { Affectu }\end{array}\right.$
2. Demonstrativum factorum.
Demonstrativum facto- $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { a) Exordium } \\ \text { rum habet partes quin- } \\ \text { que }\end{array}\right.$
a) Exordium ab iisdem locis petitur, à quibus superius.
b) Narratione in hoc genere raro utimur, frequentius propositionibus.
c) Confirmationis loci $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Honestum } \\ \text { Utile } \\ \text { Facile } \\ \text { Difficile } \\ \text { Possibile } \\ \text { Impossibile }\end{array}\right.$

- Circumstantiæ $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Quis } \\ \text { Quid } \\ \text { Ubi } \\ \text { Quibus auxiliis } \\ \text { Cur } \\ \text { Quomodo } \\ \text { Quando }\end{array}\right.$
d) Confutatio ferè non incidit in laudes. Huius autem loci sunt contrarii confirmationi.
e) Peroratio constat
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Repetitione argumentorum } \\ \text { Affectu }\end{array}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Gratulationis in laetis } \\ \text { Imitationis in laetis } \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { Commiserationis in } \\ \text { tristibus }\end{array}\end{array}\right.\right.$

3. Demonstrativum rerum.
Demonstrativi rerum
sunt partes quinque $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { a) Exordium } \\ \text { b) Propositio. Nam in hoc genere narratio nulla } \\ \text { est, sed vice narrationis propositio ponitur } \\ \text { c) Confirmatio : cujus } \begin{array}{l}\text { loci }\end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { Utile } \\ \text { Facile } \\ \text { Difficile }\end{array}\end{array}\right.$
d) Confutatio, quæ locis contrariis constat
c) Peroratio, quæ constat iisdem locis quibus supra

## II. DELIBERATIVUM.

Deliberativum cum suademus aut dissuademus, petimus, hortamur aut dehortamur.

Hujus partes
a) Exordium
b) Narratio, quæ rara est. Ejus vice propositio ponitur. Nonnunquam incidunt breves narrationes, sed statim sequitur propositio.

d) Confutatio, quæ à locis contrariis petitur.
e) Peroratio, ut supra, enumeratione et affectu constat

## III. JUDICIALE.

Judiciale, quo controversiæ ac lites continentur. Hujus triplex est status.

Qui sunt

1. Conjecturalis, An sit
2. Juridicialis: Jure an injuria
3. Legitimus, Quid sit
i. De Conjecturali statu. An Sit:
a) Exordium
b) Narratio, quæ est historica facti commemoratio, cum sequitur statim propositio
c) Confirmatio
d) Comprobatio
e) Peroratio
-c) Confirmationis sunt hujus, loci duo sunt $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { i Voluntas } \\ \text { ii Potas }\end{array}\right.$
) Voluntatis loci, cujus loci
a) Qualitas personæ
$\beta$ ) Causa inducens ad suscipiendum facinus
$\gamma$ ) Impulsio, quæ est effectus, ira, odium, avaritia, \&c.
ס) Ratiocinatio, quæ à spe commodorum ducitur
a) Loco
$\beta$ ) Tempore
ii Potestas constat circumstantiis

ر) Viribus: Iidem sunt loci defensoris
ס) Signis
є) Antecedentibus
5) Consequentibus
2. De Juridiciall, Jure an injuria.
Juridicialis partibus con-
stat quatuor, scilicet $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Exordio } \\ \text { Narratione } \\ \text { Confirmatione, cujus proprii sunt loci } \\ \text { Peroratione }\end{array}\right.$
-Est autem duplex status negotialis $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { i Absolutus } \\ \text { ii Assumptivus }\end{array}\right.$
i Cujus loci sunt $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Natura } \\ \text { L } \\ \text { Consuetudo } \\ \text { Æquum } \\ \text { Bonum } \\ \text { Judicatum } \\ \text { Pactum }\end{array}\right.$
ii Assumptivus cum assumpta re extranea, defensio tractatur

Ejus loci sunt
(a) Concessio
$\beta$ ) Translatio criminis
б) Remotio
a) Concessionis partes $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { Purgatio, cum fatemur nos pecasse, sed per impru } \\ \text { dentiam aut casum } \\ \text { Deprecatio }\end{array}\right.$
3. De statu legitimo. Quid sit.

Legitimus status constat
partibus quatuor $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Definitione } \\ \text { Contrariis legibus } \\ \text { Ambiguis scriptis } \\ \text { Ratiocinatione }\end{array}\right.$
[Title page of the first edition.]

## The Arte

## or Crafte of

 Rhetho= ryke

## THE [ARTE] $\mid$ OR CRAFTE OF $\mid$ RHETHO- $\mid$ RYKE.

[A ii a] To the reuerend father in god and hys finguler good lorde the lorde Hughe Faryngton Abbot of Redynge his pore clyent \& perpetual feruant Leonarde $\operatorname{Cox}^{1}$ defyrethe longe and profperoufe lyfe with encreafe of honour.

Confyderyng my fpecyall good lorde howe greatly and how many wayes I am bounden to your lordefhippe. And among all other that in fo greate a nombre of cunnynge men whiche ar nowe within this region / it hathe pleafid your goodnes to accept me as worthy to ${ }^{2}$ haue the charge of the inftruccyon ${ }^{3}$ and bryngyng uppe ${ }^{4}$ of fuche youthe as ${ }^{5}$ reforteth to your gramer fchole, founded by your anteceffours in thys your towne of Redyng. / I ftudied a longe fpace what thynge I myght do next the bufy and dylygent occupyeng of my felfe in your faide feruyce / to the whiche bothe conficiens \& your ftepend ${ }^{6}$ doth ftreyghtly ${ }^{7}$ bynde me, that myght be a fygnyfycacion of my faythfull and feruifable harte whiche I owe to your lordefhyppe / and agayne a longe memorye bothe of your fynguler and benefycyall [A ii b] fauore towarde me: And of myne induftrie and dylygence employed in your feruyce to fome profyte or at the lefte way to fome delectacion of the inhabytau $n$ tes of this noble realme nowe floryff hyng ${ }^{8}$ vnder the moft excellent and victorioufe prynce our Souerayne Lorde kynge Henry the .viii.

- And when I hade thus longe prepenfyd in my mynde what thynge I myght befte chofe out / none offrede it felfe more conuenyent to the profyte of yonge ftudientes, ${ }^{9}$ whiche youre good lordefhyppe hathe allwayes tenderly fauored / and alfo meter to my profeffyon, then to make fome proper worke of the ryght pleafaunt and parfuadyble ${ }^{\text {ro }}$ arte of Rhetoryke / whiche as it is very neceffary to all fuche as wyll eyther be aduocates and proctoures in the lawe, or els apte to be fente in theyr prynces / Ambaffades / or to be
${ }^{1}$ B. Cockes.
${ }^{6}$ B. ftipende.
${ }^{2}$ B. for to.
${ }^{7}$ B. ftraytly.
${ }^{3}$ B. inftruction.
${ }^{8}$ B. flouryf hynge.
${ }^{4}$ B. vp.
9 B. ftudentes.
${ }^{5}$ Defective in A.,perhaps yt (=that). B. as. ${ }^{\text {ro }}$ B. perfuadible.
techars ${ }^{\text {r }}$ of goddes worde in fuche maner as maye be mofte fenible and accepte to their audience: And finally to all them that ${ }^{2}$ haue ${ }^{2}$ any thynge to prepofe ${ }^{3}$ or to fpeke afore any companye, what fomeuer they be. So contraryly I fe no fcyence that is les ${ }^{4}$ taught and declared to fcholars ${ }^{5}$ / whiche ought chyefly after the knowledge of gramer ones hade to be inftructe in thys facultie without the whiche often tymes the rude vtterance of [A iii a] the aduocate greatly hyndrethe and apeyreth his clyentes caufe. Lykewyfe the vnapte dyfpofycyon of the precher in orderynge his mater confundyth ${ }^{6}$ the memory of hys herers. And bryefly in declaryng of maters, for lake ${ }^{7}$ of inuencyon and order with due elocucyon, greate tediofnes ${ }^{8}$ is engendred to the multytude beynge prefent / by occafyon where of the fpeker is many tymes or ${ }^{9}$ he haue endyd his tale eyther lefte almost alone ${ }^{\text {to }}$ to hys no lytle confufyon, or els (whiche is a lyke rebuke to hym) the audyence falleth for werynes of hys ineloquent langage ${ }^{11}$ fafte on flepe. © Wyllynge therfore for my parte to helpe fuche as ar defyrous of this arte (as all furely ought to be whiche entende to be regarded in any comynaltye) I haue partely traunflatyd ${ }^{12}$ out of a werke of Rhethoryke wrytten in the lattyn ${ }^{13}$ tongue, and partely compyled of myne owne, \& fo made a lytle treatife in maner of an Introduccyon into this aforefaid fcyence, and that in the ${ }^{14}$ englyffhe tongue. Remembrynge that euery goode thynge, after the fayenge of the Phylofopher, the more commune ${ }^{15}$ that it is the better ${ }^{16}$ it is. And further more truftynge therby to do fome pleafure and eafe to fuche as haue by neclygence ${ }^{17}$ or els falfe parfuafyons ${ }^{18}$ be put to the lernynge of other fcyences or euer [A iii b] they haue attayned any meane knowledge of the latyne tonge. ${ }^{19}$

| ${ }^{1}$ B. techers. | ${ }^{11}$ B. language. |
| :--- | :--- |
| ${ }^{2}$ B. hauynge. | ${ }^{12}$ B. tranflated. |
| ${ }^{3}$ B. purpofe. | ${ }^{13}$ B. Latin. |
| ${ }^{4}$ B. leffe. | ${ }^{15}$ B. in our Englyff he. comon. |
| ${ }^{5}$ B. Scolers. | ${ }^{16}$ B. the more better. |
| ${ }^{6}$ B. confoundeth. | ${ }^{17}$ B. negligence. |
| ${ }^{7}$ B. lacke. | ${ }^{18}$ B. fals !perfuacions. |
| ${ }^{8}$ B. tedioufnes. | ${ }^{19}$ B. Latin tongue. |
| ${ }^{9}$ B. ere. |  |

- Whyche my fayde labour I humbly offer to your good lordefhyppe as to the chyefe mayntener and noriffher of my ftody ${ }^{x}$ befechynge you, though it be ferre within your merytes ${ }^{2}$ done to me, to accepte it as the fyrfte affay of my pore and fymple wyt; which if it maye fyrft pleafe your lordefhyppe, and next the reders, I trufte by the ayde of almyghty god to endight ${ }^{3}$ other werkes both in this facultye and other to the laude of the hyghe godhed, of whom all goodnes doth procede, and to your lordefhyppes pleafure, and to profyte and delectacyon of the reder.
[A iiii a] The arte or crafte of Rhethoryke.
Whofomeuer defyreth to be a good oratour or to dyfpute and commune of any maner thynge / hym behoueth to haue foure thynges. The fyrfte is called Inuencyon, for he mufte fyrfte of al imagyne or inuent in his mynde what he shall faye. The .ii. ${ }^{4}$ is named iudgement / for he mufte haue wyt to difcerne and iudge whether tho thinges that he hathe founde in his mynde be conuenient to the purpofe or nat / for often tymes yf a man lake ${ }^{5}$ thys propriete ${ }^{6}$ he may afwell tell that that is agaynfte hym / as with hym / as experience doth dayly fhew. The .iii. ${ }^{7}$ is dyfpofycyon wherby he maye knowe howe to ordre and fet euery thynge in his due place. Lefte thoughe his inuencyon and iudgement be neuer fo goode he maye happen to be counted as the commune prouerbe fayeht To put the carte afore the horfe. The .iiii. \& is fuch thynges lafte as [sic] he hathe Inuentid and by iudgement knowen apte to his purpofe when they ar fet in theyr ordre fo to fpeke them that it maye be pleasant and delectable to the audience. So that it maye be fayde of hym that hiftoryes make mencion that an olde woman fayd ons by demofthenes and [A iiii b] fyns hathe bene a commune prouerbe amonge the grekes ov $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \tau \iota^{8}$ whiche is afmoch to faye as (This is he). And this lafte propriete is callyd amonge lernyd men eloquence. Of thefe .iiii. ${ }^{9}$ the moft difficile or harde is to inuente what thou mufte faye, wher-

| ${ }^{1}$ B. ftudy. | ${ }^{6}$ B. property. |
| :--- | :--- |
| ${ }^{2}$ B. merites. | 7 B. thyrde. |
| ${ }^{3}$ B. endyte. | ${ }^{8}$ The Greek first appears in B. |
| ${ }^{4}$ B. feconde. | $9_{1}^{9}$ B. foure. |
| ${ }^{5}$ B. lacke. |  |

fore of this parte the Rhetoryciens whiche be mayfters of this arte haue written very moche and diligently.

Inuencyon is comprehended in certayn placys / as the Rhetoriciens call the $m$ /out of who $m$ he that knoweth the facultye may fetche eafyly fuche thynges as be mete for the mater that he fhal fpeke of / which mater the Oratour calleth the theme and in oure vulgayre tonge it is callyd improprely the antytheme. ${ }^{1}$ The theme propofed ${ }^{2}$ we mufte after the rules of Rhetoryke go to oure placys that fhal anone fhew vnto vs what fhalbe to oure purpofe.

Example. In olde tyme there was grete enuy betweene.ii. noble men of Rome of whome the one was callyd Mylo / and the other Clodyus. The ${ }^{3}$ which malice grew fo ferre that Clodius layed wayte for Mylo on a feafon when he fhulde ryde out of the cyte / and in his iournay set vpon him and there as it chanfyd ${ }^{4}$ Clodius was flayne / where vpon thys Clodius frendes accufed Milo to the Senate of murdre. Tully whiche in [A v a] tho dayes was a grete aduocate in Rome fhulde plede Miloes caufe. Nowe it was opyn that Milo had flayn Clodius / but whether he had flaine him laufully or nat was the doute. So the $n$ the theme of Tullyes oracyon or plee for Milo was thys, that he had flayne Clodius laufully / and therfore he ought nat to be puniffhed. For the confirmacyon wherof (as dothe appere in Tullyes oracyon) he dyd brynge out of placis of Rhetoryke argumentes to proue his fayde theme or purpofe. And lykewyfe mufte we do when we haue any mater to fpeke or commune of. As yf I fhulde make an oracyon to the laude and prayfe of the kynges hyghneffe / I mufte for the Inuencyon of fuche thynges as be for my purpofe / go to places of Rhetoryke / where I fhal eafly fynde (after I knowe the rules) / that that I desyre. Here is to be noted that there is no theme but it is conteined vnder one of .iiii. ${ }^{6}$ caufis /or for the more playnes ${ }^{5}$.iiii. ${ }^{6}$ kyndes of oracions. The fyrfte is callyd Logycall, whiche kynde we call properly difputacion. The fecunde is callid Demonftratyue. The thyrde Delyberatyue. The .iiii. ${ }^{7}$ Judiciall/ and thefe thre lafte be properly callid fpeces ${ }^{8}$ or kindes of oracions / whofe natures fhalbe declarid feperatly here after with the crafte that is required $\mathrm{i}[\mathrm{n}]$ euery [ $\mathrm{A} v \mathrm{~b}$ ] of them.

[^9]All themes that parteyne to Logike eyther they be Symple or compounde. As yf aman defyre to knowe of me what Juftice is / this only thynge Juftice is my theme / Or yf difputacyon be had in any ${ }^{\text {x }}$ company vpon Relygion / and I wold declare the very nature of Religion my theme fhulde be thys fymple or one thynge Relygyon. But yf it be douted whether Juftice be a vertue or nat / and I wolde proue the part affyrmatyue / my theme were now compounde / that is to fay / Juftice is a vertue. For it is made of .ii. ${ }^{2}$ thynges knyte or vnied togither / Juftice and vertu. Here muft be noted that Logike is a playne and a fure way to inftructe a man of the trouth of euery thynge. And that in it the natures, caufes, partis, and effectes of thinges ar by certayne rules difcuffid and ferchyd out/ So that nothinge can be perfectly and propryely knowen but by rules of Logike[,] whiche is nothynge but an obferuacyon or a diligent markynge of nature / wherby in euery thynge mannes reafon dothe confyder what is fyrfte / what lafte / what propre / what impropre.

The places or instrumentes of a fymple theme ar.
The definicion of the thyng. The partes.
The caufes.
The effectes.
Example. If thou inquyre what thyng [A vi a] Juftyce is / Wherof it cometh / what partes it hathe / and what is the offyce or effecte of euery parte / then hafte thou diligently ferched out the whole nature of Juftice. And handelyd thy fymple theme accordynge to the preceptes of Logeciens / To whome oure author leuith fuche maters to be difcuffyd "of them. Howe be it fomwhat the Rhetoriciens haue to do with the fymple theme/and afmoch as fhalbe for theyr entent we wyl fhew hereafter. For many tymes the orator muft vfe bothe diffinicions and diuifions. But as they be in Logyke playne and compendioufe / So are they in Rhetorike extendid \& paynted with many fygures and ornamentes longynge ${ }^{3}$ to the fcience. Neuertheles to fatiffie the reders mynde and to alleuiate the tedioufnes of ferchynge thefe places I wyll opyn the maner and faffhyon of the handilynge of the theme afore fayd as playnely as I can after the preceptes of Logike / - fyrft to ferche out the perfyght knowlege of Juftyce $I$ go to my fyrft place definicion / And fetche from Ariftotle in his ethiks the definicion

[^10]of Juftyce whiche is this / Juftyce is a morall vertue whereby men be the werkers of ryghtful thynges ${ }^{\text {² }}$ / that is to fay / wherby they both loue \& alfo do fuch thinges as be Jufte. Thys done I ferche the caufe of [A vi b] Juftyce that is to faye fro $m$ whens it toke the fyrft begynning and bycaufe that it is a morall vertue and Plato in the ende of his dialogue Menon concludeth that all vertue commyth of god I am affured that god is the chefe caufe of Juftice declaring it to the worlde by his inftrument mannes wyt whiche the fame Plato affyrmythe in the begynning of his lawes. The definicyon and caufe had [,] I come to the thyrde place callid partes to knowe whether ther be but one kynde of Juftyce or els many. And for thys purpofe I fynde that Ariftotele in the .v. ${ }^{2}$ of his ethikes deuideth Juftice in .ii. ${ }^{3}$ fpeces or kyndes/one that he calleth iuftice legitime or legall / and ${ }^{4}$ an other whyche he called equyte. Juftyce legall / is that / that confifteth in the fuperyours whiche haue power to make or ftatute lawes to the inferiours / and the offyce or ende of thys Juftyce is to make fuche lawes as be bothe good and accordynge to ryght and confcience / and then to declare them / and when they are made and publyffhed as they ought to be / to fe that they be put in vre. For what auayleth it to make neuer fo good lawes if they be nat obferuyd and kepte.

And fynally that the maker of the lawe apply his hole ftudye and mynde to the welth of his fubiectes and to the commune [A vii a] profyte of them. The other kynde of Juftice whiche men call equite is wherby a man nother ${ }^{5}$ taketh nother ${ }^{6}$ giueth / les nor more then he ought / but in gyuyng taketh good hede that euery man haue accordyng as he deferuith : This equite ${ }^{7}$ is agayne diuided into equite diftributyue of commune thynges \& equite Commutatyue / By equite diftributyue is diftributyd \& gyuen of Commune goodes to euery man accordyng to his deferuinges \& as he is worthy to haue. As to deuyde amonges fuche as longe to the churche of the churche goodes after the qualyte of theyr merytes, and to them that be cyuyle ${ }^{8}$ perfones of the commune tresour of the cyte accordynge as they are worthy. In this parte is comprehendyd the punyfhment of myfdoers and tranfgreffours of

[^11]the lawe / to whome correccion mufte be diftrybuted for the commune wele accordynge to theyr demerytes after the prefcryptions of the lawes of the contrey made and determynyd for the punyffhement of any maner ${ }^{1}$ tranfgreffour. Equite commutatyue is a iufte maner in the chaungyng of thynges from one to another whofe offyce or effecte is to kepe iufte dealynge in equite, as byenge / fellynge, and all other bargaines lauful / © And fo are here with the fpeces of Juftyce declared theyr offices / which was the fourth \& last place. ${ }^{2}$ Oure auctour [A vii b] alfo in a grete werke that he hathe made vpon Rhetoryke declareth the handelyng of a theme fymple by the fame exanple of Juftice, addynge .ii. places mo, whiche ar callyd affynes ${ }^{3}$ and contraries on this maner.

What is Juftice? A uertu wherby to euery thynge is gyuen that that to it belongyth. / What is the caufe therof? mannes wyll confenting with lawes and maneres / how many kyndes? .ii. ${ }^{4}$ whiche? Commutatyue and diftributyue / For in .ii. ${ }^{5}$ maneres is our medlynge with other men other ${ }^{5}$ in thynges of our fubftance and wares, or in gentyll and cyuyle conuerfacyon.

What thyng is Juftyce commutatyue? Ryght and equite in all contractes.

What is Juftyce diftributyue? Juftyce of cyuyle lyuyng. How manyfolde is Juftice dyftributyue? Eyther yt is commune/or pryuate. The commune is callyd in latin pietas / but in englyffhe it may be mofte properly namyd goode ordre, whiche is the coroune ${ }^{6}$ of all vertues conferuynge honefte \& cyuyle conuerfacion of men togyther / as the heddes with the meane comynalte in good vnite \& concorde. Priuate or feueral / iuftice diftributyue is honefte \& amyable frendefhype / and conuerfacyon of neyghbours.

What are the offyces? To do for euery man ryche or pore of what someuer ftate [A viii a] he be ${ }^{7}$ and for our contrey / for our wyues, chyldren, and frendes, that that ought to be done for euery of them.

Affynes or vertues nyghe to Juftyce are Conftancie / Lyberalyte / Temperaunce /. Thynges contrary ar fere / couytyfe / prodigalyte. And this is the maner of handelynge of a fimple theme dialectual. ${ }^{8}$
${ }^{1}$ B. inserts of.
${ }^{2}$ Last nine words added from B.
${ }^{3} \mathrm{~B}$. affines.
${ }^{4}$ B. two.
${ }^{5}$ B. eyther.
${ }^{6}$ B. crowne.
${ }^{7}$ B. of what eftate so euer he be.
${ }^{8}$ B. dialectycall.

But yet let not the reder deceyue hym felfe/and thynke that the very perfyght knowlege is ${ }^{1}$ fhewyd hym ${ }^{2}$ here / what ${ }^{3}$ hath bene fhewyd now is fome what generall and brefe.

More fure and exacte knowledge is conteyned in Logyke / to whome I wyll aduife them that be ftudyoufe to reforte and to fetche euery thyng in his one proper faculte. ${ }^{4}$

## - Of a Theme compounde.

Euery theme compounde eyther it is prouyd true or falfe. Nowe whether thou wylt proue or improue any thinge it mufte be done by argument. And any theme compounde be it Logycall or Rhetorycall / it mufte be referryd to the rules of Logike by the $m$ to be prouyd true or falfe. For thys is the dyfference that is betwene thefe two fciencis / that the Logycyan in difputynge obferuythe certayne rules for the fettynge of his words [,] beynge folycytous that ther be fpokyn no more nor no les then the thynge requirith / and that [A viii b] it be eue $n$ as playnly fpoke $n$ as it is thought. But the Rhetoricyan feketh abought and boroweth when he can afmuche as he may for to make the fymple and playne Logycall argumentes gay and delectable to the aere. ${ }^{5}$ fo then the fure Judgement of argumentes or reafons mufte be lernyd of the Logicyan but the crafte to fet them out with plefaunte fygures and to ${ }^{6}$ delate the matter longith ${ }^{7}$ to the Rhetorycian / as in Myloes caufe of ${ }^{8}$ whom was made mencyon afore.

- A logician wolde bryefly argue / who fo euer violently wyll flee an other / may lawfully of the other be flayne in his defence. Clodius wolde vyolently haue flayn Milo / wherfore Clodius might lafully be flayne of Milo in Milous owne defence. And this argument the logiciens call a Sillogifme in Darii / which Tully in his oracion extendeth that in foure or fyue leues it is fcant made an end of / nor no man can haue knowlege whether Tullies argument that he maketh in his oracyon for Milo / be a goode argument or nat / and howe it holdeth / excepte he can by Logyke reduce it to the

[^12]perfecte and briefe forme of a Sillogifme / takynge in the meane feason of the Rhetorycyans what ornamentes have bene caft fo ${ }^{x}$ for to lyght and augment the oracyon / and to gyue it a maieftie.
[Bia] The places out of whome are founde argumentes for the prouinge or improuynge of compounde Themes / are these followinge

Diffinicion.
Caufe.
Partes.
Lyke.
Contrary.
Of the places of argumentes fhalbe fpoken hereafter. For as touchynge them in all thynges the Rhetorician and Logycian do agre. But as concernynge the crafte to fourme argumentes whan thou haft founde the $m$ in theyr places / that muft be lerned of the Logician / where he treateth of the fourme of Sellogifmes / Enthimemes and Inductions.

## Of an oracion demonftratiue.

The ufe of an oracyon demonftrative is in prayfe or dyfprayfe / whiche kynde or maner of oracyon was greatly vfed fomtyme in comon accyons / as dothe declare the oracyons of Demofthenes / and alfo many of Thucidides oracions. And there ben thre maners of oracions demonftratyue.

The fyrft conteyneth the prayfe or dyfprayfe of perfones. As yf a man wolde prayfe the kynges hyghnes or / dyfprayfe fome yl perfone / it muft be done by an oracyon demonftratyue. The fecunde kynde [ Bi b ] of an oracyon demonftratyue is: where in is prayfed or dispraifed / nat the perfon but the dede. As yf a thefe put hymfelfe in ieopardy for the fafegarde of a true man / agaynfte other theues and murderers / the perfon can nat be prayfed for his vicious lyuynge, but yet the dede is worthy to be commended. Or if one fhulde fpeake of Peters denyenge of Chrifte / he hath nothynge to dyfprayfe the perfon faue onely for this dede. The thyrde kynde is: wherin is lauded or blamed nother perfon nor dede / but fome other thynge as vertue / vice / iuftice / iniurie / charite / enuie / pacience / wrothe and fuche lyke.

[^13]
## Partes of an Oracion.

The partes of an oracion prefcribed of Rhetoriciens are thefe.
The Preamble or exorden.
The Tale or narracion.
The prouinge of the matter or contencion.
The conclufion.
Of the whiche partes mencyon fhall be made hereafter in euery kynde of oracions, for they are nat founde generally in euery oracion / but fome haue moo partes / and fome leffe.

## Of the Preamble.

[B ii a] Generally the Preamble nat alonly in an oracion demonftratiue / but alfo in the other two is conteyned and muft be fetched out of thre places / that is to fay of beneuolence / attencion / \& to make the mater eafy to be knowen / whiche the Rhetoricians call Docilite.

Beneuolence is the place whereby the herer is made willyng to here vs / and it is conteyned in the thynge that we fpeke of / in them whom we fpeke to $/ \&$ in our owne perfon. The eafyeft and mofte vfed place of beneuolence confyfteth in the offyce or duety of the perfon / whan we fhew that it is oure duety to do that we be aboute.

Out of this place is fet the preamble of faynt Gregory Nazazene / made to the prayfe of faynt Bafyl where he fayth that it is his duety to prayfe faynt Bafyll for thre caufes. For the grate loue and frendefhype that hath ben always betwene the $m$ / and agayne for the remembraunce of the mofte fayre and excellent vertues that were in hym / and thyrdely that the churche myght haue an example of a good \& holy Byffhop, $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ Trewly by our authours lycence me thynketh that in the preamble Nazazen doth nat only take beneuolence out of the places ${ }^{1}$ of his owne perfon / but alfo oute of the other two / whan he fheweth the caufe [B ii b] of hys duetye / for in prayfynge hys frende he dyd but his duetye. In prayfynge his vertues / he cam to the place of beneuolence of hym that he fpake of / as touchynge the example that the churche fhulde have / it was for theyr profyte / and concernyng the place of beneuolence / taken of them that he fpake to. But our authour regarded chyefly the

[^14]principall propofycyon / which was that faynt Gregory Nazazene was bounde to prayfe faynt Bafyll.

A lyke example of beneuolence taken out of the place of oftyce or duety / is in the oracyon that Tully made for the Poet Archyas / whiche begynneth thus:

My lordes that be here iuges / yf there be in me any wyt / whiche I know is but fmall / or yf I haue any crafty vfe of makynge an oracion / wherin I deny nat but that I haue metely excercifed my felfe, or yf any helpe to that fcyence commeth out of other lyberall artes / in whome I haue occupied all my lyfe / furely I am bounde to no man more for them than to Archyas / which may lawfully if I may do any man any profyte by the $m$ / chalenge a chyefe porcyon for hym therin.

Out of this place dyd this fame Tully fetche the begynnynge of his fyrfte epiftle / in whome he wrytethe to one Lentule on [B iii a] thys maner: I do fo my duety in all poyntes to warde you / and fo great is the loue and reuerence that I bere vnto you that all other men faye that I can do no more / and yet me femeth that I haue neuer don that that I am bounde to do / eyther to you or in your caufe.

We may alfo get beneuolence by reafon of them / whome we make our oracion of: As yf we faye that we can neuer prayfe hym to hyghly / but that he is worthy moche more laude and prayfe. And fo taketh faint Nazazene ${ }^{\mathrm{x}}$ beneuolence in his fayde oracion for faynt Bafile.

Alfo of them afore whome we fpeke / as if we fay / it is for theyr profyte to laude or prayfe the perfon. And that we knowe very well howe moche they haue alwayes loued hym / and that he ought therfore to be prayfed the more for theyr fakes. The maner is alfo to get vs beneuolence in the preface of our oracyon / by pynchynge and blamynge of our aduerfarie. As doth Tully in the oracion that he made for one Aulus Cecinna / wherin he begynnethe hys proeme thus. If temerie ${ }^{2}$ and lake of fhame coulde as moch preuaile in plees afore the iuftices / as dothe audacite and temerarious boldeneffe in the feldes \& deferte places / there were no remedie but euen fo mufte [B iii b] Aulus Cecina be ouer come in this matter by Sextus Ebucius impudence / as he was in the felde ouercome by his

[^15]${ }^{2}$ B. temerite.
infidious audacite. And thefe be the commune formes of beneuolence.

A man may alfo fetche his proheme ${ }^{2}$ out of the nature of the place wher he fpeketh / as Tullye dothe in the oracyon made for Pompeius for the fendynge of hym unto Afie agaynft kynge Mithridates of Pontus / and kynge Tigranes of Armenie on this maner: howe be it my lordes \& maifters of this noble cite of Rome / I haue al tymes thought it a fynguler reioyfe to me if I myght ones fe you gadred to gyther in a company / to here fome publique oracion of myne / and agayne I iuged no place to be fo ample and fo honourable to speke in as thys is. \&c.

Or he maye begyn at the nature of the tyme that is then / or at fome other cyrcumftaunce of his mater / as Tully taketh the begynnygne of his oracion for Celius at the tyme / this wyfe.

If fo be it my lordes iudges any man be nowe prefent here that is ignorant of your lawes / of youre proceffe in iugementes \& of your cuftomes / furely he may well maruell what fo heynous a mater this fhulde be / that it onely fhulde be fyt vppon in an [B iiii a] hygh feafte day / whan all the comonaltye after theyr olde cuftome are gyuen to the fight of playes / ordeined after a perpetual vsage for the nones for them / all maters of the law layd for the tyme vtterly a part.

He began alfo an other oracion for one Sextus Rofcius / out of the daunger of the feafon that he fpake in.

One may befyde thefe vfe other maner of prohemes / whiche bycaufe they are nat fet out of the very mater it felfe / or els the cercumftaunces / as in thefe aforfayd they are called peregrine or ftraunge prohemes. And they be taken out of fentences / folempne peticions / maners or cuftomes / lawes / ftatutes of nacions \& contreys. And on thys maner dothe Ariftides begyn his oracion made to the prayfe of Rome.

Demofthenes in his oracyon made agaynft Efchines / toke his preface out of a folempne petycyon / befechynge the goddes that he myght haue as goode fauour in that caufe / as he had founde in all other maters that he had done afore for the comon welthe.

In lyke maner begynneth Tully the oracion that he made for one Murena / \& alfo the oracyon that he made vnto the Romaynes after his retourne from exyle.

[^16]He begynnethe alfo another oracyon / [B iiii b] whiche he made as touchynge a lawe decreed for the diuifion of feldes amonge the comunes out of a cuftome amonge them / on this wyfe.

The maner and cuftome of our olde faders of Rome hathe bene. \&c. And this is the maner of prefaces in any oracyon / whiche is alfo obferued in the makinge of epyftles / howe be it there is farre leffe crafte in them than is in an oracyon.

There is yet an other fourme \& maner to begyn by infinuacion / wherfore it behoueth to knowe that infinuacion is / whan in the begynnyng / yf the mater feme nat laudable or honeft / we find an excufe therfore.

Example / Homere in his Iliade defcribeth one Therfites / that he was mofte foule and euyll fauored of all the Grekes that came to the batayle of Troye / for he was both gogle eyed / and lame on the one legge / with croked and penched fhulders / and a longe pyked hede / balde in very many places. And befyde thefe fautes he was a great folyffhe babler / and ryght foule mouthed / and ful of debate and ftryfe / carrynge alwayes agaynft the heddes and wyfe men of the armye.

Nowe if one wolde take vpon hym to make an oracion to the prayfe of [t]his lofel/whiche mater is of litle honefty in it felfe / [B v a] he muft vfe in ftede of a preface an infinuacion. That what thynge poetes or commune fame doth eyther prayfe or difpraife ought nat to be gyuen credence to / but rather to be fufpecte. For ones it is the nature of poetes to fayne and lye / as bothe Homere and Virgile / which are the princes and heddes of al poetes do witneffe the $m$ felfe. Of whome Homere fayth / that poetes make many lies / and Virgile he fayth The mofte part of the fene is but deceyte. Poetes haue fene blake foules vnder the erthe / poetes haue fayned and made many lyes of the pale kyngdome of Plato ${ }^{1 /}$ and of the water of Stegie / and of dogges in hell. And agayne commune rumours howe often they ben vayne / it is fo open that it nede nat to be declared. wherfore his truft is that the hearers wyl $l_{1}$ more regarde his faynge then ${ }^{2}$ fayned fables of poetes / and fleyng tales of lyght fokes / whiche ar for the more parte the grounders of fame and rumours.

[^17]An example may be fet out of the declamacion that Erafmus made to the prayfe of folyffhenes.

An other example hath the fame Erafmus in his feconde boke of Copia / whiche is this. Plato in the fyfte dialogue of his communalitie wyllethe that no man fhall [ Bvb ] haue no wyfe of hys owne/ but that euery woman fhalbe commune to euery man. If any man than wolde eyther prayfe or defende this mynde of Plato / which is both contrarie to Chriftes religion and to the commune lyuynge of men / he myght as Erafmus teacheth / begynne thus.

I knowe very well that this matter whiche I haue determyned to fpeake of / wyll feme vnto you at the fyrfte herynge / nat onely very ftraunge / but alfo right abhominable. But that nat withftandynge/ yf it wyll pleafe you a litle while to deferre your iudgement tyll ye haue herde the fumme of fuche reafons as I wyll brynge forthe in the caufe / I doubte nothynge but that I fhall make the trouthe fo euydent that you all wyll with one affent approue it / \& knowlege that ye haue ben hytherto marueloufly deceyued in your oppynyon / and fomdele to alleuiate your myndes / ye fhall vnderftande that I am nat my felfe authour of the thynge / but it is the mynde \& faynge of the excellent \& mofte hyghly named philofopher Plato / whiche was vndoubted fo famoufe a clerke / fo defcrete a man / and fo vertuoufe in al his dedes / that ye may be fure he wold fpeke nothyng but it were on ryght perfite grounde / and that the thynge were of it felfe very expedient / [ B vi a] thoughe peraduenture it fhewe fer otherwyfe at the fyrfte herynge.

In all prefaces or preambules mufte be good hede taken that they be not to fer fet nor to longe.

Thefe affectuoufe wordes / I reioyfe / I am fory / I maruayle / I am glad for your fake / I defyre / I fere / I pray god / and fuche other lyke be very apte for a preface.

Of the feconde place of a preface called Attencyon.
The herers fhalbe made attente or dylygente to gyue audyence yf the oratour made ${ }^{\text {r }}$ promyfe that he wyll thewe them newe thynges / or els neceffary or profytable / or yf he faye that it ys an harde mater that he hathe in handelynge or els obfcure and nat eafy to be vnderftonde ${ }^{2}$ excepte they gyue ryght good attendaunce, wherfore

[^18]it is expedient that yf they wyll haue the percepcyon of it, that they gyue a good eare. But as concernynge the newnes or profyte of the matter it makythe nat all onely the herar to gyue a good eare (whiche thinge is callyd attencion) but alfo it ${ }^{2}$ makyth him well wyllynge to ${ }^{2}$ be prefente whiche is beneuolence.

## Docilite.

[B vi b] Docilite whereby we make the mater playne and eafy to be percyued / is nat greatly required in this kinde of oracyon / for it is belonginge properly to derke and obfcure caufes / in whiche we mufte promyfe that we wyll nat vfe great ambages / or to go (as men faye) rounde about the buffh / but to be fhort and plaine.

Of narracion whiche is the feconde parte of an oracion.
The Narracion or tale wherin perfones are prayfed / is the declarynge of theyr lyfe and doynges after the faffhyon of an hyftorye. The places out of the whiche it is fought are: The perfones byrthe. His chyldhode. His adolefcencie. His mannes ftate. His olde age. His dethe and what foloweth after.

In his byrthe is confydered of what ftocke he came / what chaunfed at the tyme of his natiuite or nighe vpon/ as ${ }^{3}$ in the natiuite of Chryfte fhepeherdes harde angelles fynge.

In his chyldhode are marked his bryngynge vp \& tokens of wyfdome commynge: As Horace in his furthe ${ }^{4}$ Satire fheweth / howe in his chyldhode his father taught hym by examples of fuche as were than lyuynge to flee from vice and to gyue hymfelfe to vertue.
[B vii a] In adolefcence is confydered where to he than gyueth hym felfe. As in the fyrft comedie of Terence one Simo telleth his feruaunt Sofia / that thoughe all yonge men for the more parte gyue them felfe to fome peculiare thynge / wherin they fette theyr cheife delyght / as fome to haue goodly horfes / fome to cheryffhe houndes for huntyng / \& fome are gyuen onely to theyr bokes / his fonne Panphilus loued none of thefe more one than an other / and yet in all thefe he exercifed hym felfe mefurably.

In mannes fate and olde age is noted what office or rule he bare among his citifens / or in his contrey / what actes he dyd /

[^19]howe he gouerned fuche as were vnder him [,] howe he profpered / \& what fortune he had in fuche thynges as he went about. Example here of is in Salufte / whiche compareth together Cato and Cefar / fayeng that bothe theyr ftocke / age and eloquence were almofte lyke and egall / theyr excellencie ${ }^{x}$ and greatnes of fpirite and wytte was alfo lyke and egal / and lyke fame and worfhyppe had they bothe attayned howe be it nat by a lyke waye. Cefer was had in great eftymacyon for his benefites and liberalyte. Cato had gotten hym a name for his perfyght \& vpryght lyuynge. Cefar was prayfed for his gentilnes and pitie. Cato was $[B$ vii $b]$ honored for his erneftnes and furete.

The tother wanne moche bruyt by gyuynge large gyftes / by helpynge fuche as were in dyftreffe, and by forgiuyng of trefpaffes done agaynfte hym. Catous fame dyd f[p]rede be caufe he wold neither be forgyuen of none offence / neither forgiue non other / but as any man had deferued / fo to caufe him to be delt with. In the one was great refuge to fuche as were in myfery: In the other was fore punyffhement and pernicion to myfdoers and euyl tran[f]greffours of the law. Briefly to conclude it was al Ceazars mynde and pleafure to labour dilygently nyght and daye in his frendes caufes / to care leffe for his owne bufynes than theyrs / to deny nothynge that was worthy to be afked / his defyre was euermore to be in werre / to haue a great hooft of men vnder his gouernaunce / that by his noble and hardy fayctes his valyantnes myght be the more knowen \& fpred abrod. Contraryly all Catous ftudy was on temperaunce / and to do in no maner otherwyfe than was conuenient \& fettynge ${ }^{2}$ for fuche a man as he was / and chiefly he fette his mynde to feueryty $[;]$ he neuer made no comparifon with the riche man in richeffe / nor with the myghty man in power. But yf nede required / with the hardy man in boldnes / [B viii a] with the temperate in moderacyon / with the good man in innocency \& iuft dealing. He cared nat for the name / it was fufficient to hym to haue the dede / $\&$ fo / the leffe he cared for glorye / the more alwayes he opteyned. Many fuche comparyfons very profitable for this intent / are alfo in Plutarche in his boke of noble mennes lyues.

A goodly enfamble ${ }^{3}$ of this place is in the oracyon that Hermolaus

[^20]Barbarus made to the emperour Frederike and Maximilian his fon / whiche for bicaufe it is so long I let it paffe. A lyke enfample is in Tullyes oracyon / that he made to the people of Rome for Pompeyus / to be fent agaynfte Mythrydates.

Some there be that deuide the landes ${ }^{\text {x }}$ of perfons into thre kyndes of goodes begynnynge the narracion at them / whiche thynge our author dothe not greatly commende / but rather in reherfyng of any perfons dedes / yf theyr can nat be kept an order of hiftorie / and many thynges muft be fpoken. It were after his mynde befte to touche fyrft his actes done by prudence / \& nexte by iuftice / thyrdely by fortitude ${ }^{2}$ of the mynde / and laft by temperaunce / and fo to gather the narracion out of this foure cardinall vertues. As if one fhuld prayfe faint Auften / after that he hath spoken of his parentele [Bviii b] and bryngynge vp in youth / and is come to the reherfall of his actes / they may be conueniently diftributed into the places of vertues. On this maner dyd Tully prayfe Pompey.

I fuppofe (fayeth he) that in hym that shulde be a hed capitayne ouer a great army ought to be four thynges. Knowlege of werre / valiantnes / auctoritie / \& felicitie.

Here is to be noted that in reherfynge any persones actes / we may haue our chiefe refpecte to fome peculiare and pryncypall vertue in hym / enlargynge and exaltynge it by amplificacion in maner of a digreffion.

Our author in this worke maketh no mencyon of the laste place that is deathe and fuche thynges as folowe after / but in an other greater worke he declareth it thus briefly. The dethe of the perfone hathe alfo his prayfes / as of fuche whiche haue ben flayne for the defence of theyr contrey or prynce.

A very goodly enfample for the handelynge of this place is in an epiftle that Angele Policiane writeth in his fourth boke of epistels to James Antiquarie of Laurence Medices / howe wyfely and deuoutly he dyfpofed hym felfe in his dethe bed/and of his departynge / and what chaunfed at that tyme.
[C i a] And fo to conclude L,] an oracion Demonftratiue / wherein perfones are lauded / is an hiftorycall expofycyon of all his lyfe in order. And there is no difference betweene this kynde and

[^21]an hiftory / faue that in hiftories we be more briefe and vfe leffe curiofitie. Here all thynges be augmented and coloured with as much ornamentes of eloquence as can be had.

Confirmacion of our purpofe / and confutynge or reprouynge of the contrarye / whiche are the partes of contencyon / are not requyfyte in this kynde of oracyon / for here are nat treated any doubteful maters to whom contencyon perteynethe. Neuer the leffe / fo $m$ tyme it happenethe (howe be it it is feldome) that a doubte may come / which muft be either defe $n$ ded / or at the lefte ${ }^{\text {r }}$. excufed.

## Example.

The frenche men in olde tyme made myghty warre agaynfte the Romaynes and fo fore befyged them that they were by compulcyon conftrayned to fal to compofycyon with the frenche men for an huge fumme of golde / to be payed to them for the breakynge of the fyege / but beynge in this extreme myfery / they fent for one Camyllus / whome nat very longe afore they had banyffhed out of the citie / and in his abfence made hym dictatour / whiche [C i b] was the chyefeft dignitie amonge the Romaynes / and of fo great auctoritie / that for the fpace of thre monethes / for folonge dured the offyce moft conueniently / he myght do all thynge at his pleafure / whether it concerned dethe or no / for no man fo hardy ones to fay nay agaynfte any thynge that he dyd / fo that for the fpace he was as a kynge / hauyng al in his owne mere power.

Nowe it chaunced that while this fumme was in payenge / \& nat fully wayed / Camillus of whome I fayd afore / that beyng in exile he was made dictatour / came with an army / and anone bad feafe of the payment / and that eche party fhulde make redy to batyle ${ }^{2} /$ and so he vainquiffhed the frenche men.

Nowe yf one fhulde prayfe hym of his noble faytes / it shulde seme that this was done contrary to the lawe of armes / to defayt the frenche men of the raunfom due to the $m$ / fyns the compacte was made afore, wherfore it is neceffary for the oratour to defende this dede / and to proue that he dyd nothyng contrary to equitie. For the whiche purpofe he hathe two places. One apparent / whiche is a common sayenge vfurped of the poete Dalus an viris quis in

[^22]ofte requirat. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ That is to fay who wyll ferche whether the dede of enemy agaynfte enemy be [C ii a] either gyle or pure valyantnes ? But for that in warre lawe is as well to be kept as in other thynges. This sayeng is but of a feble grounde. The other is of a more ftronge affuraunce / whiche Titus Liuius writeth in his fyfte boke from the buyldynge of Rome / where he reherceth this hyftory nowe myncyoned / and that anfiwere is this that the compacte was made to paye the forefayd raunfome after that Camillus was created dictatour / at what tyme it was nat lawfull that they whiche were of ferre leffe auctoritie / ye and had put them felfe holy in his hande / fhulde entermedle them with any maner of treatife without his lycence / and that he was nat bounde to ftande to theyr bargayne. The whiche argumente / is deducte out of two circumftances / wherof one is the tyme of the makynge of the compacte / and the other / the perfons that made it / which two cyrcumftaunces may briefly be called whan / \& who.

Lykewyfe yf an oracyon fhuld be made to the laude of faynt Peter / it behoueth to excufe his denyenge of chryfte / that it was rather of diuine power and wyll : than otherwyfe / for a confortable example to fynners of grace yf they repente.

This is the maner of handelyng of an oracion demonftratiue / in which the perfon is praifed.
[C ii b] The author in his greater worke declareth the fafhyon by this example.

If one wolde praife kynge Charles / he fhulde kepe in his oracyon this order.

Fyrft in declarynge his parentel / that he was kynge Pipines fone / whiche was the fyrfte of all kynges of Fraunce named the mofte chryften kynge / and by whome all after hym had the fame name / and Nephiew to Martell / the moft valiaunteft prince that euer was. Nexte / his bryngynge vp vnder one Peter Pyfane / of whome he was inftructe bothe in Greke and Laten. Than his adoleffencie / whiche he paffed in exercife of armes vnder his fader in the warres of Acquitaine / where he lerned alfo the Sarazynes tonge.

Beynge come to mannes ftate / \& nowe kynge of Fraunce / he fubdued Aquiatyn / Italye / Swaueland ${ }^{2}$ and the Saxones. And

[^23]thefe warres were fo fortunate / that he ouercame his aduerfaries more by auctoritie \& wyfedom tha $n$ by effufyon of blode.

Alfo many other notable examples of vertue were in hym in that age / fpecyally that he edified the vniuerfitye of Paris.

Here maye by digreffyon be declared howe goodly a thyng lernyng is in Prynces. Chiefly suche condicion appertayneth to vertue and good lyuynge.
[C iii a] Here may be alfo made comparifon of his vertues in warre / \& of other agreynge with peace / in the whiche (as his hiftory maketh mencyon) he was more excellent. For his chyefe delyte was to haue peace / \& agayne he was fo gentyll and fo mercyfull that he wolde rather faue euyn suche as had done hym great offence : \& had deferued very well for to dye / than to dyftroye them / thoughe he myght do it conueniently.

Befyde this / he was fo greatly enflamed in the loue of god and his holy church, that one Alcuine a noble clerk of England was continually with hym / in whofe preachynge and other goftely communicacion he had a chiefe pleafure. His olde age he paffed in refte and quyetnes fortunately / faue for one thyng / that his fonnes agreed euyll betwene them.

After his deceafe reigned his fonne / holy faint Lewes / and fo the folowinges of his dethe were fuche that they colde be no better / and a very great token of his good and vertuoufe lyuynge. For yf an yll tre can brynge furthe no good fruite / what fhal we fuppofe of this noble kynge Charles / of whom cam fo vertuoufe and fo holy a fon? Truely methynkethe that hyther may be nat inconueniently applied the fayenges of the gofpel / by theyr fruites you fhal knowe the $m$.
[C iii b] - Of an oration Demonftratiue / wherein an acte is prayfed.

Whan we wyll prayfe any maner of dede / the moft apte preamble for that purpofe fhall be to fay that the mater perteineth ${ }^{\mathrm{r}}$ to the commodities of them which here vs.

## Example.

Whan the Romaynes had expelled theyr kynge / whom the hiftoricyens cal Tarquine the proude / out of the citie / and fully enacted

[^24]that they wolde neuer haue kynge to reigne more ouer them. This Tarquinus wente for ayde and focour to the kynge of Tufcaye / which whan he could by no menes entreat the Romains to receiue agayn their kynge / he cam with all his puyffaunce agaynft the citye / and there longe fpace befieged the Romaynes by reafon wherof, great penury of whete was in the citye / and the kynge of Tufcay hadde great trufte / that continuynge the siege / he thulde within a lytel lenger fpace compell the Romaynes through famine to yelde them felfe.

In the meane feafon a yonge man of the citie named Caius Mucius / came to the Senatours and fhewed them that he was purpofed yf they wolde gyue hym licence to go furthe of the citye to do an acte that [C iv a] fhuld be for theyr great profite and welth / whereupon when he had obteined licence / priuely / with weapon hyd vnder his vefture he cam to the Tufcans campe / and gate hym amonge the thyckefte nyghe to the tent where as the kyng fat with his chaunceller / payenge the fowdiers theyr ${ }^{\text {x }}$ wages.

And by caufe that they were almoft of lyke apparel / and alfo the chaunceler fpake many thynges as a man beynge in auctorite / he coulde nat tell whether of them was the kynge / nor he durft nat afke / lefte his demaunde wolde haue bewrayed hym / for as for language they had one / \& nothynge was different / for bothe Tuscains and Romayns were all of Italye / as in tymes paft / Englande hathe had many kynges / thoughe the language and peple were one. And thus beynge in doubt whether of the $m$ he myght fteppe vnto / by chaunce he ftrake the chaunceller in ftede of the kynge / and llewe hym / wherfore whan he was taken and brought before the kynge / for to puniffhe his hande that had fayled in takynge one for an other / and agayne to fhewe the kyng howe lytle he cared for his menaces he thraft his hande into the fyre / whiche at that tyme was there prepared for facrifyce / and there in the flame let it brenne / nat ones mouynge it. The kynge greatly [C iv b] merueylynge at his audacitie and hardy nature / commended hym greatly thereof / and bad hym go his way free. For the which (as though he wolde make the kynge a great amendes) he fayned that .iii. C. of the nobleft yonge men of Rome had confpyred togyther in lyke maner euery one after another vnwares to flee hym / and all to put theyr bodyes and lyues in hafarde tyll tyme fhulde
${ }^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{B}$. the.
chaunce that one myght acheue theyr entent. For fere whereof the kynge furthwith fel at a pointement with the Romaines / and departed. The yonge man after warde was named Sceuola/whiche is as muche to fay in Englyffh as lefte handed. For as I haue reherfed afore / he brente his ryght hande / so that he had lofte the vfe therof.

If any oratour wolde in an oracyon commende this dede / he myght conueniently make the preface on this fafhyon. ${ }^{\text { }}$

There is no doubte my lordes and mayfters of Rome: but that the remembraunce of Sceuolas name is very pleafant vnto your audience / whiche with one acte that he dyd / endewed your citie with many \& greate commodyties. \&c.

This maner of preface is mofte conuenyent and beft annexyd to fuche maner of oracyons demonftratyues.
[C va] Neuer the leffe it is lawfull for vs to take our preface (yf it be our pleafure) oute of some circumftaunce / as out of the place that our oracion is made in / or out of the tyme that we fpake ${ }^{2}$ in / or els otherwyfe accordynge as we fhall haue occafyon. As Tullye / in the oracyon that he made for the reftitucyon of Marcus Marcellus / in the whiche he prayfeth Cezare for the callynge home of the fayd Marcus mercellus out of exyle / he taketh his preamble out of the tyme \& Cezares perfon / begynnyng thus.

This daye my lordes Senatoures hathe made an ende of the longe fcilence that I haue kepte a great whyle / nat for any fere that I had / but part for great forowe that was in me / and partly for fhame / this daye as I fayd hathe taken away that longe fcilence / ye / and befyde that of newe brought to me lufte and mynde to fpeke what I wolde / and what I thought mofte expedient / lyke as I was afore wont to do. For I can nat in no manner of wyfe refrayne / but I mufte nedes fpeke of the great mekenes of Cezare / of the gracioufnes that is in hym / fo habundant and fo great withall / that neuer afore any fuche hathe ben wont to be fene or harde of / and alfo of the excellent good moderacyon of all thynges whiche is in hym that hathe $[\mathrm{C} v \mathrm{~b}]$ all in his own mere power. Nor I can nat let paffe his excellent incredible / and diuine wyfdome vnfpoken of / afore you at thys tyme.

[^25]
## Of the Narracion.

In this kynde we vfe but felden hole narracions / oneles we make our oracion afore them that knowe nat the hiftory of the acte or dede whiche we be aboute to praife. But in ftede of a narracion we vfe a propofycion / on this maner.

Amonge all the noble dedes Cefar ${ }^{\text {r }}$ that you haue done there is non that is more worthy to be prayfed then this reftitufion of Marke Marcell.

Of Confyrmacion / which is the fyrfte parte of Contencion.
The places of confyrmacyon are honefty / perfite ${ }^{2}$ lyghtnes or hardines of the ${ }^{3}$ dede. For after the proheme of the oracion and the narracyon / then go we to the prouynge of our mater. Fyrft fhewing that it was a very honefte dede. And next / that it was nat all onely honefty : but alfo profitable. Thyrdely as concernynge the easines or difficulti / the praife therof mufte be confydered / part in the doer / part in the dede. An eafy dede deferueth no great prayfe / but an harde \& a ieoperdoufe thyng / the foner and the lyghtlyter it is acheued / the [C vi a] more it is to be lauded. The honefty of the caufe is fet from the nature of the thynge that is fpoken of / whiche place lieth in the wytte of the oratour / and maye alfo be fet out of the phylofophers bokes. It is alfo copiofely declared of Rhetorycyens / and very compendioufly handled of Erafmus in his boke / entituled of the maner \& crafte to make epiftles / in the chapitre of a perfuadynge epiftle. The profyte of the dede / or the commoditie may be fet at the circumftaunce of it. Circumftaunces are thefe / what was done / who dyd it / whan / where it was done / amonge whom / by whofe helpe.

As if one wolde praife Sceuolaes acte / of the which mencion was made afore, he may whan he cometh to the places of contencion / fhew fyrfte howe honeft a dede it is for any man to put his lyfe in ieoperdy for the defence of his contrey / whiche is fo much the more to be commended that it came of his owne mynde / and nat by the inftigacion of any other / and howe profitable it was to the citie to remoue fo ftronge and puyffaunt an enemy by fo good and crafty policy / what tyme the citie was nat wel affured of all mennes myndes that were within the walles / confideryng that but a lytle

[^26]afore many noble yonge men were detecte of treafon in the fame butines. And [C vi b] then alfo the citie was almofte deftitute of vitailes / and all other commodities neceffary for the defence.

Lyke wyfe eafynes or difficultie are conteyned in the circumftaunces of the caufe. As in the example nowe fpoken of / what an harde enterprife it is for one man to entre into a kynges armye / and to come to the kynges pauilion in the face of his fouldiers to aduenture to flee hym.

Of the feconde part of contencion / called confutacion.
Confutacion is the foilynge of fuche argumentes as maye be induced agaynfte our purpofe / whiche parte is but lytle vfed in an oracion demonftratiue. Neuer the leffe / fomtyme may chaunce a thynge that mufte be eyther defended or els at the lefte ${ }^{\mathrm{T}}$ excufed. As if any man wolde fpeke of Camillus dede / wherby he recouered his contrey / \& delyuered it fro $m$ the handes of the Frenche men. Here mufte be declared that the bargayne made afore was nat by Camilus violate.

## Of the conclufion.

The conclufion is made of a brife enumeracion of fuche thynges that we haue fpoken of afore in the oracyon and in mouynge of affections.

In delectable thinges or fuche thinges [C vii a] that haue bene well done / we moue our audyence to reioce thereat / and to do lyke.

In fad thynges and heuy / to be fory for them. In yll and peruerfe actes / to beware that they folowe nat them to theyr great fhame and confufyon.

Of an oracion demonftratyue / wherin are praifed neither perfones nor actes / but fome other thynge ${ }^{2}$ / as religion / matrimony / or fuche other.

The befte begynnynge wyl be if it be taken out of fome hygh prayfe of the thynge. But a man maye alfo begyne otherwyfe / eyther at his owne perfon or at theyrs afore whom he fpeketh / or at the place in the whiche he fpeketh / or at the feafon prefent / or otherwyfe / as hathe afore ben fpecified / and here muft we take good hede that yf we take vpon vs to praife any thynge that is $n o^{8}$

[^27]praife worthy / than mufte we vfe infinuacyon / and excufe the turpitude / either by examples or by argumentes / as Erafmus dothe in his epiftle prefixed afore his oracyon made to the prayfe of folyfihnes / of whiche I haue let paffe the tranflacyon becaufe the epiftle is fomwhat longe.

## The narracyon.

In this maner of oracyon is no narracyon / but in ftede therof the Rhetorycyens [C vii b] al only propofe the mater. And this propofion is in the ftede of the narracyon.

A very elegant example is in the oracion that Angele Politiane made to the laude of hiftories / whiche is this. Amonge all maner of wryters by whome either the Greke tounge or the latine hathe bene in floure and excellence / without doubte me femeth that they dyd moft profyte to mankynde / by whom the excellent dedes of nacyons / prynces / or valyant men haue bene truely defcryued and put in cronicles.

Lykewyfe yf a man prayfe peace / and fhewe what a commodioufe thynge it is he maye make fuche a propofycon.

Amonge all the thynges whiche perteine to mannes commoditie / of what fomeuer condycon or nature fo euer they be / non is fo excellent and fo worthy to be had in honour and loue / as is peace.

The confyrmacyon.
The places of confyrmacyon be in this oracyon. The fame that were in the other (of whom mencion was made afore / honefty / profyte / eafynes / or difficulty. Honefty is confydered in the nature of the thynge / alfo in the perfones that haue excercyfed it / and the inuenters therof. And in the auctour of it. As in the laude of matrymony be confydered the [C viii a] auctour thereof / whiche was god hym felfe / the antiquite that it was made in the fyrft begynnynge of the world / \& continued (as reafon is) to this hour in great honour and reuerence. The perfones that haue vfed it / were bothe patriarches / as Abraham. Prophetes / as Dauyd / Apoftels / as faynt Peter. Martyrs / faynt Euftache / And confeffours as faynt Edwarde. And (whiche thynge was fyrfte propofed) the nature therof is fuche / that without it : man fhuld be lyke vnto befte / oneles all generacyon fhulde be put aparte. And the com-
maundement of almighty god not regarded / who bad man \& woman fhuld engender \& multiply.

Profite and eafines is confidered in the circumftaunces. Examples may be taken out of Polycyans oracyons / made to the laude of hyftoryes. And two oracyons of Erafmus one to the laude of phyfike / and an other to the laude of matrymony.

## Of confutacyon.

Confutacyon hathe contrary places to confyrmacyon.

## Of the conclufyon.

The periode or conclufyon ftandethe in the bryefe enumeracyon of thynges fpoken afore / and in mouynge the affectyons / as hathe bene aboue expreffed.
[C viii b] Of an oracyon deliberatiue.

An oracion deliberatiue is by the whiche we perfuade or diffuade any thing / and by the which we afke / or whereby we exorte any man to do a thynge / or els to forfake it / and this kynde of oracion is muche in vse / nat onely in ciuile maters : but alfo in epiftles.

## Of the preamble.

We may begynne our oracion in this kynde / euyn lyke as we dyd in an oracyon demonftratyue / but mofte aptly at our offyce or duety / lefte fome men wolde thynke that we dyd it more of a priuate affection for our owne commoditie \& plefure: than for any other mannes profyte.

And in this maner Saluft in his boke of Cathelyne bryngethe in Cezare / begynnynge an oracyon. But let vs here nowe what Cezar fayeth.

All men my lordes Senatoures whiche fyt councellyng vpon any doubtfull maner / mufte be voyde of hatred / frendfhyppe / anger / pitye / or mercye. For where any of thefe thynges bere a rule / mannes minde can nat lightely perceiue ${ }^{1}$ the truthe. \&c.

Or els we may begyn at the gretenes ${ }^{2}$ of the mater / or daunger of the thyng that we fpeke of / as in the fyfte boke of Liuius Camillus maketh the preamble of his oracion thus.

[^28][D i a] My mayfters of this Citie of Ardea / whiche haue ben alwayes myne old frendes / \& nowe (by reafon of myne exyle out of Rome) my newe neyghbours and citizens. For I thanke you of your goodnes you haue promyfed that it fhulde fo be / \& on the other fyde my fortune hath conftrayned me to feke fome newe dwellyng out of the citie where I was brought vp and enhabyted. I wolde nat that any of you fhulde thynke that I am nowe come. amonge you nat remembrynge my condicyon and ftate / but the comon ieopardy that we be all nowe in / wyll compell euery man to open and fhewe the befte remedy that he knowethe for our focoure in this great fere and neceffyty.

Natwithftandynge this / a man maye take his begynnynge otherwyfe / after any of the facyons afore recyted / if he lyfte.

Tully in the oracion / wherin he aduifed the Romaynes to make Pompey theyr chyefe capytayne againfte Mythrydates and Tygranes / kynges of Ponthus and Armeny / taketh in the preface beneuolence from his owne perfon / fhewynge by what occacyon he myght lawfully gyue councell to the Romaynes / bycaufe he was electe Pretor of the citie. We may alfo touche our aduerfaryes in the preface / or els we may [D i b] touche the maners / either of fome feuerall perfons / or of the commons in general. As in the oracyon that Porcyus Cato made agaynfte the fumptuoufnes of the women of Rome / thus. ${ }^{\text {r }}$

If euery man my lordes and maifters of this citie wolde obferue and kepe the ryght and maiefty of a man agaynfte his owne wyfe / we fhulde haue ferre leffe encombrance nowe with the hole thronge than we haue. But nowe our fredome \& lybertie is ouercome within our owne dores by the importunatnes of our wyues / and fo audicitie $^{2}$ take $n$ therof here troden vnder the fete / and oppreffed in the parlyament houfe! And by caufe we wold nat difpleafe no man his owne wyfe at home: here are we nowe combred with all / gathered togyder on a hepe / \& brought in that takinge that we dare nat ones open our lyppes agaynfte them. \&c.

We may alfo begyn at the nature of the tyme that we fpeke in/ or at the nature of the place / or at any other circumftaunce or thynge incident. As Liuius in the .ix. boke of his fourthe decade agaynfte the feaftes that the Romaynes kept in the honour of the
${ }^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{B}$. adds begynnynge.
${ }^{2}$ B. audacitie.
ydolyfhe god Bacchus / begynneth his oracyon at prayenge on this wyfe.
[D ii a] The folempne makynge of prayers vnto the goddes was neuer fo apte nor yet fo neceffary in any oracyon as it is in this / whiche fhall fhewe and admonyfhe you that they be very \& right goddes / whom our elders haue ordeyned to be worfhypped / adoured / and prayed vnto.

Bryefly in all prefaces belongynge to oracyons delyberatyues the offyce of the perfon: \& the neceffytye or commodytye of the matter that we treate of are confydered.

## The narracyon.

In oracyons dylyberatyues ${ }^{\mathrm{T}}$ we ve very feldome narracyons / but for the more parte in ftede of the $m$ we make a bryef propofyon conteynynge the fumme of our entent. As nowe adayes nothynge is fo neceffary as to labour to brynge thefe diffencyons that be in the churche to a perfecte vnite and concorde / that accordynge to Chriftes fayenges / there be but one fhepherde and one folde. Neuertheles we vfe fometyme briefe narracyons/whan that fomethynge hathe bene done all redy of that that we gyue our councel vpon / as in the aboue fayd oracion that 'Tuly made for Pompey / where he maketh this narracyon.

Great \& very perillous warre is made bothe agaynfte your tributours / and alfo them that bothe confederate with you / [D ii b] and by you called your felowes / whiche warre is moued by two ryght myghty kynges / Mythrydates and Tigranes. \&c.

After this maner is a narracyon in the oracion that Haniball made to Scipio / \& is conteined in the .x. boke of the .iii. decade of Liuius / ryght proper and elegant without any preface ${ }^{2}$ beginning his narracion thus. 致等

If it hathe ben ordeined by my fortune and defteny that I whiche fyrfte of all the Carthaginois began warre with the Romayns / and whiche haue almofte had the victory fo often in myne handes / fhuld now come of myne owne mynde to afke peace. I am glad that fortune hathe prepared that I fhulde afke it of you fpecially. And amonge all your noble landes ${ }^{3}$ this fhall not be one of the lefte ${ }^{4}$ that Hanibal gaue ouer to you / to whom the goddes had gyuen

[^29]afore the vyctorye ouer fo many capitains of the Romaynes / and that ${ }^{1}$ it was your lucke to make an ende of this warre / in the whiche the Romayns haue had ferre mo euyl chaunces than we of Carthagene. And whether it were my deftene or chaunce that ought me this f kornefull fhame. I whiche began the warre whan your father was Corfull and after ioyned batayle with him whan he was made Capitayne of the Romayns army/mufte nowe come vnarmed [D iii a] to his fon to afke peace of hym. It had ben befte for bothe parties if it had pleafed the goddes to haue fent our fore faders that mynde / that you of Rome wolde have ben content with the Empyre of Italy / \& we Caraginoys ${ }^{2}$ with Affryke. For neyther Sifill ${ }^{3}$ nor Sardynya can be any fuffycient amendes to eyther of vs for fo many naueis fo many armies / fo many and fo excellent capitaines lofte in our warres betwene vs, but thynges paffed / may foner be blamed than mended. we of Cartagene ${ }^{+}$(as touching our parte) haue fo coueted other dominions that at lengthe we had bufines ynough to defende our poffeffions. Nor the war hathe nat bene only with you in Italy or with vs onely in Affryke : but at the pleafure of fortune fometyme here and fome there / in fo muche that you my maifters of Rome haue fene the ftanderdes and armes of your enemyes harde at your walles and gates of the citie. And we on the other fyde haue herde the noyfe out of your camps ${ }^{5}$ into our citie.

After the narracyon ought to folowe immadiately the propofycyon of our councell or aduife. As after the narracion of Haniball afore reherced / foloweth the propofycyon of his purpofe thus.
[D iii b] That thynge is nowe entreated while fortune is fauorable vnto you / that we ought mofte to abhorre / and you furely ought aboue all thynges to defyre / that is to haue peace. And it is mofte for the profyte of vs two / whiche haue the mater in handelynge that peace be had. And fure we be / that what fo euer we agre vpon our cities wyll ratyfye the fame.

Nexte foloweth the confirmacion of tho thygnes that we entende to perfuade / whiche muft be fet out of the places of honifty / profyte / eafynes / of ${ }^{6}$ difficulty. As if we wyll perfuade any thynge to be done / we fhall fhewe that it is nat onely honeft
${ }^{\mathrm{x}}$ B. than.
4 B. Carthagene.
${ }^{2}$ B. Carthaginoys.
5 B. campe.
${ }^{3}$ B. Sicil.
${ }^{6}$ B. eafines / or.
and laudable: but all fo profytable and eafy ynough to perfourme. Or if we can nat chofe but graunte that it is harde / yet we fhall fhew that it is fo honefte a dede / fo worthy prayfe and befydes fo great commodity wyll come therof / that the hardenes ought in no wyfe to fere vs: but rather be as an inftigacyon to take the thynge on hande / remembrynge the greke prouerbe. Scisnola ta nala / that is to fay / all excellent and commendable thynges be harde and of dyffyculty.

In honefty are comprehended all vertues / as wyfedome / iuftice / due loue to god / and to our parentes / lyberality / pyty ${ }^{\text {r }}$ / conftance / temperance. And therfore he that wyll for [D iiii a] the confyrming of his purpofe declare and proue that it is honeft and commendable that he entendeth to perfuade hym : behoueth to haue perfyte knowlege of the natures of vertues. And all fo to haue in redy reme $m$ braunce fentences bothe of fcripture and of philofophy / as oratours and poetes / and befyde thefe / examples of hiftoryes / for garnyffhynge of his maters.

As concernynge the place of vtilite / we must in all caufes loke if we may haue any argumentes wherby we may proue that our councell is of fuche neceffity / that it can nat be chofen but they muft nedes folowe it / for tho ${ }^{2}$ argumentes be of ferre greater ftrengthe than they that do but onely proue the vtilitie of the mater. But if we can haue no fuche neceffary reafons / than we mufte ferche out argumentes to proue our mynde to be profytable by circumftances of the caufe. In lyke maner to perfuade a thynge by the eafines therof / or diffuade it by the difficulty of the thynge / we mufte haue refpect to poffibiliti or impoffibilite / for thefe proues are of ftrenger nature tha $n$ the other / and he that wyll fhewe that a thynge may be done eafely: muft prefuppofe the poffibilete therof. As he on the other fyde that wyll perfuade a thynge nat to be done / yf he fhewe and manyfefte that it is [D iiii b] impofiible / argueth more ftrongely than if he could but only proue difficulty in it. For as I fayd afore ${ }^{3}$ many thynges of difficulty yet may be the rather to be taken in ${ }^{4}$ ha $n$ de / that they may get them that acheue them the greater fame and prayfe. And thefe argumentes be fet out of the circumftances of the caufe / that is to faye / the tyme / the place / the doers / the thyng it felfe / the

[^30]meanes whereby it fhulde be done / the caufes wherefore it fhulde be done or nat / the helpes or impedimentes that may be therin. In this purpofe examples of hiftories are of great effycacy.

The confutacyon is the foylynge and refellynge of other mennes fayenges that haue or myght be brought agaynfte our purpofe / wherefore it confyfteth in places contrary to the places of confyrmacyon / as in prouynge the fayenge ${ }^{\mathrm{x}}$ of the contrary part / neyther to be honefte nor profytable / nor eafy to perfourme / or els vtterly impoffyble.

The conclufyon ftandeth in two thinges ${ }^{2}$ / that is to faye / a bryefe and compendioufe repetynge of all our reafons that we haue brought for vs afore / and in mouyng of affectyons. And fo dothe Ulyffes conclude his oracyon in the .xiii. boke of Ouide Metamorphofy.
[Dva] Of the thyrde kynde of oracyons / called Judiciall.
Oracyons iudiciall be that longe to controuerfies in the lawe and plees / whiche kynde of oracion in old tyme longed onely to Judges and men of lawe / but nowe for the more parte it is neglecte of them / though there be nothynge more neceffarye to quicke $n$ the $m$ in crafty \& wyfe handeling of theyr maters.

In thefe oracions the fyrfte is to fynde out the fate of the caufe / whiche is a fhort prepoficion ${ }^{3}$ / conteynynge the hole effect of all the controuerfies. As in the oracion of Tully / made for Mylo / of the whiche I made mencyon in the begynnynge of my boke. The ftate of the caufe is this. Mylo flewe Clodius lawfully / whyche thynge his aduerfaries denyed / and yf Tully can proue it / the plee is wonne. Here muft be borne away that there be thre maner of ftates in fuche oracyons.

The fyrfte is called coniecturall. The fecond legitime. The thyrde / iudiciall / and euery of thefe hathe his owne proper places to fet out argumentes of the $m$, wherfore they fhall be fpoken of feuerally. And fyrfte we wyll treate of ftate coniecturall / whiche is vfed whan we be certayne that the dede is done/but we be ignorant who [D v b] dyd it/and yet by certayne coniectures we haue one fufpecte / that of very lykelyhode it fhulde be he that hathe commytted the cryme. And therfore this ftate is called coniecturall / bycaufe we have no manyfefte profe / but

[^31]all onely great lykelyhodes / or as the Rhetoriciens call the $m$ / coniectures.

Example.

There was a great contencion in the Grekes army afore Troye betwene Uliffes and Aiax / after the dethe of Achelles / whiche of them fhulde haue his armour as nexte to the fayd Achilles in valiauntnes. In whiche controuerfye whan the Grekes hadde judged the fayde armour vnto Uliffes / Aiax for very great difdayne fel out of his mynde / and fhortly after in a wode nygh to the hofte / after he had knowen (whan he cain agayne to him felfe) what folyffhe prankes he had played in the tyme of his phrenefy / for forow and fhame he flewe hym felfe. Sone vpon this dede cam Uliffes by / whiche feynge Aiax thruft thrughe with a fwerde: cam to hym, and as he was about to put out the fwerd / the frendes of Aiax chaunced to come the fame way/which feying theyr frende deade / and his olde enemy pullynge out a fwerde of his body / they accufed hym of murder.
[D vi a] In very dede here was no profe. For of truthe Uliffes was nat gylty in the caufe. Neuer theles the enuye that was betwene Aiax and hym: made the nater to be nat a lytle ${ }^{x}$ fufpecte / fpecyally for that he was founde there with the fayd Aiax alone / wherefore the ftate of the plee was coniectural/ whether Uliffes flewe Aiax or nat.

## The Preface.

The preface is here euyn as it is in other oracions. For we begyn accordynge to the nature of the caufe that we haue on hande / either in blamyng our aduerfary / or els mouying the herers to have pity on our client. Or els we begyn at our owne perfon / or at the praife of the Juge. \&c.

The narracion.
The narracion or tale is the fhewynge of the dede in maner of an hiftorye / wherin the accufer mufte craftly entermengle many fufpicyons which fhall feme to make his mater prouable. As Tulli in his oracion for Milo / where in his narracyon he intendeth by certayne coniectures to fhewe that Clodius laye in wayte for Milo / he in his fayde narracyon handelethe that place thus.

[^32]In the meane feafon whan Clodius had knowledge that Milo had a lawfull and neceffary iourney to the city of ${ }^{x}$ Lauine the [D vib] .xiii. day afore the kalendes of Marche / to poynte who fhuld be hed prefte there / whiche thyng longed to Milo becaufe he was dictatour of that towne: Clodius fodaynely the day afore departed out of Rome to fet vpon Milo in a lordefhyp of his owne / as after was wel perceyued. And fuche hafte he made to be goynge that where as the people were gadered the fame day for maters wherin alfo he had greate ado hymfelfe / \& very neceffary it had bene for hym to haue bene there / yet this natwithftandyng / al other thynges aparte: he went his way / which you may be fure he wold neuer haue done / faue onely that he had fully determined to preuent a tyme and place conuenient for his malicius entent afore Miloes comyng.

In this pece of Tullies narracyon are entermengled fyrfte that Clodius knewe of Miloes goynge / whiche makethe the mater fufpecte that Clodius went afore to mete with him / for this was wel knowen afore that Clodius bare Milo great gruge ${ }^{2}$ \& malyce. Next is fhewed the place where as Clodius mete ${ }^{3}$ Milo / which alfo giueth a great fufpicion / for it was nygh Clodius place / where he myght fone take focour / \& the tother was in lefte ${ }^{4}$ affuraunce. Thyrdly that he departed out of the city / what time it had bene mofte expedient / ye and alfo [D vii a] greatly requifite for hym to haue bene at home. And that agayne maketh the mater fufpect / for furely he wolde nat (as Tully hym felfe fayeth) in no wyfe haue bene abfent at fuche a bufy tyme / onles it had bene for fome great purpofe / \& what other fhulde it feme than to flee Milo. As furely euedent ${ }^{5}$ it was that they buckled to gyther / and this was well knowen that Milo had a neceffary caufe to go furth of Rome at that tyme. Contraryly in Clodius coulde be perceyued none other occafyon to depart than out of the citie: but of lykelyhode to lye in wayte for Milo.

The propoficion.
Out of the narracion muft be gaderyd a bryfe fentence / wherein fhall ftande the hole pithe of the caufe / for Rhetoriciens put incontinent after the narracyon diuifyon/whiche is a part of conten-

[^33]cyon / and dothe bryefly fhewe wherin the controuerfy dothe ftande / or what thynges ${ }^{\text { }}$ fhalbe fpoken of in the oracion. This diuifion is deuyded into feiunction and diftribucion.

Seiunction is whan we fhewe wherin our aduerfaries and we agre / and what it is / wherupon we ftryue. As they that pledyd Clodius caufe agaynfte Milo/myght on this maner haue vfed feiunction. That Milo flewe Clodius: our aduerfaries can [D vii b] nat denaye / but whether he myght fo do lawfully or nat / is our controuerfy. Diftribucion is the propoficion wherein we declare of what thynges we wyll fpeke / of whiche yf we propofe howe many they be / it is called enumeracion / but yf we do nat expreffe the nombre / it is called expoficion.

Example of bothe is had in the oracion that Tully made to the people that Pompeyus myght be made chyefe capytayne of the warres agaynfte Mithridates and Tigranes / where after the preface and narracyon he maketh his propofycyon by expofycyon thus.

Fyrfte I thynke it expedyent to fpeke of the nature \& kynde of this warre / and after that of the greatnes thereof / and then to fhewe howe an hede or chyefe capytayne of any army fhulde be chofen.

Whiche lafte membre of his expofycyon he agayne diftributeth into foure partes thus as foloweth.

Truley ${ }^{2}$ this is myne opynyon / that he whiche fhall be a gouerner of an hooft / ought to have thefe foure propertyes in hym. The fyrfte is / that he haue perfyte knowlege of all fuche thynges as longeth to warre. The feconde is that he be a man of his handes. The thyrde that he be a man of fuche auctority : that his dignity maye [D viii a] caufe his fouldiers to haue hym in reuerence \& awe. The fourth is that he be fortunate \& lucky in all thynges that he goeth about.

Tully in the oracion for Milo propofeth all onely fhewynge wherin the controuerfy of the plee dyd ftande on thys maner as ${ }^{3}$ follyweth. ${ }^{3}$

Is there any thynge els that muft be tryed \& iudged in this caufe faue this: whether of them bothe beganne the fraye $\&$ entended to murder the tother ? No surely. So that yf it can be founden that Milo went about to diftroye Clodius / than he be punyffed therfore accordyngly. But yf it can be proued that Clodius was the

[^34]begynner and layed wayte for to flee Milo / and fo was the fercher of his owne dethe / \& that what Milo dyd it was but to defende hym felfe from the treafon of his enyme ${ }^{1} \&$ the fauegarde of his lyfe: that than he may be delyuered and quyte. ${ }^{2}$

## Of confyrmacion.

The confyrmacyon of the accufer is fetched out of thefe places $;$ wyl / and power. For thefe two thynges wyll caufe the perfon that is accufed to be greatly fufpecte that he had wyl to do the thyng that he is accufed of / and that he myght well ${ }^{3}$ ynoughe brynge it to paffe.

To proue that he had wyll therto : you mult go to .ii. places. The one is the qualite [ $D$ viii b ] of the perfone / and the other is the caufe that meuyd hym to the dede. The qualite of the perfon is thus handled. For to loke what is his name or furname / and if it be noughty to faye that he had it nat for nothyng : but that nature had fuch prym power in men to make them gyue names accordynge to the maners of euery perfon. Than next to behold his contrey. So Tully in his oracion made for Lucius Flaccus to improue the wytnes that was brought agaynft hym by Grekes / layth vnto the $m$ the lyghtnes of theyr contrey. This (fayeth Tully) do I faye of the hole nacion of Grekes. I graunte to them that they haue good lernynge / and the knowlege of many fcyences. Nor I denye nat but that they haue a pleafant and marueyloufe fwete fpeche. They are alfo people of hygh and excellent quycke wytte and thereto they be very facundioufe. Thefe and fuche other qualities wherin they boofte them felfe greatly: I wyll nat repyne agaynft it that they bere the mayftry therin. But as concernynge equitie and good confcience / requifite / in berynge of recorde / or gyuynge of any wytnes / \& alfo as touchynge faythfulnes of worde and promyfe : truely this nacion neuer obferued this property, neyther they knewe nat what is the ftrength / [E i a] auctoritye / and weyght therof.

So to Englyffhmen is attributed fumptuoufnes in meates and drynkes. To Frenchemen / pryde / \& delyte in newe fantafyes. To Flemmynges and Almaynes / great drynkynge / and yet inuentyfe wyttes. To Brytayns / Gafcoignes / and Polones / larcyne. ${ }^{4}$

[^35]To Spanyerdes / agilitye. To ytalyens / hygh wyt and muche fubtylty. To Scottes / boldnes / to Iriffh men / haftines. To Boemes valiauntnes and tenacite of opynions. \&c.

After that to loke on his kynred / as yf his father or mother or other kynne were of yll difpoficion / for as the tre is: fuche fruite it berethe.

On this wyfe dothe Phillis entwyte Demophon / that his father Thefeus vncurteyfly and trayteroufly lefte his loue Ariadna alone in the defert yle of Naxus / and contrary to his promyfe fale from her by nyght / addynge. Heredem patria[e] perfide fraudis agis. That is to faye / vntrewe \& falfe forfworne man / thou playeft kyndely thy ${ }^{1}$ fathers heyre / in deceytable begylynge of thy true louer.

After that we muft loke vpon the fex / whether it be man or woman that we accufe / to fe yf any argument can be deducte out of it to our purpofe. As in men is noted [E i b] audacite / women be comonly tymeroufe. Than nexte / the age of the perfone. As in Therence Simo fpeketh of his fon Pamphilus / fayeth vnto his man called Sofia / howe couldeft thou knowe his condicions or nature afore / whyle his age and feare / and his mayfter dyd let it to be knowen.

Hipermeftra in Ouides epistels ioyneth thefe .ii. places of fexe \& age togyther thus.

I am a woman and a yonge mayden / mylde and gentyll / bothe by nature and yeres. My fofte handes are nat apte to fyers batayles.

After thefe folowe ftrength of body / or agylite / and quicknes of wyt / out of whiche may be brought many reafons to affyrme our purpofe. So Tully in his oracyon for Milo / wyllynge to proue that Clodius was the begynner of the fraye / fheweth that Milo (which was neuer wont but to haue men about hym) by chaunce at that tyme had in his company certayne Muficiens and maydens that wayted on his wyfe / whom he had fyttyng with hym in his wagen. Contraryly Clodius that was neuer wont afore but to ryde in a wagen \& to haue his wyfe with hym : at that tyme rode furth on horfebacke. And where as afore he was alwayes accuftomed to haue knaues and quenes in his company: [E ii a] he had the $n$ non but tal me $n^{2}$ with hym / \& (as who fhulde fay) men piked out for the nones.

To this is added forme / as to affay yf we can haue any argument

[^36][^37]to our purpofe out of the perfones face or countenance / and fo dothe Tully argue in his oracyon agaynfte Pyfo / fayenge on ${ }^{x}$ thys ${ }^{x}$ wyfe. ${ }^{\text {² }}$

Sefte ${ }^{2}$ thou nat nowe thou befte ${ }^{3}$ ? dofte thou nat nowe perceyue what is mennes complaynt on thy vyfage? there is non that complayneth that I wote nat what Surryen ${ }^{4} \&$ of theyr flocke whiche be but newly crepte vp to honour out of the donghyll is nowe made confull of the citie. For this feruile colour hathe nat deceiued vs nor hery cheke balles / nor rotten and fylthy tethe / thyn ${ }^{5}$ eyes / thy browes / forhed / and hole countenaunce / whiche in a maner dothe manifeft mennes condicyons and nature / it hath diceued vs.

This done / we muft confyder howe he hathe bene brought vp that we accufe / amonge whom he hathe lyued / and whereby / howe he gouernethe his houfhold / \& affay if we can pyke out of thefe ought for our purpofe. Alfo of what ftate he is of / fre or bond / ryche or pore / berynge offyce or nat / a man of good name / or otherwife / wherin he deliteth moft / whiche places do expreffe mannes lyuyng / and by his lyuynge: his wyll and mynde / as I [E ii b] wolde declare more fully / faue that in introductions men mufte labour to be fhort / \& agayne they are fuche that he that hath any perceyuynge may fone knowe what fhall make for his purpofe / and howe to fet it furthe. And therfore this fhall fuffyfe as touchynge the qualitie of the perfon.

If we bere away this for a generall rule (that what maketh for the accufer, euermore the contrary) is fure ftaye for the defender / yf he can proue it / or make it of the more lykelyhode. As Tully in defendynge Milo / layeth to Clodius frendes charges that he had none about hym but chofen men. And for to clere Milo he fheweth the contrary / that he had with hym fyngyng laddes and women feruantes that wayted on his wyfe / whiche maketh it of more likelyhod that Clodius wente about to flee Milo : than Milo hym.

The caufe that moueth to the myfchefe lyeth in two thinges. In naturall impulfyon / and raciocinacion.

Natural impulfion is angre / hatred / couetyfe / loue / or fuche other affections.

So Simo in Therence / whan he had fayd that Dauus (whom he had poynted to wayt vpon his fonne Pamphilus) wolde do all that myght lye in hym bothe with hande and fote / rather to dyfpleafe hym :
${ }^{1}$ Omitted in B.
${ }^{3}$ B. beeft.
${ }^{2}$ B. feeft.
${ }^{4}$ B. Surrien.
${ }^{5}$ B. thyne.
then to [E iii a] pleafe Pamphilus mynde. And Sofia demaunded why he wolde do fo. Simo made aunfwere by raciocinacion / fayenge / dofte thou afke that: mary his vngracious and vnhappy mynd is the caufe therof. Oenon in Ovides epiftles ioyneth togyther qualytte and naturall impulfyon / fayenge A iuuene et Cupido credatur reddita virgo? whiche is in Englyff he. Thynke you that fhe that was caried awaye of a yonge man / and hote in loue / was reftored agayne a mayde ?
'Iully in the oracion for Milo / amonge other argumentes bryngeth in one againft Clodius by naturall impulfion of hatred / fhewynge that Clodius had caufe to hate Milo fyrft / for he was one of them that laboured for the fame Tullyes reuocacyon from exyle / whiche Tulli Clodius malicioufly hated. Agayne that Milo oppreffyd many of his furioufe purpofes. And fynally bycaufe the fayd Milo accufed hym and cafte hym afore the Senate and people of Rome.

Raciocinacion is that cometh of hope of any commodity / or to efchewe any difcommodity. As Tully argueth in his oracion for Milo agaynft Clodius by raciocinacion to proue that it was he that laide wayt for Milo on this maner.
[E iii b] It is fufficient to proue that this cruel and wicked befte ${ }^{x}$ had a great caufe to flee Milo / yf he wolde brynge his maters that he went aboute to paffe / and great hope if he were ones gone / nat to be letted in his pretenced malyce.

After raciocinacion folowyth comprobacion / to fhewe that no man els had any caufe to go there about / faue he whome we accaufe / nor no profyte coulde come to no man thereof: faue to hym.

Thefe are the wayes whereby an oratour shal proue that the perfone accufed had wyl to the thynge that is layde to his charge.

To proue that he might do it ; ye muft go to the circumftance of the caufe / as that he had lyefer ${ }^{3}$ ynough thereto and place conuenient and ftrength withall.

Alfo you fhall proue it by fygnes / which are of merueyloufe efficacye in this behalfe / wherfore here mufte be noted that fygnes be eyther wordes or dedes that eyther dyd go before or els folowe the dede. As Tully in his oracion nowe often alledged argueth agaynft Clodius by fygnes goyng afore the dede / as that Clodius

[^38]sayd thre days afore Milo was flayne: that he fhulde nat lyue thre ${ }^{1}$ dayes to an ende. And that he went out of the city a lytle afore Milo rode furthe with a greate company of fronge [E iiii a] and myfcheuous knaves.

Signes folowynge are as yf after the dede was done he fled / or els whan it was layed to his charge: he bluffhed or waxed pale / or ftutted and coulde nat well fpeke.

The contrary places (as I fayd afore) long to the defender / faue that in fignes he muft vfe .ii. thinges / abfolution and inuencion. ${ }^{2}$

Abfolucyon is wherby the defendour fheweth that it is laufull for hym to do that what the aduerfary bryngeth in for a figne of his malyce.

## Example.

A man is founde coueryng of a dede body / and therupon accufed of murder / he may anfwere that it is laufull to do fo for the preferuacyon of his body from rauons and other that wold deuoure hym / tyll tyme he had warned people to fetche \& bury hym.

Inuencion ${ }^{3}$ is wherby we fhewe that the figne whiche is brought agaynfte vs : maketh for vs. As I wolde nat haue taryed to couer hym yf I had done the dede my felfe: but haue fled and fhronke afyde into fome other way for feare of takynge.

## Of the conclution.

The conclufion is as I haue fayd afore in ${ }^{4}$ briefe repetynge of the effecte of our reafons / \& in mouynge the Judges to our [E iv b] purpofe. The accufer to punyffhe the person ${ }^{5}$ accufed. The defender / to moue him to pity.

Of the ftate iuridiciall / and
the handelynge therof.
As fate coniecturall cometh out of this queftyon (who dyd the dede) fo whan there is no dout ${ }^{6}$ but that the dede is done / and who dyd it / many tymes controuerfy is had / whether it hathe bene done laufully or nat. And this ftate is negociall or iuridiciall /
${ }^{r}$ From B. In A. he that shulde lyue thre dayes.
${ }^{2}$ B. Invercion ; Lat., inversionem.
${ }^{3}$ B. inuercion.
${ }^{5}$ B. perfone.
4 in added from B.
${ }^{6}$ B. doubt.
whiche conteyneth the ryght or wronge of the dede. As in the oracion of Tully for Milo / the ftate is iuridiciall / for open it was that Clodius was flayn / and that Milo flewe hym / but whether he kylled hym laufully or nat: is the controuerfy \& ftate of the caufe / as I haue afore declared.

The preamble and narracion as afore.
The confirmacion hath certayn places appropred thereto / but here mufte be marked that ftate negocyall is double / abfolute / and affumptyue.

State negociall abfolute is whan the thynge that is in controuerfy is abfolutely defended to be laufully done. As in the oracion of Tully for Milo / the dede is ftyfly affirmed to be laufully done in fleyng Clodius / feynge that Milo dyd it in his owne [E v a] defence / for the lawe permitted to repell violence violently.

The places of confirmacyon in ftate abfolute are theie / nature / lawe / cuftome / equity or reafon / iugement / neceffity / bargayne or couenant. Of the whiche places Tully in his oracion for Milo bringeth in the more parte to gyther in a clufter on this maner.

If reafon hath prefcrybed this to lerned and wyfe men / and neceffity hathe dryuen it into barbours and rude folke / \& cuftome kepeth it among all nacions/ and nature hathe planted it in bruyte beftes ${ }^{1}$ / that euery creature fhulde defende hym felfe and faue his lyfe and his body from all violence by any maner of focour / what meanes or way fo euer it were. You can nat iuge this dede euyll done / except you wyll iudge that whan men mete with theuys or murderers / they mufte eyther be flayne by the wepons of fuche vnthryfty and malycious perfones: eyther els peryffhe by your fentence gyuen in iudgement vpon them.

State affumptyue is whan the defence is feble of it felfe / but yet it may be holpen by fome other thynge added to it. And the places longynge to this ftate are grauntynge of the faute / remouyng of the faute / or (as we fay in our tongue) layeng it from vs to an other / \& tranflatynge of the faute.
[Evb] Grauntyny of the faute is whan the perion accufed denieth nat the dede / but yet he defyreth to be forgyuen / \& it hath ii. places mo annexyd to it / purgacion \& deprecacion.

Purgacion is whan he fayeth he dyd it nat malicioufly: but by

[^39]ignorance or mifhap whiche place Cato vfeth ironioufly in Saluft / thus: My mynde is that ye haue pity with you / for they that haue done amyffe be but very yonge men / \& defyre of honour draue them to it.

Deprecacion is whan we haue non excufe: but we call vpon the Juftices mercy. The handelynge wherof Tully wryteth in his boke of inuencion thus.

He that laboreth to be forgyuen of his faut / muft reherce (yf he can) fome benefytes of his / done afore tyme / and fhewe tha they be farre greater in theyr nature than is the cryme that he hathe commytted / fo that (how be it he hath done greatly amyffe) yet the goodes ${ }^{\mathrm{x}}$ of his fore merites are farre bygger / and fo may wel oppreffe this one faut. Nexte after that it behoueth hym to haue refuge to the merytes of his elders / yf there be any / and to open them. That done / he muft retourne to the place of purgacion / and fhewe that he dyd nat the dede for any hate or malyce / but either by folyffhness / or els by the entifement [E vi a] of fome other / or for fome prouable caufe. And then promife faithfully that this faut fhall teche hym to beware from thens forth and alfo that theyr benefytes that forgyue hym fhal bynde hym affuredly neuer to do fo more / but perpetually to abhorre any fuche offence / and with that to fhewe some great hope ones to make them a great recompence \& pleafure therfore agayne. After this let hym (yf he can) declare fome kynred betwene the $m \&$ hym / or frendfhyp of his elders / \& amplifye the greatenes of his feruice \& good harte towarde them / yf it fhall pleafe them to forgiue this faut / \& adde the nobylity of them that would fayne haue hym delyuered. And than he fhall foberly declare his owne vertues \& fuche thynges as be in hym perteynynge to honefte and prayfe / that he may by thefe meanes feme rather worthy to be auaunced in honour for his good qualities / than to be punifhed for his fall.

This done / let hym reherse fome other that haue be forgyuen greater fautes then this is. It fhall alfo greatly auayle yf he can fhewe that he hath in tyme afore ben in auctoritie and bare a rule ouer other / in the whiche he was neuer but gentyll and glad to forgyue the $m$ that had offended vnderneth hym. And then let hym extenuate [E vi b] his own faute / and fhewe that there folowed nat fo great damage therof / and that but lytle profyte or

[^40]honefty wyll folowe of his puniffhment. And finally then by comon places to moue the iudge to mercy \& pytie vpon hym.

The aduerfary muft (as I haue fhewed afore) vfe for his purpofe contrary places.

Some Rhetoriciens put no mo places of deprecacion than only this that is here laft reherced of Tulli / that is to do our beft to moue the iuftice to mercy and pity.

Remocion of the faute is whan we put it from vs and lay it to another.

## Example.

The Venecians haue commaunded certayne to go in ambaffade to Englande / and therupon appointed the $m$ what they fhal haue to bere their charges / whiche money affigned: they can nat get of the treafourer : At the daye appoynted they go nat / wherupon they are accufed to the Senate. Here they muft ley the faut from them to the treafourer / which difpatched them nat accordyng/ as it was ordeyned that he fhulde.

Tranflacion of the faut is / whan, he that confeffeth his faut fayeth that he dyd it: moued by the indignacion of the malycyoufe dede of an other.
[E vii a] Example.
Kynge Agamennon / whiche was chief capitayne of the Grekes at the fiege of Troye / whan he cam home was flayne of Egiftus by the treafon of Cliteneftra his owne wyfe / whiche murder his fonne Oreftes feynge / whan he cam to mannes ftate / reuenged his fathers deathe on his mother/and flewe her/wherupon he was accufed. Here Oreftes can nat deny but he flewe his mother: but he layeth for hym that his mothers abhominable iniury conftrayned him thereto / bycaufe fhe flewe his father.

And this is the handelynge of confyrmacyon in ftate affumptiue.
The conclufions in thefe oracyons are lyke to the conclufions of other.

Of ftate legitime / and the handelynge therof.
State legitime is whan the controuerfy ftandeth in definicyon or contrary lawes / or doutful wrytynges / or racyocynacyon / or tranflacyon.

## Of definicion.

Definicion (as Tully wryteth) is whan in any wrytynge is fome worde put / the fignificacion wherof requireth expoficion.
[E vii b] Example.
A lawe maye be made that fuche as forfake a fhyppe in tyme of tempeft fhulde lefe theyr ryght that they haue / eyther in the fhyppe or in any goodes within the fame veffell / \& that they fhall haue the lhyp \& the goodes that abyde ftyll in her.

It chaunced .ii. men to be in a lytle crayer / of the whiche veffell the one man was both owner and gouernour / and the other poffeffour of the goodes. And as they were in the mayne fee / they efpied one that was fwymmynge in the fee / and as well as he coulde holdyng vp his handes to them for focour / wherupon they (beyng moued with ${ }^{W}$ pytie) made towarde hym / \& toke hym vp. Within a lytle after arofe a greate tempeft vpon them / and put the $m$ in fuche ieopardy that the owner of the fhyp (which was alfo gouernour) lepte out of the fhyp into the fhyp bote / \& with the rope that tyed the bote to the fhyp : he gouerned the fhyp as well as he colde. The marchant that was within the fhyp / for great difpayre of the loffe of his goodes / wyllyng to flee hym felfe : threft hymfelfe in with his owne fiworde / but as it chaunced the wounde was neyther mortall nor very greuoufe / but natwithftandynge for that tyme he was vnable to do any good in helpyng the fhyp agaynft the impetuoufnes of the ftorme. The thyrde [E viii a] man (whiche nat longe afore had fuffered fhypwracke) gate hym to the fterne : and holpe the veffell the beft that laye in hym.

At length the ftorme feaced / and the fhyp came fafe into the hauen / bote and all. He that was hurt (by helpe of Chirurgiens) recouered anon. Nowe euery of thefe thre chalenge the fhyp \& goodes as his owne. Here euery man layeth for hym the lawe aboue reherced, and all theyr controuerfy lyeth in the expoundynge of thre wordes / abydynge in the fhyp / and forfakynge the fhyp / and what we fhal in fuch cafe cal the fhyp / whether the bote as part of the fhyp : or els the fhyp it felfe alone.

The handelynge hereof is. Fyrft in few wordes and plaine to declare the fignificacion of the worde to our purpofe / and after fuche maner as may feme refonable to the audience. Nexte / after
suche expoficion to declare and proue the fayd expoficion true / with as many argumentes as we can.

Thyrdely to ioyne our dede with the expoficion / \& to fhew that we onely dyd obferue the very entent of the lawe. Than to refell the expoficion of our aduerfaries / \& to fhew that theyr expoficion is contrary to reafon and equitie / and that no wyfe man wyll fo take the law as they expounde it / and that the expoficion is neither honeft nor profytable / [E viii b] and to confter theyr expoficion with oures / and to fhew that oures conteyneth the veritie and theyrs is falce. Oures honeft / reafonable / \& profitable : Theyrs clene contrarye. And then ferche out lyke examples / either of greater maters or of leffe / or els of egall maters / and to manifeft by the $m$ / that our mynde is the very truthe.

Contrary lawes are where the tone femeth euidently to contrarye the other. As yf a law were that he who $m$ his father hath forfaken for his fonne / fhall in no wyfe haue any porcion of his fathers goodes. And an other lawe / that who fo euer in tyme of tempeft abydeth in the fhyp : fhall haue the fhyp and goodes. Then pofe that one whiche was of his father fo abiecte \& denyed for his chylde : was in a fhyp of his fathers in tyme of fore wether / and whan al other for feare of lefynge themfelfe forfoke the fhyp and gate them into the bote : he onely abode / and by chaunce was fafe brought into the hauen / wherupon he chalengeth the veffel for his / where as the party defendant wyll lay agaynft hym that he is abdicate or forfake $n$ of his father / and fo can nat by the lawe haue any parte of his goodes. Here muft he fay agayn for hym that this law alleged doth all only priuate from theyr fathers goodes fuche as be abdicate \& yet [Fi a] wolde chalenge a part as his children / but that he doth nat fo / but requireth to haue the fhyp / nat as a fon to his father : but as any other ftraunger myght / feyng the law gyueth hym the fhyp that abydeth in her in tyme of neceffity. And fo the handelyng of this ftate / eyther to deny one of the lawes and fhewe that it ${ }^{1}$ hathe bene afore anulled / or els to expounde it after the fence that is mete to our purpofe.

Doubtful wrytynge is where either the mynde of the author femeth to be contrary to that that is wryten / which fom call wrytynge \& fentence / or els it is whan the wordes may be expounded dyuers wayes.

[^41]Example of the fyrft.
Men fay it is a law in Caleys that no ftraunger may go vppon the towne walles on payne of dethe. Now then pofe that in tyme of warre the towne beynge harde betieged / an alien dwellynge in the towne getteth hym to the walles amonge the fouldiers / \& doth more good than any one man agayn. Now after the fiege ended he is accufed for tranfgreffyng of the lawe / which in wordes is euidently againft him. But here the defendaunt muft declare the wryters mynde by circumftaunces / what ftraunger he dyd forbyd, and what tyme / and after what maner/and in what intent [F i b] he wolde nat haue any ftraunger to come on the walles / \& in what intent his mynde might be vnderftanden to fuffre an alien to go vpon the walles. And here muft the effecte of the ftraungers wyl be declared / that he went vp to defend the towne to put back their enemies. And therto he muft fay that the maker was nat fo vndifcrete \& vnreafonable that he wolde haue no maner of excepcion which fhuld be to the welth / profite / or preferuacion of the towne. For he that wyl nat haue the law to be vnderftanden accordyng to equitie / good maner / \& nature / entendeth to prouve the maker therof either an vniuft man / or folyff he or enuioufe.

The accufer contraryly fhall prayfe the maker of the law for his great wifdom / for his playne writyng without any maner of ambiguity / that no ftraunger fhulde prefume to go vpon the walles / \& reherce the lawe word for worde / \& than fhew fo $m \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{x}}$ reafonable caufe that mouyd the maker of the law that he wolde vtterly that no ftraunger fhuld afcend the walles. \&c. Example of the fecond.

A man in his teftament gyueth to two yonge doughters that he hathe two hundred fhepe / to be delyuered at the day of theyr maryage / on this maner. I wyll that myne executoures fhall gyue to my doughters at the tyme of theyr maryage [F ii a] euery of them an hundred fhepe / fuche as they wyll. At the tyme of maryage they demaunde theyr cattell / whiche the executours deliuer nat of fuche fort as the maydens wold/wherupon the controuerfy arifeth. For the executours fay they are bounde to delyuer to euery of them an hundred fhepe / fuche as they that be the executours wyll. Now here ftandeth the dout / to whom we fhall referre this worde they / to the doughters / or to the executours.

[^42]The maydens fay nay thereto / but that it was theyr fathers mynde that they fhulde haue euery of them an .C. fhepe / fuche as they that be the doughters wyll.

The handelyng of doutfull wrytyng is to fhew yf it be poffible that it is nat wryten doutfully by caufe it is the comon maner to take it after as we fay / \& that it may fone be knowen by fuche wordes as partely go before that claufe \& partly folow / \& that there be few wordes / but if they be confidered fo alone / they may anon be taken doubtfully. And firft we fhal fhewe if we can that it is nat doubtfully wryten / for there is no reafonable man : but he wyl take it as we fay.

Than fhall we declare by that that goeth afore / \& foloweth, that it is clerly euyn as we fay / \& that yf we confider the wordes of the $m$ felfe they wyl feme to be of ambiguite [F ii b] but feyng they may by the reft of the writing be euident ynough / they ought nat to be taken as doubtfull. And then fhew that yf it had ben his minde that made the writyng to haue it taken as the aduerfarye fayeth : he neded nat to haue wryten any fuch wordes. As in the example now put / the maydens may fay that yf it had bene theyr fathers mynde that the executours fhulde haue delyuered fuche fhepe as it had pleafed them to delyuer : he neded nat to haue added thefe wordes fuch as they wyll. For yf they had nat ben put / it wolde nat haue bene dought but that the executers ${ }^{\mathrm{T}}$ delyuerynge euery of hem an hundred fhepe (whatfoeuer they were) had fulfylied the wyll / and could haue ben no further compelled/wherfore if his mynde was as they fay / it was a great folye to put in tho wordes whiche made a playne mater to be vnplaine. And than finally fihew it is more honelt and conuenient to expounde it as we fay : then as our aduerfaryes do.

Raciocinacion is whan the mater is in controuerfy / wherupon no law is decreed / but yet the iugement therof may be founde out by lawes made vpon maters fomdele refemblynge thereunto.

As in Rome was this law made / that yf any perfone were diftraught / his poffeffyons [F iii a] and goodes fhulde come to the handes of his next kynne.

And an other law / what any houfeholder dothe orden ${ }^{2}$ and make as concernynge his houfeholde and other goodes / it is approbate and confirmed by the lawe. And an other law / if any houfeholder

[^43]dye inteftate / his monye \& other goodes fhall remayne to his next kyn. It chaunced one to kyll his owne mother / wherupon he was taken and condempned to deathe / but whyle he lay in pryfon / certayne of his familiare frendes cam thyther to hym / and brought with them a clerke to wryte his teftament / whiche he there made / \& made fuche executours as it pleafed hym. After his deth his kynnefmen chalenge his goodes, his executours fay them nay / wherupon aryfeth controuerfy afore the iuftice.

There is no lawe made vpon this cafe / whether he that hathe kylled his mother may make any tef tament or nat / but it may be reafoned on bothe partyes by the lawes aboue reherfed. The kynfmen fhall allege the lawe made for the $m$ that be out of theyr myndes / prefuppofynge hym nat to be in muche other cafe / or els he wolde nat haue done the dede. The contrary parte fhal allege the other lawe / and fhewe that it was none alienacion of mynde : but fome other [F iii b] caufe that moued hym to it / and that he hathe had his punyff hment therfore / which he fhulde nat haue fuffred of conuenient if he had bene befyde hym felfe.

Tranflacion is whiche the lawyers cal excepcion / as yf a perfon accufed pleade that it is nat lawfull for the tother to accufe hym / or that the Juge can be no iuge in that caufe. \&c.

The conclufion of the Author.
Thefe are my feciall and finguler goode Lorde whiche I haue purpofed to wryte as touchyng the cheyf poynt of the .iiii. that I fayd in the begynnyng to long to a Rhetoricien / and which is more difficulty than the other .iii. fo that it ones had / there is no very great mayftry to come by the refydue. Natwithftandynge yf I fe that it be fyrft acceptable to your good lordfhip in whom nexte god and his holy faintes I haue put my chyef confidence and truft / and after that yf I fynde that it feme to the reders a thyng worthy to be loked on / and that your lordfhyp and they thynke nat my labour taken in vayne : I will affay my felfe in the other partes / and fo make and accomplyffhe the hole werke. But nowe I haue folowed the facion of Tully / who made a feuerall werke ${ }_{2}^{7}$ of inuencion. And [F iv a] though many thynges be left out of this treatyfe that ought to be fooken of / yet I fuppofe that this fhall be fufficyent for an introductyon to yonge begynners / for whom all onely this boke is made. For other that bene entred all redy fhal haue lytle nede of my labour / but they may feke more meter
thynges for theyr purpofe / either in Hermogines among the Grekes / or els Tully or Trapefonce / among the Latines. And to the $m$ that be yonge begynners nothynge can be to playne or to fhort / wherfore Horace in his boke of the craft of Poetry fayeth

> Quicquid pracipies efto breuis vt cito dicta Percipiant animi dociles teneantque fideles.
what fo euer ye wyll teache (fayth he) be brief therin / that the myndes of the herers or reders may the eafiyer perceyue it / and the better bere it away. And the Emperour Juftinian fayeth in the fyrfte boke of his inftitucions in the paragraph of iuftice and right / that ouer great curiofity in the fyrft principles / make hym that is ftudioufe of the facultie either to forfake it or els to attayne it with very great and tedyoufe labour / and many tymes with great difpayre to com to the ende of his purpofe. And for this caufe I haue bene ferre leffe curioufe then I wolde els haue ben / and alfo a great dele the fhorter. If this my labour [F iv b] may pleafe your lordfhyp / it is the thynge that I do in it mof te defyre / but yf it feme bothe to you \& other a thyng that is very rude and fkant worthe the lokynge on : yet Aristotles wordes fhal comfort [me/who fayeth that men be nat onlye bounde to good autours ${ }^{1}$ : but alfo to bad / bicaufe that by their wrytyng they haue prouoked cunnynger men to take the mater on hande / which wolde els peraduenture haue helde theyr peace. Truely there is nothyng that I wolde be more gladder of / than if it might chaunce me on this maner to caufe the $m$ that be of moch better lernynge \& excercife in this arte tha $n \mathrm{I}$, of who m I am uery fure that this realme hath great plenty / that they wolde fet the penne to the paper / \& by their induftry obfcure my rude ignoraunce. In the meane fpace I befeche the reders / yf they fynde any thynge therin that may do the $m$ any profyte / that they gyue the thankes to god and to your lordfhyp / and that they wyll of theyr charitie pray vnto the bleffyd Trinite for me/that whan it fhall pleafe the godhed to take me from this tranfitory lyfe / I may by his mercy be of the nombre of his electe to perpetuall faluacyon.

Imprinted at London in Fletef trete ${ }^{2}$ / by me Robert Redinan / dwellyng ${ }^{3}$ at $^{3}$ the ${ }^{3}$ fygne ${ }^{3}$ of ${ }^{3}$ the ${ }^{3}$ George. ${ }^{3}{ }^{4}$ Cum priuilegio.
${ }^{\mathrm{I}}$ B. authors.
${ }^{2}$ Added in B - by faynt Dunftones chyrche at the fygne of the George.
${ }^{3}$ Omitted in B .
${ }^{4}$ Added in B. - The yere of ourlorde god a thoufande, fyue hundred and two and thyrty.

# MELANCHTHON'S <br> INSTITVTIONES RHETORICÆ 

[The Portion on Invention.]

EXTRACT FROM MELANCHTHON'S "INSTITVTIONES RHETORICÆ."
(The Portion on Invention.)
[Sig. a ii recto]: ELEMENTA RHETORICES.
Partes differentium funt, inuenire, iudicare, difponere, \& eloqui. Difficillimum eft inuenire quid dicas, quare de inuentione plurima funt a rhetoribus tradita.

Inventionem loci quidam continent, qui indicant de quouis themate, quid dicas, non inuenitur thema, fed propofito themate, inueniuntur loci, quibus ipfum uel muniatur, uel ornetur, ut propofito themate, Clodius iure cæfus eft, Rhetor e locis fuis argumenta petit confirmandi thematis. Quare de thematum differentia dicendum eft.

Sicut cauffarum ita thematum genera quatuor funt. Dialecticum, demonftratiuum, deliberatiuum, iudiciale.

Dialecticvm Thema eft aut fimplex, ut pietas, aut compofitum, ut pietas eft Iufticia.

Eft autem dialecticum genus, certa quædam \& fimplex docendi ratio, qua rerum naturæ, cauffæ, partes \& officia certis quibufdam legibus inquiruntur, ut exacte \& proprie nihịl cognofci queat, niti dialecticis organis aftrictum. Eft enim obferuatio quædam naturæ, qua in quauis re ipfa hominum ratio confyderat, quid prius, quid pofterius, quid proprium, quid improprium fit.

Loci feu organa fimplicis thematis.
Finitio,
Cauffæ,
Partes,
Officia, Vt fi quid fit iufticia, quæ cauffæ eius funt, quæ partes, quæ officia, inquifieris, iam totam iufticiæ naturam perfcrutatus es, \& de iis quidem dialectici uiderint. Nam huic fimplicium thematum generi, quatenus cum rhetore conueniat, infra docebimus. Eft enim ubi definitionibus ubi diuifionibus utitur. Quæ ut funt apud dialecticum certæ \& compendiariæ, ita apud rhetorem amplæ $\&$ fplendidæ.

## DE COMPOSITO THEMATE.

Omne compofitum thema, aut probatur, aut improbatur.
Probatio aut improbatio argumentis conftat. Iam omne compofitum $\theta$ є́ $\mu \alpha$ fiue rhetoricum, fiue $\delta \iota \lambda \lambda_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\tau} \iota \kappa \grave{\nu} \nu$, in dialecticas figuras referri poteft. Itaque inter rhetorica \& dialectica fic conuenit, quod de propof ito themate dialecticus certa lege uerborum $\&$ anxie obferuata fermonis proprietate, ne plus minufue dicatur quam res concepta apud animum præfcripfit, differit. Rhetor uero etiam aliunde addit fimplicibus argumentis ornamenta quædam. Ego certum argumentorum iudicium a dialecticis, ornamentorum figuras a rhetoribus peto, ut in Miloniana, fic argumentari dialecticus poterit, Vim ui repellere fas est, Clodium occidit, uim ui repellens Milo, ergo Clodius iure cæfus eft. Quem $\sigma v \lambda \lambda o \gamma \iota \sigma \mu v$ Marcus Cic. uix multis paginis abfoluit. Neque uero de eo apte iudicare poteris nifi reuocaris in fimplicem, \& $\delta_{\iota} \lambda \lambda_{\epsilon \kappa \tau \iota \kappa}^{\prime} \nu$ formula $m$, indicante interim rhetore, quæ ornamenta fint addita præter necessitatem, in hoc tantum ut illuftrent, ut auguftiorem reddant orationem.

Loci feu organa argumentorum inueniendorum, quibus compofita $\theta \epsilon \mu a \tau \alpha$ muniuntur,

Finitio,
Cauffæ,
Partes,
Similia,
Contraria.
De argumentorum locis infra agemus, omnino enim rhetori \& dialectico de locis conuenit. Nam qui modi fint, \& quæ formulæ argumentorum nectendorum dialecticus docet, ubi $\sigma v \lambda \lambda o \gamma \iota \sigma \mu o v$, enthymematum, $\& \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu$ formas tradit.

Demonstratiuum genus, quo utimur laudando, aut uituperando, celebre quondam in actionibus publicis, ut indicant Demofthenis, item pleræque Thucydidis conciones. Nunc ad fcholas \& ad exercitium iuuentutis relegatum eft. Eft autem triplex. Nam aut perfonæ laudantur, ut Cæfar, aut facta, ut Scæuolæ factum, aut res, ut iusticia, pietas. Semper itaque fimplicis $\theta \epsilon \mu a \tau o s$ genus demonftratiuum eft.

```
DE PERSONARUM LAVDE.
```

Orationis partes a rhetoribus præfcriptæ funt.
Exordium
Narratio
Contentio
Peroratio.
Quas partes deinceps in fingulis generibus requiremus. Neque uero ubique omnium ufus eft.

```
DE EXORDIO.
```

Exordium non modo in hoc genere fed in aliis etiam tribus locis conftat.

Beneuolentiæ
Attentionis
Docilitatis.
Beneuolentia petitur tum a rebus, tum a perfonis. Facillimus \& ufitatiffimus beneuolentiæ tractandæ locus eft officium perfonarum. Quale eft exordium Nazianzeni in Bafilii laudem. Debere fe Bafilium laudare, tum propter amicitiæ rationes, tum propter memoriam pulcherrimarum uirtutum, tum ut exemplum habeat ecclefia optimi \& fanctiffimi epifcopi.

Ab Officio orditur Cicero pro Archia. Si quid eft in me ingenii iudices, quod fentio quam fit exiguum, aut fi qua exercitatio dicendi, in qua me non inficior mediocriter effe uerfatum, aut fi huiufce rei ratio aliqua ab optimarum artium ftudiis, \& difciplina perfecta, a qua ego nullum confiteor ætatis meæ tempus abhoruiffe, earum rerum omnium, uel in primis hic A. Licinius fructum a me repetere prope fuo iure debet.

Ab Officio exorditur primam Epiftolam Cice. Ego officio ac pietate cæteris fatisfacio omnibus, mihi ipfi non fatisfacio, tanta enim eft magnitudo meritorum tuorum.

Ab iis quos laudamus, ut fuperiorem effe eum, de quo dicturus es, omni orationis facultate. Sic de Bafilio Gre. Nazian.

Ab iis coram quibus dicitur, ut ex re eorum effe, coram quibus dicis, ut hunc laudes, fatis fcire quam charus ciuitati fuerit, ideo publici officii gratia laudandum effe.

Principio notare, perftringere, criminari aduerfarium, ut pro Aulo Ceci. fi quantum in agro, locifque defertis audacia poteft, tantum in foro atque in iudiciis impudentia ualeret, non minus in
cauffa cederet Au. Cecin. Sexti Ebutii impudentix, quam tum in ui facienda ceffit audaciæ. Et hæ quidem funt communes formulæ beneuolentix.

Commode trahuntur exordia a locis, temporibus \& ab aliis circunftantiis, quæ forte fortuna inciderunt. Vt Cice. pro Celio A Tempore orfus eft, Si quis forte nunc iudices adfit ignarus legum, iudiciorum, confuetudinis ueftræ, miretur profecto quæ fit tanta atrocitas huius cauffæ, quod diebus feftis, ludifque publicis, omnibus negociis forenfibus intermiffis, unum hoc iudicium exerceatur.

A Temporvm periculis orfus eft pro Sexto Rofcio.
Peregrina exordia fæpe ducuntur,
A fententiis,
A uotis,
A moribus,
A legibus.
Inftitutis gentium, Vt Aristides in Encomio Romæ, fic Demofthenes in Aefchinem a uoto orfus eft. Optare fe a diis immortalibus ut quam gratiam hactenus expertus fuiffet in Rep. gefta, eam nunc in hac cauffa experiretur. Et pro Murena Cice. \& de reditu fuo. Orditur \& a more pro lege agraria.

Idem fere in epiftolarum exordiis obferuatur quamquàm in his minus eft artificii.

## DE INSINVATIONE.

Infinuatio eft cum principio orationis excufamus turpitudinem, quæ in cauffa uidetur effe, ut fi quis Therfiten laudaturus fit, cum hunc damnarint poetæ, damnarit \& fama, fic ordiatur. Boni uiri effe fufpectum habere, quidquid uel poetæ, uel fama probet aut damnet. Ideo confidere auditores magis quæ dicturus fis, quam quæ incerta fama acceperint confyderaturos.

Exemplum habes exordium Moriæ Erafini.
In exordiis cauendum, ne longius petantur, item ne nimis prolixa fint.

Accommodata funt exordiis hæc affectuum uerba Gaudeo, doleo, miror, gratulor, opto, uereor, precor, \& fimilia, ut apud Paulum $\epsilon^{\boldsymbol{u}} \mathrm{x}^{\prime} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\omega}$.

## DE ATTENTIONE.

Attenti erunt fi de nouis, neceffariis, utilibus rebus, item difficilibus, aut obfcuris, dicturum te affirmes. Eft \& ubi beneuolentiam captes, a nouitate, \& utilitate argumenti.

DE DOCILITATE.
Dociles, fi dicturum te affirmes breuiter \& dilucide.
Narratio qua perfonæ laudantur, eft hiftorica commemoratio totius vitæ.

Loci funt natales, puericia, ubi de ingenio dicitur, \& educatione. Adulefcentia, ubi ftudia confyderantur. Iuuentus \& fenectus, ubi res publicæ aut priuatim geftæ confyderantur, mors, \& quæ illam fecuta funt.

Quidam perfonarum laudes partiuntur in tria genera bonorum, $\&$ ab illis incipiunt narrationem, quod non admodum probo, quanquam in commemorandis geftis rebus, fi non poteft hiftoricus ordo tempor $u m$ obferuari, \& multa facta funt congerenda, patiar commemorari primum prudentiæ, deinde iufticiæ, poftea fortitudinis, poftremum temperantiæ exempla. Vt fi fis Auguftinum laudaturus, recenfitis natalibus, ubi iam ad egregia facta peruentum eft, patiar ea diftribui in locos uirtutum. Sic Cicero laudauit Pompeium. Ego fic exiftimo in fummo Imperatore quatuor has res ineffe oportere, fcientiam rei militaris, virtutem, auctoritatem, fœlicitatem.

In recenfendis factis nonnunquam ad alicuius uirtutis peculiarem laudem per amplificationes excurrendum eft.

Itaque oratio, qua perfona laudatur, eft continua quædam hiftorica expofitio laudum personæ, \& ab hisftoria non differt hoc genus orationis, nifi quod hiftoria narrat fimplicius, fplendidius orator, \& magnificentius.

Caret confirmatione \& confutatione, quia non agitur de dubiis rebus. Quanquam alicubi folet dubium incidere, quod aut defendendum, aut excufandum eft. Vt fi quis Camillum laudet, defendat, non uiolaffe pactum, quod cum Gallis Romani perpigerant. Ita fi quis Petrum laudet, oftendat lapfum effe, ut declaret exemplum fui in eo diuina mifericordia.

## DEMONSTRATIO FACTORVM.

Licebit ordiri a commodis eorum, apud quos dicimus, ut fi quis Scæuolæ factum laudaret, qui Romam obfidione Porfenæ liberauit. Non dubium eft quirites magnæ uoluptati uobis memoriain Scæuolæ effe, qui tot Rempub. commodis unico facto auxit. Atque hæc uidetur proxima ordiendi ratio.

Ab aliis modis ut a noftra persona, a locis, a temporibus, fi qua occafio fuppeditabit argumentum, ordiri poteft. Vt pro M. Mar-
cello a tempore \& perfona Cæfaris orditur Cice. Diuturni filentii patres confcripti, quo eram his temporibus ufus, non timore aliquo, fed partim dolore, partim uerecu $n$ dia fine $m$ hodiernus dies attulit, idemque initiem, quæ uellem, quæque fentirem meo priftino more dicendi, tantam enim manfuetudinem, tam inufitatam inauditamque clementiam, $\operatorname{tantu} m$ in fumma poteftate rerum omnium modum, $\tan$ tamque incredibilem fapientiam, ac pene diuina $m$ tacitus nullo modo præterire poffum.

## DE NARRATIONE.

In hoc genere raro utimur integris narrationibus, nifi ficubi publice dicendum effet apud eos, qui non tenerent prorfus hiftoriam facti.

Utimur autem propofitionibus ut in hunc modum.
Inter ea, quæ præclare geffifti C. Cæfar, non aliud factum plus meretur laudis reftitutione M. Marcelli. Sic proponit Cice. in oratione pro M. Marcello. In hunc modum in epistola, Inter ea, que mihi contigeru $n$ t feliciter longe primu $m$ puto quod tua mihi confuetudo. \&c.

## DE CONFIRMATIONE.

Loci funt honeftum, utile, facile, uel difficile. Honeftum a natura rei petes, qui locus eft in ingenio pofitus dicentis, \& a philosophis petendus.

Vtilitas \& facilitas, uel difficultas a circunftantiis petantur.
Circunstantiæ funt, quis, ubi, quando, apud quos fiat, \& quorum auxilio. \&c.

## DE CONFVTATIONE.

Fere non incidit in laudes confutatio, quia non laudantur ambigua, fed certa, quanquam alicubi fit aliquid excufandum, aut defendendum, ut fí quis de Camilli facto dicat, quod patriam reftituit \& liberauit a Gallis. Hic defendendum eft \& demonftrandum pactum non effe uiolatum, quod inierat Sulpitius.

Sunt autem loci confutationis contrarii confirmationi.

## DE PERORATIONE.

Peroratio breui enumeratione conftat \& affectu. In lætis mouemus ad congratulandum \& imitandum. In triftibus ad commiferandum.

## EXORDIUM.

Optimum exordivm fuerit, fi ab aliqua infigni laude eius rei de qua dicturus es ordiare. Cæterum licebit, \& a perfonis, \& ab officio, a locis, temporibus, aliifque modis ordiri, de quibus fupra dixi.

Iam \& hic fpectandum fi rem turpem laudaturus fis, ut infinuatione anteuortas animos audientium, \& excufes turpitudinem, uel exemplis, uel argumentis.

Exemplum habes Erafmicæ Moriæ præfixam Epiftolam.

## NARRATIO.

In hoc genere narratio nulla eft, fed fimpliciter proponitur, eftque uice narrationis propofitio.

Elegans exemplum eft apud Politianum in laudem hiftorix.
Inter omne fcriptorum genus, quibus uel Græcæ uel Romanæ literæ floruerunt, hi mihi haud dubie de humanis rebus egregie meriti effe uidentur, per quos aut excellentium populorum aut fummorum principum aut omnium illuftrium uiror $u m$ res geftæ fidelibus historiar $u m$ monumentis commendatæ funt.

Ita fi quis de pace dicturus fit, proponat. Inter ea, quæ uel publice, uel priuatim falutaria rebus humanis contingere poffint, nihil pace prius eft.

## CONFIRMATIO.

Loci funt, honeftum, utile, facile, feu difficile. Multa enim communia habet hoc genus cum genere deliberatiuo.

Honeftum a natura petitur, item a perfonis, ab inuentoribus, a uetuftate.

Vtilitas \& facultas in circunftantiis poita eft.
Exemplum habes hiftoriæ laudationem apud Politianu $m$ item apud Erafmum de re medica. Confvtatio locis contrariis conftat.

Peroratio conftat enumeratione \& affectu, ut fupra.

Genus deliberatiuum eft, quo fuademus, aut diffuademus, petimus, hortamur, aut dehortamur. Vfusque eius multus eft, cum alias in ciuilibus negociis, tum in Epiftolis.

## EXORDIVM.

Non aliter atque fupra docuimus ordiri, \& hic licebit, maxime uero aut ab officio perfonæ, ne quis putet confuli priuato affectu in rem noftram, ficut apud Salufti. Cæfar. Omnes, qui de rebus dubiis confultant, uacare debent metu, timore, auaricia.

Aut a periculi, uel rei magnitudine, quales pleræque funt apud Livium ut lib. V. Camillus orditur in hunc modum. Ardeates ueteres amici, noui etiam ciues mei (quando \& ueftrum beneficium ita tulit, \& fortuna hoc egit mea) nemo ueftrum conditionis meæ oblitum me huc procefiffe putet, fed res, \& commune periculum coegit, quod quifque poffit in re trepida præfidii in medium conferre.

Cæterum \& aliunde petuntur exordia. M. Cicero pro lege Manilia beneuolentia tantum a perfona fua captat, oftendens qua occafione licuerit in publico dicere, quia fcilicet prætor defignatus fit. Eft ubi aduerfarii perftringuntur ut fæpe apud Liuium.

Eft ubi mores publici, aut priuati notantur, ut in oratione Porcii Catonis contra luxuriam mulierum Deca. iiii. lib. iiii.

Eft ubi ordimur a locis, temporibus, item aliis incidentibus rebus, ut a comprecatione Liuius contra bachanalia lib. ix De. iiii. Nulli unquam contioni tam non folum apta, fed etiam neceffaria hæc folennis deorum comprecatio fuit, quæ uos admonere debeat, hos effe deos, quos colere, uenerari, precarique maiores noftri inftituiffent.

Breuiter in exordiis generis deliberatiui, officium perfonæ, \& neceffitas, aut commoditas rei confyderantur.

## NARRATIO.

In deliberationibus raræ funt narrationes, fed fere propotitionibus uice narration $u m$ utimur, ut uindicare Germaniam a pontificia tyrannide, \& pium, \& neceffarium eft hoc tempore.

Nonnunquam breuibus narrationibus utimur, ut cum aliquid ante ea de re geftum eft, de qua deliberamus, ut apud Cic. pro lege Manilia, in hunc modum \& narratiuncula eft in oratione Annibalis ad Scipionem Deca. iii. lib. x. mire elegans \& uenufta.

Narrationem uero debet fequi propofitio eius fententiæ, de qua deliberatur, ut apud Liuium. Quod igitur nos maxime abominaremur, uos autem ante omnia optaretis, in meliore ueftra fortuna agitur agimufque. ii, quor $u m$ \& maxime intereft pacem effe, \& quodcunque egerimus, ratum ciuitates nostræ habituræ funt. Hæc enim propofitio eft quam e narratione colligit.

## CONFIRMATIO.

Loci funt, honeftum, utile, facile, uel difficile. Honeftas complectitur uirtutes, prudentiam, iufticiam, pietatem, liberalitatem, clementiam, fortitudinem, tempera $n$ tiam. \&c.

Proinde qui uolet ab honefto argumentari, eu $m$ oportet uirtutum naturas probe tenere. Hic facrorum fcriptorum, poetarum, philofophorum fententias, fcite dicta, item hiftoricorum exempla oportet in promptu habeamus.

Vtilitas, in omni cauffa spectandum eft num quod poffit a neceffario duci argumentum, uincitur enim neceffitate utilitas. Cæterum utilitas pofita eft in circunftantiis, \& nascitur ex ipfa cauffa.

Facile, uel difficile, huc pertinent poffibile \& impoffibile. Vincitur enim impoffibili difficultas, ideo efficacius argumentum eft, quod hinc ducitur.

Difficultas commemorat pericula, quæ uel ex ipfá cauffa, uel a locis communibus, uel a conditione fortunæ colliguntur. In hoc toto genere plurimum ualent exempla.

## CONFVTATIO.

Petenda eft a contrariis locis. Obferuabis autem ubi honeftas a personis petitur, agi rem locis demonftratiuis.

Peroratio enumeratione conftat, \& affectu. Qualis illa eft apud Ouidium in .iii. Methamor. in Vlyffis oratione contra Aiacem.

## DE, GENERE IVDICIALI.

Iudiciale genus eft quo controuerfiæ, ac lites continentur. Forenfe quondaın erat, \& nunc a nobis eatenus tractabitur, quatenus in literatis cauffis eius ufus eft. Nam ut de ciuilibus negociis, ita iifdem fere locis de literatis caulfis difceptari poteft, ut cum Paul. probat, non effe ex operibus iufticiam, certe ciuili argumento ufus est, cum ait, Abraham ante circuncifionem iuftificatus eft, ergo non ex circoncifione.

Statvs eft fummaria fententia de qua proprie litigatur, atque adeo breue pronunciatum, feu propofitio quæ eft controuerfiæ fumma, \& ad quam omnes probationes, etiam argumenta referuntur, ut, Fides iuftificat, hæc fummaria fententia difputationis Paulinæ dicitur ftatus. Milo Clodium iure occidit, hæc fummaria fententia orationis Milonianæ dicitur ftatus.

Singulis ftatibus fui funt argumentorum inueniendorum loci. Proinde ftatus recenfendi funt, \& digerendi, ut quocunque themate propofito fcias quibus argumentandi locis utendum fit.

Sunt autem tres ftatus, Coniecturalis, Legitimus \& Iudicialis.
Coniecturalis ex quæftione an fit nafcitur, ut cum quæritur occiderit ne Aiacem Vlyffes.

De legitimo, \& iuridiciali poftea.
Coniecturalium, \& in aliis generibus, ut poftea indicabimus multus ufus eft, ideo eius loci diligenter obferuandi funt.

## DE EXORDIIS.

Exordiorum ratio in iudiciali genere eadem eft, quæ supra. Ordimur enim pro conditione cauffae, uel ab aduerfarii criminatione, uel ab eius pro quo dicimus, commiferatione, qui locus \& accufatori \& defenfori mire utilis eft. Alias item a noftræ perfonæ officio. Alias a iudicis perfona. In promptu funt exempla quibus pro regulis utaris.

Narratio in hoc genere eft hiftorica facti commemoratio. Narrabit ergo accufator, fparfis in narrationem multis fufpitionibus, quæ cauffam adiuuare uideantur.

Ex narratione certam collige fententiam, quam probaturus es, nam rhetores narrationi enumerationem fubiiciunt, quæ eorum, de quibus dicturi fumus, propofitio eft, ut pro Milone Cice. poft narrationem ait. Nunquid igitur aliud in iudicium uenit / nifi uter utri infidias fecerit? Profecto nihil. Si hic illi, ut ne fit impune: fi ille huic, tum nos scelere foluamur : quo nam igitur pacto probari poteft infidias Miloni feciffe Clodium? Et hactenus proponit Cicero.

## DE CONFIRMATIONE.

Accufatoris confirmatio ab his locis petitur, uoluntate, \& poteftate, fuspicionem enim arguunt hæc duo uoluiffe lædere, \& potuiffe.

Volvntatis loci duo funt, qualitas personæ \& cauffa inducens ad fufcipiendum facinus. Huius duo funt loci, impulfio \& ratiocinatio.

Impvlfio eft affectus animi, ira, odium, auaricia, aut quæcunque cupiditas.

Ratiocinatio eft, quæ a fee commodorum ducitur. quale primum eft in Miloniana cauffa, ubi probatur Miloni Clodium infidiatum effe, Satis eft quidem in illa tam audaci, tam nefaria belua docere magnam ei cauffam, magnam fpem in Milonis morte propofitam
fuiffe. Quam fententiam deinde rhetoricis figuris amplificat, inquiens, Itaque, illud Caffianum, cui boni fuerit, in his perfonis ualeat: \& fii boni nullo emolumento impelluntur in fraudem, improbi fæpe paruo.

Qvartvs Locvs Comprobatio, cum docemus / ad hunc folum pertinuiffe commoda.

Potestas tota conftat circunfantiis, loco, tempore, uiribus, item signis, quæ uel maxime fufpitiones arguunt, \& confirmant.

Signa funt dicta, aut facta, antecedentia, uel confequentia.
Antecedens, ut Clodium ait Cicero dixiffi Milonem triduo periturum. Item Clodium habuiffe secum comites, barbaros feruos.

Seqvens ut fugit, expalluit, erubuit.
Iidem funt defenforis loci, fed ille addet abfolutionem \& inuerfionem, quibus figna diluuntur.

Absolvtio eft cum docemus id fignum, quod factum eft, mifericordia \& humanitate factum effe, ut fepelii, fed motus mifericordia.

Inversio qua docemus fignum, quod contra nos producit, pro nobis facere, ut non fepeliffem, fi occidiffem. Ita Thucydides non animaduertendum in Mityleneos ne defcifcant. Ita Paulus in Gala. Nunquid lex aduerfus promiffiones, fi non iustificat. Imo fi lex iustificaret, effet aduerfus promiffiones dei.

Peroratio conftat enumeratione \& affectu. Accufator enim inuehitur in reum. Rurfus reus iudicis animum follicitat mifericordia \& fimilibus affectibus.

Sicvt coniectvralis fatus ex quæftione an fit nafcitur, ita cum de facto conftat, quæri folet de iure uel iniuria facti, atque hic ftatus eft qui ius, aut iniuriam continet. Negocialis dicitur, uel Iuridicialis.

Exordia, atque narrationes a fuperioribus pete.
Confirmationis proprii funt loci.
Eft autem duplex ftatus negocialis, abfolutus, \& affumptiuus.
Absolvti ftatus funt, cum fimpliciter aliquid defenditur, ut in Miloniana fimpliciter Milonis factum defenditur. Loci eorum funt, natura, lex, confuetudo, æquum, \& bonum, iudicatum, pactum.

Assvmptivvs ftatus, eft cum per fe defenfio infirma eft, fed affumpta re extranea tractatur.

Loci eius funt, conceffio, remotio criminis, tranflatio criminis.
Concessio eft, cum reus poftulat fibi ignofci, \& habet partes, purgationem \& deprecationem.

Pvrgatio eft, cum non confulto, fed per imprudentiam, per cafum nos pecaffe fatemur.

Deprecatio cum imploramus mifericordiam. \&c. Id autem fit commemoratione laudum iudicis.

Translatio criminis, cum culpam, \& crimen fatemur, fed coactos indignitate pecaffe. ut Oreftes cum matrem occidit, ueniam meretur, coactus fcelere matris.

Remotio criminis, cum crimen in alios conferimus, quorum iuffu fatemur peccatum effe.

Peroratione, enumeratione \& affectu conftat.
Legitima conftitutio dicitur ubi definitione, contrariis legibus, ambiguis fcriptis, ratiocinatione, aut tranflatione agitur.

Definitione certatur, ut fi quis fuftulerit e facro pecuniam prophanam. quæritur facrilegium, an furtum fit admiffum.

Quæftio finitionis tractatur dialecticorum locis, argumentis a genere, a differentia ductis.

Contrariarum legum conftitutio eft, ut contrariarum fententiarum in fcripturis, ut filius non portabit iniquitatem patris, et uindicabo iniquitatem patrum in filios. Tractatur autem per circunftantias, altera uel prorfus refutata, uel expofita.

De Ambigvis fcriptis dicitur ex fcripto, \& fententia controuerfia nafci, ubi uidetur fcriptoris uoluntas in fcriptis diffentire. Vt si quis difputet cur Paulus precipiat bona opera, cum tamen opera non iuftificent.

Ex Ambigvo cum una fententia multifariam exponitur. In qua controuerfia ftatuenda eft, una aliqua certa fententia confirmanda circumstantiis \& mente auctoris. ut fi difputetur utrum cum Paulus doceat opera legis non iuftificare, uelit hoc intelligi tantum de ceremoniis, an de omnibus legis operibus ceremonialibus \& moralibus.

Ratiocinatione conftat controuerfia, quoties de cafu aliquo difputatur, legibus non comprehenfo, qui cafus fimili collato definiri poteft.

Translatio plane id eft, quod Iurisconfulti exceptionem uocant, ut cum agitur non licere huic accufare. Item non poffe hanc cauffam agi coram hoc iudice.

## NOTES.

For a comparison (bibliographical) of the two texts of Cox's Rhetoric see Introduction, supra p. Ig. Further, it may be noted in support of the theory that B is the later and revised text that, of the changes noted in B , some one hundred and ten are corrections and improvements upon A, bringing the readings nearer to modern forms, while $B$ gives a poorer reading or a more contracted form than A only some twelve or fifteen times. The punctuation in B is throughout better than in A .

On the date of the Rhetoric see Introduction, supra p. io.
In the following notes, besides the explanation of the more difficult and unusual references in the text, attention has been called in nearly every instance to the passages which are translated by Cox from Melanchthon's Institutiones Rhetoricer (noted as "M. I"). A few passages translated from the same author's de Rhetorica are also cited. It will be seen that something over a third of Cox's text is directly translated from M. I ; about a third more is either amplification of hints from M. or consists of direct translation from Cicero, from Melanchthon's de Rhetorica, or from other authors; while something less than a third seems to be of Cox's unaided composition. Cox, however, has treated his material very freely and seldom gives us literal translation. After Melanchthon, Cicero is his chief authority. To him he refers more than thirty times in the course of his short treatise. Among other authors mentioned are Aristotle, Demosthenes, Erasmus, Hermogenes, Hermolaus Barbarus, Horace, Livy, Ovid, Plato, Politian, Sallust, Thucydides, Trapezuntius, and Virgil.

Certain general peculiarities in Cox's English may here be noted once for all. These are :

Frequent double negatives, e. g., 73 .
The double comparative and superlative, e. g., 59 (" most valiauntest ") ; 88 (" more gladder").

The form nat for not, passim.
The phrase that that for that which: e. g., p. 44 line $28 ; 47: 3 \mathrm{I} ; 68: 19$, etc.
The relatives who, whom used for both persons and things as in older English.
The word other in collective sense ( $=$ other people, other things): e. g., 81:35; $88: 18$, etc.

Past participles in -ect, -ate, and -en, etc.: e. g..
(1) Neglecte 71:18; suspecte 71:35; 72:21; 75:8. Cf. also 64:1; 67:18. Cf. deducte 59:13;76:14; accepte $42: 2$; instructe $42: 6$.
(2) Violate $64: 17$; abdicate $84: 24$; approbate $86: 37$, etc.
(3) Be for been: e. g., $8 \mathrm{I}: 32$ ("that have be forgiven"); cf. $42: 26$.
(4) "to be understonde" $54: 36$.
(5) Holpen $80: 30$; founden $74: 36$; bounden $41: 7$; understanden $85: 12$.

Umlaut in the comparative : e. g., lenger 61:8; strenger $70: 28$.
An adjective taking a plural form in $-s$ to agree with its noun, as in French : e. g., $62: 14$ " oracyons demonstratives." Cf. $68: 8 ; 68: 12$.

The tone for the one, $84: 14$. The tother for the other $56: 12 ; 73: 20 ; 74: 36$; 87:20.

In conjunctions: "nat all onely .... but also," $55: 3$. So $63: 13$, "Eyther .. . . eyther els" for either . . . . or, $80: 26$.

Page 4r, line 3. Hugh Faringdon was the last Abbot of Reading and a cleric of considerable prominence in his day. Warton (Hist. Eng. Poetry, London, i87i, Vol. IV, p. Io) and others testify to his learning. In I 530 he joined with others in a letter to the Pope " pointing out the evils likely to result from delaying the divorce desired by the king, and again in 1536 he signed the articles of faith . . . . which virtually acknowledge the royal supremacy" (Dict. Natl. Biog., XVIII, 206). In 1539 , opposing the surrender of his abbey at the dissolution of the monasteries, he was accused of having assisted the northern rebels with money, attainted of high treason, and condemned to be hanged, drawn, and quartered, "which sentence was executed upon him at Reading, November 14, I539" (Browne Willis, Hist. of the Mitred Parliamentary Abbies, London, I7 18, Vol. I, p. I6I).

42: 6. So a little later Sir Thomas Eliot (The Boke named the Gouernour, I53I, reprint ed. H. E. S. Croft, London, I883, Bk. I, ch. xi) urges that at fourteen years the child should be grounded in the Topica of Cicero or of Agricola. "Immediately after that, the arte of Rhetorike wolde be semblably taught, either in greke, out of Hermogines, or of Quintilian in latine." Eliot also recommends Cicero's "De partitione oratoria" and Erasmus'"Copia."

42: igf. The "werke of Rhethoryke wrytten in the lattyn tongue" is Melanchthon's Institutiones Rhetorica, I521. See Introduction, supra p. 30.

42:23. "The Phylosopher" referred to is probably Aristotle. See Aristotle's Rhetoric, ch. VII.

43: 6. On Cox's other works "in this facultye." See Introduction, supra p. 2 I .

43 : rof. Cox here is following Melanchthon's divisions and order, but is freely amplifying his author. See the text of Melanchthon, supra p. 9I. Such things as the anecdote about Demosthenes, for example, are not in his original.

43: 12. "Of any maner thing," i. e., of any kind of thing.
$43:$ r8. "He may as well tell," i. e., he is as likely to tell.
43:27. "Sayde ons by demosthenes," i. e., said concerning Demosthenes.

43: 3I f. Translated directly from Melanchthon: "Difficilimum est invenire," etc. See, supra p. 9I. Notice how Cox simplifies and rearranges his text, e. $g$., in the handling of the instance of Clodius, cited by M. in the briefest possible terms, but by Cox laid open for young beginners.

44:3. On the "placys" (the "loci" of M., or "topica" of some other rhetoricians) see Wilson's Arte of Rhetorique, I 553, fol. $3 \mathrm{~b}, 62 \mathrm{~b}$, and passim.

44:25. "An oracyon to the laude and prayse of the Kynges hyghnesse." Cox was sometime a courtier. See the account of his life in the Introduction, supra.

44:3x. "The fyrste is called Logycall." Melanchthon's "dialecticum.'

45: 9-23 : is direct translation from M. I. So 45:26-3r. What follows, however, is inserted by Cox.

45•24. "To whome oure author levith": de iis quidem dialectici viderint (M., supra p. 9I).

45:37. See Aristotle's Nicomachean Ethics, Book V. Compare Chase's translation: "Justice [is] a moral disposition such that in consequence of it men have the capacity of doing what is just, and actually do it, and wish it."

46:6. Cf. Plato's Meno (Jowett's translation, last paragraph): "Socrates. Then, Meno, the conclusion is that virtue comes to the virtuous by the gift of God."

46:9. "Plato . . . . in the begynning of his lawes." See Plato, Laws, Book I, Steph., 624 A.

46:12 f. What follows is apparently not a translation from Aristotle, but is Cox's interpretation of Aristotle.

47:9f. "Our auctour also in a grete work," etc. See Philippi Melanchthonis de Rhetorica libri tres. Coloniæ, 1523. [Sig. B. 4 verso, et seq.]:
"I. Quid iustitia? uirtus qua cuique suum penditur.
"II. Quæ eius causa? uoluntas consentiens cum legibus moribusque.
"III. Quæ species? commutatiua \& distributiua. Dupliciter enim cum ciuibus communicamus, aut fortunis commutandis, aut humana ciuilique consuetudine.
"IV. Commutatiua quid? iustitia contractuum.
"V. Distributiua quid? iustitia ciuilis vitæ.
"VI. Distributiua quottuplex? publica alia, alia priuata. Publica, pietas est, imò est omnium uirtutum corona quædam, ciuilem hominum inter se consuetudinem, magistratuum cum ciuibus, uicissim ciuium cum magistratibus, conseruans. Priuata, ciuium inter honesta \& tranquilla consuetudo.
"VII. Officia, reddere ciui, magistratui, patriæ, liberis, coniugibus, amicis, quod debetur.
"VIII. Comparatio specierum. [This section Cox omits.]
"IX. Affinia, fortitudo, liberalitas, temperantia.
"X. Contraria, metus, auaritia, luxus \&c."
Compare the "Example in commendacion of Justice" in Wilson, fol. I 3 b et seq., in illustration of the same point.

47:35-48: 6. Added by Cox.
48:7-49:24. This entire passage is a direct but free translation from M. I.

49:25f. Follows M. generally, but the illustrations are supplied by Cox. It will be noticed that Cox here as elsewhere freely omits whole sentences from his original.

50: $\mathbf{1 - 2 8}$. Direct translation, with the addition of explanatory phrases.

50 : 16. "Benevolence is the place," etc. From Melanchthon, de Rhetorica (ed. of $1523, \mathrm{C}$ viii a): "Benevolentiam captamus, aut à nostra persona, aut ab audientium persona, aut ab ipsa causa."

50:22. "Out of this place [of 'Benevolence'] is fet the preamble of St. Gregory Nazazene, made to the prayse of St. Basyl." See Opera Magni Basilii . . . . Romæ I515, fol. iii a: "Monodia Grægorii Nazianzeni in Magnum Basilium."
" . . . . Ego uero si hac uti facultate ullo unquam tempore debeo: nesciam profecto ubi melius aut religiosius siue oportunius quam in huius laudibus uires meas omnis intendam. Quod officium tribus omnino de causis mihi adsumendum duxi. Primum, ut amicissimi ac mei amantissimi pietatis hoc munus, quando aliud nequeo, extremum impendam. Deinde ut omnibus bonis $\&$ illius uirtutem colentibus atque admirantibus rem gratissima $m$ faciam. Postremo quod exitum qualemcu $m$ que sortiatur oratio, feliciter eueniet. Nam si prope ad eius meritorum narrationis me tam peruenerit: id potissimum quod optamus adsequemur nostra dictio magnopere commendabitur. Si uero longe," etc. (as below).

There seems to be no passage corresponding to this in the original Greek text as printed in Migne, Patrologia Cursus Completus, Paris 1858, Vol. XXXVI pp. 493 f., nor in the Latin translation accompanying that edition. Perhaps Cox after all went no farther than Melanchthon.

51:3-52:2. Direct translation.
51 : 24. "And so taketh St. Nazazene benevolence" etc.
Op. cit., fol. iii a: " . . . . Si uero longe infra spem remaneat huius maxime sancti commendationi adcedet: quod eius laus ac vita omni sit commendationi superior. Virtus namque encomii illa demum est: quemadmodum ea quae laudantur omni sint oratione superiora ostendere."

52:3-1r. Cox's addition. 52: 12-53:7. Direct translation.
52:29. "Aristides . . . . his oracion made to the prayse of Rome." See Aristides, ' $\mathrm{P} \dot{\omega} \mu \eta \boldsymbol{\jmath} \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa \dot{\omega} \mu \iota \circ \nu$, in Aristides ex recensione Dindorfii, vol. I, 32 I .

53 : 4. The opening sentence of Cicero's oration pro lege Agraria is not given in M. I.
$53: 8 \mathrm{f}$. Free translation or paraphrase, with many additions; the severe arraignment of the poets is chiefly Cox's, although suggested in M. I.

54:1. The Morice Encomium of Erasmus, 1512. The general tenor of the Epistle Dedicatory, which is addressed to Sir Thomas More, is to suggest a defense of the author's theme by "Insinuatio."

54:3 f. "Another example hath the same Erasmus in his seconde Boke of Copia." See "Desyderii Erasmi Roterodami de duplici Copia Verborum, ac Rerum Commentarij duo. . . . . Argentorati . . . . M.D.XXI." Liber Secundus, De partium rhetoricorum multiplicatione. Fol. LXXVII b.
"Vt si proposueris laudare Platonis dogma de uxoribus communibus, ut hoc exempli causa sumatur, dices non te fugere te rem omnium sententia absurdissimam polliceri. Verum illud orabis ut tantisper iudicium suum differant, donec argumentorum summam audierint, nihil diffidere te quin penitus exposita re sint in diuersam sententiam pedibus ituri. Tantum illud cogitent, hoc quicquid est, non esse temere dictum a tanto philosopho, quique caeteris in rebus ob excellentia $m$ ingenij, diuini cognomen promeruerit." This reference to Erasmus is not in M.

54:3f. Additions by Cox.
54:26-55: 17. Direct translation, with free amplification and rearrangement.

55 : 18 f . Amplification of the topic by Cox, who supplies new illustrations and interpretation.

55:22. Horace, Satira IV :
"Insuevit pater optimus hoc me,
Ut fugerem, exemplis vitiorum quæque notando."
55: 26. Terence, Andria, Act I, Sc. i, 55-59.
$56: 3 \mathrm{f}$. Sallust, Catiline, LIV.
57 : 1 . "The oracion that Hermolaus Barbarus made to the Emperour Frederike and Maximilian his son." Printed with the works of Politian, viz.: Omnium Angeli Politiani operum . . . . Tomus prior . . [etc.] . . Parrhisiis . . . . M.D.XII. fols. XCIIII a-XCVI a (five pages folio): "Oratio Hermolai Barbari Zacharice. F. Legati Veneti: ad Federicum imperatorem \& Maximilianum Regem Romanorum principes inuictissimos."

57: 5-24. Translation (indirect in part) from M. I.

57:27. "in an other greater worke he declareth it thus briefly :" i. e., Melanchthon's de Rhetorica (ed. 1523, Sig. D. 3. a) : "Sunt et mortis præconia, ut eorum qui vitam pro patria perdiderunt." M. goes on to discuss this locus for several lines further.
$57: 3$. "An epistle that Angele Policiane writeth in his fourth boke of epistels, to James Antiquarie, of [i.e., concerning] Laurence Medices . . . " May be found in "Illustrium Virorum Epistolæ ab Angelo Politiano partim scriptæ, partim collectæ," etc., 526 (not the first edition). (Brit. Mus. copy, press mark 10905. g. I.) Fol. XCa to XCVb [Sig. M ij recto]. Written in answer to inquiries made by "Jacobus Antiquarius" on hearing of the death of Lorenzo. Dated XV. Calend. Iunias. MCCCCXCII, In Fæsulano Rusculo. The following analysis of the letter precedes :
"Cur tardius responderit causa fuit dolor ex morte Laurentij. Hypochondrioru $m$ dolori febris accessit. De peccatis ad sacerdotem Laurentius confitetur. Sacrosanctu $m$ corpus Christi venerabund $u s$ suscipit. Filiu $m$ Petru $m$ hortatur consolaturque. Politianum alloquitur. Cu $m$ Pico (quem accersi iusserat) loquitur. Ferrariensi Hieronymo, qui salutis eum admonebat, respondet aduersus morte $m$ interritum se esse. Extrema vnctione vnctus euangelia sibi Christique passionem recitari postulat. Exosculans crucem naturae satisfacit. Amplissima eius laus enumeratur. In tribus liberis eius Florentinorum spes consolationesque collocatæ sunt, in Petro, Ioanne, Iuliano. Petrus pietate in ægrotum patrem, in ciues humanitate, vtilitateque administrandæ reipu[blicæ] commendatur. Laurentij funus non admodu $m$ magnificum. Prodigia quaedam enarrantur." See references to this letter in Symond's Italian Renaissance, I, $523 n$; II, 355, 533.

57:35-58:9. Direct translation.
58: ro f. This example of Camillus (as well as the next of "the laude of Saynt Peter ") is suggested in M. I, but Cox expands the four lines of M. to some fifty, evidently having recourse directly to Livy for his materials.

59 :5. See Livy, History of Rome, Book V, Ch. xlix.
$59: 23 \mathrm{f}$. "The author in his greater worke." The reference is again to Melanchthon's De Rhetorica. See ed. I523, D iv a: "Carolum Cæsarem laudatur cum hoc agat ordine. Exemplum.

Natales ex Pipino patre, qui primus intulit nomen Christianissmi nomini Francorum, avo Martello principe bellica gloria cum nemine necque majorum, necque posteriorum conferendo.

- Educatio, puer sub Petro Pisano meruit literis latinis \& græcis.

Adulescentiam in armis egit Tyro sub patre fortissimo viro in Aquitanis, ubi \& Sarracenicam linguam didicit.
đ Juvenis regnum adeptus Aquitaniam, Italiam, Sueviam, Saxonas paca-
vit, atque hæc quidem bella ea fælicitate gesta sunt, ut magis vicerit authoritate, \& prudentia, quam sanguine civium. Ad hæc accedunt pleraque pietatis exempla, potissimum quod scholam Parisiorum dicavit. Hic digredi licet quam honeste sint principibus viris literae atque e $a$ maxime que ad pietatem pertinent. Et hic fiat comparatio civilium $\&$ bellicarum virtutum, sane tale esse histori $\notin$ filum ut longe civilibus prastitisse videant. Nihil non prius pace habuit. Clementia tali, ut noxiis etiam, si qua liceret parceret; pietatis adeo amans, ut assiduo usus sit Alcuino Anglo de divinis differente. In plerisque constantini Cæsaris similimus, cuius comparatione nonnihil crescet Carolus.

Senectus pacata, hoc uno infortunata quod non conveniebat prorsum inter filios.

Mors, consectanea mortis ampla reliquit unum ex se filium, optimum principem Ludovicum pium, inter hæc sæpe excursionibus de horum temporum moribus declamare licet."

The reference to the "sayengs of the gospel" which follows in Cox does not appear in Melanchthon.
$60: 29$ f. Follows M. I. Cox as usual however has taken the illustrations suggested by M. and explained them at length in all their circumstances. The account of Scevola is condensed from Livy, Book II, Ch. xii.

62:16-63: ir. Translation from M. I. See supra pp. 95-96.
$6_{3}$ : I1-18. Amplification and paraphrase of M.
63:19-21, 24-27. Translation from M. I.
63:23. The reference to Erasmus is Cox's own. See "Libellus de Conscribendis epistolis, Autore D. Erasmo. . . . . Apud præclaram Cantabrigiensem Academiam. Anno. M.D.XXI." ["The second book printed at Cambridge"'], fol. XIb - XLIIIa, "De Epistola Suasoria." In which some of the topics treated are [I quote from the marginal analysis]: Quibus partibus constet suasoria epistola. Narratio. Diuisio. Confutatio. . . . . Definitiones singulorum. Honestum. Rectum. Virtus. Officium. . . . . Laudabile. Vtile. . . . . De simplici conclusione. Persona. Nomen. Natura. . . . . etc., etc.
$64: 9-65: 28$. Translation from M. I.
64:25-27. This copybook moral is added by Cox.
65:2. "As Erasmus dothe in his epistle prefixed afore his oracyon made to the prayse of folysshnes." See "Moriæ Encomivm Erasmi Roterodami Declamatio . . . . Anuerpienn M.D.XII," and innumerable other editions. The epistle is addressed to Thomas More. Its length is three quarto ( $=$ octavo size) pages.

65 : 10: "Polycyans oracyons made to the laude of hystoryes" are also cited several times in M's. de Rhetorica (e.g. ed. I 523 D vi, a and b).

65:29f. Not in M. Drawn by Cox probably from Erasmus. The laude of matrimony was a subject which Erasmus treated on several occasions (e.g. in his Praise of Folly, Colloquies, etc.). See the translation in Wilson's Arte of Rhetorique, 1553 (fol. 2 I b. et seq.), of "An Epistle to perswade a young ientleman to Mariage, deuised by Erasmus in the behalfe of his frende."

66:5. See Erasmus, "Declamationes duæ. Altera exhortatoria de Matrimonio ; altera Artis Medicæ Laudes Complectens." Cologne 1518.

66:3-67:23. Translation from M. I. See supra pp. 97-98.
$66: 24$. See Sallust, Catiline Ch. 1i. M. only paraphrases Sallust's text and does not quote it directly. Cox goes to the original and translates an additional sentence, i. e. "Haud facile animus verum providet, ubi illa officiunt."

66:32. Livy, Book V, Ch. xliv.
67:14. Cicero, pro lege Manilia.
$67: 22$. "The oracyon that Porcyus Cato made agaynste the sumptuousnes of the women of Rome." In Livy, History of Rome, Bk. XXXIV, Ch. ii. What follows is translated by Cox out of Livy.

67:34-68: 13. Translation from M. I. See supra p. 98.
67:36. "As Livius . . . . begynneth his oracyon," i. e., the speech attributed to the consul Posthumius by Livy, Book XXXIX, Ch. xv.
$68: 13$. Cox introduces here a very significant variation from his original. Instead of Cox's remark in regard to the need of unity in the church, Melanchthon's illustration runs: "ut vindicare Germaniam à pontificia tyrannide, et pium et necessarium est hoc tempore." Cox is writing in the days of Henry VIII before the actual separation from Rome and before he had become one of Edward VI's preachers of the reformed faith. The party of the humanists, More, Erasmus, and their followers, while standing for reform, stood also for unity in the church.

68: 17-20, 25-28. Translations from M. I. See supra p. 98. The quotations from Cicero and Livy are not given at length in M.

68:21. See Cicero, prolege Manilia ii : "Bellum grave et periculosum vestris vectigalibus atque sociis a duobus potentissimis regibus infertur, Mithridate et Tigrane."

68:26-69:23. See Livy, Bk. XXX, Ch. xxx.
69: 27-32. See Livy, loc. cit.
69: 24-26, 33-35. Translation from M. I.
$69: 35-70: 8$. Explanatory matter added by Cox.
70:6. "The greke proverbe:"
$\delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \kappa о \lambda \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \lambda \grave{\alpha}$
Beautiful things are difficult.
70:9-21, 25-28. Translation with amplification from M. I.

71: 6-7, 10-16, 22-33. Translation from M. I. See supra p. 99.
7 I : io f. Note the significant omissions from the original of Melanchthon. (See supra p. 99). Allusions of a theological or Protestant bearing are carefully excluded by Cox. Later in life we find Cox writing or translating entire treatises on such subjects.

7I:30 f. On these three "States" see Wilson, Arte of Rhetorique I553, fol. 49 f.
$72: 3 \mathrm{f}$. This "example" is merely hinted at in M. I. Cox brings the story-at-length perhaps out of Melanchthon's de Rhetorica, or from Trapezuntius (ed. 1522 , fol. 20 b ); both under the same topic of State Conjectural give the Ulysses-Ajax example.

72:24-34. Translation from M. I. See supra p. Ioo.
73 : 1 f. See Cicero, pro Milone x.
$73: 1-75: 4$. Not found in M. I.
74 : 13 f. See Cicero, pre lege Manilia ii: "Primum mihi videtur de genere belli ; deinde de magnitudine ; tum de imperatore deligendo esse dicendum."

74:23 f. Op. cit. x.
75 :5-13. Translation from M. I. See supra p. 100.
75 : 18 f. See Cicero, pro L. Flacco, Iv.
$75: 33 \mathrm{f}$. The citation of traits of national character was a stock illustration in the old Rhetorics. E.g. Wilson's Arte of Rhetorique fol. 95 a. See also Erasmus, Praise of Folly, 91.

76:7 f. In Ovid, Epistola Heroidum II.
76:17. See Terence, Andria, Act I, Sc. i, 52-54.
76:21. Ovid, op. cit., xiv.
77:2 f. See Cicero, in L. Pisonem I.
$77: 31-34,78: 17-26$. Here Cox takes up again the thread of his original, dropped since p. 58. See supra pp. IOO-IOI. As usual, much is added not to be found in M. I.
$77: 35$. Terence, Andria, Act I, Sc. i, at end.
$78: 4$. Ovid, op. cit., V.
78:31-79:9, 79:18-32, 80:4-17, 29-37, 81:5-6. Free translation from M. I. See supra p. ioi.

81 : i. See Sallust, Catilina, LII.
8I:8-82:4. See Cicero, de Inventione, Bk. II, Ch. xxxv. A direct translation.

82 : 18 f. After M. I. Cox has as usual expanded M.'s illustration (of Orestes).
$82: 31-83:$ I. Translation from M. I.
$83: 4$. Here again Cox abandons M., who is treading on the dangerous ground of religious illustration. He now turns to Cicero, whom he fol-
lows intermittently through the rest of this work. See Cicero, de Inventione, Bk. II, Ch. xl. The illustration that follows is translated from Ch. li of the same work.

84:14f. The two illustrations which follow seem to be furnished by Cox independently.
$85: 27 \mathrm{f}$. A similar illustration with somewhat different terms is recited by Cicero, Ch. xl.
$86: 30-32$. Translation from M. I. See supra p. Io2. The illustration which follows is drawn from Cicero, Ch. 1.

87: 19-21. Translation from M. I. See supra p. Io2.
87: 18. "He shulde nat have suffred of convenient," i.e., properly, justly.

87:34. Cox probably means only that his work, like the de Inventione of Cicero, covers only the one division of Rhetoric concerned with invention, although he may also intend here to record his obligations in the last part of his own work to Cicero's work.

88:2. Similarly Melanchthon (de Rhetorica, C viii a) refers readers who may desire a more extended treatment of the subject to Trapezuntius. Trapezuntius presents little more than a paraphrase of Hermogenes. The latter was a Greek rhetorician of the time of Marcus Aurelius who wrote five works covering the field of rhetoric. On the Rhetoric of Trapezuntius cf. Voigt, Wiederbelebung des classischen Alterthums (Berlin, 1893) Vol. II, 443.
$88: 5$. Horace, Ars Poetica, 335-6.
88:9. Justinian, Institutiones, Liber Primus, I De iustitia et iure: "si statim ab initio rudem adhuc et infirmum animum studiosi multitudine ac varietate rerum oneravimus, duorum alterum aut desertorem studiorem efficiemus aut cum magno labore eius, sæpe etiam cum diffidentia " . . . . etc.

88:19. Cox probably refers to Aristotle's Metaphysics, 993 B I3-15 : "It is just to be grateful, not only to those whose opinions we share, but also to more superficial thinkers, for these too have contributed something. For they have helped our development." And see what follows.
-In B the colophon reads as follows:
" Imprinted at London in Fletestrete by saynt Dunstones chyrche / at the sygne of the George / by me Robert Redman. The yere of our lorde god a thousande/fyue hundred and two and thyrty. Cum priuilegio."

Beneath there is a woodcut of architectural scrolls. F viii recto is blank. F viii verso contains a woodcut representing two nude figures holding a shield on which appears the monogram of Robert Redman, with his name below. The shield is surmounted by a helmet with scrolls.

## GLOSSARIAL INDEX.

Including the chief technical terms of rhetoric used, and the names of the chief writers and others cited by Cox.

The several references to the use of similar technical terms of rhetoric in "Wilson" that follow are to Sir Thos. Wilson's Arte of Rhetorique, 1553.
"Abdicate or forsaken of his father" 84:24, 28
Abiecte 84: I9 cast off, disowned
Absolute state negociall 80 : Iof .
Absolution, absolucyon (in Rhetoric) 79 : 10 f . (defined)
Accepte $42: 2$ acceptable
Ado 73:9 concern, interest
Affectuouse 54:28 full of emotion. Lat., "hæc affectuum verba"
Affynes $47: 12,33$ the "Affinia" of Melanchthon. Things having affinity with other things
Afore $42: 3 ; 48: 23$, etc., before
Alleuiate 54 : 18 ("to a. your mindes ") to lighten, to relieve
Almaynes 75:35 Germans
Alonly 50: II only, alone
Ambages 55:9 to use a. $=$ "to go . . . . rounde about the bussh."
Ambassades 41:30;82:11 embassage, embassy
Angele see Policiane
Antecessours 4I: 12 predecessors
Antytheme (A), Anthethem (B) $44: 7$ the matter which the orator shall speak of
Apeyreth $42: 8 \mathrm{M}$. E. Apeyren, to harm, impair
Approbate $86: 37$ approved
Appropred 80:7 appropriated, set aside as proper
Apte 4I:30 likely, fitted
Aquiatyn $59: 36$ (Aquitaine)
Aristides 52
Aristotle 42, 45, 46, 88
Assay 43:4 essay, attempt
Assumptyue state negociall 80:29 f., Cf. Wilson fol. 53 b

Attencion 50:13; 54:31 one of the
"places" of the Preamble
Attendaunce $54: 36$ attention
Attente 54:32 attentive
Auaunced 81:30 advanced
Auctoritie $57: 20 ; 60: 2$, etc., authority
Audyence 54:32 the act of hearing
Austen, St. 57
Barbarus see Hermolaus
Barbours 80:20 barbarous
Basyl, St. 50 f.
Batyle (A); bataile (B); $58: 28 ; 53: 14$ battle
Be 42 : 26 for been in pl. indic.
Beneuolence 50:13 f., etc., one of the "places" of the Preamble
Bewrayed 6I:2I revealed, made known
Blake 53:29 black
Bounden 4I:7 for bound
Brenne 61:32; Brente 62:5 to burn
Bruyt 56:12 reputation
Buckled 73:28 "They b. togyther," they encountred or fought
By Cause $=$ because $46: 5 ; 86: 5$, etc.
Byenge 47:7 buying
Caleys, a law of, 85
Camillus, Roman dictator 58
Carrynge 53: 18 "to " carry on "
Caste 78: 15 ("caste hym afore the senate ") accused, convicted
Cato 56
Cesar 56, 62, 66
Charles, i. e., Charlemagne 59 f.
Chirurgiens 83:28 surgeons

Cicero 88
pro Milone 44, 48, 71, 72, 73, 74, 76, 78, 80
pro Archia 51
Epistola, ad Lentulum 5 I
pro Cacina 5I
pro Pompeio 52, 57, 67, 68, 74
pro Calio 52
pro Sexto Roscio 52
pro Murena 52
pro Marcello 62
pro Flacco 75
Orationes post reditum 52
de lege agraria 53
in Pisonem 77
de Inventione 81, 83, 87
Commodiouse 65:17 profitable
Commodities $60: 33$; $65:$ 19, etc., interest, advantage
Commune 43:12; 44:24, etc., to converse
Commutatiue equite $47: 4$
Comon places (of Rhetoric) 82 : I
Comprobacion (in Rhetoric) $78: 25$
Comynaltye 42 : 19; 52 : 19, etc., community, commonwealth, the commons
Conclusion or Peroration 64, etc.
Confutacion, the seconde parte of contencion 64 f .
Confyrmacion, the fyrste parte of contencion $63 \mathrm{f} . ; 65 \mathrm{f}$.
Coniecturall 71 (state c. in deliberative oratory) Cf. Wilson, fol. xlix
Conster 84:8 to construe
Contencion, or "prouinge of the mater" 50 : 5
Contraries 47: 12
Contraryly $42: 4 ; 76: 33$, etc., on the contrary
To Contrarye 84:14 to run contrary to
Conuenyent $4 \mathrm{I}: 24 ; 43: 15$, etc., suitable, apt, becoming
Coroune (A); crowne (B) 47:24
Craft 4I: I; 44:35; 49:14, etc., (see title-page) art, skill, artificium
Crafty 5I: 6; 7I: 20 skilful
Crayer 83:9 a small vessel
Cunnynge $4 \mathrm{I}: 8$ skilful, knowing
Curiositie 58:2; 88 : II nicety, curious art

Deceytable 79: 12 déceptive
Deducte 59: 13, etc., deduced
Defayt 58:30 to deprive, to defeat
Defended 58:9 repelled, warded off
Definicion (in Rhetoric) 45 f.; 83:2 f., Cf. Wilson 52
Delate (v. t.) $48: 2 \mathrm{I}$ to expand, amplify
Delyberatyue oracion $44: 32 ; 66 \mathrm{f}$., Cf. Wilson, fol. 16 a
Demonstratyue oracion 44:32; 49: $18 \mathrm{f} .$, etc., Cf. Wilson, fol. 6 b , etc.
Demosthenes 43, 49, 52
Deprecacion (in Rhetoric) 8I : 5 f .
Descryued 65: 15 described
Dialectual (A); dialectycall (B) $47: 35$
Difficile $43: 3 \mathrm{I}$ (Fr. difficile), difficult
Disputacion 44 : 3 I , or "theme logycall."
Distraught $86: 33$ non compos mentis, insane
Distribucion, a part of Diuisyon 74:3, 9 f.
Distributyue equite $46: 28 \mathrm{f}$.
Diuisyon (in Rhetoric) 45
Docilite 50:15 f.; 55:6 one of the "places" of the Preamble or Exordium
Dysposycyon 43: 19
Edified $60: 4$ built
Egall 84 : I2, etc., equal
Enhabited 67:6 had residence, dwelt
Entwyte 76:7 to twit, to reproach
Enumeracion 74: II a part of "distribucion" in Rhetoric.
Equite 46
Erasmus
Moria Encomium 54, 65
de Conscribendis Epistolis 63
de Matrimonio 65, 66
Copia 54
Artis Medica Laudes 66
Euery 44:35 (for "each") ; so 85:30
Excepcion 87: i9 (as a legal term)
Exorden or Preamble 50:3 exordium
Exposicion 74: 12 a part of "distribucion " in Rhetoric

Facultie $43: 6 ; 44: 4 ; 48: 6$; etc., art, subject or branch of learning
Facundiouse 75:25 eloquent
Fantasyes 75:34
Faryngton, Hugh, Abbot of Redynge 4I, 87
Fayctes 56:24; faytes 58:29; deeds
Fere $70: 5$ to cause fear to, to frighten
Fet $52: 25 ; 63: 19$, etc., fetched; "fer fet" $54: 27$
Folowinges 60:22 things that follow.
Fyers ( $=$ fierce) $76: 24$
Gate 83:25, etc., got
Gostely 60: 17 spiritual
Gouernour 83 : 10, 16 pilot or master of a ship
Gregory, St., Nazazene 50 f .
Handes 74:27. "A man of his h."
Haniball 68 f.
Henry VIII 41, 44
Hermogines 88
Hermólaus Barbarus 57
Historicyens $60: 36$ historians.
Holpe $83: 25$; Holpen $80: 30$ helped.
Homer 53. Cf. 71, 72 f. (the latter are drawn from Ovid, more directly)
Horace (fourth satire), 55, (Ars Poetica) 88

Ieoperdouse $63: 17$, hazardous, perilous
Ill see $y l$.
Importunatnes $67: 28$ importunity
Improue, (v.t.) $48: 9$; $75: 19$, to disprove. So "Improuynge", disproving 49:5. See Reprouynge.
Impulsion ("naturall i.") 77:33 f.
Incontinent 73:35 forthwith
Induced 64 : I I introduced
Insinuacion (in Rhetoric), 53:8 f.
Instructe 42:6 instructed
Instruments (in Rhetoric) $45: 18=$ organa of M.
Inuencyon: 43 : 13 f . Cf. Wilson fol. 3b
Inuercion (B) [Inuencion (A), by error] 79: 18 f.
Ironiously 81: I ironically
Iudiciall oracion 44:33; 7I f. State Iudiciall 71. See "iuridiciall"

Iuridiciall 79:27 f. ("state i.") Cf. Wilson fol. 47a, 53b

## James Antiquarie 57

Justinian, the Emperour 88
Knowledge 54: 16 to acknowledge.
Knyte 45:9 knit
Kyndely 76: II after the way of kind or nature.
Kynred 8I: 24 kindred
Lake $(A)=$ lacke (B): $43: 17$ etc., etc.
Larcyne (A); larrecine (B) $75: 36$ thievishness
Laude 44:25; 57:5, etc., praise
Layeth for him $82: 27 ; 83: 30$ argues on his own behalf Cf. $84: 24$
Layth vnto $75: 20$ inveighs against
Legitime or legall justice $46: 13$. State legitime 7I; 82:33 f. Cf. Wilson fol. 49a.
Lese $83: 6$, etc., to lose
Let ( $=$ to prevent, hinder) $78: 24$
Livius, 59, 66, 67, 68
Longeth (A); belongeth (B). $48: 21$; Cf. 46:31; 71:16, etc.
Longynge (A), belongyng (B) $45: 3$ I
Losel 53:21 a low fellow (i.e., Thersites)
Lyeser (A); leyser (B) 78:32 leisure
Maystry 75:27 "they bere the m." they excell, or are masters. Cf. $87: 27$
[Melanchthon] "our author" etc. 42, 47, 57, 59
Mere 58:23, etc., absolute
Merites (B); merytes (A) 4:33 rewards, benefits
Metely 5I:7 measurably
Meuyd 57: 13 moved
Moo, mo 50:9; 80:36 more
Mucius see Sceuola
Narracion (part of an oration) 55 : I If. Cf. Wilson fol. 4a, 58b, etc.
Nat $=$ not 50 : II (so passim)
Nazazene see Gregory [Nazianzene (B)]
Negociall 79:32 f. ("state n. or iuridiciall ")
Nones, for the nones $52: 21 ; 76: 37$; for the nonce, for the occasion

Nother (A); neyther (B) 46:25nother . . . . nother = neither . . . . nor, $46: 25 ; 49: 34$
Noughty 75: 15 bad
Offyce, i. e., duty (one of the "places" of Rhetoric) 5 I:3
On slepe 42 : 16 (to fall on slepe)
Ones 42 :6; 52:8, etc., once
Oppresse 81: I3 suppress, cover over
Oppressyd 78: 13 repressed
Opyn 44: 17; 53:32 plain, manifest
Or 42 : 13 ; or euer $42: 27$ ere
Orestes 82
Other (A) eyther (B) 47 : 17 either
Ought = owed 69:4.
Ouide 7I (his "Metamorphosy") ; Epistles 76, 78
Parentele 57:14; 59:27 parentage
Penury 6I: 6 (" $p$. of wheat") dearth
Peregerine or straunge prohemes $52: 26$, foreign (Lat. Peregrina exordia)
Pernicion 56: 18 destruction, severe punishment
Persuadible (B); Parsuadyble (A) $4 \mathrm{I}: 28$ that which persuades, or is concerned with persuasion
Phrenesy 72: II frenzy, madness
Placys 44:3 f. the Places or Topica of Rhetoric; $44: 8,22$, etc. $-45: 18$ ("the places or instruments of a theme "'). Cf. Wilson fol. 7a, 50a, $62 f$, etc.
Plato 46, 54
Plato for Pluto 53
Playnes (A); playnnes (B) 44:30; plainness
Plutarche, his "Lives" 56
Poetes fayne and lye 53
Pointment 62:2 an agreement, appointment
Policiane 57, 65, 66
Porcyus Cato 67
Pose $84: 18 ; 85: 2$ to put the case, suppose
Poynte 73:3 to appoint
Preamble 50 : io f.
Preface 72:24. See Proeme
Prepensyd 4I:23 considered beforehand

Prepose (A) ; purpose (B) $42: 3$ propose
Pretenced 78:24 intended
Preuent 73:12 to secure in advance
Priuate $84: 27$ to deprive
Proeme 51:32; 52:24 preamble, exordium - proheme $52: 3$ etc.
Proposicion (in Rhetoric) 63 f .
Proposion $65: 9,18$; $68: 12$ for proposition
Propriete (A)=Property (B) $43: 17$; $75: 3$ I, etc., faculty, virtue
Purgacion (in Rhetoric) $80: 37$
Pyked 53: 16, pointed, peaked; 76:35 picked
Pynchynge 51:29 to accuse, blame. Orig. Lat. perstringere

Quenes 76:36 queans, wenches
Raciocination 77:32 f.; 78: 17 f.
Redman (Robert), the printer 88
Redyng, town of 4 I
Refell 84:4 to refute
Refellynge 7I:4 refuting
Reioyse 52:8 joy, cause of rejoicing Remocion of the faute $82: 8 \mathrm{f}$.
Reprouynge 58:4 disproving. See Improue

## Saluste 56, 66, 81

Sceuola, Caius Mucius 6I f.
Seiunction 74 f., a part of "Diuision"
Selden 63:2 seldom
Sene 53:28, scene, drama
Sensible 42 : I perceptible
Seruisable 4I: i6 prepared for rendering service
Soilynge 64:10; 7I:4, refuting or impugning
Somdele 54: 18, etc., somewhat
Speces (A); spices (B) $44: 33 ; 47: 8$ Species, or "kindes of oracions"
State (in Rhetoric) 71 f. etc. Lat. status, $G r . \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \iota s$, the character of the case as determined by the nature of the proposition on which issue is joined. Cf. Wilson 48 b (for definition)
Statute (v.t.) 46:16 (" to make or statute laws")
Stegie, for Styx 53:31

Stepend (A); stipende (B) 4I: I 5
Sterne 83: rudder, tiller. Lat. gubernaculum
Streyghtly (A); straytly (B) 4I: I 5 narrowly, closely
Stutted 79:7 stuttered
Surete 56: II rectitude, trustworthiness
Surryen 77:5. Lat. Syrus
Suspecte 53:24;71:35, etc., open to suspicion
Swaueland 59:36 Suabia
Sygnes (in Rhetoric) 78:34 f.
Sygnyfycacion 4I: I6 sign
Syttynge (B) - in (A) "fettynge" (fitting) $56: 27$

Tal men 76:36 bold, brave, men
Tarquine, 60:36
Temerarious 5I:33 headstrong, rash
Temerie (A) ; temerite (B) 5 I : 32. Lat. impudentia
Terence 55, 76, 77
Theme 44:6 f. See "Antytheme"
Thersites 53
Tho 43: I 5, etc., those
Thucydides 49
Translatynge or Translacion (in Rhetoric) $80: 33$; $82: 18$; Lat. translatio criminis

Trapesonce 88 Trapezuntius
Treatise 59: II treaties
Tributours 68:2I tributaries
Tully. See Cicero
Tuscaye 6I Tuscany
Tymerouse 76: 16 timid
Valyantnes 59:2 valor
Virgile 53
Vncurteysly 76:8 discourteously
Vnderstanden, Vnderstonde 54:36; 85: 12, 18, etc., understood
Vndiscrete 85: 16 indiscrete, lacking in discretion
Vnied 45:9 united
Vnplaine 86:27 not plain, obscure
Vnthryfty 80:26 vagabond, worthless
Vre 46:20 use
Whatsomever 42:3 $=$ whatsoever
Whether 6I:20; 74:35, etc. which one (of two)
Who, Whom (personal and impersonal relative). Impersonal (for "which ") $44: 4 ; 48: 5 ; 49: 4 ; 5 \mathrm{I}: 9$, etc.
Whosomeuer 43:II whosoever
"Wrytynge and sentence" 84:37
Ydolyshe 68: i connected with idols, or idolatry
Y1 49:25 evil

## ๔ye anibersity of $\mathfrak{C b i c a g o ~}$

# ENGLISH STUDIES (No. V) 

## LEONARD COX

# THE ARTE OR <br> CRAFTE OF RHETHORYKE 

A REPRINT<br>EDITED

WITH AN INTRODUCTION, NOTES, AND GLOSSARIAL INDEX

BY
FREDERIC IVES CARPENTER, Ph.D.

CHICAGO
Tbe Unipersity of Cbicago Dress
1899

Deacidified using the Bookkeeper process. Neutralizing agent: Magnesium Oxide Treatment Date: Sept. 2007

## PreservationTechnologies <br> A WORLD LEADER IN PAPER PRESERVATION

111 Thomson Park Drive
Cranberry Township, PA 16066
(724) 779-2111


[^0]:    ${ }^{6}$ Letters and Papers of the Reign of Henry VIII, Vol. IV.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ A synopsis is given in Gairdner, Letters and Papers of the Reign of Henry VIII (London, I883), Vol. VII, No. 659.
    ${ }^{3}$ I. e., written before Cromwell had been created a baron in 1536.
    ${ }^{4}$ Not printed apparently until I549, long after Cromwell's death. See, infra, p. 2 I.

    5 If this translation were ever completed it was never printed. The subject is one with which the age was greatly occupied. See Elyot's "The Governor." See also " A Lytell Booke of good Maners for Chyldren by Erasmus Roterodam, with Interpretacion of the same into the vulgare Englysshe Tonge, by Robert Whytynton, Laureate Poete" (London, W. de Worde, 1522).

[^2]:    ${ }^{x}$ Herbert's Ames, I, 482.
    ${ }^{2}$ English ambassador at Paris in 1533 and later. Soon after Wolsey's death a violent quarrel occurred between Cromwell and Sir John Wallop. (Cf. Jas. Gairdner, art. "Cromwell" in Dict. Natl. Biog.). The "tymes past" alluded to were probably subsequent to this event. Cox, who was a good linguist, knew French, and had probably lectured in Paris, may have attended Sir John in one of his embassies. At any rate we learn from this that Cox had been at court.
    ${ }^{3}$ In Gloucestershire, no great distance from Caerleon and Monmouth, two other places associated with Cox, and easily visited by one traveling from Reading. So Reading itself would be naturally visited by one passing from Caerleon or Thornbury to London.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ Note however the terms of the patent rehearsed below, by which it appears that Cox was still technically occupying a messuage pertinent to the school at Reading at the time of the issuing of the patent in I541.
    ${ }^{5}$ Given in full in Rymer's Fodera (London, 1712), Vol. XIV. p. 714.

[^4]:    ${ }^{3}$ Colvile, Worthies of Warwickshire, p. 883,
    ${ }^{4}$ Cooper, Athence Cantab.; also in Dict. Natl. Biog.

[^5]:    ${ }^{x}$ Tanner; Chalmers; etc. ${ }^{2}$ Cooper; Wood; etc. ${ }^{3}$ Life of Erasmus.

[^6]:    ${ }^{\text {² }}$ See the "Conclusion" of Cox's Rhetoric, infra, p. 88.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ Written about 1506 , and printed 1517 . See reprint of edition of 1555 in the Percy Society Publications, 1845 .

[^8]:    ${ }^{x}$ By which phrase I take it that Cox means his first essay in English. He had already made at least two essays in Latin.
    ${ }^{2}$ So in the "Conclusion" Cox similarly promises: "I will assay my selfe in the other partes, and so make and accomplysshe the hole werke."
    ${ }^{3}$ Its extreme rarity today is probably accounted for by the fact that it was a schoolbook - books, which so rapidly destroyed in use as they were, are the rarest of old books today.

[^9]:    ${ }^{x} B$. Anthethem.
    5 B. playnnes.
    ${ }^{2}$ B. purpofed.
    ${ }^{3}$ B. omits The.
    ${ }^{6} \mathrm{~B}$. foure.
    7 B. fourth.
    ${ }^{4}$ B. chaunced.
    ${ }^{8}$ B. fipices.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ B. omits any. ${ }^{2}$ B. two. ${ }^{3}$ B. belongyng.

[^11]:    ${ }^{x} B$. thynges.
    ${ }^{2}$ B. fyfte.
    ${ }^{3}$ B. two.
    ${ }^{4}$ B. omits and.
    ${ }^{5}$ B. neyther.
    ${ }^{6}$ B. nor.
    ${ }^{7}$ B. Equitie.
    ${ }^{8} \mathrm{~B}$. to them beynge Ciuil.

[^12]:    ${ }^{\text {I }}$ A. reads it.
    5 B. eare.
    ${ }^{2}$ B. inserts all after hym.
    ${ }^{3}$ B. And that whiche hath ben.
    ${ }^{4}$ B. proper facultie.
    ${ }^{6}$ B. supplies to.
    7 B. belongeth
    ${ }^{8}$ B. supplies of.

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ B. to.

[^14]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{I}}$ B. place.

[^15]:    ${ }^{\text {x }}$ B. Nazianzene.

[^16]:    ${ }^{x}$ B. proeme.

[^17]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sic for Pluto in both A and B .
    ${ }^{2}$ B. than.

[^18]:    ${ }^{x}$ B. make.
    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~B}$. vnderstand.

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ B. it omitted.
    ${ }^{3}$ As inserted from B.
    ${ }^{2}$ B. for to.
    ${ }^{4}$ B. fourthe.

[^20]:    ${ }^{1}$ From B. In A. excellent.
    ${ }^{2}$ B. fyttynge.
    ${ }^{3}$ B. ensample.

[^21]:    ${ }^{\text {I }}$ Sic, for laudes, in both A and B.
    ${ }^{2}$ From B ; A. fortune, "Fortitudinis" in Mel.

[^22]:    ${ }^{1}$ B. leeft.
    ${ }^{2}$ B. bataile.

[^23]:    ${ }^{x} B$. Dolus au $[t]$ virtus quis in hoste requirat.
    ${ }^{2}$ Sueviam in Mel.

[^24]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{x}}$ B. perteyneth.

[^25]:    ${ }^{1}$ B. facion.
    ${ }^{2}$ B. fpeke.

[^26]:    ${ }^{\text {r }}$ B. Cezare.
    ${ }^{2}$ B. profite.
    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~B}$. adds the.

[^27]:    ${ }^{1} B$. leeft.
    ${ }^{2} B$. thynges.
    ${ }^{3}$ Both A. and B. no.

[^28]:    ${ }^{I}$ B. perceyue.
    ${ }^{2}$ B. greatenes.

[^29]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{I}}$ B. deliberatiues.
    ${ }^{2}$ B. preface
    ${ }^{3}$ Sic in A and B , for laudes.
    4 B. leeft.

[^30]:    ${ }^{1}$ B. pity.
    ${ }^{3}$ B. omits afore.
    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}$ and B . tho.
    ${ }^{4}$ B. on.

[^31]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{B}$. fayenges.
    ${ }^{2} B$. thynges.
    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~B}$. propoficion.

[^32]:    ${ }^{1}$ So B. ; A. lytlye.

[^33]:    ${ }^{x}$ Of added in B.
    ${ }^{3}$ B. met.
    ${ }^{2}$ B. grudge.
    4 B. leeft.
    5 B. evident.

[^34]:    ${ }^{1} B$. thinges.
    ${ }^{2}$ B. Truely.
    ${ }^{3}$ Added in B.

[^35]:    ${ }^{I}$ B. enemy.
    ${ }^{3}$ A. wyll.
    ${ }^{2}$ B. quyt.
    ${ }^{4}$ B. larrecine.

[^36]:    ${ }^{x} B$. the.

[^37]:    ${ }^{2} B$. tall men.

[^38]:    ${ }^{1}$ B. beefte.
    ${ }^{2}$ B. accufe.
    ${ }^{3}$ B. leyfer.

[^39]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{x}}$ B. bruite beeftes.

[^40]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{B}$. goodnes.

[^41]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{B}$. inserts it.

[^42]:    ${ }^{x}$ B. fom.

[^43]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{I}}$ B. executours.
    ${ }^{2}$ B. ordeyn.

