Historic, archived document

Do not assume content reflects current scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.



[Chapter 23, Stat. L., 1895.]

[AN ACT Providing for the public printing and binding and the distribution of public documents.]

Section 73, paragraph 2:

The Annual Report of the Secretary of Agriculture shall hereafter be submitted and printed in two parts, as follows: Part One, which shall contain purely business and executive matter which it is necessary for the Secretary to submit to the President and Congress; Part Two, which shall contain such reports from the different Bureaus and Divisions, and such papers prepared by their special agents, accompanied by suitable illustrations, as shall, in the opinion of the Secretary, be specially suited to interest and instruct the farmers of the country, and to include a general report of the operations of the Department for their information. There shall be printed of Part One, one thousand copies for the Senate, two thousand copies for the House, and three thousand copies for the Department of Agriculture; and of Part Two, one hundred and ten thousand copies for the use of the Senate, three hundred and sixty thousand copies for the use of the House of Representatives, and thirty thousand copies for the use of the Department of Agriculture, the illustrations for the same to be executed under the supervision of the Public Printer, in accordance with directions of the Joint Committee on Printing, said illustrations to be subject to the approval of the Secretary of Agriculture; and the title of each of the said parts shall be such as to show that such part is complete in itself.

ORGANIZATION OF U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE.

Secretary of Agriculture, DAVID FRANKLIN HOUSTON. Assistant Secretary of Agriculture, CARL VROOMAN. Solicitor, FRANCIS G. CAFFEY. Attorney in Charge of Forest Appeals, THOMAS G. SHEARMAN. Chief Clerk, R. M. REESE. Appointment Clerk, R. W. ROBERTS. Expert on Exhibits, F. LAMSON-SCRIBNER. Office of Information. G. W. WHARTON, Chief. Weather Bureau, CHARLES F. MARVIN, Chief. Bureau of Animal Industry, ALONZO D. MELVIN. Chief. Bureau of Plant Industry, WM. A. TAYLOR, Plant Physiologist and Pathologist and Chief. Forest Service, HENRY S. GRAVES, Forester and Chief. Bureau of Entomology, L. O. HOWARD, Entomologist and Chief. Bureau of Chemistry, CARL L. ALSBERG, Chemist and Chief. Bureau of Soils, MILTON WHITNEY, Soil Physicist and Chief. Bureau of Biological Survey, HENRY W. HENSHAW. Biologist and Chief. Division of Accounts, A. ZAPPONE, Chief and Disbursing Clerk. Division of Publications, Jos. A. ARNOLD, Editor and Chief. Bureau of Crop Estimates, LEON M. ESTABROOK. Chief. Office of Experiment Stations, A. C. TRUE, Director. Office of Public Roads, LOGAN WALLER PAGE, Director. Office of Markets and Rural Organization, CHARLES J. BRAND, Chief. Librarian, CLARIBEL R. BARNETT. Insecticide and Fungicide Board, J. K. HAYWOOD, Chairman. Federal Horticultural Board, C. L. MARLATT, Chairman. 3

CONTENTS.

Report of the Secretary	Page
The National Forests and the Farmer. By Henry S. Graves	6
The Organization of a Rural Community. By T. N. Carver	8
Clean Water and How to Get it on the Farm. By Robert W. Trull- inger	13
Story of the Thermometer and Its Uses in Agriculture. By Alfred H. Thiessen	· 15
Retail Public Markets. By G. V. Branch	162
Cooperative Marketing and Financing of Marketing Associations. By C. E. Bassett, Clarence W. Moomaw, and W. H. Kerr	18
Brate Management of Fublic Roads: its Development and frend.	211
Apple Sirup and Concentrated Cider: New Products for Utilizing	_ 21.
Surplus and Oull Apples. By H. C. Gore	221
Movement from City and Town to Farme Ry George K Holmos	240 955
Our Shorehirds and Their Future. By Wells W Cooke	201
The Preparation of Fertilizer from Municipal Waste. By J. W.	
Turrentine.	298
Suggestions from Australasia to American Sheep Raisers. By F. R.	010
Marshall	318
The Erg and Poultry Demonstration Car Work in Beducing Our	008
\$50,000,000 Waste in Eggs. By M. E. Pennington, H. C. Pierce,	969
and H. L. Shrader New Production in the Argentine and Its Effect upon the In- ductory in the United States By A. D. Melvin and George M.	900
Rommel	381
Hard Wheats Winning Their Way. By Mark Alfred Carleton	391
Meat Production in Australia and New Zealand. By E. C. Joss	421
The Farm Wood-Lot Problem. By Herbert A. Smith	439
Nematodes and Their Relationships. By N. A. Cobb	457
Edible Snails. By E. W. Rust	491
Appendix:	
Agricultural Colleges in the United States	505
Agricultural Experiment Stations in the United States, Their	
Locations and Directors	507
State Officers in Charge of Agriculture State Officers in Charge of Cooperative Agricultural Extension	508
Work	508
Statistics of the Principal Crops	511
Farm Animals and Their Products	612
The Federal Meat Inspection	639
Agricultural Statistics from Census for 1910	04.] 651
Imports and Exports of Agricultural Froducts	680
Index	008

ILLUSTRATIONS.

PLATES.

	Page.
PLATE I. Fig. 1.—A farm in the Idaho National Forest. Fig. 2.—A small bun cattle grazing in an open yellow pine stand on the Durango Nat	ich of ional
Forest, Colo	72
II. An "agricultural" claim on the St. Joe National Forest	72
III. Another "agricultural" claim on the St. Joe National Forest	72
IV. Fig. 1Important irrigating ditch and lateral badly damaged by f	100 d .
Fig. 2.—A stream in a National Forest whose headwaters are protect	eted. 72
V. A small timber-sale area on the Madison National Forest, Mont	72
VI. A region for the mountain climber, camper, and sportsman	72
VII. Some types of well surroundings.	144
VIII. Some types of well and spring surroundings	144
IX. Some types of well and spring surroundings	144
X. Fig. 1.—Farmers' line, Eastern Market, Washington, D. C. Fig. 2.	Tn
closed market building and open space in a middle western city.	Fig.
3 -Portion of Fananil Hall Market Boston	176
XI Fig 1 _Onen part of Fik Street Market Buffalo Fig 2 _Partial vie	wof
open market Rephester N.V. Fig. 2. A section of formars' retail	ourb
morbet at Dubucus Jorge	176
VII Fig 1 An old time municipal market at Dittahurah Fig 0 Or	170
AII. Fig. 1.—All old-tille municipal market at Fittsburgh. Fig. 2.—Of	
Denver's "neighborhood markets." Fig. 3Feari Street Marke	л ш
	176
XIII. Fig. 1Cleveland's West Side Market. Fig. 2Interior view of sa	ime.
Fig. 3.—View of Denver's Farmers' Market	176
XIV. Partial view of cooperative canning plant in the Pacific Northwest.	192
XV. Fig. 1.—Virginia State-aid road. Fig. 2.—Michigan State-reward r	oad.
Fig. 3.—New Jersey State road	216
XVI. Fig. 1.—Ohio State highway. Fig. 2.—Maryland State highway	216
XVII. Fig. 1Land needing drainage, Henderson County, Ky. Fig. 2(Jow-
peas on drained land on the same farm	248
XVIII. Fig. 1Undrained land in Talbot County, Md. Fig. 2The same	land
after drainage	248
XIX. Fig. 1Undrained land, State test farm, Willard, N. C., 1910. Fig.	2.—
Cowpeas and corn on the same land after tile drainage, 1913	248
XX. Fig. 1Typical crop in black prairie belt of Alabama. Fig. 2"	rile-
drained land in black prairie belt of Alabama	248
XXI. Golden plover (Charadrius dominicus)	280
XXII. Eskimo curlew (Numenius borealis)	280
XXIII. Greater vellowlegs (Totanus melanoleucus)	280
XXIV, Fig. 1.—Modern plant for rendering garbage. Fig. 2.—Tanks for ren	der-
ing garbage	304
XXV. Fig. 1 — A group of merino rams Fig. 2 — Wool room of a New So	with
Wales shearing shed	200
XXVI Fig 1 -Baling room in an Australian chearing shed Fig 2 - A Out	020
land shoaring shod	200
XXVII Designs of well paper	040
XXVIII An inavanajwa plata and an inconvenient teanot	044
XXIX Good designs of mitchers	344
XXX Graning of pictures	344
XXXI Dig 1 Lateria of any language of the Dig.	344
AAAI. Fig. 1.—Interior of egg-demonstration car. Fig. 2.—A demonstratio	nm
the car	368

•

Illustrations.

	Page.
PLATE XXXII. Egg-candling chart	368
XXXIII. The placard that announces the coming of the car	368
XXXIV. School children visiting the car	368
XXXV. Heads of hard wheats of the United States	400
XXXVI. Fig. 1View of prairie on the western Great Plains. Fig. 2	
Turkey wheat in Montana, averaging 35 bushels per acre XXXVII. Fig. 1.—Thrashing Turkey wheat in the Molochna district, Northern Taurida. Fig. 2.—Hard-winter wheat flour mill at Sim-	400
ieropol, Crimea.	400
XXXVIII. Fig. 1.—Durum wheat under dry farming in Wyoming. Fig. 2.—	
Hard spring-wheat flour bread and durum-wheat flour bread	400
XXXIX. Fig. 1.—Stacks of Kubanka durum wheat hear Uraisk, Russia.	400
VI Fig. 1 Howling wheat to market in the Kirchie Steppe	408
AL. Fig. 1.—Halling wheat to market in the Kirghiz Steppe.	
In the resident of the resident of the rest of the residence of the reside	409
VII Fig. 1 — Virghig but Sibaria — Fig. 2 — Camp of pating Virghig bar-	400
vectore Fig. 3	408
XLII Fig. 1 A New Zeeland cooperative meet freezing plant Fig. 2 A	100
Queensland meat export works, showing method of drying sheep-	439
XLIII Views of municipal abattoir at Auckland New Zealand	432
XLIV. Fig. 1 — A municipal abattoir in a small New Zealand city. Fig. 2 —	102
General view of the new State abattoir at Sydney Australia	432
XLV. Corriedale ewe hoggets	432
XLVI. Where planting paid.	448
XLVII. White pine plantation in Connecticut.	448
XLVIII. Fig. 1.—Planting crew at work in timber which has suffered from re-	
peated fires. Fig. 2.—A portion of same tract rejuvenated through	
underplanting with white pine	448
XLIX, Fig. 1.—Oak and hickory stand in which larger trees were cut years	
ago. Fig. 2.—Practicing forestry: valuable young stand of white	
oak	448
L. What pasturing does to the woodlot	448
LI. Working up white-oak stave material in Arkansas	448
LII. A woodlot in fine condition	448
LIII. Fig. 1.—West Virginia mill owned by three farmers. Fig. 2.—Lum-	
bering with portable mill on North Carolina tract	448

TEXT FIGURES.

FIG. 1. Outline plan for the organization of a rural community	92
2. Comparison of annual timber cut and mineral output, with value of natural	
manure	99
3. A common type of cistern and filter	147
4. Pněumatic tank supply system with tank in basement supplied by hand force	
pump	152
5. Hydraulic ram pumping to a pneumatic tank supply system	153
6. Two methods of securing the necessary fall in drive pipe	154
7-9. Forms of thermometers	159
10. Temperature chart	162
11. Classification of State systems of road management—construction	217
12. Classification of State systems of road management-maintenance	218
13. Chart showing State highway progress.	222
14. Liming vat, steam pump, etc., used in making apple sirup at a steam cider mill	234
15. Dumper, ice breaker, and centrifugal machine used in crushing and centrifu-	
galizing frozen apple juice	240
16. Distribution of the Wilson snipe (Gallinago delicata)	277
17. Distribution of the woodcock (Philohela minor)	282
18. Distribution and migration of the Eskimo curlew Numenius borealis)	288
19. Plan of wool room and section of shearing board of an Australian shearing shed.	334

Illustrations.

 A table with adjustable top. The egg car's record. Average annual production of wheat in the United States, 1869 to 1878 Average annual production of wheat in the United States, 1899 to 1908. Distribution of hard wheats in Russia. Distribution of winter wheat in the United States. Diagrammatic representation of the nematode anatomy. Diagram showing relative abundance of nematodes in each successive 2 inches of soil. Some nematode parasites of the sheep. A very remarkable nematode. The dragon nematode called Heth. The dragon nematode. One of the injurious nematodes found in and on the roots of citrus trees. The banded nematode. The banded nematode. Head end of the double-diggr. Head end of a spear-bearing nematode. Six forms of organs known as amphids. Front view of a nematode monster. 		
 The egg car's record	'IG. 20.	A table with adjustable top
 Average annual production of wheat in the United States, 1869 to 1878 Average annual production of wheat in the United States, 1899 to 1908 Distribution of hard wheats in Russia	21.	The egg car's record
 Average annual production of wheat in the United States, 1899 to 1908	22.	Average annual production of wheat in the United States, 1869 to 1878
 24. Distribution of hard wheats in Russia. 25. Distribution of winter wheat in the United States. 26. Diagrammatic representation of the nematode anatomy 27. Diagram showing relative abundance of nematodes in each successive 2 inches of soil. 28. Some nematode parasites of the sheep. 29. A very remarkable nematode. 20. The asymmetrical nematode anatom. 21. A remarkable nematode called Heth. 22. The dragon nematode. 33. One of the injurious nematodes found in and on the roots of citrus trees. 34. The dagger nematode. 35. The banded nematode. 36. Complicated anatomy of free-living nematodes. 37. Two parasitic nematodes. 38. Head end of the double-digger. 39. Head end of a spear-bearing nematode. 41. Six forms of organs known as Iotas. 42. Front view of a nematode monster. 	23.	Average annual production of wheat in the United States, 1899 to 1908
 25. Distribution of winter wheat in the United States. 26. Diagrammatic representation of the nematode anatomy 27. Diagram showing relative abundance of nematode anatomy 27. Diagram showing relative abundance of nematodes in each successive 2 inches of soil 28. Some nematode parasites of the sheep. 29. A very remarkable nematode. 30. The asymmetrical nematode called Heth. 32. The dragon nematode. 33. One of the injurious nematodes found in and on the roots of citrus trees. 34. The dagger nematode. 35. The banded nematode. 36. Complicated anatomy of free-living nematodes. 37. Two parasitic nematodes. 38. Head end of the double-digger. 39. Head end of a spear-bearing nematode. 40. One of the nematodes known as Iotas. 41. Six forms of organs known as amphids. 42. Front view of a nematode monster. 	24.	Distribution of hard wheats in Russia
 26. Diagrammatic representation of the nematode anatomy. 27. Diagram showing relative abundance of nematodes in each successive 2 inches of soil. 28. Some nematode parasites of the sheep. 29. A very remarkable nematode. 30. The asymmetrical nematode. 31. A remarkable nematode. 32. The dragon nematode. 33. One of the injurious nematodes found in and on the roots of citrus trees. 34. The dagger nematode. 35. The banded nematode. 36. Complicated anatomy of free-living nematodes. 37. Two parasitic nematodes. 38. Head end of the double-digger. 39. Head end of a spear-bearing nematode. 40. One of the nematode known as Iotas. 41. Six forms of organs known as amphids. 42. Front view of a nematode monster. 	25.	Distribution of winter wheat in the United States
 Diagram showing relative abundance of nematodes in each successive 2 inches of soil Some nematode parasites of the sheep. A very remarkable nematode. The asymmetrical nematode. A remarkable nematode called Heth. The dragon nematode. One of the injurious nematodes found in and on the roots of citrus trees. The banded nematode. Complicated anatomy of free-living nematodes. Head end of the double-digger. Head end of a spear-bearing nematode. One of the nematodes known as Iotas. Six forms of organs known as amphids. Font view of a nematode monster. 	26.	Diagrammatic representation of the nematode anatomy
Of Some nematode parasites of the sheep. 29. A very remarkable nematode. 30. The asymmetrical nematode. 31. A remarkable nematode called Heth. 32. The dragon nematode. 33. One of the injurious nematodes found in and on the roots of citrus trees. 34. The dagger nematode. 35. The banded nematode. 36. Complicated anatomy of free-living nematodes. 37. Two parasitic nematodes. 38. Head end of the double-digger. 39. Head end of a spear-bearing nematode. 40. One of the nematodes known as Iotas. 41. Six forms of organs known as amphids. 42. Front view of a nematode monster.	27.	Diagram showing relative abundance of nematodes in each successive 2 inches
 A very remarkable nematode	28.	Some nematode parasites of the sheep.
 30. The asymmetrical nematode. 31. A remarkable nematode called Heth. 32. The dragon nematode. 33. One of the injurious nematodes found in and on the roots of citrus trees. 34. The dagger nematode. 35. The banded nematode. 36. Complicated anatomy of free-living nematodes. 37. Two parasitic nematodes. 38. Head end of the double-digger. 39. Head end of a spear-bearing nematode. 40. One of the nematodes known as Iotas. 41. Six forms of organs known as amphids. 42. Front view of a nematode monster. 	29.	A very remarkable nematode
 31. A remarkable nematode called Heth. 32. The dragon nematode. 33. One of the injurious nematodes found in and on the roots of citrus trees. 34. The dagger nematode. 35. The banded nematode. 36. Complicated anatomy of free-living nematodes. 37. Two parasitic nematodes. 38. Head end of the double-digger. 39. Head end of a spear-bearing nematode. 40. One of the nematodes known as Iotas. 41. Six forms of organs known as amphids. 42. Front view of a nematode monster. 	30.	The asymmetrical nematode
 32. The dragon nematode. 33. One of the injurious nematodes found in and on the roots of citrus trees. 34. The dagger nematode. 35. The banded nematode. 36. Complicated anatomy of free-living nematodes. 37. Two parasitic nematodes. 38. Head end of the double-digger. 39. Head end of a spear-bearing nematode. 40. One of the nematodes known as Iotas. 41. Six forms of organs known as amphids. 42. Front view of a nematode monster. 	31.	A remarkable nematode called Heth
 33. One of the injurious nematodes found in and on the roots of citrus trees	32.	The dragon nematode
 34. The dagger nematode. 35. The banded nematode. 36. Complicated anatomy of free-living nematodes. 37. Two parasitic nematodes. 38. Head end of the double-digger. 39. Head end of a spear-bearing nematode. 40. One of the nematodes known as lotas. 41. Six forms of organs known as amphids. 42. Front view of a nematode monster. 	33.	One of the injurious nematodes found in and on the roots of citrus trees
 35. The banded nematode. 36. Complicated anatomy of free-living nematodes. 37. Two parasitic nematodes. 38. Head end of the double-digger. 39. Head end of a spear-bearing nematode. 40. One of the nematodes known as Iotas. 41. Six forms of organs known as amphids. 42. Front view of a nematode monster. 	34.	The dagger nematode
 36. Complicated anatomy of free-living nematodes	35.	The banded nematode
 37. Two parasitic nematodes. 38. Head end of the double-digger. 39. Head end of a spear-bearing nematode. 40. One of the nematodes known as Iotas. 41. Six forms of organs known as amphids. 42. Front view of a nematode monster. 	36.	Complicated anatomy of free-living nematodes
 38. Head end of the double-digger	37.	Two parasitic nematodes
 39. Head end of a spear-bearing nematode. 40. One of the nematodes known as lotas. 41. Six forms of organs known as amphids. 42. Front view of a nematode monster. 	38.	Head end of the double-digger
 40. One of the nematodes known as Iotas	39.	Head end of a spear-bearing nematode
41. Six forms of organs known as amphids42. Front view of a nematode monster	40.	One of the nematodes known as Iotas
42. Front view of a nematode monster.	41.	Six forms of organs known as amphids
	42.	Front view of a nematode monster.
43. The devastating nematode of the onion and other bulbous crops	43.	The devastating nematode of the onion and other bulbous crops
44. The shark nematode.	44.	The shark nematode
45. A beneficial nematode	45.	A beneficial nematode

,

YEARBOOK OF THE U.S.DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

REPORT OF THE SECRETARY.

TR. PRESIDENT: Evidence abounds that more attention and more intelligent thinking are being directed to-day to the study of the fundamental problems in agriculture and in rural life than ever before. The last two years have been fruitful of significant State and Federal legislative and administrative measures designed to foster agriculture, to improve the distribution of agricultural products, and to better rural life. The people of the nation, urban and rural alike, are keenly interested in efforts to increase the supply of the necessaries of life, and recognize the supreme importance not only of making agriculture efficient and profitable, but also of making rural life comfortable, healthful, pleasurable, and attractive. Agriculture has made marked progress in a number of directions, but as an industry it has not kept pace with the other activities of the country. Relatively speaking, there has been a neglect of rural life by the nation. This neglect has perhaps not been conscious or willful. We have been so bent on building up great industrial centers, on rivaling the nations of the world in manufacturing and commerce, fostering these by every natural and artificial device we could think of, so busy in the race for populous municipal centers, that we have overlooked the very foundations of our industrial existence. It has been assumed that we have a natural monopoly in agriculture-that it could take care of itself, and for the most part we have cheerfully left it to do so.

The direction and emphasis of the national thought is changing, and we are witnessing the marshaling of many forces in the struggle for greater prosperity and for better conditions of living in the rural districts. We are witnessing a great increase in the expenditure of money to foster agriculture through all sorts of scientific and practical measures on the part both of the States and of the Federal Government. Material results are forthcoming, and while great civilized nations of the world are in the throes of a deadly and destructive war, the farmers of every section of the Union except one are prosperous and are enjoying their work and its fruits free from the burden of militarism and the threat of wholesale destruction of life and property. Thoughtful men can not fail to be optimistic over the agricultural situation and prospects, but optimism must not blind us to certain shortcomings.

PRODUCTION.

The progress of agriculture reveals itself more particularly in its diversification, in the rise of minor crops to larger proportions, than in the increased production of staple products. For example, dairying in the last generation has become an exceptionally important branch of agricultural economy, the annual production including more than one and a half billions pounds of butter, a half billion pounds of condensed milk, and a third of a billion pounds of cheese, having a value of approximately \$600,000,000. The production of orchard fruits exceeds 216,000,000 bushels a year, with a value of more than \$140,000,000. The value of the annual production of vegetables is in excess of \$400,000,000. The production of hay and forage approximates 100,000,000 tons, with a value in excess of \$800,000,000; the poultry products of the United States have reached a point where their annual value is about one-half that of the cotton crop at normal valuations, and marked increases are noted in the quantity and value of the cereals.

Report of the Secretary.

The following table is suggestive. Special attention is directed to the statistics of quantity no less than to those of value. Census data for later years than 1909 are not available, but the indications are that the upward tendency in the production of all the commodities included in the table except corn has persisted.

Comparison of acreage and production of various farm products in 1899 and 1909.

	1899			1909		
Product.	Prod		ction.		Production.	
	Acreage.	Quantity.	Value.	Acreage.	Quantity.	Value.
Cottonbales Cereals:	24, 275, 101	9, 534, 707	\$323,758,171	32,043,838	10, 649, 268	\$703, 619, 303
Cornbushels	94,913,673	2,666,324,370	828, 192, 388	98, 382, 665	2, 552, 189, 630	1,438,553,919
Oatsdo	29, 539, 698	943, 389, 375	217,098,584	35, 159, 441	1,007,142,980	414,697,422
Wheatdo	52, 588, 574	658, 534, 252	369, 945, 320	44, 262, 592	683, 379, 259	657, 656, 801
Barleydo	4,470,196	119, 634, 877	41,631,764	7,698,706	173, 344, 212	92, 458, 571
Ryedo	2,054,292	25, 568, 625	12, 290, 540	2, 195, 561	29, 520, 457	20, 421, 812
Rough rice,						
bushels	342, 214	9,002,886	6, 329, 562	610, 175	21,838,580	16,019,607
Dairy products			472, 276, 783			596, 413, 463
Milkgallons		7, 265, 804, 304			15, 813, 699, 474	
Butter (made						
on farms),						
pounds		1,071,626,056			994, 650, 610	222, 861, 440
Cheese (made	1					
on farms),						
pounds		16, 372, 318			9, 405, 864	1,148,708
Poultry and eggs.			281,070,693			509, 195, 232
Animals slaugh-						
tered on farms			189, 809, 229			270, 238, 793
All fruits			131,098,790			217, 576, 542
Orchard fruits,						
bushels		212, 365, 600	83,750,961		. 216,083,695	140, 867, 347
All vegetables			238, 531, 761			418, 110, 154
Potatoes, bushels.	2,938,778	273, 318, 167	98, 380, 110	3,668,855	389, 194, 965	166, 423, 910
Hay and forage,		, .				
tons	61, 691, 069	79, 251, 562	4 84, 254, 703	72, 280, 776	97, 453, 735	824, 004, 877

[Taken from the Twelfth and Thirteenth Censuses.]

¹ As reported from 16,069,298 dairy cows out of a total of 20,625,432 dairy cows. At this rate the total production of milk in 1909 would be 7,462,000,000 gallons.

We know that the wheat crop of 1914 of approximately 892,000,000 bushels is the greatest ever produced in our history, and that the crops of oats, barley, rye, potatoes, tobacco, and hay are unusually large. The cotton crop, forecast in October at 15,340,000 bales, is the second largest. The apple crop, estimated at 259,000,000 bushels, is the greatest ever harvested. The total production of six leading cereals is estimated to have been nearly 5,000,000,000 bushels, or about 428,000,000 bushels in excess of the crop of 1913. For the country as a whole the crop yields per acre were 2.3 per cent better than the average for the past 10 years. The average yield per acre of all the staple crops was 9.4 per cent greater than in 1913, and, except for corn, oats, and flaxseed, greater than the 10-year average.

But after all our efforts, while there is an increased diversification of agriculture and both a relative and absolute increase in important products, such as wheat, forage crops, fruits, dairy products, and poultry, we still note not only a relative but also an absolute decrease in a number of our important staple food products, such as corn and meats. In the former, in the last 15 years there has been no substantial advance. In cattle, sheep, and hogs there has been an absolute decline-in cattle, from the census year of 1899 to that of 1909, from 50,000,000 head to 41,000,000; in sheep, from 61,000,000 to 52,000,000; in hogs, from 63,000,000 to 58,000,000. Since 1909 the tendency has been downward, and yet during the period since 1899 the population has increased over 20,000,000. This situation exists not in a , crowded country, but in one which is still in a measure being pioneered, in one which, with 935,000,000 acres of arable land, has only 400,000,000, or 43 per cent, under cultivation, and in one in which the population per square mile does not exceed 31 and ranges from 0.7 person in Nevada to 508 in Rhode Island.

Report of the Secretary.

Just what the trouble is no one is as yet sufficiently informed to say. It can scarcely be that the American farmer has not as much intelligence as the farmer of other nations. It is true that the American farmer does not produce as much per acre as the farmer in a number of civilized nations, but production per acre is not the American standard. The standard is the amount of produce for each person engaged in agriculture, and by this test the American farmer appears to be from two to six times as efficient as most of his competi-Relatively speaking, extensive farming is still economtors. ically the sound program in our agriculture, but now it is becoming increasingly apparent that the aim must be, while maintaining supremacy in production for each person, to establish supremacy in production for each acre. The continued solution of the problem here suggested is one which now seriously engages the attention not only of the agricultural agencies of the several States, but also of the Federal Government.

Through every promising approach the Department of Agriculture is studying and attacking the problem of increasing production. Through breeding and selection, the improvement of cultural methods, and the control of plant diseases, experts in plant industry are lending their assist-They are introducing new crops and better varieties ance. of existing crops from other parts of the world, including drought-resistant plants for the semiarid regions of the West and better forage crops for the South; they are breeding higher yielding varieties of staple and other crops; they are indicating better cultural methods and practices, encouraging standardization, and suggesting utilization and disposal of crops in such manner as to make them yield an adequate return to the producer; they are stimulating the citrus-fruit industry; and they have produced by hybridization new and comparatively hardy types of citrus fruits which will become

important additions to the home supply of useful fruits, especially in the Southern States. They have established new plant industries in various parts of the country, such as date and cotton growing in Arizona and the Imperial Valley of California. They have pointed the way to the continued successful growing of cotton in boll-weevil districts. They are protecting the farmer against seed adulteration. In cooperation with other agencies, especially the Federal Horticultural Board, they are taking effective steps to safeguard the great potato industry of the nation. They have developed grain and cotton standardization to the point where beneficial results can be secured not only in further production, but also in more just and efficient distribution.

In like manner the experts of other bureaus have labored efficiently for the increased production of farm crops. The entomologists have pointed the way to the control or the extermination of many harmful insects. They have developed means for the control of the alfalfa weevil, assisted in the protection of crops against damage by chinch bugs and the Hessian fly, developed sprays for controlling deciduous fruit insects, and lessened the injury to orchards by the appletree borers. They have devised protection against the tobacco horn worm, carried on successful work in the eradication of the Rocky Mountain spotted-fever tick, studied the control of insects conveying disease to human beings, and rendered other service of marked value.

The experts of the Bureau of Soils have continued their studies of soils and have prosecuted their investigations into additional sources of fertilizer supply. They have extended the work of surveying and mapping the soil areas of the United States, having surveyed and mapped in detail since the inauguration of the work 329,539 square miles or 211,000,000 acres, and, in addition, have made reconnoissance surveys of 434,000 square miles, or 278,000,000 acres.

THE MEAT SUPPLY.

The experts of the Bureau of Animal Industry have intelligently and zealously prosecuted their tasks, but it remains true that this country faces a serious situation in the matter of its meat supply. Just what factors have brought this situation about no one can define with certainty, and no systematic attempt to define them has been made until recently. Realizing the urgency of the problem, I have appointed a committee, consisting of the best authorities I could discover. to study the subject. This committee is making a survey of the whole field and will report at the earliest practicable Its study embraces an investigation of production moment. and consumption and of the methods of producing, finishing, and marketing meat. When a conclusion is reached, such measures as may be helpful for increasing production and bettering distribution will be inaugurated.

In the meantime, however, certain things are clear, and definite plans for increasing the meat supply are in operation and can be continued with promise of large results.

It is evident that we have been considering the meat supply of the nation too exclusively in terms of the big ranch and of beef animals. Obviously it is important that we continue to help the cattlemen and to assist in further developing the big ranch. No pains will be spared to do this. The department is now spending money to develop the live-stock industry in connection with the reclamation projects and is asking for more. But unquestionably the largest hope for a considerable increase in our meat supply lies in four other directions: First, in a more satisfactory handling of the public grazing lands; second, in systematic attention to the production of beef animals in the settled farming areas of the country, particularly in the South; third, in increased attention to the smaller animals, such as swine and poultry; and fourth, in the control and eradication of the cattle tick, hog cholera, tuberculosis, and other animal diseases and pests.

The present methods of transforming the grasses of the public grazing lands into beef and mutton are generally conceded to be wasteful. It is estimated that under a proper system the quantity of beef and mutton produced on these lands could be increased by at least 50 per cent. The public grazing lands, in addition to 150,000,000 acres in the National Forests, embrace about 300,000,000 acres. Under the present laws any person may graze any number of stock at any time on any part of these 300,000,000 acres of the public grazing lands or grazing lands outside of National Forests. In earlier years, when there were comparatively few cattle on the range, the treatment of the lands as common worked fairly well, but the increased domestic and export demand for meat has resulted in overgrazing. Unseasonable and excessive grazing weakens the vitality of the range plant and permanently reduces the crop. Furthermore, as years have passed the area of the grazing lands has been greatly reduced through the taking up of homesteads, and in many cases the better lands were thus disposed of. There is no doubt that under legislation providing for an intelligent system of handling grazing lands a very large increase in the meat supply of the nation can be secured. This is not mere conjecture. The opinion is based on the experience not only of the State of Texas in handling its public lands, but also on that of the Federal Government in the management of the grazing lands in the National Forests.

For 10 years the United States Government has been conducting a successful experiment in the forests. So quietly has this experiment proceeded that few people have known even of its inauguration, recognized its importance, or appreciated its results. Under the Forest Service system annual grazing permits, with the necessary regulatory provisions, have been in force for nearly a decade. The results have been striking. In 1905, 81 acres supported but one

animal; in 1913, the average was one animal for each 51 This increase of 59 per cent has been due to many acres. factors which can not be detailed here. The net results are that the grazing lands in the forests under permits to nearly 29,000 live-stock growers support 1,600,000 cattle and horses, with their calves and colts, and 7,600,000 sheep and goats and their lambs and kids. In addition, the forest ranges furnished temporary grazing to millions of other animals which passed through the forests under crossing permits. The capacity of the range has not been reached. There is room for more animals on this part of the public domain. It has been demonstrated that under systematic management the grazing value of the lands can be restored and increased, that the range can be made to produce heavier animals, even with increased numbers, and that these lands can be improved Even with the very moderate faster in use than in idleness. grazing fees charged the stockmen pay the Government over \$1,000,000 annually. Their gross receipts probably exceed \$30,000,000, and their invested capital is more than \$100,000,000. A proof of what this constructive handling of the range problem signifies may be found in the desire of many stockmen to have the department's system of grazing extended to the open public range outside the National Forests.

There is no question that the average farmer in the settled areas of the United States generally, North, East, South, and West, can produce without great expense a larger number of beef animals, if only as by-products, to the betterment of his farm economy. In this direction the farmer in the South enjoys unusual opportunities. It is further apparent that farmers everywhere, if they will apply existing knowledge, can largely increase the supply of swine and poultry products, which constitute a large and growing part of the consumption of the average family. In no other way can a con-

75922°--увк 1914----2

siderable addition be so quickly made to our meat supply as through increased attention to poultry and swine on all the farms of the nation, and particularly in the South, where the deficiency is so marked. The annual value of the poultry products alone aggregates half a billion dollars, or 50 per cent of the total value of the cotton produced in the United The last census, however, shows a lamentable neg-States. lect of live stock in the South. While in Iowa the average farm has 6 milch cows, in North Carolina and Alabama it has less than 2, and in South Carolina 1. While in Iowa the average farm has 35 hogs, in North Carolina and Alabama it has less than 5, and in South Carolina less than 4. While in Iowa the average farm has more than 108 head of poultry, in North Carolina and Alabama it has less than 20, and in South Carolina less than 17. An investigator has recently said that the average farm home in Georgia produces less than 2 eggs a week; about two-thirds of an ounce of butter and two-thirds of a pint of milk a day; one-third of a hog, one-twelfth of a beef, and one-hundredth of a sheep a year for each member of the family; and that the cotton crop of the State does not pay the State's food and feed bill. No Southern State is giving sufficient attention to the production of foodstuffs either for human beings or for live stock. A conservative estimate indicates that Texas imports from other States annually more than \$50,000,000 worth of wheat, corn, and oats; Georgia more than \$24,000,000; South Carolina more than \$20,000,000. Twelve Southern States import more than \$175,000,000 worth of these three commodities and \$48,000,000 worth of meats, dairy products, and poultry products. It may be admitted that most of these States should not undertake the production of these commodities for foreign or interstate shipment in competition with the great States of the Middle West, but every student of the subject must recognize the unwisdom of the neglect to produce enough of these things

for the consumption of their people and for the laying of the foundation of a prosperous live-stock development.

Too exclusive devotion to a single crop anywhere is unwise for normal times and spells disaster in times of disturbance. It is bound to produce just such a catastrophe as has befallen the South in the present emergency. It prevents the full utilization of land and labor, fails to fill the gaps in the work schedules, and furnishes no reserve.

If farmers in the South had heretofore practiced diversification on a sufficiently large scale, producing their own home supplies, that section would not be in its present hard The experts of this department are laboring earnestly case. to bring about a better direction of the agricultural activities of the South. If this is especially successful the coming season, and a much larger part of the land, labor, and capital of the South is devoted to the production of foodstuffs, relief will be afforded in this emergency, and there will be promise there of a permanent, wiser farm economy. This undertaking will require the cooperation in the South of all the agents of the department, of the farmers, of the business men, and of the bankers. If the department had available an additional \$100,000 or \$150,000 with which to place a number of experts in live stock, marketing, and other subjects in the field, much greater headway could be made.

But an easier and more definite program for a large increase in the meat supply involves the eradication of the cattle tick, of tuberculosis, and of hog cholera, and the prompt suppression of serious outbreaks of such diseases as the foot-and-mouth disease. The Federal Department of Agriculture inspects meats passing into interstate commerce. Of 57,000,000 animals inspected in the fiscal year 1914, 533,000 were found to be infected with tuberculosis. This disease is increasing. It is estimated that hog cholera caused a loss in 1913 of over 6,000,000 hogs, valued at more than \$60,000,000, and that the cattle tick causes an annual loss of from \$40,000,000 to \$100,000,000 or more and prevents the proper development of the live-stock industry in the infected area.

The department is now directing the expenditure of a fund of \$500,000 for the eradication of hog cholera, and many of the States are likewise spending considerable sums. The two agencies are working in cooperation and are making experimental and field demonstrations in the control of this disease. Unquestionably the appropriation of half a million dollars for the eradication of hog cholera should be continued.

The work of tick eradication is progressing. It has resulted to date in the clearing up and freeing of 220,000 or more square miles, an area exceeding that of Georgia, Florida, Alabama, and Mississippi combined, or greater than that of France or Germany. There still remains an area about double that of the State of Texas, or more than twice that of Germany or France, which is infested. A more vigorous effort with larger funds for the clearing of this area would be wise economy.

Other animal diseases interfere seriously with meat production, and from time to time great actual loss in cattle . and hogs and still greater disturbance of industry are caused by the foot-and-mouth disease. This disease affects cattle, sheep, other ruminants, and swine. It manifests itself by abnormal elevation of temperature and by ulcers or vesicles in the mouth and on the feet. It is not necessarily fatal, but frequently affects very seriously the value of the ani-There were outbreaks of foot-and-mouth disease in mals. this country in 1870, 1880, 1884, 1902, and 1908. Since the close of the fiscal year 1914 the sixth outbreak has occurred. The first three, those in 1870, 1880, and 1884, were comparatively triffing. Those in 1902 and 1908 were more grave. The present is the most serious and extensive of all.

In 1902 the outbreak occurred in the New England States. In 1908 it originated in Detroit. The origin of each of these outbreaks was traced to the importation of vaccine virus for the propagation of vaccine for use in vaccinating people against smallpox. The vaccine virus was imported from Japan, where the foot-and-mouth disease exists. Each of these outbreaks was stamped out by methods which have proved most effective in preventing the disease from gaining a footing. These methods involved the killing of all infected and exposed animals, the burying of the carcasses, and the thorough disinfection of all premises with which the animals may have come in contact.

On October 18, 1914, the Bureau of Animal Industry of this department learned that cattle in the vicinity of Niles, Mich., were infected. It was thought for a time that the trouble might be confined to two counties in Michigan and two adjoining counties in northern Indiana, but evidently before the disease was reported and before it was diagnosed shipments of infected animals passed through the Chicago stockyards. Upon tracing shipments from these yards animals infected with the disease were found at points in Michigan, Indiana, Ohio, Wisconsin, Pennsylvania, Maryland, New Jersey, Kentucky, Iowa, and Massachusetts. Subsequent points of infection have been discovered. The existence of the disease in Montana has been traced to animals from the infected area in Wisconsin, and its existence in Washington has been traced from animals which crossed the trail of the Montana herd. The department has taken every step possible to control the disease and to prevent its spread. It has worked in close cooperation with the State authorities and with great numbers of individuals and of associations of individuals. It has pursued its former policy of purchasing diseased and exposed cattle at an appraised value and of slaughtering and burying them. It has established and maintained a very strict quarantine. The expense of this task will be very great, and it will be necessary to ask the Congress for an emergency appropriation of several millions of dollars. The interests at stake are vast and justify any reasonable expenditure.

It is not possible at the present time to state positively what the origin of the present outbreak was. The disease is highly contagious. It may be carried by birds, dogs, cats, rats, or ruminants, or by human beings passing over territory which diseased animals have traversed. Horses going over infected ground may convey the disease in dirt adhering to their feet. When one animal in a herd becomes affected it has usually spread to all the others. As has been stated, the outbreaks in 1902 and 1908 were traced to vaccine virus. The most plausible suggestion as to the origin of the present outbreak is that it was introduced with importations of an article used in tanning. This article is imported from several countries. There is in the vicinity of Niles, Mich., a small tannery using the article in question, and swine owned by employees of the tannery kept in this vicinity were the first animals known to have contracted the malady. Since the disease exists in a great part of Europe, in the Orient, in South America, and other places, there will be danger so long as there is any trade or travel with such countries. Apparently the only certain way to prevent the introduction of the disease into this country from abroad is absolutely to isolate this nation from others. The only reasonable thing which can be done is to enforce as carefully as possible the inspection laws, to give the Department of Agriculture sufficient authorization and emergency funds to cope with the disease when it does appear, and to institute such scientific inquiry and experiments under absolutely safe conditions as may be practicable in an attempt to discover the cause of the disease and to ascertain and apply the remedy. The present situation suggests the wisdom of legislative action to this effect. If the interval between the sessions of Congress had

been longer, the department would have been without . adequate funds to deal with the problem.

FARM ECONOMICS AND BUSINESS METHODS.

A different but strikingly important aspect of production and of farm operations is the application of economic principles and of sound business methods. This subject has received little consideration. In every other industry successfully prosecuted the employment of sound business principles from the outset is regarded as a prerequisite. In this direction, as in others, farming has lagged behind, and the several farming activities have been too largely conceived as being separate rather than as closely interrelated. It is highly necessary that the farmer, as well as any other business man, should know at all times just how his business stands, what parts are profitable, what unprofitable, and how he should redirect his activities to assure success. For this purpose the farm must of necessity be looked upon as a whole. It is the object of the Office of Farm Management to do this. The service of the economist should be enlisted in 'all our agricultural undertakings. All agricultural enterprises and the work of all agricultural establishments are economic in their character, and yet it is true that up to the last two years neither the farm as such nor any institution or establishment dealing with the farm has invoked the assistance of the economist. For the most part the economist has not realized the obligation resting upon him and has paid scant attention to the urgent economic problems in the field of rural life. It is probably true that not more than 10 or 12 of the economists of this country could qualify as experts in rural economics. Their attention has been somewhat exclusively absorbed by problems growing out of the industrial life of the nation and out of its international relations. The emergence of acute business problems in agriculture and the complexity of the

Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

problems of distribution and organization justify a different attitude on the part both of the economist and of the authorities responsible for agricultural leadership. The inauguration of work in farm management is a hopeful indication of change.

The business of the student of farm management is to make an analysis of the operations of the farmer, to study the proper adaptation of the type of farming to local conditions, such as soil and climate, the size of the market, market demand and transportation, the quality of the farm business, its diversity, its organization, the distribution of farm enterprises, and the costs of each sort of product. The investigations of the Office of Farm Management are yet in their infancy, and there is much to learn in this branch of agricultural science, but the inquiries thus far pursued furnish a deeper insight into the causes of success and failure in farming and give promise of helpful results in the increase of production on a profitable basis.

DISTRIBUTION.

A constructive agricultural program must of necessity contemplate distribution as well as production, and, vital and urgent as are the direct problems of production, even more important in a sense and more immediately pressing are the problems of distribution and marketing. The solution of problems in this field is essential not only for the increase of production but also for the elimination of injustice, and for the guaranty, on the one hand, to the producer that he shall receive a fair reward for his labor and the right value for the specific product which he sells, and, on the other, to the consumer that he shall receive the exact commodity for which he pays a specific price. The attempt by governmental agencies to solve problems of distribution and marketing is recent. Considerable headway has been made, and the indications are that the thought

 $\mathbf{24}$

both of the State and of the nation has been keenly aroused and that further effective measures will be adopted. One of the greatest weaknesses of American agriculture at the present time arises from the lack of a knowledge of the facts of distribution, of business organization, of cooperation, and of resident leadership. Attention has heretofore been directed to the new enterprises of the department in marketing, in cooperation, rural credit, and the general organization of rural communities for their intellectual, sanitary, and social betterment. Already the Congress has laid the foundation for work along these lines by making available a fund of \$240,000 and by enacting the cotton futures act.

Other measures of great importance, such as those dealing with cotton standards, grain standards, a permissive warehouse system, and land-mortgage banks, have been pushed toward completion. Generally speaking, all these measures have for their object the elimination of waste, the systematizing of the processes of distribution, the injection of adequate business methods into these activities, and the development of the requisite degree of business cooperation among farming units. Several of these measures merit further comment.

MARKETING.

Sufficient indication of the scope of the work of the Office of Markets was given in the department's last annual report. Initiated a little more than a year ago with an appropriation of \$50,000, an expansion was made possible by the increase in the amount to \$200,000 in the current appropriation for the department. In a new and untried field of this kind the first concern must be to secure the requisite number of trained men, very difficult to find, who can undertake efficiently the several lines of investigation. The organization has now reached a fair stage of development and is actively prosecuting its tasks. It has

Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

obtained much reliable information concerning cooperative marketing and purchasing. It has demonstrated that cooperation in some form is much more prevalent in the United States than is generally believed. A record of more than 8,500 marketing associations, about 2,700 cooperative and farmers' elevators, 2,500 cooperative and farmers' creameries, and more than 1,000 cooperative fruit and produce associations has been secured. While the survey is not complete, it is reported that over a billion dollars' worth of agricultural products are annually marketed by cooperative and farmers' marketing associations.

The majority of these associations have furnished the office detailed statements of their plans of organization, of the products handled, of the forms of business, and of other important items, including constitutions, by-laws, and financial statements. The conclusion seems justified that in communities where farmers' associations are properly constituted and operated better results are obtained than under a system of individual handling. Advantages present themselves in the standardizing and packing of products and in the discovery of the best daily market. Much information has been secured as to the laws of the various States under which such organizations may be created. The effort is being made to determine the principles on which the enterprises that have succeeded have operated and those upon which the enterprises that have failed have proceeded. The business practices of the enterprises have been carefully considered, and the results of investigation are being tabulated and formulated. At the earliest possible moment the results will be incorporated in circulars Likewise, inquiries have been set on foot and bulletins. concerning market centers, the market surplus, the rate of movement, the outlets for commodities, the prices of specific products by definite trade areas, and the possibilities of increasing distribution in an economical way.

Lists of producers, producers' organizations, shippers, transportation agents, and officials from whom information on specific perishable products may be secured are being compiled. For example, the records show that the number of points from which commercial shipments are known to originate for certain specific products are as follows: Strawberries, 900; peaches, 1,800; tomatoes, 600; cabbage, 1,700; onions, 1,500. A special inquiry has been made concerning the commercial storage of cabbage and onions in the North, to guide growers in southern areas. In all these directions information has been furnished to producers in different sections. There have been special investigations of the marketing of peaches and cantaloupes in Georgia; of cantaloupes and truck crops in North and South Carolina; of cantaloupes, strawberries, and truck crops in Virginia; of peaches, cantaloupes, and strawberries in Delaware; of peaches in Maryland and West Virginia; of peaches, strawberries, and truck crops in New Jersey; of peaches and truck crops in Pennsylvania; of potatoes in Maine; of cantaloupes and cabbage in Colorado; of truck crops in Mississippi and Texas, and of fruit and potatoes in Arkansas, Missouri, Tennessee, and Kentucky. Much advice and assistance has been furnished to growers in these sections.

City marketing and distribution, including the various types of public markets, wholesale terminal markets, and auctions and other methods of distributing food products in cities, have likewise been the subject of investigation. At Jackson, Mich.; Providence, R. I.; Trenton, N. J.; Philadelphia, Pa.; St. Louis, Mo.; and Jersey City, N. J., in response to requests from city officials or producers' organizations, the municipal public market situation has been analyzed. Personal investigations have been followed by extensive reports containing specific advice regarding suitable types of markets, their design, cost, construction, and advantageous location, the arrangement of transportation facilities, and many other details. Municipally and privately owned public retail markets, producers' wholesale and retail markets, wholesale terminal markets, and auctions have been studied in Boston, New York, Norfolk, Baltimore, Washington, Albany, Rochester, Buffalo, Cleveland, Detroit, Chicago, Madison, Pittsburgh, Cincinnati, Columbus, Toledo, Dubuque, Des Moines, Kansas City, and Denver. Details have been secured of market costs, maintenance, construction, sanitation, location, offerings, prices, and the service, or lack of it, which these markets render the people.

A special object of inquiry has been the possibility of marketing by parcel post and express. Many experiments have been conducted by sending, receiving, and examining experimental shipments. Exhibits have been made at many gatherings. The first experiments in this direction were made with eggs, in family-size lots, the results of which have been published in Farmers' Bulletin No. 594. It has been found that where proper care in packing and handling commodities is exercised the marketing of certain products by parcel post and express can be profitably undertaken.

The marketing of live stock, of meats, and of animal by-products has been investigated. The object has been to determine the relative efficiency of the marketing of beef cattle in the central and local markets in the various producing areas of the United States, and to ascertain in what particular improvements may be made.

The areas selected for these studies were the East, the South, the corn belt, the Northwest, the Southwest, and the Pacific slope. Data have been obtained chiefly by selecting typical shipments of cattle whose approximate cost of production is known, by following them from the farm, ranch, or plantation through the stockyards, packing houses, and wholesale

and retail markets to the consumer, and by keeping itemized accounts covering the transportation, selling, and slaughtering of the live stock and the distributing and retailing of the beef. As far as possible, normal factors of loss, such as mortality, shrinkage, condemnations, bad accounts, and depreciation, and also items of expense which the consumer must pay indirectly, such as rent, heat, light, handling, storage, cutting, and delivery, have been considered in these In this manner twelve lots of beef cattle statements. have been traced from Oregon, Montana, Kansas, Texas, Alabama. Illinois, and Virginia to the open market at Portland, Oreg., Fort Worth, Kansas City, East St. Louis, Chicago, and Baltimore. The beef yielded by the cattle has been followed to its destination, the principal shipments having gone to Portland, Oreg., Seattle, Indianapolis, Fort Wayne, Detroit, Cleveland, Baltimore, Jersey City, New York, Brooklyn, Providence, Boston, and a number of smaller eastern cities, and ultimately having been sold to family, hotel, restaurant, and dining-car trade.

Information has also been obtained relative to the methods and cost of dressing and handling beef by local butchers in various sections and under various conditions. Tests of this kind have been arranged at Urbana, Ill.; Auburn, Ala.; South Haven, Mich.; at the municipal abattoir at Paris, Tex.; and at the farmers' cooperative packing house at La Crosse, Wis.

A directory and descriptive file of all centralized live-stock markets in the United States, including their railroad facilities, stockyard equipment, selling agencies, buying interests, number and character of receipts and shipments, and the sources of supply and outlets for finished meat products and by-products, is now being compiled.

Further investigations and a more complete analysis of the facts in hand will be necessary before definite conclusions Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

can be stated as to the relative cost of transporting, slaughtering, wholesaling, and retailing beef, and the extent to which the cost may be reduced in each instance. Each of the large markets draws its supplies from at least several States, and some of them from a larger area. During the present grass season, for example, Chicago has received Mexican, Canadian, and Florida cattle at about the same time. Steers raised in California by an Oregon operator have been shipped through Denver to Omaha and the beef sent to points on the Atlantic coast. Hogs raised in Illinois and sold at Indianapolis have been slaughtered at Boston and a part of the cured bacon shipped to Los Angeles. Michigan dressed veal calves expressed to South Water Street commission houses at Chicago have been returned to the identical shipping points from which they came to fill orders from local retail markets. The importance of this work and the results thus far obtained justify a larger support of this service, especially as its organization has now progressed to the point where it is possible to utilize ampler funds with the requisite efficiency.

GRAIN AND COTTON STANDARDS.

The matter of the standardization of grades of grain and cotton is of great practical consequence to the farmer and to farming. This is a work upon which the experts of the Bureau of Plant Industry have been engaged for years. During the last year the adequacy of the data accumulated with regard to corn made possible the formulation and promulgation of grades for that grain which, if generally adopted and uniformly applied throughout the country, will simplify the relations between producers, dealers, and consumers. Under these grades, fairly used, the grower or shipper of a superior quality of grain will be in a position to demand from the buyer the fair value to which the quality of his product entitles him. On the other hand, the producer of a product of inferior quality will receive a lower return.

The beneficial influence upon agriculture of a uniform system of grading staple crop products will be very great through the financial incentive afforded the farmer to improve the quality of his product by the careful selection of varieties, skillful culture, and adequate and effective methods of harvesting, handling, and protecting it while in his hands. Advice that he improve his methods, no matter how sound and well intended, will not make and ought not to make much impression upon him unless it can be clearly demonstrated that it will pay him to improve his product and his handling methods. Concrete evidence of the profitableness of this course in the form of larger net returns for his output will do more than any other single factor to arouse action and effective interest in crop improvement. Investigation of the handling and standardization of other staple grains, particularly wheat and oats, is proceeding. It is gratifying that a considerable proportion of the State grain-inspection departments and commercial organizations maintaining grain-inspection departments located in the grain belt and the Gulf coast sections have already adopted the Government grades for corn or have declared their intention to adopt them.

In addition to the continuance of the preparation and distribution of standard grades for cotton, promulgated under statutory authority some years ago, investigation of the spinning value of those grades has recently been undertaken with a view to determine as accurately as possible the relative value of the various grades through tests conducted on a commercial scale. Methods of determining the exact length of staple cotton have been developed, which may eventually be applied in the cotton trade. Measures for securing the adoption of grain and cotton standards in trade have occupied much of the time of the Congress, and the indications for their enactment into law are favorable.

WAREHOUSE SYSTEM.

Another proposal is that for a permissive warehouse system for grain and for cotton. The study by the department of the warehousing of grain has not proceeded as far as its study of the warehousing of cotton, but this work is being prosecuted actively, and the results should be available in the near future. Interesting results have already been furnished by the investigation of the cotton-warehousing situa-A detailed survey of the State of Georgia shows that tion. there are in that State more than 1,000 warehouses in operation. Reports from 700 of these give a storage capacity of 1,200,000 bales uncompressed. The remaining 300 probably have a total capacity of at least 300,000 bales. To these may be added the storage houses belonging to the cotton mills of the State, which take care, approximately, of 400,000 In North Carolina there are 129 warehouses, with a bales. storage capacity of about 200,000 bales, and the mills of the State can store 375,000 bales. The situation in South Carolina, Alabama, and Mississippi is similar to that in Georgia. Virginia, Tennessee, Florida, Louisiana, and Texas have warehouses with a storage capacity exceeding the annual production, but these are located for the most part at shipping points, such as Norfolk, Memphis, Jacksonville, Pensacola, New Orleans, Houston, and Galveston.

Comparatively few of the small towns have warehouses. • Taking the entire cotton belt, it is probably true that the warehouses now in existence could store the cotton that would be offered during any ordinary season, but the warehouses are not evenly distributed. Most of them are constructed without reference to the standards recognized by underwriters and have to pay a high insurance rate. Few of them are bonded, and financial institutions which might advance money on cotton certificates from bonded warehouses are cautious in dealing with them. If the ware-

Report of the Secretary.

houses in existence were remodeled so as to comply with the underwriters' requirements and were bonded, and if adequate business methods were adopted, the financing of the cotton crop would be materially improved. Such a system would furnish relief in times of emergency and would form a useful part of a normal distributive system. It is probable that a Federal law for a permissive warehousing system would stimulate this desirable development.

COTTON FUTURES ACT.

The Cotton Futures Act is the first definite, systematic, legal approach to the solution of difficult problems in this field of distribution. Interest in the economics of the cotton situation has grown rapidly in recent years and has been especially marked during the present season because of the difficulties arising out of the European war. For many years there has been a growing dissatisfaction with the future markets as a means of price insurance. The demand for reform in certain practices and methods of the exchanges resulted in the passage of the futures act, which is designed to increase the safety of the future contract as an insurance and to limit somewhat the possibility of manipulation.

The enactment of this legislation is of importance to cotton growers because of the fact that in normal years the price when the farmer sells is usually based directly on the quotations of the future market. It is generally admitted that the undesirable features of future transactions are due to the number of different standards in use in the markets, to the fixed-difference system of establishing the value of other grades than middling, to the fact that very low qualities of cotton suitable for spinning use by only a few mills sometimes compose the larger part of the warehouse stock of an exchange and are deliverable on contract, to the fact that tenders of cotton under contracts do not indicate the qualities

75922°--- твк 1914------3

Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

to be delivered, and to the fact that under the pro forma delivery practice several weeks sometimes elapse before the person who is obligated to receive cotton knows the grades tendered to him, for which he has contracted to pay at the time of delivery.

The act, by prescribing a form of contract, provides that future contracts must be based on uniform standards established by the Department of Agriculture; prohibits the pro forma deliveries and the tendering of cotton of less than seven-eighths inch in staple in settlement of future contracts; provides for the settlements for grades above or below the basis grade in accordance with prevailing commercial differences; allows an appeal to the Department of Agriculture in case of a dispute as to grades, staple, or quality of cotton tendered in settlement; lodges power with the department to ascertain commercial differences actually prevailing in the spot markets, and thus to safeguard the exchanges from unintentional error which might subject their contracts to taxation; makes it the duty of the department to determine which are bona fide spot markets, and to designate the ones which shall be used as a basis for determining commercial differences; and imposes a prohibitive tax upon contracts for the future delivery of cotton on a basis price if they do not conform to the terms of the act.

The act as a whole is constructive and regulatory, not destructive or oppressive. It recognizes that the exchanges, when they are properly conducted, may benefit both the purchaser of raw cotton and the manufacturer of goods. The administration of this act has been intrusted immediately to the Office of Markets in collaboration with the Bureau of Plant Industry. A new standard set of grades, more truly representative of American cotton than any hitherto in use, has been prepared. The requisite surveys have been set on foot, tentative regulations have been prepared, and hearings held with a view to secure advice and

 $\mathbf{34}$

suggestions for the revision of the regulations and the adoption of them in their ultimate form. No effort will be spared to lay the foundations for the enforcement of the act and to give the necessary information at the earliest possible moment, so that the exchanges of the country which desire to do so may operate in accordance with the terms of the new law even before it becomes effective.

RURAL CREDITS.

Closely related to the production and distribution of farm products is the securing of capital by farmers on better This problem has attracted the profound attention terms. of the country and still awaits a full solution. The difficulties arise partly from the diffusion and sparseness of the rural population, partly from the failure of proper business adjustment, and partly from the inadequacy of the security which part of the farming population normally can offer for The problem is one of extending the banking maloans. chinery and facilities more intimately into the country districts for the convenience and the assistance of the rural population and of the effective mobilization and utilization of the resources of the country people themselves.

The chief difference of opinion arises over whether there should be special aid furnished by the Government. There seems to be no emergency which requires or justifies Government assistance to the farmers directly through the use of the Government's cash or the Government's credit. The American farmer is sturdy, independent, and self-reliant. He is not in the condition of serfdom or semiserfdom in which were some of the European peoples for whom government aid was extended in some form or other during the last century. He is not in the condition of many of the Irish farmers for whom encouragement and aid have been furnished through the land-purchase act. As a matter of fact, the American farmers are more prosperous than any other
farming class in the world. As a class they are certainly as prosperous as any other great section of the people; as prosperous as the merchants, the teachers, the clerks, or the mechanics. It is necessary only that the Government, so far as geographic and physical conditions permit, provide machinery for the benefit of the agricultural classes as satisfactory as that provided for any other class, and this the Government has attempted and is attempting to do.

It is the judgment of the best students of economic conditions here that there is needed to supplement existing agencies a proper land-mortgage banking system operating through private funds, just as other banking institutions operate, and this judgment is shared by the leaders of economic thought abroad. The national banking system up to the present time has labored under restrictions imposed by law which made it impossible for the national banks to solve the problems in the most effective way. State banks with fewer restrictions, with smaller capital requirements, and ability to lend on real estate have established more intimate touch and have perhaps rendered greater assistance. Likewise certain agencies, such as building and loan associations, insurance and mortgage debenture companies, and the cooperative credit associations recently created by State legislation in Texas, Massachusetts, New York, and Wisconsin, operate to extend capital to the farming districts, and thereby in a measure tend to cut down the rate of interest.

When the national banking law, commonly called the Federal Reserve Act, was under discussion in Congress, the matter of farm credits was considered and debated, but it was decided that the subject as a specific program should be separately dealt with in another act. The Federal Reserve Act was passed with a view to the improvement of the banking conditions of the country in the interest of all classes; to the restoration of normality in banking; to the establishment of a reserve or banking power which could be utilized in times of emergency; and therefore with a view to secure good banking at all times and to prevent panics. It is not a banker's law, or a business man's law, or a manufacturer's law, or a farmer's law; it is a law for all classes—for all the people. However, there were incorporated into the act several very important provisions which had in mind specifically the needs of the farming classes and the possibility of extending banking facilities to the rural districts.

It was specifically provided that a Federal reserve bank might "discount notes, drafts, and bills of exchange arising out of actual commercial transactions-that is, notes, drafts, and bills of exchange issued or drawn for agricultural, industrial, or commercial purposes, or the proceeds of which have been used or are to be used for such purposes." The Federal Reserve Board was given the right to define the character of paper thus eligible for discount. It was further distinctly provided that nothing in the act should be construed to "prohibit such notes, drafts, and bills of exchange secured by staple agricultural products, or other goods, wares, or merchandise from being eligible for such discount." It was provided that the ordinary notes, drafts, or bills admitted to discount should have maturity at the time of discount of not more than 90 days, but that notes, drafts, and bills drawn or issued for agricultural purposes or based on live stock might have a maturity not exceeding six months. Not only is paper arising out of agricultural transactions made eligible under the act, but it is given a longer maturing period than other forms of paper. This apparent discrimination arose naturally out of the fact that agricultural operations are seasonal and involve a longer period than ordinary commercial transactions.

Again, it is provided in the act that national banking associations not situated in the central reserve cities may lend on improved and unencumbered farm lands within the Federal reserve district, and that such loans may be made for any period up to five years. Such loans may not exceed 50 per cent of the actual value of the property. Any national bank under this provision of the act may lend on farm lands an amount in the aggregate equal to 25 per cent of its capital and surplus or one-third of its time deposits. The Federal Reserve Act, therefore, so far from discriminating against the farming classes, distinctly bears them in mind, and while not discriminating in favor of them, takes just and particular knowledge of their requirements.

The matter of additional legislation concerning farm credits was promptly brought to the attention of Congress by the President at the regular session in his annual message, and many experts have been giving persistent and careful attention to the problem.

The explanation of why special banking arrangements were devised abroad, but have not been extensively planned and operated in this country, is to be found in the difference in economic, social, and banking habits and conditions. This is the only large country that may be said to have the ordinary banking habit developed in a high degree, with banking and lending associations democratized and in touch with the masses of the people. The great masses of people in a number of European countries do not have the ordinary banking habit and know little about banking practices. The habit of depositing money in banks, of checking against such deposits, and of making loans through banks in such countries is not general. This may be illustrated by a reference to the Bank of France. This bank, with a billion dollars of bank notes, has only \$285,000,000 of deposits. A bank can utilize its assets either through notes or through discount and deposit and checking. In this country the assets of a bank are utilized to a slight extent through bank notes, the total volume being about \$725,000,000, while the total deposits in all the banks are \$17,000,000,000. This

testifies to an unusual development of the banking habit among our people. Partly because of the lack of the ordinary banking habit on the part of European peoples, partly because of the lack of banking facilities, and partly because of other social and economic factors, special agencies had to be devised. There was great need for some addition to their machinery. The land mortgage and the cooperative credit arrangements grew up of necessity. Likewise, the savings banks were created for similar reasons. It is noteworthy that only recently have savings institutions been established in great numbers in this country, and that still more recently the Government postal savings system has been evolved.

Notwithstanding the fact, however, that the people of the United States have ampler financial agencies than any other in the world, and have developed the habit of using these agencies to a greater extent than any other people, students of rural problems have been keenly alive to the need of further improvements. They have insisted upon extensions of the national banking facilities and the creation of special agencies in intimate touch with farmers with a special view to the betterment of financial conditions in the country. At least two definite measures have been prepared and have received special consideration. They are similar in many respects. They provide, in brief, for land-mortgage associations with small capital, which may make loans on farm mortgages within a district of a State or within a State to the extent of 50 per cent of the real values of the farms. The money arising from such loans is to be used for productive purposes on the farm on which the security is based. It is contemplated in one way or another, either through separate associations or through a central agency, that debenture bonds may be blanketed on the mortgages and offered to the public. It is proposed that the operations of the system shall be supervised by a central agency in Washington and

that there shall be adequate safeguards in the way of examination and inspection. It is thought by students of the question that such debenture bonds would be safe, would attract capital, and would bring into the investment field, especially, small holdings scattered through the country which do not now easily find satisfactory investments. A plan of this kind, operating through private funds, should work safely and would probably result in a short time in systematizing credit transactions in rural districts and in reducing the rate of interest.

The Office of Markets and Rural Organization has continued its study of rural credits. Information bearing on farmmortgage loans has been secured from two-thirds of the savings banks, trust companies, and State and private banks in the United States. The estimated total of farm mortgages held by these banks as loans exceeds \$930,000,000. This fund is very unevenly supplied. Iowa banks alone furnish nearly 100 millions. Illinois and New York approximate 85 millions each, California 67 millions, and Missouri 58 millions. In Indiana, Vermont, and Minnesota the sum in each exceeds 45 millions. The total of farm mortgages held by these banks in the 10 States of the cotton belt is approximately the same as that held by Iowa banks.

Bankers in many States, especially in the Middle West, furnish almost as much money for farm loans through their activity as middlemen as they do through loans from their own bank funds. In such cases the banker acts as an agent, usually for some insurance company, mortgage company, or other bank. It is estimated that approximately \$565,000,000 is thus handled through members of State, private, and savings banks and trust companies.

Information secured from insurance companies shows that more than \$600,000,000 of their funds are invested in farmmortgage loans. While mainly confined at present to the corn belt, these investments are being extended into the

40

South and West. The companies have trained field inspectors or rely upon the statements or guaranties of local agents as to land values. Insurance companies usually limit their loans to 40 per cent of the value of the security and to a fixed maximum per acre. The prevailing period of such loans is five years, with the privilege of prepayment on interest dates.

The business of existing mortgage companies in the United States is often that of agents or brokers who bring borrower and lender together and render other services connected with mortgage loans without assuming liability. Such business can be built up with very little capital. The mortgage is made out directly to the lender, who assumes all responsibility. It is evident that the market for such loans is restricted to investors who know the nature of the security, who are willing to lend the particular amount desired, and who will assume all risks.

A limited number of companies deal in mortgages as a jobbing or merchandise business. The mortgages generally are sold after suitable investors have been found. A few of these companies retain ownership in a portion of the mortgages, deposit them with a trust company, and issue debentures secured by the mortgages as well as by the capital of the company. The debentures are issued in even amounts of \$100 and upward and for varying periods, affording the investor some of the usual conveniences of bonds. However, inasmuch as the purchaser of a debenture does not know the particular mortgages used as security he must rely on the integrity and solvency of the company to protect his investment.

The students of rural credit recognize the desirability of another piece of legislation which may probably be had at the hands of the several States or of the Federal Government, namely, legislation authorizing and encouraging local personal cooperative credit associations. Some States have

already taken steps in this direction and others are contemplating taking them. The Department of Agriculture has made earnest investigations in this field and is in position to offer suggestions as to legislation and as to the form and operations of rural credit cooperative associations. Such associations as these will perhaps render their largest service in the sections of the country where there are many small farmers whose individual resources may not be sufficient to enable them to secure the requisite credit. Their largest field for operation would probably be the South. Characteristics of such associations of small farmers are: Unlimited liability of members; the pledging of the faith of each to the other and of the whole to the lender; the use of funds for clearly defined productive purposes; and the supervision by the association of the use made of the funds. It has been objected that the feature of unlimited liability will prevent the organization of such associations in this country, but in certain sections of the country the liability of small farmers is already unlimited, and this could not be said to be an insurmountable obstacle.

In reference to such proposed associations, as well as to land-mortgage banks, it is of the utmost importance that attention be centered on the use which may be made of borrowed money. It is of high importance that there should be guaranties of the use of funds secured for productive purposes on the farms covered by mortgages or other obligations; otherwise, the field for speculation will be opened and more harm than good may result. The investigations of the department indicate that a relatively small number of the banks of the country pay any attention whatever to the purposes for which loans are made, and in replies to inquiries many of the banks reporting did not even attempt to give data bearing on this important point.

The Office of Markets and Rural Organization has continued its investigation into the field of personal credits. From data gathered it appears that the State, private, and savings banks and trust companies supply approximately \$1,000,000,000 for short-time loans to farmers and that national banks furnish \$750,000,000. As may be expected, because of the differences in the relative importance of agriculture in various States, these short-time loans are very unevenly distributed. The funds are used chiefly in the financing of cattle raising, feeding, and marketing, of dairying, of hog and sheep raising, and of grain and cotton growing and marketing.

GOOD ROADS.

Good roads are equally intimately related to both the production and the distribution of farm products. They are prerequisite not only to economical production and distribution but also to the promotion of the broader life of the communities. The great need, obviously, is for roads which shall get products from the farm to the nearest railway station, enabling the farmer to haul when he can not sow and reap, and to haul at a lower rate, to transport his children to consolidated schools, and to enjoy comfortably his social enterprises. The railway will continue for an indefinite time to be the nation's highway. Emphasis is needed on the community road. It is estimated that it costs 23 cents under existing conditions to haul a ton a mile on the average country road, and that this could be reduced by one-half if the roads were improved. The problem is partly, of course, one of funds, but even more largely one of methods, of instrumentalities, and of administration. The United States to-day is spending annually the equivalent of the huge sum of \$200,000,000 for roads, an enormous increase in the last decade. Much of this is directed by local supervisors, and it is estimated by experts that of the amount so directed from 30 to 40 per cent is, relatively speaking, wasted or misdirected. Less than half

the States have expert State highway commissions, and very few of them have any sort of expert local machinery.

The problem, so far as the Federal Government is concerned, is how to inject its assistance into the situation primarily so as to secure efficient expenditure. The people of the nation are intensely interested in this problem, and pressure will continue for action by the Federal Government. The matter is of sufficient importance to justify again an attempt to indicate the wise course of action in case the Federal Government is further to expand its activities and lend direct support. If direct Federal aid is to be expended, it should be done only under such conditions as will guarantee a dollar's results for every dollar of expenditure, and, as has been stated, the emphasis should be placed on the community road, on the necessity of improvement in an economical manner of the dirt roads of the country, particularly through the cheaper forms of construction, such as sand-clay, gravel, and earth. Legislation should provide for cooperation between the Federal Government and the States, and that the State through an expert highway commission should be the lowest unit with which the Federal machinery should deal. If the Federal Government recognizes any other unit than the State highway commission, it will complicate the situation in those States where satisfactory developments have taken place and it will retard movements in the right direction in other communities. If. on the other hand, the law recognizes only a central highway commission it will strengthen the hands of those that now exist and secure the creation of such bodies in the 26 States that do not now have them. The mere creation of such bodies in every State would be a marked gain. The Federal department and the highway commission of each State should be empowered jointly to select the roads upon which the work is to be performed and to determine the manner

and methods of constructing roads under projects mutually agreed upon previously.

It seems desirable that if Federal money is to be expended, it should be limited to construction projects and should not be used for maintenance, and, furthermore, because of the time required for the development of the requisite machinery and because of the difficulty of assumption by either jurisdiction of a large initial burden, the Federal appropriation should at first be relatively small.

Expenditure for maintenance would involve the Government in a very unsafe and uncertain course. It would be a continuing appropriation on a vast scale. It would seem only proper that if roads are secured, the States and the communities should maintain them. I clearly recognize that it is difficult to draw the line between construction and maintenance on the simpler forms of road; but, after all, the line can be drawn and would be much clearer if proper methods of construction were pursued.

As an automatic check to a drain on the Federal Treasury in case Federal aid is extended, provision should be made that each State shall make available for construction at least as much as is set aside by the Federal Government, preferably twice as much, and that it shall give a satisfactory guaranty to maintain the roads constructed. On all projects on which Federal money may be expended in cooperation with the States it should be provided that the two authorities shall fully cooperate, and that before Federal money is made available for any projects such projects shall have been mutually agreed upon by the Federal department and the State authorities, with clear understandings as to methods of construction, specifications, materials, and the development of a road system.

It will be objected by some that this suggestion involves an invasion of State rights. As a matter of fact, it simply looks to the use of Federal money for the purpose for which it may be voted, and to its efficient expenditure. Those who fear invasion of State rights can easily obviate the danger by declining to ask for Federal money. If they demand Federal money, they can not easily decline to have its proper and efficient expenditure safeguarded. It is no argument to assert that State agencies may be trusted. As a matter of fact, they are not the officials who have to assume the responsibility for the expenditure of Federal money. We do trust State authorities fully to vote and expend State funds. They assert the right to look after the expenditure of State funds and do so with great jealousy. They should show a willingness to have the Federal officers assist in the supervision of the expenditure of Federal moneys.

One thought should be clearly held in mind, namely, that it is highly unwise to discourage State and local effort. Only in recent years have the States begun seriously to attack many of the problems which it was originally contemplated they should solve. If the Federal Government were to make a very large appropriation, it is not improbable that the States would begin to look to it somewhat exclusively for funds with which to build roads. Such a development would be calamitous.

DISSEMINATING INFORMATION.

The nation is spending through the department large sums in acquiring agricultural information. It would be little short of criminal to spend millions of dollars to acquire information and not to use every possible efficient agency available for placing it at the disposal of the people as promptly as possible, for it is certain that if the average farmer could be induced to apply what the experts now know or what the best farmers practice, a revolution could be brought about in the agriculture of the Nation. It has seemed a matter of great urgency that through every proper channel at the earliest possible moment there be brought home to the 6,000,000 farm families of the Union the knowledge which the department has acquired and is increasingly acquiring. It is the purpose of the department, with as little delay as possible, through every proper medium to give the knowledge which the department possesses as the result of investigations and field work to all the people who desire it or should have it.

Up to a comparatively short time ago the printed matter conveying information was in the form of bulletins and circulars limited to issues of from 2,000 to 40,000 copies. Not infrequently much time was required for the final preparation of the bulletin, for its printing, and for its distribution. In the nature of things, the bulletins could not reach a great Many farmers did not even know of the mass of the farmers. existence of the department and knew nothing of the service They did not know of the existence of bulit could render. letins which would be helpful to them or how to secure them; and in many cases they could secure them only with considerable inconvenience and some expense. Furthermore, the bulletins were not infrequently difficult to interpret, to understand, and to apply. Emergencies frequently arise in which information, to be of value, must be placed within a few hours in the hands of farmers. The delay in issuing official printed bulletins and mailing them often defeated the possibility of service. In case of distant States the mailing time to and from Washington caused from 12 to 14 days to elapse before the desired information could be delivered. In many cases, where the department's supply of printed publications was exhausted, it has been necessary to inform the farmer that he must send 5 or 10 cents to the Superintendent of Documents to obtain the desired publications. This involves on the farmer's part the writing of a second letter to the Superintendent of Documents and another delay of 12 or 14 days. In cases actually worked out, where the publication desired

was not available from the department, farmers in Pacific Coast States have been unable to obtain the information in less than 30 days.

As the result of long observation, as well as of careful survey, the department reached the conclusion that, aside from the conveying of information by competent persons directly to farmers on their farms, the most efficient medium for reaching the farmers was the agricultural press, and that, next to this, the most efficient mediums were the daily and weekly newspapers which devoted space to agricultural matters. It was ascertained that these journals would gladly use material if it were furnished to them in such form as to be readily available. It seemed desirable to have an office which could do this, because through it the matter could be more accurately and adequately presented. It was also discovered that correspondents of representative journals would telegraph to their home offices items giving important and timely agricultural information bearing upon pressing problems.

This whole matter was made the subject of a conference with the chiefs of bureaus, other officers of the department, and experienced writers and journalists. As a result of this conference the Office of Information was established.

Not only has the establishment of this Office of Information resulted in a fuller knowledge on the part of the farmers of the fact that they can get assistance and that bulletins are available, but it has led to a much larger call for bulletins and supplied a vast amount of information to the press.

During the fiscal years 1913 and 1914 the staff of the office prepared and issued in mimeographed form to the agricultural press and newspapers 512 summaries or condensed statements of fact and 30 special items to the press associations covering quarantine notices and supplementary statements regarding crop estimates. In addition, each week from 10 to 20 pages of typewritten material have been prepared specially and supplied to rural weekly papers. In every case the summary was circulated only to editors in the geographic or agricultural territory to which the information was directly applicable. The office also cooperates with many editors or their representatives, and others who write, or telephone, or call in person for special information needed by them in the preparation of agricultural articles. It answers daily many letters requesting information not covered directly by existing publications or not falling within the province of any one of the department's bureaus or offices.

While no effort has been made to keep a complete account of the use of material by publications, a computation of the circulation shows that the information issued through the office is appearing monthly in over 250,000,000 printed pages. This computation does not include the total circulation of this information. It does not include the department's material which appears in the pages of nearly every agricultural journal and much special material on practical farming carried by weekly country papers. As a result of this service many daily papers which heretofore had given no attention to agriculture are now devoting considerable space to publishing the department's brief, simple statements of direct local value as to improved methods of farming or as to control of crop pests. These reach the farmers promptly through the Rural Free Delivery Service. This service is not now being used and never has been used for private interests, either directly or indirectly. It limits itself to the dissemination of established facts and of officially approved information. It has refrained from discussing individuals, from entering into controversies, and from commenting on legislation. It has the simple aim of attempting to convey to the farmers, through the press, as effectively and quickly as possible, the latest discoveries in agricultural science and the best practices.

75922°-- ҮВК 1914----4

COOPERATIVE EXTENSION ACT.

But bulletins, circulars, and press notices conveying information for farmers, no matter how excellent they may be and how numerous, will not solve the problem of reaching the farmer and of inducing him to adopt the best methods. Personal contact in this field of education, as in others, The method of demonstration by competent is essential. individuals to farmers on their farms has been tested by the department over many years. It has been justified by its results and has been formally sanctioned by Congress in the recent Agricultural Extension Act. This measure is of vast significance. It is one of the most striking educational measures ever adopted by any Government. Τt recognizes a new class of students-a class composed of men and women working at their daily tasks on the farm. The Federal and State Governments take the adult farmer and farm woman, as well as the farm boy and the farm girl, as their pupils. The measure provides for cooperation between the States and the Federal Government. It guarantees a coordination of the forces of the two jurisdictions. It places the brains of the two great agencies in conjunction, eliminates waste and friction, and insures efficiency. Providing for an initial appropriation of \$10,000 to each State, to be expended through the land-grant colleges in cooperation with the Federal Department of Agriculture, it stipulates that the amount shall increase by approximately a half million dollars from the Federal Treasury each year until 1921-22, and that each State accepting the act shall appropriate an amount equal to the increase above \$10,000. The result will be that for the fiscal year 1922-23 and thereafter there will be expended, without any further legislation, from the Federal Treasury \$4,580,000, and from the State treasuries \$4,100,000, or a total of \$8,680,000. This sum must be expended in direct instruction in the field, as

Report of the Secretary.

the act is very specific in prohibiting its use for teaching or erecting buildings at institutions and in limiting the proportion that can be expended in printing bulletins. If we add to this fund the direct appropriations to the department for educational extension work and the excess appropriations of the several States, it probably will be found that the nation will be expending in 1922–23 and thereafter, without any further legislation, a sum in excess of \$10,000,000 or \$11,000,000.

Every State in the Union through its proper authority has accepted the provisions of the act. Most of the colleges have already submitted their projects for the current year and are laying the foundations of machinery which will be adequate to the handling of this great task. This piece of legislation will do more than provide effective machinery for efficiently reaching the farmer. It will greatly improve the relations between the institutions of the several States and the Federal department. It will promote harmony between them and will lead to wiser planning of work.

Believing that the provision for the coordination of work under the extension act was wise, and realizing that it was desirable to have only one piece of machinery in each State undertake this sort of task, the department suggested to the several State establishments the advantage of coordinating with the work under the extension act the extension work for which the department is directly responsible through its special appropriations. This suggestion was received with favor by the State institutions, and in every State except two or three this further coordination has been brought about, and thus the two great agencies are working in each State in full accord and harmony for the interest of the whole people

FORESTRY PROBLEMS.

While the chief task of the department in forestry is the administration of the National Forests, its work has a larger Most of the country's timber is in private hands. aspect. Here the situation is far from satisfactory. Very little private timber is handled with a view to the production of a new crop. Lumbering now involves much waste, partly because of the limited market for the lower grades. In spite of the growing sentiment against forest fires, and the spread of organized fire protection, there is still a heavy fire loss. Altogether, our timber resources are undergoing both depletion and dissipation, notwithstanding the generally recognized need for conserving what we have and for producing a new crop. It is as much the duty of the department to seek means of success in the growing of this crop as of any other.

That forestry can be practiced in this country with good results the experience of the department in managing the National Forests makes clear. The main difficulty in the way of private forestry can no longer be held to be the lack of adequate knowledge or of successful example. Unquestionably the existing situation is brought about by various causes, some of which are due to unchangeable economic conditions, while others are probably removable. There is great need for a careful inquiry to ascertain just what and how important these various causes are. Such an inquiry has been inaugurated jointly by the Department of Agriculture and the Department of Commerce. Its purpose is to obtain the basis for a constructive public policy with regard to the timber question in all its phases-present conditions of production and marketing, the effects of timber speculation and the danger of private monopoly control, waste, the effects of competition, the various elements which enter into the cost of lumber to the consumer, and the question of future supplies.

An important part of the forest problem is to get the right line drawn between farm and forest. Under private ownership, considerations enter which do not always lead to the best use of the land. On the National Forests the question is determined by a careful study of what the land is best fitted to produce and what the public most needs. Agricultural development is provided for either by excluding from the forests land chiefly valuable for other than forest purposes or by listing land for settlement under the Forest Homestead Act. The work is carried out through land classification, which was aggressively pushed last year. The elimination made or determined upon totaled over 2,000,000 acres, while systematic classification was conducted on 100 of the forests and over 280,000 acres of land were listed for settlement under the Forest Homestead Law. The area in the forests at the close of the year, exclusive of land not the property of the Government, was slightly over 165,000,000 acres.

There is need for similar classification work outside of the National Forests wherever the public domain is timbered. There are still many areas which should be added to the forests. Wherever the land will have largest permanent value through use for forest production, it should be held in public ownership. Timbered portions of the public domain are now unprotected against fire and trespass and are often a source of danger to adjacent lands. Under existing law, the President has, in the seven States of California, Oregon, Washington, Idaho, Montana, Colorado, and Wyoming, no authority to add such lands to the present National Forests. Legislative provision should be made for applying the classification principles in these States.

There is also need for legislation to permit the consolidation of National Forest holdings through land exchanges with States and private owners. Some of the forests contain a great deal of land which was acquired from the Government before the forests were established. Exchanges of land on the basis of equal values would be very advantageous to the Government, since the cost of administration and protection would be materially reduced.

The National Forests, of an immense property value, must first of all be safeguarded against destroying agencies. Their most serious menace is fire, though heavy losses may also result from the ravages of insect pests and tree diseases. The control of all these grows yearly more efficient. A most dangerous fire season has just been concluded with remarkable results. A drought of unusual severity and duration created tinderlike conditions throughout most of the Northwest. To this was added at times the peril of high winds, which greatly increased the difficulty of fire control. That the season was terminated without an appalling destruction of both public and private property in and about the National Forests is due to the preparedness of the Forest Service organization, the yearly expanding equipment of the forests with means of communication and movement of both men and supplies, and the aggressiveness with which the fires were fought before they had time to develop.

It is beyond question that the cost of discovering and fighting these fires was repaid many times over. A billion dollars' worth of Government timber—to say nothing of other resources, of private property, and of human life was brought through the season with a comparatively triffing loss. To achieve this result it was necessary for the department to create a large deficiency, since the appropriation for emergency fire fighting was wholly inadequate. In consequence a deficiency appropriation must be asked from Congress.

A larger emergency fund is needed. In the summer of 1910 unprecedented fires resulted in a deficiency which Congress met by an appropriation of \$900,000. To prevent such a contingency from arising the following year an emergency fund of \$1,000,000 was made available, to be drawn upon only in case of unusual need. This fund has been cut down in the successive appropriation acts since, until in the current year it has become only \$100,000. Experience has made it plain that only in very favorable years is this amount adequate.

The administrative task which the National Forests present goes far beyond their protection. Use of their resources must be provided for under plans which aim at their systematic development.

Timber, forage, and water are the resources of primary importance. Their full development is possible only through accurate knowledge of the factors which control their yield and painstaking study of the methods which will secure the most complete use. Investigative work is the key which unlocks these resources for the public. Use of the National Forests is being developed along lines which are at once thoroughly scientific and thoroughly practical.

In its handling of timber sales on the National Forests the department is confronted with a situation radically different from that which obtains with respect to the grazing. While almost all the range on the forests is in demand, most of the timber is not. To a large extent, development work here means so handling the timber that it will be an important factor in opening up the country. Wherever and whenever general business and market conditions make it possible to sell large bodies of now inaccessible timber, the aim is to offer the timber on terms which will tend to increase transportation facilities, promote settlement, and build up permanent communities. Where timber can be sold, the benefits of Government management of the forests as public resources are apparent now. Where, however, the timber is not in present demand, a difficult situation sometimes exists.

It has been urged that, with the vast supplies of virgin National Forest timber, the Government should greatly increase its sales by lowering the price asked for stumpage. To the extent that such a course had any effect at all, it would be in the long run an effect unfavorable to the public interest. Upon the greater part of the timber it would have no effect, because no manufacturer could, under present conditions, afford to cut the timber at any price. Where timber is thus not in demand because still inaccessible, as a rule the possibility of marketing it depends on the advent of a period of greater activity in the general lumber trade. When, as at the present time, lumbermen are forced by general market conditions to curtail output, the department can not expect to make many large sales. Nevertheless, it is wise even in such times not to cease offering large bodies of timber on terms which may attract purchasers, and this is being done. At the same time all possible effort is given to develop small sales for the supply of local needs, and sales to industries which require wood for special purposes, since sales of this character provide a fairly steady market for National Forest stumpage, even when the general market is depressed. In a word, the timber-sale policy, no less than the grazing-regulation policy, aims to make the resource serviceable to the public now, as well as in the future, in the fullest degree which scientific production and utilization can make possible.

FURTHER SPECIAL COMMUNITY AID.

In regions where timber is the chief income-producing resource, absence of demand for it often works a serious hardship upon those who have entered the region as the advance guard of civilization and are seeking, in the face of many difficulties, to establish homes. There are counties in which a sparse local population of pioneer settlers find themselves surrounded by a wilderness largely consisting of

National Forest land, which is almost idle so far as any form of present use is concerned. In other words, a great, if not the greatest, potential source of wealth in such counties, held in trust by the Government for the benefit of the public, not merely contributes nothing now to the upbuilding of the communities which will give value to the forests. but actually adds to the burden which these communities must assume. Were the forests private property they would pay their fair proportion of the cost of road development, public schools, and other public activities, through taxation. The Government, unlike the private owner of timberland in such regions, is holding the timber, not in order to make a profit later by its advance in value, but in order to make it promote the public welfare. That it should be made to serve the local as well as the national public welfare has been definitely recognized in the provisions of law for the use of 35 per cent of all gross receipts from the forests for local public purposes.

To carry more fully into effect this already established principle a further step should be taken. It should not be necessary to wait until the period of hardest struggle is past before these public resources begin to assist local development. Before the National Forests begin to yield large incomes, as well as after, they should be made to participate in the work of building up the country and giving value to all its resources.

The first need of the public in undeveloped regions is for more and better roads. Without them the struggle of individuals to gain a foothold is much more difficult, while isolation from neighbors and the outside world means meager educational opportunity, a lack of comforts, and conditions unfavorable to community life. A road system, however, constitutes a capital investment which a handful of settlers must make a little at a time. When their roads must be built largely through National Forest lands, which

pay no taxes, their case is much more difficult. In such regions the Secretary of Agriculture should be authorized to make a study of the local conditions and to gather all the data necessary to formulate a plan for public-road development based on local needs. These plans should be carried into sufficient detail to provide a reasonably accurate estimate of the cost of the road construction which it is proposed that the Government shall undertake. They should be accompanied by careful and conservative appraisals of the value of the National Forest timber in each locality and a forecast of the future income which the forests will bring in from all sources. On the basis of the showings of fact regarding the value of the Government's property, its potential income-yielding capacity, and the needs of the public, Congress should be asked to appropriate for the construction of specific projects recommended by the Secretary of Agriculture. The cost of such road construction by the Government should constitute an advance of the amounts which the forests would later make available for local use. In effect, therefore, the roads would become an obligation upon the forests, to be extinguished as their resources come into commercial demand.

Water is a National Forest resource of even greater importance than timber or range, for the forests feed every important western stream. Water supplies and the value of water use depend to a large extent on the methods employed in handling the timber and forage resources, for both the volume and the purity of the water yield may be disastrously impaired by bad forestry or grazing methods. Of all forms of water use, that which supplies municipal needs should be given most careful consideration. Some 1,200 western towns derive their water from National Forest watersheds. The authority of the Secretary of Agriculture to protect such water from contamination is inadequate to safeguard the public health, and should be enlarged by further legislation.

Recreational use of the forests is by far the chief of what may be called their secondary uses, and is certain to grow rapidly in importance. While water, wood, and forage production must be given first place, constructive study of the problems which the value of the forests for recreational use present is also an urgent need. Among these problems is provision for the use of land by persons wishing to build summer homes within the forests, and by hotel enterprises. At present the only course open to the department is to grant applicants for such privileges a revocable permit to occupy the necessary land. Provision for term leases is highly desirable, and legislation to this end is urged.

REORGANIZATION.

The Congress at its last session authorized and directed the Secretary of Agriculture "to prepare a plan for reorganizing, redirecting, and systematizing the work of the Department of Agriculture as the interests of economical and efficient administration may require." It ordered that such plan be submitted to Congress in the Book of Estimates for the fiscal year 1916, and that the estimates of expenditures of the department for the fiscal year 1916 shall be prepared and submitted in accordance therewith. In compliance with this authorization and direction, a careful survey has been made of the organization and work of the entire department. It was evident from the outset that in the rapid growth of the department some lines of work had been located illogically in different bureaus out of immediate touch with closer related lines and, in some instances, without any reference to the adequacy of the machinery of the bureau to handle Furthermore, it was apparent that the three leading them. lines of department work-the regulatory, the research, and the educational-had become in a measure intermingled in the various bureaus, so that no satisfactorily clear view could be had of them in their entirety either in any bureau or in the department as a whole. It was obvious that some

work was done at cross purposes and that a certain amount of conflict of interest, lost motion, and inefficiency resulted. It is clearly desirable, as far as possible, to differentiate and segregate each kind of work from the other, to see to it that the policing or regulatory functions do not interfere with the research work, or either of these with the educational or extension work, but that, on the other hand, they are so organized and related that each would reenforce and foster the other. A committee consisting of officers drawn from various bureaus made a careful study of the situation and submitted its report, which, after revision, was approved by the Secretary and will be reported to the Congress for its sanction. The estimates of the department have been submitted in accordance with the plan, as directed by the Congress.

The first important feature of the proposed change is the definite outline or segregation in each bureau of these three groups of activities—the regulatory, the research, and the extension. The conclusion was reached that it was not only not necessary to interfere with the bureau and office organizations existing, but that it would be distinctly unwise to do so. The suggestion of grouping the services of the department as a whole, according to the purposes in view, into regulatory, research, extension, and other groups, each with a large number of similar small units, was carefully considered and was abandoned as unnecessary and undesirable. It was decided that better results would be secured by retaining the existing organizations, with certain modifications and rearrangements.

This segregation, however, which is highly important, is a matter of internal concern and in the interests of administrative efficiency, and requires no legislative action. The work will be shaped in conformity to the segregation, and the administrative officers will have a clearer view of the several activities under their direction. Pains will be taken to assign those who have research talent to the investigational work, those who have administrative ability to the regulatory, and those who have special talent for the direction of extension work to that entirely. The changes in these directions can not fail to be conducive to efficiency.

The second and equally important suggested change is the relocation of important lines of work, as follows:

It is proposed to remove from the Bureau of Plant Industry the Office of Farm Management and the farmdemonstration work for both the North and the South, and to attach the former to the Office of the Secretary and the latter to the Office of Experiment Stations, the name of which it is proposed to change to the States Relations Service. Farm Management conceives the farm as a whole. Its problem is not primarily a Plant Industry problem. Tt is rather a business or economic problem. It is not one for which the agronomist has necessarily the requisite training, although the service of the agronomist as well as the services of experts of other bureaus are invoked. Since its function is that of studying the farm from the business point of view in all its aspects, it seemed advisable to relate the office to that of the Secretary, so that the officers might feel conscious of no bureau limitations. Similar considerations led to the conclusion that the farm-demonstration work should not be attached to a particular bureau. Heretofore the agents in this work, attached as they have been to the Bureau of Plant Industry, have experienced some embarrassment in demonstrating things coming within the work of other bureaus. Obviously the farm demonstrator must be prepared to demonstrate anything the department has of value to the farmer. He can not conceive of the farm partially. The change in the name of the Office of Experiment Stations to States Relations Service is proposed because the term "Office of Experiment Stations" is no longer indicative of that part of the department's activities. That office has in addition to the supervision of the experiment-station funds the supervision of other funds expended by the land-grant

colleges, and has logically been charged with the administration of the new extension act. The direct farm-demonstration work is similar to the work which will be carried on under the extension act, and, as has been stated, arrangements have been made for coordinating it with the work under the extension act.

It is proposed to change the name of the Office of Public Roads to the Office of Public Roads and Rural Engineering, to eliminate from the Office of Experiment Stations the work in irrigation and drainage, and from the Bureau of Plant Industry the work in rural architecture, and to locate these three lines of work in the newly named office. There seems not to have been any logical reason for locating the work in irrigation and drainage in the Office of Experiment Stations, and that office in its higher administrative branches is not organized with a view to the direction of engineering work. The Office of Public Roads is primarily an engineering office, and irrigation and drainage, as well as architecture, naturally belong to it. To the new Office of Markets and Rural Organization it is proposed to transfer from the Bureau of Plant Industry the work in farm-credit and farm-insurance investigation; from the Bureau of Animal Industry the market-milk investigations, and, in part, in cooperation with the Bureau of Animal Industry and Bureau of Chemistry, the poultry and egg investigations.

It is proposed to transfer from the Bureau of Soils to the Bureau of Plant Industry the soil-fertility investigations. The committee of experts reporting on this matter were unanimous that the work in soil-fertility investigations and that in soil bacteriology and in plant pathology in the Bureau of Plant Industry should be located in the same bureau. They are closely related, and work in one could not in all cases be carried to a satisfactory conclusion without a close and intimate coordination with the others. After a most careful consideration it seemed wise and practicable to propose that the transfer be made to the Bureau of Plant Industry. Other less important changes include the transfer of poisonous-plant investigations from the Bureau of Plant Industry to the Bureau of Animal Industry, investigations of duck diseases from the Biological Survey to the Bureau of Animal Industry, and of wood-distillation work from the Bureau of Chemistry to the Forest Service.

There is good reason for believing that the redirection and relocation of the work as proposed will result in a marked increase in the efficiency of the labors of the department.

CONCLUSION.

I have sought to bring into view in the main some of the larger constructive tasks and proposals of this department. I have not undertaken to review the work and services of certain great offices of the department, such as the Weather Bureau, which is giving highly valuable aid not only to the farmer, to the business man, and to the navigator, but to all the people of the nation; the Office of Experiment Stations, which has such broad and intimate relations with the whole land-grant educational machinery of the nation; the Bureau of Chemistry, which is charged with the administration of the Food and Drugs Act; the Bureau of Biological Survey, which is intrusted with many difficult tasks, including the administration of the Migratory-Bird Law; the Bureau of Soils; the special boards, such as the Federal Horticultural Board and the Insecticide and Fungicide Board; and the Office of the Solicitor, whose activities are essential to the orderly handling of many important departmental, legislative, and administrative affairs. I have not attempted to outline the activities of divisions of these or of other offices and bureaus. I should deeply regret it if my failure to do so were interpreted to indicate that their work is in any measure less valuable and helpful than that which has received more specific and elaborate mention. Where activities are so varied, the task must of necessity be one of selection and emphasis. For a full comprehension of the undertakings, activities, and services of the department the reports of the several bureaus and offices separately published must be consulted.

Through such legislation, enterprises, and labors the Federal Government is attempting to solve the problems of agriculture and of rural life. It finds valuable allies and coworkers in the great agricultural agencies of the several Nothing short of a comprehensive attempt to make States. rural life profitable, healthful, comfortable, and attractive will solve the problems. It is the only sure way of retaining in the rural districts an adequate number of efficient and contented people. That the thought and effort of the nation must be persistently and systematically along these lines is clear. The urgency of the task is emphasized by the fact that while the population of the United States in the last 15 years has increased 23,000,000, the strictly rural districts have shown an increase of perhaps less than 6,000,000. While we labor to increase the supply of material things we can not neglect the higher things-the intellectual and social sides of rural life. The conservation and development of the people is the greatest problem of conservation confronting We must see to it that some of the finer results of civilius. zation accrue to the people of the rural districts and are not the peculiar possession of urban communities. An expenditure of effort and money in this direction can not be a Through such measures wisely executed and with burden. such protection rural life will become more efficient, and the farmers of the nation may without fear face the competition of the world.

Respectfully,

D. F. Houston,

Secretary of Agriculture.

WASHINGTON, D. C., November 14, 1914.

64

THE NATIONAL FORESTS AND THE FARMER.

By HENRY S. GRAVES, Forester and Chief of the Forest Service.

A FEW years ago more than a hundred farmers in the Kootenai Valley, in Montana, petitioned against the proposed elimination of their section from the Kootenai National Forest. They wanted it to remain where it was. Its elimination they knew, from the history of adjacent land outside the Forest, would mean that it would at once be taken up by timber speculators and lumber companies, to be held for years without development. They knew also that if this came about neighbors could not be secured nor roads and schools be developed in the county anything like as rapidly as if the land remained in the Forest, where those portions better suited for agriculture than for forest purposes could be entered by permanent settlers under the forest homestead act.

In 1911 an association of farmers in Colorado, who draw upon the North Platte River for water to irrigate their farms, sent an urgent request to the Government to restrict timber cutting on the North Platte watershed, so that as far as possible high spring freshets might be prevented and more water furnished during the summer months, when their crops were most in need of it. They relied upon the National Forest which included that watershed to insure them a steady flow of water in the stream, on which their crops depended.

These two instances, taken at random from a great number of similar ones, indicate the interest which farmers take both in the existence of the National Forests and in the way they are administered. This interest does not belong only to farmers in and near the Forests; it belongs to farmers everywhere. The examples cited illustrate but two of the many ways in which the National Forests influence the welfare and development of agricultural communities, an influence which extends far beyond the Forest boundaries. For one thing, the National Forests are the American farmer's most valuable source of wood, the chief building

75922°---увк 1914-----5

material for rural purposes. For another, they are his most valuable source of water, both for irrigation, as just indicated, and also for domestic use. Scarcely less important, they afford him a protected range for his stock; they are his best insurance against damage by floods to his fields, his buildings, his bridges, and his roads, and against decreasing soil fertility.

Geographically, the National Forests cover a wide area. They comprise the higher portions of the Rocky Mountain Ranges, the Cascades, the Pacific Coast Ranges, a portion of the forested coast of Alaska, some of the mountain and hilly regions in eastern Montana and in the Dakotas, Oklahoma, and Arkansas, and limited areas in Minnesota, Michigan, and Florida. Besides these, land is now being purchased for National Forests in the White Mountains of New Hampshire and in the Southern Appalachians. In regions so vast and widely scattered the conditions of agriculture, and forest conditions too, necessarily vary in a great degree, which brings about corresponding differences in the way the National Forests affect agricultural interests and the extent of their influence. But wherever agriculture can be practiced the farmer is benefited by the existence of National Forests and their proper handling.

Experience has amply proved that in regions where timber is the most important natural resource permanent forests managed with a view to sustained timber production are not only beneficial to the farmer but absolutely essential to the continuance of agriculture. If the timber is exploited without thought of the future, lumbering presently comes to an end. The result is that the local market for farm products furnished by the presence of lumbering operations is withdrawn. Forest fires ravage the cut-over lands and in the end destroy all prospects for further lumbering. What should be productive timberland is converted into a waste. From such a region the farmers who may have established themselves on scattered areas of land suitable for agriculture move out. In some parts of Europe it has been found necessary, as a preventive of distress to the agricultural population and of abandonment of farm lands, to modify the cutting plans laid down for the forests in order to provide for greater continuity of operations. There

are parts of the United States in which cultivation of the soil has ended with the local lumbering, or shortly afterwards. The farmers have found that without the market for their meats, vegetables, hay, and grain which the lumbermen supplied they can not make a living. There are other regions where the stability of the agricultural industry is absolutely bound up with forest protection. This is strikingly true in parts of the Appalachian Mountains. It is no less true in many of the National Forest regions in the West.

FARMS IN THE NATIONAL FORESTS.

In general, the National Forests occupy the higher and more rugged portions of the mountains, at elevations above the main agricultural zone. Their permanent boundaries are drawn in such a way as to exclude all large bodies of agricultural land; the lands included in the National Forests are those chiefly valuable for forest purposes. In many instances, however, there are within the Forest boundaries scattered patches and strips of land of agricultural character, but too small to be eliminated from the Forest by themselves. These lands occur along some of the valley bottoms, at the confluence of streams, and on narrow benches. Whenever such tracts are suitable for the development of agricultural homes they are opened to entry. Thus the establishment of a National Forest does not mean that agriculture within its boundaries is prohibited. On the contrary, the Department of Agriculture is classifying the lands in the Forests in order to search out the agricultural areas and to bring about their development as fast as possible. To show how the classification of forest and agricultural lands works out in putting every acre or parcel of land within the National Forests to its best use, the Kootenai Valley may be taken as an example. Here a large river winds for 150 miles through a National Forest. It is distinctly a mountain stream. At many points steep, densely wooded hills drop down to the water's edge, making the valley a gorge or canyon. Where tributary streams join the main river, narrow V-shaped valleys run up them for short distances, narrowing in width as the mountains close in. Here and there bars in the river have resulted in a deposit of silt to form long and narrow fertile bottoms, or

level silt islands have been thrown up in the stream itself. Part way up on the mountain slopes occasional level benches afford a few acres or a farm or two of fertile land. At first it was proposed to throw out the entire valley, a strip 3 miles in width on either side of the river. Ninety-five per cent of this belt, however, is nonagricultural land, whose cultivation should not be attempted. It can be of most use to the local community, as well as to the country at large, by growing timber. So instead of throwing the whole valley out of the National Forest, the Forest Service has painstakingly surveyed every little river bottom, island, or bench which contains enough arable land to support a home. Many of these patches are small, but their soil is so fertile that a home can be maintained upon them by intensive truck or orchard farming. Much of the valley is not yet covered by the rectangular system of public land surveys, and instead of waiting for them the Forest Service has, by metes and bounds, run out the boundaries of each of these farmsteads, segregating them from the Forest and making them available for settlers. In this fashion more than 300 farms have been carved out of the Kootenai Valley. The rest of the land has been kept under Government control for continuous forest production, the economic function which it should fulfill.

Another illustration might be cited from Fortine Creek, in the western portion of the Blackfeet National Forest. in northern Montana. Here conditions are somewhat different from those in the Kootenai Valley. Two high, rugged mountain ranges, one on the north along the Canadian border and one on the south, form a divide from the Flathead drainage. Between them lies a broad, level valley, not unlike many of the lower valleys in the Allegheny region. In places it is 3 miles or more in width, offering almost unbroken stretches of arable land. Here and there along its edges spurs jut out from the mountains, forming an irregular boundary with little fingers of cultivable land running up the channels of many of the small mountain streams. The problem here is not alone to determine the most valuable use for each acre of land. Outlets must be retained for the large bodies of timber back in the mountains, and mill sites for manufacturing this timber must be available. In a word, a practical boundary line must be fixed which will set off the

forest areas, together with the necessary facilities for utilization, from the arable lands to be turned over to the farmer. This is precisely what the Forest Service is doing, and in this way the greatest permanent usefulness of each resource as an economic asset of the country is secured.

The fact that a farm is within the boundary of a Forest does not operate to hinder the owner in developing his property. Actually he receives many direct benefits and privileges from the fact that his farm is there. In some places farm values are higher because the land is in a Forest. Settlers are seeking such farms in order to get the advantages of protection of stock and other privileges, and it is very common, as cited at the beginning of this article, for farming communities inside the Forests to protest against proposed eliminations that would put them outside the boundaries.

Many thousands of farms are now being developed within the boundaries of the Forests. This does not include those occupying the wider valleys which extend into the Forests and which have been segregated by elimination, but only the individual farms and small groups which could not in practice be eliminated without including large areas of nonagricultural timberland. During the last decade the Forest Service has classified as agricultural and opened to entry over 15,500 individual scattered tracts in the Forests, covering The classification has resulted further over 1,700,000 acres. in lessening the area held in the Forests by over 9,000,000 acres, through eliminations, which enable the unappropriated and unreserved agricultural land to be taken up under the general homestead laws. Within the last two years there has been eliminated in large blocks 2,650,426 acres. Not all of this land was agricultural, but these areas and the 1.763.867 acres under consideration for elimination contain the bulk of the agricultural lands originally included in the The remaining agricultural land is chiefly confined Forests. to isolated tracts scattered here and there; to restricted areas requiring irrigation, but where water can not be developed; and to certain river bottoms and benches which are now covered with very heavy and valuable timber.

The scattered patches and strips of agricultural land will be opened to entry as fast as classified. The best of such tracts have in most cases been taken up. What remain are

as a rule not only isolated, but so high up that the seasons are short and farming is not as good as upon hundreds of thousands of acres of vacant land on the public domain outside the Forests. Where the area is too small to constitute a practical farm unit, the small cultivable strips or patches are not opened for homesteading but are retained for forest purposes. A real injury is done the homeseeker who is induced to settle upon a tract of land so small and unproductive that his only escape from poverty is to abandon it. That very land, however, may be valuable for forest purposes, and an attempt to use it as a farm is an economic error for the reason that existing wealth is destroyed and no new wealth The aim in administering the National Forests is created. to establish permanent farm homes and communities of homes. Where the land can not be developed for agriculture but can be used for timber development or some other industrial purpose, it should be devoted to what will bring the greatest service to the localities and the country as a whole.

There is also a certain amount of land in the Forests which ultimately can be farmed, but which at the present time is covered with very heavy timber. A constant pressure is brought on the Government by private individuals who want to acquire possession of these lands primarily for their timber value. Single tracts of 160 acres often have a value for the timber alone of \$20,000. So it is inevitable that here and there individuals are willing to use almost any means to get possession of such a timber stake for nothing or next to noth-But in spite of the fact that some of these lands have ing. soil of an agricultural character, to throw them open for homestead purposes would not result in farm development. This has been proved over and over again where lands acquired in this way under the guise of the homestead law are to-day in the hands of lumber companies who promptly purchased them from the settlers as soon as title passed, and are either reserving them for later cutting or are holding the land itself after cutting for from \$40 to \$60 an acre, or even more---a speculative process which effectively prevents the possibility of men of small means acquiring and establishing homes there.

Thus agricultural development is retarded by the speculator capitalizing the unearned increment and passing it on as a perpetual burden on the land. Removing the timber through its sale by the Government before the land is opened to settlement removes the speculator and makes it reasonably certain that the land will be taken by a permanent settler and that the unearned increment will go into clearing and productive farm development.

It is not meant to imply, of course, that most applicants for a timbered tract fail to show good faith. Without question, many really desire the land primarily for the agricultural value, and start with the idea of clearing it, even if the timber has to be cut and burned. The fact remains, however, that in most cases the settler sells out and goes elsewhere. When it costs from \$100 to \$250 per acre to clear land and requires a long time and the most arduous effort to get a tract under cultivation, while it is possible to sell that same tract for its timber at from \$2,000 to \$10,000, or even more, the average settler prefers to realize on the timber and move to another place where the difficulties of farming are less severe.

The Government is withholding from agricultural entry such heavily timbered land until after the timber is cut off. But as soon as this is done the land is opened to entry and settlers acquire it directly from the Government for free homesteading, instead of having to pay from \$40 to \$60 an acre to speculative holders. This procedure is being successfully carried out in many places to-day. For example, in the Kaniksu National Forest, in Idaho and Washington, timber sales have been made to include much of the remaining agricultural timbered land. Within eight years fully 10,000 acres of land will be made available for settlement. Permanent homes will be established and there will be available for the use of the communities approximately \$225,000 for roads and schools, their share of the proceeds from the sale of the timber. In the Kootenai Forest, in Montana, the Government is disposing of the timber in a way to open up the remaining acres of agricultural land in the Kootenai Valley lying within the Forest. Directly adjacent to this Government land are thousands of acres of timbered lands taken up under the homestead law before the Forests were established, and now held by timbermen and speculators without development of any kind. It is private ownership
of heavily timbered agricultural land that blocks farming development; Government ownership insures such development under conditions that give opportunities to the small settler whose only capital is his strength and courageous perseverence.

There are still some lands in the Forests which have soil suitable for cultivation if water were available for irrigation. Where water can be secured such land is promptly opened to entry. In case water can not be developed the land is retained in the Forest and used for tree growth and grazing.

One of the most serious agricultural problems of the Northwest to-day is the development of the logged-off lands in private ownership. In Oregon and Washington alone over 3,000,000 acres of such logged-off lands are lying idle, though much of the area has fine agricultural soil and a climate to insure abundant crops and the development of thriving farming communities. Yet in this same region hundreds of settlers are seeking to find some place in the National Forests, usually remote from transportation, high in the mountains, where the climate is harsh and soil relatively inferior, because the good lands at lower elevations outside the Forests are held at prohibitive prices. The real solution of the problem of agriculture in such sections is to develop the rich loggedoff private lands that lie outside the Forests and are now idle and unproductive, not to throw open the nonagricultural Forest lands as some are urging.

SPECIAL BENEFITS TO THE FARMER.

Specifically, the benefits to the farmer from the existence of National Forests may be discussed under the following heads:

(a) The benefits through protection of water resources.

(b) The benefits through supplies of Forest products.

(c) The benefits from grazing privileges.

(d) The benefits, direct and indirect, from the establishment and maintenance of various industries utilizing the resources of the Forests.

(e) The benefits from public improvements built by the Government.

72

Yearbook U. S. Dept. of Agriculture, 1914.



Fig. 1.—A Farm in the Idaho National Forest, Taken UP under the Act of June 11, 1906.



Fig. 2.—A Small Bunch of Cattle Grazing in an Open Yellow Pine Stand on the Durango National Forest, Colo.

[Of the 29,000 grazing permits issued annually on the forests, 24,000 are for small owners of stock.]

Yearbook U. S. Dept. of Agriculture, 1914.



AN "AGRICULTURAL" CLAIM ON THE ST. JOE NATIONAL FOREST. [The 60° slopes rise directly from the creek. There is practically no level land on this claim, but the white pine timber is valued at \$20,000.] Yearbook U. S. Dept. of Agriculture, 1914.



ANOTHER "AGRICULTURAL" CLAIM ON THE ST. JOE NATIONAL FOREST.

[The ground is so steep that both hands have to be used to keep from sliding off into the creek. The photograph was taken on May 22, but snow was still on the ground, The applicant claimed that this was excellent wheat land.]



FIG. 1.—IMPORTANT IRRIGATING DITCH AND LATERAL LESS THAN ONE MILE FROM BUSINESS SECTION OF EPHRAIM CITY, UTAH, BADLY DAMAGED BY THE FLOOD OF AUGUST 24, 1912.

[The flood overflowed the cribbing above man on left, lowered the creek bed 5 feet, and washed out the headgate.]



FIG. 2.-A STREAM IN A NATIONAL FOREST WHOSE HEADWATERS ARE PROTECTED.

[Quosatena Creek as it enters Rogue River, Siskiyon National Forest, Oreg.]



[Farmers and other local residents may purchase timber from the Forests for the actual cost of making the sale, and the number of such sales is steadily increasing from year.]

PLATE VI.



A REGION FOR THE MOUNTAIN CLIMBER, CAMPER, AND SPORTSMAN.

[In the Cascades, Snoqualmie National Forest, Wash., sometimes called the "Alps of the United States." The mountains here are very high and rugged, with peaks rising over 11,000 feet. The great Douglas fir stands on the west slopes are the most heavily timbered forests in the country.]

WATER RESOURCES.

One of the purposes underlying the establishment and maintenance of the National Forests is the protection of the sources of water supply. By their situation in the mountains the Forests cover the higher and more rugged portions of the watersheds at the headwaters of various rivers and streams. The protective influence of the forest cover on sources of water supply is of importance to all industries using water from the streams rising in the National Forests. It is of special importance to the agricultural interests. In many parts of the West the water used in irrigation is derived almost entirely from streams which have their source in the National Forests. This is true of the majority of the great reclamation projects of the Government, which furnish water for many hundreds of thousands of acres of land. Every farmer who uses this water is, in a measure, directly dependent in the long run on the proper handling of these National Forests. In several instances National Forests are maintained primarily for the purpose of watershed protection. The headwaters of the Salt and Verde Rivers in Arizona, on which is one of the greatest of the Federal reclamation projects, are comprised in the Tonto and Prescott National Forests. Considerable portions of these watersheds are administered as National Forests in order to control the grazing and so to prevent erosion. Every water user on this project realizes that overgrazing of the watershed would greatly hasten the silting up of the reservoirs and canals

On many National Forests in the Rocky Mountains and elsewhere, protection belts of forest have been established on the upper sources of important streams. In these belts all cutting will be very carefully regulated, so as to run no risk of disturbing the forest cover, which exerts a beneficial influence in holding snow and storing water during the period of heavy precipitation. Often no cutting at all is permitted, except of dead timber. In the steep mountain ranges of southern California the use of the pine forests high up on the watersheds is absolutely controlled by the necessity for conserving the water needed to irrigate the citrus-fruit farms in the valleys below. Except where it is very clear that heavier logging will have no harmful effects upon stream flow, cutting is confined to the removal of an occasional overmature tree whose usefulness as a conserver of water is practically gone. Often Forest officers cooperate with local water users in studying conditions of stream flow and determining how they should affect the management of the Forests. In Colorado committees representing irrigation associations have been conducted over timber sales on watersheds in National Forests, the methods of cutting explained to them, and conferences held to determine how far the Government may safely go in utilizing the timber. All told, the value of the farms whose water supply for irrigation is protected by the National Forests will run into the hundreds of millions of dollars.

Farmers and other water users are very jealous of the way in which the Forests are handled. On the Angeles Forest alone local citizens contribute over \$15,000 a year to aid in building fire lines for the prevention of disastrous forest and brush fires. Such men see in the right handling of the Forest the source of their own prosperity, and they cooperate in every way they can to aid the Government in its forest work.

A second way in which farmers are affected by the National Forests is in the use of water for domestic purposes. Not only do individual farmers get the water used in their homes and for their stock from streams rising in the Forests, but many small towns and communities are thus supplied. Altogether about 1,200 towns and cities depend on National Forest water. With the increased development of the country which is following the utilization of the National Forest resources, the number of communities dependent on water from the Forests is increasing, and constantly larger quantities are used in each locality. In the planting operations on the National Forests special attention is given to watersheds which supply municipalities and rural communities. From 10,000,000 to 15,000,000 little pines, firs, and spruces are planted every year in the Forests, and some tons of tree seed sown. Not only is the forest cover on these watersheds being extended by the Government with the aid of local citizens, but special arrangements are made for controlling grazing and other uses of the area in order to prevent the silting of the stream or pollution of its water.

One of the greatest handicaps which the Forest Service has already encountered in meeting this problem of guaranteeing pure water for domestic use is the fact that certain portions of the watersheds have already been privately acquired and the control thus passed from public to private hands. Every year petitions are received for additions to the National Forests, in order to include under the protective system some town or community watershed.

SOIL WASHING AND FLOODS.

Of no less importance to the farmer and the community is the need for having the water supply under constant control and free from torrents which carry away diversion dams, headgates, bridges, and roads, and leave irrigation ditches, fields, and streets strewn with bowlders and mud. Such floods are a matter of disastrous experience in a number of mountain valleys where successful agriculture depends upon unfailing streams. In the San Pete and Castle Valleys of Utah alone the damage to cultivated fields, irrigation works, roads, water-supply systems, and power systems, as the result of erosion and floods since 1890, may be conservatively estimated as totaling in six figures.

When these valleys were settled the streams flowing into them were clear even during the high-water season. As settlement grew the increasing number of cattle and sheep were forced back to the headwaters of the streams for summer pasturage and the timber for domestic supply was cut where it could be secured most conveniently without thought of future protection. The herbaceous and shrub vegetation on the high untimbered slopes, which formerly broke the force of the rain, bound the soil together in its resistance to the combined force of water and gravity, and kept it sponge-like through the constant addition of vegetable matter, was reduced or destroyed by overstocking and premature grazing with cattle and sheep. At the same time the originally mellow soil became packed beneath, and a dust bed on top. The transformation brought about a change similar to the difference between a cultivated field and an adjoining barnyard, the former highly receptive to falling rain, the latter almost impervious. Small gullies soon formed; these increased in number and size, widening out into fan-shaped

drainage at the headwaters of streams and collecting as a funnel in the canvon below. The character of the run-off under such conditions of the watershed is illustrated by an observation in the San Pete Mountains of Utah. At 11 a.m., July 30, 1912, a light rain started; at 11.45 a.m., the roar of a flood was heard at the head of a small canvon draining approximately 1,500 acres of sparsely timbered land at 10,000 feet elevation, lying fan-shape at the head of the The natural stream, a mere driblet, was increased canvon. to a maximum front 25 feet wide and 8 feet high. The main flow lasted approximately an hour and in this time changed its course at the mouth three times. A solid section of bank approximating 5,000 cubic feet was torn out in a few minutes. It is estimated that 30,000 cubic feet of gravel and bowlders came down in this flood resulting from 0.5 inch of rain in 2 hours over a drainage area of 1,500 acres which was hard packed and gullied.

A flood of this character originating at the headwaters of a single canyon on August 24, 1913, carried bowlders as large as 10 cubic feet to the settlement beyond the canyon; headgates were washed out and the main ditch was lowered at one point 5 feet below the intake of laterals, and at another point the channel was filled up and changed. Basements, sidewalks, lawns, and corrals were left under a sheet of mud; the city light plant and the water system were temporarily impaired, and 7 miles of a much-used timber wagon road was made impassable.

To eliminate such floods it is essential to eliminate the conditions which give rise to them. With the watersheds under protection, grazing is adjusted to give nature a chance to revegitate the untimbered slopes; timber cutting is so planned as to protect areas of critical position against erosion and floods; and investigations are under way to develop feasible methods of repairing damage where erosion has progressed to a degree beyond repair by nature alone. By proper management it is believed that this repair work can be done in time without interfering materially with the farmers' need for timber and range. The Government is thus working to protect the farms from disasters that in a few hours might wipe out the results of many years' hard work.

Fully as important, but less noticeable, is the protection afforded both local and general soil fertility by the National Forests on our principal mountain ranges. The pioneer found rich soil on both hillside and plain: but experience has taught him, and the soil expert has demonstrated, that while the fertility of the valley is permanent, the fertility of the hillside is transitory. The humus produced by forest growth makes an exceedingly rich soil, but rainfall and gravitation constantly tend to carry that fertility to lower lands. So long as the slopes are well protected by tree growth, they continue to add to the fertility of the valley lands. Each year a ton or more of litter is added to each acre of forest soil in a dense forest, but the soil fertility remains practically constant, the increase being slowly contributed to lower lands through the forces of nature. The hillside lands are slowly lowered, while those of the valley are raised. So long as this continues at an imperceptible rate the valley is benefitted, while the hills are not injured. An analysis of Illinois soils showed that on hill land the surface 7 inches of soil contained an average of 2,000 pounds of nitrogen, while the next 7 inches contained only one-third as much, and the next still less. At the same time the valley land contained in many instances three times as much, and was fairly uniform to a considerable depth. Under normal forest conditions the more valuable elements are slowly transported from forest to field. Cut off the forest, and the hillsides become a source of sterility instead of fertility. The unregulated rush of waters carries sand and gravel instead of valuable plant food down into the field, destroying the soil fertility of both hillside and valley. This wholesale soil waste and destruction is prevented by the regulated cutting of timber and restricted grazing in the National Forests. The continuation of this protection is all that stands as a safeguard against great injury to the soil fertility of millions of acres of very valuable and productive farm land.

PROPER CONTROL OF TIMBER RESOURCES.

One of the immediate benefits to the farmer comes through the public control of the timber resources. In the first place, settlers who live in and adjacent to the Forests are granted free use of firewood and certain other material for

domestic purposes, and through a recent enactment of Congress there is now authority for such settlers to secure larger amounts of timber needed on their farms merely by paying the Government what it actually costs to administer the This ordinarily is from 50 to 75 cents per thousand sale. feet, which means an actual saving in money to the farmer of from 50 cents to \$5 per thousand, according to the class In administering the National Forests, provision of timber. is made first of all for the needs of the farmers and other local residents. There is thus a guarantee of a permanent supply of material for local communities. The importance of this is illustrated in those regions where the National Forests constitute practically the only source of wood and In certain places in the Southwest and in other timber. places east of the Rocky Mountains, farmers sometimes travel 100 miles to a National Forest to obtain firewood.

Many National Forests in sparsely timbered regions serve as community woodlots for the farmers surrounding them. Instead of cutting material for fuel and farm improvements from the woods on his place, like the average farmer east of the Mississippi, the ranchers turn to these public woodlots. The Sioux National Forest, on the Dakota-Montana line, supplies from 1,200 to 1,500 farmers. The Wichita National Forest, in western Oklahoma, furnishes material to between 1,400 and 1,500 more, and the Cache and Minidoka National Forests, in southern Idaho, together each year furnish farm materials to 3,400 permittees. This use covers every conceivable requirement of the farm-cordwood, fence posts, derrick poles for stacking hay, corral poles and barn rafters, and lumber for buildings. Where timber is particularly scarce these forest woodlots are reserved entirely for the needs of localities surrounding them, including mining industries, where they occur, and local towns as well as rural farm communi-Sales of timber for shipment to outside regions are ties. only permitted where there is clearly more timber than local users require.

Often the community feature of a National Forest is further emphasized by cooperative sawmills constructed and run by groups of farmers or small communities to supply their needs as a whole. Several small mills of this character are in successful operation on the National Forests in the

great farming region of central Utah. The farmers who own them go up into the mountains with their teams for a month or more after the harvesting season, cut and haul logs to the mill, saw the logs into lumber, and haul the latter down to their farms for the next year's use. Opportunity is thus afforded to keep the farms in the vicinity of the National Forests supplied with wood for all purposes at a very slight cost, aside from the time of men and use of teams when ordinary farm work is slack. Not infrequently one finds timber cuttings in progress on the National Forests which are meant to supply the specialized industries of a region. Small mills in the Sierras, for example, are manufacturing National Forest timber into travs which are used in enormous quantities in the raisin belt and citrus-orchard districts of California. Other purchasers of timber from the Government are engaged in cutting fence posts in large quantities for the supply of farming communities, where the individual ranchers can not themselves go to the mountains to procure such material.

The free-use privilege was taken advantage of during the past year by over 34,000 persons, who obtained material entirely without charge aggregating 120,500,000 board feet and with a total real value of \$183,000. Through these privileges and through the public control of the timber, local communities at a distance from the general lumber markets are protected from the excessive prices which frequently obtain where the small man is unable to protect himself on account of the control of supplies by private individuals.

GRAZING.

One of the greatest benefits of the National Forests to the farmers is the protected range which is given to them for their stock. On about two-thirds of the area of the Forests there is more or less forage. Like other resources this is put to use, and more than 9,400,000 cattle, sheep, horses, swine, and goats graze on the Forest range. The grazing is under Government regulation, so that the forests are not injured and at the same time the ranges are not overstocked.

Every farmer is to a certain extent a stockman. When his farm is remote from markets it is the live stock which yields him his income. This is especially true of the farms

in and near the National Forests, most of which are at considerable distances from centers of population and where the transportation facilities are still very poor. If the farmer can secure suitable grazing grounds upon which his stock may remain during the summer, a period averaging throughout the West about five months, he is thereby relieved of the care and expense of maintaining them upon his own land, and the feed they would otherwise have eaten during the summer is accumulated for winter use. It is precisely at this point that the National Forests serve to meet his special needs, for the farmer is given preference in use of the forage on the Forests. Every man is allowed to graze 10 milch and work animals entirely free of charge. He is then preferred before all others in further grazing privileges, for which he pays a very moderate fee. The regulation of the use of the ranges gives him protection, so that he is sure of having enough forage to carry his stock through the summer. Prior to the creation of the various National Forests the small live-stock owner was practically prohibited from utilizing the ranges adjacent to his lands because they were already stocked to their utmost capacity by larger owners. If in one year there was enough feed for the farmer's stock the next year some large outfit might sweep through and use it all. The small man could not afford to turn his few head loose among such large herds because of the danger of the animals straying to remote ranges.

As a concrete example of how the present Government policy has encouraged and built up the production of live stock by farmers, the Manti Forest, in the State of Utah, may be cited. This Forest lies between two very productive valleys in central Utah, where the demand for farms is so great that the farm unit is being reduced every year, the average for 1914 being 38 acres. When the Forest was created the ranges were practically monopolized by the large Now the Forest range is occupied almost wholly by herds. the stock of the farmers living in the near-by valleys. During the season of 1914, 1,259 permits were issued for the grazing of cattle and horses and 513 permits for the grazing of sheep upon this Forest. The ownership of these animals was divided as follows: Permits for 1 to 40 cattle, 1,185 owners; 41 to 100, 61 owners; above 100 cattle, 13; from 1 to 1.000

sheep, 506 owners; above 1,000, only 7. Several hundred cattle permits were for less than 10 head and dozens of the sheep permits for less than 75 head. The average number of sheep grazed per permit was 290 head, and the average number of cattle and horses was 15. This shows how the small owners are taken care of.

It will be seen to what an extent the farmers in the valley surrounding the Manti Forest depend upon it as an aid to their farming operations. Every year there are applications from new settlers who desire grazing privileges for from 1 to 10 head of cattle, and the larger owners are being continually reduced to make room for this class of permittees. These farmers turn their stock into the Forest in the early spring and return them to their farms in the fall, when those which are ready for market are sold, while the breeding stock is wintered upon the farm. It is an attested fact that stock sold from the Forest ranges weigh more and bring better prices per pound that the same class of stock from the open ranges outside the Forests. It is also true that these farmers could not successfully operate such small farm units without the aid of the Forest ranges. This is the sort of result that is being secured on all the National Forests where there is grazing land and near-by farms. Every year a larger number of permits are issued to small In fact, out of 29,000 permits, 24,000 are for small owners. men, chiefly farmers living in and near the Forests.

The development of the dry-farming principle, which is bringing under cultivation large areas of land hitherto believed to be incapable of producing agricultural crops, can be successfully carried through only by maintaining live stock to eat the rough forage crops which are produced upon the majority of such farms. If the dry farmer can depend upon obtaining range for part or all of his surplus stock within the neighboring Forests, he will be able to add very materially to the meat production of the nation and at the same time develop his land much more rapidly.

In many parts of the West the dairying industry is rapidly growing, and applications from settlers for range within the Forests upon which to graze their dairy cattle are being received in large numbers. To meet this situation certain areas have been reserved exclusively for this class of animals,

75922°-- Үвк 1914-----6

and drift fences have been erected to prevent the dairy cattle from mixing with the purely beef herds around them, and also from wandering from their own ranges. Already farms in and near the Forests bring a higher price in the market by reason of the grazing privileges which the farmer has for his stock.

BENEFITS FROM INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT.

The Government is aiming to secure a development of all the various natural resources in the National Forests. This means the establishment of new industries of all kinds: it means, further, that the industries will be permanent ones, because the resources upon which they depend are being handled conservatively; it means the development of communities, towns, and even cities; it means more people working to create wealth and an increased demand for all the products of the farm. It means, therefore, for the farmer. a greatly increased market for his products. Every timber sale means development of the lumber industry, with the establishment of camps in the Forest to log out the timber and a sawmill established for its manufacture. There is developed immediately a market for all food products raised on the farm to supply the needs of the men employed in the work: there is also created a demand for grain and hay for the horses and mules used in the various lumbering operations. Very commonly a large timber sale means a railroad. either a main line or a branch line, and all extensive railroads built under National Forest contracts must be common carriers. In many instances this means not only that more people are brought into the farming communities, but that still greater markets become available by shipping over the railroad. Exactly the same process follows every substantial mining development.

There is one industrial development going on very rapidly in the National Forests which is often overlooked as an industry, and that is the development of the recreation resources. Throughout the National Forests there are a multitude of small lakes and streams and points of special scenic attraction. Many thousands of people are going every year into the National Forests for recreation purposes. Probably not less than $1\frac{1}{2}$ million pleasure seekers use the Forests in this way every year. The development of the recreation resources is being encouraged by the Government in every way possible. Not only are the points of special interest being protected from injury by forest fires or otherwise, but improvements in the way of roads and trails are very rapidly making these interesting areas available to the public. In consequence hotels and cottages are being built around the lakes and at other points, and summer communities are springing up in great numbers. Such a community establishes a market for produce from the neighboring farms.

THE FARMER AS A CAMPER.

In many sections the people making use of the Forests for recreation are the farmers who live in the hot valley at the foot of the mountains. Thus, for example, in California, when the fruit-canning season closes in the hot San Joaquin Valley, thousands of small farm owners load up a camp outfit on a wagon and start for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests. Each takes his wife, children, and dogs, and while the family gain new health in the timber camp on the edge of some mountain meadow, the farmer cuts his year's supply of cedar fence posts, and shoots the two deer which the law allows him.

In addition to the farmers' direct benefit from all development in the National Forests through increased markets for their products, there is immediately created a demand for labor and for the use of teams and other equipment. The Forest Service goes to the local ranchers, as well as to the lumber camps, for much of its labor in building trails and other work. Many of the patrolmen are young ranchmen having homes in or near the Forests. Exactly the same market for labor follows the use of the resources.

In some localities farmers work at certain seasons of the year in the National Forests cutting timber for sale, just as the New England farmer puts in time in the winter, when he has no other work for himself and his teams, getting out material from his own woodlot. The advantage to the farmer of being able to employ his time in off seasons profitably is self-evident. Where a local market exists the farmer can go into the National Forests, make a purchase of timber

on the stump at a reasonable price, and go to work. This is already a very valuable opportunity for many western set-The Forest officers prescribe methods of cutting which tlers. insure the maintenance of the forests and prevent unnecessary waste. As the country about the Forest becomes more fully populated the value of the opportunity thus afforded will increase. The farmer has his timber supplies taken care of by the Government without cost to him, and in consequence is often better off than the eastern farmer who must pay taxes on his woodlot, perhaps at an excessive valuation. Of the over 8,000 small commercial timber sales involving amounts worth \$500 or less, made by the Forest Service each year, a large proportion are made to farmers, who, in connection with their ranches, run wood yards, small sawmills, and local lumber yards, or who distribute fence posts to a considerable agricultural district. Upward of 200 small sales are made annually in the vicinity of Butte, Mont., chiefly to farmers who cut and haul cordwood, mining stulls, mine props, mine lagging, converter poles, and the like from the Forests to supply the mining market of that region. Elsewhere many small timber contracts are let to farmers who cut a few thousand railroad ties each winter for delivery to some local line. Other farmers take out telephone poles in small lots, shingle bolts to be sold to some local mill, or small quantities of saw timber which are sold to local manufacturers. One of the interesting results of the establishment of National Forests in the Southern Appalachians is to bring employment to many of the people living in the mountains-employment not only in public works, but also through taking small contracts in cutting and hauling logs, piling, acid wood, and Such opportunities are often a stimulus to an entire fuel. community.

PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS.

One of the farmer's first needs is adequate transportation. Millions of acres of farm land are to-day undeveloped because of the lack of good roads. In opening a new country, road building constitutes a hard problem for the settlers. At first their number is small; every man is struggling to erect his home and farm buildings and to clear the land; during the period of actually establishing the farm there is little or no

84

income from it, and usually the settlers can not afford to pav high taxes. Under such circumstances the burden of road building is so great that development is slow, and in thousands of cases the settlers give it up entirely and abandon their homesteads. The National Forests comprise the remotest and least settled localities. In many cases farming in these regions is still pioneer work, under as difficult conditions as ever existed anywhere in this country. Near the Forests are very large areas of excellent agricultural lands lying idle, lands capable of supporting many thousands of families and adding greatly to the food supply of the regions. One reason for the failure to develop this land has already been mentioned, namely, the excessive speculative prices at which it is held. That is a problem that will have to be met in large part by the communities themselves, which have the power of taxation. But one great reason also why a good deal of this land lies idle is the lack of roads. Everv reason exists why the public should aid in this matter, because it is of vital importance to the public to have these lands put to productive use.

This problem is being met in two ways: First, by the work of public improvements being carried on by the Government in the Forests, and, second, by the direct contribution to the counties from a share in the receipts from the Forests. Every year the Government builds in the Forest roads. trails, bridges, telephone lines, and other improvements. The National Forests have been under administration only a decade, yet there have been already constructed 2,300 miles of roads, 21,000 miles of trails, 583 bridges, and 18,000 miles of telephone lines. Every one of these improvements benefits some settlers and ranchers. Many are the communities made accessible through the roads, bridges, and trails; many the ranchers who have been brought into profitable and pleasant communication with neighbors and outside places by the Forest Service telephones. Naturally the chief benefit of these improvements is to those who live within the boundaries of the Forests.

A direct contribution to the communities for roads and schools without reference to the Forest lines is provided for by Congress. There is appropriated annually for the use of the counties in which Forests lie 25 per cent of all gross receipts earned from the sale of timber and from other resources, this to be used in road building and for schools. Where the resources of the Forests are marketable, the returns from this source are already very considerable. In the aggregate, nearly \$900,000 is obtained in this way each year from the National Forest receipts, to be used locally in public improvements and schools. Some of the individual Forests are bringing in over \$100,000 a year, and the business throughout the Forests is increasing so that the direct contribution to community upbuilding will soon be a very large one.

But in many of the Forests the resources are inaccessible and the greatest resource, the timber, is not salable under present conditions, except in small quantities. Under such circumstances the development of the Forest resources is slow, and there is but little direct return to the communities from Forest receipts. While ultimately these Forests will be of enormous importance to the country, the people need assistance now during the pioneer period of development. A great deal of the land in the counties in which the most heavily timbered National Forests are located is still a practical wilderness. The very foundation of any development in such sections is the construction of roads and bridges, and this is in many places enormously expensive. The clearing of the land for farming, the building of the homes, the building of schools, churches, and public improvements in the towns, in addition to the road building, are the burdens of a small, struggling population.

In many cases the National Forests occupy from 20 to 60 per cent of the area of the counties and contain timber of vast amount. Is there any wonder that the people are protesting that the Forests, which are not subject to taxes and are not yielding much from timber sales, are not contributing as they should to the development of their communities? Often they use the phrase that the Forests are blocking development or that the resources are locked up. This is, of course, not true, because the resources are available for use. What is meant, and what is true, is that the Forests are not contributing as they should to development of the communities in the counties in which the Forests are located.

86

Various proposals have been made, from time to time, looking to a possible solution of this problem. The most common proposal has been to abolish the National Forests and distribute the land among private owners in order that it may be brought under taxation. To make clear the utterly destructive character of such a program would need only a review of the scandals that accompanied the operation of the land laws as they pertained to timber lands, prior to the establishment of the National Forests, and a review of the results of private ownership of large areas of forest land where there has been no public control or public participation in the protection and handling of them. The National Forests will be one of the greatest sources of local prosperity. Publicly owned, they will be protected from destruction and their resources will be available for continuous service in building up and permanently maintaining local industries. Above all, they serve the public and their benefits can be shared by the average man instead of serving to enrich a few who might be in a position to secure control of them. The problem must be worked out in a way which will meet the immediate needs of the communities, without breaking down the integrity of the National Forests and withdrawing from the local communities the great benefits which will increasingly be derived from them.

Just such a constructive solution of the present difficulties of the settlers in heavily timbered National Forest counties has been proposed by the Secretary of Agriculture in his recent annual report. Briefly, this new policy proposes that where existing resources justify it and the public need can be demonstrated, future receipts from the National Forests be anticipated and advances be made by Congress for the construction of roads, bridges, and other public works, these advances to be returned to the Treasury from the sums which later on will be received when the timber can be placed on the market to advantage. This new policy would apply exclusively in those counties where there is a considerable area of Forest land, and so located that the resources can not be marketed at the present time, but later will yield a large revenue. Such a policy would fully meet the local difficulties arising from the fact that the National Forests are not subject to taxation. It would make the 88

Forest resources immediately realizable for public improvements; it would accomplish a development not possible without public aid; it would stimulate agricultural development; it would relieve the now struggling communities from a burden of taxation they would otherwise have to assume if the development of many of the National Forests communities is to go forward as rapidly as it should; it would hasten the development of the National Forest resources and aid in their protection; in every way it would work to help the small man. With such a plan in operation there would be removed the one barrier that now in a few places prevents the farmer from enjoying immediately the benefit of the National Forests.

THE ORGANIZATION OF A RURAL COMMUNITY.

By T. N. CABVER,

Adviser in Agricultural Economics, U.S. Department of Agriculture. [Prepared for the Office of Markets and Rural Organization.]

GENERAL OUTLINES OF THE PLAN.

 \mathbf{N}^{O} single plan of organization will suit all rural com-munities. There must be munities. There must be a clear and definite need for organization before any organization can hope to suc-Since the needs of different rural communities differ, ceed. it must follow that the plans of organization must differ also, at least in some of their details. The plan here presented is intended only as a general guide, to be followed so far as it seems to meet the needs of any community which is studying the problem of organization. They who are on the ground and know the local conditions must determine for themselves how far this plan fits the case.

It is presented in the form of a general comprehensive organization of the whole community. Such an organization is desirable wherever possible. However, some parts of this plan ought to be of use to every existing organization in a rural community, however narrow its scope or purpose. The Boy Scouts ought to find suggestions for useful work in some of the details of this plan, the Camp-fire Girls in others, the various church societies and committees in others, the Grange, the Farmers' Union, and other farmers' organizations in others, and the country school could become an effective agency in every part of this plan.

It is not a plan for the "uplifting" of the farmer. The farmers are quite capable of taking care of themselves, but they have not yet taken up the work of organized selfhelp as completely as could be desired. It is hoped that this plan may persuade more of them to study the need for and results of organization, and to act in accordance with the results of their study, than have ever done so before. It is of the utmost importance that careful study should precede action. Hasty, ill-considered action is likely to lead to mistakes and failures. A few bad mistakes and conspicuous failures will discredit the whole movement and put it back for a generation.

90 Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

The plan is similar to that of the chambers of commerce in some of our cities. The whole membership of the organization is to be divided into committees, each member being assigned to one committee. Naturally each one should be assigned to that committee whose work interests him or her most.

There is to be a central or executive committee composed of the president of the organization, its secretary, its treasurer, and the chairmen of the various committees. This central committee should direct the general policy of the organization, have charge of all property, either owned or rented, raise all funds needed, control them and their expenditure, appoint all paid officers, such as secretaries, inspectors, packers, business managers, etc., if any are needed, determine their salaries, and conduct all correspondence with other organizations of a similar character, as well as with business or banking houses, railroad companies, manufacturers, etc.

ORGANIZATION FOR DEFINITE PURPOSES.

Not only must there be a distinct need for organization, but each committee should be constructed to deal with one specific need. The first thing to decide, therefore, is what are the principal needs of the community in question, in order that the proper committee may be constituted. As a result of considerable study of this question the author has reached the conclusion that the 10 principal needs for organization in the average rural community in the United States are as follows:

The needs of rural communities.

		. Better farm . Better marl	production.
Needs of rural com- munities which require organiza- tion	I. Business needs	. Better mea supplies.	ns of securing farm
		. Better cred	it facilities.
		. Better mea	ns of communica-
		tion:	
		A. Ros	ds.
	{	B. Tel	ephones.
	II. Social needs	Better educ	ational facilities.
		. Better sanit	ation.
		Better oppo	rtunities for recre-
		ation.	
		. Beautificati	on of the country-
		side.	
		Better hom	e economics.

For any rural community which this statement happens to fit, whose citizens are convinced that these are their 10 principal needs, the plan of organization shown later is recommended (fig. 1, p. 92).

ADVANTAGES OF ORGANIZATION.

It ought not to be difficult to convince the farmers of any community that they need organization. There is probably not a farming community in the United States which does not need some, at least, of the things named in the above outline. Yet none of these things can be secured by individual farmers each working alone. Some form of "team work" will be found necessary or advantageous in every case. They who can not or will not work together are always in a weak position when brought into competition with those who can and do. Team work counts as much in business competition as in athletic contests; but the team work, in either case, needs to be wisely directed according to a well-considered plan.

At the very beginning let us acknowledge the excellent work already done by a number of farmers' organizations. They have undertaken a stupendous task, and they have grappled with it courageously. There are now more than $6\frac{1}{2}$ million farmers in the United States; they are widely scattered; they have a great diversity of interests, many of which are difficult to harmonize, and farmers are temperamentally an independent, individualistic class, and therefore difficult to organize. In view of these facts, it is not difficult to understand why the progress in organization has been slow. The recognition of the work of rural organization by the Secretary of Agriculture as a legitimate part of the work of his department should be a great help, and will probably mark an epoch in the history of American agriculture.

WHY AMERICAN AGRICULTURE HAS NOT BEEN WELL ORGANIZED.

Since the opening up of the vast territory west of the Appalachians and the first beginnings of the public-land policy of the United States, the farming in this country has been more individualistic and less organized than that of



FIG 1.—Outline plan for the organization of a rural community.

R earbook oftheDepartment ofAgriculture

26

any other civilized country. Our methods of disposing of the public lands, under the preemption and homestead acts, encouraged this system. Each settler was treated as an isolated individual and his farm as an isolated economic unit. Settlers found themselves thrown together as neighbors without previous acquaintance.

So long as there was an abundance of fertile soil to be had for the trouble of living on it, agriculture could flourish under this system, and the statistics of agricultural production and exportation could continue to swell. The individual farmer frequently remained poor, or profited, if at all, through the rise in the value of his land rather than through the sale of his products. This condition of the individual farmer did not always attract the attention of statesmen and publicists. They were interested rather in the expanding figures of total national production and exportation, to which they could always point with pride.

Only the best and most easily tilled lands were suitable for this kind of farming. The result has been, as ascertained by a recent inquiry of the Secretary of Agriculture, that only a fraction of the tillable land even of the humid portion of the country has been reduced to cultivation. The tendency has been to pass by the second and third grade lands, or the lands whose initial expense of cultivation was high, and select the best and most easily cultivated lands. The time has now arrived when the continuation of that policy is carrying our pioneer farmers beyond the boundaries of the United States into Canada and Mexico. Meanwhile vast areas of tillable land at home remain neglected.

CONSEQUENCES OF LACK OF ORGANIZATION.

If it were invariably true that superior lands beyond our own boundaries were being taken up to the neglect of inferior lands at home, there would be much to be said in favor of this policy. At any rate, it would be hard to find a convincing argument, aside from the appeal to patriotism, to show a farmer why he should remain on inferior land within our own borders when he might find superior land just over the boundary. But there are reasons for believing that this is not always nor even usually the case. In the first place, the lands being sought are virgin soil, capable of profitable exploitation for a few years. They can be made to grow heavy yields of a single money crop, and that, too, a crop like wheat, for which there is a highly efficient and very active market. The farmer's marketing problem is solved for him, and he can continue his highly individualistic farming. The lands which he is passing by are frequently highly productive, but are suitable for various kinds of agricultural specialties.

Now, the characteristic of an agricultural specialty is that there is no organized market for it and it does not regularly sell at a quotable price. If it did, it would not be a specialty. The isolated small farmer could scarcely make a living by growing this kind of a crop unless he were near a large city, and even there he would probably have to give as much time and thought to the marketing of his crop as to the growing of it. If he were not thus favorably located he could scarcely market his specialty at all unless he were either growing it on a very large scale, so that he could maintain a selling agency of his own, or were cooperating with a group of other farmers for the same purpose. If they were thus organized they could make more off some of this land which is now being neglected than they could possibly make off the virgin lands of the far Northwest. But as isolated, unorganized farmers they can doubtless make more off those new lands growing a staple crop which almost markets itself. Until we succeed in developing an organized rural life-until our farmers are willing to work together instead of working as isolated, unorganized units-they will continue to neglect . such lands as require organization for their successful cultivation and migrate to new lands which are capable of being farmed by the old methods.

A similar problem is met with in the promotion of irrigation farming. There are only a few places where an individual farmer can reclaim land and bring it under irrigation. Until some organization could be formed to handle the problem or until the State or Federal Government took up the matter, individual farmers ignored very productive irrigable land in favor of inferior land which had the advantage of being capable of individual reclamation. Again, there are vast areas which require drainage. In only a few cases can this drainage be done by individual small farmers. Consequently these lands have generally been neglected in favor of lands which, though ultimately less productive, have the one advantage of being suitable for immediate cultivation by unorganized, individual farmers. Even Government enterprise, in the case of irrigation and drainage projects, unless supplemented by organized work on the part of the settlers, will prove insufficient. Such Government projects will eventually fail to attract settlers unless the Government sells the land to them below the cost of reclamation, which would be bad economy, or organizes them to work out their marketing and financial problems so as to enable them to make enough off the land to pay the cost of reclamation.

The issues which depend upon an organization which will bring about the utilization of lands now neglected are more far-reaching than most of us are prepared to believe. Passing by these lands in search of new land which is capable of successful cultivation without organization means a rapid expansion of our people over new territories, together with a very thin settlement of older territories. So long as we have plenty of new land within our own boundaries this will lead to no international complications. When this rapidly spreading farm population begins to cross our boundaries in large numbers such complications are inevitable. When they find governmental and social conditions satisfactory, trouble may be avoided. When they find them unsatisfactory to themselves-as they did in Texas and Hawaii, as the English did in South Africa, and as we are certain to do in countries whose civilization is different from our ownthen trouble can not by any possibility be avoided. Therefore even the problem of international peace bears a close relation to our ability to find productive opportunities for our expanding rural population at home, and this in turn depends upon a rural organization which will make possible the successful farming of lands now being neglected.

Of more immediate importance in this connection than the problem of international peace is that of the preservation of the prosperity of the small farmer who does most of his own work on his own farm. His salvation depends upon his ability to compete with the large farmer or the farming corporation. Two things threaten to place him under a handicap and to give the large farmer an advantage over him in competition. If these two things are allowed to operate, the big farmer will beat him in competition and force him down to a lower standard of living and possibly to extinction.

One thing which would tend in that direction is a large supply of cheap labor. The small farmer now has an advantage because of the difficulty which the big farmer has in getting help. So great is this difficulty that many of the bonanza farmers are giving up the fight and selling out to That is, the big farms, the farms that can small farmers. only be cultivated by gangs of hired laborers, are being divided up. Give the owners of these farms an abundant supply of cheap labor, make it easy for them to solve the problem of efficient help, and they will begin again to compete successfully with the small farmer who, because he does his own work, has no labor problem. If conditions remain such that the capitalistic farmer has great difficulty in getting help, the small farmer will continue to beat him in competition, and the bonanza farm will continue to give way to the one-family farm.

ORGANIZATION ESSENTIAL TO THE PRESERVATION OF THE SMALL FARMER.

Another thing which threatens the prosperity and even the existence of the small farmer is the handicap under which he finds himself in buying and selling. The big farmer who can buy and sell in large quantities, and also employ expert talent in buying and selling, and in securing credit, has an advantage over the small farmer who must buy and sell in small quantities and give his time and attention mainly to the growing of crops rather than to selling them. Much of the supposed economy of large-scale production, even in merchandising and manufacturing, is found, upon examination, to consist wholly in an advantage in bargaining; that is, in buying and selling. When it comes to the work of growing farm crops, as distinct from selling them and buying raw materials, the one-family farm is the most efficient unit that has yet been found. But the big farmer can beat the individual small farmer in buying and

selling. It would seem desirable, from the standpoint of national efficiency, to preserve the small farm as the productive unit, but to organize a number of small farms into larger units for buying and selling. Thus we should have the most efficient units both in producing and in buying and selling.

If this is not done, the only farmers who can enter successfully into the production of agricultural specialties, where the problem of marketing is greater than the problem of producing, will be the big, capitalistic farmers. The small farmer may hold his own in the growing of staple crops, in which field the problem of efficient production is perhaps greater than that of successful marketing. The reason for this is that there is a well-organized market for staple crops and the problem of marketing is therefore somewhat less difficult than in the case of agricultural specialties. But even in the growing of staple crops the small farmer will have a hard time of it if he is forced to compete with the big farm when it is cultivated by gangs of cheap laborers. The two worst enemies of the small farmer are the opponents of cooperative buying and selling on the one hand and the advocates of enlarged immigration to the rural districts on the other. The latter would help the big farmer in the buying of labor for his farm, and reduce the price of the small farmer's own labor when he undertook to sell it in the form of produce.

SPECIAL FEATURES OF THE PLAN.

I. BUSINESS INTERESTS.

1. COMMITTEE ON PRODUCTION.

Our plan for the organization of a rural community begins with the committee on production. The greater part of the actual work of production can probably be carried on most economically on individual farms of a size which can be cultivated mainly by the labor of one family. This calls for very little cooperation or organization. But the study of the problems of production can undoubtedly be carried on most effectively in cooperation. If a hundred men in a community are all studying the problem of growing the crops of that community, but each man studies alone and does not exchange ideas with his neighbors, each man profits only by

75922°—увк 1914—7

his own study; but if they meet frequently to discuss their common problems and to exchange ideas, each man profits not only by his own study but by that of all his neighbors. Again, much of the work of organized marketing must begin before there is anything to sell. It must begin with production. Successful marketing consists, first, in finding out just what the consumers want and how they want it packed and delivered. To get the whole community to grow a uniform product such as the consumers demand requires organization of the community to standardize its production. Again, to stimulate rivalry in improving the products of a community, both as to quality and quantity, requires an organization to recognize and show some appreciation of merit.

It will, of course, occur to anyone that the problem of marketing farm produce is the one which, more than any other in the list, is now attracting public attention and calling for organization. The problem of economic and efficient marketing-that is, of securing for the producer the largest possible proportion of the price paid by the consumer-is largely a problem of selling by grade rather than by inspection. So long as the farmer lived within hauling distance of the consumer, so long as he could haul his produce to town and show it to the consumer and allow him to examine it and "paw it over," this method of selling on inspection was satisfactory. When the producer lives at a great distance from the consumer this method becomes expensive, because, first, the process of inspection has to be repeated by several middlemen; second, it is physically impossible to handle goods on so large a scale where they are sold on inspection as where they are sold on grade. Wherever there is a highly efficient system of selling anything it will be found that there has been developed a system of grading and standardization; that is, the goods are inspected only once and are graded. Thereafter they are bought and sold by grade with no further inspection. The farmers are under the same inexorable economic laws as other people, and they will never be able to market their products with the maximum economy until they grade and standardize their own products so that they can move through the channels of trade toward the consumers without repeated inspections. But this can

not be done without organization. This ought to be a sufficient reason for having a committee on production in any well-organized rural community. Cooperation is not a magical scheme by which poor products or products which consumers do not want can be sold at a good price. The products must, to begin with, be such as to please the consumer, and they must be so uniform in quality as to give the consumer confidence. The products of a multitude of small farmers can be made uniform as to grading and packing by an organization and by no other means whatsoever. It is a waste of time and breath even to talk about it on any other basis.

Much excellent work is already being done by the boys' and girls' clubs toward the improvement of production. An



FIG. 2.—Comparison of annual timber cut and mineral output, with value of natural manure. (Figures for annual timber cut from Forest Service; for annual mineral output from Bureau of Mines; for value of natural manure from Farmers' Bulletin 192, p. 5, U. S. Department of Agriculture.)

organization such as is here outlined should through its committee on farm production promote all such work and cause it to spread and increase in efficiency. This committee should also study to discover new methods of increasing the productivity of the community, new crops, new and improved methods of soil treatment, the field selection of seed, scientific breeding of live stock, and even the conservation of manure. How important this last-named topic is may be shown by the figures in the accompanying diagram (fig. 2).

From this chart it will be seen that the value of natural manure alone is considerably greater than the combined value of the entire mineral output and timber cut of the United States. These items are considered important enough to become political issues and to call for a national organization for their conservation. It is not here proposed that the conservation of our manure shall become an issue in national politics, but it is reasonable to suggest that it is important enough to occupy the attention of any organization whose purpose is to promote the prosperity of American agriculture. It is impossible to state just how much of this enormous value is wasted by neglect or improper handling. It it variously estimated as from one-fourth to onehalf of the total. Assuming that one-third of it is wasted, we get the enormous sum of \$741,900,000. This is certainly important enough to justify a considerable amount of study by a large number of local organizations.

Too much emphasis can hardly be laid on the importance of organized promotion of breeding enterprises. So long as this is left wholly to individual breeders, each one working alone, no great headway can be made by small farmers with little capital. Only those men who are in a position to invest heavily and advertise widely can do much in this direction. American farmers have accomplished something in the way of establishing new breeds of swine and poultry. These forms of live stock multiply more rapidly than any other of our common domesticated animals, and therefore it does not take so long to establish a breed. Outside of these two branches of animal husbandry our achievements are very limited. At this present moment it is doubtful if it can honestly be said that we have established a single new breed of cattle, sheep, or horses. We have depended wholly upon importation from abroad, and, in spite of the millions of dollars which have been expended for imported breeding stock, there is probably no European country which has so much poor stock as the United States, and there are not many where the average is so low.

One reason for our indifferent success in animal breeding has been the lack of neighborhood organization. Where a whole community is interested in the same breed of live stock, where practically every farm is a breeding station, there is, first, a wider basis of selection than where only one farm is given over to that breed. A wider basis of selection makes possible more scientific mating than is possible where there are only a few breeding animals from which to select.

In the second place, a neighborhood enterprise of this kind gives greater permanency and continuity than is possible where only a few individual farmers are interested. It has happened so often in this country that it may almost be said to be the rule that by the time a successful breeder has built up a superior herd, stud, or flock his life is drawing to a close. his sons have moved to town, and his animals are scattered. These animals may, after they are scattered, do something toward improving the general average of the animals of the community, but this is by no means certain. There are many chances that they will be crossed with other breeds, and the general tendency of haphazard cross-breeding is to produce mongrels. If, on the other hand, the whole community in which such a breeder lived were engaged in developing the same breed instead of a large number of different breeds, his animals would probably remain in the same neighborhood and be crossed with others of the same breed. When this happens the work of the individual breeder is not lost, but is enabled to count in the improvement of the stock of the country. Under our present highly individualistic methods, the farmer who enters upon a breeding enterprise frequently, if not generally, makes the initial mistake of selecting some breed which is new to his community in order that he may have something different from anything possessed by his neighbors. It is safe to say that a neighborhood whose farmers behave in this absurd manner will never become distinguished for the excellence of its live stock or of its field crops.

A third reason for our lack of success in animal breeding has already been suggested, i. e., the lack of stability of the average American farm family. Where the same farm stays in the same family for several generations, if it happens to be a breeding farm, there is time to build up a superior herd, stud, or flock. In the United States this does not often happen. The sons of a successful breeder have, in the past, frequently gone to a city to enter upon an urban business or profession. But even this instability of the farm family, which prevents the continuation of breeding enterprises over long periods of time is, in large measure, due to a lack of rural organization. This will be discussed more fully under the organization of the social interests of farm communities;
but it may be permitted at this point in our discussion to call attention to the fact that well-to-do people leave the farms because the country does not furnish them the means of social and intellectual enjoyment which they crave. These things can be had only where there is an organized effort to build up the neighborhood on its social and educational side.

Another very definite advantage in neighborhood organization for the breeding of farm animals has been realized already in many communities. This is the opportunity which such an organization affords for the purchase and maintenance of expensive breeding animals. This may take the form (1) of purchasing a more expensive animal than would be economical for a single small farmer who could not use him to his full capacity, or (2) purchasing jointly a number of pure-bred males. Each animal thus purchased is kept on a single farm for two years. Then they are all changed around so that each animal serves on another farm for another two-year period, and so on as long as they are fit for service. In this way each animal can be used during his whole effective lifetime and his full value can be realized. Where an individual farmer purchases an animal of this kind, without the opportunity for a fair exchange, he must either sell the animal at a loss or run the risk of injuring his herd by undesirable inbreeding. Another advantage, not to be ignored, is the opportunity which the plan gives for more scientific mating. There being a larger number of pure-bred males in the neighborhood from which to select, arrangement can easily be made by which certain selected females can be mated with those males which have shown special prepotency.

In short, if the farmers of a given community will all adopt the same breeds of farm animals, and if the same breeding farm will remain in the same family generation after generation, and if the farmers will practice cooperative purchasing and maintaining of breeding animals on a large scale, we can soon hope to rival any European country in the excellence of our breeding stock.

2. COMMITTEE ON MARKETING.

The marketing of farm products must begin, as already stated, with the production of things that are marketable. Four accomplishments must precede the actual selling of a product if the best results are to be secured, and each of these accomplishments calls for organization. They are:

(1) The improvement of the product. This ought to be one of the first results of cooperation. A group of farmers, all interested in growing the same product, by meeting frequently and discussing the problems connected with the growing of that product, will normally educate one another and thus improve their methods of production.

(2) The standardization of the product through organized production and marketing. Standardization follows naturally and easily if the cooperators are wise enough to see its importance. Not only must the product be a good product, but it must be graded according to the tastes or desires of the consumers or ultimate purchasers. If the producers insist upon throwing an unstandardized, nondescript product upon the market, the consumers, each one of whom wants a small and simple parcel and wants that to be of a certain kind and quality, will never buy of the producers. Some one, then, must intervene to do the grading and standardizing.

Individual growers, except where they produce on a commercial scale, are usually unable to grade their own products sufficiently to satisfy the trade. Their products should be pooled and the work of grading should be under the control of an organization whose employees have no personal interest in the goods. Such an arrangement makes it easier to secure an absolutely honest and uniform pack. In this way, too, producers will be able either to sell directly to the consumer or so to reduce the toll charged by the middleman as to enlarge their own profits.

(3) Branding. An excellent product, graded and standardized, must then be so branded or trade-marked as to enable the consumer to identify it or recognize it when he sees it. That is really all there is to the stamp on a coin. It adds nothing to the intrinsic value of the metal, but it makes it circulate. Without such a stamp each individual would have to weigh and test a piece of metal which was offered him and the circulation or salability of the metal would be greatly restricted, but a stamp upon it which the average receiver recognizes at once and in which he has confidence makes him instantly willing to accept it. This may be an extreme case, but it does not differ in principle from the stamping of any other salable piece of material. A private stamp is quite as good as a Government stamp if people have as much confidence in it as they have in a Government stamp and if it is as reliable and as uniform. Private coins have circulated many times in the past. However, without taking such an extreme case as the coinage of metal, except by way of illustration, it will not take much argument to convince the average person that if a box of apples bearing a certain stamp or trade-mark gets to be known as reliable and good all the way through, the producer or the producing association whose stamp has thus gained confidence will be able to sell where unstamped products equally good will fail altogether.

(4) Education of the consumer. The consumer must be educated as to the meaning of a stamp or trade-mark on goods which are excellent in themselves and uniform in quality. This may call for some form of advertising which can be financed effectively only by an organization.

Let these four things be done and the problem of marketing will become fairly simple. But it must be remembered that these four things can be done only by organization.

After these four things are done, and not before, the organization should put an expert salesman, or selling agency, in the field to search for the best markets, to make favorable contracts with consumers and dealers, to secure satisfactory storage and transportation facilities, and to look after a multitude of details which require more technical knowledge than the average farmer, with his varied duties, has time to acquire.

There is probably no form of human effort where the old adage that "knowledge is power" is capable of greater emphasis than in the three commercial branches of the farmer's business, viz, the disposing of farm products, the procuring of farm supplies, and the securing of farm credit. More important, perhaps, than even the cooperative marketing of farm products is the knowledge on the part of the farmers that they can market cooperatively, and, in detail, just how it can be done. So long as they do not possess this knowledge, so long will the mercantile agencies who possess a monopoly of the knowledge of all the marketing processes, the channels of trade, methods of doing business, methods of payment, collection, etc., have the farmers at a disadvantage. Of all forms of monopoly, a monopoly of knowledge is the most powerful. When dealers no longer possess this monopoly, when farmers are thoroughly informed on all these matters, then dealers will be compelled to do business at a reasonable profit; otherwise, the farmers will do it for themselves.

It is extremely improbable that cooperative marketing will ever entirely displace the system of marketing through private dealers. The latter can, if they will, handle the farmers' products, in many cases at least, as cheaply as the farmers themselves can. When the farmers are thoroughly organized and thoroughly informed on commercial methods, they can take their choice as between cooperative marketing and selling through private dealers. They will be prepared to adopt whichever method is more economical. Then the private dealers will be compelled to be as efficient and economical as a cooperative society or else get out of business altogether. There is no reason why they should not continue to do a large share of the business of handling farm products. Every economy which a farmers' cooperative society can practice will be open to the private dealers as well. It is only where farmers are unwilling to work together, or are uninformed as to commercial methods, that the private dealers are able to take advantage of them and charge an excessive toll on the products which pass through their hands.

It should be the business of the committee on marketing of farm products of a local organization to keep itself and the members of the organization thoroughly informed as to all the commercial practices involved in getting products from the farm to the consumer. This knowledge should include not only the regular channels through which the products move, but also all other possible channels, such as the parcels post and the express companies' service. Knowing how to use these channels may prove to be a very important advantage, without actually using them to any great extent. This knowledge may reduce the toll which can be charged upon goods passing through other channels. One of the most vexatious questions connected with marketing is that of railroad transportation. The difficulty is not so much the freight cost in itself as the difficulty which the small farmer has of getting efficient service. Railroad companies, like all practical business houses, prefer a large business to a small business. The large shipper can command their attention when the small shipper can not. This is of particular importance to the shipper of perishable produce. A small shipper, who occasionally ships a carload, is subject to vexatious delays in getting cars, and, likewise, to frequent losses through failure of his loaded cars to arrive promptly at their destination. In the mass of traffic during a busy season they are more likely to be overlooked than those of a large shipper who sends many cars.

The remedy for this is the organization of a large number of producers in order to ship together. Such an organization can get the attention of the railroad management when a small shipper would be ignored. It is likewise possible for a large organization of this kind to keep in telegraphic communication with its agents at various places in order to be informed promptly upon the arrival or failure to arrive of its cars. Another tremendous advantage which such an organization possesses is that of being able to distribute its loaded cars to the various markets in proportion to their capacity to consume the products. A very high degree of efficiency is reached when the cars can be distributed after having been started on their way. It is this that has made possible the shipment of perishable products over very long distances.

It should be the work of the committee on marketing and transportation to act for the whole community in all cases where products are shipped out. Preferably, as stated above, producers should combine their shipments. But if there should not be a large number of producers of a given commodity, and if, therefore, each producer should be compelled to ship in small quantities, he should at least have the support and backing of an organized community. Entirely aside from this is the simple matter of keeping informed as to methods of procedure in dealing with railroad companies. This is the very least which our committee on marketing and transportation ought to undertake. It should negotiate for accommodations, and it should keep itself and the whole neighborhood informed as to rates, accommodations, and the relative economy and efficiency of different methods of shipment.

3. COMMITTEE ON SECURING FARM SUPPLIES.

The importance of knowledge of commercial processes applies as well to the procuring of farm supplies as to the disposing of farm products. When the farmers are sufficiently well organized and sufficiently well informed to be able to dispense with the services of the various dealers who are supplying them, it may not be necessary actually to dispense with those services. The services will, however, have to be rendered at a cost to the farmer no higher than that of cooperative purchasing or manufacturing.

While farmers are perfecting their organization and acquiring knowledge and experience in commercial methods, they are hereby advised to proceed slowly and carefully. Until they have learned by experience it will be easy to underestimate the cost of running a store or other commercial agency and to overestimate the savings which they can effect. To make that mistake is to invite failure. This mistake is encouraged by a false theory and by a misinterpretation of certain experiences. The false theory is to the effect that, since a cooperative society can eliminate profits, it therefore must be able to do business cheaper than a private profit-making agency. While a cooperative society does eliminate that which goes under the name of profit, it does not in any way eliminate the necessity for a business manager. Unless the manager will donate his services charitably, he must be paid a salary. To get a good man requires a good salary. The private storekeeper in a country town usually does his own managing. Thus he saves the salary of a manager. More accurately, he receives his compensation in the form of a profit rather than in the form of a salary; whereas the manager of a cooperative society receives his compensation, if he receives any, in the form of a salary rather than in the form of profit. It may be that the storekeeper's profit is larger than necessary, or larger than would be necessary to pay the salary of a manager, but that is not always the case. It is never to be

assumed without study that something can be saved by a cooperative society merely because it eliminates "profit."

The misinterpretation of experience is found occasionally in the claim to large savings made by a group of people who order a large bill of goods at wholesale rates, go to the station after them, and do their own delivering and storing. It will readily be seen that though they save something on the price of goods thus ordered, they are not getting the same service as is given them by the local store. In the first place, they do their own delivering, which is an expensive part of the work of the local store. In the second place, they do not have to hire a salesman or clerk to do up their packages for them in convenient size, to show them goods from which to select, etc. In the third place, they do not maintain a storehouse for the goods, but each one stores in his own house, thus saving rent. In the fourth place, they do not have to keep a stock of goods on hand for customers; therefore they have no interest charge to carry. If these same people who save a considerable sum on a joint order were to try to run a store jointly they would have a rent charge, an interest charge, a clerk's salary, and a delivery charge to bear. These combined charges would reduce the savings by a considerable percentage, and would sometimes, but not always, wipe out the savings altogether.

There are three methods of purchasing farm supplies cooperatively. The simplest method is that of a joint order, where a group of farmers combine their orders so as to get a given article in large quantities-say, in carload lots. By offering cash or satisfactory security to a manufacturer they can frequently buy directly, thus saving middlemen's and agents' commissions, besides getting exactly what they want, instead of being compelled to take whatever the local dealer happens to have. Wherever possible these orders should be sent through the local dealer; that is, this should be done whenever the dealer is reliable and genuinely willing to act as the agent of the farmers rather than of the wholesaler or manufacturer. As the agent of the farmers he is in honor and in law bound to act in their interest, but when he is the agent of the wholesaler he is equally bound to act in his interest.

One method of procedure long familiar to business men and recently adopted by a number of farmers' organizations is known as the order-form bill of lading. A detailed description of this plan will be furnished on application to the Office of Markets and Rural Organization. The essential features of the plan are: (1) combining the orders of a large number of farmers; (2) requiring each farmer to have on his order a banker's certificate that the money to pay the bill is on deposit, that the bank will pay the bill when a draft for the sum is attached to the bill of lading and the farmer certifies that the goods as ordered are at the depot. This method protects everybody concerned—the farmer, the wholesaler, the local organization, the bank, and the railroads. The farmer pays for what he gets and can inspect the goods before they are paid for. The wholesaler is safe, because the local bank guarantees payment. The local organization receives no money and incurs no obligation and the bank need not certify the order of anyone who does not actually have money on deposit.

The second method is like the one just described, with the addition of a warehouse. This warehouse is owned or rented cooperatively. Goods are ordered as in the first method, but when they arrive they may be unloaded and kept in the warehouse instead of being immediately hauled to the homes of the various farmers who have ordered them. Thus the cooperative group performs two of the functions ordinarily performed by the local store. It orders goods in advance and it stores them until they are needed by the individual farmers. It does not perform the other functions, such as displaying goods, serving customers, and delivering purchases. Sometimes manufacturers may be induced to place goods on exhibition in this kind of a warehouse in order to enable members to order from samples.

The third method is to run a cooperative store, which performs for the members of the organization all the services ordinarily performed by a privately owned store. A group of farmers who have not had commercial experience will generally find it wise to begin with the first and simplest of these methods rather than with the second or third. If they can operate the simplest plan successfully and with profit to themselves, they may then, if they can figure a further profit, undertake the second. The third is only to be undertaken after the most careful consideration on the basis of actual experience. Experience has shown that where a cooperative store is undertaken it should not attempt to cut prices, but should sell at the regular, current prices, such as are charged at the other local stores. If there is a profit at the end of the year, a dividend should be declared on the basis of the amount purchased by each member; that is, if there is a profit of 7 per cent on all the business done, each member should receive a check at the end of the year for 7 per cent of the total amount purchased by him during the year.

One very successful store, however—and there are probably others—runs on the opposite principle of selling at a fixed percentage above cost; that is, it adds 3 per cent to the cost of each article and pays the running expenses of the store out of this small margin. It began, however, by charging an advance of 10 per cent, but has since, by careful management, been able to reduce this to 3 per cent. This is very unusual. Though it is located in a town, it absolutely refuses to sell to any but members, who must be farmers. It discounts all its bills and does its business in a prompt and efficient way.

Just here is the difference between a cooperative society and an ordinary, profit-making, joint-stock company. In the latter profits are divided in proportion to the shares owned instead of in proportion to the amount of business done by the different members. Thus in a store run by a joint-stock company, if A owned 10 shares and B only 1 that is, if A had invested ten times as much as B at the beginning—A would receive ten times as much as B, even though the latter had purchased ten times as much during the year. But in the cooperative store, if B had purchased ten times as much as A, his dividend at the end of the year would be ten times as great as A's, even though A had invested ten times as much. Ordinarily, however, each share of capital will receive a fixed rate of interest.

In order to safeguard this point it is necessary, wherever the laws of the State will permit, to restrict the voting powers of shareholders. A method commonly advocated by students of cooperation is that each member shall have one vote, regardless of the number of shares owned by him, instead of having a vote for every share. The objection to the common method of voting by shares is that the management of the organization is likely to be run in the interest of those who own the shares instead of those who do the most business with the society. If, for example, a certain individual should own a large number of shares, but have very little business with the association, he would naturally be more interested in getting dividends on his shares than in getting goods at a low price. If a majority of the shares were owned by a few men in this situation, they could outvote the others and control the organization in their own interest. The "one-man-one-vote" method prevents this, though there may be other methods which can be used in those States which have not yet passed satisfactory laws relating to cooperative organizations. One such method is to limit the number of shares which can be owned by one individual. In all cases, however, before a cooperative society is formed, the advice of a reliable lawyer, familiar with the laws of the State, should be secured.

But the problem of securing farm supplies is not limited to the subject of purchasing. Of equal importance is the subject of producing things on the farm or in the neighborhood for the supply of the needs of the farmers. Our committee on securing farm supplies should therefore give careful attention to possibilities of this kind, especially in those sections of the country where farmers are in the habit of selling everything they grow and buying everything they use. Where this system prevails the farmer invariably sells at wholesale and buys at retail, thus reversing the ordinary commercial method. Except where the farmers produce high-priced specialties, such as oranges or garden truck, this method will keep them on the verge of bankruptcy. Their only way out of the difficulty is to produce more of the things they use, even though it should to some slight degree reduce the amount they have to sell. The advantage of this is that what the farmer produces for himself he produces for the best possible market, and he should credit this part of his business with the retail prices which he would have to pay if he purchased the articles in question.

These remarks are not to be interpreted, however, as meaning that the farmer should attempt to produce everything he uses. That would be obviously uneconomical. It is only where he finds that he can actually save money by so doing

that this is advisable. This will be found to be the case more often than is commonly supposed. Many articles in common use are sold at retail at prices which have no perceptible relation to the cost of production as distinct from the cost of selling. It is not uncommon to find a great selling organization whose purpose is to control the sale over a large ter-Manufacturing is carried on merely to supply this ritory. great selling organization with the stuff it sells. The cost of manufacturing is frequently only an insignificant fraction of the retail price. One case, perhaps somewhat extreme, is that of a certain class of dyes which can be produced by anyone who knows how at about 1 per cent of the price which he would be compelled to pay at the local store. Without a great selling organization he could not sell his product at all, except perhaps to a few of his neighbors. The company which produces and sells the article bends all its energies to the control of the sales, manufacturing being a mere incident. While a farmers' club could not compete with this company in selling the product on the market without investing large sums in a selling organization, it could easily supply its own members at a small fraction of the price which they now have to pay. There are multitudes of other cases similar to this, though many of them are less extreme.

Here, as in the case of marketing and purchasing, "knowledge is power." If the farmers do not know how they can supply themselves, others can charge them exorbitant prices, especially those companies which make a specialty of selling rather than of producing. While the individual farmer can not be expected to know all these things, a special committee of a farmers' organization should make it its business to study ways of beating the game of the exploiter. One way is to study ways of producing at home articles whose retail prices are so far above the cost of production as to make home production economical.

4. COMMITTEE ON FARM FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING.

Probably no subject connected with agriculture, unless it be that of marketing, has recently attracted so much attention as that of farm credit. Undoubtedly credit conditions are bad in some parts of the country. In spite of the fact that Americans are better supplied with banks than any other country and more in the habit of using them, our banking system has only partly met the needs of farmers. The main reason is, undoubtedly, that farmers need credit, if at all, for longer periods than do business men in the cities, whereas a commercial bank, doing a regular check and deposit business, can not let a large share of its funds out for long periods. The nature of its business requires that it shall keep its funds as liquid as possible; that is, that they shall always be available within a short period. In order to meet more fully the needs of farmers it seems necessary to provide a special class of institutions.

The committee of our local organization which handles this subject should also handle the question of farm accounting and insurance. It should handle the former especially, because the first thing to determine in the problem of farm credit is what farm enterprises are worth financing; that is, what could the farmers do if they could borrow more money which would surely be profitable to themselves. Without this knowledge, the more they borrow the worse off they will be, no matter how low the rate of interest. What enterprises will be sure money-makers for the farmers is not to be determined by guesswork; it is to be determined by careful accountancy and by no other method whatsoever.

The promotion of farm accounting and the study of farm accounts in order to find out what farm enterprises can safely be financed is the first duty of this committee. The next is to find out how these enterprises can be financed on the most favorable terms. The latter is often the easier problem of the two. If our committee can say with certainty to the local banker, "If these farmers can get the money to buy brood sows or dairy cows or fertilizer, it will be very profitable to them, and they will certainly have the money to meet the loan when it is due," the banker, if he is alive to his own interests and those of his community, will certainly consider the matter favorably. If there is nothing definite of this kind which can be said to the banker-that is, if he is merely asked on general principles to lend money to farmers-he can not be blamed for a lack of enthusiasm. He is no friend of the farmer who makes him think that he ought to have abundant credit merely because his land is good security. To extend him credit on that ground alone is a very good way of encouraging him to lose his land.

75922°—увк 1914——8

If, however, there is no local banker sufficiently alive to his opportunities or willing to justify his existence by financing genuinely productive enterprises at a reasonable rate of interest when they are pointed out to him, the committee should consider other ways of securing capital.

This problem is somewhat simpler in a community which is able to finance itself-that is, where there is a sufficient accumulation of capital to supply those who need it-from what it is in a community which has to bring capital in from the outside. In the former case it is only necessary to develop a local institution which can receive the loans or deposits of those who have a surplus and lend them out to those who want to borrow. One of the simplest plans for accomplishing this is the credit union or cooperative credit association. Institutions of this kind have had a remarkable development in European countries, and several States have passed laws permitting their organization in this country. Without going into detail, the essential features of this plan are very simple. A group of farmers organize themselves to receive deposits and make loans, assuming, of course, full responsibility for all deposits intrusted to their care. In Europe each member usually assumes unlimited liability for the obligations of the association. No one receives any pay except the secretary of the association, who keeps the books. It is not necessary to have either an office or a safe, though desk room in some one's office is usually necessary. The "bank," as it is commonly called, is open once a week-say, Saturday afternoon-for receiving deposits and making loans. No safe is necessary, for the reason that the deposits are usually all loaned out and no funds are kept on hand. In case there should be money on hand, the association can deposit it in the nearest commercial bank, just as an individual would do. By this method expenses can be kept down to a minimum. Having such low expenses, the association can pay almost as much on deposits as it charges on loans. For example, if it lends at 6 per cent it can pay 5 per cent, or if it lends at 5 per cent it can pay 4 per cent, and meet its running expenses out of the 1 per cent difference. If borrowers want more at 5 per cent than depositors are willing to deposit at 4 per cent, both rates can be raised to 6 per cent and 5 per cent.

respectively. If at the latter rates the depositors deposit more than borrowers will take, both rates can be lowered, always keeping the difference between the two rates unchanged. Some associations have found it possible to pay expenses on a difference of less than 1 per cent.

Another method similar to the last, but somewhat more highly developed, is the mutual savings bank. Institutions of this kind have become numerous in recent years in the northeastern sections of the United States, but here they are essentially savings institutions rather than credit associations. The reason for this is that there is a large wage and salary receiving population who need opportunities to save. They do not need opportunities to borrow, for the reason that they have no productive purposes to which they could devote borrowed capital. These institutions can, however, be made to serve the needs of borrowers where there is a population who need to borrow for productive purposes. As such they would be mere enlargements of the credit-union idea.

Such an institution would differ from the credit union mainly in the following particulars: (1) It would do a larger business; (2) it would be open regularly as do other banks-every week day; (3) it would have a regular place of business, with safes and other fixtures and paraphernalia pertaining to the banking business; (4) having heavier expenses than the credit unions, it would usually have to charge a higher interest on loans over and above that which is paid on deposits; (5) instead of unlimited liability, the members would assume the same liability as the shareholders of other banks, which, in this country, is usually double the par value of their shares. The mutual savings banks of the northeast, which, as stated above, are savings institutions for townspeople rather than credit institutions for farmers, do not have shares, and there is no financial liability. They are run as quasi-philanthropic institutions by men of large business experience.

In many of our States there is no legislative provision for a strictly mutual savings bank, but in many of them it will be possible to embody the essential principles of mutuality in the regular joint-stock form of organization. A reliable lawyer or banker, or some one who is familiar with the banking laws of the State, should in each case be consulted. The essential principles of mutuality in a credit association are (1) that it should be an association of borrowers rather than of lenders; (2) it should be run in the interests of borrowers primarily; (3) the association as such should make no profit, aiming rather to increase the profits of its members in their regular business—that is, to enable borrowers to borrow at lower rates for productive purposes in order that their profits in these productive enterprises may be larger. A joint-stock savings bank may do all these things if its stock is owned by farmers and others whose chief interest is the promotion of agriculture rather than the securing of high dividends on their stock. The number of shares owned by any one person should be limited if the laws of the State will permit.

For supplying long-time or mortgage credit at low rates. one of the simplest and most familiar methods is that of the building and loan association or savings and loan associa-These organizations were formed originally to enable tion. townspeople to build homes and pay for them on easy terms; but they are easily adaptable to the purpose of enabling farmers to make permanent improvements. In fact, they have already gone extensively into this field, particularly in the State of Ohio. They lend to farmers who can furnish good mortgage security for making improvements on their farms, permitting them to pay back the loans on the amortization plan-that is, in semiannual or annual installments. They secure capital for making these loans by selling bonds to savers and investors. These bonds draw interest lower than that charged on loans, in order that there may be a margin to pay the running expenses of the business. These bonds are sold either for cash or on the installment plan. In the latter case they meet the needs of small investors who can save only small sums at a time.

Like all financial institutions, including even the regular commercial banks, these savings and loan associations should be closely supervised, inspected, and audited by pub': cofficials, either State or National. No such organization ought to be allowed to exist and handle other people's money without such inspection, and farmers ought to be warned against intrusting their affairs to any financial institution unless such inspection is provided for. The history of the commercial banking business in this country, especially before the Civil War, abundantly proves the necessity of such inspection.

In order to attract capital into the community from outside sources, it is necessary that the community should have a good financial reputation; that is, it must be a community that has the reputation of paying its debts promptly. Here it is necessary to call attention to a popular error, namely, that farm land is the best security in the world, and farmers therefore should be able to borrow on better terms than anyone else. There are two difficulties with this argument. In the first place, farm land sometimes has a selling value far in excess of its present productive value. Land which is not now yielding its owner interest, say at 5 per cent, on a valuation of more than \$50 an acre, sometimes sells for \$100 an acre. The reason is that buyers are speculating on the future. They know that at the present time they can not sell the products at such rates as will yield them interest, after all expenses are paid, on \$100 an acre, yet they expect to be able to do so at some time in the future. They are offering a price which is based not upon its present proved productivity, but upon its future expected productivity. People living at a distance do not always have the same confidence in the future value of the land as do those who are buying on this basis. Therefore they can not be blamed for being shy about sending their capital to such a community for investment at these high prices or about lending to others to invest on this kind of security. Thus the supply of loanable capital in such a community is limited.

From the standpoint of demand, however, these very conditions greatly increase the immediate demand for loanable funds. When everyone feels that land is certain to increase in value, everyone who can possibly do so wants to buy a piece of land. If he can borrow the money with which to purchase it, he feels sure that he can eventually sell out for enough to repay the loan and leave him a balance besides. Parenthetically we may say that this is a speculative rather than a productive purpose. It does not promote good farming; it merely promotes a rapid transfer of land titles. At any rate, the increased demand for loans and the limited supply of loanable funds combine to make high interest rates. One advantage which old and thickly settled countries, such as most of the countries of Europe, have is that they have long ago passed out of this stage. There is comparatively little speculation in farm lands; farm values have settled down to a basis of proved productivity; their values are relatively stable and offer excellent security to the lender.

Again, even though land values are stable, a community may easily get a bad financial reputation which will serve to keep outside capital from coming in. A local community where the average borrower will take advantage of legal technicalities, where he will force the lender to resort to legal proceedings, where he will appeal to the sympathy which people instinctively feel for the "poor debtor" and make things generally uncomfortable for the lender, will always suffer from a scarcity of loanable funds, accompanied by high interest rates, no matter how good the land may be. One of the most important duties of our committee on farm finance and accounting, therefore, will be to study to give the neighborhood a good financial reputation.

In order to get capital from the outside on as favorable terms as possible it may be practicable to use both the credit union and the savings and loan association, the one for shorttime credit and the other for long-time or mortgage credit. If there are not enough local deposits in the former to satisfy the productive needs of borrowers, the membership of the union may, on its joint note, negotiate a loan from a distance. The joint liability of all the members of the association increases the responsibility of each and correspondingly increases the security of the lender. With a fund of outside capital to begin with, supplemented by the savings of local depositors, the credit union should be able to meet the needs of its borrowers.

This matter of a joint note or other form of unlimited liability will prove a stumblingblock to some of our farmers. They should remember, however, that where one man indorses another's note, as many banks now require, the indorser assumes unlimited liability for the amount of the debt. Where a number of men go into a scheme which involves unlimited liability they virtually indorse one another's notes, or they in some cases sign a joint note. Here it must be pointed out that cooperative credit is not for everybody. It is only for those who are known to be trustworthy. No one will indorse the note of a man who is not known to be financially responsible. Neither should a group of men admit to a credit association anyone whose note they would not be willing to indorse. Herr Raiffeisen, the founder of the most successful system of cooperative credit in Germany, laid down as one of the fundamental rules for his credit associations that no one should be admitted who was not known to be trustworthy and that any member who was found to be untrustworthy should be expelled. Any other policy would lead directly to failure and ruin.

It is not inconsistent with the highest ideals of democracy that character should be of advantage even in business. If they who possess sound moral character, which includes financial trustworthiness, have some economic advantage over those who do not, in the long run the former will prosper and the latter will fail. The former will more and more buy out the latter, because land will be worth more to the former than to the latter. By this principle of selection the quality of the population will gradually improve and the community gain in every way. Without some such method of capitalizing character, this principle of selection will not always operate.

Again, from the standpoint of the lender who lives at a distance and who must be induced to intrust his money to the community which is trying to finance itself, the fact that a number of men in that community have confidence enough in one another to indorse one another's notes, to sign a joint note, or to go into any credit scheme which involves unlimited liability, will make a favorable impression upon him. On the other hand, if 10 or more men who know one another can not be found who are willing to trust one another to this extent, it may be difficult to convince him (the distant lender who does not know any of them) that he should trust them with his funds.

The savings and loan association, while it must always limit itself to making loans to the local community, where all its members may be known to one another, where land values are well known to its officers and where the laws under which it operates permit, may sell its bonds to distant investors if they can be persuaded to buy.

There are a number of private agencies operating in this field already. These are sometimes classed under the name of debenture companies. Starting with a fund of capital, such a company invests in farm mortgages, buying of some local bank or mortgage broker. When it has a certain sum in the form of farm mortgages, let us say \$100,000, it deposits them with some large financial institution, sav a trust company, for safe-keeping. The trust company certifies that these mortgages are on deposit. Against these mortgages and this certificate as security the debenture company then issues bonds to an equal amount, i. e., \$100,000, paving a lower rate of interest than that received on the mortgages. If the investing public has confidence, it buys these bonds and thus provides funds for the purchase of another hundred thousand dollars worth of mortgages. This operation may be repeated several times. Thus the original fund of capital owned by the debenture company is made the basis of the investment of several times its amount in mortgages.

From the standpoint of the investor, these are the following elements making up the security of his investment: (1) The value of the mortgages themselves; (2) the credit of the local bank or mortgage broker, who is required to guarantee the mortgages; (3) the certificate of the trust company, which does nothing more than to state that the mortgages are on deposit; (4) the credit of the debenture company, which hazards at least its original investment and its general credit besides. Lately certain banks have gone into this kind of business and have added the amortization feature.

Many of the good features of all these institutions may be combined in a special class of farm-land banks which have been proposed for this country. They are modeled in part after the Landschaften which have played such an important part in the agricultural development of Germany. They may be either cooperative or joint-stock associations, according to the character of the legislation under which they are authorized and the preference of those who promote them. In case a cooperative organization is permitted by law and preferred by its members, the essential features of its work should be to secure funds by the sale of bonds, pledging the combined security of the original members for their payment and to lend these funds on the most favorable terms possible to farmers, for productive purposes only, taking mortgages as security. These mortgages may in turn be used as a basis for new issues of bonds, and the new funds thus secured used for making new loans, etc. The interest received on mortgage loans should, of course, be enough higher than that paid on the bonds to enable the association to pay its running expenses out of the difference. The loans to farmers should be paid on the amortization plan.

Probably no form of cooperation has been so successful for so long a time in this country as that which is known as mutual insurance. Farmers' mutual insurance companies are spread over the entire country; but they are especially numerous in the States of New York, Pennsylvania, Michigan, Ohio, Illinois, Wisconsin, Iowa, and Minnesota. They are so familiar as to call for no description here. They furnish insurance at cost, they are cooperative, and they serve as examples of what farmers may gain by working together for their mutual interests.

5. COMMITTEE ON COMMUNICATION AND TRANSPORTATION.

Intercommunication is one of the primary factors of civilization. Every substantial increase in the efficiency of means of communication marks a new epoch of civilization. The railroads, the telegraph, and the telephone have often been cited as examples. It is not necessary, however, that we should confine our attention to these means of increasing long-distance communication. Important as this is, it is probably of less absolute importance than the communication of neighbor with neighbor by means of the spoken word and the commoner modes of locomotion. Yet it is just at this point that the people who live in the country are at a disadvantage as compared with those who live in the city. So far as long-distance communication is concerned, there is no great difference; but in the matter of short-distance communication the townsmen have a great advantage. The fact that country people live so far apart is what creates the difference. There is special need, therefore, that country people should have the best possible means of overcoming distances which separate them from one another-distances measured in miles rather than in hundreds of miles.

As the characteristic evils of urban life grow out of congestion, so do the characteristic evils of rural life grow out of isolation. Except for a few rare souls, isolation means stagnation. The average person needs the stimulating influence of association with his fellows to keep his faculties up to their maximum activity. This aspect of the question is of greater importance even than the question of economical transportation of products, important as that is. Here again, the dweller in the country is at a disadvantage as compared with his urban fellow-citizen. In long-distance transportation the one is served approximately as well as the other. But it costs the average American farmer more to haul his produce from his farm to the nearest shipping point than it does to ship it by rail over a distance of 200 miles.

It is fairly clear, therefore, that any farmers' organization which aims to improve the economic and social well-being of its community must give a good deal of attention to the subject of local communication and transportation, especially to roads and telephones. Few subjects lend themselves better to the purposes of a country school than that of country roads. Each school should study its own roads and should at least consider the expediency of having complete charge of a small piece of roadway. The pupils should study road management and maintenance as a part of their school work. Such an organization as we are now contemplating ought to consider the question of offering a prize to that country school which keeps its piece of road in the best condition.

In this as in all other rural-organization work the keynote should be organized self-help. If as much energy were put forth in local self-help as is put forth in trying to get Government help for various social schemes, there would be much less need for Government help. Road improvement is a case in point.

Probably nothing has done more for country life than the rural telephone. Wherever it has come into general use it has overcome the isolation of farm life as nothing else could have done. But while some sections of the country are well served by this agency, there being a telephone in practically every farmhouse, there are, unfortunately, other sections which have scarcely begun to realize its advantages. The trouble is not so much ignorance of methods of construction, maintenance, and operation—though there is a good deal of ignorance on these points—as inertia and unwillingness to work together, though sometimes the difficulty is increased by a lack of means. Obviously a telephone is of no use to anyone who does not wish to communicate with his neighbors, and unless there is neighborly feeling there will be little desire to communicate. One purpose of this committee must, therefore, be the development of this neighborly feeling. This feeling, however, grows by what it feeds on. Give the neighborhood easy means of neighborly communication and the neighborly spirit will in turn be developed among all normal and right-minded people.

II. SOCIAL INTERESTS.

Up to this point we have been discussing the organization of the business interests of rural communities. All rural improvement must undoubtedly begin on this foundation. But it must be remembered that no building is complete when the foundation is laid. In fact, the foundation is of no use unless something is to be built upon it. We have now to consider what is to be done with the prosperity which will come to a farming community when its business interests are well organized. It is of doubtful utility to grow excellent grain to feed to scrub stock; it is of more than doubtful utility to grow excellent grain, meat, fruits, and vegetables to feed to scrub people. By scrub people are meant they who, whatever their financial condition, have few ambitions or interests beyond the elementary wants of hunger, thirst, sex, and amusement. There will be very little profit in making a community prosperous unless the prosperity is to be used to support life on a somewhat higher plane than this low level of animal existence.

Most of us used to believe that the one thing needful for the improvement of country life was to increase the farmers' income. We are now beginning to discover that this is only half of the problem and by no means the most difficult half. We find, for example, that the wealthy farmer is even more inclined to move to town than is the unprosperous farmer.

In fact, he frequently moves to town because he has prospered in the country, has accumulated a competence, and is now able to retire to the city. It does not seem to have occurred to many of us to ask why he does not retire in the country. Whatever the reason, the undoubted fact is that he has not generally done so, but has retired to the city instead. Those sections of the country where agriculture has been most prosperous, where land is highest and farmers have grown rich in the largest numbers, are the very sections from which farmers have retired to town in the largest numbers. where there is the largest percentage of tenancy. In some of these sections we already find the schools, churches, and other civilizing agencies as badly run down as in the poorest sections, and we may confidently expect that they will all tend in that direction. There is no scourge or plague known to agricultural science which will more certainly destroy rural civilization and enterprise than absentee landlordism.

The only thing, apparently, which will cure this situation is to make the country so attractive that even the prosperous farmer, no matter how rich he may become, will prefer to remain in the country rather than to move to town. He is not likely to remain in the country if the town provides and the country lacks everything he wants and feels that he can afford.

There are five principal reasons and probably several minor reasons why the farmer who can afford to do so would like to move to town. First, town schools are generally better, or at least thought to be better, than country schools. It frequently turns out that the pupils of the country schools show more real training than those of the town schools. It is a question, however, whether they get their training in the country school or in the country home. Still, so long as people think the city school is better, farmers who have children will find in this a reason for moving to town if they are financially able to do so. Until country schools are so improved as to give to every country child as good an educational opportunity as is open to any city child we must expect that the people who appreciate education and who can afford city life will continue to move cityward. In the end this would leave in the country only those who cared little for education and those who, however much they

appreciated education, were not able to afford it; that is, those who were not able to live in town.

A second reason is found, or will be soon, in the better sanitary conditions found in the more progressive cities and towns. The country is still somewhat more healthful than the city, though there are some perverted statistics which aim to show the contrary. But the undoubted fact is that the cities are improving very rapidly in sanitation, and the time is not far distant, unless the country districts arouse themselves, when the cities will be more healthful than the country. Then there will be an additional reason why enlightened people should desire to move from the country to the city. This would be especially regrettable because it is so unneces-With all its natural advantages the country ought sarv. always to be more healthful than the city. If it is not, it merely proves that country people are negligent and have not taken hold of the problem with the same vigor as city people.

A third reason is found in the better opportunities for recreation which the city affords. Here, as in nearly every other respect, the country has a natural advantage. Recreation, however, is almost unthinkable without some kind of group action, and this is the very thing which country people lack and which city people possess. However, it is necessary to admit that certain kinds of recreation, so called, will be hard to supply in the country. The noise, glare, and excitement of city streets at night, which are so attractive to certain types of mind, can not well be supplied in the country. They who prefer this form of recreation, together with the products of the popular drama, where neurosis is so commonly mistaken for mentality, will probably continue to love the city, and they will be no loss to the country. But the opportunities for genuine re-creation through outdoor play and sport are so much better in the country than in the city that there is no excuse for any rural community which loses sound people because of a lack of such opportunities. However, most cities are trying to overcome their natural disadvantages by the establishment of parks, playgrounds, swimming pools, gymnasiums, etc. Unless the rural communities become more active than they have been. young men and women may be driven away by a lack of

recreational opportunities, or attracted to the cities by the superior advantages which they offer.

The desire for beauty, or the things which please the mind through the eye, is one of the first symptoms of a desire to rise above that plane of existence where interests are confined to the primary wants of hunger, thirst, and sex. If there is any one particular in which any rural community ought to excel any city it is in the superior opportunities it should offer for the gratification of this desire. But many cities are making heroic efforts to overcome their natural ugliness, whereas it seems that many rural communities are making almost equal efforts to destroy their natural beauty. Where this is the case there is a fourth reason, and a very strong one, why desirable people should leave the country and go to the city.

A fifth reason, and it is sometimes the strongest of all, is found in the lack of household conveniences in the country. The city home may have gas and electricity, must of necessity have hydrant water and sewage connection, usually has both hot and cold water, a bathtub, and a convenient heating system, besides a number of other conveniences to lighten the burdens of housekeeping. All these things are possible in the country as well as in the city, but they are actually less common. The chief reason is a lack of community action, which is the one advantage, here as elsewhere, of the city over the rural community. This, however, is a matter which lies within the power of any rural community to correct. It is simply a matter of working together.

If these things are not done—that is, if the city should for a long period of time have the advantage over the country in these five particulars—viz, in education, sanitation, recreation, beautification, and household conveniences nothing can keep enlightened people from going to the cities, leaving the country to people who either do not care for these things or who are so inefficient as farmers that they can never accumulate enough to enable them to move to town. That is, instead of our present progressive, enlightened, selfrespecting agricultural population, we shall drain off all the better elements, leaving only a "peasant" population, ignorant, stolid, unprogressive, and inefficient. Even the growing of crops must decline under such a system. For all these

127

reasons, it is quite as important that our local organization shall give attention to the social as to the business interests of rural people. There should be committees on education, sanitation, recreation, beautification, and household economics, and these committees should be regarded as quite as important as those dealing with business questions.

6. COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION.

The key to most of the educational problems of the country is the country school. There is scarcely a single phase of country life in which the country school may not become a vitalizing factor. The boys' and girls' clubs should begin there. The study of farm production, of marketing, of sources of supply, of farm accounts, and of road and telephone construction should be a part of the work of the country school. But this work should be extended over the social interests of the community also. The knowledge of one's environment should include one's economic and social as well as one's physical environment. The first attention of the committee on education should obviously be directed toward the country schools.

There should be a distinct and persistent movement to make the country schools at least as efficient as the city schools. To accomplish this the entire school system of the State must eventually be supported and administered as a unit, as the school system of a city is now. Because one section of a city is less wealthy than another is not considered as a valid reason why the children of the poorer section should have poorer schools than those of the richer section. This policy should be made to apply to the entire State. Because there is less wealth in the country than in the city ought not to be considered as a valid reason why the country children should have poorer schools than the city children. They should all have equal support out of the tax fund of the entire State, and they should all be administered as a unit. If each ward of a city were restricted to the taxes of that ward for school purposes, it would often happen that the most populous wards, where there were the most children needing schools, would have the least money to support their schools, because of the scarcity of taxable property, while the least populous wards, where

children were scarcest, would have the most money for schools, because of the large amounts of taxable property. This would be so obviously wasteful and inefficient that no enlightened city would tolerate it. Yet that is precisely what happens in all of our States. Schools are supported, not in proportion to the need for them, which is the only correct principle, but mainly in proportion to the amount which each community can raise.

In order that the State school system can be administered as a unit there must be at the head of the State system a highly trained expert, not elected, but appointed as is the superintendent of a city school system. He should have ample power and an adequate staff of assistants and inspectors to enable him actually to inspect the schools of every county in the State.

Again, in each county there should be an educator, not elected as are county superintendents now, but appointed as are city superintendents, with ample power and a staff of assistants which will enable him to inspect and control every school in the county.

Until these things can be brought about through State legislation each community can do a great deal toward the improvement of its own schools through concerted action. The study of the broader questions of national economy may well be turned over to the higher institutions of learning, where students are more mature than they who attend the district school. But the questions of local or neighborhood economy, with which the study of economics ought always to begin, may be studied to advantage in every country school. In many States it is already possible to consolidate rural schools wherever the local communities are willing.

But the country school can not possibly do everything in the way of education that is needed. At any rate, there are some things which one can learn better outside of school than inside. The committee should study to utilize other educational resources, such as study clubs, natural-history clubs, circulating libraries, not of cheap fiction, but of solid reading which will be of use to the community. Use should also be made of such educational agencies as the stereopticon and motion-picture outfits, and lecturers from the State colleges.

7. COMMITTEE ON SANITATION.

No committee of our organization has a greater opportunity for usefulness than the committee on sanitation. There is much to be learned by scientific research regarding sanitation, but scientific research should not be the work of this committee. Enough is already known to scientists to vastly improve the health of any rural community which will apply that knowledge. It should be the work of this committee to inform itself and the neighborhood as to what has already been discovered and demonstrated regarding the cause and prevention of the common diseases, such as malaria, typhoid fever, hookworm, tuberculosis, dysentery, etc., and to persuade the community to apply this knowledge. The application of this knowledge may sometimes require hard and persistent work: but when people realize clearly that babies can be killed with fly-infected food as well as with an ax, they ought to be willing to work as hard to exterminate the fly as they would to exterminate a gang of murderers who went about killing babies with axes. The reason they do not act promptly in the case of the fly and the mosquito is that they do not fully realize the danger from them. Our early pioneers acted vigorously to exterminate the wolf and the bear. Organized wolf hunts have been carried on in most of our States west of the Alleghenies within the memory of men now living. Even the rabbit has been the object of well-organized campaigns on the Pacific coast. The reason was that these pests were large enough to be seen, and the damage they did was visible to the eye. Therefore it was easy to realize the danger from them. When we realize with equal clearness the danger from insect and microscopic pests we shall probably act with equal vigor. It is not too much to hope that the time will come when the fly, the mosquito, and the hookworm, together with the germs of tuberculosis, typhoid fever, etc., will be as nearly extinct as wolves, bears, and panthers now are in the older States.

It is to be hoped that there will be soon, in every county, a full-time health officer, chosen because of his special knowledge of sanitary science, provided with ample power to compel obedience to the fundamental laws of sanitation, and $75922^{\circ} - TEE 1914 - 9$ assisted by a corps of trained nurses and assistants who shall inspect every school, church, and public building, examine all school children, require all drains, privies, and wells to be constructed on scientific principles, and all dairy barns to be clean and wholesome, and do anything else which will improve the health and reduce the death rate of the country. Meanwhile each local organization should be active in all these directions without waiting for new legislation. A trained nurse may be supported in every county to do both school and district nursing in the open country. She could also give a limited amount of instruction to mothers' clubs and school children on the questions connected with the health of the people.

8. COMMITTEE ON RECREATION.

The young of all animals play as naturally as they eat and drink. The surest way to make one of them vicious is to suppress the instinct for play or allow it no opportunity to express itself. In human societies, which are controlled by the grown-ups who have forgotten something of their earlier interests, the play of the young is sometimes repressed, and seldom provided with adequate opportunities. This danger is even greater in the country than in the city, for the reason that the children of the farmer usually, and rightly, help with the farm work. But the farmer, who does not himself feel the need of very much play, and sees the pressing need of farm work, is in danger of allowing . himself to exploit his children for his own profit and their injury. The same man who would not overwork a colt, realizing the difference between a colt and a mature horse, will sometimes overwork his own boys and girls. In the case of these young working animals, for as such they are sometimes regarded, it is not so much the strenuosity of their work which is likely to do them injury as the dull monotony of continued toil unrelieved by play or recreation.

Every hard-working person will easily understand how essential a reasonable amount of recreation is to the maintenance of a high state of mental and physical efficiency. He will then appreciate the statement that a rational standard of living must include a reasonable expenditure of time or money on recreation. Just what is a reasonable expenditure for this purpose may not be easy to determine, though there need be no disagreement as to the general principle that too little recreation, which produces dullness of body and mind, is as bad as too much, which is mere dissipation or waste of time, energy, or money. Nor need there be any disagreement as to the principle that the recreation should be made such as to appeal to all members of the community. While economists generally approve a division of labor in industry, there are few who will approve that kind of division of labor in which most of the men work all the time and never play, while a few loafers amuse themselves all the time and never work.

Rural sports are a natural adjunct of rural festivals as a means of maintaining a wholesome and agreeable social life in the country. Owing to a natural excitability and tendency to excess, Americans have found it difficult to develop distinctive rural sports as a permanent and dignified institution of rural life except in a few favored localities. Fox hunting and horse racing tend, in this country, to be spoiled as rural sports by their affectation by urban magnates in the one case and livery-stable toughs in the other. Nothing is finer and more dignified than for a group of neighboring, well-to-do farmers to unite for a day's hunting when the purpose is to rid the country of vermin; but when a group of townsmen, who have learned to ride under a roof in a professional riding school, proceed to the country and advertise their solvency by chasing a timid fox across the farmers' fields, the sight is not calculated to inspire admiration. Nor is there any sport more fitting than for a group of horse-breeding farmers to meet for the purpose of testing their colts in a fair and open competition. It is only by such open competition that successful horse breeding is made possible. But when horse racing degenerates into a mere vaudeville "stunt," or, as is more frequently the case, into a mere opportunity for a group of professional gamblers from the purlieus of the livery stables, who have been initiated into the mysteries of race-track management, to enrich themselves at the expense of the uninitiated, it is not too much to say that it has lost its virtue as the inspirer of a wholesome and agreeable social life in the country.

In view of the well-known excitability of the American temperament and its tendency to excess, it is important that rural sport in this country should be of a character which does not lend itself readily to extreme specialization; otherwise it will tend to drift into the hands of specialists who do the playing while the public looks on. This produces a spectacle rather than a sport. It is also important that there should be considerable variety in the forms of sport in order that as many as possible should be able to participate. Of particular importance, however, is the requirement that these sports should fit into the seasonal character of rural work. City work is so uniform that the time for recreation can be evenly distributed throughout the year. Short hours with regular weekly, biweekly, or monthly half holidays give the city worker ample time for wholesome recreation. But since in every farming country there are rush seasons, when short hours and half holidays would mean a loss of crops, it is obvious that recreation time can not be so evenly diffused. To make up for this it is desirable that during the seasons when work is slack there should be regular periods of recreation and games, which need not be crowded into a single afternoon.

This suggests the need also of regular annual festival occasions suited to each section of the country and its type of agriculture, when there can be a general relaxation from the strenuous toil of the rush In anticipation of such a period of jollity, the grinding seasons. fatigue of the busy season is borne with more patience, particularly by the young people, and the work is done more vigorously because Again, there is the possibility of uniting social more cheerfully. pleasures with rural work to a somewhat greater degree than is now done. If the spirit which showed itself among our ancestors in the barn raisings, log rollings, and similar occasions could be restored. it is possible that the present generation could get a great deal of social pleasure out of the thrashing season and other occasions of a similar character. This would seem to be the natural time for the harvesthome celebration, which has been so important an event in old rural civilizations. In former days, however, as the writer can testify, thrashing was such prodigiously hard work, and a great deal of it was so dusty and disagreeable, as to stifle any spirit of jollification which might otherwise have arisen. But with the more powerful engines and more highly improved machinery of the present, the hardest and most disagreeable part of the work of thrashing has been eliminated. Under such conditions it is at least a theoretical possibility that the thrashing season in any neighborhood might be made a festival occasion, to be participated in by women as well as by men-by priest, parson, and schoolma'am as well as by the farmers themselves. This, however, is only by way of suggestion.¹

The highest form of social amusement is choral singing. This is peculiarly adapted to the needs of rural communities. In the first place, the equipment costs nothing. The human voice is not only the finest musical instrument known, but it is supplied free of charge to every human being. Training and practice under a competent instructor will, however, cost something. The money cost is usually less than the cost in time and practice. Again, as a matter of actual observation, it is found that group singing is a common practice in every country or community which has a wholesome rural life. Germany, Wales, and Denmark may be cited as conspicuous examples. Especially in the lastnamed country, which has so much to teach the rest of the world in the way of rural organization, group singing is one

¹ Quoted from the author's Principles of Rural Economics.

of the prominent features of the national life. Not only is every class in every school, from the primary school to the university, opened with a hymn, but practically every business meeting of every farmers' organization as well. When one hears a group of hard-headed, wide-awake Danish farmers, who have met together as directors of a cooperative bacon factory, join in a hymn at the opening of their meeting, one begins to understand why cooperation is so successful in Denmark. Back of business cooperation there is the cooperative spirit. This spirit is the result of years of education along cooperative lines.

In the city, where land is scarce, there may be some excuse for a lack of playgrounds. But in view of the fact that land is the one form of property which is abundant in the country, it would seem that a suitable playground could always be possible. It should, however, always be under the control of the civil authorities or a local organization, in order that it may not be monopolized by a few to the exclusion of the many, and in order that unsuitable language and conduct may be eliminated.

Aside from the recreational feature of rural sports, they have great value as socializing agencies. After people have learned to play together it is easier to learn to work together. Consistent working together will do more to increase the prosperity of the average rural community than any other single factor.

9. COMMITTEE ON BEAUTIFICATION.

The committee on the beautification of the countryside will probably have the hardest work of any. Many farmers so pride themselves on their hardheadedness that their heads are in danger of becoming not only hard but impenetrable, and their hearts as well. A house tends to become for them merely a thing to furnish shelter and protection, not to please the eye; paint becomes a means of preserving wood, fences become means of restraining live stock, land a means of growing salable crops, church and school lots exist for the purpose of supporting buildings, and flowers are merely incidents to the production of fruits and seeds. Few, however, are so far gone as to regard a cemetery merely as a place to bury corpses. This most serious reminder of the realities of our earthly existence stirs in even the hardest natures the rudiments at least of a somber idealism and leads them to expend some effort at beautification. Nor are there many who are so far gone as not to prefer a handsome, well-groomed team even when an ugly, ungroomed team might do as much work. Every good workman prefers tools with a fine finish, even though they do not do any more work than those without finish or polish. In short, part of the joy of living consists in the satisfaction which we get directly out of our tools—the things with which we work as well as that which we get out of the products of our work. One can well afford to sacrifice a dollar of money income if by so doing one can add two dollars' worth of satisfaction to one's work.

These remarks apply as well to fences, bridges, and outbuildings as to the dwelling house, and they apply particularly to the general appearance of the farm itself. The man in the city does not usually live with his business—that is, he does not live in or near his factory, his store, or his shop. If he has any surplus income he will generally spend some of it in the adornment of his home surroundings, but he too frequently allows his place of business to remain unattractive and even repulsive, unless he finds that it attracts customers better by being made attractive. If he were compelled to live with his business, that is, in or adjacent to his factory, store, shop, or mine, he would find it impossible to get the maximum satisfaction out of life if these places of business were allowed, as they usually are, to remain ugly.

Just here is one of the largest differences between city and country life. Whereas in the city business and life are divorced, the place of business and the home are separated, and the source of income is considered apart from the place where the income is spent, quite the opposite is true in the country. There the farm is both place of business and home, both source of income and the place where it is spent. It would therefore be ridiculous to adorn the inside of the home and leave its surroundings ugly and repulsive. It would be an interesting digression to speculate as to what would happen in our cities if all city business men were compelled to live with their business. It would certainly make slums impossible and lead to a vast improvement of the factory districts-the elimination of smoke, noise, and other However, that is a city and not a country problem. nuisances.

In advocating a campaign for the beautification of the countryside it is not necessary to go so far as to sacrifice in any degree the productive efficiency of the farms. It is not proposed that farms shall be turned into parks. When tools are given a fine finish or polish they are not turned into toys; their working efficiency is not, or should not be, reduced in the slightest degree. Similarly, when the farm and its buildings, fences, hedges, etc., are made pleasing to the eve its productivity need not be at all diminished. Nor is it necessary that large sums of money should be spent on the beautification of the neighborhood or the individual farm. Our committee on beautification will have enough work to do in the average community if it merely develops in all the people an intelligent interest in the improvement of the general appearance of the neighborhood; first, through the improvement of school and church grounds, cemeteries, roadsides, bridges, and other public property; second, through the improvement of farm buildings by a better regard for proportion and a more intelligent use of paint, the artistic selection and location of trees and shrubs, and the care of lawns and gardens on individual farms.

10. Committee on Household Economics.

As previously suggested, one of the most powerful forces driving people from the country to the city is the lack of household conveniences in the country homes. The committee which can find ways of securing most of these conveniences will do a great deal toward making country life attractive and checking the movement from country to city. Every farmer learns to be handy with tools. With very little instruction he can put into his house many of the little things which reduce the drudgery of housework in city homes. Our committee should aim to furnish as much of this instruction as possible. Even if nothing more is done, it would be of value to collect drawings, illustrations, plans and specifications, price lists, and catalogues of all sorts of household improvements. Another possibility of usefulness for such a committee is the promotion of cooperative laundries, bakeries, ice houses, or ice factories.

But it must be remembered that these labor-saving improvements seldom reduce the amount of work. They merely

enable people to accomplish more with the same effort. Many labor-saving processes have been introduced into the farm home since the days of our grandmothers, and many kinds of work which our grandmothers did have been removed from the farmhouse to the factory. Yet it is doubtful if the ambitious farm woman of to-day works any less hard than did her grandmother; she merely does more things and supplies her family with comforts and luxuries which her grandmother never dreamed of having. And it may be confidently predicted that even if a hundred new laborsaving devices are introduced into the farm homes during the present generation, unless something else is done the women of the next generation will work just as hard as those of the present. They will merely accomplish more.

This increase in the results accomplished by work is altogether desirable as long as the results are desirable in themselves. But this is not always the case. Many of the things which we buy with our money, or get with our work, are not desirable for their own sakes; they are desired merely because others have them and we want to keep up with our neighbors. We are suffering infinitely more from competitive consumption than from competitive production.

One of the most destructive forms of competitive consumption is the effort which country people make to act and dress like city people. This desire to imitate city people indicates a feeling of inferiority on the part of country people. It will never be cured until country people organize themselves and develop a feeling of solidarity and a pride in being country people. When a class of people feel themselves to be the equals or superiors of another class they never try to imitate that other class.

Then there is the rivalry among members of the same class or community to outshine one another in matters of consumption, display, or ostentation. "Conspicuous waste" becomes a recognized method of advertising respectability. Where this spirit prevails, no matter how much money we have, we can never have enough to live in mental comfort, but will strive with might and main for more. Similarly, no matter how many labor-saving devices there may be in the farm home, the women can never do as much as they would like to do, but will continue to wear themselves out trying to do more. One of the largest results, therefore, which should come from an effective rural organization should be such a standardization of consumption as to stop this form of strenuous competition. When country people stop trying to imitate city people, when they have the strength to set their own standards of consumption, and when they agree to do the things they really want to do and have the things they really want, then the introduction of labor-saving devices will really lighten work.

Earlier in this discussion attention has been directed to the work which the country school may do in the organization of a rural community. Attention should also be directed to the opportunities of the country church. To those who object that the church should not concern itself with temporal matters it is only necessary to reply that it must do so or perish. It is not for us to state what the church ought to do. That is for its own leaders to determine. As a mere question of cause and effect, setting aside for the moment all questions of moral obligation, the following considerations are presented to those leaders:

It is a law of rural economics that the best land of any community tends, in the long run, to pass into the hands of the best farmers. The reason is that they can afford to pay more for the land, either in the form of a purchase price or in the form of rent, than poorer farmers. The farmer who can make 100 bushels of corn grow where others can make only 50 can pay more for the land. In the long run he and others like him will outbid the poorer farmers and gradually possess the land. Now, if religious people turn out to be better farmers than irreligious people, then religious people will eventually come into possession of the land. But if, on the other hand, irreligious people should turn out to be the better farmers, then irreligious people will eventually possess the land and the country churches will die a natural death.

Again, it is the experience of organizers of rural interests in every country that the great obstacle is the lack of a neighborhood spirit and mutual good will. Wherever this spirit exists organization is easy. Wherever it is lacking and mutual suspicion and antipathy exist in its stead, there organization is difficult. In this connection one may be justified in asking: If the church does not promote neighborly feeling and mutual good will, what does it exist for?
138 Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

One of the impressive things about the rural organizations of such countries as Ireland, Belgium, Holland, Italy, Germany, and Denmark is the active part which the local priest or parson has played. It is quite the common thing to find that the priest or parson is the president of the cooperative society, while the schoolmaster is its secretary and business manager. This, however, is partly due to the fact that these two men are frequently the only educated men and generally the best educated men in the community. Such is seldom the case in this country. In any prosperous farming community it will frequently, if not generally, be found that there are farmers who are better educated than the priest, parson, or school-teacher, besides having much more practical business experience. Where this is true it is better, of course, to leave the practical administration of affairs to these farmers. Nevertheless, the inspirational work of the church might well be directed toward the creation of such a neighborly spirit and mutual good will as would enable the whole community to work together easily and amicably. And in those communities where the country preacher is the best educated man and where he has or can obtain information as to methods of organization, there can be no objection to his assuming leadership in the organization of the community.

In closing it can not be emphasized too much that patriotism, like charity, begins at home—that is, in the neighborhood. Neighborhood loyalty, willingness to sacrifice if need be, for the good of the neighborhood, is just as important as national loyalty and willingness to sacrifice in the interest of the nation. No nation can be strong, prosperous, or progressive which does not command the loyalty and support of its citizens. Neither can a neighborhood. It is as true of a neighborhood as of a nation that "a house divided against itself shall not stand."

SUGGESTED READINGS FOR THE VARIOUS COMMITTEES.

Each committee is strongly advised to correspond with its own State college and with the United States Department of Agriculture, asking for specific information and for suggestions for further reading. A list of publications which may be helpful will be furnished upon application to the Office of Markets and Rural Organization.

CLEAN WATER AND HOW TO GET IT ON THE FARM.

By ROBERT W. TRULLINGER,

Specialist in Rural Engineering, Office of Experiment Stations.

THE improvement of farm water supplies, a matter long neglected by American farmers, is now in some degree attracting the consideration it merits. It is becoming widely recognized that in many cases the farm water supplies are perhaps dangerously polluted. In addition, those who are so unfortunately situated as to be required to carry water from the well to the house are becoming extremely weary of this drudgery. Every farm must have a water supply, and it is safe to say that a plentiful supply of clean water, made available where most used by the mere turning of a faucet, or at the worst by pumping without carrying, is one of the main factors in making modern farm home life desirable.

Securing clean water in the farm house is a somewhat different problem from that of providing a city or town supply. In the latter case the purity and availability of the water supply is taken care of by engineering and publichealth officials; in the former a personal understanding of the dangers which lie in a polluted water supply is necessary, and a great deal of resourcefulness is often required to secure an unpolluted supply and to prevent the drudgery of carrying water.

THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN CLEAN WATER AND MERELY CLEAR WATER.

Perhaps the most important consideration in connection with the farm water supply is to get clean water. In the past clean water has usually meant clear water. But it is now known that water to be clean must not only be clear, but it must be pure. Water may be vilely polluted and at the same time be beautifully clear and sparkling. It may be clear and yet contain the invisible and deadly germs of typhoid fever or other intestinal disorders. It

139

may also contain considerable poisonous matter in solution. A polluted water supply is evidence of the existence of bad sanitary conditions which it is of the utmost importance to remedy.

The main sources of water for farm use are streams, springs, cisterns, and wells. Perhaps the majority of supplies are derived from wells and cisterns, although springs are often used. In rarer instances, where other supplies are difficult to obtain, stream water is used.

STREAM WATER UNSAFE TO USE.

With the growth of population and development of industries there is progressive pollution of streams, so that in the more thickly settled regions streams not already contaminated or subject to pollution are very rare. Surfacewater supplies from small streams should, therefore, never be used for household purposes unless no other supply is available. In the event that it must be used such water should be clear and should be thoroughly boiled. Other processes of purification, such as filtering, treating with chemicals, or distilling, are also sometimes used, but are generally impracticable from the farm standpoint. Under ordinary conditions surface water of any kind should be looked upon with considerable suspicion.

THE FARM WELL.

The well is the most commonly used source of farm water supply. It may be a shallow dug or driven well or a deep dug or bored well. It may be said, however, that the majority of shallow dug wells on farms where contamination is present are contaminated. This has been abundantly proved by investigations made by this department and by other Federal and State institutions. The State of Illinois has made rather extended surveys of its farm water supplies, and the report of these surveys shows that out of a large number of typical shallow wells examined threefourths were dangerously polluted. The boards of health of Indiana, Minnesota, Missouri, North Carolina, Virginia, and other States have published official statements no less startling. In a large number of cases it is stated that pollution might have been prevented by proper precautionary measures.

Contaminated water is, however, by no means confined to shallow wells. Contaminated surface water often gains access to deep wells at the top in the same manner that it gains access to shallow wells. Poorly protected shallow wells are sometimes polluted through the soil, although this does not occur as often as is commonly thought. Deep wells, if not cased, may be likewise polluted through the soil or through rock fissures, and if cased, surface water may follow the casing to the bottom and thus enter the well. However, deep wells are as a rule less likely to be polluted than are shallow wells.

A more vivid impression of common causes of unclean farm wells can perhaps be gained from the accompanying illustrations. These represent existing conditions, most of which were photographed by the writer.

Plate VII, figure 1, shows the back yard of a local health officer in a farming community. The rather small area shown comprised a hogpen, chicken yard, and cow lot, and contained a barn, manure pile, open privy, chicken house, and shallow dug well. The pump is of the old wooden type and is located at the foot of the stairs to the back porch. Waste water and slops are dumped into a small ditch presumably intended to drain away from the house and well, but which as a matter of fact fails to drain at all.

The open well shown in Plate VII, figure 2, is located much lower than, and within 25 feet of, the barn and chicken yard. The well in Plate VII, figure 3, contained water dogs, and in fact any small animal could crawl under the loose curbing and fall into the well. Plate VII, figure 4, represents a back yard as photographed by the Indiana State Board of Health. An examination of the water from the well showed it to be dangerously polluted. Plate VII, figure 5, shows an open well with old-fashioned wooden curb, pulley, and buckets which is subject to surface wash from several sources of pollution. The well is a shallow well about 15 feet deep, loosely lined with stones. An inspection of the inside revealed moss and slime hanging down into the water, probably resulting from surface wash. The soil in which a well is sunk may more or less affect the extent to which it is polluted. Often a shallow well in a tough clay or hardpan soil which extends to the waterbearing stratum is fairly safe from pollution if protected at the surface. Deep wells in very sandy soils, if protected at the top, are not often polluted. Perhaps the most dangerous wells are those in a limestone region. The limestone often contains open underground passages or channels. These channels frequently lead to open fissures or sinks at the surface, into which filth, sewage, garbage, and other contaminating matter is dumped. Rain water can carry these impurities directly to wells through the channels.

HOW TO KEEP THE WELL WATER CLEAN.

PRELIMINARY MEASURES.

Obviously the logical first step in securing a clean wellwater supply is to remove all the sources of possible contamination. Among the worst of these are the open privy vault. the leaching cesspool, and barnyard filth. A well in ordinary pervious soil located lower than, and within 100 feet of, any of these is almost certain to be polluted. Even though the well is located on higher ground than these sources of contamination, heavy pumping or dry weather may so lower the ground-water level that it will reach the zone of contamination and thus pollute the well. It is evident, therefore, that the open privy vault and leaching cesspool should be discarded and a sewage purification system, or at least a sanitary privy, be used instead. Sewage, garbage, manure, or other waste should never be dumped into sinks or fissures. and most certainly never into old abandoned wells. An old well used for this purpose is very likely to communicate directly with the water-bearing stratum from which other wells in the immediate vicinity draw their supply. Slops or waste water should never be thrown out of the back door or window onto the ground. If the pigs and chickens must run at large they should at least be kept away from the well. A box built around the pump and filled with manure in winter is an extremely unsafe way to prevent the pump from freezing.

Concrete manure pits, impervious floors, and water-tight drains are desirable features for farm buildings. If these are beyond the farmer's purse the manure pile should at least be placed a safe distance away from the well.

The well itself should be located as high as possible with respect to buildings, stock pens, and chicken yards, and as far away from all sources of contamination as convenience and local surroundings will permit.

FINAL MEASURES.

The final safeguards to a well-water supply are to give the well an impervious lining of tile, cemented brick, iron casing, or concrete, and to provide a water-tight curb, not only to keep out surface wash, animals, and vermin, but to prevent the pump drip and dirt from shoes and buckets from entering the well. It is well here to suggest that those who use the well should attempt to remove the most of the dirt from their shoes before stepping onto the well curb.

Plate VIII, figure 1, shows a well-protected dug well. It is located on high ground and has an impervious lining of 30inch vitrified tile with tightly cemented joints. The top tile extends a foot above the ground and is capped with concrete. The barns, pens, etc., are located at a safe distance and on lower ground, the farmer preferring to pipe or carry the water to these places.

Concrete makes a good lining for a dug well, owing to the fact that if a mixture of mushy consistency is used an almost water-tight bond can be effected between the soil and the concrete, thus preventing in a measure the entrance of surface water to the well by this route. A concrete well curb, as shown in Plate VIII, figure 2, can always be used with advantage. Concrete drains to carry away the pump drip and surface wash, as shown in Plate VIII, figure 4, are desirable. Note the clean-looking surroundings of this well.

Deep wells are usually lined with smaller tile or with iron casing. Small tile casings, however, where the joints are not cemented, allow contaminated surface and soil water to enter the well. The iron casing is more frequently used in deep bored or punched wells of smaller diameter, being usually driven into place. With such a casing the well can be polluted only at the bottom. Ordinarily for shallow water supplies a driven well is safest and the most satisfactory, particularly if the soil is sandy. It consists mainly of a point and screen attached to a pipe which is driven until the water-bearing stratum is encountered. The screen on the point prevents coarse matter from being pumped up.

From what has been said regarding wells it may be concluded that the watchword should be "Keep the surroundings clean and protect the well from surface wash and soil drainage." For further safety it is a good idea to have the water tested occasionally for signs of pollution.

HOW SPRINGS ARE POLLUTED AND HOW TO KEEP THEM CLEAN.

The farmer who has a good spring which can be piped to the house is fortunate indeed. Springs are, however, subject to contamination from the same sources as wells, although more often contaminated by surface wash and because animals have access to them.

The water from springs which are open and unprotected from surface wash and from stock is often used for drinking purposes. Plate IX, figure 1, shows a spring the water from which is commonly used for drinking, especially by picnickers and wayfarers. This spring, as can be seen, is located directly under a very popular roadway, and although walled in, has inadequate protection from the filth which during rains will wash from the roadway. Plate VIII, figure 3, is a historic farm spring which is carefully walled in but forms an excellent catch basin for the surface wash from the surrounding hogpens, chicken yards, barns, etc., located on higher ground.

The proper location for a spring is the same as for a well. If it occurs in a good location it should first be fenced off from stock and then walled in with tile or concrete to form a reservoir, which should be well covered.

Plate IX, figure 4, is an example of a well-protected small spring which is located just above the foot of a hill. A 36-inch vitrified tile was placed around the spring so as to form a reservoir, and it was then covered as is shown. Owing to the location and manner of protection there is little chance for this spring to become polluted from surface wash. Small Yearbook U. S. Dept. of Agriculture, 1914.

PLATE VII.



SOME TYPES OF WELL SURROUNDINGS.

Fig. 1.—The back yard of a local health officer's residence. Fig. 2.—A well which the surface wash from the barn during rains will pollute. Fig. 3.—A loose curbing which permits small animals and vermin to fall into the well. Fig. 4.—Well in which the water was badly polluted. Fig. 5.—An old-fashioned open well subject to surface wash.

PLATE VIII.



SOME TYPES OF WELL AND SPRING SURROUNDINGS.

Fig. 1.—A good protection for a dug well. Fig. 2.—Curb good and tight, with pump frame tightly fastened to it. Fig. 3.—Catch-basin type of spring, which one should usually avoid, regardless of its history or popularity. Fig. 4.—A nicely kept well with concrete drains and clean surroundings.

PLATE IX.



SOME TYPES OF WELL AND SPRING SURROUNDINGS.

Fig. 1.—Spring inadequately protected from surface wash from the road; should be looked on with suspicion. Fig. 2.—An excellent outside elevated tank system. Fig. 3.—Small gas engine directly connected to the pump. Fig. 4.—Spring well protected, and can be tightly covered. springs can frequently be protected in this way, and if so treated are often the best of water supplies.

Springs, especially those occurring in limestone regions, should be kept under close observation and should be particularly noticed after rains for any signs of turbidity, which may indicate pollution from near or distant surface sources. Frequent examinations for pollution may prevent trouble, and if there is any doubt whatever about the purity of the spring, the water should be boiled carefully before drinking.

RAIN WATER AND CISTERNS.

In many cases rain water is used for laundry purposes and sometimes for drinking and cooking. It is often the only available source of soft water. If rain water is to be used, a cistern for storage purposes and usually a filter for partially purifying the water are necessary.

Roofs, particularly shingled roofs, collect much dust and dirt from the roads, and gutters and eave troughs are often filled with leaves, dirt, and bird droppings. It is well to keep the gutters clean, even though the rain water is not used, but if it is used the importance of clean gutters is vastly increased. However careful one may be, the roof is certain to be dirty when dry. It is therefore extremely important . that a switch and by-pass be provided on the rain-water pipe, so that at the beginning of rains the filth from the roof may be washed to the outside before any rain water is admitted to the cistern.

The necessary size of the cistern will depend on the amount of water used daily by the family, the annual rainfall in the locality, and the size of the contributing roof area. If the rainfall is well distributed throughout the year, the capacity of the cistern may be only sufficient for one or two weeks' supply. In localities where long intervals often occur between periods of rainfall, and where much dependence is placed on the rain water, it is advisable to provide a cistern of sufficient capacity to hold half or three-fourths of the rain which falls annually on the average roof area. The amount available in gallons may be computed approximately by multiplying the roof area in square inches by the rainfall in inches and dividing the product by 231. To take greater

75922°--увк 1914----10

advantage of rains, the contributing roof area may be extended by means of proper piping to include roofs of other buildings besides the house.

The cistern may be built of concrete or cemented brick, but in any event if placed underground it should be watertight, not only to prevent the loss of the stored water, but to prevent the entrance of ground water. If the cistern is constructed of concrete and the surrounding soil is loose and exerts a decided pressure on the walls, the latter should be reinforced close to the inside surface. A mixture of 1 part · cement, 2 parts sand, and 4 parts gravel or broken stone may be used in cistern construction. The concrete mixture may be made more waterproof by adding 10 per cent of petroleum residuum oil based on the weight of the cement, or by replacing about 15 per cent of the cement with hydrated lime. Whatever the type of construction, one or two coatings of a strong cement grout, preferably containing about 3 per cent oil, will aid in waterproofing the walls. An overflow pipe, well screened, should be provided in the side, and the cover should be water-tight.

The filtering arrangement may either be in a separate chamber or inside the cistern.

In the first case, a filter bed of sand and gravel is placed in a brick or concrete tank or in a good barrel located preferably close to the cistern. The rain water should be made to spread over the surface of the filter and come in contact with all parts of it, passing completely through before entering the cistern. Figure 3 shows a common type of filter connected with an underground concrete cistern. Such a filter should, in a large measure, purify rain water which passes through it. The filtering material should be renewed at intervals and the collected sediment cleaned out frequently. The cistern shown has a capacity of about 3,800 gallons.

In the second case, the filter usually consists of two walls of brick, 8 to 10 inches apart, the intervening space being filled with coarse sand, fine gravel, or both. Only the vertical joints between the bricks are cemented. A number of loose bricks are placed at several points at the base to permit the removal of the sand or gravel when it becomes clogged. The filter wall should be built in an arch shape to give it strength. The raw-water compartment should be made much larger than the filtered-water compartment to obtain the benefit of sedimentation before filtration.

Sometimes the filter wall in a cistern consists merely of a wall of porous brick with vertical cemented joints. This type of filter is apt to become clogged and ineffective in time, as far as purification is concerned.

In some localities it is necessary, owing to the height of ground-water level, to build the cistern above ground. In



FIG. 3.-A common type of cistern and filter.

such cases the cistern should be well protected to prevent the entrance of filth and the breeding of mosquitoes.

The method of having the filter separate from the cistern, although usually the more expensive, is perhaps the more efficient. There are other simpler and perhaps less expensive cistern arrangements which serve the purpose. The main idea is, however, to purify the water as much as possible before it is used, and to provide effective storage.

HOW TO GET RUNNING WATER IN THE HOUSE.

From the standpoint of convenience, comfort, and refinement, the most important consideration in connection with the farm water-supply problem is to have the water under. pressure in an elevated tank or in a hydropneumatic tank and available at the turning of a faucet, or at least available by merely pumping.

The method of hoisting water from the well or spring, pouring it into buckets, and carrying it up porch steps and through doors into the kitchen and to other parts of the house is tiresome and wasteful of energy, and is cruelly and in most cases inexcusably primitive, especially as the task of obtaining the water generally falls in such cases upon the women of the household.

It is desirable, therefore, that running water be available at least in the kitchen, and in a bathroom if possible.

THE SIMPLEST WAY.

Almost any system of obtaining running water in the kitchen is better than none at all. If the well or cistern is located within a short distance of the house, about the simplest and perhaps the cheapest method is to place a pitcher or other pump over a sink in the kitchen. The suction pipe of the pump may be extended to the well and water be obtained when desired merely by pumping; that is, provided the distance to water in the well is not too great. Under ordinary circumstances a pump will lift water only to a height of about 20 feet. One should be careful, therefore, not to place the pump in such a position that the suction lift will exceed 20 feet, for in that event the pump will not operate satisfactorily and likely not at all. It should also be remembered that water flowing through a pipe meets with considerable resistance due to friction, which increases as the velocity of the water and the length of the pipe increase and as the diameter of the pipe decreases. Elbows and bends in the pipe also increase the friction. Pump manufacturers give information in regard to this frictional loss which should always be considered in arranging a pumping system in the kitchen or elsewhere. The allowable distance from the well to the pump for this arrangement will vary with local conditions. The writer has seen cases in which this distance was as high as 150 to 200 feet.

When the housewife is unusually busy in the kitchen it is a waste of time and energy, and perhaps a strain on patience, to stop to pump water. Also the most water is usually needed when she is the busiest. For this reason a water supply under pressure is a great convenience, as it makes it possible to obtain the needed water merely by turning a faucet.

The simplest, and usually the cheapest, method of securing a water supply under pressure is to have an elevated supply tank located at some point 8 or 10 feet higher than the highest faucet.

THE ELEVATED-TANK SYSTEM.

An elevated water-supply tank may be placed in the attic, on the roof, on the windmill tower, on a special tower, or on the silo. It must be high enough to give the desired pressure at points where the water is used. The tank may be of wood or galvanized metal. Its size will depend on the amount of water used daily in the house. A 250 to 500 gallon tank is sufficient for the average family, although some have a much larger tank, so that a supply sufficient to last several days may be maintained. A larger tank is also necessary where water is supplied to the house and barns.

The simplest system of this kind is one with the tank in the attic or on the roof supplying water to the kitchen only. When the expense can be afforded a hot-water tank may be placed in the kitchen and the water plumbing be extended to a bathroom.

The pump for this system must be a force pump, which not only raises water to its own level by suction but forces it to greater heights, according to the power applied. The pump may be placed over the well or in any other convenient spot as long as the suction lift does not exceed 20 feet. A threeway valve on such a pump permits the operator to direct the water to the tank or through the pump spout, as desired. The pump may be operated by hand, but where much water is to be pumped to a considerable height a windmill, a small gas engine, or an electric motor will save much time and exertion.

Plate IX, figure 2, shows an excellent outside elevatedtank system supplied by a steel windmill. This tank is of about 2,500 gallons capacity and supplies water to the house and barns. It is often possible to supply such a tank with a small gas-engine pumping plant, which may be situated in a shed constructed around the foot of the tower.

The great objection to an elevated-tank system is that in the colder climates there is danger of the water in the tank freezing. This is particularly objectionable when the tank is located in the attic, where considerable damage may be caused if it should burst. It is also necessary to provide an especially strong support for the tank. Another objection is that if located in the attic the tank is likely to catch considerable filth. It should, in such cases, be easily accessible for more or less frequent cleaning. It is well also to cover the tank to prevent, as far as possible, the entrance of dirt and vermin, and when placed on a tower outside it should be covered to prevent the breeding of mosquitoes.

The great advantages of this system are its cheapness and simplicity. All that is needed are a force pump, a storage tank, a pipe from the pump to the tank, a pipe from the tank to the point at which water is used, and accompanying fixtures. The tank should have an overflow pipe, particularly if located in the attic.

A number of such systems are in successful use. If well constructed and maintained, they afford a satisfactory, convenient, and comparatively cheap farm water supply. Although more generally successful in the warmer climates, such systems may with proper protection and attention be often used with success in colder climates.

An improvement over the elevated-tank system is the hydropneumatic system, which does away with the dangers of freezing and filth accumulation.

THE HYDROPNEUMATIC SYSTEM.

In the hydropneumatic system a water and air tight tank is placed in the basement or almost anywhere in the immediate vicinity of the house where there is no danger from freezing. This tank is usually connected by a $1\frac{1}{4}$ -inch pipe to the three-way valve of a force pump for the well or cistern. The pump preferably is so equipped as to pump a little air at each stroke in addition to the water. At the start of pumping the tank is full of air, but as pumping continues this air is gradually compressed by the entering water until the required pressure, usually 25 to 40 pounds, is indicated on a pressure gauge.

One pound of pressure will force the water approximately 2 feet high in the house, so that for the ordinary house a pressure greater than 40 pounds is not necessary.

For the average family a tank at least 30 inches in diameter and 6 feet long, with a capacity of 220 gallons, is required. At 40 pounds' pressure this tank will be nearly three-fourths full of water and will deliver about 130 gallons to the second story and a greater proportion of the total capacity to the kitchen. Tanks of larger or smaller capacity may be secured if desired.

Figure 4 shows the main features in the installation of such a system with the tank in the house basement. The hot-water pipes are shown in black. The pump may be over the well as shown, or in the cellar next to the tank if the well is not too deep nor too far from the house.

The equipment necessary for an installation of this kind consists of a steel tank of the desired size, with pressure gauge and gauge glass, an air and water force pump, pipes, and connections, a 30 to 40 gallon hot-water tank, and the desired fixtures. A system of this kind, if well installed, affords a satisfactory and convenient water supply available at the turning of a faucet. It is perhaps more expensive than the elevated-tank system, but also does not have many of the objectionable features connected with that system. Water may be pumped for this system by hand, but, as in the elevated-tank system, where the desired pressure is above 20 to 25 pounds, a windmill, small gas engine, or small electric motor is necessary.

POWER FOR PUMPING.

A gas engine rated at from $\frac{1}{2}$ to $1\frac{1}{4}$ horsepower should be of sufficient power for ordinary farm pumping. It may be connected with the pump directly or by a belt.

In the first case, the engine is usually used for pumping only and may be arranged about as shown in Plate IX, figure 3. It is usually desirable in such a case to provide a shelter for the engine, at least.

152 Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

In the second case the engine is more likely used for several purposes about the farm and may be a portable engine, or it may be located in a shed near the pump. In either event, if the pump is equipped with a pumping jack and belt wheel it may be operated by the engine by means of a belt.



FIG. 4.—Pneumatic tank supply system with tank in basement supplied by hand force pump.

A good windmill is a cheap source of pumping power if well taken care of. A steel windmill is usually preferable to the wooden type. The mill itself costs considerable, it is true, but wind power thereafter costs nothing, while gasoline is a constant expense. The gas engine will, on the other hand, pump water whether the wind blows or not. On the whole, however, in localities where the wind is steadily fairly strong a windmill should be a satisfactory pumping power. Where electricity is available an electric motor rated at $\frac{1}{2}$ to $\frac{3}{4}$ horsepower is usually sufficient for ordinary farm pumping.

If spring water is used the hydraulic ram is usually the best method of pumping water to the house if the spring is so located that the water can not be piped directly by gravity.

THE HYDRAULIC RAM.

The hydraulic ram is a simple, though rather wasteful, machine which utilizes the momentum of a stream of water falling a small height to elevate a small part of that water to a greater height. In this way a spring if properly connected



FIG. 5.—Hydraulic ram pumping to a pneumatic tank supply system, showing usual relative positions of spring, ram, and storage tank.

with a hydraulic ram will do double duty, supplying the water and also the power for pumping.

Certain conditions are necessary, however, for the proper operation of such a system. The ram must be located lower than the spring and at the proper distance away. The fall from spring to ram must not be less than 2 feet, and the spring must supply not less than one-half gallon of water per minute. Most rams are, however, guaranteed to operate on not less than 2 gallons per minute. Figure 5 shows the usual relative positions of spring, ram, and storage tank.

The drive pipe is usually twice the size of the delivery pipe and the size of each depends on the size of the ram used. The length of the drive pipe is usually about seven times the height of fall, although this may vary between five and ten, depending on the height and distance to which water is to be delivered. Its length must ordinarily be equal to the vertical height to which the water is lifted and must never be less than three-fourths this height. It is well to add on the average about 2 feet to the length of the drive pipe for every 100 feet the water is carried horizontally.

Where the grade is small and it is therefore necessary to bring the water a long distance in order to get the desired fall a standpipe or reservoir may be placed in the line of the supply pipe at the proper distance from the ram, as shown in figure 6, and thus bring the effective pressure nearer the ram and prevent waste of pressure by friction in an unnecessarily long drive pipe.



FIG. 6.—Two methods of securing the necessary fall in drive pipe.

Manufacturers of hydraulic rams make certain guarantees as to what their particular type of ram will accomplish under certain conditions. If one knows the quantity of flow of his spring, the elevation above the spring to which it is desired to deliver water, and the distance from the approximate location of the ram to the point of delivery, he can, by consulting the tables which manufacturers usually furnish, easily determine the size of ram, length and size of drive pipe, and usually the minimum permissible fall in the drive pipe to suit his particular case. Thus the smallest sizes of some makes of hydraulic ram are guaranteed to operate under a minimum fall of 3 feet with a supply of 2 to 3 gallons of water per minute and lift 10 to 15 gallons of water per hour to a height of about 20 feet. The larger sizes will deliver 150 to 300 gallons per hour to a height of 100 to 120 feet under proper working conditions. The proportion of water supplied to the ram which is elevated to the point of use will vary according to operating conditions from about two-sevenths for the lower lifts to one-twentieth for the higher lifts. In order to secure their guarantee it is well to follow closely the directions for installation given out by the manufacturers of the ram.

It is always well to house the ram in to protect it from freezing and to prevent the entrance of sand and grit to the drive pipe by screening the open end. Sand and grit will soon prevent the proper action of the valves. The pipes should also be placed below the frost line. In setting a ram the foundation should be firm and level. The drive pipe should be laid on a perfectly straight incline without bends or curves except where the pipe enters the ram, and this should be made by bending the pipe. Fittings should be used only where absolutely necessary. The upper end of the drive pipe should be sufficiently below the surface of the water to prevent air suction—at least a foot. Above all things the drive pipe should be air-tight.

The delivery pipe may be laid with the necessary bends, according to the usual practice in laying water pipes, but all pipes should be connected before starting the ram and they should be left uncovered until all leaks are stopped. There should be as few bends and elbows in the delivery pipe as possible in order to reduce friction.

Taken as a whole, the hydraulic-ram pumping system is a very convenient one. Of course it requires a certain amount of watching and care, but the ram is so simple that if properly installed it is easily kept in working order. It operates day and night, winter and summer, whether the wind blows or not, and regardless of the price of gasoline or electricity, and its operation is continuous until stopped. In some respects, therefore, it has the advantage over the windmill and gas engine.

CONCLUSION.

Methods for safeguarding the purity of farm water supplies are being given more and more attention by progressive farmers, and the value of clean water from the standpoint of health is now recognized. The rural inhabitant, therefore, realizing his obligation to his family and to himself in this respect, should see to it that clean and wholesome water is provided for household uses. This necessitates in the main the use of effective measures for protecting wells, springs, and other sources of farm water supplies from surface and subsurface pollution and that, above all things else, clean well surroundings be maintained. Further, to avoid trouble in the future, a spirit of constant watchfulness and care with reference to maintaining the purity of the water supply may well be developed.

In addition, simple, economical, and practical means of obtaining running water in the house have been found which, when properly and carefully installed, do away with much of the drudgery formerly borne largely by the farm housewife.

The farmer of average means can not afford to overlook the advantages offered by these more modern methods and arrangements for securing running water in the house; and when the expenditure in time and energy for carrying water is compared with the actual cost of installing the cheaper and simpler means of providing a convenient water supply in the house, it is believed a step in this direction by even the less well-to-do farmer would be, in the long run, a decided saving and advantage.

STORY OF THE THERMOMETER AND ITS USES IN AGRICULTURE.

By ALFRED H. THIESSEN, Section Director, Weather Bureau.

THAT common but useful little instrument, the thermometer, may be employed in various ways on the farm to the farmer's benefit. It will give exact information regarding temperature, which is important to the farmer, and thereby increase exact methods on the farm at little cost. In all departments of industry exact methods are superseding inexact methods, and the accurate ways of the manufacturer or the city merchant are as applicable to the operations on the farm as to the factory or store.

IMPORTANCE OF KNOWING THE TEMPERATURE.

How much depends on the temperature! It is the most important element of the weather for us to know accurately. Our comfort depends more upon how the thermometer stands than upon any other single factor. Chemical changes are going on about us at all times, and, as a rule, take place more rapidly the warmer substances are. Note, for instance, the rapid rotting of fruit, the souring of milk, and decomposition in general when temperatures are high. Note the health and vigor one has in cool, crisp weather. Cold air has a tonic effect, and all should learn the right temperature of the air for work, study, or play to get the most out of all three.

THE THERMOMETER.

Let us examine the thermometer, learn how it was developed, and how it is made. We shall then become better acquainted with it, and handle and use it with greater assurance; afterwards we shall show how it may be used on the farm.

EARLY HISTORY.

The earliest form of a "heat measure," which is a literal translation of the Greek word "thermometer," was made about 1592 by Galileo and was an air thermometer (see fig 7).

157

It consisted of a glass tube B opening into a glass globe A. Some water was first poured into the globe, and the tube was then inverted with its open end submerged in a vessel of water, as shown in the figure. This instrument was not constructed for the purpose of showing exact degrees of heat, but was used by physicians (Sanctorius, about 1624) to indicate the higher temperature of fever patients over that of persons in normal health. The operation of the instrument is as follows: When the bulb is grasped, the top of the liquid at D is depressed, and the more according to the intensity of the fever. The warmth of the hand is communicated to the air in the bulb, which, expanding, forces the liquid out of the tube B into the vessel C.

This first form of a thermometer has the great objection in that it is not easily handled, and that it is subject to changes due to differences in air pressure which are constantly occurring, and to the diminishing volume of water due to evaporation.

The next important form in the evolution of the thermometer is shown in figure 8. It is like the thermometer in present use, except that the stem is open at the top. This form has a great advantage over the first form in that it is less affected by air pressure, but, of course, has other decided defects.

The first thermometer was made by Galileo in 1592; he and his students and others improved upon his first two forms, shown in figures 7 and 8, until finally, in 1641, the instrument used by the Florentines had attained a form in all its essentials like the modern thermometer (see. fig. 9).

PRINCIPLE OF THE THERMOMETER.

All ordinary thermometers work upon the principle of the expansion of substances with heat, and gases, liquids, or solids may be used. In the ordinary instruments, accurately described as liquid-in-glass thermometers, the differences of heat indicated depend upon the condition that the glass container and the liquid used expand differently with the application of the same amount of heat to both. If glass expanded with heat and contracted with cold to the same degree as the liquid, then the latter would always stay at the same level, no matter how the temperature changed. Glass, however, expands to a lesser degree than do the liquids used; hence the fall of the liquid column when the air becomes cooler and the rise when warmer.

MAKING A THERMOMETER.

The best of the earliest thermometers were made by expert glass blowers of Florence, Italy, and were much



FIG. 7.

FIG. 8.

superior to those made in England, where unsealed thermometers, or those similar to figure 8, were in common use previous to 1740, when they were replaced by the Fahrenheit instrument.

The making of the best modern thermometers is an interesting process. The glass is specially selected and blown by an expert workman, who first makes the tube and, after examining its bore to determine its size, attaches the bulb. The determination of the size of the bore is very necessary, as the size of the bulb must bear a certain relation to it. The tubes are then filled with a thermometric substance, usually mercury or alcohol, both of which must be chemically pure. After the thermometers are filled and sealed, they are laid away to season; for it has been found that glass, after being subject to high temperatures, shrinks somewhat. The shrinking process continues about two years, although most of it takes place in one year. After the seasoning process they are ready to receive the degree marks.

SOME FACTS REGARDING THERMOMETERS.

There are four essential parts to a thermometer—tube, bulb, liquid, and scale.

The tube should have as uniform a bore as possible; otherwise the degree marks would not indicate the correct temperatures, except at the test points.

The size of the bulb should bear a certain fixed relation to that of the tube, and must be large enough to produce a scale of sufficient size. To illustrate this: Suppose we consider two thermometers with bulbs of different sizes, but with tubes of the same size. It is evident that when subjecting both thermometers to the same additional amount of heat the mercury will rise higher in the tube having the larger bulb. The workman makes his bulb of such size as will fit the stem. A good length of thermometer for ordinary use is 10 or 12 inches.

The selection of a suitable liquid is very important. Either mercury or alcohol is generally used. It may be observed that the liquid in some thermometers is wine color; in such cases the liquid used is alcohol colored with a dye, which after long use may settle to the bulb end, leaving the top of the column quite colorless. The essentials in the choice of liquids are that the expansion of the liquid be much greater than glass, that it shall not distil in the upper part of the stem, that its surface tension be small, and that it shall have low specific gravity.

THE THERMOMETER SCALE.

In the construction of a thermometer the scale is made last and after the seasoning process. In the best thermometers the scale is etched on the glass stem; for if a separate metal scale is used errors may occur by the slipping of the metal scale in reference to the glass stem. There are three scales in common use: the Fahrenheit, the centigrade, and the Réaumur. The freezing point on the Fahrenheit scale is at 32° and boiling point at 212° ; there are, then, 180 whole steps between freezing and the boiling point on this scale. A scale of 100 parts was invented by Celsius, but the order of numeration, 0–100, which is the present centigrade scale, was adopted and recommended by another; its zero is at the freezing point, and the boiling point is marked 100°. The third scale, that of Réaumur, has its freezing point at 0° and the boiling point at 80° .

The centigrade scale is used the world over for scientific purposes. The Réaumur is still employed for domestic uses in several countries. The Fahrenheit scale is used quite generally by English-speaking people.

HOW TO EXPOSE A THERMOMETER.

Now that we understand to some extent the theory of thermometers and how they are made, let us turn our attention to how they should be exposed.

In the first place, no matter how exposed, a thermometer simply tells its own temperature. It will indicate the temperature of gases, liquids, and solids by being immersed in them, but with varying degrees of error, depending on how well the instrument is exposed and on other factors. In most cases a thermometer hung in the free air will not give the actual temperature of the air, because it is subject to the direct rays of the sun, and because radiation from the thermometer can take place unimpeded. Probably the best way to expose a thermometer in order to determine the temperature of the air is to hang it in a shelter so made that air may blow freely through it, and then whirl the instrument before a reading is taken. The same conditions may be obtained by rapidly whirling the thermometer in any outdoor shade. It is especially difficult to obtain the exact temperature of gases, even though their temperatures remain stationary. Another difficulty comes in when the temperature of the medium to be measured is constantly varying, as does that of the air.

75922°—увк—1914—11

It is obvious that it will take a certain length of time before a thermometer will indicate the temperature of a medium to within a degree, and still longer to indicate the temperature to within a tenth, when the temperature of the medium is constant. When the temperature of the medium is continually changing, the thermometer seldom indicates the correct temperature; but it may be reasonably close, depending on the sensibility of the instrument, the exposure, and the rapidity with which the temperature is changing.

If exposed in the air the thermometer will endeavor to follow changes in the air temperature, but it can not record such changes instantly. The heavy line in figure 10 indi-



cates the real temperature of the air, the broken line the indication of the thermometer. It is seen that the thermometer is always lagging behind the air temperature, except that when the temperature changes abruptly there may be a crossing, as at X, when the thermometer indicates the temperature of the air at that instant.

Everyone has noticed the great difference between the temperatures of stones in the sun and others in the shade. Those in the sun seem much hotter than the air, while those in the shade seem much colder. Now, a thermometer, like the stones, is constantly receiving heat by radiation from surrounding objects and emitting heat by radiation from itself. The correct way, then, to measure the temperature of the air is to employ some means by which these radiating effects may be overcome, and that is by hanging the thermometer in a shelter, as explained above.

USES OF THERMOMETER ON THE FARM.

In the farm home this instrument will often tell a very important story. In the first place, it should be exposed correctly. If placed on a wall, it is subject to all kinds of currents of air that may be rising or falling at that place, and may indicate something quite different than the average temperature of the room. When practicable it should be hung in the middle of the room, from the ceiling, about 4 or 5 feet from the floor. If one were to explore one's living room with a thermometer, many unlooked-for differences in temperature would be found. The air near the floor would be much cooler than that near the ceiling, and the temperature would also vary at the same level in the various parts of a room. In a sick room, where greater accuracy may be required, it may be necessary to hang the thermometer on a level with the patient's head.

Testing the bath water is another important use in the home, especially in the case of children or invalids. For a cool bath the water should be from 60° to 70° , tepid bath from 84° to 88° , a normal bath about 98° , and a hot bath should be over 100° F.

Knowledge of the temperature of the pantry and cellar is important, for by investigating them one may make improvements in conditions. Putrefaction will start at 50°, so that a pantry or closet where food is kept should have a temperature at least as low as that. Cellars where canned goods are stored should have a temperature of 32° or over. Apples are frequently stored in outside cellars, where the temperature should be kept at 31° or 32° ; but apples may be kept satisfactorily at 34° or 36° . When stored at the higher temperatures, the fruit should be placed there soon after being picked.

CANDY MAKING AND COOKING.

A favorite pastime with the young women on the farm is candy making. There is no branch of cooking in which temperatures are more important than in making candy.

163

For this purpose special instruments are made. No one can follow a good recipe for any kind of candy and ignore the temperature.

Thermometers are also made so that the bulb may be permanently inserted in stove ovens and the scale read conveniently on the outside. With the use of such a thermometer the housewife may be sure of the temperature; and since cooking is a chemical process which quickens with the increase of heat, it is highly important for successful and uniform cooking to know and to have the oven at the right temperature.

IN THE DAIRY.

A thermometer should be the constant companion of the dairyman. There is probably no other department of the farm in which a thermometer can be used to greater advantage than in connection with dairy operations. The temperatures at which milk, cream, and butter are kept, and at which the various operations of butter making are carried on, are very important.

When milk is to be sold as such, it should be immediately cooled. The reason for this is to stop the increase of bacteria as much as possible. It has been found that an increase of 14° in the temperature of milk will increase the bacteria 600 per cent, and that bacteria will reproduce themselves every half hour if the temperatures are favorable.

If milk is to be separated by a centrifugal process, it should have a temperature of 90° or 92° , and should be separated preferably right after milking, and then cooled. If milk is to be separated by gravity methods, it should be cooled to 50° very soon after milking.

The temperature at which cream is churned is another important item, and success depends largely on this factor; 52° to 62° Fahrenheit is considered about right. And, lastly, butter should be stored in a cool place to insure its remaining sweet.

IN THE INCUBATOR.

The incubator is now frequently found on farms, and the importance of maintaining correct temperatures in them need not be emphasized. The correct temperature is 103° and should not go lower than that, especially during the first six days. To insure almost absolute success, in so far as maintaining the correct temperature, one may use the electric alarm which rings a bell when the temperature reaches 105° or falls lower than 103° . This instrument will not only aid greatly in attaining success with the hatch, but also diminish the worry and attention.

OUTSIDE USES.

It is sometimes desirable before planting to test the temperature of the ground. The experience of every farmer has taught him that every species of seed has a minimum temperature below which it will not germinate. There also appears to be an upper limit. One may plant in too high a temperature, but success under this condition is dependable largely on the moisture content of the soil.

IN THE ORCHARD.

Much has been written regarding the uses of thermometers in the orchard at frost time, but they may be applied to all crops that are injured by early or late frosts, such as tomatoes and late onions. Some means of protection may be applied to them all.

The farmer should first know his farm. He should know what places are colder than others. He may not wish, nor is it necessary, to have a thermometer for every little hill and hollow, but he should by actual test find out the cold and the more favorable places. A knowledge of this kind will help the farmer not only in protecting his fruit and other crops, but also in planting. There should be a regular station somewhere convenient on the outside where a thermometer can be exposed. Knowing how other places on the farm compare with the regular station, the farmer may, by reading his station thermometer, estimate the temperature at any place on his land fairly accurately.

OCCASIONAL USES.

The clinical or fever thermometer may be found very useful on the farm. The condition of a patient may be the more intelligently reported by telephone or messenger to the attending physician. The temperature of a person in normal health is 98.6° .

The following are the normal temperatures of farm animals: Swine, 104° ; goats or sheep, 102° to 103° ; cows, 101° to 102° ; horses, 99° to 99.6° ; dogs, 99° to 100° . A rise of one or two degrees is unimportant if temporary; but if permanent it indicates a serious condition which needs attention. A rise of 10° to 12° in animals is usually fatal. One may wish to report the temperature of a sick animal together with other symptoms to a veterinary, and the exact fever condition can only be obtained with the use of a good clinical thermometer, which should be used in accordance with veterinary methods.

THERMOMETER SUGGESTIONS.

When buying a thermometer, select one which bears the name of the maker and with the scale etched on the glass stem, although one so made is usually more expensive than one with a metal scale. Further, in choosing a thermometer one should have in mind the use for which it is intended. There are thermometers for all uses—cooking, dairying, for outside, and so on.

With constant use one becomes acquainted with the instrument, so that dependence will be placed upon it; and with constant use uniform success in operations conditioned on temperature will be the reward.

RETAIL PUBLIC MARKETS.

By G. V. BRANCH,

Investigator in City Marketing, Office of Markets and Rural Organization.

A^T intervals of a few years marked changes occur in the nature of the questions which engage the attention of municipal officials and civic organizations the country over. At one time political reform will be the paramount issue, then an antivice crusade. Another year may see efforts concentrated on the reduction of infant mortality, the upbuilding of the public park and playground system, the construction of boulevards, or the installation of improved street-lighting systems. The fact that these agitations are prompted by the most apparent economic or social needs of that particular period is quite self-evident.

Just now the question of cheaper and more efficient methods of distributing and marketing food products, particularly fresh farm produce, is probably receiving as much general attention and investigation as any other. Inasmuch as a successful solution will redound to the financial advantage of both the rural and urban populations, the reason for such widespread interest is a matter of easy determination, and especially so when the upward tendency of food prices is considered.

The problem of securing good products more cheaply, thus making an appreciable reduction in the average budget which the housewife must set aside for food, is proving a baffling one to every agency concerned in the quest. Cities, in an attempt to aid their populations, are awaking to the fact that they have been very lax in assuming proper obligations in relation to their food supply. Their first impulse is to see what other cities are doing, and in most cases their investigations end in a demand for a public market. They call for a place where the near-by producer can market his wares direct to the people. More often, however, and especially in the larger cities, the outcome is a farmers' wholesale market, or a retail market where the speculative dealers are in the majority. Unfortunately, city officials, chambers of commerce, and civic-improvement leagues usually do not keep in close touch with the economic developments surrounding the marketing of farm products, so they find it hard to understand why there should be any trouble in establishing just the kind of market they want, and, along with it, securing the exact results for which they are seeking.

There are, however, some very difficult problems to work out in the successful establishment of even such an oldfashioned and apparently simple institution as a public market. Although a century ago the task was simple, the present complexity of the marketing system and the extraordinary demands in the way of service which are evidenced on all sides have greatly increased the difficulty of suiting an old-time project of this kind to more modern life. The attempt is met with disappointing results in a great many cases, due, however, to no fault of the principle itself. Public retail markets-old, dilapidated, mismanaged, and filthy-are numerous. Well-equipped, sanitary markets, of modern construction, efficiently conducted, are scarce. This is in reality no reflection on the possibilities of municipal retail markets, but only on the treatment that has been accorded them by most city governments. Being usually left to run themselves, they have done so, quite naturally selecting the path of least resistance, which, unfortunately, is down grade.

Given a fair start and continued good business management, a municipal retail public market should be a success in any average city that is large enough to support such a project. This statement is made with due regard to the fact that the success of an institution of this kind means more than simply fair patronage. The municipal retail market has certain functions to perform for the community, and unless it responds in a satisfactory way, after being given a fair trial, there is little excuse for its existence.

There are many who condemn a market unless, from the beginning, it affords lower prices. While this is a result that can reasonably be expected in well-directed institutions, nevertheless, plenty of time must be given for the balancing of the many factors that enter into price establishment. When a market is once firmly on its feet it would seem that a city could legitimately ask from it the following service: It should give to patrons who will pay cash for their purchases and carry them home a dollar's worth of actual products for a dollar. In other words, when a buyer does not demand or use credit and delivery service he should not be charged for it.

Municipal market prices should also reflect to the consumer the saving which is made possible to the dealer through low rent for his stall and equipment, as well as any other reductions in overhead expense.

Patrons should be able to find at a market a larger and fresher assortment of food products than the average private establishment offers.

Due to the possibility of closer official inspection, the consumer has a right to look for increased protection in the matters of quality, weight, and measure.

When once a city has committed itself to a municipal market system, it is immediately confronted with innumerable problems. So little information is available on the subject that it usually must become a matter of experimentation. A serious mistake generally is made at this point. Instead of having a competent engineer or architect carefully study the problem and report, it is usually the custom to send a delegation of city officials on a junketing tour, some of whom may incidentally observe the municipal markets in the places visited. This would not be so detrimental if only the cities inspected were possessed of even semimodel marketing institutions. More often they are of a mediocre type, and, although possibly giving fair service, are far from fit to serve as patterns when the possibilities of a modern municipal retail market are considered.

It is to offer some suggestions to interested cities which may possibly help them in solving the difficulties confronting the successful establishment of a retail market, that the various questions which naturally come up at such a time are treated herewith in some detail.

LOCAL NEED AND DEMAND FOR A MARKET.

Inasmuch as the usefulness of a market depends on the support given it by the consumers, the tributary producers, and the local dealers, it is well worth while, before expending time and money on the project, to determine the attitude of these people toward it. In meetings, or through the press, it is possible to ascertain the general sentiment. If all are apathetic and there is no definitely expressed desire for a market, then a city's energies might be turned more profitably to other lines of improvement.

Certain types of population lend themselves more readily to the municipal-market idea than others. Cities having a large foreign element and a well-developed middle class usually give most loyal support to such a project. Strange as it may seem, it is not always the very poor sections of a city that afford best patronage to a retail market. This is probably explained largely by the fact that the indigent class, as a rule, is quite dependent on the credit system. Lack of education in economic marketing and, to some extent, improvidence, are also contributing factors.

The size of a city and the efficiency of its marketing facilities have a direct bearing on the need for a public market. While a few small places could be named which have useful farmers' markets, still it is generally found that in the case of cities below 25,000 population or thereabouts such a large proportion of the homes are satisfactorily served by the grocer and the door-to-door peddling of the farmer and huckster that there is not sufficient patronage left to support a city market of any consequence. This statement is not to be construed as asserting that a profitable city marketing system could not be worked out for these smaller places. In such cities it should be quite possible, when conditions warrant, at least to furnish the near-by producers a designated place to assemble once or twice a week and sell their products at retail.

However, those cities which reach the 25,000 to 50,000 class may well consider the advantages of a municipal market, particularly if the charges of their established retail agencies are unduly high or the service inefficient. Many cities complain that they suffer from a dearth of fresh produce, especially farm and orchard products, or that there appears to be a lack of competition among local dealers resulting in abnormally high prices. In such places a skillfully managed city market should be of great value.
The success of a public market often depends upon the size and character of its "farmers' line" (Pl. X, fig. 1). There seems to be an innate desire on the part of housewives to buy from the producer and in the open. Consequently it behooves a city to study the tributary rural population. If a good truck-growing section is already developed within driving distance, there should be no trouble about lack of supplies for the market, unless the growers produce their crops in such large quantities that they are forced to sell at wholesale. If there is little truck growing in the region, however, methods of encouraging the farmers to take up that work should be employed. Such an outlet for products as a good public market creates is, in itself, an incentive to growers to engage in truck-crop production and usually aids greatly in developing a near-by food supply.

TYPE OF MARKET.

The form of market which seems to be meeting with most favor at present is a combination of an inclosed building (for the sale of meat, fish, butter, and other products that should be protected) and an open space where the market wagons of farmers and hucksters can be accommodated (Pl. X, figs. 2 and 3). The street curb adjacent to the market hall is often used for the latter purpose, but a location inside of the property line is better as a rule. This open section (Pl. XI, fig. 1) should be equipped with sheds, if possible, for the protection of both buyer and seller, as is shown in Plate XI, figure 2.

As previously suggested, some cities that are not in position to equip and give proper support to an inclosed market house can often secure valuable service from open markets for farmers, or for farmers, hucksters, and pushcart men. This type of market can be located along the curb of a suitable street (Pl. XI, fig. 3) or on some convenient vacant plot. The main defects of such a market are that it affords little or no protection, sanitary or otherwise, to the products offered for sale, nor does it shelter the seller or his patrons from the elements. Good sheds perform this service to a certain extent but are often inadequate. A greater weakness, however, lies in the fact that this style of market, if supplied largely by local growers, affords, as a rule, satisfactory service only during the months of production. If the municipal public-market idea is good, it should be applied all the year around and to as many food products as is logically possible.

The open market, however, has some advantages, particularly for the city that is just launching a municipal market policy. It can be started with little expense of time and money; it can be moved easily, providing the first location is found to be faulty; and it can be used as a means to determine the degree of support which will be given the project as a whole by both producer and consumer. The factors of demand, location, and cost are all important in a new venture of this kind, and the open market serves cheaply and well as a demonstration project. It should also promote interest and enthusiasm in a community for this form of more direct dealing.

An inclosed market building with no provision for producers' or hucksters' wagons usually finds favor only in the larger cities, where open space is not available.

LOCATION OF THE MARKET.

If there is one consideration more important than another, when the possibilities of success of a public market are being weighed, that one is location. Many a city has invested a goodly sum in a retail market only to find that they had foredoomed it to failure by having selected an outof-the-way place. An example of such misfortune is evidenced in Plate X, figure 2.

The factors to be considered in choosing a site vary with the size of the city. The first question to be decided is whether the market should be located in a residential section or at a more central point. Both plans have their advocates. Theoretically, small public markets placed in the more densely populated residential sections of a city and within walking distance of a large number of housewives, would be best situated to give the service expected of such institutions. Under certain conditions this system may be advisable, but unfortunately it does not seem to be practicable in the majority of places. Most large cities owning public markets have at least one, centrally located in the business section, which is larger and better equipped than the rest. To show the effect of such a market on the smaller markets in residential districts, it is only necessary to cite an incident which recently took place in one of the large middle-western cities.

After prolonged consideration, an official committee submitted a plan to the mayor covering an extension of the municipal market system. It contained a map of the city, with markets indicated at several points in the residential sections, where the population was fairly dense. The recommendation appeared very logical. The mayor submitted the plan for criticism to the superintendent of city markets, who in this case happened to be a student of the municipalmarket subject. He agreed that on paper the plan looked good, but asserted that in practice it stood small chance of being successful. To explain his stand, he took the mayor and committee in the municipal automobile to the corner where the city's one residential market was then situated. It was originally a well-equipped, inviting little market, but now trade was dull and the place appeared unthrifty. On the same corner, awaiting a street car to take them to the large central market down town, stood six housewives with marketing baskets on their arms.

That roughly tells the story of why small markets in residential centers do not, as a rule, prove satisfactory. Farmers drive past them with their loads to sell on the larger markets where their trade is assured. Patrons go to the same places to get the advantage of a larger assortment of produce, and also because they usually have to go to the business district to shop anyway. It should be noted, too, that the value of a residential market is more subject to depreciation from shifting population (Pl. XII, fig. 1), than is that of a market more centrally located.

There are, without doubt, several examples of what might be termed residential markets that are now giving just the service desired of them. Possibly in the future such a plan will demonstrate its merits more conclusively and become an effective factor in city marketing systems.

There is reason to believe that in some places "neighborhood farmers' markets" may operate satisfactorily during the growing season. With a curb or a vacant lot costing nothing as a site, and a few farmers who are willing to sell in this manner, there is everything to gain and very little risk in making the experiment. Denver is testing it out, and the development of the plan will be watched with interest (Pl. XII, fig. 2).

The experience of the majority of cities, then, and especially in the case of larger municipal markets, seems to favor central locations. In a city of small or medium size, where only one retail market is contemplated, this market doubtless should be placed as near the business center as it is possible to secure the necessary land. Rather than remove it far from the most accessible point, it would probably be advisable to use a section of a wide street, as has been the plan in Cincinnati (Pl. XII, fig. 3) and as in the case of the old Lexington Market in Baltimore. Inasmuch as the demands of traffic must be heeded, when a street is to be used for either an inclosed or a curb market it is sometimes better to choose one immediately adjacent to a main thoroughfare than to cause undue congestion, but the site chosen must be very If a retail market is to be combined in any way accessible. with a wholesale project, then entirely new factors, not discussed here, enter to influence the decision.

In the case of a large city that is developing a series of retail market buildings the best plan seems to be to locate them in the subsidiary business centers. Nearly every such city is divided into several more or less localized sections, as the north, south, east, or west side, each of which has its principal business district. There is much less danger of these centers changing so as to leave the market stranded than there is in the case of a residential section, and they usually have sufficient tributary trade to give proper support to such an institution.

In judging the accessibility of a market site two factors are of prime importance: the number of patrons who will walk to the market, and the street-car facilities. A market with a large tributary population within walking distance may save hundreds of dollars a day to these buyers in car fares. On the other hand, the patron from a distance should be able to ride very near the market entrance with as few transfers as possible. In the case of retail markets which will be used extensively as an outlet by producers, a city should consider carefully the possibilities of trolley freight service in connection with various locations. Growers who live at some distance can often use this method for the transportation of their goods to great advantage, providing cars can be switched to the receiving platform of the market. Interurban lines are bound steadily to become more important factors in the handling of farm products, and it is advisable that full provision be made to develop the service.

In selecting a retail-market location, the demands of the future should always be kept in mind. Provision for expansion of the market plan as the city grows has been too often overlooked by municipalities—a fact which applies with equal truth to other civic projects.

CONSTRUCTION OF THE MARKET.

Other conditions being equal, a market house which has good breadth (Pl. XIII, fig. 1) is preferable to the long, narrow structure that it is necessary to build when erected in a street. The broader market lends itself to a more desirable arrangement of stalls and general equipment, while the handling of products is facilitated.

The object which every city should strive to attain in the construction of a municipal market is the highest degree of convenient and sanitary equipment at the minimum of cost. Ornate public buildings may be expedient as a rule, but, in the case of municipal markets, beauty and expensive construction should be strictly subservient to utility and economy. The mission of a market is to increase the efficiency and decrease the cost of food distribution; consequently, needless expenditure of money is out of harmony with the purpose to be fulfilled.

The use of wood in market construction is inexpedient in most cases. The constant application of water in cleaning makes woodwork very unsatisfactory. Tile, concrete, brick, steel, marble, and glass are the type of substances which answer best the demands of durability and sanitation.

It is not intended in this article to go into detail regarding the interior equipment of a municipal retail market. However, some suggestions as to matters often overlooked, and of desirable features now being used in the more modern establishments (Pl. XIII, fig. 2) will serve to show what points should receive special study and attention.

All counters should be raised far enough from the floor to permit of thorough scraping and washing underneath.

Floors should be of nonabsorbent material and so laid that they will drain thoroughly. Ample arrangements for flushing are necessary.

Inside walls should be of nonabsorbent material to the height of about 6 feet.

Meat, poultry, fish, oyster, and butter counters, at least, should be constructed of some of the more impervious materials, such as glass, marble, tile, soapstone, or slate.

It is very desirable that such counters be provided with refrigerating equipment that will keep the products cool and free from flies. These results can be obtained even in semiopen counters by installing a refrigerating coil in back, on a level with the products displayed, while plate glass is placed vertically in front and horizontally over a section of the top. Such an arrangement not only exhibits meats and similar foodstuffs to advantage, but it also performs the exceedingly important service of preventing patrons from handling the fresh cuts of meat and testing the tenderness thereof with a punch of the finger or thumb, an insanitary practice which has become very common in markets.

Stalls for the sale of fish and other sea food should be segregated from the others in a separate room, in order that the characteristic odors attending them will not permeate the market hall proper, and so that the handling of these products can be accomplished without bringing them in contact with other parts of the building.

The typhoid fly, the rat, and the mouse are the chief pests which infest a market. Every possible means should be employed to minimize their numbers.

Good light and ventilation are not only important from a sanitary point of view, but add greatly to the attractiveness of a market.

Somewhere on the market property, preferably outside of the inclosed market hall, a suitable comfort station should be constructed.



FIG. 1.—VIEW OF "FARMERS' LINE" ALONG THE CURB OF EASTERN MARKET, ONE OF WASHINGTON'S SIX MUNICIPAL RETAIL MARKETS.



Fig. 2.—View Showing the Inclosed Building and Open Space Constituting a \$55,000 Retail Market of a Middle Western City.

[This market is a comparative failure, due to its poor location.]



FIG. 3.—PORTION OF FANEUIL HALL MARKET IN BOSTON, SHOWING BUILDING FOR SALE OF MEATS, BUTTER, FISH, ETC., AND THE OPEN SPACE FOR PRODUCERS' WAGONS.

[One of the oldest market places in the country, which still remains a thriving trade center.]



FIG. 1.-OPEN PART OF THE ELK STREET MARKET, BUFFALO, SHOWING INCLOSED BUILDING IN THE DISTANCE.

[Farmers and hucksters sell at both wholesale and retail along the curbs.]



FIG. 2.—PARTIAL VIEW OF THE 10-ACRE OPEN MARKET AT ROCHESTER, N. Y. [Note steel sheds and brick pavement. While originally a wholesale market, it now caters to the consumer as well.]



FIG. 3.—A SECTION OF THE FARMERS' RETAIL CURB MARKET AT DUBUQUE, IOWA. [On Saturdays there have been as many as sixteen blocks like this. Photograph by J. II. Spencer, Dubuque, Iowa.]

Yearbook U. S. Dept. of Agriculture, 1914.



FIG. 1.-AN OLD-TIME MUNICIPAL RETAIL MARKET IN PITTSBURGH LONG AGO DISCARDED BECAUSE THE SHIFTING OF POPULATION REDUCED THE TRADE.



FIG. 2.—ONE OF DENVER'S "NEIGHBORHOOD MARKETS," WHERE FARMERS SELL TO THE HOUSEWIVES IN THE RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS.



FIG. 3.—PEARL STREET MARKET IN CINCINNATI, AN EXAMPLE OF THE LONG, NARROW TYPE OF MARKET HALL LOCATED IN THE MIDDLE OF THE STREET.



Fig. 1.-Cleveland's New West Side Market, a Beautiful but Needlessly Expensive Institution.

[A type of the broader construction in market buildings.]



FIG. 2.—INTERIOR VIEW OF SAME. [Note sanitary equipment and 13-inch double-dial scales hung in plain view of customers.]



FIG. 3.-A VIEW OF DENVER'S BIG WHOLESALE AND RETAIL FARMERS' MARKET, SHOWING FOUR OF THE NINE STEEL SHEDS.

There is no doubt that modern sanitary equipment combined with refrigeration service is an expensive item in the construction of a market. However, the day of filthy, unattractive, and poorly equipped markets is passing. If the municipal retail market is to compete successfully with progressive private establishments, then it must assume a high standard. In fact, it should be a model of efficiency and sanitation, so that other retail agencies will be influenced toward improvement in these directions.

The increased possibilities of mechanical refrigeration in connection with a retail market are being demonstrated continually. It not only furnishes the most convenient and sanitary method of cooling small storage compartments and counters in the market hall proper, but cold-storage rooms in the basement or on the second floor can be made of great value. Some of these rooms should be subdivided into a number of individual slat lockers, to be rented to dealers who wish to keep their surplus stock in good condition from day to day. If desired, other rooms can be used for commercial storage, a feature which should be very attractive, especially in those places that lack privately owned facilities of this nature.

In every large city there is much complaint from residents who have no storage space available, regarding the impossibility of renting cold-storage facilities in units small enough for family use. Commercial storage plants have not found it profitable, as yet, to cater to such small consignments, the bother more than offsetting any charges which this class of business will stand. However, there is a distinct need for just this kind of service. Many private homes, boarding houses, small restaurants, and other similar institutions in the community can not deal in large enough quantities to make practical the use of present commercial storage facilities. What they desire is a place, not too far distant, where they may store a case of eggs or barrel of fruit with the privilege of withdrawing small quantities once or twice a week. There are many problems of detail to be worked out before such service can be made efficient and satisfactory, but no better medium of experiment and demonstration is needed than the cold-storage equipment of a municipal market.

75922°---увк 1914-----12

Such an institution should be dedicated to the service of the community, and consequently the matter of trouble or lack of profit from such business ought not to prohibit a sincere effort to give the people the storage facilities in question.

The construction of the open part of a retail market devoted to the accommodation of producers' wagons, hucksters' wagons, and pushcarts, depends to a great extent on the space available. Many cities, especially the larger ones, have no place other than the middle of the street or the street curb to use for this purpose. Under these conditions it is difficult to arrange for any satisfactory protective agencies overhead except the simple canvas coverings of varied construction furnished by the dealers themselves.

In cases where there is a suitable site for an open market inside of the property line, certain very desirable improvements can be made profitably. For sanitary reasons, as well as for convenience, the space should be paved with brick or some other suitable material (Pl. XI, fig. 2), and proper facilities installed for flushing and drainage. Steel sheds (Pl. XI, fig. 2) to protect both the seller's load of products and the buyer should be erected. In retail markets these sheds are usually provided with a raised concrete walk running lengthwise through the center, on which the displaying, buying, and selling of products are carried on. If the open retail market is to be used as a wholesale market as well (Pl. XIII, fig. 3) then the size of the sheds and stall arrangement often needs to be varied to suit local conditions and customs prevalent among the wholesale trade.

FINANCING THE MARKET.

When funds of any considerable amount are needed, the ordinary bond issue is used most commonly to provide for the establishment of city markets. When curb or other unimproved open markets are used, the small expense incurred can be met from the funds of some established department of the city government.

Many cities measure the success of their markets by the revenue which they derive therefrom. In so far as revenues are an indication of the amount of business transacted, the practice is not especially subject to criticism, but when municipal markets are prized mainly for the high returns which they make from excessive stall rent, then it is very evident that the primary purpose of the market is being defeated by the city itself. In building a retail public market it should be the aim to furnish first-class equipment for the handling of food products at just as low a rental as is possible, considering the running expenses, investment, interest, and depreciation. A reduction of overhead expense is essential if retail quotations are to be lowered materially. A public market should perform this function of lessening the high operating charges of the retailer, and then measures should be taken which will cause this saving to be evidenced in cheaper prices to the consumer.

Considering the financial management of a successful market, there are, very evidently, three methods of operation—at a loss, at cost, or at a profit. Any deficiency resulting from the first method must needs be made up by funds derived from some form of tax. It could be justified from a practical point of view only in case the low stall rents charged were responsible for reduced retail prices and marked communal benefits which might result from the effect of the market as a retail-price governor. However, on account of the fact that under average administration the savings effected by such low rentals do not accrue always to the benefit of the consumer, and inasmuch as the policy of conducting such an institution at a loss is apt to engender a great amount of opposition, it is no doubt wise to use some other system.

As the matter of large net profits to be gained from a market is incompatible with the whole municipal-market idea, it remains for one to dwell on the advantages of the second method named, that of conducting the market at cost, with the possible addition of a reserve fund to be used in retiring a certain percentage of the bonds at stated intervals. This idea seems to be the most satisfactory when viewed from all angles. It contemplates making the market self-sustaining, a good feature in any business proposition. It makes for very nominal stall fees, thus lowering the overhead costs to the renter. It placates to a great extent that class of citizens who oppose every civic improvement that calls for an expenditure of money. Whatever the system employed, it is very essential that in leasing market stalls the city retain full control, making the duration of the lease short, and tenure subject to full compliance with all the rules and regulations of the market. In order to vary rentals when necessary, eradicate dishonesty in all forms, enforce sanitary measures, and apply desirable ideas for the general improvement of the municipal market service, it is necessary that the occupancy of a market stall be subject to speedy termination on the part of the management when conditions warrant.

One of the large eastern cities, owner of 11 municipal markets, recently faced a crisis in the conduct of this phase of city activity, due to lack of foresight in the financial policy employed when the various markets were built. In order to recover quickly the amount expended for a market, it was the habit to sell the stalls at public auction. Under this system, by the payment of an annual license and rental, the stall practically became the property of the purchaser. It could be rented, traded, or sold the same as any other possession. As a result, when changed conditions necessitated higher stall charges in order to provide a surplus for much needed market repairs, this move on the part of the city was met by a suit. instituted by the dealers, denying the city's right to increase the rent specified in the original bill of sale. It required about two years' strenuous work on the part of the municipality's legal department to secure from the courts a sustaining verdict, and in the meantime the markets suffered greatly through depreciation.

Under such a system the value of public property accrues to the benefit of an individual, as is shown by the fact that in this city some of the market stalls are appraised as high as \$3,000, although their purchase price was not more than half that much. Many are subrented for a sum that will bring 10 per cent on their present value and up to 20 per cent on the original investment. Instances are related of Italian lessees who are now living in their native country mainly on the revenue which they derive from subrenting the stalls which they hold in the markets of the city mentioned.

The privileges of subletting space in a municipal market and transferring a lease to another party are freely offered by many cities to stall renters. A little thought should make it evident that either concession is detrimental to the best interests of the market enterprise. By keeping in mind the fact that one of the chief functions of a municipal market is to lower food costs by reducing the overhead expenses of the dealer, it can be seen plainly that the subletting or transfer of a stand, for a substantial money consideration, adds an unnecessary financial burden, the amount of which the new occupant must needs try to recover from the buying public.

It is also manifestly unfair to other citizens not so favored for a municipality to furnish low-priced facilities to certain individuals, namely, the stall renters, by the manipulation of which these individuals can realize substantial unearned increment. However, this is exactly what happens when a city leases a desirable municipal market stall for \$72 a year and the renter transfers his lease to a third party for a \$1,200 cash consideration, or sublets the stall at the rate of \$300 per annum. While this is a hypothetical case, its parallel in market transactions can often be found.

Inasmuch as a public market is a community institution, paid for and sustained out of public funds, all values which it creates should be returned to the municipality, except a fair remuneration which necessarily must be paid the stall renters in the shape of profits for the service which they perform. In order that this condition may prevail, one of the requisites is that there be no subletting or transfer of stalls unless, perchance, unusual conditions seem to justify such action. The original renter of a stand should be the user, and when his occupancy is terminated, the space should be given to the first applicant on the waiting list or drawn for by lot.

MARKET REGULATIONS AND MANAGEMENT.

Probably the average municipal market suffers as much from the lack of proper business management as from any other one thing. The larger proportion of markets are left to manage themselves, and then the cities possessing them condemn the lack of satisfactory service. Is it a cause of wonder that in such markets dealers are in control, prices are fixed, patronage dwindles, and the expected benefits do not materialize? Considering average conditions, how can a city treasurer's office, a board of public works, or a caretaker at \$40 per month be expected to conduct such an institution successfully when acquainted with neither the problems of a retail public market nor the service which it should render the people? A competent managing official, therefore, can be reckoned among the chief needs of any city that inaugurates a municipal-market system, and such an official should have not only understanding but vision.

After a market is opened to the public, there are two annoving problems which are of almost constant recurrence. To secure healthy competition among sellers, thus doing away with price fixing, and to eliminate dishonesty in all its forms, are tasks beset with difficulties. Most cities give up the attempt, but one of the middle-western municipalities has solved the proposition to its satisfaction. The city retains absolute control of the market. Full rein is given the superintendent of markets, and all responsibility is placed upon him. When he rents either the outside or inside stalls it is expressly stipulated that the prospective occupant can sell there only as long as he deals fairly with the public and so conducts his business that it does not become detrimental to the best interests of the market. It required the elimination of only three or four undesirables to impress upon all the other dealers the fact that competition and fair dealing must prevail on that market. As a result, not a single complaint of dishonesty has been made by patrons for nearly two years. Better still, no suggestion of fixed prices is apparent, all dealers working on the principle of a large volume of business at a small margin of profit, rather than the opposite method so often apparent in the present retail system.

The efficiency of many municipal markets is greatly reduced by their subserviency to political influence. Often market stalls are made awards for party service, as are also the offices and positions which a market affords. It is hardly necessary to comment on the destructive effect which such a condition exerts both on the market itself and on the benefits which it should render the community.

There are many who consider the matter of a credit and delivery service in connection with a public market as a debatable question. This would seem to depend on what are to be considered the logical functions of such a market. If a reduction of food prices through lessened overhead expense to the dealer is one, then credit and delivery at his expense should be discountenanced. To afford any considerable economy, all goods on a municipal retail market should be sold for cash, and carried home unless a common delivery system is available, the charges of which are paid by the purchaser. The equipment for such a system could be furnished by private enterprise, if that prove satisfactory, or, if expedient, by the city itself. Such a method of delivery in connection with a market is very desirable and can be made practical.

Many markets have found it advisable to rule out telephones on the ground that a telephone order calls for delivery, and, in turn, establishes a credit charge, if, for any reason, collection can not be made when the goods are delivered. This rule finds further justification in the fact that ordering by telephone prevents the housewife from personally inspecting, before buying, the quality and assortment of products which the market offers.

PUBLICITY OF MARKET NEWS.

The possibilities for good of a rationally conducted market news service in connection with a municipal market system are just being realized. The average housewife suffers an almost daily loss through her ignorance of what products the market most liberally affords and current quotations on foodstuffs in general. Intelligent publicity of reliable information of this nature should prove to many cities a service rivaling in value any other feature of public-market activity.

The city of Berlin has worked out this idea very satisfactorily in connection with its municipal wholesale markets. Several municipal sales agents who sell in the central market, either at auction or private sale, report their transactions to the city authorities, and they, in conjunction with the state market police, publish the prices obtained in the wholesale market each day in the Official Market Bulletin. This practice has proved a meritorious one and is indorsed alike by dealers, trade papers, consumers, and others interested in the distribution of food products.

IN CONCLUSION.

Let it be said that this discussion does not aim to cover fully the field of municipal retail markets. Only some of the more salient points which face a city interested in this problem are treated. Numberless questions of a more incidental nature demand careful consideration when the actual construction and management of a market are attempted. Nor is it thought that all of the conclusions reached herein can be applied successfully to every city. Unusual local conditions or prejudices might render advisable an entirely different treatment than is here set forth.

While the municipal retail market surely has its place in the present system of food distribution, its introduction should be accompanied with even more mature judgment than would attend the establishment of business institutions by private agencies, for, in committing itself to the retailmarket policy, a city is departing somewhat from the conservative path. The public market is not a panacea for the weaknesses of the retail system, nor is it advocated that its use should displace the old established agencies of retail marketing. Rather, its service should supplement, cooperate with, and to some extent regulate that which they give.

COOPERATIVE MARKETING, AND FINANCING OF MARKETING ASSOCIATIONS.

By C. E. BASSETT and CLARENCE W. MOOMAW, Specialists in Cooperative Organization, and W. H. KERR, Investigator in Market Business Practice, Office of Markets and Rural Organization.

FARMING should be not only a scientific occupation but a successful business. Every branch of the industry. should be founded on well-established, economic business principles.

Numerous educational agencies have been at work to raise farming to the rank of a science, to teach the farmer how to make the proper use of the forces of nature, and to work in harmony with natural laws. But conditions are now such that both producers and consumers feel there is something radically wrong with the business of marketing farm products.

For many decades in this country cheap virgin soils made cheap production possible, so that farmers have felt only the need of concerning themselves with matters of large production. But higher land values, higher paid labor, the call for expensive fertilizers, and the increased expense of fighting diseases and insect and fungus pests, together with decreased yields in some cases, have emphasized the need of a radical change in business methods.

One class contends that the land is not being properly farmed and that what is needed is to make the land produce more to the acre. While it is desirable to increase production, the mere doubling of production will not necessarily greatly improve the conditions of the farmer or relieve the burden of high prices to the consumer. It is a matter of record that the largest apple crop, the largest corn crop, and the largest cotton crop in the history of the Nation have yielded the producers of those crops a less amount of profit than has been obtained in certain years of less production; and it is also known that in these years of enormous crops the prices paid by consumers in most sections have not

185

reflected, in a proper degree, the low prices paid to the farmers. With this knowledge of the facts, what farmer will be encouraged to grow "two blades of grass" when he fails to realize a fair return for the "one blade" which he now grows? It can not be made clear to him that better returns wait on increased production until he feels that present production is fairly remunerative.

To the careful student of the problem it seems evident that it is the lack of an efficient system of distribution and marketing that causes much of the trouble, and it is because of the lack of a marketing plan that the present-day average farming can not claim to be a business, but simply an occupation.

This country has innumerable examples of success in manufacturing, but where can be found one in which all the thought is given to production and no attention is given to the distribution and selling of the products?

The farmer is himself a manufacturer, but when the manner of selling his products is observed the conclusion is formed that his marketing methods are not worthy of the name, as they consist chiefly of "dumping" rather than of marketing.

Many a case of so-called "overproduction" is only a failure to distribute properly the products to the points where they are desired. While one market suffers from congestion, caused by an oversupply, another may be suffering for want of a sufficient amount, and at the same time tons of food products may be wasting in fields and orchards for want of a profitable market. The remedy lies in a more uniform distribution.

Many reformers attribute marketing difficulties to the presence of so many speculators and middlemen; but it must be remembered that these intermediary agents have come into existence to perform services that the farmer fails to perform for himself. If the farmer will not or can not arrange to finance his business, he must expect to pay others to do it for him. If he will not or can not store his crops and hold them until the markets are ready to use them, he calls into existence a class of speculators who demand and receive a liberal price for taking the chance and performing these services. If he is unable personally to distribute his crops and deal direct with the consumer, he must employ agents or commission men to do this work for him. All of these agents must be paid, and most of them are in a position to collect their charge, whether or not the consignor realizes anything at all.

Successful farming operations are largely concerned with the elimination of waste—the waste caused by diseases and pests, the waste caused by the neglect of natural resources, and the waste of misapplied labor. If it appears that these present-day methods of distributing and marketing farm crops are wasteful, then it is the business of the farmer to evolve a plan whereby he can do some of that work which he now pays others to perform.

But the individual grower frequently discovers that he is unable to do certain things which are economically essential. The average grower is not competent to grade and inspect his own products, and, even if he were, he does not produce enough to create a reputation beyond the limits of his own private trade. Not being able to ship full carloads, his products are transported to market under more expensive freight or express rates. The extent of his business does not warrant any great expense in securing reliable information as to market conditions and prices. This lack of information puts him at a disadvantage when dealing with wellinformed buyers. It might seem wise to attempt to increase consumption of a certain product by a campaign of educational advertising, but the individual producer can not afford to finance such an undertaking, as other producers would profit equally with himself in any resultant benefits. If all are benefited, all should contribute. Working alone, the average farmer is practically helpless to develop an efficient marketing system.

COOPERATION AS A REMEDY.

A new faith has developed on the part of the farmers themselves that the cooperative plan of doing farm business is the most satisfactory method. Like the European farmer, the American farmer is being driven to cooperation by necessity. In the United States the necessity arises chiefly from the costly, clumsy, and unbusinesslike methods of distributing food products, resulting in an abnormal discrepancy between the price paid to the producer and the cost to the consumer.

American farmers are beginning to realize that by selling cooperatively they not only will be able to offer a standardized product and reduce the cost of marketing, but they will be able to furnish this better article to the consumer at the same or even a lower price, thus stimulating consumption. In fact, any system of marketing that does not hope to give better service or better prices to the consumer, and, at the same time, secure for the producer a greater net return, is founded on improper principles.

The producers of perishable products that are grown at a great distance from the consuming markets have been the first class to be driven to a system of cooperative marketing. This accounts for the fact that the best organized cooperative marketing associations in America are found among the California citrus-fruit and nut growers and the deciduousfruit growers of the Pacific Northwest. The perishable nature of the products and the heavy transportation expense have compelled the growers to organize and stay organized, so that they might grow the best, grade and pack honestly, distribute evenly, and market economically.

The work of the marketing associations includes the establishing of grades and standards; the adoption of brands and trade-marks; the securing of capital and credit; proper advertising to encourage consumption of a meritorious but little-known product; discovery of new and extension of old markets; securing information as to crop and market conditions; the equitable division of profits; adapting production to meet market requirements; the use of by-products; securing cold and common storage facilities; the cooperative buying and manufacturing of supplies; cooperative use of expensive farm machinery; securing of lower freight rates, more equitable refrigeration charges, and more efficient transportation service; the securing of more and better labor; and the general cultivation of a spirit of cooperation in all community affairs.

A cooperative enterprise is not automatic. Joining such an organization is but a start. The benefits come from making use of the system. Several farmers might unite in purchasing a thrashing machine, but no benefits would follow unless the members make use of the machine. If they continue to patronize other thrashing outfits with part of their grain, the success from their purchase will be incomplete and the venture may even result in a loss. No cooperative marketing association should be attempted unless the prospective members feel that it will do the work better or at a less cost than any existing plan. The object must be to eliminate or reduce waste.

Farmers must be willing to furnish their products, invest their share of the necessary capital, and at all times give their enthusiasm and most loyal support to the cooperative enterprise undertaken. Frequently a member offers to "let" the association handle a part of his products, forgetting that the favor is to himself rather than to the organization and that the part of his crops which he holds back furnishes the most difficult competition for the cooperative effort to face. The person who lacks sufficient faith in the cooperative plan to "go in all over" will prove an element of weakness rather than of strength.

Strange as it may seem, there are many who prefer to ship their products to a distant market, of which they know practically nothing, to be handled by some firm, of whom they know less, rather than to have their property marketed by a competent manager of their own selection, acting under surety bonds, and who is directly answerable to themselves. A person who prefers to patronize a market lottery, when he knows from experience that his prospect of drawing a prize is very improbable, is not ready for a united effort with his neighbors.

Farming communities cooperate to secure better churches, better local government, and better schools. If they are willing to leave their religion, their politics, and the education of their children to cooperation, why should they hesitate to leave the marketing of their farm crops to a cooperative system that has passed the experimental stage? It seems to be largely due to their training along individual lines—to their spirit of "going it alone."

BASIC PRINCIPLES.

Cooperation is the act of working with others for a common benefit. This means that all must work and all should share in the benefits in proportion to the support given by each to the enterprise. In a cooperative purchasing and marketing association a certain amount of capital may be necessary and those who furnish it must be paid for its use—usually the legal rate of interest. But the profits of the business come from the patronage, either on goods bought or sold or services rendered. Profits are therefore divided on this basis rather than on the amount of capital invested. In this respect cooperative associations differ from ordinary business investments.

As in the Nation each citizen is entitled to one vote, so in a cooperative association members usually stand on a voting equality. To avoid the danger of cumulative voting, proxies should not be allowed. Members should be bona fide producers. The development of the enterprise should be from within outward, as a natural growth, and every approach of the professional promoter should be scanned with caution. Small local organizations are safest at the outset, but these should learn to federate for greater efficiency.

A section which makes a specialty of producing a few standard varieties of some one crop offers the most promising field for cooperation, as it gives the association the advantage of a large amount of one commodity. One of the hardest problems in marketing is to build up a trade in small quantities of practically everything that is raised on the general farm and maturing in small quantities at different times. Cooperation, therefore, lends itself more successfully to the marketing of highly specialized and localized crops.

NECESSITY.

Many students of rural economics assert that cooperation as applied to the distribution and marketing of farm products is not very successful unless it is founded upon dire necessity. When the records of the organizations of the country are analyzed it becomes almost necessary to accept that statement. So long as farmers do fairly well in their own way they are not inclined to cooperate. This attitude is based largely on the independence they have enjoyed for so many years. They are slow to delegate to another the control of anything that concerns them personally. Furthermore, as a class they are exceedingly suspicious of efforts made by others to improve their conditions. This is not true of all communities, because in parts of the country the agriculturists are specialists in certain lines that require great intelligence and judgment. Generally speaking, however, farmers will not successfully cooperate until their condition becomes unbearable.

FORM OF ORGANIZATION.

The form of organization should embody the basic principles and the association should be incorporated under the laws of the State in which it will operate. This is to protect the members and the association by limiting their respective powers, duties, and responsibilities. The plan of association must be suited to the local conditions. Simply because a certain plan has been successfully applied in one section does not warrant the conclusion that it can be copied for some other section, for the conditions may be very different. It is far more practicable to mold the organization to fit the circumstances than to try to fit the circumstances to the organization.

For instance, whether the association shall take the form of a capital stock or nonstock organization will depend greatly on the attitude of local bankers and the ability to borrow funds necessary for the proper conduct of the business. Furthermore, the products to be handled, the location of the markets, the functions to be performed, and the character of the farmers to be organized are important determining factors that must have serious consideration in the plan adopted. The "tight and fast bind all" arrangement which secures good results in a community of freeholders might prove to be ineffective when dealing with a shifting tenant element. In organizing, common sense must be mixed abundantly with the usual enthusiasm attendant upon such an undertaking if a practical plan is to be secured.

The corporation laws of the various States have had much to do with the form of organizations effected in the past. There is great diversity among these laws. Several

Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

progressive States have adopted special laws fixing the simple standards of cooperation and fostering the development of cooperative organizations. In the majority of States the regular corporation laws must be applied, and these corporation laws are generally poorly adapted to this purpose.

CAPABLE MANAGEMENT.

There are a number of things that must be embodied in the form of organization and the method of handling the business, each of which is absolutely essential to success. First of all, capable management is required. The man in charge must be not only experienced, but he must be intelligent, diligent, and of good judgment, with tact and ability for keeping alive the enthusiasm, interest, and cooperation of the members. Excepting the disloyalty of members, inefficient management has been responsible more than any other factor for failures of cooperative organizations. This inefficiency is due to lack of sound judgment in selecting a manager, to poor salaries paid, and to the disrepute in which the position may be held because of conditions that may have come to surround it.

From a lack of knowledge as to the things required of an organization manager in the way of special qualifications for the position, selection of men to serve in this capacity for the most part has not been attended by sound judgment. Frequently failure has been the result. It is to be remembered that the successful distribution and marketing of perishable products in a large way requires ability of a fine order. A community adopts cooperative methods because it is beyond the capacity of the individual to perform successfully certain functions. It follows, therefore, that a man is required whose experience and capacity for management are superior to the standard of the farm community. Too frequently the producers select one of their own number for the position. Such a manager is primarily a producer and not a marketing agent. Furthermore, his activities necessarily are divided between private and public interest and it is to be admitted that such a division is not wholesome for either interest.

In order to secure a person expert in handling the affairs of an association, there assuredly must be a willingness to

192



PARTIAL VIEW OF ONE OF TWO STRICTLY COOPERATIVE CANNING PLANTS IN THE PACIFIC NORTHWEST, DEVELOPED BY A COOPERATIVE MARKETING Association to Care for Its Surplus Fruit and Produce.

[Incorporated in 1902 with a capital stock of \$2,000, it has accumulated a net suplus of \$100,000 and its total assets exceed \$310,000. The association has a membership of more than 1,600 growers, and its business in 1914 was in excess of \$1,300,000. The success is due to most efficient management, the continued patronage of the members, and the extent of the business. This association has developed an ideal combination, in that fresh products are marketed, surpluses taken care of in the canning plants, and supplies and merchandise handled for the members.]

pay him for his ability. A disinclination on the part of the farmers to remunerate properly the managers of cooperative enterprises has undoubtedly caused many failures.

The position of manager in a cooperative marketing organization is difficult to fill properly, because it is undesirable. It requires the soul of patience and self-sacrifice to stand by the job in the face of the nagging to which the man who occupies such a position is usually subjected by the membership. In some quarters it really seems the farmers think to secure better results by discouraging the manager. Frequently they make of him the proverbial goat—the recipient of kicks and knocks which apparently are administered for the purpose of forcing him to jerk along the cooperative machine more effectively. The fact that the manager can not possibly do his best under such treatment does not, as a rule, seem to occur to the members.

The manager should be employed by the board of directors and should have large powers. He should employ and discharge all labor; he should secure information as to crop and market conditions and furnish same to the members on He should encourage the production of the best request. varieties of products demanded by the trade. He should conduct packing schools, in order that growers may become trained in the best methods of grading, packing, and labeling their products. He should have charge of the grading, packing, and inspection of all association products, and should have control of the brands and labels and their use on the association packages, in accordance with the rules of the He should enter into contracts for the sale of association. the association goods. He should have entire charge of the marketing of all association goods, subject only to the action of the board of directors and the by-laws and rules of the association. He can not be held responsible if he is to be dictated to at will by each member or the officers are constantly to meddle with his work. This does not imply that the manager should be a dictator. He takes the suggestions of the officers and members and, from those of his own experience, he constructs a business plan. Whenever a manager loses the confidence of the members, it is better to replace him with a manager who possesses that confidence.

75922°—увк 1914—13

EXPENSES AND PAYMENTS.

The expenses of operating the association may be met by a percentage on returns for produce sold by the association or by a fixed price per package, the amount of such charge to be fixed by the directors. While many large organizations furnish supplies to members on credit, with ample security in most cases, all merchandise purchased by the association for the use of its members should be paid for in cash by each member in advance or on delivery, for any system of extending unprotected credit requires large capital and often results in considerable loss.

MEMBERSHIP AGREEMENT.

A farmers' organization must be conducted strictly upon business lines. There should be an agreement setting forth the terms of the relationship between the member and the association, for without such an agreement an organization lacks stability and rarely succeeds. This agreement is sometimes provided by signatures to a by-law as a contract between the members, or it is secured by a written contract between the members, or by a written contract between each member and the organization. The special advantages of such a provision will be mentioned later.

A member should have the right to give away or retain for his own use such of his farm products as he may wish, but he should not make sale of crops, promised to the association, to any outside parties, except any product not accepted by the association.

GRADES AND STANDARDS.

Farm products should be graded in accordance with specified standards. An effort should be made to secure greater uniformity in quality and to provide for the enforcement of standards that will furnish a common language between grower and purchaser and thus tend to place farm products upon a staple basis in the markets. This applies particularly to perishables.

It has been stated that farmers as a class are not competent to pack their own products. It is human nature that a man have great pride in that which he produces. He is blind to defects in his own that he may condemn in the product of his neighbor. As a rule farmers have neither the facilities nor the time to prepare their products properly for market, and the conclusion is that cooperation is necessary to bring about uniformity in grade and pack. Such uniformity is exceedingly necessary for successful cooperation. It is very certain, as a general rule, that the successful farmers' associations are those that prepare the products for market or rigidly inspect the farmers' handling to see that it is done strictly in accordance with certain standards.

In cooperative marketing all goods produced for sale by the members should be delivered to the association as directed by the manager, in prime condition for grading, packing, and shipping. All grading and packing done on the grower's premises must be in accordance with the rules of the association and subject to such inspection as may be established by the directors. All produce should be inspected before shipment, and if any is not of good quality and in good condition for shipping, such produce should be sorted or otherwise prepared for shipment at the expense of the party to whom it belongs.

Products packed on the grower's premises should be inspected by an association inspector as they are being packed. The grower may be charged for his services as a head packer, but he must be held accountable alone to the association for his inspection work. The inspector's own private mark should be placed upon each package he inspects and he should be held jointly responsible with the grower for the pack, as it may be disclosed in the final market, ordinary deterioration excepted.

All brands, labels, and trade marks should be registered and become the property of the association and they should be attached only to such grades as shall be ordered by the board of directors.

BONDING.

All officers who may handle any of the funds or other property of the association should give a surety bond in excess of the value of the property which they are liable to handle at any one time. The cost of such bonds may be paid for by the association.

DIVIDENDS AND DAMAGES.

If the organization is a stock company and operated for profit, after the season's expenses are paid, and the proper sum set aside as a reserve for the depreciation of the association property, the balance of the season's profits may be divided as follows: (1) The stockholders should receive a reasonable rate of interest on the par value of their stock; (2) one-half of the balance may be set aside as a surplus fund, to increase the working capital, or to finance future improvements, until the surplus shall equal the capital stock: or, if the members so decide, it may be made greater; (3) the balance may be divided among the patrons, both members and nonmembers, in proportion to the value of their shipments and purchases made through the association during that season, the dividend to nonmembers being 50 per cent of the uniform rate to members. The nonmember should have the option of receiving his half dividend in cash or having it apply on the purchase of a share of stock, to make him a full member. If the organization is nonstock, the interest on the capital stock is eliminated, but the other features of profit distribution may be applied.

Some provision should be made that when any member has failed to live up to his agreements, by failing to ship exclusively through the association, or by any other breach of his contract, and provided further, that his failure has resulted in a loss or damage to the association, then the defaulting member should forfeit to the association such a sum as would reimburse the association for the loss or damages thus sustained, in lieu of liquidated damages. Some courts have held that to require a member to pay his association a fee for the privilege of selling contracted products to an outside dealer is in restraint of trade, therefore illegal. While it may not be legal for an association to penalize its members, it may be found that an association can legally provide, as in the above plan, to collect damages from a defaulting member, when losses or damages have actually resulted from the failure of the member to live up to his Some form of binding contract is essential to agreement. hold the members of an association together. Manv an organization has failed because members were only bound

by a "gentleman's agreement." Such a membership is totally inadequate for a stable and long-enduring organization. The laws of the State should be studied so that this by-law for holding the members may be drawn legally.

POOLING INTERESTS.

Thoroughgoing agricultural cooperation naturally suggests the pooling of interests. Growers who ship like grades of the same products during a given time should receive like returns as a matter of justice, although the shipment of one may have sold at a high price because of the good fortune of arriving in a good market, whereas the shipment of another may have suffered great loss from conditions over which the grower and the organization had no control. Pooling of interests practically eliminates the element of chance in so far as the individual is concerned and tends to secure the satisfaction of all. However, without uniform grades it is not just to pool the shipments. To pool shipments without requiring that all grades and packs be alike would penalize the man who produces and packs the best, while, on the other hand, it would place a premium upon careless or dishonest methods.

Where the growers pack their own products each member should have a number or mark, which should be stamped permanently on every package shipped by him through the association. Any loss occasioned by improper packing or grading should be charged to the member whose mark is found on the package.

AUDITING.

The books and business of the association should be audited frequently by auditors selected from the membership. An annual audit should be made by a qualified accountant previous to the date of the annual meeting, at which meeting the report should be presented in full. Special audits should be made upon order of the board or upon a vote of the members at any legally called meeting. While small associations may not feel the need of such a strict system of investigating the accounts, it will pay to have this work done often and most thoroughly. If the association business is being done carelessly, frequent audits

Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

will make it known and better methods may be adopted before any great loss occurs. The cost of an expert accountant is more than balanced by the confidence which it gives the members and because of its effectually stopping the criticism of faultfinders.

UTILIZATION OF BY-PRODUCTS.

It should be the purpose of a cooperative marketing organization to work out all possible economies in the industry of which it is a part. This suggests the utilization of byproducts. Under the very best cultural methods there is always a percentage of the crop that will not grade sufficiently high to justify shipment. The cottonseed industries are founded upon a by-product. The preservation of dropped and culled fruits has come to be an industry within itself. The saving to the farmers from canneries, preserving houses, pickle factories, cider mills, and evaporators is very great, but, considering the fact that in a recent year, according to estimates, 100,000 carloads of agricultural products went to waste in the United States, the conclusion is inevitable that the utilization of the by-products of the farm has been accomplished to an exceedingly limited extent. (See Plate XIV.)

COOPERATION OF MEMBERS.

Of all things necessary to the success of a cooperative enterprise, the cooperation of members is the most essential. The most capable of officers, managers, and agents can not be expected to succeed in handling the affairs of organizations unless they receive the full strength of the members' patronage and their complete moral support. The disloyal member is the chief element of failure in cooperative circles.

It would appear that owing to the very fine principle of mutual help involved, those forming a cooperative organization would be consistently loyal in their mutual relationship. But, on the other hand, unless exceptional care be exercised by the leaders, an organization from the beginning will be burdened with drones, cheats, deadbeats, and traitors.

When a grower joins a cooperative organization and then refuses to patronize it, he is a drone. He can not excuse

198

himself even on the ground of bad management, for it is his duty to help secure proper conduct of the business.

If in selling through his organization a producer endeavors to pass off shoddy, poor-grade products, which injure the reputation of the body of which he is a member, he is a cheat.

The member who uses the special and private information of the association in making sales outside, without contributing to its support, is a deadbeat.

The man who joins a cooperative enterprise and then through subtle ways endeavors to obstruct its progress and defeat its purposes is a traitor.

Those experienced with cooperative organizations have known all these types. They are to be found in practically every community. They are the greatest enemies of agriculture and agricultural cooperation. If allowed to dominate with their dishonest practices, they will sap the energy, brains, and spirit of the officers, exhaust the moral and financial strength of the undertaking, and reduce the whole to a state of miserable failure and ruin.

There are certain safeguards that may be devised to protect the cooperative organization from these difficulties. The purpose of such an undertaking, of course, should be to secure harmony within the community and to work for its moral advancement as well as for its prosperity. Naturally, discrimination against any class of producers is not consistent with the spirit of cooperation, but if the presence of the above-mentioned types endangers prospects for success and it is impossible to arrange for their control within the organization, then the members or directors in meeting should make impossible the admission of such persons.

It is possible, however, under a fostering statute, so to construct the by-laws as practically to eliminate undesirables. Membership should be made dependent upon cooperation. If a grower does not propose to cooperate, he is not likely to join when he knows that by doing so he binds himself legally to deliver his output to the association in compliance with its rules and regulations, or to pay certain sums in lieu of liquidated damages and forfeit his interest in the enterprise for failure to do so.

OUTSIDE INTERFERENCE.

In case any member is offered a price in excess of the price then obtainable by the association, the member may be required to turn this bid over to the association for filling from this member's goods. Some such provision is necessary to prevent an outside disgruntled dealer from making a false bid, to test the member's loyalty, and arouse dissension, with the idea of disrupting the organization. Allowing the organization to handle this bid compels the bidder to pay up or back down; the grower gets the boosted price, if the bidder does not back down, and the organization handles the deal and so is strengthened rather than injured. One or two such experiences have usually discouraged this very common form of outside interference.

MORAL ERRORS.

There are many difficulties for which the cooperating members themselves are responsible. Such difficulties have been defined as "moral errors that endanger the permanence of cooperative societies." The purely business phases of cooperation have been thoroughly investigated and considered for more than two generations, but little attention has been paid to these moral errors which have caused such great disaster in cooperative circles. Such errors arise from the difficulty of tolerating and acting in harmony with those who may not be liked personally, of viewing the acts and rights of others in a liberal way and avoiding offensive imputations, and of aiding personally the advancement and growing prosperity of those who appear to be personally offensive and undeserving.

Those experienced in handling farmers' cooperative organizations know full well the tedious and trying situations that arise from the members. There have been times when members, laboring under false impressions or failing in a liberal broad-minded attitude and appreciation for efforts expended in their behalf, have tried to tear down and destroy that which was being built solely for their benefit. Farmers are urged to view their cooperative enterprises with better spirit and to stand by their associations as the best way to solve the large and difficult problems that are common to all. Cooperative Marketing and Financing.

FINANCING OF COOPERATIVE MARKETING ORGANIZATIONS.

In starting a cooperative marketing enterprise one of the most serious problems is that of financing its operations. Organization and promotion work is costly, and comparatively large sums of money are needed to meet the expenses of marketing the members' products and in many cases for making advances to the growers. Much of the work each year must be done before the shipping season begins, and until that time no revenue is available. Very few of these organizations have a paid-in capital stock and surplus, or other assets which are liquid, sufficient to meet the expenses of the business during the heavy marketing season. It is therefore necessary to secure funds from outside sources for Practically none have the security which these purposes. is generally required by bankers in making loans to business enterprises, and as a result until recently it has been practically impossible for cooperative marketing associations to secure loans without certain of the directors and members assuming a personal liability.

Perishable products marketed through an efficient organization are now considered good security by many bankers in making loans to cooperative marketing associations. Only a few years ago it was impossible to secure loans from a bank with a perishable product as collateral. In spite of this, many of the smaller organizations have little idea as to possibilities for developing credit by conducting their business along approved and efficient lines.

The amount of money required by marketing organizations will vary according to the size of the business and the methods of conducting it. Some which conduct merely a consignment business and do not make advances to the growers need but a small amount with which to meet general running expenses, and this in many cases is provided by the issuance of stock, collection of membership fees, or the accumulation of a surplus, a sufficient part of which is in such shape as to be quickly convertible into cash. Others have developed a large f. o. b. business which allows the drawing of drafts against shipments, these drafts being discounted and placed to the association's credit at the bank.
This gives funds for immediate use and decreases the amount of outside help needed in financing. Associations doing a marketing business in which returns from shipments may not be received for several weeks after the products have been delivered by the grower to the association will generally make liberal advances to meet harvesting and packing expenses. In event pools are made, advances are absolutely necessary, as the pool may not be entirely closed until months after the first receipts come in. However, after the first advances, returns from shipments will take care of the amounts advanced to growers. In some organizations the product is purchased outright and paid for at the time of delivery.

Under these plans of operation large sums of money, ranging from \$1,000 to \$500,000 per season, are required. These funds are secured usually from one or more of three sources, namely, banks, commission houses, and individual members of the associations.

BANK LOANS.

In practically all cases where bank loans are secured they are obtained from or through the local banks. Owing to the limit of a certain percentage of capital and surplus which banks can loan to one individual, it often happens that all the money required by the association can not be secured from the local bank. This is especially true of the organizations located in small towns. In such cases it has been found to be of great advantage to use the local bank as a medium through which loans are obtained, either from surrounding country banks or from banks in the financial centers.

A great many of the most successful associations have some member upon the board of directors who is connected with the local bank with which the association's banking business is done. Sometimes he is a member of the loan committee of the local bank and at the same time treasurer of the association. This has a great many advantages in that the bank has a representative upon the board who will naturally look after its interests as well as those of the association. Thus, many organizations have been fostered by local banks, where it would have been much more difficult to secure the bank's aid if its officers had no voice in the affairs of the association. Another advantage to the association of such an arrangement is in having as its financial officer a man with banking experience, thus securing the services of a person much better qualified to administer the banking affairs of the business than the average director who has had no such experience.

COMMISSION-HOUSE LOANS.

The financing of cooperative marketing organizations by commission houses is most common among the farmers' elevators in the Northwest. By agreeing to consign a certain portion of its business, ranging from three-fourths to all of it, to the commission house, credit will be extended to the elevator. The general plan is that the elevator draws upon the commission firm from time to time as funds are needed, all shipments of grain to be made to the firm and credited upon receipt to this open account. It is estimated that over 51 per cent of the farmers' elevators in the Northwestern States are financed wholly or in part in this way.

In other grain sections practically none of the elevators are carried by the commission houses, they being financed by the local banks or having sufficient working capital of their own to carry on the business.

As a rule an elevator which is financed by a commission firm is handicapped in that it is impossible to take advantage of other markets which may from time to time offer better prices than can be obtained in the one in which the commission firm, through which it must sell, is located. Elevators not dependent in any way upon commission houses can ship first to one and then another, and in this way promote competition among the commission men in securing the best The commission houses have served a useful prices possible. purpose in the financing of the farmers' elevators, in that sometimes they gave financial aid when it was impossible to secure it elsewhere. Elevators are turning more and more each year to local sources for their funds, which no doubt is a better arrangement than being dependent upon commission firms. A relatively small number of the produce and fruit organizations are financed by commission men.

MEMBERS' LOANS TO ASSOCIATIONS.

Several associations meet the expenses incident to marketing by borrowing money from their own membership. Under this plan demand notes are issued bearing a rate of interest higher than that paid by the local savings bank. Sums ranging from \$10 to as high as \$4,000 have been secured from individual members in this way. This also encourages the habit of saving and fosters the feeling of individual interest in the organization among the members.

Of a somewhat similar nature is the method used by several fruit associations whereby certain percentages of the returns from the members' products are held back from distribution to meet general expenses until the next season's business starts, at which time the entire amount due for the previous season is distributed. This simply means a holding back from the growers sums sufficient to carry the business during the season of the year when no products are being marketed. This plan has worked with success in the marketing of citrus fruits, as the season for shipping is a long one, and there are few months when returns do not come in fast enough to take care of all advances and expenses.

SECURITY FOR BANK LOANS.

Cooperative marketing organizations which require a plant and equipment, such as farmers' elevators, creameries, cheese factories, canneries, cotton gins, packing houses, warehouses, etc., experience considerable difficulty in raising the funds required to fully equip themselves for operation. Various methods have been used, the most common of which has been the issuance of stock and the collection of membership fees. In addition to the funds thus required it is very often necessary to borrow from the local banks upon notes based upon the personal credit of members. After a few years these notes are taken up, and the organizations which have plants and equipment free from incumbrances have a basis for securing credit from bankers. After one or several years of successful operation the association is in a position to command credit on the basis of the past success. The first years are the hardest, as one of

the most difficult things in connection with the launching of a strictly cooperative organization is in getting enough money to conduct the business properly from the start. Plans for raising funds to build the necessary plants and purchase equipment must take local conditions into account.

In the case of properly organized, well supported, and efficiently managed organizations with a record for past success, bankers require merely the signature of the financial officers on the paper of the association given to the bank for short-time loans, which are used for marketing purposes. Organizations that make advances to the growers usually enter into contracts with the banker in which it is agreed to deliver, as payment upon loans made, certain percentages of the returns as they are received from the sale of the members' products.

The organizations which have high credit ratings are protected by contracts in which the grower agrees to deliver all of a given product to be marketed through the association. These contracts are considered by the banker in making loans, and it is certain that it would be most difficult to obtain money from a bank to meet the operating expenses where there was the probability of the members not living up to their contracts by marketing outside. There is no doubt that loyal and regular support of the members plays an important part in building up the credit of the association.

Most of the money required from outside sources is secured late in the growing season, when the crop is well matured. At this time a loan basis can be figured with reasonable certainty that the actual amount marketed will approximate the estimate of shipments.

A central selling association in the Pacific Northwest, with no capital stock and no surplus, has secured as high as \$500,000 from the northwestern banks for one season's operations. This money was used in meeting the general marketing expenses of the central and for the operating expenses of the affiliated subcentrals and the growers' associations. A large part of the money secured for the latter was used for advances to individual growers. The only security given by the central for these loans was a note signed by the treasurer, the understanding with the banks being that as soon as returns were received from the products shipped appropriate amounts therefrom would be deposited with the bank to reduce the loans. A large additional sum which was not needed was offered by these banks to this association

Some of the subcentrals affiliated with this central selling agency are able to finance themselves; that is, they have sufficient credit to obtain the necessary funds from local banks; but others require the assistance of the central for meeting their own expenses and those of the affiliated local associations. Where this assistance is given the association enters into a contract with the subcentral, in which it is agreed that certain percentages from returns are to be diverted and applied on the loans. In case advances are made to growers for production purposes, a form of crop mortgage is given to the association. Such amounts as are advanced for harvesting are based upon the amount of marketable products, and the only security required from the grower for these advances is a contract to deliver all his product to the The amounts advanced to the growers at the association. time of delivery of the products to the association are as large as consistent with safety in not overpaying.

It is possible for an association handling perishable products to make advances of this kind to the grower only when a reliable system of pooling is maintained.

WAREHOUSE RECEIPTS.

When associations are handling a product which can be warehoused, such as cotton, canned goods, grain, hay, nuts, and similar products, if it is desired to hold these products for a time awaiting better markets, or for future delivery, warehouse certificates are issued upon which loans up to 75 per cent of the market value of the warehoused product can usually be secured from banks. A case in point is that of a western walnut-growers' association. During 1912 over 300,000 pounds of walnuts were warehoused and carried over by the association for one year. Upon the warehouse receipts and a note of the association as collateral thirteen-fifteenths of the market value of the nuts was advanced by bankers. The note was required as additional security so that in case the price dropped below thirteen-fifteenths of the market value the bankers would be protected. In some cases bankers have made short loans upon warehouse receipts for apples, dairy products, and other highly perishable commodities placed in cold storage.

Examples of extensive borrowing on warehouse certificates are common in the cotton States this year, owing to the condition of the market on account of the European war. Many banks are being taxed to their capacity in meeting the demands for loans on warehoused cotton.

The great difficulty encountered by the individual small producer, compared with that of large planters and cotton merchants in securing loans upon warehoused cotton, indicates the dire need of closer organization among cotton producers. The farmer with a few bales has a comparatively hard time in securing loans on his cotton. Pooled or large lots of cotton will command support in the way of loans from bankers in preference to hundreds of smaller loans.

INTEREST.

The rates of interest paid naturally vary from one section of the country to another. Several of the larger organizations borrowing large sums have been able to secure loans at a much lower rate than that generally charged in the community.

METHODS OF CREATING A SURPLUS FUND.

Many organizations have provided a means of building up a surplus fund with which to meet the expenses of the business and serve as a general working fund.

The most common method and the one which is extensively used by elevators, creameries, and cheese factories, is to set aside a percentage of each year's profits into a fund which shall be used as working capital. In this way, as the business develops and profits allow, in the course of a few years a working fund of considerable size can be obtained. If associations organized as stock companies would discontinue paying out the entire profits of each year's business and set aside at least a part of the earnings into a surplus fund, it would only be a matter of a few years in many cases until a sufficient amount could be secured to carry the business without outside help. Another method of obtaining a surplus which is used among fruit and produce exchanges is that of an assessment per package on all deliveries by the members to the association. Under this plan amounts levied against each member are returnable in case of withdrawal from the association. Several associations have a 1-cent per package levy for this purpose. The amount of the levy per package will vary with the volume of business transacted and the sum desired for a surplus.

Another plan which has proved successful is to make a reduction of a certain sum for each package from the returns of all products shipped through the association. These deductions are considered as a loan to the association and are covered by notes due two, three, or four years after date of issue, with interest coupons attached. By this method the surplus can be held at the desired figure, except as it fluctuates with the increase and decrease in the volume of business. This provides a sufficient working capital furnished by and belonging to the growers in exact proportion in which they from year to year make use of the privileges of the association. In case they for any reason sever their connection the amounts contributed by them are returned as the notes mature. A surplus serves as a basis of credit and gives a cash account with which to pay the general running expenses before returns are received from shipments.

One thing must be considered in determining the advisability of the creation of a working fund or surplus. In some cases it is not necessary to have a surplus. This is true if the organization can secure outside help to carry on the business. A great many of the most successful marketing agencies have no surplus and no capital stock, but, on the other hand, there are cases in which a surplus is absolutely necessary, as loans from outside sources are not obtainable except by personal collateral of the members and directors.

That the bank depends upon the reliability and responsibility of the persons who conduct the business is clearly shown by a condition which is found in a comparatively large produce exchange. The banker that loans something over \$65,000 each year to this organization will not enter into any arrangement for credit until after the annual election of officers has been held. The banker in this case has confidence in the present personnel of the management and is reasonably sure from the record of the past that the business will be conducted in an efficient and conservative way. If a new management were secured, the personnel of which was unknown to the bankers, it would be difficult to secure funds until a demonstration had been made of the ability of the management to conduct the business properly.

There is no one factor of greater importance to an association in securing credit than that of capable management. Credit based upon character, ability, and a record of past successes is an element which a capable management gives an association. One of the biggest bankers in this country made a statement as to credit for cooperative marketing agencies that "The management of an organization has more to do with the securing of money from outside sources than any other one feature. Where you have the right kind of management you generally have a strong organization."

The fundamental requirements of bankers in making loans to an association with a perishable product as collateral may be summarized as follows: An equitable plan of pooling like varieties and grades; good inspection; competent assembling and selling facilities, allowing efficient distribution which enables the selling in markets where the demand is greatest; contracts with growers which will be lived up to; the keeping of good accounting records; frequent audits; a management which is capable of holding the absolute confidence of members and others; also one in which the integrity, honesty, and business ability are unquestionable.

PARTICIPATION OF BUSINESS MEN.

A new phase in the development and operation of cooperative organizations has been held for last consideration. This is found in a new kind of relationship between business men and farmers. In many districts thoughtful men begin to realize their responsibility to the community in the way of aiding farmers with the solution of their problems. Especially in the organization of cooperative institutions for the distribution and marketing of farm products, the business men of the country have an opportunity to perform a dis-

75922°—увк 1914—14

tinct service for the good of agriculture. Certain communities in the far West present striking examples of what can be accomplished in this way.

The cooperation of the business men with the farmers in this respect seems to have its inception in the realization that agriculture is the primary industry of the Nation and that anything affecting its economic status seriously involves the entire community; consequently, the participation of the business men in solving the farmers' problems is but natural and proper. From lack of training and experience in the trade of barter and sale, farmers are not always able to cope with the serious problems that confront them in securing and maintaining effective organization. However, the business men of the community may be well equipped to assist by supplying the lacking elements. Unfortunately the past has shown too often a lack of sympathetic relationship between farming and "big business." This is probably due to the isolation of producers, as well as to their belief that they have been exploited from time to time. They are generally suspicious of outside help, and because of this the business men of the community necessarily must be discreet in the matter of just how far they can go in this respect.

CONCLUSION.

Cooperation as an economic principle is receiving the serious consideration of practically all industrial classes. Its application to special lines of agricultural distribution and marketing is entirely feasible and offers a solution of problems and difficulties that are practically hopeless in so far as the individual is concerned. In the United States Department of Agriculture cooperative organization is considered to be a primary and fundamental project, for it is believed that cooperation in agriculture is a corrective measure that will place the industry upon a solid basis and do much to insure the future happiness and prosperity of the Nation.

STATE MANAGEMENT OF PUBLIC ROADS: ITS DEVELOPMENT AND TREND.

By J. E. PENNYBACKER,

Chief of Road Economics, Office of Public Roads.

INTRODUCTORY.

ADEQUATE transportation facilities are a vital factor in the prosperity and civilization of any country. They are essential to the development of its agriculture and manufactures, to the working of its forests and mines, and to the spread of education and enlightenment among its citizens. This necessity has been recognized by the foremost nations of every age and steps taken to meet it by improving the methods of transportation then current.

In the United States a movement for internal improvements was projected almost contemporaneously with the establishment of the Federal Government. This first took the form of highway improvement through the construction of toll roads by private corporations and the building of national highways by appropriations from the National Government. These appropriations for National highways were continued by Congress for a period of nearly half a century, and a total of about \$14,000,000 was thus appropriated. About 1832, however, the steam locomotive was first used in this country, and an era of railroad development followed. It was believed by many that the railroads would obviate the necessity for highway improvement, and, consequently, efforts at improving the public highways of the country were largely abandoned. During this period of activity in railroad construction many thousands of miles of railroads were built. The success attending this movement is evidenced by the fact that to-day we have practically 244,000miles of railroad, costing about \$16,000,000,000, including equipment. This mileage carries annually more than 1,000,000,000 passengers and over 2,000,000,000 tons of freight. Railroad freight rates have fallen from $7\frac{1}{3}$ cents per ton-mile in about 1837 to $7\frac{1}{4}$

212 Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

mills per ton-mile at the present time, or about one-tenth the original rate, and yet, even at this low rate, the annual gross receipts of the railroads amount to about \$3,000,000,000. The cost of ocean transportation has been reduced even more phenomenally than railroad transportation. It costs under normal conditions only $4\frac{1}{2}$ cents per bushel to carry wheat from New York to Liverpool, a distance of 3,000 miles, which would be at the rate of one-half mill per ton-mile. These rates have remained practically unchanged for a number of years, indicating that we can not hope for much further reduction in cost by these methods of transportation.

PRESENT COST OF PUBLIC ROAD TRANSPORTATION.

It should not be assumed, however, that all of our transportation problems have been solved, nor that there can be no further saving in our cost of hauling. The public roads throughout the country, which constitute the primary means of transportation for all agricultural products, for many millions of tons of forest, mine, and manufactured products, and which for a large percentage of farmers are the only avenues of transportation leading from the point of production to the point of consumption or rail shipment, have been improved to only a slight extent. By reason of this fact, the prevailing cost of hauling over these roads is about 23 cents per ton per mile. More than 350,000,000 tons are hauled over these roads each year, and the average haul is about 8 miles, from which it can readily be seen that our annual bill for hauling over the public roads is nearly \$650,000,000. The cost per ton-mile for hauling on hardsurfaced roads should not exceed 13 cents. It is therefore evident that if our roads were adequately improved a large annual saving in the cost of hauling would result.

REASONS FOR STATE AID TO PUBLIC ROADS.

Under the system of local management which succeeded the toll systems and the road-building activities of the Federal Government, tax burdens for road purposes rested almost entirely upon farm property. Since the cities generally escaped these responsibilities and burdens, this condition was inequitable, produced inadequate revenue, and resulted in a very widespread stagnation in the building of improved roads. A further inequity resulted from the fact that traffic in its development took no account of county and township boundaries, so that frequently the traffic from one county destroyed the roads of another county, which in turn found itself unable to obtain redress. Modern traffic gave rise to new and difficult problems of construction, which the limited skill of local officials was unable to solve. Road taxes were, to a great extent, worked out by untrained, undisciplined road hands; most of the road work consisted in patching from year to year, and little tangible progress could be shown for the money and labor expended. These conditions rendered State action ultimately imperative. and New Jersey in 1891 was the first State to take definite action through legislative enactment. The law, which became operative in 1892, provided a State appropriation of \$75,000 to aid road building in the counties, and placed the administration of the law in the State board of agriculture. In 1894 the administration of the law was placed in the hands of a State commissioner of public roads. Other States rapidly followed the precedent set by New Jersey, and this progress was greatly accelerated by the advent of the automobile. This new traffic soon became a source of revenue for road purposes through the payment of registration It subjected stone-surfaced roads to exceptionally defees. structive wear, and thus emphasized the need for skilled management of construction and maintenance: caused a persistent demand and agitation by automobile owners for an efficient system of highways; and for these several reasons materially seconded the primary causes already cited as responsible for State action. The 1,800,000 automobiles now registered in the United States are paving more than \$12,000,000 annually in registration fees.

PROGRESS OF STATE ROAD MANAGEMENT.

Of the progress of State road management it may be said that 42 States have thus far established highway departments for educational or administrative work, and of these 30 have made actual appropriations in aid of road construction or maintenance. In all, \$208,000,000 had been appropriated from State funds between 1891 and

214 Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

January 1, 1915, for construction, maintenance, administration, and educational road work, and a total of about 31,000 miles of improved roads is the evidence to show that this expenditure was not in vain. These roads were built for the most part as a joint State and local undertaking, so that a large local outlay not included in the State total was involved. It is most gratifying, however, that within a period of 22 years a policy, begun on a small scale and cautiously extended, has produced a mileage of improved roads greater in extent than the entire "Routes Nationale" of France, and that in 1913 alone a total of 5,000 miles of State-aided roads were completed.

HISTORY OF THE STATE-AID POLICY.

The rapid and widespread acceptance of the policy of State participation in road improvement may be understood by a hasty chronological narrative. Following the action of New Jersey in 1891, similar legislation was enacted by Massachusetts and Vermont in 1892, Connecticut and California in 1895, Maryland and New York in 1898, Maine in 1901, Rhode Island in 1902, New Hampshire and Pennsvlvania in 1903, Ohio in 1904, Idaho, Michigan, Minnesota, and Washington in 1905, Virginia in 1906, Arizona, Colorado, New Mexico, Utah, and West Virginia in 1909, Louisiana in 1910, Alabama and Wisconsin in 1911, and Oregon in 1913. North Carolina in 1901 authorized the State geological survey to conduct educational and research work as a State highway department, and has continued on this basis up to the present time, except for the authorizing of a portion of its State convict force to be used on roads under the direction of the State geological survey. Delaware in 1903 provided for State aid, but only one county out of the three utilized the aid granted. Iowa established a highway department in the State agricultural and mechanical college at Ames in 1904 for educational and research work, and in 1913 the law was very much broadened by the creation of a State highway commission having control over all road work in the State.

Illinois established a highway department in 1905, which was restricted to educational and investigative work and the distribution of crushed stone prepared by State con-

victs. In 1913 the Illinois law was greatly broadened and actual State aid in the form of a State road levy was granted. Missouri established in 1907 a highway department for educational and investigative work, but the State has distributed considerable sums of money to the various counties for road purposes, from a war debt paid to the State, automobile license taxes, and corporation taxes. Georgia in 1908 provided for the granting of State convict labor to road improvement, with the actual work under local supervision. North Dakota established an educational highway department in 1909, but has made no appropriation for actual aid. Kansas and Oklahoma established State highway departments for educational purposes in 1911, and Oklahoma gave authority for the use of State convicts on public roads. In the same year Nebraska provided aid for bridges and later required that bridges costing over \$500 shall be designed and built from plans and specifications prepared by the State engineer. Legislation was also enacted providing for an advisory State highway commission. Nevada and Wyoming in 1911 made appropriations for the use of convicts in road construction under the direction of the respective State engineers. Kentucky established a highway department for educational work in 1912, and the law was amended in 1913 to provide State aid by a one-half mill tax levy. Arkansas established a highway department for educational work in 1913. At the present time only the States of Florida, Indiana, Mississippi, South Carolina, Tennessee, and Texas have no provision for any sort of State participation in road work.

CLASSES OF STATE-AID SYSTEMS.

The systems of road management now prevailing in the various States may be grouped in six general classes. The first class comprises those States in which the construction of all roads is more or less under State control. In the second class are comprised those States in which State control of road construction is limited to those roads on which State funds are expended. In the third class are included the States which grant aid in the form of State funds, but allow the expenditure to be made under local control. In the

fourth class are those States which have established highway departments for educational and advisory work. The fifth class is composed of the States which devote the labor of State convicts to road improvement, and the sixth class comprises those States in which the control of all road construction is entirely local. The accompanying chart (fig. 11) graphically illustrates this classification of the States. In the matter of road maintenance, the States may be conveniently classified in four groups. In the first group are comprised those in which the control of the maintenance of all roads rests with the State. In the second class are those in which the State control of road maintenance is restricted to the roads on which State funds are expended for construc-In the third class the State requires that roads on tion. which State funds have been expended shall be maintained, but leaves the actual maintenance to be performed under local control and with local funds. In the fourth class are included the States which make no specific provision for the maintenance of roads on which State funds have been The remainder of the States are those in which expended. maintenance is an entirely local matter and under local con-These classes are illustrated by a graphic chart (fig. 12). trol.

STATES LEADING IN STATE-AID WORK.

Of the States which, for magnitude of expenditures, mileage of roads constructed, and comprehensiveness of system, stand out most prominently, several have been selected for individual mention, so that the reader may obtain a more intimate knowledge of the operation of the policy of State management.

Massachusetts, which established its highway department in 1892, had expended out of State appropriations to January 1, 1914, about \$14,000,000, had completed more than 1,000 miles of State highway, and had aided in the improvement of more than 350 miles of small-town highways. The State obtains its funds for road work through the issuance of State bonds and the levying of automobile license taxes. In constructing the system of State highways the entire cost is borne in the first instance by the State, but the counties are required to repay to the State 25 per cent of the cost. The Yearbook U. S. Dept. of Agriculture, 1914.



FIG. 1.-VIRGINIA STATE-AID ROAD, TOPSOIL AND GRAVEL.



FIG. 2. MICHIGAN STATE-REWARD ROAD, MACADAM.



FIG. 3.-NEW JERSEY STATE ROAD, BITUMINOUS MACADAM.

Yearbook U. S. Dept. of Agriculture, 1914.

PLATE XVI.



FIG. 1.-OHIO STATE HIGHWAY, BRICK.



FIG. 2.-MARYLAND STATE HIGHWAY, CONCRETE.

motor-vehicle fees are applied entirely to the maintenance of State highways and improvement of small town roads.

Connecticut, which established its highway department in 1895, had expended to January 1, 1914, about \$11,500,000,



a portion of which was derived from the sale of State bonds. The aid granted by the State varies according to the taxable valuation of the towns, but has been usually from three-

218 Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

fourths to seven-eighths of the cost of the roads on which the State has granted aid. Like Massachusetts, the Connecticut system provides for the application of automobile revenues to road maintenance.



New York established its highway department in 1898 and expended some \$25,000,000 of State funds additional to the

authorization in 1906 of the first State bond issue of \$50,000,000 for road construction. A second State bond issue was authorized in 1912, amounting to \$50,000,000. This provided a total of \$100,000,000 through the issuance of bonds for the building of a system of State and county highways. The system as laid out divides the highways into four classes, namely: State highways, to be improved and maintained solely at the expense of the State: county highways. to be improved and maintained at the joint expense of the State, county, and town; county roads, improved and maintained by the county; and town highways, improved and maintained by the town with the aid of the State. The bond issue was originally intended for the improvement of a system of county highways aggregating 8,380 miles, and to this was added a system of State highways of 3,617 miles. Approximately 4,300 miles of the State and county system were completed up to January 1, 1914, with a total outlay of State funds aggregating \$67,155,000.

Virginia has made rather remarkable progress, largely in the building of cheaper types of road than have been constructed in New York and the other Eastern States. (See Pl. XV, fig. 1.) The Virginia department was established in 1906 and had expended out of State funds to January 1, 1914, a total of \$1,663,000. To show for this outlay, the State reported for the same period a total of 2,052 miles of road constructed under State supervision. Under the Virginia plan the local contribution comprises one-half of the total cost, but many of the counties accept State convict labor in lieu of money aid from the State. The law also provides that bond issues shall be expended under the direction of the State highway department. The total mileage of roads constructed under the direction of the Virginia department exceeds the total reported by any State except New York and Michigan, and as the latter State grants aid on local roads only to the extent of a small reward or bonus, the mileage reported would not be comparable on the same basis as the mileage reported by the Virginia department.

Ohio established its highway department in 1904 and constructed to January 1, 1914, a total of 578.29 miles at a total contract cost of \$4,847,768, or an average of \$8,383 per mile. Under the present law the State levies a tax of one-half mill, which provides an annual revenue of about 220

\$3,500,000. In the expenditure of this fund the counties, townships, and abutting property owners must provide an equal amount, so that the annual outlay under the direction of the State highway department is now approximately \$7,000,000. A system of intercounty highways has been laid out connecting all of the county seats in the State, and this system is rapidly being improved and maintained under the direction of the State highway department.

Maryland is engaged in the construction of a system of State highways about 1,285 miles in length, to be constructed and maintained entirely at the expense of the State. Bonds have been issued and authorized by the State to provide the necessary funds. The total thus issued and authorized to January 1, 1914, amounted to \$9,170,000. During 1914 additional issues were authorized, bringing the total up to something like \$15,770,000, and it is estimated that the entire system will cost approximately \$18,000,000. The total mileage of the State system completed to January 1, 1914, aggregated 490 miles. (See Pl. XVI, fig. 2.) The State has been granting aid toward the improvement of roads since 1898, and the total of roads completed on which the State has paid a part or all of the cost to January 1, 1914, aggregates 1,430 miles.

New Jersey, which has the distinction of being the first State to adopt the policy of State aid, began its work in 1892 and had made a total outlay to January 1, 1914, of about \$5,800,000. The State had completed during that period 1,833 miles of road, partly paid for out of State funds and partly by county funds. The State's contribution toward the total cost aggregates about 40 per cent. Revenues derived from the registration of motor vehicles are applied to the maintenance of the roads, and recently provision has been made for the laying out of a system of State highways similar to the plan adopted in many other States.

Wisconsin, which has been operating under a State-aid plan since 1911, follows a system somewhat local in character, under which the boards of county commissioners are required to select "a county system of prospective State highways." These roads are constructed by the town, county, and State jointly, each paying one-third of the cost, or a county can assume two-thirds of the cost and the State one-third. The improvements are made under the direction of a county highway commissioner selected by the county board, under the general direction and in accordance with plans and specifications of the State highway commission. The first appropriation made by the State was \$350,000 in 1911, and a similar amount in 1912. For 1913 the appropriation was increased to more than \$800,000, and for 1914 to \$1,230,000. Under the joint township, county, and State plan more than \$4,300,000 is available for 1914. That rapid progress is being made is indicated by the fact that 996 miles of road were constructed during the year 1913, bringing the total constructed under the direction of the State highway department to 1,436 miles.

California has undertaken to construct a system of State roads comprising two trunk lines traversing the State from north to south, and a system of laterals connecting the county seats with a trunk-line system. A State bond issue, amounting to \$18,000,000, was authorized in November, 1910, and the work of constructing this State system is now well under way. State highway bonds to an aggregate of \$5,200,000 were sold to December 31, 1913, and contracts had been awarded for the construction of 356 miles of highway, estimated to cost about \$2,900,000.

STATE HIGHWAY PROGRESS.

A graphic chart (fig. 13) accompanies this article, showing State highway progress to January 1, 1915. It embraces the three factors of time in operation, total State expenditures, and mileage of roads completed with the aid of State funds. This chart gives a fairly intelligent conception of the progress made in various sections of the country. So wide a variation exists, however, in the traffic conditions prevailing in respective States, and in the types and dimensions of roads constructed, that a comparison based merely upon mileage and expenditures fails to convey more than a general conception of the progress accomplished. Furthermore, the outlay of State funds carries with it in the respective States widely differing proportions of local expenditure as a condition to the State outlay, and this again renders a comparison difficult. Construction costs should, therefore, be considered entirely independent of the graphic showing of progress made. The cost of a road is dependent upon not only the type of construction, but the amount and character of grading to be done, the cost of labor and materials, the width and thickness of surfacing, the character and amount



FIG. 13.-Chart showing State highway progress.

of drainage required, and other factors of equal variability. Based upon general averages, however, it has been ascertained that under average conditions macadam roads can be built in southern States at from \$4,000 to \$5,000

222

per mile, gravel roads at from \$1,500 to \$2,500 per mile, and sand-clay and topsoil roads at from \$800 to \$1,500 per mile. In New England and the other eastern States, macadam roads are reported at from \$6,000 to \$9,000 per mile, gravel roads at from \$3,200 to \$5,000, and bituminous macadam from \$8.000 to \$13.000. according to the character of construction, whether surface-treated, penetration, or mixing method. The bituminous type is quite general in the eastern States. (See Pl. XVI, fig. 3.) As indicating costs in other sections of country, the State Highway Commissioner of Michigan reported in 1913 the average cost for macadam roads \$4,300 per mile (see Pl. XV, fig. 2), clay-gravel roads \$1,500 per mile, and concrete roads about \$10,000 per mile. The average cost of State highways constructed in Ohio in 1913 was \$8,383. According to types, in 1912 the brick-paved highways averaged \$14,650 per mile (see Pl. XVI, fig. 1) and the macadam highways \$5,950. In California the first 356 miles of the State system of highways cost an average of \$8,143 per mile and consisted principally of thin concrete with a thin coat of bitumen. The maximum and minimum figures given in this paragraph are not absolute, but are intended to present the usual range of costs. The rates given include grading, drainage, surfacing, and engineering costs.

EFFICIENCY OF STATE ROAD MANAGEMENT.

The efficiency of State road management has been impaired in some of the States through the influence of politics. Changes of administration have brought about upheavals which have proven prejudicial to the efficient and economical administration of the highways. This condition is gradually being remedied through the placing of nonpartisan commissions in charge of State highway departments, so that in the appointment of highway engineers and their assistants political considerations shall have no weight. Furthermore, competent engineers may be attracted to the work by the realization that they will not be disturbed in office so long as they render efficient service.

MAINTENANCE.

In the early stages of State road management little or no provision was made for the maintenance of roads constructed with the aid of State funds. This condition has developed into a serious problem, and many of the States are finding it difficult to obtain sufficient funds to resurface and properly maintain the large mileage of roads already constructed. It was thought at first that if the States aided in the construction of roads the counties could be depended upon properly to maintain them. This has been found to be a case of misplaced confidence, and the only way in which the States could obtain proper maintenance was to place the work under the immediate direction of a State highway department. Automobile revenues are for the most part applied to the maintenance of roads, and many of the States are providing annual cash appropriations in sufficient amounts properly to meet existing conditions.

STATE CONTROL.

The realization has become quite general that, in order to render maximum service, State highway departments should be given some measure of control over the construction and maintenance of local roads. For this class of roads an amount exceeding \$160,000,000 is expended annually, with comparatively little result to show in the form of improved road mileage for this great outlay. The State of Iowa has met this situation by placing all the road work in the State under the direction of the State highway department.

Traffic is increasing so rapidly as to cause excessive wear upon the roads, especially in the vicinity of congested centers of population. This results in a heavy annual maintenance cost, averaging in the large eastern States not less than \$750 per mile per annum. Many experiments have been made in the effort to devise types of road which can be maintained at relatively low cost. Thus far, aside from the cheaper forms of construction, the States are depending upon the various forms of bituminous macadam, concrete, and vitrified brick road.

ESSENTIAL FEATURES OF SUCCESSFUL STATE MANAGE-MENT

Summarized briefly, the essentials to successful State highway administration, as demonstrated by the experience of the various State highway departments, are as follows: (a) The elimination of politics as a factor in State highway work; (b) the control by the State highway department of all work on which State funds are expended; (c) adequate appropriations for continuous maintenance of highways under efficient supervision from the day the highways are completed; (d) State supervision as to surveys, plans, and specifications of roads and bridges constructed under bond issues, and supervision of such other road and bridge work as requires considerable cash outlay and the exercise of engineering skill and knowledge.

Highly desirable progress toward the attainment of efficiency in State highway management could be accomplished by a general revision of State road laws, so as to eliminate all obsolete and conflicting legislation and to reduce the really essential laws to a few simple, clear-cut statutes which would define duties and responsibilities and provide ways and means for conducting highway work. A literal compilation of the road laws of the several States has already been made. This great mass of legislation exceeds 4,000,000 words. It is difficult to imagine conditions in any State which would necessitate more than 10,000 words to deal adequately with all phases of highway improvement. If this average were maintained for the 48 States, it is evident that without any loss whatsoever in efficacy 3,500,000 words could be wiped off of our statute books.

CONCLUSION.

State road management is a concrete manifestation of the universal demand of the age for efficiency and equity in the management of public affairs. Its advocates contend that only by State appropriations can the burdens of constructing roads of more than neighborhood importance be equitably apportioned; that only by reaching such adequate sources of revenue as are possessed by the State can sufficient funds be obtained to improve the roads commensurate with their importance; that only through the establishment of a State highway department can the best engineering and practical ability be obtained for the benefit of the entire State, as by any other plan only the wealthier counties could afford to obtain such assistance; that through this centralized management correlation of road work throughout the State may be obtained and the influence of local politics in some degree

75922°—увк 1914——15

eliminated or modified; and that standardization as to methods, costs, and administration may be greatly promoted by such centralized control. It must be said as evidence of the efficiency of this system that no State is on record as having permanently abandoned the policy once it has been adopted. The whole development of State road management has been toward a larger measure of participation by the State through increased appropriations and more comprehensive State supervision.

APPLE SIRUP AND CONCENTRATED CIDER: NEW PRODUCTS FOR UTILIZING SURPLUS AND CULL APPLES.

By H. C. Gore,

Chemist in charge, Fruit and Vegetable Utilization Laboratory, Bureau of Chemistry.

TWENTY-FIVE per cent of the apples grown in American orchards never figure in the food supply of the nation, according to estimates of specialists who have been studying apple production. These waste apples are either good fruit for which the farmer can find no profitable market and therefore allows to rot under the trees, or surplus culls, left undisposed of after all demands for vinegar and fresh cider have been met. Food conservationists who have long been studying the problem of reducing this waste are inclined to attribute the loss of good fruit, in some measure at least. to defects in existing marketing systems and the failure of orchardists to avail themselves fully of cold storage as a means of keeping fruit from the season of plenty to the season of scarcity and better prices. The problem, however, of turning the culls and the apples too small for retail sale into profit seems to call not for improved marketing conditions but for the transformation of this material into new forms of food.

Although cider making calls for a comparatively inexpensive equipment and involves low labor cost, the mere making of fresh cider will not solve the problem. Cider is so perishable that its sale is possible only over a limited period and its principal consumption is during the holiday season. Moreover, fresh cider sells for so low a price and is so bulky that even if it kept well, long-distance shipment of it from the apple fields to the large cities is not profitable. Some of the cider, of course, is converted into vinegar, but the market for vinegar, again, is limited, and vinegar making involves a long period of storage and care and a consequent tying up of capital. Before large additional quantities of

227

cider could be made with profit, therefore, methods of reducing its bulk and of changing it from an article which spoils quickly into one which will keep over a large portion of the year had to be devised.

In the past experiments were largely directed toward attempts to sterilize cider by means of heat. It is simple enough to sterilize cider in this way so that it will keep, but unfortunately the use of sufficient heat to make a stable product destroys the delicate flavor of the fresh juice and makes the product unappetizing. Heated cider has a distinctive cooked taste and can be used only in limited ways, principally in cookery, where it has a certain value.

The problem, therefore, was still unsolved when a series of apple crops larger than the average resulted in an even greater waste than usual and stimulated the Department of Agriculture to institute systematic studies into methods of treating apple juice.

A natural phenomenon, namely, the action of a barrel of cider when allowed to freeze on the farm, suggested that the solution lav here rather than in heating the juice. It was observed that where a barrel of cider was allowed to freeze under natural conditions, the ice formed in a fairly solid mass around the outer sides of the barrel, leaving a core of nonfrozen cider which possessed in concentrated form the flavor of the cider, but which contained a far less proportion of water. The outer ice, on the other hand, was mostly water with a very low content of sugar and other solids. Examination of this inner core of unfrozen cider showed that it contained large enough amounts of natural acid and sugar, which act as preservatives, to warrant the belief that concentrated cider would keep longer than ordinary cider and could be marketed after the regular season was over.

The next step was to duplicate as nearly as possible this natural process. By properly governing the freezing method, however, it was found that a more complete separation of the water from the sugar and other apple solids could be obtained. After some experiments, the cider was frozen solid and the ordinary centrifugal machine long used in the sugar industry was employed as a convenient instrument to separate the sirupy portion of the cider from the waterice by means of rapid whirling. This process, it was found, permitted the reduction of 5 gallons of cider to 1 gallon of cider concentrate, which preserved all the original flavor of fresh cider and could be instantly restored to cider by the simple addition of the water that had been extracted. This product, it was seen at once, was so reduced in volume and weight as to make shipments from apple orchards to the large cities profitable for much longer distances. Laboratory tests bore out the theory that in its concentrated form the cider would keep much longer than in its original condition and, in cold storage, would keep indefinitely. The new product thus promises to make fresh cider available at soda fountains throughout the summer months.

The next step was to develop this laboratory method so that it could be used commercially in the way described later in this article.

In the meantime, however, having succeeded in concentrating cider to a sirupy consistency, the department began experiments to determine whether it was not possible to make from fresh cider table sirup, which would be a palatable and valuable food and would keep indefinitely in sealed containers, like the sirup made from cane or sorghum. Experiments in boiling the cider concentrated by freezing gave a stable sirup which, however, had a distinctly acid flavor as well as a cooked taste. This, it was thought, might militate against its use on the family table. The problem, it was seen, was to devise a means of removing the excess of acid, known technically as malic or apple acid, from the apple juice without injuring the value of the sirup.

After experiments with various methods of eliminating or reducing the acid, it was found that cider to which carbonate or milk of lime had been added could, after settling or filtering, and boiling, yield a stable and attractive table sirup with a fine flavor. After a year of experiments, conducted in 1914 on a laboratory and factory scale, it was found that it was possible to make this sirup, as later described, in small quantities in the home with ordinary utensils, and that the product when made on a larger scale promised to offer a profitable by-product to steam-power cider mills in regions where there is a large surplus of apples.

230 Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

METHOD OF MAKING APPLE SIRUP ON THE FARM.

The simplest method of making apple sirup developed by the laboratory is such that the average farm housewife who is provided with a large preserving kettle can readily make a few quarts of the product in her own kitchen. Although she may not find that she can sell her product profitably, she at least will find the method valuable in converting the windfalls of her own farm into a delicate and pleasant sirup for the use of her own family. The method is as follows:

To make 1 gallon of apple sirup, stir into 7 gallons of apple cider 5 ounces of powdered calcium carbonate (carbonate of lime), which is a low-priced chemical, readily obtainable from a local drug store in the form of precipitated chalk or powdered marble-dust. Heat the cider and allow it to boil for a few minutes. As the cider will foam slightly, it is necessary to use a vessel at least one-third larger than the volume of Where a large vessel is not obtainable, the cider may cider. be boiled in batches. Pour the cider, after boiling, into vessels, preferably tall glass pitchers or preserving jars, which permit the condition of the liquid to be observed. Allow the liquid to settle until perfectly clear. This will take several hours or overnight. After the liquid is perfectly clear and shows a distinct sediment at the bottom, pour off the clear portion into the preserving kettle, being careful not to pour off any of the sediment. Add to the clear liquid a level teaspoonful of the carbonate of lime and again stir thoroughly. The process is completed by boiling down the clear liquid. Inasmuch as the liquid when boiling down foams more than on the first heating, the kettle should be only one-third full when boiling commences. Where a large kettle is not obtainable, the liquid will have to be boiled down in batches. Allow the liquid to boil rapidly. If the housewife has a candy thermometer, she should allow the liquid to boil until it reaches 220° F. Where no such thermometer is at hand, boil the liquid until it reaches about one-seventh of the original volume, or until a small portion when cooled rapidly and poured from a spoon shows about the same consistency The aim is to make a thin sirup rather as maple sirup. than one that will candy.

When the sirup has reached this point, pour it off into the pitchers or fruit jars and let it stand where it will cool very

slowly. Slow cooling is very important in making the sirup clear, as it allows all sediment and added substances to settle out completely. A convenient way of bringing about this slow cooling is to put the vessels into a fireless cooker or to put the jars or pitchers containing the sirup in a wash boiler, surround them with hot water, and allow the whole to cool. When the sirup has cooled to room temperature there will be found a white sediment, which is known to chemists as malate of lime, a harmless compound of the lime and the acid of the apples. This is identical with the product known as maple sand, which occurs naturally when maple sap is boiled down into sirup. When the settling has been completed, carefully pour off the clear portion of the sirup into a kettle, heat nearly to boiling, and pour hot into sterilized fruit jars, which should be at once sealed as in preserving. Another method would be to pour the sirup cold into the bottles or jars and sterilize and seal just as with fruit. Inasmuch as the sediment at the bottom in no way affects the sirup, those who prefer may at once transfer the boiling sirup from the preserving kettle into sterilized jars or bottles, and seal immediately. When using the sirup, simply pour off the clear portion, leaving the sediment, which is not easily disturbed, at the bottom.¹

The housewife who has made this sirup will find that she has a clear ruby-colored product possibly varying from a deep ruby red to lighter shades, according to the character of apples used in making the cider. This sirup, which is similar in consistency to maple sirup, can be used like any other table sirup. If made in accordance with these directions it will have a delicate and novel flavor, somewhat similar to that of the sugar which forms when apples are baked. It will be found that children will enjoy it on bread and butter and that it will afford a new and useful flavoring adjunct or sauce for puddings or other desserts.

For the convenience of housewives the Office of Nutrition Investigations, of this department, has developed typical receipts for its use in cookery and in candy making. These receipts are merely suggestive and the housewife will find

¹ Those who wish to make a larger quantity of the sirup and avoid the delay and trouble of the first settling may run the cider, after the first boiling, through a milk separator, which, it will be found, will remove the sediment, which will cling to the inside of the bowl of the separator. The clarified cider then is boiled down, as in the settling method.

many other uses for it which will satisfy the special tastes of her family.

GRAHAM MUFFINS.

1¼ cups graham flour.1 cup flour.1 cup sour milk.

指 teaspoon soda.

1 teaspoon salt.

2 tablespoons melted butter.

🚦 cup apple sirup.

Mix and sift dry ingredients; add milk to the sirup, and combine mixtures; then add butter. Bake in hot oven in buttered gem pans 25 minutes.

BOSTON BROWN BREAD.

1 cup rye meal.	1 teaspoon salt.
1 cup granulated corn meal.	₹ cup apple sirup.
1 cup graham flour.	2 cups sour milk, or 1 ³ / ₄ cups sweet
₹ tablespoon soda.	milk or water.

Mix and sift the dry ingredients; add the other ingredients. Mix thoroughly and steam in a buttered mold for $3\frac{1}{2}$ hours.

DARK FRUIT CAKE.

¹ / ₂ cup butter.	½ cup milk.
² cup brown sugar.	2 cups flour.
² cup raisins, seeded and cut in	$\frac{1}{2}$ teaspoon soda.
pieces.	1 teaspoon cinnamon.
¹ / ₄ cup currants.	$\frac{1}{2}$ teaspoon allspice.
t cup citron cut in strips (or candied	$\frac{1}{4}$ teaspoon mace.
orange peel).	$\frac{1}{4}$ teaspoon cloves.
½ cup apple sirup.	$\frac{1}{2}$ teaspoon lemon extract.
2 eggs.	

Follow directions for mixing butter cake mixtures. Bake in deep cake pans 14 hours.

WALNUT BARS.

$\frac{1}{4}$ cup butter, or 2 tablespoons butter	🚦 teaspoon soda.
and 2 tablespoons lard.	11 cups flour.
2 tablespoons boiling water.	$\frac{1}{2}$ teaspoon salt.
$\frac{1}{4}$ cup sugar.	$1\frac{1}{2}$ teaspoons cinnamon.
‡ cup apple sirup.	Chopped walnut meats.

Pour water over butter (and lard if used), then add sugar, sirup mixed with soda, then flour, salt, and spices. Chill thoroughly, roll $\frac{1}{4}$ of an inch thick, cut in strips $3\frac{1}{2}$ inches long by $1\frac{1}{2}$ inches wide. Sprinkle with nut meats and bake 10 minutes.

APPLE SIRUP CUSTARD.

‡ cup apple sirup.	$\frac{1}{4}$ teaspoon salt.
1 ³ cups milk.	🚽 teaspoon vanilla.
2 eggs.	2 tablespoons sugar.

Beat the eggs just enough to mix the white and the yolk. Add the other ingredients. Bake in cups in a slow oven 50 minutes. If the custard is to be baked in one dish, use 3 eggs.

232

SAUCE FOR ICE CREAM.

tablespoon butter.
tablespoon cornstarch.
cup apple sirup.

Mix together the butter and cornstarch and cook them thoroughly, being careful not to burn them. Add the sirup and cook the mixture until it hardens when dropped into cold water and until all taste of the raw cornstarch is gone. Pour hot over the ice cream.

PUDDING SAUCE.

To the above recipe add $\frac{1}{2}$ cupful of water with the sirup.

CANDY.

1½ cups sugar.3 tablespoons apple sirup.½ cup water.1 egg white.

Cook together the water and the sugar without stirring until it reaches a temperature of 248° F. This is known as the "hard ball stage," at which a little of the sirup dropped into water forms a hard ball. Add the sirup and reheat. Pour this sirup into the well-beaten white of an egg and beat until the mixture will hold its shape. Drop by teaspoonfuls on a buttered paper.

MANUFACTURE OF APPLE SIRUP ON A COMMERCIAL SCALE AS A BY-PRODUCT OF A STEAM-POWER CIDER MILL.

During the apple-harvesting season of 1914 the department tested out the possibilities of making apple table sirup on a commercial scale in cooperation with a steam-power cider mill at Hood River, Oregon. Every effort was made to use methods and appliances which could readily be installed by the average steam-power cider manufacturer who would be willing to invest in some additional equipment. In this experiment 140 gallons of the sirup were manufactured. The process has been made the property of the American people through an application for a public-service patent, which leaves any American citizen at liberty to use the method.

The extra equipment which was found necessary for a steam-power cider mill is: A small steam pump, a plate-and-frame filter press, a small milk cooler or similar cooling device, a 500-gallon settling tank, a 500-gallon receiving tank, and a 500-gallon boiling tank equipped with 30 feet of 2-inch steam coil. In addition there will be required facilities for handling the finished sirup. (Fig. 14.)

234 Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

In the commercial making of the apple sirup it was found that milk of lime, when properly made up and used, gave more satisfactory results in neutralizing the malic acid of the cider than does the carbonate of lime.¹ Carbonate of lime is recommended for making the sirup in the home, be-





FIG. 14.—Liming vat, steam pump, filter press, receiving vat, boiling vat, steam coil, cooler, and storage tanks used in making apple sirup at a steam cider mill.

cause its use does not necessitate certain chemical tests required in making the sirup on a large scale. These tests, however, are such as any manufacturer of vinegar could

¹ Milk of lime, like carbonate of lime, when added in proper proportion to fresh cider combines with the malic acid of the juice to form a fairly insoluble substance known as malate of lime. This is the white substance which crystallizes out during evaporation and later sinks to the bottom of the storage or settling vat.

make and no more complicated than the usual tests made at creameries for determining the acidity of milk.

After the cider has been collected in the first vat, its natural acidity is tested by the method indicated.¹ A gallon of properly prepared milk of lime is then stirred in with a wooden paddle. A sample of the cider is then tested to determine the degree to which the lime has neutralized the acid. More milk of lime is added until the test shows that the cider is nearly but not quite neutralized. The successive tests should not be neglected, as it is very important that the addition of lime stop before the cider is rendered alkaline, which will ruin it for sirup making. Proper preparation of the milk of lime is essential for success.²

When the juice has been neutralized to the proper point, stir into it 17.5 pounds of finely bolted, high quality infusorial earth to each 100 gallons of neutralized cider. The purpose of this infusorial earth is to assist in filtering the product, which is the next operation.³

The neutralized cider, with the infusorial earth, is then pumped by a small steam pump through a plate-and-frame filter press. This press is a series of deeply corrugated plates

² Unslaked lime containing less than 1 per cent of magnesium, a quality readily obtainable, should be employed. The lime should be "fat"; that is, should slake quickly to form a smooth paste practically free from coarse particles. In slaking the lime add several volumes of water, being careful not to "drown" the lime. If water is added in too large a quantity the lime will become cold and not slake to a cream. After slaking, let the mixture cool down, and then, with the addition of more water, work it to a thin cream and strain this through a fine wire screen to remove coarse particles. Freedom from coarse particles is essential, as these if present would sink to the bottom of the vat and continue to act and render the juice alkaline.

^a Infusorial earth, also called diatomaceous earth, or kieselgubr, consists of nearly pure silica built up of the skeletons of microscopic sea animals called diatoms. When crushed and bolted it therefore exposes an enormous surface to liquids with which it is mixed. It possesses the property of opening up the slime which collects on the filter cloths, which otherwise would choke and render filtration impossible. Infusorial earth possesses this property to an extent not possessed by any other known substance. At the same time it is so inert that neutral or acid substances can be filtered through it practically without contamination. It is extensively mined in the United States, and may be had finely bolted, ready for use in filtering, in carload lots at less than 2 cents a pound.

¹ The following method is convenient in testing:

Reagents.—(a) Tenth-normal sodium hydroxid; (b) an alcohol solution containing about 1 per cent of phenolphthalein.

 $A\ pparatus.--\Lambda$ graduated 50 cc burette, burette stand, a 10 cc pipette, and a glass cup or tumbler.

Procedure.—Transfer 10 cc of cider to the glass cup, add a few drops of phenolphthalein, and run in the alkali from the burette until a faint pink color remains after mixing. Note the amount of alkali required to produce the color. Ten cubic centimeters of fresh cider usually require about 8 cc of tenth-normal alkali. The vat of cider should be limed until a 10 cc portion requires from 0.4 to 0.8 cc of alkali.
covered with filter cloths and separated by frames in such a way that the juice passes through the filter cloths, leaving the suspended matter on the surface of the fiber. Upon experiment it was found that the juice, unless treated with infusorial earth, quickly clogged up the filter press. Infusorial earth retards clogging and permits the rapid filtration of the product. When filtration becomes slow, the cloths should be changed.

The filtered juice is then delivered into a 500-gallon receiving vat, whence it can be drawn as needed into the evaporating vat. As the liquid foams considerably on boiling, the vat wall should be at least 3 feet higher than the surface of the liquid. The evaporating vat should be provided with a flat spiral of 2-inch block tin or silver-surfaced copper pipe, having at least 30 linear feet of effective heating surface. With a steam pressure of from 60 to 90 pounds, this coil will reduce 125 gallons of limed cider to a sirup in about 11 hours. The boiling is continued until the thermometer registers about 220° F. The steam is then shut off and the sirup is discharged through a valve in the bottom of the vat. The boiling should not be prolonged more than 2 hours, and for this reason it is wise not to introduce more than 125 gallons of juice into a vat equipped with a coil of this size.

The hot juice is passed over a small milk cooler or a series of pipes through which cold water flows, and from the cooler into the settling vat. The purpose of this is to reduce the sirup quickly to a temperature of about 160° F. If the sirup were put at a boiling temperature into the settling tank, it would cool very slowly and undergo serious changes in flavor. At the same time, the preliminary cooling should not be carried too far, because if the juice is suddenly cooled much below 160° F., the separation of the malate of lime formed from the milk of lime and the malic acid of the juice will be retarded and the excess of malate of lime will remain in the sirup, giving it an acrid taste.

In the storage vat the sirup is allowed to cool slowly and settle. At the end of a few days it will be found that the malate of lime has settled to the bottom of the tank in a compact layer, leaving the sirup quite clear. The more time allowed for settling, the clearer will be the sirup. When the sirup has settled sufficiently, it is drawn out by means of a tap placed above the sediment line, or siphoned off, into bottles or other containers. To insure sterilization it may again be brought to the boiling point and put while still hot into containers and sealed, or other common methods of sterilizing such products in containers may be followed. If the settling has not been complete, the sirup after being reboiled and allowed to stand may show a slight sediment of malate of lime, which is similar to the maple sand of maple sap. The sirup can be again poured off from the sediment, or the sediment, which does no harm, may be left in the container.

COST OF MAKING APPLE SIRUP ON A COMMERCIAL SCALE.

The cost of making apple sirup on a commercial scale, as nearly as the department can determine, is probably slightly greater than that of making sirup from cane or sorghum. The processes are essentially similar with the exception of the need for liming and filtering. No figures can be given as to the detailed cost of making the sirup in large lots, for the reason that but one factory run of 140 gallons has as yet been completed. In this run, coil heating was used and no experiments have yet been made with vacuum evaporating apparatus, or with direct heat evaporators which are widely used in converting cane and sorghum juice and maple sap into sirup. As 7 gallons of cider will make 1 gallon of sirup, with cider at 6 cents per gallon, the cost of raw material, exclusive of the lime and infusorial earth, is about 42 cents per gallon of sirup. The infusorial earth necessary to treat 100 gallons of juice should cost in quantity about 35 cents, and the lime for neutralizing not more than 5 cents, making a total cost of 40 cents for treatment of this quantity of juice. As 14.3 gallons of sirup are produced from this amount of juice, the treatment will add about 2.8 cents per gallon to the cost. This makes the cost of the sirup, exclusive of investment and depreciation charges, and of the fuel and labor which vary in different localities, about 45 cents per gallon.

It is not impossible that a by-product in the form of the malate of lime deposited from the juice may somewhat reduce the cost per gallon as given. From this malate of lime acid malate of lime and malic acid can easily be prepared. The present supply of malic acid comes from Germany, where it is prepared from the mountain-ash berry. It is also obtained in the form of maple sand as a by-product of the maple sirup industry. At present malic acid finds a rather limited use in medicine and as a rare chemical, and it can not be stated positively whether a market for any large quantity can be developed. The substance, however, has a possible future, if it can be obtained in quantity, as an ingredient of a new type of baking powder.

CONCENTRATION OF SWEET CIDER BY FREEZING AS A FALL ACTIVITY FOR ICE AND COLD-STORAGE PLANTS IN APPLE SECTIONS.

During the apple-harvesting seasons of 1913 and 1914 the department experimented extensively on a commercial method of handling fresh cider so as to reduce its bulk to a point where longer shipment would be possible and also to secure a product that would keep better and could thus be sold over a longer period than is possible with ordinary apple juice.

Analyses show that ordinary cider contains from 12 to 14 per cent of solids, mostly sugars, which make up from 10 to 12 per cent, and malic acid. The remainder of the cider is water, which experiment quickly showed can be withdrawn by freezing. Replacing the water so removed with ordinary drinking water will restore the cider to its original quality.

After varied experiments it was found that 5 gallons of cider could be reduced by freezing and treatment to 1 gallon of sirupy cider concentrate. To test the keeping quality of the concentrated cider, it was kept under various conditions. It was found that while the concentrated cider would not keep indefinitely under household conditions, its larger percentage of sugars and acids kept it from fermenting as quickly as ordinary cider. When kept cool, as in a household refrigerator, concentrated cider, it was found, would not spoil for many weeks. When kept at or below 32° F. in cold storage it was found that concentrated cider could be kept from one season to the next and thus make fresh cider available as a beverage during the summer months. The department made experiments on a commercial scale in concentrating apple juice by freezing during the apple-bearing seasons of 1913 and 1914. This work was done in connection with a cider mill located near a commercial ice-making plant in the apple-growing regions of Oregon. These experiments, in which the cider of over 4 tons of apples, or 600 gallons, was concentrated, seem to indicate that the product can be made at a cost which should yield an excellent profit to an ice plant during its slack season. The chief advantage to an ice plant, near an apple section, of freezing and concentrating cider as a by-product is that it will give it an activity at the very season when the demand for ice is greatly diminished because of the approach of cold weather.

The actual cost of the finished product will, of course, vary with the cost of the raw materials, rates of wages, and the actual cost of refrigeration, which, in turn, depends on the price of coal and other factors. The method calls for but slight additions to the equipment of the ordinary ice-making or cold-storage plant. The department is not ready to recommend the erection of freezing plants merely for the handling of the fall business of freezing cider, but recommends the process only to existing plants near large orchards which will find it a convenient filler and a means of reducing their overhead expenses through keeping their plant busy at an otherwise dull season. Moreover, as the product has not yet been marketed on a commercial scale, those undertaking the process should first satisfy themselves that they have a ready and convenient outlet for their product. Α brief description of the manufacture of this concentrated cider on a commercial scale follows:

An ice-making plant was equipped with special 300-pound tin-lined freezing cans,¹ an ice crusher, a centrifugal machine, necessary machinery for handling the frozen cider, and containers for the finished product. (Fig. 15.)

The fresh cider is placed in the tin-lined ice cans and frozen in the brine tanks of the ice-making plant. For the first freezing the brine temperature should range between 10° and 20° F., which will freeze the cider into a solid mass in from 36 to 48 hours. The frozen cider is then loosened from the

¹ It is essential that tin-lined freezing cans be employed, as they resist the action of the cider successfully and are the cheapest form of freezing cans which will serve the purpose. Galvanized cans are quickly attacked by the cider and should never be used.

cans by removing it from the brine tanks, thawing it at the sides and bottom, and dumping it, just as ice is removed from the cans in ice making. The blocks of frozen cider are then passed through a power ice crusher which breaks them into pieces no larger than a walnut.



FIG. 15.—Dumper, ice breaker, and centrifugal machine used in crushing and centrifugalizing frozen apple juice.

The crushed frozen cider drops from the crusher into a standard sugar centrifugal machine which provides a mechanism for rapidly whirling it, and thus separating the sirupy part from the water ice, just as in the case of sugar, where the molasses is whirled off from the crystallized sugar. The frozen cider drops into the perforated metal basket ¹ of the machine. This basket, which is whirled rapidly, causes the

¹ As the cider is very subject to metallic contamination, care should be taken to avoid using a centrifugal basket with brass lining. An unlined steel basket is satisfactory.

sirupy part of the cider to fly off from the mass of ice into the collecting chamber opening into the collecting tank below. A centrifugal operating at about the same speed used on sugar will separate most of the sirupy content from a charge of ice in three minutes.

The partially concentrated cider in the receiving tank is then put again into the freezing cans and refrozen at a temperature from 0° to 10° F., which will refreeze the sirupy cider in from two to three days. The refrozen cider does not become very solid and can readily be removed from the cans without thawing. It is passed through the crusher and again whirled in the centrifugal machine and reaches the receiving chamber as a fairly thick, somewhat viscous sirup. One gallon of this sirup represents 5 gallons of the original cider, which means that nearly 4 gallons of water have been removed by freezing and centrifugalizing.

As the ice remaining in the centrifugal basket still contains some of the sugar and solids of the cider, it may be removed from the basket by use of the unloader provided, allowed to warm up slightly, and again be run through the centrifugal machine. This will remove practically all the valuable material. As there will now be less than 1 per cent of apple solids left in the ice, it probably does not merit further treatment. The concentrate obtained on the second centrifugalizing of the ice is somewhat richer in solids than ordinary cider and may be added to the fresh cider or refrozen and treated as fresh cider.

The fully concentrated cider will be a somewhat thick liquid with the cloudy appearance and color of unfiltered fresh cider. If kept sealed at household refrigerator or in cold-room temperatures, it will keep for weeks. Where allowed to become warm, it will gradually ferment, but will spoil much more slowly than ordinary cider. Concentrated cider intended for use during the following spring or summer should be put into cold storage at once and kept at or below 32° F. It can be shipped anywhere in winter weather in unheated cars without danger of spoiling. It can be bottled, canned, or shipped in clean kegs. Inasmuch as 1 gallon can represents 5 gallons of cider and the equivalent of 250 gallons of fresh cider can be put in a 50-gallon barrel, the concentrated product can be shipped profitably for much longer

75922°—увк 1914——16

distances to market than can the bulky fresh cider. In addition to saving 80 per cent on the freight, the reduction in volume permits the shipper to use containers one-fifth the size, which is an important saving in cooperage. The saving in containers, which have always been relatively expensive items in shipping cider, should alone nearly offset the cost of freezing and concentration. In addition there is the saving of dravage and other handling costs. On its arrival at the market the retailer can at once restore it to cider by adding 4 parts of water, or he can sell it in the convenient concentrated form to his customers. Moreover, as has been explained, this cider, instead of fermenting on the journey, or a day or two after its arrival, will keep for two or three weeks in closed containers if not allowed to get warm and if kept closed in an ice box or refrigerator will remain in good condition for five or six weeks. The cider in its concentrated form is useful also as a flavoring sirup for desserts. When stored at low temperatures, the concentrated cider will keep indefinitely. In this way concentrated cider made in the fall can be kept over and used in the soft-drink trade during the hot months, at soda fountains, and in the home.

Those who wish to make a clear, brilliant cider concentrate can filter it by adding to the concentrated product 3 per cent or more by weight of infusorial earth, which should be thoroughly stirred in. This mixture can then be pumped through a plate-and-frame filter press, such as has been described in connection with the manufacture of apple sirup.¹

COST OF CONCENTRATING BY FREEZING.

Until the process is well worked out on the commercial scale, statements of the probable cost of preparation are necessarily estimates only. The principal elements of cost are cost of raw material, cost of freezing, labor, power, interest, depreciation, and superintendence.

COST OF RAW MATERIAL.

Apples should yield at least 150 gallons of cider per ton. With apples at \$6 per ton, allowing 2 cents per gallon as the

¹ It is well to paraffin the iron surfaces of the filter press before using it for filtering the cider concentrated by freezing, to lessen contamination with iron. This can be easily accomplished by heating the press with steam to above the melting point of paraffin and then rubbing on the paraffin, working it into the crevices with the aid of a brush.

cost of pressing, the raw material charge is 6 cents per gallon, or 30 cents per gallon of finished cider concentrated by freezing, on the assumption that the reduction in volume is 5 to 1.

COST OF FREEZING.

If the brine tanks of a modern ice plant can be used, the cost of freezing for the first time, including dumping and delivery to the ice crusher, should not exceed \$3 per ton of cider measuring about 230 gallons, provided ice making is carried on during the balance of the year, so that the yearly fixed charges of the ice factory are borne by cider and ice in proportion to the amounts frozen. In the second operation about one-third of the original volume of cider is refrozen, bringing the total cost of freezing up to \$4 per ton, approximately, or 8.7 cents per gallon of concentrated cider. Where an ice plant is to be erected for the purpose of freezing cider alone, using it at full capacity for but a few months each year, the cost of freezing will necessarily be much higher.

LABOR COST.

Two men, with the assistance of the engineer at the ice plant, whose labor is included in the cost of freezing, can easily operate the centrifugal machine at the rate of 300 pounds of frozen cider per 10 minutes, amounting to a little more than 7 tons per 8-hour day. About one-third by volume of the original cider is returned for refreezing. On the following day the ice obtained on the day previous is recentrifugalized, requiring about a half day's work, and two days later the centrifugalizing in the second operation, requiring about a half day's work, is accomplished, producing cider concentrated by freezing in finished form, except for filtering, which may or may not be done, at the option of the manufacturer. Thus, approximately two days' work of two men is required in working up 7 tons, about 1,600 gallons, of fresh cider, or 320 gallons of cider concentrated by freezing. At \$2.50 per day the labor charge is thus \$10, or 3.12 cents per gallon. The power required for crusher and centrifugal machine and for small hoist for elevating the ice for recentrifugalizing totals less than 10 horsepower. The crusher requires 2 horsepower, centrifugal 5 horsepower, and hoist 2 horsepower. The centrifugal costs \$560 complete and the ice crusher \$84. These are the prices paid by the department on competitive bids for a 30-inch centrifugal and a

standard crusher for 300-pound blocks of ice. Erection of the equipment and necessary pumps and vats for economically carrying out the process should bring the equipment cost to between \$1,000 and \$1,500, not including the cost of the building. A plate-and-frame filter press and feed pump costs about \$300. It is worth while calling attention to the fact that centrifugal and ice crusher, as well as hoist, filter press, and feed pump, are made to stand heavy, constant service.

We thus have the following estimate of cost per gallon for the preparation of cider concentrated by freezing:

1 1	•	0	Cents.
Raw material			30
Freezing			8.7
Labor	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•••••	3.12
			41.82
Filtering, power, interest, depreciation, sup	erintenden	ce, and other	
charges	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	8.18
Total			50,00

The cost items classed under filtering, power, interest, etc., can not be determined at the present time with anything like accuracy. They will necessarily vary with the volume of cider concentrated. On the whole, however, a cost figure of 50 cents per gallon is a conservative estimate for the preparation of cider concentrated by freezing, on the assumption that the brine tank of a going ice plant is available for the freezing.

The methods of making apple sirup and concentrated cider which have been discussed are offered as a possible means of saving an important waste in a food crop of the nation. What the commercial future of the two products will be remains to be determined under actual marketing conditions. The department has every confidence in the feasibility of making the two products where the apple supply and the manufacturing conditions are suitable. The development of this infant industry must now be left in the hands of the progressive American manufacturer. A process which will make pure, fresh cider available as a summer drink at our soda fountains should open up a new and valuable market for the juice of surplus apples. Whether young America will eat apple sirup on his bread and his mother use it in her kitchen must be decided by the American people.

THE ECONOMY OF FARM DRAINAGE.

By R. D. MARSDEN,

Office Engineer, Drainage Investigations, Office of Experiment Stations.

INTRODUCTION.

ONE traveling thoughtfully through the agricultural districts of the States east and south of the Missouri River is impressed with the vast amount of farm land that is covered with rank growths of coarse grass, weeds, and brush on the borders of ponds and winding sloughs, and with the number of almost barren spots on cultivated hillsides, marking the location of soft, wet places. In dry years the cotton, corn, or small grain bordering these waste places will be most luxuriant, but in wet seasons the growth is apt to be thin and sickly. Much of the flat bottom land in the valleys of the smaller streams is given over to grazing or to indifferent hay crops. Not only are these wet lands unsightly and unprofitable, but as breeding places for mosquitoes and other insects they are responsible for considerable discomfort, as well as for the spread of malaria. Many a farmer gazes at these lowlands, obviously very fertile, wishing he could profit by their richness. Every farm worker knows the annovance of plowing around instead of across the low spots. where the soil is sticky or gummy when a little wet and is baked hard when dry. A great difference in value between these ordinary farms and those which show an even growth of healthy plants from corner to corner of every field is universally recognized. (Pls. XVII and XVIII.)

PROGRESS IN DRAINAGE.

The area of farm land needing drainage is not accurately known, but it has been variously estimated that there are 100 to 150 millions of acres now classed as cultivated that could be drained with profit under present conditions of market facilities and cultural methods. This does not include 75 to 80 million acres of swamp and overflowed land and some millions of acres of tidal marsh that could be reclaimed with profit.

The lead in drainage has been assumed by the States of the upper Mississippi Valley, and many thousand miles of drains have changed a considerable part of the waste and less profitable lands into well-cultivated fields. This transformation continues at a rapid rate. The first tile drains in the United States were undoubtedly those installed by John Johnston on the border of Seneca Lake, N. Y., in 1835. Tile drainage is guite common in that State, but is not so generally practiced there as it is farther west. In many of the Southern States systematic farm drainage has been unknown until within a very few years. In this kind of farm improvement North Carolina seems to lead her sisters south of the Potomac River; but with perhaps 6,000,000 acres of land under cultivation needing such improvement, it is doubtful if she has thoroughly drained more than 6,000 of them. Alabama has 1,500,000 acres needing farm drainage, vet probably less than a thousand have been tiled. The lack of progress doubtless is due largely to a feeling of uncertainty among the landowners regarding the effectiveness of drainage, a lack of ready capital, ignorance of drainage principles and practice, and failure to view an expenditure for drainage in the light of a business investment. A great many farmers are trying to get as large an income as possible from their lands without putting in a sufficient amount of capital, because every expense appears to be merely a reduction of profits.

EFFECT OF DRAINING.

The effect of an excess of moisture is readily apparent in farming a wet area, although persons not acquainted with drainage do not always recognize the presence of too much water in soils that are not saturated. The low part of the field is not ready for plowing and planting as early in the spring as the higher parts, hence, unless the spot is to be abandoned, the farmer either must finish the task another day or leave the whole until such a time as the wet place can be worked. Planting on all or part of the field is then delayed frequently 7 to 10 days later than on land better drained. The wet ground is cold, too, and the seed in it sprouts more slowly, wherefore the advantage of the drained land is increased. The difference between the two parts or the two fields continues to grow as the season advances, for the undrained land frequently can not be cultivated until several days after heavy rains, and again coldness retards crop growth, as in the spring. The difference in temperature may be 6 to 10 degrees between the drained and the undrained soil. The effect of later planting and slower growth must be apparent in the harvest, especially where the growing season is cut short by frost. On a field not uniformly well drained the crop will mature unevenly and not only will the yield be impaired in amount, but if uneven in quality the crop will be rated for market at a low value. The advantages of early planting and continuous cultivation are especially evident for those crops which command high prices when they reach an early market.

Farm drainage will not only dry and warm land that is wet and cold, but it has a marked effect upon the physical structure of the soil. Clavs are usually very plastic and sticky when wet, very hard when dry, and permit the percolation of water very slowly if at all. After drainage the same soils become looser, forming into small grains or crumbs. The granular structure gives large pore spaces, through which the water passes downward by gravity more freely, and breaks the continuity of the tiny capillary tubes by which the moisture moves upward as evaporation dries the ground surface. Therefore the drained clay neither remains saturated so long nor dries out so thoroughly as before drainage, but retains a film of capillary water about each soil grain, while the gravitational water passes out and is replaced by air. This is the soil condition necessary for a healthy growth of the usual cultivated crops. The looser soil is much more easily worked, and through it the plant roots spread more freely to a larger supply of nourishment. The effect upon soils of a peaty nature, such as those formed largely from vegetation growing about the edges of ponds and lakes, has been to hasten decomposition of the organic matter and to make the land firm and fit for cultivation.

Drainage adds no plant food to the soil, except the nitrogen that certain plants gather from the air, which replaces the water drained away. But the presence of air and the higher temperature induce the growth of bacteria that release certain food elements from insoluble compounds and make

248 Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

them available for the use of plants. In this way does drainage increase the fertility of soils. Moreover, a drained soil offers a deeper feeding ground for the plants. The roots of most cultivated crops will not go into a saturated soil, and will die if kept in water without air for more than a short time. The root zone is, then, not the depth above the plane of permanent saturation, but only that soil into which the fluctuating water table does not rise except for periods too short to injure the roots seriously. Drainage tends to increase this depth to that of the drains, and thus make a greater quantity of food available; hence an increase in the crop yield may ordinarily be expected from the drainage of much land already under profitable cultivation. Moreover, lowering the danger plane and reducing the fluctuation of the water table above the permanent elevation gives a greater storage space for the capillary water needed for plant growth and induces the roots to go nearer to the source of moisture in a dry season, thus giving a measure of insurance against drought. It is frequently noted that the growth upon drained land is better than upon undrained land in protracted periods of dry weather

KINDS OF DRAINS.

Farm drains may be either open ditches, or tile, or a com-The "blind ditches" of stones or poles bination of the two. covered with earth have been practically abandoned because they are not permanent, usually becoming clogged with dirt in a very few years. Open ditches are usually less costly to construct, especially when large capacity is required, and water on the ground surface will flow into them more readily. but for the smaller drains tile has a number of advantages. Open ditches interfere with the cultivation of the fields. especially so where large machinery is used, but tile are buried deep enough to be out of the way of farming opera-The whole field may be cultivated when underdrains tions. are used, whereas a system of ditches occupies an appreciable portion of the land area. The matter of maintenance is of prime importance in considering which kind of drains should be used. The banks of the ditches are often covered with a growth of weeds and grass which causes annoyance in cultivation and impairs the efficiency of the ditch. Teams

PLATE XVII.



FIG. 1.-LAND NEEDING DRAINAGE, HENDERSON COUNTY, KY.



FIG. 2.-COWPEAS ON DRAINED LAND ON THE SAME FARM AS PICTURED ABOVE,

earbook U. S. Dept. of Agriculture, 1914.

PLATE XVIII.

FIG. 2.-THE SAME LAND AFTER DRAINAGE (1914).



FIG. 1.-UNDRAINED LAND IN TALBOT COUNTY, MD. (1911).





FIG. 1.—UNDRAINED LAND, STATE TEST FARM, WILLARD, N. C. (AUGUST, 1910). [Cowpeas after two plantings of corn the preceding spring.]



Fig. 2.—Cowpeas and Corn on the Same Land After Tile Drainage. Corn in Place of Timber (August, 1913).

PLATE XX.



FIG. 1.-TYPICAL CROP IN BLACK PRAIRIE BELT OF ALABAMA. UNDERDRAINAGE NEEDED.



Fig. 2.—Tile-Drained Land in Black Prairie Belt of Alabama, Growing 26 Bales of Cotton on 20 Acres Which Before Drainage Yielded 2 to 6 Bales,

used in cultivating frequently break down the ditch banks. causing obstruction to the waterway. No little time and labor are required for cleaning the ditches, which more often than not are neglected when attention is most needed. Well designed and constructed tile drains require no attention except occasional examinations to see that dirt or brush have not fallen in front of the outlet, and perhaps the removal of sediment from a few silt basins at infrequent intervals. When fertilizers are applied an appreciable amount may be washed off the field into the ditches; with tile drainage the movement of the water is downward through the soil and little if any fertilizer is lost. For some of the exceedingly dense alluvial soils of the lower Mississippi Valley it has been found expedient to place tile drains at a minimum depth and spacing, supplementing them with wide, shallow ditches that do not interfere with farm work or lessen the productive area, but which collect the surface water and remove the greater part of it. Means are easily provided for letting surface water directly into the tile without injury to the latter.

COSTS.

The cost of drainage will vary considerably with the location of the work, owing to differences in the cost of tile and of labor: it will vary more with the nature of the soil and the consequent depth and spacing of the drains. Tile of 4-inch inside diameter will cost \$16 to \$20 per thousand feet at the factory, and often \$25 per thousand delivered at the railway station. If 4-inch tile cost \$25 per thousand, 5-inch will cost about \$35, 6-inch about \$45, and 8-inch about \$80 per thousand feet. Labor will vary from 75 cents to \$1.50 or more per day, but as the cheaper labor is considerably less efficient the cost per rod of drain will be more uniform. As an average cost for trenching, laying, and backfilling over the tile, about 50 cents per rod for a depth of 3 feet may be assumed: lower prices may be secured on large contracts that make it economical to use a trenching machine or a large force of experienced workmen. Deeper digging and larger tile require more excavation and involve higher prices. There also will be expense for hauling the tile from the railroad, and for engineering work in planning and laying out the drains. Silt wells, surface inlets, and masonry protection for tile outlets must be provided where needed. The total cost of drainage will ordinarily range from \$15 to \$45 per acre, the lower price mentioned being reached when the spacing of drains is perhaps 150 feet and the higher figure when the spacing is about 4 rods or a little less. A very common cost for tile drainage is \$25 per acre. The farmer can often do a considerable part of the hauling and other labor with his own teams and regularly employed help, especially where the amount of work is not large, saving no small cash outlay. Of course the foregoing prices do not anticipate the excavation of rock, large stones, or other very hard formation in any considerable quantities, for this will quickly multiply the labor cost.

Open ditches cost from 12 to 20 cents per cubic vard of dirt removed, the price increasing with the size of the ditch because the material must be moved farther. A ditch 3 feet deep, 2 feet in top width, and 1 foot in bottom width would cost 33 cents per rod at 12 cents per cubic yard; a ditch 4 feet deep, with 3-foot bottom and 6-foot top, would cost \$1.65 per rod at 15 cents per cubic yard; and a ditch 4 feet deep, with 4-foot bottom and 8-foot top, would cost \$2.95 per rod at 20 cents per yard. If open ditches of the smallest size were used 150 feet apart, with a collecting ditch of the medium size, the cost of drainage would hardly be less than \$7 per acre. The difference between tile and open drains would then be \$8 per acre; the interest on such an investment would be 80 cents per acre at 10 per cent, or 50 cents per acre at 6 per cent. This amount would not nearly pay for the labor of keeping the ditches clear of weeds, dirt, and other obstructions, not to mention the increase in labor occasioned by having the field cut into small parts. The advantage of using tile becomes greater as the distance between drains is reduced, not only because of the labor of cultivation, but also because of the ground area used for ditches instead of for cropping.

PROFITS.

The actual value of farm drainage is indicated by the testimony of owners who have done this kind of work. Many of them state enthusiastically that drainage has doubled and trebled their crops and has increased the value of the land 50 to 300 per cent. The examples cited herein have been selected as typical of the results from properly draining farm lands in the humid region of the United States. Because the reclamation of large swamp tracts frequently involves considerable expense for clearing and sometimes for soil treatment after drainage, the profits shown below are in no way indicative of those to be obtained from large swamp reclamations. Neither should these results be used in considering the drainage of irrigated land in the arid region.

In the coastal plain of North Carolina about 25 acres that ' were producing nothing were tile drained for perhaps \$250, probably not including costs of teaming and of supervision, and since then have produced a bale of cotton per acre. (Pl. XIX.) A field of 6 acres was drained for about \$160, and the owner makes good crops on soil worthless without drainage. In the black prairie belt of Alabama, a field that had not been cultivated in years because too wet was drained with tile: then it produced one bale of cotton per acre and repaid the entire cost of drainage the first year. (Pl. XX.) The following year the field yielded 50 bushels of corn per acre, twice the rate from the other parts of the farm. Another drained field produced one bale of cotton per acre, while the undrained land produced only half a bale. A 10-acre field that yielded practically nothing in 1912 was tile drained, and in 1913 produced 60 bushels of oats per acre; in 1914 the rate was again 60 bushels of oats, in contrast to 10 bushels per acre from the adjoining 15-acre field planted to the same grain. The cost of most of the tile drainage in Alabama has been about \$25 per acre, some of it as high as \$30 to \$35, but increases of 50 to 200 per cent in yields and the assurance of good crops every year instead of only in very favorable seasons are very satisfactory returns. The cost of drainage there has usually been repaid in two to three years by the improved crops. Tn Iowa, a field of 40 acres too wet for planting was tile drained at a cost of \$24 per acre, after which it produced 60 bushels of corn per acre. Another field was drained for \$23 per acre, thereby increasing the yield from 15 bushels to 40 and 50 bushels of corn per acre. In Arkansas, on one of the State farms, 1 bale of cotton per acre was secured in favorable years, and nothing at all when the early part of the season was wet; the year following the installation of tile the yield was $1\frac{1}{2}$ bales per acre. In Nebraska a tract of more than 700 acres was tile drained at \$24.25 per acre, a pumping plant cost \$2 per acre, and as part of a larger district the cost of levees to protect from overflow was \$9 per acre. The

improvement, for a total cost of \$35 per acre, immediately increased the crop on about 80 acres of corn 22 bushels per acre, and on another part the increase in two years was from nothing to more than 30 bushels of wheat per acre.

Owners have found that tile drainage has reduced the cost of farming operations 20 to 50 per cent, so the increased production on land cultivated previous to drainage is clear profit. To find the profit upon draining land that has been abandoned, of course the cost of planting, cultivating, and harvesting must be deducted from the gross receipts for the crops raised. Investigations of the cost of producing cotton and of producing wheat indicate that where expensive fertilizers are not used the cost per acre for growing and marketing varies little if at all with the rate of yield.

To compute the actual money value of drainage requires that certain assumptions be made. If the average production of a field is increased about one-half bale of cotton per acre, worth 10 cents per pound, the income is increased about \$25 per acre, equivalent to a 10 per cent dividend on \$250, or a return of 71 per cent on a drainage cost of \$35 per acre. Tf drainage increases the yield of corn 25 bushels per acre, worth 50 cents per bushel, the returns of \$12.50 per acre would be equivalent to a 10 per cent dividend on \$125, or 50 per cent annually on a cost of \$25 per acre. However, to capitalize the net increase in value of the crops at the regular rate of interest might be a fair measure of the increase in producing value of the land, but this is the result of drainage added to what may be called the unused fertility of the soil. It will be better to consider the increase brought about by drainage in the market value of the property. In the Piedmont section of North Carolina a 55-acre farm was bought about six years ago for \$1,900; ditching was started the first year and tile drainage two years later; in 1913 the crops were worth \$2,000, and in 1914 the owner refused \$5,000 for the farm. In the mountain section of the same State about 22 acres that grew only saw grass and bulrushes were tiled for \$35 to \$40 per acre, and the owner now values the land at \$150 per Another farmer spent about \$200 cash, and probably acre. some of his own time, in tile drainage, and thereby increased the market value of his farm \$500 to \$800. Another man reports the results as 300 per cent increase in the selling price of the land and 40 per cent in the assessed value; still

another, who drained 10 acres for about \$140, gives the results as one-third increase in assessed value, two-thirds increase in selling price, and more than 100 per cent increase in production. In eastern Maryland tile work costing \$500 increased the farm value \$1,000, and work costing about \$240 increased the value of another farm \$500.

In considering the economy of farm drainage it is proper to compare the anticipated results with the probable returns from otherwise investing the money that the drainage work will cost. When a farmer considers investing some of his savings to increase his business a question often to be met is: Shall he buy more land or improve some of what he already owns? If corn land producing 50 bushels per acre sells for \$80 per acre, and he has marsh land which cost \$10 per acre that produces nothing, drainage at \$30 per acre will be profitable if it will make the marsh produce 25 bushels of corn, provided there are no other costs for preparing the land for cultivation. If the whole cost of drainage and other reclamation work is \$50 per acre, and the result 50 bushels. the land has been made worth \$80 for a total cost of \$60 per acre. If land yielding 40 bushels per acre can be made to produce 50 bushels by drainage at \$25 per acre, perhaps it would be true economy to buy more good land at the price stated rather than to drain: for \$1,000 spent improving 40 acres would vield 400 bushels, while the same money buying 12¹/₂ acres new would yield 625 bushels. The difference in value at 50 cents per bushel would be \$112.50. However, the increase in cost of farming the larger acreage might be considerable; if it would amount to as much as \$3 per acre it would more than offset the difference in total yield, for there would be no increase in cost of farming on the drained land. Actual comparisons of the profits to be obtained from farm improvement and from purchasing improved land will many times show the farmer to be true economy, in spite of seemingly small gross returns. As larger markets raise the prices of agricultural products, land values must increase and larger expenditures per acre for drainage will be profitable.

PROPER PLANNING ESSENTIAL.

A careful business man in making investments considers security first and dividends afterwards; it is the gambler that takes chances, risking much in play for high stakes. The

man of small capital least of all can afford to expend money without certainty of the returns, yet it is he who most often economizes by undertaking drainage work without expert The wonder is not that a considerable amount assistance. of money spent for drainage has been lost, but that so much of it has been profitable. To determine the most economical plan of drainage usually requires engineering judgment of no mean order and a thorough knowledge of the drainage properties of soils. One not experienced in this kind of work should not take the responsibility of planning any considerable expenditure for drainage. There are many farm owners that realize the need of drainage, but have not the means to do a great deal of work at a time, and therefore are loth to pay for the services of a drainage engineer to determine what work is needed and to plan it so that it not only will cost least in the end but also can be constructed in an orderly and economical manner

Before other expenditures are made there should be a careful survey and examination to determine the source of the water to be removed and its amount, the most economical arrangement of the drains, the grades obtainable and the proper sizes of drains, and the amounts of tile and of labor. The cost of drainage can then be estimated quite closely by one acquainted with work of this kind. Sometimes only a few drains are needed in the lowest parts of the field: sometimes a uniform system is required with parallel lines underlying the whole area. In the latter case experienced judgment is needed to decide what will be the proper depth and spacing for each kind of soil. Drains 100 feet apart when they should be only 75 feet will not give good drainage; drains 4 feet deep may be almost an utter failure where 3-foot depths would be markedly successful: if spaced 60 feet when 80 feet is sufficient the cost may be 20 per cent more than necessary; and drains 21 feet deep may require a fourth more tile than if 3½ feet deep without having so great an influence upon the vield. Of prime importance is the outlet. which not only must be the lowest point of the drainage system, but it must be so located and arranged that it will discharge the water at the time when drainage is needed and not be useless because of high water in the outlet ditch or creek. These conditions may require that the outlet drain be carried some distance beyond the edge of the field or the

254

farm to be benefited and may add appreciably to the cost, but the work must be foreseen and the expense counted in considering the advisability of drainage.

Construction work should be carefully done, under the supervision of some one at least qualified to see that the tile are laid according to the grades established by the engineer who planned the work. The tile must be laid to true grade and alignment, for very slight irregularities will retard the flow and permit dirt to fall into the drain. Many a drain has been choked by sediment deposited very gradually in a slight sag which could hardly be detected by the unaided eye. The employment of a competent drainage engineer to plan the drainage system and to supervise construction in the end will be the most profitable part of the investment.

Of course no expenditure for drainage will be profitable on an infertile soil. No amount of drainage will supply potash, lime, or humus. The fertility of the soil should be assured before drainage is planned, and if any element of plant food is lacking, the cost of supplying it must be reckoned. The cost of any supplementary treatment must be added to that of drainage in computing the total investment required. In finding the profits upon the investment it is necessary to deduct the cost of fertilizers and other expenses for producing and marketing from the gross receipts for the crops.

BORROWING CAPITAL FOR DRAINAGE.

A consideration of the figures given shows that often it would be very profitable to borrow money for draining farm land, and the question is not so often, Can the farmer afford to drain? but, Can he afford not to drain? If he can borrow money at 6 per cent, or even 10 per cent, and get 25 to 50 per cent per year, the loan can be paid back in a few years. It is the opinion of a member of the New York State College of Agriculture who has been giving a great deal of attention to tile drainage in New York that tile drains there ordinarily pay for themselves in two years.

Men with money to loan will not hesitate to lend for purposes of farm improvement when it is assured that the increase in commercial value of the property will be much greater than the amount of the loan, and that the increase of income will be sufficient to repay the loan and interest in two or three years. But one highly important factor in assuring profitable returns upon any farm investment is the borrower's ability as a farmer. His land may be fertile or lacking only in some element that is cheaply supplied, its productiveness may be very greatly impaired by excess of moisture, and drainage may be obtainable at a comparatively low cost; yet men will not readily trust their money to a man who is lacking in industry, careless of machinery, stock, and other equipment, or wasteful of his income.

DRAINAGE THE CORRECTIVE OF ABNORMAL CONDITIONS.

The unparalleled prosperity of our country has made us wasteful as a people, and the abundance of fertile land that has been obtainable at little or no cost has had its influence upon our methods of agriculture. The supply of new land that does not require expensive methods of reclamation and farming now has been practically exhausted, but "we have not yet reached the intensive stage, where it will pay either the producer or the consumer to attempt maximum yields on American land." However, "it is relatively safe to invest capital freely upon the farm for the sake of correcting abnormal conditions and raising the yield to the normal."¹ Money expended for drainage is capital invested for correcting an abnormal condition, for eliminating waste in agricultural methods, and the profit to be obtained in doing this has been demonstrated by the results from work of this kind that has been done. Federal, State, local, and private institutions are teaching the economy of better farming methods, and drainage is receiving increasing attention from these The progress that has been made in those localities sources. where thorough farm drainage, especially tile drainage, was unknown three or four years ago is truly surprising. Large yields where small ones were previously obtained, at the same or frequently at less expense, and large profits from land that before was yielding nothing of value; these results upon a few farms in various communities are awakening landowners to undreamed-of possibilities in wet fields and waste areas. The rate of progress in drainage will rapidly increase as the real economy of this work is better understood. and the future is not far distant when the sloughs and marshes and spotted hillsides will be changed into fields both beautiful to see and profitable to cultivate.

¹ E. Davenport: Illinois Agr. Exp. Sta., Cir. 177.

MOVEMENT FROM CITY AND TOWN TO FARMS.

By GEORGE K. HOLMES, Bureau of Crop Estimates. CURRENTS OF POPULATION TO THE FARM.

ANALYSIS OF THIS SOCIAL REDISTRIBUTION.

"B ACK to the land" is a real movement to agriculture in this country, but it does not conform to the descriptions in newspapers and magazines. It is not a strong movement, it is not a concerted one, and it is not actuated by a single-minded purpose to promote agriculture for agriculture's sake. It is largely localized, it is a very composite movement, and it does not create in kind and characteristics the agriculture that is prevented by the migration from farms nor replace in kind and characteristics that migrated population.

One-third of the population having census occupations is agricultural, and the fraction has declined from 83 per cent in 1820 to 44 per cent in 1880, 39 per cent in 1890, 35 per cent in 1900, and 32.4 per cent in 1910, the figures for 1880 to 1910 being based on the census classification of 1900. These figures do not mean that this element of the population has absolutely declined. On the contrary, it was never so large in numbers as it is to-day, with probably more than 30,000,000 men, women, and children in the total of those with agricultural occupations and of their dependents.

The urban element of the population has been built up not only by immigrants but by transfers from farms to industry, trade, transportation, professional life, and other classes of occupation, as well as by excess of birth rate over death rate.

The stream from the farm, however, has been passed by a countercurrent of much smaller proportions and of a different character, to analyze which was the purpose of a recent investigation. Information has been derived from many thousands of crop correspondents, representing every agricultural neighborhood in the United States and covering a

75922°-увк 1914-17

movement from city and town to the farm that has taken place during the last dozen years.

A questionnaire was sent to about 45,000 crop correspond-They were requested to report to what extent, in the ents. region for which they were to answer, there had been a movement of population from cities and towns to the country for the purpose of engaging in agriculture either as the chief or as a secondary object of country life. For guidance in adopting uniformity of analysis by correspondents, it was suggested that the movement to farm ownership be divided into three distinctive elements, namely, to buy farms, primarily to live on them and to engage in farming operations; primarily for country homes during a part of the year, farming operations being of secondary account; and to buy farms for country homes and farming operations while continuing occupations in the near-by city or town. To the analysis of the ownership movement were added the tenancy and the labor movements. In addition to the suggested analysis, correspondents were requested to make their answers fit the facts of the local field in all other respects. The returns were made with evident intelligence and understanding of the subject.

GEOGRAPHY OF THE MOVEMENT.

This movement to the farm has existed in at least small proportions in all States—hardly perceptible in most of the Southern States, in the more exclusively agricultural ones in other sections, and in the sparsely inhabited ones, but most noticeable in New England, the Middle States, and the North Central States east of the Mississippi River, and quite generally as an accompaniment, if not a consequent, of near-by urban conditions.

FIVE MAIN CLASSES.

Five main classes appear in this movement: First, there is ownership of the farm, with residence thereon throughout the year, with exclusive devotion to agriculture, and this is the chief class.

Second, there is a small class, with ownership of the farm, residence throughout the year, some devotion to agriculture, and with continuance of former occupation in the near-by city or town. Third, the farm is owned for seasonal residence, with some devotion to agriculture; this movement is small, but is steadily advancing and occupying a larger and larger part of the farming area.

The fourth class is a slight movement to hire farms, and the fifth is the movement of wage labor to farms almost entirely for temporary employment.

OWNERSHIP FOR RESIDENCE DURING THE WHOLE YEAR. MANY SORTS OF PEOPLE WHO BECOME FARMERS.

City and town families that have acquired the ownership of farms, moved to them for permanent residence, and engaged in agriculture, thereby abandoning former occupations, have been numerous enough in many counties to attract attention. Among such families have been those of small tradesmen, who gave up a hopeless struggle in an overdone retail business and sought in the country a small farm where the cost of living was cheaper and where a more independent existence could be maintained. Merchants who have failed in business and have been unable to reestablish themselves have followed a similar course to escape the mischances of employment.

Mechanics have become small farmers, and so have common laborers, coal miners, steel-mill operatives, railroad employees, salesmen, and clerks. To this list may be added school teachers, sailors, oil-well employees, and sawmill men.

The object of these people has often been, not to follow the pursuit of agriculture in its all-around completeness, but to adopt it in small ways and in some of its features, as preferable to uncertain business and employment, with the expectation of finding a more independent living.

RETURNING TO FORMER LIFE.

Quite generally the men who have given up city and town occupations and become farm owners lived on a farm or in the country in boyhood; it has been infrequent that a perfectly raw city man has become a farmer.

Some of the men who have turned against the occupations of the city and town were farmers' sons who were lured from the farm by perhaps the false prospect of higher wages, and subsequently learned that the cost of living in the city was higher than on the farm, and that the money rate of wages in the city was deceptive. Some of these young men have been satisfied to return to the farm after finding that they made no headway in the city.

Other farmers' sons who have gone to the city have undertaken to carry on a small business, perhaps with insufficient capital, and have failed, and some of these men have returned to agriculture.

In some small regions it was discovered that the return to the farm included in its movement "retired" farmers who had moved to the town with the expectation of spending the remainder of their days there, but had become homesick or had found town life to be short of expectations.

It has often happened that men who have passed middle age and have not advanced in city occupations as they hoped, found themselves with small means and with their economic prospect becoming more and more unpromising. These men have contributed considerably to the movement to agriculture—considerably in numbers, but not usually so in performance. While most of them have been farmers' sons or have lived in the country in boyhood, others have had little or no experience in agriculture or country life, and have had a hard struggle to establish themselves.

COLONIES OF VARIOUS SORTS.

Agricultural colonies, while not very numerous, have yet been noticeable. The most successful ones have been those that were established for the single purpose of getting a living. Italians have gone to Arkansas, for instance, and have engaged in fruit culture and other lines of agriculture; colonies of Poles have left Chicago to become farmers in Texas, and so on with people of other nationalities. These people, however, were an agricultural people in Europe, and have lived in the cities of this country only temporarily in order that they might accumulate savings sufficient to establish themselves as farmers.

Agricultural colonies of another sort have been established and maintained under the control of a competent outside management, as, for instance, the Jewish colonies in New Jersey, New York, and New England, and the Salvation Army colonies. Agricultural colonies of still another sort have been those that were held together, sometimes poorly so, or briefly, by some bond of social or religious or economic theory. New colonies of this sort have been very few within the last dozen years.

PREFERENCE FOR COUNTRY LIFE.

It has by no means been true that the movement from city and town to the farm for permanent residence has included only those men who were more or less failures in their occupations, men who have not thriven to their satisfaction, and men who have desired to escape the uncertainties of employment for wages. This migration has included many men of means and agricultural intelligence, sometimes men who have accumulated a competence. These men have not been forced out to the farm by economic pressure, but they have followed lines of economic pleasure and preference for country life, and with some of them there has been a longdeferred realization of a dream of happy life in a farm home, often with surroundings of a beautiful nature.

ECONOMIC INDEPENDENCE.

The motives that have actuated this movement from city and town to the farm for permanent residence have been very numerous. Many of these have already incidentally been mentioned. Perhaps as prevalent a motive as any has been the belief that an economic independence of the family can be maintained by poultry raising or, at any rate, largely so. It was easy to demonstrate this with pencil and paper before establishing or acquiring a "poultry farm," as the advertisements called it. In the more promising plans for changing from city to farm life, provision has been made for truck crops, berries, and perhaps small fruits, with poultry as an adjunct.

The keeping of boarders from the city during the summer and early autumn has become such an extensive business that city families have acquired small farms for the purpose of combining agriculture with the keeping of boarders.

Other families have been forced to the farm to secure better health, and still others have joined the movement in

261

order that they might take their children from a bad environment in the city. Now and then a city family falls heir to a farm and takes possession of the inheritance.

HOMESTEADING.

During the period under review much homesteading has been done by city and town residents in extensive parts of the country where public land could be acquired. In movements of this sort city and town residents participated quite as generally as country people did, but many of them did so purely for speculative purposes.

Not all of those who acquired homesteads for permanent residence remained, for the reason that they did not have the stamina to stick, were unwilling to bear the privations, or had "bad luck," which may have meant almost anything.

ACQUISITION OF LAND BY THE LANDLESS.

This movement "back to the lend" for permanent residence and exclusive devotion to agriculture has been mostly an economic one, but, with small exception, there has been no general consciousness of participation in such a movement. There has, however, been a conscious satisfaction in the acquisition of land by the landless. Farmers, who had previously been tenants in cities, have regarded the ownership of a farm, although a small one, and residence in the country, as important improvements in economic position.

GLAMOUR IMPARTED TO PROPOSITIONS.

In very recent years attempts have been made to throw a sort of glamour over poultry keeping and small farming propositions as affording independence to the salary earner who will move away from the city or town. The representations and encouragement given have met with much failure, and yet on the contrary with some successful response, especially from men who have held on to their salaries while testing the propositions.

INVESTMENT AND SPECULATION.

While the movement of city people to the farm for permanent residence has been perceptible during the last dozen .years in the Northern States east of the Mississippi River and in small spots elsewhere, there has been much buying of farms by city and town people for investment and speculation and not for their own residence.

There has been a belief by local investors and city people desiring to own, if not to live in, country homes, that the last chance had come to get a farm at any but an exorbitant price.

This movement to purchase for investment and for speculation has been more common in the South and in the North Central States than elsewhere. As one correspondent reports, "more townspeople have bought farms for speculation, or investment and income, while continuing to live in town, than to secure a home or change from city to country."

NONRESIDENT OWNERSHIP TO SECURE FOOD SUPPLIES.

Still another class of farm buyers who have continued to live in the city has been composed of men who operate their farms through a manager or let them to tenants, the principal motive being to have a near-by source of supply of milk, butter, vegetables, etc., and to have products of high quality.

The farm owners of this sort are well-to-do and wealthy. Their farms are a source of daily happiness to them and their families, and are places to which they drive with pleasure for inspection and direction.

This sort of farm owning is found perhaps more generally in the South than elsewhere. Merchants, lawyers, physicians, bankers, etc., buy farms near town, not to live on them, but to operate them with a manager, or tenant, and to drive out to them daily. These farms are looked upon partly as investments and partly as luxuries. In some cities it is quite the proper thing for a family of means to own a nearby farm as an adjunct to city life, and ride out to the farm daily and obtain from it a table supply of "fresh" things.

CAUSES OF FAILURE.

The movement from city and town to farms for permanent residence has not been uniformly successful; indeed the failures have been considerable. Success in agriculture requires a great variety of knowledge and much experience. A successful farmer of the present time may need considerable knowledge of chemistry, of bacteriology, of economic cntomology, of the physiology and pathology of plants and animals, of plant and animal breeding, of fungicides and insecticides, of the conservation of soil moisture, of botany, pomology, viticulture, of horticulture in general, and certainly much concerning the practical handling and marketing of his products.

Not only must the watchfulness of the farmer be unremitting, but his essential labor is exacting, often strenuous, daily and seasonally long sustained, requiring physical endurance as well as ready adaptability. The migrant from the city has not always known of these requirements, and is then poorly prepared to meet them.

Many city people who have gone to farms to live have failed because of inexperience and because of visionary projects. When they needed to depend on hired labor they have been unable to find laborers to employ or, if they found them, the laborers have been too untrustworthy and ignorant, and frequently the employers have lacked experience in their management.

Some of these farmers from the city have not been provided with sufficient capital, they have become discouraged because they have failed to get profit at once, they have had absurd expectations of profit, they have unexpectedly found a hard life, and some of them have been deceived by real estate agents into making unwise purchases of land that practically no one could have kept.

In the movement to new land many settlers were duped by real estate agents into buying land where farming operations were carried on against great obstacles and where failure was a natural result.

Overvaluation of land and excessive prices imposed upon it have often been a burden against which the purchaser from the city has struggled in vain. There are regions, large as well as small, throughout which agricultural land prices have risen above a fair economic level, and the situation has been disadvantageous to the new farmer with limited means. Either he has been cramped for capital or he has borrowed because of the exaggerated valuation, and he has had to work and strive, to this extent, against an artificial situation. For this reason many have failed to secure a footing as farmers, and also because of this condition many have been unable to make the venture.

LARGE PROPORTION OF SUCCESS.

On the contrary, a large proportion of the farmers from the city have successfully established themselves, although more generally in small ways than in large ones. Not all of them found poultry raising a losing venture, especially when combined with vegetables, berries, and small fruits.

In some cases, to bring in a money income, it was necessary that one member of the family should continue to work at his mechanical trade or in the mill while the other members of the family maintained the farm. Success in the farming venture depended largely on the knowledge previously acquired as well as on the experience that developed in the undertaking.

The farms acquired by city families for permanent residence have usually been small ones—from 5 to 10 acres and upward to 40 or 50 acres.

OWNERSHIP AND RESIDENCE, WITH URBAN OCCUPATION.

FARM LIFE GAINED.

In the movement from city and town to agriculture there has been a small amount of farm buying by men who continued their occupations in the city and town and who lived on the farm with their families when not following their occupations. This movement is found mostly east of the Mississippi River and in the Northern States.

Farms of this class have sometimes been large ones and the farming operations of considerable account, but in other cases the cultivated acres have been few in number and the products confined to vegetables, fruit, and poultry.

The men who have entered this movement have been engaged in business, or in the professions, and also they have been workingmen and laborers. Correspondents have specified merchants, bankers, physicians, manufacturers, clerks, salesmen, glassblowers, miners, mechanics, and so on.

PLEASURE AND ECONOMIC BETTERMENT.

Various motives have been back of this class of movement. In some cases the purpose has been to acquire a country home by families not owning, nor able to own, a home in the city. The workingman has desired to establish himself on the land and has continued working at his trade in the near-by city while other members of his family have done the farm work.

The wealthy merchant has found pleasure in changing the place of his home from the city to a near-by farm, without giving up his occupation, and a similar conclusion applies to other business and professional men.

Some city families have moved out to a near-by farm, while the head of the family continued his occupation in the city, for the purpose of plainer and cheaper living; other families have made the change for reasons of health.

This movement to agriculture, therefore, presents evidence of both pleasure and economic betterment as underlying motives.

Some degree of failure has accompanied this movement. Sometimes the prices paid for the farms have been much too high and the mortgage has been too burdensome to carry. Often dependence on hired labor, its scarcity and incompetence, and the want of effective supervision, or of any supervision, have caused the failure of the undertaking.

FACILITIES FOR SUBURBAN TRAVEL.

The farms covered by this movement have necessarily been in the neighborhood of cities and towns. It has been made possible by the trolley road, the railroads, the commuters' trains, by the automobile, and by good country roads, but these facilities of travel make speculative land prices possible, and such prices count against a profitable agriculture.

OWNERSHIP FOR RESIDENCE DURING A SEASON.

ALTERNATE CITY OR COUNTRY HOME.

The city and town families that own farms for occupancy during the summer and perhaps a part of the autumn are a distinctive and somewhat uniform class. These families maintain at least two homes, one in the city or town, one on the farm, and perhaps another on the seashore, in the forest, or at some place in the country without attempt at agriculture. A large fraction of these farm occupiers are wealthy, and the remainder, as a rule, are at least well-to-do. With most of them agriculture is a mere incident of their country life, not carried on for profit, but to assist in the maintenance of the establishment, or for pleasure.

There are some, however, who devote special attention to agriculture, and their farms are noted, at least locally, for the quality of products and the high character of equipment.

Within this class of farms are included some of those that are appended to the costly country "estates" of such places as Lenox and the southern Hudson River Valley.

HIGHLY LOCALIZED MOVEMENT.

This class of movement to agriculture is found mostly east of the Mississippi River, in a large degree in the North, and to some extent in some of the Southern States.

It is a localized movement and extends along the seashore and lake shore, into the forest, along the river, and among the mountains, or into any picturesque healthful rural region. It is most in evidence in New England, the Middle States, Virginia, Ohio, Michigan, and Wisconsin.

The motives that are back of the movement are largely the same as those that actuate the general movement to the country and to lakes and mountains in the summer by boarders and tourists—change of environment, recreation, pleasure. The city man who has a farm for a summer home takes his family there for pleasure, for promotion of health, and sometimes for social contact with families of the same sort. In some social circles it is good form to maintain a country "estate."

SOMETIMES WITH QUASI-ECONOMIC CHARACTER.

To some extent this movement has an economic character. A man who can afford to own two homes, one on a farm, may, if he pleases, find it cheaper to maintain his family on the farm during the growing season than to maintain it in town or at a hotel at some summer resort. Many of the abandoned farms in New England have been acquired by city men and have been converted by them into summer homes, with some attempt at agriculture.

The only part of this movement that has been distinctively economic has been the small migration of Northern
men to land owned by them in Florida for the purpose of raising early vegetables for the Northern markets, with return to the North at the end of the season.

EXOTIC FEATURES.

The results of this seasonal movement in many States are not entirely pleasing to the "native" farmers among whom it extends. The farms of wealthy people who use them for summer homes employ high-priced labor, some of it obtained locally and some brought from other places, and this gives an upward tendency to wages of farm labor around about.

Most of these summer "farmers" hold aloof from the local population. They do not support local interests, and contribute nothing to the permanent social, educational, and religious life of the people. They are often examples of extravagance and tend to create unrest among farmers' boys and girls, who begin to fear that labor is not respectable and who begin to dream of more costly styles of living.

The farms of these people who come from cities and towns to occupy them during a season are often highly capitalized, but not all of them are operated at a loss. As examples of agriculture they are not always suitable object lessons for the resident farmers, but sometimes they are. Some of these summer farms, on the contrary, are treated very poorly, are much neglected, and provide hardly more than pasturage and a garden. Some are small and have no manager, nor even a single laborer when not occupied by the owner's family.

THE OLD HOMESTEAD.

Some city and town men who buy farms are in a class in which the three classes already considered are merged. These are the men who were farmers' sons, left the farm to enter occupations other than agriculture, and in the prosperous years of later life buy "the old homestead" for sentimental reasons.

What they do with the farm depends upon circumstances; it may become a home throughout the year, or for a season of the year, or it may be placed in charge of a manager, or let to a cash or share tenant. In any event, improvements more or less costly are made, and agricultural production is usually stimulated, but the farm is not always made financially self-sustaining.

FARM TENANTS.

LITTLE RECRUITING FROM URBAN LIFE.

Only to a very small extent do city and town families move into the country to become farm tenants. Farm owners are reluctant to let their farms to men without agricultural experience and without abilities above those of the mere laborer. It sometimes happens that a man who has worked as a tenant has moved to the city to get other employment and eventually returns to tenant farming.

During the last dozen years, among the city and town inhabitants who have returned to the farm to become tenants have been laborers, coal miners, cotton-mill hands in the South, and men in various lines of industrial employment. During the industrial depression of 1908 there was an increase in this movement back to tenant farming. Another cause of renewal of this sort of farming is the discovery that the cost of living in the city is higher than on the farm.

The families that have gone to tenant farms from city and town life have not always remained during the whole year, but, in some cases, they have returned to town after harvest. Some city families with small agricultural knowledge have been able to obtain tenancies on account of the scarcity of applicants with more experience, and others have joined the movement for the purpose of demonstrating whether they could succeed as owners, the ultimate object being to buy a farm.

This movement from city and town to farm tenancy is widely distributed throughout the country, yet it is so small that it is hardly discoverable even where most in evidence.

LABOR MOVEMENT.

SEASONAL MIGRATION.

Labor in great variety migrates seasonally from cities and towns to near-by farms and even to distant farms for short terms of employment. The necessity for extra labor is felt mostly at the time of harvest. Among the crops harvested by this city labor, during the dozen years under review, have been wheat, corn, potatoes, hay, hops, and sugar beets. To these should be added, out of a long list, grapes, apples, oranges, peaches, and prunes. In Florida and California there is often pressing need for extra labor in harvesting fruits and vegetables.

Among the vegetables that have required city labor have been cucumbers, cantaloupes, pease, string beans, and tomatoes; and among the berries, strawberries, raspberries, and blackberries. Cranberry picking requires much extra labor and so does sugar-cane grinding and cotton picking.

Some of the negroes who have migrated from country to town go to cotton plantations at picking time, being carried out in the wagons of the planters and returned to the town at night.

Rarely is extra labor needed anywhere for planting or seeding, but it has been employed in some places in the cultivation of various crops and in chopping cotton. The need for this labor is specially felt in the care of crops that require hand weeding. Extra labor has sometimes been drawn from cities to cut corn and husk it, and for the purpose of thrashing grain and of baling hay and hauling it to the shipping station.

"A great deal of the work done on the farms of the United States," states Wallace's Farmer], "is done by migratory labor. Harvesters commence in Texas, and go up to Kansas and Nebraska, the Dakotas, and Minnesota. In olden times they passed their winters in the lumber camps, and in these days they 'hold up' in Chicago for the winter. In California tens of thousands of tent men move north with the season. There is more or less migratory labor in the corn belt, but its migratory character is not so pronounced as in the wheat harvest. We have farmers who hire help only for the summer and furnish no winter employment, and we have farmers who need help only in harvest."

CLASSES OF LABORERS.

The persons who leave city and town to work temporarily on farms are women and children as well as men. Women are mentioned as Italians and other foreign-born, and the children are boys from orphanages, students, and highschool boys, as well as the children of the women who do this work and children of other working people. College boys have participated in this movement, and so have industrial workers out of employment or on a strike, soldiers' home inmates, and ''tramps."

This sort of labor is in demand seasonally and wherever there is large or specialized production, and the movement is pretty well distributed throughout the whole country.

CHANGES OF ECONOMIC INDUCEMENTS.

To draw labor from the city to the farm it is necessary that wages should be high enough to attract the laborer. Labor drifts away from the farm to the city and sometimes returns as the economic situation changes. Perhaps an industrial depression will return some of this labor to the farm, or perhaps a shop or factory closes several weeks in the summer, during which time some of the employees work on neighboring farms rather than remain idle.

Hop picking, in great fields on the Pacific coast and in New York, has been sought partly as an occasion for a frolic as well as for wages, and the novelty of experience in farm labor has been an element in attracting labor from the city to the farm; but, notwithstanding, the labor movement under consideration is an economic one. It is also a movement that returns whence it came. The participants are largely persons who have engaged in this movement before, but from year to year there are recruits and deserters.

Relatively few persons coming out from the city and town, in this movement, remain on the farm, first as laborers and perhaps eventually to become tenants and owners. These permanent recruits to agriculture are too few to be regarded as a perceptible current of supply.

QUALITY OF MUCH OF THIS LABOR.

Much of the labor that goes from city to farm is "not worth much," or "not worth a rush," to use the expressions of correspondents. The man from the city often dislikes farm work; rural life is too tame for him; he misses the noise and excitement of the city.

272 Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

City-bred men have little knack in handling farm animals and machinery and have to be taught to work on the farm. Much of the labor participating in this movement is low class in efficiency and is accepted by farmers as an alternative of losing some of their crops. In many communities laborers from the city will not work on farms unless a situation develops in which it is a question of labor or starvation, and even the imminence of this situation does not generally seem to compel the movement.

On the other hand, to avoid employing laborers from cities or perhaps in want of any hirable laborers at all, farmers in the same neighborhood cooperate to harvest and to thrash. By means of such cooperation and of an increased use of machinery the former demand for hired labor has been diminished outside of the cotton country.

CONCLUSION.

IN THE COUNTERCURRENTS THE FARM LOSES.

After the foregoing summary and analysis, it is plain that the movement "back to the land" very poorly offsets, to the extent of its meager proportions, the loss to agriculture of the farmers' sturdy sons who leave that occupation to enter industry, trade, the professions, and other occupations.

This movement from city and town to agriculture is highly varied, and covers a wide range of quality, quantity, and efficiency in the contributions. The principal class in the movement is composed of those who become farm owners for residence through the whole year, yet this is not a large movement. It is a phenomenon of the denser populations and is most in evidence in the North, east of the Mississippi River; indeed, it is almost entirely confined to this region.

It is also mostly an economic movement, in which are included the farm of the immigrant, who has remained in the city long enough to earn and save money for a first payment on a farm; the small holding of the city man who has failed, or done poorly, or is dissatisfied with working for wages, or who has become discouraged, after middle life, with the hopelessness of his city occupation; the farm of the mechanic, workingman, salesman, and other wage earners who seek a more independent livelihood; and the more pretentious farm of the man who has come from the city well equipped with capital and knowledge.

The recruiting of agriculture from city and town receives little support from those few families that move out to farms while the head of the family continues his occupation in the city, traveling in and out morning and evening. But a practice in the South somewhat related to this, in which the family lives in the city or town and the farm is maintained as an adjunct to the city and town life, is of some account in promoting agriculture.

In the maintenance of summer homes on farms by city families there has long been a movement toward incidental agriculture, which has grown to very noticeable proportions in some regions. It is not mostly an economic movement, nor is agriculture its objective point, but in the aggregate considerable agriculture results. These people do not usually mix with the resident population nor participate in local affairs.

The movement of city and town families to farm tenancy is too small to be noticeable. The hired labor drawn to the farms from the cities and towns is considerably localized outside of the cotton belt and, where found, is often of large account to the farmers in time of harvest. This labor vanishes from the farm in a few days or weeks, or in the cotton belt at the end of cotton picking, some of it to return the next year.

From the farms there is a migration to cities and towns, and there are small return currents, but these return currents, to the extent of their size, do not make good the losses of the farm in the characteristics required for an effective agricultural population, with noticeable exceptions in the cases of some classes of those who move to the farm for permanent residence and exclusive devotion to agriculture, among whom are immigrants from foreign countries.

IS A BACK-TO-THE-LAND MOVEMENT NEEDED?

The agricultural population is a diminishing fraction of the total population in nearly all industrial countries for the reason that agricultural labor is made more and more efficient by the use of machines and by better agricultural processes. In the United States on the average in every three families one is devoted to agriculture. To what extent

75922°-увк 1914----18

274 Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

a "back-to-the-land" movement is needed for economic reasons and to increase the food supply has been much discussed in these recent years of high food prices. The movement from the farms is popularly deplored and regarded as a national calamity, and the contrary aspect of the matter has hardly been noticed. This contrary aspect is presented by a recent writer.¹

ASPECT OUTSIDE OF FIELD OF AGRICULTURE.

The movement back to the land, such as it is, has an aspect outside of the field of agriculture, as the foregoing analysis amply shows. This aspect is composed of the effects on the individuals who participate in the movement. These effects are economic and relate also to health, recreation, pleasure, and the elements of what we call "living," which are not exclusively economic. From these points of view alone encouragement of the movement can offer justification.

NATIONAL SELF-SUFFICIENCY.

On the other hand, from the point of view of National self-sufficiency in agricultural production, the fact still is that the National surplus of this production is enormous. In addition to cotton, which is half of the value of this surplus, the numerous products which are in excess of the requirements of National consumption contribute half a billion dollars annually to the value of exports, and grain and grain products and meat and meat products still present enormous totals and remain the second and third general classes of exports in order of value.

NATURE OF THE PRESENT AND FUTURE PROBLEM.

The problem of maintaining the National agricultural production at the least no lower than sufficient for a generous National consumption does not yet depend on the exodus to agriculture of a population of low efficiency from cities and towns for the reason that the Nation still possesses a large reserve in its present agricultural population. The real problem in its practical form is the holding of this reserve on the soil or, at the least, the prevention of its depletion below the point of National requirements.

¹ Farm Management, by Dr. G. F. Warren.

OUR SHOREBIRDS AND THEIR FUTURE.

By Wells W. Cooke, Assistant Biologist, Bureau of Biological Survey.

INTRODUCTION.

 \cap HOREBIRDS¹ were found by the early settlers of this 5 country in vast numbers on the coasts, the inland lakes, and even on the prairies, and while comparatively few now remain it was not until the early seventies that there was a marked lessening of their numbers. Since then shorebirds have been so persecuted that vigorous measures must be taken, and immediately, to save them. The principal causes contributing to their extermination are-the cultivation of the prairies of the Mississippi Valley, thus limiting the nesting and feeding grounds of the birds; the settling up of Argentina, their principal winter home, so that the birds are now under fire throughout the winter season; the decrease in number of ducks and geese, a circumstance which leads hunters to turn their attention to smaller game; the increase in the number of gunners, not only because of a larger population, but also because nowadays men and boys can easily obtain cheap modern guns; and the advent of the automobile, which takes hunters easily and quickly into remote places for week-end hunts. Under such conditions it is no wonder that shorebirds are being decimated and are rapidly disappearing from all their old haunts.

The problem of protecting our shorebirds is complicated by their extended migrations, which, part of the year, carry most of them outside the jurisdiction of the United States, for these birds are the champion long-distance migrants of the world. Few shorebirds put less than a thousand miles between their winter and summer homes, and most of them make a trip of several thousand miles each way. It is no exaggeration to say that most shorebirds nest close to the Arctic Circle and winter as near the Antarctic as they can find

¹ The term "shorebirds," as here used, includes the snipe, woodcock, curlew, avocet, plover, godwit, killdeer, and yellowlegs, as well as the host of sandpipers and the little "peeps" which swarm along the sea beaches.

land on which to search for food; the longest migration trip is that of the knot, which breeds on the edge of land nearest the North Pole and in winter seeks bleak Patagonia and even more distant Tierra del Fuego. A distance of 9,500 miles, from latitude 83° N. to latitude 55° S., separates the extremes of the knot's winter and summer habitats. Only one other bird in the world breaks this record—the Arctic tern. The tern does not nest any farther north than the knot, but since it gleans its food from the ocean it needs no land during the winter and spends that season along the edge of the Antarctic ice pack a thousand miles or more beyond the southern extremity of South America.

The two members of the shorebird group most important from the standpoint of the sportsman are the Wilson snipe and the woodcock. These two species are so retiring in their habits that they are seldom seen, except by those hunting especially for them, and their food is such that they are neutral in their relation to agriculture. Apparently they are serving their highest usefulness when they become the quest of the hunter, and for this purpose they have no superiors. Fortunately both these birds have comparatively short migration The snipe breeds in Canada and winters in the routes. United States (see map, fig. 16), while the woodcock scarcely passes our boundaries during any time of year, and its migration consists merely in withdrawing during the winter season into the southern part of its breeding range (see map, fig. 17).

THE WILSON SNIPE.

The Wilson snipe, often called the English snipe, and usually the bird referred to when snipe shooting is mentioned, is the principal game target among the shorebirds. It is found over nearly all of North America (see map, fig. 16), and being a dweller of thickets and marshes, where usually it can be shot only when on the wing, its pursuit appeals to the real sportsman; moreover, so sudden, rapid, and irregular is its flight that it taxes the highest skill of the marksman. Snipe shooting has the recognized merit that it furnishes the largest returns of legitimate outdoor sport for the smallest loss of game-bird life, and if such sport is to be possible hereafter three essentials must be realized: (1) an increase, if possible, but no decrease in the supply of birds; (2) such an arrangement of open and closed seasons that whatever birds there are shall yield the greatest amount of sport for the number killed; and (3) (especially in the case



FIG. 16.-Distribution of the Wilson snipe (Gallinago delicata).

of the Wilson snipe) legal provision for distributing the number of snipe killed among the largest possible number of sportsmen.

All snipe hunters will agree that snipe have decreased decidedly in the past 25 years, and every student of the subiect knows that this decrease is due principally to winter shooting in the southern part of the United States. The snipe nest principally in Canada, some even pushing north to the limit of tree growth almost to the Arctic Ocean, while a few nest in northern United States and south to the latitude of New York City (see map, fig. 16). They seem reluctant to return south in fall, even though they can have no appreciation of the constant persecution which awaits them during the six months' sojourn in their winter home. A few migrants appear in the northern part of the United States in early September, and, moving slowly southward, reach the southern part of the Gulf States shortly after the middle of October. Soon the main body of the birds follows, and all normally keep south of the line of frozen ground. Yet every winter some laggards remain much farther north, feeding about springs or streams. few can usually be found on Cape Cod, Mass., while in the Rocky Mountains, near Sweetwater Lake, Colo., the presence of warm springs has enabled snipe to remain throughout an entire winter, though the air temperature fell to 30° F. below zero.

The number of weeks between the time migrants appear in the Northern States in sufficient numbers to afford fair shooting and that when most of the birds have been forced south by freezing weather marks the bounds set by nature to the length of the fall snipe-hunting season, usually from six to seven weeks in this section of the country. If all sportsmen are to have an even chance under the law, open seasons must be so regulated that the gunners in the middle and the southern parts of the country will be restricted to the same number of weeks. Unfortunately, under existing State laws the opposite condition prevails. Most of the Northern States do not open the snipe-shooting season until September 1-New York not until September 16-and there is not much good hunting after early November. On the other hand, when the birds reach the Gulf States in October they find the legal hunting season already open, and under the State laws they are subject to a continuous fusillade during the entire time of their residence in the South until

they depart the following spring for their northern breeding grounds.

Under this system the southern gunner has a shooting season about three times as long as that of his northern competitor and also a chance to bag even more than three times as many birds, because the Wilson snipe while in migration is erratic and likely to occur in numbers for only a few days in any one swamp or thicket, but after it reaches its winter home it congregates in certain favorite localities, where it is abundant every day throughout the entire winter. Winter shooting at these places in the Gulf and South Atlantic States is responsible for the heavy falling off in the numbers of the Wilson snipe. How they abounded formerly and how they were slaughtered by southern gunners is forcibly shown by the record of a single hunter in Louisiana, who, during the 20 years from 1867 to 1887, killed 69,087 birds, an average of 3,500 snipe a winter. In 1870 about 100 snipe were killed by this man for each day that he hunted. The maximum was reached in 1875, with 150 birds a day; this fell to 100 in 1880 and to 80 in 1887. Individual days far exceeded these average figures. The highest score for seven consecutive shooting days was reached in 1877, when, on December 8, 270 snipe were killed; December 10, 255; December 11, 366; December 13, 271; December 15, 286; December 17, 233; and December 19, 262-an average of 278 a day and a total of 1,943 birds in seven days of shooting. The bag on December 11-366 snipe—is supposed to be the world's record for slaughter by one man in one day.

With such butchery in its winter home, it is no wonder that the numbers of the Wilson snipe have markedly decreased. Aside from other considerations, such wholesale destruction is contrary to sound business principles. Among the better class of sportsmen such a hunter is sometimes called a "game hog," whether he shoots for his own table and that of his friends, as was the case in the instance cited above, or whether he is a plain market hunter, who kills thousands of birds as a means of obtaining a livelihood. Had these 3,500 snipe been obtained in one season by a hundred different gunners in widely separated localities, instead of by one man in one place, their sport value to the community would have been increased many fold.

) Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

Fortunately the breeding grounds of most of the Wilson snipe are in Canada, where the birds are protected by both law and custom throughout the nesting season. Moreover, their nesting sites are on land that will not for many years, possibly not for several generations, be used for agricultural purposes. Hence there is provided in Canada an enormous and favorable breeding area for these game birds, a region which formerly supported a snipe population many times more numerous than at present and which will continue to return to us in the United States each fall a liberal increase on whatever numbers we may allow to cross our northern border in spring.

The snipe has suffered in numbers probably more severely from spring shooting than any other shorebird. In spring migration it is not confined to any special district, as the coast or large bodies of inland water or the plains, but it occurs over nearly every square mile of its range, and in the past has run the gantlet of gunners throughout the whole course of its northward flight. Snipe shooting is at its height early in March in the central part of the South and early in April in the region just south of the breeding range; but pursuit ceases and security comes at the northern boundary of the United States. Our Canadian cousins have set us a most praiseworthy example in absolutely abolishing spring shooting; once the snipe has escaped beyond the fire of Uncle Sam's gunners it is safe from human molestation throughout the nesting season and until it begins the fall migration. It is uneconomical, to say the least, to kill birds in March and April while on their way to the breeding grounds, for if left undisturbed they will certainly return six months later with 50 to 100 per cent increase in number.

THE WOODCOCK.

Of late years much interest has centered around the efforts of the friends of the woodcock to obtain better laws for its protection and to educate the public to understand what a crime against nature and sportsmanship has constantly been committed in the hunting of this, one of North America's finest game birds. The habits of the woodcock are such that if given reasonable protection it will thrive and continue to be common even in a thickly settled country.

280

Yearbook U. S. Dept. of Agriculture, 1914.

PLATE XXI.



GOLDEN PLOVER (CHARADRIUS DOMINICUS). [Lower figure, winter plumage; upper figure, summer plumage.]

Yearbook U. S. Dept. of Agriculture, 1914.



ESKIMO CURLEW (NUMENIUS BOREALIS).

.

Yearbook U. S. Dept. of Agriculture, 1914.

PLATE XXIII.



GREATER YELLOWLEGS (TOTANUS MELANOLEUCUS).

It nests on waste ground unfit for agricultural purposes and requires, as an inducement to remain through the summer, only a few square rods of soft dirt which can easily be probed by its long, sharp bill. With a wide breeding range in the Eastern States and southern Canada, but almost entirely limited to the United States, its future rests almost altogether with the sportsmen of our own country.

Formerly the woodcock was abundant throughout this whole area of a million square miles, and large bags were expected each year by the army of sportsmen who looked forward to the woodcock season as the climax of the year. Now its thousands have become hundreds, and even the most ardent woodcock hunters are seriously considering the advisability of the prohibition of all woodcock shooting for a series of years until the bird shall have recuperated from its merciless persecution.

This near extinction has been brought about by three agencies—winter storms, spring shooting, and summer shooting. The woodcock winters in the Gulf States and as far north as it can find unfrozen ground (see map, fig. 17). Hence a very large percentage of the birds remain each winter in a zone of hazard, where at any time they are liable to be caught by an unusually severe freeze and brought to the verge of starvation. Scant mercy has been shown them by man at such times. For instance, one report states—

A cold wave, accompanied by a gale, struck the coast of South Carolina on the morning of December 27, 1892, * * * and thousands of woodcock were shot in the village of Mount Pleasant. They were everywhere in the yards, stables, streets, and even piazzas. Everyone was out after the birds and everyone had a bag full to overflowing. On that day alone fully 2,000 were killed. On December 28 they were so abundant that every clump of bushes contained 10 to 15 birds. One man killed 58 without moving from his "stand" except to pick up the birds he killed. The flight lasted six days.

A still worse calamity overtook the woodcock on the coast of South Carolina in 1899, when, on February 14, the temperature dropped to 26° F. below freezing—almost zero weather. The woodcock arrived in countless thousands.

They were everywhere and were completely bewildered. Tens of thousands were killed by would-be sportsmen and thousands were frozen to death. The great majority were so emaciated that they were practically all feathers, and of course were unable to withstand the cold. One man killed 200 pairs in a few hours.

282 Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

Even with the best of protection it would take many years for the woodcock of that district to recover from such a catastrophe.

The woodcock not only winters as far north as it can, but is the earliest spring migrant of the whole shorebird group, arriving in the latitude of New York City by the middle of March and reaching southern Canada by the end of that



FIG. 17.-Distribution of the woodcock (Philohela minor).

month. This is, of course, before the leaves appear, and the ease with which the birds can then be seen makes this the favorite woodcock season of the pothunter. But in the whole year no season more destructive to the woodcock could be chosen. It migrates early because it wishes to nest early; indeed in Louisiana some of the birds are so anxious to start their housekeeping betimes that they lay their eggs in December. Throughout that part of the range north of the winter home egg dates are so early as to make it certain that the birds are already mated when they arrive at the nesting grounds. Under such circumstances it is plain that spring shooting of woodcock is little less than barbarous.

But the pothunter is not willing to allow even the few young that may be raised a chance to grow to their full size and reach the condition in which they will afford real sport to a true sportsman. Hunters have been in the habit of beating the thicket for young birds only half to threequarters grown; and the July massacre of these immature and easily taken fledglings was the final stroke in the series of disasters which has brought this fine game bird to the verge of extinction.

THE UPLAND PLOVER.

Another of our fine game birds is the upland plover. It also is one of those whose numbers have been dangerously depleted in late years and largely because of spring shooting. The main route of its spring migration touches the United States along the coasts of Louisiana and Texas, and from the middle of March, when the flocks appear in Louisiana, where they are known as "papabotte," until early May, when they pass beyond our borders into Canada, their ranks are constantly thinning under the fire of sportsmen and pothunters. In April, 1899, one man in southern Louisiana killed 117 in one day. Formerly more than half the upland plover nested within the boundaries of the United States, but now breeding birds are uncommon from Kansas to North Dakota, where originally they were most abundant.

Unfortunately, some of this loss is unavoidable, since the upland plover, as its name implies, is a bird of the open prairie, making its nest on the ground. In the Dakotas and Nebraska, where in the days of the cattle range the bird nested abundantly on the native sod and was almost undisturbed by the cowboys, thousands of square miles have been turned by the plow and now give support to a large population of grain raisers and dairymen. The same thing has happened and is happening in southern Manitoba and eastern Saskatchewan, where the birds—known locally as the "quaillie"—used to be even more abundant than in the neighboring parts of the United States. However, the recent extension of the plover's range into northeastern United States partially offsets this and offers much encouragement for the future. To a bird of the open country the originally heavy forests of the Northeastern States offered scant inducements. With the clearing of the land the plover has gradually extended its range east and northeast until it has covered the open districts of this section of the country. Here its numbers have actually been increasing during the past few years, even with the scanty protection afforded by local game laws; and this may well serve to stimulate interest in the protection of the upland plover until this valuable game bird again becomes abundant.

The mountain plover—a misnomer, for it is rarely found in the mountains—is unknown to the sportsmen of the East, but on the plains at the foot of the Rocky Mountains it was formerly a common game bird. Indeed, in eastern Colorado 30 years ago so abundant was it and so highly esteemed for food that one man shot 126 birds in one day. Now it is rare, and needs full protection to prevent its absolute extermination.

OTHER SHOREBIRDS.

The same fate is impending over the avocet and the blacknecked stilt. When the first explorers crossed the Rockies on their way to the Pacific they found these two species nesting abundantly around all the larger lakes and marshes and at almost every place where permanent water insured a rank growth of vegetation. But these well-watered spots were naturally those most often visited by the explorers and hunters. As a result the avocet and black-necked stilt, being not naturally shy, have been completely exterminated over most of their range and only a few small flocks remain in the wildest and least accessible districts to serve as a nucleus which, under adequate protection, might save them from utter extinction.

The long-billed curlew is no better off. The largest of the shorebirds, it has been pursued because of its food value as well as for the sport it afforded. Its solitary habit has prevented large numbers being killed at one time, and its wariness has made the gunner earn whatever he obtained; nevertheless its summer home, where it was originally found in largest numbers—the northern plains region—has of late years become so thickly settled that the curlew has been forced out. Indeed, it has been practically exterminated on the south Atlantic coast, where it was formerly an abundant migrant, and it is one of the shorebirds likely to become extinct unless carefully protected.

The case of the willet is almost as deplorable, for its breeding range, which on the Atlantic coast once stretched from Florida to Nova Scotia, has become restricted to a few small colonies on the south Atlantic, while it has ceased to exist over most of the northern half of the plains, where it was once a common and conspicuous bird.

The godwit is another of the shorebirds that formerly nested in the prairie region of middle United States; now it breeds over less than a quarter of its former summer home and has ceased to appear on the Atlantic coast north of Florida, where it was once among the not uncommon migrants.

There are several species of shorebirds whose connection with North America is so casual that they would hardly increase, even if the best of protection were given them both in the United States and Canada. The sharp-tailed sandpiper breeds on the northern coast of Siberia, and in fall crosses to Alaska, thence back again to Asia, and by way of Japan and China reaches its winter home in Australia. Thus it breeds and winters in the Eastern Hemisphere and appears in the Western Hemisphere for only a few days during migration. The Pacific godwit breeds in Alaska, and of course can be protected during the few weeks of its nesting season there; but then it deserts the Western Hemisphere and winters in Australia, using the chain of the Aleutian and Commander Islands en route. The ringed plovers, which breed on Ellesmere Land and in Greenland, cross to the Eastern Hemisphere and make a European tour on their way to Africa for the winter. The turnstone uses both the godwit and plover routes, the individuals which breed in Ellesmere Land wintering in Africa and those which breed in Alaska wintering in Asia and Oceania.

Most shorebirds in their long migration journeys leave the protection of the United States either in summer or winter; but there is one group in the Pacific that has a wonderful migration route, and yet does not pass beyond our jurisdiction. Some golden plovers (Pl. XXI) breed in Alaska and winter

in Hawaii, and thus can be affected throughout the year by United States legislation. Many turnstones, sanderlings, bristle-thighed curlews, and wandering tattlers have the same distribution, and all of them make the journey from Alaska to Hawaii-2.000 miles-at a single flight, probably the longest single flight made by any birds in the world. It seems incredible that any bird can be capable of such a feat, yet thousands make this trip back and forth every spring and fall; and there can not be the slightest break in the flight, because between Alaska and Hawaii there is not so much as a single square foot of solid substance on which the birds can fold their wings and alight. How long a time is occupied in such trips is not now known, and may never be Most migratory birds, in crossing large areas of learned. water, start soon after sundown and reach their destination before morning. But the Pacific golden ployer flies the whole day as well as the whole night, and as it probably does not exceed a speed of 50 miles an hour, the single flight from Alaska to Hawaii consumes nearly twice 24 hours. How superior the bird's mechanism to the best aeroplane vet made! These feathered aeronauts remain in the air several times as long as the longest endurance test of the most modern aeroplane, and there is much the same difference in the efficiency of the two machines. The to and fro motion of the bird's wing would seem to be an uneconomical way of applying power, since all the force required to bring the wing forward to begin the stroke is more than wasted, because it increases the air friction and retards the speed. On the other hand, the screw propeller of the aeroplane has no lost motion. Yet less than 2 ounces of fuel in the form of body fat suffice to carry the bird at high speed over that 2,000-mile course. To be equally economical a 1,000-pound aeroplane would have to use only a single pint of gasoline in flying 20 miles instead of the gallon now used by the latest models.

THE ESKIMO CURLEW.

One of the most striking examples of the havoc wrought by man in the ranks of shorebirds is afforded by the Eskimo curlew (Pl.XXII). When Audubon visited the Labrador coast in 1833, he said of their numbers: "The accounts given of these birds border on the miraculous," and later, when he saw them for himself, he reports that they "arrived in such dense flocks as to remind me of the passenger pigeons." In 1860 Dr. Coues notes that the Eskimo curlew "arrived on the Labrador coast from its more northern breeding grounds in immense numbers, flying very swiftly in flocks of great extent," the flocks containing "many thousands." Even as late as the spring of 1884 the writer saw curlews by thousands going north across the Oklahoma prairies. To one seeing those apparently endless lines of birds flying swiftly by, day after day, it would have seemed as impossible that this curlew could ever be exterminated as it did to the early settlers that the passenger pigeon should become a bird of the past.

To understand how the great reduction of their numbers was brought about so speedily one must understand the summer and winter distribution of the Eskimo curlew and its routes of migration.

The enormous ellipse of the Eskimo curlew route-6,000 miles in its longer and 2,000 miles in its shorter axis-is adopted by several other species of shorebirds, among which are the dowitcher, stilt, white-rumped and semipalmated sandpipers, lesser yellow legs, and golden plover. Various theories have been advanced to account for this eccentric The simplest explanation seems to be that which course. may be laid down as the fundamental law underlying the choice of all migration routes. Birds lay out that course between the winter and summer homes which is the shortest and at the same time furnishes them most plentiful and attractive food supplies. The seven birds named are birds of treeless regions; they summer on the tundras and winter. on the pampas. An unlimited food supply, especially palatable, attracts them in fall to Labrador, whence they take the most direct route to South America. To attempt to return by the same course in spring would be suicidal, for Labrador awakens slowly from its winter sleep and at the time of spring migration is still covered with ice and snow.

The principal breeding range was on the Barren Grounds near the Arctic coast in the northern part of the Canadian Province of Mackenzie (see map, fig. 18); the birds wintered for the most part in the campos region of southern Argentina and northern Patagonia. As soon as the fledglings were large enough to care for themselves, old and young hastened to



FIG. 18.—Distribution and migration of the Eskimo curlew (Numenius borealis).

the coast of Labrador to feast on the so-called "curlew berries." After a few weeks of gorging they flew across the Gulf of St. Lawrence to Nova Scotia and thence launched out over the ocean for a 2,400-mile flight. Reaching South America they crossed the eastern part of Brazil to their winter resort in Patagonia. In spring the whole course of their fall migration was abandoned and the curlews made their way north by a route some thousands of miles farther west over the prairies of the Mississippi Valley. Here the bird was abundant and well known. It arrived in Texas in early March and journeyed at a leisurely pace toward the breeding grounds, being common in April from Kansas to South Dakota.

These enormous flocks now exist only in memory; scarcely a dozen individual birds have been seen in the last dozen The cause of their disappearance is not far to vears. seek. The Eskimo curlew was undisturbed by human foes during the whole of its summer sojourn in the Arctic, and only a small percentage were shot on the Labrador coast; but after arriving in Argentina in the middle of September, for a five months' stay, the birds scattered over the pampas and were continually a mark for hungry sportsmen. Later, from early March to early May, the flocks were beset by gunners on the Texas and Kansas prairies. As long as the Argentina campos and the United States prairies were the home of the cattleman, the Eskimo curlew lessened in numbers only gradually; but lately, especially since 1880, with its winter home in Argentina changed to a great wheat field, and its favorite prairie sod in our West converted into thickly populated farm lands, no chance for life has been left to the curlew. Of all the birds which adopt this migration route, the Eskimo curlew suffered most from man's onslaught, probably because of its habit of congregating in close flocks instead of the loose, straggling parties of its migration companions. Audubon noticed this peculiarity on the Labrador coast and says that when the birds were feeding on the ground they kept so close together that half a dozen could be killed at a single shot, and that when they started in flight the whole flock gathered in a bunch, thus giving still further opportunity for wholesale slaughter.

75922°—үвк 1914—19

THE SMALLER SHOREBIRDS.

The smaller shorebirds, or sandpipers or "peeps," as they are commonly called, which are not large enough to be considered legitimate game birds, number about 30 species, or about half of all the species of the shorebird group in North America. Along the eastern United States coast are hundreds of miles of shore exactly suited to their needs, and until about 1870 these places swarmed with countless thousands of the dainty creatures, which made a charming and ever-shifting panorama as they moved from one feeding place to another, thus adding an attractive touch of life to the wind-swept beaches. Then, as the great flocks of ducks and geese shrank under the attacks of the market hunter until they ceased to supply the ever-increasing demand for game, the market hunters turned to the tiny shorebirds. These unsuspicious victims, bunching so closely that dozens of the mites could be killed at a single discharge, were shipped to market by the wagonload to furnish a scant mouthful of meat apiece as the only return for the destruction of one of nature's most beautiful creations. The assaults of the market hunter were supplemented by the incessant attacks of the boy, who, with the modern small-bore high-power gun, views anything alive as a fair target, and of late years he has swarmed in summer on the beaches from Virginia to Maine.

A peculiarity in the migration of the smaller shorebirds increases opportunities for their destruction. Many remain nearly all summer along the coasts of the United States, with only a few days between the disappearance in June of the last northbound migrants and the reappearance in early July of the vanguard of the fall migration. Thus in the case of the greater vellowlegs (Plate XXIII), the last leave Long Island going north the last week in May and return on their southward journey the middle of July; while in the case of the knot, the breeding area lies north of the Arctic Circle and the earliest spring migrants do not arrive there until about the first of June, yet fall migrants enter the United States early in July at just about the time the first eggs are hatching in the Arctic It is certain that these very early fall migrants can not nests. have raised families that season. It is not known whether these are birds which for one reason or another never bred

after they reached the northland and started at once on the return journey, or whether they are disappointed pairs whose eggs have been destroyed, and which, instead of attempting a second nesting, as is common among birds in temperate climates, began forthwith their southern flight, knowing instinctively that if a second set of eggs should hatch, the young birds would surely perish in early autumnal storms. Be that as it may, shorebirds become numerous on the beaches soon after the first of July, and during August, the month of the greatest outpouring of city dwellers to the seashore, many species reach their full fall numbers and consequently are subject to the greatest harassment as they flee . from beach to beach vainly seeking a place of refuge.

PROTECTIVE MEASURES.

So much for the former abundance of the shorebirds and their present-day diminished and still diminishing numbers. What has been done to check the approach of extinction and what prospect does the future hold for ultimate success in shorebird preservation? Several years ago it became evident to advocates of bird protection that reliance could not be placed solely on State laws and local regulations. Though these were steadily being improved and an awakened public conscience was bringing about better law enforcement, yet it was only too plain that laws were nowhere sufficiently stringent, and that by the time they had improved enough to afford real protection no shorebirds would be left to be protected.

The only alternative, therefore, was recourse to Federal legislation; and after many years of agitation a National law for protecting migratory game and insectivorous birds was passed by Congress in 1913. Under its provisions the Department of Agriculture is given full authority to determine what shall be the closed seasons, and to prepare regulations for their observance. The committee in the department which has the matter in charge has been glad to accede to a widespread request from bird lovers that the small sandpipers be withdrawn from the list of game birds and be given protection throughout the year. To this list has been added the curlew, avocet, godwit, and some of the plovers, which have already been reduced to a small fraction of their

former numbers, and are rapidly nearing extinction. Since it was evident that protection in addition to that provided by existing State laws must be given also to the remainder of the shorebirds, it was beyond question that the best way to afford part of this needed extra protection was to prohibit all spring shooting. Within a few years a great revolution has taken place in public sentiment regarding spring shooting. Even ignoring the barbarity of shooting a mated bird, the conviction has become general that a given bird population will furnish the best sport and the greatest amount of that sport if shooting occurs only during fall migration, when the birds are at their best. Indeed, this belief has become so general that in all the speeches and discussions before both committee and Congress in regard to a National migratory game-bird law it was taken for granted that one of the Federal regulations would be the absolute abolition of all spring shooting. So complete had been this understanding that the framers of the regulations felt as much bound to include in them the prohibition of spring shooting as though it had been specifically mentioned in the act of Congress.

But as the shorebirds needed more than merely to be allowed a peaceable journey from their winter homes to the breeding grounds, summer shooting also has been prohibited and the opening of the hunting season has been placed late enough in fall to make it certain that even the latest hatched birds shall be full-grown and strong of wing before they become the gunner's mark.

To accomplish this the following regulations have been adopted by the Department of Agriculture, approved by the President, and now have the force of law:

Shorebirds.—The closed season on black-breasted and golden plover, jacksnipe or Wilson snipe, and greater and lesser yellowlegs shall be between December 16 and September 1 next following, except as follows:

Exceptions: In Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and on Long Island the closed season shall be between December 1 and August 15;

In New York, except Long Island, the closed season shall be between December 1 and September 16;

In Minnesota, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wisconsin the closed season shall be between December 1 and September 7;

In Oregon and Washington the closed season shall be between December 16 and October 1;

In Florida, Georgia, and South Carolina the closed season shall be between February 1 and November 20;

In Alabama, Louisiana, Mississippi, and Texas the closed season shall be between February 1 and November 1;

In Tennessee the closed season shall be between December 16 and October 1;

In Arizona and California the closed season shall be between February 1 and October 15; and

In Utah the closed season on snipe shall be between December 16 and October 1, and on plover and yellowlegs shall be until September 1, 1918.

Woodcock.—The closed season on woodcock shall be between December 1 and October 1 next following in the Northern States and between January 1 and November 1 in the Southern States, except as follows:

Exceptions: In Connecticut, Massachusetts, and New Jersey the closed season shall be between December 1 and October 10;

In Rhode Island the closed season shall be between December 1 and November 1;

In Pennsylvania and on Long Island the closed season shall be between December 1 and October 15;

In Delaware and Louisiana the closed season shall be between January 1 and November 15;

In West Virginia the closed season shall be between December 1 and October 1; and

In Georgia the closed season shall be between January 1 and December 1.

Whether or not these closed seasons will prove sufficient to arrest further diminution of the shorebird population only time can tell. Meanwhile, it is desirable to have further protection in fall along the seashore. Here the modern idea of bird refuges can play a most important part, and fortunately it can be put into effect at comparatively small cost. The beaches of the Atlantic coast are largely given over to summer cottages of city people. A great majority of these temporary dwellers-by-the-sea take no satisfaction in killing shorebirds and would far rather welcome to the sands in front of their homes such lovely visitants from the North, birds of delicately shaded plumage and graceful motion. A strong, concerted movement would obtain the cooperation of a large majority of these cottagers in a campaign for the stopping of all shooting on the beaches; while their united efforts would go far toward creating a public sentiment which would bring about the adoption and observance of local regulations which would be an efficient aid in the enforcement of the Federal law.

The miles of seashore recently withdrawn from the hunter, in the Ward-McIlhenny bird reserves of southern Louisiana and Mrs. Russell Sage's Marsh Island near by, are striking examples of what can be done in this direction by private initiative. The proposed reservations on the Florida coast are still more important from the shorebirds' standpoint. Scattered along this coast and also on that of Texas are large areas seemingly especially intended by nature to meet the particular needs of shorebirds. As they congregate in these resorts in great numbers for many weeks of the year, such places should next be brought under the control of the shorebirds' friends.

The beneficial results of the above-outlined efforts at shorebird protection should be manifest within a very short time, because almost all the shorebirds, except the woodcock, have their breeding grounds in Canada, where they find acceptable nesting conditions and an abundance of food. A large percentage nest in the Arctic, where they are free from all interference of human beings, and even in the settled parts of Canada they are not subject to slaughter, for Canada has a first-class game law for shorebirds that is rigidly enforced. Birds which gunners of the United States allow to escape to their breeding grounds may there have an excellent opportunity for raising a numerous progeny.

CONCLUSION.

From the foregoing paragraphs it is evident that shorebirds are an important asset in the country's wealth; that their recent decrease in numbers has been due principally to excessive shooting; that State laws have proven inadequate to check this diminution; that the only hope of preserving shorebirds lies in Federal legislation; but that with a wise Federal law, wisely administered, and with an awakened and enlightened public sentiment to aid in its enforcement, there is every reason to believe that the shorebirds will again become common enough to enliven the beaches and lake shores with their welcome presence and to afford the hunter a fair amount of legitimate sport.

THE PREPARATION OF FERTILIZER FROM MUNICIPAL WASTE.

By J. W. TURRENTINE,

Scientist in Soil Laboratory Investigations, Bureau of Soils.

RESENT agronomic practice demands that certain materials, called "plant foods," or soil amendments, shall be added to the soil to take the place, presumably, of those substances removed from the soil with the harvested It is conventional to regard these as four, and, crop. accordingly, commercial fertilizers are designed to supply These, then, constitute the essential ingredients of them. commercial fertilizers and are potash, phosphoric acid, nitrogen, and lime. Potash is added in the form of salts of potassium, such as the sulphate or chloride (muriate); phosphoric acid, as bone phosphate (ground bone), or rock phosphate, usually treated with sulphuric acid (acid phosphate); nitrogen, as salts of ammonia, such as ammonium sulphate, as salts of nitric acid, such as sodium nitrate, or as vegetable or animal compounds carrying nitrogen, such as cottonseed meal or dried, ground fish (fish scrap). Lime is not rated in mixed fertilizers, hence its occurrence therein is incidental. It is added to the soil alone as ground limestone or as slaked or unslaked lime.

Potash is imported almost altogether from Germany, while among the fertilizer resources of the United States there are extensive deposits of phosphate rock and unlimited beds of limestone. Carriers of ammonia are scarce the world over and are correspondingly dear. The national resources in these consist in large quantities of ammonium sulphate, a product recoverable from coal when it is distilled to prepare illuminating gas, constituting our present greatest source of nitrogen for fertilizer purposes and susceptible of large development; cottonseed meal, the greatest of the organic carriers; blood and other waste materials from slaughterhouses, such as dried blood and tankage; abundant fish not regarded as fit for food, from which to prepare fish scrap;

295

296 Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

garbage tankage and so-called basic goods, the last named a mixture of horn, hair, etc. The higher grades and consequently the more valuable of the organic carriers are finding more profitable use as cattle feed and therefore are disappearing from the fertilizer trade. Their place must be taken by increased amounts of the inorganic and of the lower grade of organic carriers.

For the last named it is necessary to take the waste materials of an organic nature—animal or vegetable. These, it happens, are obtainable at present in largest amounts in cities, as products which are incidental to the daily life of the people, domestic and industrial, the amounts per person being insignificantly small, but in the aggregate of very large proportions. The disposal of these, with other classes of city waste, presents one of the most serious of municipal problems.

NATURE OF CITY WASTE.

Following is a tabular statement of the classes of city waste:

Ashes:	Street sweepings:
Coal ashes.	Horse droppings.
Wood ashes.	Leaves.
Rubbish:	Materials from excavations and
Paper.	construction.
Wood.	Pavement dirt.
Rags and furniture.	Trade waste:
Leather, rubber, lint, hair.	Stable refuse.
Metals.	Sawdust, etc.
Glass and crockery.	Dead animals:
Sewage:	Large—Horses, cows, swine.
Street and roof drainage of rain	Small—Dogs, cats, poultry.
water.	Garbage:
Water from street washing.	Trade (hotel, market, slaughter-
Trade waste (liquid).	house).
Household.	Household.

COMPOSITION AND AVAILABILITY.

SEWAGE.¹

The treatment of household and street sewage as generally practiced results in the production of an earthy sediment from the settling chambers; a clear, dilute solu-

¹Since ashes and rubbish afford but little of fertilizer value they will not be considered in this discussion.

tion of the decomposition products of bacterial action on the sewage of a harmless and inoffensive nature; and the precipitated insoluble residue, known as sewage sludge, the last named being the only residue of the sewage which can be considered as at all available for fertilizer purposes. This is made up largely of fibrous material, containing much cellulose or cellulose-like substances. The chemical analysis of a number of samples of wet decomposed sludge gives the following figures: Wet sludge—moisture contained, 79.34 per cent; dry material, 20.66 per cent. Composition of dry material—mineral matter, 54.8 per cent; organic matter, 45.2 per cent; nitrogen, 1.56 per cent; fats, 6.41 per cent.¹

The disposition of sewage sludge is one of the serious problems with which municipalities are confronted. The high water content and the tenacious manner in which its water is held constitute the great difficulty in the way of its utilization as fertilizer or in any other way. Because of its high water content it can not with profit be transported any considerable distance. To dry it artificially is quite impracticable, owing to the expense for fuel and the low value of the product. It is used for fertilizer in many instances locally by farmers who live within a convenient distance of the filter beds. In such cases it is delivered to the land by pipes and spread through ditches or in other manner, or it may be hauled to the land in barrels or tank wagons. As a rule, no charge is made for the sludge, since its removal is to the advantage of the operators of the sewage plants. The cost to the farmer, then, is only that involved in hauling and spreading. The results obtained from its use on the soil are good, though no better than one would predict from its analysis. The nitrogen present is only slowly available, its availability, of course, being measured by the rate at which the sludge decomposes in the soil. The tenacity with which it retains its water makes it of added value in keeping the soil moist. The grease carried by it can not be considered desirable, as its accumulation in the soil may prove detrimental.

For each inhabitant, it is estimated, there is a daily yield of 10.5 ounces of sewage sludge of a water content of 80

¹ From "Sewage Sludge," by Elsner, Spillman, and Allen, p. 144.

per cent. At this rate a city with a population of 100,000 produces 33 tons of sludge a day, or 12,000 tons a year. This would yield 2,400 tons of dry sludge a year. The 199 cities of the United States with a population of more than 30,000 each, aggregating 30,000,000 inhabitants, would produce 3,600,000 tons of wet sludge, or 720,000 tons of dry sludge, a year.

Sewage sludge is a possible source of combined nitrogen for fertilizer purposes. Since to dispose of it is imperatively necessary, methods of disposal may be looked to which. while not economical in the sense that the revenue from the product balances the expense of treatment, yet will be adopted as the most economical or expedient available. Perhaps the most promising of proposed methods is subjecting the dried or partially dried sludge to destructive distillation for the preparation of combustible gas. Depending on the manner in which this is done, various proportions of the combined nitrogen may be evolved as ammonia, easily recoverable as ammonium sulphate, one of the most useful of nitrogen carriers. The evolved gas, in the absence of a better use, may be made to assist in drying fresh portions of sludge which are being prepared for the stills. The potash and phosphate left in the residue are still available for use as a fertilizer base, while the value of the nitrogen is greatly enhanced.

The nitrogen content of dry sludge is about the same as that of soft coal. In the distillation of soft coal the major portion of the nitrogen is recovered as ammonia, amounting to about 20 pounds a ton, or 1 per cent of the weight of coal distilled. Assuming for dry sludge an equal yield, the 720,000 tons of sludge produced each year by the 199 cities of the United States with a population of over 30,000 inhabitants each would afford 7,200 tons of ammonium sulphate a year, of a value of \$480,000.

The combustible gas obtainable from sludge is, on the average, about 6,500 cubic feet, while that from peat is 8,400 cubic feet, and from soft coal, 10,200 cubic feet.

STREET SWEEPINGS.

Street sweepings are mostly used for filling, a use to which they are adapted, since they contain large quantities of min-

298

eral matter and carry sufficient organic matter to insure a rapid growth of vegetation over the fills. Their use as fertilizer is not more general because their fertilizing value is relatively low and the expense of hauling is high. Their use on the soil has been attended with good success, however, since, as shown by the following analyses, they contain, in addition to a small percentage of nitrogen and potash, a large proportion of organic matter, which is of use in the improvement of the physical condition of the soil. The average composition of 21 samples of street sweepings, 18 from the streets of Washington (taken directly from the streets or from the dumps and consisting in some cases of fresh material, in others of rotted, and analyzed in the Bureau of Chemistry) and 3 from the streets of Berlin, is as follows: Nitrogen, 0.44 per cent; moisture (average of 13 samples), 32.24 per cent: ash (average of 13 samples), 47.84 per cent; organic matter (average of 13 samples), 19.90 per cent; phosphoric acid (average of 13 samples), 0.10 per cent; and potash (average of 13 samples), 0.21 per cent.

The values in nitrogen, in the undried samples, ranged between the extremes, 0.17 per cent and 0.73 per cent. Were this material rated on the basis of its nitrogen content, at a price per unit of nitrogen obtained for garbage tankage, \$1.75 per unit, its value would be \$0.30 a ton for the least valuable and \$1.28 for the most valuable. The price actually obtained varies from \$0.15 to \$2 a ton.

From figures obtained in a survey of American cities of more than 10,000 inhabitants, conducted by the Bureau of Chemistry in 1897, it appears that 169 tons of street sweepings are collected annually for 1,000 inhabitants of urban communities. Of the total quantity of 1,064,957 tons reported in that survey, only 174,931 tons were used as fertilizer, while 673,791 tons were used for filling. At the above rate of collection for each 1,000 inhabitants, the 199 cities of the United States of a population of 30,000 and over yield more than 5,000,000 tons of sweepings.

Since the advent of the automobile in large numbers the value of street sweepings for fertilizer probably has been lessened, not because of any decrease in the proportion of organic matter, a result to be expected from the displacement of the horse by the automobile, but on account of the oil dropped upon the streets by automobiles, which becomes mixed with the street sweepings. An examination of street sweepings in the laboratories of the Bureau of Soils has shown that they may contain as much as 2 per cent of mineral oil thus acquired. The belief that this oil is deleterious is substantiated by results reported in recent years as obtained in actual agricultural experience with street sweepings, as likewise by small-scale fertilizer tests made in these laboratories, in which the use of oil-laden sweepings, as they came from the streets, was compared with that of the same material after the oil had been removed from it by the use of the proper solvent.

DEAD ANIMALS.

Dead animals have such value for the manufacturer of fertilizer that any other method of disposing of them is to be condemned. The fertilizer materials obtained from them are equal, if not superior, to those obtained from high-grade slaughterhouse tankage, a nitrogen carrier of such value that it has to a large extent been withdrawn from the fertilizer trade and devoted to cattle feeding.

Various methods of disposing of a city's dead animals are in vogue at present, such as burying, cremating, and rendering. Of these, there is little to recommend burying. Rendering is to be commended, since it is the only method from which revenue is derivable. Cremation has a value only when an animal has died of some communicable disease, danger of the spread of which is minimized by the utter destruction of the animal. This probably is never a matter of any great importance except when the disease is communicable to man, since, in rendering, the parts cooked are thoroughly sterilized, and the hide and bones are confined to places and uses such that the spread of disease to other animals is not at all likely.

Dead-animal tankage is prepared by the simple process of rendering; the carcasses, in the case of large animals, are skinned for the preservation of the hides, cut up, and cooked in steam at high temperatures. The tankage is then pressed to remove the major portion of the water and to recover the grease, and is afterwards dried and ground. The products obtainable are hides, bones (where their value as such is greater than when sold as an ingredient of the tankage),
grease (tallow), and tankage. It is stated that these products, as recovered from a horse of the average size and condition, have a total value of about \$10. This must not be taken as the profit derivable from rendering such a carcass, but only as the proceeds from the sale of the products. The profit made is determined by conditions.

The composition of dead-animal tankage is doubtless approximately the same as that of high-grade slaughterhouse tankage, a typical analysis of which shows a content of about 8 per cent nitrogen and 10 per cent bone phosphate. This would bring a price in the wholesale market of about \$20 a ton.

The annual yield in dead-animal tankage at present is roughly 8,500 tons, prepared from about 34,000 tons of carcasses. This has a value of about \$170,000, which represents only a fraction of the amount which could be produced were advantage taken of the full number of carcasses available. The gross weight of these which can be counted on as a source of raw material for the production of dead-animal tankage is, roughly, 4 tons a year for each 1,000 inhabitants. On this basis the 199 cities of the United States of a population of 30,000 and over should yield 25,000 tons of tankage a year, of a value of \$500,000.

GARBAGE.

The greatest problem in sanitation with which the city has been confronted is the proper disposal of its sewage. That problem, it may be said, is solved for the time being, at any rate, though without the development of any method whereby any useful products are being extracted from the sewage. At present it represents an absolute waste, and its disposal a monetary loss, not only in providing the immense volumes of water required to move it, but also in the operation of those processes required to render it innocuous.

With the exception of sewage, garbage is the greatest class of waste materials whose disposal presents a serious municipal problem. Garbage is unlike sewage in this respect, that its value has been recognized from the beginning, and various methods of disposal have been devised with a view to the recovery of its useful constituents. Militating against this, on the other hand, has been the desire to get rid of it with

302 Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

as little manipulation as possible, because of the objectionable character which it usually bears and the readiness with which it becomes a nuisance.

Composition.

The composition of garbage is almost indeterminate, because of the great variety of material entering it. It may contain parts of all of the substances which enter a household. Besides the remnants of food materials, to which it owes its origin and likewise its value, it commonly contains pieces of crockery and glass, and tin cans. These must be regarded as foreign to garbage, since their presence is in violation of many city regulations; yet they contribute their quota to the complexity of the problem of garbage disposal.

The composition of garbage is illustrated by the following report of analyses: One ton of garbage contained 7 per cent (140 pounds) of rubbish, 71 per cent (1,420 pounds) of water, 2 per cent (40 pounds) of grease, and 20 per cent (400 pounds) of tankage. The yield in grease is usually about 3 per cent.

METHODS OF DISPOSAL.

The methods of disposing of garbage in use in the cities of the United States are feeding to swine; dumping or burying in clay pits, gullies, swampy areas, and vacant lands; dumping at sea; incinerating; and rendering. The most important from the standpoint of sanitation are incinerating and rendering, and these alone will be considered in this discussion.

INCINERATING.

A number of the larger cities of the United States have installed incinerators for the combustion of ashes, rubbish, and garbage together. Their operation is attended with success, from the sanitary point of view, and they offer a simple solution of the problem of disposal. Where this method is used a single collection is made, which simplifies that operation also. The economy of the method is open to serious question.

An incinerator, essentially, is a furnace of large capacity, designed for the complete combustion of the charge under forced draught, the destruction of all odors, and the elimination of dust and smoke. As far as possible the charge is fed into the furnace and the clinker or ashes are removed mechanically, although in no case is hand labor entirely eliminated. Some effort usually is made to utilize the heat for the production of steam.

RENDERING.

The rendering of garbage for the preparation of grease and fertilizer consists essentially in cooking in steam, pressing to remove water and grease, drying, extracting—washing with gasoline—to recover the remaining grease, and grinding. Rendering is conducted in apparatus of various designs and is varied to conform to the ideas of the operators. Plate XXIV, fig. 1, shows a modern rendering plant.

AN ECONOMICAL PROCESS.—A modern system of rendering, one thoroughly demonstrated and found to be economical and efficient, depends upon the use of apparatus which admits of an almost entirely automatic treatment of the garbage. From the beginning of the operation to its end the material is moved almost altogether by machinery.

The wagons bringing the garbage to the rendering plant are weighed and driven into the receiving house, and their loads are dumped, through openings in the floor, into a cement vault beneath. The garbage, thus disappearing from sight, never reappears as such, for through the bottom of the vault, where the garbage can slide upon it, moves a steel conveyor, the bucketlike sections of which gather up suitable portions and transport them to the top of the tank house, the entire distance through a tightly inclosed boxwork of sheet iron.

In the tank house are ranged a number of upright, cylindrical, iron tanks, perhaps 15 feet in height and 5 feet in diameter, in which the garbage is placed for cooking. (Pl. XXIV, fig. 2.) In top and bottom are openings, with tightfitting covers, for charging and discharging, respectively. A few inches from the bottom a false bottom is constructed of perforated steel, which acts as a screen or filter for the separation of the solids from the liquids after the cooking has been finished. The solids are removed through the lower door, which, therefore, is situated above the false bottom. Live steam may be admitted at top or bottom of the tank, as desired.

Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

304

The garbage, to the amount of about 10 tons, is fed into the top of the tank automatically by the conveyor, which brings it from the receiving house. When the tank is filled the top is securely fastened, and steam, at a pressure of about 40 pounds, is admitted near the bottom. When the cooking is completed, as indicated by the odor of the garbage, the steam is admitted at the top of the tank instead of at the bottom; then, with the drainpipe, which enters beneath the false bottom, open, the downward pressure of the steam drives the water and liberated grease through the solids held on the perforated plate, the effect being produced of a filter press for the effectual separation of solids and liquid. This accomplished, the cooked garbage is raked out and transported by conveyor into a drier. The type of drier in common use in this industry is the direct-heat, rotary, hot-air drier, an insulated iron cylinder mounted slightly out of the horizontal on roller bearings, upon which it rotates. The tankage emerges therefrom with a moisture content of about 7 per cent.

From the drier, the tankage is carried to the extractor, a cylinder of large capacity, mounted horizontally so that it can be rotated on a horizontal axis. It is provided with a single opening for charging and discharging, and, for the purpose of admitting gasoline and steam, is entered at one end by a pipe passing through its axis. The tankage is washed two or three times with gasoline until the grease is removed, a result indicated by the absence of color from the emerging gasoline. To recover the not inconsiderable amount of the solvent retained by the tankage after the main portion has been drawn off, steam is blown through it, vaporizing and displacing the gasoline. The mixture of steam and gasoline emerging is then condensed in water-cooled copper coils, the current of steam being continued until no more gasoline appears in the condensate. At this stage, the extracted tankage is again moist from the steam which has remained in it. It may be dried a second time, or conducted directly to the mill for grinding. After grinding, it is stored or loaded for shipment. It is shipped in bulk.

The water and grease drawn off from the tanks after cooking are conducted by gravity to suitable vats, where, after the grease has risen to the surface of the water, it is recovered by skimming. Yearbook U. S. Dept. of Agriculture, 1914,

PLATE XXIV.



FIG. 1.-MODERN PLANT FOR RENDERING GARBAGE.



FIG. 2.-TANKS FOR RENDERING GARBAGE.

The grease, it is seen, is recovered in two phases of the operation; it is skimmed from the tank water and is dissolved from the dried tankage with gasoline. The gasoline solution of grease is distilled, the gasoline being evaporated and recondensed, leaving as a residue the grease which it held in solution. Thus the gasoline may be used repeatedly with a loss of about 6 gallons per ton of dry tankage. The grease from the two recoveries is pumped while still hot to storage tanks, from which it is run into tank cars for shipment.

POSSIBLE IMPROVEMENTS IN PROCESS.—This in essence is the process of rendering at present regarded as most economical. although there are various other processes in vogue. The process outlined fails to conform in certain particulars to the ideal. For example, in cooking with steam, a large volume of water is added; this must be removed almost completely before the last of the grease is recoverable. This volume of water, to recover all of the nitrogenous ingredients of the garbage and to prevent pollution of neighboring streams, must be evaporated. The utilization of the stick water as a part of the tankage necessitates a further drving of that. Likewise the grease is removed in two stages, first after tanking and again after drying, by extraction with gasoline. An ideal method would obviate the addition of water and would provide for the recovery of grease in one operation.

Two methods are described which provide these two improvements. One prescribes drying without preliminary treatment and then degreasing, a process of apparently great simplicity, but involving troublesome details; the other, cooking in grease (from former operations) in tightly inclosed tanks, the heat being supplied through steam coils. The excess grease is drawn off, while the balance is extracted with gasoline, thus necessitating only one degreasing and obviating drying. Likewise, the process is necessarily inodorous; and all the constituents of the garbage are conserved.

PRODUCTS.—Grease and tankage constitute the valuable products obtained from the rendering of garbage. The composition of the latter is expressed by the following figures, the average results of a large number of analyses: Moisture,

75922°—увк 1914—20

3.50 per cent; ammonia, 3.43 per cent; bone phosphate, 5.46 per cent; potash, 1.31 per cent.

Tankage is used only as fertilizer. Its use alone, without mixing, has been attended with success, though most of it finds its way into mixed fertilizers, in which it is regarded as a base to which to add more concentrated fertilizer materials for the preparation of brands of desired composition. For such a purpose it appears well designed, since an organic base for mixed fertilizers is regarded as essential to the proper state of pulverulence. It is especially desirable in view of the fact that its place may be taken by materials totally lacking in fertilizer value.

Its trade value is based on its analysis. If the ammonia and potash which it contains were rated as available, its maximum value in the retail market would be about \$11 per ton, and in the wholesale market \$9. The price actually obtained in the wholesale market varies, but may be expressed as an average of \$6.50 per ton, or, instead of a price of \$2.65 per unit (a "unit" being 1 per cent), which is the price obtained for nitrogen in the high-grade ammoniates, only \$1.70 per unit is offered.

The reason for this seemingly low price is that present analytical methods by which fertilizers are rated fail to show that the nitrogen contained in garbage tankage is in the available form. When this fact is stated on the label of the fertilizer containing garbage tankage the idea is conveved. doubtless unintentionally, that the fertilizer is inferior on that account, whatever the source or form of the main nitrogen compounds present. Thus the advantage derivable from its use as a base is discounted and its use is discouraged. The price offered for it, therefore, is low, almost nominal. It is not intended here to convey the idea that the nitrogen carried by this material is available. since no experiments on a rational basis have been performed to show whether it is available or not; only methods of analysis based arbitrarily on radically different materials have been applied to test its availability, and on these rest the opinions regarding its availability. There is no evidence that these methods even remotely approximate a measurement of the rate of decomposition of garbage tankage in the soil, which is the ultimate measure of availability. And it is obvious that a mixed fertilizer is more valuable and should have higher rating when it contains as a base materials which ultimately will surrender their valuable constituents to the use of the growing plant than one whose base is of no potential value whatever. The use of garbage tankage, furthermore, is discouraged by the laws of certain States relating to fertilizer control, an enactment now under contemplation by still other States. It is extremely desirable that such moves be postponed until the merits of the case shall have been determined on a more rational basis.

When it becomes known what portion of the nitrogen carried by vegetable matter (of which garbage tankage is largely composed) is actually available, and the advantage accruing from the employment of such a base becomes recognized, then a better price and probably a more just one will be offered for garbage tankage, and, as a result, greatly increased amounts of this potentially large source of fertilizer will be made available for the agriculturist.

The grease recovered from garbage finds a market among manufacturers of soap, lubricants, etc. The price obtainable is influenced by the quality. In Columbus, Ohio, during the year 1913, an average price of 3.7 cents per pound was obtained.

ADVANTAGES OF RENDERING.—The disposal of garbage on a large scale has been accomplished, as has been shown, by two methods, both of which are regarded in certain quarters as satisfactory. The foremost demand made of any method. that it shall be sanitary, is met by both. To some the combustion method may appear the more sanitary, since it prescribes the utter destruction of the organic and therefore insanitary materials. But the temperatures attained in the rendering process are sufficiently high to make absolutely sterile and innocuous all the constituents of the garbage, so that in that particular this treatment is altogether as sanitary as combustion. The extent to which the garbage is handled before delivery into tanks or furnaces is about the same, giving an advantage to neither method. A decision between the two must be reached on the basis of their relative economy, and this must be determined for each municipality. An advantage possessed at the outset by

rendering is that salable products are obtained which pay for the final disposition (the rendering) and partially for collecting, while from combustion as now practiced no revenue is derived. Theoretically steam may be generated (and actually is) from the combustion, though the difficulty of attaining a temperature high enough for the combustion of the garbage is so great that in some instances additional fuel (of low grade) must be supplied. And where steam is generated it is permitted in certain cities to dissipate itself because of laws forbidding its sale or because the situation of the furnaces makes its use by the city impracticable. Clinker is mentioned as an additional possible source of revenue but practically is of little value, since it is mixed with unfused metal, cans, and wire, which add greatly to the difficulties of its handling. Likewise the low temperature maintained in practice in these furnaces results in much more ash than clinker.

The two processes differ in cost of collecting, since for combustion all classes of refuse are collected together, while for rendering garbage, ashes, and rubbish are collected separately. Because three collections are made in the latter case it must not be supposed that the cost of collection will be three times as great. It is conceivable that the cost of separate collection may not be greater than a collection of the three together, when the routes taken by the wagons are conveniently laid out, provided in both cases the same weight of material be hauled the same distance. In combustion, while advantage is taken of the fuel value of the ashes, which we have seen may contain 20 per cent of unburned coal, at the same time a loss in economy lies in the fact that the ashes are handled twice, twice loaded and twice unloaded, and in addition are put through a furnace; while in the triple-collection method they are loaded once and hauled directly to the nearest dumping ground. In either event they must be hauled to a dumping ground. Again, with the single-collection system no recovery is easily possible of the valuable parts of the rubbish, since this, once mixed with garbage, is no longer fit for recovery. Likewise its fuel value is expended largely in the evaporation of the large proportion of water carried by the garbage. However, no hard and fast rule can be laid down, since costs of collection vary with each city, being determined, among other things, by the topography and geography of the city, the number and location of loading stations, and the situation of the rendering or incinerating plant.

On the basis of figures obtained in the operation of a number of reduction plants, it is shown that the average cost of reduction is \$2.41 per ton, and the gross receipts \$3.30 per ton, giving a profit of \$0.89 per ton raw garbage. On the other hand, the average net cost of incinerating per ton of garbage, as obtained in a number of instances, is \$2.11 per ton. In one of the cities considered there is a credit from power generated of \$0.22 per ton of garbage incinerated. When considerations of costs of collection are excluded, the rendering of garbage is distinctly more profitable.

The present annual production of garbage tankage is, roughly, 150,000 tons, with a value, at \$6.50 per ton, of \$975,000. This amount is obtained from about 1,200,000 tons of raw garbage, rendered in about 25 plants, all but five being privately owned or operated.

One feels inclined, for the sake of conservation, to advocate the rendering of garbage instead of its incineration, since in the former process, as has been seen, useful products are obtained and in the latter these are destroyed with no adequate returns. It is perhaps too much to expect a municipality to tax itself for the sake of such a sentiment and to settle the question as to which method of disposition shall be adopted for reasons other than the purely economical. Were only the total expenses involved estimated to be the same, it would be criminal waste to burn material of such aggregate value as rubbish and garbage.

What the farmer can expect from this source of fertility is problematical. From the success now being obtained with the two important methods of disposal it appears that the results are distinctly in favor of rendering. It is entirely within the realm of possibility, therefore, that all the garbage now collected by municipalities will be devoted to the manufacture of fertilizer and grease. The statistics of the United States Department of Commerce show that in 1909 approximately 2,700,000 tons of garbage were collected in the cities of the United States having a population of 30,000 inhabitants and over. This, if rendered, should yield annually 400,000 tons of dry tankage, of a value of \$2,500,000. Such a development of the industry would have to be preceded by the perfection of methods of treatment which would make rendering on a smaller scale economically possible, and bring about an increase in the uses and demands for the grease.

Fitting, indeed, it would be if the stream of useful substances now flowing in great volume from the farm to the city and representing a constant and tremendous drain on the fertility of the soil could be turned back, even to this small extent, to the soil. So much of the waste of a city is lost irretrievably that an especial effort should be made to conserve those valuable elements of garbage whose recovery in the form of tankage is so simple and profitable.

THE AMERICAN FARM WOMAN AS SHE SEES HERSELF.

By Edward B. Mitchell, Assistant Chief, Office of Information.

THE Secretary of Agriculture recently asked the housewives of the department's 55,000 volunteer crop correspondents to suggest ways in which the Department of Agriculture could, in their opinion, work directly for their benefit.

In reply to this inquiry, 2,241 letters were received during the past year, coming from every section of the country and from writers who differed most widely in education, circumstances, and opinions. In a country of a hundred millions, accurate statistics, expressed in percentages, can not, of course, be based on the data afforded by a few thousand letters, but as a bird's-eye survey of woman's life on American farms this collection opens the way for much vigorous, constructive work.

In the first place, it is apparent that much of the work that has already been done has not yet reached those whom it was designed chiefly to benefit. Many correspondents urge the department to do things that have already been done, to provide information on subjects that have been exhaustively treated in publications which may be had for the asking. But the women do not know of these publications, and consequently they do not ask for them. From practically every one of the Southern States at least one writer called attention to the fact that the women of her district were in general unaware of the existence of the very bulletins that had been written to help them, and this condition of affairs is by no means confined to the South. \mathbf{It} is true that the Farmers' Bulletins have been widely circulated, but a circulation that would make a commercial publisher's fortune may still, from an educational point of view, leave much to be desired.

311

That an increased circulation is to be desired, these letters leave no doubt. The demand for fuller knowledge, for knowledge that will enable the women to make their homes healthier, their lives brighter, is the most striking note in the whole collection. Information is wanted on every subject, from the management of gasoline engines to the care of babies; and, although the skeptic may question whether agricultural and domestic science can ever justify these women's unbounded confidence in its ability to revolutionize the conditions of life, one must remember that many things which the dweller in cities takes for granted are in the country still rare or unknown luxuries. The farm woman striving to do her work with the same equipment that her grandmother did hers finds herself confronted with greater difficulties. The advance in the ease of living elsewhere affects her chiefly by drawing away those from whom she might otherwise obtain assistance. She feels herself at a disadvantage from which she suffers both in body and spirit. It is this that is responsible for much of what there is of discontent in the letters.

This thirst for information can not, of course, be satisfied with bulletins alone. In many cases, indeed, the usefulness of these as a means of general education is severely criticized. It is asserted that those who need them the most read them the least, and that even those who do read them are not likely to make radical innovations in their accustomed methods, or to invest precious money in expensive improvements, merely on the cold impersonal recommendation of the printed page. They ask for ocular demonstration in their own or their neighbors' homes. The value of such demonstration work is, of course, too well known to educational authorities to need discussion here. As a result of the Smith-Lever law, recently enacted by Congress, it is probable that, within a few years, \$8,000,000 will be spent annually by the various States, aided by the Federal Government, in just such work in agriculture and domestic science. It is interesting to note, however, the emphasis which women who never heard of the Smith-Lever act lay upon the need for lectures, for traveling, for educational moving-picture exhibitions, for demonstrations of laborsaving devices-for anything and everything, in short, that will bring home to the people the possibility of better living.

Even to enumerate the suggestions which are put forward as a means to this end is out of the question. Many are sound and thoughtful-many, indeed, have already been adopted by the department, the agricultural colleges and the experiment stations-and others are naturally vague and impracticable. The point of importance is that the farm women want to learn; they want to improve their homes, but they admit frankly that to-day they don't know how. Those who do know want their husbands to be told also. With a touch of bitterness, many women declare that attention has been concentrated upon improvements in agriculture; the value of scientific care of live stock is thoroughly understood by progressive farmers who do not give a thought to conditions in their own homes; barns and wells and stables are planned with a keen eye to minimizing the cost of labor; but no attention is paid to the waste of labor indoors. The farmer invests capital in his farm; it does not occur to him to invest capital in his home. Τt simply does not occur to him. The very women who protest most strongly against the facts deny that stinginess is responsible. Their husbands do not understand, they say, do not realize that the house as well as the farm is a business. the management of which may be either progressive or antiquated.

As labor has become scarcer and more expensive, the department has aided the farmer with information about labor-saving devices and labor-saving systems of farm management. His wife asks for the same assistance. Lack of help inside the house means that the farm woman must employ herself incessantly with the most routine tasks, from which prosperity brings no relief. Indeed, in the eyes of some writers, prosperity merely means more work. "The farm," writes one woman from Michigan, "is run for the benefit of the farm and not the family. Of what use is it to buy more land to raise more corn to feed more hogs to buy more land?" Abundant crops need hands to harvest them, and the farm woman must feed the hands. To many this is the last straw. Already overdriven, they object to having the additional burden of the hired help thrust upon them; they object to the presence of the men at their tables and in their families. For them the labor problem is two

edged. They can get no help themselves, and the help their husbands have they must care for. Moreover, it is said, the character of farm labor has deteriorated to such an extent in recent years that it is no longer possible to take the hired man into the family. He remains an intruder and an affliction which, both as housewife and mother, the farm woman earnestly longs to be rid of. How she is to do this is, in its way, almost as much a problem as how she is to get the domestic help she herself requires; but in a number of letters cooperation is suggested as a possible remedy. If the farmers of the neighborhood would unite, it is argued, to provide quarters for their men, they could be taken care of just as cheaply and with far less trouble than under the present method of billeting them upon their employer's family.

Thus, because of the lack of modern labor-saving devices and proper heating, lighting, water, and sanitary systems, of the inability to obtain assistance when it is imperatively demanded, and the burden of outsiders, "overwork" is a common and widespread complaint. To this is added, in many cases, the depressing conviction that the work all goes for nothing; that is, there is no substantial evidence of appreciation in the form of cash. The proceeds of the chicken yard and the vegetable garden, usually regarded as woman's domain, are not credited to her individually but are lost in the general farm income. In consequence, the farm woman is disposed to resent this outdoor work as an imposition, and instead of developing to its fullest extent what might be a considerable source of profit, she permits her interest to remain at the minimum. Although the Department of Agriculture can not very well interfere with the domestic arrangements of a farm household, it is evident that if the results of woman's work took a form as tangible as ready money, much more satisfaction would be derived from the doing of it.

In this connection it is significant that agricultural authorities have repeatedly stated that the average American farm does not maintain a sufficient quantity of live stock; that more milch cattle and more poultry could be raised with advantage not only to the grower but to the whole country. From these letters, however, it is evident that where the men are fully occupied in the fields and no help is available in the house, the already overworked women on whom will fall the burden of caring for the additional cows and chickens will not take kindly to the suggestion. If an adequate supply of labor, male or female, could be directed to the farms, better management in house and field alike would be more possible. For this reason many urge the establishment of official employment bureaus to secure a better distribution of the available labor, and in particular to divert the stream of immigrants from the cities to the country.

That the immigrants need this official persuasion is perhaps due to the same causes which lead a number of writers to express discontent with farm life. Of these causes, the chief are loneliness and overwork. Loneliness, isolation, and the lack of social and educational opportunities form the text of many letters. These come from every part of the United States, and there is no evidence to show that the sentiments expressed in them are more general in one section than in another. It appears to be a question of the individual farm rather than the county or State. Probably much of this loneliness is the direct result of the overwork which confines the women to their homes, cuts them off from their neighbors, and deprives them of all sense of contact with the world at large. The men do not suffer from this so much, it is said, since their business dealings necessarily bring them into contact with new faces and new ideas. The lack of good roads is also held responsible for increasing the difficulty of social intercourse, and one woman complains that changes in agricultural practice have sent light horses, suitable for driving, from the farm. She has, therefore, no way of getting over the country and must perforce stay at home, whether she has the time to go out or not.

The isolation is objected to, however, by many women not so much because it is a bore as because it leaves no opportunities for development and improvement for themselves and for their children. The question of education looms large in their minds, but on this point there are considerable differences of opinion, and the tendency to consolidate the rural schools is cordially condemned by a number of correspondents. To many "the little old red school house" is evidently dearer than advanced theories of education, but there

are other than sentimental reasons for the objection to substituting for it the modern central school. The long journey to the latter involves exposure which, in winter weather, is, in the mother's eyes, too severe for young children, no matter how adequate the service of the school wagons may be. Moreover, many farm women fear the evil influence of towns and miscellaneous company upon their children. One Vermont woman complains specifically, for example, of the fact that in the school wagon her boys and girls must listen to unsupervised conversation for several hours each day. On the other hand, many correspondents realize that their insistent demand for a modern education for their children can not be met by the old-fashioned, ungraded, unequipped rural school. They are, therefore, as urgent in advocating the consolidated school as their neighbors may be in opposing it.

But whether in the "little old red schoolhouse" or in the central school, the women want their children prepared for the practical aspects of life. Under the present system, it is argued, the schools educate the young away from their life work. A few content themselves with urging the abolition of the so-called "frills" in the district schools, but the majority look to the establishment of agricultural high schools in the rural districts as a more efficient remedy. These schools, it is said, should not only supply the needs of those who, seeking a higher education, can now obtain it only in towns where they quickly lose all sympathy with farm life, but should also provide instruction in agriculture and domestic science. The importance of domestic science is dwelt on particularly as the only remedy for the tendency on the part of girls to despise all housework as a species of degrading drudgery. This attitude makes them not only inefficient but unwilling as well. With greater knowledge would come greater satisfaction in the performance of their duties.

What the farm women want for their children they want for themselves as well, but since they can no longer go to school they ask that the school be brought to them. The demand for practical information to be supplied through the medium of bulletins, personal demonstrations, and lectures has already been noted, but the desire for knowledge by no means stops here. The farm women look to educational extension not only to help them in their work, but to aid them in finding pleasure outside of their work. Courses of reading planned by the Government, with circulating libraries to render the necessary books accessible to all, and the utilization of the local schoolhouses for lectures and social purposes are favorite suggestions in this connection. It is true that to some a circulating library suggests nothing more important than easy access to the latest fiction, but in general there can be no doubt of the sincerity and prevalence of the yearning for a culture that will open their eyes to the beauty and joy of life.

That such culture requires effort to receive as well as to give is appreciated by the great number of correspondents who ask from the Government not so much material assistance in the shape of libraries, instructors, and meeting places as leadership in organizing to obtain these aids for themselves. Cooperation is the remedy that suggests itself to many minds for the evils, mental and physical, that spring from the isolation, hard work, and limited means which are the controlling factors in so many of the farm women's lives. In the organization of women's clubs and cooperative societies of every kind, it is pointed out, Government agents should be the natural leaders. Not only are they familiar with the methods that have proved successful elsewhere, but their position gives them a certain influence in the community not so likely to be enjoyed by a private individual, for it may be said in passing that throughout these letters the note of respect for Uncle Sam's wisdom is apparent. Properly organized and directed, it is expected that the women can do much to help themselves. On the purely material side the successful operation of such cooperative institutions as creameries and cheese factories has suggested the extension of the principle to such domestic matters as washing and baking. In sections where creameries are common a favorite idea is the establishment of a cooperative laundry to be run in connection with it. Advocates of this plan usually ask of the department only information-the cost of putting such a scheme into operation, the number of patrons necessary, etc. Butchering, canning, and the feeding of hired hands are also mentioned as fields

for profitable cooperation. As has already been said, however, many correspondents look to organization to do more than lighten the domestic drudgery. By its aid they hope to end the isolation and loneliness, the monotony and intellectual barrenness of their lives.

In singular contrast to this spirit of sturdy self-reliance is the attitude of most of the correspondents who discuss ques-Few observe or even appear to be aware tions of finance. of the existence of the distinction between borrowing to obtain productive improvements and borrowing as the easiest method of obtaining a convenient supply of spending money. The need for "cheap money" is voiced vigorously, but few appear to concern themselves with its repayment. Over and over one comes upon the naïve assertion that if the writer's husband could only borrow a thousand dollars or so at a low rate of interest she could have many comforts now lacking. That ultimately the thousand dollars must be repaid as well as the interest does not apparently enter into her calculations. On the other hand, it is undeniable that the difficulty of obtaining sound and justifiable loans on reasonable terms works real hardship in many cases. Coupled with this grievance is the familiar belief that the producer receives far too small a proportion of the value of what he produces.

These problems belong to the field of general agriculture and are therefore, strictly speaking, outside the scope of the present inquiry. The fact, however, that in their replies to the Secretary's letter so many housewives discussed these topics, stating expressly that whatever benefited the farmer benefited them, is significant. These women do not consider themselves as a class apart, with interests distinct from those of the general community. They do not ask for special privileges. They are eager to secure a healthier, broader, better life for their families and for themselves. Broadly speaking, they believe that the Government can help them in their struggle in two ways—by economic legislation that will make agriculture more profitable, and by advice and education that will enable them to make the best of what they have.

SUGGESTIONS FROM AUSTRALASIA TO AMERICAN SHEEP RAISERS.

By F. R. MARSHALL,

Senior Animal Husbandman in Sheep and Goat Investigations, Bureau of Animal Industry.

THE history of sheep raising in the United States relates numerous and radical changes. Present conditions do not give promise of sufficient supplies of mutton and wool to insure consumers of reasonable prices in the future. In 1909, 4 per cent of the meat used in American homes was mutton or lamb, while in the same year the United Kingdom used 22 per cent and France 11 per cent of mutton and lamb in their meat diet. Recently, however, American housekeepers have manifested a greater appreciation of the economy of a larger use of mutton and lamb. If the prices of these meats remain at a reasonable level their increased use and production will do a great deal to offset the seriousness of the beef question.

In the face of these considerations it is disturbing to realize that the numbers of sheep in the United States decreased from $61\frac{1}{2}$ millions in 1900 to $52\frac{1}{2}$ millions in 1910, and from 1911 to 1914 there was a further decrease of 7 per cent. The effects of this decline upon the meat trade have been retarded owing to the marketing of stock that should have been kept for breeding.

In contrast to this state of affairs, in New Zealand and Australia sheep raising is considered to be a safe and profitable business, and except for seasonal conditions the number of sheep kept is steadily increasing in those countries.

Because of the different attitude toward sheep raising of occupants of land in Australia and in New Zealand, a contrast of the conditions affecting the industry and the methods employed in those countries with those prevailing in the United States is of timely interest. In 1914 the writer of this article spent six weeks in wool trade centers and in visiting woolgrowers and sheep breeders in the States of New

320 Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

South Wales, Queensland, and Victoria. A longer time was spent in New Zealand. Some of the main points of difference between Australasian and American sheep raisers' methods and conditions, together with suggestions for improvement in this country, are discussed in the pages following.

CONTRAST OF AMERICAN AND AUSTRALIAN CONDITIONS AFFECTING SHEEP RAISING.

STATUS OF INDUSTRY.

Sheep husbandry was originally established in the United States as a farm industry and almost solely for the production of wool. With the occupation of the lands of the range States the center of the sheep industry shifted to the west of the Missouri River. On account of the cheapness of supplies of both wool and mutton from the West the industry declined in the farm States. More recently production in the West has failed to increase, in fact has declined, owing to use of land for other purposes and to fluctuating wool values. Present and probable future prices for mutton and lamb should encourage an increase in production which must come mainly from farming States. Eastern farmers are not eager to engage in a business in which most of them have had no experience and which their fathers were once forced to abandon. The United States now has about 50,000,000 sheep, the smallest number since 1901. In 1911 Australia had 93.000,000 sheep, which number had been exceeded but once before.

The sheep, even more than the cow, is the forerunner of closer settlement of new lands. In Australia much of the land is but poorly served by railroads. The product must first be hauled long distances and must ultimately go to over-sea countries. Because of this, wool has been the primary consideration. A very large percentage of the occupied land is used by pastoralists. Restricted rainfall will permanently limit large inland areas to grazing use. The evolution of Australia from the sheep-ranching through the crop raising and selling stage to an intensive farming system, in which sheep are essential to efficient management, is proceeding much more slowly than was the case in this country. Australian sheep raising is therefore of greatest interest to sheep ranchmen who are to utilize the large tracts of western lands which make up most of the country's total of 361,000,000 of nontillable agricultural acres.

LAND TENURE AND CLIMATIC CONDITIONS.

The settled land policy of the Australian States has given their pastoralists an advantage not vet known in the United States. While policies differ somewhat in different States. it may be said for that country as a whole that there is no open land and no conflict in the use of public grazing lands. In the newest sections lands are leased in large areas and for long periods. This gives a degree of permanency to pastoral operations, the lack of which is largely responsible for continuing many western American flocks upon a "fly-by-night" basis. The lessee is safe in investing in fences, wells, and other improvements necessary to the proper conduct of his business, and, more important still, his holding is large enough and his lease long enough to encourage and justify the most careful study and liberal use of capital in breeding the class of sheep best adapted to the section.

Lands leased from the Government are subject to periodic revaluations for readjustment of rents, and when needed for farmers or smaller stock raisers are subdivided upon the expiration of the lease. In such cases the first lessee may purchase his homestead site, together with a fair holding of adjoining land, and receive compensation for improvements left upon the land relinquished.

Two natural factors, rarity of predatory animals and a favorable climate, render the path of the Australian pastoralist an easy one compared with the lot of the Western States sheep ranchman. The rarity of predatory animals makes the herding of the sheep unnecessary. This saves a great deal of labor and allows the sheep a more natural life. The ranches or runs are fenced into paddocks, varying in size from a few hundred to several thousand acres. The cost of building and repairing fences is by no means equal to the expense of herding sheep, and even if it were, the greater thrift of the sheep in paddocks and the fuller use of the grass would still favor fencing.

75922°—увк 1914—21

Winters are very mild and necessitate no provision for shelter or feed for the flocks. In some sections lambs are dropped in the Australian fall. Lambing ewes receive no special attention, except in a few of the select lots of the valuable stud flocks. Because of mild winters, there is no need for moving sheep from one section to another at the approach and close of winter, as is imperative in our main sheep-raising States. Sheep can and usually do remain continuously in the same paddock from one shearing time to another.

On the other hand, practically all of the sheep-raising sections are subject to the serious periodic droughts peculiar to Australia and which often completely destroy all sheep not artificially fed or moved by railroad to graze in sections that happen to have a surplus of pasture. The frequency and seriousness of these droughts retard a very rapid increase in the number of sheep, and yet it is doubtful if they constitute a more serious drawback than the more frequent but shorter periods of cold and storm to which American range flocks are exposed.

On the whole, the conditions peculiar to sheep raising in Australia impress one as much more favorable than those peculiar to American range sections. It would be impracticable for our sheep raisers to attempt to adopt the Australian system as a whole. At the same time, because of the enjoyment of more favorable environment, the Australian pastoralist has advanced and established principles and practices which this country can well afford to adopt and emulate.

AMERICAN AND AUSTRALIAN SHEEP RAISERS' POLICIES COMPARED.

In the manner of disposing of the wool clip and in the breeding of sheep to suit the country, America has much to learn from Australia. The backwardness of this country in these respects can be explained, but outside of the attitude of the sheepmen themselves there is nothing to prevent the improvement along these lines which is unanimously admitted to be both necessary and possible of attainment.

The policy of breeding our range flocks has been a vacillating one, if, indeed, it can be said to have been a policy at all. The choice of rams is the main factor in determining the character of the flock. This choice has been governed by the price asked for rams, by the representations of sellers of rams, by the reports of what a breed has done in an entirely different section, and by any or all of a number of things; when the main considerations should always be the requirements of the peculiar country that supports the flock and the market that, in the average season, can best be catered to with maximum returns, not for a single season, but over a period of at least 10 years.

In the fall of 1913, after mutton had sold at high prices and wool on a low level, there was an unsatisfied demand for mutton rams while many fine-wooled rams remained unsold. In 1914, after higher prices for wool, the finewooled rams were again in demand. High mutton too commonly means the use of mutton rams in sections that are best adapted to wool production, while high wool brings fine-wooled rams into use in sections having natural advantages for mutton production. Under such management the flocks are not steadily improved in the points that limit their productive capacity or the value of the product. Each flock is of a mixed make-up, and neighboring flocks are too dissimilar to permit successful cooperation in either breeding or marketing.

With a clearer definition as to what is and what is not a grazing country, and with prospects for more stable prices for both wool and mutton, the American sheep raiser is more nearly ready now than ever before to study the peculiar needs of the country in which his holdings lie and to breed a sheep adapted thereto, resting assured that, although the value of his products may vary and he can not afford to neglect wholly either fleece or carcass, the income from sheep bred along any reasonable, definite line is assured.

The fact that the Australian flockmaster has not had his attention so seriously divided between mutton and wool is largely due to his wool interests having been so thoroughly understood and established that he was less easily tempted to abandon older ideas for something not proved to be permanently profitable to him. The number of mutton sheep raised is on the increase, and considerable crossing with mutton rams is being done in sections producing abundant feed. Lambs raised from crossbred ewes are practically all disposed of. The older and larger flocks have been bred continuously for the economical production of wool for many years.

Although their conditions and market differ from ours. their ideas, resulting from years of study and experience. can be largely adopted by owners of American flocks who have established or wish to establish flocks with wool as an The Australian's idea of what important consideration. constitutes an economical wool-producing animal is governed not by the price per pound received for the greasy wool, nor by the weight of the fleece, but by the total value of wool produced per acre of land. The type of wool is closely associated with the type of sheep, and the type of sheep must be varied to withstand regional variations in altitude, temperature, rainfall, and vegetation. The Australian sheep breeder is governed in his choice of rams very largely by the type prevailing in those near-by flocks that yield the greatest value of wool and show the greatest vigor.

Partly as a result of observations in regard to the vigor of various types of Merinos under the harder conditions of more recently occupied lands, and partly as a result of changes in market values of the finer spinning wools, the type of Merino popular in Australia has undergone a marked evolution in the past 20 years. It is no longer attempted to produce wools of extreme fineness. In making this statement, Tasmania should be excepted. Tasmanian breeders still adhere to a sheep very similar to the Merino bred in Ohio. In earlier times they contributed much high-priced stock to the flocks of the newer and larger States, but of late years sheep similar to those formerly in demand have been neglected at the important sales.

The general effort in Australia to-day is to produce a wool described as "bold" and "robust." The wool described by these terms is in reality strong, or coarse, as Merino wools go. Compared with what is aimed at by American woolgrowers, it is decidedly longer and coarser, much of it running around 56's in spinning counts, while the former type and the present aim of American breeders is to produce wool that will spin in the neighborhood of 64 counts to the pound. It is argued that the larger framed and stronger constitu tioned sheep that produce this robust wool suffer less from heat, drought, and scant feed than do the smaller and less vigorous producers of ultra fine wool. The newer type also has fewer skin folds on the body and is easier to shear. This robust wool is lighter in oil than are the finer wools and possesses a whiteness and an attractive character not easy to secure when fineness is paramount. (Pl. XXV, fig. 1.)

The gain in the amount of wool produced per acre by the robust-wooled sheep more than offsets the extra price that has commonly been paid in the markets for the very fine wools. In addition to this, and favoring the production of stronger wools, the trade has shown a lessened appreciation during recent years of wools that class as 64's or finer, while the lower spinning wools have increased in popularity. American wools of the fineness formerly popular in Australia and still aimed at by our growers are included in one grade of "fine." The stronger and more robust wool is represented by our "medium" or "half blood." The course of Boston October prices for these grades for 15 years is shown in the following table:

	1899	1900	1901	1902	1903	1904	1905	1906	1907	1908	1909	1910	1911	1912	1913
														-	
Ohio (unwashed):													l l		
Fine	$22\frac{1}{2}$	$18\frac{1}{2}$	$19\frac{1}{2}$	$21\frac{1}{2}$	$23\frac{1}{2}$	24	27	26	27	23	28	22	20	23	20
Medium	25	$23\frac{1}{2}$	20	23	25	30	$34\frac{1}{2}$	33	33	26	36	28	25	30	23
Territory (scoured basis):															
Staple, fine	55	51	46	55	55	65	76	71	73	60	78	65	60	67	54
Staple, medium	50	48	44	50	51	60	70	66	68	52	70	57	52	60	47
Clothing, fine	50	48	43	48	50	60	72	68	65	53	70	58	50	60	48
Clothing, medium	48	$47\frac{1}{2}$	40	45	46	55	68	63	60	45	65	50	45	56	43

Comparative prices (in cents per pound) of domestic wool in Boston, October, 1899–1913.

Ohio wools are quoted as grease wools, while Territories are quoted on a scoured basis. The stronger wools are therefore higher in the former case, because they carry less grease and shrink less in scouring.

The American woolgrower can not ignore the fact that the Australian grower has a thorough knowledge of the wool trade and has studied the question most thoroughly before concluding that there is greater profit in the production of

326 Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

the stronger wools. Certainly we in this country need to aim more at producing a lighter shrinking and longer wool, and if in breeding for these qualities combined with a sheep of unusual vitality we do produce less very fine wool, the loss will not be to the woolgrower.

Other claims for the robust wool are that it retains its character in bad seasons or in a hard country, that it is not so easily pulled off on bushes, and that it is less likely to shed from sheep in low condition or poor health.

PREPARATION OF WOOL FOR MARKET IN AUSTRALIA AND IN THE UNITED STATES.

In no respect do the practices of American sheepmen compare so unfavorably with those of the Australians as in the methods of preparing wool for the market. Our deficiency in this respect very largely explains deficiencies in policies of breeding and management, the distinction between good and poor wools not being apparent to the producer under the existing plan of selling.

Practically all wool in the United States is sold by the growers at an agreed price per pound, sacked and weighed just as it is shorn from the sheep. The grower very seldom knows anything at all concerning his wool after it leaves the shearing shed. Since the buyer of the wool very seldom represents a manufacturing firm, the seller has no facilities for knowing in any detail how his product should be improved to enhance its value for manufacturing purposes. On our western State sheep ranches wool is considered ready for selling when each fleece has been tied together with twine and the lot tramped into sacks. In the Australian shearing shed the removal of the fleece from the sheep is the beginning of its preparation for the market.

In shearing, the bellies of the fleeces are removed first and do not again come into contact with the fleeces, but are baled and sold separately as bellies. Convenient to the shearing floor a well-lighted room is provided for skirting, rolling, classing, baling the fleeces, and recording the contents of each bale.

The work of the wool room is superintended by the classer, who directs the work of the skirters, rollers, and piece pickers and assigns each fleece to its proper class or grade. Each class so made is baled separately and the bale marked accordingly. In the auction sales and the wool-selling centers each separate lot offered comprises only those bales bearing the same mark from a single clip.

The number of men and boys required to carry the fleeces to the tables in the wool room, sweep floor, skirt, roll, and bale is about equal to the number of actual shearers. Boys carry one fleece at a time to the wool room and throw it, spread out, upon the slatted-topped wool table. It is then skirted, two men working on opposite sides of the table.

Skirting consists in removing the coarser, dirtier, and inferior wool from the lower parts. The amount of wool going into the skirtings is determined for each lot of sheep by the classer, and may vary between different clips or between different lots of sheep belonging to the same station. The classer is a man of experience and familiar with the wants of the mill buyers. He is usually left to prepare the clip as he thinks necessary to insure maximum returns without suggestion or influence from his employer. (Pl. XXV, fig. 2.)

After the skirtings have been removed, the body of the fleece is neatly rolled, flesh side out, in such a way that when it is later removed from the bale and placed before the sorter at the mill it is readily spread out in its original shape. After rolling, each fleece is passed to the table at which the classer does his particular work. Behind the classer are a number of bins, one for each class or grade. Each fleece is assigned to its particular bin on the basis of length of staple, fineness, amount of yolk, amount of sand or other foreign matter, soundness, brightness, or color. The men operating the baler mark each bale according to the class name assigned by the classer at the beginning of the shearing to the bin from which that particular bale was filled. (Pl. XXVI, fig. 1.)

The skirtings, which comprise the inferior part of the fleece, are not allowed to go into the trade as such. They are divided into separate lots and the extra value obtained by sorting over the skirtings is considered to equal fully the expenses of the wool room. In most cases the skirtings are taken to the tables of the piece pickers, who separate them into tags, stained pieces, locks, first and second pieces, or broken fleeces. The number of lots prepared from the skirtings varies greatly according to the ideas of the classer. It is aimed to divide the skirtings into lots not so much upon the basis of fineness or spinning counts as according to percentage of clean wool contained.

A primary object all through the classer's work is to group together fleeces having a similar percentage of clean wool, and after that to secure as much as possible of uniformity in respect to spinning quality and character. The percentage of clean wool obtainable from any offering of wool is the most important factor in determining price and allowing accurate valuation, the buyer wishing first of all to be assured that 10 or 100 bales of a certain lot in one clip will be the same as the sample bales selected at random from that lot for his examination. Uniformity of the lots in regard to fineness and other qualities is also necessary, but can not be considered before the securing of uniformity in respect to the percentage of clean wool.

Division of a clip into too many lots is objectionable, as large lots sell better than smaller ones. The number of lots or classes must be determined in accordance with the size and breeding of the flock and the condition of the flock. It is considered safer to err in making too few classes rather than too many, so long as the mixing of fleeces varying in respect to shrinkage is avoided.

In one New South Wales shed visited, where 10,000 twoyear-old Merino wethers were being shorn, the classer was making 11 different lots of wools, according to the following names and descriptions:

- 1. AAA combing. This lot contained only the finer, best fleeces, having good length, sound, bright, and light shrinking.
- 2. AA combing. Similar to AAA combing, but heavier in tips, and would shrink more on that account.
- 3. AA. Similar to AA combing, but shorter.
- 4. A. Short stapled and heavy in yolk.
- 5. AA fleece. Rougher and coarser than AA combing.
- 6. A fleece. Short, discolored.
- 7. Broken fleeces. Best parts of neck and breech wool from skirtings.
- 8. First pieces. Best and cleanest of skirtings that remain after separation of that going into broken fleeces.
- 9. Pieces. Sometimes called second pieces; dirtier and inferior to first pieces.
- 10. Locks. Second cuts and sweaty locks from below the wool table. 11. Bellies.

Different classers may apply different names to similar lots of wool. Since uniformity throughout each lot is the Yearbook U. S. Dept. of Agriculture, 1914.

PLATE XXV.



Fig. 1.—A Group of Merino Rams from One Flock Sold by Auction at Sydney in July, 1914, at an Average of \$1,770, the Ram on the Right Bringing \$5,000.



FIG. 2.-WOOL ROOM OF A NEW SOUTH WALES SHEARING SHED.

Yearbook U. S. Dept. of Agriculture, 1914.

PLATE XXVI.



FIG. 1.-BALING ROOM IN AN AUSTRALIAN SHEARING SHED. [An end of the elasser's table shows in the space between the wool bins at the left.]



FIG. 2,-A QUEENSLAND SHEARING SHED THAT TURNS OUT ONE OF THE HIGHEST SELLING CLIPS IN THAT STATE.

Suggestions from Australasia to Sheep Raisers.

first essential, a lack of agreement as to use of names is not a serious matter, especially when it is considered that sample bales of each lot are always examined by the prospective purchasers.

In another New South Wales station the 1913 clip was classed into the following lots, for each of which the number of bales and price received is shown:

Lot Number of bales		Price equal to—	Lot.	Number of bales.	Price equal to—
		Cents.			Cents.
A combing, ewes	17	21.5	Broken fleece, ewe		
A combing, ewe hoggets	4	22	hoggets	5	21
A combing, rams	3	20	Broken fleece, rams	2	20
AA combing, ewes	29	20	A pieces, ewes	29	20
AA combing, ewe			A piece, ewe hoggets	9	17
hoggets	5	18	A piece, rams	4	14.5
AA combing, rams	1	16	Bellies, ewes	7	13
A fleece, ewes, bright,			Bellies, ewe hoggets	2	13
long, tender	8	18	Bellies, rams	1	11
A fleece, ewe hoggets	2	18	Stained pieces	1	8
Combing	4	. 20	Locks, ewes	6	6
Fleece, ewes, short,			Locks, ewe hoggets	2	6
heavy, yellow	6	17	Locks, rams	1	5
Fleece, ewe hoggets	4	17	A lambs	1	19
Fleece, rams	2	16.5	AA lambs	5	11.5
Broken fleece, ewes	21	18.5	AAA lambs	. 6	7

In the above clip there was an extra number of bales of broken fleece because of an unusual amount of burs in the ewe paddocks that season. This lot, consisting largely of necks, shrinks lightly and contains some good wool, as the price shows. It requires special handling, and the buyer who secured the bodies of the fleeces would probably have been unable to bid on them at all had the burry parts not been separated.

ACTUAL RESULT OF LACK OF SYSTEM IN PREPARATION OF AMERICAN-GROWN WOOLS.

The most that any American wool grower attempts in the preparation of his clip for market is the separate sacking of black fleeces and of fleeces from ewes, wethers, lambs, and rams. A few separate some of the larger tag locks. A canvass made by the Animal Husbandry Division elicited 383 replies summarized in the table below. Since persons in a

329

330 Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

position to give an affirmative answer to the questions were most likely to reply, the percentages shown are undoubtedly above what would be found correct for the area canvassed as a whole.

State.	Number of sheep shorn in 1913 by persons reporting.	Number of persons reporting.	Number who sacked ewe, lamb, and buck wool separately.	Number who sacked blacks separately.	Number who used paper twine.	Number who sacked tags separately.
Arizona	134,422	18	9	6	2	9
California	125, 302	26	10	5	8	4
Colorado	109,695	13	6	8	8	3
Idaho	336, 249	62	32	48	49	45
Montana	518,049	82	46	28	44	51
New Mexico	92,011	13	6	10	3	5
Oregon	195, 246	37	25	23	34	- 26
Utah	309, 583	71	17	58	61	24
Washington	77, 419	13	7		- 11	3
Wyoming	371,029	48	32	40	39	33
Total	2,269,005	383	190	226	259	203
Per cent of total		•••••	49.3	59	67.6	53
			1			

Western methods in putting up wool for market.

An American manufacturer has stated that because of their poor preparation American wools bring less per pound than imported wools of similar character. He substantiates this statement by showing the results of sorting and scouring two lots of wool, one grown in Idaho, the other imported. What remained of the Idaho wool after sorting and scouring was considered fully equal to the clean imported wool and was mixed with the imported lot for manufacturing.

,	Idaho wool.	Australian wool.
Idaho Soda Springs, cost in greasecents	18 1	28
Loss in weightper cent	1.96	. 87
Strings, clips, low, etcdo	8.88	
Shortsdo	2,5	.17
Main sortsdo	86.66	98, 96
Actual cost main sorts in greasecents	20.06	28, 29
Yield of main sorts from total weight of wool purchased. per cent.	42.07	62.64
Shrinkage of net weight of wool scoured, main sortsdo	51.46	36, 70
Cost per clean poundcents	41.32	44.69

It should be remembered that the Idaho wool had been graded by the dealer before it reached the mill. The fleeces had been separated into various lots according to fineness, condition, and appearance, and the lot reported in the above test contained only similar fleeces and could therefore be more accurately valued than would have been possible in the original bags. Even so, the manufacturer bought 11.37 per cent of material which had to be resold at a lower rate, adding 1.56 cents per pound to the grease cost of the Idaho lot, against 0.29 cent in the case of the Australian wool. The main lots of the Idaho and Australian wools lost in scouring 51.46 per cent and 36.7 per cent, respectively, and the returns of scoured wool of the class sought were 42.07 per cent and 62.64 per cent, respectively.

Because of extra labor expended upon wool at the mill, which in the case of the Australian lot had been done by the producer, and to safeguard against uncertainty of the value of the 11.38 per cent off sorts, American wools have to be bought at a lower price. In this case it was 3.37 cents per clean pound below its actual value. Naturally and properly the dealer had to reckon upon this discrimination in buying the ungraded clip. In an average western clip one sack might contain some fleeces that would yield 15 per cent less clean wool than others, or it might contain fleeces that while shrinking alike would differ in actual grease value by as much as 5 cents per pound.

The expression of another manufacturer shows how the American woolgrower suffers as a result of the condition in which his wool goes into the trade.

I think those of us who are established here in America, whose consumption of American wool has been a very large part of their total consumption, have grown to gradually recognize these deficiencies in the putting up of American wool as compared to the methods of putting up foreign wool to be a fixed charge on the wool, and consequently, in the consideration of its purchase, have realized that you have about doubled the working charges, that you have what might be called costs from wools in the bag to wools in matching prior to scouring, and you figure according to the price as to what we might say is the raw state in the foreign wool.

ADOPTION OF THE AUSTRALIAN SYSTEM IN PREPARING AMERICAN CLIPS FOR MARKET.

There is no one to deny the great necessity for improvement in putting up American wools. The value thereby added to the clip would give greater stability to our sheep industry and insure larger and steadier supplies of mutton as well as of wool. That the Australian method of putting up and selling wool is ideal from the standpoint of the grower can not be questioned.

The practicability of our growers emulating those in Australia in handling the fleeces at shearing time is debatable. Undoubtedly the work of skirting, piece picking, and classing can be done most efficiently at the time of shearing. Whether it can be done as cheaply at the shearing shed as in the dealer's warehouse or in the mill is a secondary question. If the extra price received by the grower for a properly skirted and classified clip offsets the expense of the work no other considerations apply. The Australian woolgrower does not admit any doubt of the economy of handling his wool in such a way as to maintain the popularity it enjoys in all wool-importing countries; but an Australian never sells at so much per pound for an entire clip. Each bale of from 300 to 400 pounds may be sold separately. When the sample bales from the different lots comprising his clip are displayed in rooms of the selling agents, there are ordinarily from 50 to over 100 buyers, representing several countries, ready to examine it and bid in the auction that follows.

The present course by which American wools reach the grower could not readily or easily be altered to secure a manufacturer's appraisal of the small lots that could not be avoided in even a broad classification of our clips. From the grower's standpoint the best plan in sight would seem to be to prepare the clips on lines similar to those followed in Australia and allow selling agents to group together lots of similar wools from various clips to make up sufficiently large offerings to interest the buyers in the trade who prefer to buy in lots of 50,000 pounds and upward. As time goes on the advantage of being able to secure the better prepared wools might render buying representatives more willing to buy in smaller lots in order to insure the continuation of the improvement.

Present-day American shearing sheds do not provide facilities for skirting and classing the fleeces. A well-lighted room convenient to the shearing board is the essential addition needed. The Australian style of shearing shed with its facilities for penning the unshorn sheep and discharging the shorn ones is desirable and will ultimately come into use, but the conditions prior to the work of handling the shorn fleeces are not the essentials of the system. That there is need of improvement in the shearing itself is shown by the difference in percentage of "shorts" in Idaho and Australian wools previously compared. (Pl. XXVI, fig. 2.)

Men experienced in classing wool and directing the work of skirting, rolling, and piece picking are not available in the United States. Such men can be obtained from other countries at rates of compensation that would justify their employment in training other classers and the hands required to do the work of the wool room at the shearing shed. Economy in the employment of these men through whose hands the wool passes after being shorn, as well as economy in providing the necessary building and in the shearing itself, would call for having around 30,000 sheep shorn at each shed. (See fig. 19.)

COOPERATIVE SHEARING SHEDS.

In New Zealand it is a common thing for three or four men to cooperate in the erection and running of a conveniently located shearing shed. Each holds stock in proportion to the number of sheep he keeps. Before shearing commences the stockholders meet and appoint a superintendent of the shed. The superintendent engages the classer, the shearers, and all necessary help, keeps the accounts, and divides the total expense among the users of the shed in proportion to the number of sheep shorn for each. Each clip is delivered to its owner properly classed into lots ready for shipment to any of the selling houses.

Australian authorities state the cost of "getting wool up in a scientific manner" (after its removal from the sheep) to be around one-quarter of a cent per pound when done for
large numbers and by experienced men. If American woolgrowers can receive actual value for each lot in a well-classed clip of wool, an added expense of one-half cent per pound for improvement in preparation would be justified.



FIG. 19.—Plan of wool room and section of shearing board of an Australian shearing shed. The sweating pens, filling race, and catching pens are built sufficiently high from the ground to permit shorn sheep discharged through the chutes to pass underneath to the counting pens, which are outside the building.

SHEEP FARMING IN NEW ZEALAND.

So far as attention to breeding, flock management, and preparation of wool are concerned, there are no important distinctions between the flockmasters in Australia and those in New Zealand. An American studying New Zealand sheep husbandry is chiefly impressed with the fact that our Middle and Eastern States farmers have not begun to realize the possibilities and advantages of keeping sheep on highpriced land.

The total area of occupied land in New Zealand is under 45,000,000 acres. Of this, 5,000,000 acres have been plowed and sown to artificial grasses for grazing, while over 9,000,000 acres have been surface sown to artificial grasses without plowing. The first-mentioned lands support from 1 to 8 sheep per acre for the year, while the latter carry from onehalf to 2 sheep per acre. Grass is the principal crop. With a growing season of 10 months and a well-distributed rainfall it is found profitable to keep in grass, for stock alone, lands valued as high as \$150 per acre. Nearly one-half the occupied land is in holdings of over 5,000 acres, mainly used for sheep, there being 90 holdings of over 50,000 acres each against 18,694 holdings of from 50 to 200 acres.

The number of sheep kept has advanced from about 19,000,000 in 1896 to 24,595,405 in April, 1914. The enumeration for April corresponds to November in the United States, coming after a large proportion of lambs have been marketed and corresponding quite closely to the numbers of the shearing season to follow. Wethers, rams, and ewes under breeding age comprise about one-half of the sheep. The number of sheep slaughtered for food purposes for 12 months ending March 31, 1914, was 4,019,831 and of lambs 4,338,181.

New Zealand's flocks number 21,527, and the average size of flock has increased from 1,081 in 1896 to 1,124 in 1913. About one-half the sheep are in flocks numbering less than 2,500 head, and seven-eighths of them are owned in flocks numbering over 500 head each.

A contrast of these figures with others for the leading farm-sheep State and the leading range-sheep State in this country is of interest:

State.	Total land area.	Number of sheep in State. ¹	Number of holdings over 100 acres. ²	Number of holdings having sheep.	A verage size of flock.
New Zealand	66, 292, 232	24, 595, 405	35, 702	21, 527	1,124
Ohio	26, 073, 600	3, 263, 000	94, 754	71, 556	45
Wyoming	62, 459, 160	4, 472, 000	9, 584	1, 643	2,938

335

It is partly because of necessity that New Zealand lands are so largely devoted to sheep raising. A good quality of mutton and of wool can be produced without the feeding of grain, the production of which is not favored either by the soil or by labor conditions. On the other hand, the place occupied by sheep is evidence of the profits obtainable when valuable lands are devoted to well-managed flocks of sufficient size to insure for them the lively interest and careful tending essential to their well-being and which in our farming States is the exception rather than the rule.

It is true that New Zealand flockmasters have no predatory wild animals to contend with. The problem of the domestic dog is not absent, but is held in check because of the general and predominating interest giving support to well-enforced laws. While it is true that the values of other commodities do not call for other uses of land, as in our farming States, this fact is offset by the lower prices paid for mutton and lamb in New Zealand. The advantage enjoyed there in the price of wool is quite largely due to the exercise of superior skill in getting the clips upon the market.

Our farming States have experienced a decline in sheep raising on account of unequal competition from cheap western lands. The force of that competition no longer exists, and the agriculture of the Middle and Eastern States will not again exhibit its most profitable status until flocks of sheep are larger and much more numerous than at present. There are difficulties in the way, but the main one is the lack of appreciation and examples of results obtainable from carefully tended flocks. The prosperity of New Zealand farmers and the improvement in their farms abundantly attest the practicability of devoting valuable lands to intensive sheep husbandry.

PROBABLE EXTENT OF FUTURE IMPORTATIONS OF MUTTON AND WOOL FROM AUSTRALIA.

In the minds of many American farmers there exists an uncertainty regarding the influence upon the future course of prices of importations of mutton and wool. Australia and New Zealand are regarded as likely to increase greatly their production to supply our markets and thus to depress the price of the home-grown products. Those countries can and doubtless will increase their production to a considerable extent. Such an increase can not be a sudden one, and it is doubtful if an additional output can be produced at a lower cost than is possible by the use of the best methods in the United States. None of the land now unoccupied in New Zealand is capable of producing really high-class mutton or wool. An increase in the number of sheep in that country is to be looked for chiefly through more seeding of natural pastures and the cultivation of forage crops on present natural or artificial grass areas. With the cost of labor ruling in that country and the comparatively slow rate of increase in population, the advance toward any system requiring an increase of labor is likely to be gradual.

In Australia there is a great deal of territory available for new flocks. Much of it is subject to rather frequent droughts, while labor conditions and restricted construction of railroads render improbable any rapid development. Much of this newer country requires around 3 acres to support a sheep. Those competent to judge state that the present rate of increase in the number of sheep in the interior no more than balances the loss in moister coastal areas that have supported 3 sheep per acre and which are now being used more largely for dairying.

Even with favorable seasons and aggressive development in Australia it is improbable that the proportion of the increase reaching the United States would seriously affect our market values. The United States is now one of the small importers of Australasian meats. It may be desirable for shipping companies to divert larger supplies to our ports to furnish eastbound cargo for vessels carrying back American manufactures. With an even greater meat shortage in the other countries receiving Australasian meats than exists here, prices are not likely to divert large amounts from European destinations into our markets.

The United States has for some time been a buyer of the better Australian wools. With no barrier against importing any or all classes of wools an increase of such imports might be expected. To secure such, however, American buyers must compete in Australia and New Zealand with buyers from several other nations, and supplies indicated from wool-

75922°—увк 1914—22

338 Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

exporting countries in the next few years rather strengthen the position of the producer.

The chief concern of the American farmer and ranchman from now on should be to work steadily along definitely worked out plans of breeding and flock management, and to adopt modern and economical methods of preparing his wool for market.

SELECTION OF HOUSEHOLD EQUIPMENT.

By HELEN W. ATWATER, Assistant in Nutrition, Office of Experiment Stations.

WHEN one considers the variety of things which must be put into a house to furnish it even simply, the question of household equipment appears a complicated one. The variety is necessary because a house serves many different purposes. Considered merely in its material aspect, it is the place where the family eat and sleep and take their ease, and it is also a workshop in which a great many different things are made, and each of these purposes must be recognized in furnishing it.

Considered as a workshop, it is sometimes a bakery, sometimes a clothing factory, sometimes a cleaning establishment, and so on. The list of tasks which are performed in the household is by no means as long as it was in the days when cloth was spun and candles made at home, and almost every decade sees more work removed from the home to the com-- mercial factory. Nevertheless, a great many tasks still remain and are likely to remain in the home, for which provision must be made in furnishing it.

In equipping her home the housekeeper should be guided by the same principles that would be followed in the selection of equipment for any other workshop, and should choose furnishings and tools which will make it possible for her to carry on her various household tasks with the least waste of time, work, and materials. In other words, a house should be equipped for efficiency in carrying on housework just as carefully as a modern shoe factory is equipped for making In such a factory lighting, heating, ventilation, shoes. sanitation, etc., are as carefully considered as the machinerv. and these matters of hygiene are even more important in the home, which is not merely a workshop, but also a place in which to rest and recuperate. Since a home is even more than that, and serves also as the material setting for the life of the family, other points must be considered which have

339

little or nothing to do with efficiency in a factory. It is certainly as important in a home to provide for comfort and wholesome enjoyment as for cooking and cleaning, eating and sleeping.

PLANNING BEFORE BUYING.

Sometimes when a woman takes up the problem of house furnishing she has to buy everything new from the beginning, and sometimes she already has a more or less complete equipment which she hopes to improve gradually, that is (to continue the comparison with the factory), she has to do with a "going concern." In either case she must study the situation carefully and make sure of what she most wants before she begins to buy. It is impossible for her to select to the best advantage unless she has all the principal needs in mind to begin with and goes at the task systematically. Haphazard buying is always extravagant and nowhere more so than in connection with house furnishings. There is such a bewildering variety of things to be used in a house that, unless the housekeeper keeps a clear idea of what she wishes most and plans her buying carefully, she will find herself getting things which, though useful, are not the most useful, or are not the best adapted to her particular needs. Two dining tables may be equally good of their kind, but one may be much better adapted to a particular house and family than the other. Tf it is a case of furnishing a house entirely with new things, it is wise to go slowly and learn from experience what will best suit the special conditions, even if this prevents putting the whole house completely in order at once. For example, it might be well to see how one's belongings fit into the built-in cupboards before deciding whether to buy a sideboard or a china closet. If only a limited amount of money can be spent at one time, it would probably be better to leave an extra bedroom unfurnished or do without an extra rug than to "skimp" on the quality of the necessary things. When it is merely a question of renewing or increasing old equipment, the thoughtful housekeeper considers the value of each article in connection with what she has or expects to have as well as by itself. If she has no convenient cupboard for her ironing supplies, an ironing table of the settle type with a box under the seat may be more serviceable than the ordinary kind; and if she expects to get a new

set of table dishes soon and can then use some of the old ones in the kitchen, it is poor policy to stock up unnecessarily with kitchen ware.

In order to buy in accordance with a definite plan she must often steel herself against the allurements of bargain counters or of beguiling salesmen, not because the wares they offer are not intrinsically good or cheap, but because in spite of being good or cheap they may not be what she really needs most. It is poor economy for her to buy sheets which will not be used for several years instead of napkins which are needed at once, simply because the sheets happen to be a few cents cheaper than usual, or to be persuaded to take an omelet pan when what she had meant to get next was a new coffee pot.

CHOOSING FOR NECESSITY, CONVENIENCE, AND PLEASURE.

In equipping any workshop, whether it be a factory, a dairy, or a house, the two chief elements which govern choice are necessity and convenience. Very often one article answers both these demands, and if possible those should be chosen which not only fill a need but fill it in a way which is economical of labor and material. For example, a kitchen stove is usually considered a necessity, not a convenience, but in selecting it a model which is convenient to work at and to care for is what a good housekeeper looks for. In choosing labor-saving devices it is a good rule to give the preference to those which save heavy work and which lighten tasks most frequently performed. A machine for washing clothes saves more bodily energy than a patent roasting pan, and a meat chopper is used more often than a device for stoning cherries.

The third element of choice in the case of many articles of household equipment is that of pleasure or beauty. As has already been pointed out, this marks the difference between furnishing the house and furnishing other workshops. Whereas the output of a factory consists of the particular line of goods which it makes, and the output of a dairy, of milk, butter, and cheese, the output of a home includes not only such material things as food and clothing and even general comfort, but also such immaterial things as the mental, moral, and spiritual welfare of its occupants. We some-

times assume that these less material factors of home life are independent of the furniture and equipment of the house and can be trusted to take care of themselves if they are not actually discouraged. But if a family really wishes its home to be more than a place to eat and sleep in, it ought to plan as deliberately for increasing the production of comfortable and profitable leisure, pleasant social intercourse, and an intelligent interest in things outside of its material needs as for mere food, clothing, and shelter. Fortunately, this does not always mean buying more costly furniture and more elaborate equipment, but rather choosing things which not only are necessary and convenient, but which at the same Since we must have dishes to eat from. time give pleasure. we might as well have them in attractive shapes and patterns and color, especially as good-looking ones do not necessarily cost more than others. The more any article that is used in the home includes all three elements of necessity, convenience, and beauty, the more efficiently will it serve its purpose.

FITTING EQUIPMENT TO PARTICULAR CONDITIONS.

It is impossible to lay down hard and fast rules as to exactly what articles or materials are best for use in the household. because conditions vary so greatly. No two homes are exactly alike as regards house and occupants and income, and what is suitable and economical in one may be inconvenient and extravagant in another. In a new house stained and varnished woodwork may be easiest to take care of, but when the woodwork is old and worn paint may make a more satisfactory finish; in fact, if the wood has already been painted, it may be difficult to use any other finish. Tt. would be as poor economy for a family in easy circumstances to hesitate at the price of such household improvements as a screened porch or a good kitchen floor as it would be for people who can hardly pay for keeping their everyday necessary equipment in proper condition to buy a charcoal broiler for steaks and chops or a collection of expensive brushes intended for cleaning special kinds of furniture.

The housekeeper must plan her household equipment with reference to the amount of labor there will be to run it. If she is to do everything herself she must not only arrange her work and her implements so as to avoid all unnecessary work, but she must also avoid many other things, such as bric-à-brac which is difficult to dust, polished surfaces which have to be frequently rubbed, and elaborate linen which it takes much time and skill to launder. On the other hand, if she does the work herself, she may be justified in buying things of better quality than if they were to be used by a careless helper.

The question of space must also be considered. In a large house with plenty of storage room one can perhaps afford to have special equipment for this, that, or the other kind of work, but where space is strictly limited one must concentrate. For example, one must choose one's pots and pans so that each will serve several purposes, and arrange the closets and cupboards so that all the space in them will be used to the best advantage. It is questionable whether unnecessary utensils and scattered, half-filled closets are ever worth the extra work they occasion, but where space is limited it is certainly poor economy to keep superfluous things about.

WHAT MAKES A WELL-FURNISHED HOUSE.

The well-furnished house is not one which is cluttered up with things which may be useful or attractive in themselves, but which nobody uses or enjoys, but one which contains those things which are necessary for convenience in working and for comfort and satisfaction in living, and no more. Tt need not on that account be strictly utilitarian; on the contrary, if it were well planned, perfectly convenient, and perfectly comfortable, it would also be beautiful, because beauty does not lie so much in the ornaments which are put on a thing as in the perfect adaptation of that thing to the use for which it is intended. In a collection of historical furniture the most beautiful pieces of each period are not those which are most elaborately decorated, but those in which material and shape and workmanship best answer the needs they were designed to meet. If there is ornament, it does not interfere with usefulness or comfort, and is so applied that it brings out the inherent beauty of the lines and material. The reason why some of the plain old tables and chairs which we have inherited from earlier times look better than

many of the elaborate and showy ones which have just left the factory is that their makers were more interested to make them strong and comfortable than simply to produce novelties the chief merit of which is to catch the eye. The same principle holds in all household furnishings—in fact, in everything. If a woman tries sincerely to arrange her house according to this idea of adaptation to use, she need not worry about its being "pretty." She may not be rich enough to have expensive things, but if she uses harmonious colors for her walls, floors, and upholstery, and chooses furniture for its good design and comfort rather than for its ornamentation, her house can hardly fail to be restful and attractive.

It sometimes seems difficult for a person who can not patronize expensive shops to find furniture with strong and yet graceful lines, wall papers and upholstery materials in simple designs and good, soft colors, or china and glass with plain but good shapes and decorations. Nevertheless, they do come in inexpensive grades, and the more people demand them the more dealers will carry them. Undoubtedly it is easier to take what is offered and to be satisfied with the assurance that "it is positively the latest," even when one's own better judgment says that it is neither suitable nor beautiful. If women would insist on getting what they want instead of what the dealer may want to sell, their houses would be better furnished, and they would do much toward improving public taste.

It is possible to carry the idea of simplicity too far. For example, a chair is not necessarily beautiful, comfortable, or easy to take care of merely because it is made up of straight lines. On the contrary, such severely plain furniture is often both awkward looking and uncomfortable. Too many useless ornaments in a room undoubtedly give it an overcrowded, restless look, and have a further disadvantage in making unnecessary work in cleaning. On the other hand, no ornaments at all would make it seem bare and unfriendly. The sensible woman steers between the two extremes and uses a few ornaments, chosen because they are useful things in especially beautiful form, or because they represent the artistic interests of the family, or have the intangible but none the less real value of personal association. A usable vase of handsome glass or



A. A GOOD DESIGN OF WALL PAPER IN TWO TONES OF THE SAME COLOR AND WITH THE FIGURE NEARLY COVERING THE BACKGROUND.



2

B. A STRIKING DESIGN, GOOD OF ITS KIND AND SUIT-ABLE FOR CERTAIN TYPES OF LARGE, SPECIALLY FURNISHED ROOMS, BUT OUT OF PLACE IN AN ORDINARY PRIVATE HOUSE.

XXVII.



A. INEXPENSIVE PLATE WITH GOOD TYPE OF DECORATION IN SIMPLE BAND OF COLOR SET OFF BY GILT LINES. B. TEAPOT INCONVENIENT TO CLEAN. NOTE SMALL OPENING AT TOP AND IRREGULARITIES ON HANDLE WHICH TEND TO COLLECT AND HOLD DIRT. Yearbook U. S. Dept. of Agriculture, 1914,

PLATE XXVIII.



C. GLASS PITCHER, PLAIN BUT GOOD IN SHAPE AND EASY TO CLEAN. $\mathcal{D}.$ Old Blue and White Pitcher, Good in Shape and Design and Easy to Clean.



Fig. 1.-Work Table of Correct Height, Allowing Worker to Stand in Easy, Natural Position.



Fig. 2.—Table Too Low, Requiring Worker to Stand in Bent, Uncomfortable Position.

Yearbook U. S. Dept. of Agriculture, 1914

PLATE XXX.

Selection of Household Equipment.

pottery, a good-looking box for matches, a graceful lamp with a shade which not only throws a good light but is beautiful in shape, color, and design by day as well as by night, a candlestick which is a family heirloom, and a few good photographs or prints of famous places or pictures in which the family is interested are examples of ornaments which are suitable, because there is some reason for using them.

ECONOMY IN COST AND CARE.

When it comes to the point of deciding between several forms of the same article, price is perhaps the first thing the majority of us must consider. So far as possible, the housekeeper should have a definite idea of how much she ought to pay for each part of her equipment and not let her choice run much above or below that. It is not true economy to pay more than one can afford for a thing, no matter how useful or how desirable it may be. On the other hand, the cheapest is not always the most economical. Other factors besides price enter into consideration, foremost among them being suitability and durability or wearing quality. It is evident that if dish-toweling at 18 cents a vard wears twice as long as that at 12 cents, the more expensive is cheaper in the end. Very often the wearing quality influences not only the price but also the convenience of an article. In the case of wall paper, curtains, furniture coverings, and other things on which considerable labor must be spent before they go into use, it is a satisfaction to have them durable, so that the full value of the work as well as of the materials may be obtained. Moreover, after one has put care and thought into the selection of such furnishings and they prove successful in use, it is discouraging to have them wear out quickly and leave the whole task to be done over again. Some families get tired of their belongings so soon that they prefer them not to be very durable, and argue that two cheap things give more pleasure than one expensive one. This is evidently a question of taste, but it is worth noting in this connection that in household furnishings styles change much less rapidly in articles of good quality than in the cheaper grades, and that among people of cultivated taste whose means allow them to choose what they like, furnishings are kept in use for many years and are

admired not for their novelty or fashion but for their intrinsic beauty. Moreover, in such things as furniture, upholstery materials, linens, etc., durability and beauty frequently go together, because both depend upon good quality in the materials and workmanship, and if one gets good-looking things, they often turn out to be durable. The size and circumstances of a family sometimes change faster than its good furniture wears out, and unless this possibility is borne in mind when the furniture is bought, the family may find itself stocked with things which still have a great deal of wear in them but are not suited to existing circumstances.

Another important element in the choice of furnishings is the amount of labor required to care for them and keep them in good condition. There is a greater range of choice here than many women realize, and it is a question which is worth more consideration than is often given to it. Rough surfaces like those on cheap earthenware, and worn, rough, and unpainted wood catch and hold dirt and are much harder to clean than smooth ones. Carving on furniture, elaborate castings on stoves, elaborate metal fixtures, fancy-shaped handles on dishes, etc., are things which make cleaning unnecessarily difficult. Polished metal usually takes much rubbing to keep it in condition, and for this reason dull finishes are often preferred on door handles, etc. Sharp angles in moldings also collect dirt and are hard to clean. Rounded moldings where the wall and floor meet have been introduced in hospitals and might well be imitated in private houses, as they make it much easier to remove dirt.

GENERAL AND PERMANENT EQUIPMENT.

The articles which go to furnish a house are so many and so various that it is impossible to enumerate them in a brief article or even to mention all of the more important groups. All that can be done is to discuss some of the latter in a general way which will show how to apply the principles of choice which have already been described.

Some of the articles of household equipment are installed permanently, and some of them are changeable. Many of the permanent ones are built in when the house is constructed and come within the province of the builders. Nevertheless, the woman for whom the house is being built, or who is choosing one already built, has a right to pass judgment on them, since it is she who uses them and keeps them in order.

If the house is provided with a water and drainage system she should try to get fixtures which are convenient to use and easy to care for. Open plumbing is now generally accepted as more sanitary than inclosed and is not harder to take care of, particularly if the pipes are smooth, symmetrically arranged, and so placed that they are easy to get at. Porcelain-lined fixtures are in common use in kitchens and bathrooms now, and if the interior surface is smooth and unbroken, they are easy to clean, but if the enamel has rough spots in it these will hold the dirt most obstinately. If possible, the kitchen sink, washtubs, bathtub, closet, and washbasin should be so placed that it is easy to clean around and behind them.

There is a considerable choice of material for kitchen sinks, each having its disadvantages and advantages. For example, the porcelain sinks show at once whether they are really clean or not and can be kept tidy easily, provided they are smooth, but they are rather expensive; enamel is easy to clean and not expensive but chips easily; soapstone is durable, but difficult to clean; iron is also durable and is not especially hard to clean, but it does not show dirt and so invites carelessness. Whatever material is chosen, the sink should be placed where the light is good and should be set at the height most convenient for working. This question of height applies also to worktables, washtubs, etc., and will be discussed later.

If a house has neither plumbing nor a drainage system, it should at least have a kitchen sink of good size and height and, if possible, made of material which can be kept clean easily. A suitable pipe should be provided for carrying away waste water, either to a bucket from which it may be emptied or to a drain outside. The latter must be constructed so that it will be sanitary and should not be merely an open trench, which is not only disagreeable but which often becomes dangerous to health.

If the house is to be heated by stoves, plain substantial ones should be selected. It is difficult to see why garlands of leaves and flowers in polished metal or bronze dogs should ever be considered appropriate decorations for stoves, yet such designs have often been chosen in preference to models which owe their good looks to good proportions and construction. Not only can the latter be more easily kept clean, but they are more in accord with the requirements of good taste than those which are awkward in shape or laden with useless ornaments, so-called.

If the house is heated with steam or hot water, radiators should be selected which are of suitable size and shape and which have plain surfaces without raised designs to catch and hold dirt. Since their main purpose is to heat the room, their size and location depend chiefly on this, but as far as possible they should be arranged so that they will not interfere with the placing of furniture in the room and so that it will be easy to clean around and behind them.

Whatever means of lighting is used—oil, gas, or electricity—simple lamps or fixtures are usually preferable, because they are easier to keep clean than fancy ones, and, if they are made of good materials and good designs, are better looking than very elaborate ones. Light is often used more economically if there are several fixtures in different parts of a room, and if these are planned for in the beginning they can be obtained with little extra expense. In the room where the family sit to read and sew a good lamp or a drop-light on the table or fairly low side lights on the wall are better for the eyes than high central lights. A good light should be provided in the kitchen, especially in the places where the work is chiefly done, such as over the sink and the work table.

Screens for windows and doors are sometimes considered part of the permanent and sometimes part of the changeable equipment of a house, but in any case the house should be well supplied with them, not so much because flies and mosquitoes are disagreeable as because they actually carry disease and are very dangerous pests. The screens which are made to fit the individual windows and do not need to be removed each time the latter are opened are undoubtedly the most convenient, but if they are too expensive, cheaper kinds can be used satisfactorily. If the ready-made adjustable ones are chosen care must be taken to have them fit tightly. If there are any cracks, flies and mosquitoes will find their way in but not out. Door screens should be provided with springs so that they will be sure to close tightly. Bronze wire mesh which will not rust is perhaps the most durable material for screens. Cheaper wire carefully painted lasts fairly well, and cotton netting is equally efficient as long as it is whole. It is better to have a house thoroughly screened with netting than badly screened with wire, but the netting will have to be carefully watched and frequently renewed to keep it fly proof.

In cold climates double windows are often used in winter, and soon pay for themselves by the saving in coal.

Built-in closets or cupboards are other features of permanent equipment which are most important to the housekeeper. If she has anything to do with planning her house, she should try to locate them where it takes the least possible number of steps to get at or put away their contents. A small closet, provided with shelves and drawers especially adapted to the things kept there, is more satisfactory than a larger closet poorly arranged. In planning drawers it is well to remember that a larger number of shallow ones are usually preferable to a few deep ones, because all of the space in them can be used without piling things on top of each other. Similarly, narrow shelves, preferably not more than a foot wide, are usually more convenient than wider ones and are easier to keep clean. Many housekeepers prefer the movable kitchen cabinets to built-in cupboards for kitchen supplies, because they have a convenient place for all the necessary things and no waste space.

WOODWORK AND WALLS.

The finish of the woodwork and walls of the house is part of its permanent equipment which plays an important rôle in its general attractiveness and the ease with which it can be taken care of. Woodwork of the baseboards, doors, window casings, etc., should be easy to dust and wash. This means round corners and no elaborate moldings. Whether or not such surfaces should be painted or stained and varnished depends partly upon how good the wood is and partly upon personal taste. As a general rule, varnished woodwork is easier to keep in order than painted, but paint covers up poor wood better and can be used in lighter colors, a point which is often in its favor in rooms where there is insufficient light or where a "light" treatment in color and furnishings is desired. Good enamel mixed with the last coat of paint prolongs its life and makes it easier to clean.

For floors, paint is less durable and harder to clean than well-finished waxed varnish, but if the boards are old and rough it would probably be better to paint them. Carpets or mattings tacked down close around the baseboard may be warmer in winter than rugs which do not cover the whole floor. but they are less desirable, because it is so difficult to take them up and clean them. Not only must the tacks be removed from the carpet, but their larger size makes them more difficult to handle than rugs. For months they remain full of dust and dirt which flies into the air when they are walked on, and for this reason they are very insanitary. If a floor is too bad for ordinary rugs, it is better to paint it and then lay down a carpet rug large enough to cover all but the edges than to tack a carpet over the whole floor. For the floors of kitchens, bathrooms, and passageways which must be washed frequently some material less absorbent than wood is desirable. Cement is sometimes used for back entries, pantries, etc., but it is hard and cold underfoot for the kitchen. Good, heavy linoleum is perhaps as satisfactory as anything for kitchen, laundry, and bathroom, as it is comfortable underfoot, easy to clean, and very durable. Oilcloth is cheaper, but not so durable.

Walls may be painted with any of the good water or oil paints or covered with paper. For rooms where the walls need frequent cleaning or where water is likely to be splashed on them, as in kitchens and bathrooms, a paint which will not be injured by moisture or some varnished paper or other waterproof material is preferable. In other parts of the house the ordinary wall papers are most common because they can be obtained at almost any price and in a great variety of styles and colors. Fashions in wall papers vary from time to time, taste inclining sometimes toward darker tints or larger figures, sometimes toward lighter colors or inconspicuous designs. Such changes in style are not important, however, and the selection of paper suitable for the room is always more satisfactory in the long run. In wall papers, as in furniture, many of the best designs now on the market have been adapted from old ones of different periods. Tapestry effects, for example, are suggested by the real

tapestries which covered the rough walls and broke the drafts in medieval houses, and some of the floral designs come from the silks and velvets with which the luxurious palaces of Italy and France were hung. These have stood the test of time because they are in accordance with the fundamental principles of decorative art. One of the reasons why the wall papers seen in so many rooms are unsatisfactory is that designs good in themselves are used in places where they do not belong. Because a bold floral design carried out in rich brocade looked well on the walls of a Venetian palace, it does not follow that a similar design imperfectly reproduced on paper would look well in a small room of a simple frame house in this country. Extreme designs are always rather difficult to adapt successfully, and it is usually safer to choose simple effects which are sure to prove satisfactory.

It is usually better to consider the wall covering of a living room as a background than as a decoration. This is especially true if pictures are to be hung against it. In wall papers, as in dress, inconspicuous designs and neutral colors are more satisfactory for "steady wear" than the reverse, particularly if one is limited as to cost, for "showy" material of poor quality soon reveals its cheapness.

The exposure of a room and the amount of light in it should be considered in choosing the color for the walls. It is wellknown that cream, yellow, and yellow-brown shades on the walls of a room with northern exposure "warm them up" and that soft greens and gravs temper the light in sunnier As a rule large, striking designs should not be used rooms. in small rooms. Stripes also should be used cautiously, especially where the rooms are high. The most satisfactory designs are often those in which the figure almost covers the background or in which the color contrast between the two is not very striking. Some of the best ones combine different tones of the same colors in the background and the figures. Pl. XXVII, A, shows an example of a paper with an inconspicuous design in two tones of soft brown, in contrast to Pl. XXVII, B, which though well designed is unsuited to a moderate-sized room in an ordinary house on account of the strong color contrast between figures and background, and the size of the pattern, the largest flower being some 12 inches in

diameter. A plain paper, such as cartridge or the various socalled "textile" or "oatmeal" papers, can be obtained in good colors and at low cost and is always safe to use. There has been a great improvement in the designs of inexpensive papers in recent years, and attractive ones can be found at almost any price.

The color of the walls usually determines the color of the other furnishings of the room, and really good and pleasing effects in house decoration depend more on such color combinations than on any other single factor. If wall and floor coverings, curtains, and upholstery all blend, the effect will be much more pleasing and harmonious to the eve than if each stands out from the others distinct and hard. Α single spot of rich color against such a blended background. say, a table cover, or a sofa pillow, will do more to brighten a room than brilliant colors spread indiscriminately over the walls and furniture. Just as the principal objects in one room should harmonize in color, so adjoining rooms should show in harmonious colors. A hall, for instance, should usually be in neutral tones, so that its color will not clash with the rooms opening from it.

TEXTILES FOR HOUSEHOLD USE.

Textiles of one kind or another make an important part of the changeable equipment of a house. Carpets, rugs, curtains, furniture covering, household linen, blankets, etc., all come under this heading. A general knowledge of the different fibers-cotton, wool, silk, linen, etc.-of which these materials are made, the effect and durability of different dyes, the values of the different methods of cleaning, etc., would evidently be a help to the practical housekeeper. Much has been written regarding color, design, and other matters pertaining to household textiles from the standpoint of the fine arts, but many of the other questions, especially regarding durability, strength, etc., have not as yet been systematically studied. Some of those which bear most directly on everyday household processes are being investigated in this department by laboratory methods, and it is hoped that as useful results may be obtained as have been gained from the scientific investigations of food materials. In the meanwhile, general practical experience is a great help in selecting such furnishings.

As has been pointed out, tacked-down carpets and mattings mean too much work in cleaning to be recommended, and movable rugs of some kind are much to be preferred. Rugs large enough to cover the whole floor are not as easy to handle, but stay in place better than small ones. In choosing rugs, one should select those which are firmly woven and which lie flat. If they are too thin or loosely woven they will work up into wrinkles or ridges, especially if they are large. Good oriental rugs are very beautiful and wear a long time, but they are too expensive to be generally used in the majority of homes. Carpet rugs are now manufactured in a great variety of shapes, sizes, materials, and designs, and are very satisfactory. Some of the best are those adapted from oriental ones. Good Brussels and some of the firmer of the pile carpetings are excellent, as are also those which resemble the heavy, old-fashioned "three-ply" ingrains. Old-fashioned rag rugs and their modern imitations have an attractive, pleasing style of their own. They are especially appropriate for bedrooms and bathrooms. but are often too thin for places where there is constant passing. Matting rugs, which now come in good tones of the standard colors, often prove useful, though they are not so durable as good wool. They are particularly suitable for warm climates.

What was said of color and design in relation to wall paper applies also to carpets and rugs. Soft colors and inconspicuous figures wear better to the eye and harmonize better with the other furnishings than gaudy figures on a bright background. The rugs should tone in with the coloring of the walls and should ordinarily be darker-in shade than the latter, not only because they show soil less, but also because they seem to bring the room and its furniture into their proper relations.

Window curtains serve the double purpose of regulating the light and of breaking the hard, straight lines of the casings. Window shades of Holland or similar material are more satisfactory than draperies for shutting out strong sunshine by day and securing privacy by night, but they do not soften the general light of the room as do draperies. The latter, if they come next to the glass, should be light in color and texture and should be easy to launder. If a little

75922°—хвк 1914——23

color is desired around the windows, the fashion of hanging straight curtains of some thicker colored material inside thin white ones of lace or muslin is an excellent one. Some housekeepers use only the thin ones in summer when coolness and air are wanted, and put up the heavier, darker ones when cold weather makes the effect of warmth desirable.

In choosing bed coverings the principal thing to remember is that one wants as much warmth with as little weight as possible. For this reason wool is preferable to cotton or to wool and cotton mixed for blankets, comforters, etc. Linen sheets and pillowcases have almost disappeared from general use because of their high price. Cotton ones are, for all practical purposes, quite as satisfactory. All bed coverings should be large enough to tuck in firmly all around the mattress, a point especially to be remembered in buying ready-made sheets, which are sometimes too short for ordinary beds.

It is generally agreed that some material which can be easily laundered is the best for tablecloths, napkins, etc. Real linen is preferable to cotton or cotton and linen mixtures, because it lies flatter, does not look "mussy" so soon, does not leave lint on the clothing, and takes a better luster in laundering. As in almost all textiles, a firm weave is more durable than a sleazy one. Provided the threads are smoothly twisted, coarse table linen is as durable as fine, but it is not as handsome. White is usually preferred to colored material, both because it stands more washing and because it shows at once whether it is clean or not. Tf neatness is desirable anywhere it must be at the table where we eat, and though white tablecloths mean much washing for the busy housekeeper, she should think twice before she substitutes dark-colored cloths which may be dirty before they have to be changed "for appearance's sake."

Linen is usually considered more satisfactory than cotton for toweling, because it absorbs water fully as easily and dries more quickly. Too firm a weave or too heavy a thread is not desirable, in spite of greater durability, for these make it less absorbent. For hand towels many persons prefer a rough weave like huckaback to a smooth one like damask, not only because it is more absorbent, but also because it gives a better friction to the skin.

There is such an infinite variety of materials for furniture covering that it is almost impossible to include all types in a brief discussion. Leather, wool, silk, linen, and cotton are all used. Leather is dignified looking, and the good qualities are durable, but in the cheaper grades the surface tends to wear off and crack, and it is often rather stiff and uncomfort-Silk materials are appropriate in certain places, but able. are too expensive for common use, except perhaps for cushion covers, hangings, and possibly for the covering of a choice piece of furniture. Cotton is inexpensive and does not wear through quickly, but often it does not hold its color well and also catches dirt easily. Nevertheless it is frequently used in cretonnes, chintzes, and similar printed goods and in low-priced velours, tapestries, etc. It is worth noting that mercerizing and some of the other new methods of treating cotton during its manufacture have improved its appearance and also its wearing qualities. Linen is occasionally used in materials similar to chintzes, but its most common use in furniture covering and draperies is in velour, a sort of heavy velvet material which is also made in cotton, but which is more durable in linen. Except for the fact that moths and buffalo beetles are so likely to damage it, wool is probably the most satisfactory fiber for upholstery. It is more durable than silk or cotton, does not catch the dirt as easily as the latter, and holds its color excellently. It is made into a great variety of materials-damasks, tapestries, plushes. etc.

The use to which the room is to be put influences the selection of materials in furniture coverings and draperies. Gay, light chintzes or cretonnes are appropriate for a bedroom, which one wishes to have clean and airy looking, whereas for a living-room substantial looking material like velour or tapestry would be more suitable.

FURNITURE.

In furniture itself, good quality depends on well-chosen materials, good design, and good workmanship. Wood is the most common material, but metal is sometimes substituted for bedsteads, and wicker or rattan for chairs, couches, and small tables. Soft wood, especially pine, is used for cheap painted chairs, kitchen tables, etc., but harder varieties are preferable for general use. The important qualities in furniture wood are strength and beauty of grain, though color is also a consideration. Oak is probably the most common kind now used in standard-grade furniture, and mahogany is always in demand for handsome pieces. Bird's-eye maple, cherry, rosewood, etc., are also occasionally seen. Black walnut is another beautiful wood for furniture, but it is seldom seen in new pieces now, partly because the supply has run short and partly because it is chiefly associated in our minds with the heavy, overornamented style of furniture for which it was used some 50 years ago and which has now fallen into disfavor. Some of the more expensive woods are imitated by staining cheaper kinds.

The advantages of wicker furniture should not be overlooked. It is light, comfortable, and durable; some of the simpler designs are very good and combine well with other kinds of furniture, especially when the wicker is stained a harmonious color.

Any piece of furniture should be and should look strong enough for the use to which it is to be put. Chairs and couches should be selected for the comfort of the persons who use them, and a living room should be provided with a sufficient variety to suit all the members of the family. As regards design, those which suggest comfort and strength should be chosen rather than "gimcracky" types, and if there is any ornamentation it should be placed where it brings out the important lines of the piece rather than seem to be put on for its own sake.

The number and size of the pieces of furniture in a room should bear some relation to the size of the room. Though crowded tables, insufficient bookshelves, or too few chairs are inconvenient, having a room so full of furniture that one bumps into it at every turn is even worse.

It should not be forgotten that well-distributed empty spaces add to the beauty of a house. In cities where extra space means extra cost, small, overcrowded rooms are sometimes unavoidable, but women who are so fortunate as to live in roomy country houses ought to make the most of their privileges and give their families the pleasure of ample space, even if this means banishing to the attic a few superfluous pieces of furniture.

TABLE AND KITCHEN UTENSILS.

Table and kitchen utensils make up another important group of furnishings. Very often the same kind of articles in different qualities are found in both sets. Table plates (Pl. XXVIII, A), for example, differ from kitchen plates more in their attractiveness than in any other way. Real china or porcelain, which is always translucent and of which the choice tablewares are usually made, is more suitable for occasional than general use because it is rather fragile, but its light weight, fine color, and smooth surface are undeniably beautiful. Earthenware with a good glaze usually ranks next to porcelain and is very satisfactory for general use. The old blue and white Staffordshire wares, which were so highly prized in colonial days in this country. belonged to this type, and similar ware (see Pl. XXIX, D) is still to be obtained in many satisfactory designs, one of the common ones being the well-known willow pattern. Large and conspicuous designs usually become tiresome on things which are used as frequently as table dishes and it is safer to select plain white or some allover pattern or inconspicuous bands of flowers, color, or gilt. It is usually wiser to buy tableware from an openstock design than to take the regular sets, which often include unnecessary pieces and can not always be replaced when broken. Good, plain shapes are ordinarily to be preferred to more fancy ones, because they are better adapted to their purposes and are easier to clean than those which have irregular surfaces and "nubbles" which catch and hold the dust. Pitchers, teapots, and other dishes with openings so small that the hand can not be inserted to wash and wipe them are to be avoided. Plate XXVIII, B, illustrates a teapot which is hard to clean on account of both the elaborate handle and the small opening. Kitchen crockery, like tableware, should have a good, smooth-finished glaze which will clean easily and not chip.

Glassware is to be obtained in almost any grade, from the most expensive cut glass to the coarse kind used in jelly tumblers. The choice depends chiefly on the pocketbook, but it should not be forgotten that plain glass or glass cut in a simple pattern is easier to keep shining and is usually more beautiful than any except possibly the very expensive types of elaborately ornamented glass. Plate XXIX, C, shows a pitcher of plain inexpensive glass and a shape which is both graceful and easy to care for.

Knives, forks, and spoons are made in several kinds of metal. Silver is the most durable and always has an intrinsic value. Plated silver is made so well and so cheaply nowadays that almost every family can have at least a supply of forks and spoons. Many prefer steel-bladed to silver knives for the main course at a meal because they cut better, but they are harder to care for than silver or plated ones. Tea sets, pitchers, and other serving dishes come in good designs in plated as well as solid silver. If the family happens to own handsome ones, they make appropriate sideboard ornaments; but they require frequent rubbing up to keep them bright, and unless they are needed every day on the table it is better to put them away and reserve them for special occasions than to let them stand about tarnished.

There is much discussion as to the best material for cooking utensils. The truth is that no material is best for all, and the work is most easily and satisfactorily done if different kinds are chosen for different needs. Earthenware is excellent for certain purposes, as it holds the heat evenly, and baking dishes or casseroles in which the food can be served as well as cooked save dish washing. Such wares are not adapted to all kinds of cooking, however. The great heat of fat in frying, for example, especially when the hot fat spatters up against the cooler parts of the dish, is likely to crack it. Enameled ware is light in weight, easy to clean. and is little affected by acids; it is excellent for mixing dishes and for keeping food in, but the cheaper grades do not always stand the heat of cooking well and soon chip. The enamel should be free from bubbles and have smooth, evenly finished edges which will not chip readily. Aluminum heats quickly and so economizes fuel, comes in very good shapes, is light to handle, and very durable; it is affected by alkalies. discolors easily, and is rather hard to clean. Nevertheless, since it does not rust, it is especially desirable for teakettles, double boilers, kettle covers, etc. Cast iron is still common ware for kitchen utensils, but it is being replaced in many homes by materials which are lighter in weight and less

expensive. Good iron pans and skillets are excellent for some kinds of cooking, however, because they heat more evenly than those of other materials, and they last for generations. Iron rusts easily and is affected by acids as aluminum is by alkalies. It is because of this action of acids that iron dishes sometimes injure the color and flavor of food, and for this reason food, especially acid food, is usually not allowed to stand in them. Tin and sheet iron plated with tin are in common use in most kitchens because they are rather inexpensive, but they are not entirely satisfactory. Unless they are unusually heavy, they lose their shape quickly. In thinly plated kinds the tin wears off and the iron beneath rusts easily.

The shape of kettles has much to do with the quickness with which their contents heat. The smaller the surface which comes in contact with the heat, the longer it will take the contents to become warm, and vice versa. This means that in a kettle with a broad base the contents heat more quickly than in one with a small base. This point should be especially considered where gas stoves are employed and fuel must be carefully used.

Because a thing is to be used in the kitchen is no reason why it should be ugly to look at, and if the housekeeper can find mixing bowls and kettles which are attractive in shape, color, and finish, as well as convenient and easy to clean, they will give her a sense of pleasure every time they are used.

ARRANGEMENT OF KITCHEN FURNITURE.

Since the kitchen and laundry are the rooms where the hardest part of the household labor is performed, the question of efficiency in their equipment is especially important. This efficiency depends not only on having the most convenient devices for doing the work, but also on having them placed where they can be most conveniently used. If a woman has to go to a distant closet or pantry every time she wants a dish or a little flour, or even if she has to cross a large room as she moves between the stove and the worktable, the sink and the cupboard, she will waste a considerable amount of energy in the course of a day's work. It certainly is worth her while to study her movements as she works and see if by changing the place in which some things are kept or by moving the worktable or the kitchen cabinet she can not reduce this waste of energy. As has been already suggested, the height of worktables, sinks, and laundry tubs has much to do with the ease of working. Different kinds of work, of course, call for tables of different heights. Ironing, for example, which consists of pressing down hard, is easier on a lower table than would be chosen for general work. The height of the worker also makes a difference. From 32 to 36 inches from the ground is the usual height for general worktables, and the bottom of the



sink should usually be 30 or 31 inches from the floor; but it is better for each housekeeper to test out the matter for herself before she buys a new table or has a new sink set up than to trust to general rules. These and other points in kitchen equipment have been discussed in a recent Farmers'

Bulletin.¹ Plate XXX shows a woman working at a table of correct height and at one which is too low, and makes clear how much discomfort and unnecessary effort comes from bending over the latter. An attempt is being made in the calorimeter laboratory of the Department of Agriculture to measure exactly how much energy is expended at tables of different heights, and it is hoped to extend the work to include the expenditure of energy during various household tasks performed under favorable and unfavorable conditions, so that questions of efficiency in housework can be placed on as accurate a basis in the dairy or the factory. A table (see fig. 20) with an adjustable top which permits the working height to be easily changed has been made for experimental use in the calorimeter laboratory, and the same principle might be applied for use in the home.

¹ The Farm Kitchen as a Workshop. U. S. Dept. Agr., Farmers' Bul. 607 (1914).

IMPORTANCE OF STUDYING HOUSEHOLD QUESTIONS.

A generation ago such subjects might have been thought beneath the dignity of scientific investigation, but the last few years have seen a great change in this respect. The wav in which our homes are run, or, in more technical terms, the science of home economics, is now in much the position that scientific agriculture was in 20 or 30 years ago. The leaders had shown that science can improve crops and some of the more progressive farmers were giving the new ideas a practical test, but many of the rank and file were still doubtful whether it was worth while. Few farmers of to-day, however, would care to go back to the days before experiment stations, fertilizer control, etc. The fact that the problem of making the home as efficient as possible includes so many different kinds of questions will make necessary a great deal of study along many different lines, just as agriculture has included problems as different as those of insect pests and cheese making. In solving these every intelligent farmer who has studied them on his own farm has done his part as well as the special investigators in the laboratories. In the same way, every intelligent housekeeper who studies the household problems of cooking, cleaning, and furnishing and tries to solve them with the help of both practical experience and scientific information hastens the day when household management can be as accurately planned as that of the factory and the farm.

Planning and equipping a home in an accurate and systematic way does not mean that it should not have any individuality. On the contrary, while the principles which govern a wise choice of furnishings are the same for all kinds and conditions of houses and families, the articles actually chosen in accordance with these principles would vary just as much as the house and the families for which they are intended. Whether one's house is large or small, things should be chosen to fill actual needs, and to fill them in the way most economical of money, labor, and materials, and, as far as possible, to give pleasure as well. If the house or the family is large, different things will seem necessary, convenient, economical, and suitable, from those which would answer the requirements if there were less space or fewer persons to be provided for. The size of the income also influences choice, but the fact that one can not pay high prices does not mean that one must always put up with inconvenient or unattractive things. A table of easy working height probably costs no more than one too high or too low, nor would making wooden blocks to set under the legs of a low one be an impossible expense; yet a difference of a few inches may mean the difference between working easily and getting tired every day. Increasing the convenience of working by such simple means as moving a table or stove or rearranging the kitchen cupboards or kitchen cabinet may make a noticeable difference in the number of movements necessary for the daily work, and this saving of energy not only lessens the labor, but also prevents the irritation which an intelligent person naturally feels at wasting effort.

As far as the element of pleasure or beauty is concerned, it is the necessary things rather than special ornaments which make the greatest difference in the attractiveness of a home. Comfortable furniture of good plain design and harmonious colors on the walls and floors are more necessary to make a house restful and pleasant than many pictures and much bric-a-brac. Fortunately, it need not cost any more to get these necessary things in satisfactory forms than in poor ones, though it may mean choosing more slowly and carefully.

If the best equipped house is the one which in all its features and furnishings are most completely suited to the needs of its occupants, the standard for every family must be adapted to such individual peculiarities as the location of the house, the amount of the family income, the size of the family, and their different occupations and interests. Judged by this standard, a woman who, with limited means, has made a convenient, comfortable, and attractive home out of an unpromising, inconvenient farmhouse has shown greater ability than one who, with the help of an expensive decorator, has obtained a good effect in a house equipped with all modern improvements.

THE EGG AND POULTRY DEMONSTRATION CAR WORK IN REDUCING OUR \$50,000,000 WASTE IN EGGS.

By M. E. PENNINGTON, H. C. PIERCE, and H. L. SHRADER, Bureau of Chemistry.

LARGE refrigerator car, painted white, and bearing on its sides the inscription "U.S. Department of Agriculture. Poultry and Egg Demonstration Car," was backed down a railway siding in a typical southwestern town and came to a stop about two blocks from the station. There it remained while the heterogeneous mass of freight cars to which it had been attached rumbled slowly away to disappear in the north. Two men carrying sweaters that seemed strangely out of place with a temperature that particular morning in the neighborhood of 105 degrees in the shade came toward it from the station, unlocked the door, let down a flight of steps, and entered. A moment later came the regular throbbing of a gasoline engine, the whir of large fans could be heard, and incandescent bulbs began to flood the interior with light. The men put on their sweaters, for it was suddenly getting cold.

The Department of Agriculture's demonstration car was now ready to begin its daily business of trying to reduce the \$50,000,000 annual waste in eggs. Part of this loss can be debited to needless breakage of eggs in transit from the nest to the retailer. The balance represents the unnecessary addling, spoiling, and deterioration of good eggs that comes from bad handling on the farm, on the way from the farm to the town, in the town, in transit from town to city, and in all stages of progress from the carload lot to the breakfast table.

The importance of the loss of eggs which has occurred by the time the product reaches even the country shipping centers can be seen from reports of 20 prominent shippers in a single egg-collecting point. These men reported that one year the percentage of eggs which arrived in a state so bad that they were an absolute loss rose to 8.33 per cent in November, with a mean loss for the year of 4.36 per cent for the 32,730 dozen eggs, or over 1,000 cases, which were specially examined. The loss in eggs between the time the hen lays a fresh egg and the time when that egg is traded or sold to the country merchant may be judged also from the fact that a special inquiry conducted among country storekeepers in October showed that only 25 per cent of the eggs they secured from the farmers would rank as "firsts" and that 60 per cent were "seconds," due to long holding on the farm; that 5 per cent were cracked; and that 4 per cent were rotten or stuck to the shell. Some of the farmers, it was found, had held eggs for four weeks before turning them in at the village store.

These two inquiries indicate clearly the cause of the huge number of eggs which merely add to the crematory and dump-heap bills of our great cities or reach the markets in a condition in which they can be endured only by unusually strong palates, or used for tanning leather. This vast quantity of spoiled eggs is an unpleasant monument to needless American waste of good food in the face of increasing population and lowered food production.

Reports had reached the Department of Agriculture that the shipments of eggs at this particular time from the southwest were showing far more than the normal number of "floaters," "blood rings," "white rots," and "black rots," which are terms employed in the egg-handling trade to represent the different stages of descent from a good egg into a very bad egg. These reports came from near-by egg-collecting centers, and some of them came even from distant New York, where the housewife was complaining about trouble with her egg supply. A preliminary investigation in the district showed that unusually hot, dry weather was leading to a loss from spoilage of over 30 per cent of eggs in this particular county. This meant that for want of a little extra care the farmers of the county were throwing away fully \$1,000, or the price of an automobile, every week.

Instructions began to go out from Washington: "Send the egg car to central Texas and travel north during May." In response to these orders the car was delivered at this particular station on a hot May morning, and thus was added another fraction to the 7,000 miles the car has traveled during the last two egg-laying seasons on its egg-saving mission in Kansas, Oklahoma, Texas, Arkansas, and Missouri, the corn States which produce a vast proportion of the eggs consumed in the great cities of the East. In all these States the car has been helped on its way by the hearty cooperation of the railroad authorities, who were among its earliest converts, for they were quick to see that spoiled eggs spell profit for nobody.

The demonstration car (Pl. XXXI, fig. 1), while looking like an ordinary refrigerator car on the outside, is fitted up inside to be an egg packing and chilling establishment on wheels. In one end is a huge ice bunker holding over 3 tons of ice, and at the other end is a good-sized gasoline engine for running the cold-air fans and driving the dynamo which supplies light. By means of false walls running from the ice chamber, the fans are able to drive chilled air to all parts of the car and thus make possible the proper precooling of eggs for shipment and the testing, packing, and handling of eggs at temperatures which prevent or delay spoiling.

The chilling of eggs is almost the beginning and end of keeping New York and other great cities supplied. Heat is the great enemy, for once a good egg has stood for any time at a temperature of over 68° F. it begins to incubate, if it is a fertile egg, or to spoil, if it is an infertile egg. For this reason the car is equipped to give each railroad town it visits a practical demonstration of the advantage of keeping eggs cold and chilling them thoroughly before starting them in refrigerator cars on the long haul from the corn belt to the great egg-consuming centers.

In another section of the car are two egg-candling rooms (Pl. XXXI, fig. 2), supplied with electric lights, some of which are equipped for candling eggs by being inclosed with dark coverings in which there is a single hole the size of a half In these dark rooms the experts hold the egg before dollar. the candling light, and its condition is instantly revealed with almost photographic clearness. The purpose of candling eggs at home, of course, is to decide which of the housewife's dozen is in perfect condition for breakfast or cookery. On the commercial scale, the testing is to determine not merely whether the egg at the moment is good for immediate consumption, but whether, if properly chilled, packed, and handled, it will survive a long shaking up on a 1,000-mile railroad
trip and remain good in transit, storage, and the retail store until it reaches a distant home. For unless an egg starts on its journey in absolutely good condition, no amount of refrigeration or careful handling will restore it to goodness. And the egg that has begun to deteriorate, that shows the first sign of the incubation of its germ, spoils rapidly every hour that it is subjected to ordinary summer temperatures-in fact, every minute that the fresh-laid egg spends in the nest or elsewhere exposed to more than 68° F. starts it on a downward career. As the temperature mounts, the egg approaches hatching conditions. At 102° F. it might as well be in an incubator or under a hen. Direct exposure in the farmer's wagon, or in a case at the depot, to the rays of the summer sun and heat of 105° to 110°, hastens incubation and the development of bacteria and chemical changes that make the egg impossible to keep and unfit for food.

The one way to tell about the contents of an unbroken egg is to hold it before the light. Testing millions of eggs in this way has enabled the testers to tell just how each grade looks. To assist those who are not experienced candlers, the Government has printed carefully colored lithographed charts which show the exact appearance of different grades of eggs before the light. With this chart the egg dealer and even the housewife is enabled to candle eggs with sufficient accuracy.

The absolutely fresh egg held against the light shows a distinctive pinkish glow of goodness. Let that egg, however, remain out in the sun or in the summer heat for a little time, and within a day or two it begins to show "blood," a tiny series of little blood vessels forming around the embryo of the chick; or the heat may cause the yolk to go toward the top and shift easily, which characterizes it as a "light floater." Again, the yolk may mix with the white and make a "white rot," a condition also revealed by the candle. The final stage is the "black rot," where no light at all can be seen through the egg. The egg has now reached the explosive stage, which makes it such a favorite missile of the average boy. There is, however, another type of bad egg which most people would think good for food. The yolk is a firm golden ball and the white a clear liquid. But the white has a greenish color-and the green indicates that the egg is full of bacteria-it is a "green white egg."

After the candle has told its story the egg, if intended for long shipment or storage, must again pass examination before it has been classified fully, for an egg is no better than its shell. A perfect shell is one of the essentials of a good egg, because any crack or break in it will tend to let in all sorts of bacteria to hasten its putrefaction. The egg therefore must be graded not only by the condition of its contents, but by cleanliness and soundness of shell as well. An egg that is so badly cracked that its contents escape is termed a "leaker." A "leaker" not only will not keep itself, but it may soil and injure a large number of eggs packed in the same case with it. They are thrown out, therefore, at every stage of handling and constitute a total loss. "Checks" are eggs the shells of which are cracked but the membranes still intact. These, too, are sure to rot quickly. Even if their contents are perfectly fresh, they can not be held for any long period. The egg with a dirty shell, no matter how good its contents may be, does not bring a high price on the market. It is unpleasant for the housewife to handle and can not be served in the shell. Washing dirty eggs, however, hurts rather than helps them, for the reason that any water put on an egg washes off some of the protective covering which the hen puts on the shell to make it more resistant to the entry of germs. A washed egg is shiny and smooth looking and lacks the powdery bloom of a clean fresh egg that has not been washed. One of the duties of the egg tester, therefore, is to detect the egg which has been washed to escape the lower commercial grade assigned to those with soiled shells.

The commercial egg handlers in the large cities understand fully the importance of the delicate candling tests and the careful examination and classification of eggs according to shell condition. In some cases the middlemen—largely the country merchants or egg collectors of the small railroad towns of the egg-producing districts—understand candling, but frequently conduct this operation more or less roughly and do not always grade the eggs accurately. Many of them will count as nearly fresh or "strictly fresh," eggs which under the careful candling of the cities would be put in other than the highest grades. Similarly in the other gradings their candling is not nearly so exact as the work in the great egg centers. A partial explanation for this is that the country egg collector is interested merely in getting his eggs 200 or 300 miles to the nearest wholesale egg-collecting market. The big candler in the city, however, must decide what eggs are good for the fancy trade and what eggs to sell as second and third class. Moreover, in the winter the city candler must candle the eggs that have been kept for months in cold storage to satisfy the egg hunger of the great cities during the seasons when the sturdy gray hen is not laying.

Without such provision for the cold storage and canning of large supplies, a palatable boiled egg in the winter in the great cities would be a luxury entirely beyond the reach of any but the wealthy. The day when the housewife purchased her eggs from a near-by hen-keeping neighbor has passed. Few of the city dwellers ever hear a hen cackle, except at the annual poultry shows, and not one out of ten thousand could go directly to a place where she could get eggs taken fresh from the nest. The luxurious eggs which sell at from 60 cents to a dollar a dozen do come largely from the environs of the large cities, but the bulk of the egg supply travels for distances sometimes as long as 2,000 miles to reach the markets on the Atlantic coast. This has transformed what once was a matter largely of personal barter between neighbors or between the country woman and the storekeeper who supplied his own retail customers into a vast and complicated food industry employing thousands of people and many millions of capital. To-day the egg starts on its trip to the big markets in the farm wagon, and for the woman of the farm each good egg in its shell is practically so much cash. In fact, eggs and chickens supply a large proportion of what might be called the ready spending money of the farm The local merchant pays her for her eggs either in woman. money with which she pays her doctor's or dentist's bills or buys articles for the home, or else immediately transforms the eggs into calico or shoes or groceries. The country storekeeper similarly regards the eggs as money and deposits them with the local egg collector and shipper, who honors the poultry check and turns back the cash to the storekeeper. From this point of view the local egg shippers might be regarded as running an egg bank. After the egg shipper at the local station starts his eggs toward the collecting centers

Yearbook U. S. Dept. of Agriculture, 1914.

PLATE XXXI.



FIG. 1.-INTERIOR OF CAR, SHOWING VENTILATING FAN, HOLES IN THE CEILING, AND THE OPENINGS IN THE FALSE SIDE WALLS NEAR THE FLOOR.



Fig. 2.—A Demonstration in the Car of Grading Eggs by Means of the Candle.

WHAT THE CANDLE SHOWS.

UPPER.

 $\Lambda_{\rm c}$ A fresh egg, before the candle and out of tho shell.

B. Slightly stale egg, showing evidence of incubation, hefore the candle and out of the shell.

C. Stale egg, showing a settled, flattened yolk and a thin white, before the candle and out of tho shell.

D. Egg with yolk beginning to adhere to shell, before the eandle and out of the shell.

LOWER.

A. Egg showing blood ring, before the candle and out of tho shell.

B. Cracked egg invaded by mold, before the candle and out of the shell.

C. White rot or addled egg, before the candle and out of the shell.

D. Egg with a green white, before the candle and out of the shell.



Yearbook U. S. Dept. of Agriculture, 1914.

PLATE XXXII.

Yearbook U. S. Dept. of Agriculture, 1914.

PLATE XXXIII.



THE PLACARD THAT ANNOUNCES THE COMING OF THE CAR.



SCHOOL CHILDREN VISITING THE CAR.

arbouk U. S. Dept. of Agriculture, 1914.

PLATE XXXIV.

in the large cities the egg then passes through practically all the selling agencies that attend the getting of any manufactured foods from the factory to the actual consumer. The difference, however, between the vast egg industry and big trade organizations for handling other staples is that while the capital involved in the egg collecting, handling, and storing business is very large, the trade is not highly centralized. The imposing total is made up of many small units thousands of men in local towns who have invested a few hundred or a few thousand dollars in their branches of egg marketing. It is estimated that these men handle yearly a food product worth, to the consumer, \$750,000,000.

One of the missions of the egg-testing car, therefore, is to show the egg collectors in the small towns how to standardize their grading so that their rating of an egg will be much the same as the later candling of the great egg handlers, and thus help them to send to their customers in the cities more nearly the grades of eggs they order. The local egg collector who does this gets a higher price for his standardized product, avoids sending eggs that are likely to smash in transit and make a "scramble," as the trade describes the case of eggs made dirty by other broken eggs, and also will save the freight and loss on spoiled and broken eggs shipped considerable distances.

In dealing with these local egg collectors—the town and country merchants and commission men-the Department of Agriculture's egg specialists follow the doctrine that "seeing is believing and doing is better than reading about it." In the distant past it was the policy to discover things and then send people printed descriptions of the discovery, possibly with black and white reproductions of photographs of what the laboratory investigator saw before the candle. It is not an easy matter for anyone to translate black and white into delicate differences of color, nor again, to visualize printed words into pictures and colors. Try as he would, the country merchant could not read black and white pages and see the delicate gradations of pinks and vellows and browns which the expert told him he needed in egg testing. Some improvement was made when egg-testing charts (Pl. XXXII) were printed accurately in color, but even here seeing the picture of an egg is not as effective as judging a basket of

75922°--- үвк 1914-----24

eggs before the candle with an expert and then breaking some of them open to determine the mistakes of the eye. The department, therefore, sent out its car equipped with practical egg-candling rooms, and here the country merchant, under the tuition of the expert, can test hundreds of eggs before the candle until there is fixed in his mental eye the exact appearance of eggs of every grade.

Preceding the arrival of the demonstration car at each point, letters are sent to all commission men and local merchants dealing in eggs, inviting them to visit the car and take part in practical demonstrations of egg candling. In addition the railroad through its local agent notifies all egg shippers of the coming of the car. The department puts up in the post office and at other points in the village attractive placards (Pl. XXXIII) announcing the time of arrival of the car, telling of its work, and inviting those interested to attend. The schools through their pupils give notice to country parents of the arrival of the car, and finally the local newspapers are supplied with information and asked to spread through their columns the news of the car's coming and its usefulness to the county.

The doctrine taught is not especially altruistic and the invitations do not imply that the local egg man or farmer owes some philanthropic or social duty to a distant consumer. The argument is entirely one of dollars and cents in the pocket of the farmer and his wife, the local egg commission man, and in the general pocket of the county itself.

"Thirty per cent of your eggs are wasted. You are getting 30 per cent less money for your eggs than you ought to be getting. You are throwing away comforts, automobiles, luxuries, opportunities every week. It isn't a question of tools or money or capital. It is a question of care and intelligence which cost nothing but a little time. Come to the car and learn how to save \$1,000 a week in rotten eggs."

If the egg car, with its doctrine of egg conservation, reached only the collectors, it could save but a fraction of this waste. Eggs do not stay good as long as they are in the country and suddenly become bad when they come to town. An egg is full of original sin from the moment it is laid and asks only for a little leisure in a warm place to indulge in all its proclivities for wickedness. The egg that

is laid by the hen who steals her nest in the field, and is allowed to stay out in the heat for two or three days, until the hen's hiding place is discovered or it happens to be convenient to gather the eggs, has begun to spoil before it reaches the house. Similarly the morning laying, if left all day in the heat or in a hot henhouse over night, will begin to develop the hatching germ. Good fresh eggs put in a basket and stored in the hot kitchen for a day or two may reach town in such condition that they must be used at once to be available for food. A basket of perfectly fresh eggs left in the back of the wagon and exposed to the sun during a 10-mile drive to town may reach the country merchant in such shape that not even immediate chilling will make them available for long shipment to the cities. This is the story constantly revealed by the candle on the egg car. Eggs of which the farmer's wife is very proud will show that they have been allowed to remain 24 or 48 hours in the nest or at some point in their history have been exposed to heat which lowers their It is evident, therefore, that if the egg is to be palavalue. table to the city consumer care in its handling must begin on The farmer must gather his eggs twice a day and the farm. must keep them cool afterwards, just as he would cream or milk, until they are delivered in town. There the merchant must at once put them into a dry, cold place, or, if he wishes to be strictly up to date, must chill them in his own little refrigerating plant or in the large refrigerating plant of the town, to a temperature well below 40° F.

Realizing that egg conservation thus stretches right out to the farm, those in charge of the egg car make a special effort to induce the actual egg producers coming to town on the day when the car is giving the demonstration to bring with them baskets of eggs to be candled. These invitations, in which the local merchants commonly cooperate by making the day a special reception day for their country customers, bring farmers from a radius of 15 or 20 miles, and in some cases induce progressive men to come by train from towns within 20 to 40 miles.

The demonstrations for the farmers and their wives in candling are the same as those for the commission men. The effort here, however, is principally to get the farmers themselves to candle and grade their eggs before they start for

town and then to offer the buyers their eggs on a quality basis. This means that the farmer offers so many dozen of fancy eggs at a certain price per dozen and so many other eggs of different grades at smaller prices. Inasmuch as the size and the condition of the shells of eggs count, the farmer is advised also to consider these things, which affect the market value of eggs. Under this plan the farmer quickly finds that he makes more money by selling his eggs according to quality than when he simply sells them at an average price per dozen which the buyer has to make low in order to cover himself against off-size, off-color, dirty, cracked, checked, or deteriorating eggs. If the farmer has 1 dozen of 17-cent eggs and another dozen of 13-cent eggs, he gets 30 cents for his 2 dozen, whereas under the other basis he probably would get only 13 cents a dozen or 26 cents for his basket. In the absence of a quality basis of buying, the buyer either simply pays a lump sum, gambling on the quality of the eggs and naturally fixing a very low price, or buys on a "loss off" basis, which means that he deducts from the farmer's returns all the bad, leaking, or unmarketable eggs found in his offering. In either event the farmer has had the trouble of bringing worthless eggs to town and does not get the benefit of the high price that would be paid for the percentage of strictly good eggs in his basket.

The quality basis of buying is generally believed by students of the business to lie at the very foundation of supplying the large centers steadily with eggs of good quality.

Quality buying is equally important to the local storekeeper and small-town egg collector. This was illustrated accidentally one day at the car when two of the three principals in an egg deal met in the candling room. One of these, a dealer who bought on the case-count plan—that is, paid a flat price per dozen for the eggs, whatever their condition brought a lot of 10 dozen eggs and asked that their quality be determined by candling. He had paid 13 cents a dozen for the eggs, and the candle showed that only eight dozen could be rated either "firsts" or "seconds." The remaining two dozen were so bad as not to be marketable under any grade. A quality buyer who was one of the group said, "Those look to me like the same lot of eggs that were brought to my place this morning. I offered 15 cents for firsts and 12 cents for seconds, but the woman who brought them would not sell at this price, and said she could find an egg man who would take them all." The case-count buyer figured gloomily that he had paid about 16 cents a dozen for a mixture of "firsts" and "seconds," or 1 cent above the market price for "firsts." In another case it was found that a case-count buyer had bought the eggs that had been thrown out by a quality buyer. This practice, however, in many towns is being broken up by agreement among the merchants, who stamp rejected eggs and thus prevent their resale as "farm run of eggs."

In connection with the candling demonstration, the demonstrators of the car take particular pains to show each visitor, by means of photographs and actual eggs, the difference in the keeping quality between a fertile egg and an infertile egg, or one that is laid by a hen in a roosterless flock. The fertile egg, because of the chick germ in it, deteriorates very rapidly as soon as it is allowed to get warm. This deterioration appears as blood on the yolk, which is the first very noticeable evidence of the incubation of the chick. The next stage is the formation of the blood ring-a circle on the yolk-which appears when the embryo dies. The infertile egg, which contains no chick germ, will, of course, deteriorate if allowed to get warm, but as there is no germ to hatch, no "blood ring" develops and the physical changes in the contents are very much less marked, and the chemical changes which would make it inedible go on much more slowly. The infertile egg, therefore, will keep very much better and is a better product to put in cold storage for winter consumption. State poultry officials and the dealers in many cities encourage the farmers to remove roosters from their flocks after the season in which eggs for hatching are laid. This movement is aided by the fact that after the hatching season the dressed poultry and egg dealers frequently offer the farmer an attractive price for the roosters, which are then dressed and sent to market. In Missouri, it is estimated, 1,000,000 roosters were removed in one week from egg yards in this way. The specialists believe that if roosters can be eliminated from the flocks the losses in commercial eggs will be greatly reduced. The farmer gains from not having to feed the rooster, the absence of which makes absolutely no difference in the laying of the hens. The

infertile egg, moreover, is just as nutritious and desirable for food as the other.

The cold-storage plant in the car is designed for proving to the egg receivers of the towns the importance of chilling eggs to a temperature below 50° F. before they are shipped in refrigerator cars to the large cities. While this process would be of advantage if begun on the farm, it is realized that few poultry raisers can afford ice enough or the cost of even a small refrigerating machine for thoroughly chilling eggs. Instruction to the farmers in keeping eggs cool, therefore, is largely limited to such practical methods as storing in refrigerators or in the cooler places on the farm, such as the spring house, cold cellar, or ice house. Where none of these methods is available, the farmer is urged to gather his eggs quickly after they are laid and keep them ever after out of the direct heat of the sun. The value of refrigeration to egg shippers in the railroad town is so great, however, that every effort is made by those on the car to induce them individually or in combination to install refrigerating machinery large enough to chill the normal egg supply of their town. The egg specialists, by tracing hundreds of shipments of chilled and unchilled eggs, have demonstrated beyond question that the egg which starts cold and is kept cold arrives much better than the egg which is put warm into the refrigerator car. They have figures showing the exact number of hours required in an ordinary refrigerator car for a warm egg to be chilled down to the point where it no longer incubates or spoils. Other figures show how ordinary eggs, by means of a local refrigerating plant, can be brought down in 24 hours to the temperature at which they can safely be shipped. Eggs prechilled to this temperature remain at the safety point in the refrigerator car instead of having to travel for two or three days at dangerous temperatures until the ice in the refrigerator car can chill them. As a result of this demonstration work, many shippers who heretofore shipped warm eggs are now chilling the entire summer egg supply of their producing section and starting it to market in prime condition. In consequence, the eggs shipped by these dealers arrive at the great markets in essentially the same condition they were when candled by the local shipper. Disputes between the shipper and receiver as to the grade, quality, and price of

such eggs are growing fewer in the sections where precooling has become a general practice.

Another portion of the car, which is kept cool by the air blown from the ice bunkers, has been made into a small packing room, where cases can be filled and properly nailed for shipment. The purpose of this work is to show the egg shippers the safest way of preparing their product for the jolting it must receive in freight cars. The importance of this work is indicated by a recent study of the breakage of eggs in transit. According to this investigation, on an average of 24 eggs out of every 30 dozen packed in a case arrived at market either cracked, dented, leaky, or mashed. These figures represent the detailed examination of 6,000 dozen eggs before and after shipment, and the results of a general study of the condition of 71 carloads of over 500,000 dozen eggs shipped in car lots from 36 packing houses in the corn belt to 10 different markets on the Atlantic coast. They mean that New York City alone has a breakage of at least 116,000,000 eggs annually. The study was also extended to the question of whether the egg breakage was due principally to the jarring received in railroad cars or to carelessness on the part of the packers. Over 200 cases, or 6,000 dozen eggs. were separately examined at the packing house and the place of each egg in the case charted. As a result of this examination it was found that over 19 eggs to a case, or 5.34 per cent, were broken or had cracked shells before the eggs were loaded in the car. Between the casing of the eggs in the packing house and their delivery to the city merchant there was an additional breakage of over 5 eggs per case.

The number of eggs broken in transit, it is believed, could be greatly reduced if only sound, new cases were used, proper packing employed, a proper system of nailing on the covers followed, and an efficient method of stowing and bracing the cases in the car practiced. A study of egg cases has established exact facts as to thickness of the wood, and has shown that there is a right and a wrong way to drive every nail employed in putting the case together.

By sending out thousands of dozens of eggs packed in many cases and stowed in different ways in cars and then recording the condition of the eggs on their arrival after a long journey, the specialists have determined that a cement-coated nail will hold better in an egg case than a smooth nail; that the use of less than 6 nails in closing the case greatly increases its chance of straining; and that nails driven in straight make the box much more rigid than nails carelessly driven at an angle. The experiment has also shown the most effective place to drive each nail. One of the most disastrous things that can happen to an egg case, aside from actually coming apart, is for the right angles at the corners to spring, with the result that the case loses its rigidity and the eggs are broken. The straight nail tends to prevent this far better than the nail carelessly driven which goes in at an angle and does not fully grip the wood.

The way in which the eggs are packed inside the crate is also very important. Many experiments have shown that the fillers, or little cardboard cells in which the eggs are put, must be new and strong and that the flats, or cardboard sheets placed at the bottom and top of each case and in between the layers of eggs must be springy and unbroken. There is, of course, a temptation to reuse these fillers and flats. In the case of the fillers, the little projections around the outside which keep the nearest line of eggs from coming into contact with the box are very important and in second-hand fillers these are apt to be bent, with the result that the eggs are not kept in place but shift about with the movement of the filler. Some of the fillers when once used are dampened and weakened by "leakers," with the result that each layer of little partitions, instead of being rigid and keeping the eggs in place, has weak points which permit individual eggs to mash against each other. Much of the breakage in transit is due, not to unusually rough handling by the railroads, but to neglect of these simple facts. Careless packing has resulted in such claims for damages and such controversies between egg shippers and railroads in the past that many of the railroads wished to give up handling case eggs. The investigations tended to establish the actual responsibility for breakage, with the result that relations between railroads and egg shippers are becoming more amicable.

To make their demonstrations in egg packing more practical, the experts with the car invite one or more of the shippers to send in a commercial shipment of eggs and the cases and then help the local packers to place the eggs and nail up the cases according to the method which experience has shown gives the greatest safeguard against breakage in transit. At the same time the doctrine of precooling of eggs is combined with this packing work, because before the eggs are packed they are properly chilled in the car and all the operations are carried on where the air is held at a low temperature, so that the eggs will have less chance to deteriorate while they are actually being packed.

The quality buyer of the little towns as a general thing is far better informed than his neighbors as to modern methods of handling his eggs, though even he frequently picks up points in the demonstration car which mean dollars and cents to his business. The case-count buyer is commonly the man who most needs education. Many do not even know how to candle and grade eggs, and their operations, instead of being based on sound business principles, are largely in the nature of speculative experiments. They commonly do not conduct a steady business, but buy when they think they can make profits. They frequently guess wrong and sometimes stop buying just when profits are to be made. The attitude of one of them is fairly typical of This man regarded the commission men of the cities many. or the larger concentrators near home as a set of cheats who never returned just prices for the eggs he sent. The railroads. according to his statement, generally smashed the cases all to pieces and then refused to pay his claims for damages, on some such "fool" reason as the use of secondhand or old cases, second-hand flats or fillers, or the lack of proper packing. Strange to say, the quality buyer in this same town found no such difficulties in his way. His only trouble, he explained, was to get enough good eggs to supply the demand of the city commission men.

That the arrival of the car does mean monetary advantage to the poultry industry of the neighborhood is clearly evidenced by the fact that the railroads are very anxious to have the car run over their territory and favor its progress in every way. The progressive men of the different eggshipping towns constantly petition that the car make side trips to them or visit their towns on its next swing. The State agricultural agencies cooperate in every way with the car, frequently sending with the Federal demonstrators not merely their own egg-handling expert, but their poultryraising expert as well, to combine with the work lectures and demonstrations on improving breeds of poultry and better handling of chickens so as to increase the egg yield. In some States the State poultry car with its exhibits of different breeds of poultry and models of poultry houses, poultry devices, charts, and reading matter on improved poultry



FIG. 21.-The egg car's record.

methods, goes along with "Uncle Sam's" egg-packing car. In many cases the day of the egg demonstration is made also an occasion for a stereopticon or other illustrated lecture on profitable chicken keeping.

In its 7,000-mile journey the car has already visited 117 diferent egg-shipping towns, and over 11,000 farmers, commission men, and school children (Pl. XXXIV) have gone up and down its wooden steps to see for themselves the advantages of the proper handling of the Nation's egg supply. (Fig. 21.)

ITINERARY OF THE EGG DEMONSTRATION CAR.

1. Butler, Mo., July 19, 1913. Nevada, Mo., July 21, 1913. 3. Lamar, Mo., July 22, 1913. 4. Joplin, Mo., July 23, 1913. 5. Neosho, Mo., July 24, 1913. Harrison, Ark., July 26, 1913. 7. Eureka Springs, Ark., July 27, 1913. 8. Cassville, Mo., July 28, 1913. 9. Pierce City, Mo., July 29, 1913. 10. Aurora, Mo., July 30, 1913. 11. Mt. Vernon, Mo., July 31, 1913. 12. Greenfield, Mo., Aug. 1, 1913. 13. Ash Grove, Mo., Aug. 2, 1913. 14. Springfield, Mo., Aug. 4 and 6, 1913. 15. Ozark, Mo., Aug. 5. 1913. 16. Bolivar, Mo., Aug. 7, 1913. 17. Clinton, Mo., Aug. 9, 1913. 18. Odessa, Mo., Aug. 16, 1913. 19. Marshall, Mo., Aug. 18, 1913. 20. Higginsville, Mo., Aug. 19, 1913. 21. Slater, Mo., Aug. 20, 1913. 22. Glasgow, Mo., Aug. 21, 1913. 23. Mexico, Mo., Aug. 22, 1913. 24. Bowling Green, Mo., Aug. 23, 1913. 25. Louisiana, Mo., Aug. 25, 1913. 26. Centralia, Mo., Aug. 26, 1913. 27. Drexel, Mo., Oct. 20, 1913. 28. Amoret, Mo., Oct. 21, 1913. 29. Hume, Mo., Oct. 22, 1913. 30. Anderson, Mo., Oct. 23, 1913. 31. Gravette, Ark., Oct. 24, 1913. 32. Siloam, Ark., Oct. 25, 1913. 33. Westville, Okla., Oct. 27, 1913. 34. Sallisaw, Okla., Oct. 28, 1913. 35. Poteau, Okla., Oct. 29, 1913. 36. Mena, Ark., Oct. 30, 1913. 37. De Queen, Ark., Oct. 31, 1913. 38. Ashdown, Ark., Nov. 1, 1913. 39. Temple, Tex., May 4, 1914. 40. Waco, Tex., May 5, 1914. 41. Hico, Tex., May 6, 1914. 42. Dublin, Tex., May 7, 1914. 43. Greenville, Tex., May 11, 1914. 44. Denison, Tex., May 12, 1914. 45. Gainesville, Tex., May 13, 1914. 46. Wichita Falls, Tex., May 14, 1914. 47. Ada, Okla., May 18, 1914. 48. Shawnee, Okla., May 19, 1914. 49. Oklahoma City, Okla., May 20, 1914. 50. Cushing, Okla., May 21, 1914. 51. Guthrie, Okla., May 22, 1914. 52. McAlester, Okla., May 25, 1914. 53. Muskogee, Okla., May 26, 1914. 54. Vinita, Okla., May 27, 1914. 55. Iola, Kans., May 28, 1914. 56. Emporia, Kans., May 29, 1914. 57. Parsons, Kans., June 1, 1914. 58. Ft. Scott, Kans., June 2, 1914.

59. Boonville, Mo., June 3, 1914.

60. Holden, Mo., June 4, 1914. 61. Moberly, Mo., June 5, 1914. 62. Hannibal, Mo., June 6, 1914. 63. Toronto, Kans., June 15, 1914. 64. Chanute, Kans., June 16, 1914. 65. Longton, Kans., June 17, 1914. 66. Howard, Kans., June 18, 1914. 67. Cambridge, Kans., June 19, 1914. 68. Oxford, Kans., June 19, 1914. 69. Wellington, Kans., June 22, 1914. 70. Caldwell, Kans., June 23, 1914. 71. Anthony, Kans., June 24, 1914. 72. Harper, Kans., June 25, 1914. 73. Kiowa, Kans., June 26, 1914. 74. Pratt, Kans., June 27, 1914. 75. Kingman, Kans., June 30, 1914. 76. Hutchinson, Kans., July 1, 1914. 77. Stafford, Kans., July 2, 1914. 78. Cimmaron, Kans., July 3, 1914. 79. Larned, Kans., July 6, 1914. 80. Great Bend, Kans., July 7, 1914. 81. Lyons, Kans., July 8, 1914. 82. McPherson, Kans., July 9, 1914. 83. Marion, Kans., July 10, 1914. 84. Newton, Kans., July 13, 1914. 85. Strong City, Kans., July 14, 1914. 86. Osage City, Kans., July 15, 1914. 87. Quenemo, Kans., July 16, 1914. 88. Ottawa, Kans., July 17, 1914. 89. Baldwin, Kans., July 18, 1914. 90. Alma, Kans., July 22, 1914. 91. White City, Kans., July 23, 1914. 92. Herington, Kans., July 24, 1914. 93. Wakeeny, Kans., July 27, 1914. 94. Hays, Kans., July 28, 1914. 95. Wilson, Kans., July 30, 1914. 96. Ellsworth, Kans., July 31, 1914. 97. Salina, Kans., Aug. 3, 1914. 98. Solomon, Kans., Aug. 4, 1914. 99. Abilene, Kans., Aug. 5, 1914. 100. Manhattan, Kans., Aug. 6, 1914. 101. Clay Center, Kans., Aug. 7, 1914. 102. Phillipsburg, Kans., Aug. 10, 1914. 103. Smith Center, Kans., Aug. 11, 1914. 104. Mankato, Kans., Aug. 12, 1914. 105. Belleville, Kans., Aug. 13, 1914. 106. Clyde, Kans., Aug. 14, 1914. 107. Holton, Kans., Aug. 17, 1914. 108. Horton, Kans., Aug. 18, 1914. 109. Hiawatha, Kans., Aug. 19, 1914. 110. Sabetha, Kans., Aug. 20, 1914. 111. Seneca, Kans., Aug. 21, 1914. 112. Axtell, Kans., Aug. 24, 1914. 113. Marysville, Kans., Aug. 25, 9114. 114. Blue Rapids, Kans., Aug. 26, 1914. 115. Greenleaf, Kans., Aug. 27, 1914. 116. Goff, Kans., Aug. 28, 1914.

117. Effingham, Kans., Aug. 29, 1914.

The success of the work is measured primarily by the reports of the egg collectors and the railroads that trouble with eggs is decreasing in the territory visited by the The demonstrators from time to time are also recar. warded by the fact that some farmer and his wife will tell them that what they had learned was well worth the 10 or 15 mile drive to town, and that they intend to introduce the methods taught, so far as practicable, on their own farm. At one stop on the second trip of the car, a farmer broke into a conversation between a dealer and the demonstrator to say: "Well, I have been helping you considerable this You got it straight. year to get those first-quality eggs. voung fellow. I sold off all my roosters a while back, and I gather the eggs twice a day, keep them in the coolest place I can find, and market two or three times a week. I'm getting now \$1.25 a case more than before I did these things. What I have done hasn't cost me a cent, but it does take more time, and it's a heap more profitable, I'm glad to say."

The demonstrators, however, do not expect to bring about an egg millennium by one day's demonstration. They realize that much that they teach is new and that the carrying out of some of their directions involves departure from lifelong practices, and in many cases prejudices, on the part of the individual egg raiser and the individual egg shipper. One woman, convinced that infertile eggs would keep better and market better than fertile eggs, was nevertheless unwilling to get rid of the rooster in the flock because he was a prime favorite with the children. In time, however, the demonstrators believe that the argument of a few more cents added to the weekly spending money from the eggs will overcome such a sentiment. They understand clearly, though, that farmers will not take the trouble of eliminating roosters and grading their eggs and delivering them to town as fresh as possible unless the egg-buying merchants adopt the quality basis and make it worth the farmer's while to produce better The farmer is not in business for his health; his time eggs. is overfilled; his duties are many; his leisure limited; and the only argument that will make him introduce better methods on the farm is the fact that better methods pay.

MEAT PRODUCTION IN THE ARGENTINE AND ITS EFFECT UPON THE INDUSTRY IN THE UNITED STATES.

By A. D. MELVIN, Chief of the Bureau of Animal Industry, and GEORGE M. ROMMEL, Chief of the Animal Husbandry Division, Bureau of Animal Industry.

PRODUCTION IN THE ARGENTINE.

THE growth of the meat trade in the Argentine Republic has been little short of remarkable, and its importance in the world's commerce is greatest in beef products. Although the exports of mutton from Argentina rose from less than 200,000 carcasses in 1884 to over 2,000,000 in 1895, they have grown rather slowly since that year, exceeding 3,000,000 in 1902 and reaching the high point of 3,679,587 carcasses in The maximum output of frozen mutton under pres-1904. ent conditions seems to have been reached in Argentina. Up to the year 1913 the number of carcasses exported annually fluctuated from a little less to somewhat more than 3,000,000. and in 1912 there were fewer carcasses exported than in 1902. In 1913 fewer carcasses of frozen mutton were exported than in any year since 1896. Great Britain, the great consumer of meat exports from the Americas, gets only about 20 per cent of her mutton from Argentina. As the export mutton trade of the southern republic is therefore of somewhat minor importance at present, and as pork production is negligible, this paper will deal principally with the growth of the Argentine beef industry and its effects upon trade in the United States.

The area of the Argentine Republic is in round numbers 1,138,000 square miles. On this area there are 29,000,000 cattle, 80,000,000 sheep, and 3,000,000 hogs. Argentina ranks next to Australia in number of sheep, but is fourth in number of cattle, European Russia, the United States, and British India far outnumbering her in this respect. In the hog industry she is a negligible factor, hardly producing enough pork to supply her own small demands.

381

On this area of over one and one-eighth million square miles there are 25 cattle per square mile, very few of which are used for dairy purposes, and an average of 70 sheep per square mile, nearly all of which are used for wool production. The United States, on the other hand, has on its continental area of almost 3,000,000 square miles an average of only 19 cattle per square mile, and of these nearly two-fifths are classed by the statisticians as milch cows. As against Argentina's 70 sheep per square mile we have 17, but the mutton qualities are more strongly developed in our sheep than in those of Argentina. The United States has nearly 100,000,000 people to support on its 3,000,000 square miles of continental territory, while Argentina, with an area almost one-half as large, has less than one-tenth the population.

The beef exports from Argentina started in the year 1884, when 112 quarters of frozen beef were shipped. From then on the trade grew rather spasmodically until 1899, when exported frozen beef quarters jumped from 71,463 to 113,984. They passed the million mark in 1904 and the two-million mark in 1912. The year 1901 is notable in the Argentine beef trade, for in that year 24,919 quarters of chilled beef were exported. This trade has grown with only one setback (in 1908) until, in 1913, 2,989,805 quarters were exported, considerably more than the amount of 351,748,333 pounds of fresh beef exported by the United States in 1901, the year the Argentine chilled-beef trade began, and which year marked the beginning of the decline in United States exports of fresh beef.

The growth of the beef trade is shown in detail in the table following. The figures are from the Boletin Mensual, published by the Argentine ministry of agriculture.

	Froze	n beef.	Chille	d beef.	Total	
Year.	Exported. Increase over preceding year.		Exported. Increase over preceding year.		increase in beef over preceding year.	
	Quarters.	Quarters.	Quarters.	Quarters.	Quarters.	
1901	479, 372	213,089	24,919	24,919	238,008	
1902	735,715	256,343	94, 498	69,579	325,922	
1993	877,342	141,627	142, 542	48,044	189,671	
1904	1,018,072	140,730	198,300	55,758	196, 488	
1995	1,533,745	515,673	426,002	227,702	743, 375	
1906	1,580,589	46,844	455, 459	29,457	76, 301	
19 9 7	1,403,835	¹ 176, 754	849,613	394,154	217, 400	
1908	1,579,163	175, 328	789,348	¹ 60, 265	115,063	
1909	1,615,888	36, 725	1,071,474	282, 126	318,851	
1910	1,434,078	¹ 181, 810	1,608,608	537,134	355, 324	
1911	1,693,494	259,416	2,131,791	523, 183	782, 599	
1912	2,086,780	393, 286	2,269,474	137,683	530,969	
1913	1,102,938	1 983, 842	2,989,805	720,331	1 263, 511	

Exports of beef from Argentina.

¹ Decrease.

These figures are particularly interesting from two standpoints. Except for two years (1907 and 1910) they show a constant increase in the quantity of frozen beef exported in spite of large increases in exports of chilled beef until the year 1913, when a drop of 983,842 quarters of frozen beef is noticed as against an increase of 720,331 of chilled quarters. This great change in 1913 doubtless indicates that Argentine exports will tend in the future to be confined to the chilled-beef class. For our purposes, however, the combined figures of frozen and chilled beef exports are much more important. Instead of an increase in volume, which is noticed in every year since 1901, the total exports of both frozen and chilled beef in 1913 show a decrease of 263,511 quarters below those of 1912. The figures for 1913 appear to indicate that slaughterings in Argentina are as great as present breeding stock will permit.

Argentine chilled beef normally sells on the English market within $1\frac{1}{2}$ to 2 cents a pound of the price of English beef, and Argentine frozen beef from $1\frac{3}{4}$ to $2\frac{1}{2}$ cents a pound lower than Argentine chilled.

384 Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

The destination of nearly all of the Argentine beef is England, and Argentina is now the mainstay of the English beef market, as the following table shows. This table also shows the comparatively small influence of Argentine mutton in the English market:

Imports of meat into Great Britain.

Source.	Beef, chilled.	Beef, frozen.	Mutton, frozen.
	Cwts.	Cwts.	Cwts.
Argentina	5,216,022	1,955,853	1,012,347
Other	31,982	398, 840	4, 191, 900
Argentina	3,871,140	2,723,757	1,589,200
) Other	5,310	226,120	3, 269, 509
Argentina	3, 753, 140	2,357,878	1, 782, 066
Other	177, 528	93,477	3, 430, 829
Argentina	2,710,747	2,188,122	1,419,653
Other	477,968	159,521	3,841,970
Argentina	1,826,612	2,381,543	1,437,375
Other	832, 567	157,921	3, 130, 572
	Source.	Source. Beef, chilled. Argentina. 5,216,022 Other. 31,982 Argentina. 5,310 Other. 3,871,140 Other. 5,310 Argentina. 3,753,140 Other. 177,528 Argentina. 2,710,747 Other. 477,968 Argentina. 1,826,612 Other. 832,567	Source. Beef, chilled. Beef, frozen. Argentina. 5,216,022 1,955,853 Other. 31,982 398,840 Argentina. 3,871,140 2,723,757 Other. 5,310 226,120 Argentina. 3,753,140 2,357,878 Other. 177,528 93,477 Argentina. 2,710,747 2,188,122 Other. 477,968 159,521 Argentina. 1,826,612 2,381,543 Other. 832,567 157,921

[From Annua	l Statement o	f Trade of	Únited	Kingdom,	etc.]
-------------	---------------	------------	--------	----------	-------

ARGENTINE METHODS OF PRODUCTION.

Argentina is a vast grazing ground. Situated largely in tropical or subtropical latitudes, pasture is available during the entire year, and shelter is rarely used, except for highclass breeding and show animals. Wonderful fatness and bloom are obtained by the cattle on the grazing lands, and only when disease, droughts, or locusts come does the estanciero have much cause for worry. These visitations, however, are quite sufficient and doubtless tend to check the expansion of the industry. Until quite recently grain was fed only to show and breeding animals. At present some grain is being used for fattening market stock.

The droughts, of course, affect the crops more immediately than they do the animals which may depend upon them, and the Argentine farmer who is depending largely on his corn or wheat is hit harder by protracted droughts than is the cattleman. In an earlier paper¹ it has been observed that in Argentina cattle growing is a much more certain enter-

¹ A. D. Melvin. The South American Meat Industry. Yearbook of the U. S. Department of Agriculture, 1913, page 347. (See p. 358.)

prise than grain growing, and the people therefore prefer to raise cattle where the prices are remunerative, and that in 1913, on account of satisfactory cattle prices, there was a tendency to convert grain lands into alfalfa pastures.

The enormous use of alfalfa pasture for fattening cattle in Argentina can not be overlooked in any consideration of the industry in that country. With its wonderful resistance to drought, no plant seems to rival it for the purpose, and when prices are at a satisfactory level a country so well supplied as Argentina with alfalfa pasturage has an assured position in the trade. It appears that the rapid growth of the beef-cattle industry during the past 15 years has been coincident with the development of alfalfa for grazing purposes. Indeed, it may be said that the extensive seeding of alfalfa pastures by Argentine estancieros is what has made the expansion of the cattle industry possible.

Melvin also observed that alfalfa is not used nearly so much as it could be.¹ He naturally suggests that the extension of the use of alfalfa for grazing will depend on the maintenance of remunerative prices for cattle.

As shown in the table on page 383, the increase in the supply of breeding animals does not appear to be keeping pace with the slaughter of animals for beef, and Melvin² and others have called attention to the fact that Argentina is now slaughtering up to the limit of its present annual output. The sale and slaughter of females has been a matter of public investigation in Argentina, but it is believed that the high prices have checked this practice and are now tending to encourage breeding operations.

BREEDING METHODS.

Breeding methods in Argentina are on a high plane. Not even the United States, with its deserved excellent reputation for the perfection to which its beef herds have been brought, can surpass Argentina. In the United States it is the exception to find a splendid herd of beef cattle handed down from father to son. In Argentina it is so common as to be almost the rule. In fact, many establishments have

Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

386

been in the hands of the same family for nearly a century. Add to this tenacity of purpose and continuity of plan and policy the universal custom of buying the best individuals and the best blood regardless of price, and we can readily appreciate that an exceedingly high degree of excellence is found in the best Argentine herds. An Argentine breeder recently paid the record price for a bull to head his herd, and this willingness to pay well for good blood is reflected in the prices which ordinary range bulls command. In no country are such uniformly high prices paid for these animals.

EFFECT UPON THE INDUSTRY IN THE UNITED STATES.

At the outset, let us observe in some detail just what has happened to our export trade in fresh beef since 1901, the first year that exports of chilled beef were made from Argentina. This information appears in the following table:

Is of fresh beef from the Onited States, fiscal years ended June	Exports	s of fresh beef from	the United St	ates, fiscal year	s ended June 30–
--	---------	----------------------	---------------	-------------------	------------------

Year.	Quantity.	Year.	Quantity.	Year.	Quantity.
1901	Pounds. 351, 748, 333 301, 824, 473 254, 795, 963 299, 579, 671 236, 486, 568	1906 1907 1908 1909 1910	Pounds. 268, 054, 227 281, 651, 502 201, 154, 105 122, 952, 671 75, 729, 666	1911 1912 1913 1914	Pounds. 42, 510, 731 15, 264, 320 7, 362, 388 6, 394, 404

Starting in 1901 with over 351,000,000 pounds, we note a drop of one-seventh, or 50,000,000 pounds, in 1902, a further decline of nearly the same amount in 1903, then a rebound, then a drop of 63,000,000 pounds, then another rebound and another, with 281,000,000 pounds exported in 1907, from which time our fresh beef exports have constantly fallen with marked rapidity until in 1914 less than 7,000,000 pounds were shipped. At the same time let us refer back to the table showing the growth of the Argentine trade. We see that as the Argentine chilled beef trade has grown that of the United States has declined. The 351,000,000 pounds of fresh beef exported by the United States in 1901 represents about the same amount of chilled beef that was exported by Argentina in 1913. The 24,919 quarters of chilled beef exported by Argentina in 1901 equal about half the

amount of fresh beef exported by the United States in 1914. Argentina has therefore taken our place as the source of England's beef supply, not because she could produce beef more cheaply, for she always undersold our beef on the English market, but because she could produce the larger surplus. Our beef surplus has vanished and our own people now require all that our farms and ranches produce. It was not until this condition began to manifest itself in the United States that the enormous growth of the Argentine trade be-The most striking feature of the whole subject, the one gan. on which all can agree, is not that meat production in the Argentine has had an effect upon the industry in the United States, but that the decline in the surplus production of beef in the United States has had a most profound effect on the industry in Argentina. The rapid increase of our population without a corresponding increase in our beef output demanded the beef which had formerly gone abroad. and this decline in our exports transferred the English demand to Argentina and gave Argentine producers the opportunity to furnish England the beef which she could no longer obtain from the United States.

We must not, however, pass lightly over this subject. The possible effect of Argentine production on our trade in future demands serious consideration. With our markets thrown open to meat and meat products from abroad, the following table is interesting. It shows by months the imports into the United States of these Argentine products from October, 1913, to September, 1914, inclusive, and covers a period of only a few days short of one year.

Imports of beef were highest in March, 1914, when somewhat over 20,000,000 pounds came in. The total amount of refrigerated beef imported from October, 1913, to September, 1914, was nearly 140,000,000 pounds, which was more than the amount of fresh chilled beef exported by the United States in 1909, but much less than half the amount which we exported in 1901. The total amount of all meat and meat food products imported from Argentina during this period was, in round numbers, 154,000,000 pounds. This, however, represents only $1\frac{1}{2}$ pounds per capita out of a total per capita consumption of 150 pounds.

Month.	Fresh and me	refrigerated at.	Canned and cured	Other meat food	Total	
	Beef.	Beef. Other.		products.	1000	
1913.	Pounds.	Pounds.	Pounds.	Pounds.	Pounds.	
October	2,069,794			46,070	2,115,864	
November	3,988,898	10,204	31,025	. 63,709	4,093,836	
December	9, 440, 488	237, 422	130,176	546, 588	10,354,674	
1914.					-	
January	8,935,797	290,317	16,600	612,990	9,855,704	
February	4,346,565		50,801	222,115	4,619,481	
March	20,784,393	1,663,542	216, 711	146,871	22,811,517	
April	16,538,936	813,079	79,200	189,120	17,620,335	
May	12, 305, 976	483,995	363, 360	149,608	13,302,939	
June	21,025,108	1,740,953	14,400	140,946	22,921,407	
July	15,715,179	376,634	554,750	476, 432	17,122,995	
August	11,902,399	625,156	974,449	994,039	14,496,043	
September	12,909,995	1,055,038	308, 544	294, 298	14,567,875	
Total, 12 months	139,963,528	7, 296, 340	2,740,016	3, 882, 786	153, 882, 670	

Imports of meat from Argentina to the United States, October, 1913, to September, 1914.

Apparently no one has been able to detect that this new trade has yet affected the industry here. Judging from the strong demand for pure-bred bulls, there is confidence among the producers of feeders that our home trade will not be seriously injured. We have seen that Argentina is slaughtering up to the limit of her capacity, and that, apparently, breeding operations are being extended in that country. Statistics seem to show plainly that to keep up with her increasing exports to Europe, Argentina is compelled to increase her breeding herds. With our own population increasing rapidly and our beef production apparently passing through a period of readjustment, definite forecasts of final results seem unwise. However, we do not believe that beef producers in the United States need have serious immediate concern regarding competition from Argentina.

THE BREEDING INDUSTRY.

Although the final effect of the beef output of Argentina may be difficult to forecast, there seems no doubt that a positive and beneficial effect upon our industry may be expected in the demand for breeding animals. Although the Argentine herds have been brought to a high standard, their

388

owners are constantly in the market for breeding animals to bring in fresh blood or to improve the quality of the herds. This trade has heretofore gone almost entirely to Great Britain, and it has been one of the most profitable outlets which British breeders have had. Every influence is used to maintain this dominance of the English breeder. The business and social relations between British and Argentine breeders are close. British judges nearly always officiate at the leading Argentine shows, and, in spite of the large numbers of young Argentines who have been educated at our agricultural colleges, British or continental authorities are usually consulted on live-stock subjects. So far as recollection goes, there is not an instance of a North American breeder having been asked to judge at an Argentine show. The junior author of this paper has suggested that an invitation be extended to some prominent Argentine breeder to officiate as a judge at one of our leading shows. Such a courtesy would be greatly appreciated and would have a profound effect on subsequent relations between Argentine breeders and those in the United States.

With the curtailment of breeding operations in England at present and natural interruptions to the trade, may we not'expect Argentina to become interested in North American breeding stock? If we take some of their beef, why should they not take some of our bulls in exchange? The writers do not believe that we have many to spare, if indeed we have any that our pastures do not need; but we do believe that a reasonable amount of such trade with Argentina would be a great stimulus to breeders in the United States.

Our breeders of beef cattle have gone far toward developing distinct strains and families of marked merit. These families offer to Argentine breeders blood lines and valuable characteristics which can not be obtained in British herds. Without in any way detracting from the merits of Britishbred animals, it is suggested that it would be to the advantage of Argentine breeders to mingle with their own well-established strains not only the best of the modern British blood lines but those of North America as well. As a striking and familiar example of the possibilities of such a course may be mentioned the Shorthorn bull Americus, which was grand champion at the Palermo show in 1913 and sold for 80,000

Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

pesos (\$34,400), the highest price ever paid for a bull of any breed. This bull's sire was bred in Argentina and his dam was bred by the Leonards at Boonville, Mo. Such facts have excited the interest of Argentine breeders, and this interest can be increased and made to yield tangible results if properly encouraged.

SUMMARY.

The foregoing remarks may be summarized as follows:

(I) BEEF PRODUCTION IN ARGENTINA.

(1) The growth of the Argentine export beef trade has been a remarkable development of the last 15 years.

(2) At present the Argentine beef output seems to be all that is warranted by the present supply of breeding animals.

(3) The Argentine beef output may be increased, the extent of the increase depending upon the maintenance of remunerative prices, the use of alfalfa pastures, and the relative importance of grain growing.

(4) Very little of the Argentine beef is corn-fed, and the large profit in raising cattle on alfalfa pasture when prices are satisfactory may in itself tend to check the growing of corn for fattening.

(5) Highly enlightened and long-continued breeding operations have given Argentina a stock of beef cattle of a very high degree of excellence.

(II) THE EFFECT UPON THE INDUSTRY IN THE UNITED STATES.

(1) The tremendous growth of the Argentine export beef trade may be directly attributed to the decline in the surplus production of beef in the United States.

(2) The United States imported from Argentina from October, 1913, to September, 1914, inclusive, in round numbers 154,000,000 pounds of beef and meat products, of which 140,000,000 pounds were refrigerated beef.

(3) The Bureau of Animal Industry does not believe that beef producers in the United States need have serious immediate concern regarding competition from Argentina.

(4) A positive and beneficial effect on our beef cattle industry may be expected in the demand for breeding animals from Argentina. This demand should be stimulated in every legitimate way.

390

HARD WHEATS WINNING THEIR WAY.

By MARK ALFRED CARLETON, Cerealist in Charge of Cereal Investigations, Bureau of Plant Industry.

INTRODUCTION.

D^{URING} the year 1870 a man named La Croix came to Minneapolis and constructed a wheat-flour purifier in one of the mills of that city. Such machines had been in use for several years in France, but until this time they were unknown in this country. By means of the purifier, which rapidly came into use, a complete separation of the milled products became possible, enabling the miller to produce from the strongly colored but nutritious middlings of hard wheat a flour suited in texture and color to the popular demand.

Eight years later, in 1878, Gov. C. C. Washburn, founder of the Washburn-Crosby series of flour mills, installed a small roller mill, claimed to be the first complete roller mill in the United States, and thus initiated, for this country, the most radical advance ever made in the history of milling. This mill was at first to be purely experimental. In fact, the new process was considered so uncertain for practical use that even during the same year, the Washburn A mill having been destroyed by an explosion of flour dust (May 2), the new mill erected in its place was fitted with stone burrs, as usual. At the close of 1877 the total runs of stone in the 21 Minneapolis mills were 197, and all the mills had water power but one, which was run by steam.

However, the use of rolls soon passed the experimental stage, and in a few years all plants in Minneapolis and all the principal mills elsewhere in the United States were roller mills. Rolls cause a crushing and flaking of the kernel instead of pulverization, as with stone burrs, and thus allow a more perfect separation of particles afterwards. They were at first made of various materials, chiefly porcelain, but finally the present perfectly formed steel rolls were adopted.

Upon these two innovations—the roll and the purifier was based a new and complicated system of "high grind-

391

ing," which worked a complete revolution in the milling business. A tremendous increase in the business itself followed, because of greater efficiency in production, accompanied by cheapness of flour and increased consumption. The special significance of the new system in this connection is that the miller was enabled by its employment to operate successfully with hard wheats, which were destined to be the prevailing wheats in the prairie region.

ADAPTATION.

What are the conditions which made the cultivation of hard wheats inevitable in this country? Briefly these: They are the kinds of wheat best adapted to dry prairie regions, having come from such a region, the Chernozem (black earth) in Russia, where they have long been established. They are therefore best suited to our own very similar prairie region, the Great Plains (Pl. XXXVI, fig. 1; Pl. XL, fig. 1), where they are now grown, and will give better yields there than other wheats.¹ With greater yield and the same price the farmer will naturally grow what pays him best-an axiomatic principle in any business. Although all hard wheats originally sold at a lower price than other wheats, the yield per acre of the former has almost always been sufficiently greater to more than offset the price discrimination. Later prices have become equal to or greater than those of other wheats. Add to these conditions the higher protein content of hard wheats, greater percentage of certain other nutritious constituents, and greater absorption of water by the flour, and we have ample reasons why these wheats must naturally prevail.

CLASSES.

Three classes of hard wheats have so far been introduced into this country (Pl. XXXV). These, in the order of their introduction, are (1) hard spring wheat, (2) hard winter wheat, and (3) durum wheat. Each has had an interesting history and has become established under difficulties. While each class has been a little more difficult than the preceding for the miller and baker to handle to their satisfaction, on the other hand these men have been better prepared each time

¹ A discussion of this matter in detail is given in an article, "Successful Wheat Growing in Semi-Arid Districts," in Yearbook for 1900, and in B. P. I. Bull. No. 3, "Macaroni Wheats," pp. 13-19, 1901.

to overcome the difficulties by improvements in machinery such as those already described.

HARD SPRING WHEAT.

Hard spring wheat, although introduced into the northern Great Plains of this country and Canada about the middle of the last century, did not become firmly established as a profitable crop until after 1870, coincident with the introduction of the purifier and roller mill. There are two chief varieties, Fife and Bluestem. The Fife was the first to be established. It is a little more hardy than the Bluestem and has a slightly harder kernel. The kernel is particularly distinguished by its greater breadth in proportion to length and by its very broad groove. The Fife has white bare chaff and the Bluestem white velvet chaff. Both varieties have red kernels and no beards (Pl. XXXV, figs. 1 and 2).

ORIGIN OF HARD SPRING WHEAT.

It is pretty generally agreed that Fife wheat came from the northern Volga River Valley district of Russia, but details of the introduction are lacking. Much credence is given to the following statement from the Canadian Agriculturist of 1861:

About the year 1842, Mr. David Fife, of the township of Otonabee, Canada West, now Ontario, procured, through a friend in Glasgow, Scotland, a quantity of wheat which had been obtained from a cargo direct from Danzig. As it came to hand just before spring seed time, and not knowing whether it was a fall or spring variety, Mr. Fife concluded to sow a part of it that spring and wait for the result. It proved to be a fall wheat, as it never ripened, except three ears, which grew apparently from a single grain. These were preserved, and although sown the next year under unfavorable circumstances, being quite late and in a shady place, it proved at harvest to be entirely free from rust, when all wheat in the neighborhood was badly rusted. The produce of this was carefully preserved and from it sprung the variety of wheat known over Canada and the Northern States by the different names of Fife, Scotch, and Glasgow.

This traces the introduction no farther than Danzig, but it is very probable that the shipment was made from Russia.

OPPOSITION TO THE WHEAT.

Up to the year 1870 hard spring wheat was very unpopular, probably fully as much so as any other hard wheat has been, including the durum. Hard spring wheat sold at 10

394 Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

to 15 cents less than soft spring and 20 to 25 cents less than soft winter wheat. As some writers put it, it was a "despised" wheat and considered quite unfit for making bread, particularly because of its strong color. Millers and bakers declined to receive it except at a considerable discount in price.

CHANGE IN SENTIMENT.

With the advent of the roll and the purifier there was an immediate change of sentiment. It was then possible to so handle the wheat as to produce a flour satisfactory in appearance to the consumer in comparison with soft winterwheat flour. This was not accomplished at once, however. The new product persisted still in being a "creamy" flour, not white, and required considerable time to establish a reputation. It was seen, finally, that creaminess is really an indication of good quality. Others besides Washburn were pioneers in pushing spring-wheat flour to the front in these early days. Among these were George H. Christian; C. A. Pillsbury, founder of the present series of Pillsbury mills, including Pillsbury A, the largest mill in the world; and W. H. Dunwoody, an associate of Washburn.

GRADING AND PRICES AT CHICAGO.

For a long time there was no definite recognition of hard spring wheat. Spring wheat was any wheat spring sown, regardless of color or hardness.

At the Chicago Board of Trade, prices of the different grades at certain dates were as shown in the following table:

Prices .	per	bushel	of N	To. 1	spring	1, No.	1 red	l winter,	and	No.	1 white	winter
	u	heat or	n the	Chic	ago B	oard oj	f Tra	de on de	ites n	ientio	med.	

	Price per bushel.				
Date.	No. 1 spring.	No. 1 red winter.	No. 1 white winter.		
	Cents.	Cents.	Cents.		
Dec. 25, 1858 ¹	83 to 85	108 to 110	124 to 125		
Dec. 21, 1861 1	68 to 70	74 to 76	85 to 86		
Mar. 26, 1864	115½ to 116	140 to 145			
Dec. 31, 1869	84 to 86	103 to 105			

¹ Average prices for week ending on date given.

The grade of amber Iowa was adopted in 1862, said to be a spring wheat from Minnesota, northern Iowa, and northern Wisconsin, which sold at 3 to 4 cents higher than No. 1 spring. The grades of Nos. 1 and 2 northwestern spring began in 1873 and sold at 1 cent higher than Nos. 1 and 2 spring, and in 1876 at 3 to 5 cents higher. On September 1, 1877, these grades were changed to Nos. 1 and 2 hard spring. Later on, the grade No. 1 northern was adopted as the standard milling and contract grade of hard spring the same as in Minneapolis. December 30, 1911, No. 1 northern sold at \$1.05 to \$1.10 per bushel, while No: 2 red winter (contract soft winter grade) sold at $91\frac{1}{2}$ to $98\frac{1}{2}$ cents, showing a complete reversal of attitude toward hard spring wheat.

On March 27, 1869, prices of flour per barrel were as follows:

White winter	\$7.00 to	\$10.00
Red winter	6.50 to	7.75
Fancy brands spring extras	6.25 to	6.75
Fair to choice spring extras	5.00 to	5.75
Spring superfine	3.75 to	4.50

Seven years later, in 1876, spring patent flour first sold at a price above that of white winter.

GRADING AND PRICES AT MINNEAPOLIS.

The grades Nos. 1 and 2 hard spring were adopted by the Minneapolis Chamber of Commerce August 16, 1882, and sold at 5 cents above corresponding grades of ordinary spring called "regular." In 1885, the grades Nos. 1 and 2 northern were established, and No. 1 northern became thereafter the standard milling and contract grade. No. 1 hard, however, was retained as a grade for the best Fife wheat, though in recent years there is very little wheat to which this grade may properly be applied. About 7 years ago two more grades, Nos. 3 and 4 northern, were added.

GROWTH OF THE HARD SPRING-WHEAT INDUSTRY.

From 1878 onward hard spring-wheat cultivation and northwestern milling progressed with rapidity. New lands in the Dakotas and western Canada were occupied by the wheat farmer, and new mills were constantly being erected

396 Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

in new settlements. The center of milling moved westward from New York to Minnesota, and Minneapolis succeeded Rochester as the "Flour City." Hard spring wheat had won its way (figs. 22 and 23). In 1878, when the first roller mill was built, the total output of flour of Minneapolis mills was 940,000 barrels. A large flour-export market was developed in Great Britain, and the first export was made in 1878, amounting to 109,183 barrels. In 1902 the export alone from Minneapolis was over 3,000,000 barrels, while the total output was over 16,000,000 barrels. In 1909 the total flour production in Minnesota was 22,737,404 barrels, and the



FIG. 22.—Average annual production of wheat in the United States, in millions of bushels, for the ten years from 1869 to 1878, inclusive.

same year the production of the three spring-wheat States, Minnesota, North Dakota, and South Dakota, was 25,531,610 barrels.

PRODUCTION AND GEOGRAPHIC LIMITS.

The area of hard spring-wheat cultivation at present includes the three States just mentioned, portions of Iowa, Nebraska, Illinois, and Wisconsin, and eastern Montana. Hard winter wheat has steadily encroached upon this area from the south, and in the Dakotas, especially, there is an extensive substitution of durum for hard spring wheat. The yearly production of hard spring wheat for the three States, Minnesota, North Dakota, and South Dakota, from 1909 to 1914, inclusive, is shown in the following table:

Annual production of spring wheat in Minnesota, North Dakota, and South Dakota, in thousands of bushels, for the six years from 1909 to 1914.

	Production, in thousands of bushels.							
State.	1909	1910	_ 1911	1912	1913	1914		
Minnesota	54,284	61,376	42, 441	64, 704	66, 348	41,010		
North Dakota	97,606	32, 224	62,074	125,936	68, 553	71, 133		
South Dakota	31,215	31,489	11,396	37, 842	24,440	23, 876		
Total	183,105	125, 089	115,911	228, 482	159,341	136,019		



FIG. 23.—Average annual production of wheat in the United States, in millions of bushels, for the ten years from 1899 to 1908, inclusive.

The average production of these three chief spring-wheat States for the past six years was 157,993,000 bushels, exclusive of durum but including Preston (the so-called Velvet Chaff).

HARD WINTER WHEAT.

In this country the term "hard winter wheat" is applied chiefly to two closely related varieties or strains called Turkey and Kharkof. Other names, such as Crimean and Malakof, are often used for the same kind of wheat. The
characters are a medium-sized head, bearded, with white smooth chaff, and a hard red kernel, a little smaller than the usual winter-wheat kernel. There is little or no difference in visible characters between the Turkey and the Kharkof, but the kernel of the latter appears to be, as a rule, slightly larger and a little darker colored than that of the former. The gluten content of hard winter wheat is large, about equal to that of hard spring, but differs somewhat in quality. The expansive power or "strength" is slightly less in hard winter. There is also perhaps a triffe more color in hard winter-wheat bread.

ORIGINAL HOME.

The original home of hard winter wheat is in the area of Russia just north and east of the Black Sea and north of the Caucasus Mountains. The area includes chiefly the governments of Taurida (including the Crimea), Ekaterinoslav, Kharkof, and Stavropol, and the Don and Kuban territories. In that region the wheat is generally called simply winter wheat, but is known locally by various names as Krimka (Crimean), Kharkof, Beloglina, Ulta, Torgova, etc. (Pl. XXXV, fig. 3.) Our introductions from Russia are chiefly of the Crimean (the original Turkey) and Kharkof strains. In this country the area producing hard winter wheat, corresponding to the Russian area described above, is chiefly that portion of the Great Plains including Kansas, Oklahoma, small portions of Texas and Colorado, nearly all of Nebraska, and a small part of South Dakota.¹ The original home is strikingly similar in conditions of soil and climate to that portion of our Great Plains just mentioned (figs. 24 and 25).

KANSAS AND THE CRIMEA.

A traveler on the plains of Kansas, if suddenly transported while asleep to southern Russia and deposited in the Crimea, would discover very little difference in his surroundings, except as to the people and the character of farm improvements and live stock. Even these last would be of

¹ In recent years Turkey and Kharkof have come to be successfully grown also in Iowa and in certain western intermountain districts, as Utah and Montana, which are not in the Great Plains proper. They are not adapted, however, to Pacific coast conditions.

the same kind if he were transported from certain localities in Kansas, where Russian immigrants now live. It is therefore natural that the center of hard winter-wheat production in this country should be in Kansas, since in Russia it is in the Crimea. The climate of the Russian district is a little more severe, which fact makes Crimean wheat all the more satisfactory for Kansas.

THE RUSSIAN MENNONITE PEOPLE.

The history of hard winter wheat is closely associated with the movement of Russian Mennonite immigrants to the middle Great Plains. These people originally went from west Prussia to southern Russia about 1770 because of certain land grants and civil privileges offered by the Government under Empress Catherine. One hundred years later their descendants, desiring further advantages to be obtained in America, emigrated to the middle Great Plains and settled principally in Kansas. The greater number were from the Molochna colonies in northern Taurida, but some were from the Crimea proper and others from Ekaterinoslav. The first settlements in Kansas were made in 1873 near Newton, Halstead, and Moundridge. Each family brought over a bushel or more of Crimean wheat for seed, and from this seed was grown the first crop of Kansas hard winter wheat. Bernard Warkentin, a miller, who erected mills at Newton and Halstead, was chiefly instrumental in introducing the Turkev wheat, but in this pioneer movement of the Mennonites two other men were associated-Christian Krehbiel, first a farmer, but who later, in 1886, erected a mill at Moundridge, and C. B. Schmidt, acting as immigration agent for the Santa Fe railroad.

HUMAN IMMIGRANTS AND CEREAL IMMIGRANTS.

There is an interesting feature of this introduction of a great crop in the fact that the crop and the people who knew best how to grow it migrated together. The Mennonites had been growing the wheat in its original home many years, although in the Molochna district spring wheat was grown up to 1860, when Turkey wheat was introduced there from the Crimea, and entirely replaced the spring wheat (Pl. XXXVII).

400 Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

On account of the small rainfall in Taurida, an important feature of cultivation there is the "chernui par," or black fallow, called black simply from the very dark color of the rich turned-over soil. It is really a summer tillage, and there are four cultivations: First, a deep plowing, and then three lighter operations at intervals of a month afterwards, made by very



FIG. 24.—Distribution of hard wheats in Russia: (1) Hard spring wheat (chiefly variety Russian); (2) hard winter wheat (Crimean); (3) durum wheat (chiefly Kubanka).

small gang plows or cultivators. Therefore, these people, on coming to the Great Plains, were already acquainted with the practices of early deep plowing and thorough surface cultivation, which not until a quarter of a century later were widely advocated in this country in connection with "dry farming."



HEADS OF HARD WHEATS OF THE UNITED STATES. [1 (Fife) and 2 (Bluestern) hard spring wheat; 3 (Turkey or Kharkof) hard winter wheat; 4 (Kubanka) durum wheat.]

PLATE XXXVI.



FIG. 1.-VIEW OF A PRAIRIE ON THE WESTERN GREAT PLAINS.



FIG. 2.- TURKEY WHEAT IN MONTANA, AVERAGING 35 BUSHELS PER ACRE.

PLATE XXXVII.



FIG. 1.-THRASHING TURKEY WHEAT IN THE MOLOCHNA DISTRICT, NORTH-ERN TAURIDA.



FIG. 2.-HARD WINTER-WHEAT FLOUR MILL AT SIMFEROPOL, CRIMEA.

PLATE XXXVIII.



FIG. 1.-DURUM WHEAT UNDER "DRY FARMING" IN WYOMING.



FIG. 2.—ON LEFT, HARD SPRING-WHEAT FLOUR BREAD; ON RIGHT, DURUM-WHEAT FLOUR BREAD MADE AT THE SAME TIME IN THE SAME BAKERY.

MAKING HEADWAY SLOWLY.

The good qualities of Turkey wheat were not generally appreciated much before the close of the last century, 25 years after its introduction into Kansas by the Mennonites. At the Kansas experiment station its superiority came to light about 1897, though it had been under experiment for some time. Previously, Currell, or Zimmerman, had been the favorite wheat. In northwestern Kansas, Turkey was



FIG. 25.—Distribution of hard winter wheat in the United States: (1) Hard spring wheat (chiefly Fife and Bluestem); (2) hard winter wheat (Turkey and Kharkof); (3) durum (Kubanka).

scarcely known even as late as 1890, though it had been grown already in scattering localities in that territory.

OPPOSITION.

The difficulties in milling and baking seemed to be a little greater than those met with in the hard spring wheat. Even Kansas millers for some time either declined to receive hard winter wheat or paid a lower price for it than for softer wheats. At Minneapolis, millers who had already had similar difficulties in milling hard spring wheat and would therefore know better than others how to handle it, nevertheless rejected it.

75922°—увк 1914—26

402 Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

GRADING AND PRICES AT KANSAS CITY.

In 1875-76 the following grades were recognized at Kansas City: Nos. 1, 2, and 3 red winter, Nos. 2 and 3 white winter, and Nos. 1, 2, and 3 spring. December 1, 1879, No. 2 red winter sold for cash at \$1.17, and No. 2 spring at \$1. In 1880 winter and hard winter sold on the dates mentioned at the prices shown in the following table:

Prices per bushel of Nos. 1 and 2 winter and Nos. 1 and 2 hard winter wheat at Kansas City, Mo., on three dates in 1880.

	Price per bushel.					
Date.	No. 2 winter.	No. 3 winter.	No. 2 hard winter.	No. 3 hard winter.		
August 3	Cents. 834	Cents. 74§ to 75	Cents. 76	Cents. 72		
September 1	80	721	73	71		
October 7	86	783	76 <u>1</u>	76		

During this year the grades Nos. 1, 2, 3, and 4 hard winter were first mentioned. In August, 1886, soft winter wheat still sold higher than red winter. June 30, 1891, the grades of hard winter were first included in the inspection report. Prices on September 3, 1892, were as follows: No. 2 soft winter, 70 to 71 cents; No. 2 hard winter, 65³/₄ to 66³/₄ cents; and No. 2 spring, 65 cents, showing hard winter still selling lower than soft winter, though there was now a large quantity of Turkey wheat grown. Out of a total of 70,218 cars received that year, 54,108 were hard winter wheat. Even 16 years later, in 1908, hard winter still sold at a discount, the price. difference being from 3 to 7 cents on September 1 and from 1½ to 2 cents on December 1. In May, June, and July, 1910, it sold a little higher than red winter for the first time, but quickly fell again until April, 1911, and then again exceeded red winter to July 1, 1912. For December 15 to 21, inclusive, 1914, No. 2 hard winter ranged from \$1.12 to $1.17\frac{3}{4}$, as against \$1.113 to \$1.175 for No. 2 red winter.

CONDITIONS AT MINNEAPOLIS.

In 1891, 1,386,185 bushels of winter wheat were inspected at Minneapolis, the first considerable quantity mentioned, but how much, if any, of this was hard winter was not reported. After this no further very large quantities of winter wheat were reported at that place until 1901, when 5,353,340 bushels were inspected. About 1905 hard winter wheat was received in Minneapolis with hesitation, and then in small lots. Separate grades of Nos. 1, 2, 3, and 4 for the hard winter wheat were first made in Minneapolis in 1907. On December 1, 1914, the price of No. 2 hard Montana (the same class of wheat) ranged from 2 cents above the same grade of northern spring to $1\frac{1}{2}$ cents under, and 2 cents to $3\frac{1}{2}$ cents under No. 1 northern. On December 22, the difference was 1 cent less or 1 to $1\frac{1}{2}$ cents under No. 1 northern.

CONDITIONS AT NEW YORK CITY.

As early as 1901, hard winter wheat at New York was quoted at a fairly good price compared with No. 1 northern, and in 1902 the average price was only one-half cent below that of No. 1 northern. Afterwards it ran constantly several cents under in average yearly price, and under No. 2 red winter up to 1913, when it exceeded No. 1 northern by $1\frac{9}{16}$ cents, but was still $4\frac{1}{8}$ cents under No. 2 red winter. On December 19, 1914, No. 2 hard winter to arrive was quoted at \$1.31 $\frac{1}{2}$, 2 cents higher than No. 2 northern to arrive.

HARD WINTER WHEAT AT ST. LOUIS.

As St. Louis is situated in a soft or semihard wheat area, hard winter would not be expected to be popular there. Nevertheless it sold a little higher than red winter in 1911, then became lower again in 1912 and 1913. After long contention, No. 2 hard winter was admitted to contract grade in June, 1914. In 1913, the receipts of hard winter at St. Louis were almost equal to those of red winter for the first time. Recently (December, 1914) prices of the two grades have kept near together.

FINAL SUCCESS.

All recent prices at the important markets show a decided but gradual change in attitude toward hard winter wheat, so that it is now ranked, where it should be, among the first-class wheats. It has "won its way" through difficulties, in accordance with the motto of the State where its production is greatest. In recent years hard winter wheat has been used in considerable quantity by Minnesota mills, while Kansas millers, who originally paid a lower price for it, long ago turned about and now will use no other wheat. In the meantime hard winter patent flour has gained a large export trade, is accepted everywhere, and has made Kansas the second flourproducing State of the Union. Kansas flour production in 1909 was 10,887,744 barrels.

Hard winter wheat is now more generally in favor in this country than any other winter wheat. In California, where it is not adapted, a third to a half of all wheat annually used by the mills is imported from the middle Great Plains. It has encroached upon the hard spring-wheat area to the northward in Iowa and Nebraska and upon the area of softer wheats to the westward in the Rocky Mountain States, and has made Montana a wheat State (Pl. XXXVI, fig. 2).

TURKEY WHEAT IN IOWA.

Turkey wheat has for a long time been grown in Iowa, and it has been claimed that it was introduced there from Illinois even before its introduction into Kansas. Whether this is true or not, it could well have happened, as the earliest Mennonite immigrants settled first in Illinois before 1870, and no doubt, as was their custom, brought seed of Turkey wheat to that State. There is a published statement that Turkey wheat was introduced from Mason County, Ill., into Iowa in 1870. It is also reported that George W. Franklin, of Atlantic, Iowa, first distributed this wheat in that State in 1886.

INTRODUCTION OF KHARKOF WHEAT.

The Kharkof strain of hard winter wheat was obtained by the writer, acting for the United States Department of Agriculture, in 1900, in Starobelsk district, eastern Kharkof government. This district is characterized climatically by great drought and piercing cold winter winds. It is much farther north than Taurida. Kharkof wheat has been able, therefore, to withstand the weather a little farther west and north than Turkey. It is particularly better for a combination of cold and drought. At about two-thirds of the points where Kharkof has been accurately compared with Turkey for several years, it has given a little better average yield. At present about half the entire wheat crop of Kansas is of the Kharkof variety. For 1914, therefore, the Kansas production of Kharkof wheat alone should be about 80,000,000 bushels.

LATER IMPORTATIONS OF TURKEY WHEAT.

At the time of the introduction of Kharkof wheat several lots of Turkey or Crimean wheat were imported by the Department of Agriculture from the Molochna district of Taurida. A year later the Kansas Millers' Association, through Mr. Warkentin, imported 15,000 bushels of Turkey from central Taurida, which was sold as seed to farmers in Kansas and adjoining States, the source of seed being recommended to Mr. Warkentin by the writer. For a time this strain really appeared to give slightly better results than the usual Kansas seed, but in a few years its influence disappeared.

DURUM WHEAT.

Near the close of the last century the hard spring and hard winter wheats had become established in a large portion of the Great Plains region, from Canada southward to and including Oklahoma. In the continued progress of settlement westward, however, extremes of drought and combinations of cold and drought were encountered, which even these wheats, hardy as they were, could not always overcome. So much new land had been taken that settlements were being made up to and beyond the one hundredth meridian. There was, therefore, great demand for more droughtresistant crops for the newer semiarid districts, but especially for such crops as wheat, which would give cash returns to the new settler.

SHALL THE NORTHWESTERN PLAINS GROW WHEAT ?

Briefly, out of the situation grew the question: Can wheat be grown profitably in the extreme western or semiarid portion of the Great Plains? As the hard winter wheat is a little more drought resistant than the hard spring, and as winter wheat always yields better than spring wheat, other

406 Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

things being equal, the need appeared more urgent in the northern Plains States, making it desirable to secure a spring wheat able to resist more extreme conditions. It should be added that there was considerable commercial demand for more wheat. So many mills had been erected in response to previous extension of the wheat area, as described under "Hard spring wheat," and such deterioration in quantity and quality of the wheat crop had been reported, that there appeared to be a real lack of good wheat.

INTRODUCTION OF DURUM WHEAT.

It was therefore in response to a growing demand of both millers and farmers that the Department of Agriculture, in 1898 to 1900, attempted to increase and improve the wheat crop by the introduction of hardier varieties of still better quality from east and south Russia. From results of experiments previously conducted and after a careful comparative study of soil and climatic conditions, it was already suspected that new varieties from these districts would be of benefit in this country. While several good new strains of winter wheat were obtained, including the Kharkof already mentioned, the chief result of this work was the introduction of Kubanka durum wheat from the Kirghiz Steppe district of western Siberia, in the vicinity of Uralsk and Orenburg (Pl. XLI).

CHARACTERISTICS OF KUBANKA WHEAT.

The Kubanka variety, which represents practically all the durum wheat of this country, is a bearded wheat with compact, flattened heads, yellowish-white chaff, and large light-amber colored kernels, which are extremely hard and vitreous in fracture when of good quality (Pl. XXXV, fig. 4). The gluten content is very large, and there is also a large percentage of ash, oil, and sugar. Pererodka and perhaps Arnautka are other names for the same wheat. Beloturka also usually means the same thing, though in Russia it is claimed that this variety, when pure, is distinct from Kubanka, having longer and narrower heads. The varieties Gharnovka, Velvet Don (Chernouska), and Black Don (Chernokoloska) were also obtained from south Russia, but were soon found to be not so well adapted to our northern Plains.

ORIGINAL HOME.

The original home of Kubanka durum wheat is approximately Samara, Saratof, and Orenburg governments, Uralsk territory, and adjacent portions of the Kirghiz Steppe. The best quality comes from the Kirghiz Steppe district (Pl. XLI figs. 1 and 2), where the annual rainfall averages little more than 12 inches. The center of production is about in southern Samara and Uralsk territory (Pls. XXXIX and XL).

ADAPTATION IN THIS COUNTRY.

On the basis of similarity in climatic conditions, the center of production in this country would be logically in western North and South Dakota. Other conditions than climate, however, have had such influence that the trend of production has not always been in the logical direction. The greatest production, as a matter of fact, has been near the Red River, a long distance eastward from where it should be. There are probably two chief reasons for this fact, out of several that are possible: (1) Durum wheat is resistant to rust as well as drought, and rust occurs in greatest abundance eastward toward the Red River; (2) millers of the smaller western towns are either not able or not willing to adapt their mills to a new wheat, unless forced to do so by lack of other wheats. At present the center of durum-wheat production appears to be in Ransome and Sargent Counties, in southeastern North Dakota. No doubt, since durum-wheat prices have greatly increased, a greater extension of the crop westward will occur and the center of production will move westward (Pl. XXXVIII, fig. 1).

RUST RESISTANCE.

A quality of durum wheat of no little importance is its rust resistance. This fact was clearly brought out in the spring-wheat crop of 1904. There was a loss that year of 25,000,000 to 40,000,000 bushels in the crop of the three States of Minnesota, North Dakota, and South Dakota, practically all of which would have been avoided if the total crop had been durum. In many instances durum wheat made 15 to 20 bushels per acre, while Fife or Bluestem on the same farm was a total failure. One effect of this rust

Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

epidemic upon the farmer was a very great increase in durum-wheat acreage the following year. Again in 1914 fields of Bluestem wheat were abandoned as not worth cutting in many localities in North Dakota because of rust. The new Marquis wheat fared little better; Preston wheat was still better, while Kubanka was apparently unaffected.

ESTABLISHING A NEW CROP.

Though durum wheat had been grown in small quantities at scattering points many years before its introduction by the Department of Agriculture, commercially it did not exist. The triffing quantity grown was sold for stock and poultry food at a price absurdly low for wheat. There was no financial incentive for growing it, and its good qualities were unknown. The task before the department, therefore, was far more than the mere introduction of Kubanka wheat seed. It was the long, tedious process of establishing a new crop, with all its attendant difficulties, a process of sheer persistence and education of the people.

DIFFICULTIES OF MILLING AND BAKING.

Changes of some kind in milling operations have accompanied the introductions of each group of hard wheat. Durum wheat has the hardest kernels of all. Even the modern roller mill would not handle it satisfactorily without some modifications, among which is a larger area of corrugated surface. The kernels must be softened much more by steam or water before being ground. After grinding, additional grades of bolting cloth are desirable for proper separation of the products. The closest grinding of durum gives a patent flour very sharp and gritty, with no pastiness. In baking the flour absorbs more water, an advantage to the baker, and the loaf expands less and is heavier than in bakings of other flours. The excess of oil and ash imparts a stronger yellow color to the flour, and the excess of sugar causes a slightly sweet flavor (again to the advantage of the baker, as little or no sugar need be added) and a darker brown crust on the loaf. All these qualities are desirable to most people. However, yellow color and lack of expansion

408

PLATE XXXIX.



FIG. 1.-STACKS OF KUBANKA DURUM WHEAT NEAR URALSK, RUSSIA.



FIG. 2.-SELF-RAKE REAPER IN WESTERN SIBERIA.

PLATE XL.



Fig. 1.--Hauling Durum Wheat to Market in the Kirghiz Steppe, Showing also the Character of the Country.



Fig. 2.-Durum Wheat Brought to Market by Kirghiz Farmers at Uralsk, Russia.

PLATE XLI.



Fig. 1.—Kirghiz Hut, East Side of the Ural River, in Siberia. Fig. 2.— Camp of Native Kirghiz Harvesters who Cut Grain With the Sickle. Fig. 3.—Durum-Wheat Flour Mill at Uralsk, Russia. In the Same Mill a Breakfast Food, "Kasha," is Made from Proso Millet.

have been the stumbling blocks to the baker and the housewife. It is still a matter of education of the eye and taste together and of dogged persistence on the part of certain enterprising millers and bakers, who will undoubtedly win their way in the end.

OPPOSITION TO DURUM WHEAT.

Naturally, durum, the hardest of hard wheats, met at once with the most violent opposition, chiefly from millers, but also from all grain men. Various epithets, such as "bastard" and "goose," were applied to the wheat without restriction. At first the tendency was to ridicule it, as it was not thought possible that such a wheat could prevail. However, a crop of somewhere near 10,000,000 bushels in 1903 and receipts of over 1,000,000 bushels at Duluth alone made it apparent that durum wheat was something to be reckoned with. The following is quoted from the report of the Duluth Board of Trade for the year ending December 31, 1903:

This variety of wheat, new, yet not new, made this year its first appearance in this market in any considerable quantity—the receipts being 1,149,263 bushels. Prices range from 60 to 70 cents per bushel for No. 1. Opinions differ as to its future position in the grain market. * * * Millers do not seem to be very profuse in its praise.

For a time opposition seemed to increase, and the difference in price became greater. For 1903 the average price of durum at Duluth was $13\frac{1}{2}$ cents under No. 1 northern; in 1904, 15 cents; in 1905, $16\frac{5}{8}$ cents; and in 1906, 10 cents. Later the price difference became as much as 20 cents and more.

INCREASE IN PRODUCTION.

The production of durum wheat nevertheless increased greatly each year. In 1907 the farm value of the crop was about \$30,000,000, or more than 3,000 times the original cost of introduction, and three times the entire appropriation for the Department of Agriculture for that fiscal year. The yield per acre became constantly 30 to 50 per cent greater than that of other wheat, on the same farm, and often 100 per cent greater in very dry localities. In the annual report of the Duluth Board of Trade for 1905 it was stated that durum wheat "has evidently come to stay, as evidenced by

410 Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

the fact that 25 per cent of the wheat received at Duluth in 1905 was of this variety." The following more lengthy quotation from the 1906 report of the same board of trade gives a fair statement of the remarkable change in conditions respecting this wheat by the end of the year 1906:

The movement of durum wheat and the easy manner in which the large and sudden increase in its production has been absorbed have attracted the attention of the entire grain trade of the country. It was in the year 1903 that this variety of wheat made its appearance in the Northwest in any amount worthy of notice. It was not received with open arms. The American miller did not take to it, and to this day, the fourth year of its appearance in any volume, he still maintains his attitude of disfavor. With the American millers disposed against it, grain men naturally discouraged its production. The farmer, however, found that it grew easily and that it produced in more generous quantity than spring wheat, and its production increased. The year 1904 saw a somewhat larger amount grown, but it was in 1905 that the great increase came. So much greater was the volume of durum wheat that poured to market that grain merchants at the beginning of the movement of the crop despaired of ever finding a market for the yield. Good fortune was with the American grower, however, for the countries of Europe and in the Mediterranean district of Africa, which had always been large producers of this variety of grain, had reverses and were unable to supply those who had always looked to them for their hard macaroni wheat. The American exporter was quick to see the opportunity, and soon the foreigners were heavy buyers of durum wheat from America. Prices were advanced steadily, and the demand grew until there were times when durum wheat could actually be placed in some markets at better prices than No. 1 northern spring wheat. The entire crop was easily absorbed and at good prices.

But one result could be expected from this—another great increase in the crop of durum—and the year 1906 brought this. Again there was considerable uneasiness among grain merchants as to whether markets could be found for all of it. There was some fear that the buying of the 1905 crop by the Europeans had only been a temporary movement that would die out with the resumption of normal production by the macaroni-wheat raising countries of the other side. Nothing to justify this belief has as yet developed. The durum wheat crop of 1906 is being steadily absorbed, and the short sellers who looked for durum wheat to go to very low prices have been badly mistaken. Europe seems to have been well pleased with the wheat supplied by America from the 1905 crop and is again buying it heavily this year. Even Russia, one of the foremost wheat exporting countries of the world, has been a good buyer of this wheat in the Duluth market.

A further statement from the same source in the report for 1907 shows no abatement in the durum demand:

In the year 1907 durum wheat again made up a large percentage of the receipts of grain at Duluth, and it was in good demand. Foreign markets

continue to absorb the larger part of the shipments from here, but its use shows some sign of growing with American millers. Millers of the United Kingdom also use it to some extent when the price level is attractive. The crop of 1907 was much larger than that of the year previous, but the increased yield was absorbed without trouble.

A DECIDED CHANGE OF ATTITUDE.

As an interesting illustration of the great change in attitude toward durum wheat, the following two letters, quite opposite in sentiment, from a prominent grain-exporting firm to commercial journals, are here reproduced. The first was written to Bradstreet's in September, 1903, and from it the following is quoted:

There are arid portions of the Northwest where this wheat will grow and where ordinary wheat fails. It is not surprising that the farmers in these regions eagerly accepted the statement of Mr. Carleton that "goose" wheat (now under the name of macaroni) contained valuable qualities for breadmaking—in fact, equal to that of our Scotch Fife. This theory exploited by Mr. Carleton has resulted in the raising of an enormous crop of this class of wheat, estimated at 10,000,000 bushels.

As indicated in the extracts from our Minneapolis letter, the millers view the situation with alarm. They are confronted with a large decrease in merchantable wheat, and also the danger of mixture of macaroni and other wheat, such mixture being useful only as food for stock.

From a shipper's standpoint this macaroni wheat must meet the competition in Liverpool of similar wheats from Russia, the Danube, and India. These at present are very low, and about 67 cents at Duluth is the best the exporter can pay for it. Last year's experience for the exporters of macaroni wheat was discouraging. They were encouraged to keep in the market through the fall, and were obliged to carry through the winter a block of macaroni wheat which failed to find a market. Its value as an export article is entirely independent of the price of other wheats. In view of this situation I think it is in good order to suggest that the Government exercise some caution in launching this variety of wheat and insisting that the millers and grain men meet the situation.

I heartily commend the Government for the establishment of its experimental stations and for the aid given the farmer in the development of proper seed grain, but I think Mr. Carleton's enthusiasm has warped his judgment. He has been instrumental in producing a condition of things in the Northwest that, to say the least, is unhealthy. The question of supply and demand, which Mr. Carleton has apparently ignored, must settle the question in value. I think the farmer should be advised to go slow in raising macaroni wheat until a safe market is found for it.

The second very different letter from the same firm was published in a Minneapolis journal in October, 1907 after having been refused the columns of another well-known journal in the same city. It is quoted here as follows:

Just as a matter of interest, No. 1 durum wheat in Duluth sold to-day at \$1 per bushel in store, and one durum wheat on track by sample is selling at \$1.01 to \$1.02. At the same time cash No. 2 red in Chicago can be bought at \$1.03 in store at Chicago. Some of our export offers last night offered both the No. 1 durum and the No. 2 red Chicago at less than 3 cents per bushel difference, and the No. 1 durum is accepted and the Chicago No. 2 red not taken. Now, in view of this, and the fact that the buying is being done by English millers and German millers, and not by the Mediterranean macaroni trade, why not come out frankly and state that you have been unintentionally misrepresenting this wheat for some time, or that you have misjudged its milling qualities altogether. With the situation now, and the relative price between durum and red wheat for a milling mixture by a United Kingdom miller who can draw both, or draw any cheap wheat in the world, the plea that it is used because of its cheapness will not bear scrutiny. The fact is this durum wheat is a good yielder in the milling process. The percentage of off-grade is smaller than in a great many varieties of wheat. It makes a satisfactory body for a flour mixture, and it has a real value as a milling wheat, notwithstanding all that yourselves and other northwestern milling journals have said of it. In a large section of the Northwest it is the most profitable wheat to grow, and if the journals in the milling centers had treated this question from the start on a plain common-sense business basis, they would stand to-day in a better light in view of recent developments in the durum trade. We should like to see you frankly retrace your recent course regarding durum wheat.

DURUM AS A MILLING WHEAT.

In the Minneapolis letter referred to but not quoted in the above letter to Bradstreet's it is stated that durum wheat flour yields less bread per barrel. Quite the opposite result has been obtained in all bakings known to this department, and for a very simple reason—the greater water absorption of the durum flour. Note also the very different statement as to this question in the second letter: "The fact is this durum wheat is a good yielder in the milling process," etc.

As a matter of fact not one objection to durum wheat flour for bread making has been made good, except possibly the one of greater cost of production. This disadvantage is becoming constantly less, and will no doubt soon disappear as the miller becomes better acquainted with proper methods of operation. Even the yellow color, claimed to be so serious an objection, can be and has been eliminated by sufficient aeration of the dough, which is made possible by the use of several mixers recently invented. However, this is only a suggestion to those desiring it. As a fact, the color should not be eliminated. It is the stamp of quality. The fact that northwestern spring patents, which are not white, have been established in the face of great opposition to their color is ample evidence that durum patents will also succeed (Pl. XXXVIII, fig. 2).

SEMOLINA AND MACARONI.

That durum-wheat semolina makes the best macaroni is a fact that probably needs no argument. We import chiefly from Italy, and the Italians use only durum wheat. Nevertheless, only a rather small percentage of macaroni is made from durum wheat in this country. Few Americans know what constitutes good macaroni. There is the everpresent idea again of whiteness, and when the color is good it is often spoiled by bleaching. It is then cooked into a pasty mass, wholly unlike the proper article, which should permanently hold its form, like rubber, although well cooked, and should be yellow or yellowish-white in color.

DURUM WHEAT AT DULUTH.

Durum wheat was first recognized commercially at Duluth. The grades of Nos. 1 and 2 durum were established there, at the board of trade, in 1902. Later, Nos. 3 and 4 were added. Much aid was given to the exploitation of durum, in the early days of its history, by exporters at this place. It also soon became a speculative wheat, equally so with spring. Durum sold readily as an export grain, as its qualities have long been known in Europe.

The first great increase in production was in 1905, following the rust epidemic of 1904, which brought out clearly the rust-resistant quality of durum. There was a good foreign demand ready for this surplus. In recent years Minneapolis and local mills have so far taken the crop that there is a constant scarcity for export. The receipts of durum, its percentage of the total wheat receipts, and comparative prices at Duluth for the first five years of its commercial history are shown in the table following.

414 Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

Annual receipts of durum wheat, percentage of total wheat receipts, and prices of No. 1 durum and No. 1 northern wheat at Duluth, Minn., 1903–1907.

Year.	Durum	Durum	Price per bushel.		
	wheat receipts.	total receipts.	No. 1 durum.	No. 1 northern.	
	Bushels.	Per cent.	Cents.	Cents.	
1903	1,149,000	4.38	67 1	81	
1904	1,707,000	7.19	$87\frac{1}{2}$	102	
1905	7,824,000	12, 55	83	100	
1906	15,026,000	37.47	70튷	80뤃	
1907	26,721,000	48.07	. 80	97	

The receipts of durum wheat, total wheat receipts, durum percentage of the total receipts, and average end-of-themonth prices for No. 1 durum and No. 1 northern at Duluth for 1908 to 1911 are shown in the following table:

Annual receipts of durum and of all wheat, percentage of durum wheat in total wheat receipts, and average prices of No. 1 durum and No. 1 spring at Duluth, Minn., 1908–1911.

	Receipts.		Durum	Price per bushel.	
Year.	Durum.	All wheat.	wheat in total receipts.	No. 1 durum.	No. 1 northern.
	Bushels.	Bushels.	Per cent.	Cents.	Cents.
1908	22,631,000	46,873,000	53.90	90	107
1909	17,863,000	48, 529, 000	36, 81	100	114
1910	8,831,000	27,841,000	31.72	90	110
1911	3, 517, 000	28, 503, 000	12.34	92	101

By 1907 almost half the total wheat received at Duluth was durum. Soon the low prices, as shown above, caused a decrease in acreage and also caused a larger quantity to be used by the mills. In 1911 even the durum that reached Duluth was reported to be practically all absorbed afterwards by Minnesota and other mills.

In 1910 it was evident that European millers were using our durum, as so much of it went to markets outside of France and Italy. Even British millers bought it. Antwerp offered one-half cent more for durum than for Manitoba No. 3. On September 5, 1911, durum wheat at Duluth sold at a premium over No. 1 northern for the first time, the price being $1.04\frac{1}{2}$ and for No. 1 northern $1.04\frac{1}{8}$. Since then it has continued to be sold at a premium, at intervals, during three more crop years. Recently, for over two months (November and December, 1914, and onward) it has sold constantly above hard spring, often more than 20 cents above.

DURUM WHEAT AT MINNEAPOLIS.

Durum wheat for some time met with very conservative treatment by Minneapolis millers, as was expected, although its objectionable qualities were of the same nature as those met with in first handling spring wheat, but present to a greater degree, and therefore should have been considered evidence at once of its superiority.

After the first large durum crop, in 1905, following the rust epidemic, Minneapolis appeared to give more attention to the wheat, seeing that it had evidently come to stay. Also the great discrimination in price against durum, at that time increasing, and no doubt furthered by the very opposition to the wheat, now made it possible to use it in an experimental way, and it was soon admitted to be a very excellent milling wheat, if for no other purpose than blending with other softer wheats.

The grades of Nos. 1 and 2 macaroni appear to have been first recognized on the Minneapolis Exchange in 1902. In 1904, the term "durum (macaroni)" was adopted and grade In 1905 the grades Nos. 1, 2, 3, and 4 durum No. 3 added. were established. The first considerable quantity of durum wheat inspected into Minneapolis, nearly 7,000,000 bushels, was in 1906, and very little seems to have been inspected out. In that year a full report of inspection and prices of durum was first given by the board of trade. From that time onward the receipts steadily increased, up to 1910, when the quantity of durum received was over one-seventh of the total wheat receipts. By this time, also, the period of great price discrimination, which, however, had already lessened the production, was about ended. This fact, together with a stronger foreign demand, caused a sharp decrease in Minneapolis consumption. The receipts of hard spring wheat

416 Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture

and durum wheat at Minneapolis and the prices of each on December 1, for the years 1906 to 1913, inclusive, are given in the table following:

Receipts of hard spring and of durum wheat at Minneapolis, Minn., 1906–1913, with the price per bushel for each on December 1.

	Rece	ipts.	Price per bushel.	
Year.	Hard spring.	Durum.	Hard spring.	Durum.
1906	Bushels. 66, 789, 110	Bushels. 6,950,950	Cents. 784	Cents.
1907	66, 382, 470	8,656,410	106	841
1908	62, 847, 180	8,094,060	1101	893
1909	63, 211, 410	8,996,950	106	873
1910	71,619,960	12,929,790	106	88
1911	76,879,960	4, 818, 590	$102\frac{3}{4}$	99
1912	101, 213, 460	5,140,010	82 3	79 1
1913	98, 903, 080	5, 284, 480	85 1	80 3

Note that the price of durum jumped from a discount of 18 cents in 1910 to one of less than 4 cents in 1911, and, what is more important, has since been steadily maintained at an average of only 3 to 5 cents below that of spring wheat. At present (December, 1914) durum is selling as much above No. 1 hard as it was below it in 1909–10.

The two following items are from the Northwestern Miller:

November 18, 1914: Durum wheat on track at Minneapolis is commanding a premium of $6\frac{3}{4}$ to $8\frac{3}{4}$ cents per bushel over No. 1 northern.

December 2, 1914: Durum wheat sharply advanced in the last week on export inquiry from France to Italy. No. 1 durum on track at Minneapolis is held at 7 $\frac{5}{4}$ to 10 $\frac{1}{5}$ cents over No. 1 northern compared with $\frac{1}{2}$ cent under to 2 $\frac{1}{5}$ cents over a week ago.

On December 22, 1914, durum-wheat patent flour sold at 30 to 50 cents per barrel higher than hard spring patent, while durum semolina was still higher.

DURUM WHEAT AT NEW YORK.

New York early became an important durum-wheat point because of the European export demand. At first the shipments were almost wholly to France and Italy for use in making macaroni, but in recent years large quantities have gone to Belgium, Holland, Germany, Switzerland, and some even to Great Britain, where the softest wheats are usually employed. There is sufficient evidence accompanying the reports of these facts to show that usually the wheat was ground for bread.

The following table shows comparative wheat prices at New York from 1901 to 1913, inclusive. Note that even the average yearly price of durum finally exceeded that of all other wheats except No. 2 red winter (the popular eastern wheat) in 1913.

	Price per bushel.						
Year.	No. 2 red.	No. 1 northern.	No. 2 hard winter.	No. 1 hard Manitoba.	No. 1 durum.		
1001	Cents.	Cents. 913	Cents.	Cents.	Cents.		
1002	83.£	827	823				
1903	85*	90.7	185.7	2 91 -			
1904	11012	1124	10245	0-48			
1905	102	1087	8 92 8	4 94 5	1 90-2		
1906	86 1	89 	857	8811	83		
1907	961 ⁵	$106\frac{7}{16}$	99-3	5 1014	9015		
1908	1047	1165	10918	6 113	1017		
1909	1245	1251	123	12018	107 ₁₆		
1910	111118	121 16	7 1105	1117	103-26		
1911	97 3	11148	103	1065	3 109 3		
1912	109 1	1127	1087	1101	8 105 <u>5</u>		
1913	104 9	98 7	$100\frac{7}{16}$	1011	101 §		

Average yearly prices of various grades of wheat at New York, 1901-1913.

1 Average for 3 months, October to December, inclusive.

² Average for 9 months, January to September, inclusive.

² Average for 5 months, August to December, inclusive.

4 Average for 2 months, October to November, inclusive.

⁵ Average for 10 months, January to July, September to November, inclusive.

⁶ Average for 2 months, November to December, inclusive.

⁷ Average for 9 months, January and February, June to December, inclusive.

8 Average for 5 months, January, September to December, inclusive.

RECENT PRICES OF DURUM WHEAT.

For a long time the discouraging feature of durum-wheat production was the steadily decreasing comparative price. This continued, with variations, up to 1910. So long as the difference was not more than 3 to 5 cents, the greater yield of durum made it possible still to grow that wheat at a greater profit, where it was adapted, than other wheat. With a

75922°—увк 1914—27

price difference of 15 to 20 cents in 1908 to 1910, it was inevitable that the acreage should decrease. From 1911 conditions in this respect have entirely changed. Durum is now often the premium wheat and always sells near to No. 1 hard. At the time of this writing durum wheat has already sold at a premium as high as was ever reached by No. 1 hard over durum. A steadily increasing premium has been maintained for two months. Durum first reached the \$1.50 mark at Philadelphia on December 17, 1914. On December 1, 1914, No. 1 durum sold at New York at \$1.61 per bushel.

A PREDICTION FULFILLED.

During the week of September 19-25, 1898, the prices of Kubanka durum wheat at Samara, Russia, ranged from 17 to 23 cents per bushel above those of Russian, the latter a grade of spring wheat very similar to our No. 1 hard, and corresponding to it. This fact was personally observed by the writer of this article, who was in Samara during the time mentioned in search of good seed of durum wheat. In referring to this matter in another publication, the writer made the following statement:¹

It is interesting to note that just five years later almost an exact reverse of the relations in price of these two classes of wheat existed in this country at Minneapolis, and yet we have the same system of milling and largely the same export outlet for our wheat and flour as Russia. The explanation is that the American trade is only now becoming acquainted with durum wheat (Pl. XLI, fig. 3).

Later, in the years 1907 to 1910, when durum sold at 20 cents or more under No. 1 northern at Minneapolis, the writer, in discussing the conditions with grain men in that city at different times, made the prediction that in the future such conditions would be reversed and become similar to those at Samara, with durum wheat selling at a premium over No. 1 hard, and that the dealer who was first prepared and on the right side would reap the first profits. The idea was scouted as being hardly worth consideration. Several times in December, 1914, the prediction has been amply fulfilled, and the prices of durum and hard spring have finally stood in their proper relations, and as they were at Samara in September, 16 years ago.

¹ Carleton, M. A., The Commercial Status of Durum Wheat, U. S. Dept. Agr., Bureau of Plant Industry Bul. No. 70, pp. 12-13.

COOPERATIVE EFFORTS.

A number of business firms have aided greatly in establishing the durum wheat crop, while at the same time profiting by their operations. Perhaps the greatest aid was given by the actual opposition of its enemies, thereby cheapening the wheat so that tests of it on a large scale were financially possible. These tests were all that was necessary to bring it into favor.

THE FIXITY OF DURUM WHEAT.

In earlier years there was much doubt as to the permanency of the market for durum wheat until three large crops in succession were readily absorbed. So in 1911, when it first sold at a premium over hard spring, it was not believed by some that this could be anything more than a sporadic occurrence and that the former relation of prices between the two wheats, with its great margin against durum, would soon be resumed. But there was a second surprise, and the former relation was not again resumed, even in four years. On the contrary, the higher price of durum has become more and more frequent and finally the regular thing. Hence, even taking into full consideration the present disturbing conditions of foreign war, the chances are that durum will continue to be in great demand and will ever be in future a preferred wheat. This most triumphant career of a once rejected wheat is simply a good illustration of results that are bound to follow persistent efforts based upon facts of science.

AVERAGE TOTAL PRODUCTION OF THE HARD WHEATS.

It is impossible to determine accurately the total production of hard wheat, but it can be roughly estimated. The three Northwestern States produce about 180,000,000 bushels, including durum, each year. Other spring-wheat States produce about 15,000,000 bushels more of hard wheat, making 205,000,000 bushels of hard spring and durum. Kansas, Nebraska, and Oklahoma grow about 136,000,000 bushels, of which probably 120,000,000 are hard winter. This added gives 325,000,000 bushels. Other winter-wheat States, such as Iowa, Montana, etc., will furnish about 25,000,000 more, making in all 350,000,000 bushels as the approximate average annual hard-wheat production in this country. This is about half of the average total wheat production.

EXTENSION OF THE HARD WHEAT AREA.

As may be inferred from the preceding discussion, there are only two regions in the world where the strictly hard wheats may be successfully grown, these being the eastern and southern portions of Russia and the Great Plains and western intermountain districts of the United States and Canada. At the same time, only these wheats can be depended upon to give any profitable returns where the climate is extremely dry. Therefore, because of their excellent quality and the fact that they must be used in the extension of wheat growing into localities where the summers are drier and the winters colder than where 'wheat is at present grown, it is of vital importance for the future wheat supply to endeavor to improve them so that they will become adapted to localities at present still more unfavorable for wheat growing. For example, the hard winter wheat may be so improved in both winter hardiness and drought resistance that it can be grown farther north and west than the present Kharkof and Turkey varieties, while, on the other hand, the durum wheat may be so improved in drought resistance that it will be more successful in localities west of the one hundredth meridian.

MEAT PRODUCTION IN AUSTRALIA AND NEW ZEALAND.

By E. C. Joss,

Veterinary Inspector, Bureau of Animal Industry.

INTRODUCTORY.

EARLY in 1913 importations into the United States of frozen and canned meats from Australia and New Zealand began to show a marked increase. In past years the large surplus of mutton and beef produced in the Australian colonies has found its principal market in England, with smaller shipments going to continental Europe and the islands of the Pacific Ocean. However, with the steady increase in the population in the United States and at the same time the rather marked decrease in the number of beef cattle produced, especially during the past six years, it was inevitable that part of the world's surplus of meat, particularly beef, produced in the vast pastoral regions of South America and the Australasian countries should begin to seek North American markets.

In view of the volume of meat entering United States ports from Australia and New Zealand, the writer was directed by the Secretary of Agriculture late in the summer of 1913 to proceed to those countries and examine into the conditions under which the meat and meat food products intended for entry into the United States are produced, slaughtered, treated, and shipped.

Owing to the vast area of Australia, which is approximately equal to that of the United States, not including Alaska or the island possessions, it was possible to visit only the principal live-stock districts of the States of South Australia, Victoria, New South Wales, and Queensland lying to the eastward and bordering on the Pacific Ocean. However, in this portion of the continent are situated the principal cattle and sheep raising regions, and it is in this part of the Commonwealth that practically all of the Australian meatexport works are located. New Zealand, consisting mainly of two islands each approximately the size of the State of Iowa and situated about 1,200 miles to the east and south of Australia, was visited upon the conclusion of the observations made in Australia.

PRODUCTION OF LIVE STOCK.

The relative importance of Australia and New Zealand as sheep and cattle producing countries of the world will be noted in the following tables, showing the number of sheep and cattle of various countries. More detailed information on this subject can be found in the appendix to this volume.

Country.	Date.	Number.	Country.	Date.	Number.
British India United States Russian Empire Argentina Germany Austria-Hungary France United Kingdom Australia	1911 1913 1910 1911 1912 1911 1912 1913 1912 1908	Number. 114, 876, 000 56, 527, 000 51, 404, 000 28, 786, 000 10, 182, 000 11, 188, 000 11, 889, 000 11, 577, 000 8, 193, 000	Country. Sweden Roumania Spain Denmark Netherlands New Zealand Bulgaria Bulgaria Belgium Chile Cavion	Date. 1911 1912 1909 1910 1910 1910 1911 1912 1912	Number. 2, 690,000 2, 562,000 2, 554,000 2, 254,000 2, 027,000 2, 020,000 2, 018,000 1, 831,000 1, 760,000
Canada Italy Mexico Cape Colony	1913 1908 1902 1911	6, 656, 000 6, 199, 000 5, 142, 000 2, 716, 000	Switzerland Japan Algeria Norway	1910 1911 1912 1911 1907	1,403,000 1,443,000 1,399,000 1,114,000 1,393,000

Number of cattle in various countries.

Number of sheep in various countries.

Country.	Date.	Number.	Country.	Date.	Number.
Australia	1912	83, 263, 000	Italy	1908	11, 163, 000
Russian Empire	1910	80, 500, 000	British East Africa	1912	6,500,000
Argentina	1911	80,401,000	Roumania	1911	5,269,000
United States	1913	51,482,000	Chile	1912	4,169,000
British India	1911	31,691,000	Servia	1905	3,809,000
United Kingdom	1911	27, 824, 000	Mexico	1902	3,424,000
Uruguay	1908	26, 286, 000	Transvaal	1911	3, 415, 000
New Zealand	1913	24, 192, 000	Canada	1913	2,129,000
Cape Colony	1911	17,135,000	Natal	1911	1,519,000
France	1912	16,468,000	Norway	1907	1,393,000
Spain	1912	15,830,000	Sweden	1909	1,021,000
Austria-Hungary	1911	13, 477, 000			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

Stock raising comprises the leading industry in both Australia and New Zealand, the former exceeding all other countries in the number of sheep and the amount of wool Settled largely by thrifty English and Scotch exported. emigrants during the past century, it naturally followed that

422

the painstaking and economical methods of animal husbandry and agriculture pursued in the parent country should be adopted and followed, so far as practicable, by the colonists of Australia and New Zealand. The best types of English and European breeds of sheep and cattle were early brought to the colonies. The results of these early importations, supplemented by later importations, formed the basis for the present flocks and herds. In fact, the breeding of sheep in these two countries has progressed along such sound, careful lines that other countries, recognizing the perfection of certain strains, are now importing Australian and New Zealand stud sheep.

Sheep raising is preeminent in both colonies, although Australia also produces a large quantity of beef for export. In Australia, where the production of wool rather than mutton has been in the past the chief aim of sheepmen, the Merino or fine-wool type of sheep predominates. In New Zealand considerable attention has been given to the breeding of sheep for meat production, with the result that trade reports show the Downs, Romney, Leicester, and Lincoln lamb carcasses of New Zealand are highly regarded in the European market for their excellent qualities.

The table below shows the stocks of domestic food animals in Australia and New Zealand from 1901 to 1912, the figures being those published in official reports of the respective countries:

		Australia.		New Zealand.			
Year.	Cattle.	Sheep.	Swine.	Cattle.	Sheep.	Swine.	
1901	8,491,428	72,040,211	931, 309	1,361,784	20, 233, 099	224,024	
1902	7,062,742	53,668,347	777, 289	1,460,663	20, 342, 727	193,740	
1903	7,247,508	56,932,705	837, 368	1, 593, 547	18,954,553	226, 591	
1904	7,840,520	65,823,918	1,062,703	1,736,850	18, 280, 806	255, 320	
1905	8, 525, 025	74, 403, 704	1,014,853	1,810,936	19, 130, 875	249,727	
1906	9,349,409	83,687,655	813, 569	1,851,750	20, 108, 471	242,273	
1907	10, 128, 486	87,650,263	754,101	1,816,299	20,983,772	241,128	
1908	10,547,679	87,043,266	695,689	1,773,326	22, 449, 053	245,092	
1909	11,040,391	91,676,281	765, 137		23, 480, 707]	
1910	11,744,714	92,047,015	1,025,850	2,020,171	24, 269, 620	348,754	
1911	11,828,954	93,003,521	1, 110, 721		23,996,126		
1912	11, 577, 259	83, 263, 686	845 , 2 55		23, 750, 153		

Number of domestic food animals in Australia and New Zealand, 1901 to 1912.

In Australia the vast sloping highlands and plains country of the great interior of the continent, where the precipitation is sufficient to produce vegetation, is almost wholly devoted to live-stock grazing. The system mostly followed in the range country is to inclose in fence large holdings of land which are either privately owned or leased for a term of years These ranches are known as cattle from the Government. and sheep stations, and range in size from 5,000 to several million acres. Owing to the prevailing tropical and subtropical climate of Australia, open grazing on the indigenous grasses extends throughout the year in ordinary seasons. Practically no effort is made in these continuous-grazing districts to provide feed for periods when grass becomes deficient on account of drought, and devastating sectional or even general droughts are of not infrequent occurrence. These dry periods often seriously affect the live-stock industry by causing considerable losses of lambs and calves, and at times enormous losses of cattle and sheep. Thus, in the prolonged drought extending from 1898 to 1902 official reports show decreases in the number of sheep and cattle within the Commonwealth as follows:

	Number i	n country.	Decrease.	
	,1898.	1902.	Total.	Per cent.
Sheep	79,000,000	54,000,000	25,000,000	31.63
Cattle	10, 400, 000	7,000,000	3,400,000	32.69

Decrease of live stock in Australia owing to four years' drought.

Following the droughts, however, the live-stock industry shows remarkable recuperative powers and a few favorable seasons usually suffice for a replenishment of the depleted flocks and herds. Thus, in the nine years following the 1898–1902 drought the number of sheep increased a little more than 38,000,000, while cattle increased not quite 5,000,000. Australia is poorly supplied with natural water courses, so that much dependence must be placed in ponds constructed to impound surface water or in bores or wells, which are often 3,000 feet or more in depth.

Although scarcely eight decades have passed since actual settlement began. New Zealand may now be considered one of the leading countries in the production of mutton, wool, and dairy products of good quality for export. The Government, recognizing the natural resources available for pastoral pursuits, has lent considerable encouragement and aid in developing the live-stock industry by fostering the raising of sheep and dairy cattle in the Dominion, by searching out and opening up new markets, granting subsidies to steamship companies carrying New Zealand products to foreign ports, etc. Sheep farming on a moderate scale is a leading agricultural pursuit in New Zealand; that is, small or large flocks of sheep are raised on a majority of the farms, on which the pastures are supplemented to a large degree by root crops, kale, rape, etc. The practice is quite common to finish fat lambs for market on succulent field crops, with the result that prime carcasses for export are produced.

The production of swine in both colonies is conducted largely as an adjunct to dairying, the combined annual stocks totaling less than 1,500,000 swine.

MARKETING OF LIVE STOCK.

The marketing of fat stock is conducted along much the same general lines in both countries. Buyers may go to the premises to make direct purchases of the producers, or the producers or owners may offer their holdings at public auction at salesyards located in various towns and districts. Such auction sales may be held at certain places on regular days each week, or announcements may be made in advance in the local newspapers. Live-stock sales are usually made at a lump price per head, or, in case of private sale, they may be made on the basis of the dressed weight of the carcass at the meat works. The practice of weighing live stock at the time of sale is not followed, it is said, except occasionally in the purchase of swine.

In Australia fairly large public salesyards are maintained in the cities of Sydney, Melbourne, Adelaide, and Brisbane for the purpose of marketing fat live stock. The Flemington cattle and sheep salesyards at Sydney, New South Wales, are the largest in Australasia. At these yards usually but two sales days per week are held, the weekly receipts averaging about 5,000 cattle and 30,000 sheep. Swine salesyards are maintained in another part of the city, the weekly receipts averaging approximately 2,000 head. The system of marketing cattle, sheep, and swine in these large salesyards is to offer the live stock at public auction in pen lots or smaller groups, or even singly, as the live-stock agent sees fit. The tenders of purchase are made in lump sums per head, which renders the weighing of the animals unnecessary upon consummation of sale.

The custom of slaughtering on farmers' account is being followed to some extent in both countries, but more commonly in New Zealand. Under this method the slaughtering concern undertakes to receive cattle and sheep, to slaughter, freeze, and market the carcasses, and dispose of the skins, tallow, etc., for the farmer or owner, charging variable fees for the services rendered. Also several of the large meat-export works in New Zealand are owned and operated by cooperative associations of farmers or stock owners, by which plan such profits as may accrue in the conduct of the association are returned directly to the members whose live stock were slaughtered and marketed by the works. These cooperative concerns seem to be conducted along sound business lines and report making reasonable returns to live-stock owners during the past few years. An illustration of one of these cooperative establishments is seen in Plate XLII, figure 1.

TRANSPORTATION OF LIVE STOCK TO MEAT WORKS.

Although Australia has an area approximately equal to that of the main body of the United States, it has a little less than a total of 17,000 miles of railways, all owned by the Government. Railroad development has been confined mostly to the southeastern and eastern parts of the continent, thus leaving the vast interior and the western and northern parts of the country without connecting railroads. This means that sheep and cattle produced on the interior expanse of grazing land must be driven on foot to distant railway points for shipment or driven direct to the meat works, the latter being quite uniformly located on or near the Pacific coast between Adelaide, South Australia, on the south, and Townsville, Queensland, on the north. It is not uncommon

426
in Australia to hear of large droves of fat cattle which have been driven overland from 500 to 1,000 miles or more, subsisting without serious loss of weight on native grasses along the way, to reach a railway shipping point or meat works. Likewise, sheep from the far interior districts are often trailed hundreds of miles to reach market. Railway livestock cars or trucks are much smaller as a rule and more open in general construction than American stock cars, some being arranged without roofs.

The two main islands of New Zealand, which virtually lie end to end and have a combined length of about 1,000 miles, sustain a total railway mileage of about 2,800 miles. As in Australia, all railroads are Government-owned. Owing to the New Zealand railroads being narrow gauge (3 ft. 6 in. between rails), the running equipment is of necessity light. The apparent inadequacy of the present railways precludes, practically, if such were desirable, the establishment of large central live-stock markets with associated slaughtering works. Instead, meat-export slaughtering works, usually one or two in each district, are located throughout the entire length of the eastern coast of both islands. The supplies of sheep and cattle for these meat works are usually obtained in the territory contiguous to the meat works located in that district. By arrangement, refrigerator steamships call at the various New Zealand ports where meat works are located to take on consignments of frozen meat, tallow, skins, etc., direct for British or European markets. From this it will be readily seen that railroad haulage does not enter to a large extent into the marketing of fat live stock or the shipment of meat in New Zealand.

LOCATION OF MEAT-EXPORT WORKS.

In Australia practically all of the meat-export works are located in the eastern and southeastern coastal regions. The principal slaughtering and shipping points are as follows: Adelaide, South Australia, exporting frozen lamb, mutton, and small amounts of beef and canned meats; Melbourne, Victoria, exporting frozen lamb, mutton, and some beef and canned meats; Sydney and Newcastle, New South Wales, exporting frozen lamb, mutton, and beef, canned meats, and meat extracts. More sheep are slaughtered at or near Sydney and Newcastle, and more frozen mutton is exported through these ports, than from any other single State in Australia. Meat-export works in Queensland are located at or near the ports of Brisbane, Gladstone, Rockhampton, Bowen, and Townsville, and they supply more than one-half of the frozen beef exported from Australia. In addition, Queensland exports through these same ports considerable quantities of frozen mutton, canned meats, meat extracts, and tallow.

In New Zealand, with the exception of five or six meatexport works in the western part of North Island, all of the establishments for slaughtering and freezing meat for export are scattered along the east coast of both islands, extending from the northern to the southern extremities. The principal ports of export are Auckland, Gisborne, Napier, Wellington, Wanganui, and Waitara in North Island, and Nelson, Picton, Lyttleton, Timaru, Omaru, Dunedin, and Bluff in South Island.

TYPE OF MEAT-EXPORT WORKS.

In general, slaughtering departments of Australian and New Zealand meat-export works are confined to buildings of one or two stories in height. Slaughtering rooms as a rule are arranged with sufficient hanging space either in the room itself or in a well-ventilated room close by where freshly dressed carcasses of sheep and cattle are allowed to hang on rails for several hours for the purpose of cooling at atmospheric temperature. This space for cooling carcasses usually adjoins the freezing compartments in order that the carcasses when sufficiently cooled can be conveyed directly into the freezing rooms. The freezing and storage chambers are arranged along the general lines usual in such structures, being ordinarily divided into several large compartments, usually two stories in height, with freezing chambers above and compartments below for the storage of solidly frozen carcasses while awaiting shipment. Refrigerator capacity is usually provided to store from 20 to 30 days' slaughtering output. Ĩn Plate XLII, figure 2, is seen a view of a Queensland meatexport works, showing the Australian method of drving sheepskins in the sun on wire trellises.

In Australia, owing largely to the tropical and subtropical climate, the buildings used for meat works are as much as possible left open on the sides to permit free circulation of air. These buildings are usually constructed with a framework of wood, with sides and roof covered with corrugated sheet iron. That part of the works, however, used for refrigerator purposes is usually constructed of wood or brick. Floors of slaughtering compartments are largely constructed of cement, asphalt, brick, or native hardwood. To secure an adequate supply of potable water for use at meat works seems to be a serious problem at many of the Australian works visited, as certain parts of Australia are deficient in natural watercourses and subterranean water can be reached only at great depths in some localities.

In New Zealand the better meat works are constructed of brick, a few of concrete, and others of wood. However, corrugated sheet iron is quite generally used for inclosing the sides of meat-works buildings and is used almost wholly for roofing purposes. Slaughtering floors are constructed of cement, asphalt, or brick. Louver windows to provide ample ventilation are provided in slaughtering rooms and in rooms used for the atmospheric cooling of fresh carcasses. New Zealand is bountifully supplied with clean, wholesome water, so that all meat works are excellently provided for in this respect.

MEAT-INSPECTION LAWS AND REGULATIONS.

AUSTRALIA.

Federal inspection of meat intended for exportation is provided by the Commonwealth commerce (trade descriptions) act of 1905 and is conducted under the direction of the minister of trades and customs, who is empowered to make rules and regulations governing the conduct of inspection. The federal comptroller general of customs, Mr. Stephen Mills, is the directing head of the Commonwealth meat-inspection The regulations and instructions issued by the service. department of trades and customs governing the inspection and exportation of meat and meat food products require an ante-mortem and post-mortem examination at the time of slaughter of all cattle, sheep, swine, and goats, the meat or products of which are intended for export shipment. Supervision is also extended to the preparation of canned meats, extracts, etc. Department officers are empowered to grade meat as to quality when offered for export and may reject all carcasses not considered in a fat prime condition or those

Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture. 430

showing faulty dressing or other conditions objectionable to Fees for inspection are charged and collected by the trade. collectors of customs at the time the meat is exported as follows:.

Beef and veal.....per carcass.. 3d. (about 6 cents) Pigs.....per carcass. 1d. (about 2 cents) Lambs, sheep, and goats.....per carcass.. $\frac{1}{4}$ d. (about $\frac{1}{2}$ cent) Canned, preserved, piece, and other meat. per 100 lbs. $\frac{1}{2}$ d. (about 1 cent)

NEW ZEALAND.

Under the "Slaughtering and inspection act of 1908" the Federal Government has provided for the inspection of all cattle, sheep, swine, and goats slaughtered for export, and for a similar inspection of all animals slaughtered for domestic consumption in municipalities of more than 2,000 The main features of the present law are: inhabitants.

- (1) Federal inspection at all meat-export works.
- (2) Federal inspection of meats for consumption in towns and cities of more than 2,000 people. (3) Issuance of annual licenses for all slaughterhouses
- and meat-export works.
- (4) Collecting fees from slaughterers to defray the cost of inspection.
- (5) Remuneration for carcasses condemned.
- (6) Government loans to municipalities to erect abattoirs.

Fees are charged by the Government in connection with the slaughter and inspection act as follows:

	£	8.	d.		
For registration of municipal abattoirs	- 5	0	0	(about	\$25.00)
Annual license to meat-export works	1	0	0	(about	\$5.00)
Annual license to slaughterhouse other than abat-	•				
toirs and meat-export works	0	10	0	(about	\$2.50)
Inspection fees:					
Cattle per head		. 4	d. ((about 8	cents)
For every 12 or fraction of 12 calves, pigs, or she	ep.	. 3	d. ((about 6	cents)

The meat inspection of New Zealand is administered by the live stock and meat division of the department of agriculture, industries, and commerce, the chief of the service being a veterinarian, Dr. C. J. Reakes.

TRANSPORTATION OF EXPORT MEAT.

Although the meat-export works of Australia and New Zealand are located at or near the ports of export, few of the works are arranged so that frozen meat can be loaded

directly from the establishment into the ship's hold. Frozen meat is usually conveyed from the meat works or coldstorage plants to the ship's side by wagon, motor truck, It is then transferred insulated railway cars, or barges. into the hold of the vessel, and with as little delay as possible packed tightly into the refrigerator compartments. Tt. was stated that the frozen meat is carried at a temperature ranging from 10° to 15° F. during the oversea voyage. While there is a large fleet of freighters conveying frozen-meat cargoes from Australasian ports to the United Kingdom, continental Europe, and the Far East, there are but three steamship lines equipped with refrigerator compartments operating between Australia and New Zealand and the Pacific coast of North America.

Steamships equipped with refrigeration plying between Australia and New Zealand and United States and Canada.

Name.	Route.	Steamers.	Refrigerator capacity.	
Oceanic Steamship Co. (San Francisco). Union Steamship Co. (Dunedin, New Zealand). Royal Mail Line (Dunedin,	San Francisco and Sydney Sydney, Wellington, and San Francisco. Auckland, Sydney, and Van-	Sonoma Ventura Tahiti Aurangi Moana Niagara	Pounds. 400,000 400,000 700,000 250,000 360,000 1,456,000	
New Zealand).	couver, British Columbia.	Makura Marama	-1,164,000 1,164,000	

Freight rates on frozen meats from Sydney, Wellington, or Auckland to San Francisco or Vancouver, British Columbia, at the time of the writer's visit, were $\frac{2}{4}$ d. (about $1\frac{1}{2}$ cents) per pound. Freight rates from Australia and New Zealand to London were quoted as follows:

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Frozen beef in quarters or primal parts.per pound.} & \frac{9}{16} d. \mbox{ (about } l\frac{1}{3} \mbox{ cents)} \\ \mbox{Frozen sheep carcasses....per pound.} & \frac{5}{3} d. \mbox{ (about } l\frac{1}{4} \mbox{ cents)} \end{array}$

EXPORT MEAT TRADE OF AUSTRALIA AND NEW ZEALAND.

The following statements, compiled from official reports, show the extent of the export trade of Australia and New Zealand in food animals and meat. The first statement gives the annual totals for each item since 1901. In order to convey an idea as to the destination of these exports the

432 Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

second statement is presented, which gives the distribution of the meat exports from Australia for 1913. The distribution for New Zealand is not given because practically the entire trade of that country in meat is confined to the United Kingdom.

Australia's beef exports have increased rapidly in recent years, and while the United Kingdom gets the bulk of the trade, considerable shipments are widely distributed among other places, and there is at present a prospect of large dealings with the Pacific ports of the United States. Australian mutton is quite widely distributed also, although to a less extent than the beef.

Exports of domestic food animals and meat from Australia and New Zealand, 1901 to 1913.

Year.	Year. Cattle. Sheep.		Swine.	Beef.	Mutton and lamb.	Pork.	Bacon and ham.	Canned meat.
	No.	No.	No.	Pounds.	Pounds.	Pounds.	Pounds.	Pounds.
1901	2,413	12,094	164	90,706,344	54,175,093	313,824	285, 247	25, 882, 526
1902	4,489	24,296	31	79,453,248	44,105,600	647,920	187,739	21,989,644
1903	939	18,111	77	61,173,530	35,753,124	277, 310	223,930	11,009,277
1904	770	7,746	247	37,090,945	47,863,532	420,783	369,083	15,702,031
1905	1,280	12,090	322	43, 525, 086	86,858,344	2,824,016	484,616	13,454,545
1906	552	17,979	220	41,561,252	90, 692, 385	3,472,224	530,459	9,060,903
1907	687	11,290	185	52,050,592	109,227,757	1,446,758	415, 251	8,208,711
1908	953	13,019	117	40,711,516	91,607,614	826,102	389,718	12, 383, 939
1909	975	5,315	229	71,142,295	116,915,639	394,559	396, 342	23,301,198
1910	3,745	13,144	303	109, 427, 528	190, 229, 330	741,410	1,604,362	34,053,451
1911	9,964	24,198	385	108, 786, 417	129, 569, 295	1,641,013	2,338,299	40,768,074
1912	16,083	34,101	609	142,210,076	115,371,981	897,929	2,172,880	34, 161, 615
1913	15,118	41, 759	440	218, 918, 606	204,931,783	215,175	1,846,966	52, 124, 461
<u> </u>	·		·	NEW ZE	ALAND.			
1901	290	3,668	75	25,614,176	167, 902, 784	477,680	616,000	3,948,896
1902	3,062	48,047	326	33,006,624	191, 378, 656	469,516	661,920	6,078,352
1903	366	21,047	266	23, 812, 320	228, 424, 000	370, 384	422,152	4,216,800
1904	480	7,430	323	20,116,992	182, 157, 472	396, 704	187,152	2, 552, 226
1905	595	11,729	288	17,417,904	162,841,392	290,752	140,224	3, 186, 368
1906	477	13,324	254	29,187,648	187,600,448	583,184	142,016	4,501,504
1907	322	13,762	248	41,399,680	208, 862, 192	793,632	171,804	5,290,728
1908	183	6,136	271	40,108,208	186,991,840	172,480	221,998	3,619,952
1909	299	6,987	630	56,011,872	222, 726, 000	137,536	152,668	6,546,400
1910	227	6,335	729	58, 390, 080	227,865,344	1,232,784	198,738	7,002,240
1911	371	7,909	696	28, 438, 592	211, 595, 216	1,223,376	227,920	6,393,536
1912	379	6,475	510	31,716,496	248, 569, 104	128,352	281,892	4,871,116
1913	273	11.803	198	31,404,016	246.362.928	284,928	114.240	4,094,048

AUSTRALIA.

PLATE XLII.



FIG. 1.-- A NEW ZEALAND COOPERATIVE MEAT-FREEZING PLANT OWNED BY FARMERS.



FIG. 2.--A QUEENSLAND MEAT-EXPORT WORKS, SHOWING METHOD OF DRYING SHEEPSKINS.

PLATE XLIII.



FIG. 1.—MUNICIPAL ABATTOIR AT AUCKLAND, NEW ZEALAND. [Side view, showing railroad loading platform.]



FIG. 2.-CATTLE AND SHEEP SLAUGHTERING ROOM, MUNICIPAL ABATTOIR, AUCKLAND, NEW ZEALAND.



FIG. 3.-STOCK PENS AT MUNICIPAL ABATTOIR, AUCKLAND, NEW ZEALAND.

PLATE XLIV.



FIG. 1.- A MUNICIPAL ABATTOIR IN A SMALL NEW ZEALAND CITY.



FIG. 2.-GENERAL VIEW OF THE NEW STATE ABATTOIR AT SYDNEY, AUSTRALIA.

PLATE XLV.



FIG. 1.-CORRIEDALE EWE HOGGETS, ABOUT 15 MONTHS OLD.



FIG. 2.-CORRIEDALE EWE HOGGET, ABOUT 15 MONTHS OLD, WITH LAMB.

Meat Production in Australia and New Zealand. 433

The beef trade of New Zealand is small compared with that of Australia. It is remarkable, however, that whereas New Zealand has only about one-fourth as many sheep as Australia, the exports of mutton and lamb are in most years more than twice as large as those of the latter country. This trade is, in fact, now so highly developed in New Zealand that the number of carcasses annually shipped to England represent fully 25 per cent of the entire flocks of the Dominion.

Country to which exported.	Beef.	Mutton and lamb.	Pork.	Bacon and ham.	Canned meat.
	Pounds.	Pounds.	Pounds.	Pounds.	Pounds.
	169, 963, 291	191, 440, 138	11,877	731, 189	41, 121, 014
Italy	6, 356, 514	44,854		••••	•••••
France	349,418	51,238			924, 504
Germany	1, 813, 799	5, 144, 062			165,000
Canada	3,352,675	1,662,910			215,820
United States	5,037,769	571,008			1,891,114
South African Union	5,656,346	1,550,257			1,599,119
Egypt	3,990,804	633,109	23,780		
Malta	1,142,092				
Philippine Islands	14, 535, 447	778, 693	93, 507	475, 154	237,920
Hawaii	2,356,115	91,085			30,728
Japan	36, 705	39			
Hongkong	423, 659	401,380		60, 305	105, 336
China				54,523	202,186
Other countries	3,903,972	2,563,010	86,011	525, 795	5,631,720
Total	218,918,606	204,931,783	215, 175	1,846,966	52, 124, 461

Distribution of meat exports from Australia during 1913.

In addition to the exportation of meat products of domestic food animals, Australia exports annually from 15,000,000 to 20,000,000 frozen rabbits and hares, and New Zealand furnishes for export annually between 2,000,000 and 4,000,000. The shipment of rabbit skins to Europe is also an important item in the export trade of the two countries.

PUBLIC ABATTOIRS.

An especially commendable feature in the domestic meat trade of Australia and New Zealand is the rather general operation of municipal and State-owned abattoirs. The people of Australasia are perhaps the greatest meat con-

75922°-чвк 1914-28

sumers per capita of all civilized countries, and no little interest is displayed by the general public in the production of the meat offered for domestic consumption. In most places the public abattoir is regarded as a necessity both from the standpoint of public health and community economy.

The people of New Zealand have been especially progressive in the enactment of laws dealing with municipalowned slaughterhouses. A Government statute passed a few years ago provides that when a municipality attains a population of 2,000 it shall within a specified time establish an abattoir for public use wherein all local slaughtering shall be conducted. It is also provided that all such municipalowned abattoirs shall conform to the requirements of the Government with respect to location, construction, arrangement, water supply, drainage, etc., and that federal inspection shall be inaugurated in all such establishments, the cost of the inspection to the New Zealand Government being recovered in fixed fees charged slaughterers by the municipality. By this arrangement it will be observed that while it is incumbent on the municipal authorities of towns of more than 2.000 inhabitants to establish and operate public abattoirs for their respective cities, the sanitary control of all such abattoirs and the conduct of meat inspection rests wholly with the Federal authorities, which at once suggests the highest possible protection to human health as regards unsound or unfit meat. The municipal abattoirs visited in New Zealand were uniformly of sound sanitary construction and well ordered throughout. The largest municipal abattoirs in the Dominion are those at Auckland (see Plate XLIII) and Dunedin. The number of animals slaughtered monthly at those places was given as follows: Auckland, 1,500 cattle, 8,000 sheep, 1,000 swine; Dunedin, 1,000 cattle, 10,000 sheep, 300 swine.

The Auckland abattoir is stated to have cost, for the land (26 acres), buildings, etc., including residence of manager, approximately $\pounds 40,000$ (\$200,000). A smaller abattoir is shown in Plate XLIV, figure 1.

Australia has no commonwealth law requiring the establishment of public abattoirs. However, most of the individual States have enacted statutes dealing with the estab-

434

lishment of municipal or State-owned abattoirs. Large public abattoirs owned and operated by the State of New South Wales have been maintained on Glebe Island at Sydney for the past 50 years or more. These works have been extended from time to time as necessity demanded until now their average daily slaughtering capacity is as follows: Cattle, 1,500; calves, 500; sheep, 3,000; swine, 600.

About four years ago the State of New South Wales began the erection of entirely new abattoirs at Homebush Bay, a suburb of Sydney (see Plate XLIV, fig. 2), with a view of abandoning the now more or less out of date works at Glebe Island. It was stated that the new State abattoirs would be opened for use within a few months with an estimated daily slaughtering capacity for the immediate future as follows: Cattle, 2,000; calves, 800; sheep, 15,000; swine, 1,000.

In connection with the Homebush abattoirs, there will also be operated by the State of New South Wales a public live-stock and sales yards, which when completed will cover an area of about 80 acres. The total outlay of the State for the Homebush abattoirs and stockyards, it is officially stated, will be approximately $\pounds 500,000$ (about \$2,500,000).

At Adelaide, South Australia, the writer visited the large abattoirs and public stockyards owned and operated by the municipality of Adelaide. These works are new, having been formally opened for public use on July 16, 1913. The buildings are constructed along good sanitary lines, concrete and brick being largely used in all structures. The daily slaughtering at present is approximately as follows, but the maximum capacity is considerably in excess of these figures: Cattle, 200; calves, 75; sheep, 2,000; swine, 100.

It is officially reported that the total cost to the city of Adelaide for the acquisition of the site of several hundred acres of land and the construction of stockyards, abattoir buildings, railroad tracks on premises, employees' cottages, etc., was £353,000, or about 1,760,000.

A large meat-export works is maintained and operated by the State of South Australia at Adelaide in which sheep and cattle are slaughtered on account for farmers and stock owners.

The extensive municipal abattoirs at Melbourne were also visited. The weekly slaughter at these abattoirs is about Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

2,000 cattle and 30,000 sheep during the sheep-killing season. Many of the smaller cities of Australia also own and operate public abattoirs.

ANIMAL DISEASES.

AUSTRALIA.

Considerable losses of cattle have been caused by tick fever, which is similar to if not identical with our tick fever of the southern United States. The carrier of tick fever in Australia is a tick (Boophilus australis) which is said to differ slightly from the Texas tick (Margaropus annulatus). These ticks now infest only the northern part of Australia, the southern States maintaining a rigid border patrol to prevent the spread of the infestation to those parts of the continent.

Considerable losses of cattle are also sustained in the northern and northeastern parts of the continent from the prevalence of contagious pleuropneumonia. Worm nodules (onchocerciasis) is also quite common in the cattle of northern and northeastern Australia. In this infestation a slender round parasite several inches in length is found in the intermuscular tissues of the living animal, particularly in the region of the brisket and stifle, where nut-sized nodules are formed by the coiling and partial encapsulation of the para-The life history of this parasite and its mode of gaining site. access to the muscular structures of the living animal have not yet been determined. It is not known that any appreciable losses of live animals occur from this infestation, although the presence of the parasite in dressed carcasses causes the rejection for export of considerable quantities of meat, particularly in northern Queensland. Anthrax and blackleg are said to exist in some districts. Tuberculosis is also present in cattle and swine. Blowflies attacking live sheep are particularly troublesome in some districts. Australia is said to be free from sheep and cattle scabies, glanders, foot-and-mouth disease, rinderpest, dourine, etc.

NEW ZEALAND.

Excepting animal tuberculosis New Zealand is remarkably free from many of the communicable diseases that hamper or threaten the production of live stock in most countries.

436

Of animal diseases said not to exist at the present time in New Zealand are the following: Tick fever, anthrax, cattle scabies, sheep scabies, glanders, hog cholera, contagious pleuropneumonia, worm nodules, rabies, dourine, rinderpest, and foot-and-mouth disease. The live-stock and meat division, department of agriculture, exercises sanitary control of live stock by a system of farm-to-farm inspection, whereby technical and practical advice is given the farmer and Government control assumed if certain infectious or contagious diseases are found to exist.

CORRIEDALE SHEEP-NEW ZEALAND'S NEW BREED.

During the itinerary in New Zealand visits were made to two large sheep-breeding farms in Canterbury. Through the efforts of a few sheep breeders of South Island in careful selection and breeding, a type of crossbred sheep has been produced which in late years has become so fixed in type and character that it is now recognized in Australasia as an established new breed and is officially known as the Corrie-The foundation stock used in producing the Corriedale dale. has been largely the Merino, Leicester, and Lincoln breeds. The Corriedales combine good fleece production of highgrade wool approaching that of the Merino in spinning merit with desirable mutton qualities of the carcass not possessed by the latter. It appears that the name was applied to the new breed largely on account of experiments in crossbreeding begun by Mr. James Little about 50 years ago on the Corriedale estate in Otago, South Island, but who later removed to Canterbury, South Island, where his experiments were continued. Specimens of Corriedale sheep are seen in Plate XLV.

VETERINARY EDUCATION, GOVERNMENT LABORATORIES, ETC.

Veterinary education is receiving proper recognition in Australia by the well-established colleges of veterinary science in the universities of Melbourne and Sydney. Meat inspection occupies an important place in the curricula of both schools. On September 11, through the courtesy of Prof. H. A. Woodruff, veterinary director, the writer visited the veterinary school of the Melbourne University. This school is well arranged for classroom, laboratory, and clinical instruction. Two courses of study are provided, one of 4 and one of $4\frac{1}{2}$ years.

On October 22 the veterinary department of the University of Sydney was visited. This school is under the veterinary direction of Prof. J. D. Stewart and is providing a thorough four-year course in veterinary science to its students.

During the investigations in Queensland advantage was taken of an opportunity to visit the State bacteriological laboratory and animal-experiment farm located at Brisbane. From this laboratory blood is supplied for inoculating work in tick fever; pleuropneumonia virus and blackleg vaccine are also supplied, and other investigations relating to animal diseases carried out.

While in New Zealand a visit was paid to the pathological laboratory and experiment farm of the division of live stock and meat, department of agriculture, which is located at Wallaceville, near Wellington, New Zealand. Aside from the routine work in the laboratory of examining specimens forwarded by inspectors, stockmen, and others for diagnosis considerable investigative work in serum therapy, animal tuberculosis, contagious mammitis, feeding, etc., is carried out on the experiment farm which contains about 160 acres.

THE FARM WOODLOT PROBLEM.

By HERBERT A. SMITH,

Editor, Forest Service.

THE farm woodlot problem may be put in four words. It is the problem of making the woodlot pay. Farmers can no more afford to keep unprofitable land than they can afford to keep unprofitable cows. Idle land which is not growing more valuable is like a boarder in the dairy herd; it eats up part of the profit made elsewhere. Good farm management may or may not call for the opening of an actual book account with the woodlot, but every good farmer needs to know at the close of the year whether he is richer or poorer for his timbered land.

It costs money to hold land. Every acre means carrying cost. The tenant farmer pays this cost in rent. The man who works his own farm should be able to earn at least rent and wages. If he sold the farm and put the money in a good savings bank it would yield him a yearly income without the lifting of a finger. His farm is an investment. Tt. should be a paving investment. A bank which paid no interest would be a poor place to put savings. So a farm which does not yield its owner and user a fair return on his investment as well as a fair return on the labor and industry of himself and his family is a poor place to work. Its possessor is paying for the privilege of owning it, instead of making it pay him for what has been put into it. If the woodlot does not directly or indirectly compensate for taxes and interest allowance on its value, it is not doing its share toward making the farm pay. It is being carried at a net loss.

THE QUESTION OF TAXES.

Often woodland is taxed excessively. The higher it is taxed the harder is the task of making it pay. In most States timberland is assessed on the basis of its value, tim-

439

ber and land together. Land assessed on this basis is overtaxed as compared with land assessed on the basis of what it produces each year. The value of plowland for farm purposes is established by what it will earn. If the owner can make \$10 an acre a year by growing wheat or corn or cotton or alfalfa on it, his land will have a value of perhaps \$150 an acre. If it took two years to grow a crop, the land would be worth only half as much. Its owner would in that case object vigorously if he could not get his assessment lowered. He would object still more vigorously if he had to pay a tax also on the value of the standing crop, after having to pay too much on the land.

With woodland the case is still worse. Each year the owner may have to pay a tax on the merchantable crops of many past years. It is as though the owner of plowland had to pay a tax on the value of his field crops twice a week throughout the growing season. When a full-grown tree is cut down it may have been taxed 40 or 50 times over. Each year the land on which it grew has been valued not on the basis of its earning power, but on the basis of what it would bring if sold, timber and all. A tax levied on the income-earning value of the land would be much more equitable.

Certain States have provided for the application of essentially this principle. This is done by legislation under which a classification can be made, under suitable restrictions, of land to be used for growing timber, with taxation of the timber separately from the land. The tax on the timber is not paid until the crop is harvested. It is therefore a tax on the yield. In New York the yield tax is 5 per cent of the value of the crop harvested. Michigan lays a yield tax of 5 per cent on forest plantations, Massachusetts of 6 per cent, and Vermont, Connecticut, and Pennsylvania of 10 per cent, with different provisions for forests already established. In Pennsylvania the land must be classified by the State forestry reservation as auxiliary forest reserves, and thereafter the land itself can not be assessed at a higher value than \$1 an acre; but the State compensates the school and road districts for loss of revenue by paying into the local funds for each of these purposes State moneys at the rate of 2 cents per acre of land brought under the operation of the law. A flat

or uniform valuation of the specially classified forest land at the same sum of \$1 an acre is the method adopted in Michigan. Vermont, Massachusetts, and Connecticut provide for a valuation of the land separately from the timber: and when this valuation has been made it must stand for a long term of years. There are many variations in details and a condensed statement regarding these various laws is necessarily inadequate and imperfect, but it is sufficient for the present purpose to note that they all embody the principle of a tax laid on the yield as distinguished from an annual assessment and tax based on the value of the land and the growing timber crop together. Such a method is decidedly preferable to that adopted by a number of States which exempt, under certain conditions, reforested or reforesting lands for a term of years, or allow rebates or bounties on such lands.

Farmers may well interest themselves in the question whether, under the existing system of taxation, woodland is not overassessed. The extent to which growing a forest crop can be made to pay will depend largely on relief from excessive taxation. It is bad public policy to discourage the putting of waste land to work. Many farms have waste or semiwaste land which ought to be growing a tree crop. It may be rocky, steep, or thin-soiled land, used perhaps for poor pasture; or it may be old cleared land or old fields, producing mainly bushes or a straggling cover of poor trees which half stock the land with an inferior growth. Wet land may have its best use for growing timber, unless it can be drained and so made more valuable for other pur-The less the tax burden which timber grown on waste poses. or semiwaste land will have to bear, the greater will be the inducement to use the land in this way. But, after all, the main consideration for the man who owns such land, or for the man with a well-stocked woodlot, must be at the present time not how much he will have to pay out in taxes, but how much he can take in if he tries to practice forestry. He has the land, and must pay taxes on it according to the established system, whether the system is fair or unfair. What can he get out of it? Is there anything in forestry for him ?

441

442 Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

It is a question which concerns others than the farmer him-Farm forestry should be recognized as a matter of self. general concern. The use made of a not inconsiderable fraction of our total land area and a very large fraction of our total forest area has decidedly its public aspect. If this potential resource is neglected or abused the annual production of raw material which gives employment to labor and adds to our National wealth is needlessly and seriously curtailed. Neither can we afford to disregard the importance of farm forestry from the standpoint of future timber supplies and timber needs. Whether we are thinking of our National income account or of our prospective wood requirements, what the farmer does with his woodlot is a question of public interest.

WOODLOTS AS SOURCES OF WEALTH.

The total farm area which either has or should have timber growing on it certainly exceeds 200,000,000 acres. This is more than one-tenth of our total land area, and more than one-fifth of our total farm area. If only the part of the country east of the Mississippi be considered, the situation is even more striking. Nearly 150,000,000 acres, or two-fifths of the total farm area, is either woodland or other unimproved farm land. Of this, something like 90 per cent must be actual or potential timberland-that is, land which is either now timber covered or might profitably be employed for timber production. Surely no one can contend that the question of yield from this land is a matter of indifference to the public. It is an aggregate area greater than Minnesota, Wisconsin, Michigan, and Maine combined. We could not afford to abandon such an area to a foreign country. We can not afford not to concern ourselves about what is going to happen to it while it remains in the hands of our own citizens. We want it so used that it will contribute as much as it can to National prosperity and wealth.

The productive capacity of the 200,000,000 acres of farm lands throughout the country which either have or should have timber growing on them is enormous. In area this is much more than the entire holdings of the Government in the National Forests. It is nearly equal to the area of the Atlantic States from Maine to and including Maryland, with Ohio, Indiana, and Illinois added. With an average stand of 3.000 board feet to the acre (a much smaller stand than could be counted on if moderately good forestry were everywhere practiced) this land would have on it 600 billion board feet of timber. The annual lumber cut of the entire country is in the neighborhood of 40 billion feet. With an annual growth of 200 board feet per acre of sawlog timber-a moderate allowance under the practice of forestry-this land would produce in perpetuity the equivalent of our entire lumber cut. It should at the same time be capable of producing not less than 120,000,000 cords of wood other than sawlogs. At \$3 per thousand feet on the stump the annual crop of saw timber alone would be worth \$120,000,000, to say nothing of the returns to labor which its utilization would involve.

These figures are so staggering as to seem incredible. In point of fact, they will never be realized. This is not because the average rate of growth and average stand have been put above what may reasonably be expected, but because the present area of farm woodlands is much greater than it will eventually be. In the North and Middle Atlantic States clearing has unquestionably removed the timber from a great deal of land which should be reforested; but two-thirds of the farm woodland area east of the Mississippi is reported by the census as in the South, where the woodland comprises 31 per cent of the entire farm area. Much of this land will in the end be put to other use than timber growing.

Nevertheless, the quantity of standing timber now owned by the farmers of the United States reaches a huge total. It is estimated to exceed 250 billion feet of saw timber and $1\frac{1}{3}$ billion cords of cordwood. The question with regard to this timber, already produced and available for harvest, is quite independent of the question whether after it is harvested the best use of the land will be to grow another timber crop or to employ it for some different purpose. The farmers who own this timber want to make the most of it. The public should want that this timber supply be not wasted, but that it be drawn upon, as largely as possible, to supplement the supplies held by lumbermen and to support American industries and wage earners.

SOME MARKETING DIFFICULTIES.

Marketing the products of the farm to advantage has come to be recognized as a serious problem in the United States. It is difficult for farmers to get the full value of what they have to sell. Unless they are organized and have the services of a capable manager or expert in marketing methods they are likely to find the cash returns on what they have produced disappointingly small. Many farmers now feel, not without reason, that it is less important for them to learn how to make their farms yield greater crops than it is to learn how to be sure of getting what their crops are worth. There is often an extraordinary difference between the price which the producer receives and the price which the consumer pays. While city people are complaining that their food supplies cost them so much, country people are wondering indignantly why the commission merchants often send them back so little. It seems a matter of luck rather than good management if the cost of production of some crops is repaid. To the farmer the city appears, instead of welcoming him to suppy its needs, to be all the time saying to him, "Keep out of here. We don't want your wares."

The marketing of timber presents some of the same difficulties, but in aggravated form. Comparatively seldom can the owner of a small piece of woodland harvest his own saw logs. Lumbering is a business which calls for expensive machinery, efficient operation, skilled methods, and ability to dispose of the product advantageously. Most farmers must at the present time sell their saw timber on the stump to a portable-mill man. Such sales are ordinarily made for a lump sum. The mill man, experienced in estimating, goes through the woods and "sizes up" before he makes an offer, the quantity and value of the timber which he will obtain. The owner seldom knows anything about estimating timber, and has only the vaguest idea of what it ought to bring. Competition among mill men is seldom active enough to afford the owner much protection against a losing bargain. He has probably never had the thought enter his mind that there has been the equivalent of cost of production in past expenditures for taxes and in interest charges, which he should get back if he is not to

be out of pocket. Even though such a thought has occurred to him, he has no way of finding out what the cost of production has been. Naturally he is on very unequal terms with the would-be purchaser.

The small owner of timber is to-day very much in the position that the small apple grower would occupy if he had no other means of marketing his fruit than to sell it on the tree, with no knowledge of about how many barrels will be gathered or what apples are selling for. One advantage over the apple grower the timber owner has: His crop will keep. While ripe timber can not be held indefinitely before it rots, the loss in any one year is normally small. Quick utilization becomes imperative only when some destructive agency kills or threatens to kill the timber. If fire or chestnut blight or hickory bark borer forces the owner's hand, he may have to take what he can get or see his timber go to waste. But as a rule the man who has trees to sell need not be in a hurry about it. Unlike the apple grower, he can take time to find out what he ought to get, if he does not know.

Astonishing examples of what the farmer may throw away if he "sells a pig in a poke" are often encountered by foresters. A farmer in Massachusetts some years ago sold to a portable-sawmill man white pine which cut a million board feet. He received \$1,200 for it, and thought he had obtained a good price. The same mill man paid the owner of adjacent white pine of the same quality a price equivalent to \$7 a thousand. The first of the two owners practically presented the mill man with \$5,800, which had been accumulating for perhaps more than a lifetime. It was as though his father had left him money in the savings bank and he had finally sold the account without ever having had the interest written up to find out what was to his credit. The second owner was wise enough to learn, before he attempted to sell his timber, how much he had and about what it ought to bring.

HOW THE FARMER MAY PROTECT HIMSELF.

Such mistakes should be avoided. It is quite possible for a farmer to learn how to estimate his own timber fairly well. Advice can be obtained from competent foresters regarding

reasonable terms of sale. Farmers will find it well worth while to seek advice before deciding on a sale of timber from the woodlot. It may be, and in fact will usually be, that the best protection of the farmer's interests calls for special provisions in the sales contract. An example of the advantage of securing advice from a competent forester occurred in Connecticut when a woodlot owner was on the point of accepting an offer of \$300 from a portable-mill man. Had the offer been accepted the mill man would have cut practically all the owner's timber. He would have taken many fine young trees which, because only half grown, were much more valuable to keep than to cut. He would also have left the woodlot in poor shape for the production of a new crop, and exposed to a bad fire risk because of the slash. The owner sought the advice of the State forester. In Connecticut this advice could be had by paying the expenses of the foresters sent to make a personal examination. As a result the owner finally sold only 40 per cent of his timber, for which he received \$1,000. The rest was reserved for a subsequent harvest, with the certainty that it would grow more rapidly and be worth more money because the cutting was planned with the welfare of the forest in mind.

Forest culture is as much of an art as is corn culture. A good woodlot, like a good cornfield, is the result of applying intelligent methods to produce a full, valuable crop. A cornfield with fail spots, empty hills, feeble stalks, and halffilled ears is neither a credit to the farm nor a paying investment for the farmer. No more is a woodlot half stocked with inferior trees. When timber is cut is the time of all times to apply forestry. The way in which the cutting is done will determine what the subsequent condition of the woodlot will be.

The States of California, Connecticut, Kansas, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Minnesota, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, and Pennsylvania employ professional foresters from whom advice can be obtained by writing to the State forester at the State capital. In States which have no State forester, the Forest Service of the United States Department of Agriculture furnishes advice to woodlot owners by letter. It supplies a suggested form of contract for the use of woodlot owners contemplating a timber sale, and it has issued a "Woodsman's Handbook" (purchasable from the Superintendent of Documents for 25 cents), which contains practical instructions for estimating timber. It also maintains lists of the users of special classes of material, so as to be able to give advice regarding the possible markets which the farmer might find open for his timber if he wishes to handle it himself.

This suggests some new questions. Has the farmer any alternative to selling to the portable-mill man on the stump? What chance has he to market his own material? Could farmers cooperate in handling their woodlot crop as they are now in many places cooperating to handle their apple or peach or citrus-fruit crop?

That the small owner of timber is to-day in much the same case with an apple grower who could only sell his fruit on the tree has already been remarked. In point of fact his case is in some ways far worse. Like apples, lumber should be graded to bring the best price. The portable sawmill operator seldom operates on a large enough scale or with good enough equipment to be able to get its full value out of the material which he mills. He is likely to produce boards or dimension material of uneven thickness, sawed without the best judgment. In mixed growths, and especially in handling hardwoods, various kinds of lumber will be produced without the possibility of sorting. still less of grading. The purchaser who receives a shipment of such lumber finds himself at an enormous disadvantage in handling it as against the carefully standardized product of the great mills which supply the general lumber market. Even a few barrels of apples may be graded so that they do not all have to be sorted over before they can be satisfactorily retailed; but the log butcher sawing perhaps 5,000 feet a day can not possibly ship material classified by carload lots to meet reasonable market demands.

The farmer loses, therefore, not only because he does not know how to sell to good advantage, but also because of the inefficiency which in varying degree characterizes the small lumber mill and operation. In some cases it has been found possible, by bringing several neighboring owners together in

a common sale, to create a logging opportunity suitable for the best class of portable-mill operation. Such logging means of course better utilization and should result in better prices to the owners of stumpage. There is great need for owners of farm woodlands to try to cooperate with each other. By collective bargaining they should be able to secure decidedly better terms than when each sells independently of the rest. The truth is that farmers are at a disadvantage as timberland owners because their holdings are individually too small. Subdivision of ownership has been carried beyond the best working unit. There is need for a reverse movement. An association of farmers with enough timberland held in common ownership to make a good working forest would be in position to market a high-grade output, where a market for such an output is open, far more advantageously than they can do as individuals. Such an association could employ a trained forester as adviser if not as manager. As the cooperative movement among farmers becomes stronger it can be expected to develop forestry as one of its fields. But cooperation in the handling of timber or timberlands will probably follow, not precede, cooperation in other lines. It will require the habit of working together to be somewhat well developed because it will involve a wider departure from current methods than is involved in many other forms of cooperation.

Even without the practice of cooperation there is room for more extensive marketing of the woodlot crop by the individual farmer than now commonly takes place. When the farmer sells anything but stumpage it is now principally cordwood, railroad ties, and telegraph and telephone poles. To be able to sell timber in a form which pays the farmer day wages as well as stumpage value is a great advantage. In 1909, when the last census was taken, the farmers of the country sold standing timber to a total value of \$21,723,000. while they produced material for sale in a form involving a labor charge to a total value of \$70,801,000. On the stump, the material which brought them the \$70,000,000 was probably worth less than was the standing timber for which they received the \$20,000,000. The greater part of the excess of \$50,000,000 which they realized on material not sold on the stump may reasonably be assumed to have gone into their



WHERE PLANTING PAID.

[This 3-acre piece of sidehill, where in 1873 white pine was planted on a worn-out field, sold in 1901 for \$350 and about 1912 for \$1,000.]



PLATE XLVII.

WHITE PINE PLANTATION ON OLD FIELD IN CONNECTICUT, THREE YEARS AFTER PLANTING.

PLATE XLVIII.



Fig. 1.—Planting Crew at Work in Timber which Has Suffered from Repeated Fires.

[Reproduction has been destroyed, soil conditions injured, and many trees affected with decay. Damaged trees have been cut and utilized.]



FIG. 2.—A PORTION OF THE SAME TRACT REJUVENATED THROUGH UNDER-PLANTING WITH WHITE PINE, WHICH IS NOW ESTABLISHED AND MAKING GOOD GROWTH.

REJUVENATING DAMAGED WOODLANDS.



Fig. 1.—Oak and Hickory Stand in which Larger Trees were Cut Years Ago.

[Middle-aged trees but no young ones. Grass has replaced the natural forest floor; no wind-mantle on forest border to prevent drying out of soil.]



FIG. 2.-PRACTICING FORESTRY. VALUABLE YOUNG STAND OF WHITE OAK.



FIG. 1.-THE FINISH OF THE FOREST.

[When these old trees are cut or die the land will be clear of timber.]

Fig. 2.—Young Growth under Old Trees in Formerly Pastured Woodlot. Stock Kept Out for 11 Years.

WHAT PASTURING DOES TO THE WOODLOT.



FIG. 1.-QUARTERING STAVE BOLTS ON HOMESTEAD.



FIG. 2.-STAVES SEASONING NEAR PORTABLE MILL SET UP IN THE WOODS. WORKING UP WHITE OAK STAVE MATERIAL IN ARKANSAS.

PLATE LII.



A WOODLOT IN FINE CONDITION.

[The yellow poplar in the foreground is 12 inches in diameter breasthigh, and 75 feet tall. Harvesting the heavy timber will stimulate growth of the smaller trees and open room for more reproduction.]



FIG. 1.-WEST VIRGINIA MILL WITH A CAPACITY OF ABOUT 3,000 BOARD FEET PER DAY, OWNED BY THREE FARMERS WHO DO THEIR OWN CUT-TING, LOGGING, SAWING, AND SELLING.

[Effects of destructive logging appear in background; poor prospects for future growth.]



FIG. 2.-LUMBERING WITH PORTABLE MILL ON NORTH CAROLINA TRACT WHERE FORESTRY IS PRACTICED.

pockets as recompense for the use of their time and teams. Woods work generally comes when farm work is slack, so that day wages earned then may mean a substantial addition to the farmer's yearly income. There is every reason why the farmer should give careful attention to the opening for more extensive sales of material in a form calling for his labor.

Examples of such forms are: handle material, veneer logs, vehicle stock, excelsior bolts, pulpwood logs, extract material, and cooperage bolts. The special industries which make use of these forms of wood have, of course, their individual requirements; and unfortunately to a considerable degree the single establishments which make up some of these industries have also individual requirements. Better standardization of grades and specifications would benefit both woodlot owners and the industries. The woodlot owners would get a better outlet for their high-grade product, while the industries would get a broader field of supply. As the reservoirs of virgin timber are drained lower and lower, the necessity of drawing upon the scattered but permanent holdings of the small owner will grow more obvious. Ultimately many of the woodworking industries will have to depend on such sources of supply to escape extinction. Even now there is a steadily broadening opportunity for farmers to sell to manufacturing concerns.

Not only the Forest Service, but also all State foresters, are able to furnish inquirers with addresses of wood-using firms and with information regarding their requirements. Many farmers are now writing to the Forest Service to learn what industries in their neighborhood purchase logs, bolts, or billets suited to a special kind of use. Handle manufacturers want high-grade hickory and ash in split billets or round bolts. Red gum, cottonwood, yellow poplar, maple, and white-oak logs of good quality are salable in some regions to veneer manufacturers, usually at prices well worth the farmers' attention. The manufacturers of vehicle stock are usually in the market for high-grade hickory and oak split billets and dimension stock. Excelsior plants purchase aspen, pine, basswood, and cottonwood bolts. Some pulp mills are located in woodlot regions and provide markets for such species as pine, aspen, gum, and cottonwood. The

75922°—увк 1914—29

manufacturers of tannin extract use chestnut wood and oak and hemlock bark. Tight cooperage establishments call for quartered white-oak bolts, while slack cooperage plants use either quartered or round logs of red gum, beech, elm, chestnut, and other woods. Dogwood and persimmon are wanted by makers of shuttles, and locust by makers of insulator pins. These are examples of the special products which the woodlot owner can often market in his own region. More and more it is going to pay farmers to have such products to market—in other words, not only to harvest the woodland crop and sell it themselves, but to grow the kinds of wood that are the most salable in each region.

In order to find a market for the above special products, the farmer should first write to his State forester or to the Forest Service for a list of wood-using plants in his region and should then obtain from each of these plants a copy of the specifications under which they purchase material, price quotations, and instructions for shipment.

It must be said, however, that the difficulties in the way of marketing material in such special forms are greater than they should be. Like the city, the wood-using industries may seem to the farmer to be saying, "Keep out! We don't want your wares." Arbitrary grading at the factory sometimes compels the shipper to accept an unduly low price for good logs. Trade tends to keep the beaten track, so that an outsider can not always readily break in. There is need for a much more thorough study of the marketing situation which the farmer with forest products to dispose of faces than has yet been attempted. Since it concerns the value to farmers of the 250 billion feet of saw timber which their farm woodlands contain, and increased returns from a money crop which is even now yielding farmers in the neighborhood of \$100,000,000 a year, there is good ground for making the study. Especially desirable is an experimental test of cooperative lumbering and marketing by an association of farmers, with the opportunity which it might afford for larger output and more permanent relationships with purchasers. Such an association would have many of the advantages which farmers have secured through cooperation in handling other classes of farm products.

450
WOODLOT VALUES, PRESENT AND FUTURE.

All this, however, relates to making the woodlot pay better as the source of a money crop. It is true that in the long run the opportunity to obtain a money crop from the woodlot will be for most farmers the chief incentive for practicing forestry. Because very much timber which now has no sale value is going to bring a good price a few years hence, men who are farseeing will not too easily part with what they may have, but, on the contrary, will do what they can to have good timber growing up. A woodlot may be made an auxiliary to the savings bank, rolling up a larger deposit each year. But quite apart from its value as the source of a money crop, the woodlot has an important relation to success in farming as a source of material for home The woodlot often pays well, though not a dollar's use. worth of the wood crop is sold.

There is a difference between farm woodland and the farm woodlot. Farm woodland is farm land which has not yet been cleared. Farm woodlot is a term which might best be used to mean a part of a farm permanently devoted to timber production, under a sound plan of farm management. The size and the location of the woodlot will depend upon various considerations, of which the most important are the home needs of the farm, the character of the land, and the present and prospective market for material from the woodlot.

A woodlot, when the word is used in the restricted sense just indicated, implies that a relatively stable apportionment of the land as between use for field crops or pasture and use for the forest crop has been made. In point of fact, taking the country as a whole, this point has not yet been reached. Neither the area nor the location of the woodlot can generally be considered as settled. Doubtless many farms which should have permanent woodlots now have under timber a part of the farm which should and eventually will be cleared; and other farms or the same farms have a certain amount of cleared land which should be forested. This is only what would naturally be expected when it is considered how the woodlot has come to be what it is and where it is.

452

The present farm woodland is the outcome of a process of shrinkage. It is in no sense the product of calculation, the outcome of careful planning based on a study of the woodlot needs of the farm or farmer and the relative value of all portions of the farm for different forms of use. In the timbered regions the settler began to clear-where he could most quickly and most easily gain a foothold. The all-important matter was to be able to sustain himself and his family at the earliest possible time. The uncleared part of the farm became a source of supply of the wood needed on the farm. Generally it furnished pasture also. It was the left-over wild land. As more land was wanted for field crops the forest was pushed back. The remnant was still more than ample to supply home needs. Where a local mill furnished a market for logs the virgin stand furnished a source of money income and of profitable employment in the winter, when farm work was slack. Later on it became possible to sell cordwood, and to some extent other products. But at least until the supply of wood began to become relatively scarce locally the chief value of the woodland was much more likely to be for the supply of fuel, fencing, farm timbers, and the like used on the farm itself than as a storehouse to draw upon for salable material.

The present is a transition period. To a large extent the individual farmer is in a position with regard to his farm timber supplies comparable with that in which the country as a whole finds itself. He has drawn steadily on his reserve supply without much thought about its renewal, until it is becoming seriously depleted. In some regions the woodlot has been used as a pasture so generally that it is rare to find one with young trees in it. The cattle have eaten off and trampled down the seedlings, compacted the soil, and destroyed the leafy fringe which normally screens the interior of the woodlot against too free a circulation of the air, until one can see straight through the timber. In such a woodlot dying tops often betoken a stand which has succumbed prematurely to disease, the result of the untoward conditions. In a short time the farmers in such regions will find that they can no longer draw upon their reserve supply of timber as they have in the past. What will be the result?

As the industrial development of the country has gone on a great change has taken place in the use made of the farm woodlot. Railroads have brought both coal and lumber from the general market near enough to the farmer to make it in many regions chaper to buy fuel than to cut and haul it, and cheaper to build with lumber sawed in mills 500 or 1,000 or 2,000 miles away than to get logs from the home place to the nearest local mill, pay the sawing charge, and draw the lumber back. Wire fencing has almost put an end to the splitting of rails. Concrete is coming into widespread use for construction. Undoubtedly the farm is much less dependent on the woodlot for the supply of material consumed on the farm than it was in earlier days.

Nevertheless, the woodlot still remains an important mat-Merely from the standpoint of diversified crop producter. tion, the possible field for timber growing calls for careful The forest crop is preeminently adapted to utilize study. land of low value for other purposes, and land too steep or . broken for plowing. Thin-soiled hilltops, rocky hillsides, and sandy areas are examples of the places where trees will probably produce more, if intelligently cared for, than will any other crop that the land will grow. A very strong point for the woodlot is the chance which it affords for winter work. Even though the farmer makes only day wages, to be able to earn something in an otherwise unproductive period may make the difference between a farm run at a profit and a farm run at a loss. There is every reason to anticipate a better market for high-grade timber grown on the farm a few years hence than that which now exists. The danger is that because this is a transition period farmers may fail to see what lies a little way ahead, and do themselves serious injury. Timber is still cheap. It is being cut in the regions where abundant virgin supplies still exist, and the lumber manufactured from it is sent into every nook and corner of the land where the railroads have penetrated. This form of lumber production is at high tide. The current everywhere runs strongly inland. As the tide turns, a movement in the opposite direction will probably begin to develop. Then it will be much more worth while than it is now to have good timber in the woodlot.

It is better worth while even now than many farmers realize. A farm for sale will generally bring a decidedly lower price if it is without timber. Even though there is little direct money return obtainable from the woodlot, it is a good asset. Inquiries made within a year by the Forest Service have shown that in some regions where the market for woodlot products is not good a woodlot can nevertheless be counted as adding very materially to the value of the entire farm. Undoubtedly this added value is partly sentimental. The land brings a higher price not altogether because more money can be made on it, now or later, in consequence of its having timber. A higher price is gladly paid because the farm is a pleasanter one to live on. case is something like that of a house in town that stands in well-kept grounds and is in good repair. A shabbylooking place should be "spruced up" before it is put on the market, if the owner wants to get its full value. So the thrifty farmer may be discoverable by examining his woodlot, as well as his fences or dooryard. His thrift will pay well if the time ever comes when he wants to dispose of his farm.

There is also the value of the woods as shelter. To the extent that this adds to the comfort of those who make the farm their home, the protection afforded by the woodlot is a part of what has been referred to as its sentimental value. It helps make life more agreeable. A very real money value, however, attaches to timber which protects live stock against high winds and storms. In regions where a naturally flat country interposes little resistance to the sweep of cold winds, the degree to which timber furnishes windbreaks and shelterbelts has a material relation to the severity of the conditions which must be faced. Further, forest growth often affords valuable protection for field crops against parching winds.

Another matter to be reckoned with is the gain that will come to the owners of timber through improved means of transportation. As good roads become more common the value of timber will rise. An improved highway between the woodlot and the railroad, that will increase from 50 to 100 per cent or more the weight which a team of horses can

haul over it, may double the value of the farmer's stumpage. or make salable timber which previously had no market value at all. All these matters call for consideration. The place of the woodlot in the farm economy requires to be carefully worked out. Fundamentally the problem is one of developing a wise, farsighted policy of farm management. Only through the development of sound principles of farm management can the farmer find a true solution of the wood-That problem was stated at the outset as the lot problem. problem of making the woodlot pay. It is an integral part of the larger problem of making the farm pay. It involves a determination of the relation of the woodlot to the rest of the farm, physically and economically; of the amount of land which the best interests of the farm in the long run call for holding in timber, and where the woodlot should be located, and of practicable solutions of marketing difficulties. With such a determination made, a great step forward will have been taken both toward promoting the prosperity of the farmers of the United States, whose timber occupies onefifth of our entire farm area, and toward bringing about the best use of a great part of our basic resource, the land, for the supply of the needs of all the people.

No attempt has been made in this article to tell how the woodlot should be taken care of in order to make it fully This is not because the methods used by the productive. farmer in the actual handling of his woodlot are unimportant. Unless good forestry is practiced the timber crop will become less and less, both in quantity and in value. To allow the woodlot to take care of itself as though it were wild land, valuable only as a storehouse on which the owner may draw at will for marketable or usable material, is a most shortsighted course. A good knowledge of how to farm should include knowledge of how to make the part of the farm that is in timber grow the highest quality and largest quantity possible of what will profit the farmer most. Knowledge of this sort is now increasingly brought within the farmer's reach. It is taught in most of the agricultural colleges and is embodied in many publications. In the States which have trained State foresters, farmers can obtain information regarding the methods suitable to their neighborhoods and specific needs by writing to these officers.

Where such information is not obtainable, the advice of the United States Department of Agriculture is available.

Because of the wide range of natural conditions presented by the woodlots of different regions, localized information is needed to enable the woodlot owner to make the best use of his land. As with field crops, the successful growing of forest crops requires a good knowledge of the requirements of whatever it is desired to produce. This knowledge can not be obtained merely from books. To be his own forester the farmer must study his woodlot. He must observe and think. He must remember that the chief tool of timber culture is in the United States the saw or ax. Even when clear cutting is practiced, the establishment of a new crop will usually be obtained from natural reseeding; forest planting is as a rule advisable only when it is desired either to grow timber on new areas or to regenerate badly depleted woodlands on which a full stand can not be secured within a reasonable time by unaided nature. It follows that in cutting timber there must always be a definite appreciation of what the effect will be, and a definite purpose as to what it is intended to bring about. In short, the practice of forestry is an art. It requires observation and experience to be successful, quite as much as does the successful growing of agricultural crops. Full utilization of the productive value of farm woodlands can be achieved only after knowledge of the right cultural methods has become generally diffused as a part of the knowledge of farming possessed by the agricultural population of the country.

NEMATODES AND THEIR RELATIONSHIPS.¹

Ву N. А. Совв,

Technologist in Charge of Agricultural Technology, Bureau of Plant Industry.

INTRODUCTION.

THE soils of our yards, gardens, and fields swarm with thousands of kinds of minute animals and plants of which we know little or nothing. We depend on the soil for our very existence, and it may seem that this fact should have caused us long ago to make ourselves thoroughly acquainted with it and all its inhabitants; yet the truth is otherwise. Here beneath our very feet are microbes, protozoa, fungi, and many other kinds of small organisms, thousands of species, of which we know hardly the first thing beyond the mere fact of their existence. In some ways this ignorance extends even to the higher plants and animals. Confront the ordinary botanist with the complete root system of one of our common plants and ask him what it is and the chances are he will have to confess his ignorance. While his knowledge of the aboveground parts of the higher plants is most systematic and extensive, in most cases the corresponding parts below the surface of the ground are almost wholly unknown to him.

Relatively speaking, then, in a biological sense, this soil we daily tread under foot is almost a veritable terra incognita. Why is this so? It is difficult to formulate a reasonable answer. The fact is that our biological researches as a rule do not extend below the surface of the ground. This lamentable fact is, of course, an answer to the question, but it does not seem a reasonable one.

Inhabiting the soil in myriads, hidden behind this veil of ignorance, there is a group of organisms known as nematodes. Some members of this group have forced themselves on our attention by the enormous damage they do to crops. These, however, so far as numbers are concerned, constitute a very

¹ The illustrations are mostly from drawings made from nature under the author's direction by Mr. W. E. Chambers. Figures 1 and 19 are from the author's drawings, and figure 10 was prepared by Miss Ella Welborn from the basis of Schepotieff's plates.

insignificant minority. There are multitudes of others, some only in a lesser degree injurious, still others that are beneficial, and yet others, the great majority, of unknown



FIG. 26.—Diagrammatic representation of the nematode anatomy. The upper part of the figure and four corresponding circular cross sections at the left represent the anterior half of a female nematode seen from the right-hand side. The lower part of the figure and the two corresponding cross sections represent the same view of the posterior part of a male nematode. The cross sections are placed opposite the portions of the side view to which they relate.

economic significance. So little do we know of this vast multitude of soil-inhabiting nematodes that the first spadeful of earth we lift is practically certain to contain kinds

458

never seen before (fig. 27). Numerous as are the nematodes inhabiting the soil, the aquatic species are even more numerous. Furthermore, thousands of species of nematodes occur as parasites in animals and plants.

At present our knowledge of this group, such as it is, is

confined to a comparatively small number of people. The reasons for this are not far to seek. If we consider any group of animals the study of which is popular—as, for instance, birds—we find the individuals of that group both large and numerous, and, moreover, much in evidence; and it will be found, in most cases, that they are serviceable to man; they furnish him food, raiment, or some other valuable material; or they are ornamental,

FIG. 27.—Diagram representing the upper foot of soil, and showing the relative abundance of nematodes in each successive 2 inches. Derived from a low-lying alluvial soil carrying about 3,000,000,000 nematodes to the acre. Most of the nematodes are in the upper 3 inches. Though shown distributed uniformly in each layer, they are really most numerous about the roots of plants.

or attractive as pets, or useful in some other way. Now, it is not unfortunate for the nematodes that they are none of these, but it is unfortunate for us, if on that account we are prevented from knowing much that would be most useful to us, as well as interesting and diverting.

Nematodes do not furnish hides, horns, tallow, or wool. They are not fit for food, nor do they produce anything fit to eat; neither do they sing or amuse us in any way; nor

are they ornamental—in fact, when they are displayed in museums the public votes them hideous. Lacking in all these respects, they fail even in furnishing any moral or praiseworthy example; they are not known to be industrious like the ant, or provident like the bee, indomitable



like the spider, or frugal, or honest, or anything else that is admirable. What claim, then, one may ask, can such beings lav to our attention? I think I hear some outraged naturalist exclaiming in reply: "And must a thing be useful to deserve attention? Must a thing have an assessed money value to be worthy of study? Is there no idea above meat for dinner and raiment for the reception? Is everything to be measured by its value in dollars and cents? Thank God, there are some things so far removed from the lucre system we allow to dominate our lives that it is as impossible to measure the one in terms of the other as it would be to buy a ticket into Heaven." I should have a good deal of sympathy with the man whose wrath boiled over in this manner and found expression in such burning language, but, at the same time, I confess I should have more hope of converting others to my way of thinking by adopting another tone and different tactics. Descending to one of the lowest planes on which an appeal could be made, I should say that, if, for example, it could be proved that nematodes would draw as a show to such an extent as to cause the populace to part with half a dollar each for a place in the balcony, a dollar or two for the dress circle, and all the rest of it, we might feel their claims for attention to be meeting with some little encouragement. Such a demonstration would be more forcible than any tirade against even the most reprehensible prejudice.

But it is unnecessary to descend to any such appeals to show the advisability of more fully acquainting ourselves with these organisms, for although, so far as we know at present, nematodes may not often be directly beneficial to man, a knowledge of them would be useful to every person, simply because, though he may not know it, he is infested by them, either continually or from time to time. This unpalatable fact needs no proof to the initiated; but those not informed will doubtless be surprised to learn that no less than about 50 kinds of nematodes are known to infest the human body.

Our domesticated animals suffer in like manner, and even more severely (fig. 28). Thus, in countries where the wealth consists largely in live stock, as, for instance, in the western part of this country, in Australia, and in the Argentine Republic, the monetary loss caused by nematodes is always considerable, and sometimes very great. The parasitic nematodes shown on this page exist in millions in most of the great sheep-growing countries; these are only a fraction of the species of nematodes that attack sheep, and are mentioned simply as embodying a typical case among our domesticated animals. To every person, therefore, and especially to medical men and veterinarians, these parasitic nematodes should possess no common interest.



FIG. 28.—Natural-size figures of some of the nematode parasites of the sheep: a, b, Found in the duodenum; c, in the intestine; d, in the stomach, very common and injurious; e, in the intestine, very common and injurious; f, in the anterior part of the small intestine, inflicting severe bites; g, in the large intestine. These are only a fraction of the nematodes known to infest the sheep.

Nor are the crops of the farmer free from the attacks of these creatures. Wheat, the sugar beet, coffee, and scores of other crops are frequently decimated or even ruined by diseases caused by the attacks of microscopic nematodes.

Beyond doubt a complete knowledge of nematodes, if properly applied, would enable us to save a vast amount of life and treasure and prevent a vast amount of suffering.

But it is by no means entirely for these reasons that I would like to see these organisms receive a greater amount

of attention. They possess a powerful interest because of the unusually clear view they give us of the various processes relating to life, and I believe this fact could easily be turned



to educational account: in fact, I know it could. In the contemplation and discussion of one of these organisms, I have seen the statesman forget his social problems, the judge his law, the mathematician his quantities, the artist his art, the philanthropist his schemes, and exclaim and question in

FIG. 29.-A very remarkable nematode, Wilsonema, found in soils in various parts of the United States. How it can make its way through the soil without damage to its wonderfully complicated and delicate mouth parts is a mystery. I. Lateral view of female; II, dorso-ventral view of the head of the same individual; III, enlarged lateral view of the head of same individual; IV, lateral view of the tail end. a, Ventral appendage, which, together with the corresponding dorsal appendage, acts as a sieve; b, lateral tactile organ associated with the sifting apparatus; c, internal elements (supports?) of the sieves; d, inflated valvular apparatus; e, amphid; f, cuticle; g, pharynx; h, nerve ring; i, excretory pore; j, esophagus; k, threefold value of the cardiac bulb; l, cardia; m, wall of intestine; n, flexure of anterior ovary; o, vulva; p, egg; q, lumen of intestine; r, rectum; s, lateral wings; t, anus; u, caudal seta; v, spinneret: w, anal muscles; x, apical portion of spinneret; y, one of the three caudal glands.

terms of enthusiasm and interest concerning which there could be no doubt.

Formerly it was my duty, as professor of biology, to bring before the students in my courses, first in a secondary school and later in the uni-

versity, a series of animals and plants for dissection and study, and I can safely say that no organisms excited so much interest among those young men, or furnished them with a greater amount of instruction, than small species of free-living nematodes. These little animals present a won-

derful complexity of organization combined with such transparency that very little is hidden from view. Digestive system, nervous system, excretory system (fig. 29). muscular system, sexual system, all are to be seen with most instructive wealth of detail and in full action in the living animal. My experience in this matter makes me very confident in saving that professors of biology could do far worse than to introduce into their courses a more careful examination of these creatures.

NEMATODES AND THE NEW SCIENCE OF HEREDITY.

If it were necessary to fortify these assertions, I might call attention to the fact that since the days of Leeuwenhoek and the invention of the microscope these organisms have excited a keen interest in the minds of great naturalists. Anyone will be convinced of this by even a casual glance at the literature relating to any one of several common species—for instance, the nematode so common in table vinegar



FIG. 30.—The asymmetrical nematode, Bunonema. This apparently deformed little creature presents relatively monstrous warts on one side of its body. It belongs to a large group, usually to be found in decaying matter.

and popularly known as the "vinegar eel." I might also call attention to the fact that some of the most momentous of all our scientific discoveries have been made through the instrumentality of nematodes; witness the discovery of the formation of the polar bodies in the ovum, the coalescence



FIG. 31.—A remarkable nematode, called Heth, notable on account of the numerous sieves through which it sifts its food, and also on account of the acute backward-pointing barbs, of relatively large size, with which its head is armed. of the spermatic nucleus with that of the ripe ovum, and the subsequent cell-division phenomena, leading up to a science of heredity. The clarity of the nematode egg makes it particularly suitable for investigations of this kind.

If one removes from the small part of one of the ovaries of the common lung worm of the frog, Rhabdias nigrovenosa, an egg that has not yet begun to segment, and places it in water under a microscope magnifying 300 to 400 diameters, he may easily observe one of the most impressive and instructive of all the phenomena of life-the formation of a young animal inside the egg. This egg, which is at first a single cell, becomes in the course of a few hours under our very eyes a living, actively moving animal. This impressive spectacle begins by the formation from the original cell of two others of

slightly unequal size, the change being accompanied by the karyokinetic phenomena concerning which there is nowadays so much discussion and research. Each of these cells again divides, and so the rhythmic change goes on, giving rise to tissue after tissue and organ after organ, until the baby nematode lies before us complete and ready to begin its active battle for existence. The observer rises at last, feeling that he has indeed approached as near to the mystery of life as it is yet given to man to approach.

We are gradually rebuilding the science of heredity, and one of the basic facts of this renovation is the union of the nuclear material of two parent cells to form the nucleus from which the offspring grows. This flow or transportation of nuclear material from parent to offspring is a matter of supreme interest and is now being studied with the minutest care, and is found to follow laws capable of such exact definition that we are able to base upon them predictions as to the nature of the offspring. Practical results of vast importance in the breeding of animals and plants are, therefore, now only a matter of time.

The fundamental facts in this very important new science came to light through observations upon the eggs of nematodes. It was in a nematode egg that it was first observed that the animal male and female nuclei actually coalesce to produce the new compound nucleus, or pronucleus, which alone is capable under the usual normal conditions of growing and producing a new individual.

Again, it was in the nematode egg that it was first proved that the pronucleus when it divides sends into each of the two new cells a definite portion of the matter it derived from each parent. Both of these discoveries are absolutely fundamental and of enormous practical significance.

It is no wonder, therefore, that there should exist on the part of those most familiar with these fields of research, a wish that our knowledge of nematodes should be increased and brought to the attention of a larger number of people.

An old adage assures us there is no royal road to knowledge. There is certainly no royal road to a knowledge of nematodes. The traffic in this direction has not justified the installation of through trains and sleeping cars; so he who takes this route must be prepared to put up with inconveniences, and to make the best of certain disgusting passages. To the squeamish, the lazy, the impatient, the inaccurate, about to take tickets for this journey, I should say, "Don't do it! It

75922°—увк 1914—30

won't suit you." But to him not afraid of work, brave under discouragements, patient, cautious, and with a good

> FIG. 32.—The dragon nematode, Draconema, so called because its form is reminiscent of that of the dragons of fable.



amount of ability and common sense, I would wish a hearty Godspeed, and say, "Go ahead! it's a rough road and hard to travel, but there are some fine bits of scenery, unequaled in their way, which amply make up for the inconveniences."

HISTORICAL.

NEMATODES AS A CAUSE OF DISEASE.

The history of nematodes extends back into remote antiquity and contains many remarkable passages. It seems hardly credible, and yet it is true, that at one time there was a widely prevalent "worm theory" of disease, which in its day played a part comparable to that played in more recent times by the germ theory of disease. This worm theory had for its basis the fact that worms are obviously associated with numerous and varied forms of disease. Here was something tangible to which the medical men of those remote times could trace certain baneful results.

This was before the invention of the microscope, and it was not unnatural that, in the absence of

microscopes to reveal the enormous prevalence of microorganisms, there should spring up and become widely accepted a theory that worms were a general cause of disease, and that even those diseases not obviously due to this cause were in reality due to it through some means not yet understood. Of all the organisms that inhabit the human body none are more prominent or more likely to come under the observation of the physician than certain of our nematode parasites indeed a knowledge of these nematodes and their injurious effects may well antedate history.

The squeamish reader will not enjoy a paragraph which tells of the details and abundance of these parasites in human beings and the lower animals. I have removed from the stomach of a wallaby weighing not over 50 pounds no less than 40,000 nematodes varying in length from a few millimeters to several inches. As for human beings, there are regions where the inhabitants are pot-bellied owing to nematode infestation and where it is not an unheard-of thing for an infested individual to vomit a pint or more of "stomach worms"---parasitic nematodes infesting the human stomach. Such conditions are most prevalent among savages and ignorant people, and it is readily believable that in ancient times the conditions were more favorable to these parasites than they are to-day. Hence, it is easy to understand how a theory of disease based on the prevalence of nematodes could have arisen and gained a wide currency.

In modern times we have come to know much more about such diseases and that parasitic species of nematodes cause many diseases of mankind not formerly recognized. The dreaded "hookworm" is a nematode. So is that scourge of the Tropics, the "guinea worm." Trichina,¹ costing civilized nations hundreds of thousands of dollars yearly for the inspection of pork, is a nematode. If raw or insufficiently cooked trichina-infested pork be eaten by human beings, the result is a serious, oftentimes fatal, sickness called trichinosis, epidemics of which have claimed victims by the hundred. Nematodes have recently been suspected, with good show of of reason, of being carriers of cancer. So the list of more or less serious human nematode diseases and ailments might be increased until practically half a hundred had been enumerated.

¹ Trichinella spiralis.

No less serious are the nematode diseases of plants and of the lower animals. The common gallworm has been found infesting the roots of several hundred different species of plants, among them most of our cultivated crops, and causes an annual loss amounting to millions of dollars. There is another nematode that has at times completely checked the growing of sugar beets in certain regions. The list of serious



diseases of this character could easily be increased to dozens. The same is true of animals. Every do-

FIG. 33 .- One of the injurious parasitic nematodes found in and on the roots of citrus trees. Tylenchulus semipenetrans. This is a male. It is the females of this species that are most injurious to the citrus roots. This parasite has been spread throughout the world on the roots of citrus nursery stock. Its original habitat is probably southeastern Asia, whence came our cultivated species of citrus. The females are much larger and penetrate for half their length into the citrus rootlets, leaving the posterior saccate part, devoted to the production of eggs, outside the roots. A new generation is produced every few weeks. These nematodes are devoured by another nematode shown in figure 20, a beneficial species, which hunts and devours this citrus parasite. This is a lateral view of a fullgrown male. The spear is usually very inconspicuous-always deteriorated. Note also the deteriorated median bulb, sometimes apparently absent. In the male, in contrast with the female, the anus develops. a, Lip region; b, spear; c, 3-bulbed base of spear; d, esophageal lumen; e, median esophageal bulb; f, nerve ring; g, cardiac esophageal bulb; h, beginning of the intestine; i, terminus; i, large intestinal granule; k, small intestinal granule; l, anus; m, spicula; n, excretory pore; o, spermatocyte; p, vas deferens: q. spermatozoon.

mestic species, and doubtless every wild, has a number of specific nematode parasites sapping its vitality.

THE VAST NUMBER OF UNKNOWN SPECIES.

The number of nematode species in existence must be enormously greater than is commonly supposed. Since most species of vertebrates are infested by one or more species of nematode, and with relatively few exceptions a given parasitic nematode infests but one host, it may be estimated that more than 80,000 nematode species infest the forty-odd thousand species of vertebrates. Insects, much infested, will add many thousands of other species. The mollusks, crustaceans, and various groups of worms are also infested, and investigation continues from these sources also to augment the number of known species of parasitic nematodes.

Numerous as the parasitic species are, it is certain that the species of nematodes living free in soil and in water far outnumber them; and the number of free-living individuals is



FIG. 34.—This little serpentine monster, the dagger nematode (Xiphinema) is able to coil itself about the rootlets of plants in such a way as to use efficiently its very long and slender spear, which can be thrust far into the root tissues. The spear is clearly to be seen; its more slender anterior part is exserted. This species is found in all parts of the United States and is a representative of an injurious genus, found in many parts of the world. It is able to pierce tougher and more corky roots than those nematodes which are armed with less formidable spears.

so great that they probably constitute one of the important mechanical as well as biological factors in soil and in the bottoms of lakes and oceans. Estimates based on the writer's investigations show that in the upper foot of an arable soil the number of nematodes runs to thousands of millions per acre. Aquatic nematode species exist in enormous numbers in both fresh and salt water, while the number of individuals is past computation.

Of course these large figures are the results of estimates, but the estimates are based carefully on past experience, and they are, moreover, being continually justified by investigations now in progress. A short time ago the writer agreed to prepare a nematode chapter for a college textbook devoted to North American fresh-water organisms. On looking up the literature it was found that there was not a single adequately described North American species of fresh-water nematode. There was little time or opportunity to search specially for these fresh-water forms, and yet it has been possible in this short time to discover about a hundred hitherto unknown kinds representing 30 genera. This ready assembling of so many different genera and species



FIG. 35.—The banded nematode, Desmoscolex, one of a rather extensive group, not until 1889 definitely recognized by anyone as nematodes. Their internal organization is concealed beneath a rather opaque cuticle. Their internal anatomy is of a typical nematode character. is fresh evidence of the enormous abundance and multifarious nature of these organisms. No doubt there is a horde of species awaiting discovery in North American waters.

Something over a year ago a new genus of nematodes was found attacking citrus roots in California. Within six months this discovered citrus newly parasite was located in such widely scattered regions as California, Florida, Spain, Malta, Palestine, and Australia, showing, incidentally, that the parasite is one that has probably long

infested the citrus species and inadvertently has been carried in nursery stock to many different parts of the world from its original habitat, which is most probably the original habitat of the cultivated citrus species themselves—southern Asia. Here again the investigations have disclosed a large number of new species, toward 100 in fact, assembled on and about the roots of citrus trees in various parts of the world.

These are not isolated and exceptional instances, but typical cases. It will be seen, therefore, that it is something more than a mere reconnoissance that leads me to the conclusion that over nine-tenths of the nematode species still remain unknown, a greater disproportion between the known and the unknown than exists in almost any other class of organisms.

There must be hundreds of thousands of species of nematodes. Of this vast number only a very few thousand have been investigated, and of these, comparatively few with any degree of thoroughness.

WONDERFUL VARIETY OF HABITAT.

Not the least interesting thing about nematodes is the astounding variety of their habitats. They occur in arid deserts and at the bottoms of lakes and rivers, in the waters of hot springs and in polar seas where the temperature is constantly below the freezing point of fresh water. They were thawed out alive from Antarctic ice in the far south by members of the Shackleton expedition. They occur at enormous depths in Alpine lakes and in the ocean. As parasites of fishes they traverse the seas; as parasites of birds they float across continents and over high mountain ranges. Their eggs and larvæ, invariably of microscopic size, are carried from place to place by an exceedingly great variety of agencies. Almost any visible thing that moves is capable of transporting nematode eggs or larvæ. Sometimes the eggs and larvæ are so resistant to dryness that long after conversion to dust they may revive when moistened. This revival of "mummified" nematodes may take place after as long a period as a quarter of a century.

Nematodes are found in queer places. The wildest imagination could hardly outpicture the facts. One species is found in the vermiform appendix of man; another has its adult form only in the seeds of wheat. A third form occurs in the felt mats on which the Germans are accustomed to set their mugs of beer, and has thus far been found in no other habitat. On the feet of birds and insects the eggs, larvæ, and adults of certain nematodes are carried to the tops of the tallest trees. The sour sap issuing from the wounds of a tree, often many feet above the ground, not infrequently contains nematodes that are specific to the wounds of that particular kind of tree. The tap water of even well-conducted cities often contains nematodes, for nematodes are common in the potable water of our lakes and rivers.

ABUNDANCE OF NEMATODES.

Nematodes, therefore, are extremely widespread, and to be found in most unexpected places; they are also inconceivably abundant. We little realize the enormous numbers in which these organisms exist all about us, wherever we go, by land or sea. A thimbleful of mud from the bottom of river or ocean may contain hundreds of specimens. The nematodes from a 10-acre field, if arranged single file, would form a procession long enough to reach around the world. A lump of soil no larger than the end of one's thumb may contain hundreds, even thousands of nematodes, and yet present few points that would distinguish it from a lump of soil destitute of these organisms. As nematodes are usually very prolific, a single female ofttimes producing thousands of eggs, the number of eggs vastly exceeds that of the adults.

In short, if all the matter in the universe except the nematodes were swept away, our world would still be dimly recognizable, and if, as disembodied spirits, we could then investigate it, we should find its mountains, hills, vales, rivers, lakes, and oceans represented by a film of nematodes. The location of towns would be decipherable, since for every massing of human beings there would be a corresponding massing of certain nematodes. Trees would still stand in ghostly rows representing our streets and highways. The location of the various plants and animals would still be decipherable, and, had we sufficient knowledge, in many cases even their species could be determined by an examination of their erstwhile nematode parasites.

We must therefore conceive of nematodes and their eggs as almost omnipresent, as being carried by the wind and by flying birds and running animals; as floating from place to place in nearly all the waters of the earth; and as shipped from point to point throughout the civilized world in vehicles of traffic.

It is interesting to speculate on what would happen if any great group of plants or animals were utterly destroyed, and such a speculation may serve to throw light on the relative or economic importance of the group. We find microscopic plants living in the sea deriving their sustenance from material they find dissolved in the sea water. These microscopic plants become the food of microscopic animals. The microscopic animals become the food of others of larger size, and so the series continues until it culminates in the great monsters of the deep. These in turn when they die may become dissolved in the sea water, and the material that constituted their bodies may again be taken up by the microscopic plants.

Tiny diatomaceous plants derive their sustenance from sea water, nematodes feed upon the diatoms, fishes upon the nematodes. What would happen if any link in such a chain were destroyed? It is quite conceivable that the destruction of the nematodes might partially depopulate the ocean. Could something of this sort possibly happen in the soil if its nematode millions were suddenly destroyed? It is conceivable, but we do not know.

PREVALENT ERRORS WITH REGARD TO NEMATODES.

The prevalent conception of the nematode organization, even that prevalent among scientific men, is full of errors. These errors glare at us even from the pages of practically all our most modern textbooks and encyclopedias. These misconceptions have arisen in a natural way, because our attention has been largely focused upon the parasitic nematodes. These parasitic species are simple in their external structure, and while vastly larger in body than most of the free-living species, are yet so small that the difficulties of dissection are great if not insuperable. Accordingly, studies have been carried on largely with the aid of thin slices made with the microtome, a method both tedious and difficult. Progress has therefore been relatively slow, so that our knowledge even of these deteriorated parasitic species is often unsatisfactory.

It is a general law that parasitic species become more or less degenerate. Depending as they do upon their host for food and other things, they tend to lose the various organs that would be necessary to them if they led a more active existence. Thus it is that we have parasitic insect forms so

degenerate that they possess neither wings nor legs. Their eyes are rudimentary, as are also their antennæ and mouth parts. They are reduced to comparatively motionless wormlike or saccate nearly colorless structures with few of the appendages that form so conspicuous a part of the anatomy





of an ordinary insect.

What would be our conception of the insect group as a whole if our knowledge was largely confined to these simple and degenerate parasitic forms ? It is easy to see

that we should have derived a wholly erroneous conception.

Now, something of this sort has actually happened in the case of nematodes. While the more complicated free-living species

> outnumber the parasitic species ten to one, our knowledge of them has lagged far behind that of the parasites, partly because

FIG. 36.—Head (a) and tail end (b) of two different nematodes, illustrating the complicated anatomy of free-living species. Compare these with the relatively simple parasitic forms shown in figure 37. It is by a study of free-living forms that we shall finally arrive at a just conception of nematode morphology.

they are minute and hidden away in unexplored locations, and partly because of certain difficulties due to such a peculiarly impenetrable cuticle that it is very difficult to prepare satisfactory material for technical examination.

We have seen that the nematode organism is comparatively complex; at the same time it is almost impossible to determine the function of some of the organs because of our inability to make observations under natural conditions. The problem of studying nematode habits and functions is in some respects similar to that which confronts the geologist in his attempts to form mental pictures of organic activities which

took place in remote ages. The geologist must study the relationship of more or less fragmentary elements in a dead condition, and imagine what would happen if these fragments were endowed with life similar to that which comes within our experience in the world as at present constituted. It is somewhat the same with the nematode anatomy. We have before us for study a structure, sometimes living, but more often dead, whose functions we have to surmise on the basis of analogous structures which we may fairly assume to act in accordance with methods to which we are accustomed in a general way, in living organisms of another character and belong-, ing to another group.

Small wonder then that such conceptions as we have should often prove grossly incorrect. The prevalent idea of the external appearance of a nematode, even among scientists, it is safe



FIG. 37.-Two parasitic nematodes, a male and a female. These are typical parasitic nematodes and represent the ordinary conception of what a nematode is like. We have been misled with regard to nematodes; they are by no means so simple in form as a consideration of the parasitic species would lead us to believe. Compare this illustration with figure 36 which represents free-living nematode forms. The complicated free-living forms more nearly represent the typical nematode structure.

to say, approximates to that illustrated in figure 37. As a matter of fact, however, the illustrations on the opposite page are quite as near the truth.

WHAT NEMATODES ARE LIKE; HOW TO FIND AND RECOG-NIZE FREE-LIVING NEMATODES.

In answer to the question "What are nematodes like," we can only reply that they do not closely resemble any other organisms. While they constitute a group more widely spread than almost any other, and are numbered by countless millions, their relationship to the rest of the organic world yet remains more or less of a riddle. We may compare

the nematodes to an isolated oceanic island whose relation to distant islands and continents remains problematical.

Studying the affinities of insects, for example, it is easy to show that they are more or less closely related to spiders, centipedes, and crabs, and these in their turn to other great groups. Not so with nematodes. There is not another group, great or small, to which they are known to be very closely allied. This isolation in the present organic world is one of the facts that may be used as an argument for the great antiquity of the group. Nevertheless, there is an entire



FIG. 38.—Head end of the double-digger, or Diploscapter. This remarkable nematode hooks its way through the soil and tissues of diseased plants by means of a solid piece of armor on the front of its head. With this weapon it can chop both ways, first down and then up. The extreme positions of the digging apparatus are shown by the dotted portions of the figure. With each swing of its head the double-digger hooks its way forward.

absence of evidence that would afford direct proof of great antiquity. No fossil nematodes are known.

HOW TO RECOGNIZE NEMATODES.

Practically any collection of soil, or any collection of sand, mud, or débris from standing or from running water, in any part of the world, will yield, on examination in water with a hand lens, small slender organisms which whip themselves about by means of more or less rapid contortions of the whole body. This type of movement identifies them as nematodes and differs from the movements of other small organisms of similar form in that, though often vigorous and conspicuous,

476

Nematodes and Their Relationships.

it is in one plane only, the dorso-ventral plane of the body, and in that, unlike worms, the length and proportions of the body meanwhile remain unchanged. In a clear liquid, moreover, this thrashing about seems, as a rule, to produce no locomotion; the nematode remains in about the same spot unless it works its way in among vegetation, débris, or particles of soil. It needs the friction of external matter of this character in order to accomplish its normal locomotion. When quieted by stupefying, or killing, these nematodes are seen to be more or less cylindrical, unsegmented organisms, without locomotor appendages.

COLOR.

An internal examination shows that nearly all the tissues · of nematodes are comparatively colorless and transparent, and whatever decided color the body possesses is usually confined to the intestinal region. The cells of the intestine itself are sometimes colored by the presence in them of organic granules of a vellowish, greenish, or brownish tint, and the middle portion of the body is thus made to appear yellowish, greenish, or The color of the ingested food, showing through brownish. the tissues of the body, is also sometimes a color factor; and as the food varies in color from nearly black to colorless, so the nematode is correspondingly tinted. Species feeding on the juices of plants are usually nearly colorless, e. g., species of Tylenchus and Aphelenchus. A considerable number of species possess colored eye spots near the head. In some species the œsophagus contains yellowish or brownish pigment.

HOW NEMATODES WORK.

In answer to the questions How is it that nematodes do so much harm? What are their methods? How do they work? it may be said they bite, puncture, gnaw, suck, and dig as do insects, for instance, but they do all these things with organs of an entirely different character.

The mechanisms with which they accomplish some of these results are very interesting and the study of them constitutes an important branch of nematology. Some of the forms are shown in figures 38, 39, 40, etc. When a nematode is possessed of definite jaws, these are usually three in number,

instead of two, as in most other animal groups, and act radially somewhat as do the jaws of a lathe chuck. The jaws are moved by relatively powerful muscles and often are armed with ferocious looking teeth, which can be used in a very effective way. (Figs. 42 and 44.)

Sometimes the mouth is armed with a sting or spear with which to puncture the tissues of the victim, preparatory to sucking away its vital fluids. In such cases, behind the spear and constituting a portion of the gullet, there is a relatively



FIG. 39.-Head end of a spear-bearing nematode, showing how the spear mechanism works. The spear is shown with its acute point located in the midst of the lips, and appears in the illustration to be about one-fourth of an inch long. The spear is a hollow tube, and is connected with a very narrow chitinous duct which passes back through the esophagus and connects with the sucking bulb, which is in reality a kind of force pump. This ellipsoidal sucking bulb is shown near the bottom of the figure. The radiating lines shown in the bulb represent muscular fibers. When these fibers contract they open the central valve of the bulb and thus create a vacuum cavity; this in turn creates suction, which extends forward through the narrow tube just mentioned, and so on to the spear, and hence to the lips. If the lips are placed against a moist surface, such as the rootlet of a plant, and the suction pump be then brought into action, the lips become fastened to the moist surface by suction. The lips being now firmly attached, the spear can be brought into play by the contraction of the muscles attached to its base. These are shown surrounding the spear, and are attached to the base of the spear at one end and extend obliquely forward to the base of the lips, where they have their anterior attachment. The contraction of these relatively powerful muscles drives the spear forward and thrusts its point into the tissues fastened against the lips. The suction of the bulb next causes the fluid contents of the cells pierced by the spear to flow through the spear into the esophagus and thence into the pump, which, being ε force pump, forces it on into the intestine not shown in the figure.

powerful pump or sucking bulb. The nematode applies its lips to the object to be punctured, exerts suction by means of its muscular pump, thus attaching its lips firmly, and then thrusts its spear through the mouth opening so as to puncture or batter down the tissue containing its food, or through which it wishes to pass. (Fig. 39.)

There is a series of remarkable species inhabiting the soils of our meadows and swamps which possess a powerful spear but no pump. They have developed another and very efficient method of using their spears. These little Iotas, as I have called them, are covered with projecting, retrorse scales, or prickles, so that it is with difficulty that they move in any other direction than forward. Every movement of their bodies drives them in a more or less forthright way through the soil. Coming against the root of a plant, their muscular movements push the head firmly against the surface of the root,

FIG. 40.—One of the scaly little monsters frequenting the soils of our meadows and swamps. These are known as lotas. They are covered with retrorse scales, or bristles, so that it is practically impossible for them to move in any other direction than forward. Near the head the remarkably large and powerful spear can be seen through the skin. When, in order to make punctures, this spear is thrust out, the nematode is not pushed backward, because of the friction which its scales offer to surrounding soil particles. There are many kinds of lotas, and all of them appear to be injurious.

so that the spear when thrust forth acts from a well-supported base, namely, the friction of the surface of the body against the surrounding soil material.

Sometimes the secretions or excretions of nematodes are irritating or poisonous, so that their presence in the tissues of animals or plants causes abnormal swellings, or galls. Their presence in the tissues of animals may cause anemia and lassitude, or muscular pains and fever, to say nothing of



special swellings, abscesses, punctured blood vessels, paralysis, and insanity.

RELATIONSHIP TO FERTILITY AND BIOLOGY OF THE SOIL.

Notwithstanding the enormous number of nematodes existing in every acre of arable soil, the actual volume and weight of the material composing the nematodes is relatively small. We can not yet positively assert that they assist materially in the fertilization of the soil; it is, however, easily conceivable, in fact, there is a certain amount of evidence for the idea, that, indirectly, some species may be of considerable importance in maintaining or assisting to maintain a fertile condition. Unfortunately, however, we are here driven to speculate on data that are anything but full and satisfactory.

We must have some general notion, not only of the extent of the nematode population of the soil, but also of its composition, before we shall be in a position to do more than reason in a vague and unsatisfactory way upon this subject. We need hundreds of investigators where now there are none, and if these investigators should devote their time for years to come to this subject alone it would only be after a considerable lapse of time that our knowledge of these nematode legions would be sufficient to enable us to reach reliable general conclusions.

Since the great majority of the nematodes inhabiting the soil are armed with an oral spear, whose sole function, as far as we know, is to puncture other organisms, either animals or plants, presumably plants, we may be strongly convinced that they are on the whole injurious to the roots of the higher plants. In specific cases we have positive proof that this general belief is entirely correct. There are species of spear-bearing nematodes which, by their injurious effects on the roots of plants, cause an annual loss amounting to millions of dollars. It is quite reasonable to suppose that the nematode punctures, even when themselves not a serious setback to the plant that is attacked, nevertheless form a highway for the entrance of other organisms, such as injurious microbes and fungi. In some such way we may account for the enormous mortality of roots. It is an interesting fact that crop plants in what we call good condition are found offtimes to have a large fraction of their roots in a decayed and useless state. In such cases, where a root is destroyed, often the plant throws out another root higher up on the same axis, and in this way continues to derive its nourishment from the soil. If the plant were freed from the necessity of constantly supplying new roots in place of those killed off, to what extent would this release affect the aerial part of the plant?

Cases are on record, and they are increasing in number, in which it has been observed that if the soil be thoroughly sterlized, and therefore freed, among other things, of its nematode population, and be afterwards inoculated with those microorganisms which are known to be necessary to the health of growing plants, plants reared in it flourish remarkably. May not this luxuriance be due to freedom from injurious underground conditions, prominent among which, we may easily imagine, are the attacks of certain nematodes?

Though nematodes are small they are scattered through the soil in countless myriads in such a way that they must constitute an important mechanical factor. From the time they are hatched until death ensues nematodes seem to be in constant motion. There is no evidence that they sleep, and they rarely remain stationary for more than a few seconds at a time, at least under the conditions in which they come to our notice. Such active organisms, existing as they do in every acre of arable soil in thousands of millions, must exert a more or less powerful mechanical influence.

MYSTERIOUS ORGANS—THE AMPHIDS.

Attention has already been called to the fact that nematodes possess organs the use of which we have been unable to guess. The organs which I have called amphids, occurring as rights and lefts like ears, one on either side of the head, are almost universally present in free-living nematodes. These amphids vary remarkably in size and form (fig. 16), but not much in position. Their regular occurrence in such a prominent location makes it exceedingly probable that they serve some important purpose, but what that purpose is we have not yet discovered. It is suggested by one that

75922°—увк 1914—31

they are organs of hearing, and by another that they are breathing organs. It is quite conceivable that they are organs of orientation; that is, organs by which the animal knows what its position is with reference to the action of



482



gravitation—knows when it is right side up. Such organs of orientation occur in higher animals, and are absolutely essential to their well being.

It is possible that the amphids have to do with sensations or functions of which we can form no clear idea. Mark the difficulties that accompany the investigation of such a matter. How are we to inter-

FIG. 41.—Six different forms of the peculiar organs called amphids, almost universally present on the heads of the free-living nematodes. These organs are one of the mysteries of nematology. Nobody knows what they are for. They occur like ears, one on each side near the head end. Sometimes they are of relatively large size.



pret the actions of a nematode when trying to determine its sensations and motives, especially

if, as is not at all impossible, it is capable of sensations so different from our own that we can not even conceive of them? We have no trouble in proving that a dog hears with his ears as we do. Dissection shows the structure of his ears to be closely like that of our own. We observe how he reacts to various sounds—how he pricks up his ears at a familiar call, or how he fails to hear when his ears are in an unhealthy



00000000

state. In these observations we are guided by the dog's actions, which we interpret in accordance with our knowledge of our own feelings and actions under similar circumstances. But how can we prove that a nematode hears? How will it act if it does hear?

It is true we can show after a fashion that nematodes, or at least some of them, feel, see, taste, and smell, though in these last two cases there is some doubt as to particulars; that is to say, they act under appropriate circumstances as we imagine they would act if they could feel, see, taste, and smell somewhat after the human fashion. Moreover, we have located and studied the organs through which these sensations may probably be received. But no one has proved that nematodes hear.

As might be surmised from the fact that nematodes live under such a great variety of conditions, their food is extremely varied. Among the parasites, some feed upon living blood, others upon various animal serums and secretions. The plant parasites feed upon the sap and protoplasm found in the tissues of the host plants. The free-living sorts sometimes feed upon small organisms of various kinds—microbes, algæ, the mycelium and spores of fungi, etc., each species having its own particular preferences. Few are omnivorous. Some species found in mud and slime extract food and nutriment therefrom, somewhat as earthworms do, by swallowing material indiscriminately.

NEMATODES IN TREES; HOW DO THEY GET THERE?

The nematode population of trees is worthy of account. It is not generally known, in fact it is practically unknown, that nematodes are found in trees, even to their very tops. Their microscopic eggs and larvæ are carried thither by currents of air, by insects, by birds, and by climbing animals; or, keeping pace with the growth of the tree, the nematodes may reach these high locations by their own efforts. Each kind of tree may have its own peculiar fauna. It is well known that nematodes are the cause of swellings or galls on the aerial parts of plants as well as on their roots. These galls have been a subject of interest for a long time, and have been described and figured in scientific and horticultural publications for half a century or more, but it is only recently that we have come to understand how extensive is the nematode tree population apart from the producers of galls. The crevices in the bark, the natural clefts in the axils of branches and leaves, all furnish suitable lodgment for nematodes, and the nematodes are there, no doubt often

harmless, beyond doubt also sometimes detrimental. A few examples may be cited to illustrate this fact.

Not long ago I had occasion to examine some diseased apples which grew high up on an old apple tree, some 20 to



Fig. 42.—Front view of a nematode monster which feeds upon others of its kind. The drawing of the head is correct in every particular. The body has been sketched by the artist to assist the imagination in picturing how one of these organisms looks when seen from in front. The artist's conception is undoubtedly very nearly correct. It will be seen that this little monster possesses three jaws, as do most nematodes. This gives to the mouth opening and pharynx of these organisms a peculiar three-angled or three-sided appearance. The jaws are nearly always of practically equal size, although one of them is morphologically equivalent to the other two.

30 feet from the ground. I found the apples infested by nematodes. By experiment I proved that wasps and other insects carried the eggs and larvæ of the nematodes from apple to apple on the tree, and from apples on the ground to others on the The intree. tensity of the infestation may be judged from the fact that a single apple contained nematodes to the number of about 90.000. These different species, some of which were well adapttheir way un-

aided into the apples, that is to say, were armed with mouth parts specially adapted to puncturing and battering down vegetable tissue. Others belonged to genera characterized by the secretion or excretion of material which appears to aid in dissolving vegetable tissues.

484

Nematodes and Their Relationships.

On another occasion, an examination of the "sap" issuing from a wound in a chestnut tree, high above the ground, showed that this liquid or semiliquid substance was swarming with nematodes.

Finally, an examination of galls of unknown origin on a chestnut oak showed them to be regularly inhabited by no

less than four different species of nematodes, some of which were of so peculiar

FIG. 43.-The devastating nematode of the onion and other bulbous crops (Tylenchus devastatrix). This nematode has caused enormous damage in the Netherlands and other parts of Europe, where it attacks the onion, hyacinth, and numerous other plants. It has been known for a long time in Europe and Australia; no means have yet been devised by which it can be eradicated. Recently it has been found also in the United States. It is one of the species which puncture the tissues of plants by means of a long, narrow, tubular sting, or spear, located in the mouth. I, A female; II, head of the same more highly magnified, the spear is most clearly shown; III, tail of a male; IV, vulva from below; V, cross section of the neck passing through the sucking bulb; VI, front view of the spicula and accessory parts; VII, cross section through the middle of a female, showing how the body cavity is filled completely by the ovary (w) and the intestine (z). a. Lip region; b, tip of spear; c, medium sucking bulb; d, nerve ring; e, excretory pore; f, muscles for protruding the spear; g, posterior esophageal swelling; h, excretory gland; i, hind end of spear; j, loop in ovary; k, spiculum, or penis; l, muscles for opening the vulva; m, the vulva; n, glandular (?) bodies; o, bursa; p, hind end of ovary; q, uterus containing spermatozoa and a segmenting egg (at r); r, segmenting egg; s, vagina; t, the vulva or female sexual opening; u, blind end of posterior rudimentary ovary; v, intestine, showing its cellular structure; w, cross section of an egg; x, anus; y, wings of the cuticle; z, cross section of the intestine.

a character as to strongly suggest that these galls are their normal habitat.

Such cases, selected more or less at random from those under investigation, are entirely typical and show conclusively that our cultivated and forest trees from their roots to their tops furnish lodgement and food for nematodes peculiar to the situation.

EGGS ALWAYS MICROSCOPIC.

A most striking and important matter connected with the life history of nematodes is the fact that the eggs are always microscopic. There are nematodes which reach a length of



several feet, yet their generative cells are always exceedingly minute, comparable indeed with the spores of fungi, or even with the larger microbes. This fact, together with the enormous number of the eggs, is one of the most important things to remember in connection with sanitary measures directed toward diseases caused by these organisms. We have to remember that they do not necessarily indicate their presence by anything that we can see. One contracts hookworm disease as one contracts typhoid, through an



FIG. 44.—The shark nematode, Selachinema. This rapacious little monster preys on other nematodes. The specimen from which the illustration was derived may have had an accident, as one of the jaws, that on the far side, appears to be missing. This nematode has been called the shark nematode on account of the rows of ferocious-looking, backward-pointing teeth with which its jaws are armed.

invisible agency composed of microscopic elements capable of causing the disease. A field of beets, onions, or potatoes becomes infested, it may be, from invisible eggs or larvæ planted with the seed. The eggs or larvæ of nematodes may be transferred in all the numberless ways rendered possible by their microscopic size.

The difference between the size of the parent and its offspring is often very great. There is a little nematode com-

mon in our brooks and ponds that has a total length of about a millimeter. These little specks are the source of another generation in which each individual is a thousandfold larger than either of its parents.

LENGTH OF LIFE.

How long does a nematode live? Easy to ask, difficult to answer. How long does a vertebrate live? It depends on the vertebrate, whether it be a mouse or an elephant. The

486
nematodes constitute a group probably ten times more numerous in species than the vertebrates, and are correspondingly varied in their size, habits, and life history, and, naturally enough, vary also in the age to which they live. It is certain that the eggs and larvæ of some species of nematodes will remain alive under suitable conditions for a very long time. The larvæ of certain nematode parasites have been known to remain alive in a dry condition for approximately a quarter of a century.

Certain forms parasitic in animals require more than one host for their full development. They enter the first host in a larval condition and after certain changes encyst themselves in the tissues of this host and enter upon a period of quiescence, which no doubt may last in some cases for several years, though just how long we do not know. These encysted larval forms will not continue their development until their primary host is devoured by a second host, as a wolf devours a pig, or a bird an insect. On entering the second host the encysted larval forms develop, produce young, and die. Here we have a series of events which normally occupies several years and represents the lifetime of the parasite.

REMARKABLE INSTINCTS.

Certain other nematodes escape from their host in the form of eggs or larvæ and in this condition will remain alive for a considerable length of time, it may be months, it may be years. During all this time they undergo very little change. and yet upon the arrival of suitable conditions they immediately spring into activity. I may illustrate this point by an account of experiments I once made with eggs of the ordinary Oxvuris of man. The eggs of this parasite on leaving the host often contain immature embryos. These embryos will grow slowly in water and other liquid media, but will not rupture the egg shell. I endeavored to assist their escape by cultivation in a great variety of artificial digestive fluids such as pepsin, but all to no purpose; and vet when these eggs were placed in a capsule and swallowed under such conditions that the action of the stomach would cause the capsule to be promptly supplied with fresh normal human digestive fluid at body temperature, the eggs hatched in a



FIG. 45.—This savage little monster is found on or near the roots of plants, where it moves about actively thrusting its head hither and thither with an almost inconceivably rapid motion, hunting other nematodes, which it swallows whole. In the individual illustrated the remains of several victims can be seen through the rather transparent walls of the intestine. This is a beneficial nematode, the Mononchus papillatus of Bastian. It has been found feeding upon Tylenchulus, another nematode injurious to the roots of citrus trees. The illustration shows a rather immature femal especimen which has been feeding upon Tylenchulus semipenetrans. The remains of three or four Tylenchuli are to be seen in the intestine. a, Two of the innervated papillæ existing on one of the six mobile lips; b, one of the lips; c, dorsal pharyngeal tooth; d one of the three longitudinal chitinous ribs of the pharynx; e, pharyngeal cavity; f, esophagus; g, muscular layer of the body; h, cuticle; i, one of the cells of the intestine; j, j, j, or al spears of three ingested Tylenchuli, the spear in the intestine near the vulva is accompanied by an undigested portion of the lining of the esophagus of the Tylenchulus; k, nerve ring; l, blind end of the anterior ovary, which, being behind the intestine, shows less clearly than the posterior ovary; m, nucleus of one of the intestinal cells; n, vulva; e, anus; p, terminus; q, anal muscles; r, rectum; s, cardia; t, spicula of an ingested male Tylenchulus, the outlines of the undigested tail end of which are to be seen faintly.

few hours. So it is with many other nematode parasites. They promptly recognize the specific conditions necessary to their further development, but until those particular conditions are fulfilled they remain quiescent. These quiescent periods, of course, are an element in the age to which the individual lives. Thus it is that while some nematodes mature and die in a very few days, others may live for many years.

Attention has already been called to the fact that nematodes feed upon other organisms. In this way they may be either injurious or beneficial: the net result from an economic standpoint depends on whether the organism devoured is itself harmful or beneficial. There is a nematode that feeds upon the roots of citrus trees and is therefore injurious. (Fig. 33.) Living in the orchards with this first and harmful species is a second nematode that regularly feeds upon the first, and is therefore beneficial, doing the orange grower a good service. (Fig. 45.) Many injurious insects passing a part of their life in the soil, such as wireworms and cutworms. are infested with nematode parasites. These parasites in their larval stage inhabit the soil, where they are picked up by succeeding generations of the insect larvæ. In so far as these parasitic nematodes are injurious to the cutworms they are helpful to the farmer.

Some nematodes therefore are beneficial. We do not know much about these beneficial species, but enough to render the prospect alluring. We know that some of them, especially those living in the soil and in water, feed upon baneful microorganisms such as injurious microbes and fungi, and even upon other nematodes. Doubtless the relationships among these various microorganisms of the soil are as varied and intricate as we know them to be among larger organisms.

Of course it does not necessarily follow that because a soilinhabiting nematode feeds upon injurious microorganisms it is, therefore, necessarily beneficial, for while feeding on the micro-organisms it may be the means of transporting and transplanting them, just as birds and animals feeding upon obnoxious plants may become a means of distributing the seeds. The subject is full of intricacies.

NEMATOLOGY.

The foregoing fragmentary sketch may indicate to the student, as well as to the general reader, the vast number of nematodes that exist, the enormous variety of their forms, and the intricate and important relationships they bear to mankind and the rest of creation. They offer an exceptional field of study; and probably constitute almost the last great organic group worthy of a separate branch of biological science comparable with entomology—nematology.

EDIBLE SNAILS.

By E. W. RUST,

Entomological Inspector, Federal Horticultural Board.¹

INTRODUCTION.

FOR many years edible snails have had a place upon the menu in various European countries, but it is in France that the greatest numbers are now consumed. It must not be inferred, however, that snail culture originated in the above-named country, for Pliny the elder tells us that a certain Fulvius Hirpinus first instituted snail preserves at Tarquinium, a Tuscan city not far from Rome, about 50 B. C. There the mollusks were guarded in inclosures and fed on meal and boiled wine until fat enough for use. By a combination of careful breeding, selection, and feeding, very satisfactory results were attained, and we read that the snails attained a large size and very agreeable flavor.

During the growth and expansion of the Roman Empire snail culture was introduced into the countries subjugated by the Cæsars, and in this manner the industry became established in Switzerland and in the provinces bordering on the Danube, where it was still flourishing during the Middle Ages. From Ulm, in the Swabian Alps, 10,000,000 snails were annually sent down the Danube to Vienna and the Austrian convents, where they were eaten under the name of fish during the lenten season.

With the ultimate extinction of cheap water transportation this market was lost, but the industry still persisted through many vicissitudes until a safe and sure market was found in France during the latter part of the eighteenth century. The introduction of the mollusks as an article of food was in the following rather haphazard manner: French wine merchants, who yearly went to Burgundy on buying trips, were compelled to stop at the posting inns, where they

¹ The writer wishes to express his appreciation of the advice of Dr. Paul Bartsch, curator of marine invertebrates at the U. S. National Museum, who not only corrected the manuscript of this paper, but also offered valuable suggestions during the preparation of the same.

were frequently served with snails gathered from the surrounding vinevards. This unusual but savory dish was commented upon by the merchants when they returned to their homes, and thus interest was gradually aroused to the point where one of the coaches plying between Paris and Auxerre was commissioned to bring the first baskets of snails to the French capital. About 1850 the trade in Burgundy snails was greatly stimulated by the advent of the railroad, for they could now be transported to greater distances while still fresh. Thus new markets were developed in France, Italy, and Spain. Snaileries have become common in many sections of central and southern France, northern and central Italy, Spain, throughout the Swabian Jura, Wurttemberg, Baden, and in the villages of the Danube and Lauter Valleys, the town of Gutenstein alone having gardens in which several million snails are fattened annually.

SPECIES EATEN.

In most critical markets the large, white snail (Helix (Helicogena) pomatia Linn.) is the one preferred, but the common garden snail (Helix [Helicogena] aspersa Muller) and the wood snail (Helix [Tachea] nemoralis Muller) are also widely eaten, especially by the poorer people, as they do not command the price which the former bring. In addition, Helix (Tachea) hortensis Muller, Helix (Helicogena) aperta Muller, Helix (Euparypha) pisana Muller, Helix (Otala) vermiculata Muller, and Helix (Otala) lactea Muller are all eaten to a greater or less extent in different places, while in and around Marseille Helix (Helicogena) melanostoma Draparnaud is preferred by the epicures. In Italy the edible snail is generally Helix (Helicogena) lucorum Linn., and in Mexico H. aspersa has been acclimatized, and an indigenous species (Lysinoe humboldtiana buffoniana Pfeiffer) is also eaten. In England a few snails are consumed, generally *H. pomatia* or *H. aspersa*, which latter is believed to be native to the island; while in the United States of America such snails as are found upon the market are usually *H. pomatia*, which are brought over from Europe alive in barrels and casks.

Edible Snails.

CLASSIFICATION AND GENERAL HABITS.

Many edible snails belong to the family Helicidæ, which are land snails, and most of those eaten by Caucasian races belong to the genus Helix. All are hermaphrodites, mostly with vegetarian tastes (but sometimes eating animal substances), found in woods, fields, gardens, cellars, old walls, or upon open plains, mountain sides, by bodies of fresh water, and near the sea. Most of the species are nocturnal and prefer shade and moisture, but some few emerge from their hiding places by day and seem to delight in the sun-All are protected by a spirally coiled, more or less shine. globular shell, into which they can retire as occasion demands, the mouth of which may be sealed by a film or epiphragm excreted by the mantle. Furthermore, the body is protected by an exudation of slime, which serves not only to exclude the air, thus preventing a fatal amount of evaporation, but may also act as a deterrent to foes, such as birds and small mammals, which might otherwise prey upon them.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PRINCIPAL EDIBLE SPECIES.

(Helix [Helicogena] pomatia Linn.)

Body obtusely rounded in front, narrowing gradually behind to a slender tip, yellowish-gray, sometimes with a brownish cast, covered throughout with large oval, pale yellow granulations between which the dermis shows grayish; mantle (that part of the mollusk which secretes the shell and covers the visceral cavity) furnished on its upper part with three prominent, fleshy lobes; tentacles (feelers) two in number, long and cylindrical; eye-stalks two, each with a small globular eye at the end; foot (part of the body on which snails travel) large, broad, rounded in front and obtusely pointed behind.

Shell globular, thick, strong; whorls (turns of shell) four or five in number, well rounded, separated by a narrow suture (the line of junction between the whorls); umbilicus (perforation at base of shell) narrow and small; aperture (mouth of shell) nearly round; outer lip thick, usually reddish-brown inside, inner lip merely a callus covering the preceding whorl. In coloration the shell may be yellowishwhite to brownish; usually banded with four to five brown spirals on the last turn, which may converge and meet on the earlier whorls, where only two or three may be apparent. Sometimes this darker coloration becomes diffused and may cover more or less of the entire surface of the shell.

LIFE HISTORY.

As there is a great similarity in the life histories and general habits of all the edible Helicidæ, we may avoid unnecessary repetition by following the most important commercial species (*H. pomatia*) in its course of development.

During June or July the eggs, some 50 or 60 in number, are deposited. They are about the size of a small pea and greatly resemble mistletoe berries both in color and in consistency, or, as some one has remarked, might be mistaken for a homeopathic pill. A thin, globular, calcareous shell incloses the gelatinous portion of the eggs, which are laid in a cluster in a hole in the earth which the snail digs with its foot. Hatching takes place in about 20 days, and when the young emerge they immediately make their first meal from the pellicle of the egg just vacated. The shell of the newly hatched snail is very delicate and easily injured, but soon hardens in the air. Growth is very rapid, and by autumn they have attained the size of a large marble and are quite fat and well conditioned, as they have been eating ravenously preparatory to a long winter's fast. When the first frosts come these snails become inactive, almost cease eating, and congregate in masses. Then each one digs a hole with its foot just large enough to accommodate the shell, and after lining this hole with dead leaves and slime from its mantle, the mollusk turns the mouth of its shell upward and closes the aperture with a thick calcareous lid called an epiphragm, which is excreted by the mantle. Thus protected from the elements all bodily functions are suspended, and the inmate passes the winter in a torpid With the advent of warm weather in the spring condition. the epiphragm is thrown off and growth recommences. Copulation takes place during May or June and a few days later the eggs are laid. Although the snail is now sexually mature, it may not reach its maximum size until the second summer, when it should be from $1\frac{1}{2}$ to $2\frac{1}{4}$ inches in height

and vary from creamy white to dark brown, either with or without stripes. The natural life of H. pomatia is about 6 or 8 years, and eggs are produced every summer.

COMMERCIAL PRODUCTION.

In Europe, when snails are raised commercially, they are placed in inclosures called "pens," "parks," "gardens," or "farms," prepared somewhat after the following manner, a plot from 25 to 30 feet square being sufficient for about 10,000 snails: A piece of moist calcareous soil is selected, a hillside being preferable because well drained, and calcareous ground chosen because the snails need plenty of lime in shell building. Around this plot a substantial wire fence a foot or two high is built as follows: A trench about a foot deep is dug around the edge of the proposed inclosure and at the corners substantial posts are planted and other intermediate posts are placed as necessity demands. These are now connected by a solid base of boards or by a cement wall built in the This base extending below the surface of the surtrench. rounding ground is to prevent the snails escaping below the fence. The base, if of wood, should also extend a few inches above the ground, in order that wire netting may be tacked to it, as described later. If cement is used, the wall should extend to the level of the surrounding ground, and on this a wooden stringer should be placed, to which the wire may be fastened. Next, the posts at the top are connected by wooden stringers heavy enough to give rigidity to the whole structure. To this framework wire netting may now be fastened, using a mesh small enough to prevent the snails crawling through, yet so large that they can not climb over. The trench may then be filled up and leveled off, so as to conform to the rest of the inclosure, the surface of which is then strewn with from 2 to 4 inches of moss or chaff, into which the snails can burrow during unfavorable weather. Sometimes bushes are planted in the inclosure or piles of brush are so arranged as to afford dry and airy shelter during light showers, or shade when the sun is too fervid. It is also advisable to build small roof-like shelters about 6 by 3 feet. under which the mollusks can congregate in order to escape either heat or cold. The pens should be traversed by walks,

so that all parts are readily accessible for the scattering of food or for cleaning. The latter is essential, as during damp weather the moss and bits of food become covered with slime and excrement, or putrify, and are sources of infection, which causes the loss of many of the snails through disease. Really, the best method is to have the snail garden divided into at least two sections, to be used alternately, one section to be thoroughly cleaned and aired while the other is in use. Overcrowding should be avoided, and during hot weather the moss should be sprinkled several times a day in order to insure the right degree of moisture, which is essential to the snail's good health.

The crowded condition of European countries is responsible for the above-described intensive system of snail culture, and it is equally applicable to the more densely populated sections of the United States, but in the Southern and Western States of our Union overcrowding fortunately does not exist as yet, and farms are usually of more ample proportions than those of the recognized snail-producing countries. In view of this fact, snail growing may be a very simple matter in most parts of the United States, for all that is required is a bushy hillside, or, preferably, a limestone bluff near water and partially covered with vegetation, and a few snails with which to stock the preserve. They will require no further attention, as they do not wander far and are perfectly capable of obtaining both food and shelter from the wild plants which cover their domain. There they will multiply, and every farmer might not only have his own supply to replace (at least in part) the widely used oyster, but might also supply his less fortunate city neighbor, with profit to both.

In Europe the peasants go out into the woods, fields, and vineyards as soon as the snails appear in the spring and collect them for the snail farmers. For this work they receive from 40 to 80 cents per thousand snails, and, as one person can gather only 1,000, or with good fortune, 1,500 per day, it can be readily seen that the work can scarcely be classed as very profitable.

As the snails are gathered they are taken to the snail farmers, who buy according to the prevailing price in Paris.

During this handling the active, well-nourished, and consequently tender and juicy mollusks are easily damaged and may die of their bruises or from confinement, so the careful snail grower avoids purchasing those with bruised, battered, or cracked shells. Even after the snails have been placed upon the moss in the inclosures, danger is not passed, for they soon congregate next the fence, where they form piles so high that the topmost ones may crawl over and escape. Even if the fence is too high for this, the massing is very injurious to the snails at the bottom, for they are either starved, smothered, or die from becoming overheated or fouled with excrement and slime. Therefore they must be redistributed over the moss every little while, and this again is a source of loss, for in the process some are crushed. or killed outright, while other shells are broken, thus retarding growth while repairs are made, and, if the injury is extensive. unfavorable weather, disease, or parasites may cause death. Sudden changes of temperature are also very disastrous to these soft-bodied creatures, and many are overtaken in autumn by frost before they can bury themselves, so whenever sudden cold snaps occur moss or blankets should be spread over the snails as a protection when practicable.

FOOD.

Many kinds of food have been tried on the snail farms, but the usual diet consists of cabbage, lettuce, endive, chopped kohlrabi, dandelion leaves, or potatoes. In some snaileries bran mash is given, and fruit, when very cheap, can be fed to advantage, as it is greatly relished by all snails. Sometimes a few aromatic herbs are planted in the inclosure or scattered with the other food, and it is claimed that they greatly improve the flavor of the mollusks. As snails feed mostly at night, it is advisable to distribute their rations well over the snailery just after dusk, at which time the inmates emerge in greatest numbers. Their sense of smell being very acute, the snails soon find their food and attack it by means of the tongue, or radula, which is ribbon-shaped and beset with rows of hundreds of sharp teeth of microscopic size. Care must be taken that the snails do not over-

75922°—увк 1914—32

497

Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

eat, for if they are allowed too much of some favorite food they will gormandize to such an extent that fermentation sets in and the resultant gases distend or rupture the tender gut and death ensues.

HIBERNATION.

After feeding all summer the snails become very fat and of a semitransparent greenish-white color, much like the cabbage on which they have fed. But with the approach of autumn they grow listless, quit feeding, and, in the free state, burrow 4 or 5 inches into the soil, where they seal themselves up by forming a calcareous epiphragm across the mouth of the shell. In the gardens, however, the snails are prevented from going into the ground by its hardness, so all they can do is to burrow down into the moss, from which they are easily raked out when wanted. Often they are taken out early in the season and stored on travs in a cool warehouse until wanted for market, to which they are shipped while still in the dormant state: bringing from \$1.50 to \$3.50 or more per thousand, according to their abundance. . In this condition they can be kept for months, without deterioration: in fact, snails have been known to live for 3 or 4 years Some, however, possibly on account of imwithout food. proper nourishment or other weakness, never form more than a thin, fragile film across the aperture of the shell, and so must be used comparatively soon, as they are of a perishable nature. These "glass snails," as they are called, together with the "runners," which never form an epiphragm, bring only about \$2 per thousand, on account of their limited keeping qualities.

MARKETING.

As is well known, Paris is the greatest of all snail markets, but it is really surprising to learn that more than 200 millions of snails are disposed of during a season, which is of the same duration as our own oyster season—September to April. Another authority says that 50 tons of snails are consumed daily in Paris alone when trade is at its best.

Commercially, only two kinds of snails are recognized in the French market, the "large white Bourgogne" and the "small gray." The marketing season is also divided into

498

two parts, the first from April 15 until June, during which time the snails are gathered in field and vineyard after rain or early in the morning. These snails are, of course, in the active stage and perishable; therefore they must be disposed of rapidly, and do not bring such high prices as do those sold in the fall. Those which go to the great Central Market (Halles Centrales) are packed in well-ventilated cases, sacks, or baskets, each holding from 500 to 2,000 snails. A thriving business is also done at this season by push-cart peddlers, and, owing to the enormous numbers which are brought in during this time, prices are very low, "large whites" bringing only \$1.50 to \$2 per thousand, while the same quantity of "small grays" may sometimes be had for 40 or 50 cents.

The second season lasts from September to May, and is divided into two parts, the first of which embraces September and half of October. Most of the "glass" snails appear during this time, for they were gathered in the spring and were kept in pens, but without much care, so that through weakness, neglect, or unnatural conditions the epiphragm did not fully develop.

Of course, they must be used within a short time, but owing to the great demand at this season of the year they bring a good price. Most of them are sold by private contract to grocers, restaurants, wine merchants, etc., and bring from \$2.50 to \$3 per thousand for "large whites" or about 75 cents or \$1 per thousand for "small grays." For the rest or last half of the second season (October 15 to May), the trade is supplied from the hibernating specimens which have been reared on the snail farms. Prices are now a little lower for "large whites," which bring only from \$1.75 to \$2 per thousand; but the price of "small grays" remains about \$1 per thousand.

PREPARATION FOR THE TABLE.

In the United States the popular idea seems to be that all French people eat snails quite often, but such is far from being the case, for the French regard the snail in much the same light as we Americans do the oyster, and, in fact, it is just as nutritious, containing, as it does, about 17 per cent nitrogenous matter. It is not a national dish, however, but a national delicacy—one to be partaken of perhaps as an entrée at 30 or 40 cents the plate when one goes to dine at some smart café—one to be washed down with wine while the orchestra plays softly. A luxury, a "tidbit," if you wish, but scarcely a staple, and never to be mentioned in the same breath as beefsteak and onions.

At one of the best Parisian restaurants where snails are made a specialty the daily consumption often totals 5,000 of the best "large whites," and at numbers of the less select places as many as 10,000 or 12,000 of the cheaper grades are eaten during the 24 hours. However, there is as great a range in price as in the flavor of the snails, so if 30 cents per plate seems a trifle extravagant, one may go to a pushcart on the street and buy them raw for 6 or 7 cents a dozen, and they may be prepared at home in any one of the many appetizing ways so much in vogue with the French chefs.

In Burgundy most of the snails are cooked before being sent to market, so when the demand for the mollusks begins in the autumn the grower goes to his warehouse and the trays of dormant snails are taken down. Women next examine each animal, rejecting the dead ones and removing the epiphragms from the living. Next the shells are brushed to remove any dirt and then placed in flowing water, where they are stirred around by a man with a spade until thoroughly clean.

During this time a large caldron of salt water has been prepared, and in this about 10,000 snails are boiled at a time. The meat is now removed, reduced to a paste, seasoned with finely chopped parsley, chervil, and shallots, and placed between two thin slices of unsalted butter. Meanwhile the shells have been cleaned and dried, and with this mixture they are now stuffed, and the "Burgundy snails" are ready to be shipped off to the private consumer in wooden boxes and baskets of 50, 100, and 200, or to the shops and restaurants in lots of from 500 to a couple of thousand or more.

Another favorite receipt, very similar to the last, is as follows: First the snails are boiled in the shell for 5 minutes, after which they are extracted and thoroughly cleaned. Then they are boiled for 2 hours or more until thoroughly tender. They may now be left in this state, or, if desired, may be thoroughly minced. Make a paste of butter, a considerable quantity of good olive oil, chopped parsley to taste, and a small quantity of finely minced eschalot. Thoroughly cleanse the shells by boiling and scrubbing until nearly white, and then stuff these with the prepared snail meat, closing the aperture with a plug of the butter paste above referred to. In this condition they can be kept for some time, if refrigerated, and all that remains to be done before serving is to heat them for about 5 minutes over a hot fire, which literally broils the meat in the butter paste. The shells are served upon a silver platter and the edible part picked out with a two-pronged fork. Thus served, snails make a delectable entrée, especially if a light wine accompanies the course.

Another favorite dish is escargots (snails) á la Bordelaise; simply a combination of the cooked snail meats, garlic, butter, and red wine. For those who find the above too rich, a body of toasted crackers or bread crumbs can be added, and the whole baked together, using just enough butter and wine to bring about the right consistency.

During recent years the great demand for snails has led to a number of imitations, and many are the deceptions practiced. For instance, the snail grower picks up and cleans all the old shells which have accumulated during the summer. These he sends to Paris, where they bring about 25 cents per thousand at cheap restaurants, etc., where they are stuffed with a mixture of a little snail flesh, lots of liver, some butter, and plenty of herbs to disguise the mixture. The result is sold for "genuine Burgundy snails."

PRESENT STATUS OF THE INDUSTRY.

Even though the market for snails is still so great in Europe, snail gathering and snail farming do not seem to be at all profitable over there, and in many localities where they were formerly abundant the mollusks can no longer be obtained, even many eminent French authorities admitting that "snails as an edible commodity trade a good deal on their ancient fame." Only a short time ago one of the most widely read agricultural papers of France was asked if the rearing of edible snails was economically possible, and the answer was, "We respond without hesitation, no."

POSSIBILITIES OF THE INDUSTRY IN THE UNITED STATES.

In the United States snails are often stocked by the fish markets of our larger cities, where they have a limited sale among the foreigners, but comparatively few native-born Americans have ever tasted them, know of their preparation as food, or even consider them as a fit article of diet. Those sold in our markets are practically all imported from France, but such should not be the case, as they are an excellent and tasty article of diet, are very easy of culture, and should, therefore, be grown over large sections of our country where they are now unknown.

Almost everyone who has ever eaten well-prepared snails admits their gastronomic worth, both from the point of nutritive value and that of flavor, and there are many States where they could be grown and kept fresh until used where they would prove a very welcome addition to the somewhat limited menu of the locality. In the Mississippi Valley, for instance, where conditions for their cultivation are almost ideal, they could be grown at practically no cost (either in money, time, or labor) and might be used to a great extent in place of ovsters, which they greatly resemble in flavor when properly prepared. Such a practice would be greatly advantageous, for the snail is really preferable as an article of human consumption, as it is cleaner, feeding daintily, as it does, only on the cleanest of vegetable tissues, while ovsters, not being able to move about in search of food, must take what comes to them in the water, are sometimes fattened in water impregnated with bacteria-laden sewage. and thus act as carriers of typhoid and other diseases.

For economic reasons a thorough trial should be given these mollusks, since, as stated above, there are many sections where, if once introduced, they could be left to their own devices and gathered as needed for home consumption or for the market. Not even a fence is needed for their confinement, as they do not stray far from the point of liberation if conditions there are favorable to their development. Thus not only a new food might be added to the American table, but the income derived from the sale of snails might reach a considerable figure in the aggregate.

Edible Snails.

CONCLUSION.

Conditions on this side the Atlantic are so different from those encountered in Europe that where snail culture there may not be markedly profitable it might be made so here. There people depend for their livelihood on the growing of snails, which must be watched, tended, and reared on a small patch of ground; their food must be grown and supplied them regularly, and everything done with much labor, while here in the United States, where we have much unoccupied land, the snails may be turned loose on a limestone bluff, which is good for nothing else, and the profits If the snails multiply sparingly, the profits would reaped. consist only in the added variety to the grower's menu, but if production were on a larger scale, the surplus could be sold when a market had been created by a little judicious publicity, and the profits taken in hard cash. But be the profits great or small at first, a really worthy article of diet would have been introduced, and an industry created which might reach large proportions if the American people could only be educated to see the true worth of the edible snail.



APPENDIX.

AGRICULTURAL COLLEGES IN THE UNITED STATES.¹

College instruction in agriculture is given in the colleges and universities receiving the benefits of the acts of Congress of July 2, 1862, August 30, 1890, and March 4, 1907, which are now in operation in all the States and Territories except Alaska. The total number of these institutions is 68, of which 65 maintain courses of instruction in agriculture. In 23 States the agricultural colleges are departments of the State universities. In 16 States and Territories separate institutions having courses in agriculture are maintained for the colored race. All of the agricultural colleges for white persons and several of those for negroes offer four-year courses in agriculture and its related sciences leading to bachelors' degrees, and many provide for graduate study. About 60 of these institutions also provide special, short, or correspondence courses in the different branches of agriculture, including agronomy, horticulture, animal husbandry, poultry raising, cheese making, dairying, sugar making, rural engineering, farm mechanics, and other technical subjects. Officers of the agricultural colleges engage quite largely in conducting farmers' institutes and various other forms of college extension. The agricultural experiment stations, with very few exceptions, are departments of the agricultural colleges. The total number of persons engaged in the work of education and research in the land-grant colleges and the experiment stations in 1914 was 7,537, the number of students (white) in interior courses in the colleges of agriculture and mechanic arts, 55,129; the total number of students in the whole institutions, 105,803;² the number of students (white) in the four-year college courses in agriculture, 14,246; the total number of students in the state in which it is located. In the excepted cases scholarships are open to promising and energetic students, and in all opportunities are found for some to earn part of their expenses by their own labor. The expenses are from \$125 to \$300 for the school year.

State or Territory.	Name of institution.	Location.	President.
Alabama	Alabama Polytechnic Institute Agricultural School of the Tuskegee Normal and Industrial Institute.	Auburn. Tuskegee Institute	C. C. Thach. B. T. Washington.
	Negroos	Normai	w.s. Buchanan.
Arizona	College of Agriculture of the University of Arizona.	Tucson	R. H. Forbes. ⁸
Arkansas	College of Agriculture of the University	Fayetteville	Martin Nelson. ³
	Branch Normal College	Pine Bluff	F. T. Venegar.
California	College of Agriculture of the University of California	Berkeley	T. F. Hunt. ³
Colorado	The State Agricultural College of Colo-	Fort Collins	C. A. Lory.
Connecticut Delaware	Connecticut Agricultural College Delaware College.	Storrs Newark	C. L. Beach. S. C. Mitchell.
Florida	College of Agriculture of the University	Gainesville	J. J. Vernon. ⁸
	of Florida. Florida Agricultural and Mechanical College for Negroes.	Tallahassee	N. B. Young.
Georgia	Georgia State College of Agriculture	Athens	A. M. Soule.
Hawaii	Georgia State Industrial College	Bavannan	K. K. Wright.
Idaho	College of Agriculture of the University	Moscow	E I Iddings 4
	of Idaho.	110000 #	12. 01 ICOMISS

Agricultural colleges in the United States.

Including only institutions established under the land-grant act of July 2, 1862.
 Not including students in correspondence courses and extension schools.
 Dean.

4 Acting dean.

Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

State or Territory.	Name of institution.	Location.	President.
Illinois	College of Agriculture of the University	Urbana	E. Davenport. ¹
Indiana	School of Agriculture of Purdue Univer-	La Fayette	J. H. Skinner. ¹
Iowa	Iowa State College of Agriculture and	Ames	R. A. Pearson.
Kansas Kentucky	Kansas State Agricultural College The College of Agriculture of the State	Manhattan Lexington	H. J. Waters. J. H. Kastle. ¹
	The Kentucky Normal and Industrial	Frankfort	G. P. Russell.
Louisiana	Louisiana State University and Agricul-	Baton Rouge	T. D. Boyd.
	Southern University and Agricultural and Mechanical College of the State of	Scotland Heights, Baton Rouge.	J. S. Clark.
Maine	College of Agriculture of the University	Orono	L. S. Merrill. ¹
Maryland	Maryland Agricultural College Princess Anne Academy, Eastern Branch of the Maryland Agricultural College	College Park Princess Anne	H. J. Patterson. T. H. Kiah.
Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota	Massachusetts Agricultural College Massachusetts Institute of Technology ² Michigan Agricultural College College of Agriculture of the University	Amherst Boston East Lansing University Farm,	K. L. Butterfield. R. C. Maclaurin. J. L. Snyder. A. F. Woods. ¹
Mississippi	of Minnesota. Mississippi Agricultural and Mechanical	St. Paul. AgriculturalCollege.	G. R. Hightower.
	College. Alcorn Agricultural and Mechanical Col-	Alcorn	J. A. Martin.
Missouri	lege. College of Agriculture of the University	Columbia	F. B. Mumford.1
	of Missouri. School of Mines and Metallurgy of the University of Missouri 3	Rolla	
Montana	Lincoln Institute. Montana State College of Agriculture and Machanic Arts	Jefferson City Bozeman	B. F. Allen. Jas. M. Hamilton.
Nebraska	College of Agriculture of the University	Lincoln	E. A. Burnett. ¹
Nevada	College of Agriculture of the University	Reno	C. S. Knight. ¹
New Hampshire	New Hampshire College of Agriculture	Durham	E. T. Fairchild.
New Jersey	Rutgers College (the New Jersey State College for the Benefit of Agriculture	New Brunswick	W.H.S. Demarest.
New Mexico	New Mexico College of Agriculture and Mechanic Arts.	State College	George E. Ladd.
New York North Carolina	New York State College of Agriculture The North Carolina College of Agricul- ture and Machanic Arts	Ithaca West Raleigh	B. T. Galloway. ¹ D. H. Hill.
	The Agricultural and Mechanical College for the Colored Bace.	Greensboro	J. B. Dudley.
North Dakota Ohio	North Dakota Agricultural College College of Agriculture of Ohio State Uni-	Agricultural College. Columbus	J. H. Worst. H. C. Price. ¹
Oklahoma	Oklahoma Agricultural and Mechanical	Stillwater	L. L. Lewis. ⁸
Oregon Pennsylvania	Agricultural and Normal University Oregon State Agricultural College The School of Agriculture of the Penn-	Langston Corvallis State College	I. E. Page. W. J. Kerr. R. L. Watts. ¹
Porto Rico	sylvania State College. College of Agriculture and Mechanic Arts	Mayaguez	R. S. Garwood.1
Rhode Island South Carolina	The Clemson Agricultural College of	Kingston Clemson College	Howard Edwards. W. M. Riggs.
	The Colored Normal, Industrial, Agri- cultural, and Mechanical College of South Carolina	Orangeburg	R.S. Wilkinson.
South Dakota	South Dakota State College of Agricul-	Brookings	E.C. Perisho.
Tennessee	College of Agriculture, University of Ten-	Knoxville	Brown Ayres.
Texas	Agricultural and Mechanical College	College Station	W. B. Bizzell.
	Prairie View State Normal and In- dustrial College.	Prairie View	E. L. Blackshear.

Agricultural colleges in the United States-Continued.

1 Dean.

² Does not maintain courses in agriculture.

⁸ Acting president.

Agricultural colleges in the United States-Continued.

State or Territory.	Name of institution.	Location.	President.
Utah Vermont	The Agricultural College of Utah College of Agriculture of the University of Vermont.	Logan Burlington	J. A. Widtsoe. J. L. Hills. ¹
Virginia	The Virginia Agricultural and Mechani-	Blacksburg	J. D. Eggleston.
	cal College and Polytechnic Institute. The Hampton Normal and Agricultural Institute.	Hampton	H. B. Frissell.
Washington	State College of Washington	Pullman	E. A. Bryan.
West Virginia	College of Agriculture of West Virginia University.	Morgantown	E. D. Sanderson. ¹
	The West Virginia Colored Institute	Institute	Byrd Prillerman.
Wisconsin	College of Agriculture of the University	Madison	H. L. Russell. ¹
Wyoming	of Wisconsin. College of Agriculture, University of Wyoming.	Laramie	H. G. Knight. ¹

1 Dean.

AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATIONS OF THE UNITED STATES, THEIR LOCATIONS AND DIRECTORS.

Alabama (College), Auburn: J. F. Duggar.	Missouri (College), Columbia: F. B. Mumford.
Alabama (Canebrake), Uniontown: L. H. Moore.	Missouri (Fruit), Mountain Grove: Paul Evans.
Alabama (Tuskegee), Tuskegee Institute: G. W.	Montana, Bozeman: F. B. Linfield.
Carver.	Nebraska, Lincoln: E. A. Burnett.
Alaska, Sitka (Rampart, Kodiak, and Fairbanks):	Nevada, Reno: S. B. Doten.
C. C. Georgeson. ¹	New Hampshire, Durham: J. C. Kendall.
Arizona, Tucson: R. H. Forbes.	New Jersey (State), New Brunswick
Arkansas, Fayetteville: Martin Nelson.	New Jersey(College), New Brunswick
California, Berkeley: T. F. Hunt.	New Mexico, State College: Fabian Garcia.
Colorado, Fort Collins: C. P. Gillette.	New York (State), Geneva: W. H. Jordan.
Connecticut (State), New Haven	New York (Cornell), Ithaca: B. T. Galloway.
Connecticut (Storrs), Storrs	North Carolina, Raleigh and West Raleigh: B. W.
Delaware, Newark: Harry Hayward.	Kilgore.
Florida, Gainesville: P. H. Rolfs.	North Dakota, Agricultural College: T. P. Cooper.
Georgia, Experiment: R. J. H. DeLoach.	Ohio, Wooster: C. E. Thorne.
Guam: ² A. C. Hartenbower. ³	Oklahoma, Stillwater: W. L. Carlyle.
Hawaii (Federal), Honolulu: J. M. Westgate.8	Oregon, Corvallis: A. B. Cordley.
Hawaii (Sugar Planters'), Honolulu: H. P. Agee.	Pennsylvania, State College: R. L. Watts.
Idaho, Moscow: J. S. Jones. ⁴	Pennsylvania (Institute of Animal Nutrition),
Illinois, Urbana: E. Davenport.	State College: H. P. Armsby.
Indiana, La Fayette: Arthur Goss.	Porto Rico (Federal), Mayaguez: D. W. May. ¹
Iowa, Ames: C. F. Curtiss.	Porto Rico (Insular), Rio Piedras: W. V. Tower.
Kansas, Manhattan: W. M. Jardine.	Rhode Island, Kingston: B. L. Hartwell.
Kentucky, Lexington: J. H. Kastle.	South Carolina, Clemson College: J. N. Harper.
Louisiana (Sugar), New Orleans	South Dakota, Brookings: J. W. Wilson.
Louisiana (State), Baton Rouge	Tennessee, Knoxville: H. A. Morgan.
Louisiana (North), Calhoun	Texas, College Station: B. Youngblood.
Louisiana (Rice), Crowley	Utah, Logan: E. D. Ball.
Maine, Orono: C. D. Woods.	Vermont, Burlington: J. L. Hills.
Maryland, College Park: H. J. Patterson.	Virginia (College), Blacksburg: W. J. Schoene. ⁴
Massachusetts, Amherst: W. P. Brooks.	Virginia (Truck), Norfolk: T. C. Johnson.
Michigan, East Lansing: R. S. Shaw.	Washington, Pullman: I. D. Cardiff.
Minnesota, University Farm, St. Paul: A. F.	West Virginia, Morgantown: E. D. Sanderson.
Woods.	Wisconsin, Madison: H. L. Russell.
Mississippi, Agricultural College: E. R. Lloyd.	Wyoming, Laramie: H. G. Knight.

¹ Special agent in charge.

² Address: Island of Guam, via San Francisco.

⁸ Agronomist in charge.

4 Acting director.

507

STATE OFFICIALS IN CHARGE OF AGRICULTURE.

Alabama: Commissioner of Agriculture, Montgomerv.

- Arizona: Director of Experiment Station, Tucson.
- Arkansas: Commissioner of Agriculture, Little Rock.
- California: Secretary of State Board of Agriculture, Sacramento.
- Colorado: Secretary of State Board of Agriculture, Fort Collins.
- Connecticut: Secretary of State Board of Agriculture, Hartford.
- Delaware: Secretary of State Board of Agriculture, Dover.
- Florida: Commissioner of Agriculture, Tallahassee. Georgia: Commissioner of Agriculture, Atlanta.
- Guam: Director of Experiment Station, Guam.
- Hawaii: Secretary of Territorial Board of Agriculture, Honolulu.
- Idaho: Commissioner of Immigration, Labor, and Statistics, Boise.
- Illinois: Secretary of State Board of Agriculture, Springfield.
- Indiana: Secretary of State Board of Agriculture, Indianapolis.
- Iowa: Secretary of State Board of Agriculture, Des Moines.
- Kansas: Secretary of State Board of Agriculture, Topeka.
- Kentucky: Commissioner of Agriculture, Frankfort.
- Louisiana: Commissioner of Agriculture, Baton Rouge.
- Maine: Commissioner of Agriculture, Augusta.
- Maryland: Director of Experiment Station, College Park.
- Massachusetts: Secretary of State Board of Agriculture. Boston.
- Michigan: Secretary of State Board of Agriculture, East Lansing.
- Minnesota: Secretary of State Agricultural Society, St. Paul.
- Mississippi: Commissioner of Agriculture, Jackson.

Missouri: Secretary of State Board of Agriculture, Columbia.

- STATE OFFICERS IN CHARGE OF COOPERATIVE AGRICULTURAL
- Alabama: J. F. Duggar, Alabama Polytechnic Institute, Auburn.
- Arizona: S. F. Morse, College of Agriculture, University of Arizona, Tucson.
- Arkansas: W. C. Lassetter, College of Agriculture, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville.
- California: W. T. Clarke, College of Agriculture, University of California, Berkeley.
- Colorado: C. A. Lory, State Agricultural College of Colorado, Fort Collins.
- Connecticut: H. J. Baker, Connecticut Agricultural College, Storrs.
- Delaware: H. Hayward, Delaware College, Newark.
- Florida: P. H. Rolfs, College of Agriculture, University of Florida, Gainesville.

- Montana: Commissioner of Agriculture, Helena.
- Nebraska: Secretary of State Board of Agriculture, Lincoln.
- Nevada: Secretary of State Board of Agriculture, Carson City.
- New Hampshire: Secretary of State Board of Agriculture, Concord.
- New Jersey: Secretary of State Board of Agriculture, Trenton.
- New Mexico: Director of Experiment Station, Agricultural College.
- New York: Commissioner of Agriculture, Albany.
- North Carolina: Commissioner of Agriculture, Raleigh.
- North Dakota: Commissioner of Agriculture, Bismarck.
- Ohio: Secretary of State Board of Agriculture, Columbus.
- Oklahoma: President of State Board of Agriculture, Oklahoma.
- Oregon: Secretary of State Board of Agriculture, Salem.
- Pennsylvania: Secretary of Agriculture, Harrisburg.
- Philippine Islands: Director of Agriculture, Manila.
- Porto Rico: Director of Experiment Station, Mayaguez.
- Rhode Island: Secretary of State Board of Agriculture, Providence.
- South Carolina: Commissioner of Agriculture, Columbia.
- South Dakota: Secretary of State Board of Agriculture, Huron.

Tennessee: Commissioner of Agriculture, Nashville. Texas: Commissioner of Agriculture, Austin.

- Utah: Director of Experiment Station, Logan.
- Vermont: Commissioner of Agriculture, St. Albans.
- Virginia: Commissioner of Agriculture, Richmond. Washington: Commissioner of Agriculture, Olympia.
- West Virginia: Secretary of State Board of Agriculture, Charleston.
- Wisconsin: Secretary of State Board of Agriculture, Madison.
- Wyoming: Director of Experiment Station, Laramie.

EXTENSION WORK.

- Georgia: A. M. Soule, Georgia State College of Agriculture, Athens.
- Idaho: O. D. Center, College of Agriculture, University of Idaho, Boise.
- Illinois: W. F. Handschin, College of Agriculture, University of Illinois, Urbana.
- Indiana: G. I. Christie, Purdue University, La Favette.
- Iowa: R. K. Bliss, Iowa State College, Ames.
- Kansas: J. H. Miller, Kansas State Agricultural College, Manhattan.
- Kentucky: Fred Mutchler, College of Agriculture, State University, Lexington.
- Louisiana: W. R. Dodson, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge.

Alaska: Director of Experiment Stations, Sitka.

- Maine: L. S. Merrill, College of Agriculture, University of Maine, Orono.
- Maryland: T. B. Symons, Maryland Agricultural College, College Park.
- Massachusetts: W. D. Hurd, Massachusetts Agricultural College, Amherst.
- Michigan: R. J. Baldwin, Michigan Agricultural College, East Lansing.
- Minnesota: A. D. Wilson, College of Agriculture, University of Minnesota, University Farm, St. Paul.
- Mississippi: E. R. Lloyd, Mississippi Agricultural and Mechanical College, Agricultural College.
- Missouri: A. J. Meyer, College of Agriculture, University of Missouri, Columbia.
- Montana: F. S. Cooley, Montana State College of Agriculture and Mechanic Arts, Bozeman.
- Nebraska: C. W. Pugsley, College of Agriculture, University of Nebraska, Lincoln.
- Nevada: C. S. Knight, College of Agriculture, University of Nevada, Reno.
- New Hampshire: J. C. Kendall, New Hampshire College of Agriculture and Mechanic Arts, Durham.
- New Jersey: Alva Agee, Rutgers College, New Brunswick.
- New Mexico: A. C. Cooley, New Mexico College of Agriculture and Mechanic Arts, State College.
- New York: B. T. Galloway, New York State College of Agriculture, Ithaca.
- North Carolina: B. W. Kilgore, North Carolina College of Agriculture and Mechanic Arts, West Raleigh.

- North Dakota: T. P. Cooper, North Dakota Agricultural College, Agricultural College.
- Ohio: H. C. Price, College of Agriculture, Ohio State University, Columbus.
- Oklahoma: W. D. Bentley, Oklahoma Agricultural and Mechanical College, Stillwater.
- Oregon: R. D. Hetzel, Oregon State Agricultural College, Corvallis.
- Pennsylvania: M. S. McDowell, Pennsylvania State College, State College.
- Rhode Island: A. E. Stene, Rhode Island State College, Kingston.
- South Carolina: W. W. Long, Clemson Agricultural College of South Carolina, Clemson College.
- South Dakota: E. C. Perisho, South Dakota State College, Brookings.
- Tennessee: C. A. Keffer, College of Agriculture, University of Tennessee, Knoxville.
- Texas: Clarence Ousley, Agricultural and Mechanical College of Texas, College Station.
- Utah: E. G. Peterson, Agricultural College of Utah, Logan.
- Vermont: Thos. Bradlee, College of Agriculture, University of Vermont, Burlington.
- Virginia: J. D. Eggleston, Virginia Polytechnic Institute, Blacksburg.
- Washington: J. A. Tormey, State College of Wash ington, Pullman.
- West Virginia: C. R. Titlow, College of Agriculture, West Virginia University, Morgantown.

Wisconsin: K. L. Hatch, College of Agriculture, University of Wisconsin, Madison.

Wyoming: A. E. Bowman, College of Agriculture, University of Wyoming, Laramie.



STATISTICS OF THE PRINCIPAL CROPS.

[Figures furnished by the Bureau of Crop Estimates, Department of Agriculture, except where otherwise stated. All prices on gold basis.]

CORN.

TABLE 1.—Corn: Area and production of countries undermentioned, 1912-1914.

		Area.			Production.	
Country.	1912	1913	1914	1912	1913	1914
NORTH AMERICA. United States	A cres. 107, 083, 000	A cres. 105, 820, 000	A cres. 103, 435, 000	Bushels. 3, 124, 746, 000	Bushels. 2, 446, 988, 000	Bushels. 2,672,804,000
Canada: Ontario Quebec Other	279,000 19,000 (¹)	260,000 18,000 (¹)	239,000 17,000 (¹)	16, 466, 000 476, 000 8, 000	16, 182, 000 586, 000 5, 000	13, 410, 000 514, 000
Total Canada	298,000	278,000	256,000	16,950,000	16, 773, 000	13,924,000
Mexico	² 13, 375, 000	(3)	(3)	190,000,000	190,000,000	190, 000, 000
Total				3,331,696,000	2,653,761,000	2,876,728,000
SOUTH AMERICA. Argentina. Chile Uruguay Total FUROPE	8,456,000 56,000 591,000 9,103,000	9, 464, 000 65, 000 629, 000 10, 158, 000	10, 260, 000 (³) (³)	295, 849, 000 1, 527, 000 7, 963, 000 305, 339, 000	196, 642, 000 1, 647, 000 5, 343, 000 203, 632, 000	204, 562, 000 (³) (³)
Austria-Hungary: Austria Hungary proper Croatia-Slavonia Bosnia-Herzegovina	752,0006,022,0001,065,000549,000	706,000 6,129,000 1,083,000 805,000	(3) (3) (3) (3)	$15,058,000 \\ 176,694,000 \\ 24,066,000 \\ 8,555,000$	13, 286, 000 182, 069, 000 28, 955, 000 7, 559, 000	(3) (3) (3) (3)
Total Austria- Hungary	8, 388, 000	8, 723, 000	(3)	224, 373, 000	231, 869, 000	(3)
Bulgaria France. Italy Portugal Roumania.	$\begin{array}{c}1,579,000\\1,177,000\\3,938,000\\(^3)\\5,104,000\end{array}$	1,404,000 1,020,000 3,888,000 (3) 5,305,000	(3) 1,141,000 3,680,000 (3) 4,942,000	$\begin{array}{c} 28,475,000\\ 23,733,000\\ 98,668,000\\ 15,000,000\\ 103,921,000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 32,000,000\\ 21,455,000\\ 108,388,000\\ 15,000,000\\ 114,662,000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 31,000,000\\ 22,000,000\\ 105,006,000\\ 15,000,000\\ 110,230,000\end{array}$
Russia: Russia proper Northern Caucasia	3, 393, 000 662, 000			62,904,000 16,704,000		
Total Russia	4,055,000	4 210,000	3, 893, 000	79,608,000	72, 793, 000	80,608,000
Servia Spain	1,446,000 1,149,000	1,445,000 1,105,000	(³) 1, 137, 000	22, 833, 000 25, 069, 000	23,621,000 25,140,000	20, 000, 000 30, 325, 000
Total				621, 680, 000	644, 928, 000	
ASIA.						
British India Japan Philippine Islands	$5,591,000\ 136,000\ 840,000$	(³) 133, 000 988, 000	$({}^{(8)}_{141,000}$ $({}^{(8)})$	(³) 3, 802, 000 7, 810, 000	(³⁾ 3,559,000 10,224,000	(³⁾ 3, 753, 000 (³)
Total						
AFRICA.						
Algeria Egypt Union of South Africa	$31,000 \\ 1,903,000 \\ (^3)$	24,000 1,923,000 (³)	(3) (3)	374,000 60,857,000 (4) 30,830,000	394,000 57,044,000 (4)30,830,000	(³) 66, 744, 000 (⁴) 30, 830, 000
Total				92,061,000	88, 268, 000	97, 574, 000

¹ Less than 500 acres. ² Area refers to 1910. ³ No official statistics.
⁴ Census figures of 1911.

CORN-Continued.

TABLE 1.—Corn: Area and production of countries undermentioned, 1912-1914—Contd.

a .		Area.		Production.						
Country.	1912	1913	1914	1912	1913	1914				
AUSTRALASIA.				-		-				
Australia: Queensland New South Wales ¹ Victoria. Western Australia South Australia ⁴	A cres. 154,000 168,000 18,000 (³) (³)	A cres. 118,000 177,000 20,000 (³) (³)	A cres. 157,000 162,000 18,000 (³) (³)	Bushels. 3,752,000 4,649,000 818,000 1,000 2,000	Bushels. 2,604,000 5,273,000 738,000 4,000	Bushels. 4,039,000 4,496,000 826,000 (²) (²)				
Total Australia New Zealand	340,000 6,000	315,000 5,000	337,000 6,000	9,222,000 278,000	8,619,000 222,000	9, 461, 000 312, 000				
Total Australasia .	346,000	320,000	343,000	9,500,000	8,841,000	9,773,000				
Grand total			•••••	4, 371, 888, 000	3, 613, 213, 000					

¹ Includes Federal Territory. ² No official statistics.

^a Less than 500 acres.
⁴ Includes Northern Territory.

TABLE 2.—Corn: Total production of countries mentioned in Table 1, 1895–1914.

Year.	Production.	Year.	Production.	Year.	Production.	Year.	Production.
1895 1896 1897 1898 1899	Bushels. 2, 834, 750, 000 2, 964, 435, 000 2, 587, 206, 000 2, 682, 619, 000 2, 724, 100, 000	1900 1901 1902 1903 1904	Bushels. 2, 792, 561, 000 2, 366, 883, 000 3, 187, 311, 000 3, 066, 566, 000 3, 109, 252, 000	1905 1906 1907 1908 1909	Bushels. 3, 461, 181, 000 3, 963, 645, 000 3, 420, 321, 000 3, 606, 931, 000 3, 563, 226, 000	1910 1911 1912 1913 1914	Bushels. 4,031,630,000 3,481,007,000 4,371,888,000 3,613,213,000

CORN-Continued.

TABLE 3.—Corn: Acreage, production, value, exports, etc., in the United States, 1849-1914.

Note.—Figures in *italics* are census returns; figures in roman are estimates of the Department of Agriculture. Estimates of acres are obtained by applying estimated percentages of increase or decrease to the published numbers of the preceding year, except that a revised base is used for applying percentage estimates whenever new census data are available.

						1				1	
			-	Aver-		Chic bi	ago cas ishel, c	sh pri contra	ce per ct.1	Domestic exports,	Per cent
Year.	Acreage.	age yield per	Production.	farm price per bushel	Farm value Dec. 1.	Dece	mber.	Foll M	owing ay.	including corn meal, fiscal year begin-	of crop ex- port-
		acre.		Dec. 1.	•	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	ning July 1.	ed.
1849	A cres.	Bush.	Bushels. 592,071,000	Cents.	Dollars.	Cts.	Cts.	Cts.	Cts.	Bushels. 7,632,860 4,248,991	P. ct. 1.3
1859			838,795,000	•••••					70	16 006 047	1 0
1866	34, 307, 000 32, 520, 000	25.3	867,946,000 768,320,000	47.4 57.0	$411, 451, 000 \\437, 770, 000$	53 61	62	61 61	79 71	10,020,947 12,493,522	1.6
1868	34, 887, 000	26.0	906, 527, 000	46.8 59.8	424,057,000 522,551,000	38 56	58 67	44 73	51 85	8,286,665 2,140,487	.9
1869 1869 1870	38,647,000	28.3	760, 945, 000 1, 094, 255, 000	49.4	540, 520, 000	41	59	46	52	10,673 553	1.0
1871	34,091,000	29.1	991, 898, 000	43.4	430, 356, 000	36	39	38	43	35,727,010 40,154,374	3.6
1872 1873	35,527,000 39,197,000	30.8	1,092,719,000 932,274,000	35.3 44.2	385,736,000 411,961,000	40	49	49	59	35, 985, 834	3.9
1874 1875	41,037,000 44,841,000	20.7 29.5	$\begin{array}{c} 850, 148, 000 \\ 1, 321, 069, 000 \end{array}$	58.4 36.7	$\begin{array}{c} 496,271,000\\ 484,675,000 \end{array}$	64 40	76 47	53 41	67 45	30,025,036 50,910,532	3. 5 3. 9
1876	49,033,000	26.2	1,283,828,000	34.0	436, 109, 000	40	43	43	56	72,652,611	5.7
1877	50, 369, 000 51, 585, 000	26.7	1,342,558,000 1,388,219,000	34.8 31.7	467, 635, 000 440, 281, 000	41 30	49 32	33	36	87, 884, 892	6.3
1879.	53,085,000	29.2	1,547,902,000	37.5	580, 486, 000	39	434	328	36 §	99, 572, 329	6.4
1879.	62, 369,000 62, 318,000	27.6	1,717,435,000	39.6	679, 714, 000	355	42	411	45	93, 648, 147	5.5
1881	64, 262, 000	18.6	1,194,916,000	63.6	759, 482, 000	$58\frac{1}{2}$	$63\frac{1}{2}$	69 531	767 563	44,340,683 41,655,653	3.7 2.6
1882 1883	65,660,000 68,302,000	24.6	1,551,067,000	42.4	658,051,000	541	631	521	57	46, 258, 606	3.0
1884 1885	69, 684, 000 73, 130, 000	25.8	1,795,528,000 1,936,176,000	35.7 32.8	640, 736, 000 635, 675, 000	$34\frac{1}{2}$ 36	404	$34\frac{1}{4}$	363	64, 829, 617	3.3
1886	75,694,000	22.0	1,665,441,000	36.6	610, 311, 000	$35\frac{3}{47}$	-38	$36\frac{7}{8}$	39 3	41,368,584	2.5
1887	72,393,000	20.1	1,456,161,000	44.4	677, 562, 000	331	357	331	358	70, 841, 673	3.6
1889	78,320,000	27.0	2, 112, 892, 000	28.3	597, 919, 000	$29\frac{1}{4}$	35	324	35	103, 418, 709	4.9
1890	71,971,000	20.7	1, 489, 970, 000	50.6	754, 433, 000	473	53	55	69 1	32,041,529	2.2
1891	76, 205, 000	27.0	2,060,154,000	40.6	836, 439, 000 642, 147, 000	393	59 42 3	40 <u>4</u> 39 <u>1</u>	² 100 44½	47, 121, 894	2.9
1893.	72,036,000	22.5	1,619,496,000	36.5	591, 626, 000	344	361	364 473	$38\frac{1}{2}$ 55 $\frac{1}{2}$	66, 489, 529 28, 585, 405	4.1
1894 1895	62, 582, 000 82, 076, 000	19.4 26.2	2, 151, 139, 000	25.3	544, 986, 000	25	$26\frac{3}{4}$	271/2	291	101, 100, 375	4.7
1896	81,027,000	28.2	2, 283, 875, 000	21.5 26.3	491,007,000	$22\frac{1}{2}$	237	23	$ \begin{array}{c} 25\frac{1}{2} \\ 37 \end{array} $	178, 817, 417 212, 055, 543	11.1
1897	77, 722, 000	23. 8	1,924,185,000	28.7	552,023,000	331	38	$\frac{32^{1}_{2}}{36}$	343	177, 255, 046 213, 123, 412	9.2
1899 1899	82,109,000 94,914,000	25.3	2,078,144,000	30.3	029, 210, 000					181 405 473	8.6
1900	83, 321, 000	25.3	2,105,103,000	35.7	751, 220, 000 021 556 000	30 ¹ 621	671	428 591	643	28, 028, 688	1.8
1901 1902	91,350,000	26.8	2, 523, 648, 000	40.3	1,017,017,000		571	44	46	76, 639, 261	3.0
1903 1904	88,092,000	25.5	2,244,177,000 2,467,481,000	42.5	952,869,000	41	434	48	641	90, 293, 483	3.7
1905.	94,011,000	28.8	2,707,994,000	41.2	1, 116, 697, 000	42	501	4/2	50	86, 368, 228	3.0
1906 1907 -	96,738,000	30.3	2, 927, 416, 000 2, 592, 320, 000	39.9	1,336,901,000	571	611	673	82	55,063,860	2.1
1908.	101, 788, 000	26.2	2,668,651,000	60.6	1, 616, 145, 000	564	624	124		37,003,040	
1909	98, 383,000	25.9	2,552,190,000	57.9 48 0	1,477,223,000	$62\frac{1}{2}$ $45\frac{1}{2}$	66 50	56 521	63 551	38, 128, 498 65, 614, 522	1.5
1011	104, 035, 000	23.9	2, 531, 488, 000	61.8	1, 565, 258, 000	68	70	76 1	821 822	41, 797, 291	1.7
1912.	107,083,000	29.2	3, 124, 746, 000 2, 446, 988, 000	48.7	1,520,454,000 1,692,092,000	$ 47\frac{1}{2} \\ 64$	54 731	551 67	$ \begin{array}{c} 60 \\ 72\frac{1}{2} \end{array} $	10, 725, 819	1.0
1913.	103, 435, 000	25.8	2, 672, 804, 000	64.4	1, 722, 070, 000	621	681	:		.	-

¹ No. 2 to 1908. ² Coincident with "corner."

75922°-тек 1914-33

³ Figures adjusted to census basis.

CORN—Continued.

TABLE 4.—Corn: Acreage, production, and total farm value, by States, 1913 and 1914.

State.	Thousand	ls of acres.	Producti sands of	on (thou- bushels).	Total value, basis Dec. 1 price (thou- sands of dollars).		
	1914	1913	1914	1913	1914	1913	
Maine New Hampshire. Vermont. Massachusetts. Rhode Island.	16 21 45 48 1 1	$16 \\ 22 \\ 45 \\ 48 \\ 11$	$736 \\ 966 \\ 2,115 \\ 2,256 \\ 462$	608 814 1,665 1,944 402	$\begin{array}{r} 648 \\ 792 \\ 1,713 \\ 1,918 \\ 453 \end{array}$	$529 \\ 659 \\ 1,349 \\ 1,652 \\ 398$	
Connecticut New York New Jersey Pennsylvania Delaware	61 550 272 1,463 197	61 527 275 1,463 197	2,806 22,550 10,472 62,178 7,092	$\begin{array}{c} 2,348\\ 15,020\\ 10,862\\ 57,057\\ 6,206\end{array}$	2,497 18,716 7,959 45,390 4,397	1,996 12,166 8,146 41,081 3,662	
Maryland. Virginia. West Virginia. North Carolina. South Carolina.	663 1,921 732 2,835 1,975	670 1,980 732 2,835 1,975	24, 531 39, 380 22, 692 57, 550 36, 538	22, 110 51, 480 22, 692 55, 282 38, 512	16, 681 31, 898 18, 834 49, 493 33, 615	14,372 39,125 18,154 48,648 37,357	
Georgia Florida Ohio Indiana Illinois.	$4,000 \\ 700 \\ 3,650 \\ 4,949 \\ 10,346$	$4,066 \\ 675 \\ 3,900 \\ 4,900 \\ 10,450$	$56,000 \\ 11,200 \\ 142,715 \\ 163,317 \\ 300,034$	63,023 10,125 146,250 176,400 282,150	47,600 8,960 87,056 94,724 183,021	57,351 8,302 92,138 105,840 177,754	
Michigan. Wisconsin. Minnesota. Iowa. Missouri.	$1,750 \\ 1,725 \\ 2,600 \\ 10,248 \\ 7,200$	$1,675 \\ 1,650 \\ 2,400 \\ 9,950 \\ 7,375$	63,000 69,862 91,000 389,424 158,400	56,11266,82596,000 $338,300129,062$	42, 210 45, 410 47, 320 214, 183 107, 712	37, 595 40, 095 50, 880 202, 980 95, 506	
North Dakota South Dakota Nebraska Kansas Kentucky	$500 \\ 3,000 \\ 7,100 \\ 5,850 \\ 3,650$	375 2,640 7,610 7,320 3,650	14,000 78,000 173,950 108,225 91,250	$\begin{array}{c} 10,800\\ 67,320\\ 114,150\\ 23,424\\ 74,825\end{array}$	8, 120 39, 000 92, 194 68, 182 58, 400	5,616 37,699 74,198 18,271 56,867	
Tennessee. Alabama. Mississippi Loutisiana. Texas.	3,350 3,264 3,150 2,000 6,400	3,350 3,200 3,150 1,900 6,800	80, 400 55, 488 58, 275 38, 600 124, 800	$\begin{array}{r} 68,675\\55,360\\63,000\\41,800\\163,200\end{array}$	54, 672 44, 390 42, 541 28, 950 92, 352	52, 880 49, 270 48, 510 32, 186 133, 824	
Oklahoma Arkansas. Montana. Wyoming. Colorado	$4,000 \\ 2,400 \\ 50 \\ 21 \\ 462$	$4,750 \\ 2,475 \\ 28 \\ 17 \\ 420$	50,000 42,000 1,400 525 10,626	52,250 47,025 882 493 6,300	32,000 33,600 1,064 368 6,376	37, 620 36, 680 679 394 4, 599	
New Mexico Arizona Utah. Nevada	92 18 12 1	85 17 10 1	2, 576 576 420 36	1,572 476 340 34	2,061 691 315 40	$1,179 \\ 524 \\ 238 \\ 40$	
Idaho Washington Oregon California.	19 36 22 60	14 34 21 55	589 972 660 2,160	448 • 952 • 598 1,815	424 710 541 1,879	305 762 419 1,597	
United States	103, 435	105, 820	2, 672, 804	2, 446, 988	1,722,070	1,692,092	

.

Statistics of Corn.

CORN-Continued.

TABLE 5.—Corn: Production and distribution in the United States, 1897-1914.

Year.	Old stock on farms Nov. 1.	Crop.	Total supplies.	Stock on farms Mar. 1 following.	Shipped out of county where grown.
897	Bushels. 290, 894 137, 894 113, 644 92, 328 95, 825	Bushels. 1,902,968 1,924,185 2,078,144 2,105,103 1,522,520	Bushels. 2, 193, 902 2, 062, 079 2, 191, 788 2, 197, 431 1, 618, 345	Bushels. 782, 871 800, 533 773, 730 776, 166 441, 132	Bushels. 411, 617 396, 005 348, 098 478, 417 153, 213
902 1903 1904 1905 1906	29, 267 131, 210 80, 246 82, 285 119, 633	2,523,648 2,244,177 2,467,481 2,707,994 2,927,416	2,552,915 2,375,387 2,547,727 2,790,279 3,047,049	$1,050,653 \\839,053 \\954,268 \\1,108,364 \\1,297,979$	557, 296 419, 877 551, 635 681, 539 679, 544
1907	130, 995 71, 124 79, 779 115, 696	2,592,320 2,668,651 2,552,190 2,886,260	2,723,315 2,739,775 2,631,969 3,001,956	$962, 429 \\1,047,763 \\977,561 \\1,165,378$	467,675 568,129 635,248 661,777
1911. 1912. 1913. 1914.	$\begin{array}{r} 123,824\\ 64,764\\ 137,972\\ 80,046\end{array}$	2,531,488 3,124,746 2,446,988 2,672,804	2,655,312 3,189,510 2,584,960 2,752,850	$\begin{array}{r} 884,069\\ 1,289,655\\ 866,392\\ 910,894\end{array}$	517,704 680,796 422,091 498,285

[000 omitted.]

CORN-Continued.

TABLE 6.-Corn: Yield per acre, price per bushel Dec. 1, and value per acre, by States.

		Yield per acre (bushels).									Farm price per bushel (cents).							alue acre lars). ¹	
State.	10-year average, 1905–1914.	1905	1906	1907	1908	1909	0161	1911	1912	1913	1914	10-year average, 1905-1914.	1910	1911	1912	1913	1914	5-year average, 1910-1914.	1914
Me N. H. Vt. Mass. R. I.	40. 1 40. 4 39. 2 41. 4 37. 8	34. 3 37. 0 34. 7 37. 5 32. 5	37.0 37.5 35.5 39.7 33.1	37.0 35.0 36.0 36.0 31.2	40. 5 39. 0 40. 3 40. 4 42. 8	38. 0 35. 1 37. 0 38. 0 33. 2	46. 0 46. 0 43. 0 45. 5 40. 0	44. 0 45. 0 41. 0 44. 0 45. 0	40. 0 46. 0 40. 0 45. 0 41. 5	38. 0 37. 0 37. 0 40. 5 36. 5	46. 0 46. 0 47. 0 47. 0 42. 0	78 75 73 77 86	71 69 66 70 83	90 82 80 83 95	75 75 72 77 88	87 81 81 85 99	88 82 81 85 98	35, 16 34, 17 31, 60 35, 48 37, 95	540.48 737.72 38.07 39.95 41.16
Conn. N. Y. N. J. Pa. Del.	43. 4 35. 3 36. 3 39. 3 31. 8	42.7 31.5 35.8 38.9 30.4	40. 0 34. 9 36. 3 40. 2 30. 0	33. 0 27. 0 31. 5 32. 5 27. 5	41. 3 38. 8 38. 0 39. 5 32. 0	41. 0 36. 0 32. 7 32. 0 31. 0	53. 2 38. 3 36. 0 41. 0 31. 8	48.5 38.5 36.8 44.5 34.0	50.0 38.6 38.0 42.5 34.0	38.5 28.5 39.5 39.0 31.5	46. 0 41. 0 38. 5 42. 5 36. 0	76 72 66 65 54	68 63 60 59 52	83 77 71 68 61	77 70 68 63 51	85 81 75 72 59	89 83 76 73 62	37.72 27.58 26.49 28.07 19.10	40. 94 34. 03 29. 26 31. 02 22. 32
Md Va W. Va N. C. S. C.	35.1 24.2 29.8 17.6 16.2	36. 9 23. 4 29. 8 13. 9 10. 9	35.0 24.3 30.3 15.3 12.2	34.2 25.0 28.0 16.5 15.1	36.6 26.0 31.2 18.0 14.1	31.4 23.2 31.4 16.8 16.7	33.5 25.5 26.0 18.6 18.5	36, 5 24, 0 25, 7 18, 4 18, 2	36.5 24.0 33.8 18.2 17.9	33.0 26.0 31.0 19.5 19.5	37.0 20.5 31.0 20.3 18.5	58 68 70 78 85	58 65 68 76 82	63 73 77 82 91	55 71 65 83 85	65 76 80 88 97	68 81 83 86 92	$\begin{array}{c} 21.82\\ 17.50\\ 21.99\\ 15.79\\ 16.58 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 25.16 \\ 16.60 \\ 25.73 \\ 17.46 \\ 17.02 \end{array}$
Ga Fla Ohio Ind. Ill.	13.6 12.7 38.8 37.1 34.8	11. 0 10. 1 37. 8 40. 7 39. 8	$12.0 \\ 11.0 \\ 42.6 \\ 39.6 \\ 36.1$	13.0 11.3 34.6 36.0 36.0	12.5 10.5 38.5 30.3 31.6	13.9 12.6 39.5 40.0 35.9	14.5 13.0 36.5 39.3 39.1	16.014.638.636.033.0	$13.8 \\ 13.0 \\ 42.8 \\ 40.3 \\ 40.0$	15.515.037.536.027.0	14.0 16.0 39.1 33.0 29.0	80 78 53 48 48	78 85 46 40 38	83 80 58 54 55	85 79 45 42 41	91 82 63 60 63	85 80 61 58 61	$12.46 \\ 11.62 \\ 21.18 \\ 18.57 \\ 16.82 \\$	11. 90 12. 80 23. 85 19. 14 17. 69
Mich. Wis Minn. Iowa. Mo	33.7 36.3 33.3 34.9 28.1	34. 0 37. 6 32. 5 34. 8 33. 8	37. 0 41. 2 33. 6 39. 5 32. 3	30. 1 32. 0 27. 0 29. 5 31. 0	31. 8 33. 7 29. 0 31. 7 27. 0	35. 4 33. 0 34. 8 31. 5 26. 4	32. 4 32. 5 32. 7 36. 3 33. 0	33. 0 36. 3 33. 7 31. 0 26. 0	34. 0 35. 7 34. 5 43. 0 32. 0	33.5 40.5 40.0 34.0 17.5	36. 0 40. 5 35. 0 38. 0 22. 0	58 55 46 45 53	53 52 45 36 44	65 60 53 53 60	57 51 37 35 46	67 60 53 60 74	67 65 52 55 68	20.91 21.50 16.95 17.17 14.55	24. 12 26. 32 18. 20 20. 90 14. 96
N. Dak S. Dak Nebr Kans Ky	25.3 28.1 25.3 19.9 27.6	27.5 31.8 32.8 27.7 29.7	27.8 33.5 34.1 28.9 33.0	20.0 25.5 24.0 22.1 28.2	23. 8 29. 7 27. 0 22. 0 25. 2	31. 0 31. 7 24. 8 19. 9 29. 0	14. 0 25. 0 25. 8 19. 0 29. 0	25.022.021.014.526.0	26. 7 30. 6 24. 0 23. 0 30. 4	28.8 25.5 15.0 3.2 20.5	28.0 26.0 24.5 18.5 25.0	52 44 45 51 58	58 40 36 45 53	60 53 55 63 63	43 37 37 40 55	52 56 65 78 76	58 50 53 63 64	13.16 12.05 10.49 8.21 16.01	16. 24 13. 00 12. 98 11. 66 16. 00
TennAla Miss La Tex	24.9 16.2 17.8 19.3 20.0	$24.6 \\ 14.8 \\ 14.3 \\ 13.7 \\ 21.3$	28.1 16.0 18.5 17.2 22.5	26.0 15.5 17.0 17.5 21.0	24.8 14.7 17.3 19.8 25.7	22.0 13.5 14.5 23.0 15.0	25.9 18.0 20.5 23.6 20.6	26.8 18.0 19.0 18.5 9.5	26.5 17.2 18.3 18.0 21.0	20.5 17.3 20.0 22.0 24.0	24.0 17.0 18.5 19.3 19.5	61 77 72 68 66	56 71 63 55 63	61 78 72 70 80	61 79 71 68 64	77 89 77 77 82	68 80 73 75 74	15.82 13.88 13.70 13.92 13.63	16.32 13.60 13.50 14.48 14.43
Okla Ark Mont Wyo Colo	19. 1 19. 8 25. 8 23. 7 21. 2	26.4 17.3 19.4 26.9 23.8	33.3 23.6 23.4 27.0 27.9	24. 4 17. 2 22. 5 25. 0 23. 5	24, 8 20, 2 23, 4 28, 0 20, 2	17.0 18.0 35.0 28.0 24.2	16.0 24.0 23.0 10.0 19.9	6.5 20.8 26.5 15.0 14.0	18.7 20.4 25.5 23.0 20.8	11.0 19.0 31.5 29.0 15.0	12.517.528.025.023.0	51 66 78 71 62	51 58 95 66 60	70 72 80 76 78	41 67 70 64 50	72 78 77 80 73	64 80 76 70 60	$7.26 \\ 14.29 \\ 21.29 \\ 14.68 \\ 11.60 \\$	8.00 14.00 21.28 17.50 13.80
N. Mex. Ariz. Utah. Nev.	25.9 31.8 31.9 32.1	25.3 27.0 36.2	29.4 29.5 32.0	29.0 37.5 25.5	27.0 33.2 29.4	31.3 32.1 31.4	23.0 32.5 30.3 30.0	24. 7 33. 0 35. 0 30. 5	22.4 33.0 30.0 30.0	18, 5 28, 0 34, 0 34, 0	28. 0 32. 0 35. 0 36. 0	79 101 76 100	90 110 84 100	84 97 81 90	75 100 75 98	75 110 70 118	80 120 75 110	18, 91 33, 99 25, 27 33, 31	22, 40 38, 40 26, 25 39, 60
Idaho Wash Øreg Cal	30. 3 26. 8 28. 1 34. 7	27.2 24.2 23.0 32.0	28.3 25.2 27.6 34.9	30.0 27.0 27.5 34.0	29.0 25.5 27.8 32.0	30.6 27.8 30.7 34.8	32.0 28.0 25.5 37.5	30.0 28.5 28.5 36.0	32.8 27.3 31.5 37.0	32.0 28.0 28.5 33.0	31.0 27.0 30.0 36.0	70 73 74 84	71 75 80 80	85 79 80 90	70 77 75 85	68 80 70 88	72 73 82 87	23.05 21.33 22.74 30.84	$ \begin{array}{c} 22.32 \\ 19.71 \\ 24.60 \\ 31.32 \\ \hline 16.67 \\ \end{array} $
U. S	26.6	28.8	30.3	25.9	26.2	25.5	27.7	23.9	29.2	23.1	25.8	54.5	48.0	61.8	487	69.1	04.4	14.99	10.05

¹ Based upon farm price Dec. 1.

Statistics of Corn.

CORN-Continued.

TABLE 7.—Corn: Wholesale price per bushel, 1900–1914.

	Date. No. 2 yellow.		Baltimore.		Cincinnati.		Chio	ago.	Detroit.		St. Louis.		San Fran- cisco.	
Date.			Miz	Mixed.		No. 2 mixed. ¹		Contract.		No. 3.		o. 2.	White (per 100 lbs.)	
	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.
1900 1901 1902 1903	Cts. 41 45 7 59 49 7 8	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 52\frac{1}{4} \\ 76 \\ 76\frac{1}{2} \\ 63 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 36\frac{5}{8} \\ 41\frac{1}{2} \\ 43 \\ 46\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	Cts. 487 68 77 61	Cts. 323 38 44 40	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 47 \\ 71\frac{1}{2} \\ 69 \\ 54\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts, \\ 30\frac{1}{2} \\ 36 \\ 43\frac{3}{4} \\ 41 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 49\frac{1}{2} \\ 67\frac{1}{2} \\ 88 \\ 53 \end{array}$	Cts. 31 ¹ / ₂ 38 47 38	Cts. 37 67 67 53 <u>3</u>	$\begin{array}{c} Cts.\\ 30\frac{1}{2}\\ 35\\ 40\frac{1}{2}\\ 39 \end{array}$	Cts. 43 70 691 55	$\begin{array}{c} Dolls.\\ 1.\ 00\\ 1.\ 10\\ 1.\ 30\\ 1.\ 17\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	Dolls. 1. 30 1. 75 1. 65 1. 57½
1904 1905 1906 1907 1908	$53\frac{1}{50\frac{3}{4}}\\47\\49\frac{1}{2}\\61$	$72 \\ 65 \\ 611 \\ 78 \\ 76 \\ 76$	$\begin{array}{r} 49\frac{1}{4} \\ 42 \\ 45\frac{5}{8} \\ 47 \\ 59\frac{3}{8} \end{array}$	$58\frac{3}{4}$ 65 58 74 $\frac{1}{4}$ 83 $\frac{1}{2}$	45 <u>1</u> 44 <u>1</u> 42 43 54 <u>1</u>	$58\frac{1}{2}\ 59\frac{1}{2}\ 55\frac{1}{2}\ 71\ 83\frac{1}{2}$	$\begin{array}{r} 42\frac{3}{4}\\ 42\\ 39\\ 39\frac{3}{4}\\ 56\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	$58\frac{1}{8}$ $64\frac{1}{2}$ $54\frac{3}{4}$ $66\frac{1}{2}$ 82	$\begin{array}{c} 42 \\ 44\frac{3}{4} \\ 43 \\ 43 \\ 53\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	60 59 55 69 1 83	$\begin{array}{c} 42\frac{1}{2} \\ 41\frac{1}{2} \\ 39\frac{1}{2} \\ 39 \\ 54\frac{1}{4} \end{array}$	$57 \\ 58\frac{1}{2} \\ 54\frac{1}{4} \\ 66 \\ 81\frac{1}{2} \\ \end{array}$	1. 25 1. 25 1. 25 1. 60	1.55 1.55 1.60 1.90
1909 1910 1911 1912 1913	$\begin{array}{c} 66\frac{1}{4} \\ (^2) \\ (^2) \\ 54\frac{1}{2} \\ 54\frac{1}{2} \\ 54\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 85\frac{1}{2} \\ (^2) \\ (^2) \\ 70 \\ 88\frac{1}{4} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 63\frac{1}{2} \\ 50 \\ 48\frac{7}{3} \\ 52 \\ 52\frac{1}{3} \end{array}$	82 70½ 79 87 68	57 46 45 <u>1</u> 47 48	$78 \\ 69\frac{1}{2} \\ 77\frac{1}{2} \\ 87 \\ 81$	$\begin{array}{r} 581 \\ 451 \\ 451 \\ 451 \\ 471 \\ 471 \\ 461 \\ \end{array}$	77 68 76 83 78 <u>1</u>	59 46½ 45¾ 48 48	$79\\68\frac{1}{4}\\76\\83\frac{1}{2}\\78\frac{1}{2}$	58 44 43 ¹ / ₂ 45 45	77 68 77 85 82	$ \begin{array}{c} 1. 72\frac{1}{2} \\ 1. 40 \\ 1. 31\frac{1}{4} \\ 1. 50 \\ 1. 45 \end{array} $	1. 95 1. 85 1. 80 1. 97 1. 87
1914. January February March April May June	60 70 74 74 <u>3</u> 69 <u>1</u> 75 <u>3</u>	$70 \\ 73\frac{1}{2} \\ 78\frac{1}{2} \\ 78\frac{1}{4} \\ 79 \\ 81 \\ 82\frac{1}{2} \\ 8$	$\begin{array}{r} 66\frac{1}{2} \\ 66\frac{1}{4} \\ 67\frac{1}{2} \\ 71 \\ 71 \\ 71 \\ 77 \end{array}$	67 <u>1</u> 68 69 <u>1</u> 74 76 77	$\begin{array}{c} 65\frac{1}{2} \\ 64 \\ 64\frac{1}{2} \\ 69\frac{1}{2} \\ 70 \\ 71 \end{array}$	69 68 72 73 75 75	60 61 63 64 67 67 2	$\begin{array}{c} 66\\ 63\frac{1}{2}\\ 70\\ 69\frac{1}{2}\\ 72\frac{1}{2}\\ 73\frac{1}{2}\\ 73\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 63 \\ 62 \\ 62 \\ 2 \\ 66 \\ 2 \\ 67 \\ 2 \\ 71 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 64\frac{1}{2} \\ 63\frac{1}{2} \\ 67\frac{1}{2} \\ 69 \\ 73\frac{1}{2} \\ 74 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 63\frac{1}{2} \\ 64 \\ 63 \\ 64 \\ 69\frac{1}{2} \\ 68\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	68 66½ 70 69½ 73 73½	1. 62 1. 61 1. 61 1. 61 1. 61 1. 61 1. 72	1. 78 1. 75 1. 63 1. 63 1. 75 1. 77 <u>1</u> . 77
July August September . October November . December .	$75\frac{1}{2}$ 81 $81\frac{1}{2}$ 80 72 $71\frac{3}{4}$	$\begin{array}{r} 82\frac{1}{2} \\ 93\frac{3}{4} \\ 91 \\ 84 \\ 86\frac{1}{4} \\ 77\frac{3}{4} \end{array}$	75 1 82 82 77 67 1 69	75½ 89 89 79 82½ 72§	70 79 1 76 74 63 <u>1</u> 63 <u>1</u>	85 88½ 85 77½ 76½ 70½	$\begin{array}{c} 67\frac{1}{2} \\ 74 \\ 72\frac{1}{2} \\ 71\frac{1}{2} \\ 62\frac{3}{4} \\ 62\frac{1}{4} \end{array}$	$76 \\ 86 \\ 83\frac{1}{4} \\ 76 \\ 78\frac{1}{4} \\ 68\frac{1}{4} \\ \end{array}$	$70 \\ 79 \\ 75\frac{1}{2} \\ 72\frac{1}{2} \\ 64 \\ 63\frac{1}{2} \\ \end{array}$	79 88 82 78 77 70	$\begin{array}{c} 67 \\ 77\frac{1}{2} \\ 77\frac{1}{2} \\ 70 \\ 63 \\ 62\frac{3}{8} \end{array}$	77½ 87 82½ 76½ 80 67§	$ \begin{array}{r} 1.67\frac{1}{2} \\ 1.72 \\ 1.90 \\ 1.70 \\ 1.80 \\ 1.80 \\ 1.80 \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{r} 1.87\frac{1}{2} \\ 1.92\frac{1}{2} \\ 1.93 \\ 1.90 \\ 1.80 \\ 1.80 \\ 1.80 \end{array}$
Year	60	933	661	89	$63\frac{1}{2}$	88 1	60	86	62	88	62 3	87	1. 61	1.93

¹ No. 2 grade to 1912.

² Nominal.

TABLE 8.—Corn: Condition of crop, United States, on first of months named, 1894-1914.

Year.	July.	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Year.	July.	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Year.	July.	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.
1894 1895 1896 1897 1898 1899 1900	P. ct. 95. 0 99. 3 92. 4 82. 9 90. 5 86. 5 89. 5	P. ct. 69. 1 102. 5 96. 0 84. 2 87. 0 89. 9 87. 5	P. ct. 63. 4 96. 4 91. 0 79. 3 84. 1 85. 2 80. 6	P. ct. 64. 2 95. 5 90. 5 77. 1 82. 0 82. 7 78. 2	1901 1902 1903 1904 1905 1906 1907	P. ct. 81. 3 87. 5 79. 4 86. 4 87. 3 87. 5 80. 2	P. ct. 54. 0 86. 5 78. 7 87. 3 89. 0 88. 0 82. 8	P. ct. 51. 7 84. 3 80. 1 84. 6 89. 5 90. 2 80. 2	P. ct. 52. 1 79. 6 80. 8 83. 9 89. 2 90. 1 78. 0	1908 1909 1910 1911 1912 1913 1914	P. ct. 82. 8 89. 3 85. 4 80. 1 81. 5 86. 9 85. 8	P. ct. 82. 5 84. 4 79. 3 69. 6 80. 0 75. 8 74. 8	P. ct. 79. 4 74. 6 78. 2 70. 3 82. 1 65. 1 71. 7	P. ct. 77. 8 73. 8 80. 3 70. 4 82. 2 65. 3 72. 9

CORN-Continued.

Month.	United States.		No A tla Sta	orth antic stes.	Sor A tla Sta	uth intic ites.	N. Co State of Mi	entral s east ss. R.	N. Central States wes of Miss. R		Sol Cer Sta	uth ntral ntes.	Far V ern S	Vest- tates.
	1914	1913	1914	1913	1914	1913	1914	1913	1914	1913	1914	1913	1914	1913
January February March April May June	Cts. 69. 6 68. 3 69. 1 70. 7 72. 1 75. 0	Cts. 48. 9 50. 6 52. 2 53. 7 56. 8 60. 6	Cts. 78.2 74.6 73.8 75.2 76.7 78.3	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 61. 9 \\ 61. 5 \\ 63. 4 \\ 62. 5 \\ 65. 4 \\ 67. 7 \end{array}$	Cts. 85.1 86.1 88.6 89.6 91.1 93.2	Cts. 74.5 75.9 77.2 79.4 81.7 86.0	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 62. \ 4 \\ 60. \ 6 \\ 61. \ 2 \\ 62. \ 8 \\ 64. \ 4 \\ 67. \ 5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textit{Cts.} \\ 44.\ 0 \\ 46.\ 1 \\ 47.\ 1 \\ 48.\ 3 \\ 51.\ 6 \\ 55.\ 3 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 60. 9 \\ 59. 0 \\ 58. 8 \\ 61. 3 \\ 62. 3 \\ 65. 1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textit{Cts.}\\ 39.0\\ 41.5\\ 42.5\\ 44.2\\ 48.3\\ 52.4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 81.8 \\ 81.1 \\ 83.1 \\ 83.6 \\ 85.3 \\ 88.6 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 61.8 \\ 62.2 \\ 65.7 \\ 67.0 \\ 68.8 \\ 72.1 \end{array}$	Cts. 81.6 81.8 76.1 77.2 80.5 8 1.4	Cts. 58.4 61.1 65.6 65.5 62.4 67.9
July August September. October November. December.	75.576.881.578.270.664.4	$\begin{array}{c} 63.\ 2\\ 65.\ 4\\ 75.\ 4\\ 75.\ 3\\ 70.\ 7\\ 69.\ 1\end{array}$	80. 5 80. 8 90. 8 89. 3 80. 0 76. 6	69.3 72.8 81.6 83.6 78.1 74.9	94. 0 94. 0 98. 6 96. 5 89. 0 82. 9	86. 0 87. 9 91. 3 90. 6 85. 8 84. 2	$\begin{array}{c} 68.8\\ 71.9\\ 78.1\\ 74.6\\ 67.1\\ 61.2 \end{array}$	$59.0 \\ 61.2 \\ 71.6 \\ 70.7 \\ 64.1 \\ 62.3$	$\begin{array}{c} 65.\ 2\\ 65.\ 6\\ 72.\ 3\\ 68.\ 9\\ 61.\ 6\\ 55.\ 9\end{array}$	$55.1 \\ 58.1 \\ 70.7 \\ 70.4 \\ 66.4 \\ 62.3$	88.7 89.7 88.7 85.2 76.9 71.5	74. 0 74. 8 82. 4 83. 4 80. 8 79. 1	81. 8 79. 3 80. 9 80. 4 80. 3 70. 4	68. 0 67. 2 79. 0 81. 5 78. 9 77. 2
Average.	71. 7	60.1	79.1	69.6	90.6	83.5	65.3	53.7	62.2	51.5	81.4	73.1	79.1	68.3

 TABLE 9.—Corn: Farm price per bushel on first of each month, by geographical divisions, 1913 and 1914.

TABLE 10.—Corn (including meal): International trade, calendar years 1911–1913.

[The item maicena or maizena is included as "Corn and corn meal."]

GENERAL NOTE.—Substantially the international trade of the world. It should not be expected that the world export and import totals for any year will agree. Among sources of disagreement are these: (1) Different periods of time covered in the "year" of the various countries; (2) Imports received in year subsequent to year of export; (3) want of uniformity in classification of goods among countries; (4) different practices and varying degrees of failure in recording countries of origin and ultimate destination; (5) different practices of recording recexported goods; (6) opposite methods of treating free ports; (7) clerical errors, which, it may be assumed, are not infrequent.

ent practices and varying degrees of failure in recording countries of orgin and ultimate destination; (5) different practices of recording reexported goods; (6) opposite methods of treating free ports; (7) clerical errors, which, it may be assumed, are not infrequent. The exports given are domestic exports, and the imports given are imports for consumption as far as it is feasible and consistent so to express the facts. While there are some inevitable omissions, on the other hand there are some duplications because of reshipments that do not appear as such in official reports. For the United Kingdom, import figures refer to imports for consumption, when available, otherwise total imports, less exports, of "foreign and colonial merchandise." Figures for the United States include Alaska, Porto Rico, and Hawaii.

EXPORTS.

[000 omitted.]

Country.	ountry. 1911 1912		1913 (prelim.).	Country.	1911	1912	1913 (prelim.).
Argentina. Austria-Hungary Belgium British South Africa Bulgaria. Netherlands. Roumania.	Bushels. 4,928 156 8,846 3,892 13,980 5,939 61,233	Bushels. 190, 353 38 10, 999 3, 756 11, 362 13, 557 61, 233	Bushels. 189, 240 30 6, 134 741 11, 362 11, 846 36, 617	Russia. Servia (1). United States. Uruguay. Other countries Total	Bushels. 52, 759 4, 627 63, 533 19 5, 076 224, 988	Bushels. 30, 289 4, 627 32, 627 14 6, 538 365, 393	Bushels. 22, 898 4, 627 46, 923 14 6, 191 336, 623

IMPORTS.

				• 	07 740	00.000	00 405
Austria-Hungary	7,886	29,108	25,844	Netherlands	25,743	38,262	39,407
Belgium	24,814	32,021	25,036	Norway	1,019	1,471	1,130
British South Africa	29	114	818	Portugal	418	952	´952
Canada	16,440	9,331	9,041	Russia	339	279	609
Cuba	2,388	2,890	3,198	Spain	5,685	6,851	22,400
Denmark	11,085	13,809	15,938	Sweden	460	3,975	3,975
Egypt	227	110	1,184	Switzerland	4,059	4,342	4,785
France	19,742	23,951	23,276	United Kingdom	77,449	88,166	97,721
Germany	29,267	44,973	36,165	Other countries	3,258	5,668	8,866
Italy	15,118	21,294	13,849				
Mexico	9,050	1,548	1,548	Total	254,476	329,115	335,802
1				j			

.

WHEAT.

TABLE 11.—Wheat: Area and production of undermentioned countries, 1912–1914.

				Dec locations (
Country		Area.			Production.				
Country.	1912	1913	1914	1912	1913	1914			
NORTH AMERICA. United States	A cres. 45, 814, 000	Acres. 50, 184, 000	Acres. 53, 541, 000	Bushels. 730, 267, 000	Bushels. 763,380,000	Bushels. 891,017,000			
Canada: New Brunswick Ontario Manitoba Saskatchewan Alberta Other Total Canada	13,000855,0002,839,0005,582,0001,590,000118,00010,997,000	13,000850,0002,804,0005,720,0001,512,000117,00011,016,000	$13,000 \\ 834,000 \\ 2,616,000 \\ 5,348,000 \\ 1,371,000 \\ 111,000 \\ 10,293,000 $	$\begin{array}{r} 236,000\\ 17,421,000\\ 63,017,000\\ 106,960,000\\ 34,303,000\\ 2,222,000\\ \hline 224,159,000\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 269,000\\ 19,851,000\\ 53,331,000\\ 121,559,000\\ 34,372,000\\ 2,335,000\\ 231,717,000\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 234,000\\ 17,658,000\\ 38,605,000\\ 73,494,000\\ 28,859,000\\ 2,430,000\\ 161,280,000\end{array}$			
Mexico	(1)	(1)	(1)	12,000,000	10,000,000	8,000,000			
Total				966, 426, 000	1.005.097.000	1.060 297 000			
SOUTH AMERICA.									
Argentina. Chile. Uruguay	$17,042,000\\1,093,000\\799,000$	$17,096,000\\1,103,000\\816,000$	$16,242,000 \\ 1,151,000 \\ 911,000$	$166, 190, 000 \\ 22, 468, 000 \\ 8, 757, 000$	$187,391,000\\23,575,000\\5,461,000$	$113,904,000\\11,986,000\\5,887,000$			
Total				197, 415, 000	216, 427, 000	131,777,000			
EUROPE.									
Austria-Hungary: Austria Hungary proper Croatia-Slavonia Bosnia-Herzegovinia	3, 114, 000 8, 748, 000 833, 000 247, 000	$\begin{array}{c} 2,997,000\\ 7,700,000\\ 837,000\\ 320,000\end{array}$	$\overset{(1)}{\underset{(1)}{8,623,000}}_{741,000}$	69,712,000 173,328,000 11,314,000 2,993,000	$\begin{array}{c} 60, 109, 000\\ 151, 348, 000\\ 16, 899, 000\\ 3, 837, 000 \end{array}$	55,000,000 125,439,000 7,716,000 2,500,000			
Total Austria-Hun- gary	12,942,000	11,854,000		257, 347, 000	232, 193, 000	190, 655, 000			
Belgium . Bulgaria . Denmark . Finland . France . Germany . Greece . Italy .	$\begin{array}{c} 397,000\\ 2,887,000\\ (^2)134,000\\ (^1)\\ 16,979,000\\ 4,759,000\\ (^1)\\ 11,751,000\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 394,000\\ \textbf{2},513,000\\ (^1)\\ (^1)\\ \textbf{16},166,000\\ 4,878,000\\ (^1)\\ \textbf{11},842,000\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 400,000\\ \textbf{2},545,000\\ (1)\\ (1)\\ 16,049,000\\ 4,990,000\\ (1)\\ \textbf{11},783,000 \end{array}$	$15, 348, 000 \\ 44, 756, 000 \\ 5, 045, 000 \\ 130, 000 \\ 336, 284, 000 \\ 160, 224, 000 \\ 7, 000, 000 \\ 165, 720, 000 \\ 165, 720, 000 \\ 000$	$\begin{array}{c} 14,769,000\\ 40,000,000\\ 6,692,000\\ 130,000\\ 321,000,000\\ 171,075,000\\ 7,000,000\\ 214,405,000\\ 214,405,000\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 13,973,000\\ 36,000,000\\ 4,700,000\\ 130,000\\ 319,667,000\\ 160,000,000\\ 7,000,000\\ 169,442,000\\ 169,442,000\\ \end{array}$			
Montenegro. Netherlands Norway Portugal Roumania	(1) 143,000 $(^2)12,000$ 1,120,000 5,114,000	$(1) \\ 142,000 \\ (1) \\ 1,208,000 \\ 4,011,000$	(1) 145,000 (1) (1) 5,218,000	$\begin{array}{r} 200,000\\ 5,604,000\\ 332,000\\ 6,761,000\\ 88,924,000\end{array}$	200,000 5,164,000 325,000 9,186,000 83,236,000	$\begin{array}{r} 200,000\\ 5,380,000\\ 269,000\\ 10,000,000\\ 49,270,000 \end{array}$			
Russia: Russia proper Poland Northern Caucasia	49,581,000 1,248,000 9,839,000			$\begin{array}{r} 472,390,000\\24,626,000\\126,746,000\end{array}$					
Total Russia (Euro- pean)	60,668,000	62,066,000	62, 316, 000	623, 762,000	838,776,000	597,000,000			
Servia Spain Sweden . Switzerland Turkey (European)	$956,0009,625,000260,000105,000(^1)$	$573,000 \\9,644,000 \\(1) \\103,000 \\(1)$	$\begin{array}{c} (1)\\9,681,000\\(1)\\(1)\\(1)\\(1)\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 16,351,000\\ 109,783,000\\ 7,832,000\\ 3,178,000\\ 18,000,000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10,521,000\\ 112,401,000\\ 9,330,000\\ 3,546,000\\ 18,000,000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 9,000,000\\ 116,089,000\\ 8,472,000\\ 3,480,000\\ 18,000,000 \end{array}$			
United Kingdom: England	$1,822,000 \\ 41,000 \\ 62,000 \\ 45,000$	$1,663,000 \\ 38,000 \\ 55,000 \\ 34,000$	1,770,00037,00061,00037,000	54,004,000 1,123,000 2,471,000 1,564,000	53, 736, 000 1, 075, 000 2, 335, 000 1, 295, 000	$59,308,000 \\ 1,082,000 \\ 2,641,000 \\ 1,415,000$			
Total United King- dom	1,970,000	1,790,000	1,905,000	59, 162, 000	58,441,000	64, 446, 000			
Total				1,931,743,000	2,156,393,000	1,783,173,000			

¹ No data.

Census of 1907.

WHEAT-Continued.

TABLE 11.—Wheat: Area and production of countries undermentioned, 1912-1914-Con.

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	,					
Country		Area.			Production.	
Country.	1912	1913	1914	1912	1913	1914
ASIA. British India Cyprus	Acres. 31, 141, 000 (¹)	Acres. 29,524,000	Acres. 27,697,000 (1)	Bushels. 370,515,000 2,176,000	Bushels. 362,693,000 2,100,000	Bushels. 314,608,000 2,000,000
Japanese Empire: Japan Formosa	$1,216,000\\14,000$	1,075,000 (¹)	1, 163, 000 (¹)	26,514,000 164,000	26,757,000 160,000	21,642,000 160,000
Total Japanese Em- pire				26,678,000	26,917,000	21, 802, 000
Persia	(1)	(1)	(1)	16,000,000	16,000,000	14,000,000
Russia: Central Asia (4 Govern- ments of) Siberia (4 Governments of) Transcaucasia (1 Govern- ment of)	3,804,000 6,254,000 10,000			36,977,000 59,198,000 105,000		
Total Russia (Asiatic).	10,068,000	(2)13,102,000	(1)	96,280,000	(2)121,042,000	(2)179,960,000
Turkey (Asia Minor only)	(1)	(1)	(1)	35,000,000	35,000,000	35,000,000
Total				546, 649, 000	563,752,000	567, 370, 000
AFRICA.						
Algeria Egypt Tunis. Union of South Africa	3,614,000 1,332,000 1,263,000 $(^1)$	${ \begin{array}{c} 3,448,000 \\ 1,355,000 \\ 1,235,000 \\ (^1) \end{array} } $	$ \begin{array}{c} (1) \\ (1) \\ 1,058,000 \\ (1) \end{array} $	27, 172, 000 30, 903, 000 4, 225, 000 (3)6, 034, 000	36,848,000 38,426,000 5,511,000 (³)6,034,000	$\begin{array}{c} 30,000,000\\ 33,088,000\\ 2,205,000\\ 6,034,000 \end{array}$
Total				68, 334, 000	86, 819, 000	71, 327, 000
AUSTRALASIA.						
Australia: Queensland New South Wales Victoria. South Australia. Western Australia. Tasmania.	$\begin{array}{r} 43,000\\ 2,381,000\\ 2,164,000\\ 2,191,000\\ 612,000\\ 37,000\end{array}$	$125,000 \\ 2,231,000 \\ 2,085,000 \\ 2,080,000 \\ 793,000 \\ 25,000$	$132,000 \\ 3,205,000 \\ 2,566,000 \\ 2,268,000 \\ 1,097,000 \\ 18,000$	$\begin{array}{c} 294,000\\ 25,879,000\\ 21,550,000\\ 20,994,000\\ 4,496,000\\ 681,000\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,038,000\\ 33,511,000\\ 27,050,000\\ 22,174,000\\ 9,457,000\\ 650,000\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,825,000\\ 39,219,000\\ 33,974,000\\ 17,470,000\\ 13,751,000\\ 361,000\end{array}$
Total Australia New Zealand	7, 428, 000 216, 000	7,339,000 190,000	9,286,000 167,000	73, 894, 000 7, 490, 000	94, 880, 000 5, 343, 000	$\frac{106,600,000}{5,559,000}$
Total Australasia	7,644,000	7, 429, 000	9,453,000	81, 384, 000	100, 223, 000	112, 159, 000
Grand total				3,791,951,000	4,128,711,000	3,726,103,000

¹ No data.

² Ten governments.

³ Yield in 1911 (census).

520

Statistics of Wheat.

WHEAT—Continued.

TABLE 12.—Wheat: Total production of countries mentioned in Table 11, 1891–1914.

Year.	Production.	Year.	Production.	Year.	Production.	Year.	Production.
1891 1892 1893 1894 1895 1896	Bushels. 2, 432, 322, 000 2, 481, 805, 000 2, 559, 174, 000 2, 660, 557, 000 2, 593, 312, 000 2, 506, 320, 000	1897 1898 1899 1900 1901 1902	Bushels. 2, 236, 268, 000 2, 948, 305, 000 2, 783, 885, 000 2, 640, 751, 000 2, 955, 975, 000 3, 090, 116, 000	1903 1904 1905 1906 1907 1908	Bushels. 3, 189, 813, 000 3, 163, 542, 000 3, 327, 084, 000 3, 434, 354, 000 3, 133, 965, 000 3, 182, 105, 000	1909 1910 1911 1912 1913 1914	Bushels. 3, 581, 519, 000 3, 575, 055, 000 3, 551, 795, 000 3, 791, 951, 600 4, 128, 711, 000 3, 726, 103, 000

TABLE 13.—Wheat: Average yield per acre of undermentioned countries, 1890-1913.

Year.	United States.	Russia (Euro- pean). ¹	Ger- many.1	Austria.1	Hungary proper. ¹	France. ²	United King- dom. ²
A verage: 1890–1899 1900–1909	Bushels. 13.2 14.1	Bushels. 8.9 9.7	Bushels. 24.5 28.9	Bushels. 16.2 18.0	Bushels. 17.5	Bushels. 18.6 20.5	Bushels. 31.2 33.1
1904 1905 1906 1907 1908 1909 1910 1911 1912	$12.5 \\ 14.5 \\ 15.5 \\ 14.0 \\ 14.0 \\ 15.4 \\ 13.9 \\ 12.5 \\ 15.9 \\ 15.2 $	11.5 10.0 7.7 8.0 8.8 12.5 11.2 7.0 10.3 (3)12.9	29.5 28.5 30.3 29.6 29.7 30.5 29.6 30.6 33.6 33.1	19.5 19.6 20.3 18.0 21.0 19.9 19.2 19.6 22.3 19.9	16.3 18.7 22.5 14.9 17.5 14.1 19.8 20.9 19.8 19.6	18.5 20.9 20.2 23.2 19.6 22.0 15.9 19.8 21.0 19.9	27.8 33.9 34.8 35.1 33.4 35.0 31.4 34.0 30.0 32.7
Average (1904–1913)	13. 2	10.0	30.7	19.9	19. 6	20.1	32.8

¹ Bushels of 60 pounds. ² Winchester bushels.

³ Includes 10 Governments of Asiatic Russia.

WHEAT-Continued.

TABLE 14.—Wheat: Acreage, production, value, exports, etc., in the United States, 1849-1914.

NOTE.—Figures in *italics* are census returns; figures in roman are estimates of the Department of Agriculture. Estimates of acres are obtained by applying estimated percentages of increase or decrease to the published numbers of the preceding year, except that a revised base is used for applying percentage estimates whenever new census data are available.

		A ver-		A ver- age		Chie bu spi	ago ca shel, N ring.	sh prid Vo. 1 no	ce per orthern	Domestic exports, in-	Per cent
Year.	Acreage harvested.	yield per acre.	Production.	price per bushel Dec. 1.	Farm value Dec. 1.	Dece	mber.	Follo M	owing ay.	flour, fiscal year beginning July 1.	crop ex- port ed.
						Low.	High.	Low.	High		
1849 1859	A cres.	Bush.	Bushels. 100, 486, 000 173, 105, 000	Cents.	Dollars.	Cts.	Cts.	Cts.	Cts.	Bushels. 7,535,901 17,213,133	P. ct. 7.5 9.9
1866 1867 1868 1869	$\begin{array}{c} 15,424,000\\ 18,322,000\\ 18,460,000\\ 19,181,000 \end{array}$	9.9 11.6 12.1 13.6	152,000,000 212,441,000 224,037,000 260,147,000 287,746,000	$\begin{array}{c} 152.\ 7\\ 145.\ 2\\ 108.\ 5\\ 76.\ 5\end{array}$	232, 110, 000 308, 387, 000 243, 033, 000 199, 025, 000	$\begin{array}{c} 129 \\ 126 \\ 80 \\ 63 \end{array}$	$145 \\ 140 \\ 88 \\ 76$	$ \begin{array}{r} 185 \\ 134 \\ 87 \\ 79 \\ 79 \end{array} $	$211 \\ 161 \\ 96 \\ 92$		8.3 12.4 13.3 20.7
1870	18, 993, 000	12.4	235, 885, 000	94.4	222, 767, 000	91	98	113	120	52, 574, 111	22.3
1871 1872 1873 1874 1875	$\begin{array}{c} 19,944,000\\ 20,858,000\\ 22,172,000\\ 24,967,000\\ 26,382,000 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 11.6\\ 12.0\\ 12.7\\ 12.3\\ 11.1 \end{array} $	230, 722, 000 249, 997, 000 281, 255, 000 308, 103, 000 292, 136, 000	$114.5 \\ 111.4 \\ 106.9 \\ 86.3 \\ 89.5$	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	107 97 96 78 82	$ \begin{array}{r} 111 \\ 108 \\ 106 \\ 83 \\ 91 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 120 \\ 112 \\ 105 \\ 78 \\ 89 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 143 \\ 122 \\ 114 \\ 94 \\ 100 \end{array} $	38, 995, 755 52, 014, 715 91, 510, 398 72, 912, 817 74, 750, 682	16.9 20.8 32.5 23.7 25.6
1876 1877 1878 1879 1879	27, 627, 000 26, 278, 000 32, 109, 000 32, 546, 000 35, 430, 000 27, 087, 000	10.5 13.9 13.1 13.8 <i>13.9</i> 12.1	289, 356, 000 364, 194, 000 420, 122, 000 448, 757, 000 459, 483, 000	97.0 105.7 77.6 110.8	280, 743, 000 385, 089, 000 325, 814, 000 497, 030, 000	104 103 81 122	$ \begin{array}{c} 117 \\ 108 \\ 84 \\ 133\frac{1}{2} \\ 1003 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 130 \\ 98 \\ 91 \\ 112\frac{1}{2} \\ 101 \end{array} $	172 113 102 119	57, 043, 936 92, 141, 626 150, 502, 506 180, 304, 181	19.7 25.3 35.8 40.2
1880 1881 1882 1883 1884 1885	37, 709, 000 37, 067, 000 36, 456, 000 39, 476, 000 34, 189, 000	10. 2 13. 6 11. 6 13. 0 10. 4	383, 280, 000 504, 185, 000 421, 086, 000 512, 765, 000 357, 112, 000	93. 1 119. 2 88. 4 91. 1 64. 5 77. 1	474, 202, 000 456, 880, 000 445, 602, 000 383, 649, 000 330, 862, 000 275, 320, 000	$\begin{array}{c} 93_{2} \\ 124_{4} \\ 91_{5} \\ 94_{5} \\ 69_{1} \\ 82_{4}^{7} \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 109_{\frac{1}{2}} \\ 129 \\ 94_{\frac{3}{4}} \\ 99_{\frac{1}{4}} \\ 76_{\frac{3}{8}} \\ 89 \\ \end{array} $	101 123 108 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	121, 892, 389 147, 811, 316 111, 534, 182 132, 570, 366 94, 565, 793	31.8 29.3 26.5 25.9 26.5
1886 1887 1888 1889 <i>1889</i>	36, 806, 000 37, 642, 000 37, 336, 000 38, 124, 000 33, 580, 000 36, 087, 000	12.4 12.1 11.1 12.9 13.9	457, 218, 000 456, 329, 000 415, 868, 000 490, 560, 000 468, 374, 000	68.7 68.1 92.6 69.8	314, 226, 000 310, 613, 000 385, 248, 000 342, 492, 000	75 1 75 1 965 763 763	79 <u>1</u> 79 <u>1</u> 105 <u>1</u> 80 <u>1</u>	$ \begin{array}{r} 80\frac{3}{4} \\ 81\frac{1}{4} \\ 77\frac{1}{4} \\ 89\frac{3}{4} \\ \dots \\ 097 $	$ 88\frac{3}{89\frac{7}{8}} \\ 95\frac{1}{2} \\ 100 \\ 1001 $	153, 804, 969 119, 625, 344 88, 600, 743 109, 430, 467	33. 6 26. 2 21. 3 22. 3
1890 1891 1892 1893 1894 1895	39,917,000 38,554,000 34,629,000 34,882,000 34,047,000	11. 1 15. 3 13. 4 11. 4 13. 2 13. 7	$\begin{array}{c} 611, 781, 000\\ 515, 947, 000\\ 396, 132, 000\\ 460, 267, 000\\ 467, 103, 000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 83.9\\ 62.4\\ 53.8\\ 49.1\\ 50.9 \end{array}$	513, 473, 000 322, 112, 000 213, 171, 000 225, 902, 000 237, 939, 000	893 691 591 523 534	921 931 73 641 638 643	908 80 684 525 604 578	854 764 604 858 678	$\begin{array}{c} 225, 665, 811\\ 191, 912, 635\\ 164, 283, 129\\ 144, 812, 718\\ 126, 443, 968 \end{array}$	20.0 36.9 37.2 41.5 31.5 27.1
1896 1897 1898 1899 <i>1899</i>	34, 619, 000 39, 465, 000 44, 055, 000 44, 593, 000 52, 589, 000 42, 405, 000	12.4 13.4 15.3 12.3 <i>12.5</i> 19.2	427, 684, 000 530, 149, 000 675, 149, 000 547, 304, 000 658, 534, 000 539, 230, 000	72. 6 80. 8 58. 2 58. 4	310, 598, 000 428, 547, 000 392, 770, 000 319, 545, 000	$74\frac{5}{92}$ $62\frac{3}{4}$ 64 	$93\frac{1}{8}$ 109 70 $69\frac{1}{2}$ 745	$ \begin{array}{r} $	$97\frac{7}{8}$ 185 $79\frac{1}{2}$ $67\frac{1}{2}$ 751	145, 124, 972 217, 306, 005 222, 618, 420 186, 096, 762	33.9 41.0 33.0 34.0
1901 1902 1903 1904 1905	$\begin{array}{c} 49,896,000\\ 46,202,000\\ 49,465,000\\ 44,075,000\\ 47,854,000 \end{array}$	12. 5 15. 0 14. 5 12. 9 12. 5 14. 5	748, 460, 000 670, 063, 000 637, 822, 000 552, 400, 000 692, 979, 000	62.4 63.0 69.5 92.4 74.8	$\begin{array}{c} 467, 360, 000\\ 422, 224, 000\\ 443, 025, 000\\ 510, 490, 000\\ 518, 373, 000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 597\\ 73\\ 71\frac{7}{8}\\ 77\frac{3}{4}\\ 115\\ 82\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	79 <u>1</u> 77 <u>3</u> 77 <u>3</u> 87 122 90	723 743 873 891 801	$\begin{array}{r} 76\frac{1}{8} \\ 80\frac{5}{8} \\ 101\frac{1}{2} \\ 113\frac{3}{4} \\ 87\frac{1}{4} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 234,772,516\\ 202,905,598\\ 120,727,613\\ 44,112,910\\ 97,609,007 \end{array}$	31. 4 30. 3 18. 9 8. 0 14. 1
1906 1907 1908 1909	$\begin{array}{c} 47,306,000\\ 45,211,000\\ 47,557,000\\ 46,723,000\\ 10,723,000 \end{array}$	$15.5 \\ 14.0 \\ 14.0 \\ 15.8 \\ $	735, 261, 000 634, 087, 000 664, 602, 000 737, 189, 000	66.7 87.4 92.8	490, 333, 000 554, 437, 000 616, 826, 000	106 ¹ / ₂	112	84 126 ¹ / ₂	106 137	146, 700, 425 163, 043, 669 114, 268, 468	20.0 25.7 17.2
1909 1910 ¹	44, 261,000 45, 681,000	15.4 13.9	683, 366, 000 635, 121, 000	98.6 88.3	673, 659, 000 561, 051, 000	106 104	$119\frac{3}{4}$ 110	100 98	$119\frac{1}{4}$ 106	87,364,318 69,311,760	12.8 10.9
1911 1912 1913 1914	49, 543, 000 45, 814, 000 50, 184, 000 53, 541, 000	12.5 15.9 15.2 16.6	621, 338, 000 730, 267, 000 763, 380, 000 891, 017, 000	87.4 76.0 79.9 98.6	543,063,000 555,280,000 610,122,000 878,680,000	$ \begin{array}{r} 105 \\ 85 \\ 89\frac{1}{2} \\ 115 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 110 \\ 90\frac{3}{4} \\ 93 \\ 131 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 115 \\ 90\frac{1}{2} \\ 96 \\ \dots \end{array} $	122 96 100	79, 689, 404 142, 879, 596 145, 590, 349	12.8 19.6 19.1

¹ Figures adjusted to census basis.
Statistics of Wheat.

WHEAT-Continued.

 TABLE 15.—Winter and spring wheat: Acreage, production, and farm value Dec. 1, by States in 1914, and United States totals, 1890–1914.

		١	Winter whe	at.			1	Spring whe	at.	
State and year.	Acreage har- vested.	A ver- age yield per acre.	Produc- tion.	Aver- age farm price Dec.1.	Farm value Dec. 1.	Acreage.	Aver- age yield per acre.	Produc- tion.	A ver- age farm price Dec.1.	Farm value Dec. 1.
<u>М</u> е Vt	Acres.	Bu.	Bushels.	Cts.	Dollars.	A cres. 3,000	Bu. 27.0 29.0	Bushels. 81,000 29,000	Cts. 109 100	Dollars. 88,000 29,000
N. Y N. J.	360,000	22.5	8, 100, 000 1, 422, 000	108 109	8, 748, 000 1, 550, 000					
Ра	1,312,000	18.1	23, 747, 000	104	24, 697, 000					
Del Md	114,000	20.5 21.5	2,337,000 13.158.000	109 .	2,547,000					
Va	779,000	14.5	11, 296, 000	108	12,200,000					<i></i>
W. Va N. C	236,000	15.0 12.0	3,540,000	108	3,823,000	·····			•••••	•••••
8. C	80,000	11.5	920,000	145	1, 334, 000					
Ga	140,000	12.1	1,694,000	134	2,270,000					
Ind	2, 485, 000	17.4	43, 239, 000	103	44, 536, 000					
111 Mich	2,500,000	18.5	46, 250, 000	101	46, 712, 000					
Wis	85,000	21.5	1,828,000	100	1,828,000	99,000	17.0	1, 683, 000	100	1,683,000
Minn	50,000	19.5	975,000	102	994,000	4,000,000	10.5	42,000,000	102	42, 840, 000
Mo	2, 549, 000	17.0	43, 333, 000	90	42, 466, 000	300,000	13.5	4,050,000		3, 888, 000
N. Dak						7, 285, 000	11.2	81, 592, 000	101	82, 408, 000
S. Dak	69,000 3,325,000	14.0	966,000 64.172,000	94 95	908,000	3,400,000	9.0	30,600,000	94 95	28,764,000
Kans	8,600,000	20.5	176, 300, 000	95	167, 485, 000	60,000	15.0	900,000	95	855,000
теnn	700,000	10.0	12, 540, 000	103	12,910,000	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••
Åla	31,000	13.0	403,000	126	508,000					
Miss	1,000 1.082.000	13.0	13,000	125	16,000	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • •
Okla	2, 525, 000	19.0	47,975,000	92	44, 137, 000					
Ark	125,000	13.0	1,625,000	99	1,609,000					
Wyo	45,000	23.0 24.0	1,080,000	89	961,000	429,000	22.0	1,293,000 1,210,000	89	1,077,000
Colo	250, 000	25.0	6, 250, 000	87	5, 438, 000	225,000	22.5	5, 062, 000	87	4, 404, 000
N. Mex	45,000	25.0 28.0	1,125,000 868,000	90 125	1,012,000 1.085,000	31,000	23.0	713,000	. 90	642,000
Utah	223,000	25.0	5, 575, 000	86	4, 794, 000	68,000	25.0	1,700,000	86	1,462,000
Nev	18,000	29.0 27.5	522,000	95 97	496,000 9 110 000	27,000	30.0	5 040 000	95 97	770,000
Wash	960,000	26.5	25, 440, 000	100	25, 440, 000	820,000	20.0	16, 400, 000	100	16,400,000
Oreg	622,000 400,000	22.0	13,684,000	102	13,958,000	177,000	16.5	2,920,000	102	2,978,000
	100,000				1,012,000					
U. S	36,008,000	19.0	684, 990, 000	98.6	675, 623, 000	17,533,000	11.8	206,027,000	98.6	203,057,000
1913	26,571,000	10.5 15.1	399, 919, 000	82.9 80.9	433, 995, 000 323, 572, 000	18, 485, 000	13.0	239, 819, 000	73.4	231, 708, 000
1911	29, 162, 000	14.8	430, 656, 000	88.0	379, 151, 000	20, 381, 000	9.4	190, 682, 000	86.0	163, 912, 000
1 909 ¹	27, 329, 000	15.9	434, 142, 000	102.4	427, 872, 000	18,352,000	15.4	200,979,000 265,569,000	88.9 92.6	245, 787,000
1908	30, 349, 000	14.4	437,908,000	93.7	410, 330, 000	17,208,000	13.2	226,694,000	91.1	206, 496, 000
1907 1906	28,132,000 29,600,000	14.6 167	409, 442, 000	88.2 68.3	361, 217, 000 336, 435, 000	17,079,000 17,706,000	$13.2 \\ 13.7$	224, 645, 000 242, 373, 000	86.0 63.5	193, 220, 000 153, 898, 000
1905	29, 864, 000	14.3	428, 462, 000	78.2	334, 987, 000	17, 990, 000	14.7	264, 517, 000	69.3	183, 386, 000
1904	26,866,000	12.4	332, 935, 000	97.8	325, 611, 000	17,209,000 16,054,000	12.8	219, 464, 000 237, 055, 000	84.2 65.0	184,879,000
1902	28,581,000	14.4	411, 789, 000	64.8	266, 727, 000	17, 621, 000	14.7	258, 274, 000	60.2	155, 497, 000
1901	30, 240, 000 26, 236, 000	15.2	458, 835, 000	66.1 63 3	303, 227, 000 221, 668, 000	19,656,000 16,259,000	14.7	289, 626, 000 172, 204, 000	56.7 50 1	164, 133, 000
1899	25, 358, 000	11.5	291, 706, 000	63.0	183, 767, 000	19, 235, 000	13.3	255, 598, 000	53.1	135, 778, 000
1898	25, 745, 000	14.9	382, 492, 000	62.2	237, 736, 000	18, 310, 000	16.0	292, 657, 000	53.0	155,034,000
1897	22, 926, 000 22, 794, 000	14.1	3⊿3, 816, 000 267, 934, 000	85.1 77.0	∠15, 323, 000 206, 270, 000	10, 539, 000 11, 825, 000	12.5	200, 533, 000 159, 750, 000	74.2 65.3	103, 224, 000
1895	22, 609, 000	11.6	261, 242, 000	57.8	150, 944, 000	11, 438, 000	18.0	205, 861, 000	42.3	86, 995, 000
1894	23, 519, 000	14.0 12.0	329,290,000 278 469 000	49.8	104,022,000 156 720 000	11, 364, 000	11.5	130,977,000 117 662 000	47.2	01,880,000 56 451 000
1892	26, 209, 000	13.7	359, 416, 000	65.1	234, 037, 000	12, 345, 000	12.7	156, 531, 000	56.3	88,075,000
1891	27, 524, 000 23, 520, 000	14.7	405, 116, 000 255, 374, 000	88.0 87.5	356, 415, 000 223, 362, 000	12,393,000 12,567,000	16.7 11 4	206, 665, 000 143, 890, 000	76.0 77 4	157,058,000
	20, 020, 000	10. 0	200, 01 1, 000	01.0	220,002,000j	,001,000	11. 1	10,000,000		,,

¹ Census acreage and production.

WHEAT-Continued.

 TABLE 16.—Winter and spring wheat:
 Yield per acre in States producing both, for ten years.

WINTER WHEAT.

				Yie	ld per	acre (b	ushels).			
State.	10-year aver- age.	1905	1906	1907	1908	1909	1910	1911	1912	1913	1914
Wisconsin Minnesota	19.2	19.2	18.4	15.5	19.5	20.4	20.0	17.5	19.5 23.0	20.1 16.2 23.4	21.5 19.5 21.6
South Dakota Nebraska	18.6	20.0	23.2	19.0	17.8	19.4	16.5	13.8	18.0	9.0 18.6	14.0 19.3
Kansas Montana Wyoming Colorado	14.226.626.523.6	13.9 	15.3	11. 3 	12.8 25.0	14.5 32.5 32.5 29.7	$14.2 \\ 22.0 \\ 25.0 \\ 23.0 \\ $	$10.8 \\ 31.7 \\ 26.0 \\ 18.0 \\ 0$	15.5 24.5 28.0 24 .5	$13.0 \\ 25.6 \\ 25.0 \\ 21.1 \\ 10.0 \\ $	$\begin{array}{c c} 20.5 \\ 23.0 \\ 24.0 \\ 25.0 \end{array}$
New Mexico Arizona Utah	21.7 28.7 22.8		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•••••	23.0	 24.0	20.0 22.3 20.5	25.0 30.0 20.0	20.0 31.0 24.0	18.6 32.0 23.0	25.0 28.0 25.0
Nevada Idaho Washington Oregon	$\begin{array}{c} 25.1 \\ 28.1 \\ 26.1 \\ 22.9 \end{array}$	32.0 28.3 21.1	25.4 24.1 22.3	26.0 29.5 25.5	30.0 24.5 23.2	24. 0 29. 0 25. 8 21. 0	24.0 23.7 20.5 23.7	23.0 31.5 27.3 22.2	27.5 28.7 27.6 26.8	$23.0 \\ 27.4 \\ 27.0 \\ 21.4$	29.0 27.5 26.5 22.0
United States	15.7	14.3	16.7	14.6	14.4	15.8	15.9	14.8	15.1	16.5	19.0

SPRING WHEAT.

Wisconsin Minnesota Iowa South Dakota Nebraska	$16.9 \\ 13.5 \\ 15.4 \\ 11.4 \\ 12.9$	15.8 13.3 13.8 13.7 14.0	15.7 10.9 14.9 13.4 14.7	$13.5 \\ 13.0 \\ 12.8 \\ 11.2 \\ 12.0$	17.5 12.8 15.5 12.8 13.0	19.0 16.8 14.7 14.1 14.0	18.7 16.0 20.9 12.8 13.9	14.5 10.1 13.8 4.0 10.0	18.5 15.5 17.0 14.2 14.1	18.6 16.2 17.0 9.0 12.0	17.0 10.5 13.5 9.0 11.5
Kansas Montana. W yoming Colorado. New Mexico.	9.923.926.224.622.5	$14.1 \\ 23.8 \\ 25.4 \\ 25.0 \\ 22.2$	$11.4 \\ 24.0 \\ 28.7 \\ 32.5 \\ 25.0$	5.8 28.8 28.5 29.0 24.0	5.5 24.2 25.5 21.0 25.0	$11.5 \\ 28.8 \\ 27.0 \\ 29.4 \\ 24.5$	$\begin{array}{r} 8.4 \\ 22.0 \\ 25.0 \\ 21.9 \\ 20.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 4.2\\ 25.2\\ 26.0\\ 19.5\\ 20.5 \end{array}$	$15.0 \\ 23.5 \\ 29.2 \\ 24.0 \\ 22.0$	8.5 21.5 25.0 21.0 19.0	15.0 17.0 22.0 22.5 .23.0
Arizona. Utah Nevada. Idaho. Washington. Oregon.	24.8 27.3 30.2 25.3 19.6 18.1	$\begin{array}{c} 22.4\\ 26.4\\ 27.0\\ 23.8\\ 22.5\\ 16.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 25.\ 2\\ 27.\ 4\\ 31.\ 5\\ 23.\ 5\\ 19.\ 6\\ 17.\ 5\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 25.9\\ 28.8\\ 32.0\\ 24.5\\ 24.5\\ 21.5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 26.7\\ 27.5\\ 30.0\\ 25.4\\ 15.0\\ 16.5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 25.0\\ 28.5\\ 28.7\\ 26.0\\ 20.6\\ 18.7 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 22.3\\ 25.3\\ 29.0\\ 20.4\\ 14.5\\ 18.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 25.0\\ 27.0\\ 32.5\\ 29.0\\ 19.5\\ 17.7 \end{array}$	$28.0 \\ 29.2 \\ 30.2 \\ 28.3 \\ 20.4 \\ 19.5$	24.5 28.0 31.0 28.0 19.0 19.5	$\begin{array}{c} 23.\ 0\\ 25.\ 0\\ 30.\ 0\\ 24.\ 0\\ 20.\ 0\\ 16.\ 5\end{array}$
United States	13.3	14.7	13.7	13.2	13.2	15.8	11.0	9.4	17.2	13.0	11.8

Statistics of Wheat.

WHEAT-Continued.

TABLE 17.—Wheat: Acreage, production, and total farm value, by States, 1913 and 1914.

State.	Thousand	ls of acres.	Producti sands of	on (thou- bushels).	Total va Dec. (thous dollars	lue, basis 1 price ands of 3).
	1914	1913	1914	1913	1914	1913
Maine. Vermont. New York. New Jersey. Pennsylvania.	$3 \\ 1 \\ 360 \\ 79 \\ 1,312$	$ \begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 1 \\ 340 \\ 80 \\ 1,286 \end{array} $	81 29 8,100 1,422 23,747	$76 \\ 24 \\ 6,800 \\ 1,408 \\ 21,862$	88 29 8, 748 1, 550 24, 697	77 24 6, 324 1, 352 19, 894
Delaware. Maryland Virginia. West Virginia. North Carolina.	114 612 779 236 611	113 610 780 235 605	2,337 13,158 11,296 3,540 7,332	1,638 8,113 10,608 3,055 7,078	2,547 13,947 12,200 3,823 8,578	$\begin{array}{c} 1,441 \\ 7,221 \\ 10,184 \\ 3,055 \\ 7,503 \end{array}$
South Carolina Georgia. Ohio. Indiana. Illinois.	80 140 1,975 2,485 2,500	$79 \\ 140 \\ 1,950 \\ 2,150 \\ 2,240$	$920 \\ 1,694 \\ 36,538 \\ 43,239 \\ 46,250$	$972 \\ 1,708 \\ 35,100 \\ 39,775 \\ 41,888 \end{cases}$	$1,334 \\ 2,270 \\ 38,365 \\ 44,536 \\ 46,712$	$\begin{array}{r} 1,264\\ 2,050\\ 31,590\\ 35,002\\ 36,024 \end{array}$
Michigan Wisconsin Minnesota Iowa Missouri	879 184 4,050 810 2,549	835 190 4,200 795 2,315	$17,316 \\ 3,511 \\ 42,975 \\ 15,066 \\ 43,333$	$\begin{array}{c} 12,776\\ 3,665\\ 68,040\\ 16,395\\ 39,586 \end{array}$	$17,835 \\ 3,511 \\ 43,834 \\ 14,463 \\ 42,466$	$11,371 \\ 3,005 \\ 51,711 \\ 12,460 \\ 33,252$
North Dakota South Dakota Nebraska Kansas Kentucky	7,285 3,469 3,668 8,660 760	7,510 3,775 3,475 6,710 725	$81,592 \\ 31,566 \\ 68,116 \\ 177,200 \\ 12,540$	78,855 33,975 62,325 86,983 9,860	$\begin{array}{r} 82,408\\ 29,672\\ 64,710\\ 168,340\\ 12,916\end{array}$	57, 564 24, 122 44, 251 68, 717 9, 466
Tennessee Alabama Mississippi Texas Oklahoma	$720 \\ 31 \\ 1,082 \\ 2,525$	700 32 1 780 1,750	$11,160\\403\\13\\14,066\\47,975$	$ar{8},400\ 374\ 14\ 13,650\ 17,500$	$11,718 \\ 508 \\ 16 \\ 13,925 \\ 44,137$	8,232 430 13 12,831 14,350
Arkansas. Montana. Wyoming. Colorado.	125 910 100 475	101 870 90 460	$1,625 \\ 18,356 \\ 2,290 \\ 11,312$	$^{1,313}_{20,673}\\^{2,250}_{9,680}$	$1,609 \\ 16,704 \\ 2,038 \\ 9,842$	$1,182 \\ 13,644 \\ 1,620 \\ 7,551$
New Mexico. Arizona Utah Nevada.	76 31 291 45	65 29 265 39	$^{1,838}_{868}\\^{7,275}_{1,332}$	$^{1,221}_{928}_{6,420}_{1,081}$	$1,654 \\ 1,085 \\ 6,256 \\ 1,266$	$1,184 \\ 1,021 \\ 4,687 \\ 887$
Idaho Washington Oregon California	1, 780 799 400	2,300 750 300	$14,362 \\ 41,840 \\ 16,604 \\ 6,800$	$14,094 \\ 53,300 \\ 15,717 \\ 4,200$	$12,495\\41,840\\16,936\\7,072$	
United States	53, 541	50, 184	891,017	763, 380	878, 680	610, 122

WHEAT-Continued.

 TABLE 18.—Wheat: Production and distribution in the United States, 1897–1914.

Year.	Old stock on farms July 1.	Crop.	Total supplies.	Stock on farms Mar. 1 following.	Shipped out of county where grown.
1897	$\begin{array}{c} Bushels.\\ 23, 347\\ 17, 839\\ 64, 061\\ 50, 900\\ 30, 552\\ 52, 437\\ 42, 540\\ 36, 634\\ 24, 257\\ 46, 053\\ 54, 853\\ 33, 797\\ 15, 062\\ 35, 929\\ 34, 071\\ 23, 876\\ 35, 512\\ \end{array}$		Bushels. 553, 496 692, 988 611, 365 573, 130 779, 012 722, 500 680, 362 589, 034 717, 236 781, 314 688, 940 -698, 399 698, 397 671, 050 655, 409 754, 143 708, 895 555 555 555 555 555 555 555	Bushels. 121, 320 198, 056 158, 746 128, 098 173, 353 164, 047 132, 608 111, 055 158, 403 206, 642 148, 721 143, 692 160, 214 162, 705 122, 025 156, 483 151, 809 151, 809 151, 809 152, 005 152, 005 153, 005 153, 005 154, 005 155, 0	Bushels. 269,126 398,882 305,020 281,372 372,717 388,554 369,582 302,771 404,092 427,253 367,607 398,435 417,464 352,906 348,821 449,906 441,753 541,108

[000 omitted.]

Statistics of Wheat.

WHEAT-Continued.

			<i>•</i>]	rield	l per	acre	(bu	shel	s).				F	arm	prie (e	e per cents	bush).	nel	Val a (dol	ue per. ere lars).1
State.	10- year aver- age, 1905- 1914.	1905	5 1906	1907	7 1908	1909	1910) 191	1 91	12	1913	1914	10- yea: aver age 1905 1914	191	0 191	1 191	2 191:	3 1914	5- year aver- age, 1910- 1914.	1914
Me Vt N. Y N. J Pa	25. 0 24. 8 19. 8 17. 8 17. 3	23. 0 18. 8 21. 0 16. 4 17. 1	24. 8 22. 3 20. 0 18. 3 17. 7	$\begin{array}{c} 26.2\\ 23.0\\ 17.3\\ 18.5\\ 18.6\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 23.5 \\ 23.0 \\ 17.5 \\ 17.3 \\ 18.5 \end{array}$	25.5 25.0 21.0 17.9 17.0	29. 7 29. 3 23. 7 18. 5 17. 8	21. 27. 19. 17. 17. 13.	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 23. \\ 8 & 25. \\ 5 & 16. \\ 4 & 18. \\ 5 & 18. \end{array}$. 5 2 . 0 2 . 0 2 . 5 1 . 0 1	25.5 24.5 20.0 17.6 17.0	27. 0 29. 0 22. 5 18. 0 18. 1	10 10 9 9 9	$\begin{array}{cccc} 5 & 10 \\ 0 & 10 \\ 7 & 9 \\ 7 & 9 \\ 7 & 9 \\ 4 & 9 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{cccc} 2 & 11 \\ 3 & 9 \\ 6 & 9 \\ 8 & 9 \\ 2 & 9 \\ 2 & 9 \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 103 \\ 9 & 93 \\ 5 & 93 \\ 6 & 93 \\ 2 & 93 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccc} 3 & 101 \\ 3 & 100 \\ 9 & 93 \\ 9 & 96 \\ 5 & 91 \\ \end{array}$	109 100 108 108 109 104	26.56 27.14 20.00 17.90 16.04	29. 43 29. 00 24. 30 19. 62 18. 82
Del Md Va W. Va N. C	16.6 16.5 12.4 13.0 9.9	$13.8 \\ 16.3 \\ 11.4 \\ 12.3 \\ 6.7$	16.0 16.0 12.5 12.7 9.1	20. 5 19. 0 12. 5 12. 2 9. 5	15.0 16.4 11.4 13.0 10.0	14.0 14.5 11.2 13.0 9.5	$17.0 \\ 17.4 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.5 \\ 11.4 \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} 16. \\ 15. \\ 12. \\ 11. \\ 10. \end{array}$	717. $515.$ $11.$ $514.$ $58.$	$51 \\ 01 \\ 61 \\ 51 \\ 91$	4.5 3.3 3.6 3.0 1.7	20.5 21.5 14.5 15.0 12.0	9 9 9 10 10	$\begin{array}{cccc} 3 & 9 \\ 3 & 9 \\ 8 & 9 \\ 0 & 10 \\ 8 & 11 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 9 \\ 2 & 9 \\ 7 & 9 \\ 2 & 10 \\ 0 & 10 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} 0 & 96 \\ 1 & 98 \\ 3 & 101 \\ 2 & 101 \\ 2 & 111 \\ \end{array}$	5 88 5 89 96 100 106	109 106 108 108 108 117	$\begin{array}{c} 16.45\\ 15.80\\ 12.88\\ 13.66\\ 11.93 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 22.34\\ 22.79\\ 15.66\\ 16.20\\ 14.04 \end{array}$
S. C Ga Ohio Ind Ill	9.8 10.1 16.2 16.0 16.0	6.1 6.9 17.1 18.3 16.0	9.3 10.0 20.4 20.7 19.5	8.5 9.0 16.3 14.4 18.0	9.0 9.2 16.0 16.6 13.0	$10.0 \\ 10.0 \\ 15.9 \\ 15.3 \\ 17.4$	$11.0\\10.5\\16.2\\15.6\\15.0$	11.412.016.014.716.0	4 9. 9 9. 9 8. 7 8. 9 8.	$ \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{array} $	2.3 2.2 8.0 8.5 8.7	11.5 12.1 18.5 17.4 18.5	$ \begin{array}{c} 12 \\ 12 \\ 9 \\ 9 \\ 9 \\ 8 \end{array} $	$egin{array}{ccc} 6 & 120 \ 1 & 130 \ 3 & 90 \ 1 & 87 \ 9 & 88 \ 9 & 88 \ \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 5 \\ 12; \\ 11; \\ 9; \\ 7 \\ 8; \\$	$ \begin{array}{cccc} 3 & 119 \\ 4 & 122 \\ 98 \\ 9 & 93 \\ 9 & 88 \\ \end{array} $	130 120 90 88 86	$145 \\ 134 \\ 105 \\ 103 \\ 101$	$\begin{array}{c} 14.30\\ 13.91\\ 14.52\\ 13.66\\ 13.90 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 16.68\\ 16.21\\ 19.42\\ 17.92\\ 18.68 \end{array}$
Mich Wis Minn Iowa Mo	16.4 17.7 13.5 17.4 14.1	18.5 16.6 13.3 14.2 12.4	13, 1 16, 3 10, 9 15, 7 14, 8	14.5 14.1 13.0 13.4 13.2	18.0 18.2 12.8 17.2 10.0	18.8 19.5 16.8 17.0 14.7	18.0 19.3 16.0 21.0 13.8	18, (15, 9 10, 1 16, 4 15, 7	10. 19. 15. 19. 19. 19. 12.	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 5 & 1 \\ 8 & 2 \\ 5 & 1 \\ \end{array} $	5.3 9.3 6.2 0.6 7.1	19.7 19.1 10.6 18.6 17.0	9 8 8 8	2 89 8 92 6 94 2 85 8 87) 88 90 92 5 88 88	8 96 9 83 2 73 8 78 8 90	89 82 76 76 84	$ \begin{array}{r} 103 \\ 100 \\ 102 \\ 96 \\ 98 \end{array} $	$15.07 \\ 16.55 \\ 11.75 \\ 16.25 \\ 13.62$	20, 29 19, 10 10, 81 17, 86 16, 66
N. Dak S. Dak Neb Kans Ky	11.511.417.914.112.6	14.0 13.7 19.4 13.9 11.3	$13.0 \\ 13.4 \\ 22.0 \\ 15.1 \\ 14.1$	10.0 11.2 18.1 11.0 12.0	11.6 12.8 17.2 12.6 11.6	13.7 14.1 18.8 14.4 11.8	5.0 12.8 16.2 14,1 12.8	8.0 4.0 13.4 10.7 12.7	18. 14. 17. 15. 10.	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 & 1 \\ 2 \\ 6 & 1 \\ 5 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ \end{array} $	0.5 9.0 7.9 3.0 3.6	11.2 9.1 18.6 20.5 16.5	8 8 71 8 9	2 90 L 89 8 80 2 84 1 93	89 91 87 91 92	69 69 69 74 99	73 71 71 79 96	$101 \\ 94 \\ 95 \\ 95 \\ 103$	8.60 7.95 13.43 12.76 12.71	11.31 8.55 17.67 19.48 17.00
Tenn Ala Miss Tex Okla	$11.1 \\ 11.1 \\ 12.2 \\ 11.8 \\ 12.2$	7.2 9.6 10.8 8.9 8.5	12.511.010.011.513.7	9.5 10.0 11.0 7.4 9.0	$10.0 \\ 11.5 \\ 14.5 \\ 11.0 \\ 11.6$	10.4 10.5 11.0 9.1 12.8	11.7 12.0 14.0 15.0 16.3	11.5 11.5 12.0 9.4 8.0	10. 10. 12. 15. 12.	$512 \\ 61 \\ 014 \\ 810 \\$	2.0 1.7 4.0 7.5 0.0	15.5 13.0 13.0 13.0 13.0	98 112 103 96 83	$ \begin{array}{c} 98 \\ 2 113 \\ 1 16 \\ 98 \\ 87 \\ 87 \end{array} $	96 120 100 100 92	100 113 97 93 75	98 115 95 94 82	$105 \\ 126 \\ 125 \\ 99 \\ 92$	$\begin{array}{c} 12.21\\ 13.84\\ 13.89\\ 13.47\\ 11.36 \end{array}$	16.28 16.38 16.25 12.87 17.48
Ark Mont Wyo Colo	$ \begin{array}{c} 11.0\\ 25.0\\ 26.4\\ 24.7\\ \end{array} $	7.9 23.8 25.4 25.0	10.8 24.0 28.7 32.5	9.5 28.8 28.5 29.0	10. 0 24. 2 25. 4 21. 0	11.4 30.8 28.7 29.5	13.9 22.0 25.0 22.3	10.5 28.7 26.0 18.9	10. 24. 28. 24.	0 13 1 23 7 23 2 21	3.0 3.8 5.0	13.0 20.2 22.9 23.8	93 77 84 80	94 86 95 82	90 77 94 84	94 64 80 73	90 66 72 78	99 91 89 87	11.30 18.11 21.91 17.79	12, 87 18, 38 20, 38 20, 71
N. Mex Ariz Utah Nev	22, 8 2 26, 8 2 25, 4 2 29, 0 2	22.2 22.4 26.4 27.0	25.0 25.2 27.4 31.5	24. 0 25. 9 28. 8 32. 0	25.0 26.7 26.5 30.0	24.5 25.0 25.9 28.7	20, 0 22, 3 22, 1 26, 5	22, 9 29, 6 22, 3 28, 3	20, 9 30, 7 25, 7 29, 9	9 18 7 32 7 24 2 27	8.8 2.0 2.2 2.7 7.7	24, 2 28, 0 25, 0 29, 6	95 114 77 96	$100 \\ 120 \\ 84 \\ 109$	100 95 70 95	90 110 75 100	97 110 73 82	90 125 86 95	20.35 31.77 18.52 27.16	21.78 35.00 21.50 28.12
Idaho Wash Oreg Cal	$\begin{array}{c} 27.02\\ 22.32\\ 21.31\\ 15.4 \end{array}$	28. 2 24. 6 18. 6 9. 3	24.4 20.82 20.02 17.11	25.3 26.0 23.4 15.0	28.22 18.82 20.82 14.61	27.82 23.21 20.22 14.01	22.6 16.9 22.1 18.0	30.7 22.7 21.0 18.0	28,6 23,5 25,0 17,0	$527 \\ 523 \\ 21 \\ 14 \\ -14$.62 .22 .02	26.2 23.5 20.8 17.0	71 77 80 94	72 78 84 94	66 71 75 88	66 68 72 93	63 73 75 95	87 100 102 104	19, 12 17, 14 17, 86 15, 91	$\begin{array}{c} 22.\ 79\\ 23.\ 50\\ 21.\ 22\\ 17.\ 68 \end{array}$
U. S.	14.81	4.5	15.5	14.0	14.01	5, 8	3.9	12.5	15.9) 15	. 2	6.6	85.1	88.3	87.4	7 6. 0	79.9	98.6	12.79	16.41

TABLE 19.-Wheat: Yield per acre, price per bushel Dec. 1, and value per acre, by States.

¹ Based upon farm price Dec. 1.

WHEAT-Continued.

		W	inter wh	eat.			Spring	wheat.	
Year.	Decem- ber of pre- vious year.	April.	May.	June.	When har- vested.	June.	July.	August.	When har- vested.
1890	P. ct. 95.3 98.4 85.3 87.4 91.5	P. ct. 81.0 96.9 81.2 77.4 86.7	P. ct. 80.0 97.9 84.0 75.4 81.4	$\begin{array}{c} P. \ ct. \\ 78.1 \\ 96.6 \\ 88.3 \\ 75.5 \\ 83.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} P. ct. \\ 76.2 \\ 96.2 \\ 89.6 \\ 77.7 \\ 83.9 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} P. \ ct.\\ 91.3\\ 92.6\\ 92.3\\ 86.4\\ 88.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} P. ct. \\ 94.4 \\ 94.1 \\ 90.9 \\ 74.1 \\ 68.4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} P. \ ct. \\ 83. \ 2 \\ 95. \ 5 \\ 87. \ 3 \\ 67. \ 0 \\ 67. \ 1 \end{array}$	P. ct. 79.7 97.2 81.2 68.9 69.9
1895	89.0 81.4 99.5 	81. 4 77. 1 81. 4 86. 7 77. 9	82. 9 82. 7 80. 2 86. 5 76. 2	71. 1 77. 9 78. 5 90. 8 67. 3	65. 8 75. 6 81. 2 85. 7 65. 6	97.8 99.9 89.6 100.9 91.4	102. 2 93. 3 91. 2 95. 0 91. 7	95. 9 78. 9 86. 7 96. 5 83. 6	94. 9 73. 8 80. 8 91. 7 77. 2
1900	97.1 97.1 86.7 99.7	82.1 91.7 78.7 97.3	88. 9 94. 1 76. 4 92. 6	82: 7 87. 8 76. 1 82. 2	80. 8 88. 3 77. 0 78. 8	87.3 92.0 95.4 95.9	55. 2 95. 6 92. 4 82. 5	56. 4 80. 3 89. 7 77. 1	56. 1 78. 4 87. 2 78. 1
1904 1905 1906 1907.	86.6 82.9 94.1 94.1	76.5 91.6 89.1 89.9	76. 5 92. 5 90. 9 82. 9	77.7 85.5 82.7 77.4	78.7 82.7 85.6 78.3	93. 4 93. 7 93. 4 88. 7	93.7 91.0 91.4 87.2	87.5 89.2 86.9 79.4	66. 2 87. 3 83. 4 77. 1
1908. 1909 1910 1911.	91. 1 85. 3 95. 8 82. 5	91.3 82.2 80.8 83.3	89.0 83.5 82.1 86.1	86. 0 80. 7 80. 0 80. 4	80.6 82.4 81.5 76.8	95. 0 95. 2 92. 8 94. 6	89.4 92.7 61.6 73.8	$\begin{array}{c} 80.7 \\ 91.6 \\ 61.0 \\ 59.8 \end{array}$	77.6 88.6 63.1 56.7
1912. 1913 1914. 1915	86.6 93.2 97.2 88.3	80. 6 91. 6 95. 6 88. 8	79.7 91.9 95.9	74.3 83.5 92.7	73.3 81.6 94.1	95. 8 93. 5 95. 5	89.3 73.8 92.1	90. 4 74. 1 75. 5	90. 8 75. 3 68. 0

 TABLE 20.—Winter and spring wheat: Condition of crop, United States, on first of months named, 1890–1915.

TABLE 21.-Winter wheat: Per cent of area sown which was abandoned (not harvested).

Year.	Per cenț.	Year.	Per cent.	Year.	Per cent.
1900 1901 1902 1903 1904	11. 8 6. 7 15. 2 2. 8 15. 4	1905	$\begin{array}{r} 4.6\\ 5.5\\ 11.2\\ 4.2\\ 7.5\end{array}$	1910 1911 1912 1913 1914	13.710.720.14.73,1

WHEAT-Continued.

													-	
Month.	Un Sta	ited tes.	No Atla Sta	orth antic ates.	So Atla Sta	uth antic ates.	N. Co State of Mi	ontral s east ss. R.	N.Co State of Mi	entral s west ss. R.	So Cer Sta	uth tral tes.	Far ern S	West-
	1914	1913	1914	1913	1914	1913	1914	1913	1914	1913	1914	1913	1914	1913
January February March April May June	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 81.0 \\ 81.6 \\ 83.1 \\ 84.2 \\ 83.9 \\ 84.4 \end{array}$	Cts. 76.2 79.9 80.6 79.1 80.9 82.7	Cts. 93.3 92.8 95.4 95.5 96.9 97.3	Cts. 97.7 99.9 100.4 100.9 99.9 101.2	Cts. 98.8 99.9 102.6 103.3 103.2 103.0	$\begin{array}{c} Cts.\\ 103.3\\ 106.1\\ 105.3\\ 106.7\\ 106.5\\ 107.4 \end{array}$	Cts. 89.6 90.1 89.9 90.4 89.4 90.6	Cts. 94.5 97.9 97.0 94.7 96.3 95.9	Cts. 76.5 77.1 79.8 80.4 80.0 81.4	Cts. 72.4 76.2 76.6 73.9 76.8 79.4	Cts. 89.7 90.7 90.0 91.7 91.6 90.7	Cts. 88.4 88.5 90.6 89.7 89.2 88.7	Cts. 74.5 74.9 75.0 78.4 78.9 76.6	Cts. 68.6 73.9 75.9 77.5 77.2 78.3
July August September October November December	76.9 76.5 93.3 93.5 97.2 98.6	81.4 77.1 77.1 77.9 77.0 79.9	93.7 85.8 101.5 104.3 104.2 105.2	100. 2 89. 7 89. 4 90. 6 91. 6 91. 7	$96.8 \\90.9 \\105.1 \\108.9 \\111.2 \\111.0$	102.0 92.8 93.7 95.5 97.4 98.6	78.3 78.4 98.7 99.7 102.2 102.8	92.1 82.9 84.4 86.6 85.9 87.8	$\begin{array}{c} 74.1 \\ 75.2 \\ 94.1 \\ 91.7 \\ 95.6 \\ 97.0 \end{array}$	78.775.575.876.174.875.6	74.2 74.2 91.0 96.7 98.9 96.6	82.5 78.7 82.9 88.9 88.8 91.0	76.0 73.1 81.1 85.0 91.0 95.4	77.8 74.2 70.8 71.3 70.1 72.7
Average	88.6	78.4	99.7	93.7	102.5	98.4	91.9	88.5	86.7	75.7	85.9	84.3	84.2	71.9

 TABLE 22.—Wheat: Farm price per bushel on first of each month, by geographical divisions, 1913 and 1914.

	New	York.	Balti	more.	Chie	ago.	Det	roit.	St.]	Louis.	Minr 1	ieapo- is.	San cis	Fran-
Date.	No. : win	2 red iter.	No. :	2 red.	No. 1 ern s	north- pring.	No. 2	2 red.	No. win	2 red iter.	No. 1 ei	north- m.	No. 1 fornis 100 l	l Cali- a (per bs.).
	Low.	High .	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High .	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.
1900 1901 1902 1903	Cts. 727 724 731 731 731 731 731	Cts. 967 897 941 941 997	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 70 \\ 69\frac{1}{2} \\ 66\frac{1}{4} \\ 76\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	Cts. 90 85 ³ 87 ¹ 88 ³ 88 ³	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 61\frac{1}{2} \\ 63\frac{1}{8} \\ 67\frac{1}{2} \\ 70\frac{1}{4} \end{array}$	Cts. 87 <u>1</u> 79 <u>1</u> 95 93	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 66\frac{3}{4} \\ 66\frac{1}{2} \\ 68\frac{1}{2} \\ 68\frac{1}{2} \\ 74\frac{1}{4} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts.\\ 91\frac{1}{2}\\ 90\frac{1}{2}\\ 93\frac{1}{2}\\ 93\frac{1}{2}\\ 94 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 661 \\ 611 \\ 63 \\ 693 \\ 693 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 86\frac{1}{2} \\ 88\frac{1}{2} \\ 92\frac{1}{2} \\ 92\frac{1}{2} \\ 94 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 62 \\ 601 \\ 661 \\ 661 \\ 73\frac{1}{8} \end{array}$	Cts. 901 771 803 100	Dolls. 0.90 .95 1.05 1.321	Dolls. 1.07 1.061 1.45 1.55
1904 1905 1906 1907 1908	92½ 843 77 80 954	$\begin{array}{c} 126_{1}^{1}\\ 125_{4}^{3}\\ 97\\ 116_{4}^{1}\\ 115 \end{array}$	82 73 68 74 89	$118\frac{1}{2}\\119\frac{1}{2}\\91\\111\frac{1}{4}\\106\frac{3}{8}$	$\begin{array}{c} 81\frac{1}{4}\\ 82\frac{1}{2}\\ 71\\ 79\\ 102 \end{array}$	$122 \\ 124 \\ 87\frac{1}{4} \\ 122 \\ 124 $	92 80 72 75 89 3	$123 \\ 124 \\ 93\frac{1}{2} \\ 106\frac{1}{2} \\ 107$	89½ 82 68¼ 74½ 89	$121 \\ 120 \\ 99\frac{1}{4} \\ 109\frac{1}{2} \\ 110$	843 751 695 763 983	$124\frac{1}{2}$ $124\frac{1}{2}$ 856 $119\frac{1}{2}$ 125	1.23_{4}^{8} 1.35 1.22_{2}^{1} 1.55	1.50 1.55 $1.80\frac{1}{2}$ $1.77\frac{1}{2}$
1909 1910 1911 1912 1913	106½ 94¼ 90% 98% 98¼ 94	$\begin{array}{r} 150\frac{1}{2} \\ 131 \\ 105\frac{1}{2} \\ 127 \\ 114 \end{array}$	99 <u>1</u> 884 87 94 <u>1</u> 891	$160 \\ 128 \\ 100\frac{1}{4} \\ 116\frac{1}{2} \\ 109\frac{1}{2}$	103 100 93 85 85 85	$140 \\ 1291 \\ 117 \\ 122 \\ 96$	104 3 91 831 95 3 872	157 127 100} 120 116 1	$102 \\ 92 \\ 85 \\ 92\frac{1}{2} \\ 83 \\ 92\frac{1}{2} \\ 83 \\ 92 \\ 83 \\ 92 \\ 83 \\ 92 \\ 83 \\ 83 \\ 92 \\ 83 \\ 83 \\ 83 \\ 83 \\ 83 \\ 83 \\ 84 \\ 84$	166 135 108 125 115	$\begin{array}{c} 97\frac{1}{4} \\ 99\frac{1}{2} \\ 91\frac{1}{2} \\ 80\frac{1}{2} \\ 80\frac{1}{4} \\ 80\frac{3}{4} \end{array}$	$144\frac{1}{129\frac{1}{4}}\\112\frac{3}{118\frac{3}{8}}\\118\frac{3}{95}$	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{1.\ 65}\\ \mathbf{1.\ 40}\\ \mathbf{1.\ 35}\\ \mathbf{1.\ 40}\\ \mathbf{1.\ 55} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.15\\ 2.05\\ 1.55\\ 1.90\\ 1.82\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$
1914. January February March April May Junc	$\begin{array}{r} 99\frac{1}{2}\\ 100\\ 103\\ 103\frac{1}{2}\\ 103\frac{1}{2}\\ 87\frac{1}{2}\end{array}$	$100\frac{1}{2}\\104\\105\\105\\111\frac{3}{2}\\96\frac{1}{2}$	933 97½ 99½ 97 98 83	$\begin{array}{r} 98\frac{1}{4}\\ 101\frac{1}{4}\\ 100\frac{3}{4}\\ 100\\ 103\\ 101 \end{array}$	$90 \\ 91 \\ 94 \\ 93\frac{3}{4} \\ 96 \\ 89$	934 972 978 978 972 100 99	89 97 <u>1</u> 97 <u>3</u> 97 <u>1</u> 97 <u>1</u> 96 <u>1</u> 86 <u>1</u>	994 99 98 99 99 99 99 97	93 91 92 92 93 75 3	$99\frac{1}{96\frac{1}{2}}\\96\frac{1}{2}\\96\frac{1}{2}\\96\frac{1}{2}\\96\frac{1}{2}\\96\frac{1}{2}\\97$	851 882 893 893 883 893 883 893 893 893 893 894 5 845 845	908 955 943 943 945 984 984 952	(1.51] 1.55 1.60 1.55 1.55 1.61]	
July August September. October November. December.	$\begin{array}{r} 86\frac{1}{4}\\ 94\frac{1}{2}\\ 113\\ 111\\ 120\frac{1}{2}\\ 125\frac{1}{2}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 98\frac{1}{2}\\ 116\frac{1}{2}\\ 132\frac{1}{2}\\ 122\frac{1}{2}\\ 126\\ 136\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 82\frac{3}{4}\\ 85\frac{1}{2}\\ 105\frac{1}{4}\\ 103\frac{1}{2}\\ 112\\ 115\frac{5}{8}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 95\frac{1}{4}\\ 114\\ 122\\ 114\frac{3}{8}\\ 115\frac{1}{2}\\ 127\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 88\frac{1}{2}\\ 94\frac{1}{4}\\ 108\\ 105\frac{1}{2}\\ 114\\ 115\end{array}$	$109 \\ 127 \\ 133 \\ 117\frac{1}{2} \\ 118\frac{1}{8} \\ 131$	$ \begin{array}{r} 80 \\ 88 \\ $	$\begin{array}{r} 96\frac{1}{2} \\ 115\frac{1}{2} \\ 122 \\ 114 \\ 114\frac{1}{2} \\ 127\frac{2}{4} \end{array}$	$76\\80\\101\frac{1}{2}\\101\\108\\112\frac{1}{2}$	$91\\104\\118\frac{1}{2}\\114\\115\\127\frac{1}{2}$	$\begin{array}{r} 851\\92\\8\\103\\8\\104\\112\\4\\112\\4\\114\\2\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1048\\ 1238\\ 1291\\ 1158\\ 1158\\ 1184\\ 1271\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.52\\ 1.52\\ 1.57\frac{1}{2}\\ 1.65\\ 1.85\\ 1.90 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.57\frac{1}{2}\\ 1.60\\ 1.70\\ 1.90\\ 2.00\\ 1.95 \end{array}$
Year.	861	$136\frac{1}{2}$	82	127	88 <u>1</u>	133	80	1274	75 <u>4</u>	$127\frac{1}{2}$	845	$129\frac{1}{8}$	1.51_4^1	2.00

TABLE 23.—Wheat: Wholesale price per bushel, 1900–1914. 11

11

<u>...</u>

¹ Northern club.

Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

WHEAT-Continued.

TABLE 24.—Wheat flour: Wholesale price per barrel, 1900-1914.

.		Chie	ago.	1	Cinci	nnati.	New	York.	St. I	louis.
Date.	Winter	patents.	Spring	patents.	Winter	family.	Spring	patents.	Winter	patents.
	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.
1900 1901 1902 1903	\$3.40 3.30 3.40 3.40	\$4.40 3.90 4.00 4.20	\$3.00 3.25 3.20 3.30	\$4.30 3.80 3.90 4.60	\$2.35 2.20 2.70 2.65	\$3.50 3.25 3.35 3.55	\$3.25 3.30 3.50 3.55	\$5.00 4.25 4.25 5.00	\$3.35 3.30 3.10 3.35	\$4. 25 4. 10 4. 25 4. 40
1904. 1905. 1906. 1907. 1907. 1908.	$\begin{array}{r} 4.00\\ 3.85\\ 3.20\\ 3.10\\ 4.00\end{array}$	5.50 5.20 4.10 5.10 5.10	4.00 3.75 3.55 2.70 4.90	$\begin{array}{c} 6.00\\ 5.70\\ 4.15\\ 5.75\\ 5.75\\ 5.75\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.25 \\ 3.10 \\ 2.70 \\ 2.70 \\ 3.25 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 4.\ 70\\ 4.\ 70\\ 3.\ 60\\ 4.\ 30\\ 4.\ 10\end{array}$	4.30 4.25 3.75 3.80 4.85	$\begin{array}{c} 6.60\\ 6.35\\ 4.80\\ 6.00\\ 5.90\end{array}$	4.25 4.05 3.35 3.50 4.35	5.75 5.60 4.60 5.00 5.10
1909 1910 1911 1912 1913	$\begin{array}{r} 4.65\\ 4.00\\ 3.60\\ 3.75\\ 3.90 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6.\ 75\\ 5.\ 80\\ 5.\ 40\\ 5.\ 45\\ 4.\ 90 \end{array}$	5.356.005.104.004.00	$\begin{array}{c} 7.\ 00\\ 7.\ 00\\ 6.\ 55\\ 5.\ 60\\ 5.\ 60\end{array}$	3.95 3.10 2.60 3.40 2.90	5.85 5.10 3.70 4.50 4.15	$\begin{array}{r} 4.80 \\ 4.80 \\ 4.45 \\ 4.25 \\ 4.40 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6.85 \\ 6.35 \\ 5.75 \\ 6.00 \\ 5.00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 4.\ 60\\ 4.\ 35\\ 3.\ 90\\ 4.\ 20\\ 3.\ 70\end{array}$	7.00 6.20 5.25 5.85 5.15
1914.				_						
January February March April May June	4. 15 4. 15 4. 10 4. 10 4. 10 3. 50	4. 40 4. 40 4. 35 4. 40 4. 40 4. 40	$\begin{array}{c} 4.\ 00\\ 4.\ 15\\ 4.\ 20\\ 4.\ 20\\ 4.\ 10\\ 4.\ 25\end{array}$	$5.30 \\ 5.50 \\ 5.50 \\ 5.30 \\ $	3. 20 3. 20 3. 20 3. 20 3. 20 3. 20 3. 20	$\begin{array}{c} 3.\ 50\\ 3.\ 50\\ 3.\ 50\\ 3.\ 50\\ 3.\ 50\\ 3.\ 50\\ 3.\ 50\\ 3.\ 50\end{array}$	4.55 4.55 4.60 4.50 4.50 4.50	$\begin{array}{c} 4.\ 80\\ 5.\ 00\\ 5.\ 10\\ 4.\ 85\\ 5.\ 00\\ 5.\ 00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.\ 15 \\ 4.\ 00 \\ 3.\ 90 \\ 3.\ 75 \\ 3.\ 75 \\ 3.\ 35 \end{array}$	4.35 4.30 4.20 4.00 4.10 4.00
July. August. September October November December	$\begin{array}{c} 3.\ 45\\ 4.\ 10\\ 4.\ 90\\ 4.\ 90\\ 5.\ 10\\ 5.\ 10\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.35\\ 5.10\\ 5.50\\ 5.30\\ 5.50\\ 5.50\\ 5.25\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{4.00} \\ \textbf{4.50} \\ \textbf{5.35} \\ \textbf{5.15} \\ \textbf{5.30} \\ \textbf{5.40} \end{array}$	$5.50 \\ 6.90 \\ 6.90 \\ 6.20 \\ 6.20 \\ 6.60 $	$\begin{array}{c} 3.\ 05\\ 3.\ 30\\ 4.\ 25\\ 4.\ 25\\ 4.\ 50\\ 4.\ 50\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.\ 50\\ 4.\ 45\\ 4.\ 65\\ 4.\ 65\\ 4.\ 65\\ 4.\ 65\\ 4.\ 90 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.\ 35\\ 5.\ 00\\ 5.\ 50\\ 5.\ 50\\ 5.\ 65\\ 5.\ 65\\ 5.\ 65\end{array}$	$5.50 \\ 6.50 \\ 7.00 \\ 6.10 \\ 6.00 \\ 6.50 $	3. 35 3. 70 4. 65 4. 65 4. 75 4. 75	$\begin{array}{c} 3.\ 80\\ 5.\ 10\\ 5.\ 25\\ 5.\ 00\\ 5.\ 10\\ 5.\ 70 \end{array}$
Year	3.45	5. 50	4.00	6.90	3.05	4.90	4.35	7.00	3. 35	5.70

WHEAT-Continued.

TABLE 25.—Wheat and flour: International trade, calendar years 1911–1913.

["Temporary" imports into Italy of wheat, to be used for manufacturing products for export, are included in the total imports as given in the official Italian returns. In the trade returns of Chile the item trigo mote (prepared corn) which might easily be confused with trigo (wheat) is omitted. See "Gen-eral note," p. 518.]

EXPORTS.

[000 omitted.]

		Wheat.			Flour.		Whe	at and flo	our.(1)
Country.	1911	1912	1913 (prelim.)	1911	1912	1913 (prelim.)	1911	1912	1913 (prelim.)
Argentina. Australia Australia Mustria-Hungary. Belgium. British India. Bulgaria. Canada. Chile. Germany. Netherlands. Roumania. Russia. Servia (²). United States Other countries.	Bushels. 83,993 55,148 15 22,723 52,557 11,122 60,474 52,557 11,390 46,171 1390 46,171 144,779 3,366 32,669 18,815	Bushels. 96,600 32,604 56 16,576 65,598 9,238 84,958 2,411 11,853 51,444 53,586 96,915 3,366 61,655 12,839	Bushcls. 103, 328 42, 923 71 12, 991 50, 558 9, 238 19, 950 1, 921 19, 781 63, 598 41, 563 122, 245 3, 366 99, 509 12, 940 712, 989	Barrels. 1, 333 1, 816 1, 122 750 581 756 3, 542 69 1, 820 191 730 1, 355 80 11, 258 2, 945	Barrels. 1,480 1,739 167 732 714 493 4,303 7,714 493 4,303 7,714 1,924 1,57 730 1,173 80 10,622 3,303 27,601 2,301	Barrels. 1,402 2,285 369 646 646 923 493 4,894 4,894 4,894 2,191 201 1,447 1,505 3,248 3,248 22,025	Bushels. 89,991 63,319 566 26,099 55,171 14,524 76,812 19,581 47,028 56,872 150,875 3,727 83,330 32,065 700,282	Bushels. 103,260 40,428 806 19,870 68,812 11,456 104,320 2,743 20,510 52,152 56,872 102,195 3,727 109,451 27,708 704,210	Bushels. 109,637 53,207 15,589 54,711 11,456 151,975 2,205 29,638 64,501 48,076 129,016 3,727 154,700 27,560

IMPORTS.

	1	1	[1	1	1	1	1	1
Belgium	82, 192	71,167	69,628	47	21	36	82,405	71,261	69,790
Brazil British South	12,241	14,010	16,109	1,786	2,133	1,914	20,277	23,609	24,722
Africa	2,919	1,886	5,359	722	588	890	6,170	4,531	9,366
Denmark	3,060	5,885	5,176	599	580	670	5,756	8,496	8,190
France	78,995	26,131	57,149	155	126	113	79,695	26,698	57,658
Germany	91,430	84, 415	93, 547	172	179	201	92,204	85,218	94,451
Greece	7,934	5,901	6,882	14	16	15	7,999	5,974	6,950
Italy	43, 300	65,760	66,527	18	34	23	43, 383	65,914	66,630
Japan	2,019	2,276	2,276	200	191	191	2,921	3,135	3,135
Netherlands	58, 570	65, 788	79, 369	2,242	2,051	2,259	68,657	75,018	89, 534
Portugal	439	2,382	2,382				439	2,382	2,382
Spain.	4.927	1,543	6,405	1	1	1	4,930	1,547	6,409
Sweden	6,333	6,285	6,285	79	74	74	6,689	6,619	6,619
Switzerland	16,142	17,843	19,446	515	494	429	18,460	20,066	21,376
United Kingdom	182,352	203, 322	196,809	5,682	5,742	6,704	207,919	229,160	226,978
Other countries	20,305	11,109	25,643	11,732	11,497	12,250	73,093	62,847	60, 769
Total	613, 158	585, 703	638, 992	23,964	23, 727	25, 770	720, 997	692, 475	754, 959

¹ Flour is reduced to terms of grain, where included in these 3 columns, by assuming 1 barrel of flour to be the product of 43 bushels of wheat. ² Data for 1911.

OATS.

TABLE 26.—Oats: Area and production of undermentioned countries, 1912-1914.

			_			
Country		Area.			Production.	
country.	1912	1913	1914	1912	1913	1914
NORTH AMERICA.						
United States	A cres. 37,917,000	A cres. 38, 399, 000	A cres. 38, 442, 000	Bushels. 1,418,337,000	Bushels. 1, 121, 768, 000	Bushels. 1, 141, 060, 000
Canada: New Brunswick Quebec Ontario. Manitoba. Saskatchewan Alberta. Other	$195,000 \\1,296,000 \\2,785,000 \\1,348,000 \\2,556,000 \\1,461,000 \\325,000$	$195,000 \\ 1,303,000 \\ 2,814,000 \\ 1,398,000 \\ 2,755,000 \\ 1,639,000 \\ 330,000$	$\begin{array}{r} 200,000\\ 1,327,000\\ 2,840,000\\ 1,331,000\\ 2,520,000\\ 1,502,000\\ 341,000\end{array}$	5,607,000 33,516,000 97,053,000 57,154,000 117,537,000 67,630,000 13,132,000	5,946,000 39,025,000 105,159,000 56,759,000 114,112,000 71,542,000 12,126,000	6, 488, 000 42, 119, 006 99, 400, 000 31, 951, 000 61, 816, 000 57, 076, 006 14, 228, 000
Total Canada	9,966,000	10, 434, 000	10,061,000	391, 629, 000	404, 669, 000	313, 078, 000
Mexico	(1)	(1)	(1)	17,000	17,000	17,090
Total				1,809,983,000	1, 526, 454, 000	1, 454, 155, 009
SOUTH AMERICA.						
Argentina. Chile Uruguay	2, 548, 000 69, 000 86, 000	2, 946, 000 94, 000 50, 000	3, 087, 000 97, 000	69, 169, 000 3, 380, 000 1, 825, 000	75, 783, 000 4, 443, 000 872, 000	50, 981, 000 4, 000, 009 1, 850, 000
Total				74, 374, 000	81,098,000	56, 831, 000
EUROPE.						
Austria-Hungary: Austria Hungary proper Croatia-Slavonia Bosnia-Herzegovina	4,613,000 2,473,000 239,000 203,000	4,707,000 2,884,000 256,000 299,000	$2, 655, 000$ $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$	146, 376, 00076, 768, 0003, 311, 0004, 766, 000	160,068,000 99,807,000 6,163,000 4,796,000	150,000,00090,568,0004,000,0003,000,000
Total Austria- Hungary	7, 528, 000	8, 146, 000		231, 221, 000	270, 834, 000	247, 568, 00 0
Belgium Bulgaria Denmark Finland France Germany Italy Netherlands Norway Roumania	$\begin{array}{c} 648,000\\ 435,000\\ 1,059,000\\ (1)\\ 9,840,000\\ 10,841,000\\ 1,254,000\\ 341,000\\ (^3)263,000\\ 943,000\end{array}$	671,000 400,000 (1) 9,833,000 10,967,000 1,251,000 348,000 (1) 1,290,000	686,000 (1) (1) (1) 9,848,000 11,148,000 1,213,000 346,000 (1) 1,056,000	35,086,000 8,707,000 44,868,000 26,618,000 313,656,000 586,987,000 28,306,000 16,317,000 11,607,000 20,775,000	47, 957, 000 8, 000, 000 46, 755, 000 22, 924, 000 311, 157, 000 669, 231, 000 43, 469, 000 21, 117, 000 11, 734, 000 35, 138, 000	49, 742, 000 8, 000, 000 48, 000, 009 18, 678, 000 325, 000, 000 620, 000, 000 26, 827, 000 19, 958, 060 9, 325, 000 25, 015, 000
Russia: Russia proper Poland Northern Caucasia	37, 270, 000 2, 832, 000 1, 117, 000			862, 783, 000 80, 807, 000 29, 677, 000		
Total Russia (Eu- ropean)	41, 219, 000	42,040,000	42,694,000	973, 267, 000	1, 105, 578, 000	800, 000, 000
Servia Spain Sweden	262,000 1,279,000 1,952,000	272,000 1,351,000 (¹)	(1) 1,304,600 (1)	5, 477, 000 23, 035, 000 87, 766, 000	5, 512, 000 25, 333, 000 99, 815, 000	5,000,000 31,227,000 52,557,009
United Kingdom: England. Wales. Scotland. Ireland.	1, 866, 000 207, 000 956, 000 1, 046, 000	1,772,000 202,000 938,000 1,049,000	1,730,000 200,000 920,000 1,029,000	68, 431, 000 7, 040, 000 37, 928, 000 66, 867, 000	70, 404, 000 6, 992, 000 37, 148, 000 66, 103, 000	71, 667, 000 7, 431, 000 38, 115, 000 63, 287, 000
Total United Kingdom	4,075,000	3, 961, 000	3, 879, 000	180, 266, 000	180,647,000	180, 500, 000
Total				2, 593, 959, 000	2,905,201,000	2,467,397,000

¹ No official statistics.

² Area in 1907 (census).

OATS-Continued.

TABLE 26.—Oats: Area and production of undermentioned countries, 1912-1914—Contd.

		Area.			Production.	
Country.	1912	1913	1914	1912	1913	1914
ASIA. Cyprus	A cres. (1)	A cres.	A cres. (1)	Bushels. 378,000	Bushels. 400,000	Bushels. 400,000
Russia: Central Asia ² Siberia ² Transcaucasia ³	860,000 3,893,000 2,000			17, 591, 000 76, 664, 099 65, 000		
Total Russia (Asiatic)	4, 755, 000	(1)5, 734, 0 00		94, 320, 000	(4)121,088,000	*162, 506, 000
Total				94, 698, 000	121, 488, 000	162,906,000
AFRICA.		<u></u>				
Algeria Tunis Union of South Africa	476,000 124,000 (1)	539,000 133,000 (1)	(1) 99,000 (1)	12,351,000 2,067,000 (⁵)9,661,000	17, 973, 000 4, 133, 000 (5) 9, 661, 000	10,000,000 689,000 (5)9,661,900
Total				24,079,000	31,767,000	20, 350, 000
AUSTRALASIA.						<u>_</u>
Australia: Queensland New South Wales Victoria. South Australia. Western Australia Tasmania.	$\begin{array}{c} 1,000\\ 71,000\\ 302,000\\ 108,000\\ 84,000\\ 51,000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 4,000\\85,000\\439,000\\156,000\\128,000\\62,000\end{array}$	(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	$\begin{array}{c} 6,000\\ 1,191,000\\ 4,730,000\\ 1,392,000\\ 992,000\\ 1,552,000\end{array}$	85,000 1,725,000 8,586,000 1,726,000 2,175,000 2,328,000	58,090 1,893,000 9,170,000 1,239,000 1,708,090 1,644,090
Total Australia New Zealand	617,000 404,000	874, 000 387, 000	859,000 362,000	9,863,000 10,438,000	$16,625,000 \\ 14,013,000$	15, 712, 000 15, 206, 000
Total Australasia .	1,021,000	1,261,000		20, 301, 000	30, 638, 000	30,918,000
Grand total				4, 617, 394, 000	4, 696, 646, 000	4, 196, 508, 000

¹ No official statistics.
 ² Four governments.

³ One government. ⁴ Ten governments. ⁵ Yield in 1911 (census).

TABLE 27.—Oats: Average yield per acre of undermentioned countries, 1890-1913.

Year.	United States.	Russia (Euro- pean). ¹	Ger- many.1	Austria.1	Hungary proper. ¹	France.2	United King- dom. ²
Average: 1890-1899 1900-1909	Bushels. 26.1 29.3	Bushels. 17.8 20.0	Bushels. 40.0 50.7	Bushels. 25.3 29.8	Bushels. 30.7	Bushels. 29.8 31.6	Bushels. 43.6 44.3
1904 1905 1906 1907 1908 1909 1909 1910 1911 1913	$\begin{array}{r} 32.1\\ 34.0\\ 31.2\\ 23.7\\ 25.0\\ 28.6\\ 31.6\\ 24.4\\ 37.4\\ 29.2 \end{array}$	25. 7 20. 2 15. 1 19. 7 20. 1 25. 7 22. 5 18. 6 23. 6 3 24. 6	$\begin{array}{r} 46.2\\ 43.6\\ 55.7\\ 58.3\\ 50.2\\ 59.0\\ 51.3\\ 49.6\\ 54.1\\ 61.1\end{array}$	24. 3 27. 7 34. 1 35. 7 32. 0 37. 4 31. 5 33. 7 36. 2 39. 3	25. 6 31. 9 34. 2 30. 0 26. 8 33. 8 26. 8 33. 8 31. 1 34. 6	27. 2 28. 6 27. 0 31. 8 29. 6 34. 1 29. 8 30. 8 31. 9 31. 6	44. 2 41. 7 43. 8 45. 1 43. 5 45. 9 44. 3 41. 5 41. 7 43. 0
Average (1904–1913)	29.7	21.6	52.9	33.2	30.7	30.3	43.7

¹ Bushels of 32 pounds. ² Wincheste

² Winchester bushels. ³ Includes 10 governments of Asiatic Russia.

TABLE 28.—Oats: Total production in countries named in Table 26, 1895-1914.

Year.	Production.	Year.	Production.	Year.	Production.	Year.	Production.
1895 1896 1897 1898 1899	Bushels. 3,008,154,000 2,847,115,000 2,633,971,000 2,903,974,000 3,256,256,000	1900 1901 1902 1903 1904	Bushels. 3,166,002,000 2,862,615,000 3,626,303,000 3,378,034,000 3,611,302,000	1905 1906 1907 1908 1909	Bushels. 3, 510, 167, 000 3, 544, 961, 000 3, 603, 896, 000 3, 591, 012, 000 4, 312, 882, 000	1910 1911 1912 1913 1914	Bushels. 4, 182, 410, 000 3, 808, 561, 000 4, 617, 394, 000 4, 696, 646, 000 4, 196, 508, 000

OATS-Continued.

TABLE 29.-Oats: Acreage, production, value, exports, etc., in the United States, 1849-1914.

Note.—Figures in *italics* are census returns; figures in roman are estimates of the Department of Agri-culture. Estimates of acres are obtained by applying estimated percentages of increase or decrease to the published numbers of the preceding year, except that a revised base is used for applying percentage estimates whenever new census data are available.

											·
		A v -		Av- erage		Chic bu	ago ca 1shel, c	sh pric contrac	e per st. ¹	Domestic exports, including	Imports during
Year.	Acreage.	erage yield per acre.	Produc- tion.	farm price per bushel	Farm value, Dec. 1.	Dece	mber.	Follo M	owing ay.	oatmeal, fiscal year be- ginning	fiscal year begin- ning
				Dec. 1.		Low.	High .	Low.	High .	July 1.2	July 1.3
1849	Acres.	Bush.	Bushels. 146,584,000	Cts.	Dollars.	Cts.	Cts.	Cts.	Cts.	Bushels.	Bushels.
1866	8,864,000	30.2 25.9	268, 141, 000 278, 698, 000	$35.1 \\ 44.5$	94,058,000 123,903,000	$\frac{36}{52}$	43 571	59	78	825,895 122,554	778, 198 780, 798
1868 1869	9,666,000 9,461,000	26.4 30.5	254,961,000 288,334,000	41.7 38.0	106, 356, 000 109, 522, 000	43 40	491 443	$563 \\ 461 \\ 2$	$\begin{array}{c} 62rac{1}{2} \\ 53rac{1}{2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 481,871 \\ 121,517 \end{array}$	326,659 2,266,785
1869		•••••	282, 107, 000				⁻				
1870	8,792,000 8,366,000	28.1 30.6	247, 277, 000 255, 743, 000	39.0 36.2	96, 444, 000 92, 591, 000	37# 30#	41 33 253	$ \begin{array}{c} 4/\frac{1}{4} \\ 34\frac{3}{4} \\ 20 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 51 \\ 421 \\ 24 \end{array} $	147,572 262,975	535, 250
1872	9,001,000	30. 2 27. 7 22. 1	270, 340, 000	29.9 34.6 47.1	93, 474, 000 113, 134, 000	202 34 513	405	50 44 571		812, 873 504, 770	191,802 1,500,040
1875	11,915,000	29.7	354, 318, 000	32. 0	113, 441, 000	29^{1}_{213}	301 241	285 271	311	1,466,228 2 854 128	121,547
1877	13, 359, 000 12, 826, 000 13, 176, 000	24.0 31.7 31.4	406, 394, 000	28.4 28.4 24.6	105, 546, 000 115, 546, 000 101, 752, 000	241 195	27	23 243	27	3,715,479 5,452,136	21, 391 13, 395
1879 1879	12,684,000 16,145,000	28.7 25.3	363, 761, 000 407, 859, 000	33. 1	120, 533, 000	$32\frac{3}{4}$	36 3	$29\frac{1}{2}$	347	766, 366	489, 576
1880	16, 188, 000 16, 832, 000	25.8 24.7	417,885,000	36. 0 46. 4	150, 244, 000 193, 199, 000	29 1 431	33 1 463	36 1 483	393 568	402,904 625,690	64,412 1,850,983
1882 1883	18, 495, 000 20, 325, 000	26.4 28.1	488, 251, 000 571, 302, 000	37.5 32.7	182,978,000 187,040,000	344 293	41 ¹ / ₂ 36 ¹ / ₈	38 3 303	42_4^3 34_4^1	461,496 3,274,622	815,017 121,069
1884	21, 301, 000 22, 784, 000	27.4 27.6	583, 628, 000 629, 409, 000	27.7 28.5	161, 528, 000 179, 632, 000	22^{1}_{2} 27	$25\frac{1}{4}$ 29	$34\frac{1}{2}$ $26\frac{1}{2}$	37	6,203,104 7,311,306	94, 310 149, 480
1886	23,658,000 25,921,000	26.4 25.4	624, 134, 000 659, 618, 000	29.8 30.4	186, 138, 000 200, 700, 000	253 285		25 1 324	$\begin{vmatrix} 27\frac{1}{2} \\ 38 \end{vmatrix}$	1, 374, 635 573, 080	139,575 123,817
1888 1889	26, 998, 000 27, 462, 000	26.0 27.4	701, 735, 000 751, 515, 000	27.8 22.9	$195, 424, 000 \\ 171, 781, 000$	25 [°] 20	$26\frac{7}{8}$ 21	21§ 243	23 30	1, 191, 471 15, 107, 238	131,501 153,232
1889	28, 321,000 26, 431,000	28.6 19.8	809,251,000 523,621,000	 42. 4	222,048,000	 397	437		 54	1, 382, 836	41,848
1891 1892	25,582,000 27,064,000	28.9 24.4	$738, 394, 000 \\ 661, 035, 000$	$31.5 \\ 31.7$	232, 312, 000 209, 254, 000	31 25 8	33§ 314	$28\frac{1}{2}$ $28\frac{3}{4}$	$\begin{vmatrix} 33\frac{1}{2} \\ 32\frac{1}{4} \end{vmatrix}$	10,586,644 2,700,793	47,782 49,433
1893 1894	27, 273, 000 27, 024, 000	23.4 24.5	638, 855, 000 662, 037, 000	29. 4 32. 4	187,576,000 214,817,000	$27rac{1}{2}$ $28rac{3}{4}$	29 1 29 3	$32\frac{1}{2}$ $27\frac{1}{2}$	36 30 3	$6,290,229 \\ 1,708,824$	31, 759 330, 318
1895 1896	27,878,000 27,566,000	29.6 25.7	824, 444, 000 707, 346, 000	19.9 18.7	$163, 655, 000 \\ 132, 485, 000$	$16\frac{1}{2}$	$17\frac{1}{2}$ $18\frac{3}{4}$	18 16 7	$19\frac{3}{8}$ $18\frac{3}{4}$	15, 156, 618 37, 725, 083	66,602 131,204
1897 1898	25,730,000 25,777,000	27.2 28.4	698, 768, 000 730, 907, 000	21.2 25.5	147,975,000 186,405,000	21 26	237 273	26 24	$ \begin{array}{c} 32 \\ 27_{4}^{3} \end{array} $	73,880,307 33,534,362	25, 093 28, 098
1899 1899	26, 3 4 1, 000 <i>29, 540, 000</i>	$30.2 \\ 31.9$	796, 178, 000 943, 389, 000	24. 9	198, 168, 000	224 	 	21 ₄	234	45,048,857	54, 570
1900 1901	27,365,000 28,541,000	29.6 25.8	809, 126, 000 736, 809, 000	25.8 39.9	208, 669, 000 293, 659, 000	21 ³ 42	22_4^3 48_4^1	27 7 41	31 49 ¹ 201	42,268,931 13,277,612	32, 107 38, 978
1902 1903	28,653,000 27,638,000	34.5 28.4 29.1	987, 843, 000 784, 094, 000	30.7 34.1 21.2	303, 585, 000 267, 662, 000 270, 900, 000	291 341 281	32 38 32	33 8 395 285	384 443 39	8, 381, 805 1, 960, 740 8, 394, 692	150,005
1904	27, 843, 000	34.0	953, 216, 000	29.1	277,048,000	$20_{4}^{20_{4}}$	323 324	321	343 401	48, 434, 541	40,025
1906	30, 959, 000 31, 837, 000	31.2 23.7 25.0	904, 905, 000 754, 443, 000 807, 156, 000	31.7 44.3 47 9	334, 568, 000 381, 171, 000	33 46 <u>1</u> 483	507 507	523 561	462 561 621	2, 518, 855 2, 333, 817	383, 418 6, 691, 700
1909	32, 344, 000 33, 204, 000 35, 159, 000	20.0 30.3 28.6	1,007,353,000	40. 2	405, 120, 000	 40	 45	364	431	2,548,726	1,034,511
1910 4	37, 548, 000	31.6	1,186,341,000	34.4	408, 388, 000	31	$32\frac{1}{473}$	317 501	36 58	3,845,850 2,677,740	107,318 2.622.357
1912	37,917,000	24.4 37.4 29.2	1,418,337,000 1.121.768,000	31.9 39.2	452, 469, 000	31 37§	313 401	$35\frac{1}{8}$ 37	43 423	36,455,474 2,748,743	723, 899 22,283,624
1914	38, 442, 000	29.7	1,141,060,000	43.8	499, 431, 000	467	494				

Quotations are for No. 2 to 1906.
 Oatmeal not included 1866 to 1882, inclusive.
 Oatmeal not included 1867 to 1882, inclusive, and 1909.
 Figures adjusted to census basis.

Statistics of Oats.

OATS-Continued. '

TABLE 30.—Oats: Acreage, production, and total farm value, by States, 1913 and 1914.

State.	Thousand	is of acres.	Production of bus	(thousands shels).	Value, b price (tl of do	asis Dec. 1 housands llars).
	1914	1913	1914	1913	1914	1913
Maine. New Hampshire. Vermont Massachusetts. Rhode Island.	141 12 79 9 2	140 12 79 9 2	5, 781 456 3, 358 333 55	5,600 420 3,081 315 52	3,295 264 1,847 186 32	3,080 235 1,602 170 26
Connecticut New York New Jersey Pennsylvania Delaware	$11\\1,275\\67\\1,073\\4$	$11\\1,275\\70\\1,154\\4$	31940,1621,94332,190108	30842,7122,03035,774122	$175 \\ 20,483 \\ 1,049 \\ 16,417 \\ 54$	$169 \\ 20,075 \\ 954 \\ 16,456 \\ 62$
Maryiand Virginia. West Virginia. North Carolina. South Carolina.	43 191 105 250 375	45 195 115 230 360	$1,161 \\ 2,960 \\ 2,100 \\ 4,375 \\ 7,500$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,260\\ 4,192\\ 2,760\\ 4,485\\ 8,460\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 604 \\ 1,717 \\ 1,155 \\ 2,844 \\ 5,325 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 605\\ 2,180\\ 1,408\\ 2,736\\ 6,007\end{array}$
Georgia Ftorida Ohio Indiana Illinois	$450 \\ 50 \\ 1,650 \\ 1,575 \\ 4,300 \\ 150 \\ 1,575 \\ 1,5$	420 50 1,800 1,700 4,375	9,000 900 50,325 44,888 125,990	9, 240 900 54, 360 36, 380 104, 125	6,300 630 22,646 19,302 55,436	6, 283 630 21, 744 13, 824 39, 568
Michigan Wisconsin Minnesota Iowa Missouri	1,515 2,300 3,040 5,000 1,200	$1,500 \\ 2,275 \\ 2,980 \\ 4,880 \\ 1,250$	50,752 62,100 85,120 165,000 25,800	$\begin{array}{r} 45,000\\ 83,038\\ 112,644\\ 168,360\\ 26,500 \end{array}$	22,838 26,703 34,048 67,650 11,352	$17,550 \\ 30,724 \\ 36,046 \\ 57,242 \\ 11,925$
North Dakota South Dakota Nebraska Kansas Kentucky	2,318 1,606 2,175 1,760 175	$2,250 \\ 1,590 \\ 2,250 \\ 1,760 \\ 160$	$\begin{array}{c} 64,904\\ 44,165\\ 69,600\\ 58,960\\ 3,675 \end{array}$	57,825 42,135 59,625 34,320 3,168	$24,014 \\ 16,783 \\ 27,840 \\ 24,763 \\ 1,948$	$17,348 \\ 14,326 \\ 22,658 \\ 15,444 \\ 1,647$
Tennessee. Alabama. Mississippi Louisiana. Texas	350 390 160 70 900	300 325 140 45 1,000	$egin{array}{c} 8,050\ 8,580\ 3,680\ 1,610\ 22,500 \end{array}$	6, 300 6, 662 2, 800 990 32, 500	$\begin{array}{r} 4,266\\ 5,920\\ 2,392\\ 1,014\\ 10,800 \end{array}$	3,339 4,597 1,764 564 16,575
Oklahoma Arkansas Montana Wyoming Colorado	$1,100 \\ 260 \\ 530 \\ 225 \\ 325$	$1,030 \\ 240 \\ 500 \\ 220 \\ 305$	30,250 6,240 18,550 7,875 13,000	18, 540 6, 360 21, 750 8, 360 10, 675	$12,402 \\ 3,307 \\ 7,234 \\ 3,780 \\ 5,850$	8,343 3,371 6,960 3,344 4,697
New Mexico Arizona. Utah Nevada	52 8 95 13	50 7 90 11	1,976 336 4,750 676	1,5003014,140473	889 235 2,042 372	900 150 1,656 307
Idaho Washington Oregon California	332 297 364 220	325 300 360 210	$14,608 \\ 13,959 \\ 12,740 \\ 7,700$	$15,112 \\ 14,250 \\ 15,228 \\ 6,636$	5,551 5,863 5,733 4,081	4,836 5,700 5,787 3,982
United States	38, 442	38, 399	1, 141, 060	1, 121, 768	499, 431	439, 596

OATS-Continued.

TABLE 31.—Oats: Production and distribution in the United States, 1897-1914.

Year.	Old stock on farms Aug. 1.	Crop.	Total supplies.	Stock on farms Mar. 1, following.	Shipped out of county where grown.
1897	Bushels. 71, 139 44, 554 50, 537 54, 214 47, 713 30, 570 73, 352 42, 194 55, 836 67	Bushels. 698, 768 730, 907 796, 178 809, 126 736, 809 987, 843 784, 094 894, 596 953, 216 964, 905	Bushels. 769,907 775,461 846,715 863,340 784,522 1,018,413 857,446 936,790 1,009,052 1032,593	Bushels. 271, 729 283, 209 290, 937 292, 803 226, 393 364, 926 273, 708 347, 166 379, 805 384 461	Bushels. 204, 147 193, 527 223, 014 242, 850 143, 398 258, 438 223, 959 261, 989 267, 133 266, 182
1900 1907 1908 1909 1910 1911 1912 1913 1914	67, 000 68, 258 37, 797 26, 323 64, 199 67, 793 34, 872 103, 900 62, 467	754,443 897,156 1,007,130 1,186,341 922,298 1,418,337 1,121,768 1,141,060	$\begin{array}{c} 822,701\\ 844,953\\ 1,033,453\\ 1,250,540\\ 990,091\\ 1,453,209\\ 1,225,668\\ 1,203,527\\ \end{array}$	267, 476 278, 847 365, 432 442, 665 289, 988 604, 216 419, 476 379, 369	210, 923 244, 444 329, 252 363, 103 265, 958 438, 084 297, 326 335, 539

[000 omitted.]

Statistics of Oats.

OATS-Continued.

	Yield per acre (bushels).											Fa	rm p (rice j cents	perb 3).	ushe	a	Value per acre (dollars). ¹	
State.	10- year aver- age, 1905- 1914.	1905	1906	1907	1908	1909	1910	1911	1912	191:	3 1914	10- year aver- age, 1905- 1914.	1910	1911	1912	1913	1914	5- year aver- age, 1910- 1914.	1914
Me N.H Vt Mass R.I	37.9 35.0 37.7 34.2 29.0	38.5 32.8 39.4 32.0 29.4	35. 8 34. 5 37. 2 34. 0 29. 3	37. 1 32. 5 34. 0 35. 0 29. 5	34. 0 30. 6 33. 3 33. 0 31. 0	37.0 31.5 32.2 31.0 25.0	42. 4 42. 8 41. 5 35. 5 35. 0	38.5 33.8 35.0 35.0 29.0	34. 6 39. 0 43. 0 34. 0 28. 6	40. 35. 39. 35. 26.) 41. 0) 38. 0) 42. 5) 37. 0) 27. 5	53 54 52 53 53	48 51 50 50 48	54 61 59 58 58	51 48 48 47 45	55 56 52 54 50	57 58 55 56 58	20. 83 20. 56 21. 14 18. 73 15. 09	23.37 22.04 23.38 20.72 15.95
Conn N. Y N. J Pa Del	32. 0 31. 5 29. 6 30. 2 29. 3	34. 5 34. 2 32. 0 34. 0 31. 2	34. 2 32. 3 26. 6 27. 4 24. 5	31.5 30.7 29.5 29.6 30.0	32.6 30.1 30.7 27.3 29.8	27.5 28.2 25.5 26.0 25.5	36.8 34.5 37.1 35.2 33.8	35.1 29.5 28.5 28.3 30.0	30.7 30.8 27.6 33.1 30.5	28. 33. 29. 31. 30.	29. 0 5 31. 5 29. 0 30. 0 5 27. 0	51 47 48 46 47	44 42 44 41 43	56 51 50 50 47	49 42 44 41 45	55 47 47 46 51	55 51 54 51 50	16. 45 14. 85 14. 40 14. 34 14. 28	15.95 16.06 15.66 15.30 13.50
Md Va W.Va N. C 8. C	27.4 19.5 22.4 17.6 20.2	27.7 17.8 24.1 15.3 16.3	25.4 18.0 20.6 16.2 18.5	27.5 19.6 19.3 15.6 20.0	25.5 19.1 19.0 16.5 20.0	25. 4 19. 0 22. 0 16. 5 21. 0	$\begin{array}{c} 30.\ 0\\ 22.\ 0\\ 25.\ 2\\ 18.\ 2\\ 21.\ 0 \end{array}$	27.0 20.0 22.0 16.5 20.4	30.0 22.2 28.0 18.6 21.5	28. 21. 24. 19. 23.	$ \begin{array}{c} 27.0 \\ 515.5 \\ 20.0 \\ 517.5 \\ 520.0 \\ $	46 51 50 60 68	5 46 49 50 60 60 65	49 54 56 63 72	45 52 47 62 66	48 52 51 61 71	52 58 55 65 71	13.60 10.66 12.26 11.23 14.68	14.04 8.99 11.00 11.38 14.20
Ga Fla Ohio Ind Ill	18. 6 15. 4 32. 4 29. 0 31. 2	$ \begin{array}{c} 15.1 \\ 12.0 \\ 35.8 \\ 35.3 \\ 35.5 \\ 35.5 \\ \end{array} $	15.5 14.0 32.8 28.2 29.5	16. 7 13. 7 22. 8 20. 2 24. 5	17.214.526.421.223.0	19. 0 17. 0 32. 5 30. 5 36. 6	18. 2 16. 2 37. 2 35. 4 38. 0	$\begin{array}{c} 21.5 \\ 13.5 \\ 32.1 \\ 28.7 \\ 28.8 \end{array}$	20. 8 17. 2 44. 0 40. 1 43. 3	22. 18. 30. 21. 23.	0 20. C 0 18. C 2 30. 5 4 28. 5 8 29. 3	66 69 40 37 37	64 65 35 31 30	70 75 45 43 42	65 70 33 30 30	68 70 40 38 38	70 70 45 43 44	13.84 11.58 13.56 11.15 11.68	14.00 12.60 13.72 12.26 12.89
Mich Wis Minn Iowa Mo	30. 8 32. 5 30. 8 31. 9 24. 2	35.6 39.0 37.5 35.0 27.2	30. 7 37. 4 32. 5 33. 8 22. 8	20. 8 22. 0 24. 5 24. 2 21. 5	29.731.122.024.319.3	30, 5 35, 0 33, 0 27, 0 27, 0	34. 0 29. 8 28. 7 37. 8 33. 6	$\begin{array}{c} 28. \\ 29. \\ 22. \\ 25. \\ 14. \\ 8 \end{array}$	34.9 37.3 41.7 44.2 33.0	30. 36. 37. 234. 21.	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 & 33.5 \\ 5 & 27.6 \\ 8 & 28.6 \\ 5 & 33.6 \\ 2 & 21.5 \\ \end{array} $	40 38 34 34 39) 35 3 34 4 32 4 27 9 32	46 45 40 41 45	33 32 26 27 35	39 37 32 34 45	45 43 40 41 44	12.67 12.12 10.49 11.57 9.59	15.08 11.61 11.20 13.53 9.46
N. Dak S. Dak Nebr Kans Ky	27.7 27.1 25.3 24.9 21.3	38.9 39.0 31.0 27.1 24.5	32.5 36.4 29.5 23.6 21.5	24.5 24.7 20.4 15.0 17.6	23. 4 23. 0 22. 0 22. 0 16. 2	32. 0 30. 0 25. 0 28. 2 22. 3	7.0 23.0 28.0 33.3 25.0	23.5 7.4 13.9 15.0 18.4	41. 4 33. 8 24. 4 32. 0 26. 9	25. 26. 26. 26. 19. 19.	7 28. (5 27. 5 5 32. (5 33. 5 8 21. (33 34 34 39 39 47	3 37 3 30 4 28 9 34 7 45	41 43 43 45 50	22 25 30 35 44	30 34 38 45 52	37 38 40 42 53	7.88 7.60 8.80 10.42 10.74	10.36 10.45 12.80 14.07 11.13
Tenn Ala Miss La Tex	21.2 18.6 18.6 19.6 28.6	2 20. 2 3 16. 5 3 18. 5 3 16. 6 3 31. 4	21.5 17.2 18.0 17.2 34.8	20. 8 17. 5 17. 9 14. 5 19. 0	$\begin{array}{c} 21.0\\ 18.0\\ 17.5\\ 20.0\\ 28.9 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 20.\ 0\\ 16.\ 5\\ 16.\ 0\\ 20.\ 0\\ 18.\ 7\end{array}$	23.0 18.5 19.2 21.5 35.0	19.5 19.2 18.4 21.0 25.1	21.7 20.0 17.4 20.8 36.0	21. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20. 22. 32. 32.	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 & 23.0 \\ 5 & 22.0 \\ 0 & 23.0 \\ 0 & 23.0 \\ 5 & 25.0 \\ \end{array} $	48 61 61 50 50 50	8 46 3 60 1 55 5 49 0 47	50 66 65 65 54	47 62 60 51 43	53 69 63 57 51	53 69 65 63 48	10.77 13.10 12.10 12.37 14.81	12. 19 15. 18 14. 95 14. 49 12. 00
Okla Ark Mont Wyo Colo	25. 4 22. 2 44. 1 36. 9 38. 3	4 34. 2 2 20. 3 1 41. 3 9 39. 9 3 35. 6	2 34. 3 20. 5 3 43. 2 3 39. 5 40. 4	15.0 19.5 49.0 37.0 38.0	25.0 21.4 41.6 36.4 39.5	29. (22. 8 51. 3 35. (38. (36.5 27.5 38.0 32.0 39.1	9. (20. (49. 8 34. 5 35. () 25. 1) 19. 9 3 48. (5 41. 5) 42. 5	18. 26. 43. 38. 38. 35.	0 27.8 5 24.0 5 35.0 0 35.0 0 40.0	5 40 5 50 5 49 5 40 5 40	$\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 37 \\ 0 & 46 \\ 2 & 46 \\ 5 & 50 \\ 5 & 46 \\ 6 & 46 \end{array}$	48 53 40 50 48	34 50 35 37 38	45 53 32 40 44	41 53 39 48 45	9. 15 11. 99 16. 35 16. 14 16. 89	11. 28 12. 72 13. 65 16. 80 18. 00
N. Mex Ariz Utah Nev	34.8 37.9 45.4 42.9	5 29. 8 9 31. 4 39. 8 9 37. 9	34. 6 34. 4 43. 7 38. 8	38.5 29.0 45.0 43.0	33.5 36.0 49.5 45.0	40. (37. (46. 1 40. () 27. 4) 40. 1 L 43. () 44. 7	38.8 42.0 44.7 45.0	34. 44. 46. 40.	7 30. 7 43. 4 46. 0 43.	0 38. (0 42. (0 50. (0 52. () 50) 61) 40) 61	6 62 8 90 6 48 1 63	57 60 47 62	45 70 49 52	60 50 40 65	45 70 43 55	17.97 28.70 20.86 26.68	17.10 29.40 21.50 28.60 16.50
Idaho Wash Oreg Cal	44. 47. 34. 33.	1 39. 9 50. 9 24. 4 28.	40.7 043.2 133.8 031.5	50.5 255.5 35.0 33.5	44. 0 44. 5 33. 4 33. 5	44. 8 49. (37. 8 31. 4	38.8 42.8 34.8 437.0	44. (351. 34. 34. (34. (0 48. 7 48. 7 38. 0 39.	9 46. 2 47. 2 42. 0 31.	5 44. 5 47. 3 35. 6 35.) 4) 4) 5	1 42 4 48 4 47 8 50	40 45 44 59	35 40 41 55	32 40 38 60	38 42 45 53	10.50 20.36 15.79 19.50	10.72 19.74 15.75 18.55
U. S.	. 29.	6 34. () 31.2	2 23.7	25.0) 30. 3	3 31. (3 24.	4 37.	4 29.	2 29.	38.	7 34. 4	45.0	31.9	39.2	43.8	11.65	12.99

TABLE 32.-Oats: Yield per acre, price per bushel Dec. 1, and value per acre, by States.

¹ Based upon farm price Dec. 1.

OATS-Continued.

Month.	Un Sta	ited tes.	d North Atlantic States.		So Atla Sta	uth antic stes.	N. C State of Mi	entral s east ss. R.	N. C State of Mi	entral s west ss. R.	So Cer Sta	uth atral ates.	Far West- ern States.		
	1914	1913	1914	1913	1914	1913	1914	1913	1914	1913	1914	1913	1914	1913	
January February March April May June	Cts. 39.1 39.3 38.9 39.5 39.5 40.0	$\begin{array}{c} Cts.\\ 32.2\\ 32.4\\ 33.1\\ 33.1\\ 34.2\\ 36.0 \end{array}$	Cts. 47.0 48.3 48.0 48.8 49.0 49.4	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 41.8 \\ 41.8 \\ 42.2 \\ 43.0 \\ 45.0 \\ 46.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 63.4 \\ 63.9 \\ 62.9 \\ 62.4 \\ 61.9 \\ 62.4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts.\\ 58.9\\ 61.8\\ 60.0\\ 60.4\\ 58.7\\ 60.0 \end{array}$	Cts. 37.8 37.4 37.4 38.3 38.2 38.7	$\begin{array}{c} Cts.\\ 31.\ 6\\ 31.\ 6\\ 32.\ 0\\ 31.\ 8\\ 32.\ 6\\ 35.\ 0 \end{array}$	Cts. 35.1 34.9 34.7 35.1 34.8 35.9	$\begin{array}{c} Cts.\\ 27.9\\ 27.9\\ 29.0\\ 28.9\\ 30.5\\ 32.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts.\\ 51.3\\ 54.8\\ 52.8\\ 52.5\\ 52.2\\ 51.5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 43.5 \\ 44.2 \\ 46.2 \\ 45.5 \\ 46.0 \\ 45.8 \end{array}$	Cts. 39.0 39.5 38.8 39.7 41.8 40.6	Cts. 38.6 39.8 39.3 40.3 40.4 42.6	
July August September. October November. December.	$\begin{array}{r} 38.8\\ 36.7\\ 42.3\\ 43.3\\ 42.9\\ 43.8\end{array}$	37.7 37.6 39.3 39.6 37.9 39.2	$\begin{array}{r} 49.\ 6\\ 49.\ 5\\ 53.\ 2\\ 51.\ 7\\ 51.\ 1\\ 51.\ 7\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 47.1 \\ 47.2 \\ 47.3 \\ 47.8 \\ 45.8 \\ 45.8 \\ 47.4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 62. \ 6\\ 60. \ 0\\ 63. \ 9\\ 66. \ 4\\ 65. \ 2\\ 66. \ 3\end{array}$	$58.3 \\ 58.2 \\ 60.2 \\ 61.2 \\ 62.2 \\ 63.4$	$\begin{array}{c} 37.7\\ 36.1\\ 42.8\\ 43.2\\ 43.2\\ 43.2\\ 44.0\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 37.1\\ 37.2\\ 38.5\\ 39.4\\ 37.6\\ 38.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 34.\ 6\\ 31.\ 6\\ 38.\ 1\\ 39.\ 9\\ 39.\ 2\\ 40.\ 2\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 34.7\\ 34.3\\ 36.6\\ 36.3\\ 34.4\\ 34.9\end{array}$	45. 1 44. 2 47. 8 49. 3 49. 7 49. 7	42.3 42.2 47.0 49.9 49.7 52.0	$\begin{array}{c} 41.8\\ 39.4\\ 40.9\\ 41.8\\ 40.8\\ 43.3\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 43.2\\ 43.1\\ 41.7\\ 40.8\\ 40.1\\ 38.9 \end{array}$	
Average	40.9	36.8	50.1	45.5	63.5	60.2	40.5	35, 9	36.9	33. 2	48.2	45.7	41.0	40.3	

 TABLE 33.—Oats: Farm price per bushel on first of each month, by geographical divisions, 1913 and 1914.

TABLE 34.—Oats: Condition of crop, United States, on first of months named, 1894-1914.

Year.	June.	July.	August.	When har- vested.	Year.	June.	July.	August.	When har- vested.	Year.	June.	July.	August.	When har- vested.
1894 1895 1896 1897 1898 1899 1900	P. ct. 87.0 84.3 98.8 89.0 98.0 98.0 88.7 91.7	P.ct. 77.7 83.2 96.3 87.5 92.8 90.0 85.5	P. ct. 76. 5 84. 5 77. 3 86. 0 84. 2 90. 8 85. 0	P. ct. 77.8 86.0 74.0 84.6 79.0 87.2 82.9	1901 1902 1903 1904 1905 1906 1907	P. ct. 85. 3 90. 6 85. 5 89. 2 92. 9 85. 9 81. 6	P. ct. 83.7 92.1 84.3 89.8 92.1 84.0 81.0	P. ct. 73. 6 89. 4 79. 5 86. 6 90. 8 82. 8 75. 6	P. ct. 72. 1 87. 2 75. 7 85. 6 90. 3 81. 9 65. 5	1908 1909 1910 1911 1912 1913 1914	P. ct. 92. 9 88. 7 91. 0 85. 7 91. 1 87. 0 89. 5	P. ct. 85. 7 88. 3 82. 2 68. 8 89. 2 76. 3 84. 7	P. ct. 76. 8 85. 5 81. 5 65. 7 90. 3 73. 8 79. 4	P. ct. 69. 7 83. 8 83. 3 64. 5 92. 3 74. 0 75. 8

Statistics of Oats.

OATS-Continued.

TABLE 35.—Oats: Wholesale price per bushel, 1900-1914.

-																
	New	York.	Balt	imore.	Cir n	icin- ati.	Chi	icago.	Milk	wau- ee.	Du	luth.	De	troit.	San ci	Fran-
Date.	N Wł	o. 2 nite.	N wł	o. 3 nite.	N mi	o. 2 xed.	Con	tract.	N wł	o. 3 nite.	N wł	o. 3 nite.	Star	ıdard.	Whi 100	ite (per 1bs.).
	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.
1900 1901 1902 1903 1904	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 26\frac{1}{2} \\ 32 \\ 31 \\ 39\frac{1}{2} \\ 35\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts.\\ 32\frac{1}{2}\\ 55\\ 69\\ 47\frac{1}{2}\\ 58\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 24 \\ 30 \\ 31 \\ 37 \\ 33\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts.\\ 31\frac{1}{4}\\ 53\frac{1}{2}\\ 64\\ 46\frac{1}{2}\\ 49\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 21 \\ 25 \\ 27 \\ 31\frac{1}{2} \\ 31 \end{array}$	Cts. 28 50 ³ 57 43 ¹ / ₂ 44 ¹ / ₂	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 21 \\ 231 \\ 25 \\ 311 \\ 281 \\ 281 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 261 \\ 481 \\ 56 \\ 45 \\ 46 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 24 \\ 25\frac{1}{2} \\ 30\frac{1}{2} \\ 33\frac{1}{2} \\ 28\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 29 \\ 48\frac{3}{4} \\ 58 \\ 41 \\ 45 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts.\\ 22\frac{1}{4}\\ 25\\ 27\frac{1}{2}\\ 30\frac{1}{2}\\ 27\frac{3}{4} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 271 \\ 465 \\ 465 \\ 39 \\ 43 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 24 \\ 28 \\ 34\frac{3}{4} \\ 35\frac{1}{2} \\ 31\frac{3}{4} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts.\\ 291\\ 601\\ 61\\ 45\\ 481\\ 481\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Dols.\\ 1.\ 22\frac{1}{2}\\ 1.\ 02\frac{1}{2}\\ 1.\ 15\\ 1.\ 17\frac{1}{2}\\ 1.\ 25 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Dols.\\ 1.40\\ 1.55\\ 1.50\\ 1.371\\ 1.60 \end{array}$
1905 1906 1907 1908 1909	$30\ 35\frac{1}{2}\ 41\ 56\frac{1}{2}\ 55\frac{1}{2}$	$38\frac{1}{2}\ 48\ 68\ 59\ 62\frac{1}{2}$	$27\frac{1}{34}\ 40\ 51\ 38\frac{1}{2}$	$38rac{1}{2}\ 47\ 63\ 63rac{1}{2}\ 64$	$35 \\ 30 \\ 37 \\ 47 \\ 35 \frac{1}{2}$	$35\frac{1}{2}\ 43\ 55\frac{1}{2}\ 60\ 62$	$25\ 287\ 331\ 46\ 361\ 261\ 261\ 261\ 261\ 261\ 261\ 261\ 2$	$\begin{array}{c} 34 rac{1}{4} \\ 42 rac{3}{4} \\ 56 rac{1}{2} \\ 60 rac{1}{2} \end{array}$	$27rac{1}{8}\ 29\ 32rac{3}{4}\ 45\ 35rac{1}{2}$	$35\frac{1}{2}\ 43\ 56\ 62rac{1}{2}\ 62rac{1}{2}$	25 281 33 45 4 33	32_4^3 41 53 57 58_2^1	$261 \\ 32 \\ 37 \\ 47 \\ 361 \\ 2 \\ 361 \\ 2 \\ 361 \\$	$37 \\ 43^1_4 \\ 58 \\ 64 \\ 64^1_2$	$1.37\frac{1}{2}$ 1.30 1.40 1.55	1.80 1.85 1.75 2.25
1910 1911 1912 1913	$36\frac{1}{2}\ 35\frac{1}{2}\ 38\frac{1}{2}\ 41\frac{1}{4}$	$62\frac{1}{55}$ $64\frac{1}{2}$ 50	$35rac{1}{4}\ 34rac{3}{4}\ 35\ 35rac{1}{4}\ 35rac{1}{4}$	$53\frac{1}{2}$ $53\frac{1}{2}$ $64\frac{1}{2}$ 48	$\begin{array}{c} 31rac{1}{2} \\ 31 \\ 32 \\ 33rac{1}{2} \end{array}$	$52 \\ 51\frac{1}{2} \\ 61 \\ 47$	$\begin{array}{r} 29\frac{3}{4} \\ 28\frac{3}{8} \\ 30\frac{1}{4} \\ 31\frac{5}{8} \end{array}$	49 47 § 58 1 43 §	$\begin{array}{r} 30\frac{1}{2} \\ 29\frac{3}{4} \\ 30\frac{3}{4} \\ 31\frac{1}{4} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 49\frac{1}{2} \\ 49 \\ 59\frac{3}{4} \\ 42\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 29 \\ 28_{8}^{2} \\ 28_{1}^{1} \\ 27_{1}^{1} \\ 3\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 47\frac{1}{2} \\ 47\frac{1}{8} \\ 56\frac{1}{8} \\ 42\frac{1}{8} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 34 \\ 32 \\ 33^{1}_{2} \\ 34^{1}_{2} \end{array}$	$51\\51\\63\frac{1}{2}\\45\frac{1}{2}$	${ \begin{array}{c} 1.42 \frac{1}{2} \\ 1.35 \\ 1.47 \frac{1}{2} \\ 1.37 \frac{1}{2} \end{array} } } \\$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.\ 75\\ 1.\ 85\\ 2.\ 12\frac{1}{2}\\ 1.\ 67\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$
1914. Jan Feb Mar Apr June.	45 45 45 <u>1</u> 43 <u>1</u> 44 <u>1</u> 44 <u>1</u>	$\begin{array}{r} 46\frac{1}{2} \\ 47 \\ 47 \\ 45\frac{1}{2} \\ 48\frac{1}{2} \\ 48\frac{1}{2} \\ 47\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 43\frac{1}{4}\\ 43\frac{1}{2}\\ 44\frac{1}{4}\\ 42\frac{1}{2}\\ 42\frac{1}{2}\\ 43\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 44\frac{1}{4}\\ 44\frac{1}{2}\\ 45\\ 44\\ 45\frac{3}{4}\\ 46\frac{3}{4}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 40\frac{1}{2} \\ 40\frac{1}{2} \\ 41 \\ 40 \\ 39\frac{1}{2} \\ 39\frac{1}{4} \end{array}$	${}^{42}_{43}_{43}_{43}_{421}_{421}_{42}$	37 8 38 1 377 37 37 37 37 36	39 39 <u>1</u> 39 <u>4</u> 39 <u>8</u> 42 <u>1</u> 40 <u>4</u>	$37\frac{1}{2}$ 38 $37\frac{3}{4}$ $38\frac{3}{4}$ $36\frac{1}{2}$	$\begin{array}{r} 39\frac{1}{2} \\ 43 \\ 40\frac{3}{4} \\ 40\frac{1}{2} \\ 42 \\ 41\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	$34\frac{5}{35^3}$ $35\frac{1}{32}$ $34\frac{1}{4}$ $36\frac{1}{4}$ $33\frac{5}{8}$	373 384 385 365 40 395 395	$\begin{array}{r} 40\frac{1}{2}\\ 41\frac{3}{4}\\ 41\\ 41\\ 40\frac{1}{2}\\ 39\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 43\\ 42\\ 42\frac{1}{2}\\ 42\frac{1}{2}\\ 42\frac{1}{2}\\ 45\\ 43\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.\ 40\\ 1.\ 25\\ 1.\ 25\\ 1.\ 22\frac{1}{2}\\ 1.\ 22\frac{1}{2}\\ 1.\ 22\frac{1}{2}\\ 1.\ 22\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.\ 461\\ 1.\ 45\\ 1.\ 321\\ 1.\ 322\\ 1.\ 311\\ 1.\ 311\\ 1.\ 311\\ \end{array}$
July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec	$43\frac{3}{4}$ $43\frac{1}{2}$ 50 50 53 53 53	$46 \\ 56 \\ 58\frac{1}{2} \\ 55 \\ 54\frac{3}{4} \\ 56 \\ 56 \\ 56 \\ 56 \\ 56 \\ 56 \\ 56 \\ 5$	$\begin{array}{r} 41\frac{3}{4}\\ 41\frac{1}{2}\\ 50\\ 49\frac{1}{2}\\ 51\frac{1}{4}\\ 52\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 43\frac{1}{2} \\ 53 \\ 55\frac{1}{2} \\ 52 \\ 53\frac{1}{2} \\ 53\frac{1}{2} \\ 54\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	$35 \\ 35 \\ 46\frac{1}{2} \\ 47 \\ 48\frac{1}{2} \\ 49\frac{1}{2} \\ 49\frac{1}{2} \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 39\frac{1}{2} \\ 51\frac{1}{2} \\ 52\frac{1}{2} \\ 49 \\ 50\frac{1}{2} \\ 51 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 34\frac{1}{2}\\ 33\frac{1}{2}\\ 44\\ 44\frac{3}{4}\\ 47\frac{1}{2}\\ 46\frac{7}{3}\end{array}$	$39\frac{5}{484}\ 51\frac{1}{8}\ 48\frac{3}{4}\ 50\ 49\frac{3}{4}$	$\begin{array}{r} 35\frac{1}{2}\\ 34\frac{1}{4}\\ 44\\ 44\frac{1}{2}\\ 46\frac{3}{4}\\ 47\frac{1}{4} \end{array}$	$38\frac{1}{2}\ 49\frac{1}{2}\ 52\ 49\ 50\ 50\ 50\ 50\ 0$	$\begin{array}{r} 33_{3}\\ 34_{4}\\ 42_{2}\\ 43_{8}\\ 44_{2}\\ 44_{8}\\ 44_{8} \end{array}$	361 475 508 478 47 47 47 495	$\begin{array}{r} 37\frac{3}{4}\\ 41\\ 48\frac{1}{2}\\ 46\frac{1}{2}\\ 49\frac{1}{2}\\ 50\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	$41\frac{1}{2}$ 53 53 51 51 $52\frac{1}{2}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.\ 20\\ 1.\ 23\frac{3}{4}\\ 1.\ 30\\ 1.\ 42\frac{1}{2}\\ 1.\ 50\\ 1.\ 50\\ 1.\ 50 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 1.\ 27\frac{1}{3}\\ 1.\ 32\frac{1}{3}\\ 1.\ 60\\ 1.\ 55\\ 1.\ 55\\ 1.\ 55\\ 1.\ 55\end{array}$
Year.	$43\frac{1}{2}$	$58\frac{1}{2}$	411	55 <u>1</u>	35	$52\frac{1}{2}$	33^1_2	511	341	52	33 1	50 §	37 <u>3</u>	53	1.20	1.60

TABLE 36.—Oats: International trade, calendar years 1911–1913.

[See "General note," p. 517.] EXPORTS.

[000 omitted.]

Country.	• 1911	1912	1913 (prelim.).	Country.	1911	1912	1913 (prelim.).
Argentina. Bulgaria. Canada China. Chile. Denmark. Denmark. Finland. Germany. Netherlands.	Bushels. 35, 232 488 8, 357 1, 096 79 453 20, 411 28, 995	Bushels. 61, 731 173 9, 660 515 2, 714 179 390 26, 538 41, 316	Bushels. 61, 298 173 31, 732 285 3, 687 194 456 45, 584 31, 131	Roumania Russia. Sweden. United Kingdom United States Other countries Total	Bushels. 16,073 96,071 1,936 1,948 2,126 1,595 215,297	Bushels. 1,979 58,457 361 631 30,374 5,365 240,383	Bushels. 12, 150 41, 126 361 1, 655 5, 275 1, 793 236, 900

IMPORTS.

Austria-Hungary Belgium Denmark. Cuba. Finland France. Germany Italy Netherlands. Notway	8, 190 7, 419 4, 244 1, 147 1, 488 37, 316 43, 287 8, 960 35, 689 879	$\begin{array}{r} 1,042\\9,560\\3,911\\1,432\\1,070\\14,929\\45,879\\10,830\\51,304\\822\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,047\\ 9,555\\ 4,224\\ 1,503\\ 1,002\\ 39,992\\ 34,793\\ 7,331\\ 38,711\\ 390\end{array}$	Philippine Islands. Russia Sweden Switzerland United Kingdom United States Other countries Total	$152 \\ 1, 122 \\ 7, 031 \\ 12, 586 \\ 64, 870 \\ 100 \\ 2, 110 \\ \hline 236, 590$	770 1,200 6,703 12,661 64,924 3,263 2,678 232,978	537 2,367 6,703 12,205 64,470 13,309 2,302 240,441
---	--	--	--	---	---	--	---

BARLEY.

TABLE 37.—Barley: Area and production of undermentioned countries, 1912–1914.

		Area.			Production.	
Country.	1912	1913	1914	1912	1913	1914
NORTH AMERICA.	Acres.	Acres.	Acres.	Bushels.	Bushels.	Bushels. 194–953,000
United States	7,530,000	7,499,000	7, 505, 000	223, 824, 000		
Canada: New Brunswick Quebec Ontario. Manitoba. Saskatchewan. Alberta. Other	3,000 94,000 512,000 481,000 292,000 187,000 13,000	2,000 89,000 485,000 496,000 332,000 197,000 12,000	$\begin{array}{c} 2,000\\ 85,000\\ 461,000\\ 468,000\\ 290,000\\ 178,000\\ 12,000 \end{array}.$	$\begin{array}{r} 74,000\\ 2,226,000\\ 15,093,000\\ 15,826,000\\ 9,575,000\\ 6,179,000\\ 405,000\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 74,009\\ 2,263,000\\ 14,589,000\\ 14,305,000\\ 10,421,000\\ 6,334,000\\ 333,000 \end{array}$	64,000 2,261,000 13,987,000 9,828,000 4,901,000 4,806,000 354,000
Total Canada	1, 582, 000	1,613,000	1, 496, 000	49, 378, 000	48, 319, 000	36, 201, 000
Mexico	(1)	(1)	(1)	6, 500, 000	7,000,000	6,000,000
Total				279, 702, 000	233, 508, 000	237, 154, 000
SOUTH AMERICA.						
Argentina Chili Uruguay	167,000 103,000 6,000	$368,000 \\ 131,000 \\ 3,000$	418, 000 124, 000 14, 000	2,798,000 3,251,000 84,000	4, 455, 00 9 4, 596, 000 38, 000	8,037,000 5,741,000 165,000
Total				6, 133, 000	9,089,000	13,944,000
EUROPE.						
Austria Hungary: Austria Hungary proper Croatia-Slavonia Bosnia-Herzegovina	2, 634, 000 2, 603, 000 156, 000 220, 000	2, 699, 000 2, 887, 000 158, 000 263, 000	(1) 2,777,000 (1) (1)	74, 145, 000 70, 140, 000 1, 978, 000 2, 857, 000	75, 917, 000 79, 825, 000 2, 956, 000 3, 904, 000	75,000,000 67,146,000 1,940,000 3,000,000
Total Austria- Hungary	5,613,000	6,007,000		149, 120, 000	162, 602, 000	147,086,000
Belgium Bulgaria Denmark Frinland France. Germany Italy Notherlands Norway Roumania	$\begin{array}{c} 84,000\\ 619,000\\ 597,000\\ (1)\\ 1,877,000\\ 3,928,000\\ 604,000\\ 66,000\\ (2)89,000\\ 1,235,000 \end{array}$	84,000 558,000 (1) (1) 1,878,000 4,087,000 620,000 66,000 (1) 1,390,000	84,000 (1) (1) (1) 1,826,000 4,010,000 610,000 67,000 (1) 1,405,000	$\begin{array}{c} 4,253,000\\ 12,440,000\\ 23,533,000\\ 6,754,000\\ 49,079,000\\ 159,924,000\\ 8,403,000\\ 3,364,000\\ 3,364,000\\ 21,295,000\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4,217,000\\ 10,000,000\\ 24,997,000\\ 5,414,000\\ 46,116,000\\ 188,703,000\\ 10,803,000\\ 3,132,000\\ 3,202,000\\ 27,339,000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4,232,000\\ 10,000,000\\ 20,000,000\\ 4,047,000\\ 47,000,000\\ 140,000,000\\ 6,917,000\\ 3,210,000\\ 2,591,000\\ 25,505,000\end{array}$
Russia: Russia proper Poland Northern Caucasia	23,057,000 1,256,000 3,807,000			354, 685, 000 29, 321, 000 71, 952, 000		
Total Russia (Eu- ropean)	28, 120, 000	30, 165, 000	30, 844, 000	455, 958, 000	557, 575, 000	400,000,000
Servia Spain Sweden	$\begin{array}{r} 257,000\\ 3,298,000\\ 436,000\end{array}$	149,0003,869,000437,000	(1) 3, 404, 000 437, 000	4,777,000 59,994,000 13,660,000	2,866,000 68,772,000 16,912,000	3,000,000 72,272,000 12,195,000
United Kingdom: England Wales Scotland Ireland	$\begin{array}{c} 1,365,000\\ 92,000\\ 192,000\\ 165,000 \end{array}$	1, 470, 000 89, 000 198, 000 173, 000	$1,420,000\\84,000\\194,000\\172,000$	42, 897, 000 2, 839, 000 7, 117, 000 7, 259, 000	49, 384, 000 2, 792, 000 7, 598, 000 8, 004, 000	48, 210, 000 2, 743, 000 7, 616, 000 8, 073, 000
Total United Kingdom	1, 814, 000	1,930,000	1,870,000	60, 112, 000	67, 778, 000	66, 642, 000
Total				1,035,758,000	1, 200, 434, 000	965, 438, 00

¹ No official statistics.

² Area in 1907 (census).

Statistics of Barley.

BARLEY-Continued.

TABLE 37.—Barley: .	Area and	production of	of undermenti	ioned coun	tries, 1912–191	4-Con.
---------------------	----------	---------------	---------------	------------	-----------------	--------

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1			1		,
<i>a i</i>		Area.			Production.	
Country.	1912	1913	1914	1912	1913	1914
ASIA.	Acres.	Acres.	Acres.	Bushels.	Bushels.	Bushels.
British India Cyprus	8, 4 33, 000 (¹)			(1) 1,838,000	2, 100, 000	(¹) 2,000,000
Japanese Empire: Japan Formosa	3, 132, 000 5, 000	3, 296, 000 (¹)	3, 293, 000 (¹)	90, 559, 000 60, 000	101, 477, 000 60, 000	102, 757, 000 60, 000
Total Japanese Empire				90, 619, 000	101, 537, 000	102, 817, 000
Russia: Central Asia ² Siberia ² Transcaucasia ³	375,000 436,000 2,009			5, 578, 000 6, 585, 0 00 30, 000		
Total Russia (Asiatic)	813, 000	(4)1,032,000	(1)	12, 193, 000	(4) 14, 783, 000	(4) 19, 561, 000
Total				104, 650, 000	118, 420, 000	124, 378, 000
AFRICA.						
Algeria Tunis Union of South Africa	3, 430, 000 1, 119, 000 (¹)	3,152,000 1,117,000 (¹)	(1) (1) (1)	32, 887, 000 3, 070, 000 (5) 1, 359, 000	50, 031, 000 7, 266, 060 (⁵) 1, 359, 000	39,000, 000 (⁵) 1,359, 60 0
Total				37, 316, 000	58, 656, 000	40, 359, 000
AUSTRALASIA.	· ·					
Australia: Queensland New South Wales Victoria South Australia Western Australia Tasmania	2,000 11,000 53,000 41,000 4,000 6,000	$\begin{array}{c} 9,000\\ 17,000\\ 72,000\\ 69,000\\ 6,000\\ 8,000\\ \end{array}$		$16,000 \\ 133,000 \\ 1,057,000 \\ 725,000 \\ 38,000 \\ 153,000$	151,000349,0001,800,0001,360,00096,000274,000	120,000 312,000 1,870,000 1,375,000 173,090 193,000
Total Australia New Zealand	$\frac{117,000}{32,000}$	181,000 37,000	32,000	2, 122, 000 1, 296, 000	4,030,000 1,421,000	4,043,000 1,234,000
Total Australasia.	149,000	218,000		3, 418, 000	5, 451, 000	5, 277, 000
Grand total				1, 466, 977, 000	1, 625, 558, 000	1, 385, 808, 000

¹ No official statistics. ² Four governments. ⁸ One government. ⁴ Ten governments. ⁵ Figures for 1911 (census).

.

TABLE 38.—Barley: Total production of countries mentioned in Table 37, 1895-1914.

Year.	Production. Year.		Production. Year. Production. Year. Production.				
1895 1896 1897 1898 1899	Bushels. 915, 504, 000 932, 100, 000 864, 605, 000 1, 030, 581, 000 965, 720, 000	1900 1901 1902 1903 1904	Bushels. 959, 622, 000 1, 072, 195, 000 1, 229, 132, 000 1, 235, 786, 000 1, 175, 784, 000	1905 1906 1907 1908 1909	Bushels. 1, 180, 053, 000 1, 296, 579, 000 1, 271, 237, 000 1, 274, 897, 000 1, 458, 263, 000	1910 1911 1912 1913 1914	Bushels. 1, 388, 734, 000 1, 373, 286, 000 1, 466, 977, 000 1, 625, 558, 000 1, 379, 888, 000

TABLE 39.—Barley: Average yield per acre of undermentioned countries, 1890–1913.

Year.	United States.	Russia (Euro- pean). ¹	Ger- many. ¹	Austria.1	Hungary proper. ¹	France. ²	United King- dom. ²
Average: 1890–1899 1900–1909	Bushels. 23.4 25.5	Bushels. 13.3 14.3	Bushels. 29.4 35.3	Bushels. 21.1 26.3	Bushels. 23.4	Bushels. 22. 6 23. 6	Bushels. 39.8 35.0
1904 1905 1906 1907 1907 1908 1909 1910	$\begin{array}{c} 27.2 \\ 26.8 \\ 28.3 \\ 23.8 \\ 25.1 \\ 22.5 \\ 22.5 \\ 21.0 \end{array}$	$14.4 \\ 14.3 \\ 13.0 \\ 14.2 \\ 14.2 \\ 17.9 \\ 16.3 \\ 14.4 $	$\begin{array}{r} 33.7\\ 33.3\\ 35.2\\ 38.2\\ 34.9\\ 39.5\\ 34.4\\ 37.0\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 22.8\\ 24.0\\ 26.1\\ 27.3\\ 25.2\\ 28.4\\ 24.9\\ 27.5\end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 19.7 \\ 24.5 \\ 26.8 \\ 23.1 \\ 21.3 \\ 25.1 \\ 19.7 \\ 26.9 \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 22.0\\ 23.4\\ 20.8\\ 24.4\\ 22.6\\ 25.4\\ 23.5\\ 25.0\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 32.3\\ 35.9\\ 36.1\\ 36.8\\ 34.9\\ 38.9\\ 38.9\\ 34.3\\ 34.3\\ 34.0\end{array}$
1912. 1913.	29.7 23.8	16.2 3 18.4	40.7 41.3	29.7 29.7		$ \begin{array}{r} 26.1 \\ 24.5 \end{array} $	33. 1 35. 1
Average (1904–1913)	25.1	15.3	36.8	26.6	24.0	23.9	35.1

¹ Bushels of 48 pounds. ² Winchester bushels.

³ Includes 10 governments of Asiatic Russia.

TABLE 40.—Barley: Acreage, production, value, exports, etc., in the United States, 1849–1914.

Nore.—Figures in *italics* are census returns; figures in roman are estimates of the Department of Agriculture. Estimates of acres are obtained by applying estimated percentages of increase or decrease to the published numbers of the preceding year, except that a revised base is used for applying percentage estimates whenever new census data are available.

Year. Ac		Av-	,	Aver- age		Chic bus to t	ago cas shel, le fancy. ¹	sh prie ow ma	e per alting	Domestic	Imports, fiscal
Year.	Acreage.	erage yield per acre.	Produc- tion.	price per bushel	value Dec. 1.	Dece	mber.	Follo Ma	wing ay.	fiscal year beginning July 1.	year begin- ning July 1.
				1000.11		Low.	High.	Low.	High.		
1849	Acres.	Bush.	Bushcls. 5,167,000	Cents.	Dollars.	Cents.	Cents.	Cents.	Cents.	Bushels.	Bushels.
1859 1866 1867 1868 1869 1869	493,000 1,131,000 937,000 1,026,000	22.9 22.7 24.4 27.9	15,826,000 11,284,000 25,727,000 22,896,000 28,652,000 29,761,000	70. 2 70. 1 109. 0 70. 8	7,916,000 18,028,000 24,948,000 20,298,000	59 150 140 74	70 180 170 85	85 227 149 50	$100 \\ 250 \\ 175 \\ 62$	9,810 59,077 255,490	3, 247, 250 3, 783, 966 5, 069, 880 6, 727, 597
1870 1871 1872 1873 1874	$\begin{array}{c} 1,109,000\\ 1,114,000\\ 1,397,000\\ 1,387,000\\ 1,581,000 \end{array}$	23.7 24.0 19.2 23.1 20.6	26, 295, 000 26, 718, 000 26, 846, 000 32, 044, 000 32, 552, 000	79. 1 75. 8 68. 6 86. 7 86. 0	20,792,000 20,264,000 18,416,000 27,794,000 27,998,000	$68 \\ 55\frac{1}{2} \\ 60 \\ 132 \\ 120$	$\begin{array}{r} 80 \\ 64 \\ 70 \\ 158 \\ 129 \frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	$72 \\ 55 \\ 71 \\ 130 \\ 115$	95 71 85 155 137	340, 093 86, 891 482, 410 320, 399 91, 118	$\begin{array}{c} 4,866,700\\ 5,565,591\\ 4,244,751\\ 4,891,189\\ 6,255,063 \end{array}$
1875 1876 1877 1878 1879 1879	1,790,000 1,767,000 1,669,000 1,790,000 1,681,000 1,998,000	20.6 21.9 21.4 23:6 24.0 22.0	36,909,000 38,710,000 35,638,000 42,246,000 40,283,000 43,997,000	74.163.062.557.958.9	27,368,000 24,403,000 22,287,000 24,454,000 23,714,000	$81 \\ 63 \frac{3}{4} \\ 56 \frac{1}{4} \\ 91 \\ 86$	$ \begin{array}{r} $	$62rac{1}{2}\ 80\ 46rac{1}{2}\ 64\ 75$	72½ 85 52½ 73 80	317, 781 1, 186, 129 3, 921, 501 715, 536 1, 128, 923	$\begin{array}{c} 10,285,957\\ 6,702,965\\ 6,764,228\\ 5,720,979\\ 7,135,258 \end{array}$
1880 1881 1882 1883 1884	1,843,000 1,968,000 2,272,000 2,379,000 2,609,000	24.5 20.9 21.5 21.1 23.5	$\begin{array}{c} 45,165,000\\ 41,161,000\\ 48,954,000\\ 50,136,000\\ 61,203,000 \end{array}$	66. 6 82. 3 62. 9 58. 7 48. 7	30,091,000 33,863,000 30,768,000 29,420,000 29,779,000	$100 \\ 101 \\ 79 \\ 62 \\ 53$	$120 \\ 107 \\ 82 \\ 67 \\ 58$	95 100 80 65 65	$105 \\ 100 \\ 80 \\ 74 \\ 65$	885, 246 205, 930 433, 005 724, 955 629, 130	9, 528, 616 12, 182, 722 10, 050, 687 8, 596, 122 9, 986, 507
1885 1886 1887 1888 1889	2,729,000 2,653,000 2,902,000 2,996,000 3,221,000 3,221,000	21.4 22.4 19.6 21.3 24.3	58,360,000 59,428,000 56,812,000 63,884,000 78,333,000 78,333,000	56.3 53.6 51.9 59.0 41.6	32,868,000 31,841,000 29,464,000 37,672,000 32,614,000	62 51 80 58	65 54 80 	58 57 69	60 57 77	$\begin{array}{r} 252,183\\ 1,305,300\\ 550,884\\ 1,440,321\\ 1,408,311 \end{array}$	10, 197, 115 10, 355, 594 10, 831, 461 11, 368, 414 11, 332, 545
1890 1891 1892 1893 1894	3, 135, 000 3, 353, 000 3, 400, 000 3, 229, 000 3, 171, 000	21.4 25.9 23.6 21.7 19.4	67, 168, 000 86, 839, 000 80, 097, 000 69, 869, 000 61, 400, 000	$\begin{array}{r} 62.7\\ 52.4\\ 47.5\\ 41.1\\ 44.2 \end{array}$	42, 141, 000 45, 470, 000 38, 026, 000 28, 729, 000 27, 134, 000	$65 \\ 52 \\ 53\frac{1}{2}$	$67 \\ 54 \\ 55\frac{1}{2}$	$65 \\ 55 \\ 51$		973,062 2,800,075 3,035,267 5,219,405 1,563,754	5,078,733 3,146,328 1,970,129 791,061 2,116,816
1895 1896 1897 1898 1899	3,300,000 2,951,000 2,719,000 2,583,000 2,878,000 4,470,000	26.4 23.6 24.5 21.6 25.5 \$6.8	87,073,000 69,695,000 66,685,000 55,792,000 73,382,000 119,635,000	33.7 32.3 37.7 41.3 40.3	29, 312, 000 22, 491, 000 25, 142, 000 23, 064, 000 29, 594, 000	$33 \\ 22 \\ 25\frac{1}{2} \\ 40 \\ 35 \\ \dots$	$\begin{array}{r} 40\\ 37\\ 42\\ 50\frac{1}{2}\\ 45\end{array}$	$25 \\ -24\frac{1}{2} \\ 36 \\ 36 \\ 36 \\ 36 \\ 36 \\$	36 35 53 42 44	$7,680,331 \\20,030,301 \\11,237,077 \\2,267,403 \\23,661,662$	837, 384 1, 271, 787 124, 804 110, 475 189, 757
1900 1901 1902 1903 1904	2,894,000 4,296,009 4,661,000 4,993,000 5,146,000	20. 4 25. 6 29. 0 26. 4 27. 2	58, 926, 000 109, 933, 000 134, 954, 000 131, 861, 000 139, 749, 000	40.9 45.2 45.9 45.6 42.0	$\begin{array}{c} 24,075,000\\ 49,705,000\\ 61,899,000\\ 60,166,000\\ 58,652,000 \end{array}$	37 56 36 42 38	$ \begin{array}{r} 61\\ 63\\ 70\\ 61\frac{1}{2}\\ 52 \end{array} $	37 64 - 48 38 40	57 72 56 59 50	6,293,207 8,714,268 8,429,141 10,881,627 10,661,655	$171,004 \\ 57,406 \\ 56,462 \\ 90,708 \\ 81,020 \\ 10,004 \\ $
1905 1906 1907 1908 1909	5,096,000 6,324,000 6,448,000 6,646,000 7,011,000 7,698,000	26.8 28.3 23.8 25.1 24.3	136, 551, 000 178, 916, 000 153, 597, 000 166, 756, 000 170, 284, 000 173, 381, 000	40.5 41.5 66.6 55.4	54, 993, 000 74, 236, 000 102, 290, 000 92, 442, 000 93, 526, 009	37 44 78 57 55	$53 \\ 56 \\ 102 \\ 64\frac{1}{2} \\ \\ 72$	$ \begin{array}{r} 42 \\ 66 \\ 60 \\ 66 \\ 50 \end{array} $	$55\frac{1}{2}$ 85 75 75 68	17,729,360 8,238,842 4,349,078 6,580,393 4,311,566	18,049 38,319 199,741 2,644
1910 ² 1911 1912 1913 1914	7,743,000 7,627,000 7,530,000 7,499,000 7,565,000	22.5 21.0 29.7 23.8 25.8	173, 832, 000 160, 240, 000 223, 824, 000 178, 189, 000 194, 953, 000	57.8 86.9 50.5 53.7 54.3	100, 426, 000 139, 182, 000 112, 957, 000 95, 731, 000 105, 903, 000	$ \begin{array}{r} 72 \\ 102 \\ 43 \\ 50 \\ 60 \end{array} $	90 130 77 79 75	75 68 45 51	$ \begin{array}{r} 115 \\ 132 \\ 68 \\ 66 \\ \dots \end{array} $	9,399,346 1,585,242 17,536,703 6,644,747	

1 Prices 1895 to 1908 for No. 3 grade.

² Figures adjusted to census basis.

TABLE 41.—Barley: Acreage, production, and total farm value, by States, 1914.

[000 omitted	.]
--------------	----

States.	Acre- age.	Pro- duc- tion.	Farm value, Dec. 1.	States.	Acre-	Pro- duc- tion.	Farm value, Dec. 1.
Maine New Hampshire Vermont Pennsylvania Maryland Virginia Ohio Indiana Indiana Illinois Wisconsin Minnesota Jowa Missouri North Dakota South Dakota South Dakota Nebraska	Acres. 5 1 12 75 7 5 11 35 8 5 5 90 675 1,378 360 5 1,450 850 113	$\begin{array}{c} Bushels.\\ 150\\ 32\\ 414\\ 2,100\\ 196\\ 165\\ 286\\ 875\\ 200\\ 1,622\\ 2,340\\ 16,24\\ 2,340\\ 18,428\\ 31,694\\ 9,360\\ 120\\ 28,275\\ 19,550\\ 2,656\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \hline Dollars. \\ 122\\ 26\\ 310\\ 1, 491\\ 1, 37\\ 109\\ 229\\ 516\\ 134\\ 989\\ 1, 521\\ 11, 425\\ 16, 798\\ 5, 148\\ 5,$	Kansas Kentucky. Tennessee. Texas. Oklahoma. Montana. Wyoming. Colorado. New Mexico. Arizona. Utah. Nevada. Idaho. Washington. Oregon. California. United States	Acres. 240 5 5 8 7 70 16 103 5 32 32 13 185 182 1,222 1,222 7,565	Bushels. 5, 880 142 135 200 175 2, 135 528 3, 966 170 1, 260 1, 440 611 7, 098 3, 660 42, 060 194, 953	Dollars. 2,764 109 111 400 93 1,132 338 2,181 128 756 720 397 3,511 3,669 2,23 2,23 2,23 2,23 2,23 2,23 2,4,81 105,90%

TABLE 42.—Barley: Yield per acre, price per bushel Dec. 1, and value per acre, by States.

		Yield per acre (bushels).											Far	m pi (ice r cent	er b s).	ushe	1	Val per a (dolla	ue acre ars).1
State.	10- year aver- age, 1905- 1914.	1905	1906	1907	1908	1909	1910	1911	1912	1 91 3	1914	1	10- year aver- age, 1905- 1914.	1910	1911	1912	1913	1914	5-year aver- age 1910- 1914.	1914
Me N. H Vt N. Y Pa	28.8 25.3 31.9 26.2 25.6	29.0 20.8 31.5 25.7 25.0	31.5 21.4 32.8 26.3 25.0	28.0 24.0 28.5 25.0 25.5	28.0 24.0 33.0 26.0 26.0	28.5 25.0 30.0 24.8 21.8	31.0 26.0 31.0 28.3 26.5	28.0 24.0 30.5 25.0 25.0	26. 2 28. 0 35. 0 26. 0 27. 5	28. 0 28. 0 32. 0 26. 7 26. 0	30. 0 32. 0 34. 5 28. 0 28. 0		77 79 72 70 65	76 77 68 70 63	90 86 82 97 65	77 84 80 68 68	80 80 80 69 71	81 82 75 71 70	$\begin{array}{c} 23.\ 13\\ 22.\ 56\\ 25.\ 11\\ 20.\ 01\\ 17.\ 94 \end{array}$	24. 30 26. 24 25. 88 19. 88 19. 60
Md Va Ohio Ind Ill	30.0 27.1 27.3 25.7 29.0	31. 0 28. 0 26. 2 28. 0 30. 0	31. 0 28. 6 30. 0 29. 4 30. 0	33. 0 29. 0 28. 0 20. 5 28. 0	30.0 28.0 27.5 23.0 28.5	32.0 28.5 25.9 23.5 28.0	31.0 29.3 28.5 27.0 30.2	$\begin{array}{c} 23.0\\ 23.0\\ 27.2\\ 26.5\\ 28.0 \end{array}$	27.0 25.0 31.0 29.5 31.5	29. 0 26. 0 24. 0 25. 0 26. 0	33. 0 26. 0 25. 0 25. 0 29. 5		60 68 60 60 59	61 67 60 56 56	60 70 84 75 92	68 75 55 60 53	64 70 58 50 57	66 80 59 67 61	$18.28 \\ 18.70 \\ 17.13 \\ 16.39 \\ 18.44 \\ 10.00 \\ 10.0$	21. 78 20. 80 14. 7 16. 7 18. 0
Mich Wis Minn Iowa Mo	25.2 27.5 24.1 26.2 23.6	27.0 29.9 27.0 26.0 23.0	26. 1 30. 7 28. 0 28. 3 24. 2	22.0 23.0 22.5 25.5 23.0	25.5 30.0 25.0 27.0 23.0	24. 7 28. 0 23. 6 22. 0 25. 0	26.0 25.9 21.0 29.5 27.0	24. 0 25. 5 19. 0 21. 9 20. 0	26. 0 29. 4 28. 2 31. 0 24. 8	24.8 25.0 24.0 25.0 22.0	26. 0 27. 3 23. 0 26. 0 24. 0		62 53 53 61	58 64 60 56 60	86 99 96 93 75	65 55 41 52 66	60 60 48 55 60	65 62 58 55 65	16. 88 17. 98 13. 22 16. 21 15. 27	16.9 16.9 12.1 14.3 15.6
N. Dak S. Dak Nebr Kans Ky	$\begin{array}{c c} 20.7\\ 21.8\\ 21.3\\ 17.2\\ 25.8\end{array}$	28.0 30.0 27.5 22.0 24.0	25, 8 29, 0 28, 0 23, 5 26, 0	18.3 23.0 20.8 12.0 25.0	19.5 26.5 23.5 16.0 25.0	21.0 19.5 22.0 18.0 24.0	5.5 18.2 18.5 18.0 24.0	$ \begin{array}{c} 19.5\\ 5.4\\ 11.0\\ 6.5\\ 28.7 \end{array} $	29.9 26.0 22.0 23.5 26.0	20, 0 17, 5 16, 0 8, 1 26, 6	19.5 23.0 23.5 24.5 28.5	50000	47 50 44 47 70	55 57 45 65	85 88 60 60 79	35 42 42 40 75	40 46 49 55 78	45 50 47 47 77	9.37 9.12 8.61 7.48 20.09	8.7 11.5 11.0 11.5 21.9
Tenn Tex. Okla Mont Wyo	24.3 23.5 21.4 33.8 32.3	21. 6 24. 0 26. 0 33. 0 31. 7	23. 0 24. 5 29. 8 33. 0 31. 4	20. 0 17. 0 18. 7 38. 0 32. 0	25. 0 24. 0 23. 0 35. 0 35. 0	24.0 19.4 23.0 38.0 31.0	23. (30. (30. (28. (30. () 28. () 18. () 10. () 34. 5) 34. () 26. () 29. 3) 20. (5 36. 5) 34. (25. 0 24. 0 9. 0 31. 0 30. 8	27.0 25.0 25.0 30.5 33.0		74 79 54 58 66	80 90 54 62 67	90 93 61 68 75	80 78 50 53 62	70 81 80 48 61	82 70 53 53 64	20.81 20.71 10.55 18.24 21.28	17.5 13.2 16.1 21.1
Colo N. Mex Ariz Utah Nev	35.4 30.7 38.7 41.2 38.8	33.0 21.0 44.0 37.0 34.0	41. (27. (42. 2 44. (36. 8) 40, 0 26, 0 35, 5 39, 0 340, 0) 33. () 42. (5 38. () 45. () 30. () 36. () 40. () 40. () 40. () 38. () 32. () 25. () 36. () 36. () 40. () 29. () 33. () 36. () 43. () 40. () 39. () 35. (5 40. () 45. () 41. () 32, 5) 24, () 39, () 38, 5) 41, (38. 5 34. 0 36. 0 45. 0 47. 0		59 75 80 58 77	60 80 90 60 70	69 70 87 66 81	50 71 87 59 87	55 90	55 75 60 50 65	19.02 22.18 29.81 24.04 32.70	525.5 121.6 122.5 30.5 10.6
Idaho Wash Oreg Cal	40.5 37.6 33.5 27.3	40.0 40.0 31.0 21.5	41. (36. 5 35. (27. 2) 44. 5 5 40. 5 9 42. (2 28. 9	5 41. 0 5 30. 5 9 29. 0 9 23. 5) 40. (5 39. 5) 31. 5 5 26. 5) 33. (5 29. (5 31, 4 5 31. () 42.) 37. 5 34.) 28.	0 43. 0 43. 0 36. 0 30.	5 42. () 40. () 35. () 26. (38. 0 39. 0 30. 0 30. 0	0000-	54 56 58 68	50 57 62 55	70 68 65 85	51 53 55 70	48 52 55 68	52 61 59	21. 4 21. 10 19. 80 19. 4	6 20. 2 0 18. 8 5 17. 7
U. S	25.1	126.8	3 28.3	3 23. 8	3 25. 1	24.	3 22.	521.0	0 29. '	7 23.8	\$25.8	5	56.2	57.8	86.9	0.5	53.7	04.3	14.00	114.0

¹ Based upon farm price Dec. 1.

Year.	June.	July.	Au- gust.	When har- vested.	Year.	June. July.		Au- gust.	When har- vested.
1893 1894 1895 1896 1897 1898 1899 1900 1901 1902 1903	$\begin{array}{c} P. ct. \\ 88.3 \\ 90.3 \\ 98.0 \\ 87.4 \\ 78.8 \\ 91.4 \\ 86.2 \\ 91.0 \\ 93.6 \\ 91.5 \end{array}$	P. ct. 88.8 76.8 91.9 88.1 85.7 92.0 76.3 91.3 93.7 86.8	P. ct. 84.6 69.8 87.2 82.9 87.5 79.3 93.6 71.6 86.9 90.2 83.4	$\begin{array}{c} P. ct.\\ 83.8\\ 71.5\\ 87.6\\ 83.1\\ 86.4\\ 79.2\\ 86.7\\ 70.7\\ 83.8\\ 89.7\\ 82.1 \end{array}$	1904	$\begin{array}{c} P. ct.\\ 90.5\\ 93.7\\ 93.5\\ 84.9\\ 89.6\\ 89.6\\ 90.2\\ 91.1\\ 87.1\\ 95.5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} P. ct. \\ 88.5 \\ 91.5 \\ 92.5 \\ 84.4 \\ 86.2 \\ 90.2 \\ 73.7 \\ 72.1 \\ 88.3 \\ 76.6 \\ 92.6 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} P. ct. \\ 88.1 \\ 89.5 \\ 90.3 \\ 84.5 \\ 83.1 \\ 85.4 \\ 70.0 \\ 66.2 \\ 89.1 \\ 74.9 \\ 85.3 \end{array}$	P. ct. 87. 4 87. 8 89. 4 78. 5 81. 2 80. 5 69. 8 65. 5 88. 9 73. 4 82. 4

TABLE 43.—Barley: Condition of crop, United States, on first of months named, 1893–1914.

TABLE 44.—Barley: Farm price per bushel on first of each month, by geographical divisions, 1913 and 1914.

Month.	Un Sta	ited tes.	No Atla Sta	rth intic tes.	Sor Atla Sta	ith intic tes.	N. (State of Mi	Cen. s east ss. R.	N. States of Mi	Cen. s west ss. R.	Sor Cen Sta	uth tral tes.	Far V ern S	West- tates.
	1914	1913	1914	1913	1914	1913	1914	1913	1914	191 <u>3</u>	1914	1913	1914	1913
January Februaay March April May July September . October November December	$\begin{array}{c} Cts.\\ 52.2\\ 52.4\\ 51.1\\ 51.7\\ 49.3\\ 49.1\\ 47.5\\ 45.1\\ 52.5\\ 51.8\\ 51.7\\ 54.3 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts.\\ 49.9\\ 51.4\\ 49.0\\ 48.5\\ 52.7\\ 53.7\\ 50.8\\ 55.2\\ 56.8\\ 54.7\\ 53.7\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts.\\ 71.2\\ 68.3\\ 72.0\\ 72.4\\ 74.7\\ 71.9\\ 73.1\\ 69.7\\ 75.4\\ 74.7\\ 73.8\\ 72.1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts.\\ 71.0\\ 66.6\\ 68.9\\ 71.3\\ 73.3\\ 72.4\\ 71.3\\ 76.2\\ 75.2\\ 75.2\\ 72.7\\ 71.1\\ 71.3\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts.\\ 67.0\\ 72.5\\ 68.0\\ 70.2\\ 67.5\\ 71.8\\ 75.2\\ 73.8\\ 75.0\\ 74.0\\ 72.0\\ 74.9\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts.\\ 68.7\\ 69.3\\ 70.3\\ 71.7\\ 64.7\\ 73.0\\ 63.3\\ 68.7\\ 73.3\\ 73.0\\ 68.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts.\\ 58.2\\ 55.7\\ 54.4\\ 53.5\\ 53.6\\ 53.4\\ 48.9\\ 53.1\\ 60.3\\ 61.2\\ 59.1\\ 62.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cls.\\ 52.\ 2\\ 55.\ 2\\ 55.\ 2\\ 50.\ 0\\ 51.\ 4\\ 50.\ 9\\ 53.\ 7\\ 52.\ 8\\ 53.\ 6\\ 54.\ 9\\ 58.\ 3\\ 60.\ 2\\ 59.\ 6\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 44.4 \\ 45.5 \\ 45.4 \\ 44.3 \\ 43.4 \\ 42.2 \\ 40.3 \\ 52.4 \\ 46.4 \\ 47.7 \\ 49.8 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 40.8 \\ 42.4 \\ 41.5 \\ 40.9 \\ 41.2 \\ 44.5 \\ 44.5 \\ 45.0 \\ 43.1 \\ 51.3 \\ 52.7 \\ 48.8 \\ 46.3 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts.\\ 75.0\\ 70.8\\ 73.2\\ 66.5\\ 70.7\\ 75.3\\ 64.0\\ 50.0\\ 61.5\\ 60.0\\ 56.2\\ 69.5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts.\\ 71.0\\ 75.5\\ 66.5\\ 63.6\\ 52.0\\ 50.4\\ 51.2\\ 52.5\\ 67.4\\ 68.0\\ 76.4\\ 78.4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts.\\ 60.\ 6\\ 60.\ 4\\ 57.\ 2\\ 61.\ 0\\ 55.\ 6\\ 54.\ 9\\ 53.\ 5\\ 47.\ 9\\ 48.\ 5\\ 54.\ 9\\ 53.\ 8\\ 57.\ 0\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts.\\ 63.8\\ 65.0\\ 60.7\\ 59.6\\ 58.6\\ 66.2\\ 68.4\\ 61.1\\ 61.4\\ 62.6\\ 61.8\\ 61.5\end{array}$
Average	£i.5	53. 5	72.9	71.8	72.6	69.7	58.4	55.7	47.1	47.7	62.5	66.1	54.7	62.4

75922°-увк 1914-35

Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

BARLEY-Continued.

TABLE 45.—Barley: Wholesale price per bushel, 1900-1914.

		1			1	1	[1	1		
	Cincinnati.		Chicago.		Milwaukee.		Minne	apolis.	San Francisco.		
Date.	No. 3 spring. ¹		Low n to fa	nalting ncy.	No	. 3.	All gi	rades.	Feed (lbs	per 100 5.). ²	
	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	
1900 1901 1902 1903 1904	Cents. 44 ³ 58 55 55 55 55	Cents. 66 70 74 71 69	Cents. 34 38 37 36 30	Cents. 67 66 73 62 61	Cents. 33 36 35 34	Cents. 56 62 60 57	Cents. 32 25 30 32 28	Cents. 59 62 70 68 56	Dolls. 0.67 1 .734 .80 .90 .95	Dolls. 0.75 .85 1.32 1.22 1.15	
1905 1906 1907 1908 1909	52 52 54 67 64	$58 \\ 62 \\ 113 \\ 115 \\ 84$	35 . 38 45 49 50	$55 \\ 58 \\ 110 \\ 106 \\ 82\frac{1}{2}$	36 37 44 48 50	$\begin{array}{r} 49 \\ 54 \\ 108 \\ 102 \\ 82\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	30 31 40 44 40	48 51 108 102 79	$1.02\frac{1}{2}$ $1.12\frac{1}{2}$ $1.22\frac{1}{2}$ 1.35	1.35 1.72 1.57 1.57	
1910 1911 1912 1913	67 88 55 54 <u>1</u>	86 125 132 80	50 70 40 42	90 139 140 85	56 72 54 58	88 129 136 80	48 58 33 39	$76\frac{1}{2}$ 120 130 73	.95 1.10 1.15 1.22 $\frac{1}{2}$	1.50 1.981 1.95 1.50	
1914. January February March. April MayJune	62 62 60 60	76 70 68 66	52 53 50 50 51 49	79 76 72 66 66 67	57 60 57 	$68 \\ 63\frac{1}{2} \\ 62 \\ 61 \\ 61$	42 43 42 42 43 43 41	63 65 63 56 57 58	$1.21\frac{1}{1.05}\\1.05\\1.07\\.97\frac{1}{2}\\.95\\.90$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.321 \\ 1,221 \\ 1.172 \\ 1.172 \\ 1.15 \\ 1.05 \\ .971 \end{array}$	
July August. September October November December	73 73 73 70	80 80 80 80	50 51 60 55 62 60	58 82 81 78 80 75	$51\frac{1}{2}$ 62 65 65 65 66 $\frac{1}{2}$ 65	56 82 80 76 77 72	40 44 41 41 53 52	53 76 72 68 68 67	$1.00 \\ .95 \\ 1.05 \\ .95 \\ .95 \\ 1.15$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.011 \\ 1.072 \\ 1.20 \\ 1.122 \\ 1.222 \\ 1.222 \\ 1.30 \end{array}$	
Year	60	80	49	82	$51\frac{1}{2}$	82	40	76	. 90	$1.32\frac{1}{2}$	

¹Extra No. 3 spring to 1911.

² No. 1 brewing to 1902, and 1907.

TABLE 46.—Barley and malt: International trade, calendar years 1911-1913.

[See "General note," p. 518.]

EXPORTS.

[000 omitted.]

		Barley.			Malt.		Barley and malt in terms of barley.				
Country.	1911	1912	1913 (prelim.).	1911	1912	1913 (prelim.).	1911	1912	1913 (prelim.)		
Argentina Austria-Hungary Belgium British India Bulgaria Canada Chile China Ch	Bushels. 223 4, 875 3, 539 9, 475 3, 461 1, 274 9, 475 3, 461 1, 274 9, 475 3, 301 720 588 3, 301 720 553 31, 035 21, 824 197, 595 17, 267	Bushels. Bushels. 9,522 4,737 31,843 819 4,788 476 655 3,552 669 53 23,956 111,036 1126,927 102 8,195	$\begin{matrix} \textbf{Bushels.}\\ 1, 871\\ 8, 190\\ 2, 612\\ 10, 069\\ 1819\\ 13, 906\\ 427\\ 738\\ 3, 566\\ 445\\ 280\\ 31, 993\\ 31, 566\\ 180, 220\\ 48\\ 12, 782\\ 12, $	Bushels. 11, 264 289 	Bushels. 11, 996 231 24 19 112 48 1,255 755 198 952 150 6	Bushels. 12, 189 218 218 218 218 213 117 19 1, 198 449 198 8066 487 8	Bushels. Bushels. 3, 802 9, 475 3, 461 1, 292 950 588 3, 357 750 1, 112 31, 790 21, 825 197, 752 1, 049 3, 642 17, 275	Bushels. Bushels. 20, 428 4, 946 31, 948 31, 948 4, 810 4, 810 4, 810 4, 946 33, 654 712 1, 194 24, 642 11, 036 127, 107 8, 332 13, 460	Bushels. 1, 871 19, 271 2, 811 10, 069 819 13, 909 738 3, 673 462 1, 369 32, 402 16, 651 180, 400 781 13, 225 12, 978		
Total	299, 908	241, 442	297, 592	14,905	15,745	15,715	313, 458	255,755	311, 878		

IMPORTS.

	(1		1		1	1	4	1
Argentina Austria-Hungary Belgium Brazil British South Africa	3 1,831 19,471 1 1	$331 \\ 21,830 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2$	4 351 17, 336 1 2	1, 269 2 868 797 407	1,444 674 1,062 395	1,597 2 734 1,364 348	1,157 1,833 20,260 725 372	1,316 331 22,443 967 361	1,456 353 18,004 1,241 319
Canada. Cuba. Denniark. Egypt. France.	54 234 3,613 318 9,360	7 328 578 415 6, 290	38 273 1,933 1,338 5,326	24 73 67 322	58 55 54 103	358 58 534 111	76 234 3,679 436 9,653	59 328 628 464 6, 384	$363 \\ 273 \\ 1,986 \\ 1,824 \\ 5,426$
Finland Germany Italy Netherlands Norway	$287 \\ 167,006 \\ 840 \\ 41,407 \\ 5,039$	254 136, 383 878 30, 747 3, 763	392 148,728 728 40,783 3,892	165 2, 886 3, 883 114	267 2, 948 3, 612 108	278 3,532 4,183 157	437 169, 630 840 44, 937 5, 142	497 139,063 878 34,030 3,862	645 151, 939 728 44, 585 4, 035
Russia Switzerland United Kingdom Other countries	924 1,114 56,679 1,353	791 1,126 45,899 2,680	261 1,190 52,331 1,864	31 3, 767 76 439	23 3, 810 79 570	23 3, 302 146 582	952 4,538 56,748 1,749	812 4,590 45,970 3,198	282 4,192 52,464 2,391
Total	309, 592	252, 307	276, 771	15, 186	15, 262	17, 309	323, 398	266,181	292, 506

RYE.

TABLE 47.—Rye: Area and production undermentioned of countries, 1912-1914.

		Area.			Production.	
Country.	1912	1913	1914	1912	1913	1914
NORTH AMERICA. United States	A cres. 2, 117, 000	A cres. 2, 557, 000	A cres. 2,541,000	Bushels. 35,664,000	Bushels. 41,381,000	Bushels. 42,779,000
Canada: Quebec Ontario Manitoba Saskatchewan Alberta. Other	$ \begin{array}{c} 11,000\\ 93,000\\ 5,000\\ 3,000\\ 15,000\\ (^1) \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c c} 10,000\\ 85,000\\ 5,000\\ 3,000\\ 16,000\\ (^1) \end{array} $	9,000 78,000 5,000 3,000 16,000 (¹)	$173,000 \\ 1,711,000 \\ 105,000 \\ 57,000 \\ 377,000 \\ 5,000 \\ 5,000 \\ 5,000 \\ 173,000 \\ 5,000 \\$	$\begin{array}{c} 156,000\\ 1,567,000\\ 103,000\\ 68,000\\ 398,000\\ 8,000\end{array}$	$\begin{smallmatrix} & 156,000 \\ & 1,341,000 \\ & 100,000 \\ & 54,000 \\ & 360,000 \\ & 6,000 \end{smallmatrix}$
Total Canada	127,000	119,000	111,000	2, 428, 000	2,300,000	2,017,000
Mexico	(2)	(2)	(2)	70,000	70,000	70,000
Total				38, 162, 000	43,751,000	44, 866, 000
Argentina Chile Uruguay	$38,000 \\ 6,000 \\ (^1)$	99,000 7,000 (¹)	227,000 (1) (¹)	$\begin{array}{r} 482,000\\140,000\\1,000\end{array}$	$1,417,000 \\ 147,000 \\ 2,000$	$3,346,000\ 140,000\ 1,000$
Total				623,000	1, 566, 000	3, 487, 000
Austria-Hungary: Austria Hungary Croatia-Slavonia Bosnia-Herzegovina	5,021,000 2,660,000 188,000 41,000	4,852,000 2,668,000 167,000 65,000	$(2) \\ (2,761,000) \\ (2) \\ (2) \\ (2) $	$119,620,000 \\ 49,000,000 \\ 1,350,000 \\ . 450,000$	$109,093,000 \\52,256,000 \\2,553,000 \\627,000$	95, 000, 000 47, 703, 000 2, 000, 000 500, 000
Total Austria- Hungary	7, 910, 000	7, 752, 000		170, 420, 000	164, 529, 000	145, 203, 000
Belgium Bulgaria Denmark Finland France. Germany Italy Notherlands Norway Roumania	$\begin{array}{c} 650,000\\ 529,000\\ 607,000\\ (2)\\ 2,969,000\\ 15,489,000\\ 305,000\\ 564,000\\ (^3)37,000\\ 265,000\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 641,000\\ 457,000\\ (2)\\ 2,905,000\\ 15,849,000\\ 307,000\\ 562,000\\ (2)\\ 224,000\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 645,000\\(2)\\(2)\\(2)\\2,914,000\\16,057,000\\304,000\\560,000\\(2)\\208,000\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 21,312,000\\ 8,422,000\\ 16,083,000\\ 12,344,000\\ 48,890,000\\ 456,600,000\\ 5,285,000\\ 16,094,000\\ 1,041,000\\ 3,583,000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 22,463,000\\ 10,826,000\\ 16,637,000\\ 10,289,000\\ 49,452,000\\ 481,169,000\\ 5,589,000\\ 16,895,000\\ 973,000\\ 3,711,000\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 21,000,000\\ 9,842,000\\ 17,000,000\\ 10,806,000\\ 50,000,000\\ 440,000,000\\ 5,260,000\\ 14,635,000\\ 1,959,000\\ \end{array}$
Russia: Russia proper Poland. Northern Caucasia	$\begin{array}{r} 65,043,000\\ 5,228,000\\ 524,000 \end{array}$			908, 410, 000 95, 014, 000 7, 562, 000		
ropean)	• 70, 795, 000	71, 878, 000	71,636,000	1,010,986,000	962, 362, 000	870,000,000
Servia Spain Sweden United Kingdom	$123,000 \\1,944,000 \\989,000 \\68,000$	$74,000 \\ 1,917,000 \\ (2) \\ 64,000$	$(2) \\ 1,887,000 \\ (2) \\ 67,000$	$1,748,000 \\18,867,000 \\23,323,000 \\1,500,000$	$\begin{array}{r} 937,000\\ 27,916,000\\ 22,266,000\\ 1,750,000\end{array}$	$1,000,000 \\23,950,000 \\27,599,000 \\1,800,000$
Total ASIA.				1, 816, 498, 000	1,797,764,000	1,641,100,000
Russia: Central Asia ⁴ Siberia ⁴ Transcaucasia ⁵	$\begin{smallmatrix} 104,000\\ 2,279,000\\ 2,000\end{smallmatrix}$		(2) (2) (2)	$1, 117, 000 \\ 29, 955, 000 \\ 14, 000$		
Total Russia (Asi- atic)	2, 385, 000	(\$)3, 112, 000	(2)	31,086,000	(5)30,706,000	(*)39, 982, 000
AUSTRALASIA. Australia: Queensland New South Wales Victoria. South Australia. Western Australia. Tasmania.	2,000 1,000 1,000 1,000 1,000	3,000 1,000 1,000 1,000 1,000	(2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2)	$\begin{array}{c} 26,000\\ 10,000\\ 7,000\\ 3,000\\ 12,000\end{array}$	2,000 42,000 18,000 10,000 4,000 20,000	(2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2)
Total Australia New Zealand	6,000 6,000	7,000 (2)	(2) (2)	58,000 90,000	96,000 90,000	100,000 90,000
Total Australasia.	12,000		(2)	148,000	186,000	190,000
Grand total				1,886,517,000	1,873,973,000	1,729,625,000

¹ Less than 500 acres. ² No official statistics.

³ Area in 1907 (census). ⁴ Four governments. .

⁵ One government. ⁶ Ten governments.

Statistics of Rye.

RYE-Continued.

TABLE 48.—Rye: Total production of countries mentioned in Table 47, 1895-1914.

Year.	Production.	Year.	Production.	Year.	Production.	Year.	Production.
1895 1896 1897 1898 1899	Bushels. 1, 468, 212, 000 1, 499, 250, 000 1, 300, 645, 000 1, 461, 171, 000 1, 583, 179, 000	1900 1901 1902 1903 1904	Bushels. 1, 557, 634, 000 1, 416, 022, 000 1, 647, 845, 000 1, 659, 961, 000 1, 742, 112, 000	1905 1906 1907 1908 1909	Bushels. 1, 495, 751, 000 1, 433, 395, 000 1, 538, 778, 000 1, 590, 057, 000 1, 747, 123, 000	1010 1911 1912 1913 1914	Bushels. 1, 673, 473,000 1, 573, 933,000 1, 886, 517,000 1, 873, 973,030 1, 729, 625,000

TABLE 49.—Rye: Average yield per acre of undermentioned countries, 1890–1913.

Year.	United States.	Russia (Euro- pean). ¹	Ger- many. ¹	Austria. ¹	Hungary proper. ¹	France. ²	Ireland.1
Average: 1890–1899 1900–1909	Bushels. 13.9 15.7	Bushels. 10.4 11.5	Bushels. 20.9 25.6	Bushels. 16.1 19.0	Bushels.	Bushels. 17.6 17.1	Bushels. 25.2 27.5
1904 1905 1906 1907 1908 1909 1909 1910 1911 1912 1913	$\begin{array}{c} 15.2\\ 16.5\\ 16.7\\ 16.4\\ 16.4\\ 13.4\\ 16.0\\ 15.6\\ 16.8\\ 16.2\end{array}$	13.7 10.1 8.8 10.8 11.0 12.6 12.3 10.5 14.3 (³) 13.4	$\begin{array}{c} 26.3\\ 24.9\\ 25.1\\ 25.8\\ 28.0\\ 28.8\\ 27.1\\ 28.2\\ 29.5\\ 30.4 \end{array}$	$19.3 \\ 20.2 \\ 19.9 \\ 18.9 \\ 22.0 \\ 22.3 \\ 21.3 \\ 20.9 \\ 23.3 \\ 22.0 $	$17.0 \\ 19.4 \\ 19.8 \\ 16.0 \\ 17.5 \\ 17.8 \\ 18.9 \\ 18.7 \\ 19.4 \\ 19.5$	$\begin{array}{c} 16.6\\ 18.5\\ 16.3\\ 18.2\\ 16.8\\ 18.1\\ 14.7\\ 15.8\\ 16.5\\ 17.0\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 26.0\\ 27.0\\ 27.6\\ 27.0\\ 29.2\\ 30.8\\ 30.3\\ 29.0\\ 30.6\\ 30.0\end{array}$
Average (1904–1913)	15.9	11.8	27.4	21.0	18.4	16.8	28.8

¹ Bushels of 56 pounds.

² Winchester bushels.

³ Includes 10 governments of Asiatic Russia.

TABLE 50.-Rye: Acreage, production, value, exports, etc., in the United States, 1849-1914.

NOTE.—Figures in *italics* are census returns; figures in roman are estimates of the Department of Agriculture. Estimates of acres are obtained by applying estimated percentages of increase or decrease to the published numbers of the preceding year, except that a revised base is used for applying percentage estimates whenever new census data are available.

						Chic	ago ca	sh pric	e per	
Year.	Acreage harvested.	A ver- age yield per acre.	Production.	A ver- age farm price per bushel	Farm value Dec. 1.	Dece	mber.	, No. 2 Follo M	owing ay.	Domestic exports in- cluding rye flour, fiscal year beginning
				Dec.1.		Low.	High.	Low.	High.	July 1.
1849	Acres.	Bush.	Bushels. 14, 189,000	Cents.	Dollars.	Cts.	Cts.	Cts.	Cts.	Bushels.
1859 1866 1867 1868 1869 1869	$1,548,000 \\ 1,689,000 \\ 1,651,000 \\ 1,658,000$	13.513.713.613.6	21, 101, 000 20, 865, 000 23, 184, 000 22, 505, 000 22, 528, 000 16, 919, 000	82.2 100.4 94.9 77.0	$17,150,000\\23,281,000\\21,349,000\\17,342,000$	$ 132 106\frac{1}{2} 66 $	$ 157 118 77{1\over2} $	142 173 100 78	$150 \\ 185 \\ 115\frac{1}{2} \\ 83\frac{1}{2} \\$	234,971 564,901 92,869 199,450
1870 1871 1872 1873 1874	$1,176,000 \\1,070,000 \\1,049,000 \\1,150,000 \\1,117,000$	$13.2 \\ 14.4 \\ 14.2 \\ 13.2 \\ 13.4$	$\begin{array}{c} 15,474,000\\ 15,366,000\\ 14,889,000\\ 15,142,000\\ 14,991,000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 73.2\\71.1\\67.6\\70.3\\77.4\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 11,327,000\\ 10,928,000\\ 10,071,000\\ 10,638,000\\ 11,610,000 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 67 \\ 62 \\ 57\frac{1}{2} \\ 70 \\ 93 \end{array} $	74 63 3 70 81 99 <u>1</u>	$81 \\ 75 \\ 68\frac{1}{2} \\ 91 \\ 103$	$91 \\ 93 \\ 70 \\ 102 \\ 107\frac{1}{2}$	$\begin{array}{r} 87,174\\832,689\\611,749\\1,923,404\\267,058\end{array}$
1875 1876 1877 1878 1879 <i>1879</i>	$\begin{array}{c} 1,360,000\\ 1,468,000\\ 1,413,000\\ 1,623,000\\ 1,625,000\\ 1,842,000\end{array}$	$13.0 \\ 13.9 \\ 15.0 \\ 15.9 \\ 14.5 \\ 10.8$	$\begin{array}{c} 17,722,000\\ 20,375,000\\ 21,170,000\\ 25,843,000\\ 23,639,000\\ 19,832,000\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 67.1 \\ 61.4 \\ 57.6 \\ 52.5 \\ 65.6 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 11, 894, 000\\ 12, 505, 000\\ 12, 202, 000\\ 13, 566, 000\\ 15, 507, 000\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 67 \\ 65\frac{1}{2} \\ 55\frac{1}{2} \\ 44 \\ 73\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 68\frac{3}{4} \\ 73 \\ 56\frac{1}{2} \\ 44\frac{1}{2} \\ 81 \end{array}$	$61\frac{1}{2}$ 70 54 47 73 $\frac{1}{2}$	$70\frac{1}{2}$ $92\frac{1}{2}$ 60 52 85 	589,159 2,234,856 4,249,684 4,877,821 2,943,894
1880 1881 1882 1883 1884	$\begin{array}{c} 1,768,000\\ 1,789,000\\ 2,228,000\\ 2,315,000\\ 2,344,000 \end{array}$	$13.9 \\ 11.6 \\ 13.4 \\ 12.1 \\ 12.2$	$\begin{array}{c} 24,541,000\\ 20,705,000\\ 29,960,000\\ 28,059,000\\ 28,640,000\end{array}$	75.693.361.558.151.9	$18,565,000\\19,327,000\\18,439,000\\16,301,000\\14,857,000$	$\begin{array}{c} 82 \\ 96\frac{1}{2} \\ 57 \\ 56\frac{1}{2} \\ 51 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 91\frac{1}{2} \\ 98 \\ 58\frac{1}{2} \\ 60 \\ 52 \end{array}$	$115 \\ 77 \\ 62 \\ 60{1 \over 2} \\ 68$	$118\\83\\67\\62\frac{1}{2}\\73$	1,955,155 1,003,609 2,206,212 6,247,590 2,974,390
1885 1886 1887 1888 1889 <i>1889</i>	2,129,000 2,130,000 2,053,000 2,365,000 2,171,000 2,172,000	10.2 11.5 10.1 12.0 13.1 <i>13.1</i>	$\begin{array}{c} 21,756,000\\ 24,489,000\\ 20,693,000\\ 28,415,000\\ 28,420,000\\ \textit{$28,421,000$} \end{array}$	57.9 53.8 54.5 58.8 42.3	$\begin{array}{c} 12,595,000\\ 13,181,000\\ 11,283,000\\ 16,722,000\\ 12,010,000 \end{array}$	$58\frac{1}{2}$ 53 $55\frac{1}{2}$ 50 44 	$\begin{array}{c} 61 \\ 54\frac{1}{2} \\ 61\frac{1}{2} \\ 52 \\ 45\frac{1}{2} \\ \end{array}$	58 54½ 63 39 49½	$61 \\ 56\frac{1}{2} \\ 68 \\ 41\frac{1}{2} \\ 54 \\ \cdots $	216, 699 377, 302 94, 827 309, 266 2, 280, 975
1890 1891 1892 1893 1894	2,142,000 2,176,000 2,164,000 2,038,000 1,945,000	$12.0 \\ 14.6 \\ 12.9 \\ 13.0 \\ 13.7$	$\begin{array}{c} 25,807,000\\ 31,752,000\\ 27,979,000\\ 26,555,000\\ 26,728,000\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 62.9 \\ 77.4 \\ 54.2 \\ 51.3 \\ 50.1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 16,230,000\\ 24,589,000\\ 15,160,000\\ 13,612,000\\ 13,395,000 \end{array}$	$64\frac{1}{2}$ 86 46 45 47 $\frac{1}{2}$	$68\frac{1}{2}\ 92\ 51\ 47\frac{1}{2}\ 49$	83 70 1 50 3 44 <u>3</u> 62 <u>3</u>	92 79 62 48 67	$358,263 \\ 12,068,628 \\ 1,493,924 \\ 249,152 \\ 32,045$
1895 1896 1897 1898 1899 <i>1899</i>	$\begin{array}{c} 1,890,000\\ 1,831,000\\ 1,704,000\\ 1,643,000\\ 1,659,000\\ \textbf{2},054,000 \end{array}$	14.4 13.3 16.1 15.6 14.4 <i>12.4</i>	$\begin{array}{c} 27,210,000\\ 24,369,000\\ 27,363,000\\ 25,658,000\\ 23,962,000\\ {\it z5},{\it 569},000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 44.0\\ 40.9\\ 44.7\\ 46.3\\ 51.0\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 11, 965, 000\\ 9, 961, 000\\ 12, 240, 000\\ 11, 875, 000\\ 12, 214, 000\end{array}$	$32 \\ 37 \\ 45\frac{3}{4} \\ 52\frac{1}{2} \\ 49 \\ \dots$	$35\frac{3}{4}$ $42\frac{1}{2}$ 47 $55\frac{1}{2}$ 52 	$33 \\ 32\frac{3}{48} \\ 56\frac{1}{2} \\ 53 \\ \dots$	$36\frac{1}{35\frac{1}{2}}$ 75 62 $56\frac{1}{2}$	1,011,128 8,575,663 15,562,035 10,169,822 2,382,012
1900 1901 1902 1903 1904	$\begin{array}{c} 1,591,000\\ 1,988,000\\ 1,979,000\\ 1,907,000\\ 1,793,000 \end{array}$	$15.1 \\ 15.3 \\ 17.0 \\ 15.4 \\ 15.2$	$\begin{array}{c} 23, 996, 000\\ 30, 345, 000\\ 33, 631, 000\\ 29, 363, 000\\ 27, 242, 000 \end{array}$	$51.2 \\ 55.7 \\ 50.8 \\ 54.5 \\ 68.8$	$\begin{array}{c} 12,295,000\\ 16,910,000\\ 17,081,000\\ 15,994,000\\ 18,748,000\end{array}$	45 <u>3</u> 59 48 50 <u>1</u> 73	$\begin{array}{r} 49\frac{3}{4}\\ 65\frac{3}{4}\\ 49\frac{3}{4}\\ 52\frac{1}{2}\\ 75\end{array}$	511 541 48 693 70	54 58 50 1 78 84	2,345,512 2,712,077 5,445,273 784,068 29,749
1905 1906 1907 1908 1909 1909	$\begin{array}{c} 1,730,000\\ 2,002,000\\ 1,926,000\\ 1,948,000\\ 2,006,000\\ g,196,000\end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 16.5 \\ 16.7 \\ 16.4 \\ 16.1 \\ 18.1 \\ 18.1 \end{array} $	28, 486, 000 33, 375, 000 31, 566, 000 31, 851, 000 32, 239, 000 <i>gg 520</i> 000	61.1 58.9 73.1 73.6	17, 414, 000 19, 671, 000 23, 068, 000 23, 455, 000	$ \begin{array}{c c} 64 \\ 61 \\ 75 \\ 75 \\ \\ 72 \end{array} $	68 65 82 77 1 80	58 69 79 83 	$ \begin{array}{r} 62 \\ 87\frac{1}{2} \\ 86 \\ 90 \\ \dots \\ 80 \end{array} $	1,387,826769,7172,444,5881,295,701242,262
1910 ¹ 1911 1912 1913 1914	2,185,000 2,185,000 2,127,000 2,117,000 2,557,000 2,541,000	16.0 15.6 16.8 16.2 16.8	$\begin{array}{c} 34,897,000\\ 33,119,000\\ 35,664,000\\ 41,381,000\\ 42,779,000 \end{array}$	71.5 83.2 66.3 63.4 86.5	24,953,000 27,557,000 23,636,000 26,220,000 37,018,000	$ \begin{array}{c} 80 \\ 91 \\ 58 \\ 61 \\ 107\frac{1}{2} \end{array} $	$\begin{vmatrix} 82\\ 94\\ 64\\ 65\\ 112\frac{1}{2} \end{vmatrix}$	90 90 60 62	$ \begin{array}{c} 113 \\ 95\frac{1}{2} \\ 64 \\ 67 \\ \cdots \\ \end{array} $	40,123 31,384 1,854,738 2,272,492

¹ Figures adjusted to census basis.

TABLE 51.—Rye: Acreage, production, and total farm value, by States, 1914.

State.	Acreage.	Produc- tion.	Farm value Dec. 1.	State.	Acreage.	Produc- tion.	Farm value Dec. 1.
Vermont. Massachusetts. Connecticut. New York New York Pennsylvania Delaware. Maryland. Virginia. Virginia. North Carolina. South Carolina. South Carolina. Georgia. Ohio. Indiana. Illinois. Michigan. Wisconsin. Missouwi.	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Acres.}\\ 1\\ 3\\ 7\\ 129\\ 70\\ 280\\ 1\\ 25\\ 58\\ 17\\ 46\\ 3\\ 31\\ 3\\ 95\\ 99\\ 99\\ 49\\ 99\\ 371\\ 412\\ 279\\ 59\\ 59\\ 17\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Bush.\\ 20\\ 57\\ 133\\ 2,283\\ 1,295\\ 5,040\\ 8425\\ 754\\ 246\\ 460\\ 34\\ 121\\ 1,615\\ 1,615\\ 1,615\\ 1,615\\ 1,615\\ 1,615\\ 1,121\\ 238\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Dollars.}\\ 16\\ 58\\ 130\\ 2,032\\ 1,062\\ 4,183\\ 17\\ 366\\ 679\\ 221\\ 483\\ 51\\ 182\\ 1,308\\ 1,372\\ 6666\\ 5,402\\ 6,186\\ 4,668\\ 863\\ 207\\ \end{array}$	North Dakota South Dakota Nebraska Kansas Kentucky Tennessee. Alabama Texas Oklahoma Arkansas. Montana W yoming Colorado Utah Idaho W ashington Oregon California	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Acres.}\\ 131\\ 60\\ 122\\ 50\\ 222\\ 2\\ 2\\ 2\\ 2\\ 2\\ 2\\ 2\\ 2\\ 2\\ 2\\ 2\\ $	Bush. 2,240 1,020 1,020 1,000 286 26 300 210 85 368 228 60 158 336 136 136 42,779	$\begin{array}{c} \textit{Dollars.}\\ \textit{Dollars.}\\ 1,882\\766\\800\\286\\800\\299\\300\\91\\10\\117\\69\\2399\\137\\137\\137\\137\\336\\116\\337,018\end{array}$
				1 1			

[000 omitted.]

TABLE 52.—Rye: Condition of crop, United States, on first of months named, 1890-1915.

Year.	De- cem- ber of pre- vious year.	April.	May.	June.	When har- vested.	Year.	De- cem- ber of pre- vious year.	April.	May.	June.	When har- vested.
1890	P. ct. 96. 4 99. 0 88. 8 89. 4 94. 6 96. 2 88. 1 99. 8 91. 0 98. 9 98. 2 99. 1 89. 9	$\begin{array}{c} P. ct.\\ 92.8\\ 95.4\\ 87.0\\ 85.7\\ 94.4\\ 87.0\\ 82.9\\ 88.9\\ 92.1\\ 84.9\\ 84.8\\ 93.1\\ 85.4 \end{array}$	P. ct. 93.5. 97.2 88.9 82.7 90.7 88.7 87.7 87.7 88.0 94.5 85.2 88.5 94.6 83.4	P. ct. 92.3 95.4 91.0 84.6 93.2 85.7 85.2 89.9 97.1 84.5 87.6 93.9 88.1	P. ct. 92.0 93.9 92.8 85.3 87.0 80.7 88.4 93.4 94.6 85.6 80.4 93.0 90.2	1903	P. ct. 98. 1 92. 7 90. 5 95. 4 96. 2 91. 4 87. 6 94. 1 92. 6 93. 3 93. 5 95. 3 93. 6	P. ct. 97.9 82.3 92.1 90.9 92.0 89.1 87.2 92.3 89.3 87.9 89.3 91.3 89.5	P. ct. 93.3 81.2 93.5 92.9 88.0 90.3 88.1 91.3 90.0 87.5 91.0 93.4	P. ct. 90.6 86.3 94.0 89.9 88.1 91.3 89.6 89.6 88.6 88.6 87.7 90.9 93.6	P. ct. 89.5 89.9 93.2 91.3 89.7 91.2 91.4 87.5 85.0 88.2 88.6 92.9

	TABLE 53.— Rye :	Yield per acre,	price per	bushel Dec. 1.	, and value	per acre, l	by States.
--	--------------------	-----------------	-----------	----------------	-------------	-------------	------------

	Yield per acre (bushels).]	Far	nı p	rice (cent	per t ts).	oushe	el	Valu acre lar	e per (dol- s). ¹ .
State.	10- year aver- age, 1905- 1914.	1905	1906	1907	1908	1909	1910	1911	1912	191:	3 1914	10 yea ave age 1902 191	r- j- 4.	1910	1911	1912	1913	1914	5- year aver- age, 1910– 1914.	1914
Vt Mass Conn N. Y N. J	17.8 16.9 18.4 17.0 17.4	15.0 15.5 18.0 16.0 18.0	17.415.018.017.617.2	17.016.517.016.517.016.517.517.517.517.517.517.517.517.517.517	15.0 16.5 18.5 16.5 16.2	15.5 16.2 18.7 17.0 16.3	17.5 17.0 20.0 18.3 18.0	22.516.018.516.716.4	20.0 18.5 17.5 16.5 17.5	$18.0 \\ 18.5 \\ 19.3 \\ 17.2 \\ 18.0 \\ 18.0 \\ 18.0 \\ 18.0 \\ 18.0 \\ 18.0 \\ 18.0 \\ 18.0 \\ 18.0 \\ 10.0 \\ $) 20. 0 5 19. 0 3 19. 0 2 17. 7) 18. 5		84 92 86 78 76	85 94 86 74 77	95 95 93 89 83	90 100 92 76 79	90 98 92 75 80	80 101 98 89 82	17.2917.4017.3813.9214.17	$16.00 \\ 19.19 \\ 18.62 \\ 15.75 \\ 15.17 \\$
Pa Del Md Va W. Va	$ \begin{array}{r} 16.8 \\ 14.7 \\ 15.2 \\ 12.7 \\ 12.7 \\ 12.7 \\ \end{array} $	17.0 10.0 14.5 11.8 11.8	17.415.014.713.412.2	$16.7 \\ 16.5 \\ 16.0 \\ 14.0 \\ 12.0 \\ 12.0 \\ 12.0 \\ 12.0 \\ 12.0 \\ 12.0 \\ 10.0 \\ $	$16.5 \\ 15.5 \\ 15.0 \\ 12.5 \\ 13.0 \\ 13.0 \\ 13.0 \\ 10.5 \\ $	$15.3 \\ 14.0 \\ 14.1 \\ 12.3 \\ 13.5 \\ $	17.0 15.5 16.1 13.5 12.9	15.1 15.0 14.5 11.5 11.0	17.5 14.0 15.5 12.5 13.0	17.5 14.0 14.4 12.3 13.5	518.0 17.5 17.0 13.0 14.5		75 78 76 81 84	73 69 75 80 90	80 95 86 89 90	77 81 80 85 84	74 79 76 81 87	83 92 86 90 90	$13.17 \\ 12.69 \\ 12.50 \\ 10.66 \\ 11.44$	$14.94 \\ 16.10 \\ 14.62 \\ 11.70 \\ 13.05$
N. C S. C Ga Ohio Ind	9.9 9.8 9.1 16.9 15.6	9.5 8.1 7.7 18.0 15.4	11.0 8.5 8.3 19.5 17.0	10.5 10.0 9.0 17.2 17.0	8.9 9.6 8.7 16.5 15.0	$9.4 \\ 9.8 \\ 9.0 \\ 17.2 \\ 16.5$	$10.0 \\ 10.0 \\ 10.4 \\ 16.5 \\ 15.8 \\$	$10.0 \\ 10.0 \\ 9.5 \\ 15.5 \\ 13.7$	$9.3 \\ 9.5 \\ 9.2 \\ 15.5 \\ 14.5 \end{cases}$	$10.3 \\ 10.5 \\ 9.5 \\ 16.5 \\ 15.2 \\ 15.2 \\ 10.5 \\ 1$	10.0 11.5 9.3 17.0 16.3	1	98 38 32 73 70	$101 \\ 146 \\ 140 \\ 72 \\ 68$	100 145 138 85 80	$105 \\ 145 \\ 140 \\ 75 \\ 68$	$98 \\ 150 \\ 135 \\ 69 \\ 62$	$105 \\ 150 \\ 150 \\ 81 \\ 85$	$10.09 \\ 15.18 \\ 13.46 \\ 12.37 \\ 10.97$	$\begin{array}{c} 10.50 \\ 17.25 \\ 13.95 \\ 13.77 \\ 13.86 \end{array}$
Ill Mich Wis Minn Iowa	$17.1 \\ 15.0 \\ 17.2 \\ 19.0 \\ 18.4$	18.016.016.518.217.5	17.0 14.5 17.0 19.3 18.6	18.5 14.5 18.0 18.5 17.8	$17.1 \\ 15.5 \\ 19.0 \\ 18.5 \\ 20.0$	17.8 15.5 16.3 19.0 17.8	17.415.316.017.018.5	16.8 14.6 17.0 18.7 18.0	16.0 13.3 18.3 23.0 19.0	16.5 14.3 17.5 19.0 18.2	16.0 16.0 16.5 18.8 19.0		71 70 69 62 63	$71 \\ 68 \\ 71 \\ 64 \\ 64 \\ 64$	81 85 84 78 77	$70 \\ 65 \\ 61 \\ 50 \\ 62$	65 62 57 48 60	85 91 91 89 77	$\begin{array}{c} 12.30\\ 10.98\\ 12.36\\ 12.56\\ 12.61 \end{array}$	$13.60 \\ 14.56 \\ 15.02 \\ 16.73 \\ 14.63$
Mo N. Dak S. Dak Nehr Kans	$14.7 \\ 16.5 \\ 16.6 \\ 16.4 \\ 14.6$	15.5 19.5 19.0 18.0 15.7	$15.8 \\ 18.7 \\ 18.8 \\ 21.0 \\ 16.0$	15.4 16.0 17.0 17.0 12.0	$12.8 \\18.0 \\17.5 \\16.0 \\13.3$	15.0 18.4 17.5 16.5 14.2	15.0 8.5 17.0 16.0 14.0	$14.1 \\ 16.6 \\ 10.0 \\ 13.0 \\ 11.0$	$14.8 \\ 18.0 \\ 19.5 \\ 16.0 \\ 15.9 \\$	15.0 14.4 13.2 14.5 14.0	$\begin{array}{c} 14.0 \\ 17.1 \\ 17.0 \\ 16.0 \\ 20.0 \end{array}$		75 59 59 60 69	75 63 61 60 73	84 76 76 75 81	80 47 52 56 68	75 45 50 60 75	87 84 78 74 80	$11.67 \\ 9.46 \\ 9.59 \\ 9.77 \\ 11.29$	$12.18 \\ 14.36 \\ 13.26 \\ 11.84 \\ 16.00$
Ку Tenn Ala Tex Okla	$13.4 \\ 11.8 \\ 11.4 \\ 13.3 \\ 12.4$	15.012.111.714.012.1	$15.2 \\ 13.0 \\ 12.5 \\ 14.6 \\ 13.9$	$13.7 \\ 10.0 \\ 10.5 \\ 10.0 \\ $	$13.5 \\ 12.5 \\ 10.0 \\ 15.5 \\ 13.5 \\ $	$12.7 \\ 10.7 \\ 11.3 \\ 11.2 \\ 13.5$	$13.0 \\ 11.0 \\ 12.0 \\ 11.5 \\ 13.7$	12.011.910.010.09.5	13.0 11.5 11.5 16.6 12.0	12.4 12.0 11.0 15.0 9.5	$\begin{array}{c} 13.7 \\ 13.0 \\ 13.0 \\ 14.8 \\ 16.0 \end{array}$	1	85 91 23 01 82	85 92 120 103 81	94 99 125 107 104	88 98 134 110 87	87 99 140 101 86	$95 \\ 98 \\ 110 \\ 99 \\ 95 \\ 95$	$11.52 \\ 11.56 \\ 14.40 \\ 14.12 \\ 10.96$	$\begin{array}{c} 13.02 \\ 12.74 \\ 14.30 \\ 14.65 \\ 15.20 \end{array}$
Ark. Mont Wyo Colo Utah	$10.9 \\ 22.0 \\ 20.5 \\ 17.7 \\ 18.3$	12.020.023.019.018.0	12.020.519.020.024.0	$9.9 \\ 22.0 \\ 21.5 \\ 20.5 \\ 20.0 \\ 20.0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 $	$\begin{array}{c} 10.0\\ 20.0\\ 22.0\\ 15.5\\ 15.5\\ 15.5 \end{array}$	10.529.026.022.022.0	$12.0 \\ 20.0 \\ 18.5 \\ 14.0 \\ 18.5$	$10.0 \\ 23.0 \\ 20.0 \\ 12.0 \\ 15.5 \\$	10.5 23.5 19.0 19.5 15.0	11.521.019.017.017.0	10.5 21.0 17.0 17.5 17.5		96 67 74 63 66	98 68 81 67 68	90 72 90 70 70	$105 \\ 60 \\ 65 \\ 55 \\ 68$	95 55 64 60 60	$105 \\ 70 \\ 81 \\ 65 \\ 60$	$\begin{array}{c} 10.74\\ 14.10\\ 14.25\\ 10.02\\ 10.87 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 11.02\\ 14.70\\ 13.77\\ 11.38\\ 10.50 \end{array}$
Idaho Wash Oreg Cal	22.3 20.3 16.7 15.4	25.0 18.5 15.0 13.0	25.2 19.6 17.2 12.8	24.7 21.5 16.0 19.0	20.0 19.5 18.0 12.0	21.5 21.0 17.0 13.8	20.0 20.5 15.1 17.0	22.5 22.0 19.5 17.0	22.020.016.017.6	22.0 21.0 17.5 15.0	20.0 19.7 16.0 17.0		34 78 36 35	66 89 100 86	67 80 90 85	60 65 70 90	58 60 75 75	67 85 100 85	$13.53 \\ 15.64 \\ 14.59 \\ 14.12$	13.40 16.74 16.00 14.45
U. S.	16.4	16.5	16.7	16.4	16.4	16.1	16.0	15.6	16.8	16.2	16.8	71	2	1.5	83.2	66.3	63.4	86.5	12.07	14.57

¹ Based upon farm price Dec. 1.

Month.	Un: Sta	ited tes.	No Atlai Sta	rth atic tes.	Sor Atla Sta	uth intic ites.	N. Ce State of Mi	entral s east ss. R.	N. Ce States of Mi	entral s west ss. R.	Sor Cen Sta	uth itral tes.	Far V ern S	West- tates.
	1914	1913	1914	1913	1914	1913	1914	1913	1914	1913	1914	1913	1914	1913
January February March April May June	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 62.5 \\ 61.7 \\ 61.9 \\ 63.0 \\ 62.9 \\ 64.4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts.\\ 63.8\\ 68.9\\ 63.2\\ 62.9\\ 62.4\\ 64.1 \end{array}$	Cts. 74.9 74.7 73.1 75.0 75.7 75.8	$\begin{array}{c} Cts.\\ 75.4\\ 74.0\\ 75.0\\ 73.6\\ 75.6\\ 75.7 \end{array}$	Cts. 85.3 85.1 85.4 87.5 86.4 86.9	$\begin{array}{c} Cts.\\ 86.6\\ 88.6\\ 87.5\\ 90.2\\ 85.6\\ 87.6 \end{array}$	Cts. 59.8 57.8 58.6 58.9 59.8 60.4	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 61. 0 \\ 74. 0 \\ 59. 1 \\ 59. 0 \\ 56. 5 \\ 59. 6 \end{array}$	Cts. 51.9 51.9 51.9 53.5 51.7 55.6	$\begin{array}{c} Cts.\\ 51.2\\ 52.8\\ 52.8\\ 52.1\\ 53.1\\ 54.3 \end{array}$	Cts. 88.0 86.8 95.7 91.5 85.7 85.0	Cts. 89.8 86.8 88.0 91.8 88.5 86.3	Cts. 67.0 68.0 69.8 70.2 72.0 73.2	Cts. 66. 2 65. 2 61. 9 63. 5 63. 6 64. 5
July August September October November December	$\begin{array}{c} 63.1 \\ 61.0 \\ 75.4 \\ 79.0 \\ 80.1 \\ 86.5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 63.\ 2\\ 60.\ 7\\ 63.\ 0\\ 64.\ 8\\ 63.\ 2\\ 63.\ 4\end{array}$	75.171.980.082.184.584.7	76.0 70.9 70.9 74.3 73.3 75.6	87.8 83.6 89.6 90.3 90.2 97.1	$\begin{array}{r} 86.3\\ 82.4\\ 82.4\\ 86.1\\ 86.3\\ 89.3 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 60.4\\ 57.3\\ 74.6\\ 78.9\\ 80.1\\ 89.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 58.2\\ 55.9\\ 59.6\\ 61.6\\ 60.0\\ 60.6\end{array}$	52. 251. 170. 774. 675. 483. 2	52.3 51.7 55.3 55.6 52.9 52.5	76.0 78.8 86.0 92.8 96.2 96.9	86.7 81.0 86.2 90.8 91.3 92.9	$\begin{array}{c} 67.1 \\ 78.9 \\ 69.3 \\ 75.1 \\ 73.4 \\ 77.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 69.\ 6\\ 69.\ 6\\ 65.\ 4\\ 63.\ 6\\ 62.\ 5\\ 64.\ 0\end{array}$
Average.	71.6	63.7	78.8	73.9	88.3	85.9	70.0	60.6	67.3	53.5	88.1	88.0	72.0	64.7

TABLE 54.—Rye: Farm price per bushel on first of each month, by geographical divisions,1913 and 1914.

TABLE 55.—Rye: Wholesale price per bushel, 1900-1914.

	Philad	elphia.	Cinci	nnati.	Chicago.		Dul	uth.	San Francisco (per 100 lbs.).	
Date.	, T orr	High	No	. 2.	No	. 2.	Low	High	Low	High
	LOW.	пığıı.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Dow.	mign.	10.	iiigii.
1000	Cents. 58 54 56 65	Cents. $71\frac{1}{2}$ 71 $68\frac{1}{2}$ 96	$\begin{array}{c} Cents. \\ 51\frac{1}{2} \\ 45 \\ 51 \\ 51 \\ 54 \\ 61 \end{array}$	Cents. 67 73 71 <u>1</u> 63 87	$\begin{array}{c} Cents. \\ 44\frac{1}{2} \\ 46\frac{3}{4} \\ 48 \\ 48 \\ 48 \\ 51 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cents. \\ 60\frac{1}{2} \\ 65\frac{3}{4} \\ 67\frac{1}{2} \\ 60 \\ 81 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cents. \\ 46 \\ 46\frac{1}{2} \\ 46 \\ 48 \\ 54\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cents. \\ 60\frac{1}{2} \\ 62\frac{1}{2} \\ 64 \\ 55\frac{1}{4} \\ 80 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Dolls.} \\ 0.75 \\ .771 \\ 1.10 \\ 1.25 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Dolls.\\ 0.871\\ 1.15\\ 1.30\\ 1.471\\ 2\end{array}$
1905 1903 1907 1908 1909	$ \begin{array}{r} 63 \\ 55\frac{1}{2} \\ 75 \\ 80 \\ 75 \\ $	$90\frac{1}{67}$ 100 95 95	56 58 68 78 70	87 72 1 93 89 92	$57\frac{1}{2}$ $55\frac{1}{2}$ 60 72 67	84 68 91 1 87 91	$55\frac{1}{2}$ 53 57 60 62	78 61 86 80 88	$1.40 \\ 1.35 \\ 1.35 \\ 1.55 \\ 1.55$	$ \begin{array}{r} 1.75 \\ 1.52\frac{1}{2} \\ 1.52\frac{1}{2} \\ 2.05 \\ \end{array} $
1910	75 78 68 65	$92 \\ 107 \\ 105 \\ 77$	73 79 62 60	87 101 100 72	72 80 58 58	$\begin{array}{r} 82 \\ 113 \\ 96\frac{1}{2} \\ 70\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	67 72 53 50	$\begin{array}{r} 78\frac{1}{2} \\ 100 \\ 91\frac{1}{2} \\ 65 \end{array}$	$1.50 \\ 1.40 \\ 1.40 \\ 1.32\frac{1}{2}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.00 \\ 1.60 \\ 1.72\frac{1}{2} \\ 1.65 \end{array}$
1914. January February March April May. June.	70 70 69 68 68 68 65	75 75 74 70 70 70	$\begin{array}{c} 62 \\ 63 \\ 64 \\ 64 \\ 63 \\ 65 \end{array}$	65 67 67 67 71 70		62 63 63 67 67	50 52 1 53 541 561 561 57	$55\frac{1}{56\frac{1}{2}}$ 57 58 $61\frac{1}{2}$ 62	$\begin{array}{c} 1.\ 60\\ 1.\ 55\\ 1.\ 55\\ 1.\ 55\\ 1.\ 55\\ 1.\ 55\\ 1.\ 52\frac{1}{2}\end{array}$	$1.65 \\ 1.65 \\ 1.65 \\ 1.60 \\ $
July. August. September. October. November. December. Year.	$ \begin{array}{r} 65 \\ 70 \\ 85 \\ 98 \\ 102 \\ 112 \\ \hline 65 \\ \end{array} $	$70\\85\\95\\108\\112\\125\\125$	60 73 90 90 95 109 60	75989994110115115	$ 55 67 90 88 96 107 \frac{1}{2}55 $	$ \begin{array}{r} 72 \\ 101 \\ 100\frac{1}{2} \\ 96 \\ 108 \\ 112\frac{1}{2} \\ \hline 112\frac{1}{2} \end{array} $	57 65 85 84 94 102 50	64 95 95 94 104 107 107	$1.30 1.40 1.40 1.57\frac{1}{2}1.601.601.30$	1.55 1.55 1.621 1.62 1.621 1.622 1.622 1.65

TABLE 56.—Rye (including flour): International trade, calendar years 1911-1913.

[See "General note," p. 518.]

EXPORTS.

[000 omitted.]

Country.	1911	1912	1913 (prelim.).	Country.	1911	1912	1913 (prelim.)
Argentina Belgium Bulgaria Canada Denmark. Germany Netherlands	Bushels. 22 914 2,950 80 295 40,090 19,897	Bushels. 445 1,155 2,029 1 296 42,784 16,423	Bushels. 861 2,029 127 319 51,979 20,291	Roumania Russia United States Other countries Total	Bushels. 5,148 45,234 31 476 115,137	Bushels. 2,616 26,359 501 582 93,191	Bushels. 2,481 33,051 2,034 549 114,394

IMPORTS.

		1 1		1			1
Austria-Hungarv	2,069	1,336	268	Norway	11,305	9,168	11,027
Belgium	6, 791	5,309	6,372	Russia	4,468	3,455	7,768
Denmark	7,746	8,170	9,846	Sweden	2,153	4,708	4,708
Finland	17,730	12,873	15,813	Switzerland	776	750	661
France	5,014	3,688	2,713	United Kingdom	2,343	1,965	2,276
Germany	24,253	12,501	13,846	Other countries	429	713	737
Italy	294	623	1,245				
Netherlands	33,083	27,714	32, 273	Total	118,454	92,973	109,553
	,	,	, í				-

BUCKWHEAT.

TABLE 57.—Buckwheat: Acreage, production, and value in the United States, 1849-1914.

Note.—Figures in *italics* are census returns; figures in roman are estimates of the Department of Agriculture. Estimates of acres are obtained by applying estimated percentages of increase or decrease to the published numbers of the preceding year, except that a revised base is used for applying percentage estimates whenever new census data are available.

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$				and the second sec							,	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Year.	Acre- age (thou- sands of acres).	Aver- age yield per acre (bush- els).	Pro- duc- tion (thou- sands of bush- els).	A ver- age farm price Dec. 1 (cents per bushel).	Farm value Dec. 1 (thou- sands of dol- ars).	Year.	Acre- age (thou- sands of acres).	Aver- age yield per acre (bush- els).	Pro- duc- tion (thou- sands of bush- els).	Aver- age farm price Dec. 1 (cents per bushel).	Farm value Dec. 1 (thou- sands of dol- lars).
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1849	1,046 1,228 1,114 1,029 537 414 448 453 453 576 666 650 673 640 848 829 847 857 857 857 857 857 857 857 857 857 85	$\begin{array}{c} & & & \\$	$\begin{array}{c} 8,957\\ 17,572\\ 22,792\\ 21,359\\ 19,864\\ 17,431\\ 9,822\\ 8,329\\ 8,134\\ 7,838\\ 8,017\\ 10,082\\ 9,669\\ 10,177\\ 12,247\\ 13,140\\ 11,817\\ 14,618\\ 9,486\\ 11,019\\ 7,669\\ 11,166\\ 12,626\\ 11,869\\ 11,869\\ 11,869\\ 10,844\\ 12,050\\ 12,110\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\$	15, 413 15, 490 12, 535 6, 937 6, 937 7, 9377 7, 9377 7, 9377 7, 93777 7, 93777777777777777777777777	1889	837 845 849 861 816 759 718 678 678 678 678 678 678 678 678 678 807 603 807 760 789 804 794 804 780 803 833 834 855 800 803 833 845 805 805 805 805 805 805 805 805 805 80	$\begin{array}{c} 14.5\\ 14.7\\ 15.0\\ 14.9\\ 16.1\\ 20.1\\ 18.7\\ 20.9\\ 17.3\\ 16.6\\ 13.9\\ 17.3\\ 16.6\\ 18.1\\ 17.7\\ 18.9\\ 19.2\\ 18.6\\ 18.1\\ 17.7\\ 18.9\\ 19.2\\ 21.8\\ 6.9\\ 20.5\\ 21.1\\ 22.3\\ 21.3\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1\$, 110\\ 12, 433\\ 12, 761\\ 12, 143\\ 12, 132\\ 12, 668\\ 15, 341\\ 14, 090\\ 14, 997\\ 11, 722\\ 11, 094\\ 11, 234\\ 9, 567\\ 14, 530\\ 14, 585\\ 14, 58$	$\begin{array}{c} & 57.\ 4\\ 57.\ 0\\ 51.\ 8\\ 58.\ 3\\ 55.\ 6\\ 45.\ 2\\ 39.\ 2\\ 42.\ 1\\ 45.\ 0\\ 55.\ 7\\ 55.\ 8\\ 56.\ 3\\ 59.\ 6\\ 60.\ 7\\ 59.\ 6\\ 60.\ 7\\ 59.\ 6\\ 69.\ 8\\ 75.\ 6\\ 75.\ 6\\ 75.\ 6\\ 75.\ 6\\ 75.\ 6\\ 75.\ 5\\ 76.\ 4\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7, 133\\ 7, 272\\ 6, 296\\ 6, 316\\ 7, 074\\ 7, 040\\ 6, 936\\ 5, 522\\ 6, 319\\ 5, 271\\ 6, 183\\ 5, 522\\ 8, 655\\ 8, 655\\ 8, 655\\ 8, 655\\ 8, 655\\ 8, 565\\ 8, 565\\ 8, 727\\ 9, 975\\ 12, 004\\ 10, 346\\ 11, 636\\ 12, 785\\ 12, 785\\ 12, 785\\ 12, 892\\ 10, 445\\ 12, 892\\ \end{array}$

¹ Figures adjusted to census basis.

Statistics of Buckwheat.

BUCKWHEAT-Continued.

TABLE 58.—Buckwheat: Acreage, production, and total farm value in the United States in 1914.

Farm Pro-Farm Pro-Acre-Acre-State. ducvalue value Dec. 1. State. ducage. age. tion. Dec. 1. tion. A cres. 18 5 4 Bush. Dolls. A cres. 12 Bush. Dolls. 432 88 71 328 348 209 Ohio Maine. 69 67 New Hampshire..... Vermont..... Massachusetts..... ī 25 18 Indiana.. Illinois... 224 37 184 8 2 3 57 17 1,054 298 748 226 71 85 29 15 14 52 31 Michigan..... Wisconsin..... 53 4,790 174 Connecticut..... 56 6 102 New York... New Jersey... 274 6,302 210 Minnesota..... Iowa..... 6 2 1 110 Iowa..... Missouri..... 10 $\begin{array}{r}
 174 \\
 4,362 \\
 43 \\
 165 \\
 375 \\
 642 \\
 142
 \end{array}$ 280 3 5,740 31 Pennsylvania.. Nebraska.... 18 Delaware..... 57 204 446 Kansas... ĩ 16 11 23 Maryland Tennessee..... 3 67 Virginia..... West Virginia.... 36 774United States..... 792 16,881 12,892 142 North Carolina..... 9 171

TABLE 59.—Buckwheat: Condition of crop, United States, on first of months named, 1894–1914.

Year.	•Aug.	Sept.	When har- vested.	Year.	Aug.	Sept.	When har- vested.	Year.	Aug.	Sept.	When har- vested.
1894 1895 1896 1897 1898 1899	P. ct. 82.3 85.2 96.0 94.9 87.2 93.2 87.9	P. ct. 69.2 87.5 93.2 95.1 88.8 75.2 80.5	P. ct. 72.0 84.8 86.0 90.8 76.2 70.2 72.8	1901 1902 1903 1904 1905 1906 1907	P. ct. 91.1 91.4 93.9 92.8 92.6 93.2 91.9	P. ct. 90.9 86.4 91.0 91.5 91.8 91.2 77.4	$\begin{array}{c} P. ct.\\ 90.5\\ 80.5\\ 83.0\\ 88.7\\ 91.6\\ 84.9\\ 80.1 \end{array}$	1908 1909 1910 1911 1912 1913 1914	P. ct. 89.4 86.4 87.9 82.9 88.4 85.5 88.8	P. ct. 87.8 81.0 82.3 83.8 91.6 75.4 87.1	P. ct. 81.6 79.5 81.7 81.4 89.2 65.9 83.3

[000 omitted.]

BUCKWHEAT-Continued.

																			
	Yield per acre (bushels).							Fa	rm p	rice (cen	per 1 ts).	oush	el	Valu acre lar	ie per (dol- 's). ¹				
State.	10- year aver- age, 1905- 1914.	1905	1906	1907	1908	1909	1910	1911	1912	1913	3 1914	10- year aver- age, 1905- 1914.	1910	1911	1912	1913	1914	5-year aver- age, 1910– 1914.	1914
Me N. H Vt Mass Conn	29.725.623.719.818.1	30. 0 23. 0 19. 0 20. 0 16. 0	28.0 22.0 21.0 20.0 17.0	28.022.022.021.016.0	30.021.522.018.018.2	28.0 22.0 22.0 19.3 19.5	32.5 31.0 24.0 22.0 19.5	30.0 27.3 24.3 21.0 19.0	29.431.030.021.020.5	32.0 31.0 25.0 17.0 17.0	$29.0 \\ 25.0 \\ 28.0 \\ 18.5 \\ 18.5 \\ 18.5$	66 73 71 79 86	68 62 70 85 83	70 81 85 89 95	70 72 72 85 88	56 66 80 80 95	60 70 82 84 95	19.8020.3220.4016.8817.20	$17.40 \\ 17.50 \\ 22.96 \\ 15.54 \\ 17.58$
N. Y N. J Pa Del. Md	20.620.420.019.918.2	19.021.020.017.019.0	19.0 18.0 19.0 17.0 18.0	17.5 16.5 18.0 24.0 19.0	$21.4 \\ 20.0 \\ 19.2 \\ 30.0 \\ 18.5 \\$	24.0 21.8 19.5 19.8 16.6	23.0 21.5 19.5 20.5 18.5	21.3 20.0 21.9 19.0 20.0	$23.8 \\ 22.0 \\ 24.2 \\ 16.0 \\ 17.5 \\$	$14.3 \\ 22.0 \\ 18.5 \\ 17.0 \\ 16.5 \\ 16.5 \\ 16.5 \\ 16.5 \\ 16.5 \\ 16.5 \\ 10.5 \\ $	$23.0 \\ 21.0 \\ 20.5 \\ 19.0 \\ 18.5$	69 72 67 66 70	65 69 62 65 66	73 75 69 65 67	64 72 64 66 71	81 76 73 69 75	76 83 76 76 81	$14.96 \\ 15.97 \\ 14.35 \\ 12.48 \\ 13.48$	$17.48 \\ 17.43 \\ 15.58 \\ 14.44 \\ 14.98$
Va W. Va N. C Ohio Ind	19.0 21.0 17.4 19.6 17.4	18.0 19.0 15.0 17.0 17.0	19.0 18.0 14.0 19.0 16.0	19.0 18.5 15.5 19.5 15.5	18.0 18.0 16.4 18.5 17.0	18.022.719.821.217.3	18.0 23.0 19.0 18.0 17.7	16.024.019.021.018.3	21.5 24.0 17.5 19.5 19.0	$23.1 \\ 21.0 \\ 19.3 \\ 18.0 \\ 18.5 \\$	19.421.519.024.017.5	73 76 76 73 . 73	77 77 80 75 70	70 85 80 78 74	75 75 85 70 73	80 78 78 76 75	84 83 83 76 78	$\begin{array}{c} 15.19\\ 18.07\\ 15.22\\ 15.09\\ 13.47\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 16.30\\ 17.84\\ 15.77\\ 18.24\\ 13.65 \end{array}$
Ill Mich Wis Minn Iowa	$18.3 \\ 15.6 \\ 15.6 \\ 16.5 \\ 15.4 \\$	$16.0 \\ 16.0 \\ 15.0 \\ 14.0 \\ 13.0 \\$	$19.0 \\ 13.0 \\ 15.0 \\ 14.0 \\ 12.0 \\ 12.0 \\ 12.0 \\ 12.0 \\ 12.0 \\ 12.0 \\ 10.0 \\ $	17.0 15.5 16.0 14.7 15.0	$18.2 \\ 13.5 \\ 15.2 \\ 18.2 \\ 15.5 \\$	18.214.312.315.215.0	$\begin{array}{c} 20.0\\ 15.3\\ 14.0\\ 16.0\\ 14.9 \end{array}$	18.1 18.0 17.5 18.0 17.5	22.0 17.0 17.0 21.0 19.0	$17.0\\15.0\\16.5\\16.5\\14.0$	17.7 18.5 17.5 17.0 18.3	83 65 70 68 . 80	90 62 75 72 83	95 71 75 76 90	80 65 66 65 75	80 70 69 64 81	95 71 76 70 77	$\begin{array}{c} 16.64\\ 11.39\\ 11.90\\ 12.26\\ 13.56 \end{array}$	16.82 13.14 13.30 11.90 14.09
Mo Nebr Kans Tenn	15.9 17.0 14.2 16.4	16.0 14.0 11.0 16.0	$18.0 \\ 15.0 \\ 17.0 \\ 16.0 \\ 16.0 \\ 16.0 \\ 10.0 \\ $	16.0 14.5 12.0 15.0	20.1 18.0 18.7 15.3	21.0 16.0 14.0 15.0	$16.5 \\ 20.0 \\ 15.0 \\ 15.0 \\ 15.0 \\ 15.0 \\ 15.0 \\ 15.0 \\ 15.0 \\ 15.0 \\ 15.0 \\ 15.0 \\ 15.0 \\ 15.0 \\ 10.0 \\ $	10.0 16.0 12.0 16.0	$15.0 \\ 18.0 \\ 16.0 \\ 18.0 \\ 18.0 \\ 18.0 \\ 18.0 \\ 18.0 \\ 18.0 \\ 18.0 \\ 10.0 \\ $	11.020.010.010.010000000000000000000000	15.5 18.5 16.0 22.3	89 82 85 79	87 90 90 86	105 95 98 79	95 90 78 78	85 79 80 75	93 84 90 78	$12.58 \\ 16.15 \\ 12.03 \\ 13.64$	$14.\ 42\\15.\ 54\\14.\ 40\\17.\ 39$
U.S.	19.9	19.2	18.6	17.9	19.8	20.9	20.5	21.1	22.9	17.2	21.3	69.0	66.1	72.6	66.1	75.5	76.4	14.64	16.28

 TABLE 60.—Buckwheat: Yield per acre, price per bushel Dec. 1, and value per acre, by States.

¹ Based upon farm price Dec. 1.

TABLE 61.—Buckwheat: Farm price per bushel on first of each month, by geographical divisions, 1913 and 1914.

Month.	Un Sti	ited ates	No Atla Sta	orth antic .tes.	So Atia Sta	uth intic. ites.	N. Co State of Mi	entral s east ss. R.	N. Co State of Mi	entral s west ss. R.	Sor Cen Sta	ith itral tes.
	1914	1913	1914	1913	1914	1913	1914	1913	1914	1913	1914	1913
January. February. March April May. June.	Cts. 76.6 75.6 75.1 76.9 77.3 79.0	Cts. 66.8 69.4 67.0 68.3 71.4 70.8	<i>Cts.</i> 76. 1 74. 4 74. 5 76. 4 77. 7 79. 0	<i>Cts.</i> 65.0 68.0 65.9 67.5 70.8 69.8	Cts. 80.0 83.4 80.3 83.8 80.0 84.5	Cts. 76.2 79.3 75.7 77.9 80.8 80.8	Cts. 75.5 75.1 73.4 73.7 72.5 73.4	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 68.8 \\ 69.4 \\ 66.6 \\ 66.4 \\ 67.8 \\ 68.4 \end{array}$	Cts. 78.5 71.0 73.0 73.0 71.5 74.5	Cts. 90.0 85.0 67.5 71.5 72.5 72.0	Cts. 75.0 80.0 75.0 73.0 75.0 80.0	Cts. 72.0 75.0 70.0 75.0 73.0 80.0
July. August September. October November. December.	85.5 81.2 79.8 78.7 78.0 76.4	72.972.470.074.175.575.5	87.4 81.4 79.4 79.5 77.8 75.9	$\begin{array}{c} 72.9 \\ 72.4 \\ 69.0 \\ 74.3 \\ 75.5 \\ 75.8 \end{array}$	82.2 82.7 83.0 82.3 78.8 82.7	75.878.177.478.178.978.0	77.2 78.5 77.8 69.4 78.2 74.0	$70.0 \\ 68.0 \\ 70.8 \\ 67.8 \\ 72.3 \\ 71.6$	82.5 77.0 85.0 84.0 79.5 77.3	77.5 69.5 67.5 83.5 72.0 74.0	75.0 75.0 75.0 75.0 75.0 78.0	80.0 •75.0 75.0 70.0 75.0 75.0

POTATOES.

TABLE 62.—Potatoes: Area and production of undermentioned countries, 1911-1913.

					Production	
Country		Area.			11000001000.	
country.	1911	1912	1913	1911	1912	1913 .
NORTH AMERICA. United States	Acres. 3,619,000	Acres. 3,711,000	Acres. 3, 668, 000	Bushels. 292,737,000	Bushels. 420,647,000	Bushels. 331,525,000
Canada: Prince Edward Island. Nova Scotia New Brunswick Quebec Ontario Manitoba Saskatchewan Alberta British Columbia	$\begin{array}{c} 31,000\\ 31,000\\ 41,000\\ 124,000\\ 157,000\\ 26,000\\ 30,000\\ 24,000\\ 15,000\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 33,000\\ 32,000\\ 43,000\\ 116,000\\ 158,000\\ 27,000\\ 31,000\\ 27,000\\ 17,000\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 32,000\\ 32,000\\ 43,000\\ 116,000\\ 152,000\\ 26,000\\ 31,000\\ 26,000\\ 15,000\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 5,581,000\\ 5,641,000\\ 8,826,000\\ 15,763,000\\ 16,043,000\\ 5,490,000\\ 5,510,000\\ 4,606,000\\ 3,778,000\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6,741,000\\ 9,447,000\\ 7,558,000\\ 15,945,000\\ 22,690,000\\ 6,182,000\\ 6,552,000\\ 5,775,000\\ 3,995,000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6,219,000\\ 5,369,000\\ 10,629,000\\ 20,504,000\\ 18,105,000\\ 5,120,000\\ 5,138,000\\ 4,350,000\\ 3,110,000\end{array}$
Total Canada	479,000	484,000	473,000	71, 238, 000	84, 885, 000	78, 544, 000
Mexico Newfoundland	(1) (1)	(1) (1)	(1) (1)	(2) 924,000 1,533,000	(²) 924,000 1,524,000	(2) 924,000 1,500,000
Total				366, 432, 000	507,980,000	412, 493, 000
SOUTH AMERICA.						
Argentina Chile	267,000 68,000	278,000 66,000	279,000 78,000	$36,743,000 \\ 7,440,000$	38,029,000 9,656,000	40, 418, 000 8, 753, 000
Total	335,000	344,000	357,000	44, 183, 000	47,685,000	49, 171, 000
EUROPE.						
Austria-Hungary: Austria Hungary proper Croatia-Slavonia Bosnia-Herzegovina	3,108,000 1,534,000 190,000 49,000	3,092,000 1,530,000 195,000 62,000	3,152,000 1,513,000 194,000 67,000	$\begin{array}{c} 426, 406, 000\\ 163, 067, 000\\ 23, 138, 000\\ 2, 329, 000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 460,821,000\\197,812,000\\21,674,000\\3,472,000\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 424, 457, 000 \\ 179, 133, 000 \\ 21, 140, 000 \\ 2, 998, 000 \end{array}$
Total Austria- Hungary	4,881,000	4,879,000	4,926,000	614, 940, 000	683,779,000	627,728,000
Belgium Bulgaria Denmark Finland. France Germany. Greece. Italy. Luxemburg. Malta. Notway. Roumania 5. Do.6.	387,000 8,000 (1) 184,000 3,853,000 8,207,000 (1) 692,000 36,000 4,102,000 (4)102,000 (1)02,000 61,000	$\begin{array}{c} 387,000\\ 9,000\\ 151,000\\ (1)\\ 3,863,000\\ 8,257,000\\ (1)\\ 514,000\\ 4,000\\ 426,000\\ (4)102,000\\ (0,000\\ 60,000\\ \end{array}$	395,000 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (2) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1	$\begin{matrix} 100, 934, 000\\ 500, 000\\ 322, 499, 000\\ 22, 691, 000\\ 469, 386, 000\\ 1, 263, 024, 000\\ 62, 177, 000\\ 4, 692, 000\\ 834, 000\\ 133, 973, 000\\ 222, 017, 000\\ 4, 242, 000\\ 1, 429, 000\\ 1, 429, 000\\ \end{matrix}$	$\begin{array}{c} 121,481,000\\ 503,000\\ 32,629,000\\ 23,488,000\\ 552,074,000\\ 552,074,000\\ 5532,074,000\\ 56,313,000\\ 8,683,000\\ 769,000\\ 157,810,000\\ 29,822,000\\ 3,748,000\\ 1,084,000\\ 1,084,000\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 117, 613, 000\\ 500, 000\\ 42, 232, 000\\ 23, 424, 000\\ 477, 111, 600\\ 1, 988, 591, 000\\ 66, 035, 000\\ 7, 637, 000\\ (3) 769, 000\\ 91, 957, 000\\ 27, 756, 000\\ 2, 521, 000\\ 1, 066, 000\\ \end{array}$
Russia: Russia proper Poland Northern Caucasia	8,166,000 2,606,000 203,000	8,321,000 2,656,000 190,000		$\begin{array}{r} 851, 120, 000 \\ 278, 309, 000 \\ 13, 669, 000 \end{array}$	925,775,000 411,281,000 19,768,000	
Total Russia (European)	10, 975, 000	11, 167, 000	11,519,000	1, 143, 098, 000	1,356,824,000	1,274,439,000
Servia. Spain Sweden Switzerland	31,000 (¹) 378,000 210,000	31,000 632,000 378,000 210,000	(1) (1) 383,000 210,000	2, 154, 000 (3) 91, 014, 000 58, 391, 000 39, 315, 000	2,173,000 93,089,000 65,765,000 40,785,000	2,000,000 90,000,000 75,367,000 44,974,000

¹ No official statistics.
 ² Data for 1906.
 ³ Year preceding.

⁴ Census of 1907. ⁵ Grown alone. ⁶ Grown with corn.

.

POTATOES—Continued.

TABLE 62.—Potatoes: Area and production of undermentioned countries, 1911–1913—Con.

		Area.			Production.	
Country.	1911	1912	1913	1911	1912	1913
EUROPE—continued.						
United Kingdom: England. Scotland. Wales. Ireland.	<i>A cres.</i> 402,000 143,000 27,000 591,000	<i>A cres.</i> 437,000 150,000 26,000 595,000	Acres. 417,000 149,000 25,000 582,000	Bushels. 99, 858, 000 36, 407, 000 6, 547, 000 137, 941, 000	Bushels. 78,961,000 35,041,000 4,704,000 95,077,000	Bushels. 102, 834, 000 36, 243, 000 5, 233, 000 139, 602, 000
Total United Kingdom	1, 163, 000	1,208,000	1, 173, 000	280,753,000	213, 783, 000	283, 912, 000
Total				4,348,401,000	5, 290, 019, 000	5,246,032,000
ASIA. Japan Russia (Asiatic)	169,000 423,000	173,000 479,000	173,000 537,000	25,168,000 32,956,000	25,669,000 38,796,000	25,000,000 32,621,000
Total	592,000	652,000	710,000	58, 124, 000	64, 465, 000	57,621,000
AFRICA.						
Algeria	43,000	45,000	48,000	1,687,000	1,607,000	2,119,000
Union of South Africa: Cape of Good Hope Natal Transvaal Orange Free State	$21,000 \\ 9,000 \\ 19,000 \\ 13,000$	(2) (2) (2) (2) (2)	(2) (2) (2) (2) (2)	$1,037,000 \\ 507,000 \\ 1,028,000 \\ 499,000$	$1,037,000 \\ 507,000 \\ 1,028,000 \\ 499,000$	1,037,000507,0001,028,000- 499,000
Total Union of South Africa	62,000	(2)	(2)	3,071,000	3,071,000	3,071,000
Total				4,758,000	4,678,000	5, 190, 000
AUSTRALASIA.						
Australia: Queensland New South Wales ^a Victoria South Australia Western Australia Tasmania	8,000 44,000 63,000 8,000 2,000 26,000	8,000 43,000 48,000 7,000 3,000 22,000	9,000 34,000 47,000 9,000 5,000 25,000	584,000 4,518,000 6,097.000 893,000 219,000 2,617,000	$\begin{array}{r} 489,000\\ 2,806,000\\ 4,446,000\\ 846,000\\ 348,000\\ 2,321,000\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 612,000\\ 3,421,000\\ 7,135,000\\ 1,235,000\\ 506,000\\ 2,709,000\end{array}$
Total Australia New Zealand	151,000 29,000	131,000 28,000	129,000 23,000	$14,928,000 \\ 5,283,000$	11,256,000 5,410,000	15,618,000 6,614,000
Total Australasia.	180,000	159,000	152,000	20, 211, 000	16,666,000	22, 232, 000
Grand total				4,842,109,000	5,931,493,000	5,792,739,000

¹ Census figures for 1911 repeated.
 ² No official statistics.
 ³ Including Federal Territory.
Statistics of Potatoes.

POTATOES—Continued.

TABLE 63.—Potatoes: Total production of countries mentioned in Table 62, 1900-1913.

Year.	Production.	Year.	Production.	Year.	Production.	Year.	Production.
1900 1901 1902 1903	Bushels. 4, 382, 031, 000 4, 669, 958, 000 4, 674, 000, 000 4, 409, 793, 000	1904 1905 1906 1907	Bushels. 4, 298, 049, 000 5, 254, 598, 000 4, 789, 112, 000 5, 122, 078, 000	1908 1909 1910	Bushels. 5, 295, 043, 000 5, 595, 567, 000 5, 242, 278, 000	1911 1912 1913	Bushels. 4, 842, 109, 000 5, 931, 493, 000 5, 792, 739, 000

TABLE 64.—Potatoes: Average yield, per acre, of undermentioned countries in 1900–1913.

Year.	United States.	Russia (Euro- pean). ¹	Ger- many.1	Austria.1	Hungary proper. ¹	France.1	United King- dom. ¹
Average (1900–1909)	Bushels. 91.4	Bushels. 99.9	Bushels. 200.0	Bushels. 151. 1	Bushels. 118.7	Bushels. 133. 8	Bushels. 193.8
1904	$ \begin{array}{r} 110. 4 \\ 87. 0 \\ 102. 2 \\ 95. 4 \\ 85. 7 \end{array} $	88. 4 106. 6 94. 9 102. 4 102. 9	164. 2 216. 7 193. 3 205. 3 209. 2	$126. 1 \\ 182. 5 \\ 158. 4 \\ 173. 2 \\ 154. 0$	86. 2 126. 8 128. 7 126. 6 96. 6	123. 4 142. 5 99. 5 136. 2 163. 7	195.6 218.8 192.2 171.0 231.1
1909	106. 1 93. 8 80. 9 113. 4 90. 4	111.5 121.1 104.2 121.5 110.6	208. 9 196. 1 153. 9 223. 5 235. 8	157.3 160.0 137.2 149.0 134.7	125. 2 117. 4 106. 3 129. 2 112. 2	$160. \ 3 \\ 81. \ 9 \\ 121. \ 8 \\ 145. \ 8 \\ 127. \ 2$	222. 1 209. 1 241. 5 177. 0 242. 0
Average (1904–1913)	96.5	106.4	200.7	153.2	115.5	130. 2	210.0

¹ Bushels of 60 pounds.

POTATOES—Continued.

TABLE 65.—Potatoes: Acreage, production, value, exports, etc., in the United States, 1849–1914.

Note.—Figures in *italics* are census returns; figures in roman are estimates of the Department of Agriculture. Estimates of acres are obtained by applying estimated percentages of increase or decrease to the published numbers of the preceding year, except that a revised base is used for applying percentage estimates whenever new census data are available.

Voor Acres		A ver-	•	Aver-		Chica busł	go cas iel, fair	h price to fan	e per .cy.1	Domestic	Imports
Year.	Acreage.	age yield per acre.	Production.	farm price per bushel	Farm value Dec. 1.	Decei	nber.	Follo Ma	wing y.	fiscal year be- ginning July 1.	fiscal year be- ginning July 1.
				Dec. 1.		Low.	High.	Low.	High.		
1849	Acres.	Bush.	Bushels. 65,798,000	Cts.	Dollars.	Cts.	Cts.	Cts.	Cts.	Bushels. 155, 595	Bushels.
1859 1866 1867	1,069,000 1,192,000	100. 2 82. 0	111,149,000 107,201,000 97,783,000	47.3 65.9	50,723,000 64,462,000 62,010,000				 	380,372 512,380 378,605 508,249	198,265 209,555 138,470
1869	1,132,000	93.8 109.5	133,886,000	42.9	57,481,000					596,968	75,336
1869 1870 1871 1872	1,325,000 1,221,000 1.331.000	86.6 98.7 85.3	143,337,000 114,775,000 120,462,000 113,516,000	$65.0 \\ 53.9 \\ 53.5$	74,621,000 64,905,000 60,692,000					$553,070 \\ 621,537 \\ 515,306$	458,758 96,259 346,840
1873	1,295,000	81.9 80.9	106,089,000	65.2 61.5	69, 154, 000 65, 223, 000		•••••	· · · · · · ·	•••••	497, 413 609, 642	549,073 188,757
1875 1876 1877 1878	1,510,000 1,742,000 1,792,000 1,777,000	110.5 71.7 94.9 69.9	$166,877,000 \\124,827,000 \\170,092,000 \\124,127,000$	34.4 61.9 43.7 58.7	57,358,000 77,320,000 74,272,000 72,924,000	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·····			704, 379 529, 650 744, 409 625, 342	$\begin{array}{c} 92,148 \\ 3,205,555 \\ 528,584 \\ 2,624,149 \end{array}$
1879	1,837,000	98.9	181,626,000	43.6	79,154,000					696,080	721,868
1880 1881 1882 1883	1,843,000 2,042,000 2,172,000 2,289,000	91.0 53.5 78.7 90.9	167,660,000 109,145,000 170,973,000 208,164,000	48.3 91.0 55.7 42.2	81,062,000 99,291,000 95,305,000 87,849,000		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	638,840 408,286 439,443 554,613	2, 170, 372 8, 789, 860 2, 362, 362 425, 408
1884 1885 1886 1887 1888	$\begin{array}{c} 2,221,000\\ 2,266,000\\ 2,287,000\\ 2,357,000\\ 2,533,000 \end{array}$	85.8 77.2 73.5 56.9 79.9	$190,642,000 \\175,029,000 \\168,051,000 \\134,103,000 \\202,365,000$	$\begin{array}{c} 39.\ 6\\ 44.\ 7\\ 46.\ 7\\ 68.\ 2\\ 40.\ 2\end{array}$	75, 524, 000 78, 153, 000 78, 442, 000 91, 507, 000 81, 414, 000	44 70 30	47 83 37	$33 \\ 65 \\ 65 \\ 24$	50 90 85 45	380,868 494,948 434,864 403,880 471,955	$\begin{array}{r} 658,633\\ 1,937,416\\ 1,432,490\\ 8,259,538\\ 883,380\end{array}$
1889 1889	2,648,000	77.4	204, 881, 000 217, 546,000	35.4	72,611,000	33	45	30	60	406,618	3,415,578
1890 1891 1892 1893	2,652,000 2,715,000 2,548,000 2,605,000	55.9 93.7 61.5 70.3	148, 290, 000 254, 424, 000 156, 655, 000 183, 034, 000	75.8 35.8 66.1 59.4	$112, 342, 000 \\91, 013, 000 \\103, 568, 000 \\108, 662, 000$	82 30 60 51	· 93 40 72 60	95 30 70 64	110 50 98 88	557,022 845,720 803,111	$\begin{array}{c} 3,401,912\\ 186,871\\ 4,317,021\\ 3,002,578\end{array}$
1894 1895 1896 1897 1898	2,738,000 2,955,000 2,767,000 2,535,000 2,558,000	62.4 100.6 91.1 64.7 75.2	$ \begin{bmatrix} 170, 787, 000 \\ 297, 237, 000 \\ 252, 235, 000 \\ 164, 016, 000 \\ 192, 306, 000 \end{bmatrix} $	53.626.628.654.741.4	91,527,000 78,985,000 72,182,000 89,643,000 79,575,000	43 18 18 50 30	$58 \\ 24 \\ 26 \\ 62 \\ 36$	40 10 19 60 33	70 23 26 87 52	572, 957 680, 049 926, 646 605, 187 579, 833	$\begin{array}{c c} 1,341,533\\ 175,240\\ 246,178\\ 1,171,378\\ 530,420\end{array}$
1899 1899	2,581,000 2,939,000	88.6 93.0	228,783,000 273,318,000	39.0	89,329,000	35	46	27	39	809,472	155,861
1900 1901 1902 1903	$ \begin{array}{c} 2,611,000\\2,864,000\\2,966,000\\2,917,000\end{array} $	80.8 65.5 96.0 84.7	210,927,000 187,598,000 284,633,000 247,128,000	$\begin{array}{c c} 43.1 \\ 76.7 \\ 47.1 \\ 61.4 \end{array}$	90,811,000 143,979,000 134,111,000 151,638,000	40 75 42 60	48 82 48 66	58 42 95	$ \begin{array}{c} 100 \\ 60 \\ 116 \end{array} $	528,484 843,075 484,042	7, 656, 162 358, 505 3, 166, 581
1904 1905 1906 1907 1908	3,016,000 2,997,000 3,013,000 3,128,000 3,257,000	110. 4 87. 0 102. 2 95. 4 85. 7	332,830,000 260,741,000 308,038,000 298,262,000 278,985,000	45.3 61.7 51.1 61.8 70.6	$\begin{array}{c} 150,673,000\\ 160,821,000\\ 157,547,000\\ 184,184,000\\ 197,039,000 \end{array}$	$32 \\ 55 \\ 40 \\ 46 \\ 60$	38 66 43 58 77	20 48 55 50 70	25 73 75 80 150	$1,163,270 \\1,000,326 \\1,530,461 \\1,203,894 \\763,651$	$ \begin{vmatrix} 181, 199 \\ 1, 948, 160 \\ 176, 917 \\ 403, 952 \\ 8, 383, 966 \end{vmatrix} $
1909 1909 1910 ² 1911 1912 1913 1914	3,525,000 3,669,000 3,720,000 3,619,000 3,711,000 3,668,000 3,708,000	106. 8 106. 1 93. 8 80. 9 113. 4 90. 4 109. 5	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	54.1 55.7 79.9 50.5 68.7 48.9	210, 667, 000 194, 566, 000 233, 778, 000 212, 550, 000 227, 903, 000 198, 609, 000	$ \begin{array}{c c} 20 \\ 30 \\ 70 \\ 40 \\ 50 \\ 30 \\ \end{array} $	58 48 100 65 70 66 $ 66 $	16 35 90 33 60	34 75 200 70 90	999,476 2,383,887 1,237,276 2,028,261 1,797,812	353,208 218,984 13,734,698 327,230 1,153,173

¹ Burbank to 1910.

²Figures adjusted to census basis.

,

Statistics of Potatoes.

POTATOES—Continued.

TABLE 66.—Potatoes: Acreage, production, and total farm value, by States, 1914.

		A REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF A DESCRIPTION OF	And in case of the local division of the loc				
State.	Acre- age.	Pro- duc- tion.	Farm value Dec. 1.	State.	Acre- age.	Pro- duc- tion.	Farm value Dec. 1.
Maine. New Hampshire Vermont. Massachusetts Rhode Island. Connecticut. New York. New Jersey Pennsylvania. Delaware Maryland Virginia. West Virginia North Carolina. Georgia Florida Ohio Indiana Illinois Michigan. Wisconsin. Minnesota Iowa	$\begin{array}{c} Acres.\\ 130\\ 177\\ 255\\ 27\\ 5\\ 24\\ 367\\ 92\\ 268\\ 111\\ 44\\ 4112\\ 412\\ 412\\ 413\\ 13\\ 13\\ 13\\ 13\\ 13\\ 13\\ 364\\ 364\\ 304\\ 304\\ 270\\ 147\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Bushels.\\ 33,800\\ 2,703\\ 4,200\\ 4,185\\ 8,25\\ 3,360\\ 53,215\\ 9,936\\ 28,140\\ 8,80\\ 3,432\\ 7,280\\ 7,280\\ 2,592\\ 2,592\\ 2,592\\ 2,592\\ 1,040\\ 14,250\\ 7,400\\ 14,040\\ 437,696\\ 30,780\\ 12,642$	$\begin{array}{c} Dollars.\\ 11, 154\\ 1, 622\\ 1, 974\\ 2, 971\\ 3, 778\\ 2, 184\\ 23, 415\\ 23, 415\\ 23, 415\\ 23, 415\\ 23, 606\\ 2, 059\\ 5, 606\\ 2, 059\\ 5, 606\\ 2, 059\\ 5, 606\\ 2, 059\\ 1, 175\\ 7, 552\\ 3, 360\\ 4, 538\\ 13, 213\\ 11, 309\\ 9, 850\\ 9, 850\\ 7, 459\\ \end{array}$	North Dakota South Dakota Kentucky Tennessce Alabama Mississippl Louisiana Texas Oklahoma Arkansas Montana Wyoming Colorado New Mexico Arizona Utah Nevada Idaho Washington Oregon California	A cres. 63 118 72 50 35 18 12 24 44 32 25 35 18 12 24 44 32 25 15 15 15 12 24 44 32 25 37 15 12 24 44 32 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37	Bushels. 7,630 5,670 9,440 4,464 2,250 1,505 1,422 960 2,684 2,240 1,500 5,180 1,620 8,760 11,620 8,760 11,500 5,270 11,00 2,800 11,560 5,270 7,552 4,753 10,350	Dollars. 3, 200 2, 666 5, 099 3, 437 1, 890 1, 370 1, 433 2, 701 2, 016 1, 455 8, 312 1, 680 1, 092 2, 533 1, 680 1, 092 2, 533 4, 156 2, 533 1, 189 2, 533 1, 193 2, 193 1, 193
Missouri	87	3,915	2,858	o miled istates.	0,100	400, 521	100,000

[000 omitted.]

 TABLE 67.—Potatoes: Condition of crop, United States, on first of months named, 1894–1914.

Year.	July.	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Year.	July.	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.
1894 1895 1896 1897 1898 1899 1900 1901 1902 1903 1904	P. ct. 92.3 91.5 99.0 87.8 95.5 93.8 91.3 87.4 92.9 88.1 93.9	P. ct. 74.0 89.7 94.8 77.9 83.9 93.0 88.2 62.3 94.8 87.2 94.1	P. ct. 62.4 90.8 83.2 66.7 77.7 86.3 80.0 52.2 89.1 84.3 91.6	$\begin{array}{c} P. ct. \\ 64.3 \\ 87.4 \\ 81.7 \\ 61.6 \\ 72.5 \\ 81.7 \\ 74.4 \\ 54.0 \\ 82.5 \\ 74.6 \\ 89.5 \end{array}$	1905 1906 1907 1908 1909 1910 1911 1912 1913 1914 1914	P. ct. 91.2 91.5 90.2 89.6 93.0 86.3 76.0 88.9 86.2 83.6	P. ct. 87.2 89.0 88.5 82.9 85.8 75.8 62.3 87.8 78.0 79.0	$\begin{array}{c} P. \ ct. \\ 80.9 \\ 85.3 \\ 80.2 \\ 73.7 \\ 80.9 \\ 70.5 \\ 59.8 \\ 87.2 \\ 69.9 \\ 75.8 \end{array}$	P. ct. 74.3 82.2 77.0 68.7 78.8 71.8 62.3 85.1 67.7 78.3

75922°-увк 1914----36

POTATOES—Continued.

TABLE 68.—Potatoes: Yield per acre, price per bushel Dec. 1, and value per acre, by States.

			3	7 ield	per	acre ((busl	iels).				Fa	rm p	rice (cen	per l ts).	bush	el	Valu ac (dol	te p er re lars).1
State.	10- year aver- age, 1905- 1914.	1905	1906	1907	1908	1909	1910	1911	1912	1913	1914	10- year aver- age, 1905- 1914.	1910	1911	1912	1913	1914	5- year aver- age, 1910- 1914.	1914
Me N.H Vt Mass R.I	206 128 122 116 127	175 120 98 97 125	$210 \\ 112 \\ 101 \\ 114 \\ 108$	$145 \\ 120 \\ 120 \\ 120 \\ 120 \\ 110$	225 100 73 95 150	$225 \\ 130 \\ 155 \\ 125 \\ 125 \\ 125$	$220 \\ 150 \\ 130 \\ 125 \\ 136$	180 125 105 93 110	198 140 140 130 113	220 122 127 105 130	$260 \\ 159 \\ 168 \\ 155 \\ 165$	54 68 59 79 84	42 52 45 70 69	77 87 79 96 106	55 61 55 75 77	53 83 72 85 90	33 60 47 71 70	$108. \ 46 \\ 93. \ 76 \\ 77. \ 77 \\ 94. \ 72 \\ 105. \ 99 \\$	85.80 95.40 78.96 110.05 115.50
Conn N. Y N. J Pa Del	104 98 98 87 90	92 70 93 90 93	98 105 120 94 97	$100 \\ 98 \\ 120 \\ 88 \\ 99$	80 82 72 72 82	120 120 90 78 96	$125 \\ 102 \\ 105 \\ 88 \\ 103$	85 74 73 56 60	$107 \\ 106 \\ 108 \\ 109 \\ 100$	92 74 95 88 87	$140 \\ 145 \\ 108 \\ 105 \\ 80$	82 62 76 67 71	70 48 65 52 60	105 90 105 93 96	78 58 66 57 70	87 80 82 80 75	65 44 61 58 70	$\begin{array}{c} 86.25\\ 60.01\\ 71.99\\ 58.25\\ 62.13\end{array}$	91.00 63.80 65.88 60.90 56.00
Md Va W. Va N. C S. C	86 81 84 75 80	95 84 88 77 83	93 75 97 75 82	95 80 83 88 70	77 88 84 79 81	80 92 98 74 85	95 98 92 89 90	45 45 45 48 70	112 87 112 85 90	87 94 83 80 80	78 65 54 52 70	64 71 76 81 114	54 58 67 73 105	91 96 104 108 122	$58 \\ 65 \\ 62 \\ 76 \\ 112$	67 80 90 82 130	60 77 81 92 125	52. 46 56. 37 59. 26 58. 97 94. 44	46. 80 50. 05 43. 74 47. 84 87. 50
Ga Fla Ohio Ind Ill	76 85 85 80 75	65 75 78 80 75	77 85 110 89 97	83 80 76 87 87	78 83 77 57 71	81 95 93 95 91	82 90 82 84 75	72 90 65 58 50	78 93 112 114 101	81 76 64 53 46	60 80 95 80 60	104 116 64 64 70	$ \begin{array}{c c} 105 \\ 100 \\ 51 \\ 50 \\ 59 \\ 59 \end{array} $	110 145 84 87 90		105 117 85 84 89	$ \begin{array}{c} 105 \\ 113 \\ 53 \\ 56 \\ 61 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 76.24\\ 100.42\\ 52.11\\ 47.76\\ 45.48 \end{array}$	63.00 90.40 50.35 44.80 36.60
Mich Wis Minn Iowa Mo	95 100 100 82 69	67 68 82 80 82	95 97 92 95 84	90 91 101 85 82	72 80 76 80 80	105 102 115 89 85	105 95 61 72 86	94 116 115 74 27	$105 \\ 120 \\ 135 \\ 109 \\ 84$	96 109 110 48 38	$121 \\ 124 \\ 114 \\ 86 \\ 45$	45 45 45 58 73	31 38 64 60 68	$71 \\ 62 \\ 58 \\ 73 \\ 102$	$ \begin{array}{r} 41 \\ 34 \\ 28 \\ 46 \\ 69 \\ \end{array} $	53 54 52 82 93	30 30 32 59 73	45. 90 48. 98 47. 44 47. 49 42. 43	36. 30 37. 20 36. 48 50. 74 32. 85
N. Dak. S. Dak. Nebr Kans Ky	96 84 73 65 73	95 96 93 81 85	98 100 87 79 82	89 84 73 65 80	85 90 78 80 62	110 80 78 79 92	41 44 60 57 92	120 72 52 22 39	$128 \\ 105 \\ 80 \\ 82 \\ 101$	85 78 48 40 49	109 90 80 62 45	52 54 63 83 76	91 85 84 90 62	$55 \\ 70 \\ 92 \\ 106 \\ 107$	28 36 51 73 67	$56 \\ 63 \\ 78 \\ 91 \\ 102$	42 47 54 77 84	46. 51 43. 41 43. 94 43. 72 50. 84	45. 78 42. 30 43. 20 47. 74 37. 80
Tenn Ala Miss La Tex	72 82 88 69 62	80 80 110 64 64	80 75 85 62 77	85 95 90 67 73	80 85 .91 .82 71	75 80 87 75 50	80 80 85 55 51	41 78 83 69 57	88 81 89 73 63	64 84 80 70 52	43 79 80 70 61	77 98 -95 90 105	65 94 94 90 110	$108 \\ 118 \\ 115 \\ 100 \\ 126$	70 90 90 83 105	$97 \\ 105 \\ 100 \\ 96 \\ 112$	91 101 95 97 104	51.82 81.63 82.29 62.84 63.15	39. 1 3 79. 79 76. 00 67. 90 63. 44
Okla Ark Mont Wyo Colo	64 71 146 133 118	76 65 120 170 160	80 80 152 115 125	70 70 150 200 150	78 82 138 158 125	70 70 180 160 160		$18 \\ 55 \\ 150 \\ 42 \\ 35$	60 70 165 140 95	$\begin{array}{c} 60 \\ 72 \\ 140 \\ 140 \\ 115 \end{array}$	70 60 140 108 120	97 90 62 74 60	$ \begin{array}{r} 100 \\ 85 \\ 85 \\ 82 \\ 55 \end{array} $	$124 \\ 115 \\ 74 \\ 140 \\ 99$	93 92 40 60 41	$105 \\ 100 \\ 67 \\ 65 \\ 65 \\ 65$	90 97 64 70 50	52.82 65.85 92.48 78.28 52.67	63, 00 58, 20 89, 60 75, 60 60, 00
N. Mex. Ariz Utah Nev	88 116 152 157	75 104 132 120	121 192 165 175	$100 \\ 140 \\ 100 \\ 200$	100 110 160 120	85 	$47 \\ 92 \\ 142 \\ 150$	80 95 140 160	100 125 185 178	68 75 180 160	100 110 140 130	97 131 57 77	$104 \\ 126 \\ 59 \\ 80$	100 140 85 93	$65 \\ 125 \\ 49 \\ 60$	$140 \\ 135 \\ 58 \\ 68$	95 120 60 70	76.82 127.68 96.37 115.08	95.00 132.00 84.00 91.00
Idaho Wash Oreg Cal	$ \begin{array}{r} 162 \\ 142 \\ 122 \\ 132 \\ \end{array} $	$140 \\ 142 \\ 110 \\ 165$	175 129 101 125	$145 \\ 150 \\ 125 \\ 145$	130 120 99 107	200 170 160 130	$142 \\ 131 \\ 105 \\ 130 \\$	180 160 130 135	185 167 155 130	170 123 135 119	155 128 97 138	51 56 59 76	65 73 70 85	65 68 67 90	29 36 31 65	50 60 58 70	48 55 60 70	84. 47 81. 75 69. 03 99. 28	74. 40 70. 40 58. 20 96. 60
U. S	96.5	87.0	102.2	95.4	85.7	106.8	93.8	80.9	113.4	90.4	109.5	60.4	55.7	79.9	50.5	68.7	48.9	57.97	53 . 56

¹ Based upon farm price Dec. 1.

Statistics of Potatoes.

POTATOES—Continued.

Month.	United States.		North Atlantic States.		South Atlantic States.		N. Central States east of Miss. R.		N. Central States west of Miss. R.		South Central States.		Far West- ern States.	
	1914	1913	1914.	1913	1914	1913	1914	1913	1914	1913	1914	1913	1914	1913
January February March April May June July August	$\begin{array}{c} Cts.\\ 68.4\\ 69.7\\ 70.7\\ 70.0\\ 71.4\\ 71.3\\ 81.5\\ 87.1 \end{array}$	Cts. 50.6 53.1 52.0 50.3 48.2 55.2 49.8 69.2	Cts. 71.3 73.5 72.7 75.0 78.1 77.5 85.2 91.7	$\begin{array}{c} Cts.\\ 59.\ 1\\ 62.\ 6\\ 60.\ 8\\ 56.\ 7\\ 57.\ 2\\ 70.\ 2\\ 60.\ 9\\ 71.\ 8\end{array}$	Cts. 85.1 88.2 88.5 93.0 94.4 89.5 99.9 97.8	Cts. 70.0 76.0 77.4 80.6 77.0 84.0 78.7 76.4	$\begin{array}{c} Cts.\\ 59.7\\ 60.1\\ 61.0\\ 59.0\\ 61.7\\ 64.0\\ 75.8\\ 87.5\\ 87.5\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 44.1 \\ 45.0 \\ 44.0 \\ 42.2 \\ 39.1 \\ 48.7 \\ 39.4 \\ 66.5 \\ 100 \\ 10$	Cts. 64.3 65.7 68.5 68.7 68.0 68.3 83.5 80.3	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 44.0 \\ 46.6 \\ 43.6 \\ 44.0 \\ 41.8 \\ 43.8 \\ 41.5 \\ 68.9 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts.\\ 105.1\\ 107.6\\ 111.6\\ 110.5\\ 110.1\\ 109.5\\ 100.6\\ 106.4\\ \end{array}$	Cts. 83.2 92.5 93.8 89.8 88.6 90.9 81.4 81.6	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 64.5 \\ 64.2 \\ 65.9 \\ 58.2 \\ 57.1 \\ 56.4 \\ 67.7 \\ 75.3 \\ \end{array}$	Cts. 40.1 40.0 41.5 39.9 35.0 35.2 40.8 64.2
September. October November. December	74.964.752.848.9	75.3 73.9 69.6 68.7	70.8 59.8 49.6 47.2	79.574.972.172.6	$\begin{array}{c} 92.3 \\ 89.1 \\ 82.7 \\ 80.7 \end{array}$	83. 5 80. 4 81. 7 83. 8	70.3 60.6 38.6 36.5	69.1 74.8 67.3 61.1	67.9 57.2 44.7 46.4	$\begin{array}{c} 67.9\\71.2\\66.6\\63.5\end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 111.1\\ 107.2\\ 99.6\\ 94.8 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 90.2 \\ 102.5 \\ 101.4 \\ 102.4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 80.\ 5\\ 66.\ 6\\ 65.\ 3\\ 60.\ 1\end{array}$	65, 4 63, 1 59, 9 63, 2

 TABLE 69.—Potatoes: Farm price per bushel on first of each month, by geographical divisions, 1913 and 1914.

TABLE 70.—Potatoes: Wholesale price, 1900-1914.

-														
	New	York.	Chi	cago.	Minr li	ieapo-	St. I	ouis.	Cinci	nnati.	Der	iver.	San cis	Fran-
Date.	State and western, per 180 pounds.		Fair to fancy, per bushel. ¹		Per bushel.		Burbank, per bushel.		Per b	ushel.2	Per pou	100 nds.	Burbank, Rivers, per 100 pounds.	
	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.
1900 1901 1902 1903	Cts. 100 112 150 125	Cts. 187 300 312 237	Cts. 25 30 30 38	Cts. 50 125 100 85	Cts. 15 30 20 35	Cts. 45 110 115 100	Cts. 27 18 41 40	Cts. 54 140 105 125	Cts. 32 30 90 120	Cts. 57 120 300 300	Cts. 70 90 75 90	Cts. 130 325 195 250	Cts. 25 30 25 30	Cts. 100 120 165 175
1904 1905 1906 1907 1908	$125 \\ 75 \\ 125 \\ 100 \\ 187$	387 262 325 275 287	$31 \\ 18 \\ 40 \\ 30 \\ 50$	$122 \\ 72 \\ 87 \\ 75 \\ 150$	$30 \\ 25 \\ 40 \\ 40 \\ 50$	$150 \\ 110 \\ 200 \\ 120 \\ 225$	36 27 35 43 62	$125 \\ 175 \\ 125 \\ 125 \\ 125 \\ 105$	$ \begin{array}{r} 120 \\ 25 \\ 45 \\ 25 \\ 60 \end{array} $	$480 \\ 80 \\ 105 \\ 85 \\ 135$	$55 \\ 50 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100$	$200 \\ 150 \\ 200 \\ 250 \\ 300$	40 35 25 50 30	185 125 145 350 125
1909 1910 1911 1912 1913	$150 \\ 87 \\ 112 \\ 50 \\ 170$	$337 \\ 200 \\ 312 \\ 450 \\ 287$	$15 \\ 10 \\ 30 \\ 32 \\ 15$	$150 \\ 98 \\ 225 \\ 200 \\ 82$	$45 \\ 25 \\ 45 \\ 25 \\ 33$	$140 \\ 325 \\ 180 \\ 140 \\ 100$	35 23 42 35 30	$140 \\ 100 \\ 200 \\ 152 \\ 93$	30 30 40 50 30	$120 \\ 65 \\ 195 \\ 150 \\ 100$	$90 \\ 50 \\ 115 \\ 75 \\ 50$	$400 \\ 400 \\ 500 \\ 450 \\ 400$	50 30 85 40 20	225 150 275 225 165
1914. January February March April May June	$225 \\ 212 \\ 200 \\ 225 \\ 212 \\ 200 \\ 200 \\$	250 300 287 275 287 287 287	$56 \\ 58 \\ 58 \\ 60 \\ 60 \\ 67$	100 90 85 90 90 175	60 58 58 55 55 83	85 85 80 80 110 135	65 68 65 65 68 75	78 75 73 82 90 160	65 70 67 67 75 85	80 75 75 82 90 115	$140 \\ 115 \\ 100 $	$160 \\ 160 \\ 160 \\ 135 \\ 175 \\ 250$	$110 \\ 100 \\ 80 \\ 85 \\ 100 \\ 90$	165 145 110 110 120 140
July August September. October November. December.	$165 \\ 125 \\ 150 \\ 125 \\ 125$	212 190 185 165	45 40 35 28 30	$165 \\ 100 \\ 90 \\ 55 \\ 52 \\ 66$	$100 \\ 55 \\ 53 \\ 30 \\ 28 \\ 28 \\ 28$	$150 \\ 75 \\ 80 \\ 65 \\ 55 \\ 55 \\ 55 \\ 55 \\ 55 \\ 55 \\ 5$	³ 40 ³ 52 53 40 33 38	³ 150 ³ 75 92 56 55 50	$160 \\ 80 \\ 70 \\ 50 \\ 45 \\ 45 \\ 45$	170 85 85 75 55 55	$160 \\ 140 \\ 100 \\ 90 \\ 90 \\ 90 \\ 90 \\ 90 \\ 90 \\ $	$275 \\ 225 \\ 175 \\ 135 \\ 115 $	100 75 80 70 60 85	130 105 105 100 85 110
Year.	125	300	28	175	28	150	33	160	45	170	90	275	60	165

Burbank to 1910.
 Per barrel 1900, 1902–1904, and from August to November, 1914.
 Early Ohio, home grown.

Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

POTATOES—Continued.

TABLE 71.—Potatoes: International trade, calendar years 1911-1913.

[See "General note," p. 518.]

EXPORTS.

[000 omitted.]

Country.	1911	1912	1913 (prelim.)	Country.	1911	1912	1913 (prelim.)
Argentina Austria-Hungary Belgium Canada China Denmark France. Germany Italy Japan	Bushels. 41 2,145 7,550 675 212 1,478 10,994 20,411 3,156 510	$\begin{array}{c} Bushels.\\ 793\\ 1,029\\ 9,460\\ 935\\ 307\\ 795\\ 8,401\\ 4,608\\ 3,592\\ 408 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Bushels. \\794\\1, 179\\9, 067\\2, 012\\346\\510\\6, 653\\12, 216\\5, 177\\403\end{array}$	Netherlands Portugal. Russia Spain United Kingdon United States Other countries Total	$\begin{array}{c} Bushels.\\ 16,814\\ 516\\ 11,108\\ 1,286\\ 4,362\\ 1,995\\ 1,642\\ \hline \\ 84,895\\ \end{array}$	Bushels. 17,260 429 9,171 1,718 13,466 1,631 2,379 76,382	Bushels. 15,279 1 429 2,977 2,570 911 1,817 1,639 63,979

IMPORTS.

Argentina. Austria-Hungary Belgium Brazil. Canada. Cuba Egypt. Finland. France. Germany Netherlands	$\begin{array}{c} 3,418\\ 4,251\\ 6,351\\ 656\\ 388\\ 1,893\\ 600\\ 592\\ 6,790\\ 43,287\\ 2,589\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 280\\ 3,452\\ 3,728\\ 1,065\\ 786\\ 1,885\\ 647\\ 459\\ 6,149\\ 30,214\\ 1,226\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 314\\ 4,506\\ 4,683\\ 1,095\\ 400\\ 2,225\\ 549\\ 385\\ 8,579\\ 14,038\\ 2,041\end{array}$	Norway Philippine Islands. Russia. Sweden. Switzerland. United Kingdom United States Other countries Total	419 296 265 706 2,955 5,998 1,542 1,769 84,765	51 377 268 660 3,119 10,703 12,409 2,656 80,134	$\begin{array}{r} 176 \\ 330 \\ 132 \\ 660 \\ 3,443 \\ 17,444 \\ 3,171 \\ 2,203 \\ \hline 66,374 \end{array}$
	,		· ·				

SWEET POTATOES.

 TABLE 72.—Sweet potatoes: Acreage, production, and value, in the United States, 1849-1914.

			and the second se		
Year.	Acreage.	Average yield per acre.	Production.	A verage farm price per bushel Dec. 1.	Farm value Dec. 1.
18491	Acres.	Bushels.	Bushels. 38, 268, 000	Cents.	Dollars.
18591			42,095,000		
18691			21,710 000		
18791			33,379,000		
18891			43,950,000		
18991	537,000	79.1	42, 517, 000		
1909 1	641 000	92.4	59 232 000	69.4	41 052 000
1910	641,000	93.5	59, 938, 000	67.1	40, 216, 000
1911	605,000	90.1	54, 538, 000	75.5	41,202,000
1912	583,000	95.2	55, 479, 000	72.6	40,264,000
1913	625,000.	94.5	59,057,000	72.6	42,884,000
1914	603,000	93.8	56, 574, 000	73.0	41, 294, 000
		1			

¹ Census figures.

Statistics of Sweet Potatoes.

SWEET POTATOES-Continued.

TABLE 73.—Sweet potatoes: Acreage, production, and total farm value, by States, 1914.

State.	Acre- age.	Produc- tion.	Farm value. Dec. 1.	State.	Acre- age.	Produc- tion.	Farm value Dec. 1.
New Jersey. Pennsylvania. Delaware. Maryland. Virginia. West Virginia. North Carolina. South Carolina. Georgia. Florida. Ohio. Indiana. Illinois.	Acres. 22 1 5 8 31 2 76 48 79 19 1 1 8	$\begin{array}{c} Bushels.\\ 2,200\\ 105\\ 600\\ 1,000\\ 2,852\\ 184\\ 6,840\\ 4,080\\ 6,715\\ 2,280\\ 110\\ 100\\ 672\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Dollars.\\ 2,090\\ 90\\ 420\\ 700\\ 2,168\\ 180\\ 4,446\\ 2,856\\ 4,633\\ 1,824\\ 106\\ 90\\ 638\end{array}$	Missouri. Kantucky. Tennessee. Alabama. Mississippi. Louisiana. Texas. Oklahoma. Arkansas. California. United States.	A cres. 6 5 10 25 6 6 3 50 59 52 6 18 6 18 6 03	Bushels. 504 550 1,050 2,500 5,859 4,500 5,133 5,133 5,133 612 1,710 966 56,574	Dollars. 484 583 808 1,725 3,808 2,835 3,285 4,569 545 1,317 840 41,294
10wa	2	200	254				

[000 omitted.]

TABLE 74.—Sweet potatoes: Condition of crop, United States, on first of months named, 1894–1914.

Year.	July.	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Year.	July.	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Year.	July.	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.
1894 1895 1896 1897 1898 1899 1900	88. 4 91. 4 89. 3 86. 5 85. 1 93. 7	89. 7 91. 0 87. 1 86. 4 92. 0 84. 1 92. 2	91. 4 89. 3 71. 7 85. 4 90. 6 80. 7 83. 6	91. 6 81. 2 71. 1 	1901 1902 1903 1904 1905 1906 1907	93. 1 83. 6 90. 2 87. 3 90. 6 90. 9 85. 9	80. 7 78. 3 88. 7 88. 5 90. 1 91. 2 85. 7	78. 7 77. 2 91. 1 89. 9 89. 5 88. 7 85. 7	79. 0 79. 7 83. 7 86. 1 88. 6 86. 0 82. 7	1908 1909 1910 1911 1912 1913 1914	89. 8 89. 7 87. 3 78. 4 86. 9 86. 5 77. 1	88. 8 86. 9 85. 7 77. 7 85. 0 85. 8 75. 5	88.7 81.3 83.9 79.1 84.1 81.4 81.8	85.5 77.8 80.2 78.1 82.0 80.1 80.7

SWEET POTATOES—Continued.

			Y	ield	per a	icre ((busl	iels)				Fa	rm p	rice (cen	per l ts).	oush	el	Valu a (doll	te per cre ars). ¹
State.	10- year aver- age, 1905- 1914.	1905	1906	1907	1908	1909	1910	1911	1912	1913	1914	10- year aver- age, 1905- 1914.	1910	1911	1912	1913	1914	5- year aver- age, 1910- 1914.	1914
N. J Pa Del Md Va	122 106 122 118 96	$112 \\ 100 \\ 123 \\ 125 \\ 103$	$123 \\ 105 \\ 128 \\ 115 \\ 92$	105 100 93 100 86	133 102 125 110 95	$123 \\ 88 \\ 125 \\ 115 \\ 100$	140 105 115 110 100	130 121 140 115 90	$120 \\ 120 \\ 120 \\ 120 \\ 125 \\ 90$	138 110 135 141 108	100 105 120 125 92	82 84 63 65 68	61 75 55 58 63	100 105 70 75 74	84 75 68 63 75	78 90 60 60 70	95 86 70 70 76	103.77 97.02 81.57 80.18 68.52	95.00 90.30 84.00 87.50 69.92
W. Va N. C S. C Ga Fla	95 94 88 86 109	89 95 82 80 100	92 87 78 83 110	86 90 83 95 105	72 93 88 86 115	100 99 95 93 105	$ \begin{array}{c} 101 \\ 105 \\ 91 \\ 83 \\ 108 \end{array} $	110 86 84 81 108	$115 \\ 90 \\ 105 \\ 90 \\ 112$	91 100 92 87 110	92 90 85 85 120	89 57 64 65 72	88 55 64 65 75	100 63 72 73 83	90 62 68 66 73	100 61 75 68 75	98 65 70 69 80	96. 71 57. 45 63. 72 58. 06 86. 18	$\begin{array}{c} 90.16 \\ 58.50 \\ 59.50 \\ 58.65 \\ 96.00 \end{array}$
Ohio Ind Ill. Iowa Mo	102 97 95 92 88	$ \begin{array}{c} 110 \\ 97 \\ 115 \\ 73 \\ 102 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 108 \\ 100 \\ 101 \\ 92 \\ 98 \end{array} $	85 90 90 75 82	83 71 80 93 91	110 101 110 110 90	$98 \\ 104 \\ 110 \\ 98 \\ 102$	113 114 89 105 91	118 116 98 90 88	90 78 70 80 56	110 100 84 100 84	92 89 95 114 90	86 83 89 105 83	100 96 110 110 105	87 89 95 108 95	$106 \\ 103 \\ 106 \\ 150 \\ 105$	96 90 95 127 96	$100. 19 \\93. 87 \\88. 58 \\112. 52 \\80. 65$	105. 60 90. 00 79. 80 127. 00 80. 64
Nebr Kans Ky Tenn Ala	91 95 89 88 89	$105 \\ 112 \\ 89 \\ 101 \\ 93$	$120 \\ 110 \\ 90 \\ 80 \\ 82$	93 95 85 82 80	85 105 84 89 85	92 96 88 87 80	90 101 85 85 85	80 75 96 85 97	78 99 90 90 100	64 50 75 80 95	105 110 105 100 93	$127 \\ 103 \\ 78 \\ 68 \\ 65$	$150 \\ 103 \\ 75 \\ 69 \\ 65$	147 130 88 75 68	110 103 85 72 71	140 110 94 80 67	130 106 77 69 65	$112.90 \\95.02 \\75.22 \\64.04 \\63.26$	$136.50 \\ 116.60 \\ 80.85 \\ 69.00 \\ 60.45$
Miss La Tex Okla	92 86 78 89	108 70 87 124	85 90 96 118	92 86 75 85	92 86 88 88	82 90 50 70	94 93 56 70	85 90 71 75	97 84 75 92	98 85 80 64	90 87 101 102	62 62 89 100	60 65 108 110	62 60 104 125	62 65 104 109	62 70 95 104	63 64 87 89	57.34 56.85 75.24 85.67	56. 70 55. 68 87. 87 90. 78
Ark N. Mex Ariz Cal	85 135 147 142	60 90 125 125	90 100 100 115	75 200 150 130	$100 \\ 125 \\ 140 \\ 105 \\$	58 180 163 160	98 100 120 160	92 150 200 140	88 141 140 156	90 125 135 170	$95 \\ 143 \\ 200 \\ 161 \\$	78 125 147 92	73 118 140 95	82 144 160 110	90 105 150 94	80 130 170 100	77 113 150 87	74.27 161.23 245.50 152.54	73.15 161.59 300.00 140.07
U. S.	92.0	92.6	90.2	88.2	92.4	90.1	93.5	90.1	95.2	94.5	93.8	69.0	67.1	75.5	72.6	72.6	73.0	67.40	68 . 4 8

 TABLE 75.—Sweet potatoes: Yield per acre, price per bushel, Dec. 1, and value per acre, by States.

¹Based upon farm price Dec. 1.

566

Statistics of Sweet Potatoes.

SWEET POTATOES—Continued.

TABLE 76.—Sweet potatoes: Wholesale price per barrel, 1900-1914.

						_		New	York.	
Date.	Balti	more.	St. I	ouis.	New C)rleans.	Jer	sey.	Sout	hern.
	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.
1900 1901 1902 1903	\$0.75 .50 .75 .75	\$4.50 6.00 5.00 4.00	\$1.00 .88 .63 .75	\$6.25 8.75 7.50 6.25	\$1.00 .75 1.25 .75	\$2.00 1.75 2.75 2.50		$ $5.00 \\ 4.00 \\ 5.25 \\ 4.00 $	\$0.50 .50 .75 .50	\$3.00 3.25 5.00 5.00
1904 1905 1906 1907 1908	.75 .75 .60 1.00 1.00	$5.00 \\ 4.50 \\ 4.25 \\ 5.00 \\ 5.00 $.88 .50 .60 .75 .88	$5.50 \\ 5.00 \\ 5.00 \\ 7.50 \\ 7.50 \\ 7.50 \\ 7.50 \\ 1.50 \\ $.75 .50 1.25 1.00 1.00	$1.75 \\ 2.00 \\ 2.50 \\ 2.75 \\ 2.75 \\ 2.75 \end{cases}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 1.25\\ 1.25\\ 1.00\\ 1.50\end{array}$	5.00 5.50 3.50 4.00 4.50	.50 .35 .50 1.50 1.00	4.50 4.50 4.50 6.00 5.00
1909. 1910. 1911. 1911. 1912. 1913.	$.85 \\ 1.00 \\ 1.25 \\ 1.00 \\ .75$	5.50 4.00 6.25 6.00 7.00	.38 .50 1.25 .75 .88	$\begin{array}{c} 6.25 \\ 4.38 \\ 6.25 \\ 5.00 \\ 6.25 \end{array}$.75 1.00 1.00 1.75 2.00	$\begin{array}{c} 2.75 \\ 2.40 \\ 3.00 \\ 2.00 \\ 2.00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.25\\ 1.00\\ 1.50\\ 1.50\\ 1.25\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 4.00 \\ 3.00 \\ 3.75 \\ 3.50 \\ 3.50 \end{array}$.75 .30 1.00 .50 .40	4.50 5.00 7.00 6.00 5.50
1914. January February March April. May June	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 1.00\\ 1.00\\ 1.00\\ 1.50 \end{array} $	2.50 2.00 2.25 2.25 2.25 2.25	$2.00 \\ 2.25 \\ 1.50 \\ 2.50 \\ 2.00$	2.50 2.50 2.00 2.50 2.50 2.50	$\begin{array}{c} 1.20\\ 1.20\\ 1.00\\ 1.20\\ 1.60\end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 1.30\\ 1.60\\ 3.20\\ 3.00\\ 2.80 \end{array} $	$1.50 \\ 1.50 \\ 1.50 \\ 1.50 \\ 1.50 \\ 1.50 \\ 1.50 \\ \dots$	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00	.75 1.00 1.00 1.00	1.25 1.25 1.50 1.50
July August September October November December	3.00 1.00 1.40 1.40 2.00	5.50 4.00 2.75 2.15 2.60 3.75	2.752.001.752.40 3.25	$\begin{array}{r} 4.50\\ 3.50\\ 2.75\\ 3.50\\ 3.65\end{array}$	$1.60 \\ 1.60 \\ 1.00 \\ 1.00 \\ .80 \\ .80$	2.70 2.70 3.50 1.00 1.00 1.20	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.50	3.25 3.00 3.50 3.50	$\begin{array}{c} 2.50 \\ 1.25 \\ 1:25 \\ .75 \\ 1.50 \\ 1.00 \end{array}$	5.00 4.50 3.25 2.50 3.00 3.00
Year	1.00	5.50	1.50	4.50	• 80.	3.50	1.50	3.50	.75	5.00

HAY.

TABLE 77.—Hay: Acreage, production, value, exports, etc., in the United States, 1849-1914.

Note.—Figures in *italics* are census returns; figures in roman are estimates of the Department of Agriculture. Estimates of acres are obtained by applying estimated percentages of increase or decrease to the published numbers of the preceding year, except that a revised base is used for applying percentage estimates whenever new census data are available.

										· · · · · · · ·
-		Aver-		Aver- age		Chicag per t	o prices on, by	No. 1 ti carload	mothy lots.	Domestic
Year.	Acreage.	age yield per acre.	Production.	farm price per ton	Farm value Dec. 1.	Decei	nber.	Follo Ma	wing y.	fiscal year be- ginning
	-			Dec. 1.		Low.	High.	Low.	High.	
1849	Acres.	Tons.1	Tons.1 13,839,000	Dolls.	Dollars.	Dolls.	Dolls.	Dolls.	Dolls.	Tons. ²
1859			19,084,000	• • • • • • • •		 .				
1866	17,669,000	1.23	21,779,000	10.14	220, 830, 000	• • • • • • • •	• • • • • • •		• • • • • • •	5,028
1867	20,021,000	1.31	26,277,000	10.21	263, 501, 000					5,045
1868	21,542,000	1.21	26,142,000	10.08	268, 933, 000				•••••	6 723
1869	18,591,000	1.42	27, 316,000	10.18						0,720
1009			21,010,000							
1870	19,862,000	1.23	24,525,000	12.47	305,743,000			• • • • • • • •	· · · · · ·	4,581
1871	19,009,000	1.17	22,239,000	14.30	317,940,000				••••	5,260
1872	20,319,000	1.17	23,813,000	12.94	314 241 000		•••••		•••••	4,007
1873	21,894,000	1.15	25,085,000	12.03	300, 222, 000					7 183
18/4	21,770,000	1.15	20, 134, 000	11. 94	000, 222, 000					1,100
1875	23, 508, 000	1.19	27,874,000	10.78	300, 378, 000					7,528
1876	25,283,000	1.22	30, 867, 000	8.97	- 276, 991, 000			9.00	10.00	7,287
1877	25, 368, 000	1.25	31,629,000	8.37	264,880,000	9.50	10.50	9.75	10.75	9,514
1878	26,931,000	1.47	39,608,000	7.20	285,016,000	8.00	8.50	9.00	11.50	8,12/
1879	27,485,000	1.29	35,493,000	9.32	330, 804, 000	14.00	14.50	14.00	15.00	13,739
1879	30,631,000	1.15	35,151,000	}						
1880	25, 864, 000	1.23	31,925,000	11.65	371,811,000	15.00	15.50	17.00	19.00	12,662
1881	30, 889, 000	1.14	35, 135, 000	11.82	415, 131, 000	16.00	16.50	15.00	16.50	10,570
1882	32, 340, 000	1.18	38,138,000	9.73	371,170,000	11.50	12.25	12.00	13.00	13,309
1883	35,516,000	1.32	46,864,000	8.19	383,834,000	9.00	10.00	12.50	17.00	16,908
1884	38, 572, 000	1.26	48, 470, 000	8.17	390, 139, 000	10.00	11.50	15.50	17.50	11,142
1885	39 850 000	1.12	44,732,000	8.71	389,753,000	11.00	12.00	10.00	12.00	13,390
1886	36, 502, 000	1.15	41,796,000	8.46	353, 438, 000	9.50	10.50	11.00	12.50	13, 873
1887	37,665,000	1.10	41,454,000	9.97	413,440,000	13.50	14.50	17.00	21.00	18,198
1888	38, 592, 000	1.21	46,643,000	8.76	408, 500, 000	11.00	11.50	10.50	21.00	21,928
1889	52,949,000	1.26	66,831,000	7.04	470,394,000	9.00	10.00	9.00	14.00	30,2/4
1889	52,949,000	1.26	66,831,000	7 07	473 570 000	0.00	10 50	12 50	15 50	28 066
1890	50,713,000	1.19	60,198,000	8 12	494, 114, 000	12.50	15.00	13.50	14.00	35, 201
1891	50 853 000	1 18	59 824 000	8.20	490, 428, 000	11.00	11.50	12.00	13.50	33,084
1893	49,613,000	1.33	65,766,000	8.68	570,883,000	10.00	10.50	10.00	10.50	54,446
1894	48, 321, 000	1.14	54, 874, 000	8.54	468,578,000	10.00	11.00	10.00	10.25	47,117
1895	44,206,000	1.06	47,079,000	8.35	393,186,000	12.00	12.50	11.50	12.00	59,052
1896	43,260,000	1.37	59,282,000	6.55	388,146,000	8.00	8.50	8.50	9.00	01,008
1897	42,427,000	1.43	60,665,000	6.62	401,391,000	8.00	8.00	9.50	10.50	64 916
1898	42,781,000	1.00	56 656 000	7 97	411 926 000	10 50	11.50	10.50	12.50	72,716
1899	52, 351 000	1.09	57.002.000							
	1 32,001,000	ł		1			1	10.00	1 10 10	00.000
1900	39,133,000	1.28	50,111,000	8.89	445,539,000	11.50	14.00	12.50	13.50	89,364
1901	39,391,000	1.28	50,591,000	10.01	506,192,000	13.00	13.00	12.00	15.00	50 074
1902	39,825,000	1.50	59,858,000	9.06	556 276 000	10.00	12.00	12 00	15.00	60,730
1903	39,934,000	1.04	60,696,000	9.07	529, 108, 000	10.50	11.50	11.00	12.00	66,557
1001	00,000,000	1.02	00,000,000	0.12						1
1905	39,362,000	1.54	60, 532, 000	8.52	515,960,000	10.00	12.00	11.50	12.50	
1906	42,476,000	1.35	57,146,000	10.37	592,540,000	15.50	18.00	12.00	20.00	77 991
1907	44,028,000	1.45	03,677,000	11.68	625 492 000	11 50	12.00	12.00	13.00	64,641
1908	40,480,000	1.52	64 038 000	0.98	000, ±20,000	11.00	12.00		10.00	1
1909	51 011 000	1.85	68,833,000	10.50	722,401,000	16.00	17.00	12.50	16.00	55,007
	1	1	,,		,,,	1	1	1.0 00	00.50	FF 000
1910 °	51,015,000	1.36	69,378,000	12.14	842, 252, 000	16.00	19.00	18.50	23.50	50,223
1911	48,240,000	1.14	54,916,000	14.29	784,926,000	20.00	22.00	24.00	16 50	60 79
1912	49,530,000	1.47	64 116 000	11.79	707 077 000	14 50	18.00	15.00	17.50	50,149
1913	40,145,000	1.31	70 071 000	11 19	779,068,000	15.00	16.00			
1914	49,140,000	1.40	1 10,011,000	1] ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	1	1 - 31 00	1	1	1

1 2,000 pounds.

³ Figures adjusted to census basis.

HAY-Continued.

TABLE 78.—Hay: Acreage, production, and total farm value, by States, 1914.

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$							1	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	State.	Acre- age.	Pro- duc- tion.	Farm value, Dec. 1.	State.	Acre- age.	Pro- duc- tion.	Farm value, Dec. 1.
	Maine. New Hampshire. Vermont. Massachusetts. Rhode Island. Connecticut. New York. New Jersey. Pennsylvania. Delaware. Maryland. Virginia. West Virginia. North Carolina. South Carolina. South Carolina. Georgia. Florida. Ohio. Indiana. Illinois. Michigan. Wisconsin. Minnesota. Iowa. Missourl.	$\begin{array}{c} A\ cres.\\ 1,230\\ 990\\ 480\\ 585\\ 585\\ 375\\ 361\\ 3,141\\ 722\\ 390\\ 650\\ 660\\ 660\\ 660\\ 320\\ 210\\ 250\\ 482, 812\\ 1,764\\ 2,250\\ 2,352\\ 2,550\\ 1,743\\ 2,950\\ 2,600\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Tons.\\ 1,414\\ 598\\ 1,188\\ 634\\ 469\\ 5,584\\ 487\\ 4,020\\ 779\\ 448\\ 468\\ 448\\ 468\\ 640\\ 368\\ 242\\ 2338\\ 368\\ 242\\ 2338\\ 368\\ 3,178\\ 1,764\\ 1,912\\ 3,011\\ 4,462\\ 3,294\\ 4,071\\ 1,820\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Dollars.\\ 18,523\\ 10,166\\ 17,345\\ 13,6631\\ 1,7345\\ 81,526\\ 9,496\\ 58,290\\ 1,374\\ 81,526\\ 8,050\\ 8,050\\ 8,050\\ 11,008\\ 6,293\\ 4,114\\ 5,476\\ 1,118\\ 42,585\\ 24,872\\ 27,533\\ 36,132\\ 41,497\\ 20,093\\ 41,117\\ 24,752\\ \end{array}$	North Dakota South Dakota Nebraska Kantucky Tennessee Alabama Mississippi Louisiana Texas Oklahoma Arkansas Montana Wyoming Colorado New Mexico Arizona Utah Nevada Idaho Washington Oregon California United States	A cres. 400 500 1,500 1,650 2210 2210 2210 2210 2210 2210 2210 2210 200 450 320 450 320 970 206 142 406 858 2,700 49,145	$\begin{array}{c} Tons.\\ 580\\ 850\\ 2,535\\ 2,492\\ 712\\ 960\\ 288\\ 304\\ 380\\ 788\\ 508\\ 336\\ 1,750\\ 1,150\\ 336\\ 1,750\\ 1,750\\ 1,750\\ 1,750\\ 1,750\\ 1,750\\ 1,750\\ 1,750\\ 1,750\\ 1,751\\ 1,716\\ 5,265\\ 70,071\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Dollars, \\ 3,016\\ 4,845\\ 17,492\\ 18,441\\ 11,392\\ 16,320\\ 3,944\\ 4,560\\ 7,722\\ 4,013\\ 4,334\\ 15,225\\ 8,652\\ 8,655\\ 17,227\\ 4,790\\ 8,995\\ 8,593\\ 6,665\\ 13,636\\ 19,261\\ 15,787\\ 43,173\\ \hline 779,068 \end{array}$

[000 omitted.]

HAY-Continued.

TABLE 79.—Hay: Yield per acre, price per ton Dec. 1, and value per acre, by States.

				Yield	l per	acre) (toi	1s).				Far	m pri	ce per	ton (dolla	rs).	Val per a (dolla	ue kcre krs).1
State.	10- year aver- age, 1905- 1914.	1905	1906	1907	1908	1909	1910	1911	1912	1913	1914	10- year aver- age, 1905- 1914.	1910	1911	1912	1913	1914	5- year aver- age, 1910- 1914.	1914
Me N.H Vt Mass R.I	$1.13 \\ 1.12 \\ 1.31 \\ 1.24 \\ 1.18$	$1.08 \\ 1.16 \\ 1.35 \\ 1.33 \\ 1.09 $	$1.20 \\ 1.15 \\ 1.20 \\ 1.31 \\ 1.06$	$1.50 \\ 1.35 \\ 1.60 \\ 1.30 \\ 1.35$	0.90 .92 1.11 1.20 1.50	$0.95 \\ .97 \\ 1.25 \\ 1.15 \\ 1.10 \\ 1.10 \\ 1.0 \\$	$1.25 \\ 1.20 \\ 1.35 \\ 1.28 \\ 1.18 \\ $	$\begin{array}{c}$	$1.16 \\ 1.25 \\ 1.50 \\ 1.25 \\ 1.13 \\ $	$1.00 \\ 1.00 \\ 1.28 \\ 1.21 \\ 1.17$	1.151.151.201.321.17	$12.92 \\ 15.74 \\ 12.99 \\ 19.33 \\ 19.58$	12.80 15.80 12.40 19.10 19.60	$14.40 \\ 17.20 \\ 14.00 \\ 23.00 \\ 24.10$	$13.70 \\ 15.00 \\ 14.00 \\ 21.50 \\ 22.20 \\$	$13.90 \\ 17.20 \\ 14.50 \\ 21.10 \\ 21.20 $	$13.10 \\ 17.00 \\ 14.60 \\ 21.50 \\ 20.20$	$15.34 \\ 18.50 \\ 18.40 \\ 26.02 \\ 24.15$	15.07 19.55 17.52 28.38 23.63
Conn N. Y N. J Pa Del	$1.19 \\ 1.20 \\ 1.34 \\ 1.34 \\ 1.32$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.12\\ 1.30\\ 1.13\\ 1.50\\ 1.55\end{array}$	$1.17 \\ 1.28 \\ 1.32 \\ 1.30 \\ 1.25 \\$	${ \begin{array}{c} 1.30 \\ 1.25 \\ 1.45 \\ 1.45 \\ 1.45 \\ 1.40 \end{array} } } \\ { \begin{array}{c} \end{array} }$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.20\\ 1.20\\ 1.60\\ 1.50\\ 1.60\end{array}$	$1.15 \\ 1.05 \\ 1.25 \\ 1.20 \\ 1.40$	${ \begin{array}{c} 1.35 \\ 1.32 \\ 1.50 \\ 1.38 \\ 1.43 \end{array} } } $	$1.10 \\ 1.02 \\ 1.05 \\ 1.00 \\ .88$	$1.15 \\ 1.25 \\ 1.44 \\ 1.43 \\ 1.33$	$1.14 \\ 1.14 \\ 1.30 \\ 1.32 \\ 1.30 \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} 1.25\\ 1.20\\ 1.35\\ 1.28\\ 1.10 \end{array}$	$18.62 \\ 14.08 \\ 17.70 \\ 14.77 \\ 15.87$	$19.00 \\ 13.70 \\ 18.20 \\ 15.00 \\ 14.80 \\$	$\begin{array}{c} 23.\ 50\\ 17.\ 90\\ 22.\ 00\\ 20.\ 00\\ 22.\ 50 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 22.50 \\ 14.90 \\ 20.00 \\ 15.60 \\ 15.00 \end{array}$	20.10 15.30 19.00 14.90 15.70	$19.50 \\ 14.60 \\ 19.50 \\ 14.50 \\ 17.00 $	$\begin{array}{c} 24.93 \\ 17.98 \\ 26.04 \\ 20.25 \\ 20.00 \end{array}$	24, 38 17, 52 26, 32 18, 56 18, 70
Md Va W.Va. N. C S. C	$\begin{array}{c c} 1.28 \\ 1.16 \\ 1.24 \\ 1.38 \\ 1.26 \end{array}$	$1.30 \\ 1.30 \\ 1.48 \\ 1.60 \\ 1.42$	$1.26 \\ 1.25 \\ 1.40 \\ 1.54 \\ 1.46 \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} 1.\ 40\\ 1.\ 40\\ 1.\ 45\\ 1.\ 50\\ 1.\ 50 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.\ 60\\ 1.\ 30\\ 1.\ 45\\ 1.\ 50\\ 1.\ 25 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.20\\ 1.30\\ 1.25\\ 1.38\\ 1.23 \end{array}$	$1.35 \\ 1.19 \\ 1.20 \\ 1.50 \\ 1.25 \\$.72 .64 .66 1.05 1.08	$1.51 \\ 1.20 \\ 1.38 \\ 1.30 \\ 1.15 \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} 1,26\\ 1,27\\ 1,25\\ 1,31\\ 1,16 \end{array}$	$1.15 \\ .72 \\ .92 \\ 1.15 \\ 1.$	$15.05 \\ 15.23 \\ 14.76 \\ 15.41 \\ 16.21$	$15.40 \\ 14.50 \\ 15.00 \\ 14.60 \\ 16.00 \\ 16.00 \\ 16.00 \\ 16.00 \\ 10.0$	$\begin{array}{c} 22.\ 40\\ 20.\ 50\\ 20.\ 00\\ 17.\ 00\\ 17.\ 00 \end{array}$	$14.40\\15.20\\15.00\\16.70\\18.00$	15.20 15.50 14.90 16.50 18.70	$\begin{array}{c} 15.30\\ 17.20\\ 17.20\\ 17.10\\ 17.00 \end{array}$	$19.08 \\ 16.14 \\ 17.27 \\ 20.55 \\ 20.06$	17.60 12.38 15.82 19.66 19.55
Ga Fla Ohio Ind Ill	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.50 \\ 1.48 \\ 1.49 \\ 1.48 \\ 1.35 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.65 \\ 1.50 \\ 1.22 \\ 1.10 \\ 1.98 \\ .98 \end{array}$	$1.75 \\ 1.35 \\ 1.45 \\ 1.35 \\ 1.35 \\ 1.40 \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} 1.75 \\ 1.35 \\ 1.53 \\ 1.50 \\ 1.50 \\ 1.53 \end{array}$	$1.35 \\ 1.38 \\ 1.43 \\ 1.40 \\ 1.45 \\ $	$1.40 \\ 1.33 \\ 1.39 \\ 1.30 \\ 1.33$	$1.35 \\ 1.30 \\ .98 \\ .94 \\ .82$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.35 \\ 1.25 \\ 1.36 \\ 1.37 \\ 1.37 \\ 1.30 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.40 \\ 1.35 \\ 1.30 \\ 1.00 \\ .98 \end{array}$	$1.35 \\ 1.35 \\ 1.13 \\ 1.00 \\ .85$	$\begin{array}{c} 16.42 \\ 16.90 \\ 12.20 \\ 11.96 \\ 12.00 \end{array}$	$16.40 \\ 17.00 \\ 12.50 \\ 11.90 \\ 12.00$	$17.00 \\ 18.50 \\ 18.90 \\ 16.80 \\ 17.00 $	$17.00 \\ 18.10 \\ 13.00 \\ 11.40 \\ 12.60$	17.90 18.20 12.80 14.10 14.10	$16.20 \\ 17.20 \\ 13.40 \\ 14.10 \\ 14.40$	$\begin{array}{c} 23.16\\ 23.41\\ 17.07\\ 15.02\\ 14.47\end{array}$	21.87 23.22 15.14 14.10 12.24
Mich Wis Minn Iowa Mo	$\begin{array}{c c} 1.29 \\ 1.49 \\ 1.55 \\ 1.39 \\ 1.06 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.46 \\ 1.80 \\ 1.75 \\ 1.70 \\ 1.10 \end{array}$	$5 1.28 \\ 1.35 \\ 5 1.70 \\ 1.35 \\ 1.70 \\ 1.35 \\ .78 \\ 1.78$	1.25 1.35 1.70 1.40 1.40	$\begin{array}{c} 1.\ 45\\ 1.\ 70\\ 1.\ 68\\ 1.\ 70\\ 1.\ 50 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.30 \\ 1.53 \\ 1.75 \\ 1.64 \\ 1.35 \end{array}$	$1.30 \\ 1.00 \\ 1.00 \\ 1.05 \\ 1.30 \\$	$1.16 \\ 1.20 \\ 1.00 \\ .80 \\ .60$	51.33 1.60 1.53 1.40 1.30	$1.05 \\ 1.62 \\ 1.50 \\ 1.48 \\ .60$	$1.28 \\ 1.75 \\ 1.89 \\ 1.38 \\ .70$	$ \begin{array}{c} 11.91\\ 10.86\\ 7.03\\ 8.42\\ 10.28 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 13.60 \\ 15.10 \\ 9.10 \\ 9.60 \\ 9.20 \\ \end{array} $	$17.00 \\ 15.60 \\ 11.90 \\ 12.50 \\ 13.30 \\ $	12.70 12.10 6.40 9.50 9.80	$13.10 \\ 11.10 \\ 6.60 \\ 9.60 \\ 14.50$	$12.00 \\ 9.30 \\ 6.10 \\ 10.10 \\ 13.60$	$16.68 \\ 17.49 \\ 10.44 \\ 12.31 \\ 10.18$	15.36 16.28 11.53 13.94 9.52
N. Dak S. Dak. Nebr Kans Ky	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.55 \\ 1.60 \\ 1.75 \\ 1.55 \\ 1.30 \end{array}$	51.45 1.50 51.40 51.28 1.35	51.30 1.40 1.50 1.15 1.35 1.35	$\begin{array}{c} 1.30 \\ 1.50 \\ 1.55 \\ 1.50 \\ 1.35 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.37 \\ 1.50 \\ 1.50 \\ 1.45 \\ 1.36 \end{array}$.55 .80 1.00 1.15 1.29	$1.10 \\ .55 \\ .85 \\ .85 \\ .95$	$ \begin{bmatrix} 1.40 \\ 51.46 \\ 51.35 \\ 51.50 \\ 51.23 \\ 51.23 \end{bmatrix} $	$1.14 \\ 1.20 \\ 1.34 \\ .90 \\ .87$	$1.45 \\ 1.70 \\ 1.69 \\ 1.51 \\ .95$	5.625.716.957.5513.69	$\begin{array}{c} 7.60 \\ 7.10 \\ 8.90 \\ 7.80 \\ 13.10 \end{array}$	7.00 8.50 9.70 9.90 17.30	$5.50 \\ 6.10 \\ 8.40 \\ 7.60 \\ 13.70$	$5.80 \\ 6.50 \\ 8.70 \\ 12.50 \\ 16.50 $	$5.20 \\ 5.70 \\ 6.90 \\ 7.40 \\ 16.00$	$\begin{array}{c} 6.75 \\ 7.35 \\ 10.36 \\ 10.24 \\ 15.95 \end{array}$	7.54 9.69 11.66 11.17 15.20
Tenn Ala Miss La Tex	$ \begin{array}{r} 1.37 \\ 1.55 \\ 1.54 \\ 1.72 \\ 1.41 \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 1.\ 60\\ 1.\ 90\\ 1.\ 75\\ 2.\ 30\\ 1.\ 90\end{array}$) 1. 51) 1. 95 5 1. 90) 1. 93) 1. 80	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.50 \\ 1.80 \\ 1.60 \\ 2.00 \\ 1.30 \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 1.50 \\ 1.60 \\ 1.50 \\ 1.40 \\ 1.65 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.50 \\ 1.50 \\ 1.47 \\ 1.50 \\ .95 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.40 \\ 1.43 \\ 1.42 \\ 1.75 \\ 1.75 \\ 1.15 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.00 \\ 1.40 \\ 1.50 \\ 1.30 \\ 1.00 \\ \end{array} $	(1.30) (1.25) (1.48) (1.65) (1.40)	$1.21 \\ 1.36 \\ 1.33 \\ 1.50 \\ 1.16 \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} 1.20 \\ 1.31 \\ 1.45 \\ 1.90 \\ 1.75 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c c} 14.37 \\ 13.57 \\ 11.93 \\ 12.04 \\ 10.34 \\ \end{array} $	$13.40 \\ 13.20 \\ 12.20 \\ 11.50 \\ 12.00 \\ 12.00 \\ 12.00 \\ 12.00 \\ 12.00 \\ 10.0$	$16.70 \\ 12.80 \\ 11.00 \\ 12.00 \\ 11.90 \\ $	$15.80 \\ 14.60 \\ 12.50 \\ 12.70 \\ 10.40 \\ $	$16.20 \\ 14.20 \\ 13.50 \\ 12.50 \\ 11.8$	$17.00 \\ 13.80 \\ 12.00 \\ 12.00 \\ 9.80$	$ 19.20 \\ 18.49 \\ 17.54 \\ 19.65 \\ 14.22 $	20. 40 18. 08 17. 40 22. 80 17. 15
Okla Ark Mont Wyo Colo	$\begin{array}{c} 1.14\\ 1.33\\ 1.85\\ 2.18\\ 2.35\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.\ 41 \\ 1.\ 75 \\ 1.\ 60 \\ 2.\ 50 \\ 2.\ 65 \end{array}$	$ \begin{bmatrix} 1.40 \\ 5 \\ 1.60 \\ 1.85 \\ 2.25 \\ 5 \\ 2.50 \\ \end{array} $	1.20 1.25 1.70 2.10 2.70 2.70	$1.45 \\ 1.50 \\ 2.00 \\ 2.00 \\ 2.50 \\ 1.50 \\ $.90 1.25 1.79 2.40 2.50	$ \begin{array}{r} 1.05 \\ 1.35 \\ 1.40 \\ 2.40 \\ 2.00 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} .80 \\ 1.15 \\ 2.00 \\ 2.10 \\ 2.00 \\ 2.00 \\ \end{array} $	(1.25) (1.23) (1.90) (1.90) (2.19) (2.19)	.85 1.20 1.80 1.90 2.05	$1.13 \\ 1.05 \\ 2.50 \\ 2.30 \\ 2.40$	7.16 11.42 9.36 8.34 9.22	$\begin{array}{c} 8.40 \\ 11.00 \\ 12.50 \\ 12.50 \\ 10.80 \end{array}$	8.00 13.00 10.00 10.30 9.30	7.40 12.00 8.30 8.60 8.70	$10.40 \\ 13.50 \\ 9.60 \\ 6.70 \\ 10.00 $	7.90 12.90 8.70 7.50 7.40	8.45 14.86 18.46 19.59 19.50	8.93 13.54 21.75 17.25 17.76
N.Mex Ariz Utah Nev	2.35 3.32 2.81 2.59	2.70 3.75 3.25 2.50	$ \begin{bmatrix} 2.50 \\ 53.50 \\ 54.00 \\ 1.50 \end{bmatrix} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 2.05 \\ 2.90 \\ 2.10 \\ 1.75 \\ 1.75 \\ \end{array} $	2.00 3.20 2.50 2.00	2.60 3.30 2.90 2.35	2.10 2.10 3.00 3.40	2.60 3.86 2.50 3.40	$ \begin{bmatrix} 2.33 \\ 3.40 \\ 2.78 \\ 3.00 \\ 3.00 \end{bmatrix} $	2.08 4.00 2.33 2.75	2.50 3.20 2.75 3.25	10.82 12.02 8.04 9.41	$ \begin{array}{c} 11.50\\ 13.00\\ 9.00\\ 10.80 \end{array} $	$13.00 \\ 12.00 \\ 9.00 \\ 9.50$	8.50 12.00 8.00 8.70	$12.10 \\ 11.00 \\ 9.10 \\ 11.00 \\ 11.00 \\ 11.00 \\ 11.00 \\ 10.00$	9.30 8.80 7.70 8.30	25.23 37.32 22.82 30.47	23.25 28.16 21.18 26.98
Idaho. Wash . Oreg Cal	$2.90 \\ 2.27 \\ 2.10 \\ 1.76$	3.10 2.65 2.30 2.40	2.95 2.38 2.18 1.85	$ \begin{bmatrix} 2.40 \\ 2.10 \\ 2.00 \\ 1.75 \end{bmatrix} $	3.25 2.25 2.00 1.35	2.852.102.051.70	3.00 2.10 2.10 1.83	3.10 2.40 2.10 1.75	$ \begin{array}{c} 2.80 \\ 2.20 \\ 2.20 \\ 51.53 \\ 1.53 \\ $	2.90 2.30 2.10 1.50	2.65 2.20 2.00 1.95	$7.60 \\ 12.04 \\ 9.50 \\ 11.44 \\ 11.62 $	9.00 15.70 12.10 9.60	$7.60 \\ 12.00 \\ 9.60 \\ 10.90 \\ 14.00 $	6.30 10.10 8.30 13.70	$7.20 \\ 10.90 \\ 9.00 \\ 13.50 \\ 12.42 \\ 12.42 \\ 12.42 \\ 10.42 $	$ \begin{array}{c} 7.30 \\ 11.00 \\ 9.20 \\ 8.20 \\ 11.12 \end{array} $	21.68 26.65 20.23 18.77	19.34 24.20 18.40 15.99
U.S.	1.40	1.54	1.35	1.45	1.52	1.42	1.33	1.14	1.47	1.31	1. 43	11.24	12.20	14.04	11. 79	12, 43	11.12	10. 14	10.00

¹ Based upon farm price Dec. 1.

HAY-Continued.

	_													
Month.	Un Sta	ited tes.	No Atla Sta	orth intic ites.	So Atla Sta	uth antic tes.	N. C State of Mi	entral s east ss. R.	N. C State of Mi	entral s west iss. R.	So Cer Sta	uth ntral ntes.	Far V ern S	West- tates.
	1914	1913	1914	1913	1914	1913	1914	1913	1914	1913	1914	1913	1914	1913
January February March April May June	Dolls. 12.42 12.41 12.37 12.20 12.32 12.34	<i>Dolls.</i> 11. 86 11. 64 11. 34 11. 15 11. 13 11. 30	Dolls. 15.46 15.34 15.20 15.20 15.52 15.52	Dolls. 15.30 15.13 14.73 14.09 14.12 14.39	$\begin{array}{c} Dolls.\\ 16.05\\ 15.91\\ 16.51\\ 16.64\\ 16.66\\ 16.55 \end{array}$	Dolls. 15.06 15.35 14.87 14.78 14.96 15.18	$\begin{array}{c} \textit{Dolls.}\\ 12.68\\ 12.30\\ 12.06\\ 12.01\\ 12.40\\ 12.50 \end{array}$	Dolls. 12,25 11,77 11,32 10,86 10,53 11,00	Dolls. 9.68 9.54 9.58 9.58 9.77 9.98	Dolls. 8.78 8.56 8.19 8.28 8.18 8.19	$\begin{array}{c} Dolls.\\ 14.51\\ 15.09\\ 15.24\\ 15.38\\ 15.33\\ 15.44 \end{array}$	Dolls. 13.01 12.88 13.09 13.07 12.81 13.22	Dolls. 10.32 10.73 10.68 9.97 9.68 9.43	Dolls. 10.30 10.15 10.11 10.27 10.63 10.49
July August September . October November . December .	$12.01 \\ 11.52 \\ 11.91 \\ 11.77 \\ 11.57 \\ 11.12 \\ 11.12 \\ 11.12 \\ 11.12 \\ 11.12 \\ 11.12 \\ 11.01 \\ 11.01 \\ 10.0$	$11.19\\11.16\\11.89\\12.22\\12.26\\12.43$	$15.49 \\ 14.85 \\ 15.43 \\ 15.06 \\ 14.83 \\ 15.18 \\ 15.1$	$14.55 \\ 14.53 \\ 14.57 \\ 15.40 \\ 14.96 \\ 15.59 \\$	$16.96 \\ 16.91 \\ 17.13 \\ 16.91 \\ 16.76 \\ 16.76 \\ 16.71 \\ 16.71 \\ 10.7$	$\begin{array}{r} 14.50 \\ 14.07 \\ 14.58 \\ 15.25 \\ 15.48 \\ 15.93 \end{array}$	$12.27 \\ 12.16 \\ 12.82 \\ 12.86 \\ 12.40 \\ 12.05$	$\begin{array}{c} 10.94\\ 10.85\\ 11.81\\ 12.46\\ 12.64\\ 12.78 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 9.41 \\ 8.85 \\ 9.04 \\ 9.07 \\ 8.82 \\ 8.30 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 8.26 \\ 8.43 \\ 9.82 \\ 10.16 \\ 10.24 \\ 9.64 \end{array}$	$14.42 \\ 14.44 \\ 14.62 \\ 14.12 \\ 13.80 \\ 13.09$	$\begin{array}{c} 12.56\\ 12.62\\ 15.97\\ 13.95\\ 14.53\\ 14.27 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 8.96 \\ 8.11 \\ 8.32 \\ 8.21 \\ 8.30 \\ 8.39 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10.12 \\ 10.02 \\ 9.79 \\ 9.85 \\ 9.97 \\ 10.29 \end{array}$

 TABLE 80.—Hay: Farm price per ton on first of each month, by geographical divisions, 1913 and 1914.

TABLE 81.—Hay: Wholesale price (baled) per ton, 1900-1914.

	Chio	eago.	Cinci	nnati.	St. I	ouis.	New	York.
Date.	No. 1 ti	imothy.	No. 1 t	imothy.	No. 1 ti	mothy.	No. 1 ti	mothy.1
、	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.
1900	\$10.00 11.50 10.00 10.00 10.00	\$14.00 15.00 17.50 15.00	\$11.50 11.50 11.00 11.50	\$15.00 15.50 16.50 19.50	\$9.75 11.50 9.50 9.50	\$14.50 17.50 16.00 25.00	$\begin{array}{c} \$0.87\frac{1}{2}\\ .87\frac{1}{2}\\ 17.00\\ 16.00 \end{array}$	\$0.97 1.00 22.00 26.00
1904	$\begin{array}{r} 9.00 \\ 10.00 \\ 9.50 \\ 13.00 \\ 10.00 \end{array}$	$15.00 \\ 12.50 \\ 18.00 \\ 21.50 \\ 14.00$	$\begin{array}{c} 11.00\\ 10.00\\ 11.00\\ 14.00\\ 11.50\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 15.50 \\ 13.50 \\ 19.50 \\ 22.75 \\ 16.50 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 10.00 \\ 9.00 \\ 11.00 \\ 14.00 \\ 10.00 \end{array} $	$13.50 \\ 15.50 \\ 20.00 \\ 24.00 \\ 18.00$	$15.00 \\ 14.00 \\ 15.00 \\ 1.00 \\ 14.00$	$19.00 \\ 19.00 \\ 23.00 \\ 1.25 \\ 21.00$
1909	$11.00 \\ 12.50 \\ 15.00 \\ 13.00 \\ 13.00$	$17.00 \\ 21.00 \\ 25.00 \\ 28.00 \\ 19.50$	$\begin{array}{c} 12.00\\ 17.00\\ 18.00\\ 15.50\\ 14.00\end{array}$	$17.25 \\ 22.50 \\ 26.50 \\ 31.00 \\ 21.00$	$11.50 \\ 15.00 \\ 14.50 \\ 13.00 \\ 12.00$	$18.50 \\ 20.50 \\ 29.00 \\ 31.00 \\ 24.00$	$15.50 \\ 21.00 \\ 20.50 \\ 21.50 \\ 19.50$	$\begin{array}{c} 21.00\\ 28.00\\ 30.00\\ 32.00\\ 23.00\end{array}$
1914. January February March April May June June	$13.50 \\ 13.50 \\ 14.50 \\ 15.00 \\ 15.00 \\ 14.50 \\ 15.50 \\ 14.5$	17.50 16.00 16.00 17.00 17.50 16.00 18.00 18.50	17. 75 17. 50 18. 00 18. 50 18. 50 18. 50 18. 50	20.00 19.00 18.75 20.00 21.00 20.25 21.50	15.00 15.50 16.00 18.00 18.50 17.50 16.00 14.50	20.50 21.00 22.00 23.00 22.50 22.50 22.50 22.50	20.50 19.50 20.50 20.00 21.00 20.50 20.50 20.50	22.00 21.50 21.50 23.00 22.50 22.00 23.50 25.00
September. October. November. December.	$ \begin{array}{r} 14.00 \\ 14.00 \\ 15.00 \\ 15.00 \\ 15.00 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 16.50 \\ 16.50 \\ 16.00 \\ 16.00 \\ 16.00 \end{array} $	13.00 17.50 17.50 17.50 18.00	$ \begin{array}{r} 21.50\\ 19.00\\ 19.00\\ 20.00\\ 19.50 \end{array} $	14.50 17.00 17.00 16.00 17.00	$22.50 \\ 20.50 \\ 20.50 \\ 21.00 \\ 20.00$	21.50 20.00 18.50 20.00 18.50	$\begin{array}{c} 25.00 \\ 22.00 \\ 21.50 \\ 24.00 \\ 22.00 \end{array}$
Year	13.00	18.50	17.50	21.50	14.50	23.00	18.50	25.00

¹ Per hundred pounds, 1900, 1901, and 1907.

Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

CLOVER AND TIMOTHY SEED.

TABLE 82.—Clover and timothy seed: Wholesale price, 1900-1914.

		Clo	ver (b	ushel	s of 60	pound	s).					Timo	thy.			
	Cinc nat	ein- ti.	Chie	ago.	Tole	edo.			Cino na	ein- ti.	Chica	ago.	Milv kë	vau- e.	St. L	ouis.
Date.	Priı	me.	Poo prin	r to ne.1	Poo choi	r to ice.²	Det	roit.	Po bus (of pour	er hel 45 nds).	Poor cho (per poun	r to ice 100 ds). ³	Per pou	100 nds.	Poo prin (per pour	r to me 100 ids).
	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.
1900 1901 1902 1903	\$4.00 4.50 4.11 5.00	\$6.00 6.60 5.76 7.10	\$2.40 2.40 2.40 2.40 2.40	\$6.30 6.90 6.81 7.50	\$4. 95 5. 15 3. 90 3. 05	\$7. 85 7. 40 7. 10 7. 70	\$4. 80 5. 15 4. 90 6. 45	\$7.10 7.35 6.10 7.50	\$1.03 1.70 1.98 1.20	\$2.00 2.90 3.96 1.70		\$4.65 6.55 7.35 4.35	\$1, 90 3, 00 2, 50 2, 00	\$4.50 6.25 6.75 3.75	\$2.40 2.00	\$6.40 3.60
1904 1905 1906 1907 1908	4.80 5.70 4.50 7.00 4.00	7.50 7.75 7.50 8.50 11.00	3.60 4.80 3.90 4.80 3.60	7.80 8.64 8.49 10.20 14.40	2.50 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.90	7.95 8.85 8.72 $\frac{1}{2}$ 11.00 13.55	6.20 6.30 6.25 8.00 4.60	7.95 8.75 8.70 10.75 13.00	$1.15 \\ 1.15 \\ 1.30 \\ 1.50 \\ 1.35$	1,35 1,60 1,85 2,25 2,15	$\begin{array}{c} 1.75\\ 1.50\\ 2.00\\ 3.00\\ 3.25 \end{array}$	3.25 3.75 4.50 4.75 4.85	2.00 2.25 2.40 3.25 2.50	3.15 3.50 4.25 4.65 4.60	$\begin{array}{c} 2.\ 00\\ 2.\ 00\\ 2.\ 40\\ 3.\ 00\\ 2.\ 00 \end{array}$	2.80 3.70 4.00 4.60 4.50
1909 1910 1911 1912 1913	4.00 5.49 7.00 9.00 5.00	8.50 8.49 11.00 13.00 11.50	4. 20 6. 50 4. 80 4. 80 4. 20	9.00 17.00 12.45 13.35 13.20	$5.17\frac{1}{2}$ 2.40 3.00 3.00 1.60	9.55 10.30 12.80 14.20 13.85	5. 20 6. 40 8. 60 10. 25 7. 50	9.25 10.00 12.50 14.00 13.40	$1.30 \\ 1.30 \\ 3.50 \\ 1.50 \\ $	1, 65 4, 25 6, 90 6, 50 2, 25	2.50 2.50 7.00 3.80 2.50	4.00 9.75 16.25 16.25 5.90	2.50 2.75 8.00 2.50 2.50	3, 80 9, 50 15, 50 15, 50 5, 50	$\begin{array}{c} 1.\ 50\\ 2.\ 50\\ 5.\ 00\\ 2.\ 75\\ 2.\ 00 \end{array}$	3.70 9.50 15.75 15.50 5.50
1914. January February March April May June	7.00 7.30 7.25 6.00 5.50 5.00	9.00 8.25 8.25 8.10 7.00 6.50	7.00 9.00 8.00 8.00 8.00 8.00 8.00	15.00 14.50 14.00 13.00 13.00 13.50	3.50 3.55 3.60 2.00 2.40 3.00	9.459.021/28.758.021/27.858.50	8.70 8.25 8.15 7.40 7.60 7.80	9.40 8.90 8.60 8.00 7.75 8.30	1.80 1.60 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.40	2.25 2.15 2.10 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00	$\begin{array}{c} 3.\ 50\\ 3.\ 25\\ 3.\ 00\\ 3.\ 00\\ 3.\ 00\\ 3.\ 25 \end{array}$	5.55 5.50 5.50 5.40 5.50 5.75	3.50 3.50 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00	5. 50 5. 00 5. 00 4. 50 4. 50 5. 00	$\begin{array}{c} 3.\ 00\\ 3.\ 25\\ 2.\ 50\\ 2.\ 00\\ 3.\ 25\\ 4.\ 00 \end{array}$	5.35 4.75 4.45 4.27 5.00 5.35
July August September October November December	5.00 6.00 7.00 7.00 7.00 7.00 7.25	7.00 9.00 9.25 8.50 8.50 8.30	9.00 10.00 9.25 9.50 9.50 9.00	$\begin{array}{c} 15.\ 00\\ 18.\ 50\\ 17.\ 75\\ 15.\ 40\\ 15.\ 00\\ 15.\ 85\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.\ 60\\ 2.\ 40\\ 3.\ 60\\ 3.\ 60\\ 3.\ 60\\ 3.\ 00 \end{array}$	$9.4011.4011.159.409.32\frac{1}{2}9.70$	8. 20 9. 40 9. 10 8. 75 8. 90 9. 10	9.25 11.25 10.85 9.25 9.25 9.60	$\begin{array}{c} 1.\ 40\\ 1.\ 60\\ 2.\ 00\\ 2.\ 00\\ 1.\ 90\\ 1.\ 90 \end{array}$	2. 25 2. 60 2. 60 2. 25 2. 25 2. 25 2. 70	$\begin{array}{r} 4.\ 00\\ 4.\ 00\\ 3.\ 50\\ 3.\ 50\\ 4.\ 25 \end{array}$	6.75 6.50 6.50 5.60 5.90 7.85	3.50 4.00 3.75 3.75 4.00 4.75	5.00 5.75 5.75 5.00 5.05 7.00	5.00 3.25 3.75 4.15 3.50 4.25	6.00 6.75 6.05 5.70 5.25 7.00
Year	5.00	9.25	7.00	18, 50	2.00	11.40	7.40	11.25	1.40	2.70	3.00	7.85	3.00	7.00	2.00	7.00

¹ Poor to choice to 1905. ² Prime, 1901 to 1907. ³ Prime, 1902 to 1904; poor to prime 1905-1906.

COTTON.

[Bales of 478 pounds net.]

		Area.			Production.	
Country.	1911	1912	1913	1911	1912	1913
NORTH AMERICA.						
United States: Contiguous 1	A cres. 36, 045, 000	A cres. 34, 283, 000	A cres. 37, 089, 000	Bales. 15, 692, 701	Bales. 13, 703, 421	Bales. 14, 156, 486
Rico ¹	(2)	(2)	(2)	412	447	633
Total United States (except Philippine Is- lands)				15,693,113	13,703,868	14,157,119
Mexico ³ . West Indies:	(2)	(2)	(2)	200,000	200,000	200,000
British— Bahamas Barbados Grenada Jamaica Leeward Islands St. Lucia St. Vincent Trinidad and Tobago.	(2) (4, 741) (2) (2) (2) (2) (3, 587) (2)	(2) (4) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (3) (2) (2) (3) (2) (2) (3) (3) (2) (3) (2) (3) (3) (2) (3) (2) (3) (3) (2) (3)	$(2) \\ (2) $	4 27 1,520 4 574 4 37 3,088 8 8 5 1,175 13	4 28 953 4 796 4 76 2, 271 4 7 4 1, 117 28 976	4 28 888 4 838 4 145 4 2, 561 4 8 4 1, 018 4 15 745
Danish French—Guadeloupe Haiti	$(2) \\ (2) \\ (2) \\ (2) $	$\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$ $\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$	548 8 8,783	276 8 9,077	745 8 8,970
Total				15, 908, 894	13,918,505	14, 372, 343
SOUTH AMERICA.						
Argentina Brazil ³ Chile ³ Ecuador Peru.	4, 690 (²) (²) (²) (²) (²)	4, 458 (³) (²) (²) (²) (²)	6, 919 (²) 334 (²) (²)	3,939 277,000 ⁽²⁾ 4 258 4 73,274	3,744 277,000 $\binom{2}{4}$ 4 254 4 88,694	5, 811 277, 000 740 255 4 110, 314
Total			<u></u>	354, 471	369,692	394, 120
EUROPE. Bulgaria Greece ³ Italy ³ Malta	1,871 (2) (2) 1,100	$1,730 \\ 12,355 \\ (^2) \\ 1,144$	(2)	$917 \\ 24,000 \\ 2,700 \\ 392$	$\begin{array}{r} 646\\ 24,000\\ 2,700\\ 512 \end{array}$	550 24,000 2,700 567
Total				28,009	27, 858	27,917
ASIA.						
British India, ⁶ including na- tive States ⁶ Ceylon ⁷ Chosen (Korea) Cyprus Dutch East Indies French Indo-China Japan Persia 4. Philippine Islands ⁸	$22,596,000 \\ (2) \\ 124,742 \\ (2) \\ (2) \\ (2) \\ 6,862 \\ (2) \\ 7,544$	$21, 615, 000 \\ (2) \\ (2) \\ (2) \\ (2) \\ (2) \\ (3) \\ (6, 759 \\ (2) \\ (7, 544 \\ \end{array})$	22, 028, 000 (2) (2) (3) (2) (3) 4, 942 (2) 7, 544	$\begin{array}{r} 3,224,268\\ {}^{4}710\\ 23,470\\ {}^{4}7,230\\ 11,902\\ 8,709\\ 4,215\\ 85,878\\ 6,098\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,751,464\\ 41,490\\ 34,591\\ 7,632\\ 17,000\\ 14,459\\ 5,057\\ 128,709\\ 6,098 \end{array}$	3, 857, 741 4 500 (²) 9, 655 (²) (³) 6, 098

1"Linters" not included. Quantity of linters produced: 557,575 bales in 1911, 609,594 in 1912, 638,881 in 1913. For Porto Rico the data refer to exports to foreign countries plus shipments to the United

573

COTTON—Continued.

TABLE 83.—Cotton: Area and production of undermentioned countries, 1911–1913—Con.

[Bales of 478 pounds net.]

a		Area.			Production.	
Country.	1911	1912	1913	1911	1912	1913
ASIA—continued.						
Russia (Asiatic): Central Asia Transcaucasia	Acres. 864, 123 194, 808	A cres. 1,085,158 241,267	Acres. 1,141,233 231,267	Bales. 455,742 66,340	Bales. 596, 468 70, 848	Bales. 657,713 73,543
Total, Russia (Asiatic).	1,058,931	1,326,425	1,372,500	522,082	667,316	731,256
Siam	(1)	(1)	(1)		1,454	4,686
Total					3,635,270	
AFRICA.						
British Africa: Nyasaland Protectorate East Africa Gold coast Nigeria Uganda Union of South Africa	$23,314 \\ (1) \\ ($	$24,155 \\ (1) \\ ($	$(1) \\ (1) $	22,845 347 220 24,682 217,456 274	26,773 910 243 29,148 222,003 267	25,023 2282 258 213,308 223,414 268
Total British Africa				25,424	38,944	42,153
Egypt	1,776,298	1,787,274	1,788,602	1,514,730	1,554,100	1,565,290
French Africa: Algeria Dahomey Madagascar Senegal Upper Senegal and Niger. Somali Coast	$2,243 \\ (1) \\ (1$	1,045 (¹) (¹) (¹) (¹) (¹)	(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	761 623 13 69 277 25	830 577 25 92 461 2 1	825 809 28 36 455 2 1
Total French Africa				1,748	1,986	2,154
German Africa: East Africa Kamerun Togo	35,517 (1) (1)	35,770 (1) (1)	(1) (1)	4, 983 2 2, 387	$8,678 \\ 5 \\ 2,541$	10,109 5 2,322
Total German Africa				7,372	11,224	12,436
Italian Africa—Eritrea Belgian Kongo	(1)	(1)	(1)	1,307	1,247	(1)
Portuguese Africa: Angola East Africa	(1) (1)	(1) (1)	(1) (1)	509 21	(1) (1)	(1) (1)
Total Portuguese Africa			,	530		
Sudan (Anglo-Egyptian)	(1)	(1)	(1)	17,392	12,128	10,737
Total				1,568,503		
OCEANIA. British						
Queensland Fiji Islands	605 - 16	441 7	(¹)	130	105 . 3	$25 \\ 5$
Total British Oceania				130	108	30
French: New Caledonia Tahiti	(1) (1)	(1) (1)	2,500 (¹)	209 32	925 69	1, 109 73
Total French Oceania				241	994	1,182
Total Oceania				371	1,102	1,212

¹ No official data.

² Exports.

Statistics of Cotton.

COTTON-Continued.

TABLE 84.—Cotton: Total production of countries named in Table 83, 1900-1913.

Year.	Production.	Year.	Production.	Year.	Production.	Year.	Production.
1900	Bales. ¹ 15, 893, 591	1904	Bales. ¹ 21,005,175	1908	Bales. ¹ 3,688,292	1911	Bales.1
1901 1902 1903	15, 926, 048 17, 331, 503 17, 278, 881	1905 1906 1907	18, 342, 073 22, 183, 148 18, 328, 613	1909	20, 079, 334 22, 433, 269	1912	

¹ Bales of 478 pounds, net.

TABLE 85.—Cotton: Acreage harvested, by States, 1905–1914.

[Thousand acres.]

State.	1905	1906	1907	1908	1909	1910	1911	1912	1913	1914 1
Virginia North Carolina South Carolina Georgia Florida	$35 \\ 1,230 \\ 2,340 \\ 4,020 \\ 230$	$\begin{array}{r} 36 \\ 1,374 \\ 2,389 \\ 4,610 \\ 283 \end{array}$	$23 \\ 1,408 \\ 2,485 \\ 4,566 \\ 209$	$28 \\ 1,458 \\ 2,545 \\ 4,848 \\ 265$	$25 \\ 1,359 \\ 2,492 \\ 4,674 \\ 237$	33 1,478 2,534 4,873 257	43 1,624 2,800 5,504 308	47 1, 545 2, 695 5, 335 224	47 1,576 2,790 5,318 188	45 1,600 2,800 5,375 195
Alabama. Mississippi. Louisiana. Texas. Arkansas.	3,425 3,019 1,445 7,432 1,723	3,659 3,408 1,740 8,894 2,098	3, 148 3, 081 1, 540 8, 478 1, 902	3, 591 3, 395 1, 550 9, 316 2, 296	3, 471 3, 291 930 9, 660 2, 218	3,560 3,317 975 10,060 2,238	4,017 3,340 1,075 10,943 2,363	3,730 2,889 929 11,338 1,991	3,760 3,067 1,244 12,597 2,502	122 890 3,875 3,120 1,360
Tennessee Missouri Oklahoma California	629 70 1, 509	814 91 1,982	693 63 2,064	754 87 2, 311	735 79 1, 767	765 100 2, 204 9	837 129 3,050 12	783 103 2,665 9	865 112 3,009 14	$11,930 \\ 2,825 \\ 2,525 \\ 35$
United States.	27, 107	31, 378	29,660	32, 444	30, 938	32,403	36,045	34, 283	37,089	36,697

¹ Preliminary estimate.

 TABLE 86.—Cotton: Production of lint (excluding linters) in 500-pound gross weight bales, by States, and total value of crop, 1905 to 1914.

[Thousand bales. As finally reported by U.S. Bureau of the Census.]

State.	1905	1906	1907	1908	1909	1910	1911	1912	1913	1914
Virginia North Carolina South Carolina Georgia Florida	156191,0781,68269	$14 \\ 579 \\ 876 \\ 1,593 \\ 56$	9 605 1,119 1,816 50	$12\\647\\1,171\\1,931\\62$	$10\\601\\1,100\\1,804\\54$	157061,1641,76759	30 1,076 1,649 2,769 83	$24\\866\\1,182\\1,777\\53$	23 793 1, 378 2, 317 59	25 925 1,525 2,713 81
Alabama. Mississippi Louisiana. Texas. Arkansas.	${ \begin{array}{c} 1,239\\ 1,199\\ 513\\ 2,542\\ 619 \end{array} }$	$1,262 \\ 1,531 \\ 988 \\ 4,174 \\ 941$	${ \begin{smallmatrix} 1,113\\ 1,468\\ 676\\ 2,300\\ 775 \end{smallmatrix} }$	$1,346 \\ 1,656 \\ 470 \\ 3,815 \\ 1,033$	${ \begin{smallmatrix} 1,024\\ 1,083\\ 253\\ 2,523\\ 714 \end{smallmatrix} }$	$1, 194 \\ 1, 263 \\ 246 \\ 3, 049 \\ 821$	$1,716 \\ 1,204 \\ 385 \\ 4,256 \\ 939$	$1,342 \\ 1,046 \\ 376 \\ 4,880 \\ 792$	$1,495 \\ 1,311 \\ 444 \\ 3,945 \\ 1,073$	$1,750 \\ 1,245 \\ 448 \\ 4,585 \\ 1,016$
Tennessee Missouri Oklahoma All other	$279 \\ 43 \\ 677 \\ 1$	306 54 898 2	275 36 862 3	344 62 691 2	247 45 545 2	332 60 923 10	450 97 1,022 17	$277 \\ 56 \\ 1,021 \\ 11$	379 67 840 32	382 82 1,261 64
United States.	10, 575	13, 274	11, 107	13,242	10,005	11,609	15,693	13,703	14, 156	16,102
Total value of crop	\$556,830	\$640,310	\$613,630	\$588, 810	\$688,350	\$820, 320	\$732,420	\$792, 240	\$887,160	

COTTON-Continued.

TABLE 87.-Cotton: Condition of crop, United States, monthly, 1893-1914.

[Prior to 1901 figures of condition relate to first of month following dates indicated.]

Year.	Мау 25.	June 25.	July 25.	Aug. 25.	Sept. 25.	Year.	Мау 25.	June 25.	July 25.	Aug. 25.	Sept. 25.
1893 1894 1895 1896 1897 1898 1899 1900	P. ct. 85.6 88.3 81.0 97.2 83.5 89.0 85.7 82.5 81.5	P. ct. 82. 7 89. 6 82. 3 92. 5 86. 0 91. 2 87. 8 75. 8 81 1	P. ct. 80. 4 91. 8 77. 9 80. 1 86. 9 91. 2 84. 0 76. 0 77 2	P. ct. 73. 4 85. 9 70. 8 64. 2 78. 3 79. 8 68. 5 68. 5 68. 2 71. 4	$\begin{array}{c} P. ct. \\ 70.7 \\ 82.7 \\ 65.1 \\ 60.7 \\ 70.0 \\ 75.4 \\ 62.4 \\ 67.0 \\ 61.4 \end{array}$	1904 1905 1906 1907 1908 1909 1910 1911	P. ct. 83.0 77.2 84.6 70.5 79.7 81.1 82.0 87.8 78 9	P. ct. 88.0 77.0 83.3 72.0 81.2 74.6 80.7 88.2 80.4	P. ct. 91. 6 74. 9 82. 9 75. 0 83. 0 71. 9 75. 5 89. 1 76. 5	P. ct. 84. 1 77. 3 72. 7 76. 1 63. 7 72. 1 73. 2 74. 8	P. ct. 75.8 71.2 71.6 67.7 69.7 58.5 65.9 71.1 69 6
1902 1903	95. 1 74. 1	84.7 77.1	81. 9 79. 7	64.0 81.2	58.3 65.1	1913 1914	79.1 74.3	81. 8 79. 6	79.6 76.4	68.2 78.0	64. 1 73. 5

TABLE 88.—Cotton: Yield per acre, price per pound Dec. 1, and value per acre, by States.

		Yield per acre (pounds of lint).										Farm price per pound (cents).				V per (0 la	alue r acre iol- rs). ¹		
State.	10-year average, 1305-1914.	1905	1906	1907	1908	1909	-1910	1911	1912	1913	1914 (²)	10-year average, 1905-1914.	1910	1911	1912	1913	1914	5-year average, 1910-1914.	1914
Va N. C S. C Ga Fla	226 240 224 194 126	204 240 220 200 144	185 201 175 165 95	190 205 215 190 115	210 211 219 190 112	190 210 210 184 110	212 227 216 173 110	330 315 280 240 130	250 237 209 159 113	240 239 235 208 150	254 283 256 236 185	10. 8 10. 8 10. 9 10. 9 15. 4	13. 8 14. 1 14. 2 14. 2 21. 0	9.0 8.8 8.8 8.9 12.0	12. 0 12. 2 12. 4 12. 4 15. 7	13. 1 12. 6 12. 7 12. 8 17. 0	7.3 6.9 6.9 6.9 12.2	11.0 10.9 11.0 11.0 15.6	18. 54 19. 53 17. 66 16. 28 22. 57
Mo Tenn Ala Miss La	295 200 176 195 174	294 212 173 190 170	285 180 165 215 272	275 190 169 228 210	840 218 179 233 145	271 158 142 157 130	285 207 160 182 120	360 257 204 172 170	260 169 172 173 193	286 210 190 204 170	295 196 209 196 162	10. 4 10. 7 10. 8 11. 0 10. 7	13.0 14.1 14.2 14.4 14.4	8.8 8.8 8.8 9.2 8.9	11.3 12.4 12.1 12.3 11.5	11.5 12.7 12.7 12.6 11.7	$\begin{array}{c} 6.5 \\ 6.4 \\ 6.7 \\ 6.8 \\ 6.9 \end{array}$	10.2 10.9 10.9 11.1 10.7	19.18 12.54 14.00 13.33 11.18
Tex Okla Ark Cal	171 181 191 436	164 215 172	225 217 215	130 200 195	196 143 215	125 147 153	145 200 175 335	186 160 190 390	206 183 190 450	$150 \\ 132 \\ 205 \\ 500$	183 212 197 506	10.5 10.1 10.8 10.7	14. 0 13. 3 14. 4 13. 3	8.6 8.0 8.9 7.5	11.5 11.3 12.3 12.5	11.5 11.4 11.6 13.0	6.8 6.5 6.6 7.0	10.5 10.1 10.8 10.7	12.44 13.78 13.00 35.42
U. S.	187.5	186.1	202.5	178.3	194.9	154.3	170.7	207.7	190.9	182.0	207.9	10.7	14.1	8.8	11.9	12.2	6.8	10.8	14.15

¹ Based upon farm price Dec. 1.

² Preliminary.

Statistics of Cotton.

COTTON-Continued.

Month.	United States.		South Atlantic States.		N. Cent. States west of Miss. R.		South Central States.		Far Western States.	
	1914	1913	1914	1913	1914	1913	1914	1913	1914	1913
January February March. A pril May June	$\begin{array}{c} \textit{Cts.} \\ 11.7 \\ 11.9 \\ 12.6 \\ 11.9 \\ 12.2 \\ 12.4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 12.2 \\ 11.9 \\ 11.8 \\ 11.8 \\ 11.6 \\ 11.5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 12.1 \\ 12.7 \\ 14.9 \\ 12.7 \\ 12.8 \\ 13.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 12.\ 6\\ 12.\ 1\\ 11.\ 9\\ 12.\ 0\\ 11.\ 6\\ 11.\ 6\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 12.1 \\ 11.6 \\ 11.6 \\ 11.5 \\ 12.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 11.0 \\ 12.0 \\ 9.0 \\ 9.5 \\ 9.5 \\ 9.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 11.5 \\ 11.6 \\ 11.5 \\ 11.5 \\ 11.5 \\ 11.8 \\ 12.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 12.1 \\ 11.8 \\ 11.7 \\ 11.8 \\ 11.6 \\ 11.4 \end{array}$	Cts.	Cts. 12.0 12.5
July August Septem ber October Novem ber Decem ber	12. 412. 48. 77. 86. 36. 8	$11.6 \\ 11.5 \\ 11.8 \\ 13.3 \\ 13.0 \\ 12.2$	$13.1 \\ 12.9 \\ 8.5 \\ 8.0 \\ 6.5 \\ 6.9$	$11.9 \\ 11.8 \\ 11.8 \\ 13.3 \\ 13.5 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 11.9 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 11.9 \\ 12.8 \\ $	$ \begin{array}{r} 12.0 \\ 12.1 \\ 8.0 \\ \hline 6.2 \\ 6.5 \\ \end{array} $	$9.3 \\ 9.1 \\ 11.5 \\ 13.0 \\ 11.5 \\ 11$	$12.1 \\ 12.2 \\ 8.8 \\ 7.7 \\ 6.2 \\ 6.7$	11.511.411.813.312.811.9	7.5 10.0 7.0	13.0

 TABLE 89.—Cotton: Farm price per pound on first of each month, by geographical divisions, 1913 and 1914.

TABLE 90.—Cotton: Closing price of middling upland per pound, 1900-1914.

	1											
Date.	New	York.	New C	orleans.	Men	phis.	Galv	eston.	Sava	nnah.	Charl	eston.
	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.
1900. 1901. 1902. 1903.	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 7\frac{1}{4} \\ 7 \\ 8\frac{3}{16} \\ 8.85 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 5\frac{7}{8} \\ 14.10 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 7\frac{1}{4} \\ 7\frac{1}{4} \\ 7\frac{5}{8} \\ 8\frac{7}{16} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 11\frac{1}{8} \\ 9\frac{11}{9} \\ 9\frac{3}{4} \\ 13\frac{5}{8} \end{array}$	Cts. 73 71 75 7 81 2	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 11 \\ 9\frac{5}{8} \\ 9\frac{1}{2} \\ 13\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	Cts. 71 738 738 738 738 738 738 738 738 738	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 10 \\ 9\frac{11}{16} \\ 9\frac{5}{8} \\ 13\frac{3}{8} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 7\frac{5}{16} \\ 7\frac{8}{8} \\ 7\frac{9}{16} \\ 8\frac{3}{8} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 10^3_4 \\ 9^5_8 \\ 9^9_{15} \\ 13^8_8 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 7^{1}_{4} \\ 7^{1}_{8} \\ 7^{3}_{16} \\ 8^{1}_{4} \end{array}$	Cts. 103 97 97 93 93 132
1904 1905 1906 1907 1908	$\begin{array}{c} 6.85 \\ 7.00 \\ 9.60 \\ 10.60 \\ 9.00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 17.25\\ 12.60\\ 12.25\\ 13.55\\ 12.25\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6\frac{1}{2} \\ 6\frac{5}{8} \\ 9\frac{3}{8} \\ 10\frac{1}{4} \\ 8\frac{11}{16} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 16\frac{7}{16} \\ 12\frac{1}{16} \\ 11\frac{11}{16} \\ 13\frac{9}{16} \\ 12\frac{1}{4} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 6\frac{3}{4} \\ 6\frac{3}{4} \\ 9\frac{3}{8} \\ 10\frac{1}{4} \\ 8\frac{3}{4} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} 16\frac{1}{2} \\ 12\frac{1}{8} \\ 11\frac{11}{16} \\ 13\frac{1}{2} \\ 12\frac{3}{8} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6\frac{5}{8} \\ 6\frac{3}{4} \\ 9\frac{1}{4} \\ 10\frac{1}{2} \\ 8\frac{3}{4} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 16 \\ 12 \\ 11\frac{9}{16} \\ 13\frac{9}{16} \\ 12\frac{1}{4} \end{array}$	612158787787 8914	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{c} 6\frac{1}{258}\\ 6\frac{58}{83434}\\ 9\frac{34}{8}\\ 8\frac{1}{4}\end{array}$	$16 \\ 11\frac{5}{16} \\ 11\frac{3}{16} \\ 13 \\ 11\frac{5}{8} \\ 11$
1909 1910 1911 1912 1913	$\begin{array}{r} 9.\ 25 \\ 13.\ 60 \\ 9.\ 20 \\ 9.\ 35 \\ 11.\ 70 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 16.\ 15\\ 19.\ 75\\ 16.\ 15\\ 13.\ 40\\ 14.\ 50 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 8\frac{7}{8}\\ 13\frac{1}{4}\\ 9\frac{1}{16}\\ 9\frac{3}{16}\\ 11\frac{7}{8}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 15\frac{3}{4} \\ 15\frac{3}{4} \\ 15\frac{11}{16} \\ 13\frac{1}{4} \\ 14 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 9\\ 13^{1}_{2}\\ 9^{1}_{4}\\ 9^{7}_{16}\\ 11^{3}_{4}\end{array}$	$15\frac{5}{15}\frac{5}{15}\frac{5}{16}$ $15\frac{7}{16}$ $13\frac{1}{4}$ $13\frac{3}{4}$	9 133 94 93 93 113	$15rac{3}{4}\ 15rac{7}{8}\ 15rac{5}{8}\ 13rac{5}{16}\ 14rac{5}{8}$	$\begin{array}{c} & 8\frac{11}{16} \\ & 13\frac{3}{16} \\ & 858 \\ & 858 \\ & 858 \\ & 11\frac{1}{4} \end{array}$	$15\frac{7}{8}$ $15\frac{5}{8}$ $15\frac{1}{2}$ $12\frac{3}{4}$ $14\frac{1}{8}$	$\begin{array}{c} & 8\frac{3}{4} \\ 13 \\ & 8\frac{3}{4} \\ & 8\frac{3}{4} \\ & 11\frac{3}{4} \end{array}$	$15\frac{3}{16}$ $15\frac{3}{16}$ $15\frac{3}{5}$ $12\frac{3}{2}$ $12\frac{3}{7}$ $13\frac{3}{8}$
1914. January February March April May June	$12.30 \\ 12.55 \\ 13.00 \\ 13.00 \\ 12.90 \\ 13.25$	$\begin{array}{c} 13.\ 05\\ 13.\ 05\\ 13.\ 75\\ 13.\ 50\\ 14.\ 50\\ 13.\ 75\\ 13.\ 75\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 12\frac{5}{8} \\ 12\frac{11}{16} \\ 12\frac{3}{4} \\ 13 \\ 13 \\ 13\frac{9}{16} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 13\frac{5}{16}\\ 13\\ 13\frac{3}{16}\\ 13\frac{3}{16}\\ 13\frac{3}{16}\\ 13\frac{3}{14}\\ 13\frac{3}{16}\\ 13\frac{3}{16}\end{array}$	$13\frac{1}{8}\\13\\13\\13\frac{1}{1}\\13\frac{1}{4}\\13\frac{1}{8}\\13\frac{3}{4}$	$13\frac{3}{2}$ $13\frac{1}{4}$ $13\frac{1}{2}$ $13\frac{1}{2}$ $13\frac{1}{2}$ $13\frac{3}{4}$	$\begin{array}{c} 12\frac{3}{4}\\ 12\frac{3}{4}\\ 12\frac{1}{2}\\ 12\frac{1}{16}\\ 13\\ 13\frac{11}{16} \end{array}$	$13\frac{1}{16}$ $12\frac{1}{8}$ $13\frac{1}{16}$ $13\frac{1}{8}$ 14 14	$\begin{array}{c} 12\frac{3}{8}\\ 12\frac{3}{4}\\ 12\frac{7}{8}\\ 12\frac{1}{8}\\ 13\frac{1}{4}\\ 13\frac{7}{8}\\ 13\frac{7}{8}\\ 13\frac{7}{8}\\ \end{array}$	$13\frac{1}{4}\\13\\13\frac{1}{8}\\13\frac{1}{4}\\13\frac{1}{4}\\13\frac{3}{4}\\13\frac{3}{5}$	$\begin{array}{c} 12\frac{1}{4}\\ 12\frac{1}{2}\\ 12\frac{5}{8}\\ 13\\ 13\\ 13\frac{1}{2}\\ \end{array}$	$13\frac{1}{27}$ 127 13 $13\frac{1}{2}$ $13\frac{1}{2}$ $13\frac{1}{2}$
July August September October November December	12.50 111.00 7.50 7.25	13.25111.007.757.80	$\begin{array}{c} 13_{\overline{16}}^{5} \\ (^{2}) \\ 8_{\overline{16}}^{1} \\ 6_{\overline{2}}^{1} \\ 7_{\overline{16}}^{1} \\ 7 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c}13\frac{9}{16} \\ (^2) \\ 8\frac{5}{8} \\ 8\frac{1}{16} \\ 7\frac{3}{4} \\ 7\frac{1}{2}\end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 13 \\ 13 \\ 8 \\ 6\frac{1}{2} \\ 6\frac{3}{4} \\ 7 \end{array} $	$13rac{3}{4}\ 13\ 8rac{1}{2}\ 8\ 7rac{1}{4}\ 7rac{1}{4}$	$13\frac{1}{8}$ $$ 8 $6\frac{5}{8}$ $7\frac{3}{8}$ $7\frac{1}{4}$	$13\frac{5}{8}$ $$ $8\frac{3}{4}$ 8 8 8 8 8 $8\frac{3}{4}$	$\begin{array}{c} 137\\7\frac{1}{2}\\7\frac{1}{2}\\7\frac{1}{2}\\7\frac{1}{2}\\6\frac{1}{2}\\7\frac{1}{8}\\6\frac{1}{8}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c}13\frac{7}{28}\\8\frac{1}{2}\\7\frac{1}{2}\\7\frac{1}{2}\\7\frac{1}{2}\\7\frac{1}{2}\\7\frac{1}{2}\\7\frac{1}{2}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & $	8121 712 738 714
Year	7.25	14.50	$6\frac{1}{2}$	13 18	$6\frac{1}{2}$	$13\frac{3}{4}$	$6\frac{5}{8}$	14	$6\frac{1}{2}$	$13\frac{7}{8}$	$6\frac{1}{2}$	131

¹ Not based on actual sale; exchange closed.

² No market,

75922°—увк 1914—37

COTTON-Continued.

TABLE 91.—Cotton: International trade, calendar years 1911–1913.

[Expressed in bales of 500 pounds gross weight, or 478 pounds net. The figures for cotton refer to ginned and unginned cotton and linters, but not to mill waste, cotton batting, *scarto* (Egypt and Sudan). Wherever unginned cotton has been separately stated in the original reports it has been reduced to ginned cotton in this statement at the ratio of 3 pounds unginned to 1 pound ginned. See "General note," p. 518.]

EXPORTS.

[000 omitted.]

Country.	1911	1912	1913 (prelim.)	Country.	1911	1912	1913 (prelim.)
Belgium. Brazil. British India. China. Egypt. France. Germany.	Bales. 255 68 1,742 245 1,373 305 186	Bales. 242 77 1,689 225 1,721 325 247	$\begin{matrix} Bales. \\ 298 \\ 173 \\ 2,223 \\ 206 \\ 1,445 \\ 281 \\ 243 \end{matrix}$	Netherlands Persia ¹ Peru United States Other countries Total	Bales. 137 86 73 8,920 151 13,541	Bales. 163 129 89 11,663 196 16,766	Bales. 150 129 110 9,376 202 14,836

IMPORTS.

¹ Year beginning Mar. 21.

COTTONSEED OIL.

TABLE 92.—Cottonseed oil: International trade, calendar years 1911-1913.

[See "General note," p. 517.]

EXPORTS.

[000 omitted.]

Country.	1911	1912	1913 (prelim.)	Country.	1911	1912	1913 (prelim.)
Belgium	Gallons. 1,042 `488	Gallons. 1, 341 359	Gallons. 1,014 619	United States Other countries	Gallons. 43,004 6	Gallons. 47,457 40	Gallons. 35, 304 57
Netherlands United Kingdom	43 6,782	40 6,099	295 31 7,626	Total	51, 542	55, 508	44,946

IMPORTS.

						A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR OFTA CONTRACTOR O	
Algeria. Australia Australia Belgium Brazil. Canada. Egypt. France. Germany. Italy. Malta ² Martinioue.	$128 \\ 119 \\ 15 \\ 2,337 \\ {}^{1}670 \\ 1,830 \\ 186 \\ 2,609 \\ 6,391 \\ 3,599 \\ 261 \\ 275 \\$	$\begin{array}{c c} 118\\ 182\\ 127\\ 2,876\\ ^{1}670\\ 2,911\\ 345\\ 3,697\\ 7,900\\ 5,388\\ 261\\ 262\\ \end{array}$	$118 \\ 175 \\ 118 \\ 2,005 \\ 440 \\ 4,104 \\ 118 \\ 2,726 \\ 4,786 \\ 4,786 \\ 4,786 \\ 3,957 \\ 278 \\ 262 \\ 262 \\ 100 \\ 10$	Mexico. Netherlands. Norway. Roumania Senegal. Servia ⁸ Sweden. United Kingdom. Uruguay ⁴ . Other countries. Total.	$\begin{array}{r} 673\\ 3,544\\ 1,492\\ 805\\ 464\\ 396\\ 680\\ 7,361\\ 383\\ 4,146\\ \hline 38,364\end{array}$	4, 310 7, 048 1, 554 805 382 396 865 7, 587 383 4, 306 52, 373	4, 310 7, 765 1, 510 805 382 396 865 4, 990 383 6, 291 46, 784
Martinique	275	262	262	Total	38, 364	52, 373	46, 784

¹ Data for 1909. ² Year beginning Apr. 1. ³ Data for 1911.

4 Year beginning July 1. Data for 1910.

TOBACCO.

TABLE 93.—Tobacco: Area and production of undermentioned countries, 1911–1913.

		Area.			Production.	
Country.	1911	1912	1913	1911	1912	1913
NORTH AMERICA.						
United States: Contiguous	A cres. 1,013,000	Acres. 1, 226, 000	A cres. 1, 216, 000	Pounds. 905, 109, 000	Pounds. 962, 855, 000	Pounds. 953, 734, 000
Noncontiguous- Porto Rico	(1)	17,175	17,808	10,000,000	16,500,000	17,000,000
Total United States (ex- cept Philippine Islands)		1,243,175	1,233,808	915,109,000	979,355,000	970, 734, 000
Canada: Ontario Quebec	² 7,000 ² 12,000	² 7,000 ² 12,000	6,000 5,000	12,000,000 6,000,000	7, 500, 000 5, 500, 000	8,000,000 4,500,000
Total Canada	2 19,000	² 19,000	11,000	18,000,000	13,000,000	12,500,000
Cuba Guatemala Jamaica Mexico Santa Domingo	(1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	(1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	(1) 1,236 969 (1) (1)	$\begin{array}{r} 66,930,000\\ 250,000\\ 494,561\\ 34,711,000\\ 28,000,000\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 42,030,000\\ 260,000\\ 442,000\\ 34,711,000\\ 18,000,000 \end{array}$	$72,585,000 \\258,671 \\533,000 \\34,711,000 \\28,000,000$
Total.				1,063,494,561	1,087,798,000	1,119,321,671
SOUTH AMERICA.						
Argentina. Brazil ³ Chile. Uruguay	$24,231 \ (^1) \ 64 \ 1,480$	$24,137 \ (^1) \ 2,478 \ 3,963$	$23,860 \ (^1) \ 3,430 \ 4,497$	32, 427, 461 40, 761, 118 150, 133 1, 093, 731	$\begin{array}{r} 32,301,799\\54,465,930\\5,077,414\\4,373,508\end{array}$	$31, 931, 426 \\ 64, 788, 421 \\ 8, 523, 645 \\ 4, 801, 361$
Total	´			74,432,443	96,218,651	110,044,853
EUROPE.						
Austria-Hungary: Austria. Hungary. Bosnia-Herzegovina ⁴	10,022 (1) (1)	8,456 119,914 (¹)	8, 263 111, 731 (¹)	$11,882,574 \\139,583,367 \\6,614,000$	$12,489,279\\169,302,477\\6,398,000$	$13,692,771\\146,428,871\\13,227,600$
Total Austria-Hungary .				158,079,941	188, 189, 756	173, 349, 242
Belgium Bulgaria Denmark France Germany Italy Netherlands Roumania Russia (European) Servia Swoden	10,54629,95639,38042,04920,62699124,690170,6485,201(1)	$\begin{array}{r} 9,926\\ 26,193\\ 524\\ 38,145\\ 38,981\\ 19,053\\ 1,023\\ 22,941\\ 149,123\\ 5,167\\ 741\end{array}$	9,941 17,297 25,474 34,996 21,004 1,149 27,122 124,659 (¹)	$\begin{array}{c} 18,695,008\\ 23,473,038\\ 257,938\\ 40,433,246\\ 64,332,190\\ 22,417,000\\ 1,799,915\\ 20,509,394\\ 247,147,083\\ 3,727,097\\ 1,565,226\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 22,109,492\\ 17,636,800\\ 258,000\\ 49,883,925\\ 85,661,744\\ 20,960,000\\ 1,858,266\\ 13,145,809\\ 237,405,692\\ 3,276,917\\ 1,300,714 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 19,702,290\\ 13,227,600\\ 258,000\\ 35,763,021\\ 58,952,951\\ 18,739,000\\ \hline \\ 20,941,275\\ 229,945,071\\ 3,000,000\\ 1,047,000\\ \end{array}$
Switzerland	717	791	791	1,232,371	1,212,530	1,327,169
Total				603,669,474	642,899,645	578,939,619
ASIA. British India British North Borneo ³ China: Hu-nan and Kiang-si	1, 115, 016 (¹)	1,048,817 (¹)	(1) (1)	450,000,000 2,649,000	450,000,000 2,844,000	450,000,000 2,500,000
Java. ³ Sumatra, East Coast of	$\underset{(^1)}{421,484}$	460,719 (¹)	(1) (1)	$117,741,000\\46,492,000$	$134,143,000 \\ 48,284,000$	135,000,000 45,024,000
Total Dutch East Indies.				164,233,000	182, 427, 000	180,024,000

¹ No official data. ² Census of 1911 giving crops of 1910.

³ Exports. ⁴ Unofficial estimate.

TABLE 93.—Tobacco: Area and	production of und	lermentioned countrie	es, 1911–1913–Con.

		Area.			Production.	
Country.	1911	1912	1913	1911	1912	1913
ASIA—continued. Formosa. Japan Philippine Islands. Russia (Asiatic).	A cres. 982 68,023 36,802	A cres. 918 71, 998 140, 948 36, 754	A cres. (¹) 77, 172 170, 477 29,640	Pounds. 1,093,190 74,896,240 56,257,274 31,532,686	Pounds. 990, 126 96, 095, 176 65, 219, 054 28, 790, 677	Pounds. 1,000,000 111,430,405 101,544,736 24,723,928
Total				780,661,390	826,366,033	871,223,069
AFRICA. Algeria	18,965 4,507 (¹) 4,411 1,062 2,396 11,495 19,364	$\begin{array}{r} 22,733\\7,411\\(^1)\\4,411\\1,062\\2;396\\11,495\\\hline\\19,364\end{array}$	$(1) \\ 10, 496 \\ (1) \\ 4, 411 \\ 1,062 \\ 2,396 \\ 11,495 \\ 19,364$	24, 443, 282 1, 948, 800 606, 219 3, 767, 000 2, 685, 000 7, 702, 000 14, 961, 000	21,556,138 3,391,360 607,000 3,767,000 2,685,000 807,000 7,702,000 14,961,000	21,000,000 4,159,680 607,000 3,767,000 2,685,000 7,702,000 14,961,000
Total				41,959,301	40,515,498	40,727,680
OCEANIA. Australia: Queensland New South Wales Victoria Total Australia	$ \begin{array}{r} 655 \\ 1,096 \\ 329 \\ 2,080 \\ \end{array} $	592 1,501 356 2,449	³ 693 1,914 138 2,745	849, 408 953, 456 122, 980 1, 925, 844	476, 560 1, 685, 040 412, 832 2, 574, 432	4 242,704 1,552,656 107,778 1,903,138
Fiji	200	114	(1)	58,531	29,120	30,000
Total				1,984,375	2,603,552	1,933,138
Grand total			•••••	2,566,201,544	2,696,401,379	2,722,190,030

¹ No official data. ² Census of 1911. ³ Including Northern Territory. ⁴ Unofficial estimate.

TABLE 94.—Tobacco: Total production of countries mentioned in Table 93, 1900-1913.¹

Year.	Production.	Year.	Production.	Year.	Production.	Year.	Production.
1900 1901 1902 1903	Pounds. 2,201,193,000 2,270,213,000 2,376,054,000 2,401,268,000	1904 1905 1906 1907	Pounds. 2,146,641,000 2,279,728,000 2,270,298,000 2,391,061,000	1908 1909 1910	Pounds. 2,382,601,000 2,742,500,000 2,833,729,000	1911 1912 1913	Pounds. 2,566,201,544 2,696,401,379 2,722,190,030

¹ Data for 1911-1913 not strictly comparable with earlier years.

TABLE 95.—Tobacco: Acreage, production, value, etc., in the United States 1849-1914.

NOTE.—Figures in *italics* are census returns; figures in roman are estimates of the Department of Agriculture. Estimates of acres are obtained by applying estimated percentages of increase or decrease to the published numbers of the preceding year, except that a revised base is used for applying percentage estimates whenever new census data are available.

-	Acre-	Aver-	Produc-	Aver- age farm	Farm value	Domestic exports of unmanu-	Imports of un- manufac-	Сот	ndition cr	of gro op.	wing
Year.	(000 omit- ted).	yield per acre.	tion (000 omitted).	price per pound Dec. 1.	(000 omit- ted).	factured, fiscal year beginning July 1.	tured, fiscal year beginning July 1.	July 1.	Aug. 1.	Sept. 1.	When har- vested.
	A cres.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Cts.	Dolls.	Pounds.	Pounds.	P.ct.	P.ct.	P.ct.	P.ct.
1849			199,753				.				
1859			434,209								
1869		1	262,735					· · • • · · ·			
1879	639	739.7	472,661		· · · · · · · · ·						
1889	695	702.5	488,257			•••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • •			
1899	1,101	788.5	868,113			015 505 500	00 071 070			··· · · _	
1900	1,046	778.0	814,345	6.6	53,661	315,787,782	20,851,253	88.5	82.9	77.5	70.1
1901	1,039	788.0	818,953	7.1	58,283	301,007,305	29,428,837	80.0	12.1	10.2	81.0
1902	1,031	797.3	821,824	1.0	57,304	308, 184, 084	34,010,900	00.0	01.2	01.0	04.1
1903	1,038	786.3	815,972	0.8	50,010	311,911,001	31,102,030	05.1	62.9	00.4	02.0
1904	806	819.0	622,024	8.1	52 510	210 002,091	41 195 070	87 4	84 1	85 1	85.9
1905	776	815.0	033,034	8.0	20,019	312, 221, 202	41,120,970	96.7	87.9	86.9	84 6
1906	(90	807.2	602,429	10.0	00,200	220 212 652	35 005 131	81 3	82.8	82 5	84.6
1907	821	800.0	718 061	10.2	74 120	287 000 046	43 123 106	86 6	85.8	84 3	84 1
1908	1 1 1 1 9 0	820.2	040 257	10.5	74,130	201,900,940	40, 120, 190	00.0	00.0	04.0	01.1
1909	1,100	015 0	1 055 765	10.1	106 600	257 106 074	46 852 380	80.8	82.4	80.2	81.3
1909	1,290	807 7	1 103 415	10.1	100,000	355 397 079	48 203 288	85.3	78 5	77 7	80.2
1910 *	1,000	807.7	005 100	9.0	85 210	379 845 390	54 740 380	72.6	68.0	71.1	80.5
1019	1,013	785 5	062 855	10.8	104 063	418 796 906	67 977 118	87.7	82.8	81.1	81.8
1012	1,220	784 3	053 734	12.8	122 481	449 749 982	61 174 751	82.8	78.3	74.5	76.6
1014	1 224	845 7	1 034 679	9.8	101,411	110, 110, 004	01,111,101	66.0	66.5	71.4	81.8
1311	1 1,221	510.1	1,001,010	0.0	102,111	1					

¹ Figures adjusted to census basis.

TABLE 96.—Tobacco: Acreage, production, and total farm value, by States, 1914.

				the second se			
State.	Acreage.	Produc- tion.	Farm value Dec. 1.	State.	Acreage.	Produc- tion.	Farm value Dec. 1.
New Hampshire . Vermont. Massachusetts. Connecticut. New York. Pennsylvania. Maryland. Virginia Virginia	A cres. 100 100 6,600 20,200 4,600 33,100 22,000 175,000	Pounds. 177,000 170,000 11,550,000 35,754,000 5,980,000 47,995,000 17,600,000 13,750,000	Dollars. 32,000 31,000 2,044,000 6,614,000 718,000 4,080,000 1,408,000 10,238,000	Ohio Indiana. Illinois. Wisconsin. Missouri. Kentucky Tennessee. Alabama. Laukiare	$\begin{array}{c} A \ cres. \\ 86,800 \\ 13,500 \\ 600 \\ 45,600 \\ 4,100 \\ 400,000 \\ 77,400 \\ 200 \\ 700 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \hline Pounds. \\ 78, 120, 000 \\ 12, 150, 000 \\ 468, 000 \\ 53, 808, 000 \\ 4, 920, 000 \\ 364, 000, 000 \\ 63, 468, 000 \\ 140, 000 \\ 280, 000 \\ 280, 000 \\ 280, 000 \\ 140, 000 \\ 280, 000 \\ 2$	Dollars. 6,875,000 1,094,000 56,030 5,919,000 640,000 30,576,000 4,760,000 39,000
North Carolina South Carolina	265,000 50,000	172,250,000 36,500,000	19,809,000 3,540,000	Texas	200 700	116,000 427,000	24,000 77,000
Georgia Florida	1,900 4,300	1,900,000 4,300,000	475,000 1,290,000	United States	1,223,500	1,034,679,000	101,411,000

Value per acre Farm price per pound (cents). Yield per acre (pounds). (dollars).1 aver-1905aver-1905aver-1910-State. μ0-year : age, 1 1914. 1914 1910 1911 1912 1913 1914 1914 10-year : age, 1 1914. 1907 1909 1910 1911 1912 1913 5-year age, 1914. 19051906 1908 $\begin{array}{cccc} 1,800 & 1,700 \\ 1,735 & 1,675 \end{array}$ 1,720 1,700 1.700 1.650 1.770 13.0 15.016.0 18.5 18.0 18.0 292.02 318.60 1,700 1,785 1,650New Hampshire..... 1.718 1,600 1,700 1,700 1,550 18.5 1.625 1,700 15.9 14.5 16.0 18.0 18.0 280. 70 306. 00 1,664 1,650 1,700 Vermont. 1,850 1,750 1.5251,650 1,600 1,730 1,650 1,700 1,550 1,750 17.4 15.0 20.0 23.9 21.0 17.7 326, 21 309, 75 1,676 Massachusetts..... 1,725 1,735 1,510 1,680 1,650 1,730 1,625 1,700 1,550 1.77018, 1 16.5 20.5 24.121.0 18.5 336, 24 327, 45 1,668 Connecticut. 1,210 1,148 1,250 1,150 1,175 1,175 1,250 1,330 1,300 1,020 1,300 10.4 8.5 10.4 12.6 12.2 12.0137. 76 156. 00 New York 9.5 7.5 122.18 123.25 1.3341.370 1,375 1.2601.325985 1.5001,4201,450 1,200 1.4509.5 9.3 8.5 8.5 Pennsylvania 7.6 7.5 8.0 9.3 8.0 58.77 64.00 660 700 710 690 735 660 740 800 7.7 650 600 Marvland..... 694730 675 760 815 775 780 800 600 770 650 9.8 9.0 9.6 12.0 13.9 9.0 76.91 58.50 675 Virginia. 875 750 820 12.0 11.0 76, 26 90, 20 756 750 640 760 680 10.7 10.3 8.0 11.0 West Virginia. 790 780720 88.77 74.75 600 710 620 670 650 11.8 10.6 11.6 16.0 18.5 11.5 633 608 580 625670 600 North Carolina 865 810 700 760 730 8.6 12.6 10.9 13.8 9.7 81.65 70.81 760 736 670 900 800 630 10.3 South Carolina. 525 675 860 975 700 680 900 830 1,000 1.000 29.0 20.0 28.0 30.0 31.0 25.0239, 40 250, 00 Georgia 814 600 875 925 990 840 1,000 30.9 23.0 28.0 30.0 31.0 30.0 256. 32 300. 00 856 710 680 940 1,000 Florida 670 871 850 . 060 900 925 810 925 920 750 900 9.5 8.5 7.6 9.1 11.4 8.8 77.51 79.20 Ohio..... 856 819 915 940 700 950 880 910 800 750 900 9.2 9.5 7.8 9.0 11.0 9.0 78.02 81.00 Indiana 700 755 790 750 760 780 9.2 9.5 7.8 9.0 11, 5 12.075. 21 93. 60 780 900 820 800 750 Illinois..... 1,250 1,290 1,180 7.5 12.0 1.200.370 1.2751,1001,1301,180 1.0501.180 10.1 10.0 11.0 11.0 123, 23 129, 80 Wisconsin 650 11.5 885 050 800 1,000 1,200 12.0 12.0 12.0 12,7 13.0 116.11 156.00 879 778 730 825 875 1 Missouri..... 890 835 880 780 760 910 8.8 8.7 7. 7 8,7 10.0 8.4 71, 71 76, 44 838 765 830 870 815 810 Kentucky..... 8.2 7. i 768 800 810 660 720 820 8.5 7.5 60.31 61.50 785 800 730 760 8.4 8.4 Tennessee 581 450510 450 450 600 500 700 750700 700 25.020.0 25.035.025.028.0 181. 70 196. 00 Alabama 488 633 500 350 850 550 450 400 25.0 31.0 30.0 25.0 123.90 140.00 475 550 450 300 29.6 35.0Louisiana 800 500 700 650 600 650 700600 580 23.0 25.0 20.017.5 22.021.0131, 26 121, 80 Texas. 550634 700 695 570 610 600 650 600 650 650 610 15.016.0 12.018.0 16.4 18.0 101. 88 109. 80 Arkansas 820.2 804. 3 807. 7 893. 7 785. 5 784. 3 845. 7 10.1 9.3 9.4 10.8 12.8 9.8 85.48 82.89 826.5 815.6 857.2 850.5 United States.....

TABLE 97.-Tobacco: Yield per acre, price per pound Dec. 1, and value per acre, by States.

¹ Based upon farm price Dec. 1.

Y earbookq theU epartment q A gricultur

Type and district.	Acro (thou: of ac	eage sands res).	Yi per (pou	ield acre inds).	Prod (thou of po	uction isands unds).	Avera pric pound (cer	A verage farm price per pound Dec. 1 (cents). Total farm value (the sands of dollars).		l farm (thou- ds of ars). ¹
	1914	1913	1914	1913	1914	1913	1914	1913	1914	1913
I. CIGAR TYPES.				1						
New England. New York. Pennsylvania Ohio—Miami Valley Wisconsin Georgia and Florida	27. 0 4. 6 33. 1 56. 4 45. 6 6. 2	24. 7 4. 3 38. 9 51. 3 43. 0 5. 8	1,7651,3001,4509601,1801,000	$\begin{array}{c}1,550\\1,020\\1,200\\730\\1,180\\1,000\end{array}$	47,651 5,980 47,995 54,144 53,808 6,200	38,295 4,386 46,680 37,449 50,740 5,800	$ \begin{array}{c} 18.3\\ 12.0\\ 7.5\\ 9.1\\ 7.5\\ 28.5 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 21.\ 0\\ 12.\ 2\\ 7.\ 5\\ 11.\ 0\\ 12.\ 0\\ 31.\ 0\end{array}$	8,721 718 3,600 4,927 4,036 1,765	8,033 535 3,501 4,119 6,089 1,798
II. CHEWING, SMOKING, SNUFF, AND EXPORT TYPES.										
Burley district. Dark districts of Kentucky	244, 2	232.6	920	760	224,664	176, 776	8.1	12.3	18,198	21,743
Paducah district Henderson or stemming	70. 0	75.0	780	780	54,600	58,500	6.1	7.7	3,331	4, 504
district One-Sucker district Clarksville and Hopkins-	71. 5 38. 4	55.0 38.4	950 960	800 740	67,925 36,864	44,000 28,248	6.3 5.6	7.3 7.0	4,279 2,064	3,212 1,179
ville district Virginia sun-cured district Virginia dark district Bright yellow district:	98. 9 12. 0 50. 0	115.0 15.9 71.2	800 760 740	700 800 820	79,120 9,120 •37,000	80,500 12,720 58,384	7.5 6.5 7.3	9.0 8.5 7.0	5,934 593 2,701	7,245 1,081 4,087
Old belt—Virginia and North Carolina New belt—Eastern North Carolina and South Caro-	240.0	240. 0	600	690	144,000	165, 600	11.0	18, 5	15,840	30, 63 6
lina	185.0	165.0	710	710	131,350	117, 150	11.6	17.9	15,237	20 , 97 0
export Perique-Louisiana Scattering	24. 9 . 7 15. 0	27.6 _6 10.6	820 400	760 450	20, 418 280 13, 560	20,976 270 7,260	्8.0 35.0	9.1 25.0	$1,633 \\ 98 \\ 1,212$	1,909 68 940

TABLE 98.—Tobacco: Acreage, production, and farm value, by types and districts, 1913 and 1914.

¹ Based upon farm price Dec. 1.

TABLE 99.—Tobacco: Wholesale price per pound, 1900-1914.

Date.	Cinci leaf, sto comn good	nnati, plug, ck, ion to red. ¹	Hopki le com to f	nsville, af, mon ìne.	Louis leaf (1 dark com to g	sville, Burley, red), mon ood.	Clark le com to f	sville, af, mon ìne.	Rich le smo com to g	Richmond, leaf, smokers, common to good.		Baltimore, leaf, (Maryland), medium to fine red.	
	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	
1900 1901 1902 1903	Cents. 5.00 4.50 5.00 4.00	<i>Cents.</i> 20, 00 12, 00 11, 00 12, 00	Cents. 5.00 5.00 4.25 5.00	<i>Cents.</i> 14. 00 15. 00 14. 00 13. 50	Cents. 5.50 5.50 4.50 5.00	<i>Cents.</i> 14.00 12.50 12.00 13.75	<i>Cents.</i> 5, 50 6, 00 6, 00 5, 50	<i>Cents.</i> 13. 50 14. 00 12. 50 13. 00	Cents.	Cents.	Cents. 5.00 6.00 6.00 6.50	Cents. 10.00 11.00 12.00 12.00	
1904 1905 1906 1907 1908	4.00 4.00 4.50 6.50 8.00	$12.50 \\ 14.00 \\ 13.00 \\ 17.50 \\ 20.00$	3.50 5.00 5.75 6.50 7.50	$12.50 \\ 14.00 \\ 15.00 \\ 16.00 \\ 20.00$	6.00 5.50 6.25 6.50 9.00	24.50 14.50 17.00 14.50 19.00	4.75 5.75 6.50 7.50 9.00	12.00 13.00 12.50 17.00 18.00	6.00 8.00 9.00 9.00 5.00	$12.50 \\ 13.00 \\ 13.00 \\ 13.00 \\ 13.25$	6.00 6.00 6.00 6.50 6.50	12.00 12.00 12.00 12.00 13.00	
1909 1910 1911 1912 1913	$\begin{array}{c} 12.\ 00\\ 7.\ 00\\ 5.\ 50\\ 5.\ 00\\ 5.\ 50\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 20.\ 00\\ 16.\ 75\\ 14.\ 50\\ 14.\ 00\\ 13.\ 75 \end{array}$	6.00 6.00 7.00 8.00 27.00	14.00 17.50 18.00 16.00 ² 14.00	$12.00 \\ 8.00 \\ 6.00 \\ 7.00 \\ 7.00$	18.50 17.00 12.75 13.00 16.00 -	7, 50 8, 00 9, 50 9, 50 8, 50	$\begin{array}{c} 14.\ 00\\ 16.\ 50\\ 15.\ 50\\ 15.\ 00\\ 15.\ 00\end{array}$	5.00 5.00 5.00 6.00 3 6.00	10.00 10.00 12.00 12.00 ³ 16.00	8.50 8.50 8.50 8.50 8.50 8.50	$13.00 \\ 13.00 \\ 13.00 \\ 15.00 \\ 15.00 \\ 15.00 \\ 15.00 \\ 15.00 \\ 15.00 \\ 15.00 \\ 15.00 \\ 10.0$	
1914. January February March April May June	6.00 6.00 5.50 5.50 5.50 5.50 5.50	14.00 14.00 14.00 13.00 13.00 13.00	8.00 9.00 8.50 8.00 8.00 8.50	²) 14.00 13.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00	10.00 10.00 9.00 9.00 9.00 9.00 9.00	$\begin{array}{c} 16.\ 00\\ 16.\ 00\\ 16.\ 00\\ 15.\ 00\\ 15.\ 00\\ 15.\ 00\\ 15.\ 00\\ \end{array}$	9.50 9.50 9.50 9.50 10.00 10.00	$15.00 \\ 15.00 \\ 15.00 \\ 16.00 \\ 16.00 \\ 16.00 \\ 16.00 $	(7.00 7.00 7.00	³) 20.00 20.00 20.00	8.50 8.50 8.50 8.50 8.50 8.50 8.50	$15.00 \\ 15.0$	
July August September October November December	5.50 5.50 5.50 5.50 5.50 5.50 5.50	13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00	9.00 8.00 7.50 7.50	14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00	9.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00	$\begin{array}{c} 16.\ 00\\ 16.\ 00\\ 16.\ 00\\ 16.\ 00\\ 16.\ 00\\ 16.\ 00\\ 16.\ 00\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10.\ 00\\ 10.\ 00\\ 10.\ 00\\ 10.\ 00\\ 10.\ 00\\ 7.\ 50 \end{array}$	16.00 16.00 16.00 16.00 16.00 16.00	7.00 7.00 7.00 7.00 7.00 7.00 7.00	$\begin{array}{c} 20.\ 00\\ 20.\ 00\\ 20.\ 00\\ 20.\ 00\\ 20.\ 00\\ 20.\ 00\\ 20.\ 00 \end{array}$	9.00 9.00 8.00 8.00 8.00 8.00 8.00	$\begin{array}{c} 15.\ 00\\ 15.\ 00\\ 15.\ 00\\ 13.\ 00\\ 13.\ 00\\ 13.\ 00\\ 13.\ 00\end{array}$	
Year	5.50	14.00	7.50	14.00	9.00	16.00	7.50	16.00	7.00	20.00	8.00	15.00	

¹ Common to fine red, 1900 and 1901.
 ² Common to good, February to November, inclusive.
 ³ Brights, smokers, common to fine.

TABLE 100.—Tobacco (unmanufactured): International trade, calendar years 1911-1913.

[Tobacco comprises leaf, stems, strippings, and tombac, but not snuff. See "General note," p. 518.]

EXPORTS.

[000 omitted.]

Country.	1911	1912	1913 (prelim.).	Country.	1911	1912	1913 (prelim.).
Aden ¹ Algeria. Austria-Hungary Brazil. British India. Bulgaria. Ceylon. Cuba. Dutch East Indies. Greece. Mexico.	Pounds. 8, 548 13, 426 24, 073 40, 761 34, 560 4, 812 4, 088 32, 234 170, 226 18, 629 2, 101	Pounds. 8, 825 14, 445 26, 281 54, 466 32, 256 3, 578 4, 482 42, 983 189, 551 24, 238 1, 271	Pounds. 10, 475 14, 445 19, 247 64, 788 35, 843 3, 578 4, 482 30, 669 189, 551 21, 876 1, 271	Netherlands Parsia ² Philippine Islands. Russia Santo Domingo Turkey ² United States Other countries Total	Pounds. 3,713 14,312 2,889 27,656 22,950 30,441 54,582 370,284 60,445 940,730	Pounds. 3,686 8,481 3,776 30,945 23,674 12,687 54,582 410,852 58,366 1,009,424	Pounds. 3, 454 8, 481 3, 776 28, 585 28, 024 21, 584 54, 582 444, 372 50, 340 1, 039, 423

IMPORTS.

			1		1	
12,788	12,734	11,401	Italy	43, 460	47,917	56, 160
14,047	18,787	17,917	Netherlands	57,266	55,523	65,913
14.901	15.036	15,805	Norway	3,731	4,355	4,036
50, 429	49, 183	48, 174	Portugal	6,739	6,382	6,382
20, 695	25.989	21,597	Southern Nigeria.	5,049	6,602	6,602
5, 196	6.346	7.048	Spain	48,931	60, 583	60,279
17,815	20.355	21,958	Sweden	10,054	9,913	9,913
13,026	19,057	21.545	Switzerland	18,154	19,429	18,470
10, 674	10, 211	10,407	United Kingdom	119,347	137, 970	158, 668
19,008	19, 549	19,613	United States	52,901	57,473	66, 899
9, 377	10, 294	9,450	Other countries	54,453	57,056	52, 314
61, 167	70, 869	81,779				
162,020	178,443	182,775	Total	831,228	920,056	975, 104
. ,	,	,		,		ŕ
	$\begin{array}{c} 12,788\\ 14,047\\ 14,901\\ 50,429\\ 20,695\\ 5,196\\ 17,815\\ 13,026\\ 10,674\\ 19,008\\ 9,377\\ 61,167\\ 162,020\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccc} 12,788 & 12,734 \\ 14,047 & 18,787 \\ 14,901 & 15,036 \\ 50,429 & 49,183 \\ 20,695 & 25,989 \\ 5,196 & 6,346 \\ 17,815 & 20,355 \\ 13,026 & 19,057 \\ 10,674 & 10,211 \\ 19,008 & 19,549 \\ 9,377 & 10,294 \\ 61,167 & 70,869 \\ 162,020 & 178,443 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	12,788 12,734 11,401 Italy 14,047 18,787 17,917 Netherlands 14,047 15,036 15,805 Norway 50,429 49,183 48,174 Portugal 20,695 25,989 21,597 Southern Nigeria 5,196 6,346 7.048 Sweden 17,815 20,355 21,958 Sweden 10,674 10,211 10,407 United Kingdom 9,077 10,294 9,613 United Kingdom 9,377 10,294 9,453 United States 9,377 10,294 9,450 Other countries 61,167 70,869 81,779 Total	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

¹ Year beginning Apr. 1.

² Year beginning Mar. 21.

⁸ Year beginning Mar. 14, 1910.

FLAX.

TABLE 101.—Flax:	Area and production	of undermentioned	countries, 1911–1913.
	[000 om	itted.]	

		Area.				Р	roduction.		
Country.					Seed.			Fiber.	
	1911	1912	1913	1911	1912	1913	1911	1912	1913
NORTH AMERICA. United States	A cres. 2, 757	A cres. 2,851	A cres. 2, 291	Bush. 19,370	Bush. 28,073	Bush. 17,853	Pounds.	Pounds.	Pounds.
Canada: Quebec Ontario Manitoba Saskatchewan Alberta	$ \begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 9 \\ 80 \\ 682 \\ 107 \end{array} $	$1 \\ 9 \\ 100 \\ 1,780 \\ 132$	$1\\54\\1,386\\105$	$13 \\ 124 \\ 1,152 \\ 7,672 \\ 1,114$	$\begin{array}{r} 9\\143\\1,252\\23,033\\1,693\end{array}$	9 164 632 15,579 1,155			
Total Canada	879	2,022	1,553	10,075	26,130	17, 539	{		
Mexico	(1)	(1)	(1)	150	150	150			
Total				29, 595	54, 353	35, 542			
SOUTH AMERICA. Argentina Uruguay	3,716	4,028	4, 382	23,424	22, 518 879	44,486			
Total				24.084	23, 397	45, 788			
EUROPE.									
Austria-Hungary: Austria. Hungary proper Croatia-Slavonia. Bosnia-Herzegovina.	$95 \\ 21 \\ 17 \\ (^1)$	91 (1) (1) (1)	90 (1) (1) (1) (1)	697 174 15 4	650 174 15 4	608 174 15 4	46, 646 13, 932 6, 448 1, 000	51, 532 (1) (1) 1,000	48, 976 (¹) (¹) 1, 000
Total Austria- Hungary				890	843	801	68,026		
Belgium. Bulgaria. France. Italy. Netherlands. Roumania.	49 1 59 22 39 52	$54 \\ 1 \\ 69 \\ 22 \\ 36 \\ 79$	$\begin{array}{c} 57 \\ (^1) \\ 71 \\ 22 \\ 36 \\ 67 \end{array}$	515 12 496 341 579 607	$514 \\ 6 \\ 576 \\ 343 \\ 428 \\ 772$	387 8 300 405 326 569	52,000 878 45,003 6,078 20,929 4,530	64,000 308 46,074 5,511 21,217 8,953	39,437 (¹) (¹) 5,732 16,606 4,759
Russia: Russia proper Poland Northern Caucasia	3, 237 95 96	3,237 80 137	(1) (1) (1)	18,877 935 732	20, 574 793 810	 			
Total Russia (European)	3, 428	3, 454	3,676	20, 544	22, 177	24, 447	2 785, 136	²1,172,059	1, 703, 209
Servia. Sweden. Ireland	$\begin{array}{r} 4\\ 4\\ 67\end{array}$	4 4 55	(1) (1) 59	17 (¹)	17 (¹)	17 (¹)	2, 091 1, 500 25, 179	2,095 1,212 29,021	(1) (1) 28,341
Total				24,001	25, 676	27, 360	1,011,350		
ASIA. British India	3, 757	4,946	4, 125	22, 544	25, 648	21, 544	<i></i>		
Russia: Central Asia Siberia Trancaucasia	125 154 19	89 137 16	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$	220 785 94	358 779 93				
Total Russia (Asiatic)	298	242	(1)	1,099	1,230	1,300			
Total				23,643	26,878	22,844			
AFRICA.									
Algeria	2	1	(1)	16	13	15	(1)	(1)	(1)
Grand total	•••••			101, 339	130, 317	131, 549	1,011,350		

¹ No official data.

² Includes 27 Governments only.

Statistics of Flax.

FLAX—Continued.

TABLE	102Flax	(seed and	fiber):	Total	production	of	countries	named	in	Table	101,
		•	•	1896	<i>–1912</i> .	-					

	Prod	uction.		luction.	
Year.	Seed.	Fiber.	Y ear.	Seed.	Fiber.
1896	Bushels. 82,684,000 57,596,000 72,938,000 66,348,000 62,432,000 72,314,000 83,891,000 110,455,000 107,743,000	Pounds. 1, 714, 205, 000 1, 498, 054, 000 1, 780, 693, 000 1, 315, 733, 000 1, 315, 931, 000 1, 564, 840, 000 1, 492, 383, 000 1, 517, 922, 000	1905 1906	$\begin{array}{c} Bushels.\\ 100, 458, 000\\ 88, 165, 000\\ 102, 960, 000\\ 100, 850, 000\\ 100, 820, 000\\ 85, 253, 000\\ 101, 339, 000\\ 130, 417, 000\\ 131, 549, 000 \end{array}$	Pounds. 1, 494, 229, 000 1, 871, 723, 000 2, 042, 390, 001 1, 907, 590, 100 1, 384, 524, 000 913, 112, 000 1, 011, 350, 000

TABLE 103.—Flaxseed: Acreage, production, value, etc., in the United States, 1849-1914.

Note.—Figures in *italics* are census returns; figures in roman are estimates of the Department of Agriculture. Estimates of acres are obtained by applying estimated percentages of increase or decrease to the published numbers of the preceding year, except that a revised base is used for applying percentage estimates whenever new census data are available.

-				Average farm		Con	dition of	growing	crop.
Year.	Acreage.	Average yield per acre.	Production.	price per bushel Dec. 1.	Farm value Dec. 1.	July 1. Aug. 1		Sept. 1.	When har- vested.
1849	A cres.	Bushels.	Bushels. 562.000	Cents.	Dollars.	P. ct.	P. ct.	P. ct.	P. ct.
1859 1869 1879			567,000 1,730,000 7,170,000						
1889	1,319,000 2,111,000 3,740,000	7.8 9.5 7.8	10, 250, 000 19, 979, 000 29, 285, 000	105.0	30,815,000				
1903 1904	3,233,000 2,264,000	^{.8.4} 10.3	27, 301,000 23, 401,000	81.7 99.3	22, 292, 000 23, 229, 000	86.2 86.6	80.3 78.9	- 80.5 85.8	74.0 87.0
1905 1906	2,535,000 2,506,000	11.2 10.2	28, 478, 000 25, 576, 000	84.4 101.3	24,049,000 25,899,000 24,712,000	92.7 93.2	96.7 92.2	94.2 89.0	91.5 87.4 78.0
1907 1908 1909	2,864,000 2,679,000 2,742,000	9.0 9.6 9.4	25,851,000 25,805,000 25,856,000	95.6 118.4	30,577,000	92.5	86.1	82.5	81.2
<i>1909</i> 1910 ¹	2,083,000 2,467,000	9.4 5.2	<i>19,513,000</i> 12,718,000	152.9 231.7	29, 795, 000 29, 472, 000	95.1 65.0	92.7 51.7	88.9 48.3	84.9 47.2
1911 1912 1913.	2,757,000 2,851,000 2,291,000	7.0 9.8 7.8	19,370,000 28,073,000 17,853,000	182.1 114.7 119.9	35, 272, 000 32, 202, 000 21, 399, 000	80.9 88.9 82.0	71.0 87.5 77.4	68.4 86.3 74.9	69.6 83.8 74.7
1914	1, 885, 000	8.3	15, 559, 000	125.6	19, 540, 000	90.5	82.1	72.9	77.4

¹ Figures adjusted to census basis.

FLAX-Continued.

TABLE 104.-Flaxseed: Acreage, production, and total farm value, by States, 1914.

State.	Acreage.	Average yield per acre.	Produc- tion.	Average farm price per bushel Dec. 1.	Farm value Dec. 1.
Wisconsin Minnesota Iowa Missouri North Dakota	A cres. 8,000 315,000 20,000 8,000 840,000	Bushels. 13.5 9.3 9.5 8.0 8.3	Bushels. 108,000 2,930,000 190,000 64,000 6,972,000	Cents. 125 128 120 104 128	Dollars. 135,000 3,750,000 228,000 67,000 8,924,000
South Dakota. Nebraska Kansas. Montana. Colorado. United States.	$\begin{array}{r} 320,000\\7,000\\45,000\\320,000\\2,000\end{array}$	7.5 7.0 6.0 8.0 8.0 8.0	2,400,000 49,000 270,000 2,560,000 16,000 15,559,000	$ \begin{array}{r} 123 \\ 119 \\ 125 \\ 120 \\ 100 \\ \hline 125.6 \\ \end{array} $	2, 952, 000 58, 000 338, 000 3, 072, 000 16, 000 19, 540, 000

 TABLE 105.—Flaxseed: Yield per acre, price per bushel Dec. 1, and value per acre, by

 States.

Yield per acre (bushels). Farm price per b							r busl	nel (ce	Valu ac (doll	Value per acre (dollars).1									
State.	10-year aver- age 1905-1914	1905	1906	1907	1908	1909	1910	1911	1912	1913	1914	10-year aver- age 1905-1914	1910	1111	1912	1913	1914	5-year aver- age 1910-1914	1914
Wis Minn No. Dak N. Dak S. Dak Nebr Kans Mont Colo	$ \begin{array}{c} 13.4\\ 9.7\\ 10.6\\ 7.1\\ 8.4\\ 8.5\\ 6.9\\ 10.2\\ 7.0\\ \hline 0.8\\ \hline $	$ \begin{array}{c} 13.0\\ 11.3\\ 11.4\\ 7.8\\ 11.6\\ 11.2\\ 10.2\\ 8.0\\ 10.0\\ \dots\\ 11.0\\ 11.$	$ \begin{array}{c} 14.0\\ 11.0\\ 11.4\\ 7.3\\ 9.9\\ 10.5\\ 8.5\\ 8.2\\ 12.0\\ \hline 10.9\\ \hline 10$	$ \begin{array}{c} 14.2\\ 10.5\\ 11.5\\ 10.0\\ 8.0\\ 10.0\\ 11.0\\ 10.0\\ 13.0\\ \dots\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0$	$16.0 \\ 10.6 \\ 10.9 \\ 7.0 \\ 9.0 \\ 10.7 \\ 11.0 \\ 6.5 \\ 11.5 \\ \dots \\ 0.6 \\ $	$ \begin{array}{c} 14.5\\10.0\\9.8\\8.1\\9.3\\9.4\\8.5\\7.0\\12.0\\\hline \\ \hline \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 10.0\\ 7.5\\ 12.2\\ 8.4\\ 3.6\\ 5.0\\ 8.0\\ 8.2\\ 7.0\\ 7.0\\ 7.0\\ 5.0\\ 8.2\\ 7.0\\ 7.0\\ 7.0\\ 7.0\\ 7.0\\ 7.0\\ 7.0\\ 7.0$	$ \begin{array}{c} 12.0\\ 8.0\\ 3.0\\ 7.6\\ 5.3\\ 5.0\\ 3.0\\ 7.7\\ 7.0\\ 7.0\\ 7.0\\ 7.0\\ 7.0\\ 7.0\\ 7$	$12.5 \\ 10.2 \\ 11.5 \\ 6.0 \\ 9.7 \\ 8.6 \\ 9.5 \\ 6.0 \\ 12.0 \\ 8.0 \\ \hline 0.2 \\ 0 \\ 0.2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ $	$ \begin{array}{c} 14.0\\ 9.0\\ 9.4\\ 5.0\\ 7.2\\ 7.2\\ 6.0\\ 9.0\\ 5.0\\ 7.2\\ 7.2\\ 7.2\\ 6.0\\ 9.0\\ 5.0\\ 7.2\\ 7.2\\ 7.2\\ 7.2\\ 7.2\\ 7.2\\ 7.2\\ 7.2$	$ \begin{array}{c} 13.5\\ 9.3\\ 9.5\\ 8.0\\ 8.3\\ 7.5\\ 7.0\\ 6.0\\ 8.0\\ 8.0\\ 8.0\\ 8.0\\ 8.0\\ 8.0\\ 8.0\\ 8$	$ \begin{array}{r} 132\\134\\129\\122\\134\\131\\128\\124\\129\\149\\129\\129\\7\end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 220\\ 230\\ 220\\ 210\\ 235\\ 229\\ 225\\ 210\\ 240\\ 225\\ 001 \\ 7\\ $	185 182 185 190 184 178 185 190 180 180	$ \begin{array}{r} 127\\120\\124\\110\\114\\.\\113\\128\\130\\112\\125\\\end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 123\\123\\123\\115\\121\\120\\110\\116\\115\\115\\115\\115\\110\\110\\115\\115\\115\\110\\110$	$ \begin{array}{c} 125\\128\\120\\104\\128\\123\\119\\125\\120\\100\\\hline100\\\hline100\\\hline100\\\hline\end{array} $	$18.84 \\ 13.40 \\ 15.77 \\ 8.80 \\ 10.57 \\ 9.69 \\ 10.87 \\ 9.04 \\ 12.81 \\ 10.42 \\ \hline 11.15 \\ 10.$	$16.88 \\ 11.90 \\ 11.40 \\ 8.32 \\ 10.62 \\ 9.22 \\ 8.33 \\ 7.50 \\ 9.60 \\ 8.00 \\ 10.27 \\ 10$
U. S	8.8	11.2	10.2	9.0	9.6	9.4	5.2	7.0	9.8	78	8.3	132.7	231.7	182.1	114.7	119.9	125.6	11.15	10.37

1 Based upon farm price Dec. 1.

Statistics of Flax.

FLAX-Continued.

Month.	United	States.	North States Missi Riv	Central east of ssippi ver.	North States Missi Riv	Central west of ssippi ver.	Far Western States.		
	1914	1913	1914	1913	1914	1913	1914	1913	
January. February. March April. May. June. Juny. August. September. October. November. December.	Cents. 124.2 127.8 132.5 132.8 134.7 136.8 136.0 150.7 139.3 127.4 118.7 125.6	Cents. 106.2 109.3 119.0 113.6 114.3 115.8 113.4 118.6 127.8 122.6 118.7 119.9	Cents. 141.0 149.0 140.0 129.0 148.0 141.0 148.0 135.0 141.0 121.0 125.0	Cents. 137.0 119.0 130.0 130.0 130.0 138.0 110.0 105.0 135.0 160.0 118.0 123.0	$\begin{array}{c} Cents.\\ 125.5\\ 128.5\\ 133.7\\ 135.2\\ 133.3\\ 136.2\\ 139.5\\ 150.9\\ 144.2\\ 129.2\\ 118.7\\ 126.7\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cents.\\ 107.0\\ 112.0\\ 120.0\\ 114.0\\ 114.0\\ 116.0\\ 118.0\\ 127.0\\ 124.0\\ 120.0\\ 121.0\\ \end{array}$	Cents. 119.0 125.0 127.0 123.0 140.0 139.0 122.0 150.0 120.0 120.0 119.9	$\begin{array}{c} \textit{Cents.} \\ 100.0 \\ 98.0 \\ 115.0 \\ 129.0 \\ 113.0 \\ 116.0 \\ 110.0 \\ 121.0 \\ 130.0 \\ 114.0 \\ 114.0 \\ 115.0 \\ \end{array}$	

TABLE 106.—Flaxseed: Farm price per bushel, on first of each month, by geographical divisions, 1913 and 1914.

TABLE 107.—Flaxseed: Wholesale price per bushel, 1900-1914.

	Cincir	nnati.	Minne	apolis.	Milwa	ukee.	Duluth.		
Date.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	No. 1 west	North- ern. High.	Low.	High.	
1900. 1901. 1902. 1908. 1908.	\$1.00 1.20 1.25 1.00 1.00	\$1.45 1.50 1.40 1.30 1.00	\$1.32 1.38 1.13 .89 .97	\$1.86 1.90 1.80 1.24 1.28	\$1.30 1.30 1.18 .94 1.06	\$1.86 1.88 1.80 1.24 1.28	$\begin{array}{c} \$1.\ 28\frac{1}{2}\\ 1.\ 33\\ 1.\ 15\frac{1}{4}\\ .\ 92\\ 1.\ 01\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	\$1.87 1.88 1.78 1.20 1.28	
1905	$1.10 \\ 1.10 \\ 1.12 \\ 1.12 \\ 1.25$	$1.10 \\ 1.12 \\ 1.12 \\ 1.25 \\ 1.25 \\ 1.25$	$\begin{array}{c} .92 \\ 1.03 \\ .96 \\ 1.06 \\ 1.29 \end{array}$	$1.47 \\ 1.25 \\ 1.36{1\over 2} \\ 1.51{1\over 2} \\ 1.99$	$\begin{array}{r} .98 \\ 1.05 \\ 1.07 \\ 1.12 \\ 1.35 \end{array}$	$1.47 \\ 1.25 \\ 1.34 \\ 1.47 \\ 2.09$	$\begin{array}{r} .96\frac{1}{4} \\ 1.09\frac{1}{4} \\ 1.06\frac{1}{2} \\ 1.12\frac{3}{4} \\ 1.36\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	$1.50 \\ 1.25 \\ 1.411 \\ 1.49 \\ 2.041 \\ 1.50 $	
1910 1911 1912 1913	$1.75 \\ 2.50 \\ 1.50 \\ $	2.75 2.75 2.80 1.50	1.75 1.93 1.28 	2.842.741/22.20	$\begin{array}{c} 1.91\frac{1}{2}\\ 1.92\\ 1.24\frac{1}{2}\\ 1.25\frac{1}{2}\end{array}$	$2.752.702.391.54{}_2$	$1.891.931.221.22\frac{5}{8}$	2.84 2.70 2.53 1.53	
1914. January February March April May June July	$1.50 \\ 1.50 \\ 1.50 \\ 1.50 \\ 1.50 \\ 1.50 \\ 1.40 \\ $	$ \begin{array}{r} 1.50\\ 1.50\\ 1.50\\ 1.50\\ 1.50\\ 1.50\\ 1.50\\ 1.40 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 1.47\frac{1}{4}\\ 1.48\frac{1}{2}\\ 1.53\frac{5}{2}\\ 1.50\frac{1}{4}\\ 1.54\frac{1}{3}\\ 1.56\frac{1}{4}\\ 1.58\\ 1.58\\ 1.58\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.51\\ 1.59\\ 1.60\\ 1.57\\ 1.57\\ 1.57\\ 1.61\\ 1.88\\ 1.703\end{array}$	1.451 1.451 1.533 1.542 1.561 1.583 1.60 1.57	1.52_{4}^{3} 1.59_{4}^{1} 1.64 1.62_{2}^{1} 1.75 1.66 1.93 1.76	1.48 1.481 1.553 1.52 1.541 1.581 1.581 1.584	$\begin{array}{c} 1.52\\ 1.58\\ 1.61\\ 1.59\\ 1.60\\ 1.63\\ 1.93\\ 1.71\\ \end{array}$	
August. September. October. November. December. Year	1.40	1.40	$1.33 \\ 1.421 \\ 1.28 \\ 1.331 \\ 1.448 \\ 1.28$	$ \begin{array}{r} 1.64 \\ 1.401 \\ 1.491 \\ 1.632 \\ \hline 1.88 \\ \hline 1.88 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 1.431 \\ 1.30 \\ 1.36 \\ 1.45 \\ \hline 1.30 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 1.72 \\ 1.46 \\ 1.55 \\ 1.69 \\ \hline 1.93 \end{array} $	1.423 1.283 1.331 1.451 1.283 1.451 1.283 1.285	$ \begin{array}{r} 1.62 \\ 1.43 \\ 1.53 \\ 1.66 \\ \hline 1.93 \end{array} $	

RICE.

TABLE 108.—Rice: Area and production of undermentioned countries, 1911–1913.

[Mostly cleaned rice.]

		Area.			Production.	
Country.	1911	1912	1913	1911	1912	1913
NORTH AMERICA.						
United States: Contiguous	A cres. 696, 000	A cres. 723,000	A cres. 827, 000	Pounds. 637, 056, 000	Pounds. 695, 944, 000	Pounds. 715, 111, 000
Hawaii ¹	9,000	9,000	9,000	25,820,000	25, 820, 000	25, 820, 000
Total	705,000	732,000	836,000	662, 876, 000	721, 764, 000	740, 931, 000
Central America: Guatemala Mexico ³	(4)	(4)	4,000 (⁴)	² 3, 650, 000 220, 460, 000	3,650,000 220,460,000	3, 501, 000 220, 460, 000
Total				224, 110, 000	224, 110, 000	223, 961, 000
SOUTH AMERICA.						
Argentina Brazil: São Paulo. British Guiana Dutch Guiana Poru	19,000 33,000	8,000 224,000 38,000	13,000 44,000	34, 171, 000 82, 753, 000 61, 185, 000 2, 986, 000 114, 313, 000	$19,841,000 \\137,323,000 \\561,185,000 \\3,619,000 \\114,313,000$	19, 841, 000 109, 625, 000 ⁵ 3, 619, 000 108, 869, 000
1 GIU				905 408 000	236 981 000	
Total				295,408,000		
EUROPE. Bulgaria. France. Greece ³ Italy. Spain Turkey, Euro-	6,000 1,000 360,000 94,000	7,000 1,000 360,000 95,000	7,000 362,000 96,000	6, 666, 000 4, 526, 000 1, 633, 000 652, 153, 000 282, 306, 000 1, 287, 000	8,261,000 1,257,000 1,633,000 598,100,000 332,358,000	7,716,000 51,257,000 1,633,000 739,221,000 303,310,000 1,387,000
pean ^o				1,001,000		1 054 594 000
Total				948,671,000	942,996,000	1,034,524,000
ASIA. British India, in- cluding Native States ⁷	64, 726, 000	71, 623, 000	70, 583, 000	67, 364, 976, 000	63, 805, 168, 000	63, 093, 184, 000
Ceylon Chosen (Korea) Formosa Japan Java and Madura Philippine Islands Russia , Asiatic:	645,000 2,283,000 1,183,000 7,286,000 5,894,000 2,579,000	801,000 1,189,000 7,360,000 5,860,000 2,666,000	620,000 1,236,000 7,393,000 7,150,000 2,820,000	283, 882, 000 1, 390, 970, 000 638, 540, 000 7, 353, 221, 000 7, 459, 050, 000 1, 267, 300, 000	283, 882,000 ⁵ 1,390,970,000 575,406,000 7,141,362,000 ⁵ 7,459,050,000 717,441,000	$\begin{array}{r} 283,882,000\\ 2,218,293,000\\ 1,543,220,000\\ 7,142,418,000\\ 8,905,350,000\\ 1,377,875,000\end{array}$
Caucasus and Central Asia Siam ³ . Straits Settlements	682,000 92,000	491,000 3,558,000 92,000	596,000 92,000	382,757,000 3,214,258,000 $\binom{8}{137}$	276, 938, 000 3, 214, 258, 000 (⁸) 137, 333, 000	447, 655, 000 3, 214, 258, 000 (⁸) 137, 333, 000
Total				89,492,314,000	85,001,808,000	88,363,495,000
AFRICA. Egypt Madagascar ⁹ Nyasaland ¹⁰	236,000 (⁴)	235,000	252,000	523, 438, 000 953, 000 1, 947, 000	439, 799, 000 953, 000 1, 846, 000	506, 895, 000 953, 000 3, 385, 000
Total				526, 338, 000	442, 598, 000	511, 233, 000
Fiji	12,000	11,000	<u></u>	(4)	(4)	(4)
Grand total.				92,149,717,000	87,669,557,000	
	,	· · · ·	,	•		

¹ Census of 1909.

² Average production as unofficially estimated. ³ Data for 1912.

⁸ Data for 1912.
⁴ No official statistics.
⁵ Year preceding.
⁶ Data for European and Asiatic Turkey are for 1910 and do not include all the provinces and districts.
⁷ Data refer to crop years beginning in the spring of the calendar years mentioned. The data relate to
⁸ Oper cent of the total area under rice in India in 1911. The total area under rice in 1911 is given in Agricultural Statistics of India as follows: British Provinces, 76,636,887 acres; Native States, 2,482,761 acres.
⁸ Data incomplete.

9 Data for 1908

10 Includes only crops raised by natives.

Statistics of Rice.

RICE-Continued.

TABLE 109.—Rice: Total production in countries named in Table 108, 1900–1913.¹

Year.	Production.	Year.	Production.
1900	$\begin{array}{c} Pounds.\\ 91,584,400,000\\ 99,445,600,000\\ 106,626,400,000\\ 110,865,000,000\\ 115,735,800,000\\ 118,735,800,000\\ 118,963,551,000\\ 112,363,176,000 \end{array}$	1907 1908 1909 1910 1911 1912 1913	Pounds. 105, 354, 132, 000 106, 662, 842, 000 131, 660, 408, 000 130, 214, 621, 000 2 92, 149, 717, 000 2 87, 669, 557, 000

In 1910 the rice crop of 3 provinces of China was reported as 47,204,000,000 pounds. Prior to 1911 figures include estimate of 5,000,000,000 pounds for crop of French Indo-China. ² Data for 1911-1912 not strictly comparable with earlier years.

TABLE 110.-Rice: Acreage, production, value, etc., in the United States, 1904-1914.

				Average		Condition of growing crop.					
Year.	Acreage.	Average yield per acre.	Production.	price per bushel Dec. 1.	Farm value Dec. 1.	July 1.	Aug. 1.	Sept. 1.	When har- vested.		
1904 1905 1906 1907 1908 1909 1909 1910 1911	<i>A cres.</i> 662,000 460,000 575,000 627,000 655,000 720,000 723,000 696,000 723,000	Bushels. 31.9 28.1 31.1 29.9 33.4 33.8 <i>\$5.8</i> 33.9 32.9 32.9 34.7	Bushels. 21,096,000 12,933,000 17,855,000 21,890,000 21,890,000 24,368,000 24,510,000 22,934,000 25,054,000	Cents. 65.8 95.0 90.3 85.8 81.2 79.4 79.6 67.8 79.7 93.5	<i>Dollars.</i> 13,892,000 12,286,000 16,081,000 17,771,000 17,383,000 16,624,000 18,274,000 23,423,000	Per ct. 88.2 88.0 82.9 88.7 92.9 90.7 86.3 87.7 86.3	Per ct. 90.2 92.9 83.1 88.6 94.1 	Per ct. 89.7 92.2 86.8 87.0 93.5 	Per ct. 87.3 89.3 87.2 88.7 87.7 81.2 88.1 85.4 85.4		
1913 1914	827,000 694,000	$\begin{array}{c} 31.1\\ 34.1\end{array}$	25,744,000 23,649,000	85.8 92.4	22,090,000 21,849,000		88.7 87.6	88.0 88.9	80.3 88.0		

TABLE 111.—Rice: Acreage, production, and farm value, by States, 1914.

State.	Acreage.	Average yield per acre.	Production.	Average farm price per bushel Dec. 1.	Farm value Dec. 1.
North Carolina. South Carolina. Georgia. Florida. Alabama Mississippi Louisiana. Texas. Arkansas. California.	$\begin{array}{c} A \ cres. \\ 150 \\ 6,900 \\ 1,100 \\ 400 \\ 200 \\ 1,000 \\ 336,500 \\ 239,700 \\ 92,580 \\ 15,000 \end{array}$	Bushels. 26.3 26.0 28.0 25.0 30.0 30.0 32.1 33.8 39.8 53.3	Bushels. 4,000 179,000 31,000 6,000 30,000 10,802,000 8,102,000 3,685,000 800,000	Cents. 75 92 89 70 70 70 85 93 93 92 90 100	$\begin{array}{c} Dollars.\\ 3,000\\ 165,000\\ 28,000\\ 7,000\\ 4,000\\ 26,000\\ 10,046,000\\ 7,454,000\\ 3,316,000\\ 800,000\\ \end{array}$
United States	693, 530	34.1	23,649,000	92.4	21,849,000

RICE-Continued.

-	Yield per acre (bushels).								Fa	rm p	el	Value per acre (dollars). ¹							
State.	10– year aver- age, 1905– 1914.	1905	1906	1907	1908	1909	1910	1911	1912	1913	1914	10- year aver- age, 1905- 1914.	1910	1911	1912	1913	1914	5– year aver- age, 1910– 1914.	1914
N. C S. C Ga Fla Ala	26. 1 23. 8 27. 7 26. 4 28. 3	26. 0 26. 0 32. 0 28. 0 30. 0	28. 9 22. 0 23. 0 35. 0 23. 0	23. 0 27. 0 34. 0 30. 0 25. 0	25.2 24.0 25.0 25.0 45.0	30. 2 25. 6 23. 9 25. 0 35. 0	26.521.022.021.025.0	25.611.726.825.020.0	25. 0 25. 0 30. 0 25. 0 30. 0	24. 0 30. 0 32. 0 25. 0 22. 0	26. 3 26. 0 28. 0 25. 0 28. 0	87 94 91 85 82	75 75 75 72 70	76 75 77 75 70	90 93 90 90 90	80 90 83 60 60	75 92 89 70 70	20. 15 19. 74 23. 12 17. 77 18. 26	19. 72 23. 92 24. 92 17. 50 19. 60
Miss La Tex Ark. Cal	28.6 30.9 33.6 36.8 44.9	24.0 25.8 31.0 27.0	20. 0 28. 0 36. 0 31. 0	22. 0 28. 0 32. 0 37. 0	31. 0 33. 0 34. 5 41. 0	30. 0 33. 8 34. 0 40. 0	30. 0 34. 4 33. 0 40. 0 33. 0	36. 0 31. 5 34. 3 39. 0 40. 0	35. 0 33. 5 35. 5 37. 5 50. 0	28. 0 29. 0 32. 0 36. 0 48. 0	30. 0 32. 1 33. 8 39. 8 53. 3	84 84 86 88 88	70 67 68 70 65	77 79 80 82 75	90 93 94 94 94 91	70 84 86 90 100	85 93 92 90 100	$\begin{array}{c} 25.\ 06\\ 26.\ 66\\ 28.\ 37\\ 32.\ 69\\ 39.\ 65 \end{array}$	25.50 29.85 31.10 35.82 53.30
U. S.	32. 3	28.1	31. 1	29. 9	33. 4	33.8	33. 9	32.9	34. 7	31. 1	34.1	85.1	67.8	79. 7	93. 5	85.8	92.4	27.97	31.50

TABLE 112.-Rice: Yield per acre, price per bushel Dec. 1, and value per acre, by States.

¹ Based upon farm price Dec. 1.

TABLE 113.—Rice: Wholesale price per pound, 1900-1914.

	New	York.	Cinci	nnati.	Lake (harles.	New C	rleans.	Hou	ston.
Date.	Dom (go	nestic od).	Pri	me.	Rot	ıgh.1	Hond clea	luras, ned.	Head clea	rice, ned.
	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.
1900 1901 1902 1903	$\begin{array}{c} Cents. \\ 4\frac{3}{4} \\ 4\frac{3}{4} \\ 4\frac{3}{4} \\ 4\frac{3}{4} \\ 4\frac{1}{4} \end{array}$	Cents. 5 5 5 5 4 5 4	Cents. $5\frac{1}{2}$ $5\frac{1}{2}$ $5\frac{1}{2}$ $4\frac{3}{8}$	Cents.	Dolls. 1.70 1.75 1.50	Dolls. 3.50 3.40 3.60	Cents. 33 13 14 11 11 11 18	Cents. $6\frac{5}{5}$ $6\frac{1}{2}$ $6\frac{1}{2}$	$Cents.$ 3 $3\frac{1}{2}$ 4	Cents.
1904. 1905. 1906. 1907. 1908.	38838478 348 5 5	$\begin{array}{c} 4\frac{1}{4}\\ 4\frac{1}{2}\\ 5\frac{1}{2}\\ 6\\ 6\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	$3\frac{3}{4}$ 3 $4\frac{1}{2}$ $4\frac{3}{4}$ $5\frac{1}{2}$	$5^{1}_{4}_{5^{1}_{4}}_{5^{1}_{2}}_{5^{1}_{2}}_{6}_{8}$	$1.00 \\ 1.00 \\ 2.00 \\ 1.75 \\ 1.75 \\ 1.75$	3.00 3.85 3.85 4.10 4.33	1 1 6 1 15 15 15 15 15	514 534 6 612 7 1 8	$3 \\ 3^{1}_{2}_{478}$. 4^{78}_{43} . 4^{78}_{44}	43 5 53 61
1909. 1910. 1911. 1912. 1913.	434 4 358 444 444 444	55 5 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	41 41 41 41 41 41 51 4	$\begin{array}{c} 8 \\ 5_{12}^{1} \\ 5_{12}^{1} \\ 6_{12}^{1} \\ 6_{14}^{1} \end{array}$	$1.50 \\ 1.55 \\ 1.75 \\ 2.00 \\ 2.00$	3.75 3.25 3.50 3.70 3.82	1_{18}^{1} 1_{18}^{1} 1_{18}^{1} 2 1.15	6 ¹ / ₂ 6 ¹ / ₈ 5 ³⁸ / ₆ 7	458 3 234 4 4	65 53 43 55 6
1914. January February March. Aprik. MayJune.	434 434 434 434 433 44 34 434 44 34 434 44 34	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	55555555555555555555555555555555555555	611141414141414141414141414141414141414	2.051.401.752.002.452.75	$\begin{array}{r} 3.76\\ 3.40\\ 3.25\\ 3.50\\ 3.60\\ 3.70\end{array}$	1445 145 14 14 14 15 15 15 15		488 4 4 4 388 4 4 4	51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 5
July. August September October November December	4434 55 55 55 55 55	15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	55555555555555555555555555555555555555	61414 61414 61414 614	$\begin{array}{c} 2.80 \\ 3.00 \\ 3.50 \\ 3.00 \\ 2.45 \\ 2.00 \end{array}$	$3.75 \\ 4.55 \\ 4.55 \\ 4.00 \\ 3.55 \\ 3.55 \\ 3.55 \end{cases}$	112 112 244 145 15 15 15 15 15	54001414 605555555	3 48 43 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41	4 5 5 5 5 5
Year	- 4 <u>3</u>	53	53	61	1.40	4.55	11	6 5	3	53

¹ Per barrel of 162 pounds.

. .

Statistics of Rice.

RICE—Continued.

TABLE 114.—Rice: International trade, calendar years 1911–1913.

[Mostly cleaned rice. Under rice is included paddy, unhulled, rough, cleaned, polished, broken, and cargo rice, in addition to rice flour and meal. Rice bran is not included. Rough rice or paddy, where specifically reported, has been reduced to terms of cleaned rice at ratio of 162 pounds rough, or unhulled, to 100 pounds cleaned. "Rice, other than whole or cleaned rice," in the returns of United Kingdom is not considered paddy, since the chief sources of supply indicate that it is practically all hulled rice. Cargo rice, a mixture of hulled and unhulled, is included without being reduced to terms of cleaned. Broken rice and rice flour and meal are taken without being reduced to terms of whole cleaned rice. See "General note," p. 518.]

EXPORTS.

[000 omitted.]

Country.	1911	1912	1913 (prelim.).	Country.	1911	1912	1913 (prelim.).
Belgium. British India Dutch East Indies. France. French Indo-China. Germany Netherlands	Pounds. 100, 315 5, 783, 915 133, 179 66, 625 1, 815, 938 456, 659 476, 776	Pounds. 105, 884 6, 259, 746 119, 760 55, 866 1, 792, 772 379, 930 480, 315	Pounds. 91,066 5,761,625 119,760 65,044 1,792,772 406,414 544,317	Penang Siam Singapore Other countries Total	Pounds. 337, 520 1, 365, 349 722, 123 858, 765 12, 117, 164	Pounds. 378, 754 1, 296, 964 683, 897 875, 693 12, 429, 581	Pounds. 378, 754 2, 531, 795 683, 897 944, 470 13, 319, 914

IMPORTS.

$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	razii ritish India eylon hina uba utch East Indies. gypt rance ermany apan fauritius	30, 44/ 344, 783 24 dia	$\begin{array}{c} 22, 340 \\ 61, 965 \\ 286, 154 \\ 366, 111 \\ 836, 111 \\ 836, 111 \\ 836, 111 \\ 836, 111 \\ 836, 111 \\ 836, 111 \\ 838, 872 \\ 986, 313 \\ 283, 872 \\ 986, 313 \\ 283, 872 \\ 986, 313 \\ 838, 872 \\ 986, 313 \\ 986, 314 \\ 986, 3$	Philippine Islands. Russia	$114, 249 \\ 404, 929 \\ 258, 372 \\ 153, 931 \\ 936, 504 \\ 682, 872 \\ 185, 846 \\ 1, 331, 372 \\ \hline 11, 516, 649 \\ 1, 5$	11,170,294	191, 799 295, 872 178, 004 965, 390 771, 512 229, 812 1, 467, 166 11, 849, 767
--	--	---	---	-------------------------------	--	------------	---

75922°-увк 1914-38

593

HOPS.

TABLE 115.—Hops: Area and production of undermentioned countries, 1912–1914.

	Area.			Production.		
Country.	1912	1913	1914	1912	1913	1914
NORTH AMERICA. United States 1	A cres. (2) (2)	A cres. (2) (2)	A cres. (2) (2)	Pounds. 53, 370, 645 1, 208, 000	Pounds. 62, 898, 718 1, 208, 000	Pounds. ³ 57,060,000 1,208,000 58,262,000
				54,578,045	04,100,718	38, 208, 000
Austria-Hungary: Austria. Hungary, including Croatia and Slavonia.	50,171	50,062	(²)	44, 413, 872	18,879,533	(²) (²)
Total Austria-Hungary	55,985	55, 562	(2)	48,426,244	23,314,733	31,117,000
Belgium France. Germany. Russia United Kingdom: England	5,6426,99866,63324,29734,829	5,943 7,070 66,836 24,297 35,676	$\begin{array}{c} & (^2) \\ & (^2) \\ & (^2) \\ 24,297 \\ 36,661 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \hline 10,167,836\\ 8,757,774\\ 45,334,292\\ 14,083,992\\ 41,996,837 \end{array}$	7,395,331 7,866,674 23,408,222 16,973 016 28,631,792	7,560,000 8,955,000 55,157,000 14 083,992 *56,812,896
Total	194, 384	195,384		168, 776, 975	107, 589, 668	173,685,888
AUSTRALASIA. Australia: Victoria. South Australia. Tasmania. New Zealand ³ .	122 $\cdot 3$ $\cdot 1,029$ 4 653	131 5 1,247	(2) (2) (2) (2) (2)	87, 024 2, 240 1, 057, 952 710, 000	155,3442,2401,920,576710,000	160,000 2,300 2,000,000 710,000
Total	1,807			1,857,216	2,788,160	2,872,300
Grand total				225, 212, 836	174, 484, 646	234, 826, 188

¹ Commercial movement for years beginning July 1. ² No official statistics. ⁸Commercial estimate. ⁴ 1911.

TABLE 116.—Hops: Total production of countries named in Table 115, 1895-1914.

Year.	Production.	Year.	Production.	Year.	Production.
1895. 1896. 1897. 1898. 1899. 1899. 1899. 1900. 1901.	Pounds. 204, 894, 000 168, 509, 000 189, 219, 000 166, 100, 000 231, 563, 000 174, 683, 000 201, 902, 000	1902 1903 1904 1905 1906 1907 1908	$\begin{array}{c} Pounds.\\ 170,063,000\\ 174,457,000\\ 178,802,000\\ 277,260,000\\ 180,998,000\\ 215,923,000\\ 230,220,000\end{array}$	1909 1910 1911 1912 1913 1914 ¹	Pounds. 128, 173, 000 183, 951, 000 163, 810, 000 225, 213, 000 174, 485, 000 234, 826, 000

¹ Preliminary,
Statistics of Hops.

HOPS-Continued.

TABLE 117.—Hops: Wholesale price per pound, 1900-1914.

here and a second secon												
	New	York.	Cinc	innati.	Chi	cago.		£	San Fra	ncisco	•	
Date.	Ch St	oice ate.	Pri	me.1	Pa coast to ch	cific , good 10ice.²	Sacra Va cho	mento lley, pice.	Willa Va cho	amette lley, pice. ³	Eas Was ton, o	stern shing- choice.
	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.
1900 1901 1902 1903 1904 1905 1906 1907 1908 1909 1910 1911 1912 1913	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 12\frac{1}{2}\\ 13\\ 14\\ 20\frac{1}{2}\\ 32\\ 13\\ 11\\ 12\\ 6\\ 12\\ 23\\ 22\\ 13\\ 11\\ 12\\ 12\\ 17\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 17\\ 17\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 17\\ 17\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 21 \\ 20 \\ 38 \\ 37 \\ 41 \\ 37 \\ 25 \\ 23 \\ 16 \\ 39 \\ 35 \\ 57 \\ 56 \\ 48 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 10 \\ 13\frac{3}{4} \\ 14\frac{1}{2} \\ 24 \\ 28 \\ 13\frac{1}{2} \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 13\frac{1}{2} \\ 10 \\ 15\frac{1}{2} \\ 15\frac{1}{2} \\ 18 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 18 \\ 17_{1}^{+0} \\ 30 \\ 291 \\ 37 \\ 33 \\ 18\frac{1}{2} \\ \\ \\ 28 \\ 27\frac{1}{2} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 6\frac{1}{2}\\ 12\frac{1}{2}\\ 12\frac{1}{2}\\ 12\frac{1}{2}\\ 12\frac{1}{2}\\ 12\frac{1}{2}\\ 12\frac{1}{2}\\ 12\frac{1}{2}\\ 12\frac{1}{2}\\ 12\frac{1}{2}\\ 10\\ 9\\ 6\\ 5\\ 9\\ 14\\ 20\\ 20\\ 15\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 31 \\ 31 \\ 37 \\ 34 \\ 22 \\ 18 \\ 11 \\ 29 \\ 26 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 31 \end{array}$	Cts.	Cts.	$\begin{array}{c} \hline Cts. & 6 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 17 \\ 171 \\ 9\frac{1}{2} \\ 8 \\ 5 \\ 3 \\ \hline \\ 181 \\ 18 \\ 18 \\ \end{array}$	Cts. 16 20 28 32 30 20 13 121 50 30	Cts.	Cts.
1914. January. February. March. April. May. June. July. July. August. September. October.	$\begin{array}{r} 45 \\ 43 \\ 42 \\ 41 \\ 38 \\ 36 \\ 36 \\ 35 \\ 35 \\ 33 \\ 33 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 48 \\ 46 \\ 45 \\ 44 \\ 40 \\ 38 \\ 38 \\ 38 \\ 38 \\ 50 \\ 45 \\ \end{array} $	$25 \\ 25 \\ 22 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 21 \\ 18 \\ 20 \\ 22 \\ 16$	$ \begin{array}{r} 27 \\ 27\frac{1}{2} \\ 25 \\ 24 \\ 22 \\ 22 \\ 18 \\ 20 \\ 22 \\ 17 \\ \end{array} $	24 24 23 21 19 18 18 18 19 19 19 13	$26 \\ 27 \\ 25 \\ 23 \\ 21 \\ 21 \\ 20 \\ 22 \\ 21 \\ 15$	$23 \\ 19 \\ 19 \\ 19 \\ 16 \\ 16 \\ 16 \\ 16 \\ 14 \\ 17 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10$	28 25 22 22 22 17 17 18 19 18	$23 \\ 20 \\ 20 \\ 20 \\ 16 \\ 16 \\ 16 \\ 15 \\ 18 \\ 11$	$ \begin{array}{r} 30 \\ 26 \\ 23 \\ 23 \\ 23 \\ 17 \\ 17 \\ 19\frac{1}{2} \\ 20 \\ 19 \\ \end{array} $	$23 \\ 20 \\ 20 \\ 20 \\ 16 \\ 16 \\ 16 \\ 14 \\ 17 \\ 10 $	30 26 23 23 23 17 17 19 20 18
November December	26 23	35 28	$ \begin{array}{r} 14 \\ 13\frac{1}{2} \end{array} $	16 15	13 13	15 15	10 10	11 12 ¹ / ₂	11 11	12 13	10 10	11 12
Year	23	50	$13\frac{1}{2}$	$27\frac{1}{2}$	13	27	10	28	11	30	10	30

¹ Choice 1900-1907 and 1909-1913.
 ² Common to choice 1901-1903, prime to choice, 1907.
 ³ Quotations are for all grades to 1912.

TABLE 118.—Hops: International trade, calendar years 1911-1913.

[Lupulin and hopfenmehl (hop meal) are not included with hops in the data shown. See "General note," p. 518.]

EXPORTS.

[000 omitted.]

Country.	1911	1912	1913 (prelim.).	Country.	1911	1912	1913 (prelim.).
Austria-Hungary Belgium France Germany Netherlands New Zealand	Pounds. 11, 766 8, 958 399 16, 744 1, 154 205	Pounds. 28, 182 3, 969 590 18, 254 535 277	Pounds. 15, 306 5, 908 339 14, 299 2, 704 498	Russia United Kingdom United States Other countries Total	Pounds. 2,224 5,479 14,104 59 61,092	Pounds. 2, 294 1, 318 15, 572 239 71, 230	Pounds. 3,864 1,263 25,701 309 70,191
			IMPO)RTS.			
Australia Austria-Hungary Belgium British India British S o u t h Africa. Canada. Denmark France. Germany	9072,1808,8232855411,2711,0077,4246,100	$1,129 \\ 487 \\ 6,562 \\ 247 \\ 498 \\ 1,667 \\ 1,235 \\ 4,229 \\ 11,790 \\$	$1,511 \\ 1,150 \\ 6,975 \\ 162 \\ 484 \\ 1,723 \\ 751 \\ 4,655 \\ 5,541 \\ \end{cases}$	Netherlands Russia Sweden United Kingdom United Kingdom Other countries Total	2,9111,0458421,25616,9225,5674,57061,651	2,090 1,625 1,206 1,746 26,356 5,663 4,387 70,917	4,085 1,156 1,206 1,125 27,562 7,313 4,874 70,273

BEANS.

TABLE 119.—Beans: Area and production of undermentioned countries, 1911–1913.

		Area.			Production.	
Country.	1911	1912	1913	1911	1912	1913
NORTH AMERICA.	A cres. 1 784, 000	Acres. (2)	A crcs. (2)	Bushels. 111,145,000	Bushels.	Bushels.
Canada: Nova Scotia New Brunswick Quebec Ontario. British Columbia	1,000 (³) 6,000 45,000 (³)	1,000 (3) 5,000 46,000 (3) (3)	1,000 (3) 5,000 40,000 (3)	21,000 8,000 114,000 876,000 8,000	$24,000 \\ 7,000 \\ 84,000 \\ 801,000 \\ 5,000$	$\begin{array}{c} 22,000\\ 4,000\\ 97,000\\ 670,000\\ 8,000\end{array}$
Total Canada	52,000	52,000	46,000	1,027,000	921,000	801,000
Total				12, 172, 000		
SOUTH AMERICA.						
Argentina Chile	65,000 72,000	66,000 90,000	65,000 85,000	$\overset{(2)}{1,360,000}$	$\overset{(2)}{1,669,000}$	⁽²⁾ 1, 551, 000
EUROPE.				,		
Austria 4	626,000 38,000 1,471,000 25,000 196,000 180,000 (*) 578,000 1,510,000 3,000 92,000 1,552,000 1,252,000 7 134,000 23,000	637,000 (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2)	644,000 (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (3) (2) (3) (0) (1) (444,000 (60,000 (108,000 (108,000 (108,000) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (3) (3) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4	8, 931, 000 420, 000 7, 128, 000 1, 929, 000 662, 000 2, 021, 000 2, 021, 000 2, 021, 000 2, 021, 000 3, 540, 000 1, 058, 000 7, 2, 146, 000 453, 000	9, 205, 000 (2) (3) (3) (1) 514, 000 2, 482, 000 2, 482, 000 2, 482, 000 14, 778, 000 1, 399, 000 1, 109, 000 3, 528, 000 7, 2, 190, 000 575, 000	8, 722,000 (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2)
Total Russia (Euro- pean)	157,000	166,000		2, 599, 000	2, 765, 000	
Servia Spain Sweden	$24,000 \\ 1,114,000 \\ 10,000$	430,000 1,120,000 10,000	$(2) \\ 1, 139,000 \\ (2) $	$1,453,000 \\13,035,000 \\171,000$	⁴ 1,491,000 10,534,000 176,000	(2) 11, 737, 000 164, 000
United Kingdom: England Wales Scotland Ireland	$294,000 \\ 1,000 \\ 9,000 \\ 2,000$	$270,000 \\ 1,000 \\ 9,000 \\ 1,000$	$258,000 \\ 1,000 \\ 6,000 \\ 1,000$	7,572,00029,000323,000 $60,000$	7,636,00029,000 $306,-00061,000$	$7,517,000 \\31,000 \\230,000 \\64,000$
Total United Kingdom.	306, 000	281,000	266,000	7, 984, 000	8,032,000	7, 842, 000
Total				80, 375, 000	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
ASIA.						
British India 4. Japan. Formosa 4 Russia (22 governments)	$13,946,000 \\ 1,652,000 \\ 83,000 \\ 26,000$	$14, 129, 000 \\ 1, 607, 000 \\ 84, 000 \\ 27, 000$	(2) (2) (2) (2) (2)	(2) 26, 289, 000 604, 000 294, 000	(2) 25, 392, 000 526, 000 375, 000	(2) (2) (2) (2) (2)
AFRICA Algeria Egypt	99, 000 563, 000	136,000 539,000	(2) (2)	1, 132, 000 (²)	1, 022, 000 (²)	(2) (2)

¹ Census figures for 1909.
 ² No official statistics.
 ³ Less than 500 acres.
 ⁴ Includes other pulse.

⁵ Grown alone.
⁶ Grown with corn.
⁷ Includes Northern Caucasia.

596

Statistics of Beans.

.

BEANS—Continued.

TABLE 119.—Beans: Area and	production	$of\ undermentioned$	countries, 1911–1913—C	on.
----------------------------	------------	----------------------	------------------------	-----

		Area.		Production.				
Country.	1911	1912	1913	1911	1912	1913		
AUSTRALASIA.								
Australia: ¹ Queensland New South Wales Victoria. South Australia Western Australia Tasmania.	Acres. (2) (2) 11,000 10,000 1,000 20,000	Acres. (2) (2) 12,000 12,000 1,000 24,000	Acres. (2) (2) 12,000 9,000 1,000 18,000	Bushels. 3,000 7,000 230,000 202,000 5,000 514,000	Bushels. 2,000 20,000 187,000 162,000 5,000 460,000	Bushels. 3,000 16,000 240,000 132,000 8,000 476,000		
New Zealand	42,000 2,000	49,000 (³)	40,000 (³)	961,000 74,000	836,000 (³)	875,000 (³)		
Total Australasia	44,000			1,035,000				

¹ Includes other pulse. ² Less than 500 acres. ³ No official statistics.

TABLE	120.—Beans:	Whole sale	price per	• bushel,	1900–1914.

	Bos	ston.	Chicago.		Det	roit.	San Francisco.		
Date.	Р	ea.	Р	Pea.		ea.	Small white (per 100 lbs.).		
	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	
1900	\$2.00 1.60 2.10	\$2.75 2.55 2.45	\$1.65 .90 .85 .90	\$2.25 2.80 2.49 2.40			\$2.85 2.00 3.30 2.40	\$4.50 5.00 4.65 3.40	
1904	${ \begin{array}{c} 1.72\frac{1}{2}\\ 1.75\\ 1.50\\ 1.42\\ 2.30 \end{array} }$	2.20 2.00 1.80 2.45 2.75	.90 1.00 1.10 1.10 1.65	$2.05 \\ 1.85 \\ 1.65 \\ 2.65 \\ 2.70$	$1.58 \\ 1.49 \\ 1.27 \\ 1.28 \\ 2.00$	$1.98 \\ 1.85 \\ 1.61 \\ 2.25 \\ 2.65$	$2.75 \\ 2.75 \\ 2.60 \\ 3.40$	$3.321 \\ 3.60 \\ 3.60 \\ 4.75 $	
1909	$\begin{array}{c} 2.\ 25\\ 2.\ 25\\ 2.\ 05\\ 2.\ 55\\ 2.\ 15 \end{array}$	$2.75 \\ 2.70 \\ 2.65 \\ 3.10 \\ 2.60$	$1.75 \\ 1.85 \\ 1.76 \\ 1.90 \\ 1.15$	2.67 2.78 2.57 3.20 2.50	$\begin{array}{c} 2.00 \\ 1.92 \\ 1.87 \\ 2.15 \\ 1.75 \end{array}$	2.55 2.40 2.40 2.70 2.20	$\begin{array}{r} 4.00\\ 3.25\\ 3.00\\ 4.00\\ 4.50\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7.50 \\ 4.85 \\ 4.20 \\ 4.80 \\ 5.85 \end{array}$	
1914. January February. March April May June.	2.15 2.10 2.10 2.15 2.30 2.15	$2.20 \\ 2.20 \\ 2.15 \\ 2.30 \\ 2.35 \\ 2.30$	$1.60 \\ 1.75 \\ 1.75 \\ 1.75 \\ 2.00 \\ 1.95$	2.152.102.002.252.302.252.30	$1.83 \\ 1.82 \\ 1.80 \\ 1.95 \\ 2.05 \\ 1.85$	$1.85 \\ 1.90 \\ 1.92 \\ 2.10 \\ 2.10 \\ 2.07$	$5.00. \\ 5.00 \\ 5.10 \\ 5.00 \\ 4.75 \\ 4.75 \\ 4.75$	5.50 5.50 5.50 5.25 5.15 5.10	
July. August. September. October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 2.15 \\ 2.35 \\ 2.65 \\ 2.40 \\ 2.60 \\ 2.75 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.\ 25\\ 3.\ 10\\ 3.\ 00\\ 2.\ 65\\ 2.\ 80\\ 2.\ 80\end{array}$	$1.95 \\ 1.95 \\ 2.25 \\ 2.00 \\ 2.25 \\ 2.35 \\ 3.5 \\ 1.35 \\ 1.95 \\ 1$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.20 \\ 3.10 \\ 3.00 \\ 2.60 \\ 2.90 \\ 2.80 \end{array}$	$1.85 \\ 1.97 \\ 2.10 \\ 2.00 \\ 2.25 \\ 2.30$	$1.97 \\ 2.90 \\ 2.76 \\ 2.25 \\ 2.45 \\ 2.65$	$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{4.75} \\ \textbf{5.25} \\ \textbf{4.50} \\ \textbf{4.00} \\ \textbf{4.50} \\ \textbf{4.50} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 5.10\\ 6.00\\ 5.50\\ 4.50\\ 4.50\\ 4.60\end{array}$	
Year	2.10	3.10	1.60	3.10	1.80	2.90	4.00	6.00	

.

PEAS.

TABLE 121.—Peas: Area and production of undermentioned countries, 1911–1913.

Guarantes		Area.			Production.	
Country.	1911	1912	1913	1911	1912	1913
NORTH AMERICA.	1 0 0 0	1 07 00	1 07 00	Bushala	Prohalo	Bushelo
United States	11,302,000	(2)	(2)	17,110,000	(2)	(2)
Canada: Prince Edward Island Nova Scotia New Brunswick Quebec Ontario Manitoba Saskatchewan Alberta British Columbia	(³) (³)	(3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3)	(³) (³) 1,000 26,000 190,000 (³) (³) (³) (³) (³)	$\begin{array}{c} 2,000\\ 5,000\\ 17,000\\ 517,000\\ 4,055,000\\ 9,000\\ 8,000\\ 8,000\\ 45,000\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,000\\ 5,000\\ 10,000\\ 449,000\\ 3,374,000\\ 10,000\\ 11,000\\ 9,000\\ 43,000\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 2,000\\ 7,000\\ 11,000\\ 451,000\\ 3,431,000\\ \hline 7,000\\ 8,000\\ 35,000\end{array}$
Total Canada	295,000	260,000	219,000	4,666,000	3,913,000	3,952,000
Total						
SOUTH AMERICA.						2
Argentina Chile 4	(2) 27,000	(2) 29,000	(2) 35,000	(²) 322,000	(2) 528,000	(2) 501,000
EUROPE.						
Austria. Hungary 6. Croatia-Slavonia 6. Belgium 7. France. Luxemburg 6. Netherlands. Roumania 6.	(5) 33,000 12,000 12,000 73,000 2,000 55,000 34,000	$ \begin{array}{c} (5) \\ (2) \\ (2) \\ 12,000 \\ 73,000 \\ 2,000 \\ 64,000 \\ 46,000 \end{array} $	(5) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (3) (2) (3) (3) (4) (4) (5) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2	$\begin{smallmatrix} (^5)\\ 418,000\\ 171,000\\ 417,000\\ 1,134,000\\ 31,000\\ 1,838,000\\ 598,000 \end{smallmatrix}$	$ \begin{smallmatrix} (6) \\ (2) \\ (2) \\ 409,000 \\ 1,277,000 \\ 24,000 \\ 1,868,000 \\ 678,000 \end{smallmatrix} $	(5) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (1,076,000
Russia: Russia proper Poland Northern Caucasia			$\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Total Russia (European)	3, 484, 000	3, 472, 000	(2)	32,962,000	41,916,000	
Servia. Spain 4 Sweden	$3,000 \\ 1,219,000 \\ 44,000$	$\begin{smallmatrix} (5) \\ 1,204,000 \\ (^2) \end{smallmatrix}$	(2) 1,244,000 (2)	$71,000 \\11,444,000 \\1,277,000$	70,000 9,885,000 1,069,000	(²) 9,531,000 1,317,000
United Kingdom: England Wales. Scotland Ireland.	$139,000 \\ 1,000 \\ {}^{(3)}_{(3)}$	$172,000 \\ 1,000 \\ {}^{(3)}_{(3)} \\ {}^{(3)}$	127,000 (³) (³) (³)	3,788,000 14,000 13,000 9,000	4,007,000 15,000 18,00 8,000	$\begin{array}{r} 3,470,000\\ 10,000\\ 5,000\\ 7,000\end{array}$
Total United Kingdom	141,000	174,000	128,000	3,824,000	4,048,000	3, 492, 000
ASIA. Japan Russia (22 governments) ⁶	76,000 133,000	89,000 127,000	(2) (2)	1,564,000 993,000	$1,914,000 \\ 1,045,000$	(2) (2)
AFRICA.						
Algeria	25,000	26,000	(2)	313,000	. 277,000	(2)
AUSTRALASIA.						
Australia New Zealand	(⁵) 15,000	$\binom{5}{20,000}$	$\binom{5}{20,000}$	(⁵) 528, 000	(5) 666,000	(⁵) 408,000

¹ Census figures for 1909.
 ² No official statistics.
 ³ Less than 500 acres.
 ⁴ Includes chick peas, lentils, and vetches.

⁵ Included under beans. ⁶ Includes lentils. ⁷ Includes vetches.

SUGAR.

TABLE 122.—Sugar: Production in the United States and its possessions, 1856-57 to 1914-15.¹

[Data for 1912-13 and subsequently Beet sugar, also Louisiana and Hawaii cane sugar, estimated by United States Department of Agriculture; Porto Rico, by Treasury Department of Porto Rico: Philippine Islands, exports for years ending June 30. For sources of data for earlier years, see Yearbook for 1912, p. 650. A short ton is 2,000 pounds.]

	Beet		Cane	sugar (chi	efly raw).		
Year.	sugar (chiefly refined).	Louisi- ana.	Other States. ²	Porto Rico.	Hawaii.	Philip- pine Islanda.	Total.
Average: 1856-57 to 1860-61. 1861-62 to 1865-66. 1866-67 to 1870-71. 1871-72 to 1875-76. 1871-77 to 1880-81. 1876-77 to 1880-81. 1881-82 to 1885-86. 1886-87 to 1890-91. 1891-92 to 1895-96. 1896-97 to 1900-1901. 1901-2 to 1905-6. 1902-3. 1903-4. 1904-5. 1905-6. 1906-7. 1907-8. 1908-9.	Short tons. 269 448 403 470 692 1,922 19,406 58,287 239,730 479,153 184,606 242,614 242,113 312,920 483,612 425,884			<i>Short tons.</i> 75, 364 71, 765 96, 114 87, 606 76, 579 87, 441 70, 112 63, 280 61, 292 141, 478 282, 136 103, 152 100, 576 138, 096 151, 088 214, 480 206, 864 230, 095 277, 093	Short tons. (3) 27,040 76,075 125,440 162,538 282,585 403,305 355,611 437,991 367,475 367,475 426,248 429,213 440,017 521,123 535,156 517,000	Short tons. 46, 446 54, 488 81, 485 119, 557 169, 067 189, 277 189, 277 189, 277 189, 277 189, 277 189, 277 189, 277 123, 108 82, 855 125, 271 138, 645 132, 602 140, 732 140, 732 140, 732	Short tons. 260, 190 202, 503 226, 633 279, 020 383, 403 485, 633 555, 061 807, 142 832, 600 1, 257, 673 1, 785, 376 1, 082, 705 1, 262, 984 1, 107, 100 1, 359, 715 1, 485, 860 1, 535, 255 1, 776, 409 1, 992, 984 1, 992, 985 1, 995 1,
1909-10. 1910-11. 1911-12. 1912-13. 1913-14. 1914-15 (preliminary)	512, 469 510, 172 599, 500 692, 556 733, 401 722, 054	342,720 352,874 153,573 292,698 242,700	12, 320 8, 000 9, 000 7, 800 3, 920	349,840 371,076 398,004 4364,000	566, 821 595, 038 546, 524 612, 000	164, 658 205, 046 234, 000 235, 000	$1,946,531 \\2,131,534 \\2,033,657 \\2,244,899 \\ \ldots$

¹ Census returns give production of beet sugar for 1899 as 81,729 short tons; for 1904, 253,921; 1909, 501,682; production of cane sugar in Louisiana for 1839, 59,974 short tons; 1849, 226,001 hogsheads; 1859, 221,726 hogsheads; 1869, 80,706 hogsheads; 1879, 171,706 hogsheads; 1889, 146,062 short tons; 1898, 278,497 short tons; 1899, 159,583; and 1909, 325,516 short tons; cane sugar in other States, 1839, 491 short tons; in 1849 1,576 hogsheads; in 1859, 9,256 hogsheads, in 1869, 6,337 hogsheads; in 1879, 7,166 hogsheads; in 1889, 4,580 short tons; in 1899, 1,691; and in 1909, 8,687 short tons. ² Includes Texas only, subsequent to 1902-3. Unofficial returns. ³ Complete data not available for this period. Production in 1878-79, 1,254 short tons; in 1879-80, 1,304 short tons.

short tons.

4 Estimate of Willet and Gray.

Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

SUGAR-Continued.

TABLE 123.—Sugar beets and beet sugar: Production in the United States, 1901-1914.

	ories.	of cam-	chiefly	s	ugar be	ets used.		Analy bee	vsis of ets.	Recov sucr	ery of ose. ³	
Year of beet crop, and State.	Number of facto	A verage length paign.	Sugar made (refined).	Area har- vested.	Average yield per acre.	Q u a n t i t y worked.	Average price per ton.	Percentage of sucrose. ¹	Purity coeffi- cient. ²	Percentage of weight of beets.	Percentage of total sucrose in beets.	Loss.4
	Num-		Short		Short	Short		Per	Per	Per	Per	Per
1901. 1902. 1903. 1904. 1905. 1906. 1907. 1908. 1909. 1910. 1911. 1912. 1913. 1914.	ber. 36 41 49 48 52 63 63 62 65 61 66 73 711 60	Days. 88 94 75 78 77 105 89 74 83 83 94 86 85 85	$\begin{array}{c} tons.\\ 184,606\\ 218,406\\ 240,604\\ 242,113\\ 312,921\\ 483,612\\ 463,628\\ 425,884\\ 512,469\\ 510,172\\ 599,500\\ 692,556\\ 733,401\\ 722,054\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} A \ cres. \\ 175, 083 \\ 216, 400 \\ 242, 576 \\ 197, 784 \\ 376, 074 \\ 376, 074 \\ 376, 074 \\ 364, 913 \\ 420, 262 \\ 398, 029 \\ 473, 877 \\ 555, 300 \\ 580, 006 \\ 483, 400 \\ \end{array}$	tons. 9.63 8.76 8.56 10.47 11.26 10.16 9.71 10.17 10.68 9.41 9.76 10.9	$\begin{array}{c} tons.\\ 1, 685, 689\\ 1, 895, 812\\ 2, 076, 494\\ 2, 071, 539\\ 2, 665, 913\\ 4, 236, 112\\ 3, 767, 871\\ 4, 081, 382\\ 4, 047, 292\\ 5, 062, 333\\ 5, 224, 377\\ 5, 659, 462\\ 5, 288, 500\\ \hline\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Dolls. \\ 4.50 \\ 5.03 \\ 4.97 \\ 4.95 \\ 5.00 \\ 5.10 \\ 5.20 \\ 5.35 \\ \cdots \\ 5.55 \\ 5.50 \\ 5.45 \\ 0.582 \\ 5.69 \\ 5.45 \\ \hline \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} cent.\\ 14.8\\ 14.6\\ 15.1\\ 15.3\\ 15.3\\ 15.8\\ 15.74\\ 16.10\\ 16.35\\ 15.89\\ 16.31\\ 15.78\\ 16.38\\ \hline \end{array}$	cent. 82. 20 83. 30 83. 10 83. 00 82. 20 83. 60 83. 50 84. 10 84. 35 84. 49 83. 22 83. 89	$\begin{array}{c} \textit{cent.}\\ 10, 95\\ 11, 52\\ 11, 59\\ 11, 69\\ 11, 69\\ 11, 74\\ 11, 42\\ 12, 30\\ 12, 47\\ 12, 56\\ 12, 61\\ 11, 84\\ 13, 26\\ 12, 96\\ 13, 65\\ \end{array}$	cent. 73. 99 78. 90 76. 75 76. 41 76. 73 76. 64 77. 85 79. 22 78. 01 77. 13 74. 51 81. 12 82. 13 83. 33	$\begin{array}{c} \textit{cent.} \\ 3.85\\ 3.08\\ 3.51\\ 3.56\\ 3.48\\ 3.50\\ 3.27\\ 3.54\\ 3.74\\ 4.05\\ 3.05\\ 2.82\\ 2.73\\ \end{array}$
1914.												
California Colorado Idaho Michigan Ohio Utah Other States	$ \begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 13 \\ 4 \\ 15 \\ 3 \\ 7 \\ 8 \end{array} $	97 96 78 68 56 100 76	$\begin{array}{c} 169,004\\ 220,799\\ 39,613\\ 110,630\\ 21,425\\ 78,619\\ 81,964 \end{array}$	$104,000 \\135,400 \\25,300 \\101,300 \\17,800 \\41,300 \\58,300$	$10. \ 4 \\ 12. \ 6 \\ 10. \ 5 \\ 8. \ 5 \\ 10. \ 4 \\ 13. \ 7 \\ 10. \ 8 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,082,000\\ 1,706,300\\ 264,400\\ 857,000\\ 184,700\\ 564,600\\ 629,500\\ \end{array}$	5.68 5.68 4.96 5.23 5.04 4.79 5.67	$18. 46 \\ 15. 35 \\ 17. 78 \\ 15. 78 \\ 14. 50 \\ 17. 03 \\ 15. 80 \\ 1$	82.70 84.22 87.74 82.85 83.82 85.60 83.35	$15.62 \\ 12.94 \\ 14.98 \\ 12.91 \\ 11.60 \\ 13.92 \\ 13.02$	84. 62 84. 30 84. 25 81. 81 80. 00 81. 74 82. 41	2.84 2.41 2.80 2.87 2.90 3.11 2.78
United States.	60	85	722,054	483, 400	10.9	5, 288, 500	5.45	16.38	83. 89	13.65	83. 33	2.73

in 1914.

Based upon weight of beets.
 Percentage of sucrose (pure sugar) in the total soluble solids of the beets.
 Percentage of sucrose actually extracted by factories.
 Percentage of sucrose (based upon weight of beets) remaining in molasses and pulp.

TABLE 124.—Cane-sugar p	roduction of 1	Louisiana,	1911-1	914	
---------------------------	----------------	------------	--------	-----	--

Parish.	-	Facto opera	ries in ition.			Sugar	for sugar.					
_	1911	1912	1913	1914	1911	1912	1913	1914	1911	1912	1913	1914
Ascension Assumption Iberia Iberville Lafourche	No. 7 23 13 18 16	No. 7 16 9 11 9	No. 4 17 10 14 13	No. 3 17 7 15 13	Short tons. 14, 496 35, 950 29, 949 23, 759 42, 001	Short tons. 8,342 14,457 10,999 7,942 11,728	Short tons. 10,808 28,664 15,925 19,187 35,021	Short tons. 5,800 22,500 8,000 18,900 34,300	Short tons. 234,719 673,263 464,491 481,545 707,764	Short tons. 124,934 243,864 140,932 141,581 191,714	$\begin{array}{c} Short\\ tons.\\ 163,000\\ 462,000\\ 204,000\\ 315,000\\ 535,000 \end{array}$	Short tons. 84,000 331,000 97,000 283,000 447,000
St. James St. John St. Martin St. Mary Terrebonne	20 8 4 26 14	10 5 3 15 14	$ \begin{array}{r} 17 \\ 8 \\ 3 \\ 22 \\ 13 \\ \end{array} $	16 8 3 20 13	20,760 14,935 13,719 57,602 27,462	9,368 11,289 5,382 25,597 14,463	$19,970 \\ 13,596 \\ 8,114 \\ 54,689 \\ 24,631$	$\begin{array}{c} 16,900\\ 13,900\\ 5,000\\ 38,000\\ 23,900 \end{array}$	361,537 275,536 197,614 866,744 442,218	$192,537 \\161,790 \\62,165 \\291,387 \\191,984$	327,000 236,000 103,000 663,000 352,000	258,000 207,000 56,000 431,000 295,000
West Baton Rouge Lafayette and Vermilion Other 1	10 5 24	10 6 11 126	10 6 16	11 6 17 149	17,23523,48031,526352,874	9,328 14,547 10,131 153,573	15,305 23,104 23,684 292,698	16, 300 14, 900 24, 300 242, 700	314,472336,427530,9625,887,292	127,196 $164,580$ $127,910$ $2,162,574$	$225,000$ $276,000$ $353,000$ $\overline{4,214,000}$	214,000 163,000 333,000 3,199,000
TOTAL LOUISIANA	100	120	100	149	002,011	100,010	252,050	212,700	0,001,202	2,202,011	-,, 0000	-,,

¹ Avoyelles, Rapides, St. Landry, East Baton Rouge, Pointe Coupee, West Feliciana, Jefferson, Orleans, Plaquemines, and St. Charles. Nore.—The average yield of cane per acre in Louisiana was 19 tons in 1911, 11 in 1912, 17 in 1913, and 15

600

Statistics of Sugar.

SUGAR-Continued.

TABLE 125.—Cane-sugar production of Hawaii, 1912–1914.

[Figures for 1914 are subject to revision.]

	Factor			Cane	e used for	sugar.	Average extraction of sugar.				
Island, and year ending Sept. 30.	ries in opera- tion.	Average length of cam- paign.	Sugar made.	Area har- vested.	Average yield per acre.	Produc- tion.	Per cent of cane.	Per short ton of cane.	Per acre of cane.		
Hawaii:	No. 23	Days.	Short tons. 213,000	A cres. 51,000	Short tons. 36	Short tons. 1,854,000	Per cent. 11.49	Pounds. 230	Pounds. 8,353		
1913 1912	24 24	170 204	197,212 209,914	$53,600 \\ 52,900$	32 34	1,703,000 1,799,000	$11.58 \\ 11.67$	232 233	$7,364 \\ 7,936$		
1914 1913 1912	9 9 9	214 198 206	$121,000 \\ 100,340 \\ 96,845$	21,600 20,800 18,900	50 42 43	$1,089,000\ 841,000\ 807,000$	$11.11 \\ 11.93 \\ 12.00$	222 239 240	$11,204 \\ 9,665 \\ 10,248$		
Maui: 1914 1913 1912	· 7 7	167 152 192	145,000 124,820 148,740	19,400 19,700 19,400	54 47 55	$1,054,000 \\ 929,000 \\ 1,074,000$	$13.76 \\ 13.44 \\ 13.85$	275 269 277	$14,948 \\ 12,684 \\ 15,334$		
Oahu: 1914 1913 1912	$7 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10$	188 157 200	$133,000 \\ 124,152 \\ 139,539$	$20,700 \\ 20,500 \\ 21,800$	44 49 50	903,000 1,003,000 1,094,000	14.73 12.38 12.75	295 248 255	12,850 12,153 12,802		
Territory of Hawaii: 1914 1913 1912	46 50 50	183 169 200	612,000 546,524 595,038	$112,700 \\114,600 \\113,000$	43 39 42	4,900,000 4,476,000 4,774,000	12. 49 12. 21 12. 46	250 244 249	10,86 1 9,544 10,532		

TABLE 126.—Sugar: Wholesale price per pound, on New York market, 1900-1914.

		Ra	.w.						Refi	ned.												
Date.	Mola 89° po tio	.sses, lariza- n. ¹	Centri 96° po tic	ifugal, lariza- on.	Cut loaf.		Powdered.		Granulated, fine or standard.		Soft sugar No. 1.		Soft suga No. 15.									
	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	Hig h.								
1900 1901 1902 1903 1904 1905 1906 1907 1908 1909 1909 1910 1911	$\begin{array}{c} Cts.\\ 3.81\\ 3.16\\ 2.44\\ 3.00\\ 2.81\\ 2.75\\ 2.62\\ 2.88\\ 3.17\\ 2.86\\ 3.05\\ 2.67\\ 2.98\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 4.88 \\ 3.88 \\ 3.88 \\ 3.44 \\ 4.38 \\ 4.75 \\ 3.566 \\ 3.63 \\ 3.92 \\ 3.70 \\ 3.73 \\ 5.21 \\ 1 \\ 4.05 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 4.25\\ 3.62\\ 3.25\\ 3.50\\ 3.31\\ 3.75\\ 3.33\\ 3.38\\ 3.67\\ 3.61\\ 3.80\\ 3.42\\ 3.73\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts.\\ 5.00\\ 4.38\\ 4.00\\ 3.94\\ 4.88\\ 5.25\\ 4.25\\ 3.98\\ 4.48\\ 4.45\\ 4.45\\ 4.48\\ 5.96\\ 4.80\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts.\\ 5,35\\ 5,15\\ 5,05\\ 4,95\\ 5,10\\ 5,30\\ 5,20\\ 5,40\\ 5,45\\ 5,35\\ 5,40\\ 5,40\\ 5,40\\ 5,70\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts.\\ 6.55\\ 6.10\\ 5.55\\ 5.60\\ 6.45\\ 6.85\\ 5.70\\ 5.70\\ 6.30\\ 6.10\\ 6.05\\ 7.55\\ 6.65\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts.\\ 5.05\\ 4.75\\ 4.55\\ 4.55\\ 4.50\\ 4.70\\ 4.60\\ 4.80\\ 4.75\\ 4.65\\ 4.75\\ 4.65\\ 4.70\\ 4.70\\ 5.00\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts.\\ 6.25\\ 5.70\\ 5.05\\ 5.10\\ 5.85\\ 6.25\\ 5.10\\ 5.40\\ 5.40\\ 5.35\\ 6.85\\ 5.90\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 4.95 \\ 4.45 \\ 4.45 \\ 4.45 \\ 4.40 \\ 4.60 \\ 4.50 \\ 4.50 \\ 4.55 \\ 4.65 \\ 4.55 \\ 4.60 \\ 4.60 \\ 4.90 \\ 1.90 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts.\\ 6.15\\ 5.60\\ 4.95\\ 5.00\\ 5.75\\ 6.15\\ 5.00\\ 5.00\\ 5.00\\ 5.00\\ 5.00\\ 5.00\\ 5.30\\ 5.85\\ 6.80\\ 5.85\\$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 4.60 \\ 4.30 \\ 4.20 \\ 4.20 \\ 4.15 \\ 4.25 \\ 4.45 \\ 4.45 \\ 4.40 \\ 4.30 \\ 4.35 \\ 4.45 \\ 4.65 \\ 4.65 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts.\\ 5.80\\ 5.25\\ 4.70\\ 4.75\\ 5.40\\ 5.80\\ 4.75\\ 5.25\\ 5.05\\ 5.05\\ 5.10\\ 6.60\\ 5.65\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 4.15 \\ 3.65 \\ 3.65 \\ 3.65 \\ 3.65 \\ 3.65 \\ 3.85 \\ 3.85 \\ 3.80 \\ 3.70 \\ 3.75 \\ 3.85 \\ 4.05 \\ 2.40 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cls.\\ 5.20\\ 4.60\\ 4.12\\ 4.20\\ 4.60\\ 5.00\\ 4.02\\ 4.14\\ 4.62\\ 4.44\\ 4.50\\ 6.00\\ 5.03\\ 4.44\\ 4.50\\ 6.00\\ 5.03\\ 4.44\\ 4.50\\ 5.03\\ 5.03\\ 4.04\\ 5.03\\$								
1913. 1914. January February March April May June June July August September October December	$\begin{array}{c} 2.37\frac{1}{2} \\ 2.62\frac{1}{2} \\ 2.89 \\ 2.28 \\ 2.27 \\ 2.39 \\ 2.64 \\ 2.61 \\ 2.64 \\ 4.37 \\ 2.99 \\ 2.86 \\ 3.24 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.05\\ \hline 2.98\\ 2.98\\ 2.64\\ 2.39\\ 2.74\\ 2.80\\ 2.67\\ 5.87\\ 5.62\\ 4.37\\ 3.42\\ 3.43\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.12\\ \hline 3.12\frac{1}{2}\\ 3.39\\ 2.95\\ 2.92\\ 3.00\\ 3.29\\ 3.26\\ 3.29\\ 5.02\\ 3.64\\ 3.51\\ 3.89\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.80 \\ \hline 3.48 \\ 3.48 \\ 3.39 \\ 3.04 \\ 3.39 \\ 3.45 \\ 3.32 \\ 6.52 \\ 6.27 \\ 5.02 \\ 4.07 \\ 4.08 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 5.05\\ 5.05\\ 5.05\\ 5.05\\ 5.05\\ 5.05\\ 5.05\\ 5.15\\ 5.25\\ 5.30\\ 7.65\\ 6.50\\ 5.90\\ 5.75\\ \end{array}$	5.70 5.25 5.05 5.10 5.15 5.25 5.30 8.40 8.15 7.65 6.50 6.00	$\begin{array}{c} 4.25\\ \hline 4.15\\ 4.20\\ 3.95\\ 4.00\\ 4.00\\ 4.30\\ \hline 4.40\\ 4.50\\ 6.85\\ 5.70\\ 5.10\\ 4.95\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 5.00 \\ \hline 4.25 \\ 4.20 \\ 4.20 \\ 4.00 \\ 4.30 \\ 4.40 \\ 4.50 \\ 7.60 \\ 7.35 \\ 6.85 \\ 5.70 \\ 5.20 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.15\\ \hline 4.05\\ 4.10\\ 3.85\\ 3.90\\ 4.20\\ \hline 4.30\\ 4.40\\ 6.75\\ 5.60\\ 5.00\\ 4.85\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.95\\ \hline 4.20\\ 4.15\\ 3.95\\ 4.25\\ 4.35\\ \hline 4.45\\ 7.55\\ 7.30\\ 6.80\\ 5.65\\ 5.15\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.00\\ \hline 3.90\\ 3.95\\ 3.60\\ 3.65\\ 3.65\\ 4.00\\ 4.10\\ 4.20\\ 6.50\\ 5.35\\ 4.75\\ 4.60\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.65\\ \hline 4.05\\ 3.95\\ 3.95\\ 3.65\\ 4.00\\ 4.10\\ 4.20\\ 7.30\\ 7.05\\ 6.50\\ 5.35\\ 4.85\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.40 \\ \hline 3.30 \\ 3.35 \\ 3.00 \\ 3.05 \\ 3.05 \\ 3.40 \\ 3.50 \\ 3.60 \\ 5.90 \\ 4.75 \\ 4.15 \\ 4.00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.00\\ \hline 3.41\\ 3.31\\ 3.34\\ 3.00\\ 3.40\\ 3.50\\ 3.60\\ 6.70\\ 6.41\\ 5.90\\ 4.7\\ 4.2. \end{array}$								
Year.	2.27	5.87	2,92	6.52	5.05	8.40	3.95	7.60	3.85	7.55	3.60	7.30	3.00	6.7								

¹ Muscovado, 89° polarization, 1900 to 1908 inclusive.

SUGAR-Continued.

TABLE 127.—Sugar: International trade, calendar years 1911–1913.

[The following kinds and grades have been included under the head of sugar: Brown, white, candied, caramel, chancaca (Peru), crystal cube, maple, muscovado, paneta. The following have been excluded: "Candy" (meaning confectionery), confectionery, glucose, grape sugar, jaggery, molasses, and sirup. See "General note," p. 518.]

EXPORTS.

[000 omitted.]

Country.	191.1	1912	1913 (prelim.).	Country.	1911	1912	1913 (prelim.).
Argentina. Austria-Hungary Barbados. Belgium. Brazil. British Guiana. British Guiana. China. Cuba. Dutch East In- dies. Egypt. Fiji. France. Germany. Guadeloupe.	$\begin{array}{c} Pounds.\\ 150\\ 1,334,958\\ 61,699\\ 360,159\\ 79,825\\ 222,588\\ 44,184\\ 33,586\\ 3,192,649\\ 2,952,302\\ 23,817\\ 163,146\\ 293,732\\ 1,880,046\\ 82,658\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Pounds.\\ 228\\ 1,540,900\\ 57,902\\ 348,081\\ 10,520\\ 174,319\\ 80,454\\ 43,154\\ 4,357,051\\ 2,942,217\\ 20,769\\ 138,271\\ 373,858\\ 953,743\\ 84,882 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} Pounds.\\ 132\\ 2,368,765\\ 57,902\\ 251,935\\ 11,832\\ 174,319\\ 53,181\\ 14,555\\ 5,476,901\\ 2,942,217\\ 11,316\\ 138,271\\ 442,518\\ 2,462,020\\ 84,882 \end{array}$	Martinique Mauritius Netherlands Pru Philipp in e Is- lands Reunion Santo Domingo Trinidad and To- bago United Kingdom. Other countries Total	$\begin{array}{c} Pounds.\\ 78,204\\ 522,601\\ 432,359\\ 1,270,848\\ 460,078\\ 111,181\\ 1,000,127\\ 193,499\\ 84,979\\ 64,011\\ 530,836\\ \hline 14,440,142\\ \end{array}$	Pounds. 86,991 454,550 474,368 328,902 434,475 58,812 830,036 195,714 74,290 67,012 701,564 14,833,063	Pounds. 86,991 454,550 440,817 315,041 346,858 58,812 325,665 173,832 74,290 52,492 743,810 17,563,904

IMPORTS.

	1					170 105	147 000
Argentina	114.596	66,658	166,578	Netherlands	204,365	178,137	147.002
Australia	74,537	220, 597	167,690	New Zealand	123,958	135,902	137,790
British India	1 272, 141	1,364,955	1.922.009	Norway	106,228	98,505	115,903
British South	1,213,111	_,,		Persia	222,408	234,308	234,308
Africo	74 707	39 728	60.480	Portugal	82,061	78,867	78,867
Canada	500 767	651 875	670, 234	Singapore	127,967	224,829	224,829
Chilo	100,070	140 486	196 888	Switzerland	230, 862	268,289	258, 513
China	575 434	607 287	948 230	Turkev1	445.111	445,111	445,111
Durante	05 470	21 144	26 888	United Kingdom	3,718,860	3.693.670	3.872,309
Denmark	20,470	77 977	79,600	United States 2	4 134 206	4,316,975	4,762,014
Egypt	100,890	102 010	105 106	Umiguous	57 087	57 087	57,087
Finland	98,181	103,818	105,100	Other or this	660 720	724 670	778 668
France	385,093	672,273	246,027	Other countries	009,730	154,010	110,000
Italy	20,836	15,768	15,446	m + 1	10 000 770	14 770 911	16 012 670
Japan	175,271	303,093	303,093	Total	13,830,750	14,770,511	10,013,079
-		1					1

1 Data for 1910.

² Not including receipts from Hawaii, amounting in 1911, to 1,135,714,939; 1912, 1,205,465,510; and 1913, 1,085,362,344 pounds; and from Porto Rico, in 1911, 653,819,757; 1912, 734,289,872; and 1913, 765,420,310 pounds.

3 Data for 1908.

Statistics of Sugar.

SUGAR-Continued.

TABLE 128.—Sugar crop of undermentioned countries, 1911-12 to 1913-14.

Country.	1911-12	1912-13	1913–14	Country.	1911-12	1912-13	1913-14
CANE SUGAR.				CANE SUGAR-Con.			
NORTH AMERICA.				AFRICA.			
United States:	Short tons.	Short tons.	Short tons.	Egypt	Shorttons. 65.000	Short tons. 84.000	Shorttons. 75.000
Contiguous-				Mauritius	187,000	239,000	271,000
Louisiana	353,000	154,000	293,000	Natal Portuguese E Af-	103,000	93,000	96,000
Nontiguous-	0,000	3,000	1,000	rica	31,000	34,000	38,000
Hawaii	595,000	547,000	612,000	Reunion	45,000	29,000	45,000
10110 10100				Total	431,000	479,000	525,000
Total United States	1,327,000	1,108,000	1,276,000	OCEANIA.			
Central America:				Australia:			
British Honduras	(²)	(²)	(2)	Queensland	194,000	127,000	263,000
Nicaragua	2,000	4,000	4,000	Fiji	81,000	77,000	112,000
Salvador	19,000	24,000	24,000	Total	204 000	222 000	207 000
West Indies:	107,000	- 104,000	143,000	Total	294,000	=======================================	
Antigua ³	13,000	13,000	13,000	gar	10,253,000	10.699.000	11.118.000
Barbados	30,000	32,000	32,000	D 0			
St.Christopher-	22,000	11,000	19,000	BEET SUGAR.			
Nevis	12,000	12,000	12,000	NORTH AMERICA.			
St. Lucia ³	5,000	5,000	5,000	U.S. Contiguous	600,000	693-000	733 000
Tobago	46,000	46,000	46,000	Canada	12,000	14,000	1 10,000
Cuba Danish ³	2,142,000 11,000	2,737,000 7,000	2,909,000 6,000	Total	612,000	707,000	733,000
Guadeloupe ³	39,000	36,000	45,000	EUROPE.			
Martinique ³	44,000	45,000	43,000				1 050 000
Santo Domingo ³	94,000	98,000	87,000	Austria-Hungary Belgium	1,263,000 259,000	2,096,000	1,858,000
Total	2,650,000	3,237,000	3,391,000	Bulgaria	8,000		9,000
SOUTH AMERICA				Denmark	128,000 502,000	148,000 953,000	178,000 861,000
SOUTH AMERICA.				Germany	1,552,000	2,902,000	2,886,000
Argentina	199,000	162,000	304,000	Italy	175,000 265,000	219,000 309,000	337,000 253,000
Guiana:	200,000	228,000	220,000	Roumania	36,000	38,000	38,000
British ⁸	111,000	87,000	114,000	Russia	2,025,000	1,344,000	2,031,000 176,000
Peru	191,000	207,000	212,000	Sweden	140,000	146,000	151,000
Total	775,000	699,000	874,000	Switzerland	4,000	4,000	5,000
EUROPE.				Total	6,460,090	8,658,000	9,032,030
Spain	22 000	18 000	11 000	Total beet su-	7 072 000	8 365 000	0 765 000
spam				gar			
ASIA.				and cane		Í	
British India Frederated Malay States:	2, 745, 000	2,894,000	2, 534, 000	sugar	17,325,000	19,064,000	20, 883,000
Perak 4	13,000	13,000	13,000				
rormosa Japan	194,000	127,000 69.000	213,000				
Java	1,550,000	1,616,000	1,591,000				
Philippine Islands ⁵ .	205,000	234,000	235,000				
Total	4,776,000	4,953,000	4,655,000				

¹ Unofficial estimates.
 ² Less than 500 short tons.
 ³ Exports.
 ⁴ Average, 1907-1909.
 ⁵ Exports, years ending June 30, 1912, 1913, 1914.

Note.-1 short ton=2,000 pounds.

SUGAR-Continued.

 TABLE 129.—Sugar: Total production of countries mentioned in Table 128, 1895–96 to 1913–14.

Voor		Production		37	Production.				
rear.	Cane.1	Cane. ¹ Beet. Total. Cane. ¹ Beet.	Total.						
1895-96 1896-97 1897-98 1898-99 1898-99 1898-99 1898-99 1890-1900 1901-2 1902-3 1903-4 1904-5	Short tons. 3,259,000 3,171,000 3,355,000 3,355,000 4,084,000 6,818,000 6,782,000 6,909,000 7,662,000	$\begin{array}{c} Short \ tons.\\ 4, 832, 000\\ 5, 549, 000\\ 5, 457, 000\\ 5, 616, 000\\ 6, 262, 000\\ 6, 795, 000\\ 7, 743, 000\\ 6, 454, 000\\ 6, 835, 000\\ 5, 525, 000\\ \end{array}$	Short tons. 8, 091,000 8, 720,000 8, 663,000 9, 651,000 10, 879,000 13, 236,000 13, 244,000 13, 187,000	1905-6 1906-7 1907-8 1908-9 1910-10 1910-11 1911-12 1912-13 1913-14.2	Short tons. 7, 551,000 8, 365,000 7, 926,000 8, 654,000 9, 423,000 9, 540,000 10, 453,000 10, 719,000	Short tons. 8,090,000 7,587,000 7,390,000 7,350,000 6,991,000 9,042,000 7,096,000 9,410,000 9,921,000	Short tons. 15, 641, 000 15, 952, 000 15, 316, 000 16, 004, 000 16, 414, 600 18, 582, 000 17, 549, 000 20, 129, 000		

¹ Prior to 1901-2, these figures include exports instead of production for British India. ² Preliminary.

TEA.

TABLE 130.—Tea: International trade, calendar years 1911-1913.

· ["Tea" includes tea leaves only, and excludes dust, sweepings, and yerba maté. See "General note," p. 518.]

EXPORTS.

[000 omitted.]

Country.	1911	1912	1913 (prelim.).	Country.	1911	1912	1913 (prelim.).	
British India Ceylon China Dutch East Indies Formosa	Pounds. 265, 270 186, 594 194, 552 38, 469 25, 620	Pounds. 279, 230 192, 020 196, 488 66, 610 23, 668	Pounds. 291, 583 192, 020 192, 122 66, 610 23, 931	Japan Singapore Other countries Total	Pounds. 37,096 2,676 8,057 758,335	Pounds. 35, 116 2, 913 8, 236 804, 281	Pounds. 35, 116 2, 913 6, 015 810, 310	

IMPORTS.

Argentina. Australia. Australa Hungary British India. British South Africa Canada Chile. China. Dutch East Indies. France. French Indo-China.	3,672 34,759 3,551 10,784 5,534 33,425 3,625 16,630 6,276 2,972 2,680	$\begin{array}{r} 4,082\\ 36,756\\ 3,793\\ 9,167\\ 6,115\\ 42,658\\ 3,812\\ 18,445\\ 7,624\\ 2,886\\ 2,920\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 4,148\\ 37,349\\ 3,575\\ 8,653\\ 6,567\\ 35,927\\ 3,849\\ 25,898\\ 7,624\\ 2,661\\ 2,920\\ \end{array}$	Germany Netherlands New Zealand Persia Russia Singapore United Kingdom United Ktates Other contries Total	$\begin{array}{c} 8,405\\ 11,466\\ 8,071\\ 9,443\\ 153,288\\ 6,225\\ 293,502\\ 104,166\\ 33,214\\ \hline 751,652\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 9,124\\ 12,143\\ 7,684\\ 11,120\\ 151,037\\ 6,692\\ 295,409\\ 98,706\\ 38,486\\ \hline 768,659\end{array}$	9,458 12,052 7,069 11,120 152,211 16,692 305,690 89,018 36,292 768,773
French indo-China.	2,080	2,920	2,920	Total	751,652	768,659	768,773

Statistics of Tea.

.

.

i

TEA-Continued.

TABLE 131.—Tea: Wholesale price per pound, on New York market, 1900-1914.

Data	Foocho to fi	ow, fair ne.	Formo to ch	sa, fine loice.	Japan fir	s, pan- ed.	India pel	orange koe.	Ceylon pel	orange koe.
Date	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.
1900 1901 1902 1903	$\begin{array}{c} Cents. \\ 22 \\ 20 \\ 21\frac{1}{2} \\ 10 \end{array}$	Cents. 28 28 29 29	$\begin{array}{c} Cents. \\ 27 \\ 27 \\ 271 \\ 271 \\ 201 \\ 201 \\ \end{array}$	Cents. 45 43 47 50	Cents.	Cents.	Cents. 27 26 26 19	Cents. 30 30 35 35 35	Cents. 27 26 26 19	Cents. 37 37 36 36
1904 1905 1906 1907 1908	9 9 $8\frac{1}{2}$ $9\frac{1}{2}$ $12\frac{1}{2}$	18 18 18 21 21	25 26 22 22 22 20	50 50 50 38 45	$\begin{array}{c} 9\frac{1}{2} \\ 11 \\ 9\frac{1}{2} \\ 14\frac{1}{2} \\ 18 \end{array}$	$14 \\ 14 \\ 16 \\ 35 \\ 35 \\ 35$	18 19 19 15 17	$25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 4 \\ 25 \\ 25$	18 19 19 16 18	27 28 28 30 30
1909 1910 1911 1912 1913	$12\frac{1}{2}\\10\frac{1}{2}\\10\\11\frac{1}{2}\\12\\12$	$27 \\ 27 \\ 22\frac{3}{4} \\ 22\frac{3}{4} \\ 22 \\ 22 \\ 4 \\ 22 \\ 22 \\ 4 \\ 22 \\ 22$	$20 \\ 23 \\ 23\frac{1}{2} \\ 20 \\ 24$	$\begin{array}{r} 40 \\ 64\frac{1}{2} \\ 45\frac{1}{2} \\ 39 \\ 39 \\ 39 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 18\\.17\frac{1}{2}\\.17\\.17\\.15\\.13\frac{1}{2}\end{array}$	38 36 32 21 35	$18\\18\\18\\18\\18\\181_2$	$26 \\ 26\frac{1}{2} \\ 26\frac{1}{2} \\ 25 \\ 24$	$18\\18\\18\\20\\18\frac{1}{2}$	28 26 26 26 24
1914. January February March May June	$12 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\$	22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22	24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24	39 39 39 39 39 39 39	$13\frac{1}{2}$ $13\frac{1}{2}$ $12\frac{1}{2}$ $12\frac{1}{2}$ $12\frac{1}{2}$ $12\frac{1}{2}$	28 28 30 30 30 30	$18\frac{1}{2}$ $18\frac{1}{2}$ $18\frac{1}{2}$ $18\frac{1}{2}$ $18\frac{1}{2}$ $18\frac{1}{2}$	21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21	181 181 181 181 181 181 181 181	24 24 24 24 24 24 24
July August September October November December	$12\frac{1}{2}$ $12\frac{1}{2}$ 16 15 15 15 15	22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22	24 24 23 23 23 23	39 39 39 39 39 39	$ \begin{array}{r} 12\frac{1}{2} \\ 14 \\ 17 \\ 18$	31 38 38 38 38 38 38	18 <u>1</u> 18 <u>1</u> 27 27 27	21 27 27 27 27	$18\frac{1}{2}$ $18\frac{1}{2}$ 25 20 20 21	24 26 26 26 22 22
Year	12	22	23	39	$12\frac{1}{2}$	38	$18\frac{1}{2}$	27	$18\frac{1}{2}$	26

.

COFFEE.

TABLE 132.—Coffee: International trade, calendar years 1911–1913.

[The item of coffee comprises unhulled and hulled, roasted, ground, or otherwise prepared, but imitation or "surrogate" coffee and chicory are excluded. See "General note," p. 518.]

EXPORTS.

[000 omitted.]

Country.	1911	1912	1913 (prelim.).	Country.	1911	1912	1913 (prelim.).
Belgium Brazil. British India. Costa Rica. Dutch East Indies. Guatemala. Haiti. Jamaica. Mexico.	Pounds. 28,113 1,489,341 24,593 83,554 27,869 52,517 90,003 52,861 6,726 41,587	Pounds. 53,036 1,597,950 34,937 123,442 26,980 75,179 82,855 78,864 10,034 53,759	$\begin{array}{c} Pounds.\\ 24,945\\ 1,754,973\\ 22,073\\ 123,442\\ 28,702\\ 75,179\\ 93,014\\ 57,594\\ 10,034\\ 53,759\end{array}$	Netherlands Nicaragua. Salvador Singapore United States ² Venezuela Other countries Total	Pounds. 195,902 112,973 165,367 4,365 36,384 97,659 62,961 2,372,775	Pounds. 180, 792 10, 201 59, 216 4, 842 49, 716 117, 042 66, 047 2, 624, 892	Pounds. 202, 823 10, 201 63, 471 4, 842 52, 905 142, 016 62, 686 2, 782, 659

IMPORTS.

¹ Data for 1910.

² Chiefly from Porto Rico.

COFFEE-Continued.

						New	York.						New Orleans.						
Date.	Rio I	Rio No. 7.		itos . 7.	Mocha.		Pad	Padang.		Cucuta, washed.		ican oba, hed.	Rio No. 7.		Santos No. 7.				
	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.			
1900 1901 1902 1903	Cts. 6_{1}^{3} 5_{1}^{1} 5_{16}^{1}	Cts. 10 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73	$Cts. \\ 6\frac{3}{4} \\ 5\frac{1}{2} \\ 5 \\ 5\frac{1}{16}$	Cts. 10 734 734 734 735	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 16 \\ 15\frac{1}{2} \\ 13 \\ 12 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 19\frac{3}{4} \\ 19\frac{1}{2} \\ 19 \\ 19 \\ 19 \\ 19 \\ 19 \\ 19 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 18 \\ 15 \\ 17 \\ 15\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	Cts. 251 19 19 19 17	Cts. 9 7 <u>1</u> 8 8	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 13\frac{1}{2} \\ 13 \\ 13\frac{1}{2} \\ 13\frac{1}{2} \\ 13 \end{array}$	Cts. 9 8 83 8	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 14 \\ 12\frac{1}{2} \\ 12\frac{1}{4} \\ 13 \end{array}$	Cts. 675 534 535 535 535 535	$Cts.\ 10\ 7rac{7}{8}\ 7rac{1}{4}\ 7rac{3}{8}$	$Cts. 7 \\ 5^{7}_{8} \\ 5^{1}_{2} \\ 5^{1}_{2} \\ 5^{1}_{2}$	Cts. $10\frac{1}{8}$ $7\frac{3}{8}$ $6\frac{1}{2}$			
1904 1905 1906 1907 1908	6121 731 64 6	9 76 9 1 9 71 72 78		976 98 9 71 75 75	$\begin{array}{c} 13 \\ 16 \\ 15 \\ 15\frac{1}{2} \\ 14\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	$18 \\ 18\frac{1}{2} \\ 21 \\ 19 \\ 19 \\ 19 \\ 19 \\ 19 \\ 19 \\ 19$	$15\frac{1}{2}$ 15 13 13 13 10	$16\frac{1}{2}$ 16 15 $\frac{1}{2}$ 21 21 21	9 9 9 1 9 1 10	${ \begin{array}{c} 13\frac{3}{4} \\ 13 \\ 12 \\ 13\frac{3}{4} \\ 13\frac{1}{2} \end{array} } } \\$	$\begin{array}{c} 8\frac{3}{4} \\ 10\frac{1}{4} \\ 9\frac{1}{4} \\ 9\frac{1}{2} \\ 10\frac{1}{4} \end{array}$	$13\frac{1}{2}\ 13\ 12\frac{3}{4}\ 13\ 13\ 13\ 13\ 13\ 13\ 13\ 13\ 13\ 13$	7 713 718 6 58	918 9 1000000 7000000 60	734 71 638 7	9 83 73 73			
1909 1910 1911 1912 1913	$\begin{array}{c} 6rac{1}{2} \\ 8 \\ 11rac{3}{4} \\ 13rac{1}{8} \\ 8rac{7}{8} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 8\frac{5}{8}\\ 13\frac{1}{2}\\ 16\frac{1}{8}\\ 15\frac{1}{4}\\ 14 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 6\frac{1}{2} \\ 8\frac{1}{2} \\ 12\frac{1}{8} \\ 14\frac{1}{4} \\ 10\frac{3}{8} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 8\frac{3}{4}\\ 13\frac{5}{8}\\ 16\frac{1}{2}\\ 16\frac{1}{4}\\ 15\frac{5}{8}\end{array}$	14 141 153 181 181 18	${ {17} \\ {17} \\ {20} \\ {21} \\ {21} \\ {21} }$	$\begin{array}{c} 10 \\ 17 \\ 18\frac{1}{2} \\ 19\frac{1}{2} \\ 19 \\ 19 \end{array}$	201 20 22 22 22 23	$\begin{array}{r} 9\frac{5}{8}\\ 10\\ 13\frac{3}{4}\\ 15\frac{1}{8}\\ 11\frac{3}{4}\end{array}$	$^{14}_{16\frac{1}{8}}_{18}\\^{18\frac{1}{2}}_{17\frac{3}{4}}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10\frac{1}{4} \\ 10\frac{1}{2} \\ 14\frac{1}{2} \\ 15\frac{3}{4} \\ 15 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 13\frac{1}{4} \\ 15\frac{1}{2} \\ 18\frac{3}{4} \\ 18\frac{1}{2} \\ 18 \end{array}$	$7\frac{1}{8}$ $8\frac{1}{13}$ $13\frac{3}{8}$ 9	$\begin{array}{r} 8\frac{5}{8}\\ 13\frac{1}{2}\\ 16\frac{1}{8}\\ 15\frac{1}{4}\\ 14\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 7\frac{1}{4} \\ 8\frac{5}{8} \\ 12\frac{1}{8} \\ 14\frac{1}{4} \\ 10\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$				
1914. January February March April May June	9 121420140140140140140	95 91 91 91 91 91 95 95	$\begin{array}{c} 103\\ 103\\ 104\\ 101\\ 101\\ 102\\ 103\\ 101\\ 101\\ 2\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 11\frac{3}{4} \\ 11\frac{3}{4} \\ 11 \\ 11 \\ 11 \\ 11 \\ 111 \\ 111\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 18 \\ 17\frac{1}{2} \\ 17\frac{1}{2} \\ 18\frac{1}{2} \\ 18\frac{1}{2} \\ 18\frac{1}{2} \\ 18\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 20\\ 20^{1}_{2}\\ 20^{1}_{2}\\ 20^{2}_{2}\\ 20^{2}_{2}\\ 20^{1}_{2}\\ 21 \end{array}$	$21 \\ 21 \\ 21 \\ 21 \\ 21 \\ 21 \\ 21 \\ 21 \\$	23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23	$\begin{array}{c} 14\frac{1}{2}\\ 15\frac{1}{2}\\ 14\frac{1}{4}\\ 14\frac{1}{4}\\ 14\frac{1}{2}\\ 14\frac{1}{2}\end{array}$	$18 \\ 18 \\ 17\frac{1}{2} \\ 17 \\ 16\frac{3}{4} \\ \cdot 16\frac{3}{4}$	$\begin{array}{c} 15\frac{3}{4}\\ 15\frac{3}{4}\\ 15\frac{1}{4}\\ 15\frac{1}{2}\\ 15\frac{1}{4}\\ 15\frac{1}{4}\\ 15\frac{1}{4}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 16\frac{1}{2} \\ 16\frac{1}{2} \\ 16\frac{1}{2} \\ 15\frac{3}{4} \\ 15\frac{3}{4} \\ 16 \end{array}$	9100-1000000000000000000000000000000000	934 958 958 958 958 958 958 958	$\begin{array}{c} 105\\ 1034\\ 1012\\ 1012\\ 1012\\ 1012\\ 1034\\ 1034\\ \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 111\\ 113\\ 11\\ 11\\ 114\\ 114\\ 114\\ 114 \end{array} $			
July August September October November December	$\begin{array}{c} 7_{81} \\ 7_{208} \\ 6_{14} \\ 6_{14} \\ 6_{14} \\ 6_{14} \\ 6_{14} \\ \end{array}$	9 9700525 60005 755	9333 9121 8121 841 841	$10\frac{1}{123}$ $11\frac{91}{834}$ $8\frac{3}{4}$	$\begin{array}{c} 19\frac{1}{2}\\ 19\frac{1}{2}\\ 22\frac{1}{2}\\ 22\frac{1}{2}\\ 21\frac{1}{2}\\ 21\frac{1}{2}\\ 21\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	21 30 30 30 30 24	$\begin{array}{c} 21 \\ 21 \\ 23 \\ 23 \\ 22_2^1 \\ 22_2^1 \end{array}$	$23 \\ 24 \\ 24 \\ 24 \\ 24 \\ 24 \\ 23\frac{1}{2}$	$\begin{array}{c c} 14\frac{1}{4}\\ 14\frac{1}{4}\\ 12\\ 11\\ 11\frac{1}{2}\\ 12\\ 12 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 16\frac{1}{2} \\ 18\frac{1}{4} \\ 16 \\ 15 \\ 14\frac{1}{2} \\ 15\frac{1}{4} \end{array}$	$15 \\ 15 \\ 15\frac{1}{2} \\ 12\frac{1}{2} \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ $	$16\\17\frac{1}{4}\\17\frac{1}{4}\\15\frac{1}{2}\\14\\14$	755 717 633 633 635 635 635	9 1033 734 678 678 678 678 678 674 674	978 10122 914 847 878 878	$\begin{array}{c} 111\\131\\131\\111\\9\\8\\9\\9\\9\\9\\7\\8\end{array}$			
Year	61	97	81	$12\frac{3}{4}$	$17\frac{1}{2}$	30	21	24	11	18^1_4	12	171	$6\frac{3}{8}$	10^{3}_{8}	83	135			

•

TABLE 133.—Coffee: Wholesale price per pound, on the New York and New Orleans markets, 1900–1914.

.

Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

OIL CAKE AND OIL-CAKE MEAL.

TABLE 134.—Oil cake and oil-cake meal: International trade, calendar years 1911-1913.

[The class called here "oil cake and oil-cake meal" includes the edible cake and meal remaining after making oil from such products as cotton seed, flaxseed, peanuts, corn, etc. See "General note," p. 518.]

EXPORTS.

[000 omitted.]

Country.	1911	1912	1913 (prelim.).	Country.	1911 _	1912	1913 (prelim.).
Argentina Austria-Hungary Belgium British India. Canada. China Denmark Egypt. France. Germany	Pounds. 44,594 158,739 174,257 301,128 36,946 147,065 16,213 187,772 560,172 514,189	Pounds. 38,849 127,661 157,458 333,504 69,353 112,629 21,742 178,033 471,101 581,182	Pounds. 46, 191 111, 252 125, 241 400, 818 65, 530 175, 060 21, 061 138, 839 473, 550 648, 536	Italy Mexico Netherlands Russia United Kingdom United States Other countries Total	Pounds. 89,839 41,568 210,956 1,452,291 46,337 1,638,537 77,048 5,697,651	Pounds. 57,795 40,138 253,003 1,552,042 69,512 1,980,166 80,677 6,124,895	Pounds. 43,008 40,138 228,492 1,611,823 52,741 1,952,184 88,926 6,223,390
	·		IMPO	ORTS.			·

Austria-Hungary Belgium. Canada. Denmark. Dutch East Indies. Finland. France. Germany. Italy.	$\begin{array}{r} 48,058\\529,596\\6,662\\948,133\\2,230\\25,538\\314,806\\1,668,380\\11,872\end{array}$	$74,091 \\ 534,293 \\ 10,594 \\ 1,114,414 \\ 38 \\ 32,071 \\ 341,642 \\ 1,750,872 \\ 8,627 \\ 8,627$	$79,860 \\ 567,391 \\ 11,090 \\ 1,250,972 \\ 38 \\ 25,533 \\ 223,862 \\ 1,826,618 \\ 6,520 \\ \end{cases}$	Japan Netherlands Norway Sweden Switzerland United Kingdom Other countries Total	195, 154 643, 155 63, 453 357, 198 88, 451 754, 779 25, 595 5, 683, 110	190, 495822, 75765, 400385, 47475, 158863, 6211 20, 6166, 290, 163	190, 495 766, 498 66, 519 385, 474 54, 955 904, 606 20, 216 6, 380, 647
---	--	--	--	---	---	--	--

ROSIN.

TABLE 135.—Rosin: International trade, calendar years 1911-1913.

[For rosin, only the resinous substance known as "rosin" in the exports of the United States, is taken. See "General note," p. 518]

EXPORTS.

[000 omitted.]

Country.	1911	1912	1913 (prelim.).	Country.	1911	1912	1913 (prelim.).
Austria-Hungary Belgium Germany Greece Netherlands Russia	Pounds. 1,988 46,346 52,354 17,202 62,976 47,317	Pounds. 2,388 60,312 37,609 14,061 61,698 49,507	Pounds. 2, 327 57, 491 56, 884 3, 982 59, 713 55, 578	Spain United States Other countries Total	Pounds. 19, 509 676, 323 325 924, 340	Pounds. 25, 068 680, 777 2, 302 933, 722	Pounds. 20, 533 729, 419 3, 434 989, 361

IMPORTS.

.

Argentina. Australia Austria Hungary. Belgium Brazil ¹ British India. Canada. Chile. Cuba. Denmark. Duthe Fast Indies. Finland. Germany. Italy.	$\begin{array}{c} 30,674\\ 15,064\\ 80,856\\ 79,432\\ 33,920\\ 5,510\\ 25,797\\ 7,745\\ 3,554\\ 3,554\\ 3,578\\ 7,795\\ 246,054\\ 36,951 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 32,005\\ 13,067\\ 82,270\\ 73,957\\ 33,920\\ 7,358\\ 26,381\\ 7,129\\ 6,240\\ 3,329\\ 23,474\\ 5,126\\ 250,181\\ 37,569 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 43, 906\\ 16, 924\\ 74, 208\\ 82, 426\\ 33, 920\\ 5, 705\\ 528, 462\\ 3, 801\\ 4, 771\\ 3, 513\\ 23, 474\\ 7, 594\\ 212, 226\\ 39, 918 \end{array}$	Japan. Netherlands Norway. Roumania ² . Servia ² . Spain. Switzerland. United Kingdom. Uruguay ³ . O ther countries Total	$\begin{array}{c} 10,235\\78,442\\6,337\\6,556\\73,782\\586\\1,960\\4,989\\158,346\\5,837\\15,100\\947,620\\\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 11,591\\ 83,794\\ 6,281\\ 6,586\\ 68,047\\ 536\\ 739\\ 5,333\\ 176,344\\ 5,837\\ 16,987\\ 984,151 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 11,591\\ 79,452\\ 7,987\\ 6,556\\ 79,903\\ 536\\ 689\\ 5,209\\ 187,934\\ 5,837\\ 17,140\\ \hline 983,732\\ \end{array}$
---	---	--	---	--	--	--	---

¹ Data for 1909.

² Data for 1911.

³ Data for 1908.

TURPENTINE.

TABLE 136.—Turpentine (spirits): International trade, calendar years 1910–1912.

["Spirits of turpentine" includes only "spirits" or "oil" of turpentine and, for Russia, *skipidar*; it excludes crude turpentine, pitch, and, for Russia, *terpentin*. See "General note," p. 518.]

EXPORTS.

[000 omitted.]

Country.	1911	1912	1913 (prelim.).	Country.	1910	1911	1912 (prelim.).
Belgium France. Germany	Gallons. 2,157 2,657 420	Gallons. 1,871 2,071 494 2,471	Gallons. 1,673 3,517 578 4 112	Spain United States Other countries	Gallons. 1,126 18,198 713	Gallons. 1,005 20,811 750	Gallons. 1,331 20,018 471
Russia	2, 698	2,336	2,393	Total	30,257	32, 809	34,113

IMPORTS.

New Zealand	241	216	$200 \\ 356 \\ 132 \\ 592 \\ 8,356 \\ 1,142 \\ \hline 36,946$
Russia.	275	288	
Sweden	131	132	
Switzerland	441	466	
United Kingdom	7,154	9,837	
Other countries	1,351	1,185	
Total	31,392	36,070	
	New Zealand Russia. Sweden Switzerland United Kingdom Other countries Total	New Zealand 241 Russia	New Zealand 241 216 Russia 275 288 Sweden 131 132 Switzerland 441 466 United Kingdom

75922°--- үвк 1914------ З9

.

INDIA RUBBER.

TABLE 137.—India rubber: International trade, calendar years 1911–1913.

[Figures for india rubber include "india rubber," so called, and caoutchouc, caucho, jebe (Peru), hule (Mexico), borracha, massaranduba, mangabeira, maniçoba, sorva and seringa (Brazil), gomelastiek (Dutch East Indies), caura, sernambi (Venezuela). See "General note," p. 518.]

EXPORTS.

[000 omitted.]

Country.	1911	1912	1913 (prelim.).	Country.	1911	1912	1913 (prelim.).
Angola. Belgian Kongo Belgium Bolivia Brazil. Dutch East Indies. Dutch East Indies. Ecuador ¹ . France. France. French Guiana French Kongo Germany. Gold Coast	Pounds. 7, 209 7, 499 20, 209 8, 037 80, 572 6, 833 1, 210 23, 057 4, 226 3, 122 10, 122 2, 669	Pounds. 5,595 7,737 24,608 8,994 93,224 5,945 1,210 24,588 4,499 4,191 10,898 1,991	$\begin{array}{c} Pounds.\\ 5,595\\ 7,737\\ 24,456\\ 8,994\\ 79,876\\ 5,945\\ 1,210\\ 23,561\\ 4,499\\ 4,191\\ 8,756\\ 1,991\\ \end{array}$	Ivory Coast Kamerun. Mexico Netherlands Senegal. Singapore. Southern Nigeria Venezuela Other countries Total	Pounds. 2, 785 15, 571 16, 378 7, 046 5, 842 467 2, 973 2, 164 897 40, 150 269, 038	Pounds. 3,034 6,197 12,197 8,686 7,039 457 8,472 1,579 990 68,749 310,880	$\begin{array}{c} \hline Pounds.\\ 3, 034\\ 2, 608\\ 12, 197\\ 12, 368\\ 6, 131\\ 457\\ 8, 472\\ 1, 579\\ 527\\ 68, 423\\ \hline 292, 607\\ \end{array}$
	, .	,	IMPO	DRTS.			

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $, 096 , 617 , 881 , 745 , 238
---	---

1 Date for 1911.

SILK.

TABLE 138.—Production of raw silk in undermentioned countries, 1909-1913.

[Estimates of the Silk Merchants' Union of Lyons, France.]

Country.	1909	1910	1911	1912	1913 (prelim.).
Western Europe: Italy. France. Spain. Austria. Hungary.	Pounds. 9,372,000 1,486,000 181,000 833,000	Pounds. 8,702,000 701,000 183,000 776,000	Pounds. 7, 694, 000 886, 000 194, 000 772, 000	$\begin{array}{c} Pounds.\\9,050,000\\1,113,000\\172,000\\410,000\\238,000\end{array}$	Pounds. 7, 804,000 772,000 181,000 315,000 264,000
Total	11,872,000	10,362,000	9,546,000	10,983,000	9,336,000
Levant and Central Asia: Broussa and Anatolia Syria and Cyprus Other Provinces of Asiatic Turkey Turkey-in-Europe ¹ Salonica and Adrianople Balkan States (Bulgaria, Servia, and Roumania). Greece, Salonica, and Crete Caucasus Persia (exports). Turkestan (exports) ² Total	1,466,000 981,000 276,000 338,000 492,000 132,000 1,323,000 6,698,000	1,058,000 1,190,000 287,000 386,000 126,000 1,146,000 1,186,000 6,173,000	1,290,000 1,157,000 353,000 827,000 375,000 1,058,000 1,329,000 6,526,000	844,000 882,000 254,000 254,000 573,000 320,000 110,000 871,000 500,000 569,000 4,923,000	904,000 1,080,000 287,000 187,000 275,000 463,000 463,000 490,000 5,004,000
Far East: China	11, 431, 000 5, 059, 000 18, 457, 000 518, 000	11, 448, 000 5, 814, 000 19, 698, 000 507, 000	13,095,000 3,814,000 20,657,000 494,000	14, 198, 000 4, 982, 000 23, 957, 000 260, 000	12, 787, 000 6, 129, 000 26, 125, 000 220, 000
etc			35,000	33,000	33,000
Total	35, 465, 000	37,467,000	38,095,000	43,430,000	45, 294, 000
Grand total	54,035,000	54,002,000	54, 167, 000	59, 336, 000	59,634,000

Prior to 1913 Turkey in Europe included the Vilayet of Salonica, which now belongs to Greece.
 Including "Central Asia in 1912 and 1913."

TABLE 139.—Total produ	iction of raw silk in c	ountries mentioned in	Table 138, 190	0-1913
------------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------	----------------	--------

Year.	Production.	Year.	Production.	Year.	Production.
1900 1901 1902 1903 1904	Pounds. 40, 724, 000 42, 393, 000 41, 368, 000 39, 981, 000 45, 195, 000	1905	Pounds. 41, 513, 000 46, 106, 000 48, 634, 000 53, 087, 000 54, 035, 000	1910. 1911. 1912. 1913 (preliminary)	Pounds. 54,002,000 54,167,000 59,336,000 59,634,000

WOOD PULP.

TABLE 140.—Wood pulp: International trade, calendar years 1911-1913.

[All kinds of pulp from wood have been taken for this item, but no pulp made from other fibrous substances. See "General note," p. 518.]

EXPORTS.

[000 omitted.]

Country.	1911	1912	1913 (prelim.).	Country.	1911	1912	1913 (prelim.).
Austria - Hun- gary Belgium Canada Finland Germany Norway	Pounds. 218, 781 95, 276 519, 028 251, 912 378, 484 1, 369, 248	Pounds. 214, 074 91, 291 696, 203 304, 751 402, 769 1, 529, 091	Pounds. 225, 489 74, 351 596, 339 278, 907 412, 195 1, 558, 473	Russia Sweden Switzerland United States Other countries. Total	Pounds. 55, 260 1, 868, 461 13, 408 18, 988 108, 697 4, 897, 543	Pounds. 48, 100 2, 091, 135 13, 109 28, 379 2 116, 998 5, 535, 900	Pounds. 29, 360 2, 091, 135 14, 659 39, 552 116, 812 5, 437, 272

IMPORTS.

FARM ANIMALS AND THEIR PRODUCTS.

TABLE 141.—Live stock of countries named.

[Africa incompletely represented, through lack of statistics for large areas. Number of animals in China Persia, Afghanistan, Chosen, Bolivia, Ecuador, and several less important countries unknown. For Brazil number of cattle alone estimated, but roughly. In general, statistics of cattle, horses, sheep, and swine are much more complete than those of other animals, as statements for the world.]

r n n		
10000	OTHILLEO. I	
1000	O AMARA O U U U U U	

			and							
Country.	Year.	Cattle.	Swine.	Sheep.	Goats.	Horses.	Mules.	Asses.	Buffa- loes.	Camels
NORTH AMERICA.										
United States: Contiguous—	1014	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.
Not on farms	1910	1,879	1,288	391	115	3,183	270	100		
Alaska Hawaii Porto Rico	1910 1910 1910	1 149 316	$\binom{2}{31}{106}$	(2) 77 6	(2) 5 49	2 28 58	(2) 9 5	$\binom{2}{3}{1}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 2\\ 2\\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$	
Total United States (ex- cept Phi- lippine Is- lands)		58,937				24,233				
Canada:										
Island	1914	108	42	85		3 6				
Nova Scotia New Brunswick	$1914 \\ 1914$	277 202	54 73	212 122	÷	63				
Quebec	1914	1, 360	635	571		372				
Ontario	1914	2,056	1,553	641		905				
Saskatchewan	1914	679	455	126		609				!
Alberta. British Columbia.	$\begin{array}{c}1914\\1914\end{array}$	812 135	397 · 39	$211 \\ 45$		519 61				
Total Canada		6,037	3,434	2,058		2,948			I	

612

613

TABLE 141.—Live stock of countries mentioned—Continued.

Country.	Year.	Cattle.	• Swine.	Sheep.	Goats.	Horses.	Mules.	Asses.	Buffa- loes.	Camels
NORTH AMERICA- continued.				•						
Central America: Costa Rica Guatemala	1914 1899	No. 336 197	No. 64 30	No. (1) 78	No. 1	No. 52 50	No. 2	No. (1)	No.	No.
Honduras Nicaragua. Panama Salvador	$1912 \\ 1908 \\ 1907 \\ 1913$	420 252 65 350	118 12 28 220	(¹)	6 1 3 29	88 28 17 2 120	15 6 2	(¹)		
Mexico. Newfoundland Cuba. Other West Indies	$1902 \\ 1911 \\ 1912$	5,142 39 2,830 2,071	616 27	3, 424 98	4,206 ⁸ 17	859 14 561	334 	228		
SOUTH AMERICA		2,971	404		105		40	14		
Argentina Bolivia British Guiana Chile.	1913 1910 1913 1913	29,016 734 72 1,900 2,800	$2,900 \\ 114 \\ 14 \\ 170 \\ 2,300 \\ 300 \\ 114 \\ 170 \\ 2,300 \\ 114 \\ 114 \\ 110 \\ 114 \\ 110 \\ 114 \\ 110 \\ 114 \\ 110 \\ 114 \\ 114 \\ 114 \\ 110 \\ 114 \\ 114 \\ 110 \\$	80,401 1,449 16 4,900 746	$4,302 \\ 468 \\ 12 \\ 310 \\ 361$	8,894 97 1 470 341	535 45 	$319 \\ 173 \\ 6 \\ 38$		
Dutch Guiana Falkland Islands French Guiana	$1912 \\ 1912 \\ 1911 \\ 1911$	2,000 7 8 4	2,000 4 (¹)	(¹) 711	3	(¹) 4	(¹)	1		
Paraguay Uruguay Venezuela	$1913 \\ 1908 \\ 1899$	$3,500 \\ 8,193 \\ 2,004$	$24 \\ 180 \\ 1,618$	$\substack{26,286\\177}$	$32 \\ 20 \\ 1,667$	183 556 191	8 18 89	4 313		
EUROPE.										
Austria-Hungary: Austria Hungary (proper) Croatia-Slavonia. Bosnia - Herzego-	1910 1911 1911	9,160 6,184 1,135	6,432 6,417 1,164	2, 429 7, 698 850	1,254 331 96	$1,801 \\ 2,001 \\ 350$	21 4 19 4 3	53 		
vina	1910	1,309	527	2,500	1,393	222	(1)	6	1	· · · · · · · · ·
Total Austria- Hungary		17,788	14,540	13, 477	3,074	4,374	43			
Belgium Bulgaria Denmark Finland	$1913 \\ 1911 \\ 1914 \\ 1910$	$1,849 \\ 2,018 \\ 2,463 \\ 1,573$	$1,412 \\ 527 \\ 2,497 \\ 418$	$8,632 \\ 515 \\ 1,309$	$1,459 \\ 41 \\ 13$	267 478 568 361	12	118	477	••••••
France Germany Greece Iceland	1913 1913 1912 1912	14,807 20,944 400 26	7,048 25,592 80	$16,213 \\ 5,504 \\ 4,000 \\ 601$	3, 536 3, 000 1	3,231 $54,523$ 160 46	193 5 2 88	360 ⁵ 11 140		
Italy. Luxemburg Malta Netherlands	$1908 \\ 1913 \\ 1913 \\ 1913 \\ 1913$	6,199 102 5 2,097	2,508 137 4 1,350	11,163 5 15 842	2,715 10 14 232	956 19 334	388 (¹) 3	850 3	19 	
Norway Portugal Roumania	$ 1907 \\ 1906 \\ 1912 $	1,094 703 2,660	319 1,111 \$1,021	1,393 3,073 6 5,269	296 1,034 6 297	172 88 825	58 4 4	144		
Russia: Russia (proper) Poland	1911 1911	$31,023 \\ 2,267$	$12,654 \\ 598$	40, 156 945	854 8	$21,820 \\ 1 226$	(7) 4 (1)	$\begin{pmatrix} 1\\1 \end{pmatrix}$	73	⁷ 3Ò4
sia	1911	2,947	887	5,280	306	1,593				-
Total Euro- pean Russia	·····	36,237	14,139	46, 381	1,168	24,639				
Servia. Spain. Sweden Switzerland Turkey, European.	1910 1912 1911 1911 1911 1910	9582,5622,6901,4436,726	864 2, 571 951 570 21	$3,809 \\ 15,830 \\ 946 \\ 160 \\ 21,190$	$627 \\ 3,116 \\ 66 \\ 341 \\ 12,216$	153 526 588 144 1,042	(1) 929 202	⁸ 1 829 1, 556	7	464
United Kingdom: England and Wales Scotland Isle of Man and Channel Islande	1914 1913 1914 1913	5,8781,2475,05240	$2,481 \\ 132 \\ 1,060 \\ 12$	17, 260 6, 801 3, 601 77	242	1,400 204 619 10	31	245		
Total United	1010		-,							
¹ Less than	 500.	12, 217	3,625	27, 739 4 Includes	asses.	2,233	<u></u> 910.			
2 Includes r	nules a	nd donke	vs.	5 1912.		8 1	neludes	mules.		

tes n ies a 1901.

¢ 1911.

Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

Country.	Year.	Cattle.	Swine.	Sheep.	Goats.	• Horses.	Mules.	Asses.	Buffa- loes.	Camels
ASIA.										
British Native States	1912 1912	No. 194,963 111,962	NO.	No. 22,848 38,129	No. 28, 555	1,540 1,540 159	No. 106 4 171	1, 341	¹ / ₂ 16, 751 ² 1, 725	10. 447 53
Total India		106, 925		30, 977		1,699	277		18, 476	500
Ceylon	1912	1,465	85	91	(5) 209	5			(5) 579	·····
Cochin China Cyprus	1911 1912	$310 \\ 61$	26 40	(⁶) 256	253	11 69	(⁶)			
Dutch East Indies: Java and Madura. Other	1905 1905	2,655 449				364 119			2, 187 447	
Total Dutch East Indies		3,104				483			2,634	
Formosa	1912	155	1,277	(6)	126	(6)			292	
French Indo-China.	1911	1 300	300	10	24	1 582			- 	
Korea	1912	1,041	625	(6)	10	47	1	12		
Guam. Philippine Islands.	1913	5 388	1,822	103	515	182			1,048	
Russia (28 govern-										
Central Asia	1911	5,629	118	23,719	2,968	5,316				9 365
Siberia Transcaucasia	$\begin{array}{c}1911\\1911\end{array}$	5,720 3,331	$1,126 \\ 290$	5,025 5,749	225 679	4, 597 417	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	10 122	10 338	⁹ 1 ¹⁰ 17
Total Asiatic			e							
Russia	• • • • • • •	14,680	1,534	34, 493	3,872	10,330				383
Siam Straits Settlements	•••••	1,628	•••••	· · · · · · · · · · ·		58	•••••		1,528	•••••
and Labuan Turkey (Asiatic)	1913	46 3, 000	158	60 45, 000	13 9.000	2 800		2.500		
AFRICA.		•,-••		,	-,			,		
Algeria	1911	1.114	110	8, 529	u 3,682	227	192	11 279		199
Basutoland	1911	437	(6)	1,369	2	88		(6)		
British East Africa.	1911	$\frac{324}{780}$	3	° 358 6, 500	4,020	2		3		
Dahomey	1911	119		196	137	1		(6)		
Egypt Eritrea	1913	637 251		384	•••••	48	23 29	682	633	
French Guinea	1911	382		128	138	3	(6)	1		
Gabon	1911	(6)		10	45	(6)		(6)	•••••	· · · · · · · · ·
Gambia German East Af-	1907	83	•••••	•••••	· · · · · · · · · ·	4	• • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••
rica	1905	8 1,489	1	1,560	1,820	(6)	(6)	9		(6)
German Southwest	1010	170		400	460	19	419			1
Tvory Coast	1912	172 92	í	499 92	409 142	19	*12	(6)		1
Madagascar	1911	5, 330	$54\overline{3}$	352	97	2	1	(6)		
Mauritius	1912	19	6	1	6	1	· · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••
pendencies	1911	34		(6)	27	(6)	(6)	(6)	••••••	· • • • • • • • •
torate	1912	63	22	23	137	(6)				
Reunion	1911	5	1	2	4	(6)	1	(6)		
Khodesia St. Helena	1911	11 855	(6)	300	602 1	(6)	•••••	·····i	•••••	•••••
Senegal	1911	665		$20\hat{6}$	428	` 36	(6)	40		12
Seychelles	1912	1	6	(6)	1	(6) (6)		•••••		•••••
Somaliland (Ital-	1910	z	(0)	1		(0)	•••••	•••••	••••	••••••
ian) Southern Nigeria	1910	885	••••••	175	•••••	•••••		•••••	•••••	••••••
(Lagos)	1902	2	2	2	3	(6)		19		· · · · · · · · ·
Egyptian)	1909	245		830	977	3		121		23
Swaziland	1912	74	9	³ 164	7 80	1	(6)	72	•••••	
Uganda Protector-	1912	225	19	767	492	37	22	192	•••••	110
ate	1914	1,278		12 542	12 1,220	• (6)	(6)	(6)	<u> </u>	
1 Including buffalo	calves	•	6 Le	ess than 5	00.	10	1902.			
² Buffalo calves inc	luded	with cattl	le. 719	11. 10		11	1912. Not incl	luding 9	በበብ በበብ «ች	een and
4 Including asses.			\$ 19 \$ 19	03.		14	goats i	in Rudol	f Province	зуранц Э.

TABLE 141.—Live stock of countries mentioned—Continued.

614

Buttalo calves inc
Including goats.
Including asses.
1909.

¹² Not including 3,000.000 sheep and goats in Rudolf Province.

Country.	Year.	Cattle.	Swine.	Sheep.	Goats.	Horses.	Mules.	Asses.	Buffa- loes.	Camels.
AFRICA-continued.										
Union of South Af- rica:										
Cape of Good Hope Natal Orange Free	1911 1911	$\substack{2,716\\456}$	$506 \\ 110$	$17,135\ 1,519$	7,953 989	334 75	47 16	191 28		
State Transvaal	1911 1911	$^{1,286}_{1,339}$	$163 \\ 303$	$^{8,588}_{3,415}$	$1,049 \\ 1,772$	221 89	$^{6}_{25}$	$12 \\ 106$		
Total Union of South Africa	1911	5, 797	1,082	30, 657	11, 763	719	94	337		
OCEANIA.										
Australia: Queensland New South	1913	5,272	139	1 21, 679	² 8	699		•		
Wales ² . Victoria. South Australia. Western Austra-	1913 1913 1913	$2,837 \\ 1,529 \\ 353$	$288 \\ 221 \\ 64$	39, 843 12, 114 5, 073	4 38 4 27	$746 \\ 562 \\ 284$			 	4 1
lia Tasmania	1913 1912	829 222	48 49	$4,418 \\ 1,863$	$^{432}_{2}$	$\begin{array}{c} 156\\ 44\end{array}$	·····	4 2		4 3
tory	1912	406	2	76		18				· · · · · · · · ·
Total Aus- tralia	، 	11, 448	811	85,066		2, 509				
New Zealand	1911	2,020	349	⁵ 24, 799	6	404	(6)			••••••
New Caledonia	1911	128		Ĝ	6	3	(6)	(6)		· · · · · · · · ·
1 2 2	1911. Incluce 1905.	ling Fede	ral Terri	tory,		4 5 6	1910. 1914. Less tha	n 500		

TABLE 141.—Live stock of countries mentioned—Continued.

TABLE 142.—Hides and skins: International trade, calendar years 1911–1913.

[This table gives the classification as found in the original returns, and the summary statements for "All countries" represent the total for each class only so far as it is disclosed in the original returns. The following kinds are included: Alligator, buffalo, calf, camel, cattle, deer, goat and kid, horse and colt, kangaroo, mule and ass, sheep and lamb, and all other kinds except furs, bird skins, sheepskins with wool on, skins of rabbits and hares, and tanned or partly tanned hides and skins. See "General note," p. 518.]

EXPORTS.

[000 omitted.]

Country and classi-	1913 elim.). Country and classi- fication.	1911	1912	1913
(pro				(preim.).
Argentina: Pounds. Pounds. Po	unds. Austria-Hungary—	Pounds.	Pounds.	Pounds.
Cattle, alted 160.250 173.524 1	44,963 Sheep	2,713	2,808	5,884
Deer	1 Unclassified	1,389	1,151	1,351
Goat 4,309 5,082 Horse, dried 4,636 2,593	4, 387 Belgium: 2, 297 Unclassified	124,659	123, 926	116,608
Horse, salted 618 373	310 Brazil:	16 559	16 216	20 460
Sheep and lamb. 73, 304 76, 456	47.920 Cattle, green	53,610	63, 611	56.866
Austria-Hungary:	Deer	239	227	387
Calf, dried 3, 485 3, 405	3,177 Goat	4,785	5,158	5,062
Calf, green 18,335 20,591	22,004 Horse	8	(1)	(1)
Cattle, dried 6,352 8,253	7,795 Sheep	1,111	1,612	1,594
Cattle, green 24,100 34,593	27,371 Unclassified	33	34	82
Goat 2, 136 2, 160	2,014 British India:	1		
Horse, dried 1,106 1,077	1,230 Hides, unclassi-			
Horse, green 3, 901 3, 082	3,810 fied	101,400	127,446	124,708
Кла 1,078 1,249	1,355 Goat	55,006	57,961	52,438
Lamb	3,138 Skins, unclassified	5,067	4,879	6,971

1 Less than 500 pounds.

TABLE 142.—Hides and skins: International trade, calendar years 1911-1913-Continued.

EXPORTS-Continued.

Country and classi- fication.	1911	1912	1913 (prelim.).	Country and classi- fication.	1911	1912	1913 (prelim.).
BritishSouthAfrica:	Pounds.	Pounds.	Pounds.	Spain:	Pounds.	Pounds.	Pounds.
Cattle	13,298	20,595	21,515	Goat	1,865	1,801	1,923
Goat	7,469	8,126	9,105	Sheep	7,746	8,574	9,200
Sheep	24,077	29,103	32,319	Unclassified	6,940	8, 202	0,471
Canada:	190	69	36	Cottle wet	28.065	23,149	² 23, 149
Sneep	129	04	. 00	Cattle dry	523	395	2 395
not elsewhete				Horse, wet	711	620	² 620
specified 1	37,000	48,000	60,000	Horse, dry	(4)	2	² 2
China:				Goat, kid, lamb,	201	709	2 708
Buffalo	40,331	43,920	. 66,405	and sneep, wet.	321	190	- 190
Horse	223	18 362	1,018	sheen dry	89	110	² 110
Sheen	24,047	753	1,105	Unclassified, dry.	5	6	² 6
Chosen (Korea):	000		_,	Unclassified, wet.	19	130	² 130
Cattle	5,633	4,448	4,649	Switzerland:			
Cuba:				Hides, unclassi-	14 004	15 907	15 679
Cattle	14,248	14,382	14,207		14, 884	19, 897	15,075
Unclassified	17	э	344	fied	7.220	7,174	8,178
Denmark: Unclessified	21 279	24, 403	20.814	United Kingdom:	.,==0	•,	-,
Dutch East Indies:	21,210	21,100	20,022	Hides, unclassi-			
Unclassified	17,257	17,088	² 17,088	fied	24,182	30,447	24,388
Egypt:				Sheepskins	16,215	18,463	17,837
Cattle and camel.	6,889	7,003	7,029	United States:	919	780	583
Sheep and goat	2,648	2,598	2,940	Cottlo	6 519	20 514	14,454
France:	35 654	32 153	34 164	Unclassified	29, 385	7.085	7,119
Goat	6, 236	4,215	5,411	Uruguay:	,		,
Kid	2,407	2,863	2,601	Calf	² 429	⁵ 429	⁵ 429
Lamb	1,370	1,722	1,983	Cattle, dried	218,560	⁵ 18, 560	⁵ 18, 560
Sheep	14,269	15,992	13,030	Cattle, salted	2 29,485	⁵ 29,480 5 596	5 529, 480
Unclassified	73, 504	79,097	82,304	Horse, arlea	2 520 2 54	5 54	5 54
Germany:	97 600	27 207	19 158	Lamb	² 503	5 503	5 503
Cattle	97 736	111.671	104,653	Sheep	17,748	22,825	17, 597
Goat	2,377	2,884	2,912	Yearling, dried	2 3, 112	5 3, 112	5 3, 112
Horse	17,675	14,959	14,594	• Yearling, salted	² 100	≥ 100	° 100
Sheep	5,311	725	947	Venezuela:	7 765	7 496	7 012
Unclassified	730	953	1,296	Cattle	1,705	483	354
Italy:	20.062	35 203	18 004	Goat	2.280	3,439	1.606
Calf	4 575	5,405	7,446	Sheep	3		
Goat	849	954	1,191	Other countries:			- A.
Kid	877	939	989	Hides—			
Lamb	2,235	2,336	2,207	Cattle and bui-	90 155	102 516	110 705
Sheep	912	1,017	1 316	Horse	594	645	427
Movino:	1,007	091	1,510	Skins-	001		
Alligator	213	132	² 132	Alligator	66	65	64
Cattle	32, 124	32,635	2 32,635	Calf	4,509	4,891	4,184
Deer	770	646	² 646	Deer	1,297	1,491	1,466
Goat	6,238	5,624	² 5,624	Goat and kid	20,115	15,004	16 058
Sheep	15	2	- 2	Sheep and goat	22,000	10,010	10,000
Netherlands:	92 471	21 645	24 161	mixed	11.046	11,750	11,754
Hides fresh	177	494	162	Unclassified	32,977	54, 514	55,941
Hides, salted	43,272	42,510	42,399				0.000.000
Sheep	1,368	1,647	993	Total	1,920,720	2, 116, 701	2,033,693
New Zealand:				All comptries			
Hides, unclassi-	1	E 190	5 097	Hides.		1	1
Iled ^a	4,044	20,402	20,671	Cattle and buf-			
Skins unclassi-	11,100	20, 10-	-0,011	falo	757,305	843,547	814,202
fied	921	1,001	1,077	Horse	30,052	26,154	25,388
Peru:				Skins-	070	107	106
Cattle	4,461	5,879	6,930	Alligator	04 700	04 861	01 145
Goat	855	822	872	Deer	2,672	2,859	2,854
Bussie:	01	33	112	Goat and kid.	143,977	141,013	142, 127
Hides, large	19.975	55.591	0.00	Sheep and lamb	213, 160	224,687	193, 984
Hides, small.	44,227	55,003	1 90,005	Sheep and goat,			
Sheep and goat	21, 447	26,752	23,257	mixed	35, 551	42,008	38,865
Singapore:				Unclassified	042,924	143,089	124,932
Hides, unclassi-	5 111	7 162	27 163	Total	1, 920, 719	2, 116, 701	2,033,693
neu	0,111	1,100	1,100		,,	1,,=	1 /,

¹ Unofficial estimate.
 ² Year preceding.
 ³ Number of pounds computed from stated number of hides and skins.

⁴ Less than 500 pounds. ⁵ Data for 1910.

TABLE 142.—Hides and skins: International trade, calendar years 1911-1913-Continued. IMPORTS.

Country and classi- fication.	1911	1912	1913 (prelim.).	Country and classi- fication.	1911	1912	1913 (prelim.).
	Dund	D	Dunda	Durania	Doundo	Dounde	Dounde
Austria-Hungary:	Pounas.	Pounas.	Pounas. 1 071	Hidos dry	12 056	10 326	9 317
Colf groop	1,050	1 256	1,581	Hides green	82,064	72,973	102,452
Cattle dried	43,970	37,877	42,309	Goat and kid	3,934	3,239	1,603
Cattle, green	42,488	35,006	37,440	Sheep	8,396	8,829	1,733
Goat	1,366	1,214	1,500	Singapore:	,	ŕ	
Horse, dried	6 86	´ 73	245	Hides, unclassi-			
Horse, green	143	169	243	fied	7,835	10,965	² 10, 965
Kid	426	482	586	Spain:	00.075	01 850	16 025
Lamb	10,193	10,299	10,124	Unclassified	20,075	21,000	10,055
Sneep	3,813	3,027	3,770	Sweden:	18 511	18 733	2 18 733
Polgium.	009	715	000	Cattle, wet	5 334	6 513	26,513
Hiden groop	186 470	186 116	197 072	Horse wet	62	109	2 109
British India:	100,110	100,110	101,012	Goat, kid, lamb,	•=		
Cattle	20,861	21,174	14,401	and sheep, wet.	236	346	² 346
Hides, unclassi-		ŕ		Goat, lamb, and			
fied	846	657	401	sheep, dry	310	649	² 649
Skins, unclassi-	4 40 5		F 000	Unclassified, wet.	(*)	15	4 10 2 22
fied	4,435	5,453	5,336	Unclassified, dry.	23		- 00
Canada:	41 006	64 200	44 667	Calf dry		215	. 24
Dopmork:	41,820	04,000	44,007	Call, ury	••••••	2,893	666
Unclassified	10.388	11 794	10,766	Goat ⁵	8.275	7,308	7,203
Finland	10,000	11,101	20,100	Hides, dry and	-,	2	,
Hides, dried	3,186	4.919	6,200	wet	83,757	107,506	105, 165
Hides, green	3, 937	5,336	6,374	Sheep ⁵	656	4,750	1,717
Sheep	334	515	310	Skins, unclassi-			
France:				fied 5	3,006	· · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · • • • • • • •
Calf	5,566	4,743	5,114	United States:	07 007	40 214	96 909
Goat	21,799	19,928	19,131	Call, dry	31,281	49,014	20, 302
Lomb	4,409	4,400	334	nickled	45 344	65.546	50, 152
Sheen	5 968	4 365	3 123	Cattle and buf-	-0,011	00,010	00,202
Unclassified	115,809	119,530	131, 201	falo, dry	62.563	107,234	77,625
Germany:	,	,		Cattle, and buf-	- ,	ŕ	
Buffalo	4,630	(1)	(1)	falo, green or			
Calf, dried	12,499	13,232	10,641	pickled	111,794	207,695	158,655
Calf, green	64, 582	63,464	75,846	Goat, dry	64,295	70,291	64,509
Cattle, dried	81,324	88, 521	120,063	Goat, green or		07 020	OF 169
Cattle, green	217,518	236,646	249,518	pickled	26,769	25,052	25,105
Goat, with hair on	18,827	21,767	24,420	Horse, dry	5,885	0,144	5,120
Horse, dried	0,204	0,004	95,006	niekled	6 170	5 959	7.425
Lamb	123	22,000	20,000	Kangaroo	0,110	458	1,309
Sheep	2.023	$\{2, 689\}$	2,582	Sheep, dry	21.190	30,749	27,552
Unclassified	2,014	2,069	2,239	Sheep, green or	,	· ·	
Greece:	,	Í		pickled	36,245	37,482	40,654
Hides, unclassi-				Unclassified	7,335	6,603	8,803
fied	6,359	5,257	5,219	Other countries:			
Italy:	1 641	1 200	1 011	Gattle and buf			
Cattle	54 067	46 517	47 611	felo	16 132	14,226	14.121
Sheen	2 633	3 115	4 270	Horse	35	44	54
Goat	67	41	104	Skins-			
Kid	52	75	61	Deer	(4).	4	24
Lamb	722	675	537	Goat and kid	532	549	541
_ Unclassified	121	83	184	Sheep and lamb	1,297	1,294	882
Japan:	0 694	F 070	95 079	Sneep and goat,		49	2 4 9
Cattle	2,034	5,673	* 5, 673	IIIIXeo	22 208	34 970	33 245
Notherlands:	007	442	- 442	- Unclassified	35,298	01,210	
Hides dried	34 208	35 791	41 384	Total	1,871,469	2,114,813	2,069,190
Hides, fresh	6	13	25	All countries			
Hides, salted	35,601	36,517	34,189	Hides-			
Sheep	3,733	4,492	4,812	Cattle and buf-			
Norway:				falo	690,455	834,444	801,291
Hides, dry	3,598	3,475	3,507	Horse	42,066	41,876	47,231
Hides, green	10,340	11,207	9,307	Skins—	1	000 070	170 700
nides, saited	02	447	2 121	Calf	170,378	203,076	172,799
Portugal:	30	101	- 101	Goot and kid	150 751	154 229	148 054
Hides, dried	7.642	7.398	2 7, 398	Kangaroo	100,701	458	1,309
Hides, green	356	178	2 178	Sheep and lamb	97.556	112.641	102.400
Roumania:			1	Sheep and goat.	,000	,	
Buffalo and cattle	8,629	28,629	³ 8, 629	mixed	1,384	1,847	21,847
Calf	191	² 191	⁸ 191	Unclassified	718,192	765,693	792,913
sneep, lamb, and	910	2 910	3 910	Total	1 871 460	2 114 812	2.069 190
goat	010	1 * 010	010	1 100201	1,011,109	a, 114, 010	1 2,000, 100

¹ Included in cattle, green.
 ² Year preceding.
 ³ Data for 1911.

⁴ Less than 500 pounds.⁵ Number of pounds computed from stated number of skins. .

HORSES AND MULES.

TABLE 143.—Horses and mules: Number and value on farms in the United States, 1867-1915.

Note.—Figures in *italics* are census returns; figures in roman are estimates of the Department of Agriculture. Estimates of numbers are obtained by applying estimated percentages of increase or decrease to the published numbers of the preceding year, except that a revised base is used for applying percentage estimates whenever new census data are available. It should also be observed that the census of 1910, giving numbers as of Apr. 15, is not strictly comparable with former censuses, which related to numbers June 1.

		Horses.			Mules.	
Jan. 1—	Number.	Price per head Jan. 1.	Farm value Jan. 1.	Number.	Price per head Jan. 1.	Farm value Jan. 1
1867	5, 401, 000 5, 757, 000 6, 333, 000 8, 249, 000 7, 145, 370 8, 702, 000	\$59.05 54.27 62.57 67.43 71.14	\$318,924,000 312,416,000 396,222,000 556,251,000 619,039,000	$\begin{array}{r} 822,000\\ 856,000\\ 922,000\\ 1,180,000\\ 1,125,415\\ 1,242,000\end{array}$	\$66. 94 56. 04 79. 23 90. 42 91. 98	\$55, 048, 000 47, 954, 000 73, 027, 000 106, 654, 000 114, 272, 000
1872	8, 991, 000 9, 222, 000 9, 334, 000 9, 504, 000 9, 735, 000	67.41 66.39 65.15 61.10 57.29	606, 111, 000 612, 273, 000 608, 073, 000 580, 708, 000 557, 747, 000	$\begin{array}{c} 1,276,000\\ 1,310,000\\ 1,339,000\\ 1,394,000\\ 1,414,000\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 87.14 \\ 85.15 \\ 81.35 \\ 71.89 \\ 66.46 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 111,222,000\\ 111,546,000\\ 108,953,000\\ 100,197,000\\ 94,001,000 \end{array}$
1877 1878 1870 1880 1880 1880 1881	10,155,000 10,330,000 10,939,000 11,202,000 10,357,488 ,11,430,000	$55.83 \\ 56.63 \\ 52.36 \\ 54.75 \\ 58.44$	$567,017,000\\584,999,000\\572,712,000\\613,297,000\\667,954,000$	1,444,000 1,638,000 1,713,000 1,730,000 <i>1,812,808</i> 1,721,000	64.07 62.03 56.00 61.26 69.79	$\begin{array}{r} 92, 482, 000\\ 101, 579, 000\\ 95, 942, 000\\ 105, 948, 000\\ 120, 096, 000\end{array}$
1882. 1883. 1883. 1884. 1885. 1886. 1886. 1886. 1886. 1886. 1886. 1886. 1886. 1886. 1886. 1887. 1886. 1896. 1896. 1896. 1896. 1896. 1896. 1896. 1896. 1896. 1896. 1896. 1896. 1896. 1896. 1896. 1896. 1896. 19	$\begin{array}{c} 10,522,000\\ 10,838,000\\ 11,170,000\\ 11,565,000\\ 12,078,000 \end{array}$	58. 53 70. 59 74. 64 73. 70 71. 27	$\begin{array}{c} 615,825,000\\765,041,000\\833,734,000\\852,283,000\\860,823,000\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c}1,835,000\\1,871,000\\1,914,000\\1,973,000\\2,053,000\end{array}$	71.35 79.49 84.22 82.38 79.60	$\begin{array}{c} 130, 945, 000\\ 148, 732, 000\\ 161, 215, 000\\ 162, 497, 000\\ 163, 381, 000\end{array}$
1887 1888 1889 1890 1890, census, June 1 1891	$\begin{array}{c} 12, 497,000\\ 13, 173,000\\ 13, 663,000\\ 14, 214,000\\ 14, 969, 469\\ 14, 057,000 \end{array}$	72. 15 71. 82 71. 89 68. 84 67. 00	901, 686, 000 946, 096, 000 982, 195, 000 978, 517, 000 941, 823, 000	$\begin{array}{c} 2,117,000\\ 2,192,000\\ 2,258,000\\ 2,331,000\\ 2,295,532\\ 2,297,000 \end{array}$	78.91 79.78 79.49 78.25 77.88	167, 058, 000 174, 854, 000 179, 444, 000 182, 394, 000 178, 847, 000
1 892	$\begin{array}{c} 15, 498, 000\\ 16, 207, 000\\ 16, 081, 000\\ 15, 893, 000\\ 15, 124, 000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 65.01\\ 61.22\\ 47.83\\ 36.29\\ 33.07 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c}1,007,594,000\\992,225,000\\769,225,000\\576,731,000\\500,140,000\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,315,000\\ 2,331,000\\ 2,352,000\\ 2,333,000\\ 2,279,000 \end{array}$	75.5570.6862.1747.5545.29	$174,882,000\\164,764,000\\146,233,000\\110,928,000\\103,204,000$
1897	$\begin{array}{c} 14,365,000\\ 13,961,000\\ 13,665,000\\ 13,538,000\\ 18,267,020\\ 16,745,000 \end{array}$	31.5134.2637.4044.61	452, 649, 000 478, 362, 000 511, 075, 000 603, 969, 000 885, 200, 000	$\begin{array}{c} 2,216,000\\ 2,190,000\\ 2,134,000\\ 2,086,000\\ 3,264,615\\ 2,864,000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 41.66\\ 43.88\\ 44.96\\ 53.55\\ 63.97\end{array}$	92, 302, 000 96, 110, 000 95, 963, 000 111, 717, 000 183, 232, 000
1902. 1903. 1904. 1905. 1905.	$\begin{array}{c} 16,531,000\\ 16,557,000\\ 16,736,000\\ 17,058,000\\ 18,719,000 \end{array}$	$58.\ 61 \\ 62.\ 25 \\ 67.\ 93 \\ 70.\ 37 \\ 80.\ 72$	$\begin{array}{c} 968, 935, 000\\ 1, 030, 706, 000\\ 1, 136, 940, 000\\ 1, 200, 310, 000\\ 1, 510, 890, 000 \end{array}$	2,757,000 2,728,000 2,758,000 2,889,000 3,404,000	$\begin{array}{c} 67.\ 61\\ 72.\ 49\\ 78.\ 88\\ 87.\ 18\\ 98.\ 31 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 186,412,000\\ 197,753,000\\ 217,533,000\\ 251,840,000\\ 334,681,000 \end{array}$
1907	$\begin{array}{c} 19,747,000\\ 19,992,000\\ 20,640,000\\ 21,040,000 \end{array}$	93. 51 93. 41 95. 64	1,846,578,000 1,867,530,090 1,974,052,000	3,817,000 3,869,000 4,053,000 4,123,000	112.16 107.76 107.84	428,064,000 416,939,000 437,082,000
1910, census, Apr. 15 1911 1 1912 1913 1914 1915	$\begin{array}{c} 19,833,113\\ 20,277,000\\ 20,509,000\\ 20,567,000\\ 20,962,000\\ 21,195,000 \end{array}$	$108.03 \\ 111.46 \\ 105.94 \\ 110.77 \\ 109.32 \\ 103.33$	2, 142, 524, 000 2, 259, 981, 000 2, 172, 694, 000 2, 278, 222, 000 2, 291, 638, 000 2, 190, 102, 000	$\begin{array}{c} 4, 209, 769\\ 4, 323, 000\\ 4, 362, 000\\ 4, 386, 000\\ 4, 449, 000\\ 4, 479, 000\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 120.\ 20\\ 125.\ 92\\ 120.\ 51\\ 124.\ 31\\ 123.\ 85\\ 112.\ 36 \end{array}$	506,049,000 544,359,000 525,657,000 545,245,000 551,017,000 503,271,000

1 Estimates of numbers revised, based on census data.

HORSES AND MULES-Continued.

 TABLE 144.—Horses and mules: Number and value on farms Jan. 1, 1914 and 1915, by

 States.

				Horses			Mules.					
State.	Nui (tř sar Jan	mber nou- nds) . 1—	Ave pric he Jan	erage e per ead . 1—	Farm (thou of do Jan	n value Isands ollars) I. 1—	Nui (th sar Jan	nber iou- ids) . 1—	Average price per head Jan. 1—		Farm value (thousands of dollars) Jan. 1—	
	1915	1914	1915	1914	1915	1914	1915	1914	1915	1914	1915	1914
Maine New Hampshire. Vermont Massachusetts Rhode Island		$ \begin{array}{r} 111 \\ 47 \\ 88 \\ 65 \\ 10 \\ 10 \end{array} $		\$150 137 129 161 5 156	16, 498 5, 969 11, 528 9, 920 1, 460	$\begin{array}{cccc} 3 & 16,650 \\ 6,439 \\ 6,439 \\ 3 & 11,352 \\ 0 & 10,465 \\ 0 & 1,560 \end{array}$			 			
Connecticut New York New Jersey Pennsylvania Delaware	$47 \\ 615 \\ 92 \\ 596 \\ 36$	$47 \\ 615 \\ 91 \\ 584 \\ 35$	$148 \\ 142 \\ 146 \\ 134 \\ 100$	$ \begin{array}{c} 153 \\ 145 \\ 157 \\ 139 \\ 106 \end{array} $	6,956 87,330 13,432 79,864 3,600	$\begin{array}{cccc} & 7,191 \\ 89,175 \\ 2 & 14,287 \\ 4 & 81,176 \\ 3,710 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} $	4 4 45 6	\$152 169 142 121	\$154 177 148 126	608 676 6,532 726	616 708 6,660 756
Maryland Virginia West Virginia North Carolina South Carolina	$ \begin{array}{r} 167 \\ 354 \\ 192 \\ 182 \\ 83 \\ 83 \end{array} $	$165 \\ 350 \\ 190 \\ 180 \\ 85$	113 109 114 130 131	$119\\114\\122\\139\\144$	18,871 38,586 21,888 23,660 10,873	19,635 39,900 23,180 25,020 12,240	$25 \\ 62 \\ 12 \\ 194 \\ 166$	24 61 12 192 171	138 128 119 151 149	$143 \\ 136 \\ 131 \\ 160 \\ 167$	3,450 7,936 1,428 29,294 24,734	3,432 8,296 1,572 30,720 28,557
Georgia Florida Ohio Indiana Illinois	$125 \\ 57 \\ 910 \\ 854 \\ 1,467$	128 55 901 854 1,497	119 121 128 114 105	131 122 132 116 113	$\begin{array}{c} 14,875\\ 6,897\\ 116,480\\ 97,356\\ 154,035\end{array}$	5 16,768 7 6,710 9 118,932 5 99,064 5 169,161	$309 \\ 28 \\ 24 \\ 86 \\ 145$	$319 \\ 27 \\ 24 \\ 86 \\ 148$	140 163 127 117 110	161 168 132 121 121	$\begin{array}{r} 43,260\\ 4,564\\ 3,048\\ 10,062\\ 15,950\end{array}$	51,359 4,536 3,168 10,406 17,908
Michigan Wisconsin Minnesota Iowa Missouri	673 705 872 1,600 1,095	653 678 847 1,584 1,095	$ \begin{array}{r} 132 \\ 131 \\ 116 \\ 105 \\ 88 \\ 88 \end{array} $	139 136 125 118 98	$\begin{array}{r} 88,836\\92,355\\101,152\\168,000\\96,360\end{array}$	90,767 92,208 105,875 186,912 107,310		$4\\3\\6\\57\\326$	131 127 124 111 98	133 135 134 123 112	524 381 744 6,438 32,242	532 405 804 7,011 36,512
North Dakota South Dakota Nebraska Kansas Kentucky	785 759 1,038 1,132 443	$748 \\ 730 \\ 1,048 \\ 1,110 \\ 443$	110 89 92 93 95	112 96 94 93 103	$\begin{array}{r} 86,350\\ 67,551\\ 95,496\\ 105,276\\ 42,085\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 83,776\\70,080\\98,512\\103,230\\45,629\end{array}$	8 14 85 233 231	8 14 84 222 229	$ \begin{array}{r} 122 \\ 106 \\ 105 \\ 102 \\ 106 \\ 106 \end{array} $	130 110 105 105 118	976 1,484 8,925 23,766 24,486	1,040 1,540 8,820 23,310 27,022
Tennessee Alabama Mississippi Louisiana Texas	$353 \\ 149 \\ 241 \\ 191 \\ 1,192$	346 149 241 191 1,216	100 96 86 83 78	116 113 95 85 80	35,300 14,304 20,726 15,853 92,976	40, 136 16, 837 22, 895 16, 235 97, 280	275 281 292 132 753	270 278 286 132 753	$ \begin{array}{r} 110 \\ 114 \\ 108 \\ 125 \\ 100 \end{array} $	$127 \\ 135 \\ 115 \\ 128 \\ 109$	30,250 32,034 31,536 16,500 75,300	34,290 37,530 32,890 16,896 82,077
Oklahoma Arkansas Montana Wyoming Colorado	758 276 391 176 347	766 273 372 171 340	81 76 86 79 85	85 93 102 79 83	61,398 20,976 33,626 13,904 29,495	65,110 25,389 37,944 13,509 28,220	$269 \\ 240 \\ 4 \\ 2 \\ 18$	$269 \\ 235 \\ 4 \\ 2 \\ 17$	96 96 98 101 100	104 114 106 113 101	25,824 23,040 392 202 1,800	27,976 26,790 424 226 1,717
New Mexico Arizona Utah Nevada	217 118 146 78	197 112 140 76	55 70 86 69	55 73 91 78	11,935 8,260 12,556 5,382	$10,835 \\ 8,176 \\ 12,740 \\ 5,928$	16 7 2 3	$15 \\ 6 \\ 2 \\ 3$	81 104 79 79	92 144 82 79	1,296 728 158 237	$1,380 \\ 864 \\ 164 \\ 237$
Idaho Washington Oregon California	243 311 304 503	234 305 301 498	92 96 90 100	96 106 96 100	22,356 29,856 27,360 50,300	22, 464 32, 330 28, 896 49, 800	$4 \\ 15 \\ 10 \\ 74$	4 14 10 73	85 104 96 120	$103 \\ 116 \\ 107 \\ 120$	340 1,560 960 8,880	412 1,624 1,070 8,760
United States.	21, 195	20,962	103, 33	109.32	2, 190, 102	2,291,638	4,479	4,449	112.36	123.85	503,271	551,017

Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

HORSES AND MULES-Continued.

TABLE 145.—Horses and mules: Imports, exports, and prices, 1892-1914.

37	II	nports of ho	rses.	E	xports of hor	ses.	Exports of mules.			
r ear ending June 30—	Num- ber.	Value.	A verage import price.	Num- ber.	Value.	Average export price.	Num- ber.	Value.	Average export price.	
1892 1893 1894 1895 1896	$14,074 \\ 15,451 \\ 6,166 \\ 13,098 \\ 9,991$	\$2,455,868 2,388,267 1,319,572 1,055,191 662,591	\$174.50 154.57 214.01 80.56 66.32	3,226 2,967 5,246 13,984 25,126	\$611,188 718,607 1,108,995 2,209,298 3,530,703	\$189.46 242.20 211.40 157.99 140.52	1,9651,6342,0632,5155,918	238,591 210,278 240,961 186,452 406,161	\$121.42 128.69 116.80 74.14 68.63	
1897 1898 1899 1900 1901	6,998 3,085 3,042 3,102 3,785	$\begin{array}{r} 464,808\\ 414,899\\ 551,050\\ 596,592\\ 985,738\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 66.\ 42 \\ 134.\ 49 \\ 181.\ 15 \\ 192.\ 32 \\ 260.\ 43 \end{array}$	39,532 51,150 45,778 64,722 82,250	4,769,265 6,176,569 5,444,342 7,612,616 8,873,845	$120.\ 64\\120.\ 75\\118.\ 93\\117.\ 62\\107.\ 89$	7, 473 8, 098 6, 755 43, 369 34, 405	545, 331 664, 789 516, 908 3, 919, 478 3, 210, 267	72.97 82.09 76.52 90.38 93.31	
1902 1903 1904 1905 1906	$\begin{array}{r} 4,832\\ 4,999\\ 4,726\\ 5,180\\ 6,021 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c}1,577,234\\1,536,296\\1,460,287\\1,591,083\\1,716,675\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 326.\ 41\\ 307.\ 32\\ 308.\ 99\\ 307.\ 16\\ 285.\ 11 \end{array}$	$103,020 \\ 34,007 \\ 42,001 \\ 34,822 \\ 40,087$	$\begin{array}{c} 10,048,046\\ 3,152,159\\ 3,189,100\\ 3,175,259\\ 4,365,981 \end{array}$	97.53 92.69 75.93 91.19 108.91	27,586 4,294 3,658 5,826 7,167	$\begin{array}{c} 2,692,298\\ 521,725\\ 412,971\\ 645,464\\ 989,639 \end{array}$	97.60 121.47 112.90 110.79 138.08	
1907 1908 1909 1910	$\begin{array}{c} 6,080\ 5,487\ 7,084\ 11,620 \end{array}$	$1,978,105 \\1,604,392 \\2,007,276 \\3,296,022$	325.35 292.40 283.35 283.65	33, 882 19, 000 21, 616 28, 910	$\begin{array}{c} 4,359,957\\ 2,612,587\\ 3,386,617\\ 4,081,157 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 131.99\\ 137.50\\ 156.67\\ 141.17\end{array}$	$egin{array}{c} 6,781 \\ 6,609 \\ 3,432 \\ 4,512 \end{array}$	850, 901 990, 667 472, 017 614, 094	125. 48 149. 90 137. 53 136. 18	
1911 1912 1913 1914	9, 593 6, 607 10, 008 33, 019	2, 692, 074 1, 923, 025 2, 125, 875 2, 605, 029	$\begin{array}{c} 280.\ 63\\ 291.\ 06\\ 212.\ 42\\ 78.\ 89 \end{array}$	25, 145 34, 828 28, 707 22, 776	3,845,253 4,764,815 3,960,102 3,388,819	$\begin{array}{c} 152.92\\ 136.81\\ 137.95\\ 148.79\end{array}$	6, 585 4, 901 4, 744 4, 883	$1,070,051 \\732,095 \\733,795 \\690,974$	162. 50 149. 30 154. 68 141. 51	

CATTLE.

TABLE 146.—Cattle (live): Imports, exports, and prices, 1892–1914.

		Imports.			Exports.	
Year ending June 30—	Number.	Value.	Average import price.	Number.	Value.	Average export price.
1892 1893 1894 1895 1896 1897 1898 1899 1900 1901	$\begin{array}{c} 2,168\\ 3,293\\ 1,592\\ 149,781\\ 217,826\\ 328,977\\ 291,589\\ 199,752\\ 181,006\\ 146,022\\ \end{array}$	\$47,466 45,682 18,704 765,853 1,509,856 2,589,857 2,913,223 2,320,362 2,257,694 1,931,433	\$21.89 13.87 11.75 5.11 6.93 7.87 9.99 11.62 12.47 13.23	394, 607 287, 094 359, 278 331, 722 372, 461 392, 190 439, 255 389, 490 397, 286 459, 218	\$35,099,095 26,032,428 33,461,922 30,603,796 34,560,672 36,357,451 37,827,500 30,516,833 30,635,153 37,566,980	\$88.95 90.68 93.14 92.26 92.70 92.70 86.12 78.35 77.11 81.81
1902	$\begin{array}{c} 96,027\\66,175\\16,056\\27,855\\29,019\\32,402\\92,356\\139,184\\195,938 \end{array}$	$1, 608, 722 \\1, 161, 548 \\310, 737 \\458, 572 \\548, 430 \\565, 122 \\1, 507, 310 \\1, 999, 422 \\2, 999, 824 \\$	$16.75 \\ 17.55 \\ 19.35 \\ 16.46 \\ 18.90 \\ 17.44 \\ 16.32 \\ 14.37 \\ 15.3$	392, 884 402, 178 593, 409 567, 806 584, 239 423, 051 349, 210 207, 542 139, 430	29,902,212 29,848,936 42,256,291 40,598,048 42,081,170 34,577,392 29,339,134 18,046,976 12,200,154	$\begin{array}{c} 76.11\\ 74.22\\ 71.21\\ 71.50\\ 72.03\\ 81.73\\ 84.02\\ 86.96\\ 87.50\end{array}$
1911. 1912. 1913. 1914.	$182,923 \\318,372 \\421,649 \\868,368$	2,953,077 4,805,574 6,640,668 18,696,718	16.14 15.09 15.75 21.53	150,100 105,506 24,714 18,376	13,163,920 8,870,075 1,177,199 647,288	87.70 84.07 47.63 35.22

TABLE 147.—Cattle: Number and value on farms in the United States, 1867-1915.

Note.—Figures in *italics* are census returns; figures in roman are estimates of the Department of Agriculture. Estimates of numbers are obtained by applying estimated percentages of increase or decrease to the published numbers of the preceding year, except that a revised base is used for applying percentage estimates whenever new census data are available. It should also be observed that the census of 1910, giving numbers as of Apr. 15, is not strictly comparable with former censuses, which related to numbers June 1.

		Milch cov	vs.		Other cat	tle.
January 1—	Number.	Price per head Jan. 1.	Farm value Jan. 1.	Number.	Price per head Jan. 1.	Farm value Jan. 1.
1867 1868 1869 1870 1870. census, June 1	8, 349, 000 8, 692, 000 9, 248, 000 10, 096, 000 8, <i>935, 332</i>	\$28. 74 26. 56 29. 15 32. 70	\$239, 947, 000 230, 817, 000 269, 610, 000 330, 175, 000	$\begin{array}{c} 11,731,000\\ 11,942,000\\ 12,185,000\\ 15,388,000\\ 13,566,005 \end{array}$	\$15.79 15.06 18.73 18.87	\$185, 254, 000 179, 888, 000 228, 183, 000 290, 401, 000
1871 1872 1873 1874 1874 1875	$\begin{array}{c} 10,023,000\\ 10,304,000\\ 10,576,000\\ 10,705,000\\ 10,907,000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 33.89\\ 29.45\\ 26.72\\ 25.63\\ 25.74\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 339,701,000\\ 303,438,000\\ 282,559,000\\ 274,326,000\\ 280,701,000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 16,212,000\\ 16,390,000\\ 16,414,000\\ 16,218,000\\ 16,313,000 \end{array}$	20. 78 18. 12 18. 06 17. 55 16. 91	336, 860, 000 296, 932, 000 296, 448, 000 284, 706, 000 275, 872, 000
1876 1877 1878 1879 1880	$\begin{array}{c} 11,085,000\\ 11,261,000\\ 11,300,000\\ 11,826,000\\ 12,027,000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 25.61\\ 25.47\\ 25.74\\ 21.71\\ 23.27\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 283, 879, 000\\ 286, 778, 000\\ 290, 898, 000\\ 256, 721, 000\\ 279, 899, 000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 16,785,000\\ 17,956,000\\ 19,223,000\\ 21,408,000\\ 21,231,000 \end{array}$	$17.00 \\ 15.99 \\ 16.72 \\ 15.38 \\ 16.10$	$\begin{array}{c} 285, 387, 000\\ 287, 156, 000\\ 321, 346, 000\\ 329, 254, 000\\ 341, 761, 000 \end{array}$
1880, census, June 1 1881 1882 1883 1884	$\begin{array}{c} 12,443,120\\ 12,369,000\\ 12,612,000\\ 13,126,000\\ 13,501,000 \end{array}$	23. 95 25. 89 30. 21 31. 37	$\begin{array}{c} 296,277,000\\ 326,489,000\\ 396,575,000\\ 423,487,000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \$ \$ \$, \$ \$ \$, 550 \\ 20, 939, 000 \\ 23, 280, 000 \\ 28, 046, 000 \\ 29, 046, 000 \end{array}$	$17. 33 \\ 19. 89 \\ 21. 81 \\ 23. 52$	$\begin{array}{c} 362, 862, 000 \\ 463, 070, 000 \\ 611, 549, 000 \\ 683, 229, 000 \end{array}$
1885	$\begin{array}{c} 13, 905, 000\\ 14, 235, 000\\ 14, 522, 000\\ 14, 856, 000\\ 15, 299, 000 \end{array}$	29. 70 27. 40 26. 08 24. 65 23. 94	$\begin{array}{c} 412, 903, 000\\ 389, 986, 000\\ 378, 790, 000\\ 366, 252, 000\\ 366, 226, 000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 29,867,000\\ 31,275,000\\ 33,512,000\\ 34,378,000\\ 35,032,000 \end{array}$	23. 25 21. 17 19. 79 17. 79 17. 05	$\begin{array}{c} 694, 383, 000\\ 661, 956, 000\\ 663, 138, 000\\ 611, 751, 000\\ 597, 237, 000 \end{array}$
1890. 1890, census, June 1 1891. 1892. 1893.	$\begin{array}{c} 15, 953, 000\\ 16, 511, 950\\ 16, 020, 000\\ 16, 416, 000\\ 16, 424, 000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 22.14\\ \hline \\ 21.62\\ 21.40\\ 21.75\end{array}$	353, 152, 000 346.398, 000 351, 378, 000 357, 300, 000	$\begin{array}{c} 36,849,000\\ 33,734,128\\ 36,876,000\\ 37,651,000\\ 35,954,000 \end{array}$	15. 21 14. 76 15. 16 15. 24	$560, 625, 000 \\544, 128, 000 \\570, 749, 000 \\547, 882, 000$
1894	$\begin{array}{c} 16, 487, 000\\ 16, 505, 000\\ 16, 138, 000\\ 15, 942, 000\\ 15, 841, 000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 21.\ 77\\ 21.\ 97\\ 22.\ 55\\ 23.\ 16\\ 27.\ 45 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 358, 999, 000\\ 362, 602, 000\\ 363, 956, 000\\ 369, 240, 000\\ 434, 814, 000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 36,608,000\\ 34,364,000\\ 32,085,000\\ 30,508,000\\ 29,264,000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 14.\ 66\\ 14.\ 06\\ 15.\ 86\\ 16.\ 65\\ 20.\ 92 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 536,790,000\\ 482,999,000\\ 508,928,000\\ 507,929,000\\ 612,297,000 \end{array}$
1899. 1900. <i>1900, census, June 1</i>	$\begin{array}{c} 15,990,000\\ 16,292,000\\ 17,135,633\\ 16,834,000\\ 16,697,000 \end{array}$	29.66 31.60 	474, 234, 000 514, 812, 000 505, 093, 000 488, 130, 000	27,994,000 27,610,000 50,083,777 45,500,000 44,728,000	22. 79 24. 97 19. 93 18. 76	637, 931, 000 689, 486, 000 906, 644, 000 839, 126, 000
1903	$\begin{array}{c} 17,105,000\\ 17,420,000\\ 17,572,000\\ 19,794,000\\ 20,968,000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 30.\ 21\\ 29.\ 21\\ 27.\ 44\\ 29.\ 44\\ 31.\ 00 \end{array}$	516, 712, 000 508, 841, 000 482, 272, 000 582, 789, 000 645, 497, 000	$\begin{array}{c} 44,659,000\\ 43,629,000\\ 43,669,000\\ 47,068,000\\ 51,566,000 \end{array}$	$18.\ 45\\16.\ 32\\15.\ 15\\15.\ 85\\17.\ 10$	$\begin{array}{c} 824,055,000\\712,178,000\\661,571,000\\746,172,000\\881,557,000\end{array}$
1908 1909 1910. 1910, census, Apr. 15	$\begin{array}{c} 21,194,000\\ 21,720,000\\ 21,801,000\\ 20,625,432 \end{array}$	30. 67 32. 36 35. 29	650, 057, 000 702, 945, 000 727, 802, 000	$50.073,000 \\ 49,379,000 \\ 47,279,000 \\ 41,178,434$	16. 89 17. 49 19. 07	845, 938, 000 863, 754, 000 785, 261, 000
1911 1 1912	20, 823, 000 20, 699, 000 20, 497, 000 20, 737, 000 21, 262, 000	39. 97 39. 39 45. 02 53. 94 55. 33	$\begin{array}{c} 832,209,000\\ 815,414,000\\ 922,783,000\\ 1,118,487,000\\ 1,176,338,000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 39,679,000\\ 37,260,000\\ 36,030,000\\ 35,855,000\\ 37,067,000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 20.\ 54\\ 21.\ 20\\ 26.\ 36\\ 31.\ 13\\ 33.\ 38 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 815, 184, 000\\ 790, 064, 000\\ 949, 645, 000\\ 1, 116, 333, 000\\ 1, 237, 376, 000 \end{array}$

¹ Estimates of numbers revised, based on census data.

CATTLE-Continued.

TABLE 148.—Cattle: Number and value on farms Jan. 1, 1914 and 1915, by States.

			Mil	ch cow	s.				Oth	ier catt	le.	
State.	Num (thous Jan.	nber ands) 1—	Ave price head 1-	rage per Jan.	Farm (thousa dollars)	value .nds of Jan. 1—	Num (thous Jan.	nber sands) 1—	Ave price head 1-	rage per Jan.	Farm (thousa dollars)	value .nds of Jan. 1—
	1915	1914	1915	1914	1915	1914	1915	1914	1915	1914	1915	1914 ·
Me N. H Vt Mass R. I	$157 \\ 95 \\ 268 \\ 157 \\ 23$	$159 \\ 96 \\ 265 \\ 162 \\ 23$	\$54.00 60.00 52.00 66.00 71.00	\$47.50 53.50 47.50 59.00 70.00	${}^{8,478}_{5,700}_{13,936}_{10,362}_{1,633}$	$7,552 \\ 5,136 \\ 12,588 \\ 9,558 \\ 1,610 \end{cases}$	101 64 167 83 11	$100 \\ 65 \\ 165 \\ 82 \\ 11$			2,636 1,792 3,858 2,083 295	$2,340 \\ 1,742 \\ 3,482 \\ 1,894 \\ 309$
Conn N. Y N. J. Pa Del.	118 1,509 146 943 41	120 1,465 146 943 39	$\begin{array}{c} 66.\ 70\\ 61.\ 00\\ 68.\ 00\\ 59.\ 50\\ 56.\ 50 \end{array}$	$58.00 \\ 57.00 \\ 67.00 \\ 58.40 \\ 52.00$	7,871 92,049 9,928 56,108 2,316	6,960 83,505 9,782 55,071 2,028	71 894 70 638 20	72 876 68 632 19	29.80 28.20 31.50 29.30 31.20	27.90 27.20 30.50 28.30 29.20	$2,116 \\ 25,211 \\ 2,205 \\ 18,693 \\ 624$	2,009 23,827 2,074 17,886 555
Md Va W. Va N. C S. C	177 349 234 315 185	170 342 232 309 185	$54.00 \\ 43.50 \\ 51.00 \\ 36.50 \\ 33.00$	$53.80 \\ 42.00 \\ 50.00 \\ 35.10 \\ 34.20$	$9,558 \\ 15,182 \\ 11,934 \\ 11,498 \\ 6,105$	9,146 14,364 11,600 . 10,846 6,327	121 450 338 369 211	119 450 331 365 211	29.50 28.60 36.30 17.00 14.60	29.40 27.60 35.90 17.30 14.90	3,570 12,870 12,269 6,273 3,081	3,499 12,420 11,883 6,314 3,144
Ga Fla Ohio Ind Ill	406 133 895 646 1,007	402 128 886 640 1,017	32.00 42.50 60.00 55.00 59.50	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{31.30} \\ \textbf{38.00} \\ \textbf{60.00} \\ \textbf{53.90} \\ \textbf{58.20} \end{array}$	12,992 5,652 53,700 35,530 59,916	12,583 4,864 53,160 34,496 59,189	660 735 838 693 1,180	660 735 838 707 1,216	$\begin{array}{c} 12.80\\ 14.50\\ 34.60\\ 35.20\\ 37.80\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 12.70\\ 13.70\\ 35.40\\ 33.90\\ 35.90\end{array}$	8,448 10,658 28,995 24,394 44,604	8,382 10,070 29,665 23,967 43,654
Mich Wis Minn Iowa Mo	814 1,626 1,186 1,377 797	$798 \\ 1,549 \\ 1,163 \\ 1,350 \\ 789$	$\begin{array}{c} 60.50\\ 59.50\\ 53.50\\ 57.00\\ 54.50\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 59.\ 70\\ 59.\ 90\\ 55.\ 00\\ 60.\ 50\\ 54.\ 00 \end{array}$	$49,247 \\ 96,747 \\ 63,451 \\ 78,489 \\ 43,436$	47,641 92,785 63,965 81,675 42,606	707 1,216 1,208 2,683 1,414	$680 \\ 1,158 \\ 1,173 \\ 2,555 \\ 1,386 \end{cases}$	$\begin{array}{c} 29.80\\ 27.70\\ 24.70\\ 37.50\\ 37.90\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 28.10 \\ 27.10 \\ 24.30 \\ 39.20 \\ 36.10 \end{array}$	21,069 33,683 29,838 100,612 53,591	19,108 31,382 28,504 100,156 50,035
N. Dak S. Dak Nebr Kans Ky	339 453 625 726 390	305 419 613 698 382	$\begin{array}{c} 61.50 \\ 59.50 \\ 62.50 \\ 63.50 \\ 45.50 \end{array}$	$59.00 \\ 61.00 \\ 69.70 \\ 57.50 \\ 44.50$	20,848 26,954 39,062 46,101 17,745	17,995 25,559 37,20 9 40,135 16,999	5159672,0341,768543	$468 \\ 912 \\ 1,883 \\ 1,565 \\ 527 \end{cases}$	36.00 39.50 40.80 42.50 30.40	34.60 39.50 38.10 36.90 28.80	$\begin{array}{c} 18,540 \\ 38,196 \\ 82,987 \\ 75,140 \\ 16,507 \end{array}$	16,193 36,024 71,742 57,748 15,178
Tenn Ala Miss La Tex	355 384 434 268 1,086	$348 \\ 388 \\ 421 \\ 263 \\ 1,065$	$\begin{array}{c c} 41.00 \\ 31.50 \\ 35.00 \\ 36.00 \\ 47.50 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 41.40\\ 32.40\\ 34.00\\ 34.00\\ 45.60\end{array}$	14,555 12,096 15,190 9,648 51,585	$14,407 \\ 12,571 \\ 14,314 \\ 8,942 \\ 48,564$	503 504 514 448 5,121	498 514 400 448 5,173	$\begin{array}{c c} 22.40 \\ 12.60 \\ 14.30 \\ 16.40 \\ 31.70 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 21.40 \\ 12.00 \\ 13.50 \\ 15.30 \\ 26.50 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 11,267\\ 6,350\\ 7,350\\ 7,347\\ 162,336\end{array}$	10,657 6,168 6,615 6,854 137,084
Okla Ark Mont Wyo Colo	$ \begin{array}{r} 494 \\ 387 \\ 114 \\ 46 \\ 205 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 484\\ 376\\ 104\\ 41\\ 186\end{array}$	52.00 37.00 75.00 78.00 68.00	$50.30 \\ 37.50 \\ 70.50 \\ 74.50 \\ 63.00 $	$\begin{array}{r} 25,688\\ 14,319\\ 8,550\\ 3,588\\ 13,940\end{array}$	24,345 14,100 7,332 3,054 11,718	1,119 484 791 628 996	1,097 475 753 546 949	35.40 17.20 49.00 53.30 43.70	$\begin{array}{c} 33.\ 40\\ 15.\ 80\\ 46.\ 40\\ 49.\ 40\\ 40.\ 00 \end{array}$	39,613 8,325 38,759 33,472 43,525	36,640 7,505 34,939 26,972 37,960
N. Mex Ariz Utah Nev	68 44 92 24	62 37 88 22	$\begin{array}{c} 61.50 \\ 74.00 \\ 62.00 \\ 77.50 \end{array}$	55.00 64.00 59.00 65.10	4,182 3,256 5,704 1,860	$3,410 \\ 2,368 \\ 5,192 \\ 1,432$	991 791 381 450	918 739 356 437	35.50 34.50 35.80 40.70	32.70 32.50 35.50 38.90	35,180 27,290 13,640 18,315	30,019 24,018 12,638 16,999
Idaho Wash Oreg Cal	120 253 210 541	$\begin{array}{c} 112 \\ 234 \\ 196 \\ 515 \end{array}$	72.00 74.00 63.50 72.00	69.80 74.00 65.00 62.00	8,640 18,722 13,335 38,952	7,818 17,316 12,740 31,930	379 215 503 1,480	354 199 470 1,410	41.80 34.90 36.30 39.30	41.20 35.70 38.00 33.00	$ \begin{array}{r} 15,842 \\ 7,504 \\ 18,259 \\ 58,164 \\ \hline \end{array} $	14,584 7,104 17,860 46,530
U.S	21,262	20,737	55.33	53.94	1,176,338	1,118,487	37,067	35,855	5 33.3 8	31.13	1,237,376	1,116,33

CATTLE—Continued.

TABLE 149.—Cattle: Wholesale price per 100 pounds, 1900-1914.

	Chic	ago.	Cinci	nnati.	St. I	ouis.	Kansa	s City.	Oma	ha.
Date.	Infer pri	ior to me.	Fair f diu	to me- im.	Good t native	o choice steers.	Comn pri	non to me.	Native	beeves.
	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.
1900. 1901. 1902. 1903. 1903. 1904.	\$1.75 2.10 1.90 1.50 1.70	\$6. 60 7. 00 14. 50 8. 35 7. 65	\$3.00 2.90 3.00 2.25 2.25	\$4.70 5.05 5.40 4.40 4.25	\$4.00 4.75 5.15 5.00 4.90	\$6.50 8.25 8.75 6.00 6.60	\$3.90 4.00 4.10 3.75 4.25	\$6.50 7.00 8.75 6.00 7.00	\$3.50 3.50 3.00 2.65 2.75	\$7.50 7.25 8.15 5.75 6.35
1905 1906 1907 1908 1909	1.85 1.75 2.00 2.00 2.90	7.00 7.90 8.00 8.40 9.50	$\begin{array}{c} 2.35 \\ 2.35 \\ 4.10 \\ 2.65 \\ 3.00 \end{array}$	4.75 4.50 6.00 5.50 5.50	5. 15 5. 45 5. 35 5. 50 5. 70	$7.10 \\ 7.00 \\ 7.35 \\ 8.25 \\ 10.50$	4.00 4.10 3.90 3.50 3.70	7.05 7.50 8.25 8.25 10.50	$\begin{array}{c} 3.\ 05\\ 2.\ 90\\ 3.\ 10\\ 2.\ 25\\ 3.\ 75\end{array}$	6.50 6.85 7.30 8.10 8.00
1910 1911 1912 1913	$\begin{array}{c} 2.90\\ 2.50\\ 1.75\\ 3.00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 8.85 \\ 9.35 \\ 11.25 \\ 10.25 \end{array}$	3.00 3.25 4.05 4.50	6.50 5.35 6.75 7.65	$\begin{array}{r} 6.35\\ 6.25\\ 7.35\\ 8.00\end{array}$	8.50 9.40 11.00 10.00	$3.60 \\ 4.25 \\ 4.60 \\ 4.50$	8.60 12.55 12.40 10.00	$3.75 \\ 3.50 \\ 3.50 \\ 3.00 $	8.25 8.00 10.35 9.60
1914. January February March A pril May June	$\begin{array}{c} 6.\ 60\\ 6.\ 60\\ 6.\ 75\\ 6.\ 85\\ 6.\ 85\\ 7.\ 25 \end{array}$	9.50 9.75 9.75 9.60 9.60 9.40	$5.50 \\ 5.50 \\ 5.35 \\ 6.00 \\ 6.00 \\ 5.60 $	6.75 6.50 6.65 7.00 7.25 7.00	8.00 8.00 8.00 8.00 8.00 8.00 8.00	9.00 8.50 8.50 8.50 8.50 8.50 8.50	$\begin{array}{c} 6.\ 00\\ 6.\ 00\\ 6.\ 50\\ 6.\ 15\\ 5.\ 50\\ 5.\ 20 \end{array}$	9. 25 9. 20 9. 25 9. 40 9. 20 9. 30	6.50 6.75 7.00 7.25 7.40 7.40	8. 75 8. 75 9. 25 9. 00 8. 75 9. 15
July August September October November December	7.257.006.756.255.754.85	10.00 10.65 11.10 11.05 11.00 11.25	$5.00 \\ 4.85 \\ 5.00 \\ 4.75 \\ 4.75 \\ 4.65 $	7.257.257.00 $6.506.506.50$	8.00 8.00 8.50 8.00 8.50 8.00	8.75 9.25 9.25 9.50 9.50 9.50	$\begin{array}{c} 4.50 \\ 4.60 \\ 4.75 \\ 5.00 \\ 4.65 \\ 5.00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 9.95\\ 10.50\\ 11.00\\ 11.25\\ 11.05\\ 11.35\end{array}$	$7.50 \\ 7.50 \\ 7.75 \\ 7.25 \\ 6.50 \\ 6.00 $	9.75 10.25 10.50 10.50 10.50 10.75
Year	4, 85	11.25	4.65	7.25	8.00	9.50	4.50	11.35	6.00	10.75

Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

BUTTER AND EGGS.

TABLE 150.—Butter: Wholesale price per pound, 1900-1914.

	Elį	gin.		Chio	cago.		Cinci	nnati.	Milw	aukee.	New	York.
Date.	Crean ext	nery, tra.	Creamery, extra.		Dai fir to ex	ries, sts trás.	Creat	nery, tra.	Crea: fan	Creamery, fancy.		mery, tra.
·	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.
1900 1901 1902 1903	$\begin{array}{c} Cls. \\ 18 \\ 18^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ 19 \\ 18^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 29 \\ 24\frac{1}{2} \\ 30 \\ 29 \end{array}$	$Cts.\ 15rac{1}{2}\ 15\ 16\ 16\ 16$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts.\\ 29\\ 24\frac{1}{2}\\ 31\\ 28\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 14\frac{1}{2} \\ 14 \\ 15\frac{1}{2} \\ 15 \end{array}$	Cts. 25 20 29 25	Cts. 16 17 17 151 151 12	Cts. 27 24 27 27 27	$\begin{array}{c} Cts.\\ 19\\ 18\frac{1}{2}\\ 19\frac{1}{2}\\ 19\frac{1}{2}\\ 18\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts.\\ 291 \\ 25\\ 301 \\ 281 \\ 281 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 17\frac{1}{2} \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 19 \\ 19 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 30 \\ 25\frac{1}{2} \\ 33 \\ 29\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$
1904	$17 \\ 19\frac{1}{2} \\ 19 \\ 23 \\ 21$	$28 \\ 34 \\ 31\frac{1}{2} \\ 33 \\ 33 \\ 33 \\ 33 \\ 33 \\ 33 \\ 33 \\ $	$15\\18\\16\frac{1}{2}\\18\\19$	$28 \\ 34 \\ 31 \\ 32\frac{1}{2} \\ 33\frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$	$12\frac{1}{2}$ 16 15 18 18 18	24 30 27 30 29	17 19 19 23 21	$28 \\ 34 \\ 32^{1}_{2} \\ 34 \\ 36$	$17 \\ 19\frac{1}{2} \\ 19 \\ 23 \\ 21$	$27 \\ 34 \\ 31\frac{1}{2} \\ 33 \\ 33\frac{1}{2} \\ 3$	$\begin{array}{c} 17\frac{1}{2} \\ 17\frac{1}{2} \\ 19\frac{1}{4} \\ 23\frac{1}{2} \\ 21\frac{1}{4} \end{array}$	$28 \\ 351 \\ 33 \\ 35 \\ 35 \\ 34$
1909 1910 1911 1912 1913	24 27 21 25 26	${36 \atop {36} \atop {36} \atop {40} \atop {35rac{1}{2}} }$	22 24 18 24 24 24	35 36 37 40 36	20 23 15 22 24	30 30 33 34 33	$\begin{array}{c} 26 \\ 29\frac{1}{2} \\ 23\frac{1}{2} \\ 27\frac{1}{2} \\ 30 \end{array}$	$38\frac{1}{2}\ 38\frac{1}{2}\ 38\frac{1}{2}\ 38\frac{1}{2}\ 42\frac{1}{2}\ 40$	$15 \\ 18 \\ 21 \\ 25 \\ 26$	$35 \\ 36 \\ 36 \\ 40 \\ 35\frac{1}{2}$	$25 \\ 27\frac{1}{2} \\ 19\frac{1}{2} \\ 26 \\ 26 \\ 26 \\ 26 \\ 100$	$37 \\ 35 \\ 39 \\ 41 \\ 38$
1914. January February March April May	$\begin{array}{c} 28\frac{1}{2}\\ 26\frac{1}{2}\\ 25\\ 23\frac{1}{2}\\ 23\frac{1}{2}\\ 26\frac{1}{4} \end{array}$	$35\frac{1}{2}\ 30\ 30\ 25\ 26\ 27\frac{1}{2}$	$\begin{array}{c} 26^1_2 \\ 26^1_2 \\ 24^1_2 \\ 24^1_2 \\ 24 \\ 24^1_2 \\ 26^1_2 \end{array}$	35½ 30 30 25 26½ 27	22 22 21 20 20 22	33 28 28 24 25 26	$\begin{array}{c} 32\\ 30\frac{1}{2}\\ 29\\ 27\frac{1}{2}\\ 27\frac{1}{2}\\ 29\frac{1}{2}\\ 29\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	$39\frac{1}{2}\ 34\ 34\ 29\ 30\ 31\frac{1}{2}$	$\begin{array}{r} 28_4^1\\ 26_2^1\\ 25\\ 23_2^1\\ 23_2^1\\ 23_2^1\\ 25_4^2\end{array}$	$35\frac{1}{2}$ 30 30 25 26 27 $\frac{1}{2}$	$\begin{array}{c} 34 \\ 26\frac{1}{2} \\ 24\frac{1}{2} \\ 24\frac{1}{2} \\ 25\frac{1}{2} \\ 26\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	$50 \\ 32 \\ 32 \\ 26\frac{1}{2} \\ 27 \\ 28$
July. August. September. October November. December.	26 28 29 29 32 32	$28 \\ 30\frac{1}{2} \\ 30\frac{1}{2} \\ 31\frac{1}{2} \\ 33 \\ 34 \\ 34$	$26 \\ 28 \\ 29 \\ 29 \\ 31\frac{1}{2} \\ 32$	$28 \\ 30 \\ 30 \\ 321 \\ 33 \\ 34$	22 22 25 25 25 25 25 27	$26 \\ 29 \\ 29 \\ 29 \\ 30 \\ 33\frac{1}{2}$	$\begin{array}{c} 30 \\ 32 \\ 33 \\ 33 \\ 35 \\ 35 \\ 36 \end{array}$	$32 \\ 34 \\ 34\frac{1}{2} \\ 35\frac{1}{2} \\ 37 \\ 38 \\ 38 \\ 38 \\ 31 \\ 31 \\ 38 \\ 31 \\ 31$	$ \begin{array}{c c} 26 \\ 28 \\ 29 \\ 29 \\ 31\frac{1}{2} \\ 32 \\ \end{array} $	$28 \\ 30 \\ 31 \\ 31\frac{1}{2} \\ 33 \\ 34$	$\begin{array}{c} 26\frac{3}{4} \\ 28\frac{1}{2} \\ 30 \\ 29\frac{1}{2} \\ 33\frac{1}{2} \\ 33 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} 29\frac{1}{2} \\ 32\\ 32\frac{1}{2} \\ 33\frac{1}{2} \\ 33\frac{1}{2} \\ 36\\ 36\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$
Year	231	35 <u>1</u>	24	351	20	331	271	39 <u>1</u>	23 1	35 <u>1</u>	241	50

BUTTER AND EGGS—Continued.

TABLE 151.—Butter: International trade, calendar years 1911-1913.

[Butter includes all butter made from milk, melted and renovated butter, but does not include margarine, cocoa butter, or ghee. See "General note," p. 518.]

EXPORTS.

[000 omitted.]

Country.	1911	1912	1913 (prelim.).	Country.	1911	1912	1913 (prelim.).
Argentina. Austria:Austria-Hungary. Belgium Canada. Denmark Finland. France. Germany. Italy.	Pounds. 3,077 102,238 4,513 3,345 9,712 197,482 27,230 28,221 555 8,147	Pounds. 8,106 67,183 3,853 2,625 884 187,755 26,474 37,572 482 8,843	Pounds. 8,342 76,334 3,039 2,147 1,220 200,670 27,867 42,931 602 6,033	Netherlands New Zealand Norway Russia Sweden United States Other countries Total	Pounds. 66, 513 33, 867 3, 679 168, 704 48, 889 6, 375 7, 002 719, 549	Pounds. 86, 307 42, 349 3, 475 160, 771 46, 818 5, 105 3, 866 692, 468	Pounds. 81,702 41,693 2,376 171,030 46,818 3,115 3,680 719,599
• •			- IMPO	PRTS.			
Austria-Hungary Belgium Brazil British South Africa Canada Denmark Dutch East Indies Egypt Finland France	$\begin{array}{c} 6,524\\ 15,161\\ 4,321\\ 4,156\\ 1,876\\ 6,027\\ 4,279\\ 2,181\\ 1,315\\ 19,939 \end{array}$	$10,265 \\ 15,225 \\ 4,208 \\ 4,946 \\ 7,177 \\ 5,966 \\ 4,486 \\ 2,197 \\ 3,388 \\ 14,179 \\$	14, 616 14, 522 4, 336 3, 910 7, 886 6, 242 4, 486 1, 958 3, 333 13, 0 39	Germany Netherlands Russia Sweden Switzerland United Kingdom Other countries Total	123, 619 6, 039 1, 808 343 12, 098 466, 720 35, 132 711, 538	122, 472 4, 636 2, 754 273 11, 930 435, 247 30, 308 679, 657	119,5765,5292,23927311,155451,73636,207701,043

75922°—увк 1914—40

Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

BUTTER AND EGGS-Continued.

 TABLE 152.—Butter and eggs: Average price received by farmers on first of each month,

 by States, 1914.

			E	Butt	er, d	ent	s pe	r po	oun	d.						Egg	gs, c	ent	s pe	r do	ozen	ı .		
State.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May.	June.	July.	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May.	June.	July.	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
Maine New Hampshire Vermont Massachusetts Rhode Island	33 35 35 37 36	32 35 34 36 34	32 33 33 36 35	31 32 31 34 33	30 33 29 33 32	29 30 27 33 32	28 30 26 32 32	30 32 29 35 34	31 33 31 36 35	31 34 33 36 34	32 34 34 37 36	33 33 34 36 36	38 38 39 42 40	32 35 34 34 38	31 32 31 35 38	22 22 22 27 26	22 23 20 26 21	22 24 22 26 25	24 24 20 28 27	26 27 23 35 30	^{'30} 32 27 38 34	33 35 29 41 39	36 40 34 47 45	$45 \\ 47 \\ 41 \\ 53 \\ 55$
Connecticut New York New Jersey Pennsylvania Delaware	37 35 36 35 32	35 33 36 34 32	34 32 34 32 34	34 29 33 30 32	30 28 32 28 30	$34 \\ 27 \\ 31 \\ 26 \\ 27$	29 27 32 26 31	34 28 33 27 30	34 31 33 30	37 32 34 31 31	36 33 35 32 34	36 34 36 34 34	42 39 42 36 31	38 36 37 34 31	36 32 33 28 28	26 22 26 22 18	25 20 21 18 18	26 21 23 19 20	$25 \\ 22 \\ 26 \\ 22 \\ 21 \\ 21$	30 25 28 23 26	33 29 31 26 25	38 33 35 28 25	48 37 39 32 33	49 41 45 37 38
Maryland Virginia West Virginia North Carolina South Carolina	32 27 28 25 28	30 27 28 24 27	29 27 28 24 25	29 26 28 25 27	28 26 27 25 26	25 23 23 23 23 26	25 24 23 24 24	31 23 23 23 25	26 23 25 24 25	28 25 26 24 26	29 25 26 25 25	$30 \\ 26 \\ 27 \\ 25 \\ 26$	32 29 31 27 29	30 27 38 23 26	25 24 26 21 22	18 18 21 17 21	17 16 18 16 20	18 17 18 17 20	19 18 19 18 20	20 18 19 18 21	$23 \\ 21 \\ 21 \\ 20 \\ 20 \\ 20$	26 24 24 23 24	29 25 26 23 24	$34 \\ 30 \\ 30 \\ 26 \\ 26 \\ 26$
Georgia Florida Ohio Indiana Illinois	26 36 29 26 28	25 35 28 26 29	$25 \\ 34 \\ 27 \\ 24 \\ 26$	25 33 26 23 25	26 33 24 22 24	$25 \\ 33 \\ 22 \\ 21 \\ 23$	24 32 22 21 23	24 33 24 22 26	24 33 27 24 27	$ \begin{array}{c} 24 \\ 33 \\ 27 \\ 24 \\ 27 \end{array} $	24 34 27 24 27	26 34 29 25 28	29 35 32 28 29	25 30 30 27 29	22 25 25 23 25	$ \begin{array}{c} 20 \\ 22 \\ 17 \\ 16 \\ 16 \\ 16 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 18 \\ 22 \\ 17 \\ 16 \\ 16 \\ 16 \\ \end{array} $	18 21 18 17 17	$ \begin{array}{r} 18 \\ 21 \\ 18 \\ 17 \\ 16 \end{array} $	18 25 19 17 17	20 25 22 20 19	23 28 25 23 22	24 31 26 24 23	26 33 32 30 29
Michigan Wisconsin Minnesota Iowa Missouri	30 33 31 29 25	29 30 30 29 24	28 29 27 25 23	26 27 25 24 23	25 25 24 24 21	$22 \\ 25 \\ 24 \\ 24 \\ 20$	22 25 23 24 20	24 27 24 25 22	26 29 27 26 23	27 30 27 27 23	28 30 28 27 23	29 31 31 28 24	31 30 28 27 28	30 29 26 26 26	28 26 25 22 23	19 17 16 16 16	18 17 16 16 16	18 17 16 16 16	19 17 16 16 14	20 18 17 16 14	$22 \\ 21 \\ 21 \\ 20 \\ 17$	24 23 22 21 19	26 24 23 21 20	28 27 27 26 25
North Dakota South Dakota Nebraska Kansas Kentucky	28 29 25 27 23	26 27 25 25 23	25 24 22 23 22	20 21 21 22 22 22	20 21 20 20 21	20 22 20 20 20	20 22 20 20 20	20 22 21 21 21 20	23 25 23 24 21	26 25 24 25 21	25 26 25 25 21	$27 \\ 28 \\ 26 \\ 26 \\ 22 \\ 22 \\ 22 \\ 31 \\ 32 \\ 32 \\ 31 \\ 32 \\ 32$	29 29 28 30 27	26 26 26 26 25	26 22 22 21 21 22	$ \begin{array}{c} 16 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 16 \\ 16 \\ 16 \end{array} $	14 15 15 15 15	14 16 15 15 16	15 15 15 15 15	$15 \\ 16 \\ 15 \\ 15 \\ 15 \\ 15$	19 18 17 17 16	21 19 19 19 19	$22 \\ 22 \\ 21 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 21$	26 26 25 25 27
Tennessee Alabama Mississippi Louisiana Texas	22 24 25 29 25	21 23 24 28 24	21 22 24 28 22	21 21 24 29 22	19 21 23 26 21	18 21 22 29 21	18 22 24 28 21	18 22 23 27 21	18 23 22 29 23	19 23 23 28 23	21 21 23 27 22	$21 \\ 24 \\ 23 \\ 28 \\ 24 \\ 24$	26 28 26 28 27	25 22 22 23 23 22	20 20 19 21 18	16 16 17 20 15	15 16 15 17 14	15 16 16 18 14	15 16 16 18 15	14 17 16 18 14	16 18 17 19 17	18 21 21 23 18	20 22 22 23 19	26 24 23 24 23
Oklahoma Arkansas Montana Wyoming Colorado	25 26 36 33 30	23 26 35 34 32	$22 \\ 25 \\ 35 \\ 33 \\ 29$	21 23 32 31 28	20 23 33 28 27	20 22 30 28 25	$20 \\ 23 \\ 28 \\ 29 \\ 25$	20 22 27 28 25	23 23 30 27 28	24 24 32 31 30	23 24 34 30 31	$25 \\ 24 \\ 33 \\ 32 \\ 31$	27 28 40 37 38	24 26 39 34 36	20 20 33 30 25	$15 \\ 16 \\ 21 \\ 21 \\ 21 \\ 21 \\ 21$	14 15 18 19 19	14 15 19 21 19	$14 \\ 16 \\ 22 \\ 23 \\ 21$	$13 \\ 15 \\ 23 \\ 23 \\ 20$	$16 \\ 16 \\ 26 \\ 25 \\ 26 \\ 26$	17 20 29 27 29	19 21 35 30 31	22 23 38 34 33
New Mexico Arizona Utah Nevada	36 40 33 43	36 36 31 38	34 38 30 35	36 35 29 38	33 34 30 34	35 34 28 32	33 32 28 35	$32 \\ 34 \\ 30 \\ 32$	34 34 30 36	35 34 33 42	32 39 31 34	33 36 31 38	40 50 40 52	36 36 31 42	$27 \\ 32 \\ 27 \\ 32 \\ 32$	$25 \\ 23 \\ 17 \\ 30$	23 23 17 29	$26 \\ 25 \\ 19 \\ 28$	24 31 20 30	25 29 21 28	29 31 22 37	$29 \\ 35 \\ 27 \\ 45$	$31 \\ 42 \\ 27 \\ 45$	33 37 31 46
Idaho Washington Oregon California	34 37 34 35	33 33 35 34	30 33 33 29	28 29 31 28	$27 \\ 28 \\ 26 \\ 26 \\ 26$	26 26 28 26	25 27 28 27	25 28 29 28	27 31 33 29	30 33 31 30	33 35 34 34	32 35 34 35	38 41 41 45	34 34 35 39	$27 \\ 26 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25$	17 19 20 19	17 19 18 21	18 20 19 20	19 22 23 24	$21 \\ 25 \\ 24 \\ 26$	22 29 27 29	26 33 31 35	30 37 34 41	33 40 39 44

BUTTER AND EGGS-Continued.

TABLE 153.—Butter and eggs: Average price received by farmers on first of each month, by geographical divisions, 1914.

BUTTER (CENTS PER POUND).

Divisions.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	Мау.	June.	July.	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
United States North Atlantic South Atlantic N. C. E. Miss. R N. C. W. Miss. R South Central Far Western	29.235.027.429.127.724.334.7	$\begin{array}{c} 27.4\\ 33.8\\ 26.5\\ 28.3\\ 26.6\\ 23.5\\ 33.5\end{array}$	26. 0 32. 4 26. 3 26. 7 24. 2 22. 5 30. 9	24. 9 30. 4 26. 4 25. 4 22. 7 22. 1 29. 1	$\begin{array}{c} 23.8\\ 28.8\\ 26.3\\ 24.0\\ 21.7\\ 21.2\\ 27.4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 22.8\\ 27.3\\ 24.1\\ 22.4\\ 21.6\\ 20.7\\ 25.1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 22.9\\ 27.0\\ 24.2\\ 22.4\\ 21.4\\ 21.1\\ 27.0 \end{array}$	23.728.524.324.422.520.927.6	$\begin{array}{c} 25.\ 3\\ 30.\ 9\\ 24.\ 3\\ 26.\ 5\\ 24.\ 6\\ 22.\ 0\\ 29.\ 7\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 26.\ 0\\ 31.\ 9\\ 25.\ 3\\ 26.\ 8\\ 25.\ 3\\ 22.\ 4\\ 31.\ 0 \end{array}$	26. 3 32. 8 25. 5 27. 0 25. 6 22. 1 33. 3	28. 4 34. 0 26. 6 28. 4 27. 1 23. 3 34. 0

EGGS (CENTS PER DOZEN).

United States North Atlantic South Atlantic N. C. E. Miss. R N. C. W. Miss. R South Central Far Western	30.7 38.4 29.6 30.0 28.2 27.0 42.3	$\begin{array}{c} 28.4\\ 34.9\\ 28.1\\ 29.0\\ 26.0\\ 23.7\\ 36.5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 24.2\\ 31.0\\ 23.6\\ 25.2\\ 22.6\\ 19.8\\ 26.0 \end{array}$	17.622.819.016.815.915.919.5	$\begin{array}{c} 16.8\\ 20.2\\ 17.4\\ 16.7\\ 15.6\\ 14.8\\ 19.6 \end{array}$	$17.3 \\ 21.2 \\ 17.9 \\ 17.4 \\ 15.6 \\ 15.0 \\ 20.7$	17. 623. 018. 717. 315. 115. 222. 8	$18.2 \\ 25.5 \\ 19.2 \\ 18.1 \\ 15.3 \\ 14.7 \\ 24.3$	$\begin{array}{c} 21.0\\ 29.0\\ 21.1\\ 20.7\\ 18.4\\ 16.6\\ 27.5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 23.5\\ 32.1\\ 24.1\\ 23.4\\ 19.9\\ 18.9\\ 32.1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 25.3\\ 36.5\\ 25.6\\ 24.6\\ 20.9\\ 20.3\\ 36.4 \end{array}$	29.7 41.6 29.3 29.6 25.6 24.1 39.6
---	--	---	---	------------------------------	---	--	-------------------------------------	--	---	---	---	--

TABLE 154.—Butter: Receipts at seven leading markets in the United States, 1891-1914.

[From Board of Trade, Chamber of Commerce, and Merchants' Exchange Reports.]

[000 omitted.]

Year.	Boston.	Chicago.	Mil- waukee.	St. Louis.	San Fran- cisco.	Total 5 cities.	Cincin- nati.	New York.
Averages: 1891–1895 1896–1900 1901–1905 1906–1910	Pounds. 40,955 50,790 57,716 66,612	Pounds. 145, 225 232, 289 245, 203 286, 518	Pounds. 3,996 5,096 7,164 8,001	Pounds. 13, 944 14, 582 14, 685 17, 903	Pounds. 15, 240 14, 476 15, 026 13, 581	Pounds. 219, 360 317, 234 339, 793 392, 615	Packages. 88 157 177 169	Packages. 1,741 2,010 2,122 2,207
1901 1902 1903 1904 1905	$57,500 \\ 54,574 \\ 54,347 \\ 55,435 \\ 66,725$	253, 809 219, 233 232, 032 249, 024 271, 915	5, 590 7, 290 6, 857 7, 993 8, 091	$\begin{array}{r} 13,477\\14,573\\14,080\\15,727\\15,566\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 14,972\\14,801\\13,570\\14,336\\17,450\end{array}$	345, 348 310, 471 320, 886 342, 515 379, 747	238 223 121 147 155	2, 040 1, 933 2, 113 2, 170 2, 355
1906 1907 1908 1909	$\begin{array}{c} 65,152\\ 63,589\\ 69,843\\ 65,054\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 248,648\\ 263,715\\ 316,695\\ 284,547\end{array}$	8,209 8,219 8,798 7,458	13, 198 13, 453 18, 614 21, 086	9,282 16,725 13,528 14,449	344, 489 365, 701 427, 478 392, 594	205 187 166 150	2,242 2,113 2,175 2,250
1910 1911 1912 1913 1914	69, 421 63, 874 72, 109 70, 737 73, 028	318, 986 334, 932 286, 213 277, 651 307, 899	7, 319 8, 632 7, 007 9, 068 9, 496	$\begin{array}{c} 23,163\\ 24,839\\ 20,521\\ 24,726\\ 24,614\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 13,922\\ 17,606\\ 28,172\\ 23,122\\ 22,421 \end{array}$	432, 811 449, 883 414, 022 405, 304 437, 458	135 162 109 103 82	2, 257 2, 405 2, 436 2, 517 2, 513
1914. January February March April May June	$\begin{array}{c} 3,541\\ 2,911\\ 4,171\\ 4,311\\ 7,327\\ 13,701\end{array}$	15,40716,80219,03422,27924,24345,716	$569 \\ 514 \\ 939 \\ 724 \\ 1,054 \\ 1,203$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,001\\ 2,044\\ 2,059\\ 2,111\\ 2,353\\ 2,181\end{array}$	1, 932 1, 690 2, 107 2, 811 2, 435 1, 964	23, 450 23, 961 28, 310 32, 236 37, 412 64, 765	3 5 6 5 6 8	172 149 202 192 235 345
July August September October November December	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	39, 449 30, 678 30, 162 26, 007 19, 448 18, 674	1, 102 708 786 735 657 505	1, 991 2, 130 1, 908 2, 074 1, 763 1, 999	$\begin{array}{c c} 1,872\\ 1,766\\ 1,330\\ 1,464\\ 1,315\\ 1,735\\ \end{array}$	57, 099 42, 739 40, 118 35, 182 26, 391 25, 795	8 6 8 10 7 10	286 223 222 179 155

Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

BUTTER AND EGGS-Continued.

TABLE 155.—Eggs: Receipts at seven leading markets in the United States, 1891-1914.

[From Board of Trade, Chamber of Commerce, and Merchants' Exchange Reports.]

Year.	Boston.	Chicago.	Cincin- nati.	Mil- waukee.	New York.	St. Louis.	San Fran- cisco.	Total.
Averages: 1891–1895 1896–1900 1901–1905 1906–1910	Cases. 722,363 912,807 1,155,340 1,517,995	Cases. 1, 879, 065 2, 196, 631 2, 990, 675 4, 467, 040	Cases. 288, 548 362, 262 418, 842 509, 017	Cases. 90,943 113,327 139,718 180,362	Cases. 2, 113, 946 2, 664, 074 3, 057, 298 4, 046, 360	Cases. 557, 320 852, 457 1, 000, 935 1, 304, 719	Cases. 166,059 194,087 304,933 334,766	Cases. 5, 818, 244 7, 295, 645 9, 067, 741 12, 360, 259
1901 1902 1903 1904 1905	$1,040,555 \\1,053,165 \\1,164,777 \\1,122,819 \\1,395,385$	$\begin{array}{r} 2,783,709\\ 2,659,340\\ 3,279,248\\ 3,113,858\\ 3,117,221 \end{array}$	493, 218 464, 799 338, 327 377, 263 420, 604	$128,179\\114,732\\129,278\\166,409\\159,990$	2,909,194 2,743,642 2,940,091 3,215,924 3,477,638	1,022,646825,999959,6481,216,124980,257	277, 500 285, 058 335, 228 319, 637 307, 243	8,655,001 8,146,735 9,146,597 9,532,034 9,858,338
1906 1907 1908 1909	$\substack{1,709,531\\1,594,576\\1,436,786\\1,417,397}$	3,583,878 4,780,356 4,569,014 4,557,906	484, 208 588, 636 441, 072 519, 652	$187,561 \\ 176,826 \\ 207,558 \\ 160,418$	3,981,013 4,262,153 3,703,990 3,903,867	$\substack{1,023,125\\1,288,977\\1,439,868\\1,395,987}$	$\begin{array}{c} 137,074\\ 379,439\\ 347,436\\ 340,185\end{array}$	11, 106, 390 13, 070, 963 12, 145, 724 12, 295, 412
1910 1911 1912 1913 1914	$1, 431, 686 \\1, 441, 748 \\1, 580, 106 \\1, 589, 399 \\1, 531, 329$	4, 844, 045 4, 707, 335 4, 556, 643 4, 593, 800 4, 083, 163	$511, 519 \\605, 131 \\668, 942 \\594, 954 \\461, 783$	169, 448 175, 270 136, 621 187, 931 221, 345	$\begin{array}{r} 4,380,777\\ 5,021,757\\ 4,723,558\\ 4,666,117\\ 4,762,174 \end{array}$	1,375,638 1,736,915 1,391,611 1,397,962 1,470,716	469,698 587,115 638,920 574,222 619,508	13, 182, 811 14, 275, 271 13, 696, 401 13, 604, 385 13, 150, 018
1914. January February March. April. May June.	36, 836 80, 664 116, 623 221, 044 290, 845 234, 724	94, 132 205, 582 380, 914 809, 450 299, 211 643, 343	14, 116 36, 811 45, 912 68, 725 73, 740 54, 558	5, 250 7, 121 20, 779 51, 318 54, 859 22, 282	188, 287 269, 652 466, 450 755, 953 778, 391 587, 840	49, 085 83, 373 195, 978 222, 689 178, 387 130, 517	34, 053 56, 000 79, 055 84, 585 70, 773 57, 715	421, 759 739, 203 1, 305, 711 2, 213, 764 1, 746, 206 1, 730, 979
July August September October November December	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	464, 630 359, 505 297, 007 231, 269 168, 682 129, 438	35,146 29,415 16,599 26,059 26,459 34,243	19,165 15,748 10,052 6,965 4,702 3,104	$\begin{array}{c} 386,845\\ 365,261\\ 349,731\\ 277,881\\ 176,366\\ 159,517\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 127,110\\ 114,357\\ 117,392\\ 104,131\\ 81,231\\ 66,466\\ \end{array}$	51, 526 45, 350 37, 006 31, 727 29, 283 42, 435	$\begin{array}{c} 1,234,795\\ 1,044,649\\ 925,880\\ 749,296\\ 550,199\\ 487,577\end{array}$

BUTTER AND EGGS-Continued.

•••••											
	Chicago.				St. I	ouis.	Milwa	aukee.	New York.		
Date.	Fre	esh.	Cinci	nnati.	Avera fre	ge best sh.	Fre	esh.	Average best fresh.		
	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	
1900 1901 1902 1903	$\begin{array}{c} Cents. \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 13\frac{3}{4} \\ 10 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cents. \\ 26 \\ 28 \\ 32\frac{1}{2} \\ 30 \end{array}$	Cents. 9 9 13 12	Cents. 22 27 32 28	$\begin{array}{c} \hline Cents. \\ 8 \\ 6 \\ 111 \\ 11 \\ 11 \\ \end{array}$	Cents. 23 25 32 28 $\frac{1}{2}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cents. \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 13\frac{1}{2} \\ 12\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	Cents. 24 24 30 27	$\begin{array}{c} Cents. \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 15\frac{1}{2} \\ 15 \end{array}$	Cents. 29 31 37 45	
1904 1905 1906 1907 1908	11 12 11 13 14	34 <u>1</u> 36 36 30 33	$14\frac{1}{2}$ 14 13 13 $\frac{1}{2}$ 13	32 30 29 29 36	$\begin{array}{c} 13 \\ 10\frac{1}{2} \\ 11\frac{1}{2} \\ 12 \\ 12\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	29 34 26 25 1 29	$\begin{array}{c} 13\frac{1}{2} \\ 14 \\ 12\frac{1}{2} \\ 12\frac{1}{2} \\ 13 \end{array}$	32 31 33 28 32	$16 \\ 16 \\ 14 \\ 14 \\ 16 \\ 15$	47 40 45 50 55	
1909 1910 1911 1912 1913	$17\frac{1}{2}$ 15 12 17 16	$36\frac{1}{2}$ 38 32 40 37	$17 \\ 17 \\ 12\frac{1}{2} \\ 17 \\ 17 \\ 15\frac{1}{2} \\ 15\frac{1}{2$	37 40 39 40 42	$16\\14\frac{1}{2}\\11\\14\frac{1}{2}\\12$	40 35 29 39 35	14 10 11 15 13	34 30 32 38 35	$ \begin{array}{r} 19 \\ 22 \\ 17 \\ 20^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ 20 \end{array} $	55 55 60 60 65	
1914. January February March. April. May June	$29\\24\frac{3}{17\frac{1}{2}}\\17\\18\\17\frac{3}{4}$	$\begin{array}{r} 32\frac{1}{2}\\ 27\frac{3}{4}\\ 29\frac{1}{4}\\ 19\frac{1}{4}\\ 19\frac{1}{4}\\ 18\frac{3}{4}\\ 18\frac{3}{4}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} & & (1) \\ & & & 26\frac{1}{2} \\ & & & 23\frac{1}{2} \\ & & & 17 \\ & & & 16\frac{1}{2} \\ & & & 17\frac{1}{4} \\ & & & 18 \end{array}$) 36 28 29 181 183 19	$27 \\ 24\frac{1}{2} \\ 17\frac{1}{4} \\ 17 \\ 17\frac{1}{4} \\ 14$	$31\\28\\27\\18\frac{1}{2}\\18\frac{1}{2}\\18\frac{1}{2}\\18\frac{1}{2}\\18$	$27 \\ 24 \\ 16 \\ 16 \\ 15\frac{1}{2} \\ 15$	$30 \\ 28 \\ 27 \\ 18 \\ 18 \\ 16\frac{1}{2}$	34 29 21 20 22 22 $\frac{1}{2}$	50 40 36 26 24 28	
July August September October November December	$ 18 19 20\frac{1}{2} 20\frac{1}{2} 26\frac{1}{2} 28 $	$ \begin{array}{r} 19\frac{1}{2} \\ 23\frac{1}{2} \\ 23\frac{1}{2} \\ 26\frac{1}{2} \\ 30 \\ 36 \\ 36 \\ \end{array} $	18 1 19 24 24 29 33	$19\frac{1}{2}$ 24 $26\frac{1}{2}$ $28\frac{1}{2}$ $35\frac{1}{2}$ $38\frac{1}{2}$	18 19 201 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 7	$ \begin{array}{r} 19 \\ 21\frac{1}{2} \\ 22\frac{1}{2} \\ 24\frac{1}{2} \\ 29 \\ 35 \\ 35 \\ $	$16 \\ 18\frac{1}{2} \\ 20 \\ 19 \\ 21 \\ 24$	19 22 22 25 29 32	$24 \\ 27 \\ 30 \\ 31 \\ 36 \\ 41$	31 36 42 55 62 62	
Year	17	36	$16\frac{1}{2}$	$38\frac{1}{2}$	14	35	15	32	20	62	

TABLE 156.—Eggs: Wholesale price per dozen, 1900-1914.

¹ Prime firsts.

CHEESE.

TABLE 157.—Cheese: International trade, calendar years 1911-1913.

Cheese includes all cheese made from milk; "cottage cheese," of course, is included. See "General note," p. 518.]

EXPORTS.

[000 omitted.]

Country.	1911	1912	1913	Country.	1911	1912	1913 Pounds. 7, 455 78, 739 2, 654 13, 455 580, 268	
Bulgaria Canada France Germany Italy Netherlands Netw Zealand	Pounds. 7, 549 169, 179 24, 041 2, 179 61, 403 113, 607 49, 187	Pounds. 4,030 154 345 27,690 1,812 67,505 131,107 64,632	$\begin{array}{r} \hline Pounds. \\ 4,030 \\ 148,849 \\ 37,386 \\ 1,603 \\ 72,254 \\ 145,337 \\ 68,506 \end{array}$	Russia. Switzerland United States Other countries Total	Pounds. 8, 945 66, 593 13, 781 10, 656 527, 120	Pounds. 7, 455 66, 435 3, 006 9, 982 537, 999		
			IMPC	ORTS.				
Algeria. Argentina. Austria-Hungary Belgium. Brazil. British S. Africa Denmark. Egypt. France.	$\begin{array}{c} 6,205\\ 10,845\\ 319\\ 12,473\\ 29,642\\ 3,931\\ 5,039\\ 4,252\\ 1,203\\ 8,928\\ 49,423\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6,747\\ 11,849\\ 444\\ 12,797\\ 31,352\\ 6,280\\ 5,242\\ 4,232\\ 1,295\\ 7,425\\ 47,558\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6,747\\ 11,122\\ 365\\ 13,200\\ 35,845\\ 4,196\\ 5,694\\ 5,200\\ 1,475\\ 6,378\\ 51,846\end{array}$	Germany Italy Russia Spain Switzerland United Kingdom United States O ther countries Total	$\begin{array}{r} 45,954\\11,915\\4,009\\4,929\\7,644\\257,134\\45,447\\22,210\\\hline\\531,502\end{array}$	47, 277 10, 069 3, 853 5, 180 7, 995 250, 823 48, 929 19, 491 528, 838	57, 903 12, 342 4, 370 5, 961 7, 763 249, 972 55, 599 20, 217 556, 180	

CHICKENS.

	1913						1914											
State.		Apr.	June.	Aug.	Oct.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May.	June.	July.	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
Me N. H Vt Mass R. I	Cts. 15. 0 14. 2 12. 0 15. 2 19. 0	<i>Cts.</i> 13. 4 15. 0 13. 6 15. 7 16. 5	<i>Cts</i> . 15.2 14.7 13.2 17.8 15.5	Cts. 16.4 15.3 13.6 17.0 15.0	Cts. 14.0 14.7 14.4 18.0 19.0	<i>Cts.</i> 14.5 15.5 14.2 17.3 18.0	Cts. 14. 9 15. 3 13. 8 15. 8 18. 0	Cts. 14. 9 15. 0 14. 1 16. 0 20. 0	<i>Cts</i> . 15.5 15.8 13.9 16.5 17.0	<i>Cts.</i> 14. 5 13. 6 13. 2 17. 2 18. 0	Cts. 15.0 15.9 13.8 17.6 17.7	<i>Cts.</i> 14. 4 14. 7 14. 0 17. 4 18. 0	<i>Cts.</i> 15. 7 14. 5 14. 1 16. 6 24. 0	<i>Cts</i> . 15. 9 16. 0 14. 0 18. 5 21. 0	<i>Cts</i> . 14. 4 16. 0 14. 3 19. 5 17. 0	<i>Cts.</i> 14. 7 15. 0 14. 1 19. 1 19. 5	<i>Cts.</i> 14. 0 14. 7 14. 6 17. 0 16. 0	<i>Cts.</i> 14.0 15.0 14.5 17.3 16.5
Conn. N. Y. N. J. Pa. Del.	16. 0 14. 7 17. 2 12. 4 12. 5	16. 0 14. 9 17. 0 14. 0 14. 3	16. 0 15. 5 18. 0 14. 3 14. 7	17.5 16.0 18.0 14.8 12.0	16.5 16.7 18.7 14.6 15.0	17.5 15.0 17.8 13.7 14.5	16. 0 14. 5 17. 6 13. 9 13. 0	17.0 15.2 16.2 14.0 12.5	17.6 15.1 17.3 14.0 14.5	16. 6 15. 8 17. 9 14. 6 14. 5	17.216.017.114.814.5	16.5 15.7 17.6 14.8 15.0	17.0 16.4 17.9 15.0 14.5	18.0 16.2 18.9 15.6 19.0	18. 0 16. 2 18. 7 15. 7 15. 0	18, 5 16, 9 18, 0 14, 9 15, 5	18.0 15.3 17.9 14.6 13.7	17.7 14.5 17.0 13.5 13.0
Md Va W. Va N. C S. C	14. 1 12. 9 11. 7 10. 4 12. 5	15.3 13.6 11.9 10.8 11.9	14. 1 14. 7 12. 4 12. 0 11. 8	$16.5 \\ 14.9 \\ 14.5 \\ 12.6 \\ 13.0 \\$	16.514.713.911.914.0	14.8 13.8 13.4 12.0 15.0	$15.0 \\ 13.6 \\ 12.8 \\ 11.5 \\ 13.3$	$14. 4 \\ 14. 3 \\ 12. 9 \\ 11. 6 \\ 12. 9$	15.3 14.5 13.1 11.9 12.6	$16.2 \\ 14.5 \\ 13.4 \\ 11.7 \\ 13.5 \\$	$\begin{array}{c} 16.\ 1\\ 15.\ 0\\ 13.\ 4\\ 12.\ 5\\ 15.\ 0 \end{array}$	16, 5 14, 9 12, 8 12, 9 13, 5	17.0 15.3 13.6 13.0 14.1	16. 6 15. 2 13. 9 13. 1 13. 2	$16.0 \\ 14.8 \\ 14.1 \\ 13.3 \\ 12.8 \\$	15. 9 14. 2 13. 9 12. 6 13. 1	14. 6 13. 5 14. 0 12. 4 13. 4	14. 0 13. 2 13. 0 12. 0 12. 8
Ga Fla. Ohio Ind. Ill	$12. \ 4 \\ 15. \ 0 \\ 11. \ 3 \\ 10. \ 7 \\ 10. \ 2 \\$	$12.7 \\ 15.0 \\ 12.3 \\ 11.8 \\ 11.4$	$13.1 \\ 15.8 \\ 12.7 \\ 11.9 \\ 11.5 \\ $	13.015.513.012.011.7	13. 215. 713. 012. 111. 9	13. 9 17. 8 11. 3 10. 5 10. 7	13.516.611.510.710.9	13. 315. 412. 111. 211. 2	12.9 15.7 13.2 11.9 11.5	13. 215. 213. 112. 012. 1	13.7 16.0 13.2 12.5 12.2	$14.0\\15.4\\13.0\\12.0\\12.5$	14.316.613.011.712.4	14.4 17.0 13.3 12.4 12.3	13.9 17.5 13.3 12.3 12.3	13, 5 16, 7 12, 9 12, 2 11, 9	$14.1 \\ 16.1 \\ 11.8 \\ 10.9 \\ 11.1 $	13.3 18.0 11.1 10.2 10.6
Mich Wis Minu Iowa Mo	10. 9 10. 9 9. 1 9. 9 9. 6	$12.3 \\ 11.8 \\ 10.3 \\ 10.3 \\ 11.1 \\ $	$12.3 \\ 11.4 \\ 10.3 \\ 10.7 \\ 11.5 \\$	$12.4\\12.0\\10.6\\10.9\\11.3$	$12.6 \\ 12.5 \\ 11.2 \\ 11.9 \\ 11.4 \\ $	10. 9 10. 9 10. 4 9. 9 9. 9	$11.4\\11.2\\10.2\\10.1\\10.3$	11. 311. 310. 110. 710. 6	12.511.410.410.711.5	13.0 11.3 10.8 10.9 11.8	$12.8 \\ 12.5 \\ 11.0 \\ 10.7 \\ 12.2 \\$	$12.9\\12.5\\10.6\\10.8\\12.0$	12.512.210.910.711.9	12.8 12.4 11.3 11.5 11.5	$13.0 \\ 12.7 \\ 11.4 \\ 11.8 \\ 11.6 \\ 11.6 \\ 11.6 \\ 11.6 \\ 11.6 \\ 11.6 \\ 11.6 \\ 11.6 \\ 11.6 \\ 11.6 \\ 11.6 \\ 10.6 \\ $	12.612.411.011.311.2	12. 0 11. 4 10. 1 10. 2 10. 5	10. 5 10. 5 9. 3 9. 5 10. 0
N. Dak S. Dak Nebr Kans Ky	9.7 7.9 9.4 8.9 10.2	9.8 8.9 9.7 9.5 11.4	10. 4 8. 8 10. 4 10. 2 12. 0	10.5 9.3 10.7 10.3 12.8	$ \begin{array}{c} 11.1\\ 9.5\\ 10.7\\ 10.3\\ 11.8\end{array} $	10. 0 9. 0 9. 6 9. 2 10. 5	. 9. 9 9. 9 9. 5 9. 8 11. 0	10. 2 9. 3 9. 5 9. 7 11. 0	10.69.09.910.611.6	10. 2 8. 8 10. 7 10. 5 11. 7	10.29.310.610.712.0	10.29.410.110.611.8	10.49.610.610.612.5	10. 4 9. 7 10. 7 10. 4 12. 9	$\begin{array}{c} 11. \ 6\\ 10. \ 2\\ 11. \ 0\\ 10. \ 1\\ 12. \ 0 \end{array}$	11.09.910.510.611.4	9.6 9.9 9.9 10.0	9.7 9.1 9.3 9.5 10.5
Tenn Ala. Miss La. Tex.	$9.8 \\11.5 \\11.5 \\12.9 \\9.2$	$11.1 \\ 11.7 \\ 11.8 \\ 12.7 \\ 9.3$	$12.0 \\ 13.0 \\ 12.4 \\ 12.9 \\ 9.6 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.0 \\ $	$ \begin{array}{c} 11.9 \\ 13.0 \\ 13.1 \\ 13.9 \\ 13.9 \\ 10.3 \\ \end{array} $	11.513.112.113.510.8	10.3 12.3 13.0 13.5 10.0	$10.8 \\ 12.1 \\ 11.9 \\ 14.6 \\ 9.8 $	$\begin{array}{c} 10.6\\ 12.5\\ 11.5\\ 13.3\\ 9.9 \end{array}$	$11.8 \\ 12.4 \\ 12.3 \\ 14.7 \\ 9.7$	$11.7 \\ 12.2 \\ 12.5 \\ 13.5 \\ 9.9$	$12.0 \\ 12.5 \\ 12.5 \\ 12.9 \\ 10.0 \\ $	$12.4\\13.0\\13.0\\12.9\\10.4$	$12.6 \\ 13.6 \\ 13.7 \\ 14.4 \\ 11.1$	$12.6 \\ 14.0 \\ 12.7 \\ 13.0 \\ 10.6 \\$	$11.8 \\ 13.2 \\ 12.9 \\ 14.0 \\ 10.7 \\$	11.513.612.313.610.5	$10.7 \\ 13.4 \\ 13.2 \\ 14.0 \\ 10.7$	10. 2 13. 3 12. 4 13. 7 10. 0
Okla Ark Mont Wyo Colo.	$ \begin{array}{c} 8.8\\ 9.5\\ 13.7\\ 13.3\\ 13.1\end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 9.4 \\ 10.0 \\ 13.7 \\ 13.5 \\ 13.2 \end{array}$	9.8 10.8 14.1 13.1 11.7	9.9 11.0 13.9 13.2 13.6	9.8 10.9 13.9 13.4 13.9	9.0 10.1 14.0 13.2 13.0	$9.2 \\ 10.4 \\ 13.4 \\ 11.7 \\ 12.8 \\$	9.6 10.1 12.9 11.3 13.1	$10.4 \\ 11.0 \\ 12.6 \\ 12.0 \\ 13.0 \\ 13.0 \\ 10.0 \\ $	$10.1 \\ 10.6 \\ 13.3 \\ 12.7 \\ 12.6$	$10.4 \\ 10.8 \\ 13.0 \\ 11.7 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 10.4 \\ $	$10.0 \\ 11.2 \\ 14.0 \\ 12.0 \\ 13.0 \\ 13.0 \\ 10.0 \\ $	$10.2 \\ 12.4 \\ 13.7 \\ 12.9 \\ 13.7 \\ 13.7 \\ 13.7 \\ 13.7 \\ 13.7 \\ 13.7 \\ 10.1 \\ $	9.9 12.2 13.3 13.5 12.8	$10.1 \\ 11.0 \\ 13.2 \\ 10.6 \\ 14.0 \\ 14.0 \\ 14.0 \\ 10.1 \\ 10.0 \\ $	9.712.514.414.114.1	9.510.315.012.614.6	9.3 9.7 13.0 14.0 13.0
N. Mex. Ariz Utah Nev.	12.518.(12.521.7	$\begin{array}{c} 12.1 \\ 20.0 \\ 12.3 \\ 19.8 \end{array}$	13.0 17.2 13.2 25.0	$ \begin{array}{c} 14.5 \\ 19.0 \\ 13.9 \\ 21.0 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 14.3 \\ 17.8 \\ 12.6 \\ 20.0 \\ \end{array} $	13.0 18.0 12.8 21.0	$13.4 \\ 18.1 \\ 11.8 \\ 23.0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\$	15.0 17.3 13.0 19.0	$\begin{array}{c} 13.0 \\ 19.5 \\ 12.3 \\ 22.5 \end{array}$	$13.2 \\ 15.8 \\ 13.0 \\ 22.8 \\$	$13.8 \\ 17.0 \\ 13.1 \\ 22.0 \\ 13.1 \\ $	15.0 18.7 13.2 19.3	13.9 18.5 13.7 20.9	$13.2 \\ 17.0 \\ 14.4 \\ 18.0$	14.019.413.122.0	$13.9 \\ 18.2 \\ 13.3 \\ 21.0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\$	14.7 17.8 12.1 21.0	13.817.012.624.0
Idaho Wasb Oreg Cal	$ \begin{array}{c} 12.4\\ 13.1\\ 12.1\\ 13.8\\ 10.6\\ \end{array} $	10.9 13.9 12.5 13.5	11.5 13.6 12.2 14.9	$ \begin{array}{c} 12.0 \\ 13.7 \\ 12.9 \\ 15.0 \\ 12.4 \\ 15.0 \\ 12.4 \\ $	12.9 13.8 12.4 15.4	$ \begin{array}{c} 12.0 \\ 13.2 \\ 12.8 \\ 16.0 \\ 11.5 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 11.4 \\ 12.7 \\ 13.1 \\ 15.9 \\ \hline 11.5 \end{array} $	11.7 13.8 12.8 16.0	10.1 14.5 13.5 14.9	$ \begin{array}{r} 11.0 \\ 14.5 \\ 13.8 \\ 15.2 \\ 12.3 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 10.1 \\ 14.6 \\ 13.9 \\ 15.0 \\ 12.5 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 11.2 \\ 14.6 \\ 14.1 \\ 15.6 \\ \hline 12.5 \\ \end{array} $	12.613.613.416.212.7	$ \begin{array}{r} 11.8 \\ 13.7 \\ 13.2 \\ 16.0 \\ \hline 12.8 \\ \end{array} $	12.0 13.8 13.7 16.0 12.7	11.7 13.2 13.8 15.5 12.5	11.0 12.0 13.3 16.0	$ \begin{array}{c} 11.2 \\ 12.6 \\ 12.0 \\ 16.5 \\ 11.3 \\ \end{array} $

TABLE 158.—Chickens: Average price per pound received by farmers on first of month indicated, 1913 and 1914.
SHEEP AND WOOL.

TABLE 159.—Sheep: Number and value on farms in the United States, 1867-1915.

Note.—Figures in *italics* are census returns; figures in roman are estimates of the Department of Agriculture. Estimates of numbers are obtained by applying estimated percentages of increase or decrease to the published numbers of the preceding year, except that a revised base is used for applying percentage estimates whenever new census data are available. It should also be observed that the census of 1910, giving numbers as of Apr. 15, is not strictly comparable with former censuses, which related to numbers June 1.

Year.	Number.	Price per head Jan. 1.	Farm value Jan. 1.	Year.	Number.	Price per head Jan. 1.	Farm value Jan. 1.
Year. 1867	Number. 39, 385, 000 38, 992, 000 40, 853, 000 28, 477, 951 31, 851, 000 33, 938, 000 33, 938, 000 33, 938, 000 33, 784, 000 35, 804, 000 35, 804, 000 35, 804, 000 35, 804, 000 35, 804, 000 35, 804, 000 35, 812, 000 40, 766, 000 <i>35, 192, 974</i> 43, 570, 000 45, 016, 000 45, 000	per field Jan. 1. 	Jan. 1. \$98, 644,000 71, 053,000 62, 037,000 79, 876,000 82, 376,000 82, 276,000 84, 277,000 85, 210,000 85, 212,000 76, 362,000 78, 985,000 90, 231,000 106, 596,000 124, 366,000 109, 2944,000 92, 2444,000 93, 873,000 83, 280,000 90, 640,000	1892	44, 938, 000 47, 274, 000 42, 294, 000 38, 299, 000 37, 557, 000 30, 114, 000 41, 883, 000 41, 883, 000 41, 883, 000 41, 883, 000 45, 170, 000 45, 170, 000 45, 170, 000 45, 170, 000 45, 170, 000 56, 084, 000 57, 216, 000 57, 216, 000 57, 216, 000 51, 482, 000 51, 51, 51, 51, 51, 51, 51, 51, 51, 51,	Jan. 1. \$2.58 2.66 1.98 1.58 2.246 2.75 2.93 2.65 2.63 2.59 2.82 3.54 3.84 3.43	\$116, 121, 000 125, 909, 000 89, 186, 000 66, 686, 000 67, 021, 000 107, 698, 000 122, 666, 000 122, 666, 000 173, 072, 000 168, 316, 000 173, 056, 000 201, 736, 000 201, 736, 000 211, 736, 000 202, 779, 000 200, 838, 000 200, 838
1839 1890. census, June 1 1891	44, 336, 000 35, 935, 364 43, 431, 000	2. 27 2. 50	100, 660, 000	1915	49,956,000	4.50	224, 687, 000

¹ Estimates of numbers revised, based on census data.

632

Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

SHEEP AND WOOL-Continued.

TABLE 160.—Sheep: Number and value on farms Jan. 1, 1914 and 1915, by States.

	1					
State.	Number sands) J	(thou- an. 1—	Average head Ja	price per an. 1—	Farm valu sands of Jan.	ue (thou- dollars) 1—
	1915	1914	1915	1914	1915	1914
Maine. New Hampshire. Vermont. Massachusetts. Rhode Island.	$165 \\ 38 \\ 105 \\ 30 \\ 7$	$177 \\ 39 \\ 111 \\ 31 \\ 7$	$\begin{array}{c} \$4.50\\ 4.90\\ 5.10\\ 5.60\\ 5.20\end{array}$	\$4.30 4.40 4.80 5.30 5.40	$742 \\186 \\536 \\168 \\36$	$761 \\ 172 \\ 533 \\ 164 \\ 38$
Connecticut New York. New Jersey. Pennsylvania. Delaware.	$19 \\ 849 \\ 31 \\ 831 \\ 8$	$20 \\ 875 \\ 31 \\ 839 \\ 8$	5, 70 5, 80 6, 00 5, 30 5, 30	5. 40 5. 40 5. 60 4. 90 5. 10	$108 \\ 4,924 \\ 186 \\ 4,404 \\ 42$	${ \begin{smallmatrix} 108\\ 4,725\\ 174\\ 4,111\\ 41 \end{smallmatrix} }$
Maryland Virginia. West Virginia. North Carolina. South Carolina.	$223 \\ 720 \\ 796 \\ 177 \\ 32$	223 735 788 177 33	5.20 4.50 4.50 3.30 2.60	5.00 4.50 4.30 3.20 2.60	$1,160 \\ 3,240 \\ 3,582 \\ 584 \\ 83$	$1,115 \\ 3,308 \\ 3,388 \\ 566 \\ 86$
Georgia. Florida. Ohio. Indiana. Illinois.	$163 \\ 119 \\ 3,263 \\ 1,114 \\ 935$	166 118 3,263 1,238 984	2.30 2.20 4.70 5.40 5.40	2.10 1.90 4.30 4.90 5.00	$375 \\ 262 \\ 15, 336 \\ 6, 016 \\ 5, 049$	$349 \\ 224 \\ 14,031 \\ 6,066 \\ 4,920$
Michigan Wisconsin. Minnesota. Iowa. Missouri.	$2,033 \\ 781 \\ 564 \\ 1,249 \\ 1,490$	$2,118 \\789 \\570 \\1,249 \\1,568$	$5.00 \\ 5.00 \\ 4.60 \\ 5.60 \\ 5.00$	4.60 4.70 4.40 5.30 4.20	$10,165 \\ 3,905 \\ 2,594 \\ 6,994 \\ 7,450$	9,743 3,708 2,508 6,620 6,586
North Dakota South Dakota Nebraska Kansas Kentucky	$250 \\ 636 \\ 374 \\ 316 \\ 1, 229$	$278 \\ 617 \\ 374 \\ 316 \\ 1,267$	$\begin{array}{r} 4.50 \\ 4.50 \\ 4.80 \\ 4.90 \\ 4.20 \end{array}$	4.20 4.00 4.50 4.50 4.20	$1,125 \\ 2,862 \\ 1,795 \\ 1,548 \\ 5,162$	$1,168 \\ 2,468 \\ 1,683 \\ 1,422 \\ 5,321$
Tennessee. Alabama. Mississippi Louisiana. Texas	$674 \\ 119 \\ 208 \\ 180 \\ 2,114$	$688 \\ 124 \\ 202 \\ 180 \\ 2,052$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.70 \\ 2.30 \\ 2.20 \\ 2.20 \\ 3.20 \end{array}$	3. 40 2. 40 2. 30 2. 20 2. 90	2,494 274 458 396 6,765	$egin{array}{c} 2,339\ 298\ 465\ 396\ 5,951 \end{array}$
Oklahoma Arkansas Montana Wyoming Colorado	$76 \\ 130 \\ 4,379 \\ 4,427 \\ 1,751$	$75 \\ 124 \\ 4,293 \\ 4,472 \\ 1,668$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.20 \\ 2.60 \\ 4.40 \\ 4.70 \\ 4.40 \end{array}$	4.00 2.60 3.70 4.10 3.70	319 338 19,268 20,807 7,704	$300 \\ 322 \\ 15, 884 \\ 18, 335 \\ 6, 172 \end{cases}$
New Mexico Arizona Utah Nevada	$3,340 \\ 1,761 \\ 2,068 \\ 1,532$	$3,036 \\ 1,601 \\ 1,970 \\ 1,517$	$\begin{array}{r} 3.50 \\ 4.00 \\ 4.50 \\ 4.90 \end{array}$	3.00 3.60 3.90 4.50	$11,690 \\ 7,044 \\ 9,306 \\ 7,507$	9,108 5,764 7,683 6,826
Idaho. Washington. Oregon. California	3,041 546 2,563 2,500	$2,981 \\ 506 \\ 2,670 \\ 2,551$	4.70 4.80 4.50 4.50	$\begin{array}{r} 4.\ 20\\ 4.\ 40\\ 3.\ 90\\ 3.\ 80\end{array}$	$14,293 \\ 2,621 \\ 11,534 \\ 11,250$	$12,520 \\ 2,220 \\ 10,413 \\ 9,694$
United States	49,956	49,719	4.50	4.04	224,687	200, 803

TABLE 161.—Sheep: Imports, exports, and prices, 1892-1914.

		Imports.			Exports.	
Year ending June 30—	Number.	Value.	A verage import price.	Number.	Value.	A verage export price.
1892	$\begin{array}{r} 380,814\\ 459,484\\ 242,568\\ 291,461\\ 322,692 \end{array}$	\$1,440,530 1,682,977 788,181 682,618 853,530	\$3. 78 3. 66 3. 25 2. 34 2. 65	$\begin{array}{r} 46,960\\ 37,260\\ 132,370\\ 405,748\\ 491,565\end{array}$	\$161,105 126,394 832,763 2,630,686 3,076,384	\$3. 43 3. 39 6. 29 6. 48 6. 26
1897 1898 1899 1990 1901	405, 633 392, 314 345, 911 381, 792 331, 488	$1,019,668\\1,106,322\\1,200,081\\1,365,026\\1,236,277$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.51 \\ 2.82 \\ 3.47 \\ 3.58 \\ 3.73 \end{array}$	244, 120 199, 690 143, 286 125, 772 297, 925	${}^{1,531,645}_{1,213,886}_{853,555}_{733,477}_{1,933,000}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6.27 \\ 6.08 \\ 5.96 \\ 5.83 \\ 6.49 \end{array}$
1902	$\begin{array}{c} 266,953\\ 301,623\\ 238,094\\ 186,942 \end{array}$	$956,710 \\ 1,036,934 \\ 815,289 \\ 704,721$	$3.58 \\ 3.44 \\ 3.42 \\ 3.77$	$358,720 \\ 176,961 \\ 301,313 \\ 268,365$	$\substack{1,940,060\\1,067,860\\1,954,604\\1,687,321}$	5. 41 6. 03 6. 49 6. 29
1906	$\begin{array}{r} 240,747\\224,798\\224,765\\102,663\end{array}$	${}^{1,020,359}_{1,120,425}_{1,082,606}_{502,640}$	4. 24 4. 98 4. 82 4. 90	$142,690 \\ 135,344 \\ 101,000 \\ 67,656$	$\begin{array}{c} 804,090\\ 750,242\\ 589,285\\ 365,155\end{array}$	5.64 5.54 5.83 5.40
1910 1911 1912 1913 1914	$126,152 \\ 53,455 \\ 23,588 \\ 15,428 \\ 223,719$	$\begin{array}{r} 696,879\\377,625\\157,257\\90,021\\532,404\end{array}$	5.52 7.06 6.67 5.83 2.38	$\begin{array}{r} 44,517\\121,491\\157,263\\187,132\\152,598\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 209,000\\ 636,272\\ 626,985\\ 605,725\\ 534,543\end{array}$	4.69 5.24 3.99 3.24 3.50

TABLE 162.—Sheep: Wholesale price per 100 pounds, 1900-1914.

-	Chie	eago.	Cinci	nnati.	St. I	ouis.	Kansa	s City.	Om	aha.
Date.	Nat	tive.	Good t	o extra.	Good to nati	o choice ves.	Nat	ive.	West	ern.1
	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.
1900 1901 1902 1903		\$6.50 5.25 6.50 7.00	\$1.25 2.10 2.50 2.60	\$6.00 5.00 5.75 6.25	\$3.40 3.00 3.65 3.50			\$6.50 5.00 6.50 6.80	\$2.00 2.00 2.00 3.00	\$6.10 5.00 6.25 6.75
1904 1905 1906 1907 1907	1.50 2.75 3.00 2.00 1.50	6.00 4.50 6.50 7.00 7.00	$\begin{array}{c} 2.75 \\ 3.60 \\ 3.85 \\ 3.65 \\ 2.75 \end{array}$	4.60 5.50 5.75 5.90 5.50	$\begin{array}{c} 3.75 \\ 4.60 \\ 5.00 \\ 4.25 \\ 4.10 \end{array}$	$5.65 \\ 6.35 \\ 6.45 \\ 7.00 \\ 6.90$	2.00 2.75 2.50 2.25 1.50	6.00 6.90 6.75 7.75 7.15	2.25 2.50 2.75 3.00 1.25	5. 90 6. 90 6. 50 7. 75 7. 40
1909 1910 1911 1912 1913	2.00 1.50 1.25 1.50 2.00	6.90 9.00 5.25 8.25 7.50	$\begin{array}{c} 3.35 \\ 3.00 \\ 2.40 \\ 2.85 \\ 3.25 \end{array}$	5.75 7.00 5.15 5.50 7.00	4.25 3.75 3.50 3.75 4.00	6.65 8.75 5.00 7.00 7.25	2,00 2.00 1,50 23.30 2.00	8.00 9.50 6.25 2 8.00 7.50	$\begin{array}{c} 2.\ 00\\ 2.\ 00\\ 2.\ 50\\ 3.\ 00\\ 2.\ 75 \end{array}$	6, 70 8, 25 6, 20 8, 00 8, 15
1914. January February March April May June July August September Outober	$\begin{array}{c} 3.25\\ 3.50\\ 3.50\\ 3.50\\ 2.75\\ 2.00\\ 2.00\\ 2.00\\ 2.50\\ 2.50\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6.00\\ 6.50\\ 6.75\\ 7.00\\ 6.50\\ 6.50\\ 6.10\\ 6.00\\ 6.00\\ 6.00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 4.10\\ 4.35\\ 5.00\\ 5.00\\ 4.50\\ 4.50\\ 4.25\\$	5.00 5.50 5.85 6.15 5.25 5.00 4.85 5.00 5.25 5.25	5.35 5.25 6.20 6.50 5.50 5.00 • 4.50 4.50 5.00	5.85 6.45 6.40 6.50 6.25 5.00 5.00 5.25 5.50	$\begin{array}{c} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	7.00 6.25 5.70 6.75 7.25 7.25 6.65 7.00 6.00 6.00	$5.00 \\ 5.25 \\ 5.40 \\ 6.00 \\ 5.40 \\ 5.35 \\ 5.00 \\ 4.90 \\ 4.80 \\ 5.00 $	$\begin{array}{c} 7.\ 00\\ 6.\ 60\\ 6.\ 25\\ 7.\ 50\\ 6.\ 80\\ 7.\ 25\\ 6.\ 25\\ 7.\ 25\\ 6.\ 50\\ 6.\ 40\end{array}$
November December	2.50 3.00 3.00	6.00 6.25 6.50	4.25 4.00	5.25 5.35 5.00	5. 00 5. 35 5. 25	$5.50 \\ 5.75 \\ 5.50$	3.00 3.25 2.75	6.50 7.50	5.50 5.40	8.60
Year	2.00	7.00	4.00	6.15	4.50	6.50	2.25	7.50	4.80	8.00

¹ Natives to 1908.

² Not including lambs.

TABLE 163.—Wool: Product, by States, 1914, and United States totals 1899-1914.¹

State and year.	Number of fleeces.	Average weight of fleece.	Wool product, raw. ²	Per cent of shrink- age. ²	Wool scoured. ²
Maine New Hampshire Vermont. Massachusetts. Rhode Island.	$149,000 \\ 32,000 \\ 88,000 \\ 21,000 \\ 6,000$	Pounds. 6.1 6.2 6.5 6.2 5.0	Pounds. 906,000 201,000 571,000 131,000 30,000	$\begin{array}{c} Per \ cent. \\ 42 \\ 43 \\ 48 \\ 43 \\ 42 \end{array}$	Pounds. 525, 480 114, 570 296, 920 74, 670 17, 400
Connecticut. New York. New Jersey. Pennsylvania. Delaware.	$\begin{array}{r} 15,000 \\ 550,000 \\ 18,000 \\ 671,000 \\ 5,000 \end{array}$	5.5 6.3 5.5 5.9 5.4	$\begin{array}{r} 82,000\\ 3,464,000\\ 97,000\\ 3,959,000\\ 26,000\end{array}$	42 50 42 52 42	$\begin{array}{r} 47,560\\ 1,732,000\\ 56,260\\ 1,900,320\\ 15,800\end{array}$
Maryland Virginia West Virginia North Carolina South Carolina	$126,000 \\ 430,000 \\ 668,000 \\ 141,000 \\ 28,000$	$\begin{array}{c} 6.0 \\ 4.6 \\ 5.1 \\ 3.9 \\ 3.9 \end{array}$	$755,000 \\1,978,000 \\3,406,000 \\550,000 \\109,000$	42 36 50 40 40	$\begin{array}{r} 437,900\\ {\bf 1,265,920}\\ {\bf 1,703,000}\\ {\bf 330,000}\\ {\bf 65,400}\end{array}$
Georgia Florida Ohio Indiana Illinois	207,000 105,000 2,098,000 775,000 550,000	2.8 3.1 6.6 6.4 7.0	580,000 325,000 13,844,000 4,961,000 3,853,000	40 38 52 45 47	348,000 201,500 6,645,120 2,728,550 2,042,090
Michigan Wisconsin Minnesota Iowa Missouri	$1, 191, 000 \\568, 000 \\422, 000 \\709, 000 \\1, 071, 000$	$ \begin{array}{r} 6.8 \\ 7.1 \\ 7.4 \\ 7.5 \\ 6.7 \\ \end{array} $	8,098,000 4,031,000 3,124,000 5,319,000 7,179,000	50 46 49 49 45	4,049,000 2,176,740 1,593,240 2,712,690 3,948,450
North Dakota	224,000 479,000 235,000 200,000 775,000	7.5 7.4 7.6 7.0 4.7	$1,677,000 \\ 3,547,000 \\ 1,789,000 \\ 1,403,000 \\ 3,643,000 \\ 1,652,000 $	61 62 64 37	654,030 1,383,330 679,820 505,080 2,295,090
Tennessee . Alabama . Mississippi . Louisiana . Texas .	$\begin{array}{r} 465,000\\ 108,000\\ 148,000\\ 140,000\\ 1,490,000\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.2\\ 3.8\\ 3.6\\ 4.0\\ 5.8\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c}1,953,000\\411,000\\533,000\\560,000\\8,643,000\end{array}$	40 39 39 39 64	1,171,800 250,710 325,130 341,600 3,111,480
Oklahoma. Arkansas Montana. Wyoming. Colorado.	70,000 90,000 3,869,000 3,560,000 1,317,000	5.9 4.5 7.8 8.0 5.4	$\begin{array}{r} 411,000\\ 406,000\\ 30,177,000\\ 28,476,000\\ 7,111,000\\ \end{array}$	65 40 63 67 61	143,850 243,600 11,165,490 9,397,080 2,773,291
New Mexico. Arizona Utah Nevada Idaho	3,233,000 850,000 1,770,000 744,000 1,896,000	5.9 6.5 7.4 7.4 7.8	$\begin{array}{c} 19,077,000\\ 5,521,000\\ 13,100,000\\ 5,502,000\\ 14,792,000\end{array}$	66 63 62 67 62	6,486,180 2,042,770 4,978,000 1,815,660 5,620,960
Washington Oregon California	455,000 1,970,000 1,852,000	8.0 8.0 6.2	3,638,000 15,763,000 11,480,000	68 67 65	1,164,160 5,201,790 4,100,800
United States	36, 584, 000	6.8	290, 192, 000	54.6	131,840,680
1913 1912 1911 1910 1909	36,319,000 38,481,000 39,761,000 41,999,500 42,293,205	$\begin{array}{c} 6.95 \\ 6.82 \\ 6.98 \\ 6.70 \\ 6.80 \end{array}$	296, 175, 300 304, 043, 400 318, 547, 900 321, 362, 750 328, 110, 749	55 55 60.4 60 60.9	$\begin{array}{c} 132,022,080\\ 136,866,652\\ 139,896,195\\ 141,805,813\\ 142,223,785\end{array}$
1908	40,311,548 38,864,931 38,540,798 38,621,476 38,342,072	$\begin{array}{c c} 6.70 \\ 6.60 \\ 6.66 \\ 6.56 \\ 6.50 \end{array}$	311, 138, 321 298, 294, 750 298, 915, 130 295, 488, 438 291, 783, 032	$\begin{array}{c} 60.5 \\ 60.6 \\ 61.1 \\ 61.3 \\ 61.6 \end{array}$	$135, 330, 648\\130, 359, 118\\129, 410, 942\\126, 527, 121\\123, 935, 147$
1903	39,284,000 42,184,122 41,920,900 40,267,818 36,905,497	$\begin{array}{c} 6.25 \\ 6.50 \\ 6.33 \\ 6.46 \\ 6.46 \end{array}$	287, 450, 000 316, 346, 032 302, 502, 328 288, 636, 621 272, 191, 330	$\begin{array}{c} 60.8\\ 60\\ 60.6\\ 61.1\\ 60.7\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 124,366,405\\ 137,912,085\\ 126,814,690\\ 118,223,120\\ 113,958,468\end{array}$

¹The estimate of the wool production for 1914 is that of the U.S. Department of Agriculture; other figures estimates of National Association of Wool Manufacturers. ²Totals include pulled wool.

TABLE 164.—Wool: Wholesale price per pound in Boston, 1900-1914.

											_	
Date.	Ohio unwa	fine, ished.	Kent qua blo unwa	tucky arter od, ashed.	Ohio was	XX, hed.	Ohio blo com was	b half bod bing, hed.	O Dela was	hio aine, hed.	Micl fine was	nigan , un- hed.1
	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.
1900 1901 1902 1903 1904 1904 1905	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 18 \\ 16\frac{1}{2} \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 23 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} Cts \\ 26 \\ 19\frac{1}{2} \\ 23 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 30 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 23 \\ 19\frac{1}{2} \\ 20\frac{1}{2} \\ 22 \\ 24 \\ 30 \end{array}$	Cts. 29 24 24 25 33 37	Cts. 27 26 27 30 32 34	Cts. 38 28 32 35 36 37	Cts. 28 25 26 29 30 36	Cts. 39 29 31 34 40 43	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 27\frac{1}{2} \\ 27\frac{1}{2} \\ 28 \\ 33\frac{1}{2} \\ 34 \\ 36 \end{array}$	Cts. 40 30 35 37 38 40	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 21\frac{1}{2} \\ 17\frac{1}{2} \\ 18 \\ 21 \\ 19 \\ 20 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 29 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 271 \\ 22 \\ 22 \\ 27 \\ 27 \end{array}$
1906	24 25 19 23 20	28 28 27 28 28	30 29 20 27 24	34 34 30 37 36	33 1 33 30 34 30	36 35 35 38	37 38 31 38 27	41 41 40 41 41	35 <u>1</u> 36 31 37 34	37 1 39 39 42 40	24 23 18 22	26 26 25 26
1911 1911 1912 1913		23 22 25 24	221 221 221 231	27 33 32	27 28 25	32 33 32	25 26 23	30 30 29	29 30 26	34 35 34	17 19 19	21 23 23
January. February. March. April. May. June.	$ \begin{array}{c} 20 \\ 211 \\ 22 \\ 22 \\ 22 \\ 24 \\ 24 \\ 24 \\ 24 \\ 24$	$21\frac{1}{2}$ 22 22 23 23 25 05	$\begin{array}{c} 231 \\ 231 \\ 231 \\ 231 \\ 231 \\ 25 \\ 26 \\ 26 \\ 26 \\ 26 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 23\frac{1}{2} \\ 23\frac{1}{2} \\ 25 \\ 27 \\ 27 \\ 27 \\ 27 \\ 27 \\ 27 \\ 27 \\ 27$	$25\frac{1}{26\frac{1}{2}}$ $26\frac{1}{2}$ 27 27 28 28	$26\frac{1}{2}$ $26\frac{1}{2}$ 27 27 28 29 21	$23 \\ 23 \\ 24 \\ 24\frac{1}{2} \\ 24\frac{1}{2} \\ 27 \\ 27 \\ 27 \\ 27 \\ 27 \\ 27 \\ 27 \\ $	$23\frac{1}{2}$ 25 25 $27\frac{1}{2}$ 28 28	$\begin{array}{c} 26 \\ 27 \\ 27\frac{1}{2} \\ 27\frac{1}{2} \\ 27\frac{1}{2} \\ 30 \\ 21 \end{array}$	27 $27\frac{1}{2}$ 28 28 30 32 29	19 20 21 21 21 21 22 22	20 21 21 21 22 23 23
August	24 25 25 23 23 23 23	$25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 24 \\ 24 \\ 24$	$ \begin{array}{r} 26 \\ 26 \\ 26 \\ 27 \\ 27 \\ 27 \end{array} $	27 27 27 28 29	28 29 31 27 -27 29	$ \begin{array}{r} 31 \\ 31 \\ 31 \\ 30 \\$	21 28 27 27 28 29	$ \begin{array}{r} 28 \\ 28 \\ 28 \\ 29 \\ 29 \\ 30 \\ \hline 30 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 31 \\ 32 \\ 31 \\ 28 \\ 28 \\ 30 \\ \end{array} $	$32 \\ 32 \\ 32 \\ 31 \\ 31 \\ 31 \\ 31 \\$	$ \begin{array}{c} 22 \\ 23 \\ 23 \\ 22 \\ 22 \\ $	23 23 23 23 23 23 23
Year	20	25	231	29	251	$31\frac{1}{2}$	23	30	26	32	19	23
Date.	Fine tory, scou	Terri- staple red.	Fine um ' tory, ing sc	medi- l'erri- cloth- oured.	Texa mon scou	s, 12 ths, red.	Fine Te: scou	fall, xas red.	Pulle sup scou	ed, A ber, red.	Pulle sur scou	ed, B)er, red.
	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High .	Low.	High.	Low.	High .	Low.	High.
1900 1901 1902 1903 1904	Cts. 49 43 48 52 50	Cts. 74 50 59 60 70	Cts. 45 35 42 50 50	Cts. 62 44 50 58 68	Cts. 48 43 48 48 52	$\begin{array}{c} Cts. \\ 65 \\ 50 \\ 60 \\ 60 \\ 68 \end{array}$	Cts. 40 36 38 44 44	Cts. 55 42 48 48 56	Cts. 42 35 38 40 43	Cts. 57 45 46 47 60	Cts. 37 30 33 39 40	$Cts. 50 \\ 38 \\ 40 \\ 44 \\ 55$
1905. 1906. 1907. 1907. 1908. 1909.	65 70 70 53 62	78 78 75 72 80	$ \begin{array}{r} 60 \\ 65 \\ 66 \\ 43 \\ 60 \end{array} $	72 70 73 62 72	63 72 70 50 60	76 76 75 72 78	54 58 50 42 45	63 63 62 53 62	55 53 45 42 47	65 69 60 55 65	52 47 38 32 38	60 56 52 45 58
1910. 1911. 1912. 1913.	60 53 60 51	80 62 67 67	54 51 48 46	68 60 59 59	55 46 52 50	75 60 65 65	48 41 42 41	62 50 48 50	50 45 45 42	65 55 58 58	45 41 41 36	58 47 54 54
1914. January. February. March April May. June.	51 55 58 58 58 60	55 59 60 60 62 63	46 48 50 51 51 53	48 52 53 53 55 55	50 51 53 55 55 55 58	$52 \\ 55 \\ 56 \\ 57 \\ 60 \\ 62$	41 43 43 43 43 48	43 45 45 45 50 50	43 45 47 50 50 50	49 49 53 53 53	36 39 39 40 40 40 40	42 42 43 43 43
July. August. September. October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 62 \\ 62 \\ 61 \\ 60 \\ 62 \\ 62 \\ 62 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 64 \\ 65 \\ 62 \\ 65 \\ 65 \\ 65 \\ 65 \\ \end{array} $	55 55 55 55 55 55 55	57 57 57 57 57 57 57	$ \begin{array}{r} 60 \\ 60 \\ 56 \\ 55 \\ 56 \\ 56 \end{array} $	62 62 60 58 58	48 48 45 45 45 42	50 50 50 47 47 47 47	50 50 50 50 50 50 50	53 53 52 53 53 53 55	40 42 42 42 46 48	43 46 46 48 51 56
Year	51	65	46	57	50	62	41	50	43	55	36	56

¹ Quoted as X, washed, in 1900.

•

SHEEP AND WOOL-Continued.

TABLE 165.—Wool: Wholesale price per pound, 1900-1914.

	Bos	ton.	Philad	lelphia.	St. 1	Louis.
Date.	Ohio XX, washed.		Ohio XX, washed. ¹		Best tub, washed.	
	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.
1900 1901 1902 1903	Cts. 27 26 27 30	Cts. 38 28 32 35	Cts. 27 25 26 30	Cts. 37 28 32 34	Cts. 28 24 24 27	Cts. 36 291 29 31
1904	32 34 33 1 33 30	36 37 36 35 35	31½ 34 33 33 33 30	33 1 36 35 34 34 34	$30\frac{1}{2}\ 37\ 31\ 33\ 22$	41 43 40 38 33
1909	34 30 27 28 25	38 38 32 33 32	32 30 27 25 22	35 35 31 31 31	30 31 28 27 28	38 37 33 38 37
1914. February March	$\begin{array}{r} 25\frac{1}{2}\\ 26\frac{1}{2}\\ 26\frac{1}{2}\\ 27\\ 27\\ 27\\ 28\end{array}$	$26\frac{1}{2}$ $26\frac{1}{2}$ 27 27 28 29	$22 \\ 22\frac{1}{2} \\ 24 \\ 23\frac{1}{2} \\ 24 \\ 24 \\ 26 \\ 26 \\ 26 \\ 22 \\ 20 \\ 20 \\ 20 \\ 20$	$\begin{array}{r} 23\frac{1}{2}\\ 24\frac{1}{2}\\ 25\frac{1}{2}\\ 25\\ 24\frac{1}{2}\\ 28\end{array}$	28 28 28 29 30 30	28 28 29 29 31 33
July	28 29 31 27 27 29	$ \begin{array}{r} 31 \\ 31 \\ 31 \\ 30 \\$	$27 \\ 27 \\ 26\frac{1}{2} \\ 25 \\ 26\frac{1}{2} \\ 27 \\ 27 \\ 27 \\ 27 \\ 27 \\ 27 \\ 27 \\ $	29 281 281 281 281 29 29 29	32 32 31 31 31 31 31	33 33 32 32 32 32
Year	251	311	22	29	28	33

¹ One-fourth to three-eighths unwashed, 1912-1914.

TABLE 166.—Wool: International trade, calendar years 1911–1913.

["Wool" in this table includes: Washed, unwashed, scoured, and pulled wool; slipe, sheep's wool on skins (total weight of wool and skins taken); and all other animal fibers included in United States classification of wool. The following items have been considered as not within this classification: Corded, combed, and dyed wool; flocks, goatskins with hair on, mill waste, noils, and tops. See "General note," p. 518.]

EXPORTS.

[000 omitted.]

Country.	1911	1912	1913 (prelim.).	Country.	1911	1912	1913 (prelim.).
Algeria. Argentina. Australia. Belgium. British India. British South Africa Chile. China. France. Germany. Netherlands	Pounds. 17, 156 291, 087 711, 674 235, 210 60, 253 - 153, 289 23, 905 47, 275 81, 842 35, 581 21, 432	Pounds. 11, 635 363, 680 693, 496 246, 687 53, 686 185, 471 27, 366 41, 670 88, 990 49, 743 31, 851	Pounds. 11, 635 264, 728 603, 271 218, 193 51, 031 194, 343 28, 418 43, 327 79, 650 47, 774 30, 173	New Zealand Peru. Russia Spain Turkey ² United Kingdom Uruguay Other countries Total	Pounds. 175, 982 10, 095 10, 426 30, 872 24, 757 24, 084 31, 373 134, 263 46, 925 2,167,481	Pounds. 195,030 9,438 7,670 42,014 24,987 24,084 48,554 178,441 34,786 2,359,279	Pounds. 193, 338 9, 438 9, 770 37, 918 32, 358 24, 084 29, 079 178, 441 33, 661 2, 120, 630

IMPORTS.

Austria-Hungary Belgium British India. Canada. France. Germany. Japan. Netherlands.	$\begin{array}{c} 65,148\\ 340,040\\ 22,469\\ 6,877\\ 603,739\\ 468,712\\ 8,323\\ 29,376\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 67,425\\345,758\\26,066\\8,836\\579,624\\517,120\\13,451\\37,681\end{array}$	$58,650 \\ 329,074 \\ 29,116 \\ 8,587 \\ 593,725 \\ 481,571 \\ 13,451 \\ 38,419$	Russia Sweden Switzerland United Kingdom United States Other countries Total	$104, 326 \\ 5, 791 \\ 11, 635 \\ 568, 230 \\ 155, 923 \\ 57, 261 \\ 2,447,856$	99,4316,70311,295555,161238,11864,8452,571,514	87, 176 6, 703 10, 444 582, 618 130, 183 66, 550 2, 436, 267
--	--	--	--	--	---	--	--

¹ Year beginning Mar. 21. ² Data for year beginning Mar. 14, 1910.

SWINE.

TABLE 167.—Swine: Number and value on farms in the United States, 1867-1915.

Nore.—Figures in *italics* are census returns; figures in roman are estimates of the Department of Agriculture. Estimates of numbers are obtained by applying estimated percentages of increase or decrease to the published numbers of the preceding year, except that a revised base is used for applying percentage estimates whenever new census data are available. It should also be observed that the census of 1910, giving numbers as of Apr. 15, is not strictly comparable with former censuses, which related to numbers June 1.

Jan. 1—	Number.	Price per head.	Farm value.	Jan. 1—	Number.	Price per head.	Farm value.
	04 604 000	e 4 02	900 637 000	1891	50, 625, 000	\$ 4.15	\$210, 194, 000
1867	24,094,000	ant.03	70,076,000	1802	52, 398, 000	4.60	241,031,000
1868	24,317,000	3.29	109, 970,000	1902	46 095 000	6.41	295, 426, 000
1869	23,316,000	4.65	155 108,451,000	1904	45,206,000	5.98	270, 385, 000
1870	26,751,000	5.80	155, 108, 000	1005	44 166 000	4 97	219, 501, 000
1870, census,				1890	42 843 000	4 35	186, 530, 000
June 1	25, 134, 569	•••••		1007	40,600,000	4 10	166 273 000
1871	29,458,000	5.61	165, 312, 000	1897	20,760,000	4 30	174, 351,000
1872	31,796,000	4.01	127, 453, 000	1898	39,700,000	4.05	170 110 000
1873	32,632,000	3.67	119,632,000	1899	38,032,000	1.10	185' 472' 000
1874	30,861,000	3.98	122,695,000	1900	37,079,000	5.00	100, 472,000
1875	28,062,000	4.80	134, 581, 000	1900, census,	00 000 011		
1876	25, 727, 000	6.00	154, 251, 000	June 1	62,868,041		252 012 000
1877	28,077,000	5.66	158,873,000	1901^{1}	56,982,000	0.20	249,191,000
1878	32, 262, 000	4.85	156, 577, 000	1902	48,699,000	7.03	342,121,000
1879	34,766,000	3.18	110,508,000	1903	46,923,000	7.78	304,974,000
1880	34, 034, 000	4.28	145, 782,000	1904	47,009,000	6.15	289, 225, 000
1880 comence	01,001,000		· · ·	1905	47,321,000	5.99	283,255,000
Tuna 1	17 681 700			1906	52,103,000	6.18	321,803,000
1001	26 248 000	4 70	170, 535, 000	1907	54,794,000	[7.62	417, 791, 000
1001	44 192 000	5 97	263, 543, 000	1908	56,084,000	6.05	339,030,000
1002	42, 270, 000	6 75	291 951 000	1909	54, 147, 000	6.55	354,794,000
1883	43,210,000	5 57	246 301 000	1910	47, 782, 000		
1884	44, 201, 000	5.07	226 402 000	1910 census.		1	
1885	45, 145, 000	1 02	106, 570, 000	Amr 1	58.185.676	9.17	533, 309, 000
1886	46,092,000	4.20	200,043,000	10111	65, 620, 000	9.37	615, 170, 000
1887	44,613,000	4.40	200,045,000	1019	65, 410, 000	8.00	523, 328, 000
1888	44,347,000	4.98	220, 811,000	1012	61 178 000	9,86	603, 109, 000
1889	50, 302, 000	5.79	291, 307,000	1014	58 933 000	10.40	612, 951, 000
1890	51,603,000	4.72	245,418,000	1015	64 618 000	9.87	637, 479, 000
1890, census,				1919	01,010,000	0.01	
June 1	57,409,583					1	I

¹ Estimates of numbers revised, based on census data.

SWINE—Continued.

TABLE 168.—Swine: Number and value on farms Jan. 1, 1914 and 1915, by States.

State.	Numbe sands)	r (thou- Jan. 1—	Average head J	price per an. 1—	Farm val sands of Jan.	ue (thou- dollars) 1—
	1915	1914	1915	1914	1915	1914
Maine New Hampshire Vermont Massachusetts. Rhode Island	95 52 108 108 15	97 51 106 106 14	\$15.70 14.00 13.00 15.50 13.50	\$15.80 14.80 14.10 14.50 15.20	$1,492 \\728 \\1,404 \\1,674 \\202$	1,5337551,4951,537213
Connecticut New York New Jersey Pennsylvania Delaware	$58 \\ 768 \\ 161 \\ 1,186 \\ 60$	577531581,13058	$\begin{array}{c} 15.\ 50\\ 14.\ 30\\ 14.\ 00\\ 13.\ 50\\ 10.\ 20 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 16.30 \\ 14.50 \\ 13.60 \\ 13.80 \\ 10.30 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 899\\ 10,982\\ 2,254\\ 16,011\\ 612 \end{array}$	92910,9182,14915,594597
Maryland Virginia. West Virginia. North Carolina. South Carolina.	$349 \\ 956 \\ 374 \\ 1,525 \\ 819$	332 869 367 1,362 780	9.70 7.90 9.60 8.20 8.60	$10.50 \\ 8.30 \\ 10.10 \\ 9.00 \\ 9.10$	3, 385 7, 552 3, 590 12, 505 7, 043	3,486 7,213 3,707 12,258 7,098
Georgia. Florida. Ohio. Indiana. Illinois.	2, 042 949 3, 640 4, 167 4, 358	1, 945 904 3, 467 3, 969 4, 358	8.00 6.00 11.20 10.30 10.30	$\begin{array}{c} 8.\ 20 \\ 6.\ 00 \\ 11.\ 30 \\ 10.\ 30 \\ 10.\ 80 \end{array}$	16, 336 5, 694 40, 768 42, 920 44, 887	$15,949 \\ 5,424 \\ 39,177 \\ 40,881 \\ 47,066$
Michigan. Wisconsin. Minnesota. Iowa. Missouri.	$1,392 \\ 2,255 \\ 1,716 \\ 8,720 \\ 4,250$	$1,313 \\ 2,050 \\ 1,430 \\ 6,976 \\ 4,250$	$\begin{array}{c} 10.90\\ 12.00\\ 12.50\\ 11.00\\ 8.10 \end{array}$	$12.30 \\ 13.00 \\ 14.00 \\ 12.60 \\ 8.50$	$15, 173 \\ 27,060 \\ 21,450 \\ 95,920 \\ 34,425$	$\begin{array}{c} 16,150\\ 26,650\\ 20,020\\ 87,898\\ 36,125\end{array}$
North Dakota South Dakota Nebraska Kansas Kentucky	$\begin{array}{r} 642 \\ 1, 195 \\ 3, 809 \\ 2, 656 \\ 1, 582 \end{array}$	428 1,039 3,228 2,350 1,507	$11.80 \\ 11.00 \\ 10.90 \\ 10.10 \\ 7.20$	$13.20 \\ 11.30 \\ 11.80 \\ 10.00 \\ 7.70$	7, 576 13, 145 41, 518 26, 826 11, 390	5,650 11,741 38,090 23,500 11,604
Tennessee. Alabama. Mississippi Louisiana. Texas.	$1,501 \\ 1,559 \\ 1,540 \\ 1,412 \\ 2,880$	$1,390 \\ 1,485 \\ 1,467 \\ 1,398 \\ 2,618$	7.80 7.80 7.20 7.70 9.00	$\begin{array}{c} 8.50 \\ 8.50 \\ 8.10 \\ 8.00 \\ 8.60 \end{array}$	$11,708 \\ 12,160 \\ 11,088 \\ 10,872 \\ 25,920$	$11,815 \\ 12,622 \\ 11,883 \\ 11,184 \\ 22,515 \\ 11,814 \\ 22,515 \\ 11,814 \\ 22,515 \\ 11,814 \\ 22,515 \\ 11,814 \\ 11,814 \\ 12,515 \\ 11,814 \\ 12,515 \\ 11,814 \\ 12,515 \\ 1$
Oklahoma Arkansas Montana Wyoming Colorado	$1,420 \\ 1,573 \\ 276 \\ 64 \\ 256$	$1,352 \\ 1,498 \\ 184 \\ 51 \\ 205$	$\begin{array}{r} 8.20 \\ 6.50 \\ 10.80 \\ 11.40 \\ 10.50 \end{array}$	8.40 7.40 11.90 12.40 10.50	$11,644 \\ 10,224 \\ 2,981 \\ 730 \\ 2,688$	$11,357 \\ 11,085 \\ 2,190 \\ 632 \\ 2,152 \\ \end{array}$
New Mexico Arizona Utah Nevada	73 31 98 36	56 24 85 33	$9.80 \\ 12.00 \\ 10.20 \\ 11.60$	$10.10 \\ 9.60 \\ 10.90 \\ 12.60$	$715 \\ 372 \\ 1,000 \\ 418$	566 230 9 2 6 416
Idaho. Washington. Oregon. California	328 327 360 877	252 284 300 797	$10.00 \\ 11.10 \\ 9.50 \\ 10.50$	$10.70 \\ 12.70 \\ 11.00 \\ 10.50$	3,280 3,630 3,420 9,208	2,696 3,607 3,300 8,368
United States	64, 618	58, 933	9.87	10.40	637, 479	612, 951

SWINE—Continued.

TABLE 169.—Hogs (live): Wholesale price per 100 pounds, 1900-1914.

<u></u>	Cinci	nnati.	St. I	Louis.						
Date.	Packii to g	ng, fair ood.	Mixed	Mixed packers.		cago.	Kansa	s City.	Omaha.	
	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Low.	High.	Lew.	High.	Low.	High.
1900	\$4.45 5.15 5.85 4.15 4.35 4.60 5.30 4.15 5.75 6.96 5.75 6.10 7.25	\$5.85 7.20 8.00 7.75 6.25 6.35 6.95 7.40 7.35 8.80 11.10 8.25 9.35	\$4.40 4.90 5.80 4.25 4.75 5.10 4.00 4.20 5.75 6.80 5.75 6.80 5.77 7.20	\$5.75 7.10 8.20 7.60 6.30 6.35 6.97 7.22 7.35 8.65 11.05 8.22 9.25 9.50	\$3. 35 3. 00 4. 40 3. 75 3. 60 3. 90 4. 60 3. 90 4. 60 3. 95 5. 20 6. 50 5. 30 5. 55 6. 85	\$5. 85 7.40 8.20 7.85 6.37 $\frac{1}{2}$ 6.45 7.00 7.25 7.60 8.75 11.20 8.30 9.40 9.70	\$4.40 5.05 6.10 4.35 4.47 $\frac{1}{2}$ 4.55 5.20 4.00 4.00 5.25 6.90 5.65 6.95	$5.67\frac{1}{5}$ $7.12\frac{1}{5}$ $8.17\frac{1}{5}$ 7.60 $6.07\frac{1}{5}$ 6.25 $6.87\frac{1}{5}$ 7.15 7.15 8.50 10.90 8.05 9.05 9.25	\$4. 15 4. 45 5. 25 4. 10 4. 20 4. 30 4. 85 3. 80 3. 97 5. 25 7. 26 5. 59 7. 00 7. 00	\$5.62 6.85 8.05 7.55 6.05 6.10 6.75 7.05 6.90 8.50 10.85 8.10 9.00 9.15
1914. January February April May June July August September December	$\begin{array}{c} 8.10\\ 8.50\\ 8.65\\ 8.50\\ 8.05\\ 8.00\\ 8.40\\ 8.50\\ 8.65\\ 7.35\\ 6.60\\ 6.40\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 8.75\\ 9.05\\ 9.10\\ 9.15\\ 8.70\\ 8.55\\ 9.30\\ 9.90\\ 9.90\\ 9.50\\ 8.85\\ 8.25\\ 7.10\\ \end{array}$	7.65 8.00 8.25 8.00 7.75 8.10 7.50 7.75 6.90 7.00 6.80	$\begin{array}{c} 8.65\\ 9.00\\ 9.00\\ 8.70\\ 8.55\\ 9.32\frac{1}{2}\\ 10.00\\ 9.65\\ 8.75\\ 7.65\\ 7.40\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7.75\\ 8.15\\ 8.20\\ 7.90\\ 7.60\\ 7.60\\ 7.85\\ 7.50\\ 7.60\\ 6.75\\ 6.70\\ 6.00\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 8.60\\ 8.90\\ 9.00\\ 8.95\\ 8.67\frac{1}{2}\\ 8.52\frac{1}{2}\\ 9.27\frac{1}{2}\\ 10.20\\ 9.75\\ 9.00\\ 8.25\\ 7.75\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7.55\\ 8.00\\ 8.15\\ 8.15\\ 7.90\\ 7.75\\ 8.15\\ 7.75\\ 7.80\\ 6.90\\ 6.90\\ 6.65\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 8.50\\ 8.75\\ 8.80\\ 8.80\\ 8.50\\ 8.45\\ 9.15\\ 9.75\\ 9.45\\ 8.37\frac{1}{2}\\ 8.10\\ 7.40\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7.50\\ 7.50\\ 7.50\\ 7.75\\ 8.15\\ 7.90\\ 7.75\\ 8.05\\ 7.55\\ 7.90\\ 6.70\\ 6.90\\ 6.50\end{array}$	8.45 8.60 8.70 8.72 8.40 8.32 9.35 8.95 9.35 8.90 8.25 8.05 7.25
Year	6.40	9.90	6.80	10.00	6.00	10.20	6.65	9.75	6.50	9.35

THE FEDERAL MEAT INSPECTION.

Some of the principal facts connected with the Federal meat inspection as administered by the Bureau of Animal Industry are shown in the following tables. The figures cover the annual totals for the fiscal years 1907 to 1914, inclusive, the former being the first year of operations under the meat-inspection law now in force. The data given comprise the number of establishments at which inspection is conducted; the number of animals of each species inspected at slaughter; the number of each species condemned, both wholly and in part, and the percentage condemned of each species and of all animals; the quantity of meat products prepared or processed under Federal supervision, and the quantity and percentage of the latter condemned.

Further details of the Federal meat inspection are published each year in the Annual Report of the Chief of the Bureau of Animal Industry.

TABLE	170.—Number of establishments and total number of animals inspected at slo	aughter
	under Federal inspection annually, 1907 to 1914.	•

Fiscal year.	Estab- lish- ments.	Cattle.	Calves.	Swine.	Sheep.	Goats.	All animals.
1907. 1908. 1909. 1909. 1910. 1911. 1912. 1913. 1914.	708 787 876 919 936 940 910 893	$\begin{array}{c} 7,621,717\\ 7,116,275\\ 7,325,337\\ 7,962,189\\ 7,781,030\\ 7,532,005\\ 7,155,816\\ 6,724,117\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,763,574\\ 1,995,487\\ 2,046,711\\ 2,295,009\\ 2,219,908\\ 2,242,929\\ 2,098,484\\ 1,814,904 \end{array}$	31,815,900 35,113,077 35,427,931 27,656,021 29,916,363 34,966,378 32,287,538 33,289,705	9,681,876 9,702,545 10,802,903 11,149,937 13,005,502 14,208,724 14,724,465 14,958,834	52,149 45,953 69,193 115,811 54,145 63,983 56,556 121,827	50,935,216 53,973,337 55,672,075 49,179,057 52,976,948 59,014,019 56,322,859 56,909,387

•	[Cattle.			Calves.		Swine.			
Fiscal year.	Whole.1	Part.	Per cent. ²	Whole.1	Part.	Per cent. ²	Whole.1	Part.	Per cent. ²	
1907	$\begin{array}{r} 28,497\\ 34,960\\ 37,544\\ 45,588\\ 42,578\\ 54,778\\ 56,736\\ 56,736\\ 54,417\end{array}$	93,174 67,482 99,739 122,167 123,969 134,783 130,139 138,085	$1.60 \\ 1.44 \\ 1.87 \\ 2.11 \\ 2.14 \\ 2.52 \\ 2.61 \\ 2.86$	6,462 5,858 8,217 7,531 7,656 8,945 9,267 6,733	245 396 409 500 781 1,212 1,377 1,234	0.38 .31 .42 .35 .38 .45 .51 .44	$176,280 \\ 234,608 \\ 183,926 \\ 123,421 \\ 138,977 \\ 276,512 \\ 319,131 \\ 352,921$	436,161 636,589 799,300 726,829 877,528 323,992 373,993 422,275	1.92 2.48 2.77 3.07 3.40 1.72 2.15 2.33	
	Sheep.				Goats.		A	All animals.		
Fiscal year.	Whole.1	Part.	Per cent. ²	Whole.1	Part.	Per cent. ²	Whole.1	Part.	Per cent. ²	
1907	9,676 8,186 10,825 11,156 10,821 16,304 18,612 21,402	296 198 179 24,714 7,394 3,871 939 1,564	$\begin{array}{r} 0.10 \\ .09 \\ .10 \\ .32 \\ .14 \\ .14 \\ .13 \\ .15 \end{array}$	43 33 82 226 61 85 78 776	1 1 1 1 1 8	0.08 .07 .12 .19 .11 .13 .14 .64	220, 958 283, 645 240, 594 187, 922 200, 093 356, 624 403, 824 436, 249	529,876704,666899,628874,2111,009,672463,859506,449563,166	$\begin{array}{c} 1.\ 47\\ 1.\ 83\\ 2.\ 05\\ 2.\ 16\\ 2.\ 28\\ 1.\ 39\\ 1.\ 62\\ 1.\ 76\end{array}$	

TABLE 171.—Condemnations of animals at slaughter, 1907 to 1914.

¹ Includes carcasses passed for rendering into lard or tallow as well as those condemned outright. ² Includes both whole and parts; that is, the percentage given is of all carcasses the meat of which has been condemned in whole or in part.

TABLE 172.—Quantity of meat and meat-food products prepared, and quantity and percentage condemned, under Federal supervision annually, 1907 to 1914.

Fiscal year.	Prepared or processed.	Condemned.	Per centage condemned.	
1907	$\begin{array}{c} Pounds.\\ 4,464,213,208\\ 5,958,298,364\\ 6,791,437,032\\ 6,223,964,593\\ 6,934,233,214\\ 7,270,558,956\\ 7,094,809\\ 809\\ 7,033,295,975\end{array}$	Pounds. 14, 874, 587 43, 344, 206 24, 679, 754 19, 031, 808 21, 073, 577 18, 096, 587 18, 851, 930 19, 135, 469	0. 33 . 73 . 36 . 31 . 31 . 25 . 27 . 27	

The principal items in the above table, in the order of magnitude, are: Cured pork, lard, lard substitute, sausage, and oleo products. The list includes a large number of less important items.

It should be understood that the above products are entirely separate and additional to the carcass inspection at time of slaughter. They are, in fact, reinspections of such portions of the carcass as have subsequently undergone some process of manufacture.

AGRICULTURAL STATISTICS FROM CENSUS FOR 1910.

 TABLE 173.—Total population, total land area, farm area, improved, woodland, and other unimproved area, and their percentages by States.

[Quantities expressed in thousands: 000 omitted.]

-	l. lion.		3a.	Land in farms.				Per ag land	Percent- age of land area.		Percentage of farm-land area.		
State and Division.	Total popula	Per cent rural Total land are		Total	Jmproved.	Woodland.	Other unim- proved.	In farms.	Improved.	Improved.	Woodland.	Other unim- proved.	
Maine. New Hampshire Vermont. Massachusetts Rhode Island. Connecticut. New York. New York. Pennsylvania	Num- ber. 742 431 356 3,366 543 1,115 9,114 2,537 7,665	$\begin{array}{r} 48.6\\ 40.8\\ 52.5\\ 7.2\\ 3.3\\ 10.3\\ 21.2\\ 24.8\\ 39.6 \end{array}$	A cres. 19, 133 5, 780 5, 839 5, 145 683 3, 085 30, 499 4, 809 - 28, 692	A cres. 6, 297 3, 249 4, 664 2, 876 443 2, 186 22, 030 2, 574 18, 587	Acres. 2, 361 929 1, 634 1, 165 178 988 14, 844 1, 803 12, 674	$\begin{array}{c} Acres.\\ 2,776\\ 1,502\\ 1,567\\ 1,065\\ 186\\ 758\\ 4,436\\ 538\\ 4,281\\ \end{array}$	A cres. 1, 161 818 1, 463 647 79 440 2, 750 232 1, 632	32.9 56.2 79.9 55.9 64.9 70.9 72.2 53.5 64.8	$12.3 \\ 16.1 \\ 28.0 \\ 22.6 \\ 26.1 \\ 32.0 \\ 48.7 \\ 37.5 \\ 44.2 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 37.5\\ 28.6\\ 35.0\\ 40.5\\ 40.2\\ 45.2\\ 67.4\\ 70.1\\ 68.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 44.1\\ 46.2\\ 33.6\\ 37.0\\ 42.0\\ 34.7\\ 20.1\\ 20.9\\ 23.0 \end{array}$	18.425.231.422.517.820.112.59.08.8	
N. Atlantic	25, 869	25.9	103,665	62,906	36, 576	17,109	9, 222	60.7	35.3	58.2	27.1	14.7	
Delaware. Dist. of Columbia. Maryland. Virginia. West Virginia. North Carolina. South Carolina. Georgia. Florida.	$\begin{array}{r} 202\\ 331\\ 1,295\\ 2,062\\ 1,221\\ 2,206\\ 1,515\\ 2,609\\ 753\end{array}$	52.0 49.2 76.9 81.3 85.6 85.2 79.4 70.9	$\begin{array}{c} 1,258\\ 38\\ 6,362\\ 25,768\\ 15,374\\ 31,194\\ 19,517\\ 37,584\\ 35,111 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,039\\ 6\\ 5,057\\ 19,496\\ 10,026\\ 22,439\\ 13,512\\ 26,953\\ 5,254\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 714\\ 5\\ 3,355\\ 9,870\\ 5,522\\ 8,813\\ 6,098\\ 12,298\\ 1,805\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 252\\ 1\\ 1,467\\ 8,415\\ 3,969\\ 12,452\\ 6,339\\ 13,003\\ 3,008\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 73\\ 0\\ 235\\ 1,211\\ 536\\ 1,174\\ 1,075\\ 1,653\\ 440 \end{array}$	82.6 15.8 79.5 75.7 65.2 71.9 69.2 71.7 15.0	$56.7 \\ 13.4 \\ 52.7 \\ 38.3 \\ 35.9 \\ 28.3 \\ 31.2 \\ 32.7 \\ 5.1 \\$	$\begin{array}{c} 68.7\\ 84.7\\ 66.3\\ 50.6\\ 55.1\\ 39.3\\ 45.1\\ 45.6\\ 34.4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 24.3\\ 11.3\\ 29.0\\ 43.2\\ 39.6\\ 55.5\\ 46.9\\ 48.3\\ 57.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7.0 \\ 4.0 \\ 4.7 \\ 6.2 \\ 5.3 \\ 5.2 \\ 8.0 \\ 6.1 \\ 8.4 \end{array}$	
S. Atlantic	12, 195	74.6	172, 205	103, 782	48, 480	48,905	6, 398	60.3	28.2	46.7	47.1	6.2	
Ohio Indiana Illinois Michigan Wisconsin	4, 767 2, 701 5, 639 2, 810 2, 334	$\begin{array}{r} 44.1\\ 57.6\\ 38.3\\ 52.8\\ 57.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 26,074\\ 23,069\\ 35,868\\ 36,787\\ 35,364\end{array}$	24, 106 21, 300 32, 523 18, 941 21, 060	$\begin{array}{c} 19,228\\ 16,931\\ 28,048\\ 12,832\\ 11,908 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 3,285\\ 3,371\\ 3,148\\ 2,928\\ 5,378\end{array}$	$1,592 \\998 \\1,327 \\3,181 \\3,775$	92.5 92.3 90.7 51.5 59.6	73.7 74.7 78.2 34.9 33.7	79.879.586.267.856.5	$13.6 \\ 15.8 \\ 9.7 \\ 15.4 \\ 25.6$	6.6 4.7 4.1 16:8 17.9	
N.C.E. Miss. R	18, 251	47.3	157, 162	117, 929	88,947	18, 109	10, 873	75.0	56.6	75.4	15.4	9.2	
Minnesota Iowa Missouri North Dakota South Dakota Nebraska Kansas	2,0762,2253,2935775841,1921,691	59.0 69.4 57.5 89.0 86.9 73.9 70.8	51, 749 35, 575 43, 985 44, 917 49, 196 49, 157 52, 335	$\begin{array}{r} 27,676\\ 33,931\\ 34,591\\ 28,427\\ 26,017\\ 38,622\\ 43,385\end{array}$	$19,644 \\ 29,491 \\ 24,581 \\ 20,455 \\ 15,827 \\ 24,383 \\ 29,904$	$\begin{array}{r} 3,922\\ 2,314\\ 8,919\\ 422\\ 383\\ 803\\ 1,206\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 4,110\\ 2,125\\ 1,091\\ 7,550\\ 9,807\\ 13,436\\ 12,275\end{array}$	53.5 95.4 78.6 63.3 52.9 78.6 82.9	38.0 82.9 55.9 45.5 32.2 49.6 57.1	71.086.971.172.060.863.168.9	$14.1 \\ 6.8 \\ 25.8 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 2.1 \\ 2.8 \\ $	14.96.33.126.537.734.828.3	
N.C.W. Miss. R.	11,638	66.7	326,914	232, 648	164, 285	17,970	50, 394	71.1	50.3	70.6	7.7	21.7	
Kentucky Tennessee Alabama Mississippi Louisiana Texas Oklahoma Arkansas	$\begin{array}{c} 2,290\\ 2,185\\ 2,138\\ 1,797\\ 1,656\\ 3,897\\ 1,657\\ 1,574 \end{array}$	75.779.882.788.570.075.980.787.1	$\begin{array}{r} 25,716\\ 26,680\\ 32,819\\ 29,672\\ 29,062\\ 167,935\\ 44,425\\ 33,616\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 22,189\\ 20,042\\ 20,732\\ 18,558\\ 10,439\\ 112,435\\ 28,859\\ 17,416 \end{array}$	$14,354 \\10,890 \\9,694 \\9,008 \\5,276 \\27,361 \\17,551 \\8,076$	$\begin{array}{c} 6,952\\ 8,008\\ 9,445\\ 7,884\\ 4,317\\ 27,658\\ 3,569\\ 8,512 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 883\\ 1,143\\ 1,594\\ 1,666\\ 847\\ 57,416\\ 7,739\\ 828\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 86.3 \\ 75.1 \\ 63.2 \\ 62.5 \\ 35.9 \\ 67.0 \\ 65.0 \\ 51.8 \end{array}$	$55.8 \\ 40.8 \\ 29.5 \\ 30.4 \\ 18.2 \\ 16.3 \\ 39.5 \\ 24.0$	$\begin{array}{r} 64.7\\ 54.3\\ 46.8\\ 48.5\\ 50.5\\ 24.3\\ 60.8\\ 46.4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 31.3 \\ 40.0 \\ 45.6 \\ 42.5 \\ 41.4 \\ 24.6 \\ 12.4 \\ 48.8 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 4.0 \\ 5.7 \\ 7.6 \\ 9.0 \\ 8.1 \\ 51.1 \\ 26.8 \\ 4.7 \end{array}$	
S. Central	17, 194	79.5	389, 925	250, 671	102, 211	76, 343	72,116	64.3	26.2	40.8	30.4	28.8	
Montana. Wyoming Colorado New Mexico Arizona Utah. Nevada . Idaho Washington California	$\begin{array}{r} 376\\ 146\\ 799\\ 327\\ 204\\ 373\\ 82\\ 326\\ 1,142\\ 673\\ 2,378\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 64.5\\ 70.4\\ 49.3\\ 85.8\\ 69.0\\ 53.7\\ 83.7\\ 78.5\\ 47.0\\ 54.4\\ 38.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 93,569\\62,460\\66,341\\78,402\\72,838\\52,598\\70,285\\53,347\\42,775\\61,188\\99,617\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 13,546\\8,543\\13,532\\11,270\\1,247\\3,398\\2,715\\5,284\\11,712\\11,685\\27,931\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} {3,640}\\ {1,256}\\ {4,302}\\ {1,467}\\ {350}\\ {1,368}\\ {752}\\ {2,779}\\ {6,373}\\ {4,275}\\ {11,390} \end{array}$	$596 \\ 252 \\ 892 \\ 1, 491 \\ 100 \\ 146 \\ 48 \\ 585 \\ 1, 542 \\ 2, 238 \\ 4, 542$	9,309 7,035 8,339 8,312 796 1,884 1,914 1,920 3,797 5,172 12,000	$\begin{array}{c} 14.5\\ 13.7\\ 20.4\\ 14.4\\ 1.7\\ 6.5\\ 3.9\\ 9.9\\ 27.4\\ 19.1\\ 28.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 3.9\\ 2.0\\ 6.5\\ 1.9\\ .5\\ 2.6\\ 1.1\\ 5.2\\ 14.9\\ 7.0\\ 11.4 \end{array}$	26.9 14.7 31.8 13.0 28.1 40.3 27.7 52.6 54.4 36.6 40.8	$\begin{array}{c} 4.4\\ 2.9\\ 6.5\\ 13.2\\ 8.0\\ 4.3\\ 1.7\\ 11.1\\ 13.2\\ 19.1\\ 16.2 \end{array}$	68.7 82.4 61.7 74.6 63.9 55.4 70.6 36.3 32.4 44.3 43.0	
Far Western	6,826	51.2	753, 420	110, 862	37, 953	12, 430	60, 479	14.7	5.0	34.2	11.2	54.6	
United States	91, 972	53.8	1, 903, 290	878, 798	478, 452	190, 866	209, 481	46.2	25.2	54.5	21.7	23. 8	

75922°-увк 1914-41

TABLE 174.—Total value of all farm property, land, buildings, implements and machinery, animals, poultry and bees, with percentages, by States.

	Value of all farm property.		Value of 1	and.	Value of l ings	ouild-	Value of i ments a machi	mple- and nery.	Value of animals, poultry and bees.		
State and Division.	Total.	Per cent of 1900.	Total.	Per cent of 1900.	Total.	Per cent of 1900.	Total.	Per cent of 1900.	Total.	Per cent of 1900.	
Maine. N. H. Vermont Mass Connecticut. New York New Jersey. Pa	\$199, 272 103, 704 145, 400 226, 474 32, 991 159, 400 1, 451, 481 254, 833 1, 253, 275	$\begin{array}{c} 162.\ 8\\ 120.\ 8\\ 134.\ 1\\ 124.\ 0\\ 122.\ 2\\ 140.\ 7\\ 135.\ 7\\ 134.\ 5\\ 119.\ 2\\ \end{array}$	\$86, 481 44, 519 58, 385 105, 533 15, 010 72, 206 707, 748 124, 143 630, 430	$175. 2 \\ 125. 4 \\ 127. 4 \\ 121. 4 \\ 111. 8 \\ 137. 7 \\ 128. 4 \\ 133. 0 \\ 109. 6$	373, 138 41, 397 54, 203 88, 636 12, 923 66, 113 476, 998 92, 991 410, 639	$155.1 \\ 119.6 \\ 145.5 \\ 124.7 \\ 133.2 \\ 147.0 \\ 141.6 \\ 134.3 \\ 127.2$		164. 6113. 8134. 9131. 0140. 2139. 8149. 3140. 5138. 9	\$25,162 11,910 22,643 20,741 3,276 14,164 183,091 24,589 141,480	147.1 112.8 126.9 131.3 126.3 129.6 145.8 139.6 138.1	
N. Atlantic.	3, 826, 830	129.7	1,844,455	122.7	1,317,038	135.2	218, 279	142.8	447,056	139.5	
Delaware Dist. of Col Maryland Virginia W. Va N. C S. C Georgia Florida	$\begin{array}{r} 63,179\\8,476\\286,167\\625,065\\314,739\\537,716\\392,128\\580,546\\143,183\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 155.\ 2\\ 73.\ 5\\ 139.\ 8\\ 193.\ 2\\ 154.\ 4\\ 230.\ 0\\ 255.\ 3\\ 254.\ 2\\ 265.\ 5\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 34,938\\7,194\\163,452\\394,659\\207,076\\343,165\\268,775\\370,353\\93,738\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 147.\ 0\\ 74.\ 2\\ 135.\ 8\\ 196.\ 7\\ 154.\ 2\\ 241.\ 7\\ 269.\ 3\\ 267.\ 4\\ 304.\ 1\end{array}$	$18,218 \\ 1,037 \\ 78,286 \\ 137,399 \\ 57,315 \\ 113,460 \\ 64,113 \\ 108,851 \\ 24,408 \\$	$170.8 \\ 65.9 \\ 142.8 \\ 193.6 \\ 168.4 \\ 215.3 \\ 237.8 \\ 242.7 \\ 244.6 \\ 1700 \\ 244.6 \\ 1700 \\ 1400 $	$\begin{array}{r} 3,206\\92\\11,860\\18,116\\7,011\\18,442\\14,109\\20,948\\4,446\end{array}$	149.167.9137.7182.8139.1203.3212.8213.7226.5	$\begin{array}{c} 6,817\\ 153\\ 32,570\\ 74,891\\ 43,336\\ 62,650\\ 45,131\\ 80,394\\ 20,591 \end{array}$	165. 8 122. 0 156. 2 178. 2 141. 8 208. 1 223. 4 228. 4 184. 4	
S. Atlantic.	2, 951, 201	203.0	1,883,350	209.3	603, 087	196.7	98,230	184.2	366, 533	188.6	
Ohio Indiana Illinois Michigan Wisconsin	$\begin{array}{c} 1,902,695\\ 1,809,135\\ 3,905,321\\ 1,088,858\\ 1,413,119 \end{array}$	$158.7 \\ 184.9 \\ 194.8 \\ 157.7 \\ 174.1$	${ \begin{array}{c} 1,285,895\\ 1,328,197\\ 3,090,411\\ 615,258\\ 911,938 \end{array} } }$	157. 4193. 2204. 1145. 3171. 9	$\begin{array}{r} 368,258\\ 266,079\\ 432,381\\ 285,880\\ 289,694 \end{array}$	$167.8 \\ 172.7 \\ 171.9 \\ 179.9 \\ 186.2$	$51,210 \\ 41,000 \\ 73,724 \\ 49,916 \\ 52,957$	$140.9 \\ 150.0 \\ 163.9 \\ 173.3 \\ 181.1$	$197, 332 \\173, 860 \\308, 805 \\137, 804 \\158, 529$	156.7 158.7 159.4 174.3 164.6	
N. C. E. of Miss. R.	10, 119, 128	178.0	7,231,699	182.0	1,642,292	174.8	268, 807	161.3	976, 330	161.5	
Minnesota Iowa Missouri N. Dak S. Dak Nebraska Kansas	$\begin{array}{c} 1,476,412\\ 3,745,861\\ 2,052,917\\ 974,814\\ 1,166,097\\ 2,079,819\\ 2,039,390 \end{array}$	187.2204.2198.7381.9391.9278.1236.0	$1,019,102 \\2,801,974 \\1,445,982 \\730,380 \\902,607 \\1,614,539 \\1,537,977$	182. 2 223. 0 207. 9 421. 3 477. 1 331. 8 289. 0	$\begin{array}{r} 243,339\\ 455,406\\ 270,222\\ 92,277\\ 102,474\\ 198,808\\ 199,580\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 220.8\\ 189.1\\ 182.0\\ 362.9\\ 331.3\\ 218.3\\ 179.1 \end{array}$	$52, 329 \\ 95, 478 \\ 50, 874 \\ 43, 908 \\ 33, 787 \\ 44, 250 \\ 48, 310 \\ \hline$	$173.9 \\ 164.7 \\ 177.9 \\ 312.4 \\ 276.5 \\ 177.4 \\ 163.8 \\ 163.8 \\ 163.8 \\ 163.8 \\ 163.8 \\ 1000 \\ 100$	$\begin{array}{c} 161, 641\\ 393, 003\\ 285, 839\\ 108, 250\\ 127, 229\\ 222, 222\\ 253, 524\\ \end{array}$	181. 5 140. 9 178. 0 255. 1 195. 2 152. 9 132. 8	
N.C.W. of Miss.R	13, 535, 310	238.1	10,052,561	258.2	1,562,106	206.0	368, 936	186.9	1,551,708	159.6	
Kentucky Tennessee Alabama Mississippi Louisiana Texas Oklahoma Arkansas	$\begin{array}{r} 773,798\\612,521\\370,138\\426,315\\301,221\\2,218,645\\918,199\\400,089\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 164.3\\ 179.5\\ 206.3\\ 208.8\\ 151.7\\ 230.5\\ 330.9\\ 220.5\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 484,465\\371,416\\216,944\\254,002\\187,803\\1,633,207\\649,067\\246,022\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 166.4\\ 183.9\\ 216.6\\ 221.1\\ 174.3\\ 276.1\\ 434.5\\ 234.1\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 150, 995\\ 109, 107\\ 71, 309\\ 80, 160\\ 49, 741\\ 210, 001\\ 89, 611\\ 63, 145\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 166.1\\ 172.8\\ 207.0\\ 215.8\\ 148.9\\ 209.5\\ 418.6\\ 210.0\\ \end{array}$	20, 852 21, 292 16, 290 16, 905 18, 977 56, 790 27, 089 16, 864	$136.3 \\ 139.8 \\ 187.8 \\ 176.9 \\ 66.5 \\ 188.5 \\ 257.7 \\ 192.7 \\$	117, 487 110, 706 65, 595 75, 247 44, 699 318, 647 152, 433 74, 058	159.3 182.0 181.7 176.4 154.8 132.5 158.4 197.6	
S. Central.	6,020,926	213.8	4,042,926	243.3	824,069	200.6	195,059	154.0	958,872	155.5	
Montana Wyoming. Colorado New Mexico. Arizona Utah. Nevada Idaho Washington. Oregon California	$\begin{array}{r} 347,829\\ 167,189\\ 491,472\\ 159,448\\ 75,124\\ 150,795\\ 60,399\\ 305,317\\ 637,543\\ 528,244\\ 1,614,695\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 295.1\\ 247.8\\ 305.2\\ 296.6\\ 250.5\\ 200.6\\ 210.6\\ 453.9\\ 442.6\\ 205.8\\ 202.7 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 226,771\\ 88,908\\ 362,822\\ 98,807\\ 42,350\\ 99,482\\ 35,277\\ 219,953\\ 517,422\\ 411,696\\ 1,317,195 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 430.\ 6\\ 379.\ 4\\ 401.\ 6\\ 570.\ 4\\ 371.\ 0\\ 247.\ 9\\ 265.\ 7\\ 619.\ 8\\ 521.\ 0\\ 363.\ 9\\ 208.\ 9\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 24,855\\9,007\\45,697\\13,024\\4,936\\18,063\\4,333\\25,113\\54,546\\43,880\\133,406\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 265.\ 4\\ 255.\ 0\\ 285.\ 6\\ 365.\ 3\\ 217.\ 8\\ 169.\ 6\\ 185.\ 2\\ 367.\ 6\\ 334.\ 6\\ 228.\ 5\\ 172.\ 2\end{array}$	$10,540 \\ 3,668 \\ 12,792 \\ 4,122 \\ 1,788 \\ 4,468 \\ 1,576 \\ 10,476 \\ 16,710 \\ 13,206 \\ 36,493 \\ \end{tabular}$	$\begin{array}{c} 287.\ 0\\ 268.\ 5\\ 269.\ 5\\ 358.\ 0\\ 233.\ 6\\ 152.\ 9\\ 177.\ 4\\ 317.\ 9\\ 266.\ 4\\ 203.\ 0\\ 171.\ 2\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 85,663\\ 65,606\\ 70,161\\ 43,495\\ 26,051\\ 28,782\\ 19,214\\ 49,775\\ 48,865\\ 59,462\\ 127,600\\ \end{array}$	164. 2 167. 6 140. 5 137. 1 167. 6 134. 0 157. 9 229. 8 220. 5 175. 3 189. 6	
Far West- ern	4, 538, 055	264.7	3, 420, 683	303.5	376, 860	225.0	115,839	219.0	624,674	170.1	
U. S	40, 991, 450	200.5	28, 475, 674	218.1	6, 325, 452	177.8	1, 265, 150	168.7	4, 925, 173	160.1	

Agricultural Statistics from Census of 1910.

 TABLE 175.—Total number of farms, average acreage per farm, value of property, value of land per acre, and total value of all crops.

		Aver-	Aver- age acre-	A verage v farm	alue per of—	Average land per	value of acre—	Value of all crops.
State and Division.	Total number of farms.	acre- age per farm.	age of im- proved land per farm.	All prop- erty.	Lands and build- ings only.	1910	1900	1909
Maine New Hampshire Vermont. Massachusetts. Rhode Island. Connecticut. New York. New York. New Jersey. Pennsylvania.	Number. 60,016 27,053 32,709 36,917 5,292 26,815 215,597 33,487 219,295	$\begin{array}{c} A cres. \\ 104.9 \\ 120.1 \\ 142.6 \\ 77.9 \\ 83.8 \\ 81.5 \\ 102.2 \\ 76.9 \\ 84.8 \end{array}$	A cres. 39. 3 34. 3 50. 0 31. 5 33. 7 36. 9 68. 8 53. 9 57. 8	Dollars. 3, 320 3, 833 4, 445 6, 135 6, 234 5, 944 6, 732 7, 610 5, 715	Dollars. 2,660 3,176 3,442 5,260 5,278 5,158 5,158 5,495 6,484 4,747	Dollars. 13. 73 13. 70 12. 52 36. 69 33. 86 33. 03 32. 13 48. 23 33. 92	Dollars, 7.83 9.83 9.70 27.62 29.46 22.68 24.34 32.86 29.70	Dollars. 39, 317, 647 15, 976, 175 27, 446, 836 31, 948, 095 3, 937, 077 22, 487, 999 209, 168, 236 40, 340, 491 166, 739, 898
N. Atlantic	657,181	95.7	55,7	5, 823	4,811	29.32	22.98	557, 362, 454
Delaware. District of Columbia Maryland Virginia West Virginia. North Carolina. South Carolina. Georgia. Florida.	$\begin{array}{c} 10,836\\217\\48,923\\184,018\\96,685\\253,725\\176,434\\291,027\\50,016\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 95.9\\ 27.9\\ 103.4\\ 105.9\\ 103.7\\ 88.4\\ 76.6\\ 92.6\\ 105.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 65.8\\ 23.7\\ 68.6\\ 53.6\\ 57.1\\ 34.7\\ 34.6\\ 42.3\\ 36.1 \end{array}$	$5,830 \\ 39,062 \\ 5,849 \\ 3,397 \\ 3,255 \\ 2,119 \\ 2,223 \\ 1,995 \\ 2,863$	$\begin{array}{r} 4,905\\37,932\\4,941\\2,891\\2,735\\1,800\\1,887\\1,647\\2,362\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 33.63\\ 1,186.53\\ 32.32\\ 20.24\\ 20.65\\ 15.29\\ 19.89\\ 13.74\\ 17.84\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 22, 29\\ 1, 142, 68\\ 23, 28\\ 10, 08\\ 12, 60\\ 6, 24\\ 7, 14\\ 5, 25\\ 7, 06\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c}9,121,809\\546,479\\43,920,149\\100,531,157\\40,374,776\\142,890,192\\141,983,354\\226,595,436\\36,141,894\end{array}$
S. Atlantic	1,111,881	93.4	43.6	2,654	2,236	18,15	8, 63	742, 105, 246
Ohio Indiana. Illinois. Michigan. Wisconsin.	272,045 215,485 251,872 206,960 177,127	88.6 98.8 129.1 91.5 119.0	70.778.6111.462.067.0	6,994 8,396 15,505 5,261 7,978	6,080 7,399 13,986 4,354 6,784	53. 34 62. 36 95. 02 32. 48 43. 30	33.35 31.81 46.17 24.12 26.71	$\begin{array}{c} 230, 337, 981\\ 204, 209, 812\\ 372, 270, 470\\ 162, 004, 681\\ 148, 359, 216\\ \end{array}$
N. C. E. Miss. R	1, 123, 489	105.0	79.2	9,007	7, 899	61.32	34, 15	1, 117, 182, 160
Minnesota. Iowa. Missouri. North Dakota. South Dakota. Nebraska. Kansas.	$156, 137 \\ 217, 044 \\ 277, 244 \\ 74, 360 \\ 77, 644 \\ 129, 678 \\ 177, 841$	177. 3 156. 3 124. 8 382. 3 335. 1 297. 8 244. 0	$125.8 \\ 135.9 \\ 88.7 \\ 275.1 \\ 203.8 \\ 188.0 \\ 168.2$	9,456 17,259 7,405 13,109 15,018 16,038 11,467	8,085 15,008 6,190 11,063 12,945 13,983 9,770	$\begin{array}{r} 36,82\\ 82,58\\ 41,80\\ 25,69\\ 34,69\\ 41,80\\ 35,45\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 21.31\\ 36.35\\ 20.46\\ 11.15\\ 9.92\\ 16.27\\ 12.77\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 193, 451, 474\\ 314, 666, 298\\ 220, 663, 724\\ 180, 635, 520\\ 125, 507, 249\\ 196, 125, 632\\ 214, 859, 597\end{array}$
N. C. W. Miss. R	1, 109, 948	209.6	148.0	12, 195	10, 464	43.21	19.37	1, 445, 909, 494
Kentucky Tennessee. Alabama Mississippi. Louisiana. Texas Oklahoma. Arkansas	$\begin{array}{c} 259,185\\246,012\\262,901\\274,382\\120,546\\417,770\\190,192\\214,678\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 85.\ 6\\ 81.\ 5\\ 78.\ 9\\ 67.\ 6\\ 86.\ 6\\ 269.\ 1\\ 151.\ 7\\ 81.\ 1\end{array}$	55. 4 44. 3 36. 9 32. 8 43. 8 65. 5 92. 3 37. 6	$\begin{array}{r} 2,986\\ 2,490\\ 1,408\\ 1,554\\ 2,499\\ 5,311\\ 4,828\\ 1,864 \end{array}$	2,4521,9531,0961,2181,9714,4123,8841,440	$\begin{array}{c} 21.83\\ 18.53\\ 10.46\\ 13.69\\ 17.99\\ 14.53\\ 22.49\\ 14.13 \end{array}$	13. 249. 934. 846. 309. 744. 706. 506. 32	$\begin{array}{c} 138, 973, 107\\ 120, 706, 211\\ 144, 287, 347\\ 147, 315, 621\\ 77, 336, 143\\ 298, 133, 466\\ 133, 454, 405\\ 119, 419, 025\\ \end{array}$
South Central	1,985,666	126.2	51.5	3,032	2,451	16.13	6.45	1, 179, 625, 325
Montana Wyoming Colorado New Mexico Arizona Utah Nevada Idaho Washington Oregon California.	$\begin{array}{c} 26,214\\ 10,987\\ 46,170\\ 35,676\\ 9,227\\ 21,676\\ 2,689\\ 30,807\\ 56,192\\ 45,502\\ 88,197\end{array}$	516.7777.6293.1315.9135.1156.71,009.6171.5208.4256.8316.7	$\begin{array}{c} 138.9\\114.3\\93.2\\41.1\\38.0\\63.1\\279.7\\90.2\\113.4\\93.9\\129.1\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 13,269\\ 15,217\\ 10,645\\ 4,469\\ 8,142\\ 6,957\\ 22,462\\ 9,911\\ 11,346\\ 11,609\\ 18,308 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 9,599\\ 8,912\\ 8,848\\ 3,135\\ 5,125\\ 5,423\\ 14,730\\ 7,955\\ 10,179\\ 10,012\\ 16,447\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 16.74\\ 10.41\\ 26.81\\ 8.77\\ 33.97\\ 29.28\\ 12.99\\ 41.63\\ 44.18\\ 35.23\\ 47.16\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 4.45\\ 2.88\\ 9.54\\ 3.38\\ 5.90\\ 9.75\\ 5.17\\ 11.07\\ 11.68\\ 11.23\\ 21.87\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 29,714,563\\ 10,022,961\\ 50,974,958\\ 8,922,397\\ 5,496,872\\ 18,484,615\\ 5,923,536\\ 34,357,851\\ 78,927,053\\ 49,040,725\\ 153,111,013 \end{array}$
Far Western	373, 337	296.9	101.7	12, 155	10,172	30.86	12.01	444, 976, 544
United States	6,361,502	138.1	75, 2	6, 444	5, 471	32.40	15.57	5, 487, 161, 223

TABLE 176.—Estimated value of farm products.

[Based on prices at the farm.]

		Crops		Animals and animal products.		
Year.	Total, gross. Value.		Percent- age of total.	Value.	Percent- age of total.	
1879 (census). 1889 (census). 1889 (census). 1898. 1899 (census). 1900 1901 1902. 1903. 1904. 1905. 1906. 1907. 1908. 1909 (census). 1910. 1911. 1912. 1913. 1914.		$\begin{array}{c} $$2,519,082,592\\ 2,759,569,547\\ 2,998,704,412\\ 3,191,941,763\\ 3,385,179,114\\ 3,578,416,465\\ 3,771,653,816\\ 3,981,675,866\\ 4,012,652,758\\ 4,263,134,353\\ 4,761,111,839\\ 5,098,292,549\\ 5,487,161,223\\ 5,486,373,550\\ 5,562,058,150\\ 5,562,058,150\\ 5,562,058,150\\ 5,562,058,150\\ 5,562,058,150\\ 5,562,058,150\\ 5,562,058,150\\ 5,562,058,150\\ 5,562,058,150\\ 5,562,058,150\\ 5,562,058,150\\ 5,562,058,150\\ 5,562,058,150\\ 5,562,058,150\\ 5,562,058,150\\ 5,562,058,150\\ 5,562,059,000\\ 6,044,480,000\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 63.6\\ 63.6\\ 63.6\\ 63.6\\ 63.8\\ 64.0\\ 64.0\\ 65.0\\ 64.6\\ 64.6\\ 64.6\\ 64.6\\ 64.6\\ 64.6\\ 64.6\\ 64.6\\ 64.6\\ 64.6\\ 64.6\\ 64.6\\ 64.6\\ 61.2\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} $\mathbf{\hat{s}1}, 441, 739, 993\\ 1, 579, 376, 282\\ 1, 718, 365, 561\\ 1, 817, 653, 243\\ 2, 916, 924, 925\\ 2, 016, 228, 607\\ 2, 115, 516, 288\\ 2, 140, 102, 135\\ 2, 261, 344, 604\\ 2, 501, 076, 070\\ 2, 726, 876, 783\\ 3, 011, 150, 190\\ 3, 551, 017, 194\\ 3, 257, 116, 809\\ 3, 500, 569, 700\\ 3, 656, 865, 766\\ 3, 828, 456, 000\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & $	

TABLE 177.—Value of crops and animal products in the United States in 1909, by geographic divisions, according to the census.

		Animal products.								
Geographic division.	Crops.	Dairy products.	Wool and mohair.	Eggs produced.	Fowls raised.	Honey and wax.	Animals sold and slaugh- tered.	Total animal products.		
New England Middle Atlantic East North Central West North Central South Atlantic East South Central West South Central Mountain Pacific	141, 114416, 2491, 117, 1821, 445, 909742, 105551, 282628, 343163, 898281, 0795 497, 161	50, 721 130, 772 159, 674 108, 824 35, 578 30, 201 32, 394 12, 992 35, 257	576 2,495 14,287 6,154 1,962 1,652 2,915 29,396 6,937	$ \begin{array}{r} 15,156\\37,507\\75,238\\77,493\\26,546\\22,283\\26,396\\8,583\\17,487\\\hline\end{array} $	7,361 21,527 47,973 52,337 24,414 19,129 17,681 4,373 7,711	109 675 973 864 926 550 494 575 826	30, 417 89, 563 422, 926 715, 336 102, 509 129, 996 181, 003 100, 115 61, 310	$\begin{array}{c} 104,340\\ 282,539\\ 721,071\\ 961,008\\ 191,935\\ 203,811\\ 260,883\\ 156,034\\ 129,528\\ \hline 3,011,140\end{array}$		

[In thousands of dollars.]

States Leading in Production of Specific Crops.

TABLE 178.—Crop production and States leading in production of each crop: 1909. (From Thirteenth Census report.)

[The figures opposite the name of each crop are for the United States as a whole.]

					Per cent of United States total.			
Crop and State.	Alleage. Trouteton.		Unit. Value.		Acre- age.	Produc- tion.	Value.	
Almonds		6, 793, 539	Lbs.	\$711,970		100.0	100.0	
California		6, 692, 513	Lbs.	700, 304		98.5	98.4	
Apples		147, 522, 318	Bu.	83, 231, 492	 .	100.0	100.0	
New York	••••••	25,409,324	Bu. Bu	13, 343, 028	• • • • • • • • •	8.4	7.2	
Pennsylvania		11,048,430	Bu.	5, 557, 616		7.5	6.7	
Missouri		9, 968, 977	Bu.	4, 885, 544		6.8	5.9	
Apricots	• • • • • • • • • • • •	4, 150, 263	Bu.	2,884,119		100.0	100.0	
Valuornia	•••••	4,000,823	bu.	2,708,921		90.0	90.0	
Florida		4,920	Crates.	10,100		100.0	100.0	
Bananas		10,060	$\binom{1}{2}$	5,661		100.0	100.0	
Florida	7 608 706	10,048	(⁴)	02 458 571	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Minnesota.	1,573,761	34, 927, 773	Bu.	17.213.817	20.4	20.1	18.6	
California	1, 195, 158	26, 441, 954	Bu.	17, 184, 508	15.5	15.3	18.6	
Wisconsin	816, 449	22,156,041	Bu.	12,682,136	10.6	12.8	13.7	
North Dakota	1,210,811	20, 305, 758	Bu.	10, 873, 522	14.5	12.9	11.8	
Iowa	571,224	10,964,184	Bu.	5, 320, 708	7.4	6.3	5.8	
Beans (dry, edible)	802, 991	11,251,160	Bu.	21,771,482	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Michigan	403,669	5,282,511	Bu. Bu	9,716,315	50.3 10.7	20 6	28.9	
New York	115,698	1,681,506	Bu.	3, 689, 064	14.4	14.9	16.9	
Beets (sugar)	364,093	3, 932, 857	Tons.	19, 880, 724	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Colorado	108,082	1,231,712	Tons.	6,061,152	29.7	31.3	30.5	
California	78,957	845, 191	Tons.	4, 320, 332	21.7	18.0	21.7 20.2	
Utah	27,472	413,946	Tons.	1,858,600	7.5	10.5	9.3	
Blackberries and dewberries	49,004	55, 343, 570	Qts.	3, 909, 831	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Missouri	5,975	6, 391, 209	Qts.	456,283		11.5	11.7	
New Jersey	4,332	5,456,789	Qts.	313,480	5.3	9.9 8.9	7.2	
Illinois	3, 503	2,915,473	Qts.	237,058	7.1	5.3	6.1	
Michigan	2,973	3,075,954	Qts.	218, 174	6.1	5.6	5.6	
Broom corn	326, 102	78,959,958	Lbs.	5,134,434		100.0	100.0	
Oklanoma	216, 350	42,741,720	Lbs.	1 457 172	11.8	24.5	28.4	
Kansas	41.064	8,768,853	Lbs.	593, 947	12.6	11.1	11.6	
Buckwheat	878, 048	14, 849, 332	Bu.	9, 330, 592	100.0	100.0	100.0	
New York	286,276	5,691,745	Bu.	3,587,558	32.0	32.3	31.0	
Michigan	75,909	958, 119	Bu.	594,748	8.6	6.5	6.4	
Cherries.		4, 126, 099	Bu.	7,231,160		100.0	100.0	
California		501,013	Bu.	951,624	• • • • • • • •	11.5	12.6	
Obio		475,095	Bu.	657,406		8.2	9.1	
Michigan		338,945	Bu.	590, 829		8.2	8.2	
New York		271, 597	Bu.	544, 508		6.6	7.5	
Indiana		363,993	Bu.	455 022	• • • • • • • •	6.3	6.3	
Illinois		287, 376	Bu.	453, 474		7.0	6.3	
Chicory	1,589	19,284,000	Lbs.	70, 460	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Michigan	1,584	19,204,000	Lbs.	70,020	99.7	99.6	99.4	
Chufas	1,712	21 500	Bu.	43,470	62.6	66.6	69.7	
North Carolina	376	6,880	Bu.	10, 529	22.0	21.3	16.9	
Coru.	98, 382, 665	2,552,189,630	Bu.	1,438,553,919	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Illinois	10,045,839	390,218,676	Bu.	198, 300, 490	9.4	13.4	11.7	
Missouri	7,113,953	191, 427, 087	Bu.	107, 347, 033	7.2	7.5	7.5	
Indiana	4,901,054	195, 496, 433	Bu.	98, 437, 988	5.0	7.7	6.8	
Nebraska	7,266,057	180, 132, 807	Bu.	82 327-260	1 4 0	6.2	5.7	
Unio Kansas	3,910,050	157, 515, 500	Bu.	80,750.803	8.2	6.1	5.6	
Cotton	32,043,838	10,649,268	Bales.	703, 619, 303	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Texas	9,930,179	2,455,174	Bales.	162, 735, 041	31.0	23.1	23.1	
Georgia	4,883,304	1,992,408 1,127,156	Bales.	83, 148, 805	10.6	10.6	11.8	
South Carolina	2, 556, 467	1,279,866	Bales.	80, 337, 945	8.0	12.0	11.4	
Alabama	3,730,482	1, 129, 527	Bales.	74, 205, 236	11.6	10.6	10.5	
Arkansas.	2,153,222	665 129	Bales.	42,066,099	4.0	6.2	6.0	
Oklahoma	1,976,935	555,742	Bales.	1 35, 399, 356	6.2	5.2	5.0	

¹ Bunches.

TABLE 178.—Crop production and States leading in production of each crop: 1909. (From Thirteenth Census report)—Continued.

[The figures opposite the name of each crop are for the United States as a whole.]

				Tabaa	Per o S	cent of U tates tota	nited al.
Crop and State.	Acreage.	Production.	Unit.	value.	Acre- age.	Produc- tion.	Value.
Cottonseed (estimated)		5, 324, 634	Tons.	\$121,076,984		100.0	100.0
Texas		1,227,587	Tons.	25, 938, 913		23.1	21.4
Georgia		996, 204	Tons.	23,241,446		18.7	19.2
South Carolina		639,933	Tons.	12 204 628		12.0	13.3
Alabama		564, 764	Tons.	12,803,196	•••••	10.6	10.5
Arkansas		388, 440	Tons.	8,596,180		7.3	7.1
North Carolina		332, 566	Tons.	8,417,246		6.2	7.0
Oklahoma		277,871	Tons.	5,788,052		5.2	4.8
Cranberries	18,431	38,243,060	Qts.	1,755,613	100.0	100.0	100.0
Massachuseus	0,077	12 072 288	Ots	1,002,205	49.0	31.6	28 7
Wisconsin	1,689	2,549,344	Ots.	127, 212	9.2	6.7	7.2
Currants	7,862	10,448,532	Qts.	790, 431	100.0	100.0	100.0
New York	2,557	3, 982, 389	Qts.	264,051	32.5	38.1	33.4
Michigan	609	768,259	Qts.	58,288		7.4	7.4
California	407	852,378	L.he	43, 508	0.2		100.0
California		3, 332	Lbs.	418		33.5	78.4
Arizona		6,500	Lbs.	96		65.3	18.0
Emmer and spelt	573,622	12, 702, 710	Bu.	5,584,050	100.0	100.0	100.0
South Dakota	259,611	· 6,098,982	Bu.	2,627,533	45.3	48.0	47.1
North Dakota	101,144	2,004,732	Bu.	484 701	11.0	9.6	19.7
Kansas	49,969	785, 362	Bu.	342,846	8.7	6.2	6.1
Minnesota	30, 891	757, 339	Bu.	338,841	5.4	6.0	6.1
Figs		35,060,395	Lbs.	803,810		100.0	100.0
California		22,990,353	LOS.	260,153		05.0	32.4
Texas		2,411,876	Lbs.	97.078		6.9	12.1
Louisiana		2,025,308	Lbs.	87,009		5.8	10.8
Alabama		1,773,126	Lbs.	80,960		5.1	10.1
Flaxseed	2,083,142	19,512,765	Bu. Bu	28,970,554	51.3	52 5	53 5
South Dakota	518,566	4,759,794	Bu.	7.001.717	24.9	24.4	24.2
Minnesota	358, 426	3,277,238	Bu.	4,863,328	17.2	16.8	16.8
Flowers and plants	18,248			34,872,329	100.0		100.0
New York	2,979	•••••	•••••	3 803 418	10.3		14.8
Tillinois	1,339			3,694,801	7.3		10.6
New Jersey	1,436			2,857,709	7.9		8.2
Massachusetts	1,203	••••••	••••	2,455,467	6.6		7.0
Unio	1,070		•••••	195 306 283	5.9		100.0
North Carolina				11,364,134			5.8
New York			· • • • • • • • • •	10,365,651			5.3
Virginia		•••••	· · · · · · · · ·	10,118,851			5.2
Wisconsin	/	•••••	•••••	9, 559, 428	100 0		100.0
New York	(1)			27,138			17.9
Wisconsin	16			25,977	69.6		17.1
Missouri			•••••	21,868	· • · • • • • • •		14.4
Pennsylvania				15, 291			10.1
Michigan	(1)			13,794			9.1
Gooseberries	4,765	5,282,843	Qts.	417,034	100.0	100.0	100.0
Illinois	603	541,498	Qts.	44,238	12.7	10.3	10.6
Missouri	297	403, 680	Qts.	28,932	6.2	7.6	6.9
Indiana	274	320, 963	Qts.	25,682	5.8	6.1	6.2
New York	259	331,135	Qts.	23,427	5.4	6.3	5.6
Oregon	186	307,395	Qts.	20,893	3.9	5.8	5.0
Grapefruit (nomeloes)	241	1, 189, 250	Boxes	2,060,610	9.1	100.0	100.0
Florida		1,061,537	Boxes.	1, 907, 816		89.3	92.6
California		122,515	Boxes.	143, 180		10.3	6.9
Grapes.		2,571,065,205	Lbs.	22,027,961	• •,• • • • • •	100.0	100.0
New York		253,006 361	Lbs.	3,961,677		9.8	18.0
Guavas.		354,062	Lbs.	11,628		100.0	100.0
Florida		258,709	Lbs.	7,604		73.1	65.4
California		¹ 95,053 ¹	Lbs.	4,018		26.8	34.6

¹ Reported in small fractions.

[The figures opposite the name of each crop are for the United States as a whole.]

					Per o S	Per cent of Ur States tota	
Crop and State.	Acreage.	Production.	Unit.	Value.	Acre- age.	Produc- tion.	Value.
Hay and forage	72, 280, 776	97, 453, 735	Tons.	\$824,004,877	100.0	100.0	100.0
New York	5,043,373	7,055,429	Tons.	77,360,645	7.0	7.2	9.4
Iowa	5,046,185	7,823,181	Tons.	59,300,225	43	5.0	5.0
W ISCONSIL	3,079,102	5 936 997	Tons.	32,033,954	5.5	6.1	3.9
Nebraska	4, 520, 034	5,776,475	Tons.	31,729,691	6.3	5.9	3.9
Minnesota	3,946,072	6,036,747	Tons.	26, 724, 801	5.5	6.2	3.2
Hemp	7,647	7,483,295	Lbs.	412,699	100.0	100.0	100.0
Kentucky	6,855	6,420,232	LDS.	348,380	89.0	8.0	9.5
Ualifornia	335	395 467	Lbs.	21,755	4.4	5.3	5.3
Hons	44,693	40.718.748	Lbs.	7,844,745	100.0	100.0	100.0
Oregon	21,770	16, 582, 562	Lbs.	2, 838, 860	48.7	40.7	36.2
New York	12,023	8,677,138	Lbs.	2,597,981	26.9	21.3	33.1
California	8,391	11,994,953	Lbs.	1,731,110	18.8	29.5	22.1
Washington	2,433	3,432,503	B11	10 816 940	100 0	100.0	100.0
Tayag	573.384	5, 860, 444	Bu.	3,785,463	35.1	33.3	35.0
Kansas	388, 495	5,115,415	Bu.	3,046,799	23.8	29.1	28.2
Oklahoma	532, 515	4, 658, 752	Bu.	2,531,036	32.6	26.5	23.4
California	44,308	938,049	Bu.	725,704	2.7	100.0	100.0
Kumquats		1,112	Boxes.	2,820		98.1	97.9
F loriua		2 770 313	Boxes.	2,993,738		100.0	100.0
California		2,756,221	Boxes.	2,976,571		99.5	99.4
Limes		11,318	Boxes.	12,478		100.0	100.0
Florida		11,302	Boxes.	12,457		99.9	99.8
Loquats		4,541	Boxes.	5,880		99.4	99.1
California		4,510	Boxes.	6, 553		100.0	100.0
Louisiana		3,340	Boxes.	5,945		85.7	90.7
California		555	Boxes.	607		14.2	9.3
Mangoes						100.0	100 0
Florida		5,278	Boxes.	5,739		100.0	100.0
Maple sirup		4,100,418	Gals.	1 071 330		32.2	28.2
New York		993, 242	Gals.	907,046		24.2	23.9
Vermont		409,953	Gals.	366,006		10.0	9.6
Pennsylvania		391, 242	Gals.	364,712		9.5	9.6
Michigan		269,093	Gals.	298,450		6.7	7.8
		14 060 206	Lbs	1.380,492		100.0	100.0
Vermont		7, 726, 817	Lbs.	720,927		55.0	52.2
New York		3, 160, 300	Lbs.	333,638		22.5	24.2
Pennsylvania		1, 188, 049	Lbs.	106,501		8.4	7.7
Mint	8,195	158,091	Lbs.	253,000	100.0	76.6	76.8
Michigan	0,300	26 621	Lbs.	58 110	22.1	23.2	23.0
Mustard seed	1,014	50,021	1000.				
California	1,964	3, 168, 270	Lbs.	100,731	100.0	100.0	100.0
Nursery products	80,618		[21,050,822			100.0
New York.	8,680			2,700,907	6.0		10.5
Taxas	3,847			1, 253, 110	4.8		6.0
Nuts (all)	0,011	62, 328, 010	Lbs.	4, 447, 674		100.0	100.0
California		28, 378, 115	Lbs.	2,959,845		45.5	66.5
Texas		5,945,932	Lbs.	562, 542		9.5	12.0
Pennsylvania	25 150 441	1 007 142 980	B11	414 697 422	100.0	100.0	100.0
Uats	4 176 485	150. 386. 074	Bu.	59, 693, 819	11.9	14.9	14.4
Iowa	4,655,154	128, 198, 055	Bu.	49,046,888	13.2	12.7	11.8
Minnesota	2,977,258	93, 897, 717	Bu.	34,023,389	8.5	9.3	8.2
Wisconsin	2,164,570	71,349,038	Bu.	28,003,257	6.2	6.5	5.8
North Dakota	2,147,032	57 501 046	Bu.	23, 212, 352	5.1	5.7	5.6
Nebraska	2,365.774	53, 360, 185	Bu.	19, 443, 570	6.7	5.3	4.7
Indiana	1,667,818	50, 607, 913	Bu.	18,928,706	4.7	5.0	4.6
Olives	·	16, 405, 493	Lbs.	404,574			100.0
California		16, 132, 412	Boyer	401,2/7		100 0	100 0
California		19, 487, 481	Boxes	12,951,505		74.1	73.7
Florida		4,852,967	Boxes.	4,304,987		24.9	24.
Peaches and nectarines		35, 470, 276	Bu.	28, 781, 078		100.0	100.0
California		9,267,118	Bu.	4,573,775		20.1	15.6
Georgia A rkansas		1,901,647	Bu.	1, 502, 996	1	5.4	5.2
1110000		_, , 0					

TABLE 178.—Crop production and States leading in production of each crop: 1909. (From Thirteenth Census report)—Continued.

[The figures opposite the name of each crop are for the United States as a whole.]

					Per o S	ent of U tates tota	United tal.	
Crop and State.	Acreage.	Production.	Unit.	Value.	Acre- age.	Produc- tion.	Value.	
Peanuts	869, 887	19, 415, 816	Bu.	\$18, 271, 929	100.0	100.0	100.0	
North Carolina	195, 134	5,980,919	Bu.	5, 368, 826	22.4	30.8	29.4	
Virginia	145, 213	4,284,340	Bu.	4,239,832	16.7	22.1	23.2	
Georgia	160, 317	2,569,787	Bu.	2,440,920	18.4	13.2	10.4	
Alabama	100,609	1, 573, 796	Bn.	1, 490, 654	11.6	8.1	8.2	
Texas	64, 327	1.074.998	Bu.	1,075,110	7.4	5.5	5.9	
Pears.		8, 840, 733	Bu.	7, 910, 600		100.0	100.0	
California		1,928,097	Bu.	1,660,963		21.8	21.0	
New York		1,343,089	Bu.	1,418,218		15.2	17.9	
Michigan		666, 023	Bu.	535,771		7.0	3.2	
New Jersey	1 305 099	7, 129, 294	Bu.	10.963,739	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Wisconsin	78,017	1,165,055	Bu.	1,645,928	6.0	16.3	15.0	
Michigan	94, 932	1, 162, 403	Bu.	1, 337, 430	7.3	16.3	12.2	
South Carolina	¹ 265, 632	711, 853	Bu.	1,311,454	20.4	10.0	12.0	
Georgia	1 210, 315	736,009	Bu.	1,204,783	10.1	10.3	11.0	
North Carolina	1 169, 934	001,007	Bu.	1,024,228	13.0	5.9	6.0	
Alabama	100,004	9 890 769	Lhs	971,596	0.0	100.0	100.0	
Texas		5, 832, 367	Lbs.	556, 203		59.0	57.2	
Mississippi		637,293	Lbs.	79, 936		6.4	8.2	
Louisiana		723, 578	Lbs.	70, 635		7.3	7.3	
Oklahoma	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	894, 172	Lbs.	59,481		9.0	6.1	
Persimmons (Japanese)		6,723	Bu.	9,087		40.1	26.8	
California,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2,090	Bu.	2 126		17.5	23.5	
Florida		1 615	Bu.	2,100		24.0	22.7	
Alabama		339	Bu.	402		5.0	4.4	
Pineapples		778,651	Crates.	734, 090		100.0	100.0	
Florida		778, 644	Crates.	734,069		99.9	99.9	
Plums and prunes		15, 480, 170	Bu.	10, 299, 495		100.0	100.0	
California		9,317,979	Bu.	0,473,039	· · · · · · · · ·	11 3	8 1	
Weshington		1 032 077	Bu.	600 503	•••••	6.7	5.8	
Pomegranates		152, 825	Lbs.	4,203		100.0	100.0	
California		30,075	Lbs.	968		19.7	23.0	
Georgia		27,365	Lbs.	920		17.9	21.9	
Nevada		45,550	Lbs.	915		29.8	21.8	
Alabama		19,090	LDS.	477		15 3	11 3	
Arizona	2 669 955	280 104 065	Bu	166 423 910	100 0	100.0	100.0	
New York	394,319	48, 597, 701	Bu.	20, 338, 766	10.7	12.5	12.2	
Pennsylvania	262.013	21, 740, 611	Bu.	11, 973, 843	7.1	5.6	7.2	
Maine	135, 799	28, 556, 837	Bu.	10, 224, 714	3.7	7.3	6.1	
Michigan	365, 483	38, 243, 828	Bu.	9,913,778	10.0	9.8	5.0	
Ohio	212,808	20, 322, 984	Bu.	9,377,955	5.8	0.4	4.9	
Winnesote	290, 185	26 802 948	Bu.	7 685 259	6.1	6.9	4.6	
Ouinces	225, 032	428,672	Bu.	517,243		100.0	100.0	
New York		132, 451	Bu.	135, 345		30.9	26.2	
Pennsylvania		62, 350	Bu.	102, 431		14.5	19.8	
Ohio		81, 101	Bu.	101, 369		18.9	19.0	
California		32,638	Bu.	20,200	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Raspherries and loganderries	48,668	14 751 040	Qts.	0,134,477	22 7	24 2	22.8	
Michigan	8 786	8 381 943	Ots.	695,019	18.1	13.8	13.5	
Ohio	3, 869	4, 029, 480	Ots.	364, 272	7.9	6.6	7.1	
California	1,992	5, 222, 117	Qts.	304, 169	4.1	8.6	5.9	
Washington	1, 210	3, 118, 720	Qts.	223, 001	2.5	5.1	4.3	
Rice (rough)	610, 175	21,838,580	Bu.	16,019,607	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Louisiana	317,518	10,839,973	Bu.	8,053,222	28.0	49.0	38.1	
Antropage	237, 580	1 282 820	Bu.	1 158 103	4 5	5.9	7.2	
R το	2 195 561	29, 520, 457	Bu.	20, 421, 812	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Michigan	419,020	5, 814, 394	Bu.	3,944,616	19.1	19.7	19.3	
Wisconsin	339, 213	4, 797, 775	Bu.	3, 163, 520	15.5	16.3	15.5	
Minnesota	266, 567	4, 426, 028	Bu.	2,679,987	12.1	15.0	13.1	
Pennsylvania	272, 560	3, 496, 603	Bu.	2,673,514	12.4	11.8	13.1	
New York.	130, 540	2,010,601	Bu.	1, 578, 408	0.9	0.8	100 0	
California				594,724			42.1	
Illinois				194,626			13.8	
New York.	1	·	l	72,991	·	·	5.2	

¹ A considerable amount of this acreage is probably a duplication of other crop acreage.

TABLE 178.—Crop production and States leading in production of each crop: 1909. (From Thirteenth Census report)—Continued.

[The figures opposite the name of each crop are for the United States as a whole.]

					Per cent of United States total.		nited .l.
Crop and State.	Acreage.	Production.	Unit.	Value.	Acre- age.	Produc- tion.	Value.
Food (moss)		6,671,348	Bu.	\$15, 137, 683		100.0	100.0
Iowa		1, 118, 044	Bu.	1,721,289		16.8	11.4
Illinois		1,289,996	Bu.	1,719,420		19.3	11.4 QQ
Minnesota		945, 000 424 623	Bu.	594.570		6.4	3. 9
Kentucky		612, 406	Bu.	538, 219		9.2	3.6
Sorghum cane	444,089	1,647,262	Tons.	1 10,174, 457	100.0	100.0	100.0
Kentucky	62, 327	226, 303	Tons.	1,416,565	14.0	13.7	13.9
Tennessee	52,907	205,901	Tons.	1, 036, 263	10.2	12.0 12.2	10.2
MISSOURI	55,027	101,691	Tons.	955,769	12.4	6.2	9.4
Arkansas	33,071	93, 123	Tons.	658,075	7.4	5.7	6.5
North Carolina	21,227	86,462	Tons.	541,294	4.8	5.5	0.0 4 Q
Illinois	15,039	90,287	Tons.	17 913 926	100.0	100.0	100.0
Strawberries	6 382	15, 945, 863	Qts.	1, 187, 410	4.5	6.2	6.6
California	4, 585	15,694,326	Qts.	1, 149, 475	3.2	6.1	6.4
Missouri	9,048	15, 171, 034	Qts.	1,122,784	6.3	5.9	6.3
Maryland	14,292	23,611,095	Qts.	1,070,072	10.0	9. <u>2</u> 5. 6	5.6
Michigan	8,001	18,210,700	Qts.	929, 108	6.1	7.3	5.2
Sugar cane	476,849	6,240,260	Tons.	2 26,415, 952	100.0	100.0	100.0
Louisiana	329,684	4, 941, 996	Tons.	² 17,752,537	69.1	79.2	67.2
Georgia	37,046	317,460	Tons.	2 2, 268, 110	100.0	100 0	100.0
Sunflower seed	4,731	49 004	Bu. Bu	44, 539	83.9	77.0	76.4
California	257	6,855	Bu.	6,264	5.4	10.8	10.7
Indiana	430	6,330	Bu.	5,894	9.1	9.9	10.1
Sweet potatoes and yams	641,255	59,232,070	Bu.	35,429,176	100.0	100.0	12.3
Georgia	84,038	8 402 283	Bu. Bu	4, 349, 800	13.2	14.3	12.2
North Carolina	66 613	5, 314, 857	Bu.	3, 578, 710	10.4	9.0	10.1
Mississippi	56,045	4,427,988	Bu.	3,073,290	8.7	7.5	8.7
Virginia	40, 838	5,270,202	Bu.	2,681,472	6.4	8.9	7.0
South Carolina	48,878	4,319,926	Bu.	2,000,000	8.0	7 2	6.7
Louisiana.	20,903	3 186 499	Bu.	1.527.074	3.5	5.4	4.3
Tengerines	22,004	38,752	Boxes.	68,770		100.0	100.0
Florida		34,871	Boxes.	64,082		90.2	93.2
California		3, 581	Boxes.	4,188	100.0	100.0	100 0
Teasels	162	61	Tous.	10,760	67.9	78.2	78.2
New York	1 294 911	1 055 764 806	Lbs.	104, 302, 856	100.0	100.0	100.0
Kentucky	469,795	398, 482, 301	Lbs.	39,868,753	36.3	37.7	38.2
North Carolina	221,890	138, 813, 163	Lbs.	13,847,559	17.1	13.1	13.3
Virginia	185,427	132,979,390	LDS.	12,109,080	14.0	8.4	8.6
Ohio.	100,477	68 756 599	Lbs.	5.661.681	7. 0	6.5	5.4
Veretables 8	2,763,269			216,257,068	100.0		100.0
New York	175,402			15,963,384	6.3		7.4
Ohio	. 123,461	00.000 504		11,393,791	4. 0	100 0	100.0
Walnuts (Persian or English)		22,020, 524	Lbs.	2,247,193		97.3	97.8
Wheet	44, 262, 592	683. 379, 259	Bu.	657,656,801	100.0	100.0	100. 0
North Dakota	8,188,782	116,781,886	Bu.	109, 129, 869	18.5	17.1	16.6
Kansas	5,973,785	77, 577, 115	Bu.	74,052,291	13.5	11.4	11.3
Minnesota	3,276,911	57,094,412	Bu.	44 225 930	6.0	7.0	6.7
Nebraska	2,002,918	47,059,745	Bu.	42,878,223	7.3	6.9	6.5
Bouth Dakota	2,185,091	37,830,732	Bu.	38,000,712	4.9	5.5	5.8
Washington	2,118,015	40,920,390	Bu.	35, 102, 370	4.8	6.0	5.3
Willows	661	857	Tons.	44,175	100.0	100.0	43 1
New York	. 405	067	Tons.	19,035	24.1	13.1	38.0
Maryland	. 159	112	1 0115.	1 10,000			

Includes value of sorghum sirup.
 Includes value of sugar sirup and molasses.
 Other than potatoes and sweet potatoes and yams.

	Yea	r ending June	30—
Product.	1911	1912	1913 (prelim.)
FARM PRODUCTS. Animal matter: Animals, live	Short tons. 13,991,000	Short tons. 14,147,000	Short tons. 15,042,000
Packing-house products— Dressed meats. Hides (including leather). Other packing-house products	2, 330, 000 1, 096, 000 2, 249, 000	2,346,000 1,139,000 2,360,000	2,407,000 1,121,000 2,345,000
Total packing-house products	5, 675, 000	5, 845, 000	5, 873, 000
Poultry (including game and fish) Wool Other animal matter	719,000375,0003,003,000	768,000 407,000 3,807,000	847,000 398,000 4,286,000
Total animal matter	23, 763, 000	24, 974, 000	26, 446, 000
Vegetable matter: Cotton Fruit and vegetables	3, 486, 000 11, 747, 000	4, 953, 000 12, 880, 000	3,942,000 16,099,000
Grain and grain products— Grain Grain products— Flour Other grain products	41,058,000 8,634,000 6,490,000	39, 299, 000 8, 629, 000 7, 081, 000	50, 945, 000 9, 523, 000 7, 830, 000
Total grain and grain products	56, 182, 000	55,009,000	68,298,000
Hay Sugar Tobacco Other vegetable måtter	6,307,000 2,883,000 934,000 6,910,000	6,828,000 3,233,000 982,000 10,125,000	7,145,000 3,599,000 1,091,000 9,493,000
Total vegetable matter	88, 449, 000	94,010,000	109,667,000
Total farm products	112, 212, 000	118, 984, 000	136, 113, 000
OTHER FREIGHT. Products of mines. Products of forests. Manufactures. All other (including all freight in less than carload lots)	539, 256, 000 108, 506, 000 132, 293, 000 74, 967, 000	566, 538, 000 100, 148, 000 136, 716, 000 75, 897, 000	650, 940, 000 112, 079, 000 161, 933, 000 83, 775, 000
Total tonnage	967, 234, 000	998, 283, 000	1,144,840,000

TABLE 179.—Tonnage carried on railways in the United States, 1911-1913.¹

¹ Compiled from reports of the Interstate Commerce Commission. Original shipments only, excluding freight received by each railway from connecting railways and other carriers.

IMPORTS AND EXPORTS OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS.¹

TABLE 180.—Agricultural imports of the United States during the 3 years ending June 30, 1914.

	Year ending June 30-										
Article imported.	191	2	19	13	19	14					
	Quantity.	Value.	Quantity.	Value.	Quantity.	Value.					
ANIMAL MATTER.											
Animals, live:											
For breeding purposes,	9 190	\$205 999	1 388	\$234, 489	718,352	\$16,328,819					
Othernumber	316, 243	4,500,352	420, 261	6,406,179	150,016	2, 367, 899					
Total cattledo	318,372	4,805,574	421,649	6,640,668	868,368	18,696,718					
Horses-											
number	3,849	1,579,377	5,713	1,653,713	4,406 28,613	1,476,905 1,128,124					
Othernumber	2,758	345,040	10,008	2 195 875	33 010	2 605 029					
Total horsesdo	6,607	1,923,025		2,120,010							
Sheep— For breeding purposes,				0.002	991 996	516 012					
number	$2,208 \\ 21,380$	29,106 128,151	$388 \\ 15,040$	8,903 81,118	1,883	15,492					
Total sheepdo	23,588	157,257	15, 428	90,021	223, 719	532, 404					
All other, including fowls		694,699		729, 227		2,877,960					
Total live animals		7,580,555		9,585,791		24, 712, 111					
Beeswaxpounds	1,076,741	328,752	828, 793	253, 867	1,412,200	476, 364					
Dairy products:											
Butterdo	1,025,668	237,154 8 807,249	1,162,253 49,387,944	304,090 9,185,184	7,842,022 63,784,313	1,753,461 11,010,693					
Creamgallons	1, 120, 427	923,779 61 671	1,247,083	1,068,109 135.724	1, 773, 152	$1,549,549 \\ 1,089,440$					
Matel deirr preducte		10 020 853		10.693.107		15, 403, 143					
Total dairy products	072 052	147 172	1 367 224	205 832	6.014.955	1,089,164					
Egg yolkspounds	43,822	4,430	228,305	36,892	3, 420, 412	504,619					
Strich		3,806,696		6,252,298		3,944,928 926,735					
Other		1, 228, 645									
Fibers, animal: Silk—				FF 500	1 419	1 118					
Cocoonspounds Raw, or as reeled from the	82,456	51,073	158,342	55, 589	1,410	07 090 949					
cocoonpounds Wastedo	$ \begin{array}{c} 21,609,520\\ 4,892,986 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 67,173,382\\ 2,317,217 \end{array}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 26,049,472\\5,893,741 \end{bmatrix}$	82,147,523 2,711,605	28, 594, 672 5, 949, 744	3,100,664					
Total silkdo	26,584,962	69,541,672	32, 101, 555	84,914,717	34, 545, 829	100,930,025					
Wool, and hair of the camel,											
goat, alpaca, and like											
Class 1, clothingpounds	71,203,329 15,557,664	15,106,193 3,802,034	67,238,715 16,886,446	15,422,920 4,266,327	125,088,761	30,681,759					
Class 3, carpetdo	106, 639, 720	14, 170, 115	111, 168, 094	15, 890, 576	102,003,313	17,029,111					
alpaca, etcpounds	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	1,717,097	572,430					
Total wooldo	193, 400, 713	33,078,342	195, 293, 255	35, 579, 823	247,648,869	53, 190, 267					
Total animal fibers, pounds	219,985,675	102, 620, 014	227, 394, 810	120, 494, 540	282, 194, 698	154, 120, 292					
Gelatinpounds	783,668	181,461	1,170,082	314,601	2,441,317	738,731					
Gluedogallons	7,534,322 115,040	776,696 62,684	6,550,197 116,271	727,850 68,717	22,714,877 75,079	1, 800, 543					

¹ Forest products come within the scope of the Department of Agriculture and are therefore included in alphabetical order in these tables. ³ Not stated.

TABLE	180.—Agricultural	imports of the	United St	ates during	the 3	years	ending	June
		30, 1914	-Continu	ed.		•	Ū	

		Year ending June 30-									
Article imported.	19	12	1	913	1	914					
	Quantity.	Value.	Quantity.	Value.	Quantity.	Value.					
ANIMAL MATTER—continued.	•										
Packing-house products: Bladders, other than fish Blood, dried Bones, cleaned Bones, hoofs, and horns		\$41,954 215,255 18,512 1,038,653		\$96,237 80,145 40,612 885,893		\$52, 336 391, 816 5, 023 1, 061, 466					
Bristles— Crude, unsortedpounds Sorted, bunched, or pre- paredpounds	26, 174 3, 435, 801	14,796 3,032,231	19, 151 3, 559, 433	12,583 3,491,980	28,359 3,408,796	25, 495 3, 170, 974					
Total bristlespounds	3,461,975	3,047,027	3,578,584	3,504,563	3,437,155	3, 196, 469					
Grease Gut Hair—		963, 205 132, 929		865, 443 139, 120		1,028,595 122,733					
Horsepounds Other animaldo Hide cuttings and other glue	5, 381, 730 10, 795, 253	2,308,319 1,025,421	$5,147,923 \\ 11,348,597$	2,223,344 1,099,730	3,738,836 10,507,680	1,663,448 1,051,698					
stock Hides and skins, other than		1,707,171		1,767,382		2,158,514					
furs— Buffalo hides— Drypounds Green or pickleddo Cal skins—	4, 906, 362 82, 313	732, 465 8, 789	16,234,751	2, 790, 009	14, 492, 943	3,073,717					
Drydo Green or pickleddo	$\begin{array}{c} 41,992,100\\ 63,260,389 \end{array}$	$14,697,085 \\ 11,833,908$	$39,974,383 \\54,584,752$	15,092.017 11,202,956	27,767,882 54,635,708	$11,582,807 \\11,799,146$					
Cattle hides— Drydo Green or pickleddo Goatskins—	78, 131, 330 172, 881, 183	$15, 161, 229 \\ 23, 244, 292$	82, 595, 225 185, 447, 165	18,670,672 27,628,292	71, 485, 650 208, 477, 838	$18,083,314\\34,098,628$					
Green or pickleddo Borse and ass skins—	69,143,153 26,197,550	19,930,142 3,366,413	70,562,896 25,687,409	21,099,415 3,691,002	63,374,054 21,385,374	19,037,307 3,153,956					
Drydo Green or pickleddo Kangaroodo Sheepskins 2—	7, 194, 331 5, 674, 741 (¹)	1,474,590 597,397 (¹)	10,978,605 8,447,909 1,097,038	2, 234, 581 941, 371 719, 188	7,619,625 4,645,213 1,328,668	$1,619,178 \\514,833 \\898,087$					
Drydo Green or pickleddo Otherdo	25,644,846 34,755,463 7,904,337	$\begin{array}{r} 4,977,912\\ 4,858,304\\ 1,593,801 \end{array}$	31, 132, 037 40, 652, 682 4, 801, 838	6,429,936 5,965,008 921,727	29, 338, 146 40, 738, 679 15, 780, 906	6, 165, 947 6, 427, 270 3, 835, 591					
Total hides and skins, pounds	537,768,098	102, 476, 327	572, 196, 690	117, 386, 174	561, 070, 686	120, 289, 781					
Meat- Cured- Bacon and hams, pounds Meat, prepared or preserved.	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)	2,008,960	383,669 1,676,360					
Sausage, bologna, pounds	971,775	182,982	728, 469	157, 871	730, 326	186, 824					
Fresh— Beef and vealpounds Mutton and lamb.do Porkdo	(3) (3) (3)	(8) (3) (3)	(8) (8) (8)	(3) (3) (3)	$180, 137, 183 \\ 12, 710, 905 \\ 4, 624, 799$	$15,423,911\\1,114,730\\540,801$					
other, including meat ex- tracts		1,176,010		1, 268, 957		1,075,849					
Total meat		1,358,992		1,426,828		20, 402, 144					
Oleo stearinpounds Rennets Sausage casingspounds	4,913,090 4,923,768	$\begin{array}{r} 448,950\\ 102,142\\ 2,385,715\end{array}$	9, 511, 134 4, 569, 944	967,000 129,557 2,476,082	5,243,553 (⁸)	459,980 129,720 2,955,657					
Total packing - house products		117, 270, 572		133, 088, 110		154, 969, 389					
Total animal matter		244,037,531		283,706,689		358, 729, 684					

Included in "Other" hides and skins other than furs.
 Except sheepskins with the wool on.
 Not stated.

653

TABLE 180.—Agricultural	imports of the	United States	during	the 3	years	ending	June
	30, 1914-	-Continued.	v		U	v	

		Year ending June 30—									
Article imported.	19	12	1	913	1	.914					
	Quantity.	Value.	Quantity.	Value.	Quantity.	Value.					
VEGETABLE MATTER.											
Argols, or wine leespounds Breadstuffs. (See Grain and grain products.)	23,661,078	\$2, 225, 180	29, 479, 119	\$2, 621, 632	29, 793, 011	\$3, 228, 674					
Broom cornlong tons	1,346	157,969	187	14,720	1,272	141,730					
Cocoa and chocolate: Cocoa—											
Crude, and leaves and shells ofpounds Chocolatedo	$145,968,945\ 2,816,901$	15,931,556 658,844	$140,039,172\ 3,470,680$	17, 389, 042 787, 678	$176,267,646 \\ 3,096,445$	20, 797, 790 706, 193					
Total cocoa and choco- latepounds	148, 785, 846	16, 590, 400	143, 509, 852	18, 176, 720	179, 364, 091	21, 503, 983					
Coffeedo	885, 201, 247	117, 826, 543	863, 130, 757	118, 963, 209	1,001,528,317	110,725,392					
Coffee substitutes: Chicory root— Raw, unground. pounds Roasted, ground, or other- wise prepared	5, 401	125	2, 205, 813	33, 091	(1)	(1)					
pounds	679, 511	33, 530	519,179	21,182	2, 292, 430	47,882					
Total chicory root, pounds	684,912	33, 655	2,724,992	54,273	2, 292, 430	47, 882					
Otherpounds	70,810	14,275	146, 897	22,831	188,446	21,498					
Total coffee substitutes, pounds	755, 722	47, 930	2, 871, 889	77,104	2, 480, 876	69, 380					
Curry and curry powder		10, 441		11,199		11,861					
Fibers, vegetable: Cottonpounds Flaxlong tons Hempdo Jute and jute buttsdo Jute and jute buttsdo Kapocdo Manilado Sisal grassdo Otherdo	$109,780,071 \\ 10,900 \\ 5,007 \\ 9,835 \\ 101,001 \\ 2,999 \\ 68,536 \\ 5,364 \\ 114,467 \\ 9,270 \\$	$\begin{array}{c} 20, 217, 581\\ 3, 778, 501\\ 1, 100, 273\\ 776, 351\\ 7, 183, 385\\ 570, 084\\ 8, 000, 865\\ 483, 310\\ 11, 866, 843\\ 703, 254 \end{array}$	$121,852,016\\12,421\\7,663\\9,573\\125,389\\2,842\\73,823\\7,827\\153,869\\13,691$	$\begin{array}{c} 22, 987, 318\\ 3, 950, 020\\ 1, 484, 116\\ 923, 104\\ 9, 280, 565\\ 809, 001\\ 12, 629, 693\\ 917, 166\\ 17, 803, 819\\ 1, 281, 175\\ \end{array}$	$123, 346, 899 \\9, 885 \\8, 822 \\10, 660 \\106, 033 \\1, 827 \\49, 688 \\6, 171 \\215, 547 \\9, 799 \\$	$19,456,588\\2,870,274\\1,564,483\\1,036,431\\11,174,028\\441,109\\9,779,539\\716,953\\25,860,729\\906,449$					
Total vegetable fibers		54, 680, 447		72,065,977		73, 806, 583					
Flowers, natural	••••••	15,018		13,376		24, 540					
Forest products: Charcoal Cinchona barkpounds Cork wood or cork bark	2,891,823	29, 586 233, 323 3, 242, 319	3, 553, 239	25,028 357,490 3,152,070	3,648,868	60, 634 464, 412 3, 851, 794					
Dyewoods, and extracts of- Dyewoods- Logwoodlong tons	39, 571	476, 983	· 37,027	476,916	30,062	378,064					
Total drowoods do		47,010	41,000	529 750	27 795	496 002					
Extracts and decoctions	9 207 084	353 245	9 481 275	365 149	8 810 040	306 934					
Total dyewoods; and extracts of	0,201,004	877, 543		897, 908		793, 926					
Guavule plantpounds	2.000	45	294.335	14.725							
Gums- Camphor- Crudedo Refineddo Chieledo	2, 154, 646 244, 295 7, 782, 005	682,669 91,429 3,127,004	3,709,264491,25613,758,592	1,007,301 162,557 5,282,722	3,476,908 566,106 8,040,891	929, 715 182, 790 3, 012, 45 8					

¹ Not stated.

TABLE 180.—Agricultural	imports of the	United States	during	the 3	y ears	ending	June
	30, 1914-	-Continued.					

			Year endin	g June 30—		
Article imported.	191	2	19	13	19	14
	Quantity.	Value.	Quantity.	Value.	Quantity.	Value.
VEGETABLE MATTER-contd.						
Forest products—Continued. Gums—Continued. Copal, kauri, and damar, pounds Gambier, or terra japonica, pounds	25, 115, 739 21, 002, 795	\$2,016,474 1,031,047	28, 573, 201 17, 064, 998	\$2, 519, 519 790, 081	32, 693, 412 14, 936, 129	\$ 3, 354, 679 571, 067
India rubber, gutta						
percha, etc.— Balatapounds Guayule gumdo Guta-joolatong, or East	1, 517, 066 14, 238, 625	984,012 6,463,787	1,318,598 10,218,191	766, 772 4, 345, 088	$1,533,024 \\ 1,475,804$	$793, 126 \\ 607, 076$
Indian gum. pounds Gutta-perchado Indian rubberdo	48, 795, 268 1, 204, 406 110, 210, 173	2,255,050 225,797 93,013,255	45, 345, 338 480, 853 113, 384, 359	2, 174, 441 167, 313 90, 170, 316	$\begin{array}{r} 24,926,571 \\ 1,846,109 \\ 131,995,742 \\ \end{array}$	1, 155, 402 323, 567 71, 219, 851
Total India rubber, etcpounds	175, 965, 538	102, 941, 901	170, 747, 339	97, 623, 930	161, 777, 250	74,099,022
Shellacpounds Other	18, 745, 771	2, 296, 263 1, 943, 405	21, 912, 015	3,046,919 2,359,796	16, 719, 756	2,689,269 2,001,631
Total gums		114, 130, 192		112, 792, 825		86, 840, 631
Ivory, vegetablepounds	23,076,847	789,602	29,656,278	977, 525	27, 135, 406	881,354
Naval stores: Tar and pitch (of wood), barrels	679	6, 227	287	5,611	561	7, 946
lons	60, 913	22, 805	56, 855	19,667	68,966	28, 818
Total naval stores		29, 032		25, 278		36, 764
Palm leaf, natural		32, 641		17, 214		- 14, 044
Tanning materials: Mangrove bark long tons Quebracho, extract of, pounds	21, 779 71, 635, 043	483, 920 2, 320, 036	15, 187 78, 833, 466	336, 136 2, 005, 770	7, 689 93, 329, 087	196, 891 2, 543, 302
Quebracho woodl. tons Sumac, groundpounds Other	68, 174 12, 498, 376	982, 315 235, 154 268, 821	102,769 14,489,776	1, 300, 126 297, 506 390, 056	10, 770, 400	258, 738 468, 230
Total tanning mate- rials		4, 290, 246		4,329,594		4, 368, 041
Wood, not elsewhere spec- ified— Brier root or brierwood and ivy or laurel root Chair cane or reed		358, 111 575, 221		313, 189 620, 893		241, 493 451, 099
Cabinet woods, un- sawed— CedarMfeet Mahoganydo Other	15, 035 43, 194	807, 699 3, 038, 043 1, 107, 975	19,092 66,318	1,094,048 4,839,625 1,441,541	17, 285 70, 470	982, 152 4, 925, 126 1, 217, 410
Total cabinet woods		4,953,717		7, 375, 214		7, 124, 688
Logs and round timber, M feet	155,007	1, 593, 099	140, 876	1, 506, 235	148,938	1, 657, 605
Lumber	905, 275 646, 662 514, 657	15, 802, 789 1, 619, 919 1, 205, 327 1, 175, 342	1, 091, 649 712, 119 560, 297	18,969,776 1,905,254 1,399,751 885,888	931, 408 564, 778 895, 038	17, 817, 550 1, 613, 586 2, 190, 170 815, 279
Total lumber	. <u> </u>	19,803,377	·····	23, 160, 669		22, 430, 585

655

TABLE	180.—Agricultural	imports of th	e United	States	during	the 3	y ears	ending	June
		30, 191	4—Conti	inued.	-				

	Year ending June 30-											
Article imported.	191	2	19	13	19	14						
	Quantity.	Value.	Quantity.	Value.	Quantity.	Value.						
VEGETABLE MATTER—contd.												
Wood, not elsewhere speci- fied—Continued. Pulp wood— Peeledcords Rosseddo Rattan and reeds All other	484,277 238,242 178,751	\$2,928,768 1,910,283 995,777 898,552 633,109	618, 124 258, 455 160, 315	\$3, 843, 950 2, 183, 785 927, 217 1, 040, 121 776, 198 41, 747, 471	630, 863 255, 844 186, 316	\$4,062,835 2,118,910 1,063,721 1,210,390 559,036 40,926,362						
Total wood, il. e. s		54,000,014										
Wood puip— Chemical— Bleachedpounds Unbleacheddo Mechanicaldo	$161,074,535 \\ 476,680,044 \\ 431,863,879$	3, 436, 114 7, 266, 271 3, 516, 537	163, 782, 137 598, 574, 507 364, 168, 563	3,726,685 9,435,942 3,002,689	177, 833, 052 605, 926, 470 354, 967, 673	4, 153, 036 10, 136, 707 2, 733, 595						
Total wood pulpdo	1,069,618,458	14,218,922	1,126,525,207	16, 165, 316	1,138,727,195	17,023,338						
Total forest products		172, 523, 465		180, 502, 444		155, 261, 300						
Fruits: Fresh or dried— Bananasbunches Currantspounds Datesdo Figsdo. Grapescubic feet. Lemonspounds. Olivesgallons. Orangespounds. Pineapples. Raisinspounds. Other.	44, 520, 539 33, 151, 396 25, 208, 248 18, 765, 408 2, 000, 841 145, 639, 396 5, 076, 857 7, 628, 662 3, 255, 861	$\begin{matrix} 14,368,330\\ 1,561,350\\ 536,983\\ 934,763\\ 2,331,504\\ 3,368,863\\ 2,303,277\\ 108,880\\ 1,110,341\\ 295,466\\ 1,693,516 \end{matrix}$	42, 357, 109 30, 843, 735 34, 304, 951 16, 837, 819 1, 135, 942 151, 416, 412 3, 946, 076 12, 252, 960 2, 579, 705	$\begin{matrix} 14,484,258\\ 1,306,410\\ 660,311\\ 944,317\\ 1,359,415\\ 4,300,266\\ 1,896,982\\ 233,760\\ 1,319,006\\ 241,630\\ 1,115,330 \end{matrix}$	48, 683, 592 32, 033, 177 34, 073, 608 19, 284, 868 1, 334, 163 (1) 5, 316, 364 (1) 	$\begin{array}{c} 16, 397, 884\\ 1, 233, 228\\ 679, 527\\ 941, 207\\ 1, 599, 965\\ 5, 981, 635\\ 2, 292, 837\\ 93, 472\\ 1, 287, 862\\ 309, 511\\ 1, 710, 009 \end{array}$						
Total fresh or dried		28, 613, 273		27, 861, 685		32, 527, 141						
Prepared or preserved		936, 107		795, 399		1, 111, 193						
Total fruits		29, 549, 380	·····	28,657,084		33, 638, 334						
Ginger, preserved or pickled, pounds	468, 329	30, 139	551, 320	42,061	478,058	36, 434						
Grain and grain products: Grain Cornbushels Oatsdo Wheatdo	53,4252,622,3572,699,130	47, 936 1, 053, 470 2, 212, 887	903, 062 723, 899 798, 028	491,079 289,364 559,559	12, 367, 369 22, 273, 624 1, 978, 937	7, 917, 243 7, 885, 837 1, 761, 995						
Total graindo	5,374,912	3, 314, 293	2, 424, 989	1,340,002	36, 619, 930	17, 303, 073						
Grain products— Bread and biscuit Macaroni, vermicelli, etc., pounds Molt buschels	108,231,028	282, 753 4, 738, 937 5, 098	106, 500, 752 10, 419	255, 416 4, 913, 624 15, 121	$126, 128, 621 \\ 13, 472$	415, 318 5, 698, 783 16, 367						
Meal and flour— Wheat flourbarrels. Other	158,777	665, 346 3, 418, 685	107, 558	453, 681 1, 754, 842	89,911	363,855 3,382,879						
Total grain products.		9, 110, 819		7, 392, 684		9,877,202						
Total grain and grain products		12, 425, 112		8, 732, 686		27, 442, 277						
Haylong tons. Hopspounds Indigodo. Licorice rootdo.	699,004 2,991,125 7,658,067 74,582,225	$\begin{array}{c} 6,473,230\\ 2,231,348\\ 1,153,142\\ 1,309,789 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 156,323\\8,494,144\\7,712,508\\105,116,227\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,514,311\\ 2,852,865\\ 1,102,897\\ 1,806,066\end{array}$	$170,786 \\ 5,382,025 \\ 8,125,211 \\ 115,636,131$	$1, 634, 390 \\ 2, 790, 516 \\ 1, 093, 226 \\ 2, 047, 192$						

	2	Year ending June 30-											
Article imported.	19	012	t l	913		1914							
	Quantity.	Value.	Quantity.	Value,	Quantity.	Value.							
VEGETABLE MATTER-contd.													
Liquors, alcoholic: Distilled spirits— Brandyproof galls. Cordials liqueurs atc	. 509, 286	\$1,316,031	610, 358	\$1,647,277	602, 563	\$1, 617, 483							
gin	. 532, 151 824, 694 1, 373, 010 411, 595	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	575, 2 90 974, 776 1, 541, 663 378, 623	$ \begin{vmatrix} 1, 233, 700 \\ 999, 921 \\ 3, 153, 640 \\ 339, 619 \end{vmatrix} $	515,5751,055,8851,571,870414,950	$\begin{array}{c c}1,063,267\\1,017,569\\3,186,627\\378,902\end{array}$							
Total distilled spirits, proof galls	3,650,736	6, 463, 228	4, 080, 710	7, 374, 157	4, 160, 843	7, 263, 848							
Malt liquors— Bottledgallons. Unbottleddo	$1,651,564 \\5,523,941$	$1,571,336 \\ 1,708,590$	$1,452,728\\6,245,922$	1,372,823 1,917,442	$1,213,320 \\ 5,963,913$	$1,152,598\\1,814,431$							
Total malt liquors.do	7, 175, 505	3, 279, 926	7, 698, 650	3, 290, 265	7, 177, 233	2,967,029							
Champagne and other sparklingdoz. quarts	. 281, 134	4, 688, 090	280, 828	4, 636, 191	270,002	4, 418, 958							
Bottledgallons	577, 244 3, 864, 070	2,414,621 2,488,740	678, 131 4, 427, 130	2,724,471 2,718,045	$728,303 \\ 5,220,380$	2,940,277 2,757,434							
Total still wines		4, 903, 361		5, 442, 516		5, 697, 711							
Total wines		9,591,451		10, 078, 707		10, 116, 669							
Total alcoholic liquors.		19, 334, 605		20, 743, 129		20, 347, 546							
Malt, barley. (See Grain and grain products.) Malt extract, fluid and solid Malt liquors. (See Liquors, alcoholic.)		8, 639		12,040		16,566							
Nursery stock: Plants, trees, shrubs, and vines— Fruit plants, tropical and semitropical, for propa- gation, etc Bulbs, bulbous roots or corms, cultivated for their flowers or foliage	216, 159	24,825 1,723,354 1,251,365	288, 646	5, 847 1, 823, 307 1, 379, 913	216,138	2,092,139 1,514,669							
Total nursery stock		2,999,544		3,209,067		3, 606, 808							
Nuts: Almonds— Shelledpounds. Unshelleddo Coconuts, unshelled Coconut meat, broken, or	}17, 231, 458	3, 253, 495 1, 949, 406	{13,078,771 { 2,592,187	$3,137,104 \\ 207,554 \\ 1,781,377$	13, 307, 631 5, 730, 774	4, 040, 785 638, 504 2, 133, 416							
copra- Not shredded, desiccated, or preparedpounds Shredded desiccated or	64, 580, 670	2, 810, 171	34, 267, 811	1, 531, 820	45, 437, 155	2, 395, 01 3							
preparedpounds Cream and Brazilbushels Filberts—	5,331,826 21,539,508	$\begin{array}{c} 404,969\\1,092,671\end{array}$	6, 602, 556 11, 933, 445	493, 768 668, 534	10,297,554 20,423,497	807,198 1,075,907							
Shelled pounds Unshelleddo Peanuts - Shelled	}11, 198, 991	813, 642	$\left\{\begin{array}{c}1,946,488\\8,480,818\\6,801,415\end{array}\right.$	281, 460 614, 023	1,643,507 10,992,972 27,077,159	261, 785 834, 078							
Unshelleddo Walnuts— Shelled do	2,627,475	102, 217	12,281,580 (10,371,128)	470, 390 2, 206, 261	17, 472, 631 8, 928, 029	660,010 2,042.680							
Unshelleddo Other	37, 213, 674	4,069,515 858,852	(16, 291, 313	1, 293, 720 981, 497	28, 267, 699	2,296,801 1,463,197							
Total nuts		15, 828, 003		13,979,905		19,888,601							
Oil cakepounds	16,960,968	204,746	11,047,399	141, 137	11, 656, 803	120,078							

TABLE	180.—Agricultural	imports of t	he United	States	during	the 3	years	ending	June
		30, 19	14—Conti	nued.	U		0	v	

Imports and Exports of Agricultural Products.

TABLE 180.—Agricultural imports of the United States during the 3 years ending June 30, 1914—Continued.

	Year ending June 30										
Article imported.	1912	2	193	13	191	4					
	Quantity.	Value.	Quantity.	Value.	Quantity.	Value.					
VEGETABLE MATTER-contd.											
Oils, vegetable: Fixed or expressed— Cocoa butter or butterine, pounds	6,074,741	\$1 ,615,377	3,603,332 50,504,192	\$992,358 4,183,036	2,838,761 74,386,213	\$ 793, 451 6, 703, 9 42					
Cottonseeddo	1,513,051	78,077	3, 383, 511	185, 383	17, 293, 201	1, 044, 834					
gallons	737, 256	486,060	173, 690	111, 228	192, 282	91, 555					
Hempseedgallons Rapeseeddo Nut oil, or oil of nuts,	126 1,182,768	159 588, 138	1, 549, 728	(1) 779, 4 00	1,464,265	(1) 704, 655					
Chinese nutsgallons Peanutdo	4, 767, 596 895, 587	2, 383, 503 582, 740	5,996,666 1,195,683	2, 733, 884 820, 763	4,932,444 1,337,136	1, 962, 389 918, 614					
posesgallons Olive, saladpounds Palm kerneldo Soya beando Other	$\begin{array}{r} 636,013\\ 4,836,515\\ 47,159,238\\ 25,932,855\\ 28,021,282\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 389,539\\ 6,170,882\\ 3,090,090\\ 2,073,721\\ 1,577,131\\ 355,767\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 619,356\\ 5,221,001\\ 50,228,706\\ 23,569,031\\ 12,340,185\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 407,074\\ 6,739,172\\ 3,351,868\\ 1,868,658\\ 635,888\\ 381,801 \end{array}$	763, 924 6, 217, 560 58, 040, 202 34, 327, 600 16, 360, 452	477, 210 7, 916, 980 3, 858, 001 3, 087, 343 830, 790 439, 009					
Total fixed or expressed		23, 242, 463	······	23, 190, 513		28, 828, 773					
Volatile or essential— Lemonpounds Other	357, 174	451, 588 3, 140, 692	381,093	744, 658 4, 194, 827	385,959	858, 220 2, 633, 789					
Total volatile or essential		3, 592, 280		4, 939, 485		3, 492, 009					
Total vegetable oils		26, 834, 743		28, 129, 998		32, 320, 782					
Opium, crudepounds	399,837	2,437,403	508, 433	2, 565, 965	455,200	1, 810, 429					
Rice, rice meal, etc.: Rice	25 008 414	848, 469	32.715.479	1,203,005	95, 503, 998	3,017,108					
Uncleaned, including pad-	48 478 264	1, 618, 379	51,779,326	1,900,081	54, 784, 051	1, 917, 658					
Rice flour, rice meal, and broken rice pounds.	116, 576, 653	1,968,177	137,608,742	2, 813, 778	139, 906, 868	2, 538, 941					
Total rice. etcdo	190,063,331	4,435,025	222, 103, 547	5,916,864	290, 194, 917	7, 473, 707					
Sago, tapioca, etc		1, 674, 725		2,187,217	••••••	1,641,540					
Seeds: Castor beans or seeds. bushels.	957, 986	1,080,535	887, 747	985, 598	1,030,543	1, 139, 311					
Clover— Redpounds. Otherdo. Flaxseed or linséed. bushels Grass seed, n.e.spounds Sugar beetdo Other	38, 551, 137 6, 841, 806 24, 072, 821 11, 389, 394	6,099,136 12,995,250 1,400,077 1,103,357 2,962,817		$\begin{array}{r} 987,702 \\ 1,508,011 \\ 8,127,774 \\ 1,637,244 \\ 1,064,392 \\ 3,114,812 \end{array}$	6,764,218 23,343,431 8,653,235 31,937,701 10,293,898	$\begin{array}{r} 835, 691 \\ 2,047,941 \\ 10,571,410 \\ 1,634,627 \\ 799,525 \\ 3,055,679 \end{array}$					
Total seeds		25, 641, 172		17, 425, 533		20, 084, 184					
Spices: Unground— Cassia, or cassia vera, pounds	6, 795, 943	514,758	6, 853, 915	535,974	6, 771, 901	404, 853					
Ginger root, not preserved,	5,979,314	368,175	7,756,090	399, 270	3,771,086	171,250					
Pepper, black or white, poundspounds	25,802,252 14,651,846	2,599,479 1,464,239	27,562,361 16,062,861	2,852,665 1,576,462	24, 173, 621 2, 896, 823	2, 427, 92 7 309, 184					
Total unground, pounds	53, 229, 355	4,946,651	58, 235, 227	5,364,371	37,613,431	3, 313, 214					
Groundpounds.	9, 887, 123	1,027,519	6, 990, 174	822, 765	18,961,068	2, 282, 295					
Total spicesdo	63, 116, 548	5,974,170	65, 225, 401	6, 187, 136	56, 574, 499	5, 595, 509					

¹ Included in "Other," fixed or expressed.

~

TABLE	180.—Agricultural	imports	of the	United	States	during	the 3	years	ending	June
	•	- 30	, 1914	-Conti	nued.	Ũ		U	v	

	Year ending June 30-										
Article imported.	19	12	1	913	:	1914					
	Quantity.	Value.	Quantity.	Value.	Quantity.	Value.					
VEGETABLE MATTER—contd. Spirits, distilled. (See Liquors,	•										
Starch	15,841,437 10,172	\$478, 465 56, 702	16,710,498 3,553	\$ 457, 784 19, 079	15, 518, 434 6, 060	\$408,922 33,499					
Sugar and molasses: Molassesgallons	28, 828, 213	1, 197, 878	33, 926, 521	1,456,350	51, 410, 271	1,744,719					
Baw— Beetpounds Canedo	6, 504, 260 4,092,129,718	239, 484 3 114, 958, 470	182, 647, 58 4,554,049,87	2 4, 169, 523 2 99, 293, 354	2,367,70 5,061,564,62	8 70, 829 1 101, 365, 561					
Maple sugar and sirup, pounds	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	2, 095, 98	3 163,047					
Total rawpounds	4,098,633,978	3 115, 197, 954	4,736,697,45	103, 462, 877	5,066,028,31	2 101, 599, 437					
Refineddo	5, 984, 415	317,125	3, 344, 034	176,946	793, 56	1 49,938					
Total sugardo	4,104,618,393	3 115, 515, 079	4,740,041,488	3 103, 639, 823	5,066,821,87	3 101, 649, 375					
Total sugar and mo- lasses	•••••	116, 712, 957		105, 096, 173		103, 394, 094					
Teapounds Tea. waste, etc., for manufac-	101, 406, 816	18,207,141	94, 812, 800	17,433,688	91, 130, 815	16, 735, 302					
turingpounds Teazəls	5,994,547	161, 532 16, 998	7,053,550	211, 541 27, 155	5,874,308	194, 293 24, 310					
Tobacco:											
Wrapperpounds Filler and other leaf.do Stemsdo	$\begin{array}{c} 6,474,881 \\ 46,536,954 \\ 1,728,545 \end{array}$	$\begin{smallmatrix} 8,104,907\\ 23,814,407\\ 6,270 \end{smallmatrix}$	6, 398, 782 61, 133, 963 444, 373	8,242,212 27,691,361 4,938	$\begin{smallmatrix} 6,092,787\\54,047,436\\1,034,528 \end{smallmatrix}$	7,785,387 27,247,259 5,8/4					
Total tobaccodo	54, 740, 380	31, 925, 584	67,977,118	35, 938, 511	61, 174, 751	35, 038, 520					
Vanilla beansdo	841, 628	2,025,153	1, 049, 497	2,641,573	898,100	2,277,675					
Vegetables: Fresh and dried— Beansbushels Onionsdo Peas, drieddo Potatoesdo Other	1,004,930 1,436,037 806,762 13,734,695	$1,857,220\\1,234,316\\1,515,516\\7,168,627\\1,726,145$	1,048,297 789,458 1,134,346 327,230	1,938,105 481,756 1,835,775 303,214 1,410,354	1,634,070 1,114,811 866,488 3,645,993	2,955,663909,2041,849,2741,763,7821,630,113					
Total fresh and dried		13, 501, 824		5,969,204		9, 108, 036					
Prepared or preserved— Mushroomspounds Pickles and sauces Other	7,406,927	1, 013, 082 1, 086, 851 2, 943, 116	8,123,373	1, 172, 376 1, 123, 108 3, 094, 073	9, 188, 177	1,306,818 1,246,249 3,472,432					
Total prepared or pre- served		5,043,049		5, 389, 557		6,025,499					
Total vegetables	•••••	18, 544, 873		11,358,761	••••••	15, 133, 535					
Vinegargallons Wafers, unmedicated Wax, vegetablepounds Wines. (<i>See</i> Liquors, alco- holic.)	360, 524 4, 665, 828	81, 899 29, 593 1, 080, 200	295, 939 5, 652, 995	85,090 28,491 1,146,077	311, 643 4, 255, 686	94, 597 32, 797 1, 049, 126					
Total vegetable matter, including forest prod- ucts. Total vegetable matter, excluding forest prod-		711, 943, 405		712,096,265		720, 778, 232					
ucts Total agricultural im- ports, including forest products Total agricultural im-		955, 980, 936		995, 802, 954		1,079,507,916					
products	·····	783, 457, 471		815, 300, 510	· · · · · · · · ·	924, 246, 616					

Imports and Exports of Agricultural Products.

TABLE	181.—Agricultural	exports	(domestic)	of	the	United	States	during	the	3	year s
	v	en en	ding June	30,	1914	4.					

•						
			Year ending	g June 30—		
Article exported.	191	2	191	13	191	4
	Quantity.	Value.	Quantity.	Value.	Quantity.	Value.
ANIMAL MATTER.						
Animals, live: Cattlenumber Horsesdo Mulesdo Swinedo Swinedo Other (including fowls)	105, 506 34, 828 4, 961 157, 263 19, 038	\$ 8, 870, 075 4 , 764, 815 732, 095 626, 985 159, 370 294, 647	$24,714 \\ 28,707 \\ 4,744 \\ 187,132 \\ 15,332 \\ \dots $	$\begin{array}{c} \$1,177,199\\ 3,960,102\\ 733,795\\ 605,725\\ 151,747\\ 451,554\end{array}$	18,37622,7764,883152,60010,122	$ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$
Total live animals		15,447,987		7,080,122		5,803,659
Beeswaxpounds	109, 478	32, 556	116, 296	33, 131	96, 215	27, 292
Dairy products: Butterdo Cheesedo	6, 092, 235 6, 337, 560	1, 468, 432 898, 035	3, 585, 600 2, 599, 058	872, 804 441, 186	3, 693, 597 2, 427, 577	877,453 414,124
Condensed,do Other, including cream	20, 642, 738	$\substack{1,651,879\\244,913}$	16, 525, 918	1, 432, 848 474, 055	16, 209, 082	1, 341, 140 333, 217
Total dairy products, pounds		4, 263, 259		3, 220, 893		2,965,934
Eggsdozens Egg yolks Feathers	15, 405, 609	3,395,952 29,541 369,693	20, 409, 390	$\substack{4, 391, 653 \\ 67, 854 \\ 690, 612}$	16, 148, 849	3, 734, 087 47, 968 640, 020
Fibers, animals: Silk wastepounds Wooldo	71, 132 (¹)	16,080 (1)	37, 547 77, 047	9,704 22,625	27, 597 335, 348	8, 178 124, 127
Total animal fibers, pounds	71, 132	16, 080	• 114, 594	32, 329	362, 945	132, 305
Gluepounds Honey	3,059,952	314, 909 212, 652	2, 544, 942	276, 619 182, 252	2,351,773	258, 611 135, 669
Packing-house products: Beef- Cannedpounds Cured or pickleddo Freshdo Oils-Oleo oildo Oleomargarinedo Tallowdo	$11,026,431\\38,087,907\\15,264,320\\126,467,124\\3,627,425\\39,451,419\\233,924,626$	1, 303, 404 2, 832, 109 1, 596, 319 13, 434, 018 372, 567 2, 388, 046 21, 926, 463	6, 840, 348 25, 856, 919 7, 362, 388 92, 849, 757 2, 987, 582 30, 586, 300 166, 483, 294	857, 826 2, 489, 965 902, 149 10, 866, 253 311, 485 1, 910, 439 17, 338, 117	$\begin{array}{c} 3,464,733\\ 23,265,974\\ 6,394,404\\ 97,017,065\\ 2,532,821\\ 15,812,831\\ \hline 148,487,828 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 461,901\\ 2,289,516\\ 788,793\\ 10,156,665\\ 263,453\\ 1,002,011\\ \hline 14,962,339\end{array}$
Bones, hoofs, horns, and horn tips, strips and waste	<u></u>	162,009		2 77, 576		47,651
all soap stock— Lubricating Soap stock Hair		2, 193, 363 4, 486, 329 3 1, 426, 111		2, 339, 015 4, 844, 342 1, 449, 157		2,394,918 5,046,959 1,085,038
Hides and skins, other than furs— Calfskinspounds. Cattle hidesdo Horsedo Otherdo	548, 242 17, 445, 209 (4) 7, 253, 349	99, 592 2, 289, 648 (⁴) 769, 255	923, 922 17, 971, 809 5, 472, 832 1, 791, 775	$155,499 \\ 2,589,603 \\ 456,879 \\ 247,943$	$\begin{array}{r} 323,417\\12,524,901\\5,742,855\\1,275,962\end{array}$	69, 518 1, 933, 705 610, 456 193, 577
Totaldo	25, 246, 800	3, 158, 495	26, 160, 338	3,449,924	19,867,135	2,807,253
•			1	1	1	

Not stated.
 Bones, including manufactures of.
 Including manufactures of.
 Included in "Other" hides and skins other than furs.

	chaing of			ucu.		
			Year endir	ng June 30—		
Article exported.	19	912	19	913	19	914
	Quantity.	Value.	Quantity.	Value.	Quantity.	Value.
ANIMAL MATTER—continued.		_				
Packing-house products—Con. Hoofs, horns, and horn tips, strips, and waste Lard compoundspounds Meat, canned, n. e. s Muttonpounds. Oils, animal, n. e. s.gallons.	62, 522, 888 3, 595, 543 1, 019, 412	(1) \$5, 183, 689 1, 298, 152 349, 875 754, 342	67, 456, 832 5, 266, 019 1, 603, 325	102,705 5,915,759 1,086,463 591,969 970,717	58, 303, 564 4, 685, 496 891, 035	\$61,180 5,489,139 1,350,218 523,023 609,294
Pork— Cannedpounds	5, 839, 902	681, 127	4, 148, 343	565, 039	3,074,303	492, 822
Cured— Bacondo Hams and shoulders, pounds Salted or pickled, pounds.	208, 574, 208 204, 044, 491 56, 321, 469	24, 907, 197 24, 983, 376 5, 348, 594	200, 993, 584 159, 544, 687 53, 749, 023	25, 647, 167 21, 641, 386 5, 699, 136	193, 964, 252 165, 881, 791 45, 543, 085	25, 879, 056 23, 767, 447 4, 896, 574
Total cureddo	468, 940, 168	55, 239, 167	414, 287, 294	52,987,689	405, 389, 128	54, 543, 077
Freshdo Larddo Lard, neutraldo Oils—Lard oilgallons	2, 597, 880 532, 255, 865 62, 317, 909 207, 337	297, 198 52, 090, 441 6, 655, 009 147, 766	2, 457, 997 519, 025, 384 44, 777, 692 154, 983	$\begin{array}{r} 310,574\\58,187,336\\5,129,899\\113,665\end{array}$	2, 668, 020 481, 457, 792 29, 323, 786 111, 199	359, 181 54, 402, 911 3, 270, 236 87, 364
Total pork		115, 110, 708		117, 294, 202		113, 155, 591
Sausage and sausage meats— Canned pounds Otherdo Sausage casingsdo Stearindo All other	} 8, 036, 591 36, 496, 326	1, 045, 834 5, 034, 714 (²⁾ 1, 497, 993	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1, 117, 400 \\ 6, 893, 918 \\ 26, 203, 391 \\ 3, 744, 886 \end{array} \right. $	145, 440 940, 305 3, 901, 428 323, 376 1, 935, 860	1,446,582 4,562,983 30,092,206 2,724,181	$\begin{array}{r} 202,120\\755,794\\4,077,882\\234,121\\1,685,351\end{array}$
Total packing-house products		163, 628, 077		162, 706, 355		154, 487, 871
Poultry and game Silk waste. (See Fibers, ani- mal.) Wool. (See Fibers, animal.)		697, 955		1, 303, 379		913, 632
Total animal matter		188, 408, 661		179, 985, 199		169, 147, 048
VEGETABLE MATTER.						
Breadstuffs. (See Grain and grain products.) Broom cornlong tons Cider	3, 320 63, 882	461, 110 10, 460 514, 266	4,113 (³)	389, 219 (³) 376, 336	2,959 (³)	327, 426 (³) 336, 940
Coffee: Green or rawpounds Roasted or prepareddo	40, 779, 693 1, 468, 767	6, 864, 668 306, 090	50, 723, 958 1, 469, 043	8, 679, 422 331, 370	52, 649, 233 1, 815, 835	8, 550, 642 427, 009
Total coffeedo	42, 248, 460	7, 170, 758	52, 193, 001	9,010,792	54, 465, 068	8,977,651
Cotton: Sea island{bales pounds Uplandbales	26, 872 10, 693, 038 10, 648, 573	} 2, 460, 130{ \$563,389,141{	11,843 4,412,470 8,712,729	} 1,078,274 }546,278,921	19, 186 7, 420, 455 9, 146, 114	<pre> } 1, 619, 847 }608, 855, 454</pre>
Total cottondo	5,535,125,429	565, 849, 271	4,562,295,675	547, 357, 195	4,760,940,538	610, 475, 301

TABLE 181.—Agricultural exports (domestic) of the United States during the 3 years ending June 30, 1914—Continued.

Included in "Bones, hoofs, horns, and horn tips, strips, and waste."
 Included in "All other," packing-house products.
 Not stated.

173, 402 38, 238

 $133,990 \\ 101,036$

.

106,892121,287

.

Flavoring extracts and fruit juices...... Flowers, cut.....

TABLE	181.—Agricultural	exports	(domestic)) of	the	United	States	during	the	3	years
		ending J	ùne 30, 19	14—	-Con	tinued.					

	Year ending June 30-											
Article exported.	19	12	19	13	191	4						
	Quantity.	Value.	Quantity.	Value.	Quantity.	Value.						
VEGETABLE MATTER-contd.												
Forest products: Bark, and extract of, for tan-												
Barkpounds Bark, extracts of	4, 188, 945	\$57,319 404,024	¹ 1, 683	\$46, 499 524, 063	¹ 1, 212	\$26, 9 39 -639, 941						
Total bark, etc		461, 343		570, 562		666, 880						
Charcoal Moss		45;726 34,524		73, 030 69, 609		81, 99 7 51, 00 6						
Naval stores— Rosinbarrels Tar, turpentine and pitch,	2, 474, 460	16, 462, 850	2,806,046	17,359,145 317,491	2, 417, 950 351, 353	11,217,316 568,891						
Turpentine, spirits of,	19, 599, 241	10,069,135	21,039,597	8,794,656	18,900,704	8,095,958						
Total naval stores		26, 754, 987		26, 471, 292		19, 882, 165						
Wood— Logs— HickoryM feet Oakdo Walnutdo Otherdo	7, 974 5, 039 9, 816 136, 958	271,722200,072612,0672,574,312	$8,293 \\ 3,139 \\ 12,711 \\ 149,381$	309, 896 125, 818 692, 665 3, 095, 029	8,425 1,872 6,951 120,819	297, 613 63, 850 382, 059 2, 512, 501						
Totaldo	159,787	3,658,173	173,524	4,223,408	138,067	3,200,023						
Lumber— Boards, deals, and planks— CypressM feet Firdo Gumdo Oakdo	$\binom{2}{629,220}$ 59,415 222,266	(²) 7,640,038 1,645,031 9,529,413	14,788 665,295 84,520 287,855	455,649 8,650,747 2,580,286 13,377,912	14, 098 680, 380 70, 714 231, 308	420, 982 8, 709, 140 2, 164, 017 10, 644, 310						
Whitedo	(3)	(3)	49,283	1,661,396	43, 878	1,606,864						
Pitch pinedo Short-leaf pine,	779, 375	15,852,231	869,737	18,596,796	911, 223	19,521,719						
M feet Other pine, M feet PoplarM feet Redwooddo Sprucedo Otherdo	$\begin{array}{c c} 42,005\\ 270,918\\ 23,105\\ (^2)\\ 17,424\\ 262,952\end{array}$	824, 366 6, 580, 689 985, 291 (²) 510, 047 7, 493, 538	47,517 228,365 37,652 51,903 20,020 193,373	$\begin{array}{c} 1,080,503\\ 5,211,158\\ 1,719,274\\ 1,355,340\\ 619,837\\ 6,661,021 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 22,433\\ 127,289\\ 30,860\\ 67,155\\ 18,105\\ 187,833\end{array}$	3,001,399 1,448,622 1,917,315 557,838 6,948,239						
Totaldo	2,306,680	51,060,644	2,550,308	61,975,919	2,405,296	57, 574. 548						
Joists and scantling, M feet	34,229	577,075	25,925	479,969	12,143	206,919						
Railroad tiesnumber	. (1)	(*)	5, 416, 713	2,616,563	5,123,004	2, 564, 543						
ShinglesM.	. 94,732	222, 243	106,903	261,058	46,964	112,463						
Shooks— Boxnumber. Otherdo	$\begin{array}{c}10,225,688\\1,161,591\end{array}$	1,070,286 1,888,467	$13,389,638 \\ 1,710,095$	$1,366,649\ 3,037,943$	$11,149,532 \\ 867,805$	1,270,477 1,542,272						
Total shooksdo	11, 387, 279	2,958,753	15,099,733	4,404,592	12,017,337	2,812,749						

Long tons.
 Included in "Other," boar ds, deals, and planks.
 Included in "Other," pine, yellow.
 Included in "Other," lumber.

TABLE	181.—Agricultural	exports	(domestic)	of	the	United	States	during	the	3	years
	e	nding Jı	ine 30, 191	14—	-Con	tinued.		•			•

	Year ending June 30-							
Article exported.	19	912	19	913	1914			
	Quantity.	Value.	Quantity.	Value.	Quantity.	Value.		
VEGETABLE MATTER-contd.								
Forest products—Continued. Wood—Continued. Lumber—Continued. Staves and heading— Heading Stavesnumber	64, 162, 599	\$318,092 5,748,394	89,005,624	\$346, 258 7, 325, 535	77, 150, 535	\$332, 662 5, 852, 230		
Total staves and heading		6,066,486		7,671,793		6,184,892		
Other		4,014,669		3,087,005		3,028,642		
Total lumber		64,899,870		80, 496, 899		72, 484, 756		
Hewn	31,067	644, 129	34, 502	933, 887	29,859	788, 327		
Sawed— Pitch pinedo Otherdo	287,652 119,302	5,612,768 4,679,924	447, 420 29, 715	9,516,618 700,072	$390,149 \\ 21,158$	7,821,364 562,720		
Total timberdo	438,021	10,936,821	511,637	11, 150, 577	441,166	9, 172, 411		
All other, including fire- wood		256, 249		228,244		201,089		
Total wood		79, 751, 113		96,099,128		85,114,279		
Wood alcoholgallons Wood pulppounds	1,565,368 19,888,961	685, 565 388, 996	$1,837,173 \\ 41,475,557$	$788,143 \\764,020$	1,598,776 26,961,254	652, 486 529, 741		
Total forest products		108, 122, 254		124,835,784		106,978,554		
Fruits:								
Fresh or dried— Apples, driedpoinds. Apples, freshbarrels. Apricots, driedpounds. Berries. Lemonsboxes. Orangesdo. Peaches, driedpounds. Pears, fresh Prunespounds. Raisinsdo	53,664,639 1,456,381 13,413,430 1,197,363 4,425,803 74,328,074 19,949,046	$\begin{array}{c} 4,545,971\\ 5,409,946\\ 1,885,855\\ (1)\\ 3,022,859\\ 422,766\\ 784,627\\ 4,969,053\\ 1,351,986\\ 3,812,304 \end{array}$	41, 574, 562 2, 150, 132 35, 016, 730 81, 949 1, 063, 233 6, 529, 633 117, 950, 875 28, 120, 507	$\begin{array}{c} 2,898,211\\ 7,898,634\\ 3,513,473\\ 574,449\\ 399,409\\ 2,976,520\\ 444,879\\ 796,913\\ 6,655,870\\ 1,512,642\\ 2,893,395 \end{array}$	33,566,160 1,506,569 17,401,692 70,075 1,558,921 6,712,296 69,813,711 14,766,416	$\begin{array}{c} 2,628,445\\ 6,089,701\\ 1,937,771\\ 717,079\\ 308,707\\ 3,824,889\\ 449,549\\ 1,402,924\\ 4,662,546\\ 997,575\\ 2,922,740 \end{array}$		
Total fresh or dried		26,205,367		30, 564, 395		25,941,926		
Preserved— Canned Other	·····	4, 012, 463 136, 870		5,599,373 181,749		4, 863, 946 224, 841		
Total preserved		4, 149, 333		5,781,122		5,088,78 7		
Total fruits		30, 354, 700		36,345,517		31, 030, 713		
Ginsengpounds	155,308	1,119,301	221,901	1,665,731	224,605	1,832,686		
Glucose and grape sugar: Glucosepounds Grape sugardo	126, 395, 045 44, 761, 214	$2,911,736 \\ 1,005,161$	$\substack{158,365,604\\41,783,642}$	$3,682,371 \\970,025$	162, 680, 378 36, 850, 496	3, 766, 284 799, 635		
Grain and grain products: Grain— Barleybushels Buckwheatdo Corndo Oatsdo Ryedo Wheatdo Total graindo	$1,585,242\\180\\40,038,795\\2,171,503\\5,548\\30,160,212\\73,961,480$	$1,267,999 \\ 147 \\ 28,957,450 \\ 1,135,635 \\ 4,844 \\ 28,477,584 \\ 59,843,659 \\$	17, 536, 703 1, 347 49, 064, 967 33, 759, 177 1, 822, 962 91, 602, 974 193, 788, 130	$11, 411, 819 \\1, 503 \\28, 800, 544 \\13, 206, 247 \\1, 260, 384 \\89, 036, 428 \\143, 716, 925$	6, 644, 747 580 9, 380, 855 1, 859, 949 2, 222, 934 92, 393, 775 112, 502, 840	$\begin{array}{r} 4,253,129\\ 695\\ 7,008,028\\ 757,527\\ 1,555,012\\ 87,953,456\\ 101,527,847\end{array}$		
			, .,		I			

1 Included in "Other," fresh or dried fruits.

TABLE	181.—Agricultural	exports	(domes	tic) of	the	United	States	during	the	3	years
	e	nding Ju	une 30,	1914-	-Con	tinued.		· ·			•

	Year ending June 30—								
Article exported.	19	912	1	913	. 1	914			
	Quantity.	Value.	Quantity.	Value.	Quantity.	Value.			
VEGETABLE MATTER-contd.									
Grain and grain products—Con. Grain products— Bran, middlings, and mill feedlong tons.	144, 504	\$4,226,173	¹ 6, 179	1 \$ 170, 733	1 2, 570	1 \$71,043			
Breadstuff preparations— Bread and biscuit, pounds Other	12,973,048	727, 280 2, 063, 876	12, 532, 480	720,067 2,358,864	12, 645, 551	728, 447 2, 323, 412			
Total breadstuff prep- arations		2,791,156		3,078,931		3,051,859			
Distillers' and brewers' grains and malt sprouts, long tonsbushels	73,628 76,696	1,901,974 86,323	79, 160 370, 957	2,061,540 300,489	59, 788 330, 608	1,467,028 270,059			
Meal and flour— Corn mealbarrels Oatmealpounds Rye flourbarrels. Wheat flourdo	439,624 9,112,433 4,306 11,006,487	$1,519,792 \\376,188 \\17,029 \\50,999,797$	$\begin{array}{r} 428,794\\ 48,533,350\\ 5,296\\ 11,394,805\end{array}$	$1,444,539\\1,514,848\\21,311\\53,171,537$	$\begin{array}{r} 336,241\\ 15,998,286\\ 8,293\\ 11,821,461\end{array}$	$1, 185, 891 \\569, 204 \\31, 119 \\54, 454, 175$			
Total meal and flour		52, 912, 806		56, 152, 235		56, 240, 389			
Mill feedlong tons All other	(2)	(2) 1,333,560	156,142	4,180,133 862,735	67,690	1,840,011 346,888			
Total grain-products		63, 251, 992		66,806,796	•••••	63, 287, 277			
Total grain and grain products		123, 095, 651		210, 523, 721		164, 815, 124			
Haylong tons Hopspounds	59,730 12,190,663	$\substack{1,039,040\\4,648,505}$	60, 720 17, 591, 195	964, 429 4, 764, 713	$50,151 \\ 24,262,896$	827,205 6,953,529			
Lard compounds. (See Meat and meat products.) Liquors, alcoholic: Distilled spirits Alcohol, including cologne spiritsproof gallons Rumdo	25,4401,410,840	11, 336 1, 827, 237	151, 232 1, 268, 054	58,346 1,667,567	187, 845 1, 388, 738	67, 7 28 1, 815, 121			
Whisky— Bourbondo Ryedo	84,381 140,122	124, 946 267, 688	60,252 177,341	119,429 327,950	47, 775 134, 152	92, 331 259, 523			
Total whiskydo	224,503	392,634	237,593	447,379	181,927	351, 854			
Otherdo	23, 797	43, 123	29,271	44,867	25,408	41,129			
Total distilled spirits, proof gallons	1,684,580	2 , 274, 330	1,686,150	2, 218, 159	1,783,918	2, 275, 83 2			
Malt liquors— Bottleddozen quarts Unbottledgallons	754,422 305,394	$1,101,169\60,150$	866, 684 312, 965	1,301,244 70,219	962, 627 326, 946	1,405,581 79,595			
Total malt liquors		1,161,319		1,371,463		1, 485, 176			
Winesgallons	957, 120	366, 260	1,075,151	418,668	941,357	373, 412			
Total alcoholic liquors		3,801,909		4,008,290		4, 134, 420			

¹ Excluding "Mill feed."

² Included in "Bran, middlings, and mill feed."

TABLE	181.—Agricultural	exports	(domestic)	of	the	United	States	during	the	3	years
	e	nding Jı	ine 30, 191	4-	-Con	tinued.		·			U

	Year ending June 30—								
Article exported.	. 19	12	19	013	1914				
	Quantity.	Value.	Quantity.	Value.	Quantity.	Value.			
VEGETABLE MATTER-contd.									
Malt. (See Grain and grain products.) Malt liquors. (See Liquors, alcoholic.) Malt sprouts. (See Grain and grain products.) Nursery stock		¢412 955		\$450.760		9 215 065			
Nuts: Peanutspounds Other	5,920,711	305, 465 303, 473	7,301,381	366,016 367,569	8,054,817	421,367			
Total nuts		608,938		733,585		819,679			
Oil cake and oil-cake meal: Cornpounds Cottonseeddo Flaxseed, or linseeddo Otherdo	72, 490, 021 1,293,690,138 596, 114,536 8,924, 033	1,035,291 17,325,858 9,735,022 132,534	76, 262, 845 1,128,092,367 838, 119, 654 6, 886, 270	$1,131,330 \\15,225,798 \\12,982,423 \\104,701$	59,030,623 799,974,252 662,868,639 8,484,936	909,407 11,007,441 9,650,379 100,445			
Totaldo	1,971,218,728	28,228,705	2,049,361,136	29,444,252	1,530,358,450	21,667,672			
Oils, vegetable: Fixed or expressed— Cornpounds Cottonseeddo Linseedgallons Other.	23, 866, 146 399, 470, 973 246, 965	1,526,931 24,089,223 208,591 339,391	19,839,222 315,2 3 2,892 1,7 3 3,925	1,292,009 20,736,972 874,461 420,368	18,281,576 192,963,079 239,188	$1, 307, 204 \\13, 843, 179 \\134, 540 \\338, 956$			
Total fixed or expressed.	·····	26, 164, 136		23, 323, 810		15,623,879			
Volatile, or essential— Peppermintpounds Other	155,740	422,631 322,164	134,663	$395,551 \\ 325,040$	117,809	$397,050 \\ 230,557$			
Total volatile, or essential		744, 795		720, 591		627,607			
Total vegetable oils		26,908,931		24,044,401		16, 251, 486			
Rice, rice meal, etc.: Ricepounds Rice bran, meal, and polish, pounds Rice hulls.	26, 797, 535 12, 649, 036	851, 402 118, 985 181, 229	24,801,280 14,106,777	765, 447 109, 660 194, 757	18, 223, 264 4, 191, 062	721,046 36,274 126,888			
Total		1,151,616		1,069,864		884,208			
Roots, herbs, and barks, n.e.s.		549,877		424, 312		513,071			
Seeds: Cotton seedpounds Flaxseed, or linseed,	64,060,776	727,100	24,048,647	328,988	16,342,384	215, 115			
bushels	4,323	12,160	16,894	26,699	305,546	436,874			
Grass and clover seed— Cloverpounds Timothydo Otherdo	1,874,682 4,354,556 $(^1)$	317,772 620,942 534,578	5,407,594 17,559,653 8,226,512	$941,622\\844,418\\895,276$	$\begin{array}{r} 4,640,852\\ 12,480,294\\ 5,156,801 \end{array}$	691,437 688,118 600,368			
Total grass and clover seed	(1)	1,473,292	31, 193, 759	2,681,316	22, 277, 947	1,979,923			
All other seeds		686,250		527,834		558,833			
Total seeds		2, 898, 802		3, 564, 837		3, 190, 745			
Spices. Spirits, distilled. (See Liq-		74,023		92, 962		84, 427			
Starch	83,644,749 1,030	1,965,401 11,559	110, 897, 591 634	2,609,716 5,632	76, 713, 779 288	1,825,230 4,714			

Imports and Exports of Agricultural Products.

TABLE 181.—Agricultural exports (domestic) of the United States during the 3 years ending June 30, 1914—Continued.

	Year ending June 30									
Article exported.	19	912	1	913	1914					
	Quan.ity.	Value.	Quantity.	Value.	Quantity.	Value.				
VEGETABLE MATTER-contd.										
Sugar, molasses, and sirup: Molassesgallons Sirupdo Sugar—	9,513,441 19,146,986	\$984,636 2,539,055	2, 145, 613 14, 309, 029	\$255,973 1,937,648	1,002,441 11,630,528	\$175,498 1,491,639				
Refinedpounds	79, 594, 034	3,681,072	43,994,761	1,681,302	50, 895, 726	1,839,983				
Total sugar, molasses, and sirup		7,204,763		3, 874, 923		3,507,120				
Tobacco: Leafpounds Stems and trimmings.do	375, 373, 131 4, 472, 189	43,146,013 105,844	414, 160, 356 4, 636, 550	49,202,456 151,139	446, 944, 435 2, 805, 547	53,903,336 60,334				
Totaldo	379,845,320	43, 251, 857	418,796,906	49,353,595	449, 749, 982	53,963,670				
Vegetables: Fresh or dried— Beans and peasbushels Onionsdo Potatoesdo	$341,268 \\ 313,299 \\ 1,237,276$	1,011,466307,1321,414,297	$400,868 \\571,074 \\2,028,261$	1,080,066 397,516 1,646,176	314,655 386,322 1,794,073	875,493 435,953 1,463,514				
Total fresh or dried, bushels	1,891,843	2,732,895	3,000,203	3, 123, 758	2, 495, 050	2,774,960				
Prepared or preserved— Canned Pickles and sauces Other		$1,822,357 \\ (1) \\ 1,988,866$		$1,819,281 \\837,571 \\1,572,927$		1,520,879 928,611 1,711,950				
Total prepared or pre- served		3,811,223		4,229,779		4, 161, 440				
Total vegetables		6,544,118		7,353,537		6,936,400				
Vinegar	185, 580	37,770	213, 786	63,836	125,666	25, 112				
holic.) Yeast		175,347		278,200		332, 895				
Total vegetable matter, including forest prod- ucts.		970, 340, 724		1,068,502,570		1,051,805,141				
excluding forest prod- ucts		862, 218, 470		943,666,786		944,826,587				
Total agricultural ex- ports, including forest products		1,158,749,385	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1,248,487,769		1,220,952,189				
products		1,050,627,131		1,123,651,985		1,113,973,635				

¹ Included in "Other," prepared or preserved vegetables.

TABLE 182.—Foreign trade of the United States in agricultural products, 1852–1914.

[Compiled from reports of Foreign Commerce and Navigation of the United States. All values are gold.]

	Agricu	ltural expo	orts. ¹	Agricultural		
Year ending June 30—	Domes	tic.				Excess of agricultural exports (+)
	Total.	Percent- age of all domestic exports.	Foreign.	Total.	Percent- age of all imports.	or of imports (-).
Average: 1852-1856	\$164, 895, 146 215, 708, 845 148, 865, 540 250, 713, 058 396, 666, 397 591, 350, 518	80. 9 81. 1 75. 7 76. 9 78. 5 80. 4	\$8,059,875 10,173,833 9,287,669 8,538,101 8,853,247 8,631,780	\$77, 847, 158 121, 018, 143 122, 221, 547 179, 774, 000 263, 155, 573 266, 383, 702	29.138.243.042.346.550.4	+ \$95, 107, 863 +104, 864, 535 + 35, 931, 662 + 79, 477, 159 +142, 364, 071 + 333, 598, 596
1882–1886 1887–1891 1892–1896 1897–1901. 1902–1906 1907–1911.	$\begin{array}{c} 557,472,922\\ 573,286,616\\ 638,748,318\\ 827,566,147\\ 879,541,247\\ 975,398,554\end{array}$	76. 3 74. 7 73. 0 65. 9 59. 5 53. 9	$\begin{array}{c}9,340,463\\6,982,328\\8,446,491\\10,961,539\\11,922,292\\12,126,228\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 311,707,564\\ 366,950,109\\ 398,332,043\\ 376,549,697\\ 487,881,038\\ 634,570,734 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 46.8\\ 43.3\\ 51.6\\ 50.2\\ 46.3\\ 45.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r}+255,105,821\\+213,318,835\\+248,862,766\\+461,977,989\\+403,582,501\\+352,954,048\end{array}$
1901 1902 1903 1904 1905	951, 628, 331 857, 113, 533 878, 480, 557 859, 160, 264 826, 904, 777	$\begin{array}{r} 65.\ 2\\ 63.\ 2\\ 63.\ 1\\ 59.\ 9\\ 55.\ 4\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 11, 293, 045\\ 10, 308, 306\\ 13, 505, 343\\ 12, 625, 026\\ 12, 316, 525 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 391,931,051\\ 413,744,557\\ 456,199,325\\ 461,434,851\\ 553,851,214 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 47.\ 6\\ 45.\ 8\\ 44.\ 5\\ 46.\ 6\\ 49.\ 6\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} +570,990,325\\ +453,677,282\\ +435,786,575\\ +410,350,439\\ +285,370,088\end{array}$
1906 1907 1908 1909 1910	$\begin{array}{c} 976,047,104\\ 1,054,405,416\\ 1,017,396,404\\ 903,238,122\\ 871,158,425 \end{array}$	56.8 56.9 55.5 55.1 50.9	$\begin{array}{c} 10,856,259\\ 11,613,519\\ 10,298,514\\ 9,584,934\\ 14,469,627 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 554,175,242\\ 626,836,808\\ 539,690,121\\ 638,612,692\\ 687,509,115\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 45.2 \\ 43.7 \\ 45.2 \\ 48.7 \\ 44.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r}+432,728,121\\+439,182,127\\+488,004,797\\+274,210,364\\+198,118,937\end{array}$
1911. 1912. 1913. 1913.	1,030,794,402 1,050,627,131 1,123,651,985 1,113,973,635	51. 2 48. 4 46. 3 47. 8	$\begin{array}{c} 14,664,548\\ 12,107,656\\ 15,029,444\\ 17,729,462 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 680,204,932\\ 783,457,471\\ 815,300,510\\ 924,246,616\end{array}$	44. 5 47. 4 45. 0 48. 8	+365, 254, 018 +279, 277, 316 +323, 380, 919 +207, 456, 481

¹ Not including forest products.
TABLE 183.—Exports of selected domestic agricultural products, 1852-1914.

[Compiled from reports of Foreign Commerce and Navigation of the United States. Where figures are lacking, either there were no exports or they were not separately classified for publication. For "Beef, salted or pickled," and "Pork, salted or pickled," barrels, 1851–1865, were reduced to pounds at the rate of 200 pounds per barrel, and tierces, 1855–1866, at the rate of 300 pounds per tierce; cottonseed oil, 1910, pounds reduced to gallons at the rate of 7.5 pounds per gallon. It is assumed that 1 barrel of corn meal is the product of 4 bushels of corn, and 1 barrel of wheat flour the product of 5 bushels of wheat prior to 1880 and of 4½ bushels of wheat in 1880 and subsequently.]

		1				Pa	ekin	g-house pr	oduct	58.	
Year ending June 30—	Cattle.	Cheese.	cu sa pi	Beef, ired— lted or ckled.	Bee	f, fresh.	В	eef oils— bleo oil.	Bee ly)-	ef (most- -tallow.	Beef and its products— total, as far as ascertainable in pounds. ¹
Average: 1852–1856 1857–1861 1862–1866 1862–1871 1872–1876 1877–1881	Number. 1, 431 20, 294 6, 531 45, 672 127, 045	Pounds. 6, 200, 385 13, 906, 430 42, 683, 073 52, 880, 978 87, 173, 752 129, 670, 479	$P \\ 25, \\ 26, \\ 27, \\ 26, \\ 35, \\ 40, \\ $	ounds. 980, 520 985, 880 662, 720 954, 656 826, 646 174, 643	P 	ounds.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Pounds.	$P \\ 7 \\ 13 \\ 43 \\ 27 \\ 78 \\ 96$	ounds. , 468, 910 , 214, 614 , 202, 724 , 577, 269 , 994, 360 , 822, 695	Pounds. 33, 449, 430 40, 200, 494 70, 865, 444 54, 531, 925 114, 821, 006 218, 709, 987
1882–1886 1887–1891 1892–1896 1897–1901 1902–1906 1907–1911	$131, 605 \\ 244, 394 \\ 349, 032 \\ 415, 488 \\ 508, 103 \\ 253, 867$	$\begin{array}{c} 108,790,010\\ 86,354,842\\ 66,905,798\\ 46,108,704\\ 19,244,482\\ 9,152,083 \end{array}$	47, 65, 64, 52, 59, 46,	401, 470 613, 851 898, 780 242, 288 208, 292 187, 175	97 136 207 305 272 144	, 327, 819 , 447, 554 , 372, 575 , 626, 184 , 148, 180 , 799, 735	35 5 10 13 15 17	$\begin{array}{c} 0,276,133\\ 0,482,249\\ 2,038,519\\ 9,373,402\\ 6,925,317\\ 0,530,432 \end{array}$	48 91 56 86 59 66	, 745, 416 , 608, 126 , 976, 840 , 082, 497 , 892, 601 , 356, 232	$\begin{array}{c} 225, 625, 631\\ 411, 797, 859\\ 507, 177, 430\\ 637, 268, 235\\ 622, 843, 230\\ 448, 024, 017 \end{array}$
1901 1902 1903 1904 1905	459, 218 392, 884 402, 178 593, 409 567, 806	$\begin{array}{r} 39,813,517\\ 27,203,184\\ 18,987,178\\ 23,335,172\\ 10,134,424 \end{array}$	55, 48, 52, 57, 55,	312, 632 632, 727 801, 220 584, 710 934, 705	351 301 254 299 236	, 748, 333 , 824, 473 , 795, 963 , 579, 671 , 486, 568	$ \begin{array}{c} 16 \\ 13 \\ 12 \\ 16 \\ 14 \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 1, 651, 413\\ 8, 546, 088\\ 6, 010, 339\\ 5, 183, 839\\ 5, 228, 245 \end{array}$	77 34 27 76 63	$, 166, 889 \ 065, 758 \ 368, 924 \ 924, 174 \ 536, 992 $	705, 104, 772 596, 254, 520 546, 055, 244 663, 147, 095 575, 874, 718
1906 1907 1908 1909 1910	584, 239 423, 051 349, 210 207, 542 139, 430	$\begin{array}{c} 16,562,451\\ 17,285,230\\ 8,439,031\\ 6,822,842\\ 2,846,709 \end{array}$	81, 62, 46, 44, 36,	088, 098 645, 281 958, 367 494, 210 554, 266	268 281 201 122 75	054, 227 651, 502 154, 105 952, 671 729, 666	$20 \\ 19 \\ 21 \\ 17 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 12$	9,658,075 5,337,176 2,541,157 9,985,246 6,091,675	97 127 91 53 29	, 567, 156 , 857, 739 , 397, 507 , 332, 767 , 379, 992	732,884,572 689,752,420 579,303,478 418,844,332 286,295,874
1911 1912 1913 1914	$150, 100 \\ 105, 506 \\ 24, 714 \\ 18, 376$	$\begin{array}{c c} 10,366,605\\ 6,337,559\\ 2,599,058\\ 2,427,577 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} 40, \\ 38, \\ 25, \\ 23, \end{array}$	283, 749 087, 907 856, 919 265, 974	42 15 7 6	2, 510, 731 5, 264, 320 7, 362, 388 5, 394, 404	13 12 9 9	8,696,906 6,467,124 2,849,757 7,017,065	$ \begin{array}{c} 29 \\ 39 \\ 30 \\ 15 \end{array} $, 813, 154 , 451, 419 , 586, 350 , 812, 831	205, 923, 983 233, 924, 626 166, 463, 344 148, 487, 828
-			Pack	ing-hou	se pro	oducts.					· ·
Year ending June 30—	Pork, cured— bacon.	Pork cured- hams	2	Pork cured salted pickle	r, or ed.	Pork- lard.	-	Pork and product total, as f ascertain in pound	l its s— ar as able is. ³	Apples, fresh.	Corn and corn meal (converted to corn).
Average: 1852–1856 1857–1861 1862–1866 1867–1871 1872–1876 1877–1881	Pounds 30,005,4 30,583,2 10,796,9 45,790,1 313,402,4 643,633,7	. Pound 79 997 61 13 01 09	s. 	Poun 40, 542 34, 854 52, 550 28, 879 60, 429 85, 968	ds. ,600 ,400 ,758 ,085 ,361 ,138	Pound 33, 354, 37, 965, 89, 138, 53, 579, 194, 197, 331, 457,	s. 976 993 251 373 714 591	Pound. 103,903 103,403 252,485 128,248 568,029 1,075,793	s. ,056 ,690 ,970 ,571 ,477 ,475	Barrels. 37, 41 57, 04 119, 43 132, 75 509, 73	Bushels. 2 7, 123, 286 5 6, 557, 610 3 12, 059, 794 9, 924, 235 6 38, 560, 557 5 88, 190, 030
1882–1886 1887–1891 1892–1896 1897–1901 1902–1906 1907–1911	355, 905, 4 419, 935, 4 438, 847, 5 536, 287, 2 292, 721, 9 209, 005, 1	$\begin{array}{cccc} 44 & 47, 634, \\ 16 & 60, 697, \\ 49 & 96, 107, \\ 266 & 200, 853, \\ 53 & 206, 902, \\ 44 & 189, 603, \\ \end{array}$	675 365 152 226 427 211	72,35473,98464,827112,788116,82390,809	, 682 , 682 , 470 , 498 , 284 , 879	$\begin{array}{c} 263, 425, \\ 381, 388, \\ 451, 547, \\ 652, 418, \\ 592, 130, \\ 519, 746, \end{array}$	058 854 135 143 894 378	739,455936,2471,052,1331,528,1381,242,1361,028,996	,913 ,966 ,760 ,779 ,649 ,659	$\begin{array}{r} 401,88\\ 522,51\\ 520,81\\ 779,98\\ 1,368,60\\ 1,225,65\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{ccccc} 6 & 49,992,203\\ 1 & 54,606,273\\ 0 & 63,979,898\\ 0 & 192,531,378\\ 8 & 74,615,465\\ 5 & 56,568,030 \end{array}$
1901 1902 1903 1904 1905	$\begin{array}{r} 456, 122, 7\\ 383, 150, 6\\ 207, 336, 0\\ 249, 665, 9\\ 262, 246, 6\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	803 232 365 864 724	$138, 643 \\115, 896 \\95, 287 \\112, 224 \\118, 887$, 611 , 275 , 374 , 861 , 189	611, 357, 556, 840, 490, 755, 561, 302, 610, 238,	514 222 821 643 899	$\begin{array}{r} 1,462,369\\ 1,337,315\\ 1,042,119\\ 1,146,255\\ 1,220,031 \end{array}$, 849 , 909 , 570 , 441 , 970	$\begin{array}{r} 883, 67\\ 459, 71\\ 1, 656, 12\\ 2, 018, 26\\ 1, 499, 94\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
1906 1907 1908 1909 1910	$\begin{array}{c} 361,210,5\\ 250,418,6\\ 241,189,9\\ 244,578,6\\ 152,163,1 \end{array}$	63194, 267,599209, 481,229221, 769,574212, 170,07146, 885,	949 496 634 224 385	$141,820 \\ 166,427 \\ 149,505 \\ 52,354 \\ 40,031$,720 ,409 ,937 ,980 ,599	741, 516, 627, 559, 603, 413, 528, 722, 362, 927,	886 660 770 933 671	$ \begin{array}{c} 1,464,960\\ 1,268,065\\ 1,237,210\\ 1,053,142\\ 707,110 \end{array} $,356 ,412 ,760 ,056 ,062		9 119, 893, 833 7 86, 368, 228 5 55, 063, 860 9 37, 665, 040 8 38, 128, 498
1911 1912 1913 1914.	156, 675, 3 208, 574, 2 200, 993, 5 193, 964, 2	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	316 491 687 791	45,729 56,321 53,749 45,543	,471 ,469 ,023 ,085	476, 107, 532, 255, 519, 025, 481, 457,	857 865 384 792	879,455 1,071,951 984,696 921,913	,006 ,724 ,710 ,029	1,721,10 1,456,38 2,150,13 1,506,56	6 65, 614, 522 1 41, 797, 291 2 50, 780, 143 9 10, 725, 819

¹ Includes beef, canned, cured; beef, cured—other; beef, fresh; oils, oleo oil; oleomargarin; tallow. ² Subsequent to 1904, including shoulders. ³ Includes lard; lard, neutral; pork, canned; pork, cured—bacon; pork, cured—hams; pork, cured—salted or pickled; pork, fresh.

Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

Year ending June 30—	Lard com- pounds.	Cotton.	Glucose and grape sugar.	Corn oil, cake, and oil-cake meal.	Cottonseed oil, cake, and oil-cake meal.	Prunes.	Tobacco.
Average:	Pounds.	Pounds.	Pounds.	Pounds.	Pounds.	Pounds.	Pounds. 140,183,800
$1857 - 1861 \dots $ $1862 - 1866 \dots$		1,125,715,497 137,582,133					167,710,800 140,207,850 194,753,537
1867–1871 1872–1876 1877–1881		902, 410, 338 1, 248, 805, 497 1, 738, 892, 268					241,848,410 266,315,190
1882–1886 1887–1891		1,968,178,266 2,439,650,456	4,473,550 27,686,298	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			237,941,913 259,248,361
1892–1896 1897–1901 1902–1906	21,792,477 52 954 358	2,736,655,351 3,447,909,578 3,632,267,952	125,574,007 209,279,772 154,866,980	21.888.135	1,005,099,895 1.066,790,196	48,550,774	281,746,279 304,401,701 325,538,515
1907–1911	75, 765, 254	4,004,770,051	145,064,783	61,732,807	989,738,130	47,039,287	334, 395, 923
1901 1902 1903	23,359,966 36,201,744 46,130,004	3,528,974,636 3,569,141,969	130, 419, 611 126, 239, 981	12,703,209 14,740,498 8,093,222	1,253,087,317 1,050,466,246 1,100,392,988	23,358,849 66,385,215	301,007,365 368,184,084
1904 1905	53,603,545 61,215,187	3,089,855,906 4,339,322,077	152,768,716 175,250,580	14,014,885 24,171,127	820, 349, 073 1, 251, 907, 996	73, 146, 214 54, 993, 849	311, 971, 831 334, 302, 091
1906 1907	67,621,310 80,148,861	3,634,045,170 4,518,217,220 2,816,008,602	189,656,011 151,629,441 120,686,824	48, 420, 942 56, 808, 972 66, 127, 704	1,110,834,678 1,340,967,136 929,287,467	24,869,744 44,400,104 28,148,450	312, 227, 202 340, 742, 864 330, 812, 658
1908 1909 1910	75,183,210 75,183,196 74,556,603	3,810,998,095 4,447,985,202 3,206,708,226	129,030,834 112,224,504 149,820,088	53,233,890 49,108,598	1,233,750,327 640,088,766	22,602,288 89,014,880	287,900,946 357,196,074
1911 1912	73,754,400 62,522,888	4,033,940,915 5,535,125,429	181,963,046 171,156,259	83, 384, 870 72, 490, 021	804, 596, 955 1, 293, 690, 138	51,030,711 74,328,074	355, 327, 072 379, 845, 320
1913 1914	67, 456, 832 58, 303, 564	4,562,295,675 4,760,940,538	200, 149, 246 199, 530, 874	76, 262, 845 59, 030, 623	$\left \begin{array}{c} 1,128,092,367\\799,974,252 \end{array} \right $	117,950,875 69,813,711	418, 796, 906 449, 749, 982

TABLE 183.—Exports of selected domestic agricultural products, 1852-1914—Continued.

and the second se							1
Year ending June 30—	Hops.	Oils, veg- etable— cotton- seed oil.	Rice and rice bran, meal, and polish.	Sugar, raw and refined.	Wheat.	Wheat flour.	Wheat and wheat flour (converted to wheat).
Average: 1852–1856 1857–1861 1862–1866 1867–1871 1872–1876	Pounds. 1, 162, 802 2, 216, 095 4, 719, 330 6, 486, 616 3, 446, 466	Gallons.	Pounds. 56, 514, 840 65, 732, 080 2, 257, 860 1, 856, 948 391, 344	Pounds. 7,730,322 6,015,058 3,007,777 4,356,900 20,142,169	Bushels. 4, 715, 021 12, 378, 351 22, 529, 735 22, 106, 833 48, 957, 518	Barrels. 2,891,562 3,318,280 3,530,757 2,585,115 3,415,871	Bushels. 19, 172, 830 28, 969, 749 40, 183, 518 35, 032, 409 66, 036, 873
1877–1881 1882–1886 1887–1891 1892–1896 1897–1901 1902–1906 1902–1911	10, 445, 654 9, 584, 437 7, 184, 147 15, 146, 667 15, 467, 314 11, 476, 272 14, 774, 185	4, 498, 436 3, 467, 905 7, 120, 796 15, 782, 647 42, 863, 203 38, 605, 737 38, 783, 550	602, 442 561, 406 3, 209, 653 10, 277, 947 18, 407, 139 45, 977, 670 27, 194, 549	41, 718, 443 107, 129, 770 75, 073, 838 13, 999, 349 11, 213, 664 14, 807, 014 61, 429, 802	$\begin{array}{c} 107, 780, 556\\ 82, 883, 913\\ 64, 739, 011\\ 99, 913, 895\\ 120, 247, 430\\ 70, 527, 077\\ 62, 854, 580 \end{array}$	5,375,583 8,620,199 11,286,568 15,713,279 17,151,070 15,444,100 11,840,699	$133, 262, 753 \\121, 674, 809 \\115, 528, 568 \\170, 623, 652 \\197, 427, 246 \\140, 025, 529 \\116, 137, 728 \\$
1901 1902 1903 1904 1905	$\begin{array}{c} 14,963,676\\ 10,715,151\\ 7,794,705\\ 10,985,988\\ 14,858,612 \end{array}$	49, 356, 741 33, 042, 848 35, 642, 994 29, 013, 743 51, 535, 580	25,527,846 29,591,274 19,750,448 29,121,763 113,282,760	8,874,860 7,572,452 10,520,156 15,418,537 18,348,077	132,060,667 154,856,102 114,181,420 44,230,169 4,394,402	$\begin{array}{c} 18,650,979\\ 17,759,203\\ 19,716,484\\ 16,999,432\\ 8,826,335 \end{array}$	215, 990, 073 234, 772, 516 202, 905, 598 120, 727, 613 44, 112, 910
1906. 1907. 1908. 1909. 1910.	$\begin{array}{c} 13,026,904\\ 16,809,534\\ 22,920,480\\ 10,446,884\\ 10,589,254 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 43,793,519\\41,880,304\\41,019,991\\51,087,329\\29,860,667\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 38,142,103\\ 30,174,371\\ 28,444,415\\ 20,511,429\\ 26,779,188 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 22,175,846\\ 21,237,603\\ 25,510,643\\ 79,946,297\\ 125,507,022 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 34,973,291\\76,569,423\\100,371,057\\66,923,244\\46,679,876\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 13,919,048\\ 15,584,667\\ 13,927,247\\ 10,521,161\\ 9,040,987 \end{array}$	97,609,007 146,700,425 163,043,669 114,268,468 87,364,318
1911 1912 1913 1914	$\begin{array}{c}13,104,774\\12,190,663\\17,591,195\\24,262,896\end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 30,069,459\\ 53,262,796\\ 42,031,052\\ 25,728,411 \end{array} $	30,063,341 39,446,571 38,908,057 22,414,326	54,947,444 79,594,034 43,994,761 50,895,726	23,729,302 30,160,212 91,602,974 92,393,775	$\begin{array}{c} 10, 129, 435 \\ 11, 006, 487 \\ 11, 394, 805 \\ 11, 821, 461 \end{array}$	69, 311, 760 79, 689, 404 141, 132, 166 145, 590, 349

TABLE 184.—Imports of selected agricultural products, 1852–1914.

[Compiled from reports of Foreign Commerce and Navigation of the United States. Where figures are lacking, either there were no imports or they were not separately classified for publication. "Silk" includes, prior to 1881, only "Silk, raw or as reeled from the coccon"; in 1881 and 1882 are included this item and "Silk waste"; after 1882, both these items and "Silk coccons." From "Cocco and chocolate" are omitted in 1860, 1861, and in 1872 to 1881, small quantities of chocolate, the official returns for which were given only in value. "Jute and jute butts" includes in 1858 and 1859 an unknown quantity of "Sisal grass, coir, etc.," and in 1865–1868 an unknown quantity of "Hemp." Cattle hides are included in "Hides and skins other than cattle and goat" in 1893–1897. Olive oil for table use includes in 1862–1864 and 1885–1905 all olive oil. Sisal grass includes in 1884–1890 "Other vegetable substances." Hemp includes in 1885–1888 all substitutes for hemp.]

Year ending June 30—	Cheese.	Silk.	Wool.	Almonds.	Argols or wine lees.	Cocoa and chocolate, total.	Coffee.
Average: 1852–1856 1857–1861 1862–1866 1867–1871 1872–1876 1877–1881	Pounds. 1,053,983 1,378,147	Pounds. 681,669 1,094,948 1,922,269	Pounds. 19,067,447 	Pounds. 3, 460, 807 3, 251, 091 2, 482, 063	Pounds. 1,354,947 2,360,529 4,951,473 12,403,256	Pounds. 2, 486, 572 3, 063, 893 2, 453, 141 3, 502, 614 4, 857, 364 6, 315, 488	Pounds. 196, 582, 863 216, 235, 090 124, 551, 992 248, 726, 019 307, 006, 928 384, 282, 199
1882–1886 1887–1891 1892–1896 1897–1901 1902–1906 1907–1911	8, 335, 323 9, 649, 752 12, 588, 515 22, 165, 754 37, 662, 812	$\begin{array}{r} 4,672,846\\ 6,564,121\\ 8,382,892\\ 10,962,210\\ 17,187,544\\ 22,143,461 \end{array}$	83, 293, 800 117, 763, 889 162, 640, 491 163, 979, 079 193, 656, 402 199, 562, 649	5,860,728 7,487,676 7,361,198 10,920,881 15,297,414	$\begin{array}{c} 17,551,967\\21,433,570\\26,469,990\\24,379,847\\27,647,440\\29,350,692\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 11,568,173\\ 18,322,049\\ 25,475,234\\ 38,209,423\\ 70,901,254\\ 113,673,368 \end{array}$	529, 578, 782 509, 367, 994 597, 484, 217 816, 570, 082 980, 119, 167 934, 533, 322
1901 1902 1903 1904 1905	$\begin{array}{c} 15,329,099\\17,067,714\\20,671,384\\22,707,103\\23,095,705\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10,405,555\\ 14,234,826\\ 15,270,859\\ 16,722,709\\ 22,357,307 \end{array}$	103, 583, 505 166, 576, 966 177, 137, 796 173, 742, 834 249, 135, 746	5,140,232 9,868,982 8,142,164 9,838,852 11,745,081	28, 598, 781 29, 276, 148 29, 966, 557 24, 571, 730 26, 281, 931	$\begin{array}{c} 47, 620, 204\\ 52, 878, 587\\ 65, 046, 884\\ 75, 070, 746\\ 77, 383, 024 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 854,871,310\\ 1,091,004,252\\ 915,086,380\\ 995,043,284\\ 1,047,792,984 \end{array}$
1906 1907 1908 1909 1910	$\begin{array}{c} 27,286,866\\ 33,848,766\\ 32,530,830\\ 35,548,143\\ 40,817,524 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 17,352,021\\ 18,743,904\\ 16,662,132\\ 25,187,957\\ 23,457,223 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 201, 688, 668\\ 203, 847, 545\\ 125, 980, 524\\ 266, 409, 304\\ 263, 928, 232 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 15,009,326\\ 14,233,613\\ 17,144,968\\ 11,029,421\\ 18,556,356 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 28,140,835\\ 30,540,893\\ 26,738,834\\ 32,115,646\\ 28,182,956 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 84, 127, 027\\ 97, 059, 513\\ 86, 604, 684\\ 132, 660, 931\\ 111, 070, 834 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 851, 668, 933\\ 985, 321, 473\\ 890, 640, 057\\ 1, 049, 868, 768\\ 871, 469, 516\end{array}$
1911 1912 1913 1914	45, 568, 797 46, 542, 007 49, 387, 944 63, 784, 313	26, 666, 091 26, 584, 962 32, 101, 555 34, 545, 829	$137, 647, 641 \\ 193, 400, 713 \\ 195, 293, 255 \\ 38, 094, 480$	15,522,712 17,231,458 15,670,558 19,038,405	29, 175, 133 23, 661, 078 29, 479, 119 29, 793, 011	140,970,877 148,785,846 143,509,852 179,364,091	875, 366, 797 885, 201, 247 863, 130, 757 1, 001, 528, 317
Year ending June 30—	Flax.	Hemp.	Hops.	Jute and jute butts.	Licorice root.	Manila.	Molasses.
Average: 1852–1856 1857–1861 1862–1866 1867–1871 1872–1876 1872–1876	Long tons 1,143	Long tons. 1,574 2,652 22,711 22,458	Pounds.	Long tons. 3,244 17,239 3,213 14,909 49,188 62,496	Pounds. 1, 372, 573 1, 887, 892	Long tons. 12,084 15,566	Gallons. 28, 488, 888 30, 190, 875 34, 262, 933 53, 322, 088 44, 815, 321 32, 638, 963
1882–1886 1887–1891 1892–1896 1897–1901 1902–1906 1907–1911	- 5,678 - 7,021 - 6,785 - 7,008 - 8,574 - 9,721	$\begin{array}{c} 30,557\\ 36,919\\ 5,409\\ 4,107\\ 5,230\\ 6,368\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1, 618, 879 \\ 7, 771, 672 \\ 2, 386, 240 \\ 2, 381, 899 \\ 5, 205, 867 \\ 6, 769, 965 \end{array}$	91,058 104,887 84,111 93,970 101,512 100,420	59, 275, 373 86, 444, 974 87, 475, 620 99, 543, 395 96, 111, 469	47, 354 47, 217 60, 813 67, 289	. 35,019,689 . 30,543,299 15,474,619 6,321,160 17,191,821 24,147,348
1901 1902 1903 1904 1905	- 6,878 - 7,772 - 8,155	4,057 6,054 4,919	2,606,708 2,805,293 6,012,510	103,140 128,963 79,703 06,725	100, 105, 654 109, 077, 323 88, 580, 611	$\begin{array}{r} 43,735\\56,453\\61,648\\65,666\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	- 10,123	5,871 3,987	2,758,163 4,339,379	98,215	89,463,182 108,443,892	61,562	19,477,885
1906 1907 1908 1909 1910	- 10, 122 - 8, 089 - 8, 729 - 8, 656 - 9, 528 - 9, 870 - 12, 761	5,8713,9875,3178,7186,2135,2086,423	$\begin{array}{c} 2,758,163\\ 4,339,379\\ 10,113,989\\ 6,211,893\\ 8,493,265\\ 7,386,574\\ 3,200,560\\ \end{array}$	96, 735 98, 215 103, 945 104, 489 107, 533 156, 685 68, 155	$\begin{array}{c} 89,463,182\\ 108,443,892\\ 102,151,969\\ 66,115,863\\ 109,355,720\\ 97,742,776\\ 82,207,496\\ \end{array}$	53, 600 $61, 562$ $58, 738$ $54, 513$ $52, 467$ $61, 902$ $93, 253$	10,022,085 19,477,885 16,021,076 24,630,935 18,882,756 22,092,696 31,292,165

Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

TABLE 184.—Imports	of selected	' agricultural	products,	1852–1914–	Continued.
--------------------	-------------	----------------	-----------	------------	------------

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	1		1	[1	1
Year ending June 30—	Olive oil, for table use.	Opium, crude.	Potatoes.	Rice, and rice flour, rice meal, and broken rice.	Sisal grass.	Sugar, raw and refined.	Tea.
Average: 1852–1856 1857–1861 1862–1866 1867–1871 1872–1876 1877–1881	Gallons. 177, 947 152, 827 174, 555 218, 507	Pounds. 110, 143 113, 594 128, 590 209, 096 365, 071 407, 656	Bushels. 406, 611 251, 637 216, 077 254, 615 1, 850, 106	Pounds. 70, 893, 331 52, 953, 577 72, 536, 435 62, 614, 706	Long tons. 615	Pounds. 479, 373, 648 691, 323, 833 672, 637, 141 1, 138, 464, 815 1, 614, 055, 119 1, 760, 508, 290	Pounds. 24, 959, 922 28, 149, 643 30, 869, 450 44, 052, 805 62, 436, 359 67, 583, 083
1882-1886 1887-1891 1892-1896 1897-1901 1902-1906 1907-1911	758, 352 773, 692 909, 249 1, 783, 425 3, 897, 224	391, 946 475, 299 528, 785 567, 681 537, 576 489, 513	$\begin{array}{c} 2,834,736\\ 3,878,580\\ 1,804,649\\ 495,150\\ 2,662,121\\ 1,907,405 \end{array}$	99, 870, 675 156, 868, 635 160, 807, 652 165, 231, 669 150, 913, 684 215, 892, 467	40, 274 50, 129 70, 297 96, 832 102, 440	2,458,490,409 3,003,283,854 3,827,799,481 3,916,433,945 3,721,782,404 3,997,156,461	74, 781, 418 84, 275, 049 92, 782, 175 86, 809, 270 98, 677, 584 96, 742, 977
1901	983,059 1,339,097 1,494,132 1,713,590 1,923,174	583,208534,189516,570573,055594,680	$\begin{array}{r} 371,911\\7,656,162\\358,505\\3,166,581\\181,199\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 117, 199, 710\\ 157, 658, 894\\ 169, 656, 284\\ 154, 221, 772\\ 106, 483, 515 \end{array}$	70, 076 89, 583 87, 025 109, 214 100, 301	3,975,005,840 3,031,915,875 4,216,108,106 3,700,623,613 3,680,932,998	$\begin{array}{r} 89,806,453\\75,579,125\\108,574,905\\112,905,541\\102,706,599\end{array}$
1906	$\begin{array}{c} 2,447,131\\ 3,449,517\\ 3,799,112\\ 4,129,454\\ 3,702,210 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 469,387\\ 565,252\\ 285,845\\ 517,388\\ 449,239 \end{array}$	$1,948,160 \\ 176,917 \\ 403,952 \\ 8,383,966 \\ 353,208$	166, 547, 957 209, 603, 180 212, 783, 392 222, 900, 422 225, 400, 545	$\begin{array}{r} 98,037\\ 99,061\\ 103,994\\ 91,451\\ 99,966\end{array}$	3,979,331,430 4,391,839,975 3,371,997,112 4,189,421,018 4,094,545,936	93, 621, 750 86, 368, 490 94, 149, 564 114, 916, 520 85, 626, 370
1911 1912 1913 1914	$\begin{array}{c} 4,405,827\\ 4,836,515\\ 5,221,001\\ 6,217,560\end{array}$	629, 842 399, 837 508, 433 455, 200	$\begin{array}{c} 218,984\\ 13,734,695\\ 327,230\\ 3,645,993 \end{array}$	208, 774, 795 190, 063, 331 222, 103, 547 290, 194, 917	$\begin{array}{c} 117,727\\ 114,467\\ 153,869\\ 215,547\end{array}$	3,937,978,265 4,104,618,393 4,740,041,488 5,066,821,873	102, 563, 942 101, 406, 816 94, 812, 800 91, 130, 815
Year ending June 30—	Beeswax.	Onions.	Plums and prunes.	l Raisins.	Currants.	Dates.	Figs.
A verage: 1887–1891 1892–1896 1897–1901 1902–1906 1907–1911	Pounds. 128, 790 279, 839 265, 143 456, 727 845, 720	Bushels. 628,358 924,418 1,103,034	Pounds. 60, 237, 642 12, 405, 544 560, 762 563, 900	Pounds. 2 38, 545, 635 3 17, 745, 925 2 7, 669, 593 0 7, 344, 676 5, 283, 145	Pounds. 34, 397, 754 327, 520, 440 35, 457, 213 35, 258, 628	Pounds. 4 14,914,349 9 15,653,642 8 25,649,432 8 26,059,353	Pounds. 9, 783, 650 10, 117, 049 8, 919, 921 14, 334, 760 19, 848, 037
1901 1902 1903 1904 1905	$\begin{array}{r} 213,773\\ 408,706\\ 488,576\\ 425,168\\ 373,569\end{array}$	774,042796,316925,5991,171,242856,366	$\begin{array}{c c} & 745,974\\ 5 & 522,478\\ 6 & 633,819\\ 2 & 494,103\\ 6 & 671,604 \end{array}$	3,860,836 6,683,545 6,715,675 6,867,617 4,041,689	16,049,198 36,238,976 33,878,209 33,878,209 33,347,649 31,742,919	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 9,933,871\\ 11,087,131\\ 16,482,142\\ 13,178,061\\ 13,364,107 \end{array}$
1906 1907 1908 1909 1910	587, 617 917, 088 671, 526 764, 937 972, 145	872,566 1,126,114 1,275,333 574,530 1,024,226	497, 494 323, 377 335, 089 296, 123	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	22, 435, 672 31, 270, 899 24, 958, 343 21, 869, 218 22, 693, 713	$\begin{array}{c} 17,562,358\\ 24,346,173\\ 18,836,574\\ 15,235,513\\ 17,362,197 \end{array}$
1911 1912 1913 1913 1914	$902,904 \\1,076,741 \\828,793 \\1,412,200$	1, 514, 967 1, 436, 037 789, 458 1, 114, 811		- 2,479,220 - 3,255,861 - 2,579,705 - 4,554,549	$\begin{array}{c} 33, 439, 565\\ 33, 151, 396\\ 30, 843, 735\\ 32, 033, 177 \end{array}$	29, 504, 592 25, 208, 248 34, 304, 951 34, 073, 608	23, 459, 728 18, 765, 408 16, 837, 819 19, 284, 868

	Hides and	skins, other	than furs.	Macaroni, vermicelli,			
Year ending June 30—	Cattle.	Goat.	Other than cattle and goat.	and all similar prepara- tions.	Lemons.	Oranges.	Walnuts.
Average:	Pounds.	Pounds.	Pounds. 91 173 311	Pounds.	Pounds.	Pounds.	Pounds.
1897–1901 1902–1906 1907–1911	126, 995, 011 178, 681, 537	08,052,975 93,674,819 94,329,840	115, 952, 418 143, 351, 321	99, 724, 072	$153, 160, 863 \\ 153, 343, 434$	41, 104, 544 12, 089, 790	30, 980, 661
1901	$129, 174, 624 \\148, 627, 907 \\131, 644, 325 \\85, 370, 168 \\113, 177, 357$	$\begin{array}{r} 73,745,596\\88,038,516\\85,114,070\\86,338,547\\97,803,571 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 77,989,617\\89,457,680\\102,340,303\\103,024,752\\126,893,934 \end{array}$	28, 787, 821 40, 224, 202 53, 441, 080	$\begin{array}{c} 148,514,614\\ 164,075,309\\ 152,004,213\\ 171,923,221\\ 139,084,321 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 50, 332, 914\\ 52, 742, 476\\ 56, 872, 070\\ 35, 893, 260\\ 28, 880, 575 \end{array}$	$12, 362, 567 \\ 23, 670, 761 \\ 21, 684, 104$
1906 1907 1908 1909 1910	$156, 155, 300 \\134, 671, 020 \\98, 353, 249 \\192, 252, 083 \\318, 003, 538$	$111,079,391\\101,201,596\\63,640,758\\104,048,244\\115,844,758$	$158,045,419\\135,111,199\\120,770,918\\148,253,998\\174,770,732$	$\begin{array}{c} 77,926,029\\ 87,720,730\\ 97,233,708\\ 85,114,003\\ 113,772,801 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 138,717,252\\ 157,859,906\\ 178,490,003\\ 135,183,550\\ 160,214,785 \end{array}$	31, 134, 341 21, 267, 346 18, 397, 429 8, 435, 873 4, 676, 118	24,917,028 32,597,592 28,887,110 26,157,703 33,641,466
1911 1912 1913 1914	150, 127, 796 251, 012, 513 268, 042, 390 279, 963, 488	86, 913, 842 95, 340, 703 96, 250, 305 84, 759, 428	$137,849,757\\191,414,882\\207,903,995\\196,347,770$	$114,779,116\\108,231,028\\106,500,752\\126,128,621$	134, 968, 924 145, 639, 396 151, 416, 412	7,672,186 7,628,662 12,252,960	$\begin{array}{c} 33, 619, 434\\ 37, 213, 674\\ 26, 662, 441\\ 37, 195, 728 \end{array}$

TABLE 184.—Imports of selected agricultural products, 1852-1914—Continued.

TABLE 185.—Foreign trade of the United States in forest products, 1852-1914.

[Compiled from reports of Foreign Commerce and Navigation of the United States. All values are gold.]

Year ending June 30—	Expo	rts. Foreign,	Imports.	Excess of exports $(+)$ or of imports (-).
Average: 1852-1856. 1837-1861. 1862-1866. 1887-1871. 1877-1881. 1882-1886. 1882-1896. 1897-1901. 1997-1906.	Bornestic. \$6, 819, 079 9.994, 808 7, 366, 103 11, 775, 297 17, 906, 771 17, 579, 313 24, 704, 992 26, 060, 729 29, 276, 428 46, 960, 863 63, 584, 670	* 694, 037 962, 142 798, 076 690, 748 959, 862 552, 514 1, 417, 226 1, 442, 760 1, 707, 307 3, 883, 274	\$3, 256, 302 6, 942, 211 8, 511, 370 14, 812, 576 19, 728, 458 22, 006, 227 34, 252, 753 39, 647, 287 45, 091, 081 52, 326, 879 79, 885, 457	(-). +\$4, 256, 814 + 4, 014, 739 - 347, 191 - 2, 346, 531 - 861, 825 - 3, 874, 400 - 8, 130, 535 - 12, 143, 798 - 14, 107, 346 - 3, 082, 742 - 12, 450, 566
1902-1991. 1901. 1902. 1903. 1904. 1905. 1906. 1907. 1908. 1909. 1910. 1911. 1912. 1913.	88,764,471 55,369,161 48,928,764 58,734,016 70,085,789 63,199,348 76,975,431 92,948,705 90,362,073 72,442,454 85,030,230 103,038,892 108,122,254 124,835,784	6, 488, 455 3, 599, 192 3, 609, 071 2, 865, 325 4, 177, 352 3, 790, 097 4, 809, 261 5, 500, 331 4, 570, 397 4, 982, 810 9, 801, 881 7, 586, 854 6, 413, 343 7, 431, 851 6, 413, 7766	137, 051, 471 57, 143, 650 59, 187, 049 71, 478, 022 79, 619, 296 92, 680, 555 96, 462, 364 122, 420, 776 97, 733, 092 123, 920, 126 178, 871, 797 162, 311, 565 180, 502, 444 155, 261, 300	$\begin{array}{r} -41, 798, 545\\ \hline +1, 824, 703\\ -6, 649, 214\\ -9, 878, 681\\ -5, 356, 155\\ -25, 691, 110\\ -14, 677, 672\\ -23, 971, 740\\ -2, 800, 622\\ -46, 494, 862\\ -84, 039, 686\\ -51, 685, 819\\ -57, 987, 868\\ -48, 234, 809\\ -43, 784 980\end{array}$

TABLE 186.—Exports of selected domestic forest products, 1852-1914.

[Compiled from reports of Foreign Commerce and Navigation of the United States. Where figures are lacking, either there were no exports or they were not separately classified for publication.]

	•	Lumber.				Tim	be r.
Year ending June 30	Boards, deals, and planks. ¹	Shooks, other than box.	Staves.	Rosin.	Spirits of turpentine.	Hewn.	Sawed.
A verage: 1852-1856. 1857-1861. 1867-1861. 1867-1871. 1872-1876. 1877-1881. 1882-1886. 1887-1891. 1891-1896. 1902-1906. 1902. 1903. 1904. 1905. 1906. 1907. 1908. 1909.	- <i>M</i> feet. 129, 499 205, 476 138, 020 133, 720 221, 658 303, 114 433, 963 531, 755 616, 099 957, 218 212, 476 1, 649, 203 - 1, 101, 815 942, 814 1, 266, 784 1, 233, 607 1, 623, 964 1, 354, 130 1, 357, 822	Number. 593, 054 435, 581 668, 797 765, 215 925, 828 714, 651 788, 241 788, 241 786, 205 533, 182 872, 192 1, 066, 253 803, 346 900, 812 977, 372	Number.	Barrels. 552, 210 664, 206 69, 314 491, 774 845, 803 1, 289, 869 1, 533, 834 2, 006, 427 2, 477, 696 2, 453, 280 2, 355, 560 2, 355, 962 2, 396, 498 2, 310, 275 2, 438, 556 2, 560, 966 2, 712, 732 2, 170, 177	Gallons. 1, 369, 250 2, 735, 104 107, 162 2, 693, 412 7, 138, 556 9, 301, 894 10, 794, 025 14, 258, 928 18, 349, 386 16, 927, 090 16, 658, 955 20, 240, 851 19, 177, 788 16, 378, 787 17, 202, 808 15, 894, 813 15, 884, 813 15, 884, 253 15, 854, 676 19, 532, 583 17, 502, 028	Cubic feet. 17, 459, 632 18, 316, 876 13, 701, 663 6, 401, 543 6, 602, 418 5, 146, 927 3, 908, 469 3, 406, 245 4, 624, 698 5, 388, 439 3, 291, 498 3, 788, 740 3, 556, 622 3, 517, 046 3, 278, 110 4, 883, 506 2, 950, 528	M feet. 218, 700 263, 641 428, 755 508, 212 479, 776 533, 920 412, 750 555, 690 486, 411 552, 548 600, 865 463, 440 383, 309
1910	1, 684, 489 2, 031, 608	928, 197 1, 019, 411	49, 783, 771 65, 725, 595	2, 144, 318 2, 189, 607	15, 587, 737	3, 245, 196 2, 673, 887	451,72 1 499,54 7
1912 1913 1914	2, 306, 680 2, 550, 308 2, 405, 296	1, 161, 591 1, 710, 095 867, 805	64, 162, 599 89, 005, 624 77, 150, 535	2, 474, 460 2, 806, 046 2, 417, 950	19, 599, 241 21, 039, 597 18, 900, 704	M feet. 31, 067 34, 502 29, 859	406, 954 477, 135 411, 307

¹ Including "Joists and scantling," prior to 1884.

672

TABLE 187.—Imports of selected forest products, 1852–1914.

_				Lun	ıber.		
Year ending June 30—	Camphor, crude.	India rubber.	Rubber gums,total.	Boards, deals, planks, and other sawed.	Shingles.	Shellac.	Wood pulp.
Average:	Pounds.	Pounds.	Pounds.	M feet.	М.	Pounds.	Long tons.
1852-1856 1857-1861 1862-1866	213,720 360,522 386,731		1 7 000 000			634, 276	
1867–1871 1872–1876 1877–1881	1, 515, 614		12,631,388 12,631,388 15,610,634	$564, 642 \\ 417, 907$	88, 197 55, 394		
1882–1886 1887–1891 1892–1896 1897–1901 1902–1906 1907–1911	$\begin{array}{c} 1,958,608\\ 2,273,883\\ 1,491,902\\ 1,858,018\\ 2,139,183\\ 2,939,167\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 38,359,547\\ 47,469,136\\ 57,903,641\\ 80,129,567 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 24, 480, 997\\ 33, 226, 520\\ 39, 671, 553\\ 52, 974, 744\\ 75, 908, 633\\ 121, 504, 098 \end{array}$	577,728646,745661,495566,394727,205899,659	87,760 184,050 772,340 866,565	$\begin{array}{c} 5,086,421\\ 5,848,339\\ 8,839,232\\ 11,613,967\\ 19,046,030 \end{array}$	37,251 42,771 46,827 130,764 319,007
1901 1902 1903 1903 1904 1905	$\begin{array}{c} 2,175,784\\ 1,831,058\\ 2,472,440\\ 2,819,673\\ 1,904,002 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 55,275,529\\ 50,413,481\\ 55,010,571\\ 59,015,551\\ 67,234,256\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 64,927,176\\67,790,069\\69,311,678\\74,327,584\\87,004,384 \end{array}$	490, 820 665, 603 720, 937 589, 232 710, 538	555, 853 707, 614 724, 131 770, 373 758, 725	9,608,745 9,064,789 11,590,725 10,933,413 10,700,817	46,757 67,416 116,881 144,796 167,504
1906 1907 1908 1909 1910	$\begin{array}{c}1,668,744\\3,138,070\\2,814,299\\1,990,499\\3,026,648\end{array}$	² 57, 844, 345 ² 76, 963, 838 ² 62, 233, 160 ² 88, 359, 895 ² 101,044,681	$\begin{array}{c} 81, 109, 451 \\ 106, 747, 589 \\ 85, 809, 625 \\ 114, 598, 768 \\ 154, 620, 629 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} 949,717\\ 934,195\\ 791,288\\ 846,024\\ 1,054,416\end{array}$	900, 856 881, 003 988, 081 1, 058, 363 762, 798	15,780,090 17,785,960 13,361,932 19,185,137 29,402,182	$\begin{array}{c}157,224\\213,110\\237,514\\274,217\\378,322\end{array}$
1911 1912 1913 1914	$\begin{array}{c c} 3,726,319\\ 2,154,646\\ 3,709,264\\ 3,476,908 \end{array}$	$72,046,260 \\110,210,173 \\113,384,359 \\131,995,742$	$145,743,880\\175,965,538\\170,747,339\\161,777,250$	$\begin{array}{r} 872,374\\905,275\\1,090,628\\931,408\end{array}$	642, 582 514, 657 560, 297 895, 038	15, 494, 940 18, 745, 771 21, 912, 015 16, 719, 756	491, 873 477, 508 502, 913 508, 360

¹ Includes "Gutta-percha" only, for 1867.

² Includes "Guayule gum," crude.

75922°—увк 1914—43

		Year ending June 30-								
Country from which con- signed, and article.	19	12	19	13	1914					
	Quantity.	Value.	Quantity.	Value.	Quantity.	Value.				
Brazil: Cocoa (crude)pounds Coffeedo British West Indies: Bananasbunches Cocoapounds Canada: Teado Colombia: Coffeedo Cuba: Bananasbunches. Sugar (raw)pounds Ecuador: Cocoado France: Cheesedo Olive oil, saladgallons Italy: Cheesedo Olive oil, saladgallons Italy: Cheesedo Olive oil, saladgallons Italy: Cheesedo Olive oil, salad Macaronido Olive oil, salad Mexico: Coffeedo Netherlands: Cheesedo Philippine Islands: Sugar, pounds Santo Domingo: Cocoa, pounds Switzerland: Cheese Switzerland: Cheese Suitzerland: Cheese Suitzerland: Cheese Downds Santo Domingo: Cocoa, pounds Switzerland: Cheese Divedification comparison compariso	$\begin{array}{c} 17, 173, 568\\ 632, 527, 267\\ 15, 474, 513\\ 36, 447, 605, 670\\ 62, 912, 558, 583\\ 17, 605, 670\\ 62, 912, 522\\ 2, 478, 581\\ 3, 186, 630, 468\\ 22, 976, 780\\ 3, 882, 891\\ 809, 629\\ 20, 625, 202\\ 102, 905, 968\\ 3, 245, 863\\ 3, 245, 863\\ 34, 156, 025\\ 3, 109, 104\\ 1, 941, 746\\ 435, 570, 122\\ 18, 954, 405\\ 27, 786, 868\\ 15, 147, 293\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \$1, 800, 755\\ 83, 869, 713\\ 4, 405, 234\\ 4, 278, 697\\ 734, 769\\ 2, 260, 949\\ 6, 863, 784\\ 942, 683\\ 1, 106, 014\\ 2, 143, 005\\ 942, 683\\ 1, 327, 520\\ 3, 948, 550\\ 4, 481, 109\\ 4, 159, 273\\ 9, 213, 402\\ 5, 211, 629\\ 437, 011\\ 342, 468\\ 11, 243, 693\\ 2, 062, 966\\ 2, 886, 995\\ 2, 857, 631\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 14, 354, 460\\ 639, 262, 011\\ 11, 164, 894\\ 20, 585, 055\\ 3, 024, 508\\ 23, 728, 418\\ 89, 684, 514\\ 2, 213, 733\\ 4, 311, 744, 043\\ 15, 229, 159\\ 3, 982, 513\\ 932, 536\\ 211, 326, 445\\ 102, 050, 089\\ 3, 584, 945\\ 24, 381, 278\\ 26, 121, 439\\ 3, 420, 790\\ 1, 956, 676\\ 203, 160, 972\\ 23, 040, 617\\ 27, 241, 763\\ 17, 371, 616\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \$1, 642, 714\\ 87, 867, 451\\ 3, 488, 964\\ 4, 040, 691\\ 874, 544\\ 3, 247, 761\\ 11, 728, 459\\ 834, 206\\ 93, 703, 674\\ 1, 606, 253\\ 785, 965\\ 1, 465, 635\\ 4, 217, 674\\ 4, 692, 468\\ 4, 619, 156\\ 4, 692, 468\\ 4, 619, 156\\ 7, 793, 197\\ 4, 990, 909\\ 439, 079\\ 350, 093\\ 4, 593, 199\\ 2, 962, 644\\ 3, 068, 655\\ 3, 183, 350\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 25,870,186\\743,113,500\\15,677,191\\44,062,426\\3,112,383\\20,139,342\\91,830,513\\2,354,395\\4,926,600,243\\26,319,735\\5,418,904\\949,858\\26,453,826\\121,924,372\\4,319,567\\41,913,273\\40,385,504\\3,656,763\\5,811,934\\116,749,211\\17,738,638\\26,782,966\\22,490,006\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \$2,764,766\\ 76,016,463\\ 4,849,037\\ 5,372,327\\ 864,814\\ 2,755,512\\ 11,556,038\\ 8,8394,782\\ 2,693,674\\ 1,032,817\\ 1,512,324\\ 5,024,270\\ 5,481,187\\ 5,552,098\\ 7,171,202\\ 8,028,186\\ 4,551,159\\ 920,723\\ 2,553,601\\ 2,292,959\\ 3,187,006\\ 3,617,721\\ \end{array}$				
Cocoapounds Teado	8, 791, 716 12, 887, 949	1,028,004 3,538,200	$\frac{11,660,464}{12,238,114}$	1, 538, 225 3, 619, 098	12, 903, 640 14, 077, 601	1, 633, 424 3, 858, 970				

TABLE 188.—Principal farm products imported from specified countries into the United States, 1912–1914.

 TABLE 189.—Principal farm products exported to specified countries from the United States, 1912-1914.

	Year ending June 30					
Country to which consigned, and article.	19	912	1	913	19)14
	Quantity.	Value.	Quantity.	Value.	Quantity.	Value.
Belgium: Cornbushels Wheatdo Baconpounds Hams and shouldersdo Larddo Brazil: Wheat flourbarrels Gravedie	$\begin{array}{c}1,406,508\\4,054,171\\4,503,110\\15,017,836\\21,743,806\\625,399\end{array}$		$1,648,089\\10,601,248\\9,140,688\\5,821,638\\18,761,624\\583,418$	967,838 10,402,577 1,280,658 792,214 2,074,640 3,105,239	$\begin{smallmatrix} 60, 227\\ 12, 873, 372\\ 5, 110, 170\\ 4, 080, 669\\ 15, 915, 380\\ 748, 612 \end{smallmatrix}$	\$38, 198 12, 479, 315 743, 371 563, 140 1, 833, 325 3, 752, 105
Cornbushels. Wheat flourbarrels. Baconpovnds. Hams and shouldersdo Larddo Pork, pickleddo. China: Wheat flourbarrels. Cuba:	$\begin{array}{c} 9,568,574\\537,240\\99,760\\3,342,270\\6,281,607\\7,968,353\\11,156,806\\741,192\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6,568,671\\ 489,194\\ 419,033\\ 481,163\\ 906,701\\ 804,715\\ 1,007,026\\ 2,895,286 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 8,097,882\\851,139\\98,665\\6,868,480\\6,785,477\\11,079,696\\9,436,506\\127,814\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 4,766,805\\829,447\\450,104\\1,007,014\\1,046,349\\1,251,425\\943,799\\493,364\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 4, 641, 737\\ 4, 113, 701\\ 122, 752\\ 11, 082, 930\\ 4, 006, 649\\ 15, 995, 669\\ 12, 825, 741\\ 136, 374\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 3,328,785\\ 3,821,159\\ 539,942\\ 1,644,388\\ 672,855\\ 1,847,515\\ 1,373,501\\ 540,154 \end{array}$
Cornbushels Wheat flourpounds Baconpounds Hams and shouldersdo Larddo Pork, pickleddo Denmark: Cornbushels Finland: Wheat flour.barrels France:	$\begin{array}{c} 2,117,724\\ 842,168\\ 4,822,680\\ 5,084,977\\ 42,548,701\\ 9,988,925\\ 1,545,624\\ 175,575\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1, 641, 851\\ 3, 953, 385\\ 526, 819\\ 709, 780\\ 4, 154, 046\\ 879, 998\\ 1, 106, 451\\ 869, 463\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,372,678\\907,786\\6,658,202\\6,002,471\\46,526,427\\9,141,098\\5,389,897\\405,832 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1, 696, 821\\ 4, 311, 027\\ 804, 616\\ 936, 058\\ 5, 181, 445\\ 943, 303\\ 3, 021, 673\\ 2, 060, 268 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2, 410, 156\\ 892, 705\\ 13, 733, 773\\ 5, 637, 829\\ 49, 609, 751\\ 4, 090, 780\\ 118\\ 429, 354\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,878,664\\ 4,057,806\\ 1,634,755\\ 940,720\\ 5,582,074\\ 447,374\\ 95\\ 2,085,441 \end{array}$
Wheatbushels Baconpounds Larddo	35,977 9,418,140 24,474,920	38, 455 978, 451 2, 445, 882	4,931,708 2,096,868 17,428,157	4, 994, 638 236, 160 1, 807, 530	5,536,731 197,353 5,307,986	$5,384,663 \\25,416 \\573,493$
Cornbushels Wheat flourbarrels. Lardpounds Lard.neutraldo Oleo oildo Hongkong: Wheat flour, bar	$egin{array}{c} 6,800,562\ 1,588,666\ 130,328\ 159,473,899\ 12,666,387\ 18,042,333\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 4,874,657\\ 1,533,686\\ 671,985\\ 15,651,572\\ 1,349,539\\ 1,910,286\end{array}$	$\substack{6,545,521\\12,112,223\\170,345\\160,862,204\\9,368,924\\17,480,760}$	3,696,182 11,950,009 860,305 18,079,275 1,078,006 2,054,694	$\begin{array}{r} 303, 303\\ 10, 983, 060\\ 176, 485\\ 146, 208, 598\\ 6, 309, 792\\ 16, 180, 268\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 225,209\\ 10,604,692\\ 891,171\\ 16,593,043\\ 709,101\\ 1,631,254 \end{array}$
rels. Italy: Wheatbushels Lardpounds Japan: Wheat flourbarrels	$1, 491, 073 \\533, 009 \\3, 170, 799 \\716, 347$	5, 840, 299 596, 916 313, 040 2, 750, 405	$1,301,306 \\7,217,479 \\6,106,153 \\878,623$	5, 126, 960 7, 419, 597 657, 097 3, 383, 842	$1, 141, 095 \\1, 839, 830 \\5, 958, 983 \\793, 269$	4, 501, 67 2 1, 789, 400 616, 94 8 3, 045, 53 2
Mexico: Cornbushels Wheatdo Lardpounds Netherlands:	1, 168, 145 1, 491, 156 8, 366, 011	$913,438\\1,520,003\\833,668$	543, 340 644, 377 8, 468, 353	407, 897 665, 780 977, 313	467, 424 306, 376 3, 294, 437	379, 675 313, 910 392, 580
Cornbushels Wheat flourbarrels Baconpounds Larddo Lard.neutraldo Oleo oildo Norway: Oleo oildo	5,657,976 3,386,444 675,429 7,271,025 38,675,175 40,110,521 66,894,182 9,004,322	$\begin{array}{r} 4,073,523\\ 3,220,987\\ 3,627,197\\ 856,363\\ 3,800,502\\ 4,267,782\\ 7,157,862\\ 972,880\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 7, 192, 420 \\ 14, 832, 000 \\ 859, 987 \\ 7, 639, 281 \\ 43, 383, 774 \\ 27, 123, 927 \\ 46, 337, 137 \\ 6, 607, 526 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 4,071,068\\ 14,805,115\\ 4,279,394\\ 906,263\\ 4,940,671\\ 3,090,156\\ 5,392,489\\ 754,728\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 373,770\\ 19,949,519\\ 958,063\\ 1,718,481\\ 43,469,536\\ 13,174,294\\ 47,414,421\\ 7,285,043\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 287,417\\ 19,380,347\\ 4,669,565\\ 204,260\\ 4,859,367\\ 1,438,696\\ 4,944,474\\ 764,333\end{array}$
Philippine Islands: Wheat flour	308,671	1,264,275	370, 939	1, 485, 195	236, 902	944, 747
Cornbushels Wheat flourbarrels Baconpounds Hams and shouldersdo Larddo Oleo oildo Pork, pickleddo	$\begin{array}{c} 10, 616, 488\\ 15, 765, 454\\ 2, 372, 797\\ 147, 448, 565\\ 169, 675, 214\\ 186, 125, 234\\ 9, 959, 942\\ 13, 500, 861 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7,852,770\\ 14,342,814\\ 11,775,864\\ 17,864,399\\ 20,575,529\\ 17,974,221\\ 1,059,555\\ 1,433,023 \end{array}$	$\begin{matrix} 14,982,604\\ 31,548,507\\ 2,428,167\\ 138,133,416\\ 134,016,686\\ 168,379,790\\ 8,008,915\\ 14,619,714 \end{matrix}$	$\substack{8,652,721\\29,647,560\\11,989,155\\17,758,929\\17,773,973\\18,796,802\\964,101\\1,688,301}$	$\begin{array}{c} 540,515\\ 27,961,348\\ 2\ 809\ 800\\ 132,819,680\\ 146,007,141\\ 164,632,676\\ 9,243,952\\ 5,571,720\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 388, 620\\ 26, 015, 351\\ 13, 805, 674\\ 18, 103, 518\\ 20, 558, 228\\ 18, 412, 791\\ 1, 010, 834\\ 624, 462 \end{array}$

TABLE 190.—Shipments of principal domestic farm and forest products from the United States to Hawaii and Porto Rico, 1912-1914.

[These shipments are not included in the domestic exports from or imports into the United States.]

			Year endin	ıg June 30—							
Possession and article.	19	12	19	13	1914						
•	Quantity.	Value.	Quantity.	Value.	Quantity.	Value.					
HAWAII.		~									
Dairy productspounds. Meat products Grain and grain products Ricepounds. Lumber PORTO RICO.	3, 422, 743 348, 450 		3, 937, 495 4, 033, 865	578, 337 719, 974 2, 282, 034 189, 986 1, 502, 117	4,275,534	562, 516 528, 960 2, 221, 197 216, 252 876, 544					
Dairy products pounds Meat products Beans and dried peas. bushels Grain and grain products Rice Sugar	4,013,509 179,131 134,648,403 13,412,818 3,083,712	$\begin{array}{r} 435, 465\\ 3, 193, 847\\ 543, 577\\ 2, 653, 362\\ 4, 894, 747\\ 754, 204\\ 422, 207\\ 1, 352, 085\end{array}$	2,833,463 199,823 128,748,080 13,443,894 2,337,501	$\begin{array}{c} 288, 465\\ 3, 211, 247\\ 534, 965\\ 2, 585, 826\\ 5, 069, 527\\ 636, 012\\ 373, 975\\ 1, 194, 154\end{array}$	2, 210, 881 163, 843 139, 836, 581 16, 855, 067 1, 627, 405	$\begin{array}{c} 207,817\\ 3,678,741\\ 469,661\\ 2,248,045\\ 5,306,364\\ 727,966\\ 327,790\\ 969,124\end{array}$					

 TABLE 191.—Shipments of principal domestic farm products from Hawaii and Porto Rico to the United States.

HAWAII. Coffeepounds Pineapples, canned Sugarpounds	2, 131, 920 1,205,465,510	336, 343 2, 567, 564 49, 961, 509	2, 149, 875 1,085,362,344	352,965 3,566,201 36,607,820	4, 430, 722 1,114,750,702	657, 853 4, 536, 919 33, 187, 920
PORTO RICO.						
Grapefruitboxes Orangesdo Pineapples Molasses and sirupgallons Sugarpounds Tobacco, leafdo	. 118,919 277,399 10,937,670 734,289,872 4,680,781	$524,976 \\ 584,368 \\ 683,801 \\ 700,981 \\ 31,544,063 \\ 2,228,125$	216, 216 353, 633 11, 150, 572 765, 420, 310 6, 952, 467	726,687740,0101,142,007 $607,74726,619,1583,006,854$	$206, 200 \\ 348, 870 \\ 15, 577, 832 \\ 641, 252, 527 \\ 6, 308, 227 \\ \end{cases}$	751,769752,0881,245,215927,22720,239,8312,961,614

<u></u>		Quar	ntity.		Per cent of total.				
Article, and coun-		<u> </u>	Year e	nding June a	30				
try to which con- signed.	Average, 1907–1911.	1912	1913	1914	A ver- age, 1907- 1911.	1912	1913	1914	
ANIMAL MATTER.									
Cattle: Canada United Kingdom Other countries	Number. 9,535 215,342 28,990	Number. 6,705 76,925 21,876	Number. 11, 691 1, 773 11, 250	Number. 8,957 9,419	Per ct. 3.8 84.8 11.4	Per ct. 6.4 72.9 20.7	Per ct. 47.3 7.2 45.5	Per ct. 48.7 51.3	
Total	253,867	105, 506	24,714	18,376	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Horses: Canada United Kingdom Other countries	$21,044 \\ 806 \\ 3,861$	31,910 517 2,401	$26,560 \\ 430 \\ 1,717$	17,700 609 4,467	81. 8 3. 1 15. 1	91.6 1.5 6.9	$92.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 6.0$	77.7 2.7 19.6	
Total	25,711	34,828	28,707	22,776	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Butter: Central American States and Brit- ish Honduras West Indies and	Pounds. 663,038	Pounds. 565,320	Pounds. 775,246	Pounds. 810,254	10.0	9.3	21.6	21 . 9	
Bermuda Other countries	1,344,969 4,593,482	1,488,538 4,038,377	$1,392,508 \\ 1,417,846$	$1,158,111 \\ 1,725,232$	20.4 69.6	24.4 66.3	38.8 39.6	31.4 46.7	
Total	6,601,489	6,092,235	3, 585, 600	3,693,597	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Meat products: Beef products— Beef, canned— United King- dom	9, 485, 003	5,743,114	3.117.149	1,157,104	59.5	52.1	45.6	33, 4	
Other countries.	6, 457, 177	5,283,317	3, 723, 199	2,307,629	40.5	47.9	54.4	66.6	
Total	15,942,180	11,026,431	6,840,348	3,464,733	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Beef, fresh— Panama United King-	3, 762, 984	5, 400, 785	5,935,198	5, 534, 391	2.6	35.4	80.6	86.6	
dom Other countries.	$139,214,903 \\ 1,821,848$	8,872,378 991,157	$126,885 \\ 1,300,305$	860,013	96.1 1.3	$58.1 \\ 6.5$	1.7 17.7	13.4	
Total	144, 799, 735	15,264,320	7,362,388	6,394,404	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Beef, pickled, and other cured—	2 215 041	1 752 003	712 086	1 331 150	4 8	4.6	28	5.7	
Germany Newfoundland	5,548,342	4,616,317	3,080,823	1,757,786.	12.0	12.1	11.9	7.6	
and Labrador. West Indies	5,116,545	5,077,404	3,807,237	4,935,657	11.1	13.3	14.7	21.2	
and Bermuda. United King-	5,717,576	5,493,842	4,274,549	3,900,281	12.4	14.4	16,5	10.8	
dom Other countries.	13, 376, 801 14, 211, 970	8,747,355 12,400,896	5,929,949 8,052,275	4,113,347 7,227,753	29.0 30.7	23.0 32.6	22.9 31.2	31.0	
Total	46, 187, 175	38,087,907	25,856,919	23, 265, 974	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Oleo oil— Germany Netherlands Norway United King-) (1)	$ \left\{ \begin{matrix} 18,042,333\\66,894,182\\9,004,322 \end{matrix} \right. \right\}$	17,480,760 46,337,137 6,607,526	$16, 180, 268 \\ 47, 414, 421 \\ 7, 285, 043$	(1)	$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} 14.3 \\ 52.9 \\ 7.1 \end{array} \right.$	18.8 49.9 7.1	16.7 48.9 7.5	
dom Other countries.]	9,959,942 22,566,345	8,008,915 14,415,419	9,243,952 16,893,381	J	7.9 17.8	8.6 15.6	9.5 17.4	
Total	(¹) .	126, 467, 124	92,849,757	97,017,065	(1)	100.0	100.0	100.0	

TABLE 192.—Destination of principal farm products exported from the United States, 1907-1914.

¹ Stated as "Oleo oil and neutral lard" prior to 1911.

Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

<u> </u>		Quai	ntity.			Per cent	of total.	
Article, and coun-			Year e	nding June :	30			
signed.	A verage, 1907–1911.	1912	1913	1914	Aver- age, 1907- 1911.	1912	1913	1914
ANIMAL MATTER								
Meat products—Con. Lard compounds— Cuba Mexico United Kingdom. Other countries.	Pounds. 24,746,507 6,695,549 21,660,294 22,662,904	Pounds. 17, 214, 452 6, 768, 838 17, 853, 640 20, 685, 958	Pounds. 17,525,703 4,127,593 21,115,679 24,687,857	Pounds. 14, 673, 201 3, 119, 285 19, 929, 949 20, 581, 129	Per ct. 32.7 8.8 28.6 29.9	Per ct. 27.5 10.8 28.6 33.1	Per ct. 26.0 6.1 31.3 36.6	Per ct. 25. 2 5. 4 34. 2 35. 2
Total	75, 765, 254	62, 522, 888	67, 456, 832	58, 303, 564	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Pork products	7, 149, 191 1,811,913 6,045,118 1,214,689 3,460,962	4,503,110 3,342,270 4,822,680 9,418,140 7,271,025	9, 140, 688 6, 868, 480 6, 658, 202 2, 096, 868 7, 639, 281	5,110,170 11,082,930 13,733,773 197,353 1,718,481	$ 3.4 \\ .9 \\ 2.9 \\ .6 \\ 1.7 $	$2.2 \\ 1.6 \\ 2.3 \\ 4.5 \\ 3.5$	$ \begin{array}{r} 4.5 \\ 3.4 \\ 3.3 \\ 1.0 \\ 3.8 \\ \end{array} $	2.6 5.7 7.1 .1 .9
dom Other countries	$171,689,186\ 17,634,085$	147, 448, 565 31, 768, 418	$138, 133, 416 \\ 30, 456, 649$	132, 819, 680 29, 301, 865	82.1 8.4	70. 7 15. 2	$68.7 \\ 15.3$	$\begin{array}{c} 68.5 \\ 15.1 \end{array}$
Total	209,005,144	208, 574, 208	200, 993, 584	193, 964, 252	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Hams and shoul- ders, cured— Belgium Canada Cuba United King- dom	9,214,198 3,114,653 3,976,487 166,671,864	15,017,8366,281,6075,084,977169,675,214	5, 821, 638 6, 785, 477 6, 002, 471 134, 016, 686	4,080,669 4,006,649 5,637,829 146,007,141	4.9 1.6 2.1 87.9	7.4 3.1 2.5 83.2	3.6 4.3 3.8 84.0	2.5 2.4 3.4 88.0
Other countries	6, 626, 009	7, 984, 857	6, 918, 415	6, 149, 503	3.5	3.8	4.3	3.7
Total	189, 603, 211	204,044,491	159, 544, 687	165,881,791	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Lard— Belgium Canada Cruba France Germany Italy Mexico Netherlands United Trian	$\begin{array}{c} 21,552,873\\ 9,272,128\\ 30,259,105\\ 9,380,202\\ 158,132,560\\ 3,945,489\\ 7,739,370\\ 45,110,469\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 21,743,806\\ 7,968,353\\ 42,548,701\\ 24,474,920\\ 159,473,899\\ 3,170,799\\ 8,366,011\\ 38,675,175\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 18,761,624\\ 11,079,696\\ 46,526,427\\ 17,428,157\\ 160,862,204\\ 6,106,153\\ 8,468,353\\ 43,383,774 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 15,915,380\\ 15,995,669\\ 49,609,751\\ 5,307,986\\ 146,208,598\\ 5,958,983\\ 3,294,437\\ 43,469,536\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 4.1 \\ 1.8 \\ 5.8 \\ 1.8 \\ 30.4 \\ .8 \\ 1.5 \\ 8.7 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 4.1 \\ 1.5 \\ 8.0 \\ 4.6 \\ 30.0 \\ .6 \\ 1.6 \\ 7.3 \end{array}$	3.62.19.03.431.01.21.68.4	3.3 3.3 10.3 1.1 30.4 1.2 .7 9.0
dom	191,388,901 42.965,281	186, 125, 234 39, 708, 967	168, 379, 790 38, 029, 206	164, 632, 676 31, 064, 776	36.8 8.3	35.0 7.3	32.4 7.3	34.2 6.5
Total	519, 746, 378	532, 255, 865	519,025,384	481, 457, 792	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Lard, neutral— Germany Netherlands Other countries	} (¹)	$ \begin{cases} 12,666,387 \\ 40,110,521 \\ 9,541,001 \end{cases} $	9, 368, 924 27, 123, 927 8, 284, 841	6, 309, 792 13, 174, 294 9, 839, 700	} (1)	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} 20.3 \\ 64.4 \\ 15.3 \end{array}\right.$	20. 9 60. 6 18. 5	21.5 44.9 33.6
Total	(1)	62, 317, 909	44,777,692	29, 323, 786	(1)	100.0	100.0	100.0
Pork, pickled— Canada Cuba	10, 315, 634 7, 459, 726	11, 156, 806 9, 988, 925	9, 436, 506 9, 141, 098	12,825,741 4,090,780	11.4 8.2	19.8 17.7	17.6 17.0	28.2 9.0
and Labrador	5, 082, 768	6, 570, 510	5, 672, 961	7,911,743	5.6	11.7	10.6	17.4
dom Other countries	38, 860, 198 29, 091, 553	13,500,861 15,104,367	14, 619, 714 14, 878, 744	5,571,720 15,143,101	42.8 32.0	24.0 26.8	$\begin{array}{r} 27.2\\27.6\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r}12.2\\33.2\end{array}$
Total	90, 809, 879	56, 321, 469	53,749,023	45, 543, 085	100.0	100.0	100.0	100. 0

 TABLE 192.—Destination of principal farm products exported from the United States,

 1907-1914—Continued.

1 Included in "Oleo oil and neutral lard."

TABLE	192.—Destination	of	principal farm	products	exported	from	the	United	States,
		•	- 1907-1914(Continue	d. ¯	-			

	Quantity. Per cent of total.							
Article, and coun-			Year ei	nding June 30)			
signed.	Average, 1907–1911.	1912	1913	1914	A ver- age, 1907– 1911.	1912	1913	1914
VEGETABLE MATTER.								
Cotton: Austria-Hungary Belgium. Canada France. Germany Italy. Japan. Mexico. Russia, European. Spain. United Kingdom Other countries	$\begin{array}{c} Pounds.\\ 43, 521, 153\\ 68, 383, 979\\ 67, 820, 937\\ i, 122, 977, 141\\ 238, 215, 462\\ 92, 334, 530\\ 8, 230, 913\\ 46, 833, 111\\ 126, 092, 800\\ 1, 649, 343, 756\\ 42, 585, 344 \end{array}$	Pounds. 62, 781, 705 105, 951, 588 90, 832, 199 614, 146, 911 1,578,085,504 318, 038, 666 240, 467, 144 8, 064, 183 55, 878, 081 156, 749, 981 2,171,554,173 132, 575, 288	$\begin{array}{c} Pounds.\\ 56, 591, 125\\ 113, 483, 414\\ 76, 007, 216\\ 537, 493, 608\\ 1, 221, 943, 252\\ 250, 411, 639\\ 198, 389, 341\\ 10, 488, 465\\ 37, 453, 772\\ 158, 976, 935\\ 1, 858, 449, 027\\ 42, 607, 881\\ \end{array}$	Pounds. 53, 255, 407 113, 736, 761 75, 496, 339 569, 699, 520 1, 442, 161, 777 268, 678, 515 176, 720, 027 17, 335, 397 49, 538, 075 148, 669, 641 1, 790, 750, 498 54, 898, 581	$\begin{array}{c} Per \ ct. \\ 1.1 \\ 1.7 \\ 1.7 \\ 12.4 \\ 28.0 \\ 5.9 \\ 2.3 \\ .2 \\ 1.2 \\ 3.1 \\ 41.2 \\ 1.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Per \ ct. \\ 1.1 \\ 1.9 \\ 1.6 \\ 11.1 \\ 28.5 \\ 5.7 \\ 4.3 \\ .1 \\ 1.0 \\ 2.8 \\ 39.2 \\ 2.7 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Per \ ct. \\ 1.2 \\ 2.5 \\ 1.7 \\ 11.8 \\ 26.8 \\ 5.5 \\ 4.3 \\ .2 \\ .8 \\ 3.5 \\ 40.7 \\ 1.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Per \ ct. \\ 1.1 \\ 2.4 \\ 1.6 \\ 12.0 \\ 30.3 \\ 5.6 \\ 3.7 \\ .4 \\ 1.0 \\ 3.1 \\ 37.6 \\ 1.2 \end{array}$
Total	4,004,770,051	5,535,125,429	4,562,295,675	4,760,940,538	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Fruits: Apples, dried— Germany Netherlands Other countries	14, 388, 447 8, 372, 006 7, 297, 779	27, 598, 728 14, 396, 795 11, 669, 116	17, 970, 592 12, 846, 054 10, 757, 916	17, 645, 697 9, 147, 104 6, 773, 359	47. 9 27. 9 24. 2	$51.4 \\ 26.8 \\ 21.8$	43. 2 30. 9 25. 9	52.6 27.3 20.1
Total	30,058,232	53,664,639	41, 574, 562	33, 566, 160	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Apples, fresh— Germany United Kingdom. Other countries	Barrels. 116, 681 924, 084 184, 890	Barrels. 122, 823 994, 524 339, 034	Barrels. 272, 382 1, 318, 426 559, 324	Barrels. 168, 792 827, 028 510, 749	9.5 75.4 15.1	8.4 68.3 23.3	$12.7 \\ 61.3 \\ 26.0$	11.2 54.9 33.9
Total	1,225,655	1, 456, 381	2, 150, 132	1,506,569	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Apricots, dried— France Germany Netherlands United Kingdom. Other countries.	Pounds. 1,434,503 3,344,093 1,395,617 1,833,209 2,380,797	Pounds. 1, 169, 110 5, 223, 162 960, 757 3, 012, 091 3, 048, 310	Pounds. 4, 214, 153 7, 806, 944 3, 625, 314 13, 174, 672 6, 195, 647	$\begin{array}{c} \textit{Pounds.} \\ 3,074,146 \\ 3,841,032 \\ 2,064,471 \\ 4,473,534 \\ 3,948,509 \end{array}$	$13.8 \\ 32.2 \\ 13.4 \\ 17.6 \\ 23.0$	8.7 38.9 7.2 22.5 22.7	$12.0 \\ 22.3 \\ 10.4 \\ 37.6 \\ 17.7$	17.7 22.1 11.9 25.7 22.6
Total	10, 388, 219	13, 413, 430	35,016,730	17, 401, 692	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Oranges— Canada Other countries	Boxes. } (1)	$\begin{cases} Boxes. \\ 1, 152, 866 \\ 44, 497 \end{cases}$	Boxes. 1,017,545 45,688	Boxes. 1,491,539 67,382	} (1)	$\left\{\begin{array}{r} 96.3\\ 3.7 \end{array}\right.$	95.7 4.3	95.7 4.3
Total	(1)	1, 197, 363	1,063,233	1,558,921	(1)	100.0	100.0	100.0
Prunes— Canada France Germany United Kingdom. Other countries	Pounds. 8, 954, 969 4, 075, 770 14, 313, 020 7, 095, 577 12, 599, 951	Pounds. 13, 503, 157 6, 158, 115 31, 416, 210 6, 586, 572 16, 664, 020	Pounds. 10, 956, 827 11, 962, 280 49, 084, 901 8, 492, 618 37, 454, 249	Pounds. 12, 757, 585 13, 514, 086 17, 417, 865 11, 175, 968 14, 948, 207	19.0 8.7 30.4 15.1 26.8	$18.2 \\ 8.3 \\ 42.3 \\ 8.9 \\ 22.3$	9.3 10.1 41.6 7.2 31.8	18.3 19.4 24.9 16.0 21.4
Total	47,039,287	74, 328, 074	117, 950, 875	69, 813, 711	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Fruits, canned— United Kingdom Other countries	Dollars. 1, 608, 168 666, 374	Dollars. 2,690,834 1,321,629	Dollars. 3, 892, 646 1, 706, 727	Dollars. 3, 182, 051 1, 681, 895	70. 7 29. 3	$\begin{array}{c} 67.1\\ 32.9\end{array}$	69.5 30.5	65.4 34.6
Total	2,274,542	4,012,463	5, 599, 373	4, 863, 946	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Glucose and grape sugar: United Kingdom Other countries	Pounds. 121, 565, 094 23, 499, 689	<i>Pounds.</i> 137, 249, 475 33, 906, 784	<i>Pounds.</i> 155, 597, 018 44, 552, 228	Pounds. 162, 715, 262 36, 815, 612	83. 8 16. 2	80. 2 19. 8	77. 7 22. 3	81.5 18.5
Total	145, 064, 783	171, 156, 259	200, 149, 246	199, 530, 874	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

¹ Quantity not stated prior to 1908.

		Qua	ntity.			Per cent of total.				
Article, and coun-			Year e	ending June	30—					
signed.	A verage, 1907–1911.	1912	1913	1914	Aver- age, 1907- 1911.	1912	1913	1914		
VEGETABLE MATTER— continued.	-									
Grain and grain prod- ucts: Corn- Belgium. Cuba Denmark Germany Mexico Netherlands United Kingdom Other countries. Total Wheat-	Bushels. 2, 458, 048 8, 485, 800 2, 145, 491 3, 420, 393 8, 123, 698 2, 604, 700 7, 413, 615 18, 182, 777 1, 598, 228 54, 432, 750	Bushels. 1,406,508 9,568,574 2,117,724 1,545,624 6,800,562 1,168,145 5,657,976 10,616,488 1,157,194 40,038,795	Bushels. 1, 648, 089 8, 097, 882 2, 372, 678 5, 389, 897 6, 545, 521 - 543, 340 7, 192, 420 14, 982, 604 2, 292, 536 49, 064, 967	Bushels. 60,227 4,641,737 2,410,156 118 303,303 467,424 373,770 540,515 553,605 9,380,855	Per ct. 4.5 15.6 4.0 6.3 14.9 4.8 13.6 33.4 2.9 100.0	Per ct. 3.5 23.9 5.3 3.9 17.0 2.9 14.1 26.5 2.9 100.0	Per ct. 3.4 16.5 4.8 11.0 13.3 1.1 14.7 30.5 4.7 100.0	Per ct. 6 49.5 25.7 3.2 5.0 4.0 5.8 6.2 100.0		
Belgium Canada. France. Germany. Italy. Mexico. Netherlands. United Kingdom Other countries.	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{c} 4,054,171\\ -537,240\\ 35,977\\ 1,586,666\\ 533,009\\ 1,491,156\\ 3,388,444\\ 15,765,454\\ 2,768,095 \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$12.9 \\ 1.7 \\ 4.6 \\ 11.5 \\ 7.0 \\ 2.2 \\ 8.2 \\ 40.8 \\ 11.1$	$13.4 \\ 1.8 \\ .1 \\ 5.3 \\ 1.8 \\ 4.9 \\ 11.2 \\ 52.3 \\ 9.2$	$11.6 \\ .9 \\ 5.4 \\ 13.2 \\ 7.9 \\ .7 \\ 16.2 \\ 34.4 \\ 9.7$	$13.9 \\ 4.5 \\ 6.0 \\ 11.9 \\ 2.0 \\ .3 \\ 21.6 \\ 30.3 \\ 9.5$		
Total	62, 854, 580	30, 160, 212	91,602,974	92, 393, 775	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
Wheat flour— Brazll Canada Cuba Finland Germany Haiti Hongkong Japan Netherlands	Barrels. 358, 419 55, 606 537, 681 788, 471 (1) 411, 067 160, 629 925, 259 540, 400 881, 519	Barrels. 625,399 99,760 741,192 842,168 175,575 130,328 324,736 1,491,073 716,347 675,429	Barrels. 583, 418 98, 665 127, 814 907, 786 405, 832 170, 345 288, 495 1, 301, 306 878, 623 859, 987	Barrels. 748, 612 122, 752 136, 374 892, 705 429, 354 176, 485 208, 266 1, 141, 095 793, 269 958, 063	$3.0 \\ .5 \\ 4.5 \\ 6.7 \\ \\ 3.5 \\ 1.4 \\ 7.8 \\ 4.6 \\ 7.4$	$5.7 \\ .9 \\ 6.7 \\ 7.7 \\ 1.6 \\ 1.2 \\ 3.0 \\ 13.5 \\ 6.5 \\ 6.1$	$5.1 \\ .9 \\ 1.1 \\ 8.0 \\ 3.6 \\ 1.5 \\ 2.5 \\ 11.4 \\ 7.7 \\ 7.5 \\ \end{cases}$	6.3 1.0 1.2 7.6 3.6 1.5 1.8 9.7 6.7 8.1		
Philippine Is- lands United Kingdom Other countries	$134,964 \\ 4,219,607 \\ 2,827,077$	308,671 2,372,797 2,503,012	370, 939 2, 428, 167 2, 973, 428	$\begin{array}{r} 236,902\\ 2,809,800\\ 3,167,784\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.1 \\ 35.6 \\ 23.9 \end{array}$	$2.8 \\ 21.6 \\ 22.7$	$3.3 \\ 21.3 \\ 26.1$	$2.0 \\ 23.8 \\ 26.7$		
Total	11,840,699	11,006,487	11, 394, 805	11,821,461	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
Hops: Canada United Kingdom Other countries	Pounds. 644,630 13,568,675 560,880	Pounds. 1,325,506 10,463,164 401,993	Pounds. 1,035,729 15,409,093 1,146,373	Pounds. 1,214,028 22,219,620 829,248	4.4 91.8 3.8	10. 9 85. 8 3. 3	5.9 87.6 6.5	5. 0 91. 6 3. 4		
Total	14,774,185	12, 190, 663	17,591,195	24, 262, 896	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
Oil cake and oil-cake meal: Cottonseed— Belgium Germany Netherlands United Kingdom. Other countries Total	33, 205, 110 379, 947, 294 328, 823, 897 65, 072, 035 125, 012, 812 57, 676, 982 989, 738, 130	42,981,422 413,512,583 451,358,869 77,676,167 247,440,239 60,720,858 1.293.690,138	38,953,330 429,490,872 364,266,905 62,479,858 163,960,512 68,940,890 1,128,092,367	19, 685, 564 347, 584, 172 240, 348, 664 22, 310, 420 131, 292, 496 38, 752, 936 799, 974, 252	3.438.433.26.612.65.8100.0	3. 3 32. 0 34. 9 6. 0 19. 1 4. 7 100. 0	3.5 38.1 32.3 5.5 14.5 6.1 100.0	2.5 43.4 30.0 2.8 16.4 4.9 100.0		
		,,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,							

TABLE	192.—Destination	of	principal farm	products	exported	from	the	United	States,
		-	1907-1914-0	Jontinue	d. Î				

¹ Included in "Russia, European" prior to 1911.

TABLE 192.—Destination	ı of	principal farm	products	exported	from	the	United	States,
	-	1907-1914-	Continue	d.				

		Quar	ntity.			Per cent	of total.	
Article, and coun-			Year e	nding June 3	i0			
try to which con- signed.	A verage, 1907–1911.	1912	1913	1914	A ver- age, 1907– 1911.	1912	1913	1914
VEGETABLE MATTER- continued.								
Oil cake and oil-cake meal—Contd. Linseed or flax- seed— Belgium France United Kingdom. Other countries.	<i>Pounds.</i> 282, 506, 100 20, 702, 312 275, 652, 388 53, 233, 752 19, 270, 976	Pounds. 239, 849, 696 40, 747, 747 265, 879, 242 36, 358, 331 13, 279, 520	Pounds. 330, 952, 259 49, 700, 150 391, 513, 427 53, 796, 998 12, 156, 820	Pounds. 332, 697, 680 20, 671, 619 266, 792, 954 29, 084, 892 13, 621, 494	Per ct. 43. 4 3. 2 42. 3 8. 2 2. 9	Per ct. 40. 2 6. 9 44. 6 6. 1 2. 2	Per ct. 39.5 5.9 46.7 6.4 1.5	$\begin{array}{c} Per \ ct. \\ 50. \ 2 \\ 3.1 \\ 40. \ 2 \\ 4. \ 4 \\ 2.1 \end{array}$
Total	651, 365, 528	596, 114, 536	838, 119, 654	662, 868, 639	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Oils, vegetable: Cottonseed— Argentina Austria-Hungary Belgium. Canada France. Germany Italy Netherlands. Norway. Turkey, European United Kingdom. Other countries.	$\begin{array}{c} 3, 685, 461\\ 2, 847, 992\\ 4, 636, 388\\ 11, 459, 332\\ 38, 265, 291\\ 12, 063, 275\\ 28, 541, 565\\ 28, 418, 278\\ 68, 638, 926\\ 4, 819, 158\\ 68, 638, 926\\ 4, 819, 158\\ 7, 086, 030\\ 30, 434, 591\\ 40, 980, 038\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 8,893,927\\ 9,222,768\\ 9,834,185\\ 22,659,718\\ 25,596,365\\ 24,798,799\\ 36,670,719\\ 28,961,136\\ 97,590,174\\ 8,028,128\\ 11,931,876\\ 71,420,689\\ 43,862,489 \end{array}$	$\begin{matrix} 14,708,379\\ 8,475,683\\ 1,970,255\\ 25,227,397\\ 17,924,337\\ 13,440,312\\ 39,516,645\\ 23,743,576\\ 75,349,314\\ 8,986,253\\ 12,556,417\\ 31,845,444\\ 41,488,880 \end{matrix}$	$\begin{array}{c} 14,989,927\\ 4,211,198\\ 3,452,229\\ 25,493,039\\ 8,268,808\\ 7,682,622\\ 14,015,326\\ 6,219,064\\ 6,219,064\\ 20,994,772\\ 6,985,490\\ 4,947,994\\ 31,071,865\\ 38,630,745\\ \end{array}$	$1.3 \\ 1.6 \\ 3.9 \\ 13.2 \\ 7.2 \\ 9.8 \\ 23.6 \\ 1.7 \\ 2.4 \\ 10.5 \\ 14.0 $	$\begin{array}{c} 2.2\\ 2.3\\ 2.5\\ 5.7\\ 6.4\\ 9.2\\ 7.2\\ 24.4\\ 2.0\\ 3.0\\ 17.9\\ 11.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 4.7\\ 2.7\\ .6\\ 8.0\\ 5.7\\ 4.3\\ 12.5\\ 7.5\\ 23.9\\ 2.9\\ 4.0\\ 10.1\\ 13.1\end{array}$	7.8 2.2 1.8 13.2 4.3 3.2 14.0 7.3 3.2 14.0 3.6 2.6 16.1 19.9 9
Total	290, 876, 625	399, 470, 973	315, 232, 892	192,963,079	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Tobacco, leaf, stems, and trimmings: Belgium British Africa British Africa British Oceania Canada China France. Germany Italy. Japan Netherlands Spain. United Kingdom Other countries	$\begin{array}{c} 10, 942, 524\\ 5, 292, 728\\ 11, 568, 041\\ 13, 515, 314\\ 4, 213, 112\\ 32, 342, 718\\ 39, 616, 652\\ 37, 737, 365\\ 1, 904, 701\\ 21, 896, 221\\ 13, 972, 444\\ 120, 946, 489\\ 20, 448, 214 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10,072,410\\ 6,357,617\\ 10,870,147\\ 15,095,925\\ 6,635,350\\ 47,186,921\\ 41,964,300\\ 39,403,429\\ 2,947,452\\ 27,277,631\\ 28,674,906\\ 120,936,936\\ 22,422,296\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10,235,594\\ 8,377,246\\ 17,516,283\\ 16,309,480\\ 6,641,628\\ 49,131,788\\ 30,054,681\\ 44,779,059\\ 5,266,034\\ 26,688,355\\ 23,081,022\\ 150,110,570\\ 30,605,166\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 11,677,604\\ 6,600,312\\ 13,186,680\\ 17,688,562\\ 11,445,697\\ 54,915,178\\ 32,057,051\\ 45,190,995\\ 3,696,273\\ 28,233,746\\ 16,822,696\\ 16,822,696\\ 174,779,326\\ 33,455,862 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 3.3\\ 1.6\\ 3.5\\ 4.0\\ 1.3\\ 9.7\\ 11.8\\ 11.3\\ .6\\ 6.5\\ 4.2\\ 36.2\\ 6.0\end{array}$	$2.7 \\ 1.7 \\ 2.9 \\ 4.0 \\ 1.7 \\ 12.4 \\ 11.0 \\ 10.4 \\ .8 \\ 7.2 \\ 7.5 \\ 31.8 \\ 5.9 $	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{2.4}\\ \textbf{2.0}\\ \textbf{4.2}\\ \textbf{3.9}\\ \textbf{1.6}\\ \textbf{11.7}\\ \textbf{7.2}\\ \textbf{10.7}\\ \textbf{1.3}\\ \textbf{6.4}\\ \textbf{5.5}\\ \textbf{35.8}\\ \textbf{7.3} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.6\\ 1.5\\ 2.9\\ 3.9\\ 2.5\\ 12.2\\ 7.1\\ 10.0\\ 8\\ 6.3\\ 3.7\\ 38.9\\ 7.6\end{array}$
Total	334, 395, 923	379, 845, 320	418, 796, 906	449,749,982	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
FOREST PRODUCTS.								
Naval stores: Rosin— Argentina Austria-Hungary. Belgium Brazil. Canada. Germany Italy Netherlands. Russia, European United Kingdom. Other countries	Barrels. 93, 787 94, 420 102, 263 149, 111 70, 653 732, 067 100, 670 227, 429 78, 172 509, 843 107, 145	Barrels. 122, 333 103, 959 163, 345 176, 964 93, 464 681, 476 102, 685 194, 552 98, 103 503, 516 234, 063	Barrels. 131,286 84,070 141,013 180,701 86,702 809,745 116,019 228,360 143,336 632,515 252,299	Barrels. 102,028 66,257 111,735 99,632 77,064 796,757 109,380 247,339 144,653 504,400 158,705	$\begin{array}{c} 4.0\\ 4.0\\ 4.3\\ 6.3\\ 3.0\\ 31.1\\ 4.3\\ 9.7\\ 3.3\\ 21.6\\ 8.4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.9\\ 4.2\\ 6.6\\ 7.2\\ 3.8\\ 27.5\\ 4.1\\ 7.9\\ 4.0\\ 20.3\\ 9.5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 4.7\\ 3.0\\ 5.0\\ 6.4\\ 3.1\\ 28.9\\ 4.1\\ 8.1\\ 5.1\\ 22.5\\ 9.1\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.2\\ 2.7\\ 4.6\\ 4.1\\ 3.2\\ 33.0\\ 4.5\\ 10.2\\ 6.0\\ 20.9\\ 6.6\end{array}$
Total	2,355,560	2,474,460	2,806,046	2,417,950	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
				-		I		

681

		Quan	tity.			Per cen	cent of total.					
Article, and coun-			Year	ending Jnne	30							
signed.	Average 1907–1911.	1912	1913	1914	Aver- age 1907- 1911.	1912	1913	1914				
FOREST PRODUCTS- continued.												
Naval stores—Contd. Turpentine, spirits of— Belgium British Oceania Canada Germany Netherlands United Kingdom. Other countries.	Gallons. 2,091,343 536,758 964,218 2,834,502 2,197,241 6,363,766 1,671,127	Gallons. 1, 428, 710 859,605 920,612 2,812,160 3,379,518 7,865,713 2,332,923	$\begin{array}{c} Gallons.\\ 1,872,893\\ 686,989\\ 1,039,768\\ 3,849,191\\ 4,242,340\\ 7,432,271\\ 1,916,145 \end{array}$	Gallons. 1,027,355 499,248 1,114,863 3,275,929 4,393,902 7,109,851 1,479,556	Per ct. 12.6 3.2 5.8 17.0 13.2 38.2 10.0	Per ct. 7.3 4.4 4.7 14.4 17.2 40.1 11.9	Per ct. 8.9 3.3 4.9 18.3 20.2 35.3 9.1	Per ct. 5.4 2.7 5.9 17.3 23.2 37.6 7.9				
Total	16,658,955	19, 599, 241	21,039,597	18,900,704	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0				
Wood: Lumber— Boards, deals, planks, joists, and scant- ling—	M. feet.	M. feet.	M. feet.	M. feet.								
Argentina Belgium Brazil British Oceania Canada Central Ameri- can States and British	$\begin{array}{r} 237,814\\ 57,254\\ 35,734\\ 126,720\\ 207\ 698\end{array}$	$325,525 \\ 64,970 \\ 59,866 \\ 218,431 \\ 553 090$	248, 363 78, 662 69, 823 260, 473 545, 257	208,177 62,772 38,125 293,009 434,399	14.2 3.4 2.1 7.6 12.4	13. 9 2. 8 2. 6 9. 3 23. 6	9.6 3.1 2.7 10.1 21.2	8.6 2.6 1.6 12.1 18.0				
Honduras China Cuba France Germany Italy Mexico Netherlands	$52,151\\65,015\\115,945\\26,847\\68,466\\36,235\\103,411\\79,049$	$52,483\\33,668\\122,846\\24,604\\74,068\\35,397\\106,574\\102,012$	$56,509\\88,749\\137,982\\30,202\\83,752\\44,319\\121,657\\125,201$	$\begin{array}{c} 81,251\\ 107,115\\ 122,938\\ 39,563\\ 69,852\\ 53,623\\ 69,111\\ 120,661\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.1\\ 3.9\\ 6.9\\ 1.6\\ 4.1\\ 2.2\\ 6.2\\ 4.7 \end{array}$	$2.2 \\ 1.4 \\ 5.2 \\ 1.1 \\ 3.2 \\ 1.5 \\ 4.6 \\ 4.4$	2.2 3.4 5.4 1.2 3.3 1.7 4.7 4.9	3.4 4.4 5.1 1.6 2.9 2.2 2.9 5.0				
lands	16,139	24,222	15,747	22,485	1.0	1.0	.6	.9				
dom Other countries	$201,608 \\ 247,103$	226,537 316,616	333,390 336,147	$332,457\ 361,901$	$12.0 \\ 14.6$	9.7 13.5	12.9 13.0	13. 8 14. 9				
Total	1,677,189	2,340,909	2,576,233	2,417,439	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0				
Timber, hewn and sawed— Canada France Germany Italy Netherlands United Kingdom. Other countries.	$\begin{array}{r} 422,036\\ 212,883\\ 312,255\\ 139,156\\ 666,403\\ 1,372,855\\ 760,434 \end{array}$	53,462 20,132 25,211 51,260 64,327 156,317 67,312	$\begin{array}{r} 39,705\\ 39,950\\ 32,023\\ 44,726\\ 60,692\\ 213,016\\ 81,525\end{array}$	37, 846 32,047 17, 506 65, 314 57, 776 186, 906 43, 771	$10.9 \\ 5.5 \\ 8.0 \\ 3.6 \\ 17.1 \\ 35.3 \\ 19.6$	$12.2 \\ 4.6 \\ 5.8 \\ 11.7 \\ 14.7 \\ 35.7 \\ 15.3 \\$	7.87.86.38.711.941.615.9	8.6 7.3 4.0 14.8 13.1 42.4 9.8				
Total	3,886,022	438,021	511,637	441,166	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0				

TABLE 192.—Distribution of principal farm products exported from the United States, 1907-1914—Continued.

 TABLE 193.—Origin of principal farm products imported into the United States, 1907–1914.

		Qua	ntity.		Per cent of total.				
Article, and coun-			Year	ending June	30.				
consigned.	Average, 1907–1911.	1912	1913	1914	A ver- age, 1907- 1911.	1912	1913	1914	
ANIMAL MATTER.								-	
Cattle: Mexico Other countries	Number. 117,424 11,137	Number. 315, 227 3, 145	Number. 391,477 30,172	Number. 625, 253 243, 115	Per ct. 91.3 8.7	Per ct. 99.0 1.0	Per ct. 92.8 7.2	Per ct. 72.0 28.0	
Total	128, 561	318, 372	421,649	868,368	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Horses: Canada France Other countries	2, 934 1, 761 3, 278	1, 828 1, 692 3, 087	2,063 1,925 6,020	4, 435 1, 171 27, 413	$36.8 \\ 22.1 \\ 41.1$	27.7 25.6 46.7	20.6 19.2 60.2	13.4 3.5 83.1	
Total	7,973	6,607	10,008	33,019	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Dairy products: Cheese, including substitutes— France Italy Switzerland Other countries.	Pounds. 3, 138, 174 15, 636, 641 12, 995, 639 5, 892, 358	Pounds. 3,882,891 20,625,202 15,147,393 6,886,521	Pounds. 3,982,513 21,326,445 17,371,616 6,707,370	Pounds. 5, 418, 904 26, 453, 826 22, 490, 006 9, 421, 577	8.341.534.515.7	8.3 44.3 32.5 14.9	8.1 43.2 35.2 13.5	8.5 41.5 35.3 14.7	
Total	37,662,812	46, 542, 007	49,387,944	63, 784, 313	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Fibers, animal: Silk, raw— China Italy Japan Other countries	3, 931, 790 3, 566, 997 11, 502, 167 643, 711	4, 776, 506 2, 058, 456 14, 493, 131 281, 427	5,510,607 2,811,606 17,425,353 301;906	5, 926, 745 1, 997, 428 20, 196, 212 474, 287	20.0 18.2 58.6 3.2	22.19.567.11.3	21.2 10.8 66.9 1.1	20.7 7.0 70.6 1.7	
Total	19, 644, 665	21,609,520	26,049,472	28, 594, 672	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Wool, class 1— Argentina Australia, Com- monwealth of Belgium New Zealand United Kingdom. Uruguay Other countries	21, 276, 032 24, 106, 334 1, 790, 991 3, 751, 077 28, 938, 730 3, 788, 822 959, 861	21, 450, 715 12, 971, 908 62, 478 2, 923, 527 30, 928, 128 2, 666, 702 109 871	22, 603, 402 5, 619, 342 266, 930 6, 306, 874 29, 368, 707 2, 657, 620 415 840	30, 959, 660 23, 757, 714 4, 581, 419 4, 710, 748 45, 223, 714 7, 972, 159 7, 883, 347	$25.1 \\ 28.5 \\ 2.1 \\ 4.4 \\ 34.2 \\ 4.5 \\ 1.2 \\ 1$	30.1 18.2 .1 4.1 43.4 3.7	33.6 8.4 .4 9.4 43.7 4.0	24.8 19.0 3.7 3.8 36.2 6.4 6 1	
Total.	84,611,847	71 203 329	67.238.715	125 088 761	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Wool, class 2 Canada United Kingdom. Other countries	1, 378, 171 13, 824, 910 2, 802, 295	631,216 11,772,512 3,153,936	243,908 13,505,151 3,137,387	4,542,139 12,301,661 1,995,898	7.7 76.8 15.5	4.1 75.7 20.2	1.4 80.0 18.6	24.1 65.3 10.6	
Total	18,005,376	15,557,664	16, 886, 446	18,839,698	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Wool, class 3— Argentina British East In- dies	3,691,415 3 745 144	4, 429, 123	2, 337, 196	5,452,526	3.8	4.2	2.1	5.3	
China Russia (Asiatic and European). Turkey (Asiatic). United Kingdom. Other countries	30, 634, 891 17, 289, 187 7, 739, 662 22, 248, 649 11, 59 6, 478	32,060,405 20,757,933 7,780,616 23,122,561 14,048,476	35, 926, 815 25, 645, 077 7, 394, 257 20, 900, 746 15, 001, 192	$\begin{array}{c} 29,884,054\\ 22,627,514\\ 5,350,091\\ 22,105,267\\ 13,795,731 \end{array}$	31.6 17.8 8.0 22.9 12.0	$ \begin{array}{r} 4.2 \\ 30.1 \\ 19.5 \\ 7.3 \\ 21.7 \\ 13.0 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 32.3\\ 23.1\\ 6.7\\ 18.8\\ 13.4 \end{array} $	29.3 29.3 22.2 5.2 21.7 13.6	
Total	96, 945, 426	106, 639, 720	111, 168, 094	102,003,313	100.0	100.0	100.0 [,]	100.0	

Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture.

TABLE 193.—Origin of principal farm products imported into the United States, 1907-1914—Continued.

	Quantity.					Per cent of total.			
Article, and coun-			Year	ending June	30.				
consigned.	Average, 1907–1911.	1912	1913	1914	A ver- age, 1907- 1911.	1912	1913	1914	
ANIMAL MATTER— continued.									
Packing-house prod- ucts: Hides and skins, other than furs- Calf skins- Belgium Canada France	Pounds.	Pounds. (4,222,034 6,192,704 5,134,402	Pounds. 4, 724, 643 5, 930, 010 4, 991, 299	<i>Pounds.</i> 5, 157, 640 5, 734, 207 5, 800, 673	Per ct.	$\begin{array}{c} Per \ ct. \\ \begin{pmatrix} 4.0 \\ 5.9 \\ 4.9 \end{array}$	Per ct. 5.0 6.3 5.3	Per ct. 6.3 7.0 7.0	
Germany Netherlands Russia (Euro-	(1)	21, 886, 652 8, 582, 182	16,916,203 8,142,510 30,247,647	16,560,316 12,006,926	(1)	20.8	17.9 8.6 32.0	20. 1 14. 6 24. 0	
Other countries)	28, 198, 714	23, 606, 823	17,396,366	J	26.7	24.9	21.0	
Total Cattle hides— Argentina	(1)	105, 252, 489	94, 559, 135	82,403,590	(1)	100. 0 33. 3	25.0	28.5	
Belgium Brazil Canada Colombia Cuba. East Indies France	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{4}, \textbf{6}, \textbf{6}, \textbf{5}, \textbf{6}, \textbf{6}\\ \textbf{1}, \textbf{3}, \textbf{0}, \textbf{9}, \textbf{6}\\ \textbf{2}, \textbf{3}, \textbf{4}, \textbf{4}, \textbf{5}\\ \textbf{4}, \textbf{6}, \textbf{4}, \textbf{1}, \textbf{3}\\ \textbf{4}, \textbf{5}, \textbf{6}, \textbf{6}, \textbf{5}\\ \textbf{5}, \textbf{1}, \textbf{6}, \textbf{4}, \textbf{6}\\ \textbf{1}, \textbf{3}, \textbf{0}, \textbf{5}, \textbf{4}, \textbf{1}\\ \textbf{8}\\ \textbf{1}, \textbf{0}, \textbf{5}, \textbf{4}, \textbf{1}\\ \textbf{8}\\ \textbf{5}, \textbf{5}, \textbf{6}, \textbf{6}\\ \textbf{5}\\ \textbf{5}, \textbf{5}, \textbf{6}, \textbf{6}\\ \textbf{5}\\ \textbf{6}, \textbf{5}\\ \textbf{6}, \textbf{5}\\ \textbf{6}, \textbf{5}\\ \textbf{6}\\ \textbf{6}\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 3,002,202\\ 9,073,305\\ 714,256\\ 29,769,745\\ 6,303,727\\ 4,366,121\\ 3,175,040\\ 15,573,978\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7,106,337\\ 1,743,956\\ 41,608,176\\ 5,461,505\\ 2,840,141\\ 6,929,176\\ 20,102,370\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7,313,906\\ 3,259,873\\ 46,588,543\\ 5,098,244\\ 5,528,502\\ 4,474,768\\ 19,036,552\end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 20.4\\ 3.7\\ .7\\ 15.3\\ 2.6\\ 2.5\\ 4.5\\ 7.3 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 3.6\\ .3\\ 11.9\\ 2.5\\ 1.7\\ 1.3\\ 6.2\end{array}$	2. 7 2. 7 15. 5 2. 0 1. 1 2. 6 7. 5	2. 6 1. 2 16. 6 1. 8 2. 0 1. 6 6. 8	
Italy Mexico Netherlands	5,411,370 2,784,857 19,935,692 3,576,193	7,246,577 4,853,634 28,103,124 6,580,433	9,787,312 2,411,973 29;500,427 7,270,864	4,989,795 1,967,552 33,194,289 4,099,899	$ \begin{array}{r} 3.0\\ 1.6\\ 11.2\\ 2.0 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 2.9 \\ 1.9 \\ 11.2 \\ 2.6 \end{array} $	3.7 .9 11.0 2.7	1.8 .7 11.9 1.5	
pean) United King-	1, 407, 135	9,044,482	22,906,231	9,043,103	.8	3.6	8.5	3.2	
dom Uruguay Venezuela Other countries	6,940,237 11,619,939 4,657,262 11,158,327	9,262,242 10,933,642 5,555,809 16,794,136	8,588,600 7,244,806 4,470,501 23,028,077	$11,204,957 \\13,403,443 \\5,149,398 \\25,823,332$	3.9 6.5 2.6 6.4	3.7 4.4 2.2 6.7	3.2 2.7 1.7 8.5	4.0 4.8 1.8 9.2	
Total	178,681,537	251,012,513	268,042,390	279, 963, 488	100. 0	100.0	100. 0	100.0	
Aden. Africa. Argentina. Brazil. China. East Indies. France. Mexico. Bucsico. Europ	$\begin{array}{r} 4,016,159\\ 4,692,173\\ 3,396,239\\ 3,607,515\\ 10,395,656\\ 38,285,240\\ 4,053,395\\ 7,046,718 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3,338,868\\ 2,834,130\\ 5,323,163\\ 3,600,012\\ 7,107,859\\ 41,069,568\\ 2,489,532\\ 5,241,903 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3,129,594\\ 2,625,746\\ 4,276,365\\ 3,357,781\\ 9,827,646\\ 41,594,938\\ 2,406,371\\ 4,815,304 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3,595,909\\ 2,817,948\\ 3,470,013\\ 4,191,124\\ 7,304,761\\ 35,831,857\\ 2,171,224\\ 4,010,150\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 4.3\\ 5.0\\ 3.6\\ 3.8\\ 11.0\\ 40.6\\ 4.3\\ 7.5\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 3.5\\ 3.0\\ 5.6\\ 3.8\\ 7.5\\ 43.1\\ 2.6\\ 5.5\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 3.3\\ 2.7\\ 4.4\\ 3.5\\ 10.2\\ 43.2\\ 2.5\\ 5.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 4.2\\ 3.3\\ 4.1\\ 4.9\\ 8.6\\ 42.3\\ 2.6\\ 4.7\end{array}$	
pean) United King-	4, 819, 488	7,299,991	7, 183, 542	5, 131, 075	5.1	7.7	7.5	6.1	
dom Other countries	3,990,869 10,026,388	5,954,074 11,081,603	5,436,922 11,596,096	5,281,468 10,953,899	4.2 10.6	$\begin{array}{r} 6.2\\11.5\end{array}$	5.6 12.1	6.2 13.0	
Total	94, 329, 840	95, 340, 703	96, 250, 305	84, 759, 428	100.0	100. 0	100. 0	100. 0	
Argentina Brazil British Oceania Canada France.	(1)	$\left(\begin{array}{c}5,566,064\\1,134,635\\5,655,170\\1,478,584\\2,158,832\end{array}\right)$	6, 848, 065 993, 321 8, 179, 576 1, 860, 948 2, 999, 829	$\begin{array}{c} 3,874,944\\ 1,582,333\\ 9,848,498\\ 3,678,117\\ 2,221,769 \end{array}$	(1)	$ \left\{\begin{array}{c} 9.2\\ 1.9\\ 9.4\\ 2.4\\ 3.6 \end{array}\right. $	$9.5 \\ 1.4 \\ 11.4 \\ 2.6 \\ 4.2$	5.5 2.3 14.1 5.2 3.2	
pean) United King-		7, 148, 565	8, 484, 377	9, 158, 287		11.8	11.8	13.1	
dom Other countries]	25,992,351 11,266,108	28, 885, 579 13, 533, 024	26, 384, 892 13, 327, 985]	43.0	40.2 18.9	18.9	
Total	(1)	60, 400, 309	71, 784, 719	70,076,825	(1)	100.0	100.0	100.0	

TABLE	193.—Origin of	principal farm	products imported	into the	United States,
		1907-1914-	-Continued.		

	Quantity. Per cent of total.							
Article, and coun-			Year	ending June	30.	- •-		
try from which consigned.	Average, 1907–1911.	1912	1913	1914	Aver- age, 1907 1911.	1912	1913	1914
VEGETABLE MATTER. Cocoa, crude: Brazil British West Indies Ecuador. Portugal Santo Domingo United Kingdom Other countries	Pounds. 15, 859, 013 31, 046, 466 12, 734, 268 14, 623, 642 15, 977, 093 3, 701, 708 16, 390, 254	Pounds. 17, 173, 568 36, 447, 160 22, 976, 780 18, 954, 405 27, 786, 868 8, 791, 716 13, 838, 448	Pounds. 14, 354, 460 29, 588, 055 15, 229, 159 23, 040, 617 27, 241, 763 11, 660, 464 18, 924, 654	Pounds. 25, 870, 186 44, 062, 426 26, 319, 735 17, 738, 638 26, 782, 966 12, 903, 640 22, 590, 055	Per ct. 14. 4 28. 1 11. 5 13. 3 14. 5 3. 4 14. 8	Per ct. 11.8 25.0 15.7 13.0 19.0 6.0 9.5	Per ct. 10.3 21.1 10.9 16.5 19.5 8.3 13.4	Per ct. 14.7 25.0 14.9 10.1 15.2 7.3 12.8
Total	110, 332, 444	145,968,945	140, 039, 172	176, 267, 646	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Coffee: Brazil Central American	729,057,927	632, 527, 267	639, 262, 011	743, 113, 500	78.0	71.5	74.1	74.2
states and Brit- ish Honduras Colombia East Indies Mexico Netherlands Venezuela West Indies and	$\begin{array}{r} 45,546,944\\51,939,867\\10,000,852\\25,036,322\\1,582,121\\49,735,569\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 39,264,532\\62,912,252\\12,907,807\\34,156,025\\1,941,746\\47,109,521 \end{array}$	32, 172, 524 89, 684, 514 7, 559, 765 26, 121, 439 1, 956, 676 49, 671, 060	40, 202, 480 91, 830, 513 7, 413, 605 49, 385, 504 5, 811, 934 49, 953, 478	4.9 5.6 1.1 2.7 .2 5.3	4.4 7.1 1.5 3.9 .2 5.3	3.7 10.4 .9 3.0 .2 5.8	4.0 9.2 .7 4.9 .6 5.0
Bermuda Other countries	5,508,683 16,125,037	8,061,867 46,320,230	4, 110, 032 12, 592, 736	4, 532, 479 9, 284, 824	.6 1.6	.9 5.2	.5 1.4	.5 .9
Total Fibers, vegetable:	934, 533, 322	885, 201, 247	863, 130, 757	1,001,528,317	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Cotton— Egypt Peru United Kingdom. Other countries	70, 046, 332 4, 727, 682 8, 201, 692 9, 462, 027	85, 103, 780 4, 848, 201 10, 356, 921 9, 471, 169	94, 333, 483 4, 871, 835 8, 354, 253 14, 292, 445	$egin{array}{c} 63, 668, 055\ 6, 455, 946\ 2, 557, 041\ 50, 665, 857 \end{array}$	$75.8 \\ 5.1 \\ 8.9 \\ 10.2$	77.5 4.4 9.4 8.7	$77.4 \\ 4.0 \\ 6.9 \\ 11.7$	51.6 5.2 2.1 41.1
Total	92, 437, 733	109, 780, 071	121, 852, 016	123, 346, 899	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Flax— Belgium Russia, European United Kingdom. Other countries	Long tons. 2, 454 2, 682 3, 083 1, 502	Long tons. 2, 434 2, 535 4, 251 1, 680	Long tons. 1, 919 4, 450 4, 464 1, 588	Long tons. 1,266 2,735 5,076 808	25.227.631.715.5	22.323.339.015.4	15.4 35.8 35.9 12.9	12.8 27.7 51.4 8.1
Total	9, 721	10,900	12, 421	9, 885	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Jute and jute butts— British East In- dies Other countries	95, 993 4, 427	99,100 1,901	129, 511 4, 878	100, 755 5, 278	95.6 4.4	98.1 1.9	96.1 3.9	95.0 5.0
Total	100, 420	101,001	125, 389	106,033	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Manila fiber— Philippine Is- lands Other countries	66, 930 359	66, 923 1, 613	69,629 4,194	49,285 403	99.5 .5	97.6 2.4	94.3 5.7	99.2 .8
Total	67, 289	68, 536	73,823	49,688	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Sisal grass— Mexico Other countries	98, 231 4, 209	103, 683 10, 784	136, 559 17, 310	195,086 20,461	95.9 4.1	90.6 9.4	88.8 11.2	90.5 9.5
Total	102,440	114, 467	153,869	215,547	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Bananas— British West In- dies. Central American	Bunches. 11, 519, 859	Bunches. 15, 474, 513	Bunches.	Bunches. 15,677,191	36.7	34.8	26.4	32.2
ish Honduras Cuba South America Other countries	$15,651,494\\1,955,774\\1,699,959\\539,485$	$\begin{array}{r} 23,631,604\\ 2,478,581\\ 1,804,536\\ 1,131,305 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 25,108,590\\ 2,213,733\\ 2,869,247\\ 1,000,645 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 25,360,760\\ 2,354,395\\ 2,271,866\\ 3,019,380 \end{array}$	49.9 6.2 5.4 1.8	53.1 5.6 4.1 2.4	59.3 5.2 6.8 2.3	52.1 4.8 4.7 6.2
Total	31, 366, 571	44, 520, 539	42, 357, 109	48,683,592	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Quantity. Per cent of total. Article, and and com-Year ending June 30. which consigned. A ver-Average, age, 1907-1912 1913 1914 1912 1913 1014 1907-1911. 1911. VEGETABLE MAT-TER-contd. Nuts: Pounds. Walnuts-Pounds. Pounds. Pounds. Per ct. Per ct. Per ct. Per ct. 771,003 24,145,579 5,143,873 718,915 4,409 20,379,294 3,315,483 424,418 2,538,837 19,020,143 6,275,717 1,712,209 749, 415 22, 486, 462 4, 689, 720 927, 009 Austria-Hungary 2.4 2.1 0.0 1.4 76.4 France..... 72.6 64.9 51.1 Italy... 15.113.8 12.4 16.9 Turkey (Asiatic). 3.0 1.9 1.6 4.6 Other countries. 2, 128, 0559,673,204 6.9 17.3 9.6 26.0 6,434,304 Total. 30.980.661 37.213.674 26,662,441 37.195.728 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 Oil, vegetable: Olive, salad— France..... Gallons. Gallons. Gallons. Gallons. 809,629 3,245,863 781,023 800,454 2,546,390 932,536 3,584,945 949,858 4,319,567 948,135 20.516.7 17.9 15.3 65.3 67.1 68.7 Italy... 69.5 550, 380 703, 520 16.213.4 15.2 Other countries. 14.2 3,897,224 4,836,515 5,221,001 6,217,560 100.0 100.0 100.0 Total..... 100.0 Soya bean oil-Pounds. Pounds. Pounds. Pounds. 6,427,307 1,453,932 8,481,213 13,357,373 9,874,210 Japan. 7,979,144 47.7 64.7 39.3 United Kingdom. (1) 2,523,3211,837,720(1) 35.220.4 8.9 Other countries. 4,789,699 17.1 14.9 51.8 Total..... (1) 28.021.28212.340.185 16.362.452(1) 100.0 100.0 100.0 Opium: Turkey (Asiatic and European)... $296,294 \\ 155,564 \\ 37,655$ 274,71282,78242,343 $420,406 \\ 61,782 \\ 26,245$ 378, 815 39, 372 37, 013 60.5 68.7 82.7 83.2 United Kingdom ... 31.8 20.7 12.28.6 7.7 19.6 5.1 8. Ž Other countries.... Total.... 508,433 100.0 100.0 100.0 489.513399.837 455,200 100.0 Seeds: Flaxseed or linseed-Bushels. Bushels. Bushels. Bushels. *b usnets.* 1, 661, 252 75, 745 513, 485 831, 538 166, 176 427 Argentina..... Belgium.... British India..... 429, 254 17.7 1, 210, 628 51.1 8.1 $\begin{array}{r} 1,210,628\\ 357,480\\ 1,525,310\\ 3,510,883\\ 183,119\\ 54,386\end{array}$.0 2.4 89.4 .0 3 2.3 5.2 157 128,9814,732,3162,4531,1355Õ 15.8 22.3 .ŏ Canada..... United Kingdom 8,647,168 6,010 25.6 51.3 99. Š 2.7 0.0 5.1 .1 . Other countries. 437 .1 . 8 .1 .ō 4 3, 248, 633 6,841,806 5,294,296 8,653,235 100.0 100.0 100.0 Total..... 100.0 Grass seed -Clover-Pounds. Pounds. Pounds Pounds 7 ounas. 3, 729, 956 4, 474, 698 6, 185, 059 2, 180, 874 2, 573, 937 Pounds. 3, 551, 792 8, 882, 820 12, 951, 378 5, 823, 223 7, 341, 924 Pounds. 5,741,516 15,402,710 4,200,141 44,000 4,719,282 Canada..... 2,887,143 6,857,096 19.1 19.5 9.2 13.6 23.4 32. 3 51.2 France..... 23.0 5,655,558 2,816,795 3,007,965 26. č 32.3 33.6 14.0 Germany..... 15.1 13.3 11.4 Italy.... Other countries 13.4 19.1 14.2 $15.\bar{6}$ Total..... 19, 144, 524 38, 551, 137 21, 224, 557 30, 107, 649 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 Sugar, raw, cane: Cuba. 3.052.989.48994.7 3.186,630,4684,311,744,043 4,926,606,243 77.9 97.3 79 5 Dutch East Indies. 499, 629, 635 340, 396, 410 435, 570, 122 12,759,756 203,160,972 13.0 2.9 8.3 .3 116, 749, 211 4.5 2.3 110, 688, 396 10.6 Philippine Islands . Sante Domingo 52, 401, 679 72, 104, 562 17,681,93875,977,074 35,873,706 2,670,630 20,047,828 4, 316, 282 1.4 .1 . 4 .1 .2 South America..... 9, 386, 732 1.9 1.9 .4 Other countries.... 54, 785, 691 4, 506, 153 1.3 .1 3,666,643 .0 . 9 Total..... 3,842,599,452 4,092,129,718 4,554,049,872 5,061,564,621 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 Tea: 2, 558, 583 2,913,222 Canada..... 3,024,508 3, 112, 383 3.0 2.5 3.23.4 3,024,30823,728,41810,411,28844,381,27812,238,1141,029,19420, 139, 342 10, 551, 735 41, 913, 273 14, 077, 601 China... 28, 787, 073 7, 865, 313 17,605,67013,760,78717.4 25.011.0 22.1......... 29.8 11.6 East Indies..... 8.1 13.6 53, 747, 386 12, 887, 949 846, 441 45, 490, 455 10, 283, 991 47.0 53.0 46.0 Japan. 46.8 United Kingdom... 12.9 15.4 10.6 12.7 Other countries.... 1, 402, 923 1, 336, 481 .8 1.1 1.5 1.5 100.0 100.0 100.0 Total..... 96,742,977 101, 406, 816 100.0 94,812,800 91, 130, 815

TABLE 193.—Origin of principal farm products imported into the United States, 1907–1914—Continued.

Quantity. Per cent of total. Article, and country from which consigned. Average, 1997-1911. 1912 1913 1914 Average, 1997-1911. 1912 1913 1914 Average, 1997. 1912. 1913 1914 Average, 1997. 1912. 1913 1914 Average, 1997. 1912. 1913. 1914. 1912. 1913. 1914. 1912. 1913. 1914. 1912. 1914. 1914.		······								
Article, and country from which consigned. Year ending June 30. VYeGTABLE MATTERSON Average, 1907-1911. 1912 1913 1914 Average, 1907-1911. 1914 1912 1913 1914 1914 1907-1911. 1914 </td <td></td> <td colspan="5">Quantity.</td> <td colspan="4">Per cent of total.</td>		Quantity.					Per cent of total.			
Average, consigned. Average, 1907-1911. 1912 1913 1914 Avera- age, 1907-1911. 1912 1913 1914 VEGETABLE MAT- TERE-CORD. Pounds. (0.00, 010, 010, 010, 010, 010, 010, 010,	Article, and coun-	Year ending June 30.								
VEGETABLE MATTRE-contd. Pounds. Pounds. Pounds. Pounds. Pert.	consigned.	Average, 1907–1911.	1912	1913	1914	Aver- age, 1907- 1911.	1912	1913	1914	
	VEGETABLE MAT- TER-contd.									
	Tobacco, leaf: Wrapper— Netherlands Other countries	Pounds. 6, 088, 884 265, 704	Pounds. 6, 290, 499 179, 513	Pounds. 6, 193, 042 205, 740	Pounds. 5, 846, 504 246, 283	Per ct. 95.8 4.2	Per ct. 97.2 2.8	Per ct. 96.8 3.2	Per ct. 96.0 4.0	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Total	6, 354, 588	6,470,012	6, 398, 782	6,092,787	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Other leaf— Cuba Germany Turkey (Asiatic) Turkey(European) Other countries	22, 701, 893 2, 452, 793 3, 897, 065 4, 388, 454 1, 428, 958	$\begin{array}{r} 22,744,032\\ 518,078\\ 11,233,546\\ 10,371,907\\ 1,669,204 \end{array}$	27, 553, 759 1, 659, 390 18, 955, 295 10, 816, 048 2, 071, 471	$26, 617, 545 \\ 456, 445 \\ 15, 616, 543 \\ 8, 502, 742 \\ 2, 821, 450$	$ \begin{array}{r} 65.1\\ 7.0\\ 11.2\\ 12.6\\ 4.1 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 48.9\\ 1.1\\ 24.1\\ 22.3\\ 3.6 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{r} 45.1\\ 2.7\\ 31.0\\ 17.7\\ 3.5\end{array}$	49.3 .8 28.9 15.7 5.3	
FOREST PRODUCTS. India rubber, crude: Bergium	Total	34, 869, 163	46, 536, 767	61,055,963	54, 014, 725	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	FOREST PRODUCTS.									
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	India rubber, crude: Belgium Brazil. Central American States and Brit.	3, 799, 181 37, 491, 456	6, 101, 346 46, 762, 744	5, 917, 440 43, 518, 861	10, 978, 753 40, 641, 305	4.7 46.8	5.5 42,4	5.2 38.4	8. 3 30.8	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	ish Honduras East Indies France Germany Mexico Portugal. United Kingdom Other countries	$\begin{array}{c} 1, 163, 094\\ 2, 329, 197\\ 2, 490, 616\\ 4, 944, 586\\ 11, 249, 019\\ 2, 167, 201\\ 12, 208, 216\\ 2, 287, 001\\ \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{r} 989,772 \\ 12,255,500 \\ 2,968,232 \\ 7,790,742 \\ 2,033,791 \\ 873,249 \\ 34,164,908 \\ 2,871,864 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 565, 487\\ 16, 597, 105\\ 2, 629, 287\\ 7, 079, 260\\ 640, 448\\ 556, 560\\ 48, 279, 674\\ 4, 027, 863\end{array}$	1.52.93.16.214.02.715.22.9	$1.3 \\ 5.8 \\ 3.8 \\ 8.0 \\ 2.0 \\ 1.3 \\ 27.0 \\ 2.9$	$\begin{array}{r} .9\\ 10.8\\ 2.6\\ 6.9\\ 1.8\\ .8\\ 30.1\\ 2.5\end{array}$.4 12.6 2.0 5.4 .5 .4 36.6 3.0	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Total	80, 129, 567	110,210,173	113, 384, 359	131,995,742	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Wood: Cabinet woods, mahogany— British Africa Central American States and Brit.	M feet. 3,786	M feet. 3, 254	M feet. 7,655	M feet. 12,888	8.5	7.5	11.5	18.3	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	ish Honduras Mexico United Kingdom. Other countries	11, 046 12, 157 11, 409 5, 971	$12,732 \\ 10,596 \\ 10,428 \\ 6,184$	$\begin{array}{c} 13,526 \\ 10,866 \\ 20,866 \\ 13,405 \end{array}$	$23,356 \\ 10,381 \\ 18,289 \\ 5,556$	$\begin{array}{c} 24.9\\ 27.4\\ 25.7\\ 13.5 \end{array}$	29.5 24.5 24.1 14.4	$\begin{array}{c} 20.\ 4\\ 16.\ 4\\ 31.\ 5\\ 20.\ 2 \end{array}$	33.1 14.7 26.0 7.9	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Total	44, 369	43, 194	66, 318	70, 470	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
	Boards, planks, deals, and other sawed lumber— Canada Other countries	887, 738 11, 921	870, 323 34, 829	1,021,810 68,818	892, 833 36, 040	98.7 1.3	96.2 3.8	93.7 6.3	96. 1 3. 9	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Total	899, 659	905, 152	1,090,628	928, 873	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Total	Wood pulp: Canada Germany Norway. Sweden Other countries	Pounds. 395, 648, 286 106, 095, 641 91, 024, 418 86, 433, 711 35, 373, 805	Pounds. 468, 870, 801 147, 030, 609 166, 097, 531 238, 613, 758 49, 005, 759	Pounds. 463, 877, 981 151, 481, 033 189, 951, 459 283, 916, 347 37, 298, 387	Pounds. 524, 251, 441 149, 171, 214 181, 255, 024 265, 457, 874 18, 591, 642	55. 414. 812. 712. 1 $5. 0$	43. 8 13. 7 15. 5 22. 3 4. 7	$\begin{array}{c} 41.\ 2\\ 13.\ 4\\ 16.\ 9\\ 25.\ 2\\ 3.\ 3\end{array}$	46. 0 13. 1 15. 9 23. 3 1. 7	
	Total	714, 575, 861	1,069,618,458	1,126,525,207	1,138,727,195	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	

۰

TABLE 193.—Origin of principal farm products imported into the United States, 1907-1914—Continued.

. .

INDEX.

Page.
Abattoirs, public, Australia and New Zealand, management, requirements, etc. 433–436 "Absentee landlordism," danger to rural communities
Agricultural—
colleges, list and remarks
colonies, farm residents, description
development, national forests, retardation by land and timber speculators. 70–71
distribution problems, marketing, standards, roads, etc
entry, withholding by Government of timber lands in national forests 71–72
experiment stations, list
extension act, scope and results, discussion
extension work, cooperative, State officers in charge of
improvement, desires of farm women
land, heavily timbered, disadvantage of private ownership
Agricultural population—
in United States, total, 1915
total percentage
Agricultural production—
national, present and future problem
self-sufficiency, studies
Agricultural products—
exports and imports
shipments to and from Hawaii and Porto Rico
Agricultural statistics, rural population, farm areas, etc
Agriculture-
aid from use of thermometer, article by Alfred H. Thiessen 157–166
aid given by department experts
American, failure to organize, causes, etc
high schools, needs of rural communities, scope, etc
loss from desertions of farms by farmers' sons
Agriculture Department—
poultry and egg demonstration car
work, reorganization
Agriculture. Secretary—
recommendations
report for 1914
Agriculture, State officials in charge of
Air, temperature, determination, discussion
Alabama—
closed season for shore birds, laws
live stock, need of increase on farms
Alcoholic liquors, imports and exports, 1912–1914
Alfalfa pasture, cattle fattening, Argentina
Americus, Shorthorn grand champion, breeding and value
Animal diseases, eradication, need in relation to meat supply 15, 19-23
Animal Industry Bureau, changes in work, proposed
Animals—
and animal products, values, 1879–1914
dead, use and value for fertilizer, etc
farm, and their products, statistics
farm, value, by States. 642
infestation by nematodes, note
predatory, relation to sheep raising
slaughtered on farms, value, 1899 and 1909
statistics, imports, and exports,
See also Live stock.

75922°--- ҮВК 1914-----44

690

	_ P	age.
Apple sirup, new product in utilizing surplus and cull apples, article by H.	υ.	
Gore	227-	-244
Apples—		
surplus and cull, utilization in manufacture of sirup and concentrate	d	
cider, article by H. C. Gore	227 -	-244
yield of cider per ton	242 -	-243
Argentina—		
area and live stock	381 -	-382
beef production, methods	384 -	-385
beef trade, growth since 1884	382-	-384
cattle breeding	385-	-386
meat production, relation to United States industry, article by A. I	Ĵ.	
Melvin and Geo. M. Bommel	381-	-390
Argols imports 1912–1914 and 1852–1914	653.	669
Arizona closed season for shore birds laws	292.	293
Arbansas	,	-00
orr-cor demonstration work and itinerary 365	378	379
big way department establishment	0.0,	215
Arms and We article on "Selection of household equipment"	390_	362
Are diving accounting marketing becognity of useful and	107 -	108
Automing, cooperative marketing, necessity and used intess.	1 <i>31</i> -	990
Australasia, suggestions to American sheep raisers, at ticle by F. R. Marshall.	513-	-000
Australia —	401	190
and New Zealand, meat production, article by E. C. Joss	421-	438
cattle diseases, losses, etc	430-	437
drought, effect on cattle and sheep industry		424
early settlers, relation to live-stock industry	422-	423
food animals, domestic, 1901–1912	•	423
live-stock districts	•	421
meat exports, trade, distribution, etc., 1901–1913	431 -	433
meat-inspection laws and regulations, requirements	429 -	430
railroads, mileage, etc., relation to marketing live stock	426 -	427
sheep and wool industry, importance	422 -	423
veterinary education	437 -	438
water supply note		424
Automobile		
relation to read huilding		213
remoning use for read maintenance		224
Average	•	
Avertination danger protection at note		284
	201_	201
withdrawai from list of game birds, etc	201-	201
$(T) = 1 + t + 1 - 1 = d^{2}$		
Back to the land —	957_9	974
discussion of movement, article by dee. K. Homies.	401-1 079 -	07A
movement, economic need, discussion.	213-	4/4 1 <i>01</i>
Bacteria, relation of reproduction to temperature, note	195	102
Bakeries, cooperative, possibility for rural organizations, note	100-	100
Baking, Kubanka flour, difficulties, etc	408-	409
See also Cooking.		
Bank loans—		
cooperative, experience in Northwest	205,	206
security from cooperative societies	204-2	206
use in cooperative marketing	202 - 2	203
Bankers, estimate of crops as security, note	- 2	201
Banks		
Federal reserve, establishment, etc	. 37,	, 38
land-mortgage, estimated loans, use of funds, etc	. 40,	,42
Barley—		
exports and imports, 1911–1913	- 1	547
statistics acreage vield prices etc.	540-	547
statistics international trade		547
wowld/a swop 1019 1010		541
	54()	
BAGGERT C F CLARENCE W MOOMAW and W H KEEP article on "Coon	540-4 -	J 11
BASSETT, C. E., CLARENCE W. MOOMAW, and W. H. KERR, article on "Coop	540-8 - 185-9	210
BASSETT, C. E., CLARENCE W. MOOMAW, and W. H. KERR, article on "Coop erative marketing and financing of marketing associations"	540–8 - 185–2	210
world Scrop, Di2-151-154 Bassert, C. E., CLARENCE W. MOOMAW, and W. H. KERR, article on "Coop erative marketing and financing of marketing associations" Beans— metricities acrosso yield prices etc.	540-4 - 185-2 596-4	210 597
world's crop, 1912-1914 Bassert, C. E., CLARENCE W. MOOMAW, and W. H. KERR, article on "Coop erative marketing and financing of marketing associations" Beans— statistics, acreage, yield, prices, etc	540-4 - 185-2 596-4	210 597 596

	J	Page.
Beautification committee, rural organization, duties, etc Beef—	133	-135
Argentina, prices, comparison with English beef	382	$\frac{383}{-383}$
exports Argentina and United States comparisons	004	386
exports from United States. 1901–1914.		386
frozen, exports from Argentina	382	-383
handling by butchers, cost, etc., various sections		29
imports from Argentina into United States, 1913-1914	387-	-388
production by small farmers, possibilities	1	7 - 19
Berlin, market news, remarks		183
Biology, study, value of nematode	- .	463
Bird flights, Alaska and Hawan, endurance tests, etc	• •	286
Bird protection—		000
comparison of Uanadian and American laws		280
Pivel abooting appring and summer prohibition	291-	-294 201
Birds migratory, protoctive logislation	292-	-294 904
Blugstom wheat introduction origin description ate	291°	202
Bond issue market remarks		178
Boonbilus gustralis carrier of tick fever in Australia occurrence	• •	436
Boys' and girls' clubs work in crop production	••	- 99
BRANCH. G. V., article on "Retail public markets"	167-	-184
Brands, use in cooperative marketing, notes		188
Bread, Boston brown, use of apple sirup, recipe		232
Breeders, British, advantages in Argentina		389
Breeding-		
animals, cooperative ownership through community organization		102
cattle, American, opportunity to supply Argentina	388-	-390
cattle, Argentina, methods, and sources of stock	388-	-390
organized, promotion on farms, work of production committee	100-	-102
plants, work of Plant Industry experts	18	3-14
Buckwheat, statistics, acreage, yield, prices, etc	555-	-556
Buildings, farm, value, by States	• •	64Z
Burgundy snalls, preparation for table		000
Business men, cooperation in marketing	209-	-210
Dutter		625
imports 1011_1013		625
prices 1900–1914 624	626-	-627
production, 1899 and 1909.		11
receipts at leading markets. 1891–1914.	627-	$-6\bar{2}\bar{8}$
statistics, prices and trade	624-	629
By-products, utilization in cooperative marketing		198
Cake, fruit, dark, use of apple sirup, recipe	•	232
California—		
closed season for shorebirds, laws	292,	293
road management, remarks	214,	221
Canada, protection to shorebirds, note	•	294
Canadian Agriculturist, statement regarding File wheat	970	393 971
Canding eggs, directions, etc	570,	911
making aid of thermometer	169_	164
use of apple signing recipe	100-	233
Car demonstration, egg and poultry, work in reducing waste article	363-	380
CARLETON, MARK ALFRED, article on "Hard wheats winning their way"	391-	420
CARVER, T. N., article on "The organization of a rural community"	89-	138
Cattle-		
Argentina, number	381,	382
Australia, number, 1901–1912	- ´	423
breeding, American, opportunity to supply Argentina	388-	390
breeding, Argentina, methods, and sources of stock 385-386,	388-	390
dairy, benefits of forest grazing	. 81	-82
diseases, Australia and New Zealand	436-	437
exports, 1892–1914.	•	620
iatteming, alfalia pastures, Argentina	-	380

Cattle—Continued.	Page.
imports, 1892–1914	620
industry, Australia, effect of drought.	424
number decline since 1899	423
number in various countries, table	422
prices, values, etc	-623
prices, wholesale, 1900–1914	623
statistics, numbers, value, prices, etc	⊢623
worm nodules, prevalence in Australia	436
acreage production and value 1899 and 1909	11
crops, 1914, estimates.	12
"Checks'' eggs, description	367
Cheese—	
exports	,659
production 1899 and 1909	, 001
Chicago, hard spring wheat, grading and prices, 1858–1885	-395
Chicken yard, danger to water supply, note	14 1
Chickens—	
prices, 1913, 1914, by States	630
Chilling aggs importance and necessity 365 371	274
Cholera, hog. losses caused by, control work	9.20
Christian, George H., introducer of spring-wheat flour, note	394
Churning, aid of thermometer	164
Cider—	~ ~ ~
concentrated, keeping quality, experiments, 1913–1914	-242
H C Gore 227	-244
concentration cost. discussion. items. etc. 239. 242	-244
fresh, availability for soda fountains	, 244
shipping advantages of concentration by freezing	-242
sterilization experiments	228
sweet, concentration by freezing	-242
yield by apples per ton	-243
Cider-freezing plants recommendation only to ice-makers etc	239
Cistern—	200
above ground, conditions requiring	147
switch and by-pass for keeping water clean	146
Cisterns, construction and care for clean water supply 145	-147
Ultrus— hybrida hardy types production by Plant Industry experts	19
trees damage by nematodes 468	470
Clay soil, wells in note	142
Clean water. See Water, clean.	
Climate, Australian, advantage to sheep raisers	, 336
Closed season, shore birds and woodcock, laws	-294
Clover seed, prices, 1900–1914.	572
Copp. N. A article on "Nometodes and their relationships" 457.	_400
Coffee-	-100
exports	606
imports	606
international trade	606
prices	607 977
Colleges agricultural list and remarks 505	-507
Colorado-	001
farmers' association, request for timber-cutting restriction on North Platte	
watershed, note	65
North Platte watershed, request of farmers for timber-cutting restrictions,	07
note	60 202
Communication and transportation committee rural organization duties etc. 121-	-123
Communication and transportation communication, runar or Summarian, address, over the	

Concrete—	Page.
proportions and use in cistern construction	146
use for well lining	143
Connecticut—	
closed season for woodcock, laws	92, 293
highway department, establishment and work	17 - 218
road management, remarks	14, 217
COOKE, WELLS W., article on "Our shorebirds and their future"	75–294
Cooking-	
and of thermometer	53 - 164
snalls, recipes	99-001
use of apple strup, recipes	31-233 50 950
Cooking intensits, selections, suggestions	00-009
Cooperation—	100
volution to incorporation	190 01 109
value to formers in marketing	87-180
Computing.	57-103
arricultural extension work. State officers in charge of 50	08-509
associations formers' studies	26-27
credit associations rural farms and operations 36	42 43
extension act review	50-51
financing, interest rates, note.	207
market associations, financial requirements	201
marketing-	
advantages	188
credit to members	194
crops and conditions suitable	190
damages against members, remarks	196
dividends, discussion	196
enemies among members 19	98-200
expenses, remarks	194
farm products, work of marketing committee 10)2–107
financing 20)1-210
form of organization)1–192
good management as a factor in credit	209
loans from members	204
management, importance of 19	2-193
membership agreement	194
need of loyalty among members	8-199
need of uniform grading and packing	195
officers, bonding requirements	195
payments	194
personal element in credit for 20	100
protection from utofices, cheats, dealbeats, and tratfors	200
protection nominate incloses and dislikes	200
ownership of breeding animals	102
stores for farm supplies comparisons	19-111
Corriedale sheep description value origin etc	437
Corn-	-01
exports, 1912–1914	5. 680
exports and imports, 1911–1913	518
imports, 1912–1914	655
statistics, acreage, yield, prices, etc	1-518
statistics, international trade	518
world's crop, 1912–1914	.1-512
Cotton	
	11
acreage, production, and value, 1899 and 1909	12
acreage, production, and value, 1899 and 1909 crop estimates, 1914	
acreage, production, and value, 1899 and 1909 crop estimates, 1914	50, 67 9
acreage, production, and value, 1899 and 1909 crop estimates, 1914	80, 679 33–35
acreage, production, and value, 1899 and 1909 crop estimates, 1914	50, 679 33-35 14
acreage, production, and value, 1899 and 1909 crop estimates, 1914	
acreage, production, and value, 1899 and 1909 crop estimates, 1914	$ \begin{array}{r} 0, 679 \\ 33-35 \\ 14 \\ 3, 685 \\ 31, 34 \\ 2 579 \end{array} $
acreage, production, and value, 1899 and 1909 crop estimates, 1914	$\begin{array}{c} 0,\ 679\\ 33-35\\ 14\\ 3,\ 685\\ 31,\ 34\\ 3-578\\ 6-207\end{array}$

Cotton—Continued. warehouses, study	Page. 32, 33 3–575
Cottonseed oll— exports, 1911–1913. imports, 1911–1913. international trade, 1911–1913.	$578 \\ 578 \\ 578 \\ 578$
Country— churches, value in rural communities, discussion	7–138 5–138
attractiveness to farmers, studies	$\substack{ extsf{4-127}\ 261\ 141 extsf{}}$
Cows— milch, numbers, values, and prices	L-622
Credit— basis and methods of obtaining, in cooperative marketing	1-210 183 35-43 12
 injury by nematodes, notes kinds best adapted to cooperative marketing new, introduction by plant-industry experts production and distribution, need of good roads	461 190 14 3-46 5-649 1-612 644 5-186
Curlew— 286 Eskimo, decrease, causes, habits, etc	-289 -285 -294 286 232
Daggar, nematode, description, damage to plants, etc	469
Dairy— aid of thermometer. industry, New Zealand, importance, note products, exports and imports, 1912–1914 and 1852–1914. 651, 659, 667, 669, 677 products, quantity and value, 1899 and 1909	164 425 7,683 11
Dairying, advantages from forest grazing	1-82 , 293 183 -380 -130 -130
Diseases— animal, eradication, need in relation to meat supply	19–23 –437 4–51 196)–362 1–318 287
Drainage borrowing capital for, conditions governing	5–256 256 9–250

Index.

Drainage—Continued.	Page.
effect on farm lands value etc 24	6-248
farm economy of article by R. D. Marsdon	5 256
form londs induced of an interview in the marshell	0-200
farm fands, inducice of community of ganization.	94-90
iarm, pronts, discussion, cases cited, etc	0-253
necessity for previous planning, surveys, and studies	3 - 255
progress in various States	5 - 246
See also Farm drainage; Irrigation.	
Drains—	
open and tile, comparison, maintenance, etc. 24	8-249
tile first installation in New York	246
Drought Australia affact on cattle and shoon industries	191
Drought, Australia, effect of cause and sheep industries	0 007
Drougness, injury to sneep industry in Australia. 32	4, 331
Dry farming, relation of five stock on forest ranges	16
Ducks, disease investigations, transfer to Animal Industry Bureau	63
Duluth	
Board of Trade, reports on durum wheat	9-411
durum wheat, receipts, prices, etc	3-415
Dunwoody, W. H., introducer of spring-wheat flour, note	394
Durum wheat Kubanka See Wheat durum Kubanka	
Dues production cost comparison with retail cost note	119
Dyes, production cost, comparison with retail cost, note-	114
$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{r}} + $	1 000
Economic independence, inducement to farm residents	1-262
Economics-	
farm, importance of study	23 - 24
household, committee work and duties 13	5–138
Education—	
agricultural, extension work, result of Smith-Lever Act	50-51
committee rural organization duties etc. 12'	7-128
Educational resources clubs libraries atc. needs of rural communities 12	7-128
For the second resources, clubs, infaires, etc., needs of fular communities 12.	-140
Eggs	975
preakage in transit, results of investigation, annual loss	3/3
buying "quality" basis and "loss off" basis, comparison	3,377
candling, directions, etc), 371
chilling, importance and necessity	L, 374
cold storage, demonstrations	5,374
demonstration car description and methods 364	1 370
demonstration car, work in reducing waste in eggs 365	3-380
avanta	625
fortile near bearing quality	040
fertile, poor keeping quanty	3/3
grades under canaling, description	300
grading for market, importance to producers	3, 380
"green white," description	366
handling on farm, causes of waste	L, 373
imports	625
improvement, work of egg and poultry demonstration car,	3380
infertile, keeping quality, comparison with fertile eggs	373
markating annual value	360
marketing bur namel heat investigations	000
marketing by parcer post, investigations.	40 : 977
packing to destroy danger of breakage)-011
prices, wholesale, 1900–1914.	629
receipts at leading markets, 1891–1914	628
statistics, prices, and trade	⊢ 629
washing, injury to quality	367
waste, annual, causes, and losses in eggs and money	1.375
Electric motor, use in pumping farmhouse water supply	153
Elevators farmers' financing in Northwest	203
Emergency funds, for fighting in notional forests	4-55
Energieurs and an Sping Wilson	00-10
English shipe. See Shipe, wilson.	7.4
Entomologists, work in ald of agriculture	14
Escargots à la Bordelaise, recipe	501
Experiment—	_
stations, agricultural list	507
Stations Office, reorganization proposed	51-62
Exports—	
agricultural products, 1912–1914, and 1852–1914	-665.
666–668. 671. 675. 677	′68Ź
barley 1911–1913	547

Exports—Continued. Pa corn, 1911–1913	ge. 531
forest products, 1912–1914, and 1852–1914	372 -39
Extension—	00
agricultural, act, scope, and results, discussion	-51 509
Farm	
accounting, work of farm finance committee	.21 66 35
development, location in national forest, as aid to farm owner	.21 69
economy of, article by R. D. Marsden	256
profits, discussion, cases cited, etc	255 253
See also Drainage.	91
finance and accounting committee, duties, etc	21
home, household conveniences, work of committee	.38
water supply, hydropneumatic system	51
insurance, work of farm finance committee	.21
labor, cheap, influence of community organization	.96
area, value, etc	43 48
loans, provisions	43
life, neglect, and reawakened interest	10 41
owners- form life classes description ate	en
removal to cities, danger and remedies	24
residents for entire year, classes, etc., discussion	65
summer residents, descriptions, reasons, etc	73
with urban occupations, successes, etc	66
distribution problems, discussion by Secretary	51
exports, principal countries of destination, 1907–1914	82
imports, principal countries of origin, 1907–1914	87
Increase in acreage, production and value	12
marketing, improvement, etc., work of committee	04
markets furnished by national forest lumbermen	55 67
property, value, by States	43
supplies-	
committee, rural organization, duties and work 107-1	12
tenants, few recruits from urban life, reasons	69
units, national forests, size reduction, note	81
value of wood lot as shelter and windbreak	54
water supply—	04
main sources	40 56
well, discussion as source of water supply	44
American, condition, needs, etc., article by Edward B. Mitchell 311-3 American, desire for help in home improvements	18 18
Farmer—	-
benefits from national forests	88
Farmers	97
aid by work of experts in Department	15
and the national forests, article by Henry S. Graves	88

Farmers-Continued.	Page.
attractiveness of country life, studies	124-127
benefits	
of camping and timber cutting in national forests	83-84
of flood control in national forests	75_78
of grazing in national forests	79-82
of national forests	. 83-84
camping in national forests, recreation, work, etc	. 83-84
cooperation in lumbering and marketing forest products	448,450
fire protection for national forests. cooperative work	. 20-21
independence, relation to cooperative marketing	190-191
national forest water for domestic use, benefits, etc	. 74-75
obligations in cooperative marketing	189
relation to public market	89-138
relation to timber conservation in national forests	. 66-67
removal to towns, studies	124 - 127
"summer," dissatisfaction of yearly residents, note	. 268
Unorganized market facilities, discussion	. 94
Farm-land banks, work of finance committee.	112-121
Farms—	
abandoned, use as summer homes, notes	267 - 268
beef production, possibilities	. 17-19
irrigation water supply by national forests total value	202-203
mortgages, loans in various States.	40,41
movement from city and town, article by Geo. K. Holmes	257-274
national forests, classification, description, area, agricultural value, etc	. 67-72
national forests, protection from floods	. 75-76
Feeding—	. 203
cattle, on alfalfa pastures of Argentina	. 385
snail, directions.	. 497
Fertilizer, preparation from municipal waste, article by J. W. Turrentine	295-310
Fertilizers, commercial, ingredients	. 295
observation by use of thermometer	165-166
Texas fever, prevalence in Australia	. 436
thermometer, use.	165-166
Fibers, vegetable, imports and exports, 1912–1914 and 1852–1914653, 660, 669,	679, 685
Fife wheat introduction origin description introducer etc	. 393
Filter, construction and use for cistern	146-147
Financing, cooperative marketing	201 - 210
Fire protection—	-
national forests, cooperation of citizens with Government	· 74 54-55
Fish and sea food, handling on market.	. 176
Flax—	
statistics, acreage, yield, prices, etc	586 - 589
world's crop, 1911–1913	586-587
statistics acreage yield prices etc.	586-589
world's crop.	586-587
Floors, home treatment and coverings	. 350
Florida, closed season for shore birds, laws	292, 293
Flour-	306
hard spring-wheat, prices, 1869, 1876	. 395
Kubanka durum, characteristics, etc	408-409
purifier—	000 00:
effect on milling industry	392, 394
spring-wheat, growth of favorable sentiment	. 391
-r	

Fly— Pa	ge.
house, dangers of disease transmission 129-1	30
screens, construction, suggestions 340-3	549
Food—	
fly-infected, danger to babies, control work 129–1	.30
freshness in public market, note	.69
use of snails	9Z
root-and-mouth disease	99
outbreak 1914, 01gm, points of infection, etc	20
spread methods	22
Forage. See Hav.	
Forest—	
products, exports and imports, 1912–1914 and 1852–1914 653–655, 661–662, 671–6	73
protection, influence on agricultural industry	67
ranges, superiority of grazing stock.	81
Service, management of grazing lands and results	17
Forestry	41
narm, importance, possibilities, etc., discussion	41 50
Problems, uiscussion	บฮ
abolishment proposition discussion 87-	88
agricultural development, effect of land and timber speculation	71
agricultural tracts, number and total acreage	70
and the farmer, article by Henry S: Graves	88
area, protection, and uses	59
development, suggestions by Secretary	88
existence and administration, interest taken by farmers, notes	66
farms in, classification, area, etc	72
industrial development, benefits	83
influence on agricultural development, notes.	06 17
location	11 66
natural resources industrial development 82-	83
noduce markets increase	83
public improvements needs etc	88
recreation and work by farmers	84
roads, bridges, trails, telephone lines, etc	85
special benefits to the farmer	88
taxation problem, discussion	88
timber lands, private and Government ownership, comparison	72
tree planting, water supply by watersneds	14 07
uses and value to harmers	07
Franklin, George W., Incloducer of Lunkey wheat	04
farm products work of market committee	07
reduction and conditions	12
service, relation to public market	75
Frost, protection from, use of thermometer	65
Fruit marketing, financing, cooperative societies, note	04
Fruits, imports and exports, 1912–1914, and 1852–1914 655, 662, 670, 671, 679, 68	85
Furniture, selection for household 355–36	62
	• •
Game, migratory, protection by Agriculture Department 291-29	94
Garbage-	10
amount confected in Omied States in 1909, Value, etc	10
disposal city's problem studies	10
incinerating, methods, advantages, cost. etc	īŏ
rendering, methods, apparatus, etc	10
rendering or combustion, methods, cost, advantages, etc	10
tankage, use as fertilizer, remarks	07
Gas engine, use for pumping farmhouse water supply 151-15	52
Gases, temperature determination, difficulty	61
Gasoline, use and value in rendering garbage	υÐ

Index.

Compie	Pa	ge.
closed season for shore birds and woodcock, laws	. 292, 2	293
imports of foodstuffs, note	, 	18
Girls' and boys' clubs work in crop production		99
Glass, shrinking in thermometer		160
"Glass" snails, description, inferiority, prices, etc	. 498, 4	499
habits, distribution, decrease, etc., note		285
GORE H. C. article on "Apple sirup and concentrated cider: New product	. 201 S	291
for utilizing surplus and cull apples"	. 227-2	244
Government aid, national forest development, suggestions by Secretary Grades—	87	-88
eggs, description	. 366-	367 188
farm crops, use in cooperative marketing.		194
Grain—	90	91
standards, preparation and distribution	$\frac{1}{2}$	-31
See also Barley; Corn, etc.		~ ~
GRAVES, HENRY S., article on "The national forests and the farmer"	65	-88
Grazing— advantages in Argentina	. 384,	385
forest ranges, regulations, number of permits	79	-82
lands, public, improvement in handling	10	-17
national forests, restriction as means of soil-fertility protection	10	$\overline{77}$
Grease, extraction from garbage, method, amount, value, uses, etc	3 5 307	303,
Great Britain, meat imports from Argentina and other places	,	384
Great Plains—	105	100
northwestern, adaptability for wheat growing, studies	. 405- . 405-	400
Gums, imports, 1912–1914 and 1852–1914	4, 673,	687
Hares dressed export trade of Australia and New Zealand		433
Harvest-home festivals, value in rural communities	• • •	132
Hawaii, agricultural products, shipments to and from United States	•••	676
acreage, production, and value, 1899 and 1909		11
exports, 1866–1914	568	568
statistics, acreage, yield, prices, etc	. 000-	-071
boards, State, investigations of farm water supply, note		140
officer, rural, need, work of sanitation committee	. 129-	$-130 \\ -347$
Heating equipment, household, suggestions	•••	011
Helix pomatia, description and life history	. 493-	-495
Helix spp. See Snalls. Heredity study value of nematodes	. 463-	-466
Hibernation, snails	. 494,	498
Hides—		616
exports		617
international trade	. 615-	-617
Highway— commission desirability as State authority		44
construction, progress by States	. 221-	-224
departments, State, establishment and work	213-	-214 -212
maintenance, failure of counties		224
See also Roads.	15 1/	<u>, 90</u>
Hog cholera, losses caused by, and control work	тэ, 19 	$^{3, 20}_{141}$
Hogs—		0.07
Argentina, number.		381 423
Australia, number, 1901–1914		

Hogs—Continued.	Page.
industry in Australia and New Zealand, number and extent, note New Zealand, number, 1901–1910	$\frac{425}{423}$
number, decline since 1899 prices. Cincinnati and other markets. 1900–1914	$12 \\ 639$
raising, need, for increase of meat supply	17, 18
statistics, numbers, values, etc	7–639 7–274
beautification, work of committee	-135
equipment, selection	-362
Homestead entries, free, acquiring of cut-over lands in national forests by settlers	-352
Homesteading, inducement to farm residents, notes	262
Homesteads, listing in national forests.	53
Hookworm, control in nomes, work of sanitation committee 129	-130
imports and exports	, 66 9
international trade, 1911–1913.	595
statistics acreage yield prices etc. 594	271
world's crops, 1912–1914.	394
Horses-	~~~
exports	,659
statistics, numbers, value, etc	-620
Household—	
conveniences, country homes, work of committee	-138
economics committee, rural organization	-127 -138
economics, study, importance to home maker	-362
equipment, selection, article by Helen W. Atwater	-362
Housekeeping, equipment, selection	-362 9-64
Hydraulic ram—	0 01
protection and management.	155
sizes and guarantees.	154
Hydropneumatic system, water supply for farmhouse	-150
Ice cream sauce, use of apple strup, recipe	233
Ide houses, cooperative, possibility for rural organization, note	214
Illinois, highway department, establishment	-215
Implements, farm, and machinery	643
agricultural products 1912–1914 and 1852–1914 651–	658
666, 669–671, 674, 683	-687
barley, 1911–1913.	547
beet, from Argentina into United States, 1913–1914	-388
forest products. $1912-1914$. and $1852-1914$	673
meats from Australia and New Zealand, increase, causes	421
oats, 1911–1913.	539
Incinerator, garbage, description, etc	-303
Incubator, temperature maintenance, use of thermometer	-165
India rubber	e10
international trade	610
Infertile eggs, keeping quality, comparison with fertile eggs	373
Information Office, establishment and work 4	8-49
Insects disease spreading control work of sanitation committee 190	-130
injurious, control work of entomologists	14

Index.

Inspection— market, note necessity in grading and packing for cooperative marketing	Page. 169 195
Insurance companies— farmers' mutual, work, value, methods, etc investments in farm-mortgage loans Iotas, infestation of meadows and swamps, description	$121 \\ 40-41 \\ 479$
highway department, establishment introduction of Turkey wheat from Illinois, 1870 live stock, number, comparison with Southern States State control of highway work	$214 \\ 404 \\ 18 \\ 224$
Irrigation— and drainage work, transfer to Roads Office farming, influence of community organization, studies water supply, value of farms benefited by national forests	$\begin{array}{r}62\\94-95\\74\end{array}$
Joss, E. C., article on "Meat production in Australia and New Zealand" 42 Johnston, John, first introducer of tile drains in New York	21–438 246
Kansas— 365, 37 flour production, 1909. 365, 37 highway department, establishment, date. introduction of hard winter wheat, 1873. Millers' Association, importation of Turkey wheat, etc. production of hard winter wheat, 1873. Millers' Association, importation of Turkey wheat, etc. 365 production of hard wheat, etc. 36 Kansas City, hard winter wheat, grades and prices. 36 Kentucky, highway department, establishment. 36 KERR, W. H., C. E. Basserr, and CLARENCE W. MOOMAW, article on "Cooperative marketing and financing of marketing associations". 18 Kharkof wheat— hard winter variety, description, etc. 36 hard winter variety, description, etc. 36 kitchen— equipment, selection. 32 labor efficiency, relation to arrangement, suggestions. 33 Knot, shore bird, summer and winter homes, locations, etc. 22 Kootenai National Forest, protest of farmers against elimination. 24 Krehbiel, Christian, introducer of Turkey wheat, note. 34 Kubanka durum wheat. See Wheat, durum, Kubanka.	$78, 379 \\ 404 \\ 215 \\ 399 \\ 405 \\ 97-398 \\ 402 \\ 215 \\ 85-210 \\ 97-398 \\ 04-405 \\ 347 \\ 59-360 \\ 76, 290 \\ 65 \\ 399 \\ 399 \\$
Labor— city-to-farm— economic inducements, studies	72-27371-27221469-27095-9670, 273
Labor movement 2 seasonal migration, studies	69–270 69–272 70–271
Labor-saving devices— desire of farm women	11-318 35-138 425
Land— acquisition, inducement to farm residents. tillable, in humid region, uncultivated, inquiry of Agriculture Secretary. utilization, influence of community organization.	262 93 95
Lands— classification in forests, importance exchange between private owners and Government, need of legislation farm, area, value, etc	53 53 641–643 71–72 91, 93

4

· · ·	Page.
Laundries, cooperative, possibility for rural organizations, note Laws—	135–136
bird protection	292 - 293
State road, compilation, extent, etc., remarks	- 225
Leaker, egg, description	- 367
Legislation State for classification of public lands need	- 160
Lighting, artificial, equipment for household, suggestions.	. 348
Lime—	
carbonate, use in apple sirup, rate, use method, etc	230 - 231
fertilizer ingredient, note	295, 296
milk, use in commercial manufacture of apple strup	234-235
Linestone region wells danger of contamination	. 490
Liquors, alcoholic, imports and exports, 1912–1914.	656-663
Little. James, originator of Corriedale sheep, note	. 437
Live stock—	
breeding, importance of organized promotion	100–102
British breeds, use in Argentina	. 389
grazing in national forests	. 16-17
merketing in Australia and New Zealand practices ate	. 18
marketing in restigations	120-427
markets. compilation of directory.	20-50
number in various countries, table	. 422
numbers, decrease since 1899	. 12
statistics	612-615
world's production	312-615
See also Calle; Hogs, etc.	
commission-house for marketing societies	203
cooperative marketing, use of banks.	202-203
Logged-off lands-	
national forests, private ownership, seriousness of problem for Northwest.	. 72
outside national forests, private ownership, development as solution o	f
agricultural problem	. 72
Louisiana-	000 000
withdrawal of sosshore from hird hunters, notes	192-293 102 - 204
Tumber imports and exports $1912-1914$ and $1852-1914$ 654 661 672 673 6	393-294
Lumbering, national forests, influence on agricultural industry.	66-67
Lysinæ humboldtiana buffoniana, edible snail, Mexico	492
Macaroni, superiority of durum wheat in manufacture	. 413
Machinery, farm, census statistics	. 643
closed season for shorehirds laws	909
road legislation, date	214
Malakof wheat. See Kharkof wheat.	
Man, infestation by nematodes	167, 471
Manager—	
cooperative marketing, discussion	92–193
cooperative, powers and duties	193
danger to water supply notes	1/1
natural studies by production committee etc.	99-100
Market—	00 100
accessibility	74, 175
breadth of	175
central, advantages and popularity1	72 - 174
construction, discussion	.75-178
Inancing]	.78-181
apan or curb.	180
advantages	179
construction	178
objections	171
•

Market—Continued.		
public	I	Page.
advantages and problems	••	169
types and purposes	167.	169
rentals, remarks	••	179
retail public—		
control by city, necessity for		180
delivery problem		183
location	172 -	-175
management	181-	-183
mistake in establishment		169
need and demand, discussion	169 -	-171
news service	183 -	-184
price fixing		179
regulations, discussion	181-	-183
subletting of privileges, objections		181
type in favor in 1914–15.		171
Marketing	••	
associations, advantages sought		188
committee, rural organization, duties, etc., studies	102-	-107
contracts with cooperators, value in securing loans	102	205
contractive and financing of marketing associations	185	.210
conversitive membership screenent	100	104
disadvantages of small farmers	 Qf	101
form products connerstive work of marketing committee	102	107
live dock Australia and New Zoaland a protocos	104-	496
most and most products comparing Australia and New Zealand	440-	420
methoda ata gudures, cooperative, Australia and New Zealand	 95	420
mediation committee work	20 00	> 00
production committee work	90	400
shari, classes, prices, etc.	490-	499
timber from farm woodlot	444-	400
Markets —		07
farm products, with national forest fumbermen	. 00	-67
live stock, directory compilation.	• •	29
methods of conducting, with reference to profits		179
Office, organization and work	. <u>.</u> 25	-30
residential, remarks.	172-	-173
retail public, article by G. V. Branch.	167 -	184
MARSDEN, R. D., article on "The economy of farm drainage"	245 -	-256
Marsh Island bird reserve, note	293 -	-294
MARSHALL, F. R., article on "Suggestions from Australasia to American shee	\mathbf{p}	
raisers"	319 -	-338
Maryland, road management, remarks	214,	220
Massachusetts		
closed season for shore birds and woodcock, laws	292,	293
highway department, establishment and work	216 -	217
road management, remarks	214,	216
Meat—		
Australia and New Zealand, production, etc., investigations	421-	438
exports from Australia and New Zealand, 1901–1913.		432
food products, Australia and New Zealand, investigations	421-	438
imports, Great Britain, from Argentina and elsewhere		384
industry, United States, effect of meat production, Argentina	381-	390
See also Beef.		
Meat animals, exports from Australia and New Zealand		432
Meat inspection		
Australia, requirements	429 -	430
Federal, animals condemned at slaughter, 1907–1914		640
fees for various carcasses, Australia.		430
law enforcement.	639-	640
laws, Australia	429-	430
meat and meat-food products, 1907–1914.		640
New Zealand, requirements		430
number of establishments, etc., 1907–1914		639
tuberculosis infection of animals		19

Most production	e.
Argenting effect on United States industry 381–39	20
Australia and New Zealand article by E.C. Loss 421–43	28
relation of dry farming in national forests	χī.
Meat products exports and imports 1912-1914 and 1852-1914 652, 659, 667, 675, 67	77
Meat supply —	·
increase means, discussion	23
shortage, study	15
transportation of exports, Australia and New Zealand	31
Meat-export works	
Australia and New Zealand, location, exports, types, etc	28
cooperative, in Australia and New Zealand, note	6
MELVIN, A. D., and GEORGE M. ROMMEL, article on "Meat production in the	
Argentine, and its effect upon the industry in the United States 381–39	0
Mennonites, familiarity with growing of Turkey wheat, methods, etc 399-40)()
Michigan—	
foot-and-mouth disease outbreak, Niles, 1914	2
road legislation, date	.4
Middlemen, relation to farmers	7
Milch cows, numbers, values, and prices	z
See also Cattle.	
Milk—	
management, and of thermometer.	4
production, 1899 and 1909	1
Milling Kylonka dunum mhaot difficultion	1
Millag, Kubanka durum wheat, diffectutes	9 1
Mines roller, description, use methods, etc	4
durum wheat receipts prices at comparisons 415-41	ß
hard spring wheat grading and prices 1858–1885	5
hard winter wheat, conditions prices etc. 402-403	3
successor to Bochester as "Flour City"	6
Minnesota, closed season for shore birds, laws	2
Mississippi closed season for shore birds, laws. 292, 29	3
Missouri-	Č
egg-car demonstration, work and itinerary	9
highway department, establishment	5
MITCHELL, EDWARD B., article on "The American farm woman as she sees her-	
sélf"	8
Mononchus papillatus, beneficial nematode, description	8
Montana, Kootenai Valley, protest of farmers against elimination from Koo-	
tenai National Forest, note	5
MOOMAW, CLARENCE W., C. E. BASSETT, and W. H. KERR, article on "Coop-	_
erative marketing and financing of marketing associations" 185–210	0
Mortgages, farm, Ioans in various States	1
Mosquitoes, danger of disease spread to children, etc	0
Mulmins, granam, use of apple sirup, recipe	z
Mules-	^
exports, 1092-1914	0
tratigting numbers unline etc.	0
Statistics, numbers, value, etc	U
donger of insufficient supply in United States 210	0
importations from A ustralia ato	9 8
	0
National Forests See Forests national	
Nebraska, highway commission, establishment,	5
Neighborhood farmers' markets, remarks	4
Nematodes-	
amphids, location, purpose, description	3
and their relationships, article by N. A. Cobb	0
damage to citrus trees	8
eggs, šize, transmission, etc 485–486	6
habitat	2
history, relation to diseases, etc., discussion 466-468	8
hosts	6
intestation of human body	Ľ
intestation of soil and water	

Nematodes—Continued. nature, description, characters, etc	1 476	Page. 480
parasitic, nature parasitic of man and domestic animals, remarks relation to man and domestic animals, remarks	473- 460- 460-	-475 -461 -461
relation to soil biology and fertility	480- 485-	-481 -489
value in study of biology Nematology, field for study, note	•	46 3 49 0
New Hampshire— closed season for shorebirds, laws	-	292
New Jersey— closed season for woodcock laws	• 992	214
leadership in State road building	202,	213 220
New York—	,	
closed season for shorebirds and woodcock, laws durum wheat, prices, comparison with other wheats, 1905–1913 biokenum demonstrated acta lick mean to not mean.	292, 416-	293 -418
road management, remarks	218-	-219
wheat prices, 1901–1913	417,	418
New York City, hard winter wheat, condition, prices, etc New Zealand—	•	403
food animals domestic 1901-1912 number	436-	437
live-stock industry, importance, etc.	423-	425
meat production, etc., article by E. C. Joss.	421-	438
meat-inspection laws and regulations, requirements	•	430
railroads mileage etc relation to marketing live stock	426-	433
sheep farming, studies.	334-	-336
News service, market, in retail public market	183-	-184
Nitrogen, fertilizer ingredient, sources	296-	-298
North Carolina— highway department, authorization live stock need of increase on farms		214
North Dakota—	•	10
closed season for shorebirds, laws	-	292
highway department, establishment.	100	215
Nurse, trained, need, work of sanitation committee	129-	-130
Nuts, imports and exports, 1912–1914, and 1852–1914	671,	68 6
Oats		
exports, 1912–1914		662
exports and imports, 1911–1913	•	539
statistics acreage vield prices etc	532–	000 539
statistics, international trade		539
world's crop, 1912–1914	532–	-533
Ocean freight rates, reduction	-	212
Unio	210	220
road management. remarks	$\frac{210}{214}$	219
Oil cake—	,	
exports (with oil-cake meal)	• • •	608
imports and exports, 1912–1914, and 1852–1914 656, 664,	668,	680
Oil-cake meal (with oil cake) international trade	•	608
Oils, imports and exports, 1912–1914 and 1852–1914 657, 664, 667, 668, 670.	677.	686
Oklahoma-		-
egg car demonstration, work and itinerary	378,	379
mgnway department, establishment	•	213
description, nature, etc		436
infestation of cattle in Australia	-	436
75922°—увк 1914—45		

Open market. See Market. Orchard—	Paga
aid of thermometer	1 age.
fruits production and value 1800 and 1000	105
Oregon closed season for shorehirds laws	11
	292
Packing, farmers' difficulties in work, and cooperative requirements 194 Packing house products, exports and imports, 1912–1914 and 1852–1914	4–195 652,
Baint 659-660, 667	7, 684
ramit—	
use on floors wells and moder for home	350
"Parabatta " distribution babits protoction oto	1-350
Parasita 233	-284
cattle in Australia	126
domestic animals remarks on nematodes 460	430
human number of nematodes	460
Parcels post marketing investigations	400
Peas—	20
acreage and production	508
world's crop. 1911–1915	598
"Peeps," description, migration habits, etc. 290	-291
PENNINGTON, M. E., H. C. PIERCE, and H. L. SHRADER, article on "The egg	-0-
and poultry demonstration car work in reducing our \$50,000,000 waste	
in eggs"	-380
Pennsylvania—	
closed season for woodcock, laws	, 293
road legislation, date	214
tax on timber lands, disposition, etc	440
PENNYBACKER, J. E., article on "State management of public roads: Its devel-	
opment and trend ''	-225
Perishable products-	
marketing, cooperation in	188
use as collateral in cooperative marketing	, 209
Pests, market, note.	176
Philadelphia, durum wheat, price, 1914.	418
Phosphoric acid, fertuizer ingredient, sources	295
FIERCE, H. C., M. E. FENNINGTON, and H. L. SHRADER, article on "The egg	
in organ?	990
Pillebury C A introducer of goving wheat flour note	-380
Plant breading work of Plant Industry experts	094 ≥ 14
"Plant foods" See Fartilizers) -14 ·
Plant Industry Bureau reorganization proposed 61	-62
Plant industry experts work in aid of agriculture	3-14
Planting, aid of thermometer	165
Playgrounds, establishment, control, and value, in rural communities	133
Pleuropneumonia, contagious, of cattle, prevalence in Australia	436
Plover—	
golden, migration habits, protection possibilities, etc., note	287
ringed, breeding habits, note	285
upland, distribution, habits, protection, etc	-284
withdrawal from list of game birds, etc	-294
Poison plants, investigations, transfer to Animal Industry Bureau	63
Politics, elimination from State management of roads	225
Pooling, cooperative marketing, remarks	197
Population movement from to money needs of marketing societies	202
Porto Rico, agricultural products, shipmonts to and from United States	678
Potash fartilizar ingradiant importation from Cormany	070 905
Potatoes	40U
acreage production and value 1899 and 1909	11
exports	665
imports	670
statistics, acreage, vield, prices, etc	-564
sweet, acreage, yield, prices, farm value, etc	567
world's crop. 1911–1913	558

Poultry-	Page.
demonstration car, work in reducing waste in eggs, article	
keeping inducement to farm residents	· 17-18 969
prices of chickens, by States.	- 202 630
Poultry products-	. 000
increase in quantity and value 16), 11, 18
value, comparison with cotton crop	. 10, 18
values, 1899 and 1909	. 11
Press notices, preparation and use.	. 49
Privy, relation to clean water supply on farm	41, 142
Public improvements notional forests binds noods	97-102
Public markets See Markets retail public	. 04-00
Public roads See Boads public.	
Publications, reading, suggestions for rural-organization committees	138
Pudding sauce, use of apple sirup, recipe	233
Pump-	
force, use for water supply in farmhouse 1	49-150
power for, discussion for farmhouse water supply 1	51-155
use at sink for water in farmhouse	148
"Quaillie," distribution, habits, protection, etc	83, 284
Delhite Jussed and trade of Anotrolic and New Zooland	499
Radbills, dressed, export trade of Australia and New Dealand	911
Railroads Australia mileage development etc	426
Railway toppage farm products, etc., 1911–1913.	650
Rain, use as water supply and quality of water	145
Ram, hydraulic. See Hydraulic ram.	
Rams, selection for American and Australian flocks, reasons governing 3	22 - 324
Ranches, cattle and sheep stations, extent, etc., New Zealand	424
Range-	70 00
protection, national forests, benefits to farmers	19-84
public, acreage and management	31-233
Decommondations by Secretary 10 30 44 45 53 54	57 58
Becreation—	, ,
committee rural organizations, duties, etc 13	30-133
national forests, development, number of pleasure seekers, etc 59,	, 82–83
necessity in rural communities, suggestions	30-133
privileges, inducement for farmers' removal to towns 1	25 - 126
Refrigeration-	71 074
eggs, importance and necessity 360, 3	78 177
value in public market.	10, 177
Registration, need for trade-marks, labels, and brands in cooperative marketing.	37-38
Reserve banks, rederal, establishment, provisions, etc	0, 00
Rhabdias nigrovenosa, development, processes	64-465
Rhode Island—	
closed season for shore birds and woodcock, laws 29	92, 293
road legislation, date	214
Rice	19 664
exports	13,004 13,657
international trade 1011–1013	593
statistics acreage yield prices etc	90-593
world's crop	90-591
Road—	
building, national forests, needs, funds, methods, etc	84-88
building, progress by States 22	21-224
community, need of improvement	43 of 96
construction, national forests, appropriations by Uongress	00-00 71
tunds, availability from sale of cut-over timberiands	5-216
maintenance. State aid	3-224
management State development, efficiency, etc 43-46, 213-214, 223, 22	4-226
management, studies, and work by school pupils	122

See also Highway.

Roads-	Pag	e.
cost of construction, notes	221, 222-22	23
development in national forests, cost, etc.	57-58, 84-8	38
good, relation to production and distribution of farm products 43	-46, 122 - 12	23
improvement and control by individual schools		2
influence on rural schools.	122-12	23
national forests, miles in operation	8	35
Office, reorganization, proposed	6	52
public, State management, development and trend, article by J. E. Pe	nny-	
backer	211–22	5
public, State management, leading States	216–22	1
public, transportation cost, remarks	21	2
State-aid, officials and expenditures, discussion	43-4	6
Roller mill, first in United States		T.
Roller mills, description, use, etc	91, 392, 39	4
ROMMEL, GEORGE M., and A. D. MELVIN, article on "Meat production in	the	~
Argentine, and its effect upon the industry in the United States'	381-39	ů.
Roots, cleaning to protect cistern water supply	14	.e.
Roosters, removal from flocks after breeding season	373, 38	U
Rosin—	60	6
exports	00	9
International trade	00	9
Rots, eggs, discovery by candling		2
Rugs, selection for nome		J
communities-	93-96	ß
nack of organization, consequences	12	4
removal of farm owners to cities	12	-
community-	89-139	8
organization, article by 1. N. Carver	-91 97-139	8
organization, plans, committees, etc	64	4
orodita	••••	-
noods of rural communities	318	8
study by Office of Markets	35-4	3
Engineering and Public Reads new name of Roads Office	65	$\tilde{2}$
organization committees reading suggestions note	138	8
organizations, committees, reading, suggestions, note-	91.96-97	7
nonulation by States	64]	1
sports necessity work of recreation committee.	130-133	3
Bussia hard winter wheat distribution etc.	398-399	9
BUST E W article on "Edible snails"	. 491-503	3
Rust—		
injury to wheat crop in wheat States, 1904	407-408	8
resistance comparison of Kubanka durum with other wheats	407-408	8
Rve—		
statistics, acreage, yield, prices, etc	548-554	4
world's crop. 1912–1914	548-549	9
	_	
Sanderlings, migration habits, protection, etc., note	286	6
Sandpipers-		
sharp-tailed, breeding habits, distribution, etc., note	285	5
varieties. migration routes, etc	287	7
withdrawal from list of game birds, etc	291–294	1
Sandy soil, wells in, note	142	2
Sanitary conditions, inducement for farmers' removal to towns	128	9
Sanitation-	700 100	^
committee, rural organization, duties, etc	129-130	J
maintenance, relation to household equipment, suggestions, etc	347-390	J.
market	175-176	3
See also Water, clean.	H0 H1	0
Sawmills, cooperative, in national forests, benefits to farmers	78-78	" 0
Schmidt, C. B., introducer of Turkey wheat, note	398	J
School-	197 190	Q
clubs, etc., requirements for rural communities	·· 121-120	5 1
funds, availability from sale of cut-over timberlands	194_19	à
privileges, inducement for farmers' removal to towns	141-140	1

.

Schools-)	Page.
agricultural high, scope, etc., studies	316	-318
country, officials needed, suggestions, etc	127	-128
national forests, appropriations by Congress	8	5-86
work of education committee	127 -	-128
Seeds, imports and exports, 1912–1914.	657	,664
Selling agency, for farm products, work of marketing committee	'	104
Selling, cooperative, advantages		188
Semolina, superiority of durum wheat in manufacture		413
Sewage sludge-		
nitrogen content, production, yield, etc	296 -	-298
use for fertilizer, composition, etc	296-	-298
Shearing—		
shed, cooperative ownership in New Zealand	333-	-334
sheds, American, lack of facilities, etc., comparisons		333
Sheep-		
Argentina, number	381,	382
attacks from blowflies, Australia, note	•	436
Australia, number, 1901–1912.		423
breeding, Australia and New Zealand, progress, objects, etc		423
Corriedale breed, description, value, origin		437
decrease in United States, 1900–1914.	319,	320
exports	- '	633
farming, New Zealand, breeding, flock management, etc 334–336,	423,	425
imports		633
increase in Australia and New Zealand	319-	-320
industry, Australia		
effect of drought		424
magnitude, basis, importance, etc	422 -	423
injury by nematodes, notes		461
mutton breeds in New Zealand and Australia.	-	423
New Zealand—		
increase, 1906–1914, total number, etc	335-	336
number, 1901–1910	-	423
number—		
decline since 1899	-	12
in Australia, 1911	-	320
in United States, 1914	•	320
in various countries	-	422
raisers, American, suggestions from Australasia	319-	338
raising, American and Australian, comparisons	320-	326
raising, Australian, advantages of land tenure, etc	321 -	322
statistics, numbers, value, etc	331-	637
United States and Argentina, comparisons	381, 3	382
wool breeds in Australia and New Zealand	, · ·	423
See also Live stock.		Ċ,
Ships, refrigerator, names, routes, capacity, etc		431
Shore bird preservation, Federal legislation	291-2	294
Shore birds—		
and their future, article by Wells W. Cooke	275-2	294
closed season, laws governing, various States	292-2	294
economic importance, protection, etc	. 1	294
flights, endurance tests, etc	. 1	286
history, decrease, protection studies, etc	275-	276
migration routes, description, length, etc	287-2	288
migratory habits, difficulties of protection, etc 2	:75-:	276
protection along seashores, notes	93–	294
protective measures, new legislation, etc	:91-:	294
smaller, description, migration habits, etc	:90-:	291
SHRADER, H. L., M. E. PENNINGTON, and H. C. PIERCE, article on "The egg		
and poultry demonstration car work in reducing our \$50,000.000 waste	,	
in eggs"	63-3	380
Silk—		
imports, 1912–1914, and 1852–1914	;69, (683
world's production	. í	6 10
Singing, choral, value in rural communities, suggestions 1	32-1	133
Sink, kitchen, suggestions.	ę	347

Simin annia	-
Shup, appre-	Page.
by-product of cider mill	233-237
commercial manufacture, methods, equipment, etc	233-237
cost of manufacture on commercial scale	237-238
manufacture as by-product of cider mill	233-237
manufacture methods, etc., experiments	233-237
manufacture on commercial scale	233-237
manufacturing on farm, methods, etc	229-231
new product for utilizing surplus and cull apples, article by H. C. Gore.	227-244
uses, recipes	231-233
Skins. See Higes	100 100
Slaughternouse, public, Australia and New Zealand, management, etc	433-436
Sludge, sewage. See Sewage sludge.	100 150
Smith, in ERBERT A., article on "The farm wood-lot problem"	439-400
Smith-Lever Act, discussion	. 00-01
Shall industry, possibilities in the United States	00Z-000
Shallelles, construction, difections	490-497
plans—	100 501
odible article by F W Pust	499-001
adible, and the by E. W. Russ	491-000
babits and life history	492-490
maduation fooding and marketing	495-490
use as feed. European countries, historical notes	490-499
Spine Wilson	491-494
dogrange concerned at	070 000
distribution habita come lava applicable ata	410-40V 978 900
Social interests, much communities, community excention studies	270-200
Social interests, rural communities, community-organization studies	120-100
sontent of microscenic experience discussion	457 460
evolution of interoscopic organisms, discussion	407-400
fortility potional forests, control methods, value to farmers, etc	. 10-10
weshing control in national forests, methods, value, etc.	75 77
"Soil amondmente" See Fortilizers: Monure	- 10-11
Soila Buroau oxporta work	14
Soils fortility investigations transfer to Plant Industry Durges	. 17
ANALINA TRAFFICIENTY FULLY AND DESCRIPTION FOR TRAFFIC TO THE TAFFIC TO T	62
South Carolina	. 62
South Carolina— closed season for shorehirds laws	. 62
South Carolina— closed season for shorebirds, laws	. 62 292, 293 18
Sons, tertify investigations, transfer to Frant industry Bureau	. 62 292, 293 . 18 18
South Carolina— closed season for shorebirds, laws	. 62 292, 293 . 18 . 18 . 292
South Carolina— closed season for shorebirds, laws	- 62 292, 293 - 18 - 18 - 292
South Carolina— closed season for shorebirds, laws	. 62 292, 293 . 18 . 18 . 292 . 18
South Carolina— closed season for shorebirds, laws	. 62 292, 293 . 18 . 18 . 292 . 18 . 18
South Carolina— closed season for shorebirds, laws. imports of foodstuffs, note live stock, need of increase on farms. South Dakota, closed season for shorebirds, laws. Southern States— imports of foodstuffs. live stock shortage on farms. Southwest, egg and poultry demonstration work, itinerary, etc	. 62 292, 293 . 18 . 18 . 292 . 18 . 18 . 18 . 18 . 18
South Carolina— closed season for shorebirds, laws	. 62 292, 293 . 18 . 18 . 292 . 18 . 18 . 18 . 18 . 378–379
South Carolina— closed season for shorebirds, laws	. 62 292, 293 . 18 . 18 . 292 . 18 . 18 . 18 . 18 . 18 . 18 . 18 . 18
South Carolina— closed season for shorebirds, laws. imports of foodstuffs, note live stock, need of increase on farms. South Dakota, closed season for shorebirds, laws. Southern States— imports of foodstuffs live stock shortage on farms. Southwest, egg and poultry demonstration work, itinerary, etc	. 62 292, 293 . 18 . 18 . 292 . 18 . 18 . 378–379 186–187 357, 664 . 436
South Carolina— closed season for shorebirds, laws. imports of foodstuffs, note live stock, need of increase on farms. South Dakota, closed season for shorebirds, laws. Southern States— imports of foodstuffs live stock shortage on farms. Southwest, egg and poultry demonstration work, itinerary, etc	. 62 292, 293 . 18 . 292 . 18 . 292 . 18 . 18 . 378–379 186–187 357, 664 . 436
South Carolina— closed season for shorebirds, laws. imports of foodstuffs, note	. 62 292, 293 . 18 . 18 . 292 . 18 . 18 . 18 . 378–379 186–187 357, 664 . 436
South Carolina— closed season for shorebirds, laws	. 62 292, 293 . 18 . 292 . 292 . 18 . 292 . 292 . 18 . 18 . 378–379 . 86–187 . 357, 664 . 436 . 436
South Carolina— closed season for shorebirds, laws. imports of foodstuffs, note	. 62 292, 293 . 18 . 18 . 292 . 18 . 378–379 186–187 357, 664 . 436 . 436 . 144–145 . 144
South Carolina— closed season for shorebirds, laws. imports of foodstuffs, note	. 62 292, 293 . 18 . 18 . 292 . 18 . 18 . 292 . 18 . 378–379 186–187 . 357, 664 . 436 . 436 . 144–145 . 144–145 . 443
South Carolina— closed season for shorebirds, laws. imports of foodstuffs, note	. 62 292, 293 . 18 . 292 . 18 . 292 . 18 . 18 . 378–379 186–187 . 557, 664 . 436 . 436 . 144–145 . 144 144–145 . 403 . 179
South Carolina— closed season for shorebirds, laws. imports of foodstuffs, note live stock, need of increase on farms. South Dakota, closed season for shorebirds, laws. Southern States— imports of foodstuffs. live stock shortage on farms. Southwest, egg and poultry demonstration work, itinerary, etc	. 62 292, 293 . 18 . 292 . 18 . 292 . 18 378–379 186–187 357, 664 . 436 . 436 . 144–145 . 144 144–145 . 403 . 179
South Carolina— closed season for shorebirds, laws	. 62 292, 293 . 18 . 18 . 292 . 18 378–379 186–187 357, 664 . 436 . 436 . 444–145 . 144 144–145 . 403 . 179 . 188
South Carolina— closed season for shorebirds, laws. finite industry Bureau. South Carolina— closed season for shorebirds, laws. finite industry Bureau. South Carolina— finite industry Bureau. finite industry Bureau. South Carolina— finite industry Bureau. finite industry Bureau. South Carolina— finite industry Bureau. finite industry Bureau. South Dakota, closed season for shorebirds, laws. finite industry Bureau. finite industry Bureau. South Dakota, closed season for shorebirds, laws. finite industry Bureau. finite industry Bureau. Southern States— imports of foodstuffs. finite industry Bureau. finite industry Bureau. Southern States— imports of foodstuffs. finite industry Bureau. finite industry Bureau. Southwest, egg and poultry demonstration work, itinerary, etc. 364-365, finite industry Bureau. finite industry Bureau. Speculators, relation to farmers. finite industry Bureau. finite industry Bureau. finite industry Bureau. Springs— contamination and protection. finite industry Bureau. finite industry Bureau. finite industry Bureau. Standards— establishment in marketing, notes. farm crop, use in cooperative marketing. <td>. 62 292, 293 . 18 . 18 . 292 . 18 . 18 . 378-379 186-187 . 357, 664 . 436 . 436 . 144-145 . 144 . 403 . 179 . 188 . 189 . 194</td>	. 62 292, 293 . 18 . 18 . 292 . 18 . 18 . 378-379 186-187 . 357, 664 . 436 . 436 . 144-145 . 144 . 403 . 179 . 188 . 189 . 194
South Carolina— closed season for shorebirds, laws. imports of foodstuffs, note	. 62 292, 293 . 18 . 18 . 292 . 18 . 18 . 292 . 18 . 18 . 378–379 186–187 . 557, 664 . 436 . 436 . 436 . 144–145 . 144 . 445 . 179 . 188 . 189 . 194
South Carolina— closed season for shorebirds, laws. imports of foodstuffs, note live stock, need of increase on farms. South Dakota, closed season for shorebirds, laws. Southern States— imports of foodstuffs. live stock shortage on farms. Southwest, egg and poultry demonstration work, itinerary, etc	. 62 292, 293 . 18 . 292 . 18 . 292 . 18 . 18 . 292 . 18 . 18 . 378–379 186–187 . 557, 664 . 436 . 436 . 144–145 . 144 . 144–145 . 179 . 179 . 178 . 188 . 194 18 . 508
South Carolina— closed season for shorebirds, laws. imports of foodstuffs, note live stock, need of increase on farms. South Dakota, closed season for shorebirds, laws. Southern States— imports of foodstuffs. live stock shortage on farms. Southwest, egg and poultry demonstration work, itinerary, etc 364-365, 5 Speculators, relation to farmers Spices, imports and exports, 1912–1914. Splenetic fever, prevalence in Australia. Springs— contamination and protection location, relation to contamination. protection and examination. protection and examination. Stall rents, public markets. Standards— establishment in marketing, notes. farm crop, use in cooperative marketing. grain and cotton, preparation and distribution. State officials, agriculture. State-aid road building, inauguration and development, article by J. E.	. 62 292, 293 . 18 . 18 . 292 . 292 . 292 . 18 . 18 . 18 . 378–379 186–187 . 557, 664 . 436 . 436 . 144–145 . 144–145 . 144–145 . 144–145 . 179 . 188 . 179 . 188 . 194 31, 34 . 508
South Carolina— closed season for shorebirds, laws. finite industry Bureau. South Carolina— closed season for shorebirds, laws. finite industry Bureau. South Carolina— finite industry Bureau. finite industry Bureau. Imports of foodstuffs, note live stock, need of increase on farms. finite industry Bureau. South Dakota, closed season for shorebirds, laws. finite industry Bureau. finite industry Bureau. Southern States— imports of foodstuffs. finite industry Bureau. finite industry Bureau. Southern States— imports of foodstuffs. finite industry Bureau. finite industry Bureau. Southern States— imports of foodstuffs. finite industry Bureau. finite industry Bureau. Southern States— imports of foodstuffs. finite industry Bureau. finite industry Bureau. Southern States. grain and cotton, preparation and distribution. finite industry Bureau. finite industry Bureau. State officials, agriculture. finite industry Bureau. finite industry Bureau. finite industry Bureau. State-aid road building, inauguration and development, article by J. E. Pennybacker. finite industry Bureau.	. 62 292, 293 . 18 . 18 . 292 . 18 . 18 . 378–379 886–187 357, 664 . 436 . 436 . 436 . 144–145 . 144–145 . 144–145 . 144–145 . 179 . 188 . 194
South Carolina— closed season for shorebirds, laws. finite industry Bureau. South Carolina— finite industry Bureau. finite industry Bureau. live stock, need of increase on farms. south Dakota, closed season for shorebirds, laws. finite industry Bureau. South Dakota, closed season for shorebirds, laws. finite industry Bureau. finite industry Bureau. South Dakota, closed season for shorebirds, laws. finite industry Bureau. finite industry Bureau. South Dakota, closed season for shorebirds, laws. finite industry Bureau. finite industry Bureau. South Dakota, closed season for shorebirds, laws. finite industry Bureau. finite industry Bureau. South Dakota, closed season for shorebirds, laws. finite industry Bureau. finite industry Bureau. South Carolina. finite industry Bureau. finite industry Bureau. finite industry Bureau. South Carolina. finite industry Bureau. finite industry Bureau. finite industry Bureau. Special cost state of foodstuffs. finite industry Bureau. finite industry Bureau. finite industry Bureau. Special cost state officials, agriculture. finite industry Bureau. finite industry Bureau. finite industry Bureau. Statee, expenditures of Federal moneys.	. 62 292, 293 . 18 . 18 . 292 . 18 . 18 . 378–379 186–187 . 557, 664 . 436 . 436 . 144–145 . 144–145 . 144–145 . 144–145 . 179 . 188 . 189 . 194 31, 34 . 508
South Carolina— closed season for shorebirds, laws. finite industry Bureau. South Carolina— closed season for shorebirds, laws. finite industry Bureau. South Carolina— foodstuffs, note. finite industry Bureau. live stock, need of increase on farms. South Dakota, closed season for shorebirds, laws. foodstuffs. Southern States— imports of foodstuffs. live stock shortage on farms. Southwest, egg and poultry demonstration work, itinerary, etc. 364-365, \$ Speculators, relation to farmers. foodstuffs. Spices, imports and exports, 1912–1914. foodstuffs. Springs— contamination and protection. foodstuffs. location, relation to contamination. foodstuffs. foodstuffs. protection and examination. foodstuffs. foodstuffs. stall rents, public markets. foodstuffs. foodstuffs. stall rents, public markets. foodstuffs. foodstuffs. grain and cotton, preparation and distribution. foodstuffs. foodstuffs. grain and cotton, preparation and distribution. foodstuffs. foodstuffs. States officials, agriculture. foodstuffs. foodstuffs. States, expend	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
South Carolina— closed season for shorebirds, laws. imports of foodstuffs, note live stock, need of increase on farms. South Dakota, closed season for shorebirds, laws. Southern States— imports of foodstuffs. live stock shortage on farms. Southwest, egg and poultry demonstration work, itinerary, etc	. 62 292, 293 . 18 . 18 . 292 . 18 . 292 . 18 . 18 . 378–379 186–187 . 557, 664 . 436 . 436 . 436 . 444–145 . 144 . 144–145 . 179 . 179 . 188 . 189 . 194 . 308 . 109 . 109
South Carolina— closed season for shorebirds, laws. imports of foodstuffs, note live stock, need of increase on farms. South Dakota, closed season for shorebirds, laws. Southern States— imports of foodstuffs. live stock shortage on farms. Southwest, egg and poultry demonstration work, itinerary, etc	. 62 292, 293 . . 18 . 18 . 18 . 292 . 18 . 18 . 18 . 18 . 18 . 18 . 18 . 18 . 18 . 144 . 144 . 179 . 188 . 194 . 508 . 61 . 61 . 61
South Carolina— closed season for shorebirds, laws. imports of foodstuffs, note live stock, need of increase on farms. South Dakota, closed season for shorebirds, laws. Southern States— imports of foodstuffs. live stock shortage on farms. Southwest, egg and poultry demonstration work, itinerary, etc	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

	F	age.
Stilt, black-necked, habits, extinction danger, protection, etc	284,	, 287
Stock, forest-grazed, national forests, superiority, etc	-	81
Storage-		100
ald of thermometer	965	163
roblem for families	505,	374
Stroame water supply upsafe for farm	-	140
Streams, water supply unsafe for farm	-	140
injury by automobile oil	200-	-300
nitrogen content	299-	-300
use as fertilizer, composition, etc	298 -	-300
Street, use for public market, remarks	171-	-172
Suburban travel, increased facilities, advantage to farm residents		266
Sugar—		
beet, statistics, production, prices, etc	599-	-604
cane, statistics, production, prices, etc	599-	-604
exports	-	602
imports	670,	686
international trade, 1911–1913	-	602
statistics, yield, prices, etc	599-	-604
world's crop	603-	-604
Surplus fund, creation in cooperative marketing	207-	-209
Sweepings, street—		
nitrogen content, injury by automobile oil, etc	299-	-300
use as fertilizer, composition, etc	298-	-300
Sweet potatoes, statistics, acreage, yield, prices, etc	564-	567
Swine. See Hogs; Live stock.		
Muhlu - Jimatahla fan hitakan Janamintian		900
Table, adjustable, for kitchen, description) # 17	300
Tableware, selection, caution, etc	597- 140	150
Tank, water, supply, size, location and use	149-	001
dead animal properation process appual value ate	200	201
manage appropriation process, and at value, etc	200-	206 201
garbage, composition	200-	210
Tannary supplier source of foot-and-mouth infection	<i></i>	99 99
Tannery supprises, source of 100-and-mouth infection.	•	651
Tailling materials, imports, 1912–1914	•	986
T_{aves} assessment of timberlands discussion		441
T_{P2}	100-	1.11
imports	374.	686
international trade.	,	604
prices		605
Telephones-		
influence and value in rural community organization	121-	123
objections in retail public market		183
Temperature-		
ground, relation to planting		165
importance in daily life		157
Tennessee, closed season for shore birds, laws	292,	293
Tern, Arctic, nesting habits, etc		276
Testing eggs, candling and examination of shells, etc	367,	369
Texas—		
closed season for shore birds, laws 2	292,	293
egg car demonstration, work and itinerary	378,	379
_ imports of foodstuffs, note		18
Texas fever, prevalence in Australia		436
Textiles, household, selection, caution, etc	352-	355
Thermometer—		100
buying suggestions		160
early forms	107-	165
exposure to get temperature	101-	167
nistory, development and construction, discussion		101
nquius ior, construction, remarks	59_	150
geolo binda and place	60-	161
	VV/-	1.1.1.1.

Thermometer—Continued.	Page.
seasoning of	16 0
use in farm home and in field 163	, 166
use with animals	166
THESE ALERE H article on "Story of the thermometer and its uses in	-166
approximation ap	_166
Tick—	-100
cattle, eradication work, need in relation to meat supply 15, 19	9. 20
cattle, occurrence in Australia	436
fever, prevalence among cattle of Australia	436
Tile drains, first installation in New York in 1835, by John Johnston	246
Timber—	a a n
conservation in national forests, influence on agricultural industry	0-07
free use national forests, restrictions, sales, etc	±, //
marketing by farmer, difficulties and suggestions 444 448	456
marketing, cooperative	448
national forests, cost to farmers, use, restrictions, etc	3-79
national forests, use and sales	1, 77
resources, national forests, control regulations, benefits to farmers, etc 77	7–79
sales by tarmers, 1909.	448
sales, policy, discussion	00 \71
utilization national forests, effect on supply of irrigation water	7, 71
Timber lands-	/4
cut-over, early availability in Kaniksu National Forest, for settlement	71
farm areas, lumber-cut, forestry methods, etc	-443
national forests, clearing, cost per acre	70
national forests, studies of purchasers	71
taxes, excessive assessment, discussion	-441
taxes, laws of certain States	-441
Timothy seed, prices, 1900–1914	072
100acco	681
imports	687
international trade	585
statistics, acreage, yield, prices, etc 579-	-585
world's crop, 1911–1913	-581
Tonnage, railway, United States, 1911–1913	650
Torrents, control in national forests, methods, value, etc	-77
Town-to-farm, geography of movement, discussion	100
Traffic highway increase and effect on roads	224
Transportation, farm products, work of marketing committee	-107
Tree planting, national forests, value of watersheds in water supply	-75
Trees, infestation with nematodes, remarks	485
Trolley freight service, relation to public market	175
Truck—	
growing, relation to public market	171
Trutting, sources of snipments, etc	21
farm " 130-	156
Tuberculosis occurrence in animals and swine Australia and New Zealand.	100
note.	436
Turkey wheat—	
growing methods of Russian immigrants 399-	400
hard winter variety, description, etc 397-	398
importation, use for seed, etc	405
Introduction in 10wa, 1870, note	404 986
Turnswile, preeding habits, notes	200 609
TURBENTINE, J. W., article on "The preparation of fertilizer from municipal	000
waste"	310
Tylenchulus semipenetrans, injury to citrus trees	468
Tylenchus devastatrix, description, damage to bulbous crops	485

Index.

The stad States and line stall communicate with Assessing and
United States, area and five stock, comparison with Argentina
closed season for shorebirds, laws
San Pete and Castle Valleys, flood damage since 1890 75, 76
Vegetables-
imports and exports, 1912–1914, 1852–1914
value, 1899 and 1909
Vermont, Todd Tegistation, date:
Virginia—
highway department, establishment and work
road management, remarks 214, 219
Wall paper, selection for home, cautions, etc 350-351
Walnut bars, use of apple sirup, recipe
Walls, interior, treatment and decorations for the home
Ward-McIllhenny bird reserve, Louisiana, note
Warehouse receipts, use in financing cooperative marketing
Watenouses, grain and couon, study
Washburn, Gov. C. C.—
installation of first roller mill, note
Washington closed season for shorebirds laws
Waste, city-
composition and availability
Nature, classes, etc
Water-
clean—
comparison with clear water
dogs, occurrence in well, note
domestic use
effect on purity, of private ownership of watersheds
supply by national forests
pure. See Water, clean.
quantity from rain on roof area, computation
running, means of obtaining in farm house
supplies, national forests, protection and use
supply-
national forests, control from floods, value to farmers,
protection in well 143, 144
protection, maintenance of national forests
use and supply for irrigation in national forests, value of farms supplied, etc. 74
well, discussion of cleanness
Waterproofing, use of oil in concrete
cutting restriction, note
Watersheds-
maintenance of national forests for protection, methods
Well—
cleanliness, discussion
driven, construction and safety
protection from contamination directions 143
Wells-
contamination by surface water, remarks
shallow, danger general

		Page
West Virginia, closed season for woodcock, laws	292	293
Wheat-	202	, 200
crop, 1914	• •	12
drought-and-cold-resistant, demand of Great Plains region	405	-406
Wheat, durum—	105	
Kubanka	405	-406
adaptation to Great Plains etc	407	_409
establishment as new crop, difficulties, etc.	408	-409
introduction by Agriculture Department	405	-406
origin, description, etc	406	-408
yield, comparison with other wheats, 1904, 1914	407	-408
opposition, production, prices, etc		409
permanency, aids in establishment	• •	419
price prediction	•••	418
production increase, price, yield, etc	409	-412
value as milling wheat studiog	413	-418
Wheat exports $1012-1014$ and $1852-1014$	41Z	-413
Wheat, exports and imports 1911–1913	, 070	521
Wheat, imports 1912–1914	••	655
Wheat, Kharkof introduction, production, etc. 397, 398	404	-405
Wheat, prices, New York, 1901–1913	417	. 418
Wheat, spring, hard—		,
grading and prices	394-	-395
growth of industry	395-	-396
introduction, origin, etc		393
production in three States	396-	-397
unpopularity, etc	393-	-394
wheat, statistics-	F 10	507
international trade	919-	-031
Wheat Turkov	••	93 T
introduction into Iowa 1870		404
opposition from millers, etc.	••	401
Wheat, winter, hard—	••	101
description, various names, etc	397-	-398
distribution in United States, etc	398.	.399
general use, etc	403-	-404
grading, prices, etc	402-	-403
introduction by Russian immigrants, 1873	• •	399
Wheat, world's crop, 1912–1914	519 -	-520
Wheats, hard-		000
adaptation to dry prairie regions	• •	392
improvement as means of area extension	• •	419
introduction classes ate	202	440 202
winning their way article by Mark Alfred Carleton	301_	420
Wicker furniture, advantages, note	001	356
Windmill, use in pumping farmhouse water supply	152-	-153
Window—	101	200
hangings, suggestions	353-	-354
screens, construction, suggestions	348-	-349
Wine lees—		
imports, 1912–1914.	•	653
1mports, 1853–1914.	•	659
Willet, decrease, extinction danger, etc., note	•	285
Wisconsin, closed season for shore birds, laws	-	292
Wood_		
objections to use in public market		175
pulp, international trade	÷	612
Wood lot-	•	014
farm, problem, article by Herbert A. Smith.	439-	456
special products required by manufacturers, marketing	449-	450
use of term		451
values, present and future.	451-	456

Wood lots, source of wealth, importance and possibilities	Page 442–44). 3
Woodcock		Č
closed season laws governing various States	292.29	3
decrease causes protection etc	280 - 28	š
distribution habits value as game hirds atc	280-28	3
Used distillation, marks, value as game birds, etc	200 200 6'	Q.
Wool	. 0	
A movies a cling propagation for market Australian system ate	229_22	4
American curps, preparation for market, Austanan system, etc	002 00	1
American-grown, tack of system in preparation.	040-00. 990 99	<u>т</u>
classification, description, etc., in Australia.	040-04	9
comparative prices per pound in Boston, October, 1899–1913	520-52	0
danger of insufficient supply in United States	. 31	9
exports, 1911–1913	. 63	1
Idaho and Australia, sorting and scouring, price comparison	330-33	1
imports, 1911–1913	. 637	7
imports and exports, 1912–1914 and 1852–1914	669, 683	3
preparation for market—		
Australia and United States	336–338	3
in Australia, methods	326-329	9
prices, Boston and other markets, 1900–1914	. 636	6
probable importations from Australia, conditions governing	336-338	3
production—		
Australia, importance	422-423	3
in United States, 1899–1914	- 634	4
shearing sorting etc. methods in Australia	326-32	9
statistics production prices and trade	634-630	Ŕ
Wools weithing qualities are studies and comparisons	222-22!	á.
Worms. See Nematodes.	020 020	,
Yellowlegs-		
greater migration habits, breeding areas, distribution, etc.	290-293	1
lesser, migration routes, description, etc	. 28	7

lesser, migration routes, description, etc