

Deletion Policy on Wikipedia

ART+FEMINISM

COMMUNITY HOURS: April 16, 2020

*Please mute while not speaking.
We'll get started in just a moment!*



Agenda

- Introductions and agenda
- Types of Deletion on Wikipedia
- Best practices in Deletion Discussions
- Questions

Meeting Norms

- Try your best not to multitask
- Please mute when not speaking
- Please hold questions until the end / use the chat
- There are no “stupid” questions

Deletion on Wikipedia

According to the Deletion Policy, there are three main types of deletion:

- Speedy Deletion
- Proposed Deletion
- Deletion Discussion

Speedy Deletion

Speedy Deletion

It means that a page or media is deleted **almost immediately** and without prior discussion by an Administrator, because the content constitutes an **obvious case** of non-compliance with Wikipedia's policies.

Criteria

- Patent nonsense, Vandalism, copyright violations.
- Advertising > Importance of neutral point of view.
- No indication of relevance (people, organizations, web content, events). It doesn't apply to articles about books, films, albums, or other creative works.
- Misuse of userspace.

Disability justice

De Wikipedia

Revisión del 19:34 20 mar 2019 de Sphilbrick (discusión | contribs.) (*Requesting speedy deletion (CSD G12). (TW)*) (difs.) ← Revisión anterior | Revisión actual (difs.) | Revisión siguiente → (difs.)

*This article may meet Wikipedia's criteria for speedy deletion as a copyright infringement^(Copyvios report) of <http://sinsinvalid.org/blog/10-principles-of-disability-justice> (Duplication Detector report · Copyvios report). This criterion applies only in unequivocal cases, where there is no free-content material on the page worth saving and no later edits requiring attribution – for more complicated situations, see *Wikipedia:Copyright violations*. See **CSD G12**.*

If this article does not meet the criteria for speedy deletion, or you intend to fix it, please remove this notice, but **do not remove this notice from pages that you have created yourself**. If you created this page and you disagree with the given reason for deletion, you can click the button below and leave a message explaining why you believe it should not be deleted. You can also [visit the talk page](#) to check if you have received a response to your message.

Contest this speedy deletion

Note that once tagged with this notice, this article may be deleted at any time if it unquestionably meets the speedy deletion criteria, or if an explanation posted to the talk page is found to be insufficient.

Please be sure that the source of the alleged copyright violation is not itself a [Wikipedia mirror](#). Also, ensure the submitter of this page has been [notified about our copyright policy](#).

This page was last edited by [Sphilbrick](#) (contribs | logs) at 19:34, 20 March 2019 (UTC) (12 months ago)

Disability justice is a framework that examines disability and ableism as it relates to other forms of oppression and identity (race, class, gender, sexuality, citizenship, incarceration, size, etc.). According to founder Patty Berne, disability justice is "a movement-building framework – a practice, not an academic theory" which centers disabled queer and trans people of color.^[1] It was developed by members of the [San Francisco](#) based dance and performance group [Sins Invalid](#) beginning in 2004.^[2]

Sumario [ocultar]

- Origins
- Founding Principles

Talk:Disability justice

De Wikipedia



Disability justice is within the scope of **WikiProject Disability**. For more information, visit the [project page](#), where you can join the project and/or contribute to the [discussion](#).

???

This article has not yet received a rating on the project's [quality scale](#).

Comment [[editar](#)]

Just FYI the CV detector got tripped by the quote, but maybe the quote is too long. — [pythoncoder](#) (talk | contribs) 19:36, 20 March 2019 (UTC)

Contested deletion [[editar](#)]

This page should not be speedy deleted as an unambiguous copyright infringement, because the information from Sins Invalid is in quotes. It is cited. Not including these principles would be doing a disservice to explaining what disability justice is. — Preceding [unsigned](#) comment added by [Babyfreeze99](#) (talk • contribs) 19:48, 20 March 2019 (UTC)

Quotes from where? Is the content on this article original? [K2323](#) (talk) 20:27, 20 March 2019 (UTC)

Shifting sources from blogs to peer reviewed [[editar](#)]

Hello [Babyfreeze99](#), I noticed your article you created at an A+F event was flagged for deletion, and looked a little closer at the topic. It seems like the COPYVIO has been resolved, but it now has a notability flag on it. I did a search of academic databases, and found many results. The majority of them were from the last 4 years. Many of the sources on this page are from blogs and websites. I found numerous peer reviewed text which would hold more weight on wiki. There is even a book (published 2019): Piepzna-Samarasinha. (2019).

Care work : Dreaming disability justice. Vancouver: Arsenal Pulp Press. --[Theredproject](#) (talk) 00:58, 21 March 2019 (UTC)

I just came to this page and want help resolve the notability issues. I am going to first remove the blogs and other unreliable sources. Then I am going to replace them with reliable sources, specifically *Care Work: Dreaming Disability Justice* by [Leah Lakshmi Piepzna-Samarasinha](#) (Arsenal Pulp Press) --[Shanf1nn](#) (talk) 21:21, 10 May 2019 (UTC)

How to proceed

- The article creator (or another editor) is able to **object to the speedy deletion nomination** with a rationale for the reviewing administrator to assess before deletion.
- You and any editor who disagrees with a deletion are encouraged to **fix the noted problem** with the page

How to proceed

- If the article has already been deleted, you (or another editor) can ask an Administrator to restore it as a Sandbox so you can see its content and continue working on it.

Proposed Deletion

Proposed Deletion

It allows **any user** who considers that a given article is obviously and uncontroversially not suited to Wikipedia to propose its deletion. If the tag hasn't been removed in **seven days**, an admin could delete the article.

How to proceed

- You and any editor who disagrees with a deletion are encouraged to **fix the noted problem** with the page, and also to engage in a dialogue with the Wikipedian that requested/carried out the deletion (you can find their Username in the "View history" Tab).

How to proceed

- If you disagree with a Proposed Deletion, you can simply **delete the tag**. If the page has already been deleted, you can ask an Administrator to restore it as a Sandbox so you can see its content.

It is **proposed that this article be deleted** because of the following concern:

notability

If you can address this concern by [improving](#), [copyediting](#), [sourcing](#), [renaming](#), or [merging](#) the page, **please edit this page** and do so. *You may remove this message if you improve the article or otherwise object to deletion for any reason.* Although not required, you are encouraged to explain why you object to the deletion, either in your edit summary or on the talk page. If this template is removed, **do not replace it**.

The article may be deleted if this message remains in place for seven days, i.e., after 13:20, 16 April 2020 (UTC).

If you created the article, please don't be offended. Instead, consider improving the article so that it is acceptable according to the deletion policy.

Find sources: ["Angela Mark" – news · newspapers · books · scholar · JSTOR](#)

Nominator: Please consider notifying the author/project: `{{subst:proposed deletion notify|Angela Mark|concern=notability}}` ~~~~

Deletion Discussion

Deletion Discussion

The article is subject to an **open community discussion** about its merits for a period of usually no less than seven days, in order to come to a rough public **consensus** about the maintenance of the article in the site.

Any user can place a vote and/or a comment.

Deletion Discussion

In the case of biographies, the notability guidelines are usually referred to in order to propose the deletion of articles.

- ➔ Remember the General Notability Guidelines & Guidelines for creative professionals.

Deletion Discussion

After **seven days** an **administrator** decides if a consensus was reached, closes the discussion accordingly, and comment on their reasoning or relevant editorial policies.

If no consensus is reached, nominated articles are kept.

Feminist genealogies in Spanish art 1960-2010

De Wikipedia

Revisión del 16:09 8 oct 2019 de 14GTR (discusión | contribs.) *(Added link)*
(difs.) ← Revisión anterior | Revisión actual (difs.) | Revisión siguiente → (difs.)

This article is being considered for deletion in accordance with Wikipedia's deletion policy.

Please share your thoughts on the matter at **this article's entry** on the Articles for deletion page.

Feel free to improve the article, but the article **must not** be blanked, and this notice **must not** be removed, until the discussion is closed.

For more information, particularly on merging or moving the article during the discussion, read the [guide to deletion](#).

Find sources: "Feminist genealogies in Spanish art 1960-2010" – news · newspapers · books · scholar · JSTOR

Genealogías feministas en el arte español: 1960-2010 was an exhibition at the Museum MUSAC in León, Spain in 2012. It was developed by two curators, that are academic university teachers and researchers Patricia Mayayo and Juan Vicente Allaga. This exhibition originated from extended research ended in a publication with the same title, in which the first specialists on the theme collaborated such as Rocio de la Villa, Isabel Tejada, Beatriz Preciado, Noemí de Haro among others.^{[1][2][3]}

Sumario [ocultar]

- 1 Exhibition
- 2 Participants
- 3 References
- 4 Links

Exhibition

The exhibition Genealogías feministas,^[4] as well as the publication, are a unique research in Spain in the artistic Spanish field. Both the exhibition and the book are a platform that deal with the main themes of feminism. The history from the 1960s until 2010. The main art historians contribute with their texts, to give them the support in history. It covers from those artists working under Franco's dictatorship, how their work survived under such repression, another recurrent subject was the identity, gender, the role the women in the XX century, until the feminist revolution.^{[5][6][7][8]}

Article for deletion

Wikipedia:Articles for deletion/Genealogías feministas en el arte español

De Wikipedia

< Wikipedia:Articles for deletion

*The following discussion is an archived debate of the proposed deletion of the article below. **Please do not modify it.** Subsequent comments should be made on the appropriate discussion page (such as the article's talk page or in a deletion review). No further edits should be made to this page.*

The result was **keep**. Consensus is Keep, due to sources found and improvements made to the article subsequent to nomination. 78.26 ^(spin me / revolutions) 19:56, 11 October 2019 (UTC)

Genealogías feministas en el arte español [[editar](#)]

Genealogías feministas en el arte español ([edit](#) | [talk](#) | [history](#) | [links](#) | [watch](#) | [logs](#) | [views](#)) – ([View log](#) · [Stats](#))

(*Find sources:* [Google](#) ([books](#) · [news](#) · [newspapers](#) · [scholar](#) · [free images](#) · [WP refs](#)) · [FENS](#) · [JSTOR](#) · [NYT](#) · [TWL](#))

The article of an exhibition is based on one paragraph of text. I do not currently see notability. Ymblanter ([talk](#)) 16:48, 4 October 2019 (UTC)

Note: This discussion has been included in the list of [Women-related deletion discussions](#). Ymblanter ([talk](#)) 16:48, 4 October 2019 (UTC)

Note: This discussion has been included in the list of [Arts-related deletion discussions](#). Ymblanter ([talk](#)) 16:48, 4 October 2019 (UTC)

Note: This discussion has been included in the list of [Spain-related deletion discussions](#). Ymblanter ([talk](#)) 16:48, 4 October 2019 (UTC)

Note: This discussion has been included in the list of [Events-related deletion discussions](#). Coolabahapple ([talk](#)) 07:34, 5 October 2019 (UTC)

Note: This discussion has been included in the list of [Sexuality and gender-related deletion discussions](#). Coolabahapple ([talk](#)) 07:34, 5 October 2019 (UTC)

- **Keep**: the nominator's rationale no longer seems to apply, following substantial expansion of the article. I'm not an expert in this area, but it looks to me like the [MAV](#) source ([\[1\]](#)[ⓘ]) and [ABC](#) ([\[2\]](#)[ⓘ]) source are very good, and potentially a few others too. — [Bilorv](#) ([talk](#)) 00:06, 6 October 2019 (UTC)
- **Keep** per WP:HEY as significantly improved. See diff. [\[\[3\]](#)[ⓘ][\]](#). --Theredproject ([talk](#)) 14:51, 9 October 2019 (UTC)

*The above discussion is preserved as an archive of the debate. **Please do not modify it.** Subsequent comments should be made on the appropriate discussion page (such as the article's talk page or in a deletion review). No further edits should be made to this page.*

New to AfD? Read these primers!

[\[Hide this box\]](#)

- [Introduction to deletion process](#)
- [Guide to deletion \(glossary\)](#)
- [Help, my article got nominated for deletion!](#)

Deletion Discussion page

How to proceed

- You are able to participate in the discussion.
- Recruiting other editors that you know to participate in a Deletion discussion is not permitted, but you can notify potentially interested parties about an ongoing discussion.



Be careful of not Canvassing


<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia:Canvassing>

How to proceed

- If you need help with understanding any aspect of the deletion process, **contact the admin** that closed the Deletion discussion or another admin.

How to participate
in a deletion
discussion

English Wikipedia Article Deletion Processes



Keep

Don't move
Don't merge
Endorse previous

Don't change

No consensus,
default to keep

Delete

Move
Merge
Restore

Change something

Unanimous
"delete"


Rough consensus
to delete

No consensus,
default to keep???*

Rough consensus
to keep

Unanimous
"keep"

Consensus is determined by a strong case made.



Poor arguments:

- Keep without explanation.
- Assert importance without supporting sources.
- Point to a policy or guideline without further explanation.

[WP:ATADD](#)

Good arguments:

- Statements that summarize improvements to an article that address to the nominator's rationale.
- Provide more sources that contain substantial coverage of the topic.
- Provide other editorial criteria that supports the inclusion of the article.

QUESTIONS?

ART + FEMINISM

Find more
information
here



<http://www.artandfeminism.org/#safety>

ART+FEMINISM

**Toolkit for
security
on
Wikipedia**

February 2020
Version 001/1/One



ART+FEMINISM OFFICE HOURS CASE STUDIES: ARTICLES FOR DELETION

MAY 4, 2020 | 12P ET/ 4P UTC | bit.ly/AFdeletionCS2020

50 9

Keep learning

Continue the Conversation

Email

info@artandfeminism.org

Social Media

FB/Twitter: @artandfeminism

IG: @artandfem

Slack

#general

#firebrigade

