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TO

## THEKNNG。

SIR,
$W_{\text {Hile }}$ we contemplate the maritime गower of Great Britain, raifed under the aupices of Your Majelty to a pre-eminence unsxampled in the annals of mankind; we view wih equal pieafure thofe not lefs ufeful though efs iplendid efforts, which, under Your Maefly's immediate patronage and direction, aave advanced the limits of difcovery to that
boundary which Nature has fixed as a barsier to the enterprize of man.

IT is due to the confummate abilities of the moft experienced commanders, exercifed under this patronage and direction, that a folution has been given to three of the greateft problems that concern the world which we inhabit; for it is now determined by a fucceffion of voyages commenced and profecuted by Your Majefty's command, that the Entrance into the Pacifick Ocean by a paffage either on the North Weft or North Eaft is impracticable, and that the Exiftence of a great Southern Continent had nothing but theory for its fupport. It has likewife been afcertained that the longeft voyages are not detrimental to
life or health; and it has been proved by the execution of Your Majefty's commands, that diftant nations may be vifited, not for the purpose of fubjugation, but for the interchange of mutual benefits, and for promoting the general intercourfe of mankind.

In the profecution of there great defigns, if we have feed faience advancing to perfectron, it is til an object of interesting curiofits to turn our view back from the refult to the origin, to trace navigation to its fource, and difcovery to its commencement.
4. THis is the defign of the Work which I have now the honour to prefent to Your
A 2
Majefty
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Majefty for protection ; its merits muft be left to future decifion; but it is at leaft a tribute of gratitude offered to the patron of every fcience, in which the interefts of navigation and geography are concerned. I have the honour to fubfcribe myfelf .

YOUR MAJESTY's<br>Moft faithful<br>and moit devoted

Subject and Servant,

> WILLIAM VINCENT.

## P R E F A-C.E.

$\mathbf{M}_{\text {UCh }}$ difquifition upon a brief narrative is the profeffed defign of the following work; a work which has encreafed under my hands far beyond my calculation or defign, and which I now publifh incomplete, becaure, from the various interruptions of an active life, whatever may be my wifh and object, I cannot pledge myfelf to bring it to a conclufion.

Such encouragement as I had reafon to expect has not been wanting to my former Labours. Popular rcputation I neither courted or declined; emolument I neither coveted or difclaimed; but if the approbation of many excellent and learned men be an object of ambition, I have had my reward.

To the cenfures which I incurred, I am not infenfible; but if cenfure be not illiberal it is the part of prudence to turn it rather to the purpofe of correction than offence. One charge only I hall notice; and 8
that,
that, not becaufe it was unjuft, but becaufe it originated in a mifapprehenfion of my defign. In the few inftances where I ventured upon etymology, I did not expect the feverity which I have experienced. I had difclaimed all pretenfions to oriental learning; I had hazarded my own conjectures, in order to excite attention and curiofity in others; I had never refted a fingle deduction of importance on any imaginary interpretation of my own, and ftill I have had the mortification to find that all my precautions were ineffectual: I thought that in treating of oriental queftions, the conjectures of a claffical man, even if erroneous, might have been pardonable; but I was miftaken: I have feen my error, and I fhall avoid a repetition of the offence. Nothing etymological will occur in the following pages, but what will be propofed merely as matter of inquiry, or what can be referred to oriental authority for fupport.

In the Voyage of Nearchus I traced the intercourfe with India to its fource, a fubject, as it has been called, " barren, but important:" and I now profecute the fame inquiry down to its completion, by the difcoperies
of Gama, under difficulties ftill more difcouraging to an Author. A work, relieved neither by the incidents of a voyage, or the occurrences of a journal, varied by no perfonal dangers or efcapes, animated by no perfonal exertion or ability, however it may abound in information, can prefume but little upon its powers of attraction. Fidelity, labour, and refearch, it is true, have their fhare of merit; but the approbation which they claim muft be derived from thofe who can appreciate the value of talents which, though common to all, are exercifed only by the few.

Refearch, indeed, affords a pleafure peculiar to itfelf; it prefents an idea of difcovery to the imagination of the inquirer; an intellectual pleafure, in which he flatters himfelf others will be defirous to participate; and which, if he can communicate with fatisfaction proportionate to his own, publication is not merely the indulgence of a propenfity, but the exercife of a focial duty.

T Haye to return my thanks a fecond time to Mr . Dalrymple,for his kindrefs in fuffering me to copy two with the fheets of Ebn Haukel as they came from the prefs; to Dr. Charles Burney of Greenwich, and to Captain Francklin of the Bengal Eftablifhment. I have likewife been again more particularly obliged to the Bifhop of Rochefter for his affiffance in correcting the pofition of Meroè; on which fubject, more probably will appear upon a future occafion.

# PERIPLUS 

OFTHE

## ERYTHREAN SEA.

## BOOK I.

## PRELIMINARY DISQUISITIONS.

I. Introduction. - II. Account of the Periplûs. - MI. Homer. IV. Heródotus. -V. Gtéfías. -VI. Iambúlus. -VII. Agatbarcbides: - VIII. Hippalus. - IX. Age of the Periplus. - X. Intercourfe with India.
I. TAVIGATION, perfected as it is at the prefent hour, opens all the maritime regions of the world to the knowledge of mankind; but in the early ages, perfonal intercourfe was impracticable: the communication by fea was unexplored, and travelling by land was precluded by infecurity. The native commodities of one climate paffed into another by intermediate agents, who were interefted in little beyond the profits of the tranfit; and nations in a different hemifphere were known refpectively, not by their hiftory, but their produce.

Such was the fituation of Europe in regard to India; the produce of each was conveyed to the other by channcls which wcreunknown to both; and the communication by land through Tartary or Perfia, was as little underftood, as the intercourfe by the Indian Ocean. That both exifted in fome fenfe or other is undeniable; for the moft ancient of all hiftories mentions commodities which are the native produce of India, and which if they were known, of neceffity mult have been conveyed. What the means of conveyance were by land, or on the north, is a fubject which does not enter iato the plan of the following work; but the tranfport by fea is a confideration of all others the moft important; it is dependent on a difcovery-common to all the nations of the world: the dominion of the fea may pafs from one people to another, but the communication itfelf is opened once for all; it can never be fluut.

That the Arabians were the firf navigators of the Indian Ocean, and the firft carriers"of Indian produce, is evident from all hiftory, as far as hiftory goes back; and antecedent to hiftory, from analogy, from neceffity; and from local fituation; out of their hands this commerce was transferred to the Greeks of Egypt, and to the Romans: when mafters of that country; upon the decline of theRoman power it reverted to the Arabians, and with them it would have remained, if no Gama had arifen to effect a change in the whole commercial fyitem of the world at large.

It is the interval between the vayage of Nearchus and the dif coveries of the Portuguefe which I intend to examine in the follow-

[^0]ing work ; the bafis which I affume is the Periplûs of the Erythrean Sea; and in commenting on this work, an opportunity will be given to introduce all the particulars connected with the general fubject.

## ACCOUNT OF THE PERIPLUS.

II. Tine Periplûs of the Erythrêan Sea is the title prefixed to a work which contains the beft account of the commerce carried on from the Red Sea and the coaft of Africa to the Eaft Indies, during the time that Egypt was a province of the Roman empire.

This work was firf edited from the prefs of Froben at 4 alle, in 1533 , with a prefatory epifle by Gelenius; but from what manufcript I have never bsen able to difcover; neither is it known whether any manufcript of it is now in exiftence. The edition of Stuckius at Zurich, in 1577, and Hudfon in i698, at Oxford, are both from the printed copy, which is notorioufly incorrect, and their emendations remove few of the material difficulties ${ }^{2}$; befides thefe, there is a tranflation in the collection of Ramufio, faithful indeed, as all his tranflations are, but without any attempt to amend the text, or any comment to explain it ; he has prefixed a difcourfe however of confiderable merit and much learning, which I have made ufe of wherever it could be of fervice, as I have allo of the commentaries of Stuckius, Hudfon, and Dodwell; but the author with whom I am moft in harmony upon the whole,

[^1]
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is Voffius, who in his edition of Poniponius Mela has touched upon fome of thefe points, and I wifh we had the ground of his opinion in detail.

The Erythrêan Sea is an appcllation given in the age of the author to the whole expanfe of ocean reaching from the coaft of Afriea to the utmoft boundary of ancient knowledge on the eaft; an appellation, in all appearance, deduced from their entrance into it by the ftraits of the Red Sea, ftyled Erythra' by the Greeks, and not excluding the gulph of Perfia, to which the fabulous hiftory of a king Erythras is more peculiarly appropriate.

Who the author was, is by no means evident, but certainly not Arrin of Nicomedia, who wrote the hiftory of Alexander, whofe writings have been the fubject of my meditations for many years, and whofe name I fhould have been happy to prefix to the prefent work; HE was a man of eminence by birth, rank, talents, and education, while the author before us has none of thefe qualities to boaft; but veracity is a recommendation which will compenfate for deficiency in any other refpect: this praife is indifputably his. due, and to difplay this in all its parts is the principal merit of the: commentary I have undertaken.

[^2]Agatharchides fays, it is not from the colour

 ${ }_{\xi} \rho^{2} \cdot \hat{G}_{\xi} \alpha^{2} /$; this is well known, but it ought to be remembered that Im Suph of the Hebrews is the weedy fea; and Lobo afferts, that Sufo is a name fill applied to a weed in this fea ufed for dyeing red; this is probably indeed not the fource of the etymology, but it is not unworthy of notice.

Arrian of Nicomedia has left us the Paraplûs of Nearchus, and the Periplûs of the Euxine Sea, and was a name of celebrity to the early editors of Greek manufcripts, long before the work now under contemplation was known; it is not impoffible, therefore, if the Peripiûs of the Erythrêan Sea was found anonymous, that it was attributed to an author whofe name on fimilar fubjects was familiar. But if Arrian be the real name of the author now under contemplation, and not fictitious, he appears from internal evidence: to have been a Greek, a native of Egypt, or a refident in that country, and a merchant of Alexandria: he manifettly failed on board the fleet from Egypt, as far as the gulph of Cambay, if not farther; and, from circumftances that will appear hereafter, is prior to Arrian of Nicomêdia by little lefs than a century. "His work has long been appreciated by geographers, and is worthy of high eftimation as far as the author can be fuppofed perfonally to have vifited the countries he defcribes; fome fcattered lights alfo occur even in regard to the moft diftant regions of the eaft, which are valuable as exhibiting the firf dawn of information upon the fubject.

Of this work no adequate idea could be formed by a trannlation; but a comparifon of its contents with the knowledge of India, which we have obtained fince Gama burft the barrier of difcovery, cannot but be acceptable to throfe who value geography as a fcience, or delight in it as a picture of the world.

The Periplûs itfelf is divided into two diftinct parts, one comprehending the coaft of Africa from Myos Hormus to Rhapta; the other, commencing from the fame point, includes the coaft of Arabia, both within the Red Sea and on the ocean; and then paffing
paffing orer to Guzerat runs down the coaft of Malabar to Ceylon, It is the firt part, containing the account of Africa, which I now prefent to the public; a work which, perhaps, I ought never to have undertaken, but which I hope to complete with the addition of the oriental part, (if bleffed with a continuance of life and health,) by devoting to this purpole the few intervals which can be fpared from the more important duties in which I am engaged. The whole will be comprehended in four books; the firft conffiting of preliminary matter, and the other three allotted refpectively to Africa, Arabia, and India, the three different countries which form the fubject matter of the Periplûs itfelf. In the execution of this defign I flaall encroach but little on the ground already occupied by Doctor Robettion; but to Harris, and his learned editor Doctor Campbell, I have many obligations. I follow the fame arrangement in my confideration of the Greek authors, borrowing fometimes from their materials, but never bound by their decifions: where I am indebted I thall not be fparing of my acknowledgments; and where I diffent, fufficient reafons will be affigned. I could have wifhed for the company of fuch able guides farther on my journey; but I foon diverge from their track, and muft explore my way like an Arab in the defert, by a few flight marks which have efcaped the ravages of time and the defolation of war.

To a nation now miftrefs of thofe Indian territories which were "known to Alexander only by report, and to the Greeks of Egypt only by the intervention of a commerce reftricted to the coaft, it may be deemed an object of high curiofity at leaft, if not of witility, to trace back the origin and progrefs of difcovery, and to
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examine the minute and accidental caufes which have led to all our knowledge of the eaft; caufes, which have by flow and imperceptible degrees weakened all the great powers of Afia, which have diffolved the empires of Perfia and Hindoftan, and have reduced the Othmans. to a fecondary rank; while Europe has wifen paramount in arts and arms, and Britain is the ruling power in India, from Ceylon to the Ganges:-a fupremacy this, envied undoubtedly by our enemies, and reprobated by the advocates of our enemies, Anquetil du Perron and Bernoulli ${ }^{4}$, exclaim at the injuftice of our conquefts; but who ever afferted that conqueit was founded upon juftice? The Portuguefe, the Hollanders, and the French were all intruders upon the natives, to the extent of their ability, as well as the Britifh. India in no age fince the irruptions of the Tartars and Mahomedans has known any power, but the power of the fword; and great as the ufurpation of the Europeans may have been, it was originally founded in neceffify. It is not my wifh to. jultify the excefs; but there are nations, with whom there can be no intercourfe without a pledge for the fecurity of the merchant. The Portuguefe, upon their firft arrival at Calicut, could not trade but by force: it was in confequence of this neceffity, that all the Europeans demanded or extorted the liberty of erecting forts for their factories; and this privilege, once granted, led the way to every encroachment which enfued. I notice this, becaufe the fame danger produced the fame effects frotr the beginning. It will appear from: the Periplûs, that the Arabians in that age had fortified theirfactories on the coaft of Africa, and the Portuguefe hiftorians

[^3]mention the fame precantion ufed in the fame country by the Arabs in the age of Gama. Froms this flender origin all the congrefts of the Europeans in India have taken their rife, till they have grown into a confequence which it was impoffible to forefec, and which it is now impoffible to ontrol. No nation can abandon its conquefts without ruin; for it is not only pofitive fubtraction from one fcale, but preponderancy accumulated in the other. No power can be withdrawn from a fingle province, but that it would be occupied by a rival upon the inftant. Nothing remains but to moderate an evil which cannot be removed, and to regulate the government by the interefts of the governed. 'Ihis imports the conquerors as much as the conquered; for it is a maxim never to be forgotten, that the Portuguefe loft by their avarice the empire they had acquired by their valour; but of this too much:-our prefent bufinefs is not with the refult of difcovery, but its origin.

Voyages are now performed to the moft diftant regions of the world without any intervening difficulties but the ordinary hazard of the fea. In the ancient world the cafe was very different: a voyage from Theffaly to the Phafis was an atchievement which confecrated the fame of the adventurers by a memorial in the Heavens, and the paffage from the Mediterranean into the Atlantic Ocean was to the Phenicians a fecret of fate.

The reality of the Argonautic expedition has been queftioned; but if the primordial hiftory of every nation but one is tinctured with the fabulous, and if from among the reft a choice is neceffary to be made, it muft be allowed that the traditions of Greece are lefs inconfiftent than thofe of the more diftant regions of the earth. Oriental learning is now employed in unravelling the mythology of
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India, and recommending it as containing the feeds of primeval hiftory; but hitherto we have feen nothing that fhould induce us to relinquifh the authorities we have been ufed to refpect, or to make us prefer the fables of the Hindoos or Guebres to the fables of the Greeks. Whatever difficulties may occur in the return of the Argonauts, their paffage to Colchis is confiftent ; it contains more real geography than has yet been difcovered in any record of the Bramins or the Zendavefta, and is truth itfelf, both geographical and hiftorical when compared with the portentous expedition of Ram ' to Ceylon; it is from confiderations of this fort that we muft ftill refer our firft knowledge of India to Grecian fources, rather than to any other; for whatever the contents of the Indian records may finally be found to have preferved, the firft mention of India that we have is from Greece, and to the hiftorians of Greece we mult ftill refer for the commencement of our inquiries; their knowledge of the country was indeed inperfect, even in their lateft accounts, but ftill their very earlieft fhew that India had been heard of, or fome country like India in the eaft; a glimmering towards day is difcoverable in Homer, Heródotus, and Ctêfias; obfcure indeed, as all knowledge of this fort was, previous to Alexander, but yet fufficient to prove that India was always an object of curiofity and inquiry.

## HOMER.

III. The father of poetry is naturally the firf object of our regard, his writings contain the hiftory, the manners, and antiquities

[^4]of his country; and though his information upon the point prom pofed may be problematical, ftill nothing that he has touched is unworthy of attention. When he conducts Neptunc ${ }^{\circ}$ into Ethiopia, he feems to place him in the centre between two nations both black, but both perfectly diftinguifhed from each other; and he adds, that they lived at the oppofite extremities of the world eaft and weft; let us then place the deity in Ethiopia above the Cataracts of Syênè, and let a line be drawn eaft and weft, at right angles with the Nile; will it not immediately appear that this linc cuts the coaft of Nigritia on the weft, and the peninfula of India on the eaft? and though it may be deemed ${ }^{7}$ enthufiaim to affert, that Homer confidered thefe as his two extremities, and placed his two Ethiopick nations in thefe tracts, which are their actual refidence at prefent, fill it is not too much to fay, that the centre he has affumed is the moft proper of all others, that the diftant Ethiopians ${ }^{8}$ to the eaft of it, are Indians, and to the weft, Negroes. Thefe two fpecies are perfectly diftinguifhed by their make; by their features, and above all by their hair; whether Homer knew this characteriftick difference does not admit of proof, but that he

## 







See the note upon this paffage in Pope's tranflation of the Odyffey, where he adducea with great propriety the teftimony of Straba, to prove that all thofe nations were accounted Ethiopians by the early Grecks, who lived upon the Sotathern Occan from caft to weft; and the authority of Ptolemy to fhew, "that
"under the zodiack from enft to weft, in" habit the Ethiopians black of colour." The whole paffage in Strabo, and the various reading of Ariftarchus and Crates, are well worth confulting. Lib, i. p. 30.


 Lib. ii. p. 103. it is not probable that Homer knew India at all, certainly not as India, but as Ethiopia.

[^5]knew they were of a feparate race is undeniable, and that he placed them eaft and weft at the extent of his knowledge, is an approximation to truth, and confiftent with their actual pofition at the prefent hour.

## HERODOTUS.

IV. The diftinction which Homer has not marked, is the firft circumftance that occurred to Heródotus; he mentions the eaftern Ethiopians confidered as Indians, and differing from thofe of Africa exprefsly by the characteriftick ${ }^{2}$ of long hair, as oppofed to the woolly head of the Cafre. We may collect,alfo, with the affiffance of a little imagination, the diftinct notice of three forts of natives, which correfpond in fome degree with the different fpecies which have inhabited this country in all ages. The Padêi on the north ${ }^{10}$, who are a favage people refembling the tribes which are ftill found in the northern mountains, mixed with Tartars perhaps, and approaching to their manners; a fecond race living far to the fouth, not fubjeCt to the Perfian empire ${ }^{n}$, and who abftain from all animal food; under this defcription we plainly


[^6]
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 difcover the real Hindoos; and a third inhabiting Pactyia and Cafpatyrus, who refemble the Bactrians in their manners, drefs, and arms, who are fubject to Perfia, and pay their tribute in gold; thefe, whether we can difcover Cafpatyrus or not, are evidently the fame as thofe tribes which inhabit at the fources weft of the Indus; who never were Hindoos, but pofiefs a wild mountainous country, where their faftneffes qualify them for a predatory life, and where they were equally formidable to Alexander, to Timour, and Nadir Shah ${ }^{51}$; they refemble to this day the Bactrians, as much as in the time of Heródotus, or rather the Agwhans in their neighbourhood; and are as brave as the one, and as ferocious as the other. Thefe tribes it is more neceffary to mark, becaufe it will prove that the Perfians never were mafters of India properly fo called, but of that country only which is at the fource of the Indus. Whether they penetrated beyond the mair Atream, that is the Indus or the Attock itfelf, muft be left in doubt; but Pactyia, according to major Rennell, is Peukeli; and if Cafpatyrus be the fame as the Cafpíra of Ptólemy, there is fome ground for fuppofing that city correfpondent to Multan. Shouldthefe conjectures be confirmed by future inquiry, it would prove that the Perfians did pafs the Attock, and were really mafters of the Panjeab and Multan; and the tribute which they received, equal to half the revcnues of the empire, affords fome reafon for fuppofing this to be the fact. Much depends upon the iffue of this inquiry, becaufe the Attock, or forbidden river, has been the weftern boundary of Hindoftan in all ages ; if the Perfians paffed it, India[^7]was tributary to them; if they did not, the tribes weft of the Attock only were fubjected, and they were never Hindoos, however efteemed fo by the Perfians. Another circumftance dependent on this inquiry, is the voyage of Scylax, faid to have commenced from Pactyia and Cafpatýrus, and to have terminated in the Gulph of Arabia. There are two inconfiftencies in the report of this voyage by Herodotus; the firt, that he mentions the courfe of the Indus to the caft ${ }^{13}$, which is in reality. to the fouth-weft: the fecond, that he fuppofes Daríus ${ }^{14}$ to have made ufe of the difcoveries of Scylax for the invafion of India. Now if Darius was matter of the Attock, Peukeli, and Multan, he wanted no information concerning the entrance into India, for this has been the route of every conqueror; or if he obtained any intelligence from Scylax it is certain that ncither he, nor his fucceffors ever availed themfelves of it, for any naval expedition. The Perfians were never a mari-, time people. Hiftory no where fpeaks of a Perfian fleet in the Indian ocean, or even in the Gulph is of Perfia; and in the Mediterranean, their fea forces always confifted of Phenicians, Cyprians, or Egyptians.

Far is it from my wifh wantonly to difcredit any hiftorical fact fupported on the teftimony of fuch a writer as Herodotus; but there

[^8]Baetria; but I have never been able to difcover, that the Perfian Darius of the Greeks, or his father Hyftafpes, can be identified with the Bactrian Gufhtafp of the Zende or Oriental writers; and I fee Richardfon in the preface to his Perfian Dictionary affigns uo real anntiquity to the Zende of Anquetil du Perron.
${ }^{45}$ Ifpeak of a nary, not hips for trade.
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are infuperable difficulties in admitting this voyage of Scylas, or that of the Phenicians round the continent of Africa; the greatelt of all is, that no confequences accrued from either. That Heródotus received the account of both from Perfians or Egyptians, is undeniable; that they were performed is a very different confideration. I do not dwell upon the fabulous ${ }^{15}$ part of his account of India; becaufe even his fables have a foundation in fact ${ }^{17}$; but I cannot believe from the fate of navigation in that age, that Scylax could perform a voyage round Arabia, from which the braveft officers of Alexander fhrunk; or that men who had explored the defert coaft of Gadrofia, fhould be lefs daring than an unexperienced native of Caryanda. They returned with amazement from the fight of Muffendon and Ras-al-had, while Scylax fucceeded without a difficulty upon record. But the obftacles to fuch a voyage are numerous, firf, whether Pactyia be Peukeli, and Cafpatyrus, Mul$\tan$ : fecondly, if Darius were matter of Multan, whether he could fend a fhip, or a fleet, down the Indus to the fea, through tribes


#### Abstract

15 What the fable is of the ants which turned up gold, and the manner of collecting it by the natives, I cannot determine; but it is poffible, that as our knowledge of India increafes, it will be traced to its fource; and one thing is certain, that it is a tale exifing: from the time of Herodotus to the age of De Thou; it is countensnced likewife in the Letters. of Bubequins, who faw one of thefe ants [ficins] fent as a prefent from the king of Perfia to the Porte. See Larcher, tom. iii. p. 339. Another fable, totally difonant to the ordinaty manners of the Findoos, If fhall give in the words of the hiftorian, Mifes © Títux 


 verfo nequaquam objiciendum el, fed originem ducit ex illo fpurciffito commercio foeminarum, prolis defiderio laborantium, cum Hylobiis et Heautontimorcumenis. I can, upon fimilar' principles, account for the greateft part of the fables imputed to Megallhenes, Daimachus, Oncsícritus. Lt. Whiford explains Cteffas's. fable of the Martíchora.
${ }^{17}$ Not all indeed, for his voyage of Hercules to Scythia is mere mythology. 1 do not ufe this to difcredit the voyage of Scylax; my object is to mark fable as fable, and to felect the truths mixed with it for obfervation, See Herod, lib, ive p. 283, ed. Weff.
where Alexander fought his way at every ftep; thirdly, whether Scylax ${ }^{18}$ had any knowledge of the Indian Ocean, the coaft, or the monfoon: fourthly, if the coaft of Gadrofia were friendly, which is doubtful, whether he could proceed along the coaft of Arabia, which muft be hoftile from port to port. Thefe and a variety of other difficulties 's, which Nearchus experienced, from famine, from want of water, from the built of his veffels, and from the manners of the natives, muft induce an incredulity in regard tothe Perfian account, whatever refpect we may have to the fidelity of Heródotus.

## CTESIAS.

V. Next to Heródotus, at the diftance of little more than fixty years, fucceeds Ctêfias. He refided a confiderable time in the court of Perfia, and was phyfician to Artaxerxes Mnemon. What opportunities he had of obtaining a knowledge of India muft have been accidental, as his fables are almolt proverbial, and his truths very few; his abbreviator Photius, from whofe extracts only we have-


#### Abstract

${ }_{1}{ }^{8}$ That there was fuch a perfon as Scylax, thiat he was in India, and that his account of that country was extant, appears from Ariftotle's Politicks, lib. vii. in Dr. Gillies's tranfla* tion, book iv. p. 240. I Leam likewife from Lar. cher, that the Baron de Sainte Croix defends the work which now bears the name of Scylax as genuine, in' a differtation read before the Academy of Infcriptions; but I know not whether that differtation be publifhed. See Larcher's Herod, tom. iii. p. 407. I have one objection te its anthenticity, which is his mention of Dardanus, Rhêterum, and Iliumin the Troad,


p. 35 . for there is great doubt whetber Rh A. têum was in exiftence in the time of the real Scylax; and of India, he fays nothing in the treatife now extant.

12 Strabo fays, Pofidonius dibelieved this whole hiftory of Scylax, though lie believed the voyage of Eudoxus, lib. ii. p. 100.. The fact is, there were fo many of thefe voyages pretended, and fo few performed, that the beft judges did not knaw what to believe; Strabo believed nothing of the circumpayigation of Africa. See lib. i. p. $3^{2}$.
an account of his works, feems to have paffed over all that he faid of Indian manners; and to have preferved only his tales of the marvellous. The editor ${ }^{20}$, however, is very defrous of preferving the credit of his author, and that part of the work which relates to Perfia is worthy of the eftimation he affigns it; but we are not bound to admit his fable of the mattíchora, his pygmies, his men with the heads of dogs and feet reverfed, his griffins and his fourfooted birds. as big as wolves.- Thefe fictions of imagination indeed are ftill reprefented on the walls of the Pagodas; they are fymbols of mythology, which the Bramins pointed out to the early vifitors of India, and became hiftory by tranfmiffion.

The few particulars appropriate to India, and confiftent with truth, obtained by Ctêfias ${ }^{21}$, are almoft confined to fomething refembling a defcription of the cochineal plant, the fly, and the beantiful tint obtained from it, with a genuine picture of the

[^9]pore, may be believed, but that thefe fwords muft be wrought of metal from the golden fountain, or that they had this effect, is a different confideration; the words are, $\mathfrak{k}_{3}$ 估荡
 does Weffeling tell me to believe this? nay I would have believed it, if he had not afferted the fuccefs of the experiment, but only that the king tried it. Perhaps fome ingenious
 to prove that iron was known to be a con. ductor in that age, and that electricity was concerned in this experiment. Sce p. 827 . Weffeling's ed. of Herod : and Cteltias.
${ }^{21}$ Some other circumftances recorded by Ctêfias may be collected from Diodôrus, but I have tonfined myfelf to his own work.

## PRELIMINARY DISQUISITIONS.

monkey and the parrot; the-two animals he had doubtlefs feen in Perfia, and flowered cottons emblazoned with the glowing colours of the modern chintz, were probably as much coveted by the fair Perfians in the Harams of Sufa and Ecbatana, as they ftill are by the ladies of our own country.

Cteffas is contemporary with Xenophon, and Xenophon is prior to Alexander by about feventy years; during all which period, little intelligence concerning India was brought into Greece; and if the Macedonian conquefts had not penetrated beyond the Indus, it does not appear what other means might have occurred of difpelling the cloud of obfcurity in which the eaftern world was enveloped.

The Macedonians, as it has been fhewn in a former work, obtained a knowledge both of the Indus and the Ganges; they heard that the feat of empire was, where it always has been, on the Ganges, or the Jumna. They acquired intelligence of all the grand and leading features of Indian manners, policy, and religion : they difcovered all this by penetrating through countries where polfibly no Greek had previoully fet his foot; and they explored the paffage by fea, which firft opened the commercial intercourfe with India to the Greeks and Romans, through the medium of Egypt and the Red Sea; and finally to the Europeans by the Cape of Good Hope.

It matters not that the title of fabulifts is conferred upon Megafthenes; Nearchus, and Onesicritus, by the ancients; they publifhed more truths than falfehoods, and many of their imputed falfehoods are daily becoming truths, as our knowledge of the country is improved. The progrefs of information from this origin is materi-
ally connected with the object we have propofed, and it catinot be deemed fuperfluous to purfue it through the chain of authors, who maintain the connection till the difcovery of the paffage acrols the ocean by means of the monfoon.

Megafthenes and Daimachus ${ }^{21}$ had been fent as embaffadors from the kings of Syria to Sandrocottus and his fucceflor Allitróchades; the capital of India was in that age at Palibóthra, the fituation of which, fo long difputed, is finally fixed, by Sir William Jones, at the junction of the Saone and the Ganges. Thefe embaffadors, therefore, were refident at a court in the very heart of India, and it is to Megafthenes in particular that the Greeks are indebted for the beft account of that country. But what is moft peculiarly remarkable is, that the fables of Ctêfias were fill retained in his work ; the Cynocéphali, the Pigmies, and fimilar fables were fill afferted as truths. It is for this reafon that Strabo ${ }^{23}$ prefers the teftimony of Eratofthenes and Patrócles, though Eratolthenes was refident at Alexandria, and never vifited India at all; and though Patrocles never faw any part of that country beyond the Panjeab, ftill their intelligence he thinks is preferable, becaufe Eratofthenes had the command of all the information treafured in the library of Alexandria; and Patrocies was poffelfed of the materials which were collected by Alexander himfelf, and which had been communicated to him by Xeno the keeper of the archives.

It is inconceivable how men could live and negotiate in a camp on the Ganges, and bring home impoflibilities as truth; how

[^10]Megafthenes
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Megafthenes could report that the Hindoos hal no ufe of letters ${ }^{\text {* }}$, when Nearchus had previoufly noticed the beautiful appearance of their writing, and the elegance of character, which we ftill difcover in the Shanfkreet ${ }^{23}$; but the fabulous accounts of Cteffias were repeated by Megafthenes, profeffedly from the authority of the Bramins; and whatever reafon we have to complain of his judgment or difcretion, we ought to acknowledge our obligations to him as the firft author who fpoke with precifion of Indian manners, or gave a true idea of the people.
It is not poffible to enter into the particulars of all that we derive from this author, but the whole account of India, collected in the fifteenth book of Strabo, and the introduction to the eighth book of Arrian, may juftly be attributed to him as the principal fource of information. His picture is, in fact, a faithful reprefentation of the Indian character and Indian manners; and modern obfervation contributes to eftablifh the extent of his intelligence, and the fidelity of his report.

This fource of intelligence, commencing with Alexander and concluding with Megafthenes and Daimachus, may be claffed under the title of Macedonian, as derived from Alexander and his fucceffors, and fuch knowledge of the country as could be acquired by a hafty invafion, by the infpection of travellers and embaffadors, or by the voyage down the Indus, the Macedonians feem to have
${ }^{2} 4$ Strabo, lib. xy. p. 709. an imputation on Megalthenes, which the good father Paoliro is very unwilling fhould attach to him. Sce his Differtation De veteribus Indis, in anfwer to Auguftivus Georgius, zuthor of the Thibet Alphabet, p. 12.
es Shanfkreet, or Sanfcrit, is the mode of writing this word, which has prevailed among our Englifh writers. I always prefer the moft popular, but Ppolino writes Sams Crda, lingua perfecta, p. 258. or Krda $\Rightarrow$ perfecta, Sam $=$ fimul, coëval with creation.

## PRELIMINARY DISQUISITIONS.

attained with fingular attention, and, notwithflanding particular errors, to have conveyed into Europe with much greater accuracy: than might have been expected.

The voyage of Nearchus opened the paffage into Indin by fea $a_{n}$ and obviated the difficulties of penetrating into the eaft by land ${ }_{2}$ which had previoufly been an infurmountable barrier to knowledge and communication. But it is to Onesícritus ${ }^{26}$ we trace the firft mention of Taprobbana, or Ceylon, and what is extraordinary, the dimenfions he has affigned to it, are more conformable to. truth ${ }^{37}$, than Ptolemy had acquired four hundred years later, and at a time when it was vifited annually by the fleets from Egypt; but on this fubject more will be faid in its proper place.

## I A MBU.LUS.

VI. The mention of Ceylon naturally introduces us to the voyage of Iambúlus ${ }^{28}$, becaufe, fabulous as his account is, it is ftill molt
as To Onesícritus only, if we follow Strabo or Pliny, vi. 24. who, he fays, mentions elephants there larger and more fit for war than elfewhere, a truth to this day. Megafthenes notices a river, gold and pearls, and that the people are called $\xi_{a l c o ́ g o n i . ~ E r a t o f t h e n e s . ~}^{\text {a }}$ feems to have enlarged npon the fize given i: by Unesícritus, for inftead of 625 miles, he fays it is 875 miles long, 625 brond. In Pliny's age the north eaftern fide was grown to 1250 miles, and the error was always on the increafe till the time of Ptolemy. Pliny adds, that Rachia [Rajab] was the head of the embafly to Rome, and that Rachia's father had vifited the Seres. One incidental circumftance feems to mark Arabian intercourfe previous to the voyage of Annius Ploçamus's freed man. Regi, cultum Liberi Pa-
tris, cateris, Arabun, the king worfhipped Bacchus, the peoplc on the coaft followed the xites of the Arabians. The king wore the garment of India, the people (on the coalt) that of the Arabians. He adds alfo, that Hercules was worhipped, that is, Bali, the In dian Hercules. Whence both Palé́goni, and Palermoondus. This, bowevcr, ought not to be afferted wihkout giving due weight to Paolino, who derives Patefimoondus from Paraflui mandala, the kingdom of Pasafhri, and Parafhri is the Indian Bacchus.
${ }^{27}$ He makes it 625 miles, withont men. tioning length or breadth; it is in reality near 280 miles long, and 138 broad; but litolemy extends it to more than 966 miles from north to fouth, 759 from weft to saft.
${ }^{23} \mathrm{Sec}$ Harris, vol. i. 383 . and Ramufio.
probably founded on fast, and becaufe Diodôrus has ranked it as hiftory.

Lucian ${ }^{29}$, perhaps, formed a better judgment when he claffed him with the writers of fiction; for his account of the Fortunate Iflands and of Ceylon ftand almoft on the fame ground; the circumference of the Ifland he feems to give at five thoufand fadia from Onesícritus, and the navigation acrofs the ocean from Ethiopia he derived from the general kntowledge that this voyage had been. performed, or imputed, from a very early age; his departure from Ceylon to the Ganges, his arrival at Palibóthra, and his intercourfe with the king, who was an admirer ${ }^{30}$ of the Greeks, may bereferred to Megafthenes and Daimachus, while his fictions of impoffibilities are all his own. Notwithftanding all this there are fome allufions to characteriftick truths, which though they do not befpeak the teftimony of an eyc-witnefs, prove that fome knowledge of the ifland had reached Egypt, and this at a time previous to the difoovery of the monfoon; for Iambúlus muft be antecedent to Diodôrus, and Diodôrus is contemporary with Auguftus. It is this

[^11]fovercignty, is lard to determine, ) affumed the
 infcribed in Greek letters upon the coins of the firft ufurpers of the Parthian dymafly. See Bayer. p. 105: It feems, therefure, highly probable, that the inventor of this tale of $\mathrm{Yam-}$ búlus knew that fome of the eatern potentates were ftyled lovers of the Greeks; and he has ignorantly applied this title to a fovereign of India, which appertained properly to thofe only who reigned in the north of Perlia. This feems an additional proof of the impofture the author knew a curious piece of fecret, hiftory, but did net know hov to apply it.
$\therefore \quad$ PRELIMINARY DISQUISITIONS.
fingle circumflance that makes it requifite to notice fuch an author. The truths alluded to are, I. The fature of the natives, and the flexibility of their joints. II. The length of their ears, bored and pendent. III. The perpetual verdure of the trees. IV. The attachment of the natives to aftronomy. V. Their worfhip of the elements, and particularly the fun and moon. VI. Their cotton garments. VII. The cuftom of many men having one wife in common, and the children being entitled to the protection of the partuerfip. (This practice is faid by Paolino ${ }^{34}$ to exift ftill on the coaft of Ceylon, and is apparently conformable to the manners of the Nayres on the continent.) VIII. Equality of day and night. IX. The Calamus or Maiz. And it is fubmitted to future inquiry, whether the particulars of the alphabet may not have fome allufion to truth; for he fays, the characters are originally only feven, but by four varying forms or combinations they become twenty-eight.

The chief reafon to induce a belief, that Iambúlus never really vifited Ceylon, is, that he fhould affert he was there feven years, and yet that he fhould not mention the production of cinnamon. There is no one circumftance that a Greek would have noticed with more oftentation than a difcovery of the coalt where this fpice grew; but Iambúlus, like the reft of his countrymen, if he knew the produce, adjudged it to Arabia, and never thought of this. leading truth, as a fanction to the conftruction of his fable; he is defcribed by Diodorrus as the fon of a merchant, and a merchant himfelf, but poffeffed of a liberal education. In trading to Arabia

[^12]miftaken on clafical queftions, but fill it is a learned and inftructive work, p. $37^{8}$.
for fpices, he was taken prifoner and reduced to flavery; he was carried off from Arabia by the Ethiopians, and by them committed to the ocean, to be driven wherever the winds might carry him; and in this cafe his reaching Ceylon would be the leaft improbable ${ }^{32}$. part of his narrative. No means occur to fettle the date of this hiftory, but the allufion to known truths makes it curious, even if it be a novel. Thefc truths could have been obtained only from report in the age of Diodorrus ${ }^{33}$, and the wonder is, that it contains a circumftance dependent on the monfoon, of which Diodorus was himfelf ignorant, and which was not known to the Greeks and Romans till near a century later. I dare not claim it as a proof, that the Arabians failed by the monfoon at this time, but the fcene is laid in Arabia, and the paffage is made from the coaft of Africa, as that of the Arabians really was; and it is natural to conclude, that the Arabians did really fail to Ceylon in that age, though the Greeks and Romans did not. The embafly from Pandion to Auguftus cannot be a fiction, and the embaffadors muft have failed from India, either on board Arabian fhips, which frequented their harbours, or in Indian veffels which followed the fame courfe. All this is previous to Hippalus, and the whole taken together is a
${ }^{32}$ P. Luigi Maria de Gefu, a Caṛmelite, afterwards Bp. of Ufula, and Apoftolick Vicar of the coaft of Mulabar, coming round Cape Comorin in a native veffel, was carried over to the Maldives, and thence to the coaft of Africa. Paolin. p. 83. Annius Plocamus was carried in a contrary direction, from Arabia to Ceylon; and in fact, whenever a veffel, on either coaft, is by accident forced out of the limit of the land and fea breeze,
fhe will be caught by the monfoon, and carried over to the oppofite continent. To accidents of this fort we may impute a very early difcovery of the monfoons by Arabians or Ethiopians, long before Hippalus imparted it to the Greeks and Romans.
${ }^{\text {ss }}$ Harris or Dr. Campbell are my precuŕfors in this examination of Iambálus and Agatharchides. They gave credit to Iambalus. I here give the reafons for my diffent.
confrmation of an Arabian navigation previous to that from Egypt. That a novel fhould contain hiftorical facts and truths is natural, and will not be denied by thofe who are acquainted with Heliodorus. Many Ethiopick cuftoms ${ }^{34}$ are noticed in that work, which are true to this day. After all, the novel of Iambúlus is not fo furprizing in itfelf, as its exiftence in the page of Diodorus.

## AGATHARCHIDES.

ViI. Agatharchides ${ }^{33}$, the next object of our confideration, is an author of far different eftimation; he was prefident of the Alexandrian library, and is always mentioned with refpect by Strabo, Pliny, and Diodôrus ${ }^{36}$. His work on the Erythrêan or Red Sea, is preferved in an extract of Photius, and copied almoft in the fame terms, but not without intermixture ${ }^{37}$, by Diodôrus. Diodôrus indeed profeffes to derive his information from the royal commentaries, and original vifitors of the countries he defcribes; but that he copies Agatharchides is evident, by a comparion of this part of his work with the extract of Photius; or, perhaps, con-

34 The Nagareet drums, fo often noticed by Bruce, are difcoverable in this work.
${ }^{33}$ It is with much regret that I confefs my neglect of this author, from whom I might have corroborated many circumftances in the yoyage of Nearchus. A curfory perufal had induced the to view his errors in too ftrong a light; if juflice be done to him on the prefent review, it is no more than he merits.
${ }^{33}$ Diodôrus Ipeaks of Agatharchides and Artemidôrus, as the only authors who have written truth concerning Egypt and Ethiopia, Lib. iii. 18 I .
${ }^{37}$ In confirmation of this affertion, we may mention a paffage, lib. iii. p. 208. Diod. where an allufion is evidently made to the fcparation of the waters of the Red Sea, as recorded by Mofes; it is received in this fenfe by Grotius, Bochart, and Weffeling; and if this was in Agatharchides, it could hardly have been omitted by Photius, a chriftian bifhop : if it was not in Agatharchides, it is plain that Diodorus joined other authorities to his. This is given as a conjecture, but it is of weight.

## PRELIMINARY DISQUISITIONS.

fidering Agatharchides as librarian, he conceived that his work was founded on the commentaries or archives of the Alexandrian depofitory. Strabo ${ }^{38}$ likewife follows Agatharchides in almoft all that relates to Ethiopia, the countries fouth of Egypt, and the weftern coaft of Arabia ${ }^{33}$, or rather, as Weffeling has obferved, with his ufual accuracy, both copy Artemidorus ${ }^{40}$ of Ephefus ${ }^{4 \%}$, who is the copyift of Agatharcides.

It is neceffary to pay more attention to this author, as he is apparently the original fource from whence all the hiftorians drew, previous to the difcovery of the monfoon; his work forms an epoch in the fcience, and when Pliny comes to fpeak of the difcoveries on the coaft of Malabar in his own age, and adds, that the names he mentions are new, and not to be found in previous writers; we ought to confider him as fpeaking of all thofe, who had followed the authority of the Macedonians, or the fchool of Alexandria, of which, in this branch of fcience, Eratofthenes and Agatharchides were the leaders.

Eratofthenes was librarian of Alexandria under Ptolemy Euergetes I . and died at the age of eighty-one, 194, A. C. He was rather an aftronomer and mathematician than a geographer, and is honoured with the title of furveyor of the earth ${ }^{42}$, as the firft aftronomer who meafured a degree of a great circle ${ }^{43}$, and drew the firf parallel of latitude, the fublime attempt on which all the accuracy of the fcience depends.

[^13]It appears from Strabo and Pliny, that Eratofthenes fpeaks of Meroe, India, and the Thina, and of the latter as placed incorrectly in the more ancient maps; how this nation, which was the boundary of knowledge in the age of Ptolemy, (and which, if it dows not intimate China, is at leaft as diftant as the goliten Cherfonefe of Malacca, ) found its way into charts more early than Eratofthenes, will be confidered in its proper place; but his knowledge of Meroe or Abyffinia is derived from Dalion, Ariftocreon, and Bion, who had been fent by Philadelphus, or his fucceffors, into that country, or from Timofthenes ${ }^{44}$, who failed down the coalt of Africa as low as Cernè ${ }^{\text {s3 }}$. This information concerning India muft be deduced from the Macedonians, but his information is confined on the fubject of Oriental commerce; the fpherical figure of the earth feems to be the grand truth he was defirous to cftablifh, and his geographical inquiries were perhaps rather the bafis of a fyftem, than a delineation of the habitable world.

Agatharchides, according to Blair, muft, though younger, have been contemporary with Eratofthenes; he was a native of Cnidus in Caria, and flourighed 177, A. G. But Dodwell ${ }^{45}$ brings him down much tower, to 104, A. C. which can hardly be true, if Artemidorus ${ }^{47}$ copied his work, for the date of Artemidorus is attributed to 104, A. C. alfo, the fame year which Dodwell gives to Agatharchides.

[^14]See infra.
4s Bruce fays, in the reign of Ptolemy IX. xoo, A. C. but does not fay a word of the contents of his work, i. 467 .
${ }^{47 \%}$ See Dioch iii. 185 , Wellel, note.
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'Thefe dates are of importance, if we affume the work of Agatharchides as an epoch, which in fact it feems to be, and the principal authority for the fubfequent hiftorians, previous to the difcovery of Hippalus; his work it is by no means neceffary to vindicate in all its parts, but it contains many peculiar truths ${ }^{43}$ confirmed by modern experience, and the firft genuine characterifticks of Abyfa finia that oceur in hiftory.

Some of thefe circumftances, though not connected with the purpore before us, cannot be fuperfluous, as they contribute to eftablifh the credit of the work; thefe are, I. The gold mines worked by the Ptolemies on the coaft of the Red Sea ; the procefs; the fufferings of the miners; the tools ${ }^{49}$ of copper found in them, fuppofed to have been ufed by the native Egyptians, prior to the Perfian conqueft. II. In Meroe, or Abyfinia, the hunting of elepliants, and hamftringing them; the flefh cut out of the animal alive ${ }^{50}$. III. The fly, defcribed as the fcourge of the country in
${ }^{48}$ Great moderation is due in judging all. writers who fpeak of a country in the firft inftance. Things are not falle becaufe they are ftrange, and an example occurs in this author, which ought to fet rafh judgment on its guard. Agatharchides mentions the worm which is engendered in the legs, and is wound out by degrees. Plutarch ridicules the affertion, and fays, it never has bappened and never will. In our days every mariner in the Red Sea can vouch the truth of the fact; and if Plutarch had lived to be acquainted with our illuftrious Bruce, he would bave fhewn him that he carried with him the marks and effects of this attack to the grave. See Teftimonia, Agathar. De Rub. Mari. Hudfon, p. 1. See alfo DiodSrus, lib. iii. p. 199.

 ఎиптора́тята.
${ }^{99}$ A very extraordinary fact, and fimilar to what has happened in our own age. According to Col. Vallancy, infruments have been found in the mines in lreland which he fuppofes to be lhenician; and others have been found in the mines in Wales, which are certainly Roman.
$5^{\circ}$ Strabo alfo mentions the $\mathrm{K}_{\text {- }}$ wópays!, which perhaps intimates caters of rawo flefh, and the excifio foeminarum, in a paffage where he feems to be copying Agatharchides or Artemidorus. The original here does not Ipecify the flefh from living oxen, but elephants. Sce Strabo, lib. xvi. p. 771 ,
the fame manner as by Bruce. IV. Something like the enfete tree of Bruce. V. Locuits defcribed as food. VI. 'Troglodytes. VIF. The rhinoceros, the camelopard, apes ${ }^{5 x}$ ftrangely called fphinxes ${ }^{5 x}$, the crocotta ${ }^{5 t}$ or hyena; feveral other minute particulars might be enumerated, but not without a tincture of the fabulous.

His account of the coaft is our more immediate concern ; this commences at Arsínoè, or Suez, and goes down the weftern coaft of the Red Sea to Ptolemáis Thêrôn ${ }^{52}$, it mentions Myos Hormus, but takes no notice of Berenícè. The particulars of this navigation are very fcanty, but ftill one fact is fubftantiated, that the ordinary courfe of trade carried on, went no lower than Ptolemáis, and was confined more efpecially to the importation of elephants.

Ptolemáis is the Ras Ahehaz of d'Anville, the Ras Ageeg of Bruce, in latitude 18. Y0. $0^{33}$. and full three hundred and fifty miles fhort of the ftraits of Bab-el-Mandeb. A proof that whatever Ptolemy Philadelphus had difcovered of the coaft of Africa, it was now little vifited by the fieets from Egypt, but that there was fome fort of commerce is certain ; Strabo cites Eratofthenes. ${ }^{54}$ to prove that the paffage of the ftraits was open, and Artemidorus, to fhew the extenfion of this commerce to the Southern Horn; of this there will be

[^15]and without hair. Ad Plin. lib. vi. See the Krokotas on the Paleftrine marble, which I am not naturalift fufficient to appropriate.

52 So called fiom $\theta_{\text {rg }}$, becaufe the clephants were here hunted aud taken; they are fo ftill according to Bruce, and below this cape, de la Rochette places the commencement of a vaft foreft, feen by all vefiels which keep this coat.
${ }^{53}$ I8 $8^{\circ}$. $7^{\prime}$. de la Rochette.
${ }^{5} 4 \mathrm{Lib}$, xvi. p. 769.
frequent occafion to fpeak hereafter, neither ought it to be omitted, that perhaps Agatharchides knew the inclination of the African coaft beyond the ftraits, for he notices its curvature ${ }^{5 s}$ to the eaft, [which terminates at Gardefan,] and which is apparently the boundary of his knowledge in this quarter; but our immediate bufinefs is with the coaft between Myos Hormus and Ptolemáis, and here the firft place mentioned is the Sinus Impurus ${ }^{56}$, which admits of identification with the Foul Bay of our modern charts, from the circumftances mentioned by Strabo, who fays, it is full of fhoals and breakers, and expofed to violent winds, and that Berenícè lies in the interior of the bay ${ }^{\text {s? }}$.

Below this, Agatharchides, or his abbreviator, afford little information, for we are carried almoit at once to two mountains, called the Bulls and Ptolemáis Thêrôn, without any intervening circumfance but the danger of thoals, to which the elephant thips from Ptolemáis are expofed: of thefe fhoals there are many about Suakem in de la Rochette, though Bruce denies the exiftence of a fingle one on the whole weftern coalt of the Red Sea. The geographer, however, is more to be depended on than the traveller, as is proved by the misfortunes to which fome of our Englifh veffels have been expofed, which verify the affertions of Eratofthenes, Artemidorus, and Agatharchides.

At Ptolemáis the account clofes, as if there were no regular commerce below that point; but its exiftence has been evinced by what is here faid, and will be farther confirmed from the Adulitick:

[^16]prodigies beyond them.


marble in its proper place; but the total filence of Agatharchitles, in regard to Berenicè, unlefs it be an omiffion of his abbreviator, is ftill more unaccountable; it appears, indeed, as if the caravan road from Coptus to this place, was a much greater object of attention under the Roman government than under the Ptolemies. The accounts extant are all Roman; from Pliny, from the Itinerary, and from the Peutingerian tables; but the Greek authoritics may have perifhed, and Strabo mentions two different ftates of thefe roads; one from Coptus to Berenícè as it was firlt opened by Philadelphus, and another from Coptus to Myos Hormus, after it was furnifhed with wells and refervoirs, and protected by a guard. Are we then to think that this, after being opened, was neglected again, when Agatharchides wrote? or are we to fuppofe that Berenícè is comprehended in the mention of Myos Hormus ${ }^{\text {ss }}$ ? for Berenícè is no harbour ${ }^{s}$, but an open bay, and the fhips which lade there, lie at Myos Hormus till their cargo is ready. However this may be, the account of Agatharchides returns again from Ptolemáis to Myos Hormus, and then, after pafing the gulph of Arsínoè, or Suez, croffes over to Phenícôn ${ }^{6 \circ}$ in the Elanitick Gulph, and runs down the coaft of Arabia to Sabêa. In this courfe of great ' obfcurity, there is no occafion at prefent to purfue the tract throughout, as it will be refumed in the third book, when the account of the Periplûs is to be examined, and fuch light as is to

[^17][^18]be collected from other geographers will be adduced, in order to elucidate the narrative, which is the firt genuine account of Arabia that is extant. Neither is it unworthy of notice, that the Peripluss itfelf is conftructed upon the plan of Agatharchides; it goes down the weftern coaft of the gulph in the fame manner, then returns back to Myos Hormus, and croffes over to the eaftern fide, and purfues that line to its conclufion; the difference between the two confifts in the difference of knowledge in the refpective ages. Agatharchides defcribes the trade as it ftood in the age of Philométor. The Periplûs carries it to the extent it had obtained under the protection of the Roman emperors; but both fet out from the fame point for both voyages and it is only the extenfion of the line which conftitutes the diftinction.

But it is our immediate bufinefs to proceed to the country of the Sabêans, called Arabia the Happy, from its wealth, its commerce, and its produce, either native or imputed. This province anfwers generally to the modern Yemen, and the Sabêans of our author's age poffeffed the key to the Indian commerce, and ftood as the. intermediate agents between Egypt and the Eaft. This is a moft valuable fact, which we obtain from this work, and clouded as it may be with much that is dark and marvellous, the truth appears upon. the whole inconteftably. Certain it is that the wealth affigned to this nation is a proof of the exiftence of a commerce, which has enriched. all who have ftood in this fituation, and equally certain is it that the information of the author ceafes at the fucceeding ftep.

Sabêa, fays Agatharchides, abounds with every production tomake life happy in the extreme, its very air is fo perfumed with adours, that the natives are obliged to mitigate the fragrance by
fcents that have an oppofite tendency, as if nature could not fupport even pleafure in the extreme. Myrrh, frankincenfe, balfam, cinnamon, and cafia are here produced from trees of extraordinary magnitude. The king, as he is on the one hand entitled to fupreme honour, on the other is obliged to fubmit to confinement in his palace, but the people are robult ${ }^{61}$, warlike, and able mariners, they fail in very large veffels to the country where the odoriferous conmodities are produced, they plant colonies there, and import from thence the larimna ${ }^{62}$, an odour no where elfe to be found; in fact there is no nation upon earth fo wealthy as the Gerrhêi and Sabêi, as being in the centre of all the commerce which paffes ${ }^{63}$ between Afia and Europe. Thefe are the nations which have enriched the Syria ${ }^{64}$ of Ptolemy; thefe are the nations that furnifh the moft profitable agencies to the induftry of the Phenicians, and a variety of advantages which are incalculable. They poffers themfelves every profufion of luxury, in articles of plate and fculpture, in furniture of beds, tripods, and other houfehold embellifhments, far fuperior in degree to any thing that is feen in Europe. Their expence of

61 So Bruce, vol. i. p. 408. quotes Mraiah, xlv. a4. T.he merchandife of Etbiopia and of the Sabenas, men of Rature, as curious, for according with this paffage, in our author, as with the teltimony of their mercantile pre-eminence,
 this paffage, is Cufh , which means fome tribe of Arabia, and not the Ethiopians of Africa.
${ }^{62}$ Strabo makes Larimnus an odour, food?-


* ${ }^{63}$ The fragment of Agatharchides preferves a moft valuable record in Photius which is loit in Diodôrus and Strabo. Strabo ends with
the richss of Sabêa, and does not go th the White Sea, and the particulars of the fun mentioned by Diodorrus and Photius. In the former part Diodorus is more expanfive and intelligible than Photius.
${ }^{64}$ See Harric, i. 419 . Jofephas, lib. viii. c. 2. where mention is made by Harris of Ptolemy's building Philadelphia on the fite of Rahab of the Ammonites, which might have fome relation to Syria. But I cannot help fuppofing that Eveiav is here a falfe reading. It ought to be the kingdon of Plolemy, and not the Syria of Ptolemy.


## PRELIMINARY DISQUISITIONS.

Tiving rivals the magnificence of princes ${ }^{63}$. Their houfes are decorated with pillars gliftening with gold and filver. Their doors are crowned with vafes and befet with jewels; the interior of their houfes correfponds in the beauty of their outward appearance, and all the riches of other countries are here exhibited in a variety of profufion ${ }^{68}$. Such a nation, and fo abounding in fuperfluity, owes its independence to its diftance from Europe; for their luxurious manners would foon render them a prey to the European fovereigns, who have always troops on foot prepared for any conquef, and who, if they could find the means of invafion, would foon reduce the Sabêans to the condition of their agents and factors, whereas they are now obliged to deal with them as principals.

From this narrative, reported almoft in the words of the author, a variety of confiderations arife, all worthy of attention. It is, as far as I can difcover, the firft contemporary account of the commerce opened between Egypt and India, by the medium of Arabia ; it proves that in the reign of Ptolemy Philométor, in the year 177, A. C. and 146 years after the death of Alexander, the Greek fovereigns in Egypt had not yet traded directly to India, but imported the commodities of India from Saba the capital of Yemen; that the port of Berenicè was not ufed for this commerce, but that
${ }^{65}$ Strabo from Eratofthenes and Artemi-
dorus, confirms all this fplendour, and almoft
repeats the words of Agatharchides, lib. xvi.
p. 778 .
marris, or Dr. Campbell, after, talking
magnificently of the commerce of the Pto-
lemies with India, at laft confeffes, vol,i. p. 432 .
that the difcovery of Hippalus is the firf cer-
tain date of a vifit to that country. It is
manifelt from the whole of this account before us, that the Sabeans did go to India, and that the fubjects of Ptolemy did not. It is this monopoly that mate the riches of Arabia pro-verbial.- Icci beatis nunc Arabum invides gazis. Hor. Ode 2g. Lib. i. where my excellent friend and patron the arclibifhop of York, reads, beatus punc, which givcs a beaniful tura to the whole Ode.

Myos Hormus, or Arsínoè, was ftill the emporium. It proves that there was no trade down the coalt of Africa (an intercourfe afterwards of great importance) except for elcphants, and that no lower than Ptolemáis Therôn. It mews that the voyage down the Arabian coalt of the Red Sea was ftill very obfcure, and above all it demonftrates inconteftably by the wealth conftantly attendant on all who have monopolifed the Indian commerce, that the monopoly in the author's age was in Sabêa. The Sabêans of Yemen appear connetted with the Gerrhêans on the Gulph of Perfia; and both appear connected with the Phenicians by means of the Elanitick Gulph, and with the Greeks in Egypt, by Arsínoè and Myos Hormus.

I am not ignorant that the eftablifhment of a trade with India is attributed to Ptolemy Philadelphus ${ }^{67}$, that the immenfe revenue and wealth of Egypt is imputed to this caufe, and that a number of Indian captives are mentioned by Athenêus, as compofing one part of the fpectacle and proceffion, with which he entertained the citizens of Alexandria. But this laft evidence, which is deemed conclufive, admits of an eafy folution; for Indian was a word of almoft as extenfive fignification in that age, as the prefent; it comprehended the Cafres of Africa, as well as the handfome Afiatick blacks, and the commerce with Arabia was long called the Indian Trade, before the Greeks of Egypt found their way to India. But if réal Indians were a part of the proceffion, they were obtained in Sabêa. The Arabians dealt in flaves, and the Greeks

[^19][^20]
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might find Indian flaves in their market as well as any other. Huet, Robertfon, and Harris are all very defirous of finding a trade with India under the Ptolemies; but the two latter, as they approach the real age, when this commerce took place, upon the difcovery of Hippalus, fully acknowledge, that all proofs of a more early exiftence of it are wanting; no contemporary author afferts it: and the teftimony of Agatharchides, whether we place him in 177, or with Dodwell, in 104, A. C. affords perfect evidence to the contrary. The internal eviedence of the work itfelf carries all the appearance of genuine truth, and copied as it is by Strabo and Diodôrus it obtains additional authority ${ }^{63}$. They have both added particulars, but none which prove a direct communication with India in their own age. They both terminate their information at Sabêa, where he does, and both fupprefs one circumftance of his work which Photius has preferved, that fhips from India were met with in the ports of Sabêa. Whatever knowledge of India, or Indian trade, they have beyond this, is fuch only as they derived from the Macedonians, and is totally dititinct from the communication between Egypt and that country.

In regard to the influx of wealth into Egypt; it would be equally the fame, whether the Greeks imported Indian commodities from Arabia or from India direct. For as the Sabêans were poffeffed of the monopoly between India and Egypt, fo Egypt would enjoy the fame monopoly between Sabêa and Europe.

[^21]The confumers, indeed, muft bear the burden of this double monopoly, but the intermediate agents in both inftances would be gainers, and the profits, while the trade was a monopoly, would be, as they always have been, enormous. The fovereigns of Egypt were well apprized of this, and fo jealous were they of this prerogative of their capital, that no goods could pafs through Alexandria either to India or Europe, without the intervening agency of an Alexandrian facter.

In the defcription which Agatharchidcs gives of Sabêa there is: nothing inconfiftent with probability; but this is the boundary of his knowledge towards the Eaft, and the marvellous conamences at the fucceeding ftep, for he adds, that as foon as you are palt Sabêa, the fea appears white like a river; that the Fortunate Illands. fkirt the coaft, and that the flocks and herds are all white, and the females without horns ${ }^{69}$. If this has any foundation in truth, the illands are thofe at the mouth of the gulph, if we ought not rather to underftand the ports of Aden and Cana; and the mention of veffels arriving here from the Indus, Patala, Perfis, and Karmania is agreeable to the fyftem of the commerce in that age. A flight notice of the different appearance of the conftellations next fucceeds, and then an illuftrious truth, that in this climate there is no twilight in the morning. Other circumftances are joined to this, which miflead; as the rifing of the fun not like a difk but a column; and that no fhadow is caft till it is an hour above the horizon. A more extraordinary effect is added, that the evening

[^22]twilight lafts three hours after fun-fet. Thefe circumftances are introduced to excite the attention of the modern navigator; for notwithftanding they may be falfe, ftill there may be certain phênomena that give an origin to the fiction.

If it fhould now be inquired how the commerce with India could be in this fate fo late as the reign of Ptolemy Philometor, or why the difcoveries of Nearchus had not in all this time been profecuted? the anfwer is not difficult. The fleets from Egypt found the commodities of India in Arabia, and the merchants contented themfelves. with buying in that market, without entering upon new adventures to an unknown coaft. There is cvery reafon to fuppofe that Sabêa had been the centre of this commerce long prior to the difcoveries of Nearchus, and the age of Alexander ; and it is highly probable that the Arabians had even previous ${ }^{70}$. to that period ventured acrofs the ocean with the monfoon. That they reached India is certain, for Indian commodities found their way into Egypt, and there is no conveyance of them fo obvious as by means of Arabia and the Red Sea. The track of Arabian navigators is undoubtedly marked along: the coaft of Gadrofia, before Nearchus ventured to explore it, for the names he found there are many of them Arabick; and if conjecture in fuch a cafe be allowable, I fhould fuppofe that they kept along the coaft of Gadrofia to Guadel or Poffem, and then ftood out to fea for the coaft of Malabar. My reafon for fuppofing this, is, that Nearchus found a pilot at Poffem, which implies previous.

[^23][^24]navigation, and adds, that from that cape to the Gulph of Perfia the coaft was not fo obfcure as from the Indus to the cape.
But if Nearchus reported this, or if the commentaries in the Alexandrian library contained any correfpondent information, how could Agatharchides be ignorant of the navigation beyond Sabêa? He was not ignorant of Nearchus's expedition, for he mentions the Iahyophagi of Gadrolia, with many circumfances evidently derived from Nearchus, and others added, partly fabulous perhaps, and partly true, from other fources of intelligence, fuch as the hiftories, journals, or commentaries in the library.

He mentions exprefsly the manner of catching fifh, as defcribed by Nearchus, within nets extended along the fhoals upon the coaft ${ }^{n}$, and the habitations of the natives formed from the bones of the whale. He notices the ignorance and bratal manners of the natives, their drefs, habits, and modes of life; and one circumftance he records, which he could not have extracted from Nearchus, which is that beyond the ftraits which feparate Arabia from the oppofite coaft, (meaning, perhaps, the entrance to the Gulph of Perfia,) there are an infinite number of fcattered iflands very fimall and very low, and extended along the fea which waines Inclia and Gadrofia ${ }^{72}$, where the natives have no other means of fupporting life but by the turtles which are found there in great abundance

[^25]
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and of a prodigious fize. I have thought it neceflary not to omit this circumftance, becaufe it appears to me as the firft notice, however obfcure, of the Lackdives and Maldives ${ }^{73}$, called the iflands of Limýricè in the Periplûs, and diftinguifhed particularly as producing the fineft tortoife-fhell in the world. The mention of them by Agatharchides appears to be the earlieft intimation of their exiftence. In that fenfe the fact is curious, and confiftent with the purpofe of the work, which is at prefent to thew the progrefs of difcovery, as recorded by contemporary authors.

The extravagances or improbabilities which contaminate feveral parts of this account in Agatharchides, hiave been difregarded by defign ; where knowledge ends fable commences, and much lenity of judgment is due to all writers who fpeak of diftant countries for the firft time, or by report. This author does not diftinctly mark his ICthyóphagi. They are not merely thofe of Gadrofia, but others alfo apparently on the coaft of Arabia or Africa ${ }^{74}$. Regions, it is true, where fifh rather than bread has ever been the ftaff of life, and where it continues fo at the prefent hour. Let any. reader advert to the manner in which he fpeaks of the paffage out of the Red Sea into the ocean, and he cannot fail to obferve, that by giving the African coaft an eafterly direction, without notice of its falling down to the fouth, the commerce of that day had not yet paffed cape Guardafui.
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Single fips ${ }^{25}$, or a few in company, might have doubled timat premontory and food to the fouth, and others of the bime defription might even have reached India. Some obreure accounta from ibcio were poffibly conveyed to Alexandria, and from that fource night have been recorded by Agatharchides, but thefe are all very differem. from his defcription of Sabêa, and comparatively vague or chicare. Of the trade to Sabĉa he fpeaks diftinctly, as a regular chablithod commerce; fo far his knowledge was genuinc, beyond that it is precarious. This is an opinion collected from a full confideration of the work itfelf, and to which no one, perhaps, after a fimilar attention would refufe to fubfcribe.

It has been thought of importance to detail theic particulars from Agatharchides, becaufe he is the genuine fource from which Diodôrus, Strabo, Pliny ${ }^{76}$, Pomponius Mela, and Ptolemy have derived their information. Diodorrus lived in the beginning of the reign of Auguftus. He has copied the whole of Agatharchides, fo far as relates to the ICthyóphagi, Troglodytes, Ethiopians, and Arabians, in his third book. Strabo who lived to the end of Augultus's


#### Abstract

${ }^{75}$ It is everywhere apparent, that Ptolemy Philadelphus was more ardent in difcovery than-his fucceffors. The Greeks who had been in Abyflinia, as recorded by Pliny, vi. 35 . were all poflibly fent by him, as Dálion, Arif. tócreon, Bion, Báfilis, and. Simónides; and Timothenes his admiral had certainly gone down the coaft of Africa; for to him Pliny attributes the firft mention of Cernè or Madagrafcar. But what is here afferted is meant only to fay, that no tradc on that coait exifted in confeguence of this difcovery, as late as


Agatharchides; and even in the age of the Petiplûs the trade reaches no farther than Rhaptum and Menthias, Zangutbar, in fouth lat $6^{\circ} \circ^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$. whercas the north point of Madagafcar is in iat. $12^{\circ} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime}$. Ptolemy alfo only gocs to Prafum, lat. $15^{\circ} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$.
7. Piny rather accords with Agatharchides than copies him; he feems to have gone to the Cource;-thofe Greeks I mean who entered Ithiopia in the age of Philadelphus. See lib. vi. 35 .
reign ${ }^{57}$, has followed Agatharchidcs in regard to the fame countries, in his fixteenth book, and has added little to our knotwledge of Arabia, but the expedition of Elius Gallus into that country. He has little more exprefs concerning the navigation down the coaft of Africa, and eaftward he ftops at Sabèa with his author. On the coaft of Gadrofia he has followed Nearchus more faithfully than Agatharchides, but has no mention of the Lackdive Illands; and the little he fays of Taprobana, is a proof that it was known by report, but not yet vifited. Pliny and Pomponius Mela in many detached parts tread the fame ground, and cony the fame author.

But if Agatharchides lived under Prolemy Philomêtor, it is natural to afk, had nothing been done during 170 years, towards further difcovery by the fleets that failed annually from Egypt? The anfwer is, that whatever was done is not recorded; the courfe of difcovery was doubtleis in progreffion; but there is a great differonce between effecting the difcovery, and bringing it into general knowledge, or making it a part of hiftory. It is poffible, alfo, that the fovereigns of Egypt were more jealous of the trade than am-

homines, who were agents, traders, and monopolifts, fuch as Jugurtha took in Zama, or the 100,000 that Mitbridates flanghtcred in Afia Minor, or the merchants killed at Genabum [Orleans], Cxefar Bcll. Gall, and yous. fie the figirit of adventure, and the extent of comneree at a fingle glance. (See alfo the Latters of Ciccro, while proconful of Cilicia.) Dr. Camplell, in lis Political Survey, has proved their conduct on this matter in regard to Britain, and the prefent work will give a mof extraordinary fpecimen of it in Egypt.
bitious of the honour; and the later princes were more likely to cramp commerce by extortion, than to favour it by protection. The Phenicians had manifeftly a fhare in the profits from its commencement, and it was not unlikely that the Romans might have felt this as an additional incentive for the fubjugation of Egypt, if they had been fully informed of the means it afforded for alding to the wealth and aggrandifement of the republic.

It is not meant, therefore, to deny the extenfion of the voyages progreffively, either to the eaft or the fouth; for as long as there was any vigour in the government of Alexandria, the trade on the Red Sea was a favoured object. We learn from Strabo and Diodôrus, a circumftance not mentioned in Agatharchides, and probably later than his age, that the Nabathêans at the head of the gulph had molefted the fleet from Egypt by their piracies, and had been fuppreffed by a naval force fitted out for that purpofe. This, at the fame time it proves the attention of the Egyptian government to this trade, proves likewife that the fleets ftill croffed the gulph from Myos Hormus or Berenícè, and did not ftrike down at once to Mûfa or Ócelis, as they did in the age of the Periplûs.

This mark of attention alfo adds ligghly to the probability, that fome progrefs had been made to the fouth, down the coaft of Africa; for there, from the firft mention of it, there feems always to have been a mart for Indian commodities; and the port of Mofyllon, as appears afterwards by the Periplûs, was a rival to Sabêa or Hadramant. Mofyllon was under the power of the Atabian king of Maphartis, in the fame manner as the Portuguefe found that nation mafters of the coaft of Africa, fifteen centuries later, and the convenience of thefe poffeffions to the Arabs is felf-evident;

## PRELIMINARY DISQUISITIONS.

for as veffels coming with the monfoon, for the Gulph of Perfia make Mafkat, fo thofe bound for Hadramant or Aden run down their longitude to the coaft of Africa; here, therefore, from the earlieft period that the monfoons were known to the Arabians, perhaps much prior to Alexauder, there would be marts for Indian commodities; and here it is highly probable the fleets from Egypt found them, when the Sabêans were too high in their demands.

That this commerce had taken place foon after the time of Agatharchides may be collected from Strabo, who cites Artemidôrus to prove that there was a trade on the coaft of Africa as low as the. Southern Horn. He mentions, indeed, that at the flraits of the Red Sea the cargo was transferred from thips to boats or rafts, which, though it manifens that the navigation was only at its commencement, ftill proves its exiftence. He does not name Mofyllon, but the Peripiûs, by noticing that feveral articles were called Mofyllitick, demonftrates, that a commerce had been carried on at that port previous to its own age, and that Indian commodities were fought on that coaft before they were brought immediately from India. If there were fuch a mart, this muft be a neceflary event, for in the firft inftance the trade of Sabêa was-a monopoly, ' and if the fovereigns of Aden or Maphartis had opened the commerce, either in their own country or Africa, it would draw a refort thither as foon as the port could be known, or the voyage te it be effected. The date of this tranfaction it is impoffible tò afcertain, but a vakiety of circumftances concur to fhew that it had maken place previous to the difcovery of the monfoon by Hippalus.

## HIPPALUS.

VIII. The difcoucry of Hippalus opens a fcenc entircly new' to our contemplation; and if it has appeared that hitherto there are only two fources of information, the Macedonians and Agatharchides; if it has been fhewn that all the authors between Agatharchides and the difcovery, fpeak the fame language; it will now be ftill more evident, that a new era commences at this point, and that the Periplûs, Pliny, and Ptolemy are as uniform in one fyftem as their predeceffors were in another, previous to the difcovery.

Dodwell has obferved, with his ufual acutenefs, that it is no proof that the Periplûs is contemporary with the age of Pliny, becaufe he mentions the fame fovereigns; in the different countries of which it treats; for he adds, Ptolemy notices the very fame, Ceprobotas in Limýricè and Pandion in Malabar. He fuppofes, therefore, that the Periplûs copied Pliny or Pliny's authorities, and that the fame princes might be reigning from the time of Vefpafian to the reign of Adrian. But would not this correfpondence of the three be equally confiftent, if we fuppore them all to have but one fource of information? Dodwell would fubfcribe to this in regard to Pliny and Ptolemy, whofe age is known, but he refufes this folution to that of the Periplus, the date of which he choofes to bring down as low as Verus. Of this more in its proper place.

The truth is, that there are no data for fixing the difcovery of Hippalus with precifion. It is certainly fubfequent to Strabo whofe
death is placed ${ }^{75}$, anno 25. P. C. for Strabo who was in Egypt with Elius Gallus muit have heard of it, and to all appearance it muft have been later than the accident, which happened to the freedman of Annius Plocamus, who, while he was collecting the tribute on the coalt of Arabia, was caught by the monfoon and carried over to the ifland of Ceylon. This happened in the reign of Claudius, under whom Plocamus was farmer of the revenue in the Red Sea. The reign of Claudius commences in the year 41 of our êra, and. ends in 54. Let us affume the middle of his reign, or the year 47, for this tranfaction, and as Pliny dedicates his work to Titus the fon of Vefpafian, if we take the middle of Vefpafian's reign it coincides with the year $73^{79}$. This reduces the fpace for inquiry within the limits of trenty-fix years. From thefe we may detract the firt years of Vefpafian, which were too turbulent for attention to commerce, with the two years of Galba, Otho, and Vitellius; Nero reigned fourteen, and in the early part of his reigñ, or the, fix laft of Claudius, the date might be fixed with the greateft probability, becaufe, if we fuppofe the return of the freedmą of Plocamus, the embafly that accompanied him, or the knowledge he acquired to be a caufe, or in any degree connected with the difcovery, this fpace confined to about ten years is the moft confiffent of all others; to allot to this purpofe ${ }^{80}$ : Another fact connected with this is, the profufion of Nero in cinnamon and aromaticks,
${ }^{3}$ Blair's Chronology fays twenty-five years, A.C. which is impoffible, for Augutus fubdued Egypt, anno $3^{\circ}$, A. C. and Strabo muit have been in Egypt with Gallus in twentyfeven, or tweaty-fix, A, C. He could not write
his work between that and twenty-five.
${ }^{75}$ Salmafius fays, 77.830 anno urbis cons ditæ, p. 1186.
so Harris fixes Hippalus's difcoyery in the reign of Claudius, vol, i. 43 t.

## 46 PRELIMINARY DISQUISITIONS,

at the funcral of Poppêa ${ }^{\text {r }}$. An extravagance, wanton as it is, which belpeaks fomething like a dircat importation of the material. And we are likewife informed by Pliny, that he fent two centurions from Egypt up into Ethiopia to obtain a knowledge of the interior; an inquiry naturally attached to the difoveries on the coaft.

The ufual date attributed to the difcovery of Hippalus is the reign of Claudius. Dodwell and Harris are both of this opinion, and the latter, or rather Dr. Campbell his editor, has treated this fubject fo ably, that if it were not neceflary for the illuftration of the work before us; ; would have been fufficient to refer to his inquiries, rather than to tread the ground again which he has occupied. Let us affume then the feventh year of Claudius ${ }^{82}$, anfwering to the forty-feventh of the Chrifian êra, for the difcovery of Hippalus, and the next object of inquiry will naturally be the date of the work which we are to examine.

## AGE OF THE AUTHOR OF THE PERIPLUSS.

IX. Tine learned Dodwell and Salmafius affix two very different dates to the Periplus, and between two fuch able difputants it is eafier to chufe than decide. My own obfervations lead me to prefer the opinion of Salmafius, but not fo peremptorily as to

[^27]si Dodwell fays, in primis annis Claudii, and fuppofes that Pliny takes his account of Hippalus from a work which Claudias himfelf wrote; certain it is, that the memory of Claudius was revered by the Alexandrians, and not improbably by reafon of this difcovery and the prolecution of it.
fuppofe the queftion cleared of all its difficulties, and there is a hint dropt by Dodwell, that I fhould wifl to adopt, if I were not convinced that the author of the Periplûs really vifited feveral of the countries he, defcribes.

Dodwell fuppofes that the work was compiled by fome Alexandrian ${ }^{s_{3}}$ from the journal of Hippalus; and fo far it is juft to allow, that the parallel information in Pliny and the Periplûs does not appear fo properly to be copied by either from the other, as from fome authority common to both. But that the author, whatever he copied, was a navigator or a merchant himfelf, cannot be denied, when we find him fpeaking in the firt perfon upon fome occafions, and when we read his account of the tides in the guilph of Cambay, which is too graphical to come from any pen but that of an eye-witnefs.

This author and Pliny agree in the defcription of Hadramant ${ }^{54}$ and Sabbatha, in the names of the kings and of the ports on the coaft of Malabar, as Muziris and Cottonara, and of the Sinthus; in the departure of the fleets from Ócelis and Cana, and a variety of other circumftances; but their moft remarkable correfpondence is in their hiftory of the Spikenard and Coftus "s ; both mention the


Tus collectum Sabota camelis convehitur portâ ad id unâ patente, digredi vià capital. Plin. Salmai, 492.
${ }^{5}$ Pliny, lib. xii. c. 12 . Ed. Hard, Dé folio Nardi plura dici par eft ut pincipale in Unguentis, ...alterum ejus genus apud Gangem' nafcens; damnatur in totum, Ozeenitidis nomine, virus redolens. - The firft is the Gangitica of the Periplas, written alfo Gapanica. The

Ganges and Ozênex as the marts for the former, and the Pattaletne for the latter: The intelligence is undoubtedly the fame in both, and yet there is no abfolute proof that either copicd from the other. But thofe who are acquainted with Pliny's method of abbreviation would much rather conclude, if one muft be a copyift, that his title to this office is the cleareft. Wherever we can trace. him to the authorities he follows, we find that narratives are contracted into a fingle fentence, and defcriptions into an cpithet. This appears to me fully afcertained in the prefent inftance, but conclufions of this fort are not haftily to be adopted.

Pliny perifhed in the eruption of Vefuvius the fame ycar that Vefpafian died, which is the feventy-ninth of our êra; and if we place the difcovery of Hippalus in forty-feven, a fpace of thirty years, is fufficient for the circumftances of the voyage, and the trade to be known in Egypt ; from whence to Rome the propagation of intelligence is more natural than the reverfe. But if we fhould be difpofed, with Dodwell, to carry the date of the Periplus down to the reign of Marcus and Lucius Verus ${ }^{\text {s/ }}$, that commenced in 16 r ;

Jatter is from the Ozenè of the Periplas; which Harduin is fo far from undetlfanding, that he writes Ozanitidis ab "O\}wano, quod odore foedo nares feriat. The Coflus Pliny mentions as obtained at Patala. Primo ftatim introitu amnis Indi in Pataie Infula, where the Periplûs allo finds it. Sce Pcrip. p: 28, 36 . compared with p. 32. If thefe paffages of the Periplas had ftood contiguous, as they do in Pliny, the proof would have been complete; feattered as they are, it is nearly fo.
${ }^{86}$ The paffage in the Periplus runs thus:
 rùn duroxourtofur* P. 13, that is, Charibael sing of Saphar, is upon friendly terms with
the Roman emperors, and receives prefenta and cmbaffies from them. The word tmperors, in the plural, induces Dodwell to carry dowa the date till he meets with two joint empcrons reigning together. That a plural soes not require this we may learn from Dionyfins Pe*
 whence Buthius draws a funilar conclufion, that Dionyfius lived under the Antonines; but Pliny writes, Dion fium; quem in orientem pramifit Divus Augultus, lib. vi. 27. a clear proof that Dionyfins lived under Auguftus, This argument is from Voffius Praf. ad Dionyr, Periegt.
and at the diftance of almoft a century, its correfpondence with Pliny is by no means equally confiftent.

The ftrength of Dodwell's argument lies in the report of the Periplû́s, concerning the deftraction of Arabia Felix, or Aden ${ }^{87}$, by the Romans; and the mention of the coaft of Africa being fubject to the fovereign of Maphartis, king of the firft ${ }^{\text {s8 }}$ Arabia. The title of forff or fecond annexed to a province, is a divifion which certainly feems of later date under the emperors, than any period that would fuit the fyftem of Salmafius; but there is reafon to fufpect the text, or the rendering of it ; and no authority which appears fufficient to prove that the territory of Maphartis ever was a Roman province in any age; or even if it might be fo called, as being tributary, no reafon can be given why it fhould be diftinguifthed as the $\operatorname{firft}$.

In regard to the deftruction of Aden by Cefar, the author of the Periplûs fays ", it happened not long before his time. But what Cefar this fhould be is a great difficulty. Dodwell, who fuppofes that it muft be by fome Cefar who deftroyed it in perfon, can find no emperor to whom it can be attributed prior to Trajan. But Trajan never was on the fouthern coaft of Arabia; he entered the country from the Gulph of Perfia, but never penetrated to the fouthern coaft by land, and never approached it from the Gulph of Arabia. It is much more juft, therefore, to conclude that Aden ${ }^{90}$ was deftroyed by the command of Cefar, than by,

[^28] $d^{\prime}$ Anville, from its fituation, which is cer-

Cefar in perfon; and if fo, any Cefar whofe age will coincide with other circumftances may be affumed. Many probabilities confpire to make us conclude that this was Claudius.

The Romans, from the time they firft entered Arabia under Elius Gallus, had always maintained a footing on the coaft of the Red Sea. They had a garrifon at Leuké Komé in Nabathêa ${ }^{\text {oo }}$, where they collected the cuftoms, and it is apparent that they extended their power down the gulph, and to the ports of the ocean, in the reign of Claudius, as the freedman of Annius Plocamus was in the act of collecting the tribute there, when he was carried out to fea. If we add to this the difcovery of Hippalus in the fame reign, we find a better reafon for the deftruction of Aden at this time, than at any other. Aden had been one of the great, marts for the Indian commerce, and if Claudius, or the prefect of Egypt, was now difpofed to appropriate this trade to the Romans, this was a fufficient caufe for ruining Aden, in order to fupprefs rivals or interlopers. The jealoufy or oppofition of Aden to the new difcovery would naturally afford ground for quarrel, and if not, the Romans knew how to provoke one whenever it fuited their intereft.

Thefe confiderations are offered as a probable anfwer to the weightieft of Dodwell's arguments; his long and tedious difquifition concerning Palefimundus, will defeat itfelf. It ftands thus: having determined that the age of the Periplûs muft be that of Marcus and Lucius Verus, he is obliged to fuppofe, that the author could not have feen the work of Ptolemy, who lived in the reign of Adrian. Now the reafons for eftablifing the priority of the

Periplus are thefe; firit in going down the coaft of Africa, the extent of difcovery is Rhaptum, in latitude $10^{\circ} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ fouth; but in Ptolemy a farther progrefs is made to Prafum, in latitude $15^{\circ} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ fouth. This naturally appears a proof that Ptolemy is the later writer. But a ftronger follows; the Periplûs ftyles Ceylon, Palefimundu, and adds, "it is the fame ifland as the ancients called "Taprobana ${ }^{\text {"0.". " But in the time of Ptolemy it had acquired a third }}$ name, Sálicè, and he accordingly writes, "Sálicè, which was "formerly named Palefimundus." It follows then, that the author who writes Palefimundus muft be prior to the author who writes Sálicè. Dodwell, in order to obviate this felf-evident truth, in the true fpirit of fyftem, is neceffitated to argue, that the author of the Periplûs, though an Alexandrian, had never feen the work of Ptolemy, who was of Alexandria alfo; but that he copies Pliny, who was a Roman; and then to fupport this ftrange hypothefis, he is compelled to maintain, that the Palefimundus of Pliny is not Ceylon, or the Taprobana of the ancients, but the Hippocura of Ptolemy on the coaft of Malabar. How thefe affertions could be deemed authentic by any one, when Dodwell wrote, is incomprehenfible, unlefs we calculate the dignity which attaches to erudition. But we now know that Sálicè is derived from Sala-bha ${ }^{92}$, the Shanfkreet name of Ceylon, and Palefimundus, from Parafhri-mandala, the country of Parafhri ${ }^{93}$, or the Indian Bacchus. Both are native names, and voyagers at different times acquired both from the

[^29]
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 PRELIMINARY DISQUISITIONS.natives. When the ifland of Ceylon comes under confideration in the courfe of the narrative, more will be faid on this fubject, at prefent this is ample proof, that the merchants in the age of the author called Ceylon Palefimundus, and that in Ptolemy's age it was ftyled Sálicè ; if Ptolemy then allows the former to be firft in ufe, the Periplus muft of neceflity be prior to his publication.

Dodwell fays ${ }^{\circ 4}$, that none of Ptolemy's aftronomical obfervations are earlier than the ninth year of Adrian, anfwering to $r_{2} 3^{\circ}, \mathrm{A} . \mathrm{D}$. If then the firft year of Marcus and Lucius Verus is r6r, A. D. We add nearly forty years to the antiquity of the Periplâs at one ftep, it could not be later than 123, and how much earlier muft be the next object of our inquiry. On this head probability and conjecture mult fupply the place of proof. The author fpeaks of the difcovery of Hippalus, without fpecifying its date, or its diftance from his own time. Some confiderable interval is manifeft from his expreffion, when he fays, "from the time of " Hippalus to this day fome fail ftraight from Kanè, \&c."s" but what fpace to allot to this interval is by no means evident. From the feventh of Claudius, the affumed epoch of the difcovery, to the ninth of Adrian ${ }^{96}$, is feventy-eight years, a fpace in which we may fix the publication of the Periplus, $f 0^{\circ}$ as beft to fuit with other circumftances, and there is one reafon to fix it confiderably previous to Ptolemy ${ }^{87}$, which is this; Ptolemy profeffes to derive his

[^30][^31]information from the merchants of Egypt, and the Periplûs feems to be the very work he would have confulted; if he had known it, and yet one circumftance is fufficient to prove, that it never came under his contemplation. His error of extending the coalt of Malabar weft and eaft, inftead of north and fouth, is notorious; this he could not have done if he had confulted the Periplûs, for there it is laid down in its proper direction. This induces a belief, that it was not publifhed in, or near the age of Ptolemy, but fo much prior as to be neglected, or from its compafs and contents not to have obtained much notice at the time of its publication. It is not eafy, to account for Ptolemy's difregard of it on any other ground, unlefs he knowingly flighted it, and preferred the accounts of later voyagers.

But in order to fee the fate of things fuitable to the internal evidence of the Periplûs, we muft take a view of the Roman government in Egypt. Egypt became a Roman province in the year thirty before our era, and from the moment it was fubdued, Auguftus planned the extenfion of the Rioman power into Arabia and Ethiopia, fuppofing that Arabia produced fpices, and Ethiopia; gold, becaule thefe were the articles brought out of thofe countries into Egypt. The avidity with which this plan was adopted may be conceived by obferving that, within ten years after the reduction of Egypt, Gallus had penetrated into the heart of Arabia, and Petronius had advanced eight hundred and feventy miles above. Syênè into Ethiopia, and reduced Candácè the queen of that country to the condition of a tributary.

The expedition of Petronius is fixed to a certainty in 21, A. C. becaufe the embaffadors of Candácè found. Auguftus at
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Samos, where he was that year; and that of Gallus ${ }^{\circ s}$ was contemporary, becaufe his abfence with a part of the troops of the province was the inducement for Candácè to infult the government. And it muft have been but a very few years after this, that Strabo went up to Syénè with Elius Gallus ${ }^{100}$, who was then become prefect. Upon this occafion he obferves, that he was informed an hundred and twenty fhips now failed from Myos Hormus annually for India, whereas, under the Ptolemies, a very few only had dared to undertake that voyage ${ }^{100}$.

The embaffies from Porus and Pandion to Auguftus, mentioned with fo much oftentation by the hiftorians, afford confiderable proof of the progrefs of Roman difcovery in the eaft; and the veffels which conveyed thefe embaffadors from the coaft of Malabar muft have landed them either in Arabia, or in the Gulph of Perfia, or the Red Sea; the conveyance alfo of the freedman of Plocamus back again from Ceylon to Egypt, proves that the voyage was performed previous to the difcovery of Hippalus. Agreeably, therefore, to the affertion in the Periplûs we ought to fuppofethat none of thefe conveyances were performed by means of the monfoon, unlefs we fhould allow the veffels to be Indian or Arabian, for both thefe nations appear vifibly to have known the nature of thefe winds long before the Romans were acquainted with them: From thefe circumftances we may collect the extreme defire of Auguftus to extend his knowledge and his power towards the eaft, and though, the inert reign of Tiberius, or the wild tyranny of Caligula, furnifh no documents of a further progrefs,

[^32][^33]we may conclude, that the prefects of Egypt were ftill intent on promoting a difcovery once commenced, and with which the emoluments of their own government were fo immediately connected. We mult fuppofe, that the Roman fleet was fuperior in the Red Sea and on the fouthern coaft of Arabia, before any of the powers on that coaft could become tributary, and tributary they indubitably were before the reign of Claudius, or Plocamus could not have been farmer of the revenue.

When the freedman of Plocamus returned from Ceylon, if he came in a Roman veffel he muft have coafted his whole voyage ; but as the king of Ceylon fent four embaffadors with him to Claudius, and a rajah ${ }^{\text {te2 }}$ to take charge of the whole, we mutt conclude that they came in an Indian veffel to Arabia, and that the freedman learned the nature of the monfoon in the courfe of his navigation; this is fo near in point of time, that we cannot be miftaken in fuppofing it, connected with the attempt of Hippalus, and in confequence of it, the revolution in the whole courfe of Oriental commerce.

The advantage which Claudius made of this difcovery, and the profecution of it fo beneficial to Egypt, rendered his name dear to the Alexandrians; his writings were rehearfed in their mufeum; and the account he gave of this commerce is juftly believed by Dodwell to be the fource of Pliny's information ${ }^{103}$.

It is this circumftance which above all others induces me to fix the deftruction of Aden under Claudius, or at lateft under Nero, whofe-

[^34]mind was equally fixed on Ethiopia, Arabia, and India, as the fountains of all the treafures of the eaft. The more important every ftep grew in purfuing this commerce to the fource, the greater temptation there was to fupprefs every power which could come in competition. One thing is evident, Aden was not deftroyed by any Cefar in perfon; for we cannot find in all hiftory a Cefar that ever vifited the fouthern coaft of Arabia. If it was by the command of Cefar, it fuits no one fo well as Claudius or Nero, or if they are too early, there is no other but the reign of Adrian to which it can be attributed. Adrian was in Egypt himfelf; his fyftem was all directed to regulation and improvement of the provinces; this might be a part of his plan. But there is nothing in the Periplûs itfelf to make us adopt this period and much to contradict it.

It has been neceffary to inveftigate this fact with accuracy, becaufe the date of the work depends upon it; for at whatever point we fix the deftruction of Aden, very near to that we muft fix the . Periplû́s; as the author intimates that it was not long before the period in which, he writes. It is not fatisfactory to leave this queftion refting upon probabilities only. But where hiftory is filent, probability is our only guide, and correfpondent circumftances are the beft foundation of probability.

From thefe premifes the reign of Nero appears moft accordant to the internal evidence of the work itfelf, or if the reign of Adrian fhould be preferred, it muft be the year he was in Egypt, which is the tenth of his reign, and anfwers to the year 126, A.D. The objection to this is its coincidence with the age of Ptolemy, which for the reafons already fpecified can hardly be
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reconciled to confiftence. I affume, therefore, the reign of Claudius for the difcovery of Hippalus, and the tenth year of Nero for the date of the Periplûs, leaving the queftion ftill open for the inveftigation of thofe who have better opportunities for deciding upon its precifion.

## INTERCOURSE WITH INDIA ANTECEDENT TO HISTORY.

X. In entering upon this fubject two confiderations prefent themfelves to our view, which muft be kept perfectly feparate and diftinct : the firft is, that the intercourfe itfelf is hiftorical; the fecond, that the means of intercourfe can only be collected from circumftances: the former adnuits of proof; the latter is at beft hypothetical. I can prove that fpices were brought into Egypt, (which implies their introduction into all the countries on the Mediterranean, and I argue from analogy, that Thebes and Memphis in their refpective ages were the centre of this intercourfe, as Alexandria was afterwards, and as Cairo is, in fome degree, even. at the prefent hour.

That fome Oriental fpices came into Egypt has been frequently afferted, from the nature of the aromatics which were employed in embalming the mummies ${ }^{184}$; and in the thirtieth chapter of Exodus we find an enumeration of cinnamon, caffia, myrrh, frankincenfe, ftactè, onycha, and galbanum, which are all the produce either of India or Arabia. Mofes fpeaks of thefe as precious, and appropriate to religious ufes; but at the fame time in fuch

[^35]quantities ${ }^{\text {cos }}$, as to fhew they were neither very rare, or very difficult to be obtained. Now it happens that cinnamon and caffia are two fpecies of the fame fpice ${ }^{106}$, and that fice is not to be found nisarer Egypt or Paleftine, than Ceylon ${ }^{106}$, or the coaft of Malabar. If then they were found in Egypt, they muft have been imported; there mult have been intermediate carriers, and a communication of fome kind or other, even in that age, mult have been open between India and Egypt. That the Egyptians themfelves might be ignorant of this, is poffible ; for that the Greeks and Romans, as late as the time of Auguftus ${ }^{107}$, thought cinnamon the produce of Arabia, is manifeit. from their writings. But it has been proved from Agatharchides, that the merchants of Sabêa traded to India, and that at the time when Egypt poffeffed the monopoly of this trade in regard to Europe ${ }^{\text {108 }}$, the Sabèans enjoyed a fimilar advantage in regard to Egypt. Of thefe circumftances Europe was ignorant, or only imperfectly informed; and if furch was the cafe in fo late a period as 200 years before the Chriftian êra, the fame circumftances may be fuppofed in any given age where it may be neceffary to place them.

There are but two poffible means of conveying the commoditics of India to the weft, one by land through Perfia or the provinces on the north, the other by fea; and if by fea, Arabia muft in all

[^36][^37]
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ages have been the medium through which this commerce paffed, whether the Arabians went to Malabar itfelf, or obtained thefe articles in Carmania, or at the mouths of the Indus.

In order to fet this in its proper light, it is neceflary to fuppofe, that the fpices in the moft fouthern provinces of India were known in the moft northern, and if from the north, they might pafs by land; from the fouth, they would certainly pafs by fea, if the fea were navigated. But in no age were the Perfians ${ }^{\text {09 }}$, Indians, or Egyptians, navigators; and if we exclude thefe, we have no other choice but to fix upon the Arabians, as the only nation which could furnifh mariners, carriers, or merchants in the Indian. ocean.

But let us trace the communication by land on the north : it is only in this one inftance that I fhall touch upon it; and that only becaufe it relates to an account prior to Mofes. Semiramis ${ }^{100}$ is faid to have erected a column, on which the immenfity of her conquefts was defcribed, as extending from Ninus or Ninivè, to the Itámenes, (Jómanes or Jumna,) eaftward; and fouthward, to the country which produced myrrh and frankincenfe ; that is, eaftward to the interior of India, and fouthward to Arabia. Now, fabulous as this pillar may be, and fabulous as the whole hiftory of Semiramis may be, there is.ftill a degree of confiftency in the fable; for the tradition is general, that the Alfyrians of Ninive did make

[^38]and Chinefe. The Chinefe probably never paffed the ftraits of Malacca, the Malays feem in all ages to have traded with India, and probably with the coalt of Africa.
${ }^{210}$ Bochart, tom, i. p. 102 . from Diodôrne.
an irruption into India; and the return of Semiramis ${ }^{14}$ through Gadrofia, by the route which Alexander afterwards purfued, is noticed by all the hiftorians of the Macedonian. If, therefore, there is any truth concealed under this hiftory of Semiramis, the field is open for conceiving a conftant intercourfc eftablifhed between India and the Affyrian empire, and a ready communication between that empire and the countries bordering on the Mediterranean. This intercourfe would account for the introduction of the gums, drugs, and fpices of India into Egypt, as early as the 2 rft . century before the Chriftian era ${ }^{122}$, and 476 years antecedent to the age of Mofes.

But this is not the leading character in the accounts left us by the Greek hiftorians ${ }^{13}$; they all tend to Phenicia and Arabia. The Arabians have a fea coaft round three fides of their vaft penirfula; they had ne prejudices againtt navigation either from habit or religion. There is no hiftory which treats of them, which does not notice them as pirates or merchants by fea, as robbers or traders by land.. We fearcely tauch upon them accidentally in any
*1x Whenvtwo fables of two different coun tries agree, there is always reafon to fuppofe that they are founded on truth : the Mababháratis perhaps as fabulons as the hiftory of Semiramis; bat this work (in Col. Dow's account of it,) fpecifies, upon a variety of occafions, the great attention of the Indian fovereigns to pay their tribute to their weftern conquerors. I cannot trace this to its caufes or confequences, but it always feems to juflify the idea, that there had been fome conqueit of India, by the pations which inhabited thofe
provinces which afterwards compoied the Perfian empirc. It is this conqueft in which the Grecian accounts of Semiramis and the Mahabhárat agrce.

112 Semiramis, A. C. 200\%. Motes in Midian 1531. Blair.
${ }^{113}$ Herôdotus, lib. fii, p. 250. reckons up frankincenfe, myrrh, caffià, cinnamon, ladanum, (a gum,) and forax as the produce of Arabia : thefe commodities were brought into Greece by the Phenicians. Sice alfo p. 252.
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zuthor, without finding that they were the carriers of the Indian. ocean.

Sabêa ${ }^{\text {st }}$, Hadramant, and Oman were the refidence of navigators in all ages, from the time that hiftory begins to fpeak of them; and there is every reafon to imagine that they were equally fo, before the hiftorians acquired a knowledge of them, as they have fince continued down to the prefent age.

It is furely not too much to admit that a nation with thefe difpofitions, in the very earlieft ages crofled the Gulph: of Perfia from Oman to Carmania: the tranfit in fome places is not forty miles; the oppofite coaft is vifible from their own thore ${ }^{\text {us }}$; and if you once land them in Carmania, you open a paffage to the Indus, and to the weftern coaft of India, as a conclufion which follows, of courfe.

I grant that this is" wholly hypothetical; but where hiftory ftops; this is all that rational inquiry can demand. The firlt hiftory to be depended on, is that of Agatharchides. He found Sabêa, or Yemen, in poffeffion of all the fplendour that a monopoly of the Indian trade muft ever produce, and either here or at Hadramant or Oman it muft ever have been: theef provinces all, lie within the region of the monfoons, and there is every reafon to imagine that they had availed themfelves of there in the earlieft ages, as well as in the lateft. I conclude that their knowledge in this refpect is prior to the building of Thebes; and that if the monopoly on the

eaftern fide of the Red Sea was in their hands, that on the weftern fide was fixed at Thebes. The fplendour of that city, ftill vifible in its very ruins, is in no other way to be accounted for: it is exactly parallel to the cafe of Alexandria in a later period; for Alexandria did not trade to India, the monopoly was ftill in Sabêa when Agatharchides wrote, and the monepoly at Alexandria was as perfect in regard to the Mediterranean, as that of Sabêa was in regard to the Indian ocean. The wealth of the Ptolemies was as pre-eminent as that of the Thebaick Dynafties, and the power and conquefts of a Philadelphus or Euergetes ${ }^{126}$ lefs fabulous than thofe of Sefonchofis.

That the Grecian Dynafty in Egypt tried every experiment to evade the monopoly at Sabêa, is manifeft from hiftory. The ftraits ${ }^{117}$ of the Red Sea were paffed, the ports of Arabia on the ocean were explored, the marts on the coafts of Africa were vifited, Indian commodities were found in all of them. A proof direct, that the monioon was at that time known to the Arabians ${ }^{128}$, though hiftory knew nothing of it till the difcovery of Hippalus; that is, till 200 years later : this is the more extraordinary, as the fact had been afcertained in part by the voyage of Nearchus, and as all its confequences would have been explored, if Alexander had lived another year. I always wịh to be underfood as never afferting

[^39]muft be very confined, I have met with only one inflance, and that in a very different region. Ventus marinus fex integris menfibus regnat in illo, [mari tenebrofo,] et tum in alium ven. tum convertitur. A1. Edniffi, p. 34, the Mare Tenebrofium is at leaft eaft of Malacca, if not of China.
that the voyage between Egypt and India was utterly unpractifed by the Greeks; the evidence is clear, that fome few veffels performed it, but they coafted the whole way "? the greateft number is that mentioned by Strabo of an hundred and twenty thips. . The expence of fucha navigation did not anfwer; it was found cheaper to purchafe In. dian goods in the old markets: the paffage by the monfoon was never attempted; and the folitary fact of all hiftory, which I can difcover, previous to Hippalus, is that in the fabulous account of lambûlus, I believe that fact, not as performed by lambụ̂lus, but as an : evidence that fome fuch paffige had been heard of, that an obfcure notion prevailed that it was made from the coaft of Africa, and that, ; therefore, it was interwoven with the piece to give the fable an : appearance of reality. I believe it to have had its rife from Atabia; and it is one proof among others, that the Arabians did reach India. prior to hiftory, and a fufficient reafon why the Greeks found it: cheaper to purchafe their cargoes in the Arabian markets, rather: than to go to India themfelves. A truth certainly, if the Arabians. failed: with the monfeon, and the Greeks.coafted the whole : voyage.

Thefe-confiderations taken in the maff, induce a belief that: in.t the very. earlieft ages, even prior to Mofes; the communication: with India was open, that the intercourfe, with that continentewas : in the hands of the Arabians, that Thebes had owed its Splendour $x$

[^40]
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to that commerce, and that Momphis rofe from the fitne canfe to the fame pre-eminence. Cairo fucceeded to both in wealh, grandeur, and magnificence; all which it muf have maistained to the prefent hour, if the difcoveries of the Porturucte hid not changed the commerce of the world; and which it does in fome proportion ftill maintain, as a centre between the raft and the Mediterranean. The effential difference between thefe three capitals and Alexandria, proves paft contradiction, the different firit and fuperior fyftem of the Grecks. Thefe three capitals were inland for the fake of fecurity: a proof that the natives never were navigators or fovereigns of the fea. The Greeks were both; and the capital of the Ptolemies was therefore Alexaudria. 'Iheir fleets were fuperior to all that had ever appared on the Mediterrancan; and the power of their kingdom fuch, that nothing but a fucceffion of weak and wicked princes could have dettroyed it. While legypt was under the power of its native fovereigns, Tyre, Sidon, Aradus, Cyprus, Greece, Sicily, and Carthage were all enriched by the trade carried on in its ports, and the articles of commerce which could be obtained there and there only; the Egyptians themfelves were hardly known in the Mediterrancan as the exporters of thuir own commodities; they were the Chincfe of the ancient world, and the fhips of all nations, except their own, laded in their harbours.

The fyftem of the Ptolemies was exactly the reverfe. Alexandria grew up to be the firft mart of the world, and the Grecks of Fgypt were the carriers of the Mediterrancan, as well as the agents, factors, and importers of Oriental produce. The citics which had rifen under the former fyftem, funk filently into indiguificance; and
fo wife was the new policy, and fo deep had it taken root, that the Romans, upon the fubjection of Egypt, found it more expedient to leave Alexandria in poffeffion of its privileges, than to alter the courfe of trade, or occupy it themfelves. Egypt, in ftrict propriety, was never a Roman province, but a prefecture, governed, not by the fenate but the emperor himfelf. No pretor or proconful ever had the command; no man above the equeftrian order was ever prefect; no Roman ${ }^{120}$ ever entered the country. without the exprefs licence of the emperor. Thefe circumftances are particularized to fhew the wifdom of the Greeks in their eftablifhment of the fyftem; and the wifdom of the Romans in contenting themfelves with the revenue, rather than the property of the country ${ }^{12}$. . This revenue, amounting to. more than three millions fterling, they enjoyed for more than fix hundred years ${ }^{[22}$; and till the moment of the Arabian conqueft, Alexandria continued the fecond city of the empire in rank, and the firft, perhaps, in wealth, commerce, and profperity.

Thefe confiderations are by no means foreign to our purpofe: it is the defign of this work to exhibit the trade with India under
${ }^{120}$ One charge brotght againft Germanicus by Tiberius, was his going into Egypt without permiffion.
iz1 It does not appear that any Roman in Egypt was allowed to engage in commerce. In the early part of their government at leait, all the names we meet with in the trade of the Red Sea, Africa, and India, are Greek: Arrian, Dionyfius, \&cc. \&cc.
${ }^{222}$ It is the ftability of the Roman conquefts which diftinguithes them from thofe of other nations. If we place the meridian power of Rome in the age of Auguftus, it was 700 years in rifing, and 1400 years in falling. The fovereignty of Egypt, for 600 years, is of greater duration
than that of any native or forlign dynalty not mythological; and this fovereignty, notwithftanding particular intervals of tyranny, does feem upon the whole to have been extrcifed for the good of the people, which is the end of all government. When Egypt fell, its profperity, thongh impaired, was probably fuperior to that of any other province of the empire. The revenue I take at a medium from the calculation of Strabo, who fays, that under Auletes, the worit of the Ptolemies, it was $2,421,875 \mathrm{l}$; but he adds, that the Romans managed it to much greater advantage, and even doubled it. Strab. Tib. svii. p. $79{ }^{8}$.

## 66 PRELIMINARY DISQUISITIONS.

every point of wiew in which it was regarded by the ancients; but if it were not my determination to clofe my refearches with the voyage of Gama, I could now fhew how a contrary policy has brought the richeft country in the world to its prefent ftate of mifery. Policy, I fay, becaufe, though the difcovery of Gama muft have injured Egypt, it could not have reduced it to defolation. It is the conqueft of Selim, and a divided power between the Porte and the Mameluks, which has funk a revenue of three millions to a cypher ${ }^{123}$; a policy, in fact, which has cut down the tree to come at the fruit, which is not content with the golden egg, but has killed the bird that laid it ${ }^{124}$.
${ }_{2} 23$ There is a tribute paid by the Mameluks to the Pacha of Egypt, but it never reaches Conftantinople, as there are always charges to fet off againf it.

224 Exception, perhaps, may be taken to what has been faid in regard to the Egyptians never appearing in the Mediterranean as a naval
power. The expreffion is meant to apply to that country only while under its native fovereigns. As fubject to the Perfians, Macedoniants, and Romans it furnifhed large fleets. This reftriction, omitted in its proper place, the vacancy of the prefent page allows me to infert.

The names of places will be diftinguifhed by capitals in the margin; in which form the Greek found and Greek orthography will both be preferved. The Latin or modern orthography will be followed in the text, to avoid the appearance of fingularity.

Marks of tones,
' The accent, as Azánia.
' The note when e final is pronounced long or fhort, as Calpè.
*The note of a long vowel or diphthong in the Greek, as Oponc, Nêfla, Niloptolemèon, Kuenion.
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"Orientalem oram Africre fulcavit Autor Peripli, cujus auctoritas majoris cit " facienda quàm caterorum omniun, utpote qui folus veritati confuntanea " feripterit." Vossivs ad Melan. p. 595. ed. Vaxior. Lugd, 5722 .

THE object propored for confideration in the fecond book is the navigation of the ancients from Myos Hormus in the Gulph of Arabia, to the Promontory of Rhaptum ${ }^{\text {a }}$ on the coaft of Africa. Myos Hormus lies in the twenty-feventh degree of northern latitude, and Rhaptum will be fixed near ten degrees to the fouthward of the equator; confequently we have a fpace of above two thoufand five hundred miles to examine, involved in fuch obfcurity, that without recourfe to modern difcovery, the navigation of the ancients is inexplicable.

The Periplûs, which has been affumed as the bafis of our difquifition, has a claim to this preference, not only as the moft ancient but the moft fpecific account extant; for notwithftanding particular places may have been noticed in treatifes of a prior date, the line of coaft which it embraces is to be found no where previoufly in detail; and the circumftances which it particularizes bear fuch a ftamp of veracity, as to affure us, that if the voyage was not performed by the writer, it is at leaft delineated from authentic documents.

[^41]i. The furvey cemenences from Myos Hormus ${ }^{2}$, a port choitn by Ptolemy Philadelphus for the convenience of commerce, in pre-

Myos Hozmos. ference to Arsínoè or Suez, on account of the difficulty of navigating the weftern extremity of the gulph.

The name of this port fhews its origin to be Greek : it fignifies the harbour of the Moufe; an appellation which it afterwards changed for the harbour of Venus. But the former is the more prevalent, and the latter is recorded by Agatharchides only and his copyifts. Its fituation is determined by three illands, which Agatharchides mentions; known to modern navigators by the name of the Jaffateens, and its latitude ${ }^{3}$ is fixed with little fluctuation in $27^{\circ} \circ^{\prime} \circ^{\prime \prime}$, by d'Anville, Bruce, and de la Rochette. The prefumption in favour of de la Rochette's accuracy is natural, as he had the charts and journals of feveral Englifh navigators before him, and the pofition of the illands ${ }^{4}$ with the indenture of the coaft, is fach as would fufficiently correfpond with what the ancients called a port. Strabo defcribes the entrance as obliques, which was perhaps effected by the fite of the illand at the entrance; and he notices that the flips which failed from Berenicè lay at this port till their cargoes were prepared.
II. The fame reafon which induced Philadelphus to form the port of Myos Formus, led him afterwards to the eftablimment of Berenícè,
${ }^{2}$ De la Rochette has made two ports of the Myos Hormus and Aphrodites Hormus of Strabo, but they are both the fame, if Straby in to be interpreted by Agatharehides, whom be copies; his tranflator indeed fays, Muris fatio aliaque Veneris, but the text does not require the diftinction. See Hardouin, not. ad iib. vi. Plin, cvi. The Myos Hormus of de la Rochette I fhould prefer for the true pofition.
${ }^{3}$ Ptol. $27^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}, 27^{\circ} 8^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$, by de la Rom chette.
 The Jaffateens are more than three; but the fmaller ones are perhaps little more than rocks above water.
 Strab. ibid.

## periplus of the erythrean sea.

with this additional motive; that being in a lower part of the gulph, it facilitated the communication with the ocean, or the coaft of Africa, and lay more convenient for taking advantage of the regular winds within the ftraits, or the monfoons in the Indian ocean. The plans of Philadelphus, indeed, feem to have been larger than either he or his fucceffors carried into execution: he had evidently fent travellers to penetrate into the interior by land, while his fleet was exploring the coaft. Pliny mentions the names of Dálion, Ariftócreon, Bion and Báfilis ${ }^{6}$, as vifitors of Ethiopia ; and Simónides as refiding five years at Meroè; while Timofthenes ${ }^{2}$ went down the coalt as far, perhaps, as Madagafcar, but certainly lower than the fleets of the Ptolemies traded ${ }^{\text {s }}$, or the Roman fleets in the age of the Periplûs. The account of Agatharchides, who lived in the reign of Philométor, goes no lower on the weftern fide of the gulph than Ptolemáis Thêrôn; and in his time the commerce feems fo generally to have fettled at Myos Hormus, that no mention of Berenícè occurs in the whole work'. Under the fucceffors of Philométor, this

## ${ }^{6}$ Plin. lib. vi. c. $35 .{ }^{\circ}$

7 There is fome reafon to hefitate in giving evedit to Timothenes, as he says the Red Sea is two days fail acrofs and four days fail in length. Plin. lib. vi. Four days (if it be not an erior of Pliny's) cannot by any means fuffice for a courfe of nine hundred miles. See Fragm. Artem. Hudfon, vol. i. p. 88.
8 This is fimilar to what has happened re-- hative to our own difcoveries. Sir F. Draké explored the weftern coalt of America, to the north of California, where no navigator foln lowed him till almoft 200 years after ${ }_{6}$ when the Englifh, Ruffians, aud Spaniards have interfered with each other in Nootka Sound. In the fame manner alfo the Carthaginian
commerce on the coaft of Africa fettled at Cerne, though Hanno had gone much farther to the fouth.

- Neither does Diodôrus notice it, whe wrote, perhaps, early in the reign of Auguftus, and followed Agatharchides. But Strabo is diffule; and he adds one particular which may account for the filence of Agatharchides, which is, as we have juft noticed, that Bereníce, though a ftation, was no port. The Warbour was ai Myos Hormus; and the flips lay there till they came to Berenice for their lading. The Peripluas alfo feems almof to join the two together, at the commencement of the Arabian voyage.


## BERENICE.

trade languifhed rather than increafed, nor was it reinvigorated till the conqueft of Egypt by Auguftus.

The connexion between Myos Hormus and Berenícè, from which ports the navigation cominenced, requires more confideration than has been beftowed upon it by thofe who have preceded me in the inquiry.

Berenícè, according to the Periplês, was diftant eighteen hundred ftadia from Myos Hormus, which, if the author reckons ten fladia to the Roman mile, (as d'Anville fuppofes) amounts to one hundred and eighty; or if he rcckons eight, we obtain two hundred and twenty-five miles, for the interval between the'two ports; both eftimates are too fhort, as the diflance from the northern Jaffateen to Ras-cl-anf ${ }^{10}$ is little lefis than two hundred and fixty miles Roman. Without infifting upon this, Ras-el-anf is the leading point to fix Befeníce, for this is the Leptè Promontory of Ptolemy, on which Bereníeè depends. "The land here," 〔ays Bruce, " after running " in a direction nearly N. W. and S. E. turns round in chape of a " large promontory, and changes its direction to N. E. and S. W. " and ends in a fmall bay or inlet." Now this agrees exaclly with the pofition afligned to Derenice by Strabo, in the wery inmoft recefs of his Sinus Impurus. It may feem extraordinary ', that the name of Foul Bay ${ }^{12}$ floould appear in our modern charts in this very fpot,

## ${ }^{10}$ Cape Nofe.

${ }^{13}$ Firom the appearauce of Foul Bay, on de' la Rochette's chart, I conclude i: to be a modern navtical name Its currelpartwace with the ancient Sinus implarus is conamed by d'Anville as well as de la Rochette. See his Gulfe immonde. And if this is eitabli ${ }^{3}$. $\mathrm{cl}^{2}$,
 retefs of the ajry $^{\prime}$ onght, in my opinion, tu desermiac the quation.
 purus and imifmius. It is Jitestlly beth hete and it the teripha, p 12 . what we huald call in Englifh Fonl Bay, fro:n the fuulnefo of

 $\dot{p} \approx$ inas ix
 xiñt. Strulu, lib. xvi. p. "úg.
and marked with the fhoals and breakers which entitled it to the fame appellation in the time of Strabo. But fuch is the fact, and de la Rochette's chart ${ }^{\text {r3 }}$ gives us a fmall anchorage or inlet in the very bottom of the bay, which he ftyles Minè, or Belled el-Habehh ${ }^{14}$, the port of Abyffinia.. Thefe circumftances are farther corroborated by the chart which Mercator extracts from Ptolemy, and by Ptolemy's own diftances in longitude and latitude from Leptè. Col. Capper's has fuppofed that the fite of Berenícè cannot be determined, and d'Anville has placed it nearer to Leptè; but in this, it is probable he was determined by the latitude of Syênè, for both are fappofed to be tropical, and Col. Capper has poffibly not applied his fuperior information to this object. I fix it at the port of Habeih, not from latitude, but local relation. For Syênè is in latitude $24^{\circ} 0^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime}$, and this port is in $23^{\circ} 28^{\prime \prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$, according to de la Rochette. If then we were to be determined by the tropick, the port' of Habefh is more tropical than Syếnè. But the ancients were by no means accurate in thefe coincidences. Meroè and Ptolemáis are ftill lefs reconcileable than Berenícè and Syênè; and yet the refpective correfpondence of the four places was admitted. I am much more led by exifting eircumftances than thefe eftimates : a coaft falling in, as defcribed by the original voyagers, and a port found at the termination where it ought to be, tend more to afcertain a pofition when ancient accounts are to be confidered, than aftronomical calculation. But I do not affert the identity, I know the difficulties, I know that the Topaz ifland of Strabo is

[^42]dubious ${ }^{\text {T }}$, but as a choice is neceffary, I felect the port of Habefh for Berenicè, and I truft the folution of the problem to further inquiry.

Both from Myos Hormus and Berenícè, the fleets failed for Africa and Arabia in the month of September; and for India in July ${ }^{\text {t7 }}$; dates which agree admirably with the regular winds, as ftated by Bruce. For, in the firft inftance, if they cleared the gulph before November, they in that month fell in with the wind, which carried them down the coaft of Africa, and which ferved them to return in May. And in their voyage to India, failing in July, if they cleared the gulph before the ift of September ${ }^{\prime 2}$, they had the monfoon for nearly three months to perform the voyage to the coaft of Malabar, which-was generally completed in forty days.

IIL. But before we enter upon our navigation we muft examine the previous preparations in Egypt, commencing our inquiries from Alexandria, the head and centre of all the commerce between India and Europe for feventeen centuries ${ }^{\text {² }}$.


#### Abstract

${ }^{5} 5$ There was a Sapphire, an Emerald, and a Topaz illand in the Red Sea; all three give sife to much fable and much uncertainty. Strabo's Tupaz ifland is the fame as this Serpentiac. Whether both names ought to relate to the ifland at Ras-el anf, I cannot fay. That ifland is the Macouar of Bruce; the Emil or Emerald ifland of de la Rochet*e, the Infula Veneris of Ptolemy. Strabo's Topaz iflond $i_{5}$ lower than Berenicè. It may be the modern Zemorgete, the Asgathonis Inf. of Ptulemy, but the confufion is endleis.


${ }^{27}$ Sec Períplis, p.p. 5, 13, 29, 32. The
author mentions the Egyptian as well as the Roman months Tybi, January; Thoth, Sep. tember; Epiphi, July. A proof that he was a refident in Egypt if not a native, and that he wrote for the traders in that country.
${ }^{3} 3$ This is fixed to a certainty by Pliny, who fays, they falled at the rifing of the DogSiar, July 26 , and reached Okelis in thirty days, from whence to Muziris the voyage is ufually performed in forty days." Lib. vi.

19 Eigliteen, reckoning from the death of Alexander.
'The principal merchants, who carried on this commerce both under the Ptolemies and the Romans, refided at Alexandria; and though the Ptolemies, for their owin intereft, might allow others to employ their capital in this trade, and the Romans certainly would not fuffer themfelves to be wholly excluded, ftill the ftanding law of the country was, that every merchant muft employ an Alexandrian factor for the tranfaction of his bufinefs; and this privilege alone, with the profits of the tranfport, is fufficient to account for the immenfe wealth of the metropolis ${ }^{70}$, exclufive of all other, advantages.

In the latter end of July the annual or Etefian wind commences, the influence of which extends from the Euxine Sea to Syênè in Upper Egypt. Blowing from the north it is directly oppofite to the courfe of the Nile, and prevailing for forty days while the river is at the height of its fwell, it affords an opportunity of advancing againft the ftream, with more convenience than other rivers are navigated in their defcent. With the affiftance of this wind, the paflage from Alexandria up to Coptus was performed in twelve days, which, as the diftance is above four hundred miles ${ }^{27}$, fufficiently proves 'the efficacy of the wind that carried them.

Two miles from Alexandria, fays Pliny, is Juliopolis, where the navigation to Coptus commences; an expreflion not very intelligible without the affiftance of Strabo. For why fhould he mark the departure from Juliopolis rather than Alexandria? Strabo informs us, that the veffels navigated a canal, which extended from Alex-

[^43]andria to the Canôpic branch of the Nile, at the junction of which was Schédia; here all the duties were collected on goods which paffed upwards into Egypt, or down the Nile to Alexandria. This canal ${ }^{22}$ in its courfe almoft touches Nicopolis ${ }^{33}$, (a city fo called from the victory obtainel here by Augufus over the forces of Antony,) and which, by its diflance of thirty ftadia ${ }^{24}$, muft be the Juliopolis of Pliny. It is probable, therefore, that before the time of Pliny, the Cultom-houfe had been removed from Schédia to this place.

It is ther by the Canôpic branch, now almoft neglected, that veffels paffed up to Memphis, and thence to Coptus. Coptus was a city in the age of Strabo who vifited it, common to the Arabs ${ }^{2 s}$, as well as the Egyptians; it was not actually on the Nile, but connected with it by a canal, and was the centre of communication between Egypt and the Red Sea, by a N. E. route to Myos Hormus, and a S. E. to Berenícè. Upon reference to the map the reafon of this is evident. The river bends here towards the eaft, and in proportion to its inclination fhortens the diftance of land carriage. Coptus is feated almoft in the centre between Ghinnè and Kous. Ghinnè is the ancient $\mathrm{Kxne}^{2=6}$, and is the modern point of

powerful at Cairo, but never complete as to their number in the country, and fharing their influence with the Arab fheiks. The Roman government was firm and imperious, but even under that, as appiars from this paflage of Straho, the Arabs found means to infmuate themfelventinto a thare of the power at Coptus, aud, as we nay from thip circumflance conclude, poffibly in othcr places.
${ }^{2 \%} \mathrm{~K}$ cum $\pi \mathrm{m}^{2}$ 2. , Neapolis, or the new city, by its name cvidently of Greck extruction.
communication with Cofeir ${ }^{27}$; the port on the Red Sea, where the little commerce which remains is carried on between Upper Egypt and Arabia. Kous arofe in the middle ages from the fame caufe, and became the principal mart of the Said ${ }^{25}$. Thefe three places all lie on the fame curvature of the river, and all grew into importance at different periods, from the fame caufe; the neceffity of conducting land carriage by the fhorteft road.

It has been already noticed, that notwithftanding Berenícè was built by Philadelphus, the route of the caravan thither, and the port itfelf were little frequented, as long as the Ptolemies reigned in Egypt. The firft mention I can find of it is in Strabo, and he vifited the country after it was under the power of the Romans. The Romans faw what Philadelphus had defigned, and they had the penetration, from their firlt entrance into the country; to reap the advantages which his fucceffors had neglected. In the courfe of fix or feven years an hundred and twenty fhips failed from this port for India ${ }^{29}$; thefe, indeed, were but a fmall part of the whole.
${ }^{17}$ Irwin reckons one hundred and fifteenmiles from Coferr to the Nile, vol i. p. 234 . Brown rode it on dramcdaries in three days.
${ }_{28}$ D'Anvile, Geog. Anc. vol, iii. p. 33 .

- ${ }^{2}$ ' It has everywhere been fuppoifed, that fingle thips did fail both to India and Africa by coafting, previous to the difcovery of Tippalus; it has everywhere been allowed that the Arabians traded to India, and the Indians to A rabia, and probably with a knowledge of the monfoon. But this pafinge of Strabo's ftands alune as an evidence, that a feet failed from Egypt directly to India ${ }^{(1)}$ If it did fail, it muft Atill- have coafted the whole way. But might not Strabo, from knowing they brought home Indian commodities, have fuppoied that they failed to lndia, when in reality they went no fatither than Hadramant in Arabia, or Mofyllon on the coaft of Africa; where they found the produce of India?-

I do not approve of contradieting the aflertion of any intelligent author, fuch as Strabo ; but I rceommend it to the confideration of better judges, whether a circumftunce of this magnitude ought to be eftablifhed on a fingle paffage. It is alfo to be noticed, that Arabia was fometimes called India by the ancicnts, not from error, but becaufe it was on the other fide of the Red Sca, and becaufe the commoditics of India were found there. So Indorum promontorium in Juba, the fame as Leptè Acrè is Ras-al anf, whence the trade to India commenced. Indos Juba vocat. Elthiopas: Troglodytas. Hardouin, not. ad Plin. vi. 34 . but Hardouin is mittaken, and probably Juba. It is the Indian Cape and Port, fo called from the Ihdian trade at Berenfeè. In what fenfe the fleets failed from Egypt to India, will be confidered at large in the fourth book.

## BERENICE.

The bulk of the trade ftill paffed by Coptus ${ }^{30}$ to Myos Hormus; and continued in the fame courfe till the period in which the Periplûs was written; this is the principal reafon which induces me to believe that the Periplûs is prior to Pliny, and affign it to the reign of Claudius, or Nero; for Pliny is the firt that fpecifies the ftages of the caravan, or gives us reafon to believe that Berenícè was the grand centre of commerce. That it was not fo when the author of the Periplùs wrote is evident, becaufe he commences his route from Myos Hormus ${ }^{3 x}$, a proof that he confidered it as the firft port of departure.

Pliny on the contrary never mentions Myos Hormus in the paffage where he details the voyage to India ${ }^{32}$, nor does he notice it at all, except once incidentally, where he is defcribing the weftern coaft of the Red Sea ${ }^{33}$. A proof that it was as fubordinate in his time, as it had been pre-eminent before.

Every detail that is now extant, of the road from Coptus to Berenícè, is Roman; as that of Pliny, the Itinerary of Antoninus, in the Peutingerian tables, and the anonymous geographer of Ravenna ${ }^{34}$. There is no Greek account of it extant but Strabo's, and he vifited the country after the Romans were in poffeffion. His information, therefore, is Roman ${ }^{35}$; it fpecifies particulars of which other Greeks were ignorant; but it falls fhort of what the Romans relate themfelves. He mentions only that

[^44]doubt, as there are no circumftances to afcertain it.
${ }^{32}$ Lib. vi. c. 26.
${ }^{33}$ Lib. vi. c. 33 .
${ }^{\text {t4 }}$ Lib. ii. P. 755. in ed. Var.' Pomp. Mcla.
${ }^{5} 5$ Lib. xvii. p. 815.

Philadelphus opened this route with an army ${ }^{\text {ts }}$, arid that as it was without water, he eftablifhed pofts ${ }^{36}$, both for the convenience of thofe who travelled this way on bufinefs, and thofe who conveyed their goods on camels.

If it thould be thought that this is faid from any defire of amplifying the induftry or penetration of the Romans, let it be obferved, that Auguftus reduced Egypt into a province, in the year 30 before the Chriftian'era, and that in lefs than fix years Petronius had penetrated into Ethiopia, and reduced Candácè queen of Meroè or Atbara; that Elius Gallus had been fent into Arabia with the fame view of extending the knowledge and power of the Romans: and that the fleet failed from Berenicè inftend of Myos Mormus. Thefe tranfactions Strabo relates as an eye-witnefs, for he accompanied Elius Gallus to Syénè. And in the interval between the conqueft of Egypt and the reign of Claudins, a period of 75 years, there is every reafon to fuppofe, that a province fo productive, and a commerce fo advantageous, had never been negkoted. But it was not till the difcovery of the monfoon; which we place in his reign, that all the advantages of Bereníce would become obvious. This would by degrees draw the concourfe from Myos Hormus; it had not operated effentially in the age of Strabo; the cliange was beginning to be felt when the Periplûs was written; it was fully effected in the time of Pliny.
ss The roalt hetwe Coptus and Myos deep wells had been fonk, and cifterns formed Hormus he defcribes more particalvily: A proof that it was better known, It sas feven or eight days journey, formerly performed on eamels in the night by obfervation of the Hars, and carrying water with them. Latteriy very
for holding water, te it fometinces, though rarely, rains in that tract. Lib. xvii. 815.
${ }^{36} \Sigma \pi \alpha 0 \mu \mathrm{a} y$ or saf $\mu \mathrm{s}^{\circ}$. Diverforia, Caravanferais.

The annexed table, compared with the map, will now fhew all that is neceffary to be known, better than narrative; and as it is obvious that the names are Greek, we muft fuppofe that they are fuch as were firf given, upon opening the communication by Ptolemy, however unnoticed by the Greek writers; or that the Greeks of Egypt were employed by the Romans in forming the eftablifhment. The mention of the Troglodytes agrees with their hiftory, as it has been admirably illuftrated by Bruce; they are the Shepherds fo much noticed in the early hiftory of Egypt, who every year conduct their flocks and herds from the plains of Ethiopia, aerofs the mountains of the Red Sea, to avoid the fly, that fcourge of their profeffion. They have done this in all ages; they do it to the prefent hour; their habitation is confequently temporary, and if they found caves ${ }^{37}$ or hollows in the rocks, thefe they would occupy, as their name implies. Tribes of this kind allo are naturally plunderers, and the guard neceffary to defend the caravan in paffing their country, is correfpondent to the circumftances of their profeffion and fituation. If we add to this the paflage of the mountains, evidently marked in Pliny, we have all the particulars that Bruce enumerates; and an evidence of that range, which he has depicted as extending parallel to the coaft, from the fea of Suez to the main of Africa. Below this range there feems to be a level towards the fea like the Tehama of Arabia, and the Ghermefir on the Gulph of Perfia; and I conjecture that

[^45]Tifebarike ${ }^{38}$, the name which the Periplûs gives to the tract in the neighbourhood of Berenícè, expreffes this very level, and correfponds with the Tehama of Arabia.

I have already noticed that Berenícè lies nearly in latitude $24^{\circ}$, and have now only to add, that by the concurrent teftimony of the Periplûs and Strabo, the anchorage was a bay and a road, but not an harbour.
${ }^{38}$ Teez-r-bareek is faid by Capt. Franck- low country on this coaft. Mr. Jones interlin, author of a Tour in Perfia, to be fill a prets Barcek in the fame manner on the coaft familiar phrafe in the Perfick for Barpand thin, of Perfia, as Gezirat al Bareek, the Low Ifland: It will alio bear the fenfe of low and fats, and Stuckius reads, rin Aeqe6xi, for Tworbagikn. See in that fenfe he fuppofeg it applied to the Stuckius and Hudion, Geog. Min. Peripl. p. 1.

## Betwefn Coptus a and Bereniçm

(N. B. the Numbers are resomiled by the Commentators.)

|  | ILISY, |  |  | Var. lect. | PEUTINGRR. | Milus, | Var. ieet. |  | ITINERARY |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. ${ }^{\text {Hisditumin or Hydreumh }}$ |  | xXX11 | $3^{22}$ | XX11.1 | Phance. | XXII | 22 |  |  | x $\overline{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{V} 1$. | 27 |
| 1. |  |  |  |  |  | xxIV. | 24 |  |  | xxiv. | ${ }^{2} 4$ |
| HIL. | In monte, a day ${ }^{\text {cos joarney. }}$ | x<xic. | $3^{32}$ |  | ${ }^{2}$ Didymos. | xX . | 20 |  |  | XX | 20 |
| 1v. | Hydrâums 25 miles from Coptut. | xxxi. | $3^{11}$ |  | Connpabls [ 50 miles from Coptus]. | xXv . | 25 | xv.c | Compat. | XXIL. | 12 |
| v. |  |  |  |  |  | XXII | 22 |  | Jovis [ $\mathrm{x} \boldsymbol{\sim}$ | XXIII. | 23 |
| v1 | fie monte, a day's journey. | xLIV. | 4 |  | Xeron [without water]. | XXFV | ${ }^{4}$ |  | ${ }^{\text {h }}$ Aritionis [Evinun]. | XXV. | 25 |
| VII. | - |  |  |  | - Phylacon [espdixan nxjux]. | xxiv. | 24 |  | Faiatre [oundean]. | XXV7. | 2.5 |
| vois. | Hydigum Apollinis, 124 milts from Coptos. | XLV. | 45 |  | Apoltonos [ $\alpha^{*} \mu_{4} \mathrm{~s}_{3}$ ] [184\% miles from Copsus]. | xXIV. | 24 | - A | A pollonos, [ $x^{*} \mu \mathrm{v} \times$ ] ] | XX1IL. | $2{ }^{23}$ |
| IX. | In monte, a day's jourrey. | xxv. | 25 |  | Cabsu. | XXVII | 27 |  | Catalfi [Cabalat, s'Anville]. | XXVII. | 27 |
| X. | Siovam Hydrêum, 254 mile from Coptus. | xxv. | 25 | cxxx. |  milas form Coptus) ${ }_{4}$ | xxIV. | 24 | \% |  | XXVIT. | 27 |
| XI. | Troglodytieum Hydrèm, a gaard | IV. | 4. | VII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| XIt, | Berenicé, 258 nales form Coptvs. | XX. | 20 |  | Pemicide porrum [Beresisè partur]. | XX11. | ${ }^{23}$ |  | $1{ }^{\text {Berariven}}$. | XVIII. | 18 |
|  |  |  | 258 |  |  |  | $\overline{x_{2 s 8}}$ |  |  |  | 1261 |

## REMARKS.



Many acknowltsges, that employed in this fotrocy; and the caravarm moves chively in the night. Taus his three fofl fages are four days jowney; his two next four days; and his foor laf oaght to be three ; far Peurtingtr and the tuietrary make eieven days journey. And, perlaps, out cutgit to be added to all at Phylacon; for the guand was podlibly to exasi the catioms, as watl as to protelt.
By I'Liny's account the guard was a protection againtt the Troglodytes on the coaf. Convenisace repplied it, perhaps, to b: moved inland, in
4 laser age, $i \rightarrow$ Didyine precedes Aphrotites in the Itinerary. A proof that the tranfolition of nampes of fatipgs is no unufial efror even to au bornic deenment:
${ }^{4}$ The geard, accordinesto Peutinger and tha Itinerary, is on the par-

Berenitio. Diferent canfes myight aperate to make the ehtanje in differtar times. Tlas Trogloligtet, always robbers, might clange their lisunts. The fort for the guard was two milrs out of che ruad, in Pfiny'b accondt Ste Stral. Dib, Xri. De lehyophagh AEthopicis.
${ }^{6}$ Coptus is nat on the Nile, bus at a frupl diftance, with a canal

Koent, Gbiania, frem whene the ciravith paffes at this day to Coffeir,
by a much fhetter roate. This rocte is nearly the fams as the anciemt

${ }^{2} \mathrm{CXXX}$, a comptica of CCXXX , CCXXXIH, of CCXXXIV, all

## 5 "xalkay

\#xhkay insituct Qacry.
is Arifonis. An Aliton is mentioned by Dioderas as fent to furyey
 it Per. ix. I1s4
t Benorídsad Peraicide, navtucal or commerclai corruptions of Berenite, 2 eity to called fram Ber.tife motiver of Prokmy Philadeiphus, Pliny, vin 33.
k Two liun
Two hundred and fifty-eaght mites. D'Anvilte's map gives two undred and fifty in a riglat line, bus query, wleethor is is not acecon-
 Copter Bes in $25^{\circ} 5^{\circ}$, it fitll exifts as Kedt.
N. B. The wbole talde, wxecpt the explanations betweets brackets, is in the criginals, as Clatedi by Salmatius, p. 1784 .

PTOLEMAIS.

## FROM BERENÍCÈ TO PTOLEMÁIS THÉABOAN OR EPITHERAS.

IV. South of Berenícè, in the tract of low country between the mountains and the fea, called Tifebarike, is the habitation of the Troglodytes, efteemed as ICthyophagi or Fifh Eaters, who live in the clefts and caverns of the mountains, difperfed and independent. They are inclofed by more inland tribes, who are diftinguifhed as Akridóphagi ${ }^{39}$ and Mofkhóphagi, titles which imply that their food is locufts and veal. A ftrange peculiarity ! but as locufts are no uncommon food either on the coaft of Africa or Arabia, fo, perhaps, the latter diftinction intimates a tribe that fed on the brinde ${ }^{* 0}$, or flefh cut out of the living animal, fo graphically defcribed by Bruce ${ }^{4}$. Thefe tribes are under the regular government of a king.

Below the Morkhophagi lies the little town of Ptolemáis Thêrôn, fo called from Ptolemy Philadelphus, who fent his hunters here to procure elephants for his army. Here the true fhell of the land tortoife is to be procured. It is white ${ }^{42}$, with a fmall fhell, and in no great quantity. The elephants alfo are fmall, like thofe obtained at Adûli.
${ }^{39}$ By a comparative view of thefe in Agsatharcbides, the fite we fhould allot to them would be in Nubia or Sennaar, or between thofe places and the mountains which line the coat.
40 Perhaps the title of $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathbf{s} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mathbf{x}$ you, which Strabo confers on this or fome neighbouring tribe, is equivalent. See Agatharchides, p. 40 . Huđfor.
${ }^{41}$ A paflage follows which is imperfect. It feems to defribe another tribe fill more inland, and wefl of the Mofkhophagi. Compare with Agatharchides, p. 36, et feq.
 Hudfon, Candidam minoribas teltis prxditam. Sec alfo Perip. P. 17, where this interpretation is confirmed.

This place has no port, and is approachable only by boats. It lies about four thoufand ftadia from [the larbour which is eftablifhed for] the reception of fuch articles of commerce as are brought from beyond the ftraits ${ }^{43}$, that is from Bereníce.. This diftance agrees with Ras Ahehaz, or Ageeb, where d'Anville places it, if we reckon the fladia, as he does, ten to a mile. The cape is laid down in latitude $18^{\circ} 20^{\prime}$, by d'Anville; $18^{\circ} 31^{\prime}$, by de la Rochette ; $18^{\circ} 10^{\prime}$, by Eruce.
If this be true, the ancient geographers muft be greatly miltaken, who place it under the fame parallel with Mcroe, to which they affign $16^{\circ} 25^{\prime}$. This parallel is of great importance: it was traced by Eratofthenes to whom we owe the doctrine of parallels. And it is affumed by Ptolemy as a diftinguifhed line both in regard to Syénè, and to the parallel of Prafum, which was the boundary of his knowledge, and which he lays down as many degrees to the fouth, as Meroè is to the nerth of the equator.

If then we could fix the pofition of Ptolemáis by refcrence to the parallel of Meroè, it would give cenfiftency to the Periplûs, in a paffage where the meafures are more difficult to reconcile than in any other part of the work, for according to de la Rochette Mineh-Beled-el-Hbefh, or Berenícè, is in lat. $23^{\circ} \mathrm{I} 6^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ Ras Ahehaz, or Ptolemáis Mafua, or Adûli

[^46]\$c. which intinates generally any commodi $i_{\text {}}$ ties brought from beyond the flraits, but in the Periplas conftantly the commodities of the Mofyllitick coaft, or kingriom of Adcl; and. the port eltablifhed for the importation or reception (axaxousis') of theie commodities can be only Berenice, the port immediately before mentioned.
which gives the diffance from Berenícè to Ptolemáis three hundred and fifty-four Roman miles, and from Ptolemáis to Adûli two hundred and twenty-five ; making a deficiency upon the meafures of the Periplûs of one hundred and twenty-one out of five hundred and feventy-nine, if we reckon ten ftadia to the mile Roman. The diftances are, four thoufand ftadia from Berenícè to Ptolemáis, and threee thoufand from Ptolemáis to Adûli. It is this deficieney which has induced Mr. Goffelin ${ }^{4+}$ to carry the Adûli of the Periplûs to Affab, or Saba, contrary to the opinion of all former geographers, and contrary to the local circumitances of AdAli, fo ftrongly marked. by our author.

The removal of Adûli from Mafua to Saba, and of Ptolemáis from Ras Ahehaz in $18^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ to $16^{\circ} 5^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$, are therefore mutually connetted in Mr. Goffelin's fyftem; and as this brings Ptolemáis within thirty-two minutes of the parallel of Meroe, the whole would be reconcileable if we could make the meafures of the Periplûs accord; but this is impoffible ${ }^{4 \prime}$; and here Mr . Goffelin is led into a great error, the caufe of which I do not readily difcover; for he fays, that the Periplûs reckons from Adụli to the Straits of Baib-el-Mandeb eight hundred ftadia. This is another miftake; for the Periplûs marks the termination of thefe fadia at a very deep bay where the Opfians,

> 44 It wat at the moment that thit Jbest war scrurned from the preft for correaion, that I reacived, by farourr of Major Ouffley, Mr. Gaf4 folin's woork, Recherches fur la Geographtit des Aneiens, probli/bed in two volumes, at Paris, in 1798; and of whitich omly a very faw copies had at that finne reached England. However wie diffic on the whole of the Periptiut, I was bappy At find we agreed upon the fuljea of the cirztimnavigation of Afria, and I hatur reconfidered thir
article of Ptolemfitis in order to advert to the points on whick we differ. In regard to the remainder of my work, it cuas finally arranged 'and fattled, and I can only notice our difagreemetil by a note infertrd on font particular accaffons. I bave found no reafon upon the whole to abandorn thit ground vobiç I haül taken.
 et feq.:
fone is found ${ }^{45}$, and from that bay mentions exprefily the commencement of the inclination which the coaft takes to the caft ${ }^{47}$, and which it continues till it joins the ftraits: all this is truc, if Aduli is fixed at Mafua, and falfe, if it is carried to Saba, or Affab. The Periplits, therefore, is confiftent in its defeription, and inconliftent in its meafures; and to which of the two the preference ought to he gisent will hardly be difputed by thofe who hnow the litte certainty of all numbers in a Greek manufcript, and how much all printed texts are corrected by circumftances before they can be made confiftent.

The real pofition, therefore, of Ptolcmais Thèrơn cannot be determined from thefe data; but if we relinquifh the meafures of the Periplûs, and fearch for it by the parallel of Maroc, we meet with many curious particulars to compenfate for the digreffion, and furnilh means for the reader to determine for himfelf.

Meroè, as the firft parallel of Eratolthenes, became an object of the greatelt impertance to all the geographers and aftronomers who fucceeded; and if there is any one point more than another upon which we can fuppofe them to have fearched for accuracy or acquired it, it is this. Ptolemy places it in $16^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$; or, as it appears in his tables ${ }^{48}$, $16^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$; but in his eighth book, he fays,

[^47]divifion into feconds ; but if Meroe were in Iatittude $16^{\prime} 24^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$, the line would be drawn through $16^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$. This twelth ia rxpreffed in the different copinies of Ptoleny to or ia, or 63 and 6 is fuppoted to be ton and tavo, that. is, twelve, or one twilfth. But the commentators and editors are not agreed upon the form of writing or manner of explications though
the longeft day at Meroè is thirteen hours, (which makes the latitude $16^{\circ} 24^{\prime}$ ) and the fun is vertical twice a year, when he is diftant (both upon his approach to the tropic and his return,) $45^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$, from the foltitial point. This ftatement of forty-five degrees muft be older than Ptolemy; for Pliny mentions that the fun is vertical at Meroè forty-five days before, and forty-five days after the folftice, in which he feems to follow Philo ${ }^{49}$, and then adds, that on thefe two days the fun is in the eighteenth degree of Taurus, and the fourteenth of Leo.

Now in this paffage there are two errors; for firft, forty-five degrees are not the fame as forty-five days, as there are three hundred and fixty-five days in a year, inftead of three hundred and fixty, which there ought to be, to make the twe agree; and fecondly, the place of the fun is miftated, both upon his approach and his return, for by a calculation of Mr. Wales's, with which he favoured me a few days before his death, it appears,
". That the fun, at tbis time, is in the eighteenth degree of "Taurus, forty-four days before the folltice, which would give " $17^{\circ} 13^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. for the latitude of Meroè. And in the fourteenth
though they all interpret it one twelfth, or five minutes See lib. i. c. 10 , $\begin{gathered}\text { bue Megms..... }\end{gathered}$ Fr. $\bar{\gamma} \cdot \mathrm{r}$. the fame which is writtell in the tables, ir. $\mathrm{y}^{-i}$ ia. rendered by Montanus, dillat partibus requalibus fedecim et tertia cum dnodecima.

49 See Bruce, vol. iv. p. 540 , and Strabo, lib. ii. p. 77. where mention is made of Philo. who wrote an account of the navigation into Ethiopia, [by the Nile,] and who mentions the vertical fun at Meroe forty-five days before the fummer folftice. He is noticed as
remarking the fhadows of the Gnomon, and agreeing with Eratofltrencs. Some authority of this fort Pliny mult have followed, as Ptolemy was pofterior.

Pliny is reproached unjuftly by Salmafius. Plin. Ex. 424, as faying that the fun is vertical for ninety days at Meroè. It will appear fufficiently from this ftatement that he makes no fuch aftertion; and the miftake of Salmafius is reprehended by Voffius and Hardouin. Sce Volfius ad Melam. ed. Varior. p. $5^{82}$. Hard. Plin. lib. ii. c. 75 net. 67.
" of Leo, forty-fix days after the folltice, which gives $16{ }^{\circ}$ " $36^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$.
"Or again", if we take the other flatement of Pliny, forty-five " days before the follitice, the fun is in the feventeenth degree of "Taurus, which makes the latitude $16^{\circ} 57^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. and forty-five days " after the folltice, the fun is in the thirtcentiz of Leo, which $"$ gives $16^{\circ} 53^{\prime} \mathrm{N} . "$

Since the communication of this ftatement, calculated only for the place of the fun at the prefent day, the bifhop of Rochefter has added to the many former kindneffes I have experienced from this friendfhip, and derived from his comprehenfive view of the ficicace, the following particulars:
" Nothing is affumed by Ptolemy but what is ftrictly true, that at " equal diffances from the folftitial point, on one fide and the other, "the fun has equal declination. He gives us in this paffage two " diftinct principles for determining the latitude of Meroè; the " length of the, longeft day, and the diftance of the fun from the " folltitial point, when he culminates in the zenith of the place" The two principles agree fufficiently in the refult, and the latitude " which they give agrees with the latitude of Meroè, as deduced " from other principles, and ftated in other parts of Ptolemy's " works.
" The diftance of the fun from the folfitial point, when he cul" minated in the zenith of Meroè, he tells us was $45^{\circ} 20^{\prime}$. 'The

[^48]" obliquity of the ecliptick at that feafon of the year, in the year of " our Lord one bundred, was $23^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime}$; the fun's declination, there" fore, at the diftance of $45^{\circ}$. $20^{\prime}$ from the fummer folftial point " would be $16^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 3^{\prime \prime}$ N. and fo much was the latitude of Meroè;
"for when the fun is vertical at any place, the declination of the " fun and the latitude of the place muft be exactly equal.
" But he tells us alfo, that the length of the longeit day at Meroe
" was thirteen hours; and I find by calculation, that in this latitude
" of $x 6^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 3^{\prime \prime}$, the longeft day muft be exactly twelve hours fifty-
st nine minutes and twenty feconds, wanting only forty feconds of
${ }^{6}$ thirteen hours.
"Again, affuming thirtcen hours for the length of the longeft " day, I find the latitude exactly correfponding to be $16^{\circ} 34^{t} 27^{\prime \prime}$.
"But this confirms the conclufion from the former principles, " notwithftanding the excefs of $10^{\prime} 24^{\prime \prime}$; becaufe the phæno" menon of a longeft day of thirteen hours would certainly take "place in a fomewhat lower latitude, the day being lengthened, " in all latitudes, feveral minutes, by the double effect of the hori" zontal refraction."

Having thus eftablifhed the latitude of Meroè upon Ptolemy's principles, it will not be foreign to our purpofe if we examine the meafures in Strabo, according to the eftimate of Eratofthenes; for notwithftanding all meafures of this fort are precarious, ftill, when they come within a few minutes of coincidence, the approximation is more fatisfactory than the difagreement offenfive. The account ftands thus:

| The parallel through the Cinnamon coun the early geographers, is north of the |  |  | - |  | Stadin. $8800$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The fane parallel is fouth of Mcroe | - | - | - | - | $3000^{\prime}$ |
| Therefore Merock is north of the equator | - |  | - | - | 11,800 |

Now Eratofthencs ${ }^{\text {st }}$ reckoned feven hundred ftadia to a degree ; and if we divide eleven thoufand eight hundred by feven hundred, it gives for the latitude of Meroe $16^{\circ} 5^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime}$, differing from I'toleny only $2.7^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime}$, which is an approximation the more remarkable as Ptolemy reckons five hundred ftadia to a degree, and Eratofthenes feven hundred; and this circumfance may give rife to a conjecture, that Strabo had a map of Eratofthenes before him, and meafured off thefe degrees from the parallels of that geographer, by the compaffics, as we fhould do at the prefent hour ${ }^{\text {s2 }}$.

But we have another coincidence between the meafures of Pliny and the obfervations, which is equally remarkable; for Pliny has preferved the report of two Roman centurions fent into Ethiopia by Nero, who reckoned eight ${ }^{53}$ hundred and feventy-three miles from Syênè to the confluence of the Nile and Aftaboras, and feventy from the confluence to Meroe ${ }^{54}$. The formor number we muft exhauft by fuppofing that the conturions followed the winding of the river, which Pliny fpecifies; and upon the latter, where the difance is fo fmall, there can be no material error ; feventy Roman

[^49]$5^{4}$ Pliny mentions the places whith oceur on each fide the river in their progrefs to Mcroè; and he adds, that thefe are very different from the names given by the Greeks, whom Ptolemy Philadelphus fent into the fame country, and much fewer ; this defolation, he obferves, was not caufed by the Romans, but by the previous wars between the Egyptians and Ethiopians. But as he mentions likewife, lib-vi. c. 34,35 , that the inbabitants on the Nile, from Syênè to Meroè, were not Ethiopians but Arabs, may we not conclude, that the caure of defolation was imputable to them in that age as it is at prefent ? See Bruce, iv, $33^{\circ}$, et feq.
miles then approach within five of a degree, which, as we have no ancient map to guide us, we may try by the fale of Bruce. Bruce lad good inftruments, and had been long practifed in obfervation; but he was ftruggling for his life, and his obfervations muft have been hafty: ftill as we have no better, and no traveller is foon likely to correct his errors if he is miftaken, we are entitled to ufe his fatement till a better can be obtained. He fixes

| Herbagi in | $14^{\circ} 30^{\circ} 0^{\prime \prime}$. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Halfaia | $5^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 54^{\prime \prime}$. | Long. from Greenr: $3^{\prime \prime} 49^{\prime \prime} 15^{\prime \prime}$. |
| Gerri | $16^{\circ} 15^{\circ} 0^{\prime \prime}$. |  |
| Cherdi | $15^{\prime \prime} 3^{8 \prime} 35^{\prime \prime}$, | Long. $33^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime}$. |

Fifteen miles N. of the junction at Gooz, that is, the confluence of the Nile and $\} 17^{3} 57^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{ss}$. Aitabosas
In confequence of thefe obfervations Bruce places Meroè at Gerri, or very near it, as correfponding bett with Ptolemy. And for the fame reafon he might have preferred Chendi, which differs but five minutes more. A queen reigning there, and the title of Hendaque, fuggefted to him the name of Candácè, and the queen of Meroè. But he had reafon afterwards to conjecture that he found the remains of Meroè at a village called Gibbainy, for here he difcovered ruins ${ }^{56}$ which were evidently Egyptian or Ethiopick, and fuch as he had feen no where from the time he left Axum. He likewiie found an iffand in the Nile called Kurgos by the tatives: and fuch an ifland, which ferved for a port to Meroè, Pliny mentions by the name of Tadu". Thefe circumftances are fo connected, that if it were

[^50] figned for the ftatue of the dug, pices of fas,] [i.e.a loco ubiconflumat IXI milus et Aftabo- paffum. Juvaque

## periplus or the erythrean sea.

were not carrying the latitude too far north, we might prefer his conjecture to his pofition of Meroc. There is yet mother fact fill more appropriate; for if his obfervations are accurate, and he has placed the confluence of the two rivers exact, the diltance from the confluence to Gibbainy meafures upon his map as procifely fifty minutes as poffible; an approach fo near to the feventy Roman milesof Pliny ${ }^{\text {s8 }}$, that no greater accuracy can be required. It is true that this correfpondence will depend on the correanefs of Bruce's obfervations; but if they are faulty, who thall be the traveller to correct them! It is true alfo, that Bruce's latitude of Gibbainy is $17^{\circ} 4^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$, which is forty minutes to the north of Ptolemy's pofition, a difference, perhaps, not too great to counteract the evidence derived from the inland in the Nile, if there be none in a higher part of the river to. correfpond. And now, if it fhould ever be the lot of a future traveller to tread this arid foil again, at this point his fearch for Meroè fhould commence; and if no ruins were found farther tơ the
aliam infulam Tada dextro fubẹuntibus alveo [i.e. Nilo] que portum faceret. I. AEdifcia oppidi pauca. II. Reguare feminam Candacem quod nomen multis jam annis ad reginas tranfiit. Delubrum Hammonis et ibi facrum. III. Et toto tractu faccila. Plin. Iib. vi,

Befides the evidence this paffage gives for an ifland at Meroè, it contains fome features common to Ethiopia, Nubia, and Abyffnia. I. . edificia oppidi pauca, is a circumftance as ap. plicable to Gondar and Sennaar now, as to Meroè formerly. II. Candácè is the name of the queen fabdued by Petronius. And a Candáce's cumuch was baptized by Philip. Bruce found the name of Hendaque ftill exiting. III. Toto tractu facella. In Abyfinia the churches flood fo thick, that the fervice could be
heard from one to the other, as is noticed by the Jefuits and confirmed by Bruce. In thefe refpects, therefore, the manners of all thefe nations appear fimilar. Pliny notices, in anuther paffage, that they had forty.five kings: a ftrong characterittick of $\Lambda$ byffinia, perhaps, rather than Meroè. The temple of THammon, Strabo informs us, had been negleeted by the Romans, and the fuperfition defpifed. In his age, therefore, the Oafis itfelf of Hammon had fallen to decay. It might ftill, however, preferve its reputation among the Meroites. See Strabo, lib. xvi. p. 815 . Meroè is called Naulababe, the mother of ports, by the Egyptians; Neuba, by the natives; and Saba, by the Abyfinians. Marmol, vol. i. p. 45. ${ }^{58}$ Seventy-five to a degrec.
fouth, he might greet Bruce as the difcoverer of Meroè, an honour which, perhaps, would be lefs difputed than his pretenfions to the firt difcoyery of the fources of the Nile. We ought not to be ungrateful to thofe who explore the defert for our information: Bruce may have offended from the warmth of his temper, he may have been miffed by afpiring to knowledge and to fcience which he had not fufficiently examined; but his work throughout bears the internal evidence of veracity, in all inftances where he was not deceived himfelf, and his obfervations were the beft that a man. furnilhed with fuch inftruments as he had, and ftruggling for life, could obtain; they therefore deferve refpect; and. if we fhould be difpofed to adopt his conjecture, rather than his pofition, from the circumftances before us, the extreme difference between him and the ancient aftronomers is $16^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$, and $17^{\circ} 4^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$, a difagreement, perhaps, lefs allowable in this inftance than moft others, but fill excufable, from the imperfection of all ancient obfervations depending on the fhadow of the Gnomon, and the length of the day, and thofe of Ptolemy more efpecially.

- If by fating thefe particulars relative to the latitude of Meroè, we could have obtained the pofition of Ptolemáis, we fhould not hiave to afk the reader's pardon for the digreffion; but all that we pretend to deduce from it is, that Ptolemáis cannot be fixed at Ras Ahehaz, or Ageeg, where it is placed by d'Anville and Bruce. The Shumeta, or Nulian Foreft, which was the refort of the elephants, when Pitolemy built the city, and continues fo to the prefent hour, is fuppofed to commence in the neighbourhood of that Cape, in latitude ${ }^{18} 8^{\circ} 3 \mathrm{I}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}^{\prime \prime}$, whioh difagrees more than two degrees with Ptolemy, and nearly one and an half with the conjecture of Bruce. If we defcend
the coalt a degree and a half, we arrive at a bay in the middle of the Nubian foreft, the lower point of which is nearly in latitude $17^{\circ} 6^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$; a correfpondence with Bruce's conjectural parallel of Meroè, fo near as to be fatisfactory. On a projccting point of this fort. Ptolemais was built by Eumedes ${ }^{\text {º }}$, and fecured from the natives by a fofs carried round the angle from fea to fea; and if this fituation chould appear reafonable, from the deductions we have been fo defirous to ftate, a better foot for procuring elephants cannot be chofen.

There is not a wifh to conceal the uncertainty of this conclufion: the coaft is little vifited by any European veflels, and the charts of our beft Hydrographers are therefore lefs to be depended on : Strabo's account agrees better with the meafures of the Periplûs, and the affumption of d'Anville at Ras Ahehaz. If the diftance in the Periplûs from thence to Adûli had been equally confiftent, it would have been conclufive; but the whole is now determined by the parallel of Meroè, which the ancients carry through Ptolemáis, and we cannot well attribute to them an error of two degrees, on a point better eftablifhed than almoft any other whatfoever.

Mr. Goffelin carries it ftill lower, kut without a cape, or any circumftance to mark the fpot. And it is to be remarked, that he is fo attached to his own eftimates, for correcting the latitudes of Ptolemy and the other ancient geographers, that he pays little refpect to local circumftances and the charackerifick features of the coaft. As I cannot difpute this matter on every point where we differ, I fhall obferve here, that his want of attention to the text appears no where more confpicuous than at Adûli and Arômata, two places

$$
\text { so Strabo, Iib. xvi. } 770 \text {, }
$$

which the Periplûs marks with diftinctions that cannot be miftaken, and which Mr. Goffelin transforms or difplaces with great violence. The confequence is, that he is obliged to have two Adullis, for which there can be no warrant either in hiftory or geography.

With whatever errors my arrangement of the coalt may be chargeable, I truft it will only affect individual pofitions: the general outline I am perfuaded is true. I fubmit it, indeed, with lefs confidence to the public fince I have perufed the Refearches of Mr . Goffelin. But I fhall not relinquifh the ground I have taken in a fingle infance. I truft to the inveftigation which I have patiently purfued under every difficulty, and l leave the iffue to the judgment of thofe who are competent to decide.

It is neceffary now to obferve, that the hunting of elephants eftablifhed at Ptolenáis is confirmed by Agatharchides, Diodórus, Strabo, and other authors. The manner of hamftringing thefe animals was an art as perfectly underfood by the ancient barbarians ${ }^{62}$, as by Bruce's Agageers; and the relifh ${ }^{\text {6t }}$ for the flefh of the elephant is an indelible characteriftick of the nation. Ptolemy would have redeemed the life of the animal at any price, as he wanted elephants for his army; but he met with a refufal from the native hunters, who declared they would not forego the luxury of their repaft for all the wealth of Egypt ${ }^{62}$.

[^51]
## A D O U LI.

V. From Ptolemáis, the next port we are conducted to by the Periplus is Adúli, at the diftance of about three thoufand fladia; a fpace by no means agreeable to the difference between Ras Ageeg and this place ${ }^{63}$, as little inore than two degrees of latitude. intervene, which produce fhort of an hundred and forty miles, where we ought to find three hundred. This we are informed was a regular and eftablifhed port ${ }^{64}$, and it can be no other than the celebrated harbour and bay of Mafuah, fo well known by the accounts of the Jefuits and of Bruce, as the only proper entrance into Abyflinia.

It is not my intention to enter farther into the detail of this country, fo extraordinary and now fo well known, than I am led by the claffical authorities before me; but they are fo numerous, and fo confiftent with modern accounts, that to neglect them altogether would be reprehenfible.

The Bay of Mafuah or Adûli has an extent of fix miles, and is ${ }^{65}$ open to the north eaft ${ }^{65}$. It contains two illands, upon one of which the town of Mafuah ftands, and which, from its vicinity to che main, muft be that of Diodorus, as it is called in the Peripluss; fo near, fays the
${ }^{63} 15^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 5^{\prime \prime}$. Bruce, iii. p. $3^{\text {I }}$.
 in contraditinction to-Ptolemáis and Berenícè, which were not ports buc roads.
$\sigma_{5}$ Bruce, iii. p. $\sigma_{3}$ :
${ }^{c}{ }^{6}$ In the Peripliss, xax' 'unviò rò̀ Nórous, which of neceffity we muft render focundum Notrm, as xarà aiǹ dekian, à dexastrâ. I know not that the sfage is juftifable, but other inftances will
occur in the Periplus, and it is impoffible that a fouth-weft coalt fhould lie open to the fouthweft, perhaps, var' civuivv civ Nórou, "as you fail or direct your courfe to the fouth." This ifland is fo called from Diodorrus a former navigator, as we may fuppofe, and perhaps the Diodôrus Samius mentioned by Ptolemy, lib. i.c. 7 .
autbor, that the fea was fordable ${ }^{\text {e7 }}$. And the natives took advantage of this to attack the-fhips at their moorings. For this reafon the merchants had afterwards preferred anchorage at another illand, called Orinè, or the Rock, at twenty miles diftance from the coaft ${ }^{\text {so }}$, which anfwers to the Dahalac of Bruce, or one of its dependencies ${ }^{\text {s }}$. The two illands in the bay are called Sheik Sede and Toualhout, and for the former, which is a title manifeflly derived from a Sheik's tomb, De la Rochette has found the name of Dúli ${ }^{\text {º }}$, ftill bearing a refemblance to the ancient Adûli.

At twenty fladia from the fhore, and oppofite to Orinè lay Adûli, which was a village of no great extent; and three days' journey inland was Koloè ${ }^{7^{2}}$, the firft market where ivory could be procured ${ }^{72}$. Five days' journey from Koloè lay Axûma, where all the

> 67 The two illands of Sheik Sede and Toualhout are nearly one at low-water, they may have been joined formerly. Bxuce, iii. p. 56 .
> ${ }^{43}$ Two hundred ftadia. Dabalac itfelf is about thirty miles diflant, but many of the iflands dependent on it are within twenty.

> 0 Dahalac, according to Bruce, vol, i. p. 350 . is a low flat rocky ifland, without water, but furnifhed with tanks of extraordinary magnitude and frructure, for the prefervation of the rain water, which falls abundantly at certain feafons. Thefe works are now in ruins, but Bruce fuppofes them to be the works of the Ptolemies, in the vigour of the Egyptian trade. They may be Sabêan, for Dahal, or Del, Bruce informs us, fignifies an ifland, in Arabick; and both this Dabal.ac and another Del-aqua in the Bay of Zeila, may have been inles where the Sabeans procured water. I refer this to the inquiry of Orientalifts.

In fixing upon Dabalice for Orine, I am
guided by the two huncred fadia of the Periplûs, and fupported by d'Anville. But Orínè figuifies mountainous rather than rocky. And Cofmas mentions $\dot{\alpha}=\frac{1}{2} \mu \mathrm{nisw}$ dón. Cofmas is high authority, he was at Adali himelf; and the meation of the inlands Alalation in the Pesiplas, evidently the dependencies of Dathalac, if not Dahalac itfelf, leaves little doubt on the allotment of Orinè. See d'Anville, Geog. Anc. tom. iti. p. 60.

7o Bruce met with a Mabomet Adzulai at Mafiah ; rol. iii. p. ir, which feems to imply that the memorial of ACûli is not loft.
$i^{t}$ In Tigrè, the province of which Sirè is a part, the market is fitil on the fane footiag. The beft llaws, the pureft gold, the largeft teeth of ivory muft all pafs through the hands of the governour of this province. Bruce, iii. p. 25 I.
${ }^{2}$ The elephant's track was fert fcen by Brace, on the third day, iii. p 7 t.
ivory was collected which was brought from the other fide of the Nile, through the province called Kuenion, and thence by Axuima to Aduli. Thefe diftances anfwer exactly to place Koloè on the mountains ${ }^{73}$, which commence at the back of the fands; and eight. days' journey tơ'Axuma is a juft allowance for about an hundred and twenty miles ${ }^{74}$, which is its diftance from the fea. The province of Kuenion is manifeftly Sire, which receives its name from the Dog Star, under the influence of which the rains prevail that are to inundate Egypt, and Siris ${ }^{75}$ is fynonimous to Kuenion in the labguage of the country. Few elephants or rhinocerofes are feen: on the coaft or in the neighbourhood of Adûli. The mafs of them 'which fupply the trade are all killed in the interior.

The fovereign of this coaft, from above Berenice ${ }^{75}$. down the whole tract of Barbaria, is Zofkales, he is very fuperior to the other. princes in the neighbourhood. Civilized in his manners, refpect-able in his conduct, liberal and honourable in his dealings, and inftructed in the knowledge of the Greek language.

The province affigned to this fovereign correfponds precifely with the territory affigned to the Bahr-nagafh, or king of the coalt, under

the empire of Abyifnia; and the manners attributed to him are confiftent with that pre-eminence which the Abyffinians in all ages feen to have preferved over the barbarous tribes by which they are furrounded.

How it has happened that a nation neither Nigritian or Ethiopick fhould be fettled in this part of Africa, diltinguifhed from all around then, as much formerly by their manners, as they now are by their religion, is a problem that has divided the opinion of all who have vifited the country.

That they are not of Hebrew origin appears evident, notwith ftanding their own pretenfion and the arguments of Bruce; becaufe, in the firt place, the Jews among them continued a diftinct tribe.; and in the next, their language is written from the left hand to the right ${ }^{77}$. Paolino, a miffionary on the coaft of Malabar, afferts, that though the character is different, the principle, genius, and conftitution of their language is Shanfkreet ${ }^{78}$. A queftion well worthy of examination by thofe who are qualified to. purfuê it. * But as far as a private judgment is of weight, I muft confers, that. the account of Herodotus has always appeared to me the mof rational ; that they are a nation of fugitives from Egypt. Strabo, in copying this opinion, has added, that the appellation? they


Sabai is both by d $A$ nvilie and Bruce fuppofed to be Ras A flab = Cape Affab, in lat. $13^{\circ} 3^{\circ}$. If this be allowed, it accords intimately with Abyfinia: becaufe as Strabo goes inlard he reverts to Meroè, which proves that his detail on the coalt, and in the interior, do not quite keep pace together. A line drawn from Altab to Meroè would alnoft touch Axuma, and
give themfelves is Sebrita ${ }^{50}$; a term which fignifies $A d v o c i a a^{k t}$, the more remarkable, as Bruce obferves, that the original title by which they are diftinguifhed in their own hiftory and language, is that of Habefh ${ }^{\text {sz }}$, or Convenæ. It is impoffible to fuppofe, that the affinity of thefe two words is accidental.

The flight of thefe exiles is fixed by Herodotus in the reign of Pfammetichus ${ }^{\text {n3 }} ; 630$ years.before Chrift, and only 185 years before the date of his own hiftory; he mentions that they went to as great a diftance ${ }^{8 .}$ beyond Meroès ${ }^{35}$, as Meroè is from Elephántinc, to the number of two hundred and forty thoufand; and that the name by which they were diftinguifhed as a nation was Afmack ${ }^{\text {ts }}$, or Afkham; an appellation which Reilk ${ }^{57}$ and other Orientalifts have fuppofed to allude to Axúm, the Axûma or Axôma firft mentioned exprefsly
cut Abyffinia in the centre. I wifh a reference to be made to the whole paffage in Strabo. lib. xvi. p. 770, where among much obleurity, much truth may be difcovered. And where I fhould think that Sukbo is Suakem, but that Strabo fays it is ioland. It is in reality a town on an ifland in a bay, the approach to which is by $\underline{\underline{c}}$ narrow channel like a viver. See de la Rochette's map of the Red Sea. See allo the learned Earcher's notes eighty and eighty-three, on this paffage of Hesodotus; with his citations from Plutarch de Exilio, p. 6o1. and from Diodôrus, lib. i. p. 77. A palfage occurs here in Diodóres, which I ought not to have omitted at the conclufion of the firft book, to prove the commerce of the Greeks in the ports of

 ibid.
${ }^{s o}$ He adds, that thefe Sebrita are under the government of the queen of Meroè, p. 771 . which though, perhaps not true, difcovers the
connexion, or the fimilarity of government.
${ }^{8 .}$ Ptolemy has the name of Sibridx; perhaps the fame, in the Greek text Sebardx.
${ }^{31}$ Bruce, vol. i. p. 379 .
${ }^{3}$ Pfammetichus died in 616. Blair. Herodotus read his hiftory at the Olympick Games, 445, ante Chriflum. I allow to the middle of Pfammetichns's reign.
${ }^{84}$ The diftance affigued by Heródotus is fifty-two days to Meroc, and fifty-two beyond, which do not correfpond, if the termination in at Axulma. See lib. ii. p. it6. But beyoud Egypt all muft be report. Arifides, Orat. Egyp. contradicts Heródotus as to the diftance, as I learn from Larcher, tom. it. p. 213.
${ }^{3}$ Bruce, vol. i. p. 278 , quotes Herbdotus. in this paffage, for what be does not fay.
${ }^{86}$ It fignifies the left hand. Herod. becaufe they had been guards on the king's left haud, perhaps the lcft wing of his army. See Diod,
${ }^{37}$ See Weffeling, not. 71. Hered. lib. if. p. 116.
in the Periplus: a fuppontion which there is very little reafon to difcredit. In addition to this teftimony of Heródotus, we have a variety of evidence from other authors, that Adtuli ${ }^{\text {ss }}$ was built by exiles from Egypt; and if Bruce had not had fuch a predilection for his Sheplerds, he mult have difoovered, that the monuments he found at Axûma himfelf, the obelifk ", the tot, the table of hieroglyphics, and the fphinxes, are perfectly Egyptian, and not paltoral, Troglodytic, Meroite, or Greek.

That the Greeks from Egypt landed at Adûli, and fubdued the country as far as Axûma, or farther, is evident. Ptolemy Philadelphus pufhed his difcoveries bcyond Meroè by land, and by fea, perhaps, as far as Madagafcar; and the famous infcription preferved by Cofmas Indicopleuites, is a proof that Euergetes fubdued a confiderable part of Abyffinia.

This infcription is reported by Cofmas to have been engraved on a tablet and on a marble chair or throne of the congueror; and to have been extant in his own age at Adulli, 545 years after the Chriftian era. It is not without its difficulties; but Cofmas, from internal evidence, was certainly at Adûli ${ }^{90}$ himfelf, and acquainted with Abyffinia. Ptolemy appears, by the infcription, to have paffed the Tacazzè, which he calls the Nile, and to have penetrated into Gojam,
${ }^{* 8}$ Pliny, lib. vi. c. 24 . Adáliton oppidum NEgyptiorum; hoc fervi a dominis profugi condiderunt.
${ }^{89}$ All theie are noticed by Brace, and the form of the obelifk delineated : they are mentioned alfo by Lobo, p. 201 Fr. ed. Obeliks alfo and pyramids appear in the pieture of Adull, drawn by Cofmas on the fpot, anno Cbrifti 532. See Chilhull Antiq. Affatice, in

Marmore Ad litano. Sec Difertation, No. ii. 9. Though he is called Indiçopleulles, I can bardly give hin credit for having ever failed on the Indian oceaa. His defcription of Ceylon has obtained this title for him. But he fays himfelf, he had it from Sopater. And his account of the fea beyond the ftraits of Bab-el-mandeb may well make us think he never pafled them.
the very province where the fountains of the Nile are found ; the Agows are mentioned by name, and other appellations ferm to imply the kingloms of Tigre ", Bizamo, and Begemder, the country of Geez, with the mountains Samen and Lamamon. The frow mentioned on thofe tracts is difclaimed by Bruce ${ }^{p r}$. But what phenomena were natural to the country in fo diftant an age, it is hard to determine. What is added, that Ptolemy Euergetes made roads or opened a communication by land between this country and Egypt, is the moft remarkable particular of the whole, bccaufe this method of intercourfe feems wholly obliterated, as far as may be judged by fubfequent writers. And Agatharchides does not appear to be acquainted, cither with the expedition of a fovercign of his own country, not fifty years deceafed, nor with the country, or its port Adûli ${ }^{\rho^{2}}$. His account goes nio farther down the coaft than Ptolemáis; and even there is not without a mixture of the marvellous.

This, however, is but a negative proof, and not fufficient to invalidate an exifting infeription, if Cofmas is worthy of belief; and to his credit be it mentioned, that Bruce ${ }^{93}$ found the name EUE'RGETES, ftill vifible on a ftone at Axúm, which ferves as a footitool to the throne on which the kings of Abyffinia are crowned at this day.
so Sce Differtation ii.
${ }^{91}$ Vol. ï. p. 296. Bruce fays, there is no word in the language to exprefs fnow or ice. But Horace fays, Soracte fat nive candida, a circumftance which now never occurs, as $I$ think,' Addifon fays.
${ }^{\text {s* }}$ See Appendix, Adûlitic marble, No. ii.
${ }^{23}$ Bruce writes, "The infeription though
much defaced, may fafely be reftored." ittoabmaior evertetor bayiners. How much more authentic would a fac finile of the infcription have been, than the relloration? in which, by an error of the author, or the prefs, EvERIETOX, ia read for EMPPLETOY, vol. iii. p. 132 .

On this evidence there is little reafon to doubt the expedition of Ptolemy to this country; and however the port of Adûli might be forgotten or abandoned in the time of Agatharchides, it became again confpicuous, as the trade increafed in the Red Sea; or at leaft as it was conducted under the protection of the Roman power in Egypt.

This intercourfe will fufficiently account for the character which the Periplûs gives to Zôfkales ${ }^{\text {s* }}$, the civilized ftate of his manners, and his knowledge of the Greck language. And it is plain that this country was juft beginning to be knotn again, as Pliny mentions Adûli only without any notice of Axûma; and Strabo, who preceded him, makes no mention of either: The manners of thefe tribes he derives chiefly from Agatharchides, with the addition of fome peculiaritics ${ }^{s}$; but with the commerce of the coant, and the kingdom of Abyffinia, he was unacquainted, though he accompanied Elius Gallus to Syênè: That journey of Gallus was preparatory to the opening of the trade meditated by the Romans, from their firf entrance into the country; the author of the Periplâs ${ }^{s 8}$ writes as if it had been opened previons to his own time, and with evcry arpareat evidence, that he had traded to Aduli himfelf. The affortment of his "cargo is as ipecific as a modern. invoice.

94 So and Suah, according to Bruce, are routs, implying the Shepited tribes on this conft. Thus IJa-fuah is the port of the Shipherds. Coutd he not have found So in 20 Alsales the king of the Shepherds?


9: If the Adultic infription is verifisi, it is the fird anthentic accuant of A.b, fe in. But the knowletlge of it was kof, and the Periplis is the furt work extant, which exprefsly notices ?dedt, Axame, and the comnmence of the country.
EXPORTS.
'Ел́́фац.
'Proxiépus.

Ivory.
Horns of the Rhinoceros.

## IMPORTS.




^е́vтia.
$\Delta x \rho^{\circ}{ }^{\prime} \sigma \sigma i \alpha$.
As $\theta_{i o c}^{\prime}$ 'T $\alpha \lambda \eta_{n}$.
Mug ${ }^{2}$ wv e ${ }^{\prime 2}$.
than manufacture, for the Barparian market.
Robes made up, the manufacture of Arsínoè or Suez.
Single cloths dyed, in imitation of those of a fuperior quality.
Linen, fuppofed to be from the Latin Linteum.
Cloth, ftriped or fringed.
Glass or Chrystal.
Porcelaine, made up at Diofpolis in Egypt, in imitation of Mricental.
fenfe it puffed to the Greeks, and from them to the Romans.
${ }^{98}$ Salmafius everywhere reads Moping, which he fuppofes to be Oriental porcelain; if fo, the manufacturers of Diofpolis are the Prototype of the European imitators. But there is much controverfy upon this fubject, what the Morrhina really vas.
${ }^{97}$ Bruce has Shewn, that Barbarick, Barbrine, and Berberin, are names derived from Berber or Barbar, the native name of the coat of the Trogloditick, Iethyophagi, and Sleep-. herds. It goes down the whole weftern coat of the Red Sea. The Egyptians bated and feared them. It was, therefore, in Egypt a term both of dread and contumely, in which

ADULt.



Siöroos.

Пелй́zí.
гхє́таруа.

 round.
$\Delta \eta=a_{2}^{c} c t:$ :
Denarii, Specie for the fe of Arrangers, Roman coin. If Greek, it would have been $\Delta_{\rho \rho^{\prime}}{ }^{\prime} \chi \mu \alpha$, drachms.


$\mathrm{X}_{\text {¢иба́ }}{ }^{\prime} \mu a \tau \alpha$.

White Copper, for ornaments and for coin.
Brats, for culinary veffels, for bracelets, and ornaments of the legs, fill worn in Abyffinia. See Bruce, iii. 54 .
Iron, for fear heads to hunt the elephants, \&c. and for weapons of all forts.
Hatchets.
Adzes.
Knives, daggers, or kanjars.

Wine, Laodicean, i. c. Syrian, and Italian.
Oil, but in no great quantity.
Gold plate. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { According to the } \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { fafhion of the } \\ \text { country, and as }\end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { prefents, or for the } \\ \text { uTe of the king. }\end{array}\end{array}\right.$
"A ${ }^{2}$ ó $\lambda \lambda \alpha_{1}$.
 Qu \%o $\lambda \lambda \tilde{\varepsilon}$. ó $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \alpha$.

Eíñjos Ivoxoòs.

Watch coats, camp cloaks.
Coverlids, plain. of no great value. not many.
Iron, of Indian temper or manu facture.

 perhaps blue Surat cottons, ftill common in Abyffinia. Bruce ${ }_{\text {j }}$ vol. iii. p. 62.
 Перцऽј́рата.

Cottons or Mulins, in parcels.
Safhes, ftill an article in great requeft.
Coverlids.
Cotton, of the colour of the mal: lows flower.
इıvóves, ỏ $\lambda^{\prime}$ 'रos.
Mulins, in no great quantity.
Аа́ккоб, Хј́ $\omega \mu$ а́тшоs.
Gum lack, but Salmafius thinks it the colour of a cloth or cotton. Plin, Ex. 816.

Thefe are the principal articles imported from Egypt into Adûli. The voyage may be made any time from January to September ${ }^{\circ 8}$,


#### Abstract

$9^{8}$ The author expreffes-himelf both in Latin terms and Egyptian. From January to September that is, from Tybi to Thoth, otherwife one muf have fuppofed an error; for according to Bruce and the charts, the


regular wind blows up the gulph from November to April. Perhaps there are means of coming down from Berēnícè or Ptolemáis, with land breezes?

Wut the beft feafor is Septerber, and this is conflemt with the modern account of the winds in this fe: .

Oppofite to the Bay of Adnliss lie many low and fandy iflands called Alalaiou ${ }^{160}$, anfwering precifely to the appendages of Dahalac as defribed by Bruce, and exhibiting, feemiagly, the elements of the nodern name; for Dahal fignifies an illand, in the language of Geez. Hither, according to the Periplûs, Tortoife-fhell was brought by the Icthyóphagi; nnd it is very remarkable that Bruce fhould obferve the beanty of the tortoife-fhell here ${ }^{262}$, to be fo exquifite that it is a very profitable article of trade with China and the Indies. Thofe who know the Roman tafte for ornamenting doors, tables, couches, beds, \&c. with this fhell, will not wonder at its value in the commerce of the ancients.

Below Adûli, about eight hundred fadia, or eighty miles, there is a deep bay with a vaft accumulation of fand, in which is found the Opfian ftone, that is no where elfe to be met with. Salmafus has proved that the title of Opfidian or Obfidian given to this fofil from an unknown Obfidius, is an error. He deferibes it as a dark green which will take a very high polith, and for which reafon it is faid to have been felected by Domitian to paneer a portico at an enormous expence, that it might by reflection fhew if any one was approaching behind his back, and preferve him from the attack of an affafin. There are fpecimens in England of what the modern Italian artifts call Opfian ftone; its texture is clofe enough to admit

[^52]of any poli $h^{1 c z}$, but it is fo dark that the green tinge can only be difcovered in a particular light.

The bay where it is found is much harder to difcover than the ftone itfelf ${ }^{103}$. There is nothing like a bay till we come to Beilul, much too diftant, and there are no data to guide us but the diftance. It is here that the authority of Zofkales feems to terminate; and if Bruce had been able to give us the exact limit between the province of the Bahrnagafh and the kingdom of Adel, it is poffible that this. might have determined the quefion.

From this bay the coaft of the gulph, we are informed, has a more eafterly direction to the ftraits: a circumftance agreeing with. the maps of Ptolemy, the report of Agatharchidcs, and the opinion. of the age. This gives the fituation of the Bay, both in regard to. Adûli and the firaits,

The ftraits of Bab-cl-mandeb, or Mandel, which is interpreted the gate of affliction, are in all refpects worthy of confideration. They, for many ages, formed the barrier unpaffed by Europeans; and from the time this barrier was forced, the knowledge of India and the countries beyond it has been on the increafe to the prefent hour. I fpeak of Europeans, becaufe I am ready to admit an intercourfe between the fouthern coaft of Arabia and Malabar, as early as the moft fpeculative antiquary can require. I acknowledge all that can be attributed to the voyages of Solomon's fleet, as long as they are confined to the coaft of Africa. I accede to the progrefs of Timofthenes down the fame coaft, perhaps, as far as Madagafcar, notwithftanding the inconfiftency of his accounts ${ }^{204}$. And I allow

[^53]the Phenicians to have penetrated as far as Herodotus thall pleafe to carry them, if he will not conduct them round the Cipe of Good Hope. But whatever difcoveries we attribute to the Oricntal navigators, there is no hiftorical cvidence remaining, that the Greeks in Egypt profecuted thefe difcoveries fo as to make them the : fis of a fettled trade: they contented themfelves with fetching the raduce of India and Africa from Yemen; if they did pals ${ }^{103}$ the Itrats by accident or defign, it was under fuch an impreffion of terror, tivat every thing beyond them was obfoured by fable, the fun was a pillar ${ }^{150}$, and the fea a curd.

Much that the three firft Ptolemies had attempted, was neglected, or forgotten by their profigate and oppreflive fucceffors; and if the Romans had not taken poffeffion of Egypt, a thort fucceffion of weak and ignorant princes might have reduced this commerce again into the fame torpid ftate, it has experienced under the Mammeluks or the Turks. The dread of venturing on the ocean is expreffed by many writers long afier the trade to India was eftablified; and Cofmas, in the reign of Juftin, fpeaks of pafing the ftraits as wildly as Pytheas does of the Arctic ocean.

As this fpecics of the marvellous is a conftant attendant upon ignorance ${ }^{1 / 7}$, and an indication that the writer deferibes what he never faw ; fo is a plain narrative an eridence of truth, and the abfence of prodigies one of the ftrongef proofs that the author really vifited the country he defcribes.

[^54]uficorery of Hippalus will be flewt at large in the thind bouk.

236 A.stharchies.
10 ('orr [are the necount in the Pritime to lowicr than It toleméts Therín with theaccount of the fume couric in Agotherwisids.

It is frominternal evidence of this fort that I conclude the author of the Periplûs to have been himfolf a trader on the coaft of Africo and Malabar. Concerning both he fyeaks with the temperate language of one who defcribes objects that are familiar; and the extravagance, fuch as he has, commences not till he paffes Cape Comorin.

In running down the coaft from Aduli to the ftraits, we have no mention of any place but the bay where the Opfian ftone is found, upon an extent of near four hundred miles. The author conducts us at once to Avalitès, which lay immediately beyond the neck of the ftraits; and from the time we leave Ptolemáis Thêrôn moft of the appellations are native, without reference to the reigning family of Egypt, or to the Greek language, ${ }^{107}$ for their origin.

The reafon of this does not appear, as Strabo, Juba, Pliny, and Ptolemy, all place Arfinoè and Berenícè Epidìres in this tract, with-flight traces of other Greek names, as Eúmenes and Antíochus ${ }^{100}$. If they exifted, it is frange that a Greek fhould have paffed them unnoticed, neither does it appear that they are concealed under the native names which Ptolemy reports, in the fame manner as our author ${ }^{10}$.
> ${ }^{108}$ Orinè, Daphnon, Apokopi are Greck names, but given from circumftances, and perhaps by the firt navigator, as Cook named his new difcoveries.

> 395 Strabo, P. 771 , Ptol p. 112.

[^55]

## D EIR E.

VI. We are now to pafs the, celebrated ftraits of Bab-el-Mandeb, a name which is fomctimes thought to be figured in the Mandaeth of Ptolemy. But Mandaeth he ftyles a village, and places it forty minutes north of the ftraits ${ }^{\text {" }}$. The ftraits he calls Deirè ${ }^{12}$, or the Neck. The Periplûs makes no mention of Deirè, but obferves that the point of contraction is clofe to Abalites, or the Abalitick mart ; it is from this mart that the coaft of Africa, falling down firft to the fouth, and curving afterwards towards the eaft; is ftyled the Bay of Avalites by Ptolemy, anfwering to the modern Bay of Zeila; the country from the ftraits to Cape Gardefan or Aromata is the kingdom of Adel; and in the modern Adel we may perhaps trace a refemblance to the ancient Abal-ites ${ }^{\text {"1 }}$. However this may be, the Portuguefe, upon their firf intercourfe with Abyffinia, found Adel a powerful kingdom in the hands of a Mahometan race of fovereigns, the determined enemies of the Chriftian name, and the ravagers of Abyffinia, almoft to its deftruction. Againft thefe invaders, and againtt the oppreffion of Gragni ${ }^{14}$, the moft ferocious and the moft fuccefsful of all thofe Mahometan tyrants, it was, that the Abyffinians follicited the affifance of the Portuguefe. Albuquerque, the brother of the illuftious general of that name;

[^56]was fent to command the troops appointel to the: fervice, in which expedition he and moft of his followers perimes. But the know ledge which the Portuguefe obtained by that intercouic, and the wars in which they were engaged, on the coalt of Arabia, with the Turks and Arabs, furnifh the principal means that we have for cxplaining the topography of the country before us ${ }^{\text {"5 }}$. The Euglifh who ftill frequent the Red Sea, feldom vifit the ports of Adel, as the flate of the country prefents little temptation to the fpeculations of commerce. But when the Portuguefe firf entered thefc feas, Adel, though a barbarous was ftill a powerful government ${ }^{16}$, gold duft, ivory, myrrh, and Abyffinian flaves ${ }^{17}$ formed the ftaple of its native commerce, the fpiccs and mullins of India were ftill found in its ports, and notwithftanding the depredations of a favage war, caravans ${ }^{18}$ were protected, which arrived regularly from Abydinia, and the interior of Africa more to the fouthward. Thefe circumftances will contribute more to illuftrate the narrative of the Periplus than any particulars which can be collected from ancient authors; the Portuguefe fond the country and the commerce in the fame ftate as the Greeks defrribed it fifteen hundred ycars before, Arabs

[^57]tractable, intelligent, and endued with talents and conage which always elevate them to favour, and often to command. When commodore Robiufon furveyed the coaft of Brodia in 1772, an Abyfinian was mafter of Scindi. How different is this fingular, race from the Caffres on the coall in their neighborrhood!
${ }^{118}$ See Corfali in Ramufio, vol. i. p. 187, Purchas, vol. i. p. 754.
mixed with the natives, the fame productions and commodities, the fame intercouric with Hadramaut and the coaft of Malabar. This ftate of things ceafed, in fome degree, with the arrival of the Europeans in India. But as long as the Indian trade was carried on by the Red Sea, the kingdom of Adel muft have partaken in it, and its commerce would be fimilar to the Mofyllitick commerce of the ancients. This trade was fingular; for, as far as can be collected from the authorities which remain, it appears, that in the age of Agatharchides, the Greeks of Egypt went no farther than Sabêa or Yemen, to fetch the commodities of India; that they afterwards paffed the ftraits, and found a better market in the port of Mofyllon ${ }^{\text {rid }}$, one of the harbours of Adel; that in a later period they advanced as fat as Hadramaut, on the fouthern coalt of Arabia; and that all thefe efforts were made for obtaining the productions of India, till at laft they reached that country themfelves, firf by adhering to the coaft, and finally by friking acrofs the occan in confequence of the difcovery of the monfoon by Hippalus.

The coait of Adel, ftyled Barbaria ${ }^{120}$ in the Periplûs, commences at the ftraits and terminates at Arômata; in which there can be little doubt, that the author is more accurate than Ptolemy, who extends the name of Barbaria down the coaft of Ajan, the Azánia of the Perriplûs. Barbaria is much more properly extended to the north than the fouth; for the Troglodytes on the weftern coaft of the Red Sea are the original Barbars or Berberines, as Bruce has admirably proved, the perpetual enemies of Egypt, whence their name became a term of odium and diftinction, and in this fenfe paffed both to

[^58]the Grecks and Romans, as an appellition wlopted for trey thing that was foreign, or contrary and offenfive to their own fition of life and manners.

The coaft of Barbaria is eftimated at four thonsind fidia ${ }^{12}$ in the Periplus, and is in reality four hundred and fitiy feographical miles, without taking its finuofities into the aceount. 'The fraits at Bab-el-Mandeb are contracted to three and twenty miles, a face divided into two channels by the intervention of lerim and other illes, both of which were mavigated by the ancients, according to their courfe down the oppofite fides of the Red Sca; from the ftraits, the channel opens in an eafterly dirccion to Cana or Cape Fartaque on the Arabian fide, and to Aromata or Gardefan on the coaft of Africa. Thefe two promontorics form the proper entrance to the Atraits from the Indian Ocean, and are about two hundred and fifty gcographical miles afunder. The latitude ${ }^{123}$ of Fartaque. is $15^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$, and that of Gardefan $12^{\circ} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$.

The African fide of this channcl, which we are now to follow, contains, according to the Periplîs, four principal marts or anchoragics, called by the general name of Ta-pera ${ }^{123}$; and the fame number occur in the accounts of the Portuguefe, but all attempts to make them correfpond are in vain. D'Anville has placed them

[^59]tators. I incline flrongly to the former. The marts beyond the fraits, in contradifliuction to
 this feems fully confirmed by the Peripltis itfelf, p. 8. where the MS lias $\tau$ ormescy and minnex, which Hudion yery properly writes


according to the meafures of the Periplûs, My own wilh was to have reconciled Mofyilon with the modern Zeyla; firt, upon account of a refemblance in the found of the names; and fecondly, becaufe Zeyla is the principal mart of the moderns, as Mofyllon ${ }^{34}$ was of the ancients. But this endsavour is favoured neither by the meafures or the circumftances defcribed. The leading facts upon which the following arrangement is founded, will be fated in their proper place; they amount, at beft, only to conjecture; but this is of lefs importance, as they terminate in certainty at Aròmata, with fuch ftriking peculiarities as can be derived only from one who had actually vififted the coalt himfelf.

ABALITES, AUALEITES, pronounced AVALITES, whether writen with the B or the U .
VII. The firft of thefe marts io Abalites, a road, but not a port or harbour ; the goods are conveyed to and from the fhips in boats or rafts ${ }^{125}$. This place, according to the Periplûs, is clofe to the Straits of Bab-el-Mandeb, but Ptolemy has fixed it at the diftance of fifty or fixty miles, and makes it give name to the whole Bay of Zeyla, which is ftyled the Bay of Mofyllon by Pliny. There is à Ras $\mathrm{Bel}{ }^{126}$. in the charts which is not more than ten geographical miles from the ftraits; but whether the refemblance of the names. marks any relation, is juflly to be doubted.

[^60]The imports of this place are:



Flint glafs of various forts.
Unripe grapes from Diofpolis, or, perhaps, vinegar. See Hefych. Stuckius fuppofes it may be any unripe fruit; and Ramufio fuppofes it to be a feecies of ftone.
 гиание́vа.
इїтоร.
Oivos.


Corn.
Wine.
Tin in fmall quantity.

The exports are conveyed by the natives in fmall craft to Kelis [Okélis,] and Moofa, on the coaft of Arabia, confifting of
'A ${ }^{2} \omega^{\prime} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$.


 " $\lambda \lambda \lambda_{5}$.

Gums, odoriferous gums.
Ivory in fmall quantity.
Tortoife-fhell.
Myrrh in very fmall quantity but of the fineft fort.

127 The tin of Britain we thus find on the conveyed. How many commodities paffed coaft of Africa. May we not jurtly fuppofe, that the Africans knew as Iittle of Britain as the Britons of Africa? Yet here we fee the medium through which the commodity was
from regions equally diftant, without any knowlcdge of the medium ? before any knowledge exifted?

Particular attention is due to this laft article, becaufe the myrrh of Arabia is celebrated by every poet and hiftorian, while Bruce fays, it is not properly a native of that country, nor does it come to perfection there. Its origin, he affirms, is from Azam in Africa. The Periplus is perfectly in harmony with this affertion. It mentions the myrrh of this coaft as the finelt of its kind; it fpecifies the means of conveying it to Yemen or Sabêa; there the firlt Greek navigators found it, and through their means it found its way into Europe, under the name of Sabêan.

One other remark of the Periplûs, that the natives of Avalites are uncivilized, and under little reftraint, is worth noticing, becaufe it is in correfpondence with all the modern accounts we have, which defcribe the natives as treacherous beyond meafure, a quality, perhaps, not mitigated by the introduction of Arabs among them, or the religion of Mahomet, but aggravated by inftruction, and pointed by fuperfition.

We have now four thoufand ftadia to difpofe of, eight hundred to Malao, and a thoufand, or two days fail each, are allotted to Mundus, to Mofyllon, and Nilo-Ptolemêon. In the diftribution of thefe d'Anville has acted wifely in confidering the diftances only; and though I differ from him in the following arrangement, upon the ftrength of one particular, which is the mention of directing the courfe eaft from Mundus, it is not without diffidence in my own affumption.

$$
\mathrm{M} A \mathrm{~L} A \stackrel{\hat{O}}{\mathrm{O}}
$$

VIII．Eight hundred ftadia，or cighty miles to Malao，is more than fufficient to carry the pofition of this place to＇Zeyla； but the defcription given can hardly be confiftent with the fitua－ tion of that town in a bay；the anchorage ${ }^{228}$ is marked as a road upon an open fhore，with fome protection from a promontory on the eaft．A protection on the caft is more applicable to，a coaft that lies eaft and weft，but an open road is hardly confiftent with a bay ${ }^{22 g}$ like that of Zeyla；and the fecurity of the following＇ anchorage feems to claim that privilege for Mundus．The natives of Malaô are defcribed of a more peaceable difpofition than their neighbours；and the imports are fuch as have been already fpecified， with the addition of

X เน兀゙ขes．Jackets．


> $M_{\varepsilon} \lambda_{i}^{\prime} \varepsilon \varphi \theta \alpha{ }^{3} \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \gamma \alpha$.

E的yocs．
 tured at Arfinoe or Suez，with the knap on and dyed．
Brafs or copper prepared to imitate gold．
Iron．
Specie，gold，and filver，but in no great quantity．

[^61][^62]MALAO.

The exports are


Myrrh.
Frankincenfe, thus, or olibanam of Adel.

Cinnamon, cafina lignea.
Cinnamon of inferior forts.
The gum cancamus.
Tila, fefamum, carried to Arabia, but fee Plin, xii. 8. who calls it an aromatick from India, the bark red, the root large. The bark ufed in dyfenteries.
Slaves, a few.

We have in this lift the firft mention of kaffia, cafia, or cinnamon. It is all of the inferior fort, fuch as the coaft of Africa always has produced, and produces ftill; of little value in any market, where it comes in competition with the cinnamon of Ceylon, but grateful to the natives, readily purchafed by thofe who cannot obtain the Oriental, and ftill faleable for the purpofes of adulteration. How old this traffick was is not eafy to be determined, but if the fhips from Egypt did not pafs the ftraits when Agatharchides wrote, they certainly reached this coaft in the time of Artemidorus, as we

[^63]learn from Strabo, who mentions the baftard cinnamon, perhaps the fame as the calia lignea, or hard cinnamon; he adds alfo, that the cargoes were transferred from the fhips to boats at the ftraits, a proof that this commerce was in its infancy, lib. xvi. p. 768.774. Slaves are noticed here as an article of commerce, a circumftance common to both the coafts of Africa in all ages; in the prefent inftance it requires no great ftretch of imagiration to fuppofe that the ancient traffick of Adel was parallel to the modern, and that the flaves procured here would confift of both Cafrés and Abyffinians; according as the courfe of war or the plunder of individuals fupplied the market, both for home confumption and exportation.

MOUNDUS ${ }^{33}$, pronounced MOONDUS.
IX. The next anchorage we are directed to, is Mundus, at the diftance of two days fail, or a thoufand fadia : D'Anville fixes it at Barbora; in which he is juftified by the meafures. If I neglect the meafures, it is with regret, but there are circumftances nentioned, which induce me to fix Mundus at Zeyla, or at an inland previous, called Londi, by de la Rochette, and Delaqua by the Portuguefe, for Malaô and Mundus, in Ptolemy ${ }^{132}$, differ not in longitude; and his Mofyllon is a promontory which may be Barbora, but fuits

19T. ' $\mathrm{E} \mu \pi$ rogey $n$ ' Maidd. The true found is Moondus, and whether the author meaps to give the native found, both in this Moondus and in Palefimoondus, (Ceylon,) or whether it is a corruption of the text, may be doubted. But the ufage is uniform, and therefore feems to be deligu rather than accideat. Moondue has a more Oriental form than Mundus; and as both this place and

Ceylon were poflibly fo named by the Arabians who traded to both, it is, natural to laok to the Arabick for its meaning. See Peripl. p. 6. $x^{132}$ Máneas pemberon on. Fi\%. Maleoss, a mart,
 Muadú, a mart, long. $7^{8^{\circ}}$. lat. $7^{\circ}$. 'However erroneous thefe latitudes may be, their mutual relation has a confiderable degree of weight.
neither of the other two. Another confideration is, that the Periplùs, though it does not actuaily affert that the direction of the courfe to the eaft commences at Mundus, yet mentions it here for the firft time: this is true, if Mundus be fixed at Zeyla, and this circumftance is the particular inducement for preferring it. The fafety of the anchorage here at an ifland, or under the protection of an inland, is marked with precifion; and if there be an ifland at Zeyla, the whole evidence is confiftent. Bruce ${ }^{133}$ mentions the ine of Zeyla; but I have found no other authority; and if he is miftaken, Muntus muft be carried back to Delaqua. But upon the authorities alleged, Malaô may be well fixed at Delaqua, and Mundus' at Zeyla.

The native traders, at this port, are defribed as an uncivilized tribe ${ }^{134}$, and the imports and exports fimilar to thofe of the preceding ports, with the addition of mokroton, a fragrant ${ }^{133}$ gum, the more peculiar commodity of the place.

MOSULLON, written MÓSSYLON by Pliny, MÓSYLON by Ptolemy.
X. At the diftance of two or three days' fail, or from an hundred to an hundred and fifty miles, we are conducted to Mofyllon, the grand mart of the ancients on this coaft, the place which gave name to their trade and to the whole bay, in preference to Abalites, in the eftimation of Pliny. The diftance from Zeyla to Barbora is fated at eighty miles by Oforius ${ }^{156}$, a circumftance not unfavourable to the two

[^64]135 Oforius, vol. ii. p. 22g. Marmol, lib. ग. p. 156, makes it only cighteen leagues.
days' fail of the Peripluts, which, in ordinary computation, are equal to an hundred miles, and which will bear contrattion or exteufion according to the currents or the winds.

The character of Mofyllon ${ }^{137}$ is omitted in the Periplus, but in Ptolemy it is twice ${ }^{135}$ fpecified as a promontory, and by his latitude it is carried up a whole degree more to the north than Mundus. This projection is doubtlefs too extenfive, but the feature is true, and fuits no other point on the whole coaft but Barbora, for Barbora ${ }^{\text {ts }}$ is a town upon an inand ${ }^{140}$ clote to the fhore, adjoining to a narrow cape of confiderable extent, which is open, low, and fandy. Its want of height prevents it from affording protection againft the N. E. monfoon, and this may be the reafon why the Pcriplus ealls it a bad road. D'Anville has carried Mofyllon another ftep towards the eaft, to a river where he finds the name of Soel ${ }^{1+7}$, and which he fuppofes related to Mofyllon; but the Periplûs requires more rivers than we can difcover at prefent, and this ftream may well be preferved for Nilo-Ptolemêon, an appellation in whieh undoubtedly a river is implied.

But there is a ftill greater probability implied in the very name of Barbora, which is written Borbora, Barbara, and Berbera by

[^65]Univerfal Hiftory mentions a river at Barboata called Howach:i, vol. xii. p. 307 , which Ludolfus fays is the river of the eapital Aucugarecèe. Marmol fuppofes Barbora to be Mofyllon, vol. iii. p. 156.
${ }^{260}$ This ifland is called Loadi in fome charts; de la Rochette applies Londi to what others ftyle Delaqua, See Univ. Eift. rol. xii. p. 307.
${ }^{141}$ The Univerfal Hiffory mentions Salim, and fuppofes it to be Mofyllon.
the moderns, retaining ftill the title of Barbaria, attributed to this coaft by the ancients; and as d'Anville has obferved, that the name of the province became applied to the capital in many European cities ${ }^{34 \pi}$, fo have we in this part of the eaft, the town of Arabia Felix, fo named from the province, and the fame place afterwards çalled Aden from the country Adanè. It is probable, therefore, that Barbaria became applicable to Barbara, the principal mart on the coaft; and if this be admitted, it gives great weight to the fuppofition that Barbora and Mofyllon are the fame. The Mofyllitick coaft and Barbarick coaft were fynonymous.

The imports at Mofyllon are the fame as have been already fpecified, with others peculiar to the place.


ni bia.

Silver plate, or plated.
Iron, but in lefs quantity.
Flint glafs.

## Exports.


 $\varsigma^{\prime c \%}$.
${ }^{4} \mathbf{4}^{2}$ As Faris, Berry, Vannes, Triers, \&cc. \&c. ${ }^{243}$ Some MSS. and the edit. Bafil. read xyua, which, according to Salmafus, is right. See Plin. Exer. p. 542. He refers it to zwiaus, as figaifying a great quantily, 1 un-

Cinnamon, of an inferior quality, and in great quantities ; for which reafon, veffels of a larger fort are wanted at this mart.
derfand it as connton, ordinary, of an inferior or cheaper fort. Sce Perip. p. 28. "Dtnísu xudaxion, ordinary cottons. But the inmediate addition
 and requires $x \rho^{m} \mu a$, nather than $X^{\text {ïuc. }}$.
'Evódia.




Síbavos ó trgatskós ${ }^{\text {x44 }}$.
'E入é $р \alpha 5$.


Fragrant gums.
Gums or drugs.
Tortoifc-fhell, of fmall fize, and in no. great quantity.
Incenfe, in lefs quantitics or inferior to that of Mundus.
Frankincenfe of the coaft of Adel.
Ivory.
Myrrh, in fmall quantities.

The Mofyllitick trade of the ancients has been noticed already; and the cinnamon mentioned in this catalogue is a fufficient proof of Arabian merchants conducting the commerce of the place. An inferior fort of cinnamon indeed is a native production ${ }^{2 / 5}$, but the Mofyllitick fpecies is enumerated by Diofcorides as one of prime quality, and confequently not native but Oriental. The immenfe wealth of the Sabêans, as defcribed by Agatharchides, proves that in his age the monopoly between India and Europe was wholly in their hands; but the other tribes of Arabia traded to India alfo; and the Greeks of Egypt by degrees found the way to Aden and Hadramaut in Arabia, and to Molyllon on the coaft of Africa. Here they found rivals to the Sabêan market, and fupplied themfelves at a cheaper rate.

[^66]After another courfe of two days, or an hundred miles, we are conducted to Nilo-Ptolemêon. It is the laft diftance fpecified, andmay be terminated either at the Soel of d'Anville, or at Metè, where there is alfo a river: the former is preferable, becaufe the Periplûs makes mention of two rivers at leaft between Nilo-Ptolemêon and Aromata; and if we affume Soel for Nilo-Ptolemêon, we can find two other rivers, one at Metè, and another near Mount Elephant, which is the Elephant River of the Periplûs, and the Rio de Santa Pedra of the Partuguefe. Strabo mentions the name of Nile on this part of the coaft.

## NILO-PTOLEMAION.

XI. At Nilo-Ptolemêon we exhauft three thoufand eight hundred out of the four thoufand fadia allotted by the Periplus to the range of marts, which are called by the common name of Te-para ${ }^{\text {tef }}$; and, fpeaking in a round number, it may be prefumed the author eftimates his four thoufand as terminating at this place: this gives a meafure of four hundred Roman miles, where the real diftance is about four hundred and fifty; a correfpondence certainly fufficient where there is:no better eftimate of meafurement than a hip's courfe: and, fenfible as I am that the particulars of d'Anville are better adapted to the diftances at the commencement, the conclufion of the courfe and the pofition of Mofyllon are more confiftent in the arrangement I have adopted. It is, however, at beft but hypothetical, and fubmitted to the future determination of thofe who may obtain a more perfect knowledge of the ${ }^{*}$ coaft.

[^67]But we are now arrived at a point in which there will be nothing equivocal. The promontory of Arômata, with its two inferios capes, Elephant and Tabai, will be defcribed with a precifion in perfect correfpondence with modern obfervation; and the circumftances are fo peculiar, that they befpeak the teflimony of one who delineated them on the fpot.

Marts, TAPATÊGÊ. DAPHNÔN Mikros. elephas, Prom.
Rivers, elephas. daphnôna megas, or akannar.
XII. The places which occur are Tapatégè ${ }^{147}$, the leffer Daphinôn ${ }^{148}$, and Cape Elephant; the rivers are the Elephant, and the greater Daphnôn, called Acannai. Neither place or diftance are affigned to any of thefe names, but we may well allot the rivers Daphnôn and Elephant to the fynonymous town and cape; and thefe may be reprefented by the modern Metè and Santa Pedra. The river at Metè is defcribed by the Portuguefe as dry at certain feafons. When they landed here under Soarez ${ }^{148}$ in great diftrefs,
${ }^{4} 47$ The litcral trinflation of this palfage runs thus: " Sailing along the coatt two days " from Mofyllon, you niect with Nilo. Ptole" maion, Tapatêgç, the leffer Daphnôn, and " Cape Elephant....... then towards the " fouth weft, (is, $A i i_{6}$, ) the country has (two) " rivers, one called the Elephaut River, and "s the other the greater Daphnôn or Akan" nai .......... aftcr this the coall inclining " to the fouth, [hs qè Nórov whar,] fucceeds the " mart of Arobmata, and its promontory, " which is the termination of the Barbarick " coatt, and a projection moro enflerly than "Apokopa."
The text is fo very corrupt in this part of the work, and the points of the compais fo difcordent, that, after fecing Mr. Goffelin's
work, I endeavoured to reconcile them by following his fyltem, and carrying Cape Arómata, which I have fixed at Gardefan, to Daffai; but though this docs relieve in fome degree the expreffions 'E1; sibe and Nóroo, ftill the two promontories of Gardefan and Daffni are fo frongly marked by Aròmatu and Tabai, that I returaed to my own arraugement. Tabai is characterifed as a promontory at the head of a Cherfonefe, and that is, fuch evidence as hardly toleave a doubt upon the queftion.
${ }^{148}$ Diofcorides Daphnitis en Caflix Cpecies, fic appellatur a Daphnunte magno vel parvo ubi olim forfan provenit. Stuckius, not. p. 24. fed potins a Lauretis, p. 25.
${ }^{1+}$ Murnol, lib. x. p. 200.
chey found the place deferted and no water in the river; but a woman whom they feized directed them to open pits in the channel; and by following her advice, their wants were relieved. Commodore Beaulieu ${ }^{\text {so }}$, who anchored a few leagues north of Gardefan, received funilar inftructions from the natives with the fame fuccefs. Thefe circumftances are mentioned to identify the exiftence of rivers on this coaft; and I think I can difcover in the map, framed by Sanfon for the French edition of Marnol, that the learned geographer paid attention to thefe rivers of the Periplûs.

Cape Elephant is formed by a mountain confpicuous in the Portuguefe charts, under the name of Mount Felix or Felles, the native term, Jibbel-Feel ${ }^{\text {sst }}$, literally Mount Elephant. The cape is formed by the land jutting up to the north from the direction of the coaft, which is nearly eaft and weft; and from its northcrnmof point the land falls off again fouth eaft ${ }^{132}$ to Cape Gardefan, the Arômata of the ancients.

But if we have the authority of the Portuguefe for a river at Metè, we learn from an Englifh navigator the fame circumftance at Jibbel-Feel. Capt. Saris ${ }^{13}$, in 161 I, ftood into a bay or harbour here, which he reprefents as having a fafe entrance for three fhips

[^68][^69]
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a-breaft, and that both wood and water were in plenty; he adds alfo, that feveral forts of gums, vory froct in buruing, were ftill purchafed by the Indian thips from Cambay, who touched here for that purpofe in their paffage to Mocha.

The whole detail of this coaft, from the ftraits of Bab-el-Mandeb to Cape Gardefan, is principally derived from the Portuguefe, who ravaged it under the command of Soarez, in the ycars 1516 and 15\%7. Corfali, whofe account is preferved in Ramufio, ferved in the expedition. Soarez ${ }^{25+}$ liad been fent againft the Turkifh force collected in Arabia, a fervice which he conducted with great ignorance and ill fuccefs. The diftrefs of his fleet he endeavoured to Welieve by plundering the coaft of Adel; Zeyla, Barbora, and Metè were deferted on his approach, where little was obtained. Zeyla is defcribed as a place well built and flourifhing; but of Adel, the capital, little is to be found. That the power of the kingdom was not injured by thefe ravages apperts from the fuccels of its arms againft Abyffinia between this time and the year 1564 , which extended almoft to a conqueft, with encreafing hatred againft every thing that bore the Chriftian name. Little is known of this country fince the decline of the Portuguefe, but that the government is Mahometan, and the governed are removed but a few degrees from the Cafres of the coalt below.

At the marts which fucceed Nilo-Ptolemêon in the Periplûs, no articles of commerce are fpecified, except frankincenfe, in great quantity and of the beft quality, at Acannai. , This is ftyled $P_{e}$ ratick ${ }^{255}$, or foreign. But it cannot be admitted in that fenfe as to

[^70]the commodity itfelf, for it is noticed exprefsly as a native ${ }^{35}$ produce of the place. Still it will lead us to folve a difficulty already noticed in regard to thefe ports of Barbaria, called Ta-pera, which, by a flight correction ${ }^{57}$ of the text, will fignify the ports bcyond the :t ftraits. The articles obtained here would naturally be ftyled Peratick, from (Pera) beyond, and would be known by this title in the invoices, and the market of Alexandria, in contradifinction to thofe obtained in Sabêa, Hadramaut, or India. The author is writing to Alexandrians, and is confequently fpecifying the precife ports where thofe commodities were obtained, which they knew by the name of Peratick.

This is not the only difficulty in this part of the work before us: the quarters of the heaven are dubioufly defcribed; the fentences are ill connected or imperfect. There is at leaft one interpolation ${ }^{13}$, or a corruption equivalent; and it is not known that any manufeript is in exiftence, which might lead to a correction of the

 " efpecially the Peratick frankincenfe in " greatelt quantity, and of the beft quality, is "produced." All the teftimonies of the ancients unite in fuppofing Thus or Frankincenfe to be the peculiar native produce of Sabca. Brit Bruce and Niebubr both agree, that it is not a native, and that the beft is not produced in Arabia, but procured from Adcl and India. But in Arabia the ancients firit met with it, both produced there and imported. How correlpondent is the evideace of Bruce and Nebuler to that of our Alcxandrian mer. chant!

1s7 Tax riga, the ports beyond the Itraits. See Perip. p. 8. ォbintgas.
 meoxajeg ] The five concluding words are a manifef interpolation, becaufe we are not yet arrived at Arobmata, and Opone is fubfequent. From Arômata to Opónè the tendency of the coalt is fouth weft; and from Oponc̀ it continues the fame : but from Elephas the coaft lies fouth eaft to Aromata; and Elephas is not connected with Opónè at all. Stuckius and Hudion both complain of the corrupt thate of the rext. And Sigifmundus Gelenius, who publified the firft edition at the prefe of Frobenius, Bafil, 1532, in his Prefatory Epittle, takes no notice whence he had the manufcript. See edit. Froben, Bafil, 1! 532 .
text. Under thefe circumftances, indulgence is due to the attempts which have been made to preferve, in any degree, the connection and confiftency of the narrative.

We now arrive at Cape Arômata or Gardefan, a place of importance in every refpect; for it is the extreme point caft of the continent of Africa; it forms the fouthern point of entrance upon the approach to the Red Sea; and it is the boundary of the monfoon from caufes that are almoft peculiar. Its latitude is fixed


Beaulieu, who anchored within four leagues of Gardefan, defcribes it as a very high bluff point, and as perpendicular as if it were fcarped. The current comes round it out of the gulph with fuch violence that it is not to be ftemmed without a brifk wind, and during the fouth weft monfoon, the moment you are paft the cape to the north; there' is a fark calm with infufferable heat.

This current, we may conclude, is not conftant, and probably depends upon the direction of the winds; for Faria mentions a flip that was feparated on the coaft and carried to Zeyla by the current.

[^71]And Parchafe ${ }^{\text {so }}$, from Fernandes, aflete, that the current fets into the gulph during the increale of the moon, and out of it upon the wane. The current below Gardefan is noticed by the Periplus as fetting to the fouth, and is there, perhaps, equally fubject to the change of the monifoon.

There is great diverfity in writing the name of this promontory, and of its two fubordinate capes. Bruce is very urgent in directing us to write it Gardefan, and not Gardefui ${ }^{161}$, as it appears upon many of the charts; Gar-defan, he fays, fignifies the ftraits ${ }^{262}$ of Burial, and we have had Metè or Death before, names which imply the fufferings or terrors of the navigators. The Greeks, if their appellations may be admitted as a proof, were either better omened or lefs alarmed.

## TABAI.

XIII. At Arômata the Periplus marks in the moft pointed manner ${ }_{2}$ that the coaft falls in to the fouth; and in another place fpecifies its foutherly or fouth wefterly direction to the limits of ancient difcovery. But before it touches upon this, another cape is marked; called Tabai, which anfwers to the d'Orfui ${ }^{163}$ of the Portuguefe, about feventy-fiye geographical miles fouth of Gardefan. And thus is Arômata, with its two inferior capes, defined as precifely by the Periplûs as Gardefan could be by the heft geographers of the moderns.

[^72]The author exprefsly mentions alfo that Arômata is farther caft than Apókopa, and actually the moft eaftern point of the continent ; the anchorage, he adds, is totally expofed, and in fome feafons very dangerous, beçaufe it is open to the north. The certain prognoltick of an alteration in the weather is when the fea changes colour and xifes turbid from the bottom. Upon the fight of this, the veffels which are at anchor here weigh inftantly, and fly to Tabai for fhelter. This remark is the more valuable, as the author himfelf mentions it rather as the effect of an accidental change of the wind than of the monfoon. But as we have obferved before, that in the fouth weft monfoon, Beaulieu found a dead calm to the north of Gardefan; from the fame caufe, in the feafon of north eaft monfoon the calm will be on the fouth of Arômata and Tabai, or d'Orfui ${ }^{154}$.

With this delineation before us of the moft prominent feature on the coaft, whatever failure may be difcovered in fixing the ftations from the ftraits to the cape, it can by no means difcredit the originality of the work. Diftance of time, the changes of power, or commerce, may have defaced the particular features we have defcribed, but the general appearance of truth and fidelity is indifputable. If any accident fhould lead an Englifh navigator again

[^73]and Gardefui are relative appellations, for this I fufpect, and think it poffible that the relation may be difcoverable in the tirabick: the fame relation holds good in another form of orthography, which is Afun and Gardefun. Could I afcertain which was right, I Thould as readily conjecture that Oponè [or Ophonè ] was Afun, as that Tabai was Daffui. But there is no end of conjecture, without a knowledge of the language.
to this barbarous and neglected coaft ${ }^{16 s}$, it is very poffible that the defcriptions of places, brief as they are, may be recognized by a judicious obferver, and the ancient narrative be eftablifhed on modern inveftigation.

The articles of commerce obtained at Arômata we may collect from its title ; for Aromata, (although Salmafius informs us it is the name for drugs in general,) in this journal, at leaft, fignifies gums, fpices, odours, and fragrant productions of every kind. The reffels which traded here we may fuppofe anchored to the north or fouth of the cape according to the feafon, and mult have received or delivered their cargoes in boats and rafts, as has been noticed at previous anchorages. The change of the monfoon muft likewife have been watched, as it is noticed in the Periplûs; no particulars-of import or export are however mentioned hete; but at 'Tabai or d'Orfui, it is faid, that they brought the fame articles of commerce as to the coaft above, and received the following commodities in exchange, which were native:

| K $\alpha \sigma \sigma i \alpha$. $\Gamma i \zeta_{\mathrm{Ej}, g_{*}}$ |
| :---: |
|  |
|  |

Cinnamon.
Cinnamon of a fmaller fort:
Cinnamon, ordinary.
Fragrant gums; but as inferted here, perhaps, a feecies of cinnamon.
${ }^{265}$ In the ficet fent to cruize at the mouth of the Red Sea, in 1798 and 1799, when the French in Egypt were fufpected of an intention to efcape to India, fome intelligent Eoglifh officer may have made obfervations
which would contribute more to folve the difficulties of this navigation than any which can be collected from the documents which have been publifhed.

At Arômata terminates the modern lingdom of Adel, and the Barbaria of the Periplùs; and here the coaft of Ajain or Azania commences; in which our author is more correct than Ptolemy, who extends the limits of Barbaria farther to the fouth. Azam ${ }^{166}$ or Ajam fignifies water, according to Bruce; and in this fenfe is applied to the weftern coaft of the Red Sca, in oppofition to the Arabian fide where water is not to be had. If Ajan has any reference to this, it feems very ill applied to the coaft before us; for between Aromata and Apókopa is a moft defolate fhore, where hardly the name of a habitable place occurs in the modern charts, and where the Peripluts, from Opônc̀, is a total blank. At Apókopa, the Cape Baxos [or Shoal Cape, of the Portuguefe, commences the coaft of Zanguebar, fo called from the ifland of that name, the trace of which is preferved in the Zengifa of Ptolemy.

The following table is now fubmitted to the reader, with a juft confidence in its general correfpondence, but not without requefting a candid allowance for poffible error in fome few particulars :

165 A kingdom called Adea is placed here fus; but the natives, he fays, are called Hz by the maps; but the anthors of the Univerfal diens, i. e. Ajans, whence the corruption into Hiftory deny iss exiftence, and fo docs Ludol- Adcans and Adea.

## Coaft of Azania from Cape Arômata to Rhapta [and Praffum.]

[N. B. D before the name of a place marks D'Anville. * Points fuppsfed to be afcertnined. ? Doubtful.]

Stadia of the
Peripllis.
Arrian.
Ptoleny.
Lat. North.
Modern Names and Latistetes.
Altioved
I. Arómata
II. Tabai ${ }^{167}$
III. Opónè

Four hundred fladia from Tabai round the Cherfonefe, hence the coart tends ftill more to the fouth, the
400 current alfo fets to the fouth.
TV.
V. Apókopa the lels
VI. Apókopa the greater

3000 Six days' fail, a river no. ( ticed, but none oceurs in the maps.
VII. Little coatt
$=$
VIII. Great coalt

3000 Six days' fail.


7300

167 Etween Aremata and Takzi it is called the Bay of Eelina or Begld.

 inquiries. 1 have fuproied, epon no better prouns, tias: Oftul might be concealed in Opros ; tut Ptndary y vitee Partin Kènè, The vilfage of Panoin, or the cilige of Pens and Satg: ? A raine, perhap;, given from the ruse appea. ance of the natives. It ${ }^{3}$ remarkalie that the Periplas fhould mention men of gigantidk flature on this conft; and that Pecrabet, certairly withour any knowledge of the Pelfylus, foould notice the fams app,arance, See his Voyage in Harris and Melichaz. Thenenge, ive patied the

 ftance indeed is at Rhaptia.

[^74]Stadia of the Periplâs. Arrian.
Alowed
7300
IX. Effina ${ }^{173} \quad-0^{\circ} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ Brava? - $I^{\circ} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime} N$
. 500
500 XI. Nikon, one day's fail
XII. Several rivers and roads

3500 cach a day's fail, in all feren, ending at the
XIII. Pyralaan iflands and $\}$ the new canal.
XIV. Eitenediom-Menou-

2000 thélias, two courfes of $\}$ twenty-forr hours edch ${ }^{\text {174 }}$ )

1000 XV. Rhapta, two day's fail
14,800 ftadia $=1480$ miles, divided by 75, the number of Roman miles in a degree, give ig degrees, 55 miles. The real ditance from Gardefan to Quiloa is fomewhat more than 20 degrees.


13/3 There is an Afion which Stuckius from Belleforeft fuppofes to be Efina; but it is oíly one more carcuption by writing Afun for Afus, which is the fame as Affut or d'Aflui. This may be proved by confulting Sarbofa in Ramus : voi. 1. P. 290. and the Modela Univerfal Hirory, vol. xii. p. 307. Belleforeft is of litelo authority. He wrote a Cofmography in 3 vols. folh ahout 1560 .
${ }^{774} \mathrm{~N} v x^{\text {tn }} \boldsymbol{\sim}$

${ }^{175}$ Prafum, fiom Prafos, green. Marcian. Herac, apud Hodfon, p. 12.
N. B. At page 126, note 147, the change of Arobmata, impated to Mr. Gofflin, is not founded, and I take this opportunity of recalling the imputation, ar the corresion was too late for the prefs.

## Obfereations on the foregring Tablc:

If it were at any time allowable to build on the meafures of an ancient journal, it might be prefumed that the prefent infance affords grounds for it, juftifiable in an uncommon degree. The latitude of Gardefan, according to d'Anville, is ${ }^{275} 11^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. and that of Quiloa $8^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}$. ${ }^{177}$ making $20^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$, where the Periplûs gives $19^{\circ} 45^{\prime}$, an approximation never to be expeSted in eftimates of this fort, and liable to fufpicion merely on account of its correfpondence. But let it not be imagined that Quiloa or Cape Delgado are affumed for Rhaptum from the diftances of the journal, becaufe, if they cannot be fupported by circumftances, they may juftly be abandoned.

Whatever may be the corruption of the text in Eitenediommenouthefias ${ }^{174}$, all the commentators, with common fenfe in their favour, are agreed, that the latter part of this ftrange plurifyllable points out the ifland Menûthias; and the fuffrages greatly prevail in favour of making this ifland the Zanguebar of the moderns. Now there are three illands almoft in a line, Pemba, Zanguebar, and Monfia, placed between latitude $5^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ and $9^{\circ} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$. All thefe iflands lie (as the author afferts of his Menuthias, about three hundred ftadia or thirty miles from the coaft, and there is no other illand in the whole range from Gardefan to Quiloa, which anfwers to this defcription, but thefe three. One of them, therefore, doubtlefs is Menuthias; and as Zanguebar is the centre, the moft confpicu-

$$
x_{i 6} 12^{\circ} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime} \text { alii. } \quad 17710^{\circ} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime} \text { alii. } \quad 178 \text { See infra and Appendix No. iii. }
$$

ous, and the one which gave name to the coaft in all ages ${ }^{77 \%}$, it is with great juftice that we fhould give this the preference. 'Iwo additional circumftances confirm this; the Pyralian iflands are two thoufand facdia provious, and Rhaptum one thoufand ftadia fubfequent. Neither of thefe ciltances are inconliftent ${ }^{\text {rio }}$, if we aflume Mombaça for the Pyralán illes, Zanguebar for Menûthias, and Qailoa for Rhaptum: and that we may affign the Pyraláan illands juftly to Mombaça there is great reafon to believe; becaufc they are evidently clofe to the continent, and not at thirty miles diftance like the other three; and becaufe notice is taken of a new cut or canal, which intimates the continent in its vicinity, and cannot apply to an ifland which lies in the open rea. Add to this, that Mombaça is on an illand in a bay, feparated by a very narrow channel from the main, and we have then a circumftance parallel ${ }^{181}$ to the new canal of the journal, a work which might as well have been executed for protection or convenience by the Arabs who
${ }^{279}$ Ptolemy's Zengifa is the firt inftance of the name. Zingi, or the coatt of Zingi, is found in all the Oriental.writers, and Zinzibar in Marco Polo. Zinguis are blacks or Cafres, according to the Univerfal Hifory, vol, xii. and Zangue-bar the Cafre coaft.

180 They would feit better with Monfis than Zanguẹbar; but the reafon forpreferring the latter is ftated here, and will be confidered more at large prefently. N. B. Duarte de Lemos, in 1510 , landed at Zanguebar and drove the natives to the mountains; a proof that sit is not a low ifland as Meaûthias is defcribed by the Periples. Faria, i. p. $5^{88}$.
${ }^{181}$ Caftaneda fpeaks of Mombsça as an illand bard by the firm land, 'p. 22. Ororius fayb, it is on a high reck with the fea almoft
furrounding it, vol. i. p. 60 . May not alnoff have been done away by a cut of this fort. Faria calls it an ifland made by a river which falls into the fea by two mouths, vol, i. p. 41 . See the ifland delineated in a Portugnefe mapy Melchiz, Thevenot, vol. i. part 2. It is joined to the continent at lowawater by a caufey. Marmol, lib. x. p. 150 . Fr. Ed. and the Univerfal Hiftory writes, "The city was onee " a peninfula, but hath fince been made an " iffand by eutting a canal through the " itthmus." Vol, xii. p. 34x. This circumftance might with equal proprieky; and on equal grounds, have taken place in the age of the Periplas, for the fecurity of the ancient Arabian fettlers as of the modern.
fettled there in thofe early ages, as by thofe whom the Portuguefe found there, three centuries ago ${ }^{182}$.

It now remains to be obferved, that the preceding table manifcftly proves the correfpondence between Ptolemy and the Periplus. The names of the places differ little, and both accounts terminate at Rhapta; for the Prafum of Ptolemy is not ranged under his detail of this coaf, but is introduced in another chapter incidentally, bounding over ieven ${ }^{183}$ degrecs at one ftep, without the intervention of a fingle circumftance or place. This Prafum he has by his own confeffion fixed from conjecture only ${ }^{184}$; and this, with his Menûthias, clearly diftinct from the Menûthias of the Periplûs, will be confidered in its proper place. I muft now add, for the credit of the Periplûs, that it carries that appearance of confiftency with it, which would naturally attend it, if compofed by a voyager from his journal, while the catalogue of Ptolemy is by no means in harmony with his commentary ${ }^{135}$.

## AZANIA, Conf of AJAN.

The Periplus is entitled to no fmall fhare of praife for the accuracy with which it defines the limits of the territories on the coaft from the ftraits of Bab-el-Mandeb to Rhapta; and this is the more remarkable as the demarkation of Ptolemy is not confiltent with the natural

[^75]
## 140. PERIPLUS OF ThE ERYTFIREAN SEA.

divifions of the country. The Avalitick gulph terminating at Mount Elephant, he ftyles the coalt of the Trugludytes, but the Periphus reftrains this appellation to the country that forms the margin of the Red Sea, and terminates at the ftraits; and from the ftraits to Cape Gardefan, the author calls it Barbaria ${ }^{\text {188 }}$, correfponding naturally with the limits of the modern Adel. At Gardefan, the kingdom of Aden ${ }^{137}$ commences, the coaft of which is ftyled Ajan, in perfect harmony with the Azánia af the Periplûs. But Ptolemy, who commences his Barbaria at Mount Elephant, carries the fame appellation down to Rhapta, which he calls the metropolis, and confequently removes the commericement of Azánia beyond the boundary which is in reality its termination. The Periplês, it is truc, extends this title beyond the limits of the modern Ajan; for the coalt of Zanguebar commences with Cape Baxos, or at fartheft with Melinda, while the Periplûs carries on Azánia feveral degrees farther to Rhapta; by which it appears that the author was not informed of any change in the name to the utmoft extent of his knowledge.

There are, however, divifions of the coaft and boundaries fixed, which appear correfpondent to thofe which the Portuguefe found upon their arrival. Thefe are preferved in a manufcript map of Bertholet's ${ }^{158}$, inferted in Reffende, and ftrongly confirm the opinion that the author of the Periplûs defcribes rather what he faw himfelf than what he collected from others.

[^76]The firt divifion of Bertholet comprehends the fract from the bay fucceeding Cape d'Aftui to Cape Baxos, anfwering nearly to the Opônè and Apókopa of the Periplûs.

Cape de Gardafui - Gardefan - Arômata. Eneeada de Belha - Beyla bay.<br>Cape d'Affiui - Arfur, Carfur Tabai.



The fecond divifion takes the general name of Magadoxo from the principal town, and anfwers to the Little and Great Coaft of the , Periplûs.

| - | ros Balaros <br> Bandel Velho | - |  | $\}$ Little Coaft. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Magadoxo | Magadoso | - | - | \} Great Coaft. |
|  | Mariqua | - | - |  |
|  | Brava | - | - | Effina of Ptolemy, |

The third dizition is by illands and rivers all the way, correfponding exaltly with the number of feven rivers, as fated by the Periplits.


Zanguebar is a native appellation given to the coaft from the igland of the fame name. It is noticed as early as the two Arabian voyagers and Marco Polo ${ }^{\text {sog }}$. M. Polo calls the coaft the ille of Zamzibar, and gives it a circumference of two thoufand miles, evidently applying it
 ; cefuning the authenticity of the voyage of thefe

Arabians, publifhed by Renaudot, have been fully cleared up. The ariginal has bsen found in the Royal library at Paris, the exiftence of whoch had been confidently denied by Martin Folked, and other very learned men.
to the then undifcorered country of Lower Africa. In the two Arabians and other Oriental writers we read the fame name given to this tract, with the title of Zingis or Zingues applied generally to all the inhabitants of the eaftern coaft of Africa.

The firft trace of this word is found in the Zengifa of Ptolemy 's', which he places at Mount Phalangis on the coaft of Ajan, anfwering, as far as I can difcover, to the Morro Cobir of the Portuguefe. It is pofilible that the commencement of Zanguebar and of the Zingis was placed here by the natives in that early age, or that the influence of the title extended fo far. Of this, indeed, there are no traces in the Peripluts. I fufpect Menuthefias, the term ufed in that work, to be equivalent in its application to the extenfion of the modern title of Zanguebar, from the ifland to the coaft.

$$
0 \mathrm{P} \text { Ô } \mathrm{N} \text { 立. }
$$

XIV. After thefe general illuftrations we are now to proceed to the particular places on the coaft ; and the firft of thefe is Opônè, which is honoured with the title of a mart ${ }^{\text {291 }}$ both in Ptolemy and the Periplûs. The diftance affigned from Tabai of four hundred ftadia, or forty miles, makes it correfpond fufficiently with Ban-delCaus, which is a bay, or, as its name implies, a port ${ }^{19 ?}$. Opin is a
${ }^{292}$ Zengi (with the g hard) is the Perfian term for Caffrecs, and the diftinction between them and Hhabaffi, Abyffinians. India Literata, Valentio, p. $3^{8} 5$. Kiaferah, Cofari, Caffres, are in moft Oriental writers difinguifhed in the fame manner, and confidered as Zinguis, oppofect to Abyffinians and Arabs. There is a hiftory of the Zingi by Novairi an Arab, in the Royal Library at

Paris, Herbelot.



123 Bandel is a corruption of the Perfian Bender, or Bunder. A very undefined term for a port, harbour, rond, or landing placc. The bay, or rather the falling in of the land forth of Daffui, is called Galee in Reffende and Bertholet.
name which occurs in the map of Sanion, inferted in Marinol; but whether there be any modern authority for it may be doubted, for Sanfon was not unacquainted with the leriplus, and he may have affigned a place accordingly for the Opone of the journal. The mention of a current Setting round Tabai, or Cape d'Orfui, down this coaf, is in all probability confiftent with the experience of voyagers in that age; but whether this current is conftant or changes with the monfoon, mult be determined by thofe who vilit this coaft in different feafons of the year. Stuckius obferves that, according to Belleforeft, Opônè is Carfur, of which he ingemoully confeffes his ignorance; but Carfur is only one of the corruptions for Cape d'Orfui, which is written Arfur, Arfar, and Arfui. And as that cape is evidently Tabai, there can be little doubt in fixing upon the next port, Caus, for the true pofition of Opônc.

The imports here are the fame as thole fpecified at the anchorages preceding.

## The Exports are,

Kaббio.
${ }^{*}$ A $\rho \omega \mu \alpha$.
Moтш'. .





Cinnamon, native.
Fragrant gums, native.
Cinnamon of inferior quality.
Slaves of a fuperior fort, and principally for the Egyptian market.

Tortoiferhell in great abundance and of a fuperior quality.

The feafon for failing from Egypt to all theie ports beyond the ftraits ${ }^{19+}$, is in Epiphi, or July, and there are many articles of commerce regularly ${ }^{\text {' }}{ }^{95}$ imported here from the marts of Aríakè [Malabar ${ }^{\text {²8 }}$,] and Barygáza [Cambay, or Guzerat]; fuch as,

इ.Tos.

Bétyecu ${ }^{\text {²7 }}$.
"Eגatov इnoápuray.
 givo.




Many veffels are employed in this commerce exprefsly for the importation of thefe articles, and others which have a farther deftination, difpofe of part of their cargoes on this coaft, and take in fuch commodities as they find here in return.

This paffage I have rendered literally, as containing one of the moft peculiar circumftances in the ancient commerce of this coaft.

[^77]
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It manifefly alludes to an intercourfe, totally diftincl from the navigation of the Egyptian Greeks, carried on by the nativo merchants of Guzerat and Malabar, with the inhabitants of the coaft of Africa, whom we fhall prefently find to be Arabs; it fpeaks. of this intercourfe as eftablifhed ${ }^{198}$, and that feemingly previous to the appearance of the Greeks in the country; and when it is immediately fubjoined, that there is no potentate who has an extenfive influence, but that cach mart has its own peculiar fovereign ${ }^{199}$, it prefents a picture both of the trade and country identically the fame as the Portuguefe found them after an interval of fifteen centuries.

I cannot contemplate this portrait without indulging my imagination, in fuppofing that the Eaft India trade exifted in this form, as long before the interference of the Greeks, as it continued after the deftruction of the Roman power in Egypt ; and that the nature of the monfoons was perfectly known to the inhabitants of the two oppofite coafts, as many centuries before it was difcovered for the Greeks by Hippalus; as it continued afterwards till therrival of Gama at Melinda.

> APOKOPA the Lefs, APOKKOPA the Greater.
XV. From Opônè the Periplûs conducts us along the coaft of Azánia, tending fill more to the fouth weft, to Apókopa the Lefs and the Greater. The diftance is fixed by a courfe of fix days,

[^78]ought to be furch, if thefe tribes had been upon the coaft for fix or feven hundred years. before he wrote, as it evidently appears from the Periplus that they were.
equal, by eftimation, to three thoufand fladia, or three hundred miles, without any notice of an anchorage or a mart; without mention of any article of commerce as delivered or received; even in this a refemblance is preferved with the modern appearance of the coaft, for our maps are as barren as the journal, and it is probable that the want of water on the fhore, mentioned by Beaulieu and other voyagers, has condemned this tract to fterility and defolation in all ages.

Ptolemy mentions Zengifa next to Oponè, and places it in the fame latitude with a Mount Phalangis, to which he affigas a three forked head. This character is indelible; and the obfervation of any voyager who may vifit this coalt will correct my error, if I am miftaken in allotting Zengifa to Bandel d'Agoa, and Phalangis to Morro Cobir. I find no other mountain on the coaft of Ajan ; and the correfpondence of Apókopa the Lefs with the Apókopa of Ptolemy, as well as Apókopa the Greater, with his Southern Horn, gives fuch an appearance of confiftency to both authors, that it confirms me in the arrangement $I$ affume.

Anfwering to Apokopa the Lcfs we find a Zorzella in the maps, though we have nothing either in our ancient or modern accounts to deternine the relation; but the Southern Horn is manifeftly a cape : it is noticed as fuch by Ptolemy; and the obfcurity or corruption of the Periplûs, which intimates an inclination to the fouth weft ${ }^{250}$ at Apókopa, (however dubioufly applied to the cape or river, fitl proves a connexion between this place and the Southern Horn. Both alfo commence the following feep with the Great and Little

[^79]
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 PERIPLUS OF THLE ERYTHRLAN SLA.Coaft ; and confequently, as far as we have proceeded, both are confiftent with our modern accounts and with each other.

In fixing this Southern Horn at Cape Baxas, or the Shoal Cape of the Portuguefe, I am not only directed by d'Anville ${ }^{101}$, but confirmed by the detail of the coaft. And that the Pcriplus means Apókopa for a promontory is clear from a previous paflagc, where, when it is ftated that Cape Aromata is the moft caftern point of all Africa, it is peculiarly marked as more to the caft than Apókopa; a certain proof that Apókopa itfelf is alfo a promontory; and if fo, there is nothing within diftance north or fouth, which can anfwer to it, but the Southern Horn of Ptolemy, and the Cape Baxas of the moderns. The mention of a river ${ }^{202}$ here by the author is the only circumftance for which we find no equivalent in the modern accounts.

It is worthy of remark, that the termination of ancient knowledge on the weftern coaft of Africa was a horn as well as on the eaftern; the weftern horn ${ }^{203}$ is a limit to the voyage of Hanno, and the geography of P. Mela, as this Southern Horn formed the boundary of the eaftern coaft in the age of Strabo ${ }^{204}$. But difcovery had
${ }^{201}$ Geog. Anc. vol. iii. p. 62:
${ }^{202}$ The paffage itfelf is apparently incorrect to a degrec.
${ }^{201}$ This is fometimes alfo called the Southern Horn, but by Mela, Hefperi Côrnu.

N/rus Kffers. Strab. lib, xvi. P. 774. "The "Southern Horn is the lait piomontory on this " coalt." But it is not quite certain that the Southern Horn of Strabo is the fame as Ptolemy's, as he mentions it in one place as next but one to Mount Elephant, and in that cafe it would be Arômata; hic has the names of feveral places from Artemidorus, correfpondeat is
fome fort with thofe of the Periplus, which prove, that if in the time of Agatharchides the veffels from Egypt went only to Ptolemáis Thêrôn, they paffed the ftraits in the time of Artemidorus.
*Aran tisNinos, another Nile $=$ Nilo Ptolemêon. Daphnus $=$ Daphoman. Libanotrophins prom. =Akanaai? Arbmatôphori $=$ Aromata? The mention of feveral rivers alfo with Mount: Elephant, \&cc. \&c. proves the cxiftence of a trade here and a kinowledge of the conf, but it is not diftinct.
advanced to Rhapta before the writing of the Periplûs, and to Prafum in the time of Ptolemy. By comparing this progrefs of knowledge, it feems as well afcertained that the author of the Periplûs is prior to Ptolemy, as that he is pofterior to Strabo.
aftranos mikpos, atrianos mefas, the littlle coast, the great coast.
XVI. We come now to the two laft divifions of this navigation. The firft diftinguifhed by a courfe of fix days, and the latter by one of feven. Six days are attributed to a tract called the Little Coaft and the Great, on which not a name occurs, neither is there an anchorage noticed, or the leaft trace of commerce to be found: We are not without means, however, to arrange thefe courfes, as during the laft divifion of feven days a river is fpecified at each anchorage, and we can difcover precifely the part of the coaft where thefe ftreams begin to make their appearance. The intermediate fpace, therefore, between Cape Baxas and this point muft be attributed to the Little and the Great Coaft ; and the termination of it may be fixed at the modern Brava, which correfponds fufficiently with the Effina of Ptolemy. Effina he places under the line, and Brava is only one degree to the north of it; and though little is to be built upon his latitudes, it is remarkable, that his error is greateft at the commencement of the coaft, and diminifhes in its progrefs to the fouth. At Gardefan it is near fix degrees, at Cape Baxas it is little more than two, and at Brava it may, by proportion, be reduced to one.

But there is another metliod of reducing our conjectures to certainty; which is, by taking a proportion of fix to feven; in which cafe, if we fix the termination of the fix days' fail at Brava, the zonclufion of the remaining feven coincides precifely with Mombaça,

## 150 PERIPLUS ON THE ERYTHREAN SFA.

the correfpondence of which will be eftablifhed by a variety of deductions, fo as hardly to leave a doubt upon the arrangement.

Within the fpace which is allotted to this Little and Great Coaft, amounting nearly to five degrees of latitude, we find only one place noticed on our modern charts, which is Magadafho ${ }^{\text {tos }}$. I dare not fay that this has any relation to the Megas ${ }^{200}$ of the Greeks, but I recommend it to the enquiries of the Orientalifts; for Ma and Maha fignify great in the Shanfkreet and Malay, and in this fenfe moft probably enter into the compofition of Madagafcar in the neighourhood. Of Magadafho ${ }^{207}$ farther mention will be made hereafter; but except in the exiftence of this place, our modern charts are as barren as the Periplùs; even in the ablence of information there is a refemblance and correfpondence; and as the following divifion is characterized by feven rivers, which are actually found upon the coaft at prefent, there cannot be an error of any great confequence in affuming Effina for Brava ${ }^{103}$, and terminating the Great Coaft of the Periplus at the fame place.

SERAPIÔN, NIKÔN, the SEVEN ANCHORAGES at SEVEN RIVERS.
XVII. The divifion we are now to enter upon requires more confideration, as we are approaching to the limits of the journal;
${ }^{205}$ This name is written Macdofeho and Mocadelfou by the Arabick authors, Magadoxo and Mogadoxo in the charts.
${ }^{2966}$ 'Acyuzajs METAE. I do not fluppofe that the Greeks tranflated, hut that they caught at a refemblance of found; but it is yery poffible that Magadafho is of a much later date.
${ }^{207}$ The river at Magadafho is ftyled Nil-deMocadeffon by the Avabs. Lobo. Second Differtation, ed. Le Grande.
nus Stuckitus mentions Effina as the place
where Arifon built a termple to Neptune, as the boundary of his difcovery, when he was fent down this coaft by Ptolemy, but I find no authority for this affertion. According to Diodorus, (rol. i. p. 209.ed. Wel.) Arilton does not fcem' to have gone beyond the Bay of Zeyla or Gardefan, and even that is conjecture. If he had reached Effina, Strabo would have proceeded as far, but he ltops at the Southern Hown. See lib. xvi. p. 574 Salmas. Pliv. ex. p. 1183. Stuckius, p. 30.
and more efpecially as I am obliged to diffent from d＇Anville，which I always do with diffidence，and which I never do without compulfion， or from the imperious neceffity of the circumftances defcribed．Thefe feven rivers，or even a greater number，cannot be a fiction．They may be feen in Bertholet ${ }^{2 t 9}$ ，in d＇Anville＇s own map，and every good map of the coaft ；and they are the more remarkable，becaufe from Cape Gardefan to Brava；a fpace of more than feven hundred and fixty miles，water is found at only three places ${ }^{210}$ ，as far as I can difcover．Among the number of thefe ftreams muft be compre－ hended the mouths of the Quilimancè，or Grand River of d＇Anville； it falls into the fea not far from Melinda by three mouths，or perhaps more，and in the iflands formed by the divifion of the ftream，or in their neighbourhood，we find Patè，Sio，Ampaça，and Lamo，obfcure places，where there was fome trade when the Portuguefe firlt difcovered this coaft ${ }^{\text {＂12 }}$ ．Here d＇Anville places the the Rhapta of Ptolemy and the Periplûs，neglecting altogether the Pyraláan illands and the Menûthias of his author ${ }^{212}$ ，and not obferv－ ing that Rhapta muft be two days＇fail to the fouth of the latter． My own defire is，to affume thefe fpots furrounded by the divided Glreams of the river for the Pyralfan．iflands ${ }^{2 / 3}$ ，and to make up the

259 See fheet 26 MS ．of Reffende，Brit，Muif． It is not pretended that the feven anchorages caa be diftributed to the feven sivere，but there are feven rivers or probably more，and the ge－ ncral picture of the tract is all that is contended for as true．
${ }^{260}$ At Bandel d＇Agoa，north of Cape Baxas，at Doura an obfcure ftream where we find Bandel veijo，and at Magadafio．
${ }^{212}$ Geog．Ancienne，vol．iii．p． 64.
${ }^{2 \times 2}$ I fometimes think that d＇Anvile in this has followed Marmol，who places Rhapta at a river nuar Melinda，that is，the Obii or Quili－ mance，lib．x．p．146，\＆c．and p 308.
${ }^{213}$ I am erabled，from Reffende＇s MS．to give fome particulars of thefe iflands，which have efcaped the refearch of the authors of the M．Univerfal Hiftory．Lamo，Atapaça，Patè， and Cio ，lie at the different ifities of the Obii or Quilimancè，in latitude $2^{\circ} I^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ ．The govern－
the number of the feven rivers with thofe feparate ftreans which occur previoufly on the coaft. The great river which forms thefe illands is called the Obii ${ }^{14}$ by the Portaguefe: they failed up it for feveral days, and defcribe it as a magnificent ftecam: it poffibly derives its origin from the fouth of the Abyffinian mountains, as the Nile flows from the northern fide, and pertiaps gives rife to a geographical fable of Ptolemy and the early writers, who derive the fource of the

- Nile from a lake in the latitude of fixteen degres fouth "".

The two firft anchorages of the feven arc called Scrapionn ${ }^{\text {ars }}$ and Nieôn ${ }^{\text {127 }}$, both in Ptolemy and the Periplùs; and it does not appear clearly from the text of the latter, whether they are to be reckoned inclufive or exclufive. I have taken them feparate in the preceding table of the coaft; but if they are to be included in the number, two days' fail, or an hundred miles, mult be deducted
ment of all was in the hands of the Mohamedans, but there was a Porcugnefe cuftom houfe at Pate. Vafoo de Gama firt made the coaft of Africa at Patè on his return from India. ships were not fuffered to touch here, unlefs the monfoon prevented them from getting to Mombaça. The goverument maintained three thoufand Moors as foldiers. Cio had fix hundred, and was a piratical ftate. Ampaça had fifteen hundred, was tributary to the Portuguefe, and much attached to the nation. Theic were divided by different branches of the river, but Lamo was more fplendid than the cthers, had a king of its own, and fifteen hundred Moorifh troops. It was tributary to Portugal, but no Portuguefe refided in the city. The trade of all thefe places confifted in dates, Indian corh, and provifions.
${ }^{214}$ Sec Mavmol, Ith. 'x. p. 208, and p. 144Barbofa, \&c.
${ }^{215}$ Ptolemy in $12^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$, or $13^{\circ} 0^{\circ} 0^{\prime \prime}$.
${ }^{2: 0}$ Whatever doubt may arife about Nicon, from the fluctuation of orthograply, there can be no hefitation in allowing that Scrapion muf be the name of an Egyptian, or an Egyptian Greek. Voyagers of this fort froquently gave their names to ports firl vifited by them; or had this hour comerred upon them by others; thus we have, in Strabo, the altars or ports of Pitholáus, Lichas, Pythaugelus, Leon, and Clarimotrus, on the coaft between Mount Elephant and the Sonthern Horn. Strabo, lib. xvi. p. 769. et feq. from Artemidorus. Cicero mentions a Serapiôn as a grographer who contradicied Eratoflhenes. May he not have been a navigator on this coaft? or might not a place have been fo named in honour of him by a navigator? Sec Epit. ad Atticum, lib. ii. ep. 6 .
${ }^{217}$ Ptolemy writes Niki and To-Nikè : it is only a variation of orthography.
from the total, a difference far more excufable in an ancient journal than a modern one.

Thefe names, evidently derived from the Greeks, afford no means of finding an equiralent for either, but in regard to the feven rivers and anchorages, our evidence is complete; this intimation the readcr is requefted to accept for the prefent, till we can prefent him with particulars from the difcovery of the moderns. The general character of the coaft is clearly marked by the actual exiftence of the rivers, and the termination of the feven courfes at the Pyraláan iflands, points to Mombaça alnof to a. certainty.

$$
M O M B \quad A \quad A .
$$

XVIII. The preference due to Mombaça is founded upon a variety of combinations. It has been noticed already, that by the two divifions of this tract from Apókopa [Cape Baxas] to the Pyraláan. iflands, the proportion of fix days fail to feven would direct us to Mombaça, and it muft be obferved now, that two hundred miles Southward would carry us to one of the three Zanguebar iflands, and another hundred miles added to this would conduct us to the neighbourhood of Quiloa; thefe are the diftances of the Periplûs to Rhapta, and at Quiloa or Cape Delgado murt be fixed the limit of difovery in the age of the author. In treating of this limit, Quiloa will be generally affumed as more confpicuous, as it is a place of importance, and as it is the feat of an Arab government, certainly more ancient than the Periplûs itfelf.

Let us now confider the peculiar characterifticks attributed by our author to Rhapta. The place, he fays, has obtained this name
among the navigators who were Grecks, from the word fiesre, which fignifies io forw, and was applied to this place becaufe they found herc vefiels not buiit like their own, but imall, and raifed from a bottom of a fingle piece with planks which where fewed together ${ }^{\text {2/4 }}$ [with the fibres of the cocoa,] and had their hotoms paid with fumc of the odoriferous refins of the country. Is it not one of the moft extraordinary facts in the hiftory of navigation, that this peculiarity fhould be one of the firf objects which attracted the admiration of the Portuguefe upon their reaching the fame coaft, at the diftance of almoft fifteen centuries? They faw them firlt at Mofambique, where they were called Almeidas, but the principal notice of them in moft of their writers is generally flated at Quiloa, the rery fpot which we have fuppofed to receive its name from reffels of the fanc conftruction.

## R H A PTA.

XIX. "The inhabitants here are men of the talleft fature and " the greateft bulk ${ }^{21}$ ?, and the port is fulject to the fovereign of " Maphaartis ${ }^{220}$, which is in Yemen, lying between Moofa and the " ftraits;

219 A circumflance noticed allo by Capt. Beauliea near Cape Gardefan.



 Gixs, I dare not prononuce. Dudvell fuppofes it to relate to the Roman culton of dividing provinecs according to their proximity
or date of conquef, as A rabies prima, Arabis fecunds: and he olferves jultly, that this ftyle belonge to a hater auge tham what I affone, i, e. the reign of Claudishe - 'I'o this I anfwes that the Rumats never had any province at all in this part of Arobin. They ravaged the coalt it is trac, as they razed Adea, and they collefled a tribute atarly as the reipu of Chan dius, as appears by the account of Plocamus'r freedman, and fo did the Putuguefe nopan
of frats ${ }^{22 x}$; befides this power of the king, the merchants of Moor " likewife exact either a tribute ${ }^{222}$, or demand cuftom; for they " have many flips themfelves employed in the trade, on board of " which they have Arabian commanders and factors ${ }^{273}$, employing " fuch only as have experience of the country, or have contracted " marriages with the natives, and who underftand the navigation " and the language." This mixture of Arabs, Meftizes, and Negroes prefents a picture perfectly fimilar to that feed by the Portuguefe upon their firm arrival ; and except that another race of Arabs, of another religion, had fucceeded in the place of their more barbarons anceftors, and had carried their commerce to a greater extent, the refemblance is complete. .

The Imports here are,

 Moofa.

## $\Pi \equiv \lambda \cup\{x: x$.


Several coats where they had not an inch of territory; but the Romans never had a province on this part of the coat of the Red Sin, or on the ocean. If they had an itrabiaprima and fecund, there meth have been in Petrea, forth of Jordan. It is for this return, I think that MPRTHE has no relation to a Intis term, but belongs to Yemen, the fry/ and principal fate of Arabia Felix, the king of which was Charibael, with whom the Romans allays treated, and Maphar or Mopharcites appears

## Hatchets or Bills.

Knives.
to be a territory under that divifion. See Periplus, p. 13 .
${ }^{22 x}$ It is a tract in Arabia mentioned next to Moofa, the capital of which is Sane ; if we might be allowed to read Ex́rn for Ex'un, it would be the modern Sana, capital of Yemen, for the Perplas fays, it lies three days inland; but this is highly dubious.
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Awls.
Grown glafs of various forts.

And to thefe commodities we muft by no means omit to add a ftore of corn and wine carried out by the taders, not for fale, but for the purpofe of entertainment, and ingratiating themfelves with the natives. . This is fo truly confonant with the modern fyftem of carrying out fpirits to America, and the coaft of Africa, that the refemblance fhould by no means be fupprefled.

The Exports are,
入ıтткї.
${ }^{\text {'Prórés }}{ }^{\omega}$.
Xèávn draíqoços $\mu \epsilon \tau a ̀$ rì̀ Ivoixviv. Tortoife-fhell of a good fort, but inferior to that of Yndia.:
N $\alpha^{\prime} u \pi \lambda$ ives óntryos.
The articles of import here are nearly the fame as an African invoice at the prefent moment; and in the exports it is fome degree of difappointment not to find gold. For as the fleets of Solomon are faid to have obtained gold on this coaft, as well as the Arabs of a later age, and the Portuguefe, we naturally look for it in a commerce which is intermediate ; and the nearcr we approach to Sofala the more realon there is to expect it. Oúr prefent chject, however, is not the trade but the geography.

It has been already ftated ${ }^{224}$, that the meafures of the Periplùs accord with the degrees of latitude between Gardefan and Quiloa within five miles ${ }^{235}$, a difagreement upon twenty degrees wholly infignificant. But if it fhould be thought that the meafure by a day's courfe is too vague to fupport the affumption of Quiloa for Rhapta, it may be anfwered, that in a voyage performed both ways, with the different monfoons, and repeated yearly, this eftimate may be reduced almoft to a certainty; far more fo at leaft than any courfes the Greeks could eftimate in the Mediterrancan. Ptolemy's objection to this will be confidered in its proper place: for the prefent it is fufficient to fay, that the agreement of the meafures is the firit principle for the aflumption of Quiloa; the fecond is, that the peculiarities of the coaft coinciding with the detail of the journal all point to the fame fpot; the feven days courfes terminating each at a river, cannot be applicable to any tract but the coaf of Melinda, comprehending the mouths of the Obii, and the termination of theie at Nombaça, which is aflumed either as one of the Pyraláan illands, or as a place ftrangely marked by the title of the New Canal. One reaton for placing this at Mombaça is, that a canal implics fomething on the continent rather than an iflaud in the fea, and the Pyrakian ihaods preceding this feem, therefore, naturally to be the fpots enclofed and divided by the mouths of the Obii, on which Ampaca, Sio, P.te, and Lamo are placed, all marts of later date, cormeponding with fome of the feven courfes of the Periplus. Another reafon for ulluming Mombaça is, that it is on an ifland in

[^80]a bay feparated from the land by fo narrow a chamel that it is joined to the main by a cauley at low-water ${ }^{326}$; there is a flrong fimilarity in this to a canal cut, or fuppofed to be cut ; but a third point we may infift upon, is fill more convincing, which is, that neither the Pyralaan iflands, or the Now Canal (whatever it may bc) are mentioned as lying at a diftance from the coaft, whercas the next ftation is exprefsly noticed as an ine three hundred fadia, or thirty miles off hore.

## MENOUTHESIAS.

XX. This ine is the Eitenediommenuthefias of the Periphis ${ }^{2 n T}$, a term egregioufly ftrange and corrupted, but out of which the. commentators unanimoully collect Menûthias, whatever may be the fate of the remaining fyllables. That this Menutthias muft be one of the Zanguebar iflands is indubitable ${ }^{228}$; for all three, Pcmba, Zanguebar, and Monfia lie nearly at thirty miles from the coaft, and this character is indelible. Which of the three it may be, fhould not haftily be determined, but it can hardly be Pemba, which is the firft, or moft northerly, becaufe, if the firft were touched at the others muft be paffed, and ought to have been noticed; neither would the diftances agree, cither from the new canal to Pemba, or from Pemba to Rhapta. Zanguebar as the centre and moft con.

[^81]Pricurous naturally attracts our attention, and Zanguebar is uftumed by Toffus in oppolition to Salmafus, Stuckius, and a cloud of opponents ${ }^{23}$. Not that we muft fuppofe Voffius prejudiced in favour of one of thefe iflands more than another, but that it muft be one of the three, and ought to be that with which the diftances of the journal are moft confiftent. The journal is very precife on this head, it gives two ${ }^{230}$ hundred miles from the New Canal to Menûthias, and one hundred from Menûthias to Rhapta; marking at the fame time the diftance of the ifland from the main, and the return of the courfe from the illand to the continent. A reference to the map will now fhew that thefe meafures agree with the courfe from Mombaça to Monfia, rather than Zanguebar, and from Monfia to Quiloa. It will be thought fanciful to fuggeft a refemblance betwecia Monfia and Mcnûthia; but I cannot reftrain ning.lf from the fuppofition, though I hould not venture to fix a pofition on fuch grounds. However this may be, I thall. now give the defcription of the illand from the-Periplus, and leave. it for future navigators to deternine which of the two iflands correfponds beft with the characters that are noticed; thefe are, that it is low and woody, that it has rivers, and abounds with a variety of birds, and with the nountain or land tortoife. It has no noxious animals, for though it produces crocodiles, they are harmlefs. The natives

[^82][^83]ufe the Rhapta or fewen velfels ${ }^{\text {a3z }}$, both for filhing and catching turtle, and they have likewife another method peenliar to themfelves for obtaining the latter, by fixing bafkets inftead of nets at the intertices of the breakers ${ }^{233}$, through which the fea retires, when the tide is going out. Thefe circumftances, it is probable, will enable fome future vifitor to determine which of the two ifles. we are to call Ment̂thias; that it is one of them is demonftrable. There is fome reafon for thinking Zanguebar is not lowe ${ }^{23+}$; whether Monfia is fo, no means of information have yct occurred ${ }^{23 s}$, and as to the crocodiles which do no harm, I fhould have fuppofed them to be the very large lizards not unfrequent in thefe latitudes, if I had not obferved that the journal, when treating of Zocotora, mentions both crocodiles and very large lizards which the natives eat ${ }^{236}$. Crocodiles will hardly be found in iflands which cannot have rivers of any great extent or depth.

After thefe deductions, there is every reafon to conclude, that the Menûthias of the Periplûs is fixed indubitably at one of thefe two

[^84]low, which is one of the characters of Ment thias in the Periplins. But I have no politive authority to depend on. Reffende fays it is the larget ifland of the three, and twenty-five leagues in length. MS. in the Brit. Mufeum, p. TO3. at feq.
${ }^{236}$ See Peripl. p. 17. Séwge; ist But Kerodotus fays the Ioniams called [cáveaf] lizards by the name of crocodiles, lib. it. Sul. maf p. 873. Sce alfo Laral's Voyage. Harris, vol. i. p. 7o3. At St. Auguflit's bay in Madagaicar, he fays, the phace was covered with an infinity of karge liwards wolich burt no ona.

Whate, the difance from the main is fuch as to fuit no otiner upon the coaft; for all the modern accounts concur in giving it at eight lcagues, which are gcographical, and which, compared with thirty Roman miles ${ }^{237}$, arpreach too nearly to admit of a difpute. This is a point which has employed fo mueh pains to fettle, becaufe the conclufion of the journal, and the kinit of difeovery, depend upon it; for if we are right in Menuthias we cannot be miftaken in Rhapta. The ditance from Mionfia to Quiloa is as nearly an hundred miles as can be meafured, and two days courfe of the journal is an hundred miles likewife; but we are no otherwife determined to Quiloa than as a known place, and from the fuppofition that the convenience of the foot might have attracted the natives or the Arabs, to fix a city here in the early ages as well as the later. .The journal calls it the laft harbour of Azánia, and the termination of difcovery. The modern Ajan is bounded at Cape Baxoz, or as others ftate, at the Obii, and the coaft from thence to Cape Corrientes, comprehending the modern Quiloa, is ftyled Zanguebar ; it is this couft which Marco Polo calls the ifland of Zanguebar, to which he gives an extent of two thoufand miles, and in which he is not more miftaken than Ptolemy in his Menuthias, or in the inclination he gives this continent towards the eaft. The Periphes fixes its own limit without monfters, prodigies, or anthropophagi; a circumfance this, above all others, which gives reafon to fuppofe that the author vilited it himfelf ${ }^{233}$; for the marvellous ufually commences where knowicdge cnds, and this author indulges

[^85]the fame paffion as other writers, when he advances beyond the boundary of his own knowledge in the ealt; but of this more in its proper place. It is our prefent bufinefs to confider the fite affumed for Rhapta at Quiloa, with the feveral circumftances that attend it.

The Periplûs always employs the title of Rhapta in the plural, and notices it only as it is a mart or harbour ; but Ptolemy has a river Rhaptum on which Rhapta ftands, and a promontory Rhaptum more than a degree and a half farther to the fouth. It muft be obferved, that this is very much in harmony with the actual fite of Quiloa, which is on an ifland in a bay at the mouth of the river Goavo ${ }^{233}$, with Cape Delgado at the diftance of fomewhat more than a degrec to the fouth. D'Anvillc has affumed Delgado for the Prafum of Ptolemy, in which I fhould not fo confidently fay that he is miftaken, if I had not proved that he has totally neglected the Menûthias of the Periplûs ${ }^{240}$, the very point upon which all our pofitions in the neighbourhood depend. But if the Rhapta of Ptolemy and the Periplûs are the fame, of which there is no doubt, then the circumftances of Ptolemy apply to Quiloa, and to no other place upon the coaft. In this opinion 1 am not fingular; for Voffius ${ }^{241}$, as he agrees with me in, mak-
${ }^{239}$ See the Voyage of Thomas Lapez, in Ramufio, vol. i. p. 134. Fra. Quilloa nuova e 1. vecchia e uno finmo. A proof of more eftablifhments than one in this neighbourhood.
${ }^{24}$ D D'A wivile fuppofice the Menatthias of Ptolemy to be Zanguebar. But he does not take into his calculation the fite of that illand, or the neceffity there is for Rhapta being to the fouth of it.
${ }^{241}$ Mentuthias illa eft infula que nune Zanguebar appellatur, buic enim omnia conveniunt quee veteres de Menutthiade fcribunt, non infule S. Laurentii, que plane ignota fuit Grecis ac Romanis, ut plenius alias oftenda. mus, Raptim vero promontorium eft illud quod Quiloa vocatur. Voffeus ap. Cellarium. It will be flewn hereafter in what fenfe Ma. dagaicar was unknown to the Grecks and Romans. Sce Cellarius, lib. iv. c. 8. p. 163 .
ing Menuthias Zangucbar, unites alfo in alloting Rliapta to Quiloa.

$$
\mathrm{P} R A \mathrm{~S} \mathrm{U} \mathrm{M}
$$

XXI. Ir is now to be obferved, that Ptoleny ${ }^{242}$ in going. down the coaft of Africa, as he has the fame names with the Periplus ${ }^{245}$, fo has he the fame termination at Rhaptum; for his Prafum and bis Menûthias are thrown to the conclufion of his account of Africa, and do not appear to be collected from any regular journal like this before us; but to be founded upon the report of fome voyagers who had advanced farther fouth, in the intermediate time which had elapfed between the date of the Periplûs and that of his own publication. But if it follows from this that the Periplûs is prior to Ptolemy, fo is there great reafon to believe, that if he did not follow this journal as low as it went, it was one nearly of the fame age. The hefitation with which he fpeaks about all below Rhapta proves that he had no regular data to proceed upon, and however he rebukes Marinus for crror in his calculations, which, if adhered to, would have compelled him to carry Prafum to latitude thirty=four degrecs fouth ${ }^{\text {4it }}$, he himfelf has a method by no means more efficacious. Marínus, it feems, was upon his guard, and had reduced this excels to $23^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ fouth, or the tropick of Capricorn ; but Ptolemy objects to this, as fill too diftant, and reduces Prafum to latitude $15^{\circ}$ fouth, becaufe, fays he, the people there are black, and the
${ }^{242}$ Afric, cap. vi. table is.
${ }^{249}$ See Table, p. 135.
*44 It is a remarkable circumfance that this fis wild be nearly the latizude of the Cope of Good Hope, latitude $35^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$. Sce Ptol.
lib.i.c. g. The whole of Marints's error is imputed to calculating diflances by the day's courfe of a fhip; and the chapter that contains this charge is highly curious, and worth coufulting.

## 864 periplus of the erythrean sea.

country produces the elephant and the rhinoccros, circumftances which occur in latitude $15^{\circ}$ north in Ethiopia, and confequently ought to occur again as many degrees fouth of the equator. This method of fixing a latitude is by no means fatisfactory to Ramufio; for he tells us he was acquainted with a Portuguefe pilot who was well read in Ptolemy ${ }^{2 / 45}$, and who objected very acutely, that if this ground were admitted, the inhabitants of Spain ought to be of the fame colour with the Hottentots; for the fruits of Cibraltar are nearly in the fame latitude north as the Cape of Good Hope fouth. Without infifting upon this, it is cvident that Ptolemy had no data from the journals to proceed on. But when he places Rhaptum in latitude $8^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ fouth ${ }^{246}$, and Prafum in $15^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ fouth ${ }^{247}$, he makes but one ftep of feven degrees, without a feature of the coaft, or a circumftance intervening, which might cnable us to judge whether the voyage had ever been performed or not; but here we find a nation of Ethiopians or Negro Anthropophagi directly.

MENOUTHIAS, of Ptolemy.
XXII. It is oppofite to this Prafum, but towards the north enft ${ }^{24}$, that Ptolemy has placed bis Menûthias, and at the diftance of five dcgrees from the continent; for his Prafum is in longitude $80^{\circ}$, and his $\mathrm{Me}-$ nûthias in longitude $85^{\circ}$. His latitude of Prafum is $15^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ fouth ${ }^{240}$,

[^86]tudes from the Latin text, from a fuppofition that it was more correct than the Greek, and of equal authority ; for it is not a tranflation, but fuppofed to be taken from an older and better Greek copy. A learned friend corrected fome of my errore by referring to the Greek, and advifed me to confint it more.
his latitude of Menuthias is $12^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$. It is from this latitude of ${ }^{1} 5^{\circ}$ fouth, that the early Portuguefe univerfally allume Mofambique for Prafum; and if it were fo, the Menuthias, five degrees to the eaft, can be nothing but Madagafcar. Now it is not neceffary to affert that either of thefe aflumptions is true; bur, true or falle, it is evident that the Menùthias of Prolemy is different from that of the Periplus. The one is oppofite to Prafum, between $12^{\circ}$ and $15^{\circ}$ fouth ; the other is north of Rhaptum, and is in $9^{\circ}$ fouth. The one is five degrees, the other is only thirty miles from the continent. Where Prafum is to be placed is an object worthy of inquiry, if there were data fufficient to determine it, as it is the final limit of ancient difcovery to the fouth. I can point out no fitter pofition for it than Mofambique; and if the Greeks did reach that port, they muft. probably have heard of the great illand. The name of Mentuthias was poffibly affigned to it, as the name of the laft ifland known, like Thule in the north, or Cernè on the fouth, for a Cernè is found as the limit of African knowledge both on the weftern and eaftern fide of the continent. Hanno, or at leaft thole who followed him, finifhed their voyage at a Cerne ${ }^{250}$; and Pliny, as well as Dionyfius, finds another in the Indian Ocean. One of the firft names by which Madagafcar was known in Europe was the Ifland of the Moon, poffibly an Arabian interpretation of Men-tthias s'; but Marco Polo calls it Madafter, an appellation

[^87]thin, was the nance by which the natives called it. This, as attribated to the natives, may be doubted; but it is certainly the term ufed by the Arabs, as appears from Al Ediffi. Madatter, the nante given by M. Pulo, is racre likely to be the native appellation. We is the firf author who conveyed this name to Europe.
which it received from the navigators of his age, who where apparently Malays rather than Chinefe; and to that language we fhould look for the ctymology of the term.
XXIII. Let us paufe at this bomdary of ancient difovery, and examine briefly the opinions of mankind upon the fubject. To commence with our author, nothing can be more guarded or unalfuming than his language. The ocean, he fays, beyond Rhapta, as yet undifcovered, fweeps round with a turn to the weft; for as it wafhes the fhores of Ethiopia, Libya, and Africa in their inclination to the fouth weft, it joins at laft with the Flefperian or Atlantic Ocean. This notion is confiftent with the general fentiments of the ancionts on this fubject; and a varicty of authors, from IMrodotus to Pliny, not only fuppofe the communication of the two oceans, but the actual performance of the voyage. If credit were due to any, Herodotus has the faireft pretenfions ${ }^{251}$; he has certainly $n o$ intention to deceive, but was deceived himfelf by the vanity of a nation who fet no narrower bounds to their geography than their' chronology; whofe kings were gods, and whofe gods were monfters. The natural propenfity of mankind to affert the actual performance of all that is deemed polible to be perforned, is not confined to Egyptians, Greeks, or Romans. The problem of a north eaft or north weft paffage to the Southern Ocean has been exploded only within thefe ten years; but while it was thought practicable, the pretenders to the performance of it were as bold in their affertions as the Egyptians of Herodptus.
${ }^{253}$ Haud alio fidei pronicre laphu quam ubi falfe rei gravis autor exiltit. Puıny, lib, v. p. 92.

As the Aragonauts failed from the Mediterranean by the Palus Meotis ${ }^{233}$, and the Tanais ${ }^{554}$ into the Hyperborean Ocean; or as others are faid to have come from India north about by Tchutikoi ${ }^{233}$, and through the Wolga into the Cafpian Sea and Hyrcania. So in a more recent age have we an hiftory of a fhip called the Eternal Father ${ }^{258}$, commanded by Captain David Melguer, a Pertuguefe, who in the year 1660 ran north from Japan to latitude $84^{\circ}$, and then fhaped his courfe between Spitfbergen and Greenland, by the . weft of Scotland and Ireland, till he reached Oporto. We have a Captain Vannout, a Dutchman, who affirns that he paffed through Hudfon's ftraits into the South Sea. Another Dutchman who failed in an open fea under the North Pole, and a John de Fuca who failed from the South Sea into Hudfon's Bay. All thefe accounts have been reported and believed in their feveral ages, convicted as they now are of falfehood or impoffibility, and traced, as they may. be, to error and amplification. To this fpirit of vanity it is doubtlefs that we may refer the Perfian Fable of the voyage of Scylax.; the Egyptian boaft of the circumnavigation of Africa; the Grecian vanity concerning the fame achievement by ${ }^{237}$ Eudoxus and Magus ${ }^{233}$; and the ignorance of Pliny in carrying Hanno from

[^88]fet in their true light, may confult Strabo, p. 101.
${ }^{2 s}$ I am not certain whether Magus be.a proper name or not, but lee is oue of the pretenders to this circumnavigution, mentipned be Strabo from Polidonius, lib i. p. 32. fent by Gelo of Syracuic, and confidered as an impotior by both.

Carthage

Carthage to the Red Sea, notwithfanding liss own joumal was extant, which thews that he never pafiel the equator ${ }^{256}$.

Nothing is more ealy than to afimm the accomplimment of thefe great attempts, where an author clogs himfolf widh neither circumflances or particulars; but whenever we obtain theie, as we do in the journal of Nearchus or the Periplus, we find indeed that the ancients performed great things with flender means; but we fee yhainly alfo what they could not do. We fee, with fuch veificis as they latd, they could neither have got round the Cape of Cood Mope, by adhering to the coaft, whore the fea and the currents mult have been infupportable, nor could they have avoided thefe by tatading out to fea, as they had neither the means nor the knowledge to regain the fhore if they had loft fight of it for a fingle week. It docs not appear in the whole hiftory of ancient navigation, that any royage was performed either in the Mediterranean or on the ocean by any other means than coafting, escept the voyages from Arabia and Africa to India; and back again by the Monfoons. It does not appear that there was any fort of embarkation known in the world which was fit to encounter the mountainous billows of the ftormy Cape ${ }^{260}$ : Hiftory fpeaks of no veffels fit for the ocean but thofe
${ }^{259}$ Campomanes places the Gorillas at St . Thomas under the equator, but probably without fufficient anthovity.

* The fame report which was made to M. Polo, concerning the violence of the fea beyond Cape Corrientes, is to be found in almoft all the Oriental writers. In the follow: ing quotation we have the evidence of two. De fluctibus hujus maris res prorfus flupenda narrantur. Luquit Al Sherif Al Edriffi ibi fluctus
mukiplex affurgit inlar totidem montiun reciprocatortm, quifluelus nequaquan frangitur; eoque naves deferuntis ad iufulam Kambalah, qux in predicto mari fita ad A1 Zang [Zanguc bar] pertinet, incole funt Moflcini. Abul feda, in vetfionc Gagnieri. K'S. in Bocleiana Bibl.

We here learn that there were Arabs, Mahomedans on the coalt of Zanguebar, that there was a trade thither from Arabia or the Red Sen, and that the navigation beyond was unattempted
which Crefar defcribes on the coaft of Bretagny; and if the Phenicians came to our ifland for tin, affuredly it was a fummer voyage. The veffels of the Mediterranean were unfit for this fervice, not fo much from their fize as their built; and if it is obferved that Solomon and the Phenicians traded in the Red Sea, and down the coaft of Africa, perhaps as low as Sofala, it muft be conceded, alfo, that veffels built at Ezion Geber could not be large, and that the danger of the voyage, as far as they proceeded, was attended with a terror, that perhaps nothing but the Phenician thirft of gain could have furmounted. This terror Bruce has noticed with much acutenefs. The prifon, the ftraits of burial, the port of death, and the gate of affliction, he remarks, are names given to the marts in the courfe of this navigation; and if fuch was the alarm upon the mind of the feamen, when they wifited this tract in the favourable feafon of the monfoon, what muft it have been if they had attempted to pais Cape Corrientes ${ }^{2 t .1}$, and had launched at once into the ocean which furrounds the extremity of Africa. Cape Corrientes (fo called from the violent currents formed by the preffure of the waters through the narrow channel between Madagafcar and the main ${ }^{263}$,) was the boundary of Arabian navigation when Gama firf came upon the
unattempted on account of the mountainous fea. See Al- Edrifit, p. 28, et feq. who mentions beyord sofala, Tehna where there is a hollow mountain, whence the waters rufh with a tremendons roar, and a magatick rock which draws the nailis out of Chips. Some other places to the fouth are mentioned, but with great obfcurity, as Saion, Daudema, Gafta, Dagutta, and Ouac-Ouac, the termination of all knowledgc on the coaft of Africa, and indeed of all the geographical knowledge
of the Arabs, p. 34. Such is the account of Al Edrifi, who wrote anno 548, Hegira, or 1153 , about a centurs before M. Polo, and apparently about 500 yerrs after the decline of the Creek and Roman conmerce from Egypt.
${ }^{2}, 5$ Facile homines abltinere folent ab iis $L_{\text {ocis unde }}$ vel nullis vel difficilis fit regreffus. Voffius ad Mel. p. 595.
${ }^{252}$ Marmol defcribes not only the currents, but illands, fhoals, and the moft violent winds that are known. Vol, iii. p. 106.

## PERIPLUS OF THE ERYTHREAN SE. $\Lambda$.

coaft ; whether the Phenicians, Egyptians, Greeks, or Romans ever reached fo far may well be doubted, if they did, the Prafinn of Marínus and Ptolemy may as properly be placed there as at Molambique, but that farther they did not go is certain ${ }^{263}$. The Arabs knew the coaft earlier, later, and longer than all of them united; they were fettled here while the others were tranfient vifitors, and they had the opportunity of obferving the feafons', winds, and currents; and what they did not dare attempt, no nation, unlefs poffeffed of fuperior fkill, power, or refources, can be fuppofed to have accomplifhed.
XXIV. But from argument let us come to facts, and bold as the prefumption may appear, it is not too bold to affert, that there is no evidence of a farther progrefs to the fouth, on the weftern coaft of Africa, than that of Hanno, nor on the eaftern, than that of the Periplûs. In afferting this, if I detract from thẹ authority of Heródotus, Diodôrus, Ptolemy, Juba, and Pliny, it is a detraction confiftent with the moft perfect veneration of thofe great and illuftrious authors, for they have all followed the reports of others, while the authors of the Periplûs and Hanno fpeak from their own experience. It is from reports of others that we hear of a Cernè, and a Southern Horn, on both fides of this vaft continent. Thefe names were, in the refpective ages, the ne plus ultra of knowledge on both fides; and whoever failed, either from the Red Sea, or the Mediterranean, arrived at thefe points, as Columbus arrived at the Indies by taking a courfe directly oppofite to Gama. The

[^89]Scuthern Horn of Ptoleny, on the eaftern coalt, is in latitude $4^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{o}^{\prime \prime}$ north, and the extreme point of Africa ${ }^{20+*}$, is nearly in $35^{\circ}$ fouth, making more than thirty-nine degrees difference; the Southern Horn of Hanno, on the weftern coaft, is in latitude $7^{\circ}$ north, making two and forty degrees from the fame extremity; but if we take both together, reckoning eighty-one degrees from one Southern Horn to the other, this is a fpace that Pliny reduces as it were to a point, and confiders the junction of the Atlantick Ocean, as taking place almoft inftantly; Juba takes a much bolder flight, and reckons the commencement of the Atlantick Ocean from the Bay of Mofyllon, annihilating by this method, if it were poffible, the immenfe triangle of this valt continent ${ }^{265}$, and bringing his own Mauritania almolt in contact with Arabia. The particular attention of all who are curious on this fubject is requefted to this point, for it is upon cohceptions equally erroneous as this, that too many of the ancients fuppofed the circumnavigation of Africa as poffible as the doubling of Málea or Lilybêum. And this fuppofition of the poffibility produced the belief of the performance. Pliny is felf-evidently chargeable with this mifconception, and Heródotus had probably no means of information by which he could form a judgment of the extent of Africa to the fouth.

It is on this ground above all others that we may affert, that the author of the Peripluts vifited Rhapta in perfon; he had not heard. of Prafum, a proof that the account of it is pofterior to his age; he takes no notice of the circumnavigation ever having been accomplifhed, a proof that he knew nothing of Herodotus, or did not

[^90]believe his report; and he fays nothing of Cerne, which is a proof that the miftake attending it, commenced from the Mediterrancan and not from the Red Sea. That the gencral tendency of the coaft was fouth weft he faw as far as he went, and that it continued fo, he might collect from the natives or the Arabs, or he might conclude the union of this fea with the Atlantick, from confidering that converging lines muft meet. But whatever fource of intelligence he had, that he fhould mis nothing marvellous or cxtravagant with the termination, is a merit that few geograplers in the ancient world can boaft.

The facility with which Pliny ${ }^{256}$ carrics his navigators round the world is rather magical than falfe; he amiliilates fpace, and finks continents under the fea. He fuppofes that the Macedonians in the time of Seleucus and Antiochus, failed from India into the Cafpian Sea, and that the whole Hyperborean Ocean was all but explored ${ }^{257}$ quitc round to the north of Europe. The circumnavigation of Africa is effected with equal celerity; for this was accomplifhed not only by Hanno ${ }^{288}$ from Gades to Arabia, and by Eudoxus from Axabia to Gades; but long before Eudoxus, Celius Antípater had feen a merchant who failed from Gades to Ethiopia. Nothing can be fo unfortunate as thefe affertions; for we have Hanno's ${ }^{368}$ own account to prove he never was within forty degrecs of the cape, and Strabo's authority to prove, that Eudoxus never came from Egypt to Gades by the ocean, but by the Mediterranean; and that full

[^91]lieve them.
${ }^{2-9}$ This is fo readily admitted by common inquirers, that Mickle in his tranfation of the Lufiad Gays: "Though it is cortain that Hanno " doubled the Capc of Good Hope." p. 2.
as his mind was of attempting the royage, the attempt if made would have commenced from Gades, if he had found protectors to patronize his undertaking *i. Of Celius Antipater we can fay nothing, but if he did meet with a merchant who had failed from Gades to Ethiopia, it was certainly not the Ethiopia fouth of Egypt, but that on the weftern coaft of Africa. No merchant or merchantthip could have performed a woyage which the greateft potentates muft have attempted in rain, But the moft extraordinary circumflance fill remains, which is, that there is in this place no montion of Heródotus ${ }^{27}$, or the voyage he attributes to the Phenicians in the reign of Necho. This is the more remarkable as the account of Heródotus has frongly the femblance of truth, while Hanno and Eudoxus never pretended to the honour of the achievement themfelves, nor was it ever imputed to them by any one who was acquainted with their real hiftory, their fituation, object, or defigns.
It is with great reluctance that I controvert the teftimony of Heródotus, for it is no light offence to queftion hiitorical facts upon evidence of mere fpeculation. It muft be confeffed likewife, that the facts he gives us of this royage, though few, are confiftent, The fhadow falling to the fouth, the delay of fopping to fow grain and reap an liarveft, and the fpace of three years employcd in the circumnavigation, joined with the fimplicity of the naxrative, are all points fo ftrong and convincing, that if they are

[^92]and fo fond of placing it in an early age, that he fecs ao dificultics in his way; and he procaeded fo much upon hyputhefis that he neglecied hittory. Fle knows fo little of the woyage of Ntarchus, thet he makes him fail along the coalt of Ariana inftead of Mekran, and come up the Gulph of Arabia inttead of Perfia. See vol. i. p. $45^{6}$ and 470 .
infifted upon by thofe who believe the poffibility of effecting the paffage by the ancients, no arguments to the enntrary, however founded upon a different opinion, can lave the mind without a doubt upon the queftion. That different opinion I confcfs is mine, but I wifh to fate it with all deference to the Father of Hiftory, and with the profeffion that I am ftill open to conviction, whenever the weight of evidence fhall preponderate againf the rafons I liave to offer.

I allow with Montefquieu, that the attempt commenced from the eaftern fide of the continent, prefents a much greater facility of performance than a fimilar attempt from the weft; for we now know that both the winds and currents are favourable for keeping near the coaft from the Mofambique Channel to the Cape; and that after paffing the Cape from the eaft ${ }^{272}$, the current fill holds to the northward up the weftern coaft of Africa. But the prodigious fea, raifed by the junction of the two oceans, almoft perpetually, and at every feafon of the year, is fuch, that fow of the fleets of Portugal, in their early attempts, paffed without lofs; and the clanger is now avoided only by ftanding to the fouth ${ }^{273}$. The latter means of fafety could not have been adopted by the Phenicians, they could ${ }^{6}$ not ftand out to fea; and if they adhered to the coaft, by all that we can now judge from the conftruction of ancient veffels, fhipwreck muft have been inevitable.

But to omit thefe confiderations for the prefent, let us obferve, in the firf place, that the actual performance of this voyage ftands upon a fingle teftimony, and of all the circumnavigations affirmed,

[^93]this is the only one which will bear an argument. If it took place in the reign of Necho, Heródotus lived an hundred and fixty years after the fact ${ }^{274}$, and received the account from the Egyptians. Tltat Heródotus did receive the account, mult be indubitably admitted. His general veracity is a fufficient voucher. But that the Egyptians deceived him is an imputation which he does not fcruple to inflance in fome other particulars, and of which we have the moft undoubted evidence at this day. If we take the date of Necho's reign in 604, A. C. ${ }^{275}$, and allow that he was a fovereign attached to commerce, (as appears by his attempt to make a canal from the Nile to the Red Sea,) it will be readily granted that he muft be acquainted with the navigation of that fea, and the commerce carried on there, and on the coaft of Africa beyond the ftraits by his own fubjects, by the Arabians or the Tyrians. The Egyptians had probably the leaft fhare in this, but the trade itfelf is to all appearance as old as Thebes, and the caufe of its fplendour and aggrandizement. That it was profecuted by Solomon ${ }^{276}$, Hiram, and Jehófaphat we know hiftorically; and that it was enjoyed in all ages by the Arabians in fome fornz or other, there is every reafon to believe from the commodities found in Egypt, Paleftine, and Europe. Thefe caufes, and the teftimony which. Agatharchides bears, that the gold mines on the coaft of the Red Sea were worked by the native kings of Egypt, prove that Necho might wifh to extend his knowledge down the coaft of Africa to its termination, and that he might confequently fend a Phenician fleet to the fouthward for that
> ${ }^{474}$ The voyage ordered by Necho is placed by Blair about ante Chiif. Hicródotus read his hiftory
${ }^{275}$ His reign begins in 616, and end; in 601. Blair.
${ }^{77}$. Solomon died in $980, A$. C. Jehoraphat in 889 Necho is near 300 years liter.
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purpofe. But that they executed their commifion is not quite fo cafy to belicve. Had this fleet no difficulties to encounter, becaufe we read of none but the want of provifions? Can we fuppofe the Phenicians fo fuperior to the Greeks in the art of navigation, as to have no dread of paffing the greateft promontory in the world, when Nearchus and his officers thuddered at Muffendon, and dare not attempt Raf-el-had? Can we believe that Phenicians who had never croffed the Indian Ocean were bolder mariners than the Arabians, who trufted themfelves to the monfoons? and yet the Arabians never dared to try the Mofambique current, during their neighbourhood to it for fourteen liundred ycars, while thefe Phenicians launched into it at firft fight? To them the terrors of the ftormy cape were no barrier, and the promontorics on the weftern coait of the vaft continent no obftacle. Were all thefe which the Portuguefe furmounted only by repeated attempts, and by a perfevering fpirit exerted for almoft an hundred years, to be pafied by Phenicians on their firf expedition, and in the courfe of a few months t Raife them as we pleafe above Greeks, Romans, and Arabians in fcience, they were doubtlefs inferior in courage to them all. And whatever fcience we allot them, the fmalleft bark could have been conducted by the knowledge of a Portuguele pilot in greater fafety, than the largeft veffel ever fitted out of Egypt. Some admirers of the ancients, not content with fuppofing the execution of fuch a voyage, are willing to give them the means, by furnifhing them with the compafs, and other nautical inftruments; thefe, it is faid, were found in the poffeffion of the Arabians, at the time the Portuguefe firft came into the Indian Ocean, and that they were afterwards met with in India and China. The fact is true, but
this was in the latter end of the fifteenth centary, and the compafs is faid to have been known in Europe early in the thirteenth ${ }^{17}$; it had therefore paffed from Italy into Egypt, and from Egypt to the Red Sea. But this argument, if it could be fupported, would effectually contradict the hypothctis it is brought to fupport; for it would prove, that the Arabians of Mofambique, who really poffeffed thefe inftruments, had never been enabled by them, nor ever dared to pafs fouthward of Corrientes in their neighbourhood, while the Phenicians had actually circumnavigated the whole continent. Now, if the Phenicians had not the compafs, how could they perform what was with difficulty performed by it? If they had it, how happened they to perform fo much more by it in an early age than the Arabians in a later? But this queftion has been fet at reft by Niebuhr, Mickle, and fir William Jones, who fhew that the Arabian ${ }^{278}$, Indian, and Chinefe compals is formed from that of Europe.

But let us now examine the text of Heródotus. The narrative of this voyage is introduced in a paffage where he is giving the limits and extent of the three continents, and here he fays, that Neco. proved Africa to be furrounded by the ocean from the completion. of this voyage. One expects, however, to hear of the officer who commanded; it was at leaft as great an exploit as any which the fabulous navigators had achicved. Sefoncholis, the Oriental Bac-
${ }^{277}$ Arbuthnot, p. 280 . from Fauclet and
Guyot de Provence,
27: The Arabick name for the compafs
is Buffola, evidently Italian. Concerning the
Chicele inftument there is fome obfcuity;
but it was fo mean a tool that it is hardly
worth difuffing. The probability is, that
the Nalays had it from the Arabs, and the Chinefe from the Malayts But the Chinefe themfelves claim the invention 1120 years before the Chriftian cra; and from China fome fay it was brouglit by Marco Polo, but he does not mention it himfelf, and it is therefore moft probably a fettion.
chus, and Hercules, whatever might be the extent of their victories, peregrinations or voyages, fell far thort of this, and they were immortalifed; while among the Greeks, Jafon, who failed little more than feven hundred miles, was himfelf worfhipped as a hero, and had his fhip trannlated to the fphere. How happened it then that the greateft difcovery which the world admits, fhould confer no honour on the difcoverer? The name of. Satafpes ftill lives in the fame page of Heródotus, whom Xerxes put to death becaufe he attempted the fame circumnavigation in vain, from the ftraits of Gades; and the following page celebrates Scylax of Caryanda who paffed from the Indus into the Gulph of Arabia, to the point from whence the Phenicians had commenced their expedition. I have as little faith in the voyage of Scylax as in that of the Phenicians; but it is unjuft that Darius fhould fuffer the name of the inferior ta furvive, while Neco fhould totally fupprefs the fame of the fuperior, The great argument againgt both is the total failure of all confequences whatfoever, the total want of all collateral evidence, and the total filence of all other hiftorians but thofe who have copied from Herodotus. And in his account the narrative clofes with a fentence, which if it were not otherwife interpreted by his moft excellent and learned editor, I fhould confider as throwing a tint of fufpicion over. the whole ${ }^{279}$.

The fentence in one view feems to intimate that the Carthaginians had circumnavigated Africa as well as the Phenicians; and if that be its

[^94]real import, it can only allude to the voyage of Hanno ${ }^{30}$, which might have been deemed a circumnavigation in the age of Herodotus as readily as in the age of Pliny; and if fo, it would.invalidate the Phenician account as much as the Carthaginian; for if the Carthaginian voyage were falfe, as we know it is, the Phenician could hardly be true.

This argument; indeed, is not to be infifted on, nor the oblivion to which the name of the commander is configned, but the difficulties of the voyage itfelf, the want of means to furmount them, the failure of confequences, and the filence of other hiftorians, are objections not to be fet afide without ftronger evidence on the other fide than has yet been produced.

But as it is not in our power to prove a negative, let us now examine the pofitive teftimony of other authors in oppofition to that of Herodotus. The author of the Periples fays directly, that the ocean never was explored on the eaitern fide to the point of AfricaHanno gives no intimation of any one having failed farther than fimfelf on the weftern fide, and Scylax ${ }^{258}$, who traces the Carthaginian commerce to Cerne, maintains not only that the fea to the fouthward was unexplored, but that it was not paffable ${ }^{232}$. The laft author we fhall adduce is Ptolemy, who certainly muft have

[^95]tion of the weeds which obftruct the palfage is a circumitance which d'Anville has feized to prove the reality of thefe Carthaginian voyages to the fouth. Such weeds do occur, and do impede a thip's way, if the has not rather a brifk wind. If the latitude where thefe weeds commence can be determined, it may throw a new light on thele voyages of the Carthaginians,
been acquainted with Heródotus, however ignorant we may fuppofe Hanno, Scylax, or the merchant of the Periplûs. And Itolemy is fo far from believing the report of Neco or the Egyptians, that he not only fuppofes the voyage never performed, but declares it impoffible; that is, he brings round the continent of Africa unbroken with a fweep to the eaft, till he makes it join the continent of Afia to the eaftward of the Golden Cherfonefe.

Falfe as this hypothefis may be, it is ftill a contradiction direct to Herodotus; for though it proves that he was himfelf ignorant of every thing beyond Prafum, it proves likewife that he believed all pretenfions to a progrefs farther fouth fabulous; and that where all knowledge cealed he had a right to an hypothefis of his own as well as others. D'Anville fuppofes that Ptolemy affumed this fyftem from the prevailing idea among the ancients, that there ought to be Antipodes in the fouth, correfpondent to thofe of the northern hemifphere. Perhaps alfo a counterbalance of continents was as favourite a notion in the early ayes as in modern. But however this error originated, the conclufion of d'Anville is remarkable ${ }^{283}$ : "Nothing," fays he, "was lefs afcertained among the ancients, if we may judge " from Ptolemy, than the account of fome voyages which were faid " to have been effected round the continent of Africa by the fouth." And parallel to this is the opinion of Voffius ${ }^{288}$ : "Certain it is, * whatever may be faid to the contrary, that the ancients were fo " far from paffing the Cape of Good Hope, that they never ap"probached it." Both thefe opinions are likewife fupported by Strabo ${ }^{\text {2es }}$, who fays, "that all who have attempted this navigation " either from the Red Sea or the Straits of Gades, have returned"

[^96][without effecting their purpofe]; and yet Strabo, while he afferts .this, is as perfecily affercd that Africa was circumnavigable, as $\mathrm{He}-$ ródotus. In giving thefe opinions of Ptolemy, Strabo, and d'Anville, I feel myfelf fupported by the greateft authorities ancient and modern; it is hoped, therefore, that the argument here affumed will not be thought prefumptuous, more particularly as it derogates not fo much from Heródotus, as from the information he received in Egypt.
P TOALEM
XXV. Ir has been already fhewn by the table [p. 135.] that there is a general correfpondence between Ptolemy and the Periplus, and their difagreement in particulars is not imputable to the authors themfelves, but to the age they lived in. In that age the geographer did not navigate, and the navigator had no fcience ${ }^{285}$. The geographer reckoned by degrees without obfervation ${ }^{287}$; the navigator reckoned by his day's courfe. Modern navigators correct their dead reckoning by obfervation; but in the early ages fcience and practice had little connection:- and yet fo far from their being any charge of error or negligence in this, that it is exactly the reverfe. We are not to condemn the miftakes of Ptolemy in longitude or latitude, but to revere the fcience, which applied the phenomena of the heavens to the meafurement of the earth. The navigator of the prefent hour is conducted on principles firft eftablifhed by
${ }^{236}$ Every feaman knows that his dead reckoning amonnts to nothing till it is corrected by obfervation.
${ }^{283}$ Prolemy, lib. i. e. 9 , tells us expreisly
that he had no accounts to be depended on, wix
 his latitudes from fimilar productions in the oppofite hemifpheres.

Ptolemy. The errors of his maps can no longer mificad, while his principle muft be of the fame duration as navigation itfelf. I call. the principle Ptolemy's, becaufe he fixed it and brought it into ufe. Thales and Anaxagoras knew that the world was a fiphere. Eratofthenes drew the firt parallel of latitude at Rhodes, and firft meafured a degree of a great circle upon the earth; Hipparchus taught that the meafurement of the heavens was applicable to the earth. And Diofcorus and Marínus are both faid by Ptolemy to have delineated maps on principles fimilar to his own; though we may judge what there were, when he fays that Marinus had the latitude of fome places and the longitude of others, but fcarely one pofition where he could afcertain both. But if Ptotemy objects to the method of Marínus, we are compelled to object to the method Ptolemy ufed to correct it; for he fays, that in going down the coaft of Africa, Marinus reckoned by the days' courfe of the voyagers, and finding thefe carried Prafum to $35^{\circ}$ fouth, he fhortened the eftimate, and placed that promontory under the tropick of Capricorn. He then enters into a long argument to prove the infufficiency of this ftandard, and forms another for himfelf, by confidering the productions of nature as fimilar, at equal diftances, on both fides the equator; a ftandard certainly not lefs vague; and yet on this ground, and no other, he fixes Prafum in latitude $15^{\circ}$ fouth. Now there is a very remarkable coincidence attends the conclufion of both thefe geographers; for the Prafum of Ptolemy is precifely at Mofambique, and that of Marinus at Cape Corrientes; and it is ftill more extraordinary that Mofambique fhould be the laft ${ }^{285}$ of the Arabian fettlements in the following ages, and Corrientes the limit of their knowledge.

[^97]From all the evidence I can collect, and all the circumftances I can combine, I find it imponible to afcertain the fite of Prafum ${ }^{259}$; but I have no hefitation in carrying it farther to the fouth than d'Anville does, or in fixing Corrientes as the fartheft poffible boundary to all the knowledge of the ancients. The detail of Ptolemy goes to Rhaptum and no farther ; fo far he had journals, and the relation of navigators to conduct him ; beyond that, the voyage did not in its regular courfe extend; and if fingle veffels had at any time been carried to Prafum; By the winds and currents, it was accidental. But it fhould feem that it was heard.of from the natives, or the Arabs, rather than feen, as all circumftances and particulars end with Rhaptum; and the remainder confifts of a fingle ftep to Prafum, that is, near feven degrees, without mention of a port, an anchorage, or a fingle feature of the coaft.

One thing, however, is certain, that the name of Prafum is familiar to Marínus, who is prior to Ptolemy, and is not known to the author of the Periplus. If, therefore, Ptolemy lived in the reign of Adrian, and we have an intervening writer between him and our author, we cannot err more than a very few years in affigning the date of the Periplûs to the latter end of the reign of. Claudius, or the beginning of that of Nero. There is a Diodorrus Samius mentioned in Ptolemy from Marinus, who notices the courfe held by veffels from the Indus to the coalt of Cambay, and from Arabia to the coaft. of Africa ${ }^{280}$. He afferts that in the former voyage they
${ }^{259}$ There are fome coincidences fo extraordipary, and fome contradictions fo ftrong, that the choice is wholly at a fland. Ptolemy condemns Marínus for making five thoufand fladia, j. e. five hundred miles between Rhap; tum and Prafum; and yet he himfelf makes it feven degrees, which is almaft the fame thing. Ont if they agree in this, their difference is.

Atill irrcconcilable ; for Marinus's Prafum is in $23^{\circ}$ fouth, and Ptolemy's in $15^{\circ}$. Marinus's. line of coaft tends dircetly to the fouth or Louth weft, Ptolemy's to the eak. 'Arj $\boldsymbol{D}^{2}$.


290 Prolemy, lib. i. c. 9. Azánia the coaft. below Cape Gardefan.
failed with the Bull in the middle ${ }^{\text {apt }}$ of the heavens, and the Pleiades on the middle ${ }^{392}$ of the main yard, in the latter that they failed to the fouth, and the far Canobus, which is there called the Horfe. I çan find no mention of this Diodôrus Samius in any other author; but whoever he is, if the date of his work could be fixed, it would go farther to afcertain the progrefs of the ancients, the navigation of Hippalus, and the account of the Periphus, than any difcovery I have been able to make. I have reafoned only from the materials before me; and if future inquiry fhould devclope Diodorrus, it is not without great anxiety that I muft abide the iffue of the diftovery.

Another circumftance highly worthy of attention is the argument of Ptolemy ${ }^{287}$, to invalidate the eflimate of a day's courle. The ufual eftimate he flates at five hundred ftadia for a day, and a thoufand for a day and night; he then mentions, from Marinus, a Diógenes who was one of the traders to India, and who, upon his return, in his fecond voyage, after he had male Arômata ${ }^{254}$, was caught by the north eaft wind, and carried down the coaft for five and twenty days, till he reached the lakes from whence the Nilc iffues ${ }^{298}$,
$n=$.
${ }^{201}$ Masezantionc. .

${ }^{293}$ See libicic. c. 9 .
294 It may be proper to examine the monfoon upon this queition.
${ }^{2 g 5}$ That there is a great lake inland fram the coalt of Ajan, is a report of which we find traces in almolt all the accounts ancient or modern; but where to fix it, or what it is, feems by no means afcertainet, D'Anville notices fuch a lake on his map of Africa, and conjectures that it may be the fource of the Obii, which iflues at Ampaça and Patc.

Ptolemy here makes it the origin of the Nile, and places it in $10^{\circ}$ fouth ${ }^{*}$, and the Nubian geograpler carrics to 16 , which is the latitude of the Iake Maravi, while the foure that Bruce vifited is in $12^{\prime}$ north. T'wo and twenty degrees is furely too great a difference. to fuppofe between the head of the White and the Blue River : neitlier is is probable that any fource of the Nile fhould be fouth of the mountains of Abyfivia, which Remed now fays are part of the great Belt that di-

[^98]that is, nearly to Rhaptum ${ }^{296}$; he then adds, that Matinus mentions one Theóphilus who frequented the coaft of Azánia, and who was carried by a fouth weft wind from Rhapta to Arômata in twenty days. From thefe facts ${ }^{277}$ Ptolemy argues, that as five and twenty days are attributed to the fhorter courfe, and twenty to the longer, there can be no fated meafure of a day's courfe to be depended on. I muft own that to my conception they prove exactly the contrary; for though a day's courfe is certainly indefinite, where winds are variable, we now know that both thefe voyagers mult have beencarried by the two oppofite monfoons, and that Diógenes loft his paffage by not arriving at Gardefan early enough to get into the Red Sea; confequently he was hurried down to the fouth, and could not get into port till he was fomewhere about Patè or Melinda. The difference itfelf of twenty-five and twenty days is not fo great as to infift upon with feverity, and we muft likewife add, that both voyages feem in confequence of furprize, and not the ordinary courfe of the navigation. Mariners do not now, and certainly could not formerly,
vides Africa, this indeed is not impoffible, as the Indus and the Ganges both cut the great belt of Afia; but it is bighly improbable, on account of the valt face between. Neither does it make 费tolemy confiftent; for though d'Anville ftill preferves Ptolemy's fources of the White River in his map, and Rennell does not Alfeard them. The lakes of thofe fources are placed in $6^{2}$ north by d'Anville, and in a very different longitude fiom Ptolemy's, while this lake of Ptolemy's is in $10^{\circ}$ fouth. And here d'Anville has a lake alfo, but of which he fpeaks with great uncertainty, See Peol. lib. i, c. 9. Bnt Ptolemy, in the inth chapter, ex. prefsly flates that this lake is not near the
coaft but far ialand. D'Anville's earlief notice of this lake, called Maravi, is in a map which he compoted for L.e Grande's tranflation of Lobo, in 1728.
${ }_{206}$ Ptolemy fays, the Promontory of Rhaptz was a little to the fouth weft.

297 The facts are fo curious that I hare great pleafure in fating them to the reader, tind propofing them to the confideration of any Englifh officer who may be accidentally brought on this coaft. I muft notice alo that Diógencs and Theóphilus are both Greck mames; a leading proof that even under the Romans, this trade was chiefly in the hands of Egyptian Grceks.
reckon by an individual, but a general run; and when they are in the fweep of the trade winds or the monfoons, though the foree of the wind is not perfectly or conftantly equal, it is fo generally firbject to calculation, as to vary but a few days in very cxtenfive pallages. This fort of eftimate all feamen have in fuch voyagcs, and on fuch coafts as they frequent. And thofe who know how nearly the computation of all feamen approaches to the truth, will certainly allow more precifion in the accounts of Marínus and the Periplus before us, than Ptolemy is willing to concede. On this point we have a moft remarkable coincidence to notice; for as Marínus ftates. the paffage of Diógenes from Arômata ${ }^{2 y s}$ to the lakes at five and twenty days, the Pcriplûs affigus cxactly the fame number from Opônè ${ }^{299}$ to Rhaptum upon a diftance as nearly cqual as poffible. The conclufion from this is incontrovertible; for it has already been fhewn, that the courfes of the journal agree with the actual extent of the coait, and if the paffage of Diógencs agrees with the day's courfes, it is impoffible to admit the fcepticifm of Ptolemy.

But, from his rejection of the eftimate, we may proceed to his contradiation of the facts; for in his feventeenth chapter he controverts the whole account of Marínus ${ }^{300}$, and as far as we can collect, his account was in union with the Periplùs. The reafons for admitting the ftatement of the Pcriplus are contained in the whole of the preceding pages. The reafon for doubting Ptolemy is, that his account is not confiftent with his own detail of the
coaft,
${ }^{299}$ From Gardefan to Melinda or Pate.
299 From d'Alfui to Quiloa.
300 I had once conccived an opinion that
Marinus might be the true author of the Peri.
plat, from finding his great agrecment with it, and that the objections which Ptolemy brings againet Marinus in the feventeenth chapter apply very netuly to the Periplus. But I an
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coaf ${ }^{324}$, nor confonant to the knowledge of it, whicis we have at prefent. He fets out with faying, that the merchants who trade between Arabia Felix and Aròmata, Azánia, and Rhapta, give a different ftatement from that of Marinus. They mention that the courfe from Aromata to Rhapta is fouth weR; but from Rhapta to Prafum foutin eaft. This indeed would hold good for a fmall bend of the coait, but upon the feven degrees which Ptolemy affigns to the interval is directly falfe; for the general inclination from Gardefan to the Cape of Cood Hope is fouth weft upon the whole; and this turn which he aflumes to the fouth eaft, feems only to prepare it for the curve he gives it all round the Antarctic Ocean. He then adds, that the village Panon is next to Arûmata, and that Oponè is fix days from Panon. If there be not a corruption of the text here, or a great error in our conltuction of it, this is in direct oppofition to his own table as well as the Periplis. For his table gives only five minutes difference between the two. He next mentions Zengifa, Mount Phalangis, and the bay called Apócopa, which it requires two courles of twenty-four hours to pafs. Then the Little Coaft of three fimilar courfes, and the Great Coaft of five; then two more to Effina, one to Scrápion, and three more acrofs a bay to Rhapta. Niki, he adds, lies at the commencement of this bay next to Serapion. And laft of all he notices a river called Rhaptum, with a city of the fame name, the metropolis of Barbaria, with a vaft bay which muft be paffed to reach Prafum, where the fea is very fhoal ${ }^{302}$, and round Prafum is the country of the Anthropophagi. Now the

meaning of this language，if I underfand it right，is，that at Rhap－ tum is the laft fettlement of the Arabs，and that Prafum is in the country of the Negroes，for fo I interpret Anthropophagi．This is not expreffed indeed，but is fo perfectly confiftent with the Peri－ plûs that it can hardly be difputed．

The difference that there ${ }_{\mathrm{e}}$ is between this detail of the coaft and that of the Periplus，will be beft feen by confulting the table（p．136．）； but whatever it may be，it contributes more to eftablifh that journal by its general concurrence，than it detracts from it by difagreement in particulars．It appears to me，whether from predilection to my author，I cannot fay，that Ptolemy had a journal beforc Him but a worfe．I fee the correfpondence between the two，but more con－ fiftence in the Periplûs．I fee likewife more circumftances in this， more characters，and more intelligence，which perfuades me that it is written by one who performed the voyage，while Ptolemy relates after another．The reafoning，therefore，which he builds on this， to correct Marinus，appears of lefs weight；for we find all the diftances of the Periplûs correfpondent to the actual nature of the coaft at prefent ；and whatever failure there may be in the applica－ tion of it to particulars，the leading characters，fuch as the Straits of Bab－el－Mandeb，Ras－Feel，Gardefan，Daffui，Cape Baxas，the Coaft of Seven Rivers，and the Zanguebar Iflands are fo clear and manifeft， that the outline may be confidered as perfect，whatever error there may be in the filling up．To my own mind the evidence is com－ plete；but every author who compiles from the labours of others， without vifiting the countries of which he treats，muft fubject the fpeculations of the clofet to the determination of navigators on the fpot．To ty＇⿻丷木斤s law I fubmit my inquiries moft chearfully，foliciting
information without fear of the refult, and ready to fand corrected by every intelligent officer who will make this work the companion of his voyage. One farther obfervation is all that remains in this part of my difcuffion, which is, the peculiarity that Prafum, fignifying Green, fhould point out a green cape for the termination of ancient knowledge on the ealtern fide of the vaft continent of Africa, while another Green Cape (Cape Verde) fhould have been for many years the boundary of modern navigation on the weftern fide. If I am not miftaken, Cape Verde has its name from its verdant appearance when firf feen by the Portuguefe; otherwife it might have been thought that thofe who firft reached it had annihilated the great triangle like Juba and Pliny, and thought they had arrived at the Green Cape ${ }^{303}$ of the ancients. This will not appear an idle obfervation to thofe who are converfant with the ancient geographers, and who know that they found, as already noticed, a weftern Horn and Cernè on both fides the continent, and a Thulè from the Orkneys to the Pole. But there is another view in mentioning it, which is, that fome future navigator, with this clue to direct him, may, when he is going up the Mofambique paffage, ftill find fome characteriftick greennefs, either in the colour of the fea, or on the continent, which may enable him to point out the Prafum of the ancients. This is a point I cannot afcertain to my own fatisfaction, but it cannot be farther fouth than Corrientes, nor farther north than Quiloa or the Zanguebar Iflands. Englifh hips generally leave the coaft before they are fo far north, but accident may carry fome
${ }^{303}$ Mescors fignifies a Leek, but it is alfo may poffibly allude to fuch weeds found in ufed for a fea weed of the fame colour, and this fea.

curious obferver to the fpot, which he may recngnize, by knowing previoully where he is to fearch, and what he is to fearch for.

## Discoveries of the Portuguese.

XXVI. Wirn veffels of the moft parfect conftruction to cncounter all the dangers of the fea, with inftruments of all kinds to afcertain the place of the veffel, with officers equal to cvery fervice, not only from thcir intrepidity but their $\mathfrak{k i l l}$, a voyage performed in three years from Europe to the Red Sea, round fuch a continent as Africa, for the firft time, would have added no fimall degree of luftre even to the reputation of a Cook: and yet fuch a voyage is imputed to the Phenicians in an age when they had neither "charts or inftruments, when they hacl no veffels fit for a navigation beyond the Red Sea, or the limit of the monfoons. But to judge of the difficulty of fuch an undertaking for the firf time, we cannot form our eftimate upon better grounds, than by a brief recapitulation of the obftacles furmounted by the Portuguefe, and by obferving that the attainment of the fame object coft them almoft a century, which the Phenicians are faid to have reached in the flort fpace of three years.

Of the progrefs of this difcovery it will be neccffary to trace little more than the dates ${ }^{304}$. Prince Henry, fifth fon of John the firft, king of Portugal, took up his refidence at Sagrez, near Cape Saint Vincent, about the year 1406. The hiftory of his difcoveries is familiar to every one, but, like the hiftory of all others who are the

[^99]favourites of mankind, it is not fufficient to give him his due merit, which is fuperlative, but it muft be enhanced by hyperbole. It is not true that in his day there was no groography but in the poets ${ }^{3 / 5}$, that he is the inventor of the aftrolabe ${ }^{366}$ and the compais, or the firft that put thefe inftruments into the hands of mariners : but he was bred a mathematician, and he procured the beft charts and beft inftruments the age afforded. He improved upon or corrected every one of them, and he taught ${ }^{307}$ the application of them in the beft manner to the commanders employed in his fervice.

This great man, with one object always in his mind, ditracted by no other cares of the world, never married, never incontinent, was deter ined, by his regard to religion, to fubvert the power of the Mahometans, and by the love of his country to acquire for her that trade which had enriched Venice and the maritime fates of Italy. Thefe were his views, and this was his merit. It was not accident but fyftem that carried the fleets of Portugal to the Eaft Indies, and Columbus to the Wett. When Henry firft commenced his operations, Cape Nun, in latitude $28^{\prime 2} 40^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$, was the limit of European knowledge on the coaft of Africa. This Cape is juit beyond the boundary of Morocco, and the Portuguefe knowledge of it was derived from their wars with the Moors of Barbary. Com-
ses Bunee and Mickle.
${ }^{36 n}$ See on the iftrolabe, note infra.
${ }^{307}$ I cannot help mentioning a cienmfance which contributes much to our national ho. nour. Pietro della Valle who failed both ins Englifi and Portugnefe fhips in the Eant In. dies about 1620 , obferves that the Portuguefe maiters and pilots made a myftery of their knowledge, whereas on beard the Ing-
lifh faips all the youths on board were fummoned to take the obfervation at noon; their buoks and calculations were then liketrifc corrected. Purchafe mentions this in fome in fructions given by the merchants to the commanders they employed; and here, perhaps, we may trace a caufe why the fcience has always been encreafing among the Englifh, and declining among the Portuguefe.
mencing the line of his difcovery from hence, in 1418 two of his officers reached Cape Boyador, in latitude $26^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$. The fame effort reftored to geography the Canaria of Pliny, or gave that name to the iflands which retain it ftill, and lie between Nun and Boyador. Boyador, however, was not doubled till 1434. It was, fays Faria, a labour of Hercules; and it was not till 1442 that the difcovery was advanced to Rio-del-Ouro, under the tropick of Cancer. This name points to the acquifition of gold; and hiftory mentions that the duft of that precious metal was here firt offered as a ranfom foome of the natives who had been taken prifoners. Upon the return of thefe veffels to Portugal ${ }^{\text {38s }}$ the fight of gold produced an emotion much more effectual than all the exhortations of Prince Henry had been able to excite; a company ${ }^{109}$ was immediately formed at Lagos, and the progrefs of difcovery was enfured whether Henry had lived or died. This is the primary date to which we may refer that turn for adventure which fprung up in Europe, which pervaded all the ardent fpirits in every country for the two fucceeding centuries; and which never ceafed till it had united the four quarters of the globe in commercial intercourfe. Henry had ftood alone for almoft forty years, and had he fallen before thefe few ounces of gold reached his country, the fpirit of difcovery might have perifhed with him, and his defigns might have been condemned as the dreams of a vifionary; but he lived till $146_{3}$, and in the years 1448 and 1449 had the fatisfaction to fee his difcoveries extended

> sos Bruce obferves, this muft have come from the country farther fouth, vol. Ii p.
> ${ }^{309}$ It will appear hereafter that a Johin Diaz was one of the fift partners of this Company, and from him feveral of the family
were employed in the future difcoveries, till
the time of Bartholomew Diaz, the firft cir-
cumnavigator of Africa, in 1487 . Thus was
the connexion formed between the difcovery
of Rio-del-Onro and the Cape of Good Hope.
to Cape Verde, (in latitude $14^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$,) to the Cape Verde illands and the Açores. This cape was likewife doubled, and fome progrefs is fuppofed to have been made as far fouth as to the equator, but Cape Verde may be confidered as the limit of Henry's difcoveries. He is deferve!ly ${ }^{\text {s5 }}$ celebrated by all writers as the reviver of navigation, and the great founder of that commerce which has raifed the maritime power of Europe above all the other nations in the world.

After the death of Henry his defigns languifhed during the reign of Alonzo, but the fpirit of adventure was not fuppreffed. In' $147{ }^{1}$ the difcoveries extended to Cape Gonzales beyond the equator, and terminated with this reign at Cape Saint Catherine, in latitude $2^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ fouth ${ }^{312}$. John the fecond fucceeded to the throne in 148 r ; and revived the purfuits of Henry with all the ardour of their author. In 1484 his fleets reached Congo and penetrated to $22^{\circ}$ fouth. It was in Benin that the firft account of Abyffinia was received, and nearly about the fame time John fent out Bartholomew Diaz with three fhips, who firft circumnavigated the extreme point of Africa, and difpatched Covilham in fearch of India by Egypt and the Red Sea. The date of Diaz's expedition is fixed in 1486, nearly eighty years after the commencement of Henry's plan, and the expedition of Covilham is áfligned to $1487^{\text {3n2 }}$. I have recapitulated thefe facts and dates not for the purpofe of repeating a hiftory known to every one, but that the reader may compare the difficulty of profecuting this dif-

[^100]covery by the Portuguefe, with the facility attributed to the attempt of the Phenicians, in their three ycars' navigation.

But Covilham ${ }^{333}$ is a name of fuch importance, his hiftory fo "extraordinary, and his account fo connected with the Periplus, that to pafs him in filence would be an uapardonable omiffion. John II. in the beginning of his reign had fent two friars, one of the order of St. Francis, and the other John of Lifbon, with a third who was a layman, into the Eaft ${ }^{344}$, in order to difcover India by land. Thefe travellers went, for want of the Arabick language, no farther than Jerufalem, In the year $14^{86}$ or $14^{8} 7^{315}$, he therefore fent John Pedreio de Covilham and Alonfo de Payva on the fame fervice; and after them two Jews, Abraham of Beja and Jofeph of Lamego. As nothing can fhew the folicitude of the king more than thefe circumftances, fo nothing can prove his penetration more than this choice of Covilham; he was a foldier, he had ferved in Africa, had been an ambaffador to Morocco, and had acquired the Arabick language to perfection. In obedience to his fovereign he departed from Lifbon ${ }^{366}$, and took the route of Barcelona and Naples, and thence by Rhodes and Alexandria to Cairo. He there joined a party of Mograbin ${ }^{517}$ Mahometans, and went in their company to Tor, Suakem, and Aden. At Ades he embarked for Canamer on the coaft of Malabar, and vifited Ormuz, Goa, and Calicut. He faw
${ }^{31}$ Called de Covilham from the name of his birth place. Oforius always writes John Petreig. See vol i. p. 147.

314 Caflaneda, P. 2.
${ }^{315}$ I. collect that Covilham entered Abyfwhia in 1488 from Alvarez in Ramufio; for

Alvarez fras he confeffed thim in 1521 , thirty. three years after he had entered the country. ${ }^{316}$ Alvarez in Ramuino, vol. i. p. 191. 237. et.feq.
${ }^{317}$ Moors of Barbary, Weftern Arabs.
the pepper and ginger ${ }^{3 \prime}$, be beard of cloves and cinnamon. After this he returned to the coaft of Africa, touched at Zeila, and went down the coaft as low as Sofala, the laft refidence of the Arabs, and the limit of their knowledge in that age, as it had been in the age of the Periplûs. The Arabs of Covilham's age knew indeed that the fea was navigable to the foutb weft, as their earlier countrymen did when the author of the Periplûs was in the country, but they knew not whbere it ended. With this intelligence, and what he could collect of the Ifland of the Moon, or Madagafcar, he returned by Zeila, Aden, and Tor to Cairo. At Cairo he met the two Jews, Abraham of Beja and Jofeph of Lamego, by whom he fent an account of the intelligence he had collected to the king, and in the letter which contained it, he added,
" That the ships which failed down the coalt of Guinea might " be fure of reaching the termination of the continent, by perffiting: " in a courfe to the fouth; and that when they fhould arrive in the "Eaftern Ocean, their beft direction muft be to inquire for Sofala " and the Ifland of the Moon."

It is this letter above all other information which with equal juftice and with equal honour affigns the theoretical difcovery to Covilham as the practical to Diaz and Gama; for Diaz returned withort hearing any thing of India ${ }^{\text {as }}$, though he had paffed the cape; and Gama did not fail till after the intelligence of Covilham had ratifed the difcovery of Diaz.

the defigns of John? Alvarez foems to write what Covilham dictated. Alvarez, P. 237. ${ }^{319}$ Caftaneda, p, 2.

Covilham was not to receive the reward of his fervices; one part of his commiffion he had not executed, which was, to vifit Abyffinia; he returned, therefore, from Cairo to Ormuz, and from Ormiuz once more to Aden, where he waited till he found the means of introduction into Abyfinia. Here he was received with kindnefs, but leence he was never to return; for in Abyffinia he was found by Alvarez ${ }^{325}$ the almoner to the embaffy of John de Lima, in 1525 , who obferves that the king had given him a wife and lands, and that he was beloved by the people as much as by the fovereign; but that his retura was for ever precluded. He folicited John de Lima, and John interceded with the king in vain. I dwell with a melancholy pleafure on the hiftory of this man, (whom Alvarez defcribes ftill as a brave foldier and a devout Chrittian,) when I reflect upon what muft have been his fentiments on hearing the fuccefs of his countrymen in confequence of the difcovery to which he fo effentially contributed. They were fovereigns of the ocean from the Cape of Good Hope to the Straits of Malacca: be was fill a prifoner in a country of Barbarians.

There is a circumftance attached to the hiftory of Covilham of great geographical importance, which is the map or chart committed to his charge by Emanuel, at that time prince ${ }^{322}$ and afterwards king of Portugal, which was copied and compofed by the licentiate Calzadilia, afterwards bifhop of Vifeo, a doctor Rodrigo, and a Jew named Mofes, with great fecrecy in the houfe of Peter
a*o See the work of Alvarez in Ramufio, ${ }^{321}$ Duca. See Cattaneda, p. $1,2,3$. vol. i.

Alvarez in Ramufio, vol. i. p. 236 .
of Alcazova. This map ${ }^{322}$ was put into Covilham's hands with orders to make his way, if poffible, into Abyffinia, and difcover whether there was a paffage round the extremity of Africa, which the framers ${ }^{323}$ of the map afferted to be practicable, on the authority of fome obfcure information which they had collected.

Bruce afferts ${ }^{32+}$ that Covilham fent home from Cairo a map which he had received from a Moor in India, in which the Cape, and all the cities round the coaft were exactly reprefented. But whence Bruce draws this account I cannot difcover; and if there was fuch a map among the Moors it mult be a fiction, for none of them had ever paffed Corrientes by fea; and cities there are none ${ }^{325}$ for
${ }^{322}$ I imagine it is the compofition of this map which has induced Mickle to fay, that the Aftrolabe was invented by two Jews, Rodrigo and Jofeph at Lifbon; and I have little doubt that the ulage of the word Aftrolabe deceived him, or the author from whom he copied. The primary meaning of Aftro labe was an armillary fphere. Ptolemy reduced this to a planifphere; and yet the name of Aftrolabe continued till it became applied to maps like his in 非ereograplick projection. The Sea Aftrolabe is a diterent inftrument, for taking the altitude of the fun, ftars, \&ec. It is a ring with a moveable index. See Chambers's Dictionary, in vace. This laft fort of Aftrclabe is defcribed in Chaucer's treatife on that fubject, which bears date ${ }^{1} 391$; fo that if. Mickle means this inftrument, it could be no invention of the Jewift doctor's. See Chaucer, Ury's edition, p. 440. and that he doed nean it, I refer to his own words, Lufiad, p. 193. Note P. where he quotes di Barros, Dec. i. hb. iv. c. 2. I cannot refer to di Barros; but in Alvarez (Ra-
mufio, vol. i. p. 236.) I find the circumitance of this map by Caftadilia, with the name of Rodrigo and Mofes the Jew, whom I fuppofe to be the Jofeph of Mickle. Purchas, vol. ii. b. ii. p. 8. fpeaks with much more propriety ; he fays the Aftrolabe was applied formerly only to aftronomical purpoles, but was accommodared to the ufe of mariaers by Martin Bohemus, a fcholer of Regiomontanus, at the fuggeltion of John king of Portugal.
${ }^{323} \mathrm{Et}$ dipaflare un di loro nell' Ethiopia a vedere il pacie del Prete Janni et fe ne i fuoi mari fuffe notitia alcuna che fi poffe paffare ne mari, de ponente, perche li detti Dottori dicevano haverne trovata non fo che mernoria.

374 Vol. ii. p. 108. Caftameda, p. 3. fays that Covilham fet down the names of places in the chart he curried with him, albeit ail written.

325 "Howbeit there appeared unto them no " townes within this land, by reafon that along "thofe confts there are none fituated," Cinftaneda, p. 8. "but further within there be "towses and villages."
almon twenty degrees from Corrientes to the Cape, or from the Cape for twenty degrees to the northward on the weftern coaft.

That fictitious maps of this fort might exift both in the Indies and Europe, among Mahometans ${ }^{376}$ and Chriftians, is highly probable, for it was a prevailing notion in all ages, that Africa was circumnavigable. And it has been repeatedly noticed in this work, that on both coafts, when the voyagers reached the limit of difcovery, the report of the place was always in favour of a paffage. We may allow even more than this, and fay, that the natives had gone ${ }^{327}$ by land much farther to the fouth than the navigators by fea; and that their accounts were almoft unanimous in maintaining the fame affertion. The ftrongeft evidence I have found of this is that which the Portuguefe afterwards report of Benomotapa; a great nation when they arrived in Africa, and the remnant of a much greater, which had poflefled cities of great extent and regular buildings; and from which it was faid there were public roads sunning far to the weft and quite down to the Cape ${ }^{322}$. We are not to believe thefe reports, perhaps, in their full extent; but the xuins of great buildings feem authenticated; and the exiftence of gold and gold mines is univerfally afferted. Here is Bruce's Ophir ${ }^{322}$,

32: The communication betwreen the Oriental and Atlantick Ocean feems to be intimated in Abulfeda, (p. 50. Gagnier's tranilation, MS. in the Bodleian, ${ }^{\text {, }}$ but it is fo obfcure that 1 am not certain that I comprehend it cven in the trapflation.

327 This feems to appear from Al Edriffi, p- 28. at feq. where he mentiens Sofala, and feveral other places beyond it with great obm fcurity.

[^101]that it went far fouth may be very true; but hardly to the cape.
${ }^{349}$ Pere Dos Santos in Lobo's hittory of Abyfinia, finds a Fura or Afura inland from Sofala, and concludes it to be Ophir. p. 26 r . Tr. ed . He finds alfo all that Solomon brought into Judea except peacocks; but his commentator obferres that Thukkiin, the Hebrew term, may be tranflated "peroquets as well as peacocka. I leave the voyage to Ophir for the difcuffion of others, obierving only that the circuunftances attending it are in favour of Africa, though Gofflin confines it to Sabêa.
the tradition of the queen of Sheba ${ }^{330}$, the coaft of Sofala, and the great river of Cuama.

Such a nation as this, while in a flourifhing fate, we can fuppofe to have extended its communications far to the weft and to the fouth, with roads both ways as far as their caravans could find purchafers to invite them. With this nation the Mahometans of Sofala and Mofambique muft of neceffity be connected ; and if they had a: map or chart of the cape, from the information of this nation it muft have been collected. It is the mention of cities in this map which alone makes us fufpect that it was the product of their own imagination. Maps of this fort are fuppofed to have been framed as readily in Europe as in Afia. And one of thefe Mickle fpeaks of in the introduction to his tranflation of the Lufiad in the following terms:
" Antony Galvan relates ${ }^{33 x}$, that Francifco de Soufa Tavanes told " him, that Don Ferdinand told him, that in 1526 he found in the " monaftery of Acobaça a chart of Africa an hundred and twenty " years old, which was faid to be copied from one at Venice, which " alfo was believed to have been copied from one of Marco Polo, " which, according to Ramufio, marked the Cape of Good " Hope."

Mickle confiders this as a mere report calculated to deprive prince Henry and the kings of Portugal of the honour of the difcovery; and its date of 1526 , almof thirty years after the difcovery had

350 This tradtion might well extend to this country before the arrival of the Mahometass on the coalt, from the early A rabs, and much more ftrongly from the Abyfinians, who in their better dlays do certainly appear to have
had conquefts in Arabia, and connections with Egypt, and in the interior of Africa to the weft and fouth. 33 Introd. p. exxiv,
atually taken place, affords full ground for the fufpicion. But let us fuppole that the depicted travels of Marco Polo, which adorned one of the churches at Yenice ${ }^{33}$, attually contained the Cane of Cood Hope, or rather the extreme point of Africa, it proves nothing. It fhews only that the prevailing notion of the circumnarigation prevailed at Venice, as it had done many centuries before in Creece and Rome, and that it was inferted into this chart from the imagination of the draftiman.

Marco Polo ${ }^{33}$ himfelf was too wife and too faithful a travelier to affert this. We have his work; and we find his language perfectly in harmony with that of Scylax and the Periplûs. "Beyond the " iflands of Magaftar and Zanzibar," fays he, " there is no farther " navigation fouthward ${ }^{33+}$, becaufe the fea runs there with great " velocity to the fouth, fo that it would be impoffible for any " veffel to 'return." It muft be here noticed, that as he writes Magatar and Madaftar for Madagafcar, fo under the name of Zanzibar he comprehends the main coaft of Africa, which fill takes the fame name, and carries it to the extent of two thoufand miles. Whatever error there may be in this, his mention of the current
${ }^{332}$ In the church of Saitt Michael de Murano near Venice. Ram. vol. ii. Dichiaratione, p. ${ }^{7} 7$.

333 There was a Portuguefe verfion of Marco Polo publifhed in Portugal in 1502 , by a gentleman of the court, attendant on Eleonora, queen of Fmanel, who likewife publifhed the account of Nicolas des Contes or Conti, and of Fierome de Saint Etienne. This publication, in 1503 , makes it highly probable that Marco Polo's work was known in Portugal previone to the voyage of Diaz, and was now publifhed when it could not in-
terfcre with the glory of the difcovery, and might give information of the countries in the eail.
${ }^{33+}$ It is to be obferved that the readiug of this paflage is very different in Ramufio from that of Bergeron. But both agree in fating the impetuofity of the current to the fouth. I bave followed Ramufio, as I always do, in preference to other tranflators. See Ramudio, vol, ii. M. Polo, lib. iii. c. 35. Bergeron, cap. 39. See the account of this map, Ramufio, vol. ii. Dichiaratione, p. I 7 .
between Madagafcar and the continent is an illuftrious truth, the more remarkable as M. Polo was never on this coaft himfelf, but muft have derived his knowledge of the fact from the Malays or Arabs, who were the only navigators of the Indian Ocean in his age. And the reafon affigned for their not paffing to the fouth, though they knew there were [lands or] iflands in that quarter, is the very fame which the Arabs of Sofala and Mofambique gave to the Portuguefe at their arrival on the coaft. The whole of this is confiftent with the knowledge of the Greeks and Arabs, which terminated at Prafum; and in all ages the current of the Mofambique Channel appears to have been an infuperable barrier to all but the Phenicians of Herodotus.

Such is the account of Marco Polo himfelf, and let us next confider thie celebrated map of his travels which was preferved at Venice, and which was probably one of the moft efficient caufes which led to the difcoveries of the Portuguefe. Ramufio has preferved the hiftory of this, and as his book is not in every body's hands, the reader will perhaps be gratified by the infertion of his account.

In the church of St. Michael di Murano near Venice, there was a cafe ${ }^{335}$ or cabinet near the choir, which contained this map that attracted the particular notice of all travellers who came to Venice. The map was compofed by a lay-brother ${ }^{336}$ of the convent, from another map or chart which had been brought home by Marco Polo and his father, on their return from Tartary ${ }^{337}$. The original had been disfigured, and brought into difrepute by the infertion of a variety of things too modern for the age, and ridiculous in their

335 Armara, Armoire.
${ }^{336}$ Converfo
D D

3:7 Cataio.
appearance;
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appearance ${ }^{\text {¹8 }}$; ftill it was evident when the work of M. Polo came to be read again and confidered, that this map and chart was compofed by him or under his direction. The artift therefore who undertook to copy and reform it, leaving out the abfurdities, and adding the longitude and latitude, which the original had wanted, framed the map which is now preferved in the church of St. Michael, and which is vifited-as the compofition of Marco Polo himfelf. In this map a variety of curious particulars are obferved, unknown before, or at leaft to the ancients; and more efpecially that towards the Antarctick circle, where Ptolemy had placed his unknown fouthern ${ }^{339}$ continent without fea; there appeared in this map, made fo many years ago, the fea furrounding the extremity of Africa, fo that a paffage from the Indian Ocean into the Atlantic feems to have been known in the time of Marco Polo, though there was no name given to that promontory which the Portuguefe afterwards called the Cape of Good Hope.

Three queftions arife out of this account, ift, Whether the delineation of the Cape in the copy is a proof that it exifted in the original: $2 \mathrm{~d} y$, Whether this copy- is the original from which the bifhop of Vifeo's map or chart was taken, which was delivered to Covilham; and 3 dily, Whether the bifhop of Vifeo's map is that which is mentioned by Bruce.

Firft. It certainly does feem probable that the report concerning the termination of Africa in a cape was as current in Afia and the Indies as in Europe. That either the Chinefe or the Malays did

[^102]navigate the ocean as far as Madagafcar, and the coaft of Zanguebar is evident; for Marco Polo was not there himfelf, and could have his account only from them or from Arabs ${ }^{3+0}$, whom he might meet with on the coaft of Malabar from Arabia or Africa. Ir fhould feem however rather from the former than the latter, for as Marco Polo is the firft author who introduced the name of Madagafcar ${ }^{\text {s+ }}$ into Europe, fo is it probable that this title is not given to it by the natives, but by the Chinefe, Malays, or Hindoos. In confirmation of this we find in Cook's comparative vocabulary, drawn up by Mr. Marfden, that the Malay numerals, and fome other radical words, are ftill current in that ifland. Now if the Malays traded to this ifland, or the coalt of Zanguebar, they muft have had intercourfe with the Arabs fettled there; and that the Arabs did believe the poffibility of a circumnavigation has been proved already, both from their obfervation of the interior, and from fuch intelligence as they might derive from Benomotapa. From fome of thefe fources there can be little doubt that Marco Polo, if his map or chart contained the continent of Africa, might affign a termination to the coaft, and convert that into fea which Ptolemy and his copiers had affigned to their fouthern continent.

But there is a ftronger argument for believing that this African Cape was in the original of M. Polo, and not introduced by the artift who copied it, which is, that M. Polo himfelf fpeaks of the coaft of Zanzibar, not as the continent, but as an ifland two thou-

[^103]fand miles in circumference ${ }^{342}$; whatever crror there may be in this, it is felf-evident, that if he made it an ifland, he muft give it a termination on the fouth, as well as on the other three quarters; and if he delineated this, that fouthern boundary muft be the very iimit of Africa, which Ramufio fays the copy contained. It is for this reafon moft efpecially, that we ought to admit the fact; and if the fact is admitted, to M. Polo muft be affigned the honour of firlt giving this intelligence to Europe, and of opening the way for the difcoveries of the Portuguefe.

Secondly. What the map was which was compored for the ufe of Covilham by Calzadilia, afterwards bihop of Vifeo, by Dr. Rodrigo and the Jew Mofes, cannot be determined without better: materials from Portugal than are in my poffeffion; but there is great reafon to believe, that the principal fource of that work was the map of Marco Polo, becaufe the firt printed edition of his work was in Portuguefe, dared Lifbon 1502, by a gentleman in the court of Eleonora, wife of Emanuel; and this being only four years after the voyage of Gama, when every firit was roufed by the difcovery, it feems highly probable that the intelligence contained in this book was in poffeffion of the court of Portugal previous to the expedition, and had been made ufe of by the kings of that country, for the purpole of inftructing and encouraging thofe who were employed upon that fervice.

It is to be obferved, that the original work of Marco Polo was compofed in Italian by a Genoefe, who took his inftructions from the mouth of the author, when a prifoner at Genoa, about the

[^104]year 1300 . From this Italian copy ${ }^{3+3}$ a Latin tranflation was made at Bologna, and publifhed in MS. two copies of which were preferved, one in the Library of the Canons of Latran at Padua; the other at Colonia ${ }^{34+}$ in Brandenburg, in the Library of the Elector. Both copies are fuppofed to be nearly the fame, but differ from another which was made at Baffle. Thefe are all manufcripts, but from one of thefe it may well be imagined that a copy had been procured by the Portuguefe, during almoft the whole century that their mind was fet upon this object, from the firf attempt of prince Henry in 1406 , to the voyage of Gama in 1497. The edition of this work from the prefs, fo early as 1502 , in Portugal feems to confirm this, and as the circumnarigation was completed, it could now no longer be concealed, nor could any future adventurer detract from the honour of the difcovery. Thefe circumftances, it is true, amount to no proof, but afford ample ground for believing that the map delivered to Covilham was framed from this fource of information. Whether the original map and chart of M. Polo, in the church of St. Michael di Murano at Venice, or the copy which replaced it had been copied by the Portuguefe, I have no means to determine; but as it feems to have been open for the infpection of all vifitors, and as the ardour of the Portuguefe was pointed to rival the commerce of Venice, from their firft outfet to the attainment of their object; it may reafonably be concluded, that if they had no Portuguefe ${ }^{245}$ in

[^105]Henry's brother brought a map from Venice, in which the cape was marked; but be gives no authority. If I had known where to find this fact, I could have determined the queftion. I do not doubt it, but I with both Bruce and many other authors wouid prefer
that city to collect intelligence, they employed Jews for that purpofe; for Jews they feem ever to have perfecuted and trufted at the fame time; a Jew was employed in forming Covilham's map, and two Jews were fent after him into Egypt.

Thirdly. What map it was that Covilham fent home, which he had procured from a Moor in India, cannot be determined; neither has Bruce, who mentions this circumftance, condefcended to give us, his authority. I fuppend, therefore, all judgment upon this till I know the foundation on which it fands; it feems rational that the Mahomedans fhould have charts of their navigation ${ }^{346}$, as well as the Europeans; but as no Mahomedan or Arab had paffed the cape, the delineation of it muft have ftood upon the fame fort of intelligence as Marco Polo had acquired in the eaft, or be inferted from imagination and the prevailing belief of the fact. Whenever I can difcover the authority of Bruce it will deferve confideration, till then I fhall think that if Covilham filled up the map he had received, or corrected it, or added to it fuch information as he could collect, it is a more probable account than the report of this Moorifh map, which contained cities that never exifted. Such a corrected map of Covilham's we read of in Caftaneda, who feems to have feen it, as he fays it was ill-written and disfigured; this I take to be the map to which Bruce alludes.
the information of their readers by marginal references to the vanity of a clean page. It does feem higlly credible that the map. of M. Polo was brought to Portugal by this Don Pedro.
$3 ; 6$ What fort of charts or maps the Axabs
had, as late as 1400 , may be feen by the map of the worfd I tave inferted in the appendix from Al Edritif. The Great Cape of Africa is not in that, though potterior to M. Polo; but the author is prior to the Venetian, though the copy of his work is later,

## Voyages of Barthofomew Diaz and Vasco de Gama.

XXVII. From the year $1410^{377}$ to 1486 the Portuguefe had been engaged in advancing their difcoveries to the fouth; fome progrefs had been made in every reign; but the honour of doubling the extreme cape of Africa was referved for John the fecond. In ${ }^{3+1}{ }^{14} 86$ Bartholomew Diaz failed from Portugal with three fhips; he is called an officer of the king's ftorehoufe at Lifbon, but is manifefly of a family ${ }^{349}$ which had long been employed in thefe voyages of difcovery ; and had probably been gratified with a place of truft for merits in the fervice. He advanced to $24^{\circ}$ fouth, one hundred and twenty leagues beyond the track of former navigators, and then ftretching boldly out to fea, never touched upon the coaft again till he was actually forty leagues to the eaftward of the cape, which he had paffed without feeing it in his paffage.

This however was not the termination of his difcovery, for he proceeded to the river del Infante, upwards of fix degrees to the eaftward of Agulhas ${ }^{350}$, which is the moft fouthern point of Africa, and near a degree beyond the Cape of Good Hope. The reafon of his return is not quite evident; but he had parted ${ }^{35 t}$ with one of his

347 One thoufand four hundred and fix is given as the firft date of prince Henry's defigos.
${ }^{348}$ See Caltaneda, Faria, Mickle, Oforius, Bruce.
${ }^{349}$ We meet with Dinis Diaz and Vincent Diaz in 1447, and John Diaz who was one of the firit company erteted at Lagos in 2.444 Faria, p. 9 .
${ }^{35}$ Cape Agulhas or Needle point. Thefe
names fill decorate our charts, and it is but jultice to preferve the names and language of every difooverer. The French have had the vanity to difplace feveral appellations of our late difcovercra. But La Peyroufe was honefter than his countrymen.
${ }^{351}$ He met this veffel tin his return with only three of the crew ulive. One died for juy.
little fest on his paffage, and it may be prefumed that the impombility of ccilecting information from the natives, with the continuance of the coalt to the eaftward, which he might have expected to trend to the north, contributed to his determination. Five and twenty leagues thort of this river he erected his crofs on a rocky illot, which ftill bears the name of De la Cruz, in the bay of Algoa ${ }^{352}$. This is a perpetual monument to his honour, and the Grand Cape which he faw on his return he flyled the Cabo Tormentofo, from the tremendous ftorms he had encountered on his paffage. The different fentiments with which this difcovery infpired his fovereign upon his return, reverfed the omen, and changed the Stormy Cape into the Cape of Good Hope, a name which has fuperfeded the pretenfions of all oceupants and all conquerors, and which it is hoped will preferve the glory of a generous monarch, and his hardy fubjects, to the end of time.

Still, though the difcovery was made, it was not completed. India had been the object of the fovereign, and the nation, for almoft a century; but India was neither found, or feen, or heard of, this was wanting to the fame of Diaz, and this was the caule that all the glory of the difcovery attached to Gama. Gana was a man of family ${ }^{33}$, and Diaz failed under him, with an inferior command; he had not even the fatisfaction of attending his fuperior to the completion of his own difcovery, but returned from St. Jago, and was again employed in a fecondary command under Cabral, in the

[^106]of his family; he at leat had armorial bearings, which, in that age, implied the rank of gentleman. He bore a Gama, i. e. Dama.
fleet that failed to India in 1500 . In this expedition Brafil was difoovered, and in the raflage from thence to the Cape, four fhips perifhed, one of which was that of Bartholomew Diaz with all on board ${ }^{354}$.

It would feem natural that the difcovery of Diaz fhould have been immediately profecuted to its completion; but it required a deliberation of ten years and another reign before a new expedition was undertaken; and great debates are mentioned as paffing in the council of Portugal, whether the attempt itfelf were expedient, or any advantage could be derived from it to the nation at large.

In the mean time, howevcr, the defign had never been relinquifhed, or the prior difcoveries neglected ; John II. had difpatched Covilham and his companions into the eaft, and the eftablifhments on the coaft of Guinea had been attended to with anxious folicitude. At length when Emanuel had determined upon profecuting the difcovery of India, Gama was felected for the fervice, and was conducted to affume his command on board the fiect, under the moft folemn aufpices of religion ${ }^{33}$. The king, attended by all his court, accompanied the proceffion, and the great body of the people was attracted to the frore, who conflered him and his followers rather as deroted to deftruction, than as fent to the acquifition of renown.
${ }^{354}$ Mickle Luhad, p. 201. Cafancds, or the nation. Bo all that we ofe chlect of p. 73.
$35 s$ Bruce, who is no eveny to retigion, too Volney, has condemned the religisus folemtity attending this embarkation, as difcouraging; Gut he feens neither to have confidenes the age the excestion of This vyaze, Gema feems t" have deroted hinifulf to dath, if he Bothed nat finceed, from a ferfe of rebigicia and hywi?. His ftacef is owing to this fentitaciat.

The feet confifed of three fmall hips and a viclualler, manned with no more than one hundred and fixty fouls; the principal officers were,

> Vafco de Cama.
> Paul de Gama, his brother.
> Bartholomew Diaz, who was to accompany them only to a: certain latitude.

Diego Diaz, purfer, brother of Bartholomew.
Nicolas Coello.
Pedro Alanquer, who had been pilot to Diaz.
Gonzalo Gomez.
They failed from Lifbon on the 18th of July 1497, and after pariing with Diaz at St. Jago, reached the Bay of St. Helena in latitude $32^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$, on the $4^{\text {th }}$ of November. They had on board feveral who fooke the Arabick language, and others who had acquired the Negro tongue by former royages to the Gold Coaft, Benin, and Congo. In the Bay of St. Helena they found the natives which we now call Hottentots, as we difcover by the mention of a peculiarity in their utterance, which the journal calls fighing ${ }^{356}$, and which Vaillant defcribes by the term clappement, a guttural cluck, the characteriftick of their language. None of the Negro interpreters underfood this dialect.

A quarrel arofe between the voyagers, and thefe harmlefs and timid natives, from the fufpicion of treachery, natural to thofe who vifit barbarous nations for the firft time; and in the Ikirmifh Gama himfelf was wounded in the foot. This accident haftencd their
deperare. They left the Bay en the xha cí Novenber; Alanquer declating that the cape cowd not te much father than thity leagues diftant, though lee could not ceicribe it, as he had pofted it without feeng ${ }^{157}$ it, unde: the command of Diaz. To the four foilowing days it was a continucu ternpet at fouth foth weft, chring whith Oforus ${ }^{33}$ introduces the account of Gams's comining his pilots in irons, and ftanding to the kelm himfolf. Caftaneda mentions nothing of this circumftance; his narrative indeed is brief and dry, but feems to be a copy of the journal ${ }^{s s p}$. On the fourth day the danger was furmounted ; they doubled the Cape on the zoth of November, and getting now the wind in their favour, came to an anchor in the Bay of St. Blas, fixty leagues beyond the Cape, upon the Sunday following. This Bay fill bears the name of Sto Bras in our charts; and the natives found here were the fame as thofe of St. Helena.

At St. Blas the fleet faid ten days and was fupplied with oxen by the natives. They found alfo penguins and fea lions in great numbers. They difcharged and burnt the victualler, and then proceeded on their voyage to the eaftward. The rock de la Cruz, where Diaz had erected his pillar, was by eftimation fixty-five leagues from St. Blas, and the river Del Infante fifteen farther to the eaft. When Gama fet fail the current was ftrong againft him, but having the wind in his favour, which blew a form from the 8 th to the $1_{3}$ th, he pufhed forward till he was fixty leagues from St . Blas, on the 16 th of December. Here he made the coaft ${ }^{65}$, which

[^107]had a good appearance, with herds of cattle on the fhore. He pafied within fight of de la Craz, and wifhed to lave come to an anchor at the river Del Infante, but the wind being adverfe, he was obliged to fland out to Cea, till on the 20 th of December it came again to the weft, and carried him through the currents which had oppofed him all round the Cape. The good fortune which attended him in obtaining this wind, at the time when the current was mof unfavourable, infpired gratitude in the heart of Gama to that Provideace which protected him ; he offered up his tribute of thankfgiving, and declared to his people, that he verily believed it was the will of God that India fhould be difcovered ${ }^{36 \%}$.

From the 20 th to the 25 th he ran along a coaft which he ftyled Terra de Natal, from the celebration of the Nativity on that day. It lies between latitude $32^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ and $30^{\circ}$ fouth; and on the 6th of January 1498 he reached a river which he named De los Reyes, from the fealt of the Epiphany; he did not anchor here, though in great want of water, but proceeded till the IIth, when he landed at a Iiver called Cobio ${ }^{36 t}$, and which, from the treatment he received, he left afterwards marked with the appellation of Rio dos buonas Gertes, or the River of Good People.

The natives here were no longer Hottentots but Caffres, who even in that age bore the fame marks of fuperior civilization; which. they preferve to the prefent hour. A circumftance more fortunate and more extraordinary was, that Martin Alonzo underfood their language. This is a moft remarkable occurence, as Alonzo could fcarcely have been lower than Mina on the weftern coaft, which is forty degrecs from the Cape, and the breadth of the continent
from wet to eat cannot, in the latitude of $20^{\circ}$ fouth, be lef that eigizeen or nineteen degrees mere. What Negro nation or language do we know of fuch an cxitent ? and yet wonderful as it is, there is no reafon to doubt the fact. Thefe people had mean loufes, but well furnifhed, and were poffeffed of iron, copper, pewter, falt, and ivory.

The Reet faid here till the 1 th, and obtained, wood, water, fows, and oxen. Proceeding on that day to the northward, they eontinucd their voyage till the 24 th; in this run they paffed Cape Corsientes and the low coaft of Sofala without anchoring, till they reached a river, which, from the circumftances that arofe, they had afterwards reafon to call the river of Gcod Signs ${ }^{352}$ (de bons Sinas).

It is a circumitance particularly noticed by the hiftorians, that from St. Helena to this place no veftige of navigation, no fort of embarkation had been feen. But here, upon the morning after their arrival, they were vifited by the natives in boats, which had fails made of the Palm ${ }^{364}$. This roufed the attention of every one on board, and in the courfe of a few days two men of fuperior rank came on board, who had garments of cotton, filk, and fattin; this was the firl infallible $\sqrt{\text { Ig }} 2$ of the produce of India, and hope glowed in every heart. The language, however, of their vifitors was unknown ; they underfood not the Negro dialect of Alonzo, nor the Arabick of Alvarez ${ }^{365}$, but they intimated by figns that they had
${ }^{363}$ Faria, p. ${ }_{3} 8$.
${ }^{364}$ Faria. The expreftion is not clarr, but intimates cloth made of fibres of the coco palm. It is worthy of notice that Callaned.
mentions bexe heee, but nothing of faits till they approached Mofambequ.
$\therefore 5$ Oieniad firs, one of them fooke Ara Leck very mae:fectiv, voi, i. p. 51.
feen fhips as large as the Portuguefe, and feemed to mark the nortin as the quarter where they might be found.

Here then Gama determined to prepare for the completion of his difcovery. The natives were quiet; they were not Mahomedans. The women received the feamen with complacency, and prowifions were eafy to be procured. Thefe were all inducements for laying his veffels a-ground and careening them. He gave orders accordingly; and during a fay of more than thisty days, which this fervice required, no difpute arofe to difturb the harmony between the natives and their vifitors.

This river is the Zambezè, which is navigable for two hundred leagues up to Sucumba ${ }^{366}$, and penetrates into the interior of Benomotopa. It falls into the fea through a variety of mouths, between latitude $19^{\circ}$ and $18^{\circ}$ fouth, which are known in our modern charts as the rivers of Cuamo and Quilimanè, from a fort of that name upon the northern branch ${ }^{357}$. I find nothing in Caftaneda or Faria to mark the extent of Gama's knowledge at this place, but as he had the corrected chart of Covilham on board, in which Sofala was marked as the limit of his progrefs; if that chart was furnihhed with the latitude, Gama mult have known that he had now paffed the barrier, and that the difcovery was afcertained. The moft fouthern branch of the Zambezè is two degrees to the north of Sofala. He muf likewife know that the directions given by Covilham were to. inquire for Sofala and the ifland of the Moon ${ }^{36}$. And whether he

[^108]in latitude $17^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$. P. Lobo calls Quiti,
mane the river of Good Signs, P. 2O2, ed. Paris, Le Grande.
${ }^{369}$ The Iflaid of the Moon is an Arabick name and eccurs in Al Edriffi.
underfiod the language of the natives or not, the name of Sofala ${ }^{3 / 2}$ muft have been pronounced to them in an intercourle of thirty days, and the quarter whore it lay muft have been obtained.

We are herc approaching to a junction with the difcovcries of the Arabians, the Egyptians, the Greeks, and Romans; and though pofibly none but the Arabians ${ }^{370}$ had been as low as Sofala by Sea, certain it is, if the authority of Ptolemy may be credited, that the Romans had penerrated inland to the fouthward of the equator, and terminated their refearches with a nation they fyled Agifymba. Ptolemy ${ }^{371}$ mentions two Roman officers, Septimius Flaccus and Julius Maternus, who had been engaged in thefe expeditions to the fouth, Daccus from Cyrene ${ }^{37 \pi}$, and Maternus from Leptis. Flaccus reported that the Ethiopians [of Agifymba] were three months journey fouth of the Garamantes, and Flaccus feems to have performed this march himfelf. Maternus reported, that when the king of the Garamantes fet out from Garama to attack the Ethiopians of Agifymba, he marched four months to the fouth. Ptolemy does not allow the ufe made of thefe reports by the geographer Marinus, which would carry Agifymba into $49^{\circ}$ or $55^{\circ}$ fouth latitude, ftill under his own correction he carries Prafum ${ }^{373}$ into latitude $15^{\circ}$ and Agifymba fomewhat farther to the fouth.

Wonderful as this march of Flaccus is to contemplate, through the very heart and moft defert part of Africa into fuch a latitude, it is ftill more extraordinary that the latitude of Prafum fhould coincide with Mofambique, and that two or three degrees farther to the

[^109]fouth, the Fingdom of Benonotapa ${ }^{374}$ hould occur, in which Zimbao is ftill the name of a tribe, or as the Portuguefe writers affirm, the court of the fovereign ${ }^{375}$.

It is by no means neceflazy to affert, that Mofambique is identically Prafum, or the Zimbaos Agifymba, but the coincidence of latitude led the Portuguefe almoft to a man to give credit to the one, and the coincidence of found ${ }^{376}$ has left a conftant belief of the other. The Portuguefe pilots were many of them well read in Ptolemy. It is from information of this fort that Di Barros maintains that Sofala is almoft furrounded by a river iffuing from a lake called Maravi, which the ancients fuppofed to be the origin of the Nile; a charge not very unjuft, if we confider that Ptolemy has
$3_{74}$ Benomotapa is celcbrated by all the Portugucfe, as the fource from whence all the gold duft at Sofala, and on the coalt is acquired. There is faid to be a gold mine in that kingdom called Manica, and others of filver, as Faria affirms, (vol. iii. p. 148.) and gold is allo found in the ftreams which come down from the mountains. Thefe mountains, which Rennell calls the Belt of Africa, Di Earros places between the equator and tropick of Capricort. What their breadth is, or whether they communicate with thofe of Abyftuia is. ftill problematical. That they do, is lighly probable; and as they throw down the Nile to the north, on the fouth they may well produce the Zambezè, or rivers of Cumo or Quilinanè ; as well as the Obii and Quilimancè at Pate and Anmpaça, and the great lake which all fpeak of with fo much uncertainty. We may fuppofe all thefe rivers which come to the fouth productive of golel as well as the river of Benomotapa. And as the kingdom of Abyffinia in its more flourifing flate certainly extended its influence
to Magadoxo, in latitude $5^{\circ}$ north, fo may we difcover the means by which, in all ages, the gold duft of the fouth found its way into that kingdom. Bruce fays it has no gold of its own, and yet gold by the ounce, and briaks of falt are the current coin of the kingdom. This method of procuring gold in Abyfinia from the fouth was known both to the Greeks and Arabs, and muit apparently have been the primary caufe of their voyage to the fouth, and poflibly of thofe performed by the Idumeans, Phenicians, and Solomon to Ophir, if Ophir and Sofala be the fume.
${ }^{33}$ Di Barros, in Ramufio, p. 261, vol. i. Barbofa, ibid, vol. i. p. 288. Marmol, vol. iii. who copies Di Barros.
${ }^{2 r 2}$ D'Anville calls them Zimbas or Muzimbas, and feeras to think them the fame as the Gallas, who have been the peft of Abyffinia for many centuries paft. The lake here noticed he firt introduced into a map compoicd for Le Grande's edition of Lobo in 1728 ,
breught the fource of that fream into $12^{\prime \prime} 30^{\prime} 0^{\prime}$ fouth, though Di Barros himfelf is as bold in his affertion when he derives from the fame lake. ${ }^{377}$ the Zambeze, with all the ftreams of Cuamo, the Efpiritu Santo which falls into the fea below Cape Corrientes ${ }^{183}$, and another river which is to traverfe the whole continent into Congo.

At this river of Zambeze we have a right to confider the difcovery of Gama afeertained, as he had here united his circumnavigation with the route of Covilham ; but we muft conduct him to Quiloa, in order to make him meet the limit of the Periplus at Rhaptum, and to Melinda, before he obtained a pilot to conduct him to the Indies.

It was not till the $24^{t^{3}}{ }^{379}$ of February that the fleet was repaired and ready to fail; and it is remarkable that the people had fuffered much here from the feurvy, notwithftanding the country is faid to have abounded with fruits of various forts in abundance; the difeafe is imputed to the lownefs and humidity of the coaft, and the humanity of Gama is recorded as opening all his own fores for the relief of the afflicted. Upon the refumption of his courfe he kept along the coaft for fix days, and upon the firft of March came in fight of four inlands that lie off the port of Mofambique. It is upon the approach to this pont that Caftaneda firf mentions boats furnifhed with fails; and no fooner did this fight meet the eyes of the navigators than Coello, running up along fide of his commander,

[^110]cried out, "How fay you, fir, here is another kind of people,". and fuch indeed they found them, for Mofambique was at this time under the government of Quiloa, the fovereign of which was mafler of the coaft from Sofala to Melinda ${ }^{350}$, with moft of the iflands in the neighbourhood. From the colour of the voyagers they were eafily miftaken for Turks ${ }^{38}$, with whom the Moors werc neceffarily acquainted in the Red Sea, and for this reafon, upon the frit interview every civility was imparted, and pilots granted at their requeft. With the difcovery of this miftake, and the treachery in confequence of it, we have no concern, but with the appearances that evinced an Indian commerce Gama had every reafon to be gratified. The veffels were fuch as traded along the coaft, large, but without decks, the feams fewed with cayro, or cordage made of coco, and the timbers faftened with the fame without a nail throughout. The fails were mats compofed of palm leaves; and many of the larger fort had charts ${ }^{334}$ and compafles ${ }^{34^{3}}$. The Moors of the Red Sea and India received here the gold of Sofala in exchange for their commodities; and the town, though meanly built, furnifted abundant fecimens
${ }^{360}$ Mombaça excepted, which had revolted, and Melinda was preparing for a revolt.
${ }^{381}$ Moors of Barbary, according to OLorius. But this is foppofing that the natives of Mofambique kne:v that they had come round the Cape. It is wuch more probable that they fuppofed them Turks from the Red Sea who had been down to Sofala, or had been driven accidentally to the fonth.

352 And quadrants, Oforins fays; but perhaps without fufficient authority. I have not
the Latin work of Oforius, but fuppofe he might ufe aftrolabe, which is rendered quadrant by his tranflator; this would not prove a kaowledge prior to the Europeans, for the Arabick term is aftharlab, evidently corrupted from the Greek, and fhews its origin as readily as buflola. See Chamb. Dick. in voce.
333 The Arabick term for the compals is buffola, a certain proof that they derived it from the Italians who traded to Alexandria, Mickle, lxxx. See fupra.
of pepper, ginger, cottons, filver rings,' pearls, rubies, velvet, filk, and various other articles of an Indian trade. The inhabitants were moftly Caffres, but the government was in the hands of Mohamedans from Arabia, and as the commander had feveral who could fpeak Arabick on board, a communication was readily opened, and intelligence foon obtained that the voyage to Calicut was regularly performed, and the diftance about nine hundred leagues.

The fleet remained at Mofambique and in the neighbourhood till the 24th of March, and then made fail along the coaft to the northward ${ }^{\text {3it }}$. I fhould have been glad to have conducted Gama to Quiloa, as I efteem it the Rhapta of the Periplês; and I could have wifhed to have ended his navigation from Europe where I terminated that of the Greeks from the Red Sea; but partly from treachery and partly from accident, he was carried paft Quiloa, and proceeded to Mombaça; the fame treachery attended him at this place as before, which deterred him fronx entering the port. Some of the people, however, landed and found a city much more fplendid than Mofambique. Here likewife were found all the commodities of India with the citron, lemon, and orange, the houfes built of ftone like thofe of Portugal, and the inhabitants ohiefly Mohamedans, living with all the fplendour and luxury of the eaft.

The ftay of Gama at this place was only two days, when he proceeded to Melinda and came to an anchor upon the 17 th of

[^111]March 1498. There is no harbour here but an open road ${ }^{\text {sts }}$, the city, however, was fplendid and well built, with houfes of feveral ftories, and the appearance of wealth throughout, evinced the extent of their commerce and their communication with India. Here though Gama was not without fufpicion, he experienced every act of friendfhip and hofpitality; and this, becaufe Melinda was inclined to hoftilities with Quilon, andready to receive every one as a friend who had experienced injuries in a rival city.

We are here to take our leave of Gama; his difcovery was afcertained, and after having conducted him within the boundary of the Greeks and Romans, the object for introducing this narrative of his voyage is anfwered. It is but juftice, however, to notice, that he reached the long fought fhores of India, and vifited Calicut, the centre of Indian commerce, without any particular misfortines, but fuch as are natural to a firf attempt. He returned to Lifbon in 1499, where he received every honour which a gencrous fovereign and grateful nation could beftow.

He was again honoured with the command of a fquadron in 1502, when the ftyle of his commiffion was that of admiral and governor; and he returned ${ }^{386}$ a third time in 1524, under the reign of John III. when he was raifed to the title of viceroy and count de Vidigueira. During this command he died at Cochin in 1525, after having the fatisfaction of living to fee the power of his country paramount in the feas of India, from Malacca to the Cape

[^112][^113]of Good Hope. A power which the maintained for upwards of a century, and loft at laft by the lofs of thofe virtues by which it had originally been acquired.

Gama was formed for the fervice to which he was called, violent indeed in his temper, terrible in anger, and fudden in the execution of juftice, but at the fame time intrepid, perfevering, patient in difficulties, fertile in expedients, and fuperior to all oppofition. No action can entitle the moft illuftrious to the character of great, more than the fortitude he difplayed when detained in Calicut by the Zamorin, and when he ordered his brother to fail without him, that his country might not be deprived of the fruits of his difcovery. To the virtues of a commander he added the religion of a Chrifian, and though the religion of his age was never without a tincture of chivalry and fuperfition, in one fenfe at leaft his religion was pure. It was religion that fupported him under the perils he encountered, and a firm perfuafion that it was the will of Providence that India fhould be difcovered. The confequence of his difcovery was the fubverfion of the Turkif power, which at that time threatened all Europe with alarm. The eaft no longer paid tribute for her precious commodities, which paffed through the Turkifh provinces; the revenues of that empire were diminifhed; the Othmans ceafed to be a terror to the weftern world, and Europe ${ }^{387}$ has rifen to a power which the other threc continents may in vain endeavour to oppofe, Portugal it is true has loft her pre-eminence in the eaft, but fhe fill retains Brafil, which was the accident of her Oriental voyages, and

[^114]which has prolonged her exiftence as a nation to the prefent hour.

The reader will pardon this digreffion in favour of a man whom no hiftorian ever contemplated without admiration, but if the hiftory of the man does not attach to the purpofe of the prefent work, the account of his voyage is one of its conftituent parts. Our defign has been to fhew all that the ancients performed, or could not perform, and the voyage of Gama has been detailed, with all its difficulties, in order to prove the utter improbability of any previous navigation round the Cape. I will not fay it was impoffible, but I think it impoffible to have been once performed and never profecuted; I think it impofirble that it fhould have food upon the page of hiftory as an infulated fact, through a lapfe of one and twenty centuries, without imitation or repetition of the experiment.
XXVIII. Ir remains ftill to fhew the relative fituation of the Arabs on this coaft of Africa, fuch as the Greeks and Romans left them, and fuch as the Portuguefe found them upon their arrival in the Eaftern Ocean. The Periplûs mentions that the Arabs of Rhapta were fubject to the fovereign of Maphiartis, and Maphartis itfelf was one of the dependencies of Sabéa or Yemen. They employed the veffels fewed with coco cordage, from whence the name of the place, and they traded to India, Arabia, the Red Sea, and Egypt. Arabs of the fame defcription Gama found here after the expiration of thirteen centuries, the fame veffels on the coaft,
and the fame foreign trade. One circumftance indeed was different, the religion of Mahomet had at the fame time introduced fuperior vigour, and a more extenfive commerce, engendered a hatred to the Chriftian name, which excited that malice and treachery which Gama experienced, and which, perhaps, without a difference of faith, the rivalihip in commerce muft neceffarily have produced.

Of thefe Arabs there were two diftinct parties, one called Zaydes or Emozaides, who were the firft fettlers upon record, and the other tribe from Baca in the Gulph of Perfia near Bahrein ${ }^{385}$. The Emozaides were hereticks of the fect of Ali, they came from Yemen, and feem to have occupied the coaft of Africa, after the time of Mahomet, in the fame manner as their Heathen brethren had fettled there in the age of the Periplûs. The tribe from Baca were Sonnites or orthodox, who hate the Shiites worfe than Chriftians; they had feized firft upon Quiloa, and had extended their power for two hundred miles along the coaft, but from their internal diffenfions were declining in power when the Portuguefe firft arrived in the Indian Ocean. Upon the introduction of this tribe from Baca ${ }^{359}$, the Emozaides retired inland and became Bedouins ${ }^{350}$, they intermarried with the natives, and fill exift as black Arabs, little diftinguifhed from the Caffres who are found both on the continent and in the iflands ${ }^{39 \%}$, which lie in the Mofambique channel, and even in the ifland of Madagafcar.

The
${ }^{380}$ Df Barros, in Ramufio, vol. i. p. 386 . to be of the tribe Beni Houle, in Oman. et feq. $\quad 350$ Wandering tribes that lise in tents.
${ }^{33 y}$ If we may judgefrom Niebuhr they ought ${ }^{391}$ The king of Johanna is perhaps of this

The whole coaft below Mombaça was under the power of thefe Sonnites from Baca; but Mombaça had revoltcd, was independent, and had a fovereign of its own, who was a Sounite; while Brava and Magadoxo were ftyled republicks, where the power was in the hands of twelve ${ }^{392}$ principal familics forming an ariftorracy, perhans as confpicious on that coaft as Venice was in the Hadriatick.

This flate of the country is perfectly analogous to the deferip ion of it in the Periplûs; every city, fays that journal, was a feparate government, and every government had its indefendent chief. Such they were in that age, and fuch they might have continued if an European power had not arifen, which overwhelmed them all in a period of lefs than twenty years. Sofala, Mofambique, Quiloa, Angoxa, Ocha, Patè, Mombaza, Brava, and the Zanguebar Iflands,
caft, half Arab and half Negro, as Sir William Jones fays the family came from the main. The proper name is Hinzuan, which became Anjuan, and Anjoanè eafily made Johanna by an Englifh feaman. It is one of the Comora Ines between Madagafcar and the coutinent; and Comora fall preferves the name of Comr, the Arabick name of Madagafcar, the Ifland of the Moon.

- ${ }^{392}$ The Iove of independence is the ruling principle in the mind of an Arab, and a patriarchal fovereignty is the only one to which he can naturally fubmit. This it is which drives fo many petty tribes into the deferts, which they occupy from Mefopotamia to the fronticrs of Morocco, and from the coafts of the Perfian Gulph to Mofambique, The refidence in cities is unnatural to them, and thongh they do occupy places which they have
conquered, fill every city mult have its chief, and every chief finds a party within his walls which is hofile to his government. Niebuhr has painted this fpirit of the people moft admirably throughout his work. But the Mahomedan religion has alfo produced an ariftocratick principle, fubfifting under all the defpotifm of the eaft. The Ulemas, under the Turkifh government, are an ariflocracy between the monarch and the poople; and whoever is acquainted with Oriental nanners, knows that there were families which preierved a fort of ruling power in Samarkand, Bagdat, Bafra, and all the prlacipal cities of the ealt. Such a junction of families might well exift at Brava and Magadoxo, when the Portuguefe firt vifited the coalt; and any govemment where there was no oftenfible chief would fuggeft to them the idea of a republick.
all fubmitted to Diego Almeida, and Triftan d'Acugna before the year 1508 . Melinda, which had always been friendly, loft all her importance, and Magadoxo only refifted with effect; but whether from the bravery of the people, or becaufe it lay too much to the north to be of importance, is hard to determine. Had they not been conquered they muft have funk in their importance from the natural courfe of events; the finews of their commerce were cut, and their fhips could not fail without a Portuguefe pafs. The produce indeed of the coaft itfelf would ftill have maintained thefe cities from utter decadence, and brought forcigners to their ports; but the power of the Portuguefe monopolized all profits, till it fell in its turn by the errors of the government, and. by the avarice and peculation of its officers. Of all thefe conquefts which do fo much. credit to their valour, and fo little to their policy, Mofambique is the only poffeffion which has furvived the wreck of their empire; and this port is faid fill to be a profitable fettlement, and to preferve an influence over the other fates, which have reverted again intothe power of the Arabs; among thefe the Imam of Oman is the chief, and Quiloa and Zanguebar are governed by Sheiks of his appointment ${ }^{393}$.

It was my intention to have clofed this account of the coaft, and this part of the Periplûs, which I call the African Voyage, withfome particulars relating to the Arabian fettlements, and their fituation under the power of the Portuguefe; but the whole of this fubject has been fo ably difcuffed by the writers of the M. Univerfal Hiftory, in their twelfth volume, and fo much moreat large than would have been fuitable to the nature of the
prefent work, that the labour is not neceffary. Some particulars I had collected from Reffendès MS. in the Britifh Mufeum, with which they were not acquainted, that might have been acceptable; but in general, the authorities they have followed arc fo genuine, and their own obfervations fo juf, as to admit of lietle farther enlargement upon the fubject.

Here, therefore, I clofe the Firft Part of my defign, which was to examine the navigation of the ancients on the coaft of Africa, from their firft entrance into the Red Sea, to the termination of their progrefs to the fouth; and to connect their difcoveries with thofe of the moderns, by fixing on the voyage of Gama as the point of union. The Second Part will contain two books allotted to Arabia and India, a fubject lefs ob-, fcure, but ftill curious rather than amufing. The materials for the whole are collected, and will be publifhed as foon as they can be reduced into form ; but whether that period will be fhort or diftant I cannot prefume to calculate. I am fully fenfible that want of leifure ought to be confidered rather as a bar to publication altogether, than pleated as an excufe for publiming a work incomplete or incorrect. But if time had been taken to complete the whole, it might never have been brought to the prefs; and if the part now edited be incorrect, it is not from negligence, or from mifapplication of fuch leifure as I have, but from want of powers to perfect it to my own fatisfaction. It remains with the
public to decide whether it will be better that the Second Part fhould be publifhed or fupprefled.

It is with extreme regret that I am again compelled to advert to the difagreement between Mr. Goffelin's opinion and mine, in regard to the limit of ancient difcovery towards the fouth. I could have wifhed to have feen his work fooner, that I might have given it the confideration it deferves; or not to have feen it at all, that both our opinions might have been left undifputed, for the judgment of the publick; but I now cannot help obferving, that although, from the preffure of time, I am not competent to decide on Mr. Goffelin's account of the ancient geographers, or the various methods he has affumed for correcting their crrors, ftill I cannot but acknowledge his mafterly and fcientifick poffeffion of his fubject, as well as the great perfeverance of his inveftigation; and if I differ in opinion from fuch a writer, I ftill pay refpect to his talents and abilities. We differ, it is true, feveral'degrees upon the extent of the voyage in the Periplûs. But if Mr. Goffelin will allow, which he does, that it extended beyond Cape Gardefan and Cape D'Affui, then he muft acknowledge that feren mouths of rivers, anfwering to the laft divifion of the voyage in the Periplus, can nowhere be found till we approach the mouths of the Obii. This is the great proof upon which I reft the queftion; for fuppofing the Pyraláan Illands to be defined by the ftreams of that river, as it divides upon its approach to the fea, the Periplûs is in perfect harmony with the accounts of the Portuguefe in general, and Reffende in particular; and if their authority is infufficient, I know of no better to which an appeal can be made.

> THE END OF THE FIRST PART.

## THE

## PERIPLUS

OFTHE

## ERTTHREANSEA.

PART THE SECOND.

## $t$

Strahan and Prefons
Frintert-Street,

## THE

## PERIPLUS

OFTHE
ERイTHREANSEA.
PART THE SEGOND.

CONTAINING,<br>AN ACCOUNT OF<br>THE NAVIGATION OF THE ANCIENTS,<br>FROM THE GULPH OFELANA, INTHERED SEA, TO THE ISLAND OF CETLON.

WITH DISSERTATIONS.

By WILLIAM VINCENT, D.D.



Marcianus Heracleota, apud Hudsonum, p. 62.
LONDON:
printed for t. cadell and w. davies, in tife strand.
1805.

T 0

## THE K I N G.

SIR,

wHEN I was honoured with permiffion to dedicate the former part of this Work to Your Majefty, I entertained little hope that the remainder would be brought to a conclufion. But the confequences of Your Majefty's condefcenfion in my favour have been leifure, tranquillity, and health. In poffeffion of thefe bleffings, I returned naturally to thofe purfuits.
fuits which have enabled me to fulfil my engagement to the Public. Impreffed therefore, as I am, with a fenfe of the moft devoted gratitude, nothing remains for me to folicit, but the continuance of the fame protection to the completion, as I experienced at the commencement of the Work. And if it thall appear that the plan has been formed with judgment, and executed with fidelity, no farther qualification will be neceffary to recommend it to the confideration and patronage of Your Majefty. I have the honour to fubfcribe myfelf

> YOUR MAJESTY's

Mort obedient, moft faithful, humble Servant, and Subject, JUNE, 1805.

## PREFACE.

$W_{\text {hethrs the following Work will afford a degree of }}$ fatisfaction proportionate to the labour of compiling it, is a queftion not for the Author, but for others to decide. By fome it may be thought digreflive, tedious, and minute; while others may conceive that there are various fources of information fill unexplored, which it was my duty to inveftigate. To the firft I reply, that I thought nothing fuperfluous which could contribute to the elucidation of the fubject propofed; and in anfwer to the latter I may obferve, that there muft be fome limits affigned to collection and refearch. It is the office of judgment to felect only fuch materials as will bear upon the point to be difcuffed; and for the exercife of this judgment, I now fand amenable to the
tribunal of the Public. Friendly animadverfions upon the errors which may occur, I fhall confider, not as a caufe of offence, but as the means of correction; and of remarks proceeding from a contrary firit, I have hitberto had little reafon to complain. But if the Work which I now fubmit to the infpection of the Public, fhould not obtain the fame favourable reception as I have experienced upon former occafions, it fhall be my laft offence. In the fixty-fixth year of my age, it is time to withdraw from all my purfuits of curiofity, and confine myfelf to the duties of my profefion.

## ADVERTISEMENT.

'The account of Marco Polo's Map, given (Part I. p. 201.) from Ramufio, is not correct; for it has fince appeared, that the Map in the church of St. Michael di Murano, is not Marco Polo's, but drawn up by Fra Mauro, a geographer at Venice; and is the Map copied for Prince Henry of Portugal.

A Fac Simile of this Map has been taken, and is expected in England every day; when it arrives, a fhort account of it will be given, and delivered gratis to the purchafers of this work.

The Map of Marco Polo's Travels is in the Doge's Palace at Venice, and was framed from that of Fra Mauro.

I AM to acknowledge my obligations to Mr. Dalrymple, in regard to the prefent publication, in the fame manner as upon former occafions: I was, by his kindnefs, furnifhed with Surveys of the Harbours and Iflands on the Coaft of Malabar, which have been of great ufe.

And to Mr. A. Hamilton, of Edinburgh, editor of a Sanfkreet Dictionary, I have been indebted for the Interpretation of Sanfkreet Names on the fame coaft. This favour was the more acceptable, as I was known to that Gentleman only by my publications; and his offer of affiftance was fpontaneous.
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## . A R A BIA. <br> BOOK III.

I. Iutroduation.--II. Leukè Komè.-III. Petra, Kingdom of Iduméa, Nabatbéans.-IV. Voyages difinguijbable in the Periplies.-V. The Campafs.-VI. Wealtb of Arabia.-VII. Tbamudeni and Canraites. -VIII. Burnt Jfland, Moffa, Coaft of Yemen.-IX. Expedition of Elius Gallus.-X. Straits of Babel Mandeb, ancient Navigation of Scfoflris:-XI. Aden.-XII. Arrangement of the Coaft of Arabia on the Ocean-XIII. Kanc̀.-XIV. Bay Sacbalîtes, Hadramaut. -XV. Digkorida, or Socotra.-XVI. Mofka and Omana.XVII. Tflands of Zenobius, or Curia Muria.-XVIII. Sarápis, or Mazeira.-XIX. Ifands of Kalaius, or Suadi.-XX. Yflands of Papias.-XXI. Sabo, Afabo, or Moçandon.-XXII. Terêdon, Apólogus, or Oboleb.-XXIII. Orièntal Commerce by the Gulph of Perfia.-XXIV. Gairo.-XXV. Grufades.-XXVI. Gerrba.XXVII. Minêañs.-XXXVIII. Antiquity of Oriental Commerce.XXIX. Conclufion.
$1_{4} T \mathrm{HE}$ commerce of the Ancients between Egypt and the coaft of Africa, with all that concerns their difcoveries to the South, has been traced in the preceding pages; and we now return H H
again to Egypt, in order to take a frefh departure, and profecute our inquiries till we reach their final boundary on the Eaft. The prefent Book will comprize all that concerns the commerce of Arabia, both in the interior, and on the coaft.

The Periplûs is ftill to form the balis of our inveftigation; but as the object propofed is to give a general account of the communication with the Ealt, no apology is requifite for detaining the reader from the immediate contemplation of the work itfelf. A variety of fcattered materials, all centring at the fame point, are to be collected, before a comprehenfive view can be prefented, or an accurate judgment formed; and if this tafk can be executed with the fidelity and attention which the nature of the fubject requires, the general refule will be preferable to the detail of a fingle voyage, in the fame proportion as a whole is fuperior to its parts.

The commencement, then, of this fecond Voyage is again from Bereníke, and from this port there were two routes practifed in the age of the author; one, down the gulph to Mooza and Okêlis direct, and the other, firft up to Myos Hormus, and then acrofs the gulph by the promontory Pharan, or Cape Mahomed, to Leukè Komè in Arabia. This latter route is the immediate object of our confideration.

## LEUKEKOME.

II. Leuki Kome, or the White Village, I fhall place nearly at the Mouth of the bay of Acaba, the Elanitick Gulph of the ancients in;
and

[^115]and my reafons for affuming this pofition will be given at large in their proper place. But to this village we are immediately directed by the journal, after a paffage of two or three days from Myos Hormus; for here, we are informed, "was the point of commu" nication with Petra the capital of the country, the refidence of " Malichas the king of the Nabatêans. Leukè Komè itfelf had the "rank of a mart in refpect to the fmall veffels which obtained * " their cargoes in Arabia; for which reafon there was a garrifon " placed in it under the command of a centurion, both for the " purpofe of protection, and in order to collect a duty of twenty" five in the hundred ${ }^{3}$ " [upon the exports and imports].

We obtain, in thefe few words, a variety of particulars highly important to the fubject of our confideration; for we find a native king under the controul of the Romans, a duty levied upon the trade of the natives, and the nature of the communication between the port and the capital. And if we now reflect that the intercourfe with the capital was rather fixed here, at the mouth of the Elanitick gulph, than either at Elana itfelf, or at Ezion Geber, we fhall difcover the fame principle as operated on the Egyptian coaft, where the communication was fixed at Myos Hormus rather than Arfinoè, or at Bereníkè in preference to Myos Hormus.
when I make ufe of M. Goffellin's Refearches without mentioning his name, it is not to deprive him of the honour of his difcoveries, but becaufe it muft occur fo frequently that the repetition would be offenive. I had traced this coaft many years before the publication of M. Goffellin's Recherches fur la Geographie des Anciens, ztomes, Paris 1798 ; and though he precedes me in publication, I will not apply
to him the old complaint, male fit illis qui ante nos nottra dixerunt.

${ }^{3}$ In Albuquerque's time, the foldan of Eigypt received cultom apon fpices, and other commodities, at Judda, in the fame manner as the Romans had formerly received them at Leukè Komè. Commentar. de A. d'Albu* querque, p . iv. c. 7 .

In the age of the Periplûs, as this courfe was the lefs frequented of the two, fo is it apparent that the commerce itfelf was of lefs importance; the veffels employed are Arabian, and the duty feems collected on them only : poffibly the fhips, which touched here after croffing from Myos Hormus, had paid the cuftoms in that port, and made this harbour chiefly for the purpofe of accommodation, or of afcertaining their route down the coaft of Arabia.

Very different is the idea that I conceive of this trade while the communication with Egypt was in the hands of the Arabians themfelves, previous to the appearance of Ptolemy's fleets upon the Red Sea, and their immediate communication with Sabêa; for the caravans, in all ages, from Minêa ${ }^{4}$ in the interior of Arabia, and from Gerrha on the gulph of Perfia, from Hadramaut on the ocean, and fome even from Sabêa or Yemen, appear to have pointed to Petra, as a common centre; and from Petra the trade feems to have been again branched out in every direction to Egypt, Paleftine, and Syria, through Arfinoè, Gaza, Tyre, Jerufalem, Damafcus, and a variety of fubordinate routes that all terminated on the Mediterranean.

It is not confonant to the defign of this work to enter into any commerce antecedent to hiftory; but from analogy, from the magnificence recorded of Ninevè and Babylon, from the ruins of Thebes ftill remaining, there is every reafon to fuppofe that the wealth and power of thefe great cities arofe from a participation in this commerce; and that the Arabians were the carriers common to them all.

[^116]This is a fact which will admit of proof as foon as hiftory commences; but we may paufe a moment to obferve, that though the Chaldêans and Affyrians might have been navigators themfelves, as the gulph of Perfia opened a communication for them with the Indian Ocean, and their works at Babylon and Teredon intimate fome attention to the advantages of a naval power; ftill the Indians ${ }^{\text {' }}$, Perfians, and Egyptians, feem to have been reftrained by prejudices, either political or religious, from diftant navigation; and though Perfia and Egypt manifefly reaped the profits of an Oriental commerce which paffed through thefe countries to others more diftant, either on the north or on the weft, fill the common centre was Arabia ${ }^{6}$ : the Arabians had no obftructions either from manners, laws, habits, or religion; and as there is every proof that is requifite, to thew that the Tyrians and Sidonians were the firft merchants who introduced the produce of India to all the nations which encircled the Mediterranean, fo is there the ftrongeft evidence to prove, that the Tyrians' obtained all thefe commodities from Arabia.

[^117]non fi riceve per teftimonio, ne quello che naviga per mare.

- Linfchotin in Purchas, vol. iii. p. 1765. writes, "the Abexiins [Abyfinians] and Arabians, fuch as are free, do ferve in all India for faylers or feafaring-men."
- Plin. lib. vi c. 28. Arabes in univerfum gentes ditiflima, ut apud quas maxime opes Romanorum Parthorumque lublidant, vendentibus qux a mari aut fylvis capiant, nihil invicem redimentibus.

7 See Herodotus, who fays the name of Cinnamon is from the Phequcians.

PETRA. KINGDOM OF IDUMÊA. NABATHẾaNS.
III. Bur if Arabia was the centre of this commerce, Petra ${ }^{5}$ was the point to which all the Arabians tended from the three fides of their vaft peninfula ${ }^{\text { }}$ : here, upon opening the oldeft hiftory in the world, we find the Ithmaelites, from Gilead, conducting a caravan of camels loaded with the fpices ${ }^{\circ \circ}$ of India, the balfam and myrrh of Hadramaut; and in the regular courfe of their traffic, proceeding to Egypt " for a market. The date of this tranfaction is more than feventeen centuries prior to the Chritian era; and, notwithfanding its antiquity, it has all the genuine features of a caravan croffing the Defert at the prefent hour.

It is this confideration, above all others, which makes the Petra we have arrived at with the Periplûs, an object the moft worthy of our curiofity; for Petra is the capital of Edom or Seir, the Idumêa or Arabia Petrêa of the Greeks, the Nabatêa, confidered both by geographers, hiftorians, and poets, as the fource of all the precious commodities of the eaft. And as Idumêa is derived from Edom, or

[^118]Arabia, are mentioned by name; and it is not affuming too much to fuppofe, that the fpices here mentioned are from India alfo: the term ufed is N太心y, Necoth, which fignifies any thing bruifed or brayed in a mortar, as fpices are reduced in order to ufe them with our food. +7, Theri, is a guin or balfam; and $\dot{0}$, Lot, is the fame, evidently marking the produce of Arabia. Sce Parkhurft in voce. See allo Gen. xxv. 18.; and Cumberland's Origin of Nations, p. 210.
${ }^{2}$ Genefic, xxxifi. 25

Efau the fon of Ifaac, fo is Nabatêa deduced from Nebaioth the fon of Ihmael; and Efau married Bafhemath ${ }^{\text {² }}$, the fifter of Nebaioth: Little refpect as has been paid to the genealogies '" of the fcripture by fome writers of the prefent day, it is fill to be confidered that the Bible may be tried by the rule of hiftory as well as infpiration, and that the traditions of the Arabians are in harmony with the writings of Mofes; for they as univerfally acknowledge ${ }^{\text {t4 }}$ Joktan, the fourth from Shem, as the origin of thofe tribes which occupied Sabêa and Hadramaut, that is, Yemen and the incenfe country; and Ifhmael the fon of Abraham, as the father of the families that fettled in Hejaz, which is Arabia Deferta; as they do Edom for the anceftor of the Idumêans, who occupied Arabia Petrêa. Thefe form the three ${ }^{\text {ts }}$ general divifions of this vaft country and nation, as extraordinary for the prefervation of its manners, as its liberty; and which is continuing at this day to fulfil one of thofe prophecies which affure us of the truth of that Hiftory in which thefe families are recorded.

The name of this capital, in all the various languages in which it occurs, implies a rock ${ }^{\prime 6}$, and as fuch it is defcribed in the Scriptures, in Strabo, and Al Edriffi; but it is a rock fupplied with an abundant
${ }^{22}$ Gen. xxxvi. 3.
${ }^{33}$ See Gibbon, vol. v. p. 179 note $\mathbf{3 1}$. and p. 197.
${ }^{24}$ Gen. x. 26,27. the fon of Joctan. Hazarmareth is equivalent to Hidzrmauth, or Hadramats.
${ }^{23}$ The Arabians divide their country into Give, taking in Oman and the eaftern fide, vonder the name of Aronda or Jemama, and making a difinct part of the Tehamaor country
below the mountains. See Reifke Ind, Geog. in Alfilfedam.
2. Thomud gives a name to the Thamy" deni of the Greeks in this neighbourhood, and is fufficiently acknowledged by the Oriental writers. The iprings of Thomud might give rife to a river, which Pliny mentions, lib. vic. 18. and which d'Anville carries into the Lake Asphaltis.

Pring of water, ftyled Thomud ${ }^{27}$ by the Nubian, which gives it a diftinction from all the rocks in its vicinity, and conftitutes it a fortrefs of importance in the Defert. Strabo did not vifit it himfelf, but defcribes it from the account of his friend Athenodorus the philofopher. Athenodôrus fpoke with great admiration of the people, their civilized manners and quiet difpofition. The government was regal ; but it was the cuftom for the fovereign to name a minifter ${ }^{18}$, who had the title of the king's brother, in whofe hands the whole of the power ${ }^{2}$ feemed chiefly to reffide: fuch a minifter (or vizir, as we fhould now call him) was Syllêus in the reign of Obodas and A'retas, who makes fo confpicuous a figure in the hiftory of Jofephus, and who was tried and executed at Rome, according to Strabo, for his treachery to Elius Gallus.

## 27 The names are,

Rekam; Numbers, xxxi. 8. Johna, xiti. 21. Bochart. Canaan, lib. i. c. 44.
Rakim.
Rokom.
Rekemè
A Rekemè ; quæ Grecis vocatur Petra. Jofephus.
Arkè. Joiephus.
Scla; from $y_{j}^{2}$ D, a rock. Heb.
Hagar, a rock. Arabck. Herbelot in voce, 77, Har. Heb.
Arak, Karak, Krak deMontreal. Cruladers. Petra, a Rock Greek.
The Rock, pre eminently. Jerent xilix. $\mathbf{1 6}$. See Blaney in loco.

- But fee Schultens (Indey Geog. ad vitam Saladini), where he informs us that Hagar and Krak are not Petra, though in the neigh-
bourhood. Petra, he fays, is Errakym, the fame as the Rekeme or Rakim of Jofephus. Sce Voc. Caraccha, Eirakimum, Sjaubech, ibid. The miftake of one for the other he imputes to Bernard. Thefaurarius de Acquiit. Terrae Sancte, xxii. 2.5. It is in lat. $31^{\circ}$ $30^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ Abilfeda. Which, if true, makes it no more than 87 miles from Aila, which be places in $29^{\circ} 8^{\prime} 0^{\prime}$; but Schultens fays, Petra is in $25^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ from Abilfeda; if 6 , it is only 25 Roman miles from Aila. Carak is mentioned as well as Hagjr, by Abilfede, Reifike, P. 43, where the Moflems were defeated in their firt confict with the Romans.
In tlite route from Gaza to Karak there are ftill the ruins of thirty villages, and remains of buildings, pillars, \&c. indicating the former wealth of the country. Volney Syria, p. 212 .
18 eimipertos, as lietrally a vizir as it can be rendered.
${ }^{3}$ Jofephus Antiq. xví p. 734 -

Mofes was forbidden to moleft the fons of Edom in his paflage through the wildernefs; but that the:e was then a confiderable commercc in the conntry we bave reafon to conclude, from the concueit of Midian ${ }^{20}$, in its neighbourhood, by Gideon", not many jears afer; when gold is Gefcribed as abondant anore the Midianites, and their wealth in camels a proof of the trafic by which they fubfifted. In the reign of David, Hadad ${ }^{22}$ the prince of Edom was driven out, and Hebrew garrifons were placed in Eluth and Ezion Geber, where Prideaux fuppofes that David commenced the trade of Ophir ${ }^{23}$, which was afterwards carricd to its height by Solomon.

And here, perhaps, it will be expected that the trade to Ophir hould be examined, which has fo much divided the opinions of mankind, from the time of Jerom to the prefent moment; but as I have nothing decifive to offer upon the queftion, I fhall only fate my reafons for acceding to the opinion of Prideaux and Goffellin, who confine it to Sabêa.

For I neither carry Ophir to Peru with Arias Montanus, or to Malacca with Jofephus, or to Ceylon with Bochart, becaufe I confider all theie fuppofitions as founded upon no better evidence than the finding of gold in thofe countries; but our choice muft lie be-
${ }^{2)}$ Midian is the country of Jetho, on the Elanitick Gulph, called Madkn by the Arabs, and Jethro, Scioaib Al LFARE, \% rog.
${ }^{2}$ Judges, wiii. 34 the pecolle are called Ithmaclites. Gidien for his reward demended the ear rings of the mon, wald the ehains on the camels' neekg: the decoration befpesks the value of the aninas.

22 Hadad fed into Eqypt for protection, a proof of the conceation between the dwo
countries; and his marriage with Pharaoh's daughter proves his rank and eftimation. I Kings, xi. 19. He attempted to recover Edom in the latter end of Solomon's reign.
${ }^{23}$ David had treafured up three thoufand talents of the gold of Ophir 1 Chron. xxix. 4.; but this does not prove the voyage, for the gold of Ophir was a common expreflion. See Joh, xsii. 24. xxvi. 16. Plalms, \&cc. \&c. Ikv. 9. Sec Prideaus's Connections, P. 4.
tween the coalt of Africa and Sabêa. Montefquieu, Bruce, and d'Anville, have determined in favour of Africa, principally, I think, becaufe gold has always been an export from that country, while the precious metals were ufually carried to Sabêa, to purchafe the commodities of the eaf. I allow great weight to this argument; and I admit the probability of d'Anville's fuppofition, that the Ophir of Arabia might naturally produce an Ophir on-the coaft of Africa, which fhould, by an eafy etymology, pafs into Sophir, Sophar, Sopharah el Zange, or Sophala: but l by no means fubfribe to the fyftem of Bruce, which he has difplayed with fo much learning and ingenuity ; and which he thinks eftablighed by the difcovery of an anomalous monfoon prevailing from Sofala to Melinda. A fenfible ${ }^{24}$ writer has denied the exiftence of any fuch irregularity, and appeals to Halley ${ }^{2 s}$, Parkinfon, and Forreft ; and if the irregular monfoon is annihilated, nothing remains in favour of his hypothefis but the

[^119][^120]duration ${ }^{28}$ of the voyage. The duration it fhould feem eafy to account for, upon a different principle; for the navigators were Phenicians, and we learn from Homer ${ }^{27}$ their method of condueting bufinefs in a foreign port. They had no factors to whom they could confign a cargo in the grofs, or who could furnifh them, on the emergence, with a lading in return; but they anchored in a harbour, where they were their own brokers, and difpofed of their cargoes by retail. This might detain them for a twelvemonth, as it did in the inftance to which I allude ; and if the Phenicians traded on the Eaftern Ocean, as they did in the Mediterranean, we may from this caufe affign any duration to the voyage which the hiftory requires.

But my reafons for adhering to the opinions of Prideaux and Gof fellin are, firf, that Ophir is mentioned ${ }^{28}$ with Havilah and Jobab, all three fons of Joktan; and all of them, as well as Joktan, have their refidence in Arabia Felix, moft probably beyond the Straits; and fecondly, becaufe the voyage to Ophir feems in confequence of the vifit of the queen of Sheba to Jerufalem: it is immediately fubjoined ${ }^{3}$ to it in the fame chapter; and Sheba is Sabêa ${ }^{30}$, or Arabia Felix, as we learn with certainty from Ezekiel ". It is particularly
${ }^{26}$ Pliny, on a much fhorter difance, that is, from Azania to Ocila or Okthie, makes the voyage five years. Lib. xii. 19 .
${ }^{21}$ Odyffer, 0.454 .
${ }^{28}$ Genefis, x. 29.
$\Rightarrow$ I Kings, x. 10, It. See Gofftlin Re. echercher, tom. ii. p. 12 t. and Volacy, Syrin, p. 170.
${ }^{30}$ Cofmas Indicutieuties fappoles the queen of Shabs to be the qaeen of the Homerites; that is, in his age, the Homerited were maf.
ters of Sabêa. He gives a very rational account of the trade of thefe Homerites, or Sabreans rather, with Africa, for the fpices which the queen of Sheba brought ; their intercomfe with the Red Sea, Perfia and India, and Zingium or Zanguebar; with the gold obtained thence by the $A$ byffiniane, and brought into Arabia, as it is to this day. See Cormas in Melch. Thevenot, vol. i. p. 7.

3 Exck. xxvii. 32. "The merchants of "Sheba and Ramah, they were thy mer-
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 PERIPLUS OF THE ERYTHREAN SEA.added, that the royal vifitant brought a prefent of fpices: "there were no fuch fices as the queen ${ }^{32}$ of Sheba gave to Solomon."

I do not wiff to conecal an objection to this fuppofition; which is, though they are tased, that fices are never mentiosed as an article of importation from Ophir. The produce of the voyage is gold, filver, ivory, almug-trees ${ }^{33}$, apes, peacocks, and precious ftones. But as on the one hand this failure in the invoice will argue much more forcibly againft any of the more diftant Ophirs which have been affumed; fo on the other, it is no proof againft Sabêa, that feveral of thefe articles are not native; for thefe, and many more than are enumerated, would certainly be found in Sabêa, if the Arabians were navigators in that age, as we have every reafon to fuppofe they were.

The evidence that Solomon obtained gold from Arabia is exprefs; and as our early authorities notice gold as a native produce among the Deba ${ }^{3+}$ of Hejaz, fo may we conclude that the gold of Africa always found its way into Yemen through Abyffinia, as it does at this day. The import of gold; therefore, we carry up as high as the reign of Solomon, and bring it down to the time of Ptolemy Philadelphus, king of Egypt; for we learn, from the teftimony of Ezekiel and Aríleas ${ }^{35}$, that fices, precious fones, and


#### Abstract

4. chants: they occupied in thy fairs [marts] " with chief of all fpices, and with all pre" cious ftones and gold." In this paflage the introduction of gold from Arabia is ppecific, and the three articles are the fame as they contimued to be in the reign of Ptolemy Philạçlphus. Sce Aritteas. ${ }^{32}$ 2 Chron. ix. 9. from Goffellin. ${ }^{33}$ Almug and Algum are both read in ieripture; and Shaw, p. 422 , cites the opinion of Hiller, in his Hierophyticon, that


- Mוג Lis, AgalGummim, is, Henidorum gutte. gum. But in feripture the wood doos not appear to be brought for its gum, but fow ufe; and mulical inftruments were made of it, 1 Kings, x. 12., as Shaw obferves, who felppoles it to be cyprefs, filli ufed by the Itzlians for that purpofe. See 2 Ctiron. ix, 21.

2* Deb is aid to fignify gold, in Arabick. All the kings of Arabia brought gold and filver to Solomon. 2 Cbron, is. 14.

and gold, were brought by the Arabians ${ }^{35}$ into J.idea. I do not wifh to lay more ftrefs upon this teftimony than it will bear ; but it is not unreatonable to fuppofe, that the circumftances of this commerce were fimilar, in an eariy age, to thofe of a later period. The removal of thefe difficulties will fhew the inducement which perfuades me to join in opinion with Prideaux and Goffellin, upon a queftion that has been more embarraffed by bypothefis, and diftracted by erudition, than any other which concerns the commerce: of the ancients.

The participation of Hiram in this concern is founded upon neceffity as well as policy, for if Solomon was mafter of Idumêa, the Tyrians were cut of from Arabia, unlefs they united with the poff. flors; and whatever profit Solomon might derive from the import, the whole of the export on the Mediterrancan would be to the exclufive emolument of Tyre. Here the Greeks found the enmmodities of the chat, or received them in their own ports from the hands of the Phencians; for they were not allowed to enter the harbours of Egypt tial the reign of Plammetichus; and the very

 Wella, ( xom. $6:, 2$. If Arimas is not grod evidence for th. Septuagiar terlion, hiot tali. many may be taken for the tranf sions of the age in which he fivel. I loagine this to be the fume comacree as i. um:.es bs strato (iib. xvi. p. 81.), where we lears that the Tyripua traded through Rhinceconth to Perra and Leukè Komè. Harris (vul i. p. 3 \%g.) fuppofer the Trians to be matters of Rliniocolura'; which knowledge he feems to draw from Pideaux (Con, part ii. P , 6. \& part $i$, P. 7.) : but if it depends on the pallage of

Strabo, it does not follosp that the Tyrians were malkers of the place, however their trade paffed through it. But Rhinuculúra by its fituation on the limits of Phenicia and E;bypt, was certai:ly adapted in a peculiar manaer for kevejug upen the conmunication. Prideaus's eccoumt of flameid and this trade (part i. p.17.) is hishiny ace:urae and comprhenive; but we bate to date of the fact rectrderl ing Strabo.
 try of the Arabians. Agatharchides is alfo an evicunce in favour of the exportation of


names of the articles they obtained were derived from the Phenicians, as we are informed by Heródotus ${ }^{37}$.

The poffeffion of Idumêa by the kings of Judah continued little more tban an hundred years, to the reign of Jehoram, when the Idumêans revolted ${ }^{28}$, and were not again fubdued till after an interval of eighty years, in the reign of Uzziah ${ }^{33}$. Seventy years after this, the Syrians ${ }^{40}$ feized upon Elath; and here terminates the trade of Ophir, in regard to Ifrael; and probably in regard to Tyre, with the capture of that city, about an hundred and fixty years later, by Nebuchadnezzar.

Whether Nebuchadnezzar over-ran Idumêa, is a quefion that hiftory ${ }^{4 *}$ has not refolved; or whether he befieged Tyre with any. view of opening a communication with the Mediterranean, is equally unknown; but that he had fome plan of commerce on the gulph of Perfia in contemplation, we may judge from a curious fragment of Abydenus ${ }^{42}$, which informs us, that he raifed a mound or wall to confine

[^121]Arabia to Tyre, and thence isto Greece with its Tyrian name.
${ }^{35} 2$ Kings, viii. 22 .
${ }^{34} 2 \mathrm{~K}$ Kings, xiv. 22:
$4^{\circ} 2$ Kings, xvi. 6.
${ }^{41}$ It is highly probable, from the woe of
Edom in the $4 g^{\text {th }}$ chapter of Jeremiah.
42 Scaliger Emend. Temp. Fragm. p. 13.





There feens alfo to be another canal mentioned by the name of Akrakanus, and a baion above the city of the Sipparerians; and that thefe were all formed with a commercial view,
confine the waters at the mouth of the Tigris ${ }^{43}$; that he built the city of Terédon, to ftop the incurfions of the Arabs; and opened the Naharmalca in Babylonia, which unites the Tigris with the Euphrates. Thefe tranfactions may lead us to fuppofe that this conqueror would turn his attention to Idumêa, and the gulph of Arabia, as well as to the Perfian Gulph and Tyre; and if he did, the conqueft would have been ealy, either when he was in Judêa, or during his march into Egypt.

From this time till the death of Alexander we have no account of Idumêa; but foon after that event, we meet with two expeditions of Antigonus directed againfl Petra; one under Athenêus ${ }^{48}$, and another by his fon Demétrius. Both had an unfortunate termination; but the country was ftill haraffed by the rival fovereigns of Syria and Egypt, experiencing the fame fate as Judêa, from its fimilar fituation between both, fometimes fubjected, and fometimes free; till there arofe a dynafty at Petra, parallel to the Maccabees at Jerufalem; and, like them, partly independent and partly under the influence of the more powerful monarchies on either fide.
we may judge by what Arrian fays of Tc redon: "that it was, when Nearchas arrived *f there, the mart to which the merchants " brought their libanon, and other odorifc" rous drugs, from Arabia." Arrian, lib. viii.


 mercantile country may be fuppofed equivalent to Grane; and the whele correfponds with the traffic which new exifts between Granc and Bafra; fo conflant is the nature of this commerce, from the time of Nebuchadnezzar to the prefent hour. Have we not therefore a right to affurne it in aggs antectedear to the

Babylonian monarchy? The continuance of is in after-times we learn from Ntarchue, strabo, \&c.; and when Trajau was here, in the Parthian war, he faw a velfel fetting fail For India, which excited in his mind the remembrance of Alexander, and a defire of invading India, it he had not been fo far adranced in rears, Xiphilinus in Trajano.
${ }^{43}$ It is called the intandation of the Eryth. rean Sea, and is in reality at ilphadana, in the month of the Shat ol Arab; in which neighbour hood noounds of this fort are fill pre. ferved. See Voyage of Nearchus, p. 436.

44 Diodorus, Lib, xix. p. 394.
2.4 ${ }^{2}+\mathrm{P}^{2}$ RIPLUS OF THE ERYTHREANSEA.

I give the following catalogue of Sovereigns, as well as I have beea atbe to collect it fiom Jofephus, without vouching for the cmedinefs of the extract, or fuppoling the lift to be complete; but tinh as it is, it will clucidate the commerce which has been proved to exit in this country, and bring the hiftory of it down to the yeriod when the Romans obtained an influence in the government, and the command of the coaft; in which fate it was found by the Auther of the Periplês:

Years before The two expeditions of Antigonus into Idunca, as Chrit.
309. 308.
144.
126. nearly as we can fate thew, were undertaken in the years before our era, 309 and 308 .
Malchus ${ }^{45}$ - is the firt king of Idumêa at Petra, mentioned by Jofephus (Antiq. p. 569 . Hudfon's efin, and the 1 Maccabees, xi. 39.) : he is fyled Simalcue; and had protected Antiochus VI. reflored to the throne of Syria, in 144, by Diódotus, called Tryphon.
A'retas-affifted the city of Gaza befieged by Alexander Sebína, about the year 126. (Jofephus Antiq. 595.)

4s Mek, Melek, Malik (Arabck), are all from $7_{\tau}^{h e s}$, a king ( $\mathrm{Heb}^{*}$ ). In regard to A'retas, fee Joiephus, lib. siv. cap. 3. 4. and lib i cap. 6. Bel. Jud, where he mentions the conduct of A'retas in regard to Hyrcanus and A riltobôlus. See alfo the Univeral Hift. vol. vii. fol. ed. Pliny, vi 28. Strabo, Diodor. 1ur. 516. an. 730 . Trajan in Arabia, Dio. xviii. 777. And Severus. Dio in Trajano, $94{ }^{8}$.

Theophancs, p. 124. mentions an Arethas, anno 49 1. $5 \$ 6.558$, p. 207.

Perhaps every one of thefe princes was ftyled Maichus, or Malichus, the King : but Durive is a proper name, thought Data is caid to fignify King, Euperor, or Royal. Si Malcue is fome corvaption or other of Nalchas. A'rclas is the Greek form on E1 Ineretsh, as Antipater is of Amipas. Ifl Harcilch oevers often. Mahonet inartied the daughiter of an El Harttich. Abulicda. Reifke, p. 43 .

$$
A R A B I A
$$

Years before
Chrif. O'bodas**-is either the fame as $A^{*}$ retas, or his fucceffor within the year: he defeated Alexander about the 125. year 125. (Jofephus Antiq. 596.)

Aretas II.-is the king to whom Hyrcanuse, of the family of the Maccabees, high prielt and king of Judêa, fled, when driven out by Ariftobúlus. A'retas reftored him with an army of 50,000 men, about the time that Pompey came to Damafcus in the Mithridatic war, in the year 63. In this reign commenced the cofnnection of the Maccabces with Antipas, or Antipater, the Idumêan, and the father of Herod, which terminated in the deftruction of the whole family. (Jofephus Antiq. 608, 609.) Pompey took Petra (Dio, Latin copy, p. 23.) ; and from that Period the kings of Idumêa were, like the. other kings in alliance with Rome, dependant, obliged to furnifh auxiliaries on demand, and not allowed to affume the fovereignty without permiflion of the fenate, and afterwards of the emperors. The interval between O'bodas and this A'retas I have not been able to fill up.
Malchus II.-muft have commenced his reiga before
47. the year 47 ; becaufe in that year Cefar was at Alexandria, and Malchus is mentioned by Hirtius as one of the allied kings to whom Cefar fent for fuccours. (De Bello Alexañdrino, p. I. Hudfon.

45 O'bodas is kititeç Obeidas by Strabo, famè name as Abudah, familiar to every ear and O'bedas by others. It feems to be the as an Arabian name.

Years befóre Cbrit.

Periplus, p. Ir.) This Malchus ${ }^{47}$ was in Judêa when the Parthians took Jerufalem, and reftored Antigonus; at which time Herod fled to Petra. (Jofephus Antiq. 644.) The Parthians were defeated by Ventidius in the year 39 (Dion Caffius, Lat. p. 235.); and Malchus was ftill king in 30 (Jofephus Antiq. 648. 677.) ; and he is ftyled Malichus by Jofephus. (Bel. Jud. 990.)
O'bodas II.-muft have commenced his reign before the year 24 ; becaufè in that year Elius Gallus invaded Arabia, attended by Syllêus, minitter of O'bodas and Syllêus, was tried at Rome and executed for his treachery, according to Strabo (p. $7^{8} 3$.) ; but Jofephus rays, on account of charges brought againft him by Herod, whofe caufe was pleaded by Nicolaus of Damafcus. This trial did not take place till the reign of the fucceffor of $\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ bodas. (Jof. Antiq. 728, et feq.)
A'retas III.-feized the throne on the death of O'bodas, about the year 12, without applying to Rome for the confent of the emperor (Jof. Antiq. 736.); and by that act incurred the difpleafure of Augultus, which however he appealed. The trial of Syllêus. took place in this reign, who was accufed of poifoning O'bodas, and attempting the life of A'retas, among the other charges brought againft him. This A'retas, or another of the fame name, was on the ${ }^{47}$ He was fined by Ventidius. Dio, lib, slviii. 234. Lat, ed.

> ARAB|A.

Years after Chritt: 36.
throne as late as the year 36 after Chrift, which is the laft year of Tiberius; for Vitellius, proconful of Syria, was preparing to march into Idumêa, but was ftopped by that event. (Jof. Antiq. 728. 736 . 755.) It is in this reign we may place the vifit of Strabo's friend, Athenodórus, to Petra, who found it, as defcribed above, in a civilized and flourifhing ftate.
A'retas IV.-whether another, or the fame as the laft, is dubious.

Much difappointment have I felt in not being able to difcover any fucceffor to A'retas, in Jofephus or Dion Caftus; becaufe I have great reafon to believe, that in his immediate fucceffor, or in the following reign, we Chould have found another Malchus, or Malichus, the fame who is mentioned by the Periplus as the fovereign of Petrêa, when the author frequented the port of Leukè Komè. We learn, however, from this brief account, the commencement of the Roman influence over this government under Pompey, and the continuance of it till the death of Tiberius; and it will hence appear very evident, how a Roman garrifon was introduced into Leukè Komè, and the revenues of the port diverted from the poneffion of the native kings into the Roman treafury. The immediate date of that tranfaction I cannot fix; for Elius Gallus appears to have had little knowledge of Leukè Komè till he was conducted ${ }^{48}$ thither by Syllêus; and, as he returned from

[^122]
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another port, he had not the opportunity of leaving a garrifon at this harbour before he embarked. This makes it highly probable that the introduction of this garrifon was in the reign of Claudius, who evidently collected a revenue from the coaft of Arabia, as we learn from the circumftances related by Plócamus, and might well commence his fyftem from the head of the gulph.

It may be here oblerved, that the princes of this dynafty at Petra: are almoft univerfally called kings of the Nabatêans by the hiftorians; and the prevalence of this tribe of Nebaioth over the Idumêans is placed by Prideaux ${ }^{\frac{t_{2}}{3}}$, with his ufual accuracy, during the Babylonifh captivity, agreeing admirably with the exiftence of their fovereignty in the reign of Antigonus, and countenanced by Strabo. ${ }^{{ }^{50}}$, who mentions the expulion of the Idumêans. If this, therefore, be the origin of the dynafty, its termination is in the reign of Trajan, when Petrêa was reduced into the form of a Roman province ${ }^{52}$ by Palma ${ }^{52}$, his lieatenant ${ }^{53}$. Scill, under the
${ }^{49}$ Prideaus, Con. volitip 9 ; vol. ii. p. 155. ${ }^{50}$ Strabo, lib. xvi. p. 7 (io.
s) Under the name of Palaftina Tertia; there is a coin of Adrian's.
${ }^{52}$ See Xiphilinus Ed. Bafil. p. 553 . in Trajuno, who mentions Tikewife, p. 557 . that Palma was afterwards put to death by Adrian.
${ }^{33}$ Ir is evident that the Roman power was never very firm in this province, at leait under the latter empire; for Jultinian was obliged to fubdue it after a confiderable lapfo of independence; and Procopias, Cedrénus, and Theóphanes, couftantly notice an A rethas, either at Petra or in Iduméa, who was confidered as an Arab fovereign in the Roman intereft, in uppolition to an Al Mondar under the protection of Perfia. The feat of this Al Mondar was at Hira, on the Bahr Nedjeff, a

Iake near the Euphrates [fee drAnville's Map, of the Euphr, and Tigris]; arid thefe Arabian powers foem ufually to have been fet in motion by the Romans and Perfians, whenever a war was about to commence between the two. empires. Ste Theóphanes Byz Hif. p. 496 . Univerf. Hitt. p. 272 . fol. ed. which fays, A'retas is AI Hareth. O'bodas, Abd Wad. Theóphanes exprefsly mentions the defeat of an 'A'rethas, and the reftor fon the tribute, or cuftom, on India goods, anto 27 , A naltafii, that is, the year 488 . See allo the year 556 , p. 203. where an A'rethas, the fheik appointed by, the Romans, complains of the Perliantheik Abar, fon of Al Mondar; another A'rethas, was ivith Belifarius in Lfauria; Procop. Hift. Areay. p. 8.
latter empire, we meet with an A'retas in Procópius; and poffibly, according to the fluctuating power of the empire, it was at times fubject, and again independent, according to the change of circumftances, till it was finally reduced by Mahomed in perfon. This is a fact fo fingular that, as I fhall make it the termination of my inquiries, the reader will pardon a digreffion that is foreign to the fubject. For Mahomed marched againft this country with an army of thirty thoufand men, of which one-third was cavalry: he took Hagjr ${ }^{54}$, the capital of the Tfchamudites ${ }^{35}$; and John, the prefect of Aila ${ }^{\text {s, }}$, fubmitted to pay a tribute of three hundred pieces of gold ${ }^{37}$. Now if Hagjr be not the Hagar of the Hebrews, the Petra of the Greeks, it is at leaft a hill fort in the fame country, and maintained the fame rank as the feat of government. Aila is the Elath - of the fcriptures, ftill at that period under the power of Conftantinople (if we may judge from the name of John the governor), fo late as the reign of Heraclius. This expedition is the more remarkablc, as it is the firf fuccefsful attempt of the Mahomedans beyond the limits of the $\mathrm{Hejaz}^{38}$ and the prelude to the conqueft of Syria
${ }^{\text {s4. }}$ Sce note 17 .
ss The Thamydeni of the Grecks.
56 Abilfeda Reike, p. 52.
57 Trecentos nummos aurens. If it is the Roman aurcus, the yelue varied, according to Arbuthnot, from 1 㐘 $+5.3 \frac{3}{2}$. . to $163.1: d$, which admits a medium of twenty fhillings. Aila was no longer the port of the trade of Ophir.
${ }_{58}$ Ste Gibbion, vol. v. p. 245. The fuperfition of a bigot-never went to greater cxcefs in defence of his faith, than the fanativifm of philufophy las carried Gibbon, in fefteuing the vices, craelty, hypocrify, and inpolfure,
of Mahonct, or in amplifying his comage, his eloquence, and abilities as a fatefman or a general; but at the fame time, notwithitand ing this defect (which is radical), asd not. withitanding the deteltable comiparifons which he infinuates, the extent of his refearch, the ufe, felection, and arrangement of his materiala, form one of the molf brilliant fpecimens. of his talcnts as an hiforian. In regard to this laft tanfaction of Mahomet, I apprehend Gibbon is miltaken : he fays, the prophet received the fubmiftion of the triber from the Euphrates to the Red Sea; but according ta Abiffeda, he fubdued Hagjr and Aila only;
by the immediate fuçceffor of the prophet. This expedition, therefore, it was, which opened the way to all their fucceeding vi¿torics over the declining power of the Romans in the eaft.

This acceunt of Arabia Petrệ, from the time of the Patriarchs to the rife of the Mahomedan power, is effentially connected with the object of the prefent work; becaufe the whole commerce of the eaft originally paffed through this province to Phenicia, Tyre, and Egypt; for the Minêans, who were the conductors of the caravans from Sabêa to Hadramaut, and the Gerrhêans from the gulph of Perfia, both pointed to thisis centre; and notwithftanding that the caravans decreafed in proportion to the advance of navigation, fill Petra was a capital of confideration in the age of the Periplûs : there. was fill a proportion of the trade paffed from Leukè Komè to this city, and its princes maintained a rank fimilar to that of Herod in Judêa. In all the fubfequent fluctuations of power, fome commercial tranfactions so are difeoverable in this province; and if * Egypt fhould ever be under a civilized government again, Petrếa would be no longer a defert.

Whether the Idumêans had been navigators previous to the time of Solomon and Hrram ; and whether thofe princes occupied the ports of Idumêa in order to turn this navigation to their own advantage, or were the firft to venture on it themfelves, muft be a metter of conjecture; but that the Arabians of this province, or more probably of thefe farther to the fouth, were the firt navigators whom hiffory mentions, upon the Indian Ocean, is evident: firf,


opened the road to Syria. See Abilfeda, Gaza, the key of the defert of Sina, a Reike, Lipfix 2 1754, P. 52. - country very rich.
from Nearchus ${ }^{60}$, who found the traces of it on the coaft of Ga drofia; and, fecondly, from Agathárchides, who diftinctly mentions the great fhips in the ports of Sabêa which traded to.India; and if the works of Eratofthenes ${ }^{6 x}$ were extant, we fhould learn how the Greeks obtained their knowledge to the eaft of Cape Comorin, before any fleets had failed from Egypt beyond the Straits of Bab-elmandeb.

But whatever previous fources of information we might trace, it is from the Peripluts itfelf that we can difcover no lefs than fix different courfes of the ancients in thefe feas, all prior to the age of the author, or practifed by different navigators at the time he wrote.

## IV. VOYAGES DISTINGUISHABLE IN THE PERIPLÛ̉S.

1. The firt is the voyage, defcribed in the two previous books, down the coaft of Africa to Rhaptum; fhewing that the Arabians had fettlements in that country, before it was vifited by the Greeks from Egypt.

> He found Arabick names of places, a pllot to direet him, and veffels of the country, at Apoftani, in the gulph of Perfia. See Voyage of Nearchus, p. 35 I.
> fs Mareian of Heraclea informs us, that Eratofthenes took the whole work of Timofthenes, preface and all, as it ftood, and ia the very fame words : this confirms an opinion that I have already ventured to give, that Eratofthenes was more of a geometrician than a geographer. Marcian, indeed, does not fpeak very highly, of Timolthenes, and yet, by this aecotant, it fhould feem that Eratoftbenes's knowledge of the Thinz was from

Timofthenes, who had commanded the fleet of Ptolemy Philadelphus on the Indian Ocean, and had gone farther down the coaft of Africa than any other Greek of his age. See Marcian in Hudfon, p. $6_{4}$ : he calls him.
 ftyles him Nawapzes. See Pliny, Hardouin, p. 132. Marcian mentions likevife Sofander. a pilot, who wrote on India. Still there is an obfcure knowledge of the Thinæ, and the Golden Cherfonefe, prior to all thefe geographers, as appears from the Treatife de Mundo in Ariltotle, if that be a genuine work of the philofopher.
II. Secondly,

2:3 PERIPLUS OF THE ERYTHREAN SEA.
11. ${ }^{62}$ Secondly, we are informed of the two diflinct courfes within the Gulph: one from Myos Hormus, acrols the head of the gulph to Leukè Komè, and thence down the Arabian coaft to Mooza; and another, from Bereníkè to the fame port direct.
III. ${ }^{63}$ Next to this, we collect a voyage from the mouth of the Straits along the fouthern coaft of Arabia into the gulph of Perfia, extending afterwards to Bahrein, El Katif, and Oboleh, in the Shat-el-Arab.
IV. ${ }^{6+}$ Then follows a paffage from the Straits to India by three different routes : the firft, by adhering to the coafts of Arabia, Karmánia, Gadrofira, and Scindi, to the gulph of Cambay ; the fecond, from Cape Fartaque, or from Ras-el-had, on the Arabian fide; and the third, from Cape Gardefan, on the African fide, both acrofs the ocean by the monfoon to Muzíris, on the coaft of Malabar.
V. ${ }^{65}$ After this, we muft allow of a fimilar voyage performed by the Indians to Arabia, or, by the Arabians to India, previous to the performance of it by the Greeks; becaufe the Greeks, as late as the reign of Philométer, met this commerce in Sabêa.
VI. ${ }^{68}$ And laftly, we obtain an incidental knowledge of a voyage which confirms all that has been advaniced concerning the early commerce of the Arabians, previous, in all appearance, to every account we receive from the Greeks, and conducted, certainly, by the monfoon, long before Hippalus introduced the knowledge of that wind to the Roman world.

```
62 Periplûs, pp. 12. 14.
* Periplús, Pp. 19, 20.
64 Periplus, PP. 20, 2I; 22, 32. 33.
```

[^123]It is the voyage between the oppofite coalts of India and Africa, connecied certainly with the commerce of Arabia, but till capable of being confidered in the abftract, and proving, in my opinion at leaft, the poffible exiftence of this intercourfe in ages antecedent to all that hiftory can reach. If it could be believed that the natives of India had been navigators in any age, we might more readily admit their claim in this inftance than in any other; for the author mentions, that the imports into Africa are the production of the intexior, from Barugaza and Ariakè; that is, from the coaft of Cambay and Concan: and the articles fpecified confirm the truth of his affertion; for they are, rice ${ }^{67}$, ghee, oil of fefamum, cotton, mullins, faifes, and fugar: thefe commodities, he adds, are brought fometimes in veffels deftined exprefly for the coaft of Africa; at other times, they are only a part of the cargo out of veffels which are proceeding to another port. Thus we have manifeftly two methods of condueting this commerce, perfectly diftinct: one, to Africa direct; and another, by touching on this coaft, with a final deftination to Arabia. This is precifely the fame trade as the Portuguefe found at Melinda and Quiloa, and the fame connection - with Arabia; and this is the reafon that the Greeks found cinnamon, and the produce of India, on this coalt, when they firt ventured to pafs the Straits ${ }^{68}$, in order to feek a cheaper market than Sabêa.
${ }^{67}$ Periplàs, P. $9:$
firos, Wheat. $5_{\text {opu }}\langle x$, Rice.
Gexivoor Butter, i.e. Ghee. Zheisy ancápuson, Oil of Sefamum.
 for ftuffag Beds, \&cc.

Tririwitus, Safhes.

${ }^{68}$ The paffing of thefe ftraits is afcribed to Sefoftris by Heródotus and DiodOrus, which, if the whate hitory of Sefoftris be a fable, is flill a proof that Herbdotus knew fome object was to be obtained by the attempt. He adds

# Sabêa. Still it muft be douited, whether this commerce was con- 

 ducted by natives of India, or Arabians; for Arabians there were on the coaft of Malabar, and in fuch numbers at Ceylon, that Pliny ${ }^{\text {to }}$ reprefents them as mafters of the coaft, likc the Europeans(lib. ii. p. tog.), that Sefoftris advanced into the Erythreaan Sea till he was ftopped by fhoals; a proof to me, that he entered the Bay Avalites, and went no farther. But Disdorus (lib. i. P. 64.) carries bim by fea to India, and by land, to the caftern coaft of China: fo little trouble does it colt an hiftorian to convey his bero to the world's end, when he is not emharraffed with circumftances. If any date could be affered to the reign of Sefoftris, if his conquefts could be reconciled with the hitory of the nations he is faid to have conquered, I fhould think it highly probable that he knew of an Indian commerce in Arabia, or Africa, and wifted to partake of it ; and even as the fact flands, it appears as if Herodotns was fully jultified in fuppoing, that fome attempts had been made by the Egyptians to enter the Erythrêal Sea. But the Egyptians feem to have attributed all their wonders to Sefoftris, as the Grecks did theirs to Herculce; and it is as difficult to reconcile the date of his reigu to reafon, as the chronology of the Egyptians to feriptute. The truly learned and moth excellent tranflator of Herddotas profeffes his belief in feripture, and deprecates all conclufions againt the feriptures which may be drawn from his chreno$\log y$ : it is a proteft of importance, becaufe his firft date makes the eftablifhment of Egypt 13,566 years, and the huilding of Memphis 8,352 years prior to the creation, according to the Molaical account; and it in not with out a fenfe of the contradiction thent "we read the following words: "Il ef done conftant
" que notre hifforion a ćté le fidelle interprete 's des protires Egypiiens, \&s quitil n'y avoit pas "lat plus ligere iwabercnce dinus leur ractits." Chronol Herod. p. 222. ill cedit. But M. Larcher will not now be averfe to fce thele pritits convicted of an macohrence, which is, an interval of near cleven thoufand years between the building of the Temple of Ptha by Menes, and the adding apropyleum to it by Meveris. This is about a duplicate of the abfurdity which would thike the mind of ant Englifuman, if he vere tuld that the dome of St. Puul's was butilt by Adam, and the portico added by Q. Anne.

Siace the time that thefe obfervations weremade, we have another cdition of Herodotus by the fame execllent tranlator, who, in the $7^{\text {th }}$ year of hisage, repeats his belief in the feriptures, and recalls every thing in his works that may feem of a contrary tendency to the hitory they contain. I rejoice in the addjtion of fuch a name to the catalogue of beliepers ; I admire the fortude that infuired the prosfeffion, and 1 tiuft that the example will be efficacious in recalling others to the truth.
${ }^{69}$ Pliny, lib. vi, e. 22. Regi, cultum liberi parris, ceteris, Arabum; that is, the king re-tained the native worfhip of the Indian Bac. chus, above the Ghauts; while the inhabitauts on the coait were Arabians, or had embraced the fuperitition of the Arabians.

The Portuguefe made a Chriftian king of Candy; hut the Dutch and Englifh have been lets zealons for their faith than the Arabians, either when Idolators or Mibometans.
of the prefent day, who have confined the native fovercigns to the country above the Ghauts, and have poffeffed themfelves of the level towards the fea; fuch alio was their fituation, though under the name of Moors, or Mahometans, when the modern Europeans met with them again upon their arrival at Calicut, where their influence over the native government long counteracted all the power of the Portuguefe.

Thefe are the reafons which induce a fuppofition, that the whole of this intercourfe, on both fides, was in the hands of the Arabians ${ }^{70}$; but it mult be left to the determination of thofe who have been refident in India, how far the fuperftition of Braminifm defcends to the Parias, the lower cafts, or thore who have loft all caft, fo as to permit or forbid their venturing on the ocean. That there was an ulterior commerce ${ }^{71}$ beyond Ceylon, is indubitable; for at Ceylon the trade from Malacca and the Golden Cherfonefe met the merchants from Arabia, Perfia, and Egypt. This might poffibly have been in the hands of the Malays, or even the Chinefe ${ }^{\cdot 72}$, who feem to have been navigators in all ages as univerfally as the Arabians, and both might profit by the prejudices which feem to have excluded the Hindoos from a participation in thefe'advantages.

There appears no method of tracing this commerce through the darknefs of the middle ages, but by the few fcattered intimations to be collected from Cofinas, William ${ }^{73}$ of Tyre, Sanuto ${ }^{73}$, Renaudot's Arabian Voyagers, and Marco Polo; but their general teftimony is

[^124]in favour of the preceding fuppofitions, and which, as I have no fyftem to maintain, I fhould abandon as readily as I have adopted; if ever the weight of evidence fhould preponderate againft thent. In the time of Marco Polo, the Arabians had not only encreafed on the coaft of India, but made confiderable progrefs in extending the doctrines of the Coran : he mentions the trade from China ${ }^{74}$ which met the trade from the Red Sea, no longer in Ceylon, but on the coaft of Malabari; and though he remarks that the Chinefe veffels fometimes penetrated farther, even to Madagafcar, yer the central mart is manifeftly in Malabar, and apparently at Calicut, where the Portuguefe found it upon their firf arrival. Here, he fays, thefhips from Aden obtained their lading from the Eaft, and carried it into the Red Sea for Alexandria, from whence it paffed into: Europe by means of the Venetians.

## THE COMPASS.

V: How thefe voyages were performed in the feas of India or China, without the compafs, is a circumftance fo extraordinary, that many writers have rather affigned that inftrument to the Chinefe, than fuppofed it poffible that fuch voyages fhould be performed withont it. Highly extrapidinary it certainly is, that the CChinefe, who now never go beyond the limit of Japan on the eart, Malacca on the weft, or Java on the fouth, fhould have failed to Madagafcar in the thirteenth century ; their knowledge muft in that age have.

[^125]permanal, the trade centred there. M. Polo Was in India in the $13^{\text {th }}$ century, 300 years later than Ceramperumal.

Been proportioned to their adventures; and I would not wifh to conteft the point with thofe ${ }^{75}$ who would furnifh them with means or inftruments to qualify them for the undertaking; but Ramufio ${ }^{76}$ is clearly of opinion, that Marco Polo did not bring this inftrument from China; and that he did not know it himfelf, becaufe he never mentions it. This negative evidence in regard to China, becomes poftive, according to Nicolo di Conti, in regard to India; for he failed aboard a native veffel on the Indian feas, about the year $1420^{77}$; and he fays exprefsly they had no compafs, but failed by the ftars of the fouthern pole, the elevation of which they had the art of meafuring; and that they had alfo a method of keeping their reckoning by day or night; with their diftance from place to place; that is, as we mould feak in modern terms, they had a quarterftaff or aftrolabe, and $\log$, but no compals.

The date of this voyage, fixty or eighty years previous to the difcovery of Gama, makes it highly interefting ; and the information is unique, for Nicolo failed on board an Indian. ${ }^{78}$ fhip; and that the navigators made ufe of the fouth polar ftars, is a moft extraordinary, agreement with the account of Ptolemy; who fays, they navigated

73 Lord Macartney is filly convinced that the Chinefe compafs is not derived from the Europeans: his reafons for this may be feen in a paper with which he has furnifhed me (Appendiz, No. 1.) ; and has obligingly per* mitted me to publifh with his name.
${ }^{76}$ See Dichiaratione fopra M. Polo, Ramufio, vol, ii. p. ${ }^{17}$.
${ }^{77}$ He was abfolved by Pope Eugenius IV. in 1444 of apoftacy, after having been in India 25 years; fo that the date of his royage, in this intance, may be from 1420 to 1430 .
${ }^{78}$ Il naviganti dell" India fif governano colle telle del polo antartico. . . \& \& non navigano
col Busseco, ma fi reggono fecondo che tro vano le dette tlelle o alte, o beffe; et quefto fanoo con certe lor mifure che adoperano, et fimilmente mifurano il cammino che fanno di giorno et di notte, \& la difitanza che e da un Juogo all'altso, et cosi feripre fanno in che luogo fí ritrovano effendo in mare. Ramutio, vol. i. p. 344.
If fimilnente refers to the preceding claure, it means that they kept their reckoning, not. by the log, bnt by the flars, which is, in that cafe, a knowledge of finding their longituce as well as their latitude by aftronomy.
the Indian Ocean in his age by means of the ftar Canobus, which they called the Horfe. I fhould have been glad to find the mariners on board this thip had been Arabians; but the defcription of the veffel is characteriftically like thofe which M. Polo failed in on the Chinefe feas, feparated into compartments, which the refpective merchants on board hired each for himfelf and his property; and which were diftinctly caulked, fo as to prevent a leak in one part affecting any other: fuch veffels are ftill in ufe on thofe feas, but are more properly Chinefe or Malay, than Indian.

The teitimony of N. di Conti is direct againft the ufe of the compafs in the fhips of India, but ftill it is not conclufive againft the Chinefe; for Vertoman, or Barthema, in his paffage from Borneo to Java, in a ciampan, or fmall Chinefe veffel, exprefsly mentions, that the pilot ${ }^{79}$ had a compafs. And this teftimony is of greater importance, becaufe the date of his voyage from Borneo mult be in 1503 or 1504 , as he returned to Calicut in 1506 , when Almeyda was viceroy. Now 1504 is feven years previous ${ }^{83}$ to the arrival of the Portuguefe at Malacca: fo that the Chinefe could not have had it from the Portuguefe; and if the fhips of India had it not, they could not have received it through that medium of communication. There is fomething very ftrong, likewife, againft their receiving it from the Arabs, whom they might have met at Calicut in the fifteenth century; becaule, if the Arabs then ufed it,* it was in the form they derived it from Europe, and divided into thirty-

[^126]two points; whereas the Chinefe compafs is divided into forty-eight, which feems almof conclufive that theirs was an original inftrument, and not derived from Europe.

WEALTIl OF ARADIA.

VI. Apter the recital of theie circumftances, it is ftill to be confidered, that in the whole of what has been faid, it is intended tofpeak only in general terms : it is not meant to affert, that no fhips * went to India from Egypt before the reign of Ptolemy Philomêtor, or that no Greeks, in a later age, paffed beyond Ceylon to Bengal, or the Golden Cherfonefe; but that the ordinary courfe of Oriental. commerce was conducted in the way that has been fated, there is every reafon to believe, and every evidence that is extant to prove. The value of this commerce, in the hands of the Arabians, is equally evident : their wealh was proverbial, and the particulars of it are detailed by Agathárchides. But there is fill one point: in which the Arabians are effentially diftinguifhed from all the furrounding nations, which, through their means, partook in the commerce of the eaft; which is, that however offentatious their neighbours might be, the riches of the Arabians were all appliedto their private luxury and indulgence. In Perfia, and Chaldềa, thole vaft public works and edifices arofe, which aftonifhed the travellers of the ancient world; and in Egypt, the ruins of the Thebaid are an equal caufe of amazement at the prefent hour. In a fecondary rank, Tyre, Jerufalem, Baalbeck; and Palmyra, furprize us with their magnificence; while in Arabia, hiftory fpeaks only.
of one public work, which was the Tank ${ }^{\text {s. }}$ at Mariaba; and when the head of that once failed, there never was fufficient induftry or public fpirit in the country to reftore it.

No adequate caufe is affignable for this national diftinction, but that firit of independence which broke the body of the people into parts too minute for a combination of interefts, and too diffure for co-operation. This fipirit was never counteracted but for a fhort time by enthufafm; and no fooner was that exhaufed by evaporation, than they returned again to the fate in which they are defcribed by the ancients. They are fill a nation of merchants ${ }^{82}$ and marauders, incapable of fubjection, not lefs from their temper and habits than from the nature of their country; rarcly formidable in a body, from their mutual jealoufy and diftruft ; indifferent foldiers, but dangerous partizans.

No other reafon is difcoverable, why a nation that at one time poffeffed almoft exclufively the commerce of the Eaft, never arrived at a character of dignity and refpect ; and no other caufe can I trace, why Idumêa became fo eafy a conqueft to the Hebrews, Tyrians, Babylonians, and Romans. It is the influence over their government, and the poffeffion of their harbours on the Red Sea by the Romans, which is now to be inveftigated; and if the command of the commerce obtained by this power continued with little interruption till the time of Juftinian, and was not annihilated till the

[^127]in the tinse of Alexander; others fay, after Chrift. Univ. Hift. Col. ed. vii. p, 276. 82-Strabo and Diodorus are in concert with Pliny, who fays, lib. vi. p. 340. Pars æqua in commerciis et latrocinits degit: a fact equally true in all ages.
irruption of the Mahomedans, it is a duration of this commerce in one channel, longer than has fallen to the lot of any other people in whofe hands it has been placed.

## LEUKE KOMi.

Our inquiry commences with Leukè Komè, or the White Village ${ }^{8_{3}}$; and the chatacter of White is attributed to feveral towns or villages on this coaft. Ptolemy has an Argè Komè below Yambo; Haûr is anøther place, about three hundred miles from the head of the gulph; and a third, Haûr or Havarra is difcoverable in the Itinerary, but forty-five miles from the fame point. All thefe terms imply whitenefs; but d'Anville affumes the fecond for the Leuke Komè of the Periplûs. In this he is juftly fuppofed by M. Goffellin to be miftaken; becaufe this fecond Haûr, at more than three hundred and fifty miles from Petra, could not afford a ready communication with that capital, neither could it be within the limits of Petrêa, but mutt then have belonged to Hejaz; which, that it did not, we fhall have fufficient proof in the expedition of Elius Gallus.
M. Goffellin fixes upon Moilah; to which he is, perhaps, more particularly directed, by finding a name of notoriety in a fituation that is probable : but on this coaft, as he has very properly obferved himfelf, there is no certainty to be obtained; the ancients have left us few marks of diftinction, becaufe they avoided the coaft, which was itfelf dangerous, and more dangerous fill from the difpofition of its inhabitants; while the few notices which they have.

[^128]left, are obliterated by the retreat of the fea, and the increafing advance of the fhore. This arifes from a caufe which operates on the whole eaftern fide of the gulph; and in the lower part of it there are the remains of places twenty miles inland, which were formerly marts or harbours.

This mult be accepted as a reafon why fo little fatisfaction can be given in regard to individual pofitions. The general character of the coalt, and the divifion of the provinces, will be diftinct ; but identical locality is by no means to be expected. This will be apparent in the immediate object of our jnquiry, for the White Village itfelf is obfcured by difficulties not eafy to be furmounted.


But that there is ftill another Haúara, Avara, or Havárra ${ }^{8 s}$, we
${ }^{3}+$ The Haur of d'Anville is afcertained by Al Edrifi to be lower than the ifland Naman, p. 109 ; a proof that it cannot be the Hauarra of the Itinerary.
${ }^{35}$ But I am apprehenfive that I read 20 twice inftead of once; if fo, it is only 45 miles from Haila to Hauarra, and 38 from Hauarra to Petra. The latter diftance muft, in that cafe, affuredly be erroneous; and the former too, unlefs the fea of Acaba be as fhort as it is reprefented in the ancient maps, inflead of runsing up to the north fo far as it does in the maps of d'Anville, Goffellin,
and De la Rochette. Still, whatever be the errors, it is curious to fee both thefe routes detailed at the extremity of the empire, in the reign of Theodofius; and the fhorter the diftances are, the more incompatible they are with the Haîr of d'Anville. (See d'An. ville's Egypt, p. 12g. with his opinion of the Itineraries.) There is a fimilar diminution of diftance from Phara, or Ras Mahomet, to Haila, which the Itinerary makes only 16 . miles; and both deficiencies, if they are fuch, muft be impated to the fuppofed fhortnefs of the fea of Acaba, i, e. the Elanitick Gulph.

## ARABIA.

are certain from the Itinerary; and Stephanus ${ }^{86}$ informs us, that it was founded by A'retas fon of O'bodas, and called Aúara (which fignifies white in Arabick and Syriack), from fome vifion of a man in white. Pliny adds, that Arra ${ }^{57}$ is in the country of the Thimanêi, the adjoining tribe to the Nabatêans, and that here is the centre of commerce. Upon thefe authorities I had wifhed to have placed this Havarra on the coaft, and to have affumed it for the fite of the White Village; more efpecially as the Itinerary of the Peutingerian Tables points to the entrance of the Elanitick Gulph, and has one route of fixty-one miles from Aila to Havarra, and another of thirty-eight ${ }^{83}$, from Havarra through Zadagafta ${ }^{89}$ to Petra.
${ }^{\text {to }}$ See Stephanus Byz. ia voce.
${ }^{87}$ Arra oppidum in quo omnis negotiatio convenit. Plin. vi. c. 28. The Thimanêi ave the Bythimanees, or Batmizomanees, of Agatharchides, and upon the coaft.
${ }^{88} \mathrm{I}$ am not certain that I read the diftances right; but they appear thus:


If by Clyfma we are to underfland the headof the gulph, or Suez, the opening of the
compaffes gives precifely 200 Roman miles from Suez to Ras Mahomed, by d'Anville's map; 180 m . En. by De Ia Rochette's; 225 by Capt. Cook's Chart. When we find therefore only 120 miles in the Itinerary, we mult fuppofe that a diftance is omitted berween Arfinoe and Clyfma, for both are noticed; but there is no number between the two, and Clyima is placed on the eaftern fide of the gulph, not on the weftern, as in d'Anville. But if the numbers we have, exprefs the fenfe of the author, then we rauft add a third at leaft; and, by the fame proportion, a third from Phara or Ras Mahomed to Haila, making that neayly 67 B . miles; a diftance that agrees neither. with d'Auville or De la Rochette, for both make it near 110. I have always fuppofed this diftance much too large ; and if Irwin's Chart might be depended on, my judgment muft be right. Irwin is the only traveller 1 have met with who has entered the Elanitick Gulph; but though he fpeaks of the head,

But in oppofition to this we have the exprefs teflimony of Ptolemy ${ }^{\circ \circ}$, that Avarra is inland, and more northerly than Aila. This reduces me to the neceffity of concluding, that this Haûr, or Havarra, cannot be the White Village of the Periplûs; fo that neither the Haûr of d'Anville, the Argè Komè of Ptolemy, or this Havarra of the Itinerary, will anfwer our purpofe. But there are fome circumftances in Agatharchides, which will lead us to a fituation where fuch a port feems to be pointed out, in preference to any other on the coalt.

## VII. THAMUDÉNI AND CANRAITES.

This author, at the entrance of the Elanitick Gulph, has three iflands: one, facred to Ifis; and the two others called Sookabúa and Salyd6. Thefe iflands, after having been loft for twenty centuries, have been reftored to geography by M. Irwin. He is the only voyager, as far as I can difcover, who has ever entered this bay ; and if his chart may be depended upon; he went up it five-and-twenty miles: in confequence of this he faw thefe illands, and has named them Tirán, Sanafir, and Barkan. I have never feen ${ }^{91}$ them in any chart, previous to his, arranged, in the fame order; but they bear fuch teftimony to the fidelity of Agatharchides, that he deferves credit when he adds, that "they ${ }^{92}$ cover feveral harbours

So See Tab. Afire, iv, and Iib. v. c. 15. Elana - $26^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$
Avara - $29^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$
Still there is a confuition; for the Greek text fays,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Elana - } \quad 29^{\circ} 15^{\prime} \\
& \text { Avara - } \quad 29^{\circ} 20^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

But, after all, Avara is north of Elana.

9x The names are in Niebuhr, but the pofition is etroneous. One filand is fill called Jobua by De la Rochette.





" on the Arabian fhore" [as the Zaffateen Illands protect the port of Myos Hormus]; and one of thefe harbours, I conclude, muft be the Leukè Komè of the Periplûs; for he adds, "to thefe illands " fucceeds the rocky coalt of the Thamudeni, where, for more than " a thoufand ftadii, there is no harbour, no road where a veffel can " anchor, no bay to afford protection, no fcrap of a projecting " point, to which the mariner can fly for refuge in a moment of " diftrefs."

However the colouring of this picture may be heightened, the general defcription is true, as may be feen by a reference to M. Irwin's Journal, from the 22 d of June to the 9 th of July; where we have every day iflets, breakers, fhoals, fands, and funken rocks, with the mention of only one cove where the thore could be approached. The refuge his Arabian boat found, was generally under iflets; but a navigator, who did not dare approach the fhore, might well paint it in the fame colours as Agatharchides has done. Irwin carries Moilah fifty miles more to the north than it appears in other charts ${ }^{23}$, and within the Elanitick Gulph: if this be true, my conclufion is perfectly in correfpondence with that of M. Goffellin; and if, by taking different methods, we both arrive at the fame conclufion, it mult be a ftrong confirmation that the point we have both fixed on is right ; for a fafe anchorage at Moilah, covered by the iflands, and the unapproachable nature of the coaft below, fix Moilah to a certainty for the Leukè Komè of the ancients.


 Agatharch. apud Hudfon, p. 59.
 though $x^{n} \lambda n^{\prime}$ is the foot of a wall, or rather loofe floues thrown into the fea to break the waves and protece the mafonry of a pier, brin
mapa does not occur in the Lexicoms : it may be the form, the indenture at the commencement of a projection. Unlefs the author aimed at a metaphor, by taking $x^{\text {nins }}$ in its fenfe of a hoof, and to intended to mean the imprefion of a boof; but in this fenfe the metaphor is not juft.
${ }^{53}$ P. 143 . oct. ed. vol. I.
VIII. BURNT ISLAND, MOOSA, COAST OF YEMEN.

From Leukè Komè to the mouth of the Straits, a courfe of more than a thoufand miles, we have only two places men-tioned-the Burnt Ifland, and Moofa: a proof, as it fhould feem, that this track was little frequented; and yet the author, by fpeaking in the firft perfon, feems to have performed the voyage himfelf. The dangers he defcribes at large, much in the fame manner as we have already reported them from Agatharchides; and the tribes, he fays, which inhabit this tract, are numerous; fome fpeaking a language perfectly diftinct, and others a different dialect of the fame. Thofe on the coaft live in huts or cabins, like the Iethyophagi; and thofe who are inland, are a treacherous ${ }^{54}$ race, living in hordes or villages, and fpeak two different tongues. If a veffel is driven to this fhore, fhe is plundered ; or if fhipwrecked, the crew is reduced to flavery. The general name of thefe tribes is Canraites; and they are treated as enemies, and feized for flaves, by the other regular governments of Arabia. But it is not only the difpofition of the natives which makes the navigation dangerous; for the coaft itfelf is without harbours or roads, full of rocks, fhoals, and breakers, and dangers of every fort; for which reafon, in going. down the gulph, we ftand off from chore, and keep our courfe down the middle of the gulph, very defirous ${ }^{95}$ of reaching [the more

Suppofed by Bochart to be Caalanites. Caulan, a province and mountain between Mecea and Lana. Phaleg. p. 143 .

95 The word is rapogývousv. The Sentence


 I had very much doubted of the conftruction of this paffage, when I cited it in the voyage of Nearchus; but I am now perfuaded, that by confidering Apaisxn'y $\chi$ wipay as the civilized
more civilized part of ] Arabia, which commences about the parallel of Burnt Ifland, and continues down the whole coaft to Moofa. In this tract the inhabitants are under a regular government, leading a paftoral life, and raifing vaft herds of oxen, camels, and other ftock. Moofa is an eftablifhed mart of great trade, in a bay near the termination of the gulph, at the diftance of twelve thoufand ftadia, or twelve hundred ${ }^{98}$ miles from Bereníkè; and the whole [of this part] of Arabia abounds in merchants and mariners, both mafters of veffels and common failors, and is commercial in the higheft degree. The commodities of the country are rich and numerous; but befides thefe, there is a great traffic [in India articles] from Barugaza, or Cambay. Inland from Moofa, at three days diftance, lies Savè or Sauè, which is the feat of Cholébus, the king of the diftrict called Maphartis; and nine days farther inland is Aphar or Saphar, the refidence of Charibáel, paramount both of the Sabêans and Homerites. This is the fovereign to whom the Roman emperors addrefs their embaffies, and whofe friendfhip they conciliate by prefents ${ }^{\circ 7}$ of various forts, and confiderable value.

We have here a general divifion of Arabia correfponding to the modern diftinction of Hejaz and Yemen, as nearly as can be expected after an interval of eighteen centuries. Thenorthern part, occupied by Bedoweens, robbers, and marauders, living under tents
part of Arabiã, that is, Yemen or Sabêa, the whole difficulty is removed; and the ufage of "Apcúsw in the fame feafe twelve lines lower, juftifies the interpretation; for, to $\mu \mathrm{L}$ ö o or
 does not refer "aor to the aubole of Arabia, but to the nubole of Sabêa, as it is evident by the contezt.

[^129]
## PERIPLUS OF THE ERYTHREAN SEA.

in hordes almoft without towns, villages, or fettled habitation of any fort; while the fouthern part is in a civilized fate, highly cultivated, polifhed, and commercial, and under a regular form of government, fuch as Niebuhr found at Sana within thefe thirty years.

The limit of Hejaz, or Arabia Deferta, is fixed by d'Anville in lat. $17^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime 88}$, which gives it an extent of coaft of near feven hundred and fifty miles, while there remain but little more than three hundred within the ftraits affignable to Yemen, or Arabia Felix. The northern part of the firft divifion is that which anfwers more particularly to the dangerous coaft defcribed by the ancient authors, and explored by Irwin, terminating at Haffan Ifle, in lat. $25^{\circ}$; to which fucceeds Yambo, the port of Yathrib or Medina; and Gidda or Judda, the port of Mecca, the Maco-rabba or Great Makka of Ptolemy. This appellation proves that it was a place of confequence in that early age; and hiftory thews that there is hardly a place which deferves the name of city, except Mecca and Medina, in all that fpace which geographers allot to Arabia Deferta, acrofs the vaft peninfula, from the Red Sea to the Perfian Golph and the Euphrates. The numerous tribes which inhabit this defert are the Saraceni of theancients, fo called from Saharra ${ }^{99}$ or Sarra, a defert, and correfponding exactiy with the modern term of Bedoweens. In what fenfe this country is a defert, was unknown to the ancients, and is almoft equally unknown to us; but that it is not arid, fo as to preclude the produce of the carth, is evident from the fwarms which thefe tribes furnifhed in the early period of the Mahomedan

[^130]conquefts, and from the confideration that every Arab is a horfeman. Little as will fuffice to fupport an Arab and his horfe, both muft be fupported; if little corn is fowed or confumed, ftill thofe who live on the product of their herd mult find pafture for their oxen, fheep, camels, and horfes; and though many expatriate for this purpofe in the feafon, the majority ftill remains at home, both winter and fummer. Neither can their predatory life fupply all their wants; for a whole nation muft have a*national fupport. Robbers as they are, they do not rob every one; the caravans ftill diftribute all the merchandize which comes annually to the ports of Yambo and Jidda, through this very country; and in the commerce which the ancients defcribe, there was a regular intercourfe between Sabêa and Petra, from the South, and between the gulph of Perfia and Petra, from the Eaft. This trade has fluctuated in different ages, from external caufes: it is at this moment, perhaps, at a lower ebb than ever, from the commercial fuperiority of the Europeans in the Eaftern Ocean, and from a diminution in the fpirit of pilgrimage. But Mecca and Medina are ftill to be confidered as marts rather than fanctuaries; and the commodities brought by the Englifh from India, and by the Turks from Suez, fill centre at Jidda ${ }^{100}$, as an emporium of confiderable importance.

It is the Turkifh trade from Suez which the Romans occupied by being mafters of Bereníkè, Myos Hormus, Petra, and Leukè Komè. It is the Englifh trade from India, which the Greeks and Romans firlt found in the, hands of the Sabêans, and afterwards affumed to

120 At the time Bruce was there, nine Mhips from India were in, tlie harboor, one of which was worth 200,000 her and one Arab offered to purchafe the nine cargoes. All thefe, he
adds, are difperfed ower the wildeit part of Arabia by men with, whom no traveller would trult his life. Brace, vol. i: 278 .
themfelves, as foon as they had fleets on the Red Sea that neither feared the Nabathêan pirates at the head of the gulph, or the Sabêan merchants at the fraits; and from the time they learned the nature of the monfoon from Hippalus, they made a voyage to India more advantageous, than the purchafe of a cargo at Moofa or Okélis.

## ix. EXPEDITION OF ELIUS GALLUS.

The voyage from Suez or Arsínoè was firft planned by Neco; is was afterwards meditated by Alexander, and it was executed by the Ptolemies previous to the eftablimment of Myos Hormus and Bereníkè. It was not unknown to the Romans when they reduced Egypt, though then in difufe; but Elius Gallus fet out on his expedition from this port, and Strabo imputes his failure to this circumftance as a leading caufe.

Strabo laments that this expedition added little to the geographical knowledge of Arabia; and we have reafon to complain that Strabo, who lived in habits of intimacy with Gallus, has recorded fo little of the information which might have, been obtained from that commander. The confequence is, that d'Anville, who follows Pliny, carries the Roman arms to Maríaba, the Mareb of the Arabians; and that M. Gofellin, by his interpretation of Strabo, fuppofes Maríaba, or Marf́yaba, to be the Maco-raba ${ }^{\text {101 }}$ of Ptolemy, the Mecca of Mahometr, The diftance between thefe two places is little hort of nine degrees; fo that the difference between the two eftimates is 675 Roman miles.

[^131]If there were any data to determine this difpute, no labour thould have deterred me from inveftigating it to the utmoft; but as Pliny fays, that the places which occurred in the expedition of Gallus are not found in authors previous to his time, the fame may be faid of fubfequent writers; for there is nut one of them, ancient or modern, who will do more than afford matter for conjecture. This is the reafon that compels me to give a fketch only of an expedition fo intimately connected with the commerce of the ancients in Arabia.

The commiffion of Gallus from Auguftus was to explore Ethiopia, the country of the Troglodytes, and Arabia. The firt part was executed by Petronius, his lieutenant, and terminated by the fubmiffion of Candacè, queen of Meroè. But Arabia, Gallus referved for himfelf; and the country of the Troglodytes he croffed when he landed at Myos Hormus, on his retuze. This expedition commenced at Cleopátris ${ }^{102}$, in the neighbourhood of the modern Suez, where we find him at the head of an army confifing of ten thoufand Romans, five hundred Jews, and a thoufand Nabatêans from Petra, with a fleet of eighty ${ }^{{ }^{*} s_{3}}$ veffels of war, and an hundred and thirty tranfports. Syllêus ${ }^{20+}$, the minifter of O'bodas king of Petra, was to conduct this force; but his intereft was concerned in defeating the expedition, which he effected, and afterwards paid the forfeit for his treachery wich his life. The firt error into which he led Gallus, was the preparation of a fleet, which confumed ${ }^{109}$ much :- . . time,
bin Eleopátris is confidered as,Arsínoè̀ ; but - perhaps Arsínoè, Cleopátris, and Suéz, have all followed the retreat of the fea at the head of the gulph.

2:3 Biremes, triremes and phafeli.
${ }^{24}$ See fupra, P. 246.
us We have the account: of preparing a Turkilh ficet in the rame manner, anno 1537; by which we learn, that the country affoting no materials for inip building, the feveral ar-
time, and was of no fervice; for the army might have proceeded from Cleopátris to Petra, and thence to the head of the Elanitick Gulph, through a friendly country, and in the ordinary track of the caravans ${ }^{\text {.08. }}$. But fifteen days were required to extricate the fleet from the fea of Suez, and to reach the road of Leukè Komè ; and here, when they arrived, many veffels had been loft, and the troops were fo afficted with a diforder in the mouth, and fwelling: in the legs, that the remainder of the year was loft, and the expedition delayed till the following fpring.

Upon leaving Leukè Komè, Gallus advanced, firft, through a defert ${ }^{107}$ into the country of A'retas, who was related to O'bodas ${ }_{2}$,
tieles were brought acrofs the defert from Cairo on camels. In this manner a flet of 76 veffels was conftructed, which, from the time it weighed from. Suez, was ten days before it reached Tor, and left it on the eleventh. This accounts for the fifteen days employed by Gallus in performing a paffage of little more than 240 miles. See Ramulio, tom. i. p. 2丂4. Viaggio per un Comito Venitiano.

We We have the route of the pilgrims in Mel. Thevenot, Pococke, and Shaw, from Cairo to Mecca; and reckoning from A gerond, which is near Suez, the account in Thevenot Atands thas, tom. i. p. 151 :


Hours.

315.

This route meafures, by the compaffes, in a right liue on De la Rochette's map, nealy: 280 milea, which, with the allowance for roaddiftance of $\frac{x}{y}$, amounts to 320 miles; and this at 15 miles a day, a moderate march for a Roman amy, requires 21 doys: fo that they proceeded falter by fta than they would have done by land; the time lolt, therefore, was in the preparation of the fleet.
m This is the fame defert which Mahomet paffed in his march from Medina to Hagjr and Aila, where, Abilfeda fays, magaas illi per viam tolerabant moleftias $a b$ xftu et fiti, p. 52 . Ed. Reifke, 1754.
and feems to have been the fovereign of the Thamudites; but Syllêus had the fame influence here as in Petrêa; and though the country was not deftitute, or the prince unfriendly, thirty days were employed before the army reached the country of the Nomades or Bedoweens, called Ararênè ${ }^{103}$, and fubject to Sabus. This tract has a refemblance to the territory of Medina and Mecca; and the fpace of fifty days employed in paffing it, till they reached the city of the A'grani ${ }^{109}$, Négrani, or Anágrani, which was taken by affault, is fome confirmation of the conjecture. The king had fled into the defert ; but the country was not hoftile, nor altogether incapable of fupplying the neceflaries requifite for the army ${ }^{\text {"o }}$.

From hence, after a march of fix days, they arrived on the bank of a river, where the natives were collected in a body, and oppofed their paffage : a battle was the confequence, in which, with the lofs of only two Romans, ten thoufand Arabians were flain. Strabo defcribes them equally deficient in firit, as they were ignorant of the art of war; and yet thefe very tribes were in a future age, under

1n Ararène is probably Sara-rene, as Aphar. is Saphar; and Sara is Saharra, tbe defert.
${ }^{1 c y}$ A'grani in the firf mention is written Negrani in the MSS. ; and on the fecond, tw Nóryparx: and Cafaukon wifhes to.read Aypaion. Sce Strabo, pp. $7^{81}, 782$ : All thefe readings prove the ancertainty of the ground we fand. on; and any of them would juitify d'Anville in affuming Najeran (a place fully defcribed by Al Edriffi, and well known to Niebuhr); if the other circumftances of the expedition will accord. Najeran is a fortrefs dependant on Mecca: it lics 12 days fouth of that capital, and ealt of the mountains which bound the Tehama. See A1 Edriff, pp. $48.50,51$. This is perfectly confiftent, if. Ararene is the
country of Medina and Mecea ; and Najeran muft be, by comparing circuraftances in Al Edriff, on the borders of Yemen, neally on a parallel with Sadum Rah. Confult. p. 48.
${ }^{1 t}$ Ali pafted through Najeraw, and brought a tribate from it, whew be swas returning from Yemen, whither he had been fent to preach the Koran by MEhomet; and if Nágrana be Najeran (as tevall appearance it is), it directiy contradicts Goffelin's bypothelis, that EliusGallus terninated his expedition at Mecca. Abiffiar Reike, P. 53- Abilfeda mentions. the converfinn of the kings of the Homerites, the people of Arabia Felix; and adda, that Ali's preaching converted the whole tribe af: Hamdan is one day.
the influence of Mahomedan enthulafm, to fubdue the world, from the Pillars of Hercules to the Indus.

- The lofs of this battle produced the furrender of Afca, a city in the reighbourhood; and, without learning what time was fpent here, or what diftance intervened, the next place we find them at is Athrulla. Athrulla was taken without difficulty, and garrifoned, and a fupply of provifions was obtained, which cnabled them to proceed to Marfyaba. This city is defcribed as the capital of the Rhaminites, and the feat of Ilafar "', the fovereign of the country. Here terminated the expedition; for, after lying before the place lix days, Gallus was compelled, by want of water, to raife the fiege, and retreat to Anágrana, where the battle had been fought ${ }^{12}$, and which he did not reach till after a diftreisful march of nine days.

From this time, the prefervation of his army was the more immediate object of the commander, than the hope of conquelt; he had fpent fix months in reaching Marlýaba; he was now convinced of the perfidy of Syllêus; he imputed the awhole failure to the direction of the march by the advice of that minifter; and if the fame delay fhould occur on the retreat, he faw that the deftruction of the army was inevitable.

To prevent this, it is evdent that the route was changed; and we are led to conjecture, that it was directed from the interior to the

[^132]coaft. In this cafe, the army mult have croffed the mountains and defcended into the Tehama; and yet in a march of fixty days, we have nothing to guide our inquiries but the mention of four places, without dates, and with one diftance only fpecified: thefe are, The Sewen Wells, eleven days from Anágrana; Chaalla, Matotha, and Nera. Nera ${ }^{213}$, we are informed, was in the territory of O'bodas, that is, in Petrêa, and in all probability at fome diftance to the fouthward of Leukè Komè.

At Nera the army embarked, and was eleven days in croffing the gulph to Mycs Hormus. The route from this port to Koptus on the Nile has been already defribed ; and from Koptus, Gallus proceeded to Alexandria with the fhattered remains of his forces. Of thefe, feven only had perifhed by the fword; but a very great proportion was rendered unferviceable by difeafe ${ }^{124}$, famine, and a variety of diftreffes which they had experienced in the courfe of the campaign.

Thus ended an expedition, planned without policy and conducted without capacity. If it had fucceeded, the Romans could not have eftablifhed themfelves in the country; and by its failure; it retarded

[^133]the way of calculation.; and, after all, it is not quite clear whether Strabe's eleven days are to be reckoned from the time Gailoip reached Nera, or from the day he left it: I.conclude the latter to be intended. A Negra is mentioned by Cedrenus, p 364, 500 years later, where a St. Arethas was put to death by Elefbaas, the $\Lambda$ byffician conqueror of the Homerites. One fhould not have expected to find: a Chrittian martyr, of the name or family of the Arethas's of the defert.
${ }^{14}+$ Dio fays, they did not mexely retreat. but were driven out.
their full intercourfe with India for almoft a century. But if it were poffible to give the reader fatisfaction on the extent of it, no apology would be requifite for the digreffion. This, from the fcantinefs of materials, cannot be done; but as my conjectures differ both from d'Anville and M. Goffellin, I flall barely ftate the grounds on which they are founded, and leave the determination to the judgment of the reader.

The firlt ftep towards fixing the termination of the expedition, would be to diftinguifh Maryabab from all the cities with which it is confounded.

The Marfyaba of Strabo is in the country of the Rhamanitr, and under the government of Ilafarus. It is not the Marcb of Sabêa, where the great Tank ${ }^{\omega s}$ is, for that he calls Meríaba of the Sabêans; and this fufficiently declares againft d'Anville's fyftem, which carries Gallus into Sabêa, and on which Goffellin juftly obferves, that if Gallus had befieged Mareb, he would not have been obliged to raife the fiege for want of water, the reafon affigned by Strabo.

Ptolemy has likewife a Máraba (written Báraba in the text) which he places in the country of the Minêans, and calls it a metropolis; and a Maríama, two degrees to the fouth-eaft; but he has no Maríaba either in Sabêa or the country of the Homerites. His Elifári, the llafar of Strabo, are fill farther fouth than the Minêans, and upon the coaft.

Pliny has two Maríabas: one marked by the Tank, called Baramalcham , the Royal Sea or Lake; and another, in the country

[^134]of the Calingii ; he adds, that Mariaba is a general name of a capital. It is apparently then the Mariaba ${ }^{\text {"7 }}$ of the Calingii which he informs us, contrary to the affertion of Strabo, that Gallus took, and finifhed his invafion at Caripeta. But it is fill more extraordinary, that the other cities he mentions as taken and deftroyed by Gallus, do not, in any one inftance, correfpond with thofe of Strabo, except that his Negra is poffibly Nera ${ }^{\text {² }}$.

Dio ${ }^{1 "}$ terminates the irruption at Athlula, evidently the Athrulla: of Strabo: he mentions the army being afflicted with a difeare in the head and legs; and adds, that Gallus did not merely retreat, but was driven out by the natives.

The whole of this goes to prove, that Gallus did not reach Mareb Baramalcum ; and, in fhort, the fact is impoffible; for that Mareb is above eleven ${ }^{\text {ta0 }}$ hundred miles from Moilah, and the retreat of Gallus, in fixty days, would require a march of almoft twenty miles a ḍay, which, for fuch a continuance, is not to be performed.

But if the Mareb of d'Anville be too diftant, the Mecca of Gof* fellin is too near; for the route of the caravan, from Moilah te Mecca, makes it only 73 t miles, at 3 miles an hour.

547 - at $2 \frac{1}{2}$ miles an hour.
$54^{6}$ - d'Anville's Map.
560 - De la Rochette's Map.

## Add for road-diftance 80

640 - probable mean diftance, from 620 to 6 д 0 .

[^135]${ }^{\text {in }}$ Lib. liii. p. 350 . Ed. Steph.
${ }_{10}$ It is 1085 in a right line, which, with the addition of a ferenth, becomes 1240, and increales the difficulty.

If, therefore, Gallus was advancing for fix months, he must have marched little more than an hundred miles a month. And let us fuppofe, with Goffellin, all the fraud of Syllêus, and all the deviations of the march he pleafes, this advance is far lefs than a Roman army can be fuppofed to make. The country Gallus was defirous of reaching, was the country of gold ${ }^{221}$, frankinceafe, myrrh, and fpices, certainly either Hadramaut or Yemen; and when he was at Marfyaba, he was told he was but two days diftance from the province he wifhed to enter. He might be deceived in that, and moft probably he was; but the deception could hardly amount to the difference between two days and thirty, and Mecca is little fhort of thirty days from Hadramaut.

Goffellin fuppofes Athrulla to be Yathreb or Medina, and Marfýaba to be Macoraba or Mecca; but it is not eafy to difcover the refemblance of thefe names, or the other five he gives from Pliny. Strabo is farely a better guide, who was in habits of intimacy with Gallus, and who received the names moft probably from his report. Pliny fays, that Marlýaba was taken, and that the expedition terminated at Carípeta: Strabo afferts, that Marfýaba was not taken, and does not notice Carípeta at all. It is not fafe to build on fimilarity of names ; but Nagrana, which Goffellin fuppofes to be. Al Nokra ${ }^{12}$, is certainly more nearly related to Najeran in found. Najeran is affuredly as ancient as Mahomed's time: it is a confpicuous pro-
$=$ Strabo, $7^{80}$.
${ }^{23}$ Al Nokra is the place where the roxd from Bafra to Medina joins that from Kufa to the fame city. A Bafra ad Medinam flationes fere viginti, \& hace via coincidet cum extremitate Kufxe prope Maaden al Nokra. Al Edrịhis p. 121. Even as d'Anville has placed

Al Nokra, I conceive it lies far too much to. the eaft to be in the track of Gallus; and, from the expreflion of A1 Edriffl, I conclude it lies farther eaft than d'Anville has placed it. But even if d'Anville is right, Al Nokra is, upwards of 200 miles out of the road that Gallus appears to have taken.
vince fill, according to Niebuhr ${ }^{233}$; and Al Edriff ${ }^{127}$ places it on the road from Mecca to Yemen. This appears to be the very route by which Gallus was advancing; and Najcran, by the Arabian accounts, was capable of affording the fupplies of which the army food in need. I am myfelf therefore perfuaded, that Gallus entered the country of the Mineans, and that the city he affaulted, whether Maríaba, Marfýaba, or Carípeta, was the capital of that province; for Maríaba implies a capital in general; and if Ilafar is the king of this tribe, whether Calingii, Rhamanítx, or Elefári, I would comprehend all three under the title of Minêans. At leaft, to my conception it is clear, that Ptolemy, Pliny, and Strabo, all point to fomething farther fouth than Mecca.

Whether this opinion will meet with the approbation of others; is dubious; fuch as the obfcurity and contradiction of my authorities will allow, I give it. If Najeran be a fixed point, and concluded, we have ground to ftand on; if it can be difputed, I am ready to embrace any affumption that may be fupported upon better proofs. What the Rhamanitæ of Strabo, or Calingii of Pliny, may be, feems impoffible to determine. Goffellin concludes, that the Rhamanitz of Strabo are the Manitzo of Ptolemy : it is the flrength of his argument ; and in Mercator's Map, the Manitæ are placed on the north of Mecca. But perhaps Mercator is mifled, for we have no latitude of the Manítæ; and the text fays, below the Manitæ ${ }^{215}$ is the interior Myrrh country, and then the Minêans, a great nation. I have not yet met with any account of myrrh in Hejaz, and therefore, if the Rhamanitæ and Manítæ are the fame, I conclude that they are
${ }^{23}$ Arabie, it. 114 , 12 Pages 48, 49 .


in Yemen. But the whole of this is conjectural; and, if names avail, I might with equal propriety contend, that Rhaman is Haman, oi Hamdan, the tribe converted by Ali, the pofition of which anfwers; or affert, that Cari-Peta is Carni-Peta, correfpondent to the Carna ${ }^{126}$. or Carana of Strabo, which he fays was the capital of the Minêans.

Is it not reaionable to fuppofe that the army moved in the track of the caravans ${ }^{122}$ ? and as the line here affumed is direct between Hejaz and Hadramaut, and cuts the province of the Minêans, who were the regular carriers between both, does not this fuppofition folve more of the difficulties than any other? It is but a fuppofition at laft; fill, where our ignorance of the country renders every effort dubious, a rational hypothefis is all that can be expected.

Najeran ${ }^{\text {r2t }}$. itfelf is in Hejaz, for it is one of the fortreffes of Mecca, according to Al Edriffi ; and the boundary of this province and Yemen, is fixed at the following fation. If, therefore, Gallus
${ }^{n 66}$ I have a Icaning towards the connection of thefe two names; but if the two places be the fame, the dificulty is not removed; for the fame city cannot be taken, and not taken; and the expedition cannot terminate at two different places. The following circumftances, bowever, may be curious, if not convincing:

The four great nations in Arabia Felix, or Yemen, were the Minéans, the Sabêans, the Katabananfes (who are in the Maphartis, of the Periplûs), and the people of Hadramaut. As the power of the Sabêans declined, the tribe of Hamyar (the Homerites) prevailed, whofe capital was Aphar, Saphar, or Dafar; but the capital of the Minéans was Karna, or


oufly, but without affixing any importance to it, may not the Katípeta of Pliay be KarniPetra, the fortrefs of Karna? If this could be fuppofed, Maríaba, or the capital, is identified with Karni-Petra; for both are the prinm cipal ciry of the Minêano.
${ }^{127}$ Strabo has pointed this out, under the fuppofition that Gallus might lave marched by the caravan-roud through Petréa. Asvxive


 camels and men in the caravans find fuppliesfrom fortrefs to fortrefs, in the fame manner, as an army.

Was nine days in returning hither after his repulfe, we may fuppofe that he would not march lefs than fifreen miles a day on fuch an emergency: this requires that he fhould have advanced upwards of an hundred miles into Yemen. And if we date from Najeran the fixty days employed in his retreat to Nera, an eftimate between twelve and fifteen miles a day would enable him to reach that port in the time affigned. This feems a great exertion for fixty ${ }^{22 g}$ days continuance; but famine impended, and doubtlefs the Arabs hovered in the rear ; add to this, that when the army arrived in Egypt it was completely ruined, as Strabo informs us, by famine, hardhip, ficknefs, and the difficulties of the march.

Nera, as it is the termination of the expedition, I fhould have been glad to fix, but no reprefentative offers; it muft be within the limits of Petrêa, and it fhould be placed as far below Leukè Komè as the province will admit : it may perhaps be difcovered by fome future Niebuhr; or enlarged knowledge of the language, and the country, may fhew that we are all pilots at fea, without inftruments, charts, or compafs.

We are now to return to the coaft, on which, as has been already noticed, the Periplûs mentions only the Canraites, Burnt Inand, Moofa, and Okelis. The Canraites are the wild tribes on the broken fhore of the Hejaz, terminating about Haffan Ifle, in lat. $25^{\circ}$. And the paffage from Leukè Komè to the Burnt Inand was. conducted with a view of avoiding the coaft throughour. How this could be effected during a run of from ten to twelve degrees, or more, is not eafily accounted for; but one of thefe diffances it muft

[^136]be, according as we affume Gebel Tar, or Gebel Zekir, for Katakekáumenè, or the Burnt Illand; and as both preferve at prefent the figns of volcanoes in decay; one of them it muft be, as may fuit beft with other circumftances mentioned. The extreme diftance is from Moilah, in lat. $27^{\circ} 5^{6^{\prime 3}}$ to Gebel Zekir ${ }^{33}$, in $13^{\circ} 50^{\prime}$; the fimalleft, from Haffan Inle, in $25^{\circ}$, ${ }^{132}$ to Gebel Tar, in $15^{\circ}$ 10. If Mokha is affumed for the reprefentative of Moofa, and Moofa be the only object of the ancients, Gebel Zekir mutt be preferred; or if we fuppofe that the ancients wifhed to approach the coaft, as foon as they found the natives more civilized, we fhould rather be directed to Gebel Tar ${ }^{133}$ : for in that latitude, and even to the north of it, we are to fix the Sabêans generally, in the fame manner as Niebuhr extends the dominion or influence of the modern Sana. Sana in fact, under the government of its Imam ${ }^{134}$, as it comprehends nearly the fame territory as the ancient Sabêa, fo does it partake of the manners and habits attributed to that nation, where commercial intercourfe had foftened the Arabian character, and in-

Making $14^{\circ} 6^{\prime}$.
${ }^{33}$ Notwithftanding the difagreement of. M. d'Anville and M. Goffellin, no one can fearch this queftion thoroughly without reference to the diflertation of the former on the gulph of Avabia. I have collected materials from both; from P. Sicard, Irwin, Bruce, and De la Rochette's beautiful chart. If I prefer the latitudes of the laft to all others, it is becaufe they are founded more efpecially on obfervations made by Euglifh navigators, and the-officers on board the Loops, packets, and trading yeffels in that fea, are, for the mof "part, fcientific men, and better qualified to determine nautical queftions than any navigators who have preceded them.
${ }^{21} 5^{\prime}$ Making $90^{\circ} 50^{\prime}$.
${ }^{33}$ Jibbel Tier is the point from which all flups going to Jidda take their departure nfter failing from Mocha. Bruce, i. p: 34 r . This, though the courfe is the direct contrary to that of the Periplus, ftill marks it as a point of departure and deftination.
${ }^{13}$ This is evident, from Bartenan in Ramufio, the French Voyages in 1721, by La Rocque, and Niebuhr. The government of the Imam is much more gentle than any Moorinh government in Africa or Arabia; the people, too, are of gentle manners, the men, from early age, being accultomed to trade. Bruce, i. 307.
troduced that fecurity of life and property, without which com. merce itfelf cannot exilt.

Mooza, according to the Periplus, was the regular mart ${ }^{135}$ of the country : it was not a harbour, but a road with a fandy bottom, which afforded good hold for the anchors ${ }^{136}$, and where the flips lay in great fecurity: it was inhabited wholly by Arabians; and was frequented on account of the Indian trade with Barugaza, as much as for its native produce.

The intercourfe with the Sabêans had from the firft been eftablifhed, either here or at fome mare in its vicinity; but the Sabêans were now no longer the prevailing tribe; the Homerites, who came from Mareb, were become the fuperior power, and Charibael the fovereign of both nations. He had fixed the feat of bis government at Aphar, fuppofed by Goffellin to be the fame as Dafar or Safar; and Dafar is noticed by Niebuhr as a place near Mount Sumara, now in ruins. The diftance, however, does not anfwer; for Aphar is placed by the Periplûs thirteen days inland from Savè, and Savè three days from Moofa. But if Savè is the fame as Taas, or Mount Sabber, the diftance from Sabber to Dafar is not much more than from Moofa to Sabber; and thirteen days from Sabber inland would carry us much nearer to Sana, the modern capital of the Imam, and the metropolis of Yemen.

It is poffible, that in a country fubject to perpetual revolutions, provinces may have obtained different names from the tribes that occupied different fituations: this feems apparent in the diftrict of Cátaba, which is now inland fixty miles from the coaft, notwith-

[^137]flanding that Strabo places the Catabanians immediately at the fraits. It may be, therefore, prefumption to fay, that Savè is Sabber ${ }^{137}$, or Aphar, Dafar; notwithftanding that the territory of Maphartis ${ }^{138}$ at Savè, or the capital of the Homerites thirteen days inland, may aford us general information fufficiently correct. Cholêbus, the fovereign of Maphartis, whofe refidence is at Savè, is ftyled a tyrant by the Periplûs, that is, a prince whofe legitimate title was not acknowledged; but Charibáel is the genuine ${ }^{139}$ fovereign of the Homerites and Sabêans. The power of Cholêbus extended over the fouth-weft angle of Yemen, both within and without the fraits, occupying the fame tract as the Catabanians of Strabo in a former age. And Cholêbus had a joint power ${ }^{140}$ with the fubjects of Charibáel at Moofa, over the fettlement at Rhapta, on the coaft of Africa.

The mart of Yemen, at the prefent day, is at Mokha, where coffee is the grand article of exportation, on which the Imam of Sana " ${ }^{\text {'t }}$ receives a duty of twenty-five per cent. equivalent to the cuftom exacted by the Romans at Leukè Komè feventeen hundred years ago. Twenty miles inland from Mocha, Niebuhr difcovered a Moofa ftill exifting, which he with great probability fuppofes to be the ancient mart, now carried inland to this diftance by the accretion of the coaft. And if the accretion is allowed, certainly


## ARABIA.

no fituation can be affumed more correfpondent to the ancient authorities.

At Moofa, the imports fecified are the fe:


 бкотвда́тоя, fafhion, with fleeves, plain and common, and (foutulatus) mixed or dappled.
Kfóros, - - - - Saffron. . - Cyprus. Aromatic Ruth.
Kúméos, - -

- Muffins.
:ODóvor, - - - Mullins.
'Abó $\lambda \lambda a c$, - - . - Cloaks.
 हуто́тiou, plain, and others adapted to the fafhion of the country.
 fades.

 country produces forme corn, and a good deal of wine.


## EXPORTS:


 Aúrios, - - - - White Stones. Alabafter.

34 The modern articles of import and ex-- ${ }^{243}$ A doubtful reading; but probably conport may be feen in Niebuhr, tom. ii. tanning Minim, i. \&. from the country of the p. 52 .

Added to thefe were a variety of the articles enumerated at Adulli ${ }^{144}$, which are brought over from Africa and fold here. But there were likewife feveral others imported as prefents both to Charibáel ${ }^{145}$ and Cholêbus; fuch as horfes, mules, gold plate, and filver emboffed, robes of great value, and brafs ware of various kinds. Of thefe it may be prefurned that Charibáel had the largeft fhare; for to him embaffies ${ }^{1{ }^{16}}$ were frequently addreffed, and he was confidered as the friend of the Roman emperors.

The importance of this commerce, as it appears in the Periplûs, is manifefly far inferior to the reprefentation of it in Agatharchides; and the trade of the Sabêans declining, after the fleets from Egypt found their way to India direct, was probably not only the caufe of their impoverifment, but of their fubjugation alfo by the Homerites. Still it is evident that the manners of the people in this quarter of Arabia were civilized; that the government was confiftent, and that the merchant was protefled. This characker, as we learn from Niebuhr, Yemen ftill maintains, in preference to the Hejâs, and the whole interior of the peninfula. The fame fecurity is marked as ftrongly by the Periplûs in Hadramaut ; and the whole coalt on the ocean being commercial, the interefts of commerce have fubdued the natural ferocity of the inhabitants.

It is a circumftance foreign to the object of the prefent work, but ftill curious to remark, that in the age previous to Mahomer, Yemen

[^138]the title of Friend of the Emperors, an honour formerly conferred upon fovereigns in allance with Rome, by a vote of the fenate. Mafiniffa, Eumencs, and Arioviftus, were Ayled Amici Populi Romani. But I have preferred the rendering in the text, becaufe the prefents from Rome are fpecified.
was in the poffeffion of the Abyffinians, whofe power terminated with his birth; and that in the fhort period ${ }^{147}$ which intervened between his affuming the prophetic office and the Caliphat of Abubecre and Omar, all this part of Arabia was, almoft without an effort, fubjected ${ }^{1+8}$ to their power. In the fixteenth century the Turks were mafters of the coaft, and fome places inland, but were driven out by the founder of the prefent dynafty, Khaffem el Ebir, whofe pofterity affumed the title of Imam, and fixed their refidence at Sana, the prefent capital of Yemen, which cannot be very diftant from the ancient metropolis of Sabêa.

On this coaft, the firf fleets that failed from Egypt met the commerce from India. Agatharchides feems to fay, that the fhips from Perfia, Carmania, and the Indus, came no farther than the coalt beyond the ftraits; and that the fleets from Egypt received their lading without paffing them. Now the fleet from Carmania and the Indus could not reach Arabia without experiencing the effects of the monfoon, as Nearchus had done; and the knowledge of this once obtained, could not be loft. We cannor go farther back, hiftorically, than the journal of Nearchus; but in that we find manifeft traces of Arabian navigators on the coaft of Mekran, previous to his expedition. And whether the Arabians failed from Oman or Sabêa, it is fill a proof that the monfoon muft have been known to them before the time of Alexander; and a high probability that they had reached the coaft of Malabar, or that veffels from that coaft had reached Arabia, from the earlieft ages.

[^139]accefion of the ftrongelt and richeft provinces of the peninfula, of the more civilized to the more barbarots, is one of the obfoureft facts in the early liftory of the Mahomedan power.

The diftance from Moofa to Okêlis is hort of forty ${ }^{49}$ miles. Okêlis has a bay immediately within the ftraits; and at this ftation the fleets which failed from Egypt in July, rendezvoufed ${ }^{\text {s }}$. till they took their departure the latier part of Auguft, when the monfoon was ftill favourable to conduct them to Muziris, on the coaft of India. For Okêlis we have Okíla ${ }^{\text {rst }}$ in other ancient authors, and Ghella is the name it bears at prefent. D'Anville has marked it fufficiently in his Ancient Geography; and in Capt. Cook's ${ }^{15 z}$ chart, which is upon a large fcale, the entrance of this bay is two miles ${ }^{153}$ wide, and its depth little fhort of three. Added to this, if it is confidered that the projection of the Bab-el-Mandeb point is a complete protection ${ }^{154}$ againft the contrary monfoon, we find here all the conveniences ${ }^{\text {'s }}$ that were requifite for a fleet conftructed like thofe of the ancients.
( 300 ftadia, Peripl. equal to $37 \frac{1}{2}$ miles, or, at 10 fladia to the mile, 30 miles.
${ }^{53}$ See fupra, Pp. 37. \& 75.
${ }^{35}$ Axíac, text; $\Omega \times$ ixicu, marg. Strabo, P. 769. he calls the promontory by this name.
ss: It has been already noticed, that the Capt. Cook here mentioned commanded a floop in the India Company's fervice, about. the year 1774. His feale is very large, and confequently I bave been enabled to view this bay more diftinctly than in d'Anville's, map, or De la Rochette's chart ; and bad I been pofSeffed of Capt. Cook's chart when I defcribed the Bay Avalites ( $\mathrm{p} .1 \times 5$. ), I fhould not have been at a lofs to affign its form and limits : it appears there in perfect conformity with the Periplus. Such is the advantage of a large feale, and fuch is the correfpondence of mo-
dern intelligence with ancient authorities, when we can obtain it in detail.
${ }^{133}$ De la Rochette marke this bay, and adds, that it is ftill navigable by hoats; a fufficient proof that it was practicable for an Egyptian fleet feventeen centuries ago.
${ }^{154}$ Between Cape St. Antony and Babel Mandeb the land is low along fhore, forming a deep bay, which makes the Cape (Babel Mandeb) appear detached. Oriental Navigator, p. 152.
${ }^{335}$ Having paffed the flrait, it is neceflary to anchor: you muit fhat up the flraits, and anchor a little to then northward of Cape Bab-el-Mandeb, where the water is always fmooth. Oriental Navigator, p. ${ }^{5} 52,-N$. B. This is at the entrance of the Bay of Okelis.

## X. STRAITS OF bab-EL-MANDEB, ANCIENT NAVIGATION of SESOSTRIS.

The paffage of the ftraits, and entrance into the ocean, had been confidered poffibly as great an atchievement by the natives, on both fides of the Gulph of Arabia, as the voyage of Hercules through the Straits of Gades to the Garden of the Hefperides, by the Greeks. Fabulous accounts confequently attached to both; and the pafing of Bab-el-Mandeb was as naturally attributed to Sefoftris, as the voyage through the Straits of Gibraltar to Hercules. Diodorrus fays, that Sefoftris ${ }^{136}$ fent a fleet of four hundred fhips into the Erythrêan Sea, and fubdued the illands, and all the maritime countries as far as India. Heródotus is much more moderate; and mentions only, that Sefoftris commenced his expedition from the Gulph of Arabia, and fubdued the nations bordering on the Erythrêan Sea, till he met with fhoals ${ }^{157}$, which oppofed the farther progrefs of his fleet.

But as we are now arrived at the ftraits, I fall introduce a table comprizing the moft material authorities of the ancients, compared with each other, and with the different conclufions of the moderns. A final decifion on the points difputed, or actual precifion in the prefent attempt, are not to be expected; but a probable adjuftment of near twenty names to their refpective pofitions, will afford the reader a general view, which will enable him to form a judgment for himfelf.
${ }^{355}$ Diod. lib. i. P. 64, ed. Weffel - ${ }^{27}$ Herod. 1ib. ii. P. 549 . ed. Weld.

TABLE

TABLE of Ptolemy's Catalogue for the Eaftern Side of the Gulph of Arabia, compared with other Gengraphers, ancient and modern.
The fuat Latitude of Ptotemy is aceording to the Latin Text; the fecond, according to the Greek.

* Denates Politions fuppofed to be afertained. 童. 1,etirulet inum De la Rechrese.

| PTOLEMY. | \| agatharchipes. | DIODORUS. | 5 TスA80. | DPANVILLE. | goseellin. | PLItIPLuS. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Elufram $\begin{gathered}\text { Gurifon } \\ \\ 28^{\circ} 10^{\prime}\end{gathered}$ |  | Tethyoplagz, libe 3 c. $40 . P^{208} 20$ whofe enuantry the Fea retreated. <br> Twiglodytes. |  | Clyfims : $9^{\circ}{ }^{3 y^{\prime}}$ (lihahiroth. Sicard. |  | * Clyfma $29^{\circ}+0^{\circ} \mathrm{R}$. |
|  |  | Pulidion. Under thisnameDiodorns comprehends the fea of Sucz. |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}\text { A tsinoè 290 } \\ \text { Cleoputris. } \\ \text { Sucz. }\end{gathered}\right.$ | Clyfma Sarz. Colzam. | * Sneze 29 \% $5^{8} \mathrm{R}$ |
| III. | Pipenticosin. | Phocniedn. | Phoenícón. | $\begin{array}{\|} \text { Elim of Emod. } \times x v \\ 37 . \mathrm{el} \text { Tor. }: 8^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \end{array}$ | Elim. 'Lor. <br> Raitłam. | * Tor $28.18{ }^{\prime} 12$. |
| 14. | Neffict iot an Ifland in Agatharchides | Ihand of Phoca, delcaibed with the propertics of Nefía | Ifland of Phocre | E) Cab. | Sheduwan. | - Sincduwan TAnad 27 \& $4^{\prime} \mathrm{R}$. |
|  | Promontory. | Promeatory. | Promontary. | $\mathrm{R}_{\text {as }}$ Mahonied. | Rus Mahorved | - Ras Muhumed $27^{\wedge} 47^{\prime} 5^{*} \mathrm{R}$. |
| $\begin{array}{\|cccc\|}\text { VI Elaha - } 20^{\circ} & 0^{\prime} & 28^{\circ} 15^{\prime} \\ \text { City }-26 & 15 & 29^{\circ} 15^{\prime}\end{array}$ | Laianitick Gplph | Lsiauitick Gulph. | Elanitick Gulph. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Abla Math Inits } \\ & 20^{\prime} 30^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | sila Acaba lla. | [ilach $29^{\circ} 15^{\prime \prime} 5^{\prime \prime}$ |
| VII. |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Acaba Exioll } \\ \text { Geber. } \end{gathered}$ | Acaba | * A cabal $29^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{R}$ |
| VIII. Onné $20^{\circ} 49^{\circ} 28830$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Batmizomincis. | Bexixamenes. | Hanters. | Magat tichaate. Jethra the Midianite | Biagar Schooaib. | * Madian orM Miam. |
| X. Hypas, Monat $37^{\prime}$ 20  <br> Town 26 $40^{\prime}$ 26 $10^{\prime}$ |  |  |  | $\xrightarrow{\square}$ | Boll's Hoans. hwin. But S of Moilah | Bul. s. Torne Irwin, P. 143. vol i. oct. |
| XI. Phenicón $26^{\prime} 20^{\prime} 26^{\prime} 20^{\prime}$ |  |  | - | Calaat t1 Mailah. | Mólah. Lenkè Komè. | ${ }^{n}$ Ecake Kome. White Village. $27^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{k}$ |
| XH. . | Three Klands: <br> - Sacred to Ifis. <br> 2. Sookshna <br> 3 Salydo. | Three IAands. Ove facred to 1 fiss. $\qquad$ | Thise Ifrauds. |  |  | - Threx Iflands <br> It win $38^{\prime \prime} 4^{\prime}$ R. <br> 4. Tiran. <br> ; Batkan. <br> 3. Sauafer. |
| X1II. Rhamath Village $25^{\prime} 40^{\circ}=25^{\circ} 40^{\circ}$ | Dangerons couft, endo at $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{ffan}$, hat $25^{\circ}$ R. | Dangerous coatt. Echinades | Dangerous coaft, toco ftadis. | Romnic. | Dangercus coatt. | * LJangerous coaft. Knuraites. |
| XIV. | . |  |  | Hawr. White VII lage, $25^{\prime} d^{\prime}$ Anville |  | Hawr, fame lat. as Huffan If ${ }^{d} \cdot 5$ R. |
| XV. Cherfoacie Promontory $25^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \quad 25^{\circ}=0^{\circ}$ | Coall with water. | Cherfonefus. | Cberfonefus. | Ras Edom $24^{\prime} s^{\prime}$ | Ras Uaned $25^{n} 40^{\prime}$ polfibly Ras Mahar ${ }^{2} 4^{*} 3 z^{\prime} R$. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { has Keghab? } \\ & \text { Tat+2. I R R under } \\ & \text { which, Jeraboop } \\ & \text { harhoun of I } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Coaft wille water. | Clarmeothas. | Charmothas. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yambia jaland, } \\ & \text { Yamlo ond the dern } \end{aligned}$ | harboat of Irwing ATGBD/2S or yande. |



If the fhoals of Heródotus have any foundation in fact, they are connected with the Bay Avalites ${ }^{\text {s }}$, on the African fhore, immediately beyond the ftraits, where mention is made both by Strabo and the Periplûs, that the veffels employed in later ages put their lading into boats in order to trade with the natives; but this is hardly intended by Heródotus, though his defcription has confined him within narrower limits than thofe of Diodorus.

This, bowever, we obtain at leaft from the account before us, that in the age of Herodotus it was a prevailing opinion, that the paffage had been made in the moft remote ages; and if the Egyptians ever were navigators, there can be no objection to admit them into a participation of the commerce with Arabia, or extending that commerce as far as the Arabians did towards the eaft. Few other hiftorical documents, however, of the fact appear, farther than may be collected from the circumftances here recorded, and thefe are both few and deficient.

To what extent the paffage of the ftraits, and progreffively, the voyage to India, were accomplifhed, has been already fufficiently fhewn; but that it was always confidered as a moft extraordinary attempt by all thofe who had ngt perfonally made it, we want no other teftimony than that of Arrian, the hiftorian of Alexander. He afferts, that no one had gone round the whole coaft, from the Arabian into the Perfian ${ }^{159}$ Gulph, though perhaps fome few had paffed from one to the other by friking out into the open fea ${ }^{160}$.

[^140]Now Arrian lived in the reign of Adrian; and Hippalus had laid open the track to India, at leaft fourfore years before Arrian wrote: fo little was known in the northern part of the empirc of what was going on in the fouth.

Okêlis was not a mart of commerce, but a bay with good anchorage, and well lupplied with water: it was fubject to Cholêbus ${ }^{161}$. The neighbouring headland of Bab-el-Mandeb, which forms the entrance of the fraits, is placed in lat. $12^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime}$ by Bruce, and the ftraits themfelves are faid to be only fixty ftadia, or feven miles and a half wide, or fix miles; if we reckon ten fladia to the mile. This is very near the truth, if we mealure from Bab-el-Mandeb to Perim, which the Periplûs calls the Ifland of Diodôrus; while the whole breadth, from the Arabian to the African fide, is nearly five-and-twenty ${ }^{162}$ * Perim, or Mehun, was taken poffeffion of by the Britifh, when the French were in Egypt, and begun to be fortified; but it has no water. It is not the only ifland in the ftraits; for there is another called Pilot's Inland, clofe to the Arabian fhore; and on the African fide cight more, bearing the name of Agefteen. .
The wind in this paflage is defcribed as violent, from its confinement between the high lands on both fides; and the opening of the ffraits gradually towards Fartaque and Gardefan, is frongly ${ }^{163}$ marked in the Periplûs.

The firft place to which we are directed beyond the fraits, is a village called Arabiä* Feliy : its diftance is eftimated at an hundred and twenty miles from Okelis; and it was formerly a city of im-

[^141]portance before ${ }^{184}$ the fleets paffed from India to Egypt, or from Egypt to the countries towards the Eaft ${ }^{165}$. Previous to that time, the fleets from Egypt and the Eaft met in this harbour, which was the centre of the commerce, as Alexandria was afterwards for atl that paffed through Egypt into the Mediterranean. This harbour was more commodious than Okêlis, and afforded better anchorage, as well as better convenience for watering, than Okêlis. The town ftands at the entrance of the bay, and the retiring of the land in-' wards affords protection to the fhipping. Reduced as it was in the author's age, by the different channel into which the commerce had been directed, the village was fubject to Charibáel, and had within a few years been taken and deftroyed by the Romans.

## XI. ADEN.

Every circumftance in this minute defcription directs us to Aden : the diftance, the harbour, and the name ${ }^{106}$, all correfpond ; and the peculiarity of its being under Charibáel, while Okêlis was poffeffed by Cholêbus, marks the extent of the Homerite dominions, furrounding Maphartis in the angle of the peninfula. The native fheiks, or heads of tribes, at the prefent day, are perfect reprefentatives of Cholêbus. When Niebuhr was in Arabia, the theik of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 14 Es se; "ow rómas. } \\
& \text { us In the middle ages, the India trade had } \\
& \text { reverted into jta original courfe: Ex ipfa fol- } \\
& \text { vuntur navigia Sindx, Indix, et Sinarum, et } \\
& \text { ad ipfam deferuntur vafa Sinica. Al Edriff, } \\
& \text { p. } 25 \text {. } \\
& \text { The Arabs diftinguifa between Cheen and }
\end{aligned}
$$

Ma. Cheen: the firft is Cochin Chioa; and the other, China. The porcelain mentioned feems to imply, that Sinarum ufed here means the real Chinefe, and that they traded fo far welt in that age. Sindx and lndise exprefs Scindi and Hindoftan.
${ }^{206}$ Aden fignilies deliciæ. Huet.

Aden was no longer fubject to the Imam of Sana, but had afferted his independence, and poffeffed a finall territory in the neighbourhood of the city.

The capture alfo and deftruction of this village by the Romans, a thort time previous to the author's age, would be a natural confequence of the progrefs and extention of the Roman commerce from the Red Sea to India; and, as Claudius collected a tribute from the maritime towns of Arabia, it is natural to fuppofe that .he was the Cefar mentioned in the Periplus, who ordered this place to be defiroyed, for the purpofe of fuppreffing every power that might interfere with the Rorman commerce, or divert a hare of it into its ancient channel. It is true this muft have been an act of oppreffion upon Charibáel, who was the ally and friend of the Roman emperors; but far greater facrifices of their juftice to their ambition occur in the hiftory of thofe fovereigns of the world. Was it not the fame policy which induced Soliman, emperor of the Turks, when he fent Soliman Pacha from the Red Sea to fupprefs the rifing power of the Portuguefe in India; when, under pretence of delivering the Mahomedan Powers from this new and unexpected intrufion of the Chriftians, he cmployed the forces which had been collected on the occafion in feizing on the maritime towns of Arabia? It was then that Soliman Pacha obtained poffeffion of Aden by treachery, and hanged the fheik at the yard-arm of his hip ${ }^{167}$.

I conjecture that it was Aden which Agatharchides defcribes without a name, when he places a city on his White Sea without

[^142]
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 periplua of the erythrean sea.the ftraits; from whence, be fays, the Sabêans fent out colonics or factories into India, and where the Heers from Perfis, Carmania, and the Indus, arrived. He fpecifies large fhips employed for this purpofe; and though his mention of illands may fuggeft an idea of Socotra, Curia Muria, and the coaft of Onan, it feems far more probable that his intelligence was imperfed, and that thefe fleets, which he defcribes, mutt have been found in the fame port which the Periplûs affigns them, as long as the monopoly continued in the hands of the Sabêans.

The teftimony of Agatharchides is, in one point, highly important; for it is the firft hiftorical evidence to prove the eftablifhment of Arabian colonifts, or rather refident factors and merchants, in the ports of India: it is a fact in harmony with all that we collect in later periods, from Pliny, and the Periplûs, and Cormas; and we may from analogy conclude, that it was equally true in ages antecedent to Agatharchides; that is, as early as we can fuppofe the Arabians to have reached India. The fetllement of their own agents in the country was moft convenient and proftable, while the manners and religion of India created no obstacle to the fyftem.

In the middle ages, when the power of the Romans was cxtinguifhed, and the Mahomedans were poffeffed of Egypt, Aden refumed its rank as the centre of the trade between India and the Red Sea. The fhips which came from the Eaft were large, like thofe which Agatharchides defcribes: they did not pafs the ftraits, but landed their cargoes at this port, where the trankies ${ }^{168}$ or germes of the Arabs, which brought the produce of Europe, Syria, 'and Egypt,

[^143]reccived the precious commodities of the Eaft, and conveyed them either to Affab, Kofir, or Jidda; when all that paffed into Europe, fill came to Aiexandria, and enriched the Soldan's dominions by the duties levied, and the profits of the tranfit. In this fituation, Marco Polo found Aden ${ }^{1 t g}$ in the thirteenth century; and the account he gives of the wealth, parver, and influence of Aden, is almoft as magnificent as that which Agatharchides attributed to the Sabêans in the time of the Ptolemies, when the trade was carried on in the fame manner.

So far as the identity of Aden and Arabia Felix, there is neither difficulty nor difagreement ; but upon the remainder of this extenfive coaft, from Aden to the Gulph of Perfia, there will be few pofitions in the following detail which will accord with d'Anville's arrangement, or with that of other commentators who have beftowed their attention upon the Periplûs.

## XII. ARRANGEMENT OF THE COAST OF ARABIA ON THE OCEAN.

The circumftance upon which the whole depends, is the adjuftment of Syágros. In common with others, 1 had fuppofed its reprefentative to be the modern Ras-el-had; and there is fo much to induce this opinion, that I abandoned it with great reluctance, and fhall perhaps find great difficulty in perfuading others that it is erroneous.

The Periplûs notices Syágros as pointing to the Eaft, and as the greateft promontory in the world. Omana likewife is men-
${ }^{150}$ M. Polo, Iib. iii. c. 39. the foldan of Aden at the fiege of Acre, in the year 1200 . Such a fent 30,000 horie and 40,000 camels, to affift foldan as this might be the Imam of Sana.
tioned with it, anfwering to the prefent Oman; and Mofcha, feemingly identified with Mafkat, the principal port of that province. Under the influence of thefe refemblances and probabilities, if I had joined in the common fuffrage, and called Syágros Ras-el-had in my former publications, wherever it occurred, it is conviction alone, and the abandonment of fyftem for truth, which compels me to recall the error, and acknowledge that Syágros is not Ras-el-had, but Fartaque.

This is a conceflion not made for the purpofe of particular accommodation, but grounded on a generral analyfis of all the pofitions on the coaft, on a combination of all the circumftances relative to the divifion of the provinces; and upon a painful re-confideration of all that was to be undone, and unfettled, after I had fixed my opinions upon the authority of the beft writers, who had preceded me on the fubject.

The reader will expect proofs; and the proofs are, that the illands round the whole extent of the coaft on the ocean will now fall naturally into their places, which cannot be effected by any other arrangement. The illands in Ptolemy will become relatively confiftent with thofe of the Periplũs; and the Bay Sachalítes, which Ptolemy has been accufed of tranfpofing from the weft to the eaft of Syágros, is reduced to the different application of a name, inftead of a difference in point of fituation.

Sachalites is univerfally allowed to be the Greek form of ex-. preffing the Arabick Sahar ${ }^{170}$. Now there are two Sahars on the

[^144]Tigris of the Greeks; and Sinus Sachal-ites is equivalent to Sachar-ites, the bay of Sachar or Sahar.
coaft of Arabia: one that is almof centrical between Aden and Fartaque; and another that lies to the eaft of Fartaque, between that cape and Cape Morebat or Merbat ${ }^{27}$. In the firft ${ }^{172}$ of thefe there is little variation of orthography; but the other is written Schæhr, Schahr ${ }^{\text {r73 }}$, Shahar, Cheer ${ }^{17+}$, and Seger. They are both frequented as places of trade to this day. And if we fuppofe that the finh Sahar is the Sachalítes of the Periplûs, and the fecond Shabar, the Sachalites of Ptolemy, the Syágros of Ptolemy will anfwer to Fartaque as well $\underset{s}{ }$ the Syágros of the Periplûs, and the two authors will be in harmony with each other.

- Further proofs of this reconciliation will be given in our progrefs along the coaft, and fome difficulties that attend it will be acknowledged ; but if it fhould be admiffible or probable upon the whole, much indulgence is due in regard to inferior objections; as, upon the firft view of the coaft before us, no two accounts can feem more irreconcileable to each other than thofe of Ptolemy and the Periplus.

[^145][^146]XIII. KANÈ.

THE firft port to which we are to proceed from Aden, is Kane ${ }^{175}$; the diffance is ftated at two thoufand ftadia or more, upon a length of coaft inhabited by Bedouins and Ichthyophagi ; and if we eftimate the number of ftadia at two hundred miles, the termination falls very nearly at the Cava Canim of d'Anville, or at Maculla Bay, which lies a very few miles to the eaftward. Our charts take notice of both; and at Cava Canim, which is inferted principally upon the authority of d'Anville, there appear fome iflets, which may be Orneôn ${ }^{176}$ and Troolla, defcribed as defert illes by the Periplûs; and which, if they exift, identify Cava Canin for Kanè, in preference to Maculla. In point of diftance, either is fufficiently exait to anfwer the purpofe; for Maculla is fixty ${ }^{\text {277 }}$. leagues from Aden, "and Cava Canim eight or ten miles fhort of that bay.

Kanè is reprefented as a port of confiderable trade, fubject to Eleázius, king of the Incenfe country, who refided at Sabbatha, the principal city of the diftrict, which lies at fome diftance inland, At Kanè is collected all the incente that is produced in the country, and which is conveyed hither both by land and fea, either by means

Iss I have not been without fufpicion, that Kanè might be Kefchin, which I have found written Cuflin ; that' is, Kafn in Oriental pronunciation. But, I have the rame only to guide me to this Yufpicion; for Kefehin would not agree with the diftance from Aden, or to C. Fartaque, or with the Bay Sachalites, of the Periplas. Neither have I yet found, in any map or narrative, two iflands off Kefchin to correfpond with Orneón and Troolla.

Iflands, ${ }^{4}$ rivers, mountains, and promontories, are our fureft guides.
${ }^{176}$ Orncón is Bird Iland, fo called perhaps from the univerfal habit of fea fowls reforting to defert ifets; and Troolla has no meaning in Greek. It is faid to lie 120 ftadia from 'Kanè, of which I can find no trace.
${ }^{17}$ Sixty leagues, or 180 geographical miles, are equal to 208 miles Englifh. See Oriental Navigator, p. 162.
of caravans, or in the veffels of tise country, which are floats fupported upon inflated fkins ${ }^{178}$. Sabbatha is fuppofed by moft of the commentators to be Schibam or Scebam, which Al Edriffi places in Hadramaut, at four fations, or an hundred miles, from Mareb: a certain proof that we have adopted the right Sahar for the Periplûs; becaufe Mareb cannot be within tbree hundred miles of the Eaftern Sahar, or Seger ; and Seger is not confidered by Al Edriffi as a part ${ }^{\text {'" }}$ of Hadramaut, but as a feparate diftrict.

It is remarkable that the author of the Periplus, who notices Sabêa and Oman by name, makes no mention of H̦adramaut, the third general divifion of the coaft, but diftinguifhes it only by the title of the Incenfe country. To maintain that thefe are the three general divifions of Arabia on the Indian Ocean, is confonant to all the evidence we have, ancient and modern; neither do independent diftricts or theiks, as thofe of Kefchin, Seger, or Maḩra, interfere with this diftribution. And that we are equally correct in affigning the Weftern Sahar to Hadramaut, is capable of proof; for Al Edriffi fays, from Aden to Hadramaut, which lies to the eaft of Aden, are five ${ }^{\text {no }}$ ftations. If therefore we obferve, that at Kanè we are already two hundred miles eaft of Aden, we are advanced far enough to fhew that we are in Hadramaut '8t, and thar the Weftern Sahar is properly placed in that province.

[^147]At Kane likewife, as there was an eftablifhed intercourfe with the countries eaftward ${ }^{132}$; that is, with Barugaza, Scindi, Oman, and Perfis ${ }^{153}$; fo was there a confiderable importation from Egypt confifing of the following articles:

Hupòs örizos, - - - A fmall quantity of Wheat.
Oivos, - - - - Wine.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{I} \mu \alpha \tau 1 \sigma \mu \grave{o}_{\varsigma}{ }^{184}$ Apabarròs, - - Cloths for the Arabian market.
rowiòs, - - - Common fort.

 quantities.


And many other articles, the fame as are ufually imported at Mooza. Befides thefe alfo, there are brought


"I itror, - - - - Horfes.
'AvdprávTs, - - Carved Images.

${ }^{182}$ Türy ripacy Berophov, I had fuppofed to mean the marts only on the coalt of A frica beyond the ftraits; but, from the ufage here, the expreffion is evidently extended to all ports beyond the ftraits, not only in Africa, but in India and the Gulph of Perfia.
 Perfia oppofite to Oman.
${ }^{18} 4$ Not cloth of Ayabia, but for the Ara. bian market: fo we fay in the mercantile language of our own country, Caflimeer cloth 5 that is, cloth for the market of Caffimeer. And the word ifcorrouos feems to imply, that the cloth was made up into garments.
${ }^{185}$ Apparently in oppolition to Kosvos.

The exports are the native produce of the country :

|  | - | - |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 'Adón, | - |  | - |  | Aloe |

and various commodities, the fame as are found in the other markets of the coaft. The beft feafon for the voyage is in Thoth, or September *.

After leaving Kanè, the land trends inward, and there is a very deep bay called Sachalites, that is, the Bay of Sachal or Sachar, and of a very great extent. The promontory (which is at the termination) of this is called Syágros, which fronts towards the ealt, and is the largelt promontory in the world. Here there is a garrifon for the protection of the place, and the harbour is the repolitory of all the Incenfe that is collected in the country.

## XIV. BAY SACHALÍTRS, HADRAMAUT.

This bay of Sachal has already been afferted to be Sahar ; and this Sahar, or "Shahar ${ }^{188}$, appears to be a fine town at the pre" fent day, fituated by the fea-fide; and it may be feen five or fix " leagues off. The point of Shahar is twelve or thirteen leagues " from Maculla Bay;" while the coaft, with various curves, but no indenture fo great as the Periplûs requires, ftretches E.N.E. to Cape Fartaque ${ }^{187}$; and that this Fartaque is Syagros, is the point now to be proved.
${ }^{135}$ Oriental Navigator, p. $162 . \quad$ Written Fartak, Furtah, Fortuafh.

* I requeft the Reader to correll an crror on this fuljeci, p. 258 , Supra, subere it was faid, that the feafon was the laller purt of Auguf, and connellid ewith the voyage to Musiris. I now find, that the voyage to the foulhern coait of Arabia quas a digina navigntion. They might make it earlier; but they failed later in the feafon, that they might have kfs time to wait for the ca,terly anonfon in November.

And firft, that it points to the eaft is true ; but it is not true that it is the largeft promontory in the world; for Ras:el-had, on the fame coaft, is larger. But it is more confpicuous, and was of more importance, probably, in the author's view, as forming the great entrance to the Gulph of Arabia, in conjunction with Cape Arômata on the coaft of Africa ; and as fuch, it is ftill a point of moft material confequence in the opinion of modern navigators, as well as in that of the ancients.

A fecond proof is, that Socotra is faid to lie between this cape and Arômata; which, in one refpect, is true, and cannot be ap* plied to Ras-el-had. And a third is, that the iflands of Curia Muria, and Mazeira, are to the eaft of this cape, as they really lie; while, if Syágros were fixed at Ras-el-had, the iflands muft lie on the weft of the Cape, directly tranfofed from their real pofition to an erroneous one. But of this we fhall treat in its place. We muft now return to Sahar, which is confidered in the Periplus as the heart of the Incenfe country, and the Incenfe country is Hadramaut.

Hadramaut is the Hatzar-maveth of Genefis, which fignifies ${ }^{185}$ in Hebrew, the Court of Death; and in Arabick, the Region of Death; both names perfectly appropriate, according to the teftimony of the Periplûs, which informs us, "that the incenfe is collected by " the king's flaves, or by malefactors condemned to this fervice as " a punifhment. The country is unhealthy in the extreme; pefti" lential even to thofe who fail along the coaft, and mortal to the " wretched fufferers employed in collecting the frankincenfe; who " perin likewife as often by want [and neglect] as by the perni" cious influence of the climate. The country inland is moun"tainous, and difficult of accefs; the air foggy, and loaded with ${ }^{284}$ Bochart Phaleg. p. iot.
" vapours caufed [as it is fuppofed] by the noxious exhalations " from the trees that bear the incenfe; the tree itfelf is fmall and " low, from the bark of which the incenfe ${ }^{n_{3}}$ exudes, as gum does " from feveral of our ${ }^{190}$. trees in Egypt."

The conveyance of this drug by land, Pliny informs us, was through Thomna, the capital of the Gebanites, to Gaza on the coaft of Paleftine, by a caravan that was fixty-two days in its progrefs; and that the length of this journey, with the duties, frauds, - and impofitions on it, brought every camel's load to upwards of two-and-twenty pounds, Englifh; and a pound of the beft fort at Rome, to more than ten fhillings. The courfe of this conveyance is not eafy to comprehend ${ }^{192}$; for if the commodity paffed by a caravan, the Minêans were centrical, and the ufual carriers from Gerrha on the Gulph of Perfia, from Hadramaut alfo, and from Sabêa, to Petra in Idumêa. But we muft not underftand this as excluding the conveyance of the incenfe to Alexandria by the Red Sea; for that city was the great repofitory of this, as well as


#### Abstract

Th It has been obferved already from Niebuhr, that the beit mecufe is now procured from India, by far more clear, white, and pure, than the Arabian; and it is a circumAtance well worth inquiry, whether the collection of this gom is attended with the fame fatal effects in that country as are here defribed; and whether the confequences are deducible from the drug itfelf, or from the nature of the country. Thofe who are defirous of learning more than is here remarked on this lubject, may confult Pliny, lib. 12. c. 14. and Salmafius, $48^{\circ}$, et feq.  This is an expreffion fo clearly marking the country of the writer, that it cannot be mil-


taken; and the whole defcription is not that of a man who merely wrote upon the fubject, but of one who had vifited the country, and painted what he faw.
:gr Bochart places Thomna between Sablatha and Maríaba, and fuppofes the Katabéni and Gebanitæ to be the fame people; which they are; for Pliny makes Ocila (Oketlis) a port of the Gebanites, xii. $13 .:$ but if fo , is is the territory of Maphartis he mult place them in; and they would not move by caram vans, but by fea. Strabo, however, makes Tamna the capital of the Katabéni, p. 768 .; and his Katabéni are not between Sabbatha and Maríaba, but in the territory of Ma. phartis.
all the other produce of India and Arabia. Pliny ${ }^{182}$ mentions this particularly, and notices the precautions taken by the merchants of that city to prevent fraud and adulteration.

The Periplus does not advert to any particular fpot in this bay, or fpecify any town of Sachal ; but, after relating the circumftances as they are here ftated, proceeds directly to Syágros. Syágros, or the Wild Boar, would naturally induce a perfuafion that it was a nautical appellation, like the Ram Head ${ }^{193}$, Dín Nofe, \&ec.; but it is far more probably to be, like Phenícon in the Red Sea, derived ${ }^{*}$ from the palm-trees oblerved there, of a particular fpecies, called Syágros: they are of a fuperior fort ${ }^{19+}$, as Pliny informs us, with large fruit, hard, and rough in appearance, and with a high relifh of the flavour of vild boar. What this flavour is, we may leave to the naturalifts to determine; but the allufion to Syágros is manifeft; and that the Cape takes its name from its produce, is a natural conclufion. That this promontory is actually Cape Fartaque, cannot be doubted, if we now advert to the particulars connected with it; for we are told, that the ifland of Diofkórida lies between this point and Cape Arômata, or Gardefan, on the coaft of Africa; that it is at a confiderable diftance in the open fea, but nearer to Syágros than to the Cape oppofite ; and that it is a large ifland, far exceeding all the others that appertain to the coaft of Arabia.

Now although this account is not ftrictly accurate, for Socotra is not actually between the two capes, but forms a terminating point

[^148]quem ferme in apris novimus. Plin. xiii. 4 .
It is not the coco-nut palm; for, among his forty-nine fpecies, Pliny afterwards mentions the Cycas (Kuxas) pomo rotundo, majore quam mali amplitudine.
to Cape Gardefan, like our Scilly Iflands to the Land's End, and is confequently nearer Africa than Arabia; ftill, fpeaking generally, the defcription in other refpects is fufficiently correct. The moft tranfient reference to the map will at leaft prove, that none of thefe circumfances can be applied to Ras-el-had; for that cape lies almoft feven hundred miles farther to the north-eaft, and can hardly be faid, in any fenfe, to be oppofite to Gardefan, but by drawing a line of fuch extreme obliquity, as would never occur to the mind of a mariner under the idea of an oppofite promontory.

## XV. DIOSCÓRIDA, OR SOCOTRA.

Dioscorida, Diofcorides, Diofcûrias, or Diófcora, may have a Greek origin, but it has fo near a refemblance to Socotra or Zocotora, that it is much more likely to be a nautical corruption of an Arabick term, than the application of a Greek one.

This ifland is near an hundred miles long, and thirty at its greateft breadth : it was inhabited only on the northern ${ }^{195}$ fide in our author's age, and the population there was very fcanty, confifting of a mixture of Arabians, Indians, and Greeks, who had reforted hither for

[^149]was a well-built town. There are two voyages contained in this work; and in the fecond, a party went up from Mokha to Sana, who fpeak well of the Arabs, and the Imam's goverament. It is a curious work, well digetted and put together; and the more worthy of confideration, as I know of no other Eum ropeans who have been at \$ana, except Barthema and Niebubr.
the purpofes of commerce; while the remainder of the country was marfhy and deferted. Marco Polo informs us, that in his time the inhabitants were Chriftians; and Al Edriffi confirms this, with the addition, that the Greeks were introduced there by Alexander ${ }^{986}$, at the requeft of Ariftotle ${ }^{197}$, in hopes of obtaining aloes, the principal produce of the ifland, and of the beft quality that is known. Now it is remarkable, that aloes is not mentioned by the author of the Periplt̂s; but he notices particularly the drug called Indian ${ }^{198}$ cinnabar, which exudes from a certain fecies of trees, and tortoifethell, of the largeft fize and beft fort ; adding, that there is likewife the monntain or land-totoife, which has the lower fhell of a ruddy yellow, and too hard to be cut ; and that from the folid part of this were formed cafes ${ }^{199}$, boxes, and writing-tablets [of great value].
t55 When he was returning, lays Al Edrifif, from the Perfian Gulph to the Gulph of Arabia: which, unfortinately, he never did; and equally unfortunate is he in the reafon he afligns for the inhabitants being Chriltians, becaufe Alexander planted Grecks there.

Cofmas Indicopleuites fays, they were Greeks from Egypt ; he was not at the ifland, but converfed with fome of the natives in Ethiopia : they were Chriftians, and their priefs were from Perfia, that is, they were Netlorians. Bayer Hitt. Bact. p. HI, in Montfaucon's Edit. of Cofmas, p. 179 .

Marco Pofo fays, in Moful on the Tigris, hanno un patriarcha che chiamano Jacolit (catholicos) íl qual ordina-Arci Vefcovi, Vefcovi, * Abbati, mandandoli per tuttil le partie dell India \& Al Cairo, et in Baldach (Bagdat), \& per tatte le bande dove habitano Chriftiani ..... non pero fecondo che commanda la
chiefa perche falla in molte cofe, et fono Neftorini, Jacopiti et Arneni. Lib. i. c. 6.
${ }^{5 y y}$ Dapper mentions aloes, ambergris, and gum dragon, \&ce. from a tree called Eer; and notices the Arabs from Caxem (Kcfchin), and Fartaque as ruling. They arc not now Chrifians, he fays; but have chriftian names, as the remains of that religion.
${ }^{\text {ry }}$ The native cinnabar is a mineral ; and what is meant by Indian cinnabar that dititils from trees, is not eafy to determine. But I find in Chambers's Dictionary, that there has been a ftrange confufion between cinnabar and diagon's blood; the dragon's blood therefore is meant, which is one of the natural productions of the ifland.

* Al Edriffi, fpeaking of the tortoifc-fhell at Curia Muria, fays, dorfa teftudioum ex quibus conficiunt fibi incolæ Iaman paropfides ad lavandum \& pinfendum. P. $\mathbf{z}_{4}$.

He informs us alfo, that there were feveral rivers ${ }^{200}$, and abundance of crocodiles, fnakes, and large lizards; from the laft of which they expreffed the fat, which they ufed for oil, and the flefh for food: but they had neither corn nor vines. Some few merchants from Mooza vifited this illand; and fome that frequented the coafts of India and Cambay touched here occafionally, who imported rice, com, India cottons, and women ${ }^{301}$ flaves, for which they received in exchange very large quantities of the native tortoife-fhell.

In the author's age, this ifland was fubject to Eleázus, the king of Sabbatha, who fet the revenue to farm ${ }^{302}$, but maintained a garrifon for the purpole of fecuring his receipts and fupporting his authority. This fact is fimilar to what we had occafion to notice on the coaft of Africa, where feveral of the ports in Azania (or Ajan) were fubject to Charibáel and Cholêbus, whofe territories were in Yemen; and Niebuhr informs ns, that Socotra is at this day fubject to the fheik of Kefchin, who has confiderable poffeffions in Hadramaut; and Kefchin, which lies a few leagues to the weftward of Fartaque, cannot be very diftant from the territory of Eleázus.

The confiftency of there circumftances in the ancient and mom dern accounts, may induce a perfuafion that we have traced out our way fo far with certainty and precifion; the next ftep we are to advance, is the only one on the whole coaft which will raife a
seo The water here is very good; it runs from the monntains into a fandy valler among date trees. The natives are civil to ftrangers, but very poors and tle only commolity to trade with, Is rice [an aticle in the Periplís? for which we bad infexchange fome cows, goats, filh, dates, good aloes, and gum dragon.

The prince, or viceroy, relides at Tamarida, on the north fide of the ifland. Capt. Blake, Oriental Navigator, pr. 149 .
 carried there, beoaute they had few women for the haram.


## 310. <br> PERIPLUS OF THE ERYTHREAN SEA.

doubt, and which has certainly been the fource of the conftant opinion embraced by modern ${ }^{203}$ geographers, that Syágros is not Fartaque, but Ras:el-had.

## XVI. MOSKHA AND OMANA.

I shale fate this circumfance in the very words of the author; for he fays, "Adjoining to Syágros there is a bay which runs ${ }^{204}$ " deep into the main land [of] O'mana, fix hundred fadia in width; " after this there are high mountainous rocks, fteep to, and inha"' bited by a [wild] race, that live in caverns and hollows of the " cliff. This appearance of the coaft continues for five hundred "ftadia more, at the termination of which lies a harbour called. " Moikha, much frequented ${ }^{205}$ on account of the Sachalitick incenfe " which is imported there."

It ${ }^{206}$ is the mention of Mofkha and O'mana here that neceffatily fuggefts the idea of Mafkat, which is in Oman, and the principal port of trade in the province: the defcription of the mountainous coaft is characterific; and the diftance, fuppofing Ras-el-had to beSyágros, not incongruous. I cannot account for this coincidence; but I do not think that Mofkha is Maikat, becaule Mafkat is beyond C. Ras-el had; and I thall fhew immediately, by the iflands which fucceed Mofkha, that we are not yet arrived at Ras-el-had by four hundred'miles. Neither will the Moftha of Prolemy folve the diffi-

[^150]culty; for he carries it farther back than the Periplûs, and has placed it to the weftward of Syágros, which is bis Fartaque likewife, as well as the Fartaque of our author.

The mention of O'mana here is ftill more unaccountable; but I was in hopes to have reconciled it by means of a river O'rmanus, or Hórmanus, which Ptolemy has in his Bay Sachalites, and which he brings down from a place called O'mana. This, however, is not to be depended upon; for his map is fo difforted on this part of the coaft, that it leaves the whole matter in uncertainty. Onie circumfatice only can be detuced from it ; which is, that his Ormanus and O'mana are both to the weftward of Ras-el-had, as well as the O'mana and Molkha of the Periplûs: the proof of which is, that. they both precede his Kor8damon, and Koródamon muft be the ${ }_{5}$. reprefentative of Ras-el-biad, as it' is hise extreme point eaft of, the: whole peninfula.

There are no data for placing the Mofkha of the Periplus, but the diftance of eleven hundred ftadia from. Syagros; and this meafure brings it nearer to Seger, the Sachalites of Ptolemy, the Schoehr of the moderns, than any other place it can be referred to. At. Mofkha, the mention of the Bay Sachalites is again introduced by. the Periplus; for the author informs us, that throughout the whole extent of that bay, in every port, the incenfe lies in piles without a guard ${ }^{207}$ to protect it, as if it were indebted to fome divine power for its fecurity. Neither is it poffible to obtain a cargo, either pub-

[^151]ftreets of Panama; but in Seger, befides the protection af the goot, the herik fetels ta keep good watch, if a fingle grain cannot be, got. . of tili the duty is paid:
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licly or by comivance, without permiffion of the king. Nay, if a fingle grain were embarked clandeftinely, good fortune indeed muft the merchant have who could efcape with his veffel from theharbour.

At Mofkha there is a regular intercourfe by fea with Kanè ; and fuch veffels as come from Limúrikè ${ }^{205}$ and Barugaza, too late in the feafon, and are obliged to pafs the adverfe monfoon in this port, treat with the king's officers to obtain frankincenfe in exchange for their muflins, corn, and oil ${ }^{109}$.

If it fhould now be afked, whether I am myfelf fatisfied with the account here given of O'mana and Mofkha, I could not anfwer in the affirmative. Thefe two names certainly throw a fhade of obfcurity and difficuley over the arrangement of the coaft; and if this barren fubject thould be reviewed by a future commentator, much pleafure would it be to fee thofe obftacles removed, which I have not been fo fortunate as to furmount.

Still that, upon the whole, the aflumption of Fartaque for Syágros is right, depends upon proofs now to be produced, which are incontrovertible; for we are now advancing to two groupes of iflands, which are the moft conipicuous of any that are attached to the coaft of Arabia on the ocean; and as iflands, rivers, and mountains, are features indelible, in thefe we cannot be miftaken.
xVI. ISLANDS OF ZENÓBIUS, OR CURIA MURIA.

At fifteen hundred ftadia diftance from Mofkha, which I have fuppofed to be Seger; and at the termination of the diffrict called

$$
x^{x} \text { Concan and Canibay. } \quad \times 9 \text { Probably ghee, or Iquid butter. }
$$

Afikho, there are feven illands, almoft in a line, called the Illands of Zenóbius. Now the diftance anfwers to make thefe the illands in the Bay of Curia Muria, the Chartan ${ }^{210}$ Martan of Al Edriff; and though he fays they are only four, and four only they appear on our clarts, it is conclufive in their favour, that he flyles the bay Giun-al-Hafcifc ${ }^{2 n}$; and Hafek (the Afikho of the Periplûs) is the principal town in the bay at the prefent hour. Hafec ${ }^{242} \mathrm{Al}$ Edriffy calls it himfelf in another place, where he mentions only two inlands, as Chartan and Martan; and fays, it is a fmall city, but populous, and the bay deep and dangerous. The four iffands have now obtained the names of Halki, Sordi, Halabi, and Deriabi ; and it is poflible that fome rocky or deferted iflets attached to them may have caufed them to have been reckoned feven; for feven they are in Ptolemy alfo, placed in the fame relative fituation between Fartaque and Ras-el-had, though not correct in their vicinity to the coaft.

XVIII. SARÁPIS, or MAZEIRA.

From Hafec, or Afikho, we have, firft, a tract inhabited by a barbarous tribe ${ }^{213}$, not fubject to Arabia but Perfis ${ }^{214}$; and at the diftance
ato Bochart fays, that by a change of the points, he reads Corian Murian for the Chartan Martan of Al Edriffi.
${ }^{10}$ Sinus Herbaruin, Al Edriffi, p. 22.P. 27. he makes Hafec the city, and AIHafcife the bay; but are they not the fame name?
s) Here. Ptolemy places the Aicite, whofe name he derives trom $\dot{\alpha} \sigma x \mathrm{o}_{5}$, becaule they fail on floats fupported on inflated fkirs; but this is giving a Greek derivation of an Arabick name. Bochart conjectures, with much pore probability, that they are the inhabitante of

Hafek; and that Ptolemy's Maphat is a corruption of Merbat, as it is written in AI Edriffi, the C. Morelat of our charts. Phaleg. 106.

 ubi ex fapernis locis praterveCtus fueris: but d $\varphi^{3}$ utws means keeping off fhore by a direct courle, in oppofition to requeanilyovis, or fol. lowing the bend of the coalt.
${ }^{314}$ This is no more extraordinary than that the fovereigns of Anabia fhould have territolies
diftance of two thoufand ftadia from the IMands of Zenóbius, another ifland called Sarápis. Sarápis, it is added, is an hundred and twenty ftadia from the coaft, two hundred ftadia in breadth, and contains three villages, inhabited by priefts, or reclufes, of the Ichthyóphagi, who fpeak the Arabick language, and wear girdles or aprons made, of the fibres of the cocoa ${ }^{\text {215 }}$. Plenty of tortoife-fhell, and of a good quality, is found here, on which account. it is regularly frequented by the fmall veffels and barks from Kanc.

If we fhould now confult the chart, and examine the fize of this ifland, and its diftance from the illes of Zenobius, which we may eftimate by the fladia at about two hundred miles, we identify it to a certainty with Mazeira; for there is no other illand of this fize, or at an hundred and twenty fadia from the coaft, or perhaps capable of containing three villages, any where to the weftward of Fartaque, or the eaftward of Ras-el-bad. It muft therefore lie between thefe two points, and precifely afeertain, that we are paft the one, and not yet arrived at the other; and likewife, that the ifles of Zenóbius muft, by their diftance and relativc fituation, be the Curia Muria of the prefent day, notwithftanding their difagreement in point of number.

Mazeira is well known to modern navigators : its fize and fituation are fufficiently afcertained, and there is a channel ${ }^{216}$. between the ifland and the main, through which Englifh chips have pafted.


D'Anville has fuppofed that Sarápis is the fame as Mazeira, without confldering thạt if it be fo, it is to the weft of Ras-el-had, and that therefore his Syágros, which is fixed at Ras-el-bad, cannot be correct.

## XIX. ISLANDS OF KALAIUS, or SUADI.

UPON leaving Sarápis, we have another dilance of two thoufand ftadia, and then another group, called the Illands of Kalaius. The diftance is too thort ${ }^{2 n 7}$, but the iflands are thofe of Suadi or Swardy, which lie between Mafkat and Sohar, and which, according to $\mathrm{M}^{4}$ Cluer ${ }^{213}$, are formed into four ranges for the face of feven leagues, with a clear paffage between them. In affuming thefe inlands for thofe of Kalaius, there can be no error, for the language of our author is precife: he lays, that as you are now approaching the Gulph of Perfia, keeping clofe ${ }^{215}$ round the coaft, you change
 but though I have fuggefled corrections, I have never ventured on an alceration of the text.
*) Oriental Navigator, p. 181. \& 775 .






> Thus rendered by Hudion:

In figu autem vicine continentis, ad feptentriones, prope ofium maris Perfici infula jacent, ad quast navigatur, Calai infuiz dietre, quar fere bis mille fladiorum intervallo a continente funt disjancta.

But how iflande that lie two handred miles from the coalt, can be faid to lie in a bay of the contiannt, is not eafy to comprehend. E
 failed tbrough, for $\pi \lambda \times{ }^{*} \mu=s$ gow, and to reader the paflage thas:
[Proceeding on your courfe from Sarápis] you wind round with the adjoining coatt tu the noth; and as you dpproach towards the entrance of the Gulph of Perfia, at the dii. tance of two thouland ftarlia [from Sarápis] you palfa a group of iflands, which lie in a range along the coait, and are called the Mands of Kalains.
 be rendered better than by deferibing the illands
the direction of your courfe to the nortir. This is literally true at Ras-el-had, and no where elfe on the coaft; for Ras-el-had is the extreme point eaft of all Arabia; and as foon as you are paft it, the coalt falls back again to the north-weft. If we could reckon the two thoufand ftadia from the point where this alteration of the courfe takes place, that is, from Ras-el-had, the diftance alfo would correfpond.

After arriving at thefe illands, if we thould review the whole courfe from Fartaque to Ras-el-had, there is nothing to interfere with the general ftatement, except the mention of O'mana and Mofkha; and no fingle point ought to ftand in competition with the whole. At the diftance of eighteen bundred years, it is difficult to fay whether the obfcurity lies with us, or the author; one fhould rather acquit the author, who is fo correct in other refpects, and look for a folution from fome future lights, which may appear, either from a better knowledge of the coaft, or from fome better readings of the commentators, confidering that the copy which we have is certainly defective, and that no manufcripts are to be expected.
iflands as "lying in a range." Perhaps it thould be read жapartexpivar; and this is the precife dittinction of M.Cluer. Yisn may be
 mapi miv notaink, "ar you are ju/l approacbing the Gulith of Pevfia lie j/ands", and 1 place a comma at drexiniso, in order to make it exprefs the diftance from Sarápis : but if it be joined with the fimal clanfe, it muft be rendered, "the Iflands of Kataiur, wopich lit in a ravge "twa thoufand fadia along the couff." This is not tme; neither cain we flretch the feren
leagues of M+Cluer, or one-and-twenty miles to two hundred. Ireweomilionth, fignifies literally, to ketp clofe to the fore"; to followe the winuding of the fhore. But whatever doubt, there may be concerning the contents of the whole paffage, nothing can be moore plain than this one circumfance, that the courfe of the voyage is chatiged here to the NORTH, and this particular can be true only at Rasel-Lad. This is the truth we have been fearcling for, and I think the proof is couclufive.

The natives, on the main oppofite to thefe infands, are faid to be treacherous, and their vifion to be defective during the light of the day : what the latter circumfance may allude to, it is not material to inquire, but their treachery is natural if they are Bedouin Arabs, as Lieut. Porter ${ }^{220}$ fass they were in his tine at Sohar, and not civilized in their behaviour to the prople of his boat.

## XX. ISLANDS O'F PAPIAS.

We have now the Iflands of Papias, and the Fair Mountain, with the entrance of the Perfian Gulph: for the firft, we muft look to two or three finall illands or the coaft; beyond Sohar, towards the north; and at the laft of thefe the Journal places the Fair Moun-. tain, which would anfwer fufficiently to Cape Fillam, if that be high land; and not far from Fillam are the Scraits.

It is not improbable, however, that the Inlands of Papias may be the Coins, which lie immediately off the entrance of the gulph; for, in a letter of Lieut. M'Clucr to Mr. Dalrymple, he writes, " the Great Coin . . . . lies in lat. $26^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ north . . . . and there " are four other iflands between this and Cape Muffeldom, all of " them fmaller than the Great Coin, and none of them inhabited... " Befides thefe, there are feven others clofe in, which are not eafily " diftinguifhed from the Arabian fhore." But the determination of the queftion will depend upon the pofition in which we view the iflands; for they feem to lie within Moçandon, while thore of Papias precede it. We muft likewife find a place for the Fair Mountain between them and the Cape, for which there feems hardly face fufficient.

> XXI. SAB.O, ASABO, OR MOÇANDON.

It is well known that Moçandon is reprefented in Ptolemy by the black mountains called Afabo, the promontory; of the Afabi; and that Sabo fignifies South, defignating, as it fhould feem, in the mind of Arabian navigators, the extreme point fouth of the Gulph of Perfia. A tribe is alfo noticed in the neighbourhood, which is called Maca both by Ptolemy and Amrian; and in Mace we obtain probably the rudiments of Moçandon which we have from the Portuguefe. But the Orientalits give a different etymology, and inform us, that Mo-falem is the Cape of Congratulation.

Moçandon is of vaft height, and frightful appearance; it forms, with Mount ${ }^{225}$ Ehowrs, or Elbours, on the oppofite fhore, the entrance to the gulph, which is near forty miles broad, eftimated at fixty in the Periplûs; and Elbours is called the Round Mountain of Semiramis : it is round in fact, and has its modern name of Elbours from its fuppofed refemblance to the Fire Towers of the Guebres or Parfees.

Meçandon is a fort of Lizard point to the gulph ; for all the Arabian hips take their departure from it, with fome ceremonies of fuperftition, imploring a bleffing on their voyage; and ferting afloat a toy, like a veffel rigged and decorated, which, if it is dafhed to pieces by. the rocks, is to be accepted by the Ocean as an offering for the efcape of the veffel.

Whether the authar. himfelf paffed this cape, and entered the gulph, is very dubious; from the manner of the narration, I fhould conclude he never entered the gulph; for he mentions only two sparticulars within the fraits, and then introduces the paffage acrofs the open feafrom Arabia to Karmania.
. . . 27. Thefe two mountains appofire, are the Owair and Kofair of Al Edrifi, p. 4.

XXIT. TEREDDON, APÓLOGUS, or OBOLEH.
But the two particulars noticed are remagkable: the one is the Pearl Fifhery, which extends on the bank great part of the way from Moçandon to Bahrain; and the other is the fituation of a town called Apólogus, at the head of the gulph on the Euphrates, and oppofite the Fort of Pafinus or Spafinüs. There can be no heftation in adopting the opinion of d'Anville, that Apólogus is ${ }^{\text {i }}$ Oboleh, upon the canal that leads from the Euphrates to Bafra; for Oboleh is fituated, according, to Al Edriffi ${ }^{22 z}$, at the angle between the canal and the river; and he adds, that the eanal covers it on the north, and the river on the eaft; confequently, this is as hearly oppofite to the Fort of Pafinus, as the canal is to the Haffar River, which communicates with all the mouths of the Tiotis and Eirphrates.'

Apólogus is Greek in its external form, but much more properly deduced, as d'Anville oblerves, from Obotell; which, with the frong oriental afpirate, becomes Obolehh or Obolegh. We may confequently affume this for a proof of its exiftence as a place of commerce at fo eanly a period, when it had probably taken place of Terédon or Diridotis, as Balfa took place of Obolest under the fecond Caliphate ${ }^{223}$ of the Mahometans; bat'that Oboleh continued a mart of confideration long after the building of 5 Safra ${ }^{224}$, we may:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { m2 P. 121. } \\
& \text { Abilfeda Reifke, p. } 113 \text {. } \\
& \text { me A1 Edrifit mentions. Bafra fufficiently; } \\
& \text { but in his general défcription he fays, Ab } \\
& \text { mari Sin derivatur mare Yiride, eftq; finus; } \\
& \text { Perfia et Obolle, ..... Gntus pervenit ufque } \\
& \text { ad Obollam prope Abadan, ibiq; teriniatur; } \\
& \text { pp. } 3,4
\end{aligned}
$$

Mare Viride, - - the Perfian Sga.
Mare Fulvua, - the Calpian.
Mare Candidum, - the Propontis.
Mure Nigram, ; - the Euxine.
Mare Venetum ; - the Blue Sea, or Me:diterranean.
Why dothe difpute fo much about the mare Rubrum ?
be affured by Al Edrifi's making it the termination of the dulph, as well as the Periplûs; and Oboleh, or a village that repr fents it, ftill cxifa between 3afra and the Euphrates; the canal alld is called the Canal of Oboleh.

Teredon had been a city of great trade from very rem, times; that is, from the age of Nebuchadnezzar to the Macedemian conquett. It feems to have continued fo till the time of Aupyltus, for it is mentioned by Dionyfius ${ }^{225}$; deferted afterwards, pe haps, from the failure of water in the Khore Abdillah, or ancient meouth of the. Euphrates, and reptaced by Oboleh, probably during he dynafy of the Arfacides. The Babylonians, who commandes the river from the gulph to the capital, doubtlefs made ufers $f_{j}$ it as the channel of Oriental commerce; and the traffick whit ! had paffed by Arabia, or by the Red Sea, through Iduméa, to iglypt, Tyre, and other places on the Mediterranean, was diverted by/Nebuchadnezzar, after the deftruction of Tyre, to the Perfian Qulph; and through his territories in Mefopotamia, by Palmyra and Damafcus, it pafied through Syria to the Weft. After the cond ${ }^{\text {neft }}$ of Babyion by Cyrus, the Perfians, who were ncither navigators to the Eaft, nor attentive to their frontier on the weft, fuffered liabylon, Nineveh, and Opis, to fink into ruin ; the courfe of trade, therefore, returned to Arabia on the fouth, to the Cafpian ${ }^{226}$ and Fuxine on the north : Idumếa beeame again the refort of the caravahs; and Tyre rofe out of its afhes, till its power enabled it to maintain a fiege of eight months againf Alexander, in the career of his victories.

[^152]XXIII, ORIENTAL COMMERCE BY THE GULPH OF PERSIA.
What views this Conqueror had after his firt victories, we can only conjccture; but after his return from India, we may be affured that his comprehenfive mind had embraced all that vaft fyftem which was afterwards completed at Alexandria. His fucceffors, the Ptolemies in Lgypt, and the Seleucidx in Syria, were rivals in this commerce; Palmyra, Damafcus, and Antioch, all lie on the line of the caravans from the Perfian Gulph; the Cafpian and tie Euxine were again frequented, and the commerce on this fide enriched the kingdoms ${ }^{237}$ of Prufias, Attalus, and Mithridates; while the navigation on the Indian Occan, built upon the fame foundation, made Alcxandria the firft commercial city of the worid. Egypt, maintaining its intercourfe with the Eaft, in the firf inftance by means of the Sabêans, and finally, by fleets fitted out from its own ports on the Red Sea.

[^153]the Romans would not fuffer the Parthians, or any of the northern nations, to traficic by the Eusine, bot confined the whole trade to Alexandin, and the maritime intereoutc with India. See Merodotus, lib. iv, and Pliay, lib. Vi. 5 .

Diofearias was on the Antlicmus, one of the rivers that came out of Caucafus into the Euxine.

Diofcarrias was called Sebaftopolis in Adrian's time, and the lall fortification of the Roman empire. Arrian, who vifited it, mentions nothing of its trade. Ariani Periplís Mapia Euximi, p. 18. I find nothing of the Anthemus; but the Phafis was navigable for thirty-eight miles. Second Periplus Eux. Sca, Hudion.

In the following ages, the dynafty of the Arfacidx divided thefe profits with the Romans; and in the decline of the Roman power, the revived Perfian dynafty affimed fuch an afcendancy, that in. the time of Juftinian the Romans had recourfe to the powers of Arabia ${ }^{22 s}$ and Abyfinia, to open that commerce from which the Perfians had excluded them; and when the Perfian dynafty furik under the power of the Cbaliphs, the Mahomedan ${ }^{328}$ accounts of the plunder found at Ctefiphon, prove the full poffefion of the Indian commerce by the Perfians.

## XXIV. CAIRO.

Upon the erection of two chaliphates, one at Bagdad, and the other at Cairo, "the commerce of India was again divided; but the. greateft part of the precious commodities which reached Europe, came through the hands of the Venetians from Alexandria, till the Genoefe opencd the northern communication again by means of the Euxine, the Cafpian, and their fettlement at Caffa in the Crimea.

[^154]ger, filk robes, wove carpets, embroidered carpets, and bullion: Cedrenus, p. 418.-
 who gives the fame hiltory of procuring filkworms as Procopias.

When Sad, the general of Onar, took Ctefiphor'or Modain, the carpet is particularly mentioned.: See Abilfeda Reifke, 70; but other particulaxs arc omitted.
XXV. CRUSADES.

In the eleventh and twelfth centuries, the Crufades opened to the eyes of the Europeans the fources of this Oriental wealth. The lofs' of the kingdom of Jerufalem enabled them to-difcover, that the power of Saladin was founded on the revenue derived from the commerce which paffed through Egypt; and the work of Marin Sanuto ${ }^{236}$ is a Memorial prefented to. the Pope, and the principal fovereigns of Europe, in order to inftruct them, that if they would compel their merchants to trade only through the dominions of the chaliphs of Bagdat, they would be better fupplied, and at a cheaper rate; and would have no longer to fear the power of the foldans in Egypt.

What thofe fovereigns diu not, or could not do, was effected three centuries later by the difcovery of the Cape of Good Hope: to this difcovery Europe is certainly indebted for the decline of the Turkifh power, which at that time threatened the whole Wefteri

> 33 This curions work is inferted in the Gefta Dei per Francos: it is highly intereftang, both upon account of the commercial intelligence it contains, and the elear-fighted fpeculations of the ąuthor. I owte the knowledge of it to Bergeron, who has cited it in his Treatife on Commerce annexed to his collection of Voyares, which is itfelf allo a moft valuable work. The editor of the Gefta Dei, \&c. fays, he had the Memorial of Sanuto, in two MSS. copies; from Scaliger and Petavius; that one of thefe was bound in velvet, and ornamented with claips, "\&c. fo as to affure him that it was one of the original copies, prefented by*Sanuto himiclf to fome
one of the princes: if fo, 1 imagine it contains the oldeft map of the world at this day exilting, except the Peutingerian Tables; for Marin Sanuto lived in ${ }^{1} 324$. His inap, however, is wholly in the Arabic form ; and, I conclude, built on one that he had procured when in Palefline. There is another Livio Sanuto, a geugrapher in the roth ceatury, whofe work ! have feen in the King's Library, bat not examined ; it feemed a valuable work for the age. In this Sanuto's time the India trade had fettled again at Aden, where it was when the Romans defroged that cify 1300 years before. See lib, i. c. 1. The whole io worth confulting.
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world; and the various other important confequences which enfued, are too well known, and have been too well detailed in hiftory, to require infertion in the prefent work.

Of the interior of Arabia we know little to this day ; but that, notwithftanding the danger of robbery, caravans of great value traverfed it in all ages, we have certain evidence to depend on. Previous to the-Periplûs, we have the teftimony of Strabo and Agatharchides; in the middle ages, the account of Al Edriff; and, in our own time, we want no other proof than the Englifh importations at Jiddah, which reach Mecea at the time of the Pilgrimage, and from thence feem to be difperfed over the whole peninfula.

At Grane likewife, in the north-weft angle of the Perfian Gulph, there has been a confiderable importation till within thefe few years; and at El Catif, near Bahrain, which is the Gerrha of the ancients, there is fome commerce befides the returns for the Pearl Fifhery; but with the progrefs of which, inland, we are unacquainted.

Somewhere in the neighbourhood of Grane, was the fear of Abdul Wahab, who, with his army of deifts and democrats, has plundered Mecca within thefe three years, upon the fame principle as his brethren in Europe demolifhed the Church of their own country, and with much the fame event to the plunderers; for Abdul Wahab is faid to have fallen by the hands of an affaffin, as the firf democrats of France have mofly perimed in the courfe of the revolution.
XXVI. GERRHA.

Gerriat is one of the few towns in Arabia that Pliny has enabled us to fix with certainty; for he comes down the weftern coaft of the gulph, which, he fays, was never explored till vifited by Epíphanes; and which is little known to any now except the natives : but Pliny, after paffing the ifland of Ichara, and one or two obfcure places; mentions Gerrba as a city five miles round, and the walls or towers built of foffil ${ }^{231}$ falt. This is a circumftatece true (I think) only at Ormus and El Katif, which, added to the fize of the city, afcertains its identity. It is neceffary to be particular in this refpect, becaufe the Gerrhêans are the firft conductors of the caravans upon record ; and it is highly probable, that long previous to hiftory they enjoyed, the profits of this traffic ; for Agatharchides ${ }^{232}$, who firf mentions them, compares their riches with thofe of the Sabêans; and adds, that they brought much wealth into Syria, which was at that time fubject to Ptolemy; and furnifhed a variety of articles for the induftry of the Phenicians. By this we underfand, that they croffed the whole peninfula to Petra in Idumêa, from which city we know that the intercourfe was open with Tyre, Phenicia, and Syria. Strabo ${ }^{233}$ informs us, that they were the general carriers of all the produce of Arabia, and all the fices, or aromatics ; but he adds likewife, that Ariftobulus contradias this, and fays, that they go up the

[^155]Euphrates in boats, to Babylonia and Thaplacus, and from thence difperfe their commodities in all directions by land. Buth thefe relations may be true, as applied to dificrent periods, in confequence of the obftructions they might meet with in their courfe, from the different powers of the feveral countries through which they were to pafs ${ }^{24}$.

The Gerrhêans, we may naturally fuppofe, from their fituation in the Gulph of Perfia, and from their proximity to the oppofite coaft of Perfis and Karmania, would lie more convenient, and more directly in the route of communication with the Eaft, than any other tribe. And, as Agatharchides fays, that the Minêans and Gerrhêans both met at Petra as a common centre, we have two routes acrofs the peninfula, correfpondent to the two forts of commerce, which ought naturally to pafs in different directions: for from Gerrha, the produce of India; and, through the country of the Minêans, the frankincenfe of Hadramaut; would regularly be directed to Idumea.
XXVII. MINEANS.

The fite ${ }^{238}$ of the Minêans is not eafy to fix; but by a comparifon of different accounts, they were fouth of Hedjaz, north ${ }^{236}$ of Hadramaut, and to the caftward ${ }^{337}$ of Sabêa; and they were the carriers to all thefe provinces: their caravans paffed in feventy days

[^156]three flations fonth of Mecca. Al Edriff.
${ }^{236}$ Atramitis in Mediterraneo junguatur Minæi. Pliny, vi. 28.
${ }^{*}$ Dionyfuis places them on the coait, but
I think Dionyfias alone.
from Hadramaut to Aila, as we learn from Strabo ${ }^{33 y}$; and Aila is but ten miles from Petra. The commodities brought by this caravan would be aloes, gold, myrrh, frankincenfe, and other precious gum or aromatics; while thofe from Gerrha would confift of cottons, fpices, and the produce of the Eaft.

As navigation encreafed on the coaft, this mode of intercourfe, and its profits, would naturally diminifh. When the Ptolemies fent their fleets to Sabêa; when the Greeks, Egyptians, or Romans, reached India by the monfoon, the greateft part of what had paffed through Arabia would be diverted into a new channel ; in the fame manner as the difcovery of the Cape of Good Hope ruined the commerce of Alexandria. But that fome intercourfe exifted, and that fome caravans traverfed Arabia, both in the middle ages, and do traverfe it even to this hour, is a fact that cannot be difputed.

After the conqueft of Perfia by the Mahomedans, a road was made acrofs the whole of the peninfula, from Mecca ${ }^{237}$ to Kufa: it is reported to have been feven hundred miles long, marked out by diftances, and provided with caravan ferais, and other accommodations for travellers. Into this road fell the route from Bafra, and from El Katif or Gerrha. The province of which El Katif is the capital, is called Bahrain ${ }^{240}$ by Al Edrifi, from the two iflands of that name which are the principal feat of the Pearl ${ }^{24 x}$ Fifhery. He fpeaks of E. Katif as a confiderable city in his time; and he gives the routes
${ }^{238}$ Lib. xvi. p. 768 . the time feems in excefs; but as the diftance is taken from Hadramant to Aila, it may not exceed the proportion of 60 days from Minêa to Nera, attributed to Gallus.
${ }^{210}$ From Mecca to Bagdat, according to Abilfeda Reike, p. 1540 wells, lakes, mile- 28.
poits, for 700 miles. See Gibbon, v. 409. the road was made by OI Madi Khaliph, anno Hejrax 169 , the poft goes in eleven days. 20 Babrain, in Arabick, fignifies the two feas.
${ }^{2 ; 1}$ Tylos margaritis celeberrima. Plin. vi.
from it fouth to Sohar, north to Bafra, and weft to Medina ${ }^{24 \pi}$; the country on the fide towards Bafra is a defert feldom frequented by enerchants, without villages, and inhabited only by Bedouins. But the route to Medina falls into the road that leads from Bafra; and both Bafra and El Katif are at equal diftance, that is, twenty fations from that city, where is the fepulchre of Mahomet. The road from Bafra fulls into that from Kufa at Maaden ${ }^{2+3}$ Alnocra. I mention thefe circumfances, in order to fhow the communications with El Katif, or Gerrha, in the middle ages; becaufe they cannot be diffimilar from thoie which were open when Gerrha was a centre of Oriental commerce; and the route which led to Medina requires only a little tendency to the north, to make it the ancient line of intercourfe between Gerrha and Aila, and from thence through Petra ${ }^{\text {a44 }}$ to Egypt, Tyre, and the coatts of the Mediterranean.

## XXVIIL. ANTIQUITY OF ORIENTAL COMMERCE.

So far as a private opinion is of weight, I am fully perfuaded that this line of communication with the Eaft is the oldef in the world; older than Mofes or Abraham. I believe that the ldumêans, who were carrying fpices into Egypt when they found Jofeph in their

[^157]Gerrhêans are meant in this place; for, as they were gencral carriers, it is probable they went to Hadramaut as well as in other directions.

243 A Brara ad Medinam vigunti ftationes et haec vin coincidit cum extremitate Kufa, prope Maaden Altocra, p: 121.
24 Petra was only ten miles from. Aila, Bochart Phaleg. 686.
way, obtained thefe ficices by this very route. And if it is agrecable to analogy and to hiftory that merchants travelled before they failed, there is no courfe from India to the Mediterranean where fo fmall a fpace of fea muft be traverfed as in this direction. Karmania is.vifible from Arabia at the ftraits of the Gulph of Perfia; and in the infancy of navigation, the fhortelt paffage would be preferred. The interior of Arabia, in all ages, contained Bedouins, whofe profeffion was robbery; but the different tribes of robbers probably received a caphar inftead of feizing the whole; as they do to this day of the caravans which pa\&s between Bafra and Aleppo. They are likewife not fond of fighting for the whole, when they can obtain a tribute for a part; and neceffity would compel the merchants of thofe ages, as well as our cawn, to go in large bodies, and provided with arms for their defence. The manners of the Arabs have never changed; and it is reafonable to conclude, that merchants who have to treat with Arabs have changed as little in their precautions. Pliny ${ }^{245}$ has preferved the memorial of thefe ufages in the fouthern part of the peninfula; and there is every reafon to conclude that they exilted in all ages, before his time, as they do to the prefent hour.

It was to obviate thefe exaciions that plans were formed to open - a communication by fea. The Tyrians, as the principal merchants. on the Mediterranean, and as the intermediate agents of Oriental

[^158]cania penditur.-I appeal to every Engliflr traveller, who has ever pafled between Bafra and Aleppo, if this is not an exad picture of the extortions practifed upon a caravan; and yet caravans itill pafs, and till make a profit on their merchandize-the confumer pays for all.
commerce, either firft conceived the idea, or derived it polibly from the Egyptians, whom we mult fuppofe to have had an intercourfe with India whether hiftory records it or not. The firft hiftorical account we have is, the trade of Ophir. The alliance between Hiram and Solomon was indifpenfable; for Solomon was mafter of Idumêa, and the Tyrians could eftablifh themfelves at Ezion Geber only by his permiffion and affiftance. Solomon furnihed the opportunity, and Hiram the fhips; the profit accrued to the partncrthip; and if this voyage were made to Ophir in Arabia, where it is univerfally confeffed there was an Ophir, even by thofe who fearch for Ophir in Africa and India; fuch a voyage would at leaft obviate all the exactions attendant upon a communication by land, and place Hiram and Solomon in the fame fituation as the Ptolemies ftood, before a direct communication was opened between Berenílè and the coaft of Malabar.

This rapid fketch of Oriental Commerce in all ages, as far as it can be traced upon hiftorical evidence, is no digreffion, but an effential part of the work I have undertaken: my object has been, not merely to elucidate the Periplûs by a commentary, but to trace the progrefs of difcovery to its fource; a fubject curious and interefting at leaft, if neither ufeful or lucrative. But to know what has paft in remote ages is the purpofe of all hittory; and to collect, from a variety of fources, fuch intelligence as may enable us to diftinguifh truth from fallehood, if it has not the dignity of hiftory, has at leaft a claim to approbation from thoie who know how to appreciate the labour of refearch, and the fidelity of inveftigation. Much that has been faid may be controverted in particulars, and yet be corra apon the whole. I am not confcious of any preconceived
fyftem in my own mind, but have raifed a fuperftructure upon the foundation of hiftorical fucts: thefe I have not warped, in order to accommodate them to an individuat opinion; but have followed them wherever they led. I claim little merit but in concentrating thefe to a point; and if the fame evidence fhould not produce the: fame conviction on others, I fhould as readily give way to thofe who are poffeffed of fuperior information, as I fhould maintain my ground againft thofe who are pretenders to the fcience.

## XXIX. CONCLUSION.

IT is now neceffary to bring this Book to a conclufion, in which the courfe of ancient navigation has been traced from the Gulph of Aila to the mouth of the Euphrates ${ }^{326}$, embracing the whole feacoaft of Aralia on its three fides. The author does not appear, from the internal evidence of his work, to have perfonally explored the eaftern coaft of the Red Sea, or the weftern fhore of the Gulph of Perfia: he feems to have come down the Red Sea from Myos Hormus to Okêlis; or perhaps. from Lenkè Komè, but to have touched little upon the coaft till be came to the Burnt Ifland. On the fouthern coaft of the peninfula we can trace him, at almoft every ftep, to Fartaque, and to Ras-el-had; but from thence he feems; without entering the Gulph of Perfia, to have flretched over with the monfoon, either to Karmania, or direct to Scindi, or to the Gulph of Cambay. At thofe points we find him again entering into thofe minute particulars, which befpeak the defcriptions of an eye-witnefs; while, of the parts previous to thefe, he fpeaks in fo-

[^159]tranfient a manner, as to create a belief that he writes from thic report of others; but on this queftion it is not neceffary to decide, the reader muft determine for himfelf. On the two eoafts of Arabia which he bas touched but flightly, I have endeavoured to fill up the outline which be has Iketched; and on the third fide, where lie has entered into detail, I have endeavoured to follow him, ftep by ftep, as minutely as I have been able. But if the interior of Arabia is a defideratum in Geography, the coaft likewife is far from being accerately defined : no fhips from Europe now vifit it for the putpofe of trade; and thofe which come from lndia to Mokha or Jidda, feldom touch upon the coaft towards the ocean, unlefs to obtain provifions when in diftrefs. What information may be obtained from the Englifh cruizers which have lately been in the Red Sea, and were at one time preparing to fortify Perim in the Seraits, is expected with a great degree of curiofity. Commodore Blanket, who was upon this fervice, was an officer: of much fcience and great experience: he may have ordered furveys upon this coaft, or fome examination of it, which may clear up feveral of the difficulties which remain. In the mean time, I have made ufe of fuch lights as are afforded by the papers and journals of the officers of the Eaft India Company, and which are collected in the work called the Oriental Navigator. Thofe who know the abilitics and fcience of thofe excellent officers; will think their obfervations might have been fufficient for fuch an examination as I had inftituted; but ancient navigators kept much nearer the coaft, and noticed object's which are of fmall importance in the prefent flate of the fcience. A minute particular often forms a characteritic of a port, a bay, or a fhore, which we cannot hope to find in the common obfervations of
modern officers, nor elfewhere, unlefs when an actual furvey has taken place. In the voyage of Nearchus, as my own knowledge increafed, I conftantly found a greater correfpondence in his Journal with the actual ftate of the coaft : I have not been * quite fo fortunate in the prefent inflance; nor do I think the author of the Periplûs to be compared with the Macedonian commander, but ftill he is, as Voffius fays, the only ancient author who has given a rational account of the countries or coafts he has defcribed; and in this, if
 profents us with a peninfula, joined to the main by a very narrow neck, and adjoining to a river, which may afford the fupply of water alluded to; and if Arabia Felix was placed on the weitern, inftead of the eaftern point of the peninfula, where $\Lambda$ den now ftands, it would lie at the very entrance of the bay, as is fpecified : the difficulty, likewife, of approach to it from the adjoining coaft, is fufficiently enfured by the narrownefs of the neck. The diftance from the ftraits is alfo accurate, within tive miles.
P. 3 xt.

CDRRECTIONS
P. 275. note 113. Negra is not Nera, but Najeran. See p. 277. note ri8. And, according to the Roman Martyrology, St. Arethas was put to death at that place by Dunaan, a Jew, and king of the Homerites. His cruelty is noticed in the Koran, where he is called the Lord of the Fiery Pits. Elefbaas, the king of Abyffinia, revenged the death of Arethas, conquered the Homerites, and put Dunaan to death.
P. 293. The Straits of Bab-el-Mandeb are faid to be fix miles wide ; but in Sir H. Pop* ham's Chart they are only two miles.
P. 290. In the Table for the Coalt of Arabia, I fee with concern a conflderable differrence in the latitudes there given, compared with thofe of Sir H. Popham's Chart. I had followed the beft authority I knew of; but they muft now be confidered as relative, and not real determinations.
we are able to purfue his fteps and elucidate his narrative, it is the performance of a fervice as gratifying to the curiofity of the Learned, as acceptable to the fcience of Geography.
P. 3II. Korjdarnon is fuppoled to be Ras-el-had, as it is the enfternmoft point of Arabia in Ptolemy; anù its form would appear Greek, if we could find in that language Kãups, or Xupas, or Kopos, equivalent to the Latin Corus or Caurus; for then it might be the point that terminates, or fubdues the wefferly nonfoon, as Gardefan feparates the two monfoons on the coaft of Africa; but Kópos is not the name of a wind in Greek; neither am I informed whether Ras-el-had feparates the monfoons.

## CORRECTIONS

P. 3 II. lin. 20. The Bay Sachalites, mentioned here, looks as if the author of the Pcriplus had two bays of the fame name, prior and ulterior, as Al Edriff has; but there is no collateral proof of this.
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I. IntroduEliont--1I. Courre from Oman in Arabia up the Gulph of Perfia, or to Karmania.-III. Omana in Karmania.-IV. Courfe to the Indus. - V. Scindi, Mituágara, Barbárikè.-VI. Cutch, Cuzerat, Barugaza.-VII. Kingdom of Bactria, Tigara, Plitbana, Ozếnè, Dekan.- VIII. Aríakè or Concan, the Pirate Coafts, Akabaroos, Oopara or Stipara, Kalliena or Bombay, Scmulla, Mandágora, Palaipatnai, Mclizéigara, Tobparon, Turannos-boas, Sefekreienai, Aigidii, Kainéliai, Leuke.-IX. Limúrikè or Canara, Naoora, Tundis, Nelkundu, Ela-Bákarè.-X. Kingdom of Pandion, XI. Hipppalus, and the Monfoon.-XII. Balita, Cómarei, Kolkbi, Pearl Fi/bery,-XIII. Ceylon.
I. THE productions of India, and the Eaftern World, are not fought after with greater avidity at the prefent hour, than they were by the inhabitants of Europe in the remoteft ages, and all the nations which encircled the Mediterranean. Luxury this was called by the philofophers and patriots both of Greece and

Rome. But if every thing that is foreign is luxurious, there could be no commerce in the world; and if every thing which is not ftrictly neceflary for the fupport of life be fuperfluous, thirft might be fatisfied without wine, and food digefted without the addition of a relifh. In this view, the moft ordinary accompaniments of the table fhould be difcarded; and falt and pepper fhould be enumerated among the gratifications ${ }^{2}$ of a fenfual appetite. But if both are ftimulants, ftill they are no lefs falutary than grateful; and no reafon can be given why falt fhould be confidered as facred at the table of the Greeks and Romans, while pepper was condemned as the indulgence of a voluptuary; unlefs that the one was a domeftic produce, and the other an exotic. But barbarians were not to be enriched at the expence of Europe, and the Roman world was not to be impoverifhed for the attainment of Oriental luxuries;--certainly not, if the fword could retain as eafily as it acquires; but the wealth acquired by rapine mult of neceffity revert again into the channels of commerce; and commerce, whether it tends to the Eaf: or to the Weft, will impoverifh every nation which has no native induftry to replace its demands. Rationally speaking, all commerce confifts in the exchange of fuperfluities; and luxuries are as eafily introduced by dealing with nations nearer home, as with thofe at a diftance. There is as little reafon for declaiming againft the Alexandrians who purchafed pepper in Inclia with the gold of Egypt, as againft the Athenians, who exchanged the filver of Laureum for the falt of Sicily or Crete.

[^160]dinate efurie non fuit fatis . . . et tamen pons dere emitur ut aurum vel argentum. Lib. xii. c. 14. Hard.

Pliny complains that the Roman world was exhaufted by a drain of four hundred thoufand pounds ${ }^{*}$ a-year, required for the purchafe of luxuries, equally expenfive as fuperfluous: what would he have faid of the expenditure of our fingle ifland, confifting of two millions, for the purchafe of tea only in China, without comprehending any other of our inveftments in the Eaft? And yet this, and all the other luxuries we import, do not impoverifh us; becaufe we export on the one hand as we receive on the other; and, fo far as we are the principal carriers between the Eaftern and the Weftern world, we ftand in the fame fituation as thofe ancient nations held, which were the medium between India and the Roman empire, but with an hupdred times more trade, more induftry and capital.

As Providence has varied the temperature of different climates, fo has it given to man a predilection for fuch things as are not the produce ; of his native foil. The wildeft tribes of America admit traders into their country, and allow them to pafs through it with fecurity; the Scythians ${ }^{*}$ likewife, according to the earlieft teltimony of hiflory, fuffered the merchants of the Euxine to penetrate farther on the eaft and north, than we can trace their progrefs by the light of modern information.

In civilized countries, this appctite incteafes in proportion to our

[^161]eft, et alienarum aviditas. Plin. xii. 19 .
4 See the Introduction to the Third Book of Herodotns, as a proof of the courage, ittduftry, and abilities, of the Greek merchants, as well as of the extent of ancient difcovery towards the north, relative to the Danube, the Euxine, the Palas Meotis, the Don, and the Wolga, illultrated by the commentary of Rennell, and difplayed with much learning and accuracy of inveitigation.
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knowledge, and the opportunity of procuring the variety which we covet. The indulgences of the palate are among the firf fimulants of this emotion ; and fecond to thefe is all that can delight the eye, or the mind, by novelty, beauty, variety, intrinfic or imaginary value. Excels of indulgence, avidity of poffeiling, profufion in acquiring, and wantonnefs in ufing, this variety of foreign articles, are both vicious and luxurious; but where to fix the limit between the ufe and the abufe, is a queftion more difficult to determine chan we are aware of. Pliny condcmns, above meafure, the vanity of purchafing pearls and precious flones for the ornament of the Roman women; while he extols the works of art in fculpture, painting, and engraving, with all the enthufiafm of an admirer. Dut if every thing is luxurious that is not neceflary to our exifence, the ormamenting of a houfe is certainly not more nfeful or more rational than the decoration of a woman. And if the works of art are a fpecimen of human abilities, pearls, diamonds, and precious metals, are the gift of the Creator: the things themfelves are indifferent; the temperate ${ }^{5}$ ufe of them embellihes life, and it is only the abufe of them which becomes avarice, prodigality, or folly.

The activity produced by the interchange of fuperfuities, is the glory of commerce, and the happinefs of man ; but if its merits were to be fixed by the ftandard of utility alone, very narrow would be the limits within which the defence of it, by its warmeft advocates, muft be confined. Ufe we can difcover nonc in the burning of tin foil before an idol in China; and yet this practice of a nation at one extremity of the world gives bread to thoufands at the other,

[^162]fupports the mariner during a voyage of eleven thoufand miles, and procures for Britaia, by means of a native metal, what he mult otherwife have purchafed by an imported one.

Moral and philofophical reafoning, however, upon this queftion, has had little weight in determining the general practice and habits of mankind. The prevailing tafte implanted in our nature has made the pepper of Malabar, and the cinnamon of Ceylon, articles of requeft, from the time of Mofes to the prefent hour; the finer fpices of the Moluccas grew equally into favour, in proportion as they became known; and the more modern demand for the tea of China, and the fugar of the Eaft or Weft Indies, will never ceafe, but with the impoffibility of procuring either of thofe articles, by the deftruction of all intercourfe between the feveral nations of the world.

It has been fhewn in the preceding pages, how the precious commodities of the Eaft were procured, from the earlieft periods that hiftory can reach; and no revolutions of empire, either in the ancient or modern world, have ever been able to fop all the means of communication at once: the channels obfructed in one direction, have been opened in another. Tyranny, avarice, and extortion, bave defeated their own ends: the monopoly of one country, as it grew intolerable, was transferred to others that were lefs oppreffive; fluctuating generally between the Red Sea, and the Gulph of Perfia; and driven fometimes to the North, by the exactions common to both. Such was the fate alfo of the laft monopoly between Egypt and Venice, which, by its enormity, drove the Portuguele to the difcovery of the communication by fea; and this channel once opened, can never be clofed; the whole world are partakers in tine
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benefit ; and Britain has the pre-eminence, only becaufc the has the greatef induftry, the largeft capital, and the fuperiority of naval power.

It-is a political confideration, awful to contemplate, and difficult to difcufs, but fill neceflary to keep conftantly in view, when we reflect how deeply all the interefts of our country are concerned in the continuance of the pre-eminence we at prefent enjoy. Our poffeffions in India are almof become a part of our exiftence as a nation : to abandon them is impoffible; to maintain them-a perpetual ftruggle with the native powers, and the powers of Europe to fupport them. It requires all the vigilance of government, and all the vigour of the controuling power, to take care that the natives fhould not be difcontented under our empire; and that the nations of Europe fhould not be outraged by our approach to monopoly. Thefe confiderations, however, are totally diftinct from the commerce itfelf, and totally foreign to the object of the prefent work: I touch them only as they arife, and return with pleafure to the humbler office of a commentator on the Periplûs.

## II. COURSE FROM OMAN, IN ARABIA, UP THE GULPH OF PERSIA, or, TO KARMANIA.

We have now our choice of two courfes; one up the Gulph of Perfia to Bahrein and Oboleh, and the other acrofs the open fea from Arabia to Karmania; where we arrive, after a paffage of fix days, at the port of Omana. This port manifefly takes ifs name from the province of Oman in Arabia, and was doubtlefs a colony of Arabs, eftablifhed on the coaft oppofite to their own, for the purpofe
purpofe of approaching nearer to Scindi and India, or as an intermediate port on their voyage outward, and homeward bound. Whether the merchant, whofe journal we are examining, ever went up the Gulph, or touched at the port of O'mana, is highly problematical. If he was there, he has left us but flender particulars of the place; but there are fome circumftances which induce a perfuafion, that he paffed from Arabia, either to the Indus or Barugaza, at a fingle ftretch; for, in the firt place, he has fixed O'mana in Perfis, which mult of neceffity be either in Karmania or Gadrofia; and, added to this, his account of Oraia, in the latter province, is too obfcure to prove any intimate knowledge of the country.
III. OMANA IN GADROSIA.

Omana we recover a trace of in the Kombaria ${ }^{6}$, or Nommana, of Ptolemy; in the province of Gadrofia ${ }^{\text {, }}$, and in the bay he calls Paragon, to the eaftward of Karpella ${ }^{8}$, or Cape Bombareek. I have proved, in the Voyage of Nearchus, and in the former part of this work, that the Arabs had vifited this coaft previous to all the navigation of the Greeks; but this O'mana is not mentioned by Nearchus, and was therefore a colony eftablifhed between his time and the date of the Periplâs. Its immediate reprefentative cannot be now afcertained; but its relative fituation may be affigned from

[^163]Ptolemy, between the River ${ }^{\circ}$ Ifkim and Muckfa; fo that it muft be in Gadrofia, and not many leagues ealt from Cape Jafk.

There is a regular intercourfe between Barugaza and this port, which extends alfo to Oboleh, at the head of the Perfian Gulph, The imports confift of

Eúncy $\sum$ araxìivuy ${ }^{10}$, - - Saṇdal Wood.
$\Delta o x \tilde{w}, \quad$ - - - Wood fquared; perhaps $\triangle o x \tilde{\sim} y$ Eavdanivev.
Kєpáray, - - - - Horn.

Except ebony and fandal wood, there is nothing appropriate in this cargo. क.

But it is added, that a particular fpecies of veffels called Madarátè were built here for the Arabians, the planking of which was fewed together without nails, like thofe already. defcribed on the coaft of Africa. Veffels of this kind, called Trankies, and Dows, are ftill in ufe; and they were formerly built in Africa or Gadrofia, we may conclude, becaufe Arabia furnifhes few materials for the conftruction of thips.

The only import from Kanc was Frankincenfe ; while both ${ }^{12}$ from Oboleh and O'mana great quantities of Pearl were exported, but of an inferior fort, to Arabia anid Barugaza; and befides this;



After leaving the diftrict of O'mana, the country 's which fucceeds belongs to another government; and there is a bay which is called the Bay of the Terabdi, formed by the coaft trending inwards, in . the middle of their territory.

## 1V. COURSE TO THE INDUS.

This Bay of the Terabdi anfwers to the Paragôn of Ptolemy, although there is in reality no bay on the coaft. No extent is given to that of the Periplus ; but the Paragôn of Ptolemy extends. from Karpella to Alambateir, or Guadel. Doubtlefs this is an error arifing out of the form of the coaft upon approaching the Gulph of Perfia; and if we fuppofe, the ancient courfe of the paffage from Arabia to Karmania to have been made acrofs, without approaching the Straits, the apprehenfion of fuch a bay is natural. This is the paffage indicated by the Periplûs, fix days in extent; and may perhaps have mifled the author, as well as others, who followed the fame courfe.

In or near this bay, we are informed that there is a river which admits veffels, and a fmall port at the mouth of it called Oraia.

[^164]The capital of the diftrict is inland, at the diftance' of feven days journey, where the king refides. 'The country produces plenty of corn, wine, rice, and dates; but on the coaft nothing except bdellium ${ }^{1 t}$.

Thefe circumfances happen to coincide with an account given to Lieut. Porter, when he was at Chewabad ${ }^{\text {1s }}$, on this coaft; for a coaft without produce he experienced, and the natives told him of a city feven days inland, large and walled: if therefore we knew where to fix the limits of our author's bay of Terabdi, we fhould have fomething to direct us to a pofition. The river feems like the Tanka Banca, or White River, of the charts, while Oraia bears a refemblance to the Oritz of Nearchus; but to thefe if is hardly related, as the journal certainly intimates a great extent of the coaft between Oraia and the Indus; while the Oritæ of Nearchus are within fifty leagues of that river. Wé find no Oraia in Ptolemy; and if we are ftill in Gadrofia, there is no place. feven days inland which would anfwer to the Oraia of our author, but the Pleregh; or Poora, of Arrian. But on the whole of this, as we have fo few data to guide us, it is fafer to fufpend our judgment than to decide.

On the coaft which follows, and which may be fuppoled to be the tract between Guadel and the Indus; the defcription accords much better with the reality ${ }^{16}$; for we are told, that ${ }^{17}$ there is a

4 A gum. See Plina xii. 9 .
${ }^{3}$ Churbar Lieut. Porter's Memoir,' p. 8 . is Mr. Dalrymple's Collection.
${ }^{16}$ This defcription anfwers much better than that of Ptolemy, who has one line of coaft from Alambatcir, or Guadel, to the heid of the Bay of Kutch.


 vépesca ros $\beta$ opíar. This palfage, ill conitructed as it in, I truit I have remecred faithfully : vxibprepuians, I imagine, exprefies encircling to a -woff extent; applicd to an arm it means, outflanking the wobole: and in $\tau$ Trie weronins may be faid of a bay, the head of which is to the eagf,
vaft fweep of the fhore round the indenture of the bays, which have an inclination to the Eaft; and, after paffing thefe, a low tract of country towards the fea, called Scythia, lies on the north of the courfe, and which extends to the river Sinthus.

Thefe bays are evidently meant for thofe that are formed by the Capes Poffem, Arraba, and Monze; and the bay immediately preceding Monze has a large fweep; to which, with the affiftance of imagination, we may give a direction to the Eaft, as its inmoft curve is fomewhat to the eaft of Cape Monze. It is added, that during the courie from Monze to the Indus, the land is low, and lies to the north of the veffel that is paffing to the Eaft. This tract is now called Scindi; and the Scythia of the Periplûs, wherever it occurs, is the actual Scindi ${ }^{18}$ of the Oriental and modern geographers. Why the author writes Scythia, and why Ptolemy finds an Indo-Scythia in this country, has already been conjectured in the Voyage of Nearchus; where it was obferved, on the authority of the Ayeen Acbari, that the country is divided between the Hendians and Sethians. I an mylelf perfuaded that this diftinction is
and the apening to the weft. But if we read
 not be very different, but the range of the coaft more difficult to comprehend.

* The difitiction in Al हidtiff and the Oriental geographers, is Scind and Hind; that is, Scindi and Hindooftan. Scindi comprehends the country on both fides the Indus; and the Indus itfelf is written Scind or Sind, with an S , which is preferved in the Siathus of the Periphts-iry the Sindi and Sindociuda of Ptolemy, This Indus acquires another name while it continues a fingle ftream ; for between Moultan and Tatta, it is called Mehran

Mekran, and hence Kutch Mekran, the country on the coaft weft of the Mehran ; and from Kutch Renuell derivés Gadrofia. T'here is likew ife ànother Oriental difinciun, hetween Hind andSin in which Hind menas Hindohan, and Sin, or Chin, Cochin China: Cllin is alfo written Cheen ; and Ma-Chten, Greal Cheen, means the onnerry we now call China.-I ought not to difmifs this note withow ob-ferving, that the Mehran of Ebn Haukel is the Chiin-ab, or Akéfines: he is, in this, at variance with other Oriental writers; but his authority ftands high.
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original; and that it is the caufe of the error which has been adopted by Ptolemy, and other ancient geographers: but if this opinion is rejected, I fhould then fay, that Scythia is a corruption of Scynthia, and that Scynthia is as precifely Scindi, as Sinthus is *he Indus.

## v. SCINDI, MINNÁGARA, BARBÁRIK民

I shail collect the feveral particulars relating to Scindi, which lie difperfed in other parts of the Journal, to this point; for it is natural to conclude, that from the time of Alexander, and the, publication of the Voyage of Nearchus, the Greeks had always confidered Pátala as the Port to which they were to direct their views, in order to obtain the precious commoditics of the Eaft. I have every where allowed that, while the mafs of the trade was confined between Egypt and Sabêa, fingle fhips, or individual merchants, might have reached India from the ports of the Red Sea. It is natural alfo to fuppofe, that the fubjects of the Seleucidæ were directed by the fame inducements, while the Syrian Monarchy was in its vigour,-while it poffeffed Sufiana, Perfis, Karmania, ard the whole eaftern fide of the Gulph of Perfia, and before it was weakened by the revolt of Parthia, Bactria, and the country at the fources of the Indus. The celebrated embafies likewife of the Syrian monarchs to Sandrocottus and Alitróchades, the fovereigns of Hindoftan, probably ethbrated objects of commerce as well as empire; for thofe who found their way to the Ganges, could not be unacquainted with the profits to be derived from the commerce of the Indus.

The firft ${ }^{\text {ts }}$ thip that coafted round the peninfula of Arabia from the Red Sea, or that retraced the fteps of Nearchis back again from the Gulph of Perfia, would naturally direct its courfe to Pátala and the Indus. Here it was known from hiftory that the pro: ductions of the Eaft were to be obtained; and here the trade, which paffed in the earlieft ages between all the countries at the fources of the Indus and the coaft of Malabar, mult always have fixed its centre. As dhe Greeks and Romans increafed their knowledge, and finally became acquainted with the monfoon, they made their paffage to India direct ; but the voyage to the Indus was not yet abandoned in the age of the Periplûs, nor probably for feveral ages later. Pátala our merchant does not mention, but there were evidently two marts of importance fill on this river: one; towards its iffue, called Barbárikè ; and another, fomewhere in or near the Illand of Behker, higher up, named Minnágara, which correfponded with. the Sogdi, or Mufikanus, of the Macedonians', and which has been replaced by the Behker (Manfoura) or the Loheri of modern Scindi, or any one of the capitals occupied by different invaders in the various revolutions of this country.

Minnagar ${ }^{20}$, or Minnágara, perhaps the Binágara of Ptolemy, is defcribed as the capital of the country, and the refidence of a fovereign, whofe ${ }^{2 z}$ power cxtended in that age as far as Barugaza, or

[^165]20. Minanagar is the fortrefs or city of $\mathrm{Min}_{2}$. like Bifingar, Tattanagar, sec.
"Maghmoed the Ghaznevide, comning down the Indus, mado his fuft imoads into Guzerat; and there feema to be a general connection bectyer this prowicice and, Scindi, for the language is the fame foom Surat to Tatta, ns we learn from Paolino, p. 2 t̆́2.

Guzerat.

Guzerat. The government was in the hands of a tribe of Parthians ${ }^{2 x}$, divided into two partics; each party ${ }^{23}$, as it prevailed, chofe a king out of its own body, and drọe ont the king of the oppofite faction. This fovereign, however, muft have been of conrequence, or the trade of his country very lucrative to the merchant, as appears by the prefents neceflary to enfure his protection. Thefe were,

Bapút
Мятiad ${ }^{24}$, - - - - Mufical fuffruments.
Hapêivor suvideris mpòs $\pi$ tandaxiovy, Handfome Girls for the Haram.'
'Oivos dix' Qoppós, $^{2}$ - - - The beft Wine.

 Unguents.

* Thefe articles are all expenfive, and the beft of their kind. The profits upon the trade muft therefore have been great; but if Pliny's account be true, that every pound laid out in India produced an

[^166]Aghwains, whote inroads into India have been frequegt in all ages. That the, govemment was not Hindoo is manifefl; and ary tribe from the Weit might be confounded with Parthians. If we fuppofe them to be Aghwans, this is a primary conquell of that nation, extending from the Indus to Cuzerat, very fimilar to the invanions of Mabmood the Ghaznavide, and the prefent Abdollees or Durrannees. The Belootches, who bave infelled this country from the time of Alexander to the prefent hour, are a tribe of Aghwans: but the whole of this is fuggelted as a mere. conjecture.
4. Mustxos in Greece would have a different fitfe; but I follow Hudfon; I think he is correct, confidering the country.
hundred at Rome, greater exactions than thefe might eafily be fupported.

The precife fituation of Minnágara it is not eafy to determine; but if it be the Minhavareh of Al Biruni ${ }^{23}$, inferted in De la Rochette's Map, I conclude it is allo the Manhaberè of Al Edriffl. Al Biruni was a native of the country, and confequently his authority is great; and it is to be prefumed that De la Rochette follows him as a guide, in placing Minhavareh on the Indus, between the Illand of the Behker and the Delta: Al Edriffi places his Manhaberè at two fations, or fixty miles, from Dabil; and Dabil, he adds, is three ftations, or ninety miles, from the mouth of the Indus; that is, it is at the head of the Delta, and Manhaberè fixty miles higher. But he adds, that it is towards the weft, which caufes fome confufion, unlefs he means by this that it is in the Inand of Behker, which he extends likewife to the weft. But if Al Biruni and AI Edriffi can be reconciled, a Minhavareh, fixty miles above the Delta, agrees perfectly with the Mipnágara of the Periplûs, and fufficiently with the Binnágara of Ptolemy; but not with bis Minnágara, for that is in Cluzerat, and he has another in the Bay of Bengal. D'Anville ${ }^{26}$ fuppofes Minnágara to be the fame as Manfoura, and Dabil to be at the mouth of the Indus, inftead of being at the head of the Delta, where Al Edriff places it; but we approach fo near a conclufion by means of the two Oriental geographers, that I think it may be depended on. The journal fays, that the fhips lay at Barbarikè, which was a port on the middle branch of the Indus, near the fea, and facing a fmall inland; that Minnagar was beyond it inland; and that the whole cargo was carried up to that I So called from the place of his refidence, Abulfeda in Melch. Thevenot, vol. i. p. 9.
Al Dirun, between Dabul and Manfura.- Antiq. de Inde, p. 34 .
metropolis by the river. The reprefentative to fupply the place of fuch a capital would be the modera Loheri, at the louthern cermindtion of the Ifle of Bchker, which, a century ago, was a place of confiderable commerce, and gave name to the two principal branches of the Indus, eaft and weft, as they divide to embrace the Delta: the eaftern is ftyled Bundar-Loheri, and the weftern, LoheriBundar ${ }^{27}$.

One circumftance mof remarkable is, that the port of Barbarike is placed on the middle channel of the feven; and the other fix are faid to be too fhallow, or too marfhy, to be navigable. This is contrary to the report of Nearchus, and to our modern accounts; for Alexander navigated the two extreme ${ }^{28}$ channels, eaft and weft; and they were both navigable within thefe fifty years. Whether the government of Minnagar cleared and opened the centre one, can only be conjectured; fhips did not go up it, and what water was required for the boats that carried up their lading, dcpends on the nature of the veffels which were employed. The Ritchel River, and that which iffues at Scindi Bar, may either of them have been navigable in former times, or in different ages, according to the intereft or fituation of the different governments which may have prevailed. Rennell "f fill speaks of the Ritchel River as the largeft ; and without calculating whether it is precifely the central iffue of the feven, here Barbarikè might be placed, if other circumftances, fhould be found

[^167]by the government; for Tippoo Sultan's embaffiadors to the Abdollee Shah did not go up the Indus, but landed at Caranchy or Crotchey. See his Letters and Orders, in the Affatick Ann. Regiiter.
${ }_{29}$ Memoir, laft ed. p. 180.
to correfpond. It is fome proof of the fact, that Ptolemy has placed his Barbari in the Delta, convenient for the third and fourth channel; but his Barbari does not anfwer to the Barbárikè of the Periplûs; it is above his Patala, while the Barbarikè of the Periplûs is at the mouth of the channel, and clofe to the fea. It ought likewife to be obferved, that this term is not the native name of a port, but a Greek epithet ${ }^{30}$, implying, the Barbaric Port, the Barbaric Country, derived, if the conjecture may be allowed, from the merchants finding here thofe articles which they had formerly purchafed at Mofyllon, on the original Berber coaft of Africa, where there is a Barbora to this day, and from whence many of the Oriental articles ${ }^{31}$ in the market of Alexandria were called Barbarine and Barbarick.
 is.a mofl extraordinary circumftance, which I ${ }^{*}$ am informed of by, Mr. A. Hamilton, that Barbara has precifely the fame mearing in Sanfleret, as it has in Greek, Latin, and Englifl ; all manifefly deducible from Egypt. A term of reproach fynonimous with favage.
${ }^{31}$ I fuhmit the following conjecture to the natural hillorians, without any affertion of its truth, or fiffecient means of afcertaining it :Rhubarb is witten Rha Darbarum and Rha Porilictun; and as the belt rhabarb alwaya came out of Ealtern Tartary, the firt courfe by which it would reach Grecce would be by the $W_{i n}$, , the Cafpian, and the Euxinc. Non Rha is the native name of the Wolga; and Rha Ponticum would be the drug that came by the Rha, and Pontus, into Greece. But another conveyance of this drug would be out of Tartary to Cabul, and from Cabul down the Indus to Scindi, and to this port of Barbari, or Barbarike. If then the name of
the drug Rha was already received in Europe, would not the Rha procured in Scindi be called the Rha Barbarum? - I have not found this drug in Pliny, but fufpect it to be his Rhacoma, xxvii. 105. very dabioufly defcribed ; and I know that Rha Ponticum, and Rha, Barbarum, convey now ideas not confonant to this explication; but ftill it may be the true one, originally: the ground for the adoption of this opinion is derived from Salmafius. Bayer oblerves, that Rla fignifies a river in the language of the natives. Wift. Bact. p. 163 . from Scaliger, Doct. Temporum, That Rta the plant, derived its name from Rlit the river, we have certain information in Ammianus Marcellinus: Huc, Flia vicinos df amnis in cujus fupetciliis ejufdem nominis, gignitur radix proficiens ad ufus multiplices medclarum. Am. Mar. p. 390; and, becaufe this root was brought out of the Enxine, he confounds the Rha with the Don, and fuppofes it near the Palus Muzotis. The rhubarb brought inte

The articles imported at Barbarikè are,


The Exports are,
Kórros, - - - Coitus. A pice.
B关 $\lambda \lambda \alpha_{2}$ - - - Bdellium. A gum.
Lúxioy, - - - Yellow dye.
Nápoos, - - - Spikenard.

$\Sigma$ а́тл егроs, - . . - Sapphires. $^{\text {- }}$

'OAÓng - - - Cottons.

India in modern times, came by the caravan which paffed between Cabul and Cafhgar, three months journey from a mart called Mar' Chaun, but ultimately from China. See Finch in Purchas, vol. ii. p. 434.
${ }_{32}^{32}$ Veftis Polymitos. Veftis filis verficoloribus contexta. But dubious.


Such are the different articles of export and import; and the author obferves, that in order to reach this port in the proper feafon, the fhips fhould leave the harbour of Bereníkè in Epiphi, or July; adding, that the paffage down the Red Sea is difficult at fo early a period, but that a favourable wind (that is, the monfoon) is more eafily obtained after you have paffed the ftraits, and the voyage more expeditious ${ }^{35}$. This is in harmony with the account of Pliny, who informs us, that the paffage down the gulph took up thirty days; a long time for a paffage fhort of five hundred miles, and which proves, not only the difficulty of the navigation, but the unfkilfulnefs of the navigators. Upon approaching the mouths of the Indus, the fea is white; and the fign of land before it is feen, is a multitude of fnakes, called Graai, floating on the furface. This circumftance, which feemed fabulous to the ancients, and fome of the moderns, is now known to be a fact that takes place down the whole coaft of Malabar, as well as on the approach to the Indus : it is imputed to the rains of the monfoon wahing down thefe animals ${ }^{36}$ out of the rivers. I fhall here alfo take occafion to do juftice to. Agatharchides, for condemning his report of a whitenefs in the fea off the coaft of Arabia. I am not apt to fuppofe every extraordinary report falfe, in authors ancient or modern; and I have pleafure in acknowledging the veracity of Agatharchides in this inftance, on the authority of Corfali ${ }^{37}$, Thornton, and Terry; Corfali's aceount,

[^168][^169]account, indeed, goes rather to confirm the Periplus; but the evidence of Thornton and Terry is direct, " that the fea near Socotra " is as white as milk." We are every day leffening the bulk of the marvellons imputed to the ancients; and as our knowledge of the Eaft increafes, it is poffible that the imputation will be altogether removed.

From the whole of the particulars collected at the Indus, there is every reafon to believe that the writer of the Periplûs was here in perfon: the minute circumftances recorded form a ftrong contralt with the flight notice of the Gulph of Perfia and the Coaft of Gadrofia; and the more circumftantial detail refpecting Guzerat and Cambay, which we are now approaching, is fo very remarkable, that the defription could hardly have occurred, unlefs it were derived from information on the fot.

## vi. CUTCH, GUZERAT, BARUGAZA.

The firft place we are directed to on leaving the Indus, is the Bay of Cutch or Kartich; the Kanthi ${ }^{38}$ of Ptolemy, the Eírinon of the Periplûs : it is faid to be unexplored ${ }^{39}$; a circumftance appropriate to it at the prefent hour ; and to have two divifions, the
"is as white as milk." Terry in Purchas, vol. iii. p. 1467 .

Vicino al India trecento miglia, l'acque del mare fi moftran come di latte che mi pare effer caufato d' al fondo, per effervi l' arena biança. Andrea Corfali. Ramufio, tom. i. p. $17^{8}$.

See Periplus, p. 36. and Agatharchides in Fudfon, p. 64 .
${ }^{36}$ Cantha is one of the names of Crifina, as Hy/band or Lord. There are ftill great re-
mains of Hindoo fnperftition in this part of India : a pagoda in Kutfen, another at Jaigat, and a third at Sumnaut-all ftill confpicuous; and Sumnaut and Jaigat fill vifited in pilgrimage. Mr. A. Hamilton.
${ }^{39}$ 'ANex́ymos; but an Enghifh officer, taken prifoner by the pirates, was carried up it, according to Rennell. The pirates fhould be thofe of Goomtee, just to the eaft of Jaigat.
greater and the lefs, both fhoal, with violent and continual eddies extending far out from the fhore; fo that veffels are often aground before they fee land, or are hurried away by the eddies and loft. The fhore begins to curve as foon as you leave the Indus ${ }^{40}$; firt towards the eaft, next in a foutherly direction, and, finally, back again to the weft ; till it reaches the promontory Barákes, which thuts in feven iflands with its projection. This cape reprefents, with fufficient exacinefs, the Jaigat point of our charts, and its iflands within, which are at this day the retreat of a piratical tribe, vifited by the Englih within thefe few years *'.

If a veffel approaches this point, her only chance to efcape, is an immediate alteration of her courfe; for if fhe is once well within it, it is certain deftruction. The fea rolls in here, a large and heavy fwell, with great violence; forming eddies and whirlpools in every direction. The foundings likewife vary from deep to fhoal, or rocky, without warning; fo that if you attempt to anchor, the cables are cut or rubbed by the foulnefs of the bottom. But the fign of approaching this bay, is another fpecies of ferpents, floating on the water, larger, and of a black colour; while thofe that are met with at Barugaza, and lower down, are green, with a golden hue, and of a fmaller fize.

From Barákès, and the Bay of Eírinon, the next in fucceffion is the Bay of Barugaza, which terminates [fouth-weft] on the boun-
 The text feems to give the name of Barákes to the coaft as well as the cape. D'Anville finds here a tract called Barfeti, the Barafit of Al Biruni, p. 83 .
${ }^{4}$ In ${ }^{\text {4I }} 799$. See Indian Reg. 1800 , Chronicle, p. 3. The diftrict is called Goomtes:
the pirates are faid to have been driven from Kutich, between the Indus and the head of the gulph, and to have fettled on the oppofite fhore of Guzerat, fince called Little Kutich. They are the Sanganians of our carly navigators, the Sangadx of Nearchus.
dary of Ariakè ${ }^{42}$, the territory of Mámbarus, who is fovereign allo of all India ${ }^{* 3}$. Inland, on the north, the diftrict of Barugáza joins to Scindi, and is fubject to the Parthians of Minnagar ; and the feacoaft, from Scindi towards Guzerat, is called Suraftrènc. It produces abundance of corn, rice, oil of fefamum, ghee, and cotton for ordinary manufacture; and the cottons of Minnagar are carried to Barugáza for exportation. The natives are black, and men of large ftature, and the herds of cattle in the country are numerous. Suraftrênè ${ }^{4}$ muft therefore be the Kutich of our modern charts, the capital of which is Boogebooge; a tract wholly inhofpitable, and now never vifited ; fo that we have no opportunity of knowing ${ }^{45}$ whether it anfwers to the account of the Periplùs or not.

The paflage from Barbarikè to Barugaza is [not made along fhore by the Bay of Eírinon and Barákes, but] ftrait acrofs to the headland of Papíka. ${ }^{46}$, which lies oppofite to the harbour of Barugáza, and in the neighbourhood of Aftra Kampra and Trápera. This

[^170]${ }^{4+}$ Suraftrênè is not fo abfolutely confined in the text to Kutfch, that it may not extend to the coalt of Guzerat allo; but in allotting it to Kutfch onlys we unite the account in the Periples with the geography of Ptolemy; and the text itielf is fo corrupt that we are utterly at a lofs; for it fays, the inland part of Scyathis touches on Iberia. Iberia is certainly a falfe readiag, but what ought to be fubllituted for it is dubious: Hudfon, or Stuckius, read Ex6spia, from Ptolemy; and Ptulemy has Ma-


1s Orme lays, it furnifhes a good breed of horfes, which implies pafture for other cattle alfo. Hift. Fragnents, notes, p. 107.
*5 D'Anville finds here a Soto Papera, for Afto Papika; but upon what authority he doce not mention. Antiq. del Inde, p. 83 .

cape forms the weftern point of the Bay of Barugáza, at the extremity of which lies the Inand of Baiônès ${ }^{47}$; and from this point the coaft runs northerly till it reaches the head of the gulph; there it receives the river ${ }^{45}$ Mais "and then returns again fouth to Darugaza itfelf, and proceeds, in the fame direction, to the main coalt of the penisfula.] It is added, that the paffage from Scynthia to Baionnes is three thoufand Itadia, which agrees fufficiently with the actual difance of about three hundred miles,

Among all theie particulars, there is not a fingle circumftance which doss not accord ${ }^{\text {to }}$ with the actual nature of the voyage at the prefent day, from Scindi Bar to Diu Head; for Baiônès ${ }^{50}$ is Dius"; and from Diu, the coaft rans N.E. to the head of the Gulph of Cambay, where we find the River Mahi, as the reprefentative of Mais. From Mahi the diredtion of the fhore is fouth to Baroache, the Barugáza ${ }^{52}$ of the journal on the Nerbudda, which the Periplins calls the Lamnaius, and Ptolemy the Namádus ", ftill written Narmadd in fome of the Hindoo books. The other part of the account, which at firt feems to intimate that the bay is thirty miles acrofs,

[^171]but the central point feems relative. He Speaks magoificently of the tuade of Cambay in Lis time; and exsenfive it continued, till the greater proximity of Surat to the opea fea attracted the trade to that port.
${ }^{52}$ Diu is Dive, the Iffe. Din Head is Pa. pika, the cape immediately welt of Diu,
sa Barugaza fignifies the $W_{\text {ater of }}$ Wealth, from Bari, quater, and Gaza, weulhh, viches, trafure, or treafury ; the fame in Sanklereet as in Perfic. Mr. A. Hamilton.
${ }^{3}$ A fiatick Refearches, is it not NaburBhudda ? or Nahr Mahudeo? The Soane, its kindred ftream, is called Soane-Budda,
will perhaps bear a more favourable confrutetion, which I fubmir to the judgment of the reader: [" Upon arriving] at this st gulph, " thofe who are botind to Barugáza [kecp clear of the land on " either fide] and pafs up the open channel for thirty miles, leaving "Baiônès on the left, till it is fcarcely vifible in the horizon, [their " courfe is] then eaft to the very mouth of the river that leads " to Barugáza."

The paffage into this gulph is narrow, and difficult of accefs when you approach it from the fea, leaft you fhould be carried away to the right hand or the left. The left fide is the beft; for on the right there lies a fripe of Choal, rough and broken, called Herônè, near the village of Kammonis"; and this fhoal of Herônè, notwithftanding the fhifting to which fands are liable, is not undifcoverable at the prefent day, or at leaft a reprefentative for it, which will fufficiently clucidate the account in the journal. The charts and maps are full of fhoals; De la Rochette has one extending from Swally to below Daman, and others without it; and a particular one off Groapnought Point, which feems to be the Jamteir Shoal of Skinner, correfponding with the fituation required: all of them are long, narrow fripes, like the Fillet [Tawiox] of the Periplûs, caufed

[^172]but may fignify pafing through the fea, for 30 miles up the channel.
ss Kammoni is fufficiently marked here on the fide of the Gulph of Cambay, oppofite to Dily, to fhew that it cannot be far from the polition of Surat, or at lealt muft be fouth of Barugaza; and fo Ptolcmy places K.imanes in his moit diftorted map of this coaft; and yet Major Rennell fays, Cambay appears to be the Camanes of Ptolemy, Memoir, laf edit. p. 210.
apparently by the rapidity of the tide, which throws up the fand, but will not permit it to accumulate in breadth. On the left, oppofite to Kammôni, near the promontory of Afta Kampra, lies the cape called Pápika ${ }^{56}$ : here it is difficult to anchor, both on account of the current, and becaufe the cables are cut by the foulnefs of the bottom. But even when the paffage into the gulph is fecured, the mouth of the Barugáza River is not eafy to hit; for the coaft is low, and there are no certain marks to be feen: neither, if it is difcovered, is it eafy to enter, from the fhoals ${ }^{57}$ which are at the mouth. For this reafon pilots are appointed by government, with attendants in large boats, called Trappaga and Kotumba; thefe veffels advance as far as Suraftrênè, or Kutfch, and wait there to pilot the trade up to Barugáza. Their firft fervice, at the entrance of the gulph, is to bring round the fhip's head, and keep her clear of the fhoals: this they do by means of the many hands they have on board, and by taking the veffel in tow from fation to ftation, which fations are all known and marked, they move with the beginning of the tide, and anchor as foon as it is fpent at certain berths that are called Bafons ${ }^{\text {ss }}$; and thefe bafons ftill retain water after the tide is our, all the way to Barugáza. The town itfelf lies thirty miles up the river; which fact directs us to Baroache, without a poffibility of miftake.

The difficulty of navigating this bay affords a fufficient reafon why Barugáza fhould be more flourifhing than Cambay, and Surat

[^173]preferable to Barugiza or Baronche; and yet Cambily was a great place of trade when Tavernier was in India. ' Mr. Eamilton adds, that the people of Cambay were formerly hetorodox, or Bhuddilts; and that Aríake, which correfponds with Kemkem, or Concan, is the Country of Believers, probably in contraft to the inhabitants of Cambay. How wonderfully does this accord with the rife and fuccefs of Sevagee, and the Mahrattas, the reftorers of Braminifm in India, and the conquerors of the Mahomedan powers? The native fuperftition would naturally furvive in the mountainous regions of the peninfula, "while, the Mahomedans overran the plains of Hindoftan; and if Aríake does fignify the Country of Believers, it is a proof that this part of the peninfula was, in the earlief ages, celebrated for its attachment to Braminifm. The Mahratta chiefs are many of them Bramins; but when in power, we find nothing of that meek fpirit of the Hindoos fo much vaunted in Europe: they have dethroned their fovereigns; they are the mof cruel ravagers. and invaders; equally greedy of defolation as plunder; they have deftroyed much, and reftore nothing: in fhort, they have made it a queftion, whether the whole people were not happier under the government of the Mahomedans, than their own. The houfe of Timour was a mild dynafty; Aurengzebe, indeed, was a tyrant, a perfecutor, and a hypocrite; but Acbar was the father of his country. But to return,

The circumftance of the tides is not peculiar to this place, though they are more violent here than elfewhere; for almoft all the rivers of India are large, and have both the flux and reflux of extraordinary ftrength, conforming with the moon, new and full; as well as Sor three days after each, and falling off again in the intermediate
fpace; but at Barugáza this violence is more remarkable, fo that without warning you fee the bottom laid bare, and the fides next the coaft, where veffels were failing but juft before, left dry as it were in an inftant; again, upon the accefs of the flood-tide, the whole body of the fea is driven in with fuch violence, that the fream is.impelled upwards for a great number of miles, with a force that is irrefiftible. This makes the navigation very unfafe for thofe that are unacquainted with the gulph, or enter it for the firt time. No anchors are a fecurity; for when the vehemence of the tide commences, there is no intermiffion, no reteat: large veffels caught in it are hurried away by the impetuofity ${ }^{59}$ of the current, and thrown on their fides, or wrecked upon the Choals; while the fmaller ones are completely overfet ${ }^{60}$. Many alfo that have taken refuge in the creeks, unlefs they have fortunately changed ${ }^{62}$ their place in due time, (which it is very difficult to do, on account of the inftantaneous fall of the water,) upon the return of the tide are filled with the very firft head of the flood, and funk. But all thefe circumftances united concur more efpecially, if the new ${ }^{\circ 2}$ moon falls in conjurction with the night tide; for then, if you have been prepared to enter upon the firft of the flood, and when the fea appeared perfectly calm, you thall hear, in a moment, a ruihing found like the tumult of battle,


no So the Oriental Navigator fays, "Near Dagom the tide runs fo rapidly, that if the vefiel fhould take the ground fhe mult overfet immediately. and in all probability every foul on board perifh, which often happens through the neglect or obftinacy of the pilots. P. 207. Another part, near Gogo, is deferibed as very dangerous, and environed with rocks and
fhoals; and he notices that the tide rons fix mises an hour. P. 206.
 rowed off, rowed t音rough ; which 1 follow.
${ }^{61}$ Evpurniai, the moon in conjunction with the tide. But ovpurnas does not occur in the lexicons: may it not be vepumios? Hudfon renders it interluniis, which has little'to do with high tides.
and the water driving forward with the utmof impetuofity, covers the whole of the bare fhoals in an inftant.

It will immediately appear, that this defcription relates to that fort of tide which is called the Bore ${ }^{63}$, and is common to many places in Europe as well as India. On the coaft of Egypt, or in the Red Sea, the author could have feen nothing that refembled it, and he dwells upon it, therefore, with more minutenefs than a modern obferver would employ; but from this very caule it is that we have a picture which cannot deceive us, and a conviction that the author relates what he had himfelf experienced.

We come next to the enumeration of the countries with which Barugáza is connected, and its relative fituation with regard to the provinces that furround it. Among thefe, on the north-weft, lic the Aratrii, Rachoofi ${ }^{\circ}$, and Tantháragi, names with which we are totally unacquainted, as they do not occur in any other author; but that they lie towards the north-weft, between Guzerat and Multan is manifeft from the fucceeding diftrict of Proklais, which comprizes the city of Bookephalos, for that we know to be in the Panjeab. He then adds, that beyond Proklaïs, ftill farther to the north-weft, lies the province of Bactria, governed by its own ${ }^{63}$ kings. Here we may obferve, that the country between Guzerat and the Indus is to this day lefs known than any other part of India: it is a fandy

[^174]no king for the age of the Periplus. For vixd Caxanía zoa, "bico gómov, he propufes to read
 is wanting; for socas neither agrees with "Avo; or $\tau$ hrow. May not the merclanant of Periplûs have hcard of a Bactrian dynafty, and affigned it to his own age-after it was extinct? Bayer imputes the age of the Periplus to Aurclius Antoninus. Hia. Bae. p. 98 .
defert, affording refigge to tribes of Rajpouts, Hendouans, and Afhambetis; called Jams, who are all without fixed habitations, and plunderers like the Arabs. Thefe may correfpond ${ }^{\text {ss }}$ with the hordes mentioned by the author; but from Minnagar upwards, to the Panjeab and to Bactria, we can follow him with more precifion ; for in thefe parts, he fays, there ftill remain memorials ${ }^{67}$ of Alexander and his conquefts on the Indus; fuch as altars, the entrenchments of his camps, and very large wells. The laft particular feems evidently to refer to the wells which Alexander opened in his three days march to the Eaft from the eaftern branch of the Indus: they were on the route to Guzerat; and the route between the Indus and that province is kept open, at this day, only by wells of this defcription in the defert. But we are told afterwards, that Alexander marched eaftward from thefe countries to the Ganges ${ }^{60}$, neglecting Limúrikè, and the whole peninfula on the fouth. This only proves that our author was a much better merchant than an hiftorian; but he redeems his error by the prefervation of a circumftance which fell under his own obfervation; which is, that coins with the Greek infcriptions of Menander and Apollódotus, who reigned in this country after Alexander, were Atill current in Ba rugáza.

[^175]the Pavje-ab, and thence with a north-wefterly direction to Bactia.
6) 'Ispó àpxäux. Sacella, Hindion:
${ }^{\text {a }}$ It will be readily allowed, that an author who could fall into this error, might be mit. taken in regard to the kitge of Bactria.

Vif. kingdom of bactria, tágara, plíthana, ozêni, DEKAN.

This Apollodotus is hard to difcover, even by the ferutinizing accuracy of the learned Baycr; but Menander he has introduced into the catalogue of his Bactrian kings, and with a moft peculiar diftinction, that he had extended his fovereignty down the Indus, and over the Delta of the Patalene ${ }^{69}$. This extraordinary influence of the Greeks, in thefe diftant regions, is no more to be wondered at, than the erection of kingdoms by the defeendants of officers of Ginghiz Khan, Timour, or Nadir Shah : the heads of a conquering army are all as ready to divide an empire, as the fuccefliors of Alexander; and the officers of thefe fucceffors, as cager to revolt from their principals, as the principals from the family of the conqueror; thus rofe the kingdom of Bactria, by the revolt of Theó dotus from the monarch of Syria, which maintained itfelf for near an hundred and twenty years, and conlifted at one time of a thoufand cities: fimilar to this, perhaps, was the fovereignty of Apollodotus, who feems to have had fome provinces towards the fources of the Indus, which, in the obfeurity of the Syrian hiftory, cannot now be afcertained, and the memorial of which is preferved almoft exclufively in the Periplûs.
That thé coins." of thefe princes fhould pals current at Barugázu,

[^176]Renaudot's Atab, p. 15. mentions a 'That. arian drachm, whicls weighs half a dram more than the Arabian drachm. But this is not a foreign, but a domultic coin; it bears the dic of the prince.
is no more uncommon "than that the Venetian fequin ${ }^{n}$, and Inperial dollar, fhould be at this day current in Arabia, or that the Spanifh piaftre fhould pafs in every port of India and the Eaft ; that is, round the world from Mexico to Manila, and in fome inflances, perhaps, from Manila to Mexico again. A fact ftill more worthy of notice is not to be omitted, as it is an obfervation appropriate to a merchant ${ }^{33}$; which is, that the denarius, either gold or filver, was exchanged with advantage againft the fecie of the country. This is in correfpondence with the teftimony of Cofmas, almoft five hundred years later; who takes occafion, at Ceylon, to mention, that the Roman money was received, and trade carried on by means of it, to the utmof extremity of the world, no nation having a [ftandard of] coin pure enough to compare with the Roman. And it is a truth (as I learn from Clark on Coins), that the Byzantine ftandard was not only the pureft, but moft permanent, of any in the world.

Before we can proceed to the commerce of Barugáza, we have other relative fituations to confider, as Ozênè on the Eaft, and Plíthana, and Tágara, on the fouth-eaft. Thefe Lieut. Wilford has concluded to be Ougein, Pultanah, and Deoghir. There is every reafon to adopt his conclufions; and if, after the feveral circum-

[^177]${ }^{3}$ I do not with to deprive either Bayor, or Robertfon, or Maurice, of the honour of thefe obfervations, previous to the prefent pnblication ; but they could not be omitted here, as forming part of my plan; and I had obtained my information previous to confulting any of their works. An awthor, in the legal phrafe, takes nothing by fuch an affertion; be deferves nothing but what the reader pleafes to allow him. Sec Bayer, Hif. Bact. p. 108.
ftances already enumerated, we have caufe to think highly of the information of our author, we fhall be difpofed, after tracing thefe feveral connections, to allow that there is no fpecimen of ancient geography fo completely fatisfactory, or fo confonant to truch, as the portion now under contemplation.

Towards the eaft of Barugáza lies Ozênè, which was formerly the capital of the country. What are we to underftand by this, but that the Parthians, who were now mafters of Minnagar, and poffefled of Guzerat, had driven the native Hindoos out of power, and feized upon the government of thefe provinces themfelves? And what do we fee in this, but the prototype of the Mahomedau ufurpations, which have been too faithfully copied by European powers ? and whofe place we now occupy as mafters of Surat, Baroache, and Cambay, at the prefent hour. When the Europeans. firft reached India, Surat was the principal feat of commerce on the north, as Calicut was on the fouth ; and the merchants of Guzerat were the richeft and moft active traders in India. Surat is not morethan forty or fifty miles from Baroache, and Baroache ${ }^{74}$ is the Baxugáza of the Periplûs. In the age of that work, the merchants of this country were not lefs vigoroully engaged in their purfuits: they traded to Arabia for gums and incenfe, to the coaft of Africa for gold, and probably to Malabar and Ceylon for pepper and cinnamon. If I could find any thing in hiftory to countenance the idea of the Hindoos ${ }^{75}$ being feamen ${ }^{76}$ in any age, I fhould place them
> ${ }^{74}$ Al'Edriffic calls it Berag, and Beruts; the Englifh now call it Broche. Strabo writes Bargofa. 'D'Anville, Geo. Anc. p. 88. But this is dubious; for the Bargoofi of the Periplas are on the other fide of the peninfula.

fis Quello che bee yino non fi receve ger
teftimonio, ne quello che naviga per mare perche dicono che chi naviga per mare è defperato. Marco Polo, lib. iii. c. 20. p. 54.This relates to the Findoos of Coromandel.
${ }^{36} \mathrm{Sir}$ William Jones has fuppofed, that, from Bottomry being mentioned in the laws
them in this province. But as Barthema informs us; that in his time the Hindoos at Calicut " left all navigation to the Mahomedane, fo it fhould feem that the prohibitions of their religion had been uniform from all ages. Pliny fpeaks as ftrongly of the Arabs on the coaf of Ceylon; and Arabs ${ }^{78}$ there mutt have been at Barugáza for the fame purpofe, unlefs it fhould be difcovered that there was fome caft, of a degraded fort, that fupplied their place. Fifhermen there are, but they can cook and eat their food on fhore; and even fiftermen are an abomination in Malabar. Merchants, however, may grow rich at home, while other nations are their carriers; and that the greateft trade of India was in that age fixed in Guzerat, is evident, not only from the enumeration of articles at this port, but from the general importance it bears in the mind of the author, and the circumftantial detail of all that is connected with ir,

The connedion with Ougein ${ }^{77}$, and the mention that this place was once the feat of government, is in perfect conformity with
of Menv, the Hindoos mulk have been navigators in the age of that work. Now, that fhips of Hindoos went to fea, and that a proportionate interef for the hazard of the fea was to be paid on money borrowed, mult be true; but it remains to be proved that the fcamen were Hindoos. And his endeavour to prove that they ufed the fea in former ages, proves that it is contrary to their principles and practice in later times. It is only within thefc very few years that the Englifh have been able to carry their fepoys by fea; and in doing this, there feems to have been employed moncy, difcipline, and as variety of fictions to falve their confeience.
${ }^{n}$ In urbe Caleehut qui Idola colunt [Hindoos] non fulcant maria, id munus Mahumetanis delegatur. Quorum numerus in ea civi-
tate fola excedunt quindecim millia, Barthema apud Grynzam, p. il2. And in Orme's account of the fleets near Bombay, one party were Siddees, or Abyffinians, and the other Arabe chiefly. Angria was a Hindoo, as well as Sevagee; but his fleets were full of Arabs; and so were thofe of his predectifors. See the attack made on an India lhip called the Prefident, in 1683. Orme, p. 171.-The Arabs . . . the firt navigators in the world for the Indian feas. Sir John Chardin, in Renandot, p. 547 .
${ }^{19}$ When the Portugucfe came to India, the Arabians tranfacted all the trade of the Eaft. Renaudot, p. 173.
" See Hunter'b journey from Agra to Ougein. India Annual Regifter 1800, Mifcel, p. 279.
modern information; for Ougein ${ }^{\text {so }}$, as it is at prefent fubject to Scindia, and the capital of his jaghirc, fo was it, from the earlieft ages, the propercft fituation for a metropolis, as being in the centre of thofe tribes of Hindoos which have been lefs ${ }^{61}$ intermixed with foreigners, and lefs fubject to invaders, than the other tribes of Hindoftan. Its pre-eminence and importance are fill farther proved by its having been, and ftill continuing, the fifft meridian ${ }^{32}$ of the Hindoos, which appears from accurate Englifh obfervations to be irs long. $75^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime 33}$ from Greenwich, and its latitude $23^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 1^{\circ} 2^{\prime \prime}$. The ruins of the ancient Ozênè are ftill difcoverable, at a mile diftance from Ougein; and coins and bricks are ftill dug up there, as the depth of fifteen feet or more. Pliny makes no direct mention of Ozênè, but incidentally only, as denoting a fpecies of the fipike-


#### Abstract

to Written Ujjayini, Ujjein. D'Anville, India, p. 95. Ujjayini awinti, or avanti. Hunter. ${ }^{54}$ The revolt of Sevajee, the founder of the Marhatta power, was in the time of Arungzebe, when the houle of Timour was in its meridian fplendor. Thefe Hinioos of the Dekan had never been reduced; and though the Rana of Ougein, who was the principal of the Hindoos of Agimere, had been rubdued by Acbar, the interior was fo difficult of acceff, that there had always remamed tribes in the mountains who were independent. Serajee (or, as he is otherwife called, Bonfoola) firft reduced the mountaincers of the Dekan into order, and formed them by difeipline till he fet the Mogul power at defianee : he plondered Surat repeatedly, fpread his incurfions on every fide, and levied contributions to a vaft amount. He died poffeffed of a fovereignty, which grew up duriag the decline of the empire ander the fucceftore of Aurung-


zebe, and has become the greateft Hindoo power fiace the firf invafion of the Mahor medano.
: See Aliat. Refearefer, Lond. ed. v. p. 194. and India Regifter I $8 \mathrm{co}, 292$. Mifcel longitude retermined by eleven obfervations of Jupiter's Satellites; latitude, by cight.Another firf meridian was at Lanca, or Cey:Lon. Paolino, p. 309.

8 Jefling, or Jaya Siaha, foubadahr of ${ }^{*}$ Meliva, in 1693 conitructed obfervatories at Ougein, Dehli, Benares, and Matra. Siv Rob. Harker deferibes the obfervatory at Our gein, and found the latitude to be $23^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 24^{\prime \prime}$, whoth the native oblervers made $2 \cdot 3^{4} 10^{\prime}$, feconds they do not notice; bat it appears likewife that they had inftruments and books from Europe. Mr. Hunter doubts the antiquity of Hindoo aftronomy, and informs us, that when he was at Ougein, Jefling's oblervatory was turned into a foundery for cannon. Afatic Refearches, 4. p. I96. Mond, ed.
mard; but Ptolemy calls it the capital of Tiafánus, and his royal refidence: he places it on the Namádus, or Nerbudda, which is the siver of ${ }^{8 *}$ of Barugáza; which river is faild to rife out of the fame lake as the Saone, and which takes an eaftern direction; fo that the courle of the two rivers into the fea, eaft and weft, turn what is. called the peninfula of India into an ifland:

D'Anville ${ }^{\text {ss }}$ confiders Ougein as the refidence of Porus, who fent an embaffy to Augufus. The rajah is called Rhana, and pretends. to be defcended from Porus, who was defeated by Alexander. Fabulous accounts of Alexander are as current in the Eaft, as in Europe; and for the fake of proving the antiquity of his family, a prince might have the vanity to think it an honour that his ancefor was defeated and conquered. But Porus fignifies a chief or fovereign : it may bave been an appellative, as well as a proper name; and the fovereign of Agimere, if his influence extended over Guzerat in the age of Auguftus, might have had commercial ${ }^{36}$ tranfactions to regulate with the Roman empire.

From Ozênè every fort of commodity is brought down to Barugáza, which can contribute to the fupply ${ }^{17}$ of the country, and many articles for foreign trade ${ }^{3 ;}$, comprehending


84 Major Rennell, in his firft map, placed it on a fream that ran into the Nérbulda; in his corrected map, it is on a branch of the Sipareh, which joins the Chumbal, and falls into the Jumua.
${ }^{65}$ Antic. de l'Inde, p. 95 .
${ }^{66}$ This is upon the fuppofition, that the 120 fhips which Strabo faw at Bereaikè actu: ally reachied Indja,
$5_{7}$ 'EvSnnia.

'Incas quôaiov étónoy, - - A large quantity of ordinary cottons.
And many articles that only pals through Ozênè to the coat, from the country farther inland; as from the Panj-ab ${ }^{8,}$,


```
    Maтролarion, - - Spikenard, of different forts.
    Kабんлír\%, - - - -
    Kósos, - - - Kotos.
    Bot \(\lambda \lambda \alpha\), - - - Bdellium. A gum.
    The Imports at Barugáza are
    Oinos, - - - - Wine.
```



```
                                    other.
```

    Aaodxrypors, - - - Laodicêan wine. Syrian.
    Apabusòs, - - Arabian. Were, Palm, or Toddy ?
    X02. . o os, - - - Brafs.
    Ka
    
Kopádieod, - - - Coral.
Xpzбо́лıजor, - - - Topazes.
' $1 \mu a \pi / \sigma \mu 0 \varsigma^{\prime}$, - - Cloth.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \tilde{s}_{5}$ - - - plain.
vó 005 travrõocs, - - mixed, of all forts.

${ }^{24}$ Irposáás.
"I imagine all their to be different fpecies of Nard, taking their name from the places from which they come. And if a conjeeture may be allowed, Kaeaxionn is from Kabul, a
mart through which it might regularly pals out of Tartary, or Thibet, its proper foil. Al Edriff ufes the term Myrobalanos Kabolinos, for the Myrobalans of Kabul, p. 66.


Befides fpecie，upon which there was a profit，and the prefents that went up to the king at Minnagar，as mentioned before．It is not evident why thefe prefents were not rather landed at Barbárikè， which was the direct port for Minnagar，than at Barugáza；but our author fays，that the king of Minnagar was fovereign of Barugaza alfo．Perhaps，by their being mentioned here，they went only to the viceroy or foubah of the province．The expreffion in the text is dubious ${ }^{s 1}$ ；but the context feems to imply，that from the country to which thefe prefents went up，there came down in return， diftinct from the exports of Barugáza，

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Nàposc, - - - Spikenard. } \\
& \text { Kó̃тся, - - - - Koftus. } \\
& \text { B } E \lambda \lambda \lambda \alpha \text {, - - - Bdellium. } \\
& \text { "E入ípoç, - - - Ivory. } \\
& \text { 'Ovozion } \lambda \text { día, - - - Onyx ftone. } \\
& \text { 玉ги́pvz, - - - Myrth. } \\
& \text { Aúkioy, - - - Box thorn. } \\
& \text { OQóvisy muproicy, - - Cotion of all forts, }
\end{aligned}
$$

[^178]Mon＇́xwors，



And other articles from the ports in the neighbourhood. Several of thefe are the fame as thofe that were fpecified as procurable at Barugáza, and confequently we can fee no reafon for the recapitulation, except the different means of obtaining them from a different part of the country. Such, however, are the commodities in general derived from the North and from the Eaft, and fuch was the importance of the commerce of this place in the time of Pliny. Zizeris and Muziris, farther to the fouth, feem to have been the more particular object of the voyage by the monfoon, acrofs the fea from Arabia to India dirce:; but in our author's age, though he mentions Muziris, it is tranfiently, in comparifon with Barugáza and Nelkunda: thefe feem to have bcen his grand marts. And for Barugáza, he fays, the fleets left Egypt in the month of Epiphi, or July.

He fill perfifts farther in the execution of the fame defign ; for, after fating what was obtained from the Panj-ab and Ozênè, he proceeds next to the fouth, in order to fhew what was the connection between Barugáza and the Dekan. This is, if the boaft may be allowed, the peculiar pre-eminence of the work: it belongs to this author alone, as far as I have difcovered, to give the true direction of this weftern coaft of the peninfula, and to ftate, in direct terms, its tendency to the fouth, while Ptolemy fretches out the whole angle to a ftraight line, and places the Gulph of Cambay almoft in the fame latitude as Cape Comorin."

But the declaration of the Periplûs is this:-From Barugaza, the coatt immediately adjoining which ran up north [to the river Mais,
or Mahi], now ftretches directly to the fouth; the country is therefore called Dạkina-bades ${ }^{5^{2}}$, becaufe Dakhan, in the language of the natives, fignifies Souta. Of this country [which is called Dakifant that part which lies inland, eaft of Barugáza, comprizes a great face of wild and defert country, and large mountains, in which are found leopards, tigers, elephants, vaft ferpents, hyenas, and baboons ${ }^{93}$ of various forts. [But in the inhabited parts] there are alfo a great variety of different nations, and exceedingly populous, quite acrofs the peninfula to the Ganges ${ }^{94}$. Befides this, in the territory of Dakhinabad there are two emporia, or marts, of more particular importance; for at the diftance of twenty days fouth from Barugáza lies ${ }^{\text {ss }}$ Plithana, and ten days eaft of Plíthaua is found Tagara, which is the largeft city in the country. The commodities from thefe two cities are brought down, through roads of great diffculty, by land-carriage, to Barugáza; that is, from Plithana, a great quantity of onyx ftone; and from Tágara, ordinary cottons ${ }^{26}$

92 Dakin mbad, city of the South. Daklinanwad, fouthern region. Bayer.--Dar:Nina, Padino.
${ }^{25}$ Inter Simias, efferatior Cynocephalis natura, ficut Satyris. Pliny, lib. viii. c. 54 c. 8o. Hadouin. See the authors he cites. Ariftot. lib. ii. de Natura Anim. c. 13. Palmerius, \&c.
${ }^{24}$ Tè $\mu^{\prime}$ iरß व凶̀y cutinnu; which is nonfenfe; and Fudfon and Stuckius very properly read

"s There is evidently an omiffion in the test; for two cities are in the context, and only one of thefe is named. It appears that a part of the fentence, and not the name only, is wanting
${ }^{20}$ The cattons here called $\mu$ onóxira, Licut. Whaford fays, are thofe dyed of a whitila par-
ple, like the mallow-flower. There is nothing more fingular in this than in the blue Surtte, which at this day have a contant fale on the oppofite coait of Africa, in Ahyfinia, and in the ports of the Red Sca. Paolino interprets poróount, clintz : tele fuiffime dipiati et ríchamente. P. 95. Fine cottons are firppofed to derive the name of mualias from Moful, on the Tigris; a name which they had in common with gold tiffuc and filk, becaufe thefe articley were either made or to be purchafed there. Sce Marco Polo, lib. i. c. 6. tutti li panni d' oro \& di feta che fi chianana Mofulini in lavorano in Moxul. Notwithftanding this high authority, I am fometimes inclined to think, that Moroxome is the origin of Moffelins, or mulins; though I have nothing to build on bat the proximity of found, and conjecture.
in abundance, and all forts of mullins, with a varicty of other native produclions which are not fpecifed.

It is manifeft, that of thefe two cities, Deoghir is Tágara, aud Plithana is Pultaneh ; that the difficult roads are the Ghaut ${ }^{57}$; and the mountains, that chain which runs parallel with the coaft the whole length of the peninfula, from Guzerat to Cape Comorin. The country alfo between Guzerat and the Canges does contain the deferts feecified, not only in the vaif tract called Berar, but in many other parts of the cxtenfive territoties occupied by the Mahrattas. The animals likewife are appropriate, and the whole is fuch a picture as no ancient geographer fupplies in fo ditant a quarter of the world; fo accurate, that it is hardly furpafied by Strabo, in his defcription of the countries of Europe.

Deoghir ? ${ }^{9}$ was the feat of a Hindoo government as late as 1293 , when it was taken by Feroze II. and is now a ruin near Elore, within four coffes of Aurungabad, on the River Godavery. It was the capital of the province of Doulatabad; and the centrical fituation of thefe three cities, afforded a convenient polition to the Patan emperors, as well as Aurengzebe ", from whence they might propagate their conquefts in the Dekhan. But the fubterrancous excavations ${ }^{* \infty}$
${ }^{3}$ The Ghautis are literally the paffes from the low country, over the mountaias, into the upper region; but are generally ufed for the mountains themfelvea.
© Rennell has another Deogur upon the Tapti, p. 237, and Ptolemy has a Tiagura, as well as a Tárara. His Tiagura, indeed, is on the Nerbudda; but it is doubtlefs Deogur, near Nagpoor. Rennell, Mem, p. 213 .
3) Aurungzebe was ufually at Ameinagur. Orme.
the See the wonders of there ruins difplayed in the magnificent and highly-curiots work of Daniel, from the drawings of Whes. There is an apparent flamp of antiquity upon thefe excavations, fuperior to thofe of Elephanta, Mabalipooram, \&c. for there are fewer figures diftorted with a multiplicity of arms and heads, there is a grace almott Grccian in feveral of the deities, and throughout, much lefs of the grotcique barbarifm and obfcerity than are found in the more recent ftructures of their
fuperfition.
at Elore ${ }^{\text {'s' }}$, and the pagodas there, extending over a tract of two leagucs at the profent hour, imply an antiquity now inexplorable, and preferve the veftiges of a fuperfition coeval with the remoteft era of Braminifin. Thefe remains qualify the fpot for the fite of Tágara ${ }^{102}$, as early as the account in the Periplûs; and it is manifent that the author fpeaks of it as a capital of a province, or a kingdom at that time exilting, and the ceatre of the commerce from the interior.

Lieut. Wilford has a differtation ${ }^{103}$ on this city, inferted in the frif ${ }^{10+}$ volume of the Afiatick Refearches, in which he makes the difances from Baroach agree with thofe of the Periplus, by reckoning elcven miles as a day's journey for a loaded cart in that country; but iwenty days. fouth to Pultanah ${ }^{\text {105 }}$, and ten days eaft from Pultanah to Deoghir, is more than $\mathfrak{I}$ can find by the fcale of any map which has fallen under my infpection; neither do I find Pultanah mentioned in the maps of d'Anville, Rennell, or de la Rochette. Creat allowances, however, are to be made for the winding of the roads, and the diffeulties of the intervening ghauts; while the ruins of Elore, on the actual fite of Deoghir ${ }^{\text {106 }}$, with the point of the
fuperllition. The wealth, the power, and the labour, requifite to form thefe excavations, equal, if not furpars, all that muft have been employed in the edifices of Egypt.
${ }^{4}$ Etiore has becn vifited by Thevenot and Auquetil du Perron.
te: Deo.Ghur, the Hill of the Gods. $\Lambda$. Hamilton.
${ }^{103}$ As a commentator on the Puiplus, many thanks are due from me to Licut. Wilford; and with the whole of his hiflorical deductions I perfectly agree. But his tranlation of xatúywas peifiress asobiass, is refind, rather than correct : goods brough down to Baropeh, or
cartied up to Tagara, is a phrafe as familiar in Greek as in Englifh; and $\mu$ evirax anoicic, without being a tranflation of Bala Ghauta, fuilly identifies the difficulties of the roads through the mauntains ; armbias never figuifies a/fent, as
 it did, to bring carriages down an afoent mult be a folvilim.
${ }^{154}$ P. 369. Lond. ed.
${ }^{2 \pi}$ Lieut. Wilford reckons 217 miles from Broroach to Pultanah on the Godavery,
${ }^{205}$ D'Anville has placed Tágara at Satarã, in the Mahratta conntry. Antiq. de PInde, p. 108.
compafs fouth-eaft from Barugáza, give a probability to the whole which is irrefiftible.

It were to be wifhed that other Gentlemen, employed in the Eaft, would apply their local knowledge to the removal of thefe obfcurities, as effectually as this meritorious officer has done in the prefent inftance. Obfervations on the fpot, confirmed by evidences peculia: to the country, form the true ground of proof, on which alone thofe who collect and compare in the clofet ought to depend. This evidence is appealed to by Lieut. Wilford'; for the name of Tágara, written with the orthography of the Periplôs, occurs in a grant ${ }^{\text {º7 }}$. of land found, engraven upon copper, in the Ine of Salfet, near Bombay; and the rajah of the inland capital, by this monument, feems to have been connected with the coaft, as effectually as Tágara was connected with Baroach eighteen centuries ago.

If we fhould now defribe the arc of a circle, from Minnagar on the Indus, through Ougein, to Dowlatabad on the Godavery, of which Baroach fhould be the centre, we might comprehend the extent of the intelligence acquired by the merchant of the Periplûs. But allowing that this was the knowledge of the age, and not of the individual only, where is this knowledge preferved, except in this brief narrative? which, with all the corruptions of its text, is fill an ineftimable treafure to all thofe who wih to compare the firt dawning of our knowledge in the Eaft with the meridian light which we now enjoy, by the intercourfe and conquefts of the Europeang. An are of this fort comprehends near three degrees

[^179]reader fhould refer to it, he wall find, that in the conveyance of land the lawyers of all countries are equally liberal of words. See Afiat, Refearches, vol. is p. 357 . Lond, ed.
of a great circle; and if upon fuch a fpace, and at fuch a diftance from the coaft, we find nothing but what is confirmed by the actual appearance of the country at the prefent moment, great allowance is to be made for thofe parts of the work which are lefs perfpicuous; for the author did certainly not vifit every place which he mentions; and there are manifeflly omiffions in the text, as well as errors and corruptions.
vilf. ARÍAKÈ or CONCAN, THE PIRATE COAST, AKAbAROOS; oopara or, SÚpara, kalíena or bombay, SEMULLA, MANDÁGORRA, PALAIPATMAI, MELIZǴIGARA, TÓPARAN, TURANNOS-BOAS, SESEKRÉIENAI, AIGIDII, KÁINEITAL, LEUKE.

That the author was at Barugáza, cannot well be doubted by any one that adverts to the variety and minutenefs of his defcriptions at that place. Whether he went farther down the coaft to the fouth, or took his account from other voyagers, may not be fo, certain. D'Anville ${ }^{\text {208 }}$ fuppofes that he accompanies us to Cottonara, and then takes one bound to Comorin and Ceylon; but I wifh to make no affertion either way. My own doubts arife from the impoffibility of difcovering ${ }^{109}$ thofe characteriftic features, which are fo eafily traced in the narratives of thofe who have actually vifited the country they defcribe. The coaft we are now to follow, has few bold or prominent diftinctions; many rivers, but none large or majeftic; many ports, but fitted moflly for the reception of the

[^180]veffels of the country alone; and only two capes worthy of notice, upon an extent of eight hundred and fifty milcs.

Another method of inquiry is naturally fuggefed, by fimilarity of names; and of this I thall be as ready to avail myfelf as thofe who have preceded me in the attempt. Nothing, however, is more fallacious, if the fituation be not as correfpondent as the name; and names feem to have fluctuated more in India than in any other eountry that we know : a feecimen we have juft feen in 'TḰgate, Elore, and Dowlatabad ; all three appropriate to different ages, and all now concluded under Arungabad ". The names alfo of Al Edrifi, in the middle century, differ as much from the anciont names of Ptolemy, Pliny, and the Periplus, as they do from thofe of the cities and diftricts which are at prefent in exitence. Mr. Orme, in the introduction to his illuftrious hiftory, has imputed this to the vanity of princes; and Tippoo Sultan confirmed this remark; by changing the name of almoft every place in his dominions.

The great fcope for conjecture, and the very few places which can be afcertained of all thofe which are enumerated upon the eoaft which we are now to inveftigate, is compenfated, in fome degree, by the appropriate defcription of the provinces or diftricts we are to vifit. I agree perfectly with Major Rennell, in confidering this as an object of mueh greater importance, than the placing of a town or a harbour on the map. And the fact is, that the different nature and properties of the diftricts are indelible; while the fite of cities

[^181]or fortrefles lias been changed, according to the prevailing interefts of the day, or the caprice of conquerors.

The whole weftern face of the peninfula, from Cambay to Cape Comorin, is nearly equal to fifteen degrees of latitude. This extenfive tract appears upon the map divided into fix provinces, or diftricts, under the names of Cambay or Guzerat, the Concan, the Dekhan, Canara, Malabar, and Travancore ${ }^{\text {'t }}$. Correfpondent to thefe, we have in the Periplûs the province of Barugáza, the Lárikè of Ptolemy, equivalent to Guzerat; Aríakè ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ to Concan, or the Pirate Coaft, between Bombay and Goa; Limúrikè to Canara, between Goa and Malabar; the Kingdom of Pandion, anfwering to the upper part of Malabar, including Calicut and Cochin; Paralia to Travancore, as far as Cape Comorin; and the Pearl Fiihery, extending from Comorin to the Iflands of Rami-ceram and Manar. The limits of thefe will appear diftinclly in the profecution of our inquiry; and if we fix the boundary of Lárikè at the Tapti, and include the modern Dekhan of the coaft within the confines of Aríakè, our ancient geography will prove confiftent with the modern divifion of the provinces. For, notwithfanding the fluctuations of power, or the change of mafters, thefe are marked by characteriftics that feem indelible. The only dififence is, that the Periplûs has no fpecific diftrict equivalent to the Dekhan, but ufes that term, in its general acceptation, as it is employed at the prefent day, embracing the provinces of the peninfula in contradiftinction to Hindoftan.
${ }^{3}$ Travancore, though a kingdom of itfelf, is generally included in Malabar, as well as Calicut and Cochin.
 jectives with भñ $^{2}$ implied; but Aria, Limyra,
or Cottonarn, do not oceur in the form of fubflantives throughout the work. I conclude that Papike, the correfpondent name to Din Head, is an adjective likewife.

The Periphûs feems to apply the name of Darugáza to the prom vince as well as to the port ; and this poffibly, becaufe at that time it was fubject to Minnagar ; but Ptolemy calls it Larikè, and makes it part of the kingdom of Ozêne, with the other towns or places on the River Namadus or Nerbudda; and as long as there was a regular Hindoo power at Ougein, that city feems to be the natural metropolis of the country. With equal propriety, the Tígara of Ptolemy and the Periphês, is connected with the Pirate Coilt, both comprehended in the province of Ariakè, and both fubject to Baleokoorus, whofe capital was at Hippokoora, fuppofed by D'Anville "3 to be the Balhara ${ }^{124}$ of Al Edriffi ${ }^{14}$. His title was King of Kings, and he was connected with another prince or rajah at Baithana, called Siropolémius ' ${ }^{\text {'t }}$, whom Lient. Wilford ${ }^{14}$ makes the Salibaham of the Hindoos, and his metropolis, Pattán. I am not fufficiently informed, to confirm or invalidate thefe opinions; but I find that the Balahara ${ }^{18}$ of Al Edriffi refided at Naherwalleh ${ }^{19}$, the ancient capital of Guzerat, prior to Amedabad; and if fo, Ptolemy would have placed Hippokoora ${ }^{120}$ in Larikè, and not in Aríakè, where it now
${ }^{43}$ Antiq. de linder, p. $03^{\circ}$.
${ }^{n}+$ Paolino places the Balahara in Concan (Kemkem), on the authority of Reunudotes Arabiant. Balhara, he fays, is Balia R.j3, Great King; but if in Concan, he is certainky setes feveral Oriental authorive but deternot the Ballara of Al Edriffi. He adds, "Se D'Apville avele fatto il viaggio dell, * India, prima di ferivere la fua Antichita del "India, non arreffe commeli tanti fpropofiti "t nei fuoi libri." P. 98 . He treats none of us who write at home with greater civility. Hippokoora, the capital of Balco-kooraŝ in Ptolemt, is in Concan, or what in his map anfwers to Concar, apd not to Gazerat. -
is P. Gi.
${ }^{16}$ Sri, or Shai, is an inferior title of refpect,
like our Sir or Mr. Sec infeription at Tanna. Af. Refearches, vol. i. p. 367 . Lond. ed. ${ }^{47}$ Differtation on Tágara, p. 373 ,
${ }^{n 3}$ See Bayer, Hift. Reg. Bact. p. 29. who cites feveral Oriental authorities, but determines nothing.
${ }^{u 9}$ Nahroara, Nuhrwara, Nahrwallah.
${ }^{n 0}$ Ifippokoora, compared with the relative fituation of places rannd it, might lead us to fomething not very diftant from Poona, the prefent feat of the Mahratta government, were it not on a river that comes into the Weflern Sea. Poona is above the Ghauts, about 100 miles S.E. from Bombay ; and there is no river, on this part of the coalt, that comes from the other fide of the Ghauts.

Aands in his geography. But I am perfuaded that both Ptolemy and the Periplûs agree in the general divilion and relation of Larikè and Aríake, and differ only in the appellations they have adopted. The names of places, rivers, mountains, and provinces, in Ptolemy, are as aftonifhing as his errors in pofition, longitude, and latitude, are mauifeft. His pofitions, however, are for the moft part relatively right, though they are effentially wrong; and the errors of his longitude, in which he is principally miftaken, mult have arifen from his manncr of acquiring information-by interrogating the merchants and mariners at Alexandria, whofe reports were from memory, and not from journals. But it is evident, that many of thefe, mult have penetrated far inland, otherwife he could not have left us the great outline of truths which is ftill manifeft in his works, and which makes us forgive all his particular errors, in conlideration of the general and important information that we obtain.
VIII. I am now to enter upon the defcription of this coaft, incidentally traced by Hardouin, Robertfon, Rennell; Paolino, and many others; but where no one has regularly gone before me, through the whole extent, except d'Anville. 'His conclufions I fhall be compelled to queftion, but it will not be done without diffidence on my part, and without due refpect to his learning and abilities; for d'Anville is the firt writer, properly feaking, who has taught us to inveftigate the geography of the ancients, by tracing the characters of different coafts and countrics as they exift at prefent : to him we look up, as to a mafter in this branch of the fcience; and even where his errors are demonitrable, we cannot but refpect the extent of his learning, experience, and information.

At the commencement of our inquiry, the fift information we receive from the Periplus is, that the extent of the coal from Barugáza to Limúrikè is feven thoufund fadia, or feven hundred miles; but as this would carry us, at one ftep, to Mount d'llli ${ }^{\text {r2 }}=$, it is rejected by Rennell, d'Anville, and I believe all the writers who have examined the fubject. The commencement of Limurikè, our author has placed at Naôora, Tyndis, and Muziris. And as it will hereafter appear that thefe places muft be near the northern limit of Canara, and that therefore we have every reafon to conclude Limúrikè has nearly the fame limit as that province, we cannot take oft lefs than two hundred from the feven hundred miles, to preferve the proportion of the coaft. This is one reafon, among others, which may induce a doubt, whether or not the writer of the Periplîs performed this part of the voyage himfelf.

The firft places mentioned, upon leaving Barugazz, are

> Akabarroos ${ }^{122}$, Oápara, and Kallichar.


#### Abstract

${ }^{\text {tr }}$ In consideration of this circumRance, and my general dependance on the mealures of the Peripluts, I was originally difpoled to confider Arialee as comprehending the whole coatt, from the Tapti to Mount d'lli ; and if the Province of Limarilee were to commence at that cape, the iflands off the coalt of Limárikè, that produce the tortoife-flell, according to the Periplas, and which may be welt athumed for the Lack Dives, correfpond better with a Limúrikè fouth of d'Illi, than north. But the ftrong ground that Rennell has taken for affigning Nelkunda to Neli-ceram; the circumitances at that place according fo effentially with the ancient account; the divifon between Limurike and the Kingdom of Pan:


dion, that is, Canara and Malabar ; added to the correfpondenes of the illauds on the coadt, made ne prefer the arrangement which I have adopted. The detail of this will be explained at large as we proced.
${ }^{\text {in }}$ It is not iffectation, or a love of fingu-* larity, that inducea me to affume the Greck kappa, rathev than the cof the Latints, or the Englifh diphthong 0 o, for the Greek ov; but a hope that the true found, and true orthography, may direct the eye or the ear of mon dern travellers, qr voyagers, to the difcovery of ancient namies. The ditortion of Europeat names by Oriental witers is aftonifhing to us ; and our mode of exprefling Oriental founds, reccived by the ear, muft be equally offenlive

In regard to Kallína, all fuffrages ${ }^{123}$ are united to fix it in the neighbourhood of Bombay; for Bombay is upon an illand, clofe to which, on the main, was an ancient city called Gallian. The ruins of Gallian ftill remain, and are noticed by Fryer ${ }^{124}$ in 16.75 , as the moft glorious ruins in the Dekhan the Mahomedans ever had to deplore. His account proves it to have been a city of the Hindoos, and its fituation commanding Baffeen, Salfet, and Bombay, gives it a pre-eminence as a mart of commerce in all ages.

But if we have fo much concurrent teftimony for fixing Kalliena near Bombay, we have almoft two hundred miles of coaft on which we are to look for the other two places named; and if Oópara be the Soopara of Ptolemy, as is generally allowed, it muft be a place ${ }^{125}$ of fome note ${ }^{120}$; for Subara is joined with the mention of Cambay, in the middle ages, by Al Edriffi. It is fuppofed, by d'Anville, to anfwer to the Sefareh el Hende of the Oriental geographers, in contradiftinction to the Sefareh el Zinge on the coaft of Africa, which is the Sofala of the Portuguefe; and thefe two Sofalas, one in India, and the other in Zanguebar, are fuppofed to be in conftant habits of mutual commerce and correfpondence, by means of the alternate monfoons.

An intercourfe of this kind between Guzerat, and the coaft of Africa, I have mentioned in the former part ${ }^{127}$ of this work, which
to their perceptions. Ebn Haukal writes Sakuliah, Akrites, and Kubres, p. 53. which would certainly require fome attention of the mind before a common reader would difcover that they are Sicilia, Creta, and Cyprus.
${ }^{m}$ Orme, Rennell, Robertion, d'Anville, \&c. Cofmas bas Caranja in the harbour of Bombay.
${ }^{124}$ Orme, Hift. Fragments, note 30.
${ }^{12}$ It was the fee of a bifhop, as early as

 $\mu$ soos. Cofmas Paolino 100 . That is, from Moful of Marco Polo. Lib. i. c. 6.
${ }^{166}$ Supura figuifies a fplendid city. A. Hamilton.
${ }^{227}$ Pages 145, 146. 253.
the Periplûs defcribes as previous to the voyages of the Grecks in the Indian Ocean, and totally unconnected with them ; couducted by native merchants on both fides, or by Arabs, who were carriers for both. On this latter point there can hardly be a doubr, when we find that the veffels employed in this trade fometimes difcharged part of their lading in Zanguebar, and proceeded afterwards to Arabia; and when we learn from the fame work, that moft of the fettlers on that coaft were Arabs, and feveral of the places fubject to the different Cheiks of Arabia, as they are at this day. Thefe are the large vefèls from India, which Agatharchides defcribes as early as the time of Philadelphas, found by the Greeks in the ports of Arabia; and from which they obtained all the commodities of the Eift before they went to India themielves. This commerce we may carry back to the ages long antecedent to hiftory, and conclude, that as the monfoon muft be known to the inhabitants of both coafts from the time they were inhabited, fo muft the communication have been opened from the earlieft period in which mariners ventured to commit themfelves to the ocean.

It is almofe fuperfluous to add, that the Sefarch both of Africa and India has been converted into the Ophir of Solomon, as it has fuited the hypothefis of different authors, to carry his fleets to the eaft or to the fouth; and fortunately, both opinions may be maintained or combated, without danger of controverting the authority of feripture.
After all thefe various particulars, which are left to the difcretion of the reader, there does appear fomething of importance in the circumftance of Sooppara continuing a place of note, from the age of Ptolemy and the Periplûs to the time of Cormas and

Al Edriff ${ }^{123}$; and it feems not impoffible to determine its fituation, by obferving that Ptolemy places it on the north of the firt great river fouth of his Namadus, or Nerbudda : this river muft be the Tapti, and the place north of the Tapti mult be Swalley, or fome place near it; in the front of which lies the road of Surat. How d'Anville could carry this down to Sifferdam ${ }^{22}$, feventy miles fouth of Bombay, when he unites in fuppofing Kalliena and Bombay to be the fame, is inconceivable; but $\mathrm{as}_{4}$ he places his Sefarch el Hinde there alfo, the refemblance of a name has made him difregard the arrangement of his author: but if the author has any meaning, Soopara muft lie between Baroache and Bombay, and moft probably in the vicinity of Surat. Surat itfelf is faid to be a modern ${ }^{130}$ city; but a mart in its ncighbourhood muft always have commanded a great accefs to the interior, as the Tapti extends upwards, from the fea, full four hundred miles, and communicates by its branches with a variety of diftricts which are rich and flourifhing. It is this. circumftance which has made Surat fuperior in commerce to Baroache, for thefe three laft centuries, as being eafier of approach; and whatever city fupplied its place on the Tapti mult have partaken of thefe advantages, and fuch apparently was the Soopara, or Oopara, of the ancients. It is very remarkable, that Rennell has an Oolpar a little to the north-eaft of Swalley, in his corrected Map of India; but as he does not mention it in his Memoir, I cannot difcover whether it is ancient or modern-a city or a village. I build littleupon fimilarity of names; but as many gentlemen, now in England,

[^182]have been refident at Surat, if any thing fhould have occurred to their obfervation, they will be gratified by the introduction of this name to their recollection.

For Akabaroos I can find no reprefentative : it may be fixed any where between Baroache and Surat ; but as there is a fmall ftream called Kim, by Orme, in the intermediate fpace, it is here that it thould be looked for, were there any thing to direct our inquirics. But this place was apparently feldom frequented, and therefore it is not to be expected that much information fhould be left us by a merchant of Alexandria.

To return to Kalliena, the lalt name of the three mentioned. I join moft readily in opinion with thofe who have preceded me in the inquiry; and confider the tablets difcovered at Tana in Salfet, as a moft valuable monument ${ }^{231}$ for connecting the government at Tágara with the diftrict on the coaft. It is foreign to this work to enter into the prefent ftate of Bombay, under the power of the Englifh; but as the firft factory of our countrymen was eftablifhed at Surat, it is interefting to obferve how the acquifition of Bombay has enabled them to extend their influence over Surat, Baroache, and Cambay; to occupy the commerce of Guzerat, and to poffers the power of dominion in thofe marts, where the Romans enjoyed only the privileges of merchants.

In the age of the Periplûs, Kalliena was little frequented: in the reign of a former fovereign, ftyled Sáragan, it had been an eftablifhed port of commerce; but Sandánes ${ }^{33}$, his fucceffor, admitted

[^183]none of the veffels that came from Egypt; and if any entered the harbour by accident, or Atre's of weather, he immediately put a guard on board, and compelled them to go to Barugáza. This circumftance, Lieut. Wilford obferves, favours ftrongly of an improper conduct in the traders, or might arile from the jealoufy of a native power. The Romans fhewed their influence, by erecting a temple to Auguftus at Muziris; and if we fuppofe an attempt of this kind made at Kallíena, it bears a refemblance to the encroachment of Europeans on the natives, as well as the intrufions of the Arabs and Mahomedans. If we could have connected thefe governors, or rajahs, of the coaft, with Mambarus, the fovereign of Aríakè, or fixed the refidence of Mambarus at Tágara, Plíthana, or Hippocoora, our picture would be complete ; but on thefe points the $\mathrm{Pe}-$. riplûs is filent.

The ports or marts in fucceffion ${ }^{\text {s3 }}$ below Kalliena are
Semutht, Mandégora, 'Polaipatmai, Melizéigara, Tóparon of the Buzantians, Turannos-boas, the Illands Seffekreienai, the Mand of the Aigidii, the Illand of the Káinntai (in thefe places are the Pirates); and, after thefe, Leuke, or the White Illand.

How this enumeration can bave mifled thofe who have preceded me in the inquiry, I cannot fay; but to my apprebenfion we bave the Pirate Coaft, between Bombay and Goa, as manifeftly delineated as we could require, and to that diftrict our attention muft be confined. On the primary point, indeed, of a coaft infefted by pirates, there is little difference of opinion ; Ptolemy and Pliny are both in harmony with the Periplûs, and modern writers are generally agreed;

[^184]for pirates there have becn in all ages, as they are here deferibed, till the Sererndroog of Angria was taken by the Linglifh in ${ }^{1} 75_{5}$. But when we have obtained the coaft, why any one fhould travel out of it to find modern names correlpondent to thofe of our althor, is not eafily reconciled to the canons of geography. All thefe names are given as what our feamen would call corntry ports, frequented ${ }^{134}$ only by the natives; and whether we can find reprefentatives for them or not, is of no great importance, if we can mark the limits of the provinces; to effect which, the modern divifions of the country may be of great affiftance. Orme ${ }^{33}$ has obferved, that the Mahratta language is fpoken from Bardcz, or Goa, to the Tapti; and thefe very limits I would aflign to the Ariakci of the Periplûs. It is well known, that the divifion of provinces often furvivcs the revolutions of empire: the habits of the natives, and the boundaries of nature, are not always fubject to the viciffitudes of conqueft ; and as the Tapti, was the probable limit between the government of Minnagar and that of Mambarus, on the north; fo on the fouth, there is a natural boundary between Goa and Canara; where we are alfo to look for the termination of Aríakè, and the commencement of the Limúrikè of the Periplûs.

For the fituation of the few correfpondent places, which I fhall propofe for the confidcration of the reader, if the proofs fhould not amount to conviction, I fhall at leaft do no violence to my author, or his text: I leave every thing free for difcuffion, as I find it; and even if my deductions fhould be erroneous, they will affect my own

[^185]meaning, illuftrated by xu0 EO Tbmus, which
 P. 34
${ }^{233}$ Hiftor. Fxagm. P. 57.
arrangement only, and millead no one who is difpofed to profecute farther inquiries on the fubject.

D'Anville has transferred the four firf names of the catalogue from Aríakè to Barugaza, or Guzerat; knowingly and defignedly ${ }^{136}$ rejecting the order of the journal, and placing Semulla at Sumnaut Pagoda, Mandagora at Mangherour, Palaipatmai at Patan, and Byzantian at Bifantagan; now; reckoning only from Bombay, this is a difplacement of an hundred and fifty miles; while Fra Paolino, who corrects d'Anville, and conternns all writers who have not been in India, carries Mandagora to Mangalor in Canara, and Palaipatmai to Baleapatna near Tellicheri, and Kallíena to Calanapuri ${ }^{137}$ near Mangaloor. There is only feven hundred miles difference in the difpofal of thefe names refpectively; and a work which can admit of this latitude of interpretation, is either not worthy of a comment, or the different commentators mult have preferred their own fyftems to all the evidence of their author.

To a common inquirer, the language of the Periplûs is perfectly confiftent; and if a refemblance of names has milled men of fuperior information, it ought to fet others more efpecially on their guard to follow the arrangement of the work which they have undertaken to explain; and not to erect fy\&tems of their own, which can be fupported only by a perverfion of the text.

The Pirate Coaft was not formerly, and is not now, fo totally inhofitable as to exclude all intercourfer the Portuguefe had fettle-

[^186]Paolino allow Aigidii to be the Angedives? p. 10t.; and if he does, did he ever alk himfelf the quetion, whether thofe ports are placed to the vorth, or the fouth, of Aigidii, in the Peripluts ?
ments at Daman and Baffeen, north of Bombay, as well as at Choul and Dabul, to the fouth; and it is a conjecture highly probable, that the Zizêrus of Pliny, and the Meli-Zeigara of the Periplûs, were at ${ }^{138}$ Siddee-Zyghur ${ }^{33}$, about an hundred and forty miles fouth of Bombay. Pliny ${ }^{\text {ri }}$ informs us, that the fleet which left Egypt early ${ }^{14}$ in July reached Okèlis in thirty days; and then employed forty more, in croffing the ocean with the monfoon to the fhores of India. The point where they left the coaft of Arabia, was Syágros, or Fartaque; and the port they directed their courfe to, was Zizêrus. This had been the ufual track, but was not a fafe one, becaufe of the pirates which infefted the coaft, and which made it neceflary for the fhips not to fail without a body of archers on board; for this reafon they had been latterly obliged to change their direction to Muziris, though it was a more inconvenient place to receive their lading, and ftill not fafe from the attempts of the pirates in the neighbourhood. In the firft inftance, the pirates were on the coaft;
> ${ }^{534}$ Major Rennell has a Sedafhygur below Goa ; it is writteu Sudafh-gur in the Oricutal Navigator, p. 220. It is the fort of Carwar, and totally diftinct from Siddee-Zyghur near Rajapore, defcribed in the Oriental Navigator, p. 215. This fort of Rennell's is fituated on a high point of land, and being remarkably white, becomes very confpicuous at fea. If the point of land had been futd to be white inflead of the fort, I fhould have colluded. that I had found the Leukè, or White Ifland, of the Periplûs.
> ${ }^{3}{ }^{3}$ Zyghur probably takes the addion of Siddee from the Siddees, a mixed breed of Abyfiniaus, Natives, and Caffres, eftablifhed in Vifiapoor, and mafters of a fleet upon the coaf, employed by Aurungzebe againtt Ss-
vagee. Orme fays, they were a bold, ferom cious race, and excelled all the navigators of India. Hift. Fragments, p. 81. But Cape Siddee is likewife written Cape Zeyd, and Cape Z. Zyghur, however, may be a place of modern date; I can find no other proof of its antiquity than what is here given, and thercfore propofe the whole with great hefitation. - It is written Jaigur in Moore's Narrative, pp. 2.9. and Jaighur, by the fame author. Gur, or ghur, is a fort; what is Zy ? or Jai ? or Zeid?
${ }^{340}$ Lib. vi, c. 23 .
${ }^{41}$ Aute orturn canis. Pliny:-Salmafius fays, the Romans reckoned the igth of July as the rifing of the Dog Star, $118 \dot{8}$.
in the fecond, in the neighbourhood. This exactly agrees with the: Periplûs, which places Muzíris, nor in Aríakc̀, bur Limárikè; anc when we come to Muziris, we fhall find a farther correfpondence that appears conclufive.

I wifh to build no more on this conjecture than it will bear; bur as I have found the utter impoffibility of affigning pofitions to the places named in the Periplûs, and pretend to nothing more thian prefribing limits to the province, even a conjecture of probability is worth fomething on a barrèn fubject ; and to another, which muft follow it, I attach no greater importance.

Ptolemy has the Semulla, Balepatna, Byzantium, Mandagora, and Melizigêris ${ }^{142}$, of the Periplûs, all upon the Pirate Coaft; and on that coaft, therefore, they undoubtedly exifted, and not in Guzerat, where D'Anville has placed them, or in Malabar, whither they are carried by Paolino. That good Carmelite informs us, that Balepatna ${ }^{145}$ fignifies a great city; it is no great force, therefore, put upon this interpretation, to make it the great city, the capital, or the refidence of a fovereign. And on this coaft we have two Rajapoors, meaning, literally, the City of the Rajah. The moft northerly of thefe, called Dunda Rajapoor, does not difagree with the Balepatna of Itolemy. The diftortion of his maps, however, does not allow us to fpeak with precifion on the fubject ; but if his Semulla be St. John's Point (which it is more like than any thing elfe), his Bale-

[^187]ordinary in their misplacement on the coaft of India. His Aggidium is carried down to Cuylon.
${ }^{2+3}$ So Belia-puttun, great pultan, town or city. Moor's Narrative of Little's Detachment, P. 497.
patna lies fomewhat fhort of two degrees lower down than his Semulla, and Dunda Rajapoor lies nearly at the fame diftance from St. John's. If I gain nothing by advancing thefe conjectures, I at leaft do no prejudice to my author; for his Palai-patmai is fubfequent to Kalliena, and his arrangement is not difordered by the prefent fuppofition.

But where there is fo little certainty attainable, it will be fome pleafure to reft at laft upon a point that prefents us fomething like truth. This, I am perfuaded, I have found in the iflands that terminate Ariakè-thẹ Concam of the moderns, the Kemkem of the Arabian geographers, and the Pirate Coalt of all. I affume, then, the Sefekréenai of the Periplûs for the Burnt lflands, or Vingorla Rocks of the Charts; and the two iflands of the Aigidii and Kainitai, for Goa and Murmagon. Kainitai is faid to lie clofe to the Cherfonefe ${ }^{\mathrm{t4}}$; and one only Cherfonefe I find on the whole coaft, which is Salcer, furrounded almoft by the Sound of Goa, and the River Nerengal, and fo confpicuous, that it may be confidered as a certain proof of a polition not to be refifted. It is true that the Angedives are not forty miles from Goa; and the refemblance of Aigidii to Angedives, has induced a general belief that they are the fame; but the mention of two iffands diftinctly, and the vicinity of the Cherfonefe ${ }^{\text {r }}$, , preponderate againft all fimilarity of names; and the boundary of the two provinces, which immediately enfues, added to the previous circumftances, makes the evidence complete.

[^188]fonefe, but a promontory only. Should I be miftaken, it is an error only of forty milesmoderate enongh in comparifon of feven hundred.

The Burnt ${ }^{146}$ Illands, or Vingorla ${ }^{147}$ Rocks, are a clufter not ${ }^{245}$ very well known, till lately, in lat. $15^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$. They lie fix or feven miles off fhore, on a tract inhabited by a piratical tribe called Mulwaans, and are reckoned twenty ${ }^{249}$ in number, feven of which are fmall iflets, while many of the others are barely vifible at high water; and there is a good channel between them and the main. The bare mention of fuoh a group in the plurat, with their relative fituation in regard to the Ihlands of the Aigidii and the Kainitai, feems to qualify them for the reprefentatives of the Burnt Illands; while the Pirates, in their vicinity, adds to the refemblance. Their diftance from Goa is little more than thirty miles, and no other Inland intervenes.

It is only the two iffands of the Aigidii and the Kainîtai that I affign to Goa; that is, Aigidii ${ }^{150}$ to Goa, and Kainîtai to Mur-




 and $n^{\circ}$ тivy Kanverwiv, are joined, as that Avoxn' is ditinguihed feparatcly by pirà тaving. D'An. ville interprets 'Aybisy bircorum, and not without probability; for goats were placed on uninhabited iflands by ancient as well as modern navigators; but. I have not found the diminutive Aryions from $\dot{\alpha}\} \xi$. Dive, an $i / / a n d$, is written $\Delta w^{2} n^{\prime}$ by Cofmas, and Avyis Dork, or Anvicher, would be literatly Goat Ifand.
${ }^{141}$ Sefckréienai, as $Y$ undertand from Mr. Hamilton, fignifies black rabbits. The caprice fhewn by feamen in the names they affign to places, may excufe the introduction of the term. Whether the ilfets themfelves lie crouching like thefe animals, or whether rabbits have been depofited here like goats on other uninhabited fpots, for the ufe of navi-
gators, I have no means of afcertaining ; but as trivial a circumitance as this may, fome time or other, lead to the difcovery of truth. The natives of the coaft, no doubt, have a name for them: the title we give them is derived only from their vicinity to Vingorla, on the continent.
${ }^{4}$ Remell's Memoir, p. $3^{\text {t. }}$
${ }^{249}$ In the Oriental Navigator, p. 217. But there are feven principal rocks, or iflets, in C. Huddart's Chart, by Mr. Dalrymple. There: are alfo plans of Vingorla and Sinderdroog, the refidence of the Muiwans or pirates of Melundy, among Mr. Dalrymple's diafts of. places on the coatt of Malabar.
${ }^{150}$ Aigidii, or Aigidire, cemes fo near Angedive, that it is affumed by almolt every writer on the fubject; and if it had preceded the Cherfonefes, inlead of following it, would have. been conclufive. But the point off which the-Ange-dive lies, would, I think, be called a. Cherfonefe by no. ancient author.
magon; for Leukè, or the White Ifland, is feparated from them by the text, and I have little befitation in carrying it to Angedive. This difpofition would account for all the iflands upon this part of the coaft, and place them in a relative fituation perfectly confiftent with the Journal. Kainitai cannot be queftioned, if its vicinity to the Cherfonefe be confidered; but the affumption of Leukè for the Angedive I would leave to the determination of any Navigator acquainted with the coaft, who could afcertain whether it has any appearance of whitenefs ${ }^{\text {ss }}$ to diftinguifh it from other Iflands.

The Angedives fignify five iflands; and Ptolemy has a Heptanefia, or group of feven iflands, intended to reprefent this clufter, but fo mifplaced, as not to admit of any conclufion from it. One of thefe only is inhabited and fortified ${ }^{198}$ by the Portuguefe, who have a garrifon here compofed of malefactors exiled from Goa; the others, whether more or lefs than the numbers which give it different names, are only iflets or rocks. The paffage between the principal ifland and the main is clear ; and this affords it a prominence, which may have entitled it to the notice of the Periplus.
In the Sound of Goa, there is one principal ifland on which the city itfelf fands, with others fo fmall, that they are little noticed: all which had afforded a place of refuge for fuch Mahomedans as had been driven from the Hindoo ports or cities on the continent, before the arrival of the Portuguefe. Here the Mahomedans of the peninfula colleded, who interted to embark for Judda, and perform their pilgrimage to Mecca: This alone was fufficient to make it a

[^189]with the part of the coalt where we now are. Capt. H. Cornwall's Remarks,'p. 26. mention this whitenefs, as I am informed.
${ }^{15}$. Oriental Navigator, p. 221. It is a mile long, but not fo much broad.

## I NDIA.

port of importance ; and the more fo, as we may conclude that the Hindoos had no influence and no thare in the government; for. the Mahomedans had effablifhed themfelves here, as the fugitives on the coaft of the Hadriatick had done on the iflands which now compofe the city of Venice; and they feem, like them, to have formed a community, which was diftinguihed by the name of Tricurii, or the Thirty Villages. The Portuguefe, from their 'rift arrival, had conceived a defign of occupying this pofition : they firt built a fort ${ }^{\text {158 }}$ on Angedive, and in 1510 Goa itfelf was taken by Albuquerque; it was recovered again by the Mahomedans the fame year, and finally retaken by Albuquerque in 151 I . Under his aufices, it became the head and centre of all the Portuguefe fettlements in India; and is ftill in their poffeffion, after a period of three hundred years.

D'Anville is difpofed to place Goa at Nelkunda; that is, at the fouthern, inftead of the northern boundary of Limúrikè; but he is not fatisfied with his own fuppofition, and abandons it. He fixes, likewife, Aigidii at the Angedives; to which Paolino affents, without reflecting that there muft be two iflands together, connected with a group preceding and a fingle ifland following. Thefe circumftances cannot accord with the fyftem they have adopted; but are perfectly confiftent with the Periplûs, and the difpofition I have aflumed. I have no predilection to this arrangement, becaufe it is my own ; but I have tried the Journal by the beft charts I have of the coaft, and can find no points, either to the north or to the fouth, which will correfpond; and therefore conclude, that by this every thing is done for obtaining the truth that the text will admit.

[^190]But the divifion of the provinces remains fill to be confidered; and the termination of Goncan is fixed by our charts at Cape Ramas, about two-and-twenty miles fouth of Goa; near which is Carwar, once an Englifh factory in the territories of the Soonda Rajah; and the jurifdiction of this prince is faid by Capt. Hamilton to extend from Cape Ramas, about fifteen leagues along the coaft to Meerzee, or Meerzaw. This tract, including the Angedive and the cape off which it lies, I fhould wifh to comprehend within the limits of the ancient Aríake, and I think the modern boundaries favour the conclufion; for the kingdom of Canara does not commence but at the termination ${ }^{15 *}$ of Soonda; and though I cannot afcertain that the coaft, north of Goa, called the Dekan, or fouth of it, called Soonda, are confidered as parts of Concan ; yet it is very clear, that the limit of Soonda and Canara is at Meerzee. At Meerzee, therefore, I aflume the boundary between Aríakè and Limúrikè, guided by the Leukè of the Periplûs, as the laft place mentioned in Ariakè, and by Naoora, as the firft place mentioned in Limurikè. This affumption, if correct, will reconcile the pofitions on the whole coaft, from Goa to Cape Comorin; and if erroneous, confines the error within the diftance between Murmagon and the Angedive: an error, at the utmoft, of forty 'ss miles; moderate in comparifon of the difagreements between d'Anville and Paolino; and caufing no diforder in the arrangement of the provinces, but fuch as may be remedied by the moft tranfient reference to the map.

The province of Ariakè was under the government of Mámbarus,

[^191]and Limúrikè, which we now cnter upon, was Cubject to Kepróbotas, comprehending the modern kingdom of Canara, and terminating on the fouth with the kingdom of Pandion, which anfwers to the Malabar of the prefent day. The ports of this province will be treated of in their regular order; but before we defcend to particulars, let us furvey thefe four divifions of the coaft, as they ftand in the. Pcriplûs, correfponding with the prefent diftinctions o $\hat{i}$ the provinces; let us add the polfibility of affigning the relpective limits in both inftances, and then afk ourfelves, whether this is not a more rational way of interpreting our author, than by fearching for a refemblance of names, which hasmifled fo great a geographer as d'Anville; and in which, if it were reafonable to indulge, many new fimilarities might be difcovered, that have not yet occurred to any one that has profecuted the inguiry.

The province of Barugáza, anfwering to Guzerat, under the power of Minnagar, commencing at the Indus and terminating at the Tapti, is the firt. The fecond is Ariakè, fubject to Mámbarus; a fovereign whom we might compare to Sevagi, or a Mahratta power of the prefent day; bordering north on. Guzerat, and fouth on Canara; of the fame extent as the Pirate Coaft, and diftinguifhed at this day as fixing the fame boundary to the Mahratta language, -as to the province, ancient and modern. Limutikè is the third, with its northern confrne at Cape Ramas, and its fouthern previous to Nelkunda; correfponding with Canara, which commences at the fame point ${ }^{154}$, and has its fouthern limit at Decla. And laftly, the kingdom of Pandion aṣ a fourth divifion, equivalent to Malabar Proper* fucceeded by Paralia and Cómari, qud terminating with the Pearl Fifhery and Ceylon. Let us, I fay, contemplate , Orme, Hifl. Frag. p. 73.
this general picture of the whole coant, from the Indus to the fouthert cape of the-peninfula; a fpace comprehending fourtect hundred miles, through the whole of which the ancient divifions are found confifent with thofe of the prefent day; and we cannot, under all thefe circumftances, fail to acknowledge the information of our author, and the importance of the work he has left for our inftruction.

After this comprehenfive view, the contention which may arife about the appropriation of individual names to particular ports. towns, or fations, is a matter of very inferior conlideration: my conjectures or affertions may be difputed as well as thofe of others, who have trod the fame ground; but till the great outline which ! have traced can be obliterated, the fervice rendered to the feience muft be acknowledgect.
Many of the gentlemen now in India are poffelicd of minds illuminated by education, and ftimulated with a defire of enlarging the bounds of fcience, or affifing the inquiries of literature : thele, in their refpective fituations, muft have acquired a local knowledge, which cannot be obtained by thofe who draw their information from written evidence, alone. To fuch men as thefe I have made a confant appeal, and fubmit the deductions I have traced to their correction; particular errors there may be, but by the gencral divifion of the provinces, I leave a guide to all that may be difpofed to further thefe incuiries, and a rule for rectifying every thing in which I may haree been miftaken. Still the inveftigation thould be made, not by thofe, like Fra Paolino, who drew every thing to Malabar, becaufe be had refided thirteen years in the province, but by men of enlarged mind and gencral information, qualified, like Capt. Wilford, with claffical learning, and a knowiedge of the native lan-
guage; cinabled to direet their view to ages paft as well as prefent; and poffelled of comprelienfive faculties, which can embrace the general fate of Inclia, as well as the particular province in thich they happen to have been employed. From men of this famp I fhall experiente every indulgence; and if they fhould acknowledge that light has been thrown upon one of the moft oblcure objects of inquiry left for our difcuffion by the ancients, I hall reft fatisfied with the refult of my labours.

## L. LIMURIK

How d'Anville could be perfinaded that this province was the repreientative of Concan, is inexplicable; for Pliny, whom be chiefly follows, fays exprefliy, that Muziris was not on the Pirate Coaft, but in its ncighbourhood only; and the Pirate Coaft is as clearly defined by all our ancient authorities', as by the modern acceunts. Cape Ramas, as its northern boundary, and Nelkunda, in the territory of Pandion, as its fouthern limit, mark the confines fo precifcly confiftent with Canara, that we cannot be mifaken. Thefe likewife are the limits of the language ${ }^{\text {iss }}$ at the prefent day, which is a diftinet dialed from that of Malabar on the fouth, or the Maloatta language on thic north; and this is a characteriflie. lefs fluthating than any divifion of the country that conqueft might produce. .

The ancient kingoom of Cathara cmbraced a large part of the peninfula, the capital of which was Bejapoor ${ }^{356}$; but the modera

[^192]difrict of that name was chiefly on the coalt, with its capital thowe the Ghauts. It was an independent fate or lingdom, till it was reduced by Hyder Ali in 1765 and it was at that time governed by a queen ${ }^{\text {s's, }}$, who had driven out the rajat, a child of nine years old, ia favour of her brother. Under pretence of afilfing the dcprived rajah, Hyder entered the country, laid fiege to Bednoor and took it, and, in a very thort time after, fent the quecn with her brother, and the young rajah, into confinement in one of his hill forts near Bangaloor. Bednoor, the capital, is rendered famous by the defeat and death of the unfortunate General Matthews in 1783 ; and was confidered by Tippoo. Sultan as a fortrefs of fufficient ftrength to confide to it a very large portion of his treafures. The conqueft of Canara gave Hyder and his fon a communication with the coaft, and opened the way for farther incurfions to the fouth, whieh were profecuted to the devaftation of Calicut and Cochin, and directed againft Travancoor, when they werc fortunately checked by the affiftance of the Englifh. Tippoo Sultan had likewife the ambition to become a maritime power: he built a frigate, and fitted out a fleet of the country veffels of war, with which he undertook an expedition to the Maldives, and added to his titles, that of Lord of the Thoufand ${ }^{155}$ Iflands. Had he fucceeded in his defigns, he would have extended his dominions from Mylore to Cape Comorin, and extinguilhed the laft remains of Hindoo government in the peninfula, except the Mahrattas.

This fhort recapitulation is not foreign to our fubject for though we hear much in hiftory of the mild and gentle fipirit of the Hindoos,

[^193]they were as much coamoned of conquef as the Mahomedans; and in the age of the Periplots, a king of Madnra, (the fovereizn of ${ }^{3}$ Pambi..Matalala, the Pandion of the atecients, had extended his power from the eaflem to the weflem fide of the peniufuia, and was miller of Matabar when the flects from Egypt firft vifited the coaf., The king " ${ }^{\text {² }}$ of Limurike, and the king of the country fonth of that province, that is Pandion, are fiud both to have their refidence inland by our anthor; and Pliny adds, that Pandion lived far inland, at the city of Modíta, which Ptolemy calls Modora, the metropolis of Pandion. The conjecture, perhaps, will not be adtuitted; but it feems as if the power of Pandion had been fuperfeded in Malabar, between the age of the Periphtus and Ptolemy ; "for Ptolemy reckons
*Aii nest to Limuritic on the foyth, and takes no notice of Pandion till he is paft Cape Comotin, and comes actually to Middura, on 筷e. culles fide of the peninfula. Not that his caft and wefl are on the two faces of the angle, for they are on a line; but he is relatively right, though effentially miftaken.

In the limits of Limurike, Ptolemy is nearly in correfpondence with our author; for he commences with Tundis, omitting Naoora, and huihes with Bécare, which is clote to Nelkunda, and Nelkunda in both is the firl port of Malabar: Ptolemy, indecd, preferves masy mancs more ${ }^{\text {ta }}$ than the Priplis; for he feems, upon alt occalions, to infert every name he could collect; and the merchant

- The nativen, I am informed, fill ülliaguin llionglves by the natim al Bandi or Pruxloo.
ro The king of Canara might lios above the Ghants, as well as the queth that Hyder deftnyed hy the caphare of bednure.

3a. Many more appear in Cupt. Hamilton's acconnt than we have occafion to notice at
prefent. C. Elamiltom throughout confidera Lamata at the reheth comury of 424 cogil ; but phundersal by the Mahrattas, Malabars, and Arabs., Sheh a work as the Otiental Navigator mun notice every place; a mactchate, only thofs where he traded. This is exactly the difference between Ptoleny anc: the Periplis.
fpecifies thofe only that were frequented for the purpofes of commerce. He has only three in this province-Naoora, Tundis, and Mooziris; all diftinctly maked as fubject to Képróbotas, and in a different diftrict frem Nelkunda, which was in the kingdom of Pandíon.

It is remarkable, that not one of thefe three places is accompanied with any local circumfances fufficient to determine their polition; but Mooziris is five findred fadia fouth of Tundis, and Nelkunda at the fame diftance fouth from Monziris. If therefore we could fix Nelkurida, though in a dificrent province ${ }^{\text {t62 }}$, we ought to meafure back thefe twice five hundred ftadia, as the only means of direction that we poffers.

The following arrangement, therefore, I offer, with all the diff-dence that the obfcurity of the Journal demands : I have perfuaded myfelf that it is correct ; but I fhould not be furprized if my 1 deductions fhould appear inconclufive to others. I have followed the only clew I could difcover; and if any one, who has paid attention to the fubject, fhould find better ground to fand on, I fhall readily relinquifh my own, and yield to fuperior information.

For the pofition of Nelkunda, I am obliged to Major Rennell, who is the firf geographer, as far as I have learnt, who has fixed it at Nelffuram. That he is correct in this, I am perfuaded, admits not of prefumptive proof anly, but demonitration :

For we may firf obferve, that Nelifuram is not only a mart itfelf, but gives name to a diftric. This diftrict is not in Canara, but

[^194]Malabar:

Malabar: the frontier of Malabar, the boundary wall ${ }^{153}$ which ruus from the fea to the foot of the Ghauts, is at Dekly, or Dekully, immediately north of Nelifuram. This wall is fill vifible; and this in a peculiar manner makes it correfpond with Nelkunda, whichwas the firt port in the kingdom of Pandion.
2. A fecond proof may be derived from the name itfelf, which Orme writes Nellea-feram. Nella, according to Paolino ${ }^{104}$, fignifies rice, and Ccram a country; and if Nella-ceram be the country ${ }^{\text {os }}$ of Nella, Nel-künda muft be the fort of Nella, refembling Gol-conda, Inna-conda, or ${ }^{\text {'08 }}$ Condlanpoor, on this identical coaft of Canara.
3. But the laft and beft teftimony is that of Major Rennell himfelf ${ }^{67}$, who mentions " a large river, named Cangerecora, whofe " courfe is front the N. E. and which falls in about four miles to " the north of Mount Dilh; previous to which its courfe is parallel " to the fea-coaft for about cleven miles ${ }^{688}$, being feparated only " by a fuit of land. The forts of Nelifuram, Ramdilly, and Matte" loy, are fituated on this river, which is joined by feveral others " that defeend from the Gliaut mountains, which in this part ap" proach within twenty-two miles of the coaft. I eannot help con-
${ }^{*}$ Orme. D'Anville, Antiq. de l'Tnde, p. 1r2..... Thbe coaft of Canma extends to "Deelah, eight or vine leagues north of " Lilly." P.220.223. Oriental Navigator. ${ }^{24}$ P. 170.
"s Batecoli, between Onoor and Barcluor, has the fame meaning. Hate or Pate riceColòa country. Vollius ad Mcl Jilh. iti. 7:
min I have been treated wilh feverity by the Orientaliles for encroactiing on their provinces; but in India, every name of a place is lignai, ficant; and perhaps in every other country, if we could trace the language which fritt aflyned them thair refpective titles. In this
inftance, however, the etymology is not mine. but dedued from an Oricotal Grammarian, and 1 am only aceountable for the deduction. I ought to add, that, accordiug to his mode of interpretation, Counda-poor is identically Cattleton.
${ }^{* 10}$ Memair, p. 28.
${ }^{2 m}$ Capt. Hamilton calls it a finc deep river, which keeps its courfe along fhore tight leagues, at a bow-lhot dillance. It.difenbogues itfelf by the foot of Mount Delly, over rocks and fands, in a channel half al league broad. Vol, i. p. 290.
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"fidering this Nelifuram, which is fitated twelve mifes ip the " river, as the place meant by Nelcynda or Melcyada, by Pliny, and "Ptolemy-a place vifited by the Egyptian and Roman thips." Lict us then obferve, that the Nelkunda of the Periplus lies aftually the fame twelve miles up the river; and after this afk, whether all thefe circumftances can be accidental? for if the correlpondence is evident, it is but reafonable to affume this proof as a demontration.

It is with the moft anxious folicitude that I have concentrated all thefe peculiarities to a point; becaufe I thall want all the anthority of fo able a geographer, to fupport the conclufion I fhall draw from his premifes; and though he lupplics me with a bafis, I am not cortain that he will be pleafed with the luperfructure I thall raile on his foundation; for, grant that Nellkunda is Neli-ceram (which from every kind of evidence I am perfuaded that it is), and it will immediately follow, that Onoor ${ }^{\text {tes }}$, Barceloor, and Mangaloor, are the

## NAOORA, TUNDIS, AND MOOZÍRIS, OF THE PERIPLÛS.

Thefe are the only places mentioned in Limurike; they are the principal places of Canara to this day. Naoora is the firft port of Limúrike, as Onoor is' of Canara; and Mooziris ${ }^{17 \%}$ fo precifely the
3. re, The Eniglith generally write and pronotnce Ontore, Mangalore, \&ec. ; but Paolino fays, wr Inguilice borgo, a town, and the Italian ur is the Einglifh our.
${ }^{50}$ Cormas informs us, that Mangeruth [Mangaloor] was, in the fixth century, one of the prineipal ports for the exportation of pepper. The mention of this article is an acquilition of evidence ; but the afcertaining the
antiguity of the name, as far bark as the fisth century, is lith more in onr fivoult. Sice Cofmas in Thevenot, p. 3. \& Nova Collecitio Patrum, in finc. Mangeloor is prononiced Mungloor by the natives, according to Capt. Moor, Narrative, p. 471. $A$ avd $u$ are perpetually interchanged in Perfic and Arabic. Paolino informs us, that Mángul-ur ligrifies the Town of Fclicity, and Mangula-puri, as it
late hat we have bece obliged to encroacis upon the fucceeding province before we coukd difoover it. But the difoovery will be now complete; for the Petiplùs places Mooziris fifty miles north of Nolkunda, Tundis filify miles north of Moozíris, and, if we affume a third fifty aorth to Naoora, we have the whole three ports as precifely as we can open the compaffes. I requeft the reader to refer this inquiry to the maps of Renncll, de la Rochette, d'Anville, or any other rather than my own, to remove all fuficion of accommodation, and to affure himfelf of the certainty, not upon my affertion, but his own conviaion. It is true that I am direacel to Onoor, in fome degree, by its fimilarity in found to Naoora, but much more ftrongly by confidering that Naoora is the firft port in Limúrike, as Capt. Hamilton writes that "Onoar ${ }^{37}$ is the north"ernmoft port of Canara." And if thefe three ports are cftablifhed by a reference to Nelkunda, fome credit is due to a difcuffion which afectains the pofition of Mooziris ${ }^{172}$; a point on which all are at a lofs, and no two geographers ${ }^{173}$ fully agreed.

There is a river at each of thefe ports, and in them the whole trade of the country has in all ages been carried on. The former weatith of the province is fill evident, from the remains of taiks,
is fome tines callet, the (\%yy of dididy: Then Cluaged it 1" fumul abad, th Moule of il/sance; and if futhre witers were to achept the hafi thange, havgituar mipht tw hroviter aw diftemet to difencer in Jomal abers, as it bas Ritherto beqa in Miogzisis.
${ }^{12}$ Vol. i. p. 275.
17. The relative importanec of Margaloar, in modern times, qualifies it for Mongítis alowe nny ohter platee in Canara. "Margalore is " the greatell mant for trade in all the Canara
" domusiops: it has alic emveniency of a fi"ser, prodaced by three that cone hato it by " diflewne ways, from the fonit, the chlt, and " the notht . . thofe three rivers join ahont "s mile from the fea, and at Mamgulure "difenhogue at ont mouth." Chpt. Namithos, vol. i. p. -82.
"T Mooziris is fixed at Mirgoon ly Rtanell, at Vizindroog by d'Anville, at Cullicut by Hardonia and Mercator, and left undetermined by Roberton and Paolino.
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pagodas, and public buildings, ftill exifting. Of Naoora ${ }^{594}$, indeed, no particulars are mentioned except its name; but 'Tundis ${ }^{5 / 5}$ is faid to be a village in the kingdom of Keprobotas; Mooziris was uuder the fame fovercign; and here, it is added, that there was a great refort of the native veffels from Aríkè or Concan, as well as of the Greek fleets from Egypt. Another particular recorded is [that the coaft was fo near a right line] that wherher you meafured the diftance between Tundis and Moozíris from river to river, or from the paffage by fea, the diftance was equal. The fame circumftance is repeated in regard to the diftance from Moozíris to Nelkunda : it is five hundred ftadia, fays our author, or fifty niles, whether you mafure by land or fea, or by the fpace between the two rivers.

Pliny " ${ }^{17}$ does not mention a river at Moozíris, but obferves, that it was no defirable place of trade, not only on account of the pirates in the neighbourhood, but becaufe the fhips rode at a diftance from the fhore in the open fea, and boats were employed for the conveyance of their lading, both on the delivery and the reception. The merchants had therefore tried a more convenient port, called Necanydon, where they obtained pepper from a diffriat called Cát-
3) The text ftands thus:








Where I infert morxuĕ, Salmafins reads sju mops ; and he hais placed T'undis at the mouth of the river of Moozíris; but where can we fudd a river navigable for fify miles on this coaft? which mutt be the cafe if Tundis is
the yoad, and Moozinis the mart, fifty miles up the fream. Plin. Exer. p. 1185 . Mon. zirin may cafly lie two miles from the rivar. This meafurement by the rivera induces Patolino to carry thefe three ports to the inlets between Calicut and Cochin. This fuppodition bass fome weight.
"3 D'Anville fixes Tundis at Dunda-Rajaponr in Concan.
${ }^{1} \pi$ The text of Pliny is wery corrupt. The expreffion is, gentis Necanydon, the country of the Necanides; but the mention of Decare with it proves it ta be Nelkunda.
tona. This is the pepper of Cottoiá:a mentioned in the Periplus, and affigned by every writer to the province of Canara. There is, upon the whole, no effential difference in the two accounts, except the mention of pirates by Pliny, not noticed in the Journal; but unlefs Hydras could be difcovered, we cannot afcertain their pofition: it is fuppofed to be the Nitria of Ptolemy, the laft place upon his Pirate Coaft ; and though that is not near Mangaloor, doubtlefs the pirates roved on the coaft of Canara in former ages, as they do now, and pirates there bave been at d'llli and the Angedive, as well as in Concan.

Moozíris is written Modíris, Moodíris, Moondíris, Zmiris, and Zymíris, by different authors, which might lead fome inquirer, on the fpot, to farther difcovery. Both the Periplûs and Pliny certainly confider it as an inferior port to Nelkunda; for no account of the imports or exports is given here, but at Nelkunda a copious catalogue. That Moozíris continued a place of refort in later times, we may conclude from the Peutingerian Tables, which place there a temple of Augutus, that is, of the emperor of Rome; for at the date of the tables, in the time of Theodofius, every emperor was Auguftus; and that the Greeks or Romans fhould have a temple here, is no more extraordinary than that the Chriftians flould have churches in Travancoor, or that the Arabs fhould have eftablifhed their fuperftition in Ceylon, which Pliny affures us was true.

Dodwell has built fome arguments on this circumftance, and on the names of the kings, which are the fame in Ptolemy, Pliny, and the Periplûs, in order to bring down the date of this work to the time of Commodus and Verus. But Paolino affords a folution of this difficulty, which is perfectly fatisfactory if his etymology be
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true ; for, he obferves that Keprobotas is written Celćbothras and Ceróbothrus; and he informs us that Ceram fignifics a country, region, or province, and botti, a governor; fo that Cerambotti is as manifefly the boad or fovereign of a province, as Ceram-perumal is king of the country, compounded of Ceraun, a country, perum ${ }^{172}$ great, and aal perfonuge, the great perfonage or fovereign of the kingdom. And as Ceramperumal was the founder of the kingdom of Malabar in the year $907^{1 / 5}$ of our era, there is much probability in this interpretation. If this etymology be admitted, it accounts for the name of Cerobothrus in Limurikè, and that of Pandion in Malabar, not only in the different ages of Pliny, Ptolemy, and our author, but for as long a period as thefe divifions of the country continued undifturbed ; for Mádura is fill known in India as having the ancient title of Pandi Mándala, the kingrdom of Pandi, or the Pandoos; and Pandavais the founder of the fovereignty, according to the Bramins. Pliny ${ }^{179}$ therefore was miftaken, in affuming a general title for a proper name, as well as Ptolemy, and the authos of the Periplûs.

## X. KINGDOM OF PANDION, or MALADAR.

The native appellation of Malabar, we are informed by Paolino, is Kerula Ragiam, the Kingdom of Kerula, or Malayálam, the Mountain Country, derived from the Ghauts which bound it inland, and are vifible from a great diftance at fea. He adds, that Malan-

[^195]gara is an Indian term corrupted into Malabar, and ought not to be deduccd from the Arabic mala, a mountoin, and bahr, a coafi. It is not neceflary to effent to this; becaufe, when the Europeans firft vifited India, after the difcovery of Gaina, they derived their information from the Arabs, and confequently adopted their terms. At that time Calicut was the grand mart of the Oriental world; for here the trade from China and Malacca met the Arabs and Perfians, who brought the produce of thcir own countries, as well as feveral . articles which they procured from Europe; and though fome Arabian veffels penetrated to Malacca, or even China, and fome Chinefe merchants, as it is faid, extended ${ }^{130}$ their voyage to Arabia, or to Keifh and Shiraff, in the Gulph of Perfia, the general point of intercourfe was Calicut. When the Portugueze reached the eaftern coaft of Africa, they were directed neither to Surat or Baroache, but to this city; and here they found the Arabs fettled in the country fo powerful and numerous, as to obftruct their commercs, and traverfe all the plans they had conceived. According to Barthema ${ }^{\text {188 }}$, there were not lefs than fifteen thoufand of them fettled in this place only, befides numerous bodies of them on the coaft, in Ceylon, and in Coromaudel.

The infuence they had in the country may be calculated, not only from this inftance, but from the revenue their commercial tranfactions produced; from their readinefs to engage in all the fervices of war, policy, and government; from the fpirit of adventure which appears in all their conduct; and above all, from the

[^196]defire of extending their religion, as well as promoting their individual intereft. The characler under which Paolino deleribes thems at the prefent hour, would probably have fuited them in every age :-" They ${ }^{182}$ are a robult race, wearing their beards long and " their hair neglected; their complexion is dark, and their cloth" ing confifts of nothing more than a fhist and trowfers of cotton, " They are active and laborious; feldom appearitig in the ftreets " but in a body, and always armed. They fleep in tents or booths, " dreis their victuals in the open air, and work, during the night, " by the light of the moon. They aflift one another in lading and " unlading their fhips, and they drink pientifully of todely and " arrack. Upon receiving the leaft affront, the revenge is com." mon to all." 'Their trade is fill confiderable ${ }^{183}$ both at Cochin and Calicut ; for not lefs than an hundred fhips are employed in this trade, from Mafkat, Moka, and Judda; and the commodities they purchafe are of a better quality ${ }^{184}$ than thofe obtained by the Europeans; becaufe the Europeans, either by their power, or by contract, have bound the native government to furnifh them with. pepper and other articles at a regulated price.

The Cbinefe no longer frequented the port of Calicut when the Portugueze arrived in India: they had been ill-treated by the Zamorin, probably at the inftigation of the Arabs, and for the fame reafon which excited their jealoufy of the Europeans; and after a fruitlefs attempt to revenge themfelves, the Chinefe fhips came no longer to Malabar ${ }^{\text {185 }}$, but to Maliapatam only in Narfinga, on the coalt of Coromandel.

[^197]Now this trade with the countries farther to the eaft, and the intereft which the Arabs had in the communication, is in full correfpondence with the account of Pliny ${ }^{\text {s8 }}$ in the firt century, with Ptolemy in the fecond, with that of Cofmas in the fixth, with the Journal of the Arabs (publifhed by Renaudot) in the ninth, with the experience of Marco Polo in the thirteenth, and with Nicola di Conti, fixty years previous to the arrival of the Portugueze in India. -

It appears from Pliny, that the Arabs were fo numerous in Ceylon, as to have occupied the country below the Ghauts, like the modern Europeans; for their fupertition had prevailed over that of the natives on the coaft. He adds, that the Seres ${ }^{187}$ were knowrrin that illand by means of the intercourfe which commerce produced; and that the father of the rajah who came upon an embalfy to Claudius, had been in their country. (Something like this will appear hereafter in the Periplus.) And that a regular communication was open between India and Malacca, there can be no doubt; becaufe Ptolemy has fixed a port on the coant of Coromandel, from which the fleets failed which went to Chrusè, or the Golden Cherfonefe. Here we may fix the limit of ancient geography; and whether we chufe to carry this trade to China, as fome have fuppofed from the name of Sine Thinx, and Seres, or whether we fix it at the peninfula of Malacca, it is in effect the fame; for in that peninfula there have been, in different ages, the kingdoths of Tonquin, Cochin China, Pegu, Siam, and Ava ; all partaking of Chinefe manners, habits, and cufoms, and all furnifhing, in fome degree, the commodities we now pro-

[^198]montes Emodos Seras quoque ab ipfis arpici, notos etiam commercio; meaning that the Ceyloncie went by land into Tartasy, and fo to China.
cure in China. How the report of thefe countries, indeterminate as it was, reached Creece fo carly as the are of Eratothenes ${ }^{384}$, is a great problem, not caly to relolve; but that in later times fome merchants bad been induced, by intereft or curiofity, either to attempt the voyage, or to fail on board the native thips, is highly probable. That all knowledge, howeyer, beyond Ceylon was doubtful and obfare, is undeniable; for here the marvellous commences, which is conftantly the attendant upon ignorance; and in whatever author it is found, we may be affured he has no certain information on which he can depend.

Within the limits of Ceylon all the general concerns of commerce were certainly confincd, in the age when the Periplûs was written; and whatever might be the extended attempts of the Arabs, very fow of the veffels from Egypt ever reached that inland. Nefkunda was the Calicut of their day ; and ftanding in the fame country, and affording the fame commodities, they procured here for the market of Alexandria the drugs, feices, and bther precious commoditics, which have ever been in requelt throughout Europe.

- At Nelkunda let us now paule, written Melênda ${ }^{\text {ta }}$ by Ptolemy, Melkunda by his commentators, Necanidon by Pliny, Neakyudon by Hardouin, and Nincilda in the Peutingerian ${ }^{190}$ Tables. It is faid
${ }^{47}$ If Eratollhenes derived all his know ledge from Timolthenes, as Marcian informs us; Timoflatnes, who was fent down the coalt of Africa by Ehthadelphus, muth have acquired his infurenation eithcr there or from Arabia, But the 'Thina are mentioned in Ariltothe's Treatife de Mondo; and if that work be veally Atiftotle's, it proves that the Golden Cherfonefe had been heard of in the time of Alex. ander.
thy D'Anville has found an Ophir in Arabia,
conneted with a Sefarch el Zinge on the corte of Atrica, and a Sefarch ol Hinte in hadia. Would nut the fame fpeculation difeover a Melinda on the coate of Afries, ansl a Me. lenda in Mababar ?

20 It is a vory fingular circommanec, that the Peutingerian T'ables fondi have the tame names as the Periplus on this coult, but reverfe them; for as they ran Tandis, Muziris, Nelkunda, in the jotirnal, they flamd Nelkunda, Tyndis, and Muxiris, in the Tables, with
to be the fame as Becarè, by Pliny, and near Barákè, or Ela-Barákě, by the Periplûs. That is, Barákè is a village at the mouth of the river, which, joined with Ela, cannot fail to remind us of Eli, as it is written by Marco Polo ${ }^{\text {'9x }}$, the d'lli, or d'llla, of our modern charts. D'Illi is one of the moft confpicuous points on the coaft, and, as far as I can difcover by the maps, the only remarkable mountain clofe to the fhore. This I had fuppofed to be called Mount Purrhus in the Journal ; but if Purrhus is to be interpreted as a Greek term, it fignifies the Ruddy Mountain ${ }^{\text {s8 }}$; and I have fince learnt, that d'Ill has not this appearance, but that there are heights both to the north and fouth, which ftill bear the title of Red Cliffs, and which will be noticed in their proper place. The mouth of the Nelifuram river, or Cangerecora, at Ramdilli, is placed by Rennell almoft ciofe to the mountain; and ${ }^{299}$ Ram. d'lhist again contains the name of Ela, and is manifeflly the Ela-Barákè of the Journal,

At Barákè the veffels rode till their lading was brought down from Nelkunda. It feems by the text as if the navigation of the river were fafe, and that the fhips went up to the city to deliver their cargo, and then came down to Barákè to receive their lading
> with Blinka, a corrupt reading for the Elanki of Ptolemy, and Colchi Siudorum, for the Kolkhi of both. There is mention likewife of a temple of Auguftas, or the Roman em peror, and a lake at Muzíris. Thefe circumflances, however erroneoufly flated, fill tend to prove the continuance of this commerce, from the time of Claudius to Theodofius-a fpace of above three hundred years; and a probability that the Roman merchants had fettled a factory at Musiris, as they would fearcely
have built a temple there, without fome fort of refidence in the country.
${ }^{12}$ It is written Eli, and d'Eli, in Bergeron'o tranflation; Deli, in Ramufio.

${ }^{10}$ : Ram is a common adjunce, fignifying ; as, Ram-Rajah.
${ }^{29+}$ D'rlli is the orthography of Paolino; Dilla, of Remnell; Deli, Dehli, and Delee, are found in different charts; and Eli, in Marco Polo.
in return; if fo, it is a prefumption that they returned deeper ladem than they arrived, as moft veffels from Europe do at the prefent day. But there is fome confufion in the text, and one corruption'ss at leaft: in modern ${ }^{396}$ accounts, the river itfelf is defcribed as large and deep, but obftructed at its mouth by fhoals and fand-banks. The approach to this coaft likewife is difcoverable, as well as that of Guzerat, by the appearance of fnakes upon the furface of the fea, which are black, fhorter than thofe before mentioned, more like ferpents ${ }^{197}$ about the head, and with eyes of the colour of blood. This is a circuinfance confirmed by Paolino, who lived thirteen ycars in the country, and who accounts for it by fuppofing that they are wafhed down by the rivers in the time of the rainy feafon.

The port of Barákè, or Nelkunda, is much frequented on account of the pepper and betel which may be procured there in great quantities ${ }^{158}$. The principal Imports are,

|  | , | - Great quantities of fpecie. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - | - Topazes. |
|  | 5 \&े $70 \lambda$ U's, | - A fmall affortment of plain Cloth, |
| По入и́ціто, - | $\sim \quad-$ | - Rich cloths, of different colours? |
|  | -. - | - Stibium for colouring the cyes. |
| Kopádлıoy, | - | Coral. |
|  | - | - White glars, |
|  | - | - Brafs. |

 Mappus. It does no prear what ought to be

${ }^{2 s 6}$ In Capt. Hamiton; and it is remarkable that Marco Polo fays, the faips of Mangi (China) that came here, ioaded in eight days, or earlier, if they could, on account of the danger of the anchorage. Lib. iii, c. 26.
 is the diftinction between öfrs and pacinas? It fcems here, creffed.


To Rendered by Hudfon, Vitreum rude; but $\alpha_{i} \gamma^{2}$, qubite, is added to it, to ditinguifh it from vitruan in gencral, which was blue.

INDIA.


The Exports are,

 $\lambda$ srópevov Kortovapicóy, - and which is called the Pepper of Cottonara.
Maprapítns iravòs raì dxápopos, Pearls, in quantity and quality fuperior to others.
${ }^{\text {' }} \mathrm{E} \lambda \varepsilon \varphi \alpha_{5}$, - - - Ivory.
'OSóviad Eqpirć, - - - Fine filks.
 read Gangetick.
 from the countries farther to the eaft.
 has omitted this. The meaning here given is conjectural.
${ }^{\text {an }}$ Pepper, from the wealth it brings into the country, in Sanferit is called, the Splondour of Cities. Paolino, p. 356.
soo I think newwasion iraplies, the native growth of the country : it may fignify only,
procurable there.
${ }^{203}$ Náplos í jumankn. There can be little doubt of the corruption here; becaufe, at p. 36. the author himfelf writes rayyonven Nópoos, the fpikenard procured at the Ganges; and there it is ftill procurable from Thibet, accoxding to Sit Wm. Jones and Dr, Roxburgh. Afiatick Refearches.
A. 'ía ס.a pavìs mavtóia,

- All forts of tranfparent or precious. flones.
'A $\delta^{\prime} \mu \mu \alpha_{\xi}$, - - - Diamonds.
'Táx, ${ }^{2}$ Oos, - - - Jacinths. Amethyfts.


 $\Delta_{\mu}$ Mands (or Maldives?) ; and another fort, which is taken in the iflands which lie off the coaft of Limúrikè (the Lackdives).
The particulars of thefe cargoes fuggeft fome reflections of curiofity; for the bullion or fpecie employed in the purchafe of the native commodities, has formed a fubject of complaint in all ages, as if Europe were exhaufled of the precious metals, and all the riches of the world abforbed by Oriental commerce: the fact is truc, that this trade cannot be carried on without bullion; for all the revenues, of the country, now in the hands of the Eaft India Company, are not; fufficient to cover the inveftments annually made. Still Europe ${ }^{\text {zos }}$ is not exhaufted, but increafing daily in wealth and power, compated with the other quarters of the world, and never can be, till the induftry promoted by this commerce, and by commerce in general, fhall be annifilated.

Tin is another of the articles enumerated; and if we fited this produce of Britain conveyed to Malabar in the earlieft period that biftory can reach, we find the fipices of Malabar in Britain, in an age when the courfe of the communication with India was pro'bably as little known as the exiftence of America. The venerable

[^199]Bede ${ }^{\text {206 }}$, who died in the year 735, was poffefled of pepper, cinnamon, and frankincenfe. Did no one ever afk the quefion, how; in that age, thefe luxuries had been conveyed to Britain, or were treafured in a cell at Weirmouth ?

But the particular moft worthy of remark, is the mention of fine filks ['Góvia Eupryá]; for othonion is any web of a fine fabric, and as applied to cotton fignifies munlin; but its ufage in this paffage, joined with Sericon, plainly indtcates the manufacture of the Seres, which is filk. It is mentioned only at this port, and particularly. diftinguiffed as not being a native commodity, but brought hither from the countries farther ${ }^{207}$ to the eaft. This is a fufficient proof that Nelkunda was in that age, what Calicat was in later timesthe centrical mart between the countries eaft and weft of Cape: Comorin; and we want no other evidence to prove, that the intercourfe between India and the countries beyond the Bay of Bengal, was open in that age, and probably many ages prior, as well as in the time of Ptolemy. That the fleets which went to Chrusề, or the Golden Cherfonefe, would find the filks of China in that market, is readily admitted; but that the Seres were ftill farther eaft, is manifeft, from the map of Ptolemy, as well as from Pliny, who calls them the mofteaftern nation of the world. Now that the ancients always meant China Proper by the term Seres, however obfcure their notions of it were, feems to admit of proof. Sitk came into the Roman world ufually by the route of Tartary, the Calpian, and the Euxine fea; and when Juftinian procured the filk-worm, he procured it by this northern chamel. This communication however,'

[^200]on the north, could not be opened with the nations of the Golden Cherfonefe, with Ava, Pegu, or Siam, but is exprefsly marked as formed immediately with the Sexes themfelves. The point fixed for the mecting of the traders from the weft with thofe of the Seres, was in Tartary, and farther to the ${ }^{2 w n}$ noth -caft than the fources of the Ganges; and this point, fix it where we pleafe, is perfectly in correfpondence with the Kiachta of our own days, where the commodities of the Chinefe and Ruffian empires are cxchanged. The jealoufy of the Seres in regard to ftrangers, remarked by Pliny ${ }^{209}$, is perfectly characteriftic of the Chinefc in all ages; and whether the communication took place near the Chinefe frontier, or in any place nearer to the wett, it equally proves that there were Seres on the north, as well as the fouth; and that there was one communication opened by the intervention of Tartary, and another by fca, through the means of the nations in the Golden Cherfonefe. We thall find fome intimation of this commerce on the north (wild and fabulous as the account is) at the conclufion of the Periplûs, and in the catalogue of articles now under confideration, the communication by fea is equally manifeft. Whether this intercourfe by fea was disect, or only by the intervention of the nations of the Cherfonefe, is another quefion; but on this fubject more will be faid in its proper place. It feems natural, however, to fuppofe, that there always was a Malacca, or fome port that reprefented it, where the trade from China met the merchants from India; as the commerce of India met the traders of Arabia and Perfia at Calicut, wr fome port on the coaft of Malabar. In this ftate of things,

[^201]the Portuguere found the commerce of the Oriental world; and in a flate very fimilar, it feems to have exifted in the age of the Periplûs. This affords us a rational account of the introduction of filk ${ }^{210}$ into Europe, both by land and fea; and thus by tracing the commodities appropriate to particular nations, or climates, we obtain a clue to guide us through the intricacies of the obfcureft ages.

One circumfance refpecting the Malabathrum, which I have fuppofed to be the Betel, remains frill to be confidered : it is faid to be brought here from the countries farther ${ }^{21 x}$ eaft, and not to be a native commodity. Pepper, and pearls, and ivory, and fpikenard ${ }^{3 / 2}$, are likewife faid to ${ }^{233}$ be broug $3 t$ here, as well as filk; all which contribute to prove this port to be the reprefentative of Calicut is that day, and Pandion to have enjoyed all the revenues ariling from the commerce of India and Europe. Could it then be proved that the hundred and twenty fhips which Strabo faw ${ }^{24}$ at Bereníkè, actually reached India by a coafting voyage before the monfoon was difcovered, we can fee a reciprocity of interefts, which might very eafily induce Pandion to fend an embaffy to Auguftus. Another Indian embafly is faid, by Strabo, to have been fent to the fame emperor by Porus; and this Porus is fuppofed, in Indian hiftory ${ }_{2}$ to be the fovereign of Agimere-the Rana, or principal of the Raj-

[^202]Frederick, p. 1707. Purchas, vol. iii. -a fruit the bignels of a nutmeg, which they eat with the leaf, called Betle. And lime of oyfterfiells, pepper, cardomum, and ginger, are alfo mentioned. Within land is the Kingdom of Pepper.
A. ©pserat.
${ }^{31} 4$ Strabg, lib. XY, p. 686.
pout ${ }^{24}$ rajahs. Now, were it poffible to connect his interefts witlis thofe of Guzerat, we might prove, that the trade carried on at Barugaza and Nelkunda was of fuch importance, as to make an intercourfe neceflary between thefe two Indian potentates and the emperor of Romc. If an Indian liftory of thefe early times fhould cver be obtained that polfeffed a degree of confiflence or probability, fome light might be thrown on this fubject; at prefent it is mere conjceture and fpeculation.

I cannot quit the contemplation of this catalogue, however, without adverting to the laft article on the lift, which is the tortoife-flhell procured from the Golden Ifles, and the ifles that lie off the coalt of Limúrikè. The firft, if not the Maldives, are Khrusè ; but the latter are the Lackdives: both are ftill famous for producing the beft tortoife-fhell, and particularly the black fort ${ }^{216}$, the fineft in the world, which is found only here, or at the Philippines, and obtains an higher price than any that is procured elfewhere. But If the Maldives are dubious, the Lackdives do actually lie off the coaft of Canara or Limúrikè ; for though the bulk of them is to the fouthward, the ${ }^{217}$ northernmoft of the group is nearly in the latitude of Mangaloor; and the market where the tortoife-flell was procured, was Nelkunda. This one circumftance might have convinced d'Anville, if he had attended to ir, that Limúrikè muft be Canara, and could not correfpond with Concan; for there are no iflands on that coaft, where any quantity of tortoife-fhell could be obtained, fufficient to be confidered as an article of general commere.

[^203]This extent and value of the cargoes at Nelkunda, either carried out or brought home, is of greater amount than we have found at any other port, and more circumftantial than at any other except Barugáza. This appears correfpondent to the courle of the trade at prefent, but ftill more to the early commerce of the Englifh, when their original factories were at Surat and Tellicheri. At Surat they obtained muflins, chintz, and cottons; and at Tellicheri, pepper and cardamums: for though the Portuguefe multiplied their forts and fettlements, the different productions of the north and fouth, on this weftern coaft of the peninfula, were obtainable with fufficient facility at thefe two points. In conformity with this fyftem we find, that throughout the whole which the Periplûs mentions of India, we have a catalogue of the imports and exports only at the two ports of Barugáza and Nelkunda, and there feems to be a diftinction fixed between the articles appropriate to each. Fine muflins, and ordinary cottons, are the principal commodities of the firlt ; tortoife-fhell, pearls, precious ftones, filks, and above all; pepper ${ }^{218}$, feem to have been procurable only at the latter. This pepper is faid to be brought to this port from Cottonara, generally fuppofed to be the province of Canara ${ }^{219}$, in the neighbourhood of Nelkunda, and famous ${ }^{220}$ to this hour for producing the beft pepper ${ }^{322}$ in the world, except that of Sumatra.

The

- The long pepper mentioned at Barugáza is an ordinary and inferior fpice, more hot and pungent, with lefs flavour.
${ }^{29}$ Eli, Deli, or d'lli, was the port frequented by the Chinefe for pepper in M. Polo's time. Lib. iii. c. 26.
${ }^{21}$ In the Sunda Rajah's country; adjoining to Canara, is the beft pepper in India. Capt. Hamilton's Ace. of E. India, vol. iii. F. 260 .
${ }^{21} \mathrm{Al}$ Edriffi mentions pepper as growing only in Culam-meli (an illand below Subara), and at Candaria, and Gerabtan. What Gerab$\tan$ is, $I$ know not; but Candaria may mean the kingdom of Canara, p. 61.; becaufe he faya afterwards, it is near the mouth of a river in Manibar-Malabar, p. 65 . ; but it is not precife. A1 Edrifi derives this from the Arabs of Renaudot, P. 9. p. 16. where it is writtear Kaucam-mali, and Kamkan; the fame as

Kemiken,

The pre-eminence of thefe two ports will account for the litule whieh is faid of the others by the author, and why he has left us fo fow characers by which we may diftinguifh one from another, fo as to affign them proper pofitions on the coaft. They feem to have been little vifited for the purpofes of eommerce; and if they were touched at only from neceffity, the flay there was fhort, and the obfervations tranfient; but the diftinction of the provinces is clear, and if it has been found poffible to give thefe from the teftimony of our author, with fo much precifion as to prevent future deception, we fhall not hereafter fee the fame plate affigned to Guzerat by one author, and to Malabar by another; one of whom mult be in an error of feven hundred milcs. In limiting the provinces, and marking a few of the principal marts, all has been done that could be expected by thofe who are acquainted with the work; and if conjecture has never been reforted to, but where proof was unattainable, blame ought not to attach, becaufe the difcuffion of impoffibilities has been deelined. I have faid that it was dubious whether the author himfelf had ever been farther than Barugáza; but fo many corroborating eircumftances have come out in tracing the aceount of Nelkunda, that I would now rather fix the limit of his voyage at this port. Farther than Ceylon he cerlainly was not; and whether the fleets from Egypt ever reached that illand previous to the embafly from the king of that eountry to Claudius, is highly problematical. Individuals poffibly might have been there upon an adventure, but the amplifications of Pliny and Ptolemy manifently

Kemkem, or Concam; and Kauca:a-mali is
therefore Concan of Mala-bar, adopting Ma-
labar for the whole coalt, as is antll in ufage.
Bat if Al Edrifi has not copied from others,
Culam-mali is Coulan of Malabar; mnd Coulan
is fill a port of Travancore, where pepper is obtained. His fyling this an ifland, is confiltent with the ufage of Arabian witters.M. Polo mentions Coulam, or Covalam, with the fame pre-eminence. See infra.
befpeak an ignorance of the truth in their age; and if the voyage was not regularly performed, the knowledge of individuals was either not reported, or not believed.

## XI. hippalus and the monsoon.

- There is an additional reafon for believing that the regular courfe of trade terminated at Nelkunda, which is, the introduction of the difcovery of the monfoon by Hippalus at this place. And for this place I have referved the difcuffion of that fubject, becaufe, though I fhall continue my inquiries as far as Ceylon, I am perfuaded that the author of the Periplûs went no farther than this port.

The hiftory of this I thall give as nearly as poffible in the words of the author:
" The whole navigation, fuch as it has been defcribed from " Aden ${ }^{222}$ and Kanè [to the ports of India], was performed formerly " in fmall veffels, by adhering to the fhore, and following the ini" dentures of the coaft ; but Hippalus was the pilot who firf difco" vered the direct courfe acrofs the ocean, by obferving the pofition " of the ports, and the general appearance ${ }^{233}$ of the fea; for at the " feafon when the annual winds, peculiar to our climate ${ }^{224}$, fettle " in
$=2$ Arabia Fclis.




Some doubt will remain whether this paffage is accurately rendered; for the antecedent
 be thought improperly applied to the Miediierranean; but it feems ufed in oppofition to

II $\lambda \alpha^{\prime} \gamma^{n}$, and by being joined with the Etefians that blow [ $\mathrm{wap}{ }^{2}$ xpin $\left.^{2}\right]$ in our country, all ambiguity is removed. I will not vouch for the Greek of our author, in the ufage of Numse, becanfe I think his language frequently incorreet, or his text corrupt; but the genemal fenfe of the paffage is fufficiently clear. The Etefinin winds blow during the fummer months in Egypt; and the fouth-weflerly monfoon, in the Indian Ocean, is in its full vigour dur-
" in the north, and blow for a continuance upon our coaft from " the Mediterranean; in the Indian Ocean the wind is conftantly " to the fouth-weft; and this wind has in thofe feas obtained the " name of Hippalus, from the pilot who firt attempted the paffage " by means of it to the Eaft.
" From the period of that difcovery to the prefent time, veffels " bound to India take their departure, either from Kanè on the ". Arabian, or from Cape Arômata [Gardefan] on the African fide. "From thefe points they ftretch out into the open fea at once, " leaving all the windings of the gulpbs and bays at a diftance, and " make directly for their feveral deftinations on the coaff of India, " Thofe ${ }^{238}$ that are intended for Limúrikè waiting ${ }^{228}$ fome time " before they fail; but thofe that are deflined for Barúraza or " Scindi, feldom more than three days."

This account naturally excites a curiofity in the mind to inquire, how it fhould happen, that the Monfoons fhould have been noticed by Nearchus, and that from the time of his voyage, for three hun-
ing June, July, Augura, and September. If then we fuppofe the author to be a native, or a refident at Alexandria, the Etefians rupp ipiv, reprefent the effect of them where ws live, and romixus; Quekiswor, the blowing of the winds which we locally experience. I reader $\dot{\alpha} \psi^{\prime}$ ' from the time or fcalon, common both to the Etefians and Monfoons; and I do not
 though I fuppofe that a connecting particle is wanting. See Plin. Exercit. 1186.




The gencral fenfe of this paffage is clear;
 suthor to exprefs failing in the open fea; but
 -Hudion renders it, ex regione excelfi per terram externam fupradictos finus pratervehuntur; where fer teriam cxternam is quite as
 exeelf certainly does not exprefs the meaning of the author.
${ }^{4 x 6} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{p}} \times \mathrm{xan}$ Kijones, if it be not a corruption, has no fenfe in the lexicons which can apply to this paffage. The meaning by the context is plain ; but how to elicite it from this word, I know not. Husion has very wifely omitted it.
A learned friend renders Tpaxniki/porce, woith their beade to the foa; ready to fail, but not failing.
dred years, no one fhould have attempted a direct courfe, till Hippalus ventured to commit himfelf to the ocean. It has been fufficiently proved, that a communication was open bettween India and Arabia previous to the age of Alexander; and it is impoffible to conceive, that thofe who lived either in India or Arabia, fhould not have obferved the regular change of feafons and of winds, which recurred every year, and of which, if they were mariners, they could not fail to have taken advantage, every voyage they performed. It is likewife certain, that veffels frequenting either coaft would accidentally be caught by either monfoon, and driven acrofs the open fea to the oppofite fhore, if they happened to be a few days too early, or too late, in the feafon, for the voyage in which they were engaged. That this had happened, and that there was a direct paffage by the monfoons in ufc between the oppofite continents before the Greeks adopted it, has already been noticed from the Periplûs, and fully proved. But in almoft all difcoveries, the previous obftacle is minute, and the removal of it accidental : thus it is, we may fuppofe, that the few veffels which did find their way to India from the ports of Egypt by adhering to the coaft, from the beginning, failed with the monfoon, both outward and homeward bound; but fill followed the track which had been pointed out by Nearchus; and it was neceffary for an Hippalus to arife, before it fhould be known, that the winds were as regular and determinate in the open fea, as upon the coaft. The Periplûs affigns the merit of the difcovery to the obfervation of Hippalus himfelf; but there is nothing unreafonable in fuppofing, that if he frequented thefe feas as a pilot or a merchant, he had met with Indian or Arabiari traders, who made their voyages in a more compendious "manner
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 PERIPLUS OF THE ERYTHREAN SEA.than the Greeks; and that he collecied information from them, which he had both the prudence and courage to adopt. Columbus owed much to his own natical experience and fortitude; but he was not without obligations to the Portuguefe alfo, who had been refolving the great problems in the art of navigation, for almoft a century previous to his expedition.

But the difcovery of the monfoon once made, could never afterwards be neglected; and the ufe made of it by the fleets from Egypt is fully detailed, and much in the fame manner by lliny and the Periplûs. The courfe of the trade from Alexandria to Berenike, and the progrefs of the voyage from Berenikè to Okêlis and Kanè, have already been fufficiently deferibed ${ }^{227}$; but there are fome farther circumftances connected with this, which cannot be fuppreffed without prejudice to the object in view. For Okêlis is mentioned by both authors ${ }^{223}$ as the better port to remain at ; which is evidently confiftent, becaufe it is fheltered from the adverfe ${ }^{229}$ monfoon; and the paffage of thirty days to Okêlis, and forty to the coaft of India, is a proportion fo ftriking, that it could be derived from no other fource but evidence of the moft authentic nature. For the firf diftance is only about four hundred and eighty miles, and the fecond near nineteen hundred, and yet that there fhould be only ten days difference in the longer part of the voyage, is peculiarly appropriate to the two different feas in which the navigation was to be per-

[^204][^205]formed. The veffels deftined for India left Berenikè about the middle of July, or earlier, if they were to go farther than Barugáza; The paffage down the gulph was tedious; for though the wind was favourable, the fhoals, iflands, and rocks, in their courfe, required caution, and compelled them to anchor every night; but when the flaits were paffed, and a veffel was once within the influence of the monfoon, fhe had nothing to impede her courfe from Babel-mandeb to Guzerat ; confequently, forty days alloited to her paffage is neither difproportionate to her courfe down the Red Sea, nor too fhort ${ }^{2 ? 0}$ a fpace for performing a voyage of nineteen hundred miles to India, notwithftanding the fame run at prefent feldom exceeds fifteen. It feems at firf fight a contradicion, that veffels which were to have the longer voyage to Malabar, fhould remain longer at Okêlis than thofe which were deftined only for Scindi or Guzerat; but this likewife depends upon a circumflance peculiar to the monfoon upon the coaft of India, which appears never to have been noticed by thofe who have undertaken to comment on the Periplus.

It is fufficiently known, that the commencement and termination of the two different monfoons are fubjcct to confiderable fluctuation; fo that though we fay thefe winds are alternate, fix months each way, we ought to fubftract one month from the beginning and ending of each, which are not only fluctuating, but tempeftuous. If then we examine the fouth-wefterly or fummer monfoon ${ }^{23 t}$ in this refpect, and confider May as the month in which it commences,

[^206]it is not finally fettled till the beginning of June, a little earlier or later, according to the full or change of the moon ${ }^{232}$; and ftill it is to be obferved, that during June and July " the weather is fo bad, "that navigation is in fome degrec impracticable." In Auguft it is more moderate, and in Septomber the weather is ftill faircr; and though there may be an apprchenfion of ftorms, " you have often " fair weather for feveral days together," which continues, though liable to the fame interruptions, till the middle of October.

This is the peculiar circumftance appropriate to the navigation of the ancients; for if we fuppofe a veffel to leave Bcrenike on the roth of July, and to arrive at Okêlis the 9th of Auguft after continuing there a wcek, ten days, or a fortuight, fhe will reach Muziris or Nelkunda, at lateft, on the ift of October ; that is, at the very time when the has reafon to expcet the bett weather of the fcafon.

There is another fingularity applicable to thofe veffels which are deftined for Scindi and Barugáza, and which ftay only three days at Okêlis or Cape Gardcfan; this is, that the fouth-weft monfoon fets in "earlier to the northward of Surat," than on the coaft to the fouthward. Whether this circumftance is connected with their voyage, we have no data to determine; but if the monfoon commences here earlier, it is confequently fettled earlier than in. Malabar.

After thus conducting our fleet to the fhores of India, it remains next to confider their voyage homeward-bound. And here we are informed by Pliny ${ }^{233}$, that they continued on the coaft from the latter end of September, or beginning of October, to the early part

[^207]of Tybi, or December. This allows rwo months, at leaf, for the dipoial of their cargo, and taking in their lading in exchange. But the lateft time of leaving the conft is within the firft fix days of Machinis; that is, before the ides of January, or the $133^{\text {th }}$ of that month. Now it is wery remarkable, that the original order for the Heets of Portugal was fubject to the fame regulation; for if they did not fail before the $8 \mathrm{th}^{33+}$ of December, they were detained till the firt week of the lucceeding month. The reafon for this, though not mentioned, is doubtlefs the change of the moon in both inftances, at which time there are ufually fome ftronger gales; and in this we have one more evidence of the fame operations of nature producing the fame effeers in all ageso

Pliny fyyles the fouth-weft monfoon, Favonius (which the Periplûs calls Libo-Notus), and the north-eaf, Vulturnus; about which therc is much learned difquifition in Salmafius. But we are now too well acquainted with thefe feas, to have a doubt remaining on the winds that were intended; and we conclude, that as the fame caufes have operated in all ages, they blew two thoufand years ago as they blow at the prefent day. Not that they are fixed to a fingle point of the compafs, but that north-eaft and fouth-we丹t are their general dirction. It is added by Pliny, that upon reaching the Red Sca, they found a fouth or fouthoweft wind, which conveyed them to Berenike, and enabled them to conclude their voyage in Jefs than the compals of a year. This, likewife is confiftent with experience; for the winds in the Culph of Arabia are almoft con. itantly noth and north-weft, except for fifty days, when they are called the Gumfeen ${ }^{235}$ winds, and prevail from the middle of March;

[^208]during that period coming regularly from the fouth. If therefore we fuppofe a vefiel to leave the coaft of India between the 8th and $\xi_{3}$ th of January, forty days employed upon her return would bring her to Kane, Aden, or Gardefan, towards the end of February; At any of thefe ports fhe might wait, fo as to be prepartd to take advantage of the Gumfeen wind in the middle of March ; and when fle was once within the ftraits, this wind would ferve her for fifty days to convey her to Bereníkè, to Myos Hormus, or even to Arfinoè, the reprefentative of the modern Suez. Thus, by embracing the opportunities which the regular feafons in the different feas afford, the whole voyage outward and homeward-bound would be performed with a wind conftantly in her favour.

The next point to be confidered is, the departure of this fleet from Okêlis, Kanè, or Cape Arômata. The two laft are more particularly intimated by the Periplûs; and Syagros, or Fartaque, by Pliny ${ }^{335}$. In this the merchant is moft probably the more correct of the two; for, as we may conclude that he performed the voyage himfelf, fo is Arômata, or Cape Gardefan, the point that divides the limit of the monfoon on the coalt of Africa: for, on the autho'rity of Beaulieu, we learn, that he paffed from winter, ftorm, and, tempeft, to calm and fummer, in an inftant, on doubling this promontory. Here then was the point where their courfe was open before them, from one-continent to the other; and when they were once at fea, there was nothing to change the direction of the wind till they reached the fhores of India. On their return from India, they ran down their longitude firft to the coaft of Africa, tending to an

[^209]object of magnitude which they could not mils or overrun ; and then made good their latitude by coming up northward to the coaft of Barbaria and the Red Sea. In effecting this we may conclude, that they directed their courfe, as nearly as they could calculate, to Arômata; but Ptolemy informs us, they fometimes got to the fouthward of it, and were carried much lower down than they wifhed; and fometimes we know that they came intentionally to Rhapta, Opône, and other marts on the coaft of Africa, and proceeded afterwards to Arabia, or the Red Sea, according to their deftination, intereft, or convenience.

The commerce of the Arabians has arrefted our attention throughout the whole progrefs of our inquiry, from the firft mention of their imports in fcripture, to the accounts of the prefent day. Their connections with the countries in their neighbourhood is equally obvious: in Syria, Paleftine, Egypt, Perfis, Mefopotamia, and on the Tigris, we find them noticed by Pliny ${ }^{233}$; in India, by Agatharchides, and almoft every fubfequent geographer; in Africa, they are fpread at this day from the Red Sea acrois the whole continent to Senegal; and in the Eattern Ocean they are found upon every coaft, and almoft upon every ifland. But general as the extenfion of their name and nation may have been, when we refer to their own accounts, nothing is more obfcure ${ }^{238}$-nothing lefs fatisfactory. The information to be collected from the little tract of the Periplus is a picture of geography, in comparifon, of the two Arabian narratives publifhed by Renaudot, of Ebn Haukal, or Al Edriff; befides all the fabulous and the marvellous which we have to remove. Still

[^210]there are fome particulars in thefe authors already noticed, which are worthy of attention ; and fomething in the Arabians of Renaudot peculiarly connected with the object of our inquiry; for the gencral fact, that the Indian commerce had fettled at Siraf in the ninth century, is a revolution of importance.

Siraf ${ }^{239}$ is upon the fame coaft in the Gulph of Perfia as the modern Gomroon, and held the fame rank at that time as Kcifh in the thirteenth century, and Ormus of a later date. The merchants of Siraf, in that age, evidently performed the voyage to China, and Chinefe fhips are mentioned at Siraf; but a clofer examination has induced me to believe ${ }^{240}$ that they were not Chinefe, but veffels employed by the Siraf merchants in the trade to China. The trade from this port, however it cxtended farther to the eaft, certainly met the Chinefe fleets on the coaft of Malabar; for there it is mentioned, that the Chinefe paid a duty of a thoufand ${ }^{241}$ drams, while other veffels paid no more than from one dinar to ten.

But the fhips that failed from Siraf went firft to Mafcat in Arabia, for the fame reafon that the fleets from Egypt took their departure from Kane and Aromata; that is, becaufe they obtained the monfoon the moment they were under fail. The Arab has fortunately preferved this circumftance ; for he fays, "from Mafcat to Kaucam-

[^211]from this, that the narrator actually failed hinifcIf on board a Siraf flip for China, and in that fonle called it a Chinele Chip. P. 8. Eng. ed. I do not, however, think this proof fo conclufive, as utterly to deny the navigation of the Chinefe welt of Malabar.
${ }^{46}$ Two Atrabs. P. 9. Eng, ed. The fom 15 too fuall to be credible; 10,000 dinats are equal to $1 /$, 17s. $6 \%$. De Sacy, p .332 .
" mali is a month's ${ }^{242}$ fail, with the wind aft." Here then we have an evidence of the monfoon, and of the paffage direct from one coaft to the other, in harmony with the Periplus: we have a paffage of thirty days from Mafcat, proportionate to the forty days from Gardefan; and whatever Kaucam may be, we find in Mali a reference to Malè and Malabar, in which we cannot be miftaken. Al Edriff, who copies this paffage from the Arabs, writes the name ${ }^{243}$ Kulammeli; fo that between the two authorities we may poffibly difcover Kulam on the coaft of Malabar ; and on that coaft, in the kingdom of Travancore, there is ftill the port of Coulan, about eighty miles below Cochin; and another Coulam ${ }^{24 *}$, or Coualam, to the eaftward of Cape Comorin. Either of thefe may be the port intended by the Arabian Journal, as it informs us, that "after watering here, you " begin to enter the fea of Harkand," that is, the ocean to the fouth of Comorin ; and in another paffage it is added, that "Kaucam is " alnoft upon the fkirts of the fea of Harkand."

I am not certain that I can follow my author farther; but if I underftand him right, it is fufficiently evident, that though they failed by the monfoon to Cape Comorin, they did not crofs the Bay of Bengal by the fame wind; but after rounding Ceylon, or pafing

24: The Arabs had not moch inproved upon the Grecks in the ert of Navigation.

24: The difference of Kancam and Kulam may be reconciled by fippofing the tianfators mifled by the want of the dacrizical points; a difficulty which oceurs to all tranflators in vendering proper pance. Compare the Arabian Journal, p. g. with A1 Edrifif, p. 61. and p. 37. where Culam-meli is characterized as the Illand (that is, the country) which prothees pepper. Half the inands of the Ara-
bian geographers are upon the continent.Compare it allo with $A$ bulfeda, who calls it Caulam, the laft port of India, where pepper is procured. Lat. 8. Melch. Thevenot, vol. i. p. 22.
24. This other Coulam, or Covalam, beyond Cape Comorin, is in the country of Tinevelli; but Paolino fays, the firlt Coulan ought to be written Collam. P. 75.-The trade continued at Coulans in Marco Polo's time. See lib. iii, c. 25 .
the Aratio of Manar, they ftood o: by Lajabalus ${ }^{245}$ and Calabar (which is the coaft of Coromandel), and Betuma (the fame as Beit Thuma), St. Thome or Mcliapoor; and then by Kadrange and Senef to Sandarfulat, which ought to be the Straits of Malacca; and thence to China. There feems to be more coafting in this voyage than in that of Ptolemy; for he carrics his flects acrofs from fome point in the Carnatic to the Golden Cherfonefe, at once. But if his communication terminated there, the Arabs went farther eaft than his Sinus Magnus, and reached Canfu ${ }^{245}$ in China, which is the modern Canton, where they traded much under the fame refrictions which Europeans experience at the prefent hour. After all, they confefs that very few of their dhips reached China; that the voyage was extremely dangerous ${ }^{24 r}$, and that water-fpouts and tuffons were continually to be dreaded; added to which, at the date of their narrative in 867 of our era, the kingdom of China itfelf was diftracted by internal commotions ${ }^{248}$, which made it no longer fafe for merchants to venture into the country.
as It is written Najabalus alio, which d'Anville reads Nachabal for Nichobar. But the iflands of Arabian writers are frequently not inands; and if the navigator went firt to tha Nicobars, and then back to the coalt of Coromandel, he almott doubled the paffage acrofs the bay. Calabar, I fuppofe, flands in contratt to Malabar, commencing poffibly at Calymere. Bet Thuma is the boufe or chureh of St. Thomas at Meli, poor, near Madras: Kadrarige and Senef, I cannot difcover; but Senef I fuppole to be the Sanif of Al Edrifi, which, he fays, is ten daye from Sandifalat; and Kadrange may be Arracan. Sandifalat can herdly be any thing elle" but the Straits of Malacca ; but Renaudot reads it Saudar-Pulo, and converts it into Pulo-Condor,
which feems fanciful. It might be a fubject of inquiry, whether Scnef, Sanf, or Samf, may not be Siam. The fea oppofite the coatk of Coromandet is called Mare Sanficum, which may be the fea of Siam; but Siam extends acrofs the peninfula, and the gulph of Siam Proper is on the eallern fide. Al Edriff, p. $34-$ 246 Canfu is the Chanecu of AlEdriffi. P. 37 .
34 Between the fea of Harkand and Delarowi there are 1900 illands (Arabs of Renaudot), which include the Maldives, Barandiv, and Ceylon, in the fea of Harkand. Arabs 2. Al Edrift, p. 31. The danger of the voyage was increafed by thefe, as nuth as by the obltacles farther eait.
${ }^{28}$ The wars which preceded the dynafty of Sunga. Arabs, p. 41. remarks, 47 .

But ftill it fhould be remembered, that the Arabians are the firf navigators upon record, except the merchants of Cofmas, that penetrated to China; that they are antecedent to the Europeans in this voyage by more than fix centuries; and that they had found their way to the northern ${ }^{248}$ frontier of this kingdom in the ninth century, while in the fixteenth, the Europeans were difputing whether Cathai and China were the fame. We have no record of any European ${ }^{380}$ vifiting this country by a northern route before Marco Polo, in the twelfth century; or of an European failing in the fea of China between the time of that traveller and Nicola di Conti, in 1420. Barthema's voyage is between 1500 and 1504 , immediately preceding the arrival of the Portuguefe.

Thefe circumftances will naturally fuggeft reflections in regard to the Arabs of the Defert, and the Arabs on the Coaft. The fons of Efau wore plunderers by prefcription and profeffion; their hand was againft every man, and every man's hand againft them. The family of Jocktan ${ }^{256}$, in Yemen, Hadramaut, and Oman, were as naturally commercial; and as they anticipated the Greeks and Romans in the navigation to India, and the modern Europeans in the difoovery of China, it is no more than their due to afrribe to them a fpirit of commerce, enterprize, or the thirt of gain, in ages which
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no hiftory can reach; and to concludes, that if the precions common dities of the Eaft found their way to the Mediterrancan, as undoubtedly they did, the firft carriers on the ocean were as undoultedly the Arabians.

Whether we are better able to underftand the Greeks, or whether the Greeks are more intelligent and better informed than the Arabs, I cannot fay; but Cofmas, who was never in India himfelf-who was a monk, and not the wifeft either of his profefiion or his nation, is far more diftinct and comprehenfible in the fisth century, than the two Arabs in the ninth, or Al Edriffi in the twelfth. He gives a very rational account of the pepper trade in Malabar, and the meeting of the merchants from the Eaft with thole of Europe, from the Red Sea, and Gulph of Perfia, in Ceylon, which in his age was the centre of this commerce; and he affords a varicty of information, which the Arabians either did not know, or have not recorded. But we fhall have recourfe to fim again when we arrive at Ceylon.

I have more than once noticed the Rajah, who, as Pliny informs us, attended the embaffy from the king of Ceylon to Claudius, and who afferted, that his father had vifited the Seres. I once thought that this Rajah went by fea; but upon a clofer infpertion of the paffage, it is plain that he went by land from Bengal acrofs the mountains called Emodi. Still we have, upon the whole, a proof, that through the intervention of different nations, a communication was open from the Red Sea to the country of the Seres. Whether the Seres are Chinefe, has been much difputed; but that they were vifited by fea, is true, if the evidence of Cormas ${ }^{38}$ is
${ }_{32}$ Cofmas calls them Tzinitze, and Txinifes. See Difictation io infia.
fufficient; and that they were approachable by land through Tartary on the north, is afferted likewife by Pliny and Ptolemy. This is a peculiarity that fuits no nation but the Chinefe; and if we find this fact recorded from the time that hiftory commences, it is a ftrong prefumption that the fame intercourfe took place many ages antecedent to the accounts which have come down to the prefent time.

Whether the author of the Periplûs himfelf failed with the monfoon, or by the coafts which his narrative takes in fucceffion, he has not informed us; but if he was in India more than once, he might have tried both the different routes. His leaving this circumftance undetermined, may induce a fufpicion that he was a geographer, rather than a voyager. But the fame circumftance occurs in Capt. Hamilton's Account of the Eaft Indies: he gives the ports in fucceffion, from the Cape of Good Hope to China; yet he ccrtainly did not vifit them all in one voyage, but gives us the refult of the knowledge he had acquired in all his different navigations.

For this account of the monfoons, and the effects produced by them relative to the commèrce of the ancients, no apology is requifite: it is of the very effence of the defign propofed from the commencement of this work, which was intended not merely as a comment on the Periplûs, but from the opportunities afforded by that journal to inveftigate the commerce of the Eaft in all its branches; to trace its progrefs or fituation in different ages, and to examine its relations, caufes, and confequences, till the new era of difcovery commenced by the efforts of the Portuguefe, under the aufpices of Don Henry, and the great work was effentially completed by the achievements of Gama and Columbus,

We return now to the narrative of the Journal, which was interrupted at Eárakè, for the purpoic of introducing Hippalus to the acquaintance of the reader, and commences again with Ela-Bakarè, altered as to its orthography, in which it now correfponds with the Beccare of Pliny, and the Bakarè of Ptolemy. It is now likewife augmented with the addition of Ela; in which, as has been obferved, we recover the Eli of Marca Polo, and the d'Eli or d'Illi of our charts. In this paffage there is mention of the Ruddy Mountain ${ }^{23 / 3}$, and then an omiffion in the text, which requires examination before we can proceed. I bave lately learnt that d'lli itfelf is not red, but that there are red hills, or land, both to the north and fouth of it: the red hill to the fouth, lying near the fea, is that which we mult prefer for the Ruddy Mountain of the Journal ; and as the features of nature are indelible, it is much fatisfaction to eftablifh the confiftency of the narracive upon ground fo well afcertained. I confider this, therefore, as a point fixed; but I ought not to omit, that the Oriental Navigator ${ }^{254}$ notices red cliffs much lower down, both on the north and fouth of Anjenga; and as we are approaching very faft to Cape Comorin, if it fhould appear preferable to others to affume thefe for the Ruddy Mountain, there is confeffedly fome ground for the fuppofition.

[^213]" mofa there lies a reddi/b hill, by the fea"fide." This muft be a league north of d'Illi; for Formofa is five leagues from d'Ill, according to the Oriental Navigator, p-223.
"When Monnt d'IIli bore S.E. by E. "c about four Icagues, Mount Formola bore ${ }^{4}$ N.E. $\frac{3}{4}$ N. three leagucs . . . . . Two hills " were in fight; one to the fouthward, and " the other northward; the land hereabouts
" appearing reddi/b near the fea-dide, efpecially: "t towards funfet."
${ }_{-1}^{254}$ P. 227.

The omiffion ${ }^{2 s s}$ in the text may be fupplied, by fuppofing that the country of Pandion is intended; and the fentence would then exprefs, that after leaving Ela-Bákarè, you arrive at the Red Cliffs, and beyond them the Paralia ${ }^{255}$, or coaft of the territory fubject to Pandion, which fronts the fouth, and where you find the town of Kolkhi, and the Pearl Fifhery. By this we are to underftand, that he means the fouthern coaft beyond Cape Comorin; and fo he afterwards expreffes himfelf; for he reverts to Comorin, and then proceeds again to Kolkhi and the fifhing-ground.

Ptolemy makes no mention of the kingdom of Pandion previous to Komar ; but commences the province of the Aii with Melênda and Elanki ${ }^{247}$, and makes it terminate at Comar. This would embrace the modern Calicut, Cochin, and Travancore; and in this tract we have ftill an Aycotta near Cranganoor, that is, the fortrefs of $\mathrm{Ai}^{258}$. In all other refpects, the divifion of the provinces is nearly the fame in Ptolemy and the Periplûs, from Barugáza to Comar; and their want of correfpondence here, is a circumftance in favour of both; for the kingdom of Pandion is placed by both on the eaftern fide of the peninfula, and Módura, his capital, is the prefent metropolis of Mádura. If he had a territory on the Ma-

[^214]Ela-Bákarè, as Ram-d'Hi, near the month of the river where the veffels lay; and here might be the reddifh hith one league $N$. of d'Illa.
${ }^{256}$ We fhould naturally fuppofe that Paralia was a Greek term, and literally the con/f; but Mr. Hamitron informe me, that Paralaya, in Sanfkreet, fignifies the remote/t region ; that is, the extreme part of the peninfula,
${ }^{295}$ Nelkunda and Ela.
${ }^{259}$ D'Auville, p. 116 . Paolino, p. 86.
labar ${ }^{39}$ fide, it was by conqueft; and Pliny is in harmony with both: when freaking of his poffeftions on this fide, he fays, Mó. dufa, the capital of Pandion, was at a great diftance inland.

## XII. BALITA, COMAREI, KOLKHI, PEARL FISHERY.

Balita is the firft place mentioned by the Periplus after leaving the Ruddy Mountain : it is the Bam-bala ${ }^{250}$ of Ptolcmy; but we have nothing to determine its pofition, except the mention of its having a fine road for thipping, and a village on the coaft. No reprefentative of Calicut appears, and probably it did not then exift, nor for feveral centuries afterwards. The Kolkhi of the ancients has been frequently miftaken for it; but as Kolkhi is beyond Comorin, and is the feat of the Pearl Fifhery, the fuppofition is impoffible. Calicut ${ }^{25 x}$ was the grand centre, for many ages, of all the Eaftern and Weftern commerce, from its origin in $805^{263}$ till the arrival of the Portuguefe; and though its fplendour is now eclipfed, it is ftill a place of great trade for pepper ${ }^{263}$, cardamums, fandal-

[^215]Perumal's retirement, and the divifion of Mar labar, it ftill contains much that is problematicel, and feems a Mahomedan fiction to give the Monlems a legal fettlement in the country: Subjoined to this account, however, there is a valuable note, giving an accomnt of the kingdom of Bijnagar-its rife, power, and diffohation; and proving, that the influence of the Ram Rajah extended over Malabar.
${ }^{-262}$ There are two dates; 907 and 805. D'Anville, Antiq. de l'Lnde, p. ita.
${ }^{2} / 3$ Tellicheri, zn Euglifh fort and factory, was eftablifhed on this coaft for the purpofe of procuring thefe articles, and Angeaga.
wood, and other commodities; much frequented by the veffels of Europe, and fill more by the traders from the Red Sea, Mafkhat, and the Gulph of Perfia. Thefe are circumftances too well known to be infifted on; and we muft proceed to Comar, no lefs confpicuous in its fituation at the apex of the peninfula, than in the prefervation of its name through fo many ages, and fo many revolutions both of commerce and of empire.

At Komar there was an harbour and a fortrefs, with a garrifon: there was likewife fome religious eftablifhment, in which thofe who dedicated themfelves to the worfhip of the prefiding deity firft confecrated themfelves by ablutions, and then entered into an engagement of celibacy. Women partook of this inftitution as well as men; and the legend of the place reports, that the goddefs to whom their fervices were dedicated, ufed formerly to practife the fame ablutions monthly at this confecrated fpot.

The name of the place, according to Paolino ${ }^{254}$, is derived from Cumari, a virgin deity, the Diana and Hecate of the Hindoo mythology. The convent ${ }^{205}$, he adds, ftill exifts, and the fame fuperfition is practifed at a mountain three leagues inland, where. they ftill preferve the tradition of Cumari's barhing in the fea. The Sanfcreet name, he adds, is Canyamuri, Cape Virgiz, but contraced by the natives themfelves into Comari, or Cumail. He mentions
> ${ }^{264}$ Cumari, he informs us, fignifics a virgin; but Comr is the moon, in A rabic; and Diana (ast Phocbe) is the goddefs of the moon, the fitter of Phobbus. Whether Comr has fuch a meaning in Sanfereet, or the goddefs fuch an attribute in Hindoo mythology, may be enquired.
> :65 The religious of this fort, he fays, are
called Jogi, cocrolites, or Gu-fuami. lords of the roov, from their fuperflition relative to that animal; or Samana, inofanfov, becaufe they deprive no creature of life, (Thefe are the Germanai of Strabos) They live in convents under a fuperior, fleep on the ground on mats, of palm-leaf, and communicate little with the world.
alfo a fmall port here, conformably to the account in the Periplis; and a church, founded by St. Xavier, on a mountain clofe to the fa, which, report fays, is dedicated to the Virgin Mary; but on this head the good Carmelite is filent, and I know not whether the report is true.

Circumfances fo correfpondent, at the diftance of two thoufand Years, could hardly be found in any country except India; and to the local knowledge of Paolino. we are much indebted. He has reprobated, indeed, all literary men, who prefume to determine - queftions in their clofet relative to countries which they have never vilited; but though I and others, who purfue our ftudies in retirement, owe him fomething in return, I Shall revenge myfelf by no other method, but by citing a beautiful paffage from his work, deicriptive of the Paralia ${ }^{\text {so6 }}$, or Coalt of Malabar. Paolino, on his return to Europe, had embarked in a French frigate called the Ca lypfo; and while he is purfuing his, courfe between Cochin and Cape Comorin, he burfts out into a rapturous defcription of the feenery prefented to his view :
" Nothing ${ }^{267}$ can be more enchanting to the eye, or delicious to " the fenfes, than is experienced in a voyage near the extremity " of the peninfula. At thrce or four leagues from the coaft, the " country of Malabar appears like a theatre of verdure: here a " grove of cocoa-trees, and there a beautiful river pouring its tribute " into the ocean, through a valley, irrigated and fertilized by its "waters. In one place a group of fifhing-veffels, in another a

[^216]" white church ${ }^{268}$, peering through the verdure of the groves; " while the gentle land-breeze of the morning wafts the fragrance " exhaled from the pepper, cardamum, betel, and other aromatics, " to a great diftance from the fhore, and perfumes the vefiel on her " voyage with their odours; towards noon fucceeds the fea-breeze, " of which we took advantage to fpeed the beautiful Calypfo to"wards the port of her deftination."

Our Greek and Arabian conductors have no effufions of imagination, but a picture of the country where we are, drawn upon the fpot with the enthufiafm and fenfibility of an Italian, will make ample atonement for the digreflion. I need not add, that during the north-eafterly monfoon, a voyage on the whole coaft is effectually a party of pleafure.

We are now to proceed to Kolkhi and the Pearl Fifhery, in regard to which Paolino is much difpleafed that none of the geographers have agreed ${ }^{269}$ in placing Kolkhi at Coléchè ${ }^{270}$. He will not allow any of us to know the leaft of the fituation of places which we have never feen, and yet we fhall build on his own premifes to fubvert his conclufion; for he, in conjunction with all our charts, places

265 There were many cherches in this conntry, both of the Miffion, and of the Malabar Chriftians; but the irruption of Tippoo deftroyed every Hindoo pagoda, and every Cluilitian chareh, as far as he penetrated. Al. Rel. vii. 379 .

To thefe Chriftians of St. Thomas, Alfred fent Sighelm, bp. of Sheibourne, who brought home many jewels, aromatics, \&c. fome of which remained long at Skerbourne. Hacklait, ii. 5 .

I wifl we had more anthority for this than
the tradition of Sherbourne, for Alfred deferves every honour which ean be added to his name.
${ }^{2} 2$ In Mr. Lee Beck's Account of the Pearl Fifhery it is laid, that the beft divers are from Collifh, on the cuaft of Malabar. I conclude that this is Coleche. " Af. Ref. v. 402.
${ }^{27}$ Quefta citta fu fovente confufa con Com valan, Colias, o Colis, degl' antichi, da quelli fctitori . . . che non avevano effaminato il fito locale delle due citta tra fe molto diverfo. P. 74:
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Coléchè̀ ${ }^{2 x}$ previous to Comorin; and thercfore, whether we find a reprefentative for Kolklii or not, his aflumption muft be falfe; for both Peolemy and the Periplîs ${ }^{372}$ place it, not to the weftward, but the ceftward of Cape Comorin. The Pearl Filhery is not now, and never was, carried on to the weftward or'northward of Cape Comorin. The Pearl Fifhery is likewife marked out by another chara\&crific fill peculiar to it; which is, that pearl oyfters are found only at one place-the ifland of Epiodorrus, which can be no other than the ifle of Manar ${ }^{273}$, and there the fifhery is at the prefent hour. By the name of Epiodorus, we may conclude a Greck of that name from Egypt was the firt of his countrymen that vilited this ifland; and where would a Greek not have gone ${ }^{274}$, if he had heard that pearls were to be obtained? The great requeft in which they were at Rome and Alexandria, feems to have marked them out, not inded as of greater value than diamonds, but as a more marketable and prefcrable commodity for the merchant.

The power which in different ages has prefided over the Fifhery, whether native, Portuguefc, Dutch, or Englifh, has regularly taken its flation at Tutacorin: the Fifhery itfelf is always on the Ceylon fide, towards Manar, at Chilao ${ }^{275}$, Seewel ${ }^{276}$, Condutchey, \&cc. The number of perfons who affemble, is from fifty to fisty thoufand;

[^217][^218]confifing of divers, maxiners, merchants, and tradefinen of every defcription. The Nayque of Madura, who was fovereign of the coaft, and the reprefentative of Pandion, had one day's filhery; the Governor of Manar's wife, when the Portuguefe were mafters, had another day, afterwards perverted to the ufe of Jefuits; and the owner of the veffel had one draught every fifhing-day. After the fihery was concluded, the fair was kept at Tutacorin. The brokerage and the duty amounted to four per cent-paid by the feller. The veffels were not fewer than four or five hundred, each carrying from fixty to ninety men, of which one-third were divers. Capt. Stevens fuppofes the pearl of Manar to be inferior to that of Bahrein.

This fifhery is likewife defcribed by Cefar Frederick, and a variety ${ }^{277}$ of authors. He informs us, that the divers were chiefly Chriftians of Malabar in his time; they are now a mixture of that defcription, of Roman Catholics, and Hindoos; but the fuperfitions practifed to preferve the divers from the fharks, and other dangers of their profeffion, are all Hindoo. Several fanatics are well paid for their attendance during the fifhery for that purpofe; and the fharks are as obedient to the conjuration of a Brathin, as they could be to a Malabar prieft; for the charm is not perfectly efficacious. Thofe who wifh to enquire farther into the detail, will meet with a very excellent account in the Afiatic Refearches (vol. v.) by Mr. Le Beck; in which he will find that this fifhery, which ufed to produce $20,000 l$. to the Portuguefe and Dutch ${ }^{278}$, produced, in the year i $797^{\prime}, 150,000$, under the management of the Englifh ${ }^{27}$.

[^219]
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 PERIPLUS OF THE ERYTHREAN SEA.In the age of the Periplus, none but condemned criminals were employed in this fervice; a practice common to all the nations of the ancient world, in their mines, in their gallies, in the conftruction of their public buildings, and execution of all their public works. The mines of Potofi are ftill worked by llaves, where the fame miferies are experienced as Agatharchides ${ }^{250}$ has depicted in the gold-mines of Egypt ; while in Europe, or at leaft in England, we never want freemen to work in our collieries, in our mines of tin, lead, or copper, whofe labour is procured, and whofe dangers are compenfated, by the higher price they obtain for the fervices they are to perform.

I ought now, in compliance with the nature of the undertaking in which I am engaged, to follow my author to the conclufion of his work; but as I am perfuaded that he never went farther than Nelkunda himfelf, and that he has built upon report in all that is fubfequent to that place; fo does report grow fo vague after quitting the Pearl Fifhery and Ceylon, that though he mentions feveral circumftances in common with other authors, there is fo much indeterminate, that I referve it for a feparate difcuffion. My reafon for afferting this is, that he extends the Coaft of Coromandel to the calt; that he is no longer in particular, but general correfondence only with Ptolemy; and that he has extended Ceylon towards Africa, inftead of affigning it a pofition where it actually cxifts. .

[^220][^221]Pliny has faid fomcthing of the paffage between Ceylon and the continent, not very fatisfactory indeed, but fufficient to fhew his opinion, that the trade was carried on by this ftrait. The Periplûs feems to eopfirm this idea, and Ptolemy has nothing to the contrary; but if the opening in Adam's Bridge, near Manar ${ }^{254}$, was no deeper at that day than at prefent, no hip of burden ${ }^{281}$ could have paffed it. Pliny informs us, that throughout the whole of the flraits the depth was not more than fix feet ; but that there were particular openings, fo deep that no anchor could reach the ground. He is likewife fo deceived in the pofition of the ifland, as to make the embaffadors fent to Claudius aftonifhed at feeing the fhadows fall to the north; not reflecting that in their own country, if he had known its fituation, they muft have made the fame obfervation annually, when the fun was to the fouth of the equator. Thefe and many other errors of the ancients, induce me to enter more largely into the account of Ceylon than the nature of my work requires; and this I fhall confider as the termination of my inquiry, leaving the remainder of the Periplûs for a general difcuffion, by way of fequel to the whole.

> 2ir Priny calts either this ifland, or Ramana Koil, the lland of the Sun.
> Manar, in the Tamul language, fignified a fandy river; applicd here to the fhallownefs of the ftrait. Af. Ref. v. 395 .

> The fifhery is ufually on the Ceylon fide; as at the Seewe! Bank, 20 miles weft of Aripoo, Condatchey, \&c. Ibid.

Hardouin allows that the Coliacum Pro-
montorium is not Comorin, but at the Straita of Manar.
ist A paffage in Pliny, omitted in the printed copies, is, Magnitudo alcerna millia ad foran ; which Voffius inferts and reade, Magnitudo ad terna millia annphórum. Nofhip of this fize could now pars the ftraits.
Hardouin adopts this emendation, lib. vi, 24, without mention of Voffius.

## XIII. C E Y L O N.

The firf account of Ceylon was brought to Europe by the Macedonians, who were with Alexander in the Eaf. Oncsicritus is recorded as the firft author who mentions it, under the title of Tapróbana; and its variety of names in the Eaft, as well as Europe, is one of the extraordinary circumftances that attend it.
Lanca ${ }^{2 n 3}$, or Langa, - is the true Sanfkreet name, according to Paolino, p. 371 . Voflius ad Melam, lib. iii. 7 .
Ilam, - - $\quad$, another Sanfkreet name, feeqmingly joined with Lanca; Lanca-Ilam. Id. There is a fabulous ifland in Al Edriffi, Lanchialos, which he fays is ten days fail from Sarandib. Is it not an error from Lanca-Ilam ?
Salabham, - - another Sanikreet name, fignifying Sal, itrue; and labham, gain. Paolino.
Salabha-dipa, - - Sanfkreet. The Ifland of true or real Profit,
Salabha-dip, from its rich productions of gems, fpices, \&c. Paolino.
Tapróbana ${ }^{254}$, - - the firft name brought to Europe. Bochart makes it Taph Parvan, Littus
${ }^{\text {an }}$ Lankoweh. Capt. Mahoney on Ceylon, 'Tap-raban. Mr. Hamilton docs not difapAf. Ref. vij. 49.
${ }^{24} 4$ Suppofed by Burrows to be Tapo-bon, prove of Tap-raban, but adda, that there is no allafion to fuch a name of the ifland in any the Wildernefs of Prayer. Aycen Acbari, ii. Sankreet writing he has feen. g. 320, oct. ed. This is not fo probable as.
aureum, 2 Chron. iii. 6 . and the Ophir of Solomon. But it is from Tape, an inland, and Ravan, a king of Ceylon, conquered by Ram. According to the Af. Refearches, v. p. 39. Tapravan, or Tapraban.
Salika - - - of Ptolemy, who fays, it is the Taprobana of the ancients, afterwards called Simoondu, but now Sálika or Sálikè; the inhabitants, Salæ. Salikè is therefore an adjective like Ariakè, Barbarikè, Limurikè, with rò or miroos underftood. And the ifland of Salè approaches very nearly to Selen-dive ${ }^{245}$.
Seretr-dip, - - $\alpha_{p} \alpha u r i \pi$. Chyfococcas in Voffus. $\Delta_{i 63} \gamma^{\circ}$ Sielen-dip, Selen-dib. Selen-dive, - the Seren-dib, or ifland Seren, Selen; of the Arabs; the Sarandib of AI Edriflif; the Divis ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{285}$, and Serendivis, of Ammi-anus Marcellinus, who is the firft author of the Latins or Greeks who. ufes this name. Divis; the Lackdives or Maldives, or illands in general. Seledivis,

255 There is a particular cait on the ifland name of the people, Salai, and of the inland, at this day, called Sale or Challe, and Chal-. Salikè, would be naturally derived from them, Has: they are labourers, manufacturers of Af. Ref. vol. vii. p. 43I. in a highly curious ftuffs, and cinnamon-peclers; and if the antiquity of their eftablifhment in the illand (for they are not a-native tribe) be eftablifhed, the curs. P. 306 .

Palxfimoondu ${ }^{282}$ - of the Periplûs. Pliny fays there was a river and city of that name, with 250,000 inliabitants; the natives called Palæogoni, perhaps from Bali, the Indian Hercules. Paolino interprets it Parafhrimandala, the kingdom of Parafhri, the youthful Bacchus of the Hindoo mythology. But it ought not to be omitted, that Mr . Hamilton confiders Simoonto as exprefling the utmof boundary or extremity; and Palifimoonto, as the limit of the expedition of Bali, the Indian. Hercules.
Sindo Candæ, - - fo Ptolemy calls a town and the natives, on the weft; Galibi and Mudutti, in the north; Anurogrammi, Nagadibii, Emni, Oani, 'Tarachi, on the eaft ; Bocani, Diorduli, Rhodagani, and Nagiri [Nayrs], on the fouth.
Sailatta, - - the name in ufage in Malabar. Paolino. Singala-dweepa, - is the true Sanlkreet name, according to Sinhala-dviba, Paolino. Mr. Hamilton; the ifland of Singala ${ }^{258}$; for dwipa, or dweepa, is equivalent to the

[^222]diva of the Arabs: hence Singala-diva became their Selendive and Serendive; literally, the ifland of the Singalas, the Chingalefe, and Chingulays, of the Europeans; the Singoos or Hingoos, as the natives fill call themfelves. I cannot help thinking this the moft eafy and natural of all the etymologies that have occurred; and I return my beft thanks to Mr . Hamilton for the fuggetion.
Cala, - - - the name ufed by the Arabs of Renaudot, p. 6r.; but perhaps Sala ${ }^{2 s}$.

If fuch is the fluctuation in the name of this illand, the different reports of its fize and fituation are fill more extraordinary.

Onesícritus eftimates it at five thoufand ftadia; but, according to Strabo, mentions not whether it is in length, breadth, or circumference. I conclude that he means the latter; becaufe, at eight fladia to the mile, this amounts to fix hundred and twenty-five miles; which is not very diftant from the truth, for in Rennell's laft map

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { The length is } \\
& \text { The breadth is - } \quad-\quad-\quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { Miles. } \\
280 \\
\text { The circumference is - }
\end{array}{ }^{150} \\
& \text { - } \quad 660
\end{aligned}
$$

If therefore we interpret Onesicritus rightly, he is entitled to the merit of correctnefs, as well as difcovery; an honour due to very
sis Other names in Harris, vol. i. 677 . are, Tranate, Hibenaro, Tenarifim, i. $e$, Tenaceram; but thefe have bcen little noticed, and Tena-ceram is evidently an crror.
few of the ancient geographers in difant regions; but to make amends, he adds, that it lies twenty days fail from the contincut.

Eratofthenes reduces this diflance to only feven days fail, which is fill too much; for it is not more than thirty-live miles from Point Pedro to Calymere, and fifty from the point next Manar to the oppofite coaft at Ramana Coil, which is the point where Pliny meafures, or about an hundred and feventy from Cape Comorin to Manar. But then Eratofthenes adds, that it extends eight ${ }^{\text {as }}$ thoufand fladia towards Africa; that is, according as we compute the ftadium, either eight hundred, or a thoufand miles, in a direction exactly the reverfe of truth. In this I am forced to confefs, that the Periplûs has followed Eratothenes, and added to bis crror; for it is flated in exprefs terms, that it rcaches almoft to the coaft of Azania ${ }^{3 y^{3 x}}$, which lies oppofite to it in Africa. In fome account of this fort exifts the caufe of the error in the Arabian geographers; for Al Edrifif has confounded Cape Comorin, or Comari, with Comar ${ }^{23}$, that is, the ifland of Madagafcar; and in his map he has actually placed Madagafcar to the caftward of Ceylon. This arifes from his extenifion of the c̣oaft of Africa to the Eaft till it reaches

[^223]gafcar, are confounded. In p. 3n. Comr is a vety long ifland [or country], the king of which lives in Maki. This is cvidently the peniafula terminated by Comorin, the king of which lived in Malabar; and the ifland Saran. dib lies feven days fail froin it, which is the diftance given by the ancients. But p. 34 we have Comr again, one day's fail from Dagatta : now this is Madagascar ; for Daguita is in Sofala. Perhaps, if we ever obtain a fcientific tranllation of. Al Edriff, we may find diftinctions to obviate this confufion ; for his tranflator, Gabriel, kuew as little of Ceylon as of Rufia.
the fea of China, and the neceffity he was under of making Madagafcar parallel to the coaft of Zanguebar.

It is with concern that I mention thefe errors, in which the author of the Peripluss is involved, and upon account of which I am conftrained to allow his want of information in every thing beyond this point, and to confine myfelf within the boundary of his knowledge, which muft be fixed at Ceylon:.

Stisio fuppofes Ceylon not'to be lefs than Britain, and Jofephus ${ }^{204}$ conceives Britain not lefs than the reft of the habitable world: thefe, indeed, are expreffions at random; but what fhall be faid of the amplification ${ }^{3 \%}$, of Ptolemy, who makes its

|  |  |  | Miles. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Length | - | - | - | - |
| 1,050 |  |  |  |  |
| Breadth | - | - | - | - |
| Circumference - | - | - | - | 2,450 |

He does not, indeed, extend it towards Africa; but he carries the extreme fouthern point more than two degrees fouth of the equator, which in reality is little fhort of fix degrees in northern latitude. His errors defcended much later than could have been fuppofed; for Marco Pole ${ }^{256}$ ' mentions this ifland as two thoufand four hundred miles in circuit; and adds, that it had formerly been three thoufand fix hundred, but part of it had been fwallowed up by tempeft and inundation. And even fo late as fixty years before the difcovery. of Gama, Nicola di Conti fuppofes the circumference to be two

[^224]2 I.am not certain that the amplification
thoufand miles. Now what is mon extraordinary in this is, that both ${ }^{207}$ thefe travellers muft have feen the ifland itfelf, and mult have failed beyond it, if not round it.

My purpofe in producing thele facts is not to expofe the errors of thofe who have preceded me on the fubject, but to fhew how uncertain.all information is, when grounded upon report. And yet, in the midft of this darknefs, Ptolemy's information was fuch as, in one inftance, to confirm the rank which he fo defervedly holds in preference to others; for he gives the names of places more correatly, and more conformably to modern intelligence, than appear in any other author, Greek, Latin, or Arabian. This is a merit peculiar to him, not only here, but in the remoteft and leaft known regions of the world: it proves that his inquiries were made at Alexandria of merchants' or mariners, who had actually vifited the countries he defcribes; but that they had not the means of giving true pofitions, becaufe they had ncither inftruments for obfervation, or the compafs to mark their courfc. The North Polar Star was not vifible; and if they failed by the Canobus in the fouthern hemifphere, as Ptolemy afferts they did, that ftar is nor within fifteen degrees of the Pole, and would give occation to a variety of miftakes. Still, under all thefe difadvantages, it is fomething to have procured names that we can recognize; and thele names at once put an end to the difpute formerly agitated among the learned, whether the Tapróbana of the ancients were Ceylon or Sumatra. They prove likewife, that fome merchants, or traveliers, had reached the capital and interior of the ifland. By them the capital was found where Gandy now is, and called Maa-gram-

[^225]numm ${ }^{298}$, the great city, or metropolis, which was placed on the river Ganges, ftill called the Ganga, Gonga, or Ma-vali-gonga, the great river of Bali ${ }^{299}$, which flows tu Trincomalee. The Hamallel mountains, among which is the Pike of Adam, are likewife laid down relatively in their proper pofition, and called Male, the Sanfcreet term for mountains; and above all, Anuro-grammum ${ }^{300}$ is preferved in Anurod-borro, or Anurod gurro, a ruin found by Knox, while he was efcaping to the coaft; which's he fays, lies ninety miles north-weft from Candy, and in a pofition correfpondent with the account of Ptolemy. He found herc three flone bridges; the remains of a pagoda or temple, fuch as no modern Ceylonefe ${ }^{304}$ could build; and many pillars, with fone-wharfs on the river Malwatouwa. Sindocandre is another name expreffing the mountains of the Hingoos, the name by which the natives call themfelves; and Hingo-dagul is their name for Candy; for Candi is a hill or fortrefs on a mountain; and Hingo dagul, the city of the Hingoos, perverted by corruption into Chingoo-lees ${ }^{302}$, by which name they are at prefent known to the Europeans fettled on the coaft ${ }^{303}$. .
${ }^{298}$ Thefe facts are collected from Paolinio, Knox, Ribeyro, Major Rennell, and paticularly d'Anville, Antiq. del'Inde, p. 150. m Bali oecurs fo repeatedly in Ceylon, that there is resfon to think that Palesgoni in Pliny, is not a Greek compound, but expreffes the defcendants, or fervants, of Bali.
${ }^{j 0 i n}$ Gramma dignifies a city, in Sanficreat. Paoline, p. 250 . Knox, p. 6 . Borro, bow, poor, and goor, have the fame meaning.
$3^{31}$ Knox, pp. 72, 80. The natives of 1-lin, doflan, the peninfuld, or Ceylon, are not deficient in fkill, art, or power, to execilte fuch works as are found here, or in Elephanta, or 2t Elore. But the Hindoo governments are
not wealthy or powerful enough to fupport the expence; and perhaps the impulfe of fuperftition has not eniergy enough to requive. it.
${ }^{301}$ For the whole of this; lee Knox's Hiftory of Ceylon.: He was feized after thipwreck, and detained 25 years a prifoner. He poffeffed the language; and though he may have his errors, is highly worthy of credit as an akthor of integrity, principles, and religion.
 country on the Couth; where elepharits are itill found, with other reitmblances; hut thefe are fuffecient to prove-tie fact for which they are adduced.

Bochart has many other names, in which he finds a referblance; and thofe who know the country, by refiding in it, might difcover more ; but I have confined mylelf to fuch as are incontrovertible; and thefe are fufficient to raife our aftonithment, how a geographer could obtain fo much knowledge of a country, without being able to afcertain its dimenfions or pofition.

Ptolemy has fill another particulav which is very remarkable; for as he places the northern point of his Tapróbana, oppofite to a promontory named Kôru, fo has be an ifland Kôru between the two, and a Tala-Côri on Ceylon; and Kôry, he adds, is the fame as Calligicum. This is denied by d'Anville, who feparates the two capes, and makes Kôry, the point of the continent, at Ramiferam; and fuppofes Kalligicum to be Kalymere, or Kallamedu. This may be true or not, but it carries us away from the intention of the author; for Ptolemy has nothing to correfpond with the northern head of Ceylon, now called Point Pedro ${ }^{304}$; but he makes his Borêum, or northern cape, erroneoufly indeed, oppofite to Kôry; and his three Kôrys on the continent, on the intermediate ifland and on Ceylon, are in perfect correfpondence with circumftances actually exilting.

The expedition of Ram to Ceylon, and his vistory over Rhavan, or Rhaban, king of that ifland, is one of the wildeft fables of Hindoo mythology; but he paffed into the ifland at the flrait, fince called, by the Mahomedans, Adam's ${ }^{\text {3os }}$ Bridge. The whole country round, in confequence of this, preferves the memorials of his conquelt. There is a Ramanad-buram on the continent clofe to the bridge ; a

[^226]Rami-ceram, or country of Ram, the ifland clofe to the continent ; a Point Rama, on the continent. The bridge itfelf, formed by the fhoals between Rami-ceram and Manar, is Rama's Bridge; and in Rami-ceram is Raman-Koil, the temple of Ram. This Koil or temple is undoubtedly the origin of Kôru; and the repetition of it three times in Ptolemy, is in perfect correfpondence with the various allufions to Ram at the prefent day. Kôru is likewife written Kôlis ${ }^{366}$ by Dionyfius, and the natives called Kôniaki, Koliki, and Koliaki, by different authors. This fluctuation of orthography will naturally fuggeft a connection with the Kolkhi of Ptolemy and the Periplûs, which both of them make the feat of the Pearl Fifhery; and if Sofikoorè be Tuta-corin, as d'Anville fuppofes, the relation of Kolkhi to that place will lead us naturally to the vicinity of Ramana-Koil; for Tuta-corin was the point where the Dutch prefided over the fifhery while it was in their hands, and maintains the fame privilege now under the power of the Englifh. But Koil, whether we confider it, with Ptolemy, as the point of the continent, or feek for it on the ifland of Ramiferam ${ }^{\text {so7 }}$, is fo near, and fo intimately connected with Manar, the principal feat of the fifhery, that there can be little hefitation in affigning it to the Kolkhi of the ancients. Whether there be now a town of confequence either on the continent or the illand, I am not informed; but that

[^227]the beft account of the two illands; the ftraits, and Adam's Bridge, that I have yet feen. There does not appear any town or any buildings on this ifland, except thofe about the pagoda. The conflux of pilgrims is immenfe. Coils in the Tamul language, figuifics a temple. P. $42 \%$

Koil, and Kolis, and Kollhi, and Kalli-gicum ${ }^{\text {pon }}$, are related, I have no doubt.

The Kolkhi of Ptolemy is on the coaft, indeed, previous to a river called Solên ; and fuch a river appears in Rennell's Map, with the name of Sholavanden applied to a town on its bank; or Solên ${ }^{\text {3os }}$ may be the Greek term which fignifies a thell-fifh, alluditig to the Pearl Fifhery in the neighbourhood. If therefore we adhere to Ptolemy, the iffue of this river would give the pofition of Kolkhito a certainty; but the defcription of the Periplus would lead us. directly to Koil, on the ifland Rami-ceran; for it is there faid, that the Bay of Argalus fucceeds immediately next to Kolkhi. Now the Argalus of the Periplus is the Orgalus of Ptolemy, which he places inflantly fubfequent to his promontory Koru; and if we fuppofe this promontory to be the extreme point of the contiuent. north of Rami-ceram, which it is, we obtain the polition of the Kolkhi of the Periplûs, without a doubr. The ifland Kôru of Ptolemy is placed at a diftance from the main, crroneoully, as all hisiflands are; but as it is certainly the fame as Rami-ceram, and Ramiceram is feparated from the continent only by a narrow channel, the

[^228]this fide of the Atrait neareil the continent, called Chanque, carried on along a range called the Low or Tlat Iflands. The river, as d'Anville las obferved, divides inland, and folls into the fea by two mouths-one on each fide of Korm D'Anville, Aptiq. de l'Inde; p. 123 . Af. Refearches, vol. vi. p. 426 . Chanquo, the native term for the pearl oyter, according to Salmafus, is derived from Concha, and received from the Greek and Roman tradcrs. . 1529;
illand Kôru and the cape Kôru may therefore have been brought into one. I certainly think that Kôru, Kolis, Kolkhi, and Koil, are the fame; but I am not fo much led by the name, as by the pofition affigned to Kolkhi in the Periplûs, immediately preceding the Bay of Argalus.

My own deductions on this queftion, I mult confefs, are contrary to Ptolemy; and his authority has induced d'Anville, Rennell, and Robertfon, to affume Kilkhare which is at the mouth of the river. It is but reafonable to conclude, that the concurrence of witneffes fo eminent will prevail againft the evidence of the Periptûs, and any thing I have to offer in its favour. Still, however, it is juft to ftate the queftion fairly, and leave the determination to thofe who may chufe to fcrutinize it more precifely. On one point all teftimonics agree; which is, that Kolkhi cannot be Coleche, as Paolino with much confidence afferts; for it is impoffible that it fhould be to the weft of Cape Comorin.

From the fifhery we may proceed to the inand itfelf; and the moft dininet knowledge we have of Ceylon from the ancients, is found in Cofmas Indicopleuftes, whofe narratives are as faithful as his philofophy is erroneous. He tells us honeflly, that he was not at Ceylon himfelf, but had hisi account from Sôpatrus, a Greek, whom he met at Adooli, but who died five-and-thirty years previous to his publication ${ }^{310}$. This affords us a date of fome import-. ance; for it proves that the trade, opened by the Romans from Egypt to India direct, continued upon the fame footing from the reign of Claudius and the difcovery of Hippalus, almoft down to the year 500 of our era; by which means we come within three hundred and fifty years of the Arabian Voyage publifhed by Re-

[^229]naudot,
naudot, and have but a fmall interval between the limits of anciens geography and that of the moderns.

Sôpatrus, as \%is name teftifies, was a Greek; and I have not yet met with the name of a fingle Roman engaged in this trade ${ }^{31}$. Perhaps the jealoufy of the emperors, which did not allow Roman, citizens to enter Egypt without permilion, had likewife forbidden them to embark in thefe fleets. But the intelligence derived from \$ôpatrus is fo perfectly confiftent with all that has hitherto been, adduced, and fo correfpondent to the Arabian accounts, which commence only three hundred and fifty years later, that it carries with. it every mark of veracity that can be required. For Cofmas reports, from the teftimony of Sôpatrus:
I. That the Taprobana of the Greeks is the Sicli-diba of the Hindoos; that it lies beyond the Pepper Coaft, or Malabar ; and that there is a great number of fmall iflands [the.Maldives] in its. neighbourhood, which are fupplied withofrefh water, and produce the cocoa-nut in abundance. The cocoa-nuts he calls Argellia; and Argel, or Nargel, I.am informed, is the Arabic name of the cocoapalm tree. He adds, that it is nine hundred miles in length and breadth, which he deduces from a native mealure of three hundred gaudia; but if gaudia are cofles, his eftimation of them is in excefs; for three hundred coffes are fhort of five hundred miles-a computation too large indeed for the ifland; but fill more moderate than that of the geographers previous or fubfequent.
II. He acquaints us next, that there were two kings on the ifland: one called the King of the Hyacinth ${ }^{317}$, that is, the country above

[^230]the Ghauts, where the ruby and other precious ftones were found; and a fecond king, pofleffed of the remainder, in which was the harbour and the mart, that is, the low country on the coaft, where, in different ages, the Arabians, the Portuguefe, Dutch, and Englifh, have been eftablifhed. On the coaft alfo, he fays, there were Chriftians from Perfia, with a regular Chriftian church, the priefts and deacons of which were ordained in Perfia; that is, they were Neftorians, whofe catholicos refided at Ctefiphon, and afterwards at Moful : in fact, they were the fame as the Malabar Chriftians of St. Thomas, and occupied nearly the whole of the low country on the coaft, while the native fovereigns, above the Ghauts, wereHindoos.
III. Another particular we obtain is, that in the age of Sôpatrus, Ceylon was confidered as the centre of commerce between China and the Gulph of Perfia and the Red Sea. The Chinefe he calls Tzinitzes ${ }^{33}$; a moft remarkable term, expreffing the natives of the Cheen, or Ma-cheen, of the Arabs; that is, either the peninfula of ${ }^{\prime}$ Malacca, or China itfelf; moft probably the latter, becaufe he mentions the fame particulars as Ptolemy and Pliny affign to the Seres; that they inhabit the country fartheft to the eaft, and that there is nothing bat fea beyond it.
IV. The commodities obtained from China, or other places eaft. of Ceylon; or found ${ }^{314}$ there, are, filk ${ }^{35}$ thread, aloes ${ }^{315}$, cloves,



${ }^{316}$ So Al Edrifli; Aromata vero que in eadem Climate [Ceylon] reperiuntur, front
caryophilla, fandalum, canfora, \& lignum alocs, quorum omnium nihil invenitur in alis. climatibus: P. $3^{8 .}$ But without any mention of cinnamon, though he notices the emerald. and the ruby.
and fandal-wood ${ }^{317}$. Thefe articles are exchanged with Male, or the Pepper Coaft ; or with Kalliana [Tana], which fupplies in return brafs, fefamum-wood ${ }^{\text {ns }}$, and cottons. Its commerce likewife extends to the Sindus, where the caftor, mufk, and fpikenard, are found; and to the gulph of Perfia, to the coaft of Arabia, and to Adooli ; whilc the feveral commodities of thefe countrics are again exported from Ceylon to the Eaft
:V. We are next informed of the feveral ports of commerce, commencing from the Indus, in the following order: Sindus, O'rrotha ${ }^{\text {¹9 }}$, Kallíana, Sibor, and Malè; and if it might be permitted to interpret thefe Scindi, Surat, Bombay or Tana, Canara, and Malabar, the Periplûs would be in perfect correfpondence with Cofmas and Sôpatras. In Malè, or Malabar, he adds, there are five ports where pepper may be procured-Parti, Mangarooth, Salo-patan, Nalo-patan, and Pooda-patan. Mangarooth is generally fuppofed to be Mangaloor ; and the three Patans, or towns of Salo, Nalo, and Pooda, are fo evidently Malabar names, that it is highly probable thofe who are converfant in the native language of the coalt may ftill difcover them, however they have been fuperfeded by the more modern ports of Calicut, Cochin, or Coulan.
VI. After this follow fome accounts, not equally correct or intelligible; for we are informed, that Sielidiba is five times twentyfour hours fail from the continent; and that on the continent is

${ }^{3 t h}$-If we fuppofe Orrotha related to the Oopara of the Periplus, it is moit probably on the Tapti, and equivalent to Surat ; hut there is a part of Guzerat, near Diu, called - Soret. Orrot and Sorret are nearly allied.

Marallo, producing [pearl] oyfters; with Kaber, that affords the alabandênon ${ }^{320}$. For Kaber and its produce, I have no interpretation. Marallo I fhould have fuppofed to be Manar; but if it is upon the continent, it is Matawar. The five days ${ }^{322}$ fail may be foftened, by fuppofing the departure from the laft port vifited in Malabar ; but fanding as it does, it is erroneous.
VII. It is then mentioned that the king of Ceylon fells elephants by their height; and an obfervation, that in. India elephants are trained for war, while in Africa they are taken only for their ivory. This is true on the eaftern coaft ; but the Ptolemies and Hannibal trained the African elephant for their armies. Another circumftance is noticed, which continues true to this day; which is, that the importation of horfes from Perfia pays no duty. Cefar Frederick mentions the fame on the coaft of Canara, in his time; and Hyder Alli had his agents difperfed from the Indus to Arabia, to obtain a conftant fupply for his numerous cavalry. The horfe is faid not even to breed on the whole weftern fide of the peninfula; or if by accident a foal is dropped, it is worth nothing.
VIII. The laft circumftance I fhall notice is, a conference between the king of Ceyton and Sôpatrus, in prefence of a Perfian, who had boafted of the power of his fovereign: "Well! Roman," fays the king, " what have you to fay?" "Look," replied Sôpratus, "at.
 unintelligible ; but he informs us it means nutmegs of Banda. We are, however, at prefent on the coaft of Coromandel. Hoffman fays, all merces barbaricee are fo called, as alfo toys and trifles.

3:1 $\begin{aligned} & \text { Onesícritus } \\ & \text { Eratofthenes }\end{aligned}-20$ daysa

Pliny * - - 4 days.
Cofmas - - - 5
The real diffance, where the ifland approaches nearef to the contincut, is fhort of 50 miles; from Cape Comorin to Cohmbo, about 180: both too fiort for any of the sucient eftimates.
" the coins of Rome and Perfia ; that of the Romm emperor is of " gold, well wroughr, filendid, and beautiful; while that of Perfia " is an ordinary filver drachma." The argument was conclufive; the P'erfian was difgraced, and Sôpatrus was placed upon an elcphant and paraded through the city in triumph. Vain as this circumftance may appear, two extraordinary particulars attend it ; for the king's addrefs to Sôpatrus is, Roomi ${ }^{322}$, the term ufed in India to exprefs any inhabitant of thofe countrics which once formed the Roman empire; and the fecond is, that the Perfians of that day actually had no gold ${ }^{333}$ coin, while the coins of IByzantium were the pureft and fineft in the world.

But in addition to thefe various particulars, Cofmas has left alfo' fome traces of natural hilhory that do credit to his veracity; for he defribes the cocoa-nut, with its propertics; the pepper plant, the buffalo, the camelopard, the mufk animal, \&c.; but the rhinoceros, he fays, he only faw at a diftance. The hippopotamus he never faw, but obtained only fome of his teeth; and the unicorn he never faw,

[^231]The exciufion of the Perfinn coin is the very circumftance that took place upon this orcafoon; and it fhould feem, that as the Greek coins of Bactria, \&ec had been current when the nerchant of the Periplus wats at Barugáza, the Roman coin had now the preference, as the Imperisl dollars, Venetian fequins, and Spanifh pialtres, lave hasl a fuperiority in later times. For the purity of the Roman mint at Conflautinople, Fee Clark on Coins.
. I have feen the coins of the fecond Perlian dyuaty in M. de Sacy's acconnt of them, and if I recollect rightly, they have the head of the kings; but I do not remember whether they are all filver.
but as it was reprefented in brafs in the palace of the king of Abyffinia. I mention thefe circumftances to prove the fidelity of the travellcr ; for truth is as confpicuous in what he did not, as in what he did fee. And after this extract, felected out of his voluminous work, if nothing equally precife or fatisfactory is to be collected out of the Arabian writers, or Oriental accounts of any fort, let it not be deemed prejudice or partiality, if we prefcr Greek or Roman authorities to all that can be found in any other ancient hiftory whatfoever.

One part of the queftion has, however, eluded all my inquiries; which is, that I have not found the mention of cinnamon, as a native ${ }^{324}$ of Ceylon, in any author whatfoever. Iambûlus, Pliny, Diofcórides, Ptolemy ${ }^{325}$, the author of the Periplûs, and Cofmas, are all equally filent on this head, and all derive their cinnamon and cafia either from Arabia or Mofyllon, or more efpecially from the Cinnamon Country, as they term it, on the eaftern coaft of Africa. That the ancients obtained the beft and pureft cinnamon, we know from their defcription of it; and that beft fort grows no where but in Ceylon. That they might be deceived in regard to its origin, while they went only to Tyre, Sabểa, or the coaft of Africa, is natural; but that they Ghould not recognize it in Ceylon, when fome merchants went thither in the age of the Periplûs, and in all
> ${ }^{27}$. It is mentioned by Matthioli, and in the preface to Ribeyro's Hiftory of Ceylon (Fr. ed.), that Strabe notices cinnamon from Ceylon. I have not found the pallage; but at p. 63 . I find the regio Cinnamomifera and Taprobana joined under the fame parallel, which perhaps may, have led to fuch a fuppofition; and"again, p. 72. but in the latter palfage we have the produce of Taprobana-
ivory, tortoife-fhell, and other articles ; and here I fhould have expected to find cinnamon, if the aathor had noticed it as a native of the inland.
${ }^{35}$ The language of Ptolemy is precife: he fays rice, honey, ginger, the beryl, the ruby, gold, filver, and all other metals, elephants and tigers, are found in Tapróbana ; but does not meation cinnamon. P. 179. Yapróbana.
fucceeding ages down to the time of Sopatrus and Cofmas, is unaccountable.

No voyagers, travellers, or writers, pretended to have vifited Ceylon perfonally, except Iambûlus and Sôpatrus. I know not how to excufe even Sôpatrus, who was only once there cafually; but againft lambêlus, who afferted that he had refided in Ceylon feven years, the charge of fiction is almoft direct: no one could have been refident fo long, without feeing cinnamon, the flaple of the ifland; and that if he had feen it, he fhould not have recorded it among the other particulars he detailed, is incredible; for the curiofity of Greece and Egypt was as much alive to this inquiry, as to any one that regarded the produce of the Eaft.

Diofcórides ${ }^{306}$ and Galen knew it not. Dionyfius, who lived under Auguftus, preferves the fable of Herofotus, that birds brought it from uninhabited iflands. I do not pretend to have explored the whole range of antiquity on this fubject; but the firft mention of cinnamon, as the produce of Ceylon, that has "occurred to me, is in the Scholiaft ${ }^{327}$ of Dionyfius on this very palfage. Whether that circumitance
${ }^{3} / 6$ See Mathioli on Diofcorides, lib. i. capp. 12,13. and p. 44. where the cafia (our cimamon) is faid to come from Arabia, and the ancient cinaamon, or fprig of the tree, from Mofyllon. Cafia is deferibed by Theo$p^{\text {hraftus }} 370$ years prior to Diofcorides; and by Herộdotus, in fome degree. Striねo fays, Arabia produces cafa, cinnamon, and nard. P. $7^{83}$. Matthioli adde, P. 46. that Strabo likewile fays, cinnannon comes from the fouthern parts of India; but I have not. yet met with the paflage. Pliny follows प'heophriflus. See alfo the curious account (p.45.) that Galen gives of the cianamon in poffefion of

Trajan, Adrian, Antoninus, Commodus, and Severna; it all which aecunts not a word is foud refpectang its origin fiom Ceylon : thofe who would examine it, as now cethivated in that iffucd, may confult Thunberg, vol. iv. 190.

 from uninhalited iflunds the teaves [rolls] of unadulturated cunamon. Upon this the Scho-
 rpobicum. I conclude from this palfage, that the Scholia are not by Euftation ; for the expreffion here is precife. But Eultathius writee
circumftance will prove the early date of that knowledge, or the low date of the Scholiaft, muft be left for others to determine. Sir William Jones has taken ample notice of this obfcurity, and remarks upon the Cinnamon Country of the ancients in Africa, the limit of their geography to the fouth, that it does not produce a fingle \{pecimen of this article in the prefent age, or in any former age, which can be afcertained. Baftard cinnamon is found in Malabar, and true cinnamon, though of an inferior quality, in Sumatra; perhaps alfo in other illands farther to the eaft; but that the beft growth has been conftantly in Ceylon, from all the evidence before us, is undeniable.

The fice we now have, which is the kafia of the ancients, was certainly procured in Africa; and the teftimony of the Periplûs is direct ${ }^{382}$, that it grew there. I ftate this with all its difficulties, which I cannot folve; but as there was a voyage conftantly performed, from Barugáza to Africa, previous to the Greeks having any knowledge of fuch an intercourfe, the only poffible folution to be imagined is, that the merchants engaged in this commerce kept the fecret to themfelves : they imported it at Barugáza from Ceylon, and exported it to Sabêa, where it was frff found by the traders from Egypt, by Solomion, and the Tyrians; and in a later age, to the ports of Africa, where they dealt immediately with the Greeks, without fuffering by the monopoly of the Sabeans. How fuch a
 $\pi$ inaryos; that is, the illands in the Erythrean Sea, which is genemal.

It is not unworthy of remark, that thefe birds of the poet attend Bacchus at his birth, in conformity with Heródotus; and their appearance feems likewife to be in Arabia, from the context. See Dionyfii Perieg. lin: 944.
and the "Commentary of Euftathius, $\mathrm{p} \cdot \mathbf{2 6 \%}$. ed. Ox. 1697 , where the Scholiatt is defcribed, Paraphrafis veteris Schohiaftre ex codice MS. nunc primum ernta.

 verbs appear precife; for the imports from the Eaft are fpecified feparately.
fecret could be kept fo long a time, or how the Greeks could be perfuaded that kafia grew in Africa, is, with fuch lights as we have, infcrutable; but that it was not the produce of Africa, the general fuffrage of all modern voyagers and merchants is fufficient to prove.

One circumftance worthy of remark is ftill to be confidered; which is, that the merchant of the Peripluts mentions kafia only, and never cinnamon. Cinnamon, as we have learnt from Galen, was a prefent for kings and emperors; but the kafia, the canna fiftula, or pipe cinnamon, which we now have, was the only article of merchandize in that age, as it flill continues. And now that. Ceylon is in the hands of the Englith, it would be no difficult matter to obtain the tender fpray of the four priucipal forts noticed by Thunberg, and compare them with the accounts of Theophraftus, Diofcorides, and Galen. As the fpecies which we have anfwer to their kafia, it is highly probable that the firay would anfwer to their cinnamon; for that both were from the fame plant, or from different feecies of the fame, there can be little doubt, as Galen acquaints us, that in the compofition of medicines a double ${ }^{329}$ portion of kafia anfwered the fame purpofe as a fingle one of cinnaw mon; and that both entered into the theriac which he prepared for the emperor Severus.

Such is the account that has appeared neceflary to be ftated relative to the ancient fituation of this celebrated ifland. The modern hiftory of it may be obtained from Baldeus, Valentine, Knox, Ribeyro, Harris, Hugh Boyd ${ }^{330}$, Le Beek; Captains Mahoney, Colin

[^232]M'Kenfie, and Percival. And I cannot conclude my commentary on the Peripluts without pleafure from the reflection, that the valuable commerce of this ifland is now in the poffeffion of Britain; or without expreffing a moft anxious wifh, that the country deemed a terreftrial Paradife by the Oriental writers-the repofitory of cinnamon, cloves, betel; camphor, gold, filver, pearls, rubies, and the other mof precious commodities of the world-may find protection, happinefs, and fecurity, under the Britifh government. And may the expulfion of the Mahomedans, Portuguefe, and Hollanders, be an admonition to us, that conqueft obtained by arms can alone be rendered permanent by equity, juftice, and moderation! •

## S E Q U E L

TOTHE

## PERIPLUS OF THE ERYTHREAN SEA.

IHE firft ' place that fucceeds after leaving Kolkhi, is the Bay A'rgalus ${ }^{2}$, connected with a diftrict inland [of the fame name]. Here, and here only, all the pearls obtained in the filhery at the ifland of Epiodorus ${ }^{3}$ are ${ }^{4}$ [allowed to be] perforated (a) [and pre~ pared for market]. Here alfo are to be purchafed the fine muflins - called Ebargeitides ( $b$ ).

Proceeding

## REMARKS.

(a) This would be in the modern diftrict of Marawar, pofibly the Marallo of Cofmas: Tutacorin, the place where the market is now kept, and the pearls taxed, is in Tinivelli, weft of Rami-ceram. The earlieft modern accounts agree in Tutacorin, while the power was in the native government; the Portuguefe, Dutch, and Englifh, have continued it there. Both Provinces, in the age of the Periplûs, were in the kingdom of Pandion; and the Bay of A'rgalus was nearer Mádura, the eapital, than Kolkhi, or Sofikoorè. This was a fufficient reafon why the market fhould be rather on the eaft, than the weft fide of Rami-ceram.
(b) Salmafius reads Eixdove $\mu$ upropaindics, mulins fprinkled with pearls. Hudfon, \& Plin. Ex. 1.73. which, notwithftanding the pearls bored at Argalus, feems highly dubious.


- Written in Ptolemy, Sinus Orgalicus,
- Sinus Agaricns, Sinus Argaticus.

[^233]
## NOTES.

4 Mpponerrac is the reading of Salmafites,
 flands repatizas, for which, perhaps, ugeñou might be fubftiented. But perforation is manifefly intended, be the reading what it may.

Proceeding from hence, the mof confpicuous of all the marts and anchorages on the coalt are Kămara (c), Podooka, and Sôpatma. To thefe the traders from Limúrikès ${ }^{5}$, and the other provinces north ${ }^{\circ}$ of Limurike, refort; and in thefe marts are found the native venels

## REMARKS.

dubious. If we were to examine a catalogue of multius at an India fale, we fhould find many names more frange than Ebatgeitides, derived cither from the manufactures, or the place where procured.
(c) Where to fix any of thefe threc places is mere conjecture; our courfe is ftill eaft, according to the Periplats; but if Kamara be the Chaberis Emporium of Ptolemy, as Mercator fuppofes, his Podooka is flill higher up the coalt, and our courfe. ought to be north-caft ; and if his Manarpha be Mali,rppha, or Meliapoor, that place is the St. Thome of Madras ; in which cafe Polooka muft be fixed fomewhere on the coalt between the Cavery and Madras, but where, it is impolifle to determinc. Sophtma is not noticed by Ptolemy. Soro-patma would be the town of the Sotr, with fome allufion to the Sore of Ptolemy and to Coromandel ; but it is all conjecture; and yet, notwithtanding this obfecrity, we have manifettly a trade here defcribed, regularly carried on by native traders, between Malabar and Coromandel, without the lealt notice of Greeks being concerned in it. We have an account that the fpecie brought by the Greeks to Canara, fimally fettled on the other fide of the peninfula ; and as we know that in all ages the conmerce of India cannot be carried on without fpecie, fo we fee here its regular progrefs to the enftward. We are informedealfo, that the exports of Egypt to Cavara, and the produce of Camara itcelf, went by the fame conveyance to Coronandel; and that the principal articles in return were the multas, as they are at this day: the merchants from Guzerat and Concan partook in this trade, and poffibly thofe from-Scindi. In the whole of this, without being able to specify particular places, we have a general picture of Indian commerce, fo conformable to the accounts of the Arabs, and of the 'Portugucfe upon their firf arrival on the coaft, that we waut no further cevidence to perfunde us, that the commerce of India was as vigorous antecedent to hiftory, as it is ftated at the moment that hiftory commences. The diferent forts of veffels conftructed in thefe ports are likewife correfpondent to modern accounts: the monoxyla are ftill
which make coafting voyages to Limúrikè-the monoxýla of the largeft fort, called fangara, and others fyled colandiophônta, which are veffels of great bulk, and adapted to the voyages made to the - Ganges and the Golden Cherfoncfe.

REMARKs.
in ufe, not canoes, as they are fometimes improperly rendered; buit with their foundation formed of a ingle timber, hollowed, and then raifed with tiers of planking till they will contain 100 or 150 men. Veffels of this fort are employed in the intercourfe between the two coalts; but the kolandiophônta, built for the trade to Malacca, perhaps to China, were exceedingly large and ftout, refembling probably thore deferibed by Marco Polo and Nicola di Conti. . Barthema likewife mentions veffels of this fort at Tarnafari (Mafulipatan ?), that were of tooo tong (dolia ?) butrthen (lib. vi. c. i2. Gryneus), defigned for this very trade to Malacca. This is the more remarkable, as d'Anville fixes the Mrefolia of Ptolemy at Mafulipatam; and Ptolemy's point of departure for Khruse, or Malacca, at the Godavery, twenty-fix leagues only to the north. From thefe circumflances there is great reafon to cquclude that he is right; for Barthema had come from the Straits of Manar to Puleachat, north of Madras, and then proceeded to Tarnafari, where he cimbarked for Bengal, Pegu, and Malacca. How extraordinary, then, is the correfpondence of the Periplus with the modern courle of there navigators, from the Straits of Manar to, the Carnatic! and from the Carnatic, pafing the wild tribes of Orifia (ftill favage) between the Godavery and the Ganges ; and then proceeding to Malacea, or the Goiden Cherfonefe! Still however, with all this accuracy, he is in the fume error with Ptolemy, carrying the whole courfe eaft till he reaches Defarĉnè or Orifa, and then giving it a northerly direction to the Ganges.

The other veffels employed on the coaf of Malabar, as Trappaga and Kotumba, it is not necefiary to defcribe : they have ftill in the Eaftern Ocean germs, trankecs, dows, grabs, galivats, praams, junks, champans, \&c. names which have all been adopted by the Europeans, and which it is no more requifite to ditinguifl, than to explain our own brigs, fnows, fchooncrs, floops, or cutters, to the Hindoos. But the marinets aboard the Indan veffels I have looked for in vain: neither Greeks or Arabs are mentioned; but as the manners and religion of the Hindoos exclude hot foreigners from their country, it may be prefumed that their feamen were always foreigners, poffibly Mthays, or even Chinefe; for that the Hindoos themfelves never ufed the fea, is almoft indubitable. The whole voyage appears to have been made

To thefe marts likewife are brought all the articles prepared ' [in Egypt] for the market of Limúrike; and almoft all the fpecie, of which Egypt is continually drained by its trade with Limurikè, finally centres in this coaft ${ }^{\text {b }}$, as well as all the produce of Limúrikè irfelf.

From the coaft ${ }^{\text {t }}$, as the courfe of the navigators tends to the caft round the countries which fucceed, the illand, now called Palaifimoondus, but formerly Taprobana, lies out in the opien ${ }^{20}$ fea to the weft $(d)$; the northern part of which is civilized, and frequented by
veffels

## REMARKS.

by coafting, and fo it continued when we firft meet with Arabs in thefe feas; which is the more remarkable, as the monfoon was known, and made ufe of between Africa and India; and the fame monfoon prevails to the eaft, as well as to the weft of Cape Comorin.

I do not find the Tarnafari of Barthema in the modern maps: it might lie between Puleachat and Bengal. But the peculiarity is, that there is an ifland Tanaferam on the contt of Siam, and the great river fo called. Tana-feram is Regio Deliciarum. Vollius ad Melam, lib, iii. 7 .

Mr. Marfden mentions the veffels that come regularly from Telingana, between the Godavery and Kiftna, to Acheen, at this day. This tract anfwers.fufficiently for the port affumed by Ptolemy, for the paffage to Khrusè, and for the Tarnafari of Barthena ; but does not determine the fituation : it feems, however, to befpeak the fame trade. Marfden's Sumatra, p. 312.
(d) The better knowledge of this paflage which $I$ have now obtained, obliges me to recall the argument which I bad advanced on the meaning of doses, in the differtation on Erambopureaterivas, p. 17. I now underfand that the illand lies to the wefl as you fail to the enf from Ceylon.

## NOTES.

[^234]Feffels equipped with mafts (e) and fails. The illand itfelf [is fo large, that it] extends almoft to the oppofite coaft of Azania [in Africa]. Here pearls; precious "ftones, fine mullins, and tortoifefhell, are to be obtained.
[But returning now to the coaft, above Kámara, Podooka, and Sopatma, lies] Mafalia, a diftrict which extends far inland. In this country a great quantity of the fineft muflins are manufactured 's. And from Mafalia the courfe lies eaftward, acrofs a bay, to Dêfarênè, where the ivory is procured of that fepecies ${ }^{13}$ called Bôfarè.

Leaving.

## REMARKS.

(d) Iraomeromuinas. I conclude that this means, they were veffels adapted to diftant voyages, eaft or weft, in contradifinction to the fangara and monoxýla, employed only on the coaft; and the text of Strabo confirms this opinion : Traspobámy . . . Sixzur

 the bottom without ribs, ill equipped with fails, and heavy failers. In thefe veffels it was twenty days fail from the continent to Ceylon, but in others only feven : bothdiffances are in excefs, but they are palliated by Voffrus, who fuppofes the diftance to We meafured from Covalam in Travancoor,' to Pointe du Gatte in Ceylon, as Pliny' places the port of Ceylon on the fouth fide of the ifland. Pliny has likewife a reference to Strabo, when he fpeaks of twenty days fail from the Prafii to Ceglon, in the paper-fhips ofEgypt, and feven in the Greek veffels. Prafii is esidently a corrupt reading; and how far paper-fhips, or fhips compofed of the biblos, fhould venture on thefe voyages, is dubious. That they were ufed on the Nile is true: Radicibus papyri incole pro ligno utuntur. Ex 'ipfo quidem papyro navigia contexunt. (Piin.' lib. xiii. 2. \& v. 22. See Salmaf. 1110.) It is tikevife to be noticed; that 'Issorizom; $\mu^{\prime}$ oss is a reading of Salmafius for $\pi \lambda i$ iscoustry, in the Bafil edition; but Voffius reads it mò rxinoy linoorivy, they perform it generally in twenty dags: This correction accords with Pliny, and approaches nearer to the text, corrupted as it ftands; in fact, Salmafius:


ROTES.

[^235]Leaving lelarene the couric is northerly, paning a variety of barbarous tribes; one of which is ftyled Kirrhádr, a lavage race, with noles flattened to the face. Another tribe are the Bargoofi; and others $(f)$, diftinguifled by the projection of the face like that of the horfe, or by its length ${ }^{\text {14 }}$ from the forchead to the chin; both which tribes are faid to be cannịbals.

After paffing thefe, the courfe turns again to the caft, and failing with the coaft on the left, and the fea on the right, you arrive at the Ganges, and the extremity of the continent towards the eaff, called Khrusè [or the Golden Cherfonefe].

The Ganges is the largelt river of India: it has an annual increafe and decreafe, like $(g)$ the Nile; and there is a mart on it of the fame name, through which pafics a confiderable traffic, confifting of the Gangetic (b) fpikenard, the Gangetic mullins, which are the fineft manufacure of the fort, pearls, and betel.

In this province alfo there is faid to be a gold mine, and a gold coin called Kaltis (i).

Immediately

## REMARKS.

(f) Whenever an author arrives at the Country of Monfters and Anthropophagi, I coriclude he is at the end of his knowlelge : anthropophagi, however, there are etill faid to be in the Andaman Iflands, and the fact is certainly proved in New Zealand; but the varieties of the buman fpecies, with horfes' heads, with tails, or wilh heads which grow beneath their fhoulders, ftill remain to be difcovered. Of the Kirrhadx, or Dêfarêne, I have found nothing; but I place the latter in Orifla. The ivory called Bolare may be the forn of the rhinoceros, much coveted in the Laft, and the animal is fometimes cálled Besp prowasos, Boos unicornis.
(g) The foifitial rains produce the fame effect on both tivers.
(b) See the catalogue. Nápobs, the regular importation of this odour, is from the Ganges or Berigal, whither it is to this day brought from Thibet.
(i) We have no account of a gold mine; but a gold coin called Kalteen, or Karteen, is fill known in Bengal. Af. Ref. vol. v. p. 260.

Immediately after leaving the Ganges, there is an ifland in the ocean called Khrusè ( $k$ ) or the Golden " Ifle, which lies directly under the rifing fun, and at the extremity of the world towards the eaft. This ifland produces the fineft tortoife- Thell that is found throughout the whole of the Erythrêan Sea.

But ftill beyond this, immediately under the north ( $l$ ), at a certain point ${ }^{16}$ where the exterior fea terminates ", lies a city

Thina,
REMARKS.
(k) Khrusè is mentioned as an illand by Mela, Dionyfus, \&c. as a Cherfonefe by Ptolemy. It may be Ava, Pegu, or Siam, for they were all oflentatious of gold; but, placed as it is heres next to the Ganges [xar' durov riv roseapery], its pofition muft be erroneous. Ptolemy is more correct in fixing the Kirrhádx in this fituation, whom out, author mentions previous to the Ganges; for Kirrhádæ bears fome refemblance to the Hidrange or Kadrange of the Arabs, which feems to be Arracan; and if Arracan may be extended to comprehend the little diftrict of Chitagong, it is contiguous to the Ganges, or rather to the Megna. Ptolemy adds, that the beft betel is procurable in this province (fee Differtation); and it is from hence that the Sefata, or Bêfadx, who are the Tartars of Laffa or Thibet, carry that article to the northern provinces of China.
(l) This ftrange paffage I have rendered literally, but it is unintelligible without a comment. [Under the north] implies the fame as is repeated afterwards; tuder the Lefler Bear. [Where the fea terminates outwards] intimates the exiftence of a circumambient ocean, like the Mare Tenebrofum of the Arabian geographers; to comprehend which, wè muft imagine the Golden Cherfonefe the laft region eaft of the known world; but fill that there is an ocean beyond it, furrounding the whole earth, and that Thina lies inland, in a country that is wathed by this ocean. This notion, entangled as it is by an erroneous fituation, and confufed exprefion, ftill intimates, in accordance with Mela and Pliny, that Thina is the latt country of the known world, and that there is nothing beyond it but the fea. If the author had. an idea of a fphere, this fea would extend to Spain, which is Strabo's conception ; if he thought the earth. a flat furface, this fea is the ocean that furrounds it.

[^236]
## SEQUEL TO THE

Thina", not on the coaft, but inland; from which both the raw material ${ }^{\text {s }}$ and manufactured filk are brought by land, through Bactria, to Barugáza, or elfe down the Ganges [to Bengal], and thence by. fea to Limúrike, or the coait of Malabar ( $n n$ ).

To Thina itfelf the means of approach are very difficult; and: from Thina fome few. [merchants] come, but very rarcly; for it lies "very far remote] under the conftellation of the Leffer Bear ( $n$ ), and is faid to join the confines of the Euxine Sea, the Cafpian, and the Lake Mêêtis (o), which iffucs at the fame mouth with the Galpian into the Northern ${ }^{20}$ Ocean.

On the confines, however, of Thina, an annual fair or mart is eftablifhed; for the Sêfate, who are a wild, uncivilized tribe, affemble there with their wivcs and children. Thicy are defcribed as a race $(p)$ of men, fquat and thick " fet, with their facc broad, and, their

## REMARKS.

( $m$ ) See the Differtation. All that went by land to Bactria, paffed down the Indus. to Guzerat; all that came through Thibet or Lafla, pafied down the Ganges or Brama Putra to Bengal.
(n) See the Differtation.
(0) For this inconfiftency confult the Difertation.
(p) If thefe Sêfata are the Dêfadx of Ptolemy, which is generally allowed by the commentators from the attributes affigned to them by both, the Bêfada of Ptolemy, are placed north of Kirrhadia or Arracan, and correfpond very well with the 'Tartars. of Laffa, who might naturally be the carriers between China and Bengal. But why the betel-leaf fhould be carried in this form from Arracan to China, in order to bemade
NOTES.


 Hudion, p. 14. $^{\circ}$

Theine, the capital of the Sine, is the boun. dary between the known and unknown part-of

Ptoleroy, whom he ufually follows.


${ }^{2}$ Konaioth.
their nofe greatly depreffed. The articles they bring for trade are of great bulk, and enveloped in mats ${ }^{27}$ or facks, which in their outward appearance refemble the early leaves of the vine. Their place of affembly is between their own borders and thofe of Thina; and here fpreading out their mats [on which they exhibit their goods for fale], they hold a feaft ${ }^{23}$ [or fair] for feveral days, and at the conclufion of it, return to their own country in the interior.

Upon their retreat, the Thinæ, who have continued on the watch, repair to the fpot, and collect the mats which the frangers left behind at their departure : from thefe they pick out the haulm, which is called Petros, and drawing out the fibres, fpread the leaves double, and make thern up into balls, and then pafs the fibres through them. Of thefe balls there are three forts-the ${ }^{24}$ large, the middle-fized, and the fmall : in this form they take the name of Malábathrum.; and under this denomination, the three forts of

## REMARKS

made up with the Areka nut, and then returned to India by the Chinefe under the denomination of Malíbathrum, is difficult to comprehend. The diftiinction between the leaf and the nut feems to be preferved in petros and malábatbrum ; for that petros is the betel, or betre, cannot well be doubted, when it is defcribed as refembling the young leaves of the vine; for the betel is a delicate fpecies of the pepper-pilint, and that plant is almoft conftantly defriibed as fimilar to the vine.-The defription of the Sêfatre leaves little room to doubt that they are Tartars; and we have here, upon the whole, a defcription of that mode of traffic which has always been adoptcd by the Chinefe, and by which they to this hour trade with Ruifia, Thibet, and Ava. See the Differtation.

[^237]that mafticatory are brought into India by thofe who prepare ( $q$ ) them ${ }^{\text {³ }}$.

All the regions beyond this [towards the north] are unexplored, either on account of the feverity of the winter, the continuance of the froft, or the difficulties of the country; perhaps allo the will of the gods has fixed thefe limits to the curiofity of man.

## REMARK,

 the Sine ? If I had found that the Chinefe brought them by fea, as they did to Ceylon in the time of Cofmas, my evidence for the performance of the voyage, either to or from China, would have been complete; but on this flender ground I dare not affert it, nor do I think it probable, for the betel might come down the Ganges as well as filk. The whole feems to be in irremediable confufion, with particulars founded on truth, and a total that is inconfifient.

> NOTE
 for exportation.

## DISSERTATION I.

ON THE SINE, THE SERES, AND THE TERMINATION OF ANCIENT GEOGRAPHY ON THE EAST.

1. The Name of Cbina.-11. Since of Ptotemy in Siam, Since of other Authors, and Sêres the fame; Peripluts, Eratofthenes, Mela, d'An-ville.-III. Relative Situation of the Seres, reith refpcet to Scytbia, and India beyond the Ganges.-IV. Capital, Sera Metropolis:V. Seres diftinguifbed as Manufacturers of Silk.-VI. Intercourfe between Cbina, India, and Europe; Route from Ptoleimy, Maes the-Macedonian,-VII. Modern Route-Marco Polo, Rubruquis, Carpin, Goez.-VIII. Route of the Sêfata from Arracan to CbinaDionyfius Periegete**-IX. Intercourfe by Sea-Mela, Rajab of Pliny, Cofmas Indicopleufes.-X. Galden Cherfonefe, Voyage froms Ceylon tbither, Coaft of Coromandel, Mafuliputam, Ganges, Arracan, Ava, Siam, Cattígara.-X1, Longitudes and Latitudes of Ptolemy, bowever in. Exeefs, fill the Caufe of modern Difcovery'; Navigation towards the Weft from Spain-Roger Bacon, Columbus, Map of Ptolemy; Eulogy of Ptolemy.
I. THINA, Sinx, and Tziniftæ', fo nearly refemble China and the Chinefe, that upon the firft view of thefe appellations; we are naturally led to conclude that they are the fame. Serica alfo,
[^238]Chinefe, as Greek letters can; and of the country meant there can be no doubt; for he mentions the filk brought by land from that

## SEQUELTO THE

alfo, the Couniry of the Seres, which produces the filk, and the only country which originally produced it, is fo pre-eminently and characteriftically the fame country, that if Ptolemy had not affigned two different pofitions for the Sinæ and the Serres, there would probably have been no difpute upon the queftion at the prefent hour.

But it is faid, the Chinefe themfelves know nothing of this name. This, however, is of little weight in the fubject of our inquiry; for the fame nation in Europe which we call Germans, are ftyled Almains by the French, and Teutfch ${ }^{2}$, or Teudefch, by themfelves. The Jefuits who were in China have, however, endeavoured to find an approach to this found in Tan-djin, Han-djin, the people of Tan ${ }^{3}$ or Han, two of their early dynafties; and in Chen-fi, one of the principal provinces: but upon there fimilaritics there is little dependance; for it is generally allowed, that the principal native appellation is Tchou-koue ${ }^{4}$, the Central King d $^{\text {离m }}$; and every nation in the world, from vanity, from relation to all the regions around, or from ignorance, is entitled to the fame diftinction.

But let us firf inquire, how this name was brought weftward? Manifefly not by the north, or by land, for the name obtained by that conveyance was Kathay and Kitai ; but by fea it was firf heard of-by the Macedonians, in the form of Thina; by Cofmas, in the form of Tzinifte; by the Arabs ${ }^{\text {s }}$, as Chcen, or rather Ma-cheen,
country to Perfra, 4500 miles ; Wut be farys, the paflage by fetrox much longer. And then
 Beyond the Tainifte there is no navigation, or habitablé country. Montfaucgn, Nov. Col. Patrum, tom. it; p. 138 . See infra, No. 8. ${ }^{7}$. And hence Dutch in our own language.
${ }^{3}$ D'Anville, Antiq. de l'Inde,-p. 179.
4 Other names occur in Ilbrandt Ides, the Jefuitg' A ccounts, \&cc. \&c.
s Primi Arabes Seras cum Sinis confuderunt quorum errorum poftea fecuti funt adia. Voffus ad Melam, Hib. i. c. 2. note 20.

Great Cheen, or Cheena ; and by Marco Polo, as Cin, that is Cheen in the mouth of an Italian. The Portuguefe likewife, who came from the Weft, acquired the fame found in their progrefs towards the Eaft; and from them Cheena, or China, bas defcended to all the nations of Europe,

Cheen ${ }^{6}$ therefore, by all thefe feveral navigators, was obtained as they advanced towards the Eaft; and the firf country that bears the refemblance of the found is Cochin-china, called by the natives, and by the Chinefe, Kao-tchii-chin; by the Jefuits, Telien-tchen; and by the Arabs, Cheen; the Sinia Sinarum of Al Edrifi, If then we reflect that all the kingdoms contained in the Great Cherfonefe, except Malacca. ${ }^{\text {. }}$, partake of Chinefe manners, habits, policy, and government, it was a natural confequence that the Arabs, when they: firft reached China, the fuperior and fometimes the fovereign of them all, Chould receive the name of Ma-cheen, or Great China, in. comparifon with thefe inferior kingdoms.

It is impofible to prove that thefe appellations are as ancient as the era of Alexander, becaufe hiftory is filent; but the acquifition of the fame found by all the nations which advanced by fea from the Weft towards the Eaft, from the cime of Alexander to the date of the Portuguefe difcoveries, is a ftrong prefumption in its favour.

The firft mention of Thina by the Greeks, is in the Treatife of Ariftotle ${ }^{8}$ de Mundo. (if that work be his); but the full notice of it is by Eratofthenes, and as Eratofthenes lived undeer the fecond

[^239]2. The Malays are fuppofed to be originally Chinefe by Barrow, but their language is alphabetical.
${ }^{5}$ Aritatie died A.C. 322 ; Eratoffhenes borm 276 .

Ptolemy, his mention of Thina is early enongh to fuppofe, that the Greeks had no knowledge of fo diffant a region before Alexander, and knew it then only in confequence of his expedition.

Though the Macedonians proceeded no farther caft than the Indus, they sertainly acquired a knowledge of the Ganges and Ceylon: this we learn from hifory; and if their inquiries went farther, they had Perfians, Indians, and Arabians, in their army, from whofe report they might gratify their curiofity. If Arifotle, therefore, had heard of Thina, this muft be the fource of his knowledge; or if the Treatife imputed to him be not his, the knowledge of Eratóflenes mult have been acquired, either from the fame fource, or from thofe who failed on board the flcets from Egypt, and met the Arabian, Indian, or Perfian merchants in the ports of Sabếa.

Let us fuppofe, then, that the whole of this was report, and let us conjecture from analogy by what we know, in a later age, to be faet. It would amount to this-that there was a trade between Arabia and India, carried on every year; that the merchants from Arabia met others on the weftern coalt of India, who came from the eaftern coaft; that thofe on the eaftern coaft traded to a country ftill further eaft, called the Golden Cherfonefe; and that from the Golden Cherfonefe ther was another voyage ftill to the caft, which terminated at Thina; and that beyond Thina there was no proceeding farther, for it was bounded ${ }^{\circ}$ by the ocean which' had never been explored.
A report, coming through no lefs than five intermediate channels, Jike this, would doubtlefs be loaded with much error, fable, and

[^240]inconfiftency; but that by fome method or other it did come, is undeniable; for the map of Eratófthenes is recorded by Strabo: It actually contained Thina at the extremity of the world eaft, bounded by the ocean: it was placed in the parallel of Rhodes, in lat. $36^{\circ}$ north; and what is moft extraordinary of all is, that this parallel paffes through the prefent empire of China, within the great wall. I fhall not build more on this than it will bear, but a reference to . M. Goffellin's Map, delineated on this principle, will prove the fact; and this fact cannot be founded on imagination, or arife from fortuitous coincidence: there muft have been fome information on which it flands; and the wonder is, not that it fhould be attended with many difficulties and inconfiftencies, but that, after paffing through fo many hands, it fhould retain fo much truth.
iI. SIN $\mathbb{E}$ OF PTOLEMY IN SIAM, SIN $E$ OF OTHER AUTHORS, and serês the same; periplûs, eratosthenes, melia, D'ANVILLE.

Tie Thina of Eratofthenes, however, is not to be cohfounded with the Thinx or Sin of Pcolemy; for the $\dot{e}$, whether we place them, with d'Anville, in Cochin-chiaa, or with Voffus and Gof fellin, in Siam, are in a very different latitude and polition. 'Their country does not face ${ }^{20}$ to the ealt, but to the weft; and their latitude is not $36^{\circ}$ north, but $2^{\circ} 20^{\prime}$ fouth ${ }^{\prime 2}$. But the Thina of Eratóthenes and Strabo; is the Thina and Sinpe of the Periplûs, of which we have a certain proof; becaufe the author fays, that filk

[^241]
## SEQUEL TO THE

is the produce of their country. This country, therefore, is the Serica of Ptolemy; and in this fenfe, the Sine and the Seres are the fame, that is, they are both Chinefe. -We muft now advert to the grofs error of the Periplus, which places Thina, the capital of the Sinx, under the conftellation ${ }^{12}$ of the Lefier Bear; that is, in the age. we refer it to, within twelve degrees of the Pole; a climate which, fo far from producing the filk-worm, mutt be uninhabitable by man. How this error arofe, muft be explicable only by conjecture ; but it appears to originate from one of two caufes, which are perfectly different and diftinct: for, firt, we find the ancient geographers very obfervant ${ }^{13}$ of the difappearance of the Polar Star; as we advance to the fouth, and equally attentive to its re-appearance as we approach again to the north; it might happen, therefore, that the navigators who went to China, might have obferved the lofs of the Polar Star in the Straits of Malacea, and the recovery of it as they approached the coaft of China; and this obfervation, conveyed through a multiplicity of reporters, may have caufed the confufion between a latitude whith lay under the Leffer Bear, and a latitude where the Polar Star became vifible.

But if this caufe thould be thoyght too ficentific to have given wife to fo grofs an crror, there is a fecond, much more probable and naterul; which is, that if we fuppofe a delinteation of the habitable world, formed upon the principle of that which I obtained from

[^242]Lomon, makes the dillance $17{ }^{\circ}$ degrees.
${ }^{13}$ See Pomp. Mela, lib. iii. c. 7. In aliqua parte cjus [Indix] neuter fuptentrio apprareat. See alfo Marco Polo, as he comes up from Caylun alung the coalt of Malabur. Lib, iii. c. 23 . Ramutio.

## PERIPLUS OF THE ERYTHREAN SEA.

Al Edriff ${ }^{14}$ in the former part of this work, or like the Borgian." Table in Sir Jofeph l3anks's pofleffion, the degrees of longitude diminifh fo haftily as we approach towards the nofth, that they do not leave room to difplay all the regions which fuch a geographer. as our author, muff find it requifite to crowd into the face that he has to cover. This feems to be a natural fource of the error which we find in the Periplus; and this opinion is confirmed by what he immediately fubjoins: "Thina lies," fays he, "at the Lefler Bear "itfelf; and it is faid to join the limits of Pontus ${ }^{15}$, which are to" wards ${ }^{17}$ the north, and the Cafpian Sea, with which the Palus "Môtis is conncted, and iflues into the ocean at the fame " mouth." Here, befides the error common to many of the ancients, that the Cafpian Sea was open to the Northern Ocean, we have a variety of other miftakes; added to which, China, Tartary, the Cafipian, the Euxine, and Palus Mêotis, are all huddled together in fuch confufion, that nothing but the conftruction of a map, on the principles here fuppofed, could produce.

Whether thefe excules will avail in favour of an author, whofe errors I wifh not, to extenuate, but explain;, muft be left to the judgment of others : beyond Ceylon; all he knew was from report; and on report only procured, firf by the Macedonians, and aftetwards by Megáthenes, Daímachus, Dionyfius, and the merchants of Egypt, all the knowledge of the ancients muit be founded. But whatever may be the error of pofition, there can be no miftake about the country intended. The filk fabric itielf, and the mate-
${ }^{4} 4$ The fame circumfatice occurs in Sanuto's Map, in the Gefta Deipter carancoa, A little tothe N.E. of the Cgipipan Sea "hrotice is inSerted, Incipat Regnim Cathay.
is In that map, Potine is almoft as near Cbina ascit is tefongland.
"t Perhaps the Eusine:

rial ${ }^{\text {s }}$ of which it is made, are both frecifically applied, by the name of Sêrica, to the country of the Sine. This identifies them with the Setres and Thina of the Poriplies; and that the Sêres are the Chinefe, is generally allowed by the geographers of the prefent day.

D'Anville had ${ }^{\text {ro }}$ certainly no pre-difpofition in favour of this opinion; for in coming through Scythía towards the Seres, he paffes the country of the Eighurs from five to ten degrees weft of China; and in that province he finds a tree, which produces a fruit like the cocoon of the filk-worm. Here, perhaps, his own judgment would have induced him to patfe; but he yields honefly to conviction, and procecding caftward into China, he fixes upon Kan-cheou, juft within the boundary of the Great Wall, for the Sêra metropolis of Holemy. But there was in reality ao ground for hefitation, nor any caufe of folicitude for fixing on Kan-cheou, rather than Pekin, or any other great city, which might in that age have been the eapital of the North; for the acquifition of general knowledge is all that can be expected in a queftion fo obfcure and remote; and the aftonifhing approach to accuracy which we find in Ptolemy, is one of the mof curious geographical truths bequeathed to us by the, ancients; for the latitude ${ }^{20}$ of his Sêra metropolis is within little more than a degree of the latitude of Pekin, and nearly coincident with that of Kan-cheou. Whether, therefore, we chufe one of thee, or whether there was any other merropolis in that age, we are equally in the cquatry of the Sêres, añ the Sêres are Chinefe. They are the firt of men, fays Piliny ${ }^{\text {i, }}$, that are known on

[^243]commencing our inquiries from the Eaft, and their country fronted to the eaft. That there was nothing beyond them but the ocean, was the general opinion of the ancients; for, according to Strabo, "fuppofing ${ }^{32}$ the world to be a fphere, there is nothing but the " immenfity of the Atlantic Ocean, which fhould hinder us from "failing from Spain to the Indies upon the fame parallel."

## iII. Relative situation of the seres, with respect to SCYTHIA, AND INDIA BEYOND THE GANGES.

If the Sêres, then, are the fint nation of the known world ${ }^{22}$. commencing from thê eaft, let us next inquire into their fytuation relative to the countries north and fouth. On this head, Mela and Pliny both agree that their boundary on the north ${ }^{24}$ is Tabis, and Taurus on the fouth; that all beyond them north is.Scythia, and all beyond them fouth, is India eaft of the Ganges. By the latter expreffion they mean, that the whole country, from the Ganges to the Eaftern Ocean, is called India, comprehending all the regions in the Great Peninfula ${ }^{3 s}$, which commences at the Ganges, and part alfo of the fouthern ${ }^{26}$ provinces of China itfelf. What then are Tabis and Taurus, but two promontories advancing into the Eaftern
${ }_{22}$ P. 64. In refpect to the parallel, this torium, Sêres. P. Mela, iii. 7. would have been true between Spain and China.
${ }^{28}$ In ea primios hominum ab oriente accepi( mus, Indos, Seras, Seythas. Spectant merid:em Indi, feptentrionem Scythr ufque ad Cafpint. Mela, i. 2.

Seres primi hominumqui nafcuntur. Pin. vi. 17. or 20 Hard.
"By the term of the Great Peninfula, I mean all the rountries included in a line drawn from the mouth of the Megna, or Bralimaputia, to China, as the northern limit, and the Straits of Sincapura as the fouthern; comprehending Ava, Arracan, Pegue Biam, Malaya, Cannboya, Cochitachina, Lac, and Tonkin.
${ }_{25}$ The northern part of India, extra Gan.
${ }^{24}$ Inter Tabin et extremum Tauri promon- gem, terminates with Taurus, Strabo, p, 68.

Oceat, and marking the limits of the Ancient beres? Siythia, according to Pliny, commences at the iffue of the Cidpita Sea into the Northorn Ocean, and extends all round the contincht, fronting north and northeent ${ }^{2 ?}$, till it comes to Tabis, which divides it from the Sercs; and what is meant by Thurus may be difcovered in Strabo, who informs us, that Eratóthenes prolonged Taurus from the Bay of Iffus in the Mediterranean, acrofs the whole continent, of Afin, dividing it by the lame parallel ${ }^{28}$ of latitude, till it terminated on the Eaftern Ocean, that is, the Sea of China. At the termination was Thina, on the fame parallel as Rhodes, which is $36^{\circ}$ north; and this parallel, if we fuppole it to be correct, would embrace all the northern part of China, between latitnde ${ }^{2 s} 3^{3}$ and $40^{\circ}$; that is, if we fix the fouthern limit at the promontory of Taurus, in $36^{\circ}$, and the northern at Tabis fomewhere about $40^{\circ}$. A reference to M. Goffellin's Map ${ }^{30}$, delineated in conformity to the idea of Eratofthenes, will explain this better than words; and whether thefe promontories be real or imaginary, this is the hypothetis or fyttem of tie ancients. If Tabis has a reprefentative, we might fuppofe it to be the termination of the Great Wall on the Yellow Sea, which divides China from Tartary; but the Wall does not end in a cape, and this mult be left wholly to conjecture.

[^244] towards the fouth, but proceeded through ."Seres media ferme Eore parte iacolunt, Tartary to China. By Ptolemy's route, they Indi, et Scythe ultima. Mela, i. a. pulted it in Hircania ; by the route of the . Se Geographie deo Greci.

Pariplus, at Kabul; by the ronte of the Se. fatai, or Befadai, in Laffa or Tlyibet; but Alexander, who came out of Sugdiana to the Indus, croffed it from worth to louth over the Paropamifus, perhaps at the Pufs of Bamian.

## PERIPLUS OF THE ERYTHREAN SEA.

## 1V. CAPITAL, SERA METROPOLIS.

In regard to the capital, the Sêra Metropohis of Ptolemy, though it is not indifferent, where we place it, yet it may be thought hazardous to maintain that it is Pekin. Pekin, however, or the Northern Court, is one of the oldeft cities in China: it is fituated near the Wall, and well adapted to form a frontier town agantt an invafion of the Tartars, the only enemy which the empire has had to fear in every age. It is remarkable alfo that Ptolemy, in one place ${ }^{3 t}$, calls Sêra the capital of the Sinæ, which makes it correfpond with the Thina of the Periplûs; and this fo effentially, that if the great error of the author in carrying it to the Leffer, Bear could be fet afide, Thina and Sêra Metropolis would be identified. On account of that error, I do not infift upon this ; but, upon the whole, the Sêres of Ptolemy coincide with the Sêres of Mela, Pliny, and Dionyfius; and his latitude of the capital advancing.fo ncarly to the parallel of Pekin, is one of the moft illuftrious approximations that aucient geography affords.

Without affecting precifion, we have now a pofition for the Sêres in the northern provinces of Cbina; and this deduction, as it is founded on the information of the ancients, is not much controverted by the moderns. But we have another characteriftic of the Sêres, derived from the produce of their cauntry, which is filk:

[^245]this beautiful fabrie we lenow, from the Chinefe themfelves, was the original wanufature of their country-fpecifically their own, by the prerogative of invention ; and though communicated to other countries in their neighbourhood, and from the firft mention of it, procurable in the ports of the Golden Cherfonefe, at the Ganges, and on the coafts of Coromandel and Mralabar, fill was it fo exclufively and pre-eminently the attribute of China, that the Sine were, from twis very circumftance, denominated Sêres, or Silk-worms, by the Greeks. D'Anville was fully aware of all the authoritics " that fupport this fact, and yet he objects, that they were ftyled Sêres before it was known that the material itfelf was the production of an infect.

## V. SERES DISTINGUISHED AS MANUFACTURERS OF SILK.

The miftakes ${ }^{33}$ of the ancients on this fubject; the fluctuation of the firft reporters, who fometimes confounded it with cotton, and the opinion which long prevailed, that it was obtained from the bark or leaves of particular trees, have been fufficiently difcuffed by



Seres, animals that fpin the fllk thread, or the, name of the nation from whence the genuine filk comes. 'Oxooripsoy exprefles a web wholly of filk, in contrall to the mixturs of filk with other materiala ju the manufactories. of Tyre, Berytus, \&c.



Seston, the worms that produce the -ilk; for Sêres is equivaleat to worms.

See alfo Paufanias, Eliac, ì. fub fine.
de l'Inde, p. 233. And Voffius cites Pollux, Servitus, and Simpliciun, as all informed of the worm; but certainly the whule procefs was not known till Juftinian's time.
${ }^{3}$ Ubigumque apud veteres aut lini aut lane aut byffi Indici mentio fit, intelligendum id effe de Seríco. Voffan ad Melam, Hib. iii. c. 7.

The carding it from the leaves of a particular tree, and ufing water to facilitate the operation, occur in a variety of authors; that is, the cocoon was taken from the mulberrytree, ard wound off in water.
mumerous writers on the fubject; but that the Arabs had met with it in India before there were any Greek fleets in the Eaftern Ocean, can hardly be doubted, by thofe who read that the Macedonians obtained their firlt knowlelge of it in the countries bordering on the Indus. Hither it muf have been brought in that age, either by the trade which paffed between Patala and Malabar, or by the caravans through Scythia, on the north; for that in fo early an age it was manufactured in India can hardly be admitted, when we obferve that the author of the Periplûs, four hundred years later, mentions it in Malabar, not as a native production or manufacture, but as an article brought thither from countrics farther ${ }^{34}$ to the caft. But in regard to China, his account is very different; for there, he fays, both the raw material ${ }^{35}$ and the manufacture were obtained. The pre-eminence in this refpect is fill due to the fame country; for notwithftanding that almoft all the nations of the Eaft, and many in Europe, now breed the infect and weave the fabric, China is ftill the Country of Silk; the greateft quantity is fill produced there, and of the beft quality : it is the general clothing of the nation, and its fuperabundance ftill allows of a vaft exportation to all the countries. of the Eaft, and to Europe itfelf.

In the courfe of this invefligation, then, we fiave learnt from ancient authorities, that the Sêres are the Thinæ of Eratófthenesthe Sinæ of the Periplass; that their country lies between Tartary, on the north, and India extra Gangem, on the fouth; that it is the remoteft region ${ }^{35}$ towards the eaff; that it is bounded on its

[^246]eaftern front by the ocean; that the ocean extends (in their opinion), without interruption, on the fame parallel to the coaft of Spain; and that filk was brought from this country, where it was originally found, to India, and out of India, by the Red Sea, into Egypt, and from thence to Europe.

## VI. INTERCOURSE BETWEEN CHINA, INDIA, AND EUROPE; ROUTE FROM PTOLEMY MAES, THE MACEDONIAN.

But if filk was brought from the Sêres to India, there were but two means of conveyance-by land, or by fea. Both are fpecified in the Periplûs; for the author informs us, fiff, that the raw material and the fabric itfelf were conveyed by land, through Bactria, to Barugaza or Guzerat, and by the Ganges to Limúrikè.-But, omitting this for the prefent, let us examine what is intended by the route that is defcribed through Bactria to Guzerat. .A reference to the map will immediately fhew us, that Balk, or Bactria, lies almoft directly north of the weftern fources of the Indus; and as we know that the caravans at this day palis out of India into Tartary at Cabul ${ }^{37}$, fo is it plain that this was the ufual courfe of communication, from the earlief times; and that the filks of China then came the whole lengtla of Tartary, from the Great Wall into Bactria ${ }^{38}$; that from

[^247]by licenfe they fend fome ten or fifteen metchants to do bulinefs, who being returacd, they may fend as many more; but by no means can the whole caravan euter at once. Willian Fiuch in Purchas, vol. ii. p. 434.
${ }^{38}$ And by another caravan, to Palihothra


 cap. 17.

Bactria they paffed the mountains to the fources of the Indus, and then came down that river to Patala or Barbárikè, and from hence to Guzerat.

Ptolemy ${ }^{30}$ has given us the detail of this immenfe inland communication; for, beginning from the Bay of Iffus in Cilicia, he informs us, from the account of Marínus, that the route croffed Mefopotamia, from the Euphrates to the Tigris, at the height of Hierapolis; then through the Garamæi ${ }^{\text {to }}$ of Affyria, and Media, to Ecbatana and the Cafpian Pafs; after this, through Parthia to Hecatompylos; from Hecatompylos to Hyrcania; then to Antioch in Margiana; and hence, through Aria, into Bactria. In this province, the line of Marínus falls in with that of the Periplûs; and from this it paffes. through the mountainous country of the Kômêdi ${ }^{45}$; then through the territory of the Sacre ${ }^{42}$ to the Stone Tower ${ }^{43}$, and to the ftation of thofe merchants who trade with the Sères; from this flation the route proceeds to the Cafii or Cafhgaf, and through the country of the Itagûri, or Eyghurs of d'Anville, till it reaches Sêra Metropolis, the capital of China itfelf. The extent of this communication, which is in a right line upwards of four thoufand miles, would have been protracted by the eftimate of Marinus ${ }^{44}$ to double the fpace ${ }^{43}$ to which it is reduced by Ptolemy, and yet Ptolemy makes it ninety degrees, or upwards of fix thouland miles. But contracted as it is

woula be in the eaftern part of their country, towards Kafhgar.
${ }^{43}$ See Ptol. tab, vii. Afia. Ifagûri.
4t Ptol. lib. i. c. is.
4s According to Marínus, it was 24,000 ftadia from the Stone Tower to Sera; that is, either 2400 or 3000 miles * the real diflance is fhort of 14 co. Ptol. lib. i, c. 12.
by modern geography, it is aftonifhing that any commodity, however precious, could bear the expence of fuch a land-carriage; or that there fhould have been found merchants in the Roman empire, who engaged in this commerce thronghout its whole extent-who atually conveyed the produce of China by land to the Mediterranean, without the intervening agency of the nations which poffeffed the countries through which it paffed: But this is a fact actually preferved by Ptolemy; for he informs us from Marinus, that Maes, a Maceclonian ${ }^{4 \sigma}$, whofe Roman name was Titianus, did not indeed perform the journey himfelf, but that he fent his agents through the whole extent of this cxtraordinary peregrination.

In what fate the Tartar nations then were, which could admit of fuch a traffic through all thefe difierent regions, it is now extremely difficult to determine ; for though caravans have palied within thefe fow years between China and Rullia, an! though there was a communication ${ }^{47}$, and perhaps atill is, between that empire and Samurkand, as alfo with the Ufbecks, this was carried on by the natives of the refpective countries, and afforded no palfage for merchants to pals throughout, from one extremity of Alia to the other.

## VII. MODERN ROUTE-MARCO POLO, RUBRUOULS, CARPIN, GOAZ.

There was a period indeed, duriug the time of Fingis and his immediate fuccefors, when the power of the Mongoux extended from the Sea of Amour to Poland and the Euxine; atul who: there was a regular intercourfe, by eftablithed pots, throughout this vatt

[^248]extent ; by means of this, Marco Polo, his uncle, and his father, Rubruquis, Carpin, and others, actually reached the court of Cambalu, and returned again by palfports from the emperor. It was Marco Polo, the firft of modern travellers who brought to Europe any confiftent account of this vaft empire-who entered China by the north, and returned by fea to Bengal. His route outwards is not eafy to trace, becaufe his defcriptions diverge both to the right and to the left; but it is highly probable that he entered China nearly by the fame route as Goez did, from Kafhgar : this would have brought him to Sochieu, or fome other town in the neighbourhood, to reach which he might not have paffed the Great Wall. But if this would account for his not mentioning it in the firf inftance, it does not folve the difficulty; for the court of Coblai, like that of Kien-long the late emperor, was a Tartar court, frequently kept in Tartary as well as China; and during the many years which he attended Coblai, he mult have been in both. He did not bring the name of China to Europe, but Cathai and Mangi only, becaufe he obtained thofe appellations alone which were in ufe among the Tartars; and it was feveral centuries later, before it was known that Cathai and Cbina were the !ame. We are contending here only for the exiftence of the communication, and endeavouring to fhew, that in the middle ages it was the fame, or fimilar to that of the ancients. But from the time when the empire of the Tartars broke into feparate governments, no travellers or merchants from Europe dared to attempt the dangers and exactions which mult have attended then at every ftep, and when the progrefs of Mabomedifin, in thele northern courts, brought on an additional fufpicion and hoftility againft every Chriftian who fhould have entured their country.

## SEQUEL TO THE

The only attempt in later times, that I am acquainted with, is that of Benedict Goez ${ }^{43}$, a Portugueze Jefuit, who left Agra in the beginning of 1603 , and proceded by Lahore to Cabul; and froms Eabul, by way of Balk and Badakthan, to Cafhgar. At Calhgar, the caravans from India met thofe which came from China; but fo difficult was it to proceed, that though Goez obtained the protectionof the king of Caifhgar, he did not reach Sochicu, the firft city within the wall of China, till the end of the year 1605 ; and at Sochieu" he clofed his life and his travels, in March 160\%, without having obtained permiffion to go up to Pekin, or join his brethren who were eftablifhed in that capital.

The undertaking of Gocz is one of the moft meritorious, and his account one of the moft interefting, that is extant; for it is a regular journal kept of his progrefs, fpecifying cvery country, and every place, through which he pafficd ${ }^{\text {so }}$. The cnumeration of the days he travelled is three ${ }^{5 x}$ hundred and ninety, befides fome that we cannot afcertain, and exclufive of the dclays he met with at various ftations. But from him we learn, that Sochieu was the fame fort of mart for the caravans of Cafhgar, as Kiachta is for the Ruffians; that it was inhabited half by Chinefe and half by Mahomedans; that the merchants of Cafhgar were admitted into China, and fuffered to go upto Pekin only under the colour of an embaffy ${ }^{\text {s2 }}$; that they brought
prefents,

[^249]${ }^{5 x}$ As cftinated by Bergeron, tom. i. Traité des Tartares, p. 75. I cannot make them fo many.

5: The fame fort of trade he mentions likewife from Cochin-chinà, Siam, Leuchieu, Corea, and eight tribes of the Tartars: they all come under pretence of an embafly, and all the prefents they bring are flyled Tribute: the emperor
prefents, which the Chinefe called Tribute, every fixth year ; that from the time they paft the frontier, the emperor bore the charge of the embaffy; and that the artioles of commerce brought from Cafhgar, were beautiful flabs of jafper, or variegated marble, and fomething that appears to be the agate, which we know, from Lord Macartney's account, the Chinefe value fo highly at the prefent day. Throughout the whole, the courage, perfeverance, addrefs, and patience of Goez, place him in the higheft rank of travellers: he was deferted by all his companions but an Armenian boy, of the name of Ifaac; and Ifaac was fo fortunate as to reach Pekin, from whence he was fent to Macao, where he obtained a paflage to the Portuguefe fettlements in Malabar. Here he gave the account of his mafter's expedition and deceafe; and more particularly mentioned the furprize of Goez, in finding that Cathai was China, and Cambalu, Pekin.

Exclufive of the communication between Ruffia and China, which has been feveral ${ }^{33}$ times interrupted and renewed. This journal of Goez is the only authentic information to be depended on; and it is of the greater importance, as it is a line much farther to the fouth than the route of the Ruffian caravans, and actually coincides with the detail given by Ptolemy, and implied by other ancient geo-
emperor bears all their expences as foon as they enter China. In this, then, conflifs the policy-that if he bears the expence, he has a right to limit the time; and he affects to know of no embaffies but from his tributaries. Apply this to Lord Macartney's cmbaffy, and it proves why the embaffador was compelled to depart at argiven day, and why his prefents were infcribed with the name of Tribute.

The fame circumftances are repeated by

Jofafa Barbaro (in Ramufio, tom. ii. f. ro6.), which he received from a Tartar on the Don, who had paffed from Samarkand to China, which was the courle of the northern caravans in that age, 1450; and filks, though then made in Perfia, formed the principal article of the trade. This Tartar had been at Cambalu; had been introduced to the emperor, and referred to the minifters, \&c. \&c.
${ }^{3} 3$ See Ifbrundt Ides. Bell. Cox's Ruffia,
graphers; for Badafcian, the Badakithan of Cherif Eddin, is the natural reprefentative of Ptolemy's Comenedi ${ }^{\text {s4 }}$, and Kufhgrar, the country of his Cafii. Kafhgar is likewife a kingdom of much importance, and a country of great extent; for Gocz was employed from dixty to fixty-five days in paffing it, and he had fill from forty to fifty before he reached Sochicu.

## VIII. ROUTE OF THE SESATAL FROM ARRACAN TO CHINA.

Lest us next examiue the ancient accounts, in regard to this and other routes of the fame fort. The firt author that fpecifies this intercourfe by land is Melass : he fays, the Steres are a nation celcbrated for their juftice, and have become known to us by their commerce; for they leáve their merchandize in the defert ${ }^{56}$, and then retire, till the merchants they deal with have left a price or barter for the amount, which, upon their departure, the Sêres return and take. This affertion is repeated again by Pliny, and confirmed by the Periplûs ${ }^{57}$; for that the Sefatæ of that journal are the Taxtar tribes which trade with China, cannot be doubted: the extravagances recorded of them, the

[^250]filk had formerly been confined to the great and rich, but in his time was within the purchaft of the common people (nunc etiam ad ufum infinorum tine ulla diferetione profin ciens). This circunatance proves, not only the great extenfion of commerce at Conitantinople withis thirty years after its founda. tion, by which the material was obtained, but Jikesife the proficiency of the manufacturers [penhaps at Tyre and Berytus] in prepating it for the market. Ithe whole palfage is worth. confulting.
erticles ${ }^{38}$ mentioned, throw a fhade of obfcurity over this tranfaction; but that a fair ${ }^{39}$ or mart is held for feveral days, and that the goods are left to the faith of thofe they deal with, is evident; and that this is a characteritic ${ }^{60}$ of the Chinefe trade, from the age of Mela to the eftablifhment of Kiachta, is the uniform teftimony of all that mention the commerce. Now that the Seffatæ are a Tartar tribe cannot be queftioned, when we find them defrribed in the Periplus; for they are a race of men fquat and thick fet, flat nofed, and broad faced. They travel with their wives and families, and convey their merchandize enveloped in facks or mats ${ }^{\circ}$. Thefe are manifeflly the Befadai, or Bêfatai, of Ptolemy, defcribed under the fame attri-
${ }^{s}$ The malábathrum is attributed to the Sefatai by the Periplas; and though it is much more natural that the Tartars fhould obtain betel from the Chinefe, than the contrary (and fo Voffius renders it), yet that the Sêfatai and Betfadai are the fame, cannot be doubted. The words of the Periplus are, £úpant
 Of Ptolemy, Koho6is, चخarsis, xai Dxatis, nai

 Gaipor. Now the Kirthadii of Ptolemy are at the eaftern mouth of the Ganges, and there the betel might grow, or be procurable; and if the Befadai were feated on the north of that conntry, they would be in Laffa or Thibet, both of which are Tartar countries, and might well be engaged in conducting this traffic between China and Bengal, or perhaps Arracan. But whatever obfcurity there may be in this, it appears evident that Ptokmy and the Peripluss mean the fame people; and, by the fimilarity of exprefion, copicd from the fame authority. It ought likewife' to be abserved, that Escer las rehos, as it now ftands in the Periplus, is a reading of Voflius for isvech,
or ${ }^{3} \mu \mathrm{ch}$, or fomething unintelligible in the firf copy of the Periplus. Upon the whole, there. fore, if we interpret the Periplua by Ptolemy, and conclude that the Sefatai brought the betel from Bengal or Arracan, making them the fame people as the Beffadai, we have a confiftent account of this article reaching the northern provinces of China, as it reached the fouthern by fea. That the betel fhould be procurable in Arracan, is reafonable; for it grows abundantly in Ava. Symes's Embalfy, P. 255. See alio Dr. Buchanan's Account of the Burmas, Af. Refearches, vol. v. p. 219.

to Cotum reliquorum mortalium fuginat, commercia expectant. Plin. vi. 20. Expetunt?
or 'Ey $7 \mathrm{Ta}_{\mathrm{p} \pi \text { rírass, }}$ in firpeis; mats made of rufies, bags, or facks. So the Scholiat on




The Sêres, who are the fellers, make the firt propofal, by marking the price on their facks; and the buyer, according to the matk, fixes his price in return.
butes, and amon in the fame words, with the addition, that they are of a white complexion ${ }^{62}$; and that the malabathron, or betel, is brought by them from the country of the Kirrhada, at the eatem mouth of the Ganges.

Here, therefore, we may difcover another line of intercourfe betwien India and China, which pafled the mountains of Thibet ${ }^{03}$, and joined the route which came from Cabul and Balk, or reached the fouthern provinces of that great empire by a fhorter courle; and this, perhaps, may explain a dubious ${ }^{64}$ paffage of the Periptûs already noticed, and may inftruct us how the filk of China came down the Ganges, or the Brama putra into Bengal, and from thence pafed by fea to the coafts of Coromandel and Malabar.

The northern communication with China is intimated likewife by Dionytus, who, after leaving the Osus, the Iavartes, and the Cafpian Sea, on his progrefs calfward, mentions in order, the Sacæ, Tocharoi, the Phrooroi, and then the Sercs. If he had taken thele regularly, the Tocharoi would have been the Tartars of what is ftill called Tocharitan ${ }^{\text {es }}$, the Saca would be the Uibecks, and Phrooroi (poffibly the Greck word cqüpo "o, as an appellative, and not a proper name) exprefics the guard or garifon at the Stone Tower in the country of the Saca, or the flation in the territery of the Cafii, from whence the caravan proceeded to the Sêres. I mention theie circunflances not fo much on account of the geography, for

[^251]we are dealing with a poet, as for the purpofe of introducing his beautiful defcription of the filks woven by the Sères :

|  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> Nor flocks, nor herds, the diftant Sêres tend; But from the flow'rs that in the defert bloom, Tinctur'd with every varying hue, they cull The gloffy down, and card ${ }^{\text {c }}$ it for the loom. Hence is their many-coloured texturc wrought Precious, and bright in radiance, that tranfends The mingled beauties of th' enamel'd mead. A web fo perfect, delicate, and fine, Arachne and Arachne's progeny Might emulate in vain ${ }^{69}$. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Virgil fuppofed the Serres to card their filk from leaves:
Velleraque it foliis depectunt tenuia Seres.
Strabo, who does not meation the Seres, fill notices Scrica, or filk: "Ex twas qiosañ gawouims Búres. P. 693 . Byflus, or a fine material carded from the bark of a particular tree.

Paufaias meant to correct them both, when




The thread from which the Seres form their web, is not fiom anty kind of bark, but is obtaiued in a different way: they have in their conatry a fpinning infect, which the Greess call Seer. 11 Eliac. in fine.

But Paufanias, though he had learnt that it was a worm, had not learat more: he fuppoled it to live five yeass, and that it fed on green haulm. The workmen of Tyre and Berýtus wrought the metaxa, or organzine, imported long before the perfect nature of the animal or the material was known. The trie hiftory and management of it were not com- ; plete, till the monks obtained it for Jultinian.
${ }^{63}$ In Lhonorem Dcorum (corouas) verficolores velte Serieĉ, unguentis madidas. Hune Labet noviflime exitum laxuria fo:minarum. Plin. lib. xxi. c. 8. Hard.

We obferve here, not only the light-fowered filks, but the introduction of them into religrous ceremunies, as eanly as the time of Pliny.

## IX. INTERCOURSE BY SEA-MELA, RAJAH OT PLINY, COSMAS INDICOJLHUSTES.

I have dweit more particularly on tle filk of China, becaufe it is as effentially the difinguilhed produce of that country, as the pepper of Malabar, the mulins of Guzerat, the myrrh and frankincenfe of Arabia, are characteriftics of thefe feveral countries; and I am very anxious to prove the communication with China by land, becanfe it will prefently appear that there was another line of intercourfe by fea. If; therefore, the accefs both ways can be eftablifhed, China alone, whether denominated Thina, $\operatorname{Sin} x$, or Sêres, muft be the country intended; for no other can be approached by thefe two different ways; and thefe two, opened from the earlieft accounts we have in hiftory down to the prefent day, denote exclufively the appropriate character of that vaft cmpire, as thefe circumftances can be applicable to no other. The eftablifument of this truth will afford a ready folurion of the difficulty. which arifes. from the poit tion of the Sinz in Ptolemy: they cannot be in China; and if we, accede to the opinion of M . Goffellin, that they are in Siam, we muft conclude that Ptolemy, who gives io imperfect an account of the voyage to Cattigara, knew nothing of a farther intercourfe by fea with the Sêres, and that it was unknown in his age.

Mela, however, is faid to affert it, if we may believe the interpretation of Voffius; but in Mela nothing more appears, than that. from Colis to Cudum the coaft is Atraight. His Colis is the fouthern point of India; and Cudum, according to Voffius ${ }^{\circ}$, implies the Cudutæ of Ptolemy, who are the nation neareft to the Sĉres. The

$$
{ }^{{ }^{9}} \text { In Melam, Lib; iii, c. } 7 \text {, }
$$

accuracy of geography we are not concerned with here, but the affertion ; and what is meant may be feen by confulting M. Goffellin's ${ }^{7 \%}$ Map of Eratófthenes. But, this evidence is dubious and obfcure, and conduces nothing to the proof of any voyage performed. Little more fatisfaction fhall we receive from Pliny or the Periplûs; for the father of the Rajah, who came upon the embafly from Ceylon to Rome in the reign of Claudius, did not reach the Sêres by fea, but paffed from India over the mountain Emôdus, the Himmalu of the Hindoos, and thence by an eaftern route arrived at the country of Sêres, with whom he traded under the fame reftrictions as the merchants from Perfia and Europe, or the Sĉfatz mentioned by the P'eriplûs.

Cofmas, as far as I can difcover, is the firf author that fully afferts the intercourfe by fea between India and China; for he mentions that the Tziniftre brought to Ceylon filk, aloes, cloves, and fandal-wood. The articles themfelves are the fpecific exports of China ftill; and that the Tzinifte ${ }^{7 .}$ are Chinefe, can not be queltioned; for he exprefsly mentions their country, not merely as exporting, but producing filk; and fecifies the diffance from it by land as much fhorter, compared with the voyage by fea. This circumflance can accord with no other country, at the extremity of the eaft, but China; for no other country is fo fituated as to have this double communication, confequently his Tziniftr are Chinefe: they have the fame attributes as the Sêres-they are the fame people; firf, by the means of approach; and, fecondly, becaufe
${ }^{20}$ Geographie des Grecs. the temples in Ava, Pegu, and Siam. Still
"Voflius fuppofes the Siamefe to have fettled in Ceylon; and a temple found in Ceylon by Capt. Colin M•Genzie, refembles
the orthography of Tziniftr is fo effentially Gbinefe, that it precludes all doubt. See AL Refearches, vol. vi. p. 438 .
they are furrounded by the occan on the eaf, and becanfe that beyond them there is no mavigation ${ }^{n}$ or habitation. This is the one point, above all others, which I have laboured to cftablith by this difquifition; and though I ibtain not my proof till the fixth century ${ }^{3}$, the evidence is confiftent in all its parts, and complete. The inference is juftifiable, that the fome intercourfe exifted by fea, as well as by land, in ages much carlicr, though the account had not reached Europe, and though the proof is defective. It is in vain that I have fearched for any intelligence of this fort previous to Ptolenyy, though I was very defirous to find it, and prepoffeffed in favour of its exiftence.

Two paffages in the Periplus had almoft induced me to prefs the author into the fervice, and compel him to bear teftimony to the fact. The firt is, where he mentions the difficulty of going to, or coming from Cbina; the fecond, where he notices that the malabathrum is brought from Thina by thofe who prepare it. But, upen a ferupulous review of thefe paflages, I an perfuaded that he confiders only the communication by means of the Indus or the Ganges; and that though he allows an exterior fea on the caft of China, the laft place that a voyage by fea extended to, in his idea, was the Golden Cherfoncle. Had I formed a fyftem, the want of fuch an evidence would have been a vexatious difappointment; and the more fo, as my firt contemplation of his language had perfuaded me that I could apply it to this proof.

[^252]X. GOLDEN CHERSONESE, VOYAGE FROM CEYLON THither, coast of coromandel, masulipatam, ganges, arraCAN, AVA, SIAM, CATTIGARA.

The next point to be confidered is, how it hould happen that Ptolemy fhould be unacquainted with the intercourfe between the Golden Cherfonefe and China; that his information fhould terminate with the Sinæ and Cattígara, which, to all appearance, are on the weftern coaft of Siam. But he acquaints us candidly himfelf, that though Marinus ${ }^{74}$ had heard of the journey performed by the agents of Maes through Scythia to the Serres, he had no account of any one who had made the voyage by fea from the Golden Cherfonefe to Cattígara: all that he knew therefore, even of Cattígara, was from report; and much lefs conld he know of all that was beyond it, that is, of all that was to the caft of the Straits of Malacca.

The firt view of his map would naturally fuggeft the idea which M. d'Anville has embraced: it looks like the termination of the peninfula of Malacca, and rifes up again northward to his Sinus Magnus, as if we werc entering the bay of Siam ${ }^{75}$, the fea of Cochit-china, and China. But when we obferve his Sinx placed on the fame parallel with Malacca, and his Cattígara carried down eight degrees ${ }^{70}$ to the fouth, we fee at once that both mult be placed on a coaft that has no exiftence, except in that valt imaginary

 Toti. Lib. i. c. 14.
${ }^{35}$ Sian extencle, or did formerly eatend, acrofs the peninfula; and the great bay, after pafling the Straits of Sincapura, is thercfore called the Bay of Biam.
$7^{6}$ This is one of the circumflances that does not accord with the pofitione of M. Goffellin, affigned to Thina and Cattigara; but the deficiency of information requires great allow. ance. Perhaps it was not neceffary to fix Cattigara at Merghi,
continent which he has brought round the whole Southern Ocean, from Africa, in longitude $80^{\circ}$, to Cattígara, in $180^{\circ}$.

It is this circumftance which compels us, notwithfanding the appearance of his map, to coincide with the opinion of Voffius and M. Goffellin, that in reality he does not pafs the Straits of Malacca and Sincapura; but that the account, which he had from report, carried him no farther than the weftern coaft of Siam. On this head it has been already noticed, that Mercator, who had no fyftem to maintain, makes the coaft of the Sinæ front to the wefl, and this the latitudes and account of Ptolemy require; but if we place the Sinæ, with d'Anville, in Cochin-china, the face of the coaft is reverfedit fronts to the eaft, or fouth-caft, and makes Ptolemy in contradiction with himelelf.

Let us then fuppofe, either that the perfonal knowledge of the Greeks ended with Ceylon, and that all beyond was obtained by inquiry of the natives, and the merchants who came from the Eaft; or elfe, let us affume that fome few Grecks had penetrated farther. In either cafe, we may difcover that the information was defective, both from the language of the geographers, and the conftruction of their maps; flill we can follow their authority with a fufficient degree of confiftency, till we arrive at the Golden Cherfonefe; beyond that, though the reports continued of the Setres and other diftant regions, the fabulous prevailed over the reality. It is not faying too much, if we conclude all the ancients under deception in this refpect, without exception-it is not attributing too much to Marco Polo, when we fay, that he was the firf European who pafled by fea from China to India, and thence to Europe; or at leaft, the firf whofe writings teflify that his account of this voyage,
and this empire, is not founded on report, but perfonal knowledge and experience.

Is it meant then to affert, that the voyage was never performed previous to his time? Certainly not. He lived in the thirteenth century; and almoft four centuries before that period, we know that the Arabs traded regularly from Siraf, in the gulph of Perfia to China; and that the Chinefe came to Malabar, perhaps to Perfia and Arabia. But this Arabian account, though we have it now, reached not Europe previous to Marco Polo; and if' this was true four hundred years before his time, though we were ftill ignorant of it in Europe, it is juft, by anology, to conclude, that the fame voyage was performed as many ages antecedent to the Arabian account, as that is previous to Marco Polo. Cofmas " afferts it in the fixth century; and the whole contributes to eftablifh the general admiffion of the fact by inference, though the proof is defective.

The firft error in this refpect commences at Ceylon, the magnitude of which is irreconcileable with its actual extent; but as Mela afferts, that no one had ever circumnavigated ${ }^{78} \mathrm{it}$, it is natural to

 àvartaćs.
Tzinita, which produces filk, beyond which there is no country, for the ocean encircles it on the eaft. This affertion proves, firlt, the filk organzine of China; fecondly, that China is the fame as the Sêres of Mela and Pliny [primi hominum qui nolcuntur]; and thirdly, that Tzinifta is not the Thina of Ptolemy; for his Thina is encircled by the ocean on the weff. And again,



"Taprobana, or Seliediba, receives from
" the countries farther eaft, particularly from " the Chinefe, filk, thread, aloes, cloves, fan-"dal-wood, and whatfoever elfe is the pro"duce of the country." We learn by this, that the cloves of the Moluceas reached Ceylon through the medium of Chinala; from whence it follows, that the Chinefe traded with the Moluccas in that age on the one hand, and with Ceylon on the other. Cofmas, Montfaucon, p. 337.
This account is in harmony with the account of the modern trade of the Chinefe, by Martini. P. 120. \& feq.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{Nec}$ quifquam circummeaffe traditur. Mela, lib. iii. c. 7.
amplify all that is unknown; and the magnificent terms in which this ifland is always fpoken of in India, might naturally contribute to the deception. Its diftance alfo from the continent ${ }^{79}$ is another crror, which Voffius attempts to reconcile by fuppofing that the meafure is taken from Coulam, or Covalam, to Point du Galle, becaufe Pliny fays, the harbour is on the fouthern fide. Pliny likewife, as well as Mela, fuppofes the circumnavigation mattempted; and defrribes the paflage through the ftraits of Manar with incorrectnefs indeed, but not with lefs difficulty than Barthena paffed them, almoft fifteen hundred years later, when they hill continued the ufual ${ }^{\text {so }}$ paffage for travellers and merchants.

But from Ceylon, notwithitanding the miftike relative to the polition of the coaft, we can procecd with Ptolemy (whon had obtained the native appellations of the country as wondertully here as every where elfe), withont lefitation to the Gugres ; for we: 'ind.

| Paralia Sore-tanum $=$ the Coaft of Coro-mandcl. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Nigama ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | - = Negapatam ? D'Anvillc. |
| Chaberis River | - = the Cavery in Tanjour. |
| Arcati Regia | - = Arcot. |
| Maliarpha | - = Meliapoor, St. Thomè, near Madras. |
| Mefolia ${ }^{\text {az }}$ | $\sim$ - Mafulipatam. |

In the diftict difinguifhed by this name we are to fix the point or cape, where thofe who left the coaft took their departure to crofs the bay of Bengal, and make their paflage to the Golden Cherfonefe. This point, which has no name in Ptolemy, M. d'Anvillc has, with

[^253][^254]great propriety, fixed at Gordaware, a cape near the mourh of the Godavery, and from which it feems to take its name.

The whole of this courfe, from Ceylon to Mefolia, is in correfpondence with the Periplûs, and apparently with the account of the Arabs ${ }^{83}$ in Renaudot. In all three, there is no appearance of a direct paffage by the monfoon from Ceylon to the Golden Cherfonefe; and if Ptolemy's traders paffed from the Godavery at once to the oppofite fhore, it is the boldeft ${ }^{64}$ adventure of the whole.

Concerning the Ganges there is no difference; but the Kirrhádx of the Periplùs are weft of the Ganges, and thofe of Ptolemy to the eaftward. In this there can be little doubt that Ptolemy is the more correct; and unlefs a name deceives me, I find in his Kirrháda the Kadrange of the Arabs, and the Arracan of the moderns.

We are now to enter upon the Great Peninfula, comprehending provinces diftinguithed by the titles of Gold, Silver, Brafs, and the Golden Cherfonefe, off which lies an illand of Barley, with its capital called the Silvor City. The mere affemblage of thefe names is fufficient to prove, that they are fictitious and imagitiary; and received, as they muft have been, by report, they mut be attributed either to the vain glory or caprice of the reporters, and not to the invention of the Greeks. Yet even here, Ptolemy preferves his privilege in recording fome names that cannot be miftaken, and which afford us the means of afcertaining the country we are to enter $\mathrm{on}_{5}$ however deficient we may be in particulars.
${ }^{3}$ The Arabs firft mention the ifland of Najabulus, and then Betuma, or Meliapoor; if, therefore, Najabalns be the Nicobars, they croffed half the bay of Bengal, and retmmed to the coalt of Coromandel. D'Anville fuppofes Nichobar and Najabal equivalent.

How little of the detail of this coaft can be depended on, may be feen by comparing a few of the names with the pofitions alligned them by d'Anville and Goffel!n :

| Ptolemy. | d'anvilele. | gossellin. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sada. | Sedoa. | Rajoo. |
| Berabona. | Barabon. |  |
| Temala. | Cape Negrais. | Botermango. |
| Berobè. | Mergui, | Barabon. |
| Aurea Cherfonefus. | Peninfula of Malacea. | Ava, and Daoma. River of Ava, |
| Magnum Promontorium, | Cape Romania. | Pointe de Bragu. |
| Zaba. | Sincapura. | Bragu. |
| Magnus Sinus. | Gulph of Siam. | Martaban. |
| Sêrus Fluvius. | Menam. River of Siam. | River of Pegu. |
| Sina. | Cochin China, | Siam. Tanaferin |

Now, though I am convinced with Goffellin, that the Great Bay, the River Serus, and the Sinæ, are all weft of the Straits of Malacca, and perfuaded that the Sinæ are in Siam, it is not neceffary to accede to his opinion, that Sinæ ${ }^{25}$ Metropolis is new in refpect to Ptolemy, or that Ptolemy knew nothing of Java; for Iabadioo, according to Greek pronunciation, is ftrictly Java-diu, the Illand of Java. Ptolemy's pofition of this ifland is of no importance; for he has hardly one ifland correctly placed from Africa to Siam, and his ignorance of its extent is no more extraordinary than his augmentation of Ceylon. But the furprize is, that he fhould have obtained the name of Java; and whether we attribute this to the illand now called Java, or to Sumatra, which M. Polo calls Java Minor, the appellation iffelf may well excite our aftonifhment. There is, how-

[^255]ever, only one point in Ptolemy which can caufe any doubt refyecting the pofition of the Sinx in Siam; which is, the mention of Ta-mala and Malai-oo Kôlon; for however the firt may be queftioned, the fecond fo pofitively intimates the country of the Malays or Malacca, that we cannor help attending to the connection. The placing of this likewife in the neighbourhood of the Pirates, which has been the character of the Malays in all ages, contributes to the fame fuppofition. I do not mention thefe circumftances for the purpofe of invalidating M. Goffellin's fyftem, for upon the whole I accede to it; but flill the queftion is not cleared of all its difficulties; and it feems highly probable, that as Marínus had no evidence from any one who had performed the voyage either to the Golden Cherfonefe or Cattígara, that Ptolemy had no information which was confiftent to direct him.

That the voyage itfelf was performed by native merchants may neverthelefs be admitted, not only as it may be collected from the accounts of later ages, but as it is afferted by Cofmas. Defirous as I have been to find an earlier teftimony of this, I have not fucceeded; for though the Periplûs mentions the very large ${ }^{36}$ veffels fitted out on the coalt of Coromandel, the limit of their progrefs was Khrusè, and chort of Ptolemy's Cattigara. The remainder of the courfe to China does not feem to bave reached Europe, even by report ${ }^{87}$.

[^256]His eft varius multiplexque navigantiom ufus, fulcant alii maria velocibus complanatio admodum, ques altiores aquas minime expofennt; alii navigant Liburnicis geminam proran habentibus, geminumque malum abfque tecto; ell et aliud oneraris navis genur çuo enormia onera comportanter, nam ferunt alixe ex men' moratis onerariis navibus cupra mille mercium dolia.

What then is the Colden Cherfouefe? a queftion eafy to refolve generally, but very difficult to aphly in its refift to the different authors who have mentioned it. It is the moll diftant country eaft, ascording to Dionyfius ${ }^{28}$ and the Periplis: it is called an illand by both; an illand of the occan, by the latter, and phaced adjoining to the caftern mouth of the Ganges. According to Mela, it is an ifland at the promontory Tamos. If Tunoss se be the Tamala of Ptolemy, that cape muft be either in Ava or Pern, as we adupt the fytem of d'Anville or Coffellin; and if it mult be an illane ${ }^{\text {Do }}$, we might place it at the mouth of the Avar river, s.ast ates through Pegu to the fea, and forms many iflunds at its diñrent mouths. Here alfo Gofellin fises his Gulden Cherlonef, and the river Chryfoana; but Ptolemy has two provinces-one of gold, and one of filver-before he arrives at the Cherlonefe; and if his Kirrhudia be Arracan, thefe proviaces muft be on the wefleru coaft of Ava, above the Golden Cherfonefe of his arrangement. All this mentiun of gold would furely direct us to fome conclution, from the grencral nature of the country; and it does feem very probable, that both
dolia. Imponnut his valtioribus navigits cymbor, uavefune actarias in urbetn Malacha nomine defereudas, quibus captum profeifeun. tur aronata.

If Bathema had feen the Pesipltis, he could not have emplayed language more conformable to it; for we have here the light velfels, which anfwer, to the fangara aud monoxyla; and others of a thoufand tons, correfponding with the kolandiopbonta of our author : we have the fame trade from Coromandel to M alacca, and the cargo obtained there conlifts of fpices and filk. P. 232,
." Lib. 58 g.

[^257]the wealth and oftentatious difplay of it in Ava, Pegu, and Siam, may well have given rife to the report which attributed fo large a thare of the precious metals to this great peninfula. The glory of Pegu and Siam has furk under the afcendant of Ava; bur in all thefe courts, the exhibition of gold in their temples, public buildings, gallies, habits, and decorations of every kind was, while they exifted, the fummit of Oriental pomp, as it continues in Ava ${ }^{\text {gt }}$ to the prefent time; and if we fhould chufe to carry the Silver Metropolis of Iabadioo ${ }^{92}$ to Sumatra, the fplendour of Acheen, in its better days, would bear its proportion to the gold of Ava.

In this view it is natural to accede to the pofition of the Golden Cherfonefe by Goffellin ; and if this be granted, his Sinæ and Cattígara in Siam follow of courfe. Some difficulties in the way of this conclufion have been already noticed, and a greater is, that Ptolemy fhould be ignorant of the voyage to the Sêres; but doubtlefs he went as far as he was authorized by the information he had obtained. I feel a regret in acknowledging this, becaufe I fhould rather have received the confirmation of this great geographer on the fubject, than build it on inference or analogy. The evidence of Cofmas is all that remains, to prove that there was a communication by fea between India and China; and this is the point material to infilt on, becaufe the intercourfe through Tartary, on the north, is indifputable; and if both thefe means of approach be eftablifhed, the country of the Sêres muft be China; for thefe circumfances cannot be appropriate to any ocher country at the extremity of the Eaf:.

[^258]XI. LONGITUDTS AND LATTTUDES OF PTOLMMY, HOWEVER IN ExCESS, STILL THE CAUSE OF MOHARN DOCOVERY; NAVIGAIION TOWARDS THE WESL FROM SPAIN-ROGER BACON, COLUMBUS, MAP OF PTOLEMY; EULOGY OF PFOLEMY.

In the whole of the attempt is which I have been engaged, from the voyage of Nearchus to the clofe of the prefent work, it has been my endeavour to trace the progrefs of difcovery, as carried on by the Greeks and Romans, from the time of Alexander to the reign of Juftinian ${ }^{93}$; and the only object of confideration remaining is, the extent of their knowledge in Longitude and Latitude. The excefs of longitude in Ptolemy is the fubject of univerfal complaint ; but this excefs arifes, in the firf inftance, from his affumption of five hundred ftadia for a degree of a great circle; and fecondly, from the vague method of calculating diftances, by the cftimate of travellers and merchants, and the number of days employed in their journies by land, or voyages by fea. Refpecting this laft fource of error, Ptolemy was upon his guard; for he repeatedly corrects the exceis refulting from the calculation of days by Marínus, and reduces it fometimes a third, and fometimes an half, or even more. After all, however, we have an hundred and cighty degrees from the Fortunate Iflands to Cattigara, upon a fpace that in reality occupies lefs than an hundred and twenty. So that the ancients, inftead of knowing one-half of the globe which we inhabit, in fact knew only one third: ftill they knew that the earth was a globe; and one caufe of their error, among others, perhaps was, that they had a defire to cover as much of it as they could.

[^259]The error in latitude ${ }^{54}$, on the contrary, was fo fmall, that in a view of this kind it is not worth regarding; for if we take it from the parallel through Thule to the parallel through the Cinnamon Country, at eighty degrees, the difference from the truth is not more than fix or feven degrees upon the whole, and with this we have little concern.

But upon the excefs of longitude depended, ultimately perhaps, the grand problem of circumnavigating the globe, and the origin of modern difcovery; for as Strabo ${ }^{\circ 5}$ had faid, that nothing obftructed the paflage from Spain to India by a wefterly courie, but the immenfity of the Atlantic Ocean; and as all the early navigators of Portugal had fome acquaintance with Ptolemy, fo from the firf moment that the idea arofe that a paffage to India, or a circumnavigation, was poffible, the account of Ptolemy leffened the difficulty by fixty degrees. When Columbus, therefore, launched inta

[^260]phenomenn of the heavens, and the form of the earth, to the mathematicians, fill thought the earth a fphere, and defcribes our fyftem agreeable to that which was afterwards adopted by Ptoleny; but he adds the idea of gra-



 Lib, ii. 110.-" The earth and the heaven ${ }^{4}$ are both fpherical; but the tendency is to " the centre of gravity. The heaven is car" ried round itfelf, and round its axis from "calt to welt."-1 barely fuggielt the extent. of ancient knowledge on thefe queltions; thefe who win to gratify, their curtiolity may confuit Stobæns, tom. ii. c, 25. td. Hecren, Gotting. 1792, 1797; and Diogenes Laertius in Anaximander, Fythagome, and Zono, lib. vii. fiect: 355.
the Atlantic Ocean, he calculated upon fixty degrees lefs than the real diftance from Spain to India ${ }^{\circ 6}$-a face equal to threc-fourths of the Pacific Ocean; and when his courfe to India was ftopped by the intervention of America, however his companions had been driven to defpair by the length of the voyage, Columbus certainly met with land before he expected it, or at leaft before any eftimate of his longitude could authorize the expectation.

The prevalent opinion, in the middle centuries, of a paffage from Spain to India, is preferved in Roger Bacon; and his opinion is more worthy of regard, becaufe his fyftem is neareft ${ }^{97}$ to the actual profecution of the attempt. He then informs us, that according to Ariftotie there was but a fmall face of fea between the weftern coalt of Spain and the eaftern coaft of India; and that Seneca mentions that this fea may be paffed in a few days, with a favourable wind. Ariftotle ${ }^{s \prime}$, he adds, had his knowledge of the Eaft from Alexander; and Seneca, his knowledge of the South from Nero, who fent his centurions into Ethiopia, He might alfo have introduced the celebrated prophecy of Seneca the poet:

Venient amis fecula feris,
Quibus oceanus vincula retum
Laxet, et ingens pateat tellus,
'Tethyique novos detegat orbes, Nec fit terris ultima Thule.

Medea, 1. 375.
A time will come, in ages now remote,
When the vaft barrier by the ocean form'd
May yield a paffige; when newicontinents,
And other worlds, beyond the fea's expanfe,
May be explor'd; when Thule's ditant fhores
May not be deem'd the laft abode of man.

[^261]The Nuremberg Globe, as it is called, now publifhed in Pigafetta's Voyage, favours the fame opinion; in which the fartheft ille to the weft is named Antille, the exiftence of which was dubious, and yet the fuppofition of it was fufficient to make Columbus think he had reached the Antilles when he difcovered the firf ifland in America. This difcovery is the more extraordinary, as it was the effect of defign, and not accident ${ }^{29}$; when accident would have produced the Same effect not ten years later; for it was accident alone that carried Cabral to Brazil in 1500 ; and the arrival at Brazil would as infalli-, bly have been profecuted to the exploration of the whole contineat, as the atchievement of Columbus.

But there is a circumftance fill more fingular, which attaches to this idea of a paffage from Spain to India; for I have in my poffeffion, by favour of Lord Macartney, a copy of the map in the Doge's palace at Venice, drawn up for the elueidation of Marco Polo's travels, or at leaft certainly conftructed before the difcovery of America; for in this map there is nothing between the eaftern coaft of China and the weftern coaft of Spain but fea; and though the longitude is not marked on it, we may form an eftimate by comparing this fpace with others in the fame fheet, which are known. Now this fpace meafured by the compaffes gives, as nearly as may be, the fame diftance from China to Spain, as from Ceylon to Malacea; that is, ten degrees, inftead of an hundred and fifty; or lefs than feven hundred miles, inftead of upwards of ten thoufand. I cannot affert that this is the genuine production of M. Polo ${ }^{100}$ : it

taken from an older one drawn up for that purpofe, full of matter of a later date:
For, firft, it carries him from China to Bengal by land, whercas les went by fea.
has additions which belong not to his agc, and contains much that he did not know; but it is evidently compofed and adapted to his travels, and as evidently, more ancient than the difcovery of America. We have in it, thercfore, a guide to form our opinion of the geographers of that age, and the notions they had conceived of the unknown parts of the world; we have likewife the origin of thofe conclufions which led Columbus to attempt a wefterly paffage to Iadia; in effecting this, he was only difappointed by finding a continent in his way, which has caufed a revolution in the commerce of the whole world, and which may ftill caufe other revolutions, incalculable in their effect, magnitude, and importance.

But if it is fruitcefs to look forward to future revolutions; wemay at leaft reverfe our attention, and direct it to thofe great mafters in the fcience, who firft taught mankind to meafure the furface of the earth by a reference to the phenomena of the heavens-to Era-tófthenes-to Hipparchus; and, above all, to Ptolemy, who firft eftabliined this fyftem on a bafis fo firm, that as long as there fhall be travellers and navigators in the world, it can never be fhaken. The fcience, however advanced, is ftill only in a ftate of progreffion: it is fill conducted upon his principles, and is in reality nothing. more than a correction of his errors. Thofe errors were unavoidable, if we confider the difficulty of all firlt attempts, and the

[^262]filtency fhould accord with that part of the map which gives no continent between China and Spain, is totally inexplicable ; but that, we cannot be miftaken in calling it Spain, is felf evident; for we have the river [Guadi] Quivir, Corunna, and the.Siérra Nevada, or Snowy Mountain of Aodalutia, all in theis proper places.
lender means of information in that age, compared with the advantages we poffefs at the prefent hour. But even bis failures have conduced to the attainment of truth; and whatever reflections we may now caft on an excefs of fixty degrees upon the meafurement of an hundred and twenty, we muft acknowledge, with d'Anville, that this, which was the greateft of his errors, proved eventually ${ }^{\text {oos }}$ the efficient caufe which led to the greateft difcovery of the moderns.
${ }^{\text {rot }}$ La plus grande des erreurs dans la géo- grand des decouverts. Antiq. de l'Inde, graphie de Ptoléméc, a conduit à la plus R. 188.

# bISSERTATION II. 

on

$$
E Z E K X E L, \quad \text { c. XXVII. }
$$

T HE produce of India or Arabia, mentioned in the Scriptures, confifts of
Cinnamon *
Kafta.
Sweet Calamus, Calamus aromaticus? or, Calamus odoratus? Stactè, or Gum.
O'nycha, or Skekelcth, a black odoriferous fhell ${ }^{2}$.
Gálbanum, a gum or refin.
Aloes.
Myrrh.
Frankincenfe.
Of thefe, cinnamon and kafia are the only articles which can be attributed fpecifically to India; and thefe, with all the others, were brought originally through Arabia into Egypt, Judêa, Hhênicia, and Syria; and from thefe countries diftributed round all the coafts of the Mediterranean. How cinnamon and kafia might have reached Arabia, by croffing no more fea than the breadth of the Perfian
${ }^{5}$ See Exodus, xxx. 23. et feq. Pfolm slv. : Parkhurft in voce,
8. Ezek, xxvii, 19.

Gulph; or how they might have been conveyed to the coaft of Africa, the reputed Cinnamon Country of the ancients, has already been fuffciently detailed; it remains now to be fhewn, that Tyre polfeffed the principal chare of this trade, from the carlieft mention of that city in hiftory, till its deftruction by Alexander, and the foundation of Alexandria.

Tyre, in fact, enjoyed this commerce almot exclufively, except during the reign of Solomon, when Hiram found it his intereft to unite with that monarch, who was fovereign of Idumêa, in order to fecure a port for his fleets in the Red Sea; and the certain means of conveying the imports and exports over-land, from the Red Sea to the Mediterranean. Solomon ${ }^{3}$ however, though he exacted a tax upon fices, and contributions from the kings and governors of Arabia, and fhared in the profits of the trade, ftill had no flects on the Mediterranean-no commerce on that fea. This circumftance gave Tyre a monopoly in regard to the whole communication with the Weftern World ; for though Egypt and Syria might receive the fame articles from the Eaft, we read of no fleets or commerce from thefe countries towards the Weft, in the hands of their refpective inhabitants.

The immenfe profits of this monopoly admit of calculation; if we dare truft to the Hebrew numbers in feripture; but Dr. Kennicott has fhewn, that in fome inflances the amount expreffed by thefe has doubtlefs been exaggerated; and if the numeration by letters was ufed in the original tranferipts of the facred writings, it is well known that numerary letters are more fubject to error, corruption, or exaggerations in the manufcripts of all languages, than any other part of their text. *

$$
{ }^{2}{ }_{1} \text { Kings, x. } 15
$$

But let us fuppofe that the advantages of Hiram were equal to thofe of Solomon, which is not unreafonable if we confider, that though Solomon enjoyed the profits of the tranfit, Hirain had the whole emolument of the commerce with the Weft. Luet us then obferve that the revenue of Solomon is ftated at fix hundred and fixty-fix talents of gold, which, according to Arbuthnot, amount to three millions fix hundred and forty-fix thoufand three hundred and fifty pounds fterling-an extravagant fum at firf fight! but not impoffible, if we compare it with the revenues of Egypt, which, after the building of Alexandria, enjoyed the fame commerce, and the fame monopoly. Even at the pretent day, when the grand fource of Egyptian wealth is obftructed by the difcovery of the Cape of Good Hope, Sir Home Popham eftimates the revenuc at twenty ${ }^{4}$ millions of dollars ${ }^{\text {s }}$, equal to between four and five millions linglifh; and when the fame revenue, under the Roman government, may be eftimated at three millions, which, allowing for the different ratio of feccie, may be taken at four times that amount. Let us reflect on thefe extraordinary fums, before we conclude upon the impolibility of the fame cominerce, and the fame monopoly, producing a revenue of three millions and an half to Solomon, upon the import and tranfit; and the fame fum to Hiram, upon the export. I dare not affert thefe to be facts, becauti I think, with Dr. Kennicotr, that numerary letters are liable to error; but the revenue of Solomon is twice ${ }^{6}$ fated at the fame fum; and the contemplation

[^263]- 1 King 4, x. 14. 2 Climn. ix. 13 . The grest amonnt of this revonue is fill further mertafed by the declaration, that the 606 talents of gold were exclutive of the taxes upon the merchants. Verie 14 .
of the revenue of Egypt in the fame fituation; and under fimilar circumftances, admits of imputing an immenfe emolument to this commerce, wherever it became a monopoly.

I have been led into this difcuffion, upon which every one mult form his own judgment, by the fpecific detail of the Tyrian commerce, in the twenty-feventh chapter of Ezekiel, which, if we confider it only as hiftorical, without any reference to the divine authority of the Prophet, is not only the moft early, but the moft aulthentic record extant, relative to the commerce of the ancients; as fuch, it forms a part of the plan which I have undertaken to execute. In this view I fubnit it to the reader; and though I pretend; not to any power of throwing new light on the fubject, and defpair of removing thofe difficulties which furpaffed even the learning of a Bochart fully to elucidate, ftill there will not remain any general obfcurity which will prevent us from forming a right judgment upon the whole.

Tyre ${ }^{7}$ was one of thofe ftates which had rejoiced at the deftruction of Jerufalem, and the captivity of Judah; it became therefore an object of prophecy to declare, that fhe alfo was to fall by the hands of the fame conqueror, who had fubverted the throne of David; but fo much more abundant were the means, or fo much ftouter was the defence of this commercial city, that the army of Nebuchadnezzar lay before it thirteen years; and it was not taken till the fifteenth after the captivity, in the year $573^{\circ}$ before Chrift; and when taken, it was fo exhaufted by the fiege, or fo deferted by the inhabitants, that the conqueror found nothing to reward him for his labours. Prideaux fuppofes this city to be the Old Tyre on the conti-

[^264]${ }^{0}$ Prideaux, vol. i. p. 72.
nent; and that the inhabitants took refuge on the ifland, where the new city flourifhed again with almoft the fame vigour as its parent, till it was deftroyed by Alexander in the year 332 A. C. two hundred and forty-one years after the reduction of it by the Babylom nians. But, by the language of Ezekiel, it feems as if the city was upon the ifland in 573 ; for (in chapter xxvii. 3.) he fays, Thou that art at the entry ${ }^{\circ}$ of the fea; and in the following verfe, and in c. xxviii. the expreffion is, "I fit in the midfl of the feas," or, as it is in the original, " in the beart of the feas." The queftion is not of great importance; but as it rofe again after its firft reduction, by means of its fituation, and the operation of the fame caufes, thofe caufes ceafed after its fecond fall, by the removal of Oriental commerce to Alexandria ; and from that period it gradually declined, till it has become a village under the defolating government of the Turks; where Maundrel informs us, that he faw the prophecy of Ezekiel literally fulfiled ${ }^{10}$; for when he was there, the filhermen were " drying their nets upon the rocks."
$$
\text { E } Z E K I E L, \quad \text { c. xxvi. }
$$

In undertaking the elucidation of this chapter, perpicuity is the only object in view ; omitting, therefore, all confideration of the lublimity of prophecy, or the majefty of language, I propofe, firf, to illaftrate the commerce of Tyre in its various branches, by redu-


[^265]cing the Hebrew appellations to the ftandard of modern geography; and, fecesadly, to give a commentary on the whole, deduced from the bef writers on the fubject. By this method, due regard will be had te the convenience of one clafs of readers, and the curiofity of ariother ; and although I pretend not to affign every ancient name, with precifion, to its modern reprefentative, fill there will remain fuch a degree of certainty upon the whole as to gratify all that have a pleafure in refearches of this nature.

Let us then, in conformity to the opening of the prophecy, confider Tyre as a city of great fplendour, magnificently built, and inhabited by merchants whofe wealth rivalled the opulence of kingswho traded to the Eaft by the intervention of Arabia, and to the Weft by means of the Mediterranean; let us add to this, that in ages prior to the celebrity of Greece and Rome, their fleets had braved the dangers of the ocean, and their people were the only mariners who were not limited within the circle of the Mediterranean ; that they penetrated eaftward through the Straits of Death ", which were the termination of the Red Sea, and weftward beyond the Pillars of Hercules, which were the boundaries of all knowledge to every nation but their own; that they advanced northward to the Britinh Mes, and fouthward to the coaft of Africa on the Atlantic Ocean ${ }^{\prime 2}$. Let us contemplate thefe enterprizes as completed by the efforts of a fingle city, which poffibly did not poffers a territory of twenty miles in circumference; which fuftained a fiege of

[^266]thirteen years againft all the power of Babylon, and another, of eight months, againft Alexander, in the full career of his vietorics; and then judge, whether a commerial fpirit debatis the nature of man, or unfits it for the exertion of determined valour; or whether any fingle city, recorded in hiftory, is worthy to be compared with 'Tyre:

After this general view of the fplendour of the city, we may proceed to the particulars fpecified in the Prophecy. Firf, therefore, Tyre procured,

## Verfe

5. From Hermon, and the mountains in its neighbourhoodFir for planking.
From Libanus-Ccdars, for mafts.
6. From Bafhan, caft of the fea of Calilec-Oaks, for oars.

From Greece, or the Grecian Illes-Ivory, to adorn the beaches or thwarts of the gallies.
7. From Egypt-Linen, ornamented with different colours, for fails, or flags and entigns.
From Peloponnefus-Blue and purple cloths, for awnings.
8. From Sidon and Aradus - Mariners ; but Tyre itfelf furnifhed pilots and commanders.
9. From Gebal, or Biblos, on the coaft between 'Tripolis and Berýtus-Caulkers.
10. From Perfia and Africa-Mercenary troops.
II. From Aradus-The troops that garrifoned Tyre with the - Gammadims.
12. From Tarfhifh, or by diftant voyages towards the Wert, and towards the Eaft-Great wealth. Iron, tin, lead, and filver. Tin implies Britain, or Spain; or at leaft a voyage beyond the Straits of Hercules.

## Verfe"

13. From Greece, and from the countries bordering on PontusSlaves, and brafs ware.
14. From Armenia-Horfes, horfemen, and mules.
15. From the Gulph of Perfia, and the ifles in that gulph-Horns [tulks] of ivory, and ebony. And the export to thefe illes was the manufacture of Tyre.
16. Trom Syria-Emeralds, purple, broidered work, fine linen, coral, and agate. The exports to Syria were the manufactures of Tyre, in great quantitics.
${ }^{17}$. From Judah and Ifrael-The fineft wheat, honey; oil, and balfam.
17. From Damafcus-Wine of Chalybon (the country bordering on the modern Aleppo), and wool in the fleece. The exports to Damafcus were, coftly and various manufactures,
18. From the tribe of $\mathrm{Dan}^{13}$, fituated neareft to the PhiliftinesThe produce of Arabia, bright or wrought iron, cafia or cinnamon, and the calamus aromaticus. In conduating the tranfport of thefe articles, Dan went to and fro, that is, formed or conducted the caravans. By one interpretation they are faid to come from Uzal ; and Uzal is judged. to be Sana, the capital" of Yemen, or Arabia Felis.
19. From the Gulph of Perfia-Rich cloth, for the decoration of chariots or horfemen.
20. From Arabia Pètrên and Hedjaz-Lambs, and rams, and goats.
${ }^{3}$ Dun and Javan may in this pafage both be $\Lambda$ rabian ; but if Dan be a tribe of Ifrael, its fituation is between the Philittines and Ioppa; and the people of that tribe would hie-
moll convenicat for the caravans between Pcter and Joppa. Frem Joppa the merclizandiop would be conveyed to TYyre by fea, as it was at a later period from Rhinocelira.

Verfe
22. From Sabia and Oman-The beft of fpiees from India, gold, and precious flones.
23. From Mefopotamia, from Carrhe, and Babylonia, the Affyrians brought all forts of exquifite things, that is fine manufaclure, blue eloth and broidered work, or fabricks of various colours, in chelts of cedar, bound with cords, containing rich apparel. If thefe articles are obtained farther from the Eaft, may they not be the fabricks of India, frit brought to Aflyria by the Gulph of Perfia? or caravans from Karmania*and the Indus, and then conveyed by the Affyrians in other caravans to Tyre and Syria? In this view the care of package, the chefts of ceclar, and the cording of the chefts, are all correfpondent to the nature of fuch a tranfport.
25. From Tarfhifh the fhips came that rejoiced in the market of Tyre, they repleniffed the city, and made it glorious in the midft of the fea; and if we could now fatisfy ourfelves, with Goffellin, that Tarfhifh means only the fea in general, thefe fhips might be either thofe which traded in the Mcditerranean, or thofe which came up the Red Sea to Elath, or Leuke Kome, or any other port of Arabia. I am ra~ ther inclined to the latter, becanfe, from the nineteenth to the twenty-fourth verfe, every particular relates to the Eaft, and apparently to the produce of India; but if we are to underftand, literally, the joy of the fhips in the harbour of Tyre, they mult be thole of the Mediterrancan; and this fuppofition accords beft with, We Tarfhifh noticed in the twelfth verfe, which by the mention of filver, lead and $t i n$, evidently alludes to Spain, and perhaps to the Britifh lifes.

Such is the hiftorical part of this fingular chapter relative to the commerce of Tyre, and illuftrative of all ancient commerce whatfoever. It is uttered, however, in an age when the Tyrian fleets no longer failed from Eziongeber, and when the commodities of the Eaft were received by caravans from Arabia Petrêa, Sabêa, and Mefopotamia. Froin the time that Judah was feparated from Ifrael, there does not appear to have remained vigour fufficient in either, to have maintained fuch a power over Idumêa, as to have fecured a communication with the Elanitic Gulph, for the only attempt to recover this influence was made by the united efforts of both kingdoms, and a treaty between their two kings; Jehofaphat and Ahaziah; but the attemptwas fuperior to their united force, and their fhips were broken in Eziongeber. From this period ${ }^{\text {44 }}$, and probably from the termination of Solomon's reign, the Tyrians had no fhips on the Red Sea, and fupported their communication with it by land only; their track varying as the power of the neighbouring countries flnctuated. This point it is not neceffary to infift on, but in an age pofterior to the prophecy, and long after the fecond capture of the city by Alexander, we find that a line of intercourfe was open between Rhinocolûra's and Petra. It is not, however, the object of the prefent inquiry to go lower than the fecond fiege; but barely to mention, that even under the Roman Empire a fpirit of

[^267]tween Egyypt and Paleftine-the El Arih, fo minch noticed during the continuance of the French in Egypt. The diltance may be compared with that between Elans and Gaza (p. 750.), which is fated at 1260 ftadia, or 160 milts. Rhino colatra is a Greek term, derived from the practice of cutting off the nofes of the malefactors fent to garrifon this frontier of Egypt.
commerce fubfifted ftill in Phonicia, ansl that Berytus and Tyre ${ }^{26}$ were celcbrated for their manufictures of filk, glafs and falt, however obfeured by the fplendour of Alexandria, and the eftablifhment of that city as the centre of Oriental commeree under the power of the Romans.

Over fuch a feat of mercantile power, opulence, and magnificence, at the period when it was ready to be overwhelmed by the invafion of the Babylonians, we may be allowed to breathe the figh of commiferation, however we refign ourfelves to the juftice of Providence in its deftruction; idolatry, pride, luxury, and intemperance, we learn from the following chapter, were the caufe of its punifhment, and the infrument commiflioned to intliat it, was an oppreffor equally idolatrous and proud.

It remains only to fubjoin the authoritics, on which, known appellations have been fubftituted for Hebrew terms; on this head, if complete fatisfaction is not attainable, we may, at lcaft, hope for fome indulgence, and much deference to the names of Bochart, Michaelis, Houbigant, and Archbifhop Newcombe, the learned tranlator of the Prophet; and if I fometimes interpofe a fuggeftion of my own, let it be cosfidered as a conjecture, and fubject to the corrections of thofe who are better qualified as judges of Hebrew literature than myfelf.

[^268]
## C OMMENTARY

on
EZEKIEL, c. XXVII,

The four firft verfes reprefent to us the fituation of Tyre: it is placed at the entering. ${ }^{17}$ in of the fea-in the midft of the feas-in the heart of the feas; expreflions which-feem to intimate that the city wason an illand ${ }^{18}$, but the general opinion of the commentators places it on the main, and call it Palæ Tyrus, or Old Tyre, in contradiftinction to the new city, which rofe on the ifland out of the remnant of the inhabitants that fled from the king of Babylon. Its fplendour ${ }^{19}$ is defrribed as perfected in beauty.
V. 5. Senir furnifhed fir for fhip boards (planking); and Lebanon, cedar for mafts.
[Sanir, vulgate, feptuagint.
Firs, rendered cedars, fept. but firs, vulg. Chald. Newcombe.
Cedars, rendered cyprefs, fept.
Cedars, Ms, arez. Michaelis fays, the prefent inhabitants of Lebanon ufe the for a tree that anfwers to the cedar. See Parkhurft in voce im , and in
Senir is part of Hermon (Deut. iii. 9.) "Hermon the Sidonians " call Sirion, and the Amorites call it Shenir." (r Chron. v. 23.) "Manafleh encreafed from Bafhan to Baal Hermon, and Senir, and " Mount Hermon. Newcombe."-Hermon is a branch of Antili-

[^269] Is Pale-Tyrus on a rock ?
${ }^{19}$ See Bochart, Phaleg. 303, where its ori-
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banus, from which the fprings of Jordan ifine; and thus very properly joined or contrafted with Lebanon. Lebanon figuifies white, and fnow lies upon Lebanon in fummer.
V. 6. Bafhan produces oaks, for oars. Bafhan is the Batanĉa of the Greeks, eaft of the fea of Galilee, poffeffed by the half-tribe of Manaffeh. "We do not readily fee why cedars fhould be ". adapted to mafts, or oaks ufed for oars. Cedar, however, is " light; but oaks have neither elafticity or levity, but ftrength " only. Houbigant alone renders it alders, for this reafon." Abp. Newcombe.

בת Bath-Afhurim, rendered Ahurites in our Englifh Bibles; but in the margin, Chaldee and Parkhurft, box tree; as if from בת Bathafhurim, in one word. The whole fentence would then fland thus, as Archbp. Newcombe renders it: " Thy benches have they made of ivory, inlaid in box, " from the inles of Cbittim." The Chaldee fcems to refer thefe to the ornament of houfes, \&cc.; but the vulgate has, exprefily, tranftra, or the thwarts of gallies; and our Englifh Bible, batches in the margin. Chittim is applied to Cyprus by Jofephus-to Macedonia, in the firft book of Maccabces; but to Italy and the iflands round it, particularly Corfica, by Bochart. Lowth on Ifaiah xxiii. confiders Chittim as comprehending all the ifles and coalts of the Mediterranean; and Jerome, as the iflands of the Ionian and Egển Sea. The latter appear to correfpond beft with the importation of box wood from Cytôrus in the Euxine, the place moft celebrated, poetically, for that production; and the box wood of Pontus and Afia Minor is imported at this day into the Port of London, from Spmyrna. The Chaldee renders it Apulia, and the vulgate, Italy.

## PERIPLUS OF THE ERYTHREAN SEA.

V. 7. Fine linen of various colours, from Egypt, was ufed as a fail or rather, as a flag for enfigns. (Vulgate, Chaldec, Newcombe.) Scarlet and purple, from the Ifles of Elifla, fer a covering or awning to the gallies. Scarlet is rendered by Hyacinthus in the rulgate and Chaldee, that is, the colour of the Amethyt ; and the Ifles of Elifha are Elis, Hellas, or Peloponnefus. The purple of Laconia was the fineft dye next to the Tyrian ; and the purple cloth of that province was poffibly employed, becaufe it was cheaper than that of Tyre, which was referved for the ufe of kings. Elifha is one of the fons of Javan (Gen. x. 4.) ; and as Javan is the general title for the Greck nation, Elifha may juftly be taken for a part. (Bochart, Phaleg. 155.)
V. 8. Zidon and Arádus furnifhed mariners, but the pilots or commanders were Tyrians: "Thy wife men, O Tyrus, that were " in thee, were thy pilots." Zidon is too well known as the parent of Tyre, to require further notice; but Arádus is on an ifland like Tyre, at the mouth of the Eleutherus, to the north of Tripolis, and much celebrated for its commerce by the ancients. In the modern title of Ruad, it preferves a nearer refemblance to the Hebrew Aruad, than to the Greek Arádus. Bochart (Phaleg. 305.) gives a large account of this place from Strabo, lib. xii. 753. confifting of many interefting particulars.
V. 9. The ancient inhabitants of Gebal were caulkers in the harbour of Tyre: they were mariners likewife, bringing merchandize to that city (Chaldee), or failing in the Tyrian flaips to the weftward of the Weft ; éni $\delta u \sigma \mu \dot{\alpha} s . \delta v \sigma \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu$ (in occidentem occidentis, feptuag.); to the extremity of the Weft. Perhaps we find a rudiment of this reading in the Hebrew; for Archbp. Newcombe ub-
ferves, that five manuforipts read aimy layarobh, the evening, or weff, which the fept. followed, probably inftad of לָער layabhar, beyond. If this could be admitted, the extremity of the Weft would at leaft be Spain, and might be Britain. Gebal, according to Bochart, is Biblos; and Gcbail is the name of that phace at this day, according to the pofition of d'Anville in his Map of Phoenicia. Laodicææ propinqua funt oppidula Pofidium, Heraclium, Gabala deinde Aradiorum maritima regio. (Bochart, 305 . from Strabo, lib. xii. 753.)
V. 1o. Perfia, Lud and Phut, furnithed foldiers for the armies of Tyre.
V. II. The Aradians and Gammadim formed the garrifon of the city.

Perfia and Arádus are felf-evident. Lud and lhut are rendered Lydians, and Libyans or Africans. (Vulg. fept. and Chaldee.) But Bochart and Michaelis think Lud an Egyptian colony, from Gen. x. 13. where Ludim is the fon of Mifraim; and Mifraim, the fon of Ham, is Egypt. Bochart, however, confiders Lud as hoth Lydia and Africa; but joined with Phut, as it is in this palfage, it is more applicable to the latter, for Phut is the brother of Mifraim. (Phaleg. 294.)

In this circumftance we find, therefore, that Tyre, like its colony Carthage, employed mercenary troops while the natives were wholly. addicted to commerce. Garomadim is rendered Cappadocians. (Chaldee.) Medes. (fept.) Pigmees. (vulgat.) (from גמל Gamal, fefquipedales,) and Phoenicians by Newcombe, but he adds Gamarim or Gomerim is in 8 MSS. and Gomer according to Bochart is Phrygia; (p. 172.) the true meaning feems irrecoverable. Still we
may fee that the Perfian and African ${ }^{20}$ mercenaries were for foreign fervice ; and the Aradians as joined in the fame commercial intereft were entrufted with the defence of the city.
V. 12. Tarfhifh was a merchant in the multitude of all kind of riches, and traded in filver, iron, tin and lead; the mention of tin naturally fuggefs the idea of Britain, and that the Tyrians did come to Britain, is afferted by the general teftimony of the ancients; but what Tarfhig is, remains to be determined after all that has been writen by every author that has touched upon the fubject. Bochart has no doubt of its being Tarteffus ${ }^{25}$ in Spain, near the Straits of Gibraltar, and the articles of filver and lead might doubtlefs be procured in that country; but whether tin could be collected there as a general cargo is highly dubious; for though Diodorus mentions that tin was found in Spain, the bulk of that metal was only obtainable in Britain; and as it is univerfally confeffed that the Tyrians vilited Britain, they might rather have gone thither to purchafe it at firft hand, than buy it in Spain, where it mult have been enhanced by the expence of importation, and the profit of intermediate merchants. Be this however as it may, it is evident by the articles. mentioned, that this was a weftern voyage, and fo far whether to Spain or Britain is immaterial, for the great diffivilty is, that Tarihifh in fcripture as clearly applies to an eaftern voyage down the Red Sea, as to a weftern one towards Spain; this appears in the

[^270]Mofes, before Tateflius could be in exitilence. He thinks Tarhaih fignuties gold, or a fone the colour of gold, the chryfolite or topaz; and that the voyage of Tarflifh had a reference to this, as gold and precious flones were the produce of it. Sec Introd. al'Ecriture, cap. iv. p. 425 .
voyage mentioned in the firft of Kings (x. 22.) "Solomon had at "fea a navy of Tarfhilh with the navy of Lifam." 'This was in the Red Sea, and brought a very different cango-gohd, filver, ivory, apes and peacocks, (2 Chron. in. 2r.) and (again sx. $3^{66}$ ) Jehofaphat joined with Ahaziah to make flups to go to Tarthilh, and they made fhips in Eziongeber; fo likewife, (I Kings, xxii. 48.) Jehofhaphat made thips of Tarhilh to go to Ophir for gold; and as the whole of this, by the mention of Eziongeber, directs us eaft to the Red Sea; fo does the flight of Jonah as evidently direck us to a voyage weft, on the Mediterranean, for the Prophet takes thipping at Joppa in order to flee to Tarfhin.

For the purpofe of reconcling theie two oppofite ideas, M. Goffellin fuppofes, that Tarfhilh means the fea in gencral, and he likewife fuppofes two voyages eaftward, one to Ophir in Hadramaut, and another to Tarfhim, which he flates as no diftinct place; but that the expreflion intimates a coafting voyage down the African fide of the Red Sea, in which they touched at feveral different ports, and were delayed by the change of the monfoon. The former part of this hypothefis, that Tarhifh fignilies the feas in general, I will to adopt; and there is little to contradict this opinion, except the verfe itfilf now under confideration; but in regard to two eaftern. ${ }^{22}$ voyages, one to Ophir, and another down the weftern fide of the Red Sea at large, I have great doubts; I thall, therefore, firft collect the fuffrages of the interpreters, and then compare the principal texts of fripture concerned; after this, if the difficulty is

[^271]fill incapable of folution, no blame will attach to a failure which is common to fo many writers of erudition and difcernment.

Tarhith is rendered Carthage in the vulgate; but the objection to this, is, that though tin and lead might be purchafed in Carthage; as platina and tutaneg may be obtained in London, yet this is not enough ; the whole chapter fpecifies the diftinct produce of the feveral countries, and not the places where the produce might accidentally be found.

The feptuagint render it Cbalcedon ${ }^{23}$, which is a city on the Bofphorus; but this feems to have arifen from a reference to the firft fenfe of Tarhhin, which is a precious ftone, (Parkhurft fays the topaz) but, however, it may be doubtful whether a Chalcedony (which is an agate) or whether a topaz is meant by the feptuagint. The rendering of Tarfhifh by Chalcedon is evidently an allufion to the name of a precious ftone.

The Chaldee Paraphrafe fays exprefsly מע ימֵ Min yama; de mari adducebant mercimonia, which is in conformity with Goffellin's opinion.

The Englifh Bible and Newcombe's tranflation preferve the Tarihih of the original.

Let us next obferve the ufage of this term in fcripture. It occuts firft in Gen. x. 4. where the fons of Javan are Elifhah, Tarhifh, Kittim and Dodanim. Now Javan is the general name for Iồnes ${ }^{24}$ or Greeks, and his defcendants ought to be the divifion of that nation, as the fons of Mifraim, (Gen. x. 13.) are the ditinctions of the tribes of Africa. In conformity to this, Elifhah has been rendered Elis, Hellas, or Peloponnefus; Kittim the Greek Ifles, or per--
 changed.
haps Macedonit; and Dotomim Bodona, or the weftern fide of Greece towards the I-ladriatic. What then would be Tarihifh? Bochart and others are not content with this; he fuppoles Kitiut to be Italy, and Rodanim " for Dodanim, to be Gaul about the Rhodanus or Rhone, and Tarlhith to be Spain; that is Tarteflus. Parkhurf likewife admits Tareflus, and Michaclis imagines, that the flect fitted out at Exiongeber, circumnavigated the continent of Africa to reach Tarteflus by the Indian and Allantic Ocean, This folution he affumes, becaufe the voyage was of three ycars continuance, and becaufe Solomon had no ports on the Mediterrancan. The Jatter reafon cannot be admitted while Solomon and Miram had a joint concern; for during that union, the fleet might have failed from Tyre. But the three years allowed for the voyage are not fufficient, if calculated by the voyage of the Phanicians fent by Neco, which is probably the ground of Michaclis's eftimate; for they were three years in reaching the Mediterranean; and confequently the voyage round Africa to Tartelfus, and back again, would require not threc, but fix years for its completion.

Upon a view of thefe difficulties, if we fhould return to Javan, and wifh to eftablifh all his family in Greece, we ought to find a fituation for Xhathifh in that country; and if this cannot be done, it mutt be confeffed that the pofition of Tarlhifh cannot be difcovered by the text of Genefis the tenth. Omitting this, therefore, for the prefent, we may proceed to other paflages connected with the fubject of inquiry.

It has heen proved already (from $x$ Kings, xxii. 48.) that the Gips of Tarfhifh built by Jchofhaphat at Eziongeber, went eaft to Ophir,

[^272]and (from Jonah, i. 3. iv. 2.) that Jonah, by embarking at Joppa, fled weftward on the Mediterranean. Now the fea is common to both thefe voyages, but no one fpecific place, country, or city, can be common to both; and upon a careful examination of all the paffages adduced by Goffellin, and all that are to be found in the concordance, there is not one which may not be rendered juftly by the fea, as Goffellin has afferted. The Vulgate and the Chaldee vary in different places, but the prevailing conftruction is mare or maria; and the Vulgate (on the I Kings, x. 2. xxii. 49.) has fhips of Africa, which might give rife to the opinion of Montefquieu and Bruce, that Ophir was at Sofala; but Africa is itfelf a fufpected term in Hebrew ; for it is Latin, not ufed by the Hebrews, whofe phrafe was Lubim, and little by the Greeks ${ }^{23}$, who adopted Libya from the fame origin; but in the Chaklee it is in fo many letters $\boldsymbol{H}$ in comparifon, modern. The other texts are, if any one fhould wifh to examine them. (2 Chron. ix. 21. xx. 36, 37. Pfalm xlviii. 7. Thou breakeft the fhips of the fea, lxxii. 10. the kings of Tharfis; kings beyond fea in Sabêa. If. ii. 16. xxiii. i, the burden of Tyre, howl ye fhips of Tarfhifh, naves maris. Vulg. Chald. ibid. ver. 6. Pafs ye over to Tarfhifh, tranfite maria. Vulg. Chald. and at ver. 10. Tyre is called daughter of Tarfhif בת Mn Bath Tarhifh, daughter or virgin of the fea, Filia maris. Vulg. And what appellation can be more proper, for fuch a city which owed its exiftence to the fea? If. lx. 9. Thips of Tarfhifh, naves maris. Vulg. Chald. lxvi. 19. I will fend them that efcape to Tarfhifh, ad gentes in mare. Vulg. ad provincias maritimas. Chald.)

[^273]$$
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Upon the evidence of all thefe paffages, there is no helitation in fubferibing to the opinion of Coffllin , but his double voyage down the Red Sca is by no means equally apparent. Thute is likewife great realon to adopt Parkhurf's iden, that they were large and ftrorg fhips, fit for diftant voyages; or if the reading of the feptuagint (Ez. xxvii. 9.) could be admitted, we might add, that they were ftout enough to pafs ( $\left.\varepsilon \pi \% \delta \nu \sigma \mu x^{2} ; \delta \nu \sigma \mu u i v v^{28}\right)$ to the extremities of the weft, to the Adlantic and Britain; or to the caft, through (Babel Mandeb) the Straits of Death, and fo to the fonthern coaft of Arabia. This account we have from feriptere, and it is clcar; but the voyage to Britain, though generally admitted, is far more problematical, for the evidence of Strabo ${ }^{27}$ goes only to prove, that a Phenician veflel was run aihore in order to deceive the Romans, which muft relate'to a much later perion ; and the teftimony of Diodorus Siculus ${ }^{28}$ intiunates, that even in his time, tin was brought from Britain, through Gaul, by a land carriage of thirty days, to the month of the Rhone, or perhaps to Marfeilles. Still that the Tyrians did obtain tin is manifeft from Ezekiel, and that they paffed the Straits of Calpé, and reached Gades at leaff, is certain, for the temple of Hercules in that ifand was the Melcartha ${ }^{27}$ of Tyre, whom, from his attributes, the Grecks ftyled the Tyrian Hercules.
V. 13. Javan Tubal and Mellocel dealt in flaves and veffels of brafs, intimating probably that they all dealt in flaves, for flaves came out of the Euxine and the countries round it in all ages into Grecce, and fill

[^274]is not perfedily clear.
$\Rightarrow$ Strabo, lib. iii. p. 175.
${ }^{3}$ Lib. v. 347. Weff.
-9 Mulcatha is Melek Cartha, the King of the City. Buchart.
come to Conftan tinople. The Greeks of courfe carried thefe or others which they obtained by piracy to Tyre as well as other ma_ ritime cities. Brafs veffels will apply more particularly to Tubal and Mefhech, which are ufually rendered Tibareni and Mofchi, who, with the Chalybes and other inhabitants of the north-eaft angle of Afia Minor, have been in all ages, and fill are the manufacturers of fteel, iron, and brafs, for the fupply of Armenia, Perfia, Greece, and all the eaftern countries on the Mediterranean. (See Bufching and Michaelis cited by Newcombe on this paffage, and Bochart.) Tubal and Mefhech are generally mentioned together in fcripture, and Tebaleni is as naturally Tybareni, as Mefheck, which the Chaldee reads Mofock, is Mofchi, while Javan, Tubal and Mefheck. are all fons of Japhet. (Gen. x. 2.)
V. 14. Togarmah traded in horfes, horfemen and mules, which Bochart fuppofes to be Cappadocia, (p. 175, Phaleg.) but Michaclis with much greater probability, Armenia, for Armenia and Media were the countries where the kings of Perfia bred horfes for the fervice of themfelves and their armies, and in later times Armenia paid its tribute from this fource. See Newcombe, who cites the Greek Scholiatt on Ezekiel, and Ez. xxxviii. 6. The Chaldee renders it unaccountably by Germania. The objection to affuming Armenia for Togarma, is, that Armenia is in every other paffage reprefented by Ararat. (See particularly 2 Kings, xix. 37. and Ifaiah, xxxvii. 38. and Jeremiah, li. 27.) I have not had an opportunity of confulting Michaelis Spicileg. Geographicum, and can judge of it ${ }^{*}$ only as it is cited in Newcombe.
Ver. 15. Dedan is mentioned in conjunction with the merchants of many illes; they brought horns (tufks) of ivory and ebony.

Dedan is ftrangely rendered by the feptuagint Rhodians. They muft, therefore, have read a refh for a daleth; but Dedan ${ }^{30}$ is doubtlefs on the fouthern coaft of Arabia, for he is mentioned (Gcn. x. 7.) with Seba, Havilah, Sheba and Raamah, all nations of Arabia and on the fouth. There is ftill a Dadena on the coaft of Oman, oppofite to Cape Jafque; and a Rhegma, within the Culph of Perfia, not far from Moçandon, is found in Ptolemy, correfonding with Raamah or Rahmah, in the opinion of Patrick. Without, however, infifting on thefe refemblances, we may be certain of the cotutry from the other names with which it is united, and its produce; for ivory and. ebony are furnifhed only by India and Africa, and the province of ${ }^{\text {. }}$ Oman deals with both. If we read borns of ivory, with our Englifh Bible, they are the tu/ks refembling horns. If horns and ivory, with archbinhop Newcombe, the horas from the ifles may be tortoife-fhell. peculiar to the ifles of Yudia; and cbony, if Virgil be good authority, is found in India and nowhere elfe.

> Sola India nigrum,
> Fert Ebenum. Georg. ii. in7. Newcombe.

It is evident, therefore, that we are here firft introduced to Oriental commerce, and from this verfe to the 25 th, every article Specified is from the eaft, and every place mentioned, is to the caft of Tyre, or connected with the trade caftward. To thofe who have a curiofiry on this fubject, this is the moft remarkable fingularity of the chapter, and the eftablifhment of the fact will be felf-evident. The Chaldee renders horns by cornibus caprearum, and adds pavones, from the general ac-

counts of the voyage to Ophir, but neither of thefe additions is juftified by the text.
V. 16. Syria was the purchafer of the manufactures of Tyre; and the Syrians brought in return, emeralds, purple, embroidered work, fine linen, coral, and agate. Syria, in the original, is Aram or Aramêa; and Aram, in fcripture, is fometimes Mefopotamia, fometimes Damafcus ${ }^{36}$, and likewife the country about Libanus, and the Orontes. Emeralds, fine linen ${ }^{32}$, coral, and agate, are doubtlefs from the Eaft; but as to the appropriation of thefe names fpecifically to different precious ftones, it is quite indeterminate. Fine linen, and embroidered or variegated work, may be the cottons or mullins from India, but is too general a term to be depended on. Still, upon the whole, we may imagine, that all thefe are articles brought by land from the Gulph of Perfia, through Mefopotamia or Damafcus, in exchange for the manufactures of Tyre. Purple and fine linen are frequently united in the language of Scripture, and the ufual interpretation is, fine linen of a purple colour ; of this, though Michaelis fays purple would not be brought to Tyre, but exported from it, there might be an importation (fee Newcombe in loco) from India through this channel.
V. 17. Judah and Ifrael brought to Tyre wheat of minnith ${ }^{33}$, or fine wheat (Vulg. Sept. Chaldee), and pannag, perhaps panicum, millet or doura, with honey, oil, and ballam. There is little fluctuation in the verfions; and though pannag may be dubious, the other articles are the natural produce of Judah and Ifrael; and balfam is.

[^275]from Jericho, where the phant which produce it grew in Mann drel's tinc.
V. 18. Damafens received the richeft manufactures of Tyre, in exclange for wine of Hebron, and white wool, that is, wool in the Alece or unwrought. If Tyre bought wool in the flecee, and manufactured it, it is the fame policy as Flanders adopted formerly in regard to the wool of England. The wine of Hellon is the Chalybon of the Greeks; the kings of Perfia drank no other. (Newcombe from Strabo.) Syrim wine is itill celcbrated, and Laodicèan wine is an article of commerce in the Periplus. The Lathern name of Alcppo is fill Haleb; and Haleb, Hailcbon, or Chatybon, are only varied by different afpirates or Greck terminations. The river Chalus, which Xenophon mentions in the expedition of the ten thoufand ${ }^{24}$, muft be near the prefent Aleppo, or the very flream which at this day fupplies that city with water. Damafers lies upon the route from Aleppo to Tyre ; and to Aleppo the diftance is about double that to Tyre.
V. 19. Dan and Javan, groing to and fro, brought iron ${ }^{35}$, and caffia, and calamus : the two laft articles are evidently Oriental, and Indian iron is likewife a part of the Eaftern invoice in the Peripluts. We are therefore to look for this Javan, not in Grecee, as before, but in Arabia, and to point out the diftinction between the two Javaus. The adjunct of the name, rendered in our Engliih Bible going to and fro ${ }^{26}$, is in the original Mc-Uzal; and Uzal is explained by Gen. x. 27 . wherc Uzal is the fon of Joktan, joined with Hazar-

[^276]maveth (Hadramaut), Sheba, Ophir, and Havilah; all which we know to be in Arabia, and confequently Javan ${ }^{37}$, Me-Uzal, is fo Hikewife. It is unwillingly that I drop the fenfe of going to and fro, becaufe it expreffes the practice of a caravan; but the retaining. Uzal as a proper name, is juftifed by the Vulgate ${ }^{33}$ and Sept. and approved by Newcombe, and Michaelis, who adds, from Golius, Azal nomen Sanaæ quæ metropolis Arabiæ felicis. Michaelis alfo. fuppofes Dan to be Vadan, and a city of Arabia; but of Vadan s? there are no traces in Gen. x .; if it is Dan, one of the tribes of Ifrael, his fituation is between the Philifincs and Joppa, placed very commodioufly for receiving the caravans from Arabia in that age, which came to Rhinocolûra in a later; and equally convenient for embarking at Joppa the commodities brought by the caravans to be conveyed to Tyre. Be this as it may, the traffic is undoubtedly. Arabian, and from the fouthern ${ }^{40}$ coaft ; for (p) khiddah, is caffia, the caffia lignea of the ancients, from ( T ) khad, to cut or divide lengthways, in contradiftinction to kafia fiftula ${ }^{42}$, the pipe cinnamon, which we now prefer. The ( $n \mathrm{p}$ ) khaneh likewife, or reed, if it be the calamus aromaticus, is of Indian growth. There can be no doubt therefore remaining, but that this verfe fully eftablinhes the intercourfe of Tyre with India, through the intervention of Arabia; and no doubt that the Arabians went to India, or fhips of India came to Arabia. This circumfance confequently muft have taken place previous to the fiege of Tyre, at lateft ${ }^{42} 560$ years before Chrift;

[^277]-pofed to be Chaulonitis in Oman ; fo David is Droud, in Arabic.
${ }^{1}$ See Parkhurtt in voce, and the catalogue in the prefent work, under kafia.
${ }^{42}$ Coeval with Pititratus, in Greece.
and this paffage is therefore the moft ancient record of the trade between India and Arabia, which can be called instorical; for though fpices are mentioned frequently, that term is not decifive, as all the gums and odours of Arabia are comprehended under that name. Cinnamon, kalia, and calamus, alone prove an Indian origin; and notwithftanding thefe are noticed by Mofes, David, and Solomon, the conveyance of them by caravans from the fouthern coaft of Arabia is no where fpecified, till we arrive at this pallage in Ezekiel.
V. 20. Dedan imported precious clothes for chariots. Dedan is introduced before (v. 15.) : it may be the fame country again, that is, Oman. But in this verfe there is nothing to exprefs whether thefe clothes are a manufacture, or an import from countries farther to the eaf.
V. 21. Arabia, and the princes of Kedar, purchafed the fabrics of Tyre, and brought in return, lambs, rams, and goats. By the princes of Kedar may be underftood, the fhciks of the tribes of the Sahara or Defert : they lived in tents; and thefe tents were black, made of felt, perhaps, as they ftill are. Kedar fignifies black, and Bochart concludes from this, that they were Arabs burnt by the fun; but that it refers to the tents is evident from Canticles, i, 5. I am black, but comely ${ }^{43}$ as the tents of Kedar. Thefe, therefore, are the Arabs of Hedjaz; they have no fixed habitation, but wander throughout the Sahara ${ }^{44}$; and their only wealth, befides what they obtain by robbery, confifts in their flocks and herds. The produce of thefe they brought to exchange for the manufactures of Tyre.

[^278]V. 22. The merchants of Sheba and Raamah brought all kinds of the fineft odours, precious ftoncs and gold. Between Sheba (with (hin) and Seba; with famech) there appears a diftination; for Sheba is a defcendant of Shem, and Seba of Ham, Gen. x. Seba is, by fome, taken exclufively for Sabêa, but borh are in Arabia. The miftake, however, of one for the other, is natural, as there is a Sheba ${ }^{43}$ alfo, great grandfon of Ham. Mentioned, however, as Sheba is in this palfage with Raamah, and connected as it is with Dedan (v. 20.), we may conclude that the great grandion of Ham is meant, the fon of Raamah, who is fon of Cufh. Cuth, likewife, is much more properly attributed to Arabia than Ethiopia, though frequently rendered by Ethiopia in our Engliih Bible. If this may be efteemed a clue to guide us, we may place this Sheba, with Raamah ${ }^{46}$ (Rhegma) and Dedan (Daden), towards the fouth-eaft angle of Arabia, that is, in Oman; where fpices, drugs, odours, gold, and precious ftones, might readily be conceived, partly to be the native produce of the province, and partly imported from India. Of precious ftones there can be little doubt; and that gold fhould be brought from India, is a circumftance in conformity with the $\mathrm{Pe}-$ riplûs; for if the merchant carried filver to the Indian market, he had a confiderable profit by exchanging it for gold.
V. 23, 24. Haran, Canneh, Eden, with the merchants of Sheba, Afhur, and Chilmad, traded in blue clothes, broidered work, or work of various colours-in chefts of rich apparel, made with cedar and bound with cords.

[^279]That this exprefics generally the trade with Mefopotamia and Affyria there can be little queftion; but Sheba mentioned again with thefe places, caufes great obfenrity. It may be too much to fay, that thefe articles came up the Gulph of Perfia, from Sheba or Oman to Babylonia and Mefopotamia, and thence by caravans to Tyre; but the chefts of celar bound with cords do certainly feem to imply fome great caution adopted for the prefervation of the clothes, which appear very precious, and highly urnamented. This caution feems more neceffary for a conveyance over land, not only to prevent injury to the goods, but robbery likewife.

But Michaelis, as I learn from Archlp. Newcombe, gocs counter to this whole fuppofition. With him, Haran is Haran-al-carin in Arabin; Canneh is the Kane of Hadramaut; liden is Aden in Sabêa, or Yemen; Sheba is a different place from Sheba in the verfe preceding, and Chilmad is left undetermined.

But to me it appears, that in the preceding verfes we have gone round the whole coaft of Arabia, from weft to eaft-from Hedjaz to Sabêa, Hadramaut, and Oman; and that we are now brought up the Gulph of Perfia to the Euphrates and Tigris-to Babylonia, Mefopotamia, and Affyria; making thus a circle of that vaft peninfula, and comprehending all the countries connected with Tyre to the eaft. Againft fuch authority as Michaelis, I muft not ftand on my defence with my own forces, but call in auxiliaries, who have as high a claim to confideration as Michaelis himfelf.
The fingle name of Afshur, enumerated with the other places in this paffage, is fufficient to convince us that they are not in Arabia, but Affyria; for Afshur is the fon of Shem (Gen. x. 21.), joined
with Elam ${ }^{47}$, Elymais, or Perfia, and Aram, Araméa, or Syria; and the invariable ufage of Afshur for Afyria, does not admit of altering its application it this fingle paffage. Haran and Eden are mentioned in conjunction (2 Kings, xix. 12. Bochart), and Haran, written Hharan or Charan in the original, is Charra near Edeffa, celebrated for the defeat of Craffus in later times, and more anciently for the refidence of Abrahain (Gen. xi. 31.), when he left Ur of the Chaldeans, near the Tigris, in his progrefs towards the land of Canaan. (Bochart, d'Anville.) Eden, Adana, and Aden, is : name found indeed in Arabia and in other places, and its fignification might readily be the caufe of this; for the Garden of Eden is the Garden of Delight, and various places, poffeffed of a defirable fituation, might affume this diftinction; but joined with Haran, as it is here, and in the fecond book of Kings, it mult be in Aflyria, and no where elfe; for in the latter paffage it is put into the mouth of Rabfhekah, and Rabfhekah was an Affyrian.

Canneh likewife is read Calneh by Grotius, Houbigant, and Bochart, (mentioned Gen. x. 10. Maiah, x. 9. and Amos, vi. 2.) Michaelis himfelf acknowledges that the Chaldee interprets it of Nifibis in Mefopotamia, as others affume it for Ctefiphon. But without affigning it to a particular city, it is fufficient for the prefent purpofe that it is in Affyria. The proof of this is exprefs (Gen. x. 10.)"Calneh, in the land of Shinar: out of that land went forth "Afshur, and built Nineveh." If therefore Canneh be Calneh,

[^280]mais, or Elam, extended its name with their conquefts. The fame mountains were poffeffed by the Coflri in later times, and the Perfians are fometimes called Kuflii or Kiffii
this is conclafive ; if it be not, this is the fingle paflage of feripture in which it is mentioned, and it muft be determined by the context. In this predicament Itands Chiluad likewife: it is noticed her only ; and if we have afcertained Adshur, Charan, and Eden ${ }^{4}$, to be in Meropotamia, in that country mult both Canneh and Chiln mad be placed.

In regard to Sheba there ftill remains a doubt; for though there are three Shebas or Sebas in Genefis, x . we cannot aflign any one of them fpecifically to Affyria. I have offered a conjecture, that this Sheba may be in Arabia, on the Gulph of Perfia, but it is mere conjecture; and if it be not admitted, this alfo, though now undifcoverable, muf be affigned to Affyria with the others. But I apprehend that Sheba and Seba are in evcry other paflage of the Scriptures applied to Arabia.

This Commentary, tedious as it mutt neceffarily appear in fomerefpects, will, I truft, be acceptable to every reader of curiofity. I have little merit but that of collecting, under one point of view, what is to be fearched for in the detached paffages of other authors. This might have been done by any one that had equal induftry, or an equal defire of elucidating the commeree of the ancients; but it has not been done in a fatisfactory manner by any one, as far as I am acquainted with the fubject.

[^281]
## BERIPLUS OF THE ERYTHREAN SEA.

In the profecution of this inquiry, I have felt much interct in tracing the channels which commerce opened for itflt, after the Tyrians had no longer accefs, to the Red Sea, or the means of making the voyage to Ophir in their own fhips; and I think it appears evident that they had a communication by land with all the three fides of Arabia, as well as with the countries farther ealt, through the intervention of Arabia, of Affyria, and B.bylonia, That the commodities of the Eaft will bear a long and expenfive land-carriage, we may be affured by the caravans which traverfed the whole continent of Afia, from China to the Mediterranean, in former ages; and thofe which pafs between the fame empire and Rufia at the prefent day. That the Tyrians fhould be employed in the fame concern, is natural, from our knowledge of their commercial firit, and from the profits of their monopoly in regard to Europe. Whether the knowledge of thele gains, or the thirt of conqueft, indnced Nebuchadnezzar to deftroy this city, may be queftioned; but I have already thewn that he bad improved the navigation of the Tigris, and eftablifhed a port on the Gulph of Perfia. In this there could be no object but a communication with the Eaf; and when the Babylonian empire fuak under the power of Perlia, Ty;e rofe again out of its ruins, becaufe the Perfians were neither navigators or merchants, and becaufe the fleets of Tyre were cffential to the profecution of the conquefts of the Perfians towards the Wen.

The defrution of Tyre is foretold by Ifaiah (xxiii.) and Jeremiah (xxv. 22. xlvii. 4.), as well as by Ezekiel, who employs three chapters upon the fubject, and enters far more minutely into particulars. In the twenty-eighth chapter he declares, the pride of this
devoted city, whofe fovereign boafted, " I am a God;" "I fit in " the feat of God, in the midft of the feas;" "I an God" (v. 9.); and whofe luxury made every preciots ftone his covering-the dardius ${ }^{49}$, topaz ${ }^{\text {sp }}$, ruly, diamond, beryl, onyx, jafper, fapphire, emerald, and carbuncle, fet in gold (v. I3.). The various rendering of thefe in different tranlations, will prove indecd the little dependance there may be on our knowledge of the Hebrew terms; but will fill leave an impreffion, that they are imported from countrics farther eaflward, whence moft of the precious ftoncs fill come, and will prove not only the valae, but the direction of the comperce. ${ }^{\text {" }}$

With thefe obfervations I clofe the review of this extraordinary prophecy relating to Tyre and its commerce; and if the Periplùs alfords us the means of tracing the countries it deferibes, by the fpecification of their native produce; equally appropriate, or more abundantly fo, are the articles enntained in the enumeration of the Prophet; the latter part of which coincides moft effentially with the detail in the Periplus, and eftablifies the confiftency and veracity of both.

[^282]denotes the Morning Star, from its vivid fplendour.
5. Therfiat is one of the jewels in the breal. phate of the high-prict, which (comparel with John, Rev.) Lamy conclades, to be the chryfolite or topas; but he adds, that dome fuppofe it the aigue marine, or thone that is the calour of fea-water, and that in this fenfe Tarthif the jewel is applied to Tualhifh the fea, p. 431. It is tendered chrgfolite or-topa\% in this paffage of Ezchisl.

To the public I now commit the refult of my inquirics. In zeturn for the labour of many years, the only reward I am, anxious to obtain is, the approbation of the learned and ingenuous: if I fail in this object of my ambition, I muft confole myfelf with the reflection, that my own happinefs has been encreafed by attention to a favourite purfuit, by the acquifition of knowledge, and by the . gratification of a curiofity almoft coetaneous with my exiftence.

## DISSERTATION III.

ON THE NAVIGATION AND COMPASS OF THE CHINESE,

${ }^{1} \Psi$

THE RIGIT HON. THE LARI OF MACARTNEY.
N. B. At p. 257, this Differtation in mentioned improperly as No. 1,

1N my Journal of 1 ith Auguft ${ }_{1793}$, I gave fome account of the junkas and fhipping employed by the Chincfe, and exprefled my aftonifment at their obllinacy in not imitating the ingenuity and dexterity of Europeans, in the built and manceuvre of their veffels, after having had fuch ftriking examples before their eyes for thefe 250 years paft : but I muft now in a good meafure retract my cenfure upon this point; as, from what I have fince obferved in the courle of my feveral voyages on the rivers and canals of China, I confefs that I believe the yachts, and other craft ufually employed upon them for the conveyance of paffengers and merchandize, and the Chinefe boatmen's manner of condueting and managing them, are perfectly well calculated for the purpofes intended, and probably fuperior to any other that we, in our vanity, might advife them to adopt.

With regard to veffels of a different kind for more diftant voyages, to Batavia, Manilla, Japan, or Cochin-china, I am informed that the Chinefe of Canton, who have had frequent opportunities of feeing our thips there, are by no means infenfible of the advantages
they poffefs over their own; and that a principal merchant there, fome time fince, hai ordcred a large veffel to be conftructed according to an Englifh model; but the Hou-pou, being apprized of $\mathrm{it}_{2}$, not only forced him to relinquifh his project, but made him pay a confiderable fine for his delinquency, in prefuming to depart from the ancient eftablithed modes of the empire, which, according to his notions, mult be wifer and better than thofe of the barbarous nations, which come from Europe to trade here. It is indeed, as I have before remarked, the prevailing fyftem of the Tartar government, to imprefs the people with an idea of their own fufficiency, and to undervalue in their eyes, as much as poffible, the fuperior invention of foreign nations; but their vigilance in this refpect, and the pains they take for the purpofe, evidently. betray the confcious fears and jealoufy they entertain of their fubjects' tafte for noveity, and their fagacity in difcovering, and wihing to adopt, the various articles of European ingenuity for ufe, convenience, and luxury, in preference to their own clumfy, old-fafhioned contrivances '. The government alfo probably apprehended danger from our teaching their fubjects things of which they are now ignorant, but which they would be willing enough to learn. No precaution, however, can ftand before neceflity; whatever they want from us they muft have, and every day they will want more, and elude all means of prevention in order to procure them. Cotton, opium, watches, and broad cloth, and tin, they cannot do without; and I have little doubt, that in a fhort time we flall have almoft a monopoly of thofe fupplies to them.

[^283]own houfes, and when they come abroad, cover them over with their ufnal Chinefe accoutrements.

But to return from this digreffion to the fubject of Chinefe Nav:gation. - It is a very fingular circumitance, that though the Chincfe appear to be fo ignorant of that art, and have neither charts of their coafts or feas to direct them, nor foreftaff, quadrant, or other inArument for taking the fun's altitude, yet they have for many years paft been acquainted with the ufe of the Mariner's Compafs ${ }^{2}$; they even pretend that it was known to them before the time of Confucius. Be that as it may, the beft writers agree that it was not known in Europe till the thirteenth century, nor brought into general ufe till the latter end of the fifteenth; but whether communicated by Marco Polo on his return from China, or by fome other adventurer, remains undecided. The plan of it, according to its divifion into thirty-two points, feems on indicate it rather an intended European improvement upon fomething alrcady difcovered, than to be an original invention. The Chinefe Compafs being divided only into twenty-four points, it was cafy to add eight more; and yet, even with this improvement, the European Compafs in one refpect labours under one difadvantage when compared with the Chinefe one; for in the latter the calculations are much eafier, each point anfwering to fifteen degrees, without odd minutes.

Whoever it was that originally introduced the Mariner's Compafs, as now ufed, of thirty-two points, could not have been extenfively verfed in fcience; for, long before the difcovery of the magnetic needle, philofophers of all nations had agreed to divide the circle into 360 equal parts or degrees, a degree into 60 minutes, a minute into 60 feconds, $\& c . \& c$. The reafon, I prefume, of the general

[^284]adoption and continuance of thofe numbers, is the convenience of their being divifibee into integral parts by fo many different numbers. The points of our mariner's compafs, however, happen not to be among thefe numbers, for 360 divided by $3^{2}$, give $11 \frac{1}{4}$ degrees, fo that, except the four cardinal points and their four bifecting points, all the others converted into degrees, will be involved with fractions, a circumftace of great inconvenience, although thought immaterial by feamen, who have tables for every minute of a degree ready caleulated to their hands. Now, it is fubmitted, whether the Chinefe, without any pretenfions to fcience, have not fallen upon a more convenient divilion of the card of their compafs, than the Europeans have adopted, with all their pretenfions to fcience. It is quartered by the four cardinal points, in the fame manner as ours, and each of thefe is fubdivided into fix points, making 24 points in the whole card, fo that every point contains 15 degrees, or the fifteenth part of 360 .

After all, perhaps a divifion of the eard into 36 points would be found more advantageous than any other, for then every point would be equal to ten degrees; half a point equal to five degrees, \&ce. \&c. and fo on.

## A P P E N D I X.

 .The Appendix contains:

1. An alphabetical Catalogue of the Articles of Commerce mentioned in the Periplûs, with an Account of their Nature and Properties, as far as is requifite for the Elucidation of the Journal.
II. An Account of the Adulitick Infcription found in Abyflinia by Cofmas Indicopleuftes, a Monk of the fixth Century.
III. An Inquiry into the corrupt Reading of the Manufcript, in regard to the Word, 'Eirembiwpuevzecias.
IV. The Form of the habitable World as imagined by Pomponius Mela, Cofmas, and Al Edriffi.
N. B. As thefe Jeveral Particulars are defigned for the whole Work when completed; they commence again with page I , and will be accompanied by fome other Difquiftions on the Winds and Monfoons, on the Site of Meroè, and on the Limit of ancient Difcovcry towards the Eaft, woith farther Inquiries, if authentick Materials can be obtained. Tho Second Part of this Work will contain the Arabian and Eaft Indian Navigation of the Periphts, with the Pages numbered in order from Part the Firf.

# Articles of Commerce mentioned in the Periplus Maris Erythres afigned to Arrian. 

## A

## 1. 'Abordas. Abolla.

Ir this term be Greek, it is remarkable that it fhould not occur in any Greek Lexicon, and if it is Latin (as apparently it is), it is equally remarkable that a Greek merchant of Alexandria, fuch as the author probably was, ghould have introduced a Latin' term into his Greek catalogue; but Latin terms crept into purer Greek writers than our author, and commerce perhaps had adopted this, as expreffing the actual garment which was neither ufed by, or formerly known to the Greeks. The Roman Abollá was a military cloke ${ }^{2}$, perhaps not unlike our watch cloke. And the adoption of the word is not more ftrange than the ufage of the Englifh in adopting the French Surtout, or the French adopting the Englifh Redingote (Riding Coat).

fon of Antony, non aliâ de caufâ quam quod edente fe munus, ingreffum ipectacula convertifie oculos hominum fulgore purpurex abolia animadvertit. Suet Calig. c. 35. It was likewile a garb of the Philofophers, andi facims majoris Abollee. Juvenal.

Single cloths dyed and imitating fome of a fuperior or different quality. But fec Salmaf. ad Vopifcum.
"Abonoo, according to Salmafius (Plin. Exercit. 1062, ) are fingle
 whether this relates to the texture, to the omaments wrought on them, or the confideration of their being with lining or without, feems difficult to determine. Our weavers call a filk, /Jot, when the warp is of one colour and the woof of another; and the word "A6020, may be literally rendered milbot; but it does not follow that this is an accurate rendering of the term. Homer mentions garments both fingle and double; and Deborala makes the mother of Sifera fay, that her fon had perhaps brought home a raiment of needle work, of needle work on both fider, which is apparently correfpondent to the tunick, which Ulyffes deferibes to Penelopé (Od. lib. T. 230.). If this interpretation, therefore, fhould be admiflible, "Aboxot X ${ }^{2}$ мuárwos may be rendered plain clotbs of one colour, and váfor would exprefs, that they were of an inferior quality. But fee the term סim入óéparç, Diog. Laertius in Diogene, p. $35^{\circ}$. Horace. Duplici panno patientia velat. And the addrefs of Plato to Ariftippus in

 " propriety the drefs of a gentleman ( $\left.\chi^{\lambda \alpha \mu} v^{\prime} \delta \alpha\right)$, or the ordinary " garb (éáxos) of a cynick."

## 3. 'A $\delta \alpha^{\prime} \mu$ uss. Diamond.

The ancients certainly apply this word to our modern gem the diamond, but ufe it in a larger fenfe as we ftill ufe adamant, applied.
to other hard fubftances. But in the only paffage where it occurs in the Periplûs, it is mentioned on a coaft where diamonds very probably were to be purchafed, and is joined with the Hyacinth or Jacinth, and other tranfparent ftones.
4. 'Aरón. Aloe.

There are two forts of Aloe, one a bitter cathartick, and another an aromatick, by fome fuppofed to be the fandal-wood. See Salm. Plin. Ex. 1056 . It is probably ufed by the author of the Periplus in the former fenfe, as being mentioned on the coaft of Oman in Arabia, where the Succotrine Aloe is naturally imported, as the ifland Socotra itielf was under the power of the Arabs on the main, being fubject to Eleazus king of Sabbatha, in the neighbourhood of Oman.

Thefe are mentioned as imported into Oman in Arabia, but whether as merely ornamental, or objects of fuperfition, does not appear.
 Plate polij/bed.
Thefe works in filver do not appear to be the beautiful produce of Greek artifts, but veffels of plate adapted to the market. By the frequent mention of thefe articles they muf have formed a confiderable branch of commerce.
7. 'Agasyraòv.

8. 'A g凶'ر $\alpha \tau \alpha$. Aromaticks.

Drugs in general are comprehended under this term (Sal. Pin. Ex. p. 1049, 1050).
9. 'Acúpr. AJpecies of Cinnamon. See Kazбia.

## B

## BDĚ $\lambda \lambda \alpha$. Bdellium.

An Aromatick gum, fuppofed to be imported from Africa, but now feldom unfed ${ }^{3}$. Salmafius ${ }^{4}$ defcribes it as a pellucid exudation from the tree fo called, not quite clear, of a waxy fubftance, and eafily melted, called by the Portugucfe anime; there are three forts, Arabic, Petrean, and Bactrian. It was imported, according to the Pcriplûs, from Binnagara, or Minnagara [Bekkcr,] in Scindi, and from Barygaza [Baroach,] in Guzerat.
 rendered Bdellium, is by the Rabbis rendered Chryftal, and has nothing in common with the Bdellium of the Pcriplus but its transparency. The word Bdellium feems a diminutive of the Bela used by our author. Pliny, b. xii. c. g.

There are fill found three forts, two African, rather of dark brown hue, and one Afratick, answering the defcriptions of Salmafia, generally brought to England among parcels of myrrh. There are fecimens of the African fort in the collection of $\mathrm{Dr}_{\mathrm{r}}$. Burgess.

$$
\text { Chambers in voce. } \quad \text { \& Pin. Exercit. p. } 1150 .
$$

## $\Gamma$

 Zigeer in Perfick fignifies finall.

## $\Delta$

$\Delta x$ ęéóvtu, p. 8. Dicroffia.-Clotbs either fringed or friped.
Kорб $\alpha$, and reqo $\quad \alpha \dot{\alpha}$, according to Salmafius ${ }^{s}$, from Hefychius, fignifies the fteps of a ladder, or in another fenfe, the cornice of a wall, or the battlements. Salmafius derives the word from zefiga, to fhave, and interprets rógroo, locks of hair. Hence cloths, סxredrocic, he fays, are thofe which have a fringe knotted or twifted.

 of Hefychius, the cornice of the wall, or as it may be rendered the Aep of the parapet, a rim or line running round below the battlements. Not differing, perhaps, from the ufage of the word as ufed $\neq 35$, where Homer fays, the fhips were too numerous to be drawn up on
 them in lines one behind another like the fteps of a ladder. Agreeable to the other explanation of Hefychius, or as Apollonius renders


We may therefore conclude, that we cannot err much in rendering the Axp $\rho$ óroia of the Periplûs, either cloths fringed, with Salmafius,

[^285]or ftriped with Apollonius, So Virgil, virgatis lucent fagulis, The

 roe is the Latin word Linted.
$\Delta$ quóov. Denarius.-Tibe Roman coin, worth in general athomination nearly Bd. Engli/b.

It appears by the Pericles, that this coin was carried into Abyffinia for the fake of commerce with frangers, and that both gold ${ }^{s}$ and filver Denarii were exchanged on the coaft of Malabar againft the fpecie of the country with advantage to the merchant.
$\Delta$ 'rate $^{\prime}$, KiT ta', $\triangle$ areas $^{\prime}$,
Are joined in the Periplus with Kafir, and are fuppofed to be infervor fpecies of the cinnamon. See Ramufio, in his difoourfe on the voyage of Nearchus, and Salmaf. de Homonymiis Kyles Matrices, c. xcii. c. xxiii, a work referred to by Salmafius himfelf, but I have not fees it.

## $\Delta \dot{\varepsilon} 2$ лиса.

Slaves of a better fort and for the Egyptian market.

## E

"Eגaiov. Oil of Olives.
'Enépas. Ivory.
'Evódra. Fragrant ficices or gums.

[^286]
## APPENDIX.

## Z


Girdles or purees wrought or embroidered. A great commerce throughout the eaft is fill carried on in fafhes, ornamented with every fort of device, and wrought up with great expence. $\Sigma x, \omega r \alpha_{4}$ does not occur in the lexicons, but probably means faded of different colours.

## Zíryibes. Ginger.

Not mentioned in the Periplûs, but by Salmafius ${ }^{\mathrm{IO}_{0}}$, who fays the ancients knew little of it, and believed it to be the root of the pepper plant.

## H

'Huiovou varnvoi. Mules for the paddle.

## $\Theta$

$$
x
$$

©чріара иоисо́те. Gums or Incenfe.

## I


For the Barbarine ${ }^{12}$ market, undreffed and of Egyptian manufac-ture.-The Barbarines are the ancient Troglodyte shepherds of
${ }^{10}$ Pin. Exercit. p. 1070.
is The weft cont of the Gulch of Arabia.
b
Upper

Upper Egypt, Nubia, and Ethiopia, very graphically traced and diftinguifhed by Brace.

For the Barbarine maket, dieffed, and dyed of various colours.
高 dráxguros. Clulbs
Made up, or coating for the Arabian market:

1. Xegediustos:

With fleeves reaching to the wrift.

3. ミxoràárós.

Wrought with figures. From the Latin Scutum, Scutulatus; the figure being in the form of a fhield. A dappled grey horfe is thus called Scutulatus.
4. $\Delta$ iá $\chi$ guros. Shot with Gold.

Of great price.

## 6. Nóbos.

In imitation of a better commodity.
7. Пegur

Of a better quality, or in great quantity.
8. Havroios.

Of all forts.
9. Моли́рита толи́цла.

Of thicker woof, or larger woof than warp.
${ }^{4}$ Istro'. Horfes.
As prefents, and as imports into Arabia.

## K

Káyrapos. Kankamus-Gum Lack,
According to Scaliger; and Diofcorides calls it a gum. But Salmafius rather inclines to think it a drug like myrrh. Lack was ufed as a purple or blue dye by the Indigo dyers. Ivdswoba@oi, Salmas. Plin. Exercit. 1148. 1152. Plin, xii. 20. See Pomet's Hiftory of Drugs, b. viii. p. 199, who fays gum of four colours was found in one lump. He does not hold it to be Gum Lack, but that it has a fmell like it; it is found in Africa, Brafil, and Saint Chriftopher's. Pomet's Specimen was from the Weft Indies.

Ká入ts. Kallis-A Gold Coin.
According to the Periplûs it was a coin of this name current in Bengal, and that the metal was collected from a mine in the neighbourhood. Stuckius fays, a coin called Kalais is ftill current in Bengal, on what authority does not appear. Paolino notices the word, but I cannot recal the paffage to my memory. $b 2$

Kagrá́ocs.

Koográros. Karfafus--Fina Muflins.
Oppofed to ordinary cottons. It is remarkable that the native Shankrect term is Karpaf, as appears by Sir William Jones's cataloguc. Afiat. Ref. vol. iv. p. 23 r. Calcutta edition. But how this word formd its way into Italy, and became the Latin Carbafus (fine linen) is furprizing, when it is not found in the Greek language. The Kagráriov $\lambda_{\text {ivov }}$ of Paufanias (in Atticis), of which the wick was. formed for the lamp of Pallas, is Afbeftos, fo called from Karpafos. a city of Crete. Salm. PI. Exercit. p. ${ }^{2} 78$.

## Ka $\alpha \sigma$ ía. Cafia.

This fpice is mentioned frequently in the Periplus, and with various additions, intended to fpecify the different forts, propertics, or appearances of the commodity. It is a fpecies of cinnamon, and manifeflly the fame as what we call cinnamon at this day; but different from that of the Greeks and Romans, which was not a bark, nor rolled up into pipes like ours. 'Their's was the tender fhoot of the fame plant, and of much higher value, fold at Rome in the proportion of a thoufand denarii ${ }^{12}$ to fifty; it was found only in the pofleffion of Emperors and Kings ; and by them it was diftributed in prefents to favourites, upon folemn occafions, cmbaffies, \&zc. This fort we muft firft confider, bccaufe they themfelves applied the name improperly, having it derived by their own account from the Phenicians ${ }^{13}$, and giving it to the fame production; though in a different form and appearance from that by which it is known to us.

The kinnamomum of the Greeks and Romans was neceffarily derived from the Phenician ${ }^{4}$, becaufe the merchants of that country firft brought it into Greece. The Greeks themfelves had no direct communication with the eaft, and whether this fpice was brought into Perfia " by means of the northern caravans, or by fea into Arabia, the intermediate carriers between either country and Greece werc of courfe Phenicians. It will therefore be no difficult matter to prove that the Phenician term expreffes the cinnamon we have, and not that indicated by the Greeks and Romans. The term in all thefe languages fignifies a pipe, for the Hebrew inp Kheneh, is the Latin Canna; and Syrinx, Fiftula, Cannella, and Cannelle, convey the fame idea in Greek, Latin, Italian, and French. The Hebrew term occurs in Exodus xxx. 23, 24, joined with Cafia, as it is almoft univerfally in the writings of the Greeks and Romans. It
 mon Befem, the fweet or fweet feented pipe, and the word rendered Cafia by our tranflators ${ }^{17}$ is $\begin{gathered}\text { הָp?, Khiddah, from Khadh. }\end{gathered}$ to fplit or divide longways. Thefe two terms mark the principal diftinctions of this fpice in all thefe languages, as Khinemon Befem, Hebrew; Cafia Syrinx, Greek; Cafia Fiftula ${ }^{18}$, Latin; Cannelle,

14 Sce a curions mittake of Pliny's noticed by Larcher, of turning the Phemicians into a Phanix. Tom. iii. p. 349 .
as By Perfia is meant the whole empire.
16 The whole 33 d. clapter is worth confulting on this curious fubject, as it-proves that many of the Oriental fpices and odours were cyen in that early age familiar in Egypt.
${ }^{7}$ If from this chapter of Exodius we prove that cimamon was known to the Hebrews in the age of Mofes, we have a lecond proof of its being. ufed. in the embalment of the Mum-
mies from Diodorus, lib. i. xci. tom. i. p. 102, Larcher, tom. ii. p. 3 34.

- ${ }^{18}$ The Calia Viftula of the moderns is at drug totally ditinet, it is a foecies of femst which comes from the Levant, Egypt, Brafil, and the Antilles, and is a corruption from Acacia. Şalm. Plin. Ex. p. 540. CerteCaliex nomen pro ea fpecie quae folvit alvumex: Acacia factum quamvis diverfium fit genus, Id. p. 1056. This corruption is not of very anodern date, for Salatius adds, ue mirum fit ante hos trecentos et amplius annos, Cafiam. Iiftulam


## APPENDIX:

Cannclle, Wrench; Khiddah, Mebrew; Xylo-Gafia to Creek; Cafia Lignea, Latin.

Whether the Greels and Latins derive their term from the Hebrew hane-mon ${ }^{20}$, or from the compound Wenth-amomim, is not fo caly to detomine, for amomum is a goneral term ${ }^{25}$ for any drug or fyice, atud lin-2monum in this form would be again the fipice-canna, the cafia fitula under another defeription. But that the cafia fiftula. and the cafia lignea are marked as the two leading dilinot fuecies, from the time of Mofes to the prefent hour, is felf evident. And I now fay, that if the Romans applied the term cimmon to the tender fhoot of this plant, and not to the pipe cinnamon, fuch as we now have it from Ceylon, their ufe of the word was improper. That this was the cafe there is reafon to think, but that there was fome obicurity, or fluctuation in their ulage is certain alfo.

Salmafius ${ }^{22}$ quotes Calen to prove that the phant itfelf was brought to the emperor Marcus Aurelius, from Barbarikì ${ }^{23}$, in a cafe feven

Fiftulam Latinis dictam, cam que purgandi vim habet. Sce alfo Ramufio, vol. i. p. 282 .
${ }^{2}$ This fpecies is difinctly marked in the Roman Law de publicamis, leg. xvi. D. CafiaSyrinx, Xylo-Cafa. Salm. 1055, id. in Canticis Salomonis Nardus, Crocus, Filtula cimamomum. It is called Exargorsig̀, Hard Cafia, in the Periplas.
${ }^{20}$ |thep is from Myp, a reed, canna, and the termination doubtful, but probably from 10 Then peculiar. It is in this fenfe that הן, manna fignifies the food from Heaven. The poczliar food or bread. And hence
 eminence. Parkhurft derives it not from, 1 jp, canna, but from Dyp, khanam, to fmell frong,
but he allows there is no fuch verb in Hebrew. I cannot help thinking that ofey Tap, khenueh befem, and befem, have the fame root. The fowet Elacone, the fivet khinuemon. Notwith htan ling kherneld befem is rentered cahmi odoriferi, the fivere celamus, it is cottanly not technically the calanus aromaticas.
${ }^{2}$ Salm. 401.
${ }^{22}$ Plin. Ex. p. 1304 . C.lea de Autidotis, lib. i.
${ }^{2 s}$ Barbarikè is perhaps not a proper name, but the port frequented by the ilarbars of Adel or Moiyllon. It is the mart in sciudi, but whether Patala or Minnagrara, is difficult to deternine.
feet long. Galen faw this, and there were other cafes of a fimaller fize, containing fyecimens of an inferior fort. This, therefore, mut be in a dry fate; but this' he fays was the true cinnamon. Undoubtediy it was, for the plant itfelf, and the fpice, as we have it, in its ufual form, have this difference and no more. But Galen fays, in another paffage ${ }^{27}$, that cafia and cinnamon are fo much alike that it is not an eafy matter to diftinguifh one from the other. And Diofcorides writes, "Cafia grows in Arabia; the beft fort is " red, of a fine colour, almoft approaching to coral, ftrait, long, " and pipy, it bites upon the palate with a flight fenfation of heat, " and the beft fort is that called Zigir, with a fcent like a rofe." This is manifefly the cinnamon we have at this day; but he adds, " cinnamon has many names, from the different places where it [is " procured or] grows. But the beft fort is that which is like the ${ }^{6}$ cafia of Mofyllon, and this cinnamon is called Mofylitick, as "well as the cafia." This therefore is only a different fort of the fame fipice, but it does not grow either in Arabia or at Mofyllon, is took its name from either country, as procured in the marts of either. This traffick is explained in the Periplás, but Dioforides was unacquainted with it. The defcription ${ }^{\text {ts }}$ he gives of this cinnamon is, "That when frefh, and in its greateft perfection, it is of a s\% dark colour, fomething between the colour of wine and [dark]. " afh, like a fmall twig or fpray full of knots; and very odoriferous." .This is manifeftly not our cinnamon, but the fame as Galen's, the tender fhoot and not the bark. 'It is worth remarking that Diofcorides lived in the reign of Nero ${ }^{26}$, and if the true fource of cinnamon was.

[^287]then juf beginning to be known by means of the navigation detailed in the Periplùs, this knowledge had not yot reached Afaa ${ }^{27}$ Minor or Rome. Pliny who lived a few ycars later had juft arrived at this information, for he fays exprefsly, Mofyllon was the port to which cimanon was brought ${ }^{28}$, and confequently the port where it was procured by the Greeks from Egypt, and through Egypt conveyed to Rome. It had long been procured there, and long obtained the name of Mofyllitick, but it was now known not to be native, but imported at that place.

The trade to Mofyllon was opened by the Ptolemies; ftill before the exiftence of a Grecian power in Egypt, the Greeks had probably little knowledge of it, but from the importation of it by the Phenicians; and the Phenicians received it, cither by land carriage from the Idumeans of Arabia, or when they navigated the Red Sea themfelves with the fleets of Solomon, they obtained it immediately from Sabêa; perhaps alfo, if Ophir is Sofala on the coalt of Africa, they found it either at that port, or at the others, which the Grecks afterwards frequented. Thefe lay chiefly in Barbaria, (the kingdom of Adel $_{2}$ ) comprehending the ports of Mofyllon, Malao, and Mundus, where it was poffibly always to be met with. This commerce indeed is at beft only conjectural, neither could it be of long duration, as it ended with the reign of Solomon, and was never refumed; but that the Phenicians had a fettled intercourfe with Sabèa we learn incontrovertibly from Ezekiel ${ }^{29}$, and that Sabèa was the centre of Oriental commerce, is proved in olar account of the l'eriplus.

[^288]It is this circumftance that induced all the early writers to impute - the produce of India to the foil of Arabia; an error which commenced with the firft hiforians extant, and which cxifted in hiftory till the age of Pliny, and in poetry almof to the prefent hour. Fable is the legitimate progeny of ignorance; we are not to wonder thereforc when we read in Herodotus ${ }^{30}$, that cafia grew in Arabia, but that cinaainon was brought thither by birds from the country where Bacchus was born, that is India. The term ufed by Herodotus indicates the cinnamon we now have, for it figaifies the peel, hull, or rind ${ }^{3 x}$ of a plant, and evidently points out the bark under which form we Aill receive this fpice. The error of Herodotus is repeated by Theophraftus, who affigns both cafia and cinnamon to Arabia ${ }^{32}$; this intelligence I receive from Bochart, and I am obliged to him alfo for a very curious citation from Uranius, in Stephanus de. Urbibus, who fays, the country of the Abafenes produces myrrl, aromatick gums, or odours, frankincenfe, and the bark [of cinnamon $]^{33}$. This paffage is valuable as the firf inftance extant in which the name of Abyfinians is mentioned. But it is not to be depended on, unlefs it can be referred to the conquefts of that nation in Arabia, for thefe Abafeni are evidently joined with the Arabians of Sabêa and Hadramaut.

But whatever errors are to be found in ancient authors, relative to the production of fices in general, and cinnamon in particular, ${ }^{\text {a }}$
${ }^{30}$ Lib. iii. p. 252 . ed. Weff. and p. 250 ,
where he mentions a finiar fable of ferpents
which guard the frankincenfe.
${ }^{31}$ Krigora, from Káp ${ }^{\prime}+\mathrm{y}$, arefacio, to dry , and hence the dry hull, peel, or flell of a plant or fruit.

32 Bochart, vol. i. p. 105. Sir William cotton.

Jones, Af. Ref.iv. Iro.n 113.

 vol. i. p. jo6 Kégrasew is probably the Kas. pee of Herodotus, unlefs it is a falie reading

fill that they found their way into Egypt, Paledine, Grecee, ano all the commes borterias, on the Meditermanan, in the carlieft ages, is athe. 'This adnita of proof from the thirtieth chapter of Exodus, and we have traced the courtie of their introduction in the preliminary difuuititions of the lirf book.

We may now, therefore, proced to examine the various forts of this fpice, mentioned in the Peripltus, which amount to ten; and very remarkable it is, that the modern enumeration of profeffor Thunberg thould comprehend juit as many fuecies. Not that it is to be fuppofed the fpecies correfpond, but the coincidence of number is extraordinary. It is wortlyy of notice alfo, that cinnamon is a term never ufed in the Peripltes, the merehant dealt only in cafia, cinnamon was a gift for princes; there is cven in this minute circumfance a prefimption in favour of his veracity, not to be pafled withont obfervation.

His ton forts are,

## 1. Mocùnatug. Mogyllitick.

So called from the port Mofyllon, where it was obtained by the Greeks from Egypir, and whither they always reforted from their firf pafing the Straits of Bab-cl-Mandeb. It was the cafia fifula, the fame as we now have from Ceylon, and imported at Mofyllon directly from India, or from the Arabian marts on the occan, which were rivals of the Sabeans. It is mentioned by feveral authors as the beft fort, or inferior only to Zigeir, and thercfore could not be native: there in indeed cinnamon on the coalt of Ahica, but it is
hard, woody ${ }^{34}$, and of little flavour. The regio cinnamomifera of Ptolemy, bears no other fort but this; he places this tract at the bomulary of his knowledge, that is, between Melinda and Mofambique, and il it is in any way entitled to the name, it cannot be from its own produce, but on acenunt of the importation of the fpice from India; the traders who found it there, might fuppofe it native, in the fame manner as the early writers fipeak of the Mofyllitick, and which (as has been already noticed,) Pliny furf mentions as intported. The Mofyllitick fpecies is rarely called cinuamon by the aucicuts, but cafia only. Their cinnamon was cxhibited as a rarity, as that of Marcus Aurclius before mentioned. Antiochus Epiphancs ${ }^{35}$ carried a few boxes of it in a triumphal proceffion; and Scleucus Callinicus prefented two minæ of this specics, and two of cafia, as the gift of a king to the Milefians. The catia or modern cinnamon was found formerly in Java, Sumatra, and the coaft of Malabar ; from the coalt of Malabar it found its way to Africa and Arabia; but when the Dutch were mafters of Cochin ${ }^{36}$, they deftroyed all the plants on the coaft, in order to fecure the monopoly to Ceylon; and none is now met with on the coaft, but an inferior wild fort, ufed by the natives, and brought fometimes to Europe for the purpofe of adultcration.

3t Seven difierent forts Oriental, and two American, I have feen in the collection of Dr. Burgefs; and an African fpecies which is not a bark, but a mere flick, with litule flavonr. It anfwers well to the character of -antrict

${ }^{36}$ The Dutch are accufed of this by their rivale, as well as diminiftuing the growth of mutinegs, \&c. in the Molveca illanda. But. I obferve in the account of Hugh Boyd's embalty to Ceylon (Ind. Annual Regitter, ${ }^{2} 7.92$ ), an affertion, that the true cinnamon rever grew any where but in Ceylon.
2. Гísie, Ziryei, Гish Giscir, Zigeir, Gizi.

This fort is noticed and deferibed by Dioforides, as already mentioned, and to his defeription I can only add, that //igeir, in Perfian and Arabick, as I am informed, fignifics fmall ${ }^{37}$. The fmaller bark muft of courfe be from the fmaller and tenderer fhoots, which is fill efteemed the beft ; the harder and thicker bark is cut and made to roll up in initation of this, but is inferior, though from the fame plant. This at leaft is fuppofed ; but I do not fipcak from. authority.

## 3. Acúp Afppte.

This term, if not Oriental, is from the Greek aisụ́n入os, afyphélos, fignifying clocap or ordinary, but we do not find afyphe ufed in this manner in other authors; it may be an Alexandrian corruption of the language, or it may be the abbreviation of a merehant in his invoice.
4. "A $\mathrm{\rho} \omega \boldsymbol{\mu}$ а. Aroma.

Aroma is the general name for any fwect-feented drug, but it is twice inferted in a lift of cafias, and is therefore probably a $f_{\text {pectes }}$ as well as the others. It would intimate an aromatick finell or flavour, and is poffibly one of fuperior quality. It is remarkable that Mofes ufes the fame term of fiwet-feented cinnamon.

A fpecies unknown.

[^289]
## ס. Morw. Moto.

A fpecies unknown.

From the Greek $\Sigma$ E $\quad \lambda$ moss, hard. This is a term which occurs frequently, and perhaps diftinguifhes the cafia lignea (wood cinnamon), from the cafia fiftula, (cannelle or pipe cinnamon,) it may, however, fignify only a hard and inferior fort, in oppofizion to brittlenefs, which is one of the characters of the fuperior fpecies.

All unknown. But Salmatius and other commentators agree in fuppofing them all to be fpecies of the fame fice.

Thefe are the ten forts enumerated in the Periplus ${ }^{38}$. Profefor Thunberg, who vifired Ccylon in his voyage from Batavia, reckons ten forts likewifc. Four of nearly equal value and cxcellence, three that are round only in the interior above the Ghauts ${ }^{\text {30 }}$, in the government of the king of Candi; and three which are not worth gathering. The mof remathable which he mentions are:
.The rafle ${ }^{4 \circ}$ or penni-curundu, honey cinnamon, and capura curundu, or camphor cinnamon, from the root of which camphor is difilled; this laft is found only in the interior. The cinnamon for the European market was collected in the woods by the natives

[^290]cmployed in the Dutch fervice, but has fince been planted on the fandy downs on the coalt; the fe phatations, buthes their convenience, are fo thriving, that the prathec ins likely to be continued. Can I conchuc this account whont oblerving that this rich and valuable illand is now in the poffeflion of the Englifh, and without a prayer that the commerce may be conducted on more liberal principles, and the natives treated more generoufly by them than by their predecetiors. The knowledge which the ancients had of this ifland will be treated at large in the Second Part of the Periplus, and it is to be hoped that the prefent governour Frederich: North, whofe mind is ftored with anciont knowledge, and whofe attention is alive to modern informution, will communicate his refuarches to the publick.

I have only to add, that the Shanfkrect names of this fivice are favernaca and ourana, as I learn from the Afratick Refearehes, vol. iv. p. 235. and that Salmafius mentions falihaca as the Arabick appellam tion, which he derives from the Greck $\Xi u \lambda b \neq \frac{1}{\xi}$, ligncat, or woody, (p. 1 306.) but which, if I did not pay great refpeet to his authority, I fhould rather derive from Salike the Greck name of the ifland in the age of Ptolemy. I have now only to requelt that this cletail, too prolix for the work, may be accepted by the reader, not as the natural but the clafical hiftory of cinnamon.

## Karoirspos. Tin.

Tin is mentioned as an import into Africa, Arabia, Sicindi, and the Coaft of Malabar. It has continued an article of commerce brought out of Britain in all ages, conveyed to all the countries on the Mediterranean, by the Phenicians, Greeks, aud Romans, and carried
ricd into the Eaftern Ocean from the origin of the commerce. It is only within thefe few years it has found its way into China in Britith veffels, where it is now become an article of fich magnitude, as greatly to diminifl the quantity of fpecie neceflary for that markct.

> Kartubşiv, Пarৎoтатrín, Kabaлírn. Kattyburinè, Patropapigc̀, Kabalitè. Peripl. p. 28.

Different fpecies of nard. Sce Nóǵcos.
Kauvára: $\alpha \pi \lambda o i '$ \&่ тo $\pi \lambda \tilde{\varepsilon}$. Kaunakai.
Coverlids plain of no great value, (or according to another reading, not many,) with the knap on one fide. Hefychius and Phavorinus, cited by Hudfon.

Koдcusdóquira. Kolaridiopbonia.
Large Ships on the coaft of Travancour, in which the natives traded to Bengal and Malacca. They had vefiels alfo called Sangara, made of one piece of timber, which they ufed in their commerce on the coaft of Malabar. The Monoxyla of Pliny, employed in bringing the pepper down the rivers to the coaft. Lib, vi. p. 23 .

Kopá̀ıccy. Corat.

$$
\text { Korros }{ }^{41} \text {. Coflus, Cofunn, }
$$

Is confidered as a fpice and aromatick by Pliny, lib. xii. c. I2. It is called radix; the root pre-eminently, as nard, is fyled the leaf. Coftus


Coflus beiug, as we may dippofe, the beft of aromatiek roofs, as nard or firikenard was the belt of aromatick plams. This fup)polition explains a much difputed paflage of Pliay. Radix et Wolimm Indis ef maximo pretio ; the (root) coflus, and the (plame) fipikenard are of the highelt value in Ludia. Radix Colti gufla fervens, odore eximio, frutice alias inutili ; the root of the collus is hot to the tafte, and of confummate fragrance, but the plant itfelf, in other refpects, without ufe or value. It is found at the head of the Pattalene, where the Indus firft divides to iaclofe the Delte, of two forts, of which that which is black is the inferior fort, and the white beft. Its value is fixteen denarii ${ }^{* 2}$, about twelve hillings and eightpence a pound. Thus baving dileufed the coltus or root, he procieds to the leat or plant. De folio nardi plara dici par eff, but of this hereafter. It is here only mentioned to give the true meaning of the paflage.

This root is faid by Salmafus to grow in Arabia as well as Inclia; and I do not find that it has acquired any European name, though it was formenly much ufed in medicine, and called the Arabian or true coftus. It always contracts a bitternefs, and grows black by keeping, which probably accounts for the white being more valuable (as Pliny fays), becaufe it is frefl. Mr. Geoffroi, a Irench academician, mentioned under this article, in Chambers's Dictionary; confiders it as the European elacampane root, which he afierts, when well fed and prepared, has the propertics of the Indian aromatick.

Coftus corticofus bark, coftus has a feent of cimamon.

The reaton is evident ; frankincente and mysth ware procurable in Aralia, which borduted on bis own kinglom. Cafia, cimamon,
and coflus were Eaft India commodities. Sec Chilhnil, dutiq. Alat. p. 7 I. t2 The nunbers in Pliny are dubious.

## $\Lambda$

$$
\Lambda \alpha^{\prime} \delta \alpha v o{ }^{43} \text {. }
$$

A gum or refin from a plant called leda, lada, or ledum, a fpecies of ciftus. It is of a black colour, from Arabia; the Eaft India fort is very heavy, and like a grit-ftone in appearance. Dr. Burgefs informs me that it is adulterated with pitch from Pegu.

Is a gum adhering to the fmall branches of trees; fuppofed to be depolited by an infect.-When taken off and melted it is reddifl, formed into granulated feed, lack for japanning; into fhell-lack for fealing-wax. Pomet. book viii. p. 200.

A dye of the red purple, (according to Ramufio, pref. to the Periplûs, lacco de tingere) but Salmafius, Plin. Exercit, p. 1160 , fays it is a cloth of this colour.

Sévria. Linen, from the Latin lintea. See I $\mu z \tau$ rifu's.
Aibavos. Frankincenfe ${ }^{44}$.
Aibavas of regarixios. From the Coafl of Adel.
A gum or refin fufficiently common in Europe ftill; originally introduced from Arabia only, and ufed by the nations on the Medi-
${ }^{43}$ Herod. lib. iii. P. 253, where he faya, it is collected from goats' beards, a moft fra- $\quad{ }^{4}$ Olibitpus, oleum Libani. grant odorific gum. See Larcher, Herod.
terranean under the denomination of thus and libanus which are fyonymous. Its name is derived from 19?, laban, white, Heb. and "לוֹ, loban, Arabick, becaufe the pureft fort is white "s without: misturc. See Bochart, tom. i. p. 106. Hence libanus athd the corrupt olibanum. M. Polo calls it encens blant. Bergeron's Col. p. 153. It was chiefly brought from Hadramant or Sagar, a track of Arabia on the ocean. The beft fort is likewife in finall round grains called xóvfoos, from the Arabick 77y, chonder. Bochart, ibid. But Niebuler fays, that the libanus of Arabia at prefent is greatly inferior to that brought from India, as being foul, mixed with fand and ftones; he adds alfo, that the plant which produces it, though cultivated at Kcfchia and Schahr (Sagar) is not native, but originally from Abyffinia. See Niebuhr. Arabia, tom. i. p. 202. ii. p. 13x. in which opinion he is fupported by IBruce. When lie was in Arabia the Englifh traders called the Arabian fort incenfe of frankincenfe, and the Indian or better fort, benzoin, and the word: benzoin was efteemed more than the beft incenfe. The Arabs themfelves preferred the Indian to their own, and called it bachor Java, either becaufe it grew in that illand, or was imported from Batavia. See alfo d'Anville, Geog. Anc. tom. ii. p. 223.
 $\Delta$ ornó $\mathrm{\lambda si} . \quad$ Glafs and Porcelane made at Dioppolis.

Ift, Lithia Hyala. Several forts of glafs, pafte, or chryftal,


2d, Aigía Muęǵím.
${ }^{45}$ It grows yellow by keeping. Dr. Burgefs has fpecimens of Arabian libanus, but poffibly Oriental.

Which Salmafius fays, ought always to be written morrina, not myrrhiua, myrrina, murrhina, or murrina. And he maintains that it is certainly the Oriental poreclanc. It is here evidently joined as the adjeclive to $\Lambda_{1} 9^{\prime} \alpha$, as it is afterwards (p. 28. Peripl.) mentioned with Asia owxiw, and connected in a fimilar manner Aisia ovoxim 多 $^{\prime}$ Meg'jer ${ }^{46}$, where it is fpecified as brought down from the capital of Guzerat, Ozene, (Ougcin,) to the port of Barygaza or Baroach. All this feems to confirm the opinion that it was porcelane procurable in India at that time, as it now is; and that it was brought into Egypt by the flips that went to India. But what is more extraordinary is, that it was imitated in the manufactories of Diofpolis in Egypt, juft as our European porcelane is now formed upon the pattern of the Chinefe.
But in oppofition to this, Gefner produces a variety of authoritics from Io. Frid. Chriftius, to prove that it is a foffil and not factitious, The principal one is from Pliny, lib. xxxvii. c. 2. where it plainly appears that Pliny thought it a foffil from Carmania, while his defcription of it fuits porcelane better than any fubftance which we know, as, variety of colours, purple, or rather blue and white fpots, with a fort of variegated reflection between both. Martial ftylcs it myrrhina picta, xiii. p. ino. and notices it as capable of containing hot liquors.

Si calidum potes ardenti murra Falerno
Convenit, et melior fit fapor inde mero.
This fapor and the odor mentioned by others are the only properties we cannot attribute to it in conformity with the language of the ancients. Martial notices likewife,

Maculofa pocula murre.
*5 And thus Gefiner cites ; Heliogabalus . . . . nyyrrhinis ct onychinis minxit. Lamprid. 32 .

And when anotiner ciation is adduced
Murraque in Parthis pocula coda focis. Propmetus. iv. 5,26.
Chrifius is forced to contend that murra is not the fame as murrina, but an imitation like the Diofpolite manfacture.

That it came from Parthia ${ }^{41}$ into Egypt, to the countries on the Mediterrancan, and to Rome, feems evident from a variety of authorities, and that it might well do, if we confider that Parthia communicated with India by means of the Perfian Gulph, and poffibly on the north with China ${ }^{48}$ iticlf, by means of the caravans. The mention of Carmania by Pliny, as the country where the murrhina were obtained, favours the fuppofition of procuring thefe veffels from India; for the communication of Carmania with Scindi and Guzerat is almoft immodiate, and cortainly prior to the navigation from Egypt to that coaft. But in Guzerat they were obtained, when the author of the Periplus was employed in that trade; and their arrival at the market of Baroach, from the interior of India, may induce us to fuppofe, that they came into India from the north.

The immenfe value of thefe veffels at Rome might well arife from their fearcity. They were firf: feen there in the triumphal proceflion of Pompcy; and it muft be oblerved that Pompey returned from the thores of the Cafgian Sca. They were afterwards introduced into ufe at the tables of the great, but of a fmall fize and capacity, as cups for clrinking. Afterwards one which held three

[^291]at fome frontier, like that between the Ruffians and Clumefe at Kiatcha is evident from Ptolemy, Pliny, and the Periphis. Whether the Serts were Chinefe or an intermediate tribe botween India and China is not material.
fextarii or pints, was fold for feventy talents; and at length Nero gave three hundred for a fingle vefiel. The extravagance of the purchafer might in this inflance enhance the price, but the value of the ardele may be better chtmated by the opinion of Augufus, who, upon the conqueft of Egypt, fclected out of ail the fpoils of Alexandria a fingle murrhine cup for his own ufe. Now therefore if the murrhine was porcelane, it may be a piece of information acceptable to our fair countrywomen, to know that Cleopatra did not indeed fip her tea, but drink her Mareotick winc out of china.

I have not been able to confult the work of Chriftius, but take the account of his argument from Gefner, and I refer the reader for further information to Gcfner in voce, to Chambers's Dietionary, to Salmafus, Plin. Excrcit. and to an cxprefs differtation in the Volumes of the Academy of Belles Lettres, which I have formerly feen, but have not now an opportunity of confulting. I recollect that it is in favour of Salmafus's opinion, that murrina and porcelane are the fime.

## A.0's Siapayns.

A tranfparent fubftance of fone or pebble, but it is probably here the glats made of flone as clear and bright as chryftal, and the fame as 'ranخ̀, Hyalè mentioned before. Salmatius, p. rog6, has a very curious quctation from the Scholiaft on Arifophancs ad Nubes, AEt ii. feen. r. "We call Hyalos (he fays) a material made of a " certain plant burnt, and wafted by fure fo as to enter into the " compofition of certain [glafs] veliels. But the ancients appro" priated the term hyalos to a tramparent fone called kruon, or " chryftal."-This perfectly accords with the manufacture of glass, compoled of fand, or lints, and the afhes of a plant called kali or vitraria
viltaria in Narbonne. Walm, ibid, and Chambers in woce. Rut ditt. has its inme from glatum ${ }^{19}$ or woad, the that der, becante common
 here mentioned feems to twke its mane [ace [Tadij] chryftilline, from its cuperior purity and imitation of the chryfal. The whole pafige in the Scholialt is interefling, and worth

"The liyalos or chryftal is formed circular and thick for this " purpofe the purpofe of a burning glafs], which being rubbed " with oil and warmed, they bring near the wick of a lamp and " light it:" [it was rubbed with oil probably to elean it, but why wamed does not appear.] "Honer knew nothing of the "chryftal, but mentions amber:" [true, for with Homer weícradioce is always icc.]

Hence it appears that chryfal was known to Arifophancs, and the application of it to the purpofes of a lourning glafs; that glats was known in the time of the Scholiaft, and that Honct knew nothing of either. The ufe of a pebble or chryftal, however, to kindle fire is known at leaft as carly as the writings of Orpheus reg' $\lambda_{i}^{\prime}$ iov. And if the writings attributed to Orpheus be really the work of Pythagoras, or a Pythagorean, as Ciccro fuppofes, De Nat. Deorum, the knowledge of this property is ftill wery old,

That clear or flint glafs affumed its name from ${ }^{*}$ r $\alpha \lambda \eta$, cluryftal, is ftill more apparent from a paflage of Diodor, Sic. lib. ii. p. 128. ed. Weffel. where mention is made of both forts, the faclitious and native

> 40 See Voflus ad Melam, Varior. ed. 1722, who cites Pliny, lib. xxii. c a. Simile Plautagini Glaftum in Gallia, quo Britannorum conjuges nurufque toto corpore oblita. Vof.
fus adds, apud Cambro-Britaunos ifatidis proventus Glas appulatur, et caruleum colorem. Herba ifatis is Wuad.
"TeAny, as he writes it. The glafs coffin of Alcxander is called 'Ye入(om, by Strabo, lib. xvii. p. 794. Sce Herod. iii. p. 206. at Weffel, not. ct Diod. ii. p. 15 .

Stone of Calleau, literally Goa fone, for Callien is a river that falls into the fea near Goa, and retains its name to this day. Rennell, d'Anville. Not that this is the modern drug fo called, but a blue ftone ${ }^{\circ 0}$, according to Salmafius, p. 240, and an cmerald in the eftimation of Ramufio, pref. to the Periplûs.

## "AíOos oipluavos.

Probably ferpentine or hæmatite marble, in the opinion of Dr. Burgefs. Opfian or opfidian fone. But Salmafius ridicules Pliny for calling it opfidian, or faying it was difcovered by Opfidius. In Greek it is always opfian, and is a green ftone very dark, approaching to black. It was found in the iflands of Ethiopia; and from taking a high polifh was ufed by the emperor Domitian to face a portico, fo that from the reflection he might difcover if any one was approaching from bchind.

The opfidian flone, mentioned by Pliny, is falitious, and feems very much to refemble the matcrial of which our brown or red tea-pots are compofed. Totum rubens, atque non tranllucens, hrmaticum appellatum. Sec difcourfe in the Memoirs of the Academy of Sciences. The fpccimens of this fone, which I have feen, are fo dark that the green caft cari only be difcovered by holding them in a particular pofition. The clofenefs of their texture feems

[^292]to admit of any degree of polifh that the artift may be dipofed to give them.

Núroios. Lyedus.
A beautiful white marble, or rather alabater ufed to hold odours; Ramufio. Salmafius fays, an imitation of this alabatter st was formed of Parian marble, but that the beft and original lygdus was brought from Arabia, as noticed in the Periplus, from Moola, Salm. p. 559 -

Súz.ov. Lycium.
A thorny plant, fo called from being found in Lycia principally. A juice from which was ufed for dying yellow, mentioned by Pliny and Diofcorides. The women alfo, who affected golden locks, ufed it to tinge their hair. Salm. p. 1164. Why this fhould be fought in Scindi, if it was found in Lycia, does not appear. It is found now in the fhops by the name of the ycllowberry, box thorn, grana d'Avignon. Dr. Burgefs.

## Awdives. Lodices.

Quilts or coverlids.

Coverlids plain and of the country manufacture at Moofa.

$$
{ }^{\text {ss }} \text { Unguenta optime fervantur in alabaftris. Plin. lib. xiii. p. } 3 \text {. }
$$

APPENDIX.

## M

Maģasíтas, p. 84.
Pearls, fifhed for near Cape Comorin, where the filhery ftill continues, or the Lackdive lllands, formed a great article of commerce on the coaft of Malabar.

Ma入ábägov, p. 84. Malabatbrum.
A drug or aromatick as much difputed as any Oriental name which occurs. But generally fuppofed to be the betcl nut, written betre, and preferving a relation to the two final fyllables of the Greek. This nut is enclofed in the leaves of a plant called arecka, mixed with lime and fometimes with odours, and ufed as a mafticatory, by almoft all the Oriental nations, but more particularly in the Molucca iflands, the Golden Cherfonefe, and China; it turns the teeth black, and confequently makes white teeth out of faliion, as Prior fays,

> King Kihu put ten queens to death, Convict on fatute, Ivory Teeth:

The compofition, being from two plants, the beetle nut and the arecka leaf, has probably givcn rife to the variety of defcriptions and allufions in different authors. But Horace, lib. ii. ode, 7 , ufes it evidently as an aromatick unguent;

- nitentes,

Malobathro Syrio capillos.
And Pliny, lib. xii. 26. xiii. 1. confirms the allufion by making it an unguent from Syria, but fays a better fort comes from

Egypt,

Egypt, and fuperior fill from India. This, thercfore, cannot be the Oricntal betel, though as an expuifite odour it may, by fome intermediate corruption, have ufurped a name, from the true Bágoy or betel. The price was prodigions, according to Pliny, the drug cofting three hundred denarii, ten pounds a pound, and the oil fixty or feventy denarii. It was ufed, he adds, as an odour in wine lukewarm, and had the flavour of fikenard ${ }^{s \prime}$.

Whether the author of the Periplûs ufcs malabathrum, as the unguent known to Pliny and the Romans by that name, cannot be determined, as he merely gives the name without explanation in his lift. But that he had obtained an obfeure knowledge of the betre, and its form as rolled up in leaves, is proved in the manner of his ufing the term petros. This demands a feparate confideration, and will be found at the conclufion of the Periplûs, cxplained in all its parts, as far as the learning of Salmafius can guide us.

Máxép. Macer.
An aromatick from India, the bark red, the root large. The bark ufed as a medicine in dyfenteries. Plin. xii. 8. Salm. 1302.

Mázouiçzz.
Knives or canjars worn at the girdle.



Brafs ${ }^{33}$ or copper, prepared, as Ramufio fays, for veliels of cookery. But rather for ornaments of women, as bracelets, anklets, and collars. No ufage of $\mathrm{M} \in \lambda \in \underline{\varphi} \phi \theta s$ occurs elfewhere; but metals were preparcd with feveral materials to give them colour, or make them tractable or malleable. Thus रo入óbaфx in Hefychius was brafs prepared with ox's gall to give it the colour of gold, and nfod like our tinfel ormaments or foil for ftage dreffes and decorations. Thus common brafs was neither ductile nor malleable, but the Cyprian brafs was both. And thus, perhaps; brafs, $\mu \in \lambda \varepsilon \in \notin \hat{\theta} \alpha$, was formed with fome preparation of honey.

Honey from canes. Sugar.
In Arabick, thuker, which the Greeks feem firft to have met with on the coalt of Arabia, and thence to have adopted the Arabick name. It is here mentioned on the coaft of Africa, where the Arabians likewife traded, and either imported it themfelves from India, or found it imported; it was evidently not found in that age growing in Africa. The Shanfkreet name of fugar is ich-fhu-cafa, and from the two middle fyllables the Arabick fhuka, or Thuker. Af. Refearch. iv. 23 I.

Me入inatov. Honey Lotus.
The lotus or nymphæa of Egypt. The falk contains a fweet and eatable fubitance, confidered as a luxury by the Egyptians, and ufed 33 This article is very dubious.
as bread; it was fometimes carried to Rome, and the Periplûs makes it an article of importation at Barygaza. It appears alfo to have been ufed as provifion for mariners; and if this was the favourite bread of Egypt, in prefercuce to grain, Homer might well feak of it as a luxury and delicacy; but his lotus is generally fuppofed to be the fruit of a tree, by our African travellers. Authors differ, fome afferting that it is fill common in the Nile, others laying that the lotus now found there has neither pulp nor fubftance.

Mогя́те 9чні́аца.
An incenfe called mocrotus or mocroton.
Monóxiva.
Coarfe cottons of the colour of the mallow. Others read Mavaxi, either fingle threaded or of onc colour.

Coarfe cotton dyed of a whitifh purple, and thercfore called molochina from Moróx ${ }^{\prime}$, mallows. Wilford, Afrat. Differtations, vol. ii. p. 233 .

Móruédos. Lead.
Mот $\omega$.
A fpecies of cinnamon. Sec Ka $\alpha \sigma$ ía.
Múpor.
Myrith or oil of myrrh. Unguent in general, but pre-eminently of myrrh ${ }^{54}$.

54 The African is beft, the Abyffinian, Arabian, and Indian worf. Dr. Burgefs.
A gum

A gum or refin iffuing from a thorn in Arabia, Abyffinia, \&cc. Bruce has given an account of the plant; he fays it is originally from Africa, and that the Arabian myrrh is ftill an inferior fort. See Bruce, Chambers, and Salmalius.

Poreelanc. See Gefner and Chambers in voce.

## N

Núp c ors.
 raǹ, nard of Capanick. . . . . . or of the Ganges.
No Oriental aromatick has caufed greater difputes among the criticks, or writers on Natural Hiftory, and it is only within thefe few years that we have arrived at the truc knowledge of this curious odour, by means of the inquiries of Sir William Jones and Dr. Roxburgh.
Their account is contained in the ivth volume of the Afratick Refearches, and Dr. Roxburgh was fo fortunate at laft as to find the plant in a ftate of perfection, of which le has given a drawing that puts an end to all controverry on the fubject.

The nard has the addition of fike from the Latin fpica, an ear of wheat, which, according to Dr. Roxburgh's drawing, it perfectly refembles. And this adjunct is found allo in its Arabick name, fumbul. And in its Shanikreet appellation, jatámánsí; as alfo its Perfick title khúftah, all fignifying /pica.

Sir William jones, Ahat. Ref. ive iry, fays it is a native of Budtan, Népal, and Morang; and that it is a fuccies of Valerime. It is remarkable that he had hinfelf seen a refemblance of it in Siyria, as the Romans or Greeks mention Syria as one of the commeres where it is found; but Ptolemy gives it its true origin in thefe trats of India. A fpecinen was brought down to Calcutta from Boudtan at the requeft of Sir William Jones, and the agents of the Dova Raja called it pampi ; but it was not in flower. Some dried feecimens of it looked like the tails of ermines, but the living ones, as Dr. Roxburgh afterwards found, rife from the ground like ears of wheat. It anfwers the defcription of Diofeorides. It is weaker in feent than the Sumbul fikenard of Lower Afia, when dry, and even loft much of its odour between Budtan and Calcuttis. The odour is like the fcent of violets; but the living plant is forbidden to be brought out of Boudtan. It was, however, procured by the intervention of Mr. Purling the Englifh refident; and was at laft received in its perfect form by Dr . Roxburgh, who has deferibed it botanically. Af. Ref. iv. 733.

In the age of the Periplus it was brought from Scindi, and from the Ganges; which, according to Sir William Jones, we ought to conclude wonld be the natural port for it, as coming from Boultan. This authorizes the change of reading from faravavi, [gapanika,] to rayotsixy, [gangitilà], more efpecially as it is mentioned at the Ganges. Some fanciful inquirers might think they had found the mention of Japan in this paffage.

We ought not to omit fome particulars from Pliny which are remarkable. He deferibes the nard with its fica, mentioning alfo that both the leaves and the fpica are of high value, and that the
odour is the prime in all unguents. The price an hundred denarii for a pound. And he afterwards vifibly confounds it with the malobathrum or betel, as will appear hereafter, from his ufage of hadrofpherum, mefofphærum, microfphærum, terms peculiar to the betcl. The characteriftick name of the nard is folium ${ }^{\text {ss }}$, the leaf pre-eminently, in contradiftinction to coftus the root, both as the prime odours of their two forts, the root and the leaf.

But there is ftill a more remarkable particular in Pliny, which is, that he cvidently copies the Periplûs in the three places he allots for the markets of the fpikenard; for he mentions Patala at the head of the Delta ${ }^{55}$ of the Indus, correfpondent to the Barbarika of the Periplûs, and another fort which he calls Ozznítides, evidently agreeing with the mart of Ozéne (p. 75. Peripl.); and a third fort named gangitick, from the Ganges, anfwering to gapanick, for which all the commentators agree in reading gangitick. Very ftrong proofs thefẹ that Pliny had feen this journal and copied from it, as he mentions nothing of Ozéne in his account of the voyage, and only catches Ozxnítides hercincidentally. Sce Salmafius, p. 1059, et feq. who is very copious on the fubjech, and has exhaufed all that the ancients knew of this aromatick ${ }^{3}$.

## Naúraivos, p. 27. Nouplius.

It feems to be an inferior tortoife-fhell from the context, which
 toife-fhell of fuperior kind, but not equal to the Indian; and a

[^293]${ }^{36}$ Whether this in Pliny does not apply to callets?
. ${ }^{7}$ It refembles the tail of a fmall animal, in Dr. Burgefs's Colleation.
fmall quantity of that feecies called nauplius. It may, however, be a different commodity, but I cannot trace it in Salmalius or lliny, unlefs it be the thell of that fifh he calls uatulius, lib, ix. c. 30. which feems a feccies of the natitus.

Black fewing Gik both Chinefe and Indian. If this paffage could be afcertained as rightly rendered, it would prove that the filk manlfacture was introduced into India as carly as the age of the Pcriplûs. Nijuc can hardly be applice to a web, it feems always to be thread, and here fewing fill. If indicon is the adjunct of nema there is no difficulty, but indicon melan may be indigo in the opinion of Salmafius.

## O

## 'OAÓvov. Mufith.


Wide Indian mullins called monakhe.
 from $\pi$ mion, a thread. Salm. p. 1ı7o.

Salmafius feems to interpret thefe two forts as muflins made up in fingle picces, or many in a parcel; he is not fatisfied, however, with his own interpretation; but it is to be obferved, that the fineft mullins fill come to Europe made up in fingle pieces, called book mulins from their form. And it is by no means irrational to conceive that a cuftom of this fort is coeval with the trade.

Monakhè, dingle.
Sugmato-gine, made up in parcels.
Sagmato-penc, made of a bulky thread, or fo thick as to ferve for coverlids. Salm. ibid.

3d. fort. Xu ס゙miov.
Corrie mullins, or rather coarfe cottons, called at prefent dungres; Wilford, Af. Differt. vol. ii. p. 233. to which monakhè is opposed as a finer fort.

Oivog. Wine.

1. Aoodirequos. Wine of Laodicæa, but which city of that name does not appear. There is a Laodicea in Egypt.
2. Iтa入nòs. Italian wine.
3. Agubinos. Arabian wine. It is dubious whether pain wine or toddy wine, it feems to have been a great article of com. merca.

In what form this was an article of commerce does not appear, it is mentioned but once. Unripe grapes, however, are imported into England both from Lisbon and Madeira.
'O ${ }^{\text {Tina }}$, p. 27 . Awels or bodkins.
An article in trade on the coalt of Africa, as needles are at this slay.
```
                        ALPRNO1%
```


Ufed for ornaments. Samblio calls it white copper, copper from which the gold and filmer has mol beat well fepanted in extacting it from the ore.

## III

Haeßévor évedidis.
Handfome women flaves for the hatam ate montionel as intended for prefents to be fent up to the king of Cinzerat, whele capital was Ozénè or Ongsin.

Small hatchets or axes for the Aficm trat:
Ménegr, Pippor.
Imported from the cont of Malabr, at ftill is ; the native term. on the coalt is pimpilim; Salm. 1. 107o. or the Shankreet, pipali. Af. Rel. vol. iv, p:234, The pepper coaft is called in Arabick beled-cl-fulful. D'Anville, Ind. 1. 118.

It was found by the Grecks from Espyt fird in Ethopia, as an article of commerce brought thither by the Arabs, but was known in Greece mueh carlicr.

Two forts are diftinguilhed in the Periplus.

## I. Korrovapizòv.

From Cottonara, the kinglom of Canara, aceording to Rennell, which is ftill the principal mart for pepper, or at laft was fo before
A PPENDIX.
the Englifl fettled in Sumatra. This is the black pepper. See Marden's Sumatra,
2. Maxeò.

Long pepper ${ }^{\text {s6 }}$, fo called from its form being cylindrical, an inch and an half long. It confifts of an affemblage of grains or feeds joined clofe togethcr. It refembles the black pepper, but is more pungent. It is a fpecies of the Eaft India pepper totally diftinct from the Cayenne.

ПЕе"'ऽお' $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha$.
Girclles or fafhes, and perhaps diftinguifhed from the following article,

Safhes of an ell long, only in the difference of make or ornament.
пиvveòv.
Pearls or the pcarl oyfter. See the fifhery at Cape Comorin.

Purple cloth of two forts, fine and ordinary. An article of trade at Moofa in Arabia.

Hovingos, Drinking voffols,
$\mathrm{X} a \lambda \mu a ̀, B r a f s$,
ミirgorrú $\lambda \alpha$, Round,
Ms $\gamma \alpha{ }^{\prime} \lambda \alpha$, Large.
${ }^{58}$ Tabasir is the common long pepper.

$$
A P P E N D Y \%
$$

Probably all three epithets aply to the fane vollt. An article of import on the coalt of $\Lambda$ frica.

Hugós dxíros.
Wheat in fmall quantitics, imported into Omana, or: Oman in Arabia.

## P

'Pvórespus. Rhinocieros.
The horn or the tecth, and poffibly the fkin, imperted fiom the coaft of Abyffinia, where Bruce found the hunting of this animal ftill a trade, which he has deffribed in all of its brancles, vol. iv.

## z

इárү $\alpha \underset{\rho}{\alpha}$.
Canocs ufed on the coaft of Cochin for conveying the native commoditics from the interior to the ports, and fometimes along the coaft.

Rugs or cloaks made at Arfinoc (Sucz), dycl, and with a full knap.

## इaviaçáx

Red pigment, Salm. p. rif5. found in gold and filver mincs. Pliny. Ore of Cinnabar. Dr. Burgefs.

シárтчетgos．Sappbire fone．
The ancients diftinguifhed two forts of dark blue or purple，one of which was fpotted ${ }^{30}$ with gold．Salmaf．p．I30，et feq．Pliny fays，it is never pellucid，which feems to make it a different ftone from what is now called fapphire．

What is meant by $d_{s} \rho \mu a r \alpha \alpha$ no where appears，unlefs it can be applied to the rágrovai，whence the malobathrum was procured． But this is very dubious．See M $\alpha \lambda$ ób $_{6}$ opov．

之idgnos．Irone
An import into Abyffinia for the manufacture of fpear heads，to hunt the elcphant，rhinoceros，$\& \mathrm{cc}^{00}$ ．
＂Ivornos．
Iron tempered in India．
Euvóoves．
Fine linen of any fort，but that imported into Abyffinia might be Egyptian，and pofibly of cotton，but

Can be nothing clfe but the fineft Bengal muflins．

之ítos．Wbeat corn．
${ }^{59}$ Dr．Burgefs has fpecimens of both forts， the one with gold fpots like lapis lazuli，and（as drills for working the granite Obelifles） wot tranfyarent．
${ }^{60}$ To cut like an Indian fword，is a con－ mon Arabick provero in Arablla．And in
were made of Indian iron．Shaw quotea the Periplùs，but not perhaps ju：lls．

之xéragva：Rilぇes．
In contradiftinclion $t o \pi \approx \lambda \cup{ }^{\prime} r s$, ，hatchets．
Exevin agrugã，Silvor pluti．
${ }^{\text {＇Trand．}}$
Veffels of chryftal，or glafs in imiation of chry fat．．
इıúéva．Myrrb，

Of a fuperior fort，
＇Еиленгン），
Of the beft fort，
इт $\alpha x \tau$ रे．Gum．
Aberguvás $s$ ，read $\Sigma \mu u{ }^{2}{ }^{2} \alpha ́ s \alpha$, by Bochart，Gcog．Sac．ii． 22. Salm．520．Extract or diftillation from myrrh，of the fincft fort． The reading is proved by Salmafius from a fimilar crror in an in－ edited epigram．
$\Sigma \tau \tilde{\eta} \mu$ ．$\Sigma \tau і \mu \mu$ ．
Stibium for tinging the cyelids black．
इтo入入̀＇Apravovrixà．
Women＇s robes manufactured at Arsínoc̀ or Suez．

$$
\Sigma: \tau u \dot{Q}_{\rho} \alpha \xi_{a}
$$

Exuéačs. Storox.
One of the moft agreeable of the oloriferous refins. There ate two forts, ftorax in the tear, fuppofed to anfwer to the ancient flyrax calamia, from its being brought in a hollow recd, or its diflillation from it ; and common ftorax, anfwering to the ftacte flymax ${ }^{6 r}$ of the ancients. It now grows in the neighbourhood of Rome; but the drug was anciently brought thither from the iflands in the Archipelago. See Salm. p. 1026. Chambers in voce. Moft of thefe gums, refins, and balfams have in modern practice yielded to the Americat, is this feems to have given way to the balfam of Tolu.

इinumen, p. 15.
Slaves from Africa, an ancient trade! but the number was not great.

## $\mathfrak{T}$

'ráxubos.
The hyacinth or jacinth, a gem of $\mathfrak{a}$ violet colour. But Salmafius tays it is the ruby, p. 1107. Sce Solinus, c. xxx. p. 57. where it feems to be the amethyit.
X

Xàrò̀s. Brafs or copper.
51 Strabo mentions fyrax in Pifidia; a difillation from a tree, caufed by a worm breeding in it. Lib, xii. p. 570 .

Veflels of brafs，or any fort of hazier＇s work．

## Xe $\lambda$ ús．r．$^{\text {．}}$

Tortoife－fhell feems to have formed a great article of commerce， for ormanents of furniture，as beds，tables，doors，Sce．botb in Italy， Grecce，and Egypt．It was brought from the coafts of Atrica， near Moondus；Socotra，Gadrotia，Malabar，and the Lackdive， or Maldive iflands；the former leem to be dedigned by $\chi$ guravipo of the Periplus．

Хıтニี้ยร．
Under garments，imported from Egypt into $\Lambda$ Afica．
Xeñuc．Specic．
The Periplus is very accurate in noting the ports where it was neceffary to trade with fpecic ；and in more infances than one，notes the advantage of exchange．
$\mathrm{X}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}$ иのó入iAlas．Chryfolite．
Sometines the fame as chryfites，the touchitone for gold，Salm． p．IIO3；but deferibed as a flone as it ware firinkled with fpots of gold，Salmafius，p．407．who points out what it is not，but cannot determine what it is．It may well be the topaz．${ }^{62}$ ．

 denarii．

$$
\mathrm{X}_{\varsigma} \cup \sigma \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \tau \sigma, \text { Gold plate. }
$$

62 The Bohemian is yellow with a grecilifl nut，the Osiental is very phale yelluw．Dr． Burgefs＇s Oiiental topaz deep ycllow．

## No. II.

Ant Account of the Adulitick Inscription collected from Chishúl, Montraucon, Melchisedeck Thevenot, and other Authors.
${ }^{\prime} \Gamma_{\text {me }}$ Adulitick Infcription is in itfelf one of the moft curious monumenta of antiquity, brit the prefervation of it, and the knowledge whicl we have of it at this day, are fill more extraordinary than the infeription itfelf. Cofmas (ftyled Indicopleuftes, from the fuppolition that he had navigated the Indian Ocean, which in truth he had not,) copied a Greek infeription at Adêle, which has fince appeared to relate to Ptolemy Euergetes, and to prove that he had ncarly conquered the whole empirc of the Seleucidx in Afia, and the kingdom of Abyffinia in Africa: two hiftorical facts of confiderable importance; notwithftanding, his fuccefs in Afia was fcarcely difcovered in hifory', till this monument prompted the inquiry, and the conquent of Abyfinia ftill refts upon this evidence alone.

The veracity of Cormas, in his report of this infoription, is eflablified upon proofs which have nearly united all fuffrages in its favour; fome obfcurity there fill remains, and fome few objections naturally arile, to which Chimull has given a fufficient anfwer. But there is one obfervation of his that is irrefragable, when he

[^294]$$
A P P E N D I X, \text { No. II. }
$$
fays that Cofmas himfelf did not know ${ }^{2}$ to which of the Ptolemies it belonged, and confequently he could not be the forger of particulars which accorded with one, and one only of the whole Dynaty.

The work of Cofmas is Ayled Topographia Chriftiana, and is intended to prove that the earth is a plane, in oppofition to the phifofophical notion of its being a fphere, which the author conceived to be an heretical opinion, contrary to the revelation of the feriptures. He had himfelf travelled much, and in the parts he vifited, he ftill found they were all on a plane, as well as Circece; in confequence of this notion, his deductions are rather extraordinary; but the facts he relates, and the countries he deferibes, are given with all the marks of veracity that fimplicity can afforl.

There zeere ${ }^{3}$ two copics of his work, one in the Vatican, fuppofed to be of the ninth century, wad another at Ilorence in the Library of Larenzo ${ }^{4}$, attributed to the tenth. In $163_{32}$, Leo Allatius publifhed the Adulitick Infeription fronn the copy in the Vatican "; and this was republifhed by Berkelius in 1572, and again by Spon in 1685 , both from the extract of Allatius. The fame infoription was again publifhed by Melchizedeck Thevenot, in his Collection of Voyages, from the Horentine copy, extracted by Bigot. And finally the whole Topographia Chmiliana was edited by the indefatigable 13. Montfaucon ${ }^{6}$ in 1 yob. Spanheim, Vofinus, and Vaillant, all bear teftimony to the authenticity of the infcription,

[^295]
and the internal evidence is fuch as hardly to leave a doubt upon an unprejudiced mind. Let us now hear Cotinas feeak for himfelf.

Extract from the Topographia Chriftiana ${ }^{\dagger}$ of Cofmas, written A. D. 545, p. 140 . ed. Montfaucon.

Adule is a city of Ethiopia, and the port of communication with Axiômis ${ }^{\circ}$, and the whole nation, of which that city is the capital; in this port we carry on our trade from Alexandria and the Elanitick Gulph ; the town itifelf is about two miles from the fhore, and as you enter ${ }^{20}$ it on the weftern fide, by the road that leads from Axiômis, there is ftill remaining a chair or throne which appertained to one of the Ptolemies, who had fubjected this country to his authority. This chair is of beautiful white marble ", not. [fo white indeed as the] Pro-connefian ${ }^{\text {n7 }}$, but fuch as we employ for marble

7 After the peace matie with Seleucus for ten years, and renewed afterwards for ten years more, farce a word occurs in hittory concerning Ptol. Euergetes, till this account on the marble was difenvered by Cofmas mote than 700 yeass after the invation of Eihiopia by this monarch. Chisnula.
${ }^{3}$ Writen in different authors Axuma, Axoma, Axioma, and Axiomia. * The trade of Solomon and Firam wes carried on from Ezion Geber, at the head of the Elanitick Gulph. And in all ages, I imagine Ela, Aila, or Alleth, to bave been the mart to which the Pheniciats of Tyre relorted, or to Phericon, which perhaps toyk its name from them. Ela and Phenicon may at different cines have been in the poffefiva of Nabatheans, Petreans, Egyptians, Tyriana, J.ebrews, or Remanh.

20 See the view of Adule in Cofmas's draw.
ing, in which both pyrainids and obelifis appear; mean as the execution is, thefe are a certain proof that the manners and cuftoms of Abylfria in that age were Ethiopick and Egyptian. Bruce found the fame at Axcuna, and if he could have flopped at Meroe to examine the ruins he there paffed, affiredly they would have been Egyptian alioo or Ethiopick. He faw no remains of ruins from Axutraa to Meroc̀.
" $\Delta$ oxpuaris', valualle, coftly.
${ }^{12}$ The inland of Procornefus in the Propontis naturally fupplied Conftantinople with marble, with which it fo much abounded, as to change its mame to Mármora, and to give that title to the Propontis, now called the Sce of Marmora. A monk of Conflantinople of courfe referred to the marble with which he. was mof aequainted. The church of Sauta Sophia is built with Proconnefian marble.
A P P E N D I X, No. II.
tables; it ftands on a quadrangular bafe, and refts at the four corners on four flender and elegant pillars ${ }^{33}$, with a fifth in the centre, which is channeled in a fipiral form. On thefe pillars the feat is fupported, as well as the back of the throne, and the two fides on the right and left.

The whole chair with its bafe, the five pillars, the feat, the back, and the two fides, is of one entire piece, carved into this form; in height about two cubits and a half, and in chape like a bifhop's throne ${ }^{24}$.

At the back of the chair is a tablet of bafinite [or touch] ftone ${ }^{33}$, three cubits in height, the face ${ }^{\text {s }}$ of which is an [oblong] fquare, while the whole mafs is in the form of a lambda, rifing to a point at the rop, and fpreading at the bottom, $\Lambda$. But the front ${ }^{\text {' }}$ is quadrangular. This tablet is now fallen behind the chair, and the lower part of it is broken and defroyed, but the whole of this [ftone or) marble and the chair itfelf is in a manner covercd over: and] filled with Greek characters.

[^296]fquare [an oblong fquare] on the broad face, and like a $\Delta$ on the fiden, the broad fuperficien is for reprefented in the drawng of Cofnate, an oblome fquare hrok en at the coracr, the channetling of this tablet in reprofented as carried romad the broken corner, whether thin is the ceror of Cofmas or the engraver mult be determined by the MSS.
${ }^{17}$ Eã $\mu a$, bodys the whole hody or mafs of a marble in the form of a lambda cannot be a Equare, but a wedse; it is one of the faces of this wedge which mult be meant, and even this would not be a fquare, but quadrangular (rergex weor), as 1eprefented in the drawing of Cofmas, that is a parallelogram.

Now it fo happened that when I was in this part of the country, about five and twenty years ago ${ }^{18}$, more or lefs, in the beginning of the reign of Juftin the Roman emperor, that Elefbaan ${ }^{19}$ the king of Axiomites, when he was preparing for an expedition againlt the Homerites ${ }^{20}$ [in Arabia] on the other fide of the Red Sea, wrote to the governor of Adule, directing him to take a copy of the infcrip-

15 The reign of Juftin commenecs in 518 , The expectition of Eleduas is ufually placed in 525 , the eighth year of Joltin, but the marble might have been copied a yeur or two years before the expedicion.

- ${ }^{19}$ It is a mot remarkable cireumftance, that in a hillory fo oblcture and wild as that of Abyffinia, any fact fhould be cftablifhed upon fuch clear and fatiafuctory grounds, as this of the reign of Elefoase and his expedition into Arabia. But the authonitics aldnced by Batonius, Montfucon, Lutolfas, Chibull, and Bruce are fo exprefs, that there cannot remain a doubt; and if that reign is cllablithed, the veracity of Cofmas needs no other fupport. Now it appears from the evidence they have adduced, that the fovercigns of Abyfinia, in the reign of Jullin, about the year 525 , had extended their power into the country of the Exomerites, which is a diftriEt of Salséa, where thery had a governor refiding; it appears alfo that fone $A$ bytlinians had been put to dealh by Dutamas, one of the native chicfs in Arobia, and a Jew, who are ftill confidered as martyrs to their faith, and that Elefbaas undertook an expedition into Arahia, in which he was fuccerfful, and panithed the affufin of his fubjects. Elia Abyffimian title was Caleb el Atleba, or Caleb the Bleffed; whence.the Greck corruption of Elefbas, Elefbaas, and Elebaan (Bruce, vul. i. p. 503. Ludolf, p. 16 g . Elit. of Ethiopia). Bruce affures
us, that this hiltory is confirmed by the Chrunicle of Axuma, and Montfaucon cites Nónnofus in Photius, whofe tettimony corrobo rates the chronicle in the amplett maner, (Montfaucon Nova Collectio Patrum, tom. ii. P 140.) for Nónnofus fpeaks of himfelf as embaffador from Juftin to Kaifus, an Arab prince of the Khindini and Maadeni, and to Elefbáns king of Axuma, agreeing fo much both in time and name with the Elefbáas of. Cofmas, that it induces a conjecture that Coimas was a monk in the fuite of the embaffador (fee Photius, p. 6. ed. Geneva, 15 k , with the citation of Nicephoros in the margin). But without taking this into the confideration, it is a natural confequence, if Elefbas is proved to be the king of Axuma in that age, that the account of Cofmas is worthy of credit. Bruce adds, that Mahomet in the Koran meutions Dunaanae, not by nanee, but as maler of the fiery pils, alluding to the martyis who were bum (vol. i. p. 516.). Other authors citcd by Montfaucon are Metaphraltus, Callifus, Abúlpharage.
${ }^{20}$ Cofmas himielf, in another part; defcribes the country of the Homerites as lying on the coaft of Aden beyond the fivaits; but us they oceupy the angle of the continert, their territory may extend both within and. without the fraits. Sce Piolemy, Afia, tab. vi.
tion ${ }^{25}$, which was both on the chair of Ptolemy, and on the tablet, and to fend it to him [at $\Lambda x i o ̂ m i s]$.

The governour, whofe name was Albas ${ }^{22}$, applied to me and to a merchant of the name of Menas, to copy the infeription; Nienas was [a Greek of my acquaintance, who afterwards bccame] a monk at Raithû, and died there not long ago. We [undertook the bufiness together, and having completed it,] delivered one copy to to the governour, and kept another for ourfelves. It is from this copy that I now fate the particulars of the infeription, and I ought to add, that in putting them together [and drawing my own conclufions from them, ] I have found them very ufeful for forming a. judgment of the country, the inhabitants, and the diftances of the refpective places. I ought to mention alfo that we found the figures of Hercules and Mercury among the earvings, at the back of the feat ${ }^{33}$.

This is the form of the feat ${ }^{24}$ and the marble. And Ptolemy hinfelf [feems to fpeak in the words of the infeription].
(Here


#### Abstract

${ }^{21}$ It is highly probable, that Elecbaan underflood the lauguage, as the was a chriflian, and of the church of Aleaandria. His jutercourfe alfo with the Greck emperor at Conftantinople ftrengthens this fuppofition. And in the carlier age of the Periphtus we find Zöf. kales mafter of that lungurge. ${ }^{22}$ Abbas and IIl-Afbas mult be the fane name, and there is nething extraordinary in fuppofing that both the king and the governour might both allume the title, atifeba, the bleffed, the Jaint.


${ }^{25}$ I have here omitted a conjecture of the monk forcign to the fubject.
2. Cufmas fiys, that inalefuthors were ex. ecuted before this chair in his time; but whether it ma a cutom continued from the time of l'tolony he could not fay. Bruce mentions a thone at $\Lambda_{\text {aima }}$ exilling fill, on which the kings of Abyffinia were enthroned and crowned, and which likewile had an infeription with the name of Itwlemy Durrgetes. Inad cither of thefe facta any coneern with a trallition or cutton duived from Ptuleny? See Iruce,
(Here was inferted a drawing by Cofmas himfelf reprefented in the oppoite plate, and copied from the MS. by Montfaucon.)

Infeription upon the figure or fquare table in the form of a $\Lambda$. Ptolemy the Great, king, fon ${ }^{28}$ of Ptolemy, king, and Arfinoe, queen, gods ${ }^{28}$, brother and fifter ${ }^{27}$; grandfon of the two fovereigns Ptolcmy, king, and Berenícè, queen, gods prefervers ${ }^{23}$; defcended
vol. iii. p. 132. It is extraordinary that the marble does not mention Axamn; and more fo, if upon the credit of Bruce we conclude, that l'tolemy vilited Axuma in perfon. That inded does not quite follow from the fone buing found there with his namc. But one inference we may make in Cofmas's favour, he kuew Axuma, he knew it was the capital of the entutry; if he had forged the infeription, xxima would doubtlefs have been admitted.
${ }^{25}$ This genealogy at the commencement does not quite agree with another at the conclufion, where the king finys, that Mars was
 But as thefe Macedonian fovereigns imitated Alexander in his vanity, if they would have gods for their anceftore, it is noe to be thought flrange, that their genealogy dhould flactuate. I think the incoufiltence due to the vanity of the king, and that it ought now to be attribnted to the millake of Cofmas, of to his lapfe of memory.
20 In the character of $\operatorname{RE} \Omega \mathrm{N}$ A $\triangle R A O O N$, gods, brother and fifter, awd eKaN EQTHRON, gols profarvers, we have one of the molt illaltrious proofs of the authenticity of the infeription.

Beger had objected that on the coins of Ptolemy SSter and Berenícè, OESN onls was found; and on thofe of Philadelphus and Arfinoè, A $\triangle E A D O N$ only. But foon after the
objection was itarted, two gold coins were brought to light with the united heads of Ptolemy Sôter and Berenícè, of Philadelphus and Arfinoce. The former had no infeription, but the latter difpluyed the GERN AAEAORN, exactly correfponding with the Adulitick marble. Vaillant, Hift. Ptol. Regun, p.52. $\Sigma \Omega$ THPRN was not found, but an equivalent is cited from Theocritus Idyl. I7.



In which they arcevidently confecrated as deities with the title of Aporors. Chinnull.

A fecond objection of Beger's was, that Philadelphus had no children by Arfinoè his wife and fifter. But the Scholiaft on Theocritus Idyl. 17. fortunately furnifhed an aniwer to this allo, who fays that Ptolemy PhiIndelphus was firlt married to Arfinoè, daughter of Lysinachus, by whom he had Ptolemy, (nfterwards called Euergetes,) Lysímachus and Berenice. But that having difcovered this Affnoe engaged in loine conlpiracy, he banifhed her to Coptus, and then married his fifter Arfinoe, and adopted as hor children. thofe he had had by the other Arfinoè. This. Arfinoè, his litter, was worthipped by the Egyptians under the title of Diva Soror, ata Venus Zephyritis. Chiflull.

[^297]on the father's fide from Hercules fon of Jupter, and on the mother's fide from Dionyfus fon of Jupiter, [that jis, Ptolemy fon of Ptolemy and Arfinoci, grandion of Ptolemy and Berenicè, ] receiving from his father the kingdom of ligypt, Africa, Syria, Phenicia, Cyprus, Lycia, Caria, and the Cyclades, invaded Afra with his land and fea forces, and with elcphants from the country of the Troglodytes and Ethiopians. This body of elephants ${ }^{28}$ was firft collected out of thefe countries by his father and himelf, and brought into Egypt and tamed for the fervice of war. With thefe forces Ptolemy advancing into Afia ${ }^{30}$ reduced all the country on this fide the Euphrates, as well as Cilicia, the Hellefpont, Thrace, and all the forces in thofe provinces. In this expedition, having captured alfo many Indian elephants, and fubjected all the princes to his obedience, he croffed the Euphrates, entered Mefopotania, Babym lonia, Sufiana ${ }^{34}$, Perfis, Media, and the whole country as far as

2s A fact noticed by all the hitiorians, and preferved by Agatharchides, as almoft the only commerce remaining on this coalt in the time of Philomêtor.
${ }^{30}$ So very little of this conqueft appears in hiftory, that, having this infeription only in Thevenot's work', I had doubted the whole, till I met by accident with the paffage in Appian, which coufrmel the fact, and again attracted my attention; but having afterwards procured Chifhull's work, (Antiquitates Afiaticx,) I found he had anticipated this pafiage, and many of the other obfervations which I had taken fome paius to collce. Sce Ap. Syriac. p. 635. Schweighruffer's ed. St. Jerom on Daniel mentions thefe conquefts; and Appian notices that the Parthian revolt commenced upon the diltrefs of the Syrian monarchs in this war.
${ }^{3 t}$ Rollin touches on this experdition of Ptolemy, but makes it forp ar the Therris, vel. vii. p 307. but Ptokury hae expeffly fayshe entered Sufana, and as Rollin conkefles the refloration of two thonfard five handeclEgytian flatues we nay afle, where could they le found except at Sufa? The caule of this invalion was the infult offiod to Denenicis, lifler of Euergetes, whom Antioshow Thers had divaresd and what Silutous, him kon hy Artin noè, finally put to death, ser Jultin, hih axsii. c. 1. Jultin mentions that he would have fulidued the whole kingdom of sidenear, unIffs he had been recalided by difluhamees in Egypt. The two thoufand fise lundred ltatuen, and forty thoutand tedents, I find in the notes on Jullin, but wherice deduced 1 know not.

Bactria ${ }^{32}$, and brought the whole under his dominion. [In Perfis and Sufiana] he collected all the fpoils of the temples which had been carried out of Egypt by [Cambyfes and] the Perfians, and conveyed them back again to that country ${ }^{33}$, with all the treafures he had accumulated in his conquefts, and all the forces which had attended him on the expedition; all thefe he embarked upon the canals ${ }^{34}$

管

*     * 

72 Ptolemaus Eucrgetes devicit Seleucum; omnia fine bello et cortamine occupavit a Tharo ufque in Indiam ; Bayer, p. 6r. Bayer moderates the conquelt, and appeals to Theocritu: and the Adulitick marble. But the marWe certainly confirms in a great degree the ctation; which is from Polyenue.
${ }^{33}$ It is for this favour to the natives that he is fail to have becu flyled Euergetes, the benefactor.
wt The infeription is here manifeftly left imperfeet, and tlat apparently on account of that part of the tablet which was mutilated. We are therefore at liberty to conjecture what thele canals were, confiftent with the nature of the conntries alluded to. Chifhull looks to the canals on the Euphrates; but let us reflect, that the palace of Cambyfes was at Sufia; thither the fpoils of Egypt wele fent by tise conqueror, and there they would be found by Euergetes, if they had not been removed by the Macedonians, or the kings of Syria. Much notice is taken in hiftory of the treafures at Sufa being plundered; but the fpoils of templen, Egyptian gods and fatues had little to tempt the avarice of the conquerors, and would have been moved to little parpofe, at a great expence. It is highly probable, therefore, that Euergetes found them fill at Sufa; and if we conider that Suiama was of all the provinces of the Perfian
empire, the one moft fumbifhed with, and molt intelfected by camals, we fhall have no difficulty in concluding, that thele cumbrous deities wete embarked upon that canal which wnited the Eulpus with the Mefercan near Sula ; and that they were brought by this fream, now called the Suab or Soweib, into the Euphrates ncar Korna. From Kornà they would be conveyed up the Euphrates to Thapfacus, or highur, and require no other land carriage but from that point to the bay of Iffus. This would certainly be the belt and leaft expenfive conveyance from Sufa to Egypt, and there could be no other water carriage unlefs by the Eulaus to the Gulph of Perfia, and fo round the continent of Arabia into the Red Sea. If it could be proved from hiltory that the flects of Euergetes had ever circumuavigated Arabia, we might ad-* mit this as the radicft mode of conveyance; but I have foarelaed hiltory in vain to eilablifh this conclufion. If it was contained in the paint of the tablet broken, we have much reafon to lament the lofs; for fo perfunded am I of the authenticity of the infeription, that I fhould admit the fact without helitation, if found there. I can ouly now add, that the canal from Sufa to the Euphrates, and the carriage thence up to Thapfacus, afford the moft probable clue to this paflage.

This, fays Cofmas, was the infcription on the figure or tablet, as far as we could read it, and it was nearly the whole, for only a finall part was broken off: After that we copied what was written on the chair, which was connected with the infeription already given, and ran thus:

After this, having with a ftrong hand compelled the tribes bordering upon my own kingdom to live in peace, I made ${ }^{35}$. war upon the following nations ${ }^{35}$, and after feveral battles reduced them to fubjection.
${ }^{3 s}$ Mark the ufe of the firt perion. Whether the change from the third perfon to the firft be caufed by Cufmas or the iufeription, malt be doubtrul. We might well fuppofe both inferiptions to run in the firtl.
${ }^{36}$ Cofmas has many curious particulars of thefe countries himfelf; as, a.t. The Homerites are not far diftant from the coalt of Barbarian [Adel]; the fea between them is two days' fail acrofs. This proves that he phaces the Homerites fomewherc ceaft of Aden on the ocen.
2. Beyond Barbaria [Adel] the ocean is called Zingium [Zauzibar the Caffre coalt], and Safus is a place on the fea coaft in that * trict. This fea alfo wafhes the incenfe country [Adel and Aden], and the country where the gold mines are.
3. The king of Axiomis fends proper perfons there by means of the governour of the Agows to traffick for gold. Many merchants join this caravan, and carry oxel1, falt, and iron, which they exchange for gold. They leave thefe articles and retire,-when the natives come and leave as much gold as they chufe to offer. If this is thought fufficient, on their return they take the gold and leave the articles.

This is a very extraordinary paffage, as it proves that the Abyfinians traded in that age, as they fill do, not hy far, lout inland through their fouthern provinecs. And the exelange is fimilar to mokern practice, both on the borders of $A$ byfiniat, and other tribse of Africa. Montf.
4. The winter [that is the many feafon, ] in Ethiopia is in our fummer; the rains latt for threc months from Epiphi to Thoth, fo as to fill all the rivers and form othern, which cnipty themfelves into the Nile. Put of thefe circunntances I have feen myfelf, and others I lave heard from the merchants who trade in the country.
5. The great number of flaves procured by all the merchants who trade in this conutry; a trade noticed cqually by the Petiplas near 500 hundred ycarw beforc Cofraas, and hy Brace 1200 years after hiu age. It is worthy of remark that Abyfinian flaves bear the firlt price in all the markets of the calt, and the preference feems to have been the fame in all ages. Montfaucon, tom. ii. p. 144. Nova Col. Patrum.

Firt

Firft the nation of Gazè, next Agamè and Siguè. Thefe I fubdued, and exacted the half of their property by way of contribution for my own ufe ${ }^{37}$.

After thefe I reduced Ava and Tíamo or Tziamo, Gambela and the country round it, Zingabênè, Angabè, Tiama, and the Athagai, Kalaa, and Semêné, (a nation ${ }^{\text {³ }}$ beyond the Nile, among mountains difficult of accefs, and covered with finow ; in all this region there is hail and froft, and fnow ${ }^{38}$ fo deep that the troops funk up to their knces. I paffed the Nile to attack thefe nations, and fubdued them.

I next marched againft Láfinè and 'Zaa and Gábala, tribes which inhabit mountains abounding with warm fprings; Atalmo allo and Bega, thele likewife I reduced, and all the nations in their neighbourhood.
After this I proceeded againft the Tangaitz ${ }^{40}$, who lie towards the confines of Egypt; theic I reduced, and compelled them to open a road of communication from this country into Egypt. The next tribes I fubdued were Anninè and Metinè, who were feated upon mountains almoft perpendicular; and Sefea, a tribe which had

p. 120 . The Homerick euftom of taking half and leaving half.
 frucen reads in a parenthefis, as no part of the infeription, but as an oblervation by Cofmas. But the fact is true, Samen is beyond the Tacazzè.

39 Bruce utterly denies the exiftence of fnow in Abyffinia; but it does not quite follow from this that fnuw was unknown in former ages. Horace fays. Soracteltat nive candida, but the moderns obferve this now never happens. Lobo aflerts that frow falls in Samen
on Sámenè, but in very fmall quantities, and pever lies, p. $57^{8}$. Fr. ed. Bruce calls Lobo a liax, but in many inftances not without munfeft injutice. He allows himfelf that Samen is a ridge eighty miles in extent; the ligheft part is the Jews' rock, where there was a kingdom of jews till within thefe few years.
${ }^{40}$ If it were poffible to identify this tribe with Dangola, it would be a great acquifition to geography. Dangola lics exactly in the proper place, as may be feen by Brucess map.
retired to a monntain abfolutely inacceffible to an army; but I furrounded the whole mountain, and fat down before it, till I compelled them to furrender; I then felected the beft of their young men, their women, their fons and daughters, and feized all their property for my own ufe.

My next attempt was upon Raufo, an inland tribe in the frankincenfe country, a region without mountains or water; [from this tract I penetrated again to the coaft, where] I found the Solate, whom I fubdued, and gave them in charge to guard the coalt [from pirates].

All thefe nations, protected as they were by mountains almoft impregnable, I fubdued, and reftored their territorics to them upon conditions, and made them tributary; other tribes fubmitted allo of their own accord, and paid tribute upon the fatne terms.

Befides the completion of this, I fent a flect and land forces againft ' the Arabites ${ }^{41}$, and the city of Kinedópolis on the other fide of the Red Sca.; I reduced both to pay tribute, and gave them in charge to maintain the roads free from robbers, and the fea from pirates ${ }^{42}$, fubduing the whole coaft from Leukè Komè to Sabêa. In the accomplifhment of this bufinefs I [had no example to follow, cither of the ancient kings of Egypt, or of my own family, but] was the firft to conceive the defign, and to carry it into execution.

4* Arabians.
42 The coaft of Anlis, north of Yamba, has been notorious for pirates and robbers in all ages. Lenkè Komè, or the White Village, we fhall fix when we come to the coaft of Arabia in the third book; it cannot be far from Yambo; and the coalt from this village to Sabéa or Yemen was the fcat of all the
trade from Egypt, both for native and Indian commodities, till the Romans were mallers of Egypt. The Romans had a garrifon in Lenke Kome, and a cuftom-houfe, where they levied 25 per cent. on all goods. See Periplus Maxis Eryth. p. Ix. Hudf. Leukè Komè feems, in the time of Cormas, to havel fallen into oblcurity.

For my fuccefs in this undertaking I now return my thanks to Mars, who ${ }^{43}$ is my father, and by whofe affiftance I reduced all the nations from [Bactria on] the north, to the Incenfe coalt on the fouth; and from Libya. [on the weft,] to Ethiopia and Safus" on the caft. Some of thefe expeditions I entrufted to my officers; but in moft of them I was prefent, and commanded in perfon.

Thus having reduced the whole world ${ }^{\text {ts }}$ to peace under my own authority, I came down to Adulè, and facrificed to Jupiter, to Mars, and to Neptune, imploring his protection for all that navigate ${ }^{46}$ [thefe
 It is a remarkable expreflon. He has already faid he was the Gin of Ptolemy and Artinoe, defeended from Hereaks and Diony'fus, and now Mars is his immediute father. Whatever vanity there may be in the foverecigns, or flattery in the fubjecter, there is fall fomething analorguns in thefe Macedonian genealogits. Alexauder is not the fon of Ptilitip, but of Japiter Ammon. His courtiers, and the fanily of his courticrs, follow the example of their monarch. They are gods and fous of gode,
 rather preculiar, for we may fay to every one of theni, Matris adulterio patrem petis.
${ }^{44}$ From Abylfuia to the Bay of Zeyla. Salus is mavifelly a place on the coalt of Adel.

4s The whele world is affumed by matry conquerors for the wortd around them. Alexander and the Rumans dia not conguer the whole wolld, but ufed the fame language.

## 

It appears fully from this paflage that Euergetes engaged in this expedition on the plan of his father Pluiladelphus, for the extenfion and protection of commerce, and that
he awed the whole coaft on both fides the Red Sea, making them at leall tributary, if not a part of his king dom : but it no where appears that he paffed the Strats of Bab-el-MandebThough he vilited the Mofylitick marts, his approach to them was not by fea, but through the interior of Abyffimia and Aidel, as appears by his march from Raufo to Solatè, which muft be on the coant, from his giving it in charge to the natives to preferve the peace of the fca. The execution of thefe defigns, with the opering a commuaication inlaud from Abyfiaia to Syenè, marks the grandnefs and widdom of his fyytem, as clearly as if we lad a hiltory of his reign, and a detail of his expeditions. Of the latter there is not a trace remaining but this monument. It is fitill more extraodinary, that in lefa than feventy years all the notice of this cxpedition fhould have fuisk into Cilence, and that Agatharchides fhould fay nothing of this plan, but fo far as relates to the clephants procured at Ptolemáis Thérôn. Can this be addaced as an argument aguinft the reality of the marble? I think not; and Itruft it to its internal cvidence.
But if the authenticity of the marble be allowed, what light does it not throw on the boatted:
feas?. H realfo [at Adule] I reunited all my forces, [which had been employed ou both coatts of the Red Sea,? and fitting on this throne, in this place, I confecrated it to Mars, in the twenty-feventh year of my reign ${ }^{47}$.

Abyffipian names of Places in the Infeription. Confult Bruce's Map. vol. v. and Ludolfus, p. I4.

Gaza. Geez? but dubious, as it is one of the places firf mentioned, and Geez is very far inland; Montfaucon. 'Pliny's Gaza near Mofyllon is noticed by Chifhull; but thefe places in the commencement feem all between the coaft and the Tacazze, or its ncighbourhood.
boalted difcoveries of the Ptolemies? It proves, that whatever might be the progrefs of Timofthenes down the coult of Africa in the reign of Pliladelphus, that no commerce was eftablifhed upon it in the reign of his fon. It proves that the Greeks of Egypt did not yet trade beyond the flraits, or on the Mofyllitick coat, though they meditated the attempt. It proves that they did not yet go to Aden, but traded to Yemen within the the flraits; and that one object of this cxpedition was to clcar the Arahian coart of pirates, from Leukè Komè to Subcea: that is, frym the top of the Gulph to the bottom. In the whole account not a word efcapes thant implies a trade with the marts of Aralia on the ocean beyond the ftraits, nor does it afford any reafon to believe that the continent of Arubia was yet circumnarigated, or the dif-

- coveries of the Ptolemies bronght in contact with thofe of Alexander.

This has been ny inducement for introdu. cing this marble to the knowledge of the reader, agreeably to my defign of tracing the ditcoveries of the ancients ftep by fep; and I conclude this account with remarkiug, that commerce rather fell thort than procceded in the following reigns; for it flopped at Sabea on the Arabian lifee, as it dues in this marble, and on the Afican lide it did not go fo low in the reign of Philometor as in that of Euergeter.
${ }^{47}$ Chronologers affign 26 years to the reign of Eucrgetcs. But if a king commenced lis recign in Jone, for inflance, and died in October, it might he 26 years in a chronicle, and yet. the $27^{\text {th }}$ would have commenced. Chinhull fuppofes this to be the fact. Dodwell fuppofes Euergetes to have been crowned during his father's life time; and we add, that an error (if it is fuch) in numbers may be more readily imputed to a MS, than made to impeach the marble.
A P P E ND I X, No. If.

Agamè. A government in Tigrè ; Montf. Ludolf. p. 17. Agam fignifies Jeffamine; Bruce.

Siguè. Bruce mentions Zaguè as a province, vol, ii. p. 534. elfe it might be thought Tigrè, from the places mentioned with it; or Siguè for Sirè ; Eiruvi, Eıpuñ.

Ava. The province between Adulè and Axuma. Nónnofus; Chifhull. Axuma is in the province of Tigrè. Ava is fill found as a diftrict of Tigrè.

Tiamo or Tziamo. Tzama a government of Tigrè near Agame; Montfaucon. It feems to be the kingdom of Damot. But there is a T.zama in Begemder; Ludolf. p. 14 .

Gambêla, Gámbela. There is a Gaba noticed by Ludolf, but nothing to mark its relation to Gámbela.

Zingabênè. The country of the Zangues, Zinguis, or Caffes.
Angabè, read Anga-bênè, The kingdom of Angot.
'Tiama. Tiamaa, Vatican MS. 'Tigrè-mahon!' a mere conjecturc. But Mahon, Macuonen, fignifies a governor or government; Ludolf. p. 20. It is idle to fearch for an equivalent, as it is poffiblyonly a repetition of Tiamo.

Ath-agai, Agoa; Montf. Agows; Bruce.
Kalaa. Nothing occurs but the mention of it with Semênè.
Semênè, Samen, Semen. Montf. The Tacazze is the boundary between Samen and Sirè ; Bruce, iii. p. 252. The fnow mentioned in.
in the Infaription is denied by Bruce, but the mountains, eighty miles in length, are acknowledged by him; Ibid. And the Infeription mentions pafling the Nile (Tacazzè) to Semêne.

Lafinc̀. Still fo called; Cofmas; Lafta.
Zaa. Still fo called ; Cofmas. Xoa, Shoa, or Sewa; Ludolf.
Gabala. Still fo called ; Cofmas. There is a kingdom of Bali in Ludolph, p. 14. and a Gaba, p. 15. but nothing certain.

At-almo. Lamalmon the great mountain. At, feems to be an article or prefix, as in Ath-agai.

Bega. Beja and Begemder are fill two provinces of Abyflinia.
Tangaitæ. Voffius reads Pangaita, in order to prove that Panm chaia the Frankincenfe country is not in Arabia but Africa; Vof, ad Pomp. Mel. lib. iii. c. 8. Chifhull. But the Tangaitæ are a tribe between Abyffinia and Egypt, i. e. at Sennaar, Dongola, or Meroé, moft probably at Sennaar or Dongola. Dongola is written Dangola, not unlike Tanga. But whether Dangola is an ancient name I cannot difcover.

Metine, Anninè. Nothing occurs to afcortain thefe places. Thic Infcription paffes from the northern frontier of Abyffinia to the fouthern with thefe names between, noticing only that they are mountainous.

Séfea. Barbaria, coaft of Adel; Cofmas. Apparently on the mountains which divide Adel from Abyffinia. See Bruce, vol, iii. p. 250.

Raufo. Barbaria; Cofm. According to the Infcription itfelf it is inland from the frankincenfe coaft of Barbaria (Adel), and Solatè is on the coaft.

Solatè. Barbaria; Cofm. Thefe three places correfpond in number with the three on the Mofyllitick coaft mentioned in the Periplûs. The modern maps have a Soel.

Arabites. Homerites; Cofmas. But Cofmas is in an error. The Homerites are on the ocean; thefe are the Arab tribes on the coaft of the Red Sea oppofite to Suakem and Abyfinia, as appears by the Infcription.

Kinêdópolis. Homerites; Cofmas. But Cofmas is miftaken. It lies oin the coaft of Arabia not far from Yambo, between Leukè Komè and Sabêa, agreeably to the Infcription itfelf. See Ptolemy, Alia; tab. vi.

Pirate Coaft. Not noticed as fuch, but their piracies marked. Probably the Nabathêans or wild tribes above Yambo, always pirates, and fubdued by the later Ptolemies and by the Romans. They are pirates at this day.

Leukè Komè. Leukogen, in the country of the Blemmyes; Cofmas. Another proof that Cofmas could not be a forger, for Leukè Komè is in Arabia, above Jidda, and he places it in Ethiopia. It is the Hawr of d'Anville.

Sabêa. The Homerites; Cofmas. But really Yemen, the Arabia Felix of the ancients.

Safus. At the extremity of Ethiopia where gold called Tancharas is obtained. It lies upon the fame ocean as Barbaria (Adel), where frankincenfe is procured; Cofmas. By Ethiopia he means Abyffinia, and Safus muft be near Zeyla.

Adûlè. The port of Abyffinia in the Bay of Mafual.

## No. III.

## EITENHAIOMMENOTOEEIAE.

THE readings of this extraordinary polyfyllable are as numerous almoft as the editions, and the authors who have had occafion to cite it. The corruption is evident to all, but no two agree in the correction.

The whole paffage ftands thus:



 MENOTOEEIAE $\alpha \pi \alpha v \tau \tilde{c} v$ vijoos.
 ขñoos. Blancard follows Salmafius, but in this correction, to Hócoov$\ddot{\alpha}$ "rgov is affiumed without a fhadow of refemblance, and is as wrong in point of geography as criticifm. The Menûthias of the Periplûs has no reference to Prafum whatever; and the miftake of Salmafius arifcs from fuppofing that the Menuthias of Ptolemy and the Periplûs are the fame, which they certainly are not.

Others read,


Henry Jacobs, in Hudfon's Minor Geographers, vol, iii. p. 68. reads,

But Henry Jacobs adds alfo, that Prafum is not Mofambique but the Cape of Good Hope. He can find no authority for this, but the eftimate of Marínus, and Marínus himfelf corrects his excefs, and reduces his latitude of $34^{\circ}$ fouth to $23^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$. See Ptol. lib. i. c. 7 .

Impreffed with the appearance of thefe difficulties, I venture on the following difcuffion with no common uncertainty; and little practifed as I am in the fcience of correction, I decline the grammatical and critical part of the inquiry, and wifh to confine my reflections almeft wholly to what is purely local and geographical.
I. Firft then it is to be obferved that our author has certainly not more than eight ${ }^{49}$ quarters of the heavens, or as we fhould fay in. modern language, eight points of the compafs, the fame number as is marked upon the eight fronts of the Temple of the Winds at Athens, under the following appellations:

${ }^{49}$ It is not intended to fay that the whole not more than eight. It ufes Aparctias for eight eccur in the Periplus, but that it has the north, Dufis for the weft.

In the application of thefe, or the terms equivalent to thefe, the Periples is $b_{z}$ no means accurate; of this we have a direct proof in laying down the coaft at Aromata, and in its neiglabourhood, where, if our charts are accurate, as they are generally at leaft, it is impoflible to apply the points of the Periplus to the actual fate of the coaft.
II. Secondly, let us examine the points of the compafs fpecified by the author in this paffage, and his manner of expreffing them;
 ing to the weft and fouth weft in the foregoing figure; and here it
 idiom. But let us fuppofe it to be a nautical phrafe, how is it to be interpreted? Africum verfus à fuperiori parte? Altius quam Africus? Above the fouth weft? If this has a meaning in Greek what is to be underftood by above? Is it more to the fouth or more to the weft? that is, is it fouth weft by weft, or fouth weft by fouth? The difficulty which occurs here, induces Dr. Charles Burney, of Greenwich, to difcard the expreffion and to read ' $\varepsilon \pi r^{\prime}$ aparon $\lambda y_{y}$ for


In the next place how are we to underftand $\pi \alpha \rho^{3}$ aंviके Tyेy Buosy? Mop $0^{\circ}$, according to the lexicons, has a fenfe of motion to a place. In which form it might be rendered directly to the weft, to the wefl dircci. The general ufage for this in the Periplûs is $\varepsilon_{6 \zeta}$ Norov, p. $7 \cdot{ }_{\varepsilon} \epsilon G$ $\dot{c}_{\text {cevaro }} \eta_{\eta}^{\prime}, \mathrm{ibid}$; but in p. 9. almoft immediately preceding the paffage


50 'Emáve with a genitive is in common ufe, but whether it can be ufed with a wind, or in what fenfe, is dubious. 'Exáwe тĩ rş̣́unaros, above the fifnament, is a known idiom, ${ }^{11}$ The primitive lenfe of masca, feems to be
juxtapoition, or fide by fide, as racegrocors, тeganतryyas. See Odyfr E. 418. 440. nimana
 directly againg the coaft, but run along the fide of it:
coaft lying fouth weft and north caft as we fhould exprefs it in Euglifh, or the courfe of a veffel along the coaft in $\therefore$ fouth weft direction.
III. Thirdly, we muft inquire how thefe expreffions can be applied in any of their fenfes to the actual geography of the coaft and ifland; and here I affume Menûthefias or Menûtlias for one of the Zanguebar illands, from the diftance fecified, which is at thirty ftadia from the coaft, equal to eight or ten miles, and correfponding with the diftance of no other iflands in this part of the voyage. Of the three Zanguebar illands, Monfia the third, or fouthernmoft may well be preferred from the account of diftances in the Periplus, both previous and fubfequent. And if we alfume Montia, our next inquiry muft bc, how this lies with refpect to the coaft; the chart will fhew that it lies directly eaft. A fufficient caufe to juftify the
 But let us try if émáve rz̃ $\Lambda$ Abos has a meaning, how it could be applied. I have affumed Mombaça for the Pyraláan iflands, or rather for the K awm $\delta$ dwópueb, the new canal ${ }^{\text {s2 }}$. The veffel is plainly fetting
 Enáve rê $\Lambda .6 \grave{c o}_{\varsigma}$,] that is, from Mombaça, and going down to an illand eight or ten miles diftant from the coaft. The coaft itfelf runs fouth weft, but if fhe is to ftand off the coalt for the illand, fhe runs not fouth welt, but more towards the fouth than fouth weft. Now this is actually the courfe a veffel mult hold to run from Mombaça to Monfia. It would not be fouth direct, but a little to the fouth of

[^298] or a Greck nautical phrafe, this I conclude is the only interpretation it could bear. It muft be noticed likewife, that this expreffion muft be applied to the courfe of the veficl, as $\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime}$ aंviǹ tho $\delta \dot{v} \sigma=y$ muft apply to the pofition of the iflands, it is joined with $\mu s \sigma_{0}$ dió deópes
 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha u t \tilde{\alpha}$ vท̃os.
 fcarce the hardinefs to fay. Weft it cannot be, for whatever lies weft from Mombaça, or any point on the coaft, muft lie inland on the continent of Africa. Neither can it fignify the ifland itfelf lying eaft and weft, or the three iflands taken together, for they lie nearly north and fouth. This reduces a commentator to his laft refource, which is either to fay that $\delta \dot{\delta} \sigma$ is a falfe reading, or to find another fenfe for it, if it muft be retained. I confefs this dilemma moft candidly, and have no more confidence in the following fuggeftion, than juft fuch as the reader fhall pleafe to give it.

I do not difcard dúsi, but give it another fenfe, as the only' alternative left to my choice. It has been noticed in the preceding
 eaft, have likewife a relative fenfe given to them by mariners, in which they are applied to the general tendency of the voyage rather than to the quarters of the heavens, in regard to the fhip's place, or the individual point where the mariner is at the moment he is fpeaking, It is owing to this that when a reffel is proceeding from: Mofambique on her voyage to India we read in her journal that Ghe failed to the eaftward, though undoubtedly her courfe was north or north eaft ; or if the is proceeding to the Cape, it is faid fhe failed
to the weftward, though her courfe is certainly fouth or fouth weft. An expreffion adopted on our own coaft ${ }^{3}$ alfo, and perhaps on every other; and I can now fhew that this is the language of the Periplûs beyond difpute; for (at p. 35.) when the author is defcrib-



 " takes an inclination to the eaft round the coaft, [or on that part of " the coaft] which fucceeds to Limýricè, there lies out at fea directly " to the zeeft [fouth] an ifland called Palrefimoondoo, [by the " natives,] but which their anceftors ufed to call T'apróbana."

 ceive, the point of the compafs, and rropoc the courfe of a veffel in that direction. And if we now afk, what is the meaning of $\Delta v^{\prime} \sigma v$, the map will thew in an inftant, that Ceylon does not lie WEST from the continent but SOUTH. It is on this evidence that I wilh to render $\Delta v \sigma^{\prime} \nu$ fouth, in the paffage before us, remarking that the three Zanguebar iflands lie directly SOUTH from Mombaça. If this be rejected, I do not fee how to find any application for the term weft, in relation to any part of the coaft, or to any other ifland in the neigfibourhood.
IV. We come now to the word which is the caufe of all this fpeculation, and in 'Eifevpowapekvatrias all the commentators are agreed, that Menththias in fome form or other is to be collected out

[^299]
## A P PENDIX, No. HI.

of the latter part of the polyfyllable. I fhould have wifhed to confider Menûthias as an adjective rather than a fubftantive, Menu-
 by the context and the letters, for $v \eta d^{\circ} \omega \mu$ is $u d d^{2} \omega v$ in the writing of MSS., and $\nu$ is often turned into $\mu$, not merely by an error of the copyilt, but by coming before another $\mu$. If this be allowed, the change of $\delta$ into $\sigma$ feems to give viatiav with great facility.

Let us then examine what the geography requires. It requires that Menûthias, if it is Monfia, fhould be defcribed as one of the three Menûtbefian or Zanguebar iflands, or it fhould be defcribed as the foutbernmoft of the tbree Menitbefian or Zanguebar iflands. This is the fenfe I want to elicit from the corruption; and with as little change of the form as poffible, I propofe the following conjectures:




## But the form I prefer is,


 aimautã vincos.

In which cafe $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha_{0} v w_{w}$ may have been dropped by the repetition of
 ralaan iflands immediately preceding, and interpret the paffage thus:

Almoft direcily fouth then of the [Pyralaan] iflands you meet woith the ifland Menutbefas, the laft of all the illands.

## A P P E N D I X, No. III.

"Ho ${ }^{\circ} \eta^{5+}$ is a particle frequently $u$ fed in this manner by the author, and occurs in this very page, $\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime}$ autov "部多 rov $\Lambda .6 \alpha$, but if the letters

 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha y \tau \nsim \alpha_{0}$ vincos, approach nearer to the form of writing than any others, that will bear a fenfe of any fort.

I am fenfible that it is no true canon of criticifm to bend the words to the fenfe we wifh to find ; I confefs freely I am not fatisfied with any of thefe corrections, for in this very page the author ufes
 weft; and it is not eafy to conceive why he fhould have ufed diow here inftead of yóroy, if it were to fignify the fame point. The only defence I can make, is, to repeat, that no ifland on the eoaft can lie weft from the coaft, and if it is weft from any other place, that place I cannot difcovers. I fubmit, therefore, the whole of this difcuffion to the eandour of the reader, and thofe more practifed in critical corrections, with fome eonfidence that if I have not completed the folution of the difficulty, I may have afforded grounds for future commentators to proceed on.

54 "Ition is eafier to conceive than to render; Abline in paffages of this conftruction follows more readily than mox, continuo, \&e.
 it was juyd day.
${ }^{\text {ss }}$ The only poffible relation in which I can conceive disen to be employed, is, in regard to the flip's courfe when fhe is ruaning down the wefferus fide of the Zangucbar inands. But fuch a courfe would never be exprelled by

Tas' durnv miv dicty, in the langunge of the lewiplas; for if it were, the courfe down the coalt of the main, oppolite to Zangucbar muft then be expreffed by $\pi \alpha \xi^{3}$ aumiv miv duvenokit, as the flitip is going down the caltern fide of the continent ; but this is not fo expreffed, it
 of the courle is marked, and not the flip's. pourfe on the anefern fhore.
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I now read the whole paffage thus:

## Hulfon.











 xai xatüderopos.

Propoged Tcxi.




 cov, xad trì Kaunis $\lambda$ nequíms Dión







## Tranfation.

.... Next fucceeds the anchorage of Nicon, and aiter that, feveral rivers and other anchorages in fucceffion, ditribnted into corref. ponding coutres of one day each, which amourt to feven altogether, terminating at the Pyralaan iflands, and the place called the new canal. From the new caual the courfe is not directly fouth weft, but fomeching morc to the fouth; and after two courfes of twenty-four hours [in this direction,] yon meet with the ifland Menuthefias, lying nimool directly fouth from the [Pyralaan] : ilands, at the diftance of about thirty Itadia from the continent. Menathefias itfelf is low and woody.

If the queftion were now afked, whether I am fatisfied with this interpretation myfelf, I could not anfwer in the affirmative, for the fenfe I wifhed to obtain was, that Menûthias was the mof fouthernly of the Menuthefian iflands; and this fact I am not able to extract from any pofition of the words, or any reftoration of the paffage which I have to propofe. I fhall therefore only add fome emendations of the paffage propofed by Dr. Charles Burney, who, however, ftill doubts whether they ought to be decmed completely fatisfactory. If his correction thould meet the opinion of the learned, I fhall fubfribe without hefitation to his reftoration of ' $\epsilon \pi r^{\prime}$ avarad $\eta^{\prime}$, for


[^300] SOUTH,

Obfervations by Dr. Charles Burncy.
 1533. p. 20. 1. 30.






In editione Blancardi, Amftel. 1683 , in octavo, p. 151.1.4-14.
L. 2. Пueind $\alpha \nu$. L. 3. "E $\pi \alpha^{\prime} \nu \omega$.



In editione Hudfoni, Geographiæ Vet. Scriptores Greci Minor. vol. i. p. 9. l. 26.-p. 10. 1. 2. ${ }^{60}$
L. 2. Пие!i $\alpha \alpha^{\prime} \omega \nu$ L. 3. 'E $\pi \alpha^{\prime} \nu \omega$.


It is furprifing, that all the editors fhould have paffed over this. paffage, which is wholly unintelligible; nor will the fuppofition of
to The refercences in thefe remarks are. made to, Hudfon's edition,
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 difficulty, of which you appear to have been the firft obferver. Kawỳ dwóguそ̌, as you remark, would, indeed, be an odd name for an ifland.

The article is improperly omitted, in the latter part of the fentence. Hence the paffage may be thus read:

The word кatwn; has abforbed rai $\tau \tilde{\eta}$, which might eafily happen; from the fimilarity of found, and accent on the final $\tilde{y}$.



 would thefe terms, if they could be united, explain the fituation of
 which precifely exprefles the pofition of Menuthias, with refpect to


To remove all doubt about the truth of the correction, the words. of Ptolemy may be adduced:
 arvoua Mevoutias, p. 13 I.

It may alfo be mentioned, that $\Delta i \psi$ is the name of a wind; and not of the coaft, oves which Africus blows. What poffible explanationt
explanation then can be given to extéves roù $\lambda$ bbor? -The terms Aeplva:




 Salmafius acutely difcovered the name of the inland Menuthias;

 the author of this Periplûs was acquainted with the Promontory of
 $\pi \rho^{\circ} s$, àvajoniv, for Orientem verfus. The new reading alfo does not fufficiently refemble the old, for it to have juft claims to admiffion.

Henricus Jacobius is fill more unfortunate in lis conjecture-



 found, defend this ufage of $\pi$ with vófov. This author, indeed, has,

 in this Periplûs.
 to admit ${ }^{\prime \prime} y$, and not agreeable to the ufage of the Pcriplûs.--Eort vqricy Mevouberías, would occafion the omiffion of two words, dinav $\eta_{\infty}$ vinoos, as you obferve; which would greatly, invalidate the conjec-
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tare, even if the following ramen did not render it inadmiffible.-It is right to fate, that the word virion occurs in this Periplûs, p. 22.


Salmafius appears, as has been mentioned, to have rightly traced the name Mevovaris, in the latter part of this ftrange word. In the
 $\delta_{r a x \text { Eivovox } \dot{\gamma} \text {. The letters are ftrangely jumbled ; but it is to be }}$ recollected, that in the very next line, where Hudfon gives oladiwn


Let the author himself defend this reftitution. Firs, for diartivovod.


To conclude, the whole paffage fhould probably be read thus:






No. IV.

## Ancient Maps of the World.

THREE plates are here prefented to the obfervation of the reader, two of which are original, from Cofmas Indicopleuftes, and Al Edriffi, and the third is drawn up by Bertios, for the Variorum edition of Pomponius Mela, by Abraham Gronovius, 1722.
I. Pomponius Mela, as earlieft in point of time, requires our confideration firft, and in this map it will be feen with what propriety the ancients called the extent of the carth, from welt to eaft, length, and the extent, from north to fouth, breadth. Artemidorus ${ }^{62}$ ( $\mathrm{r} 04, \mathrm{~A}$. C.) is faid by Pliny to have firft employed the terms of length and breadth, or longitude and latitude. The dividing of thefe into degrees, and degrees into their parts, was not effected fully before the time of Marinus, nor brought into practice before Ptolemy. But our prefent inquiry is confined to the appearance of the carth, and here the great object which frrikes our attention is the vaft fouthern continent or hemifphere, placed as it were ${ }^{62}$ in counterbalance to the northern. The form in whieh it here appears feems as if the ancients had eut off the great triangle of Afriea to the fouth, and fwelled it into another world in contradiftinction to that which they knew and inhabited themfelves. It is this fuppofition which gives rife to the expreffrons of Manilius

[^301]
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Altera pars orbis fub aquis jacet invia nobis, Ignotreque hominum gentes, nec tranfita regna
Commune ex uno lumen ducentia fole,
Diverfafque umbras, levaque cadentia figna,
Et dextros ortus celo fpectantia verfo. Astron. lib. i.
And the fame fentiment in Virgil.
Audiit et fif quem tellus extrema refuro
Submovet oceano, et fi quem extenta plagarum
Quatuor in medio dirimit plaga folis iniqui. Jiv. lib. viil. 226.
It is this fuppofition alfo which gave rife to the belief of circumnavigations which never took place; for Mr. Goffelin proves that the voyage of Eudoxus cuts through the centre of the great continent of Africa, and Hanno is carried to the Red Sea without paffing the equator. This, it is which extends the title of the Atlantick Occan, to the caft of Africa as well as to the weft, and makes Juba commence the Atlantick from Mofyllon. All this is natural, if the continent of Africa be curtailed at the twelfth degree of northern latitude, and the voyage fhortened by about eighty degrees in extent.

But it may be proper to call the attention of the reader to the view of this fort of a world, as applicable to the Phenician expedition of Herodotus. A veffel failing along the fouthern coaft of fuch an Africa as this, has in theory the fun upon the right-hand of the navigators for three parts of the voyage, and this conftitutes the circumftance as the grand occurrence of the expedition. But were the fame veffel to ruk into latitude $34^{\circ}$ fouth, the real latitude of the Cape, the fpace during which the fun would be on the right-
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hand, is a point in comparifon of the other courfe, and the phenomenon would doubtlefs have been pointed out in other terms, ats the quarter of the heavens, or the place of the luminary.

There is another particular in this map alfo well worthy of attention, which is the fource of the Nile placed in the fouthern hemifphere, and compelled to run under the ocean, like another Alpheus, and rife again in Ethiopia; now this fable has its origin from one of two caules; for it was either known that this hypothefis cut Africa too fhort to afford a place for the fources of the Nile, which were carried to an indefinite diftance fouth by the early geographers ${ }^{63}$, and therefore a fituation fouth muft be found beyond the ocean in the other hemifphere, or elfe it arofe from the report of the Nile in the early part of its courfe, ruinning through a fea with which it never mixes. This is a circumftance which is now known to take place on its paffing through the Lake Tzana or Dembea, where Bruce affures us that the courfe of the ftream acrofs the lake is diftinctly vifible from the high land in the neighbourhood.

Nothing farther worthy of obfervation occurs in this map, but that it cuts fhort the peninfula of India as well as Africa, and places Taprobana or Ceylon as it appears in the tables of Ptolemy. It unites alfo the Cafpian Sea with the ocean, and gives a circumambient ocean on the north, as navigable as on the fouth, part of which the Argonauts did navigate! and all but the whole was fuppofed to have been navigated, by Pliny. It was this fuppofition which brought the Seres on the north, almoft es nearly in sontact with the Cafpian ${ }^{6}$ Sea, as Mofyllon was with the Fortunate Ifles on the fouth!.

63 To $12^{\circ}$ or $13^{\circ}$ fouth by Ptolemy.



how many obftacles has real navigation difcovered, which fictitious navigators furmounted without a difficulty?
II. The Map of Cofmas ${ }^{\text {ss }}$

Is fo poor a compofition, and fo wholly the conception of his own mind, that it would be utterly unworthy of notice were it not the original production of the monk himfelf. The veracity of Cofmas, both in regard to what he faw and heard, is refpectable, as we fhall fhew. hereafter in his account of Ceylon; but his hypothefis, as may be feen, makes the world a parallelogram with a circumambient ocean, and the rivers of Paradife flowing on the outfide; while the viciffitude of day and night is not caufed by the revolution of the earth or the heavens, but by the fun's difk being obicured by a mountain on the north. He alfo has a Cafphan Sea that joins the ocean, and a Nile that runs under the ocean, fpringing from the Gihon of Paradife in another world. The ignorance of an individual is not aftonifhing in any age, but the ignorance of Cofmas is extraordinary in the fixth century, when we muft fuppofe the writings of Ptolemy would have been known to a monk of Egypt, and when that monk refided fome years within the tropick, and muft have feen the fun on the north as well as on the fouth..

## III. The Map of Al-Edriffi.

I owe the knowledge of this map to the kindnefs of Dr . White the Arabick Profefior at Oxford; there are two Arabick ${ }^{66}$ copies of

6s See plat 啗 the gectuint of the Aduli- of Pocock's; No. 375. Hejita, 906, A. D. tick Infeription. .
os One of Graves's, No 3837 . Another taken.

Al-Edriffi in the Bodleian, and that from which the oppofite map is taken is beautiful and adorned with maps for alnof every chapter. This before us is a gencral one, curious becaufe it is cvidently founded upon the error of Ptolemy, which carries the coalt of Africa round to the eaft, and forms a fouthern continent totally excluding the circumnavigation into the Atlantick Ocean. The learned Hartman fuppofes that Al-Edriff's account gocs as low as $26^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ fouth, to the river Spirito Santo. It may be fo, for Daguta is his laft city, which is but three days fail from Gafta, and Gafta is but one from Komr, the Inand of the Moon, or Madagafear. (See Hartman's NlEdriffi,p. 1.3. et feqq.) This point and Wak Wak or Ouak Ouak feem to bafle explanation, and Hartman confeffes he can find no room for the latter. But with all its fable, it is fitll the kingdom of the Zinguis, (FIartm. p. Jo6.) and if fo, it muft be Benomotapa, which lies inland, and which Al-Edriff has ignorantly brought to the coaft. It is, in fhort, the termination of knowledge, which, with Arabians as well as Greeks, is always fabulous, and is by fome of their writers placed in the Mare tenebrofum, or Sea of China, (p. 107. Bakai another Arabian.)
That the Komr of Al-Edriff, the Ifland of the Moon, is Madagafear I have no doubt; becaufe in the maps which detail the coaft, I found the continuation of this ifland oppofite to the continent through feveral chapters, in all which parcels, Dr. White affured me the name of Komr was regularly repeated; and though Hartman is by this made to doubt concerning Saranda, Serendib, or Ceylon, (p. n 6 . et feqq.) there is no ground for hefitation, the error originates with Ptolemy, and the neceffity of carrying round the lawer part of Africa to the eaft, compels thofe who follow his hypothefis to throw
up Madagafcar nearly oppofite to Ceylon, to bring the Indus into the Gulph of Perfia, and the Ganges over the head of Ceylon. Whether all thefe inconfiftencies would have appeared as grofs in detail as in the general map, I cannot fay; my want of Oriental learning, I regretted, did not permit me to examine the MS. myfelf, in a fatisfactory manner, and I had intruded too far on the affiftance of the profeflor. To judge by Madagaicar and the coaft of Africa, I fill think the fearch would repay any Orientalift who would purfue it ; and when Sir Williain Oufely has finifhed Ebn Haukel, what better fcene for the employment of his fuperior talents than Al-Edriffi, whom we all quote from an imperfect trandation, and whom we fhould know how to appreciate, if the drofs were once feparated from his ore.

The courfe of the Nile is ftill more hyperbolical in this author than in Ptolemy whom he copies, but he has an inland Cafpian as well as that author, and of a better form. To compenfate this, however, he has his magnetick rocks which draw the iron out of veffels, an eaftern fable as regularly at the limit of Oriental knowledge, as Anthropophagi fixed the boundary of the Greeks; while his termination of the coaft of Africa at Daguta. wherever that may be fixed, proves that little more had been done by the Arabs of the twelfth century, towards profecuting the difcovery of the coaft to the fouth, than by thofe whom the Greeks found there, or by the Greeks themfelves.

The Arabick names of this map, now fupplied by cyphers, have been rtanflated by Captain Francklin of the Bengal Eftablifhment, whofe merit as an Oriental fcholar is fufficiently eftablifhed by his Hiftory of the Revolutions at Dehli, and who has repaid the inftruction of his youth, with the cordiality: of a friend.

Numbers and Names of the Chart from the Arabick, by Captain Francklin.

No. 1. Mountains of the Moon, No. 23. Belad Mufrada. and fources of the Nile. 24, Belad Nemanch.
2. Berbara.
3. Al-Zung.
4. Sefala.
5. Al-Wak Wak.
6. Sercndeeb (Ceylon).
7. Al-Comor (Madagafcar).
8. Al-Dafi.
9. Al-Yemen (Arabia Fclix).
10. Tehama.
ir. Al-Hejaz (Arabia Dcferta).
12. Al-Shujur.
13. Al-Imama.
14. Al-Habefh (Ethiopia).
15. Al-Nuba (Nubia).
16. Al-Tajdeen.
17. Al-Bejah.
18. Aĺ-Sauced (Upper Egypt).
19. Afouahat.
20. Gowaz.
21. Kanum.
22. Belad Al-Lemlum.
25. Al-Mulita .u Sinhajeh.
26. Curan (Karooan of Gibbon).
27. Negroland.
28. Al-Sous Nera.
29. Al-Mughub Al-Amkcen.
3o. Afreekeea (Africa).
$3^{x}$. Al-Hureed.
32. Seharee, Berencek (or

Defart of Berenicè).
33. Miffur (Egypt).
34. Al-Shâm (Syria).
35. Al-Irak.
36. Fars (Perfia Proper).
37. Kirman (Carmania).
38. Alfazch.
39. Mughan.
40. Al-Sunda.
41. Al-Hind (India).
42. Al-Seen (China).
.43. Khorafan.
44. Al-Beharus.
45. Azerbijas
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No. 45. Azerbijan (Media).
46. Khuwarizm.
47. Al-Shafh.
48. Khirkeez.
49. Al-Sefur.
50. Al-Tibut (Tibet).
51. Al-Nufuz Izz.
52. Kurjcea (Georgia).
53. Kcymâk.
54. Kulhra.
55. Izzea.
56. Azkufh.
57. Turkefh.
58. Iturâb.
59. Bulghar (Bulgaria).

6o. Al Mutenah.
61. Yajooj (Gog).
62. Majooj (Magog).

63 . Afiatic (Ruffia).
64. Bejeerut.

No. 65. Al-Alman.
66. Al-Khuzzus (Cafpian Sea).
67. Turkea (Turkey).
68. Albeian (Albania).
69. Makeduneeah (Macedonia).
70. Baltic Sea.
71. Jenubea (probably Sweden).
72. Germania (Germany).
73. Denmark.
74. Afranfeeah (France).
75. Felowiah (Norway).
76. Burtea or Burtenea (Britain).
77. Corfica, Sardinia, \&cc.
78. Italy.
79. Aftkerineah (part of Spain).

## DIRECTIONS to the BINDER.

I. The Portrait of Vafeo de Gama to front the tille page.

This portrait is taken from the Portuguefe manufcript of Reffende, in the Briifh Mufeum, and by the account of Faria y Soufa, (vol. i. p. 281.) anfwers to the portrait of Gama, preferved in the Viceroy's palace of Goa, where the portraits of all the Viceroys feem to have been placed. Faria writes thus: "He died upon Chriftmas Eve, having been Viceroy three " months, was of a middle flature, fomewhat grois, of a ruddy complexion. "He is painted with a black cap, cloak and breeches, edged with velvet, "all fafleed, through which appears the crimfon lining, the doublet of " crimfon fattin, and over it his armour inlaid with goll." If this defeription be from the portrait at Goa, we have here probably a drawing from the piture, as it correfponds in every particular except the flathes in the cloak.
II. Chart of the Red Sea, to front Book II. p. 69.
III. Chart of the Coalt of Africa, to front p. xis.
IV. A drawing of Adûli and the Chair of P'tolemy, 8 c . In the bottom of the plate is a general plan of the World; both from the MS. of Cofmas Indicopleuftes, publifhed by Montfaucon, (tom i. p. 188. Nova Collectio Patrum, ) to front the differtation on the Adulitick marble: Appendix, p. 50.
V. A general map of the world, conitructed for Pomponius Mela, to front the account of ancient maps in the Appendix, p. 80.
VI. A general map of the world from an Arabick MS. (in the Bodleian Library) of Al-Edriff, commonly called the Nubian Geographer; this map to front, p. 83. Appendix.

## R. R'R ATA.

Page 3. line 2. for work read journal
10. note 7. far opngos rad "Op»\%os
15. line ult. dele only
25. - 7. for Agatharcides read Agatharchides



58. note 108. for тan'antwis reat ronitso is;
69. Ne XIT. afier Acounai infirt Arômata

84. line 4. for that is from Berenifè read that is, lies 4000 itadia from Berenícè penult. for Hibeth read Habeth
98. note 73. for Turanta read Taranta
28. note 74. gfor fiftecn add days
125. line 14. jor Tepara read Ta-perk
130. - 1t. for $11^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ d'Anvill read $11^{\circ} 45^{\prime}$ d'Anville
135. - 1 5. for Morro Cabir read Morro Cubir
140. - 7. jor Aden real Adea
175. - 2. for Necho read Nece
196. - 8. for 1525 read 1521
re9, note 323. for paffare ne ntari, de ponente radel paffare ne mare de poneate
202. line 2. for map and chart read map or chart
223. - 2. ufler tine infert it
10. for other tribe rutal other, a tribe

APPENDIX.
24. for Coltas Corticofas bark, Coflus read Coftus Corticofus, bark Coftue
31. line 5. for Calleat rend Callean
62. note 46 . line 2. dek, that
m

```
(90)
```

At pp. 43. 47. 61. aud 98. Hadramant is printed for Hadramaut.
 "Enaror ought to have been priated at the cad of the frit book.
P. 74. mote 11. the note ought to be crafed.
P. 126 . note 1.47 , the error improperly imputed to Mi. Gofftin is corrected p. 136 . P. 28. note 51 add the H yena is frid to imitate the human voice, by Bufbequius. Eng. ed. p. 79.
P. 86. note 48 . $u$ is printed for $u$, and $u$ is in many MSS. the character of beta (as in Mr. Townleg's MS. of Homer, \&c. \&c.) ; it was eafy to turn this $u$ of the MSS. into $\alpha$. Hence the fuetuation in Ptolemy of ace and 6. which ought always to be $n$ or 6 , which are both the frame, and anfwer to one twelfth, on five minutea the twelfth of fixty.

Printed of A. Straban, Frinters Stret, Lomian.
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# A CATALOGUE of the ARTICLES of COMMERCE MENTIONED IN 

THE DIGEST OF THE ROMAN LAW, Andim

## THE PERIPLUS OF THE ERYTHREAN SEA.

AFTER the former part of this Work was publifhed, a recommendation occurred in the Indian Difquiftions of Dr. Robertfon (p. 58.), to compare the Roman law in the Digeft with the articles of commerce in the Periplûs. This tafk I undertook with great readinefs, and had the fatisfaction to find the concurrence fogeneral, as to encourage me to purfue the comparifon throughout. The conclufion derived from the performance of this tafk was a conviction that the digeft was the belt commentary on the Periplus, the moft ample proof of its authenticity, and the moft complete illuftration of the Oriental Commerce of the ancients. This confideration led me to the defire of confolidating the two catalogues into one, in which I might concentre the proofs, and at the fame time have an opportunity of correcting the errors I had been led into by my dependance on claffical authorities, without a fufficient knowledge of Natural Hiftory. To this caufe, I truft, will be imputed, the defects of the former catalogue ; and, though the fame caufe may ftill ope-
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rate, in a degree, I have now, however, been affinced in removing many mifconceptions by the kindnefs of Dr. Falconer of Bath, and by that of his Son; who is a fellow-labourer with me in the illuftration of ancient geography, and the tranflator of the Periplus of Hanno. To both of them I was known only by my publications and unfolicited by me, both propofed feveral corrections which I an happy to adopt. If the object of an author is the inveftigation of truth, he will receive all friendly corrections with gratitude, rather than defend his errors with pertinacity or ill-humour. I am fenfible alfo, that I food in more need of advice than many others might have done, becaufe I came to this office with lefs information in Natural Hiflory, than was requifite for the undertaking. This, perhaps, might have been a fufficient renfon for decliving it altogether ; but I wifhed to clacidate the author that I had before me; and, I truft, that what I have done, will be acceprable to every reader who iss not deeply verfed in Natural Hiftory himfelf.
N. B. When an article in the following catalogue is found both in the Digeft and the Periplus, it will be marked 1. P.; and with one of thole letters, when it occurs only in one of them.
When the obfervations are inferted which I received from 1r. Falconer or his Son, thofe of the Father will be marked F. F. and thofe of the Son F.
Obfervations which are fill dubious will be marked $Q$.

The Refcript of the Roman Emperors relating to the articles innported into Egypt from the Eaft, is found in the Digeft of the Ro--man Law, book xxxix. title xvi. 5,7 . in the edition of Gothofred, vol. i. p. 570 , (beft edition, vol. ii. p. 919 .) and cited by Salmafius Plin. Exercit. p. 1889 . Paris edition, 1629 . Ramufio, vol. i. p. 37 !. Purchas, vol. ii. p. 33, and by Bergeron, \&c. \&cc.

Neither Ramufio or Purchas hav' eentered into any difciffion of the articles fpecified, but enumerate them as they ftand in the Re-fcript, which Gothofred fhews to be abundantly incorrect. Salmafius has done much towards reftoring the true reading, and much is ftill wanting.

The law itfelf, or rather the Refript, is imputed by Ramufio to Marcus and Commodus, and, ftanding, as it does, between two other Refcripts, which bear their mame, it is probable that this opiz nion is right.

The paffage which precedes the Refcript in the Digeft, is as follows:
" The Refeript of Marcus and Commodus ordains, that no blame " fhall attach to the collectors of the cuftoms, for not noticing the " amount of the cuftoms to the merchant, while the goods are in " tranfit; but if the merchant wifhes to enter them, the officer is. " not to lead him into error."

Upon this, it is only neceffary to obferve, that Commodus was affeciated with his father Marcus Aurelius Antoninus, in the empire; four years before his death; that is, from the year 176 to 180 : This makes the Refcript more than a century later than the date 1 haye affumed for the Periplûs. Anno 63. See fupra, p. 57.

## GENERAL TITLE OF TIE SECTION.

 Species partinentes ad $V$ cetigal,Which may be rendered, "Particular articles [of Oriental Com" merce] fubject to duties [at Alcsandria."] Or, if Species be confined to a fenfe in which it was fonctimes ufed, it fignifies Spices, gums, drugs, or aromatics. Salmafius fhews that the fame term had been applied in Greek: Inferior Latinitas fpecienn fimpli-
 Dr. Falconcr obferves from Du Cange: Aromita, vel res quarvis aromatices. Gallis, IPpices.-Spices were mised with winc. Solomon's Song, yiii. 2.; and in the middle ages this mixture was called Pigmentum, the Spiccy Bowl ; Potio ex melle et vino et diverfis fpeciebus confecta. Du Cange.-Species is likewife ufed for the ingredients of a compound medicine before they are mixed. F. F.

Articles of Commerce mevioned in the Digest, and in the Plriplus of the Erythrean Sea, afignal to Arrian.

## A

1. 'A'ón $\lambda \alpha$. Abolla. P.

If this term be Greek, it is remarkable that it fhould not occur in any Greek Lexicon, and if it is Latin (as apparently it is), it is equally remarkable that a Greek merchant of Alcxandria, fuch as
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the author probably was, flould have introduced a Latin 'term into his Greck catalogue; but Latin terms crept into purer Greek winters than our author, and commerce perhaps had adopted this, as exprefling the acual garment which was neither ufed by, or formerly known to the Greeks. The Roman Abolla was a military cloke ${ }^{2}$, perhaps not unlike our watch cloke. And the adoption of the word is not more flrange than the ufage of the Englifh in adopting the French Surtout, or the French adopting the Englifh Rcdingatc (Riding Coat).

## 

Single cloths dyed and imitating fome of a fuperior or difierent quality. But fee Salmaf, ad Vopifcum.
"Abonot, according to Salmafius (Plin. Exercit. 1062,) are fingle
 but whether this relates to the texture, to the ornaments wrought on them, or the confideration of their being with lining or without, feems difficult to determine: Our weavers call a filk, /bot, when the warp is of one colour and the woof of another; and the word "AConos may be literally rendered $u n$ /bot ; but it docs not follow that this is an accurate rendering of the term. Homer montions garments both fingle and double ; and Deboralu makes the mother of Sifera fay, that

> 2 The word Abolla is not in Du Cange, but it is in Meurfius, who fays, that the following article" AGonat ought to be read AG6rat. The gender of the adjectives ufed with "AGorot is adverfe to this fuppofition.
> * It feems worn as an outer military cloke by officers and wen of rank. Ptolemy, fon of Juba, king of Marritania, grandion of M.

Antony by Sclenè the daughter of Clcopátra, was killed by Caligula, who was a great grandfon of Antony, non alià de caufain quam quod edente fe momus, ingreflua fpectacala consertiffe oculos hominuau fulgore purpurex costle animadvertit. Stue. Calig. c. 35 , It was likewife a garb of the philufophets, audi fucinus majoris Abolle. Juvenal.

## APPENDIX.

ber fon had perhaps brought bome a raiment of needle work, of needle work on both files, which is apparently correfpondent to the tunick, which Ulyfies deleribes to Ponelupe (OA. Mis. I. 230). If
 may be rendered phain thoths of one colour, and vóos would cxprefs that they were of an inferior quality. Bui fee the term dmaósuaros, Diog. Laertius in Diogene, p. 350 . Horace. $D i f / / i j^{3}$ panno patientia velat. And the addrels of Plato to Ariftippus in Diog. Jaert. Ariftip.
 " only Pliilofopher who can affume with equal propriety the drefs
 " cynick."
3. 'Aóáuses. Diamond D. Y.

The ancients certainly apply this word to our modern gem the diamond, but ufe it in a larger fenfe as we fill ufe adamant, applied to other hard fubftances. But in the only paffage where it occurs in the Periples, it is mentioned on a coaft where diamonds very probably were to be purchafed, and is joined with the Ityacinth or Ruby, and other tranfarent flones.
'Theophraftus thought the diamond indeftratible by fire, which is 'now found to be a millake, If. Many experiments have been tried on this fubjee: of late, and diamonds under the rays of a rethecting mirror, have been reduced to charcoal!
4. Alabandia.

A precious fone between a ruby and an ancthyft. Dutens, p. 16. But Hofiman renders it toys or trifles. See Cofmas, Ind. Mont-- See Apollomius, Epii. iit. where swà is oppofed to TiGiny.
fauçon,

 To Adabaydyyot. Marallo feems to be Marawar, and Kaber the Kaveri; and if pearls are the attribute of Marallo, fome precions ftone fhould of courfe be the attribute of Kaber.
5. 'A入ón. D. P.

There are two forts of Aloe, one a bitter cathartic, and anothe: an aromatic, by fome fuppofed to be the fandal-wood. Sce Salm. Plin. Ex. $\operatorname{1056}$; but it is, to all appearance, the Agallochum of the Digef, mentioned ftil under the name of Agala, as an odoriferous wood by Claptain Hamilton, at Mufcat. Account of the E. Indies, vol. i. p. 68. It is probably ufed by the author of the Periplus in the former fenfe, as being mentioned on the coaft of Oman in Arabia, where the Succotrine Aloe is naturally imported, as the inland Socotra itfelf was under the power of the Arabs on the main, being fubject to Eleazus king of Sabbatha, in the neighbourhood of Oman.

It is remarkable, that when the author arrives at Socotra, he fays nothing of the Aloe, and mentions only Indian Cinnabar as a gum or refin diftilling from a tree. I was at a lofs to underftand what this meant, till I learned from Chambers's Dictionary that the confounding of Cinnabar with Dragon's Blood was a miftake of ancient date, and a great abfurdity. Dragon's Blood is ftill procurable at Socotra.

## 6. Anonum. D. See Kard-Amomum.

7. 'Ardpázutes. Inager. P.

Thefe are mentioned as imported into Oman in Arabia; but whether as merely ornamental, or objects of fuperlition, dous ant appear. Dr. Falconer had fuppofed that thefe might be images, brought from the Eaft like our China figures; but they are imports from Egypt into Arabia, and therefore probably Grecian workmanhip. See Pcripl. p. 16. F. F. \& F.
 Plate poliflocd. P.
Thefe works in filver do not appear to be the beautiful produce of Greck artifts, but veffels of plate adapted to the market. By the frequent mention of theic articles, they muft have formed a confiderable branch of commerce.
9. 'Agosurkò. Arfenick. P.
10. 'A $\rho$ м́para. Aromatic.s. P.

Drugs in general are comprehended under this term (Sal. Plin. Ex. p. 1049, 1050).


B
12. B ${ }^{2} \grave{\wedge} \lambda \lambda \alpha$. Bdellitm. P.

An aromatic gum, fuppofed to be imported from Africa, but now seldom ufed ${ }^{4}$. Salmafins ' defribes it as a pellicid exudation from

[^302]the tree fo callu, not quite clear, of a waxy fubftance, and eafily melted, called by the Portuguefe anime ; there are three forts, Arabian, Retrean, and Bacirian. It was imported, according to the Periplê', from Binnagara, or Minnagara [Bekker], in Scindi; and from Barygaza [Baroach] in Guzerat.

The ribna Bliedolahh of fripture, Gen. ii. 12. Num. xi. 7. rendered bdcllium, is by the Rabbis rendered chryftal, and has nothing in common with the bdellium of the Periplûs but its tranfparency. The word bdellium feems a diminutive of the bdella ufed by our author. Pliny, b. sii. c. 9 .

There are ftill found three forts; two African, rather of dark brown hue; and one Afiatic, anfwering the deferiptions of Salmafius, generally brought to Eugland among parcels of myrrh. There are fpeceimens of the African fort in the collection of Dr. Burgefs.

Bdella are fuppofed by Benjamin of Túdela to be pearls (p. 52. Bergeron) ; and oyfters, either he or his tranflator calls reptiles: he finds them at Katiphan (el Katif). And Schikard interprets bedolach, pearls; but fays they are not the bdellium of fcripture. Pliny: tranflucidum, fimile ceræ, odoratum, et cam fricatur, pingue, guftu amarum, citra acoren; aliqui Peraticum zppellant ex Media advectum. Lib. xii. g. or 19 Hardouin. Peraticum is the general term of the Periplûs for any article brought from beyond the Straits of
 In Pliny it is evidently a gum ; the beft fort from Bactria, and the - inferior fpecies from Arabia, India, Media, and Babylon. It is alfo a gum apparently in the Periplûs. F.
13. Beryllus, D. Beryl, IAGzt Marinc, Acua Muriat.

Some have miftaken it for the eornclian, but the true beryll has the colours of fea water. Pliny, xxxvii. 20. Hard. Irobatiflimi funt ex iis, qui viriditatem puri maris imitantur. It is a gem of greac hardnefs, very brilliant, tranfparent, and of a green and blue colour delicately mixed, and varying according to the different proportions of either. Dutens.
14. By/fus, Opus By/ficum. D. By/fron.-Cottou Goods.

I underftand there is a work of Dr. Reinholl Forfter, De Byfis Antiquorum.

## $\Gamma$

15. Galbane, Galbanum. D.

A gum from a ferula or fennel growing in Africa. Salm. p. 353 . It is an emollient, and ufed in plaifters; fuppofed to be derived from the Hebrew chelbena, fat. Exod. xxx. 34. Ecclef. xxiv. 2 r. Chambers in voce.-" Galen, Diofcorides, and Pliny, deferibe it " alfo as the produce of a ferulaceous plant. Bubon Galbanum * foliolis rhombis, dentatis, friatis, glabris, umbellis paucis. Linn. " Sp. Pl. p. 364. Little ufed as an internal medicine; but defcribed "alfo by Nicander in the Theriaci:." F. F.
 Zigeer in Perfick fignifies fmall. The fmaller and finer rolls of caffia were moft valued, Diofcorides fays, the beft fort was called Gizi, which is a corruption of Zigeir.
17. $\Delta$ кцо́ббia,

## $\Delta$

17. Atrpórsia, p. 8. Dicroffia.-Clotbs either fringed or Ariped. P.
Kogoćr and repoorát, according to Salmafius ${ }^{\circ}$; from Hefychius, fignifies the fteps of a ladder, or in anotber fente; the cornice of a wall, or the battlements. But he deriveg, the fame word from keipo, to thave, and interprets nógree, locks of hair. Hence cloths, ס̌xpóoria, he fays, are thofe which have a fringe knotted or twifted.

 of Hefychius, the cornice of the wall, or as it may be rendered the Acp of the parapet, a rim or line running round below the battlements. Not differing, perhaps, from the application of the word as ufed $\mathbb{Z} 35$, where Homer fays, the fhips were too numerous to be drawn up on
 them in lines one behind another like the fteps of a ladder. Agreeable to the other explanation of Hefychius, or as Apollonius renders


We may therefore conclude, that we cannot err much in rendering the $\Delta$ aréoran of the Periplûs, either cloths fringed, with Salmafius, or friped with Apollonius. So Virgil, virgatis lucent fagulis. The term ufed here is in conjunction with cloths. "AGonot ...... is
 Aevtic is the Latin word Lintea, and Meurfius in voce, fays, $\lambda_{\text {evria }}$ $\dot{\alpha} \%$ poo $\sigma \alpha$ are plain linens, not flriped.

[^303] denomination nearly Sd. Ingglih. 1.
It appears by the l'criphes, that this coin was carried into Abyfinia for the fake of commerce with firmigrs, and that both gold * and fiver Denarii were exchanged on the coat of Malabar again. the fpecie of the country with advantage to the merchant.

Are joined in the Periplûs with Kaffia, and are fuppofed to be inferior fpecies of the cinnamon. See Ramulio, in his difcourfe on the voyage of Nearchus, and Salmaf. de Ifomonymiis Myles lattices, c. xcii. c. xxiii. a work referred to by Salmatius himelf, but I have not fen it.

Slaves of a better fort and for the Egyptian market.

## E

21. "Endiov. Oil of Olives. P.

22. 'Evócia. Fragrant forces or gums. P.

$$
Z
$$

24. Z ̂̃vat סxtwoàs P.

Girdles or purfes wrought or embroidered. A great commerce throughout the aft is fill carried on in fakes, ornamented with

[^304]every fort of device, and wrought up with great expence. Ertwai does not occur in the lexicons, but probably means/baded of different colours.
25. Zirribsp. Ginger. D. P.

Not mentioned in the Periplûs, but by Salmalius ", who fays the ancients knew little of it, and believed it to be the root of the pepper plant. It is applied to a fpecies of cinnamon by Diofcorides (p. 42.), poffibly to an ordinary fort from the coaft of Zanguebar, and Zingiber itfelf may be derived from Zingi, the name of the African blacks on that coaft.

## H

26. "Hpiovos varypoi. Mulues for the faddle. P.

## $\Theta$

 curs only in the Periplûs, p. 7. and without any thing to render it intelligible.

## I

 For the Barbarine ${ }^{12}$ market, undrefied and of Egyptian manu-facture--The Barbarines are the ancient Troglodyte Shepherds of Upper Egypt, Nubia, and Ethiopia, very graphically traced and diftinguifhed by Bruce.

I: Plin. Exercit, p. sopo. $\quad$ The weft coalt of the Gulph of Arabia.

For the Barbarine market, dreffed, and dyed of various colours.
 й dráxputos. Cloths. P.
Made up, or coating for the Arabian market.
I. Xetpotiviois. P.

With fleeves reaching to the wrif.

3. ミnoтs ${ }^{\text {átas. P. }}$

Wrought with figures. From the Latin Scutum, Scutulatus; the figure being in the form of a fhield. A dappled grey horfe is thus called Scutulatus,
4. Arázouvos. Sbot with Gold. P,
5. Пoגvtenウ̀s. P .

Of great price.
6. NóGoc. P.

In imitation of a better commodity.

Of a better quality, or in great quantity.
8. Пaytoĩos. P.

Of all forts.
9. Пели́ $\mu / \tau \alpha$
 Polynitorum. Vulgate, \&c. Pallis Hyacintbinis, Cblamydibus coccinzis. Chald. Parap.
Of thicker woof, or larger woof than warp. Q.
29. Iydicav $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \nu$. P. Indico. Salmaf. \& Hoffman in voce.

See Pliny, xxxv. 27. Hard. cited by Hoffman, where it is manifeftly indico, uled both as a colour and a dye.
30. "ITrow. Horfes.

As prefents, and as imports into Arabia.

## K

31. Káruapos. Kankanus-Gun Lack. D. P.

According to Scaliger; and Diofcorides calls it a gum. But Salmafius rather inclines to think it a drug like myrrh. Lack was ufed as a purple or blue dye by the Indigo dyers. Ivdrecobapor. Salmas. Plin. Exercit. 1148. In 52. Plin. xii. 20. See Pomet's Hiftory of Drugs, b. viii. p. 199, who fays gum of four colours was found in one lump. He does not hold it to be Gum Lack, but that it has a fmell like it ; it is found in Africa, Brafil, and Saint Clurifopher's. Pomet's Specimen was from the Weft Indies.
32. Ká $\lambda \tau 65 . K$ Kltis-A Gold Coin. P.

According to the Periplûs it was a coin of this name current in Bengal, and that the metal was collected from a mine in the neighbourhood. Stuckins fays, a coin called Kalais is ftill current in

4E
Bengal,

Bengal, on what authority does not appear. Paolino notices the word, but I cannot recal the paffage to my memory; it is called Kalteen in Bengal, or Kurdeen, in the Areen Acbari at pretent. Af. Ref. vol, v. p. 269.

## 

Both the Amomum and Cardamomum are mentioned in the Digeft, and are fuppofed by Dr. Burgefs to be the fame aromatic, and that amomum has the addition of kar, from its refembling an heart, which is does. The doubts of Natural Hiftorians on this fubject are numerous, and Sälmatius, after mueh learned difquifition, leaves the queftion undetermined. (See article Koftamomum.) But the opinion of my friend Dr. B. is this, that the lardamomum differs from the amomum chielly as to its outward appearance in the fhape of the pol or the veffels in which it is contained. The true amomum, he fays, is from Java, its pod is in the flape of a nafturtium, under which title it is defcribed by Pliny, while the kardamomum is in the form of an heart. It is brought from Sumatra, Ceylon, and Africa. The Sumatran approaches ncareft that of Java, both in fhape and flavour, but none of the forts are equal to the Javan; the flavour is aromatic, warm, and pungent, in which qualities it is refembled by all thofe fpecies which take the addition of amomum, and $I$ have been favoured with fpecimens of all the different forts by Dr. B. Theophranus fays both come from Media; others derive them from India, Martin Virg. eclog. iii. 89. Affyrium amomum, equivalent to Median. Galen fays it is confiderably


in vocc. Warmth and pungency are therefore the qualities of both, and the difference in degree accords with the two fpecimens of Dr. 13. Whether the Greeks firft found thefe in Media and Affyria, or whether there were aromatics in thofe countries refembling thofe of India, may ftill be doubred, The Greeks called cinnamon the produce of Arabia, till they had a knowledge of that country themCelves.

Murray, vol, i. p. 65 , doubts the origin of the name; for he fays, "The Indians call it cardamon, but thinks it very dubious, whether " the cardomum of the ancients be the fame. The pericarpium of " the lefier cardomum has obfcurely the thape of a heart. Lewis " fays it is defcribed in the Hortus Malabaricus under the title of "Elettari." F. F. What is added muft compel me to retraa my fuppofition, that amomum expreffes warmth and pungency. "No"târunt viri docti $\alpha^{\prime \prime} \mu_{\mu} \mu \mu_{0} \lambda_{i}$ bavioutov, thus effe et fincerum et incul" patum, veterefque $\ddot{\alpha} \mu \omega \mu \% \nu$ vocâfle omne aroma quod purum et non " vitiatum effet. Bodæus a Stapel. Theophraft. p. 981. Stephan.



If the opinion of Dr. Burgefs be right, which feems highly probable, and this aromatic be found only in Java and Sumatra, or perhaps in Ceylon, it argues in favour of the Periplus, which is filent upon this fubject; for the veracity of the merchant is as much concerned in not noticing what he had not, as in defcribing what he had feen.

> 34. Gapilli Indici. D.

## 35. Kap ${ }^{2} \dot{c}$

Oppofed to ordinary cottons. It is remarkable that the native Shanflereet term is Karpuff, as appears by Sir Willian Jones's cataloguc. Afiat. Ref. vol. iv. p. 231. Calcutta cdition. But how this word found its way into Italy, and beceme the Latin Carbafus (fine linen) is furprizing, when it is not found in the Greck language. The K $\alpha$ р $\pi \alpha \sigma$ 'soy $\lambda$ ivo of Paufanias (in Atticis), of which the wick was formed for the lamp of Pallas, is Afbuftos, fo called from Karpafos, a city of Crete. Salm. Pl. Excrcit. p. 1 - 8 .

Carbafo Indi corpora ufque ad pedes velant eorumque rex aurca lectica margaritis circum pendentibus recumbit diftinais auro et purpurâ carbafis qua indutus cf. Q. Curtius, lib. viii. c. 9. F.-r owe this paffage to Mr. Falconer, and think it may confirm the
 Peripl. p. 34. So Lucan alfo, Pharf, iii. 239.

Fluxa coloratis aftringunt carbafa gemmis. F. Karnefium is a medicinal juice. Diofcor. A peifonous juice. Galen. It is a fubftitute for ciunamon, or a feecies of that fpicc. 'Avri Kıvapépez Kap-
 ferent fpecies are unknown. Salmaf. p. 1306.-Has Kagryorov any reference to the $\mathrm{K} \alpha_{\rho} \rho \varphi_{n}$ of Herodotus ?
> 36. Kapuopuadov, D. Garofalo, It. Girofle, Fr. Clote de Girofle, Fr .

Our Englifh clove is probably from clou, a nail, which the clove refembles, but not without a poffibility that it may be a contraction of girofle. The garyophyllon of Pliny is not the clove. F.F. The clove is a fpice of the Moluccas, which is the reafon that the Merchant

Merchant of the Periplûs did not fee it or record it ; neither do I find it in the catalogue of Diofcorides (Matthioli) as an Oriental fpice. It fhould feem therefore from Pliny, the Periplûs, and Diofcorides, that this fpice was not known early to the ancients; and * the reafon was, becaufe they did not go farther eaft than Ceylon." Salmafius, however, is of a different opinion, as I learn from Dr. Falconer, who cites his work, De Homonym. Hyles Iatric. c. 95.which I have not feen: .

Vidit Plinius Caryophyllon quale apud nos frequens vifitur cujus in fummo clavi capite rotundum extat tuberculum piperis grano fimile, fed grandius et fragile, multis veluti fibris intus refertum. Calicem floris effe volunt adhuc conniventem, et nondum apertum, videtur exiftimaffe Plinius effe fructum ipfum pediculo fuo infidentem et inhærentem, nam clavius effe plane ligneas, et furculi inftar habere ei vifus eft. . . . . Caryophy黄am ad condimenta olim ufurpatam ut piper et coftum, \&cc. . . oftendunt apicii excerpta; ..... quod dixit Plinius de odore Caryophyllorum fidem facit non alia fuiffe ejus ætate cognita quam quæ hodie habentur, \&c. . Dr. F. is not convinced by Salmafius, and his doubt is well founded. F. F. Cofmas mentions the Eunorapu¢u入rov at Ceylon, and Hoffman (in voce) informs us, that the wood of the clove-tree is now ufed in odoriferous compofitions and unguents. It is a circumftance in favour of the veracity of the Periplûs, that the Merchant has not recorded this fpice; and of.Cofmas, that his friend Sopatrus faw only the wood. An hundred years later than the Periplûs, it had found a place in the Digeft : the cuftom-houfe at Alexandria received not the imports of one merchant only, but every thing that found its way by any conveyance from the Eaft. It ought not to
be onitted, "that caryophyllon is polibly not derived from the " Greck; for the Turks wfe the term Kalafir, and the Arabs, Ka"rumfel, for the cove." Nicuhof: Leg. Batav. vol, ii. p. 93. F, F. Wtill it may be inquircl, whether the Arabic harumfel may not be Gorrowed from the Greek karuophyl: many Greck terms for plants, drugs, \&ec. adoptad by the Arabs, are noticed by Salmafins.
37. Karoía. Kajfu. D. P.

This fpice is mentioned frequently in the Pcriphis, and with various additions, intended to fpecify the different forts, propertics, or appearances of the commodity. It is a fpecies of cinmamon, and Whanifetly the fame as what we call cinnamon at this day; but differont from that of the Grecks and Romans, which was not a bark, nor rolled up into pipes like ours. Their's was the tender fhoot of the fame plant, and of much higher valuc, fold at Rome in the proportion of a thoufand denarii ${ }^{12}$ to fifty; it was found only in the poffefion of Emperors and Kings; and by them it was diftributed in prefents to favourites, upon folemn occafions, embaffies, \&cc.

That it was the tender fhoot, and not hollow, may be proved from Pliny, lib. xii. 19, where he iuforms us that Vefpafian was the firt that dedicated crowns of cinnamon inclofed in gold filagree (auro interrafili) in the Capitol, and the Temple of Peace; and that Livia dedicated the roct in the Palatine Temple of Auguftus; after which he adds, that the cafia is of a larger fize than the cinnamon (craffiore farmento), and has a thin rind rather than a bark, and its yalue confifls in being bollowed out (exinaniri pretium eff). He adds, that the beft fort has a thort pipe of this rind or coating (brevi tunicarum
finula et non fragili, lege et fragili); this Cafia is manifeftly a Cinnamon, and by confulting the two chapters of Diofcorides on Cafia and Cinnamon, the beft cafia called Daphnitis, at Alexandria, is doubtlefs the fame. Matthioli, p. 42 ; and again his cinnamon is, " fottile di rami," a very fine fpray, with frequent knots, and fmooth between the joints. Salmafius cites Galen, who compares the Karm pafium тоís Kıvapúus ázpépoгt, to the extreme thoot or fray of cinnamon, and $\alpha^{\prime} x p$ ' $\mu$ or, is fo peculiarly expreffive of this, as to remove all doubt, (p. 1304, Plin. Ex.) but if our cinnamon is the ancient cafia, our cafia is again aninferior fort of cinnamon; both are known to our druggifts and grocers; and fince the conqueft of Ceylon, the duty is lowered on our cinnamon, and raifed on our cafia. The reafon of which is plain; becaufe the true and beft cinnamon is wholly our own by the poffeffion of Ceylon, and cafia is procurable from Sumatra, and feveral of the eaftern illes. (See Marfden's Sumatra, p. 125.) It is plain, therefore, that we adopt cinnamon for the cafia of the ancients, and cafia for an inferior cinnamon. Whether the cinnamon and cafia of the ancients were both from the fame plant, may be doubted; for there are different fpecies even of the beft forts, as we learn from Thunberg; but that both had the fame virtue, though not equal in degree, we are affured by Galen, who informs us, that two parts of cafia are equal to one of cinnamon. (Matthioli, p. 46.) And Galen examined both when he compofed the Theriac for the emperor Severus.

I am confirmed in the opinion I had formed by Dr. Falconer, who (after citing Linnæus, Combes, Philof. Tranfact. 1780 , p. 873 ; Doffie's Memoirs of Agriculture, p. 202.; Solander ; Thunberg, Vet. Acad. Hanbl. 1780, p. 56.; and Murray, Apparat. Med. vol. iv. pp, 441, 442. edit. Gotting. $17^{87}$ ) writes thus: "I myfelf
" compared
"compared two bundles, one of calia and another of cinnamon, " and in prefence of all the phyticians and furgeons of the Ge" neral Hofpital at this place [Bath], and none of us could find any "difference in the fize of the pieces, in the tafte, flavour, colour, " or fmell of the different articles, cither in quality or degrec." Thefe are the two fpecics as now diftinguifhed; that is, the cinnamon of Ceylon, and the cafia (fay) of Sumatra. Fle then adds: "Perhaps it may be true that the fmall branches were called cinna"'mon [by the ancients], but the difference between that and cafia " was fimall. Galenus palam prodit (inquit Matthiolus in Diofen" ridem) caffiam fepenumero in cinnamomum tranfinutari, fate"turque fe vidiffe caffix ramulos omni ex parte cimamomum refe"rentes, contra pariter infpexife cinnamomi furculus caffix prorfus " perfimiles. Matthiol. Diofoor. p. 34. he fays, the ficks of cin" namon are not in length above half a Roman foot ; and Diofco" rides, in Matthioli's tranflation, ufcs the words tenuibus ramu" lis." F.F.-See alro Larcher, Herod. tom. iii. p. 375. who fuppofes that the excefs of price in the fpray, was occafioned by its caufing the deftruction of the plant when fo cut.

This fort we mult Grft confider, becaufe they themfelves applied the name improperly, having it derived, by their own account, from the' Phênicians ${ }^{13}$, and giving it to the fame production, though in a different form and appearance from that by which it is known to us.

The kinnamomum of the Greeks and Romans was neceflarily derived from the Phênician " ${ }^{\prime}$, becaufe the merchants of that country firft brought it into Greece. The Greeks themfelves had no direct

[^305]communication with the eaft; and whether this fice was brought into Perfia ${ }^{\text {'s }}$ by means of the northern caravans, or by fea into Arabia, the intermediate carriers between either country and Greece wcre of courfe Phênicians. It will therefore be no dificult matter to prove that the Phênician term expreffes the cinnamon we have, and not that indicated by the Greeks and Romans. The term in all thefe languages fignifies a pipe; for the Hebrew tup kheneh is the Latin canna; and fyrinx, fiftula, cannella, and cannelle, convey the fame idca in Greek, Latin, Italian, and French. The Hebrew term occurs in Exodus, xxx. 23, 24. joined with cafia, as it is almoft univerfally in the writings of the Greeks and Romans. It
 befem, the fweet or fweet-fcented pipe; and the word rendered Calia by our tranllators " is phen khiddah, from khadh, to fplit or divide longways. Thefe two terms mark the principal diftinctions of this fpice in all thefe languages; as khinemon befem, Hebrew ; cofia fyrinx, Greek; cafia fiftula", Latin; cannelle, French; and

[^306]Salm. Plin. Ex. p. 540. Certe cafia nomen pro ea fpecie qua folvit alvum ex Acacia factum quamvis diverfum fit genue. Id. p. 1056. This corruption is not of very modern date; for Salmafins indds, Ut mirum fit ante hos trecentos et amplius annos, cafiam filtulam Latinis di太tam, eam qua purgandi vim habet. See alfo Ramufio, vol. i. p. 282.

Mr . Falconer doubts concerning the cafia fiftula, but acknowledges that Bodreus on Theophrattus, p. 293. is of a contrary opibion. F. I cannot help thinking that the authorities here produced, in conformity to Bodauz, muft preponderate.
in the fame manner the inferior fort is khiddah, Hubrew; xylocafia 's, Greek; cafia lignea, Latin.

Whether the Greeks and Latins derive their torm from the Hebrew khine-mon ${ }^{20}$, or from the compound kheneh-amomum, is not fo eafy to determine; for amomum is a general term ${ }^{21}$ for any warm drug or fpice, and kin-amomum, in this form, would be again the fipice-canna, the cafia fiftula under another defcription. But that the cafia fiftula and the cafia lignca are marked as the two leading diftinct fpecies, from the time of Mofes to the prefent hour, is felfevident. And I now fay, that if the Romans applied the term Cinnamon to the tender fhoot of this plant, and not to the pipe cinnamon, fuch as we now have it from Ccylon, their ufe of the word was improper. That this was the cafe, there is reafon to think; but that there was fome obfcurity or fluctuation in their ufage, is certain alfo.

Salmaffus ${ }^{22}$ quotes Galen to prove that the plant itfelf was brought to the emperor Marcus Aurelius, from Barbarikè ${ }^{23}$, in a cale feven

25 This fpecies is diftincly mariked in the Roman Law de publicanis, leg. xvi. D. Catiafyrinx, Xylo-cafia. Sulm, 1055. id. in Canticis Salomonis, Nardus, Crochs, Fithla cinnamomum. It is called $\overline{2 x} \lambda$ pporecò, Itard Cafia, in the Periplas.
${ }^{20}$, phen is from a reed, canna, and the termination doubtfui, but probably from |טָנָ , מנj, manna, fignifics the food fron' Heaven; the peculiar food or bread. And hence 1wnep the peculiar cauna, by way of preeminence. Parkhuyt derives it not from Nap , ranna, but from dup, khanani, to fmell ftroug, but he allows there is no fuch verb in Hebrew.

I camnot help thiuking that D שֶ' khenuch befem, and $\mathrm{D} \boldsymbol{U}$ चु belem, have the fame rove. The fweet khenac, the fweet khinnemon. Notwilhthanding khatsheh befem is renderel calani odoriferi, the fiweet calamus, it is certainly not tecluically the calanme aromaticus.
*Salm 4ot.
*Plin. Ex, p. 1304. Gaten de Antidutis, lib. i .
${ }^{2}$ Barbarikè is perhaps not a proper name, but the port frequented by the Barbars of Adel or Moofyllon. It is the mart in Scindi; but whether Patala or Minagara, is difficult. to deternine.
feet long. Galen faw this, and there were other cafes of a fmaller fize, containing feccimens of an inferior fort. This, therefore, muft be in a dry ftate; but this he fays was the true cinmamon. Undoubtedly it was, for the plant itfelf, and the fpice, as we have it, in its ufual form, have this difference and no more. But Galen fays, in another paffage ${ }^{24}$, that cafia and cinnamon are fo much alike that it is not an eafy matter to diftinguifh one from the other. And Diofcorides writes," Cafia grows in Arabia; the beff fort is " red, of a fine colour, almoft approaching to coral, flrait, long, " and pipy, it bites upon the palate with a flight fenfation of heat, " and the beft fort is that called Zigir, with a feent like a rofe." This is manifeftly the cinnamon we have at this day ; but he adds, " cinnamon has many names, from the different places where it [is " procured or] grows. But the beft fort is that which is like the " cafia of Mofyllon, and this cinnamon is called Mofyllitic, as well " as the cafia." This therefore is only a different fort of the fame fpice, but it does not grow either in Arabia or at Mofylon, it took. its name from either country, as procured in the marts of either. This traffic is explained in the Periplûs, but Diofcorides was unacquainted witti it, The defcription ${ }^{25}$ he gives of this cinnamon is, "That when frefh, and in its greateft perfection, it is of a dark " colour, fomething between the colour of wine and [dark] ath, " like a fmall twig or fpray full of knots, and very odoriferous." This is manifeftly not our cinnamon, but the fame as Galen's, the tender fhoot and not the bark. It is worth remarking that Diofcorides lived in the reign of Nero ${ }^{25}$, and if the true fource of cinna-

[^307]mon was then juft beginning to be known by means of the navisution detailed in the leriphes, this knowledge had not yct reached Mlia" Minor or Rome. Pliny who lived a fow years later hal jun arrived at this information, for he fay's exprefsly, Mofyllon was the port to which cinnamon was brought ${ }^{28}$, and confequently the port whete it was procured by the Greeks from Egypt, and through Egypt conveyed to Rome. It lad long been procured there, and long ob.. tained the name of Mofyllitic, but it was now known not to be native, but imported at that place.

The trade to Molyllon was opened by the l'tolemies; ftill, before the exiftence of a Grecian power in Egypt, the Greeks hal probably little knowledge of it, but from the importation of it by the Phruicians; and the Pltênicians reccived it, either by land-earriage from the Idumeans of Arabia, or when they navigated the Red Sea themfelves with the fleets of Solomon, they obtained it immediately from Sabêa; perhaps alfo, if Ophir is Sofala on the coalt of Africa, they found it either at that port, or at the others, which the Greeks afterwardsfrequented. Thefe lay chiefly in Barbatia, (the kingdom of Adel,) comprehending the ports of Molyllon, Malao, and Mundus, where it was pofibly always to be met with. This commerce indeed is at beft only conjectural, neither could it be of long duration, as it ended with the reign of Solomon, and was nover refumed; but that the Phênicians had a fettled intercourfe with Sabea we learn incontrovertibly from lizekiel ${ }^{2 p}$, and that Sabêa was the centre of Oriental commerce, is proved in our account of the Periplùs.

[^308]It is this circumftance that induced all the early writers to impute the produce of India to the foil of Arabia; an error which commenced with the firft hiftorians extant, and which exifted in hiftory till the age of Pliny, and in poetry almoft to the prefent hour. Fable is the legitimate progeny of ignorance; we are not to wonder, therefore, when we read in Herodotus ${ }^{30}$, that cafia grew in Arabia, but that cionamon was brought thither by birds from the country where Bacchus was born, that is India. The term ufed by Herodotus indicates the cinnamon we now have; for it fignifies the peel, hull, or rind ${ }^{3 x}$ of a plant, and evidently points out the bark, under which form we fill receive this fice. The error of Herodotus is repeated by Theophraftus, who affigns both cafia and cinnamon to. Arabia ${ }^{32}$ : this intelligence I receive from Bochart ; and I am obligelto him alfo for a very curious citation from Uranius, in Stephanus de Urbibus, who fays, the country of the Abafenes produces myrrh, aromatic gums or odours, frankincenfe, and the bark [of cinnamon $]^{33}$. This pafiage is waluable as the firf inftance extant in which the name of Abyffinians is mentioned. Bur it is not to be depended on, unlefs it can be referred to the conquefts of that nation in Arabia, for thefe Abafeni are evidently joined with the Arabians of Sabêa and Hadramaut.

But whatever errors are to be found in ancient authors, relative to the production of fices in general, and cinnamon in particular,

[^309]ftill that they found their way into Egypt, Paleftine, Grecee, and all the conntries bordering on the Mediterrancan, in the carliefl ages, is a fact. This admits of proof from the thirticth chapter of Exodus, and we have traced the courfe of their introduction in the preliminary difquifitions of the firft book.

We may now, therefore, procced to examine the various forts of this fice mentioned in the Periplûs, which amount to ten; and very remarkable it is, that the modern enumeration of profefor Thunberg fhould compreliend juft as many fpecies. Not that it is to be fuppofed the fipcies correfpond, but the coincidenec of number is extraordinary. It is worthy of notice alfo, that cimamon is a term never ufed in the Periplus ; the merchant dealt only in cafia; cinnamon was a gift for princes. There is, even in this minute circumftance, a prefumption in favour of his veracity, not to be paffed without obicrvation.

It has been already mentioned in the account of Ceylon, that the ancients, who firft referred this fipice to Arabia, and afterwards to the cinnamomifera regio in Africa, as fuppofing it to grow in thofe countries becaufe they procured it there, never mention it in Ceylon. I think, with Sir William Jones, that this is one of the obfoureft circumftances in ancient commerce. Can we conceive that it grew there in any age, and was afterwards cradicated? or muft we not rather conclude, in conformity to the fuffrages of all the moderns, that there is no genuine cinmamon but that of Ceylon, and that the commerce itfelf was a myftery? The firft author that mentions cinnamon in Ceylon is the Scholiait on Dionyfius Periegetes; at leaft I have met with no other, and I mention it to promote the inquiry.

The ten forts in the Periplûs are,

1. Mooùnitw方. Mofyllitick. P.

So called from the port Mofyllon, where it was obtained by the Greeks from Egypt, and whither they always reforted, from their firft paffing the Straits of Bab-el-Mandeb. It was the cafia fiftula; the fame as we now have from Ceylon, and imported at Mofyllon directly from India, or from the Arabian marts on the ocean, which were rivals of the Sabêans. It is mentioned by feveral authors as the beft fort, or inferior only to zigeir, and therefore could not be native : there is indeed cinnamon on the coaft of Africa, but it is hard, woody ${ }^{24}$, and of little flavour. The regio cinnamomifera of Ptolemy bears no other fort but this: he places this tract at the boundary of his knowledge, that is, between Melinda and Mofambique; and if it is in any way entitled to the name, it cannot be from its own produce, but on account of the importation of the fpice from India; the traders who found it there, might fuppofe it native, in the fame manner as the early writers fpeak of the Mofyllitic, and which (as has been already noticed) Pliny firft mentions as imported. The Mofyllitic fpecies is rarely called cinnamon by the ancients, but cafia only. Their cinnamon was exhibited as a rarity, like that of Marcus Aurelius before meritioned. Antiochus Epiphanes" carried a few boxes of it in a triumphal proceffion; and Seleucus Callinicus prefented two minæ of this fpecies, and two of - cafia, as the gift of a king to the Milcfians. The cafia, or modern

4 Seven different forts Oriental, and two flavour. It auffers well to the charaAter of American, I have feen in the collection of oxangorphe Inr. Burgefs; and as African Ppecies, which
${ }^{35}$ Atheneus, lib. v. p. 195. Ib. ix. p. $403 .-$


 froyed all the phans on the chat, in orew to ferure the monomoly to Ceclon; and none is mov met with on the coaf, but an merior wild fort, uled by the naves, and brought fonetimes to Eurone fos the purpote of adulteration.

This fort is noticed and defribed by Diofeorides, as already mentioned; and to his defeription I can only adel, that zipgir, in Perfian and Arabic, as I am informed, fignifies ftrall ". The fine:ller bark muft of coure be from the finaller and tenderer fhoots, which is ftill eftemed the heft; the harder and thicker bark is cut and made to roll up in imitation of this, but is inferior, thongh from the fame plant. This at leaft is fuppofed ; but I do not freak from authority.
3. Aavipn. Afubse. I. Afyphemo in Mathioli, p. 42. Derhaps for 'Aoúp phaco.
This term, if not Oriental, is from the Greck cerviponas, alupheles, fignifying cheap or urdinary; but we do not find afuphè ufed in this: manner by other authers: it may be an Alexandrian corruption of the language, or it may be the abbreviation of a merchant in his invoice.

35 The Dutch are accufd of this by their rivals, as woll as diminifing the growth of nutmegs, \&c. in the Molacea Mands. But I obferve in the account of Hugh Boyd's Embaffy to Ceylon (Iud. Almual Regitier, 1709 ), an affertion, that the true cianamon never grew
any where but in Ceslim.
"I doubt this rulation at the finne tine I notice it; but an iuquiny misht atill be made, whether the Greck term affa be toot a com. ruption of giti.

## $\triangle P P E N D I X$.

4. "Aязид. Aroma. D. P.

Aroma is the general name for any warm fpice or drurg ; but it is twice inferted in a lift of cafias, and is therefore probably a fpecies as well as the others. It would intimate an aromatic fmell or flavour, and is poffibly one of fuperior quality. It is remarkable that Mofes ufes the fame term of fweet-fcented cinnamon.
5. Mór $\lambda \alpha$. Mốgla. P.

A fpecies unknown.
6. Morì. Motó. P.

A fecies unknown.
7. इx $\begin{gathered}\text { yoorepà. Sclerotera. D. P. Xylo Calfia, Wood Cinnamon. D. }\end{gathered}$

From the Greek Enkneos, hard. This is a term which occurs frequently, and perhaps diftinguifhes the cafia lignea (wood cinnamon) from the cafia fiftula (cannelle or pipe cinnamon): it may, however, fignify only a hard and inferior fort, in oppofition to brittlenefs, which is one of the characters of the fuperior fpecies.
 Dacar is noticed by Diofcorides, Matthioli, p. 42. and Moto by Galen. F.
All unknown. But Salmafius, and other commentators, agree in fuppofing them all to be fpecies of the fame foice,

Under Caffia, in the Digef, are mentioned,

1. Turiona vel Thymiana, and
2. Xylo Ca/fia.

Turima and Thymiama are expreflions for the fame thing in Latin and Groek-Incenfe. Kafia was mixed perhaps with incenfe in the temples, as well as other aromatic gums and odours. See Hoffinan in Thiymiama. But Dr. Falconer fuppoles thefe not to be different fpecies of calia, or misturcs with it, but fimply thus and thymiamn; which, however, xylo caffia feems to contradict. He thinks alfo, "that turiana may be the laurus caffia which grows in "Spain, on the river Turia or Guadalaviar."
"Floribus et rofcis formofus Turia ripis."
Claudian de Laudibus Serenar, 72.
Thefe are the ten forts enumerated in the Periplûs ${ }^{3 \pi}$. Profeffor Thunberg, who vifited Ceylon in his voyage from Batavia, reckons ten forts likewife. Four of nearly cqual value and excellence; three that are found only in the interior above the Ghauts ${ }^{39}$, in the government of the king of Candi; and three which are not worth gathering. The moft remarkable which he mentions are:

The raffe ${ }^{20}$ or penni-curundu, honey cimnamon, and capuru curundu, or camphor cinnamon, from the root of which camphor is diftilled : this laft is found only in the interior. The cinnamon for the European market was collected in the woods by the natives employed in the Dutch fervice, but has fince been planted on the fandy downs on the coaft. Thefe plantations, befides their convenience, are fo thriving, that the practice is likely to be continued. Can I conclude this account without obferving, that this rich and

[^310]valuable ifland is now in the poffeffion of the Englifh; and without a prayer, that the commerce may be conducted on more liberal principles, and the natives treated more generoufly by them than by their predeceffors? The knowledge which the ancients had of this iffand is treated at large in the Sequel to the Periplus; and it is to be hoped that the prefent governor, Frederick North, whofe mind is ftored with ancient knowledge, and whofe attention is alive to modern information, will communicate his refearches to the public.

I have only to add, that the Sanflereet names of this fpice are Savernaca and Ourana, as I learn from the Afiatick Refearches, vol, iv. p. 235.; and that Salmafius mentions Salihaca as the Arabic appellation, which he derives from the Greek Eudixi), lignea, or woody (p. 1306.), but which, if I did not pay great refpect to his authority, I fhould rather derive from Salikè, the Greck name of the illand in the age of Ptolemy.-I have now only to requeft that this detail, too prolix for the work; may be accepted by the reader, not as the natural, but the claffical hiftory of cinnamon. .

## 

Tin is mentioned as an import into Africa, Arabia, Scindi, and the Coaft of Malabar. It has continned an article of commerce brought out of Britain in all ages, conveyed to all the countries on the Meditcrrancan, by the Phênicians, Greeks, and Romans, and carried into the Eaftorn Occan, from the origin of the commerce. It is only within thefe few years it has found its way iuto China in Britifh velfels, where it is now become an article of fuch magnitude, a greatly to diminifi the quantity of fpecie neceflary for that market.
39. Katru-

## $\triangle \mathrm{PPENDEX}$

 patitgi, Kabaliti. Peripl. p. 2 亿.
Different feecics of nard. See Nap is. I.

Covcrlids plain, of no grad vane (or, according to another read. ing, not many', with the nap on one file. Ifelychius and lhavominus, cited by audion.
41. Coramiam. D. gem.

Salmalius fays there are two forts:
r. A pure chryflal.
2. Another red, like a carbuncle.

Il thinks the chryftal to be the true coraunium; and that Claudian is miftaken when he writes,

Pyreneifque fab antris
Inca fulminex leger Ceraunia nymphos.
42. K onavióópanta. Ǩolandipbonta. P.

Large flips on the coaft of Coromandel, in which the natives traded to Bengal and Malacca. They had venels aldo called fangara, made of one piece of timber, which they unfed in their commerce on the coat of Malabar. The monoxyla of Pliny were employed in bringing the pepper down the rivers to the coat. Lit. vi. 23.
43. Kogá $\lambda$ nov. Coral. P.
44. Korros ${ }^{45}$, CoItus, Coftum. D. P.

Is confidered as a pice and aromatic by Pliny, lib. xii. c. ir.

[^311]It is called radis, the root, pre-eminently, as nard is ftyled the leaf: Coftus being, as we may fuppofe, the beft of aromatic, roots, as nard or fipikenard was the beft of aromatic plants. This fuppofition explains a much-difputed paffage of Pliny. Radix et folium ${ }^{42}$ Indis eft maximo pretio: the (root) coftus, and the (leaf) fpikenard, are of the highct value in India. Radix cofti guftu fervens, odore eximio, frutice alias inatili: the root of the coftus is hot to the tafte, and of conlummate fragrance; but the plant itfelf, in other refpects, without ufe or value. It is found at the head of the Pattalênè, where the Indus frift divides to inclofe the Delta; of two forts, Black and white, the black is the inferior fort, and the white beft. Its value is fixteen denarii ${ }^{\text {a3 }}$, about twelve fhillings and eight pencea pound.-Thus having difcufled the coftus or root, he proceeds to. the leaf or plant: De folio nardi plura dici par eft; but of this hereafter. It is here only mentioned to give the true meaning of the paffage.

This root is faid, by Salmafus, to grow in Arabia as well as India; and I do not find that it has acquired any European name, though it was formerly much ufed in medicine, and called the Arabian, or true coftus. It is confounded by Gothofred, firt with coftamomum, which be derives from Mount Amanus, and fecondly, with carda-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Cinhamon - - }=\text { pounds. } \\
& \text { Collus - - } 1 \text { pound. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The reafon is evident ; frabkisctufe and myrh were proenable in Arabia, which bordered on bis own' kingdom. Calia, ciumamon, and coilus, were Ealt India commodities. Set Chifluth, Antiq. Alat. p. 7s.
? But the liaf is apphicd preeminently to the betel in India to this cay. See Herbelot
in woce. Sou nom le plus commun eft Betrć on Betlé, dont le premier fe prononce auff barra, quif fignitie chez les Indiens, en general la fettile de quelque plante, ex qui s'applique par excollence a la feuile de Tembul, en particulier.

Pliny has applied the leaf par excellence to the nard, and then confounded feveral propertics of the betel with it. See Xereghos.
4) The fimbers in Pliny are dnijious.
momium.

## APPENDIX.

shomum, (See Salm. p. 400 . \& feqq.) ". I have fuppofed that amonum, as it is found in cinn-amomun, carda-momum, and coftanonom, implics the warmth and gente pungency of an aromatic; for the anomum itfelf, if we know what it is, is of a lrot, fipicy, pungent tafte. (Chambers's Dict. in voce.) But Salmafius and Hoffman fiem to trace it to a Greek origin ( $\mu_{\mu} \mu \mu_{\rho} s$, inculpatus), and to f.gnify unadulterated. They apply it likewife to momia or mumia, becaute the amomum was particularly ufed to preferve the body from prerefaction. It was found in Iudia and Syria, but the beft in Arabia (imported?). The Arabian is white, fwect, light of weight, and fragrant; the Syrian is heavier, pale, and frong feented. Gothofred, from Ifid. xvii. 9. Diofcorid lib. i. c. 14. Plin, i. 2, and xii. 24 . Diofcorides fays it grows in Armenia, Media, and Pontus, c. r4•; but the whole account is very dubious; all fpeak of its warmen and pungency; but let us apply this to the coftus, which, in regard to its unadulterated ftate, and its qualitics, is fill much queftioned: iss properties are-" I. Fragrance: Odorum caufa unguentorumque " et deliciarum, fi placet etiam fuperftitionis gratia emuntur quo"s niam thure fupplicamus et cofto. Plin. xxii. 24. Coftum molle " date et blandi mihi tharis odores. Ure pucr coflum $A$ fiyrium
 " Diof.-WI. Pungency ; both coftus and coftamomum are faid to

 " mentioned in the Geoponica, as one of the ingredients for making " the fpiced wine, called mavareix. Lib. vii. c. is $_{3}$. But the beft " writers on the coftus of the ancients think it is not afcertained." I. F. Pieudocoftus nafcitur in Gargano Apulixe monte.-Of the colus brought from the Eaft Indies there arc two forts, but feldom
more than one is found in the fhops, coftus dulcis officinarum : this root is the fize of a finger, confifts of a yellowifh woody part inclofed within a whitifh bark . . . . . the cortical part is brittle, warn, bitterin, and aromatic, of an agrecable fmell, refembling violets or Florentine orris. New Difpenfatory.-It always contracts a bitternefs, and grows black by keeping, which probably accounts for the white being more valuable (as Pliny fays), becaufe it is frefh. M. Gcoffroi, a French academician, mentioned under this article in Chambers's Ditionary, confiders it as the European elacampane root, which, he afferts, when well fed and prepared, has the prom perties of the Indian aromatic.

Coftus corticofus, bark coftus, has a fcent of cinnamon.

## 45. Kuтegos. P. Cyperus.

An aromatic rufh. (Plin. xxi. 18. Matthioli in Diofcor. p. 26.) It is of ufe in medicine. The beft from the Oafis of Ammon, the fecond from Rhodes, the third from Thrace, and the fourth from Egypt. It is a different plant from the Cypiras, which comes from India. See Hoffman. Chambers.

## $\Lambda$

46. Aćdarcy $^{\text {44. }}$ D. P.

A gum or refin, from a plant called leda, lada, or ledum, a fpecies of ciftus. It is of a black colour, from Arabia; the Eaft India fort is very heavy, and like a grit-ftone in appearance. Di. Burgefs
at Herod. Iib. iii. p. 253. where he fays, grant, odorific gnm. See Larcher, Herod. it is collected from goate' beards, a mok fra- tom. iii. p. 350 .

## A P PE N DI X.

informs me that it is adnlterated with pitch from Pegn. It is colleded in Grete from the heath of woats. Mins. sxvi. 8. And Tournefort faw it obtained from the: thongs of whips lafthed over the plants in the fane illand. It is likewife obtained by a bowfring bound with wool, to which the lanugo adheres. F.
47. Aámos रęc"úzvos. Ititus. Coloured Lack. D. P.

Is a gum adhering to the fimall branches of trees, fuppoled to be depolited by an infeck. When taken off and melted it is reddifh, formed into granulated leed, in which form it is ufed as lack for japanuing; or into fhell-lack for lealing wax. Pomet. b. viii. p. 200.

A dye of the red purple (according to Ramulio, pref. to the l'eriplûs, lacco de tingere); but Salmalius, Ilin, Exercit. p. IIGo, fays it is a cloth of this colour.

## 48. Lafer. Binsain. D.

"This appears to be the filphium found in Syria, Armenia, and "Africa Diofion, iii. 79. Lafer efl liquor fen lacryma, Griecis " $\lambda \alpha \sigma$ seos, Latinis lafer nominatur. Mathioli, Diof. in voce. That " is the infpuflated juice. The ftalk was called filphium; the root, " magugdaris; the leaves, mafipeton. 'Iheephratt. vi. 3. The Lith" фre xecuios x orsos are mentioned by Ihppocrates even as articies " of foot, and faid to be taken largely by fome, but with caution, " becaufe it was apt to remain long in the body of thofe anac" enfomed to it. Theophraftus mentions the flalk as food; Apicius " flates it anong the condiments of the table: Porcus lafaratus, " hoedus lafaratus. Perfumes were formerly ufed in England with " meat; the nobility were made fick with the perfumed viands of "Cardinal Wolfey." F. F.-The country mof famous for prodacing:
it was Cyrênè in Africa, where it was fo much a llaple commodiry, that the Cyrenian coins were marked with the filphium. It is now brought from Siam and Sumatra; is ufed in medicine and cofmetics. See Chambers in voce, and Gothofred, who cites Columella, vi. 17. lid. xvii. 9 . It is vulgarly called Gum Berjamin. Pliny mentions it inter eximia naturæ dona, xxii. 23.
49. Névta. Linen, from the Latin linica. Sce fuat:ruóg. I.
50. Líbavos. Frankincenfe ${ }^{45}$. D. P.
51. Nibaves o regaraoj. From bejond the Straits of Bab-cl. Mand-ch. P.
A gum or refin fufficiently common in Europe ftill; oniginally introduced from Arabia only, and ufed by the nations on the Mediterrancan under the denomination of thus and libanus which are fynonyinous. Its name is derived from $\left|Z^{\prime}\right\rangle$, laban, white, Heb. and iथh, loban, Arabic, becaufe the pureft fort is white ${ }^{46}$ without mixture. See Bochart, tom. i. p. 106. Hence libanus and the corrupt olibanum. M. Polo calls it encens blanc. Bergeron's Col. p. 1.53 . It was chiefly brought from Hadramaut or Sagar, a tract of Arabia on the ocean. The beft fort is likewife in fmall round grains called xóoסos, from the Arabic 77Jد, chonder. Bochart, ibid. But Nicbuhr fays, that the libanus of Arabia at prefent is greatly inferior to that brought from India, as being foul, mixed with fand and ftones; he adds alfo, that the plant which prodaces it, though cultivated at Kefchin and Schahr (Sagar) is not native, but originally from Abyflinia. See Niebuhr. Arabia, tom. i. p. 202. ii. "Olihnnus, olenm Libani. " gefs has many fpreinces of Arabian li* It grows yellow by keeping. Dr. Bur- banus.
p. 131, in which opioion he is fipported by Druce. The Arabians paid a thoufand talents of frankincente by way of tribute to Perfia. Plin. xii. 17. Herodot. When Nicbular was in Arabia, the lingling traders called the Arabian for incenfe of frankincenfe, and the Indian or better fort, benzoin, and the worit benzoin was efteemed more than the beft incenfe. The Arabs themfelves preferred the Indian to their own, and called it bachor Java, either becanfe it grew in that ifland, or was imported from Batavia. See alfo d'Anville, Georg. Anc, tom. ii. p. 223 .
 Diorrónes. Gla/s and Porcelane made at Diofpolis. 1 .
Ift. Lithia Hyala. Several forts of glats, patte, or chryftal. See article nitíx doxporyiz.
2d. A. Gía Mug 'sivn. 1 .
Which Salmafius fays, ought always to be written morrina, not myrhina, myrrina, murrhina, or murrina. And he maintains that it is certainly the Oriental porcelane. It is here evidently joined as the adjective to $A, \mathcal{F}^{\prime} \alpha$, as it is afterwards ( $\mathrm{p}, 28$. Peripl.) mentioned
 Mepésw, where it is fuecified as brought down from the capital of Guzerat, Ozênce, (Ougcin,) to the port of Barygaza or Baroach. All this feems to confirm the opinion that it was porcelane procurable in India at that time, as it now is; and that it was brought into Egypt by the Mips that went to India. But what is more extraordinary is, that it was imitated in the manufatories of Diolpolis in Egypt, juft as our European porcelane is now formed upon the pattern of the Chinefe.

But in oppofition to this opinion, Mr. Dutens, under the article Sardonyx, fuppofes that flone employed and eut, to form the Murrhina, on aecount of its beauty, and the great number of ftrata in a imall compafs, that the Sardonyx was formed into fmall vafes, as well as various forts of agates, there can be little doubt; but why after cutting, it fhould lofe the name of fardonyx, and take that of murrhina, is ftill to be explained; and how they fhould be baked in Parthian furnaces, or imitated at Diofpolis, muft likewife be inquired. The beft argument in favour of Mr. Dutens' opinion, is, the con-
 uai Muej ${ }^{\prime 2}(\nu \eta$, and Lampridius likewife fays of Heliogabalus, as cited by Gefner, myrrhinis et onychinis minxit. Thefe inftances are fo frong, that if the other qualities attributed to this precious commodity eould be accounted for, and rendered confiftent, the fuffrage of a writer fo intelligent and well informed, ought to prevail. Gefner produces a varicty of authorities from Jo. Frid. Chrifius, which confirm this opinion of Mr. Dutens, or at leaft prove it a foffil. The principal one is from Pliny, xxxvii. 2, and xxxiti. proem. Chryftallina et myrrhina ex eadem terra fodimus, fo that it is pofitively afferted to be a foffil from Karmania; white the colours affigned to it, of purple, bluc and white, with the variegated reflexion from the mixture, fuit much better with poreelane. Martial flyles it myrrhina picta, xiii. p. 110 , and notices it as capable of containing hot liquors, a property in which it feems oppofed to glafs or chryftal.

Si calidum potes ardenti murra Falerno
Convenit, et melior fit fapor inde mero.
The fapor here, and the odor mentioned by others, fuit the fardonyx no better than porcelane; but the teftimony of Propertius is
as dired to prove it fictitions, as that of Phiny to prove it a foffle. Mursoque in Parthis poemh coels focis, iv. 5. 20.
And to refift this evidence, Chrihise contends, that the Murrea are not the fame as Mi, rrlina; but an imitation likethe Diofpolite mamufactory. I am by no means quadiled to decide in this difpute, where the difficulies on eithet lide feem undurmountable; but as my own opinion inclines rather in favour of porcelane, I will Aate my ration plainly, and lave the determanation to thofe who are better inforned.

Porcelane, though it is factitions, and not a fomb, is compofed of two materials which are foffil, the petantze and the clay. The fermer, the Chinefe call the bones, and the latter the fleth. The place of petuntze is fupplied, in our Imropean imitations, by flints reduced to an impatpable powder; and the vitrifaction of the petuntze or the flints in the furnace, gives to porechan that degree of tranflucency it poffefres. The peruntze is fuppofed to be found of late in Fingland. Now it is a well known fact, that the ancient compofition of porcelane in China, was faid to be prepared for the fon by the father, and to lie buried for feveral years before it was prepared for the fumace, and the inferiority of the modern porcelane, is thought, by the Chinefe connoiffers, to arife from the negled of this practice. May not this have given rife to the opinion that the murrhina were a fulli produclion?

Another confideration arifes from the words employed by Iliny to exprels the murrhine veffels, which are capis and abacus, fignify. ing, if Ilardouin be correct, literally, the cup and fatcer, and the capis which was a veffel ufed in facrifices, was regularly a vas fictile.

But the laft circumftance I fhall mention is, the fize of that murrKine veffel mentioned by Pliny, which contained three pints (fextarios). Can it be fuppofed that a fardonyx was ever feen of this fize ? he adds indeed afterwards, amplitudine nufquam parvos excedunt abacos, which, to make it confiftent, muft be qualified with the exception of the former veffel that contained three pints. He has other particulars which lead us again to porcelane, craflitudine raro quanta dictum eft vafi potorio, and in another paffage, humorem putant fub terra calore denfari, which be certainly applies to the concoction of a foffil, but which bears no little refemblance to the maturing of the materials before mentioned.

After all, if it was a gem, it is aftonifhing that the fardonyx fhould be mentioned by no ancient author, as appropriated to this purpofe, If it was factitious, it is equally ftrange, that nothing fronger fhould appear on that fide of the queftion, than the capis of Pliny. The diflinction could not have been miftaken. The country he affigns to the production, is Karmania, in the kingdom of Parthia, and that it came from Parthia ${ }^{47}$ into Egypt, to the countrics on the Mediterranean, and to Rome, feems evident from a variety of authorities; and that it might well do, if we confider that Parthia communicated with India by means of the Perlian Gulph, and pofibly on the: rorth with China ${ }^{48}$ itfelf, by means of the caravans. The mention of Karmania by Pliny, as the country where the murrhina were obtained, favours the fuppofition of procuring thefe vefiels from ladia:

[^312]for the communication of Kammana with Scindi and Comerat is almoll immethate, and certan'y prior to the navigation from lisypt to that coaft. But in Gurem they were ohamed, when the anthor of the leriplets was employed in that tade; and their arrival at the matker of barosch, from the interior of ladia, may intuce us to fuppole, that they came into india from the north.

The immenfe value of thefe veffels at Rome might well arife from their liarcity. They were fite feen there in the triumphal procellion of Pompey; and it mun be olferved that Pompey returned from the thores of the Cafpian Sea. They were aterwards introduced into ufe at the tables of the great, but of a fimall hiee and capacity, as cups for drinking. Afterwats one which held three fextarii or pints, was fold for feventy talents ${ }^{40}$; and at length Nero gave three o bundred for a lingle vellel. The extravagunce of the purchafer might, in this inftance, enhance the price, but the value of the article may be better eftimated by the opinion of Augutus, who, upon the conquef of legypt, felected ont of all the fpoils of Alexandriat a fingle murbinc eup for his own wie. Now, therefore, if the murrhine was poreclane, it may be a piece of information acceptable to our fair conntrywomen, to know that Cleopatra did not indeed fip her tew, but drink her Mareotick wine ont of china.

I have not been able to confult the work of Chiftius, but take the account of his argument from Gefner, and I refer the reader for further information to Gefner in voce, to Chambers's Dictionary, to Salinafius, Plin. Exercit. and to an exprefs difertation in the Volumes of the Academy of Belles Lettres, which I have formerly feen, but have not now an opportunity of confulting. I recollect that

[^313]it is in favour of the opinion, that mutrina and porcelane are the fame.

## 

A tranfparent fulstance of fone or pebble, but it is probably here * the glafs made of ftone as clear and bright as chryftal, and the fame
 curious quotation from the Scholiatt on Ariftophanes ad Nubes, Act ii. fcene r. "We call Hyalos (he fays) a material made of a cer" tain plant burnt, and wafted by fire fo as to enter into the compo" fition of ceitain [glafs] veffels. But the ancients appropriated the " term hyalos to a tranfparent ftone called kruon, or chryftal."This perfectly accords with the manufacture of glafs, compored of fand, or flints, and the afhes of a plant called kali or vittaria in Narbonne. Salm. ibid. and Chambers in voce. But glafs has its name from glaftum" or woad, the blue dye, becaufe common glafs was of that colour, but the tranfparent floney glafs [flint glafi] here men-
 chryftalline, from its fuperior purity and imitation of the chryftal. The whole paffage in the Scholiaft is interefling, and worth confult-

"The hyalos or chryftal is formed circular and thick for this pur" pofe [the purpofe of a burning glafs], which being rubbed with " oil and warmed, they bring near the wick of a lamp and light " it :" [it was rubbed with oil probably to clean it, but why warmed
${ }^{51}$ See Voffus ad Melim, Varior. ed. :733, who cites Pliny, libs. xxii. c. I. Simile plantagin glatum in Gallia, quo Britathorum sorjugen uurufque toto corpure oblite. Vuf-
fius adds, apud Cambro-Britannos ifatidis proventus glas appellatur, et caruleum colorem. Herba ifatis is woad.
does not appear.] " Homer knew nothing of life cirflal, ou " nontions amber:" [true, for with Ilomer novoronionos is alwaysice]

Heace it appears that chryftal was known to Aritophanes, and the application of it to the purpoles of a burning glafs; that glats * was known in the time of the Scholiaft, and that Homer knew nothing of cither. The utic of a pebble or clryllal, however, to kindle fire, is known at leatt as carly as the writings of Orpheus $\pi$ egi $\lambda, \theta_{\text {ave }}$. And if the writings attributed to Orpheus be really the work of Pythagoras, or a Pythagorean, as Cicero fuppofes, De Nat. Deorum, the knowledge of this property is ftill very old. But Tyrwhitt has overfet all the antiquity of this Orpheus, and brings the poem Megi $\lambda$ ibay down to the lower empire-to Conftantius, or cven lower: Sec Pref. p. ro. et feq.

Why glafs was fo late before it was introduced to the knowledge of the Greeks and Romans, or other nations on the Mediterranean, feems extraordinary; but De Neri (Art. de la Verrerie, Paris, 1752) informs us, that glafs is not mentioned in the Old Teftament, and appears in the New only, in the epiftes of St. Paul, St. James, and the Revclations; that of the Grecks, Arifotle is the firf who makes exprefs mention of it, and affigns the reafon why it is tranfparent, and why it will not bend, burin a dubious paflage ; in Rome it was but little known before the year 536 , U. C. and was not applied to the nfe of windows till near the reign of Nero, Sencea, Ep. xc. This feems the more extraordinary as the art of making glafs was known in Egypt in the earlicft times. The mummies of the Catacombs near Memphis are ornamented with glafs beads; and it has lately been difcovered that the mummies of the Thesani are decorated with the fame material ; which carries the invention much higher, poffibly
to 1600 years before our cra (Ripaud's Memoir), If this be a fact, we arrive at the Diofpolis of Upper Egypt, the Thebes of Homer for the origin of the invention, but the Diofpolis of the Periplûs is in the Lower Egypt on the Lake Menfaleh, though the name and fite is much difputed, as we learn from d'Anville, (Egype, p. 92,) but at Tennis on that Lake, the French found remains of brick, porcelane, pottery, and glafs of all colours, (Memoirs, p. 223,) and at the Lower Diofpolis, we find the fame fubftances noticed by the Periplus with the addition of wine, dipfe, and an imitation of the murrhine veffils. Strabo informs us, that he converfed with the manufacturers of glafs at Alexandria, who told him that there was a hyalite earth; which of neceffity entered into their compofitions of a fuperior fort, and particularly in the coloured glars, but that fill greater improvements had been made at Rome, both in regard to colours and facility of operation (lib. xvi. p. $75^{8}$.). The fame manufacture was continued afterwards at Tyre and Berýtas; and at Tyre it was found by Benjamin of Tudela, as late as the year 1173 . (Bergeron, p. 17.) At Rome it was certainly known before the fecond Punick war, becaufe Seneca mentions rufticitatis damnant Scipionem quod non in Caldarium funm fecularibus diem admiferit, but this was in the Bath or Sudatory; in houfes it was introduced later, vitro abfconditur Camera, Ep. 86, et quædam demum noftra memoria prodiffe fcimus ut feculariorum ufum perlu-. cente tefia clatum tranfmittentium lumen; but tefa does not quite exprefs glafs. Martial mentions glafs applied to the hot-houfe or green houfe, lib. 8 ; and drinking glaffes he calls chryftalla (lib. $x$. 59, Ed. Fitzger.). Pliny alfo writes, maximus tamen honos eft in candido tranflucentibus, -quam proximâ chryftalli fimilitudine, ufus - vero ad potandum argenti metalla et auri pepulit. Lib. xxxvi. 26 .

From whick ne leam, that the komans uted denshins: blates as we do, in preforence to gold or filver, and that the material was not vitrum, but the white fint ghat tike chrylal, as outs is. Giblon has obferved, that Auguftus knew not the comfon of clean linen or glafs vindows, but glafs windows were within a centiry after his time adopted in Rome. In England we are indebted to 'licodortus, archbifhop of Canterbury, who introduced glafs windows, mufic, geometry, and clafical learning into Figland about the yoar 67o. Hedas. Ec. Hift. lib. iv. c. 2.

That clear or flint glafs affumed its name from" 1 Taks, chryftal, is. ftill more apparent from a paflage of Diodor. Sic. iib. ii. p. 128. cd. Weffel. where mention is made of both forts, the factitious and native" r erov, as he writes it. The glafs coffin of Alexander is called " Wadívy, by Strabo, lib. xvii. p. 794. See Herod. iii. p. 206. et Weffel. not. et Diod, ii. p. 15.

The Lapis Callais or Callainus of Pliny is a gem of a pale green. colour found in Caucafus, Tartary, and the beft fort in Karmania; it is called an emerald by Ramufio, and it was poflibly one of thofe fubfances which Dutens, fays the ancients, miltook for the emerald, and which he calls Peridot, Spath, Fluor, and prime d'Emeraude; the diftinctions of which are attended to by few, except jewellers or collectors; others think Callais and Callainus two dilline flones; the Peridot is a pale green, inclining to yellow. Id.

Salmaftus writes it Callinus, and fays it may be a pebble or agate, inclofed in another ${ }^{52}$, and that it is loofe and rattles; this Pliny calls

[^314]Cytis, xxxvii. 56. Hard. Cytis circa Copton nafcitur candida, et videtur intus habere petram qux fentiatur etiam frepitu.

## 55. 'ADos òquavos. P. Opfian Stonc.

Probably ferpentine or hæmatite, in the opinion of Dr. Burgefs. Salmafius objects to Pliny for calling it opfidian, or faying it was difcovered by Opfidius. In Greek it is always opfian, and is a green ftone very dark, approaching to black. It was found in the iflands of Ethiopia; and from taking a high polifh was ufed by the em-. peror Domitian to face a portico, fo that from the reflection he might difcover if any one was approaching from behind.

The opfidian ftone, mentioned by Pliny, is very dark but tranflucent, and a factitious fort of it which he likewife notices, feems very much to refemble the material of which our brown or red tea-pots are compofed. Totum rubens, atque non trandlucens, bæmatinon. appellatum. See difcourfe in the Memoirs of the Academy of Sciences. The feecimens of this fone, which I have feen, are fo dark that the green caft can only be difcovered by holding them in a particular pofition. The clofenefs of their texture feems to admit of $\varepsilon$ any degree of polifh that the artift may be difpofed to give them.

The fpecimen which I faw was brought from Egypt by a Gentleman who had vifited the country : it was efteemed fuch in Egypt, and acknowledged for opfian by feveral of the moft curious obfervers at Rome; and it exactly anfwers the defcription of Ifidorus, adduced by Hardouin on this paffage: eft autem niger, interdum et virens, aliquando et tranflucidus, craffiore vifu. And again : obfidius lapis. ziger eft, tranflucidus et vitri habens fmillitudinem. Ifidor. lib. iб. Orig. cap. 15 . and cap. 4 . That opfian and obfidian have been confountled,
founded, or applied to different fubftances, may be allowed; bux the opfidian of Pliny came from Nechiopia, and fo dill the opfian of the Periphes; and whatever be the name, the fame follif ferms to be intended. How it may be applied by others, concerns not the prefent queftion; and if the etymology be Greck (from at:topeas or $0 \%$ sc , it might be applied to any polifhed flone which reflecis inages. It is ufed by Orpheus under opallius, lin. 4. it what fenfe I pretend not to determine ; but his claffing it under the opal, whinh is
 óquavoic) and ftone-like appearance, petrified, as he fuppofed, from the exudation of the pinc, makes me fuppofe it the fime as Pliny defcribes, when he mentions the imitations of it and the flone itfelf: In genere vitri et obfidiaua numerantur, ad fimilitudinem lapidis quem in . Atbiopia Obfidius invenit, nigerrimi coloris, aliquando et tranflucidi craffore vifu, atque in fpeculis parietum pro imagine umbras reddente. M. Dutens (p. 66.) fays, it is a volcanic glafs, fuch as is found about Mount Etna and Mount Vefuvius ; but inftead of folving the difficulty, about which, he fays, fo many learned men have difputed in vain, this only adds to it; for if it was found in Italy and Sicily, why thould it be tought for in Ethiopia, almoft at the mouth of the Red Sea, and imported from Egypt at a prodigious expence?

## 56. Aúroios. Lygrdus. P.

A beautiful white marble, or rather alabafter, ufcd to hold odours; Ramufio. Salmafus fays, an initation of this alabafter ${ }^{3}$ was formed of Parian marble, but that the bet and original lygdus was

[^315]
## APPENDIX.

brought from Arabia, that is, as noticed in the Periplûs, from Moofa. Salm. p. 559 .

## 57. Aúrov. Lycium. P.

A thorny plant, fo called from beting found in Lycia principally: A juice from which was ufed for dying yellow, mentioned by Pliny and Diofcorides. The women alfo, who affected golden locks, ufed it to tinge their hair: Salm. p. 1164. Why this thould be fought in Scindi, if it was found in Lycia, does not appear. It is found now in the fhops by the name of the yellow-berry, box thorn, grana d'Avignon. Dr. Burgefs. Lycium, in Pliny, is a medicine derived from the Garyophyllon, lib. xii. c. 15. Hardouin, who adds Lycium porro quid fit ignorari etiam a peritis herbariis pronunciat anguillara, lib. de Simplic. pars iii. p. 62: Nos Clufio credimus effe Hacchic Goanorum.

> 58. ムwoimes. Lodices. P:

Quilts or coverlids.

Coverlids plain and of the country manufacture at Moofa. :

## M

## 59. Mapragítas, p. 84. D. P.

learls, fifhed for near Cape Comorin, where the fifhery ftill continues, or at the Lackdive Iflands, formed a great article of commerce * on the coaft of Malabar. ${ }^{\text {. }}$
60. Maגábatpo\%
60. Ma入áGaGpov. Mulahbabrum. D. I. Biti\%

In order to avoid the confufion of aucient authors, we muft confider this article under two licads :

Firft, as an Unguent, Odour, or Perfane;
Secondly, as the Bete!.
Finf, as an unguent or perfume, it is certainly aftamed by Forace :
Coromatus nitcutus
Malobathro Syrio capiilos. Ii:r. lih, ii. ode vii. 8, 9 .
and by Pliny ${ }^{54}$ when he makes it, with all the fragrant odours of the Fait, enter into the royal unguent of the kings of Perfia. (Lib. xiii. c. 2.) And again (iib. xii. c. $x 2$, or 26 Hardouin, where lie mentions the nard of Ganl, Grete, and Syria; the haf agrecing with the Syrian odour of Horace, and almolt afeertaining the error of confounding fipikenard with the butel. So likewife (lib. xii. c. 59.) Flard. Dat et inalobathron Syria ex qua exprimitur oleum ad ungnenta; but in the fame chapter lie fays, fapor ejus nardo fimilis effe debet fub linguâ ; and (lib. xxiii. c. 48. Hard.) oris et halitus fuavitatem commendat lingux fubditum folium : in which fenfe, as Diofeorides alfo teflifies, it is a mafticatory, and not an unguent. Added to this, he applics the titular diftinction of hadrofpherum, mefofplarum, and microfpherum, to the fikenard (lib. xii. 26. Hard.), which Salmalius, Matthioli, and almoft all the
${ }^{4}$ It appcars by Pliny, lit. xiii. c. 2. that almoft all the fragrant chlours of the Eaf enltered into the composion of their unguents. In the royal Peffial uaguent no kefs than twaty fix odours are eva nerated, nand anong them lie malobathron, which is not fo properly an oduar as a thumbunt, if it the the betre. But it is frequently confounded with the
fpikenard, the frift of odours, which is preeminently called folium, or'thy lear', in opposfitiun to coflus, or the root. But the hetel-nut being wrapt in the atecka kuf has probably given rife to the mithe. "cm Mliny, li,.. xit. e. 12. Whete the budnfinarum, adiofphorom, nict, (pinewam--all dithactions of the Letcl, are falitly applied to the fyikenard.
commentators, agree in aligning fpecifically to the betel; and to the betel, betre, or petros, they are applied in the Periplits. (p. penult.) The error of Pliny, and his fluctuation in making it both an unguent and a mafticatory, arifes from his confidering the fpikenard to
 fadering, or not knowing, that the betel is, above all others, the leaf, ufed with the areka-nut, and the conftant mafticatory of the Orientals from Malabar to Japan.

Secondly, that it is a mafticatory is confirmed by Diofcorides; for
 under the tongue to fweeten the breath, and it has (idivapiv rivo
 native of the Eaft were at this day afked the properties of betel, no doubt he would fpecify thefe two particulars above all others. But it fhould feem that Diofcorides was aware of the confufion caufed by miftaking the nard for the betel ; for he commences his account by faying, that fome believe the malabathrum to be the leaf of the nard, deceived by the fimilarity of the odour; but the fact is far otherwife. (See Matthioli, p. 40.)

The author of the Peripluts knew that Petros was the leaf, and that when the whole compofition was made up together, it was called Malabathrum; for he mentions the method of obtaining it by the Sêres from the Sêfatæ, and their exportation of it again. (p. ult.) We know likewife that the procuring it at the extremity of the Eaft, is confonant to modern obfervation; for though it is ufed in India, it is indifpenfable in all ceremonies in Ava, Pegu, China, and the iflands of Java, Sumatra, \&c. It is now well known to confitt of the arcka-nut, the betel-leaf, and a mixture of lime from fea-fhells,

$$
4 \mathrm{~K} \quad \text { and }
$$

## APPRNDIX.

and fometimes with the addition of odoriferous drugs. The areken 3nt has the appearance of an oblate mutmeg, hard as horn, and when cut, refombligg the nutheg in its mottled appearance. Dr. Burgefs informs me, that the unipe nutmeg is fometimes prefled, and ath aromatic liquid procurd, fragrant in the highoft degree, which ferhaps may lave fome relation to the perfumed unguent of the ancients. The betel is a fpecies of the pepper-plant, and the lime is called chinam, the ufe of which turns the tecth black; and back teeth confequently, from the univerfality of the prattice, are the ftandard of elegance in all thofe countries where the ufage prevails. For the natmal hiflory of the ingredients, and the ceremonies attending the cuftom, I refer to Sir (i. Staunton's Chinefe Embally, vol. i. 272.; Mr. Marden's Sumatra, p. 242.; and Mr. Turner's Embally to 'hhibet, 1p. 285, 343 .

The name of this mafticatory varies in different countries, but its Arabiek name is 'Tembul, Tembal, or Tambal; and from tamala, added to betre or bathra, tamala-bathra is derived, and the mala bather of the ancients, according to the opinion of Salmafius." But Stephens (in voce) gives a different etymology: lerunt apud " Iudos natici in ca regione gure Mahbar dicitur, vernaculâ ipforum " linguà Bathrum, five, Bethrum appelari, inde Graecos compohita "voce nominate Maגa'Pa日pos." K. K. What adds to the probability of this is, that the conft was called Male, till the Arabs added the final fyllable. And let it not he thought fantaftical, if we carry our conjectures farther eaft-to the country of the Malays, in the Golden Chorfonefe; for in that part of the world the cultom is far more prevalent, and there the beft ingredients are ftill procured. The Malays were not unknown, by repore at leaft, to the Greeks; for Ptolemy

Ptolemy has a Malai. oo-Colon (Maraíe nônor áxgos, p. 176), not far from the Straits of Malacca, the country of the Malays.

From the practice of the natives, another circumflance occurs worthy of remark; for it is faid, "Sine in mutuis vifitationibus " folia betel manu tenent, ac cum Areka et calce in patinis ligneis " in benevolentix fignum offerunt hofiti; dum utuntur, primo "parum Arekse mandunt, mox folium betel calci illitum, exemptiz "prins nervis ungue pollicis, quem propterea longum atque acutum "habent." Nieuhoff, pars ult. Legat. Batav. p. 99. F. F.-l owe this curious paflage to the fuggeftion of Dr. Falconer, and I cannot help thinking that it correfponds with the expreffion in the Periplus,
 petros appellant nervis fibrifque extractis; though applied to the making up of the compofition, rather than the ufe of it.

The account of the ingredients muft be left for the natural hiftorians to develope; but the claffical hitory of them, fuch as I have been able with the affifance of my friends to collect, has been drawn from Diofcorides, Pliny, Matthioli, Salmafius, and the other authorities cited, with much labour and attention; and if it contributes to remove the obfcurity in which the queltion was involved, let it not be received as a tedious difcuffion, but as the effort of an author, who was engaged in the inquiry, before he was aware that an acquaintance with natural hifory would become fo material a part of his duty.

> 62. Máres!̣. Macer. P.

An aromatic from India; the bark red, the root large. The bark ufed as a medicine in dyfenteries. Plin. xii. 8. Salm. izo2.

## APPENDIX.

63. Riarmaita D. P. Parls.

The l'earl lifhery is mentioned in the Periples, both at Bahreia in the Ciulph of Perin, aud at the Ghand of Ceylun; but I an obliged to Mr. Falconer for pointing out " the authority of Pliny, " lib. ix. 35 . or 54 Mard. and lib. vi. 22 . or 24 Mard.; the former " of which is of importance, as marking out not only the fithery " at Ceylon, but at Perimoola, and the Sinus Perimcolus." I. For the Perimoold of Ptolemy is not far from the Straits of Malacea, and approaches (though not nearly) to the Sooloo Fifhery of Mr. Dalrymple. Whether parls are fill taken in the Gulph of Siam or Cambodia, I am not informed, but they might well be brought thither from Borneo; and the information is highly interefing. In the fame paflege it is notical by Pliny: Principium ergo, culmenque omnium rerum pretii, Margaritas tenent. But it is not true that the pearl fold higher at Rome than the diamond; for, lib. xxxvii. c. 4. the diamond has the higheft value; the pearl, the fecond; and the emorald, the third.

## 6.. Marncorwm Jama. D. Wool of Martatori.

The text is corript. Ramulo joins it with the following article, Fucus, which he reads Blarncorum Sucens; but what it means is not cafily dicoverable. Dr. Halconcr, with great appearance of probability, fuppofes it to be the wool of the Thibet fheep, of which thawls are made.
65. Mázourga. P.

Knives or canjars worn at the girdle.

Brafs ${ }^{5 s}$ or copper, prepared, as Ramufio fays, for veffels of cookery. But rather for ornaments of women, as bracelets, anklets, and collars. No ufage of MEAć $\uparrow \theta \alpha$ occurs elfewhere; but metals were prepared with feveral materials to give them colour, or make them tractable or malleable. Thus zonó6aфo in Hefychius was brafs prepared with ox's gall to give it the colour of gold, and ufed like our tinfel ornaments or foil for ftage drefles and decorations. Thus common brafs was neither ductile nor malleable, but the Cyprian brafs was both. And thus, perhaps, brafs, $\mu \mathrm{s} \lambda \mathrm{e} \dot{\varepsilon} \phi \theta a$, was formed with fome preparation of honey. Pliny ufcs coronarium poffibly in reference to the fame application of it as Hefychius mentions, and feems to ufe Cyprium in the fenfe of copper. Cyprium regulare is the beft copper, and every metal is called regulare when purified, omne, purgatis diligentius igni vitiis, excoctifque, regulare eft. And again Cyprium tenuiffimum quod coronarium vocant, xxxiii. 9 .

Honey from canes. Sugar.
In Arabic, fluker, which the Greeks feem firft to have met with on the coalt of Arabia, and thence to have adopted the Arabic name. It is here mentioned on the coaft of Africa, where the Arabians tikewife traded, and either imported it themfelves from India, or found it imported; it was evidently not found in that age growing in Africa. The Sanfkreet name of fugar is ich-hu-cafa, and from the two middle fyllables the Arabic fhuka, or fluker. Af. Refearch. iv. 231. See Du Cange, ąricle Cannamele, Cannæ Mellis, mentioned ${ }^{55}$ This article is very dubious.
by Abbertus Aquenfis, William of Tyre, and others, as introduced from the Laf into Cyprus, Sicily, \&e, in their age.
68. Me入inato". Muncy Luths. I.

The lotus or nymphea of Egrypt. The falk contains a fwect and eatable fubftance, confidered as a luxury by the Eyyptians, and ufed as bread; it was fometimes carried to Rome, and the leriplus makes it an articke of importation at l3arygiza. It appeas allio to have boen ufed as provifion for maniners; and if this was the favourite breacl of Egypt, in preference to grain, Homer might well fouak of it as a luxury and delicacy; but his lotus is generally fuppoled to be the frut of a tree, by our African travellers. Anthors differ, fonme afferting that it is ftill common in the Nils, others faying that the lotus now found there has acither pulp nor fubftance.


An incenti called mocrotus or mocroton.

> 7x. Monóziva. P.

Coarfe cottons of the colour of the mallow. Others read Movajef either fingle threaded or of one colour.

Courfe cotton dyed of a whitith pargle, and therefore called molochina from Maxó $\boldsymbol{x}^{\prime}$, malluzos. Wilford, Aliat. Differtation. vol. ii. p. 233 .

Paolino interprets Molochina, tele finiffme dipinti e richanente, p. 95 . i. e. chintz. Muflins are faid to derive their name from Moful, becaufe they were brought from thence by caravans into Eu:ope.
(Marco Polo, lib. i. c. 6.) But there is a diftant refemblance between Molochina and mullins, and the Greeks had no fort found of ch. If there is any name in the native language fimilar to either, we ought rather to feel for an Oriental derivation than a Greek one. At the fame time it may be confidered, that purple cottons might have as general a fall formerly, as blue Surats have now.
72. Mớvvedos. Lead. P.
73. Мотш. P.

A species of cinnamon. See Karoía,
74. Múpov. D. P.

Myrrh or oil of myrrh ${ }^{56}$.
A gum or refin iffuing from a thorn in Arabia, Abyffinia, \&e. Bruce has given an account of the plant; he fays it is originally from Africa, and that the Arabian myrrh is fill an inferior fort. See Bruce, Chambers, and Salmafius.

Porcelane. See Gefner and Chambers in rose.

## N

76. Nápoos. D. P. Nardi Stacbys, Nardi Spica, in the Digeft Spikenard.
This article appears under another form, and as if it were a dif-
ferent article in the Digeft, No. 3 ; the Nardi Stachys is No. 5, but under No. 3 we read

Folinn
x. Pentaphacrum.
2. Barbaricum.
3. Caryophyllum.

The two firt of which may be interpreted in conformity to the nuthorities which follow: i. Folium Pentafpberm, Betel. a. Folium Barbaricum, fipikemard; but the third is the cilove, and is not related to the other two folia or leaves, unlefs it were introduced into the refripe of the Dipe fh, from the cuftom-hunfe at Alexandria, becaufe it was. a compound of quazon, a leaf. Caruo-phullon, the nat leaf; is a name apptied to the pink flower, becaufe the fheath which enclofes the flower is feolloped and jagged like the fheath of the nut. Whether this was transferred to the clove itfelf, on account of the angular points at the head of the cloer, or mil; or, whether to the plant, I an not able to determine. (See article Caryophyllon); but Nápdos is the fyikenard called lolium Barbaricum, becaufe it was obtained at Barbarike, the port of Scindi; and Foliun Gangiticum, becaufe it was likewife procured at the Ganges, that is in Bengal; Nápios roxraumen alfo, as it appcars in the Periplus ( $\mathrm{p} \cdot 33$. ), by the general confent of the commentators, is reath, Népods rexyrutum, and confirmed by the periphus itfelf, p. $3^{6}$.

No Oriental aromatic has cauled greater difputes among the critics, or writers on Natural Miftory, and it is only within thefe few ycars that we have arrived at the true knowledge of this curions edour, by means of the inquiries of Sir William Joues and Dr. Roxburgh.

Their account is contained in the fourth volume of the Afiatic Refearches, and Dr. Roxburgh was fo fortunate at lat as to find the plant in a fate of perfection, of which he has given a drawing that puts an end to all controversy on the fubject.

The nard has the addition of fine from the Latin fipica, an ear of wheat, which, according to Dr. Roxburgh's drawing, it perfectly refembles. And this adjunct is found alfo in its Arabic name, fumbul; and in its Shanflercet appellation, Jatámánsí ; as alfo its Perfie title khúftah, all fignifying/pica.

Sir William Jones, Afloat. Ref. iv. rif, fays, it is a native of Budtan, Népal, and Morang; and that it is a fpecies of Valerian. It is remarkable that he had himfelf fees a fefemblance of it in Syria, as the Romans or Greeks mention Syria as one of the countries where it is found ; but Ptolemy gives it its true origin in thee tracts of India. A fpecimen was brought down to Calcutta from Boudtan at the requeft of Sir William Jones, and the agents of the Deva Raja called it pampi ; but it was not in flower. Some dried fpecimens of it looked like the tails of ermines, but the living ones, as Dr. Roxburgh afterwards found, rife from the ground like ears of wheat. It anfwers the defcription of Diofcorides. It is weaker in fcent than the Sumbul fpikenard of Lower Asia when dry, and even loft much of its odour between Budtan and Calcutta, The odour is like the fcent of violets ; but the living plant is forbidden to be brought out of Boudtan. It was, however, procured by the intervention of Mr . Purling, the Englifh refident ; and was at haft received in its perfeet form by Dr. Roxburgh, who has defribed it botanically. Af. Ref. iv. 733.

In the age of the Periplûs it was brought from Scindi, and from the Ganges; which, according to Sir William Jones, we ought to
conclude would be the natural port for it, as coming from Doudtan. 'I'his authorizes the change of reading from rownowni, [gapanika,] to yay ramy, [gangitiki,] more efpecinlly as it is mentioned at the Ganges. Some fanciful inquirurs might think they had found the mention of Japan in this paflage.

We ought not to omit fome particulars from Hiny which are remarkable. He deferibes the nard with its fica, mentioning alfo that both the leaves and the fpica are of high value, and that the odour is the prime in all unguents. The price an hundred denarii for a pound. And he afterwards vilibly confounds it with the malobathrum or betel, as will appear from his ufage of hadropherom, mefofparum, microfherum, terms pectiar to the betel.

Hoffman in voce Foliatum, writes, Folium catafpherum eft Folium Malabathri quod inde $\sigma \neq x \rho \alpha$, i. c. piluke conficerentur. Folimm vero Barbaricum, id quod Indicum, Greci recentiores nominarunt quod ex India deferretur per Barbaricum Sinum. F. F.But it is not the Barbaricus Sinus on the coaft of Africa that is meant, but the port Barbarike in the Delta of the Indus. There the Periplus finds the fpikenard, which is the folium ladicum. Folium catafphærum, hadrofpherum, \&ce. is the betel-leaf. IIoffman adopts Salmafus's opinion in regard to the miltake of Pliny : he feems to think that the malobathrum, as wehl as the folium, was conforunded with the fpikenard. If fo, the malobathrum Syrium of Horace is the unguent of fpikenard, which, according to Sir W. Jones, is found in Syria as well as in India.

The characteriftic name of the nard is folium ${ }^{37}$, the leaf, pre-

[^316]eminently in contradiftinction to coltus, the root, both as the prime odours of their two forts, the root and the leaf.

Dr. Falconer has juftly cautioned me to be fure that the nard of of Pliny is the leaf. I know no more of natural hiftory than I have obtained from the authorities here cited; but that Pliny mentions both the fpica and the folium of the nard, is certain; and by his expreffions I underftand; that what we now know to be the root, be fuppofed to be the grovetb. Cacunsina in aciftas fe fpargunt, affuredly expreffes fomething above ground; ideo gemina dote nardi fpicas ac folia celebrant, by which we muft underftand that cacumina and fpicx are identified. But that Pliny was miftaken, and that the fpica was really the root, cannot be doubted, after the account that Dr. Roxburgh has given. It is clear alfo from the authorities adduced by Dr. F. that the ancients were well informed of this. "In " one of the receipts for the Theriaca Andromachi, Napdoso Te giלay







 "s the moderns; Murray, Apparat. Medic. vol. 5. pp. 445, 446. " Lewis, Mat. Med. and the following note from Bodæus, which " perhaps beft folves the queftion: In Indica Nardo, falvo meliore " judicio, fpica dicitur cauliculus, multis capillaceis foliolis obfitus, " ad inftar ariftarum ; nec de nihilo aut immerito Graci antiquif" fimi, Romani et Arabes Nardo illi Spicx appellationem impofu$4 \mathrm{~L} 2-3$ erunt.
" erment. Radix quidem eft, fod que cauliculum e terra emitat, " aliquando plares ex una radice eapilaceis dentis ariftatifque foliolis "veftitos. Not. in Theophraft. p. ror8." J. F. Add to this the teftimony of Dr. Roxburgh, and it will appear evidently that Pliny was miftaken. Another medical friend informs me, " that the " matted fibres, which are the part chofen for medicinal purpofes, "are fuppored by fome to be the bead, or fpike of the plant, by " others, the root-they feem rather to be the remains of the wi"thered ftalks, or ribs of the leaves; fometimes entire leaves and "pieces of falks are found among them." Is not this the origin of Pliny's miftake, which 1) . Roxburgh fets at reft? and may not thele leares and ftalks be purpolely left to increade the weight and price; or even to deccire, as the natives are fo jealous of their plant? All this accords with the quotation of Dr. $k$. from Bodreus.

But there is ftill a more remarkable particular in lliny, which is, that he evidently copies the Periphus in the three places which the allots for the markets of the fikenard; for he mentions latala at the head of the Delta ${ }^{38}$ of the Indus, correfpondent to the Darbarika of the Periplets; and another fort which he calls Ozaenitides, evidently agreeing with the mart of Oztne ( p .27 . Peripl.) ; and a third fort named Gangitic, from the Ganges, anfweriug to gapanic, for which all the commentators agree in reading Gangitic. Very frong, proofs there, that Pliny had feen this. journal and copicd from it; as he mentions nothing of Ozéne in his account of the voyage, and only catches Ozrnitides here incidentally. See Salmafus, 1. 1059 . et feq. who is very copious on the fubject, and has exhaufted all that the ancients knew of this aromatics.

[^317]
## APPENDIX.

70. Naúrìios, p. 27. Nauplius. P.

It feems to be an infcrior tortoife-fhell from the context, which runs
 toife-fhell of fuperior kind, but not equal to the Indian; and a fmall quantity of that fecies called nauplius. It may, however, be a different commodity; but I cannot trace it in Pliny, unlefs it be the fhell of that filh he calls nauplius, lib. ix. c. 30 . or 49 Flard. which feems a fpecies of the nautilis; but which Hardouin fays, does not fail in its own fhell, but a borrowed one.
71. Ninjuc Erçuèn, D. P.

Sewing filk, or filk thread, from China. If this paffage be correct, it proves that filk was brought into India from China, as early as the age of the Periplus. N N $\tilde{\eta} \mu$ can hardly be applied to a web, it feems always to be thread.
 as by the Digeft, and was known without either name to Pliny ; for he fays, the women who wrought it had the double trouble of untwifting the filk thread, and then weaving it up inte a manufacturc. Unde geminus noftris fominis labor redordiendi fila rurfumque texendi. See Procop. Anecd. p. 3. Zonaras ad Concil. p. $23^{1}$. And for the hiftory of the filk trade' at Tyre, fee l'rocop. Hitt, Arc. p. 73. Juftinian ruined the trade at Tyre, and yet fent the Monks to bring the worm from the Eaft. Procop. de- Bello Goth. iv. ${ }^{17}$. p. 613 . Byz. Hitt. See Gibbon.

 Vandal. lib, iv. Meta $\boldsymbol{G}_{6} x$ fera cruda. Da Cange. F. Unwrought filk is called 'Egioy in the Periplis.

Ifatiox

I $\mu \alpha$ ría rà éx META


The manufactures had been long eftablifhed at Bey ytus and Tyre. The web was formed from the metaxa; may we not call it organzined filk? The price of the metaxa was rafed by the taxes inpoled in Perfia; and, upon the manufacturers raling the price, Iuftinian fixed a maximum and ruined the trade.

## ()

72. 'OOóver. Muflin. P'

Wide India mullins called Monakhe, that is, of the very beft and fineft fort; partictulurly fine.

Which is evidently the cotton too ordinary to fpin, and made ufe of only for ftuffing of cufhions, beds, \&c. The Greek term is derived from $\Sigma \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \dot{\sigma} \omega$, to fluff, $\Sigma \alpha_{\gamma} \gamma=\alpha \tau \alpha$, fluffing, or things ftuffed. The article
 ufed for ftuffing. Marco Polo, lib. iii. c. 29. hays, 11 Bambagio che fi cava di quello, cofi vecchi non e buon de filare, ma folamente per


 but the Macedonians ufed cotton for flocks, and ftufling of couches. Mr. Marflen, p. 126. notices the cotton ufed only for this purpofe in Sumatra as the Bombax Ceiba; and Percival mentions the fame
in Ceylon, p. 328. See alfo Dampier, New Holland, p. 65. and Voyage, p. 165. 'O6owov is from dorm, the thin inner garment of women, in contra-diftinction to the $\chi^{i r w y}$ of men. Home. II. $\Sigma .595$. Meurfius propofes $\Sigma \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha$ rogouv, veftis pellicia. F.

Monakhè, fingle.

3d fort. Xudaïov. P.
Coarfe mullins, or rather coarfe cottons, called at prefent dongarees; Wilford, Af. Differt. vol. ii. p. 233, to which monakhè is oppoled as a finer fort.
73. Dives. Wine. P.
r. Aaodirnvos. Wine of Laodicea, in Syria. Syria is fill famous for its wine. Volney, tom. li. p. 69. Strabo. d'Anville Geog. An. ii, 134 .
2. Itraisoos, Italian Wine. P.
3. Agabinos. Arabian Wine. P. It is dubious whether it may not be palm or toddy wine, it feems to have been a great article of commerce.
 polis. P.
For the explanation of this article I am wholly indebted to Dr. Falconer, and return my thanks to him more particularly, as it was, the commencement of his correfpondence. He obferved to me, that it was the diple of the Orientals, and fill used as a relifh all
over the Eatt. Dipfe is the rob of grapes in their unripe thate, and a pleafant acid. I have found many authorities to contirm his fug. geftion. Miny, v. 6. xii. 19. xii. 27 . xiv. 9. xxiii. called by Columella, Sapa vini. See allo Shaw. Dr. Rullel's Neppo, p. 5 S, and Pocock, i. p. 58. made at Faiume, and called Recmas, or lacmas. Iter Hicrofol. ex uvarum acinis Mauris Zibib vel Zibiben dictum, p. 357, ex acinis fuccum exprimunt, coquuntque, donee ad fpiffudinem, inftar mellis ebullierit, Pacmas if Arabice vocant, nos defrutum, Itali mofto cotto, mufum colum, cofgue in cibis pro intinctu utuntur, nonnulli aquit multil dilutum bilunt, id. p. 387 . Ebn Haukal heverif deleribes it, and calls it Doulhah, wade at Arghan in Sufiana.
75. Onyx Aralicus. D. Strahian Onna.

This article ftands in the Digell fo unconnected with all that precodes and follows it, that Ramulio, in order to make it a drug, reads it Gum Arabic; and I can hardly think otherwite than that it is a corruption, and that fome aromatic produce of Arabia is meant; but what, it is impoffible to determine. Mr. Fakoner is perfuaded " that it is the Onyx ufed as a box to contain otours or perfumes, "the fame as the Alabafter of Seripture, Luke, vii. 37 . and Miny, " lib. xxxvi. c. 8. or 12 Elardouin, frongly confirms this opinion, " for there the Onyx is faid to be found in Arabia, and to be the " fame as Alabaftrites, and to be excavated for the purpole of con" taining unguents or perfumes; and fo Horace Nardi parvus onyx " elicict cadum." F. I have nothing to object to this but the context.

## APPENDIX.

76. 'Onírue, p. 27. Awls or bodkins, P.

An article in trade on the coat of Africa, as needles are at this day.
77. 'Ogé ${ }^{\prime} \chi \alpha \lambda$ roc. Mountain Brads.

Used for Ornaments. Ramufio calls it white copper, copper from which the gold and filver has not been well feparated in extracting it from the ore.

## II

78. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Pard } \\ \text { Leopardi } \\ \text { Panthera }\end{array}\right\}$ D. Tygers, Leopards, Panthers. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Leones } \\ \text { Leeane }\end{array}\right\}$ D. Lions and Lianeffes.

Handfome women laves for the haram are mentioned as intended for prefents to be lent up to the king of Guzerat, whole capital was Ozénè or Ougein.
79. Poles Babylonica. D. Partbica.
Hydes from Babylonia or Parthia, pobfibly dyed like Turkey or Morocco leather; but Q.?
80. Пexứxac. P.

Small hatchets or axes for the African trade.

Bee ancicte Nard. fild. Falewar thaks that Pliny has not confounded the Folium, or leaf of the Nard with the Betel as; Salmadus alferts; bur that he takes the leases from three diflerent parts of the plant, the large making the leal vathable odour, and the leat leaves the heft; hence, the dillinction of hadrofphernm, mefofpherum, microfpharnm, and that the pentafpharmon of the Digeft is faill an inferior fint. Of this 1 an no competent judge, but I think it ftange that the diftictions of Matrof herem, \&e fhould be applied by the ancients both to the Butel, ws they are by the Periplots, and to the Sppibenard as they are by lliny, if this opinion be founded. Pliny, lib. axiii. \& has certainly conped the fanc atheorities as Diofeorides, for the makes maluhathrum a malticatory to fwecten the breath, and an odour to put anong cloaths, as we fonetimes put lavender; both which particulars are in Dioforides, but lib. sii. 59. Hard it is a trec found in Syria and Ferypt as well as Tudia. It is much more probable that Mr. Falconer thond be right, than one who is little acquainted with Natural Hifory, but my doubts concening lliny's confufion are not removed.

> 33. पі́

Imported from the coaft of Malabar, as it fill is ; the native term on the coaft is pimpilim; Salm. p. ro7o. or the Sanflerect, pipali. Af. Ref. vol. iv. p. 234. The pepper coaft is called in Arabic beled-d-fulful. D'Anville, Ind. p. 118.

It was found by the Greeks from Egypt, fixf in Ethiopia, as an article of commerce brought thither by the Arabs, but was known in Greece much carlier.

Two forts are diftinguifhed in the Periplus, " and recognized by "Theophraftus, lib. ix, c. xxii. $5 \rho \circ \gamma \gamma^{u} \lambda, 0$ round, and $\alpha \pi \rho \mu m \mu \varepsilon$ long. " And by Diofcorides, the Betel is likewile a fpecies of the pepper. " Porro Betle foliis Piperis adeo fimilia funt, ut aiterum ab altero " vix difcerni queat, nifi quod Piperis folia paulo duriora funt, et " nervi excurrentes paulo majores. Bodzus a Stapel in Theo" phraftum." F.F.

1. Kotrovagszòv. P.

From Cottonara, the kingdom of Canara, according to Rennell, which is till the principal mart for pepper, or at leaft was fo before the Englifh fettled in Sumatra. This is the black pepper. See Marfden's Sumatra, p. 117 . White pepper is the black ftripped of its outward coat.
2. Maxpòv. P.

Long pepper ${ }^{\epsilon_{0}}$, fo called from its form being cylindrical, an inch and an half long. It confifts of an affemblage of grains or feeds joincd clofe together. It refembles the black pepper, but is more pungent, and it is a fpecies of the Eaft India pepper, totally diftinat from the Cayenne, and ufed for the purpofe of adulteration. This is the reafon that we buy pepper ground cheaper than whole.

## 

Girdles or fafhes, and perhaps diftinguifhed from the following article,

Safhes of an ell long,) only in the difference of make or ornament. *Tabaxir is the common long pepper.
86. חivvitod

## APDENDIX.

86. Mivaıxór. D. I.

Pearls, or the pearl oyfter. See the finhery at Cape Comorin.

Purple cloth of two forts, fine and ordinary. An article of trade at Moola in Arabia.
 X $\alpha \lambda \times \alpha_{2}^{\prime}$, Bra/s. P.
Ergorүúna, Round. P. Msүáda, Large. P.
Probably all three epithets apply to the fame veffel. An article of import on the coaft of Africa.
89. Mueòs ìíros. P.

Wheat in fmall quantities, imported into Omana, or Oman irs Arabia.

## $P$

90. 'Pıóxegas. Rbinoccros. P.

The horn or the teeth, and poffibly the fkin, imported from the coaft of Abyfinia, where Bruce found the hunting of this animal ftill a trade, which he has defcribed in all of its branches, vol. iv.

## $\Sigma$

91. इá $\gamma \gamma \alpha \underset{s}{\alpha}$, P.

Boats or fmall veffels ufed on the coaft of Cochin for conveying the native commodities from the interior to the ports, and fometimes along the coalt from Malabar to Coromandel and the contrary.

Rugs or cloaks made at Arfinoe (Suez), dyed, and with a full knap.
93. इav $\delta \alpha \rho \alpha ́ v y_{0} P$.

Red pigment, Salm. p. ${ }^{1155}$. found in gold and filver mines. Pliny. Ore of Cinnabar. Dr, Burgefs. Sandaracham et Ochram Juba tradit in infula rubri maris Topazo nafci, inde nunc pervehuntur ad nos. Plin. xxxy. 22, Hard.
94. Earcuag. D. P. Sugar,

Made at Tyre in the 12th century. Benjamin of Tudela. Bergeron, p. 17. But when firf planted in Europe, dubious. See article 60.

## 

The ancients diftinguifhed two forts of dark blue or purple, one of which was fpotted ${ }^{\text {st }}$ with gold. Pliny fays, it is never pellucid, which feems to make it a different fone from what is now called

6 Dr. Burgefs hase feccimens of both forts, the one with goid fpots like lapis lazuli, and not traniparent.
 Jupiter by the ataint:

 draw the lips of the wound together, and heal it. Suppofed to to gum Arabic by fone ; but others fay, fiom a tree in Peris. Ramufio reads the text withont any notice of al chelucia or farcogall.n, and concludes all three under the following article, which is read onyx Arabicus, but which he reals gum Arabic, memines, perhaps, to render the three confiftent; and a drug feems more requifite that the ony'x-flone; but lee Onyx Arab. Dr. Fithenter has, the fareocolla is not gum Arabic; but adds, that it is well known in the finops, though the tree, or conntry which produces it, is not knowa. See Chambers in voce. "Fit et ex fitcocolla, ita arbor vocatur, " gummi utililhmum piecribus et medicis. Min, lib. xiii. If." If,

## 97. Sardony:. D.

" The fardonys is next in rank to the emerald: Intelligebantur " colore in Sarda, line oft velut carnibus ungue hominis impolito, " et utroque tramflocido, taletque efle fndicas tradunt. Atanices ex" cellunt candore circuli prelucido atque non gracili, neque in " recefla gemme: aut in dejectu renitente, fed in iplis utaboubus; " nitente pratersa fubtrato nigerrimi ct loris. Plin. sxxvii. 7 ." F. Soe Chambers in voce, where, it is faid, the fardonyx of lliny is not what now bears the name but a camea. I have not found this paflage as cited in Iliny, but conclude I have the numerals wrong: the fardonyx is mentioned in the chapter adduced.
.98 Erguco déstura. Chiugfa ITides or Furs. P.
What is meant by סispucta no where appears, unlefs it can be applied to the rciprovoi, whence the malobathrum was procured. But this is very dubious. Sce Ma入ó'caboov. Pliny mentions the Sêres fending their iron wrapt up in or mixed veftibus pellibufque. $F$. See article following.
99. Eíapeòs. Iron. P.

An import into Abyffinia for the manufacture of fpear heads, tohunt the elephant, rhinoceros, \&c. ${ }^{c 2}$

> 'Ivdinos. D. P. Ferrum Indicum. D.

Iron tempered in India.
" Ex omnibus generibus palma Serico ferro eft. Seres hoc cum " veftibus fuis pellibufque mittunt. Secunda Parthico, neque alia " genera ferri ex mera acie temperantur, cæteris enim admifcetur. " Plin. lib. xxxix. c. 14, Plutarch (in Craffo). And Arrian de Rebus "Parth. or the work afcribed to him, mentions that the Parthians " covered their armpur with leather, but at the moment of attack " they threw off the covering, and appeared glittering in their bur" nifhed fteel. Milton alfo, Par. Regained, lib. iii." F. In montibus Kabel (Cabul) inveniuntur ferri fodinæ celeberrimæ, et humanis ufibus aptiflimæ, producunt cnim ferrum acutum et venuftum. A! Edriffi.

> 100. Euvoions. D. P.

Fine linen of any fort, but that imported into Abyfinia might be Egyptian, and poffibly of cotton; but.
8. To cut tike an Indian fword, is a com- (an drith for working the granite obeilks) mon Arabic proverb in Alabfla. And in were made of Indian iron. 太haw quotes the Egypt, Shaw (p.3064) iays, the havdeft tools Periplis, but not perhaps jufly.

ミıидо́:
Can be nothing elfe but the finefl Bengal mullins.
101. Virses. Mhat Com. P.

In contradiftinction to $\pi e \lambda u$ úc, hatelicts.

104. 'Ta $\alpha$. P .

Veffels of chryftal, or glafs in imitation of chryflal.
105. Smaragdus. D. The limerald.

There are twelve forts, according to Iliny and Ifidorus. (Crothofied.) Nero uled an emerald as an cye-glafs; and Gothofred, or Ifidorus, fuppofes that the cmerald has a magnifying power. Mr. Falconer imagines it to magnify only from the denfity of the medium. Mr. Dutens denies that the anciente had any knowledge of the emerald, and in this he is fupported by Tavernier, the Abbe Raynal, Harris, and Bruce. The green gems which the ancients called emeralds, were all of inferior quality to thofe brought from Brafil and I'eru; and from the fize mentioned of fome of them, they are juftly fuppofed to be Fluors: but we read of an emerald ifland in the Red Sea, and much notice is taken of them, both by naturalifs and poets. The greatef difficulty to be furmounted by Mr. Dutens feems to be the archbionop of Xork's emerald, engraved with a Mcdufa's head of Grecian feulpture, and brought from benares; but this, he calls a green ruby, p. I4. Sce liruce, i, 206. who fays, Theophraftus mentions an cuserald of four cubits, and a pyramid
fixty feet high, compofed of four emeralds. And Roderick of Toledo talks of an e:nerald table in Spain j47 feet long! But Bruce fays, likewife, the true cmerald is as hard as the ruby. How then are we to diftinguih between an emcrald and a green ruby? Bruce vilited the Emerald Illand in the Red Sea, and found nothing more like emeralds than a green ehryftalline fubftance, little harder than glafs; and this, he adds, is found equally on the continent and the ifland. Emeralds have been found in Peru, in the barrows of the dead, of a cylindsical form ; fo that the Peruvians, anciently, muft not only have known the gem, but valued it ; and mutt alfo have poffeffed the art of eutting it. Ulloa. Mr. Falconer has fuggefted to me a fingular paffage in Pliny, which may be applied to Nero's emerald, and which had efcaped my notice: Iidem plerumque et concavi ut vifum colligant. Plin. lib. xxxvii. c. 5 . or 16 Hard. ; fo that the emerald mentioned in this inftance-might truly be confidered as an eye-glafs for a fhort fight. F. The whole chapter is fo very exprcfs, that it is hard to conceive what is an emerald, if Pliny's is not: Scythicorum Egyptiorumque tanta eft duritia ut vulnerari nequcant. This feems to exprefs that hardnefs which the jervellers try by the file.
106. इıuvécua. Myrrb. D. P.
" The myrrh of the moderus is the fame as that defcribed by the " ancients, but the tree from which it is obtained is fill doubtull. "It is likewife fill brought from the fame countrics, that is, Arabta, " and the wenern coaft of the Red Sea. But the Trogloditic, or "Abyfinian, is preferred to that of Arabia. Murray, Arparat. " Med. vol. vi. p. 21 3. See Bruce, vol, v. P. 27. Omnimen prime eit "quer Troglodytica appellatur, accepto cognomine a loco in qua $4 \mathrm{~N} \quad$ "provenit
"provenit, fplendens, fubviridis ac mordens. Diofcorid. Matthioli, " lib. i. c. 67 . Plin. Hib. xii. c. 15 . It was procurable in Arabia, " imported from the erpalite coat of the Red Sca." I. F.

Of a fupcrior fort.

Of the beft fort.
107. Spadones. D. Etunthls.
108. Eraxtyे. Gum. D. I.

Abegpunása, read $\Sigma \mu u \rho_{\alpha \alpha} \alpha$, by Bochart, Geog. Sac. ii. 22. Salm. 520. Extract or difillation from myrrh, of the finef fort. The reading is proved by Salmafius from a fimilar error in an inedited
 habet Minæa, lib. xii, c. IG. and Hefych. 'Aurvãoy oivov. Stephan. in voce. F .

Stibium for tinging the eyelids black.
Iro. Eto ál 'Apбsvorrixàs. P.
Women's robes manufactured at Arsínoè or Suez.
rix. इrúga它. Storax. P.
One of the moft agreeable of the odoriferous refins. There are two forts, ftorax in the tear, fuppoled to anfwer to the ancient ftyrax
calamita, from its being brought in a hollow reed, or its difillation from it; and conmon forax, anforring to the flacte flyrax ${ }^{6,3}$ of the aacients. It now grows in the neighbourhood of Rome; but the drug was anciently bronght thither from the iflauds in the Archipelago, Sce Salm. p. 1026. Chambers in voce. Moft of thefe gums, refins, and balfams have in modern praclice yiclded to the American, as this feems to have given way to the balfam of Tolu.
112. इथ~んата, p. 15. P.

Slaves from Africa, an ancient trade! but the number was not great.

## $\Upsilon$

113. 'ráxiv00s. D. P.

The hyacinth or jacinth, a gem which Salmafius fays is the rubs; p. 1107. Sce Solinus, c. xxx. p. 57. where it feems to be the annethyft. And Mr. Falconer concludes, that it is an ancthyl, from the cxpreffion of Pliny, emicans in amethyfo fulgor, violaceus dilutus eft in Hyacintho; but Ilardouin rads, emicans in amethyflo fulgor violaceus, dilutus eft, \&ce, and violacens fulgor is furcly the peculiar property of the amethyft. Salmafius adds, that the Oriental name of the Ruby is Yacut from Ifyaciathus; but Intens hays the hyacinth is orange Aurora, inclining to poppy, p. 35. and makethe Jacinth a diftinct gem from the Ruby; but the Ruby, he obferves,

[^318]likewife, is of a poppy colour, and is called Hyacinth when it has the leaf tinclure of yellow. Whether this diffindion applics to the ancients, I am not a judige to determine; but if the hyacinth is a diftinct fpecies, 1 can find no claffeal name for the ruby. See Pliny, xxxvii. 9 . or 41 . Mard. and lulgor violaceus feems appropriate to the amethyf.

## $\Phi$

114. Fucus. D. Red Paint.

## X

IIs. Xadxòs. Brafs or Copper. P.
116. $\mathrm{X} \alpha \lambda \kappa \varepsilon \rho \gamma_{n}^{\prime} \mu \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}$. P.

Velfels of brafs, or any fort of brazier's work.

- 117. Al-cbelucia, which Ramufio reads Agallocbum, Alocs. D.

Mathioli coincides with Ramufio in the correction. Diofior. p. 40. "Agallochum is the alocs wood, xylo alocs, lignum alocs, "the lign aloes of feripture. Numb. xxiv, 6. and not aloes the " drug. The beft is heavy, compact, gloffy, of a chefnut colour, in" termixed with a blackith and fometimes purple fhade. It is refi"nous and balfamic. Neuman's Chemiftry, by Lewis." F. F. I was myfelf difpofed to think Chelucia, $\chi$ होukia, a corruption of $\mathrm{X} \varepsilon \lambda \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{s}}$, Chelys, the tortoife, i. e. tortoife-fhell.

Tortoife-fhell feems to have formed a great article of commores, for ornaments of furniture, as beds, tables, doors, \&cc. both in Italy, Greece, and Egypt. It was brought from the coafts of Africa near Moondus, from Socotra, Gadrofia, Malabar, and the Lackdive, :nt.] Maldive Iflands, and from Malacea. The latter feems to be deligried by the $x \rho \sigma \sigma a n \tilde{\eta} \sigma$ of the Periplus.

Únder garments, imported from E.gypt into Africa.
r2́o. $\mathrm{X}_{\rho} \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$. Specic. P .
The Periplûs is very accurate in noting the ports where it was neceflary to trade with fpecie; and in more infances than one, notes the advantage of exchange.
121. Xpuoó $\lambda_{\text {bos. }} \cdot$ Cbryolite. P.

Sometimes the fame as chryfites, the touchfone for gold, Salm. p. $\mathrm{I}_{103}$; but defcribed as a fone as it were firiakled with fipots of gold, Salmafius, p. $40 \%$ who points out what it is nut, but canme determine what it is. It may well be the topaz ${ }^{n 4}$, as Dutens makes it, 1.18.

 denarii.
12.3. Xpuбш́para. Gold Plalc. P.
${ }^{4}$ The Bohemian is yellow, with eqgeewifh nut; the Oicutal is rery pake yohnw, fo. Burgefe'v Oriental topaz, drep y dlow.

Thore is a comrupt palfoge in the Diget, which appears thus: Chulynic hopia Indica adferta.

Cothofied joins it to the preceling artiele Beryllus; and Ranulio reads it Beryltus Cylindrus. Sabmatits itypofes it to be a feparate article, and reads it Chekne Ahhon'm, w: me Ipecies of the Chelonit of Piny, (xxxvii. 56. Hard.) that in, the sem callol the Nathiopian Tortoife Pye, and Chelone Indica, anothor feecies; and it may be added, that this is conformable to the order of the Digeth, when it mentions two fpecies of the fame article. The pallige, lowever, is much doubted, and is fometimes joined with wherta, and lometimes feparated. Hopia Indica adierta, opera Indica adicrta, omnia Indica adierta, and again opera Indica, tincta, adtincta, sec. \&ec; but if we accede to Salmafius in regard to the two frecics of Chelone, and place the period at Indica; adierta may be another general title like feveral in the Digeft, and eafily converted into Scrica, it would then fland thus:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { Serica, } & - & \text { gencral title, } \\
\text { filk. } \\
\text { Metaxa } & -1 \text { fipecics, } & \text { filk thrcad. } \\
\text { Veftis Serica } & -2 d \text { fpecies, } & \text { filk wel. } \\
\text { Nema Scricum, } & -3 \text { dipecies, } & \text { fewing filk. }
\end{array}
$$

The only objeaion to this is, that Metaxa and Nema Scricum are ufually applied to the fame thing. Mr. Falconer fuppofes that tincta, if the reading can be fupported, may man dyed or coloured filks, F.

Camplior. Gafir, al Kafur, of the Orientals. I had expected to find this article in the Digeft, but as it comes particularly from Jaw, Sumatra, and Borneo, this may account for its being monoticel. The hifory of it occurs in Schikard's Tarik, p. 185 . who commetslis Marco l'olo fur his veracity, (was he not the firf who brought the knowledge of it to Europe?) and he adds, that Al Edrill feaks of Lanchialos, and then Kalan, where Camphor is obtained, and Kallu he fuppofes to he Bornco. This is a proof that the drug was knewn to the Arabians in the twelfth century ; but the Lanchialos of A1 P. I riffi is very dubious; be certainly makes it a different ifland from Ceylon, and yet Lanca Ilam is one of the Hindoo names of Ccylon. Sce Al Edrifl. p. 35 .

## Capilli Indici. D.

THEEND.

## $\mathrm{ERR} \boldsymbol{\mathrm { A }} \mathrm{I} \mathrm{A}$.

Tage 257. note 75. line 5. for Appesdix, No, I. rated Appendix, No. 111 .


380. note 114 . line 8. for fetivere, treth/ firiw, re.

cluded with p. $4^{8 / 1}$.
494. line 7. dele the comma of Mats, amp phite it at Ptolemy.

552. - penult. dele by any one.

Appendix, p. 45. note 5t. line 3. For Plantagin, rrad Phantagini.

## CORRECTIONS.

Page 16. Part I. note $=0$. Why does Weffeling tell me to belicve this? This ought not to have been imputed to Weflicling, but to Stevena in Weffeling's edition of Clefias.
18. Pant I. line 8. The pofition of Palibuthri, fixed by Sir W. Jones, is agains rendered dubions by Lieut. Wilford. Aliatic Refearches, vol. v. p. 272. Lumb. ed.
27. Part I, note 48. Pleturch does not fay what is imputed to him, but the contrary: it never has happened, and never will, cacept in that country. This error is acknowlelgod with fone degree of motilication.
74. Part I. note 14. Deled figuifies a country, not a cuffie.

## $\triangle D D I T I O N S$.

Page 275. Part If. The Negra of Cedrchus is Najeran.
323. Part II. Sanuto's Map is noticed by d'Auville, Antiq- de l'Iuck, Supplement, p . I f 7, but not its claim to antiqnity.

## Periplus of the Erythrean Sea Part I \&II
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pB1 Appendices


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Perhaps never, to the Romans, but to the Greeks of Egypt under the power of theRomans.

[^1]:     -xupig. p. 7. See infra.

[^2]:    3 We are warned againft the connection of Erythra with Erythrean by Agatharchides, P. 4. Geog. Min, Hudion, Mánacray E $\rho$ Degá",
     the fea of Erythras, is the ocean which takes its name from king Erythra, according to the Perfian account. Salmafius and Hudfon give little credit to Agatharchides for this intelligence. See note, ibid: both agree in fuppofing Edom, Red, to be the true etymology, the fea of Edom or Efauz the Idumean Sea.

[^3]:    * See Defeription de l'Inde, in three vols. by Bernoulli, Berlin 1787, vol. ií.

[^4]:    s See Maurice's Hittory of Hindoflan, vol. iii. p. 243 .

[^5]:    ${ }^{2}$ "Expayes.

[^6]:    the Padei is indefinite. I cannot fuppofe that Herodotus had received any report of the nations north of the Himmalu Mountains, or clfe I thould offer a conjecture to the Orientalifts, whether Radê, converted into Pudei, might not allude to Budtan; for a and is are convertible, as is evident in Multan, which is only another form of reading Mol-tan for Mal-tan or Mallifan, the country of the Malli. ${ }^{15}$ Lib, iii, p. 348.

[^7]:    ${ }^{33}$ Nadir was glad to compound with thefe tribes, to let him pals unmotefted with the plunder of the unhappy Mahomed Shah.

[^8]:    ${ }^{13}$ See Hyde Rel. Vet. Perfarum, cap xxiii; who is half difpofed to make Scylax navigate the Ganges on account of its eaftern direction. He hefitates only becaufe Scylax mutt have returned to the noth again from C. Comorin to furgey the mouths of the Indus. See Weffeling ad Herod. lib. iv. p. 300 . note 34 .
    ${ }^{14}$ Hyitafpes father of Darius is the Gu/hsaip of the Zendavetta, and king of Balk or

[^9]:    ${ }^{20}$ Ctefias fays, there if a pool which is annually filled with liquid gold; that an hundred meafurea (resoxidu) of this are collected, each meafure weighing a talent; at the bottom of this pool is found iron, and of this ixon he had two fwords, one prefented to him by. the king, and the other by Parysàtis the king's mothier. The property of thefe fwords was, that when fixed in the ground they averted cloads, hail, and tempefts; he faw the king make the experiment, and it fucceeded.

    Now whatever a traveller fays he faw with his ownecyes, (unlefs there are other reafons for doubting his veracity,) is deferving of credit, but when he fees things that imply an impoffibility, all faith is at an end. -That there was fome fupertitious practioe in Perfia nof fixing fwords in the ground for this pur.

[^10]:    ${ }^{22}$ Bruce fays, Megafthenes and Denis, which mifled him; he is not in the habit of from Ptolemy ling of Egypt; vol. i. p. $\ddagger 6$ r. 'This feems as if he followed French authority, ${ }^{23} \mathrm{Lib}$. ii. in initio, p. jo.

[^11]:    $\Rightarrow$ Luc. de vera hiftoria, i. cap. 3. próspov
     avotis vir inotsou, Wefieling in Iib. ii. 167 . Diod.
    sa هwensm, though there be nothing in this word to raife gdmiration in general, but at the flraugenefs of the circumftance, how a king of Palibóthra flould know enough of Greeks to be fond of the nation : there is fill a fecret allufion of much curiofity, which is this, the native chiefs who raifed themfelves to independence on the ruins of Syrian monarchy, (whether from the ntmber of Greeks in the eaft, or from the popularity of the Grecian

[^12]:    32 Paolino was a Romich miffionary in Travencore, for thirteen or fourteen years; his work was publifhed at Rome; he is fometimes

[^13]:    ${ }^{39}$ Lib. xvi. p. 769.
    ${ }^{29}$ Diod. lib. iii. p. 205. not. $s \approx \lambda \varepsilon \mu i{ }^{2}$, , $8 c$ c. but Strabo cites both, p. 769 .
    40 Id. 774.
    $4^{1}$ There are two Artemidoras's of Ephefus. Ece Hoffman in voce. This Artemidorus
    lived in the reign of Ptolemy Lathyrus, anne 169, A. C.
    ${ }^{42}$ See his eulogium in Pliny, lib. ii. c. rrz.
    ${ }^{3}$ Hipparchus is later than Eratofthenes $;$ he is fuppofed to have lived to 129, A. C.

[^14]:    44 What credit Timothenes deferves is dubious, as Pliny mentions, that he makes the Red Sea only four days fail in length and two broad, if I underftand the paflage. Lib. vi. c. 33 .

    45 Sometimies fuppoled to be Madagafcar.

[^15]:    ${ }^{22}$. The fphinxes are fuppofed to be apes by Weffeling, and from their tamenefs it is probable. The crocotta I tranflate hyena, becaufe it is faid to be between a wolf and a dog; from the mention of its imitating the human voice, it may be the fackall. I cannot help noticing that the animals coumerated by the author are all named in the fame manner on the celebrated Faleftrine Mofaick. Hardouin thinks that the difinetion of thefe fphinxes from the common ape, was in the face, frooth

[^16]:    ${ }^{55}$ I am not certain whether this relates to the coalt within the gulph or without, but he mentions the illands at the fraits and the

[^17]:    $5^{3}$ It fhould rather feem from this that the road from Coptus to Myos Hormus (which was the nearelt) was more frequented than that to Berenice, and that the latter was neser :materially in ufe, till the time of the Romans.

    59 Strabo, lib, xvii. p. 815 . Begaiкжу $\alpha \times i ́ f=$

[^18]:    
    
     $\mu^{\prime \prime}$ yasis*
    co De la Rochette places Phenícôn at Tor, but this, will be confidered hereafter.

[^19]:    ${ }^{67}$ See Harris's Voyंages, vol. I. book i. c. 2. compared with p. 42x. This work is quoted 2s Harris's, but this part of it, in the fecond edition here referred $\mathrm{to}_{2}$ is by Dr. Campbell;

[^20]:    and is executed moft ably. I am obliged to him for many references to authors, which I have it not in my power always to acknowledge.

[^21]:    68 The authority of Agatharchides is fo often joined with that of Eratoflhenes by Strabo, that it is highly probable it contains all that Eratothenes knew, with the addition riororo

[^22]:    ${ }^{\sigma 0}$ It is not extraordinary that fheep fhould be found without horns, but it is remarkable that this fhould-be regarded as a maryellous
    occurrence from the time of EIomer to that of. Agatharchides,

[^23]:    ${ }^{70}$ Harris, i. e. Dr. Campbell, Bruce, and Robertion all fubferibe to this opinion, and from this fact a itrong degree of probability sttaches to the account of Plocamus's freed insy, for if he was carried to Ceylon by ac-

[^24]:    cident, he would readily find the means of: returning by an Arabian vellel, he would likewife learn the nature of the monfoon. See Bruce, vol. i. 369 .

[^25]:    ${ }^{7}$ He uies the very word, ixat $x^{\prime} \alpha c$, fo often commentel on in the journal of Nearchms,
    $7^{2}$ The mention of Gadrofia naturally in.
    number of thefe iflands can hardly apply to any but the Lackdives or Maldives. The turte alfo and tortoife fhell is charneteritick. duces obfcurity and doubt, but the infuite

[^26]:    $7^{3} \mathrm{X}_{\text {goontrmintix }}$ is by Salmafius, p. 997. fuppofed to relate to the Chryie of Ptolemy, i. e. Malacca, the Golden Chetfonefe. But it is coupled with the iflands of Limyrikè. $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}-$
     p. 32 .
    ${ }^{74}$. As are the ICthyophagi of Herodotus,
    

[^27]:    ${ }^{8 r}$ See Pliny, lib: xii. c. 18. the paflage itfelf is oblcure, it proves that Pliny knew, (what was not known in the prior age,) that cinnamon and cafia were not the native prodnce of Arabia. But it doee not fully prove that the merchants imported them from more diftent marts.

[^28]:    ${ }^{87}$ Page 15. ${ }^{88}$ Page 10. ${ }^{29}$ Page 15. tainly correfpondent, or very nearly fo. It is
    so The proof that Aden is the Arabia Felix an unufual form for a name of a town : but of the Periploss refts upon the interpretation of $A$ den =delicix, by Huet, and admitted by

[^29]:    ${ }^{9 x}$ Page 35.
    ${ }^{23}$ Paolino, p. 372. Regi, cultum Liberi
    ${ }^{02}$ Paolino, p. 108. Sala is manifefly the Patris: The king, fays Pliny, worfhips Bacroot of Saliçè, of Selen-dib, or Seren-dive and chus. Ceylon.

[^30]:    94 Differt. p. Sg.
    ${ }_{25}$ Page 32.
    ${ }^{6} 6$ Ptolemy, publifhed much later, for he lived till 16 m at leaft, near forty years after the 9 th of Adrian.

[^31]:    ${ }^{57}$ Salmafius writes, hinc liquet austoremeffe vetuftifimum \& longe Ptolemxo anteriorem, at the conclufion of his argument on the temple of Augutus, in Eimfrice. Plin. Ex. 2. 1186.

[^32]:    को Strabo, lib. xyii. p. 819. ${ }^{100}$ Strabo, lib. ii. p. 118 .

[^33]:    

[^34]:    \$02 Principe eorum Rachiá, Pliny. I have no hefitation in fubscribing to the epinion of Paolino, that Rachia is Rajah.
    ${ }^{\text {to }}$ Dodwell, Differt. p. 93. from Suetonias. Claud. c. 42 . But this was not their own act, it was by order of Clandius.

[^35]:    10. Mummia, or Mumia, was once a medicine, certainly not on account of the cadaverous but the aromatic fubfance.
[^36]:    ${ }^{20}$ Five hundred thekels of myrrh, five hundred of caflia, two hundred and fifty of cinnamon.
    ${ }^{006}$ See article Kaffia Kafia in the lift of articles of commerce.
    ${ }^{107}$. See Strabo, ${ }^{\text {² }} \mathrm{lib}$, xvi. paffim.
    

[^37]:    
     the whole monopoly to herfelf. She is the receptacle of all [Indian] goodk, and the difpenfer of them to all other nations. Strabo, lib. xvii. p. 798 z

[^38]:    109 It is not meant to affert that thefe nations never ufed the fea; they certainly did, upon their own coalt, but there are not now, nor does hiftory prove that there ever were, any navigators, properly fo called, in the cettern feas, except the Arabians, Malays,

[^39]:    $2 \times 6$ Euergetes fays, in the Adalitick Infcription, he had reduced the wibale world te paace. Sefonchofis could do no more.
    ${ }^{3} 59$ Strabo; lib. xvi. p. 773 .
    "ts I had expected to find an account of the monfoons in the Oriental writers ; but as my acquaintance with them by tranllations only,

[^40]:    ${ }^{239}$ Itgexphmijonts. Peripless. Strabo doen cestainly fully mean to fay, that a confiderable. fleet went to India, but not till the Romans were matters of Egypt; and whether they perfontaded the awhole voyage, or only to Arabia for Indian commodities, is a queftion. If we fuppofe them to reach the mouths of the

    Indus, it is the fall extent that cambe requineds : for Pliny exprefsly fays that the ports on the': coalt of Malabare were only beginning to be : known it his age. In what way, there fâfed previous ta the Periplas will be noticen in its . proper place.

[^41]:    ${ }^{2}$ Ptolemy writes both Rhapta and Rhaptum, the Periplas alway: Rhapta, plural.

[^42]:    ${ }^{* 3}$ D'Anville has the fame, and Bruce the caftle. The principal Mameluk at Cairo, is bay.
    ${ }^{x+}$ Mine and Belled both fignify a fort or Ayled Sheik-el-Belled, the fheik of the caftle. ${ }^{13}$ Page 57 .

[^43]:    20. The revenue of Alexandria, in the ${ }^{25}$ Three lundred and eighty, without alworft of times, was 12,500 talents, equal to lowing for the finuofity of the rivcr. $2,421,8751$. flering. Strab. xvii. 798 .
[^44]:    
     p. 815 . See a verý remarkable paffage in Ptolemy, lib. i. c. 7.
    ${ }^{31}$ Whether Myos Hormus and Berenice may have been comprehended in the mention of one as conjectured above, muit remain a

[^45]:    ${ }^{37}$ Bruce found Troglodyres actually living Upper Egypt, and the herds paffing at Senin caves in Gojam; he faw thefe caves in naar.

[^46]:     principio fanas. Hudfon. Which cannot be trae in any. fenfe, for whether the beginaing of the gulph be taken from the ftraits, as Fudion doubtlefs meane, or from the fea of Suez, this diftance cappot be reconciled. We have had frequent occafion to notice the ex-
    

[^47]:    45 PeripLi p. iii,
    47 Ibid. p. v.
    43 The text ftands ${ }^{5}$ s. $\bar{y}$. 1 a, which the Latin reads $15^{\circ} 26^{\prime \prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$; but it is $16^{\circ} \frac{1}{3}=20^{\circ} \mathrm{r}^{\prime}=5$; that is, $16^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$. The ancient geographers -thought, if they approached precifion within one twelth of a degree, of fire minutes, it was foffeient; they have therefore no more pinute

[^48]:    50 To Mr. Wales I was known only by the and not withont a tribute of gratitude to the courtefy of literature; but fuch was his love, memory of a man, who was as excellent in of feience, that I never confulted him without private life, as an hufband and a father, as he receiving every affiftance that it was in his was eminent in the fcience he profefled, the gower to give. I infert thro as his laft favour, friend and companion of the illuffrious Cook.

[^49]:    
    
    

    52 It is remarkable that this meafurement by ftadia, carricd on to Syênè, and reckoning that place five thonfand fadia north of Meroè places it in latitude $24^{\circ} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$, which Brace fixes by repeated obfervations in $24^{\circ} 0^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime}$.
    ${ }^{53}$ Thefe numbers pary in the copies to eight hundred and ninety-two, and mine hundred and twenty ciglit, but with this difference we are not concerned at prefent. Bruce reclaims againft chem as carrying Meroè to Gojam ; but if meafured by the river, which is remarkably tortuous in this part of its courfe, the numbers are not too high,

[^50]:    s5 By repeated obfervations of the fun and Atars, made for feveral fucceeding days and nights. Bruec, vel. iv. p. 537.
    ${ }^{54}$ Vol. iv. p. $53^{*}$, Broken pedehals de-

[^51]:    ${ }^{60}$ See Strabo, lib. xvi. p. 772. Diodor, according to Agatharchides and Strabo. A lib. iii. p. 16 I.
    ${ }^{61}$ They bucean it, according to Bruce; that is, cat it into thin \{lripes and dry it in the fun. -They cut it from the living animal,

[^52]:    29. On the right, according to the test, but ${ }^{161}$ Caught between Dahalac and Suakem, to make this true you mufl fuppofe the writer but he acde, on low fandy dles hid down beat Addef, fronting the fea, with his face to . twecn $15^{\circ}$ and $20^{\circ}$, where, on bis map, he hardly the eaft.
    ${ }^{206}$ Iliny reads Alinen, lib. pi. c. 34 .
[^53]:    ${ }^{202}$ I have feen this fone both rough and in the Modern Univerfal Hittory, vol xii. p. 301 ? its polifined flate.
    ${ }^{203}$ Hinc in ora Athiopie, finus incognitus, quod admiremur cùm mercatores ulteriora ferutentur. Pliny, ri. 34. For Beilul, fee where the ports of Vella and Lecila are mentioned, which, if they liad been carried be jond. the 氏traits, might have been the Sinus Aralites. 154 See. Pliny, lib. vi.

[^54]:    ess It lias been notived in the firt boct, twore for their knowledge extonkel in the time of $A_{\text {gratharchides }}$; he fry, the Arabians traded to budia, and Indian faiphe arrived at drabia, withent mention of the Greek. How the Growis afermeeds retached India bafure the

[^55]:     written indeed $\Delta$ ign in Ptolemy, and by a ftrange mittake in Bruce written and inter. preted Dire or the Furies from the Latin.

[^56]:    "1" Mardan't xijun ìy it ought to be written If feparate, $10^{\circ} \frac{1}{3}=10^{\circ} 20^{\prime}$,
    
    ${ }^{113}$ It appears that this, at leaft, is the opinion of Marmol, lib. x. p. 158 . Bruce iwagines Adulis to bear relation to Adel, and
    if the kingdom of Adel ever extended north of the ftraits to Aduli this woold be admifficle. In the Periplas, Adali is certainly connected, not with Adel, but with Axama. I am not certain that Bruce knew the fite of Adudi.
    ${ }^{134}$ Anno 156.4 .

[^57]:    ${ }^{15}$ Marmol in this part of his work copies Di Bartos. Di Barroc's account we have in Ramufio, thele with Oforius and Faria are the authorities referred to.
    ${ }^{126}$ In the voyage of the two Arabs, publiked by Renaudot, the trade of Zeyla is noticed, in leopard's akins, amber, tortoife thell.
    ${ }^{227}$ Abyfinian flaves are in high eflimation in Turky, Arabia, and India; they are docile,

[^58]:    is Hence many Indian commodities were called Mofyllitick in the market of Alesgodria, cinnamon, fices, mullins, \&c.

[^59]:    ${ }^{321}$ Certainly more are intended by the Periplûs but not fpecified Four thoufand fladia are four lundred Roman miles.
    ${ }^{127}$ This is laid down from one of the latedt charts, by Lawrie and Wbitle; but in thefe latitndes, and the fpace between Fartaque and Gardefan, the charts differ greatly.
    13) Whether we are to read Tà nè̀े; or Túzasa, is very juflly doubted by the commen.

[^60]:    ${ }^{224}$ Marmol fpeaks of many ancient build- it not be an error datived from the practice ing6 at Zeylu, but ancinut may refer to Arabians of a much later date than the age of the Periplôe, lib. ro. p. $155^{\text {. et feq. French ed. }}$
    ias Strabo notices the tranaferring the here mentioned ?
    ${ }^{126} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i} m \mathrm{~mol} \text { is }}$ fally convinced that Absio lites is the kingdom of Adet, inb, x. p. 155, I56. cargees at the ifraits from fhips to boats. May

[^61]:    125 ＂Oppos imicados，an open road．Stuckius mertions Margeo as its reprefentative，from Belleforef．

[^62]:    ${ }^{22}$ The whole curvature of the $\$$ ．W．angle is called the bay of Zeyla，but Zeyla itfelf lies in an inner bay or harbour．

[^63]:     to its reference; if it applies to the port itcelf it is to be rendered foreign, not native. But it may, be a mercantile term, by which the cem- =rizi. See Perip. p. S.

[^64]:    ${ }^{233}$ Vol. ii. p. 142.
    134 Exireśrtẹa, duriores.
    ${ }^{135}$ etpiapux, incenfe.

[^65]:    ${ }^{137}$ It is remarkable that Juba makes the Atlantick Ocean coinmence at Mofylon; by which we are to underftand that he confidered the whole ocean which furrounded Africa as commencing at Molyllon and terminating at Mount Atlas. See Pliny, lib. vi. c. 2g. Stuckius in leco. See alfo Gronovlas's map for P. Mcla.
    
    
    ifs Corfali in Ramufio, vol. i. p. 187. The

[^66]:    144 Imported either from the oppofite coaft of Arebia, which did always and ftill does produce this article, or from India, the incenfe of which, Niebuhr fays, is better and purer than
    
    ${ }^{145}$ A ipecimen of African cinnamon $T$ have feen in the curious and fcisantifick collection of Dr. Burgefs ; it is fmall, hard, and ligneous, with little fragrance.

[^67]:     tangunt et confequantur, Stuckius. But it p. 8, and Stuckius Com. p. 29 .

[^68]:    ${ }^{2} 50$ on intelligent $\mathrm{Fr}_{\mathrm{n}}$-h Commander, in 1619 , whofe woyage is puthified by Mecchizedec Thevenot, and infert din Fiarris. The pits Baulieu opened were on the chore.
    ${ }^{152}$ Jibbel-Feel, Arabick, from the Heb. ม.
    Bruce is angry at the miffomer of Felir. Perhaps other names in the Periplas would admit of tranflation, if we knew the language to refer to.

[^69]:    151 E. caufe, according to the author's own fyttem, Aromata is the caiternmoft point of trica. ${ }^{1}{ }^{3}$ Saris calls the place Fthke, from the Portugutfe Fifix, but as he deicribes it between Gardafui and Demety, [Metè,] there can be no mintake. Purchas' 8th voyage of the Eaft India Company, vol. it. p. $3^{40}$

[^70]:     Barros, Faria, and Bruce.

[^71]:    is9 It is very remarkable that the latitude this coaf, which was vifited every year by of Ptolemy fhould be fo very erroncous on merchants he muft have feen at Alexandria.

[^72]:    *60 Firia, vol i. p. 158. Purchafe, vol, i. p. 751 .
    ${ }^{23}$ V Vol. i. p. 443 .
    ${ }^{2} 6^{2}$ An error, perkaps, for cape, ibid.
    ${ }^{163}$ ILarris, in Beaulieu's Voyage, calls it Orpin. Deaulien lay near two months to the fouthward of Orpin or Tabai. Harris, i, p. 726. Orpin approaches to Opone.

[^73]:    ${ }^{284}$ Orfui is written d'Orfui, Arfur, d'Arfur, and Carfur, poffibly for Cape Arfur; but the true orthography feems that of Bertholet, who writes dAAfui; or, perhaps, as Reflende does, Daffui; apparently the fame word as Tabai, if. we confider that the Greek pronunciation of Tabai is Tavai, and that Tavai, Davai, and Davui, naturally approach Daffui; but I confign this to future inquiry upon the fpot; and future inquiry may likewife determine whether the two capes Duffui

[^74]:    16g Ptolemy's Azania commences at Zengifa. See lih. i.c. 17 . Zengifa and Pholangis have bath the fame latitude, and may be identifel with Morro Cobir, if that bas there poirts. Tiof eerm Zreptifa is curriget, as related to the coant of the Zinguis or Cufres, fo estly as proleng.
    i*p phangic is dercribed as a retked mountain with thice hent, Ptol. Ihi.i. c. 17. Thit gives it a charicker which will eanite any future navigator to fix it icra certainty.
     trits this conf, makes no mention ot [Nizy xtins, the bosthern Horn.
    772 Two degrees thitry feconds is cer:ainly an errop, as the accotant is ourried to the routh.

[^75]:    ${ }^{182}$ Mombaça was taken by Alneyda. c. 17 . Where Opünc̀ is fix days ${ }^{7}$ fait from Pa -
    ${ }^{2} 3^{3}$ Ihhation Prom. $8^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime}$, Prafum, nopros or Panôn, while the latitude differk but 150 $30^{\prime} a^{\prime \prime \prime}$
    ${ }^{24} 4$ See lib. i, c.g.
    ${ }^{285}$ Compare Iib, iv. pe 112. c. 7. with lib. i. fifteen minutes, at p. 112. Marcias, his copyif, was fo fenfible of this, that he has not veotured to girethe ftadia on this coaft. See infra.

[^76]:    $x$ Bh Barbaria is the couftant term of AlEdrifit, and the Oriental writers. Barbara is ftill a town on this coaft, and to all appearance tise Mofyllon of the ancients.
    ${ }^{201}$ An imaginary kingdom, according to
    the Univerfal Hitory.
    ${ }^{188}$ Bertholet dates one of thefe maps (for there are feveral by him) 1635 , and writes, Petrus Bertholet primùm Cofmographicum Indianorom imperium faciebat.

[^77]:    5s T: misas. Sce Periplus, pp. 5. 8. an article of trade from all the weetern coaft Tón $\pi \alpha_{\xi} \alpha$, compare.
    ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Ev}_{3}{ }_{5}^{2} \times 2 ;$, ufnally, cuftomarily.
    ${ }^{2} 95$ Malabar is properly the conft lower down towards Cape Comoring but the whole weitern coaft takes this name generally. Ariake is confined to the part between Guzerat and Bombay.
    ${ }^{107}$ Gbee or butter in a half liquid fate is the butter of the Indians.

[^78]:    ${ }^{2} 98$ Eumínus.
    1s9 Tugaineas 説ots. Al Edriffi mentions the Arabs on this coaft as feaking a different larguage from that of Arabia, and mknown to the Arabs of his age, p. 24. The fact

[^79]:    230 Stuckius, p- 30. exprefles the fame $\Lambda_{0}^{\circ} x$ in the Periplas points ont the Néra xesac; opirion, and concludes that the mention of of Ptolenty.

[^80]:    224 Sce Table, p. 135 . hat if it co.: be remechet? withan dergee, or
    
    

[^81]:    ${ }^{226}$ Marmol, vol. iii. p. ${ }^{150}$. Oforius, thance. If it fhould ever be found that Pemba, vol. i. p. 50. Caftaneda, p. 22.
    ${ }^{117}$ See Appendix, No. iii.
    ${ }^{218}$ Stuckius fuppofes the Pyraláan intes to anfwer to the Zanguebar iflands, or Zangnebar itfelf; but it is evident the Pyraláans are near the main, and thefe at thirty miles dif
    in its various orthography Pinda, Pendea, Pendrea, \&c. bears any refemblance in n mative found or form, to Pyultian, this queition might require fapther confideration; bet at prefent I can dificover no fuch relation. See Stuckius, p. 3 t.

[^82]:    $2=9$ See S.thaf. Vlima. Exere P. $12+3$.
    
    250 Sec Tuble, p. 135.
    ${ }^{23} 3^{\prime}$ Greater corrupters of forsica mancs than the Grecks there comot be, and the frat firund that fugtoked an icia tora G....k, S.ecolly led him to hude cheth wam, and

[^83]:    offer to aud a G.eels trite of mythology to the narve There can be little doulst that Zocotand is a nasive term of the eallud date, bat the Greel. turnsel is into Differides at the fint Aot, Pume ly Dimfia w.ta made Monthia st the bane manner; puffilly allo fone afinity might Ral be traced from the nitives.

[^84]:    ${ }^{231}$ Barbofa notices this circumftance at the Zanguebar inands.
    
    334 When Duarte de Lemos invaded Zanguebar in 1510, the natives fled to the mourstains. Faria, vol. i. p. 158 . But, perhaps, if low on the coaft, the illand may ftill be called how.
    ${ }^{23} 3$ By referring to the maps for the form of Monfia, it appears both in Reffende and the modern charts tike a femicircle or horfe fhoe, enciofing a bay on the weftern fide, refembling thofe illands in the South Scas which Cooke defcribes as a reef rearing its fummit above the fea. From this form I conclude it to be

[^85]:    ${ }^{317}$ Sixty geographical milea are equal to forcuty-five Romar. Caflanedh fays, tso
    ${ }^{233}$ Ubi demut cognitio, ib: fingendi incipit b.reies, p. 67.

[^86]:    ${ }^{2} 5$ As probably sll the Portuguefe pilots were in that age.
    $\Rightarrow$, Latin text $8^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$.
    247 Latin text $15^{\circ} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$.
    
    ${ }^{2+1}$ Latin text $12^{\circ}$. In the Voyage of Nearchus I had talsen the longitudes and lati-

[^87]:    ${ }^{250}$ lintuo went farther: but in the time of Scylax Ceme was the limit. See Mr. Goiwhin's Recherches, tom. i. on this fubjuce, to whufe opinion I do not fabferibe.
    ${ }^{2} 5:$ It is Mo not Mry, or tife I fhould confiter this as certain. The Iilat:d of the Moon is a $u$ um feet to Europe by Covilham, in his isecr to John 17. king of Portugal. . 理 days

[^88]:    ${ }^{255}$ Pling did not quite think this impoffile; les. ii. c. 67.
    ts: The Sca of Afurk and tic Don.
    
    
    ${ }_{256}$ Proute's Voyage, vol. ii. p. 193 . Entr. ch. oetavo.
    257 Thofe who wilh to fee the mendacity of Endoxus, and the credality of Poficonils

[^89]:    ${ }^{263}$ Rien nétoit fi pelu averé chez les an- qui avoient tourné le contincnt de l'Afrique ciens, comme on en juge par Ptolemée, que parle Midi. D'Anville, Ceog. anci. tom. iii, le récil qu' on faifoit de quelques napigations p. 68.

[^90]:    $\approx_{4}$ Cape Agalhas. Variorum edition*of Pomp. Mela, by Js. Gro263 By confulting the mapinferted in the norius, it will befeen that this is a fact.

[^91]:    ${ }^{266}$ Lib. ii. c. 67.
    ${ }^{231}$ Parroque brevius quam totus; binc aut illine feptentrio eremigatus. Ibid.
    ${ }^{2} 63$ Pliny mentions the commentarics of Hanno, ib, v. ci s* but almoft as if he had not foen them, and certainly as if he did not be-

[^92]:    $27^{\circ}$ Stmbo, p. 101, 102 , where he allows the vorage to India, but refufes all credit to Endosus. Tuergetef, [IId.] he fey, could not want puiles to Iudia, there were many in Egypt; which is true, fo far as fingle perfons and lingle fhips had reached India. This quef. tion will be exzmined in Book IV.
    g7t Brice is fo full of an Eatt India trade,

[^93]:    ${ }^{272}$ See Forrelt on the monfoons, p. 10. 13. fallen in with the Ice"Iflands fo graphically 273 In attempting which, feveral fhips have defcribed by the illuftrious Cook.

[^94]:    ${ }^{779}$ The paffage is, Mstà \$1 Eacxurdenol int a
     reads ai $\pi \lambda$ torris, and which Weffeling calls an hariolation, but adds, Carthagintenfes enim fmilibus defunctos navigationibus, tentaffe oras

    Affice Atlantico mari afperfas, de Satafpe nihil cos novifle. शue dorit viri conjectura babet, quo fe commendet, lib. iv. 298. But he renders it otherwife himfelf, and very harfhly: Larcher follows Weffeling.

[^95]:    240 Weffeling doubts very juftly whether the voyage of Hanno is prior to Heródotus. I can affix no date to it, but am not fatisfied with Campomanes's date. Olym. 93 . Voffius thinks it prior to Homer. Strabo, p. 48 . Fpeaking of the African voyages of the Carthagi-
    
    st1 The work which bears his name.
    382 Though this is not true, yet his men-

[^96]:    ${ }^{243}$ Geog. Aneien. tom. ini p. 68. ${ }^{27}+$ Voffius ad Melara, p. 303. ${ }^{275}$ Lib. i. p. ${ }^{2}$ 2.

[^97]:    ${ }^{238}$ There were Arabs lower down at Sofala, but Mofambique may*well be flyled the laft af their colonies.

[^98]:    * The Lakes in Ptolemy are from $7^{\circ}$ to ice fouch. *The Lakes in Ptoleny are from $7^{\circ}$
    The Cources of the Nalc are in $13^{\circ}$ Touth.

[^99]:    304. See Faria and Oforius in init. Barbofa and Alvarsz, in Ramufio, Bruce, Mickle's Lufiad,
    Cataneda. and Cataneda.
[^100]:    ${ }^{310}$ Mickle xxxix. from Eaxia; vol. i. p. 21 . See his character, p. 18.
    ${ }^{34}$ Faria, vol. i. p. 20, 21.
    ${ }^{312}$ Thefe dates are of corfequence; becaufe Bruce, vol. ii. p. 108. fuppofes Diaz to have
    failed in confequence of Covilham's intelligence, which is directly contrary to the teftimony of Faria, Caflaneda, Alvarez, and Mickle. When Covilham wrote he certainly did not know of Diaz's fuccefs.

[^101]:    ${ }^{32}$ : See Ed. Barbofa in Raraufio, vol. i. p. 288. et feq. Barbofa mentions fucha road:

[^102]:    ${ }_{33}{ }^{3}$ As the old maps contain monfters both \&c. might have been the additions here comon land and fea, fo it is highly probable the Ruck of M, Pole, lib. iii. c. 35 . and Griffins, plained of.
    ${ }^{319}$ Terra Auftralis incognita.

[^103]:    340 The Arabs had been in India 600 years before the Portuguefe arrived at Calicut, according to the Portuguefe accounts, and we know from Pliny, that they were fo fettled or

[^104]:    ${ }^{342}$ A torno.

[^105]:    343 The whole of this is from the preface of Andre Mriller Grieffenhag in Bergeron. ${ }^{34+}$ What Colonia? I wifh the German Latinifts would give us the modern names of cities.
    ${ }^{343}$ Bruce, vol. ii. p. 96 . fays Don Pedro

[^106]:    ${ }^{352}$ Algoa, in the Englifh charts, properly Del Agoa, (Agua, water,) there are two Del Agoas.
    ${ }^{353}$ Faria. But Caftancda takes no notice

[^107]:    357 I follow the Journal of Caftaneda; he muft have feen it on his return.

    288 Vol. i. p. 48.
    350 It often glides from the third into the Foul Cape.

[^108]:    ${ }^{3} 6$ Reffende, p. 80.
    367 I cannot afcertain which mouth of the Zambezè Gama anchored in. I fuppofe it to be the largeft, which is that moft to the north, as Reffende places the river of Good Signs in

[^109]:    ${ }^{369}$ Sce Oforius, p. 52.
    ${ }^{370}$ And the Phenicians, if Sofala is Ophir.
    372 Lihya,
    ${ }^{373}$ Page 155.

[^110]:    ${ }^{177}$ Marmol fpeake of a Lake Zaflandere, ${ }^{376}$ See Di Darros, in Ramufio, vol, i. which he cenfounds with the Tfana or Dembea of Habez, P. 156 , et feq.

[^111]:    384 It may not be improper to notice that is thus in the Thames that we call Northe language of the coaft dyles the courfe to w'ay fhips, Danes, and Swedes, eaft country the northward eaf, and to the Cape weft. It fhips.

[^112]:    ${ }^{35}$ Such as are the "Ogus of the Pcriplus.

[^113]:    ${ }^{380}$ Faria, vol. i. p. 63, and 280.

[^114]:    ${ }^{387}$ Such is the opinion both of Abbé Ray- See in Mickle, p. 83. a citation from Faria nal, Mickle, Dr. Campbell, Harris, \&c. \&c. to this effect.

[^115]:    ${ }^{3}$ A comparative table, containing the diffe- d'Arville and M. Goflellin, will be given hererent diftribution of the ancient names, by M. after; and I mult mention once for all, that

[^116]:    4 The pofition of the Minêi is dubious: from Mecca, tom. ii. p. 116.; Strabo places Bochart fuppofes them to be in the vicinity of Carana of the Minêans next to the Sabêans
    Hadramaut; Goffellin places them two days p. 768 . Hadramaut; Goffellin places them two days
    p. $7^{68}$.

[^117]:    5 The religion of India forbids the natives to pafs the Attock: it is the forbidden river. And if their religion was the fame formerly as it is now, they could not go to fea; for even thofe who navigate the rivers mult alvays eat on land.-The Perfians, if their religion was that of Zerdufht, could not go to fea; for the Guebres, who bvild the fineft fhips in the world at Bombay, mult never narigate them. The Egyptians did not only abhor the fea themfelves, but all thofe likewife that ufed it." Goffilin Reeherches, tom. ii. p. 96. Diod. hib. i. p. $\boldsymbol{p}^{8}$. See allo Marco Polo, lib. iii. \& 20. Ed, Ramafio: quello che bee vino

[^118]:    ' Agatharchides Hudf. p. 57. Mípay xai
    
     AdBaneriby, wis rópus, rai rà poprix Tì $\pi$ pós iusidiay
     Pling, 1ib. vi. c. 28. : hac convenit bivium corum qui Syria' Palmyram petiere et eorum qui ab Gaza veniunt.- And again: -in Rafitigris ripa, Forath, in quod a Petra conveninent.

    9 The fea coaft of Arabia is more than 3,500 miles.

    10 In the thirteenth chapter of Exodus the Spices of India, and the gums and odours of

[^119]:    ${ }^{7+}$ In the Gentleman's Magazine, ${ }^{1793}$, p. 222.
    ${ }^{25}$ Halley's acconnt is to be found in thed Philofophical Tranfactions, 1686, p. 153; in which he fays, that in the fouth weft monfoon the winda are generally more foutherly on the African fide, and more welterly on the Indian. So far he is direelly adverfe to Bruce's fyttem; but he adds, that near the African coait, between it and the Ifland of Madagafear, and thence to the northward as far as the linc, from April to OQuber there is found a confiant frefh S.S.W. wind, which, as you go more nartherly, becomes fill more wefteriy. What winds blaw in thefe feas during the wther half year, from October to A pril, is not cafy to leard, becaufe navigators always return trom India, without Madagafear ; the on'y at-

[^120]:    sount oblained, was, that the suinds are much eafierly bereabouts, and at often to the morth of the truc eafl, as to the fouthsward of it.

    The lait feutence is all that Bruce has to build lis anomalous monfoon on; and it does not prove an anomalous monfpon, but a fluetuation in the regukar one.
    "The weft winds begin the firt of April " at Socotora; the eaifera monioon the 13 th " of October, continues till April, then fair " weather till May. Neither have they more "t than two monfoons yearly : weil monfoon " blows at Socotora all.fonth; eaft monfion, " all north. After the 责th of September " fhips cannot depart from the Red Sea eaft. "ward." Purchas, vol. ii. 193. Keeling's Voyage.

[^121]:    
     тй
    
     p. 253.
    "The cinnamon is flill more extraorcinary;
    " for where it grows, or what countriy pro"d daces it, they cannot fay; only the report * is, that birds bring the little rolls of the " bark which we, from the Phenicians, call " cinnamon." Herodutua fuppofes it, indeed, to come from the country where Dionyfus, or Bacchus, was born, that is, India; though there is a fable that he was bom in Sabea : but its progrefs is clearly marked through

[^122]:    +s But fasid there all the latter part of fo that ke might well leave a garrifon there the fummer, and the winter, Strab. xvii. p. 78 r . at his departure.

[^123]:    65. Agatharchides apud Hudfon, pp. 64.

    66 Periplas, Pp. 8, 9.

[^124]:    ${ }^{70}$ I find this connection of Arabians with India fupported by Pococke, Sir Wm. Jones, and Sir Wm. Gufeley, See Ebn. Haukal, g. 291.

    74 Pliny, when he mentions the embaffy from Ceylon.
    ${ }^{22}$ Marco Polo, Iib. iii. c. 1 . fpeaks mach of Indian thips, but they feem to be Chinefe. ${ }^{73}$ Sce Bergeron Traité fur ha Navigation.

[^125]:    ${ }^{74}$ Lib. iii. c. 27. In the gth century, the age of Renaudot's Arabs, the centre was at Coulam in Travancore. After the eftablifh ment of the kingdem of Colicut by Ceram-

[^126]:    79 Moderator navis pyxidem, magnetenques but if to the whole, it does not quite prove nec hon paginam marinam, cumpluribus lineis diftinctam, qua ventorum rationem infinuant, Cecum, more nofiro attulerat. Grynæus in Barthema, lib. vi. c. 27 . Ramufio, vol. i. p. 168. More noflro (I think) refers to the fea card;
    whether Barthema had marked the difference between 32 and 48 points.
    ${ }^{\text {so }}$ The Portuguefe reached Malacea in 151. Dalrymple, p. 3. Collections.

[^127]:    \&\% This Tank is placed at Mariaba; but Pliny informs us, that Mariaba fignifieg a capital; ftill we have in Reifke, Maraba, the fame as Saba; fo that the Tank will mark Saba. See Reifke in Abilfedam, voc. Jemana. The Tank failed, according to fome authors,

[^128]:    ${ }^{3}$ Almoft every place inhabited by Arabians, is rather a village than a town or city.

[^129]:    96 This is very accurate, reckoning the paffage acrofs the gulph, firft to Leukè Komè, and then down the gulph to Moofa.
    ${ }^{97}$ Hudfon renders this paffage as import. ing prefenta made by Charibáel to the Roman emperors; but in a following paffage the prefents from the Romans are fecifically mentioned, without any notice of a retura.

[^130]:    ${ }^{8} 19^{\circ} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ Niebuhr; $18^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ De la Rochette. Bedijah is Campania.

    90 Bedijah-Campania, Reifke in Abilfedam,
    p. 5. Arabiffe Baduwinæ folebant nempe nutrices ex al Bedijah (i. c. campania) Meccam ire.

[^131]:    오 Mecea is always written Macea by Reifke, in his verfion of Abilfeda.

[^132]:    w. By confuiting Paleny, the conntry of chirt mentioned, and Anágiana of rà Nárparx Elifarns, or the titifáti, is far too much to the fouth to allow of the fuppofition that Gallus went no farther than Mepca?
    ${ }^{n z}$ The copies of Strabo fre fo incorrect in thefe names, that though there is evidently an intention of the edito: to make $A^{\prime}$ grana

[^133]:    ${ }^{23}$ Nera, in the margin of Strabo, is written Hygra, and Negra in Cafanbon's tranfation; and in fuch a fuctuation of the MSX. or printed copies, we have nothing to determine our doubts: but we may conclude, that the place, whatever is its name, muft be confiderably below Leukè Kome, as the paffage from that port to - Myos Hormus was only three days. Thib, however, was for a fingle fhip, and. Gallus had a Heet; but we mue fuppole he' continued bis, coutse up the coaft to the nothward, and cameby Ras Mahemed to the Egyptian fhore. 'Much difficulty ftands in

[^134]:    mareb is fill the capital of a large prot. are Rill current. See Nieb. t.ii. p. Ifg. Arabic, vince in Yemen called Dfjof, between Najeran and Hadramaut, where the ancient traditions concerning the Tains, the queen of Sheba, \&c. the Royal Lake.
    ${ }^{\text {us }}$ Bahr-u-wielk, Bahr-u-malk; Bahr-u-mal-
    Kim ; the Lake of the King, or the Kings;

[^135]:    ${ }^{n 7}$ Supradictam Mariabam. The Mariaba of the Calingiti is the laft mentioned, and Hardouin fuppofes that to be meant.
    ${ }^{\text {ne }}$ May it not be Negrana, for Nagrana?

[^136]:    ${ }_{\text {s }}=9$ But it agrees with a fimilar route from which required 65 days. Lib, xii. $\hat{\mathbf{2}}^{2}$. HarThomra to Gaza, mentioned by Plipy, douin.

[^137]:    ${ }^{35}$ i $\mu$ rropon náquos, the port eltablifhed by of the road of Mokha. The cables, he fays, the native government. do not rub, becanfe the bottom is fand, while we Bruce mentions the fame circumfance it is cosal in almoft every other part.

[^138]:    ${ }^{3} 44$ Coffee and frankincenfe are the chief. of the native exports at prefent; with myrrh, ivory, and Abyffinian gold from Maflua, anfwering to the ancient Adutl.
    
     upurofous, may be rendered as expreffing, that by frequent emba/fies and prefents be bad obtained

[^139]:    ${ }^{12} \mathrm{Ni}$ (ebuhr, tom. ii. p. 10.
    $4^{8}$ Yemen feems to have been converted before Mahomet's death, if we credit the account of Ali's miffion and fuccefs. Dut the

[^140]:    ${ }^{159}$ Perhaps the Safus of Cofmas, but dubions; for his Safus feems to be rather'on the coalt of Adel, or Barbaria. See Melch. Thevenot, p. 7. Colmas.
    for the weftern coaft of the Gulph of Perfia has been little vifited. Capt. Hamilton's is the beft account I have feen.
    ${ }^{150}$ 'Lib, viii. p-358. ed. Gronov. See the
    ${ }_{159}$ This is in fome meafure true at this Jay; note of Gronovius on this paflage, p. 356.

[^141]:     Country.
    ${ }^{26}$ Brace conjectures fix leagues Cook's Chart makes it near 25 miles. Bruce, i. 215 .
    
     opening by degrees from the fraits to the two capes.

[^142]:    ${ }^{16}$ Viaggio di un comito Venctiano. Ra. Soliman Pacha. He was prefent at the exemulio, tom. i. f. 276 . anno 1538.
    This Venctian captain was put in requifition at Alexandria, and fent to Suez to ferve under or four fpice flips in a year.

[^143]:    ${ }^{259}$ M. Polo ufes the expreffion Zerme. The ftance, that the fhips from the Eatt did not, Arabs of Renaudot mention the fame circum. enter the Red Sea,

[^144]:    ${ }^{57}$ Sahar becomes Sachar by enforcing the found of the afpirate, and the change of the final $r$ is analogous in a varisty of inttances; thus, Degel formed into Deger, is the river

[^145]:    ${ }^{17}$ Cape Merbat, called Morebat and Marnhout in our charts, is a headland mach noticed by our Englif navigators: it is one of the principal fources of frankincenfe ; for Al Edrifif fays, in montifus Merbat nafcuntur arbores tharis quod deinde in omnes Orientis et. Occidentis partes defertur, It is four days, or an hudred miles, from Hafec, a ${ }^{\mathbf{w}} \mathrm{d}$ confequently in the very heart of the diftriet, which is the Sachalites of Ptolemy, I obferve in fome authors-a divifion of the coaft into Thutrifera Regio, Prior and Uhterior: if this is founded, the Prior would be previous to Fartaque, and the Ulterior to the eaftward of it; the firit would be the Sachalites of the Periplas, and the latter the Sachalites of Ptolemy; and refpectivcly, the Hadramaut and Seger of Al Edrifli. But I rather think the diftinetion

[^146]:    modern, at leaft I have not yet met with it in any ancient author. See Al Edriffi, p. 27.

    - ${ }^{172}$ The firt Sahar is meant by Niebulur, as. he places it in the province of Jafa, which lies between Aden and Hadramaut; and he writes it Schähr, Arabie. Tom. ii. p. 125. French edition.-It is likewile the Efcier of Marco Polo, 40 miles from Aden. Lib. iii. c. 40.
    ${ }^{73}$ In the French Voyage, by La Roque 1716, which, with the French pronunciation, is our Englih Schehr, prononnced Share.

    1" Renaudot's Arab calls it Sihar or Shihr, which is the Englith Sheer. The produce, he fays, is frankincenfe. The fhips of Siraf go to Jidda, but never farther in the Red Sea. Their cargoea are conveyed to Egypt in fhips of Colfum, the Rea Sen, p. 93.

[^147]:    ${ }^{2} ; 8$ Thefe floats are noticed by Agathar- ${ }^{10}$ Ab Aden autem ad Hadramaut que chides, and are by fome fuppofed to give name jacet ab orientali latere ipfius Aden, fationes to a tract inhabited by Afcitx, from $\mathrm{A} \pi \times \mathrm{c}_{\bar{\circ}}$, quinque. P. 26.
    Uter. $\quad{ }_{2}{ }^{2 s 2}$ Ptolemy makes Kanè the emporium of
    ${ }^{13}$ Terro Hadramaut contermina eft ab Hadramaut.
    oriente terra Seger. P. 53 .

[^148]:    ${ }^{1 g 2}$ Lib. xii. 14 .
    
    v. De Palmis. In meridiano orbe pracipuam obtinent nobilitatem Syagri....... ipfum pomum grande, duran, horridum, et a cateris generibus diltans fapore ferino,

[^149]:    ${ }^{1 g s}$ In the French Voyage publifhed by La Roque, 1716, Paris-Tamarin, the capital of the ifland, was ftill on the north fide. He mentions alfo, that it was fubject to the fheik of Fartaque, the fame probably as the fheik of Kefin; though he calls Fartaque the capital, and Seger, or Schachr, the port (p. 151). The French obtained here aloes, at eight piaftres the quintal of 95 pounds; befides frankincenfe, civet, and gum dragon. Tamarin

[^150]:    ${ }^{2 r 3}$ Bochart fuppofes Syágros to lie betwreen Hadramant and Sachalites; which is true in regard to the Sachalites of Ptokemy, and then it is Farsaque. Phaleg. iob.
    
    ${ }^{2 c s}$ Oppos àrodidoryisos, the appointed, the regular port.
    *6 Confuit d'Anville's Memoire fur le Mer Erythée, Academic de Belles Lettres, tom. 2xxi. p. $59^{8}$.

[^151]:    $x$, There is nothing very extraordinary in this: pilehty of a quafnodity, however valuable, and familiarify with the fight of it, take off from the edge of depredation. Dars of filver lie apparently withouk, a guned in the

[^152]:    ${ }^{3}$ Dionyfums is frid to be the, verfifier of Eratôthenes's Geugraphy; if 50 , it is ant quite a proof that it did exit in his time.
    ${ }^{-6}$ See Strabo, p. 509. The trade paffed by the Oxus into the Calpian Sea, and from the Cafpian up the Cyrus and Araxes into

    Albama; then dorin the Phafis, or Anthemus, into the Eusine; 'in Jutirian's time, by Dubios, a country eisht days from Theodoliopolis iin Cimena, whery the trade from India, iberia; and Perlia, foects the Roman mexchante. Procopius de By tho, Perfieo, P. 149.

[^153]:    :7. It would be foreign to the prefent work to purfue the incuiry into this commerce, as carried on by land on the north. But it feems to have exifed in the time of Herodotus, who mentions the trade on the Fexine conducted by ioterpreters of fiven different laugrages: in the time of Mithridates *, 300 different nations nuet at Diofcurias in Colchis; and, in the early time of the Roman power in that country, there were 130 interpreters of the languages uifed thacre; but now, fays Pliny, the cily is deferted; that is, in Pliny's age,

    * Marclan Herscleotar Hudfon; p. 64. fays, that Timothents wrote a vary imperfet work on Geograply, and Eratofthenes copied him verbatim.
    Timolthencs was a Rhodian, See an Account of bia Work, ibli,

[^154]:    ${ }^{28}$ Procopius, lib. i. c. 20. mentions Juftimian's application to the king of Abyfinia to obtain the importation of filk; bat the Abylfinaans could not effeet this, the Parthians [Perfians], having feized on the emporia. Paolino, p. 96.
    $\Rightarrow$ When Herachius took Deftagherd, the palace of Choiroea, he found in it aloes, aloes. woid, mataxa, filk thread, pepper, mullins, of ${ }^{\prime}$ mullin frocka without number, fugar, gin-

[^155]:    ${ }^{\text {xis }}$ Strabo alfo, líb. xvi. p. 766 . Charre had the fane ufe of foflil falt, Plin. $x \times x i, 7$.

    1, Hudfon Greog. Min. Agatharchides, p. 64 .
    
     precions commodities of Afia and Enrope.

[^156]:    ${ }^{234}$ Sce Al Edriffi, p. 121.
    ${ }^{235}$ Bochart Phaleg. p. 121. places them at Carno 1 Manazoli, fuppofing it to be the Carna or Carana of Pliny: Ptolemy places them much farther fouth. Carso 'l Manazolis is but

[^157]:    42 There is another route fappoifed to be intimated in Strabo, from Gerrha to Hadramate $;$, but the reading, inttend of repprixas, is rabian, which, Salmafius fays, ought to be Falsaiou, fiom Gaza to Hadramaut forty days. If this were fo, it contradicts another paffage of. Strabo, where he fays, the Minêaris were feventy days ingoing to Elana, which is a lefs diflance. It feems highly probable that the

[^158]:    ${ }^{2} / 3$ Ibi decimas Deo, . . . . regi ve etigal, . . . facerdotibus portiones, feribilque regum.... fed prater hos, et cuftoden, fatellitelque \& holtiarï [OAtiarii] populantur. Jam quocunque iter eft, aliubi pro aqua, aliubi pro pabulo, aut pro manfionibus, variifque portoriis pendunt. . .... iterumque imperii noftri publi-

[^159]:    ${ }_{4}^{246}$ Properly the Tigris.

[^160]:    ${ }^{1}$ Of pepper Piny fays, Ufum ejus adeo plactiffe miram eft . . . . . Kola placere amaritudine et hanc in Indos peti; quid tila primus experiri cibis voluit, aut cai in appeteada avi-

[^161]:    ${ }^{2}$ H. S. quingenties, near 403,645 l. lib. yi. 23. quxe apud nos centuplicato veneunt, $40,364,5001$; and again, lib. xii. 18. the balance againlt Rome for the produce of India, Seres, and Arabia, millies centena millia fef. tertium, $800,000 l$. tanto nobis delicia ct fecminə conftant. The prime coft of cargoes in India and China is now $3,000,000$ I. Rennell's Mem. Introd. P. $3^{6}$.
    ${ }^{3}$ Tanta mortalibus fuarum rerm fatictas

[^162]:    ${ }^{5}$ The poet undertood this better than the color eft, nifi temperalo folerdeat ufu. philofopher, when he faid, Nullus argento

[^163]:    ${ }^{6}$ Kombana, in the Greek copies; Nom- only at Dagasira. mana, in the Latin.:
    ${ }^{7}$ Pliny malses it a city of Karmania: Oppidum O'mane quod priores celebrem portum Carmaniæ fecere Lib. vi. 28. Ptolemy does

    * Ptolemy fonctimes writes this Karpela, which, I think, fignifies the Pierced Mountain, fuch as Bombareek is... The Latin text is Karpella. the fame; but Nearchus commences Karmania

[^164]:    
    
    
    
    ftood; and is, I believe, the nominative to. Trapawarions: but there is fome corruption of the text, or fomething hot ealily undertood.

[^165]:    Ts Large frips from the Indus, Patala, Perfis, and Karmania, came to Arabia as early as the time of Agatharchides, and moft probably many ages prior, before there was any hiftory to report the fact. I fuppofe thefe veffels to have been chiefly navigated by Arabians, becaufe we can prove the fetlement of that people on the coall of India from the timethat bitary commences. See Periphas fuprat P. 36.

[^166]:     3xdiokemav. I fhould have been glad to have interpreted this paflage as relating to the Parthian empire, which was then in ita vigour, and might have extended itfelf eatward to the Indus ; and, by applying axatines to Hindoos and Parthians, the expalfion of each, alternately, from Minnagar, would have refembled the fate of Candathar in thefe hatter ages. But it woth then have been written tróo vïn nápsav, the Parlbians, top Partbinn empire; and Hópeow
     out Parthians.
    ${ }^{25}$ If the governing power were Parthians; the diftance is very great for them to arrive at the Indas; may we not, by the afiftance of imagiation, fuppofe them to have been

[^167]:    ${ }^{27}$ Bundar Lori, the Eaftern Channel, is called Nulla Sunkra in the treaty of Nadir Shah. See Nearchus, p. 529.
    ${ }^{2}$ The wettern channel, which conducted to Lori-Bundar and Tatta, was the only one frequented by the Englifh. This is now either impracticable, or rendered unfafc for ftrangers

[^168]:     curтриárspos o riacg. 'Entifepos is particularly applied to winds: ventus fecundus. 'Exfinue, in this paffage, I have omitted, and cannot render.

[^169]:    3n Paolino.
    37 "You have twenty leagues of white fes. "between Socotra and Arabia." Dalrymple's Collection, p. 57. "The fea near Socotra

[^170]:    
     Beginning of Ariake, marks the diftinction; for Barugaza was Inbject to Minnafgara. Aptuxn; for Apaftx $\tilde{n}_{i}$, is the undoubted correction of Stuckius. Suraftrene; Mr. Harnilton interprets it Stimaitra, the Lord of Properity. Jaggat, the Worid.
    ${ }^{43}$ All India is a large expreflion; buit it camot comprehend more than the northern part of the peninfula of India, in oppofition to Scindi and Guzerat, in that age, under the Parthiaus. Such a king as the Balahara of Al Edriffi (p. 62.) would correfpond fufficiently; for Balahara fignifies King of Kings, according to his interpretation; but Mr . A. Hamilton fays it implies, the Overtbrower of Arnies.

[^171]:    47 Baiunes is Diu; and, if I underftend it rightly, this ifand, and the cuait towads Jaigat, is the Cheimaersan of Marco Poio: in his time, all the trade here was in the hands of Arabar.
    
    
    $\rightarrow$ On pent dire ainfi, que ce qu'on acquiert de notions par le Pćriple, eft fatisfaifant et pufitif. D'Anville, Antiq. de l'Inde, p. 86.
    ${ }^{5}$ I conjecture that Diu is the Avi Caman of A1 Edriffi, becaufe he rockons one day and a half's [ail from Cambay to Avi Caman, and two from divi Caman to the Indes. Thiy wre courits fat tou long for an Iudian flip,

[^172]:    ${ }^{5+}$ [Kara] Tÿтoy Tòy Kóazay, tò тírayos às
    
    
    
     with rov acinacy, or to $\pi$ fhayos: I prefer the firft, as ufual in the journal. To athayes I render clar cbannel, as open fea, in comparifon of a courfe along either thore; द́mpopainh is, fearcely appearing, fcarcely vifible ; doantpüvrai seed not be taken in the flrict fenfe of cro/ing,

[^173]:    so Pápika, criminal, gaity, barbarous. Mr. it would explain many particulars here menA. Hamilton.
    ${ }^{57}$ It was very late that I faw Skinner's Chart, by favour of Mr. Arrowimith. His folete; zurpino, Hafych. Salm. 83. Memoir I have not feen; but I am perfuaded,

[^174]:    ${ }^{6}$ See the defcription of the Bore, called Macareo, in Pegu, by Cajar Frederick. He mentions ftations in that river like thefe; and adds, that the Macareo in Cambay is nothing equal to that of Pega. Hackluit, ii. p. 234 -
    ${ }^{64}$ The Rachoofi are the giants of India, as I learn from Mr. A. Hamilton, of Edinbro'.
    os Bayer's catalogoe of Bactrian kings.ends 134 years before our cra, and therefore he has

[^175]:    ${ }^{65}$ Hudfon wifhes to convert Aratrii into Arii, and Rakhooft into Aracholii. So far as Aria and Arachofia are connected with Bactria, there is reafon in this; but if there is any order obferved in arranging thefe uribes, they afcend with the Indus to Moultan and

[^176]:    co See Stralo, p-471. Bayer, Hift. Bactrian, p. 80.
    ${ }^{7 \times}$ Paolino informs us, that P. Pavoni, a miffionary in Myfores, found a coin- of Claudius in the river Caveri. P. 98.

[^177]:    * Niebuhr fays, vol. i. p. 137. that Greek, Perfian, and Roman coins are fill cirrent in Curdiftan ; and Nicolas di Conti Ramufio, tom, ii. p. 286. mentions the Venetian ducat as current in India in 1440, that is almoft 60 years before the Portuguefe reached India.
    ${ }^{7}$ On the coalt of Malabar, women appear at this day ornamented with fequins, coins of Portugal, and Englifh guincas, by way of necklace. Moore's Nárrative, p. 293.

[^178]:     at that time？

[^179]:    u) The date of this grant anfwers to the year 1018. of our era : it was, communiçated to the Afiatick Society by General Carnack, and has every evidence of authenticity. If the

[^180]:    
    ${ }^{\text {ng }}$. The diftrict of Nelkunda is an exception to this.

[^181]:    ${ }^{150}$ Aurungabad takes its name from Au- his generals, and directing them all from this rungzeb, and feated here or at Amednagur, in a centrical fituation. He carried on his inroads into Golconda, Vifiapour, and the ftates of Sevajec; crulting his armies to his fons and

[^182]:    $r *$ By the repeated mention of Subara with Cambay in Al Edriff, I had hoped to connect it with the Suppara of Ptolemy; but I think he means to place it north of Baroache, which he calls Beruh; if 'fo, it will not anfwer our
    purpofe. But I cannot always follow his wanderings.
    ts Antiq. de l'Lnde, P. ro4.
    ${ }^{330}$ Which is proved by Capt. Hamilton, in his Account of the Eaft Indies, vol. i. p. 544 .

[^183]:    Thefe tablets, containing a grant of applied to a mart five fations, or 150 miles, land, have bean mentioned before; and if the manner of writing Tagara be literal, the evidence is complete.
    is Al Edriffi preferves the name of Sandan
    below Subara. The fituation is not amils; but whether it has ang allution to the name of a rajah ox fovereign, is wholly dubious.

[^184]:    ${ }_{-1}^{131}$ Merà 8 Kandísat.

[^185]:     Rendered by Hudfon, Poft Callienam alia funt emporia Fernacula, quibus regionis incole tantum utantur ; and I conclude it is the true

[^186]:    tis' Il ne faut point avoir égard à ce qu'on Fit enfiuite comme par forme de tranfition $\mu \pi n \dot{\text { ù }}$ ․․ Antiq. de l'Inde, p. 1 or.
    :3 P. 100. Upon the whale of this there is only one quettion to propofe: Does niot

[^187]:    ${ }^{42}$ Melizigetria, in Ptolemys is an ifland, the Meli-zeigara of the Periplus on the continent, and the Zizetris or Zizecrus of Pliny is a river and a port. 'The inands of Proleny are in fueh diforder on the coait of Gadrofia, and in the Red Sect, that there is nothing extra-

[^188]:    
    is The appearance of a Cherfoncle is not fo manifeit in Rennell's Map, as in that of Orme; but the point off which the Angedives lie, "cannot in any fenfe be deemed a Cher.

[^189]:    15: I have mnfelf found no white illand nesrer than the Sacrifice Rock nẹar Calicut, which is 270 miles from C. Ramas. That sack is white with the mute of birds, but it is two diltant to enter into any arrangement

[^190]:    ${ }^{3} 3$ Almieyda, according to D'Anville (Antiq. de P'Inde, 110 ), laid the foundation of a fort.

[^191]:    ws* See De la Rochette's Map of Hindoltan, which agrees withC. Hamilton, and Hamilton remained fome time at Carwar. 'See pol, i. 259. Orme likewife fixes it at Mirzeou. Hif. ber.

[^192]:     Canara dal monte dilly fino a Cou Paolino, $j$ and 2 are likewife perpetually interchinges 2, 262.
    ${ }^{156}$ Commonly written Viziapour. Screral •

[^193]:    ${ }^{137}$ It wis regularly governed. by a queen. . ${ }^{239}$ The natives ftyle their fovertign, King C. Hamilton's Accomut of Eeft Indies, yol, is of 12,000 Iflauds. Inaris, vol. i. 677 . p. 279.

[^194]:    ${ }^{162}$ Nelliceram is in a different province, for
    p. 289. who makes Decully, or Dcka, the the boundary wall is at Drkla. De la Ro- limit.
    chette.-See alio Capt. Wamilton, vol, i.

[^195]:    ${ }^{37}$ Governor Duncan joins in this interpretation of Perumal. Af. Ref. vol. v. It is a curious and valuable paper.
    ${ }^{176}$ There is another date 805 . D'A nville, It 4.
    ${ }^{17}$ Regnabat ibi, cun hee proderem, Celebothras. Plin. vi. 23 .

[^196]:    me This opinion is founded on the report of Rcnaudot's Arabs, and wiil be confidered hereafter.
    va The evidence for their power and num-
    ber is alfo very clear from M. Polo, and their empluymeits in trade and war. See Lib. iii, Ceylon, and P. 54 .

[^197]:    ${ }^{58}$. Paolino, p. 84 .
    ${ }^{5} \mathrm{t}$ Paclino fays, they make $t$ wo voyages in a year; but I do not underftand how this can
    be, if they fail with the monfoon.
    ${ }^{15}$. Cafar Frederick in Hackluit, p. 223. ${ }^{57}$ Barchema in Gryareus \& Ramufio.

[^198]:    ${ }^{136}$ Lib. vi. cap. 22.
    $"$ Pliny fays, moreover, Seras ab iplis a fipici; as if the coalt of the Seves were in fight. But Salmafius propofes reading, ulera

[^199]:    ${ }^{*}$ Salmafius fupposes Xpvovintintan' to refer ses See Harris's Difcourfes on the Eait va Kbresè, the Golden Ifands, or Cheríontfe, India Trade, vol, $i$. is Aya,

[^200]:    tec Bedze Opera, p. 793. Appendix, and began to reign in 872.
     Sighelm, binop of Shirbourne, to Malabar,

[^201]:    * Ptolemy, VIIth Table of Afia.
    $*$ Pliny mentions this ewice; lib. vi. c. if. and cap. 2,3 . In the firt, it is the commu-
    nication by land; in the fecond, it is from the information of a mative of Ceylon.

[^202]:    20 Silk was not a native commodity or manufatture of India in the 16 th century; it. fill came from China. Cref. Frederick, Purehas, yol. iii p. ${ }^{17} 08$.
    ${ }^{21}$ 'Ex тũ̃ Zan rórur. Again, I have no doubt but that the fenfe here given is the righe one.
    212. The Arcka nut is mentioned as an export in Cananoor, the next port by Caf.

[^203]:    ${ }^{215}$ Reanell's Mem. laft ed. p. ${ }^{230}$. ${ }^{23}$ See Renaell's corrected Map, and d'Aa${ }^{36}$ Harris, vol. i. p.716. Rurchas, wol. iii. 'ville's $\pm 566$.

[^204]:    ${ }^{27 \%}$ P. 70 . et feq.
    ${ }^{23}$ Plin, lib. vi. c. 23 .
    ${ }^{27}$ The Immaum finding Aden to lic inconvenient for the trade of the-Red Sea, becaufe of the fre?h winds ufually blowing at ins mouth in both eaflerly and wefterly monioons,

[^205]:    made him remove the trade about 15 leagaes within its month, to a fifling-town called Mocha. Capt. Hamilton's Acc. of the E. Indies, val. i. p. 39. That is, it is fafer riding within the ftraits than wihout.

[^206]:    250 Nineteen hundred miles in forty days, gives rather more than forty-feven miles a day; but the day's fail of an ancient veffel was 500 ftadia, or fifty miles; and the courfe of a uzisurpar double: fo that they muft in this paflage have failed with great caution. But the Arabs, in the ninth century, emploged
    thirty days from Makat; whence we may conclude, they had not much improved upon the Grecks. Sec the Arabs of Renaudot.This run fouldegroperly be take: at Gardefan.
    $\Rightarrow$ The whole of this is from the Oriental Navigator, p. 2In.

[^207]:    ${ }^{22}$ The firft new moon in September is called St. Anthony's Moon, and confidered as the commencement of the N.E. monfoon,--C. Hamilton, vol. i. p. 255 .
    ${ }_{2}^{23}$ Lib, vi, c. 23 ,

[^208]:    su. Ceffr Frederick in Parchas, iii. p. 5 yo8. tember.
    who bkewife mentions their arrival ia Sepo ${ }^{2}$ Whiten Khamin.

[^209]:    ${ }^{33}$ Pliny fays, it was r 393 miles from Syagros to Pátala; which is not very diftent miles.

[^210]:    ${ }^{337}$ Lib. xif 17.
    ${ }^{13}{ }^{\text {B }}$ They are obfcure, not only from the want of longitude, latitude, and the direction
    of the coafts, but likewife from their adopting names that are neither native or claffical, but terms of their own language and ufage.

[^211]:    ${ }^{33}$ It is writeen Shiraff, Sharraf, and, by MeCluer, Charrack, pronounced Sharrack.

    40 Int the port of London, aClisathip is a thip deftined for China; and in this fenfe, perhaps, the Arab fays, that molt of the Chinefe thips take in their cargo at Siraf. He deferibes their paffage down the gulph to Mafcat ; and upon mentioning the Arait; at Muflendon, he adds, "afeer we are clear of these ;ocks, we "Acer for Oman and Mafort." I conclude

[^212]:    *4) Whether actually an Arab or not, I. camot difcover; but the Arab fays, he was aequainted with one, who had feen a man that had travelled on foot [by land] from Samarcand to Canfu, with a lond of Mufl; and had traverfed all the eities of China one after another. This is a proof, at leaft, that the communication was open between Samarkand and China; and as Samarkand, at that time, was fubject to the Moflems, this traveller was at
    leaft a Mahometan, if not an Arab. Re naudot, p. 7 s.
    ${ }^{250}$ Europeans bad teached China, but from a different canfe. M. Polo funnd a French goldinith at Cambalu; but he had been cartied off from Poland by the Tartars, who had made an irruption into that country.
    ${ }_{255}$ Cedrenus calls them, Interior Arabians. Aman and Jektan, p. 422. Yemen and Jock-
    

[^213]:    ${ }^{59}$ I have received the following extracts from Capt. Henry Corncrall's Remarks on the Coait of India, 1720 ; the work itfelf I have not feen:
    " Southward of Mount d'Tlli, in fair wea"s ther, you may fee the Dutch fettlement of "Cananoor, which will bear N.N. by N. 4雪 " leagucs; yout bring the flag-itaff N.E. by ${ }^{46}$ E. about two miles off Chorc, and then you

    * "s will, bring that peak feen over Calicut E. " by N. over a reddij $h i l l$ by the fea.fide." "Four leagues to the fouthward of For-

[^214]:    
    
    
     Dombers, confirmed by the following claufe:
     stuxes. But if by the text we are to underfland that Elabakare itfelf is called the Ruddy Mountain, perhaps there is fome further omiffion or corroption in the teat. I wifh to confider Ela as Mount d'rlla, and Bákarè, or

[^215]:    Ts The country of Malabar Proper could raife more than twelve hundred thoufand men, necordiug to Capt. Hamilton, i. 288.
    ${ }^{36 n}$ There is a Tum-bala on this conlt ftill; but whether it is ancient or modern I know not, nor whether its 'pofition would be fuitahke, if thofe points could be afeertained.
    wor We have a Mahomedan account of the fettlement of the Moflems at Caliaut, taken from Ferifita, and publifhed in the India Ann. Regiter ${ }^{\prime} 799$, p. 148. Mireel. But as Feriflita, was a Mahomedan himfelf, fo dots he fay, he has it from a poerical account ; and though it preferves the outline of Ceram

[^216]:    ${ }^{2 s 6}$ Paolino is mittaken in fuppofing Paralia to be confined to the Peari Fiffery: it ex. tends the whole way from Elabákare to the Fiflery, and is literally the coait of Malabas,
    in contraditinction to Paralia Soringorum, the Coaft of Coromandel.
    ${ }_{-1}^{67}$ P. 37 .

[^217]:    ${ }^{*}$ Capt. Familton fays, between the middle and well point of C. Comorin. i. 333 .
    
    
    \%r le perle nafcono vicino a Mannar. PaoLino, 374. Bot he fays likewife, there are (wo Giflerics: one to the weftward of Ceylon, in the open F a; and another calt of Cape fombrin, feparated by the Straits of Manar.

[^218]:    Still both are to the exftward of Cape Comorin; and the ifland of Epiodorus removes all doubt. . P. 373.
    ${ }^{\text {sat }}$ In Ceelum.
    ${ }^{\text {n) }}$ Sce Stevens's Hilt. of Perfia, p. 402. He fays Chilao fignifies a Fifhery in the native language.
    ${ }^{37} \mathrm{Mr}$, Le Beck's Acc. Af. Ref. vol. v. p. 396.

[^219]:    ${ }^{27}$ Purchas, vol. iii. p. 1708 . By Marco king had a tenth; the bramin, a twentieth. Polo, lib. iii. c. 20. who mentions the Bramin; and Betala, as the feat of the fifhery. The
    ${ }_{27}$ Capt. Stevens.
    2/9300,000 Porto Nôva pagodas.

[^220]:    A Agatharchides had faid a great deal more than his abtreviator has preferved :
     2.2mur sxepayyidfods. Apud Hudfon, P. 23.

    But what remains is fufficient:
    

[^221]:    
    

    The multitude of bones ftill found in thefe excavations is incredible, of wretches crufhed by the falling in of the earth, as muf natu. rally happen in a loofe and crumbling foil.

[^222]:    ${ }^{387}$ Pulo Simoon. Voffüs ad Mel. lib. iii. 7 . Infula Siamenfum, with the Perfian addition of Din Div, an 1 Mand. This is a fanciful etymology; and yet the temple in Ceylon, deferibed by Capt. M‘Tenzic, Af. Ref. vol. vi. Hion, Capt. Mahoney, Af. Ref. vii. 48.

[^223]:    9: Strabo, p. 72. 5000 ; p. 690. 8000.
    
    
    ${ }^{22}$ It feems to admit of proof, that Al Edriffi has made two iflands out of Ceylon, inttead of one. Saranda, le fays, (p. 28.) is i200 viles in circumference; and Sarandib (p- 31.) is 80 miles long and 80 milcs broad. And yee that Saranda is Ceylou, as well as Sarandib, appears manifeft, by his placing the Peall Fifhery there, and making it a great refort of merchants for ficies. He bas a different miftake about Comar, or Comr ; for Cape Comorin, and Comr the illand of Mada.

[^224]:    24. Strabe, p. 130. Camden's Britannia, pref. Ixxviii. See Pytheas Polyb. iv. 629. 40,000 ftadia.
    ${ }_{2 s s} D^{\prime}$ Anville obferves, that this amplifica. tion is as 14 to 1 .
    of M. Bolo defcends from Ptolemy; for he fays, this is the fize, in the mariners' maps, of Inḍia. Had Ptolemy feēn fuch a map? or had the Mahomedans introduced the maps of Ptolemy into India?
[^225]:    ${ }^{297}$ Not Nicolas di Conti, unlcts upon his return.

[^226]:    NA Tellipelli is more northerly than Point Pedro; but, lying out of the courfe of the voyage, is feldom noticed.
    ${ }^{306}$ The exittence of tigers, and other noxions znimals, in Ceylon, almoft proves an aboriginal
    communication with the comtinent by maans of this bridge. Elephants night have been imported," but a cargo of tigers is not probable.

[^227]:    ${ }^{3} 66$ Paolino fuppofes Kolis to be Covalam; but-Diony fius evidently makes Kolis the fame
    
    
    c\%. See the account of Ramifur and Manar, in Capt. Mackenzie's, Narrative, Af. Refearches, vol. vi. p. 425.; a paper which gives

[^228]:    ${ }^{308}$ For Kalligicum, Seitmafus reads Kentus xín. Plin. Ex. p.1113. And he adds, Prater alia hac re moveor, Kuisx\&s, vel Kuniaxci); veterum, efle recentiornm Korhov; And yet, firange! he thinks the Koikhi of the Periplits to be Cochin.
    ${ }^{3 c 9}$ Solen, in its original fenfe, is a pipe or flute, which the oblong mulcle may be fuppofed to reprefent, but not the peatl oyfter. Perhaps this mofcle was found in the river, without relation to the fiflery. I learn from Capt. Mackenzie; that there is a.fifhery on

[^229]:    ${ }^{36}$ Montfaucon fixes the laft date of Cofmas's publication in 535 :

[^230]:    ${ }^{31}$ The freedman of Plocamus, who weached he was himelf probably a libertus of Claudius, Ceylon in the reign of Claudius, was not a
    ${ }^{3}$ th The ruby of Ceylon is proverbial. Paolino, dedication. Pliny, xxxrii. 4I.

[^231]:    ${ }_{3}=2 P_{\Delta \mu k i v . ~ I f ~ C o f m a s ~ h a d ~ n o t ~ m e a n t ~ t o ~ g i v e ~}^{\text {g }}$ the very word of the Ccylonefe, he would bave written Papusue. In India the Tuks are called Roomi, as poffeffing Conftantinople, the feat of the Roman emperors.
    nat I cannot help tranforibing the paffage as I found it by accident in Mafcou's Hiltory of the Germans :

    Monctam quidam argenteám Perfarum Rex arbitratu fuo cudere confuevit. Auream vero neque ipfi, neque alif cuipiam-Barbarorum Regi, quamvis ami domino, vulta proprio fignare licet. Quippe tjufmodi moneta commercio vel ipforum Barbarorum exchadituy. Mafeou, rol. ii. p. 98 , from Procopius, lib, iii. cap. 33 . See Cofmas alio, p. 148 .

[^232]:    ${ }^{323}$ Matthioli, p. 47.
    ${ }^{33}$ Mahony's, Le Beck's, and M‘Kenzie's Narrativcs, are in the Affatic Refearclies, vol, vi., p. 425 , vol, v. p, 393 . and vol. vii.
    p. 32.; H. Boyd's, in the Ind. An. Regiften 1799 : they are all valnable, and worth confulting.

[^233]:    * Manar.

[^234]:     rica elaborantur. Hudkon. But then it fhould
    
    ${ }^{4}$ Xpijuc. Res psteterea amnis generis, Hadfon. But $X_{f i n} \mu a$ is ufed repeatedly in the Periplûs for fpecie.
    traft to the IIopanía, or coa/f of Malabar. In Ptolemy, Paralia Sore-tanum; and Sore is Core, Coro-mandcl. Coro-mandalam of $\mathrm{Pao}-$ lino, the Millet Country. See d'Anville, Ansiq. 127.
    3. Ineparia, the coa/ of Coromandel, in con-

[^235]:    ${ }^{12}$ Tranfparent.
    ${ }^{2}$ Thontan.
    rhinoceros, or barkandam, in the fame coun-
    Is The Arabs of Renapdot moption the

[^236]:    notes.
     Ifland are evidently diftiect here, as the Golden Province and Golden Cherfonefe are in Ptoof Salmafiug's.
    ${ }^{7}$ The Mare Tenebrofum of the Arabs: lemy.

[^237]:    notes.
    12 Topmbvars, firpels, literally mats made of rufhes.
    2) The word, in the original edition, was غ́prá̧̧oucs ; for which Salmafivis reads bró幺̧ouv. I propole Zyopásoov, they deal or twaffic.
    

    Thefe terms are applied by Pliny to the fpikenard. Lib. xii c. 26 . The fpikenard was confidered fpecifically as the leaf; how erroneouly, may be feen in the eatalogue. Hence it became confounded with the betel

[^238]:    1. Tzina, and Tzinitza, and Tzinifte, are the orthography of Cofmas Indicopleuftes, and approach as nearly to China and the
[^239]:    ${ }^{6}$ Marco Polo fays, Mangi is called Chin. in Zipanga, or Jipan. This may be an error, for Marco never was in Japan, but it is a proof that Mangi was called Chin in hio age. Lib. iz. c.. 4 .

[^240]:    ${ }^{9}$ In this, Mela, Pliny, Dionffus, Cofmas, and the Peripidas, are all agreed. *

[^241]:    ${ }^{20}$ D'Anville, by placing them in Cochin- to maintair), ss well as Gofellin. china, makes them face to the eaft, but in "This is very well argued by Goffelin. this he oppofes Mercator (who had no fytem Ceog, des Grecs, p. 143.

[^242]:    ": Goffllin notices the approsch of this flar to the Polc. Ptolemy fays, in his time it was 12 degrees from the Pole: Maypás "Apits
     panto; : E. Lib. i. c. 7. And Goffellin, Geogr des Giees, tom. ii. p. 127 . in the time of Su.

[^243]:     D. 36. Pekin $39^{\circ}$ * $5^{\prime}$.
    $\stackrel{1}{?}$ Antiq, de l'Inde; Supplement, p. $233, \quad \stackrel{1}{\square}$ Lib. iii. c. r7, or 30 Hard.

[^244]:    ${ }^{57}$ Pliny, vi, 17. or 20 Hard. aftivam orientom.
    *The caufe of this fuppofition ins, that the merchanta who croffed this great belt of A fia, at whatever point. it might be where their conrfe directed, never croffed it back again

[^245]:    ${ }^{31}$ And not in one only. Lib. i. c. $1 \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{Ka}$ )
     $\mu$ мrpordinos. Where the Latin text xurs, Ufque ad Serras que-Serum ef metropolis. Whether Z man, therefore; be a falfe reading, muft - be left to the critics; but fo it ftands in the
    edition of Hondius 1605 , which I ufe. The Sêres and Sinze are again mentioned in cons junction, lib. vi, c. I6. ; and throagh the Sinse
    
     not the fame as his Sine in lat. $2^{\circ} 20^{\circ}$ fouth:

[^246]:    
    ${ }^{2}$ P. 36 .
    
    

[^247]:    ${ }^{37}$ The whole paflage, as it flands in Purehas, - is curions:-Beyond Cabul is Tan Caun, a city of Buddocfha (Badakfhan). From Cabul to Calhear, with the caravan, is fome two or three months journey . . . a chicf city of trade in this territory is Ygr caun, whence comes much filk, nufk, and rhubarb; all which come from China, the gate or entrance whereof is fome two or three months journey from hence. When they come to this entrance....

[^248]:    * Lit. i. c. 1 . ${ }^{*}$
    - The centre of this traffic finnld be Cafla-
    gar; and for it appestrs in the journal of hebiedict Guzz.

[^249]:    ${ }^{48}$ The account of Goez is in Trigault and Kircher, but it is here from Purchas, vol, iv. p. 310.

    4s The city marked on Marco Polo's Map, where he entered China, is Succiur, which, with the Italian pronunciation, approaches very near to Socien.
    so In all which I believe it is unique.

[^250]:    4 If we may judge by the mountains attributed to both. Timur lad always a body of Badachans in his army, for the parpofe of pafing ftraits, climbing mountains, \&c. according to Cherif Eddin,
    " Lib. iii. c. 7 .
    ${ }^{53}$ Commerciinm . . . rebas in folitudiae relietis abfens peragit. Mela, lib. iii. c. 7 .
    ${ }^{51}$ Ammianas Marcellintis (lib, xxxiii. p: ${ }^{3} \mathrm{SI}$. Paris, ( 68 t ) has recorded the fane character of the Seres, and the fame mode of condncting their commerce with forcigners; but with the aldition of a curious particular: that

[^251]:    6Ptoleny, p. ${ }^{-} 77$.
    ${ }^{6}$ The fame intercourfe between Thibet and Chion is mentioned at a mart called Silling or Siuning, by Turner, p. 372 . Em-Lafly.-Rlubarb is noticed, p. 294.; and the White quertz grit-ftone, for Porcelane, p. 390 .

    The trade between China and Ava is carried on at Jee. Symes's Lembaffy, p. $3 \div 5$.
    ${ }^{4}$ Sece fupra, p. $47^{8} 8$.
    a The Turkillai of the Arabs.
    ${ }^{66}$ Dat Pling writes Thuri, Esizo. Salm. $9^{8} 9$.

[^252]:     ismires. Cotimas, p. ${ }^{13}$ 8. Montfation, Nov. cordiag to Montfaucon, Prefat. cap. . Col. Patrum, tom, ii.

[^253]:    ${ }^{29}$ Twenty days in the haps of the country, feven in the veffels from Egypt. Both difo bancis are in cxeefs; for, from Covalam to Puint du Galle is lithe more than 260 miles.

[^254]:    A7 Barthema, lil. vi. c. 2.
    6 The polition of Negapatan anfwers ; but whether it is ancient, miy be queltioned.
    r: Written Mulalis in the Pcriplits.

[^255]:    5 M. Goffellin's opinion does not feem again to Thina of Eratofthenes, compared founded on the diftinction between Sine and with the Thina or Sina Metropolis of PtonSera Metropolis, If it were fo, we muft refer lemy.

[^256]:    ${ }^{*} 0^{*}$ Kolardiophonta. Sce Capt. Wilford's Chronology of the Hindoos, Af. Refearehes, vel. v. p. 283. where he fays, thi: ixprefion means Coilan boats or faipte
    ${ }^{\text {e7 }}$ At l'arnaffari, as Barthem wites, which is nearly in the fame fituation as the point from whence the flects failed, necording to Ptolemy (Grynzus, p. 227. lib. vi. c. 12.),

[^257]:    es Tanos promontorium eft quod Taurwe attollit. Difela, iti. 7 .

    If 'Taurus were the only difficulty here, we could frame th folution of it; for 'laurus is frund in Clama and the the Indas, and this might be a chan brauehing from it in Ava, according to the ilea of Mcla.

    But that Tumou is 'Tumala, or fomething near it, is evilent; for it is added, ad Tamum infula ell Chryae. . . . . Aurei foli . . aut ex re nomen, aut ex vocabulo ficta fabula elt. Ibid.
    क) An ifland, or a cherfonefe, are the fame in Arabic, and from Arabs the Greeks poffibly had their intelligence.

[^258]:    ${ }^{2}$ Sce Symes's Kimbalfy to Ava, pp. 186. 388. 413.42 .4 . \& paflam.
    2. Ptolemy has dioo or diu in another form applied to a neighbouring group, Saba-dibe,
    which is Sava dive in the mouth of a Greck. fluctuatiog between the two letters like Sclendib and Selen-dive.

[^259]:    ${ }^{33}$ The date of Cofmas, anno 547, is the 2ult of Juftinian.

[^260]:    9* The- latitude of Thule is $64^{n}$-north, in Ptolemy, and the parallel through the Cinnamon Country $16^{\circ} 24^{\prime}$ fouth; that is, $80^{\circ} 24^{\prime}$ upon the whole.
    " Ariftotle feems the author of this fuppofition, as well as of mott other things that are extraordinary in the knowiedge of the ancients. See Bochart, Phaleg. 169 .
    
    
    "The parts about the Phllars of IFercules "join to thole about India." This is a neurer approach fill; but both fuppofitious affife from the contemplation of the earth as a fphere.Ariftotic has allo preferved the opinion of the Pychagoreans, who made the Stin the centre of our Cyitem, with the Earth and the other plancts sevolving round it, which is the hypo. thedis adopted by Copernicus and ellablifhed by Neston. Surabo likewife, who left the

[^261]:    * India, in this fenfe, means the firt land he would meet with coming from the weft, which would in reality have been China,
    ?. Bacon died in 1294.
    ${ }^{27}$ Rogeri Bacon opus majus, p. 183. He oites Ariftotle, de Coclo et Mando; and Seneca, in his fifth book, Nat, Qualt.

[^262]:    2. It delineates the Great Wall, whigh he never mentions.
    3. It gives the Molucca Iflands in detail.

    And, 4, It defcribes the courfe of a Venetian Ihip, eatt of the Moluecas, in 1550 , that is, almot fixty years after the difcovery of America; and on that occafion mentions the Straite of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{A}$ agellan. How this Arange ineon-

[^263]:    - Of all this revenue, notwithltanding the Grand Stiguor llyles bimflf mater of Egypt, feareely a thilling reaches Conftantinople.
    ? Sir Home Yophan's concife Statement of Facts, p. 154.

[^264]:    ${ }^{7}$ Ezels. xxvi.

[^265]:    ${ }^{10}$ Ezek. xxvi. 14. Maundrel, p. 49. He fays, it is not even a village, but that the few miferable fifherrhen who inhabit the phace, fhelter themielves under the ruins.

[^266]:    "The Straits of Bab-clmandeb, literally the Gate of Death.
    " If this fhould be thought dubious in regard to Tyre, it is nndoubted in regard to its colony, Carthage. It is the univerfal opinion
    that the Pbocnicians came to Britain ; but in what age, may be a doubt. If they reached Gades only in the times here alluded to. it was pafling the Straits of Calpè, which at that period no other nation did.

[^267]:    ${ }^{14} 2$ Chron xx. 35. Jehofaphat at firf refufed a junction with Ahaziah; and, after complying with it, Eliezer declared, that was the reafon why the power of God was exerted to defeat the undertaking.
    ${ }^{15}$ See Strabo (lib. xvi. p. 78 r .) where he meations exprefsly the courfe of the caravans from Leakè Komè to Petra, and from Petra to Rhinocohura. Rhinocolura is the limit be-

[^268]:    "Sce Lowth on Ifaiah, c. xxiii. balt note.

[^269]:    ${ }^{7}$ Newcombe's Tranflation.
    ${ }^{1 s}$ Tis, Thor, Thoor; from whence Eupax and Syria, fignifies a rock. May it not be the rock in the fea on which Tyre was built ?

[^270]:    ${ }^{20}$ The modern Carthage, as the French call England, is faid to be raifing at this time a body of Africans for fervice in the Wett Indies. The omen is not aufpicious, and the defign is probably abandoned.
    a Lamy objects to this very jally, that Tarfhilh is mentioned as a precions flone by

[^271]:    ${ }^{2}$ : Kings, xxii. 48. "Jelohaphat made to Ophir; and this concludes againit the two "fuips of Tharfhilh to go to Ophir for gold." royages of Goffellia, oue to Ophir and one to Here the Chips of Tharfhifla are thoic that go Tharßhilh.

[^272]:    "Rodanim is not merely an affumption of the margii of our Englin Bible. The dalech Buchart's ; it is vead in feveral MSS, and in and refh are eafly interchanged: 7 for 7 .

[^273]:    ${ }^{3}$ Perhaps never till after their connection with Rome.

[^274]:    ${ }^{26}$ That we may not miflead, it is neceffary to obferve, that this term is not ufed in the werfe under costemplation, but in v. g. I wih to find any where an extreme wethern voyege, to Gades or to Britain, which I mult confefs

[^275]:    3: A ram-Damafek is Damafcus, the proper be cotton. capital of Syria.
    ${ }^{3}$ 3) 1 Butz, Byffus, every where rendered fine lingo, is fuppofed every where to
    ${ }^{33}$ Minnith oceurs in no other paffage. Minni is ufed for Ar-menia, but can bave no application bere.

[^276]:    3. Set Cyri Exp. p. 254.- Leuncl. See alfo Tigris and Euphrates. Ruficts Aleppo, where it feems the river Koick, chap. i.; and d'Anville's Map of the
    ${ }_{38}$ Bright or wrought iton, in the original. ${ }^{36}$ From לris azal, to go.
[^277]:    ar See Parkhurt in Tp. Khadh.
    ${ }^{3}$ Mozel, vulg. Moozil, fept. T'urmatim, Chald.
    ${ }^{36}$ III may be Vadan, or and Dan.
    4- Hazarmaveth, Havilat, Ophir, and Sheba, are all on the fouthern coalt. Haulah is fup.

[^278]:    ${ }^{43}$ See the Song of Maifuna, wife of Moa* Arabs of the Defert.
    wiah, in Abilfeda, Reike, p. r16. which prefents a true picture of the manners of the
    ${ }^{44}$ Whence afterwards they were called Sa* raceni.

[^279]:    *5. Compare Ger. x. 7. with the fame Ragma in the Sept.; both adrancing a ftep chap. 28.
    ${ }^{16}$ Raamah is Rema in the Vulgate, and towards the Rhegna of Ptolemy, occafioned by the $y$ gnain in $\operatorname{TOY}_{2}$ Rhayema.

[^280]:    47 Elymais is the original feat of the Perfians in the mountains of Lorittan, before they extindad themfelves in Perfis and Sufiana. Xenophon defcribes them in the Cyropedia, Xenophon defcribes them in the Cyropredia, Perfians are fom
    as uriginally a nation of mountaineers. Eiy. by the Greeks.

[^281]:    ${ }^{4}$ Eden denotes a particular country or diftrict. Gen. ii. 8. "God planted a garden " eaftward in Eden." And Eden, by the mention of Tigris and Euphrates, $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{o}} 14$. is
    univerfally affigned to Mefopotamin. See Bochart, Differtat. de Paradifo terreftri, p. g. \& Hardouin, Plin, tom. i.

[^282]:    * Sce Lamy, Introdue à l'Ecriture, c. iv. p. 425. who has all that can well be faid on the furiject ; hut the Ifebrew names of jewels are chictly drived from verbs exprefling radianet, and are thenfore jadeterminate; but adem is red, and may be the ruby; jaf/pope has the fousd of jafper and fopphis is lelf evileut. I wift ${ }^{5}$, ${ }^{-1}$ inholem, which Parkhurn derives from balam, to ftrike, combld be afcertained for the diamond; and might we not fuarch the root hit bal, to move brikity, to imadiate, flaine, or gliften. Halil, he adds,

[^283]:    I I ann affured that feveral fmart young Chinefe of Canton are in the habit of wearing breches and flockings, à l'Angloife, in their

[^284]:    = Ting-aan-chin, or the South-deciding Neerle.

[^285]:    = Plin. Exeecit. p. 7 б́z. $\quad{ }^{6}$ See Lenficp in voce. 7 See dpolon. Lesicon in yoce.

[^286]:    *The gold Denarius, according to Arburth- the age of Nero. not was the $45^{\text {th }}$ part of a pound of gold in ${ }^{2}$ Plin. Exercit, p. 1070.

[^287]:    ${ }^{24}$ See Ramufio, vol. i. p.282. The whole of this is from Ramulio.
    ${ }^{35}$ See Larcher's whole Differtation, tom. iii. ${ }_{20}$ Hoflman in voce.

[^288]:    ${ }^{27}$ Diofcorides was a native of Anazarba, ${ }^{23}$ Portus Mofyllites quo cinnamomum but whether he wrote there or at Rome, I deediitur. Lib. vi. c. 29 . have not been able to difcover.
    ${ }^{29}$ Cap. xsvii. v. 23. Sheba ie Sabêa.

[^289]:    ${ }^{37}$ I doubt this relation at the fame time I whether the Greck term cafa be not a corrupnotice it.; but an inquiny might fill be made, tion of gizi.

[^290]:    3: Two other forts may be collected from Galen; Arcbo, and Daphbite. Larcher, Hewod. vol iii P. $345^{\circ}$.
    ${ }^{39}$ I wfe the term improperly, but Ceylon partales of the nature of the continent, the
    coaft is a level, the interior is high and table land. All abuve the mountains is Itill poffefled by the king of Candi; the Dutch had, and Englifi have, only the coalt. $4^{\circ}$ See Knos's Hiftory of Ceylon, p. 16. employed.

[^291]:    47 The kingdom, not the province, as we may fee from a former citation noticing Carmania.

    46 That there was an intercourfe with the Seres on the north of the Himmalu nountaine, and that exchange of commodities took place

[^292]:    so But he mentions it as a topaz, and fays blue, why not a'turquoife? which is fill a thexe are topazes of two different colours; if favourite fone in the caft.

[^293]:    ss Salmafius, p. 1065, ia clearly of opinions, that Pliny is regularly miliaken in applyiug folium to nard. He fays it is alwaga pecoliter to malobathrum betct.

[^294]:    ${ }^{1}$ It does not now appear in any hillory to fentence cited out of Pulyxnas by Bayer. Sce the estert that the marble affumes, or in any infra. one author that I can difcover, excepe a finglo

[^295]:    ${ }^{\text {* }}$ He certainly likewife did not know the geograpby the details, and thercfure he could not forge it. Sce Levke Konè, a place on the Arabian fide of the gulph, which be confounds with Leukogen on the Ethiopick fide, in his own remarks on the martile.
    ${ }^{3} W_{c r e}$, we fayे, becaufe atter the irruption
    of the Vandals of 1799 , who can fay they are?
    ${ }^{4}$ Seec the account of this library in Rufcoc's
    Life of Loremzo.
    ${ }^{5}$ Chilhuil.
    6 The publiention is Ayled Nova Collectio
    Patrum, in two vela. fulio, Paris 1706.

[^296]:    
    14 fathedra.
    ${ }^{15}$ Bafanites is fuppofed to be an Tgyptian marble or granite, and the name alfo to be Egyptian, and not Greek from $\beta$ ótronos, as it is ufually efteemed. See Chambers's Dictionary, art. touchfone. The Greck term Bícuros, might poffibly be derived from Egypt, the country where the touchkone was procured. Bue it is perfectly Greck in its ufage and derivation.
    ${ }^{16}$ Treşóryasoy ás ixway. I render this literally, but not corredty. Chiflull writes, ad modum tabulae pistorix, but how akut, has that fenfe I cannot determine. I fuppoic this bafanite flone or marble to be in the form of a wedge,

[^297]:    ${ }^{27}$ gernn $a \triangle \operatorname{Eatman}$.
    ${ }^{28}$ OURN ESTHPRN.

[^298]:    52. The proofs will be found p. $\mathbf{1 5 3}$. et fogq. fugma.
[^299]:    ${ }^{33}$ The trade to the Baltick is always called the Eaft Country Trade.

[^300]:    3) Var Leet. Trequàas. Bail.
    
[^301]:    ${ }^{6 x}$ See Agathemeras, in Hudfon's Geog.
    ${ }^{62}$ Pom. Mela; lib. i. c. I. See the map Min. cap. iv. Strabo, lib. i. p. 64. Ptol, itfelf in Gronovius. lib, j.

[^302]:    ! Chambers in voce.
    ${ }_{5}$ Pin. Exercit. p. 1 ryo.

[^303]:    - Plin. Exercit. p. 762. ? Sre Lennep in voce: : Sce Apollon. Lexicon in voce.

[^304]:    - The gold Denarius, according to Arbuthnot, was the forty-fifth part of a pound of gold in the age of Nero.

[^305]:    "Herodotus, lib. iii. p. 252. ed. Wefl.
    ${ }^{4}$ Sce a curious milake of Pliny's noticed
    Jy Larcher, of turniag the Plenicians into a phocnix. 'tom. iii. p. 349.

[^306]:    ${ }^{5}$ By Pertia is meant the whole empire.
    ${ }^{36}$ The whole 3 oth chapter is worth con. fulting on this curious fubject, as it proves that many of the Oriental fpices and odours were, even in that carly age, familiar in Egypt.
    ${ }^{17}$ If from this chapter of Exodus we prove that cinnamen was known to the Hebuews in the age of Mofer, we have a fecond proof of its being uled in the embalmment of the mummies from Diodorus, lib. i. 91. tom. i. p. 102. Larcher, tom. it. p. 334.
    ${ }^{1 *}$ The calia fiftula of the moderns is a drug totally diftinet : it is a fpecies of fenna which comes from the Levant, Egypt, Brafil, and the Aatilles, and is a corruption from Acacia.

[^307]:    ${ }^{2}$ Sce Ramufio, vol. i. p. 282. The whole p. 3.8. He is equally indebtee to Salnafus of this is from Ramufio.
    s Sce Larcher's whole Differtation, tom, iij. is Hoffnan in woce.

[^308]:    7 Dinfortides was a native of Anazarba; in Portús Mofyllites quo cinnamomum dotut whether be wrote there or at Rame, I bave not been able to dilcover.
    velitur. Jah. vi. c. 29 .
    $\Rightarrow$ Cap, uxvi. v, 23 . Sheba is Sabéa.

[^309]:    30 Lib. iii. p. 252 . ed. Wef. and p. 250 . where he mentions a fimilar fable of ferpents which grard the frankincenfe.
     and hence the dry hull, peel, or fhell of a plant or fruit.

    3: Bochast, vol. i. p. 105: Sir William cotton.

[^310]:    T3 Two other forts may be collected from Galen; Arebo, and Daphnite. Larcher, Herod. vol. ïi. P. 345 .

    * I ufe the term improperly, but Ceylon partakes of the nature of the continent-the
    cogf is a level, the interior is luigh and table land. All above the mountains is itill poffiled by the king of Candi; the Dutch had, and Englifh have, only the coalt.
    * See Knox's Hirtory of Ceylon, p. 16.

[^311]:    *2 It worthy of remark, that in the anu- the Mitcfians, there Could be this ditinetion : mention of gifts made by Seleucus Callinicos to Frakincenfe - - io talents.

[^312]:    si The kingdom, not the province, as we sany fec from aformer citation noticing $\mathrm{K}_{\text {ar }}$. mania.
    ${ }^{*}$ That there was an intevcourfe with the Seres on the sorth of the Himmglu monatains, and liat exchange of commoditics took.
    place at fome frostier, like that between the Ruffians and Chincle at Kiatuina, is evident from Ptoleny, Pliny, and the Periplus. Whether the Seres wete Chine $[$, or an intermediate tribe between India and China, is notmatcrial in the prefent inflance.

[^313]:    *). 13.562.
    5. $2.53,125$

    The funs feem as immoderate for a cop of fardonyx as for porcelane.

[^314]:    *. But he mentions it as a topax, and fays, bloc, why not a turqueife? waich is ftill a there are topazes of two different colours; if favourite fone in the Eath.

[^315]:    s. Unguenta optime fervantur in alabafuis. Ilis. lib, xiii. p. 3 .

[^316]:    *Salmafine, p. $1=65$, is clearly of opinion, fulium to mard. ITe fays it is always peculiar that Pliny is regularly mitaken in applying to malobathrum botel.

[^317]:    ${ }^{n}$ Whether this in Pliny does not apply to coltus?

    50 It refembles the tail of a fmall aninal, in Dr. Burgefs's Cullections.

[^318]:    ${ }^{63}$ Strabo mentions fyrax in Pifida; a diffilation from a trece, canted ly a warm broting
    in it. Lib. xii. p. 570 .
    

