Measurement of the ${}^{7}\text{Be}(n, p)$ cross section at thermal energy

I. Tomandl,^{1,*} J. Vacík,¹ U. Köster,² L. Viererbl,³ E. A. Maugeri,⁴ S. Heinitz,⁴ D. Schumann,⁴ M. Ayranov,^{4,†}

J. Ballof,⁵ R. Catherall,⁵ K. Chrysalidis,^{5,6} T. Day Goodacre,^{5,7} D. Fedorov,^{8,5} V. Fedosseev,⁵ K. Johnston,⁵

B. Marsh,⁵ S. Rothe,^{5,7} J. Schell,^{5,9} and Ch. Seiffert⁵

¹Nuclear Physics Institute, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, CZ-25068 Řež, Czech Republic

²Institut Laue-Langevin, 71 av. Martyrs, F-38042 Grenoble 9, France

³Research Centre Rez, CZ-25068 Řež, Czech Republic

⁴Laboratory of Radiochemistry, Paul Scherrer Institut, CH-5232 Villigen, Switzerland

⁶Institut für Physik, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität, Mainz, Germany

⁷School of Physics and Astronomy, The University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom

⁸Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, NRC Kurchatov Institute, 188300 Gatchina, Russia

⁹Institute for Materials Science and Center for Nanointegration, Duisburg-Essen (CENIDE),

University of Duisburg-Essen, 45141 Essen, Germany

(Received 7 September 2018; published 14 January 2019)

The ⁷Be(*n*, *p*) cross section was measured with an ion-implanted ⁷Be target at a thermal neutron beam of the research reactor LVR-15 in Řež. The cross section to the ground state of ⁷Li is $\sigma(n, p_0) = 43800 \pm 1400$ b and the cross section to the first excited state of ⁷Li is $\sigma(n, p_1) = 520 \pm 260$ b.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.99.014612

I. INTRODUCTION

Using the precise measurement of baryon density in the universe via precision measurements of the cosmic microwave background (CMB), the standard theory of big bang nucleosynthesis (BBN) can provide a quite precise prediction of primordial abundances of the light isotopes [1]. These predictions can be compared with the experimentally observed abundances. It has been confirmed that the BBN predictions for abundances of primordial deuterium, ³He and ⁴He relative to hydrogen, are in a good agreement with experimentally observed values. The success of this theory, however, sharply contrasts with the failure of the BBN ⁷Li abundance prediction. The predicted ⁷Li abundance data exceeds the telescope observations of very old metal-poor halo stars, which are considered a good surrogate for the primordial abundance, by more than a factor of three [2]. The cause of this discrepancy, which became known as the cosmological ⁷Li problem, might be related to nuclear reaction rates that are not well known. Because the primordial ⁷Li is predominantly produced by electron capture decay of the primordial ⁷Be, the production and destruction reaction rates of ⁷Be are crucial parameters for the evaluation of the ⁷Li abundance. The reaction rate of the indirect ⁷Be destruction chain ⁷Be(n, p)⁷Li (p, α) is one of these parameters that is still not well known. In this work, we measured the cross section of the ⁷Be(n, p)⁷Li reaction at thermal energy (25.3 meV). This thermal neutron cross section serves as a benchmark for normalization of neutron capture data that correspond to primordial temperatures, i.e., in the neutron energy range of tens to hundreds of keV.

Despite the extremely high cross section of the ${}^{7}\text{Be}(n, p){}^{7}\text{Li}$ reaction, the measurement of this value with a required precision is not an easy task. It is mainly due to a relatively short half-life of the ${}^{7}\text{Be}$ target ($T_{1/2} \approx 53.2$ d) and the resulting high- γ activity of such targets. Moreover the preparation of high-quality ${}^{7}\text{Be}$ targets, i.e., with a well-defined size, thickness and homogeneity, is not straightforward.

The first measurement of the ${}^{7}\text{Be}(n, p)$ thermal cross section was carried out by Hanna [3] already in 1955. For thermal neutron capture, the 2⁻ capture state decays dominantly by proton emission. Thus, the obtained value of the (n, p)reaction, $\sigma_{np} = 53000 \pm 8000$ b, can be directly compared with the total thermal neutron capture destruction cross section, $\sigma_{tot} = 48000 \pm 9000$ b, given in the same paper. Other measurements at thermal neutron energy were performed by Gledenov *et al.* [4] and Cervena *et al.* [5], both obtained σ_{np} values in accordance with the measurement of Hanna et al., i.e., 50000 ± 10000 b and 46800 ± 4000 b, respectively. A significantly different cross section of σ_{np} was, however, measured by Koehler et al. [6] in Los Alamos: 38400 ± 800 b for the transition to the ground state of ⁷Li(p_0) and 420 ± 120 b for the transition to the first excited state of ⁷Li (p_1) . These data were obtained with a relatively high precision (2% for the p_0 transition, 29% for the p_1 transition). However, to date

⁵ISOLDE, CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

^{*}tomandl@ujf.cas.cz

[†]Present address: European Commission, DG-Energy, 10 rue Rober Stumper, 2557 Luxembourg City, Luxembourg.

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI.

it has not yet been elucidated why σ_{np} measured by Koehler *et al.* is significantly smaller (by about 15–20 %) than the values measured by other groups.

Our current experiment and the recent experiments at the CERN nTOF facility [7,8] were performed as part of a systematic investigation of all neutron-induced destruction reactions of ^{7}Be [9] at various neutron energies up to primordial temperatures.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

The measurement of the ⁷Be(n, p) cross section at thermal energy was performed at the LVR-15 research reactor operated by Research Centre Řez. The pure thermal neutron beam from the 6-m long neutron guide [10] was exploited for the experiment. The thermal neutron equivalent flux of the beam is 10⁷ cm⁻² s⁻¹, with the cadmium ratio of 10⁵. The neutron beam was trimmed by the neutron guide to a height of 4 mm and a width of 40 mm. The beam intensity and fluence were monitored by a monitor system placed at the neutron guide exit via the ⁶Li(n, α)t reaction measured with a charged particle detector in a monitor chamber.

The ⁷Be target was fabricated using ⁷Be chemically extracted from the cooling water of the SINQ facility at PSI [11]. This ⁷Be sample was then introduced into an ISOLDE target and ion source unit and shipped to CERN. There the sample was heated, the released ⁷Be atoms were resonantly laser ionized and accelerated to 30 keV. After mass separation the ⁷Be ion beam was focused loss free through a 5 mm diameter diaphragm and implanted into a 1.5 μ m thin Al foil [11]. The resulting beam spot had about 3 mm diameter. This Al foil was mounted between two 1.5 mm thick Al frames, with the external dimensions of $112 \times 50.5 \text{ mm}^2$. The accurate position of the ⁷Be spot with respect to the Al frame was examined by an autoradiographic measurement using a flat panel detector imaging plate. The number of the ⁷Be atoms in the implanted spot was determined via γ -ray spectrometry measurements of the sample. A high-purity Ge detector with relative efficiency of 18% (relative to a 3 in. diameter by 3 in. long NaI crystal at 25 cm distance and specified at 1.332 MeV) and FWHM = 1.8 keV for energy of 1332 keV was used for the activity measurement. The detector was placed in a shielding box with 5 cm thick lead walls. The point radionuclide standards of EG3 type, ⁶⁰Co, ¹³³Ba, ¹³⁷Cs, ²⁴¹Am, and ¹⁵²Eu, from Czech metrology institute were utilized for the energy and efficiency calibration. The certificated total error of activities for all these standards does not exceed 1%. The relative high activity of ⁷Be sample allowed using the detector-source distance of 75 cm, thus uncertainties connected with geometry factors, dead time correction, and random coincidences and statistical error were optimized. The activities of the ⁷Be target at the beginning of the two ${}^{7}\text{Be}(n, p){}^{7}\text{Li}$ measurements were found to be (16.46 ± 0.32) MBq and (8.57 ± 0.17) MBq, respectively. The effective number of the ⁷Be atoms in the target, was derived from the activity using a half-life of $T_{1/2} = 53.23 \pm 0.04$ d. This value is the weighted average of $T_{1/2} = 53.17 \pm 0.07$ d [12] and $T_{1/2} = 53.257 \pm 0.044$ d [13], the two literature values reported for dilute ⁷Be atoms embedded in aluminium. The decay rate of ⁷Be is very slightly



FIG. 1. The LiF standard with the paper diaphragm upon the plastic frame.

host dependent, therefore we used this weighted average value for ⁷Be in Al rather than the evaluated value [14].

For determination of the ${}^{7}\text{Be}(n, p)$ cross-section, a comparative method with a high-quality ⁶LiF standard, supplied by the Institute for Reference Materials Measurements (IRMM) Geel, was used. The standard sample was prepared by magnetron sputtering as a ⁶LiF homogeneous layer with a thickness of 30.2(2) μ m/cm² deposited on a 1.5 μ m Al foil. The diameter of the standard was 30 mm, the homogeneity of it was examined by the coincidence technique using two Timepix detectors [17]. In order to keep the geometry for both ⁶LiF and ⁷Be samples similar (that would optimize statistical and systematic uncertainties) and to fit our neutron beam, the measured area of the ⁶LiF standard was shrunk. To do that paper diaphragms of thickness of $250 \,\mu\text{m}$ were used to form an aperture that was placed on the ⁶Li sample surface. The photo of this standard is depicted in Fig. 1. For the main experiment an aperture with an 11 mm diameter was used. This diameter optimizes combined uncertainties associated with the geometry of the experiment and the number of Li atoms not covered by the diaphragm. Together with the diaphragms, the ⁶LiF standard was fixed on a plastic frame with the same size as the Al frame, and altogether it was placed at the same position as the Al frame with the ⁷Be sample.

The ⁷Be sample and the ⁶LiF standard were irradiated with a collimated thermal neutron beam in a large vacuum chamber. For the measurements the ⁷Be and ⁶LiF targets were fixed to a special holder that was constructed to match exactly the external dimensions of the Al or plastic frames. This enabled, unambiguously, to reproduce the same position for both targets. During the measurement the targets were tilted by 15° with respect to the neutron beam plane. This enabled to avoid self-shielding of the neutron beam and irradiate the whole measuring area on the targets. The charged particle spectra were taken with a fully depleted surface barrier detector with a 300 μ m sensitive depth and 50 mm² active area. The position

TABLE I. List of reaction products, their original energies and their final energies after passage through $5.2 \,\mu\text{m}$ Al foil calculated with SRIM code [16].

reaction		original energy (MeV)	final energy (MeV)		original energy (MeV)	final energy (MeV)
$\overline{^{7}\mathrm{Be}(n, p_0)^{7}\mathrm{Li}_0}$	p_0	1.44	1.23	⁷ Li ₀	0.21	DNT ¹
$^{7}\text{Be}(n, p_{1})^{7}\text{Li}_{1}$	p_1	1.02	0.76	$^{7}Li_{1}$	0.15	DNT
$^{6}\text{Li}(n,\alpha)$ t	α	2.05	0.43	t	2.73	2.40
${}^{10}\mathrm{B}(n, \alpha_0)^7\mathrm{Li}_0$	α_0	1.47	DNT	$^{7}Li_{0}$	0.84	DNT
10 B $(n, \alpha_1)^7$ Li ₁	α_1	1.77	0.11	$^{7}Li_{1}$	1.01	DNT

¹DNT: does not transmit through 5.2 μ m Al foil

of the detector was perpendicular towards the target plane at a distance of 36 mm.

In our previous measurements with the ⁷Be sample it was found that the aluminium foil used to implant the ⁷Be also contained trace amounts of ¹⁰B. The α particles from the ¹⁰B (n, α) ⁷Li reaction could cause an unwanted interference with protons from the ⁷Be(n, p) reaction of interest. To avoid this interference, a thin Al foil with a thickness of 5.2 μ m was inserted in front of the detector. The foil enabled to stop these α particles, but protons could pass through the foil though with a small energy loss, see SRIM [16] calculation in Table I.

In the main experiment, an eight-day measurement with ⁷Be (with 8.57 ± 0.17 MBq activity) and a three-day measurement with ⁶LiF (with a delimited area of 11 mm in diameter) were combined. The results of these measurements are described in detail in the following section. At the end of this section we compare and combine this main experiment

with two prior measurements obtained with the Li standard delimited to circular areas of 3 mm and 20 mm diameter.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The spectrum of the charged particles for the 8.57 MBq ⁷Be sample taken with the thin fully depleted detector is depicted in Fig. 2. As mentioned above, the 5.2 μ m Al foil was placed between the target and the detector. It enabled to remove unwanted reaction products from the spectrum. Thus, the α background from the ${}^{10}B(n, \alpha)^7$ Li and ${}^{6}Li(n, \alpha)t$ reactions (Li trace contamination was also found in the ⁷Be target) was removed, and a possible interference between the 1.44 MeV p_0 (from ⁷Be) and 1.47 MeV α_0 (from ¹⁰B) particles was eliminated. In Fig. 2, however, other peaks $p(^{14}N)$ and $t(^{6}Li)$, besides p_0 (⁷Be) and $p_1(^{7}Be)$, can be seen. They originate from the (n, p) and (n, t) reactions on the ¹⁴N and ⁶Li contaminants, respectively, that could not be suppressed. Nitrogen contribution is caused by residual air in vacuum chamber, roughly 10^{-3} of normal pressure. Minor contamination of construction material is responsible for lithium peak in the ⁷Be spectrum. A similar peak can also be observed in the spectrum for the Li standard.

The 5.2 μ m Al filter affects the energy of protons, though only slightly (from a SRIM simulation [15,16] the energy loss for 1.44 MeV p_0 was found to be about 200 keV). The exponentially rising low-energy background is mainly due to the β 's (with energies up to 2.9 MeV) from decay of ²⁸Al produced by neutron activation of the Al foil.

The ⁶LiF standard was irradiated in the same geometry as the ⁷Be sample, including the 5.2 μ m Al filter. The spectrum from the three-day measurement is shown in Fig. 3. This



FIG. 2. The charged particle spectrum of the 7 Be sample. Note that the labels on the top axis represent only a rough energy calibration.



FIG. 3. The charged particle spectrum of the LiF standard. Note that the labels on the top axis represent only a rough energy calibration.

spectrum corresponds to the tritons with the dominant full energy peak Li(*t*). A small background peak Li_{back}(*t*) caused by tritons (from ⁶Li) that did not pass through the Al filter can be identified in the vicinity of Li(*t*). By comparison of both spectra (Figs. 2 and 3), it is evident that the unwanted contribution of the background caused by Li_{back}(*t*) tritons can be neglected.

Both measurements were normalized using a neutronbeam monitor. The monitor comprised a thin target (⁶Li implanted into a Si wafer), inserted into the neutron beam, and a 50 mm² PIPS detector (out of the beam) that was separated from the target by a 10 mm distance. The signals from the monitor were processed by a standard spectroscopic chain. For monitoring of the neutron beam, only 2.73 MeV tritons were registered and counted. The monitor quantified the total neutron beam fluence for all measurements. Considering the same detection efficiency (for both 2.73 MeV tritons and 1.4 MeV protons, and the same sample-detector distance for both the ⁷Be sample and the ⁶LiF standard, and taking into account a perfect 1/v dependence of the neutron cross sections for both ⁶Li [18] and ⁷Be [6] up to 100 keV, the cross section of the ⁷Be (n, p_0) reaction at thermal energy (0.0253 eV), $\sigma[^{7}Be(n, p_{0})]$, could be determined via the following equation:

$$\sigma[^{7}\text{Be}(n, p_{0})] = \sigma(^{6}\text{Li})\frac{A(p_{0})}{A(\text{Li}_{t})}\frac{M_{\text{Li}}}{M_{\text{Be}}}\frac{N(^{6}\text{Li})}{N_{e}(^{7}\text{Be})}C.$$
 (1)

Here, $\sigma({}^{6}\text{Li})$ is the neutron cross section of the ${}^{6}\text{Li}(n, \alpha)t$ reaction for the 25.3 meV neutrons, $A(p_{0})$ and $A(\text{Li}_{t})$ are areas of the p_{0} and t peaks in the ${}^{7}\text{Be}(n, p_{0})$ and ${}^{6}\text{Li}(n, \alpha)t$ spectra, respectively, M_{Be} and M_{Li} are neutron flux monitor values for the ${}^{7}\text{Be}(n, p_{0})$ and ${}^{6}\text{Li}(n, \alpha)t$ measurements, respectively, N(⁶Li) and N_e(⁷Be) are numbers of ⁶Li atoms in the delimited area of the ⁶LiF standard and an effective number of the ⁷Be atoms in the sample, respectively, and *C* is a geometry correction factor.

The effective number of the ⁷Be atoms, N_e (⁷Be), was derived from the activity of the sample. To evaluate $N_e(^7\text{Be})$, an initial activity [i.e., 8.57(17) MBq] and a drop off of the 'Be atoms (due to the radioactive decay) throughout the measurement were taken into account. The correction and uncertainty associated with a time variation of neutron flux can be neglected (<0.1%). Considering the stability and uniformity of the ⁶LiF standard, the number of the ⁶Li atoms in Eq. (1), N(⁶Li), is given by a nominal density ⁶LiF film, 30.2(2) μ m/cm², and the diameter of a selected pin hole delimiting the measured area, i.e., 11 mm. With respect to different effective areas of the ⁷Be sample and the ⁶LiF standard, the normalized area ratio in Eq. (1) has to be corrected for a different measurement solid angle and imperfect uniformity of the thermal neutron beam. In order to evaluate this correction, the neutron beam profile was measured by a gadolinium-doped imaging plate. Due to the construction of the neutron guide, the beam inhomogeneity was found to be noticeable mainly in the vertical direction. Using the digitized beam profile, the effective dimensions of the ⁶LiF standard and ⁷Be sample and geometry parameters, the correction was calculated to be 14.7(7)%. To evaluate σ [⁷Be(n, p_0)] according the Eq. (1), the value of σ (⁶Li) = 940(4) b for 25.3 meV neutrons was adopted from the Neutron Cross Sections catalog [19]. It is based on the old but relatively precise measurements [20,21]. Inserting all variables into Eq. (1), the cross section of the ⁷Be (n, p_0) reaction at the thermal energy (0.0253 eV) was evaluated, σ ^{[7}Be (n, p_0)] = 43500 ± 700(stat.)±1700(syst.) b.

The statistical error comes from the measured energy spectra. The systematic error incorporates uncertainties of the ⁶Li thermal neutron cross section, 0.4%, the nominal value of the ⁶LiF standard thickness, 0.7%, the delimited area of the standard, 3.7%, the ⁷Be activity, 2%, the distribution of the ⁷Be atoms within the sample spot, 0.8%, and uncertainties associated with the geometry and beam profile, 2.9%. The influence of the 5.2 μ m Al filter on the effective solid angle of the transmitting particles was also examined. Both protons and tritons from the ⁷Be sample or ⁶LiF standard can, in principle, be deflected in the Al foil from their original direction. To evaluate this effect, the SRIM code [16] was employed. The simulation showed that the deflection of the proton and triton particles in the thin Al filter is negligible (the average deflection angle for 2.7 MeV tritons is 1.5° and the probability of missing the detector due to this deflection is below 10^{-4}) and can be neglected.

Two additional comparative measurements with the ⁶LiF standard were performed using 3 mm and 20 mm apertures. These measurements were carried out in a compact geometry with the detector sample (⁷Be or ⁶LiF, respectively) distance of 19 mm. For this arrangement, the Al filter was removed. In these experiments the following cross-section data were obtained σ [⁷Be(n, p_0)] = 44900 ± 1100(stat.)±3500(syst.) b and σ [⁷Be(n, p_0)] = 43500 ± 700(stat.)±1700(syst.) b, respectively. Despite the slightly larger systematic uncertainties, these additional measurements verified the result discussed above. Combining all three data, a final value for the partial cross section of the ⁷Be(n, p_0) reaction at thermal energy was found to be 43600 ± 1600 b.

To obtain the total cross section of the ⁷Be(n, p) reaction at the thermal energy, one should also add the contribution from the ⁷Be(n, p_1) reaction. This reaction populates the first excited state of ⁷Li at 478 keV. The small peak at \approx 750 keV in Fig. 2 stems from protons from this reaction channel that have lost energy in the Al filter. The σ_1/σ_0 cross-section ratio is directly equal to the ratio of the p_1 and p_0 peak areas. The value of the p_1/p_0 ratio, obtained from the measurement with the 8.57 MBq ⁷Be sample, is 0.012(6). Although not very precise, this result is in accordance with the previous results by Bassi *et al.* [22], 0.02(1), Koehler *et al.* [6], 0.011(3) and Cervena *et al.* [5], 0.02(1).

Taking into account the above-mentioned σ_1/σ_0 ratio, the final result obtained in our experiment 44300 ± 1400 b is in accordance with the destruction cross section measured by

Hanna [3] 48000 \pm 9000 b, his (n, p) cross section evaluated via a gold standard and a ²³³U fission neutron flux monitor 53000 \pm 8000 b, the (n, p) cross section values measured by Gledenov *et al.* [4] 50000 \pm 10000 b, and by Cervena *et al.* [5] 46800 \pm 4000 b. It should be, however, noted that all these previous results have significantly larger uncertainties. On the other hand, the result for σ_0 obtained in the current experiment 43800 \pm 1400 b disagrees by more than three σ with the value 38400 \pm 800 b obtained by the Los Alamos Group [6]. The recent nTOF experiment that was optimized to cover a very wide neutron energy range rather than delivering a particularly precise value at thermal energies found a larger thermal cross section of 52300 \pm 5200 b [7] that exceeds our value by 1.5 σ .

IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Using a pure thermal neutron beam from a neutron guide, the thermal cross section of the ⁷Be(n, p_0) reaction relative to the ⁶LiF standard was measured. Considering the 1/v crosssection dependence for both (⁷Be and ⁶Li) isotopes, the value σ [⁷Be(n, p_0)] = 43800 ± 1400 b for 25.3 meV neutrons was obtained. Because of rather low counting statistics, the ratio of the partial cross sections σ_1/σ_0 was obtained with a substantial uncertainty. It was found to be 0.012(6).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The experiments were carried out at the "CANAM" infrastructure, as well as at the infrastructure of "Experimental nuclear reactors LVR-15 and LR-0" in Řež, both supported by the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports under the Projects No. LM2015056 and No. LM2015074, respectively. The experiment was also supported by the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic under the Project No. P108-12G-108. We are grateful to the PSI crew A. Hagel, D. Viol, R. Erne, K. Geissmann, O. Morath, F. Heinrich, and B. Blau for the ⁷Be collection at the SINQ cooling system. This research was partially funded by the European Atomic Energy Community (Euratom) Seventh Framework Programme No. FP7/2007-2011 under Project CHANDA (Grant No. 605203). We acknowledge the support provided by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) through Grant No. 05K16PGA. We thank J. G. Correia (C2TN-IST, Lisbon University, Portugal) for help with the implantation at ISOLDE.

- R. H. Cyburt, B. D. Fields, K. A. Olive, and T. H. Yeh, Rev. Mod. Phys. 88, 015004 (2016).
- [2] F. Spite and M. Spite, Astron. Astrophys. 115, 357 (1982).
- [3] R. C. Hanna, Philos. Mag. 46, 381 (1955).
- [4] Yu. M. Gledenov *et al.*, JINR Rapid Communications 17–86, 36 (1986).
- [5] J. Červená, V. Havránek, V. Hnatowicz, J. Kvítek, A. Maštalka, and J. Vacík, Czech J. Phys. B 39, 1263 (1989).
- [6] P. E. Koehler, C. D. Bowman, F. J. Steinkruger, D. C. Moody, G. M. Hale, J. W. Starner, S. A. Wender, R. C. Haight, P. W. Lisowski, and W. L. Talbert, Phys. Rev. C 37, 917 (1988).
- [7] L. Damone *et al.* (n-TOF collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 042701 (2018).
- [8] M. Barbagallo *et al.* (n-TOF collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 152701 (2016).
- [9] D. Schumann, M. Barbagallo, T. Stora, U. Köster, and M. Gai, Nucl. Phys. News 26, 20 (2016).
- [10] J. Honzátko, K. Konečný, I. Tomandl, J. Vacík, F. Bečvář, and P. Cejnar, Nucl. Instrum. Methods A 376, 434 (1996).
- [11] E. A. Maugeri et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods A 889, 138 (2018).
- [12] F. Lagoutine, J. Legrand, and C. Bac, Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot. 26, 131 (1975).

- [13] Y. Nir-El et al., Phys. Rev. C 75, 012801(R) (2007).
- [14] D. R. Tilley *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. A **708**, 3 (2002).
- [15] J. F. Ziegler, Nucl. Instrum. Methods B 219-220, 1027 (2004).
- [16] J. F. Ziegler, M. D. Ziegler, and J. P. Biersack, SRIM code 2013: http://www.srim.org
- [17] I. Tomandl, J. Vacík, Y. Mora Sierra, C. Granja, and V. Kraus, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 88, 023706 (2017).
- [18] G. M. Hale et al., BNL-NCS-51619, 25 (1982).

- [19] S. F. Mughabghab, M. Divadeenam, and N. E. Holden, *Neutron Cross Sections*, Vol. 1, Part A (Academic Press, New York, 1981).
- [20] J. W. Meadows and J. F. Whalen, Nucl. Sci. Eng. 40, 12 (1970).
- [21] W. Becker and A. J. Deruytter, ANL Report 70, 125 (1970).
- [22] P. Bassi, B. Ferretti, G. Venturini, G. C. Bertolinui, F. Cappellani, V. Madl, G. B. Restelli, and A. Rota, Il Nuovo Cimento 28, 1049 (1963).