

ANATOMY OF A WIKINEWS REVIEW



Reviewing revision 1971557

- **Copyright:** x Not reviewed
- **Newsworthiness:** x Not reviewed
- **Verifiability:** x Not reviewed
- **NPOV:** x Not reviewed
- **Style:** x Not reviewed

 **Comment:**

I have read all the important information on the talk page ([Open talk page in a new window](#))

Does the article contain any copyright violations? Were parts of the article plagiarized? Do images used in the article comply with project copyright policies? Is the photographer credited?

Does the article report on a recent news event? Does the first paragraph of the article make clear why this article features information that is news now?

Is all the information verifiable from the cited sources, journalist notes on the talk page or materials sent to the scoop mailing list? Are the sources formatted correctly?

Is the article neutral? Are non-neutral words like terrorist and pro-life removed? Are all opinions properly credited to the source?

Does the article comply with the style guide? Does it have an infobox? Are there categories? Is the article written using inverted pyramid style? Does it use relative dating?

The above is a screen shot of English Wikinews's review tool, which reviewers use to provide assessment on article readiness for publication. Each reviewer has a slightly different review style and handles their reviews a bit differently. Overall though, all reviewers are supposed to be assessing submitted articles against the same criteria: the criteria listed above.