Def. Doc. No. 1545

INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL FOR THE FAR EAST

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al).

- vs -

No. 1

ARAKI Sadao, et al

AFFIDAVIT

SATO NAOTAKE

Having first duly sworn an oath as on the attached sheet, and in accordance with the procedure followed in my country, I hereby depose as follows:

- 1. I was Ambassador to the Soviet Union from March 1942 until the outbreak of war between Japan and the U S S R in August 1945, and am at present President of the Foreign Ministry's Training Institute in Tokyo.
- 2. In 1944, in response to the Japanese request concerning the arming of fishing-craft as a defensive measure, Vice-Minister Lozovsky on 3 June, in saying that the Soviet Government did not wish armed merchant vessels to enter Soviet ports, stated that the U S S R maintained strict neutrality in the war between Japan and the United States and Britain. A written protest lodged by Zhukov, Director of the Second Bureau of Far Eastern Affairs of the Soviet Foreign Office, with Kameyama, Counsellor of the Embassy, on 27 June, concerning the arming of the Kanazu Maru, a Japanese tanker engaged in the transport of oil stocked in Northern Sakhalin, contained the positive statement that the Soviet Government maintained such neutrality.
- 3. On 19 July 1944, at a conversation concerning Japanese-German and Anglo-American-Soviet relations, I stated that the Japanese Government was determined to maintain a faithful attitude of neutrality in future as in the past, and had the expectation of a similar attitude from the U S S R. Lozovsky replied to the effect that the government of the U S S R at all times took the existence of the Soviet-Japanese Neutrality Pact into consideration, and acted in accordance with it in dealing with all problems.
- 4. On 16 September 1944, in the course of a conversation on the China question, I requesting confirmation of the Soviet Union's neutrality in the Sino-Japanese hostilities, Foreign Minister Molotov replied that there was no change in the U.S. R's relations with Chungking. He further stated that Soviet-Japanese relations were in a normal condition, and even tending toward the better.
- 5. On 17 November 1944 I said that while it was assumed that the question of Siberian bases must have been discussed at the Anglo-American-Soviet Moscow Conference, nevertheless it was expected that the U S S R would offer confirmation that there was no change in its attitude toward Japan. In reply, Molotov said that the Siberian-base question was by no means new, having come up for of the Soviet Union was clear from the fact that Marshal Stalin, in his address of 6 November, had made no reference to any change in Soviet policy toward Japan.
- 6. On 22 February 1945 I inquired of Foreign Minister Moletov whether the Yalta Conference had dealt with Far-Eastern

Def. Doc. No. 1545

questions, to which Molotov replied that the result of the conference was as had been published, and that the discussion was limited almost wholly to European problems, with some discussion of post-war questions, but that Far-Eastern questions had been entirely excluded. Soviet-Japanese relations, he pointed out, were a matter exclusively between the U S S R and Japan, who maintained relations of neutrality; whereas Anglo-American-Japanese relations were a matter concerning those powers exclusively, they being in a state of war.

- 7. At various times from 22 February 1945 I had discussions with Molotov or Lozovsky chaerning the Neutrality Pact, expressing the desire of the government of Japan that it be continued in force and requesting a similar statement of the USSR. On 5 April Molotov, receiving me, stated that he was about to convey the statement of the Soviet Government on the question, and read a memorandum notifying the Japanese Government of the Soviet denunciation of the Neutrality Part as of its expiration date, 25 April 1946. I inquiring what attitude would be adopted by the U S S R during the remaining period of the Pact, Molotov replied that the intention of the Soviet Government was to return, after the expiration of the Pact, ic the conditions prevailing before its execution: that the Soviet demunciation was made in accordance with the provisions of the Pact itself, but that needless to say it was only siter the expiration of the full term of five years that conditions were to revert to the status quo ante; and that the outbreak of the Eusso-German and Japanese-Anglo-American wars after signature of the Pact had altered conditions, and that the Soviet Government's reasons for abrogation were clearly expressed in the propared statement. I said that the statement was received with regree, and that the government of Japan hoped to have the former relations continued, and the peace of the Far East remain undisturbed as bollors, even after the denunciation of the Pact. Mointow replied that, as to conditions after the denunciation, it was recognized that the Pact continued in force for another year, and that the attitude of the Soviet Government would be determined by this condition.
- 8. I had numerous conferences with officials of the Soviet Foreign Office concerning the Lending of American military planes in territory of the U 3 3 R. There were three such cases before the end of 1943. on 15 April 1942, one plane; on 12 August 1943, one plane; and on 12 tepte ther 1903, seven planes. In reply to the inquiries which I made on those occasions, the government of the Soviet Union replied that proper mossures were being taken in accordance with the provisions of international law. In 1944 there were numerous such instances; although they were not published, I was informed by Wolctov, Lozovsky and Zirkov that two planes had landed on 15 June, and one on 21 June Inquiries were made concerning these ceses and others of which we had word, the reply being given by Lezovsky on 29 August that in all such cases the government of the U S R R would ect in accordance with the provisions of international law, and would furnish to the Japanese Government all information which the Soviet Covernment might acquire in connection with such cases. No information was ever given thereafter, nor were replies given to inquiries concerning landings reported to have occurred on 20 August, 18 November, and other dates. On 13 December Lozovaky said, upon being pressed for a reply, that the American bombers must be missing, as no report had been received from the responsible authorities.
- 9. The history of my efforts to secure Soviet mediation in the Pacific war at the direction of Foreign Minister Togo is contained in the telegrams, Defence Documents Nos. 1465, 1467, 1468 and 1469, addressed to me from the Foreign Minister, and Defence Documents Nos. 1466, 1470, 1471, 1472, 1473 and 1474, sent by me

Def. Doc. No. 1545 to the Foreign Minister, which I verify as respectively correct copies of telegrams received and as true relations of what occurred in connection with this matter. 10. Upon returning to Tokyo after the end of the war I made an official report to the Foreign Ministry concerning the delivery of the Soviet declaration of war on 8 August 1945. I have been shown Defence Document No. 1476, and verify that it is a correct copy of that report; and that it is a true statement of what occurred as therein related. 11. In passing through Manchuria in March 1942, en route to my post in Kuibishev, I had conversations with various friends, including General Umezu, Commander-in-Chief of the Kwantung Army, and some of his high staff-officers. I told them that it was most important that the Kwantung Army should take no action which could be construed as aggressive toward the USSR or could give them rovocation in any way. General Umezu and others agreed with my inion, and General Umezu told me that all dispositions of the Awantung Army were defensive and that from the Kwantung Army there would be no manifestations of an aggressive attitude. 12. Prior to the Soviet declaration of war on Japan there had been in March 1944 a settlement of the Fisheries Convention question by extension of the convention for five years, and simultaneously a settlement of the troublesome Northern Sakhalin petroleum concessions question by Japan's relinquishment of its concession there. No protest was ever made during my tenure as ambassador against the maintenance of Japanese forces in Manchoukuo and Korea or along the Soviet border; and though border incidents were numerous during that time, they were very minor -- involving only a few soldiers at a time -- and no serious disputes ever developed from them. With removal of the longstanding sources of friction mentioned above, relations between the two countries during my tenure were good and bordering on the cordial, and no question was pending in August 1945 to suggest the possibility of an outbreak of war. N. Sato (Seal) Subscribed and sworn to before me, the undersigned authority, on this 13 May 1947, at Tokyo. H. Yanai (Seal) OATH In accordance with my conscience I swear to tell the whole ruth, withholding nothing and adding nothing. N. Sato (Seal) .3 May 1947 Translation Certificate I, Abe Fumio, of the Defense, hereby certify that I am conversant with the English and Japanese languages, and that the foregoing is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, a correct translation of the original document. Abe Fumio Tokyo 14 May 1947 -3自

分

儊

我

國

行

11

如

供

述致

極 察 判 所

חל; 合 衆 國

對

1:

供 述

温

供

述

式 從ヒ先げ 別紙 宣誓ラ

ーテール府一抗ラ、六ツ次ーマ私 九ノロトハ九欝以月碟官九デハ 四問ソ進過四ハテニ聯ハ四駐一 四題フベ去。四同為十败六四蘇九 年ニス蘇ニ年政シ七府月年大四 九付半聯於七府多日小三漁使二 ケ 月 ガ ル 蘇 日 日 船 テ 年 月イ1ョ ルナ斯北聯本ニノア三 ハモト九ル梅外ト歌自リ月 條蘇同同日中太務米 様様日立貯省英政的シリ 約 聯 那二政・將獨ヲ油東間府武テー 問從府ル欢並維機亞ノ ハ裝現九 題ッガ態共英持出第、戰二一在 ニテ常慶忠ポスニーチ婦闘 關行 ニョ質解ル從局ニ商 原 日 豫 ナ 闘 コ 尊 長 嚴 船 動 京八 N 蘇期ル、係トセー正ノ 日 外 中シ中ニョルヴ中蘇 會 テ 本 務 日 談 居立マ立闘明油」立聯ノ省。本 n 條シ的ス配槽 中 コ ヲ 港 要 研・ト 約を態ルシ 二 旨 持二求修、蘇 船フ 1 0 日 答 庭 會 テ 金ーシ入一所聯 支へ存り酸居津ガテル答心間 維ニリ丸龜居ラヘ・長・ニ 戰 マ 在、 特於マノ山ル・好つデ戦 7.1 ステス武をトマロア・毎 ル私。金官 女 事 語 ズ ソ リ ガニー 官 リトフマ 物 對 慮、 = マ盲スス酸 A 心日。同一

61

極一事多簡ハニ 九在 1 既一變 東 九 商 徴リニシ化 基四ッ 關立 問 四 通 シン論ペナ地四テ 題 五 間サ リシ問 年 稍 年 テ 上 議 題 元サヤト 飼 ガー・良 蘇帥レーノ 月 テ港同論月 116 聯 居地答 嚴十 譿 演 タ間ョサセテ 說 中間 題 二政 ル題ハ訊日策中モ題豫レ日ヰナマ 日八殆メ私ハニノハ期タニルイシ 對デ決スコ私トトタ 本全ンマハ瞭 トクドシーラ日アシルトハ遊答ノ 蘇除全タモカ蘇ルテトハ英ベヘニ 聯外部處ロデ聯ガ新申推米ママ對 ニサ歐ートア政盛シシ察蘇シシシ 限レ洲モフル策聯イマセータター ラテ問ロートニノモシラモ・モ レヰ題ト外述何對ノタレス彼中 アタニフ務べ等日デ^oルコ 居ト限一大マノ態ナ之ニー更フ り答定の臣シ愛度クニモー 三一十會二多動八一答係會日外 國マレ職一つ二十モヘラ議 蘇務 關大 ハ 当 若 ノヤ 富 一 ステズニ 日 1 干 結ル 原月コー 對於 係臣 い 六 1 モ 日 テ ハハハ ₽ / 果 タ 2 戦 の 日 口 蘇 一 平蘇 採後量會 キノ會ト聯シ

問表意・ナー臓フ態

度係題サガガ イス以一度リ

品

狀ノ

~

彼 指 摘 私

000.200

体 政 約 无. 意 四 楠 府 向 月 H Ŧ 繼 受 獨 條 滿 殘 D 15 9 五. 續 四 領 開 項 條 存 期 傳 H 7 以 明 野 11 期 約 琴 = 希 私 年 7 前 遺 及 後 基 締 間 回 Ħ 望 嬔 日 デ 結 7 中 時 ウ 英 如 デ 依 前 7= モ 米 硃 歷 中 趣 政 立 9 4 明 H 態 府 條 ア 傳 狀 處一 約 政 カ 況 言 且 復 取 9 デ 9 ス 府 以 歸 廢 訪 7 + 傳 复 俟 前 スベ 棄 ツネー 聯 傑 ^ 15 牛 スタマー 中 政 約 ラ ルトシト 7 狀 + 態 意 府 立 廢 來 イ 1 態 度 述 向 4 條 時 3 テ 彩 處 約 H IJ 後 井 復ア 彼 付 蘇 7 會 蘇 モ騎リ N 質 爱 政 談 同 1 テ 以 政 D ス 蘇 審 府 4 嶽 2 2 前 府 7 述 聯 7 1 N 問 ラ Ħ 日 7 1 1 譤 題 7 開 廢 低 九 及 琴 雷 政 3. 申 係 0 · ハ全 約 處 關 明 四 7 车 理 答 . 5 僚 廢 ス 7 中 ^ 蒙 腿 約 年 同 N 要 私 震 滿 15 四 蘇 求 i性 約五 期 條 私 月 政 ス 1.2 徳 調 ケ

自

明

后小二

領 答 年 同 ^ 7 效 2 3 7 蘇

政

府

年 二 機 日 一 ス 恋 デーニ・九 7 -付 月 爱 然业機三 Ħ テ 情 政 1 力 H 報 知 報 iii [6] r.F 提 知 答 第二語 强 H 時年 此一陸・ツ 件機 フンななべ、八様ニテノ ラマニ・ステゼニー月ナ闘決狀 シ付著キシラ酸十等シ定況 ズ ウ 情 照 テ照望ークレシニ件私サー 且 蘇會シーガテター日ハハレ付・ 八 報 月 八政 ラター 澤中弘一三藤ル條 日府發旨ツ山ルノー件 返 + 本 ハシ報!ノト照機ア務ケハ 答 マ告コ此同命・リ省ラ末 日 政 國 シサフ様答ニーマ宮ウガ 府 際 二法タレーナシ對九、シ東トー 停 悠 處 マニ 事 テシ 四 テート ハ. シ 依 件. 月 へ 項 來 蘇 == 一数 ルニ 月 # 1 2 边 年 九 7 E 下 基 、六アシ府九 23 會 デ 申 + 日 一 之月 リガン 月 談 其 シ行 九 等 4 ó + 國 年 A 他 マ動 E 平 无 2 際 件日 A 九 法 B 月 2. B 京 之 H 並 = 四 俗 = + B . 1 私 四 項 七 八

ス

東鄉外 H 務 大 多分 臣 米機ハ行方不明ナノデアラウト申シマシタ。 指示 辯護 ニョリ大平洋戰爭ニ蘇聯ノ調停ヲ求メント 图文書 1」、1回答を習慣促サレダ結果實任者カラ

及第 ノ努 確 七 外相 カ 四 宛 電信 六 經 第 寫 過 九 號 四 七 リ且本件ニ悶スル眞實ノ出來事デアルコトヲ證言致 アラハレテ居リマス、ソシテ其等ノ文書ハ夫々受信・ 外 相 第一四七二、第一四七三及第一四七 3 リ私 第一、四六五、第一四六七、第一四六 宛 ノ電信及辯 護 图文 書 第 一 四 六 六、 四號ノ 私ョ 第

10戰爭終結 宜 戰 テ 七 布告 居 愆 六 N £2 東京 事 柄 通 達ニ悶スル公式ノ報告ヲ致 見マシタガ、 ハ當時ノ出來事ノ眞實ノ供述デアルコトヲ證言致シ 歸リマ シテ私ハ外務省ニー九四五年八月八 ソレハ私ノ報告ノ正確ナル寫デアリ シマシル・ 私ハ辯 酸四文音 日 且響響 蘇聯

九四 二年三月 シェフレノ 私 任地ニ向ッテ満洲ョ通リ

0

蘇 多時 聯 私 ラ 3 行 宜 ウ 熟 行 梅 戰 動 談 關 津 布 貢 東 如 11 告 大 何 軍 マ 將 司 令官梅津大 刺 アリ 私 八私 闘 ラモ 八彼等二關東 , 東 與 見 軍ョリ 將 へ 又 解 4 同 積 様 其 意デシテ大將 高 軍 極 = ス 的ナ行 ガ蘇 級 ルコ 聯 將校 トガ肝心デ 動ノ顕レハ ニ對シ積 ノ話デ 数名ラ 極 7 見 含 的 闘 n h ラ 東

是自治社

unal, tem

八 二 述 任 年 中 聯 本 間 延 國 國 境 境 兩 决 長 同 4 國 地 紛 擾 = 3 駐 多長 重 關 ŧ 於 大 屯 輕 度 4 解 ナ 良好 紛 三旦ル摩擦ノ原因ガ除去サレマ 利 千一九 Ŧ 二發展スルヤウナモノハアリマセ 件デー時二数名 2 ŀ が撤 ニ針ス シテ親 B v 日ニョリ ši h 一同時 四四年三月二漁 ハ言 抗 - 困 ニ近イ . 解 微ハ會テアリマ フモノノ ノ兵士ガ掛り合ヒニナ 難 決シマシタ + 業 B 北 條 本軍ノ 樺 約 太 問 私 t 石 題 滿 油 Ħ ンデ 黑 利 大 條 使 權 約 在 問

テ戦 居勢か 樣 + I Æ 能 性ヲ指示ス ナ問題 九月三十天 決三十八

Def, Doc 1546

7

の様はもノハーツモアリマセンテン立篇人の本 四先年氏所能失為指示のの様子同題を一覧面法年上 1545

同

日

於

同

はボルベッド被

4、新田光彩

忠

ス

昭 和 五月十三日

1545 述 者 佐

尙

右 ハ當立會人 面前二 テ宣響シ署名捺印

譯 證 明

信ズ 部 限 文 英語 及 且 右

原 Œ 7 瞪

明

昭

禾.

三日

部