



TWO LECTURES

1 101

ON

COMBUSTION:

SUPPLEMENTARY TO A

COURSE OF LECTURES ON CHEMISTRY.

READ AT NASSAU-HALL.

CONTAINING

AN EXAMINATION

OF

DR. PRIESTLEY'S CONSIDERATIONS ON THE DOCTRINE OF PHLOGISTON,

AND

THE DECOMPOSITION OF WATER.

BY JOHN MACLEAN,

PROFESSOR OF MATHEMATICS AND NATURAL PHILOSOPHY IN THE COLLEGE OF NEW-JERSEY.

.21226

PHILADELPHIA:

PRINTED BY T. DOBSON, AT THE STONE-HOUSE, Nº 41, S. SECOND-STREET.

1797.

ADVERTISEMENT.

Owing to other engagements, a part only of the first of these lectures was read to the students— They are now printed to save the young gentlemen the trouble of transcribing them.

J. M.

P.S. It was not till after they were fent to the prefs, that I was informed Mr. Adet had published an answer to Dr. Priestley's pamphlet.

LECTURES

O N

COMBUSTION.

GENTLEMEN,

ALTHOUGH the confequences of the combination of different fubstances have been explained in the lectures which I have already had the honour of reading to you ; yet, as the appearances attending the exposure of inflammable bodies and metals to the air at high temperatures, are peculiarly ftriking, and have occafioned much difputation among philosophers, it will be proper to confider and compare the different opinions which have been held refpecting them.

Becher fupposed that inflammable bodies contained a fubstance, which he called inflammable earth.

Stahl thought they included a peculiar principle; to this he gave the name of Phlogiston. He supposed the phenomena of combustion were owing to its

3 2

its efcape, and the afhes or refidue of a burnt body was matter, by which the phlogifton had been confined. Thus, he thought, that in the combuftion of fulphur, the phlogifton was merely feparated from the fulphuric acid.

The difference among inflammable bodies, he attributed to the matter containing the phlogifton; and this opinion he confidered as confirmed, by the compound of potafh and fulphuric acid being readily converted into one of potafh and fulphur, by being mixed with powered charcoal, and raifed to a high temperature: for he fuppofed the charcoal communicated to the fulphuric acid the phlogifton which it had loft.

As all metallic bodies became converted into earthy-like fubftances when placed in certain circumftances, and as their original properties were reftored on their being mixed with inflammable bodies, and raifed to a high temperature, Stahl conceived that each metal confifted of phlogifton and a peculiar earth or calx.

It is eafy to perceive that Stahl did not attend to the influence the air of the atmosphere has on every ordinary process of combustion. He was ignorant of the composition of the atmosphere : he did not know that one of its parts combines with every inflammable body and metal which is burnt in it; that the new compound is in many cases aërial, or gafeous, and in all exceeds in weight the combustible tible fubftance; that this excefs of weight correfponds exactly to a like lofs fuffained by the atmofphere; that in fome cafes the whole, and in others part, of the fubftance furnifhed by the atmofpherc, may be feparated with all its diftinguifhing properties; that in every inftance the whole of it may be detached by the affiftance of other fubftances, which have a difposition to combine with it; and that in proportion as the feparation takes place, the inflammable body, or metal, is recovered. In fine, it may be perceived, that Stahl was unacquainted with many of the phenomena, and formed a conjecture to account for what he had obferved.

By the difcovery of feveral of the circumftances juft mentioned, Mr. Lavoifier, a celebrated French chemift, was induced to afcribe the change in the properties of inflammable bodies, and metals, by combuftion, to their union with the oxygen of the atmosphere, and the great increase of temperature which attends fome of these combinations, to the fame cause that occasions a like phenomenon, during the combination of other fubftances.

Mr. Lavoifier was foon fupported by feveral of his countrymen, who were fatisfied there was no occafion for fuppofing the exiftence of fuch a principle as phlogifton, to account for the phenomena. Their doctrine has hence been called antiphlogiftic.

From its having arifen in confequence of the difcovery of feveral aerial or gafcous fubftances, it is

2160

also named the Pneumatic Doctrine-It is the fyftem in which you have been inftructed.

At first it was strenuously opposed by many philosophers, who, although they admitted Stahl's theory was infussicient, yet thought the existence of phlogiston was not incompatible with the discoveries which had given rise to the antiphlogistic doctrine, and was perhaps even necessary for the explanation of fome, if not of all, of the phenomena.

In confequence, many attempts were made to reconcile Stahl's notion with thefe difcoveries; but few phlogiftians agreed about the nature of phlogifton. Some of them confidered it as an immaterial principle; others thought it was light; others hydrogen gas, &c.; nay, it was not uncommon for the fame perfon to waver between thefe different opinions.

The event of the conteft has been highly honourable to the French chemifts. All philofophers now living, except Doctor Prieftley, have acceded to their doctrine.

The Doctor has often animadverted on their experiments and conclusions, and being perfuaded, that what he has obferved has not been properly attended to, or well understood, he has lately published a small pamphlet, entitled, Confiderations on the Doctrine of Phlogiston, and the Decomposition of Water. In it he has collected every thing he confiders as material, whether as objections to the pneumatic pneumatic doctrine, or as arguments in favour of that to which he is attached. An examination of thefe, while it will unfold to you his modification of Stahl's theory, may ferve to put you on your guard against falling into even that temporary delusion, which an erroneous opinion is fo apt to produce, when supported by a celebrated name.

The work confifts of a dedication, an introduction, and three fections: but as the difcuffion of the fubject is confined to the fections, it will be neceffary only to confider thefe.

In the first, he treats of the constitution of metals; in the fecond, of the decomposition of water; and in the third, of such objections to the pneumatic doctrine as could not conveniently be introduced into either of the other two.

Although this arrangement is not very well fuited to our purpole, yet from an anxiety to avoid mifreprefentation, I fhall retain it; and for the fame reafon, inftead of giving an abridged view of the Doctor's objections and arguments, I fhall read his own words; but as he has not adopted the nomenclature in which you have been inftructed, I fhall mention the names that correspond with those which he employs.

In the fection on the conflicution of metals, after giving a very brief account of the principles of Stahl and Lavoifier, he fays, "As a proof that met tals are fimple fubftances, and that they become " calces " calces (oxyds) merely by imbibing air, they al-" lege the cale of mercury, which becomes the " calx called precipitate per fc (red oxyd of mer-" cury) by expolure to the atmosphere in a certain " degree of heat, and which becomes running mer-" cury again by expolure to a greater degree of " heat. They therefore think it impossible not to " conclude, that in all other cafes of calcination, " as well as this, the only difference between the " calx (oxyd) and the metal, is that the latter has " parted with the air which it had imbibed."

This is certainly a very inaccurate statement. The antiphlogistians confider metals as fimple, becaufe they have not difcovered them to be compound; and they believe the fubftances, which the Doctor calls calces, are compounds of metals and one part of the air, which they call oxygen, because they cannot be formed without the prefence of øxygen; they exceed in weight the metal, and this excefs, corresponds to an equal loss of oxygen; and the oxygen may be recovered entirely, in the flate of gas, from the red oxyd of mercury, by fimply raifing its temperature; in part from the red oxyd of lead, and black oxyd of manganefe by treating them in the fame way; and may be wholly feparated from every metallic oxyd, by mixing them with inflammable fubftances, and raifing the temperature of the mixture.

I

The

The formation and decomposition of the red oxyd of mercury is afcribed to the oxygen and mercury attracting each other, with different forces, at different temperatures; the partial decomposition of the oxyds of lead and manganefe is confidered as being owing to thefe metals retaining different proportions of oxygen with unequal force; and the effect of the inflammable substances is attributed to their having a ftronger disposition, than metals have, to combine with oxygen : All which explanations are the more probable, from their corresponding with the laws of chemical combination, discovered by observing the action of different bodies on each other.

(9)

After giving the forementioned account of the foundation of the antiphlogistic opinion, the Doctor attempts to fhew its infufficiency. "But this is " the cafe of only this particular calx (oxyd) of " this metal, and there is another calx of the fame " metal, viz. that which remains after exposing " turbith mineral to a red heat, which cannot be " completely revived by any degree of heat, but " may be revived in inflammable air (hydrogen " gas, which it imbibes, or when mixed with " charcoal, iron-filings, or other fubstances fup-" posed to contain phlogiston. And if this calx of " mercury, or (fuppofing it to contain fome acid " of vitriol,) [fulphuric acid,] this falt neceffarily " requires some addition to constitute it a metal, 66 21

" all mercury muft contain the fame. For though " with the fame external appearance, the fame " metal may contain different proportions of any " particular principle, as phlogifton, they muft be " denominated different fubftances, if fome fpeci-" mens contain this element and others be wholly " defitute of it. All, therefore, that can be in-" ferred from the experiment with the precipitate " per fe (red oxyd of mercury) is, that in this par-" ticular cafe, the mercury in becoming that calx " imbibed air, without parting with any, or very " little of its phlogifton; and if we judge by the " air expelled from the calces of metals and other " circumftances, there are few, if any of them, but " contain more or lefs of phlogifton."

From the following paffages in Fourcroy's Elements of Chemiftry &c. it appears the reafoning in the paragraph juft read is founded on a miftake. Turbith mineral " when urged with a fire in a re-" tort, at firft becomes of a deeper colour, and is " afterwards reduced to running mercury, giving " out at the fame time a confiderable quantity of " vital air (oxygen gas). Kunckel mentions this " reduction. It fucceeded with Meffrs Monnet, " Bucquet, and Lavoifier, who traced it through " all its circumftances. I have repeated it feveral " times with fuccefs.

" Perhaps the reafon why Mr. Baumé did not obtain running mercury, which has induced him

" to

⁶⁶ to affert that this yellow oxide does not refume
⁶⁶ a metallic form unlefs fome combuftible fubftance
⁶⁶ be added, was his not having applied to it a fuf⁶⁶ ficient heat.^{77*}

These are confirmed by later observations,[†] and they shew, the mercury in turbith mineral, or any substance into which it may be converted by a red heat, does not require any addition to conflitute it a metal.

It is true, the prefence of hydrogen gas, and other inflammable fubftances, renders the reduction eafier; but this it is contended, only proves, that the fubftance is decomposed more readily, when there is a body prefent, which has a disposition to combine with one of its conftituent parts, viz. the oxygen, a circumstance analogous to many other chemical decompositions.

The antiphlogiftians are induced to believe the hydrogen gas is not imbibed by the mercury, but combines with the oxygen, becaufe, 1/t. Oxygen gas may be collected, when an oxyd of mercury is reduced by fimply raifing its temperature: 2d. When the reduction is performed in hydrogen gas this difappears, no oxygen gas is obtained, but a quantity of water may be collected : And, 3d. From various experiments it feems, that water is a compound of hydrogen and oxygen.

* Fourcroy, Vol. II. p. 318. London Edition, 1790.

+ Annales de Chimie, Tome 10me. p. 305.

That

That a higher temperature is neceffary for the decomposition of the fubstance from turbith mineral, than for that of the red oxyd of mercury, is not at all furprifing; they are different bodies, and must therefore be acted on by other agents, with different forces.

The inference, which the Doctor draws from the experiments with the red oxyd of mercury, and from the gas obtained from the oxyds of other metals, cannot be admitted, until he proves the mercury and gas actually contain phlogifton.

The Doctor proceeds—" I would obferve in this " place, that it is afferted by fome very able che-" mifts, that if the precipitate per fe (red oxyd of " mercury) be made with proper attention, it will " be revived without yielding any air. This is alfo " the cafe with minium (red oxyd of lead) when " frefh made. But this is owing, I doubt not, to " their wanting water, which I deem to be effential " to the conflitution of every kind of air (gas); fo " that they both contain the element of dephlogif-" ticated air (oxygen gas), though for want of " water, it is not able to affume that form."

I confefs, I am unacquainted with the experiments, which have led to the affertion alluded to in this paragraph.—But, if water be effential to the conflitution of every kind of air, and if mercury and lead are converted into their red oxyds, or calces, by uniting with the element of oxygen gas

Qr

or dephlogifticated air, what precaution can prevent the compounds from imbibing the water, if they have a difpolition to do fo? The Doctor believes they have fuch a difpolition; for except to thefe able chemifts, they have always afforded oxygen gas or dephlogifticated air, and to do this they mult, in his opinion, contain water.

The next paragraph is as follows. " That mer-" cury may have the fame external appearance and " all its effential properties, and yet contain differ-" ent proportions of fomething that enters into it. " is evident from the phenomena of its folution in " the nitrous acid, and the revival of its calx in in-" flammable air (hydrogen gas). According to the " old theory, there is a loss of fome part of its phlo-" gifton in the folution of mercury in the nitrous " acid, fince nitrous air (gas) is procured in the " procefs: And though it may be revived from its " precipitates (oxyds) by mere heat, yet if it be " revived in a veffel of inflammable air (hydrogen " gas), it will imbibe it in great quantities. Mer-" cury revived in these circumstances must contain " more phlogifton than that which is revived from " the fame calx by mere heat. But though mer-" cury revived by mere heat after a folution in " nitrous acid must have a deficiency of phlogiston, " and when it is revived from precipitate per fe " (red oxyd of mercury) in inflammable air (hy-44 drogen gas) must contain a redundancy of the " fame

" fame principle, yet there will hardly be a doubt but that, in all chemical proceffes, it would exhibit the fame phenomena."

If two portions of matter exhibit the fame phenomena in all chemical operations, they ought most certainly to be confidered as the fame fubftance; and as mercury revived from its oxyds by a mere increase of temperature, possesses all the properties of that revived by the affiftance of hydrogen gas, &c. it cannot be in any respect deficient. It is in vain to fay, that it must be fo, because " according " to the old theory, there is a lofs of fome part of " its phlogifton in the folution of mercury in the " nitrous acid," and if it be revived in hydrogen gas " it will imbibe it in great quantities;" it is first incumbent to prove, that the old theory, as he calls it, is right, and that the gas which difappears, is actually abforbed by the mercury : but these are just the subjects of dispute.

Having faid fo much on mercury he obferves. 44 In all other eafes of the calcination (oxydation) 44 of metals in air, which I have called the phlogifti-44 cation of the air, it is not only evident that they 45 gain fomething which adds to their weight, but 46 that they likewife part with fomething. The 46 moft fimple of thefe proceffes is the expofing iron 46 to the heat of a burning lens in confined air, in 46 confequence of which the air is diminifhed and 46 the iron becomes a calx (oxyd). But that there " is fomething emitted from the iron in this procefs " is evident from the flrong fmell which arifes from " it. If the procefs be continued, inflammable air " [hydrogen gas] will be produced, if there be " any moifture at hand to form the bafis of it. From " this it is at leaft probable, that, as the procefs " went on in an uniform manner, the fame fub-" flance, viz. the bafis of inflammable air [hydrogen " gas], was continually iffuing from it; and this is " the fubflance, or principle, to which we give the " name of phlogifton."

" That the effect of this process is not, as the " antiphlogiftians affert, the mere feparation of the " dephlogifticated from the phlogifticated air [the " oxygen from the azotic gas] in that of the atmof-" phere, I have proved in a courfe of experiments, " in which I have fhewn that a confiderable part " of the phlogifticated air [azotic gas] that is found " after this process, is formed in the course of it, " by the union of the phlogifton from the iron " with the dephlogifticated air [oxygen gas]. And " if the calcination of the iron in this process be " always attended with the lofs of fome confli-" tuent part of it, the fame is, no doubt, the cafe " with all other calcinations of the fame metal, and " alfo those of all other metals. And further, if " the metals be compound fubftances, containing " phlogifton united to fome bafe, the fame is the " cafe with fulphur and pholphorus, because they " become

" become acids when they are used in the fame " procefs."

I do not know, that a fmell arifes from pure iron when heated in air: but most certainly there are other metals, from which no fmell arifes, when placed in the fame circumstances; and the Doctor has faid, if the calcination of iron be attended with the loss of fome constituent part of it, meaning phlogiston, the fame is no doubt the cafe with those of all other metals; and therefore even although iron should afford a smell, it is no proof of the efcape of phlogiston.

That a quantity of hydrogen gas is obtained when the iron or air is moift is moft certain: but this, it is infifted, is owing to the iron uniting with the oxygen of the water, while the hydrogen affumes the form of gas or air.

I have not been able to find any courfe of experiments, which *proves*, that azotic gas is formed by exposing iron in confined air to the rays of the fun concentrated by a burning lens: but Dr. Prieftley informs us, that on examining the refiduum of fome " pretty pure dephlogifticated air [oxygen gas]" in which iron had been fired with affiftance of a lens, " it did not appear that any phlogifticated " air [azotic gas] had been produced in the pro-" cefs,"* and the fame thing has been obferved by

^{*} Experiments and Obfervations on Air, Vol. III. p. 481. I Lavoifier

(17)

Lavoifier,* confequently azotic gas cannot be formed by any thing from the iron uniting with oxygen, and the argument for the existence of phlogiston drawn from its supposed formation is invalid.

From the effects of air at high temperatures, the Doctor paffes to those of acids or metals. " Accor-" ding to the antiphlogiftic theory, the inflamma-" ble air [hydrogen gas] that is produced in the " folution of metals in any acid, comes wholly from " the water combined with it; and not at all from " the metal diffolved. But the advocates for this " theory do not feem to have attended to one ne-" ceffary confequence of this fuppolition. Accor-" ding to their own principles, water confifts of " eighty feven parts of oxygen, to only thirteen of " hydrogen in every hundred, which is nearly feven " times as much of the former as of the latter. " Confequently fince nothing but hydrogen efcapes " in the process, there must remain, from this de-" composition of the water, feven times as much " oxygen in the folution. But both Mr. Lavoifier " and Mr. de la Place fay [Examination of Mr. "Kirwan's Treatife, p. 197, 198,] what I doubt

* Il est de même extrêmement difficile d'obtenir du gaz oxigine parfaitement pur, il contient presque toujours une petite portion de gaz azote, mais elle ne trouble en rien le réfultat de l'experience, & elle se retrouve à la fin en même quantité qu'au commencement. Annales de Chimie, Tome I. p. 26.

C

" not

" not is flrictly true, that after the process the acid " will faturate exactly the fame quantity (they do " not fay more) of alkali, that it would have done " before; whereas, with the addition of fo much " oxygen, it ought to faturate confiderably more. " If the oxygen from the decomposition of the wa-" ter do not join that in the acid, what becomes " of it?"

The anfwer to this queftion is very eafy—Far from fuppoing the oxygen from the water joins that in the acid, the antiphlogiftians believe it unites with the metal, to enable this to combine with the acid. They are of this belief, becaufe the metal is precipitated in a ftate of oxyd when an alkali is added to the folution; while the acid requires the fame quantity of alkali to faturate it, and forms the fame fubftances that it would have done before its action on the metal.

Perhaps it was from being aware of this anfwer that the Doctor has faid, in the next paragraph, which concludes the fection, " If this cafe be ana-" logous to that of the fuppofed decomposition of " water by hot iron, the oxygen ought to be lodg-" ed in the iron, and compose *finery cinder* (black " oxyd of iron). But this fubstance is not foluble " in vitriolic (fulphuric) acid, if that be employed " in the experiment ; and when it is diffolved in the " marine (muriatic) acid, it does not dephlogisticate " (oxygenate) it, as minium (red oxyd of lead) " and " and other fubftances containing oxygen, &c. " It is evident, therefore, that there is no addition " of oxygen in this procefs, confequently no de-" composition of water in the cafe, and that the in-" flammable air (hydrogen gas) must come from " the decomposition of the iron."

It is rather furprifing the Doctor fhould affert. that finery cinder (black oxyd of iron) is not foluble in fulphuric acid, when, in page 505 of the third volume of his own experiments and observations, it is faid, that of fixty grains of finery cinder put into vitriolic (fulphuric) acid, * fifteen grains remained undiffolved. Befides, there is the most fatisfactory evidence that iron, after its folution in the fulphuric acid, is in a flate like that of the black oxyd or finery cinder. This fubftance diffolves in the acid without effervescence, † and if the precipitate which the folution of iron in the fulphuric acid affords, on the addition of an alkali, be collected as foon as it falls, and dried in clofe veffels, it will be the black oxyd. † There is no effervescence during the folution, becaufe there is no hydrogen gas evolved; and this, the antiphlogistians believe, is owing to the iron being previously combined with enough of oxygen to fuperfede the neceffity of de-

* The quantity of acid is not fpecified.

† Lavoifier's Elements of Chemiftry, p. 88. Edinb. Edition, 1790.

C 2

‡ Fourcroy, Vol. II. p. 425.

composing

composing the water. The precipitate must be dried immediately, and in close veffels, becaufe, from its minute division, it is very liable to be oxydated to a greater degree.

The other cirrumftance which he has adduced as a proof, that the black oxyd does not contain oxygen, is not more convincing. It certainly does not follow, becaufe muriatic acid can feparate a certain portion of oxygen from lead, when this is combined with a great quantity of that fubftance, that it fhould likewife feparate oxygen from iron, when this is united to a comparatively fmall quantity.

From this first section of the Doctor's work it feems, his objections to the opinion, that metals are fimple, and become converted into earthy-like bodies by an union with oxygen, are, 1/t. The fubfance which remains after exposing turbith mineral to a red heat, cannot be made to yield its mercury, unlefs it be mixed with bodies fuppofed to contain phlogiston. 2d. When iron is fired in air it emits a finell, and if there be moifture at hand, inflammable air (hydrogen gas) is produced. 3d. Phlogifticated air (azotic gas) is formed during the combuftion of iron. 4th. If oxygen was feparated from hydrogen during the folution of iron in acids, thefe should acquire a proportional addition of strength. And, 5th. Iron diffolved in the fulphuric acid is not in the ftate of the black oxyd.

It also appears that the Doctor is of opinion,

I. That

(21)

1. That metals contain phlogifton.

2. That, in the formation of precipitate per fe, (red oxyd of mercury) air is abforbed, and little or no phlogifton emitted.

3. That mercury revived from its calces (oxyds) by mere heat contains lefs phlogifton than when revived with the affiftance of inflammable air (hydrogen gas.)

4. That the fmell which arifes from heated iron, is owing to the phlogifton which is efcaping, and that the inflammable air (hydrogen gas) which is produced when moifture is at hand, is formed by the union of the phlogifton with the water.

5. That phlogifticated air (azotic gas) is formed by the union of phlogifton with dephlogifticated air (oxygen gas.)

6. That the inflammable air (hydrogen gas) emitted during the folution of iron in acids, is owing to the decomposition of the iron. And,

7. That *finery cinder* (black oxyd of iron) does not contain oxygen.

But from the facts mentioned in the review of the fection it follows, 1. The fubftance into which turbith mineral may be converted by a red heat, affords its mercury by a fimple increase of temperature.

2. Mercury, revived from its oxyds by a fimple increase of temperature, does not differ from that revived in hydrogen gas.

3. If

3. If a fmell arifes from heated iron, it is no proof of the emiffion of phlogifton.

4. Azotic gas cannot be formed by the union of oxygen with any thing emitted from heated iron.

And, 5. Iron, when diffolved in fulphuric acid, is reduced to a flate like that of the black oxyd.

And further, if it can be proved that water is a compound of hydrogen and oxygen, and fufceptible of decomposition, as is infifted by the antiphlogiftians, it must also follow, that hydrogen gas, and other inflammable fubftances, affilt the reduction of metallic oxyds, by combining with their oxygen. And that the hydrogen gas obtained by exposing heated iron to moisture, or by diffolving iron in diluted fulphuric acid, proceeds from the decomposition of the water, and not from that of the iron. —But the proofs of thefe will be exhibited in the examination of the fecond fection.

THE fecond fection of Dr. Prieftley's work is the moft important. He begins it with obferving, "The antiphlogiftic theory has received its greateft "fupport from the fuppofed difcovery, that water "is refolvable into two principles; one that of oxy-"gen, the bafe of dephlogifticated air, and the "other, becaufe it has no other origin than water, "hydrogen, or that which, with the addition of "calorique, or the element of heat, conftitutes in-"flammable air."

The

The term hydrogen is derived from $\partial \partial u_i$, aqua, and $\gamma u \partial \mu u_i$, gignor, and was defigned to express the principle engendering water. It has been criticifed by fome, who maintain it fignifies, engendered by water, and this is the fense in which Dr. Prieftley feems inclined to understand it. But, as has been well observed by Mr. Lavoifier, it may be used in either of these acceptations; for where water is decomposed hydrogen is produced, and when hydrogen is combined with oxygen water is produced.

After giving an extract from a joint work by feveral of the antiphlogiftians, in which they declare their firm belief in the formation, the decomposition, and recomposition of water, the Doctor fays,

"Notwithstanding the confidence thus strongly expressed by these able and experienced chemists, I must take the liberty to fay, that the experiments to which they allude appear to me to be very liable to exception, and that the doctrine of phlogiston easily accounts for all that they obferved.

"Their proof that water is decomposed, and refolved into two kinds of air, is, that when steam is made to pass over red-hot iron inflammable air is produced, and the iron acquires an addition of weight, becoming what is called finery cinder; but what they call oxide of iron, supposing that there is lodged in it the oxygen which was one of the constituent parts of the water expended in "the " the process, while the other part, or the hydro-" gen, with the addition of heat, affumed the form " of inflammable air."

It ought to have been flated, 'that in this experiment there is a lofs of water exactly equal to the joint weight of the addition made to the iron, and of the hydrogen gas obtained.

The antiphlogiftians fuppofe the addition made to the iron to be oxygen, becaufe the compound refembles, in every refpect, as the Doctor himfelf allows, that fubftance which is formed by burning iron in oxygen gas, or in atmospherical air; and this they confider as an oxyd, becaufe, 1/t. While it is forming the oxygen gas difappears, and its weight is exactly equal to that of the iron and the oxygen confumed: *——And, 2d. When iron-filings are mixed with red oxyd of mercury, and the mixture made nearly red-hot, the iron is converted into the fame black fubftance as in the laft experiment, while the oxyd of mercury is reduced, and the weight acquired by the iron corresponds to the excefs of that of the red oxyd above the mercury. †

* Quand on a donné à cette expérience toute l'attention qu'elle mérite, l'air fe trouve diminué d'une quantité en poids exactement égale à celle dont le fer est augmenté. Annales de Chimie, Tome I. p. 24.

† J'ai mê'e enfemble (fays Mr. Lavoifier), 450 grains d'oxide rouge de mercure, par le seu, bien pur, & 100 grains de limaille d'un fer tres doux, et qui n'etoit nullement atta-

4

qué

The hydrogen gas is fuppofed to come from the water, and not from the iron, becaufe it is not obtained when the black oxyd is formed, without the affiftance of water; and, as will be fhewn, water may be formed by uniting oxygen with hydrogen.

The Doctor obferves, on the experiment with iron and water, "But in order to prove that this "addition of weight to the iron is really oxygen, "they ought to be able to exhibit it in the form of "dephlogifticated air (oxygen gas) or fome other "fubftance into which oxygen is allowed to enter, "and this they have not done. Iron that has really "imbibed air, or the common ru/t of iron, has a "very different appearance from this finery cinder "(black oxyd of iron) being red, and not black; "and when treated in fimilar proceffes, exhibits "very different refults. Mr. Fourcroy fays (Examination of Kirwan, p. 251.) that this finery cin-"der is 'iron partially oxygenated.' But if that

qué de rouille. J'ai introduit ce mélange dans une petite cornue, & J'ai fait chauffer jufqu'au moment feulment où les vaiffeaux ont commencé obfeurément à rougir. Il ne s'eft dégagé aucun gaz pendant cette operation, fi ce n'eft une tresmédiocre quantité d'air fixe ou acide caibonique aériforme; elle n'excedoit pas deux ou trois pouces cubiques. Il a paffé dans la diftillation 415 grains de mercure coulant; ayant enfuite cafsè la cornue, J'ai trouvé la limaille de fer dans l'état d'un fer brûlé, elle etoit friable, elle fe reduifoit aifément en poudre, elle etoit dans l'etat d'un veritable *ethiops* (black exyd) & pefoit 132 grains. An. de Chimie, Tome I. p. 28. " were the cafe, it would go on to attract more ox-" ygen, and in time become a proper ruft of iron, " completely oxygenated. But this is fo far from " being the cafe, that finery cinder never will ac-" quire ruft ; which fhews, that the iron in this ftate " is faturated with fome very different principle, " which even excludes that which would have con-" verted it into ruft."

The evidence which has been given, feems to me to be fufficient to prove the addition made to the iron must be oxygen; but more will be given in the course of these lectures.

Without doubt common ruft of iron is very different from the black oxyd : but the Doctor is certainly miftaken in fuppofing this cannot acquire ruft ; Mr. Fourcroy fays, it rufts fooner than common iron, and every apothecary knows it does fo. Befides, we learn from the experiments of Meffrs. Joffe and Fourcroy, that if ruft be made red hot in a retort, a quantity of carbonic acid is difengaged from it, and the iron remains in the flate of black oxyd. The ruft therefore is a carbonate of iron, and muft contain all the principles which compofe the black oxyd; and this can contain none capable of excluding that which would convert it into ruft.

The Doctor then remarks, " However, neither " this, nor any other calx of iron, can be revived, " unlefs it be heated in inflammable air (hydrogen " gas), which it eagerly imbibes, or in contact with " fome " fome other fubftance which has been fuppofed to " contain phlogifton. The probability therefore is, " that the phlogifton then enters this calx of iron, " replacing that which had been expelled to form " the inflammable air. Nor can any inflammable air " be procured in this procefs with fteam, but by " means of fome fubftance which has been fuppofed " to contain phlogifton. Where then is the cer-" tain proof that water is decompofed in this pro-" cefs ?"

The fupposition that a body contains phlogiston is no proof that it does fo.

"It may be faid, that the oxygen imbibed by this iron, being expelled by heat in contact with inflammable air (hydrogen gas), unites with that air, and with it conflitutes the water found after the procefs. But for any thing that appears, this water may be that which the iron had imbibed, and which can only be expelled from it by the entrance of that phlogiflon which it had for any loft."

Doubtles you will be furprifed to hear, that water is the fubftance which the Doctor fuppofes is capable, when combined with iron, of excluding that which would convert it into ruft; and you will recollect that black oxyd of iron can be formed without the affiftance of water.

It is true, the Doctor obferves on the formation of the black oxyd in oxygen gas and atmospherical

D 2

air.

air, that " by far the greateft part of the weight " of dephlogifticated air (oxygen gas) is water, and " the air being decomposed in the process, the wa-" ter is imbibed by the iron, and the acidifying " principle (oxygen) contributes to form," according to the publication now under review, phlogifticated air (azotic gas, *) but by his experiments and observations, " fixed air (carbonic acid gas), with the " phlogiston which is at the fame time expelled from " the iron." †

It has been already fhewn, that no azotic gas is formed by the combuftion of iron in oxygen gas; and the quantity of carbonic acid which has been found in the remainder of oxygen gas, in which iron has been burned, is very trifling, and is owing partly to the gas containing fome before the operation, and partly to plumbago contained in the iron. ‡

But moreover, if the Doctor's explanation of the formation of the carbonic acid gas be accurately examined, it will be found inconfiftent with many of his own principles.

He believes water is a conftituent part of oxygen gas, becaufe, from certain experiments, he has inferred it conftitutes one half of carbonic acid gas,

; Annales de Chimie, Tome III. p. 91-97.

^{*} See P. 15.

⁺ Experiments and Observations, Vol. III. p. 551.

and enters into the composition of all aerial fluids : and from the quantity of water obtained on burning hydrogen in oxygen gas, he fuppofes it conflitutes nine parts in ten of oxygen gas. *

It will be fhewn in the next lefture, that his opinion refpecting the composition of gafes is not well founded; but for the fake of argument, let it for the prefent be granted, that oxygen gas is compounded as he fuppofes, and that carbonic acid gas confifts " of about one half water, and the other phlogif-" ton and dephlogifticated air † (oxygen gas) in the " proportion of one fourth of the former, to three " fourths of the latter." ‡

In page 159 of the first volume of his Experiments and Obfervations, he fays, "In fix ounce measures "and a half of dephlogisticated air (oxygen gas), "I melted turnings of malleable iron till there re-"mained only an ounce measure and one third; "and of this twenty-feven thirtieths of an ounce "measure was fixed air (carbonic acid gas)." Confequently the oxygen gas concerned in the formation of the carbonic acid gas, must have occupied the fpace of 6,06 ounce measures. §

* Experiments and Obfervations, Vol. III. p. 535.

† He means what he calls the acidifying principle or oxygen.

t Experiments and Observations, Vol. III. p. 536.

§ The volume of gas that difappeared was 5,16 ounce measures, and that of the carbonic acid was ,9 of an ounce measure. An ounce measure is equal to 1,8980 cubic inch, and therefore 6,06 ounce measures are equal to 11,501 cubic inches.

A cubic inch of oxygen gas weighs ,34211 of a grain; * and 11,501 cubic inches weigh 3,9346 grains.

The weight of a cubic inch of carbonic acid gas is ,44108 of a grain; † and that of ,9 of an ounce meafure, or 1,7082 cubic inch is ,75345 of a grain.

The 3,9346 grains of oxygen gas, confumed in the experiment, confifted, according to the Doctor's effimation, of 3,54114 grains of water, and ,39346 of a grain of oxygen : and the ,75345 of a grain of carbonic acid gas confifted of ,37672 of a grain of water, ,09418 of a grain of phlogifton, and ,28254 of a grain of oxygen. Therefore the oxygen admitted into the carbonic acid gas was lefs than that contained in the oxygen gas. What became of the reft ? \ddagger

But the infufficiency of the Doctor's account of the formation of the carbonic acid gas will more clearly appear, on comparing the combustion of iron with that of charcoal.

* Lavoifier's Elements, Edinb. edit. Appendix, p. 490.

+ Ibid.

[‡] The above calculation is made on the fuppoficion that all the carbonic acid was formed during the process, although it is probable fome of it was contained in the oxygen gas before the combufiion of the iron.

" I heated

" I heated (fays he *) eight grains and a quarter of perfect charcoal, in 70 ounce measures of dephlogifticated air (oxygen gas) of the ftandard of o,46, when it ftill continued 70 ounce measures; but after washing in water, it was reduced to 40 ounce measures of the ftandard of 0,6, and the charcoal then weighed a grain and a quarter."

Suppofing this experiment to have been accurate, which is not eafy to do, the quantity of oxygen gas confumed was 19,47974 grains, and that of the carbonic acid obtained was 25,11509 grains. According to the Doctor, the first confisted of 1,947974 grains of oxygen, and 17:531766 grains of water; and the fecond of 9,418159 grains of oxygen, 3,139386 grains of phlogiston, and 12,557545 grains of water.

By this flatement, the carbonic acid gas did not contain fo much water as the oxygen gas; yet there is none of that fluid deposited when perfect charcoal is burnt in dry oxygen gas: the phlogiston in the carbonic acid was not half the weight of the confumed charcoal; although the Doctor fays, page 166, Vol. I. of his Experiments and Observations, that charcoal is very nearly pure phlogiston; the oxygen in the carbonic acid gas was almost five times as much as that in the oxygen gas; and from the experiment with iron, the oxygen in the oxygen

* Experiments and Obfervations, Vol. III. p. 377-

gas

gas ought to have formed 3,73023 grains of carbonic acid gas, whereas the quantity faid to have been obtained was 25,11509 grains.

It is plain, therefore, the formation of the carbonic acid gas cannot be accounted for, by fuppofing the two gafes to be compounded in the manner alleged by the Doctor, and charcoal to be pure phlogifton.

But he has faid in page 547 of the third Volume " that charcoal contains all the element of fixed air " [carbonic acid gas], the acidifying principle as well " as phlogifton."——However, even this fuppofition will not be fufficient to remove the difficulty.

It has been already mentioned, that of the 25,11509 grains of carbonic acid gas, 3,73023 grains should on the Doctor's principles be formed by the affiftance of the oxygen gas: the phlogifton fuppofed by him to be neceffary for this quantity is equal to ,46627 of a grain, and ought to have been furnished by the charcoal. The remainder of the feven grains of charcoal fhould have confifted of phlogiston and oxygen, in a proportion fit for making, on the addition of water, the carbonic acid gas. But the remainder is 6,53373 grains; and as water is fuppofed to conftitute one half of the carbonic acid gas, it ought to have formed 13,06746 grains, and these with the 3,73023 grains are less than the quantity faid to have been formed by 8,31740 grains.

Now,

4

Now, as the formation of the carbonic acid gas by the combustion of charcoal cannot be reconciled to the fupposed composition of the two gases, and as the explanation which the Doctor has given, of the formation of the fame gas by the combustion of iron is founded on the fame principles, it cannot possibly be just. And further, as his opinion of the composition of finery cinder rests on the propriety of the explanation which he has given of the formation of the azotic gas, or of that of the carbonic acid gas, and as both have been shewn to be wrong, it also must be groundles.

In confirmation of his opinion the Doctor proceeds, " This is the more probable, fince when " any other fubstance, which is certainly known to " contain oxygen, is heated in the fame circum-" ftances, fixed air [carbonic acid] (which is al-" lowed to contain oxygen) is found, and this is " not the cafe with this calx of iron. If for ex-" ample precipitate per fe, or minium [the red " oxyds of mercury and lead] be heated in inflam-" mable air, the mercury and the lead will be re-" vived, and a confiderable quantity of fixed air [car-" bonic acid gas] will be produced at the fame time. "But if the air be previoufly expelled from the " minium which converts it into a yellow fubftance " called mafficot [yellow oxyd of lead] though the " lead will be revived, no fixed air [carbonic acid " gas] will be generated. Since therefore, the re-66 fult E

" fult of treating finery cinder [black oxyd of iron] " and mafficot is precifely the fame, in the fame " circumftances, we are fully authorifed to conclude " that the fubftances themfelves are fimilar, and " confequently that the finery cinder contains no " more oxygen than mafficot."

Together with fome water, a fmall but not a confiderable quantity of carbonic acid gas is commonly obtained, when the red oxyds of mercury and lead are revived in hydrogen gas. In one experiment made with red oxyd of mercury, fent to the Doctor by Mr. Berthollet, he obtained 0,04 of an ounce meafure of carbonic acid gas; and in another, made with red oxyd of lead, he procured 0,028 of an ounce meafure.*

Metallic oxyds are much difpofed to unite with carbonic acid, and therefore the finall quantity obtained in the above inftances, might with fafety be attributed, to their having attracted it from the atmosphere and parted with it during the reduction. But Mr. Berthollet informs us,[†] the oxyd which he gave to the Doctor actually did contain carbonic acid: On diftilling 50 grains of it and receiving the gas over lime water, although this was not at first made turbid, after about a quarter of an hour

eipitate he afcribed to carbonic acid uniting with the

* Experiments and Observations, Vol. I. p. 168.

it deposited a confiderable precipitate. The pre-

+ Annales de Chimie, Tome III. p. 91.

lime,

(35)

lime; and its flow formation to the acid being held in folution by a great quantity of oxygen gas, and to the portions of the carbonate of lime which were first formed being diffolved by the unchanged lime water.

Dr. Prieftley observes on this experiment of Mr. Berthollet, " The precipitate per fe with which " Mr. Berthollet furnished me, he fays, contained " a confiderable quantity of fixed air; and yet he " allows that when admitted to lime water, it did " not immediately make it turbid, which it is well " known a tenth part of the fixed air which I pro-" cured would have made it inftantly and complete-" ly white. The turbulency that came on after-" wards must therefore have had fome other caufe, " " probably fome acid of vitriol [fulphuric acid] in " the water of the trough in which the experiment " was made, and which gradually infinuating itfelf " into the lime water in his tube, would make a " felenite [fulphate of lime], a thing that has fre-" quently occurred in the courfe of my own experi-" ments, and which for fome time puzzled me not a " little."*

But although the carbonic acid gas which he obtained would make a certain quantity of lime water inftantly turbid, it is not well known that it would do fo when combined with feven or eight cubical

* Experiments and Observations, Vol. III. p. 559.

inches

inches of oxygen gas. Mr. Berthollet does not fay, that the water in the trough of his pneumato-chemical apparatus was different from that in the veffel in which he received the oxygen gas; and I cannot believe a man of his accuracy would think of filling the trough, for fuch an experiment, with any other than lime water.

The yellow oxyd of lead may be formed by making the red oxyd red hot, or by expofing lead to the fame temperature and in contact with air. It affords no carbonic acid gas when it is reduced in hydrogen gas, not from its being deftitute of oxygen, but from its containing no carbonic acid. The temperature to which it has been previoufly fubmitted is unfavourable to its union with carbonic acid; but if when cooled, it be expofed for a fhort time to the air, it will imbibe that fubftance and yield it when reduced in hydrogen gas.

It will be readily allowed by the antiphlogiftians, that if yellow oxyd of lead does not contain oxygen, the black oxyd of iron does not do fo either : but the yellow oxyd cannot be made without the prefence of oxygen gas or atmospherical air; it is heavier than the lead in its composition; and its not affording carbonic acid is no proof that it does not contain oxygen.

The Doctor obferves further in fupport of his opinion, "In another important refpect finery "cinder [black oxyd of iron] and mafficot are fimi-"lar.

" lar. They are both foluble in marine [muriatic] " acid without dephlogifticating [oxygenating] it, " which minium [red oxyd of lead] inftantly does. " And yet Mr. Berthollet fays, (Annales de Chi-" mie, Vol. III. p. 96,) that ' the heat by which " minium becomes mafficot cannot change its na-" ture.' What is the evidence of a change in " the nature of any thing, but a change of its pro-" perties? On the whole, therefore, the proba-" bility is, that when iron is converted into finery " cinder, it lofes its phlogifton, and imbibes only " water; and that when it is reconverted into " iron, it parts with the water, and recovers its " phlogifton. N. B. The experiment with the maf-" ficot must be tried prefently after it is made, fince " it will very foon imbibe air from the atmo-" fphere."

The red contains more oxygen than the yellow oxyd of lead. Its parting with fome oxygen to the muriatic acid is only a proof of what has been already noticed, that the lead retains different quantities of oxygen with unequal force.

The quotation from Mr. Berthollet is not exact. It is his intention to express, that the carbonic acid and azote, with which red oxyd of lead is commonly contaminated, may be feparated by a high temperature, and yet the lead remain in the flate of an oxyd. The reafon why the experiment with mafficot must be tried prefently after it is made has been already affigned.

Now, confidering the manner in which the finery cinder and mafficot are formed, and that the different circumftances which have been alleged as proofs of their not containing oxygen are incompetent, the probability is, that they do not confift of water, and iron, and lead, deprived of phlogifton.

The Doctor then fays, "In this place I would "obferve that, if it be admitted that there is a prin-"ciple in inflammable air [hydrogen gas], which, "being imbibed by the calx of a metal, converts it "into a metallic fubftance, it will follow that the "fame principle is contained in charcoal, and other "combuftible fubftances; becaufe they will all pro-"duce the fame effect, and therefore that the prin-"ciple of inflammability, or phlogifton, is the fame "in them all."

This will readily be admitted, but by the fame mode of reafoning it must follow, that if a fubftance caufes the reduction of a metallic oxyd without communicating any thing to it, there can be no occafion for the addition of any principle, and confequently no fuch principle as phlogifton existing.

From the decomposition, the Doctor paffes to the recomposition of water : but the confideration of his observations on this subject must be deferred to the next lecture.

LECTURE II.

DR. PRIESTLEY is as much diffatisfied with the proofs of the recomposition, as with those of the decomposition of water. " Another pre-" tended proof [fays he] that water is composed " of dephlogifticated and inflammable air [oxygen " and hydrogen gafes], is that when the latter is " burned flowly in the former, they both difappear, " and a quantity of water is produced, equal to " their weight. I do not, however, find that it " was in more than a fingle experiment that water " fo produced is faid to have been entirely free " from acidity, though this experiment was on a " large fcale, not lefs than twelve ounces of water " being procured. But the apparatus employed " does not appear to me to admit of fo much ac-" curacy as the conclusion requires; and there is " too much of correction, allowance, and computa-"tion, in deducing the refult. Alfo it is, after " all, acknowledged that, after decomposing this " quantity of the two kinds of air, and making all " the allowance they could for the phlogifticated " air, or azote, in the dephlogifticated air, they " found fifty one cubic inches of this kind of air " more

" more than they could well account for. This " quantity therefore, and perhaps fomething more " (fince the operators were interested to make it as " finall as poffible) must have been formed in the " procefs. And when this kind of air, as well as " inflammable [hydrogen gas] is decomposed to-"gether with dephlogifticated air [oxygen gas], " nitrous acid is produced. The probability there-" fore is, that the acidifying principle, or oxygen, " in the dephlogifticated air which they decom-" poled, was contained in that phlogifticated air " [azotic gas], and that, had the process been con-" ducted in any other manner, it would have affum-" ed the form of nitrous acid. They acknowledge " that, except when the inflammable air [hydrogen "gas] was burned in the flowest manner, the " water they produced had more or lefs of acidity."

(40)

The Doctor, at one time, believed that water was composed of oxygen and hydrogen. But as, on repeating the experiment of burning hydrogen in oxygen gas, he could not collect as much water as was equal in weight to the gafes confumed; and as that, which he did obtain was mixed with nitrous acid, he was induced to change his opinion, and to fuppose the water was not generated, but deposited by the gafes during the combustion, and that the body formed was the nitrous acid.*

* Experiments and Observations, Vol. III. p. 43, et feq.

The

The antiphlogiftians alleged, when the experiment was performed on a large fcale, the deficiency of water was very trifling, and never more than might with propriety be attributed to the unavoidable lofs to which fuch experiments were liable; and they fuppofed the nitrous acid, found in the water, proceeded from fome azotic gas having been contained in the oxygen gas employed, and this the more efpecially, as no way had been difcovered for procuring oxygen gas perfectly free from azotic gas:

The experiment alluded to by the Doctor, in the paragraph laft read, juftifies the reafoning of the antiphlogiftians. An account of it is to be found in the eighth and ninth volumes of the Annales de Chimie.

The union of the two fubftances was effected by filling a balloon with oxygen gas, adding to it hydrogen gas in a fmall ftream, and fetting them on fire by paffing the electric fpark through them. To the balloon were connected refervoirs, called gazometers, containing the two gafes. By certain contrivances, thefe were made to fupply the balloon with frefh portions of the gafes, as faft as the combination took place.

The experiment lasted 185 hours, and at the end of it there was collected of water 12 ounces 4 gros and 45 grains French weight, and there remained in the balloon a quantity of gas.

By comparing the volume of the two gafes before the combustion, with that of the gas remain-

(41)

ing in the balloon, and making every neceffary correction for the difference of temperature and preffure, it appeared, that 12 ounces 4 gros and 49,2270 grains of the gafes had been confumed : the difference between this weight and that of the water is a mere triffe.

The water was perfectly pure : yet this was not owing to the oxygen gas being free from admixture; for by a preliminary experiment it was difcovered, that 100 cubic inches of it contained three of azotic gas; and 467 French cubic inches of this fubftance were found in the balloon, at the end of the experiment.

The caufe of the purity of the water was difcovered by Mr. Seguin to be the flownefs with which the combustion was conducted: for he has afcertained, that, with materials of the fame kind, the nitrous acid may be formed merely by carrying on the combustion quickly, and by that means raising the temperature to the point at which azotic and oxygen gafes act on each other.

This obfervation of .Mr. Seguin has been confirmed by Meffrs. Pelletier and Jacquin,* and alfo by Mr. Van Marum ;† fo that pure water has been obtained in more than one experiment.

* Annales de Chimie, Tome X. p. 140.

† Ibid. Tome XII. p. 139. Mr. Van-Marum's words are,
" Dans une de mes expériences la combustion du gaz hydro" gène était très-lente, en employant trois heures et demie pour
" la confumption de mille pouces cubiques du gaz hydrogène,

But Dr. Prieftley fuppofes the oxygen and phiogifton formed azotic gas in Mr. Seguin's experiment. Certain it is, there were 51,7440 French cubic inches of azotic gas found in the balloon at the end of the experiment, above what had been found in the oxygen gas before the combustion.

(43)

This quantity was fuppofed by Mr. Seguin to have been owing to atmospherical air from which, the gazometers could not be completely emptied, before they were filled with the other gafes : but whether this be the true reafon or not, for the appearance of thefe 51,7440 cubic inches, they could not poffibly have been formed in the manner fuppofed by Dr. Prieftley.

The oxygen gas confumed weighed 6209,869 grains and ought according to the Doctor to have contained 620,9869 grains of oxygen or the acidifying principle; but 51,7440 French cubic inches of azotic gas weigh only 22,9971 French grains. Nay the whole quantity of azotic gas, found in the balloon, was equal only to 207,55348 French grains; and yet, befides oxygen, it ought to have contained phlogiston and water.

" & l'eau produite par cette expérience n'avoit absolument " point d'acide. Une autre fois la vitesse avec laquelle l'air " entroit dans la ballon étoit à peu près d'un tiers plus grande, " & alors l'eau produite contenoit de l'acide foiblement fen-" fible." Įŋ

In addition to these ftriking proofs of the inconfistency of his principles, it may be remarked, the phlogiston of the inflammable air [hydrogen gas] ought to have weighed more than the whole quantity of azotic gas.

In page 290 of Vol. I. of his Experiments and Obfervations, there is a calculation on the fuppofition that phlogifton compofes one half of hydrogen gas: And in page 535 of Vol. III. he fays, "Wa-" ter feems to conflitute about nine parts in ten of " dephlogifticated air (oxygen gas), but there feems " to be a much lefs proportion of it in inflammable " air (hydrogen gas.)"

The hydrogen gas expended in Mr. Seguin's experiment amounted to 1039,358 grains; and if the phlogifton be effimated at only one fifth of that weight, it will be 207,8716 grains, which is more than the weight of the whole azotic gas.

Since, therefore, the azotic gas could not have been formed by the oxygen and hydrogen, and fince no other product was obtained than water, and the weight of this corresponded to that of the two gafes confumed, it may with fafety be inferred that they formed water by their combination.

But, continues the Doctor, " The experiments " which I made on the decomposition of these two " kinds of air in *close veffels*, appear to me to be " much less liable to exception, and the conclusion " drawn " drawn from them is the reverfe of that of the " French philosophers."

In what refpect his experiments were lefs liable to exception than those of the French chemist, is what I cannot comprehend. Theirs were performed on a very extensive scale; great care was taken to ascertain the degree of purity of the gases before combustion; and the apparatus was so confuructed that the refults could be determined with the greatest nicety. The Doctor's, on the contrary, were made with very trifling quantities of materials; their purity was not tried; and their weight was not accurately determined.

In one experiment, he employed fuch a quantity of the gafes, as, he fays, ought to have afforded a grain of water; but he collected only a quarter of a grain: in another, he ought to have got two grains, whereas he obtained only a grain and an half. And these are the experiments which he opposes to those of the French chemists, and from which he concludes, the water is not equal to the weight of the gafes confumed! *

Satisfied, however, of the fuperiority of his experiments, the Doctor proceeds to give their refults.

"When dephlogifticated and inflammable air "(oxygen and hydrogen gafes), in the proportion " of a little more than one measure of the former

* Experiments and Obfervations, Vol. III. p. 45.

(45)

" to two of the latter, both fo pure as to contain no " fenfible quantity of phlogifticated air (azotic gas), " are inclosed in a glafs or copper vefiel, and de-" composed by taking an electric spark in it, a " highly phlogifticated nitrous acid is inftantly pro-" duced; and the purer the airs are, the ftronger " is the acid found to be. If phlogifticated air (azo-" tic gas) be purpofely introduced into this mixture " of dephlogifticated and inflammable air (oxygen " and hydrogen gafes), it is not affected by the " procefs; though when there is a confiderable de-" deficiency of inflammable air (hydrogen gas), the " dephlogiflicated air for want of it will unite with " the phlogifticated air, and, as in Mr. Cavendifh's " experiment, form the fame acid. But fince both " the kinds of air, viz. the inflammable and the " phlogifticated (hydrogen and azotic) contribute " to form the fame acid, they must contain the fame " principle, viz. phlogifton."

" If there be a redundancy of inflammable air in this procefs, no acid will be produced, as in the great experiment of the French chemifts; but in the place of it there will be a quantity of phlogifticated air (azotic gas). A confiderable quantity of water is always produced in thefe decompositions of air. But this circumflance only proves that the greatest part of the weight of all kinds of air is water. I have, in my experiments on terra ponderofa aerata (carbonate of barytes) de-"monftrated (47)

" monftrated that water conflitutes about half the " weight of fixed air (carbonic acid gas.)"

It has been already flewn, that hydrogen gas can neither form azotic gas nor nitrous acid; but it may be worth while to point out the reafons for thefe refults.

In the detail which is given of these experiments in the third volume of his Experiments and Obfer-, vations, there is no notice of any preliminary attempts to afcertain the degree of purity of the gafes; but it is there faid, the oxygen gas used in the two trifling experiments formerly mentioned was got from the oxyd of manganefe; and that employed in other experiments, during which the explosions were performed in a copper veffel, was fometimes got from the fame fource, and at others from the red oxyd of mercury by the nitrous acid, and from the red oxyd of lead. Now it is well known, that all thefe substances in general contain azote .- The first docs fo, in fo remarkable a degree, that the first portions of gas which it yields on being heated are frequently pure azotic gas. The fecond, being made with the nitrous acid, alfo contains fome of it. And the third attracts it from the atmosphere, as the Doctor himfelf has difcovered.

Mr. Cavendish ascertained by his experiments, that, if there be less hydrogen used than is necessary for the faturation of the oxygen, a quantity of nitrous acid is formed; and that, if azotic gas be added (48)

ded to the mixture, cæteris paribus, the quantity of acid is always increased; but, that if there be a superabundance of hydrogen, no acid is produced.

Hence the reafon why the azotic gas was not affected in Dr. Prieftley's experiment, feems to have been, he used as much hydrogen as, with the azote contained in the oxygen gas and not attended to, was fufficient for the whole oxygen.

It has been already made evident, that water cannot enter into the composition of oxygen and hydrogen gafes in the proportion alleged by the Doctor; and by a little attention to the experiments with the carbonate of barytes it will clearly appear, there is not the fmallest foundation for the opinion that water is neceffary for the constitution of carbonic acid gas.

The native carbonate of barytes was faid, by Dr. Withering and others, not to yield its carbonic acid at any temperature to which it could be exposed: but Dr. Prieftley found, that when the vapour of water was fent over it when red hot in an earthen tube, it afforded carbonic acid gas with the greatest rapidity, and in an equal quantity as when it was diffolved in the muriatic acid; while at the fame time fome of the water difappeared. He hence concluded, that water must be a conftituent part of carbonic acid gas.

"Attending," fays he, " to the water expended in the process, I found that I procured 330 ounce

66 measures

F

" measures of fixed air (carbonic acid gas) with the " lofs of 160 grains of water. According to this, as " the air weighed 294 grains, the water in the " fixed air must have been 80 parts of 147 of the " whole.

"In another experiment, having previoufly found "that three ounces of the terra ponderofa (carbo-"nate of barytes) yielded about 250 ounce meafures "of fixed air (carbonic acid gas), I attended only "to the lofs of water in procuring it, and I found "it to be one fifth of an ounce in two fucceffive "trials. The quantity of fixed air (carbonic acid gas) "would weigh 225 grains, and the water expended about 100 grains, fo that in this experiment alfo the fixed air (carbonic acid gas) muft have "contained about one half of its weight of water." *

This calculation, however, cannot be depended upon; for the lofs which the carbonate of barytes fuftained was not examined, and the carbonic acid gas muft have diffolved a quantity of water whichit would deposite on returning to the temperature of the atmosphere.

To these objections, which were first made by Mr. Berthollet, the Doctor has returned, "I found very " exactly how much fixed air [carbonic acid gas] a " given quantity of this substance [carbonate of

* Experiments and Observations, Vol. I. p. 131.

" barytes]

" barytes] would yield by means of water, which " appeared to be the very fame that it yielded by" folution in fpirit of falt (muriatic acid), and that it yielded no air at all by mere heat without water. It was quite fufficient therefore to find how much water was expended in procuring any quantity of fixed air (carbonic acid gas) from this fubfrance. And as there was no other fource of lofs of water befides the fixed air (carbonic acid gas), it could not but be concluded, that it entered into its compofition as a neceffary part of it, and in the proportion which I afcertained." *

In this anfwer, he has entirely overlooked the property which carbonic acid gas has of diffolving water. Every chemift knows it has that property, and in a greater degree at a high than at a low temperature. But the water is not neceffary to the conflictution of the gas, becaufe it exifts before the folution of the water; and it may be deprived of the water, by fulphuric acid or any deliquefcent fubftance, and ftill remain carbonic acid gas.

Befides, Dr. Hope, now Professor of Chemiftry in the University of Edinburgh, has discovered that the carbonic acid can be separated from the barytes, by exposing the compound to such a temperature as can be raised in a smith's forge.

* Experiments and Obf rvations, Vol. III. p. 557.

+ I dinburgh Philosophical Transactions.

To be fure, the difengagement of the carbonic acid takes place at a lower temperature when water is ufed; but this is only a proof that the feparation is promoted by the tendency which carbonic acid gas has to combine with water.

Hence then the celebrated experiments with the terra ponderofa aerata, or carbonate of barytes, afford no fupport to the Doctor's principles.

"The reafon, no doubt," fays the Doctor, in a note at the end of the pamphlet, "why, in the ex-"periments of the French chemifts, the water they produced was not without acidity, whenever the fame they made ufe of was too ftrong, was that, in that cafe, more of the dephlogifticated air [oxgen gas] in proportion to the inflammable [hydrogen gas] was confumed, than when the flame was weak; fo that the refults of their experiments exactly coincide with thofe of mine."

When his experiments are accurately examined, they are found to confirm those of the French chemists; but the reasoning in the note which has been read cannot be admitted.

The appearance of flame attends the combination of an inflammable fubflance and oxygen, when both are in the flate of gas :—It is owing to their union taking place at many points at the fame time; but as the union depends as much on the one as on the other, a proportional quantity of each must be as peceflary to exhibit a weak as a ftrong flame.

G 2

The

The Doctor further relates of his experiments, "When the decomposition of dephlogisticated and inflammable air [oxygen and hydrogen gafes] is made in a glass veffel, a peculiarly dense vapour is formed, which the eye can easily distinguish not to be mere vapour of water; and if the juice of turnfole be put into the veffel, it immediately becomes of a deep red, which shews that it was an acid vapour.

" Since the acid that I procured in this process " was in confiderable quantity, and no phlogiflicated " air [azotic gas] was prefent, (for in the laft of " my experiments I did not even make use of an " air pump, but first filled the veffel with water, and " then difplaced it by the mixture of the airs), I do " not fee how it is poffible to account for the forma-"tion of this acid but from the union of the two "kinds of air; and it can hardly be supposed that, " in the very fame process, the decomposition of the " fame fubftances should compose others fo very " different from each other as water and fpirit of " nitre [nitrous acid]. I think I have fufficiently " accounted for the refult of the experiments made " by the French chemifts on the common hypothe-" fis, which supposes inflammable air to contain " phlogifton; but I do not fee how it is poffible for " them to explain mine on theirs, according to which " there is no fuch principle in nature. Upon the " whole, it does not appear to me that the evif dence, either for the composition or the decomposs fition

" fition of water, is at all fatisfactory; and cer-" tainly the arguments in fupport of an hypothefis " fo extraordinary, and fo novel, ought to be of the " most conclusive kind."

Having in fome of his experiments emptied the veffel in which the explosions were made of common air, by means of an air pump, the Doctor fuppofed it might be objected, that he could not entirely exhauft the veffel; and it is on that account he has mentioned, that in the last of his experiments he did not use an air pump : but the azote which occasioned the production of the dense acid vapour was contained in the oxygen gas which he employed.

The objections contained in this fection to the conclusions drawn from the experiments which the antiphlogistians confider as proofs of the decompofition and recomposition of water, are,

1. Finery cinder [black oxyd of iron] does not contain oxygen.

2. The weight of the water collected after burning inflammable and dephlogifticated airs [hydrogen and oxygen gafes] is not equal to that of the airs confumed. And,

3. Either the water fo obtained is mixed with nitrous acid, or a quantity of phlogificated air [azotic gas] is formed.

The Doctor is of opinion,

1. That finery cinder [black oxyd of iron] confifts of water and iron deprived of phlogifton.

2. That

2. That when a metallic calx containing oxygen is reduced in inflammable air [hydrogen gas], fixed air [carbonic acid gas] is formed by the union of the oxygen with the phlogifton and water of the inflammable air. And,

3. That during the combustion of inflammable in dephlogisticated air [hydrogen in oxygen gas], the phlogistion and oxygen form, according to circumstances, nitrous acid or phlogisticated air [azotic gas]; and the water obtained by the process is not generated, but from being a conflituent part of the two airs is deposited on their union.

But from what has been flated in the review of this fection it appears,

1. The fame fubftance is formed by expofing iron to the fteam of water, by burning iron in oxygen gas, and by heating iron filings mized with red oxyd of mercury.

2. Hydrogen gas is obtained when the iron is changed by being exposed to the vapour of water; but there is none afforded when the change is effected by either of the other proceffes.

3. The carbonic acid, which has been found after the reduction of certain metallic oxyds in hydrogen gas, was previoufly contained in thefe oxyds.

4. The water which may be collected when hydrogen is burned in oxygen gas is exactly equal in weight to the two fubftances which difappear.

4. The

4. The azotic gas which has been found in the refiduum was contained in the gafes before the combuftion.

6. The nitrous acid, formed when the combuftion was rapid, was owing to the union of azote with oxygen.

7. The experiments with terra ponderofa do not fhew that water is neceffary to the conflictation of the gafes. And confequently from thefe it follows,

That when water is brought in contact with red hot iron it is refolved into two fubflances, one of which combines with the iron, while the other affumes the form of gas—and, That water may be reproduced by reuniting thefe fubflances.

THE third fection begins as follows :

"Having confidered the evidence that has been "alleged in fupport of the antiphlogiftic theory, "and found it to be infufficient, I fhall in this fec-"tion mention a few objections that may be made "to it from other confiderations.

" I. If inflammable air, or hydrogen, be nothing more than a component part of water, it could never be produced but in circumflances in which either water itfelf, or fomething into which water is known to enter, is prefent. But in my experiments on heating finery cinder [black oxyd of iron] with charcoal, inflammable air is produced, though, according to the new theory, no "water ⁴⁴ water is concerned. According to this theory, ⁴⁵ finery cinder, called the oxyd of iron, confifts of ⁴⁶ nothing befides iron and oxygen; and the char-⁴⁶ coal, made with the greateft degree of heat that ⁴⁶ can be applied, is equally free from water; and ⁴⁶ yet when thefe two fubftances are mixed together, ⁴⁶ and exposed to heat, they yield inflammable air ⁴⁶ in the greateft abundance.

"This fact I cannot account for on the princi-"ples of the new theory; but nothing is eafier on "thofe of the old. For the finery cinder [the black "oxyd] containing water, as one of its component parts, gives it out to any fubftance from which "it can receive phlogifton in return. The water, therefore, from the finery cinder [black oxyd of "iron] uniting with the charcoal makes the inflammable air [hydrogen gas], at the fame time that part of the phlogifton from the charcoal contributes to revive the iron. Inflammable air [hydrogen gas] of the very fame kind is procured when "feam is made to pafs over red-hot charcoal."

Although hydrogen be a conflituent part of water it enters into the composition of many other bodies, and therefore the prefence of water is not neceffary to account for its production.

The particulars of the experiment are related in page 279 of the first volume of the Doctor's Experiments and Observations. "Having" fays he, " made the scales of iron [black oxyd of iron], and 1 " alfor " alfo the powder of charcoal very hot, previous to " the experiment, fo that I was fatisfied that no air " could be extracted from either of them feparately " by any degree of heat; and having mixed them " together while they were very hot, I put them " into an earthen retort, glazed within and with-" out, which was quite impervious to air. This I " placed in a furnace, in which I could give it a " very ftrong heat; and connected it with proper " veffels to condenfe and collect the water which I " expected to receive in the courfe of the proces. "But to my great furprife, not one particle of " moifture came over, but a prodigious quantity of " air, and the rapidity of its production aftonished " me; fo that I had no doubt but that the weight " of the air would have been equal to the lofs of " weight both in the fcales [the black oxyd] and " the charcoal; and when I examined the air which " I repeatedly did, I found it to contain one tenth " of fixed air [carbonic acid gas]; and the inflam-" mable air which remained when the fixed air was " feparated from it, was of a very remarkable kind, " being quite as heavy as common air. The rea-" fon of this was fufficiently apparent when it was " decomposed by means of dephlogisticated air, " [oxygen gas] for the greatest part of it was fixed " air."

The Doctor now thinks this last mentioned fixed air was not a constituent part of the inflammable

H

air,

(58)

air, but formed by the union of its phlogifton with the dephlogifticated air.

The reducing of wood to charcoal confifts in feparating the more volatile from the lefs volatile parts. This is done very imperfectly in common charcoal. The hydrogen efpecially is retained with fo great force that the coal must be exposed to an intenfe and long continued heat.

It is not mentioned in the detail of the experiment, that the charcoal was previously exposed to the greatest degree of heat that could be applied, it is merely faid it was made very hot, and that was very far, from being fufficient.

Unglazed earthen veffels abforb moilture from the atmosphere very greedily, and it is fearcely poffible to glaze accurately the infide of an earthen retort; at all events it is quite impoffible, without breaking the retort, to know whether it has been perfectly done or not.

The charcoal, the iron fcales (black oxyd), and the retort fhould all have been expofed feparately to an intenfe and long continued heat, immediately before being ufed; the weight of the charcoal and iron before the experiment fhould have been compared with their weight after it; and the weight of the gafes obtained inflead of being gueffed at, fhould have been accurately determined and compared with the lofs fulfained by the mixture. The experiment is, in its prefent flate, of no value.

Mr.

Mr. Berthollet first objected to the experiment that hydrogen was with great difficulty feparated from carbone, and the Doctor in reply to him has faid in page 556 Vol. III. of his Experiments and Obfervations, " How obfinately charcoal retains " water, is eafily afcertained. For Mr. Berthollet " himfelf would fay, that when any particular de-" gree of heat would not make charcoal yield any " more inflammable air, there was no more water " retained in it than the fame degree of heat was " able with its affiftance to decompose: But by " the affiftance of finery cinder (black oxyd of iron) " with even a much lefs degree of heat, it yields " inflammable air very copioufly, just as if steam " had been made to pass over it in that heat; and " judging from evident appearances, there can be " no doubt but that with a fufficient quantity of " finery cinder, to fupply it with water, all the " phlogiston in the charcoal, exclusive of that " which contributed to the revival of the iron, will " be converted into inflammable air."

(95)

From this anfwer, the Doctor feems to have mifunderftood Mr. Berthollet. He has not faid that charcoal retains *water* obflinately, his words are " il paroît, par un grand nombre d'expériences que " le charbon retient fortement de *l'hydrogène*; auffi " avons nous diffingué le principe charboneux au " carbone du charbon ordinaire." The refults of the Doctor's experiment may be accounted for in this manner. The carbonic acid gas was formed by the union of the oxygen in the oxyd of iron with the carbone in the charcoal; and the heavy inflammable gas proceeded from the folution of fome carbone in hydrogen gas furnished either by the charcoal or moisture contained in the retort.

It can be no objection to this explanation, that the charcoal afforded an inflammable gas at a lower temperature when mixed with oxyd of iron than when ufed by itfelf. If the proportion of hydrogen be very finall in comparison with that of the carbone, the compound is folid at even a very high temperature; but when the proportion of hydrogen is greater, it is eafily made gafeous. In the foregoing experiment the proportion of carbone was diminished by the union of part of it with the oxygen of the oxyd of iron.

At all events the explanation offered by the Doctor cannot be a just one. It has been already fhewn the fupposition respecting the composition of oxygen gas is unfounded, and that, even after admitting that fupposition, finery cinder cannot confift of water and iron deprived of phlogiston.

2. " Though the new theory, fays the Doctor, " difcards phlogifton, and in this refpect is more " fimple than the old, it admits another new prin-" ciple, to which its advocates give the name of " Carbone, which they define to be the fame thing " with " with charcoal free from earth, falts, and all " other extraneous fubftances; and whereas we " fay that fixed air confifts of inflammable air and " dephlogifticated air or oxygen, they fay that it " confifts of this carbone diffolved in dephlogif-" ticated air, *fee Examination of Mr. Kirwan*, p. 79. " Mr. Lavoifier fays, Ibid. p. 63, that ' wherever " fixed air has been obtained, there is charcoal." " They therefore call it the carbonic acid.

" But in many of my experiments large quantities " of fixed air have been procured where neither " charcoal, nor any thing containing charcoal, was " concerned, or none in quantity fufficient to ac-" count for it. When the pureft malleable iron is " heated in dephlogifticated air [oxygen gas] or in " vitriolic acid air [fulphureous acid gas], a con-" fiderable quantity of fixed air is formed. It is " faid that plumbago is contained in iron. But it " is not found in malleable iron, and leaft of all in " the air that is expelled from it. Fixed air is " alfo produced by reviving minium [red oxyd of " lead] in inflammable air [hydrogen gas], and if " charcoal of copper be heated in dephlogifticated " air, a quantity of fixed air equal to nine tenths of " the dephlogifticated air will be formed. More " than thirty ounce measures of the purest fixed " air were by this means procured from fix grains " of this charcoal, which is made by the union of " fpirit of wine and this metal.

" Laftly,

" Laftly, fixed air is procured in great abun-" dance in animal refpiration. It is true that fixed " air is procured by exposing lime water to atmo-" fpherical air, but it is never procured by this " means in air confined in any veffel. There muft, " for this purpole, be an open communication with " the atmosphere. But fixed air will be procured " in great abundance by breathing air contained in " the fmallest receiver, and especially if the air be " dephlogifticated. It must therefore be formed " by phlogifton, or fomething emitted from the " lungs, uniting with the dephlogifticated air which " it meets there. It may be faid that fince we "feed in a great measure upon vegetables (and " even animal food is originally formed from them) " and this principle of carbone is found in all vege-" tables, this may be the fubstance that is exhaled " from the lungs. But fince in this process, it " forms the fame fubftance that inflammable air " from iron does with dephlogifticated air, or oxy-" gen, it must be the fame thing with it; and then " this carbone will only be another name for phlo-" gifton."

The objection, that carbone is a hypothetical being, was formerly made by Mr. Keir, and anfwered by Mr. Berthollet, " If there was no method, " fays he, of procuring diftilled water, and that " in the explanation of phenomena which are ow-" ing to that fluid, it was confidered independently " of

(02)

" of the fmall quantity of falts which it holds in "folution, would Mr. Keir look upon water as an "hypothetical being of which no idea could be "formed? Charcoal which has been well urged "by the fire contains fometimes lefs than an hun-"dredth part of foreign matter which has no in-"fluence on its combinations; fometimes it contains "much more: abftraction is made of that part foreign to its properties, and to avoid circumlo-"cution, the name of carbone is given to the char-"coal confidered in a flate of purity."*

Notwithstanding what the Doctor has afferted, it is fcarcely possible to obtain iron free from plumbago; and this, from the quantity of carbone which it contains, can, with a due proportion of oxygen, make nearly four times its weight of carbonic acid.

The carbonic acid gas procured by the revival of the red oxyd of lead has been already accounted for.

Charcoal of copper, as Dr. Prieftley calls it, is made by paffing the vapour of alcohol or of oil of turpentine through a red hot copper tube : a great quantity of hydrogen gas is evolved and a black fubftance collects in the tube. Of 446 grains of this black fubftance obtained in one experiment, 28 grains were copper; and of 508 got by another, 19 grains were copper: the remainder when burned afforded carbonic acid gas.

* Annales de Chimie, Tome X. p. 145.

Thefe

These experiments prove that alcohol or spirit of wine and oil of turpentine contain hydrogen and carbone; and that copper can separate the carbone from the hydrogen. Charcoal of copper, therefore, is not a compound of spirit of wine and copper, but

of carbone and that metal.

That carbonic acid is formed during refpiration is most certain, and that it is fo by the addition of fomething to the oxygen contained in the atmosphere is equally certain; but the Doctor has forgot when he fays, "it forms the fame fubftance which "inflammaable air from iron does with dephlogif-" ticated air, or oxygen."

In page 285 of the first volume of his Experiments and Obfervations, when speaking of the carbonic acid gas obtained by burning the inflammable gas which is procured by paffing the vapour of water over red hot charcoal, he fays in the text. " That " the fixed air [carbonic acid gas] is not generated " in this process, is evident from there being no fixed " air found after the explosion of depblogisticated air " [oxygen gas] and inflammable air from iron." And in a note at the bottom of the page he obferves, "When I wrote this paper, I imagined that " the fixed air, which was found on the decomposi-" tion of this inflammable air with dephlogifticated " air, had been contained in the inflammable air. " But it will appear, that it must have been formed " by the union of phlogifton [or inflammable air] " and

(64)

" and dephlogifticated air, made by the explosion s " though it is remarkable that no fixed air is formed " when the inflammable air from iron is used."

Befides in p. 562. Vol. III. he fays of inflammable air from iron, " that it may not only be wafhed " in lime water, but even be wholly decomposed by " being fired together with dephlogifticated air, " without discovering any fixed air at all."

Therefore the identity of carbone and the fuppofed phlogiston has not been established.

The third objection is a repetition of what he has faid before. "3d. The antiphlogiftians always fup-"pofe azote, or phlogifticated air, to be a fimple fub-"flance, though I think abundant evidence has been "given (and more will be found in my laft memoir, "printed in the Tranfactions of the Philofophical "Society at Philadelphia), that it is composed of "phlogifton and dephlogifticated air."

The abundant evidence which has been given amounts to, an affertion that he has fhewn in a feries of experiments that azotic gas is formed during the oxydation of iron; and the circumflance of 51,744 cubic inches of azotic gas, having been found in the refiduum of the great experiment made by Mr. Seguin and others, above what had been difcovered in the oxygen gas before the combuftion.

It is fearcely neceffary to remind you, the first is contradicted by his own experiments and those of Mr. Lavoisier; and that the last, cannot be accounted ed for on his principles, even after granting a number of unfounded fuppolitions.

It is the Doctor's object, in the memoir which is to be published in the fourth volume of the Tranfactions of the Philofophical Society of Philadelphia, to prove, that there is a greater quantity of oxygen in the atmosphere than is supposed by the antiphlogistians, and was formerly believed by the Doctor himfelf; and that fome of the azotic gas found after the combustion of certain substances in atmospherical air, is formed by the union of their phlogiston with the oxygen of the atmosphere. But as the Doctor has not favoured us with a detail of his experiments, and as they bear the most striking marks of not having been performed with accuracy, I will not take up your time with a review of them.

The Doctor then remarks,

"4. As to the new nomenclature, adapted to the "new theory, no objection would be made to it, if "it were formed, as is pretended, upon a know-"ledge of the real conflitution of natural fubflances; but we cannot adopt one, the principles of which we conceive not to be fufficiently afcertained. For other objections to this nomenclature, I refer to the Preface to Mr. Keir's excellent Dictionary of Chemiftry. However, whether we approve of this new language or not, it is now fo generally adopted, that we are under the neceffity of learning, though not of ufing it." Although Although the new nomenclature is not flrictly methodical, and its terms are rather uncouth and harfh, yet as, in as far as the flate of our knowledge enables us to judge, it in general expresses either the properties or composition of bodies, I most heartily recommend it.

The Doctor fums up, " On the whole, I cannot " help faying, that it appears to me not a little ex-" traordinary, that a theory fo new, and of fuch " importance, overturning every thing that was " thought to be the best established in chemistry, " fhould reft on fo very narrow and precarious a " foundation, the experiments adduced in fupport " of it being not only ambiguous, or explicable on " either hypothefis, but exceedingly few. I think " I have recited them all, and that on which the " greatest strefs is laid, viz. that of the formation " of water from the decomposition of the two kinds " of air, has not been fufficiently repeated. In-" deed, it requires fo difficult and expensive an ap-" paratus, and fo many precautions in the ufe of it, " that the frequent repetition of the experiment can-" not be expected; and in thefe circumftances the " practifed experimenter cannot help fuspecting the " accuracy of the refult, and confequently the cer-" tainty of the conclusion.

"But I check myfelf. It does not become one of a minority, and efpecially of fo finall a minotrity, to fpeak or write with confidence; and 12 "though " though I have endeavoured to keep my eyes open, " and to be as attentive as I could to every thing " that has been done in this bufinefs, I may have " overlooked fome circumflances which have im-" preffed the minds of others, and their fagacity is " at leaft equal to mine.

"The phlogiftic theory is not without its difficul-"ties. The chief of them is, that we are not able to "afcertain the weight of phlogifton, or indeed that "of the oxygenous principle. But neither do any "of us pretend to have weighed *light*, or the ele-"ment of *heat*, though we do not doubt but that "they are properly *fub/tances*, capable, by their addition, or abstraction, of making great changes in "the properties of bodies, and of being transmitted "from one fubstance to another."

The experiments adduced in fupport of the antiphlogiftic doctrine are neither ambiguous, nor explicable on either *hypothefis*, nor few.—Thofe of the French chemifts were performed with the greateft care and nicety, and a few fuch are of more confequence than thoufands made without a due regard to accuracy and precifion; and if I miftake not it has been fhewn, that they cannot be explained on the Doctor's principles, and that his numerous experiments con&rm theirs.

The experiment of the formation of water has been frequently repeated. It has been performed on a large feale by Mr. Monge, by Meffrs, Lavoifier

and

and Meufnier, by Meffrs. Fourcroy, Vauquelin, and Seguin, by Meffrs Pelletier and Jacquin, and by Mr. Van Marum.

The Doctor deferves credit for his candour; but I confefs I am rather furprifed, that after having calculated the weight of phlogifton and oxygen, and fupported a theory on the fuppofition of the calculations being right, he fhould flate, as the chief difficulty attending that theory, the impoffibility of afcertaining the weight of thefe fuppofed fubflances.

However, if these fubstances or principles did actually exist, one or both of them would have weight; for charcoal, whether it be "very nearly pure phlo-"gifton," or contains " the acidifying principle as " well as phlogiston," can be weighed.

It has been fhewn, that the formation of carbonic acid gas by the burning of charcoal, cannot be explained on the Doctor's principles, if both the phlogifton and the oxygen have weight; and it will be found equally inexplicable if one of them be deftitute of that property.

Let it first be supposed the phlogiston has no weight; and let it be again granted, that his experiment on the combustion of charcoal in oxygen gas was accurate. The oxygen in the confumed charcoal could weigh no more than feven grains; and these, added to the tenth part of the weight of oxygen gas, would make 8,947974 grains. One half of the weight of carbonic acid gas is supposed to be water, and confequently if the phlogiston has no weight, weight, the other half ought to be owing to the oxygen. But there could not be more of this oxygen than 8,947974 grains, and thefe, with an equal quantity of water, ought to have formed only 17,895948 grains of carbonic acid gas, while the quantity faid to have been obtained was 25,1150 grains.

On the other hand, if the oxygen be fuppofed to be defitute of weight, and phlogifton to be heavy, as the phlogifton could not exceed feven grains, the quantity of carbonic acid gas fhould have been fourteen grains.

Befides, the water in the oxygen gas ought, in either cafe, to have exceeded that fuppofed to be neceffary for the conflitution of the carbonic acid gas. What became of this excefs? Why did it not combine with the one grain and quarter of unconfumed charcoal ?

Although it is more than probable that light, and the caufe which excites in us the fenfation of heat or caloric, are bodies; yet their existence as such does not make a necessfary part of the antiphlogistic. doctrine.

As the different parts of this fection have no immediate connection, it is unneceffary to make any recapitulation.

The following note is fubjoined to the laft fection: "N. B. For anfwers to the objections of "Mr. Lavoifier and Mr. Berthollet to fome expe-" riments of mine relating to this fubject, I refer

" to

(71)

" to the laft edition of my Observations on Air, " Vol. III. p. 554."

Such of these answers as were applicable to the objections, which have been laid before you, have been already confidered.

I have now, Gentlemen, finished the reading and examination of Dr. Priestley's pamphlet. Perhaps, from having been so particular, I have almost exhausted your patience; but I trust you will excuse me, as the fate of several important branches of chemical science is involved in that of this subject.

From the view which has been given of the different explanations of the phenomena of combuftion it appears, that Becher's is incomplete; Stahl's, though ingenious, is defective ; the antiphlogiflic is fimple, confiftent, and fufficient; while Dr. Prieftley's, refembling Stahl's but in name, is complicated, contradictory, and inadequate. You doubtlefs therefore will be inclined to prefer the antiphlogiftic doctrine : Indeed you may adopt it with fafety ; for from being a plain relation of facts, it is founded on no ideal principle, on no creature of the imagination; it is propt by no vague fuppolition, by no random conjecture; it is dependent upon nothing whofe existence cannot actually be demonstrated; whofe properties cannot be fubmitted to the moft rigorous examination; and whole quantity cannot be determined by the tefts of weight and measure.



