# THE OEDIPUS TYRANNUS

OF

# SOPHOCLES

BY

# BENJAMIN HALL KENNEDY, D.D.

REGIUS PROFESSOR OF GREEK,
AND HONORARY FELLOW OF ST JOHN'S COLLEGE, CAMBRIDGE; CANON OF ELV.

### WITH A COMMENTARY

CONTAINING A LARGE NUMBER OF NOTES SELECTED, BY PERMISSION, FROM THE MANUSCRIPT

OF THE LATE

# T. H. STEEL, M.A.

FORMERLY FELLOW OF TRINITY COLLEGE, AND LATE SENIOR ASSISTANT MASTER OF HARROW SCHOOL.

# CAMBRIDGE:

DEIGHTON, BELL, AND CO.

LONDON: GEORGE BELL AND SONS.

1885

[All Rights reserved.]

S58 K30

00500 - 100000

IN ACADEM. CANTABRIG. QVONDAM ORATOR PVBLICVS

COLLEG. DIV. IOANN. ANN. XXIV. MAGISTER

VIR BENE DOCTVS ADPRIME SAGAX

IN OFFICIIS FVNGENDIS PROBVS AC DILIGENS

IN AMICITIA SEMPER FIDELIS

SVORVM AMANTISSIMVS SVIS CARISSIMVS VIXIT

EISDEMOVE NON SOLIS

SED ETIAM SOCIETATI CVI DIGNE PRAEFVERAT

ACADEMIAE CVI SAPIENTER CONSVLEBAT

DEFLETVS ET DESIDERATVS OBIIT

A.D. QVINT. KAL. APR. A.S. MDCCCLXXXI. AET. SVAE LXIX.

MEMORIAE VETERIS AMICI

MVLTA EIVS BENEFICIA PIE RECOLENS

HVNC LIBELLVM EDITOR

D. D. D.

A.S. MDCCCLXXXV.

AET. SVAE OCTOGESIMO PRIMO.



Duck. Dinnt 3-25-08 35877

# PREFACE.

§ 1. In writing a Preface to this volume, my first and most pleasant duty is, to acknowledge the debt of gratitude which I owe to my kind friend Mrs Steel for the loan of her late husband's notes on the Oedipus Tyrannus.

They comprise 300 closely written pages; and, as they cite no editor later than Wunder, they were evidently written not less than 35 years ago for a purposed but never published edition. Their character is strictly exegetic, not aesthetic. They are a continuous commentary, explanatory and illustrative, on the Greek text of the play from the first to the last verse. Of the plot and its development, of characters and chorus, of the distinctive parts, and of metres, they contain no notice; such topics being probably left for future treatment in appendices and introduction. The same remark applies to manuscripts and editions, also to lection generally, of which no special account is given. The editors chiefly cited are Elmsley, Hermann and Wunder, but older authorities, Brunck, Musgrave, Bothe, are also mentioned occasionally. Matthiae's Greek Grammar is largely quoted throughout. To myself—besides the value belonging to the accurate learning and sound criticism of my old friend Mr Steel—the usefulness of these notes lies in the large illustration which they supply not only from dramatic poetry, but from the whole cycle of Greek literature, and also from grammarians and scholiasts. By the passages so cited much trouble has been spared me, my judgment has been corrected or fortified, and my commentary enriched. While the Lection in this volume, the Outlines of plot, the Excursions (excepting Exc. x.) and the Index, necessarily belong to my own editorial labours, I wish ( $\tilde{l}\sigma\sigma\nu$   $\nu\epsilon\mu\omega\nu$ ) to ascribe one half of the Commentary to Mr Steel's notes. He is cited by name in the earlier part; but I ceased to do this after a while, because my own remarks and his became so much intermingled, that distribution would have been an absurd attempt.

§ 2. I proceed to say a few words on the general principles by which I am guided in dealing with readings of codices and with proposed emendations. Those scholars who have seen the Introduction to my 2nd Edition of the Agamemnon, are acquainted with my feeling on these questions. It agrees exactly with that of Prof. Karsten, and with that of the lamented John Wordsworth, both quoted by me in that Introduction. We ought to be thankful to those who have managed to preserve for us these codices, containing so many valuable relics of ancient literature. We are thankful even for the single MS. by which we gain the Choephoroe. But we have no ground of thankfulness to the ignorant or careless scribes, and the halflearned and injudicious correctors, who have defaced these relics, and bequeathed to modern scholars the difficult task of first detecting and then emending their corruptions. Subservience to such guidance is a mere Fetish-worship, which I, for one, can neither myself share nor approve in others who undertake to edit an ancient work. But as little would I commend groundless and rash change. A middle course between the two bad extremes is that which I proposed to myself as desirable, and which I have therefore striven to keep with my best endeavour. In that grandest of ancient dramas, the Agamemnon, I have indeed gone far in exhibiting what I hope is a readable Greek text: but in doing this I have taken care to show distinctly that what I thus offer to readers I cannot always warrant to be the very words of Aeschylus, though I deserve the severest censure if I have anywhere misrepresented the poet's mind, or placed beside his immortal words others which could not have been written by him.

§ 3. In the Oedipus I have had no temptation to carry correction so far. There is but one place where I believe a line to be lost, namely, after v. 1134; and here I am content to record in my note a verse which seems to supply the required sense. In every chorus more or less of corruption exists, but nowhere (except in the antistrophe of the hyporcheme, 1098—1106) is it carried so far as in all, or nearly all, the lyric portions of the Agamemnon.

The principle that codd. are to be followed, when all agree, is manifestly untenable. Even one, who carries it as far as any editor I know since Wellauer and Scholefield, finds himself obliged in 1061 to write  $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\omega$  where all codices have  $vo\sigma o\hat{v}\sigma' \ddot{\epsilon}\chi\omega$ , and in 351  $\pi\rho o\epsilon\hat{\iota}\pi as$ , where they have  $\pi\rho o\sigma\epsilon\hat{\iota}\pi as$ . His successor has no such scruples, as he shows by reading, against all codices (and rightly, as I think), not only  $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\omega$  and  $\pi\rho o\epsilon\hat{\iota}\pi as$ , but also  $\gamma\nu\omega\rho\iota\hat{\iota}\rho\mu\iota$  in 538 for  $\gamma\nu\omega\rho\hat{\iota}\sigma\iota\mu\iota$ ,  $\dot{\eta}$  oik in 539 for  $\kappa\sigma\hat{\iota}\kappa$ ; and  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\sigma\sigma\varphi\iota\dot{\zeta}\rho\mu\alpha\nu$  for  $\nu\sigma\sigma\varphi\dot{\iota}\zeta\rho\mu\alpha\iota$  in 691. He also reads, contrary to codd., in 227  $\dot{\nu}\pi\epsilon\dot{\xi}\epsilon\lambda\hat{\epsilon}\nu$   $\alpha\dot{\nu}\tau\hat{o}\nu$  for  $\dot{\nu}\pi\epsilon\dot{\xi}\epsilon\lambda\hat{\omega}\nu$   $\alpha\dot{\nu}\tau\hat{o}s$ , in 360  $\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\omega\nu$  for  $\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\epsilon\iota\nu$ , in 458  $\alpha\dot{\nu}\tau\hat{o}s$  for  $\alpha\dot{\nu}\tau\hat{o}s$ , in 624  $\omega$ s  $\alpha\dot{\nu}\nu$  for  $\alpha\dot{\nu}\tau\hat{o}s$  for  $\alpha\dot{\nu}\tau\hat{o}s$  for  $\alpha\dot{\nu}\tau\hat{o}s$ , in 790  $\alpha\dot{\nu}\rho\hat{\nu}\nu$  for  $\alpha\dot{\nu}\rho\hat{o}\nu\hat{o}\mu\nu$ , in all which places and in 1264 I maintain the readings of codd. against his corrections.

§ 4. On the other hand, I hold that, in 72,  $\hat{\rho}$ νσαίμην aor. opt., which all codd. give, is wrong, and  $\hat{\rho}$ νσοίμην fut. opt. the true reading; while both the scholars of whom I speak uphold  $\hat{\rho}$ νσαίμην. In the decision upon this word is involved the precisely similar place,  $\hat{\alpha}$ ροίμην in Soph. El. 34, which they make aor. opt. midd. of  $\alpha$ ίρω, while I call it fut. opt. Linwood, Dindorf and some other editors read, as I do,  $\hat{\rho}$ νσοίμην. I have discussed this point at some length in Exc. IV, but I think the  $\hat{\epsilon}$ λ $\epsilon$ γχos would be a simpler one, if the supporters of  $\hat{\rho}$ νσαίμην would ask themselves, and candidly answer, this question:—how they would translate severally—

ήρετό τις ὅ τι ἐγώ δράσαιμι, ήρετό τις ὅ τι ἐγώ δράσαιμι ἄν, ήρετό τις ὅ τι ἐγώ δράσοιμι.

The true answer to this question would show that my view is right.

How stands the question as to  $\partial \rho o(\mu \eta \nu)$  ( $\check{a}$ ) in El. 34? Thus:—Sophocles once, in lyrics, Aj. 247, uses the Homeric aor. 2 inf.  $\partial \rho \acute{e} \sigma \theta a \iota$  ( $\check{a}$ ); but in another lyric passage of the same play 193, he uses the Attic aor. 1. subj.  $\partial \rho \eta$  ( $\check{a}$ ): in O. T. 1225 he has the fut. ind.  $\partial \rho \acute{e} \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon$ , and again in O. C. 460  $\partial \rho \acute{e} \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon$  ( $\check{a}$ ). Do these facts give any right to infer that his form  $\partial \rho o(\mu \eta \nu) (\check{a})$  in dialogue, is the Homeric aor. rather than the Attic fut. opt., which makes, at least, equally good sense; for, by admission, the only difference is that the fut. is a shade more confident than the aor.; and when this is made an argument for the aor., the reply is clearly true, that the confidence of the speaker (Oedipus or Orestes) is not placed in his own powers, but in the truthfulness and sure fulfilment of the divine oracle. Therefore no such right is given.

Excursus IV was written and printed many months ago. Its main argument, and its conclusion as to the two parallel passages, I maintain now as I did then. But, were I rewriting it, I should modify some things said, and explain myself more fully on others. Such modification and such explanation I venture to append here.

The construction of the verbs in each place is not that of an aor. opt. after a past verb and *final conjunction*, which would be normally and indisputably right; but of such an opt. after a past verb and *indirect interrogation* pointing to future time ( $\delta \tau \iota$  and  $\tau \iota$  in O. T.,  $\delta \tau \varphi \tau \rho \delta \tau \varphi$  in El.); and my contention is, that in this case the fut. opt. is more correct in grammar than the aor. opt. This I hold to be normally true; but I ought to have limited *the induction* to tragedy, merely setting aside two places which *seem* to militate against it, viz.  $\kappa \iota \chi \iota \iota$  of codd. in

O. T. 1257 (for which I read κίχη) and πράξαιμεν of codd. in Antig. 272 (for which I would read πράξοιμεν). But the practice of Attic prose-writers is not so free from question. I have, in the close of my Excursus, allowed this as to the texts of Plato and Xenophon, to whom I should have added the Orators, and sometimes even Thucydides. Rare passages can be cited, as Madvig observes in his Syntax § 134 (citing Thuc. I. 25 εἰ παραδοίεν). I still think that many of these exceptions may be due to scribes, who knew the aorist better than the future optative, and, with this instinct, wrote -σαιτο and -σαιντο for -σοιτο and -σοιντο. To such manipulation may be due, e.g. χρήσαιτο (Plat. Protag. 320 A, 321 C, Xen. Cyr. v. 5. 1, H. Gr. IV. 4. 39) for the more normal χρήσοιτο. But this I cannot prove; nor can I venture to write ευρήσοι for εύροι Protag. 321 D, or δώσοι for δοίη, 322 C, though I may observe (for what it is worth), that the excepted cases ( $\pi a \rho a \delta o \hat{i} \epsilon \nu$ ,  $\epsilon \tilde{\nu} \rho o \iota$ , δοίη) belong to the second form of aor.: and that, in childhood. I was taught to believe in a second fut., which later grammars expel as a figment. May we suppose such a future ascribed in olden time to verbs having no first agrist? To sum up. In the face of so many exceptional instances, I cannot venture to affirm that the aor. opt. is never used without  $\partial v$  after indirect questions in the current texts of Attic prose-writers, and with this admission I leave the debate. commending it to the watchful care of future students in their travels through the best Greek literature; and repeating that I consider the future forms established on just grounds in O. T. 72, El. 34, and Antig. 272, also as to κίχη or κίχοι (a very small matter) in O. T. 12571. In short, my three-page

<sup>1</sup> In casual reading I light upon the following passage in Xen. Cyr. III. I, 14; πάνυ ἐπεθύμει αὐτοῦ ἀκοῦσαι, ὅ τι ποτὲ ἐροίη καὶ προθύμως ἐκέλευε λέγειν ὅ τι γιγνώσκοι. Here are two constructions, the former exactly the same as that for which I argue in Oed. and El., fut. opt. ἐροίη after past verb and indirect question: the latter, imperf. opt. after the same antecedence: Cyrus was very eager to hear what Tigranes would say, and briskly bade him state what his opinion was. This is normal Greek, and

excursus is not an exhaustive treatment of a subject (the uses of aor. r opt.), which I do not find exhausted in any Greek Grammar, which probably cannot be exhausted, until some thorough Greek scholar shall take the trouble of following it specially through all classical Greek writings, perhaps even through later authors, such as Polybius, Plutarch, Arrian, and, above all, that clever imitator, Lucian.

§ 5. In Excursus XII. I have indicated my own judgment upon most of the controverted readings in the O. T. I first read the drama when I was a child at school, and I have therefore had some acquaintance with it for about 70 years. But the solution of its many difficulties, so far as it has been my good fortune to solve them, has been the work of subsequent years, growing ever as I studied and pondered it again and again in the process of teaching.

Referring to the pages cited above, and to the interpretations specified in Exc. xII., I wish to say that my views as to vv. II—I3, 72, I257; as to 43—5, I078, I085, I296, I380, I383, were held by me, I can safely say, 35 years ago. My views as to 328—9, 696, I464, I526, were not formed till within the last 20 years. Those which affect I55—6, I79, I82, I94, I98—9, 464, 517, 624, I271, I310, I494—5, I528—9, have been formed within the last two or three years, chiefly while I have been engaged with this edition. Of these last the most certain and most valuable is the discovery of the true reading and interpretation at I494—5. The latest are my suggestions at I79, I82, and I310. My assurance of the corruption of  $\epsilon l \pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon r \rho a$  in 463—4 is entirely due to Prof. Jebb's note, which is this: ' $\epsilon l \pi \epsilon$  factum est in L post deletum verbum quod non dubito quin  $\epsilon l \delta \epsilon$  fuisset, praesertim cum in Flor. Abb. 152 ( $\Gamma$ )

may be compared with O. T. 791—3, where we find imperf.  $\chi \rho \epsilon i \eta$  along with future optatives. I also find Xen. H. Gr. VI. 4. 21, ξπεμπον σπουδή οι Θηβαΐοι, κελεύοντες βοηθεΐν, διαλογιζόμενοι πή τὸ μέλλον ἀποβήσοιτο. Here the indirect question put is one of declared doubt, but the fut. opt. is used none the less on that account, not the aor. ἀποβαίη.

 $\epsilon i \delta \epsilon$  a pr. m. scriptum recentior in  $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon$  correverit. Noverat scholiasta είδε illud, quod tamen huic loco ita est alienum, ut vix aliunde quam ex incuria librorum gigni potuerit.' Thus he cites three of the most ancient testimonies bearing witness to the reading  $\epsilon i \delta \epsilon$  in place of  $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon$ . But he adds (without giving a reason for the judgment) that the combined testimony of these three old and independent witnesses can only have arisen from the carelessness of scribes because  $\epsilon i \delta \epsilon$  'is so alien from this place'. Strange is the difference in the constitution of two minds, both familiar with Greek writings. By me this notice of the older reading  $\epsilon i \delta \epsilon$  was welcomed as a flash of light, showing the corruption of  $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon$  and therefore of  $\pi \epsilon \tau \rho \alpha$  also, showing that Sophocles had not written the bad Greek  $\epsilon i\pi\epsilon$ τελέσαντα, showing that he could not be charged with the ugly hiatus,  $\pi \acute{\epsilon} \tau \rho a$  |  $\mathring{a}\rho\rho\eta\tau a$ , showing that  $\mathring{a}$   $\theta \acute{\epsilon} \sigma \pi \iota \acute{\epsilon} \pi \acute{\epsilon} \iota a$   $\Delta \acute{\epsilon} \lambda \dot{\phi} i s$  means 'the inspired Delphian priestess', and not 'a rock inspired to speak by a god': though unhappily it does not show what word was displaced by the intruding  $\pi \epsilon \tau \rho \alpha$  of the not 'careless scribe', but 'meddling and mischievous corrector'. See my Commentary. The simplest suggestion is  $\pi \rho \acute{o} \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$  or  $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu$ .

§ 6. I think that commentators have often erred by flying for refuge from an obvious difficulty to an easier reading or an erroneous construction, instead of striving to gain a profounder and truer insight into the mind and manner of Sophocles. The most signal instance of course is found in the lines 328—9. I had passed my 60th year before I discerned the right explanation of this difficult passage: but, having at last discovered it by careful thought and study, I have never flinched from it since, and never should flinch as long as life and intellect were extended to me. My view is fully exhibited and defended in Excursus vi, and I dq not shrink from saying that any one who feels unable to reach and rest in this explanation, as suggested by Wilbrandt and completed in that Excursus, should hesitate to regard himself as a competent editor of Sophocles, until he is prepared to confute this, and to propose a sounder

interpretation. I will mention a few other passages in regard to which a similar error seems to have been made. I allude to the substitution of  $\lambda \acute{\epsilon} \gamma \omega \nu$  or  $\lambda \acute{\epsilon} \gamma \omega \nu$  for  $\lambda \acute{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu$  in 360, of  $\pi \rho o \mathring{\nu} \phi \eta \nu \epsilon \nu$  for  $\pi \rho o \mathring{\nu} \phi \acute{\epsilon} \nu \nu$  in 790, and of  $\mathring{\eta} \nu \phi \acute{\epsilon} \beta o \nu \nu$   $\lambda \acute{\epsilon} \gamma \rho \nu$  in 917. On 790, I have expressed my feeling in Excursus VIII, and on the other two places in Lection and Commentary. The more difficult reading, which has some claim to preference on the ground of being more difficult (provided it be not inadmissible), has in each of these cases been supplanted by the easier, and, as I believe, in each case to the disadvantage of interpretation  $^2$ .

§ 7. In Exc. II. I have cited a crowd of passages proving that, when an absolute ως clause is dependent on a second person imperative, the clause precedes the verb, always in tragedy, usually everywhere: thus proving that ως θέλοντος αν ἐμοῦ κ.τ.λ. cannot be referred to φράζε. I may add the following from Xen. Cyr. i. 6, 11, ως οῦν ἐμοῦ μηδέποτε ἀμελήσοντος τοῦ τὰ ἐπιτήδεια τοῦς στρατιώταις συμμηχανᾶσθαι, μήτ' ἐν φιλία μήτ' ἐν πολεμία, οῦτως ἔχε τὴν γνώμην.

Verse 838, πεφασμένου δὲ τίς ποθ' ἡ προθυμία; is rendered by Prof. Jebb, and when he has appeared, what would'st thou have of him? the second clause with manifest inadequacy. I give it in my Comm., what hopeful thought have you? which is nearer the mark. But Xenophon shows the exact meaning, Cyr. i. 6, 13, τὸ πῶν διαφέρει ἐν παντὶ ἔργφ προθυμία ἀθυμίας. Thus προθυμία is interpreted by its opposite ἀθυμία, dejection,

<sup>2</sup> If I am asked why, giving this advantage to the more recondite construction, I have not received the reading  $\chi \epsilon \rho \nu \iota \beta os$   $\nu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \iota \nu$  in 240, I reply that, in spite of its slender authority, I was much inclined to do so. But, on looking into the matter, I found two facts: the one, that  $\chi \epsilon \rho \nu \iota \nu$  is much oftener plural than singular; the other, that, although  $\nu \epsilon \mu \omega$  is a verb of large use in tragedy and in Plato, no instance of its taking a genappears in the indices or in Madvig's or Kühner's syntaxes. Therefore I refrained: but, when other editors choose to establish a novel precedent in this place, I offer no protest against it. The rendering is the same with gen. or accus., to give him lustral water.

which is the mood of Oedipus at this point. Jocasta asks, what is there to inspirit you, when the shepherd arrives?

§ 8. A long but ever occupied life has given me no chance of collating manuscripts. But, as respects Sophoclean criticism, I do not think my loss on this ground a very serious one. If we may trust Prof. Campbell's testimony in the note at the close of his text (edition 1873), there must exist in Europe not less than 70 codices containing the Oedipus Tyrannus. Of these Prof. Jebb says he has himself collated 13, and that he knows with more or less intimacy the collations of 10 more, making 23 in all. There remain then, unknown to him, a further number approaching 50. Their value, I presume, is small, because they chiefly belong to the 15th, some even to the 16th century:—and the Aldine edition is possibly more useful to scholars than all these put together.

The value of Prof. Jebb's collations, as a whole, it is not for me to pretend to estimate, and I have no desire to do so. That I have some reason to be grateful for them, I have already indicated in speaking of v. 463—4; and further reason will appear in the course of my criticism. But I have to speak now of the testimony they afford to the character of the documents with which they deal, that is, to the trustworthiness of the codices which supply all our Sophoclean literature, and of the various correctors who have from time to time done their best to improve or explain them.

The oldest, and for that reason the most famous and most often cited of Sophoclean manuscripts, is the Medicean codex (L), in the Laurentian library at Florence. It is of the 11th century, while no other codex is of earlier date than the 13th. Yet in this highly favoured document and in all the 23 manuscripts more or less known to Prof. Jebb he finds so much corruption, so many blunders, that he is obliged, in editing, to depart from all codices (not reckoning the last three stasima, which I have passed over) 60 times or more; and from cod. L itself, as compared with others, about as often: that is to say, he

finds many more than 120 errors in the oldest and most trusted authority for the text of Sophocles.

Of the absurdities perpetrated by would-be correctors let one specimen suffice as reported by Prof. Jebb:

# " 134 τήνδ' ἔθεσθ' ἐπιστροφήν.

Variam lect. τήνδε θεσπίζει γραφήν notat Schol. in marg. L, quae cum plane supervacua et eadem insulsa sit, docet quanta mutandi licentia grammatici interdum uterentur."

§ 9. Left to such hands, who shall wonder that codd. exexhibit so many corruptions and such confusion as we find noted, for instance, at vv. 322, 376, 445, 478, 509, 517, 525, 570, 598, 640, 656, 689—696, 741, 763, 815—825, 876—879, 889—894, 943—4, 1031, 1061, 1086—1109, 1205—1222, 1264, 1279—1281, 1349—51, 1516 and elsewhere?

The codices collated by Prof. Jebb besides L are (1) the four at Paris (A. B. E. T.), of which A has the best repute, but B and T often show a good reading; (2) four at Venice (V.  $V^2$ .  $V^3$ .  $V^4$ .) which are now and then useful; (3) three in the Bodleian Library, not often cited; (4) one in the Library of Trinity Coll. Cambridge, of slender use. It may be regretted that he did not fully collate two other Florentine codices, one marked by Dindorf as  $\Gamma$ , another called  $L^2$ . Both these were probably copies of L, but surely they were copied by able hands: for both (more especially  $\Gamma$ ) often exhibit readings by which the errors of L are corrected. This, it would seem, cannot be said of another Florentine copy, marked  $\Delta$ .

In illustration of the merit of  $\Gamma$  special attention may be drawn to its readings at 200, 317, 525, 528, 598, 604, 779, 852, 917, 1030, 1138, 1445.

L<sup>2</sup> supports  $\Gamma$  in several of these places, while it is notable as the only codex which has  $\chi \epsilon \rho \nu \iota \beta o_5$  in 240: and  $\Gamma$  as the only one which reads  $\tau \delta \nu \delta \epsilon$  for  $\tau \delta \nu \gamma \epsilon$  in 852, a reading which Prof. Jebb stigmatises as 'nihili', though it is adopted by

Hermann, and to my mind looks very like a true one<sup>3</sup>.

At 202,  $\Gamma$  has  $\tau \hat{a} \nu \hat{\omega} \pi \nu \rho \phi \delta \rho \omega \nu$ , thus, though with incorrectness (τον being omitted), forecasting Hermann's addition of  $\tau \hat{a} \nu$ ; it has  $\lambda \hat{v} \eta$  at 317:  $\tau o \tilde{v} \pi o s$  (with  $L^2$ ) at 525:  $\epsilon \hat{\xi} \delta \mu \mu \hat{a} \tau \omega \nu \delta$  $\partial \rho \theta \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon$  at 528:  $\alpha \hat{\nu} \tau \hat{\sigma} \hat{\iota} \tau \hat{\alpha} \nu$  (with L2) at 598:  $\pi \nu \theta \hat{\iota} \hat{\nu}$  (which I now prefer) at 604:  $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \theta \eta$  (neglecting  $\iota$  subscr.) at 779:  $\epsilon \dot{\iota} \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma o \iota$ at 917:  $\sigma \circ \hat{\nu} \delta' \dots \sigma \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \rho \gamma \epsilon$  at 1030:  $\gamma' \partial \nu$  (with L<sup>2</sup>), perhaps rightly, at 1445. All these readings, with 852, above considered, are in my judgment, important. One remains of those cited by Prof. Jebb, χειμώνος at 1138. This I cannot adopt, for want of a connecting particle; but as it has in marg. γρ. χειμῶνι, this tends to prove that the scribe of  $\Gamma$  preferred the latter reading, which has such wide support from codd. and edd., to χειμώνα, which rests on L only: and my preference of χειμώνα is shaken by this circumstance.  $\Gamma$  also gives  $\sigma \eta \mu \dot{\eta} \nu \alpha s$  at 957; but as the only other examples of γίγνομαι with participle are prohibitive  $(\mu \eta)$ , I cannot act on its authority.

I have before stated my reason for supporting the more refined reading  $\epsilon i$  λέγοι, which  $\Gamma$  gives at 917. Prof. Jebb's note is: "Ην φόβους λεγ<sup>H</sup>, i.e. λέγη. Post λεγ facta est rasura. Potuit quidem prima manus λέγοι scribere vel λέγει: nihil

In his note on  $\tau \delta \nu \gamma \epsilon$   $\Lambda \alpha t \delta \nu$   $\phi \delta \nu \delta \nu$  Prof. Jebb condemns both variations,  $\sigma \delta \nu \gamma \epsilon$  and  $\tau \delta \nu \delta \epsilon$ . His statement of Jocasta's argument I need not cite, having little or no fault to find with it. He goes on: "This fine and subtle passage is (to my apprehension) utterly defaced by the conjecture  $\sigma \delta \nu \gamma \epsilon \Lambda \alpha t \delta \nu \phi \delta \nu \delta \nu$  (Bothe), it cannot be shown that your slaying of Laius fulfils the oracle. Herm, reads" (with  $\Gamma$ ) " $\tau \delta \nu \delta \epsilon$ , 'this slaying' (of which you think yourself guilty): but the  $\gamma \epsilon$  is needed." I agree with Prof. Jebb in preferring  $\tau \delta \nu \gamma \epsilon$  as more refined than  $\sigma \delta \nu \gamma \epsilon$ , but he does not convince me that  $\tau \delta \nu \delta \epsilon$  (such a death as you describe) is inadmissible, much less that it is 'nihili'. Why he says 'the  $\gamma \epsilon$  is needed' I should have been glad to hear, my own feeling being quite opposite. In fact  $\tau \delta \nu \gamma \epsilon$  succeeded by  $\delta \nu \gamma \epsilon$  (the latter manifestly needed, the former, to my apprehension, not at all) was always an offence to me. I now therefore incline to the diorthotic correction in  $\Gamma$ , endorsed by Hermann.

tamen superest quod aut hanc l. aut illam firmet." Of course I do not doubt the writer's 'bona fides': but two things must here be asked: (1) By what right has he written 'i.e.  $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \eta$ ', when he ought to have written 'i.e. supra rasuram duarum litterarum corrector addidit H'? (2) When he begins by writing Hv, he cannot mean that this is what stands in Cod. L.; a 'lapsus plumae' must be supposed. According to four printed accounts now before me, what stands in L. is  $\epsilon i$  (over which  $\eta \nu$ a. m. ant.)  $\lambda \acute{\epsilon} \gamma o \iota$  (over the latter vowels  $\eta$ ). I assume that these editors have printed small letters for capitals. But again I ask, why Prof. Jebb has omitted  $\epsilon i$  (or Ei), and why (if he cannot read the second word) does he doubt what nobody else seems to have doubted, that εἰ λέγοι was written by the 'prima manus' of L? With the evidence of this grand copy  $\Gamma$  in view, I feel not the slightest doubt, and I suppose that Linwood, Dindorf, Schneidewin, as well as the four already cited (Nauck, Wolff, Ritter, Van Herwerden), who all read εὶ λέγοι, were of the same opinion. Prof. Campbell, by a strange compromise, reads  $\epsilon i \lambda \epsilon \gamma \eta$ , but surely (as he takes  $\epsilon i$  from the first hand of L) he cannot deny that the same hand wrote λέγοι, copied afterwards by  $\Gamma$ .

I may observe, in passing, that the construction of the opt. is that of indef. generality, as at 979, εἰκῆ κράτιστον ζῆν, ὅπως δύναιτό τις.

§ 10. It seems to me that a scholar, engaged in a controversy of this kind, ought to lay down for himself these two rules: (1) not to use any argument which does not logically conduce to prove a proposition of his own, or to disprove one urged on the other side; (2) not to adduce any passage from authors which will, on examination, fail to support the principle he desires to establish. In my several Excursus, numbered 11, 111, 11, 11, 11, 11, 12, and elsewhere, I have shown that arguments are used against me which do not conform to the first of these laws. I shall now notice two places in which the second is forgotten.

# (1) In 198—9, writing

τελείν γάρ, εἴ τι νὺξ ἀφῆ, τοῦτ' ἐπ' ἢμαρ ἔρχεται,

Prof. Jebb wishes to establish the construction  $\tilde{\eta}\mu\alpha\rho$   $\epsilon\hat{\pi}\epsilon\rho\chi\epsilon\tau\alpha\iota$   $\tau\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\hat{\iota}\nu$   $\tau\hat{\iota}\nu$   $\tau\hat{\iota}\nu$ , and accordingly writes thus:

Here we find no example from tragedy, none from poetry, none of a verb of going, but only of sending. He does not cite  $\beta \hat{\eta} \delta'$  léval of Homer, knowing perhaps that it would not avail: for where purpose is implied, Homer uses the fut part after  $\beta a i \nu \omega$  and  $\epsilon \rho \chi o \mu a \iota$ . He produces no instance of infin. after  $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \chi o \mu a \iota$ , not to speak of  $\epsilon \rho \chi o \mu a \iota$ , which is found with fut part. of purpose so constantly that I will not waste space by citing the Greek. See O. C. 366, Ant. 286, Ph. 328; Eur. Rhes. 264, 271, Andr. 1059, Suppl. 648; Ae. Pr. 945, Cho. 598, Eum. 546. As for verbs of sending, their action comprises the idea of command, and the infin. is thus justified, like  $\epsilon \chi \nu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \nu$  at 475. I am surprised that he did not cite the single instance favourable to his view, and the more so as it comprises the same infin., Oed. Col. 12,

μανθάνειν γὰρ ἤκομεν ξένοι πρὸς ἀστῶν, ἃν δ' ἀκούσωμεν τελεῖν.

I should also be surprised (as he reads, with Musgrave,  $\epsilon \tilde{v} \xi \epsilon \tau a \iota$  for  $\tilde{\epsilon} \rho \xi \epsilon \tau a \iota$  at 895) that he has left it for me to suggest what I believe to be right here,  $\epsilon \tilde{v} \chi \epsilon \tau a \iota$  for  $\tilde{\epsilon} \rho \chi \epsilon \tau a \iota$ , which would suit  $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$ , as it suits my reading  $\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ —were it not that he has failed to discern the true sense of  $\epsilon \pi$   $\tilde{\eta} \mu a \rho$ , in the course of the day, which I have proved from a Sophoclean fragment: and also that his explanation (anticipated by Dindorf in his Lex.) does not remove the crushing objection to any rendering here,

(2) The other passage I have in mind comprises the lines 515—17, which stand thus in most editions:

εἰ γὰρ ἐν ταῖς ξυμφοραῖς ταῖς νῦν νομίζει πρός γ' ἐμοῦ πεπονθέναι λόγοισιν εἶτ' ἔργοισιν εἰς βλάβην φέρον κ.τ.λ.

where, rejecting Hartung's emendation  $\pi\rho\delta$ s  $\tau$ i  $\mu ov$ , which Dindorf adopts, he proceeds to defend  $\epsilon is \beta \lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta \eta v \phi \dot{\epsilon} \rho ov$  as the object of  $\pi \epsilon \pi ov \theta \dot{\epsilon} v a \iota$  without  $\tau \iota$ , a construction which I do not believe to be good Greek. He quotes in its support

Aes. Ag. 261 σὺ δ' εἴτε (v. l. εἴ τι) κεδνὸν εἴτε μὴ πεπυσμένη. Plat. Soph. 237 χαλεπὸν ἤρου. Meno 97 Ε, τῶν ἐκείνου ποιημάτων λελυμένον μὲν ἐκτῆσθαι, οὐ πολλῆς τινος ἄξιόν ἐστι τιμῆς.

the scribes and correctors, that I have less scruple than I should otherwise feel in adopting a manifest improvement not handed down by them. I now keep φέρον, reading φοροῦντι 519.

§ 11. At p. Lx of his prefatory matter, under the head of Text, § 7, Prof. Jebb gives a table of conjectural readings adopted by him, in which I appear as the suggester of σύμμαχον (really due to G. Wolff, whose edition is in the Professor's hands, being referred to at 478: cp. also at 155-6, 1280) and of  $\tau a \delta$  for  $\tau a \delta$  in 666, which (whether mine or another's, de minimis non curans, I cannot remember) is no very notable feat of conjectural criticism. In § 8 he adds some which he regards as due to himself, and which are placed in his text, followed by others which he has suggested in places which he believes to be corrupt, though the 'principles of editing which he has sought to observe would not permit' him to place these conjectures in the text. Unhappily what he has written in this portion of his prefatory matter, especially when compared with what he has actually done in the way of selection and emendation, does not afford any sufficient clue to the 'principles' which he has sought to observe. some cases it would seem that one of those principles is 'stat pro ratione voluntas.' Take for instance 696, a place of admitted corruption. The testimony respecting it is, that Cod. L (pr. man.) writes τανῦν τ' εὔπομπος εἰ δύναι γενοῦ, a later hand adding o to δύναι, whence it gets τανῦν τ' εὖπομπος εἰ δύναιο γενοῦ. The metre required is manifestly (see Strophe)  $\cup$  | -- |  $-\cup$   $-\cup$  -  $\subseteq$ . The correction, by Mr. Blaydes, which Prof. Jebb prints, is  $\tau \alpha \nu \hat{\nu} \nu \tau' \epsilon \tilde{\nu} \pi o \mu \pi o s \tilde{\alpha} \nu \gamma \epsilon \nu o i o$ , which he translates who now also art like to prove our prospering guide, 'art like to prove' being what an yévoto does not mean in Greek, but 'thou wouldest become' under some condition which a context ought to show: but here is no context to show anything. I therefore hold the clause, so read, to be void of sense. My conjecture is  $\tau \alpha \nu \hat{\nu} \nu \tau' \epsilon \tilde{\nu} \pi \rho \mu \pi \sigma s \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \tau \sigma' \gamma' \epsilon \nu \sigma \sigma \iota'$ , and now art ably wafting us to the best of thy power, the very meaning required to complete the passage. As both these readings eliminate  $\delta \acute{\nu} \nu a\iota$  or  $\delta \acute{\nu} \nu a\iota o$ , our first premiss is the same, that this word is a corrupt gloss. Strike it out then; and  $(\tau a\nu \hat{\nu}\nu \tau)$   $\epsilon \acute{\nu}\pi o\mu\pi os$  being in both readings) the words left are  $\epsilon \ifmmultile{i}{\ell}$   $\epsilon \acute{\nu} \nu e\nu o\hat{\nu}$ : and (without going into minutiae as to the manner of the supposed corruption) I think it cannot be justly denied that  $\epsilon \ifmultile{i}{\ell}$   $\epsilon \acute{\nu} \nu e\nu o\nu e$  is quite as near to the Greek literation as  $\emph{a}\nu \nu e\nu \nu e\nu e$  is quite as near to the Greek literation as  $\emph{a}\nu \nu e\nu \nu e\nu e$  and put in  $\emph{v}\nu e\nu \nu e\nu e$  than  $\emph{v}e\nu \nu e\nu e$  is. On what  $\emph{v}e\nu e \nu e\nu e$  then, Prof. Jebb proceeds in printing the unmeaning conjecture of Mr. Blaydes instead of mine, I am at a loss to discern, and he, I think, would find himself at a loss to explain to any competent and impartial critic.

§ 12. Reverting to his list of accepted emendations, I find them amounting in number to 68. On this list I shall have to make a few remarks. It contains 31, which most scholars have accepted, and will now accept, with little hesitation. These are at 200, 248, 351, 376, 537 (though Ca.  $\epsilon \mu o i$ ), 657 (though Ca. omits  $\sigma$ ), 666 (though Ca.  $\kappa \alpha i \tau \alpha \delta$ ), 672, 763 (though Ca. ωs γ'), 825, 893 (though Ca. † ἔρξεται), 987, 993, 1002, 1025 (though Ca. τεκών), 1062 (though Ca. οὐδ' ἀν εἶ), 1099, 1100 (though Ca. προσπελασθεῖσ'), 1109, 1137, 1193 (though Ca. το), 1196 (though Ca. οὐδένα), 1205 (not so Ca.), 1244, 1315, 1341 (though Ca. τον ὅλεθρον μέγαν), 1350 (though Ca. νομάδος), 1360, 1365, 1505, 1521. To these I willingly add 478 (though Ca. πετραίος ο ταύρος), 693 (though Ca. νοσφίζομαι). But I observe many omissions: at 18 ίερης; 205 ἀδάματ'; 466 ἀελλάδων; 575 ταὖθ'; 967 κτενεῖν; 1061 ἐγώ; 1170 ἀκούων; 1217 σὲ; and several more.

As to others in the list:—198—9. See above 360.  $\parallel$  696. See also above, Lect. and Comm.  $\parallel$  741. See Lect. and Comm. (I now rather prefer  $\xi \chi \omega \nu \xi \beta \eta$ ).  $\parallel$  790. See Exc. VIII.  $\parallel$  815. Prof. Jebb overlooks the fact that  $\tau is \tau o \hat{\nu} \delta \epsilon \nu \hat{\nu} \nu \xi \sigma \tau$   $a \nu \delta \rho \delta \delta$  (which 'he supposed to be his own') stands in the text of my first edition: but see Lect., where  $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu$  is now treated as a

gloss. | 817. See Lect. | 876-77. See Lect. and Comm. Prof. Jebb, in my opinion, mistakes the meaning of ωρουσεν είς ἀνάγκαν, which he renders leaps on the abyss of doom, a sense inconsistent with the words following, and not expressed by the Greek cited. | 891. See Lect. and Comm. | 893. I concur in adopting Hermann's  $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$  for  $\theta \nu \mu \hat{\omega}$ , but see Lect. and Comm. || 906. See Lect. | 943. I reach the same conclusion that J. does as to the reading of this corrupt and worried place, only remarking that he ascribes to Erfurdt a correction first made by Bothe. | 1216. It was almost indifferent to me whether I gained the syllable required by reading as Wu. Λαϊήιον, or as Erf. Λαΐειον 3. I happen to have taken the former. || 1218. Lect. and Comm. | 1245. I cannot see by what right Prof. Jebb calls the reading κάλει 'mendum', while he reads γοᾶτο in 1249, and leto (imperf.) stands in 1242. Of course the pres. hist. καλει can stand here, but why it must do so in the speech of an αγγελος, referring to 1249, I do not find. || 1264. See Lect. | 1279. See Lect. and Comm. | 1310. διαπέταται being a corrupt gloss, Musgr. proposed διαπωτάται (which Prof. Jebb edits) a compound, not elsewhere found, of an epic form,  $\pi\omega$ τάομαι: see 482 περιποτάται. I had adopted Heath's πέταται, a Pindaric form: but I now suggest  $\delta \iota \alpha \theta \epsilon \hat{\iota}$ , run abroad, used by Thuc. Xen. and Plato. | 1348, see Lect. | 1401: see Lect. | 1495. See Lect. | 1526. See Exc. 1x. and Comm.

Passing on to § 8—227. See Comm. || 493. See Comm. Schneider suggests  $\beta \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$ , which Prof. Jebb improves by the more classical  $\beta \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu \ell \zeta \omega \nu$ , and for  $\beta \alpha \sigma \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$ , which word occurs soon after, I propose  $\pi \iota \theta \alpha \nu \omega \omega$ , avoiding the hiatus. || 624. Kvicala's proposed  $\dot{\omega} s \dot{\alpha} \nu$ , which Prof. Jebb adopts, becomes needless, and all dilaceration of the lines 622—626 is obviated by the acceptance of the simple and easy reading  $\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\phi} \rho \sigma \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$  in 624 for  $\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\phi} \theta \sigma \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$ . || 640. See Lect. and Comm. || 877. See Lect.: metre suggests an iambic base, not a trochaic, here. || 1091. See Lection. M. Schmidt's suggestion,  $Oi\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \nu \nu$  for  $Oi\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \nu \nu$ , is accepted by Prof. Jebb, and I willingly

receive it. || 1280. See Lection.  $\kappa \alpha \tau \hat{\alpha}$  is read for  $\kappa \alpha \kappa \hat{\alpha}$  here by Otto and G. Wolff, and adopted by Prof. Jebb. I cannot, however, like it, and have preferred  $\pi \hat{\alpha} \rho \alpha$ . || 1405. See Lection.

As to the conjecture of Mr P. N. Papageorgius, supplied by Prof. Jebb (as the last accession to the host already contributed by various scholars with a view to eliminate the genuine words of Sophocles,  $\dot{\omega}_s$   $\ddot{a}v$ , in 328),  $\dot{\epsilon}_s$   $\sigma$   $\dot{a}v\epsilon i\pi\omega$ , Schäfer edits it; but I have to observe that, while  $\dot{\epsilon}_s$   $\pi \dot{a}v\tau a_s$   $a \ddot{v} \delta a$  at 93 is quite right, I do not allow that  $o \dot{v} \mu \dot{\eta} \pi \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{a}v\epsilon i\pi\omega$   $\dot{\epsilon}_s$   $\sigma \epsilon$ , I will ne'er proclaim loudly to thee  $\tau \dot{a}\mu \dot{a}$ , my things, would be right, either in point of Greek idiom or in suitableness to the place.

And now, casting my eye over these selected emendations, I do not find that they afford any light enabling me to discern Prof. Jebb's 'principles of editing'—principles by virtue of which he is himself enabled to discern three classes of conjecture:

- (1) Those which deserve to be received into the text itself; such, for instance, as  $\mathring{v}\pi\epsilon \xi\epsilon\lambda \epsilon \hat{v}\nu$  and  $\mathring{v}$  in 227, as  $\mathring{v}$  in 624,  $\mathring{v}$   $\gamma \epsilon \nu$ 000 in 696,  $\tau \nu$ 000 in 741,  $\mathring{v}$ 000 in 877,  $\gamma \acute{v}$ 000 in 1495, and  $\mathring{v}$ 00,  $\tau \mathring{v}$ 03,  $\mathring{\epsilon}\pi \acute{\epsilon}\beta\lambda \epsilon \pi \epsilon \nu$  in 1526:
- (2) Those which deserve only to be commemorated in a footnote; such as στέρξαντες ώς in 11, εἶ τό γ' ἐν σοί in 696, τὰν ἐπιοῦσαν ἔση in 1090, ἢ σέ γ' ἔφυσε πατὴρ Λοξίας in 1101, ἐγκυρῶν in 1031, δυσούριστ' ἰόν in 1315, μονάδ' in 1350:
- (3) Those which by the same judgment are deemed unworthy to have any record at all; for instance (a) a reading already noticed,  $\epsilon \tilde{v}\chi \epsilon \tau a\iota$  for  $\tilde{\epsilon}\rho\chi \epsilon \tau a\iota$  in 199, a reading so easy, that  $\epsilon \tilde{v}\xi \epsilon \tau a\iota$  is by all received for  $\tilde{\epsilon}\rho\xi \epsilon \tau a\iota$  in 890; and the only reading by which the parenthesis in which it stands is rescued from being grammatically soloecistic and logically absurd; (b) the reading  $\pi a\rho \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \mu$   $\epsilon \mu \pi o\delta \omega \nu$  in 445, instead of which Prof. Jebb reads  $\pi a\rho \omega \nu \sigma \nu \gamma \epsilon \mu \pi o\delta \omega \nu$ , not only omitting to mention my various reading, but likewise neglecting to record the facts of mss. lection which tend to prove that  $\tau \alpha \gamma$  was an

older reading than  $\sigma \dot{v}$   $\dot{\gamma}$ , and one that opens a just field for conjectural emendation. He merely subjoins  $\tau \dot{\alpha}$   $\dot{\gamma}$  B.

§ 13. When a word of questionable sense is under discussion, all meanings ascertained and all authorities citable should be considered, and not those alone which are favoured in the place by the commentator. But, treating of δαιμόνων έδη in 886, Prof. Jebb writes as follows: "έδη, images of gods, whether sitting or standing, but always with the added notion that they are placed in a temple or holy place as objects of Surely this statement is neither adequate nor accurate. It is inadequate, because the reader should have been told that this use of the word έδη, images, was unknown to Homer, unknown to Pindar, not clearly shown by Aeschylus and Euripides, the latter of whom writes δολόεντα Τροίας έδη in Iph. A. 1526: and because he does not even cite Plato Phaed. 111, the very passage which Timaeus had in view; where we read θεών έδη τε καὶ ίερὰ αὐτοῖς εἶναι, ἐν οῖς τῷ ὄντι οἰκητὰς θεοὺς  $\epsilon i \nu a \iota$ , by  $\epsilon \delta \eta$  obviously meaning shrines. It is inaccurate, because we do not find 'the added notion' always present when  $\tilde{\epsilon}\delta\eta$  is used. Thus, allowing images to be meant in S. El. 1074, they stand in the vestibule  $(\pi\rho\delta\pi\nu\lambda\alpha)$ , not itself a holy place, except as far as the presence of such shrines makes it so. I do not object to the rendering images there or here; but neither should I consider shrines a wrong translation in either place, the two being so correlated that one suggests the other; as, when we speak of a lantern or a lamp, we almost always understand a light. And so, when Dionysius Hal. calls the 'penates'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Briefly, the facts are: Cod. L has  $\sigma\dot{\nu}$   $\gamma'$  written over an erasure, and also written by another hand in the margin, which proves that some reading was earlier in L than  $\sigma\dot{\nu}$   $\gamma'$ . And that this was  $\tau\dot{\alpha}$   $\gamma'$  appears certain from the fact that Γ, the most valuable copy of L, reads  $\tau\dot{\alpha}$   $\gamma'$  with  $\sigma\dot{\nu}$  above  $\tau\dot{\alpha}$ . Par. B has  $\tau\dot{\alpha}$   $\gamma'$  only, and Par. E has  $\tau\dot{\alpha}$  above  $\sigma\dot{\nu}$  in  $\sigma\dot{\nu}$   $\gamma'$ . These facts ought in common justice to have been stated by Prof. Jebb.

of Aeneas  ${}^{\epsilon}\delta\eta$ , he thinks of the small *shrines* or stands which they occupied in the Trojan house of that hero, and in which he took them to Italy.

Prof. Jebb's Notes on the Sphinx at p. 300, and on the star Arcturus at 305, are very useful and learned contributions to the mythic and astronomical lore of Hellas and of other ancient people.

§ 14. In my present edition the reading of all or most codices is mentioned at the foot of the page where the text as edited departs from it; and in some cases, where the reading of codd. is kept in the text but obelized as probably corrupt, a direction is given to show where a remedy will be found.

After the text comes Lection, an account of the various readings with careful reference to the editors or commentators by whom they are suggested or supported. Then follows the Commentary, of which I have spoken in § 1; and afterwards, as an Appendix, stand the Excursus and an Index of words.

Exc. I treats of the Attic Drama. Exc. XI of Sophocles and the Oedipodean myth. XII is a syllabus of readings. XIII contains notes on the prosody of the play, with a conspectus of the choral metres. XIV notes on the syntax. Excursus II, III, VI, are controversial arguments in favour of the interpretations given in this edition to the passages 11—12. 42—45, 328—29, severally. IV defends the reading ρυσοίμην against ρυσαίμην in 72. v discusses punctuation and interpretation in the first strophe of the Parodos. VII shows the easy elucidation of the much disputed lines 622-25, obtained by the admission of the reading  $\tau \vec{a} \phi \rho o \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$  for  $\tau \vec{o} \phi \theta o \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$  in 624. VIII defends the mss. reading προύφάνη in 790 against Wunder's or Hermann's proposal of προυφηνέν. IX defends the reading ωs τις for οστις in 1526, with the interpretation conveyed by it, against other competing emendations. Exc. x adds some notes of Mr Steel, accompanied with observations of mine.

§ 15. My former edition of the Oed. T. was of slight

texture, containing few notes. Its chief purpose was to place before the eyes of Greek Scholars those new interpretations of many passages which had commended themselves to my mind during more than fifty years of work as a teacher. Jebb in his edition has opposed every one of these interpretations, and striven to set them aside. After carefully reading and considering all that he has written concerning them, I am as strongly convinced of their truth as I was in 1882, having found no force in anything written by him against them, but many fresh reasons and authorities in favour of my views. Considering my advanced age, I thought it right to lose no time in defending what seems to me the truth in Greek scholarship and Sophoclean criticism, and accordingly I sent to press a small volume with the title Studia Sophoclea Part II. In this all the disputed passages, with some others, are reviewed; my published explanations are justified against his published objections; and some new suggestions are added. I then addressed myself to the work, happily facilitated by Mr Steel's notes, of preparing a second edition of this drama. I have now been permitted to complete it, and I offer it to the learned world with deep regret that it should labour under the signal disadvantage of what is, in some respects, a controversial preface. I can only say that I would have avoided this, had it been possible: but it has been forced upon me, and was unavoidable. What I said in dedicating my Studia Sophoclea to the Greek Scholars of Great Britain and Ireland, I repeat now.—If any such scholars shall honour what is here written with their perusal, I shall receive the communication of their assent or dissent with equal gratitude. Confirmation by other scholars of that which one believes to be right is naturally agreeable; and correction of what is wrong ought to be always welcome. For my own part, I can safely promise to consider with respectful care any argument against a view of mine; and, if I find that argument unanswerable, to acknowledge its validity by renouncing my previous conclusion. For I have always

held with Cicero that "cujusvis hominis est errare, nullius, nisi insipientis, in errore perseverare."

All I ask of my readers is, to keep in mind the wise words of Horace, Epist. II. 1, 75:

Indignor quicquam reprehendi, non quia crasse Compositum illepideve putetur, sed quia nuper:

and, with these, the motto prefixed to the little volume named above, and now affixed to this Preface:

Τό τοι νομισθέν της άληθείας κρατεί τοῦτ εἴ τις εἶπε, μη καταπτύσας, ἔπος, αἴσχιστον εἶπεν ὅστις ην ἐπεὶ χρεών τούτου φρονεῖν φάναι τε πᾶν τοὐναντίον χρη γὰρ φρονεῖν μέν, 'Ορθὸν άλήθει' ἀεί, φάναι δὲ χρή, Τάληθὲς ἰσχῦον τρέφω.

B. H. K.

P.S. I have adopted in the Parodos, and discussed under the head of Lection, several new and valuable emendations, which have occurred to me since the Commentary and Excursus were printed.

# ΣΟΦΟΚΛΕΟΥΣ

# ΟΙΔΙΠΟΥΣ ΤΥΡΑΝΝΟΣ.

### ΥΠΟΘΕΣΙΣ1.

Λιπών Κόρινθον Οἰδίπους, πατρός νόθος πρός των άπάντων λοιδορούμενος ξένος, ηλθεν πυθέσθαι Πυθικών θεσπισμάτων ζητών ξαυτόν καὶ γένους φυτοσπόρον. εύρων δε τλήμων έν στεναίς άμαξιτοίς ακων έπεφνε Λάϊον γεννήτορα. Σφιγγός δε δεινής θανάσιμον λύσας μέλος ήσχυνε μητρός άγνοουμένης λέχος. λοιμὸς δὲ Θήβας εἶλε καὶ νόσος μακρά. Κρέων δὲ πεμφθείς Δελφικήν πρὸς έστίαν, όπως πύθοιτο τοῦ κακοῦ παυστήριον, ήκουσε φωνής μαντικής θεοῦ πάρα, τὸν Λαΐειον ἐκδικηθῆναι Φόνον. δθεν μαθών έαυτον Οιδίπους τάλας πόρπαισι δισσας εξανάλωσεν κόρας, αὐτη δὲ μήτηρ ἀγχόναις διώλετο.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Codex ascribes this Argument to the grammarian Aristophanes: but Dindorf denies this authorship.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Codd. δισσαίς τε χερσίν. J. δισσάς τε χερσίν. Br. ut supra.

#### ΔΙΑ ΤΙ ΤΥΡΑΝΝΟΣ ΕΠΙΓΕΓΡΑΠΤΑΙ.

'Ο Τύραννος Οἰδίπους ἐπὶ διακρίσει θατέρου ἐπιγέγραπται. χαριέντως δέ Τύραννον ἄπαντες αὐτὸν ἐπιγράφουσιν, ὡς ἐξέχοντα πάσης τῆς Σοφοκλέους ποιήσεως, καίπερ ήττηθέντα ὑπὸ Φιλοκλέους, ὡς φησι Δικαίαρχος. εἰσὶ δὲ καὶ οἱ Πρότερον, οὐ Τύραννον, αὐτὸν ἐπιγράφοντες, διὰ τοὺς χρόνους τῶν διδασκαλιῶν καὶ διὰ τὰ πράγματα· ἀλήτην γὰρ καὶ πηρὸν Οἰδίποδα τὸν ἐπὶ Κολωνῷ εἰς τὰς ᾿Αθήνας ἀφικνεῖσθαι. ἔδιον δέ τι πεπόνθασιν οἱ μεθ' "Ομηρον ποιηταί, τοὺς πρὸ τῶν Τρωικῶν βασιλεῖς Τυράννους προσαγορεύοντες, ὀψέ ποτε τοῦδε τοῦ ὀνόματος εἰς τοὺς ဪληνας διαδοθέντος, κατὰ τοὺς ᾿Αρχιλόχου χρόνους, καθάπερ Ἱππίας ὁ σοφιστής φησιν· "Ομηρος γοῦν τὸν πάντων παρανομώτατον "Εχετον βασιλέα φησί, καὶ οὐ τύραννον· (Od. σ, 84.)

Εἰς "Εχετον βασιληα, βροτών δηλήμονα (πάντων).

προσαγορευθήναι δέ φασι τὸν τύραννον ἀπὸ τῶν Τυρρηνῶν· χαλεποὺς γάρ τινας περὶ ληστείαν τούτους γενέσθαι. ὅτι δὲ νεώτερον τὸ τοῦ τυράννου ἄνομα δῆλον. οὖτε γὰρ Θμηρος οὖτε Ἡσίοδος οὖτε ἄλλος οὖδεὶς τῶν παλαιῶν τύραννον ἐν τοῖς ποιήμασιν ὀνομάζει. ὁ δὲ ᾿Αριστοτέλης ἐν Κυμαίων πολιτεία τοὺς τυράννους φησὶ τὸ πρότερον αἰσυμνήτας προσαγορεύεσθαι. εὐφημότερον γὰρ ἐκεῖνο τοὔνομα³.

#### ΑΛΛΩΣ.

'Ο Τύραννος Οἰδίπους πρὸς ἀντιδιαστολὴν τοῦ ἐν τῷ Κολωνῷ ἐπιγέγραπται, τὸ κεφάλαιον δὲ τοῦ δράματος γνῶσις τῶν ἰδίων κακῶν Οἰδίποδος, πήρωσίς τε τῶν ὀφθαλμῶν, καὶ δι' ἀγχόνης θάνατος Ἰοκάστης.

### ΧΡΗΣΜΟΣ Ο ΔΟΘΕΙΣ ΛΑΙΩι ΤΩι ΘΗΒΑΙΩι.

Λάϊε Λαβδακίδη, παίδων γένος ὅλβιον αἰτεῖς. δώσω τοι φίλον υἱόν· ἀτὰρ πεπρωμένον ἐστὶ σοῦ παιδὸς χείρεσσι λιπεῖν φάος. ὡς γὰρ ἔνευσε Ζεὺς Κρονίδης, Πέλοπος στυγεραῖς ἀραῖσι πιθήσας, οὖ φίλον ἥρπασας υἱόν· ὁ δ' ηὕξατό σοι τάδε πάντα.

<sup>3</sup> In a note given in Prof. Jebb's edition (p. 5) Dr Peile refers the word τύραννος to the Vedic root TAR (by-form Tur), which seems to imply that the noun virtually means 'a conqueror.' He says, 'I think that from being an adjective (?=mighty), it became with the Greeks a title.'

#### ΤΟ ΑΙΝΙΓΜΑ ΤΗΣ ΣΦΙΓΓΟΣ.

"Εστι δίπουν ἐπὶ γῆς καὶ τέτραπον, οὖ μία φωνή, καὶ τρίπον· ἀλλάσσει δὲ φυὴν μόνον ὅσσ' ἐπὶ γαῖαν ἐρπετὰ κινεῖται ἀνά τ' αἰθέρα καὶ κατὰ πόντον. ἀλλ' ὁπόταν πλείστοισιν ἐρειδόμενον ποσὶ βαίνη, ἔνθα τάχος γυίοισιν ἀφαυρότατον πέλει αὐτοῦ.

### ΛΥΣΙΣ ΤΟΥ ΑΙΝΙΓΜΑΤΟΣ.

Κλῦθι καὶ οὐκ ἐθέλουσα, κακόπτερε Μοῦσα θανόντων, φωνῆς ἡμετέρης σὸν τέλος ἀμπλακίης. ἄνθρωπον κατέλεξας, ὃς ἡνίκα γαῖαν ἐφέρπει, πρῶτον ἔφυ τετράπους νήπιος ἐκ λαγόνων. γηραλέος δὲ πέλων τρίτατον πόδα βάκτρον ἐρείδει, αὐχένα φορτίζων, γήραϊ καμπτόμενος <sup>4</sup>.

4 For an account of the dramatic representations in the Athenian theatre, see Excursus I., 'the Attic Drama.' For the literary career of Sophocles, and for the plot and analysis of the Oedipus Tyrannus, see Excursus XI., 'Sophocles and the Oedipodean Myth.'

# ΤΑ ΤΟΥ ΔΡΑΜΑΤΟΣ ΠΡΟΣΩΠΑ.

ΟΙΔΙΠΟΥΣ.

ΙΕΡΕΥΣ.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

ΧΟΡΟΣ ΓΈΡΟΝΤΩΝ ΘΗΒΑΙΩΝ.

TEIPESIAS.

ΙΟΚΑΣΤΗ.

ΑΓΓΕΛΟΣ.

ΘΕΡΑΠΩΝ ΛΑΙΟΥ.

ΕΞΑΓΓΕΛΟΣ.

### SIGLARIUM.

- \* stands before a word varying from that in codd., and such words are spaced, as \* $\epsilon \xi \delta \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \nu$  194.
  - \*...\* above the line inclose emended words, as \* $\tau\epsilon$   $\mu$ '\* 436.

Greek words suspected of corruption are printed in smaller type.

The numeration is that of Dindorf's *Poetae Scenici*.

## ΟΙΔΙΠΟΥΣ ΤΥΡΑΝΝΟΣ.

### ΟΙΔΙΠΟΥΣ.

°Ω τέκνα, Κάδμου τοῦ πάλαι νέα τροφή, τίνας ποθ' ἔδρας τάσδε μοι θοάζετε ίκτηρίοις κλάδοισιν ἐξεστεμμένοι; πόλις δ' όμοῦ μὲν θυμιαμάτων γέμει, όμοῦ δὲ παιάνων τε καὶ στεναγμάτων άγω δικαιῶν μὴ παρ' ἀγγέλων, τέκνα, ἄλλων ἀκούειν, αὐτὸς ὧδ' ἐλήλυθα, ὁ πᾶσι κλεινὸς Οἰδίπους καλούμενος. ἀλλ', ὧ γεραιέ, φράζ, ἐπεὶ πρέπων ἔφυς πρὸ τῶνδε φωνεῖν, τίνι τρόπω καθέστατε, δείσαντες ἢ \*στέρξαντες ώς θέλοντος ἂν ἐμοῦ προσαρκεῖν πᾶν δυσάλγητος γὰρ ἂν εἴην τοιάνδε μὴ οὐ κατοικτείρων ἕδραν.

5

10

15

## ΙΕΡΕΥΣ.

άλλ', ὧ κρατύνων Οιδίπους χώρας ἐμῆς, ὁρậς μὲν ήμᾶς ήλίκοι προσήμεθα βωμοίσι τοῖς σοῖς, οἱ μὲν οὐδέπω μακρὰν

11. Codd. στέρξαντες; or στέξαντες; Cf. Exc. II.

πτέσθαι σθένοντες, οἱ δὲ σὺν γήρα βαρεῖς \*  $i \in \rho \hat{\eta} \varsigma$ ,  $\epsilon \gamma \omega$   $\mu \epsilon \nu$   $Z \eta \nu \delta \varsigma$ ,  $\delta \delta \epsilon \tau$   $\dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \omega \nu$ λεκτοί τὸ δ' ἄλλο φῦλον έξεστεμμένον άγοραῖσι θακεῖ, πρός τε Παλλάδος διπλοῖς 20 ναοίς, έπ' Ίσμηνοῦ τε μαντεία σποδώ. πόλις γάρ, ώσπερ καὐτὸς εἰσορᾶς, ἄγαν ήδη σαλεύει κάνακουφίσαι κάρα  $\beta v \theta \hat{\omega} v \ \check{\epsilon} \tau' \ o \dot{v} \chi \ o \check{\epsilon} a \ \tau \epsilon \ \phi o \iota v \acute{\epsilon} o v \ \sigma \acute{a} \lambda o v$ φθίνουσα μεν κάλυξιν έγκάρποις χθονός, 25 φθίνουσα δ' ἀγέλαις βουνόμοις τόκοισί τε άγόνοις γυναικών εν δ' δ πυρφόρος θεός σκήψας έλαύνει, λοιμός ἔχθιστος, πόλιν, ύφ' οὖ κενοῦται δώμα Καδμεῖον : μέλας δ' "Αιδης στεναγμοίς καὶ γόοις πλουτίζεται. 30 θεοίσι μέν νυν οὐκ ἰσούμενόν σ' έγω οὐδ' οἴδε παίδες έζόμεσθ' ἐφέστιοι, ανδρών δὲ πρώτον ἔν τε συμφοραίς βίου κρίνοντες ἔν τε δαιμόνων συναλλαγαίς. ός γ' έξέλυσας, ἄστυ Καδμεῖον μολών, 35 σκληράς ἀοιδοῦ δασμον ον παρείχομεν. καὶ ταῦθ' ὑφ' ἡμῶν οὐδὲν ἐξειδως πλέον οὐδ' ἐκδιδαχθείς, ἀλλὰ προσθήκη θεοῦ λέγει νομίζει θ' ήμὶν ὀρθώσαι βίον. νῦν τ', ὦ κράτιστον πᾶσιν Οἰδίπου κάρα, 40 ίκετεύομέν σε πάντες οίδε πρόστροποι αλκήν τιν' εύρειν ήμίν, είτε του θεών φήμην ἀκούσας εἴτ' ἀπ' ἀνδρὸς οἶσθά που, ώς τοίσιν έμπείροισι καὶ τὰς ξυμφορὰς ζώσας δρῶ μάλιστα τῶν βουλευμάτων. 45 ϊθ', ὦ βροτῶν ἄριστ', ἀνόρθωσον πόλιν ϊθ', εὐλαβήθηθ' ώς σὲ νῦν μὲν ἥδε γῆ σωτήρα κλήζει της πάρος προθυμίας, 18. Codd. leρeîs. Cf. Lect. 43. Cod. L. του. Cf. Lect. et Exc. III.

	αρχης οε της σης μηοαμως μεμνωμεθα	
	στάντες τ' ές ὀρθὸν καὶ πεσόντες ΰστερον,	50
	αλλ' ασφαλεία τήνδ' ανόρθωσον πόλιν.	
	ὄρνιθι γὰρ καὶ τὴν τότ αἰσίφ τύχην	
	παρέσχες ήμιν και τανθν ίσος γενοθ	
	ώς είπερ ἄρξεις τησδε γης, ώσπερ κρατείς,	
	ξὺν ἀνδράσιν κάλλιον ἢ κενῆς κρατεῖν,	<b>-</b> -
	ώς οὐδέν ἐστιν οὐτε πύργος οὐτε ναῦς	5 5
Ωī	ἔρημος ἀνδρῶν μὴ ξυνοικούντων ἔσω.	
OI.	ὦ παίδες οἰκτροί, γνωτὰ κοὖκ ἄγνωτά μοι	
	προσήλθεθ' ίμείροντες · εὖ γὰρ οἶδ' ὅτι	
	νοσείτε πάντες, καὶ νοσοῦντες, ώς ἐγὼ	60
	οὖκ ἔστιν ὑμῶν ὄστις έξ ἴσου νοσεῖ.	
	τὸ μὲν γὰρ ὑμῶν ἄλγος εἰς ἕν᾽ ἔρχεται	
	μόνον κ $a heta$ ' $a$ ύ $ au$ ὸν κοὖδέν' ἄλλον, $\eta$ δ' έμ $\eta$	
	ψυχὴ πόλιν τε κὰμὲ καὶ σ' ὁμοῦ στένει.	
	ωστ' οὐχ ὕπνω γ' εὕδοντά μ' ἐξεγείρετε,	65
	άλλ' ἴστε πολλά μέν με δακρύσαντα δή,	
	πολλάς δ' όδοὺς ἐλθόντα φροντίδος πλάνοις	
	ην δ' εὖ σκοπῶν εὕρισκον ἴασιν μόνην,	
	ταύτην ἔπραξα παίδα γὰρ Μενοικέως	
	Κρέοντ', ἐμαυτοῦ γαμβρόν, ἐς τὰ Πυθικὰ	70
	ἔπεμψα Φοίβου δώμαθ', ώς πύθοιθ' ὅ τι	•
	δρῶν ἢ τί φωνῶν τήνδε *ρυσοίμην πόλιν.	
	καί μ' ἦμαρ ἤδη ξυμμετρούμενον χρόνφ	
	λυπεὶ τί πράσσει τοῦ γὰρ εἰκότος πέρα	
	ἄπεστι πλείω τοῦ καθήκοντος χρόνου.	75
	δταν δ' ἵκηται, τηνικαῦτ' ἐγω κακὸς	15
	μη δρών αν είην πάνθ' όσ' αν δηλοί θεός.	
IE.	άλλ' εἰς καλὸν σύ τ' εἶπας οίδε τ' ἀρτίως	
	Κρέοντα προσστείχοντα σημαίνουσί μοι.	
OI.	ωναξ "Απολλον, εὶ γὰρ ἐν τύχη γέ τω	80
	72. Codd. ἡυσαίμην. Cf. Exc. IV.	
	12. Codd. poolingly. Cl. Exc. IV.	

IE. OI.	σωτήρι βαίη λαμπρὸς ὥσπερ ὄμματι. ἀλλ' εἰκάσαι μέν, ήδύς· οὐ γὰρ ἂν κάρα πολυστεφὴς ὧδ' εἶρπε παγκάρπου δάφνης. τάχ' εἰσόμεσθα· ξύμμετρος γὰρ ὡς κλύειν. ἄναξ, ἐμὸν κήδευμα, παῖ Μενοικέως, τίν' ήμὶν ἥκεις τοῦ θεοῦ φήμην φέρων;	85
	ΚΡΕΩΝ.	
	έσθλήν  λέγω γὰρ καὶ τὰ δύσφορ', εἰ τύχοι	
	κατ' ὀρθὸν ἐξελθόντα, πάντ' ἂν εὐτυχεῖν.	
OI.	έστιν δὲ ποῖον τοὔπος; οὔτε γὰρ θρασὺς	
	οὔτ' οὖν προδείσας εἰμὶ τῷ γε νῦν λόγῳ.	90
KP.	εἰ τῶνδε χρήζεις πλησιαζόντων κλύειν,	
	έτοιμος εἰπεῖν, εἴτε καὶ στείχειν έσω.	
OI.	ές πάντας αὔδα· τῶνδε γὰρ πλέον φέρω	
	τὸ πένθος ἢ καὶ τῆς ἐμῆς ψυχῆς πέρι.	
KP.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	95
	ἄνωγεν ήμᾶς Φοῖβος ἐμφανῶς ἄναξ	
	μίασμα χώρας, ώς τεθραμμένον χθονὶ	
	εν τη̂δ', ελαύνειν, μηδ' ανήκεστον τρέφειν.	
	ποίω καθαρμώ; τίς ὁ τρόπος της ξυμφοράς;	
KP.		100
O.T.	λύοντας, ώς τόδ' αἶμα χειμάζον πόλιν.	
OI.	$\lambda$	
KP.		
ΩŢ	$\gamma \hat{\eta} \hat{\varsigma} \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta \hat{\epsilon}, \pi \rho \hat{\iota} \nu \sigma \hat{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\eta} \nu \delta' \vec{a} \pi \hat{\epsilon} \nu \theta \hat{\nu} \nu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \pi \hat{\sigma} \hat{\lambda} \nu \nu$	
OI.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	105
KP.	,	
OI.	τοὺς αὐτοέντας χειρὶ τιμωρεῖν τινας. οἱ δ' εἰσὶ ποῦ γῆς; ποῦ τόδ' εὑρεθήσεται	
<b>J</b> 1.	ίχνος παλαιας δυστέκμαρτον αιτίας;	
KР	έν τῆδ' ἔφασκε γῆ. τὸ δὲ ζητούμενον	110
171.	105. Codd. $\gamma \epsilon \pi \omega$ . Cf. Lect.	110
	105. Codd. $\gamma \in \pi \omega$ . Cl. Lect.	

	άλωτόν, εκφεύγει δε ταμελούμενον.	
OI.		
	η γης επ' άλλης τώδε συμπίπτει φόνω;	
KP.	θεωρός, ώς έφασκεν, εκδημών πάλιν	
	πρὸς οἶκον οὐκέθ' ἵκεθ' ώς ἀπεστάλη.	115
OI.	οὐδ' ἄγγελός τις οὐδὲ συμπράκτωρ ὁδοῦ	,
	κατείδ', ότου τις έκμαθών έχρήσατ' άν;	
KP.	θνήσκουσι γάρ, πλην είς τις, δς φόβω φυγών	
	ων είδε πλην εν οὐδεν είχ' είδως φράσαι.	
OI.	τὸ ποῖον; ἐν γὰρ πόλλ' ἂν έξεύροι μαθεῖν,	120
	άρχὴν βραχεῖαν εἰ λάβοιμεν ἐλπίδος.	
KP.	ληστας έφασκε συντυχόντας οὐ μιᾳ	
	ρώμη κτανείν νιν, άλλὰ σὺν πλήθει χερῶν.	
OI.	πῶς οὖν ὁ ληστής, εἴ τι μὴ ξὺν ἀργύρφ	
	<i>ἐπράσσετ' ἐνθένδ', ἐς τόδ' ἂν τόλμης ἔβη</i> ;	125
KP.	δοκοῦντα ταῦτ' ἦν· Λαΐου δ' ὀλωλότος	
	οὐδεὶς ἀρωγὸς ἐν κακοῖς ἐγίγνετο.	
OI.	κακὸν δὲ ποῖον ἐμποδών, τυραννίδος	
	ούτω πεσούσης, εἶργε τοῦτ' έξειδέναι;	
KP.	ή ποικιλωδὸς Σφίγξ τὸ πρὸς ποσὶ σκοπείν	130
	μεθέντας ήμας ταφανή προσήγετο.	
OI.	άλλ' έξ ύπαρχης αὖθις αὐτ' έγω φανω.	
	ἐπαξίως γὰρ Φοίβος, ἀξίως δὲ σὺ	
	πρὸ τοῦ θανόντος τήνδ' ἔθεσθ' ἐπιστροφήν	
	ωστ' ενδίκως όψεσθε καμε σύμμαχον,	135
	γῆ τῆδε τιμωροῦντα τῷ θεῷ θ' ἄμα.	
	ύπὲρ γὰρ οὐχὶ τῶν ἀπωτέρω φίλων,	
	άλλ' αὐτὸς αύτοῦ, τοῦτ' ἀποσκεδῶ μύσος.	
	στις γὰρ ἦν ἐκεῖνον ὁ κτανὼν τάχ' ἃν	T 46
	κάμ' ἃν τοιαύτη χειρί τιμωρείν θέλοι.	140
	κείνω προσαρκών οὖν ἐμαυτὸν ώφελώ. ἀλλ' ώς τάχιστα, παίδες, ὑμεῖς μὲν βάθρων	
	αππ ως ταχιστα, παιοες, υμεις μεν βασρων ίστασθε, τούσδ' ἄραντες ίκτηρας κλάδους	
	to the ve, 10000 apartes intipas andoors	

IE.	άλλος δὲ Κάδμου λαὸν ὧδ' ἀθροιζέτω ώς πᾶν ἐμοῦ δράσοντος· ἢ γὰρ εὐτυχεῖς σὺν τῷ θεῷ φανούμεθ' ἢ πεπτωκότες. ὧ παῖδες, ἱστώμεσθα· τῶνδε γὰρ χάριν καὶ δεῦρ' ἔβημεν ὧν ὅδ' ἐξαγγέλλεται. Φοῖβος δ' ὁ πέμψας τάσδε μαντείας ἄμα σωτήρ θ' ἵκοιτο καὶ νόσου παυστήριος.	145
	ΧΟΡΟΣ.	
	ὦ Διὸς άδυεπὲς φάτι, τίς ποτε τᾶς π σου Πυθῶνος ἀγλαὰς ἔβας	στρ. a΄.
	Θήβας; ἐκτέταμαι φοβερὰν φρένα, δείματι ἐ ἰήϊε Δάλιε Παιάν,	$\pilpha$ λλω $ u$ ,
	άμφὶ σοὶ άζόμενος· τί μοι ἢ νέον, ἢ περιτελλομέναις ὥραις πάλιν ἐξανύσεις ·	155 <b>ν</b> ρέος.
	εἰπέ μοι, ὧ χρυσέας τέκνον Ἐλπίδος, ἄμβροτέ	Φάμα.
	πρῶτα σὲ κεκλόμενος, θύγατερ Διός, ἄμβροι	
	$\nu a$ ,	$\dot{a}\nu\tau$ . $a'$ .
	γαιάοχόν τ' άδελφεὰν	160
	"Αρτεμιν, ἃ κυκλόεντ' ἀγορᾶς θρόνον εὐκλέα καὶ Φοΐβον έκαβόλον, ἰω	θασσει,
	τρισσοὶ ἀλεξίμοροι προφάνητέ μοι,	
	εἴ ποτε καὶ προτέρας ἄτας ὕπερ ὀρνυμένας π	όλει 164
	ηνύσατ' εκτοπίαν φλόγα πήματος, έλθετε	$\kappa a i \nu \hat{v} \nu$ .
	$ec{\omega}$ πόποι, $ec{a}$ ν $ec{a}$ ρι $ heta$ μ $a$ γ $ec{a}$ ρ φ $ec{\epsilon}$ ρ $\omega$	$\sigma$ τ $\rho$ . $\beta'$ .
	πήματα· νοσεί δέ μοι πρόπας στόλος, οὐδ' δ τίδος ἔγχος,	ένι φρον-
	*τῷ τις ἀλέξεται. οὔτε γὰρ ἔκγονα	171
	κλυτάς χθονός αύξεται, ούτε *τεκοῦσαι	•
	<i>ληίων καμάτων ἀνέχουσι γυνα</i> ίκες:	174
	3-7. Cf. Excurs. v. 159. Cf. Lect. et Comm.	171.

άλλον δ' αν άλλω προσίδοις άπερ εὔπτερον ὅρνιν κρείσσον άμαιμακέτου πυρός όρμενον ακταν πρός έσπέρου θεού: ων πόλις ανάριθμος όλλυται  $\dot{a}\nu\tau$ .  $\beta'$ . \*νεκρά δὲ γένεθλα πρὸς πέδω θαναταφόρα κεῖται άνοικτως: έν δ' ἄλογοι πολιαί τ' ἔπι ματέρες 181 \*έδραν παραβώμιον ἄλλοθεν ἄλλαι ີ λυγρῶν πόνων \*ίκετῆρες ἐπιστεφανοῦσι.\* 185 παιὰν δὲ λάμπει στονόεσσά τε γῆρυς ὅμαυλος. \*τῶν ὕπερ, ὧ χρυσέα θύγατερ Διός, εὐῶπα πέμψον ἀλκάν,  $[\sigma\tau\rho, \gamma']$ "Αρεά τε τον μαλερόν, δς νῦν ἄχαλκος ἀσπίδων φλέγει με περιβόατος ἀντιάζων, 191 παλίσσυτον δράμημα νωτίσαι πάτρας \* έξόρισον, εἴτ' ές μέγαν θάλαμον 'Αμφιτρίτας, 195 εἴτ' ἐς τὸν ἀπόξενον ὅρμον Θρήκιον κλύδωνα:  $*\sigma\tau\epsilon\lambda\lambda\epsilon\iota\nu$   $\gamma\delta\rho$ ,  $\epsilon'$   $\tau\iota$   $\nu\delta\xi$   $\delta\phi\hat{\eta}$ ,  $\tau \circ \hat{v} \tau' \dot{\epsilon} \pi' \dot{\eta} \mu a \rho * \epsilon \ddot{v} \chi \epsilon \tau a \iota'$  $\tau \acute{o}\nu$ ,  $\vec{\omega} * \tau \hat{a}\nu \pi \nu \rho \phi \acute{o}\rho \omega \nu$ 200 αστραπάν κράτη νέμων, ὦ Ζεῦ πάτερ, ὑπὸ σῷ φθίσον κεραυνῷ.  $[\vec{a}\nu\tau.\ \gamma'.$ Λύκει' ἀναξ, τά τε σὰ χρυσοστρόφων ἀπ' ἀγκυλᾶν βέλεα θέλοιμ' αν άδάματ' ενδατείσθαι 205 άρωγὰ προσταθέντα, τάς τε πυρφόρους 'Αρτέμιδος αἴγλας, ξὺν αἶς Λύκι ὄρεα διάσσει

179. Codd. νηλέα. Cf. Lect. 182. Codd. ἀκτὰν παρὰ βώμιον. Cf. Lect. 185. Codd. ἰκτῆρες ἐπιστοναχοῦσι. Cf. Lect. 187. Codd. ὧν. Cf. Lect. 194. Codd. ἔπουρον or ἄπουρον. Cf. Lect. et Comm. 198-9. Codd. τέλει...ἔρχεται. Cf. Lect. et Comm. 200. τᾶν abest a codicibus. Cf. Lect. 206. Mallem παμφαεῖς. Cf. Lect.

	τὸν χρυσομίτραν τε κικλήσκω,	
	τᾶσδε ἐπώνυμον γᾶς,	210
	οἰνῶπα Βάκχον εὔϊον,	
	Μαινάδων όμόστολον,	
	πελασθηναι φλέγοντ'	
	άγλαῶπι *σύμμαχον	
	πεύκα 'πὶ τὸν ἀπότιμον ἐν θεοῖς θεόν.	215
OI.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,
	κλύων δέχεσθαι τη νόσφ θ' ύπηρετείν,	
	άλκὴν λάβοις ἃν κἀνακούφισιν κακῶν	
	άγω ξένος μεν τοῦ λόγου τοῦδ' έξερω,	
	ξένος δὲ τοῦ πραχθέντος οὐ γὰρ ἂν μακρὰν	220
	ἴχνευον αὐτός, μὴ οὐκ ἔχων τι σύμβολον.	
	νῦν δ', ὕστερος γὰρ ἀστὸς εἰς ἀστοὺς τελῶ,	
	ύμιν προφωνώ πάσι Καδμείοις τάδε	
	όστις ποθ' ύμων Λάϊον τὸν Λαβδάκου	
	κάτοιδεν ανδρός έκ τίνος διώλετο,	225
	τοῦτον κελεύω πάντα σημαίνειν έμοί	,
	κεί μεν φοβείται, τοὐπίκλημ' ὑπεξελών	
	αὐτὸς καθ' αύτοῦ· πείσεται γὰρ ἄλλο μὲν	
	άστεργὲς οὐδέν, γῆς δ' ἄπεισιν άβλαβής	
	εἰ δ' αὖ τις ἄλλον οἶδεν έξ ἄλλης χθονὸς	230
	τὸν αὐτόχειρα, μὴ σιωπάτω τὸ γὰρ	3
	κέρδος τελω 'γώ, χή χάρις προσκείσεται.	
	εὶ δ' αὖ σιωπήσεσθε, καί τις ἢ φίλου	
	δείσας ἀπώσει τοὔπος ἢ χαὖτοῦ τόδε,	
	ὰκ τῶνδε δράσω, ταῦτα χρὴ κλύειν ἐμοῦ.	235
	τὸν ἄνδρ' ἀπαυδῶ τοῦτον, ὅστις ἐστί, γῆς	0,5
	τῆσδ', ἦς ἐγωὰ κράτη τε καὶ θρόνους νέμω,	
	μήτ' εἰσδέχεσθαι μήτε προσφωνεῖν τινα,	
	μήτ' ἐν θεῶν εὐχαῖσι μήτε θύμασιν	
	κοινον ποιείσθαι, μήτε χέρνιβας νέμειν,	240
214.	σύμμαχον abest a codicibus. Cf. Lect. 221. Cod. L. αὐτό. Cf.	. Lect.

ωθεῖν δ' ἀπ' οἴκων πάντας, ώς μιάσματος	
τοῦδ' ήμὶν ὄντος, ώς τὸ Πυθικὸν θεοῦ	
μαντείον εξέφηνεν άρτίως εμοί.	
έγω μεν οὖν τοιόσδε τῷ τε δαίμονι	
τῷ τ' ἀνδρὶ τῷ θανόντι σύμμαχος πέλω	245
κατεύχομαι δὲ τὸν δεδρακότ', εἴτε τις	
είς ὢν λέληθεν εἴτε πλειόνων μέτα,	
κακὸν κακῶς νιν *ἄμορον ἐκτρῖψαι βίον.	
έπεύχομαι δ', οἴκοισιν εἰ ξυνέστιος	
έν τοις έμοις γένοιτ' έμου ξυνειδότος,	250
παθεῖν ἄπερ τοῖσδ' ἀρτίως ήρασάμην.	
ύμιν δὲ ταθτα πάντ' ἐπισκήπτω τελείν	
ύπέρ τ' ἐμαυτοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ τε τῆσδέ τε	
γης ωδ' ἀκάρπως κάθέως ἐφθαρμένης.	
οὐδ' εἰ γὰρ ἦν τὸ πρᾶγμα μὴ θεήλατον,	255
ακάθαρτον ύμας είκὸς ην ούτως έαν,	
ανδρός γ' αρίστου βασιλέως τ' όλωλότος,	
άλλ' έξερευνάν νυν δ' έπει κυρώ τ' έγω	
έχων μεν άρχας ας εκείνος είχε πρίν,	
έχων δὲ λέκτρα καὶ γυναῖχ' ὁμόσπορον,	260
κοινῶν τε παίδων κοίν' ἄν, εἰ κείνω γένος	
μη 'δυστύχησεν, ην αν έκπεφυκότα'	
νῦν δ' ἐς τὸ κείνου κρᾶτ' ἐνήλαθ' ἡ τύχη:	
ανθ' ών εγώ τάδ', ώσπερεί τουμού πατρός,	
ύπερμαχοῦμαι, κἀπὶ πάντ' ἀφίξομαι	265
ζητῶν τὸν αὐτόχειρα τοῦ φόνου λαβεῖν	
τῷ Λαβδακείω παιδὶ Πολυδώρου τε καὶ	
τοῦ πρόσθε Κάδμου τοῦ πάλαι τ' ᾿Αγήνορος.	
καὶ ταῦτα τοῖς μὴ δρῶσιν εὔχομαι θεοὺς	
μήτ' ἄροτον αὐτοῖς *γῆς ἀνιέναι τινά,	270
μήτ' οὖν γυναικῶν παῖδας, ἀλλὰ τῷ πότμῷ	
τῷ νῦν φθερεῖσθαι κἄτι τοῦδ' ἐχθίονι:	
248. Codd. ἄμοιρον. 270. Omnes fere codd. γην.	

	ύμιν δὲ τοις ἄλλοισι Καδμείοις, ὅσοις	
	τάδ' ἔστ' ἀρέσκονθ', ή τε σύμμαχος Δίκη	
	χοί πάντες εὖ ξυνείεν εἰσαεὶ θεοί.	275
XO.	ώσπερ μ' ἀραίον ἔλαβες, ώδ', ἄναξ, ἐρώ.	
	οὖτ' ἔκτανον γὰρ οὔτε τὸν κτανόντ' ἔχω	
	δείξαι. τὸ δὲ ζήτημα τοῦ πέμψαντος ἦν	
	Φοίβου τόδ' εἰπεῖν ὅστις εἴργασταί ποτε.	
OI.	δίκαι' ἔλεξας άλλ' ἀναγκάσαι θεούς	280
	* α ν μη θέλωσιν οὐδ' αν εἶς δύναιτ' ἀνήρ.	
XO.		
OI.	εὶ καὶ τρίτ' ἐστί, μὴ παρῆς τὸ μὴ οὐ φράσαι.	
	ἄνακτ' ἄνακτι ταὔθ' ὁρῶντ' ἐπίσταμαι	
		285
	σκοπῶν τάδ', ὧναξ, ἐκμάθοι σαφέστατα.	_
OI.	άλλ' οὐκ ἐν ἀργοῖς οὐδὲ τοῦτ' ἐπραξάμην.	
	ἔπεμψα γὰρ Κρέοντος εἰπόντος διπλοῦς	
	πομπούς πάλαι δὲ μὴ παρών θαυμάζεται.	
XO.	1 1 1 1 1 1	290
OI.	τὰ ποῖα ταῦτα; πάντα γὰρ σκοπῶ λόγον.	-
XO.	θανείν ελέχθη πρός τινων όδοιπόρων.	
OI.	ήκουσα κάγώ του δ' ιδόντ' οὐδεὶς όρậ.	
XO.	άλλ' εἴ τι μὲν δὴ δείματός *γ' ἔχει μέρος,	
	τὰς σὰς ἀκούων οὐ μενεῖ τοιάσδ' ἀράς.	295
OI.	ῷ μή 'στι δρῶντι τάρβος, οὐδ' ἔπος φοβεῖ.	
XO.	αλλ' ούξελέγξων αὐτὸν ἔστιν· οίδε γαρ	
	τὸν θεῖον ἤδη μάντιν ὧδ' ἄγουσιν, ὧ	
	τάληθὲς ἐμπέφυκεν ἀνθρώπων μόνφ.	
OI.	ὦ πάντα νωμῶν Τειρεσία, διδακτά τε	300
	ἄρρητά τ', οὐράνιά τε καὶ χθονοστιβῆ,	
	πόλιν μέν, εἰ καὶ μὴ βλέπεις, φρονεῖς δ' όμως	
	οία νόσω σύνεστιν ής σε προστάτην	
	σωτηρά τ', ὦναξ, μοῦνον ἐξευρίσκομεν.	
	281. Codd. ἀν vel ἄν. 294. Codd. pl. τ'.	

Φοίβος γάρ, εἰ καὶ μὴ κλύεις τῶν ἀγγέλων, 305 πέμψασιν ἡμῖν ἀντέπεμψεν, ἔκλυσιν μόνην ἃν ἐλθεῖν τοῦδε τοῦ νοσήματος, εἰ τοὺς κτανόντας Λάϊον μαθόντες εὖ κτείναιμεν, ἢ γῆς φυγάδας ἐκπεμψαίμεθα. σὺ δ' οὖν φθονήσας μήτ' ἀπ' οἰωνῶν φάτιν 310 μήτ' εἴ τιν' ἄλλην μαντικῆς ἔχεις ὁδόν, ῥῦσαι σεαυτὸν καὶ πόλιν, ῥῦσαι δ' ἐμέ, ῥῦσαι δὲ πᾶν μίασμα τοῦ τεθνηκότος. ἐν σοὶ γὰρ ἐσμέν' ἄνδρα δ' ωφελεῖν ἀφ' ὧν ἔχοι τε καὶ δύναιτο κάλλιστος πόνων.

## ΤΕΙΡΕΣΙΑΣ.

φεῦ φεῦ, φρονεῖν ὡς δεινὸν ἔνθα μὴ τέλη λύη φρονοῦντι. ταῦτα γὰρ καλῶς ἐγὼ εἰδὼς διώλεσ' οὐ γὰρ ἂν δεῦρ' ἰκόμην.

- ΟΙ. τί δ' ἔστιν; ώς ἄθυμος εἰσελήλυθας.
- ΤΕ. ἄφες  $\mu$ ' ε'ς οἴκους' ράστα γὰρ τὸ σόν τε σὰ 320 κὰγὰ διοίσω τοὖμόν, ἢν έμοὶ πίθη.
- ΟΙ. οὐτ' ἔννομ' εἶπας οὐτε προσφιλῆ πόλει τῆδ', ἥ σ' ἔθρεψε, τήνδ' ἀποστερῶν φάτιν.
- ΤΕ. όρω γὰρ οὐδὲ σοὶ τὸ σὸν φωνημ' ἰὸν πρὸς καιρόν ως οὖν μηδ' ἐγω ταὐτὸν πάθω— 325
- ΟΙ. μη πρὸς θεῶν φρονῶν γ' ἀποστραφῆς, ἐπεὶ πάντες σε προσκυνοῦμεν οίδ' ἰκτήριοι.
- ΤΕ. πάντες γὰρ οὐ φρονεῖτ'. ἐγὼ δ' οὐ μή ποτε τἄμ', ώς ἂν, εἴπω, μὴ τὰ σ' ἐκφήνω κακά.
- ΟΙ. τί φής; ξυνειδώς οὐ φράσεις, ἀλλ' ἐννοεῖς 330 ήμᾶς προδοῦναι καὶ καταφθεῖραι πόλιν;
- ΤΕ. ἐγω οὐτ' ἐμαυτὸν οὐτε σ' ἀλγυνω. τί ταῦτ' ἄλλως ἐλέγχεις; οὐ γὰρ ἂν πύθοιό μου.

315. πόνων. Cf. Lect. 317. λύη. Cf. Lect. 322. Cf. Lect. 328-9. Cf. Lect. et Exc. VI.

OI.	οὐκ, ὧ κακῶν κάκιστε, καὶ γὰρ ἂν πέτρου
	φύσιν σύ γ' ὀργάνειας, έξερεῖς ποτε,
	άλλ' ώδ' ἄτεγκτος κάτελεύτητος φανεί;
TE.	όργὴν ἐμέμψω τὴν ἐμήν, τὴν σὴν δ' ὁμοῦ
	ναίουσαν οὐ κατείδες, ἀλλ' ἐμὲ ψέγεις.
OI.	τίς γὰρ τοιαῦτ' ἂν οὐκ ἂν ὀργίζοιτ' ἔπη
	κλύων, ὰ νῦν σὺ τήνδ' ἀτιμάζεις πόλιν; 340
TE.	ήξει γὰρ αὐτά, κἂν ἐγὼ σιγῆ στέγω.
OI.	οὖκ οὖν ἄ γ' ήξει καὶ σὲ χρὴ λέγειν ἐμοί;
TE.	οὖκ ἂν πέρα φράσαιμι. πρὸς τάδ', εἰ θέλεις,
	θυμοῦ δι' ὀργῆς ἥτις ἀγριωτάτη.
OI.	καὶ μὴν παρήσω γ' οὐδέν, ώς ὀργῆς ἔχω, 345
	ἄπερ ξυνίημ'. ἴσθι γὰρ δοκῶν ἐμοὶ
	καὶ ξυμφυτεῦσαι τοὔργον, εἰργάσθαι θ' ὅσον
	μὴ χερσὶ καίνων εἰ δ' ἐτύγχανες βλέπων,
	καὶ τοὔργον ἂν σοῦ τοῦτ' ἔφην εἶναι μόνου.
TE.	άλη $\theta$ ες; $\epsilon$ νν $\epsilon$ π $\omega$ σε τ $\hat{\omega}$ κηρύγματι 350
	φπερ *προεῖπας ἐμμένειν, κἀφ' ἡμέρας
	τῆς νῦν προσαυδᾶν μήτε τούσδε μήτ' ἐμέ,
	ώς ὄντι $\gamma \eta$ ς τ $\eta \sigma \delta$ ἀνοσί $\psi$ $\mu$ ι $lpha$ στορι.
OI.	ούτως ἀναιδῶς ἐξεκίνησας τόδε
	τὸ ἡῆμα; καὶ ποῦ τοῦτο φεύξεσθαι δοκεῖς; 355
TE.	$\pi$ έ $\phi$ ευγ $a$ · τ $\mathring{a}$ λη $ heta$ è $\varsigma$ γ $\mathring{a}$ ρ $\mathring{\iota}\sigma\chi\hat{v}$ ον τρέ $\phi\omega$ .
OI.	πρὸς τοῦ διδαχθείς; οὐ γὰρ ἔκ γε τῆς τέχνης.
TE.	πρὸς σοῦ. σὺ γάρ μ' ἄκοντα προὖτρέψω λέγειν.
OI.	ποῖον λόγον; λέγ' αὖθις, ὡς μᾶλλον μάθω.
TE.	οὐχὶ ξυνῆκας πρόσθεν ἢ κπειρậ λέγειν; 360
OI.	οὐχ ὥστε γ' εἰπεῖν *γνωτόν' ἀλλ' αὖθις φράσον.
TE.	φονέα σε φημὶ τανδρὸς οὖ ζητεῖς κυρεῖν.
OI.	άλλ' οὔ τι χαίρων δίς γε πημονάς ἐρεῖς.
TE.	εἴπω τι δῆτα κἄλλ', ἵν' ὀργίζη πλέον;
	όσον γε χρήζεις, ώς μάτην εἰρήσεται. 365
351. (	Codd. προσείπας. 360. Cf. Lect. 361. Codd. γνωστόν. Cf. Lect.

TE.	λεληθέναι σε φημὶ σὺν τοῖς φιλτάτοις	
	αἴσχισθ' ὁμιλοῦντ', οὐδ' ὁρᾶν ἵν' εἶ κακοῦ.	
OI.	η καὶ γεγηθώς ταῦτ' ἀεὶ λέξειν δοκεῖς;	
TE.		50
OI.	άλλ' ἔστι, πλην σοί σοὶ δὲ τοῦτ' οὐκ ἔστ', ἐπε	_
<b>01.</b>	τυφλὸς τά τ' ὧτα τόν τε νοῦν τά τ' ὅμματ' εἶ.	
TE.	σὺ δ' ἄθλιός γε ταῦτ' ὀνειδίζων, ἃ σοὶ	
1.0.	οὐδεὶς ὃς οὐχὶ τῶνδ' ὀνειδιεῖ τάχα.	
OI.	μιᾶς τρέφει πρὸς νυκτός, ὥστε μήτ' ἐμὲ	
01.		<del>,</del> -
TT.T.	μήτ' ἄλλον, ὅστις φῶς ὁρᾳ, βλάψαι ποτ' ἄν. 3	15
TE.		
ΩŢ	ίκανὸς ᾿Απόλλων, ῷ τάδ᾽ ἐκπρᾶξαι μέλει.	
OI.	Κρέοντος ἡ σοῦ ταῦτα τάξευρήματα;	
TE.	Κρέων δέ σοι πημ' οὐδέν, ἀλλ' αὐτὸς σὺ σοί.	_
OI.	ῶ πλοῦτε καὶ τυραννὶ καὶ τέχνη τέχνης	80
	ύπερφέρουσα τῷ πολυζήλῳ βίῳ,	
	όσος παρ' ύμιν ό φθόνος φυλάσσεται,	
	εἰ τῆσδέ γ' ἀρχῆς οὕνεχ', ἡν ἐμοὶ πόλις	
	δωρητόν, οὐκ αἰτητόν, εἰσεχείρισεν,	
	ταύτης Κρέων ὁ πιστός, ούξ ἀρχῆς φίλος, 3	85
	$\lambda \acute{a} heta  ho a$ $\mu$ ' $\acute{v}\pi \epsilon \lambda  heta \acute{\omega}  u$ $\acute{\epsilon} \kappa eta a \lambda \epsilon \acute{\imath}  u$ $\acute{\iota}\mu \epsilon \acute{\iota} ho \epsilon  au a \iota$ ,	
	ύφεὶς μάγον τοιόνδε μηχανορράφον,	
	δόλιον ἀγύρτην, ὅστις ἐν τοῖς κέρδεσιν	
	μόνον δέδορκε, την τέχνην δ' ἔφυ τυφλός.	
		90
	πως ούχ, "όθ" ή ραψωδος ενθάδ" ήν κύων,	-
	ηὔδας τι τοῖσδ' ἀστοῖσιν ἐκλυτήριον;	
	καίτοι τό γ' αἴνιγμ' οὐχὶ τοὐπιόντος ἦν	
	άνδρὸς διειπείν, άλλὰ μαντείας έδει,	
		9:
	οὔτ' ἐκ θεῶν του γνωτόν ἀλλ' ἐγὼ μολών,	•
	δ μηδεν είδως Οιδίπους, επαυσά νιν,	
	γνώμη κυρήσας οὐδ' ἀπ' οἰωνῶν μαθών	

K. OE.

	ου δη σύ πειράς εκβαλείν, δοκών θρόνοις	
	παραστατήσειν τοις Κρεοντείοις πέλας.	400
	κλάων δοκείς μοι καὶ σὺ χώ συνθεὶς τάδε	
	άγηλατήσειν εί δὲ μὴ δόκεις γέρων	
	είναι, παθών έγνως αν οίά περ φρονείς.	
XO.	ήμιν μεν εικάζουσι και τὰ τοῦδ' ἔπη	
	οργή λελέχθαι καὶ τὰ σ', Οἰδίπου, δοκεῖ.	405
	δεί δ' οὐ τοιούτων, ἀλλ' ὅπως τὰ τοῦ θεοῦ	
	μαντεῖ' ἄριστα λύσομεν, τόδε σκοπεῖν.	
TE.		
	ἴσ' ἀντιλέξαι· τοῦδε γὰρ κἀγὼ κρατῶ.	
	οὐ γάρ τι σοὶ ζῶ δοῦλος, ἀλλὰ Λοξία	410
	ώστ' οὐ Κρέοντος προστάτου γεγράψομαι.	
	λέγω δ', ἐπειδή καὶ τυφλόν μ' ωνείδισας,	
	σὺ καὶ δέδορκας κοὖ βλέπεις ἵν' εἶ κακοῦ,	
	οὐδ' ἔνθα ναίεις, οὐδ' ὅτων οἰκεῖς μέτα.	
	ἆρ' οἶσθ' ἀφ' ὧν εἶ; καὶ λέληθας ἐχθρὸς ὧν	415
	τοίς σοίσιν αὐτοῦ νέρθε κἀπὶ γῆς ἄνω,	
	καί σ' ἀμφιπληξ μητρός τε και τοῦ σοῦ πατ	ρὸς
	έλα ποτ' έκ γης τησδε δεινόπους ἀρά,	,
	βλέποντα νῦν μὲν ὄρθ', ἔπειτα δὲ σκότον.	
	βοης δὲ της σης ποίος οὐκ ἔσται λιμήν,	420
	ποίος Κιθαιρών ούχλ σύμφωνος τάχα,	
	έταν καταίσθη του υμέναιου, δυ δόμοις	
	ἄνορμον εἰσέπλευσας, εὐπλοίας τυχών;	
	άλλων δὲ πληθος οὐκ ἐπαισθάνει κακῶν,	
	ά σ' έξισώσει σοί τε καὶ τοῖς σοῖς τέκνοις.	425
	πρὸς ταῦτα καὶ Κρέοντα καὶ τοὐμὸν στόμα	
	προπηλάκιζε. σοῦ γὰρ οὖκ ἔστιν βροτῶν	
	κάκιον όστις ἐκτριβήσεταί ποτε.	
OI.	η ταῦτα δητ' ἀνεκτὰ πρὸς τούτου κλύειν;	
	οὖκ εἰς ὄλεθρον; οὖχὶ θᾶσσον; οὖ πάλιν	430
	άψορρος οἴκων τῶνδ΄ ἀποστραφεὶς ἄπει;	

TE.	οὐδ' ἱκόμην ἔγωγ' ἄν, εἰ σὺ μὴ 'κάλεις.	
OI.	ου γάρ τί σ' ήδη μώρα φωνήσοντ', έπεὶ	
	σχολή σ' αν οίκους τους έμους έστειλάμην.	
TE.	ήμεις τοιοίδ' ἔφυμεν, ώς σοὶ μεν δοκεί,	435
	μῶροι, γονεῦσι δ', οί σ' ἔφυσαν, ἔμφρονες.	
OI.	ποίοισι; μεῖνον. τίς δέ μ' ἐκφύει βροτῶν;	
TE.	ηρο ημέρα φύσει σε καὶ διαφθερεῖ.	
OI.	ώς πάντ' άγαν αινικτά κάσαφη λέγεις.	
TE.	οὖκ οὖν σὺ ταῦτ' ἄριστος εὑρίσκειν ἔφυς;	440
OI.	τοιαῦτ' ὀνείδιζ', οἶς ἔμ' εὐρήσεις μέγαν.	• •
TE.	αύτη γε μέντοι σ' ή τύχη διώλεσεν.	
OI.	άλλ' εἰ πόλιν τήνδ' ἐξέσωσ', οὔ μοι μέλει.	
TE.	άπειμι τοίνυν καὶ σύ, παῖ, κόμιζέ με.	
OI.	κομιζέτω δηθ' ως παρών *τέ μ'* έμποδων	445
	οχλείς συθείς τ' αν ουκ αν άλγύναις πλέον.	
TE.	εἰπων ἄπειμ' ων ούνεκ' ἢλθον, οὐ τὸ σὸν	
	δείσας πρόσωπον οὐ γὰρ ἔσθ' ὅπου μ' ὀλεῖς.	
	λέγω δέ σοι τον ἄνδρα τοῦτον, ον πάλαι	
	ζητεῖς ἀπειλῶν κἀνακηρύσσων φόνον	450
	τον Λαίειον, οὖτός ἐστιν ἐνθάδε	
	ξένος λόγφ μέτοικος, εἶτα δ' ἐγγενὴς	
	φανήσεται Θηβαίος, οὐδ' ήσθήσεται	
	τη ξυμφορά. τυφλός γάρ ἐκ δεδορκότος	
	καὶ πτωχὸς ἀντὶ πλουσίου ξένην ἔπι	455
	σκήπτρω προδεικνύς γαΐαν έμπορεύσεται.	
	φανήσεται δὲ παισὶ τοῖς αύτοῦ ξυνών	
	άδελφὸς αὐτὸς καὶ πατήρ, κάξ ής ἔφυ	
	γυναικός υίδς καὶ πόσις, καὶ τοῦ πατρός	
	δμόσπορός τε καὶ φονεύς. καὶ ταῦτ' ἰων	460
	είσω λογίζου καν λάβης έψευσμένον,	
	φάσκειν έμ' ήδη μαντική μηδεν φρονείν.	
424	Cf Lect 427 Codd usu gol Cf Lect 445 Cod	d zá

434. Cf. Lect. 435. Codd. μèν σοί. Cf. Lect. 445. Codd. τά γ' et σύγ'. Cf. Lect. 458. Cf. Lect. 461. Cod. L. λάβης μ'.

XO.  $\tau$  is  $\delta \nu \tau \iota \nu$  if  $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi \iota \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota a$   $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi$  is  $\epsilon i \delta \epsilon - \omega$   $\delta \tau \rho$ .  $\delta \epsilon$ . άρρητ' άρρήτων τελέσαντα φοινίαισι χερσίν; 465 ώρα νιν ἀελλάδων ίππων σθεναρώτερον φυγά πόδα νωμάν. ένοπλος γάρ έπ' αὐτὸν ἐπενθρώσκει πυρὶ καὶ στεροπαῖς ὁ Διὸς γενέτας. 470 δειναὶ δ' ἄμ' ἔπονται Κηρες αναπλάκητοι. έλαμψε γὰρ τοῦ νιφόεντος ἀρτίως φανεῖσα ἀντ. α΄. φάμα Παρνασοῦ, τὸν ἄδηλον ἄνδρα πάντ' ἰχνεύειν. φοιτά γὰρ ὑπ' ἀγρίαν 476 ύλαν ἀνά τ' ἄντρα καὶ πέτρας \*ἰσόταυρος, μέλεος μελέφ ποδὶ χηρεύων, τὰ μεσόμφαλα γᾶς ἀπονοσφίζων 480 μαντεία τὰ δ' ἀεὶ  $[\sigma\tau\rho. \beta'.$ ζώντα περιποτάται. δεινά \*με ν ῦν\*, δεινὰ ταράσσει σοφὸς οἰωνοθέτας οὔτε δοκοῦντ' οὔτ' ἀποφάσκονθ' ὅ τι λέξω δ'  $a\pi o \rho \hat{\omega}$ . πέτομαι δ' έλπίσιν οὔτ' ἐνθάδ' ὁρῶν οὔτ' ὀπίσω. τί γὰρ ἢ Λαβδακίδαις η τώ Πολύβου νείκος έκειτ' οὔτε πάροιθέν ποτ' ἔγωγ' οὔτε τανῦν πω ἔμαθον, πρὸς ὅτου δὴ \*βασανίζων πιθανώς\* έπὶ τὰν ἐπίδαμον φάτιν εἶμ' Οἰδιπόδα Λαβδακίδαις έπίκουρος άδήλων θανάτων. άλλ' ὁ μὲν οὖν Ζεὺς ὅ τ' ᾿Απόλλων ξυνετοὶ καὶ τὰ  $\dot{a}\nu\tau$ .  $\beta'$ . βροτών

463. Codd. εἶπε πέτρα, sed L. a pr. m, ut J. testatur, εἶδε πέτρα. Cf. Lect. et Comm. 478. Cod. L. πέτρασ ώσ ταῦροs. Cf. Lect. 483. Codd. μὲν οὖν. Cf. Lect. 493. Codd. βασάνω. Cf. Lect. et Comm.

εἰδότες· ἀνδρῶν δ' ὅτι μάντις πλέον ἢ 'γὼ φέρεται 500

κρίσις οὐκ ἔστιν ἀληθής· σοφία δ' αν σοφίαν παραμείψειεν ἀνήρ.

αλλ' οὔποτ' ἔγωγ' ἄν, πρὶν ἴδοιμ' ὀρθὸν ἔπος, μεμφομένων ἂν καταφαίην.

φανερὰ γὰρ ἐπ' αὐτῷ πτερόεσσ' ἦλθε κόρα ποτέ, καὶ σοφὸς ὤφθη βασάνῳ θ' άδύπολις τῷ \*ϝ' ἀπ' ἐμᾶς

φρενός οὔποτ' ὀφλήσει κακίαν.

- ΚΡ. ἄνδρες πολίται, δείν' ἔπη πεπυσμένος κατηγορεῖν μου τὸν τύραννον Οἰδίπουν, πάρειμ' ἀτλητῶν. εἰ γὰρ ἐν ταῖς ξυμφοραῖς 515 ταῖς νῦν νομίζει πρός γ' ἐμοῦ πεπονθέναι λόγοισιν εἴτ' \*ἔργοισί τι\* βλάβην φέρον, οὔτοι βίου μοι τοῦ μακραίωνος πόθος \*φοροῦντι τήνδε βάξιν. οὐ γὰρ εἰς ἀπλοῦν ἡ ζημία μοι τοῦ λόγου τούτου φέρει, 520 ἀλλ' ἐς μέγιστον, εἰ κακὸς μὲν ἐν πόλει, κακὸς δὲ πρὸς σοῦ καὶ φίλων κεκλήσομαι.
- ΧΟ. ἀλλ' ἢλθε μὲν δὴ τοῦτο τοὔνειδος τάχ' ἂν ὀργῆ βιασθὲν μᾶλλον ἢ γνώμη φρενῶν.
- ΚΡ. \*τούπος δ' ἐφάνθη ταῖς ἐμαῖς γνώμαις ὅτι 525
   πεισθεὶς ὁ μάντις τοὺς λόγους ψευδεῖς λέγοι.
- ΧΟ. ηὐδᾶτο μὲν τάδ', οἶδα δ' οὐ γνώμη τίνι.
- ΚΡ. ἐξ ὀμμάτων δ' ὀρθῶν τε κάξ ὀρθῆς φρενὸς κατηγορεῖτο τοὐπίκλημα τοῦτό μου;
- ΧΟ. οὐκ οἶό  $\dot{\alpha}$  γὰρ δρῶσ οἱ κρατοῦντες οὐχ ὁρῶ. 530 αὐτὸς δ' ὅδ' ήδη δωμάτων έξω  $\pi$ ερ $\hat{\alpha}$ .
- ΟΙ. οὖτος σύ, πῶς δεῦρ' ἢλθες; ἢ τοσόνδ' ἔχεις τόλμης πρόσωπον ὥστε τὰς ἐμὰς στέγας

510. Cf. Lect. 517. Codd. ἔργοισιν εἰs. Cf. Lect. 519. Codd.
 φέροντι. 525. Codd. pl. τοῦ πρὸs. Cf. Lect.

	ίκου, φονεύς ὢν τοῦδε τἀνδρὸς ἐμφανῶς	
	ληστής τ' έναργης της έμης τυραννίδος;	535
	φέρ' εἰπὲ πρὸς θεῶν, δειλίαν ἢ μωρίαν	
	ίδών τιν' ἔν *μοι ταῦτ' ἐβουλεύσω ποιεῖν;	
	η τούργον ώς οὐ *γνωριοῖμί σου τόδε	
	δόλω προσέρπου, * η οὐκ * ἀλεξοίμην μαθών;	
	άρ' οὐχὶ μῶρόν ἐστι τοὐγχείρημά σου,	540
	άνευ τε *πλούτου καὶ φίλων τυραννίδα	•
	θηρᾶν, ὃ πλήθει χρήμασίν θ' άλίσκεται;	
KP.		
	ἴσ' ἀντάκουσον, κἆτα κρῖν' αὐτὸς μαθών.	
OI.	λέγειν σὺ δεινός, μανθάνειν δ' ἐγὼ κακὸς	545
	σοῦ δυσμενη γὰρ καὶ βαρύν σ' εύρηκ' ἐμοί.	5.5
KP.		
OI.	τοῦτ' αὐτὸ μή μοι φράζ', ὅπως οὐκ εἶ κακός.	
KP.	εἴ τοι νομίζεις κτῆμα τὴν αὐθαδίαν	
	είναι τι του νου χωρίς, ουκ όρθως φρονείς.	550
OI.	εἴ τοι νομίζεις ἄνδρα συγγενη κακῶς	• •
	δρων ούχ υφέξειν την δίκην, ουκ εθ φρονείς.	
KP.	ξύμφημί σοι ταῦτ' ἔνδικ' εἰρῆσθαι τὸ δὲ	
	πάθημ', όποῖον φὴς παθεῖν, δίδασκέ με.	
OI.	έπειθες, η οὐκ ἔπειθες, ώς χρείη μ' ἐπὶ	555
	τὸν σεμνόμαντιν ἄνδρα πέμψασθαί τινα;	
KP.	καὶ νῦν ἔθ' αὐτός εἰμι τῷ βουλεύματι.	
OI.	πόσον τιν' ήδη δηθ' ὁ Λάϊος χρόνον	
KP.	δέδρακε ποίον ἔργον; οὐ γὰρ ἐννοῶ.	
OI.	ἄφαντος ἔρρει θανασίμω χειρώματι;	560
KP.	μακροὶ παλαιοί τ' ἂν μετρηθεῖεν χρόνοι.	
OI.	τότ' οὖν ὁ μάντις οὖτος ἦν ἐν τῆ τέχνη;	
KP.	σοφός γ' δμοίως κάξ ίσου τιμώμενος.	
OI.	έμνήσατ' οὖν έμοῦ τι τῷ τότ' ἐν χρόνῷ;	
525	7. Codd. ἐν ἐμοί. 538. Codd. γνωρίσοιμι. 539. Codd.	κοὐκ.
	Codd. πλήθους. Cf. Lect.	

KP.	οὐκ οὖν ἐμοῦ γ' ἑστῶτος οὐδαμοῦ πέλας.	565
OI.	άλλ' οὖκ ἔρευναν τοῦ θανόντος ἔσχετε;	
KP.	παρέσχομεν, πῶς δ' οὐχί; κοὐκ ἦκούσαμεν.	
OI.	πως οὖν τόθ' οὖτος ὁ σοφὸς οὖκ ηὔδα τάδε;	
KP.	οὐκ οἶδ' ἐφ' οἶς γὰρ μὴ φρονῶ σιγᾶν φιλῶ.	
OI.	τοσόνδε γ' οἶσθα καὶ λέγοις ἂν εὖ φρονῶν.	570
KP.	ποίον τόδ'; εὶ γὰρ οἶδά γ', οὐκ ἀρνήσομαι.	
OI.	όθούνεκ', εἰ μὴ σοὶ ξυνῆλθε, τὰς ἐμὰς	
	οὐκ ἄν ποτ' εἶπε Λαΐου διαφθοράς.	
KP.	εὶ μὲν λέγει τάδ', αὐτὸς οἶσθ' ἐγω δέ σου	
	μαθεῖν δικαιῶ ταὔθ' ἄπερ κάμοῦ σὺ νῦν.	5 <i>7</i> 5
OI.	έκμάνθαν' οὐ γὰρ δη φονεύς άλώσομαι.	
KP.	τί δητ'; αδελφην την έμην γήμας έχεις;	
OI.	άρνησις οὐκ ἔνεστιν ὧν άνιστορεῖς.	
KP.	άρχεις δ' έκείνη ταὐτὰ γῆς ἴσον νέμων;	
OI.	ὰν ἢ θέλουσα πάντ' ἐμοῦ κομίζεται.	580
KP.	ούκ οὖν ἰσοῦμαι σφῷν ἐγὼ δυοῖν τρίτος;	
OI.	ένταθθα γάρ δή καὶ κακὸς φαίνει φίλος.	
KP.	οὔκ, εἰ διδοίης γ' ώς έγὼ σαυτῷ λόγον.	
	σκέψαι δὲ τοῦτο πρῶτον, εἴ τιν' ἂν δοκεῖς	
	ἄρχειν έλέσθαι ξὺν φόβοισι μᾶλλον ἢ	585
	άτρεστον εύδοντ', εἰ τά γ' αὐθ' ἕξει κράτη.	
	έγω μὲν οὖν οὔτ' αὐτὸς ἱμείρων ἔφυν	
	τύραννος εἶναι μᾶλλον ἡ τύραννα δρᾶν,	
	οὔτ' ἄλλος ὄστις σωφρονεῖν ἐπίσταται.	
	νῦν μὲν γὰρ ἐκ σοῦ πάντ' ἄνευ φόβου φέρω•	590
	εὶ δ' αὐτὸς ἦρχον, πολλὰ κὰν ἄκων ἔδρων.	
	πῶς δῆτ' ἐμοὶ τυραννὶς ἡδίων ἔχειν	
	ἀρχῆς ἀλύπου καὶ δυναστείας ἔφυ;	
	οὖπω τοσοῦτον ἠπατημένος κυρῶ	
	ώστ' ἄλλα χρήζειν ἢ τὰ σὺν κέρδει καλά.	595
	νῦν πᾶσι χαίρω, νῦν με πᾶς ἀσπάζεται,	
	570. Cf. Lect.	

νῦν οἱ σέθεν χρήζοντες ἐκκαλοῦσί με, πως δητ' έγω κείν' αν λάβοιμ' άφεις τάδε; οὐκ ἂν γένοιτο νοῦς κακὸς καλῶς φρονῶν. 600 άλλ' οὐτ' ἐραστης τησδε της γνώμης ἔφυν ουτ' αν μετ' άλλου δρώντος αν τλαίην ποτέ. καὶ τῶνδ' ἔλεγχον, τοῦτο μὲν Πυθώδ' ἰὼν πυθοῦ τὰ χρησθέντ' εἰ σαφῶς ἤγγειλά σοι: τοῦτ' ἀλλ', ἐάν με τῷ τερασκόπῳ λάβης 605 κοινη τι βουλεύσαντα, μή μ' άπλη κτάνης ΨήΦω, διπλη̂ δϵ, τη̂ τ' ϵμη̂ καὶ ση̂, λαβωνγνώμη δ' ἀδήλω μή με χωρίς αἰτιῶ. οὐ γὰρ δίκαιον οὔτε τοὺς κακοὺς μάτην χρηστούς νομίζειν ούτε τούς χρηστούς κακούς. 610 φίλον γὰρ ἐσθλὸν ἐκβαλεῖν ἴσον λέγω καὶ τὸν παρ' αύτῷ βίοτον, ὃν πλεῖστον φιλεῖ. άλλ' ἐν χρόνω γνώσει τάδ' ἀσφαλῶς, ἐπεὶ χρόνος δίκαιον ἄνδρα δείκνυσιν μόνος, κακον δε καν εν ήμερα γνοίης μια. 615 ΧΟ. καλώς ἔλεξεν εὐλαβουμένω πεσείν, άναξ φρονείν γάρ οἱ ταχείς οἰκ ἀσφαλείς.

όταν ταχύς τις ούπιβουλεύων λάθρα χωρη, ταχύν δεί κάμε βουλεύειν πάλιν.

> εί δ' ήσυχάζων προσμενώ, τὰ τοῦδε μὲν πεπραγμέν' ἔσται, τάμὰ δ' ἡμαρτημένα.

620

ΚΡ. τί δητα χρήζεις; η με γης έξω βαλείν;

OI. ήκιστα. θνήσκειν οὐ φυγείν σε βούλομαι.

ΚΡ. ὅταν προδείξης \*γ' οἶόν ἐστι \*τἀφρονεῖν.

OI. ώς ούχ ύπείξων ούδὲ πιστεύσων λέγεις. 625

ΚΡ. οὐ γὰρ φρονοῦντά σ' εὖ βλέπω. ΟΙ. τὸ γοῦν ἐμόν. ΚΡ. ἀλλ' ἐξ ἴσου δεῖ κἀμόν. ΟΙ. ἀλλ' ἔφυς κακός.

624. γ' abest a codicibus. Codd. τὸ φθονεῖν. Cf. 508. Cf. Lect. Lect. et Exc. VII.

		•
KP. KP. KP. XO.	εὶ δὲ ξυνίης μηδέν; ΟΙ. ἀρκτέον γ' ὅμως. οὔτοι κακῶς γ' ἄρχοντος. ΟΙ. ὧ πόλις πόλις. κάμοὶ πόλεως μέτεστιν, οὐχὶ σοὶ μόνω. σασθ', ἄνακτες· καιρίαν δ' ὑμῖν ὁρῶ τήνδ' ἐκ δόμων στείχουσαν Ἰοκάστην, μεθ' ἦς τὸ νῦν παρεστὸς νεῖκος εὖ θέσθαι χρεών.	30
	ΙΟΚΑΣΤΗ.	
	τί την ἄβουλον, ὧ ταλαίπωροι, στάσιν γλώσσης ἐπήρασθ', οὐδ' ἐπαισχύνεσθε γῆς 6 οὕτω νοσούσης ἴδια κινοῦντες κακά;	35
ĸР	οὐκ εἶ σύ τ' οἴκους σύ τε, Κρέου, κατὰ στέγας, καὶ μὴ τὸ μηδὲν ἄλγος εἰς μέγ' οἴσετε; ὅμαιμε, δεινά μ' Οἰδίπους ὁ σὸς πόσις	
111.	• • •	40
OI.	ξύμφημι· δρῶντα γάρ νιν, ὧ γύναι, κακῶς εἴληφα τοὐμὸν σῶμα σὺν τέχνη κακῆ.	
KP.	μη νῦν ὀναίμην, ἀλλ' ἀραῖος, εἴ σέ τι	
IO.		45
	μάλιστα μὲν τόνδ' ὅρκον αἰδεσθεὶς θεῶν, ἔπειτα κἀμὲ τούσδε θ' οἳ πάρεισί σοι.	
XO.	πιθοῦ θελήσας φρονήσας τ', ἄναξ, λίσσομαι. στρ. ο	a'.
OI.		50
XO.	τὸν οὖτε πρὶν ·νήπιον νῦν τ' ἐν ὅρκφ μέγαν καταίδεσαι.	-
OI.	οἶσθ' οἶν ὰ χρήζεις ; ΧΟ. οἶδα. ΟΙ. φράζε δὴ τί φή	<b>'</b>
XO.		,s. 56
AU.	συν αφανεί λόγω *σ' απιμον βαλείν.	,0
OI.	εὖ νῦν ἐπίστω, ταῦθ' ὅταν ζητῆς, ἐμοὶ	

640. Cf. Lect. 657. o' abest a codicibus.

ζητων όλεθρον ή φυγήν έκ τήσδε γής.

XO.	οὐ τὸν πάντων θεῶν θεὸν πρόμον Αλιον στρ. β΄.
	ἐπεὶ ἄθεος ἄφιλος ὅ τι πύματον
	ολοίμαν, φρόνησιν εἰ τάνδ' ἔχω.
	άλλά μοι δυσμόρω γᾶ φθίνουσα
	τρύχει ψυχάν, * τὰ δ' εἰ κακοῖς κακὰ
	προσάψει τοις πάλαι τὰ πρὸς σφών.
OI.	
	$ \mathring{\eta} \gamma \mathring{\eta} \mathring{s} \ \mathring{a} \tau \iota \mu o \nu \ \tau \mathring{\eta} \sigma \mathring{\delta} \ \mathring{a} \pi \omega \sigma \theta \mathring{\eta} \nu a \iota \ \beta \iota \mathring{a}. $ 670
	τὸ γὰρ σόν, οὖ τὸ τοῦδ΄, ἐποικτείρω στόμα
	έλεινόν ούτος δ' ένθ' αν ή στυγήσεται.
KP.	
	θυμοῦ περάσης. αἱ δὲ τοιαῦται φύσεις
	αύταις δικαίως είσιν άλγισται φέρειν. 675
OI.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	σοῦ μὲν τυχών ἀγνῶτος, ἐν δὲ τοῖσδ' ἴσος.
XO.	
IO.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
XO.	δόκησις άγνως λόγων
	$\hat{\eta}\lambda\theta\epsilon$ , δάπτει δὲ καὶ τὸ μὴ 'νδικον. [λόγος;
IO.	
XO.	άλις <i>ἔμοιγ'</i> , άλις, γâς προπονουμένας, 685
	φαίνεται, ἔνθ' ἔληξεν, αὐτοῦ μένειν.
OI.	δρᾶς ἵν' ήκεις, ἀγαθὸς ὢν γνώμην ἀνήρ,
	τουμου παριείς και καταμβλύνων κέαρ;
XO.	ωναξ, είπον μεν ούχ άπαξ μόνον, ἴσθι δέ, ἀντ. β΄.
	παραφρόνιμον, ἄπορον ἐπὶ φρόνιμα 690
	πεφάνθαι μ' ἄν, εἴ * σ' ἐνοσφιζόμαν,*
	ος τ' έμὰν γᾶν φίλαν ἐν *πόνοισι
	* σαλεύουσαν κατ' ὀρθὸν οὔρισας, 695
	τανῦν τ' εὔπομπος εἶ * τό γ' ἐν σοί.*
IO.	πρὸς θεῶν δίδαξον κἄμ', ἄναξ, ὅτου ποτὲ
66	7. Cf. Lect. 691. Codd. σε νοσφίζομαι. 694. Codd. πόνοις.
	Codd. ἀλύουσαν. 697. Codd. εί δύναιο γενοῦ. Cf. Lect.

	μηνιν τοσήνδε πράγματος στήσας έχεις.	
OI.	έρω σε γάρ τωνδ' ές πλέον, γύναι, σέβω	700
	Κρέοντος, οἶά μοι βεβουλευκως ἔχει.	•
IO.	λέγ', εἰ σαφῶς τὸ νεῖκος ἐγκαλῶν ἐρεῖς.	
OI.	φονέα με φησὶ Λαΐου καθεστάναι.	
Ю.	αὐτὸς ξυνειδώς, ἢ μαθών ἄλλου πάρα;	
OI.	μάντιν μέν οὖν κακοῦργον εἰσπέμψας, ἐπεὶ	<i>7</i> 05
· .	τό γ' εἰς ἑαυτὸν πᾶν ἐλευθεροῖ στόμα.	103
IO.	σὺ νῦν ἀφεὶς σεαυτὸν ὧν λέγεις πέρι,	
10.	έμοῦ ἀπάκουσον καὶ μάθ' οὕνεκ' ἐστί σοι	
	βρότειον οιδεν μαντικής έχον τέχνης.	
	φανῶ δέ σοι σημεῖα τῶνδε σύντομα.	710
	χρησμὸς γὰρ ἦλθε Λαΐω ποτ', οὐκ ἐρῶ	710
	Φοίβου γ' ἀπ' αὐτοῦ, τῶν δ' ὑπηρετῶν ἄπο,	
	ώς αὐτὸν ήξοι μοῖρα πρὸς παιδὸς θανεῖν,	
	οστις γένοιτ' έμοῦ τε κακείνου πάρα.	
	καὶ τὸν μέν, ώσπερ γ' ἡ φάτις, ξένοι ποτὲ	717
	λησταὶ φονεύουσ' ἐν τριπλαῖς ἁμαξιτοῖς·	715
	παιδος δε βλάστας οὐ διέσχον ήμεραι	
	παιους σε ρκαστας ου οιεσχού ημεραι τρείς, καί νιν ἄρθρα κείνος ἐνζεύξας ποδοίν	
	έρριψεν άλλων χερσίν είς άβατον όρος.	
	ερριψεν ακλων χεροιν εις αρατον ορος. κάνταθθ' 'Απόλλων οὐτ' ἐκείνον ἤνυσεν	720
	φονέα γενέσθαι πατρὸς οὔτε Λάϊον,	720
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	τὸ δεινὸν ούφοβεῖτο, πρὸς παιδὸς θανείν.	
	τοιαῦτα φῆμαι μαντικαὶ διώρισαν,	
	ων εντρέπου σὺ μηδέν. ων γὰρ ὰν θεὸς	
ΩŢ	χρείαν ερευνά ραδίως αὐτὸς φανεί.	725
OI.	οδόν μ' ἀκούσαντ' ἀρτίως ἔχει, γύναι,	
10	ψυχης πλάνημα κάνακίνησις φρενών.	
IO.	ποίας μερίμνης τοῦθ' * ὕπο στραφεὶς * λέγει	·s ;
OI.	έδοξ' ἀκοῦσαί σου τόδ', ὡς ὁ Λάϊος	
	κατασφαγείη πρὸς τριπλαῖς ἁμαξιτοῖς.	730
	722. Cf. Lect. 728. Codd. pl. ὑποστραφείς. Cf. Lect.	

IO.	ηὐδᾶτο γὰρ ταῦτ', οὐδέ πω λήξαντ' ἔχει.	
OI.	καὶ ποῦ 'σθ' ὁ χώρος οὖτος οὖ τόδ' ἢν πάθος	;
IO.	Φωκὶς μὲν ή γη κλήζεται, σχιστή δ' όδὸς	
	ές ταὐτὸ Δελφῶν κάπὸ Δαυλίας ἄγει.	
OI.	καὶ τίς χρόνος τοῖσδ' ἐστὶν ούξεληλυθώς;	735
IO.	σχεδόν τι πρόσθεν ἢ σὰ τῆσδ΄ ἔχων χθονὸς	
	άρχην εφαίνου τοῦτ' εκηρύχθη πόλει.	
OI.	ω Ζεῦ τί μου δρᾶσαι βεβούλευσαι πέρι;	
IO.	τί δ' ἐστί σοι τοῦτ', Οἰδίπους, ἐνθύμιον;	
OI.	μήπω μ' ἐρώτα. τὸν δὲ Λάϊον φύσιν	740
	τίν' εἶχε φράζε, τίνα δ' ἀκμὴν * ἔβαιν' ἔχων.	
IO.	μέγας, χνοάζων ἄρτι λευκανθὲς κάρα,	
	μορφής δὲ τής σής οὐκ ἀπεστάτει πολύ.	
OI.	οἴμοι τάλας ἔοικ' ἐμαυτὸν εἰς ἀρὰς	
	δεινὰς προβάλλων ἀρτίως οὐκ εἰδέναι.	<i>7</i> 45
IO.	πῶς φής; ὀκνῶ τοι πρὸς σ' ἀποσκοποῦσ', ἄνα	ξ.
OI.	δεινῶς ἀθυμῶ μὴ βλέπων ὁ μάντις ἢ.	
	δείξεις δὲ μᾶλλον, ἢν ἐν ἐξείπης ἔτι.	
IO.	καὶ μὴν ὀκνῶ μέν, *ὰν δ' ἔρη μαθοῦσ' ἐρῶ.	
OI.	πότερον ἐχώρει βαιός, ἢ πολλοὺς ἔχων	750
	ἄνδρας λοχίτας οῗ' ἀνὴρ ἀρχηγέτης;	
IO.	πέντ' ἦσαν οἱ ξύμπαντες, ἐν δ' αὐτοῖσιν ἦν	
	κῆρυξ΄ ἀπήνη δ' ἦγε Λάϊον μία.	
OI.	aἰαῖ, τάδ' ἤδη διαφανῆ. τίς ἦν ποτε	
	ό τούσδε λέξας τοὺς λόγους ὑμῖν, γύναι;	<i>7</i> 55
IO.	οἰκεύς τις, ὄσπερ ἵκετ' ἐκσωθεὶς μόνος.	
OI.	ἦ κἀν δόμοισι τυγχάνει τανῦν παρών;	
10.	οὖ δῆτ' ἀφ' οὖ γὰρ κεῖθεν ἦλθε καὶ κράτη	
	σέ τ' εἶδ' ἔχοντα Λάϊόν τ' ὀλωλότα,	
	έξικέτευσε της έμης χειρός θιγών	760
	άγρούς σφε πέμψαι κάπὶ ποιμνίων νομάς,	
	ώς πλείστον εἴη τοῦδ' ἄποπτος ἄστεως.	
	741. Codd. $\eta \beta \eta s  \xi \chi \omega \nu$ . Cf. Lect. 749. Codd. å $\delta$ ' å $\nu$ .	

	κἄπεμψ' ἐγώ νιν. ἄξιος γὰρ *οΐ' ἀνὴρ δοῦλος φέρειν ἦν τῆσδε καὶ μείζω χάριν.	
OI.	πως αν μόλοι δηθ' ημιν εν τάχει πάλιν;	<i>7</i> 65
IO.	πάρεστιν. ἀλλὰ πρὸς τί τοῦτ' ἐφίεσαι;	, ,
οĪ.		
	είρημέν' ή μοι δι' ά νιν είσιδεῖν θέλω.	
IO.	άλλ' ίξεται μέν· άξία δέ που μαθεῖν	
	κάγω τά γ' εν σοι δυσφόρως έχοντ', ἄναξ.	770
OI.	κου μη στερηθης γ', ες τοσούτον έλπίδων	//
<b>01.</b>	έμου βεβώτος. τῷ γὰρ ὰν καὶ μείζονι	
	λέξαιμ' αν η σοι δια τύχης τοιασδ' ιών;	
	εμοὶ πατὴρ μὲν Πόλυβος ἦν Κορίνθιος,	
	μήτηρ δὲ Μερόπη Δωρίς. ἢγόμην δ' ἀνῆρ	
	αστών μέγιστος των έκει, πρίν μοι τύχη	<i>77</i> 5
	τοιάδ' ἐπέστη, θαυμάσαι μὲν ἀξία,	
	σπουδής γε μέντοι της έμης οἰκ ἀξία.	
	ανήρ γαρ εν δείπνοις μ' ύπερπλησθείς μέθης	0
	καλεί παρ' οἴνω, πλαστὸς ώς εἴην πατρί.	780
	κάγω βαρυνθείς την μεν ούσαν ημέραν	
	μόλις κατέσχον, θατέρα δ' ιων πέλας	
	μητρὸς πατρός τ' ἤλεγχον· οἱ δὲ δυσφόρως	
	τοὔνειδος ἦγον τῷ μεθέντι τὸν λόγον.	
	κάγω τὰ μὲν κείνοιν ἐτερπόμην, ὅμως δ΄	785
	ἔκνιζέ μ' ἀεὶ τοῦθ'· ὑφεῖρπε γὰρ πολύ.	
	λάθρα δὲ μητρὸς καὶ πατρὸς πορεύομαι	
	Πυθώδε. καί μ' ὁ Φοιβος ὧν μὲν ικόμην	
	ἄτιμον ἐξέπεμψεν, ἄλλα δ᾽ ἄθλια	
	καὶ δεινά καὶ δύστηνα προυφάνη λέγων,	790
	ώς μητρὶ μὲν χρείη με μιχθηναι, γένος δ'	
	ἄτλητον ἀνθρώποισι δηλώσοιμ' δρᾶν,	
	φονεύς δ' εσοίμην τοῦ φυτεύσαντος πατρός.	
	·	
	κάγω 'πακούσας ταῦτα, τὴν Κορινθίαν	

άστροις τὸ λοιπὸν ἐκμετρούμενος χθόνα, 795 ἔφευγον ἔνθα μήποτ' ὀψοίμην κακῶν χρησμῶν ὀνείδη τῶν ἐμῶν τελούμενα. στείχων δ' ίκνοῦμαι τούσδε τοὶς χώρους ἐν οίς σὺ τὸν τύραννον τοῦτον ἄλλυσθαι λέγεις. καί σοι, γύναι, τάληθες έξερω. τριπλης 800 ότ' ή κελεύθου τησδ' όδοιπορών πέλας, ένταθθά μοι κήρυξ τε κάπὶ πωλικής  $\vec{a}\nu\hat{\eta}\rho$   $\hat{a}\pi\hat{\eta}\nu\eta\varsigma$   $\vec{\epsilon}\mu\beta\epsilon\beta\omega\varsigma$ , of  $\vec{o}\nu$   $\vec{o}\nu$   $\vec{o}\dot{\eta}\varsigma$ , ξυνηντίαζον: κάξ όδοῦ μ' ο θ' ήγεμων αὐτός θ' ὁ πρέσβυς πρὸς βίαν ηλαυνέτην. 805 κάγω του έκτρέπουτα, του τροχηλάτηυ, παίω δι' όργης καί μ' ό πρέσβυς ώς όρα, όχου, παραστείχοντα τηρήσας, μέσον κάρα διπλοίς κέντροισί μου καθίκετο. οὐ μὴν ἴσην γ' ἔτισεν, ἀλλὰ συντόμως 810 σκήπτρω τυπείς έκ τησδε χειρός ύπτιος μέσης ἀπήνης εὐθὺς ἐκκυλίνδεται. κτείνω δε τους ξύμπαντας. εί δε τώ ξένω τούτω προσήκει Λαΐω τι συγγενές, τίς τοῦδέ γ' ἀνδρός ἐστιν ἀθλιώτερος; 815 τίς ἐχθροδαίμων μάλλον ἂν γένοιτ' ἀνήρ; \*εί μη ξένων έξεστι μηδ' αστών \*τινι δόμοις δέχεσθαι, μηδέ προσφωνείν \*έμέ,  $\vec{\omega}\theta$ εῖν δ'  $\vec{a}\pi$ ' οἴκων. καὶ τάδ' οὔτις ἄλλος  $\mathring{\eta}\nu$ η 'γω 'π' έμαυτῶ τάσδ' ἀρὰς ὁ προστιθείς. 820 λέχη δὲ τοῦ θανόντος ἐν χεροῖν ἐμαῖν χραίνω, δι' ὧνπερ ὤλετ'. ἆρ' ἔφυν κακός,  $\vec{a}\rho$  où  $\chi i \pi \hat{a}s \, \vec{a}\nu a \gamma \nu o s$ ;  $\epsilon i' \mu \epsilon \, \chi \rho \dot{\eta} \, \phi \nu \gamma \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ , καί μοι φυγόντι μή 'στι τοὺς ἐμοὺς ἰδεῖν, \* μηδ' ἐμβατεύειν πατρίδος, ἢ γάμοις με δεί 825

815. Cod. L.  $ν \hat{v} ν \dot{\epsilon} σ τ$ . Cf. Lect. 817. Codd.  $\dot{\phi} μ \dot{\eta} ... τ ι ν α$ . Cf. Lect. 818. Codd...τ ι ν α. Cf. Lect. 825. Cod. L.  $μ \dot{\eta} σ τ$ .

	μητρὸς ζυγῆναι καὶ πατέρα κατακτανεῖν	
	Πόλυβον, δς έξέφυσε κάξέθρεψέ με.	
	ἆρ' οὐκ ἀπ' ώμοῦ ταῦτα δαίμονός τις ἂν	
	κρίνων ἐπ' ἀνδρὶ τῷδ' ἂν ὀρθοίη λόγον;	
	μη δητα μη δητ', ὧ θεῶν άγνὸν σέβας,	830
	ίδοιμι ταύτην ήμέραν, ἀλλ' ἐκ βροτῶν	
	βαίην ἄφαντος πρόσθεν ἢ τοιάνδ' ἰδεῖν	
	κηλίδ' ἐμαυτῷ συμφορᾶς ἀφιγμένην.	
XO.		
	πρὸς τοῦ παρόντος ἐκμάθης, ἔχ' ἐλπίδα.	835
OI.	καὶ μὴν τοσοῦτόν γ' ἐστί μοι τῆς ἐλπίδος,	روی
	τον ἄνδρα τον βοτήρα προσμείναι μόνον.	
IO.	πεφασμένου δὲ τίς ποθ' ή προθυμία;	
OI.	έγω διδάξω σ' ην γαρ εύρεθη λέγων	
	σοὶ ταὔτ', ἔγωγ' ἂν ἐκπεφευγοίην πάθος.	840
IO.	ποίον δέ μου περισσον ήκουσας λόγον;	040
OI.	ληστὰς ἔφασκες αὐτὸν ἄνδρας ἐννέπειν	
<b>O1</b> .	ως νιν κατακτείνειαν. εί μεν οὖν ἔτι	
	λέξει του αὐτου ἀριθμου, οὐκ ἐγω κτανου.	
	οὐ γὰρ γένοιτ' ἂν εἶς γε τοῖς πολλοῖς ἴσος.	845
	εί δ' ἄνδρ' εν' οιόζωνου αὐδήσει, σαφως	845
,	τοῦτ' ἐστὶν ήδη τοὔργον εἰς ἐμὲ ῥέπον.	
IO.		
10.	, ,	
	κουκ έστιν αυτώ τουτό γ' εκβαλείν πάλιν.	0
	πόλις γὰρ ἤκουσ', οὖκ ἐγὼ μόνη, τάδε.	850
	εὶ δ' οὖν τι κἀκτρέποιτο τοῦ πρόσθεν λόγου,	
	οὔτοι ποτ', ὧναξ, $*$ τόν $δ$ ε Λαΐου φόνον	
	φανεί δικαίως ὀρθόν, ὅν γε Λοξίας	
	διείπε χρηναι παιδὸς έξ ἐμοῦ θανεῖν.	0 = =
	καίτοι νιν οὐ κεῖνός γ' ὁ δύστηνός ποτε	855
	κατέκταν, ἀλλ' αὐτὸς πάροιθεν ὤλετο.	
	ώστ' οὐχὶ μαντείας γ' ἂν οὔτε τῆδ' ἐγὼ	
843.	Cod. L. κακακτείναιεν. Cf. Lect. 852. Codd. τόν γε. Cf.	Lect.

βλέψαιμ' αν ούνεκ' ούτε τηδ' αν ύστερον. καλώς νομίζεις. άλλ' όμως τον έργάτην OI. πέμψον τινὰ στελοῦντα, μηδὲ τοῦτ' ἀφῆς. 860 πέμψω ταχύνασ' άλλ' ἴωμεν ές δόμους. IO. οὐδὲν γὰρ ἂν πράξαιμ' ἂν ὧν οὕ σοι φίλον. ΧΟ. εἴ μοι ξυνείη φέροντι  $\sigma\tau\rho$ .  $\alpha'$ . μοίρα τὰν εὖσεπτον άγνείαν λόγων ἔργων τε πάντων, ὧν νόμοι πρόκεινται 865 ύψίποδες, οὐρανίαν δι' αἰθέρα τεκνωθέντες, ὧν "Ολυμπος πατήρ μόνος, οὐδέ νιν θνατὰ Φύσις ἀνέρων ἔτικτεν, οὐδὲ μάν ποτε λάθα κατακοιμάσει: 870 μέγας ἐν τούτοις θεός, οὐδὲ γηράσκει. ύβρις φυτεύει τύραννον:  $\dot{a}\nu\tau$ . a'. ύβρις, εἰ πολλών ὑπερπλησθή μάταν, ά μη 'πίκαιρα μηδέ συμφέροντα, 875 \*τάκρότατον είσαναβάσ' \*όρ έων ἄπορον\* ἄρουσεν εἰς ἀνάγκαν, *ἔνθ'* οὐ ποδὶ χρησίμω χρήται. τὸ καλῶς δ' ἔχον 880 πόλει πάλαισμα μήποτε λῦσαι θεὸν αἰτοῦμαι. θεὸν οὐ λήξω ποτὲ προστάταν ἴσχων. εὶ δέ τις ὑπέροπτα χερσὶν ἢ λόγφ πορεύεται, στρ. β΄. Δίκας ἀφόβητος, οὐδὲ δαιμόνων ἔδη σέβων, κακά νιν έλοιτο μοίρα, δυσπότμου χάριν χλιδάς, εί \*μήτε κέρδος κερδανεί δικαίως \* $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau$ '  $o \dot{v} v * \dot{a} \sigma \dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \omega \nu * \dot{\epsilon} i \rho \xi \dot{\epsilon} \tau a \iota$ 890 \*καὶ τῶν ἀθίκτων ἕξεται ματάζων. τίς ἔτι ποτ' ἐν \*τοιοῖσδ' ἀνὴρ βέλη \*θεων

876. Codd. ἀκροτάταν. 877. Cod. L.  $\sim$  – ἄποτμον. 889. Codd. μη τό. 890. Codd. καὶ τῶν... ἔρξεται. 891. Codd.  $\mathring{\eta}$  894. Codd. τίς ἔτι ποτ' ἐν τοῖσδ' ἀνὴρ θυμ $\mathring{\varphi}$  βέλη.

	*εὔξεται ψυχᾶς ἀμύνειν;	
	εί γάρ αι τοιαίδε πράξεις τίμιαι,	895
	τί δεῖ με χορεύειν;	, ,
	οὐκ ἔτι τὸν ἄθικτον εἶμι γᾶς ἐπ' ὀμφαλὸν	
		·. β΄.
	οὐδ' ἐς τὸν ᾿Αβαῖσι ναόν, οὐδὲ τὰν ᾿Ολυμπίαν,	
	εί μη τάδε χειρόδεικτα πασιν αρμόσει βροτοίς.	
	άλλ', ὧ κρατύνων, εἴπερ ὄρθ' ἀκούεις,	
	Ζεῦ, πάντ' ἀνάσσων, μὴ λάθοι	
	σὲ τάν τε σὰν *ἄφθαρτον αἰὲν ἀρχάν.	905
	φθίνοντα γὰρ *παλαιὰ Λαΐου	
	θέσφατ' έξαιροῦσιν ήδη,	
	κοὐδαμοῦ τιμαῖς ᾿Απόλλων ἐμφανής:	
	<i>ἔρρει δὲ τὰ θεῖα</i> .	910
IO.	χώρας ἄνακτες, δόξα μοι παρεστάθη	
	ναούς ίκέσθαι δαιμόνων, τάδ' ἐν χεροῖν	
	στέφη λαβούση κἀπιθυμιάματα.	
	ύψου γάρ αἴρει θυμὸν Οἰδίπους ἄγαν	
	λύπαισι παντοίαισιν· οὐδ', ὁποῖ ἀνὴρ	915
	ἔννους, τὰ καινὰ τοῖς πάλαι τεκμαίρεται <b>,</b>	
	άλλ' ἐστὶ τοῦ λέγοντος, εἰ φόβους λέγοι.	
	ότ' οὖν παραινοῦσ' οὐδὲν ἐς πλέον ποιῶ,	
	πρὸς σ', ὧ Λύκει' "Απολλον, ἄγχιστος γὰρ	
	$\epsilon ec{i},$	
	ίκέτις ἀφίγμαι τοῖσδε σὺν κατεύγμασιν,	920
	όπως λύσιν τιν' ήμὶν εὐαγῆ πόρης·	
	ώς νῦν ὀκνοῦμεν πάντες ἐκπεπληγμένον	
	κείνον βλέποντες ώς κυβερνήτην νεώς.	
	ΑΓΓΕΛΟΣ.	
	ἆρ' ầν παρ' ὑμῶν, ὧ ξένοι, μάθοιμ' ὅπου	
	τὰ τοῦ τυράννου δώματ' ἐστὶν Οἰδίπου;	925
	4. Codd. ἔρξεται. 905. Codd. ἀθάνατον. 906. Codd	. γὰρ
Aatov.	. Cf. Lect. 017. Cf. Lect.	

	/- N	
	μάλιστα δ' αὐτὸν εἴπατ', εἰ κάτισθ', ὅπου.	
XO.	στέγαι μὲν αίδε, καὐτὸς ἔνδον, ὧ ξένε	
	γυνη δὲ μήτηρ ήδε τῶν κείνου τέκνων.	
AΓ.	ἀλλ' ὀλβία τε καὶ ξὺν ὀλβίοις ἀεὶ	
	γένοιτ', ἐκείνου γ' οὖσα παντελης δάμαρ.	930
IO.	αὐτως δὲ καὶ σύ γ', ὦ ξέν' ἄξιος γὰρ εἶ	
	της εὐεπείας ούνεκ'. ἀλλὰ φράζ' ὅτου	
	χρήζων ἀφίξαι χώ τι σημήναι θέλων.	
$A\Gamma$ .	άγαθὰ δόμοις τε καὶ πόσει τῷ σῷ, γύναι.	
IO.	τὰ ποῖα ταῦτα; παρὰ τίνος δ' ἀφιγμένος;	935
	έκ της Κορίνθου. τὸ δ' ἔπος ούξερῶ *τάχ' ἃ	ν*
	ήδοιο μέν, πως δ' οὐκ ἄν; ἀσχάλλοις δ' ἴσως.	
IO.	τί δ' ἔστι, ποίαν δύναμιν ὧδ' ἔχει διπλην;	
ΑΓ.	τύραννον αὐτὸν ούπιχώριοι χθονὸς	
	2 17 4 / / / / 2001 2 0	940
IO.	τί δ'; οὐχ ὁ πρέσβυς Πόλυβος ἐγκρατὴς ἔτι;	•
AΓ.	οὐ δῆτ', ἐπεί νιν θάνατος ἐν τάφοις ἔχει.	
IO.	πως εἶπας; ἢ τέθνηκε Πόλυβος, *ω γέρον;*	
AΓ.	εὶ μὴ λέγω τάληθές, άξιῶ θανεῖν.	
10.	3 / 22 2 / 62 / /62 5 /	945
	μολοῦσα λέξεις; ὧ θεῶν μαντεύματα,	
	ίν' ἐστέ· τοῦτον Οἰδίπους πάλαι τρέμων	
	τὸν ἄνδρ' ἔφευγε μὴ κτάνοι καὶ νῦν ὅδε	
	πρὸς τῆς τύχης ὅλωλεν οὐδὲ τοῦδ' ὕπο.	
OI.		950
	τί μ' έξεπέμψω δεῦρο τῶνδε δωμάτων;	/ 5
IO.	ἄκουε τανδρὸς τοῦδε, καὶ σκόπει κλύων	
	τὰ σέμν' ἵν' ήκει τοῦ θεοῦ μαντεύματα.	
OI.	οὖτος δὲ τίς ποτ' ἐστὶ καὶ τί μοι λέγει;	
IO.	έκ τῆς Κορίνθου, πατέρα τὸν σὸν ἀγγελῶν	955
	ώς οὐκ ἔτ' ὄντα Πόλυβον, ἀλλ' ἐλωλότα.	,,,,
OI.	τί φής, ξέν'; αὐτός μοι σὺ σημάντωρ γενοῦ.	
	5. Cf. Lect. 936. Codd. τάχα. 943-4. Cf. Lect. 957. Cf.	Lect.

AΓ.	εὶ τοῦτο πρῶτον δεῖ μ' ἀπαγγεῖλαι σαφῶς,	
	εὖ ἴσθ' ἐκεῖνον θανάσιμον βεβηκότα.	
OI.	πότερα δόλοισιν, η νόσου ξυναλλαγή;	960
АΓ.	σμικρά παλαιά σώματ' εὐνάζει ῥοπή.	-
OI.	νόσοις δ τλήμων, ώς ἔοικεν, ἔφθιτο.	
АΓ.	καὶ τῷ μακρῷ γε συμμετρούμενος χρόνφ.	
OI.	φεῦ φεῦ, τί δῆτ' ἄν, ὧ γύναι, σκοποῖτό τις	
	την Πυθόμαντιν έστίαν, η τούς άνω	965
	κλάζοντας ὄρνις, ὧν ὑφηγητῶν ἐγώ	, ,
	κτευείν έμελλον πατέρα τον έμον; ο δε θανών	
	κεύθει κάτω δη γης: έγω δ' όδ' ένθάδε	
	ἄψαυστος ἔγχους εἴ τι μὴ τώμῷ πόθφ	
	κατέφθιθ' ουτω δ' αν θανων είη 'ξ έμου.	970
	τὰ δ' οὖν παρόντα συλλαβών θεσπίσματα	71 -
	κείται παρ' "Αιδη Πόλυβος άξι' οὐδενός.	
IO.	οὐκ οὖν ἐγώ σοι ταῦτα προὔλεγον πάλαι;	
OI.	ηὔδας ἐγωὰ δὲ τῷ φόβῷ παρηγόμην.	
IO.	μη νῦν ἔτ' αὐτῶν μηδὲν ἐς θυμὸν βάλης.	975
OI.	καὶ πῶς τὸ μητρὸς λέκτρον οὖκ ὀκνεῖν με δεῖ;	71 3
IO.	τί δ' ἂν φοβοῖτ' ἄνθρωπος, ῷ τὰ τῆς τύχης	
	κρατεῖ, πρόνοια δ' ἐστὶν οὐδενὸς σαφής;	
	εἰκῆ κράτιστον ζῆν, ὅπως δύναιτό τις.	
	σύ δ' εἰς τὰ μητρὸς μὴ φοβοῦ νυμφεύματα	980
	πολλοὶ γὰρ ἤδη κὰν ὀνείρασιν βροτών	)
	μητρί ξυνευνάσθησαν. ἀλλὰ ταῦθ' ὅτφ	
	παρ' οὐδέν ἐστι, ῥᾶστα τὸν βίον φέρει.	
OI.	καλώς ἄπαντα ταῦτ' ἂν έξείρητό σοι,	
	εὶ μὴ κύρει ζῶσ' ἡ τεκοῦσα' νῦν δ' ἐπεὶ	985
	ζη, πασ' ἀνάγκη, κεὶ καλώς λέγεις, ὀκνείν.	<i>J</i> · <i>J</i>
IO.		
OI.	μέγας, ξυνίημ' άλλὰ τῆς ζώσης φόβος.	
AΓ.		
	Constitution of the state of th	

OI.	Μερόπης, γεραιέ, Πόλυβος ής ψκει μέτα.	990
AΓ.	τί δ' ἔστ' ἐκείνης ὑμὶν ἐς φόβον φέρον;	
OI.	θεήλατον μάντευμα δεινόν, ὧ ξένε.	
$A\Gamma$ .	η ρητόν; η ούχι θεμιτον άλλον είδέναι;	
OI.	μάλιστά γ' είπε γάρ με Λοξίας ποτè	
	χρηναι μιγηναι μητρί τη 'μαυτού, τό τε	995
	πατρώον αἷμα χερσὶ ταῖς ἐμαῖς ἑλεῖν.	
	ων ούνεχ' ή Κόρινθος έξ έμου πάλαι	
	μακράν ἀπφκεῖτ' εὐτυχῶς μέν, ἀλλ' ὅμως	
	τὰ τῶν τεκόντων ὄμμαθ' ἥδιστον βλέπειν.	
AΓ.	η γὰρ τάδ' ὀκνῶν κεῖθεν ησθ' ἀπόπτολις;	1000
OI.	πατρός τε χρήζων μή φονεύς είναι, γέρον.	
AΓ.	τί δητ' *έγω οὐχί* τοῦδε τοῦ φόβου σ', ἄνα	<b>بغ</b> ,
	έπείπερ εύνους ήλθον, έξελυσάμην;	-
OI.	καὶ μὴν χάριν γ' ἂν ἀξίαν λάβοις ἐμοῦ.	
АΓ.	καὶ μὴν μάλιστα τοῦτ' ἀφικόμην, ὅπως	1005
	σοῦ πρὸς δόμους ἐλθόντος εὖ πράξαιμί τι.	
OI.	άλλ' οὔποτ' εἶμι τοῖς φυτεύσασίν γ' ὁμοῦ.	
AΓ.	ω παί, καλώς εἶ δήλος οὐκ εἰδώς τί δρᾶς.	
OI.	πως, ω γεραιέ; πρὸς θεων δίδασκέ με.	
ΑΓ.	εὶ τῶνδε φεύγεις ούνεκ' εἰς οἴκους μολεῖν.	1010
OI.	*ταρβων γε μή μοι Φοίβος έξέλθη σαφής.	
AΓ.		
OI.	τοῦτ' αὐτό, πρέσβυ, τοῦτό μ' εἰσαεὶ φοβεῖ.	
AΓ.	ἀρ' οἶσθα δῆτα πρὸς δίκης οὐδὲν τρέμων;	
OI.	πῶς δ' οὐχί, παῖς γ' εἰ τῶνδε γεννητῶν ἔφυν;	1015
AΓ.	όθούνεκ' ήν σοι Πόλυβος οὐδεν εν γένει.	
OI.	πως είπας; οὐ γὰρ Πόλυβος εξέφυσε με;	
AΓ.	οὐ μᾶλλον οὐδὲν τοῦδε τἀνδρός, ἀλλ' ἴσον.	
OI.	καὶ πῶς ὁ φύσας ἐξ ἴσου τῷ μηδενί;	
AΓ.	άλλ' οὔ σ' ἐγείνατ' οὔτ' ἐκεῖνος οὔτ' ἐγώ.	1020
OI.	άλλ' ἀντὶ τοῦ δὴ παῖδά μ' ἀνομάζετο;	
	IOII. Codd pl 70080 Cf Lect	

δῶρόν ποτ', ἴσθι, τῶν ἐμῶν χειρῶν λαβών.  $A\Gamma$ . OI. κάθ' ὧδ' ἀπ' ἄλλης χειρὸς ἔστερξεν μέγα; ή γάρ πρὶν αὐτὸν ἐξέπεισ' ἀπαιδία.  $A\Gamma$ . OI. σν δ'  $ϵμπολήσας <math>\mathring{η}$  \*τυχών μ'  $αντ<math>\mathring{φ}$  δίδως; 1025  $A\Gamma$ . εύρων ναπαίαις έν Κιθαιρώνος πτυχαίς. OI. ώδοιπόρεις δὲ πρὸς τί τούσδε τοὺς τόπους;  $A\Gamma$ . ένταθθ' δρείοις ποιμνίοις έπεστάτουν. OI. ποιμήν γάρ ήσθα κάπὶ θητεία πλάνης;  $A\Gamma$ . σοῦ δ', ὦ τέκνον, σωτήρ γε τῷ τότ' ἐν χρόνῳ. 1030 OI. τί δ' ἄλγος ἴσχοντ' ἐν \*καλῶ με λαμβάνεις;  $A\Gamma$ . ποδών αν ἄρθρα μαρτυρήσειεν τὰ σά. OI. οίμοι, τί τοῦτ' ἀρχαῖον ἐννέπεις κακόν; АΓ. λύω σ' έχοντα διατόρους ποδοίν ακμάς. OI. δεινόν γ' ὄνειδος σπαργάνων ἀνειλόμην. 1035  $A\Gamma$ . ώστ' ωνομάσθης έκ τύχης ταύτης δς εί. OI. ὦ πρὸς θεῶν, πρὸς μητρός, ἢ πατρός; φράσον. AΓ. ούκ οἶδ' · ὁ δοὺς δὲ ταῦτ' ἐμοῦ λῷον Φρονεῖ. OI. η γάρ παρ' άλλου μ' έλαβες οὐδ' αὐτὸς τυχών; AΓ. οὔκ, ἀλλὰ ποιμὴν ἄλλος ἐκδίδωσί μοι. 1040 OI. τίς οὖτος; ἢ κάτοισθα δηλῶσαι λόγω;  $A\Gamma$ . τῶν Λαΐου δήπου τις ὢνομάζετο. OI. η του τυράννου τησδε γης πάλαι ποτέ;  $A\Gamma$ . μάλιστα. τούτου τανδρός οὖτος ἢν βοτήρ. η κάστ' ἔτι ζων οδτος, ώστ' ιδείν ἐμέ; OI. 1045 ΑΓ. ύμεις γ' ἄριστ' είδειτ' αν ούπιχώριοι. OI. έστιν τις ύμων των παρεστώτων πέλας, οστις κάτοιδε τον βοτηρ', ον εννέπει, εἴτ' οὖν ἐπ' ἀγρῶν εἴτε κἀνθάδ' εἰσιδών; σημήναθ', ώς ὁ καιρὸς εύρησθαι τάδε. 1050 XO.  $\partial i \mu a i \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \partial i \delta \hat{\epsilon} \nu' \partial i \lambda \delta \nu \partial i \tau \partial \nu \partial i \delta \partial i \gamma \partial i \lambda \partial i \gamma$ ον καμάτευες πρόσθεν είσιδειν ατάρ

> ηδ' αν τάδ' οὐχ ηκιστ' αν Ἰοκάστη λέγοι. 1025. Codd. τεκών. 1031. Cf. Lect.

OI.	γύναι, νοείς έκεινον, ὂντιν άρτίως	
	μολεῖν ἐφιέμεσθα τόνδ' οὖτος λέγει;	1055
IO.	τί δ' ὅντιν' εἶπε; μηδὲν ἐντραπῆς. τὰ δὲ	
	ρηθέντα βούλου μηδὲ μεμνῆσθαι μάτην.	
OI.	οὐκ ἂν γένοιτο τοῦθ' ὅπως ἐγὼ λαβὼν	
	σημεῖα τοιαῦτ' οὐ φανῶ τοὐμὸν γένος.	
IO.	μη προς θεών, είπερ τι του σαυτου βίου	1060
	κήδει, ματεύσης τοῦθ' άλις νοσοῦσ' ἐγώ.	
OI.	θάρσει. σὺ μὲν γὰρ οὐδ' *ἐὰν τρίτης ἐγὼ	
	μητρὸς φανῶ τρίδουλος ἐκφανεῖ κακή.	
IO.	όμως πιθοῦ μοι, λίσσομαι μη δρα τάδε.	
OI.	οὐκ ὰν πιθοίμην μὴ οὐ τάδ' ἐκμαθεῖν σαφῶς.	1065
IO.	καὶ μὴν φρονοῦσά γ' εὖ τὰ λῷστά σοι λέγω.	_
OI.	τὰ λῷστα τοίνυν ταῦτά μ' ἀλγύνει πάλαι.	
O.	ῶ δύσποτμ', εἴθε μήποτε γνοίης ὸς εἶ.	
OI.	άξει τις έλθων δεῦρο τὸν βοτῆρά μοι;	
	ταύτην δ' έᾶτε πλουσίω χαίρειν γένει.	1070
IO.	<i>ἰοὺ ἰού, δύστηνε</i> · τοῦτο γάρ σ' ἔχω	·
	μόνον προσειπεῖν, ἄλλο δ' οὔποθ' ἵστερον.	
XO.		
	ἄξασα λύπης ή γυνή; δέδοιχ' ὅπως	
	μὴ 'κ τῆς σιωπῆς τῆσδ' ἀναρρήξει κακά.	1075
OI.	όποῖα χρήζει ἡηγνύτω· τοὐμὸν δ' ἐγώ,	
	κεί σμικρόν έστι, σπέρμ' ίδεῖν βουλήσομαι.	
	αὕτη δ' ἴσως, φρονεῖ γὰρ ώς γυνὴ μέγα,	
	τὴν δυσγένειαν τὴν ἐμὴν αἰσχύνεται.	
	έγω δ' <i>έμαυτ</i> ον παίδα τῆς Τύχης νέμων	1080
	τῆς $\epsilon$ ὖ διδούσης οὐκ $\mathring{a}$ τιμ $a$ σ $ heta$ ήσομ $a$ ι.	
	τῆς γὰρ πέφυκα μητρός· οἱ δὲ συγγενεῖς	
	μῆνές με μικρὸν καὶ μέγαν διώρισαν.	
	τοιόσδε δ' ἐκφὺς οὐκ ἂν ἐξέλθοιμ' ἔτι	
	ποτ' ἄλλος, ὥστε μὴ 'κμαθεῖν τοὐμὸν γένος.	1085
	1055. Codd. L. A. τόν θ'. Cf. Lect. 1062. Codd. αν έκ	κ.

ΧΟ. εἴπερ ἐγωὰ μάντις εἰμὶ καὶ κατὰ γνώμαν ἴδρις, στρ. οὐ τὸν "Ολυμπον, ἀπείρων, ὦ Κιθαιρών, οὐκ ἔσει τὰν αὔριον πανσέληνον, μη οὐ σέ γε καὶ πατριώταν \*Οἰδίπουν 1090 καὶ τροφον καὶ ματέρ' αὔξειν, καὶ χορεύεσθαι πρὸς ήμῶν ώς έπίηρα φέροντα τοῖς έμοῖς τυράννοις. 1095 ίηϊε Φοίβε, σοὶ \*δ' οὖν\* ταῦτ' ἀρέστ' εἴη.  $\int d\nu \tau$ . τίς σε, τέκνον, τίς σ' έτικτε τᾶν μακραιώνων \*κορ ᾶν Πανὸς ὀρεσσιβάτα \*πατρὸς πελασθεῖσ'\* 1100 \* η σ ε γ' εὐνάτειρά τις\* Λοξίου; τῷ γάρ πλάκες ἀγρόνομοι πάσαι φίλαι. εἴθ' ὁ Κυλλάνας ἀνάσσων \*σ' εἴθ' ὁ Βακχεῖος θεὸς ναί-1105 ων έπ' ἄκρων ορέων \*λόγευμα δέξατ' έκ του νυμφάν \* Ελικωνίδων, αίς πλείστα συμπαίζει;

- ΟΙ. εἰ χρή τι κἀμὲ μὴ ξυναλλάξαντά πω, 1110 πρέσβεις, σταθμᾶσθαι, τὸν βοτῆρ' ὁρᾶν δοκῶ, ὅνπερ πάλαι ζητοῦμεν. ἔν τε γὰρ μακρῷ γήρα ξυνάδει τῷδε τἀνδρὶ σύμμετρος, ἄλλως τε τοὺς ἄγοντας ὥσπερ οἰκέτας ἔγνωκ' ἐμαυτοῦ· τῆ δ' ἐπιστήμη σύ μου 1115 προὕχοις τάχ' ἄν που, τὸν βοτῆρ' ἰδὼν πάρος.
- ΧΟ. ἔγνωκα γάρ, σάφ' ἴσθι Λαΐου γὰρ ἦν εἴπερ τις ἄλλος πιστὸς ὡς νομεὺς ἀνήρ.
- ΟΙ. σὲ πρῶτ' ἐρωτῶ, τὸν Κορίνθιον ξένον, ἢ τόνδε φράζεις; ΑΓ. τοῦτον, ὅνπερ εἰσορậς. 1120
- ΟΙ. οὖτος σύ, πρέσβυ, δεῦρό μοι φώνει βλέπων ὅσ' ἄν σ' ἐρωτῶ. Λαΐου ποτ' ἦσθα σύ;

1090. Codd. Οἰδίπου. 1096. Codd. σοὶ δὲ. 1099. Codd. ἄρα.
1100. Codd. προσπελασθεῖσ'. 1101. Codd. ἢ σέ γέ τις θυγάτηρ.
1104. See Lection. 1107. Codd. σ' εὕρημα. 1108. Codd.
Έλικωνιάδων. De toto carmine cf. Lect. 1111. Cf. Lect.

## ΘΕΡΑΠΩΝ.

	η δούλος οὐκ ωνητός, ἀλλ' οἴκοι τραφείς.	
OI.	ἔργον μεριμνῶν ποῖον ἢ βίον τίνα;	
ΘE.	ποίμναις τὰ πλεῖστα τοῦ βίου ξυνειπόμην.	1125
OI.	χώροις μάλιστα πρός τίσι ξύναυλος ὤν;	
ΘΕ.	ην μεν Κιθαιρών, ην δε πρόσχωρος τόπος.	
OI.	τὸν ἄνδρα τόνδ' οὖν οἶσθα τῆδέ που μαθών;	
ΘE.	τί χρημα δρώντα; ποιον ἄνδρα και λέγεις;	
OI.	τόνδ' δς πάρεστιν η ξυναλλάξας τί *που;	1130
ΘE.	ούχ ὥστε γ' εἰπεῖν ἐν τάχει μνήμης *ἄπο.	Ü
АΓ.	κοὐδέν γε θαῦμα, δέσποτ. ἀλλ' ἐγώ σαφῶς	
	άγνῶτ' ἀναμνήσω νιν, εὖ γὰρ οἶδ' ὅτι	
	κάτοιδεν ήμος του Κιθαιρώνος τόπου	
	ό μεν διπλοίσι ποιμνίοις έγω δ' ένί,	1135
	έπλησίαζον τῷδε τἀνδρὶ τρεῖς ὅλους	0.0
	έξ ήρος είς άρκτοῦρον *έκμήνους χρόνους.	
	χειμῶνι δ' ἤδη τἀμά τ' εἰς ἔπαυλ' ἐγὼ	
	ήλαυνον οὖτός τ' εἰς τὰ Λαΐου σταθμά.	
	λέγω τι τούτων η οὐ λέγω πεπραγμένον;	1140
ΘE.	λέγεις άληθη, καίπερ ἐκ μακροῦ χρόνου.	
АΓ.	φέρ' εἰπὲ νῦν, τότ' οἶσθα παῖδά μοί τινα	
	δούς, ως ἐμαυτῷ θρέμμα θρεψαίμην ἐγώ;	
ΘE.	τί δ' ἔστι; πρὸς τί τοῦτο τοὔπος ἱστορεῖς;	
AΓ.	όδ' ἐστίν, ὧ τᾶν, κεῖνος ὃς τότ' ἦν νέος.	1145
ΘE.	οὖκ εἰς ὄλεθρον; οὖ σιωπήσας ἔσει;	
OI.	ά, μὴ κόλαζε, πρέσβυ, τόνδ', ἐπεὶ τὰ σὰ	
	δεῖται κολαστοῦ μᾶλλον ἢ τὰ τοῦδ' ἔπη.	
ΘE.	τί δ', ὧ φέριστε δεσποτῶν, ἁμαρτάνω;	
OI.	οὐκ ἐννέπων τὸν παίδ' ὃν οὖτος ίστορεῖ.	1150
ΘE.	λέγει γὰρ εἰδως οὐδέν, ἀλλ' ἄλλως πονεῖ.	
OI.	σὺ πρὸς χάριν μὲν οὖκ ἐρεῖς, κλάων δ' ἐρεῖς	
110	. Cf Leet 1127 Codd duwbrane 1128 Cod I 20	~

ΘΕ. μη δήτα πρὸς θεῶν τὸν γέροντά μ' αἰκίση. ΟΙ. οὐχ ώς τάχος τις τοῦδ' ἀποστρέψει χέρας; ΘΕ. δύστηνος, ἀντὶ τοῦ; τί προσχρήζων μαθείν; 1155 ΟΙ. τὸν παίδ' ἔδωκας τῷδ' ὃν οὖτος ἱστορεί; ΘΕ. ἔδωκ' ολέσθαι δ' ὤφελον τῆδ' ἡμέρα. OI. άλλ' είς τόδ' ήξεις μη λέγων γε τοὔνδικον. ΘΕ. πολλώ γε μάλλον, ην φράσω, διόλλυμαι. OI.  $\dot{a}\nu\dot{\eta}\rho$  őδ',  $\dot{\omega}$ ς  $\ddot{\epsilon}$ οικ $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}$ ς  $\tau\rho\iota\beta\dot{a}$ ς  $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\hat{a}$ . 1160  $\Theta E$ . οὐ δῆτ' ἔγωγ', ἀλλ' εἶπον ώς δοίην πάλαι. OI.  $\pi \acute{o}\theta \epsilon \nu \lambda a \beta \acute{\omega} \nu$ ;  $o \i \kappa \epsilon \i \iota \nu \right)$   $\mathring{\gamma} \i \iota \nu \right)$   $\mathring{\xi} \i \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu \right]$   $\tau \iota \nu \acute{o}$ ; ΘΕ. ἐμὸν μὲν οὐκ ἔγωγ', ἐδεξάμην δέ του. OI. τίνος πολιτών τώνδε κάκ ποίας στέγης; ΘΕ. μὴ πρὸς θεῶν, μή, δέσποθ', ἱστόρει πλέον. 1165 όλωλας, εἴ σε ταῦτ' ἐρήσομαι πάλιν. OI. ΘΕ. των Λαίου τοίνυν τις ην γεννημάτων. ΟΙ. η δούλος, η κείνου τις έγγενης γεγώς; ΘE. οίμοι, πρὸς αὐτῷ γ' εἰμὶ τῷ δεινῷ λέγειν. OI. κάγως' ἀκούειν. ἀλλ' ὅμως ἀκουστέον. 1170 ΘΕ. κείνου γέ τοι δη παις ἐκλήζεθ' η δ΄ ἔσω κάλλιστ' αν είποι ση γυνη τάδ' ώς έχει. OI.  $\vec{\eta}$  yàp δίδωσιν ήδε σοι; ΘΕ. μάλιστ', ἄναξ. OI. ώς πρὸς τί χρείας; ΘΕ. ώς ἀναλώσαιμί νιν. 1174 OI. τεκοῦσα τλήμων; ΘΕ. θεσφάτων γ' ὄκνω κακών. OI. ποίων; ΘΕ. κτενείν νιν τους τεκόντας ήν λόγος. πως δητ' ἀφηκας τω γέροντι τωδε σύ; OI. ΘΕ. κατοικτίσας, ὧ δέσποθ', ὡς ἄλλην χθόνα δοκών ἀποίσειν, αὐτὸς ἔνθεν ἢν ὁ δὲ κάκ' εἰς μέγιστ' ἔσωσεν. εἰ γὰρ οὖτος εἶ 1180 ον φησιν οδτος, ἴσθι δύσποτμος γεγώς. ΟΙ. ἰοὺ ἰού· τὰ πάντ' ἂν ἐξήκοι σαφῆ. ω φως, τελευταίον σε προσβλέψαιμι νῦν, όστις πέφασμαι φύς τ' ἀφ' ὧν οὐ χρῆν, ξὺν οἷς τ' οὐ χρην μ' ὁμιλῶν, ούς τέ μ' οὐκ ἔδει κτανών. ΙΙ85

ΧΟ. ὶω γενεαὶ βροτών,  $\sigma \tau \rho. \ \alpha'.$ ώς ύμας ἴσα καὶ τὸ μηδὲν ζώσας ἐναριθμῶ. τίς γάρ, τίς ἀνὴρ πλέον τᾶς εὐδαιμονίας φέρει 1190 η τοσούτον όσον δοκείν, καὶ δόξαντ' ἀποκλίναι; \*τὸν σόν τοι παράδειγμ' ἔχων, τον σον δαίμονα, τον σον, ω τλάμον Οιδιπόδα, βροτῶν 1195 \*οὐδὲν μακαρίζω. όστις καθ' ύπερβολαν  $\dot{a}\nu\tau$ , a'. τοξεύσας ἐκράτησε τοῦ πάντ' εὐδαίμονος ὄλβου, ω Ζεῦ, κατὰ μὲν φθίσας τὰν γαμψώνυχα παρθένον χρησμφδόν, θανάτων δ' έμậ 1200 χώρα πύργος \*ἀναστάς. έξ οδ καὶ βασιλεύς \*κλύεις \*άμός, καὶ τὰ μέγιστ' ἐτιμάθης ταῖς μεγάλαισιν ἐν Θήβαισιν ἀνάσσων. τανῦν δ' ἀκούειν τίς ἀθλιώτερος;  $\sigma$ τho. eta'. τίς ἄταισιν ἀγρίαις, τίς ἀλλαγᾶ 1205 βίου ξύνοικος ἐν πόνοις; ιω κλεινον Οιδίπου κάρα, φ γε λιμην μέγας αύτὸς ήρκεσε παιδί καὶ πατρί θαλαμηπόλφ πεσείν, πῶς ποτε πῶς ποθ' αἱ πατρῷαί σ' ἄλοκες φέρειν, τάλας, σις έδυνάθησαν ές τοσόνδε; έφεῦρέ σ' ἄκονθ' ὁ πάνθ' ὁρῶν χρόνος:  $\vec{a}\nu\tau$ .  $\beta'$ . δικάζει τὸν ἄγαμον γάμον πάλαι

1193. Codd.  $\tau$ δ σδν. 1196. Codd. οὐδένα. 1201. Codd. pl. ἀνέστα. 1202. Codd.  $\kappa$ αλεῖ. 1203. Codd.  $\epsilon$ μός. 1205–6. See Lection. 1208. Codd.  $\dot{\varphi}$ . 1210. Cf. Lect.

τεκνοῦντα καὶ τεκνούμενον.

\* φεῦ φεῦ \* Λαίειον \* ἔρνος, εἴθ'
εἴθε \* σε μήποτε \*
μήποτ' \*εἶδον' ὀδύρομαι γὰρ \* ὥσπερ ἰάλεμον
χέων\*
ἐκ στομάτων. τὸ δ' ὀρθὸν εἰπεῖν, ἀνέπνευσά τ'
εἰκ σέθεν
καὶ κατεκοίμησα τοὐμὸν ὅμμα.

## ΕΞΑΓΓΕΛΟΣ.

ὧ γης μέγιστα τησδ' ἀεὶ τιμώμενοι, οί' ἔργ' ἀκούσεσθ', οία δ' εἰσόψεσθ', ὅσον δ' ἀρεῖσθε πένθος, εἴπερ ἐγγενῶς ἔτι 1225 τῶν Λαβδακείων ἐντρέπεσθε δωμάτων. οίμαι γάρ οὐτ' αν Ίστρον οὔτε Φάσιν αν νίψαι καθαρμώ τήνδε την στέγην, δσα κεύθει, τὰ δ' αὐτίκ' εἰς τὸ φῶς φανεῖ κακὰ έκόντα κούκ ἄκοντα, τῶν δὲ πημονῶν 1230 μάλιστα λυποῦσ' αὶ φανῶσ' αὐθαίρετοι. ΧΟ. λείπει μεν οὐδ' ὰ πρόσθεν \* ἤδεμεν τὸ μὴ οὐ βαρύστον' είναι πρὸς δ' ἐκείνοισιν τί φής; ΕΞ. ὁ μὲν τάχιστος τῶν λόγων εἰπεῖν τε καὶ μαθείν, τέθνηκε θείον Ἰοκάστης κάρα. 1235 ΧΟ. ὦ δυστάλαινα, πρὸς τίνος ποτ' αἰτίας; ΕΞ. αὐτὴ πρὸς αύτῆς. τῶν δὲ πραχθέντων τὰ μὲν άλγιστ' άπεστιν' ή γὰρ ὄψις οὐ πάρα. όμως δ', όσον γε κάν έμοὶ μνήμης ένι, πεύσει τὰ κείνης ἀθλίας παθήματα. 1240 ζπως γὰρ ὀργῆ χρωμένη παρῆλθ' ἔσω θυρώνος, ίετ' εὐθὺ πρὸς τὰ νυμφικὰ λέχη, κόμην σπῶσ' ἀμφιδεξίοις ἀκμαῖς.

1216. Codd. ιὼ Λαΐειον τέκνον. 1217. σε abest a codicibus. 1218. Codd. εἰδόμαν... ώς περίαλλα ἰαχέων. 1232. Codd. pl. ἤδειμεν.

πύλας δ', όπως εἰσηλθ', \*ἐπιρράξασ' ἔσω κάλει τὸν ἤδη Λάϊον πάλαι νεκρόν, 1245 μνήμην παλαιών σπερμάτων έχουσ' ύφ' ών θάνοι μεν αὐτός, την δε τίκτουσαν λίποι τοίς οίσιν αὐτοῦ δύστεκνον παιδουργίαν. γοᾶτο δ' εὐνάς, ἔνθα δύστηνος διπλοῦς, έξ ἀνδρὸς ἄνδρα καὶ τέκν' ἐκ τέκνων τέκοι. χώπως μεν εκ τωνδ' οὐκ ἔτ' οἶδ' ἀπόλλυται. βοών γὰρ εἰσέπαισεν Οιδίπους, ὑφ' οῦ οὐκ ἢν τὸ κείνης ἐκθεάσασθαι κακόν άλλ' είς έκείνου περιπολούντ' έλεύσσομεν. φοιτά γάρ, ήμας έγχος έξαιτων πορείν, 1255 γυναϊκά τ' οὐ γυναῖκα, μητρώαν δ' ὅπου \*κίχη διπλην ἄρουραν οὖ τε καὶ τέκνων. λυσσωντι δ' αὐτῷ δαιμόνων δείκνυσί τις: οὐδεὶς γὰρ ἀνδρῶν, οἱ παρῆμεν ἐγγύθεν. δεινον δ' ἀύσας, ώς ύφηγητοῦ τινος; 1260 πύλαις διπλαίς ἐνήλατ' ἐκ δὲ πυθμένων έκλινε κοίλα κλήθρα, κάμπίπτει στέγη. οῦ δὴ κρεμαστὴν τὴν γυναῖκ' ἐσείδομεν, πλεκταίς εώραις εμπεπλεγμένην. ό δε όπως όρα νιν, δεινά βρυχηθείς τάλας, 1265 χαλά κρεμαστήν 'ρτάνην. ἐπεὶ δὲ γή έκειτο τλήμων, δεινά δ' ην τανθένδ' δραν. άποσπάσας γάρ είμάτων χρυσηλάτους περόνας ἀπ' αὐτης, αἶσιν έξεστέλλετο, άρας έπαισεν άρθρα τῶν αὐτοῦ κύκλων, 1270 αὐδῶν τοιαῦθ', δθούνεκ' οὐκ ὄψοιντό νιν οὐθ' οῖ' ἔπασχεν οὐθ' ὁποῖ' ἔδρα κακά, άλλ' ἐν σκότω τὸ λοιπὸν οὺς μὲν οὐκ ἔδει οψοίαθ', οὺς δ' ἔχρηζεν οὐ γνωσοίατο. τοιαῦτ' ἐφυμνῶν, πολλάκις τε κοὐχ ἄπαξ 1257. Codd. κίχοι. 1264. Cf. Lect. 1271. Cf. Lect.

ήρασσ' ἐπαίρων βλέφαρα. φοίνιαι δ' όμοῦ γληναι γένει έτεγγον, οὐδ ανίεσαν φόνου μυδώσας σταγόνας, άλλ' όμοῦ μέλας ομβρος χαλάζης αίματός τ' ετέγγετο. τάδ' ἐκ δυοῖν ἔρρωγεν, οὐ μόνου \*πάρα, 1280 άλλ' άνδρὶ καὶ γυναικὶ συμμιγή κακά. ό πρίν παλαιὸς δ' ὄλβος ήν πάροιθε μέν όλβος δικαίως νυν δε τήδε θημέρα στεναγμός, ἄτη, θάνατος, αἰσχύνη, κακῶν όσ' ἐστὶ πάντων ὀνόματ', οὐδέν ἐστ' ἀπόν. ΧΟ. νῦν δ' ἔσθ' ὁ τλήμων \*ἔν τινι\* σχολή κακοῦ; ΕΞ. βοά διοίγειν κλήθρα καὶ δηλοῦν τινα τοίς πάσι Καδμείοισι τον πατροκτόνον, τὸν μητρὸς—αὐδῶν ἀνόσι' οὐδὲ ἡητά μοι, ώς εκ χθονός ρίψων εαυτόν, οὐδ' ετι 1290 μενών δόμοις άραῖος, ώς ήράσατο. ρώμης γε μέντοι καὶ προηγητοῦ τινος δείται τὸ γὰρ νόσημα μείζον ἢ φέρειν. δείξει δὲ καὶ σοί. κληθρα γὰρ πυλῶν τάδε διοίγεται θέαμα δ' εἰσόψει τάχα 1295 τοιούτον οίον καὶ στυγούντ' ἐποικτίσαι. ΧΟ. ὦ δεινὸν ἰδεῖν πάθος ἀνθρώποις, ὦ δεινότατον πάντων ὅσ᾽ ἐγώ προσέκυρσ' ήδη. τίς σ', ὧ τλημον, προσέβη μανία; τίς δ πηδήσας 1300 μείζονα δαίμων τῶν μακίστων πρός σή δυσδαίμονι μοίρα; φεῦ φεῦ, δύσταν'. αλλ' οὐδ' ἐσιδεῖν δύναμαί σ', ἐθέλων πόλλ' ἀνερέσθαι, πολλὰ πυθέσθαι, πολλά δ' άθρησαι. 1305 τοίαν φρίκην παρέχεις μοι.

1279. Cf. Lect. 1280. Codd. κακά.

1286. Codd. ἐν τίνι.

OI. alaî alaî. φεῦ φεῦ δύστανος ἐγώ, ποῖ γᾶς φέρομαι τλάμων; πα μοι φθογγα  $*\delta\iota a\theta\epsilon\hat{\iota} \phi o\rho \dot{a}\delta\eta\nu$ ; 1310 ιω δαίμου, ζυ' έξήλου. ΧΟ. ἐς δεινόν, οὐδ' ἀκουστὸν οὐδ' ἐπόψιμον. ΟΙ. ιω σκότου  $\sigma\tau\rho$ . a'. νέφος εμον απότροπον, επιπλόμενον άφατον, αδάματόν τε καὶ δυσούριστον \*όν. 1315 οἴμοι, οἴμοι μάλ' αὖθις οἶον εἰσέδυ μ' ἄμα κέντρων τε τωνδ' οἴστρημα καὶ μνήμη κακών. ΧΟ. καὶ θαῦμά γ' οὐδὲν ἐν τοσοῖσδε πήμασιν  $\delta \iota \pi \lambda \hat{a} \ \sigma \epsilon \ \pi \epsilon \nu \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \ \kappa \alpha \hat{\iota} \ \delta \iota \pi \lambda \hat{a} \ * \phi \acute{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \iota \nu \ \kappa \alpha \kappa \acute{a}.$  1320 OI.  $i\omega \phi i\lambda o\varsigma$ ,  $\vec{a}\nu\tau$ . a'. σὺ μὲν ἐμὸς ἐπίπολος ἔτι μόνιμος. *ἔτι* γὰρ ύπομένεις με τὸν τυφλὸν κηδεύων. φεῦ φεῦ. οὐ γάρ με λήθεις, ἀλλὰ γιγνώσκω σαφῶς, 1325 καίπερ σκοτεινός, τήν γε σην αὐδην όμως. ΧΟ. ὦ δεινὰ δράσας, πῶς ἔτλης τοιαῦτα σὰς όψεις μαράναι; τίς σ' έπηρε δαιμόνων; ΟΙ. 'Απόλλων τάδ' ἦν, 'Απόλλων, φίλοι,  $\sigma\tau\rho$ .  $\beta'$ . δ κακὰ κακὰ τελών ἐμὰ τάδ' ἐμὰ πάθεα. 1330 ἔπαισε δ' αὐτόχειρ νιν οὔτις ἀλλ' ἐγω τλάμων. τί γὰρ ἔδει μ' δρᾶν, ότω γ' δρώντι μηδέν ην ίδειν γλυκύ; 1335 ΧΟ. ἦν ταῦθ' ὅπωσπερ καὶ σὰ φής. OI. τί δητ' ἐμοὶ βλεπτόν, ἢ  $\sigma$ τρ.  $\gamma'$ . στερκτόν, η προσήγορον ἔτ' ἔστ' ἀκούειν ήδονᾶ, φίλοι;

1310. Codd. διαπέταται. 1315. ὅν abest a codicibus. 1320. Codd.  $\phi$ ορεῖν.

απάγετ' εκτόπιον ὅτι τάχιστά με,	1340
$\vec{a}\pi \vec{a}\gamma \epsilon \vec{\tau}$ , $\vec{\omega}$ $\phi i\lambda \omega$ , $\tau \dot{\omega} \nu * \mu \dot{\epsilon} \gamma' \dot{\sigma} \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \theta \rho \omega \nu *$ ,	
τὸν καταρατότατον, ἔτι δὲ καὶ θεοῖς	1345
έχθρότατον βροτῶν.	

ΧΟ. δείλαιε τοῦ νοῦ τῆς τε συμφορᾶς ἴσον,
ὅς σ' ἠθέλησα \*μηδάμ' αν γνωναί ποτε.\*

ΟΙ. ὅλοιθ' ὅστις ἦν ὁς ἀγρίας πέδας ἀντ. β΄.

\*ἀπό μ'\* ἐπιποδίας \*ἔλαβ' ἀπό τε φόνου\*μ' 1350
ἔρυτο κἀνέσωσεν, οὐδὲν εἰς χάριν πράσσων.

τότε γὰρ ἂν θανὼν

οὐκ ἦ φίλοισιν οὐδ' ἐμοὶ τοσόνδ' ἄχος. 1355

XO.  $\theta$ έλοντι κ $\dot{a}$ μοὶ τοῦτ'  $\ddot{a}$ ν  $\ddot{\eta}$ ν.

ΟΙ. οὖκ οὖν πατρός γ' ἂν φονεὺς ἀντ. γ'.
ἢλθον, οὖδὲ νυμφίος
βροτοῖς ἐκλήθην ὧν ἔφυν ἄπο.
νῦν δ' \*ἄθεος μέν εἰμ', ἀνοσίων δὲ παῖς, 1360
ὁμογενὴς δ' ἀφ' ὧν αὐτὸς ἔφυν τάλας.
εἰ δέ τι πρεσβύτερον ἔτι κακοῦ κακόν, 1365
τοῦτ' ἔλαχ' Οἰδίπους.

ΧΟ. οὐκ οἶδ' ὅπως σε φῶ βεβουλεῦσθαι καλῶς:
κρείσσων γὰρ ἦσθα μηκέτ' ὢν ἢ ζῶν τυφλός.

ΟΙ. ώς μὲν τάδ' οὐχ ὧδ' ἔστ' ἄριστ' εἰργασμένα, μή μ' ἐκδίδασκε, μηδὲ συμβούλευ' ἔτι. 1370 ἐγω γὰρ οὐκ οἶδ' ὅμμασιν ποίοις βλέπων πατέρα ποτ' ὰν προσείδον εἰς ''Αιδου μολών, οὐδ' αὖ τάλαιναν μητέρ', οἶν ἐμοὶ δυοῖν ἔργ' ἐστὶ κρείσσον' ἀγχόνης εἰργασμένα. ὰλλ' ἡ τέκνων δῆτ' ὄψις ἦν ἐφίμερος, 1375 βλαστοῦσ' ὅπως ἔβλαστε, προσλεύσσειν ἐμοί. οὐ δῆτα τοῖς γ' ἐμοῖσιν ὀφθαλμοῖς ποτε'

1343. Codd. ὀλέθριον μέγαν. 1348. Codd. μηδ' ἀναγῶναί ποτ' ἄν. 1349. Codd. ἀπ' ἀγρίας. 1350. Codd. νομάδος ἔλυσεν ἀπό τε φόνου. 1360. Codd. ἄθλιος.

οὐδ' ἄστυ γ', οὐδὲ πύργος, οὐδὲ δαιμόνων αγάλμαθ' ίερά, των ὁ παντλήμων έγω κάλλιστ' ἀνὴρ εἶς ἔν γε ταῖς Θήβαις τραφεὶς 1380 απεστέρησ' έμαυτόν, αὐτὸς ἐννέπων ωθείν απαντας τον ἀσεβη, τον έκ θεων φανέντ' ἄναγνον. καὶ γένους τοῦ Λαΐου τοιάνδ' έγω κηλίδα μηνύσας έμην ορθοίς έμελλον όμμασιν τούτους δράν; 1385 ηκιστά γ' άλλ' εἰ τῆς ἀκουούσης ἔτ' ἦν πηγής δι' ἄτων φραγμός, οὐκ ἂν ἐσχόμην τὸ μὴ ἀποκλησαι τουμὸν ἄθλιον δέμας, ίν' ή τυφλός τε καὶ κλύων μηδέν. τὸ γάρ τὴν φροντίδ' ἔξω τῶν κακῶν οἰκεῖν γλυκύ. 1390 ιω Κιθαιρών, τί μ' έδέχου; τί μ' οὐ λαβών έκτεινας εὐθύς, ώς έδειξα μήποτε έμαυτον ανθρώποισιν ένθεν ην γεγώς; ὦ Πόλυβε καὶ Κόρινθε καὶ τὰ πάτρια λόγω παλαιά δώμαθ', οἷον ἆρά με 1395 κάλλος κακῶν ὕπουλον ἐξεθρέψατε. νῦν γὰρ κακός τ' ὢν κάκ κακῶν εύρίσκομαι. ὦ τρεῖς κέλευθοι καὶ κεκρυμμένη νάπη δρυμός τε καὶ στενωπὸς ἐν τριπλαῖς ὁδοῖς, αὶ τουμὸν αξμα τῶν ἐμῶν χειρῶν ἄπο 1400 έπίετε πατρός, ἆρά μου μέμνησθ' \*ἔτι, οδ' ἔργα δράσας ύμὶν εἶτα δεῦρ' ἰων όποι ἔπρασσον αθθις; ω γάμοι γάμοι, έφύσαθ' ήμᾶς, καὶ φυτεύσαντες πάλιν ανείτε ταὐτὸν σπέρμα, κάπεδείξατε 1405 πατέρας, ἀδελφούς, παίδας, αίμ' ἐμφύλιον, νύμφας, γυναίκας, μητέρας τε, χώπόσα αἴσχιστ' ἐν ἀνθρώποισιν ἔργα γίγνεται. άλλ' οὐ γὰρ αὐδᾶν ἔσθ' ὰ μηδὲ δρᾶν καλόν, 1383. Cf. Lect. 1401. Codd. ὅτι.

	όπως τάχιστα πρὸς θεῶν ἔξω μέ που	1410			
	καλύψατ', ἢ φονεύσατ', ἢ θαλάσσιον				
	έκρίψατ', ἔνθα μήποτ' εἰσόψεσθ' ἔτι.				
	ίτ', άξιώσατ' άνδρὸς άθλίου θιγείν.				
	*πίθεσθε, μὴ δείσητε. τάμὰ γὰρ κακὰ				
	οὐδεὶς οἷός τε πλην έμοῦ φέρειν βροτών.	1415			
XO.	άλλ' ὧν ἐπαιτεῖς ἐς δέον πάρεσθ' ὅδε				
	Κρέων τὸ πράσσειν καὶ τὸ βουλεύειν ἐπεὶ				
	χώρας λέλειπται μοῦνος ἀντὶ σοῦ φύλαξ.				
OI.	οἴμοι, τί δῆτα λέξομεν πρὸς τόνδ' ἔπος;				
	τίς μοι φανείται πίστις ἔνδικος; τὰ γὰρ	1420			
	πάρος πρὸς αὐτὸν πάντ' ἐφεύρημαι κακός.				
KP.	ούχ ώς γελαστής, Οιδίπους, ελήλυθα,				
	οὐδ' ώς ὀνειδιῶν τι τῶν πάρος κακῶν.				
	άλλ' εἰ τὰ θνητῶν μὴ καταισχύνεσθ' ἔτι				
	γένεθλα, τὴν γοῦν πάντα βόσκουσαν φλόγα	1425			
	αίδεῖσθ' ἄνακτος 'Ηλίου, τοιόνδ' ἄγος				
	ακάλυπτον ούτω δεικνύναι, το μήτε γη				
	μήτ' ὄμβρος ίερὸς μήτε φῶς προσδέξεται.				
	άλλ' ώς τάχιστ' ές οἶκον ἐσκομίζετε.				
	τοῖς ἐν γένει γὰρ τὰγγενῆ μάλισθ' ὁρᾶν	1430			
	μόνοις τ' ἀκούειν εὐσεβῶς ἔχει κακά.				
OI.	πρὸς θεῶν, ἐπείπερ ἐλπίδος μ' ἀπέσπασας,				
	ἄριστος ἐλθών πρὸς κάκιστον ἄνδρ' ἐμέ,				
	πιθοῦ τί μοι πρὸς σοῦ γάρ, οὐδ' ἐμοῦ, φράσω.				
KP.		1435			
OI.	ριψόν με γης έκ τησδ' όσον τάχισθ' όπου				
	θνητῶν φανοῦμαι μηδενὸς προσήγορος.				
KP.	έδρασ' αν εὖ τοῦτ' ἴσθ' ἄν, εἰ μὴ τοῦ θεοῦ				
	πρώτιστ' ἔχρηζον ἐκμαθεῖν τί πρακτέον.				
OI.	άλλ' η γ' ἐκείνου πᾶσ' ἐδηλώθη φάτις,	1440			
	τον πατροφόντην, τον ἀσεβη μ' ἀπολλύναι.				
	1414. Codd. πείθεσθε.				

ΚΡ. οὕτως ἐλέχθη ταῦθ' ὅμως δ' ἵν' ἔσταμεν χρείας ἄμεινον ἐκμαθεῖν τί δραστέον.

ΟΙ. οὕτως ἄρ' ἀνδρὸς ἀθλίου πεύσεσθ' ὕπερ;

ΚΡ. καὶ γὰρ σὰ νῦν γ' ἄν τῷ θεῷ πίστιν φέροις. 1445

ΟΙ. καὶ σοί γ' ἐπισκήπτω τε καὶ προστρέψομαι, της μεν κατ' οἴκους αὐτὸς δν θέλεις τάφον θοῦ καὶ γὰρ ὀρθώς τών γε σών τελεῖς ὕπερ. έμου δε μήποτ' άξιωθήτω τόδε πατρώον ἄστυ ζώντος οἰκητοῦ τυχεῖν. 1450 άλλ' έα με ναίειν ὄρεσιν, ένθα κλήζεται ούμὸς Κιθαιρών οὖτος, ὃν μήτηρ τέ μοι πατήρ τ' έθέσθην ζώντε κύριον τάφον, ίν' εξ εκείνων, οί μ' απωλλύτην, θάνω. καίτοι τοσοῦτόν γ' οἶδα, μήτε μ' ἂν νόσον 1455 μήτ' ἄλλο πέρσαι μηδέν οὐ γὰρ ἄν ποτε θνήσκων ἐσώθην, μὴ ἐπί τω δεινῶ κακῶ.  $d\lambda\lambda$ ' ή μεν ήμων μοίρ', ὅποιπερ εἶσ', ἴτω. παίδων δὲ τῶν μὲν ἀρσένων μή μοι, Κρέον, προσθη μέριμναν ἄνδρες εἰσίν, ώστε μη 1460 σπάνιν ποτε σχείν, ενθ' αν ωσι, του βίου: ταῖν δ' ἀθλίαιν οἰκτραῖν τε παρθένοιν ἐμαῖν, αίν οὐ ποθ' ήμη χωρίς ἐστάθη βορᾶς τράπεζ' ἄνευ τοῦδ' ἀνδρός, ἀλλ' ὅσων ἐγώ ψαύοιμι, πάντων τῶνδ' ἀεὶ μετειχέτην, 1465 ταῖν μοι μέλεσθαι καὶ μάλιστα μὲν χεροῖν ψαῦσαί μ' ἔασον, κἀποκλαύσασθαι κακά. ἴθ' ὧναξ. iθ' ω γονη γενναίε. χερσί τὰν θιγών δοκοίμ' έχειν σφας, ώσπερ ήνίκ' έβλεπον. 1470 τί φημί; οὐ δὴ κλύω που, πρὸς θεῶν, τοῖν μοι φίλοιν

δακρυρροούντοιν, καί μ' ἐποικτείρας Κρέων

1445. Cod. L.  $\tau$ '  $\alpha \nu$ . Cf. Lect. 1446. Cf. Lect. 1466. Codd. pl.  $\alpha i \nu$ .

ἔπεμψέ μοι τὰ φίλτατ' ἐκγόνοιν ἐμοῖν;  $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega \tau \iota$ ;

1475

ΚΡ. λέγεις. έγω γάρ είμ' ὁ πορσύνας τάδε, γνούς την παρούσαν τέρψιν, ή σ' είχεν πάλαι.

αλλ' εὐτυχοίης, καί σε τῆσδε τῆς όδοῦ OI. δαίμων ἄμεινον ἢ 'μὲ φρουρήσας τύχοι.  $\vec{\omega}$  τέκνα, ποῦ ποτ' ἐστέ; δεῦρ' ἴτ', ἔλθετε 1480 ώς τὰς ἀδελφὰς τάσδε τὰς ἐμὰς χέρας, αὶ τοῦ φυτουργοῦ πατρὸς ὑμὶν ὧδ' ὁρᾶν τὰ πρόσθε λαμπρὰ προύξένησαν ὅμματα: ος ύμίν, ω τέκν, οὐθ' δρών οὐθ' ίστορών πατήρ ἐφάνθην ἔνθεν αὐτὸς ἡρόθην. καὶ σφώ δακρύω: προσβλέπειν γὰρ οὐ σθένω: νοούμενος τὰ λοιπὰ τοῦ πικροῦ βίου, οΐον βιώναι σφώ πρός ανθρώπων χρεών. ποίας γὰρ ἀστῶν ἥξετ' εἰς ὁμιλίας; ποίας δ' έορτάς, ἔνθεν οὐ κεκλαυμέναι πρὸς οἶκον ἵξεσθ' ἀντὶ τῆς θεωρίας; άλλ' ήνίκ' αν δη προς γάμων ήκητ' άκμάς, τίς οὖτος ἔσται, τίς παραρρίψει, τέκνα, τοιαῦτ' ὀνείδη λαμβάνων, ὰ \*ταῖς ἐμαῖς γοναίσιν\* έσται σφών θ' όμου δηλήματα; τί γὰρ κακῶν ἄπεστι; τὸν πατέρα πατὴρ ύμων ἔπεφνε· την τεκούσαν ήροσεν, όθεν περ αὐτὸς ἐσπάρη, κάκ τῶν ἴσων έκτήσαθ' ύμᾶς, ὧνπερ αὐτὸς «ξέφυ. τοιαῦτ' ονειδιεῖσθε. κἆτα τίς γαμεῖ; οὐκ ἔστιν οὐδείς, ὧ τέκν, ἀλλὰ δηλαδή χέρσους φθαρηναι καγάμους ύμας χρεών. ω παι Μενοικέως, άλλ' ἐπεὶ μόνος πατήρ ταύταιν λέλειψαι, νώ γάρ, ώ 'φυτεύσαμεν,

1485

1490

1495

1500

1505

1494-5. Codd. τοι̂ς έμοι̂ς | γονεῦσιν. 1505. Codd. παρίδης.

ολώλαμεν δύ' οντε, μή σφε \*περιίδης

πτωχάς ἀνάνδρους ἐγγενεῖς ἀλωμένας, μηδ' έξισώσης τάσδε τοῖς έμοῖς κακοῖς. αλλ' οἴκτισόν σφας, ὧδε τηλικάσδ' ὁρῶν πάντων ἐρήμους, πλην ὅσον τὸ σὸν μέρος. ξύννευσον, ὧ γενναίε, ση ψαύσας χερί. 1510 σφών δ', ὦ τέκν', εἰ μὲν εἰχέτην ήδη φρένας, πόλλ' ἂν παρήνουν νῦν δὲ τοῦτ' εὔχεσθέ μοι, οδ καιρὸς \*έα ζην, τοῦ βίου δὲ λώονος ύμᾶς κυρησαι τοῦ φυτεύσαντος πατρός.

- ΚΡ. ἄλις ἵν' ἐξήκεις δακρύων. ἀλλ' ἴθι στέγης ἔσω. 1515
- πειστέον, κεὶ μηδὲν ἡδύ. ΚΡ. πάντα γὰρ καιρῷ καλά. OI.
- οἶσθ' ἐφ' οἷς οὖν εἶμι; ΚΡ. λέξεις, καὶ τότ' εἴσομαι OI. κλύων.
- OI.  $\gamma \hat{\eta}_S \mu' \delta \pi \omega_S \pi \epsilon \mu \psi \epsilon_{IS} \delta \pi \sigma_{IKOV}$ . KP.  $\tau \circ \hat{v} \theta \epsilon_{IS} \theta' \mu'$ αίτεῖς δόσιν.
- άλλα θεοίς γ' έχθιστος ήκω. ΚΡ. τοιγαρούν τεύξει OI.  $\tau \dot{a} \chi a$ .
- φης τάδ' οὖν; ΚΡ. ὰ μη φρονῶ γὰρ οὐ φιλῶ λέγειν OI. μάτην.
- ἄπαγέ νύν μ' ἐντεῦθεν ἤδη. ΚΡ. στεῖχέ νυν, τέκνων OI.  $\delta' \vec{a}\phi o \hat{v}$ .
- μηδαμῶς ταύτας γ' έλη μου. ΚΡ. πάντα μὴ βούλου OI. κρατεΐν:

καὶ γὰρ άκράτησας οὖ σοι τῷ βίω ξυνέσπετο.

ΧΟ. ὦ πάτρας Θήβης ἔνοικοι, λεύσσετ', Οἰδίπους ὕδε, δς τὰ κλείν' αἰνίγματ' ήδη καὶ κράτιστος ἦν ἀνήρ, Ι 525 \*ώς τις οὐ ζήλφ πολιτών καὶ τύχαις ἐπιβλέπων, είς όσον κλύδωνα δεινης συμφοράς ελήλυθεν. ώστε θνητὸν ὄντ' \*ἄμεινον, τὴν τελευταίαν ἰδεῖν ημέραν ἐπισκοποῦντα, \*μή τιν'\* ολβίζειν, πρὶν αν τέρμα τοῦ βίου περάση μηδὲν ἀλγεινὸν παθών. 1530

1526. Codd. ὅστις. Cf. Exc. IX. 1513. Codd. åel. 1528. Codd. ἐκείνην. 1529. Codd. μηδέν'. Cf. Comm.

## LECTION.

[The list of Editors and Commentators cited, with their Sigla, will be seen on p. 70. For the Codices see Preface.]

- Cod. L. στέρξαντες; m. pr. sed rec. στέξαντες; Cod. A. στέξαντες; On this and the next lines see Excursus II.
  - 13. Cod. L.  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  ov. A. B.  $\mu \dot{\eta}$ , which Schn. adopts, wrongly.
- 18.  $l\epsilon\rho\hat{\eta}s$  Br. Codd.  $l\epsilon\rho\hat{\epsilon}s$ , but most edd. prefer the Attic plural.  $-\sigma l\delta\epsilon \tau' \dot{\eta}\theta\epsilon\omega\nu$ . So most edd. Cod. L. ol  $\delta' \dot{\epsilon}\pi' \dot{\eta}\iota\theta\epsilon\omega\nu$ , which has led Di. to edit ol  $\delta' \dot{\epsilon}\pi' \dot{\eta}\theta\epsilon\omega\nu \mid \lambda\epsilon\kappa\tau ol$ .
- 43. Cod. L.  $\tau ov$  m. pr.  $\pi ov$  rec. A. with most codd.  $\pi ov$ . So edd. generally. On vv. 41-45 see Exc. III.
- 49. μεμνώμεθα codd. and Di. Wu. Wo. Bl. Wh. Ca. J. But Eustathius, H. Erf. Do. Li. Schn. read opt. μεμνήμεθα. R. Vh. μεμνήμεθα.
- 72. Codd. ρυσαίμην, with many edd., H. Wu. Wo. R. Wh. Bl. Ca. J. But Di. Li. Vh. N. ρυσοίμην rightly. See Exc. IV.
- 105. Codd.  $\gamma \epsilon \pi \omega$ . And so most edd. But with Di. Ht. Vh. I read  $\gamma' \epsilon \gamma \omega$ . Bl.  $\gamma \epsilon \pi \sigma v$ . The passages cited by J. do not show that  $\pi \omega$  was used in tragedy for  $\pi \sigma \tau \epsilon$ , referring to bygone time: and the pronoun here has a tragically ironical power.
- 107.  $\tau \iota \nu a s$ . The weight of evidence and internal probability strongly favour this reading rather than  $\tau \iota \nu a$ .
- 155-6. ἀζόμενος ......χρέος. On the punctuation of this strophe, see Exc. v.
- 159. It seems probable that the words θύγατερ Διός ἄμβροτ' are corrupt (see 187) and that Soph. wrote Διὸς ἔκγονε, Παλλάς. Cp. O.C. 1090, and see Commentary.
- 171. Codd.  $\hat{\phi}$ . I have edited  $\tau\hat{\phi}$ , for the sake of metre. Many cases of the article  $\delta$  beginning with  $\tau$  are used by Soph. as relatives. See 1427 ( $\tau\hat{\phi}$ ), 1379 ( $\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$ ). Ph. 14,  $\sigma\hat{\phi}$ μ $\sigma$ μ $\sigma$   $\tau\hat{\phi}$   $\nu$  $\nu$   $\sigma$   $\vartheta$   $\tau$  $\hat{\psi}$  $\tau$  $\tau$  $\hat{\psi}$  $\tau$  $\tau$  $\hat{\psi}$  $\tau$  $\hat{\psi}$
- 173. Codd. τόκοισιν. I edit τεκοῦσαι, believing that what Soph. wrote was superseded by the 'assimilating craze' which fetched τόκοισι from 26 to spoil this place, where the sense differs. In 26 it is said that babes are still-born; here, that mothers do not recover after labour.

179. Codd. νηλέα. For this epithet I have now edited νεκρά. which has every consideration in its favour. The presence of  $\nu\eta\lambda\epsilon a$ together with ἀνοίκτως must be displeasing to every critic of good taste and judgment: though it cannot be doubted that the presence of ἀνοίκτως led some misjudging corrector to introduce νηλέα, such being the tendency in the dark times of learning, as countless examples prove. It is also possible that such a corrector, not understanding the sense of θανατάφορα, might suppose νεκρά superfluous. But, in respect of sense, νεκρά is clearly wanted; νεκρά γένεθλα=νεκροί, corpses. Metre seconds the indications of good sense and good taste. Νεκρά δε γενεθλα corresponds exactly with the strophic  $\pi \eta \mu a \tau a$ ,  $\nu o \sigma \epsilon \hat{\imath}$   $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ . The scansion had hitherto been taken to exhibit  $\gamma \acute{\epsilon} \nu \check{\epsilon} \theta \lambda \check{a}$ , a tribrach answering to  $-\sigma \epsilon \hat{\iota} \delta \acute{\epsilon}$ , a trochee. But we find γένεθλα 1425, γενεθλον in Ph. 453, also γενεθλίους in O.C. 972, though it is true that the fem. subst.  $\gamma \in \nu \in \theta \lambda \eta$  occurs twice in El. (129, 226) with the middle syllable short, where the rhythm is an apaestic. But, as  $\nu \epsilon \kappa \rho \hat{a} \delta \hat{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \nu \bar{\epsilon} \theta \lambda a$  avoids that concurrence of two tribrachs, or six short syllables, which νηλέα δὲ γένἔθλα exhibits, this fact, added to that of exact correspondence, very powerfully strengthens the argument for νεκρά.

181-185. Here ἀκτάν, the reading of codd., recurs in a dubious sense, having been used in its ordinary sense three lines before, 178. This indicates that a corrupting hand has been at work: and the failure of metrical agreement between κλυτᾶς (171) and ἀκτάν (182), strengthens that opinion. J. reads παρὰ βώμιον with a few inferior codd. But L. A. &c. (the most and best) read παραβώμιον. But how then is the accus. constructed? "Allower allows not mean (as I. makes it) 'some here, some there', but 'from various quarters': i.e. they come crowding from all sides to some favourite altar. And they come as suppliants ( $i\kappa\epsilon\tau\eta\rho\epsilon s$ ). Do such suppliants then come to an altar and do nothing but groan there (ἐπιστενάχουσι)? Such is not the procedure in the opening of this play. Those suppliants symbolize their prayer by a kind of sacramental προσφοραί,  $i\kappa\epsilon \tau \eta \rho i\alpha i$ ,  $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \eta$ , wool-wreathed rods to be laid on the altar as propitiatory offerings. Again, if the verb with which this place is wound up denotes a choir of women groaning around an altar, how improper, how absurd is the further mention of the  $\sigma\tau_0$  $\nu \acute{o} \epsilon \sigma \sigma a \gamma \acute{\eta} \rho \nu s$  in the next verse! This train of thought had long caused me to regard the passage as corrupt, but I had failed (till

within a few days before that on which I write) to find the remedy. I have now strong hope that it is at last found. For ἀκτὰν I read ἔδραν, then παραβώμιον, and for ἐπιστονάχουσι I edit ἐπιστεφανοῦσι: translating the three lines thus: 'meanwhile (ἐν δέ) young wives (ἄλοχοι) and grey-haired mothers with them (πολιαί τ' ἔπι μάτερες) from various sides (ἄλοθεν ἄλλαι) fill with wreathed rods (ἐπιστεφανοῦσι) the seat along the altar (ἔδραν παραβώμιον) as suppliants for their doleful troubles (λυγρῶν πόνων ἰκετῆρες). Compare the opening of the play, and observe that παιάνων τε καὶ στεναγμάτων there follows the mention of the suppliant seat and the wreaths, just as παιὰν αnd στονόεσσα γῆρυς do here, if my reading is adopted. The corrupter, I think, was led by στονόεσσα to write ἐπιστοναχοῦσι.

- 185. iκετῆρες cod. A., and so Di. Schm. Most codd. and edd. iκτῆρες.
  - 187. For  $\tilde{\omega}_{\nu} \tilde{v} \pi \epsilon \rho$  I read  $\tau \hat{\omega}_{\nu} \tilde{v} \pi \epsilon \rho$ , metri causa.
- 191. ἀντιάζων. H. conjectured ἀντιάζω, which may be true, but does not seem certain.
- 194. Codd. ἔπουρον or ἄπουρον. The antistrophic word is ᾿Αρ-τέμιδος, and the sentence beginning Ἅρεά  $\tau\epsilon$  wants a verb to construct it. These defects are remedied by reading ἐξόρισον, which is aptly linked with  $\pi\epsilon\mu\psi$ ον in 188. See ἐξορίζω in Lex.
- 198–9. Codd.  $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \ldots ... \epsilon \rho \chi \epsilon \tau a \iota$ . On the readings adopted to remedy corruption here,  $\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$  for  $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota$ , and  $\epsilon \tilde{\nu} \chi \epsilon \tau a \iota$  for  $\tilde{\epsilon} \rho \chi \epsilon \tau a \iota$ , see Comm. That good sense, good grammar, and metrical advantage are thereby gained, seems unquestionable.
- 200.  $\tau \hat{a} \nu$ . H. thus supplied the defect of a syllable, and most edd. receive it. But Wu. Wo. N. Schm. Bl. Ca. leave the gap unfilled.
- 206. I cannot believe that Soph. would within a few lines have applied the same epithet  $\pi\nu\rho\phi\delta\rho\sigma$ s to the lightnings of  $Z\epsilon\nu$ s and the moonlight of Artemis. Therefore I regard  $\pi\nu\rho\phi\delta\rho\sigma\nu$ s here as spurious; but who shall say what the poet wrote?  $\Pi a\mu\phi a\epsilon is$  for the full moon would be very suitable, but it might be  $\pi a\nu\nu\nu\nu\chi\sigma\nu$ s.
- 214. A word with the quantity -- is wanting. Wo. suggests  $\sigma \dot{\nu} \mu \mu a \chi o \nu$ , which J. receives with myself.
- 221. Cod. L. αὖτό, and so Di. Be. Vh. R. Schm. Bl. Ca. But Cod. A. αὖτός, which most editors adopt, including Wh. J.
- 227-8. Codd. ὑπεξελων αὐτός. So most edd. But Di. ὑπεξέλοι. Bl. Heims. ὑπεξελεῖν, J. ὑπεξελεῖν αὐτόν. I find no ground for change. See Comm.

- 229. Cod. L. ἀσφαλής: and so Be. Wo. Schn. N. R. Vh. Wh. Ca. But A. and most codd. ἀβλαβής, received by Br. Erf. H. Wu. Li. Ht. Di. Bl. J.
- 240. χέρνιβας. So L. with most codd, and edd. One cod. χέρνιβος, adopted by Be. Vh. R. Wh. Ca. J.
  - 248. Codd. ἄμοιρον. Pors. wrote ἄμορον rightly.
  - 258. Codd. κυρῶ τ'. But Erf. Di. Vh. Schm. Wh. κυρῶ γ'.
- 270. Codd.  $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$ , except two Ven. (see J.) which have  $\gamma \hat{\eta} s$ , formerly conjectured by Vauvillers, and generally adopted.
- 293. Codd. τὸν δ' ἰδόντ'. An anonymous conjecture is τὸν δὲ  $\delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu r'$ , received by Di. N. Vh. Heims. Bl.
- 294. Most codd. δείματός τ', which has no sense. δείματός γ' (which J. discerns in Cod. L.) is generally edited. But Ht. R. Vh. Schm. Wh. Ca. δειμάτων.
  - 305. εἰ καὶ codd. and most edd. But Di. Wu. Ht. N. εἴ τι.
  - 308. Codd.  $\epsilon \vec{v}$ , and so most edd. But Mein. Di. N.  $\eta'$ .
- 315. Codd. vary between  $\pi \acute{o} \nu o s$  and  $\pi \acute{o} \nu \omega \nu$ . This latter is generally preferred as the more elegant. But Elms. Wu. N.  $\pi \acute{o} \nu o s$ .
- 317. The best codd. have λύη, but some λύει. Most edd. λύη. But H. Li. R. Schm. λύει.
- 322. Codd. in this line show great confusion of  $"{\it e}\nu\nu\rho\mu a$  or  $"{\it e}\nu\nu\rho\mu\nu v$ ,  $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\phi\iota\lambda\hat{\eta}$  or  $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\phi\iota\lambda\hat{\epsilon}s$ . But there seems no doubt that the two plural forms are right. So Di. Wu. Wo. N. Ca. J. Wh. and other editors.
- 328-9. On the interpretation of this purposely dark passage see Exc. VI.
- 332. Codd. L. A.  $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$   $\tau'$ . B. and another cod.  $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$   $o\ddot{v}\tau'$ , received by edd.
  - 337.  $\sigma \hat{\eta} \nu$  most codd. One or two  $\sigma o i$ , received by Di.
- 351. Codd.  $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\epsilon\hat{\imath}\pi as$ . The correction of Br.,  $\pi\rho\sigma\epsilon\hat{\imath}\pi as$ , is universally adopted.
- 355.  $\pi o \hat{v}$ , as more suitable to the menacing mood of Oed., is preferred by Pors. Elms. Bo. Ebn. Be. Wo. R. Schn. N. Ca. J. Wh. The ironical enclitic  $\pi o v$  has the support of Br. Erf. H. Wu. Li. Di. Vh. Ht.
- 360. Codd. ἢ κπειρᾳ λέγειν; See Comm. Cod. L. has o over the first ε of λέγειν, showing an idea of emending. Br. Wo. read λόγων. Heath, Bo. Ht. R. J. λέγων. Ca. Wh. λόγω. N. Vh. are dubious. The Ms. reading is kept by Elms. Erf. Schäf. H. Li. Di. Be. Wu.

Schm. Schm. Bl., who cites A. Equ. 1234, καί σου τοσοῦτο πρῶτον ἐκπειράσομαι.

361. Codd. γνωστόν. So Wu. Li. Wo. Ht. R. Schn. Be. Ca. J. Wh. But Elms, Do. H. Di. Vh. Bl. N. Schm. γνωτόν.

376. Codd, corruptly read  $\mu\epsilon...\gamma\epsilon$   $\sigma o\hat{v}$ . Br. restored  $\sigma\epsilon...\gamma$   $\epsilon\mu o\hat{v}$ .

405. Codd. Οἰδίπου. But Elms. Reis. Di. Ht. N. Vh. R. Οἰδίπουs, which they hold to be the only vocative form.

434. Codd.  $\sigma \chi o \lambda \hat{\eta}$   $\sigma'$ . But Suidas  $\sigma \chi o \lambda \hat{\eta}$   $\gamma'$ , followed by Pors. Erf. H. Li. Vh. Bl. placing  $\sigma'$  after  $\dot{\epsilon} \mu o \dot{\nu}_s$ . The choice is doubtful.

435. Codd,  $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \sigma o \hat{\iota}$ . I have edited  $\sigma o \hat{\iota} \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$  with Elms. Schäf. See Comm.

445. Cod. L. has  $\sigma \dot{\nu}$   $\gamma'$ , but  $\sigma \dot{\nu}$  over an erasure, and also by a different hand in the margin. This proves that another word was in that MS. before  $\sigma \dot{\nu}$ , and it is shown that the earlier word was  $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ , by the fact that  $\Gamma$ , the best copy of L, has  $\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\gamma}$ , with  $\sigma \dot{\nu}$  above  $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ , thus supplying proof that  $\tau \dot{\alpha}$  was the word erased in L. Also Par. B. has  $\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\gamma}$  only, and Par. E.  $\tau \dot{\alpha}$  above  $\sigma \dot{\nu}$ . Hence  $\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\gamma}$ , instead of being, what J. strangely calls it, 'a weak conjecture', is in truth a reading with earlier authority than  $\sigma \dot{\nu} \dot{\gamma}$ . It is edited by Br. H. Schäf. I cannot however adopt it; but, deeming it a corruption of  $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\mu}$ , I have written this, which brings in the idiomatic antithesis  $\pi a \rho \dot{\omega} \dot{\nu} \tau \dot{\epsilon} \dots \sigma \nu \theta \dot{\epsilon} i s$   $\tau \dot{\epsilon}$ , and supplies the pronoun object  $\mu \dot{\epsilon}$ , thus improving the passage greatly. As to the scorn (J.), or indignation (H.), supposed to be conveyed by  $\sigma \dot{\nu} \dot{\gamma} \dot{\epsilon}$ , at 1090  $\sigma \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\gamma} \dot{\epsilon}$  appears in a context of strong eulogy. I assume that the recurring letters TEMEM confused a scribe, and engendered corruption.

458. Codd. αὐτός, and so Erf. Elms. H. Li. H. Ca. Wh. But Schäf. Di. Ht. Wu. Wo. Be. N. R. Vh. Schm. J. αὐτός. See Comm.

461. Codd. differ as to the retention of  $\mu$ ' after  $\lambda \alpha \beta \eta s$ . Erf. Schäf. H. L. keep it; but most edd. omit it with cod. L. See Comm.

464. That the words  $\epsilon \tilde{l}\pi\epsilon \pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \tau \rho a$  are corrupt appears from several facts: (1) J. testifies that  $\epsilon \tilde{l}\delta\epsilon$ , not  $\epsilon \tilde{l}\pi\epsilon$ , was the older reading in L. This is confirmed by  $\Gamma$ , which has  $\epsilon \tilde{l}\delta\epsilon$  pr. m., with the correction  $\pi\epsilon$  above it. (2) A scholiast explains thus— $\tau is \ \tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\tau \nu \nu \ o\tilde{\nu}\tau os \ \tilde{o}\nu \kappa a\tau \omega \pi \tau \epsilon \nu \sigma \epsilon \nu \ \tilde{\eta} \ \Delta\epsilon \lambda \phi is \ \pi \epsilon \tau \rho a$ ; (3)  $\epsilon \tilde{l}\pi\epsilon \ \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma a\nu \tau a$  is bad Greek for  $\epsilon \tilde{l}\pi\epsilon \ \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma a\nu$ , as the language of Triclinius indicates:  $\tau is \ \tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\tau \nu \nu \ \tilde{o}\nu \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota \ \Lambda \pi \delta \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \ \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma a\nu \ \tau \tilde{o} \ \tilde{\epsilon}\rho \gamma \rho \nu$ ; That  $\pi \epsilon \tau \rho a$  also is corrupt, we conclude (1) from the absurdity involved in the notion of a rock 'inspired to speak', (2) from the ugly hiatus  $\pi \epsilon \tau \rho a \ \tilde{a}\rho \rho \eta \tau$ ', (3) from

noting that the corrupter was misguided by the mention of Parnassus in the antistrophe, and by the expression of Euripides, Andr. 998  $\Delta\epsilon\lambda\phi$ is εἴσεται πέτρα, and failed to see that ἁ θεσπιέπεια  $\Delta\epsilon\lambda\phi$ is sufficiently describes the Pythian priestess. Sophocles may have written  $\pi\rho$ όσθεν or  $\pi\rho$ ῶτον, or some more significant word. The construction is complete without the lost word, 'who is the unknown one, whom the inspired Delphian maid discerned to have wrought deeds, &c.' See Comm.

- 466. Codd.  $\dot{a}\epsilon\lambda\lambda o\pi \acute{o}\delta\omega\nu$ . Hesychius  $\dot{a}\epsilon\lambda\lambda \acute{a}\delta\omega\nu$ , which edd. adopt.
- 472. A. and most codd. corruptly ἀναμπλάκητοι. But L. T. show the true reading ἀναπλάκητοι.
- 478. Cod. L. πετρα.. s ὁ ταῦρος, whence H. Wo. Schn. Wh. Vh. πετραῖος ὁ ταῦρος. Wo. Li. Ca. πέτρας ὡς ταῦρος. Dorville conjectured πέτρας ἄτε ταῦρος, received by Di. Wu. Ht. N. Bl. πέτρας ἰσόταυρος, conjectured by Martin, also (as J. says) by E. L. Lushington, and favourably mentioned by Di. in his Leipzig ed., is received by J.
- 483. Codd. and most edd.  $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \hat{ov}$ . N.  $\mu \epsilon \nu \hat{ov} \nu$ . Be. Vh.  $\mu \epsilon \nu \hat{v} \nu$ , which seems best suited to the place: terribly doth the seer now disturb me, who can neither assent nor deny.
- 493. Codd.  $\tilde{\epsilon}\mu\alpha\theta\sigma\nu$ ,  $\pi\rho\delta s$   $\tilde{\sigma}\tau\sigma\nu$   $\delta \dot{\eta}$   $\beta a\sigma\acute{a}\nu\varphi$ , which is shorter by four syllables than the antistrophe: either  $\sim --$  is wanted before the word  $\beta a\sigma\acute{a}\nu\varphi$ , or  $-\sim -$  after it. Schneider conjectured  $\beta a\sigma a\nu\epsilon\dot{\nu}\omega\nu$   $\beta a\sigma\acute{a}\nu\varphi$ , which J. takes in the more classical form  $\beta a\sigma a\nu\dot{\iota}\zeta\omega\nu$ . This last word seems probable, but not in connection with  $\beta a\sigma\acute{a}\nu\varphi$ , which occurs in a later verse, and was probably transferred to this place by an assimilating corrector. I have therefore ventured to replace it with  $\pi\iota\theta a\nu\hat{\omega}s$ , which I connect with  $\epsilon\dot{\iota}\mu\iota$  in the next verse.
- 510. The suggestion of Vh., to introduce  $\rho$  for  $\hat{\rho}a$  after  $\tau\hat{\varphi}$ , avoids an unpleasant hiatus.

good Greek as  $\phi \epsilon \rho \rho \nu$ , but I now keep  $\phi \epsilon \rho \rho \nu$ , and for  $\phi \epsilon \rho \rho \nu \tau \iota$  in 519 I read  $\phi \rho \rho \rho \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \iota$  (carrying about with me), a word more suitable to the place. The instances cited by J. in defence of  $\phi \epsilon \rho \rho \nu$  without  $\tau \iota$  are unavailing for that purpose. See Preface.

- 525. Codd. L. B. τοῦ πρός δ'. A. πρὸς τοῦδ', al. codd. πρὸς τοῦ δ', which Br. Li. Ht. edit. Many editors have taken τοῦ πρὸς from L., among them Schn. R. Wh. Ca. J. The latter calls it 'veram lectionem'; but in my Comm. it is shown to be 'falsissima'. This is confirmed by the two best copies of L., Γ and L², which correct L.'s blunder by writing τοὕπος δ'. The verb ἐφάνθη does not mean 'originated', as J. thought, but was published, was spread abroad, as φανὲν with τοὕπος in 848. Creon says: 'the language used (by Oed.) was commonly reported to be, that the seer induced by me spake falsely'. The Chorus reply: 'such words were used, but I know not on what foundation'. See 608, γνώμη ἀδήλω.
- 537. Codd. ἐν ἐμοί. Reis. ἔν μοι, and so H. and most edd., but Wo. Ca. Wh. keep ἐν ἐμοί.
- 538. Codd. (indocti omnes) γνωρίσοιμι, and so most edd. Li. Wo. R. Ca. Wh. al., unwisely. Elms. Di. Wu. N. Bl. Vh. J. restore the Attic form γνωριοΐμι.
- 539. Codd. κοὖκ. Spengel conjectured ἡ οὖκ, and is followed by Di. N. Bl. Wo. J. But Li. R. Ca. Wh. al. retain κοὖκ.
- 541. Codd.  $\pi\lambda\dot{\eta}\theta ovs$ . Heims. conjectures  $\pi\lambda o\dot{v}\tau ov$ , which I edit, as a great, and (Blaydes says) necessary improvement. See Comm. N. and Vh. adopt it; but most edd., as Li. R. Wo. Ca. J. Wh., keep  $\pi\lambda\dot{\eta}\theta ovs$ , the fruit, as I believe, of assimilating fancy.
- 570. Cod. L.  $\tau \delta \sigma \delta \nu \delta \epsilon \gamma' o \bar{i} \sigma \theta a$ . A manifest blunder, though Br. Ht. Di. Schm. R. N. receive it. Some, H. Li. Wu. Wo. Be. Reis., have  $\tau \delta \sigma \sigma \nu \delta \epsilon \gamma' o \bar{i} \sigma \theta a$ . But  $\tau \sigma \sigma \delta \nu \delta \epsilon \gamma' o \bar{i} \sigma \theta a$  seems best: and so Erf. Bl. Ca. Wh. J.
- 598. Codd. vary between  $a\vec{v}\tau\hat{o}\hat{i}s$   $\vec{a}\pi av$  and  $a\vec{v}\tau\hat{o}\hat{i}s$   $\vec{a}\pi av\tau^{\prime}$ . But there is little doubt that  $a\vec{v}\tau\hat{o}\hat{i}\sigma i$   $\pi\hat{a}v$ , favoured by the valuable copies  $\Gamma$  and  $L^2$ , is the true reading. So H. Ht. Be. Vh. Bl. Ca. J. Wh.
- 624. With Mein. I add  $\gamma$  to  $\pi\rho\sigma\delta\epsilon i\xi\eta s$ , and for  $\tau\delta$   $\phi\theta\sigma\nu\epsilon\hat{\imath}\nu$  I have edited the simple and highly probable conjecture  $\tau\delta\phi\rho\sigma\nu\epsilon\hat{\imath}\nu$ , thus averting the dilaceration which Li. and J. adopt in the lines 623–6. See Exc. VII. and Comm.
- 637. All codd. but one have  $K\rho\epsilon\omega\nu$ . But the Voc. form  $K\rho\epsilon\omega\nu$  is read by many edd., whom J. follows.

- 640. J. reads δυοίν δικαιοί δράν. See Comm.
- 644.  $N\hat{v}v$  is read by Erf. H. Schaef. Neue, Li. Ht. Schn. N. Wo. Ell. See Ellendt's Lex. Soph. vvv by Di. Be. Wu. Vh. Schm. Ca. J. Wh. The same discrepancy recurs in 658. See Comm.
- 657. Cod. L. λόγον ἄτιμον with γω over γον. Most copies have λόγω, some λόγων. H. inserted  $\sigma$  after λόγω, and this is generally received; but Ca. keeps the hiatus.
- 667. Cod. L.  $\kappa \alpha i \tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\delta}$   $\epsilon i$ . H. threw out  $\kappa \alpha i$ , and I have read  $\tau \dot{\alpha}$   $\dot{\delta}$   $\epsilon i$  for  $\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\delta}$   $\epsilon i$ .
- 689. The  $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$  is perhaps wanted to follow  $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ , but at all events a comma should stand after  $i\sigma \theta \iota$   $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ , for the infin.  $\pi \epsilon \phi \dot{a} \nu \theta a \iota$  cannot depend on  $i\sigma \theta \iota$ , but on  $\epsilon i\pi \rho \nu$ .
- 691. Codd. εἴ σε νοσφίζομαι. The correction of Ht. and Badham, εἴ σ' ἐνοσφιζόμαν, is justly received by Di. N. J.
- 694. Codd. πόνοις. Be. πόνοισιν, which will be πόνοισι if the emendation of Do., σαλεύουσαν follows in 695, as Heims. Di. N. Schm., with myself, wish. See Comm.
- 696–7. Codd. here give τανῦν τ' εὔπομπος εἰ δύναιο γενοῦ. Any sound critic examining this corrupt place will at once set down the word δύναι οr δύναιο as belonging to an intrusive gloss. The sense evidently required by the context is: 'and art now doing thy best to waft it well'. This I have supplied by the conjecture τανῦν τ' εὖπομπος εἶ τὸ γ' ἐν σοί. See Comm. Nothing can be feebler and poorer than the proposals ᾶν γένοιο, εἰ γένοιο, εἰ δύναιο, and none of these is nearer to the ductus litterarum than my correction.
- 722. Cod. L. has  $\theta a \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ , which Elms. H. Ca. J. Wh. receive. Many copies have  $\pi a \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ , edited by Br. Erf. Di. Ht. Wu. Wo. Li. N. Bl. Vh. Schm.
- 728. Most codd.  $\dot{v}\pi o \sigma \tau \rho a \phi \epsilon i s$ , two  $\ddot{v}\pi o \sigma \tau \rho a \phi \epsilon i s$ , which Di. Wo. Schm. edit.
- 741. Codd.  $\eta \beta \eta s \ \tilde{\epsilon} \chi \omega \nu$ . For the reasons which induce me to read  $\tilde{\epsilon} \beta a u \nu' \ \tilde{\epsilon} \chi \omega \nu$  see Comm. That  $\eta \beta \eta s$  ought to be ejected I have no doubt, and I believe that  $d \kappa \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$  can dispense with a gen. I also think a verb is to be supplied; but what verb nobody can say with full assurance. "E $\beta a u \nu \epsilon$  (or  $\tilde{\epsilon} \beta \eta$ ) makes good sense.
- 749. Most codd.  $\hat{a}$   $\delta'$   $\hat{a}\nu$   $\tilde{\epsilon}\rho\eta$ , and so Li. Ca. Wh. But edd. generally  $\hat{a}\nu$   $\delta'$   $\tilde{\epsilon}\rho\eta$ , the reading of two codd.
- 763. Cod. L.  $\mathring{o}$   $\gamma'$   $\mathring{a}\nu\acute{\eta}\rho$ . H. al.  $o\mathring{i}'$   $\mathring{a}\nu\acute{\eta}\rho$ . Ca. Wh.  $\mathring{\omega}s$   $\gamma'$   $\mathring{a}\nu\acute{\eta}\rho$ , where  $\gamma'$  should have been omitted.

779. Whether  $\mu \epsilon \theta \eta s$  or  $\mu \epsilon \theta \eta$  should be read here may reasonably seem doubtful, both from variation in codd., and because  $\mu \epsilon \theta \eta$  generally signifies 'excess in drinking', 'intoxication', pointing to a causal dative. But if it may also mean 'strong drink', 'strong wine', which produces intoxication, then the sense of the participle  $i \pi \epsilon \rho \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon i s$  turns the scale in favour of the genitive. And that  $\mu \epsilon \theta \eta$  has that meaning here, J. seems to admit by translating 'full of wine', though in his note he argues to the contrary effect. But the following passage in Plato Rep. IX. 47 seems decisive in favour of the genitive:  $\tau \delta \delta \epsilon \theta \eta \rho \iota \omega \delta \epsilon s \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \delta \eta \rho \iota \upsilon v$ ,  $\tilde{\eta} \sigma \iota \tau \omega v \tilde{\eta} \mu \epsilon \theta \eta s \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon v$ ,  $\sigma \kappa \iota \rho \tau \tilde{\eta} \kappa \tau \lambda \lambda$ . Words of fulness lay strong claim to that case. See  $\gamma \epsilon \mu \epsilon \iota s - 4$ ,  $\tau \delta \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \psi \eta s \delta s$ , Act. Apost. ii. I3  $\gamma \lambda \epsilon \iota \kappa \upsilon s \mu \epsilon \sigma \tau \omega \mu \epsilon \upsilon \iota \epsilon \sigma \iota \omega \epsilon \iota \sigma \iota$ 

790. In Exc. VIII. I have defended προὖφάνη, the reading of all codd., against the conjecture προὖφηνεν.

814. I accept  $\Lambda a \tilde{t} \phi$  in deference to codd. rather than to J.'s reasons; for  $\Lambda a \tilde{t} o v$  is far more pleasing. To  $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon v \hat{\epsilon} s = \tau \iota s \sigma v \gamma \gamma \hat{\epsilon} v \epsilon \iota a$ , and Plato's usage shows that dat. or gen. can follow either. But the two datives are certainly not elegant nor usual. See Plat. Polit. 260 E.  $\kappa a \tilde{\iota} \tau \delta \lambda a \tilde{\iota} s \hat{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\epsilon} \rho a \iota s \tau \delta \tau \omega \gamma \tau \hat{\epsilon} \chi \nu a \iota s \sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\epsilon} \sigma v$ .

815. For the corrupt  $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \tau'$  of cod. L., I simply read  $\hat{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$  with cod. A., regarding  $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu$  as an intrusive gloss, and believing the antithesis of present and future time to be sufficiently marked by the verbs  $\hat{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$  and  $\hat{a} \nu \gamma \hat{\epsilon} \nu \sigma \iota \tau \sigma$ .

817–18. Codd. begin 817 with  $\phi$ , end it with  $\tau wa$ , and likewise end 818 with  $\tau \nu a$ : which H. Li. R. Ca. Wh. are able to receive, though it seems that the negative  $\mu \hat{\eta}$  should have deterred them from doing so, since the relative pertains to a definite person Oedipus  $(\tau \circ \hat{v} \delta \epsilon \gamma' dv \delta \rho \delta s)$ . Schaef. reads  $\delta v$  for  $\delta$ , and so Wu. Ht. Di. I., who also write τινι for τινα in 817. Wo. δν, but not τινι. Amid these conflicting views, I join a small minority, N. Vh., who read  $\epsilon l$ for  $\delta$ , which is a lighter change than  $\delta \nu$ , also  $\tau \iota \nu \iota$  in 817, but  $\epsilon \mu \epsilon$  for τινα in 818. This last may seem over-bold, but, if we consider that a scribe might repeat the  $\tau \nu \nu a$  of 817 in 818, either by a clerical error, or by recollection of the  $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\phi\omega\nu\epsilon\hat{\imath}\nu$   $\tau\iota\nu\alpha$ , which occurs in the  $d\rho \dot{a}$  of Oed. 238, we may look upon  $\epsilon \mu \dot{\epsilon}$  as restored to a place from which it was moved by misadventure. Finally, I think that, by the reading  $\epsilon i$ ,  $\mu \hat{\eta}$  and  $\mu \eta \delta \hat{\epsilon}$  are grammatically justified, not without it—  $\vec{a}\nu\delta\rho\delta s$   $\tau\circ\hat{\nu}\delta\epsilon$  being so definite. Compare  $\vec{o}\nu\delta\epsilon\nu$ ,  $\vec{o}\nu\delta\epsilon$  (38-9), following ős  $\gamma'$   $\dot{\epsilon}\xi\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\nu\sigma\alpha$ s in 35.

825. Codd. μήτ' or μήστ'. Di. μηδ', which is generally received.

843. Cod. L. and some others seem to read κατακτείναιεν, which Schm. Ca. J. Wh. retain. But Di. N. Ell. al. prefer the form κατακτείνειαν.

852. Most codd.  $\tau \acute{o}\nu \gamma \epsilon$ . Bo. Di.  $\sigma \acute{o}\nu \gamma \epsilon$ . Cod.  $\Gamma$  has  $\tau \acute{o}\nu \delta \epsilon$ , which, though stigmatised by J. as 'nihili', seems very like a true reading: 'the death of Laius as described by you.' The  $\gamma \epsilon$ , when  $\~{o}\nu \gamma \epsilon$  follows, seems cumbrous and inelegant.

870. Codd. support μάν ποτε more strongly than μήποτε.

878–9. Corruption exists here. Codd. have ἀκροτάταν, for which Erf. ἀκρότατον, generally received. As the hiatus after συμφέροντα is very awkward, I have ventured to read τἀκρότατον. In 879 the base ( $\sim$ -) corresponding to δι' αἰθ- in 867 is wanting. J. supplies the trochee ἄκρον, I edit ὀρέων. The word which follows in Cod. L. is ἄποτμον, with  $\sigma$  over τ $\mu$ , suggesting ἀπότομον. But this is unmetrical, and I read ἄπορον which with ἀνάγκαν gives excellent sense. See Comm.

888. Corruption again sets in here, and continues to 895. See Comm.

889. Codd.  $\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\tau\dot{o}$ . I edit  $\mu\dot{\eta}\tau\epsilon$ .

890. Codd. καὶ τῶν ἀσέπτων ἔρξεται. I edit μήτ' οὖν and εἴρξεται.

891. Codd.  $\hat{\eta}$   $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ . I edit  $\kappa \alpha \hat{\iota}$   $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ . Believing the next word,  $\hat{a}\theta \hat{\iota}\kappa \tau \omega \nu$ , to be spurious (see 898), I suggest  $\hat{a}\pi \epsilon \nu \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$  as a possible substitute, without placing it in the text. For  $\hat{\epsilon}\xi \epsilon \tau a \iota$  Bl. J.  $\theta \hat{\iota}\xi \epsilon \tau a \iota$  by conjecture.

892. This verse and the next are in codd.  $\tau$  is  $\tilde{\epsilon}\tau\iota$   $\pi \sigma \tau$   $\tilde{\epsilon}\nu$   $\tau o\hat{\imath}\sigma\delta$   $\tilde{\delta}\nu\tilde{\eta}\rho$   $\theta\nu\mu\tilde{\phi}$   $\beta\hat{\epsilon}\lambda\eta$  |  $\tilde{\epsilon}\rho\xi\epsilon\tau a\iota$   $\psi\nu\chi\hat{a}s$   $\tilde{d}\mu\dot{\nu}\nu\epsilon\iota\nu$ ; which is grossly corrupt, and not in harmony with the antistrophic verse, also corrupt. "Eti  $\pi\sigma\tau$  seems a gloss, drawn from 1084–5. Striking out or obelizing these words, for  $\tilde{\epsilon}\nu$   $\tau o\hat{\imath}\sigma\delta$  I read  $\tilde{\epsilon}\nu$   $\tau o\iota o\hat{\imath}\sigma\delta$ .  $\Theta\nu\mu\tilde{\phi}$  (or in some codd.  $\theta\nu\mu\sigma\hat{\nu}$ ) is a senseless word here, and may have been meant to explain  $\psi\nu\chi\hat{a}s$ . H. substituted  $\theta\epsilon\tilde{\omega}\nu$ , which J. receives with  $\epsilon\tilde{\nu}\xi\epsilon\tau a\iota$ , Musgrave's correction for  $\tilde{\epsilon}\rho\xi\epsilon\tau a\iota$ . I have ventured to place  $\beta\epsilon\lambda\eta$  before  $\theta\epsilon\tilde{\omega}\nu$ . Most other editors read  $\theta\nu\mu\tilde{\omega}\nu$   $\beta\epsilon\lambda\eta$ . Ca. retains all the corruptions, obelizing  $\theta\nu\mu\tilde{\phi}$  and  $\tilde{\epsilon}\rho\xi\epsilon\tau a\iota$ . From these corruptions and emendations the general sense emerges without loss.

905.  $d\theta \dot{a}\nu a r o \nu$  is neither in agreement with the strophe, nor good with  $a \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ . I venture to write  $\ddot{a}\phi \theta a \rho \tau o \nu$ .

906. Corruption recurs here. I have followed Triclinius by

reading  $\pi a \lambda a i \dot{a}$  from the Scholia. Arndt, Li. J. (who keep  $\tilde{\epsilon}\tau\iota$   $\pi o \tau'$  in the strophe) read here  $\Lambda a \tilde{\iota} o \upsilon$   $\pi a \lambda a i \phi a \tau a$ , which I would not link with  $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \phi a \tau a$ . Schn. Wh.  $\pi \upsilon \theta \dot{o} \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau a$   $\Lambda a \tilde{\iota} o \upsilon$ . Ca. leaves a gap.

- 917. On  $\epsilon i \cdot \lambda \acute{\epsilon} \gamma o \iota$ , as a more refined and better attested reading than  $\mathring{\eta} \nu \cdot \lambda \acute{\epsilon} \gamma \eta$ , see Preface. Di. Be. Li. Wu. Wo. Schn. N. Ht. R. Bl. Vh. Schm. read  $\epsilon i \cdot \lambda \acute{\epsilon} \gamma o \iota$ . Ca. Wh.  $\epsilon i \cdot \lambda \acute{\epsilon} \gamma \eta$ . Schäf. J.  $\mathring{\eta} \nu \cdot \lambda \acute{\epsilon} \gamma \eta$ .
- 931.  $a\tilde{v}\tau\omega s$ . The breathing of this adverb is one of those moot questions which can never be securely settled. I agree with J. that  $a\tilde{v}\tau\omega s$ , which MSS. favour, is founded on a false analogy; but there is no proof that this false analogy did not prevail in the old times. The accent (which from  $a\tilde{v}\tau\delta s$  should be  $a\tilde{v}\tau\delta s$ ) is not less inconsistent, and both breathing and accent may have succumbed to those of  $o\tilde{v}\tau\omega s$ .  ${}^{5}\Omega\delta'$   $a\tilde{v}\tau\omega s$ , which J. cites from Tr. 1040, manifestly means  $\tau\tilde{\omega}\delta'$   $a\tilde{v}\tau\tilde{\omega}$   $\tau\tilde{v}\tilde{\tau}$   $\tau\tilde{v}\tilde{\tau}$   $\tau\tilde{v}$   $\tau\tilde{v}$
- 935. Cod. L. pr. m.  $\pi a \rho \acute{a}$ , rec. m.  $\pi \rho \acute{o}s$ . L<sup>2</sup>. Pal.  $\pi a \rho \acute{a}$ . A. al.  $\pi \rho \acute{o}s$ . Thus  $\pi a \rho \grave{a}$  is well supported, and preferable, as  $\pi \rho \grave{o}s$  would seem to require  $\grave{\epsilon} \sigma \tau a \lambda \mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu o s$  rather than  $\grave{a} \phi \iota \gamma \mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu o s$ . The evasive answer  $\grave{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \mathring{\eta} s$  Ko $\rho \acute{\iota} \nu \partial o v$  gives no indication. Wo. Schn. J.  $\pi \rho \acute{o}s$ . All other edd., I think, read  $\pi a \rho \acute{a}$ .
- 936. Codd. τάχα. And so H. Li. Schn. N. Wo. Ca. J. Wh. But Br. Elms. Erf. Schäf. Di. Be. Bl. Wu. Ht. R. Vh. Schm. Ell. τάχ' ἄν.
- 943–4. This is a corrupt place, and the correction now generally adopted, though satisfactory as to sense, can hardly be regarded as the assured words of Soph. Codd. L. A.  $\hat{\eta}$  τέθνηκε Πόλυ-βος; ΑΓ. εἰ δὲ μὴ | λέγω γὶ ἐγὼ τὰληθές. Triclinius wrote:  $\hat{\eta}$  τέθνηκέ που Πόλυβος γέρων; | εἰ μὴ λέγω τὰληθές. From which Bothe framed  $\hat{\eta}$  τέθνηκε Πόλυβος, ὧ γέρον; | εἰ μὴ λέγω τὰληθές. And this Erf. H. Li. Wu. Schn. N. Wo. Ht. J. Wh. edit. But N. conjectures  $\hat{\eta}$  τέθνηκεν Οἰδίπου πατήρ; Br. leaves a lacuna. Ca. incloses ὧ γέρον.
- 957. Cod. L. pr. m.  $\sigma\eta\mu\dot{\eta}\nu as$ , with marg. correction  $\sigma\eta\mu\dot{a}\nu\tau\omega\rho$ . Though Cod. Γ has  $\sigma\eta\mu\dot{\eta}\nu as$  (most others  $\sigma\eta\mu\dot{a}\nu\tau\omega\rho$ ), I find great weight in the argument of J. against  $\sigma\eta\mu\dot{\eta}\nu as$ , and I observe that the Sophoclean use of  $\gamma\dot{\iota}\gamma\nu\rho\mu a\iota$  with past part. is elsewhere in prohibitive construction only,  $\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\nu\eta$ . I have therefore read  $\sigma\eta\mu\dot{a}\nu\tau\omega\rho$

with Br. H. Ebn. Schäf. Wo. N. J. But  $\sigma\eta\mu\dot{\eta}\nu as$  has most support from edd.

968. Codd. κεύθει κάτω δὴ γῆς. I am, like Blaydes, dissatisfied with κεύθει intrans. and with δή, but I leave them untouched. He reads κάτω κέκευθε γῆς.

1011. Most codd.  $\tau a \rho \beta \hat{\omega} \gamma \epsilon$ . Erf., from two,  $\tau a \rho \beta \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \epsilon$ , rightly, I believe.  $\gamma \epsilon$  suits the participle better: Soph. could have written δέδοικα γὰρ μὴ οτ μή μοι.

1025. Codd. τεκών. Bo. τυχών, followed by all editors except Ca. Wh. who keep τεκών.

1030. Most codd.  $\sigma$ οῦ  $\gamma$ . But cod.  $\Gamma$ , improving L., as often, has  $\sigma$ οῦ δ', and so Elms. Di. Wu. Ca. J. Wh.

1031. Cod. L. τί δ' ἄλγος ἴσχον (ἴσχοντ' marg.) ἐν καιροῖς λαμβάνεις; Whether Γ and L². repeat or correct this corruption, I should like to know, but J. does not mention them. A. and most other codd. have ἐν κακοῖς με, some omit με. I agree with those who regard ἐν κακοῖς as spurious, and with J. in thinking that ἐν καιροῖς of L. points to a true reading. He suggests ἐγκυρῶν, but ἐντυχῶν seems better of the two. The verse might be filled up by many conjectures, if we assumed that με λαμβάνεις is also corrupt. But, unwilling to think so, I have edited Wunder's conjecture ἐν καλῷ, ορροτιπείν, in a lucky moment, which appears in El. 384 νῦν γὰρ ἐν καλῷ φρονεῖν. That the phrase was familiar at Athens is shown by Plato Rep. IX. 571, οὐκοῦν, ἢ δ' ὅς, ἔτι ἐν καλῷ;

1055. Most codd.  $\tau \acute{o}\nu \acute{\theta}$ , but J. cites  $\tau \acute{o}\nu \acute{\theta}$  from three, a decidedly better reading. I remove the preceding note of interrogation, thinking it better for Oed. to assume that Jocasta remembers.

1056. Codd. fluctuate between  $\tau is$  and  $\tau i$ . I had edited  $\tau is$ 

with Li., but now I prefer τί, what matters it whom he spoke of? Bl. compares Aesch. Pr. V. 766, τί δ' ὅντιν';

1061. All codd. (immane quantum stolide) read έχω for έγω.

1062. Codd.  $o\dot{v}\delta^{\lambda}$   $\hat{a}\nu$   $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$ . H.  $o\dot{v}\delta^{\lambda}$   $\hat{a}\nu$   $\epsilon\hat{\iota}$  and so Di. Li. Be. Ca. But Erf.  $o\dot{v}\delta^{\lambda}$   $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{a}\nu$ , followed by Elms. Wu. Wo. N. Ht. R. J. Wh.

1084. Cod. L. pr. m. τοιόσδ' ἐκφύς, rec. m. τοιόσδε δ' ἐκφὺς rightly.

1090. Codd. Οἰδίπου. Schm. J. conjecture Οἰδίπουν. See Comm.

1095. Codd. ἐπίηρα. J. ἐπὶ ἦρα. See Comm.

1096. Codd. σοὶ δέ. I conjecture σοὶ δ' οὖν for metre's sake.

1099. In this corrupt antistrophe large correction becomes inevitable, if sense and metre are to be preserved. Codd. apa. Bl.  $\kappa op \hat{a}\nu$ .

1100. Codd. προσπελασθείσ'. Lachmann, πατρός πελασθείσ'.

1101. Codd. ή σέ γέ τις θυγάτηρ. Arndt, ή σέ γ' εὐνάτειρά τις.
 J. conjectures ή σέ γ' ἔφυσε πατήρ Λοξίας;

1104. Codd.  $\epsilon i\theta'$ . Probably corrupt. Query:  $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu \delta ... | \mathring{\eta} \sigma' \delta ...$ 

1106. σ' εὖρημα. Weckl. λόχευμα.

1109. Codd. Έλικωνιάδων. Pors. Έλικωνίδων.

1111. Cod. L.  $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon \iota$  (wanting  $\mathfrak s$ ). Hence Wu. Di. Wo. Be. Schn. N. Schm. Vh. R. J. Ca. Wh.  $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon \iota \mathfrak s$ . But Br. Schäf. Li. Bl.  $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \iota \iota$ .

1130. Cod. L. probably read  $\pi o v$  pr. m. So Bl. N. and I with them. Di. Be. Wu. Li. Wo. Vh.  $\pi \omega s$ . But Schäf. R. Schm. Ca. J. Wh.  $\pi \omega$ , which, without negation, I cannot regard as Attic.

1131. Codd. and most edd.  $\tilde{v}\pi o$ , which is admissible, but with Bl. N. Vh. I think  $\tilde{a}\pi o$  a more refined idiom.

1134. A verse seems to have been lost after this one: its probable nature may be guessed at as resembling ωκοῦμεν ἄμφω κατὰ νομὰς ἀλώμενοι.

1137. Codd. ἐμμήνους, except Trin. which has ἐκμήνους. Hence Pors. ἐκμήνους, which has been generally received.

1193. Codd. and other edd.  $\tau \delta \sigma \delta v$ . Camerarius  $\tau \delta v \sigma \delta v$ , followed by Elms. Di. Wu. Ht. Li. N. Bl. Vh. R. J. But Wo. Ca. Wh. keep  $\tau \delta$ .

1195.  $\tau \lambda \hat{a}\mu \rho \nu$ . I would gladly read δύσταν, on account of metre.

1196. Codd. οὐδένα. And so Br. Schäf. Ht. Bl. Ebn. Wo. Ca. But Elms. H. Wu. Di. Ht. N. Vh. R. Li. J. Wh. οὐδέν.

1197, &c. I would continue third person, referring ὅστις to δαίμονα. Therefore with J. and others I read ἐκράτησε τοῦ, but in 1201 I cannot adopt either ἀνέστα or ἀνέστας. With Elms. Ht. Bl. Vh. I read ἀναστάς, which seems a necessary sequence of κατὰ μὲν φθίσας.

1200. I think χρησμφδόν corrupt. That παρθένον should take its place I doubt not, but the previous epithet is lost. It might denote form or rapacity. Τρίπτυχον (maiden, lion, eagle), τετράπουν (lion), δίπτερον, πάμφαγον, may be named; none with certainty.

1202-3. I read κλύεις for καλεί and with H. Bl. ἀμός for ἐμός.

1205. Codd.  $\tau$  is  $\epsilon \nu$   $\pi$  ovois  $\tau$  is  $\pi$  at as  $\alpha$  if assuming erroneous inversion here, we cannot say how far it went: and I feel sure the two lines are much improved by reading  $\tau$  is  $\pi$  at a  $\pi$  if  $\pi$  and  $\pi$  if  $\pi$  is a  $\pi$  in  $\pi$  in

1208. For φ I read φ γε.

1209. Codd.  $\pi a \tau \rho i$ . Wu. Bl.  $\pi \delta \sigma \epsilon \iota$ . This conjecture is an improvement in sense, and essential to metre.

1214. Codd. δικάζει τόν. H. Di. Li. N. Bl. R. Vh. δικάζει τ'. I now adhere to codd. with Elms. Schäf. Wu. Wo. J. Ca. Wh.

1216. Codd. Λαΐειον τέκνον. So Schäf. Ca. But Λαΐειον  $\vec{\delta}$  τέκνον Erf. Be. N. R. Vh. J. Wh. Λαϊήιον τέκνον Wu. Li. Wo. Bl. Deeming  $i\dot{\omega}$  and τέκνον bad here, and knowing no word which can replace τέκνον except έρνοs, I venture to edit  $\phi$ ε $\hat{v}$   $\phi$ ε $\hat{v}$ , Λαΐειον έρνοs, εἴθ | εἴθε σε μήποτε | μήποτ εἶδον. The repeated words are in keeping with the dolorous climax of this pathetic ode.

1218. Codd. εἰδόμαν, ὀδύρομαι. Seidler read δύρομαι. But I read εἶδον ὀδύρομαι. There is no call for the middle aorist: and ὀδύρομαι is the Sophoclean form. Codd. ώς περίαλλα ἰαχέων. The elegant conjecture of J. ὧσπερ ἰάλεμον χέων seems true.

1232. Codd.  $\mathring{\eta}\delta\epsilon\iota\mu\epsilon\nu$ . Elms.  $\mathring{\eta}\delta\epsilon\mu\epsilon\nu$ , adopted, I think, by all edd. before J., who upholds the form of codd., citing  $\mathring{\eta}\delta\epsilon\iota\mu\epsilon\nu$  from Aeschines and  $\mathring{\eta}\delta\epsilon\iota\tau\epsilon$  from Demosthenes, as if their variation of form decided that of the Sophoclean age and of tragedy. The established form  $\mathring{\eta}\sigma\mu\epsilon\nu$  (= $\mathring{\eta}\delta\mu\epsilon\nu$ ) is sufficient to establish  $\mathring{\eta}\delta\epsilon\mu\epsilon\nu$  as the transitional stage. See Veitch on the Greek verb.

1244. Codd. ἐπιρρήξασ'. Do. ἐπιρράξασ' rightly.

1245. Codd. κάλει. So Schäf. ἐκάλει Bl. καλεῖ Erf. and most cdd., including J., who speaks of the reading κάλει, as 'mendum',

'an error,' without any right to do so. He reads γοᾶτο for ἐγοᾶτο in 1249, acknowledging the liberty occasionally taken by tragic poets to omit the augment in a speech, like this, of an epic character. Soph. was therefore just as free to use the imperf. κάλει as the hist. present καλεί, and none of us can determine which he meant to use. In such a case it seemed to me the simplest way to abide by the codd., which I should not do if I saw good reason to depart from them. It may be observed that  $\~σπωs$  εἰσῆλθε...κάλει, when he had entered...he began to call on is exactly the same construction as in the preceding clause,  $\~σπωs$  παρῆλθ'  $\~σω$ ... $\~στο$ , as soon as he had passed in...he went rushing &c. The optatives θάνοι, λίποι prove nothing, for they might follow a hist. present.

1257. Codd.  $\kappa i \chi o \iota$ . My reasons for reading  $\kappa i \chi \eta$  will be found in the Preface, compared with Exc. IV. My belief is that those who introduced  $\kappa i \chi o \iota$  were misled by the false analogy of the optatives above, which refer to past time, while time future is in question here.

1264. Cod. L. πλεκταις ἐωραις ἐμπεπλεγμένην ὁ δὲ ὅπως ὁρᾳ νιν, where ἐμπεπληγμένην is written by mere misadventure, and δ' inserted after ὅπως: but most of the copies write αἰώραις. Hence Vh. conjectures πλεκταισιν αἰώραισιν ἐμπεπλεγμένην. ὅπως δ' κ.τ.λ. This J. adopts, but with ὁ δ' ώς for ὅπως δ', saying that αἰώρα is the only classical form. He overlooks the express testimony of Eustathius (on Il. III. 108) to the classical use of ἐώρα and to its presence here. ἠερέθεσθαι δὲ κυρίως μὲν τὸ ἐν ἀέρι κρέμασθαι, ἐξ οῦ καὶ ἡ αἰώρα. ὅτι δὲ ἡ ἡηθείσα αἰώρα καὶ διὰ τοῦ ε ψιλοῦ ἔχει τὴν ἄρχουσαν, ώς δηλοῖ οῦ μόνον τὸ πλεκταῖς ἐώραις ἐμπεπλεγμένην, ἀλλὰ καὶ τὸ μετέω ρος, ἔτεροι ἐπαγωνιζέσθωσαν. In the face of this evidence I would not exclude ἐώραις. The fact that αἰώρα is the more usual word, and therefore adopted in the copies, is really an argument in favour of ἐώρα, and ὁ δὲ ὅπως is quite free from objection. I follow Cod. L. with Di. Li. Schäf. H. Wo. R. Bl.

1271. I agree with Hermann and Bishop Thirlwall in regarding ὄψοιντο as certainly corrupt. But I cannot believe in ὄψαιντο. See my note appended to Excursus XI.

1279. Cod. L. ὄμβρος χαλάζης αἵματος ἐτέγγετο. Copies supply τ' after αἵματος, and one cod. has αἰμάτων. Pors. conjectured χάλαζά θ' αἰματοῦσσ', and so Di. Schm. Vh. has χαλαζῆς αἰμάτων. Bl. χαλαζῆς αἰματοῦς. H. R. N. Be. χαλάζης αἰμάτων. Heath, Ht.

Wu. Wo. Ca. J. Wh. χαλάζης αἰματοῦς. Elms. Erf. Musgr. Bo. Li. edit as I do. H. (ad Orph. Argon. 766) justly says 'vocabulo χαλάζης intellegi aquosum umorem una cum sanguine ex oculis defluentem'. I doubt not the supposed likeness of a tear-drop to a hailstone brought in χαλάζης, and the epithet μέλας indicates the coloration of the mixture. The χάλαζα αἴματος, and χαλαζάεις φόνος of Pindar have no bearing on this place, much less ὀμβρία χάλαζα in O. C. 1502, except that the use of χάλαζα favoured the addition of ὄμβρος.

1280. οὖ μόνου πάρα. This I conjecture for the MS. οὖ μόνου κακά. Porson οὖχ ένὸς μόνου. Lachmann οὖ μόνου μόνφ. Otto, Wo. Weckl. οὖ μόνου κάτα, which J. edits. H. condenses two lines into one, τάδ᾽ ἐκ δυοῦν ἔρρωγε συμμιγῆ κακά. Di. ejects both.

1284.  $\tilde{\epsilon}\nu \tau \iota \nu \iota \sigma \chi o \lambda \hat{\eta}$ . All codd., and all edd. before Linwood, had written  $\hat{\epsilon}\nu \tau i \nu \iota$ . He first saw that the enclitic is proper here. Ca. J. Wh. have followed him, as I do.

1302. Codd. pl.  $\phi \epsilon \hat{v}$ ,  $\phi \epsilon \hat{v}$  δύστανος, whence Ca. J.  $\phi \epsilon \hat{v}$  δύστανος. T.  $\phi \epsilon \hat{v}$   $\phi \epsilon \hat{v}$  δύσταν'. So Elms. H. Wh. Dindorf omits the clause.

1310.  $\delta\iota a\pi\acute{\epsilon}\tau a\tau a\iota$ , the reading of most codd. is clearly a corrupt gloss; but  $\delta\iota a\pi\omega \tau \hat{a}\tau a\iota$ , which Musgr. suggested, and J. edits, labours under the double disadvantage of being epic in form (see  $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\pi\sigma\hat{a}\tau a\iota$  482) and nowhere else found. I had edited  $\pi\acute{\epsilon}\tau a\tau a\iota$ , and so Wh., but Moeris will not allow  $\pi\acute{\epsilon}\tau a\mu a\iota$  in Attic Greek, and  $\pi\acute{\epsilon}\tau \epsilon\tau a\iota$ , which Schäf. has, would be fitter. See  $\pi\acute{\epsilon}\tau o\mu a\iota$  486. But is the  $\delta\iota a\iota$  to go for nothing here? I now suspect that the true reading is  $\delta\iota a\theta \epsilon i$ ,  $\tau un$  abroad, a word used by Thuc. Xen. and Plato: for I see no reason why Soph. may not have taken his metaphor from the rush of racers or dispersing crowds, as willingly as from the flight of birds. But a corrector not recognising this, and ignorant of metre, might think  $\delta\iota a\pi\acute{\epsilon}\tau a\tau a\iota$  an improvement. The monometer is more pleasing here than the dimeter. Ca. keeps  $\delta\iota a\pi\acute{\epsilon}\tau a\tau a\iota$ .

- 1311. Codd. ἐξήλου, and so J. But H. ἐξήλλου, followed by Di. Li. Ca. Wh.
  - 1315. H. supplied  $\tilde{o}\nu$ , which is not in codd.
  - 1320. Codd.  $\phi \circ \rho \in \hat{\iota} \nu$ . J.  $\phi \notin \rho \in \iota \nu$ .
- 1323. Codd. κηδεύων. I had edited κηδεμών with Be. Li. R., but I now think it safer to retain κηδεύων, though not in exact correspondence with strophe, unless the diphthong may be made short.

1341. Codd. τὸν ὀλέθριον μέγαν. Erf. τὸν μέγ' ὀλέθριον, and so Bl. N. Wo. J. Wh. But Di. Ca. τὸν ὅλεθρον μέγαν, which is not good grammar.

1347. Codd. μηδ' ἀναγνῶναί ποτ' ἄν. Weckl. μηδάμ' ἃν γνῶναί ποτε. J. μηδέ γ' ἃν γνῶναί ποτε. Do. Schn. N. μηδαμὰ γνῶναί ποτ' ἄν. Di. ὧs σ' ἠθέλησα μηδέ σ' ἃν γνῶναί ποτε.

1349. Codd. ἀπ' ἀγρίας. Triclinius removed the prep.

1350. Codd. νομάδος. Elms. νομάδ'. J. μονάδ'. I view the word as a mere corruption, caused by some blunderer who moved the prep. which stood here to the previous verse. I therefore edit ἀπό μ'. Cod. L. ἔλυσεν ἀπό τε φόνου. I have read ἔλαβ' ἀπό τε φόνου μ'.

1360. Codd.  $\tilde{a}\theta\lambda_{los}$ . Erf.  $\tilde{a}\theta\epsilon_{los}$ , received generally.

1361. Codd. όμογενής. Mein. conjectures όμολεχής.

1365. Codd. ἔφυ. Η. ἔτι.

1383. I place a full stop after ἄναγνον, none after Λαΐου. See Comm.

1401. Codd.  $\tilde{c}_{\tau\iota}$ . And so Di. Wu. Be. Li. R. Schm. But Heims. Wo. Schn. N. Vh. Bl.  $\tilde{\epsilon}_{\tau\iota}$ . Elms. Ht. Ca. J. Wh.  $\tau\iota$ .

1405. Codd. ταὐτόν. J. conj. ταὐτοῦ.

1413. Codd.  $\pi\epsilon i\theta\epsilon\sigma\theta\epsilon$ . Elms. and edd.  $\pi i\theta\epsilon\sigma\theta\epsilon$ .

1422. Codd. φανοῦμαι. Mein. θανοῦμαι, received by N.

1445. Codd. L. A.  $\tau$ '  $\partial \nu = \tau \partial \nu$ .  $\Gamma$ . L<sup>2</sup>.  $\gamma$ '  $\partial \nu$ , and so H. W. Ht. Bl.

1446. Codd. are divided between προστρέψομαι and προτρέψομαι. προστρέψομαι Be. Wo. Ht. N. Vh. Bl. J. προτρέψομαι Br. Erf. H. Di. Li. Wu. R. Schm. Ca. Wh. I prefer the former as more forcible here.

1466. Codd. gen. aiν, two ταίν. Schäf. Heath, Reis. Br. Erf. Ht. Wo. ταίν. Other edd. aiν.

1494–5. Codd.  $\tau o \hat{i} \hat{s} \epsilon \mu o \hat{i} \hat{s} \mid \gamma o \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma i \nu$ . I read  $\tau a \hat{i} \hat{s} \epsilon \mu a \hat{i} \hat{s} \gamma o \nu a \hat{o} \sigma i \nu$ , and regard  $\sigma \varphi \hat{\varphi} \nu$  as genitive case, not dative: which will be disasters to my children and to yours alike. Oed. asks 'who will run the risk of marrying girls who, disgraced themselves, will entail disgrace on their progeny?' J. reads  $\gamma \acute{o} \nu o \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ , which he would have to mean the sons of Oedipus. But those sons ought not to be specified here, and  $\gamma \acute{o} \nu o s$ , though used in the singular for 'a son', is not used in the plural at all. The word  $\gamma o \nu a \imath \sigma \iota \nu$  however includes the whole progeny. See O. C. 1192, Ant. 641.

1505. Codd. παρίδης. Dawes περιίδης, generally received.

1513. Codd. οὖ καιρὸς ἀεὶ ζῆν, τοῦ βίου δὲ λφόνος. For ἀεὶ Di. conj. έᾳ (monosyll.), received by Li. Wu. Wo. N. J. Ca. and Wh. omit τοῦ.

1526. Codd.  $\delta\sigma\tau\iota s$ . I read  $\delta s$   $\tau\iota s$ , and this reading is defended at full in Exc. 1X.

1528. Codd. ἐκείνην. For this I read ἄμεινον.

1529. Codd. μηδέν'. I read μή τιν'. See Comm.

The Editors and Commentators, whom I have more or less consulted in preparing this edition, and whom in Lection and Commentary I have cited by the Sigla appended, are as follows:

Be.	Bergk.	Mein.	Meineke.
Bl.	Blaydes.	Musgr.	Musgrave.
Bo.	Bothe.	N.	Nauck.
Br.	Brunck.		Neue.
Ca.	Prof. Campbell.	Pors.	Porson.
Di.	W. Dindorf.	R.	Ritter.
Död.	Döderlein.	Reis.	Reisig.
Do.	Dobree.	Schäf.	Schäfer.
Ebn.	Ebner.	Schn.	Schneidewin.
Ell.	Ellendt.	Schm.	M. Schmidt.
Elms.	Elmsley.	St.	Steel.
Erf.	Erfurdt.	Vh.	Van Herwerden
Ht.	Hartung.	Weckl.	Wecklein.
Heims.	Heimsoeth.	Wh.	Prof. White.
H.	G. Hermann.	Wo.	G. Wolff.
Ţ.	Prof. Jebb.	Wu.	Wunder.
Li.	Linwood.		

Some names, occurring once or twice only, are printed at full: Arndt, Badham, Burges, Dawes, Dorville, Heath, Lachmann, Martin, Otto, Schneider, Seidler, Spengel.

Note. Readers are requested to observe, that several important corrections in the Parodos and in Stasimon IV. have been added since the Commentary and the Preface were printed. They are duly noticed in the Lection.

## COMMENTARY ON OEDIPUS TYRANNUS.

## I. PROLOGOS. (1—150.)

- I. (Preface.) (1) In the first Excursus some account is given of the Greek theatre, especially that of Dionysus at Athens, which, lying on the southern slope of the Acropolis, had a prospect inclining to the East of South.
- (2) The Scene of the Oedipus Tyrannus represents the front of the royal palace at Thebes. On each side of the central or royal door is another door, the eastern, which leads to the women's apartments, the western, probably, to the residence of Creon. At each extremity of the palace-front is another inlet to the stage, that on the E. being for strangers from foreign parts, that on the W. for persons coming out of the city. These adjoin the Periactoi.
- (3) When the spectators, who could be (says Plato) 30,000, have taken their seats in the Koilon, the action of the drama begins with the silent entrance, through the western passage (parodos) into the orchestra, of a procession, consisting of priests, youths and children. They are led by the Priest of Zeus, an aged and venerable man. When they have reached the proscenium, either by way of the choral platform, as yet vacant, or by other stairs, they seat themselves, as directed by the priest, on the steps of several altars (15, &c.), of which the nearest to the palace is the altar of Apollo Lyceus (919). They are suppliants, who come to the palace, seeking relief from the miseries of the plague which afflicts Thebes, and addressing their supplication to the gods of Oedipus, and to Oedipus himself, their venerated king. Each one brings the customary token of his suppliant character, a small bough or rod of olive, festooned with wool. This was technically called ἰκετηρία, here ἰκτήριος κλάδος, and those who carry them are said to be έξεστεμμένοι (3, 19). Each ἰκετηρία was to be laid on the altar and left there, if the petition were not granted. But, as Oedipus takes upon himself to fulfil the desire of these suppliants, they are told (143) to take their rods away with them. See Andocides  $\pi \epsilon \rho \lambda$  Muot. and notes on 3. Whether, when Oed. comes out of the palace, the suppliants

have already laid their rods on the altars, or hold them out to him in token of supplication, is a doubtful point. My reasons for inclining to the latter view will be given on 3.

- (4) The Prologos of a Greek play is that portion which precedes the Parodos or entry of the Chorus. Two plays of Aeschylus, the Supplices and the Persae, have no Prologos. But in every extant drama of Sophocles this part is of considerable extent. In the Oedipus Rex it contains 150 lines, forming two dialogues: one between Oedipus and the Priest of Zeus, the other between Oedipus and Creon. These lay the foundation of the plot.
- II. (Outline of the Prologos.) Oedipus addresses first the suppliants, as his children, generally, and then the Priest of Zeus especially, desiring to know the feeling which brings them to the palace, declaring his affectionate sympathy, and his determined purpose to give his utmost help. The Priest, in his reply, describes the miseries inflicted on Thebes by the prevailing pestilence, and explains why the suppliant people look to Oedipus for counsel and relief. He had formerly rescued them from the cruel tyranny of the riddling Sphinx; and the wisdom which had enabled him to do this was supposed to be a divine gift. Can he not find a remedy for this new affliction, whether shown to him by the voice of a god, or perhaps by the suggestion of some man: for men of sage experience are not only the best counsellors, but also most accustomed to compare counsels mutually? (42-45.) The priest concludes his speech by entreating Oedipus to sustain his high reputation; to save a reign happily begun from an unhappy close, and himself from becoming the insignificant ruler of a depopulated land. In his reply (58) Oedipus declares his cordial sympathy with the suppliants. The aid which they ask he has already striven to supply by sending his brother-in-law Creon to consult the Delphic oracle of Phoebus. His return may be momently expected. The priest announces the approach of Creon (78) who is seen crowned with bay, and of cheerful aspect, as the bearer of good news. In the second dialogue (85) Creon, answering the king's questions, apprises him that Phoebus, in his oracular answer, requires the Theban people, as the condition of their release from the plague, to extirpate from their land a certain pollution: to wit, the murderer or murderers of the late king Laius. In his subsequent answers Creon states all that had been officially discovered as to the circumstances of that crime. Oedipus declares (132) that he will devote himself to the detection of the criminal, not only for the sake of the city, but in his own interest also, since the same murderous hand, by which Laius fell, might be armed against himself. This is one of the many instances of the εἰρωνεία which pervades this drama from its outset to the περιπέτεια of the plot.

He bids the children rise and take up their suppliant boughs; despatching a messenger to summon the Theban councillors. The priest, seconding his directions, prays to Phoebus for a blessing (147).

III. (Notes on 1-150.) 1. & τέκνα. Oedipus speaks not to the children only, but to all the suppliants, as the father of his people. His popularity as a beneficent ruler is testified by the Chorus in Stasimon I. So 58, & παίδες οἰκτροί. It is said in Homer (Od. xiii.) of Odusseus (Ulixes), λαῶν, οἶσιν ἄνασσε, πατὴρ ώς ἤπιος ἦεν. | Κάδμου. The legend is, that Cadmus, son of Agenor, king of Tyre, embroiled with his father, seized vessels, fled to Hellas, and there founded the Boeotian Thebes, introducing the Semitic letters, which were the source of the Greek, Latin, and other European alphabets. This event is ascribed to the 15th century B. C.; the reign of Oedipus to the 13th. Hence (267) Soph. counts five generations from Agenor to Laius, inclusive. The Hellenic nations liked to commemorate their heroic princes in their own and in local names. So the Thebans called themselves Καδμεῖοι, Καδμογενείς, Κάδμου λαός (= Κάδμου τροφή), their town ἄστυ or δώμα Καδμεῖον, their citadel the Καδμεία (see 29, 35, 144): as the Athenians are called Κεκροπίδαι from Cecrops, Ἐρεχθείδαι from Erechtheus, Θησείδαι from Theseus: and a favourite name for Athens was Κρανάα πόλις, from an old hero king Kranaos. Hence the Athenians are called Κρανάου παίδες in Aesch. Eum. 1014. | τοῦ πάλαι, the ancient. An adverb of time or place with the article prefixed, becomes adjectival by an ellipse of the participle  $\vec{\omega}\nu$ . So  $\delta \pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \iota = \dot{\delta} \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \dot{\delta} s$ , and similarly we find  $\dot{\delta} \nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ ,  $\dot{\delta} \tau \dot{\delta} \tau \epsilon$ ,  $\dot{\delta} \pi \rho \dot{\nu} \nu$ , ό πέλας, ὁ ἐκεῖ, &c. See 268, τοῦ πρόσθε Κάδμου τοῦ πάλαι τ' Αγήνορος. Observe the elegant antithesis τοῦ πάλαι νέα. So Ae. Sept. 740, νέοι παλαιοίσι συμμιγείς. Néos can mean new, young, or modern as here: render latest.  $\parallel \tau \rho o \phi \dot{\eta} = \theta \rho \dot{\epsilon} \mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ , abstract for concrete, nurture for nurslings: it may be rendered offspring or progeny: Eur. Cycl. 189 μηκάδων άρνων τροφαί.

2. τίνας ποθ'...; 'quasnam sedes has (or quamnam sessionem hanc) mihi sedetis?'=τυλη sit ye thus solemnly before me? Ποτέ, thus used to strengthen an interrogative (see 151), is like the colloquial English ever, or pray (what ever are you doing? what do you want, pray?). || The construction τίνας τάσδε ἔδρας (for τίνες εἰσῖν αἴδε ἔδρας α΄ς) is attractional, and frequent in classical poetry. Blaydes cites 1033, τί τοῦτ' ἀραιον ἐννέπες κακόν; Eur. H. F. 1132, τίν ὅψιν τήνδε δέρκομας; Verg. Aen. IV. 10, quis novus hic nostris successit sedibus hospes? Steel adds: Aesch. Pr. 251, μέγ' ὡφέλημα τοῦτ' ἐδωρήσω βροτοῖς. Eur. Ion 1262, οἴαν ἔχιδναν τήνδ ἔφυσας. || μοι is 'dativus ethicus,' the construction, frequent in Greek poetry, of one indirectly interested, a special case of the larger 'dativus commodi vel incommodi.' || θοάζετε. It is certain that two verbs

exist having the same form  $\theta \circ \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$ . One of these, from the root  $\theta \in F$ , run, is a derivative of  $\theta o \delta s$ , swift  $(\theta o F \delta s)$ , and therefore anciently  $\theta o F \delta c \omega$ , meaning, move swiftly, hasten. It is used by Eurip. as trans. and intrans. Hermann, Erfurdt, take it to be the word here used. But two passages occur (Ae. Suppl. 595, and a fragment of Empedocles in Sext. Empir. 218, σοφίης  $\epsilon \pi$ ' ἄκροισι θοάζει) where the sense of sitting is obvious. Again, Plutarch, Hesych., Etym. M., with all grammarians and scholiasts, ascribe that sense to the verb here, which the context confirms. We must therefore assume a root  $\theta a$ , sit, which by strengthening became  $\theta a a$ ,  $\theta \bar{a}$ ,  $\theta o a$  or  $\theta o \bar{a}$ , and took (1) the suffix  $\kappa$ , whence  $\theta \hat{a} \kappa o s$ ,  $\theta \hat{\omega} \kappa o s$ , seat,  $\theta a \kappa \epsilon \omega$ , sit, (2) the suffixes σσ, ζ, whence θαάσσω, θάσσω, θοάζω, sit, &c. See J.'s note, p. 286. | ἔδρας θοάζετε is the construction of the cognate accus. The words are not cognate in stem like  $\gamma \epsilon \lambda \hat{a} \nu \gamma \epsilon \lambda \omega \tau a$ ,  $\theta \rho \epsilon \mu \mu a \theta \rho \epsilon \psi a \sigma \theta a \iota$ , and many more, but cognate in sense, like έλθεῖν ὁδόν, εὕδειν ὕπνον &c. See Ο. C. 1166, τίς δητ' αν είη τήνδ' ὁ προσθακών έδραν. Ritter, citing γονυπετείς ἔδραs from Eurip., insists that the posture of the suppliants was a kneeling This cannot be certainly determined. It might be something between sitting and kneeling. But sitting itself is often represented as a mournful attitude. See Isaiah iii. and Verg. Aen. XI. 349, totamque videmus consedisse urbem luctu.

3. ἰκτ. κλάδ. ἐξεστ. exhibiting wreathed supplicative branches. This use of the particip. from  $\delta \kappa \sigma \tau \delta \phi \omega$  (see lex.), is peculiar: for, though attributed to the suppliants, it really describes the rods wreathed with wool which they brought with them as symbols of supplication. Chryses in Homer brings a golden staff festooned with στέμματα, Il. ά, 14, στέμματ' ἔχων ἐν χερσὶν ἐκηβόλου ᾿Απόλλωνος χρυσέω ἀνὰ σκήπτρω, καὶ ἐλίσσετο πάντας 'Axaloús. In later times a small branch or rod of olive was so used, technically called  $i\kappa\epsilon\tau\eta\rho i\alpha$ , in 912  $\sigma\tau\epsilon\phi\eta$ , here  $i\kappa\tau\eta\rho i\sigma s$  κλάδοs, from  $i\kappa\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha i$ (whence ἰκέτης, a suppliant). Another name was εἰρεσιώνη, from ἔριον, wool, Aristoph. Plut. 383. The Romans called them velamina or velamenta. Hence Verg. Aen. VII. 154, centum oratores augusta ad moenia regis Ire jubet, ramis velatos Palladis omnes. Comp. Ae. Suppl. 192, λευκοστεφείς ίκτηρίας, ἀγάλματ' αίδοίου Διὸς σεμνῶς ἔχουσαι διὰ χερῶν συνωνύμων. Eum. 43, έλαίας θ' ύψιγέννητον κλάδον λήνει μεγίστω σωφρόνως ἐστεμμένον ἀργητι μαλλ $\hat{\varphi}$ . Wunder, in his Excursus on this place (which is very useful), says: 'As soon as those who came to pray for anything had seated themselves at the altar, they placed these branches on it; and, if the help they sought was promised, they took them up and retired; if not, they quitted the place, and left the branches behind.' Hence Eur. Her. 124 ἰκέται κάθηνται παΐδες οΐδ' 'Ηρακλέους βωμόν καταστέψαντες, ως δράς, ἄναξ. See also Ae. Suppl. 241 &c., 344 &c., 480 &c.; 506 shows that it was customary for those, who did not receive a promise of

help, to leave the ἰκετηρίαι on the altar: κλάδους μέν αὐτοῦ λεῖπε σημεῖον πόνου. So in Eur. Suppl. see 258 &c., and afterwards 359, άλλ', ω γεραιαί, σέμι ἀφαιρεῖτε στέφη μητρός, just as in this play Oed. says 143, τούσδ' ἄραντες ἰκτῆρας κλάδους. In the face of these passages it is not easy to deny the conclusion of Wunder and J., that the  $\sigma \tau \dot{\epsilon} \phi \eta$  had been laid on the altars before Oed. appeared. Yet some indication of this fact stronger than the word apartes at 143 might have been expected: and many places appear in Greek and Latin (besides Hom. a'. 14), which speak of them as held in the hands, and stretched out in token of entreaty. See (as cited by Blaydes) Ae. Suppl. 22 ἐγχειριδίοις. Verg. Aen. VII. 237, praeferimus manibus vittas ac verba precantia. Liv. XXIV. 30, ramos oleae ac velamenta alia supplicum porrigentes. Ov. Met. 11. 279, velamenta manu praetendens supplice. Hence Ritter comes to another conclusion, saying, 'the suppliants held out the branches in their hands in token of prayer to Apollo and Oed., and would have laid them on the altar, had not Oed. promised his help, and directed them to carry the  $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \eta$  home.

- παιάνων. A paean (epic παιήων) might be either a hymn of prayer to the healing god (Παιών, Apollo), as here, or a song of praise, as Eur. Alc. 424; or of triumph and joy, as Ae. Sept. ἀλώσιμον παιᾶν' ἐπεξιακχάσαs.
- 6. μὴ negatives ἀκούειν. So 1001, πατρός τε χρήζων μὴ φονεύς εἶναι, γέρον.  $\parallel$  τέκνα. Oed. repeats this affectionate word, where he says his interest in their sad case is such that he cannot be satisfied with the tales of messengers, but must see and hear everything in person.
- 7. Steel's note on  $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\gamma\epsilon\lambda\omega\nu$  ἄλλων is as follows—"Παρ' ἀγγέλων ἄλλων =  $\pi\alpha\rho$ ' ἄλλων, δντων  $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\gamma\epsilon\lambda\omega\nu$  ( $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\gamma\epsilon\lambda\lambda\delta\nu\tau\omega\nu$ ). So Eur. Or. 532, τί μαρτύρων ἄλλων ἀκούειν δεῖ μ' ἄ γ' εἰσορᾶν πάρα; This is a better construction than with Erfurdt to make ἄλλων redundant as in Plato Gorg. § 64 ὑπὸ τῶν πολιτῶν καὶ τῶν ἄλλων ξένων, where see Heindorf's note; and as in

Aristoph. Pax 759, ἀλλ' ὑπὲρ ὑμῶν πολεμίζων ἀντεῖχον ἀεί, καὶ τῶν ἄλλων νήσων. But in all these cases Wunder's excellent observation on Phil. 38 holds good, that 'when two or more things are mentioned which allbelong to some one genus, the Greeks are accustomed so to join the pronoun ἄλλος, by a sort of attraction, with that noun which indicates the species, that the meaning of the pronoun must be referred, not to the noun with which it is connected, but to the noun indicating the genus, and so that the noun with which allows is connected must be looked upon as containing an explanation of that pronoun, and of the substantive to which the meaning of the pronoun refers.' So for example in Eur. Med. 297, χωριs  $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \, \ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta s \, \dot{\eta} s \, \ddot{\epsilon} \chi o \nu \sigma \iota \nu \, \dot{\alpha} \rho \gamma \dot{\iota} \alpha s \, \kappa. \tau. \lambda.$ , envy and the character of slothfulness are the two species of one genus, the two sorts of disadvantage under which οί περισσώς σοφοί labour: and we may translate the pronoun in English, besides, in addition, over and above; 'For not to mention the character of slothfulness which they have over and above, they incur &c.'" Here (as άλλων follows its noun) render, from messengers at second hand, i.e. from messengers over and above my own observation.

- 8. Though self-satisfaction is among the faults of Oed., yet here he desires to inspire the suppliants with confidence in his power to help them. It is also the purpose of a Prologos to bring to the notice of the audience the leading characters by name. Hence the Priest repeats the name, Oldinous, 14. If The order is  $\kappa \alpha \lambda$ . Old. I was a knewds, whom men entitle Oedipus the all-renowned. His renown came from his victory over the Sphinx. See Introd. I  $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota$  is dat. ethicus, depending on  $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu \delta s$ , 'renowned in the estimation of all.' So 40,  $\hat{\omega}$   $\kappa \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau \iota \sigma \tau \nu$   $\tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu$  Oldinou  $\kappa \dot{\alpha} \rho \alpha$ . O. C. 1446,  $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} \dot{\xi} \iota \alpha \iota \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$   $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu$   $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \epsilon$   $\delta \nu \sigma \tau \nu \chi \epsilon \dot{\nu} \nu$ .
- 9. Oed. now, with ἀλλά, but or therefore, addresses specially the priest of Zeus, whose age, dignified form, attire, and position point him out as the leading personage. ὧ γεραιέ, aged sire, or (as J.), thou venerable man. || φράζε, explain. || πρέπων ἔφυν, thou art naturally fitted. φῦναι is often in periphrasis with a part.: 440, ἱμείρων ἔφυν, Phil. 88, χρήζων ἔφυν. See Periphrasis in Introd. ἔφυν, you were constituted by nature, therefore you are: almost invariable use of ἔφυν. Griffiths on Prom. 335.
- 10.  $\pi \rho \delta$  τῶνδε, on the part of these, i.e. as representing them. Cp. O. C. 811.  $\parallel$  τίνι τρόπω καθέστατε, in what mood ye are come. A question after φράζειν is always indirect. καθέστατε, sync. for καθεστήκατε 2nd p. pl. perf. (intrans.) of καθίστημι, to place, 'ye have placed yourselves,' = ye are come. In 703 καθεστάναι is, 'to stand in the position of,' to be.
- 11-13. Render: affrighted, or reposing in the trust that I shall willingly give full assistance: yes, I were hard-hearted otherwise, in not pitying a supplication such as this. On the constructions in these lines, see Excursus II. || G. Wolff observes that the lines in the opening speech of Oed.

run thus: 3+2; 3; 2+3: also that in the first 3 there is a prevalence of the palatal sounds  $\tau$ ,  $\sigma$ , in the next 2 of the nasals  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$ . The middle 3, tender in feeling, bring out the gutturals  $\gamma$ ,  $\kappa$ , along with the soft liquid  $\lambda$ : in what follows there is first a prominence of the firm labials  $\phi$ ,  $\pi$ , while in the three closing lines, tender yet resolute, palatals again appear, strengthened by labials, and softened by vowels and diphthongs. Nowhere is found the canine letter  $\dot{\rho}$  in its native roughness ( $\xi\rho\rho\epsilon$ ,  $\xi\rho\pi\epsilon$ ,  $K\hat{\eta}\rho\epsilon$ s): either it is softened by a palatal before it ( $\tau\rho\phi\dot{\eta}\dot{\eta}$ ,  $\tau\rho\dot{\sigma}\pi\psi$ ), or it makes a firm labial yet firmer ( $\phi\rho\dot{\alpha}\zeta\epsilon$ ,  $\pi\rho\dot{\epsilon}\pi\omega\nu$ ), or melts into a guttural ( $\sigma\tau\dot{\epsilon}\rho\xi\alpha\nu\tau\epsilon$ s,  $\dot{\alpha}\rho\kappa\epsilon\hat{\nu}$ ), or it is smothered in soft vowels and diphthongs ( $\kappa\alpha\tau\iota\kappa\tau\dot{\epsilon}\rho\omega\nu$ ).— This observation does not indicate the process followed by the poet's mind, but the result achieved by his fine ear and taste.

- 14. The priest's reply also begins with  $\dot{a}\lambda\lambda\dot{a}$ , well then. It implies that he has given attention to the words of Oed., but has something to add.
- 15.  $\delta\rho\hat{q}s$  μὲν ἡμᾶs. The δὲ corresponding to this μὲν is in l. 19, τὸ δ' ἄλλο φῦλον.  $\parallel$  ἡμᾶs is an instance of that attraction, so frequent in Greek poetry, by which a noun, which might be subject of a dependent clause, is drawn back to become the object of the principal clause: i.e.  $\delta\rho\hat{q}s$  ἡλίκοι ἡμεῖs προσήμεθα becomes  $\delta\rho\hat{q}s$  ἡμᾶs ἡλίκοι προσήμεθα. So 224–5, ὅστις ποθ' ὑμῶν Λάϊον τὸν Λαβδάκου κάτοιδεν ἀνδρὸς ἐκ τίνος διώλετο stands for ὅστις ποθ' ὑμῶν κάτοιδεν ἐκ τίνος ἀνδρὸς Λάϊος ὁ Λαβδάκου διώλετο.  $\parallel$  ἡλίκος, of what age, rel. pron.
- 16.  $\beta\omega\mu o i \sigma i \sigma o i s$ . The altar of Apollo Λύκειοs is the only one mentioned by name (919), as ἄγχιστοs. Hence Ritter believes it the only one in front of the palace, and takes the plural here in a sing. sense, like δόμοι, δώματα, &c. This is surely improbable. We cannot indeed say with certainty what other deities had altars here, though Pallas, Artemis, Dionysus, are the most likely names, being those invoked afterwards by the Chorus, as well as Zeus ἔρκειοs. Since the proscenium was narrow, and the Chorus not yet present, we may fairly suppose that the choral platform itself was occupied by some of the suppliants, and perhaps that even the thymele, or altar of Bacchus, at its northern extremity, was among those here noticed. See Ae. Ag. 485 &c. || oi μέν, some: i.e. the young children, here likened to newly-fledged birds, νεοσσοί. Cp. E. Herac. 240, βώμιος θακεῖς νεοσσών τήνδ ἔχων ὁμήγυριν.
- 17. οἱ δὲ σὺν γήρα βαρεῖς, others old and infirm: i.e. γήρα ξυνόντες καὶ βαρυνόμενοι αὐτῷ.
- 18.  $l\epsilon\rho\hat{\eta}s$ . Attic form for nom. plur. of  $l\epsilon\rho\epsilon$ ύς.  $\parallel$   $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$   $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $Z\eta\nu\dot{\phi}s$ . This implies ἄλλοι δὲ ἄλλων  $\theta\epsilon\dot{\omega}\nu$ , which is left for the mind to supply.  $Z\epsilon\dot{\nu}s$ , gen.  $Z\eta\nu\dot{\phi}s$  or  $\Delta\iota\dot{\phi}s$ .  $\parallel$  οιδε τ'  $\dot{\eta}\theta\dot{\epsilon}\omega\nu$ . See Lection.  $\ddot{\eta}\theta\epsilon\sigma$ s, Att. for Ion.  $\dot{\eta}\dot{\iota}\theta\epsilon\sigma$ s, a marriageable (but unmarried) youth. They were employed in temples, as Ion in the play of Euripides.

- 19.  $\tau \delta \delta' \, \tilde{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \delta \, \phi \hat{v} \lambda \delta \nu$ . J. renders, 'the rest of the folk.' So Ritter 'das and're Volk', and this seems to be the general view. I doubt its correctness. Can  $\phi \hat{v} \lambda \delta \sigma \, s$  stand for the population of a town? Would not  $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \delta \sigma \, s$  be more likely? And is it imaginable that all Thebes was gone with  $i \kappa \epsilon \tau \eta \rho i \alpha \, i \, (\epsilon \xi \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \sigma \nu)$  to supplicate in public places on the same day and at the same early hour (see 65) by common consent? Looking at the words  $\tau \delta \, \ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \delta \, o$ , and considering that  $\phi \hat{v} \lambda \delta \sigma \, \nu \, i$  mplies a similarity of component persons, I cannot help suspecting that we ought to render—'the rest of our number' (or 'of our body'); and to understand that the priestly order in Thebes had organised a company of suppliants, consisting of themselves, of youths selected by themselves  $(\lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau \sigma i)$ , and of children, and so distributed and instructed these, that various parties should at the same time appear in suppliant guise at important points and places of the city; the principal troop, headed by the priest of Zeus, being destined for the royal palace.
- 20. ἀγοραῖσι, in the market-squares: dat. loci. The Agora, being the oldest part of an Hellenic city, naturally became the focus, not only of commercial, but of religious and political life. Here (say Guhl and Köner) even in Homer's time the citizens assembled in consultation; hence it was supplied with seats: here were the oldest sanctuaries, here statues and altars of the gods: here were held the first festive games. Zeus, Hermes, Athene were its presiding deities (ἀγοραῖοι): Artemis is named at 161. Its usual form was a quadrangular court, surrounded by colonnades. See S. El. 7. || Παλλάδος διπλοις ναοις. We do not know which are meant, Pallas being worshipped at Thebes under various titles, as "Ογκα, Ίσμηνία, Kαδμεία, &c., we may suppose the first and third of these. | J. suggests that Soph., in mentioning two temples of Pallas, wished to remind the audience of those two at Athens, which stood on the Acropolis above the theatre: the Erechtheion, and the Parthenon. The Er. contained a temple of Pallas, besides which and her Parthenon, as the virgin goddess, she had also on that hill her great statue, as Polias, tutelar of the city. Steel says: 'the vads was that part of the temple which contained the altars and image of the deity, i.e. the shrine (cella, sacellum): ίερον the whole building;  $\tau \in \mu \in \nu$  sthe sacred precinct round it := chancel, church, churchyard.'
- 21.  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi'$  Ἰσμηνοῦ τε μαντεία σποδῷ. Ismenus, a Theban seer, gave his name to the river Ladon, S. of Thebes. The temple of Ismenian Apollo there was oracular, divination being drawn from the sacrifices ( $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\pi\nu\rho\rho-\mu\mu\nu\tau\epsilon(a)$ ). Cp. Ant. 1005 &c. where such omens are described. Hence  $\mu\mu\nu\tau\epsilon(a)$  σποδῷ the prophetic altar-ash. See Herod. VIII. 132, and J.'s note on this place.
- 23, 24. σάλος is the surge near to the land, πέλαγος, the open sea.  $\parallel$  ἔτ' οὐχ οἴα τ $\epsilon$  = οὐκέθ' οἴα τ $\epsilon$  (ἐστί) hath power no longer. οἶός τ $\epsilon$  = τοιοῦτος ὥστ $\epsilon$ .  $\parallel$  φοινίου, deadly, lit. 'bloody.' Aj. 352, φοινίας ὑπὸ ζάλης.

- 25. φθίνουσα, wasting, decaying. κάλυξιν, άγέλαις, τόκοις are datives, both of manner and matter, describing in what the wasting consists. Herod. says (VI. 139), after the Pelasgi had slain their children and wives οὕτε γῆ καρπὸν ἔφερε οὕτε γυναῖκές τε καὶ ποῦμναι ὁμοίως ἔτεκον καὶ πρὸ τοῦ.
- 26. βουνόμοις = βοῶν νεμομένων, of pasturing kine.  $\parallel$  τόκος, travail, labour (of child-birth). Hesiod Op. D. 242, οὐδὲ γυναῖκες τίκτουσιν.
- 27. ἄγονος, bringing not to birth, abortive, barren.  $\parallel$  έν. Some would join this (as separated by tmesis) with  $\sigma\kappa\dot{\eta}\psi$ as: but rather it is adverbial: at the same time, meanwhile. See 183, O. C. 55, Ant. 420, 1274, Tr. 207, Aj. 675.  $\parallel$  θε $\dot{\theta}$ s, the plague is deified, and called  $\pi\dot{\nu}\rho\dot{\phi}$ ορος as bringing fever.
- 28. σκήψας, swooping. σκήπτω, to strike, as a thunderbolt falling to earth. || ἐλαύνει, assails, rawages. See 167-185. || Cp. the account of the plague of Athens in Thuc. 11. Lucr. VI.
- 29. Thebes is called *the home of Cadmus* its founder.  $\parallel$  Observe the elision of  $\epsilon$  in  $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$  at the close of this verse: a liberty often taken by Soph., never by Aesch. or Eurip. See 785, 791, 1224, O. C. 17, Ant. 1031.
  - 30. "Aιδης, Hades (Pluto), god of the shades below.
  - 31. Ισούμενόν σε depends on κρίνοντες in 33.
- 33. συμφοραις, common events, in contradistinction to ξυναλλαγαις, visitations, or interventions in 34.
- 35. ös  $\gamma \epsilon$  (quippe qui) &c. seeing thou didst come to the city of Cadmus and loose off from us ( $\xi \xi \ell \lambda \nu \sigma as$ ) &c. i.e. release us from.
- 36. The σκληρὰ ἀοιδὸς (cruel songstress or poetess) is the Sphinx, whose riddle was in verse. See Excursus XI., and 391—398.
- 37. καὶ ταῦτα, and that too. So Ant. 322, El. 614.  $\parallel$  οὐδὲν ἐξειδὼς πλέον, having gained no helpful information; nothing more (πλέον) than you knew already.
- 39. λέγει νομίζει θ', Attic for λέγη νομίζη θ', Pres. p. 2nd p. s. || Soph. has ἡμὶν as trochee 26 times, ὑμὶν 12; Aesch. and Eur. never.
  - 40. Οἰδίπου κάρα, a frequent periphrasis for a person dignified or beloved.
- 41-45. Render these lines, all we who are suppliants here beseech thee to find some help for us, whether thou hast learnt it from hearing any god's voice, or, it may be, from a man, since I perceive that experienced counsellors do also, most of any, keep alive the habit of conferring in counsel. On the just interpretation of this passage, so commonly and so grievously misinterpreted, see Excursus III.
- 46, 47.  $t\theta\iota$ , imperat. of  $\epsilon l\mu\iota$ , ibo. Here used interjectionally, on! or  $up! \parallel \epsilon i \lambda a \beta \acute{\eta} \theta \eta \tau \iota$  imper. aor. I pass. from  $\epsilon i \lambda a \beta \acute{\epsilon} o \mu a \iota$ : be well on thy guard (lest thy great renown be impaired).
- 48. της πάρος προθυμίας, gen. of cause; on account of thy former zeal. See 233. προμηθίας is an inferior reading.

- 49, 50. μεμνώμεθα. Subjunctive of μέμνημαι, Perf. of μνάομαι, gov. gen.  $\parallel$  μηδαμώς κ.τ.λ. ne'er let this be our remembrance of thy reign, that we stood upright at first, and fell afterwards. Cp. O. C. 174, μὴ δῆτ' άδικηθω.
  - 51. ἀσφαλεία. Dat. of manner : = ἀσφαλῶς, or ὥστε ἀσφαλῆ εἶναι.
- 52. δρνιθι alσίω (alite fausto), with happy omen. Divination taken from birds was so usual a practice of Greeks and Romans, that bird became a common term for omen. Cp. Hor. C. i. 15, 5 mala avi. Aristoph. Av. 719—21,

δρνιν τε νομίζετε πάνθ' ὄσαπερ περὶ μαντείας διακρίνει· φήμη γ' ὑμῖν ὅρνις ἐστί; πταρμόν τ' ὅρνιθα καλεῖτε, ξύμβολον ὅρνιν, φωνὴν ὅρνιν, θεράποντ' ὅρνιν, ὄνον ὅρνιν. ||

την τότε. See note on 1, τοῦ πάλαι.

- 53. τανῦν=νῦν. ∥ ἴσος equal (to thy former self); thine own equal.
- 56. Doubling of negatives in Greek commonly strengthens negation, as here; but cancels it in English. || Cp. Thucyd. VII 77, ἄνδρες γὰρ πόλις καὶ οὐ τείχη οὐδὲ νῆες ἀνδρῶν κεναί.
- 57. μη ξυνοικούντων ἔσω is an idiomatic Greek epexegesis of ἔρημος ἀνδρῶν, destitute of men (without any) to dwell with thee therein. || Hermann says that this use of a participle with μη is scarcely ever to be found, except when a noun signifying action with negative result, as ἔρημος here, is placed instead of a verb. || Observe ἔσω for the more correct ἔνδον.
- 58. γνωτὰ κοὐκ ἄγνωτα. Of this idiom, so frequent in tragedy, J. says that it is used when the speaker feels that he has to contend against an impression existing in the minds of his hearers. I can only say that it is one of those forms which grew out of the love of epexegesis and emphasis common to Greeks and Orientals. See other instances, 63, 384, 1230, 1275, O. C. 935, 1653, Tr. 962, Aj. 289, El. 865, 929, 1283. So in SS. 'live and not die.' || On the forms γνωτός, γνωστός, see 361.
- 59.  $l\mu\epsilon l\rho\omega$  here with accus., elsewhere with gen. Middle  $l\mu\epsilon l\rho\epsilon\tau\alpha\iota$  with infin. is used 386.
- 60-1. νοσοῦντες. nom. pendens, the construction changing to οὖκ ἔστιν ὑμῶν ὅστις. || ἐξ ἴσου ὡς ἐγὼ νοσεῖ, is as sick as I am. ἐξ ἴσου an adverbial phrase formed by prep. and adj. Many such occur in Soph.
- 62-3. εἰς ἔν' ἔρχεται, comes home to each one μόνον καθ' αὐτὸν touching himself alone.
- 65. The suppliants had reached the palace at an early hour.  $\| \tilde{v}\pi\nu\psi \gamma' + \tilde{v}\tilde{v}\delta\nu\nu\tau\alpha$ , a redundant expression resembling the construction of a cognate accus.; and needing no correction. Steel says: "the addition of the noun strengthens the verb, as Verg. Aen. I. 680 'somno sopitum.' Comp. 344, 1229. O.C. 1625,  $\phi\delta\beta\psi$  δείσαντας. The use of ε $\tilde{v}$ ε $\omega$  for inaction is common. See 586."

- 66. ἴστε. imperat. of οἶδα.  $\parallel$  πολλά i. e. δάκρυα.  $\parallel$  δακρύσαντα. A partic. not an infin., follows verbs of seeing, hearing, knowing, &c.  $\parallel$  δη strengthens δακρ., having perhaps its original temporal force, ήδη: it also strengthens such words as πολλά.
- 67. With πολλά μὲν...πολλάς δὲ cp. όμοῦ μὲν...όμοῦ δὲ 3, 4.  $\parallel$  όδους ελθόντα, cognate constr. See 2, ἔδρας θοάζετε.  $\parallel$  πλάνος, a Sophoclean noun: πλάνη being more usual.
- 68. εὔρισκον. Elmsley and Schneidewin write ηὔρισκον, but later scholars, as Ellendt, prefer the form εὐ. See Curtius.
- 70–71. In spite of differing quantities, it is suggested that  $\Pi \nu \theta \dot{\omega}$  had its name from  $\pi \nu \theta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota$ . Cp. Aj. 608,  $\dot{\alpha} \dot{\imath} \delta \eta \lambda o r'' A \iota \delta a \nu$ . See O. C. 486.
- 71-2.  $\mathring{o}$  τι δρών,  $\mathring{\eta}$  τί φωνών. Phil. 905, δρ $\hat{q}$ s οὐδὲ φωνε $\hat{s}$ s. On the true reading ἡυσοίμην (not ἡυσαίμην) see Exc. IV. Render I should rescue.
- 73-5. These lines are very crabbed Greek, and cannot be rendered literally into good English.  ${}^{\circ}H\mu\alpha\rho\ \eta\delta\eta$  can only mean 'the present day,' though a strange expression: and  $\chi\rho\delta\nu\varphi$  can only mean 'the time of Creon's departure.' The phrase  $\lambda\nu\pi\epsilon\hat{\iota}\ \tau\hat{\iota}\ \pi\rho\dot{\alpha}\sigma\sigma\epsilon\hat{\iota}$  finds a parallel in Aj. 744,  $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\tau\epsilon\ \dot{\mu}\ \dot{\omega}\delta\dot{\nu}\epsilon\nu\nu\ \tau\hat{\iota}\ \phi\dot{\eta}s$ . To $\hat{\nu}\ \epsilon\dot{\iota}\kappa\dot{\nu}\sigma\sigma\sigma$  is further defined by line following. Render freely: and the reckoning of time from that day to the present makes me painfully doubtful how he fares: for he is away longer than I naturally expected, outstaying the time required.
- 76–7. κακόs, a dastard, unprincipled.  $\parallel \mu \eta$  δρών, if I fail to do.  $\parallel \delta \eta \lambda \delta \hat{\iota}$  subjunctive for δηλόη.
- 78-79. The approach of Creon is now signified to the priest by some of the suppliants.  $\parallel \epsilon i s \kappa \alpha \lambda \delta \nu$ , at the happy moment. Eur. H. F. 728,  $\epsilon s \kappa \alpha \lambda \delta \nu$   $\sigma \tau \epsilon i \chi \epsilon \iota$ . Plato, Meno, 90, Euthyd. 275. Ant. 386,  $\epsilon i s \delta \epsilon \iota \iota$   $\delta \epsilon \iota$   $\delta \iota$   $\delta$
- 80–81. "ὧναξ. The term ἄναξ, given throughout to Oed., is here applied to Apollo, at 284 to his seer Teiresias, at 85 to Creon. And at 911 the Chorus are addressed as χώρας ἄνακτες. The word anciently meant one to whom any duty was assigned: as Ae. Pers. 378 κώπης ἄναξ, 397 ἱππιάνακτες. So χειρώναξ," St. ||| εἰ γάρ, εἰ, εἶθε, O that, (it would be well if), have the force of the Lat. utinam, as well as ὡς, πῶς ἄν, and are joined with an optative, as with βαίη here. So in Latin Verg. Aen. VIII. 560, O mihi praeteritos referat si Juppiter annos. Hor. S. II. 6, 8—10, O si angulus ille proximus accedat…O si urnam argenti fors quae mihi monstret. <math>|||| ἐν τύχη γὲ τψ (for τωί). The γε throws its emphasis on <math>τύχη τψ, as if it followed τψ. Ae. Sept. 472, σὑν τύχη δὲ τψ. Cho. 138, σὑν τύχη τωί. S. Aj. 853, σὑν τάχει τωί. Ellendt says ἐν τύχη = μετὰ τύχης.

82-3. ἀλλά, nay.  $\parallel$  εἰκάσαι, infin. used absolutely without ώs, to make a guess, i.e. seemingly. Cp. S. El. 410, δοκείν ἐμοί. || ήδὺs i.e. βαίνει, he comes in gladness, i.e. 'with glad tidings.' || γὰρ refers to a suppressed protasis,  $\epsilon i \mu \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta} \delta \dot{v} s \ddot{\epsilon} \beta \alpha i \nu \epsilon$ : for otherwise, else, où  $\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \hat{\iota} \rho \pi \epsilon$ , he would not be approaching. See aν in Exc. XIV. | κάρα, accus. of respect, dep. on πολυστεφής, richly crowned, with gen. of fulness, παγκάρπου δάφνης, berry-laden bay. πâs in compos. has an intensive or superlative force. || Steel says: "Those only returned home crowned with chaplets who had received favourable answers from the oracle. If the answer was unfavourable, or if anything unfortunate happened on their return, they put off their chaplets. Thus in Eur. Hipp. 806, Theseus says, τί δητα τοισδ' ἀνέστεμμαι κάρα πλεκτοίσι φύλλοις, δυστυχής θεωρός ών; In Livy, XXIII. 11 Fabius Pictor on his return to Rome from his embassy to Delphi says, 'Se jussum ab templi antistite, sicut coronatus laurea corona et oraculum adiisset et rem divinam fecisset, ita coronatum navem ascendere, nec ante deponere eam, quam Romam pervenisset."

84. ξύμμετρος (ἐστίν) ώς κλύειν, lit. 'he is within distance so as to hear'= he is within hearing.

85. κήδευμα for κηδεστά (abstr. for concrete) kinsman by marriage, from the noun κήδος, marriage-alliance, Aesch. Ag. The tragic poets are fond of applying abstract nouns, especially those formed from 1st pers. perf. pass., to persons. So Eur. Or. 477, κήδευμ² ἐμόν. 1233, ω ξυγγένεια πατρὸς ἐμοῦ. Phoen. 291, and in this play 1, τροφή. 128, τυραννίδος οὕτω πεσούσης. Ant. 756, γυναικὸς ῶν δούλευμα. Eur. Bacch. 803, δουλείαις ἐμαῖς. Or. 928, τἄνδον οἰκουρήματα. Andr. 446, δόλια βουλευτήρια. The figure is in use among the Latins also: Verg. Ecl. 7. 21, Nymphae, noster amor, Libethrides; Ecl. 3, 66, meus ignis Amyntas: Cic. de Or. 111. 42, quo item in genere et virtutes et vitia pro ipsis, in quibus illa sunt, appellantur. We also use the word relation for person as well as for thing. St.

- - 89. τούπος (τὸ ἔπος), the oracle. Τr. 822, τούπος τὸ θεοπρόπον.
- 90. οὅτ' οὖν προδείσας εἰμί, neither (because I am not bold) am I therefore (οὖν) frightened before the time. See Part. and Periphr.
- 92. With some adjectives, as ἔτοιμος, ἄξιος, ἰκανός, φροῦδος, the verb of being is constantly omitted: probably to denote continuousness of action.
- 93.  $\epsilon$ s πάνταs, before all. || The following construction =  $\tau \delta$  γάρ πένθος ( $\delta$  φέρω) φέρω πλέον περὶ τῶνδε ἢ καὶ κ.τ.λ. The importance of πλέον is shown by its position before  $\tau \delta$  πένθος.
  - 95. λέγοιμ' αν is a softened fut. (as 218, 281) deferentially used.
- 98. ἀνήκεστον τρέφειν, nurture it till past cure: proleptic use of adj. which seems the right construction here. It would be past cure if the murderer of Laius were to die undiscovered and prospering.
- 99. τίς ὁ τρόπος τῆς ξυμφορᾶς; The usual rendering is: 'what is the nature of the calamity (the  $\mu$ laσ $\mu$ a)?' But a question thus distinct seems to need a connecting δὲ or  $\gamma$ áρ: and Creon only replies to  $\pi$ οί $\psi$  καθαρ $\mu$  $\hat{\psi}$ ; Hence I think της ξυμφορᾶς = τοῦ ξυμφέρεσθαι, what is the mode of compliance? O. C. 641, τῆδε γὰρ ξυνοίσομαι. See 45.
- 100-1. ἀνδρηλατοῦνταs. Referred to ἡμᾶs ἄνωγεν in 96. This verb occurs in Ae. Ag. 1586, Eum. 221, Plato Rep. VIII. 565 c.  $\parallel$  φόνφ φόνον πάλιν λύονταs, repaying (or atoning) death with death. Steel thinks the metaphor is from unweaving a web. Eur. Or. 593, μίασμα λῦσαι. This verb (to do away with) has also such objects as νεῖκος, πόνονς.  $\parallel$  ώς κ.τ.λ., seeing that this blood (φόνον) afflicts the city: acc. absolute. The metaphor (χειμάζει) is that of a storm-tossed vessel. On ὡς τόδ αῖμα cp. 241, ὡς μιάσματος τοῦδ ἡμὶν ὅντος, also 354, 527. Thus ὅδε may refer to what immediately precedes, or to what next follows.
- 102-4. γὰρ here may be rendered then.  $\parallel$  ἀπευθύνειν, direct, govern, as a pilot steers a ship. See 695-6, 923. On  $\pi$ ρ $\nu$  see Particles.
- 105. ἀκούων (not ἀκούσαs), the hearsay being continuous.  $\parallel$  The merit of the reading  $\gamma'$  έγω is that the king, in his ignorance, distinguishes himself from Creon and the priests, saying, 'I never saw Laius, as you often did'.

- 106-7. Some make τούτου θανόντος dep. on αὐτοέντας, but it is better as absolute gen.  $\parallel$  ἐπιστέλλει i.e. Φοῖβος.  $\parallel$  αὐτοέντης is again used by Soph. El. 264. The more common form (πολιτικώτερον, as Phrynichus calls it) is αὐθέντης, used by Ae. Eur. and the historians.  $\parallel$  τινας = οἴτινες οὖν εἰσι, whoever they be.
- 108-9. ol, article as demonstr. pron., they.  $\parallel \gamma \hat{\eta} s$ , gen. part. with adv. of place.  $\parallel \delta v \sigma \tau \epsilon \kappa \mu a \rho \tau \sigma s$ , hard to make out, dim.
- 113. συμπίπτει (hist. pres.) meet with, Aj. 424, κακοῖς τοιοῖσδε συμπεπτωκότα.
- 114.  $\theta\epsilon\omega\rho\delta s$  (see lex.): any missionary sent by his country for a religious purpose was so called, whether his destination were Delphi or any other oracular temple, or the public games.  $\epsilon\kappa\delta\eta\mu\hat{\omega}\nu$ , 'going (or being) abroad,' as we say: travelling.  $\parallel$   $\dot{\omega}s$  ἀπεστάλη, from the time he set out ( $\dot{\omega}s=\exp$  quo).
- 117. See Lection. κατεῖδε (καθοράω). κατὰ compounded with a verb of seeing or hearing implies distinctness.  $\parallel$  ὅτου Att. form for οὖτινοs (from ὅστιs), dep. on ἐκμαθών.  $\parallel$  ἐχρήσατ' ἄν, und.  $τ \hat{y}$  μαθήσει.
- 118–19. θνήσκουσι γάρ. The γὰρ refers to a supposed negative: no! The verb is hist. pres.  $\parallel$  εἶχε εἶδὼς φράσαι, was able to state from knowledge, οὐδὲν ὧν εἶδε πλην ἕν, nought of what he saw save one thing. Unless we suppose ὧν εἶδε a gen. of cause dep. on  $\phi$ όβφ, flying in terror at what he saw. Some so construe: but I cannot adopt their view, though specious.
- 120-3.  $\pi \delta \lambda \lambda' \, d\nu \, \epsilon \xi \epsilon \nu \rho \rho \nu \, \mu \alpha \theta \epsilon \nu$ , lit. 'might find out many things to learn': i.e. might procure much information. || ἀρχὴν βραχεῖαν, a mere starting-point. || μιᾶ ρωμῆ, by one man's strength. || νιν = αὐτόν. || We are to understand that the fugitive (who appears in 1117 as the shepherd entrusted with the exposure of the infant), ashamed of confessing that one man had defeated five, ascribed the death of Laius to a band of robbers, and afterwards, recognising in Oed. the murderer, entreated Jocasta to send him away from Thebes, without giving his motive. See 756-766. I cannot suppose, with Bothe, that he had made known to her the guilt of Oed. He kept his own counsel, as he had done about sparing the infant. | Steel says of  $\sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \iota \chi \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ : " $\Sigma \dot{\nu} \nu$  here, as also in the next line,  $\xi \dot{\nu} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \gamma \dot{\nu} \rho \omega$ , gives a meaning somewhat different from the dative of the instrument, and is rather by the assistance of, than by means of, as  $\sigma \partial v \Theta \in \hat{\varphi}$ , with God's assistance. So also Phil. 1335, τὰ Πέργαμα ξύν τοῖσδε τόξοις ξύν τ' έμολ  $\pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \alpha s \phi \alpha \nu \eta s$ . But the distinction cannot be expected to be always very apparent. In English we commonly use with to express a mean or instrument, whilst the Romans in that sense carefully avoided cum, which always denoted accompaniment, though où and with by no means do so necessarily."

- 124-5.  $\delta$  ληστής, the robber, poet. sing., adopted by Soph. for an evident purpose: would then any robber have gone to so daring a length, unless some intrigue were being carried on with bribery from this city (Thebes). The verb  $\pi p \acute{a} \sigma \sigma \omega$  is used in a similar sense of unfair dealing. Aj. 445-6, ν υ ν δ' α υ τ' 'Ατρείδαι φωτ ι π αντούργω φρένας ἔπραξαν. There is no allusion to Creon here, as some suggest. The king does not suspect him before he has heard Teiresias.
  - 126. δοκουντα ταυτ' ήν, such opinions were affoat.
- 128-9. τυραννίδος, 'monarchy,' for monarch.  $\parallel$  ἐμποδών εἷργε, stood in the way and hindered you, τοῦτ' ἐξειδέναι, from fully detecting this crime.
- 130-1. ποικιλφδός (ποικίλα ἀείδουσα) Σφίγξ, the riddling Sphinx, προσήγετο, was obliging us, μεθέντας τάφανη το προς ποσί σκοπεῖν, to let alone things out of sight, and regard what was close (lit. at our feet: we should say 'close at hand'). Causation (3rd use of middle voice) is contained in the verb προσήγετο.
- 132. ἐξ ὑπαρχῆς ... φανῶ, I will start afresh and bring them into sight again. ἐξ ὑπ. is adverbial. φανῶ (τάφανῆ), 'what was out of sight then I will bring into sight again now: i.e. I will revive the inquest concerning the death of Laius,'  $\|$  αὕτ' = αὐτά, them.
- 134. ἔθεσθε τήνδ' ἐπιστροφήν πρὸ τοῦ θανόντος, have paid this attention to the dead man's cause. Though Creon has only reported the oracle and the facts respecting Laius, Oedipus already regards him as a leader in the movement, and is thus prepared to imagine him afterwards a conspirator with Teiresias against himself.
- 136. τιμωροῦντα, redressing or avenging, with dat. In 140 this verb, with accus. (ξμε), means, to wreak wrath upon.
  - 137-40. των ἀπωτέρω. See τοῦ πάλαι, 1. || τάχ ἄν...ἄν. See Part.
  - 143.  $l\sigma\tau\alpha\sigma\theta\epsilon$ , for  $d\nu l\sigma\tau\alpha\sigma\theta\epsilon$ , rise up.
- 144–6. ἄλλος δὲ...δράσοντος, let some one else collect hither the people of Cadmus, saying that I shall do all. || Any translation, which throws σὺν τῷ θεῷ into parenthesis, fails to bring out the force of the passage, which lies in those words. Oed is meant to say: 'we are now altogether in the god's hands, and, whether we are to prove fortunate or the reverse, depends upon him, and him alone'. Here, as everywhere, Soph brings into strong relief the two really inconsistent features in the king's character and conduct: (1) he is blindly self-confident (ώς πᾶν ἐμοῦ δράσοντος), and yet (2) he has the most entire pious confidence in Apollo, who is all the while working his ruin. So he said 76–7, κακὸς μἢ δρών ᾶν εἴην πάνθ' ὄσ' ἄν δηλοῖ θεός.
- 148. καί, emphatic: we did in fact come hither. || έξαγγέλλεται, sends to announce, third sense of the middle voice: that of indirect agency: causation of action.

## II. PARODOS. (151-215.)

(Outline.) Oedipus retires into the palace through the central door: Creon, probably, through that on its western side. The procession of suppliants, reforming itself, leaves the theatre by its former route. After a short pause, the Chorus enters the orchestra through the same passage (parodos). It consists of 15 Theban councillors, elderly but hale men. three ranks, of five each, they march, preceded by a flute-player, under the seats of the spectators (θέατρον or κοίλον), probably singing on their way the first strophe, after which they ascend the choral platform, where they form themselves into two semichoruses, divided by the thymele, or altar of Dionysus; and, turning their faces to the spectators, sing the rest of their opening song, which bears the name Parodos (entrance song), and is in its nature a Paean. It contains three strophes, each with its correspondent antistrophe. Knowing, from the messenger, that they are sent for to hear an oracle which has arrived from Delphi, in the first strophe they express their anxious curiosity respecting its purport. In its antistrophe they invoke the protection of three deities, revered as ἀλεξίкакы (averrunci), averters of evil. These are Pallas Athene, Artemis, and Apollo. The second strophe, and its antistrophe, describe and deplore in melancholy strains the affliction caused by the raging pestilence. In the third strophe and antistrophe the old men resume prayerful invocation. Impersonating the cruel plague under the title of the war-god Ares, they entreat Athene to defeat and drive him from the land, again desiring the help of Phoebus and Artemis, besides that of Zeus the Thunderer himself. And finally they implore their native Theban deity, Dionysus or Bacchus, to join this alliance against a deity so disesteemed among gods.

A limited Doric dialect was used in Choral Odes by the Attic poets. Hence (in strophe 1 of Parodos),  $\dot{\alpha}\delta\nu\epsilon\pi\dot{\epsilon}s$  for  $\dot{\eta}\delta$ .,  $\tau\hat{\alpha}s$  for  $\tau\hat{\gamma}s$ ,  $\xi\beta\alpha s$  for  $\epsilon\beta\eta s$ ,  $\Delta\dot{\alpha}\lambda\epsilon$  for  $\Delta\eta$ .  $\Pi\alpha\dot{\alpha}\nu$  for  $-\dot{\omega}\nu$ ,  $\phi\dot{\alpha}\mu\alpha$  for  $\phi\dot{\eta}\mu\eta$ .

On the Choric Metres see Metrical Appendix.

(Notes). 151. Διὸς φάτι. The Delphic oracle was that of Zeus: Ae. Eum. 17–19, τέχνης δέ νιν (Φοῖβον) Ζεὺς ἔνθεον κτίσας φρένα ἴζει τέταρτον τόνδε μάντιν ἐν θρόνοις, Διὸς προφήτης δ' ἐστὶ Λοξίας πατρός. φάτις is the oracular answer, afterwards called φάμα. So Verg. Aen. III. 251, quae Phoebo Pater omnipotens, mihi Phoebus Apollo praedixit. Again Eum. 594, O. C. 623, 793. It is remarkable that the same terms which Aeschylus applies to Phoebus with respect to Zeus, are applied by Pindar to Teiresias, Nem. I. 90, Διὸς ὑψίστου προφάτης ἔξοχος, ὀρθόμαντις Τειρεσίας. 
|| τίς ποτε; of what nature, I wonder. || πολυχρύσου. Delphi is so called from the magnificent presents made to the shrine, and from the

treasures deposited there by many commonwealths. See Eur. Ion 54—6; Herod. 1. 50; Pausan. Phoc. 9. Soph. has borrowed the epithet from Hom. Χ. 46, where it is applied to Mycenae. Ε. Andr. 2, σὺν πολυχρύσω χλιδη̂." St.

152. 'Πυθώ, -οῦς, Πυθών, -ῶνος, are variant forms. (So Τυφώς and Τυφών). This was the oldest name of the district of Phocis at the foot of Parnassus, in which lay the town of Delphi; it was also the oldest name of the town itself. But Homer and Hesiod speak of the district alone: Il.  $\beta$ ′. 519, Πυθῶνά τε πετρήεσσαν. It first appears as a town in Herod. I. 54, and Pind. Ol. VII. 10.' St.

153. ' $\theta \dot{\eta} \beta \alpha s$ . Accus. of place after verb of motion ( $\xi \beta \alpha s$ ), poetic, especially epic. The name of this city is used both in sing, and plur, by Homer and Sophocles. Aeschylus uses the word only once, and that in the plural, Pers. 36. In his Theban play he only uses Κάδμου πόλις and Καδμεΐοι. Eurip. uses it as plural always in iambics (except in a fragm.) and singular in choric parts. In Attic prose it is always plural. There were three cities of this name: one in Asia Minor, Θήβη ὑποπλακίη; a second in Egypt,  $\Theta \hat{\eta} \beta \alpha i \hat{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha \tau \delta \mu \pi \nu \lambda o i$ ; the third, the capital of Boeotia,  $\Theta \hat{\eta} \beta \alpha i \dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \nu \lambda o i$ . Μυκήνη and Πλαταία are singular in the earlier writers, plural in the later, the Attic; except in choric Greek, as Iph. A. 265, Iph. T. 846, where alone Eurip. has Μυκήνη in the singular.' St. || ἐκτέταμαι φοβεράν φρένα: my timid heart is on the stretch (to learn). This I regard as the best punctuation—making δείματι πάλλων intrans. while I quiver with alarm; Ἰήιε Δάλιε Παιάν, O Ieian, O Delian Healer, αμφί σοὶ άζόμενος, musing on thee with holy awe. Here I place a colon, regarding  $\xi \kappa \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \mu \alpha \iota \ldots \dot{\alpha} \zeta \delta \mu \epsilon \nu o s$  as parenthetic, and  $\tau \iota \iota \mu o \iota \kappa . \tau . \lambda$ . as depending on είπέ μοι. ἐκτέταμαι is perf. p. of ἐκτείνω: I am stretched (on the rack of anxiety).  $\phi \rho \epsilon \nu \alpha$  is acc. of respect.  $\phi \rho \dot{\gamma} \nu$  properly means the midriff, i.e. the muscle which separates the heart and viscera: hence Aesch. Prom. 881, κραδία δὲ φόβω φρένα λακτίζει. See Excursus V.

154. Παιάν, for Παιών, Apollo's title as the healing god:  $\Delta άλιος$ , his title as born (with Artemis) of  $\Lambda ατώ$  (Latona) in the isle Delos: of  $l\eta$ ios Steel says: 'Soph. uses  $l\eta$ ios three times: twice as an epithet of Apollo, here and 1096, but in 173 we have  $l\eta$ ioν καμάτων, applied to the pains of child-birth. He seems to derive it from  $l\eta$   $l\eta$ , just as ενίος in 211 comes from the Bacchic shout ενοί, and is applied to Dionysus (Bacchus). The exclamation  $l\eta$  seems to have been expressive of grief, as well as of joy and triumph. We need not wonder therefore that Apollo is called  $l\eta$ ios, as being both the author and the stayer of pestilence. See Hom. Il.  $\alpha'$ , and Callimachus, Hymn. ad Apoll. 101,  $l\eta$   $l\eta$ ,  $\pi \alpha u \dot{\eta} o \nu'$  ἀκούομεν. Παι $l\eta$ ων is the Epic and Ionic form of  $\pi \alpha u \dot{\alpha} u$ , as the healing god, and as the paean.

155-7. ἀζόμενος. See in the lex. ἄζομαι, root ἀγ-, shown in ἄγιος. Hom. Il. ξ'. 261, ἄζετο γὰρ μὴ νυκτί θοῆ ἐπιθύμια ἔρδοι. Ε. Herac. 600 δυσφημεῖν γὰρ ἄζομαι θεάν. Alc. 326.  $\parallel$  χρέος = χρῆμα, thing, purpose. Ε. Η. F., τί καινὸν ῆλθε τοῖσδε δώμασιν χρέος;  $\parallel$  περιτελλομέναις ώραις (A. Av. 696). In a pure Greek writer ὡρα never means hour, only season.  $\parallel$  νέον ἢ π. ώ. πάλιν; novel, or brought back in the revolution of seasons, so that πάλιν ἐπανελθόν. This interpr. I am glad to restore to its true author, G. Wolff. The old view made νέον = νῦν now, and π. ώ. πάλιν = recurring in the revolution of seasons hereafter.  $\parallel$  μοι is in the nature of an ethic dative.

158. The oracle is called 'daughter of Hope,' because those who consulted the god did so *in hope* of obtaining a favourable answer.

159. Elmsley edits κεκλομένω to agree with μοι, but the nom. κεκλόμενος is one of the constructions called ἀνακόλουθα (unconsecutive). They refer  $\pi\rho\dot{\delta}s \tau\dot{\delta} \dot{\upsilon}\pi \sigma \nu \sigma \sigma \dot{\upsilon}\mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \nu$ , i.e. to something which the mind must supply. I implore you to appear, is what the poet had in mind here. So Plato Legg. VI. p. 286, θνητός ὢν—σμικρόν τινα χρόνον πόνος αὐτῷ παραμενεῖ πάμπολυς. κεκλόμενος (see κέλομαι in lex.) is used as a present, though ἐκεκλόμην or κεκλόμην, is a syncopated agrist with reduplication in Homer. || It is improbable that Soph. would write such a word as  $\tilde{a}\mu\beta\rho\sigma\tau\epsilon$  in two contiguous lines, having no need to do so, even though the second line is in the antistrophe. But I cannot suppose that he, a most patriotic Athenian, would apply the epithet 'immortal,' dignified enough for  $\phi \dot{\alpha} \mu a$ , to the great goddess Pallas, daughter of Zeus, and tutelar of Athens. Here then I find a grievous blot; and I look about for its cause and its correction. Both are, I think, soon discernible. Nobody can be familiar with the habits of scribes and scholiasts in the decline of learning, without noticing their restless endeavour to hunt out passages which are, or seem to be, in pari materia, and to assimilate them by transferring to the one the phrases of the other. Thus in the Agamemnon, the words ἐπ' ᾿Αλεξάνδρω are foisted into anapaests where they ought not to be, because they afterwards appear in anapaests where they have their fitting place. So here, some meddling scribe discovers that Pallas in 187 is addressed & χρυσέα θύγατερ Διός. He takes a fancy to the word  $\theta \dot{\nu} \gamma a \tau \epsilon \rho$ , and strives to replace it here: but this he can only do by finding for ' $\Lambda\theta\dot{\alpha}\nu\alpha$  some trochaic epithet, beginning with a vowel. ἄμβροτ, of the previous verse, stares him in the face. If φάμα is immortal, so by prior claim is Athene; and down goes ἄμβροτ' into the MS., dribbling afterwards into cod. L. and its copies. But I think his transposing mania did not rest there. If he liked  $\theta \dot{\nu} \gamma \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho$ , he liked χρυσέα as well, and could not have too much of that exquisite Pindaric epithet. So, finding  $\phi i \lambda l as$ , an epithet of Hope, in the verse from which he had pilfered  $d\mu\beta\rho\sigma\tau\epsilon$ , this busy corrector substituted  $\chi\rho\nu\sigma\epsilon\alpha$ s.

Moved by these probabilities, I have suggested, without editing,  $\phi\iota\lambda las$  and  $\Delta\iota\delta s$  ekgove,  $\Pi \alpha\lambda \lambda \delta s$ . The fitness of the latter will perhaps commend it to those who feel the badness of  $\check{\alpha}\mu\beta\rho\sigma\tau\epsilon$ . Cp. O. C. 1090,  $\sigma\epsilon\mu\nu\delta$   $\tau\epsilon$   $\pi\alpha\delta s$   $\Pi\alpha\lambda\lambda\delta s$  'Abd $\nu\alpha$ . The former may not find equal favour: for Soph. was quite at liberty to call Hope  $\chi\rho\nu\sigma\epsilon\alpha$ . But my suspicion will remain. I agree with those scholars who, as Ellendt, think that Soph. follows Pindar, as to the doubtful quantity of the first syll. in  $\chi\rho\delta\sigma\epsilon\sigma s$ .

160. ' $\gamma audo \chi o \nu$ . Usually this epithet is in a wider sense applied to Poseidon; once to Zeus: here alone it is transferred to Artemis in the restricted sense of  $\pi o \lambda \iota o \hat{\nu} \chi o s$ , guardian of the land (of Boeotia), in which character she had a temple at Thebes, as Pausanias, Boeot. c. 17, mentions. Plutarch also in Aristides c. 20 says of a certain Euchidas, who ran from Plataea to Delphi, to fetch light from the sacred fire, and back again before sunset, 1000 stadia in one day, that the Plataeans buried him in the temple of Artemis Eucleia, the name of Eucleia, he adds, being given by most persons to Artemis, though some make her to be a daughter of Hercules and Myrto. An altar and statue were erected to her in every  $d\gamma \rho \rho \dot{a}$ , and sacrifices offered to her by those who were about to enter into the state of marriage.' St.  $\parallel \dot{a} \partial \epsilon \lambda \dot{\phi} e \dot{a}$  is the ancient and poetic form for  $d \partial \epsilon \lambda \dot{\phi} \dot{\eta}$ . Elsewhere in ancient poetry the title of sister is given to Artemis only in reference to her brother Apollo.

161. κυκλόεντ ἀγορᾶς θρόνον for κυκλοέσσης ἀ. θ., seat in the centre of the agora, as in Phil. 1123 πολιᾶς πόντου θινὸς for πολιοῦ π. θ. by a transposition of epithets frequent in the tragic poets. Ant. 793, νεῖκος ἀνδρῶν ξύναιμον. Eur. H. F. 396, χρυσέων πετάλων ἄπο μηλοφόρον χερὶ καρπὸν ἀμέρξων.  $\|$  As to the form of the ἀγοραί, J. justly says the word κύκλος (encircling boundary) does not define that form to be necessarily circular. See Eur. Or. 919, ὁλιγάκις ἄστυ κἀγορᾶς χραίνων κύκλον. Hom. Il. σ΄. 504, εἴατ ἐπὶ ξεστοῖοι λίθοις, ἱερῷ ἐνὶ κύκλω. Neue takes it to mean 'full of κύκλοι,' bands of people surrounding the altar. So Simonides in Anthol. Pal. VI. 211, Λητοΐδην ἀγορῆς καλλίχορον πρύτανιν. The meaning of the expression is not free from doubt.  $\|$  On θάσσει θρόνον see 2, note on ἔδρας θοάζετε. It virtually implies being worshipped: Eur. Ion 1618, ἐς θρόνους ἴζου παλαιούς.  $\|$  εὐκλέα is poetic for εὐκλεα (εὐκλεέα). So Pind. Ol. VI. 75.

164.  $\dot{a}$ λεξίμοροι =  $\dot{a}$ λεξίκακοι, or  $\dot{a}$ λεξητήριοι, or  $\dot{a}$ ποτρόπαιοι, averrunci.

165. ὅπερ, in resistance to, or to avert, προτέρας ἄπας, a former bale, meaning the Sphinx: a better construction than that which writes ὑπερορνυμένας, making the gen. abs. So 187 ὧν ὕπερ. Aesch. Sept. 112 δουλοσύνας ὕπερ. || ὁρν. πόλει, rushing on the city.

166. ἡνύσατ ἐκτοπίαν, ye despatched out of the region.  $\parallel$  φλόγα πήματος = φλογερὸν πήμα. So Cic. has incendium invidiae, Cat. I. II:

flamma invidiae, de Or. iii. 3. Cp. 833 κηλίδα συμφοράs.  $\parallel$  εἴ ποτε... ἔλθετε και νῦν. With this form cp. Dem. Ol. 1. 4, και τῷ πολέμῳ προσέχετε, εἴπερ ποτέ, και νῦν. Aristoph. P. 302, ὧ Πανέλληνες, βοηθήσωμεν, εἴπερ πώποτε.

- 169.  $\sigma \tau \delta \lambda os = \lambda a \delta s$  here, as in El. 749,  $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \delta s$ . It may mean not only people, but 'all I have'—persons, live stock, land.  $\parallel \phi \rho o \tau \tau \delta os \epsilon \gamma \chi os$ ,  $\tau veapon of thought$ , i.e. weapon furnished by thought, a bold phrase, meaning a plan to avert calamity.
  - 171. ἀλέξεται fut. m. from ἀλέκω. See lex.
- 172. κλυτᾶς χθονός. κλειτὸς and κλυτὸς are both used by Homer as laudatory epithets, great, excellent, &c.; as 'worthy to be celebrated,' rather than as being really so. Buttm. Lexil. p. 384. So here we might give it the meaning fertile, that being the character for which land would be celebrated. || For τόκοιστιν I read τεκοῦσαι. See Lection.
- 174. lητων καμ. ἀνέχουσι, recover not from their shricking pains: i.e. they die in child-bed. Some (Schneidewin followed by J.) take the sense to be, 'are not raised from their throes by births, i.e. do not bring forth living babes, but remain ἄγονοι, without offspring. I decidedly prefer the former view. || Huschke on Tibullus II. 5. 91 compares Herod. III. 68, A. Pac. 1320, Aesch. Eum. 938, and mentions that the ancients in their solemn prayers united these three points as of the greatest importance to public well-being; fruitfulness in the earth, in cattle, and in women.
- 175-8. ἄλλον ἄλλφ, one upon (or after) another. The πρὸς in προσίδοις may, as J. says, soften the bold constr. Steel cites S. El. 235, τίκτειν σ' ἄταν ἄταις. J. adds Eur. Hel. 195, δάκρυα δάκρυσι. || ἄν προσίδοις. See Tr. 2, ἀν ἐκμάθοις, where Brunck treats of this use of the 2nd pers. || ἄπερ εὅπτερον ὄρνιν, like a fleet-winged bird. This image refers merely to rapidity, that of the fire to violence also. We are not to think, with the Schol., of birds crowding one upon another in their flight, nor of the multitude of the

dead being too great to be consumed by the funeral fires, as in Thuc. II. 52, and his imitator, Lucretius VI. 1276. This last idea is too much in detail for poetry. With the image of the bird cp. Eur. Hipp. 827, ὅρνις γὰρ τς ἐκ χερῶν ἄφαντος εἶ πήδημ' ἐς Ἦλοδον κραιπνὸν ὁρμήσασά μοι. And with that of the fire, Hec. 607, ἀκόλαστος ὅχλος ναυτική τ' ἀναρχία κρείσσων πυρός.  $\parallel$  ἀμαιμακέτον, irresistible, by reduplication from ἄμαχος.  $\parallel$  ἀκτὰν πρὸς ἐσπέρου θεοῦ. We have 'Αχέροντος ἀκτὰν in Ant. 817, and 'Αχέροντος ἀκτὰν παρ' εὕσκιον in Pind. Pyth. XI. 33. The expression ἔσπερος θεὸς is applied by no one else to Pluto. The idea is taken probably from the notion of darkness being connected with sunset and the west.  $\theta$ εοῦ is here a monosyllable.

179. ὧν πόλις ἀνάριθμος ὅλλυται, in countless numbers of whom the city is perishing. Matthiae thinks this = 0ໂ ἐν τ $\hat{y}$  πόλει ἀνάριθμοι ὅλλυνται. Tr. 247, χρόνος ἀνήριθμος ἡμερῶν. El. 231, ἀνάριθμος ὧδε θρήνων.

180. For  $\nu\eta\lambda\epsilon a$  I read  $\nu\epsilon\kappa\rho d$ . See Lection, and add, that  $\nu\eta\lambda\eta s$  unpitied is without authority. In Aeschylus and in Euripides only  $\nu\eta\lambda\eta s$  occurs, and that once only in each, Prom. 42, and Cycl. 368, in the sense merciless;  $\nu\eta\lambda\epsilon\hat{\omega}s$ , mercilessly, is twice in Aeschylus, Prom. 248, Cho. 234.  $\gamma\epsilon\nu\epsilon d\lambda a$ , her children.  $\parallel \theta a\nu\alpha\tau a\phi\delta\rho a$ , death-spreading i.e. by infection.  $\parallel d\nu ol\kappa\tau\omega s$ , with none to mourn them.

181–5. ἐν adverbial, among them. ‖ ἄλοχοι, young wives, distingu. from πολιαὶ ματέρεs. ‖ ἐπὶ besides, adverbial. ‖ ἀκτὰν παρὰ βώμιον, by the altar-steps. ἀκτά, an elevated edge, here a step. Aesch. Cho. 722, ἀκτὴ χώματος. N. reads ἀχὰν παραβώμιον.

Since the foregoing was written, I have gained a new and as it seems to me a better view of this place, which has led me to read  $\ell \delta \rho a \nu \pi a \rho a - \beta \omega \mu \omega \nu$  for  $\ell \kappa \tau a \rho a \beta \omega \mu \omega \nu$ , and in 145  $\ell \kappa \tau \tau \epsilon \rho a \nu \omega \nu$ , gen. causae of codd. See it explained and defended in Lection.  $\parallel \pi \delta \nu \omega \nu$ , gen. causae dep. on  $\ell \kappa \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \epsilon s$ .

186. λάμπει sounds clear: so 473, έλαμψε φάμα, ἀχὼ τηλεφανης in Phil. 189; what belongs to the sense of sight being transferred to that of hearing.  $\parallel$  δμαυλος, in unison, here=συμμιγής, intermingled. Cp. with the whole line 5, ὁμοῦ δὲ παιάνων τε καὶ στεναγμάτων.

187. ὧν ὕπερ, to save us from these things. See ἄτας ὕπερ 165.

189. εὐῶπα, properly beautiful in countenance, as in Antig. 530, τέγγουσ' εὐῶπα παρειάν, here joyous. Aesch. Cho. 467, εὔμορφον κράτοs. 969, τύχα δ' εὖπροσωποκοίτα.

190. "Αρεα. Nom. "Αρης and "Αρευς. The latter is the old form retained in Aeolic. From "Αρευς comes gen. "Αρεος and "Αρης, Attic "Αρεως, dat. "Αρεί and "Αρηί, Att. "Αρει. acc. "Αρηα. From "Αρης, the Attic acc. "Αρη, "Αρεα, and "Αρην (see Pors. ad Phoen. 134; Dindorf edits "Αρη), Voc. "Αρες. The 1st syllable is long in Il. ε΄. 827, 829, yet short in ε΄. 824, 830, and generally, even in Homer. It is always short in Attic. "Αρεα here is

either the pestilence itself, so called from its destructive effects, or the god Mars, considered as he was by the ancients as the author not merely of wars but of every kind of calamity, especially pestilential diseases. The first sense is right, as the distinctive attribute  $\tilde{\alpha}\chi\alpha\lambda\kappa\sigma$ ;  $d\sigma\pi i\delta\omega\nu$  clearly shows. The plague is personified as an unarmed Mars, with all the destructive power of that god.  $\parallel \mu\alpha\lambda\epsilon\rho\dot{\alpha}$  (see lex.), fierce, violent, used of fire in Hom. Hence, Aesch. Cho. 325,  $\pi\nu\rho\dot{\alpha}$   $\mu\alpha\lambda\epsilon\rho\dot{\alpha}$   $\gamma\nu\alpha\dot{\alpha}\dot{\alpha}$ . This may justify us in rendering it fiery here.  $\parallel \tilde{\alpha}\chi\alpha\lambda\kappa\sigma$   $d\sigma\pi$ . =  $\tilde{\alpha}\tau\epsilon\rho$   $\chi\alpha\lambda\kappa\epsilon\dot{\omega}\nu$   $d\sigma\pi$ . S. El. 36,  $\tilde{\alpha}\sigma\kappa\epsilon\nu\sigma$   $\tilde{\alpha}\sigma\pi\dot{\alpha}\dot{\omega}\nu$ . 1002,  $\tilde{\alpha}\lambda\nu\sigma\sigma$   $\tilde{\alpha}\tau\eta$ s. O. C. 677, 786.

191.  $\pi$ εριβόατος =  $\pi$ εριβοῶν according to Musgr., who takes it actively, as ἄψαυστος ἔγχους, 969. But though such verbals are often active (see Pors. on Hec. 1117) they are usually passive. Here render amid surrounding cries (i.e. of woe). φλέγει ἀντιάζων, encounters and inflames me.

192. νωτίσαι. The only resource hitherto suggested to obtain a grammatical construction in this long sentence, is to supply δὸs mentally from πέμψον in 189: δὸs "Αρεα νωτίσαι κ.τ.λ. That Soph. would leave such a gap in the nexus of his language, is highly improbable; and the manifest corruptness of mss. which offer ἄπουρον or ἔπουρον in 194, prompts us to look for a governing verb in that place. This I think suitably and probably found in ἐξόρισον. We gain the construction ἐξόρισον τε πάτρας νωτίσαι παλίσουτον δράμημα τὸν μαλερὸν "Αρεα, ὅς νῦν κ.τ.λ. and drive to make a rapid retreat from our country's borders that fiery Ares, who now &c. We have also gained a word admirably conspiring with πέμψον, and corresponding metrically with the antistrophic 'Αρτέμιδος. || νωτίσαι παλ. δρ. is an instance of cognate accus. The verb is intrans. in Eur. And. II41, πρὸς φυγὴν ἐνώτισαν. In Phoen. 654 it is trans., meaning 'to cover the back;' see Herc. F. 362. It is also trans. in Ae. Ag. 286, πόντον ώστε νωτίσαι, so as to skim the sea. See ἐξορίζω in lex.

194-5. That μέγαν θάλαμον 'Αμφιτρίταs the great chamber of Amphitrite (spouse of Poseidon) means the Atlantic Ocean is amply proved by J., who cites (besides Eur. Hipp. 3, τερμόνων τ' 'Ατλαντικών), Herod. 1. 202, Plat. Phaedo 109, E. Herc. F. 234, ὥστ' 'Ατλαντικών πέρα φεύγειν ὅρων ἄν.

196-7. The Black Sea (its modern name) is here called τον ἀπόξενον ὅρμον, the roadstead that keeps strangers aloof, probably on account of the savage tribes on its coasts, not of its navigation. Hence perhaps Soph. introduces it as Θρήκιον κλύδωνα. At a later time, when Greek colonies, like Byzantium, grew and prospered there commercially, its old name "Αξενος (=ἀπόξενος) was changed to Εύξεινος the Euxine. J. cites the well-known lines of Ovid, who was banished to Tomi on that coast; Trist. IV. 4, 55: frigida me cohibent Euxini litora ponti: dictus ab antiquis Axenus ille fuit.

198-9. This is another grossly corrupt passage, which I have tried to restore by two emendations,  $\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$  for  $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota$  and  $\epsilon \ddot{v} \chi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$  for  $\ddot{\epsilon} \rho \chi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ . See Lection. As written in mss., it produces an absurdly incoherent sequence of thought in the passage 187-202. That passage, abridged, runs thus: "O Pallas, help us, and drive far away the pestilential Ares (for, whatever night leaves undone, day follows to complete); him, O Zeus, destroy beneath thy thunderbolt." J., the latest editor, has not made any attempt to explain what nexus the parenthesis, with its γάρ, has with the context before and after it; or what just link of thought it forms between the two. What, as it strikes me, ought to be at once apparent to any logical mind is this:—the parenthesis, so construed, must be corrupt: for no subject can be reasonably conceived in it except that same Ares, who is spoken of immediately before, and immediately after it. With this conviction I turn to the parenthesis, and perceiving at once that  $\tau \in \lambda \epsilon \iota$ , its first word, ought to give place to an infin. (as J. has perceived). I discern also (what he has not seen) that the principal verb must have "Apps for its subject, or nothing is done for the sense. But  $\xi \rho \chi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$  is a bad verb for this purpose, not only because it does not take an infin., but also because Ares is at work all along, and cannot well be said to come to finish in the day what night may leave undone. This perception at once suggests the true reading ευχεται, which is good both for Ares as its subject, and for an infin. following. The metrical inadequacy of  $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$  finally makes itself clear, and this leads to the detection of  $\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \omega$ , as the only word which satisfies both sense and metre. The darkness thus becomes light. For if night spare aught, this he boasts to despatch (ἀκτὰν πρὸς ἐσπέρου θεοῦ) during the day: ἐπ' ημαρ has this meaning. Soph. Fragm. Thyest. XIV. 250, τηθδε Βάκχειος βότρυς ἐπ' ημαρ ἔρπει. See Lection and Stud. Soph. Part II. p. 45. Cp. εὔξεται read by most edd. for ἔρξεται 890. || εἰ with subjunctive is well established in tragedy. See 874, ΰβρις εἰ πολλών ὑπερπλησθη μάτην. Ο. C. 1443, εἴ σου στερηθώ. Aesch. Eum. 234, εἰ προδώ σφ' ἐκών.

201. νέμων. See 237 κράτη τε καὶ θρόνους νέμω. Aj. 1015 ώς τὰ σὰ κράτη θανόντος καὶ δόμους νέμοιμι σούς.

202.  $\phi\theta i\sigma\sigma\nu$ .  $\phi\theta i\sigma\omega$  and  $\xi\phi\theta i\sigma\alpha$ , from  $\phi\theta i\omega$ , are transitive.

203. Λύκει' ἄναξ. Under this title of Lyceus, Apollo is frequently represented by the tragic poets in his character of a destroyer. See Aesch. Sept. 145. και σὺ, Λύκει' ἄναξ, λύκειος γενοῦ στρατῷ δατῷ, with Blomfield's note on Ag. 1257. And in their minds it is evidently connected with some tradition like the Sicyonian (see Pausan. Corinth. c. 9, where Apollo is said to have recommended that the bark from a log of some unknown wood which lay in his temple should be mixed up with flesh for the wolves). He is in fact styled λυκοκτόνος θεός, the destroyer of wolves, in S. El. 6. But we cannot suppose that it was this character of a destroying power which gave

a name even to whole countries. It is far more probable that the name Lyceus is connected with the ancient root λυκ, lux, light. Hence λυκάβας, course of the light, and also no doubt Λυκηγενής, applied to Apollo by Homer, born of light. See Müller's Dorians B. 11. St.

204. χρυσοστρόφων ἀπ' ἀγκυλῶν, from gold-twisted bow-strings. ἀγκυλὴ is applied to any kind of rope, thong or string, to a ship's cable in Iph. T. 1408, to a javelin thong, Or. 1476.

205. ἐνδατεῖσθαι, to be distributed, dealt out, shot forth. It has usually a middle sense, but it is passive in Nicand. Ther. 509. The sense in Tr. 791 'to abuse,' which Brunck well illustrates from Plautus Pseud., "jam ego te differam dictis meis," is of course here unsuitable.

206. προσταθέντα, from προίστημι. This partic. is found here only; but Dindorf's r. προσταχθέντα is bad. ἀρωγὰ πρ., our vanward succours.

207. alyas. Artemis is represented as  $\dot{a}\mu\phi l\pi\nu\rho\sigma \sin Tr$ . 214, and this epithet is probably to be explained as  $=\delta\iota\pi\nu\rho\sigma\sigma \sin \Delta\iota\mu\pi\dot{a}\delta\sigma a$ , the expression applied to Hecate by Aristophanes, Ran. 1362. These two goddesses are frequently confounded (see Keightley's Mythology, pp. 60, 102—4), from the principle of theocrasy so often occurring: two similar deities, of different tribes perhaps at first, being thus made into one. But in the passage of the Ranae quoted above the two goddesses are invoked separately, as altogether distinct. St.

208. Λύκι ὅρεα διάσσει, she speeds over the mountains of Lycia. Bacchus is represented by Eurip. Ion 716—7, as wandering over Parnassus in the same way ἀμφιπύρους ἀνέχων πεύκας, and νυκτιπόλοις ἄμα σὺν Βάκχαις, just as in v. 212 he is said to be Μαινάδων ὁμόστολος.

209. τὸν χρυσομίτραν, him of the golden snood. In Homer μίτρα was a bandage of wool, plated in front with brass, worn round the body, somewhat like the Highland kilt. In later writers, as here, it is a turban.

210. τᾶσδ' ἐπώνυμον γᾶs, namesake of this land: Theban or Cadmean; not like the ἐπώνυμος ἄρχων and ἐπώνυμοι ἥρωες at Athens, who gave their names to the year and tribes. Bacchus was called Cadmean as son, by Zeus, of Semele, daughter of Cadmus. See 154.

212. ὁμόστολον = συνοδοιπόρον. Words compounded with σὺν and ὁμοῦ often take the gen. instead of the dative. || Bacchus is thus invoked in Ant. 1150, to come ἄμα περιπόλοις θυίαισιν. || εὕῖος, from the Bacchic cry εὐοῖ.

213. πελάζω is usually intransitive in the active; we have it however intrans. in the passive Phil. 1327 Χρύσης πελασθείς φύλακος.

215. ἀπότιμον, dishonoured, disesteemed, despised. For this character as referred to the true Ares cp. Hom. II.  $\epsilon$ . 890, &c.  $\epsilon$ χθιστος δ $\epsilon$  μοι  $\epsilon$ σσὶ θ $\epsilon$ ων, οὶ "Ολυμπον  $\epsilon$ χουσι κ.τ.λ.

## EPEISODION I. (216—462.)

Oedipus had probably re-entered a minute or two before the singing of the Parodos ended. He now addresses the Chorus, or rather its coryphaeus  $(al\tau \epsilon is)$  in the first instance, in a long speech, and explains the measures which he intends to take for the discovery of the murderer of Laius. Disclaiming personal knowledge, they call the attention of the king to the aged seer Teiresias, as a man generally believed to possess, by the inspiration of Apollo, a true knowledge of the past and foresight of the future. Oedipus says that, by Creon's advice, he has already sent for him. The blind old prophet is introduced, and the momentous dialogue between Oedipus and him fills the remainder of this Epeisodion. At the close Oedipus retires within the palace, Teiresias to his home.

(Outline, 216-275). The substance of what Oedipus says in this proclamation is: "Citizens, I hear what you pray for, and if you will help me and help yourselves, as I shall suggest, perhaps you will achieve your wish. When the murder of Laius was reported and inquiry made, I was a foreigner (had I not been, I myself should not have traced it far, having no clue to guide me): now I am a citizen, and to all other citizens I make this proclamation. Let any one who can give information come in and give it. Even the murderer himself, if he confess, shall not die, but quit the country: any man, who knows the murderer, but cannot enable us to seize him, shall be rewarded for his tidings. But let any who know and withhold the truth, take note that I place the murderer under solemn ban, forbidding all to entertain or address him, or share with him any religious rite, commanding all to exclude him from their dwellings. Such is the help I now give. Furthermore, the murderer himself, or the murderers, I lay under a curse: myself I lay under a curse, if I should voluntarily conceal him. It was the will of heaven that you should not be able at the time to pursue the search. I am now settled on the throne; it becomes my plain duty to pursue it to the end, and I will. May heaven afflict such as do not help me, but bless all good patriotic Thebans."

(Notes.) 216–18. ἀ δ' αἰτεῖs. These words, pendent here, are explained by those which follow in 218, ἀλκὴν κάνακούφισιν κακῶν.  $\parallel$  τῆ νόσω ὑπηρετεῖν, to assist in stemming the plague. The verb implies that their part will be a subordinate one: the self-reliant king had promised 'to do all'.  $\parallel$  ἐὰν θέλης.—λάβοις ἄν. Soph. ventures to use the latter more modest form for λήψει, the stricter apodosis of ἐὰν θέλης. With all his self-confidence, Oed. is too clear-headed to promise certain success. See 145–6. We also find examples of fut. indic. protasis accompanying apodosis with ἄν. See 583–6 εἴ τιν' ἄν δοκεῖς ἄρχειν ἐλέσθαι...εἰ τὰ γ' αὕθ' ἔξει κράτη, where Nauck cites Ε. Hel. 1010 ἀδικοίημεν ἄν, εἰ μὴ ἀποδώσω. Iph. A. 1189, ἀσυνέτους τοὺς θεοὺς ἡγοίμεθ' ἄν εἰ τοῖσιν αὐθένταισιν εὖ φρονήσομεν.

210-21. Eévos here combines the senses 'foreign' and 'ignorant'. τοῦ λόγου τοῦδε, the story told by the fugitive.  $\parallel$  τοῦ πραχθέντος, the inquest held at Thebes. This just interpretation is due to Mr Barton, tutor of Pembroke Coll. Oxford. What is here  $\tau \delta \pi \rho \alpha \chi \theta \delta \nu$  is at 246 called  $\tau \delta$ πράγμα, at 566 έρευνα. || οὐ γὰρ ἂν μακρὰν ἴχνευον αὐτός, μὴ οὐκ ἔχων τι σύμβολον. See 12, 13. Here γὰρ might be rendered 'anyhow': it indicates a suppressed thought-'nay, that matters not.' The suppressed protasis of  $o\dot{v}\kappa$   $\ddot{a}\nu$   $\ddot{v}\chi\nu\epsilon\nu\sigma\nu$ , namely  $\epsilon\dot{i}$   $\pi$  $o\lambda\dot{i}\tau\eta s$   $\ddot{\eta}$ ,  $o\dot{v}$   $\xi\dot{\epsilon}\nu\sigma s$ , is not recited in its subsequent protasis μη οὐκ ἔχων τι σ. The sense is as follows: 'On my having been a foreigner at the time of the deed, I lay no stress; for had I been no foreigner, but one of the citizens, I myself (whatever my native shrewdness, as in guessing the riddle of the Sphinx) should not have traced the matter far, seeing that I had not  $(\mu \dot{\eta})$  our  $\xi \chi \omega \nu$  any token (i.e. any clue to guide me).' And the imperf. ἔχνευον instead of ἔχνευσα implies that the difficulty from want of clue continues to the present time. The sense would be nearly the same, if we rendered  $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \delta s$  by myself.

222–24. νῦν δὲ but under present circumstances.  $\parallel$  ὕστερος, since that time.  $\parallel$  I count, τελώ. E. Bacch. 822, εἰς γυναῖκας ἐξ ἀνδρὸς τελώ. Ae. Ag. 491, συντελής πόλις.  $\parallel$  Λάϊον. See 15.

227-32. κεί μὲν κ.τ.λ. Edd. have found difficulties in these lines which I do not recognise, and have made changes which I cannot accept. The latest editor, I., for ὑπεξελών αὐτὸς reads ὑπεξελεῖν αὐτὸν, declaring ex cathedra (for he gives no reason for this judgment) that  $\dot{\nu}\pi\epsilon\xi\epsilon\lambda\dot{\omega}\nu$  and  $\alpha\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\delta}s$ are 'indefensible'. Both words, which all mss. show, are, in my view, not only defensible, but excellently true. αὐτὸς καθ' αὐτοῦ is an idiomatic phrase, in which the attracted autos is, to an English ear, redundant.  $\dot{v}$ πεξελών, aor. part. of  $\dot{v}$ πεξαιρε $\hat{v}$ ν, to take away secretly (as Thuc. IV. 83) (with  $\phi \circ \beta \in \hat{\iota} \tau a \iota$ ) =  $\kappa a \iota \dot{\upsilon} \pi \in \xi \in \lambda \dot{\omega} \nu \quad \xi \chi \in \iota \quad (\text{or } \dot{\upsilon} \pi \in \xi \in \hat{\iota} \lambda \epsilon) \quad \phi \circ \beta \omega$ , and has in fear secretly suppressed,  $\tau \circ i\pi i\kappa \lambda \eta \mu a$  ( $\alpha i\tau \circ s$ )  $\kappa \alpha \theta'$   $\alpha i\tau \circ \hat{v}$ , the accusation against himself, i.e. if from fear he has kept his crime hidden in his own bosom. || The bold ellipsis of the Greek for let him speak out in 228 seems to me quite in the manner of Sophocles. I find no difficulty in supplying μη σιωπάτω from the next clause, looking at  $\kappa \epsilon i \mu \delta \nu$  followed by  $\epsilon i \delta' \alpha \nu$ . But those who deem this harsh, have a resource in repeating κελεύω σημαίνειν, I bid him tell, from 1. 226. See Stud. Soph. P. II. p. 53. || αστεργές, discomforting, intolerable. || τελω 'γω, I will pay Attic Fut. for τελέσω. || καὶ ἡ χάρις προσκείσεται, and the service moreover shall be gratefully recorded: like that of Mordecai in the Book of Esther.

233-5, σιωπάω, fut. σιωπήσομαι; so σιγάω σιγήσομαι. || δείσας φίλου ή και αὐτοῦ fearing for a friend or even for himself, gen. causae, as 48, 185. J. is therefore wrong when, following Schneidewin and Nauck, he makes these cases to depend on ἀπώσει, shall repulse. || ἐκ τῶνδε, thereupon.

236-43. The object of ἀπανδῶ is τινὰ in 238, I forbid any dweller of this land &c. τὸν ἀνδρα τοῦτον is the object of the infinitives εἰσδέχεσθαι, προσφωνεῖν, ποιεῖσθαι.  $\parallel$  χέρνιβας νέμειν, und. τούτφ. On χέρνιψ, lustral ανατεν, see Athen. IX. 409. ἔστι δὲ χέρνιψ ὕδωρ, ἐς δ ἀπέβαπτον δαλὸν ἐκ τοῦ βωμοῦ λαμβάνοντες, ἐφ' ῷ τὴν θυσίαν ἐπετέλουν· καὶ τούτφ περιρραίνοντες τοὺς παρόντας ἡγνιζον. Cp. Eur., H. F., 928 μέλλων δὲ δαλὸν χειρὶ δεξιᾶ φέρειν, ἐς χέρνιβ' ὡς βάψειεν. But in the Odyssey χέρνιψ is merely water for the hands before and after a meal. χέρνιβος, which some edd. read as genitive partitive is possible, and makes no difference in sense.  $\parallel$  ώθεῖν δέ, und. κελεύω from its opposite ἀπανδῶ, 236. Cp. El. 72, where δέξασθε is und. after μή μ' ἀποστείλητε. E. Or. 515, 900. Phoen. 1218.  $\parallel$  ώς μ. seeing that &c. See II. 101. 145.  $\parallel$  ώς τὸ, as &c.  $\parallel$  Cp. Eur. Or. 46 ἔδοξε δ' "Αργει τῷδε μηθ' ἡμᾶς στέγαις, μὴ πυρὶ δέχεσθαι, μήτε προσφωνεῖν τινα μητροκτονούσας. Aesch. Cho. 291 καὶ τοῖς τοιούτοις οὖτε κρατῆρος μέρος εἶναι μετασχεῖν, οὖ φιλοσπόνδον λιβός, βωμῶν τ' ἀπείργειν.

246-9. κατεύχομαι, I solemnly pray.  $\parallel$  λέληθεν. Some und. δεδρακώς, whether one individual is the unseen perpetrator. But it can be joined with ών, whether he is some one unknown person.  $\parallel$  κακὸν κακῶς, that the wretch may wretchedly.  $\parallel$  νιν = αὐτόν, redundant here, as αὐτοῖς at 270. See El. 136, Tr. 287. It happens even in prose, as Thuc. ii., 62.  $\parallel$  ἄμορον = κακόμορον, illfated.  $\parallel$  ἐκτρῦψαι. When futurity is sufficiently implied in the princ. verb (as εὕχομαι, ἐλπίζω) the infin. may be aor. for fut.

249-51.  $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \nu \chi o \mu \alpha \iota \ldots \pi \alpha \theta \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \nu$ , I pray that I myself may suffer &c. || οἴκοισιν  $\epsilon \iota$  κ.τ. $\lambda$ ., if he should become a sharer of my home and hearth with my privity.

254. ὧδ' ἀκάρπως κὰθέως ἐφθαρμένης, thus ruined with the loss of its produce and protecting deities. But other modes may be suggested of rendering this bold language. Cp. El. 1181, ὧ σῶμ' ἀτίμως κὰθέως ἐφθαρμένον.

255–8. τὸ πρᾶγμα, the investigation: see 220.  $\parallel$  θεήλατον, a behest from heaven.  $\parallel$  ἀκάθαρτον κ.τ.λ., it was not seemly that you should leave it thus unexpiated.  $\parallel$  ἀλλ' ἐξερευνᾶν, und. εἰκὸς ἢν.

260–3. γύναιχ' ὁμόσπορον = a wife who once was that of Laius. Cp. 460.  $\parallel$  κοινά, und. γένη from γένοs closely following.  $\parallel$  νῦν δέ κ.τ.λ., but, as it was (see 222), fate fell heavy on his head. Cp. 1300–1; Ant. 1345, τὰ δ' ἐπὶ κρατί μοι πότμος δυσκόμιστος εἰσήλατο. Ae. Pers. 515 δαίμον, ώς ἄγαν βαρὺς ποδοῦν ἐνήλω παντὶ Περσικῷ γένει.

264–8. ἀνθ' ὧν = ἀντὶ τούτων, for these reasons therefore.  $\parallel$  ὑπερμαχοῦμαι τάδε (αὐτοῦ), I will fight this battle in his behalf. A pronoun or adj. in acc. neuter, generally plural, is thus often joined to verbs of every class in such a way as to be attributed to a subst. whose meaning is contained or implied in the verb itself, as 1071 τοῦτο γάρ σ' ἔχω μόνον προσειπεῖν (i.e. τοῦτο ἔπος οτ πρόσφθεγμα), 1103 τὰ μέγιστ' ἐτιμάθης (τὰς μεγίστας τιμὰς ἐτιμάθης), 1300 πηδήσας μείζονα (πηδήματα), 1327 δεινὰ δράσας (ἔργα), &c. &c.  $\parallel$  κὰπὶ πάντ'

ἀφίξομαι. Ε. Hipp. 286, ἐs πάντ' ἀφίγμαι.  $\parallel τῷ Λαβδακείῳ παιδί$ . This passage is twice cited by Eustathius on Homer II. β'. 54, Νεστορέη παρὰ νηὶ Πυληγενέος βασιλήσε. ε'. 741, ἐν δέ τε Γοργείη κεφαλή δεινοῖο πελώρου. The dative is used by Soph. by a sort of compulsion for the gen., to intervene between the gen. φόνου before παιδί and those which follow it. It depends on τὸν αὐτ. τ. φ., the perpetrator of the murder committed on the son of &c. But some, as J, make this dative to mean for &c., in behalf of, to which I was myself favourable once. The order of descent is Agenor, Cadmus, Polydorus, Labdacus, Laius. See I, note.

269–73. As regards the pron. αὐτοῖs it may be observed that the words καὶ ταῦτα τοῖs μὴ δρῶσιν have a sort of pendent position, and as to those who perform not these things—for them I pray that the gods neither raise up any produce from earth, nor yet children from their wives, &c. For the construction here cp. A. Thesm. 350, ταῖs δ' ἄλλαισιν ὑμῦν τοὺs θεοὺs εὔκεσθε πάσαις πολλὰ δοῦναι κἀγαθά. For the sentiment Herod. iii. 65, καὶ ταῦτα μὲν ποιεῦσι ὑμῦν γῆ τε καρπὸν ἐκφέροι, καὶ γυναῖκές τε καὶ ποῖμναι τίκτοιεν, ἐοῦσι ἐς τὰ ἄπαντα ἐλευθέροισι· μὴ ἀνασωσαμένοισι δὲ τὴν ἀρχὴν μηδ' ἐπιχειρήσασι ἀνασώζειν τὰ ἐναντία τούτοισι ἀρέομαι ὑμῦν γενέσθαι.  $\parallel$  φθερεῖσθαι. The change from the pres. inf. ἀνιέναι to this fut. is noticeable and rare: but, as the destruction of the Thebans here meant lies in the future, that tense can be used. The middle fut. of φθείρω takes here a passive force \*.

(Outline. 276-299.) The Chorus deny all knowledge of the crime and the criminal, adding that Phoebus, who raised the question, ought to answer it. We cannot compel gods, says Oed., to do what they do not choose. They mention Teiresias as a seer having nearly the same insight as Phoebus. Yes, replies Oed., and I have sent for him at Creon's suggestion. They then converse about another vague report, nearer to the fact, namely, that the murderers of Laius were not robbers, but travellers, after which Teiresias is led in by a guide, and Oed. addresses him.

276-9. ὅσπερ μ' ἀραῖον ἔλαβες,...even as thy curse binds me, so will I speak, i.e. I will speak candidly and truly. The γὰρ which follows is a Greek idiom which English translators may neglect, having nothing which represents it.  $\parallel \tau \delta$  δὲ ζήτημα κ.τ.λ. These words may be construed in several ways, between which there is little to choose. J. makes τὸ ζήτημα pendent, which will do very well; and takes τόδε with εἰπεῖν, but perhaps it is quite as good to take it with εἴργασται: as for the question itself, it is for Phoebus who sent it to say who can ever have done this deed.

282-3. τὰ δεύτερ' ἐκ τῶνδ' κ.τ.λ., I would fain say what seems to me next best after this, i.e. after being told by Phoebus.  $\parallel$  εἰ καὶ κ.τ.λ., even if it is third-best, omit not to say it. See 1232 and Particles, Intr.

\* Ribbeck strongly contended that  $vv.\ 246-51$  should be placed after  $v.\ 272$ ; and some edd. (Schn. N. Wo.) so transpose them. I cannot follow the example.

284–6. ἄνακτ' ἄνακτι κ.τ.λ. The seer-king who most of all has the same insight as the seer-king Phoebus I know to be Teiresias. On ἄναξ see 80. It is possible to take μάλιστα as modifying  $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ , 'nearly' the same. But I prefer the first explanation.

287–9. ἀλλ' οὐκ κ.τ.λ., this too is a thing I arranged with no little care. οὐκ ἐν ἀργοῦς = οὐκ ἀργῶς.  $\parallel$  πάλαι δὲ κ.τ.λ., and I have been long wondering he is not here. μη is used on account of the construction θανμάζειν εἰ μη πάρεστι.  $\parallel$  πάλαι with pres. tense, like jampridem in Latin, continues a past action to the present time. Κρέοντος εἰπόντος in 288 must be specially noted as an important link in the plot. This advice of Creon afterwards caused the king's suspicion of him as plotting treason with Teiresias. See 378, 535, 705.

290. καὶ μὴν τά γ' ἄλλα κ.τ.λ., well, everything else was poor and stale rumour. Particles, Exc. XIV. The meaning is: 'besides suggesting Teiresias, I can only mention &c.'

293. As yet Oed. does not know who was the eyewitness alluded to by Creon, 118. He learns this from Jocasta, 756.

294-5. These words give some colour to the conj.  $\delta\rho\hat{\omega}\nu\tau$  for  $l\delta\delta\nu\tau$ , 293, for evidently the Chorus here allude to the murderer.  $\parallel$  où  $\mu\epsilon\nu\epsilon\hat{\iota}$ , he will not vait—will not abide the quest, but hurry away to a distance—or else confess.

296. Nay, says Oed., one, who is fearless in the act, is not scared by a word.

297-9. δ έξελέγξων, who will convict him.  $\|$  έμπέφυκεν, is inborn.

(Outline of 300-462.) Teiresias is led in. Oedipus addresses him courteously, acquaints him with the purport of the oracle, and begs him to rescue the city and people by disclosing the truth known to him as an inspired seer. Startled and alarmed by this demand, Teiresias begs that he may be allowed to go home. The Chorus unite in praying him to speak. He repeats his refusal five times, till at length Oedipus, incensed to the utmost, charges him with being an accomplice of the criminal. Teiresias, not less enraged at this calumny, retorts by declaring the king himself the guilty polluter of the land; and in the angry dialogue which follows he imputes to him also the stain of incest. Suddenly a suspicion arises in the mind of Oedipus, that Teiresias is the agent of Creon, suborned to destroy himself and place Creon on the throne of Thebes. Teiresias denies this, but in vain: for Oedipus outpours his conviction in an impassioned burst of eloquence. In reply, denying the charge, Teiresias predicts in words studiously dark the approaching culmination of the king's calamities. In another interchange of angry words he adds further obscure hints, which he will not explain, but declares that the passing day will bring all to light. The seer is now contemptuously dismissed; but, before his departure, he describes with more distinctness the position and impending fate of the murderer of Laius.

: .:

. . . .

- 300-1. Νωμάω (wield, ply), ponder, meditate, contemplate. This last word is perhaps best.  $\parallel$  As teaching implies the use of words, things unspoken or unspeakable, ἄρρητα, are in antithesis to διδακτά, things that may be taught.  $\parallel$  οὐράνιά τε καὶ χθονοστιβ $\hat{\eta}$ = ἐν οὐραν $\hat{\omega}$  τε καὶ χθονὶ ὅντα.  $\parallel$  χθονοστιβής, lit. treading earth. Similar compounds of στείβω, tread, are πεδοστιβής, ἡλιοστιβής, νεφοστιβής, μονοστιβής, and in passive sense ἀστιβής, untrodden O. C. 126. Ant. 657.
- 302–4. πόλιν. See 15, 224.  $\parallel$  εl καl, although.  $\parallel$  φρονεῖs, thy mind knows, see 316, 326, 328, &c.  $\parallel$  σύνεστιν, it is afflicted.  $\parallel$  προστάτην, champion, defender.  $\mathring{\eta}$ s could be referred to πόλιν, because σύνεστιν, of which πόλιs is the subject, immediately precedes. But προστάτην and σωτ $\mathring{\eta}$ ρα are better taken as governing  $\mathring{\eta}$ s, and then we render, from which plague.  $\parallel$  μοῦνον. This Ionic form for μόνοs is not used by the other tragic poets.
- 305–9. εl καl μὴ κλύειs, if indeed thou hast not heard. Here εl καl cannot mean although, as usual.  $\parallel$  The use of κλύω with perf. force is not infrequent. See Heindorf on Plat. Gorg. 116.  $\parallel$  πέμψασιν ἡμῦν ἀντέπεμψεν, sent this answer to our message.  $\parallel$  ἐκπεμψαlμεθα, rather perhaps middle of indirect agency—have them sent out—than of reference to self—out of our land.
- 310–15. φθονήσας, grudging.  $\parallel$  ἀπ' οἰωνῶν. See 395–8, 483. Ant. 998, &c.  $\parallel$  311. μαντικῆς (τέχνης), divination.  $\parallel$  ῥῦσαι, rescue.  $\parallel$  μίασμα=τὸ μεμιασμένον, all that hath defilement from.  $\parallel$  ἐν σοὶ ἐσμέν, on thee we depend. Eur. Alc. 279, ἐν σοὶ δ' ἐσμὲν καὶ ζῆν καὶ μή. O. C. 297, ἐν ὑμῦν κεἰμεθα.  $\parallel$  ἄνδρα subject of ἀφελεῖν, for a man to do good.  $\parallel$  ἀφ' ὧν ἔχοι τε καὶ δύναιτο, from his means and powers. On this use of the imperf. opt. (indef. generality) see Verbs, Introd. El. 1378, ἀφ' ὧν ἔχοιμι λιπαρεῖ προὔστην χερί. Render freely, a man's noblest toil is to use his means and powers in doing good.
- 316–8.  $\phi$ ρονεῖν, to be wise, to know.  $\parallel$  ἔνθα μὴ τέλη λύη (= λυσιτελῆ), where it profits not; μὴ on account of indef. generality. See Lection and 1231, αἷ φανῶσι.  $\parallel$  ταῦτα γάρ. J. expresses this γὰρ by aye. It refers to  $\phi$ εῦ, and might be neglected in Engl.  $\parallel$  διώλεσα, forgot, so διαφθείρω.  $\parallel$  οὐ γὰρ κ.τ.λ. for else (suppressed prot. εἰ μὴ διώλεσα) I should not have come hither. See 12, 220. Stud. Soph. P. II. pp. 10, 51. In 433 ἐπεὶ is used for this γάρ.
- 321.  $\delta\iota olo\omega$ , carry through, sustain (perform). The difficult nature of their several parts is implied in the word.
- 323. ἀποστερών, usually 'depriving', here withholding. Thuc. I. 40, ἄλλου ἐαυτὸν ἀποστερών. Antipho de c. Her. οὐκ ἀποστερών ἐαυτὸν οὐδενός.
- 324-5. The oùôè  $\sigma$ ol here with the corresp.  $\mu\eta$ ô' èyà are so unlike English idiom, that the former can only be rendered by an emphasis on  $\sigma$ ol and èyá. The  $\gamma$ à $\rho$  points to a suppressed à $\pi$ o $\sigma$ \tau $\epsilon$  $\rho$ â. Aye, for I see that Thy speech is unseasonably uttered: in order that I myself then may avoid the same misha $\rho$ —(now he turns to go).

- 326-7. Oed. stops him, saying: if thou hast knowledge ( $\phi \rho o \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \epsilon$ ), I adjure thee, turn not away.
- 328-9. On this much-disputed passage see Lection and Excursus VI. Render: Aye, for ye all are without knowledge: but never will I speak my secrets—in whatever way, lest I disclose thine—evil.
  - 331. προδοῦναι, to abandon.
  - 333. ἐγὼ οὖτ', synizesis, cp. 1002. || τί ταῦτ'. See 29. || ἄλλως, in vain.
- 336. ἄτεγκτος κάτελεύτητος: see lex.: this bold Sophoclean language can only be paraphrased: unsoftened thus to never-ending time.
- 337–8. It is manifest that  $\delta\rho\gamma\dot{\eta}$  is here used to express a temper which provokes others to anger.  $\parallel \tau\dot{\eta}\nu \sigma\dot{\eta}\nu \delta'$   $\delta\nu\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\nu$  alova, that (irritating temper) which resides with thee. Eustathius had a fancy (which seems to me out of place) that Jocasta is implied in these words, yet both Steel and J. favour the allusion.  $\parallel \dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda'\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{\epsilon}\,\psi\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\epsilon\iota s$ . J. says well that it is peculiarly Sophoclean to iterate an idea, which seems to call for stronger emphasis.
- 340. α...ατιμάζεις, wherein thou slightest (scornest by insultingly and injuriously refusing). Of such refusal, ατιμος, ατιμάζω, are used in tragedy. See 789, καί μ' ὁ Φοιβος ων μεν ικόμην ατιμον εξέπεμψεν. Ant. 21-2, οὐ γὰρ τάφου νῶν τὼ κασιγνήτω Κρέων τὸν μεν προτίσας, τὸν δ' ἀτιμάσας ἔχει:
- 341.  $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$  refers to the implied thought, 'I will not speak these things:'  $\ddot{\eta} \xi \epsilon_i \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \ \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \dot{\alpha}$ , why, they will come to light of themselves.
- 342. οὐκοῦν. Elmsley reads οὐκ οὖν, with query (;) at the end of the line. Nauck follows him. J. renders οὐκοῦν then (=therefore) without any discussion of this usage, which is one of the difficulties in Greek; for how is the presence of οὐκ explained, when it is assumed that there is no negation in the sentence? The change of accent from οὔκουν to οὐκοῦν is merely a conventional sign that οὖκ is neutralized; but there it is still. See Particles: Exc. Hermann on Vig. discusses the point, but does not seem to elucidate it. Elmsley's method of writing alone seems rational, though I have not exhibited it. Rost, like J., is content with accepting the conventional distinction.
- 343-4. πρὸς τάδε, so then: this phrase and πρὸς ταῦτα are commonly used with a more or less strong shade of defiance. || θυμοῦ δι ὀργῆς ἥτις ἀγριωτάτη, fret in the very fiercest wrath. O. C. 985, εἰ μὲν δι ὀργῆς ῆκον. Cp. Ae. Sept. καιρὸν ὅστις ὤκιστος. Pers. 30, κόσμος ὅστις εὐπρεπής. Cic. Pis. 21, poenas...eas quae gravissimae sunt.
- 345–9.  $\dot{\omega}s$   $\dot{o}\rho\gamma\hat{\eta}s$   $\dot{\epsilon}\chi\omega$ , so angry am I.  $\parallel$   $\ddot{a}\pi\epsilon\rho$   $\dot{\xi}vvl\eta\mu$ , of the things that I imagine (conceive probable).  $\parallel$   $\ddot{\iota}\sigma\theta\iota$   $\dot{\gamma}\dot{\alpha}\rho$ , yea know.  $\parallel$   $\kappa\alpha\iota$   $\dot{\xi}$ .  $\tau$ . to have been an actual  $(\kappa\alpha\iota)$  completter of the deed.
- 350-3. ἄληθες; indignant or scornful question: ha! really? A. Av. 175, ἄληθες,  $\mathring{\omega}$  σκαιότατον εἰρηκὼς έπος; Ran. 840, ἄληθες,  $\mathring{\omega}$  παῖ τῆς ἀρουραίας θεοῦ;  $\parallel$  ἐννέπω σε, I charge thee. So φων $\mathring{\omega}$  Aj. 1047, οὖτος, σὲ φων $\mathring{\omega}$  τόνδε

τὸν νεκρὸν χεροῖν μὴ ξυγκομίζειν, and λέγω in Phil. 101, λέγω σ' ἐγὼ δόλῳ Φιλοκτήτην λαβεῖν.  $\parallel$  ῷπερ, relative attracted to the case antecedent, κηρόγματι.  $\parallel$  As ἐννέπω could take a dat., this case is adopted in 353, ώς ὅντι, to avoid confusion : for ὅντα would seem to agree with ἐμέ.

- 354-5.  $\dot{\epsilon}\xi\epsilon\kappa\nu\eta\sigma\alpha s$ , hast thou blurted out? || Steel, with Br., takes  $\pi\sigma\upsilon$  as enclitic and ironical, you think perhaps. But Elms. Ell. J. read  $\pi\sigma\upsilon$ , which seems fitter for the menacing mood of Oed. how do you expect to escape its due?
- 356.  $\tau \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \dot{\epsilon} s \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \log \chi \dot{\omega} v \tau \rho \dot{\epsilon} \phi \omega$ , for I possess truth in all its strength = I possess truth, and truth is strong.
- 358. προὖτρέψω, hast goaded me: middle of indirect agency. It was not by entreaty or persuasion, but by provocation, that Oed. caused Teir. to speak.
- 360. ħ 'κπειρᾶ λέγειν; or art thou tempting me to speak? i.e. art thou striving in that indirect way to make me say all that I know? See Lection. The ἀνάγκη of a στιχομυθία enforces the harsh condensation.
- 361. οὐχ ὤστε γ' εἰπεῖν γνωτόν, 'not so as to call it known,' i.e. not so as to say that I know it.' Then Teiresias speaks more plainly.
  - 362. οὖ ζητεῖs, und. τὸν φονέα.
- 363. οὔ τι χαίρων, not with impunity, so γεγηθώs, 368. On the other hand, to your sorrow is expressed by κλάων. See 401, 1152 κλάων δ' έρεῖs. Ant. 754, κλάων φρενώσειs. 932 κλαύμαθ' ὑπάρξει.  $\parallel$  πημονάs, slanders.
- 364.  $\epsilon$ ίπω τι, must I say, τι καὶ ἄλλο, something else too? Interroguse of subjunctive.
- 366–7. λεληθέναι σε φημὶ σὖν τοῖς φιλτάτοις αἴσχισθ' ὁμιλοῦντα, I say thou art unconsciously linked in foulest union with thy nearest kin (i.e. with thy mother).  $\parallel$  On λέληθα with partic. cp. 268, 415.  $\parallel$  τὰ φίλτατα see 1474. Eurip. often uses it for parent, husband or wife, children: see Hipp. 965.  $\parallel$  Plural for sing. appears often, as 1184, ξὐν οἶς τ' οὖ χρῆν  $\mu$ ' ὁμιλῶν οὕς τέ  $\mu$ ' οὐκ ἔδει κτανών. This happens especially when abstract nouns plural are used for a person in the singular: as Ε. Hipp. 11 παιδεύματα, Tro. 252 νυμφευτήρια for Cassandra, S. Ant. 568 νυμφεῦα for Antigone. So Phil. 36 τεχνήματα for a single cup, and other instances. Ov. Met. Xv. 163, cognovi clipeum laevae gestamina nostrae. See Pors. on E. Or. 1051.  $\parallel$  οὐδ' ὁρᾶν ἵν' εἶ κακοῦ. So 413, κοῦ βλέπεις ἵν' εἶ κακοῦ. Aj. 386, οὐχ ὀρᾶς ἵν' εἶ κακοῦ.
- 369. της άληθείας. The article is prefixed to these abstract nouns: Ant. 1195, ὀρθὸν ἀλήθει' ἀεί.
- 370-1. 'Certainly,' says Oed. 'there is power in truth,' but not in respect of you, for your words are not true; you are as blind in all senses as in that of sight. || Cp. with the alliteration here the line from Ennius, O Tite tute Tati tibi tanta tyranne tulisti. See 425, 1481 of this play, and

Med. 476 with Porson's note.  $\| \nu \hat{\rho} \hat{\rho} \|$  is the mind regarded as the understanding,  $\theta \nu \mu \hat{\rho} \hat{\rho}$  the mind as the seat of the passions,  $\psi \nu \chi \hat{\eta}$  the vital part.

373. oὐδεὶs ὅs οὐχὶ =  $\pi$ âs  $\tau$ is or  $\pi$ άν $\tau$ εs.

374-5. μιας τρέφει προς νυκτός, night is thy only trainer, is, I am sure, the true sense, and  $\mu u \hat{a} s = \mu \acute{o} \nu \eta s$ . The verb  $\tau \rho \acute{e} \phi \epsilon \iota \nu$  has many shades of meaning: (1) To feed, support, nurture, rear, educate, train; (2) to keep (horses, dogs, birds, plants, armies, fleets, γυναῖκα, &c.); (3) to cherish, maintain (a) a physical object (long hair, a lock of hair &c.), (b) a habit, principle, feeling, a good or an evil (λάτρειαν, ζήλον, τάληθές, θυμόν, έλπίδα, φόβον, νόσον, μίασμα, την γλώσσαν ήσυχωτέραν τον νοῦν τ' άμείνω κ.τ.λ.). Ιn Aeschylus and Plato we find no meanings but those under (1). Homer, Sophocles, Euripides, and other writers supply the rest. The words on both sides carry on a metaphor from the gymnasium. Oedipus virtually says: 'A man reared and trained in darkness (i.e. a blind man) cannot hurt his fellow-man, for obvious physical reasons.' And Teiresias virtually replies: 'I am not the swordsman or wrestler by whom thou wilt be laid prostrate ( $\pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \hat{i}$ ): Apollo will do that.' See Plat. Rep. III. 400: μετά δη μουσικήν γυμναστική θρεπτέοι οἱ νεανίαι. Soph. Tr. 117: πολλά κύματα...τὸν Καδμογενη τρέφει.

379.  $K\rho\epsilon\omega\nu$  δέ σοι  $\pi\hat{\eta}\mu'$  οὐδέν, Creon is not thy harmer. δὲ retains its adversative force, though we do not usually express it, in answers which contain something that is to be refuted.

380. τέχνη τέχνης. Kingcraft was supposed to be the highest art of all. Cp. Phil. 138, τέχνα γὰρ τέχνας έτέρας προὔχει καὶ γνώμα, παρ' ὅτω τὸ θεῖον Διὸς σκῆπτρον ἀνάσσεται, i.e. 'the art and knowledge of him who holds the sceptre excels all other art.' And so Xen. Mem. IV. 2. 11, μεγίστης ἐφίεσαι τέχνης ' ἔστι γὰρ τῶν βασιλέων αὔτη καὶ καλεῖται βασιλική.

382. ὅσος, κ.τ.λ., how great the store of envy laid in wait beside you! 383-6. δωρητός, alτητός, adjectives of two terminations.  $\parallel \dot{v}\pi\epsilon\lambda\theta\dot{w}\nu$ , stealing on, 'coming under' to trip up, a metaphor from the palaestra.

387-9. ὑφείς κ.τ.λ., having suborned a plot-patching conjuror like this. || δόλιον ἀγύρτην, a deceitful quack, from ἀγείρειν (χρήματα) 'collecting money'; a practice of low priests, who carried about an image of their god, begging money up and down the country in its behalf, which they kept for themselves. Cp. Ae. Ag. 1273, καλουμένη δὲ φοιτάς, ὡς, ἀγύρτρια πτωχός, τάλαινα, λιμοθνής, ἡνεσχόμην. See the character and pretentions of these ἀγύρται in Plat. Rep. 11. p. 364. || ὅστις ἐν τοῖς κέρδεσι μόνον δέδορκε, one who is clear-sighted in gain alone. Cicero, Tusc. v. 38, says of Cn. Aufidius, who was blind, 'videbat in litteris.' || ἔφν. See 9.

390-2. ἐπεί, nam, for, frequent in this sense of challenging with an imperative, as here. Cp. El. 352. E. Hec. 1208, ἐπεί δίδαξον, or simply with a question. A. Nub. 688, οὐδαμῶς γ', ἐπεὶ πῶς ἄν καλέσειας ἐντυχὼν

'Aμυνία; || φέρ' εἰπέ, come tell me, or tell me now. || ποῦ, on what occasion? conveys indignant denial. || ἡ ῥαψωδὸς κύων, the riddling (lit. songcomposing) monster, i.e. the Sphinx. Κύων often has such a sense, generally with an idea of pursuit. Thus we find the term used of the Furies: El. 1387, μετάδρομοι κακῶν πανουργημάτων ἄφυκτοι κύνες, and of the Harpies in Apoll. Rh. II. 289, 'Αρπυίας, μεγάλοιο Διὸς κύνας. In the Agam. of Aesch. 1228 Cassandra (in a remarkable and debated passage) calls Clytaemnestra μισητή κύων. Aesch. also calls the eagle (Pr. 1022, Ag. 136), Διὸς πτηνὸς κύων, 'Jove's winged hound.' || The men called ῥαψωδοί were persons who pieced together short extracts from famous poets, Homer, Archilochus, Mimnermus &c. for recitation at games or festivals.

393-5. καίτοι...γε, and yet, τὸ αἴνιγμα κ.τ.λ., the riddle was not one for the first comer to explain (διειπεῖν). Thuc. VI. 22, πολλή γὰρ οὖσα (ἡ στρατιά) οὐ πάσης ἔσται πόλεως υποδέξασθαι.  $\parallel$  τοὐπιόντος =τοῦ τυχόντος, 'cujuslibet,' the first comer. O. C. 752, τοὐπιόντος ἀρπάσαι, a prey to the first comer.  $\parallel$  οὔτε...οὔτε. We frequently find, as in 56, the negative put first and then divided into two negatives. So here, οὐ might have been placed before προύφάνης, οὔτε...οὔτε following. οὐ προύφάνης, 'in lucem non prodisti', didst not display thyself.

396-400. ἔπαυσά νιν, made an end of her.  $\parallel$  κυρήσας γνώμη, Schol. ἐπιτυχών, succeeding by judgment, i.e. by force of intellect.  $\parallel$  δν δή, I, whom forsooth.  $\parallel$  δοκῶν κ.τ.λ., expecting to stand near Creon's throne (i.e. high in rank and influence). παραστάτης is 'next in rank,' ἐπιστάτης, 'next in file.'

401–3. κλάων, to your sorrow; παθών, to your cost.  $\parallel$  ὁ συνθεὶς τάδε, he τυλο contrived this plot. E. Ion 833, οἱ συντιθέντες ἔκδικ εἶτα μηχαναῖς κοσμοῦσιν. Dem. de Cor. 275, εὖ πρᾶγμα συντεθὲν ὅψεσθε.  $\parallel$  ἀγηλατήσειν = ἄγος ἐλᾶν, drive away defilement, from ἄζω.  $\parallel$  οἶά περ φρονεῖς, the fruit of thy devices.  $\parallel$  Cp. the threats of Pentheus to Teiresias, E. Bacch. 258, εἰ μή σε γῆρας πολιὸν ἐξερρύετο, κάθησ ἄν ἐν Βάκχαισι δέσμιος μέσαις.

404–7. εἰκάζουσιν, comparing, surmising.  $\parallel$  δεῖ δ' οὐ τοιούτων, of such we have no need.  $\parallel$  σκοπεῖν und. δεῖ.  $\parallel$  λύσομεν ἄριστα, we shall best fulfil τὰ τοῦ θεοῦ μαντεῖα, lit. the oracles, implying the duties laid on us by the oracles; the oracular mandate of the god.

408. ἐξισωτέον, equality must be made, τὸ γοῦν ἴσ ἀντιλέξαι, so far at least as replying on equal terms, i.e. so much equality between us must be allowed as consists in  $l\sigma\eta\gamma o\rho l\alpha$ , freedom of speech. With ἐξ. ἐστὶ is understood. But there are three ways of explaining the construction; one, by regarding το ἀντιλέξαι as subject of ἐστὶ and ἐξ. as predicate, 'equal replying at least is an equality proper to be established (between us)': another by making τὸ ἀντ. the object of ἐξισωτέον, 'we must establish equally between us at least the equal replying'; a third, which treats τὸ as = ὥστε, 'we

must establish equality between us, so far at least as to reply on equal terms. This last seems to me the most probable view. See Kühner, Gr. Gr. § 479.

- 410–11. Loxias is Apollo's name as the obscure seer-god: ὁ λοξὰ μαντενόμενος.  $\parallel$  ὤστ' οὐ κ.τ.λ., so that I will not (better than 'shall not') be enrolled as under Creon's patronage. The paulo-post future, being the future of the perfect, denotes the continuance of the state: thus κληθήσεται, he will have the name given to him, but κεκλήσεται, he will bear the name. Every μέτοικος at Athens was obliged νέμειν προστάτην, to select a patron, through whom alone he could transact any civil business, and to have the fact recorded in the public registers. An action called ἀπροστασίου δίκη lay against any sojourner who neglected this.
- 413. σὐ καὶ δέδορκας, κ τ.λ. thou both possessest sight and perceivest not & c. Cp. Aj. 85, ἐγὼ σκοτώσω βλέφαρα καὶ δεδορκότα. Ae. Prom. 458, βλέποντες ἔβλεπον μάτην, κλύοντες οὐκ ἥκουον. Psalm CXV. 5, 6, CXXXV. 16, 17. Isaiah VI. 9, 10, XLII. 20. Jerem. V. 21. Ezek. XII. 2. Matthew XIII. 14. Mark IV. 12, VIII. 18. Luke VIII. 10. See 367.
- 415-9. ἆρ' οἶσθα, dost thou know? (=thou knowest not). Hence it can be followed by καὶ λέληθας, thou art even ignorant. This verb is always joined with a participle: but in 147 it only implies concealment, though the participle attends it there. || τοῖς σοῖσω, to thine own: that is, to thy parents. || ἀμφιπλήξ, active = ἀμφοτέρωθεν πλήσσουσα Hesych. See Phil. 687, ἀμφίπληκτος active. || μητρός τε καὶ τοῦ σοῦ πατρός. Cp. O. C. 1399, οἴμοι κελεύθου τῆς τ' ἐμῆς δυσπραξίας. || δεινόπους ἀρά, terrible-footed curse, i.e. approaching with dreadful speed. El. 491, χαλκόπους Ἐρινύς: Hor. C. III. 2. 32, pede Poena claudo. Curses were supposed to be attended with Furies to put them in execution. Hence 'Αρά stands for the attendant Fury. Cp. Ae. Eum. 416, ημεῖς μέν ἐσμεν Νυκτός αἰανῆς τέκνα, 'Αραὶ δ' ἐν οἴκοις γῆς ὑπαὶ κεκλήμεθα. Sept. 721, πατρός εὐκταίαν Ἐρινύν. || ὀρθά, rightly. || σκότον. See 1273. Ε. Phoen. 377, σκότον δεδορκώς. Bacch. 510, σκότον εἰσορᾶ κνέφας.
- 420–1. Some take  $\lambda \mu \eta \nu$  to mean 'a retired spot,' a valley, as distinguished from mountains (represented by  $K\iota\theta a\iota\rho \omega \nu$ ), and make  $\beta o\hat{\eta}s$  depend on  $\sigma \psi \mu \phi \omega \nu os$ , a possible constr. But it is better to make  $\beta o\hat{\eta}s$  dep. on  $\lambda \iota \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$  in its usual sense, 'haven': what haven of thy cry will there not be? i.e. whither will not thy cry penetrate? understanding  $\alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \hat{\eta}$  with  $\sigma \psi \mu \omega \nu os$ ; what Cithaeron (put for all Boeotian mountains) will not ring with it? Neue quotes Aristid. Monod. de Smyrna p. 262,  $\pi o\hat{\iota} o\nu = 0$  Βόσπορον  $\hat{\eta} \pi o\hat{\iota} o\nu = 0$  καταρράκτας  $\hat{\eta} \tau \nu a = 0$  Ταρτησσόν  $\tau \delta \sigma \delta \nu a = 0$ ,  $\delta \delta \alpha \iota \mu o\nu a = 0$ , κέσς  $\delta \omega a = 0$  Κασσαλία  $\delta \alpha a = 0$  το $\delta \alpha a = 0$  τον  $\delta \alpha a = 0$  τον  $\delta \alpha a = 0$  τον  $\delta \alpha a = 0$  γετος  $\delta \alpha a = 0$  γετος
  - 422-5. These lines, as all the language of Teir. here, are ἄγαν αlνικτὰ

κάσα $\phi \hat{\eta}$  (430). || The marriage of Oed. is likened to a harbour with a bad roadstead (ὄρμος ἄνορμος), into which he had sailed after a favourable voyage,  $\epsilon \dot{v}\pi\lambda o las \tau v \chi \dot{\omega} v$ , alluding to the solution of the enigma.  $\delta \delta \mu o ls$  is a dat. of place indicating where the harbour was situated, in you palace. || "" o" έξισώσει κ.τ.λ., which shall place thee on a level with thyself and thy children: with thyself, as showing thee what thou really art, a parricide, and an incestuous husband; with thy children, as proving thee to be their brother. But this could only be done by the discovery mentioned in the two previous lines, not by the ἄλλα κακά. These can only apply to the subsequent misfortunes of the family, those namely which grew out of the curse spoken by Oed. Elmsley reads α γ' έξισώσει, understanding 'what the 'Αρά will inflict equally on thyself and thy children'. Porson and Schäfer read  $\ddot{a}\sigma\sigma'$ έξισώσει, 'which shall equally befall'. And this, which might seem to be the sense required, would equally result from ὅσ' ἐξισώσει, a slight change from one MS. δ σ έξισώσει. J. believes that vv. 422-25 correspond with the actual progress of the drama: that while the two former (ὅταν καταίσθη) refer to the first discovery concerning the death of Laius (726-860), the two latter  $(a\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \delta \hat{\epsilon})$  comprise those scenes in which the parentage of Oed. is brought to light. When it is remembered that the whole action lies within the hours of one day, and that the second discovery follows the first so quickly, it is hard to suppose either that 'the clear perception' does not imply the full detection of all the miseries involved in the marriage, or that the language of 1. 425, οὐκ ἐπαισθάνει, is satisfactorily explained by the contents of epeisodia 3, 4. We seem almost compelled to include in the  $\pi\lambda\hat{\eta}\theta$ os κακών all the calamities of Oed. and his children as described in the Oed. Coloneus and the Antigone. I have sometimes been tempted to conjecture that Soph. wrote  $\ddot{o}\dot{\sigma}$   $\dot{\epsilon}\xi$   $\ddot{l}\sigma o v \sigma o \dot{l}\tau'$   $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{l}\sigma \iota$   $\kappa \alpha \dot{l}\tau o \hat{\iota}s$   $\sigma o \hat{\iota}s$   $\tau \dot{\epsilon}\kappa \nu o \iota s$ . For  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{l}\sigma \iota = \ddot{\eta}\xi \epsilon \iota$ see El. 475, εἶσιν à πρόμαντις Δίκα. Phil. 1198, οὐδ' εἰ πυρφόρος ἀστεροπητής βροντας αὐγαίς  $\mu'$  εἶσι φλογίζων. The σιγματισμὸς is the same in both readings. But, where Sophocles has so manifestly meant to be dark, it seems almost profane to let in more light by conjectural reading or forced interpretation, lest his shade whisper to us, σκότος έμον φάος· μή τι βέβηλον  $\epsilon l\sigma l\tau \omega$ .

426–8. πρὸς ταθτα, wherefore, so then. See on 343, πρὸς τάδε.  $\parallel$  'τουμὸν στόμα = τοὺς ἐμοὺς λόγους, or me for what I say.' St.  $\parallel$  ἐκτριβήσεται, shall be worn down, crushed.  $\parallel$  ποτέ, ever. It means 'at any time', and so either 'formerly' or 'hereafter', as the case may be.

429–31. οὐκ εἰς ὅλεθρον;—und. ἄπει or ἀποφθερεῖ; so 1146. A. Nub. 789, Equ. 892, οὐκ ἐς κόρακας ἀποφθερεῖ;  $\parallel$  θᾶσσον is often used in these forms of execration. Hom. Od. κ΄. 72. A. Nub. 1253. Plut. 604.  $\parallel$  ἄψορρος, returning. Aj. 369. Ant. 386. ἄψορρον adverbially, El. 53, 1430, Tr. 902. Obs. the angry alliteration, ἄψορρος...ἀποστραφεὶς ἄπει;

- 433-4.  $\gamma$ áp. I đid send for you, for &c.; then  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\epsilon}l$  instead of another  $\gamma$ áp. See 318.  $\parallel \sigma \chi o \lambda \hat{\eta}$ , by leisure, slowly, scarcely = by no means. Elms. cites Shakesp. Titus And. i. 2, 'I'll trust by leisure him that mocks me once.'
- 435-6. Steel, reading with mss. ω's μέν σοὶ δοκεί, writes: 'we should have expected  $\dot{\omega}s$   $\sigma o \dot{l}$   $\mu \dot{e}\nu$   $\delta o \kappa \epsilon \hat{i}$ , or in the next line  $\dot{\omega}s$   $\delta \dot{e}$   $\gamma o \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \sigma \iota$   $\delta o \kappa \epsilon \hat{i}$ . This last indeed seems to be the construction which was in the poet's mind; ws however being omitted, δè was necessarily thrown back so as not to be the first word in the sentence. The same observation holds good in Phil. 270, ορώντα μὲν ναθς—ἄνδρα δ' οὐδέν' ἔντοπον, for ὁρώντα δὲ ἄνδρα οὐδέν' ἔντοπον, and Phil. 1136, δρών μεν αίσχρας απάτας στυγνόν τε φώτα, for δρών δε στυγνόν  $\phi\hat{\omega}\tau a$ , but in 260 we have  $\xi\chi\omega\nu$  μèν ἀρχὰς— $\xi\chi\omega\nu$  δὲ λέκτρα.'—In reading σολ μέν with Elmsley and Schäfer I was moved not by 'concinnitas dictionis', but by rhythmical fitness, ώς μὲν σοὶ δοκεῖ seeming in effect a termination by spondee  $\dot{\omega}_s - \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$  and cretic  $\sigma o \dot{\ell} - \delta o \kappa \epsilon \hat{\iota}$ , since  $\sigma o \dot{\ell}$  is necessarily emphatic and  $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$  always unemphatic.  $\parallel \mu \hat{\omega} \rho o \iota$ , subjoined to explain  $\tau o \iota o \iota \delta \epsilon$ . See Phil. 1271,  $\tau$ οιοῦτος  $\tilde{\eta}\sigma\theta\alpha...\pi$ ιστός, ἀτηρὸς λάθρα.  $\parallel$  γονεῦσι δέ, und. ὡς ἐδόκει, or it might stand as ethic dative. See 8. || The Greek fulness of expression in  $\gamma_0 \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \sigma \iota$  ο'  $\epsilon' \epsilon \phi \nu \sigma \alpha \nu$  is very usual, even when there is no such emphasis as would warrant the use of similar fulness in English. See Scyr. Frag. ii. 2, άριστου πατρὸς Έλλήνων γεγώς. Phil. 3, κρατίστου πατρὸς Έλλήνων τραφείς. Aj. 1172, πατρός, őς σ' έγείνατο. 1296, ὁ φιτύσας πατήρ. El. 261, μητρός  $\ddot{\eta}$  μ' έγείνατο. 1412,  $\dot{o}$  γεννήσας πατήρ. 341, πατρ $\dot{o}$ s  $\dot{o}$ υ πα $\dot{o}$ s έφυς, 365 &c.
- 440. οὐκοῦν. Dindorf reads, as Elmsley, οὐκ οὖν, with interr. at close. See 342, note.
- 443. ἐξέσωσ². This could be ἐξέσωσε or ἐξέσωσα, but, as τύχη was the subject used by Teir., he would naturally suppose it repeated.
- 445–6. See Lection.  $\parallel$  κομιζέτω  $\delta \hat{\eta} \theta'$ , aye, let him conduct you; let him by all means.  $\parallel$  έμποδών όχλεῖς (with παρών), your presence hinders and annoys me. Elms. cites Ae. Pr. 1001 όχλεῖς μάτην με. See E. Hel. 439 δχλον παρέξεις δεσπόταις.  $\parallel$  συθείς τε, and when you are gone.
  - 448. πρόσωπον. Hor. C. iii. 3, 3, non voltus instantis tyranni &c.
- 449-51. τον ἄνδρα τοῦτον δν...οῦτος, irreg. attracted constr. See Tr. 283 τάσδε δ' ἄσπερ εἰσορᾶς...χωροῦσι. Verg. Aen. i. 577, urbem quam statuo vestra est.
- 452. ξένος λόγω μέτοικος, und. νῦν μέν, now indeed by report a resident alien. Soph has in view the μέτοικοι at Athens—the class of foreigners sojourning with certain civic privileges. See 411 note. || The regular construction would require  $\phi$ ανησόμενος δέ, which is changed by an anacoluthon into the finite verb, εἶτα δὲ  $\phi$ ανήσεται. Cp. v. 1201. Any careful student of Sophocles will be at no loss to find examples of the free construction adopted by the poet.  $\nu$ 0ν μὲν οτ  $\pi$ ρῶτα μέν are sometimes thus omitted in the first

clause, when they may be readily understood, as here, from the subsequent  $\epsilon l \tau a$  or  $\ell \pi \epsilon \iota \tau a$   $\delta \ell$ , as Hom. II.  $\lambda'$ . 92,  $\ell \lambda \epsilon$   $\delta'$   $\delta \nu \delta \rho a$   $B \iota \eta \nu \rho \rho a$ ,  $\pi \iota \iota \iota \mu \ell \nu a$   $\lambda a \omega \nu$ , a  $\upsilon \tau \delta \nu$ ,  $\ell \pi \epsilon \iota \tau a$   $\delta'$   $\ell \tau a \ell \rho \rho \nu$ , 'O  $\iota \lambda \eta a$   $\pi \lambda \eta \xi \iota \tau \pi \sigma \nu$ . In 695 on the contrary we have  $\pi d \lambda a \iota$  understood from  $\tau a \nu \ell \nu$  which follows.

454.  $\tau \hat{\eta}$  ξυμφορ $\hat{q}$ , by his good fortune, a sense sometimes though rarely found. See Excursus III. El. 1230, κάπλ συμφοραῖσί μοι γεγηθὸς ἔρπει δάκρυον ὀμμάτων ἄπο.

456. σκήπτρω προδεικνύς, sc. ἐαυτῷ τὴν ὁδόν, groping his way before him with a staff. So Theocr. Id. XXII. 102, τὸν μὲν ἄναξ ἐτάραξεν, ἐτώσια χεροὶ προδεικνὺς πάντοθεν. Seneca Oed. 656, repet incertus viae baculo senili triste praetentans iter. The word is also used, as Lat. praeludo, of preparatory action in the gymnasia or in war.  $\parallel$  ἐμπορεύσεται, shall travel, properly as a merchant. ἔμπορος, Od. β'. 319, ω'. 299. = a passenger in a vessel, but that sense is later. Sophocles uses it merely in the sense of a traveller. O. C. 25, 303, 901. So ἐμπορεύομαι alone of travelling, El. 405, ποῦ δ' ἐμπορεύει;

458. See Lection. Whether  $\alpha\dot{v}\tau\dot{\delta}s$  or  $\alpha\dot{v}\tau\dot{\delta}s$  should be read here, is a very nice question; and possibly neither is wrong. As there is, however, no doubt that  $\alpha\dot{v}\tau\dot{\delta}s$  would be right, if the words  $\kappa\alpha\dot{\iota}$   $\pi\alpha\tau\dot{\eta}\rho$  were away, I have thought it justifiable to read  $\alpha\dot{v}\tau\dot{\delta}s$ , carrying in mind that it is to be supplied again after  $\pi\alpha\tau\dot{\eta}\rho$ . If the pronoun stood first or last, I believe it would take the article,  $\alpha\dot{v}\tau\dot{\delta}s$   $\dot{\epsilon}\delta\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\dot{\phi}\dot{\delta}s$   $\kappa\alpha\dot{\iota}$   $\pi\alpha\tau\dot{\eta}\rho$ , or  $\dot{\epsilon}\delta\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\dot{\phi}\dot{\delta}s$   $\kappa\alpha\dot{\iota}$   $\pi\alpha\tau\dot{\eta}\rho$   $a\dot{v}\tau\dot{\delta}s$ . But this is one of those questions on which scholars may take different sides without disparagement to either party.

460. ὁμοσπόρος, in active sense, as ὁμογενής (or ὁμολεχής) in 1350. = husband of the same wife. But it is passive (ὁμόσπορος) 260.

461. λογίζου, reckon up, take account (note) of.  $\parallel$  κᾶν λάβης ἐψευσμένον. I have, with some hesitation, concurred with those who omit  $\mu$ ' after λάβης on account of ἐμὲ closely following. Those who keep it might cite in their favour 605, ἐάν με τῷ τερασκόπῳ λάβης κοινῆ τι βουλεύσαντα, μή  $\mu$ ' ἀπλῆ κτάνης ψήφῳ, where the pronoun is repeated. But the strong ἐμὲ makes some distinction between the two places.

462. φάσκειν for φάσκε, so S. El. 9, Phil. 1411, 57, (λέγειν); and elsewhere frequently. The infin. stands also instead of the 3rd person imp. as well as of the 2nd pers. Matthiæ thinks the phrase is probably a remnant of the older and simpler language, the action required being expressed by means of the verb used absolutely.  $\parallel$  In this concluding speech, Teiresias uses such plain language that we can hardly conceive his being misunderstood by Oedipus, whom he had already declared the murderer of Laius. But an answer to all these difficulties appears in the remarks made in the first edition. It was the will of fate and heaven that Oedipus should continue to live in blind security till the destined hour of discovery arrived

[Teiresias is now led out through the passage adjoining the western Periaktos, after which Oedipus retires into the palace, and the Chorus sing their first Stasimon. This term (from  $\sigma\tau\hat{\eta}\gamma\alpha\iota$  to stand) is applied to the Odes which the two semichoirs chant when stationary; as distinguished from the Parodos, and from Anapaests, which are attended with marching movement.]

## STASIMON I. (463-512.)

(Outline.) In the first strophe and antistrophe of this short Stasimon, the Chorus delineate the miserable state of the unknown murderer, flying in conscious guilt from the unerring pursuit of the divine oracle. In the second strophe and antistrophe they declare their unwillingness to believe in the guilt of Oedipus, being unable to imagine any cause of quarrel between him and Laius: and they would rather doubt the science of a seer than the innocence of one to whom their country owes so great a debt of gratitude.

(Notes.) 463-5.  $\tau$  is  $\ddot{o}\nu\tau\nu\alpha$ , and  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\nu$ , who is it whomsoever, i.e. who is the unknown one whom..., à  $\theta \epsilon \sigma \pi i \epsilon \pi \epsilon i \alpha$ , poetic form fem.; but  $\dot{\alpha} \delta v \epsilon \pi \dot{\gamma} s$ fem. in 151. In this form we find an accessory argument that the subst. understood is not either a rock or an oracle, but a person, a priestess. In the Lection I have briefly stated (in Stud. Soph., more fully) the reasons which convince me that the words  $\epsilon l \pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \tau \rho \alpha$  are not those of Sophocles. Here, as in countless places, we have an illustration of the well-known maxim—'a little learning is a dangerous thing.' The scribes and grammarians of the dark ages were always poring over ancient writings in search of parallel passages which they often did not know how to use iudiciously when they found them. Assuming that Soph. wrote  $\Delta \epsilon \lambda \phi is$ είδε πρόσθεν (though this last word is a mere guess of mine, chosen for its simplicity) such a Scholiast ( $\tau$  is  $\delta \nu \tau \iota \nu$ ;) would know that  $\Delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \delta s$  is an adjective, would certainly observe Παρνασοῦ in this ode, would probably find Δελφὶς εἴσεται πέτρα in Eur. And. 998, perhaps Πυθίαν πέτραν in Ion 550, and (forgetting altogether that  $\dot{\alpha}$   $\theta \epsilon \sigma \pi \iota \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \alpha \Delta \epsilon \lambda \phi i s$  is in itself a sufficient title of 'the prophetic Delphian maid' or priestess) he would say  $\epsilon \tilde{v} \rho \eta \kappa \alpha$ , I have found in  $\pi \epsilon \tau \rho \alpha$  the desirable substantive with which the adj.  $\Delta \epsilon \lambda \phi is$  agrees. So he wrote it down instead of the trochee ending in a consonant— $\pi\rho\delta\sigma\theta\epsilon\nu$  or some other word—which Soph. had placed there. But  $\epsilon l \delta \epsilon$ , which ample proof shews to have been the Sophoclean verb, remained. Some later sciolist, with less knowledge of grammar, followed after a time. It struck him that a rock (place for person) might allowably be said to speak—because the voice came from behind it, but a rock with a pair of eyes was too absurd an image: and so (not having the wit to discern his resource in οίδε, not familiar perhaps with the εἴσεται of Eurip.)

he wrote, as the cod. L. proves, εἶπε for εἶδε, so crediting Soph. with the solecism εἶπε τελέσαντα for εἶπε τελέσαν. || The city itself and temple of Apollo were situated on Mount Parnassus. See 473-4, also Strabo IX. Justin XXIV. 6, Liv. XLII. 15. || ἄρρητ' ἀρρήτων, a Greek poetic superl. most unutterable i.e. most heinous, direst of the dire. O. C. 1238, κακὰ κακῶν. Phil. 65, ἔσχατ' ἐσχάτων κακά.

466-8. "ωρα (ἐστί), it is time. " ἀελλάδων, storm-swift. Hom. uses ἀελλόπος three times as an epithet of Iris II. θ΄. 409, ω΄. 77, 159, ως ἔφατ' ὧρτο δὲ \*Ιρις ἀελλόπος ἀγγελέουσα. Cp. Pind. Nem. I. 6, ἀελλοπόδων ἵππων. " σθεναρώτερον can either be an adverb, more vigorously (than steeds), or an epithet of πόδα, stronger than (the feet of) steeds. Erfurdt quotes Hom. II. θ΄. 505, "Ατη σθεναρή τε καὶ ἀρτίπος to prove that the word implies swiftness. Wunder also says that the sense of vehemence applied to flight readily slides into that of swiftness. Neue thinks it implies firmness and steadiness, and therefore perseverance. " <math>ψνγα is dat. of manner, in flight. See 51, E. Bacch. 437, H. F. 1081, El. 218, S. Phil. 1149, Verg. Aen. IV. 281, ardet abire fuga. " νωμαν, to ρly, move.

469-72. ἔνοπλος...πυρὶ καὶ στεροπαίς, armed with fiery lightnings. So Zeus in Phil. 1198 is called πυρφόρος ἀστεροπητής, the hurler of fiery thunderbolts. Apollo and Pallas are sometimes represented as armed with the lightnings of their sire. Verg. Aen. 1. 42, Ipsa (Pallas) Jovis rapidum jaculata e nubibus ignem. || ἐπενθρώσκει. See 263, ἐνήλατο, 1300, 1311. Instead of  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi'$   $\alpha\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\rho}\nu$  it might have been  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi'$   $\alpha\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\varphi}$  as Hom. II.  $\lambda'$ . 70,  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi'$ αλλήλοισι θορόντες. But in a chase the accus. is better. | γενέτας, son, so E. Ion 916, elsewhere it means father, as E. Or. 1011, or is used as an adj. || Κηρες, Fates (or Furies?), Ae. Sept. 1055, Κηρες Έρωνίες. In Hom. κήρ means 'evil fate'; it is usually joined with death (θάνατον καὶ κήρα μέλαιναν) and seems nearly equivalent to it. In Ae. Sept. 777 the Sphinx is so called. It is applied to the wound of Philoctetes, 42, 1166: and generally the tragic poets use it of anything terrible. Consult Lidd. and Sc. lex. || ἀνἄπλάκητοι. Porson in Aeschylus writes ἀπλάκημα, not ἀμπλάκημα, though he keeps  $\mu$  in E. Phoen. 23, Med. 116. As the metre often requires its omission, never its insertion, Monk on Hipp. 145, Alc. 248, and Elmsley on Med. 116 would reject it always: but Hermann would insert it when the first syll. is long. Blomfield on Sept. 795 thinks  $\mu$  in such words the addition of a later age before labials, as in ὅμβριμος, τύμπανον, λάμβδα. He derives the word (on Prom. 112) from  $\pi \lambda \dot{\alpha} \dot{\zeta} \omega$ , cause to wander, with  $\alpha$ pleonastic or intensive, like  $\alpha\sigma\tau\alpha\chi\nu s$ ,  $\alpha\beta\lambda\eta\chi\rho\delta s$ ,  $\alpha\mu\epsilon\lambda\gamma\omega$ . The word in this place means unerring, 'not missing their prey', or 'from whom is no escape'.

473-4. ἔλαμψε. See 186.  $\parallel \phi$ άμα, oracle, here = command.

475. τὸν ἄδηλον ἄνδρα, the unknown (or hidden) man. See τίς ὅντινα in 463 above. || πάντ' ἰχνεύειν. Wunder in his first edition agreed with Brunck

in taking  $\pi \acute{a}\nu \tau a$  as masc. and subject of  $l\chi \nu \epsilon \acute{\nu} \epsilon \nu \nu$ , 'that every one should search for.' But in his second he rejects this view, and makes  $\pi \acute{a}\nu \tau a$  neuter and adverbial, so that  $\pi \acute{a}\nu \tau a$   $l\chi \nu \epsilon \acute{\nu} \epsilon \nu \nu \nu$  =  $\pi \acute{a}\sigma a\nu$   $l\chi \nu \epsilon \acute{\nu} \epsilon \nu \nu$ , make every search for, the infin. depending on  $\ell \lambda a \mu \nu \nu$   $\ell \nu$   $\ell \nu$  which in sense  $\ell \nu$  a command was proclaimed (to hunt out &c.). This view J. takes, and it is the right one.

476-82.  $\phi$ οιτα, he roams.  $\parallel \pi \epsilon \tau$  pas  $l\sigma \delta \tau$  aupos. See Lection. Not 'fierce as a bull' (so J. renders lσόταυρος) but restless as a bull. Vergil's description of the wanderings of the defeated bull in Geo. III. should be compared.  $\parallel \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon os \kappa.\tau.\lambda.$ , straying in solitude  $(\chi \eta \rho \epsilon \delta \omega \nu)$  poor wretch with wretched foot. || τὰ μεσόμφαλα γας μαντεία, the oracles of earth's centre. On constr. see 161. The Greeks regarded Delphi as the centre of the earth's circular plane; having an old legend that Zeus despatched two eagles (or doves) of equal speed from opposite points of the circumference, and that these birds met at Delphi. || ἀπονοσφίζων, keeping aloof (from himself), i.e. avoiding them. Hom. uses the simple verb in middle voice twice in the Iliad, in the sense keeping oneself aloof from = shunning, without any case:  $\beta'$ . 81,  $\omega'$ . 222, ψεῦδός κεν φαίμεν καὶ νοσφιζοίμεθα μάλλον. In the Odyssey it is used with the sense of leaving, either with gen.,  $\psi'$ . 98,  $\tau l \phi \theta'$  o $v \tau \omega \pi \alpha \tau \rho \delta s \nu \sigma \phi l$ ζεαι; or with accus. τ'. 339, ὅτε πρώτον Κρήτης ὅρεα νιφόεντα νοσφισάμην, or in the sense of retiring, without case, λ'. 424, ή δὲ κυνῶπις νοσφίσατο, or transitively, removing, δ'. 263, παίδα έμην νοσφισσαμένη. So in this play 693, εἴ σ' ἐνοσφιζόμαν. || ζώντα. See 45, and Excurs. III.

488. oǔr' èvôáð' òρῶν oǔr' òπίσω, having no clear view of the present or the future, i.e. seeing no ground at present for believing the truth of his words, nor understanding what the future can bring forth to establish it. So Wunder. Also Elmsley, Hermann, Ellendt rightly regard ὀπίσω as meaning the future. Musgrave took it for the past, from an erroneous interpretation of Hom. Il.  $\gamma'$ . 109, ἄμα πρόσσω καὶ ὀπίσσω, on which see Scholiast, and Damm's note. Add Phil. 1105, where εἰσοπίσω manifestly means hereafter.

491-7. τί γὰρ ἢ κ.τ.λ., for what cause of quarrel there was either on the part of the Labdacidae or on that of the son of Polybus, &c., the Labdacidae implying Laius, son of Labdacus.  $\parallel$  See Lection.  $\pi$ ρὸs ὅτου κ.τ.λ., from which drawing clear proof (lit. by a touchstone, βασανίζων), I may confidently attack ( $\pi$ ιθανῶs εἶμι ἐπὶ) the popular fame of Oedipus by coming forward to avenge a mysterious murder. The ἐπίδαμος φάτις cannot be, as Ellendt interprets, the denunciation of Teir. against Oed. which had not yet been divulged. ἐπίκουρος θανάτων is used here as αἰμάτων ἐπίκουρος is in Ε. Εl.

138. So too 127,  $\Lambda$ atov d $\rho\omega\gamma$ bs, avenger. Seneca Agam. 905, paternae mortis auxilium unicum.  $\parallel$  Oldimóda for -ao, from Oldimódas, another form of the name. This Doric form of gen. is retained by the Attics in proper names and some other nouns.

498–504. Elms. comp. E. El. 399, Λοξίου γὰρ ἔμπεδοι χρησμοί, βροτῶν δὲ μαντικήν χαίρειν ἐῶ.  $\parallel$  ξυνετοί, τwise; all-knowing.  $\parallel$  ἀνδρῶν δ' ὅτι κ.τ.λ., but that, of men, a diviner claims more than myself (i.e. has more knowledge than I)=there is no sure criterion to prove. Cp. Herod. VII. 168, ἤλπιζον πλέον τι τῶν ἄλλων οἴσεσθαι. 211, οὐδὲν πλέον ἐφέροντο. VIII. 29, πλέον αἰεί κοτε ὑμέων ἐφερόμεθα.  $\parallel$  παραμείψειεν ἄν, may surpass.

505-8. ἀλλ' οὔποτ' κ.τ.λ., but never would I, till I saw rightful proof, (ὀρθὸν ἔπος), assent (καταφαίην αν) when men blame him. Aristot. Met. III. 7, ἡ διάνοια ἢ κατάφησιν ἢ ἀπόφησιν.  $\parallel$  πρὶν ἴδοιμι. If πρὶν follows a past or opt. negation, as here, πρὶν takes optative. If the negation is of future time, πρὶν αν follows with subjunctive: οὐ καταφήσω πρὶν αν ἴδω.

509–12. βασάνφ ἀδύπολις, by proof, i.e. by experience (lit. touchstone) pleasant to the city; i.e. 'a good and popular ruler.'  $\parallel \tau \hat{\varphi}$  βα, for that reason then (βα=ἄρα).  $\parallel$  οὔποτ' ὀφλήσει κακίαν, he shall never be pronounced guilty (κακίας) of wickedness. Ant. 1028, αὐθαδία τοι σκαιότητ' ὀφλισκάνει. E. Alc. 1093, μωρίαν ὀφλισκάνειs.

(Creon now enters the stage.)

## EPEISODION II. (513-862.)

This second Epeisodion falls into two parts, divided from each other by a Comma or Commation, that is, by a short lyric dialogue which begins at 649, and ends (with interruption of nine lines, 669-677) at 697.

(Outline of Part I. 513-697.) Creon joins the Chorus, and indignantly notices the reports which have reached him of the charge of treason made against him by Oedipus. The Chorus try to soothe him, till Oedipus, coming out of the palace, assails him with reproaches, and for a time will hear no answer. At length Creon, getting leave to speak, rebuts the charge of treason with powerful arguments, but fails to convince the king of his innocence. Fierce reproach and indignant denial recur, till at length Jocasta, aroused by the noise, comes out and interferes. Creon confirms his denial by an oath, and the Chorus, supporting Jocasta, at length prevail on Oedipus to recall the sentence of death, which he does with reluctance. Thus the kinsmen part on bad terms, Creon returning home. The intervening Commation consists chiefly of persuasive words, and assurances of love and loyalty from the Chorus to Oedipus, with his replies: and a few words of explanation between them and Jocasta.

(Notes). 513-22. δείν' έπη κατηγορείν μου, accused me in formidable language, imperf. | τύραννον. See 925. Hermann thinks these two verses gave to this drama its title Οιδίπους τύραννος. || ἀτλητῶν, indignant (part. of  $d\tau \lambda \eta \tau \dot{\epsilon} \omega \rangle = d\tau \lambda \eta \tau os \dot{\omega} \nu$ , 'being unable to endure'.  $\parallel \epsilon \ddot{\iota} \tau' \dot{\epsilon} \rho \gamma o \iota \sigma \iota$ . Another  $\epsilon \ddot{\iota} \tau \epsilon$ is omitted before λόγοισιν. So Aesch. Ag. σὸ δ' αἰνεῖν εἴτε με ψέγειν θέλεις ομοιον. Cho. 994, μύραινα γ' είτ' έχιδν' έφυ. || τι βλάβην φέρον, απуthing to his injury. See Lection. I know no example of a part. standing as  $\phi \epsilon \rho \rho \nu$  in the MSS. here without  $\tau \iota$ . (See Ag. 261, where for  $\epsilon \ell \tau \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \delta \nu \delta \nu$ I have read εἴ τι κεδνόν.) And if Soph. wrote φέρον, φέροντι and φέρει so close together, and the same constr.,  $\epsilon ls \beta \lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta \eta \nu \phi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \sigma \nu$ ,  $\epsilon ls \dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \phi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \iota$ , within three lines, we cannot deny that this betrays sad negligence of style. For these combined reasons I had written τι βλάβην έχον for εls βλάβην φέρον. See Aj. 1325, βλάβην ἔχειν, to cause injury. As to the phrase où  $\phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \iota \epsilon i s \dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda o \hat{\nu} \nu$ , has no simple (unimportant) influence, such intransitive use of  $\phi \epsilon \rho \omega$  is by no means rare, and is shared with many of its compounds. I now keep φέρον, reading φοροῦντι for φέροντι.

523-4.  $\tilde{\eta}\lambda\theta\epsilon...\tau\dot{\alpha}\chi'$   $\tilde{\alpha}\nu...$  βιασθέν. There is great variety of opinion among scholars about the construction here. The question is a very difficult one, and I dare not speak ex cathedra concerning it. Hermann, Wunder, Steel would refer  $\tau \dot{\alpha} \chi$  a to  $\beta \iota \alpha \sigma \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ , to which view Li. and I. are decidedly opposed. The latter (joining it with  $\hat{\eta}\lambda\theta\epsilon$ ) says, 'the form of the Greek sentence, by putting  $\eta \lambda \theta \epsilon$  first, was able to suggest the virtual equivalence here of the conditional  $\hat{\eta}\lambda\theta\epsilon\nu$   $\hat{a}\nu$  to a positive  $\hat{\eta}\lambda\theta\epsilon$ , adding 'cp. the use of the optat. with αν in mild assertion of probable fact: εἴησαν δ' αν οὖτοι  $K\rho\eta\tau\epsilon s$ , Her. I. 2.' I am utterly unable to see how this use of  $\epsilon i\eta\sigma\alpha\nu$  αν, verb and particle combined, assists us in explaining the use of indic. with  $\tau \dot{\alpha} \chi' \ddot{\alpha} \nu$  following it at some distance. Elms. thought the  $\ddot{\alpha} \nu$  useless, and badly proposed our. The words of Linwood deserve to be considered. 'Has particulas unam quandam notionem efficere, et av ad optativum suppressum cogitatione referri arbitror, ut constructio sit,  $\hat{\eta}\lambda\theta\epsilon$   $\tau o \vec{v}\nu\epsilon\iota\delta o s$ ,  $\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\chi}$   $\ddot{\alpha} \nu$ ,  $\dot{\rho} \rho \gamma \hat{\eta}$   $\beta \iota \alpha \sigma \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ . Eadem ratio O. C. 965,  $\theta \dot{\epsilon} o \hat{\imath} s$   $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$   $\dot{\eta} \nu$   $o \ddot{\nu} \tau \omega$   $\phi i \lambda o \nu$ , τάχ' ἄν, τι μηνίουσιν εls γένος, ubi iterum male αν ad participium refert Hermannus.' Cp. Thuc. VI. 2, Σικελοί δὲ ἐξ Ἰωνίας διέβησαν ἐπὶ σχεδιών... τάχ' ἄν δὲ καὶ ἄλλως πως ἐκπλεύσαντες. Andoc. de Myst. 16 τάχα γὰρ ἂν αὐτὸ βούλεσθε πυθέσθαι. See Stud. Soph. P. II. p. 39, and Steel's note p. 144.

525–6. τοῦπος δ' ἐφάνθη, the language published (by common report) τυας. My reasons for adopting this reading, which appears in two codd., for the more common τοῦ πρός, are these: (1) There is no ground, metrical or grammatical, for inverting the pronoun and preposition; hence several editors read πρὸς τοῦ. (2) Creon has no motive for asking who originated the report, nor does the Chorus reply to such a question. He says: 'the language bruited was, that the seer was persuaded by me to speak false-

- hoods.' (3) That  $\tau o \tilde{v} \pi o s$  is the true reading I regard as not merely indicated but absolutely proved by the place, 848,  $d\lambda\lambda'$   $\dot{\omega}s$   $\phi \alpha \nu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \tau o \tilde{v} \pi o s$   $\tilde{\omega}\delta'$   $\dot{\epsilon}\pi l \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma o$ , 'nay, be well assured that the statement made public was this.' Van Herwerden illustrates the corruption of  $\tau o \tilde{v} \pi o s$  into  $\tau o \tilde{v} \pi \rho \delta s$  by reference to Aesch. Pr. 49, where MSS. give  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi \rho \dot{\alpha}\chi \theta \eta$  for  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi \alpha \chi \theta \dot{\eta}$ .  $\parallel \lambda \dot{\epsilon}\gamma o \iota$ , indirect optat. referred to the mind or opinion of those who so reported.
- 528-9. ἐξ ὁμμάτων κ.τ.λ., was this accusation uttered against me with stedfast eyes and mind? i.e. was he quite sane when he brought this charge? The prep. ἐκ, ἐξ, is often used to express a state, or the circumstances of a case. So Aesch. Ag., οὐκέτ ἐξ ἐλευθέρου δέρης, with neck no longer free.
- 531. ὅδε announces some one's entrance. || περᾶ, comes forth. Ant. 386, ὅδ' ἐκ δόμων ἄψορρος ἐς δέον περᾶ. Ε. Iph. Τ. 724, γυνη γὰρ ἤδε δωμάτων ἔξω περᾶ. In Hec. 53 it is transitive, περᾶ γὰρ ἤδ' ὑπὸ σκηνῆς πόδα. (Oedipus enters from the palace and speaks.)
- 532. οὖτος σύ, hark you! 1121, οὖτος σύ, πρέσβυ. οὖτος is also found without σύ, Tr. 407, οὖτος, βλέφ' ὧδε. Aj. 71, 1012. Or ὧ is introduced; O. C. 1627, ὧ οὖτος, οὖτος Οἰδίπους. Aj. 89, ὧ οὖτος, Αἷαν. A. Av. 1199, Eccl. 464, αὔτη σύ. The address is sometimes without οὖτος. Ἱππίας ὁ καλός πε καὶ σοφός, Plat. H. Maj. p. 95. A. Ach. 54, οἱ τοξόται.
- 533-5. τοσόνδ' ἔχεις τόλμης πρόσωπον for πρόσωπον οὕτω τολμηρόν, so bold a front. Greek poets express qualities of persons and things by genitives of substantives, with or without adjective, which in Latin is required: those genitives having an attributive power, and being therefore called attributive or descriptive. Such are 1403, βορᾶς τράπεζα, dinner-table, Tr. 357 πόνων λατρεύματα = ἐπίπονα λατρ. S. El. 19 ἄστρων εὐφρόνη, the starry night. Thuc. I. 140 τὰς ξυμφορὰς τῶν πραγμάτων, actual events. See 44.  $\parallel$  ὥστε ἴκον, so that thou art come. ὥστε ἰκέσθαι would mean, so as to come.  $\parallel$  τοῦδε τὰνδρός, i.e. ἐμοῦ, cp. 815 τοῦδε γ' ἀνδρός, 1464 τοῦδ' ἀνδρός.
- 538-9. ώς οὐ. und. ὑπολαβών, supposing (to be supplied from ἰδών) that I should not recognise (γνωριοῖμι)...or should not defend myself (ἀλεξοίμην, see 171). The former would show folly, the latter cowardice. ἡ οὐκ for κοὐκ is therefore a just emendation. The fut. opt. always follows past verbs with a real fut. force, without ἄν. See ῥυσοίμην 72, and Exc. III. Also 792-3, 796, 1271, 1274. ‖ γνωριοῖμι Att. for γνωρίσοιμι.
- 541-2. The mass of commentators, who are content to retain  $\pi\lambda\dot{\eta}\theta\sigma\upsilon$  in 541 along with  $\pi\lambda\dot{\eta}\theta\epsilon\iota$  in 542, probably regard  $\chi\rho\dot{\eta}\mu\alpha\sigma\upsilon$  as corresponding to  $\phi\dot{\iota}\lambda\omega\nu$ , whom they understand as wealthy and powerful friends, taking the word  $\pi\lambda\dot{\eta}\theta\sigma$  in both lines to mean 'numbers', 'a multitude'. Ellendt is so well satisfied that he calls Heimsoeth's conj.  $\pi\lambda\dot{\upsilon}\tau\sigma\upsilon$ , which I have ventured to edit, 'inutilis'. My reasons for adopting it are these: (1) the repetition of  $\pi\lambda\dot{\eta}\theta\sigma$  here must be displeasing to every reader of good taste:

but it is just what the tasteless scribes and scholiasts would plume themselves on effecting; (2) to suppose a correspondence between  $\phi(\lambda\omega\nu)$  and  $\chi\rho\eta\mu\alpha\sigma\nu$  rather than between  $\phi(\lambda\omega\nu)$  and  $\pi\lambda\dot{\eta}\theta\epsilon\iota$  is to my mind very unsatisfactory; (3) the  $\chi\iota\alpha\sigma\mu\delta$ s (cross-correspondence)  $\pi\lambda\dot{\sigma}\upsilon\nu$ - $\chi\rho\dot{\eta}\mu\alpha\sigma\nu$ ,  $\phi(\lambda\omega\nu-\pi\lambda\dot{\eta}\theta\epsilon\iota)$ , is quite in the manner of Soph., who delights in variety, and shuns stiffness. He has adopted it immediately before, in 536-9, where after  $\delta\epsilon\iota\lambda\dot{\iota}\alpha\nu$   $\ddot{\eta}$   $\mu\omega\rho\dot{\iota}\alpha\nu$  follows,  $\ddot{\eta}$   $\dot{\omega}s$   $\dot{\sigma}\dot{\nu}$   $\gamma\nu\omega\rho\iota\dot{\sigma}\iota\nu$  (corresponding to  $\mu\omega\rho\dot{\iota}\alpha\nu$ ),  $\ddot{\eta}$   $\dot{\sigma}\dot{\nu}s$   $\dot{\sigma}\dot{\nu}$   $\dot{\tau}\dot{\nu}$   $\dot{\tau}\dot{\nu}$  (corresponding to  $\delta\epsilon\iota\lambda\dot{\iota}\alpha\nu$ ). (4)  $\pi\lambda\dot{\sigma}\dot{\tau}\sigma\upsilon$  is not far removed from the literation of  $\pi\lambda\dot{\eta}\theta\sigma\upsilon$ s. Such is my case for  $\pi\lambda\dot{\sigma}\upsilon\upsilon$ .  $\parallel$   $\dot{\sigma}$  a thing which, referred to fem.  $\tau\upsilon\rho\alpha\nu\nu$ is. So E. Hel. 1687,  $\gamma\nu\dot{\omega}\mu\eta$ s,  $\dot{\sigma}$   $\tau\dot{\sigma}\dot{\nu}$   $\dot{\sigma}\dot{\nu}$   $\dot{\tau}\dot{\nu}$   $\dot{\sigma}\dot{\nu}$   $\dot{\tau}\dot{\nu}$   $\dot{\sigma}\dot{\nu}$   $\dot{\tau}\dot{\nu}$   $\dot{\tau}\dot{\nu}$   $\dot{\tau}\dot{\nu}$   $\dot{\tau}\dot{\tau}$   $\dot{\tau}\dot{\tau}$   $\dot{\tau}$   $\dot{\tau}$ 

543-4. οἶσθ' ὡς ποίησον; idiomatic constr.=ποίησον, οἶσθ' ὡς; lit. 'act, dost thou know how?' i. e. shall I tell thee what to do ?¹ Cp. E. Hel. 315, 1233. Heracl. 451. Ion, 1039. See also O. C. 75, οἶσθ', ὧ ξέν', ὡς νῦν μὴ σφαλῆς =μὴ νῦν σφαλῆς, ὧ ξέν', οἶσθ' ὡς; 'avoid going wrong, stranger, dost thou know how?' i. e. shall I tell thee how to avoid going wrong?  $\parallel$  ἴσ' ἀντάκουσον. Cp. 409, ἴσ' ἀντιλέξαι.

545–6. λέγειν σὺ δεινόs, thou art an able speaker. See lex. δεινόs.  $\parallel \mu a \nu \theta$ . δ' έγὼ κακὸs σοῦ, lit. 'I am bad to learn from thee'=thou'lt find me a poor scholar: the place of σοῦ is emphatic.  $\parallel \beta a \rho \dot{\nu} v$ , dangerous, so Steel's note; and this is the proper word, which I have always adopted. J. does not represent it here, but in 673, where again dangerous is the right English, he renders it, very wrongly, vehement, a word in no place suitable to it. Cp. Ant. 767, 1251, O. C. 402, 1204, &c. Such examples show that  $\beta a \rho e \hat{\iota} a \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ s or  $\delta \rho \gamma \dot{\eta}$  means dangerous anger, not vehement.

555-6.  $\chi \rho \epsilon l \eta$ . When that which was said or thought by another in past time is cited as such, the optat. is used after  $\ddot{\sigma}\tau_l$ ,  $\dot{\omega}s$ . See 791  $\chi \rho \epsilon l \eta$ . Or as intimating that it was said or thought, as 1247,  $\theta \dot{\alpha} \nu o l$ ,  $\lambda l \pi o l$ , 1250,  $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \kappa o l$ .  $\| \ddot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \theta \epsilon s$ , did you advise?  $\| \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \dot{\delta} \mu \alpha \nu \tau \iota \nu$ , reverend seer, so O. C. 1097,  $\psi \epsilon \nu \dot{\delta} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \alpha \nu \tau \iota s$ . Phil. 1338,  $\dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\delta} \mu \alpha \nu \tau \iota s$ . 693,  $\kappa \alpha \kappa o \gamma \epsilon l \tau \omega \nu$ .  $\| \pi \dot{\epsilon} \mu \psi \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ , to send (by my order, indirect agency).

557. aυτόs, the same man, i.e. of the same mind.

1 My note here (1) states that οἶσθ' ὡς ποίησον is an idiomatic construction: (2) states the syntactic form out of which it grows: (3) states the equivalent English idiom: (4) gives various examples. This is all that can be done. Nobody can tell how a Greek who used this idiom felt about its component parts, any more than it can be told how a person saying 'please come back soon' feels about the construction of please, and its colloquial variations. English grammarians know and explain all such matters. The constructions, οἶσθ' οὖν οἶράσεις (Eur. Cy. 131), οἶσθ' ὡς μετεύξει καὶ σοφωτέρα φανεῖ; (Μ. 600), οἶσθ' ὁ δράσαι βούλομαι (Suppl. 932) are normally grammatical, and need no special explanation. See Kühner, Gr. Gr. 11. 204. 6, who illustrates the idiom here from some forms in Alt- and Mittel-Hoch-Deutsch. Plautus, Rud. 111. 5, 18. imitating Greek, has 'tange, sed scin quomodo,' indicating the syntactic analysis.

- 558-560. The question of Oed. is not completed: he is made to hesitate, and Creon inquires what he means to ask about Laius; then he completes the sentence. This is obliged by the  $\sigma \tau \iota \chi o \mu \nu \theta \iota a$  or line-for-line debate here used, as often in tragedy.  $\parallel \mathring{a} \phi a \nu \tau o s \ \tilde{\epsilon} \rho \mu \epsilon \iota \ \theta$ .  $\chi$ . has disappeared by an act of deadly violence.
- 561. μακροὶ παλαιοί τ' κ.τ.λ. Schol. ἐπὶ πολὺ διήκοντες καὶ πάλαι ἀρξάμενοι. It would be a period of great extent and beginning long ago, should it be measured: = long and far back would be the count of time.
- 562-4. ἢν ἐν τἢ τέχνη; was engaged in his profession? Plato Protag. p. 317, καί τοι πολλά γε ἤδη ἔτη εἰμὶ ἐν τἢ τέχνη. Theæt. § 22. Phædo, § 5. Meno, p. 91. Herod. II. 82, οἱ ἐν ποιήσει γενόμενοι, poets.
  - 564. ἐμνήσατο, make mention, Homeric, for Attic ἐμνήσθη.
  - 565. οὔκουν, no, not at least when I was standing anywhere near. 342. 566-7. ἔρευναν ἔσχετε,—institute a search. We say, had a search.
- . || πωs δ' οὐχί; how could we help it?
  - 569.  $\epsilon \dot{\phi}$  ois  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  φρονώ, on matters I know not. φιλώ, I am wont.
  - 570. εὖ φρονῶν, if you were loyal. ὁθούνεκα (see lex.) either means because as in v. 1016, Tr. 27, 57, Aj. 123, 553, 1052, El. 1190, or that, as here, and in O. C. 853, 944, 1005, Tr. 813, El. 47, 617, 1308.
  - 573. οὐκ ἄν ποτ' εἶπε κ.τ.λ., he would never have talked about my destructions of Lains. The plur. διαφθορὰs is used in bitter contempt, "he would never have told fables about Laius's death as brought about by me."
  - 574–6. δικαιῶ, I claim (in justice).  $\parallel \tau$ αὕθ' ἄπερ κὰμοῦ σὐ νῦν: in the same manner that you have now learnt from me: i.e. Creon claims the right of questioning Oed. and being answered by him, as Oed. had just been doing towards himself. Oed. replies, ἐκμάνθανε, inquire and learn.  $\parallel \phi$ ονεὺς ἀλώσομαι. und. ὤν. So O. C. 1210, σῶς ἴσθι. Ant. 281, μὴ ἀφευρεθῆς ἄνους τε καὶ γέρων ἄμα.
  - 577-8. τί δῆτα, well now? || γήμας ἔχεις; This use of ἔχω with past part. is frequent in Soph., less so in Eur. and only found once in a fragm. of Aesch. || El. 527, ἔξοιδα· τῶνδ' ἄρνησις οὐκ ἔνεστί μοι.
  - 579–80. It is questioned whether  $\gamma \hat{\eta} \hat{s}$  depends on ἄρχεις or on ἴσον; I agree with the former view: dost thou rule the land alike (ταὐτὰ) with her, having equal sway.  $\parallel$  åν for å ἄν.  $\hat{\eta}$  θέλουσα = θέλη, all that she wishes at any time, she receives from me (ἐμοῦ κομίζεται).
    - 581. οὐκοῦν κ.τ.λ., am I not a third in parity with you twain? 342.
  - 582. ἐνταθθα γὰρ δή, yes, for in this very point of view (καὶ κακὸς φαίνει φίλος) you specially shew yourself a false friend.
  - 583. εὶ διδοίης σαυτῷ λόγον, if thou wouldst debate the matter with thyself as I do, i.e. ώς έγω έμαυτῷ. Cp. E. Med. 872, έγω δ' έμαυτῷ διὰ λόγων ἀφικόμην. Herod. 1. 209, ἐδίδου λόγον ἐωυτῷ περὶ τῆς ὄψιος. II. 162. III. 25.

585. αν έλέσθαι μαλλον, would prefer.

586. ἄτρεστον εὔδοντα, sleeping without fear. The literal rendering is best here. See Shakespeare, 'Uneasy lies the head that wears a crown.'  $\parallel$  ἔξει. The future here expresses not simply a future action, but one which is considered as predetermined by circumstances and the state of affairs, if he is to have.

587.  $i\mu\epsilon l\rho\omega\nu$  ἔφυν. See 9. With this passage Br. compares E. Hipp. 1013, where Hippolytus defends himself against a like charge, ending with the words  $\pi\rho\dot{\alpha}\sigma\epsilon\iota\nu$   $\tau\epsilon$  γὰρ πάρεστι, κίνδυνός τ' ἀπὼν κρείσσω δίδωσι τῆς τυραννίδος χάριν.

588. τύραννα δράν. For τύραννοs as adj. see Ant. 1169, καὶ ζῆ τύραννον σχῆμ' ἔχων. Aesch. Prom. 761, πρὸς τοῦ τύραννα σκῆπτρα συληθήσεται; Ε. Tro. 474, ἢ μὲν τύραννος κεὶς τύρανν' ἐγημάμην.

590.  $\phi \epsilon \rho \omega$ , receive, obtain; a sense frequent in Soph. See lex.

591.  $\epsilon l$  δ' αὐτὸς κ.τ.λ., but, were I myself ruling, I should do (lit. should have been doing) many things even against my will. Public policy often obliges a ruler to do things which he would rather leave undone, or would do differently.

596.  $v \hat{v} v \pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota \chi \alpha l \rho \omega$ , now all men give me joy, say to me  $\chi \alpha \hat{\iota} \rho \epsilon$ . This (in common with Li. J.) I regard as the true interpr.,  $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota$  being ethic dat. See 8.

597. ἐκκαλοῦσί με, invoke my aid (lit. 'call me forth'). This reading I prefer to αἰκάλλουσι, flatter, fawn on, the ingenious conj. of L. Dindorf.

598. See Lection. Reading, with Di. J. αὐτοῖσι πῶν, I take the words thus: αὐτοῖσι γὰρ τὸ τυχεῖν (ὧν χρήζουσι) πῶν ἔνεστιν ἐνταῦθα, for herein (i.e. in me and my intercession) their (to them) success (in gaining what they ask) altogether lies.

500.  $\kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \alpha = \tau \hat{\nu} \rho \alpha \nu \nu \sigma s \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \alpha i, \tau \hat{\alpha} \delta \epsilon = \tau \hat{\nu} \rho \alpha \nu \nu \alpha \delta \rho \hat{\alpha} \nu.$ 

600. The order is νοῦς καλῶς φρονῶν, a mind well judging οὐκ ἂν γένοιτο κακός, would not become traitorous.

601-2. ἐραστήs, lover, follower.  $\parallel$  ἀν τλαίην ποτέ. und. δρᾶν from δρῶντοs.  $\parallel$  Monk on Hipp. 285 has defined the different senses in which τολμᾶν and the aorist τλῆναι are used by the tragic poets. I. To have the courage. 2. To have the effrontery. 3. To condescend, or submit. 4. To prevail upon one's self, when in affliction. 5. To have the cruelty. Blomfield (Quarterly Review, No. XXIX.) well remarks that a shorter general expression would be to bear.

603–7.  $\tau$ ωνδ' ἔλεγχον, as a test of these things, i.e. to try the truth and sincerity of the feelings I have expressed (do this). ἔλεγχον is an accus. subst. in precedent apposition to all that follows as far as λαβών 607. (We may imagine  $\tau$ άδε  $\pi$ οίει, οτ  $\tau$ όνδε έλ.  $\pi$ οίει.)  $\parallel$   $\tau$ οῦτο  $\mu$ έν, in the first place, would be properly followed by  $\tau$ οῦτο δέ, but here we have  $\tau$ οῦτ' ἄλλο. Antig. 167,  $\tau$ οῦτ' αὖθις. The text then divides itself into two offers:

(1) go to Delphi ( $\Pi \nu \theta \dot{\omega} \delta \epsilon$ ), O Oedipus, and there find out whether I have reported the oracle correctly; (2) ascertain if I have had any conferences with Teiresias ( $\tau \dot{\varphi} \tau \epsilon \rho a \sigma \kappa \delta \pi \dot{\varphi}$ , the observer of prodigies) and if you discover any such, let me die by my own vote as well as by thine.  $\parallel \beta o \nu \lambda \epsilon \dot{\omega} \sigma a \nu \tau a$ .  $\beta o \nu \lambda \epsilon \dot{\omega} \omega$  is properly advise,  $\beta o \nu \lambda \epsilon \dot{\omega} \rho u a \iota$ , take counsel, deliberate,  $\rho lan$ : but Soph. uses the act. in the latter sense.  $\parallel \lambda a \beta \dot{\omega} \nu$  seems a little redundant: and some make it a repetition of the protasis  $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha} \nu \lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta \gamma s$ , but this is harsh and not in the manner of Soph.

608.  $\gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta \delta' \dot{\alpha} \delta \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \mu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \chi \omega \rho is aiτ ι \hat{\omega}$ . Probably there is no line in this play more difficult to translate with accurate certainty than this. I. treats it without acknowledging its difficulties. He renders  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  alti $\hat{\omega}$ 'make me not guilty:' but I doubt if he can produce a place where airia- $\sigma\theta\alpha$  means more than to accuse or charge. He renders  $\chi\omega\rho$  in a corner; and I come near to him in the version 'behind my back'. He gives γνώμη  $\dot{\alpha}\delta\dot{\eta}\lambda\omega$ , 'on unproved surmise' from which 'by unproved opinion' scarcely differs. All he says in a note is " $\chi \omega \rho ls$ , ' $\alpha part$ ;' i.e. solely on the strength of your own guess (γνώμη άδηλος), without any evidence that I falsified the oracle or plotted with the seer." That is—he makes χωρίς and γνώμη αδήλω to be one and the same thing-mere tautology. The difficulty which confronts us here is this:-We are sure that Soph. means to say-'do not condemn me without proof, merely because you think me guilty.' But we are puzzled to find out how he expresses this sentiment in the words received as his: 'do not blame (or accuse) me privately by unproved opinion.' Oed. does not accuse him privately, but face to face in presence of the Theban councillors. Nor can Creon be said here to appeal from his private judgment to a public trial, though this is hinted afterwards in reply to  $a^{\prime}$   $\pi \delta \lambda is$ ,  $\pi \delta \lambda is$ . Here he appeals only to the conscience and common sense of the king. The attempts to correct χωρίς are failures. I would render: accuse me not on proofless surmise without other support.

609–12. μάτην, without good ground.  $\parallel$  ίσον καὶ κ.τ.λ., I call it just the same as casting away one's own life, which one loves most.  $\pi$ αρ' αὐτ $\hat{\varphi}$ =αὐτοῦ. So Phil. 611, τἀπὶ Τροία πέργαμα. 806, τἀπὶ σοὶ κακά. φιλεῖ (τιs) is sufficiently introduced by αὐτ $\hat{\varphi}$ . ἴσον καὶ is a favourite construction with Ionic and old Attic writers, as also καὶ after ὅμοιος, ὁ αὐτός, παραπλήσιος.

616–17. καλῶς ἔλεξεν κ.τ.λ., he has spoken well in the judgment (ethic dat. see 8) of any one who has a cautious dread of erring (lit. 'of falling'). σοὶ is respectfully avoided, but the hint is for Oed. On  $\pi\epsilon\sigma\epsilon\hat{\nu}\nu$ , to err, cp. El. 398, ἐξ ἀβουλίας  $\pi\epsilon\sigma\epsilon\hat{\nu}\nu$ . 429, ἀβουλία  $\pi\epsilon\sigma\epsilon\hat{\nu}\nu$ .

618. ταχύς τις. Cp. Aj. 1266, φεῦ, τοῦ θανόντος ὡς ταχεῖά τις βροτοῖς χάρις διαρρεῖ. ταχὑς virtually=ταχέως. On this use cp. Phil. 1080, ὀρμᾶσθαι ταχεῖς, where Wunder cites Ph. 526, ὀρμάσθω ταχύς. 808, νόσος ταχεῖς ἀπέρχεται. 1223, ἔρπεις ταχύς. Ant. 231, τοιαῦθ' ἐλίσσων ἥνυτον σχολŷ

βραδύς.  $_{12}$   $_{15}$ ς, ἵτε ἀκεῖς. Oed. C. 306, κεὶ βραδὺς εὕδει. Tr. 1253, τὴν χάριν ταχεῖαν, ἄ παῖ, πρόσθες.  $\parallel$  λάθρα. In Hom. always λάθρη, but in Hymn to Dem.  $_{24}$   $_{1}$ , λάθρα. In tragedy λάθρα, which many edd. write λάθρα, but Di. Li. J. λάθρα. Hesych. cites an adj. λαθρός, not found in use.

619. χωρη advances (to assault). || βουλεύειν. See 606, note. || τάμὰ δ' ἡμαρτημένα, and my plans will have failed.

622-6. See Lection and Excursus, where it is shown that the reading  $\tau \dot{\alpha} \phi \rho \sigma v \hat{\epsilon} \nu$  removes all difficulty from these lines.  $\parallel \tau \dot{\sigma} \gamma \sigma \hat{\nu} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\sigma} \nu$ , I am (rational) at all events in respect of my own interest.

627-30. ἐξ ἴσον δεῖ κἀμόν. With δεῖ supply εὖ φρονεῖν σε. κἀμὸν is boldly Sophoclean for καὶ τὸ ἐμόν, thou shouldest be equally rational in respect of my interest also. ‖ εἰ δὲ ξυνίης μηδέν, but suppose thou misunder-standest utterly. ‖ ἀρκτέον γ' ὅμως. There has been much controversy whether ἀρκτέον is active, must rule, or passive, must be ruled. Agreeing as I do with J., that ἀ. is active, one must rule nevertheless, I let slain errors sleep. The maxim is general: 'a ruler must rule'. Creon contradicts or rather modifies it (as England did in 1688), saying οὅτοι κακῶς γ' ἄρχοντος, not, I ween, when one (τυνὸς und.) rules ill. ‖ Oed. appeals to the city, which hitherto he had ruled well, and in which he would find love and gratitude. Creon, in his modest reply, virtually makes the same appeal.

(Jocasta now, hearing the noise, comes out of the palace.)

 $6_3$ 1-3. 'Ιοκάστην. She is called 'Επικάστη in Hom. Od. X. 271, μητέρα τ' Οἰδιπόδαο ίδον καλὴν 'Επικάστην.  $\parallel$  παρεστός. Bekker has adopted this form in Plato and Thucyd. from the best mss.  $\parallel$  εὖ θέσθαι. S. El. 1434, τὰ πρὶν εὖ θεμένοι, Creusa fr. 321, ταῦτ' ἐστὶν ἄλγιστ', ἢν παρὸν θέσθαι καλῶς αὐτός τις αὐτῷ τὴν βλάβην προσθῷ φέρων. For instances of its use in Eurip. see Elms. on Med. 896. Matthiæ well observes (v. 915 ed. Matth.) that εὖ οτ καλῶς θεῦναι is used as well as εὖ οτ καλῶς θέσθαι, the former however with reference to others' affairs, the latter to our own. τὸ παρὸν εὖ θέσθαι, to make the best of the present, is a common proverb, being a metaphor from the game of the πεσσοί. See Ae. Ag. 31. It is, to make the best move of the dice, as in backgammon. εὖ is sometimes omitted; Thuc. 1. 25, ἐν ἀπόρω εἶχοντο θέσθαι τὸ παρόν, as in English, to turn to (good) account. Cp. Ter. Ad. IV. 7. 21—24, "Illa vita 'st hominum, quasi si ludas tesseris. Si illud quod maxume opus est jactu, non cadit, illud quod cecidit forte, id arte ut corrigas."

634. ω ταλαίπωροι, unhappy men.

634-8. στάσιν γλώσσης, quarrel of tongue, altercation. Tr. 1120, ταρβώ μὲν ἐς λόγου στάσιν τοιάνδ' ἐπελθών. || ἐπήρασθ', the reading of the best mss. is approved by Elms., and adopted by Dindorf, Wunder, Nauck, and J. Elms. cp. Dem. de Cor. p. 302, ταύτην τὴν φωνὴν ἐκεῖνος ἀφῆκε δι' ἐμέ, πολλούς καὶ θρασεῖς τὰ πρὸ τούτων ἐπαιρόμενος λόγους. ||

επαισχύνεσθε—κινοῦντες. Verbs, which express any emotion of the mind, may be followed by a participle of the operative cause, which in Latin is expressed by quod, or by accus, with infin. tδια κινοῦντες κιτκὰ=φιλονεικοῦντες.  $\parallel$  οὐκ εἶ...καὶ μή. Examples of this use of οὐ and μὴ in an interrog, sentence of two clauses are numerous. In the first clause οὐ with 2nd pers, fut. commands, and in the second οὐ μὴ (οὐ being continued from the first) forbids. Cp. Aj. 75, οὐ σῖγὶ ἀνέξει, μηδὲ δειλίαν ἀρεῖς; Tr. 1,83, οὐ θᾶσσον οἴσεις, μηδὶ ἀπιστήσεις ἐμοί; Ε. Hipp. 498, ὧ δεινὰ λέξασὶ, οὐχὶ συγκλείσεις στόμα, καὶ μὴ μεθήσεις αὖθις αἰσχίστους λόγους; 606, οὐ μὴ προσοίσεις χεῖρα μηδὶ ἄψει πέπλων; Hel. 437, οὐκ ἀπαλλάξει δόμων, καὶ μὴ πρὸς αὐλείοισιν ἐστηκὼς δόμοις δχλον παρέξεις δεσπόταις;  $\parallel$  It is needless to recall κατὰ to govern οἴκους, which can be accus, of 'place whither.' See 153, ξβας Θήβας.  $\parallel$  τὸ μηδὲν ἄλγος, a grief of no importance, so Ant. 234, κεὶ τὸ μηδὲν ἐξερῶ. Aj. 1231, ὅτὶ οὐδὲν ὧν τοῦ μηδὲν ἀντέστης ὕπερ.

639-41. δυοῦν is here a monosyllable by synizesis: as δώδεκα for δυώδεκα. Hermann quotes in Latin the writer of Phœnix. v. 28, duodecies undis irrigat omne nemus. Dindorf quotes duas as a monosyllable from Plaut. Rud. I. 2. 41, and II. 2. 14; and duarum as a disyllable from Plaut. Trin. IV. 3. 46, and Ter. Heaut. II. 3. 85. Δύο and δύω are indeclinable in Homer. But if τοῦνδ' were read with Elmsley for δυοῦν, or θάτερον δυοῦν with Dindorf for δυοῦν ἀποκρίναs, the synizesis would be avoided. See Lection.  $\parallel$  ἀποκρίναs adjudging from, i.e. sentencing me to one (of two evils).

642-3. τοὐμὸν σῶμα=ἐμέ. Cp. O. C. 355, ἄ τοῦδ΄ ἐχρήσθη σώματος. S. El. 1233, 1333, E. Alc. 636, οὐκ ἦσθ΄ ἄρ΄ ὀρθῶς τοῦδε σώματος πατήρ. Heracl. 89, οὐ γὰρ σῶμὶ ἀκήρυκτον τόδε. 528, ἡγεῖσθ΄ ὅπου δεῖ σῶμα κατθανεῖν τόδε. Similarly τὸ σὸν πρόσωπον for σέ, 448, ἥδε γὰρ ψυχὴ for ἐγώ, E. Heracl. 530, ὕδρας φάσματι for ὕδρα, S. Tr. 836.  $\parallel$  εὖ δρᾶν, κακῶς δρᾶν take accus. obj. See 551. Ant. 1154. Also δρᾶν with two accusatives is frequent. See δρᾶσαι δεινά με above, and εἴ σέ τι δέδρακα 645. O. C. 854, &c.  $\parallel$  On σὺν see 123.

 $6_{44}$ –5. μη νῦν ὀναίμην. may I not prosper. O.C. 1042, ὅναιο, Θησεῦ, τοῦ τε γενναίον χάριν, good fortune befal thee! ὀνίνημι, I help, fut. ὀνήσω, 1st aor. ὤνησα, midd. ὀνίναμαι, I derive advantage, fut. ὀνήσωμι, 2nd aor ἀνήμην-ησο-ητο &c., part. ὀνήμενοs. But the other moods have α, as opt. ὀναίμην, inf. ὄνασθαι. As to the order of words cp. 31, 1251. Such irregular order is called Hyperbaton in grammar.  $\parallel ν ῦν$ . Monk and Blomfield had held the quantity of the enclitic ννν to be short or long in tragedy. Ellendt contends that it is always short: and that ν ῦν can be used not only temporally but transitionally also: 'νῦν certa ratione pro νυν dicitur, non νυν pro νῦν'. So Wo.: 'νῦν dient bei den Tragikern auch zur Folgerung, wenn das Metrum

das enklitische kurze  $\nu\nu\nu$  nicht zulässt.' El. 616, Phil. 1240, Aj. 1129,  $\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\nu\hat{\nu}\nu$  dat $\mu\alpha$   $\theta\epsilon\sigma\hat{\nu}s$ ,  $\theta\epsilon\sigma\hat{s}s$   $\sigma\epsilon\sigma\omega\sigma\mu\hat{e}\nu\sigma s$ . Thinking that the balance of argument inclines in favour of Ellendt's view, I edit  $\nu\hat{\nu}\nu$ , which is in all mss. See Lection, and Ellendt's Lex. Dindorf's practice seems inconsistent.

- 646-8. ὅρκον θεῶν, appeal to the gods by oath. See 239, θεῶν εὐχαῖσι. It was held impious to refuse belief to one who had bound himself by an oath, as the person so refusing was thought to disbelieve the existence of gods. For the respect paid to an oath cp. E. Hipp. 1036, ἀρκοῦσαν εἶπας αἰτίας ἀποστροφήν, ὅρκους παρασχών, πίστιν οὐ σμικράν, θεῶν.
- 651. θέλεις εἰκάθω. El. 80, θέλεις μείνωμεν αὐτοῦ κὰνακούσωμεν γόων; θέλεις is here used as βούλει, which frequently takes after it such a subjunctive in interrogations: Phil. 761, βούλει λάβωμαι δῆτα και θίγω τί σου;  $\| \epsilon i κάθω$ . Ellendt, on ἀμυναθεῖν, agrees with Elmsley on Med. 186 and 995, that all verbs of this termination in Attic writers are aorists; ἀλκαθεῖν, ἀμυναθεῖν, διωκαθεῖν, εἰκαθεῖν, ἐργαθεῖν, σχεθεῖν, with the single exception of πελάθειν, and that in fact they have no present form. He excludes from this class those which, intransitive in their nature, denote condition, disposition, or character, as θαλέθω, μινύθω, τελέθω, φθινύθω, φλεγέθω.
- 652-3. νήπιος, infant, and μέγας grown person, are in antithesis. Here render the former weakling, the latter strong in his oath.
- 655. φράζε δη τί φής. τίς is used both in direct and indirect interrogation; in the latter ὅστις normally: but after φράζω a question is always indirect. See Excursus II. Aj. 794, ὥστε μ' ὧδίνειν τί φής. Xen. An. II. 1. 15, σὺ δ' ἡμὶν εἰπὲ τί λέγεις.
- 656. ἐναγῆ=ὀμόσαντα καὶ ἐπαρασάμενον αὐτῷ ἐξώλειαν, εἰ μὴ &c. (Demosth.) adv. Timoth. 1204. 11. This is a common form of expression in the orators. ἐναγῆ refers here to ἀραῖος ὁλοίμην in v. 645, and = ἐν ἄγει ὅντα, i.e. one who renders himself liable to the divine curse in case he violates his oath.
- 656-7. ἐν αἰτία βαλεῖν = ἐμβαλεῖν αἰτία. So Plato, Epist. VII. 341, μηδέποτε βαλεῖν ἐν αἰτία τὸν δεικνύντα. Philo Jud. vol. I., p. 545, οὐ πᾶσαν κάκωσιν ἐν αἰτία τίθεμαι, and without ἐν, Soph. Tr. 940, ὡς νιν ματαίως αἰτία βάλοι κακἢ. Therefore σὺν ἀφανεῖ λόγῳ ἐν αἰτία βαλεῖν is the same as γνώμη δ' ἀδήλω μή με—αἰτιῶ, v. 608. Hermann compares Antiphon de cæde Herod. p. 136, ἐγὼ μέν γάρ σοι φανερὰν τὴν πρόνοιαν εἰς ἐμὲ ἀποδείκνυμι, σὺ δέ με ἐν ἀφανεῖ λόγῳ ζητεῖς ἀπολέσαι. Render, that never with unproven words thou lay thine oath-bound friend under a charge that dishonours him.
- 658. ἐπίστω, the more usual form in Attic prose; but ἐπίστασο in 848. ἐξεπίστασο in O. C. 1650, so ἴστω in Aj. 775 A, Eccl. 732, but μεθίστασο E. Alc. 1122, Phoen. 40. ἀνίστω Ae. Eum. 133, but ἀνίστασο E. Hec. 499, A. Vesp. 286. So for ἐπίστασαι the Attics use ἐπίστα rarely; Ae. Eum. 86.

660. οὐ τὸν i.e. οὐ μὰ τὸν &c. so 1088 οὐ τὸν Ολυμπον. El. 1063, οὐ τὰν Διὸs ἀστραπάν. 1238, οὐ τὰν Ἄρτεμιν. So also μὰ is omitted in Ant. 758, E. Ion 870. He swears by the sun, because the sun by seeing all things is privy to every thing.

660–4. πρόμος, foremost, in rank, a Homeric word, but used by all the tragic poets.  $\parallel$  ὅ τι πύματον = ἐσχάτως, to the uttermost. Cp. 344, ἥτις ἀγριωτάτη.  $\parallel$  φρόνησιν, animum Ell. intention.  $\parallel$  Elms. takes προσάψει as transitive, rendering 'addita habeat'. I prefer however, with Erfurdt, Ellendt, and Neue, the intransitive sense; so συνάπτειν El. 21, ξυνάπτετον λόγοισιν, Ae. Pers. 885, Τήνω τε συνάπτουο' "Ανδρος ἀγχιγείτων, Ε. Hipp. 188, where see Monk's note, Phoen. 709. Rejecting καὶ with H., I read τὰ δ' εἰ, rendering and (the dread) if the ills from you twain shall be joined with the former ills.

669–72. ὁ δ' οὖν ἔτω, let him go (escape) then. ἔτω for ἀπίτω. So ἔρχεται Phil. 48 for ἀπέρχεται, μὴ ἔλθης 1182 for ἀπέλθης, ἔρχει Ant. 39 for ἀπέρχει. See note on v. 143.  $\parallel$  στόμα, language. See 426.  $\parallel$  ἐλεινόν. As from δέος comes δεινός, from κλέος κλεινός, so from ἔλεος ἐλεινός, the Attics never using ἐλεεινός any more than δε-εινός, κλε-εινός. I am inclined to think that οὐ τὸ τοῦδ' ἐποικτείρω may be taken parenthetically: but see J. on the other side.  $\parallel$  στυγήσεται. The Attics delighted in the passive use of this form, which grammarians call 'the Future Middle'. For examples Monk's note on E. Hipp. 1460 may be consulted. This is one of four forms of ſuture having a passive signification, the other three being the Paulo-post-ſuture and the first and second ſutures passive, the last of which is rare in tragedy.

673. στυγνὸς μὲν κ.τ.λ., when yielding, thou art manifestly full of hatred (sullen), and dangerous when angered to excess. στυγνὸς referring to στυγήσεται.  $\parallel$  βαρὺς in the sense of dangerous (a quo grave quid metuendum. Wu.), see 546. Cp. Phil. 1045, βαρὺς τε καὶ βαρεῖαν ὁ ξένος φάτιν τήνδ' εἶπ', Όδυσσεῦ, κοὺχ ὑπείκουσαν κακοῖς. Erfurdt explains θυμοῦ περάσης by πόρρω πορευθῆς τῆς δργῆς rightly.

677.  $\sigma o \hat{v} \ \mu \dot{e} \nu \kappa.\tau.\lambda.$ , having found thee ignorant (of my character), but esteemed by these to be the same that I was before. On àqvés see 1133. It usually means 'unknown'.  $\parallel$  J. makes toos just; Li. also favours that sense: but editors generally, and I think rightly, take it 'der ich früher war', 'the man I was before'. So the Scholiast:  $\pi a \rho \dot{a} \ \delta \dot{e} \ \tau o \iota \tau o \iota s \ \tau \hat{\eta} \dot{s} \ \dot{o} \mu o \iota \iota a \dot{s} \ \delta \dot{e} \ \tau o \iota \tau o \iota s \ \tau \hat{\eta} \dot{s} \ \dot{o} \mu o \iota a \dot{s} \ \delta \dot{e} \ \tau o \dot{s} \ \dot{e} \ \dot{v} \ \dot{c} \ o s \ \dot{c} \ \dot{e} \$ 

(Creon now retires from the stage.)

680. μαθοῦσα γ' ήτις ή τύχη. Supply κομιῶ. Yes, (I will do so), when I have learnt what the circumstance is (which caused the contention).

681. δόκησις κ.τ.λ., vague fancy (i.e. suspicion) was uttered in words (i.e. by Oed.), and what is not just stings (the mind of Creon).

685. γas προπονουμέναs, when our country is afflicted already.

687. Do you see what consequences you have reached with all the goodness of your disposition, by relaxing (paralysing) and blunting the edge of my temper? παρίημι is almost equivalent to παραλύω. So E. Or. 881, παρειμένον νόσω. 210, οὐ γάρ μ' ἀρέσκει τῷ λίαν παρειμένω. Bacch. 683, σώμασιν παρειμέναι: Alc. 204, Cyc. 591, Herc. F. 1043, Supp. 1070. This is the only instance of this meaning in Soph., nor is the word ever thus employed by Aeschylus. 'Αμβλύνεσθαι is applied by Thucydides to the mind, II. 87, and so ἀμβλύτεροs II. 41, and ἀμβλύτεροι II. 65, less sensible of, less keenly alive to, more callous.

689-94. οὐχ ἄπαξ μόνον, not once only,=frequently. || πεφάνθαι μ' ἄν, that I should be showing myself απορον έπι φρόνιμα, literally, helpless for (i.e. incapable of) prudent counsels. εί σ' ἐνοσφιζόμαν, were I deserting (separating myself from) thee. See note on 482. πεφάνθαι αν, is plup., and depends on  $\epsilon l \pi \sigma \nu$ ,  $l \sigma \theta \iota \delta \epsilon$  being parenthetic. ||  $\delta s \tau' - \tau \alpha \nu \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa . \tau . \lambda$ . Compare 52 &c., δρνιθι γάρ καὶ την τότ' αισίω τύχην παρέσχες ήμιν, καὶ τανῦν ἴσος γενοῦ, where the same meaning is expressed as here; and for the collocation of the particles  $\tau \epsilon - \tau \epsilon$  compare 35-40.  $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha i$  is understood here with ουρισας. Hermann here reads σστ', and draws the following distinctions in his note.  $\delta s$  simply defines a thing;  $\delta s$   $\gamma \epsilon$  with a restriction, to the exclusion of others, who particularly. ootis is one who, and answers to the Latin ut qui. See 1184, & φως, τελευταίον σε προσβλέψαιμι  $\nu\hat{\nu}\nu$ ,  $\ddot{o}\sigma\tau$ is  $\pi\dot{\epsilon}\phi\alpha\sigma\mu\alpha\iota$   $\phi\dot{\nu}$ s  $\tau'$   $\dot{a}\phi'$   $\dot{\omega}\nu$  où  $\chi\rho\hat{\eta}\nu$ .  $\ddot{o}\sigma\tau\epsilon=quique$ , which is not the same as  $\kappa a \ \ddot{o}s = et \ qui$ ;  $\kappa a \ and \ et$  joining those things which are necessarily connected,  $\tau\epsilon$  and que those which are connected by chance. In assigning a reason οστε expresses who undoubtedly, who, under whatever aspect you view the matter. ὄστε seems to suggest a more detailed account of what is already involved in the preceding words, or already known; &s some additional circumstance. Among the Attics Aeschylus only has  $\ddot{o}\sigma\tau\epsilon$  in iambics, Prom. 297; Soph. and Eurip. only in lyric parts. The common language retained τε only in ωστε and olós τ' είμί.

695.  $\sigma$ αλεύουσαν, tossing. I have received Dobree's reading for the sake of the metaphor, but there is no objection to the MS. reading ἀλύουσαν, distraught, distressed, perplexed. In ἀλύω the v is four times short in Homer; Il.  $\epsilon'$ . 352,  $\omega'$  12, Od.  $\sigma'$ . 333, 393; once long, Od.  $\iota'$ . 398. It is always long in the dramatic poets.  $\parallel \kappa \alpha \tau'$  δρθδν may imply steady and prosperous course, so that  $\kappa$ . 0. οδρισαs = didst impel it with a favourable wind in a straight

course. Cp. Ant. 190, καὶ ταύτης ἔπι πλέοντες ὀρθῆς. Ο. Τ. 88, εἰ τύχοι κατ' ὀρθὸν ἐξελθόντα.

697.  $\tau \alpha \nu \hat{\nu} \nu \hat{\tau} \epsilon \tilde{\nu} \pi o \mu \pi o s \epsilon \hat{t} \tau \hat{o} \hat{\gamma} \hat{\epsilon} \nu \sigma o l$ , and now also art ably wafting it with thy best powers. See Lection. Whatever be the fate of the conjecture  $\epsilon \hat{t} \tau \hat{o} \hat{\gamma} \hat{\epsilon} \nu \sigma o l$ , three things cannot be denied by any candid mind: it supplies good Greek and good rhythm: its sense is exactly suited to the place: it is not far from the 'ductus litterarum',  $\epsilon l \delta \dot{\nu} \nu a \nu a$  being excluded as a manifest gloss. Add to which that nothing has hitherto appeared here before my edition, which can justly claim the approbation of judicious scholars. (Here ends the Commation, and the Second Part of Epeisodion II. begins.)

(Outline of Part 2. 698-762. Jocasta and Oedipus, remaining on the proscenium, hold a momentous dialogue. The queen, dissuading Oedipus from placing any faith in seers, refers to the oracle spoken to Laius, which declared that he should die by the hand of his and Jocasta's son. And yet, she adds, that son was exposed to death on Cithaeron, and robbers slew Laius at the meeting of three roads. The mention of this locality startles Oedipus, who remembers what befell him at such a spot many years ago. Eager questions which he now puts to the queen only confirm by her answers the shocking belief that he did kill Laius there and then, and that he has laid himself under a terrible curse. But as the one survivor of the five persons whom Oedipus encountered bore witness that the deed was that of robbers, he determines to see the fugitive and ascertain the facts. He gives Jocasta a sketch of his life previous to the sad event, having been reared by Polybus and Merope, king and queen of Corinth, as their son and heir. A drunkard at a wine-bout twitted him with being a supposititious child. The next day he questioned his parents, who repudiated the insult with anger against the utterer. Oedipus, not quite satisfied, quitted Corinth without informing the royal pair, in order to learn the truth from the oracle of Apollo at Delphi. The god withheld the information he sought, but foretold to him a terrible future: he should wed his own mother, and slay his father. Horrified by such a prospect, he resolved never to revisit Corinth, and turned his steps in the opposite direction. In that route it was that he arrived at the spot mentioned by Jocasta, and met a party such as she described, consisting of five persons, one being a herald, and the principal personage who answered to the portrait of Laius, riding in a pony-carriage. A quarrel arose. Oedipus, insulted and struck, retaliated in wrath, and slew, as he thought, the whole party, not observing that one of the five escaped. Recognising the evident probability that it was indeed Laius who had thus fallen by his hand, he wildly laments his cruel fate in becoming husband of his victim's widow, and in being subject to the dreadful curse which he had himself

invoked on the murderer. The chorus and the queen strive to console him: and, admitting that one shred of hope (before mentioned) remains, he will await the coming of the fugitive eye-witness. Hereupon Oedipus and Jocasta retire into the palace.)

(Notes). 698-9. ὅτου ποτὲ πράγματος = πρᾶγμα ὅτου ποτὲ the matter whereat στήσας ἔχεις thou hast firmly conceived μῆνιν τοσήνδε so great anger. For the 'gen. causae' see Ant. 1177, μηνίσας φόνου. For the attraction cp. Aj. 1044, τίς δ' ἐστὶν ὅντιν' ἄνδρα προσλεύσσεις στρατοῦ; For στήσας ἔχεις see 577. Μῆνιν, βοήν, κραυγήν, ἐλπίδα ἰστάναι = μηνίειν, βοᾶν, ἐλπίζειν in prose.

700–1. Steel suggests that the reason why Oed. speaks somewhat slightingly of the Chorus here is their wish to hush up the contention 685, which he before censures at 686–7.  $\parallel$  έs πλέον cp. Aj. 679, έs τοσόνδ' έχθαρτέος:  $\parallel$  Κρέοντος answers to ὅτου in 698: at Creon, (he is the cause whereat ἔστησα μῆνιν)  $\parallel$  οἶά μοι βεβουλευκώς ἔχει = ὅτι τοῖα κ.τ.λ., for having hatched such plots against me. See 699, 577.

702.  $\lambda \acute{e}\gamma \acute{e}i \kappa.\tau.\lambda$ . speak, if you can do so ( $\acute{e}l \acute{e}p\acute{e}\hat{s}$ ) with clear imputation of the quarrel (i. e. if you can clearly shew that it was Creon's fault). She does not forget that, if Oed. is her husband, Creon is her brother.

703. καθεστάναι με, that I have made myself=that I am.

705-6. μèν οὖν 'immo vero', a corrective particle = nay, μάντνν κακοῦργον εlσπέμψαs, by sending in a knavish seer. || τό γ εls έαντόν, as regards himself at any rate, παν έλενθεροῦ στόμα, he keeps his mouth quite free: i.e. he declares himself quite innocent.

707–10. ἀφεὶς σεαυτόν, absolving yourself, relieving yourself from care, ὧν λέγεις πέρι=περὶ τούτων ἃ λέγεις concerning the things you mention.  $\parallel$  ἐμοῦ ἀπάκουσον. So 785, κάγὼ ἀπακούσας. 820, ἢ ἀγὼ ἀπὰκουσον.  $\parallel$  μάθὶ οὕνεκα learn that ἐστί σοι κ.τ.λ., you will find nothing in mortal life possessed of prophetic skill.  $\parallel$  ἐστί σοι (eth. d.)=you will find.  $\parallel$  βρότειον οὐδὲν=οὐδὲνα βροτόν, see 1194.  $\parallel$  ἔχον=μετέχον, hence with partit. gen. τέχνης. Soph. uses simple verbs in this way for compounds. See 143, ἴστασθε for ἀνίστασθε: Aj. 1117, στρέφεσθαι for ἐπιστρέφεσθαι: Ant. 399, κρίνειν for ἀνακρίνειν: El. 699, τέλλειν for ἀνατέλλειν: Phil. 67, Tr. 940, βάλλειν for ἐμβάλλειν: Tr. 916, Phil. 1028, βάλλειν for ἐκβάλλειν: Tr. 597, πίπτειν for ἐμπίπτειν: Ant. 169, μένειν for ἐμμένειν. So Homer uses εἰπεῦν in the sense of addressing with accus. Il. μ΄. 60, 210; ν΄. 725; ρ΄ 237; ν΄. 375.

713–14.  $\dot{\omega}s$  αὐτὸν ήξοι μοῖρα, 'that fate would reach him',=that it vould become his fate. See ήκω 1519. But Nauck conj. έξοι, citing Phil. 331, ἐπεὶ γὰρ ἔσχε μοῖρ' 'Αχιλλέα θανεῖν. See O.C. 969, εἴ τι θέσφατον πατρὶ χρησμοῖσιν ἰκνεῖθ' ιστε πρὸς παίδων θανεῖν.  $\parallel$  ὅστις γένοιτο, one who should be born, optat. of indef. generality, dep. on fut. opt. ήξοι.

715.  $\tau \delta \nu \mu \ell \nu$ . The article  $\delta$  is frequently used in this way when followed by  $\mu \ell \nu$ ,  $\delta \ell$ ,  $\gamma \delta \rho$ , for the demonstrative pronouns  $o \tilde{\nu} \tau o s$  and  $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon \hat{\nu} o s$ . In Attic prose, unless in some few particular phrases, these particles are always used, but not necessarily in the Ionic prose of Herodotus. Aeschylus often omits them, as Eum. 7. Sophocles has  $\tau \delta \nu$  for  $\tau o \hat{\nu} \tau o \nu$  in a lyric passage, O. C. 1699, and  $\epsilon \kappa \delta \epsilon \tau \hat{\nu} \nu$  for  $\tau o \hat{\nu} \tau o \nu$  in O. C. 742, but in no other passage does he use the article for the demonstrative pronoun, without employing one of the particles  $\mu \ell \nu$ ,  $\delta \epsilon$ ,  $\gamma \delta \rho$ , nor does Euripides, as far as I recollect, do so in any instance.

716. ἐν τριπλαῖs ἀμαξιτοῖs (und. ¿δοῖs), in a place where three carriage roads meet. The word ἀμ. is also used by Hom. II. χ΄. 146: Od. κ΄. 103: Pind. Nem. VI. 87: Pyth. IV. 440.

717–19.  $\pi \alpha i \delta \delta s \ \delta \epsilon \ \beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau d s$ . Brunck took this as a periphrasis for  $\pi \alpha i \delta \alpha$  and translated it as accus. pend., so that  $\delta \iota \epsilon \sigma \chi \sigma \nu$  was taken intransitively  $= \delta \iota \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \sigma \nu$ , elapsed: but Matthiae well observes that  $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau d s \ \delta \epsilon$  is governed by  $\delta \iota \epsilon \sigma \chi \sigma \nu$ , 'not three days separated the birth of the boy (from that which now took place)', i.e. not yet three days elapsed from the birth, when, &c.  $\kappa \alpha l$  is used after definitions of time, when we should use a particle of time, Thuc. I. 50.  $\tilde{\eta} \delta \eta \ \delta \epsilon \ \tilde{\eta} \nu \ \delta \psi \epsilon \kappa \alpha l \ \delta c$ ., it was already late when, &c. Cp. 78, Ant. II87.  $\parallel \nu \iota \nu \ \tilde{\sigma} \rho \theta \rho \alpha \kappa \kappa \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \nu \sigma s \ \epsilon \nu \zeta \epsilon \psi \xi \epsilon \kappa \alpha \kappa \sigma \delta \sigma \tilde{\iota} \nu$ . The proper obj. of  $\epsilon \nu \zeta$  is  $\tilde{\sigma} \rho \theta \rho \alpha$ , and the other accus.  $\nu \iota \nu$  (the whole of which  $\tilde{\sigma} \rho \theta \rho \alpha$  is a part) is a Greek poetic idiom: thus  $\nu \iota \nu \nu v irt. = \alpha \nu \tau \sigma \tilde{\iota}$ , which would be clumsy in poetry because of  $\pi \sigma \delta \sigma \tilde{\iota} \nu$ . "A $\rho \theta \rho \alpha$  is generally taken here to mean the ankles, but the words at 1034  $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \delta \rho \sigma \nu s \sigma \delta \sigma \tilde{\iota} \nu \delta \kappa d \kappa s$ , make it questionable whether the insteps may not be meant.

720-2. ἥνυσεν, brought it to pass, = caused.  $\parallel$  If in 723 θανεῖν be read, the words τὸ δεινὸν οὐφοβεῖτο are in apposition, the terrible thing which he was dreading. If  $\pi\alpha\theta$ εῖν, they are simply the obj. of this verb.

724-5.  $\delta \nu \delta \nu \delta \nu \delta \nu \delta \epsilon \delta s$  xpelav  $\epsilon \rho \epsilon \nu \nu \hat{q}$ , lit. 'of whatever things the god searches the need'=whatsoever the god seeks as needful.

728. See Lection. The reading I have taken makes the gen. dep. on the prep.  $\tilde{v}\pi o$ . under what anxious feeling do you turn and speak thus?  $\Sigma \tau \rho a \phi e is$  seems to imply that he half turns his back on the queen, and speaks without looking at her. He felt the shock, as he says, on hearing the words  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu \tau \rho \iota \pi \lambda a is$   $\dot{\epsilon}\mu a \xi \iota \tau o is$ . He must then have strongly quelled his emotion, and heard her to the end without following the sense of her words, his brain whirling in the  $\psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s \pi \lambda \dot{a} \nu \eta \mu a$ . When she is silent, he moves away, speaking slowly with heart and voice oppressed.

734. Δελφῶν κἀπὸ Δαυλίας=ἀπὸ Δελφῶν καὶ ἀπὸ Δαυλίας. So 761, ἀγροὺς—κἀπὶ ποιμνίων νομάς. Of Daulia Strabo, p. 473, says, ἐν δὲ τŷ μεσογαία μετὰ Δελφοὺς ὡς πρὸς τὴν ἔω Δαυλίς πολίχνιον. N. says the triple way is now called τὸ σταυροδρὸμ τῆς Μπάρπανας.

- 735. καὶ τίς κ.τ.λ. and what time is it that has elapsed since these events?  $\parallel$  τοῖσδε. E. Ion, 353, χρόνος δὲ τίς τῷ παιδὶ διαπεπραγμέν $\varphi$ ; Thuc. I. 13, ἔτη δὲ μάλιστα καὶ ταύτη (τŷ ναυμαχί $\varphi$ ) ἐξήκοντα καὶ διακόσιά ἐστι μέχρι τοῦ αὐτοῦ χρόνου.
- 736. σχεδόν τι πρόσθεν η, a little before = just before. The coincidence of time and place wrings from Oed. a despairing cry.
  - 738. Solemnly and slowly spoken, as the want of rhythm marks.
- 739. ἐνθύμιον is usually said of what lies upon the mind as matter of conscience; a scruple of religion. See Herod. VIII. 54, ἐνθύμιον οἱ ἐγένετο ἐμπρήσαντι τὸ ἱρὸν he was visited by pangs of conscience for having burnt the temple. Thuc. VII. 50, ἐνθύμιον ποιούμενοι, raising religious scruples. But render here: what is this on your mind (i.e. alarming and distressing you)?
- 740-1. τον δὲ Λάϊον κ.τ.λ. See 15. 224.  $\parallel$  τίνα δ' κ.τ.λ. See Lection. That  $\eta \beta \eta s$  is corrupt I have no doubt.  $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \mu \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta} \beta \eta s$  is an unparalleled and impossible phrase: and  $\ddot{\eta} \beta \eta$ , bloom of youth or early manhood, cannot be applied to a grizzled  $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v s$  (805-7). Tivos— $\ddot{\eta} \beta \eta s$ , Nauck's conj. adopted by J., is bad on this and other grounds. I once conjectured τίνα δ'  $\ddot{\alpha} \kappa \mu \dot{\eta} v \ddot{\eta} \delta \eta \beta lov$ ; but now, assured that  $\ddot{\alpha} \kappa \mu \dot{\eta}$  itself can here mean era of life, I prefer keeping  $\ddot{\epsilon} \chi \omega v$  by means of a verb, either  $\ddot{\epsilon} \chi \omega v \ddot{\epsilon} \beta \eta$  or  $\ddot{\epsilon} \beta \alpha u v \ddot{\epsilon} \gamma u v \dot{\epsilon} \delta u v \ddot{\epsilon} \delta u v \ddot$
- 744-5.  $\pi \rho \circ \beta \acute{a} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$  où  $\kappa$  eldéval= $\pi \rho \circ \beta a \lambda \acute{e} \nu$  où  $\kappa$  eldés, to have flung myself unwittingly=to have unconsciously exposed myself.
- 747. δεινῶς ἀθυμῶ κ.τ.λ., I am sadly afraid that the seer has sight, i.e. that, when I said he was  $\tau \iota \phi \lambda \delta s$  τά τ' ὅτα τόν τε νοῦν τά τ' ὅμματα, he was indeed of clear sense in all these respects.
  - 749.  $\mu \alpha \theta \circ \hat{\nu} \sigma \alpha = when you have told me$ : see 680.

- 750-1. βαιόs, in a small way=with a small attendance;  $\parallel$  ἀνδρας λοχίτας, troopers.  $\parallel$  ἀνὴρ ἀρχηγότης, a chieftain. This and ἀρχηγός, κυνηγὸς and κυνηγότης, also στρατηγός, χορηγός, keep  $\eta$ , but the Doric  $\bar{a}$  is taken by λοχαγός, λοχαγότης, ξεναγός, έβδομαγότης.
- 752–3. oi ξύμπαντες, the total number.  $\parallel$  κῆρυξ. Heralds were regarded as sacrosanct, and kings took them in company for security's sake.  $\parallel$  ἀπήνη, originally, a carriage or wagon, generally drawn by mules and sometimes by oxen. It is interchanged with ἄμαξα in Od. ζ΄. 72, 75, 78; (in Il. ω΄ 189, 266 ἄμαξα ἡμιονείη), subsequently, a chariot or carriage in general, as here. The chariot for war and racing was δίφρος and ἄρμα.  $\parallel$  ηγε, was conveying.
- 760-4. ἐξικέτευσε, earnestly implored.  $\parallel$  ἀγροὺς κάπί, see 734.  $\parallel$  οι ἀγλο δούλος, considered as a slave; to whom their owners were slow to acknowledge special obligations. See 1078. In this case the slave was the instrument of the great crime committed in exposing the infant.
- 765.  $\pi \hat{\omega}s & \kappa.\tau.\lambda.$ , could he possibly come, &c. meaning, I wish he could &c.
  - 765. πάρεστιν, it is quite possible.
- 767. δέδοικ' ἐμαυτόν. See 15, 224. The construction following is anacoluthic, for instead of μη εἰρήκω, 'lest I may have said', Soph. writes μη εἰρημένα ἢ πόλλ' ἄγαν. But we must translate as if εἰρήκω were written: I fear that I myself may have said too much, and on account of this I wish to see him. He alludes to the curse in which he was involved.
  - 769.  $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda'$  "\(\xi\epsi\) results for that matter (\(\mu\epsi\)), he shall come.
- 771. ἐs τοσοῦτον ἐλπίδων ἐμοῦ βεβῶτος, now that I have reached this pitch of expectation. Ἐλπὶς is sometimes used, as here, to express anxiety. See 1432.
- 772–3. και μείζονι, more really valuable.  $\parallel$  διὰ τύχης τοιᾶσδ' ιών, in my present (peculiar) position (lit. when passing through such a fortune). Nauck cites lέναι (χωρεῖν, βαίνειν) διὰ δδύνης, κινδύνων, μόχθων, φόβου, φόνου.
  - 777-8. ἐπέστη, suddenly befell me. || σπουδη̂s, serious care.
- 779–84. γὰρ would not be used in English idiom here.  $\parallel$  καλεῖ pres. hist. which justifies the constr. ώς εἴην, see 79τ.  $\parallel$  πλαστὸς πατρί, lit. 'fictitiously presented to my father' (i.e. by my supposed mother Merope): meaning what is called a supposititious child.  $\parallel$  βαρυνθείς, indignant, enraged = χολωθείς, Aj. 4τ χόλο βαρυνθείς τῶν 'Αχιλλείων ὅπλων.  $\parallel$  κατέσχον, refrained (myself, or, my wrath). Br. cites A. Nub. 1363, κάγὼ μόλις μέν. ἀλλ' ὅμως ἡνεσχόμην τὸ πρῶτον.  $\parallel$  ἥλεγχον, questioned them.  $\parallel$  δυσφόρως ἡγον, were very angry with  $\rightleftharpoons$  ἐδυσχέραινον.
- 784-5. τὰ μὲν κείνοιν, as respects the conduct of both. || ὑφεῖρπε γὰρ πολύ. Although some high authorities, as Liddell and Scott, Ellendt, and [.,

take this to mean 'for it spread widely by rumour', referring to Aesch. Ag.  $\dot{v}\pi'$  ἄλγος ἔρπει, I am yet fully persuaded that the mass of scholars are right, who supply  $\mu\epsilon$  again, and render, for it sank deeper and deeper (imp.) into my mind. So Steel. I render  $\dot{v}\pi'$  ἄλγος ἔρπει, 'a feeling of grief steals on (in the minds of people)': for we also find in Aesch.  $\chi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha} \mu' \dot{\nu} \phi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \pi \epsilon \iota$ , and  $\tau \rho \dot{\rho} \mu o \dot{\nu} \dot{\nu} \dot{\nu} \dot{\nu} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \rho \pi \epsilon \iota$ . Wu. cites Sallust, Jug. 11, quod verbum in pectus Jugurthae altius quam quisquam ratus descendit.

789. ἄτιμον ὧν ἰκόμην, without an answer on the matter I came for. See note on 340. Add O. C. 49,  $\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\mu'$  ἀτιμάσης τοιόνδ' ἀλήτην ὧν σε προστρέπω φράσαι.

790. I was almost tempted to accept Heimsoeth's conj. δύσφημα for δύστηνα which seemed to add nothing to the first epithet ἄθλια. But I am now satisfied that δύστηνος itself as well as τλήμων has sometimes the sense of wicked, abominable. See 888. || Wunder's conj. προϋφηνεν has been largely accepted for that of mss. προϋφάνη λέγων. But that προϋφάνη λέγων, he was heard to say, is what Soph. wrote, I feel no doubt. See my Excursus. Steel also prefers προϋφάνη. || On χρείη κ.τ.λ. see Verbs, Exc. XIV.

793. τοῦ φυτεύσαντος πατρός. See 436, note, where many examples of this redundancy of expression are cited. Add to them the following: Aesch. Sept. 792, παῖδες μητέρων τεθραμμέναι: Ε. Tro. 718, λέξας ἀρίστου παῖδα μὴ τρέφειν πατρός. And also Jerem. xvi. 3, 'their mother that bare them', xxii, 26, 'thy mother that bare thee'. Prov. xxiii. 22, 'hearken to thy father that begat thee'. Jerem. xvi. 3, 'their fathers that begat them', Zech. xiii. 3, 'his father and mother that begat him'.

794-7. τὴν Κορινθίαν... ἔνθα. After reading much that is written and cited on these words, I find nothing which need prevent me from understanding and rendering them thus: ἐκμετρούμενος τὴν Κορινθίαν χθόνα τὸ λοιπὸν ἄστροις, measuring my distance from the Corinthian land for the future by the stars ἔφευγον ἔνθα, I began my flight to some place where &c.

801.  $\hat{\eta}$ , I was. On this form or  $\hat{\eta}\nu$  for 1st pers. see Excursus.

802. κῆρυξ. Apollodorus 3. 5. p. 273 preserves his name as Πολυποίτης.  $\parallel$  πωλικῆς ἀπήνης, drawn by young horses, to distinguish it, the ἀπήνη being usually drawn by mules, 753.  $\parallel$  ὅ θ' ἡγεμών, sc. τῆς ὁδοῦ, the charioteer  $=\tau \rho \rho \chi \eta \lambda άτης$  in 806.  $\parallel$  πρὸς βίαν = βιαίως, with violence. There are many similar instances of the adverbial phrase formed by πρὸς with the acc. So also κατὰ with the acc.; Ae. Prom. 212, κατ' ἰσχύν, and διὰ with the genitive as 807, δι' ὀργῆς. Aj. 822, διὰ τάχους.  $\parallel$  ἡλαυνέτην, attempted to drive. So ἐκτρέποντα in the next line, and ἀπωλλύτην 1454. Cp. O. C. 993, 1009.  $\parallel$  The κῆρυξ seems to have acted as charioteer. He, after Laius, was first slain. Two slaves hastening to help them or arrest Oed. met the same fate. The shepherd fled unobserved in despair, and afterwards at Thebes recognised in Oed. the author of the deed. See 760–4.

806–12. τὸν ἐ., τὸν τροχηλάτην, by ἐπεξήγησις as it is called, to explain more fully who is intended; so in 837, τὸν ἄνδρα τὸν βοτῆρα. Cp. Aristoph. in Athen. p. 161, τοὺς πάλαι ποτέ, τοὺς Πυθαγοριστὰς γενομένους.  $\parallel$  See E. Phoen. 39, καί νιν κελεύει Λαΐου τροχηλάτης, & ξένε, τυράννοις ἐκποδὼν μεθίστασο.  $\parallel$  καί μ' ὁ πρέσβυς κ.τ.λ., and the old man when he saw me (strike the charioteer) watching the moment when I was going alongside, from the chariot smote me with a double-weighted goad on the middle of my head. Exou is to be taken with καθίκετο. See note on 143. καθικνεῖσθαι in Homer takes the acc., Il. ξ'. 104. Od. α'. 342. In later writers it takes a gen. as here: so Eustath. on Il. ξ'. observes ἴσην, sc. δίκην οι τιμωρίαν, οι ποινήν.

813-4.  $\epsilon l$   $\delta \epsilon \kappa. \tau. \lambda$ . but if this stranger has any relationship to Laius. The reading of  $\Lambda a to v$  in which Dindorf follows Bothe is perhaps more probable than  $\Lambda a to v$  on account of the dative preceding. Wunder also reads  $\Lambda a to v \tau_l \sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon v \epsilon s$ .

815-27. See Lection. To the reasons there given in favour of  $\epsilon i$  and  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{\epsilon}$  add, that the resumption of  $\epsilon i$  from 813 seems more probable here with the definite  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{\epsilon}$ , than the use of the indefinite relative  $\dot{\phi}$  or  $\delta\nu$  with μή μηδέ, in a place where Oed. so distinctly puts forward himself (815) and the curse pronounced by and on himself,  $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}'\pi'\dot{\epsilon}\mu\alpha\nu\tau\hat{\psi}$  (819—20).  $\tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon$ , these things, hangs loosely here, being immediately explained by  $\tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \delta$ ' άράς. || ἐν χεροῖν ἐμαῖν. See 80. || χραίνω, pollute. Ruhnken (on Timæus Lex. έγχρίμπτει) says that the verbs χράω, χραύω, χραίνω, χρίω, χρίπτω, χρίμπτω, χρόω, χρώζω, χρωννύω, have the same origin and the same primary meaning. This first meaning was to graze the skin or any surface; whence arose the other meanings of pricking, stinging, touching, slightly wounding; also of anointing or besmearing. Custom however attached different senses to the different verbs afterwards. The sense of besmearing alone, according to Ruhnken, settled in χραίνω, χρόω, χρώζω, χρωννύω: in χράω, χραύω and χρίω not that only, but also the other senses of pricking and stinging. In E. Or. 919, however, ολιγάκις ἄστυ κάγορᾶς χραίνων κύκλον, there is the sense of touching. From besmearing the sense of polluting would readily arise. || αρ' ἔφυν κακός; άρα alone can mean 'nonne'. See O. C. 753, 780. Aj. 1283. Monk on Alc. 351 cites instances from Eurip. But here οὐχὶ can be supplied from next line.  $\parallel \pi \hat{a}s = \pi \acute{a}\nu \tau \omega s$ . Ant. 776,  $\mathring{o}\pi \omega s$   $\mu (a\sigma \mu a \pi \hat{a}\sigma' \dot{\nu}\pi \epsilon \kappa \phi \dot{\nu} \gamma \eta \pi \acute{o}\lambda \iota s$ . Α΄ 275, κεινός τε λύπη πας έλήλαται κακή: 519, έν σοι πασ' έγωγε σώζομαι. El. 1497,  $\pi \hat{a} \sigma'$   $\dot{a} \nu \dot{a} \gamma \kappa \eta$ : Tr. 91,  $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a \nu - \dot{a} \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \iota a \nu$ . || Πόλυβον. Wunder and others reject 1. 827 with much probability.

828-9.  $\delta \rho'$  où  $\kappa$   $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$ ., would not any one speak aright if he judged these things arose in my case from a cruel fate? Herod. VII. 103,  $\delta \rho \theta o \hat{\tau}$   $\delta \nu$   $\delta \lambda \delta \gamma o s$ .

830-3. σέβας, majesty. Ae. Prom. 1091, & μητρὸς ἐμῆς σέβας. || κηλίδα ξυμφορᾶς, stain of calamity=disgraceful calamity. See 1384. O. C. 1134, κηλίς κακῶν ξύνοικος. On the gen. see note on 532.

838-41.  $\tau$ ls  $\pi$ 06'  $\dot{\eta}$   $\pi$ p06 $\nu$  $\mu$ la; what is your mind bent on? what hopeful thought have you?  $\parallel$  ekmeqeevyol $\eta$  $\nu$ . The opt. in -our for -our is the most usual form in the Attic writers (but not to the exclusion of the other; as  $\dot{\alpha}\pi$ 0 $\rho$ 0 $\hat{\alpha}$ 0, Plat. Rep. p. 557 d) in the contracted conjugations in  $\dot{\epsilon}\omega$  and  $\dot{\epsilon}\omega$  (that in  $\dot{\epsilon}\omega$  being  $\dot{\psi}\eta\nu$ ). It is also sometimes found, as here, in barytone verbs, not merely in the present, but also in the perf., aor. 2, and future.  $\parallel$   $\pi$ e $\rho$ 1 $\sigma$ 0 $\dot{\nu}$ 0, important (lit. what is over and above). Eur. Hipp. 437.

842-7. ληστάς ώς. See 15, 224. | κατακτείνειαν. This Aeolic form of the 1st aor. opt. was used by the Attics, after the example of the Ionians and Dorians, but only in the 2nd and 3rd pers. sing and 3rd pers. plur. The common form however also occurs in Homer and the Attic writers. ἀλγύvais 448. See Lection. || τον αὐτον ἀριθμόν, the same (i.e. plural) number; but we must render, if he still speak of many, as before, grammatical terms not having been in those days introduced. | τοι̂s πολλοι̂s, the many, spoken of as the murderers of Laius. || οἰόζωνον, alone. The latter part of the compound word (from ζώννυσθαι, to gird one's self, for a journey &c.) must not be accounted useless, as it confines the application of the word to one travelling alone. This apparent redundancy in Greek compound adjectives is very common. Aj. 251, δικρατείς 'Ατρείδαι: 390, δισσάρχας βασιλής: 844, πανδήμου στρατού: Ε. Alc. 427, μελαμπέπλω στολή. So in this play 26, αγέλαις βουνόμοις. As a traveller is here called οἰόζωνος, a sailor is called μονόκωπος ἀνὴρ in E. Hel. 1128.  $\parallel$  ἐστὶν...εις ἐμὲ ῥέπον, inclines towards, points towards me. So A. Plut. 51, οὐκ ἔσθ' ὅπως ὁ χρησμὸς εἰς τοῦτο ρέπει. The  $\epsilon$  is lengthened in arsis before initial  $\dot{\rho}$ .

848-50.  $\dot{\omega}s$   $\phi a \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \gamma \epsilon \tau o \ddot{\nu} \pi o s$   $\dot{\omega} \dot{\delta}' \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \sigma \tau a \sigma o$ . The participle with  $\dot{\omega}s$ occurs for  $\delta\tau\iota$  with the finite verb or for the mere participle after the verbs είδέναι, νοείν, διακείσθαι την γνώμην, έχειν γνώμην, where in Latin the acc. with the infin. is used. These last verbs, in this case, usually assume  $o\ddot{v}\tau\omega$ (here  $\delta\delta\epsilon$ ) and generally come in the sentence after the participle. Phil. 253, ώς μηδέν είδότ' ἴσθι μ' ών ἀνιστορεῖς. 415, 567. Aj. 281. Ant. 1063. Tr. 289. A noun or accus. part. with ws sometimes follows the verbs 'to say, to announce, to think.' See 625, 955. || φανέν. φαίνειν is frequently used by the Attic poets in this sense of to publish, to declare. See 474, 525. Ant. 620, σοφία γάρ έκ του κλεινόν έπος πέφανται. Τr. 1, λόγος μέν έστ' άρχαίος ἀνθρώπων φανείς, where also we may observe ἐστι φανείς =  $\pi$ έφανται. See note on 90. Cp. also ἀχὼ τηλεφανης a sound heard from afar, Phil. 189: προύφάνη κτύπος, Phil. 202. || έκβαλεῖν πάλιν, lit. 'to reject back again', and therefore, to retract: the notion of change in retracting being conveyed by the word  $\pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \nu$ , the sense of which word is frequently the same as that of evantion, according to Toup on Suidas, vol. 11. p. 16. Cp. Callim. Lav. Pall. 97, δία γύναι, μετά πάντα βαλεῦ πάλιν ὅσσα δι' ὀργὰν εἶπας. This sense of πάλιν is very clear in the term παλινωδία, palinode.

851-8. ἐκτρέποιτο, swerve from.  $\parallel$  οὔτοι κ.τ.λ. He certainly will never show the murder of Laius done with strict propriety (δικαίως ὀρθόν), since Loxias distinctly declared that from my son he was fated to meet his drath.  $\parallel$  σόν γε, the reading adopted by Wunder and Dindorf, is a conj. of Bothe for τόν γε, and may be true, but is not essential. See ὀρθόν ἔπος, 505.  $\parallel$  ὄν γε corresponds to quippe quem in Latin.  $\parallel$  ἐκεῖνος is the only form used in prose; κεῖνος is used for it in Attic poetry only where elegance or necessity of metre requires. Again, γε makes κεῖνος emphatic as it does μαντείας.  $\parallel$  ὤστε κ.τ.λ., so that on account of (οὕνεκα) the prophetic art I would neither look this way nor that hereafter (so little do I value it). So Teucer, wishing to shew hor that hereafter for Menelaus, says, Aj. 1116, τοῦ δὲ σοῦ ψόφου οὐκ ᾶν στραφείην. Cp. γ24, ὧν ἐντρέπου σὐ μηδέν, and 1226. The sense of turning oneself to look at, readily passes into that of regarding, valuing.

859–62. τὸν ἐργάτην, the labourer: here the shepherd: ἔργον being used especially of farming work.  $\parallel$  στελοῦντα, to fetch (lit. to make him set off). E. Hec. 731, ἤκω δ' ἀποστελῶν σε.  $\parallel$  ὧν οὔ σοι φίλον, i.e. ἐκείνων, ἄ με πρᾶξαι οὔ σοι φίλον ἐστί. Cp. Phil. 1227, ἔπραξας ἔργον ποῖον ὧν οὐ σοὶ πρέπον;

#### STASIMON II. (863—910).

(Outline). In this second Stasimon Sophocles designs by the mouth of the Chorus to express the displeasure and alarm excited by the impious sentiments of the queen, and the criminal acts of which both she and Oedipus were guilty; she in exposing to death her newborn babe, he by yielding to the impulse of sudden wrath and killing four persons for a mere act of rudeness. They pray that they may be enabled ever to obey the laws prescribed from heaven. "T\$\textit{\textit{pus}},\textit{ they say, overweening and insolent pride, is the source of tyranny, which proceeds in its evil and dangerous course, till destruction comes. They pray to the god their champion that the city may not be arrested in the struggle which honour and duty require. The doer of unholy deeds, the speaker of unholy words, deserve an evil destiny. For if such things are to be unpunished, what avail religious acts, like those of a Chorus? What avails the worship offered in the temples? They invoke the protection of almighty Zeus at a moment when Apollo and all the sanctities of religion seem to be set at nought.

(Notes). 863-5. El  $\mu o \dots \kappa \cdot \tau \cdot \lambda$ . I pray that it may be my lot to maintain (lit. I wish that fate may dwell with me maintaining) the reverent purity of all words and deeds.... When  $\epsilon l$  is used, as here, in the sense of I wish that, the sentence is elliptical, the apodosis, such as  $\epsilon \tilde{v}$  are  $\xi \chi o l$ , being omitted. El  $\gamma \delta \rho$  is more commonly used when the fulfilment is possible,  $\epsilon \tilde{v} \theta \epsilon$  when impossible.  $\parallel \xi v r \epsilon l \eta$ . See 274,  $\dot{v} \mu \tilde{v} r \cdot ... \dot{\eta}$   $\tau \epsilon \sigma \dot{v} \mu \mu \alpha \chi o s$   $\delta l \kappa \eta \chi o l$ 

πάντες εὖ ξυνεῖεν εἰσαεὶ θεοί. Cp. O. C. 7, 946, 1244. For this use of φέρειν (= φέρεσθαι) cp. Ant. 1090. For the use of the particip. 296 ῷ μὴ ἀστὶ δρῶντι τάρβος. 317 ἔνθα μὴ τέλη λύη φρονοῦντι.  $\parallel$  εὔσεπτον = εὐσεβῆ, as ἀσέπτων = ἀσεβῶν 890.

1

3

865-6. The νόμοι which Soph. speaks of here as set forth (πρόκεινται) are the laws written in the heart of man, which were supposed to have been enacted by the gods. Cp. Xen. Mem. IV. 4. 19. Plat. Legg. VII. p. 793. Aristot. Eth. V. 15. Polit. III. 18 and our poet himself Ant. 450, &c. ὑψίποδες, lofty, transcendental. The poet perhaps intends to express by this epithet that they are not affected by locality, having authority over all mankind.

867.  $\delta i'$  al $\theta \epsilon \rho a$ .  $\delta i \dot{a}$  with acc.: through, as with the gen.: in Homer, Pindar, and Tragedy. Hence in, when the whole extent of a place is meant. In the prose writers this usage is not found.  $Al\theta \dot{\eta} \rho$  is the upper clear blue sky;  $\dot{a}\dot{\eta} \rho$  the lower, the atmosphere. See Hom. II.  $\xi'$ . 288,  $\delta i'$   $\dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \rho os$   $al\theta \dot{\epsilon} \rho''$  kauper.  $Al\theta \dot{\eta} \rho$  is either mass. or fem. in all writers. ' $A\dot{\eta} \rho$ , the air, is mass. according to Damm, and fem. when it means mist.  $\parallel$  "Ourtoos, the seat of the gods, is taken here for the gods themselves, as we use heaven frequently for God in heaven.

869-72. θνατὰ φύσις ἀνέρων=θνατοὶ ἀνέρες. So φύσις is used to shew that which is attributed to anything, as belonging to it by nature.  $\parallel$  κατακοιμάσει, lull them to sleep, i.e. destroy their power.  $\parallel$  μέγας κ.τ.λ., great is the god (the divine power) residing in them.

873. 'Τβρις φυτεύει τύραννον. Insolence (insolent impiety opposed to τὰν εὔσεπτον ἀγνείαν in v. 864) produces (the temper and disposition of) a tyrant: i.e. the violator of the divine laws is ever ready to oppress his country's freedom. Soph. uses τύραννος here in the sense it had acquired in his own time, i.e. of a person who usurps the sovereign power in a state; a private person, not a king; one who establishes a monarchy in an aristocratic or democratic state, such as those of Greece and Sicily. As the sentiment seems not to have any close reference to the conduct either of Oedipus or of Jocasta, Wunder follows Musgrave in thinking that Soph. alludes here to his own times, and wishes to caution Athens against Alcibiades. So in Aj. 158—161, he is said to blame the fickleness of his fellow-citizens, and their wanton conduct towards the leaders of the state. But these views do not consist with the date ascribed to the Oed. Tyr., B.C. 429, Ol. 83, 3; for Alcibiades was not a prominent politician till 8 years later.

874-5.  $\epsilon l \ \pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa.\tau.\lambda.$ , if it be gorged for no good with many things which are neither seasonable nor advantageous; i.e. when it shall have gained wealth and power by many crimes. On  $\epsilon l$  with the subjunctive see 198.

876-7. τάκρότατον κ.τ.λ. On readings in this corrupt place see Lection. Render, by climbing to the highest mountain peak it hath hurried to

879–882. τὸ καλῶς δ' ἔχον πόλει πάλαισμα &c., but the struggle which is honourable to the city, (that of the good against the bad citizens, in defence of the divine laws), I pray that the god may never abolish. This is Wunder's interpr., who adds that the Chorus in this general expression intimate also their wish that Apollo would cause the investigation into the murder of Laius to be completed. If we confine the meaning of the clause to this latter thought, we may render λῦσαι, break off, i. e. leave incomplete.  $\parallel$  προστάταν, patron, champion.

883-8. Musgr. finds in these lines many characteristic traits of Alcibiades; his violence, his contempt of justice, his luxury, and his irreverence towards the gods, in the opinion, at least, of his fellow-citizens. See Thucyd. VI. 27, 8,9. But even if Soph. intended this allusion, the passage refers primarily to Oedipus and Jocasta. See note on 873.

883-6. ὑπέροπτα πορεύεται, walks or proceeds insolently i.e. conducts himself with insolence. ὑπέροπτα (the neuter plural, which is generally used in the case of verbals) for ὑπερόπτως. Ε. Ion 717, λαιψηρὰ πηδῷ. Nauck reads ὑπέροπλα. || Δίκας ἀφόβητος, having no fear of Justice; in an active sense, see Pors. on E. Hec. 1117. For constr. 190, ἄχαλκος ἀσπίδων, 969, ἄψαυστος ἔγχους, Τr. 23, ἀταρβὴς τῆς θεῶς. || δαιμόνων ἔδη. "Εδος, τὸ ἄγαλμα, καὶ ὁ τόπος ἐν ῷ ἴδρυται, Tim. L. Plat. Wunder J. and others take the word here to mean 'statues', as in El. 1374, χωρεῦν ἔσω, πατρῷα προσκύσανθ' ἔδη θεῶν. Cp. Ae. Pers. 404, θεῶν τε πατρώων ἔδη.

887-8. ἔλοι, seize upon; ἔλοιτο, seize upon as her own so as to carry off with her. || δυσπότμου, impious, ἀνοσίου, Schol. So δύστηνος in 790: El. 121, & παι, παι δυστανοτάτας ματρός, 806, Phil. 1016, Aj. 1290. τλήμων also is used in the same sense, El. 275, Phil. 363. || χλιδάς, priae; properly that which arises from luxury and wealth.

889-94. The corrections edited in these lines do not change the general sense, but they are meant to be improvements in Greek expression and in elegance. In places like this no scholar can venture to suppose he has restored exactly what the poet wrote: he can only do his best. In 889-92 the sequence of particles,  $\epsilon l$   $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ,  $\kappa \alpha l$ ,  $\ddot{\eta}$ , is unperspicuous and bad: and the agglomeration of articles inelegant and unnecessary. To remedy these blots, I have written  $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon$  for  $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \dot{\delta}$ ,  $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau'$  ov for  $\kappa \alpha l$   $\tau \dot{\omega} \nu$ , and  $\kappa \alpha l$  (taking this from 890) for  $\ddot{\eta}$ . These changes are very slight, while the improvement is great. I have printed the Attic form  $\epsilon l \dot{\nu} \rho \xi \epsilon \tau \alpha l$ , though it is possible that in a chorus Soph. may have chosen to use the Homeric  $\epsilon \rho \xi \epsilon \tau \alpha l$ . I have not

altered έξεται, because I strongly doubt the genuineness of ἀθίκτων, as the word follows so soon. I have suggested  $d\pi \epsilon \nu \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ , abominable things, as very suitable to the two verbs following. The next verse, and the antistrophic one, are both so corrupt that all editors are obliged, more or less, to apply an aκος τομαίον. Whether my best is the best, I do not pretend to determine. All I can say is—it is Greek, and gives fair sense. || μήτ' οὖν ασέπτων εξρξεται, and will therefore (because he does not get his gain justly) not refrain himself from impious acts. See 864. ἔργω, εἴργω, inclose, keep off, the first form in Homer and Herod.; Homer also has frequently  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\rho\gamma\omega$ , but  $\epsilon \ell \rho \gamma \omega$  only once, II.  $\psi'$ . 72. The Attics use  $\epsilon \ell \rho \gamma \omega$  in the sense of keep off, especially in the compounds  $d\pi \epsilon i \rho \gamma \omega$  &c.; in the sense of inclose some think they aspirate it.  $\parallel \kappa \alpha i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa.\tau.\lambda.$ , and shall in his rashness cling to things abominable. Aesch. Ag. ἀπευκτὰ πήματα. "Αθικτα would be 'things that should be sacred from his touch'. To suit this J., after Bl., reads θίξεται, but would Soph. write so two lines after κέρδος κερδανεί? | ματάζων from μάτην, in rash folly. See 874.

892-4.  $\tau$ is èv  $\tau$ oioî $\sigma$ ô'  $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$ ., what man amid such acts shall boast that he wards off from his life the shafts of gods, i. e. that he is safe from divine vengeance? N. reads  $\theta \nu \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ , which would be specious, if  $\theta \nu \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$  were ever found in the plural. || For the readings in these lines see Lection. I have treated  $\xi \tau \iota \tau \sigma \tau$  as a corrupt gloss drawn from 1084, I read  $\tau o \iota o \hat{\omega} \sigma \hat{\sigma}$  for  $\tau o \hat{\omega} \sigma \hat{\sigma}$ ,  $\beta \xi \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$  for  $\theta \nu \mu \hat{\omega} \beta \xi \lambda \eta$ ,  $\xi \nu \xi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$  for  $\xi \rho \xi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ .

896. τί δεὶ με χορεύειν; The sacred dancing at the festivals of the gods, especially in the theatre of Dionysus, is taken here for the reverence and worship due to the gods in general.

897-9. ἄθικτον, sacred, inviolable, is applied to the whole temple and oracle at Delphi, indicated here by its most remarkable feature, the δμφαλός, which in itself was the white stone that stood within the temple, and was supposed to be the centre of the earth, as being the place where the two eagles or doves met, that had been let loose by Zeus from the extremities of the earth. || τὸν "Αβαισι ναόν. Herodotus VIII. 33, mentions that the temple at Abae in Phocis was plundered and burnt in the invasion of Xerxes. He says of it, ένθα ήν ίρον 'Απόλλωνος πλούσιον, θησαύροισί τε καὶ αναθήμασι πολλοίσι κατεσκευασμένον ήν δε και τότε, και νῦν ἐστί, χρηστήριον αὐτόθι. Pausanias, Phoc. § 35, gives a full description of it. It is one of the six Grecian oracles, to which, as Herod. I. 46 mentions, Cræsus sent to make inquiry. These were Delphi, Abae, Dodona, those of Amphiaraus and Trophonius, and Branchidae near Miletus. || τὰν 'Ολυμπίαν, το Olympia (in Elis). Divination by fire-omens (εμπυρομαντεία) in the temple of Zeus at Olympia was the duty of the Iamidae, an hereditary priesthood there, and was regarded as most authoritative. See Pind. Ol. viii. 2, ανδρες εμπύροις τεκμαιρόμενοι παραπειρώνται Διος άρχικεραύνου.

901-2. εἰ τάδε if these things μη ἀρμόσει, shall not agree with events χειρόδεικτα πάσι βροτοῖς, as things for all men to point the finger at: more freely, 'if the principles I have laid down shall not be brought home to the minds of all men by the issues of human life'; alluding specially to the prospects of Oedipus and Jocasta. But the sentiment is very obscurely expressed.

903-4.  $\epsilon l\pi \epsilon \rho \delta \rho \theta' ἀκούεις — πάντ' ἀνάσσων, if thou art rightly called the ruler of all things. Cp. Hor. Sat. II. 6. 20 Matutine pater, seu Jane libentius audis, and Milton, Par. Lost, III. 8, "Or hear'st thou rather pure ethereal stream". Ae. Ag. 161, Ζεὸς ὅστις ποτ' ἐστίν, εἰ τόδ' αὐτῷ φίλον κεκλημένω, τοῦτό νιν προσεννέπω. 'Ανάσσειν usually governs the gen.: 1105, ὁ Κυλλάνας ἀνάσσων: Aj. 1100, 1102, and also the dat. E. Iph. T. 31, οὖ γῆς ἀνάσσει βαρβάροισι βάρβαρος, and so with both cases in Homer Il. α΄. 38, 288. But an adverbial construction belongs to πάντα, as to neuter pronous, which would not be excusable with other words. Cp. 575, πάντ' ἰχνεύειν, and perhaps 88, πάντ' ἄν εὐτυχεῖν. || μὴ λάθοι. Cp. Ε. Med. 332, Ζεῦ, μὴ λάθοι σε τῶνδ' δε αίτιος κακῶν.$ 

905-906. φθ....ἐξαιροῦσων, they (alluding to Jocasta) are easting off to decay; the participle φθίνοντα being proleptically used. This is better than the interpretation of the Scholiast, which Wunder adopts, παλαιά, παρεληλυθότα. || παλαιὰ Λαΐου θέσφατα, the oracles given of yore to Laius, taking the Scholiast's παλαιὰ into the text with Triclinius. See Lection. || τιμαῖς ἐμφανης = ἐμφανῶς τιμᾶται. So Ellendt. || ἔρρει δὲ τὰ θεῖα, the respect due to the gods is gone. Cp. E. Tro. 27, ἐρημία γὰρ πόλιν ὅταν λάβη κακή, νοσεῖ τὰ τῶν θεῶν οὐδὲ τιμᾶσθαι θέλει.

# EPEISODION III. (911—1085).

(Outline). In this third Epeisodion, the plot finds a new development. Jocasta comes out of the palace, carrying wreaths and incense for the altars of the gods, whom she wishes to propitiate. While she is so engaged, from the eastern stage entrance comes in a Messenger from Corinth, inquiring for the royal palace and for Oedipus. The Chorus give him the information, noticing the presence of the queen. The Messenger salutes her respectfully in the third person, and, after receiving courteous replies, he informs her that Polybus king of Corinth is dead, and that the choice of his successor seems likely to fall on Oedipus. Astonished and in fact rejoiced by this apparent proof of the falsehood of the oracle given to Oedipus, Jocasta sends for him. On his appearance he questions the Corinthian, and learns from his mouth the truth of the announcement. Now he concurs with Jocasta in declaring the fallacy of oracles, but, in spite of this opinion, he is still superstitious enough to say that he will never visit Corinth while Merope lives, on account of the evil prophecy,

affecting, as he believes, her and himself. The attention of the Messenger being awakened by this language, he ventures to inquire what the peril is which Oedipus dreads. On learning it, he, in his zeal and hope of conferring an obligation on the king, hastens to assure him that he is not in reality the son of Polybus and Merope. The eager interrogation from Oedipus which ensues brings to light the story of his exposure as an infant on Cithaeron, of his being given by a shepherd of Laius to this Corinthian messenger, who carried him to his own city and there gave him to Merope. She, with the consent of Polybus, being childless, reared him as their own son. On Oedipus asking if the messenger knew his real parentage, he denies this, and refers him to the shepherd from whom he received the infant. The Chorus, being questioned, express their belief that the person meant is the very shepherd, already sent for, who had witnessed the death of Laius: but this, they say, queen Jocasta will best know. To her Oedipus turns. But she, who had listened to the tale in silent horror, which the diverted attention of the rest had hindered them from noting, answers in broken words, earnestly beseeching Oedipus to pursue the inquiry no farther. He, totally mistaking her motive, and supposing that her highborn pride repels with disgust the proof that she had stooped to become the wife of a base-born peasant's son, insists on completing the discovery of his parentage, declaring that he regards himself as the child of bounteous Fortune, who found him little, but made him great. With the terrible irony of his ignorance he therefore avers that he has no just motive for hesitating to unveil the whole truth. Jocasta had already rushed in frantic agony through the palace door, after bidding a last farewell to the doomed man.

(Notes). 911–13. χώρας ἄνακτες, chiefs of the land; =  $\tilde{\omega}$  γης μέγιστα τησδ' ἀεὶ τιμώμενοι, 1223. See note on 80. O. C. 831,  $\tilde{\omega}$  γης ἄνακτες. Ant. 988, Θήβης ἄνακτες. 940, Θήβης οἱ κοιρανίδαι.  $\parallel$  δόξα μοι παρεστάθη = ξδοξέ μοι, I have thought it good. Ant. 1111, δόξα τηδό' ἐπεστράφη. παρεστάθη, has presented itself to me. The verb παρίστασθαι is frequently thus used, with δόξα for the most part, or at least τοῦτο, but sometimes alone, of thoughts which arise from the circumstances of the time, Cp. Plut. Nic. c. 9. δόξα τε παρέστη τοῖς πλείστοις ἀπαλλαγήν κακῶν σαφή γεγονέναι. Thuc. vi. 48, καὶ παραστήτω παντί, τὸ μὲν καταφρονεῖν τοὺς ἐπιόντας ἐν τῶν ἔργων τῆ ἀλκῆ δείκνυσθαι. Plat. Phaed. § 5 with Heindorf's note. Elmsley quotes Rhes. 780, καὶ μοι καθ' ὕπονο δόξα τις παρίσταται, where the same expression is used, but in another sense.  $\parallel ναούς$ , here not shrines, but altars.  $\parallel στέφη = στέμματα$ , wreathed boughs or rods: see 3.  $\parallel ἐπιθυμιάματα$ , offerings of incense; see 5.

914. ὑψοῦ αἴρει θυμόν, keeps his mind in an excited state. So Apoll. Rhod. III. 368, ὑψοῦ δὲ χόλῳ φρένες ἠερέθοντο, and Polyb. III. 82, 2,

μετέωρος καὶ θυμοῦ πλήρης. The metaphor seems to be derived from a ship being μετέωρος, on the high seas, and tossed by the waves. The Greeks assign a voluntary character to involuntary effects, bodily or mental. Thus φύειν φρένας, O. C. 804. El. 1463. Herod. II. 68, γλώσσαν δὲ μοῦνον βηρίων οὐκ ἔφυσε (ὁ κροκόδειλος). Aristoph. Ran. 418, ὃς ἐπτέτης ὢν οὐκ ἔφυσε φράτορας. So S. Aj. 1077, κῶν σῶμα γεννήση μέγα. O. C. 1625, στῆσαι φόβω δείσαντας ἐξαίφνης τρίχας. Plat. Phaed. p. 118 Β, τὰ ὅμματα ἔστησε, said of Socrates when dying. E. Hel. 632, γέγηθα, κρατὶ δ᾽ ορθίους ἐθείρας ἀνεπτέρωκα. Homer says of a dead body, Il. Χ 99, πυρῆς ἐπιβάντ ἀλεγεινῆς. || οὐδὲ...τεκμαίρεται κ.τ.λ., and judges not of the new (oracles) by the old, i.e. judges not of the prophecies of Teiresias by the oracle given to Laius, which in Jocasta's opinion is proved false. || ἔστι τοῦ λέγοντος κ.τ.λ., he gives himself up to any speaker, if he speak alarms. A. Eq. 860, μὴ τοῦ λέγοντος ἴσθι. For the opt. εἰ λεγοί, cp. 979, εἰκῆ κράτιστον ζῆν, ὅπως δύναιτό τις. See 315, and Lection.

018-21. οὐδὲν ἐς πλέον ποιῶ, I effect nothing further=I meet with no success. Cp. E. Hipp. 284, είς πῶν ἀφίγμαι κοὐδὲν εἴργασμαι πλέον. Plat. Apol. Socr. § 2, πλέον τί με ποιησαι ἀπολογούμενον. || Λύκειε. See 16 and 253. || ἄγχιστος. πρὸ τῶν θυρῶν γὰρ ἴδρυτο. Schol. || κατεύγμασιν. votive offerings. Wu.'s r. κατάργμασιν, first-fruits, is unneeded. | εὐαγῆ. εὐαγές, ἀγνόν, καθαρόν, εὐσεβές, ὅσιον, εὕσεπτον, ἄγιον. Hesych. Το the same effect Pollux, Onom. p. 12, ed. Dind., καὶ τὰ πράγματα, τὸ μέν, άγιον, καθαρόν, ὅσιον, ἀγνόν, εὐαυγές (εὐαγές), ἄχραντον τὸ δὲ ἐναντίον, έναγές, έξάγιστον, δυσαγές, μιαρόν κ.τ.λ. Ruhnken on Timaeus, v. άγος, says: 'from άγος or άγος comes άγιος, άγνός, άγνίζω, άζομαι, and the Latin sacio, sancio, sanctus, sacer, sagmen, &c.' The first meaning of ayos is purity producing veneration. The second meaning is a thing devoted to God. And as the Romans called a man sacer, whose life they had devoted to the Deity for some crime, so the Greeks also came to use ayos of a crime that required an expiation. The opposite meanings of the word may be seen in the derivatives, ἐναγής, polluted by crime, εὐαγής, pure, chaste. We may render εὐαγη λύσιν a deliverance free from guilt: but the word itself clearly refers to Oedipus, and the expression is equivalent (by prolepsis) to λύσιν τοιαύτην, ώστε εὐαγη τὸν Οιδίπουν φαίνεσθαι. On the connection of εὐαγη with λύσιν instead of Οιδίπους cp. O. C. 1062, 1243, 1495. Ant. 355, 999. Tr. 609. Phil. 208, 692, 1091. El. 699. Ae. Ag. 10, άλώσιμον βάξιν. Sept. 635, άλώσιμον παιάνα. The expectation of such a λύσιs from the Corinthian messenger is fearfully disappointed.

923. ώς κυβερνήτην νεώς as though he were the pilot of a vessel in which we were embarked, or, being, as he is, the pilot of the vessel of our state. This metaphor is frequent in this play. See 22. 694-6.

Here the Corinthian messenger enters from the eastern passage.

- 924. The messenger who now comes on the stage to announce the death of Polybus and the choice of Oedipus to be king of Corinth, turns out to be the person who received Oedipus when exposed, and gave him to Polybus.
- 928. γυνή δὲ μήτηρ. These two words are perhaps, as Musgr. imagines, intended to strike the mind by their ill-boding conjunction.
- 929–30. ἀλλ' δλβία, blessed then. ἀλλὰ is frequently thus used before a prayer or wish.  $\parallel \pi \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \dot{\eta} s$ , complete, is a word difficult to render, as an epithet of δάμαρ. Perhaps our idiom happy wife, may fairly answer to the Greek idea.
- 931-4. αὕτως, 'exactly so', from ὁ αὐτός, in the Attic poets, particularly Soph.: as we say, the same to you.  $\parallel \tau \hat{\eta} s$  εὐεπείας, your courteous speech.  $\parallel \delta \delta \mu$ οις τε καὶ πόσει τῷ σῷ. τε—καὶ are often thus used like the Latin, quum—tum, the first marking the class, the second the individual. Cp. Homer's  $T\rho \hat{\omega}$ ές τε καὶ TΕκτωρ. See 64.
- 935. Jocasta asks what are those tidings? but in the same breath inquires from whom he is come, surprised that a person of his inferior rank should bring good news, and therefore desiring to know his authority. Whether  $\pi\alpha\rho\dot{\alpha}$  (as I edit) or  $\pi\rho\dot{\alpha}$  be read, two of course means, what person. But the Corinthian first answers whence he came, evading his authority, and saying I come from Corinth; then alludes to his news as of a chequered nature; but, in a true Greek spirit, he takes care to merit the evarythia first by announcing the expected succession of Oed. to the throne of Corinth.
- 936–7. τὸ δ΄ ἔπος οὐξερῶ τάχ΄ ἂν ἥδοιο μέν. So 785, τὰ μὲν κείνοιν ἐτερπόμην.  $\parallel$  πῶς δ΄ οὐκ ἄν; Cp. 1438, ἔδρασ΄ ἄν, εὖ τοῦτ' ἴσθ' ἄν. El. 365, οὐδ ἂν σύ, σώφρων γ΄ οὖσα. Ae. Prom. 758. ΠΡ. ἥδοι΄ ἄν, οὖμαι, τήνδ' ἰδοῦσα συμφοράν. IΩ. πῶς δ' οὐκ ἄν; The ἂν in this clause exerts a force upon ἀσχάλλοις, but perhaps thou may'st grieve.
- 943-9. See Lection.  $\parallel$  w'  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\dot{\epsilon}$ , the pass ye've reached! an exclamation, not a question.  $\parallel$  Observe the distinction of  $\pi\rho\delta s$  and  $\dot{\nu}\pi\dot{\delta}$  with gen., the former expressing indirect agency, through chance, the latter direct agency, by this man.  $\parallel$  ov $\dot{\delta}\dot{\epsilon}$ , and not, or not alone; in Latin 'non'. Matthiae says: 'if a positive proposition is opposed to a negative one, so that what was first affirmed in one shape  $(\delta\lambda\omega\lambda\epsilon\nu)$  is denied in another, not only is ov or  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  used, but also ov $\dot{\delta}\dot{\epsilon}$ ,  $\mu\eta\delta\dot{\epsilon}$ , also  $\kappa\alpha\dot{\epsilon}$  ov or  $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda'$  ov.'
- 953-6. σεμνά, ironically used: σεμνός = σεβ-νος. || ώς. See note on 848. 957. Though I edit σημάντωρ, σημήνας is quite admissible, cp. Aj. 588, μη προδούς ήμας γένη, Phil. 772, μη...κτείνας γένη.
- 959-61.  $\theta$ ανάσιμον =νεκρόν. So Aj. 513. Ε. Hec. 1033. With the expression  $\theta$ ανάσιμον  $\beta$ εβηκότα compare Phil. 414, οἴχεται  $\theta$ ανών. do.

425, 6, El. 1152, θανών φροῦδος.  $\parallel$  νόσου ξυναλλαγη, intervention, visitation of disease: see note on 34.  $\parallel$  ροπή, impulse. It is properly the sinking of one side of a balance, the turn of the scale. Compare with the whole line Plato, Rep. VIII. p. 556, ὤσπερ σῶμα νοσῶδες μικρᾶς ροπης ἔξωθεν δεῖται προσλαβέσθαι πρὸς τὸ κάμνειν.

963.  $\kappa\alpha^{1}\tau\hat{\varphi}$   $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$ . yes, and also in accordance with his advanced time of life. See 73.

965-7. τὴν πυθδμαντιν ἐστίαν = τὴν μαντικὴν Πυθοῦς ἐστίαν. Ae. Cho. 1030, τὸν πυθόμαντιν Λοξίαν, 900 Λοξίου μαντεύματα τὰ πυθόχρηστα. Έστία from its original sense, the domestic hearth, hence the altar of the household gods, passes into that of any consecrated place, a temple, as here.  $\parallel$  κλάζοντας, screaming; see Ant. 1002.  $\parallel$  ὧν ὑφηγητῶν, sc. ὄντων, according to whose indications. So in Latin, quibus ducibus. Cp. 1260, ὡς υφηγητοῦ τινος.  $\parallel$  κτανεῖν ἔμελλον, I was destined to kill. After μέλλω the infin. of the future is most common; next that of the aorist, then that of the present: never that of the perfect.  $\parallel$  Eight concurrent short syllables,  $\pi$ ατέρα τὸν ἐμόν, ὁ δὲ are a metrical boldness, somewhat eased by the pause after ἐμόν.

968-9. κεύθει = κεύθεται. So κεκευθότοιν Ant. 911, κεύθων Aj. 624, κέκευθεν El. 868. κεύθει δή, is now surely hidden.  $\parallel$  ἄψανστος ἔγχους, without touching a weapon. Cp. 885. ὕποπτος, πιστός, μεμπτός, ἀμφίπληκτος, ἄθικτος are all thus used at times in an active sense.  $\parallel$  τάμ $\hat{\mu}$  πόθ $\hat{\mu}$ , through regret for me. As the genitive is often used objectively, the possessive pronouns too are used, though rarely, in the same sense: cp. 16, 337, 572. O·C. 332, 1413. El. 343.  $\parallel$  κατέφθιτο, he pined to death.

971-2. συλλαβών, having taken away with him. So O. C. 1384, συλλαβών άράs. Phil. 577, ἔκπλει σεαυτὸν συλλαβών.  $\parallel$  ἄξι' οὐδενός, proleptic: so that they are worthless = as worthless.

975-6. εἰς θυμὸν βάλης, take to heart. El. 1847, ἐς θυμὸν φέρω.  $\parallel$  καὶ πῶς advances an objection: πῶς καὶ asks for information.

977-83. ἄνθρωπος, man.  $\parallel \mathring{\psi}$  τὰ τῆς τύχης κρατεῖ κ.τ.λ., in whose case fortune is all-powerful, and who has no clear foreknowledge of anything; τὰ τῆς τύχης = ἡ τύχη. Cp. 785. As to the former part of the sentiment cp. Ant. 1158, τύχη γὰρ ὁρθοῖ καὶ τύχη καταρρέπει τὸν εὐτυχοῦντα τόν τε δυστυχοῦντ' ἀεί, καὶ μάντις οὐδεὶς τῶν καθεστώτων βροτοῖς. Thuc. IV. 62, τὸ δὲ ἀστάθμητον τοῦ μέλλοντος ὡς ἐπὶ πλεῖστον κρατεῖ. As to the latter cp. Pind. Ol. XII. 10, σύμβολον δ' οὕπω τις ἐπιχθονίων πιστὸν ἀμφὶ πράξιος ἐσσομένας εὖρεν θεόθεν.  $\parallel$  εἰκῆ, at random.  $\parallel$  ὅπως δύναιτό τις. See 315, 917.  $\parallel$  εἰς in regard to. Tr. 1211, εἰ φοβεῖ πρὸς τοῦτο.  $\parallel$  παρ' οὐδέν, of no account. See Cic. Div. I. 29, Plat. Rep. p. 572.

986–8. πᾶσ' ἀνάγκη. See 823.  $\parallel$  ὀφθαλμός, comfort. E. Andr. 406, εἶs παῖς ὅδ' ἡν μοι λοιπὸς ὀφθαλμὸς βίου.  $\parallel$  τῆς ζώσης φόβος. E. Andr. 1059, γυναικὸς αἰχμαλωτίδος φόβ $\varphi$ .

- 991. τί δ' ἔστ' κ.τ.λ., what is there in her tending to fear? See 517.
- 993. ἢ οὐχὶ θεμιτόν. So codd. But Elms. Wu. speciously read ἢ οὐ θεμιστόν. Bl. J. suggest ἢ οὐκ ἄλλοισι θεμιτόν.
- 996. That  $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \hat{\varphi} \circ \nu$  a that  $\hat{\varphi} \circ \hat{\varphi} \circ \hat{\psi} \circ$
- 997. πάλαι μακραν ἀπωκείτο, had long been quitted for another habitation, a very bold phrase, in which the imperf. = pluperf.
- 1003. After  $\tau i$  ov an aor, often follows where a presemight be looked for: the action being thus represented as one which should have happened already. Cp. Ae. Pr. 741, E. Herac. 805, A. Vesp. 213, Lys. 181.
- 1005–6. τοῦτο, ταῦτα very often mean on this account, α, ο, οτι on which account. Ε. And. 212, ταῦτα τοί σ' ἔχθει πόσις. Ο. C. 1191, α δ' ἢλθον ἢδη σοι θέλω λέξαι, πάτερ. Α. Plut. 966, ἀλλ' ο τι μάλιστ' ἐλήλυθας λέγειν σ' ἐχρῆν.  $\parallel$  εδ πράξαιμί τι, I might gain some advantage: the well known sense of εδ πράσσειν.
- 1007. ἀλλ' οὅποτ' εἶμι τ. φ. ὁμοῦ, but I will never encounter my parents. The mother alone survives: but the original motive included both. See 1176, where τοὺς τεκόντας applies to the latter only, but the plural is loosely used.
- 1008.  $\kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega}s$ : often so used with verbs of knowing; here it strengthens  $\epsilon \hat{t} \delta \hat{\eta} \lambda \sigma s$ . Render: thou very clearly knowest not what thou art doing.
- 1011. έξέλθη σαφής. Cf. v. 1182, τὰ πάντ' ἄν ἐξήκοι σαφῆ. 1084, οὐκ  $\mathring{a}$ ν ἐξέκθοιμ' ἔτι ποτ' ἄλλος. 953, τὰ σέμν'  $\mathring{b}$ ν' ἤκει &c. 1519, ἀλλὰ θεοῖς γ' ἔχθιστος ἤκω. σαφής, true. See v. 390, O. C. 623, εἰ Ζεὺς ἔτι Ζεὺς χὰ Διὸς Φοίβος σαφής. 792, ὄσφπερ καὶ σαφεστέρων κλύω Φοίβου τε καὐτοῦ Ζηνός.
- 1016. οὐδὲν ἐν γένει=οὐδὲν ἐγγενής, so v. 1430. And similarly El. 1124, ἐν δυσμενεία γ' οὖσα.
- 1019. καὶ  $\pi \hat{\omega}s$  κ.τ.λ., and how is my father equal to no father at all? Oed, still speaks of Polybus as  $\dot{o}$   $\phi \dot{\omega} \sigma as$ , while he is sure the messenger is not his father.
  - 1023. έξ ἄλλης χερός, und. λαβών.
- 1029.  $\theta\eta\tau\epsilon la$ , servitude for hire;  $\theta\eta$ s a hired servant.  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi l \,\theta\eta\tau\epsilon la=on$  hire: as Bergamese shepherds tend flocks now in the Engadine.
  - 1030. The words here are those of wounded feeling.
- 1031. See Lection. what pain was I suffering when you took me up at a lucky moment (èv  $\kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\varphi}$ ) so as to be my  $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho$ : from which word in 1030 Oed. understands that he was saved from something besides cold and famine. For èv  $\kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\varphi}$  see El. 384,  $\nu \hat{v} \nu \gamma \alpha \hat{\rho}$  èv  $\kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\varphi}$   $\phi \rho o \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \nu$ .
- 1032. ποδών ἄρθρα τὰ σά. So E. Phoen. 30, τὸν ἐμὸν ωδίνων πόνον. See 1194, κλ $\hat{\eta}$ θρα πυλών τάδε. Such enallage of cases is frequent.
  - 1034. διατόρους ποδών ἀκμάς. As ἀκμαὶ ποδών are rather 'the toes'

than the ankles, this phrase leads me to suspect that the perforation was at the extremity of the insteps adjoining the toes. Neue would take  $\delta\iota\alpha\tau\delta$ -pous as active, and render points (pins) perforating the feet.

1035. δεινόν γ' ὅνειδος κ.τ.λ., sad indeed was the stigma I received from infancy.  $\sigma \pi \acute{a} \rho \gamma \alpha \nu$  prop. 'swaddling-clothes'. So Schn. N. J. and most edd. But Br. and Wu. understand  $\sigma \pi \acute{a} \rho \gamma \alpha \nu$  to mean 'crepundia' or 'monumenta', little ornaments hung round the necks of infants as  $\gamma \nu \omega \rho \acute{a} \sigma \alpha \tau$ , tokens by which they could be recognised. This view would make the gen. after  $\emph{δνειδοs} =$  'in the way of tokens' instead of 'from infancy'.

1036. ὅς εἶ=ἐκεῖνος ὅς εἶ, i.e. Οἰδίπους='swoln-foot'. Ε. Phoen. 27, ἄθεν νιν Ἑλλὰς ώνόμαζεν Οἰδίπουν.

1037-8. πρὸς μητρὸς ἢ πατρός; Triclinius understands ἔπαθον τοῦτο, i.e. 'were my feet pierced'.  $\parallel \phi pov \epsilon \hat{i}$ , knows. 326, 328, 569. Phil. 810, σαφῶς φρόνει.  $\parallel \tau v \chi \dot{\omega} v$  having found.

1040. οὔκ. The negation affects the latter portion of a question having two parts. Plat. Gorg. p. 453 p.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . ὅστις διδάσκει ὁτιοῦν πρᾶγμα, πότερον, ὅ διδάσκει, πείθει ἢ οὕ; ΓΟ. οὐ δῆτα, ἀλλὰ πάντων μάλιστα πείθει. Theaet. p. 149 E.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . τῆς αὐτῆς ἢ ἄλλης οἴει τέχνης εἶναι θεραπείαν τε καὶ ξυγκομιδὴν τῶν ἐκ γῆς καρπῶν, καὶ αὖ τὸ γιγνώσκειν, εἰς ποίαν γῆν ποῖον ψυτόν τε καὶ σπέρμα καταβλητέον; ΘΕ. οὐκ, ἀλλὰ τῆς αὐτῆς. So also does the affirmation. See El. 312, ἢ κάρτα, sc. βέβηκεν ἐκ δόμων.

1041-2.  $\hat{\eta}$  κάτοισθα δηλώσαι λόγ $\varphi$ , do you know him so as to indicate him by name?  $\parallel \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$  Λαΐου τις, one of the people (servants) of Laius.  $\parallel \delta \hat{\eta} \pi \sigma \nu$ , I am pretty sure.

1043.  $\hat{\eta}$  τοῦ τυράννου τῆσδε γῆς πάλαι ποτέ; for τοῦ πάλαι τυράννου. The passage E. Hec. 879 is also remarkable, καλεῖ σ' ἄνασσα δήποτ' Ἰλίου Έκάβη, for  $\mathring{\eta}$  ποτ' ἄνασσα.  $\parallel$  μάλιστα, assuredly.

1046. είδειτ' αν Att. and poet. for είδειητε αν, would know.

1050. o kaipos, the time is come.

1051-3. τὸν ἐξ ἀγρῶν, the man expected from the pastures.  $\|$  κἀμάτενες εἰσιδεῖν, thou wast actually (καὶ) on the look out to see.  $\|$  οὐχ ἥκιστα = μάλιστα οτ λῷστα. The first ἄν belongs to λέγοι, the second brings οὐχ ῆκιστα into the sphere of condition.

1054-5. It seems to me best to place a colon after ἐφιέμεσθα, so making Oed. assume that Joc. bears in mind the person whoever he be whom (ὅντινα) they both equally desired to see in person: then adding the question, is that the person whom this man (the messenger) means?

1056-7. Jocasta, having heard this dialogue in silent horror, but unobserved, now answers wildly, yet with evasive purpose, question for question; who is it, whomsoever he spoke of? Elms. Wu. J. read τί δ' ὅντιν' εἶπε; why ask whom &c. See 1129.  $\parallel$  βούλου κ.τ.λ. resolve not even to remember for no good (μάτην). Though  $\theta$ έλω and βούλομαι are often used

alike, yet, as a rule,  $\theta \epsilon \lambda \omega$  implies inclination, βούλομαι, resolve. See 623,  $\theta \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \nu$ , οὐ  $\phi \nu \gamma \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$  σε βούλομαι. 1077 βουλήσομαι.

1058. οὐκ ἃν γένοιτο τοῦθ' ὅπως...οὐ φανῶ. Cp. Trach. 455, ὅπως δὲ λήσεις οὐδὲ τοῦτο γίγνεται.

1061. ἄλις νοσοῦσ' ἐγώ. So Tr. 332, ἄλις γὰρ ἡ παροῦσα. Phil. 892, οὑπὶ νηὶ γὰρ ἄλις πόνος. Ant. 547, ἀρκέσω θνήσκουσ' ἐγώ. The Schol. says that Joc. here contemplates suicide, and Steel follows him. But I think that Wu. justly denies this.

1066. φρονοῦσά γ' εὖ has two senses, either of which is suitable here: perhaps in loyal kindness is rather the better.

1073-5. βέβηκεν. Joc. has frantically rushed into the palace. Soph. studies such effects. See Ant. 766, 1244. Tr. 823. || δέδοιχ' ὅπως μὴ κ.τ.λ. For this constr. with fut. indic. cp. Dem. Phil. III. p. 130, ἔπειτα δέδοικα ὅπως μὴ πάνθ' ὅσα οὐ βουλόμεθα ποιεῖν ἡμῖν ἀνάγκη γενήσεται. Also Plat. Symp. III. 193, φόβος οὖν ἔνεστιν κ.τ.λ. || ἀναρρήξει and ῥηγνύτω are intrans. burst forth.

1077. σμικρόν, mean. || βουλήσομαι = βουλομένω μοι έσται, I shall be glad. See 1057. Cp. O. C. 1289. E. And. 289.

1078. φρονεί γαρ ώς γυνή μέγα: for she has a proud spirit for a woman (i.e. considered as a woman). J., quite erroneously, gives to ώs the sense 'quippe', 'as being', and renders, 'for she has a woman's pride'. He thinks Sophocles would (by the mouth of Oedipus) represent woman as being naturally proud. I am sure this is not the sentiment he would ascribe to Oedipus. Jocasta is not consulted about the plague; the priest does not advise Oed. to consult her. He (Oed.) says of her, 580, 'She obtains from me all that she desires.' He does not answer her entreaty, 648. Out of humour with the Chorus, he says to her,  $\sigma \epsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta'$ ,  $\hat{\omega}$ γύναι, πλέον σέβω. Soon after, in a mood of abject terror (Epeisodion II.), he is driven to take her into confidence: but, at 984, her advice does not move him, and his language from 1054 is even insulting. There is nothing in the relations and antecedents of Jocasta, nothing in Greek institutions, manners and customs, tending to show that women were regarded as μέγα φρονούσαι, much to the contrary effect. See Thuc. 11. 45. A woman exceptionally proud is called by Aeschylus ἀνδρόβουλος (Ag. 11), by Sophocles ανδρόφρων (Fr.). It is quite enough, then, for Oedipus to say that Jocasta has a high spirit for a woman. Can any example be shown justifying such use of  $\dot{\omega}s$  as J. gives? I do not mean of  $\dot{\omega}s = quippe$ , for that is frequent enough (ώς πατροκτόνω, ώς ἀνὴρ γενναῖος, and the like), but one in which, as in γυνή, the word or phrase does not, by itself, indicate the fitness of the causal reference. In support of the sense given to ώς, refer to ώς νομεὺς ἀνὴρ 1117, ὡς γέροντι Ο. C. 20. So οἶ ἀνὴρ δοῦλος Ο. Τ. 763 and Ε. Οτ. κάγὼ μετέσχον, οἶα δὴ γυνή, φόνου. This last instance settles the question. Ellendt, Dindorf, Steel agree, the two former rendering 'quantum quidem mulieris est.' See also 1526.

1080–1. παΐδα τῆς τύχης. Eurip. (apud Plut.) ὁ τῆς τύχης παῖς κλῆρος. Horace Sat. II. 6. 49, luserat in campo; Fortunae filius! omnes. Plutarch  $(\pi\epsilon\rho)$  τῆς 'Ρωμαίων τύχης) ἄντικρυς οὖτος (L. Cornelius Sulla) τῆ τύχη μετὰ τῶν πράξεων ἐαυτὸν εἰσεποίει, βοῶν κατα τὸν Οἰδίποδα τον Σοφοκλέους 'Εγώ δ' ἐμαυτὸν παῖδα τῆς τύχης νέμω. || τῆς εὖ διδούσης. See O. C. 542, ὧ Ζεῦ, διδοίης τοῖοι τοιούτοισιν εὖ. Ε. Or. 667, Alc. 1004.

1082-3. της γὰρ πέφυκα. See note on 715.  $\parallel$  οἱ δὲ συγγενεῖς μῆνες, the coeval months, i.e. 'all the months of my life.' O.C. 7, χω χρόνος ξυνών μακρός. Ae. Ag. 107, σύμφυτος αἰών. E. Herc. F. 1293, συγγενῶς δύστηνος ῶν 'ill-fated all my life', or 'from my very birth'.  $\parallel$  με μικρὸν καὶ μέγαν διώρισαν, marked me at one time as lowly, at another great.

1084–5.  $\tau$ 0.650 $\delta$ 6  $\delta$ 6 èk $\phi$ 65 k. $\tau$ . $\lambda$ ., such being my parentage, I can never hereafter turn out to be another, so as to be unwilling to discover my origin. So Neue and Steel. J. treats the place wrongly. It is clear that Oed. says: 'knowing myself to be Fortune's child, I need not care what my birth in the flesh may prove to be.'  $\parallel$   $\Pi$ 07 $\epsilon$ 6 is condemned by Elms. as beginning a line, as  $\delta$ 07 $\tau$ a in Aj. 965; but Herm. justly observes, that, when the sentence begins towards the end of a line, the connection is such as to admit, at the close of one and beginning of the other, what otherwise could only be allowed in the middle. See 1074. Aj. 1089.

(Oedipus and the Corinthian enter the palace.)

### STASIMON III (Hyporchema). (1086—1109.)

(Outline). In this short Stasimon, called ὑπόρχημα, a short joy-dance (ironically introduced when Oedipus is on the brink of destruction), the Chorus, adopting a cheerful tone, address Mount Cithaeron gratefully, as his protectress in infancy: and wonder in a series of guesses, which of the many rural deities were his parents. The Ode being very corrupt, especially its antistrophe, I am compelled to print many conjectural emendations of various scholars, two being my own. For all these see Lection.

(Notes). 1086–7. εἴπερ κ.τ.λ. Cp. El. 472, εἰ μὴ 'γὼ παράφρων μάντις ἔφυν καὶ γνώμας λειπομένα σοφᾶς. Milton Sams. Ag. V. 1387. If

there be aught of presage in the mind, this day will be remarkable in my life. || κατὰ γνώμαν ίδρις, skilful in judgment.

1088–9. οὐ τὸν "Ολυμπον. See 660.  $\parallel$  ἀπείρων, unaware.  $\parallel$  τὰν αὕριον πανσέληνον, accus. of time, during tomorrow's full moon.

1090-1. Reading Οιδίπουν with Schm. J., I take it as object of αύξεων, of which σέ γε (Cithaeron) is the subject. Cp. O. C. 1567, πάλων σε (Οιδίπουν) δαίμων δίκαιος αύξοι. Cith. exalts Oed. by being his sire-land, his nurse, his mother. His descent is as old as the hills.

1093-5. καὶ χορ. πρὸς ἡμῶν, and that thou art honoured in our dance, (the present hyporcheme). Cp. E. Iph. T. αὐλεῖται δὲ πᾶν μέλαθρον, Hel. 1449, πᾶσαν δὲ χρὴ γαῖαν βοᾶσθαι μακαρίαις ὑμνωδίαις, Herac. 407, θυηπολεῖται δ' ἄστν, Verg. G. II. 487, virginibus bacchata Lacaenis Taygeta. For χορεύω, Ant. 1153, αἴ σε χορεύουσι. || ἐπίηρα pleasant things, an Homeric word: Il. α΄. 572, μητρὶ φίλη ἐπίηρα φέρων. J. reads ἐπὶ ἦρα. See p. 101.

1097. At this moment of assumed joy, they dare not forget the dreaded Phoebus, whom they invoke by his mournful epithet 'Iŋios. See 152, 3.

1098–1109. See Lection. || μακραιώνων. Hesiod said the nymphs live ten times the age of a palm-tree: and Pindar says of them in a fragm. lσοδέν-δρου τέκμαρ αίωνος λαχοισαι. They are Dryads (wood-nymphs), Naiads (water-nymphs, Undines), or Oreads (mountain-nymphs). The Nereids, daughters of Nereus, were sea-nymphs (mermaids). See Ant. 987, Μοιραι μακραίωνες.

1101.  $\pi \epsilon \lambda \alpha \sigma \theta \epsilon \hat{i}\sigma'$ , approaching, from the trans. v.  $\pi \epsilon \lambda \dot{a}\zeta \omega$ , also used as intrans. So Phil. 1311,  $\mathbf{X}\rho \dot{\nu}\sigma \eta s$   $\pi \epsilon \lambda a\sigma \theta \epsilon \mathbf{i}s$   $\phi \dot{\nu} \lambda a \kappa \sigma s$ , but O. C. 1761,  $\pi \epsilon \lambda \dot{a}\zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$   $\dot{\epsilon}s$   $\tau o \dot{\nu}\sigma \delta \epsilon \tau \dot{\sigma}\sigma \upsilon s$ . A poetic form is  $\pi \epsilon \lambda \dot{a}\omega$ , whence the form  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi \lambda \dot{a}\theta \eta \nu$ . Ae. Prom. 896,  $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon}$   $\pi \lambda a\theta \epsilon \dot{\iota}\eta \nu$   $\gamma a \mu \epsilon \tau \hat{a}$   $\tau \iota \nu \iota$   $\tau \dot{\omega} \nu$   $\dot{\epsilon}\xi$   $\dot{\epsilon}$   $\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\rho a \nu \dot{\sigma} \dot{\epsilon}$ . E. Hec. 890,  $\theta \rho \eta \kappa \dot{\iota}$   $\pi \lambda a\theta \epsilon \dot{\iota}\sigma a$   $\xi \dot{\epsilon}\nu \omega$ . E. And. 25,  $\pi \lambda a\theta \epsilon \dot{\iota}\sigma'$   $\dot{\lambda}\chi \iota \lambda \lambda \dot{\epsilon}\omega s$   $\pi a \iota \delta \iota$ . Compounds and derivatives of the simple verb are often used. Tr. 17,  $\pi \rho \dot{\iota}\nu$   $\tau \dot{\eta}\sigma \delta \epsilon$   $\kappa \dot{\iota}\iota \tau \eta s$   $\dot{\epsilon}\mu \pi \epsilon \lambda a\sigma \theta \dot{\eta}\nu a \iota$ . Phil. 677,  $\tau \dot{\nu}\nu$   $\pi \epsilon \lambda \dot{a}\tau a\nu$   $\lambda \dot{\epsilon}\kappa \tau \rho \omega \nu$   $\pi \dot{\sigma}\tau \dot{\epsilon}$   $\tau \dot{\omega}\nu$   $\Delta \iota \dot{\sigma}s$ . Hence  $\pi \lambda a\tau \dot{\iota}s$   $\iota \dot{\iota}\dot{\epsilon}$ , A. Ach. 132.

1101-2. ἢ σέ γε. Phil. 1116-7, πότμος, πότμος σε δαιμόνων τάδ', οὐδὲ σέ γε δόλος ἔσχ' ὑπὸ χειρὸς ἐμᾶς, is the only other instance in Soph. of the poetic form, whereby in propositions consisting of two clauses, with pronoun in common, the pron. is repeated in the second clause with a certain emphasis, and generally with γε. So Hom. Od. θ. 488, Δημόδοχ', ἔξοχα δή σε βροτῶν αἰνίζομ' ἀπάντων' ἢ σέ γε Μοῦσ' ἐδίδαξε, Διὸς παῖς, ἢ σέ γ' ᾿Απόλλων, also Il. γ΄. 409, ε΄. 184, κ΄. 235. Sometimes the pronoun occurs only in the second clause. cp. Hor. C. I. 9, 16, nec dulces amores, sperne, puer, neque tu choreas.  $\parallel \tau \hat{\varphi} \gamma \acute{a} \rho$ . See note on 715.

1104. πλάκες ἀγρόνομοι, rural plains (or heights). πλάξ, properly a level spot, is used of almost any sort of place. Aesch. uses it of plain alone, Prom. 704, 914, Eum. 285: Soph. of a height in Aj. 499, ἄκραν

ύπὸ πλάκα Σουνίου. Tr. 272, ἀπ' ἄκρας ἦκε πυργώδους πλακός, and perhaps also in Phil. 1416, πρὸς πάτρας Οἴτης πλάκα. But in O. C. 1078, ἄσκοποι δὲ πλάκες ἔμαρψαν, the meaning must be abysses, and in O. C. 1560, νεκρῶν πλάκα, and 1573, νερτέρας πλάκας merely region. In Eurip. it means plain or height. Hec. 8, τὴν ἀρίστην Χερσονησίαν πλάκα, Bacc. 14, 307, δικόρυψον πλάκα of Parnassus, 718, σεμνὰς πλάκας ναίοντες ὀρέων. Ιοη 1267, Παρνασοῦ πλάκες. Herc. F. 958, Ἦσθμου ναπαίας πλάκας, and merely region, El. 1344, δι' αἰθερίας πλακός. The context here would rather lead us to translate it heights with the Scholiast, who explains the word by αὶ ἐξοχαί, αὶ ἀνατάσεις τῶν ὀρῶν. He also explains ἀγρόνομοι where wild animals feed; a sense which seems to be approved by both Wunder and Neue, who refers to 26, φθίνουσα δ' ἀγέλαις βουνόμοις. But as in Ant. 786, ἀγρονόμοις αὐλαῖς, its meaning evidently is rustic, rural.

1105. I have edited  $\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\sigma'$  for  $\epsilon\ell\theta'$  because the hiatus after  $\phi\ell\lambda\alpha\iota$ , where a long syll. is required, seems intolerable. The double  $\epsilon\ell\tau\epsilon$ , though frequent, is not essential. In fact I do not think the first  $\epsilon\ell\tau\epsilon$  here good Greek in a direct question.  $\parallel$   $\delta$  Kullávas  $\delta$ urá $\sigma\sigma\omega\nu$ , Hermes, to whom this mountain, on which he was born, was sacred. Verg. Aen. VIII. 138, vobis Mercurius pater est, quem candida Maia Cyllenes gelido conceptum vertice fudit.

1106-9. ὁ Βακχεῖος θεός, Bacchus himself. So O. C. 678, τν' ὁ Βακχιώτας ἀεὶ Διόνυσος ἐμβατεύει. By a similar circumlocution Neptune is called Ποσειδαονίω θεῷ, O. C. 1494. || λόχευμα new-born babe (abstr. for concrete). Ἑλικωνίδων, Porson's correction (Orest. 614) for Ἑλικωνίδων. So ᾿Αμμωνίδας Ε. Alc. 116, Musgrave's correction for ᾿Αμμωνίαδας, and ᾿Ασκληπιδών Phil. 1333 for ᾿Ασκληπιαδών. || συμπαίζει. Anacreon in Dion Chrysost. ώναξ (Διόνυσε), ῷ δαμάλης Ἔρως καὶ νύμφαι κυανώπιδες πορφυρέη τ' ᾿Αφροδίτη συμπαίζουσιν, ἐπιστρέφεαι δ' ὑψηλών κορυφὰς ὀρέων.

### EPEISODION IV. (1110—1185).

[Oedipus and the Corinthian come out of the palace: the shepherd entering through the eastern stage-passage.]

(Outline). In this Epeisodion the  $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\pi\acute{e}\tau\epsilon\iota\alpha$  of the plot culminates. The shepherd appears, and by a severe examination is forced to confess that Oedipus is the son of Laius and Jocasta. No question is asked about the murder of Laius, as Oedipus no longer doubts his guilt in that transaction. At the close the king, full of anguish, bids farewell to the daylight, and retires into the palace.

(Notes). 1110-16. κάμε. The delicate and often (as compared with modern idiom) superfluous use of καί, both in Attic Greek generally, and

in Soph. and Thucyd. particularly, is noticeable here; where its meaning is explained later in 1115 as contrasted with σύ: 'if even I must conjecture when you (the Chorus and Coryphaeus) are better judges than I am.' See καν έμοι 1239. || μη ξυναλλάξαντά πω, who never had any dealings with him (dramatic irony): not dreaming that this was the man who had scarcely escaped his homicidal hand in Phocis, who had left Thebes to avoid encountering him as king, who had been commissioned to expose him to death when a babe, and had spared him for this fatal issue. His identity with the babe is yet unknown to the shepherd (see 1146): his guilt in the murder is known. || πρέσβεις. See Lection. || σταθμασθαι, lit. 'to measure by line', here to conjecture. || έν. See 80, 821. || ξυνάδει ξύμμετρος, he tallies accurately. || ἄλλως τε, and besides. || ὥσπερ ἔγνωκα, I seem to recognise. N. incloses ώσπερ as spurious, reading δμώαs for άλλως. | τάχ' ἄν που, perhaps, I ween, a slight redundancy in expression. || ίδών, if you have seen: the  $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$  following seems an answer to this shade of doubt. Yet see 1051.

1117-18. γάρ, yes: the second γὰρ Engl. idiom would omit.  $\parallel$  Λαΐου  $\mathring{\eta}\nu$ , he belonged to Laius.  $\parallel$  εἴπερ...πιστός, trusted as much as any.  $\parallel$  ώς νομεὺς ἀνήρ, for a man who grazed cattle. See ώς γυνή, 1078.

1121–31. οὖτος σὐ κ.τ.λ., hark'ee, old man, look this way and answer me. Cp. Tr. 402, οὖτος βλέφ' ὧδε.  $\parallel$  ξύναυλος, hutted or lodged.  $\parallel$  In line 1128 μαθών depends on οἶσθα, ἄνδρα on μαθών. Render: τὸν ἄνδρα τόνδ' οὖν, yonder man then—οἶσθα, do you remember, τῷδὲ που μαθών, that you took note of him anywhere in these parts?  $\parallel$  τὶ χρῆμα δρῶντα, doing what? The shepherd's conscience is uneasy: he 'fights shy', as we say, and even asks, what man dost thou specially mean? see 976, 1076.  $\parallel$  ἢ ξυναλλάξας τί που, or that you had some transaction with him perhaps? carrying on the question from μαθών above.  $\parallel$  οὐχ ώστε κ.τ.λ. not so as to speak at once from recollection: cp. 361, οὐχ ώστε γ' εἰπεῦν γνωτόν. The rendering is alike, whether ἄπο or ὕπο be read.

1133-40. ἀγνῶτα, as he forgets, cp. 677.  $\parallel$  After κάτοιδεν I place a colon, feeling sure that a line has fallen out after this, the purport of which might be ἀκοῦμεν ἄμφω κατὰ νομὰς ἀλώμενοι, when we were both inhabiting the district of Cithaeron, and wandering in search of pasturage.  $\parallel$  ἐπλησία-ζον κ.τ.λ. I was in this man's neighbourhood three full half-years, from spring to the rising of Arcturus. Polyb. p. 888, Στρατοκλέους πρυτανεύοντος τὴν δευτέραν ἔκμηνον (ἀρχήν).  $\parallel$  'Αρκτοῦρον. Those who wish to know all about the star Arcturus ('Αρκτου οὖρος, bear-ward) and its import in ancient astronomical calculations, will do well to consult J.'s note at p. 305 in his edition of Oed. Tyr. The heliacal rising of Arcturus took place, according to Pliny II. 47, eleven days before the autumnal equinox, i.e. the 12th of September; but Columella says on the 5th. From the begin-

ning of spring therefore (which was counted as the last week in Febr.), when the flocks in those countries left their  $\sigma\tau\alpha\theta\mu\dot{\alpha}$ , or winter stations, up to the rising of Arcturus, there would be very nearly this time (six months). Nauck says the same custom is still carried on by Greek shepherds.  $\parallel \chi\epsilon\iota-\mu\dot{\omega}\nu\alpha$ , during the winter, unless  $\chi\epsilon\iota\mu\dot{\omega}\nu\iota$ , in the winter, be read. See Lection.  $\parallel \dot{\epsilon}\pi\alpha\nu\lambda\alpha$  and  $\sigma\tau\alpha\theta\mu\dot{\alpha}$  equally mean stalls.  $\parallel \pi\epsilon\pi\rho\alpha\gamma\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\nu\nu$ , a fact.

1143. ὑs ἐμαυτῷ θρέμμα θρεψαίμην ἐγώ, 'that I might nurture it as my own nursling'= rear it as my own child.

1144. τί δ' ἐστίν; See 938. N. also cites Tr. 339, Phil. 896, El. 921.  $\parallel$  πρὸς τί, for what purpose τοῦτο τοῦπος ἰστορεῖς; dost thou ask this question? Besides this sense of enquiring, ἰστορέω is used by the tragic poets in the sense of knowing, very unusual in prose. See 1484, οῦθ' ὁρῶν, οὕθ' ἱστορῶν. Ae. Pers. 454, κακῶν τὸ μέλλον ἱστορῶν. Eum. 455, πατέρα δ' ἰστορεῖς καλῶς. Soph. Tr. 282, δῆθεν οὐδὲν ἱστορῶν. The tragic writers never employ it in the sense of relating or explaining.

1146-7. οὐκ εἰς ὅλεθρον; see 430.  $\parallel$  οὐ σιωπήσας ἔσει; see 90.  $\parallel$  κόλαζε, chide. Cp. Aj. 1107, καὶ τὰ σέμν ἔπη κόλαζ ἐκείνους. 1160, λόγεις κολάζειν. There is no idea of personal chastisement in the verb.

1149. φέριστε, as if from a comparative φέριων. Homer uses all three forms φέριστοs, φέρτεροs, φέρτατοs. Aesch. uses φέριστοs, Sept. 39. φέρτεροs, Pr. 770. Soph. only uses φέριστοs, and in this passage alone. Eurip. only φέρτεροs, Hel. 352.

1151-9. ἄλλωs, in vain.  $\parallel$  πρὸς χάριν, in kindness (to oblige).  $\parallel$  κλάων, to your sorrow = under durance here. See 363.  $\parallel$  aikiση. See Aj. 111, μη δητα τὸν δύστηνον ὧδέ γ' aikiση.  $\parallel$  ἀποστρέψει χέρας, bind his hands behind him, i.e. pinion him. Slaves were thus bound, lifted into the air and scourged to make them speak.  $\parallel$  δύστηνος, unhappy that I am. So Tr. 337, δύστηνος ἐγώ. The reference of δύστηνος to Oed. here, which J. adopts, seems highly improbable.  $\parallel$  ἀντὶ τοῦ, what for?  $\parallel$  τὶ προσχρήζων μαθεῦν, what more requiring to learn? The prep. can hardly be otiose.  $\parallel$  δλέσθαι ὤφελον, I ought to have died = would I had died.  $\parallel$  τοὖνδικον, the honest truth.

1160-1. ἐς τριβὰς ἐλᾶ, will drive the matter to delays=will strive for delays. See Ant. 577, μὴ τριβὰς ἔτι. A. Ach. 386, πορίζεις τριβάς.  $\parallel$  πάλαι, used of short intervals, see 1157. El. 676, θανόντ' 'Ορέστην νῦν τε καὶ πάλαι λέγω.  $\parallel$  ώς δοίην. See 780.

1166. ὅλωλας. Since in the perfect the chief regard is paid to the permanence of the consequences of an action, and the action itself is almost left out of view, it is also used to express rapid execution. So in Latin, 'si Antonius perierit, vicimus': in English, "You are undone, if &c."

1167. των Λαΐου τις γεννημάτων, one of those born belonging to Laius. The poet uses τις here instead of τι, according to the σχήμα πρὸς τὸ σημαι-

νόμενον (what is meant, not expressed) as it is termed. Porson and Schaefer give many instances of this figure in their note on E. Phoen. 1730, and Elmsley also quotes here E. Tro. 735, & φίλτατ', & περισσὰ τιμηθεὶς τέκνον. Bacch. 1305, τῆς σῆς τόδ' ἔρνος, & τάλαινα, νηδύος, αἴσχιστα καὶ τάχιστα κατθανόνθ' ὁρῶ. A. Ach. 812, & χαῖρε, κολλικοφάγε Βοιωτίδιον. Ae. Cho. 893, οῖ 'γὼ τέθνηκας, φίλτατ' Αἰγίσθου βία. So 'mea Glycerium', Ter.

1168. κείνου τις έγγενης γεγώς; some one born among his kin? Cp. Aj. 1299, δς έκ πατρός μέν είμι Τελαμῶνος γεγώς.

1169-70. πρὸς αὐτῷ γ' εἰμὶ τῷ δεινῷ λέγειν, I am on the verge of what is dreadful to speak.  $\parallel$  κάγωγ' ἀκούειν, and I (on the verge of what is dreadful) to hear.

1171. κείνου γέ τοι δὴ παῖς ἐκλήζεθ', yes, that man's son he was certainly called, whether he were so in reality or not, I do not say.

1174.  $\dot{\omega}s$  πρὸς τί χρείας;  $\dot{\omega}s$  is used to express the having something in thought; in which sense it is often found with the partic. fut. Cp. O. C. 71,  $\dot{\omega}s$  πρὸς τί λέξων ἢ καταρτύσων μολεῖν; Tr. 1182,  $\dot{\omega}s$  πρὸς τί πίστιν τήνδ' ἄγαν ἐπιστρέφεις;

1175. τλήμων, wretched woman, which may here either mean poor sufferer, or have the active sense audacious, wicked, which is common to it with δύστηνος, κακοδαίμων, τάλας. See note on 790. El. 275, ἡ δ' ὧδε τλήμων. Phil. 363, τλημονέστατον λόγον. So in Latin 'miser' is used for scelestus or perditus, and in Engl. 'miserable.'

1176. του's τεκόντας. Plural for singular: but vague language suits the speaker here.

1177. πως δητ' ἀφηκας κ.τ.λ., how came it then that &c. A very frequent usage of πως.

1178. The order is ώς δοκών ἀποίσειν (είς) ἄλλην χθόνα. So E. Herc. F. 984-5, ἄλλφ δ' ἐπεῖχε τόξ', ὅς ἀμφὶ βωμίαν ἔπτηξε κρηπῖδ', ὡς λεληθέναι δοκών.

1182-4. ἀν έξήκοι, will have come out. See note on v. 1011. N. reads  $\mathring{a}\rho^{\gamma}$  έξήκει. But, as the guilt of the death of Laius is not brought in question, but tacitly assumed, the form  $\mathring{a}\nu$  έξήκοι is used to imply this.  $\parallel$  ξὺν οἶs  $\tau^{\gamma}$ . On the apostrophe  $\tau^{\gamma}$  see 29.

# STASIMON IV. (1186—1222).

(Outline.) Oedipus enters the palace: the shepherds quit the stage: and the Chorus, after a melancholy recognition of the uncertain condition of all human happiness, express their sympathy with the miserable fate of Oedipus, to whom they are indebted for so many benefits in former times.

(Notes.) 1188. ώς...ἐναριθμώ, 'how do I count you living equivalent to nothing!' i.e. how truly do I count your lives as mere vanity! So

Ecclesiastes xii. 8, Vanity of vanities! all is vanity.  $\parallel$  Upon  $\[ \]$  το μηδέν cp. O. C. 810, 918, Thuc. III. 14,  $\[ \]$  το καὶ ἰκέται ἐσμέν, v. II2, παραπλήσια καὶ ἀντέλεγον. καὶ is thus used after ὁμοῖος, παραπλήσιος, ὁ αὐτὸς &c., and after ὁμοίως, ὡσαύτως, ἴσως, κατὰ ταὐτά, where the Latins use ac, atque, or even et, but the English 'as'. For the sentiment cp. Aj. I26, ὁρῶ γὰρ ἡμᾶς οὐδὲν ὅντας ἄλλο πλὴν εἴδωλ', ὅσοιπερ ζῶμεν, ἢ κούφην σκίαν. Pind. Pyth. VIII. I35, τί δέ τις; τί δ' οὔτις; σκιᾶς ὄναρ ἄνθρωπος, and Ae. Sept. 769—84.

1189. φέρει. See note on 590.

1190. ὅσον δοκεῖν, sc. εὐδαίμονα είναι, οτ εὐδαιμονίαν φέρειν.

1192-4. δαίμονα, fate.  $\parallel$  βροτών οὐδὲν = βρότειον οὐδέν. See 709.

1195–1203. ὅστις sc. ὁ σὸς δαίμων.  $\parallel$  καθ' ὑπερβολὰν τοξεύσας, shooting too far, i.e. becoming perilously prosperous. Cp. 876–8..  $\parallel$  πάντ', adverbial, as often. See 477, 823, 1429, Aj. 911, 1415 &c.  $\parallel$  χρησμωδόν. See Lection. The position of the adj. might be explained by rendering it 'when she sang her riddles': but there is still the metrical discord.

1200. θανάτων πύργος, a tower against (= protection from) the deaths caused by the Sphinx. We should have expected ἀναστάς, as this latter clause is opposed to κατὰ μὲν φθίσας &c., but this freedom is not unusual.

1205. τίς κ.τ.λ. This constr. is peculiar and difficult. ξύνοικος has usually been taken as μάλλον ξ., borrowing μάλλον from the preceding comparative. But I am now disposed to supply ἀθλιώτερος ἐστιν to this place, and calling back ἐν to ἄταις, to render: who amid cruel woes, who amid toils, dwelling with them by a reverse of life, is more wretched?

1210. πῶς ποτε πῶς ποτ'. So Phil. 687. || al πατρῷαι ἄλοκες, thy father's furrows. We have the same metaphor 1257, 1485, 1497, E. Phoen. 18, μὴ σπεῖρε τέκνων ἄλοκα δαιμόνων βία, and in Verg. Georg. 111. 136, sulcos inertes.

1213-5. ἐφεῦρϵ σ' ἄκοντα, found thee out in thy unconscious guilt. Cp. Aj. 646. || δικάζει τ' ἄγαμον γάμον. With the oxymoron ἄγαμος γάμος cp.

O. C. 1549, Ant. 582, 980, 1276, Aj. 665, Phil. 534, 848, El. 492, 1154. The words τεκνοῦντα καὶ τεκνούμενον, implying Oedipus and Jocasta, are with some boldness attached to γάμον, the meaning therefore being, time sits in judgment on the incestuous marriage, which had long continued to beget and bring forth children. || My reading of the next passage is shown in the text and defended in Lection.

1218-20. Here I have read είδον for είδόμαν, keeping ὁδύρομαι and adopting J.'s elegant correction ιόσπερ ἰάλεμον χέων, as one who pours a dirge. Elmsley was certainly wrong in desiring to substitute δύρομαι everywhere for ὁδύρομαι. See Ant. 693, O. C. 1439, Aj. 383.

#### EPEISODION V. (1223-1297).

(Outline). In this fifth Epeisodion, the Second Messenger ( $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\alpha}\gamma\gamma\epsilon\lambda\sigma_{\rm S}$ ) comes out of the palace, and relates to the Chorus the terrible events which have occurred in it: the suicide of Jocasta, who had hanged herself, and the frantic conduct of Oedipus, who had destroyed his own eyesight, and might every moment be expected to come forth to public view.

(Notes). 1225. ἐγγενῶs, with native loyalty.

1227-31. "Ιστρον, Φάσιν. The Ister (Danube) and the Phasis are taken as the greatest rivers well known in Europe and Asia. The waters of the sea or of a running stream were supposed necessary to wash out the stain of blood. Cp. Verg. Aen. 11. 718, E. Hipp. 653, E. Iph. T. 1192, 3. | όσα κεύθει, τὰ δ' αὐτίκ' κ.τ.λ. with κεύθει understand τὰ μέν, which it in part hides, and part will bring to light ere long &c. i.e. the suicide of Jocasta and the self-blinding of Oedipus. The ellipse by which the former of two correlatives is omitted, being mentally understood from the presence of the second, is not infrequent in Pindar and the tragic poets, as Pind. Nem. VIII. 37, χρυσὸν εὔχονται πεδίον δ' ἔτεροι. Ae. Ag. 518, Πάρις γὰρ οὔτε συντελής πόλις, i.e. οὔτε Πάρις.  $\parallel$  έκόντα κοὐκ ἄκοντα = έκούσια καὶ οὐκ ἀκουσια, act. for pass. wrought by voluntary act. Nauck refers to O. C. 240, 977, Phil. 1318. See 58, γνωτά κούκ ἄγνωτα. | αί φανώσι. See 316, ἔνθα μὴ τέλη λύη. This construction (says Mr Malden) is peculiarly adapted for expressing an actually possible contingency in the most indefinite way: and hence it is commonly found in general sentences. He refers to S. Tr. 147, 251, O. C. 395, Aj. 761, El. 771, Ae. Sept. 243, Eum. 321-4, E. Med. 516, El. 792; and from a comparison of these with other passages, Ae. Eum. 33, Thuc. VII. 62, where av is inserted, he shews that the presence of  $a\nu$  denotes that the action itself is considered as consequent and certain, and not merely contingent, though it is left uncertain and contingent, who or what may be the subject, object, or circumstances of the action; whereas when  $\hat{a}\nu$  is not inserted, not only these last are not defined, but the action itself is represented as contingent and uncertain, not as consequent and certain.

1232-3.  $\lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \iota \left(= \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \iota\right) \kappa. \tau. \lambda$ . 'What we knew before falls not short of being grievously lamentable' = little room is left by what we knew before for heavier lamentation. On μη οὐ see 13. || ηρεί δεμεν is the correction of Elmsley for ηρεί δειμεν, which is found in all mss. It is adopted by Wunder, Dindorf and Hermann. In his note on A. Ach. 323 Elmsley maintains that from the analogy of the 3rd person plural, which is ηρεί δεσαν, and never ηρεί σαν, the short form in the 1st and 2nd persons also should be restored in the Attic authors. He reads therefore ηρεί το Ε. Bacch. 1345 instead of είδετε, and in A. Lys. 1098, ἐπεπόνθεμες, instead of πεπόνθαμες, and is followed by Dindorf in both passages. In his note Elmsley remarks also that from these passages we learn that the Attics did not always say ησμεν, ηστε, ησαν, which was the current opinion.

1234-5.  $\delta \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \kappa, \tau, \lambda$ . the first clause is in apposition to the second: we might say as the specifiest word for me to speak and for you to hear &-c. The  $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$  implies that more is to come: indeed the  $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$  in 1237 takes it up.  $\parallel \theta \hat{\epsilon} \hat{\epsilon} \nu \nu$ . The belief in the divine descent of a royal family gave its members this title.

1237-40. κάν. See 1110. || ἔνι for ἔνεστι, as πάρα for πάρεστι.

1241-2. γάρ. Superfluous in Engl.  $\parallel$  δργ $\hat{\eta}$  χρωμένη, in raging mood. N. cites Plat. Alc. II. p. 141, πολλούς οὐκ ὀργ $\hat{\eta}$  κεχρημένους, Herod. I. 137, θυμ $\hat{\omega}$  χρᾶται.  $\parallel$  παρ $\hat{\eta}$ λθ' ἔσω θυρῶνος, she entered within the vestibule (entrance-hall leading to the central court).  $\parallel$  τὰ νυμφικὰ λέχη means the θάλαμος. See 1262.

1243. ἀμφιδεξίοις ἀκμαῖς = ἀμφοῖν χεροῖν, Sch. Min. The proper sense of ambidexter cannot of course apply here, but we may say with both hands equally. Cp. O. C. 1112, πλευρὸν ἀμφιδέξιον. Hesychius quotes ἀμφιδέξιοις χεροῖ from the Telephus of Aesch.

1244–8. ἐπιρράξασα (Sch. κλείσασα), having closed with violence. ἔσω probably belongs to εἰσῆλθε as Ε. And. 876, ἀλλ' εἰσιθ' εἰσω. Cp. Hom. Il. ω΄. 453, θύρην δ' ἔχε μοῦνος ἐπιβλῆς εἰλάπινος, τὸν τρεῖς μὲν ἐπιρρήσσεσκον ᾿Αχαιοί, τρεῖς δ' ἀναοίγεσκον μεγάλην κληῗδα θυράων, τῶν ἄλλων ' ᾿Αχιλεὐς δ' ἄρ' ἐπιρρήσσειν. N. cites Dionys. Hal. VIII. 18, πρὶν ἐπιρραχθῆναι τὰς πύλας.  $\|$  The v. 1246 refers to Oed.  $\|$  τὴν τίκτουσαν, the mother. The opt. θάνοι, λίποι come after virtually past time, and as referred to the mind of Joc.  $\|$  λίποι δέ. Soph. might have written λιπών. But this is a very common arrangement of a sentence, the author seeming unwilling to relinquish the construction with which he began. Cp. O. C. 424, ἦς νῦν ἔχονται κὰπαναίρονται δόρυ. Hom. Il. δ'. 540–2, ὅστις ἔτ' ἄβλη-

τος καὶ ἀνούτατος ὀξέϊ χαλκῷ δινεύοι κατὰ μέσσον, ἀγοι δέ ἐ Παλλὰς ᾿Αθήνη χειρὸς ἐλοῦσ᾽, αὐτὰρ βελέων ἀπερύκοι ἐρωήν. ἄγοι δέ ἐ &c. instead of ἀγόμενος ὑπὸ &c. Verg. Aen. IV. 70, I, Quam procul incautam nemora inter Cresia fixit pastor agens telis, liquitque volatile ferrum nescius.  $\parallel$  τοῖς οἶσιν αὐτοῦ, to his σινη son, plur. for sing., so τέκνων 1250. See Pron. Exc. XV.  $\parallel$  δύστεκνον παιδουργίαν, α ιντείched child-bearer, for παιδουργόν, abstr. for concrete, with some tautology (τέκνον, παῖς).

1249–50.  $\delta\iota\pi\lambda\circ\hat{o}s$ , a double race (so Herm.) =  $\delta\iota\pi\lambda\circ\hat{o}\nu$   $\gamma\ell\nu\sigmas$ : agreeing in plur. number with  $\check{a}\nu\check{o}\rho\alpha+\tau\acute{e}\kappa\nu\alpha$ , but in gender attracted to the nearer noun: so we must say; for the sexes of her children by Oed. were equally divided.  $\parallel$  As to the absence of augment in  $\gamma\circ\hat{a}\tau\sigma$ , to correspond with which  $\kappa\acute{a}\lambda\epsilon\iota$  is to be written in 1245, Curtius on the Greek Verb (cited also by J.), I. 138, says that the omission of the syllabic augm. in Homer was purely a matter of choice, and that post-Homeric poetry, adopting that license, makes greater use of it in proportion as it is removed from the language of ordinary life. Hence it is rare in iambics; and its instances in tragedy are some in the lyric parts, a few in the speeches of messengers, these being chiefly narrative, and Homer having dropped the augm. more freely in narrative parts than in speeches: see O. C. 1606.

1251. For the order of words here (hyperbaton) N. cp. El. 688, χώπως μὲν ἐν πολλοῖσι παῦρά σοι λέγω, οὐκ οἶδα τοιοῦδ' ἀνδρὸς ἔργα καὶ κράτη.

1253-4. ἐκθεάσασθαι, to see *out* (to the end).  $\parallel$  περιπολοῦντα. περιερχόμενον ὤσπερ ἐμμανῆ, Schol.

1255. ἔγχος, weapon generally, specifically sword, as Aj. 95, 287, 658, 907. Ant. 1232, 1236. Tr. 1034. Cp. 170, 969.

1256–7. ὅπου κίχη. The constr. is zeugmatic, ἐξαιτῶν containing the added sense of ζητῶν οτ ἐρωτῶν. I read κίχη for the vulg. κίχοι, which is ungrammatical here. ἄν κίχοι would stand, but not aor. opt. without ἄν. See on ῥυσοίμην 72. Cp. Ae. Ag. 1532, ἀμηχανῶ ὅπα τράπωμαι. Thuc. II. 52, οὐκ ἔχοντες ὅ τι γένωνται. Plat. Rep. II. 368 οὕτε γὰρ ὅπως βοηθῶ ἔχω... οὕτ' αὖ ὅπως μὴ βοηθήτω ἔχω. See Lection and Exc. IV.  $\parallel$  μητρώαν ἄρουραν. The same metaphor occurs 1485, 1497.

1258-60. N. cites Aj. 243, ἡήμαθ' ἃ δαίμων κοὐδεὶς ἀνδρῶν ἐδίδαξεν. cp. Ae. Ag. 663. || ὑφηγητοῦ. see 966.

1261–2. πύλαις διπλαῖς, the folding-doors.  $\parallel$  ἐκ δὲ πυθμένων ἔκλινε κοῖλα κλ $\hat{\eta} \theta \rho \alpha$ . After reading very much controversy respecting these words, my impression is, that not one of the commentators writes with entire confidence in favour of the particular explanation which he finally selects. I shall certainly make no exception in my own favour. It seems to me that Liddell and Scott, under κλεῖθρον and πυθμήν, avoid interpretation of this place, and under κοῖλος they do not cite it. How Joc. had secured herself in the θάλαμος, there is but one word to indicate, ἐπιρράξασα, and as this is

explained to mean 'having dashed to', it appears that this single act prevented entrance from outside; and that no elaborate act of barring or locking was performed: but that the simple shutting with force fastened the door by a spring lock or bolt. My feeling therefore inclines me to agree with those who take  $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta \rho a$  to mean 'the panels' themselves of the doors, and  $\pi v \theta u \ell e v s$  'the door-posts' in which they were imbedded: understanding  $\kappa o \hat{\iota} \lambda a \tilde{k} \kappa \lambda v e$  to mean he broke inward (a sort of excavation) = he burst open the yielding panels. Vergil writes, in a passage cited below, 'cavavit robora'.

I subjoin however a fuller discussion of the words by Mr Steel, which seems to reach the foregoing conclusion. "This is usually taken to mean, He forced the hollow bars from their sockets. The  $\kappa\lambda\hat{\eta}\theta\rho\alpha$  would thus be what are usually called μοχλοί, one or more of which were used. This bar, having one end firmly fastened by a staple in one of the door-posts, was drawn across the door and let into the other post by a groove made to receive the end of it. In this end of the bar a hole was made, in the direction of its length. There was a corresponding hole in the post, and from the other side of the post the bolt or βάλανος was passed through, extending into the hole of the  $\mu \circ \chi \lambda \delta s$ , which was thus retained firmly in its place; the βάλανος was drawn out by an instrument called βαλανάγρα, and the orifice in which it was inserted was called βαλανοδόκη. This is the substance of Mr Bloomfield's note on Thuc. II. 4. Dr Arnold on that passage says that the  $\beta \acute{a}\lambda a \nu o s$  was a sort of pin or bolt inserted into the bar, and going through it into the gates. It is difficult to conceive how this could serve to keep the  $\mu \circ \chi \lambda \circ s$  in its position. The manner of fastening the door among the ancients is on many occasions involved in much obscurity. See Hom. II.  $\xi$ . 168, 9, and Heyne's note. In the passage before us the  $\kappa\lambda\hat{\eta}\theta\rho\alpha$  may be called κοίλα from this hole made at the one end of the bar as we have described. Wunder dissents however entirely from the usual method of translating the passage, and takes  $\pi v \theta \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega v$  to mean hinges, and κληθρα postes, the door-posts; quoting Verg. Aen. 11. 480. Limina perrumpit postesque a cardine vellit aeratos; jamque excisa trabe firma cavavit robora, et ingentem lato dedit ore fenestram: and v. 403 labat ariete crebro janua, et emoti procumbunt cardine postes; in both of which passages however we must evidently follow Heyne in taking postes to mean the door itself or the *ieaves of the door*. If  $\kappa\lambda\hat{\eta}\theta\rho\alpha$  may be taken for postes in this sense, a sense which agrees very well with the other passages in which the word is found in Sophocles, 1287, 1294, Ant. 1186, ἔκλινε κοίλα may perhaps be taken together as equivalent to Vergil's cavavit, bent inwards; for the epithet κοίλα can scarcely be itself applicable to either door-posts or door-leaves, Theocritus however, Id. XXIV. 15 has σταθμά κοίλα θυράων. The Scholiast interprets, ἀνέτρεψε τὰς θύρας, καὶ κατέβαλεν ἐκ τῶν πυθμένων." 1262. κἀμπίπτει στέγη, and rushed into the chamber. The θάλαμος was on the ground floor, and its door opened into the παστάς, or open hall in which we must suppose Oed. to be  $(\phi o \iota \tau \hat{\omega} v)$  surrounded by domestics, among whom was this έξάγγελος. (See Guhl and Köner, Life of the Greeks and Romans Engl. Tr. p. 80.)

1264. πλεκταῖς ἐώραις ἐμπεπλεγμένην hanging by the neck (lit. entwined) in a twisted noose. J. adds 'of swinging cords', and supposes the use of ἐώρα to imply that the body is still oscillating, which I cannot suppose, though ἐώρα or alώρα means a swing. See Lection, and the citation there given by Wu. from Eustathius.

1266-7. χαλ $\hat{\varphi}$ , properly 'laxat', loosens, here 'solvit', unties.  $\parallel$  ἔκειτο τλήμων. Though, when an adjective is thus added for the sake of definition, it has usually the article with it, yet this is sometimes omitted by the tragedians with τάλας, τλήμων, δύστηνος. See Tr. 1104, Aj. 905, El. 160, 450  $\parallel$  δεινὰ δ' ἢν. This δὲ in the apodosis is repeated from the δὲ in the protasis, ἐπεὶ δὲ  $\gamma \hat{\eta}$  &c. See Buttm. Exc. XII. ad Dem. Meid. § 2. No doubt however it is used here for more emphatic designation, and the passage may be compared with El. 293, 4, πλὴν ὅταν κλύη τινὸς ἥξοντ' 'Ορέστην, τηνικαῦτα δ' ἐμμανἢς βο $\hat{\eta}$  παραστᾶς' where πλὴν in the protasis, being equivalent to δέ, leads to the use of the subsequent δέ.  $\parallel$  τάνθένδε, what followed. So O. C. 476, El. 1307, Phil. 895.

1269. περόνας, brooches. The περόνη or πόρπη (πείρω, περάω, pierce) was common to the Doric tribes, who wore a short tunic without sleeves, fastened at the shoulders by a brooch, which also, as in modern times, served as an ornament. Herodotus (v. 87.) mentions that on occasion of a defeat of the Athenians by the Aeginetans, and the return of one man alone out of the expedition, the widows of those that had been slain put to death the single survivor by piercing him with the pins of their brooches, and that the Athenians in consequence ordered their women in future to wear the Ionian dress, originally the Carian, which was a long flowing robe, not requiring the  $\pi\epsilon\rho\delta\nu\eta$ , but fastened by a zone. He adds that what was then called the Dorian dress, was formerly used by all the Greek women. Eurip. Phoen. 62 also describes Oed. as χρυσηλάτοις πόρπαισιν aiμάξαs κόραs. The brooch was either worn on one shoulder, or, as is evidently the case here, on both. For another instance of the destructive employment of these brooches see Eurip. Hec. 1170. || αἶσιν ἐξεστέλλετο, which she wore. ἄρθρα κύκλων, the pupils of her eyes. Ant. 974, Phil. 1354.

1271-4. ὁθούνεκ' κ.τ.λ. αἴσθουντο for ὄψουντο gives: that they had not perceived what ills he had been suffering or what he had been doing (i.e. the exposure, the murder, the marriage); but in darkness for the future they should see (i.e. should not see at all) those whom they ought not (to have seen), and should not recognise those whom he had desired (to behold).

Hermann's proposed  $\delta\psi a \nu \tau o$  is certainly inadmissible. I assume that an unwise scribe, fond of assimilating, substituted  $\delta\psi o \nu \tau o$  for  $a \delta\sigma \theta o \nu \tau o$  because he saw the same opt.  $\delta\psi o \delta a \tau o$  occurring afterwards. See note at the close of Excursus XI.  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu \sigma \kappa \delta \tau \psi \dot{o} \rho a \nu o$  of course means not to see at all: those whom they ought never to have seen are his unhappy children: those whom he had so long wished to see are his true parents. The relation of the tenses here gives to the imperfects pluperfect force, and, as they all refer to facts, they are indic., not opt.  $\parallel$  On  $\dot{o}\theta o \dot{\nu} \nu \epsilon \kappa a$  see 572. Whether it mean that or because, it takes indic. in oratio recta, as in 572, but opt. in oratio obliqua here and O. C. 944, which compare.

1275–81. ἐφυμνῶν, 'decantans', repeating this refrain.  $\parallel$  πολλάκις τε κοῦχ ἄπαξ ἤρασσε, he continued to tear again and again. See Herod. VII. 46.  $\parallel$  ἐπαίρων, sc. τὰς περόνας, the brooches.  $\parallel$  μυδώσας σταγόνας clammy drops: ἀλλ' ὁμοῦ κ.τ.λ., but a black shower of hail and blood together was streaming down, reading χαλάζης αἴματός τ' with most codd. The mixture of tears (χαλάζης) and blood seemed black.  $\parallel$  τάδ' ἐκ δυοῦν κ.τ.λ., these blended woes have burst from twain, not from one alone, but involving man and wife.  $\parallel$  1286. νῦν δ' ἔσθ' κ.τ.λ., has the sufferer now any respite from pain? Linwood supplied τινι for the old  $\mathbf{r}$ . τίνι, and later edd. have followed him.

1291-6. ἀραῖος, as 276, 644.  $\parallel$  ώς ἠράσατο = ταῖς ἀραῖς ἃς ἠρ.  $\parallel$  στυγοῦντα, one who hates. This verb has no other meaning in Soph.: J.'s view is therefore erroneous. For the sentiment cp. Aj. 924, ώς καὶ παρ' ἐχθροῖς άξως θρήνων τυχεῖν. Verg. Aen. XI. 259 vel Priamo miseranda domus.

# EXODOS. (1297—1530).

(Outline.) The Chorus, in the anapaests (with which the Exodos begins), express to Oedipus the horror which they feel in beholding him. He replies with lamentation; and in the dialogue which ensues (1313), at first lyrically commatic, afterwards returning to iambics, he gratefully acknowledges their kindness, and charging Apollo as the author of his woes, he confesses his act of self-mutilation, declaring it impossible for him to look any mortal in the face, or to remain among the haunts of men. He curses the man who saved him in childhood, shewing what misery death would have spared him. As things are, he has attained the very climax of human wretchedness. The Chorus think death would have been better for him than a life of blindness (1367.) He gives reasons at some length for having deprived himself of sight. He would, had it been possible, have destroyed his sense of hearing also. He then bursts into a pathetic lamentation, apostrophizing all the places and things connected with the events of his past life. Finally he prays them to remove him from contact with human life by killing or exiling him (1416.) Creon, they say, is coming: he will determine. Oedipus shrinks from the interview with one whom he had wronged. Creon enters (1422) and blames the public exhibition of the wretched man. In the dialogue between them Oedipus first entreats that he may be removed from the land of Thebes. Creon says he will take time to consult the oracle. Oedipus respects his wish: commends to Creon the funeral of Jocasta, and the care of his daughters. (1446.) Hearing their voices, he learns that Creon has sent for them to console their father. He addresses them in words of tender sympathy and love, and again commits them to the charge of their uncle. (1480.) A short trochaic dialogue follows, in which Creon separates the father and daughters. (1515.) The drama concludes with moral reflections of the Chorus, who from this example deduce the ancient maxim, that no man ought to be called happy before the end of life. (1524.)

(Notes) 1297. The use of anapaestic rhythm here shows that the Chorus first shrinks back from the sight of the bleeding Oed. who is led out by attendants, then, turning back addresses him ( $\tau$ is  $\sigma$ '), then recoils again ( $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda$ '  $o\dot{v}\dot{o}$ '). In the four anapaestic lines spoken by Oed., we must suppose him to move up and down in agitation, watched and guarded by his slaves. See G. Wolff.

1299. ὅσα—προσέκυρσα. The dative is the usual case after this verb: but such compounds frequently take either the case which the sense of the verb itself, or that which the proposition governs. So ἐπιστρατεύω will govern either dat. or accus. The present tense of this verb is προσκυρέω, though the aor. is derived from a form not in use, προσκύρω.

1300. τις ὁ πηδήσας μείζονα sc. πηδήματα. See 264 note. What evil genius is it that has leapt greater than the greatest (i.e. larger than the largest) leaps, πρὸς ση δυσδαίμονι μοίρα, to bring about thy unhappy fate = πρὸς σοι τῷ δυσμόρῳ, as Schneid. says. It is scarcely right perhaps to say with Valckenaer on Hipp. 817 and Brunck on this passage, that the tragic poets use the Doric μάσσων, μάκιστος (from μήκος) for μείζων, μέγιστος. But it is evident that the sense of the former readily passes into that of the latter, and that they may be used promiscuously, as here.

1306. παρέχεις, cause, excite; a sense very common in Eurip. So Hec. 1113. φόβον παρέσχεν οὐ μέσως ὅδε κτύπος. Hipp. 434, T. A. 606. So Plato Phaedr. p. 250, δεινοὺς ἃν παρείχεν ἔρωτας φρόνησις, and elsewhere.

1310—11. διαθεῖ φοράδην, run abroad. E. Andr. 1166, Rh. 888.  $\parallel$  τν έξήλου (ποτε). τνα is in its relative sense, as Elms. says, here and in vv. 947, 1515. Woe is me for the leap which thou didst take! We have the same metaphor in 1301, and in Ac. Pers. 515,  $\vec{\omega}$  δυσπόνητε δαΐμον,  $\vec{\omega}$ s ἄγαν βαρύς ποδοῖν ἐνήλου παντὶ Περσικ $\hat{\omega}$ γένει.

1312. ές δεινόν κ.τ.λ. yea to a dread calamity 'quum auditu crudelem, tum visu nefariam': in the words of Cic. pro Plancio 41.

1313–18. The lamentations of Oed. (τὰ ἀπὸ σκηνῆs) are in dochmiac metre specially suited to such moods, and made still more so, as Wolff observes, by the tremulous resolution of long into short syllables. The pauses of his anguish are supplied by the iambics of the Chorus.  $\parallel$  σκότου νέφος, cloud of darkness, i.e. dark cloud, which overspreads my eyes. So Ant. 114, χιόνος πτέρυγι, El. 19, ἄστρων εὐφρόνη, starry night. See also 758, 857, and note on 532.  $\parallel$  ἀπότροπου = ὅ τις ᾶν ἀποτράποιτο, Hesych. what one would turn away from with horror, abominable.  $\parallel$  ἐπιπλόμενον ἄφατον, assailing me indescribably.  $\parallel$  ἀδάματον, insuperable.  $\parallel$  δυσούριστον ὄν, with a fair breeze wafting woe, cp. 423.  $\parallel$  οἶον εἰσέδυ—κακῶν, with what violence hath entered me the maddening pain arising from these points, and the recollection of my woes.

1319. τοσοῖσδε, so great. The sense 'so many' for τοσοίδε is only found once in Soph., Tr. 54.

1322-3. ἐπίπολος, attendant, not always in a servile condition like ἀμφίπολος.  $\parallel$  ἔτι γὰρ ὑπομένεις—κηδεμών. See Aj. 360, for you still put up with me as my friendly watcher. See Lection. If we can defend the quantity κηδεύων, this reading can be kept.

1326. σκοτεινός, in the dark, blind. Cp. 419, βλέποντα νῦν μὲν ὅρθ', ἔπειτα δὲ σκότον. Ε. Alc. 385, καὶ μὴν σκοτεινὸν ὅμμα μου βαρύνεται. And for a similar sentiment, Aj. 15-6, ὡς εὐμαθές σου, κᾶν ἄποπτος ἦς, ὅμως φώνημ' ἀκούω και ξυναρπάζω φρενί.

1327–8. πῶς ἔτλης, how didst thou endure? See note on 602.  $\parallel$  τοιαῦτα. See note on 264.  $\parallel$  δψεις, eyes. Cp. Ant. 52, διπλᾶς δψεις ἀράξας.  $\parallel$  μαρᾶναι, ruin.  $\parallel$  τίς σ' ἐπῆρε δαιμόνων; what god incited thee? Cp. E. Or. 286, Λοξία δὲ μέμφομαι, ὅστις μ' ἐπάρας ἔργον ἀνοσιώτατον, where the word governs an acc. of the thing as well as one of the person.  $\parallel$  The answers to these questions are given, as Wolff notes, in chiastic order: Oed. first answers the second question, τίς σ' ἐπῆρε; then the former, πῶς ἔτλης κ.τ.λ. Soph. often writes thus: see 536-42.

1329. Instead of  $\hat{\eta}\nu$  here we might have expected  $\hat{\epsilon}\sigma\tau l$ , but  $\hat{\eta}\nu$  is often used where other languages use the present, either when a conclusion is drawn that something is not as it might have seemed to be (in which case  $\hat{\eta}\nu$  is generally accompanied by  $\delta\rho\alpha$ , as E. Hipp. 359,  $K\hat{\nu}\pi\rho\nu$ s  $\hat{\nu}\kappa$   $\delta\rho'$   $\hat{\eta}\nu$   $\theta\epsilon\hat{\nu}$ , Tr. 412,  $\hat{\nu}$ 0 $\hat{\nu}$ 6 $\hat{\nu}$ 7 $\hat{\nu}$ 1 $\hat{\nu}$ 1 $\hat{\nu}$ 2 $\hat{\nu}$ 2 $\hat{\nu}$ 2 $\hat{\nu}$ 2 $\hat{\nu}$ 2 $\hat{\nu}$ 3 $\hat{\nu}$ 4 $\hat{\nu}$ 3 $\hat{\nu}$ 3 $\hat{\nu}$ 3 $\hat{\nu}$ 4 $\hat{\nu}$ 3 $\hat{\nu}$ 3 $\hat{\nu}$ 4 $\hat{\nu}$ 3 $\hat{\nu}$ 4 $\hat{\nu}$ 3 $\hat{\nu}$ 4 $\hat{\nu}$ 4 $\hat{\nu}$ 3 $\hat{\nu}$ 4 $\hat{\nu}$ 4 $\hat{\nu}$ 4 $\hat{\nu}$ 4 $\hat{\nu}$ 4 $\hat{\nu}$ 5 $\hat{\nu}$ 4 $\hat{\nu}$ 4 $\hat{\nu}$ 5 $\hat{\nu}$ 5 $\hat{\nu}$ 6 $\hat{\nu}$ 6 $\hat{\nu}$ 6 $\hat{\nu}$ 7 $\hat{\nu}$ 4 $\hat{\nu}$ 6 $\hat{\nu}$ 6 $\hat{\nu}$ 7 $\hat{\nu}$ 4 $\hat{\nu}$ 6 $\hat{\nu}$ 6 $\hat{\nu}$ 7 $\hat{\nu}$ 4 $\hat{\nu}$ 6 $\hat{\nu}$ 7 $\hat{\nu}$ 4 $\hat{\nu}$ 6 $\hat{\nu}$ 7 $\hat{\nu}$ 6 $\hat{\nu}$ 7 $\hat{\nu}$ 6 $\hat{\nu}$ 7 $\hat{\nu}$ 7 $\hat{\nu}$ 6 $\hat{\nu}$ 7 $\hat{\nu}$ 6 $\hat{\nu}$ 7 $\hat{\nu}$ 6 $\hat{\nu}$ 7 $\hat{\nu}$ 6 $\hat{\nu}$ 7 $\hat{\nu}$ 7 $\hat{\nu}$ 6 $\hat{\nu}$ 7 $\hat{\nu}$ 7 $\hat{\nu}$ 6 $\hat{\nu}$ 7 $\hat{\nu}$ 6 $\hat{\nu}$ 7 $\hat{\nu}$ 6 $\hat{\nu}$ 7 $\hat{\nu}$ 7

- Vesp. 821. Pax 22, 566, 819. Hesiod, "Εργ. 11. Theognis, 519. Xen. Oec. 1. 20. Plat. Rep. 11. p. 362, A.
  - 1330. ὁ κακὰ—πάθεα. Cp. 377, 1382, 1440-1.
- 1331. αὐτόχειρ. The position of this word is strange. We should have expected it to be connected with  $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$  τλάμων. Nauck. cp. II.  $\phi'$ . 275, άλλος δ' οὔτις μοι τόσον αἴτιος οὐρανιώνων, ἀλλὰ φίλη μήτηρ. Wolff cites Bekk. anecd. 468, αὐτόχειρα, οἰ τὸν ἐαυτὸν ἀνελόντα μόνον, ἀλλὰ καὶ ἐπὶ τοῦ πράξαντος ὁτιοῦν τŷ ἐαυτοῦ χερί. See 266. Ant. 900.
- 1337-9.  $\tau$ l  $\delta \dot{\eta} \tau$ '  $\dot{\epsilon} \mu o \dot{\kappa} . \tau . \lambda .$ , what have I remaining to look upon, or love, or converse with, so as to listen to, with pleasure?  $\dot{\eta} \delta o v \hat{a}$  (=  $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \omega s$ ) is referred to each adjective. Considering that the dat. is unusual for the frequent constr.  $\pi \rho \delta s$  or  $\kappa \alpha \theta$ '  $\dot{\eta} \delta o v \dot{\alpha} v$ , I would now read nom.  $\dot{\eta} \delta o v \dot{\alpha} v$ , which varies the form, but not the sense; what pleasure to me henceforth is aught that can be seen or fondled, or addressed and listened to?
- 1340-3. ἐκτόπιον, proleptic, as θαλάσσιον 1411. || τὸν μέγ' ὀλέθριον, the great destroyer. See Lection. Wolff cites Ae. Pr. 648, ὧ μέγ' εὕδαιμον κόρη. Ε. Οr. 1691, ὧ μέγα σεμνὴ Νίκη. Hes. Έργ. 286, μέγα νήπιε Πέρση.
  - 1345. ἐχθρότατον. A very rare form in Attic Greek for ἔχθιστον.
- 1347-8. δείλαιε κ.τ.λ. O wretched for thy state of mind and thy calamity alike (gen. caus.) how I could have wished never to have known thee anywhere. Cp. Tr. 734.
- 1349–51. ὅστις ἦν. So El. 1123, δόθ' ἤτις ἐστὶ προσφέροντες.  $\parallel$  ὅς ἀγρίας—πράσσων κ.τ.λ., who took me, when exposed in the pasture, (ναπαίαις ἐν Κιθαιρῶνος πτυχαῖς, 1026), from the cruel fetter on my feet, and delivered me from death and saved me, doing nothing thankworthy. But I now remove νομάδ', as a corruption, reading for it ἀπό μ'. See Lection.
- 1352. ἔρυτο, (or ἔρρυτο var. r.), must be a oristic here as in Hom. II.  $\epsilon'$ .
  23, ἀλλ' "Ηφαιστος ἔρυτο, σάωσε δὲ νυκτὶ καλύψας. This supposes a form in  $\mu\iota$ , ῥύ $\mu\iota=$ ρύ $\omega$ . Elsewhere in Homer it is always a contracted imperfect, as in II. δ'. 138, ἥ οἱ πλεῖστον ἔρυτο, διαπρὸ δὲ εἴσατο καὶ τῆς, where the usual protection of the girdle is implied.
- 1356. Θέλοντι—ην. The verbs εἶναι and γίγνεσθαι are often accompanied by a participle of the verb 'to wish', &c. in the dative; and the participle only, as the leading idea, is translated by the finite verb. Od. γ΄. 228, οὐκ ἄν ἔμοιγε ἐλπομένω τὰ γένοιτο, I had not hoped this. So in Latin; Sallust, Jug. 100, uti militibus exacquatus cum imperatore labor volentibus esset. Tacit. Agr. 18, quibus bellum volentibus erat. Ann. I. 59, bellum invitis aut cupientibus erat. Render: this I also would wish (ην being imperfect).
- 1357-62. οὔκουν--ἦλθον κ.τ.λ. then I should not have become &c.; ἢλθον = ἐξῆλθον, see 1011, as ἥκω in 1519, ἀλλὰ θεοῖς γ᾽ ἔχθιστος ἥκω, is used in the sense of ἐξήκω, betokening result. Cp. El. 1056, ὅταν ἐν κακοῖς

βεβήκης.  $\parallel$  ἄθεος, abhorred by the gods.  $\parallel$  ὁμογενης δ' ἀφ' ὧν κ.τ.λ., and a joint parent with her from whom &c., in an active sense; the passive being usual. See Lection.

1365-6. πρεσβύτερων, greater, stronger, more grievous, a rare sense. It is usually employed in a good sense, as the Latin 'antiquius'. Eur. fr. incert. XI. ed. D., έγω δ' οὐδὲν πρεσβύτερων νομίζω τᾶς σωφροσύνας. Herod. V. 63, τὰ γὰρ τοῦ θεοῦ πρεσβύτερα ἐποιεῦντο ἢ τὰ τῶν ἀνδρῶν. Plat. Symp. 218D., ἐμοὶ μὲν γὰρ οὐδέν ἐστι πρεσβύτερον τοῦ ώς ὅτι βέλτιστον ἐμὲ γενέσθαι. Cic. uses both the comparative and superlative of 'antiquus' in this derivative sense very frequently; e.g. Ep. Att. II. 22, nihil sibi antiquius amicitia nostra fuisse. κρείσσων, like πρεσβύτερον here, is also used in a bad sense 1374, κρείσσων ἀγχόνης. So Thuc. II. 50, γενόμενον κρεῖσσων λόγου τὸ εἶδος τῆς νύσων. II. 64, ἡ νόσος, πρᾶγμα μόνον δὴ τῶν πάντων ἐλπίδος κρεῖσσων γεγενημένον, worse than one could expect.  $\parallel$  The termination of these laments with the name Oἰδίπους is, as Wolff justly says, most pathetic, offering a sad contrast to his boastful words at 8, ὁ πᾶσι κλεινὸς Οἰδίπους καλούμενος. This was the lot of Oedipus.

1371–4. The idea that injuries inflicted in this life remained in another is strikingly shown by Vergil in his description of Deiphobus in Orcus, Ae. VI. 494, &c.  $\parallel$  δμμασιν ποίοις, Cp. Aj. 462, καὶ ποίον δμμα πατρὶ δηλώσω φανεὶς Τελαμῶνι;  $\parallel$  οἶν κ.τ.λ., to whom alike I have done deeds too bad for death by the halter to atone. Cp. on dat. A. Vesp. 1350, πολλοῖς γὰρ ἥδη χάπέροις αδτ' εἰργάσω. On gen. A. Ach. 1251, τοῦτο δῆτ' οὐκ ἀγχόνη; E. Bacch. 246.

1380. κάλλιστ' ἀνὴρ εῖs ἔν γε ταῖs Θήβαιs τραφείs, the one man of all in Thebes at least who had been most nobly educated. This is perhaps a stroke of clap-trap on the part of Soph., to gratify his Athenian friends, who would relish a sneer at their hereditary enemies, the Thebans. These were so much a by-word for ignorance that their own poet Pindar dreads the

danger of incurring the ἀρχαῖον ὅνειδος Βοιωτίαν ὖν. Oed. had been reared in Corinth, which Soph. would regard as a better training-school than Thebes. Hence his  $\ell\nu$  γε ταῖς Θήβαις. It is surprising that no commentator, so far as I am aware, should have discerned that the words κάλλιστα τραφείς mean that Oed., having had a Corinthian education (where the Isthmian games, as well as the frequented locality, insured an excellent education), was better qualified than any Theban to appreciate and use the aesthetic opportunities afforded by so many grand works of art, ἄστυ, πύργος, δαιμόνων ἀγάλματα, &c.  $\parallel$  For εἶς ἀνὴρ see Aj. 1340, ἕν' ἄνδρ' lδεῖν ἄριστον ᾿Αργείων. E. Heracl. 8 πλείστων μετέσχον εἶς ἀνὴρ Ἡρακλέει.

1383. Since it is not true that Oed. did in his  $\dot{a}\rho\dot{a}$  require all men to thrust out  $(\dot{\omega}\theta\epsilon\hat{\iota}\nu)$  one of the race of Laius, and since the transference of the full stop from  $\Lambda a\dot{t}o\nu$  to follow  $\ddot{a}\nu a\gamma\nu o\nu$  gives such perfect and admirable sense to the whole passage, it seems the merest perversity to insist on retaining what is manifestly bad and false. What can be more suitable in the mouth of Oed. here than this question: 'How could I, who in my own person have entailed such foul disgrace on the family of Laius, have ventured to look steadily in the face the departed members of that family in the world below? Surely I could not.' || Bentley, in his note on Hor. C. I. 3, 18 where he would read 'rectis oculis', brings a crowd of examples like this  $\delta\rho\theta\sigma\hat{\iota}s$   $\delta\mu\mu\alpha\sigma\iota\nu$ , as Xen. Hell. VII. I, 3,  $\dot{\alpha}\nu\alpha\beta\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\psi\omega\mu\epsilon\nu$   $\dot{\delta}\rho\theta\sigma\hat{\iota}s$   $\delta\mu\mu\alpha\sigma\iota\nu$ . Hor. C. ii. 2. 23, 'oculo irretorto'.

1386. εὶ τῆς ἀκουούσης κ.τ.λ., if there had been besides (ἔτι) a mode of obstructing (φραγμός) the fount of hearing through the ears. τῆς ἀκουούσης δὶ ὤτων πηγῆς, the hearing fountain, a remarkable phrase.

1387. οὐκ ἃν ἐσχόμην τὸ μὴ ἀποκλησαι, I would not have refrained from closing up; the metaphor being kept up, by which the senses are looked upon as fountains flowing from the body. The infin. frequently takes the article when it is looked upon as the subject, or, as here, the object of the main action. Also, as here, the infin. is put with the accus. of the article, where the genitive might have been expected. Ant. 778, τεύξεται τὸ μὴ θανείν. | 'ίν' ຖື. In which case I should have now been &c., η being evidently the imperfect in sense. "Iva, in which case, is thus used with the indicative of a past tense to express what would have happened if &c.; the imperfect being used as here, when the result is present, the aorist or pluperfect when it is past.  $\dot{\omega}s$ ,  $\ddot{o}\pi\omega s$ , and  $\ddot{o}\phi\rho\alpha$  have the same construction as "va. Elmsley in his note correctly observes that the preceding clause must intimate not a thing that has taken place, but a thing that ought to have taken place. It can also be rendered as if final, that I might now have been (or be) &c. Other examples of this constr. are: in poetry with  $\nu\alpha$ , E. Hipp. 647-9; with  $\pi\omega$ s, Ae. Pr. 751-3; A. Pax, 136-7; with ωs, E. Hipp. 925-7, 1079-80. Many occur in Plato and

Demosth., all with "va. See Kühner Gr. Gr. § 553.  $\parallel$   $\tau \delta \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \dot{\gamma} \nu \phi \rho \rho \nu \tau i \delta$ "  $\kappa.\tau.\lambda.$ , that thought should dwell outside of miseries is a sweet consolation. He means that his senses would not have had the power of aggravating his misfortunes.

1391. ὶὼ Κιθαιρών. Wolff cites Longinus (περὶ εὐρέσεωs). κινεῖ δὲ ἔλεον καὶ λόγος πρὸς τόπον τινὰ γιγνόμενος. παράδειγμα τούτου τὸ Σοφοκλέους ὅς πεποίηκεν Οἰδίποδα πρὸς τὸν Κιθαιρῶνα διαλεγόμενον, ἰὼ κ.τ.λ., and Ε. Phoen. 801, ὧ Κιθαιρών, μήποτε τὸν θανάτω προτεθέντα ικρέλες Οἰδιπόδαν θρέψαι βρέφος ἔκβολον οἴκων.

1392-3. ώς ἔδειξα μήποτε, that I might never have shown. See 1389. For similar instances of  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  placed after its verb see 255. O. C. 1365. Phil. 67, 653. El. 993. But it must be noted that this can happen only when  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  is attracted to some word (after the verb) on which it has to throw its emphasis, as here to  $\pi \sigma \tau \dot{\epsilon}$ , in 255 to  $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\eta} \lambda \alpha \tau \sigma \nu$ , in Phil. 67 to  $\tau \alpha \ddot{\nu} \tau \alpha$ , in 653 to  $\tau \varphi$ , in El. to  $\kappa \alpha \kappa \dot{\omega} \dot{\nu}$ .  $\parallel \dot{\epsilon} \mu \alpha \nu \tau \dot{\sigma} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ . see 15.

1396. κάλλος κακῶν ὕπουλον, a beauteous outside, full of sores within. The genitive κακῶν depends upon ὕπουλος, as 83, πολυστεφὴς δάφνης. ὕπουλος is said of an unhealed wound beneath a scar.

1397. κακός τ' ων κάκ κακων. El. 589, εὐσεβεῖς κάξ εὐσεβῶν βλαστόντας. 1399. στενωπός, properly an adjective. στ. sc. ὁδός, narrow pass, glen.

1400. τουμὸν αΐμα πατρός, the blood of my father shed by me. See 1375.

E. Phoen. 30, τον έμον ώδίνων πόνον. Here αίμα means bloodshed.

1401-3. If with Nauck, myself, and others, έτι be read here, or if τι be read with J. and others, the constr. is simple and easy. But if ὅτι, the r. of codd., be kept, a very unpleasing anacoluthic constr. is the result.  $\parallel$   $\mathring{\omega}$   $\gamma \acute{a}\mu o$ .,  $\gamma \acute{a}\mu o$ ι. On the use of plur. for sing. Wolff cites Longinus § 23, ἔσθ' οῦ προσπίπτει τὰ πληθυντικὰ μεγαλορρημονέστερα καὶ αὐτῷ δοξοκομποῦντα τῷ ὅχλῳ τοῦ ἀριθμοῦ...πάντα γὰρ ταῦτα ἐν ὄνομά ἐστιν Οἰδίπους, ἐπὶ δὲ θατέρου Ἰοκάστη.

1405-6. ανείτε. In the plural of the agr. 2 of the compounds of ξημι. and especially in the middle voice, the Attics instead of  $\epsilon$  more commonly use ει, which is regarded as the augment. || κάπεδείξατε κ.τ.λ. The last words νύμφας γυναίκας μητέρας τε clearly refer to only one person, Jocasta. It would therefore seem most probable that the corresponding terms in the preceding verse refer to Oedipus alone; and then the meaning must be: you have combined in one person the relation of father, brother and son, and in one person also, those of bride, wife, and mother. The sense thus required for ἀποδείκνυμι, cause, bring to pass, render, with two accusatives. is very frequent in Xenophon as well as elsewhere. But the difficulty lies in αξμ' ἐμφύλιον, to which violence must be done, to force it into the sense required. We must therefore render it more plainly; you have produced fathers, brothers, sons, mingled of one race, brides, wives and mothers; in other words, you have produced confusion or mixture in the relationship of father, brother and son, and of bride, wife and mother: the plural in the second line, where Jocasta alone is meant, arising from the use of that number in the previous line, where probably all the different persons in the family are alluded to, who bore to each other the relations mentioned.

1409. ἀλλ' οὐ γὰρ κ.τ.λ. but since & c. Cp. with the sentiment Isocr. Dem. 5 A, ἄ ποιεῖν αΙσχρόν, ταῦτα νόμιζε μηδὲ λέγειν εἶναι καλόν. Heliodor. IV. 10, κρύπτουσαν ὰ καὶ πάσχειν αΙσχρόν, καὶ ἐκλαλεῖν αΙσχρότερον. P. Syrus. Sentent. 792, quod facere turpe est, dicere ne honestum puta. Cp. Phil. 86.

1410-14. N. cites Ae. Prom. 582, πυρί με φλέξον ἢ χθονὶ κάλυψον ἢ ποντίοις δάκεσι δὸς βοράν. ‖ ἀξιώσατε, deign. μὴ δείσητε κ.τ.λ. be not afraid (of contracting pollution from my touch), for my misery no mortal save myself is able to bear. Musgr. Thyestes (Enn., ap. Cic. Tusc. III. 12, 26 cited by N.) says 'nolite, hospites, ad me adire, ne contagio mea bonis obsit: tanta vis sceleris in corpore haeret'.

1416-17.  $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda'$   $\dot{\omega}\nu$   $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\alpha\iota\tau\epsilon\hat{\epsilon}s$   $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$ . Render: well, with respect to your demands, here is Creon coming to meet the present need, action and advice. It is a mistake to say that  $\tau\delta$  stands for  $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\tau\epsilon$ . The gen.  $\dot{\omega}\nu$  is either of general relation, dep. on  $\delta\epsilon\sigma\nu$ , or perhaps it is partitive in character, referred to the verbs  $\pi\rho\dot{\alpha}\sigma\sigma\epsilon\nu$  and  $\beta\sigma\nu\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\nu}\epsilon\nu$  (as to some of your demands).

1420-1. τίς μοι φανεῖται πίστις ἔνδικος; what fair claim to confidence shall I find? for this use of πίστις cp. Aesch. Fragm. οὐκ ἀνδρὸς ὅρκοι πίστις ἀλλ' ὅρκων ἀνήρ, a noble sentiment, which might have been aptly quoted in some parliamentary debates. || πάντα κακός, utterly unjust.

1424-31. I would not move these lines, as Nauck does: but the transition is certainly violent: and one is tempted to suspect the loss of a line or two of courteous preface addressed to the Chorus.

- 1425-6. Cp. Ag. 632, οὐκ οἴδεν οὐδεὶς ὥστ' ἀπαγγεῖλαι τορῶς πλὴν τοῦ τρέφοντος Ἡλίου χθονὸς φύσιν.
- 1426. αἰδεῖσθ'—δεικνύναι. Cp. Xen. Anab. II. 3. 22, ἢσχύνθημεν καὶ θεοὺς καὶ ἀνθρώπους προδοῦναι αὐτόν. These verbs seem to take the partic. or the infin. indifferently. See 635. Aj. 506, ἀλλ' αἴδεσαι μὲν πατέρα τὸν σὸν ἐν λυγρῷ γήρᾳ προλείπων.  $\|$  ἄγος. Elmsley writes it ἄγος. See 921, note. Its proper sense of a crime requiring expiation must be changed here into the person guilty of such a crime; a common use of the abstract for the concrete, as it is called.
- 1428.  $\delta\mu\beta\rho\sigma$  lepos.  $\delta\mu\beta\rho\sigma$  is properly rain, but here the element of water. The Greeks deemed the elements sacred.
- 1430-1. If here we do not read with Dobree μόνοις for μάλιστα, I cannot think with Nauck that it can be supplied from μόνοις τε in 1431; for besides the grammatical harshness, μάλιστα thus becomes nonsensical, referred, as it must be, to τοις έν γένει, for I utterly reject the reference of it to εὐσεβῶς ἔχει. See 44-5. I am therefore obliged to render: for it is the pious function of kindred especially to see, and alone to hear, the woes of their kin; supposing that Soph. allows that other than kin must sometimes see such sufferers, but ought never to hear the expression of their anguish.
- 1432-4.  $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\pi i\delta$ os  $\mu'$   $\dot{a}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\pi a\sigma as$ , hast deceived my expectation (or, hast relieved me from anxiety, lit. hast torn me from): see 771.  $\parallel$   $\ddot{a}\rho \sigma \tau os \kappa.\tau.\lambda$ . by coming, a most noble man, to me a very vile one: note the absence of articles.  $\parallel$   $\pi\rho\dot{o}s$   $\sigma o\hat{o}$ , in thy interest.
- 1435. καὶ τοῦ με χρείας, κ.τ.λ. And what desire dost thou thus earnestly beg of me that thou mayest obtain? Cp. S. O. C. 1755, τίνος, ἀ παίδες, χρείας ἀνύσαι; with this use of the infin. cp. Od. Χ΄. 530, ὁ δέ με μάλα πόλλ' ἰκέτευεν ἰππόθεν ἐξίμεναι. Plat. Protag. p. 320, Προμηθέα παραιτεῖται Ἐπιμηθεὺς αὐτὸς νεῖμαι. Aristid. Panath. p. 140, κελεύων γῆν καὶ ὕδωρ λαβεῖν, und. αὐτός. Tac. Ann. I. 15, petivere ut ederent ludos. II. 81. III. 72.
- 1437.  $\mu\eta\delta\epsilon\nu\delta$ s.  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  instead of où is determined by the indef. ὅπου, 'wherever'. The same reason holds good in 1409, 1412, 1427.  $\parallel$  προσήγορος may be act. or pass.: reference to 238 seems to make the latter more proper here, = where nobody shall be seen to accost me. Meineke's  $\mathbf{r}$ . θανοῦμαι is specious.
- 1438.  $\xi \delta \rho \alpha \sigma' \alpha \nu$ , ..... $\alpha \nu$ , I should have done it, be well assured I should  $(\alpha \nu)$ . J. says 'join  $\tau o \hat{\nu} \tau o$  with  $\delta \sigma \theta \nu$ , it could not here go with  $\delta \delta \rho \alpha \sigma \alpha'$ . Such an hyperbaton seems to me not at all inconsistent with Sophoclean style;  $\tau o \hat{\nu} \tau o m \alpha \nu$  be taken with  $\delta \sigma \theta \nu$ ; but it is not certain.
  - 1440.  $\pi \hat{a} \sigma' \hat{\epsilon} \delta \eta \lambda \hat{\omega} \theta \eta$ , was fully declared:  $\phi \hat{a} \tau \iota s = \phi \hat{a} \mu a$  475.
- 1444.  $o\tilde{\nu}\tau\omega s$ . As edd. are generally agreed, when they notice this word, in joining it with  $d\theta\lambda lov$ , a man so wretched, I am afraid to gainsay them: yet it seems to me that Soph. might have left no doubt by writing

ανδρός γὰρ οὕτως, and that will ye then make such (so solemn) an inquiry concerning a miserable man? would be equally strong sense.

1445. καὶ γὰρ κ.τ.λ. Yes: for now indeed thou wilt put faith in the god. τὰν for τοι ἄν. At first sight these words seem cruelly ironical. But Oed. is so abjectly humble, that he is amazed by finding his case held worthy of a solemn inquiry at Delphi. Creon therefore reassures him by adding, as a further motive, his conviction that Oed. will no longer question the wisdom of obeying the oracle, after so terrible an experience of its infallibility. See El. 735 τῷ τέλει πίστιν φέρων.

1446–8. καὶ σοί γ' κ.τ.λ. Yes: and to thee I address this charge, and will entreat thee: προστρέπω and προστρέπομαι are equally used in the sense of supplicating. See Lection.  $\parallel$  τάφον tomb for ταφὴν funeral.  $\parallel$  θοῦ take on thyself: τάφον θοῦ = θάπτε. Cp. 134, τήνδ' ἔθεσθ' ἐπιστροφήν. Aj. 536, πρόνοιαν ἢν ἔθου.

1449-50. μήποτ' άξιωθήτω, be ne'er judged deserving = never be required. Aj. 404, μή μ' ἀξιώσης βάξιν ἀλγεινήν λαβείν. The rule of grammarians that the prohibitive  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  is only used with the present tense of the imperative mood, and with the aorist of the subjunctive, applies only to the second, and not to the first and third persons. But with these persons there is the same distinction also as with the second in the employment of the present and agrist tenses; the present with  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  being used of that which we are doing and ought to leave off doing, and the agrist of that which we are not doing and ought not to do now or at any other time. This distinction may be seen in the following passages. Hom. Il. λ'. 435, μηκέτι νῦν δητ' αὖθι λεγώμεθα, μηδ' ἔτι δηρὸν ἀμβαλλώμεθα ἔργον. Ae. Suppl. 1031, μηδ' έτι Νείλου προχοάς σέβωμεν υμνοις: but in 1013, πρός ταθτα μή πάθωμεν ών πολύς πόνος, πολύς δὲ πόντος οὕνεκ' ἡρόθη δορί, μηδ' αἶσχος ἡμῖν ήδονην δ' έχθροις έμοις πράξωμεν. Ευπ. 511, μηδέ τις κικλησκέτω ξυμφορά τετυμμένος, let all cease to invoke. But Prom. 1001, εἰσελθέτω σε μήποθ', ώς έγω Διός γνώμην φοβηθείς θηλύνους γενήσομαι, and Sept. 1036, μή δοκησάτω τινί, 1040, μηδέ τω δόξη πάλιν, the imper. and subj. moods being used in these two last passages with the same meaning, the tense being the same. The ard person of the agrist imper, is also found in Ae. Pr. 332, μελησάτω. Suppl. 587, ἐπελθέτω. E. Tro. 1049, ε $l\sigma\beta$ ήτω and in this passage ἀξιωθήτω. The subj. however occurs much more frequently than the imper., especially in prose. But even with respect to the second person the precept of the grammarians does not hold inviolably, as will appear probable, when we inquire into the reason of the idiom. The fact is that the subj. μη ποιήσης is the form of fearing, and is employed generally for the form of forbidding  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  molygov, because usually we only warn a person not to attempt to do anything when we are afraid that he will do it: but the subj. is not used to the entire exclusion of the imper.

which we find in Hom. II.  $\delta'$  410,  $\tau \hat{\varphi}$   $\mu \hat{\eta}$   $\mu o \tau$   $\pi a \tau \epsilon \rho a s$   $\pi o \theta'$   $\delta \mu o l \eta$   $\epsilon \nu \theta e o$   $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta}$ . Od.  $\omega'$ . 248,  $\sigma \psi$   $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$   $\mu \hat{\eta}$   $\chi \delta \lambda o \nu$   $\epsilon \nu \theta e o$   $\theta \nu \mu \hat{\varphi}$ . A. Thesm. 870,  $\mu \hat{\eta}$   $\psi \epsilon \hat{\nu} \sigma o \nu$ ,  $\omega$  Ze $\hat{\nu}$ . S. Pel. fr.  $\mu \hat{\eta}$   $\nu \delta \mu \sigma o \nu$ . But  $\mu \hat{\eta}$   $\pi o \hat{\iota} \hat{\eta}$  is not used for  $\mu \hat{\eta}$   $\pi o \hat{\iota} \epsilon \iota$ , because it would be absurd to say that we are afraid lest a person should do something which we see him actually doing. The imper. having no first person, the subj. of exhortation is used, which is peculiar to that person. See 49,  $\mu \epsilon \mu \nu \dot{\mu} \mu e \theta a$ . In the case of the the third person, if we employ the imper. we invariably forbid, if the subj., we express our fear only and not our wish. This is the sum of Hermann's excellent note on S. Aj. 1085,  $\kappa a l \mu \dot{\eta}$   $\delta o \kappa \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$   $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$ .

- 1451. έα, a monosyllable here, as also O. C. 1192, Ant. 95, E. Ion, 540. So έα 1513. H. Il. ε'. 256, and έατε a disyllable Tr. 1005.  $\parallel$  ένθα κλήζεται ούμὸς K. οὖτος, literally, where that Cithaeron of mine is renowned, i.e. where Cithaeron is situate, renowned by my fortunes.
- 1453. ἐθέσθην κύριον, determined, appointed, destined. The middle may perhaps give the additional meaning in their own minds. || ζωντε, while they lived. I now think the arguments valid in favour of this ms. reading against Toup's specious conj. ζωντι.
- 1454-7. of  $\mu$ ' ἀπωλλύτην, who meant to kill me. The present and imperfect tenses of verbs are frequently used thus to express not so much an action as an intention or purpose. So O. C. 993, κτείνοι should wish to slay. E. Or. 1199, κτείνη σε. This is very usual in prose as well as in verse. See Buttmann on Dem. Meid. § 23 Ε.  $\parallel$  ἀν πέρσαι, will destroy.  $\parallel$  θνήσκων, when on the point of perishing,  $\mu$ η 'πί τω δεινώ κακώ, unless for some dire ill.
- 1460. μή μοι προσθη μέριμναν, take upon thee no anxiety, I pray. μοι, ethic dative.
- 1463. αἶν οὕ ποθ' κ.τ.λ., apart from whom my dinner-table (βορᾶς τράπεζα) ne'er was set without my bidding: i.e. never but on special occasions, by my own direction. For this use of ἄνευ, without adopting which every attempt to explain these words is merely absurd, see Ae. Suppl. 392, οὐκ ἄνευ δήμου. Soph. O. C. 926, ἄνευ γε τοῦ κραίνοντος, ὅστις ἦν, χθονὸς οὕθ' εἶλκον οὕτ' ἄν ἦγον. Matth. x. 29, ἔν ἐξ αὐτῶν οὐ πεσεῖται ἐπὶ τὴν γῆν ἄνευ τοῦ Πατρὸς ἡμῶν. I have found numerous instances in Thuc. and the orators. See Thuc. i. 128, viii. 89, Dem. &c. ἄνευ ἡμῶν (several times), ἀνευ αὐτου, ἀνευ Αθηναίων, κ.τ.λ. When Oed. received a party of male guests at a banquet, he would order the meal of his daughters to be laid elsewhere than in the banquet-hall: but on all other occasions his daughters dined with him.
- 1466. ταῖν μοι μέλεσθαι, of them I pray thee to take care. Infin. for imper. So Ae. Prom. 711, οῖς μὴ πελάζειν. See note on 462 and Lection.
- 1467. ἀποκλαύσασθαι mutually bewail. Eur. fr. τερπνὸν τὸ λέξαι κάποκλαύσασθαι τύχας.

- 1469. ὧ γονη γενναῖε, 'noble by birth.' O truly noble Sir. Opposed to this we have Aj. 1094, μηδὲν ὧν γοναῖσιν. There is probably an allusion to the contrast presented by himself as φύς τ' ἀφ' ὧν οὐ χρῆν 1184 and ἀνοσίων τε παῖς 1360.
- 1472. τοῦν μοι φίλοιν δακρυρροούντοιν. Masculine dual for feminine. The Attics in particular often make the article pronouns and participles masculine before fem. nouns of the dual number. We have thus τώδε τὰ κασιγνήτω—ἀφειδήσαντε in El. 977, 980 and both masc. and fem. in O.C. 1676, ἰδόντε καὶ παθούσα. In Homer also, Il. θ'. 455, πληγέντε κεραυνῷ is applied to Pallas and Juno, and in Hesiod "Εργ. 197, προλιπόντ' ἀνθρώπους Αἰδὼς καὶ Νέμεσις. Probably only one form of the dual in such words existed originally, the masc.
- 1477-8. γνούς τὴν παροῦσαν τέρψιν ἢ σ' εἶχεν πάλαι, guessing the delight thou feelest now from that old delight of thine.
- 1478. ἀλλ' εὐτυχοίης. ἀλλὰ is frequently thus used before a prayer, see 929, an entreaty, or a wish; and also before an interrogation with the sense also of an objection. Cp. Ae. Cho. 1063, ἀλλ' εὐτυχοίης, καί σ' ἐποπτεύων πρόφρων θεὸς φυλάσσοι καιρίοισι συμφοραῖς. E. Alc. 1153 ἀλλ' εὐτυχοίης, νόστιμον δ' ἔλθοις ὀδόν. Med. 688, ἀλλ' εὐτυχοίης καὶ τύχοις ὄσων ἐρậς.  $\|$  τῆσδε τῆς ὀδοῦ in requital of thus fetching, or conducting my daughters hither. ὀδοῦ is used in a transitive sense, as El. 163, Διὸς εὖτρονι βήματι, by Jove's kind guidance. It is the gen. of price depending upon the notion contained in the words ἄμεινον—τύχοι. I would gladly read τῆσδ' ὀδοῦ χάριν.
- 1481.  $\omega_5$  (of motion) to, with case, is only used when its object is personal: and here we must consider 'the fraternal hands' of Oed. as = himself.
- 1482-3. αἶ τοῦ...ὅμματα, which caused your father's once brilliant eyes to see in such manner as they now appear to you (ὑμίν), i.e. not to see at all.  $\parallel$  προύξένησαν. προξενεῖν is properly, to receive the public guests of the state from other countries. Thus the kings of Macedon were πρόξενοι of Athens. The family of Alcibiades were πρόξενοι to the state of Sparta. ξένοι is host or guest; so πρόξενοι is public host, or public guest. The second sense of the verb is to supply, procure, be the cause of, either good or evil; of evil, as here, so in Xen. Anab. VI. 3, 14, ἴστε με—οὐδένα πω κίνδυνον προξενήσαντα ὑμῖν ἐθελούσιον.
  - 1484. ἰστορων, knowing. See note on 1144.
- 1486. καὶ σφω δακρύω. Cp. E. Phoen. 1440-1, φωνήν μέν οὐκ ἀφῆκεν, δμμάτων δ' ἄπο προσεῖπε δακρύοις, ὥστε σημῆναι φίλα.
- 1487-8. νοούμενος, κ.τ.λ., while I think upon the future (τὰ λοιπὰ) of that bitter life, οἶον βιῶναι σφὼ πρὸς ἀνθρώπων χρεών, such as ye both will have to lead at the hands of men. The middle voice νοεῖσθαι implies self-debate, mental reflection.  $\pi$ ρὸς is frequently thus used with intransitives

that have a passive meaning, or with sentences that involve a passive meaning. So Herod. VII. 5,  $"iva \ \lambda \acute{o}\gamma os \ \tau \acute{e} \ \epsilon \epsilon \chi \eta \ \pi \rho \acute{o}s \ d \nu \theta \rho \acute{\omega} \pi \omega \nu \ d \gamma a \theta \acute{o}s$ . 'The is used exactly in the same way. We have both prepositions in one line, 949,  $\pi \rho \acute{o}s \ \tau \mathring{\eta}s \ \tau \acute{u}\chi \eta s \ \delta \lambda \omega \lambda \epsilon \nu$ ,  $o \acute{u} \acute{o} \acute{e} \ \tau o \acute{o} \acute{o} \acute{o} \ \ddot{v} \pi o$ .

1490. κεκλαυμέναι. Matthiae takes this word in a past sense, both here, and in Ae. Ch. 457, 731, as 'one who has wept and retains the traces of it', wet with tears. And so most editors. It seems more probable however that it merely means weeping bitterly, without any reference to the past; and this sense suits the two passages of Aeschylus better also. This is the only perfect of κλάω, and it is used both in an active sense as here, and passively as in Ae. Ch. 687,  $\sigma \pi o \delta \delta \nu$  κέκευθεν ἀνδρὸς εὖ κεκλαυμένου.

1491.  $d\nu\tau l \tau \eta s \theta \epsilon \omega \rho l \alpha s$ , instead of (all the gladness of) the spectacle.

1492. πρὸς γάμων ἀκμάς, to a marriageable age; plural because the two girls are in question.

1403. τίς οὖτος ἔσται, τίς. As the Greeks frequently expressed two questions in one clause (see note on 1144), so also, to give greater spirit to the language, they sometimes used the interrogative pronoun twice where we use the interrogative and relative. Elmsley wishes to substitute this latter form here, and to read τίς οὖτός ἐστιν δς π. But compare Theocr. **XVI. 13.** τίς τῶν νῦν τοιόσδε, τίς εὖ εἰπόντα φιλασεῖ;  $\|$  παραρρίψει, will rashly venture =  $\pi$ αρακινδυνεύσει, will run a needless risk.  $\pi$ αραρρί $\pi$ τειν is here intransitive, as αναρριπτείν, Thuc. v. 103, τοίς δ ές απαν τὸ ὑπάρχον αναρριπτοῦσι. We may suppose κίνδυνον or κύβον understood. παραρρίψει λαμβάνων, will rashly dare to take upon him, τοιαῦτ' ὀνείδη, such scandals, ἃ ἔσται, as will be, ταις έμαις γοναισιν, to my offspring, σφών θ' όμου, and to yours alike, δηλήματα disasters. See Lection. ταις έμαις γοναισιν is my correction for the absurd Ms. reading τοις έμοις γονεύσιν: and its closeness to the yulg. as well as its suitableness of sense make me regard it as certainly true. The scandalous history of Oed. will entail disgrace on his children and on his children's children. Γόνος is not used in the plural number like γονή.

1497-9. ἐκ τῶν ἴσων is a very strange phrase for ἐκ τῶν αὐτῶν. Nauck believes the whole passage to be corrupt, and conjectures ὑμῶν ἔπεφν', ὅθεν  $\pi$ ερ  $(=\dot{\upsilon}\phi' \circ \mathring{\upsilon})$  αὐτὸς ἐσπάρη, κἀκτήσαθ' ὑμᾶς ὧνπερ αὐτὸς ἐξέφυ. This would be a bold restoration; but I think it well deserves to be true.

1502. χέρσουs, barren, childless; properly applied to land in the sense of untilled, uncultivated. See Ant. 251.  $\parallel \phi\theta$ aρῆναι, perish, waste away.

1503.  $\mathring{\omega}$  παῖ Μενοικέως (Μενοικέως, 69)  $\parallel$  ἀλλ'. When a person turns suddenly from a narration to an address, or passes in an address from one person to another, the vocative is commonly put first: Hesiod, "Εργ. 211,  $\mathring{\omega}$  Πέρση, σὺ δ' ἄκουε δίκης. Also with adversative particles (as here) II.  $\mathring{\xi}$ '. 429, "Εκτορ, ἀτὰρ σύ μοὶ ἐσσι πατὴρ καὶ πότνια μήτηρ. Ο. C. 237, 41,  $\mathring{\omega}$  ξένοι αἰδόφρονες, ἀλλ' ἐπεὶ...ἀλλ'—ἐμὲ τὰν μελέαν οἰκτίσατε.

1505.  $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota i\delta\eta s$ , neglect, overlook. This word has been adopted for MS.  $\pi\alpha\rho\iota \delta\eta s$ , from a conjecture of Dawes, by most editors. Porson on E. Med. 284, denies that the tragic poets ever admit  $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota$  in composition before a vowel into iambic, trochaic or anapaestic verse, and even in the choric portions such license is permitted only in the case of an adjective or adverb ( $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota \delta\rho\gamma \omega s$ ,  $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota \omega \delta\nu \nu \sigma s$ ) and that seldom, but never in the case of a verb or substantive. But perhaps Hermann is right in saying that it was not the hiatus in such words that was displeasing so much as their being used in the language of common life; and that  $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota \omega \delta\epsilon \nu$  may have seemed to them less objectionable on this ground. We find it in A. Eccl. 369,  $\mu\eta$   $\mu\epsilon$   $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota \delta\eta s$ , 1054  $\mu\eta\delta\alpha\mu\omega s$   $\mu\epsilon$   $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota \delta\eta s$ . The word means to look round, when we ought to be paying attention: and therefore, to allow a thing to be done: to neglect.

1506. πτωχὰς ἀνάνδρους ἐγγενεῖς ἀλωμένας. Instead of ἐγγενεῖς we should have expected a word of kindred signification with the others; but Erf. cp. E. Heracl. 223–5, σοι γὰρ τόδ' αισχρόν, χωρὶς ἐν πόλει κακόν, ικέτας ἀλήτας συγγενεῖς, οἴμοι κακῶν, βλέψον πρὸς αὐτοὺς βλέψον, ἔλκεσθαι βία. Translate, being as they are your relations. Έγγενεῖς is properly persons of the same stock or race, and includes συγγενεῖς, persons of the same family. Dindorf would prefer ἐκγενεῖς, a word perhaps formed by Sophocles, as in El. 243, ἔκτιμον for τὸ ἔξω τιμῆς ὄν. He compares with the passage S. Tr. 299, ταύτας ὀρώση δυσπότμους ἐπὶ ξένης χώρας ἀοίκους ἀπάτοράς τ' ἀλωμένας.

1507. μηδ' έξισώσης τάσδε—κακοις, nor let these girls sink to the level of my misfortunes.

1510.  $\sigma \hat{\eta}$  ψαύσας χερί. This was considered as an assurance of a promise. So E. Med. 21, ἀνακαλεῖ δὲ δεξιᾶς πίστιν μεγίστην, on which see Mr Verrall's note. Heracl. 307. Hel. 838.

1513. οδ καιρὸς έఞ ξην. έఞ is Dindorf's correction for ἀεl (EAI for AEI), and is adopted by most edd. Thus all the difficulty of the passage vanishes, and the sense becomes clear: that ye may live where occasion allows, and that you may obtain a life better than your father's hath been. See Lection.

1515. The metre here becomes and continues to the end trochaic

tetram. catalectic. || ἄλις ʹʹιν' ἐξήκεις δακρύων, sufficient is the point you have reached in weeping; i.e. you have wept long enough.

- 1517. ἐφ' oĩs, on what conditions. Cp. A. Plut. 1108, οὐκοῦν ἐπὶ τούτοις εἰσίω; || εἴσομαι. Cp. Ae. Sept. 261, λέγοις ᾶν ὡς τάχιστα, καὶ τάχ' εἴσομαι. Plaut. Pseud. II. 2, 62, scin quid te orem, Syre? Ps. sciam, si dixeris?
- 1518. γη̂ς ὅπως πέμψεις μ' ἄποικον, that thou wilt send me out of the land to dwell elsewhere: ἄποικον being proleptic.
- 1519. ἥκω = ἐξήκω οι ἐξῆλθον, I have turned out, have proved to be. || τεύξει τάχα, thou wilt soon obtain thy wish. See 1357.
- 1520. φὴς τάδ' οὖν, dost thou then promise this?  $\parallel$  ἄ μὴ φρον $\hat{\omega}$  γάρ κ.τ.λ. yes, what I do not mean I am not wont to speak idly. (i.e. to waste words by saying.) I., misunderstanding φρον $\hat{\omega}$  here, translates wrongly.
- 1522-3. πάντα...κρατείν... άκράτησας. Such pronoun accusatives in neut. plur. would be licensed exceptions to the general rule that the verb κρατείν usually governs a genitive. See 54-5. But in O. C. 1380 we find excepted substantives, τοιγάρ τὸ σὸν θάκημα καὶ τοὺς σοὺς θρόνους κρατοῦσιν.  $\parallel$  οὔ σοι τ $\hat{\varphi}$  βί $\varphi$  ξυνέσπετο, have not followed thee through thy life. Two datives often depend on one verb by the grammatical  $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \theta' \delta \lambda \sigma \nu$ καὶ μέρος. Cp. Hom. Il. λ'. 11, 'Αχαιοίσιν δὲ μέγα σθένος ἔμβαλ' ἐκάστψ καρδίη άλληκτον πολεμίζειν. Hes. Εργ. 76, πάντα δέ οι χροτ κόσμον έφήρμοσε Παλλάς 'Αθήνη. Pind. P. I. 8, κελαινωπιν δ' έπί οἱ νεφέλαν ἀγκύλφ κρατί κατέχευας. Ε. Bacch. 619, τώδε περί βρόχους εβαλλε γόνασι καί χηλαίς  $\pi$ οδών. Not less often two accusatives: Hom. Il.  $\lambda'$ . 240,  $\tau$ ον δ' ἄορι  $\pi$ λη̂ξ' αὐχένα. S. O. C. 113, καὶ σύ μ' έξ ὁδοῦ πόδα κρύψον. The second of such cases may stand in some other relation to the first than that of uépos to όλον, as τῷ βίῳ here to σοι. So Pind. Ol. VIII. 83, ἐνέποι κεν Καλλιμάχῳ λιπαρον κόσμον 'Ολυμπία, ον σφι Ζεύς γένει ώπασεν. Plat. Hipp. Min. 364, μή σοι έμποδών είην έρωτών τη έπιδείξει.
- 1524-7. Euripides has copied these lines almost word for word at the close of the Phoen. 1758 &c. & πάτρας κλεινης πολίται, λεύσσετ', Οιδίπους ὅδε, ὅς τὰ κλειν' αινίγματ' ἔγνω καὶ μέγιστος ην ἀνήρ, &c. || κράτιστος, most powerful, mighty. || ώς τις οὐ ζήλω πολιτῶν καὶ τύχαις ἐπιβλέπων. Thus v. 1526 stands in my text with ώς τις for the MS. reading ὅστις, a change so slight as hardly to deserve the name. Rend. considered as one who never eyed jealously the aspiring hopes (ζήλω lit. emulation) and fortunes of the citizens. On this use of ώς see 1078, 1118. J. has printed a verse which contains three violent changes: οὖ for ὅς, ταῖς for καὶ, ἐπέβλεπεν for ἐπι-βλέπων, οὖ τίς οὐ ζήλω πολιτῶν ταῖς τόχαις ἐπέβλεπεν; rendering: 'on whose fortunes which of the citizens did not gaze with envy?' a complex of genitives and datives which ought not to be intruded into a work of Sophocles on any ground: least of all for the purpose of importing a sense so farfetched. See Excursus IX.

1528-30. I cannot doubt that these concluding lines of the drama require ἄκος τομαΐον. This is to some extent achieved, if for ἐκείνην be read ἄμεινον: also μή τιν' for μηδέν'. The verses will then be:

ώστε θνητὸν ὄντ' ἄμεινον, τὴν τελευταίαν ίδεῦν ἡμέραν ἐπισκοποῦντα, μή τιν' ὀλβίζειν, πρὶν ἃν τέρμα τοῦ βίου περάση μηδὲν ἀλγεινὸν παθών.

Wherefore it is better not to call any one happy who is a mortal looking onward to behold the final day, until he shall have passed the goal of life without suffering any affliction. But I am unwilling to recognise in Sophocles so strange a construction of the verb  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota\sigma\kappa\circ\pi\dot{\epsilon}\omega$  as we find here. I know no other instance of  $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \kappa \circ \pi \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$ , 'to look forward', and certainly none with infin. following, as  $l\delta\epsilon\hat{\imath}\nu$  in this place. I therefore suspect that  $\epsilon\pi\iota$ σκοποῦντα is the gloss of a scholiast for some other word, perhaps for έπαμμένοντα, waiting. Έπαμμένει stands twice in Aesch. Pr. impersonally with inf.; in Aristoph. personally with acc. and inf. and with accus. alone. Hermann and Dindorf adopt ἐπαμμένει for ἐπιμένει in O. C. 1719. I should therefore consider its construction here justified, especially as its sister compound περιμένω is used with infin. by Plato, Leg. x. 888, περίμεινον οὖν εἰς τότε κριτής περί των μεγίστων γίγνεσθαι. Theaet. 173 C, περιμένει ἀποτελεσθήναι. The sentiment conveyed in these lines is one of the most trite in ancient literature. Its earliest record occurs in the words of Solon to Croesus, which Herodotus thus reports I. 32, σκοπέειν δὲ χρή παντός χρήματος τήν τελευτήν κη αποβήσεται πολλάκις γαρ δή υπόδεξας όλβον ο θεός προρρίζους ανέτρεψε. Aeschylus repeats it, Ag. 902, δλβίσαι δὲ χρη βίον τελευτήσαντ' ἐν εὐεστοι φίλη. Ov. Met. III. 136, ultima semper expectanda dies homini, dicique beatus ante obitum nemo supremaque funera debet. Cp. Eurip. Andr. 100, Aristot. Eth. Nic. I. 10, and many other places.

#### ADDENDA.

1095. The reading  $\ell \pi l$   $\tilde{\eta} \rho a$ , for  $\ell \pi l \eta \rho a$ , is adopted by J. who follows Buttmann, Lexil.  $\tilde{\eta} \rho a$ . The case is this:  $\tilde{\eta} \rho a$   $\phi \ell \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$  stands in Hom. four times,  $\ell \pi l \eta \rho a$   $\phi \ell \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$  twice. Is this a tmesis,  $\ell \pi l$   $\tilde{\eta} \rho a$   $\phi \ell \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ , or is  $\ell \pi l \eta \rho a$  an adj.? It is certain that many ancients took it as an adj.: for as such it was used by Emped., Apoll. R. and others. Why not by Soph.? All the lexicographers take this view, Passow, L. and S., Ell., Dind.: and I do not feel myself at liberty to oppose them.

1526. Euripides, by the mouth of Theseus, describes the wretchedness of a τυραννίς, as compared with a free commonwealth, in his Supplices, 429, οὐδὲν τυράννου δυσμενέστερον πόλει κ.τ.λ.



### EXCURSUS I.

#### THE TRAGIC DRAMA AT ATHENS.

§ 1. The dramatic contests at Athens were not, like the stage plays at Rome, and those of modern nations, mere popular amusements. They belonged to religious cult. Their tutelar was the jocund and widely-worshipped Theban deity Dionysus or Bacchus. They were held in theatres dedicated to him, and at his festivals: first at the Feast of the Wine-press  $(\lambda \hat{\eta} \nu \sigma s)$  called the Lenaea, when the new wine of the last autumn was first tapped in the month Gamelion (January), afterwards also, and chiefly, at the greater Dionysia  $(\tau \hat{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \tau' \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \tau \nu)$ , which began on the 8th day of Elaphebolion (March). When the theatre of Dionysus was built on the southern slope of the Hill of the Acropolis, about 500 B.C., the Thymele (altar of Bacchus) was placed in the centre of its orchestra, and its presidential chair was occupied by the priest of Dionysus Eleuthereus.

The exact site of that theatre was discovered and its remains brought to light in 1862 by the German architect Strack. The seats of the spectators thus laid bare in the solid rock are stated by Guhl and Köner to be in about one hundred rows, divided into thirteen kerkides (cunei) by means of fourteen staircases. The lowest row contains sixty-seven arm-chairs, shown by their inscriptions to have been appropriated to priests, archons, thesmothetae and other dignitaries, the central one especially, decorated with bas-reliefs, for the priest of Bacchus. The wall of the Proscenium also contains bas-reliefs, and was erected by the archon Phaedrus, perhaps in the 3rd century A.D. The earlier proscenium of the Sophoclean age undoubtedly stood much farther to the south, affording a larger orchestra for the choral action.

§ 2. The orchestra corresponded, so far as relative situation is concerned, to the pit of modern theatres. But its purpose and use were different. Its floor, when empty, was called  $\kappa o \nu i \sigma \tau \rho a$ , being strewn with sand  $(\kappa \delta \nu \iota s)$ . But in earlier times it was used for exhibitions of dancing in the

dithyrambic contests; and from that ancient practice it gained the name δρχήστρα, dancing-hall. For dancing exhibitions it was boarded over its whole space or nearly so; but the floor thus constructed was naturally low. When prepared for dramatic contests it took a different aspect. Vitruvius likens it to a circle containing an inscribed square. The upper circumference of such circle would be the frontal diazoma of the Koilon (cavea) or spectators' seats: while the southern side of the square (say AB, the chord of a quadrant) would form (produced) with the tangent parallel to it a parallelogram approximately showing the acting-ground of the drama, the  $\sum \kappa \eta \nu \dot{\eta}$  with its appurtenances. This would leave for the orchestra a segment of  $270^{\circ}$ ; but the Koilon was not continued on either side to AB: spaces (say of six feet) were left for the two passages (πάροδοι) which admitted the spectators, and through one of which (the western) the Chorus entered to reach its platform. This platform, a wooden temporary (?) erection, extended from the central thymele to the proscenium, being about two feet in height below it. The two were connected by steps: and other steps, we believe, elsewhere rose from the κονίστρα to the stage.

§ 3. The term  $\sum \kappa \eta \nu \dot{\eta}$ , scene, is loosely used in common parlance. Literally it means 'tent', and (according to Guhl and Köner) its use dates 'probably from the time when at the back of the orchestra a scaffolding was erected, from which the actors entered as from a kind of tent'. Afterwards the expression, say they, came to mean 'the whole stage-building', more properly called 'Scenarium' or  $\tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \eta \nu \iota \kappa \dot{\alpha}$ . In its narrowest sense it was the back wall of the stage. In this latter well-defined sense let us now speak of it.

The discovered *rudera* of ancient Greek theatres give a very clear idea of the Koilon. Also the orchestra, and its parodoi, are sufficiently intelligible; while the choral platform, though not represented by any remains (being, as we suppose, a wooden temporary erection), is pretty well understood, partly from Vitruvius and other ancient writers, partly because its manifest purposes suggest the means taken to satisfy them. But, as respects the Scenarium (if we may so call the parallelogram mentioned), though we have much accurate information on many points, supported by the general relations between its now vacant space and the Orchestra and Koilon which it confronted, yet the want of *rudera* leaves other points in the dark, and open to conjecture.

It is, then, a well-established fact, that the ancient Greek theatres did possess that which in the narrowest sense came to be known as  $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \dot{\eta}$ , a permanent stone wall, so constructed and divided, as to be adaptable, by means of manifold decorations and machinery, to the scenic requirements of various dramas. The space between this  $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \dot{\eta}$ , so decorated and manipulated for dramatic action, and the frontal line (AB) of the stage, was called 'pros-

cenium', within the limits of which the action took place. By the term  $\lambda o \gamma e \hat{\epsilon} o \nu$  is to be understood that part of the proscenium in which dialogue was held between the actors present on the stage, and also between any of them and the Chorus on its platform. That is to say, the  $\lambda o \gamma e \hat{\epsilon} o \nu$  was the portion of the proscenium which satisfied the three conditions of being (1) equidistant between A and B: (2) adjoining to the front of the stage where it rose above the choral platform: (3) extensive enough for the relative positions of three actors, as, for instance, in Epeisodia 3 and 4 of Oed. Tyr. By these conditions alone, and in no other way was it distinguished from the rest of the proscenium, which was as long in front as the line AB, and at the back as long (in this play) as the decorated  $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta$  which represented the front of the royal palace at Thebes, flanked by the two Periactoi, Western and Eastern, beside which were passages for those who came (W.) from the city, (E.) from other places. Of these we shall speak later on.

§ 4. What other portions of the stage-buildings (scenarium) were of a permanent kind, besides the σκηνή, there seems to be no definite certainty: and it may well be supposed that different theatres were differently constituted in this respect, and much of change must have occurred in the centuries succeeding 400 B.C. As to the Athenian theatre of that date, it seems highly probable, that, besides the machinery and decorations, some portions also of the buildings, being moveable woodwork, were kept in a public depôt, for the use of the choregoi and didascaloi during the few months of each year in which they were required for rehearsals (διδασκαλίαι) and finally for the competitive performances in Elaphebolion. We may however believe with assurance that the  $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \dot{\eta}$  and the parodoi were connected by permanent mural structures included under the term παρασκήνια, also that a permanent wall having doors, and in height below the proscenium if not the choral platform, divided the stage from the orchestra, thus corresponding with the imaginary line AB. But I do not know that any rudera define the extent and character of these structures. The flooring of the proscenium must have been wooden, and as such, moveable, though perhaps rarely, if ever, moved except for repair. The cavity beneath it was called ὑποσκήνιον, and was no doubt very useful in dramatic action, as now. It contained κλίμακες (stairs), and much of the stage machinery must have been kept here under state protection. When choruses were assigned by the " $A\rho\chi\omega\nu$  to the choregoi, these properties would be entrusted to their charge under due regulations; and the διδάσκαλοι, or contending poets, would have the use of them for training the actors and choreutai in rehearsals. As to the assembling and dressing-rooms for the performers I know not that we are well-informed. Perhaps they were temporary wooden sheds, erected by the choregoi each year. See the articles Archon, Choregus, Chorus, Tragoedia in Smith's Dictionary of Classical Antiquities. § 5. In treating of dramatic action in the Greek theatre the able guidance of Karl Otfried Müller and Theodor Bergk will now be followed.

Its most characteristic feature was the chorus. When it passed from lyric to dramatic poetry the chorus underwent a change of form. In the lyric or dithyrambic contests it was an independent body of fifty dancers and singers, who danced singing in the orchestra round the central altar of Bacchus, the Thymele. Hence the lyric choruses and contests were called κύκλιοι. When it became dramatic, it was dependent on the action of the stage, and interested in what passed there. It therefore fronted the stage. Old grammarians speak of its form as quadrangular: i.e. so arranged that the dancers (γορευταί) standing in their regular places in rows and groups (στίγοι or στοίχοι, ζύγα) formed right angles rank and file. In this form the chorus marched through the wide  $\pi \acute{a}\rho o \acute{o} o s$  to the stairs of its platform, where it arranged itself between the thymele and the stage in straight lines. Müller's opinion is that the whole number of χορευταί at the service of the choregoi was 48, (two of the original 50 being dispensed with); and that these in the Aeschylean age were divided into four groups of 12 each, for of this number the dramatic chorus then consisted, being afterwards increased by Sophocles to 151. The places taken on the platform by the choral dancers were determined by the previous rehearsals. They were so arranged as to give the audience the most favourable view of the chorus, and to bring forward the handsomest and best-dressed dancers. The tragic style of dancing was called ἐμμέλεια, and it is described as the most grave and solemn of the public dances. The dialogue of the Chorus with the actors was conducted by its speaker (κορυφαίος, i.e. head-man or head-woman) who alone spoke, though all sang in their turn, as belonging to one or the other semi-chorus.

§ 6. The spectators looked over the choral platform to the proscenium where the actors stood, and so discerned the relation between these and the chorus. The actors belonged to the old mythic world: and their aspect, determined by size and dress, bespoke something mightier than humanity. The chorus belonged to the people; and their part was to show the impression made by the incidents of the drama on commoner minds, thus interpreting them to the audience, with whom they owned a more kindred

¹ Of these choreutai, it is probable that half the total number, if not three-fourths, were youths fit to act female parts. For of the 33 tragic dramas extant (including the Satyric Cyclops and the spurious Rhesus), 21 have a female chorus, 12 only a male, namely, of Aesch. the Ag. and Pers.: of Soph. the Oed. T., Oed. C., Ant., Aj., Phil.; of Eurip. the Alc., Heracl., Herc. F, Rhes., Cycl. In the Eumen. a second (male) chorus expels the Furies at the close. This proves that the daucing of women was preferred to that of men in ancient days as much as it is in modern times. It may be here noted that the comic chorus was of 24. In two plays of Aristoph. it is female, Thesmophor. and Eccles. In Lysistrata is a double chorus, one male, one female.

nature. The form of the proscenium, exceedingly long, but of little depth, was founded on the artistic taste of the ancients, and influenced their dramatic action remarkably. As the sculpture of the Greeks delighted in the long lines of figures shown on their pediments and friezes, so the actors on the stage with their mute attendants (θεράποντες, θεράπαιναι, δορυφόροι) stood in long rows on the narrow stage. Persons from a distance were never seen advancing from the back, but from the side, whence they had to move for some distance before they could converse with those on the λογείον. See Creon's approach and entrance in Oed. Tyr. 78, &c. The Σκηνή was dressed and divided so as to represent the dwelling of the chief personage, as the palace of Oedipus in our play. It might represent a camp with the hero's tent, as in the Ajax; a scene of wood and rock with a cave, as in the Philoctetes, a temple, as in the Ion of Euripides. But in every case the front is all that is shown, not the interior. If that front is a palace, besides the central or royal door, there are two others: one (W.) to guest-chambers, the other (E.) to some part not soon gained by the chief door, as a shrine, or prison, or women's apartments.

In those days and in Greek life, everything important passed in open air or in the view of men. Even social meetings were held in public halls and agorai, rather than in private rooms. This made it proper that the action of the drama should come forth from the interior. Hence the heroes give utterance to their thoughts in the court outside their houses; and the choreutai assemble, like friends or neighbours, to sympathize or advise. Nor was even the performance of choral dances in such spots at variance with Greek usage. The sides of the stage and orchestra had an established significance. Any one entering by a W. passage was understood to come from the city, or, if by an E. passage, from the country or from afar.

§ 7. In the infancy of the drama a single actor was detached from the chorus, and made by Thespis and Phrynichus to represent in succession all the persons of the piece, and, with the chorus, to conduct the whole action. Aeschylus (as we have said) added a second actor, and Sophocles a third. On the relations of these three great stress was laid: they were distinguished as protagonist, deuteragonist, and tritagonist. Plotinus observes that the poet  $(\partial t \partial d \kappa \kappa \Delta \delta s)$  does not create these, but only assigns to each actor his proper part. The protagonist usually gives name to the play; though not always. In six of the Sophoclean plays it is so: Oedipus (twice), Antigone, Ajax, Electra, Philocetees: the 7th is called from its chorus, Trachiniae: it had been better named Deianeira. So Prometheus, Medea, Alcestis, Hecuba, Ion, and others. The person whose passion and fate rivet the chief sympathy of the audience, like Oedipus, is the protagonist. It was an invariable rule that he should never enter or leave the stage through either of the side doors.

K. OE.

The δευτεραγωνίσται in the Oed. Tyr. are, the Priest of Zeus, Jocasta, Shepherd, and Exangelos: the τριταγωνίσται Creon, Teiresias, Corinthian Messenger. If we suppose Creon to have changed his travelling for his civic attire after v. 150, then to have slipt over this the seer's mantle and assumed the mask of a blind old man, before v. 300, discarding these and resuming his civic mask again before 512, there is no difficulty in supposing this cast of characters for the three actors.

- § 8. Ancient tragedy seldom needed changes of scene. Actions such as the suicide of Jocasta and the self-blinding of Oedipus are imagined as passing behind the scene and are only related on the stage. Hence the frequency of messengers and heralds. The reason was not only that assigned by Horace, that bloody spectacles and monstrous events are too horrible to appear on the stage, but also one far deeper, that it is never the outward act with which the interest of tragedy is most closely bound up. The action which forms the basis of all ancient tragedy is inward and spiritual: the reflections, resolutions, feelings, the mental or moral phenomena, which can be expressed in speech, are developed on the stage. For outward action the epic form, narration, is the appropriate vehicle. Battles, single combats, murders, suicides, and the like, all things belonging to strength of hand, pass elsewhere, even where they might with little difficulty be acted on the stage. Exceptions, such as the chasing of Prometheus, and the suicide of Ajax, are more apparent than real, and serve to confirm the general rule: for it is the psychological state of these characters that leads to the exhibition of their misfortunes. Moreover the costume of tragic actors, which fitted them for declamation, unsuited them for action. Their heightened and padded figures would have had an awkward, almost a ludicrous effect, in combat or other violent gesticulation. The complete change of scenic decorations, so usual in the modern theatre, was first effected at Rome by the 'scena ductilis et versilis'. At Athens all changes needed were wrought by means of the Periactoi, already mentioned, at each end of the  $\sum \kappa \eta \nu \dot{\eta}$ . These were usually in the form of a triangular prism, which turned round rapidly and presented three different painted surfaces. On the E. side, where foreign parts were represented, they afforded at each turn a different perspective view, while on the home or city side some single near object alone was changed.
- § 9. It was occasionally necessary to place before the spectator a scene confined to the interior of the house: for instance, when the phase and the idea of the piece required what is called a tragic situation, that is, a vivid picture, in which a whole series of affecting images are brought together. Scenes of this tremendous power are that in which Clytaemnestra with the bloody axe stands over the bodies of Agamemnon and Cassandra, holding the garment in which she has entangled her unfortunate husband; and

that in the Choephori, where Orestes is seen on the same spot, and the same bathing robe now covers the bodies of Aegisthus and Clytaemnestra. Or again, in the tragedy of Sophocles, where Ajax, standing among the beasts he has slaughtered in his frenzy, taking them for Achaian chiefs, now, plunged in deep melancholy, contemplates the work of his madness. It is, in these cases, not the act alone at the time of execution, but the circumstances arising from the accomplishment of that act, which occupy the feelings and meditations of the chorus and the audience. To bring on the stage groups such as these (in the choice and disposal of which we recognise the plastic genius of the age which produced a Pheidias) and to bring into view interiors hidden behind the scenes, were employed machines called ἐκκύκλημα (the out-roller) and ἐξώστρα (the out-pusher), the exact application of which is very obscurely reported, though the effects are known<sup>1</sup>. Machinery also for raising figures from the ὑποσκήνιον, for imitating thunder and lightning, for wafting actors or chariots through the air, belonged to the age of the three great tragic poets. In the Prometheus of Aeschylus we find winged cars and strange hippogryphs transporting Oceanus and his daughter to the tragic scene on Caucasus.

§ 10. The songs of the Chorus have a determinate influence on the whole tragedy. The song sung by the Chorus while advancing through the side entrance into the orchestra and moving towards its platform, was called Parodos: it might be finished after reaching the platform. But a subsequent song, when the Chorus was settled in its place, and the drama in progress, was called Stasimon. The Parodos used often to open with anapaests, but Sophocles follows this custom in the Ajax only. It usually explains the motive for the appearance of the Chorus, and its interest in the business of the drama, so far as yet known, while the stasima develop that interest in the various forms which the progress of the drama causes it to assume. As the chorus generally represents the ideal spectator, whose views were to guide and control the assembled people, so was it the province of the stasimon, amidst the tumult of the action to maintain that composure which the Greeks deemed essential to the perfection of art, and to divest the action of the accidental and personal elements, in order to place in clearer light its inward meaning and the thoughts which lay beneath the surface. Stasima therefore intervene at certain pauses in the plot: and they have the further merit of affording to the

<sup>1</sup> K. O. Müller (Eumen. 91 &c. transl.) cites nine instances of the use of the ἐκ-κύκλημα in extant tragedy. Among these he ranks the appearance of the blind and bleeding Oedipus at 1297. But there seems no reason to ascribe this to the use of machinery. It is enough to suppose that two attendants, summoned by his cries (1287), lead him forth through the central door to the  $\lambda o \gamma \epsilon \hat{i} o \nu$ , and stand near to protect him while he converses with the chorus.

actors time for changes of costumes and masks. In this manner the songs of the complete Chorus divide the tragedy into certain parts, which may be compared to the 'acts' of modern plays: and the Greeks called that part which went before the Parodos by the title Prologos; the parts between the choral songs, Epeisodia: the part after the last stasimon, Exodos. No numerical rule limits the development of these several parts: their length and arrangement, also the number of epeisodia, admit of great variety, dependent on the plots and characters. This will be manifest to those who compare the Oed. C. with the Oed. Tyr., or the Antigone with the Philoctetes.

§ 11. The Epeisodia (with Prologos and Exodos) contain the dialogue, which is conducted usually in iambic trimeters; at rare intervals, in trochaic tetrameters catalectic. They are liable to be occasionally interrupted by lyric interludes of various kinds. That called Commos or Commation takes the name (which means a 'wailing') from having originally been used in lamentation over the dead. In its actual use it often is a lament; but it may also be an expression of some lively sympathy, or an eager endeavour to prevail on some person for a good purpose. Of this last nature is the κομμάτιον of the Chorus at 640 &c. in this Lyrical interludes called  $\tau \dot{\alpha}$   $\dot{\alpha}\pi \dot{\delta}$   $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \hat{\eta} s$  are those in which an actor speaks in lyric measures, and they are usually, but not necessarily, sad complaints. Such are those which Oedipus speaks in this drama 1313-66. The tragic poets have also interspersed separate smaller choral songs, depicting joyous or enthusiastic feeling. They are called by ancient writers ὑπορχήματα hyporchemes, because they were accompanied with more animated and expressive dancing than the usual grave ἐμμέλεια. Stasimon iii. at 1086, is a hyporcheme of this kind. The arrangement of dialogue in the Epeisodia exemplifies that studious attention to symmetry which distinguishes Greek art. The opinions and desires which come into conflict are as it were poised in the balance throughout, till at last some weighty decision is thrown into one of the scales. Hence the frequent scenes (as in this play 532-630) so artfully contrived that verse answers to verse (στιχομυθία) like stroke to stroke, while at other times two verses answer two, and sometimes a single verse is divided between two disputants (626-629).

§ 12. There is no comparison to be drawn (says K. O. Müller) between the scenic and the plastic costume of the ancient gods and heroes: the former cannot be judged of from the latter. Statements of old grammarians and extant monuments prove that there was but one general  $\sigma \tau o \lambda \dot{\eta}$  (costume) for tragedy. It was nothing more than an improvement on the gay and brilliant apparel worn in the Dionysiac festivals. Tragic actors wore long  $\chi \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon s$  of various gay colours, falling in ample folds to the feet  $(\pi o \delta \dot{\eta} \rho \epsilon \iota s)$ :

ίμάτια, σύρματα, χλάμυδες of light colours richly embroidered, and embellished by brilliant gold ornaments; very broad embroidered girdles (μασχαλιστήρες) sitting high on the breasts. The κόθορνος, or high-heeled boot, was worn to elevate the person, and became a proverbial emblem of tragedy. The chest, body, arms and legs were padded to a corresponding size: so that a tragic actor was made a strange and monstrous being, fitted only for declamation, his natural flexibility being thus to a great extent controlled. But the Greeks had contrived for him a system of expressive gestures, founded on their own temperament and manners. On the tragic stage this seemed raised to its highest pitch, in correspondence with the emotions wich the action called forth. Owing to the immense extent of the  $\theta \epsilon \alpha \tau \rho \rho \nu$  or  $\kappa \delta \lambda \rho \nu$ , acoustical and optical means were invented, to convey the words and movements of the actors to the more distant spectators. For these purposes chiefly, we cannot doubt, the mask  $(\pi\rho\delta\sigma$ - $\omega \pi o \nu$ ) was used, while its often very high  $\delta \gamma \kappa o s$ , or head-dress, also served to exaggerate height. These canvas masks, derived from the ancient practice in the festivals of painting the face with wine-lees or minium, could be changed so as to render the varieties of passion required by the transitions of the plot. Thus in the Oed. Tyr. the disgraced, despairing, blinded, tortured Oedipus appears in a mask different from that which he wore while honoured, confident and happy.

§ 13. The assembled people in a crowded theatre (say Guhl and Köner 58) must have been an imposing spectacle, in which the gorgeous colours of the dresses were contrasted with the azure of a southern sky. No antique rendering of this subject remains. The spectators began to assemble at early dawn, for each wished to secure a good seat after paying his entrance fee (θεωρικόν). This, not exceeding two obols (=3d.), was payable to the manager of the theatre. After the erection of stone theatres at Athens, the fee was paid for the poorer classes by government, and formed a heavy item in the budget. For not only at the theatre, but on many other festive occasions, the people clamoured for free admission, supported by the demagogues. Often the money reserved for the emergency of a war had to be spent for this purpose. The seats, as in modern theatres, varied in price, and the police (ἡαβδοῦχοι) had to see that every one took his seat in the row marked on his ticket. Most of the spectators were men. In olden time women were allowed to attend only at tragedies, excepting hetairai. It is almost certain the seats of the sexes were separate. Such too was the case with youths of rank, whose places were called τὸ Ἐφηβικόν. Whether any slaves were admitted is also doubtful. Favourite poets and actors received applause and flowers: others had to encounter whistling and other marks of disapprobation. The tempers of mankind have been much the same in all ages.

- § 14. The Alexandrine scholiasts ascribed to Aeschylus 90 dramas, to Sophocles 113 (some a larger number), to Euripides 92. Of these are surviving only 7 of Aeschylus, 7 of Sophocles, 18 (or, adding the spurious Rhesus, 10) of Euripides, 33 in all, with a good many fragments of other plays. But, besides the earlier authors, Thespis, Choerilus, Pratinas, Phrynichus, &c., the names of 20 later tragic dramatists are preserved, who wrote and represented plays during the century, of which the closing years saw the deaths of Euripides and Sophocles, the capture of Athens and the rule of the 30 oligarchs. Among these poets, Suidas ascribes to Iophon, a son of Sophocles, 50 plays. Bergk, in his History of Greek Literature, Vol. III. (published since the author's death) thinks that the tragedies and satyr-plays of this age cannot have been fewer in all than 1500 or 1600. If to these we add comedies, the number might be almost doubled. These facts deserve to be carefully noted and pondered by those scholars who think themselves entitled to reject or disparage a reading or an interpretation in which no demerit can be shown but this, that no parallel examples can be cited from the scanty fragments of tragic poetry which time has spared to us. When the possible uses of  $\sigma \nu \mu \phi \rho \rho \dot{\alpha}$ , or the fitness in tragedy of such words as  $\dot{a}\phi\theta o\nu\epsilon\hat{i}\nu$ ,  $\ddot{a}\phi\theta a\rho\tau os$ , are under consideration, let the fact be duly considered at the same time, that we possess scarce three per cent. of the dramas written and acted in the greatest dramatic age of Athens.
- § 15. In concluding this Excursus, let me say that I have read with amusement and gratification Mr Henry Norman's account of the Oedipus Tyrannus as acted at Harvard, U.S.A., a college affiliated, as it were, to our English Cambridge through its founder and its site. Great and laudable pains seem to have been successfully taken with the costumes and scenery; though, as Mr Norman owns, the full conditions of the Athenian performance are unattainable in any theatre of these days. To adopt modern music for the choral songs, instead of any futile attempt to produce an imaginary rifacciamento of the ancient Greek melodies, was surely a very wise decision. If I may offer one slight criticism, I do not think it right at v. 1146 to make the shepherd swing his staff as if he meant to strike the Corinthian. 'Voies de fait' are alien to the genius of Greek tragedy: the words of Oedipus, μη κόλαζε, imply no more than verbal rebuke; and a timorous old slave would not have ventured upon so rash an act in the royal presence. All classical scholars must rejoice that the interest so widely shown in this successful enterprise promises well for the maintenance and extension of Greek learning on the American continent.

## EXCURSUS II. vv. 9—13.

§ 1. ἀλλ', ὧ γεραιέ, φράζ', ἐπεὶ πρέπων ἔφυς πρὸ τῶνδε φωνεῖν, τίνι τρόπω καθέστατε, δείσαντες, ἢ στέρξαντες ὡς θέλοντος ᾶν ἐμοῦ προσαρκεῖν πῶν ὁυσάλγητος γὰρ ὧν εἴην τοιάνδε μὴ οὐ κατοικτείρων ἔδραν.

So I edit, without note of interrogation, treating the question as indirect, depending on  $\phi\rho\delta\zeta\epsilon$ . The edition of Wunder, revised by Badham with English notes (1867), punctuates as I do. Editors in general print  $\sigma\tau\epsilon\rho$ - $\xi\alpha\nu\tau\epsilon$ s;

Inform me then, old sire, since thou art naturally fitted to speak as representing these, in what mood ye attend here, affrighted, or reposing in the trust that I shall willingly supply full assistance: yes  $(\gamma \grave{a} \rho)$ , I were hardhearted otherwise, in not pitying a supplication such as this.

I. § 2. Let the verb φράζε and its dependence be viewed first. This verb is graver than  $\lambda \acute{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon$  or  $\epsilon l\pi \acute{\epsilon}$ , and means inform or explain. An interrogative attached to it is always (in Sophocles at least) indirect. See O. T. 655, φράζε δὴ τί φής (wrongly punctuated by Ca. φράζε δὴ τί φής;). 741, τὸν δὲ Λάϊον φύσιν τἰν' εἶχε φράζε, τίνα δ' ἀκμὴν ἔβαιν' ἔχων. 932, φράζ' ὅτου χρήζων ἀφίξαι χῶ τι σημαίνειν θέλων. Tr. 429, φράσον τόνδε τἰς ποτ' ἐστὶν ὁ ξένος. Phil. 544, ἐκέλευσ' ἐμοί σε ποῦ κυρῶν εἰης φράσαι. Phil. 573, τόνδε μοι πρῶτον φράσον τἱς ἐστιν. 1222, οὐκ ᾶν φράσειας ἤντιν' αὖ παλίντροπον κέλευθον ἔρπεις; Here is ample proof that also Antig. 1099, τί δῆτα χρὴ δρῶν φράζε, and Phil. 135, τί χρὴ τί χρή με, δέσποτ', ἐν ξένα ξένον στέγειν, ἢ τί λέγειν πρὸς ἄνδρ' ὑπόπταν, Φράζε μοι, are rightly punctuated without the note of interrogation after δρῶν, ὑπόπταν, which Dì., as well as Ca., has wrongly printed. Here I also catch a trait of light enabling me to elucidate a passage in Antig. 233—4, which has hitherto baffled interpreters:

τέλος γε μέντοι δεῦρ' ἐνίκησεν μολεῖν σοί, κεὶ τὸ μηδὲν ἐξερῶ, φράσω δ' ὅμως.

Instead of  $\mu\ell\nu\tau\omega$  read  $\mu\dot{\eta}\nu$   $\tau l$ , translating, why at last however the choice of coming hither prevailed, to thee, even if my statement shall be worthless, I will nevertheless explain.

The conclusion from these premises is, that all editors who have placed any note of interrogation in these lines have erred in doing so. And I fear this censure applies to every published edition except the one named.

Dindorf has rightly removed the interrogation before φράσον in Ae. Pers. 350, 717.
 S. El. 1345 should be τίς οὖτός ἐστ', ἀδελφέ, πρὸς θεῶν φράσον.

§ 3. It has been imagined by Di., N., J., and apparently by almost all interpreters, that upon φράζε depends the absolute ώς clause in 11—12. Thus Di. writes: 'ώs rationem reddit imperativi φράζε'. Ν. 'φράζε ώς θέλοντος αν έμου, νομίζων θέλειν αν έμέ.' For J.'s view see Stud. Soph. P. 11. p. 2 &c. This imagination is demonstrably erroneous. We deduce from the uniform practice of Greek writers that an absolute ws clause always stands in close proximity to the verb or participle on which it depends. An example such as that wrongly suggested here of an absolute ws clause following the word it depends on at such a distance, and with two clauses intervening  $(\hat{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\hat{\iota}\kappa.\tau.\lambda.$  and  $\tau\hat{\iota}\nu\iota$   $\tau\rho\hat{\delta}\pi\omega$   $\kappa.\tau.\lambda.$ ) cannot, I feel sure, be found in all our extant classical literature: (for the ωs in 101 depends on φόνον λύοντας, not on the distant  $d\nu\omega\gamma\epsilon\nu$ , that in 241 on  $\omega\theta\epsilon\hat{\nu}$ , not on the distant  $d\pi\alpha\nu\delta\hat{\omega}$ ). But more than this: if the principal verb is an imperative 2nd person, as  $\phi \rho \dot{\alpha} \dot{\zeta} \epsilon$ here, I believe it to be true, that in tragedy always, in prose generally, an absolute ws clause stands before that imperative. Let the following examples testify: Ο. Τ. 848, άλλ' ώς φανέν γε τούπος ώδ' έπίστασο, see 1260. El. 316, ώς νῦν ἀπόντος ἰστόρει· τί σοι φίλον; See Phil. 884. E. Med. 1311, ώς οὐκέτ' ὄντων σῶν τέκνων φρόντιζε δή (where possibly Eur. may have written μηκέτ', not οὐκέτ', for cp. Soph. Phil. 253, ώς μηδεν είδοτ' ἴσθι μ' ῶν ἀνιστορείς. 415, ώς μηκέτ' ὄντα κείνον έν φάει νόει.) Plat. Rep. 327 C. Herod. VIII. 144, νῦν δὲ ώς οὕτως ἐχόντων στρατίην ἐκπέμπετε. Χen. Cy. VIII. 4. 27, ώς άναμενοῦντος καὶ οὐκ ἀποθανουμένου (ἐμοῦ) οὕτω παρασκευάζου. II. 3, 15, ώς έμου αγωνιουμένου ουτω γίγνωσκε. See I. 6, 11. Anab. I. 3, 6, ώς έμου ουν ίόντος ὅπη ἀν καὶ ὑμεῖς, οὕτω τὴν γνώμην ἔχετε. Plat. Rep. 1. 327 C, ώς τοίνυν μη άκουσομένων (ήμων) ούτω διανοείσθε. Cp. VII. 523 C. Phaedr. 228 D. (so that the following instances are comparatively rare: Thucyd. VII. 15, βουλεύεσθε ήδη ώς των γ' ενθάδε μηδε τοις παρούσιν αύταρκούντων. Mnesim. ap. Athen. IX., τῷ τε μαγείρω μὴ λυμαίνεσθ' ώς τῶν ὄψων ἐφθῶν ὄντων, ὀπτῶν ὄντων, ψυχρῶν ὄντων). Next follows a class of examples, closely allied to the preceding, as being information addressed to some person, and showing the same precedence of the ws clause: Aesch. Prom. 762, ws Tolvuv οντων τωνδέ σοι μαθείν πάρα. Soph. Aj. 281, ώς ωδ' έχόντων τωνδ' έπίστασθαί σε χρή. 904, ώς ώδε τοῦδ' ἔχοντος αἰάζειν πάρα. See 981. Ant. 256, ώς ώδ' έχόντων τάλλα βουλεύειν πάρα. Many places can be cited in which the ws clause comes first, though the verb is not imperative actually or by implication. Thuc. 1. 2, ές Ἰωνίαν ὕστερον, ώς οὐχ ἰκανῆς οὕσης τῆς ἸΑττικῆς, άποικίας έξέπεμψαν. Plat. Gorg. 472 D, άλλο τι ώς ούτω σου νομίζοντος διανοώμεθα; Meno, 05, ώς διδακτοῦ οὔσης τῆς ἀρετῆς λέγει. Lastly, of the instances in which the ws clause follows the word on which it depends, every one shows that the connection between them is close, and never distant and interrupted as it would be here, if the clause depended upon  $\phi \rho \dot{\alpha} \zeta \epsilon$ . One or two places may be cited, chiefly from poetry. Soph. O. T.

144—5, ἄλλος δὲ Κάδμου λαὸν ὧδ' ἀθροιζέτω ὡς πῶν ἐμοῦ δράσοντος. See 101. Ο. C. 83, ἔξεστι φωνεῖν ὡς ἐμοῦ μόνης πέλας. 1651, χεῖρ' ἀντέχοντα κρατός, ὡς δεινοῦ τινος φόβου φανέντος οὐδ' ἀνασχετοῦ βλέπειν. Alexis ap. Ath. VIII. ἀνθρώπων ὀρῶ πλῆθος προσιόν, ὡς τῶν καλῶν τε κἀγαθῶν ἐνθάδε συνόντων. Xen. Hell. V. 4, 9, ἐκήρυττον ἐξιέναι πάντας Θηβαίους ὡς τῶν τυράννων τεθνεώτων. Plat. Phaedr. 234, τοὺς ἐρῶντας οἱ φίλοι νουθετοῦσιν, ὡς κακοῦ ὄντος τοῦ ἐπιτηδεύματος.

Any number of examples might be added to these, establishing the truth, that it is impossible to refer an absolute  $\dot{\omega}s$  clause to a verb so distantly preceding it as this  $\phi\rho\dot{\alpha}\zeta\epsilon$ , and divided from it by dependent clauses such as the two which begin with  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\dot{\iota}$ ...and  $\tau\dot{\iota}\nu\iota$   $\tau\rho\dot{\delta}\pi\varphi$  severally.

Therefore, it being shown that  $\dot{\omega}s$  does, most certainly, not depend on  $\phi\rho\dot{\omega}\xi\epsilon$ , it can only depend on  $\sigma\tau\dot{\epsilon}\rho\xi\alpha\nu\tau\epsilon s$ , which immediately precedes it. And  $\sigma\tau\dot{\epsilon}\rho\xi\alpha\nu\tau\epsilon s$  does, with not less certainty, mean 'being resigned', 'having acquiesced', i.e. reposing ( $\dot{\omega}s$  in the trust that &c.).

§ 4. The notion that  $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \xi \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s$  could, by any possibility, mean 'having formed a desire', is a palpable blunder. The verb  $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \gamma \omega$  has two meanings (1) to love (chiefly of kindred affection), (2) to be contented or resigned. In one peculiar choral passage (O.C. 1094) it could, as far as sense goes, be rendered I pray: I entreat; but it could also be I am content (or satisfied), and so it should be rendered. 'Desire' it never means.

The alternative  $\delta\epsilon l\sigma a\nu\tau\epsilon s$   $\hat{\eta}$   $\sigma\tau \ell\rho\xi a\nu\tau\epsilon s$ , rendered 'with what dread or what desire', is in itself sheer nonsense, exhibiting no disjunction. For, as Nauck and Wolff observe, those who have come in *dread*, have come with a *desire* and a prayer to be relieved from the dread.

A Scholiast renders  $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \xi \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s$  by the glosses,  $\dot{\nu} \pi \rho \nu \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon s$ , by which he must mean, 'resigned' in the sense of 'content to suffer'. This indeed is much less unmeaning than the rendering having desired, which gives no just contrast to  $\delta \epsilon l \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s$ , being alarmed; whereas 'in a terrified or apathetic mood' does exhibit contrast. Both views are, however, without meaning in this respect, viz. that no reason appears in either, why Oedipus should ask a question implying two states of feeling. Surely it would have been enough to say something in form and spirit like:

η καθέστατ' ἐνθάδε γνώμην τοιάνδ' ἔχοντες, ὼς θέλοντος ἄν ἐμοῦ προσαρκεῖν πῶν;

'Are ye present here in the opinion that I shall willingly give you full assistance?' But when the  $\dot{\omega}$ s clause is taken as depending on the word expressing the second alternative, then the mention of states of feeling becomes intelligible and proper.

The reference of the  $\dot{\omega}s$  clause to  $\phi\rho\dot{\alpha}\zeta\epsilon$  being thus impossible, and the meaning of desire being shown not to belong to the verb  $\sigma\tau\dot{\epsilon}\rho\gamma\epsilon\nu$ , it is hardly necessary to repeat that the reference to  $\phi\rho\dot{\alpha}\zeta\epsilon$  would make the question about the mental feelings of the suppliants not merely superfluous, but even nonsensical. Their variety of feeling is of no importance if the priest, who speaks  $\pi\rho\delta$   $\pi\dot{\alpha}\nu\tau\omega\nu$ , speaks in assurance that Oedipus will supply full assistance; rather I should say that such variety is a contradiction in terms; for what the priest says is said for all, and he is assumed to speak in confident expectation of aid from Oedipus. All therefore must have shared the confident expectation: so that none among them could have come  $\delta\epsilon\iota$ - $\sigma\alpha\nu\tau\epsilon$ s, in vague terror<sup>2</sup>.

II. § 5. As to the succeeding clause, in which Oedipus confirms the supposition that he is willing to help, and declares that he should be hard-hearted if he felt no pity for the suppliants, I shall briefly notice the grammatical rationale of the words.

I am willing to accept the proposition that  $\delta v \sigma \acute{a} \lambda \gamma \eta \tau o s$  may be treated as a negative word, and the matter of fact, that  $\mu \dot{\eta} o \dot{v}$  is found with a participle in protasis when a negative appears in the apodosis, is admitted. But J. makes no attempt to account for the principle on which this usage is founded, and treats it merely as a fact. The use of  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  o  $\dot{v}$  is among the most difficult questions in Greek syntax. In tragedy, however, there are found only two instances of  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  o  $\dot{v}$  with a participle in conditional protasis, this and another at 221: (the use in O.C. 361 is not similar). Here, and in O.T. 221, I suggest an element of causality in the participial clause, imported by o  $\dot{v}$ : 'I should be hard-hearted in that I pitied not.' 'I myself should not have traced the matter far, seeing I had no clue.'

But as to the suppressed protasis,  $\epsilon l \mu \dot{\eta} \theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda o \iota \mu \iota$ , before  $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ , I regard it as both certain from analogy, and tending to account for  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  οὐ κατοικτείρων, which repeats it with added force. Were it not implied, I would read  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  with Schneidewin. Such instances of suppressed protasis before  $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$  abound in Sophocles. See O. T. 82, 317—318; Ellendt, Lex. S. p. 123. In my translation it is expressed by otherwise, i.e. if I did not wish.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> J. seems to think that  $\delta\nu$  with  $\theta\epsilon\lambda$ opros obliges us to supply mentally a protasis with  $\epsilon$ i. This is perhaps superfluous refinement. 'Aw with a past indic. always points to a protasis expressed or (as at 318) understood. But with opt. infin. and part. I think it often conveys no more than that vague conditionality or doubtful shade which in English is implied by using should for shall and would for will. See note on 523.

### EXCURSUS III. vv. 40-45.

§ 1. νῦν τ', ὧ κράτιστον πᾶσιν Οἰδίπου κάρα, ἰκετεύομέν σε πάντες οἴδε πρόστροποι ἀλκήν τιν' εὐρεῖν ἡμίν, εἴτε του θεῶν φήμην ἀκούσας εἴτ' ἀπ' ἀνδρὸς οἶσθά που, ὡς τοῖσιν ἐμπείροισι καὶ τὰς ξυμφορὰς ζώσας ὁρῶ μάλιστα τῶν βουλευμάτων.

### My translation is:

And now, Oedipus, O thou noblest in universal esteem, all we who are suppliants here beseech thee to find some help for us, whether thou hast learnt it (0.000) by hearing some god's voice, or perchance from a man, since I perceive that counsellors of experience do also, most of any, keep in lively use the practice of mutual consultation.

- J. renders (having colon after  $\pi o v$ ):
- 'And now, Oedipus, king glorious in all eyes, we beseech thee, all we suppliants, to find for us some succour, whether by the whisper of a god thou knowest it or haply as in the power of man; for I see that, when men have been proved in deeds past, the issues of their counsels, too, most often have effect.'
- § 2. Ere passing on to vv. 44, 45, I must refer to 42, 43. 'Whisper' for  $\phi \dot{\eta} \mu \eta \nu$  is unjustifiable (see 86, 157), and 'as in the power of man' for  $\dot{\alpha} \pi' \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \dot{\delta} s$  is not less so. He seems to take  $\dot{\alpha} \pi' \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \dot{\delta} s$  as depending on  $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \kappa \dot{\eta} \nu$ . I take it, with full confidence, as depending on  $\dot{\epsilon} \iota \delta \alpha \dot{\delta} \iota \delta a$ . True it is, that I cannot cite an instance of  $\dot{\epsilon} \iota \delta a \dot{\delta} \dot{\delta} a$  any more than he can cite one of  $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \kappa \dot{\eta} \dot{\delta} a \dot{\tau} \dot{\delta} b$ . The verb is not one which, as a rule, has a preposition following it; but such constructions as O. C. 1300,  $\kappa \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\delta} \mu \dot{\delta} \nu \tau \epsilon \omega \nu \tau \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \eta \kappa \lambda \dot{\nu} \omega$  leave us in no doubt that  $\dot{\epsilon} \iota \delta \sigma \dot{\delta} a \dot{\delta} a \dot{\tau} \dot{\delta} \dot{\delta} b$  is legitimate, and is here the true construction, enforced by the double duty which the verb has to perform. Also the logic of language clearly shows that the antithesis is between two modes of coming to the knowledge of help: one by hearing a god's voice, the other by learning from a man. Between 'knowing help by hearing a god's voice', and 'knowing help as in the power of a man', there is no antithesis at all; for the help taught by a god's voice might be help in the power of a man, and conversely. ' $\Delta \nu \delta \rho \dot{\delta} s$  is not 'man', but 'a man'.
- § 3. This clears the way for the consideration of vv. 44, 45, well-worn lines, of which I am thoroughly weary, having stated and proved again and again their true meaning, and finding no pleasure in having to slay the slain errors concerning them thrice. But I cannot escape the unwel-

come task of here examining the polemic set up against what is to my mind the certain truth in this place, that τὰs ξυμφορὰs τῶν βουλευμάτων means the comparing of counsels, and ζώσαs means remains in lively use 1.

In Studia Sophoclea, Part I. I have shown that the common interpretation is bad in logic, bad in poetic taste; while that of Dr Young and others is excellent in both respects. I have shown that  $\kappa a l$  has no real emphasis in the former, but just value in the latter: that the meanings of  $\xi \nu \mu \phi \rho \rho \Delta s$  and  $\xi \omega \sigma \alpha s$  are in the first untenable, legitimate in the second.

It is there then that readers must look for system; with the later polemic I must deal as it comes before me partly in a footnote, partly in an excursus on these lines. In both places the chief weight of discussion is thrown upon the meanings of  $\xi \nu \mu \phi \rho \rho \dot{\alpha} s$  and  $\zeta \dot{\omega} \sigma \alpha s$ , and therefore we begin by examining what is said about these words. And first let us look at  $\xi \nu \mu \phi \rho \rho \dot{\alpha} s$ .

§ 4. In discussing the meanings of this word, we must avoid being led astray by the ambiguities of language, whether Greek or English. In the first place, we must settle the senses in which the words event and issue are to be used, and confine ourselves to those senses. Etymologically they are much the same, both meaning out-come; event from evenire, issue from exire. Both can be used in the sense of ending: as, 'the event (or the issue) of the battle of Tel-el-Kebir was the defeat of Arabi'. But we could not say, 'the event of the battle was the surrender of Cairo', though we might say 'the issue' &c. In short, event may not be used in the sense of 'result' or 'consequence'; issue may be so used. I shall therefore here confine the word event to the meaning occurrence, Fr. événement, i.e. that which happens, or has happened; and the word issue to result or consequence, in which sense I shall understand it to be used by J., though in

<sup>1</sup> Dr T. Young, of Glasgow, was the first to propose the true interpretation about the year 1792. His note was this: "Ita interpretes: sed συμφορὰν pro eventu consilii sumi posse non credo: ea enim vox fortuitum aliquid semper innuere videtur; hic autem potius in primitivo sensu sumitur; locusque adeo totus ita reddi potest:

'Sicubi alicuius deorum vocem audisti, vel etiam a mortalium quocumque quicquam acceperis: video enim apud prudentes expertosque viros etiam collationes consiliorum maxime in usu esse.'

Ipsius sapientiam supra laudaverat; nunc etiam alios consuluisse posse addit: quae ratio vulgata multo melior videtur; otiosum enim alias foret καί, neque tota sententia loco suo digna." T. Y. My own view to the same effect, independently formed in ignorance of Dr Young's note, was printed in a Cambridge Philological Journal in 1854, dating however some 5 years earlier. Mr Shilleto subsequently announced his own independent concurrence as to ξυμφοράς, which had also been accepted by Prof. Dalzel. To these precursors as well as to Sophocles and to truth I owe the duty of reprinting here my reply to J.'s polemic on this passage.

p. 22 he seems to confuse the words events and issues, as if they were identical, when he writes: '(2) τὰς ξυμφορὰς τῶν βουλευμάτων, the events, issues of their counsels, Thuc. I. 140.' Now in that place the Greek words are: ἐνδέχεται γὰρ τὰς ξυμφορὰς τῶν πραγμάτων οὐχ ἦσσον ἀμαθῶς χωρῆσαι ἢ και τὰς διανοίας τοῦ ἀνθρώπου. Arnold renders them: 'Events are as little to be surely calculated upon as the counsels of men.' Jowett: 'The movement of events is often as wayward and incomprehensible as the course of human thought.' Crawley: 'Sometimes the course of things is as arbitrary as the plans of men.' Sheppard: 'The incidents to which circumstances give rise are wont to take a course which can be as little determined as the schemes of men.' And he justly adds: 'I do not like to translate ξυμφορὰς by results, for the notion of a fixed result is the one most entirely foreign to the word.' For my part, I should render: 'The course of actual events is often as little discoverable by study as that of human thought.' πραγμάτων is attributive or descriptive gen., not possessive.

§ 5. The polemic against the true sense of ξυμφοράs here (comparisons) consists of words in which I find no weight. I allude to what is printed on pp. 288-9 under the heads I, 2.

As to (1) Lucian's jests (dating in the second century of our era), I decline to trouble myself with anything so irrelevant to the question.

As to the discussion in (2) of what the editor supposes an Athenian audience would or would not have understood, I think his argument carries him out of the depth of any modern scholar, and I shall not follow him far. The Athenian audience knew no language but their own, the finest the world has known. In the seven plays and fragments which remain to us of Sophocles we find something like 1000 words which occur in him only once, and are found by us nowhere else. How do we know that many of these were not used in his lost works, or in those of Aeschylus, Euripides, Phrynichus, Philocles, and a crowd of other poets, whose numerous plays are lost? As to ξυμφορά, I contend that it is a verbal which any poet might have used in any sense corresponding to the senses of ξυμφέρειν, ξυμφέρεσθαι, as φορά has been used in so many of those corresponding to  $\phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ ,  $\phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ .  $\Phi \epsilon \rho$ - fer- Sk. bhar, is perhaps the most widely diffused of all Aryan verb-roots: it is compounded with every one of the eighteen Greek prepositions; and fourteen of these compounds exhibit the verbal substantive -φορά. I therefore assert with full conviction that ξυμφέρειν to compare proves ξυμφορά comparison: and a fortiori ξυμφέρειν βουλεύματα proves ξυμφορά βουλευμάτων. On the other hand there is nothing but the poor gloss of a mediaeval scholiast to show that ξυμφορά can mean issue: and, if ever a reckless question was asked, it is this: 'Would any hearer in the theatre doubt that ξυμφοράς meant "issues", or divine that it was going to bear the unexampled sense of "comparisons"?' The reply is: as ξυμφορὰ does not and cannot bear the sense issue (=result), the word in that sense would be unintelligible: but, as ξυμφέρειν does mean to compare, its substantive ξυμφορὰ a comparing would, in proper context, be intelligible quite as much as any of the so-called ἄπαξ λεγόμενα in any poet's works: though none of us know what words were ἄπαξ λεγόμενα to an Athenian audience: and this truth it is that makes the whole discussion in (2) merely nugatory<sup>2</sup>.

Ξυμφορὰ (in its common use) certainly means δ ξυτήνεγκε, something which happens or has happened, un έυέnement, an occurrence, whether indifferent (as ξυμφοραὶ βίου, al ξυμφοραὶ τῶν πραγμάτων, actual events), happy, as in El. 1230, O. T. 454; or calamitous, which, owing to the superstitious euphemism of the Greeks, is its most frequent use, like that of casus in Latin. It has therefore always a terminal use, and cannot be properly rendered issue (i.e. result). If further proof were needed, it would be found in the words which J. himself has cited on p. 22: 'Thuc. ii. 87,  $\tau \eta \tilde{s}$  ξυμφορὰs  $\tau \tilde{\phi}$  ἀποβάντι,' for if the Schol. on i. 140 were right in explaining al ξυμφοραὶ as al ἀποβάσεις (issues), then  $\tau \tilde{\eta} \tilde{s}$  ξυμφοραὶ  $\tau \tilde{\phi}$  ἀποβάντι would be by the issue of the issue, quod absurdum est.

§ 6. How, then, does the question about ξυμφορὰs stand between us? He claims for it a meaning (issues) against which the arguments above stated are arrayed, a meaning for which he can only cite a phrase in Thucydides, not so interpreted by Jowett or Crawley, by Arnold, Sheppard, Shilleto, myself; a meaning which another passage, also cited by himself (ii. 87), shows to be absurd. And this meaning, so void of strong support, having against it this weight of argument and authority, he speaks of as the meaning which all male Athenians attending the theatre of Bacchus at Athens in the highly cultured age of Sophocles, would naturally assign to the word ξυμφορὰs in connection with βουλευμάτων, however familiar they might be with the phrase in Aesch. Pers. 534, ξυμφέρεων βουλεύματα. Has he justified such an assumption?

On the other hand Prof. Young of Glasgow, by his independent insight, Prof. Dalzel of Edinburgh in agreement (probably) with him, myself and Shilleto (each of us by his independent insight) were led to the conviction that τὰs ξυμφορὰs τῶν βουλευμάτων means 'the comparisons of counsels', i.e. 'mutual consultation'.

Well then: neither of these two versions, 'issues of counsels', or 'comparisons of counsels', is supported by other passages containing  $\xi \nu \mu \phi \rho \rho \dot{a}$  in one or the other sense. Do they, then, stand on equal terms? Is the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Let readers count the various senses and shades of meaning in which the word case is used, and say whether a play-going Londoner would have any difficulty in recognising each when he heard it in the theatre.

contest a drawn one? Surely not; for while I and many other scholars contend that  $\xi \nu \mu \phi \rho \rho \dot{\alpha}$  cannot mean issue (result), no scholar will dare to contend that it cannot mean comparison, since  $\xi \nu \mu \phi \dot{\rho} \rho \epsilon \nu \nu$  does mean to compare. While we contend that al  $\xi \nu \mu \phi \rho \rho a \nu$  four the issues of counsels is, upon the face of it, a phrase not only unexampled, but impossible, no scholar will dare to contend that 'the comparisons of counsels' is an impossible rendering, since Aeschylus has written  $\xi \nu \mu \phi \dot{\rho} \rho \epsilon \nu \nu \nu \nu \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \nu \mu \alpha \alpha$  'to compare counsels'. The logical result of this is, that issues of counsels must be dismissed as an erroneous rendering, and the place must be duly examined to see whether comparisons of counsels is suitable to the context.

- § 7. We proceed to  $\xi \omega \sigma as$ , which J., abandoning Prof. Campbell's word successful, now makes to mean effective or operative, translating it have effect. I hold both renderings to be alike erroneous and incapable of proof. My position is, that the verb  $\xi \hat{\eta} \nu$  (one of the most widely diffused words in the Greek of all times and topics and writers) has but one general meaning, which can always be given to it in English, whatever shade of force it may assume; and by this test any suggested rendering must be tried.  $Z\hat{\eta}\nu$  always means to live, to be alive, as opposed to  $\kappa \alpha \tau \theta a \nu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ , to die or be dead. Its stronger shades are two: (1) one of emphatic irony, to live (in the best sense), to live well, as opposed to the death-in-life of misery or vice; (2) to survive, to remain alive, as distinguished from what is dead and gone.
- (1) Philoctetes, 1018, calls himself ἐν ζώσιν νεκρόν. In Ant. 1157 we find οὐ τίθημ' ἐγὼ ζῆν τοῦτον, ἀλλ' ἔμψυχον ἡγοῦμαι νεκρόν. Plat. Rep. i. 329, τότε μὲν εὖ ζῶντες, νῦν δὲ οὐδὲ ζῶντες. In fragments of the New Comedy: ζῶσιν οἶς ἔστιν βίος—ζῆν αἰσχρὸν οἶς ζῆν ἐφθόνησεν ἡ τύχη—τί ζῆν ὄφελος ῷ μἡ 'στι τὸ ζῆν εἰδέναι; So Martial: 'non est vivere, sed valere, vita.' (2) The sense of surviving is frequent: O. T. 985, εἰ μὴ 'κύρει ζῶσ' ἡ τεκοῦσα, νῦν δ' ἐπεὶ ζῆ κ.τ.λ. Ττ. 234, καὶ ζῶντα καὶ θάλλοντα. 1169, χρόνω τῷ ζῶντι, the present time. Aesch. Ag. 819, ἄτης θυηλαὶ ζῶσι, meaning that the flames of Troy are burning still.

But no passage can be cited in which it is either necessary or even proper to use the terms effective, operative, or successful in rendering  $\xi \hat{\eta} \nu$  or  $\xi \hat{\omega} \nu$ . In O. T. 481, where it is said of the oracles,  $\tau \hat{\alpha} \delta'$  del  $\xi \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \sigma \tau \hat{\alpha} \iota$ , J. renders but that doom ever lives; yet there is no place where the word effective could be applied more speciously (though fallaciously) than this. Of moral laws we read in Ant. 457, où  $\gamma \hat{\alpha} \rho \tau \iota \nu \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \hat{\alpha} \chi \theta \hat{\epsilon} s$ ,  $\hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda'$  del  $\pi \sigma \iota \epsilon \xi \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha \hat{\nu} \tau \alpha \kappa \sigma \hat{\nu} \delta \hat{\epsilon} s$  of  $\delta \iota \nu \epsilon \xi \delta' \sigma \iota \nu \gamma \delta \nu \gamma \delta \nu$ . Here the context shows that  $\xi \hat{\eta}$  must be rendered live, not have effect<sup>3</sup>.

<sup>3</sup> My friend Shilleto declared his agreement with me as to the meaning of τὰς ξυμφορὰς τῶν βουλευμάτων. So far as he did notice this place, I cannot claim his support

We say, then, that issues of counsels cannot be said to live; but 'mutual consultation', in so far as between experienced men it survives and continues in use, may be said to live, as the Keltic is said to be still a living language in Wales, as it is said to be no longer a living language in Cornwall: as the belief in pixies and witches is said to be still alive in some localities.

Such, though inevitably long drawn out, are the arguments and facts by which I consider the common renderings of al ξυμφοραl τῶν βουλευμάτων and ζώσαs to be proved erroneous, and those ascribed by myself and others to be established.

§ 8. The classical word for issue is shown to be  $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \tau \dot{\eta}$  by Herodotus, vii. 157:  $\tau \dot{\varphi} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \dot{v} \beta \delta \nu \lambda \epsilon \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \dot{\eta} \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \tau \dot{\eta} \dot{\omega} s \tau \dot{\delta} \epsilon \kappa \iota \tau \lambda \nu \lambda \rho \eta \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \iota \gamma \iota \nu \epsilon \sigma \delta \alpha \iota$ . What could have been easier than for Sophocles, had he wished to express the sentiment required by those who cling to the old error, to use current Greek instead of a strange phraseology nowhere else found?

as

ώς ή τελευτή τοίσιν έμπείροις καλή προσγίγνεται μάλιστα τών βουλευμάτων.

(See O. C. 1198.)

or

ώς τοῖσιν ἐμπείροισιν εὖ τελούμενα τὰ πόλλ' ὀρῶ μάλιστα τῶν βουλευμάτων.

or any given number of varieties.

J. renders μάλιστα most often, a rendering rarely possible (1173, O. C. 652), never, I am sure, essential or right. Here, modifying τοισιν έμπείροισι ζώσαs, it means μάλιστα πάντων = μάλλον ἢ έτέροις.

§ 9. At this point I will touch upon his method of accounting for the emphatic  $\kappa \alpha l$ . He writes:

'Oedipus has had practical experience  $(\dot{\epsilon}\mu\pi\epsilon\iota\rho\dot{\alpha})$  of great troubles; when the Sphinx came, his wisdom stood the trial. Men who have become thus  $\ddot{\epsilon}\mu\pi\epsilon\iota\rho\iota$  are apt to be also  $(\kappa\alpha\dot{\iota})$  prudent in regard to the future. Past facts enlighten the counsels which they offer on things still uncertain; and we observe that the issues of their counsels are not usually futile or dead but effectual. Well may we believe, then, that he who saved us from the Sphinx can tell us how to escape from the plague. Note these points: (1) the words  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\pi\epsilon\dot{\epsilon}\rho\iota\sigma\iota$  and  $\beta\iota\sigma\iota\lambda\epsilon\dot{\mu}\mu\dot{\epsilon}\mu\nu$  imply the antithesis (a) between past and future, (b) between  $\dot{\epsilon}\rho\gamma\alpha$  and  $\lambda\acute{\epsilon}\gamma\iota$ ,' &c.

I discern no such implication of antithesis as his fancy paints, either between past and future, or between deeds and words. The vv. 44, 45,

as to  $\zeta \omega \sigma \alpha s$ , but I desire to state that I never had any discussion with him on this or any other question. As he had been my pupil from 1827 to 1830, I always avoided disputation with him; and I do not allow that his cursory mention of  $\zeta \omega \sigma \alpha s$  is to be regarded as an elaborate and final judgment as to its meaning here, though it shows that he had not reached my point of view concerning it.

contain a general maxim, which, as he views it, is, 'experienced men also make their counsels effectual': as I view it, 'experienced men also compare their counsels together.' In either case the maxim is not of the future only, but of all time, oổ  $\tau\iota$   $\nu \hat{v}\hat{v}$   $\tau\epsilon$   $\kappa \dot{\alpha}\chi \theta \dot{\epsilon}s$ ,  $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda'$   $\dot{\alpha}\dot{\epsilon}l$   $\pi \sigma \tau\epsilon$ . Neither is there any contrast of deeds and words. A deed successfully done by an  $\ell \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \rho s$  in time past succeeded because it was well considered, counselled, and planned before it was executed. See Herod. above. The  $\ell \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho o$  are therefore to be regarded as sage counsellors, and the emphasis of the  $\kappa \alpha \dot{\iota}$  is that they are not only men who can counsel sagely, but men who also compare their own counsels with those of other men, and keep alive the habit of conferring in mutual consultation. And this, immediately following  $\epsilon \ell \tau' \dot{\alpha} \pi' \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \dot{\delta} s$   $\epsilon \ell \sigma \delta u \dot{\delta} \tau v \dot{\delta} u \dot{\delta}$ 

'I take these two verses with the whole context from v. 35, and not merely as a comment on the immediately preceding words, είτ' ἀπ' ἀνδρὸς οἶσθά που.'

It is surprising that a scholar of his mark should thus overlook two facts. One is, that, by doing this, he makes the words  $\epsilon\ell\tau\epsilon$ ...  $\pi ov$  null and void, nay, even ridiculous: and, if he were right, then Sophocles ought, instead of  $\epsilon\ell\tau\epsilon$   $\tau ov$   $\theta\epsilon\hat{\omega}\nu$ , to have written  $\epsilon\kappa\lambda\nu\sigma\iota\nu$   $\nu\delta\sigma ov$ , or  $\epsilon\kappa\lambda\nu\tau\eta\rho\iota ov$ , or some equivalent, omitting v. 43. The other fact is—that  $\omega s$ , since, is used about 60 times by Sophocles, and in each place it is referred to words immediately going before it. Thus in this play we have:

- 47 ἴθ' εὐλαβήθηθ', ως σε νῦν μὲν ἤδε γῆ σωτῆρα κλήζει
- 53 καὶ τανῦν ἴσος γενοῦ· ώς, εἴπερ κ.τ.λ.
- 55 ξὺν ἀνδράσιν κάλλιον ἢ κενῆς κρατεῖν ώς οὐδέν ἐστιν κ.τ.λ.
- 365 όσον γε χρήζεις, ως μάτην ειρήσεται.
- 445 κομιζέτω δ $\hat{\eta}\theta$ ' · ώς κ.τ.λ.
- 922 ὅπως λύσιν τιν' ἡμὶν εὐαγῆ πόρης, ώς νῦν ὀκνοῦμεν πάντες . . .
- 1050 σημήναθ' · ώς ὁ καιρὸς εὐρῆσθαι τάδε.

The other places to which I refer are: O.C. 562, 937, 1016, 1028, 1075, 1229, 1528, 1691; Ant. 66, 499, 624, 765, 1337; Tr. 385, 391, 453, 488, 592, 596, 599, 921, 1120; Ai. 39, 92, 131, 141, 789, 1314; El. 17, 21, 324, 369, 470, 633, 821, 1112, 1319, 1337, 1446, 1489; Ph. 46, 53, 117, 464, 807, 812, 847, 914, 1043, 1442, and a few in the fragments. I have examined all, and find the fact to be as I state it; and I must confess myself amazed that any scholar can look at this passage carefully without discern-

K. OE.

ing that 44, 45 are in immediate dependence on  $\epsilon \vec{t} \vec{r}$   $\vec{a} \vec{r}$   $\vec{a} \vec{v} \delta \rho \delta s$  olo  $\theta \vec{a} \pi \sigma v$ , even without the clinching proof supplied by this crowd of examples.

§ 10. In his discussion J. has omitted to mention the argument against the common interpretation, founded on the tasteless and revolting bathos which it ascribes to such a dramatist as Sophocles. He has omitted to exhibit the logical nexus, forming an important link in the plot, which the newer interpretation creates and establishes. Since he has thus withheld these very important points, I find myself obliged to reprint here what I have said of them elsewhere.

The substance of the Priest's speech up to these lines is as follows:

After describing the misery of the Thebans under the visitation of pestilence, the Priest goes on to say: 'We come as suppliants to your altars, Oedipus, not because we deem you a god; but considering you the first of men in all affairs human or divine. For you came to Thebes, a stranger, and relieved us from the sway of the Sphinx, by solving her riddle: this you did without any aid from us: you are believed to have saved us by divine inspiration. So now, most excellent Oedipus, we beseech you to find some help for us, whether suggested to you by the voice of a god, or, it may be  $(\pi ov)$ , by a man: since I see that men of experience are also most accustomed to compare their counsels together.'

The ordinary interpretation is I see that, where men have experience, their counsels live and have a prosperous end (or as J. have effect). What is there to account for the bathos of this descent from a god to a man, from superhuman skill to experience? And if the advice resting on experience is merely that of Oedipus himself, is not the disparaging suggestion  $(\epsilon \ell r' d\pi' \dot{\alpha} \dot{\nu} \delta \rho \dot{\delta} s \delta \delta \sigma \theta \dot{\alpha} \pi o \nu)$  worse than superfluous? is it not absurd?

The two lines 44, 45, rightly understood, convey to Oedipus an excuse for the suggestion that such as he might possibly have learnt a mode of help from some man: and  $\xi \nu \mu \phi \rho \rho a l \beta \rho \nu \lambda \epsilon \nu \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$  is the substantival form of  $\xi \nu \mu \phi \dot{\rho} \rho \dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\rho} \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$ , which occurs in Aesch. Pers. 534.

Here the logical nexus is close and consistent: and quite in the manner of Sophocles.

The priest gives no formal advice: he suggests the possibility that Oedipus may have profited by  $(o\hat{i}\sigma\theta \acute{a}\pi ov)$  the advice of another man: and apologizes for this suggestion by a compliment to the eminent  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\pi\epsilon\epsilon\rho\acute{i}a$  which would lead him to consult others. But why the digression at all? It belongs to the consummate skill with which the great dramatist has worked out his conception of the plot and of its central person. Oedipus is shown in the first part of the play as a man of eminent abilities and noble aspirations, but of overweening self-confidence and fierce self-will. These merits and these faults would be no secret to any of the Thebans, least of all to the chief minister of their religion, the priest of their chief god. From his

mouth, therefore, the suggestion that Oedipus might already have profited by the counsel of another man (a suggestion for which the great poet makes the priest apologize by a graceful compliment) does in fact become a delicate admonition—an admonition not otherwise than 'consistent with the laudatory tone of the address', but rather admirably supplementing and qualifying it. For if there is one virtue more than another recommended by the religious poets Aeschylus, Sophocles, and Pindar, and by the religious historian Herodotus, that virtue is modesty, the violation of which draws down on the offender the  $\phi\theta\dot{o}\nu$ os  $\theta\epsilon\hat{\omega}\nu$ , and thereby destruction. By whom was such a lesson more needed than by Oedipus? From whom could it come more fitly than from the priest of Zeus? How could it be conveyed more courteously than it is conveyed here? But while this is sufficient to account for the digressive lines, I think still further reason of them can be rendered. The priest supposes a possible  $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v} \phi \dot{\eta} \mu \eta$  given to help the sufferers. 'Yes', says Oedipus in his reply (v. 65-72), 'I have sought such aid: I have sent Creon to consult the Pythian oracle.' The oracle is brought, is reported: the suppliants quit the stage: the Theban Chorus enter the orchestra, and their first song is addressed to that oracle from which so much is expected. But has the priest's delicate admonition borne no fruit? It has not been unnoted by Oedipus. The oracle wants explanation. He has consulted his brother-in-law Creon, another  $\xi \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho o s$ , on this difficulty: and by his advice he has sent for the seer Teiresias. See v. 279;

ξπεμψα γὰρ Κρέοντος εἰπόντος διπλοῦς πομπούς . . .

How this momentary condescension of the arrogant prince leads to a new outbreak of self-will, and brings him to the edge of the precipice, we know. Thus then it appears that these three lines are nothing less than a studied and contrived link in the plot of this artistic drama 4.

I cannot leave this much-disputed passage without summing up shortly and distinctly the points which I consider irrefragably proved in this  $\xi \lambda \epsilon \gamma \chi \sigma s$ .

- In l. 43 ἀπ' ἀνδρὸς depends on οἶσθα, or whether perchance thou knowest it (ἀλκήν) from a man.
- (2) In 44,  $\dot{\omega}s$ , since, can refer only to the clause immediately going before it, i. e.  $\epsilon t \tau'$   $\dot{\alpha} \pi'$   $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \delta s$   $\delta \delta \sigma \theta \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma v$ , as its use throughout Sophocles
- 4 I regret that J. has not abstained from repeating so baseless an objection as this—that 'the general spirit and tone of the speech appear adverse' to my view, because we cannot 'imagine the priest giving his peerless sovereign so strong a hint to consult other men.' If there be such a 'strong hint', surely it lies in the words  $\epsilon i r' dn' dv \delta \rho \delta s \delta i \sigma \theta d$   $\sigma v_0$ , not in the laudatory apology which I find in 44, 45. And all that follows to the end of the speech mingles respectful admonition with entreaty: see 47-50, 54-57. But 'strong hint' is a misapplied phrase.

- (seven times in O. T., 60 in the whole) shows. And such reference is thoroughly suitable here as a respectful apology for supposing that Oed. might possibly have gained some knowledge from a man, when they had previously said, that, although they did not rank him with the gods, yet they did regard him as foremost among men on all occasions, ordinary or extraordinary, since he had vanquished the Sphinx without any hint or teaching from them, but, as was universally believed, by divine assistance<sup>5</sup>.
- (3) Συμφορά generally means 'an occurrence', 'an event', good, evil, or indifferent: the second sense (evil) gradually suppressed all other uses. But as  $\phi o \rho \dot{a}$  has many senses, corresponding to those of its primitive verb φέρω, φέρομαι, so, by the analogy of language, συμφορά could have had those which belong to συμφέρω, συμφέρομαι, and when we find Aeschylus writing συμφέρειν βουλεύματα, 'to compare counsels', we are justly entitled to say that συμφορά βουλευμάτων, 'comparing of counsels', is a phrase we ought to welcome whenever and wherever we find it suitable, as here. And, if we find it here and nowhere else in the few fragments of Attic literature surviving from the prolific age of the great tragic poets, it has as much claim to our recognition as any other of the words only once occurring, which are so numerous in what is left of that age. On the other hand συμφορά meaning 'issue', i.e. 'result' or consequence, has no such claim in itself (from analogy) or as exhibited in use. For, if a blundering scholiast interprets it as ἀπόβασις in Thuc. i. 140, that interpretation is rejected by all the best English translators, and shown to be absurd by another passage, Thuc. ii. 87, where we find  $\tau \hat{\eta} s \xi \nu \mu \phi o \rho \hat{a} s \tau \hat{\omega} \vec{a} \pi o \beta \vec{a} \nu \tau \iota$ .
- (4) The sense claimed by the old interpretation for  $\zeta \dot{\omega} \sigma as$ , whether 'successful' or 'effective', is not established by any testimony; while the sense of 'remaining alive', 'continuing to exist' which the later explanation gives, belongs to the essence of the verb  $\zeta \hat{\eta} \nu$ , as opposed to  $\tau \epsilon \theta \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu a\iota$  'being dead'.
- (5) If all Sophocles wanted here was to make the priest tell Oed. that they sue for his aid because they perceive that the counsels of experienced men are usually successful or effective, he could easily have conveyed this sentiment in simple and intelligible Greek, without adopting a strange and obscure phraseology. But if his purpose was that stated in my foot-note

<sup>5</sup> If Soph, had written (as he could have written) εἶτε του θεῶν φήμην ἀκούσαντ' εἶτ' ἀπ' ἀνδρὸς εἰδότα, there might have been some shade of reason for calling the second clause 'a strong hint' to consult another man; but by writing οἴσθα, which supposes the knowledge already possessed, the skilful poet, avoiding this objection, enables Oed. to say that 'the divine voice' is already sought, and that, for the purpose of seeking it, he has already conferred with another man, viz. his kinsman Creon. Thus, as I have said, vv. 43—45 become an important link in the plot of the drama; otherwise they would have been superfluous and merely obstructive.

here, and if, as seems probable, he borrowed the phrase τὰς ξυμφορὰς τῶν βουλευμάτων from Ae. Pers. 528, πιστοῖσι πιστὰ ξυμφέρειν βουλεύματα, then I think it also probable that he saw no other predicate so fit to complete his clause as the participle ζώσας.

- (6) The emphatic κal, as Dr Young truly saw, has no just force in the old rendering, but gains it in the later.
- (7) The absurd bathos perpetrated in the former view, and (8) the fitness of the latter view in the context, with its importance as a link in the plot of the drama, have been so lately and so fully set forth, that I need only refer to § 10 as completing this summary.

## EXCURSUS IV. vv. 69-72.

§ 1. .....παΐδα γὰρ Μενοικέως
Κρέοντ', ἐμαυτοῦ γαμβρόν, ἐς τὰ Πυθικὰ
ἔπεμψα Φοίβου δώμαθ', ὡς πύθοιθ' ὅ τι
δρῶν ἢ τί φωνῶν τήνδε ῥυσοίμην πόλιν.

I sent Creon, son of Menoeceus, my wife's brother, to the Pythian dwelling of Phoebus, that he might learn by doing or speaking what I should save this city.

J. reads ἡυσαίμην, as most edd., rendering I might deliver.

An exactly parallel construction occurs only once again in Soph. El. 32-4:

έγω γὰρ ἡνίχ' ἰκόμην τὸ Πυθικὸν μαντεῖον, ώς μάθοιμ' ὅτω τρόπω πατρὸς δίκας ἀροίμην.....

It will be seen that  $i\kappa\acute{\rho}\mu\nu$  is parallel to  $\check{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\mu\psi\alpha$ : is  $\mu\acute{a}\theta$ οι $\mu$  στ $\psi$  τρόπ $\psi$  to is  $\pi\acute{v}\theta$ οιθ στ $\iota$ ...: and ἀροίμην to ἡυσοίμην or ἡυσαίμην.

I am fully persuaded that Dindorf, Linwood, Nauck, Van Herwerden and myself rightly read ρυσοίμην, and also that in El. 34 ἀροίμην is Fut. Opt. not Aor. Opt.

J. has not mentioned  $\dot{\rho}\nu\sigma\sigma\ell\mu\eta\nu$  under the head of lection, nor the name of any one of the editors who adopt it. Is this justifiable in such a case?

But he has, in his note, given reasons for reading ρυσαίμην, and those reasons will now be examined, and, as I believe, confuted. The note is:

'ρυσαίμην. The direct deliberative form is πῶς ρύσωμαι; the indirect ἐρωτῶ ὅπως (οτ πῶς) ρύσωμαι, ἡρώτων ὅπως (οτ πῶς) ρύσωίμην. ρυσοίμην (oblique for ρύσομαι) would imply that he was confident of a successful result, and doubtful only concerning the means; it is therefore less suitable.'

- § 2. (1) In the first place I deny that, for the sense here required, the indirect construction after a past verb is  $\dot{\eta}\rho\dot{\omega}\tau\omega\nu$   $\ddot{\omega}\pi\omega$ s (or  $\pi\dot{\omega}$ s)  $\dot{\rho}\nu\sigma\alpha\dot{\iota}\mu\eta\nu$ . I say that it is either  $\dot{\eta}\rho\dot{\omega}\tau\omega\nu$   $\ddot{\omega}\pi\omega$ s (or  $\pi\dot{\omega}$ s)  $\dot{\rho}\nu\sigma\alpha\dot{\iota}\mu\eta\nu$   $\ddot{\omega}\nu$ , or else  $\dot{\rho}\nu\sigma\dot{\omega}\iota\mu\eta\nu$  without  $\dot{\omega}\nu$ .
- (2) In the next place, as to 'deliberative form', there is no room for deliberation here. A question is addressed to the Pythian oracle, and an answer expected. If Oedipus put the question to the Pythia directly, he would do it in the Fut. Indic., τί δρῶν ἢ τί φωνῶν ῥύσομαι τὴν πόλιν; and he would expect a direct answer, ῥύσει τὴν πόλιν..., declaring the means which by deed or word he must use for that end. By asking such a question in Fut. Indic., the questioner expresses confidence in the god whom he consults, not in himself, and, if he gets an answer detailing the means, he will use those means in confidence that their result will be successful, because the god has told him so. Hence he says immediately afterwards, ἐγὼ κακὸς μὴ δρῶν ἀν είην πάνθ' ὅσ' ἀν δηλοῦ θεός. Assuming, therefore, that ῥυσοίμην does express more confidence than λύσαιμ' ἀν (which would be grammatical here rather than ῥυσαίμην), I say that such confidence is not 'less suitable', but far more so, because it rests upon the pious faith of Oedipus in the god's declaration.
- (3) Since he has taken  $\delta\pi\omega$ s (and  $\pi\hat{\omega}$ s) as representing the  $\delta$   $\tau l$  (and  $\tau l$ ) of Sophocles, I must observe that  $\pi\hat{\omega}$ s (direct interr.) is found in Soph. with Fut. Ind. 14 times, with  $\delta\nu$  and Opt. 32 times (14 Aor., several with verbs which form no Aor.); once only with Conjunctive, Ai. 50. II  $\delta\omega$ s  $\delta\nu$   $\mu\dot{\alpha}\chi\omega\mu\alpha$  in a fragment should probably be read  $\mu\alpha\chi\omega\delta\mu\alpha$ . Of  $\pi\hat{\omega}$ s indirect only two instances occur, both after  $\delta\omega$   $\delta\chi$  in one (O. C. 1711)  $\chi\rho\dot{\eta}$  follows; in the other (Tr. 992)  $\delta\nu$   $\delta\tau\dot{e}\rho\dot{\xi}\alpha\mu\mu$ . "O $\pi\omega$ s,  $\hbar\omega\nu$ , is chiefly followed by Ind. (mostly Fut. or Pres.), sometimes by Conjunctive (as Ai. 428). Of Opt. there is but one instance, Ant. 272, where for  $\pi\rho\dot{\alpha}\dot{\xi}\alpha\mu\nu$  I should not hesitate to read  $\pi\rho\dot{\alpha}\dot{\xi}\omega\mu\nu$ , having a firm conviction that in many passages of Greek authors  $\delta\omega$  was substituted for  $\delta\omega$  by ignorant scribes, who did not recognise the just use of Fut. Opt., which exists for the sole purpose of avoiding the confusion which would arise if the Aor. Opt. without  $\delta\nu$  (the proper use of which is in oblique  $\delta\omega$ s construction,

when not indefinitely general) were used with a future signification. Cp. 796, ἔφευγον ἔνθα μήποτ' ὀψοίμην κ.τ.λ.

- (4) The (direct interr.) occurs in Soph. about 30 times with Fut. Ind., 36 times with Opt. and ἄν (21 being Aor.), 7 times with Conjunctive. Of the oblique ὅστις with Opt. no instance occurs except the two cited—this and El. 34. In O. T. 714, ὅστις γένοιτο is in dependence on ἥξοι, and expresses future indefinite generality (a child who should be born) the child being not yet in existence. Of τίς obl. with Opt. I find no example but the one before us.
- § 3. I say then that, as  $\dot{\rho}\nu\sigma ol\mu\eta\nu$ , I should save, is the oblique form of  $\dot{\rho}\dot{\nu}\sigma\rho\mu\alpha$ , I shall save, so  $\dot{\rho}\nu\sigma\alpha l\mu\eta\nu$ , I had saved, is the oblique form of  $\dot{\epsilon}\rho\rho\nu\sigma\dot{\alpha}\mu\eta\nu$ , I saved or have saved; and in such a place as this it can have no other force. Therefore  $\dot{\rho}\nu\sigmaol\mu\eta\nu$ , not  $\dot{\rho}\nu\sigma\alpha l\mu\eta\nu$ , is the true reading here; and the conjecture  $\lambda\dot{\nu}\sigma\alpha\iota\dot{\mu}^{\nu}\ddot{\alpha}\nu$ , which has been proposed, must not be received, because the language of an oracle requires the more decisive Fut. Opt. as O. T. 790-3:

ό Φοίβος...προύφάνη λέγων ώς μητρὶ μὲν χρείη με μιχθήναι, γένος δ΄ ἄτλητον ἀνθρώποισι δηλώσοιμ ὁρῶν, φονεὺς δ' ἐσοίμην τοῦ φυτεύσαντος πατρός.

For similar reasons, in the parallel place, El. 34, ἀροίμην is Fut. Opt. of αἴρομαι, not Aor. Opt. I am not unaware that a few places may be cited against my conclusion from the usual texts of Xenophon and Plato; but I should reply that the readings are corrupt,  $\alpha$  having been introduced instead of o, which should be restored.

# EXCURSUS V. vv. 151-158.

§ 1. ὧ Διὸς άδυεπὲς φάτι, τίς ποτε τᾶς πολυχρύσου 151
Πυθῶνος ἀγλαὰς ἔβας
Θήβας; ἐκτέταμαι φοβερὰν φρένα, δείματι πάλλων,
ἰἤῖε Δάλιε Παιάν,
ἀμφὶ σοὶ ἀζόμενος τὶ μοι ἢ νέον,
ἢ περιτελλομέναις ὥραις πάλιν ἐξανύσεις χρέος,
εἰπέ μοι, ὧ χρυσέας τέκνον Ἐλπίδος, ἄμβροτε Φάμα. 158

The interpretation of this first strophe of the Parodos involves several questions which shall here be considered.

- § 2. In v. 153, the first comma has usually been placed after  $\phi \rho \hat{\epsilon} \nu a$ , as in my text. But some scholars, as Schn. N. Ca. J., place it after  $\hat{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\epsilon} \tau a \mu a \iota$ , making  $\phi \rho \hat{\epsilon} \nu a$  object of  $\pi \hat{a} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ , which derives some support from Aesch. Prom. 883,  $\kappa \rho a \delta l a \delta \hat{\epsilon} \phi \hat{\rho} \beta \psi \phi \rho \hat{\epsilon} \nu a \lambda a \kappa \tau \hat{\iota} \zeta \hat{\epsilon} \iota$ . But here  $\phi o \beta \hat{\epsilon} \rho \hat{a} \nu$  becomes superfluous, and the bareness of  $\hat{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\epsilon} \tau a \mu a \iota$  without  $\phi o \beta \hat{\epsilon} \rho \hat{a} \nu \phi \rho \hat{\epsilon} \nu a$  is unpleasing. Therefore I prefer the old punctuation.
- § 3. Does ἀμφὶ σοὶ in v. 155 depend on δείματι πάλλων or on ἀζόμενος? The former seems better on some grounds, but the Greek idiom, which favours the order voc. pron. verb, gives probability to the second view.
- § 4. The words η νέον η περιτελλομέναις ώραις πάλιν had usually been interpreted, either now (νέον = νῦν) or in the revolution of seasons hereafter; but Gustav Wolff, and J. (who follows him), understand it to mean either novel or returning in the revolution of seasons (πάλιν = πάλιν ἐπανελθόν). After much consideration I am inclined to accept this suggestion of Wolff.
- § 5. The largest question is this. Should a period or colon stand after χρέος (as usually seen), and a comma or no stop after ἀζόμενος, whereby τί μοι κ.τ.λ. is made to depend on this participle, and the  $\sigma \dot{v}$  of έξαν $\dot{v}\sigma \epsilon \iota s$  to signify Apollo? Or should a comma only stand after xpéos, and a colon after αζόμενος, the effect of which is to make the words ἐκτέταμαι..... άζόμενος a parenthesis, and  $\tau l$  μοι κ. $\tau$ . $\lambda$ . dependent on  $\epsilon l\pi \epsilon$  μοι? The former is the punctuation which exists, I believe, in all previous editions. Is there nothing to be said in favour of the latter punctuation? Much, I think, may be said for it. First (as to the construction of the strophe), it begins with addressing the oracle (άδυεπής φάτις) as that of Zeus, a greater than Apollo; it ends with again addressing it as ἄμβροτε Φάμα. It begins with asking a direct question ( $\tau is \pi \sigma \tau \epsilon$ ) of the oracle. Why should not its resumed indirect question  $\tau l \kappa.\tau.\lambda$ . be also addressed to the oracle, which is in fact (by the words  $\epsilon l\pi \dot{\epsilon} \mu \omega$ ) invited to answer it: and why is that invitation to be severed from the general construction, and awkwardly placed in a separate sentence? Will it be said that the parenthesis addressed to Apollo is an awkwardness more objectionable? I have no such feeling. The fact that the oracle is addressed as that of Zeus gives to the parenthesis a significance and a power which are very striking. The oracle is Jove's:but Apollo! what of him who delivers it? Is he to be forgotten? Far from it. True—the Chorus do not know the purport of the oracle: they do not know that Apollo is the god who sends the plague: so little do they know this, that they twice invoke him to appear as their deliverer: first as one of the 'di averrunci' (163), next as the Lyceian archer-king (203). But Soph. never loses sight of Homer. And, although the myth of Oedipus is earlier than the Trojan war, the poet would think of the pestilence inflicted on the Grecian host, and he would have his Cadmean chorus regard Apollo

as an awful god, dangerous to displease. Hence the parenthesis (if parenthesis there be) is fully explained, fully justified, and in this point of view very beautiful. If it be said that the verb έξανίσει is peculiarly suited to the god, we reply that in the Antigone, 1178, it is said of Teiresias, ὧ μάντι, τοῦπος ὡς ἄρ' ὁρθὸν ἥννσας. If a seer can be said to achieve what he merely foretells, much more may this be said of the oracle from the god's own mouth. My vote then is cordially given for the colon after ἀζόμενος and comma after χρέος. But, as the other view gives the same general sense, it is likely to be preferred by many readers as the 'good old way'.

§ 6. Render: 'O sweet-spoken oracle of Zeus, what, I wonder, art thou that hast come from Pytho rich in gold to brilliant Thebes?—My timid heart is on the stretch, and I quiver with fear, O Ieian, O Delian Healer, musing on thee with holy awe—: what purpose thou wilt achieve for me either novel or brought back in the revolution of seasons, tell me, O child of golden Hope, immortal Oracle.

## EXCURSUS VI. vv. 326—329.

ΟΙ. μὴ πρὸς θεῶν φρονῶν γ' ἀποστραφῆς, ἐπεὶ πάντες σε προσκυνοῦμεν οιδ' ἰκτήριοι.

ΤΕΙ. πάντες γὰρ οὐ φρονεῖτ'. ἐγὼ δ' οὐ μήποτε τἄμ', ὡς ἄν, εἴπω, μὴ τὰ σ' ἐκφήνω κακά.

OE. If thou knowest, do not, I adjure thee, turn away, since all we who are present bow before thee with suppliant entreaty.

Tei. Aye, for ye are all without knowledge; but I will never speak my (secrets)—in whatever way—lest I display thine—evil.

As this view has to encounter a vast amount of prejudice, I wish to say that I have long seen and still see in it the only possible explanation of these dark, but certainly genuine, words.

I. prints the last of these lines thus:

τάμ', ώς αν είπω μή τα σ', έκφήνω κακά,

rendering-'but never will I reveal my griefs, that I say not thine.'

His note is the following:-

'ἐγω δ' οὐ μή ποτε ἐκφήνω τὰ ἐμὰ (ὡς ἄν μη εἴπω τὰ σὰ) κακά: I will never reveal my (not to call them thy) griefs. τὰ ἐμὰ κακά, those same secrets in their import for Oedipus. We might render ὡς ἄν εἴπω μὴ τὰ σ' either (i) as above, or (ii) "in order that I may not utter thy griefs." But (i) is preferable for these reasons: (1) the subjunct. εἴπω with μὴ was familiar in such phrases; Plat. Rep. 487 D: τοὺς μὲν πλείστους καὶ πάνυ ἀλλοκότους γιγνομένους, ἵνα μὴ παμπουήρως εἶπωμεν. [Then he cites two similar

On this note I have some remarks to make.

It is intolerable to translate κακά, griefs. It is intolerable to make Teiresias call his secrets, which bring salvation to Thebes, my griefs.

'As  $\delta \nu \in \pi \omega$ , used as here suggested, requires similar instances to sustain it, for it does alter the meaning;  $\delta s \delta \nu$ , as a final conjunction, always implying by which means = in order that by such means. So the place cited from Ar. Av. is (literally) 'hold over me the sunshade, by which means the gods will not see me' = 'that by such means the gods may not see me.'

ώs  $\vec{\alpha}\nu$   $\epsilon \ell \pi \omega$   $\mu \dot{\eta}$  for  $\omega$ s  $\vec{\alpha}\nu$   $\mu \dot{\eta}$   $\epsilon \ell \pi \omega$  is not justified by either of the places cited. If  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  follow its verb, it must be in order to lay its emphasis on a word coming after, as on  $\theta \epsilon \dot{\eta} \lambda \alpha \tau \sigma \nu$  in O. T. 246, not heavensent—on  $\tau \alpha \hat{\nu} \tau \alpha$  in Phil. 66, not these things (but something else). Or, after an imperative, and before a stop, it is emphatic itself. But in this place no such explanation can be given.

I was familiar with this expedient forty years ago, but I regarded it then, as I regard it still, with the most intense dislike, not to use a stronger term. And I begin by giving reasons which get rid of this (in my eyes) 'monstrum horrendum, informe, cui lumen ademptum:' of which Dindorf says in his Lexicon Soph.: 'quae tanto ineptior collocatio verborum est quo facilius vitari poterat, nihilque excusationis habens ab quaesita quadam verborum in ore vatis obscuritate, quae verbis recte collocatis manet.'

- (1) First, then, a scholar looking at the words  $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}...\kappa\alpha\kappa\dot{\alpha}$  should discern several things: namely—
- (a) The words  $\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\tau\dot{\alpha}$   $\sigma'$  ἐκφήνω κακὰ are genuine as they stand, and ought not to be meddled with. Even the elision  $\tau\dot{\alpha}$   $\sigma'$  cannot be tolerated with the comma following; for that in the line 405, καὶ  $\tau\dot{\alpha}$   $\sigma'$ , Οιδίπου, δοκεῖ is on a different footing, the word ἔπη, to which  $\tau\dot{\alpha}$   $\sigma\dot{\alpha}$  belongs, having gone before, and the vocative Οιδίπου also easing the elision.
- (b) Such a phrase as  $\dot{\omega}s$   $\dot{\alpha}\nu$   $\epsilon \ell \pi \omega$   $\mu \dot{\eta}$   $\tau \dot{\alpha}$   $\sigma \dot{\alpha}$  is bad in several respects. That  $\dot{\omega}s$   $\dot{\alpha}\nu$   $\mu \dot{\dot{\eta}}$   $\epsilon \ell \pi \omega$ , taken finally, is not on the same footing with  $\ell \nu \alpha$   $\mu \dot{\eta}$   $\epsilon \ell \pi \omega$  I have already shown; and it may be instructive here to note the marvellous order into which the words thus fall: 'but I never my—that by such means I may not say thy—griefs will display.' The order  $\dot{\omega}s$   $\dot{\alpha}\nu$   $\epsilon \ell \pi \omega$   $\mu \dot{\eta}$   $\tau \dot{\alpha}$   $\sigma \dot{\alpha}$  is indefensible here, as I have also shown.
- (c)  $\epsilon \kappa \phi \dot{\eta} \nu \omega$  has a suitable object in  $\tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \dot{\alpha}$ , not in  $\tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\alpha}$ , while  $\epsilon i \pi \omega$  has a suitable object in  $\tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\alpha}$ , not in  $\tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \dot{\alpha}$ .

- (d) Griefs (I repeat) is a rendering which κακὰ will not bear; and to make Teiresias call his concealed knowledge, which contains the salvation of the city, my evils is a frightful misrepresentation of the poet's design in this scene, of the character of Teiresias, and of the position which he and Oedipus hold towards each other.
- (2) Rhythm is so often unduly put forward to bolster up a weak case, that, having a strong one, I am almost unwilling to mention it; yet, if ever the solemn *adagio* of a poet's words was destroyed by shifting the pauses, surely that effect is wrought by this redistribution.

I have to thank J. for saving me the trouble of demolishing the other rendering of the same dislocation, 'I will never display my evils in order that I may not mention thine.' For, while nearly all the objections above urged apply to it equally, it has the special disadvantage to which the Professor alludes, that so 'the clauses would be ill-balanced', whatever this may mean.

J. has a note (IX. in Appendix) which states my view of this passage, correctly, so far as grammar is concerned, though without touching the considerations upon which it is founded. These (since he nowhere alludes to them) seem to have made no impression on his mind as a scholar and critic. He passes no judgment on my view, sparing his own arrow, perhaps because, like Artemis in the case of Actaeon, he delivers me over to the hounds, of whom he is very sure that a large pack is afield; among them the dozen emendators, whose conjectures he proceeds to set forth, not one of them equal in merit to  $\xi \xi a \nu \epsilon l \pi \omega$  for  $\omega s \tilde{a} \nu \epsilon l \pi \omega$ , or  $\varepsilon l \pi \omega v$  for  $\varepsilon l \pi \omega$ . To these may be added the Saturday Reviewer, who, in an article generally candid, courteous, and scholar-like, likens my explanation here to the feat of 'getting a beaver up a tree'. Well! be it a beaver or an opossum, I believe in the execution of the feat so undoubtingly, that I class this interpretation as one upon the truth of which I would cheerfully stake my credit as a Greek scholar.

I have said elsewhere 'that the admitted difficulty of these words arises from the design of Sophocles to hide their exact meaning from the hearers behind the veil of an unusual and obscure construction.' Unless this fact, and the full weight of the important words of Oedipus, 439,  $\dot{\omega}s$   $\pi\dot{\omega}r\tau'$   $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\alpha\nu$   $al\nu\iota\kappa\tau\dot{\alpha}$   $\kappa\dot{\alpha}\sigma\alpha\phi\dot{\eta}$   $\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\epsilon\iota s$ , have been duly noted and appreciated, a just judgment of my explanation can hardly be formed. These words were meant to be 'riddling and unclear' to Oedipus, an accomplished Greek, who  $(\kappa\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\iota\sigma\tau'\dot{\alpha}\nu\dot{\eta}\rho$   $\epsilon\dot{\iota}s$   $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\gamma\epsilon$   $\tau\alpha\dot{\iota}s$   $\Theta\dot{\eta}\beta\alpha\iota s$   $\tau\rho\alpha\phi\epsilon\dot{\iota}s$ ) had solved the riddle of the Sphinx. Naturally, then, they are such to us who, being students of ancient Greek, know more or less of that language. And they are more obscure to us than any other verses in this scene, because, having read the play,  $\alpha\nu\epsilon$  know what Teiresias means to say when he has lost his temper, though even then

some of his words are obscure to us, as 420-425, where we must be satisfied with a general and vague, rather than an exact, explanation. What is 'riddling and unclear' Teiresias may speak, for he is the confidant of an oracular deity; what is untrue he must not and will not speak, for he is the trusted servant of an  $\dot{\alpha}\psi\epsilon\nu\delta\dot{\gamma}s$   $\theta\epsilon\dot{\phi}s$ : as he says of himself,  $\tau\dot{\alpha}\lambda\eta\theta\dot{\epsilon}s$   $l\sigma\chi\dot{\nu}\rho\nu$   $\tau\rho\dot{\epsilon}\phi\omega$ . Why does he not speak out that truth intelligibly to Oedipus and the Thebans? We might answer, because this does not suit the plot devised by Sophocles. The poet might say, from the point of view of Teiresias, because he does not feel himself commissioned by Apollo to do so, and he is glad to be spared so sad and terrible an office; as he says virtually at 376,

οὐ γάρ σε μοῖρα πρός γ' ἐμοῦ πεσεῖν, ἐπεὶ ἰκανὸς ᾿Απόλλων, ῷ τάδ' ἐκπρᾶξαι μέλει.

In this place then he is struggling for permission to be silent. He wishes to tell nothing; but the prayer of an afflicted people and the demand of an authoritative king press him sore. So he endeavours to escape by hinting to Oedipus that what he could tell (τάμά) would disclose evil (κακά) for him. But a lie he must not tell; he must not, he will not, say that his things (his secrets) are κακά, for they are truths entrusted to him by a god, truths which, when discovered, will release Thebes from the pestilence,  $\dot{v}\phi$  $o\tilde{v}$  κενούται δώμα Καδμείον. Hence he designates them as  $\tau \tilde{a} \mu'$  ώς  $\tilde{a} \nu$  (ε $\tilde{t} \pi \omega$ ), an ambiguous and dark phrase (mine—in whatever sense spoken), equivalent to  $\tau \delta \mu' \dot{\delta} \pi o \hat{i} \dot{\delta} \nu \dot{\hat{\eta}}$  (mine—of whatever kind they be). He knows them to be ἀγαθά, the opposite of the κακὰ which he is about to name. But this is not the time for saying so. He would not shock the Thebans and enrage Oedipus by saying that he knows what is good for them, but refuses to tell it. He therefore merely throws out a hint to Oedipus, μη τὰ σ' ἐκφήνω κακά, not to be rendered 'lest I display thy evils', but 'lest I display thy things (=thy secrets) evil'. Everything here must be as dim as possible. Sophocles will not even write of an Oedipus—a master in language—should detect the latent antithesis  $\dot{a}\gamma a\theta \dot{a}$ ; therefore he writes ώs αν. Enough that by τὰ σὰ...κακὰ Oedipus is, if possible, to be alarmed and diverted from further inquiry. In vain! The unconscious, prosperous, self-confident king, solely bent on prosecuting the search and delivering Thebes, overlooks or despises the personal threat, and fastening upon the words où  $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \sigma \tau \epsilon \epsilon \ddot{\iota} \pi \omega \tau \dot{a} \mu \dot{a}$ , says,  $\tau \dot{\iota} \phi \dot{\eta} s$ ;  $\xi \nu \nu \epsilon \iota \delta \dot{\omega} s$  où  $\phi \rho \dot{a} \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ ;  $\kappa . \tau . \lambda$ .

I can imagine a doubter saying:-

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Well; granted that Teiresias speaks here and there to Oedipus in "riddling and unclear" terms, yet surely he will always speak Greek that can be construed. The very words, which Oedipus calls  $alvinta \kappa d\sigma a\phi \hat{\eta}$ ,

ηδ' ημέρα φύτει σε καὶ διαφθερεί,

are as easy to construe as any verse in Sophocles. The same cannot be said of  $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$   $\dot{\delta}'$   $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\nu}$   $\dot{\mu}\dot{\eta}$   $\pi\sigma\tau\dot{\epsilon}$   $\tau\ddot{a}\dot{\mu}'$   $\dot{\omega}\dot{s}$   $\dot{a}\nu$   $\dot{\epsilon}i\pi\omega$ . This sounds like bad Greek. You would not have the seer speak like a Triballian?'

Certainly I would not. But the unclearness in the two places is obviously of different kinds. In 439 all the words, and their construction, are as clear as daylight, but the meaning that underlies this day shall beget three and destroy is dark as night to Oedipus. In the place before us, the phrases  $\tau\dot{\alpha}\mu\dot{\alpha}$  and  $\tau\dot{\alpha}$   $\sigma\dot{\alpha}$  are unclear, as also the construction of  $\dot{\omega}s$   $\dot{\alpha}v$ , but  $o\dot{v}$   $\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\pi o\tau\epsilon$   $\tau\dot{\alpha}\mu\dot{\alpha}$   $\epsilon \ell \pi \omega$  cannot be mistaken, and Oedipus is deaf to everything else.

Of  $\tau \dot{a}\mu \dot{a}$  and  $\tau \dot{a}$   $\sigma \dot{a}$  I need only say that I regard them as objects of  $\epsilon l \pi \omega$  and  $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \phi \dot{\eta} \nu \omega$  severally; of  $\kappa \alpha \kappa \dot{a}$ , that I regard it as an oblique predicative adjective agreeing with  $\tau \dot{a}$   $\sigma \dot{a}$ .

The obscurity of the place lies (1) in the less frequent, though amply testified, meaning of  $\dot{\omega}s$   $d\nu$ , howeveer; (2) in its position before the verb  $\epsilon \ell \pi \omega$ , which, as it stands in the text, belongs to the nexus  $o\dot{v}$   $\mu \dot{\eta}$   $\pi \sigma \tau \epsilon \epsilon \ell \pi \omega$ , I will never speak, though the same verb must be supplied to  $\dot{\omega}s$   $d\nu$  ( $\epsilon \ell \pi \omega$ ), however I may speak.

On this latter head (2) it is that I must try to satisfy the scruples of a doubter.

And here let me set out with saying that, if asked how I explain this passage, my answer is given in three words, 'adverbiascit ws av': i.e. ws av assumes the function of an adverb. This I now indicate by commas before and after it. In the Latin the word corresponding to this ws av, utcumque, would regularly stand before the verb, if these words were translated: 'ego vero numquam mea utcumque dicam,' 'but never will I in whatsoever way speak my secrets,' and utcumque is a conjunctional particle, which in such a place 'adverbiascit': i.e. numquam mea utcumque dicam=numquam mea dicam, utcumque dicam, just as où  $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \sigma \tau \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\alpha} \dot{\omega} s \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \dot{\tau} \pi \omega = o\dot{\nu} \mu \dot{\eta}$ ποτε τάμὰ εἴπω, ώς ἂν εἴπω. There is then no real difficulty in regarding ώς  $a\nu$  in the light of a conjunctional particle 'adverbiascens'. A certain degree of awkwardness there is, arising from two causes:—(a) où  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  more and  $\dot{\omega}$ s  $\vec{a}_{\nu}$  alike require a verb in the subjunctive mood; (b)  $\vec{\omega}s$   $\vec{a}_{\nu}$  has another and more frequent sense, 'in order that by such means'. But I reply:-this very awkwardness-this very ambiguity of usage it is, in my conviction, which led Soph. to adopt this form; for ambiguity causes that obscurity in which he meant to shroud the language of Teiresias here. Observe, moreover, that the ambiguity lies in that former part of the sentence which he (though vainly) wished to make less prominent, while the words μη τὰ σ' ἐκφήνω κακά have no such ambiguity, and, by coming last, are meant (though they fail) to impress the king's mind most powerfully.

Passages in which ώs αν means howsoever are numerous:—Hom. II. ii. 139, ώς αν έγων είπω πειθώμεθα πάντες. Soph. Ai. 1369, ώς αν ποιήσης,

πανταχοῦ χρηστός γ' ἔση. Dem. de Cor. τὸ πέρας, ὡς ἄν ὁ δαίμων βουληθῆ, πάντων γίγνεται. Xen. Cy. iii. 2,  $\mathbf{1}$  βοηθοῦσιν ἐπὶ τὰ ἄκρα, ὡς ἄν ἔκαστος δύνηται. Plato Crat. 424 E, ὡς ἄν δοκῆ ἐκάστη ἡ εἰκὼν δεῖσθαι ἐκάστον φαρμάκου. Conviv. 181 A, ἐν τῆ πράξει, ὡς ἄν πραχθῆ τοιοῦτον ἀπέβη. Legg. ix. 881 D, πάντως ὡς ἄν ἐθέλωσι. Aristot. Polit. i. 8, ὁμοίως δὲ καὶ περὶ τοὺς ἄλλους, ὡς ἄν ἡ χρεία συναναγκάζη, τοῦτον τὸν τρόπον διάγουσιν. Add to these Soph. Ai. 1117, ὡς ἄν ἢς οἶός περ εἶ, which, rightly translated, is however thou may'st be brother of the commander-in-chief, Agamemnon¹.

That no place can be cited in which  $\dot{\omega}s$   $\ddot{\omega}\nu$  appears without a verb, I admit. But I am far from deeming this a conclusive argument against its elliptical use in this peculiar passage, where, by allowing it that position, all difficulty of interpretation is at once removed, while no other tolerable solution appears. ' $\Omega s$  (Lat. ut) is the most variously used particle in Greek; and the modal adverb  $\ddot{\alpha}\nu$  is, I am sure, more widely and boldly used than grammarians hitherto have been found to admit. All, however, that is here claimed for  $\dot{\omega}s$   $\ddot{\alpha}\nu$  is an ellipse of subjunctive verb, giving it an adverbial character like that of 'utcumque'.

I had until very lately supposed that the view of this passage, which I have now been defending, occurred first to myself. But within the last year I have discovered an earlier claimant. In a German treatise on this drama by Christian Wilbrandt (Rostock, 1836) these lines are thus translated in near agreement with my English version:

Ihr alle schauet nicht; ich aber möge nie, wie ich auch reden mag, mein Wissen aussprechen, damit ich nicht deine Uebel zu Tage bringe.

And in his note (which I translate) he says:

'I read these verses as punctuated and explained by Reisig:

πάντες γὰρ οὐ φρονεῖτ' ἐγω δ' οὐ μή ποτε τἄμ', ως ἃν εἴπω, μὴ τὰ σ' ἐκφήνω κακά.

Τάμὰ means my knowledge, and depends on ἐκφήνω, which I accordingly take twice: ἐγὼ δ' οὐ μή ποτε τἄμ' ἐκφήνω, ὡς ἄν εἴπω, μὴ τὰ σ' ἐκφήνω κακά. Or, if any one prefers it, εἴπω may be understood twice; τἄμ' εἴπω, ὡς ἄν εἴπω. The sense is the same.'

In this last proposal it will be seen that Wilbrandt suggests the most important feature in my view: ' $\dot{\omega}_s$   $\ddot{a}\nu$  adverbiascit.' He fails to notice the antithesis of the clauses,  $\tau \dot{a} u \dot{a}$  ( $\dot{a} \gamma a \theta \dot{a}$ ) to  $\tau \dot{a} \dot{a} \dot{a} \kappa a \kappa \dot{a}$ , and  $\epsilon l \pi \omega$  to  $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \phi \dot{\eta} \nu \omega$ , nor does he see that  $\kappa a \kappa \dot{a}$  should be taken as a predicate. He was also wrong in looking with favour on Reisig's resumption of  $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \phi \dot{\eta} \nu \omega$ , but this he redeems by the better suggestion, which it is strange that no scholar should have at an earlier time discovered to be the only possible—the assured/y true one.

<sup>1</sup> The constr. in Soph. El. 716, of ωs with opt. (ὑπερβάλοι) in past time, as well explained by Linwood, corresponds to this of ωs ἀν, utcumque, with subjunct in time present or future.

625

#### EXCURSUS VII. vv. 622-626.

§ 1. The verses occur near the close of the quarrelsome dialogue between Oedipus and Creon. They stand in Codd. thus:

622 ΚΡ. τί δητα χρήζεις; η με γης έξω βαλείν;

ΟΙ. ἤκιστα· θνήσκειν, οὐ φυγεῖν σε βούλομαι.

ΚΡ. ὅταν προδείξης οίδν ἐστι τὸ φθονείν.

ΟΙ. ώς οὐχ ὑπείξων οὐδὲ πιστεύσων λέγεις.

ΚΡ. οὐ γὰρ φρονοῦντά σ' εὖ βλέπω.

The difficulties which editors find in them are concentrated in the third and fourth lines. They concern (1) assignment, (2) interpretation, (3) text. The questions to be determined are :-

- (1) Does line 624 properly belong to Creon or to Oedipus? Does line 625 properly belong to Oedipus or to Creon?
- (2) How is line 624 to be interpreted? How is  $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\upsilon} \sigma \omega \nu$  to be interpreted?
- (3) Is emendation necessary in 624, 625? If so, what? Is the loss of a line to be assumed? If so, where?

The answers to questions (1) and (3) depend very materially on the answers to be given to questions (2).

§ 2. J. advocates a radical change. He edits the lines thus, supposing the loss of a verse after the fourth line:

ΚΡ. τί δητα χρήζεις; ή με γης έξω βαλείν;

ΟΙ. ήκιστα θνήσκειν οὐ φυγείν σε βούλομαι, ώς ἃν προδείξης οδόν έστι τὸ φθονείν.

ΚΡ. ως ουχ υπείξων ουδε πιστεύσων λέγεις;

OI.

ΚΡ. οὐ γὰρ φρονοῦντά σ' εὖ βλέπω.

The conjecture  $\dot{\omega}s$   $\hat{a}\nu$  had been already proposed by Kvicala. J. understands by  $\pi \rho o \delta \epsilon l \xi \eta s$  no more than the simple  $\delta \epsilon l \xi \eta s$ , 'show forth', and olov  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\iota \tau \delta \phi\theta o\nu \epsilon \hat{\iota}\nu$ , 'what manner of thing is envy', he explains, 'how dread a doom awaits him who plots to usurp a throne'. He translates ὑπείξων as  $\epsilon i \xi \omega \nu$ , 'yield', see 674. He suggests as the sense of the lost line,

οὐ γάρ με πείθεις οὕνεκ' οὐκ ἄπιστος εῖ,

'No, for thou persuadest me not that thou art worthy of belief', adding that it was lost by an error which the repeated of yap produced. § 3. These views, in some respects specious, are in others so much opposed to my judgment, that I cannot accept them as a true solution of the difficulty.

The speciousness lies in the strong prima facie probability of the meaning ascribed to οδόν ἐστι τὸ φθονεῖν. J. cites two places, Ant. 1242, El. 1382, where the effect of punishment is introduced by δεικνύναι. I see no weight in his other citations. He gives no instance with olos, though he might have quoted 403, παθών ἔγνως αν οδά περ φρονείς. But when he says that 'οδόν ἐστι τὸ φθονεῖν can mean nothing but &c.', he should have observed that such scholars as Hermann, Brunck, Elmsley, and others have believed that it can mean 'what is the nature of your grudge against me', or 'what is the nature of my envy': the latter seeming more probable on account of Creon's argument in 583-615. And when he says: 'It is a mere accident that προδείκνυμι does not elsewhere occur as = to show forth; that sense is as natural for it as for προδηλόω, προφαίνω, προκηρύσσω, &c.', I question this proposition. As προδείκνυμι does occur in this very play, 456, σκήπτρφ προδεικνύς, with a strong sense belonging to the preposition, this indicates that the preposition must have a strong sense everywhere. And has it not a strong sense in  $\pi \rho o \phi \alpha l \nu \omega$ ? This objection, then, defeats his interpretation, which, moreover, requires an emendation to prop it up. Furthermore, I do not like the assigning two lines to Oedipus here. It strikes me that an unbroken στιχομυθία at this point ought to lead on to that keen interpellation by half lines, which forms the climax of an angry dispute. Again, οὐχ  $\dot{\nu}\pi\epsilon l\xi\omega\nu$  in the mouth of Creon is too disrespectful; it should rather be ούποτ' εἴξων. Again, the lost verse, as supplied, does not seem to be logically answered by Creon's οὐ γάρ, which should rather be ἀλλ' ού......

- § 4. I will now set down the usual translation of these lines, taking Brunck's interpretation of the third, which Elmsley and many others have accepted. And, with Meineke, I read  $\pi\rho\sigma\delta\epsilon(\xi\etas)\gamma'$ .
  - CR. What, then, desirest thou? to expel me from the land?
  - OE. Not at all; I will have thee die, not go into exile.
  - CR. Aye, when thou shalt first have shown my ground of envy.
  - OE. Thou speakest as resolved not to submit or obey (believe).
  - CR. No; for I see thee unwise.

The correction (besides the  $\gamma'$ ), which presents itself to my mind as probable, is  $\tau \vec{\alpha} \phi \rho o \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$  for  $\tau \delta \phi \theta o \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$ .

όταν προδείξης γ' οδόν έστι τάφρονείν.

'Aye, after first displaying what manner of thing folly is': i.e. to what excess folly can go.

Creon, being threatened with death for no crime, might well say so much as this. He had already spoken to the same effect, 535-6:

εἴ τοι νομίζεις κτημα την αὐθαδίαν εἶναί τι τοῦ νοῦ χωρίς, οὐκ ὀρθῶς φρονεῖς.

He says below:

οὐ γὰρ φρονοῦντά σ' εὖ βλέπω.

The sentence of death passed on Creon would, before its execution, be a  $\pi \rho \delta \delta \epsilon_i \xi_i s$  of the great folly of Oedipus.

The verb  $\dot{a}\phi\rho\sigma\nu\dot{\epsilon}\omega$  is found in Homer, Il. xv. 104,

νήπιοι, οί Ζηνὶ μενεαίνομεν άφρονέοντες,

and, being of perfect analogy, derived from ἄφρων, cognate to such words as σώφρων, σωφρονέω, κακόφρων, κακοφρονέω, and others, no reasonable scholar can dispute its right to be used by Sophocles; while its appositeness here confirms that right. The path of corruption is obvious: τάφρονεῖν, τοφρονεῖν, τοφρονεῖν. Πιστεύσων in the mouth of Oedipus need not be rendered οbey: believe (my menace) is quite admissible.

### EXCURSUS VIII. v. 790.

### προύφάνη λέγων.

- § 1. Wunder's conjecture προδφηνεν (which some ascribe to Hermann), is adopted by Di., Ht., N., Vh., Bl., Wo. and J. Also Li., though not editing προδφηνεν, says of it—'non displicet'. The only editors, since 1830, whom I find retaining προδφάνη are Linwood, Neue, Campbell, Bergk, Ritter, and Schneidewin (in his own text). The last of these justly says that the conj. προδφηνεν 'verwässert den Dichter', dilutes the poet. This note his later editor, Nauck, suppresses, and, reading προδφηνεν, merely says in the Anhang 'προδφηνεν Wunder'. Ellendt (Lex. S.) supports and explains προδφάνη.
- § 2. It is with diffidence that I withhold my concurrence from so strong an array of eminent scholars as those first mentioned. But, as I have been unable to convince myself that προύφάνη is wrong and προϋφηνεν the true reading, I am bound to say so, and to give reasons, which are these:
- (1)  $\Pi \rho o \dot{\nu} \phi \dot{\alpha} v \eta$  is the reading of all MSS.; it has the right of possession, and ought not to be dispossessed on any but strong grounds.
- (2) It is the more difficult reading, and the rule of criticism is, that of two readings, both admissible, the more difficult has the stronger claim. Προύφηνεν foreshowed, foretold, is so well-known, so obvious, so recognised,

K. OE.

that it enlists a host of supporters at once. Not so  $\pi \rho o \dot{v} \phi \dot{a} \nu \eta$ . Wolff shows this by his note: 'Nur sehr gezwungen ist  $\pi \rho o \dot{v} \phi \dot{a} \nu \eta$  zu erklären: ich habe daher Hermann's Conjectur aufgenommen'; i.e. ' $\pi \rho o \dot{v} \phi \dot{a} \nu \eta$  admits none but a very forced explanation: therefore I have received Hermann's conjecture.'

- (3) Can any reason be suggested why a scribe of any date, finding προύφηνεν in his MS., should write προύφάνη in its stead? I see none.
- (4) The older editors (Brunck, Erfurdt, &c.) received  $\pi\rho\sigma\dot{\phi}\dot{\phi}r\eta$  without hesitation, not even annotating upon it. They must, therefore, have interpreted it to their satisfaction. But, as soon as Wunder (or Hermann?) proposes an easier word, almost all welcome it with open arms. Does this prove it to be what Sophocles wrote? I cannot think so.
- § 3. Having always been able to interpret  $\pi \rho o i \phi \acute{a} \nu \eta$  to my full satisfaction, I cannot be expected to discard it until my view of it is shown to be wrong. I find no argument in any note except that of J., which is as follows (the italics being his own):

'Yet the fact that προφαίνειν was thus a vox sollennis for oracular utterance would not suffice to warrant the adoption of προύφηνεν, if the προύφάνη of the MSS. seemed defensible. προύφάνη λέγων would mean "came into view telling". Cf. above, 395, and El. 1285, νῦν δ' ἔχω σε' προύφάνης δὲ φιλτάταν ἔχων πρόσοψιν. It might apply to the sudden appearance of a beacon (cp. ὁ φρυκτὸς ἀγγέλλων πρέπει, Ag. 30); but, in reference to the god speaking through the oracle, it could only mean by a strained metaphor, "flashed on me with the message", i.e. announced it with startling suddenness and clearness. The difficulty of conceiving Sophocles to have written thus is to me so great, that the special appropriateness of προύφηνεν turns the scale.'

A strained metaphor! Then  $\pi\rho ο \dot{\nu} \dot{\phi} \dot{\alpha} \eta \kappa \tau \dot{\nu} \pi o s$  Phil. 202,  $\pi \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \epsilon \iota$  O. R. 186,  $\ddot{\epsilon} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \psi \dot{\epsilon}$  . . .  $\dot{\phi} \dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha}$  O. R. 463, are all 'strained metaphors', and open to suspicion; then, when the Chorus invokes Athene, Artemis, and Phoebus, saying,  $\tau \rho \iota \sigma \sigma o \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\mu} \dot{\alpha} \rho o \dot{\alpha} \nu \eta \tau \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\mu} \dot{\alpha} \iota$  O. R. 164, either they hope to see these deities in person 'flash on' them, or else they use a 'strained metaphor'. And, when Oedipus says to Teiresias in 395  $\pi \rho o \dot{\nu} \dot{\phi} \dot{\alpha} \nu \eta s \dot{\epsilon} \chi \omega \nu$ , does this mean 'flashed on Thebes with prophetic skill'?

I have always rendered  $\pi\rho o \dot{v} \phi \dot{a} \nu \eta$ , was heard to say. I suppose most people are now agreed (except those who assume diabolic agency) that oracles were managed by an ably organised priestcraft. How they were arranged at Delphi we cannot exactly describe. But my assumption is, that an indistinct voice from behind the shrine was heard by all  $(\pi\rho o \dot{v} + \phi \dot{a} \nu \eta + \kappa \tau \dot{v} \pi \sigma s)$ , which the Pythia ('quae tripode ex Phoebi lauroque profatur') then interpreted to those who had come to consult the god. This explanation (which does not seem 'gezwungen') has always satisfied my mind. If it can be proved false, I am open to conviction.

In illustration of my view may be cited Vergil's lines (Aen. iii. 90)

describing what followed the questions asked by Aeneas of Apollo's oracle in the Isle of Delos:

Vix ea fatus eram; tremere omnia visa repente, liminaque laurusque dei, totusque moveri mons circum, et *mugire adytis cortina reclusis*. summissi petimus terram et *vox fertur ad auris*.

Mr Steel also supports προῦφάνη, saying: 'the notion of appearing, coming forward, which belongs to προῦφάνη and is thought by Wunder inadmissible here, may be allowed, as indicating the sudden and unexpected character of the god's answer.'

### EXCURSUS IX. vv. 1524-1527.

ω πάτρας Θήβης ἔνοικοι, λεύσσετ', Οιδίπους ὅδε
ες τὰ κλείν' αἰνίγματ' ἤδη καὶ κράτιστος ἦν ἀνήρ,
ως τις οὐ ζήλω πολιτων καὶ τύχαις ἐπιβλέπων,
εἰς ὅσον κλύδωνα δεινῆς συμφορῶς ἐλήλυθεν.
1527

In 1526 codd. have ὄστις, for which I edit ຜ΄ς τις: rendering 'Ye that dwell in Thebes our country, lo, this Oedipus, who knew those famed riddles (mighty man he was, for one who never eyed jealously the aspiring hopes and fortunes of the citizens), into what a whelming surge of dire misfortune he is come!'

My note is: 'For one, (i.e. considered as one) who never eyed jealously the aspiring hopes and fortunes of the citizens, ως τις οὐ πολιτων ζήλω καὶ τύχαις ἐπιβλέπων. See 1078, note. Zήλος, emulation. 'Επιβλέπων (Lat. invidere), to look with (evil) eye on. By the simple and easy reading ως τις for εστις we obtain an admirable sense. Oedipus, it is said, was a wise and liberal ruler, not an ordinary τύραννος, like Periander or Tarquin, who were always scanning the fortunes and aspirations of the citizens, and cutting off (like poppies) those who became taller than the rest.' See Liv. i. 54. On ως, considered as, see 1078, with note and examples in commentary.

Erfurdt (an excellent scholar who died too early) has the following note on  $\epsilon\pi\iota\beta\lambda\epsilon\pi\omega\nu$  in v. 1481 (1526): 'Recte Corayus... $\epsilon\pi\iota\beta\lambda\epsilon\pi\omega\nu$  pro  $\phi\theta\sigma\nu\hat{\omega}\nu$  dictum ait hoc sensu: qui civium prosperitati numquam invidebat. Bonum quippe regem intellegi a Graecis eum, qui in civium salute salutem suam consistere putaret: tyrannum contra, qui tutum se illorum miseria opinaretur. Hinc Hieronem Syracusarum regem a Pindaro dici  $\beta a\sigma\iota\lambda\epsilon$   $\pi\rho\alpha\hat{\nu}\nu$   $\dot{a}\sigma\tau\sigma\hat{\nu}s$ , οδ  $\phi\theta\sigma\nu\epsilon$   $\sigma\tau\alpha$   $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\alpha\theta\sigma\hat{\nu}s$  (Pyth. iii.), apud Herodotum vero (iii. 80) in

tyranni definitione haec quoque commemorari: φθονέει γὰρ τοῖσι ἀρίστοισι περιεοῦσί τε καὶ ζώουσι, χαίρει δὲ τοῖσι κακίστοισι τῶν ἀστῶν. Neque neglegendum esse monet usum linguae latinae, in qua nullum reperiri verbum, quo φθονεῖν exprimi possit, nisi invidere, cui plane congruat ἐπιβλέπειν, ac Ciceronem (Tusc. iii. 9), ubi nomen invidiae a nimis intuendo fortunam alterius derivet, Sophocleum τύχαις ἐπιβλέπων verbis totidem transferre.'

The sense which I ascribe to  $\epsilon\pi\iota\beta\lambda\epsilon\pi\omega$ , that of its literal Latin, invideo, is endorsed by Steel, Ellendt and Dindorf, and by Liddell and Scott.

J. has printed v. 1526 thus:

οὖ τίς οὐ ζήλφ πολιτῶν ταῖς τύχαις ἐπέβλεπεν;

'on whose fortunes which of the citizens did not gaze with envy?' Such an idea is a libel on the city, at variance with 31—51, and with Stasima II. IV.

In his appendix (XVII.) J. argues against my use of ἐπιβλέπων thus:

'Lucian once uses the verb ἐπιβλέπω with a dative, Astrol. 20 (where he is imitating an Ionic style). . . . ἐπέβλέψων (looked favourably upon); Plutarch (Caes. 2) has τοῖς χρήμασιν ἐποφθαλμιῶντες, "eyeing the money" (covetously), but that proves nothing for ἐπιβλέπω [?]. ἐπιβλέπω usually takes either (a) an accus, with preposition of an object towards whom one looks—εἰς ἡμῶς, Plato Phaedr. 63 A; ἐπὶ τὴν Θηβαίων πόλιν, Deinarch, Or. i. § 72; or (δ) a simple acc. of a thing which one mentally considers: as, λόγους, Plat. Legg. 811 D; ἀτυχίας, συμφοράς, Isocr. Or. x. §§ 21, 35. Are we warranted, then, in rendering, "Not looking jealously on the prosperity (ζήλφ, or as Prof. Kennedy translates it, the aspiring hopes) and fortunes of the citizens"?

My answer is: (1) 'yes, we are warranted in using  $\epsilon \pi \iota \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega$  with a dative, by the facts stated in this very note, as well as by the example of the writer, who so uses it with  $\tau \alpha i s$   $\tau i \chi \alpha i s$ : (2) yes, we are warranted in giving to  $\epsilon \pi \iota \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega$  the sense 'looking jealously on', by Liddell and Scott, who write: ' $\epsilon \pi \iota \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega$ ... to eye with envy, Lat. in—videre,  $\tau i \chi \alpha i s$  Soph. O. T. 1526, like  $\epsilon \pi \alpha \phi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu i \alpha i s$ ': by Erfurdt and Coray in the Latin note above cited: by Ellendt and Dindorf, each of whom writes ' $\epsilon \pi \iota \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega$ , invideo'. That is, all these scholars, and I with them, find this sense inherent

in the verb itself; and we do not call in ζήλφ to confer it. We know moreover that  $\zeta \hat{\eta} \lambda os$  is not  $\phi \theta \delta vos$ , but means 'honourable emulation', rendered by me 'aspiring hopes'. I would never call it 'prosperity': not even in Aj. 503, but ὄσου ζήλου, a lot how greatly enviable. Ellendt's is a very rambling discussion, and a lame conclusion: for (writing only  $\tau \alpha \hat{i}$ s for  $\kappa \alpha \hat{i}$ ) he makes  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota\beta\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\pi\omega\nu=\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\beta\lambda\epsilon\pi\epsilon\nu$ , and mistranslating  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\eta}\lambda\omega$  he writes 'qui non invideret civium fortunis propter obtrectandi studium'!! Dindorf emends also, not quite so badly, but far too audaciously: πᾶς ον ἐζήλου πολιτῶν καὶ τύχαις  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\beta\lambda\epsilon\pi\sigma\nu$  (surely he meant to write  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\beta\lambda\epsilon\pi\epsilon\nu$ ). I turn to Hermann, whom I find a useful auxiliary, though he failed to discern the value of  $\dot{\omega}_s$ . He writes  $\delta s \tau is$  (cur acute hoc, says Ell.) où  $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$ ., understanding  $\hat{\eta}\nu$ , and renders 'qui fuit aliquis non invidens studiis et fortunis civium'. Evidently his  $\tilde{os}(\tilde{\eta}\nu)$   $\tau is$  is untenable; but, had he been lucky enough to discover  $\dot{\omega}s$ , he could have written with perfect correctness, 'ut aliquis (considered as one) non invidens studiis et fortunis civium'. His Latin word 'studiis' adequately represents my English phrase 'aspiring hopes'.

#### EXCURSUS X.

#### ADDITIONAL NOTES OF MR STEEL.

[In Aristoph. Aves 1233—4, codd. and edd. have II.  $\pi$ olois  $\theta$ eois; I.  $\pi$ olois $\iota\nu$ ; For this latter word I have read  $\dot{\sigma}\pi$ olois $\iota\nu$ , shortening the second syll., and feeling surprise that so obvious a correction has not occurred to any previous editor. Mr Blaydes defends  $\pi$ olois $\iota\nu$  by citing four places where the usual antapodosis is not read. These are

- A. Nub. 664. ΣΙ. πῶs δή, φέρε. ΣΟ. πῶs;
   Read φέρ'—ὅπωs;
- (2) A. Pax, 847. Ο. πόθεν δ' έλαβες ταῦτα σύ; Τ. πόθεν; ἐξ οὐρανοῦ.
   Read σὺ τάδ'—ὁπόθεν;
- (3) A. Ran. 1424. Ε. ἔχει δὲ περὶ αὐτοῦ τίνα γνώμην; Δ. τίνα;
   Read τίν' ἡ πόλις;—ῆντινα;

(4) A. Eccl. 761. A. πω̂s; Β. πω̂s; ρ΄αδίωs.
 Read πάνυ ρ΄αδίωs for πω̂s; ρ΄αδίωs.

I am here reminded of a place in Euripides, Hec. 396—8, where I think the antapodosis of ὅπως to πῶς explains the difficulty found by interpreters. Hecuba and Odysseus are disputing about the purposed sacrifice of Polyxena.

ΕΚ. πολλή γ' ἀνάγκη θυγατρὶ συνθανεῖν ἐμέ. ΟΔ. πω̂s; οὐ γὰρ οἶδα δεσπότας κεκτημένος.

ΕΚ. όποια κισσός δρυός όπως τησδ' έξομαι.

I must perforce (she says) die with my daughter.

How so? (he replies); I know not that I have any master to force me. The how is—(she rejoins)—I will cling to her, as ivy to an oak. B. H. K.]

68. εὔρισκον. Elmsl alone has ηὔρισκον. Matthiae, § 167.6, says, "The grammarians vary from one another: Herodian, Eustathius, Suidas, approve εὐ, Moeris and the Etym. M. ηὐ. εὐ appears to have been the older, ηὐ the more recent orthography; εὖρον remained from the former, and εὔρηκα, which alone prevailed even in later writers." See also note on Hec. 18. The editors neither agree with each other, nor always with themselves. Porson generally uses the augment, and Dindorf uses it with εὖχομαι, though not with εὐρίσκω or εὐτυχέω. Ellendt, with Matthiae, always uses the unaugmented forms.

70. γαμβρόν. Properly, according to Jul. Pollux III. 31, 'a relative on the husband's side', πενθερός, 'on the wife's'; but, he adds, 'they are frequently confounded by the poets, as in Hipp. 631 and here, where γαμβρός means wife's brother.' Γαμβρός is used generally for any kinsman by marriage, often a son-in-law. Πενθερός a father-in-law means a sister's husband in E. Elect. 1286.

114.  $\theta\epsilon\omega\rho$ 's. The word is derived from  $\theta\epsilon$ òs and ώρα, care. Laius had gone either τὸν ἐκτεθέντα παίδα μαστεύων μαθεῖν, εἰ μηκέτ' εἴη, Phoen. 36, or perhaps to enquire how the ravages of the Sphinx might be stopped.

139.  $\tau \dot{\alpha} \chi' \, \ddot{\alpha} \nu \, \kappa \ddot{\alpha} \mu' \, \dot{\alpha} \nu \, \tau o \iota \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \eta \, \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \dot{\nu} \, \tau \iota \mu \omega \rho \epsilon \dot{\nu} \nu \, \theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda o \iota$ . By the poets in particular  $\ddot{\alpha} \nu$  frequently is thus used twice in one member of a proposition. The first case is when the words belonging to the same part of the construction are separated by a parenthesis, and  $\ddot{\alpha} \nu$  which already stands at the beginning, to render the uncertainty sensible at the first, is repeated after the parenthesis, see v. 505. The second case is where the one  $\ddot{\alpha} \nu$  must be connected with the verb, and the other with some other word of the proposition. It is not however always easy to determine which is this other word. Hermann on Viger, has gone through all the passages in this play in which  $\ddot{\alpha} \nu$  is repeated and has joined the second  $\ddot{\alpha} \nu$  either to those

particles in each sentence which allow of a limitation of meaning, such as καὶ in καν, οὐ,  $\pi \hat{\omega}$ s, or to those words which indicate something in itself doubtful, as  $\tau$  is,  $\pi$  of os, or any substantive or adjective. Here all agree in joining one  $\hat{a}\nu$  to  $\theta \in \lambda \omega$ , but the other  $\hat{a}\nu$  is by Hermann attached to  $\kappa \hat{a}\mu \epsilon$  even myself perhaps; by others with less probability to τιμωρείν, by Schaefer, quite against Hermann's opinion, to τάχα. "Aν is in fact usually subjoined to other particles in a sentence, except where it is joined to the most important and emphatic word in a proposition; so that it is subjoined to adverbs and to neuter adjectives and pronouns, which serve for the modification of discourse, as πλεῖστ' ἄν, ἥκιστ' ἄν, μάλιστ' ἄν, ῥαδίως ἄν, τοιαῦτ' ἄν, ἴσως ἄν, μόλις ἄν &c., to negative particles, and to interrogatives. This frequent junction in position would lead to a junction in construction, and this seems to have been so much the case with  $\tau \dot{\alpha} \chi' \ddot{\alpha} \nu$ , that it is used by Plato in Phaedrus p. 265 B for τάχα simply, the verb being disregarded. See Matth. § 599 c. We have other instances of this in 523 of this play, and in τάχ' ἄν τι μηνίουσιν, O. C. 969. Ellendt agrees with Schaefer in thus attaching αν in construction to τάχα here. He adds that in those cases where  $\tau \dot{\alpha} \chi' \dot{\alpha} \nu$  is joined to the potential optative, it is  $\ddot{\alpha} \nu$ which derives strength from the addition of τάχα, it is very possible that: but in the examples of the indicative mood, the force of the sentence rests upon  $\tau \dot{\alpha} \chi \alpha$ , while  $\dot{\alpha} \nu$  is used for the purpose of softening or extenuating, perhaps indeed. We may add that the repetition of av is most usual with potential optatives. It is more rarely repeated with the indicative, or the infinitive, and very rarely with the subjunctive (not, as Elmsley would have it, never: cp. A. Eq. 1108, ὁπότερος ἂν σφῷν εὖ με μᾶλλον ἂν ποῖη). See Matth. § 600, and, on the whole note, Rost § 120, notes (2) and (3). Ellendt thinks, and apparently with good reason, that the use of the double  $\hat{a}\nu$  is frequently to be accounted for merely from the graceful negligence of a luxuriant language.

Sept. 1060, ἀλλὰ φοβοῦμαι κἀποτρέπομαι, Pers. 217, τῶνδ' ἀποτροπὴν λαβεῖν, S. El. 1193, τίς γάρ σ' ἀνάγκη τῆδε προτρέπει βροτῶν; E. Supp. 296, χρῆστ' ἐπικρύπτειν φίλους. Iph. A. 636, ὑποδραμοῦσα, Herc. F. 821, ἀπότροπος γένοιό μοι τῶν πημάτων, Palamed. fr. II. 6, παισίν τ' ἀποθνήσκοντα χρημάτων μέτρον. He adds, that there is no case of a syllable being made long, where a word ends in a short vowel followed by a word beginning with two consonants such as to allow it to remain short.

801. In four passages of this play Elmsley has edited  $\hat{\eta}$  instead of  $\hat{\eta}\nu$ , I was; in two of them, 1123 and 1389, he has the authority of MSS.; but here and in 1393, he has done so from conjecture merely. He suspects the passages in Euripides, in which  $\hat{\eta}\nu$  is found before a vowel, of being corrupt, and supposes  $\hat{\eta}$  to be the only form of the 1st person sing. used by the tragic writers, leaving the form  $\tilde{\eta}\nu$  for Aristophanes's latest play, the Plutus. He derives his authority no doubt from Porphyrius, who in the Venetian scholia upon Iliad  $\epsilon'$  553 says, that the more ancient Attic writers used  $\hat{\eta}$ , and the more modern  $\hat{\eta}\nu$ ; and from Aelius Dionysius in Eustathius, p. 1761, 51. But Herodian and Photius and the writer in Horti Adonidis, p. 73 b. are authorities for the use of  $\hat{\eta}$ : and Plato on the other hand, many of whose writings are later than the Plutus, frequently uses  $\hat{\eta}$ . In fact Bekker always prints  $\hat{\eta}$  not  $\hat{\eta}\nu$ , in Plato, so that we cannot subscribe to the notion that  $\hat{\eta}$  was used by the earlier writers and  $\hat{\eta}\nu$  by the later. Hermann thinks that it would be a more probable assertion to affirm that both Tragic and Comic writers used  $\hat{\eta}\nu$ , when they wished to avoid an hiatus; but his own opinion is that every passage, where  $\hat{\eta}\nu$  is required by the hiatus, either requires or admits the imperfect, whereas  $\hat{\eta}$  in some passages evidently has rather the force of an aorist; as in Av. 97, η γάρ, ω ξένοι, ἄνθρωπος, I formerly was, fui not eram. He concludes that the Homeric  $\xi a$  or  $\hat{\eta} a$  is always an agrist, and though he allows that the Attic  $\hat{\eta}$  arose from the Ionic imperfect  $\hat{\epsilon}a$ , he thinks that, there being two forms of the imperfect, the one,  $\hat{\eta}$ , was employed for an agrist; the other,  $\hat{\eta}\nu$ , retained for the imperfect.

[W. Dindorf has none of Hermann's wavering on this subject. In his Lex. Soph. p. 141, he writes: 'In imperfecti persona prima propria Atticorum forma est η, quam apud Sophoclem servavit cod. L. in O. C. 973, 366, quo in loco manus multo recentior ην adscripsit; ην est in locis reliquis O. T. 801, 1123, 1335, 1389, 1393, O. C. 768, 1386, Aj. 1377, Tr. 414, 564, El. 1023, Phil. 1219, quibus non dubitandum quin ipsis quoque η restituendum sit cum Elmsleio propter rationes ab L. Dindorfio expositas in Thesauro, vol. 3, p. 262. Et η ex O. T. 1123, diserte citatur ab Porphyrio in Schol. Hom. Il. ε' 533 et Od. η' 186.' B. H. K.]

#### EXCURSUS XI.

#### SOPHOCLES AND THE OEDIPODEAN MYTH.

§ 1. Sophocles, son of Sophilus, was born at Colonus, the famous borough near Athens. He describes the scenery of his native place in the lovely choral ode, 668 &c., of his last drama, the Oedipus Coloneus. His father was a prosperous sword-maker, whose property he seems to have inherited, for the offices he filled in the course of his life show that he had high rank among Athenian citizens. His birth-year is stated with slight variation by different authorities; but, if we take it as B.C. 495, Ol. 71, 2, we cannot be far wrong. He died in his 90th year, B.C. 406, Ol. 93, 3; Euripides having in the same year died before him. In the Frogs of Aristophanes, which play was produced in 405, ample proof appears of their recent decease.

The young Sophocles, under his father's care, received the best education of that time. The Greeks had no language to learn except Greek, the noblest ever framed by human thought. Its dialects, Ionic, Doric, Aeolic, were easily acquired by one who could speak and write well in Attic style. Natural Science and Mathematics were not advanced enough to become subjects of youthful study. Geography, so far as known, and the current rules of number and measure, were naturally learnt at home and in the commerce of daily life. The poetry, epic or lyric, and the mythic tales at that time circulated, would attract the interest and dwell in the memory of any well-reared child adapted to enjoy them. Of written prose little was extant before Herodotus, of philosophy little before the Sophists and Socrates. Gymnic exercises of every kind, embracing the science of attack and defence, music, comprising also the knowledge of metre and the practice of composing words for lyre and flute accompaniment —such were the chief subject-matter of a well-born Athenian's education.

By the mastery of such acquirements and the performance of legally required religious duties, was trained the  $\kappa \alpha \lambda \delta s \kappa \alpha \gamma \alpha \theta \delta s$  of Athens in the Aeschylean age, the age of Marathon and Salamis, of Miltiades, Themistocles, Aristeides. During the next half-century arose and grew the art of prose composition. By the teaching of the so-called sophists, Protagoras, Prodicus, Gorgias, and their opponent Socrates, were formed public speakers and political leaders like Pericles, authors like Thucydides, Xenophon, Plato, legists and pleaders like Antiphon and Lysias, who were followed after a while by the still more renowned names of Demo-

sthenes, Aeschines, Lycurgus, and others, with whom expired the freedom and renown of the republic; though Athens, as a seat of learning, was frequented by students through many subsequent centuries, even to the times of the Byzantine empire.

§ 2. Sophocles was conspicuous among his contemporaries for his proficiency in all youthful accomplishments, especially in music, which art he studied under the famous Lamprus. His ancient anonymous biographer relates especially that he was appointed to lead  $(\dot{\epsilon}\xi\acute{a}p\chi\epsilon\iota\nu)$  the paean sung in celebration of the viccory of Salamis, being then in his sixteenth year; on which occasion he appeared naked, anointed with oil and holding a lyre in his left hand.

Having chosen dramatic art for his chief pursuit, he studied its principles and practice under the best guidance, that of Aeschylus. And wonderful indeed was the success, rich the reward, that crowned his industrious emulation: for in his first dramatic contest in B.C. 468, Ol. 77, 4, he won the first prize against Aeschylus his master and senior in age by thirty years. The prestige of this success was enhanced by the peculiar fact, that the archon Aphepsion, regarding the occasion as difficult and important, entrusted the award to Kimon and his nine colleagues, who had newly returned from the campaign signalised by the glorious victory of the river Eurymedon. The play which gained this distinction was called Triptolemus, the name of that Eleusinian prince whom Demeter sent forth to teach all mankind the cultivation of corn and other useful arts.

§ 3. This eminent success unquestionably placed Sophocles in the foremost rank as a dramatic poet and a διδάσκαλος in the contests of the Dionysian festivals. Yet of his numerous tragedies only seven remain to us, the earliest of these being the Antigone, brought out B.C. 440, Ol. 84, 4. It gained the first prize amid great popular acclamation: and its merit induced the Athenian people to elect him as one of the στρατηγοί for the ensuing year. In this office he was a colleague of Pericles, with whom he conducted the war against the oligarchs of Samos. It was probably during the discharge of his various duties at this time that he became acquainted with Herodotus, for whom he is said to have composed a lyric paean. Other public offices, a treasurership, a priesthood, a post as one of the πρόβουλοι after the Sicilian calamity, have been attributed to him by various writers. But we may safely say that such functions were not suited to his taste, perhaps not to his capacity. What great poet has ever been great as a statesman? what great statesman has ever been more than a dilettante versifier? This however we do know,—for it is testified on all hands that Sophocles was a high-bred and most amiable Athenian gentleman. Dionysus is made to say of him in Aristophanes (Ranae 82), ο δ' εὔκολος μὲν ἐνθάδ' εὄκολος δ' ἐκεῖ. Again, when Euripides (who even after death

### SOPHOCLES AND THE OEDIPODEAN MYTH. 219

was pursued by the comic poet's scorn and hate) is represented as intriguing shabbily to supersede Aeschylus in the tragic throne below, Sophocles is said to resign modestly all claim in favour of his old master: Ranae 787.

Ξ. ... κἄπειτα πῶς
 οὐ καὶ Σοφοκλέης ἀντελάβετο τοῦ θρόνου;
 ΑΙ. μὰ Δι' οὐκ ἐκεῖνος, ἀλλ' ἔκυσε μὲν Αἰσχύλον ὅτε δὴ κατῆλθε, κἀνέβαλε τὴν δεξιάν, κἀκεῖνος ὑπεχώρησεν αὐτῷ τοῦ θρόνου.

Should Aeschylus win (Aeacus goes on to say), Sophocles will rest content, if not, he means to have a tussle for the throne with Euripides. And, in fact, if the 'via media' is really best, the tragic style of Sophocles does hold a middle place between the audacious grandiloquence of Aeschylus, and the (often) undignified realism of Euripides.

- § 4. It has been already stated in the First Excursus, §§ 5, 7, that by Sophocles the Chorus was raised in number from 12 to 15; and that a third actor was allowed to appear in the dialogue, as the Corinthian messenger in epeisodia 3 and 4 of this play. A further important alteration is due to his influence, namely, the discontinuance of the old rule, by which the three tragedies exhibited in the contest (along with a satyric drama) constituted one subject, forming a connected trilogy, like that still extant of Aeschylus, the Agamemuon, Choephoroe, and Eumenides. Sophocles, Euripides and most others of their age brought forward three unconnected tragedies, with the satyric play as usual.
- § 5. Of the seven Sophoclean tragedies only three can be dated with certainty: the Antigone, before mentioned; the Philoctetes, B.C. 409, Ol. 92, 3; the Oedipus Coloneus, which was brought out five years after its author's death, by his grandson and namesake, the younger Sophocles, son of Ariston, B.C. 401, Ol. 94, 3. A story is told by the biographer, that the elder son Iophon, afraid of losing much of the paternal estate through his father's fondness for Ariston, endeavoured to withdraw the control of his property from Sophocles, on the ground of senile incapacity; but, when the case was heard before the court of wardsmen (φρατρία) which had the jurisdiction, Sophocles read to them the ode, called the Πάροδος (668 &c.) from the yet unpublished Oedipus Coloneus, in proof of his mental power; and thus established it to the satisfaction of the court. For the sake of human nature we should wish to disbelieve this story, were it not added, that the great poet pardoned the undutiful act of his eldest son, thus giving at the close of his life a pleasing proof of that εὐκολία which characterised him from its earliest years.

We may naturally suspect that the Antigone was soon succeeded by the Electra. This suspicion grows out of the double analogy—that of the two

leading characters, and that of the two plots, in the one of which tyrannic legal rule suppresses the pious revolt of private duty, while in the other the pious vengeance of personal duty prevails against tyrannic wrong. To the date of the Trachiniae there is no clue. Of the Ajax all we can say is that, as belonging to the Trojan myth, and as having Odysseus for a prominent character, it may seem to stand in time not far from the Philoctetes. We might have wished it to be the later of the two, as it places Odysseus in a more amiable light. But this is hardly possible, and after all in both dramas the Ithacan prince is shown as a thorough-going politician, who prefers the public good to all considerations, even of mercy for the living; though in the Ajax he disdains and prevents the petty triumph of injuring and insulting the dead.

There remains only the play before us—the Oedipus Tyrannus, or Oedipus Rex, as it is often called, and with justice, for the ancient  $\tau \dot{\nu} \rho a \nu r \sigma$  corresponded much more nearly to the modern idea of a king, than to the notion always suggested by the word tyrant. If the elder and younger Dionysius are types of bad  $\tau \dot{\nu} \rho a \nu r \sigma$ , good types in history are Hiero of Syracuse, Gelo of Agrigentum, and in the mythic times Theseus, Oedipus, Polybus, and many more.

The date of the Oed. T. is a question of conjecture, and assigned with much probability to the year B. C. 429, Ol. 87, 4; first, because a passage in Athen. VII. 276 indicates that its date was near to that of Eurip. Medea, acted first in 431; next, because the plague at Thebes seems to point to that of Athens in 430. Sophocles did not obtain the first prize, which was awarded to Philocles, who in Bergk's opinion gained it by reviving a tetralogy of Aeschylus, not by a drama of his own composition: while others suppose the feelings of the judges to have been shocked by the ultra-tragic character of the plot, and by the horrible sight of the blinded and despairing Oedipus in the Exodos.

§ 6. Let us turn now to the plot of this play, and the story on which it rests, the Oedipodean myth.

Briefly told, it is this:

Oedipus was the first and only child of Laius and Jocasta, who reigned in Thebes. Apollo's oracle told them that the son they hoped for should kill his father. Tempted thus to commit a crime, they doomed the babe to die by exposure. But the slave entrusted with the task spared him. Carried to Corinth, Oedipus was adopted by the king and queen who ruled there, and was reared with every advantage. In early manhood, a drunkard's insult led him to doubt his parentage. He went to Delphi to learn the truth, but, without answering his inquiry, the oracle told him it would be his fate to slay his father and wed his mother. In horror, he resolved to return to Corinth no more. Hastening on the opposite road, he

met his father Laius, and, receiving ill-usage, killed him. He reached Thebes, which was then tormented by the Sphinx, and destroyed her by solving her riddle. In reward for this service, he received the throne of Thebes, and the hand of its queen, his mother Jocasta. Years passed away, during which he reigned a seemingly happy husband and father, and certainly a wise and popular ruler. At length came a reverse. Thebes and its lands were desolated by a terrible pestilence. The Delphian oracle, being consulted, made answer, that the plague would never cease until the murderers of Laius were detected and punished. Oedipus devotes himself to this task, and begins by pronouncing publicly a solemn curse on the perpetrator and on all who entertain and conceal him, specially including himself among the persons thus denoted. Events, crowded within the space of a single day, remove the veil. Oedipus and Jocasta learn the truth of his bloody deed and of his parentage. She commits suicide; he destroys his eyesight, and surrenders himself in abject despair to the penal consequences required by the Delphian god.

Be it here observed, that the events, which lay the foundation of this drama, as narrated by its various characters, extend over hardly fewer than 40 years. But the action itself lies within the hours of a single day. It is one awful peripeteia, the most tragic, as Aristotle says, of all tragedies: the precipitation of Oedipus from the height of royal dignity and happiness to the lowest depth of degradation and misery. Lear and Othello may command equal sympathy; but to the sudden completeness of the fall of Oedipus there is no parallel.

§ 7. Yes: we repeat it: though the discovery alone of these facts, the culmination of the story, is enacted in this drama of a single day, the whole is told fragmentally by the various actors: and almost every actor has some part to tell. Jocasta (707 &c.) relates the oracle given to Laius and the exposure of the infant by him (she says, hiding her own share in the deed): see 1173. The Corinthian messenger (1014-1044) states how he received Oed. from the Laian shepherd, took him to Corinth and gave him to Polybus. He is confirmed by the confession of the shepherd, who owns to have received the babe from Jocasta for exposure, and to have been informed why it was so dealt with (1167-1181). Oedipus himself tells the tale of his own life at Corinth, his inquiry at Delphi, and his killing of Laius (774-833). Creon describes how Laius came to be travelling abroad; how his murder, ascribed to robbers, was reported at Thebes; how an inquiry respecting it was commenced and carried on for a short time. but broken off and cast into oblivion by the appearance of the terrible Sphinx, and the misery which she inflicted on Thebes for some time (103-131, 558-569). The Priest of Zeus testifies that Oedipus destroyed the Sphinx, releasing Thebes from her cruel tribute, and that all the people

believed him to have achieved this victory by divine assistance (31–53). With this view the Chorus conspires (504–511). Teiresias adds nothing to these facts, but explains them all by taxing Oed. with the guilt of murder and incest, and by hinting that he is in truth a born Theban. But Oed., untaxed as yet by his own conscience, scouts his words as those of an impostor and traitor, whom he now suspects to be an instrument of Creon. When the whole has been disclosed in the 4th epeisodion, the Exangelos in the 5th, according to the dramatic rule, describes the dreadful deeds wrought within the palace by the wretched pair. On the Exodos I need not dwell here: it is in part a long lamentation, in part a forecast of the future destinies of the Oedipodean race. It paves the way for the last great work of Soph., the posthumously acted Oedipus Coloneus.

§ 8. The Oedipodean myth, and this drama, as founded upon it and containing it, have always been subject to severe criticism on two distinct grounds.

One ground is, that it implies manifest improbabilities, some of which are too startling to be tolerated. For instance:

Oed. is displayed to us as a young man of singular ability (see above), singularly well educated ( $\kappa \acute{a}\lambda\lambda\iota\sigma\tau a$   $\tau\rho a\phi \acute{e}is$  1380). Yet the myth implies that such a young man, having been led to doubt the genuineness of his Corinthian parentage (779—786), having consequently travelled to consult the Delphic oracle concerning it, having there been told that he was destined to kill his father and marry his mother, did, in spite of this warning, not refrain from killing, soon after, four men, one of whom was a dignified person of middle age, riding in a chaise, and driven by a herald: and did, soon after this, not refrain from marrying a woman old enough to be his mother. He is further represented as having reigned in Thebes about twenty years (?) in marriage union with Jocasta, yet at the end of that time unacquainted with all the circumstances attending the mysterious death of her first husband, his own predecessor, Laius.

I do not think it worth while, at this point, to recite the excuses which have been attempted by various writers for these and other similar inconsistencies. It is enough for me now to say that Soph. could not fail to discern them, but that they did not deter him from casting the plot of his Oed. T. as we have received it.

§ 9. The other ground of objection is of graver kind: it affects the ethical character of the Sophoclean plot, it questions the moral justice, the religious propriety of the Oedipus Tyrannus.

Those who question the moral justice, argue thus: Oedipus is placed before us as a benefactor of Thebes, a wise and affectionate ruler, beloved by his people: a kind and generous husband (580, 772), a loving father (1462, 1480, &c.): yet he is left at the close in the deepest wretchedness, plunged εἰs κλύδωνα δεινῆς συμφορᾶς (1526). Is this right?

Let us reply for the moment: how could Sophocles help it? he has only followed the tradition of the myth.

A few writers would account for the ruin of Oedipus by ascribing it to the criminal conduct of his father Laius. Neither they nor I can prove that Sophocles had this design: but I would not venture to assert that the thought never crossed his mind. The Mosaic law was unknown to the Greeks of that time: but no civilized nation could help seeing that the sins of parents are in numberless cases visited on their innocent children and descendants. See 149, 4—5. But Laius, worse off than Louis XIV. and Louis XV., drew the thunder on his own head also.

Many others have found a resource in blackening the character of Oedipus. His worrying of Polybus and Merope about a drunkard's idle word, his slinking from Corinth to Delphi, his refusing to return, were acts (they say) wickedly proud, cruelly selfish, ungrateful and heartless. In retaliating to the direst extremity for an unimportant provocation on the road from Delphi, he was rash, ruthless, ruffianly. To forget so easily the slaughter of four men was proof of a case-hardened conscience. His marriage with Jocasta was unnatural and unwise, the craving of ambition not of love. In the opening of the drama and in his subsequent proclamation he shews himself vain, conceited, self-confident: in the scenes with Teiresias and Creon insolent, impetuous, angrily violent.

To this harshly exaggerated indictment I cannot subscribe. But it does note some peculiar defects in the character of Oedipus which had an unhappy influence on the shifting current of his life.

§ 10. Polybus and Merope, having taken charge of Oedipus, whose high birth they might possibly suspect, did their duty by him nobly, giving him all the advantages of education due to the prince of Corinth, and to his own great talents. The faults inherent in his nature were not discerned. for nothing called them into play. What were these faults? Extremesensitiveness was one; another, a tendency to form hasty judgments, and to persist in them when once formed. These were first shewn in the resentment caused by the drunkard's insult, and in the secret journey to Delphi. His conduct when there has been viewed unfairly. In justice to him it must be assumed, that the silence of the oracle on the question asked led him to conclude that Polybus and Merope were his true parents. His abandonment of Corinth after hearing the Pythia's dreadful warning was a hasty and erroneous act: but it was not selfish ingratitude: it was adopted for the sake of his supposed parents as much as for his own. His conduct in the fray with Laius has also been pressed against him too severely. The law of those times allowed retaliation of wrong. He was unduly insulted by the driver, and retaliated with a not immoderate blow: he received

from Laius a blow which had a deadly purpose, and retaliated hastily with one that proved fatal. If a modern jury were to call this 'manslaughter' in England or 'Todtschlag' in Germany, the punishment would be merely nominal: but 'justifiable homicide' would be a more likely verdict. It would have been better in every way, beyond question, to have passed onward in silent contempt: but allowance should be made for the difference of times as well as for the impulsive temperament of Oedipus. The temptation of the Theban throne to the outcast prince of Corinth, conscious of his own powers and honest purposes, was too strong to be resisted; and in Jocasta there was nothing to revolt a youth who had no tie of love elsewhere. In the drama itself Teiresias seems more blameable in losing his temper than even Oedipus was: and the king, unconscious of his own real position, can see no motive for the seer's frightful imputations but a treasonable purpose, in which he could only be the agent of another, and that other could be none but Creon. These then I find to be the faults of Oedipus: over-sensitiveness, hastiness of judgment, undue confidence in his own . opinions. I do not find him lightly moved to wrath, but, when once moved, liable to the loss of self-control.

§ 11. The question touching the religious propriety of the Oedipodean story is harder to deal with, and I cannot pretend to treat it exhaustively; for indeed it belongs to a subject which never has been, and never will be, exhausted on this planet which holds mankind. I shall first say a few words of my own, which may help to reconcile readers to the conduct of Soph. in writing the play: and then cite those of another writer, who will speak with greater authority.

Is the Oed. T. a Fate-drama? Did Soph. mean to represent all things around us, and ourselves with them, all that we are wont to call truth and falsehood, right and wrong, innocence and guilt, as mere playthings of an irresistible Power named Moira, which sits high aloof, and moves the puppets on earth at its own discretion?

I believe nothing of the kind. What I do believe is, that Sophocles, having written the Antigone, and being always on the look-out for good mythic material, discerned in the fate of Oedipus himself opportunity for a drama of a single day, affording an available series of tragic situations. How admirably he used that opportunity, the drama itself, which we happily possess, abundantly proves<sup>1</sup>: see § 7.

<sup>1</sup> I am strengthened in this view by the following words of Schiller in his Correspondence with Göthe, Vol. 1. p. 385: 'I have been trying to-day to find a material for tragedy resembling that of the Oedipus Rex, and offering to a poet the same advantages. These are immense, even if confined to one only—that a long and complicated action, out of harmony with tragic form, may be made the foundation of a drama, because it is already past, and lies altogether outside of the drama itself.'

But I believe something more, which I cannot indeed prove; but which may be fairly stated for others to consider more maturely. I believe that when he had brought out his 'magnum opus' the Oed. T. (say in B.C. 429) he was not content with the position in which Oedipus and his myth were thereby left. I believe that his mind, if not his pen, began immediately to work upon the Oedipus Coloneus, in which play he designed to bring Oedipus to his last peaceful rest on Athenian soil in the beloved deme of Sophocles himself. How strong a patriotic motive was added to the aesthetic and religious considerations which dictated this scheme, the Oed. Col. itself indicates. How strongly such a motive would operate at the beginning of the Peloponnesian war, when Plataea, the staunch ally of Athens, fell before the assault of their bitterest foes, the Thebans, is obvious enough. The intervention of the Philoctetes certainly, the Ajax probably, the Trachiniae possibly, so far from telling against my suggestion, tends even to strengthen it: for a dramatist at the head of his profession was obliged to produce piece after piece as occasion required, and might all the while have a favourite piece on the stocks, which time failed him to complete, though his mind, if not his pen, was ever at work upon it. After all, we know, he left it for his grandson to produce, though (according to his biographer) he read a portion of it to his wardsmen during life.

§ 12. I conclude this Excursus with an extract from Bishop Thirlwall's Essay on 'The Irony of Sophocles', from the Philological Museum, Vol. II. Those readers who have not had an opportunity of seeing this excellent treatise, will have reason to thank me for thus drawing their attention to it.

The Bishop begins his Essay by speaking of *verbal* irony: then treats of *practical* irony, as shown first, in the lives of individuals; next, in the history of states and institutions; thirdly, in judicial proceedings and in the conflicts of party. After which, when he comes to speak of the drama, our extract (pp. 490-503) commences:

"The dramatic poet is the creator of a little world, in which he rules with absolute sway, and may shape the destinies of the imaginary beings to whom he gives life and breath according to any plan that he may choose. Since however they are men whose actions he represents, and since it is human sympathy that he claims, he will, if he understands his art, make his administration conform to the laws by which he conceives the course of mortal life to be really governed. Nothing that rouses the feelings in the history of mankind is foreign to his scene, but, as he is confined by artificial limits, he must hasten the march of events, and compress within a narrow compass what is commonly found diffused over a large space, so that a faithful image of human existence may be concentrated in his mimic sphere. From this sphere however he himself stands aloof. The eye, with which he views his microcosm and the creatures who move in it, will not be one

K. OE. 15

of human friendship, nor of brotherly kindness, nor of parental love; it will be that with which he imagines that the invisible power who orders the destiny of man might regard the world and its doings. The essential character therefore of all dramatic poetry must depend on the poet's religious or philosophical sentiments, on the light in which he contemplates history and life, on the belief he entertains as to the unseen hand that regulates their events.

"If any of these remarks should appear questionable as a general proposition, we may at least safely assume their truth as beyond doubt, when they are applied to Sophocles. Not even the most superficial reader of his works can fail to observe, that they are all imprest with a deep religious character, that he takes every opportunity of directing the attention of his audience to an overruling Power, and appears to consider his own most important function to be that of interpreting its decrees. What then was the religion of Sophocles? what was his conception of this Power whom he himself represents in conducting the affairs of his ideal world? On the answer we give to this question must evidently depend our apprehension of the poet's main design, and our enjoyment of the art he has exerted in its execution. Unquestionably the religion of Sophocles was not the religion of Homer, and the light in which he viewed destiny and providence was not that in which they are exhibited by the Homeric poems. In the interval which separated the maturity of epic and dramatic poetry, the human mind had taken some great strides: and men of a vigorous and cultivated intellect could no longer acquiesce in the simple theology of the Homeric age. The dogma which to the hearers of the old bard seemed perhaps the best solution that could be found for their moral difficulties, that the father of gods and men was, like the humblest of his children, subject to the sway of an irresistible fate, against which he often might murmur in vain: this dogma was supprest or kept in the back ground, and on the other hand the paramount supremacy of Jupiter was brought prominently forward. The popular mythology indeed still claimed unabated reverence, even from the most enlightened Greeks. But the quarrels of the gods, which had afforded so much entertainment to their simplehearted forefathers, were hushed on the tragic scene: and a unity of will was tacitly supposed to exist among the members of the Olympian family, which would have deprived Homer of his best machinery. The tendency of these changes was to transfer the functions of Destiny to Jupiter, and to represent all events as issuing from his will, and the good and evil that falls to the lot of mortals as dispensed by his hand. It is evident that, so far as this notion prevailed, the character of destiny was materially altered. It could no longer be considered as a mere brute force, a blind necessity working without consciousness of its means or its ends. The power indeed still remained, and was still

mysterious in its nature, inevitable and irresistible in its operation; but it was now conceived to be under the direction of a sovereign mind, acting according to the rules of unerring justice. This being the case, though its proceedings might often be inscrutable to man, they would never be accidental or capricious.

"How far these ideas had acquired clearness and consistency in the mind of Sophocles, it is impossible precisely and certainly to determine. But it seems indisputable that indications of them appear in his works, and it is interesting to observe the traces of their influence on his poetry. It has indeed been often supposed that some of his greatest masterpieces were founded on a totally different view of the subject from that just described: on the supposition that mankind were either subject to an iron destiny, which without design or forethought steadily pursued its immutable track, insensible of the victims which in its progress it crushed beneath its car: or else that they were at the mercy of reckless and wayward deities, who sported with their happiness, and sometimes destroyed it merely to display their power. We do not deny that the former at least of these suppositions may be adapted to the purposes of dramatic poetry, and that the contrast between man with his hopes, fears, wishes, and undertakings, and a dark, inflexible fate, affords abundant room for the exhibition of tragic irony: but we conceive that this is not the loftiest kind, and that Sophocles really aimed at something higher. To investigate this subject thoroughly, so as to point out the various shades and gradations of irony in his tragedies, would require much more than the space which can here be devoted to it. We shall content ourselves with selecting some features in his compositions which appear most strikingly to illustrate the foregoing remarks. One observation however must be premised, without which the works of Sophocles can scarcely be viewed in a proper light. That absolute power which we have attributed to the dramatic poet over his creatures, may be limited by circumstances: and in the Greek theatre it was in fact restricted by peculiar causes. None but gods or heroes could act any prominent part in the Attic tragedy; and as the principal persons were all celebrated in the national poetry, their deeds and sufferings were in general familiar to the audience. The poet indeed enjoyed full liberty of choice among the manifold forms which almost every tradition assumed: and he was allowed to introduce considerable variations in subordinate points. But still he was confined within a definite range of subjects, and even in that he could not expatiate with uncontrolled freedom. Now the legends from which his scenes were to be drawn, were the fictions, at least the tales, of a simple but rude age: the characters of his principal persons were such as had struck the vigorous but unrefined imagination of a race who were still children of nature: their actions were such as exhibited the qualities most

esteemed in the infancy of society; and their fate corresponded to the view then entertained of the manner in which the affairs of the world are directed by natural or supernatural agency. While the poet's materials were thus prescribed for him, it was scarcely possible that he should infuse his spirit equally into all, and so mould and organize them, as never to betray the coarseness of their original texture. Duly to estimate the art of Sophocles, and rightly to understand his designs, we must take into account the resistance of the elements which he had to transform and fashion to his purposes. When we consider their nature we shall not perhaps be surprised to find that he sometimes contents himself with slight indications of his meaning, and that everything does not appear exactly to harmonize with it. We shall rather admire the unity that pervades works framed out of such a chaos, and the genius which could stamp the ancient legends with a character so foreign to their original import.

"The irony in which Sophocles appears to us to have displayed the highest powers of his art, is not equally conspicuous in all his remaining plays, though we believe the perception of it to be indispensable for the full enjoyment of every one of them. We shall for this reason be led to dwell less upon some of his greatest masterpieces, than upon works which are commonly deemed of inferior value. But we shall begin with those in which the poet's intention is most apparent, and shall thus perhaps be enabled to find a clue to it where it is less clearly disclosed. We are thus led in the first place to consider two of those founded on the Theban legends.

"Though it is not certain whether Oedipus King and Oedipus at Colonus were parts of one original design, it is at least probable that the contrast by which the effect of each is so much heightened entered into the poet's plan. Each indeed is complete in itself, and contains everything requisite for the full understanding and enjoyment of it; and yet each acquires a new force and beauty from a comparison with the other. We shall therefore consider them successively.

"The opening scene of the first Oedipus exhibits the people of Cadmus bowed down under the weight of a terrible calamity. A devouring pestilence is ravaging its fields, and desolating its city. The art of man has hitherto availed nothing to check its progress: the aid of the gods has been implored in vain. The altars have blazed, and the temples reeked with incense: yet the victims of the Destroying Power continue to fall on every side, frequent as ever. The streets are constantly resounding with the paean; but its strains are still interrupted by the voice of wailing. In this extremity of affliction however a gleam of hope shoots from one quarter through the general gloom. The royal house has been hitherto exempt from the overwhelming evil. The king, happy in the affection of his consort, and surrounded by a flourishing family, seems alone to stand erect

above the flood of evils with which his people are struggling, and under which they are ready to sink. To his fortune and wisdom the afflicted city now looks for deliverance. It has not been forgotten that, on a former occasion, when Thebes was smitten with a scourge almost equally grievous, the marvellous sagacity of Oedipus solved the enigma on which its fate depended. There is therefore good ground for hoping that his tried prudence, aided by the favour of the gods, may once more succeed in penetrating to the mysterious cause of the present calamity, and may contrive means of relief. With this belief a throng of suppliants of all ages, headed by the ministers of the temples, has come in solemn procession to the royal palace, and has seated itself on the steps of the altars before its vestibule, bearing the sacred ensigns with which the miserable are wont to implore succour from the powerful. Informed of their approach, the king himself comes forth to hear their complaints, and receive their requests. His generous nature is touched by the piteous spectacle, and though himself unhurt, he feels for the stroke under which his people suffer. The public distress has long been the object of his paternal cares: already he has taken measures for relieving it: he has sent a messenger to the oracle which had guided his steps in other momentous junctures by its timely warnings, and had brought him to his present state of greatness and glory: the answer of the Delphic god is hourly expected, without which even the wisdom of Oedipus himself can devise no remedy.

"At this moment the envoy arrives with joyful tidings. Apollo has revealed to him the cause of the evil and the means of removing it. The land labours under a curse drawn upon it by the guilt of man: it is the stain of blood that has poisoned all the sources of life; the crime must be expiated, the pollution purged. Yet the oracle which declares the nature of the deed is silent as to the name of the criminal; he is denounced as the object of divine and human vengeance; but his person is not described, his abode is not disclosed, except by the intimation that the land is cursed by his presence. The sagacity of Oedipus is still required to detect the secret on which the safety of his people depends; and he confidently undertakes to bring it to light. The suppliant multitude, their worst fears quieted, better hopes revived, withdraw in calm reliance on the king and the god; and the Chorus appearing at the summons of Oedipus, cheered yet perplexed by the mysterious oracle, partially soothed by its promises, but still trembling with timid suspense, pours forth a plaintive strain, in which it describes the horrors of its present condition, and implores the succour of its tutelary deities.

"During this pause the spectator has leisure to reflect, how different all is from what it seems. The wrath of heaven has been pointed against the afflicted city, only that it might fall with concentrated force on the head of

a single man; and he who is its object stands alone calm and secure: unconscious of his own misery he can afford pity for the unfortunate; to him all look up for succour: and, as in the plenitude of wisdom and power, he undertakes to trace the evil, of which he is himself the sole author, to its secret source.

ي و معلماً. معالم

"In the meanwhile the king has deliberated with his kinsman Creon, and now appears to proclaim his will and publish his measures. To the criminal, if he shall voluntarily discover himself, he offers leave to retire from the country with impunity: to whoever shall make him known, whether citizen or stranger, large reward and royal favour: but should this gracious invitation prove ineffectual, then he threatens the guilty with the utmost rigour of justice; and finally, should man's arm be too short, he consigns the offender by a solemn imprecation to the vengeance of the gods. The same curse he denounces against himself, if he knowingly harbours the man of blood under his roof, and a like one against all who refuse to aid him in his search. The Chorus, after protesting its innocence, offers advice. Next to Apollo the blind seer Teiresias is reputed to possess the largest share of supernatural knowledge. From him the truth which the oracle has withheld may be best ascertained. But Oedipus has anticipated this prudent counsel, and on Creon's suggestion has already sent for Teiresias, and is surprised that he has not yet arrived. At length the venerable man appears. His orbs of outward sight have long been quenched: but so much the clearer and stronger is the light which shines inward, and enables him to discern the hidden things of heaven and earth. The king conjures him to exert his prophetic power for the deliverance of his country and its ruler. But instead of a ready compliance, the request is received with expressions of grief and despondency: it is first evaded, and at length peremptorily refused. The indignation of Oedipus is roused by the unfeeling denial, and at length he is provoked to declare his suspicion that Teiresias has been himself, so far as his blindness permitted, an accessory to the regicide. The charge kindles in its turn the anger of the seer, and extorts from him the dreadful secret which he had resolved to suppress. He bids his accuser obey his own recent proclamation, and thenceforward, as the perpetrator of the deed which had polluted the land, to seal his unhallowed lips. Enraged at the audacious recrimination, Oedipus taunts Teiresias with his blindness: a darkness, not of the eyes only, but of the mind; he is a child of night, whose puny malice can do no hurt to one whose eyes are open to the light of day. Yet who can have prompted the old man to the impudent calumny? Who but the counsellor at whose suggestion he had been consulted? the man who, when Oedipus and his children are removed, stands nearest to the throne? It is a conspiracy—a plot laid by Creon, and hatched by Teiresias. The suspicion once admitted becomes a settled conviction, and the king deplores the condition of royalty, which he finds thus exposed to the assaults of envy and ambition. But his resentment, vehement as it is, at Creon's ingratitude, is almost forgotten in his abhorrence and contempt of the hoary impostor who has sold himself to the traitor. Even his boasted art is a juggle and a lie. Else, why was it not exerted when the Sphinx propounded her fatal riddle? The seer then was not Teiresias but Œdipus. The lips then closed by the consciousness of ignorance have now been opened by the love of gold. His age alone screens him from immediate punishment: the partner of his guilt will not escape so easily. Teiresias answers by repeating his declaration in still plainer terms; but as at the king's indignant command he is about to retire, he drops an allusion to his birth, which reminds Œdipus of a secret which he has not yet unriddled. Instead however of satisfying his curiosity, the prophet once again, in language still more distinct than before, describes his present condition and predicts his fate.

"This scene completes the exposition that was begun in the preceding one. The contrast between the real blindness and wretchedness of Œdipus and his fancied wisdom and greatness can be carried no further than when he contemptuously rejects the truth which he is seeking and has found, and makes it a ground of quarrel with a faithful friend. The Chorus, in its next song, only interprets the irony of the action, when it asks, who is the guilty wretch against whom the oracle has let loose the ministers of vengeance? Where can be his lurking-place? It must surely be in some savage forest, in some dark cave, or rocky glen, among the haunts of wild beasts, that the miserable fugitive hides himself from his pursuers. Who can believe that he is dwelling in the heart of the city, in the royal palace! that he is seated on the throne!

"It does not belong to our present purpose to dwell on the following scenes, in which the fearful mystery is gradually unfolded. The art with which the poet has contrived to sustain the interest of the spectator, by retarding the discovery, has been always deservedly admired. It has indeed been too often considered as the great excellence of this sublime poem, the real beauty of which, as we hope to shew, is of a very different kind, and infinitely more profound and heart-stirring than mere ingenuity can produce. But the attentive reader who shall examine this part of the play from the point of view that has been here taken, will not fail to observe, among numberless finer touches of irony with which the dialogue is inlaid, that the poet has so constructed his plot, as always to evolve the successive steps of the disclosure out of incidents which either exhibit the delusive security of Œdipus in the strongest light, or tend to cherish his confidence, and allay his fears. Thus the scene with Jocasta in which his apprehensions are first awakened, arises out of the suspicion he has conceived

of Creon, which, unjust and arbitrary as it is, is the only refuge he has been able to find from the necessity of believing Teiresias. The tidings from Corinth, by which he and Jocasta are so elated as to question the prescience of the gods, leads to the discovery which fixes her doom. Still more remarkable is the mode in which this is connected with the following and final stage of the solution. Œdipus has reason to dread that the arrival of the herdsman may confirm his worst fears as to the death of Laius. Yet he forgets this as a slight care in his impatience to ascertain his parentage: hence the Chorus bursts out into a strain of joy at the prospect of the festive rites with which Cithæron—a spot to be henceforth so dear to the royal family—will be honoured, when the happy discovery shall be made: and Œdipus presses the herdsman on this subject with sanguine eagerness, which will bear no evasion or delay, and never ceases to hope for the best, until he has extorted the truth which shews him the whole extent of his calamity.

"No sooner has the film dropped from his eyes than he condemns himself to perpetual darkness, to the state which, but a short time before, had been the subject of his taunts on Teiresias. The feeling by which he is urged thus to verify the seer's prediction, is not the horror of the light and of all the objects it can present to him, but indignation at his own previous blindness. The eyes which have served him so ill, which have seen without discerning what it was most important for him to know, shall be for ever extinguished. And in this condition, most wretched, most helpless, he enters once more, to exhibit a perfect contrast to his appearance in the opening scene, and thus to reverse that irony, of which we have hitherto seen but one side. While he saw the light of day, he had been ignorant, infatuated, incapable of distinguishing truth from falsehood, friend from foe. Now he clearly perceives all that concerns him; he is conscious of the difference between his own shrewdness and the divine intelligence: he is cured of his rash presumption, of his hasty suspicions, of his doubts and cares: he has now a sure test of Creon's sincerity, and he finds that it will stand the trial. Creon's moderation, discretion, and equanimity, are beautifully contrasted in this scene, as in that of the altercation, with the vehement passion of Œdipus. The mutual relation of the two characters so exactly resembles that between Tasso and Antonio in Goethe's Tasso, that the German play may serve as a commentary on this part of the Greek one. And here it may be proper to remark that Sophocles has rendered sufficiently clear for an attentive reader, what has nevertheless been too commonly overlooked, and has greatly disturbed many in the enjoyment of this play: that Œdipus, though unfortunate enough to excite our sympathy, is not so perfectly innocent as to appear the victim of a cruel and malignant power. The particular acts indeed which constitute his calamity were involuntarily committed: and

l

hence in the sequel he can vindicate himself from the attack of Creon, and represent himself to the villagers of Colonus as a man more sinned against than sinning. But still it is no less evident that all the events of his life have arisen out of his headstrong, impetuous character, and could not have happened if he had not neglected the warning of the god. His blindness, both the inward and the outward, has been self-inflicted! Now, as soon as the first paroxysm of grief has subsided, he appears chastened, sobered, humbled: the first and most painful step to true knowledge and inward peace, has been taken; and he already feels an assurance, that he is henceforward an especial object of divine protection, which will shield him from all ordinary ills and dangers.

"Here, where the main theme of the poet's irony is the contrast between the appearance of good and the reality of evil, these intimations of the opposite contrast are sufficient. But in Edipus at Colonus this new aspect of the subject becomes the ground-work of the play. It is not indeed so strikingly exhibited as the former, because the fate of Œdipus is not the sole, nor even the principal object of attention, but is subordinate to another half political, half religious interest, arising out of the legends which connect it with the ancient glories and future prospects of Attica, and with the sanctuary of Colonus. Still the same conception which is partially unfolded in the first play is here steadily pursued, and, so far as the Theban hero is concerned, is the ruling idea. In the first scene the appearance of Œdipus presents a complete reverse of that which we witnessed at the opening of the preceding play. We now see him stript of all that then seemed to render his lot so enviable, and suffering the worst miseries to which human nature is liable. He is blind, old, destitute: an outcast from his home, an exile from his country, a wanderer in a foreign land: reduced to depend on the guidance and support of his daughter, who herself needs protection, and to subsist on the scanty pittance afforded him by the compassion of strangers, who, whenever they recognize him, view him with horror. But a change has likewise taken place within him, which compensates even for this load of affliction. In the school of adversity he has learnt patience, resignation, and content. The storm of passion has subsided, and has left him calm and firm. The cloud has rolled away from his mental vision, and nothing disturbs the clearness and serenity of his views. He not only contemplates the past in the light of truth, but feels himself instinct with prophetic powers. He is conscious of a charmed life, safe from the malice of man and the accidents of nature, and reserved by the gods for the accomplishment of high purposes. The first incident that occurs to him marks in the most signal manner the elevation to which he has been raised by his apparent fall, and the privilege he has gained by the calamity which separates him from the rest of mankind. He has been driven out of Thebes as a wretch polluted, and polluting the land. Yet he finds a resting place in the sanctuary of the awful goddesses, the avengers of crime, whose unutterable name fills every heart with horror, whose ground is too holy for any human foot to tread. For him there is no terror in the thought of them: he shrinks not from their presence, but greets them as friends and ministers of blessing. He is, as he describes himself, not only a pious but a sacred person. But the arrival of Ismene exhibits him in a still more august character. Feeble and helpless as he appears, he is destined to be one of Attica's tutelary heroes: and two powerful states are to dispute with one another the possession of his person and the right of paying honours to his tomb. The poet on this occasion expresses the whole force of the contrast, which is the subject of the play, in a few emphatic lines.

**ED.** How speaks the oracle, my child?

Ism. Thou shalt be sought by them that banished thee, Living and dead, to aid the common weal.

CED. Why, who may prosper with such aid as mine?

ISM. On thee, 'tis said, the might of Thebes depends.

ŒD. Now, when all's lost, I am a man indeed.

ISM. The gods now raise the head they once laid low.

"In the following scenes the most prominent object is undoubtedly the glory of Attica and of Theseus. The contest indeed between the two rivals for the possession or the friendship of the outcast, the violence of Creon and the earnest supplication of Polynices, serves to heighten our impression of the dignity with which Œdipus is now invested by the favour of the gods. But still, if the poet had not had a different purpose in view, he would probably have contented himself with a less elaborate picture of the struggle. As it is, Creon's arrogance and meanness place the magnanimity of the Attic hero in the strongest relief. It is not quite so evident what was the motive for introducing the interview with Polynices, which seems at first sight to have very little connexion either with the fate and character of Œdipus, or with the renown of Theseus. In this scene Œdipus appears to modern eyes in a somewhat unamiable aspect: and at all events it is one which will effectually prevent us from confounding his piety and resignation with a spirit of Christian meekness and charity. But to the ears of the ancients there was probably nothing grating in this vindictive sternness, while it contributes a very important service to the poet's main design. That the resolution of Œdipus should not be shaken by the solicitations of Creon, backed by threats and force, was to be expected; we now see that his anger is not to be softened by the appeal which Polynices makes to his pity and his parental affection. He is for ever alienated from his unnatural sons and from Thebes, and unalterably devoted to the generous strangers

# SOPHOCLES AND THE OEDIPODEAN MYTH. 235

who have sheltered him. Their land shall retain him a willing sojourner, and in his tomb they shall possess a pledge of victory and of deliverance in danger. Nothing now remains but that he should descend into his last resting place, honoured by the express summons of the gods, and yielding a joyful obedience to their pleasure. His orphan daughters indeed drop some natural tears over the loss they have sustained: but even their grief is soon soothed by the thought of an end so peaceful and happy in itself, and so full of blessing to the hospitable land where the hero reposes.

"We have already remarked that the irony we have been illustrating is not equally conspicuous in all the plays of Sophocles. In the two Œdipuses we conceive it is the main feature in the treatment of the subject, clearly indicated by their structure, and unequivocally exprest in numberless passages."

In a note upon the lines O. T. 1271—1274 Bishop Thirlwall says: 'Hermann's correction and interpretation of this passage seem indispensably necessary, and restore one of the most beautiful touches in the play.' The lines as they stand in mss. are

αὐδῶν τοιαῦθ' · ὁθούνεκ' οὐκ ὄψοιντό νιν, οὕθ' οἶ' ἔπασχεν οὕθ' ὁποῖ' ἔδρα κακά, ἀλλ' ἐν σκότφ τὸ λοιπὸν οὕς μὲν οὐκ ἔδει ὀψοίαθ', οὕς δ' ἔχρηζεν οὐ γνωσοίατο.

Hermann reads ὄψαιντο for ὄψοιντο, giving to ὁθούνεκα the sense because, which it has in 1016 and elsewhere in Soph., the sense that being not less frequent. The rendering then becomes: because they had not seen what deeds &c., yet in darkness for the future they should see &c. (i.e. should not see at all, being extirpated). I should have been glad to ask the bishop, while he lived, whether he could really believe that Soph. ever wrote ὄψαιντο for ἴδοιεν or ἴδοιντο, while I fully grant that the sense does seem to demand a past (not future) opt. in the first line. But in making δθούνεκα mean because there is this difficulty, that we have then in the fourth line the suggestion of another οθούνεκα, that, introducing two fut. optatives: which seems awkward and without parallel. These considerations now lead me to surmise that  $\delta \psi_{0\nu\nu} \tau_0$  is a corrupt gloss, and that the true reading is αἴσθοιντο, unless Soph. has adopted the Homeric form ὅσσοιντο. Here, as in 159, 463, 517, 541, a meddling scribe may have spoilt the place by the stupid inclination to assimilate, taking όψοιντο from όψοίατο following, as in 541  $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta o \nu s$  from  $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \iota$  following. If so, render: speaking to this effect: that they had not perceived either the evils he suffered (i. e. his exposure on Cithaeron), or those which he did (i. e. the murder and the marriage), but &c. &c. So οθούνεκα, that, introduces the three optatives, and the second line gets a good meaning, which I think it does not obtain while όψοιντο stands. Because is hereby implied, and need not be expressed.

## EXCURSUS XII.

### REVIEW OF LECTION.

(Readings marked † are suggestions of the Editor.)

The reading adopted stands first: the vulgate follows inclosed: reference is made to Lection, Commentary or Excursus.

- †11. στέρξαντες ώς (στέρξαντες; ώς) Εxc. II.
  - 72. ρυσοίμην (ρυσαίμην codd.) Exc. IV.
- 105.  $\gamma' \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$  ( $\gamma \epsilon \pi \omega$  codd.) Lect. Comm.
- 153. φοβερὰν φρένα, (, φοβερὰν φρένα some edd.) Exc. V.
- †155–6. άζόμενος ..... χρέος, (άζόμενος ..... χρέος.) Exc. V.
- 185.  $i\kappa\epsilon\tau\hat{\eta}\rho\epsilon$ s ( $i\kappa\tau\hat{\eta}\rho\epsilon$ s codd.) Lect.
- †194. Εξόρισον (ἄπουρον or ἔπουρον) Lect. Comm.
- †198. στέλλειν (τέλει codd.) Lect. Comm.
- †199. εὔχεται (ἔρχεται codd.) Lect. Comm.
- 200. ταν (lacuna) Lect.
- 214. σύμμαχον (lacuna) Lect.
- 221. αὐτός, (αὐτό, cod. L. and some edd.) Lect. Comm.
- 229. ἀβλαβής (ἀσφαλής cod. L. and some edd.) Lect.
- 240.  $\chi \in \rho \nu \iota \beta \alpha s$  ( $\chi \in \rho \nu \iota \beta o s$  one cod. and some edd.) Lect. Comm.
- 258.  $\kappa \nu \rho \hat{\omega} \tau' (\kappa \nu \rho \hat{\omega} \gamma')$  some edd.) Lect.
- 270.  $\gamma \hat{\eta} s$  ( $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$  most codd.) Lect.
- 293. τὸν δ' ἰδόντ' (τὸν δὲ δρῶντ' some edd.) Lect.
- 294. δείματός γ' (δείματός τ' most codd. δειμάτων some edd.) Lect.
- 305. εί καὶ (εἴ τι some edd.) Lect.
- 317. λύη (λύει some codd. and edd.) Lect. Comm.
- 322.  $\pi \rho o \sigma \phi i \lambda \hat{\eta}$  ( $\pi \rho o \sigma \phi i \lambda \hat{\epsilon}$ s some codd. and edd.) Lect.
- 355. ποῦ (που many edd.) Lect. Comm.
- 360. λέγειν (λέγων some edd.) Lect. Comm.
- 361. γνωτόν (γνωστόν codd. and most edd.) Lect.
- 405. Οἰδίπου (Οἰδίπους some edd.) Lect.
- 434.  $\sigma \chi \circ \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma' (\sigma \chi \circ \lambda \hat{\eta} \gamma')$  Suid. and some edd.) Lect. Comm.

<sup>159</sup> I have suggested, but not edited  $\Delta \iota \delta s$  ἔκγονε, Παλλάς (for vulg. θύγατερ  $\Delta \iota \delta s$ , ἄμβροτ'), also φιλίας for χρυσέας in the previous line, 191. I must now say, that the reading of Herm. ἀντιάζω for ἀντιάζων (191) seems to me probable, not, as he meant it, in construction, but interposed between commas, I entreat. This would make a comma desirable after άλκαν.

- 435. σοι μέν (μέν σοι codd. and most edd.) Comm.
- $\dagger_{+45}$ .  $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\omega} \nu \tau \dot{\epsilon} \mu' (\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\omega} \nu \sigma \dot{\nu} \gamma' \text{ many edd.}) \text{ Lect.}$
- 458. αὐτὸς (αὐτὸς many edd.) Lect. Comm.
- 461. λάβης (λάβης μ' some codd. and edd.) Lect. Comm.
- $+_{4}6_{4}$ .  $\epsilon \tilde{l}\delta \epsilon$  ( $\epsilon \tilde{l}\pi \epsilon$  most codd. and all edd.) Lect. Comm.
- - (πέτρα codd, and all edd.) Lect. Comm.
- 478. ἰσόταυρος (ώς ταθρος many codd.) Lect. Comm.
- 483. με νῦν (μὲν οὖν codd. and most edd.) Lect. Comm.
- 491. βασανίζων †πιθανώς (βασάνως codd.) Lect. Comm.
- †510.  $\tau \hat{\varphi} \hat{\rho}$ ' ( $\tau \hat{\varphi}$  codd. and edd.) Lect. Comm.
- †517. ἔργοισί τι (ἔργοισιν είs codd.) Lect. Comm.
- †519. φορούντι (φέροντι codd. and edd.) Lect.
- 525.  $\tau \circ \tilde{v} \pi \circ s \delta'$  ( $\tau \circ \hat{v} \pi \rho \circ s \delta' \text{ cod. L. and most edd.}$ ) Comm.
- 537. ἔν μοι (ἐν ἐμοὶ codd. and some edd.) Lect.
- 538. γνωριοίμι (γνωρίσοιμι codd. and most edd.) Lect.
- 539. ἢ οὐκ (κοὐκ codd. and most edd.) Lect. Comm.
- 5+1.  $\pi$ λούτου ( $\pi$ λήθους codd. and most edd.) Lect. Comm.
- 570.  $\tau$ οσόνδε  $\gamma$ ' ( $\tau$ δ σδν δέ  $\gamma$ ' cod. L. and some edd.) Lect.
- 598. αὐτοῖσι πῶν (αὐτοῖς ἄπαν cod. L.) Lect. Comm.
- 624. προδείξης  $\gamma$ ' (προδείξης codd. and most edd.) Exc. VII.
- + τάφρονεῖν (τὸ φθονεῖν codd. and edd.) Exc. VII.
- 637. Κρέον (Κρέων codd. and many edd.) Lect.
- 644, 658. νῦν (νυν many edd.) Lect. Comm.
- 657. λόγω σ' ἄτιμον (λόγον ἄτιμον) Lect, Comm.
- †667. τὰ δ' εἰ (καὶ τάδ' εἰ cod. L.) Lect.
- 601. εἴ σ' ἐνοσφιζόμαν (εἴ σε νοσφίζομαι codd.) Lect. Comm.
- 692. πόνοισι (πόνοις codd.) Lect.
- 695. σαλεύουσαν (άλύουσαν codd.) Lect.
- †696. εἶ τό γ' ἐν σοί (codd. corrupt) Lect. Comm.
  - 722.  $\theta \alpha \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$  ( $\pi \alpha \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$  most codd.) Lect. Comm.
  - 728. ὕπο στραφεὶς (ὑποστραφεὶς most codd. and edd.) Lect. Comm.
- †741. ἔβαιν' (ἥβης codd.) Lect. Comm.
  - 749. αν δ' ἔρη (α δ' αν ἔρη codd.) Lect.
- 763. οδ' ἀνὴρ (ὅ γ' ἀνὴρ cod. L.) Lect.
- 779.  $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \theta \eta$  ( $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \theta \eta s$  many codd. and edd.) Lect.
- 790. προύφάνη (προύφηνεν many edd.) Exc. VIII.
- 815.  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\iota\nu$  ( $\nu\hat{\nu}\nu$   $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau$ ' cod. L.) Lect. Comm.
- 817. εί (φ codd.) Lect. Comm.

691. Observe that  $\pi\epsilon\phi\acute{a}\nu\theta$ αι  $\mu$ '  $\check{a}\nu$  depends on  $\epsilon \check{a}\pi o\nu$ , not on  $\check{a}\sigma \theta$ , which is parenthetic. A comma should stand after  $\delta \epsilon$ . Verbs of knowing do not take infin. Perhaps Soph. wrote  $\check{a}\sigma \theta$ '  $\check{o}\tau \epsilon$ .

**†10**96.

1008.

1100.

1011

```
817. τινι (τινα codd.) Lect. Comm.
 818. ἐμέ (τινα codd.) Lect. Comm.
 825. μηδ' (μήστ' cod. L. μή μ' codd. pl.) Lect.
         κατακτείνειαν (κατακτείναιεν cod. L.) Lect. Comm.
 843.
 852. \tau \delta \nu \gamma \epsilon \ (\sigma \delta \nu \gamma \epsilon \text{ some edd.}) \text{ Comm.}
         μὰν (μή some codd.).
 870.
†877. τάκρότατον (άκροτάταν) Lect. Comm.
†878. ὀρέων (lacuna) Lect. Comm.
+__
         ἄπορον (ἄποτμον cod. L.) Lect. Comm.
†880. μήτε (μὴ τὸ codd.) Lect. Comm.
†890.
         \mu \dot{\eta} \tau' où (\kappa \alpha l \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \text{ codd.}) Lect. Comm.
+ —
         εἴρξεται (ἔρξεται codd.) Lect. Comm.
         καὶ (ἢ codd.) Lect. Comm.
†8g1.
         The words \xi \tau \iota \pi \sigma \tau are cast out: see 1084-5.
†894.
+---
         τοιοῖσδ' (τοῖσδ' codd.) Lect. Comm.
         \beta \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\epsilon} \hat{\omega} \nu (\theta \nu \mu \hat{\omega} \beta \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \eta) Lect. Comm.
 895.
         εὔξεται (ἔρξεται codd.) Lect. Comm.
         ἄφθαρτον (ἀθάνατον codd.) Lect.
t005.
 906. παλαιά (lacuna) Lect. Comm.
         \epsilon l...\lambda \epsilon \gamma o\iota (ἢν...λ\epsilon \gamma \eta some codd.) Lect. Comm.
 Q17.
         παρὰ (πρὸs many codd.) Lect.
 935.
         τάχ' ἀν (τάχα cod. L.) Lect. Comm.
 936.
         ω γέρον (lacuna) Lect.
 943.
 944. εἰ μὴ λέγω (εἰ δὲ μὴ λέγω γ' ἐγὼ cod. L.) Lect.
 957. σημάντωρ (σημήνας cod. L. pr. m.) Lect. Comm.
 967. κτενείν (κτανείν most codd.) Lect.
1011. ταρβών (ταρβώ cod. L.) Lect.
1025. τυχών (τεκών codd.) Lect.
1030. σοῦ δ' (σοῦ γ' most codd.) Lect.
1031. ἐν καλῷ (ἐν κακοῖs most codd. and edd.) Lect. Comm.
1055. \tau \delta \nu \delta' (\tau \delta \nu \theta' some codd.) Lect. Comm.
1061. ἐγώ (ἔχω all codd. but one) Lect. Comm.
1062. οὐδ' ἐὰν (οὐδ' ἄν ἐκ codd.) Lect. Comm.
         Οἰδίπουν (Οἰδίπου codd.) Lect. Comm.
 1000.
```

891. I have suggested, without editing, ἀπευκτῶν here as a desirable substitute for ἀθίκτων, which word οccurs in 898. With this word ἔξεται is good.

πατρὸς πελασθεῖσ' (προσπελασθεῖσ' codd.) Lect. Comm.

ή σέ γ' εὐνάτειρά τις (ή σέ γέ τις θυγάτηρ codd.) Lect. Comm.

σοὶ δ' οὖν (σοὶ δὲ codd.) Lect. κορᾶν (ἄρα codd.) Lect. Comm.

```
†1105. \mu \dot{\eta} σ' (εἴθ' codd.) Comm.
```

<sup>1106.</sup> λόχευμα (εὔρημα codd.) Comm.

<sup>1109.</sup> Έλικωνίδων (Έλικωνιάδων codd.) Lect.

IIII.  $\pi \rho \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \beta \epsilon \iota s$  ( $\pi \rho \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \beta \upsilon$  some edd.) Lect.

<sup>1130.</sup>  $\pi o v$  ( $\pi \omega$  most codd.) Lect.

<sup>1131.</sup> ἄπο (ὕπο codd. and most edd.) Lect.

<sup>1138.</sup> χειμώνα (χειμώνι some codd. and edd.) Lect. Comm.

<sup>†1203.</sup> ἀμός (ἐμός codd.) Lect.

<sup>1200.</sup> πόσει (πατρί codd.) Lect. Comm.

<sup>1216.</sup> Λαϊήιον (Λαΐειον codd.) Lect.

<sup>†1218.</sup> είδον · ιδύρομαι (είδόμαν · δύρομαι most edd.) Comm.

<sup>1315.</sup> ὄν (lacuna) Lect.

<sup>1323.</sup> κηδεμών (κηδεύων codd.) Lect.

<sup>1341.</sup> τον μέγ' ολέθριον (τον ολέθριον μέγαν codd.) Lect.

<sup>1347.</sup> μηδάμ' ἂν γνῶναί ποτε (μηδ' ἀναγνῶναί ποτ' ἄν) Lect.

<sup>1350.</sup> νομάδ' (νομάδος codd.) Lect. Comm.

<sup>†1351.</sup> ἔλαβ' ἀπό τε φόνου μ' (ἔλαβέ μ' ἀπό τε φόνου codd.) Lect. Comm.

<sup>1360.</sup>  $\del{a}\theta\epsilon$ os ( $\del{a}\theta\lambda\iota$ os codd.) Lect.

<sup>1365.</sup>  $\xi \tau \iota$  ( $\xi \phi v$  codd.) Lect. Comm.

<sup>1383.</sup> ἄναγνον. (ἄναγνον codd.) Λαΐου (Λαΐου. codd.) Comm.

<sup>1401.</sup> ἔτι (ὅτι codd.) Lect. Comm.

<sup>1414.</sup> πίθεσθε (πείθεσθε codd.) Lect. 1440. προστρέψομαι (προτρέψομαι codd.) Lect. Comm.

<sup>1466.</sup> ταῖν (αἷν most codd.) Lect.

<sup>†1494-5.</sup> ταις έμαις γοναισιν (τοις έμοις γονεύσιν codd.) Lect. Comm.

<sup>1505.</sup> περιΐδης (παρίδης codd.) Comm.

<sup>1513.</sup> êû (ácì codd.) Lect. Comm.

<sup>†1526.</sup> ως τις (οστις codd.) Lect. Comm. Exc. IX.

<sup>†1528.</sup> ἄμεινον (ἐκείνην codd.) Lect. Comm.

<sup>†1529.</sup> μή τω' (μηδέν' codd.) Lect. Comm.

<sup>1105.</sup> I do not regard  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  with strong confidence: but if  $\epsilon \ddot{\iota} \theta$  be kept, a colon, not period, must be placed after  $\phi \dot{\iota} \lambda \alpha \iota$ , for eige can only be carried on from  $\dot{\eta}$  above.

On 1264-5 see Lect. and Comm. On 1271 see Comm. and p. 165, where I suggest αἴσθοιντο οτ ὄσσοιντο for ὄψοιντο. On 1279 see Lect. and Comm. On 1529 I suggest ἐπαμμένοντα for ἐπισκοποῦντα.

It may be convenient here to express my personal feeling respecting some of these readings, and respecting some interpretations.

 I feel very strongly that the readings adopted in the following places (with the consequent interpretations) are correct: 11, 72, 1257,

1494-5, 1526.

- (2) I think the readings adopted in the following places probable in so high a degree as to be little short of certainty: 155-6, 194, 198-9, 624, 696, 1258. I have almost an equal feeling towards the suggestions offered at 159, 1271, which I have not placed in my text.
- (3) I am convinced that  $\epsilon \tilde{\iota} \delta \epsilon$  (unless  $\epsilon \tilde{\iota} \delta \epsilon$ ) was written by Soph. in 464, though what he wrote instead of  $\pi \epsilon \tau \rho \alpha$  is hopelessly lost, whether  $\pi \rho \delta \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$  or  $\pi \rho \ell \nu \pi \sigma \tau$  or something else. Much observation has enabled me to note the 'assimilating' tendency of the scribes, as shewn here, and in 159, 517 ( $\epsilon \tilde{\iota} s \beta \lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta \eta \nu \phi \epsilon \rho \sigma \nu$ ), 541 ( $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \sigma \nu s$ ), 624 ( $\tau \dot{\sigma} \phi \theta \sigma \nu \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$ ), 818 ( $\tau \iota \nu \alpha$ ), 891 ( $\dot{\alpha} \theta \dot{\tau} \iota \kappa \nu \nu$ ), 894 ( $\xi \tau \iota \pi \sigma \tau$ ), 1257 ( $\kappa \iota \chi \sigma \iota$ ), 1271 ( $\delta \psi \sigma \iota \nu \tau \sigma$ ), 1280 ( $\kappa \alpha \kappa \dot{\alpha}$ ).
- (4) As to my numerous corrections in Stasimon II. where corruption is so manifest and so persistent, I leave them to their chance, merely saying that they nowise affect the general sense. " $A\pi o\rho o\nu$  (with  $\dot{\alpha}\nu \dot{\alpha}\gamma\kappa \alpha\nu$  878) I think tolerably certain.
- (5) As respects interpretations where reading is not in question, I have in Excursus III. and VI. copiously defended my views on 43–5, 328–9 (ώs ἄν), and in the Commentary those on 1078 (ώs  $\gamma \nu \nu \dot{\eta}$ ), 1085 (ἄλλος), 1296 (στυγοῦντα), 1380, 1383, 1464 (ἄνεν). Those who may honour my translation with their notice, will find many other passages, of which the presentation differs essentially from that which elsewhere appears 1. Such (a few out of many) are 19, 34, 74, 88, 132, 154, 172, 211, 217, 219–221, 233–4, 261, 276, 284–5, 298, 320–1, 325, 344, 359, 351, 363, 371, 391, 398, 493, 408, 415, 417, 438, 440, 478, 513, 518, 547–8, 552, 586, 611, 673, 681, 703, 739, 765, 768, 778, 780, 784, 786, 795, 797, 803, 877, 1097, 1130, 1132, 1152, 1155, 1176, 1184–5, 1200, 1213, 1256, 1300–2, 1380, 1420, 1432, 1438, 1477, 1481, 1519, 1520.
- 1 I take this opportunity to correct two oversights in my translation (Stud. Soph. P. 11.). The words at 89, ἐστιν δὲ ποῖον τοῦπος; should have been rendered: τωλη, ανλατ is the answer? At 486, οῦτ' ἐνθάδ' ὁρῶν οῦτ' ὁπίσω, τωίτh no clear view of the present or the future. I had really no other judgment concerning them, as the Comm. in this book will shew. Correct also 1494-5, according to the lection and interpretation above.
- <sup>2</sup> Since this Exc. was printed, several new readings have been adopted, for which see Lection 171, 173, 179, 181-5, 187, 779, 1205-6, 1214-17, 1350.

## EXCURSUS XIII.

### NOTES ON PROSODY.

Coalition of vowels (or Contraction) always forms a long syllable. Coalition within a word is called Synaeresis: as τεἰχεα τεἰχη, τιμάοντι τιμώντι. Since it is taught in the declension of nouns and conjugation of verbs, it need not be pursued here.

I. Crasis is the metrical coalition of two words by contraction of vowels.

The examples of Crasis in tragedy are mainly:

- (1) those of kal with a vowel or diphthong following.
- (2) those of the vowel of the article with a vowel or diphthong following.
  - (3) rarely those of the relatives o, a with a vowel following.
- - (3)  $\mathring{a} \mathring{a} \nu = \mathring{a} \nu$ ,  $\mathring{a} \mathring{\epsilon} \gamma \mathring{\omega} = \mathring{a} \gamma \mathring{\omega}$ ,  $\mathring{o} \mathring{\epsilon} \xi \epsilon \rho \mathring{\omega} = o \mathring{v} \xi \epsilon \rho \mathring{\omega}$ ,  $\mathring{o} \mathring{\epsilon} \phi o \beta \epsilon \hat{v} \tau o = o \mathring{v} \phi o \beta \epsilon \hat{v} \tau o$ . These examples cover the usage of Soph. in Oed. T.
- II. Synizesis is when within a word two or more vowels, not forming a diphthong, metrically count as one syllable: as 177  $(\theta \epsilon o \hat{v})$ , 690  $(\theta \epsilon o \hat{v})$ , 640  $(\delta v o \hat{v})$ , 190  $(^*A \rho \epsilon a)$ , 1330  $(\pi \acute{a} \theta \epsilon a)$ , 1451  $( \acute{\epsilon} a)$ , 1531  $( \acute{\epsilon} \mathring{a})$ . So Ant. 156  $(K \rho \acute{\epsilon} \omega \nu)$ .
- III. Synecphonesis is when syllables of two words metrically coalesce without change of form: as 332 ( $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$  o $\dot{v}r'$ ), 1002 ( $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$  o $\dot{v}\chi\dot{t}$ ),  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  o $\dot{v}$  six times, 13, 221, 283, 1065, 1091, 1232;  $\dot{\eta}$  o $\dot{v}\kappa$ ,  $\dot{\eta}$  o $\dot{v}\chi\dot{t}$  539, 555, 993. Codd.

K. OE.

and most critics treat  $\tau \delta$  μὴ ἀποκλ $\hat{\eta}$ σαι, 1388, as an instance of synecphonesis (see Ellendt): Ca. as of Crasis, J. as of Aphaeresis.

IV. Aphaeresis is where a vowel beginning a word is absorbed by a long vowel or diphthong before it. Seven instances occur of  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  thus absorbing  $\dot{\epsilon}$ , 262, 296, 402, 432, 985, 1075, 1457, five of  $\dot{\eta}$ , 112, 360, 500, 820, 1479. Other instances are 232  $(\tau \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega} \ \dot{\gamma} \dot{\omega})$ ; 785  $(\kappa \dot{\alpha} \dot{\gamma} \dot{\omega} \ \dot{\gamma} \pi \alpha \kappa o \dot{\nu} \sigma a s)$ ; 844  $(\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\gamma} \dot{\omega} \ \dot{\kappa} \tau \alpha \nu o \nu)$ ; 708  $(\dot{\epsilon} \mu o \dot{\omega} \ \dot{\gamma} \pi \dot{\alpha} \kappa o \nu \sigma \nu)$ . See 820.

V. Sophocles takes the license of eliding a short vowel at the close of a verse before a vowel beginning the next: see 29, 332, 785, 791, 1184, 1224. In each case the elided word is one of the particles  $\delta\epsilon$ ,  $\tau\epsilon$ , except in 332, where it is  $\tau a \hat{v} \tau a$ . A verse (1085) begins with the enclitic  $\pi \sigma \tau \epsilon$ ,  $\ell \tau t$  ending the previous line.

VI. 'H $\mu l \nu$ ,  $\dot{\nu} \mu l \nu$ , are written for  $\dot{\eta} u l \nu$ ,  $\dot{\nu} \mu l \nu$ , when the shortening of the second syllable is required: 39, 42, 86, 103, 242, 765, 921;—991, 1402, 1484.

## VII. METRICAL CONSPECTUS OF THE LYRIC PARTS.

### I. The abbreviations used are:

tr.	trochee or trochaic	
iamb.	iambic	<b>U</b> _
sp.	spondee or spondaic	
dact.	dactyl or dactylic	_~~
anap.	anapaestic	
choriamb.	choriambic	
ion. a min.	ionic a minore	JJ1
dim.	dimeter.	
trim.	trimeter.	
tetram.	tetrameter.	
hexam.	hexameter.	
cat.	catalectic, one foot short.	
trihem.	trihemimer 11/2 feet	
penth.	penthemimer 21 feet	
heph.	hephthemimer 3½ feet	
dip.	dipodia 2 feet	
trip.	tripodia 3 feet	
tetrap.	tetrapodia 4 feet	
pentap.	pentapodia 5 feet	

<sup>1</sup> Ionic a majore is — - . Antispast — - . Paeon is long syll. with 3 short. Epitrite short syll. with 3 long. These are called 1, 2, 3, 4, according to the place of the odd syllable. First Paeon (for Choriamb.) appears at 866,  $\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\ell}$  $no\delta\epsilon$ s. Cretic is - -, tr. trihem.

dochm. dochmiac. dochm. dup. double dochmiac. short anacrusis. long anacrusis. ω resolved anacrusis. ь base. cum, with. long syll. resolved.

Anacrusis is a syllable, short or long, prefixed to a rhythm of which it is not a part. A base is a foot so prefixed. A spondee at the end of a line is treated as equivalent to a trochee in rhythms to which the latter foot properly belongs.

## PARODOS, 151-215.

 $\sigma \tau \rho o \phi \dot{\eta} a'$ , 151—158.  $\dot{a} \nu \tau \iota \sigma \tau \rho$ . a', 159—166.

$$στροφη β'$$
, 167—178.  $αντιστρ. β'$ , 179—188.

- 1. at tr. heph.
- 2. a + tr. trip. + dact. tetram. cat.
- 3 (7) dact. tetram.
  - 4. a + dact. tetram. cat.
  - 5.  $\bar{a}$  + tr. base + dact. tetram. cat.
  - 6. attr. trihem. + dact. tetram. cat.
  - 8. a † tr. trip.

$$στροφη γ'$$
, 189—202.  $αντιστρ. γ'$ , 203—215.

- 1. ă + 2 tr. trihem. + tr. heph.
- 2. ă † tr. pentap.
- 3. iamb. trim. (pure).
- 4. ā + 2 tr. trihem.
- 5 (7) tr. trip.
- 6. a + dact. trim. cat.
- 8. ā † tr. heph.
- 9 (11) tr. heph.
- 10. ă + sp. base + tr. trihem.
- 12. ↠tr. pentap.

This Ode has the nature of a Paean, beginning in its first strophe and antistrophe with dactylo-trochaic strains in Dorian mood, probably sung during the march of the Chorus through the  $\kappa or i \sigma \tau \rho a$  to their orchestral platform. When arranged there, they sing, semi-chorally, the remainder of the Ode. The metres undergo some change while the miseries of the plague are described in the second strophe and antistrophe: anacruses and trochees

become frequent, and resolved syllables appear, the tokens of grief and despondency. The third strophe and antistrophe are of a similar character, though with the expression of pain and abhorrence of their pestilent foe is mingled the voice of earnest prayer for succour from their tutelary deities.

# STASIMON I. 463-511.

$$\sigma \tau \rho o \phi \dot{\eta} \alpha'$$
, 463—472.  $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \sigma \tau \rho$ .  $\alpha'$ , 473—482.

- 1. ă † tr. trihem. † dact. c. tr. tetrap.
- 2. sp. base (bis) + dact. c. tr. tetrap.
- 3 (4) ā + dact. c. tr. trihem.
- 5. ă†dact. dim. cat.
- 6 (7) anap. dim.
- 8.  $\bar{a}$  † dact. dim. cat.
- 9. tr. trip.

# στροφη β', 483-497. ἀντιστρ. 498-511.

- 1 (2) choriamb. tetram.
- 3. ion. a min. tetram. cat.
- 4. ion. a min. dim. cat.
- 5. sp. base + ion. a min. pentam.
- 6. anap. base † ion. a min. trim. cat.
- 7. anap. base † ion. a min. tetram. cat.
- 8. anap. base + ion. a min. dim. cat.

This noble Stasimon in its first strophe and antistrophe depicts the flight of the murderer from the relentless pursuit of Apollo and the Fates (or Furies). The anapaestic lines and the trochees which follow are eminently picturesque. In its next syzygy are expressed in choriambic and ionic rhythm the anxious thoughts of the Chorus concerning the terrible accusations of Oedipus by the seer Teiresias. They refuse to believe these charges.

# COMMATION, 649-697.

$$στροφη α', 649-659.$$
 ἀντιστρ. α', 679-687.

- I. 0 0 - 0 - 0 -
- 2. U U Y U -
- 3. 01-0- -0-
- 4. ------
- 5. **--** 0 **-- 0-** 0- 0-
- 6 .... .. ...
- 7. YOU -O- O- -O-
- 8. =- -- -- -- --
- 0. -- 0- 0- 0- -- 0-
- 1. ă + 4 tr. trihem.
- 2. iamb. dim.
- 3.  $\ddot{a} + 2$  tr. trihem.
- 4. tr. trihem. + tr. heph.
- 5 (8, 9). iamb. trim.
- 6 (7). dochm. dupl.

$$στροφη β', 662-668.$$
 αντιστρ.  $β', 689-697.$ 

- T. -!--!-- -- ---
- 2. 0-000 400 00 000
- 3. 0--0-0-0-
- 1. ------

- I.  $\bar{\alpha}$  † sp. bas. † tr. dip. c. dact. dim.
- 2. dochm. dupl. (syll. solut.)
- 3. dochm. dupl.
- 4. 2 tr. trihem. † tr. dip.
- 5.  $\tilde{a}$  + sp. bas. + troch. heph.
- 6. ă † sp. bas. † tr. trip.

In this Commation the earnest anxiety of the Chorus is here and there shown by resolved syllables.

# STASIMON II. 863-910.

- 1.  $\bar{a}$  † tr. trihem. c. tr. dip.
- 2. tr. trim. cat.
- 3. iamb. trim. cat.
- 4. paeon. pr. + chor.
- 5. iamb. bas. + tr. trihem. + tr. trip.
- 6. ă†dact. c. tr. trihem.
- 7. ā † dact. c. tr. trihem.
- 8. ă † tr. dip. † anap. dim.
- g.

v. 9, as it stands, seems to want rhythm. If  $\theta \epsilon \delta s$  were placed at the close (and so  $\pi \sigma \tau \epsilon$  in antistr.) we should get anap. monom. † tr. heph.

στροφή β', 884—897. ἀντιστρ. β', 898—910.

- I. -0 @0 -0 -0 -0 -0 -
- 2. 0 -00 -0 -0 -0 -0 -0 -
- 3. 5 -00 -0 -0 -0 -0 -0 -
- 4. -- -- -- -- --
- 5. -- -- -- --
- 6. -- -- -- --
- 7. 0 -0 -0 -0 -0 -
- 8. ----
- g. -u -u -u -u -
- 10. 5 UU Y
- 1. tr. tetram. cat.
- 2 (3) ă † dact. c. tr. † tr. heph.
- 4 (6) iamb. trim. cat.
- 5. iamb. dim.
- 7. ättr. trip. ttr. trihem.
- 8. tr. dim.
- 9. tr. dim. + tr. trihem.
- 10. at dact. c. tr.

The shifting character of the metres, and the prevailing anacruses in this Ode exhibit the meditative anxiety which fills the minds of the Chorus.

# STASIMON III. 1097—1109.

στροφή, 1086—1096. ἀντιστρ., 1097—1109.

- I. -00--0-0-0-0-0
- 2. -------
- · ·
- 6
- 8. U|-UU -U --|-U -- <del>-</del>
- 1. epichoriamb. dim. + troch. heph.
- 2. dact. trim. + tr. dip.
- 3. tr. heph. † tr. dip.

- 4. dact. trim. + tr. trihem.
- 5 (6). tr. tetrap.
- 7. dact. dim. + tr. tetrap.
- 8.  $\bar{a}$  † dact. c. tr. dip. † tr. penth.

The dactylo-trochaic metres of this hyporcheme express hope and joy.

## STASIMON IV. 1186-1222.

στροφή α', 1186-1196. ἀντιστρ. α', 1197-1203.

- 1 (3).  $\bar{\alpha}$  † dact. c. tr. trihem.
- 2. sp. bas. + dact. c. tr. trihem. + dact. trim. cat.
- 4 (7). sp. bas. † dact. c. tr. trihem.
- 5. tr. or sp. bas. + dact. c. tr. trihem.
- 6. sp. bas. † dact. c. tr.
- 8. (sp. bas. † dact. c. tr. trihem.) bis.
- 9. ā † dact. c. tr.

# στροφη̂β', 1204—1212. ἀντιστρ. 1213—1222.

- 1. ättr. trihem. ttr. heph.
- 2. iamb. bas. + dact. c. tr. penth.
- 3. ㆠtr. heph.
- 4. sp. bas. + tr. heph.
- 5. tr. c. dact.
- 6. tr. bas. † dact. c. tr. dip. † dact. c. tr. trihem.
- 7. (dact. † tr. penth.) repeated.
- 8. choriambus † tr. trip.

The bases, anacruses and general tone of the metres in this Ode suit the deep despondency with which the minds of the Chorus are overwhelmed.

Anapaests 1297-1311. Iamb. Trim. 1312.

$$\sigma \tau \rho o \phi \dot{\eta} \alpha'$$
, 1313—1320.  $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \sigma \tau \rho$ .  $\alpha'$  1321—1328.

- I. - -
- 2. 00 00 0000 00000 00**0**
- 3. 00-0-0-0-
- 4. -

- 1. ā † tr. trihem.
- 2. ?
- 3. dochm. dup.
- 4. spond.
- 5 (6, 7, 8) iamb. trim.

$$\sigma \tau \rho o \phi \dot{\eta} \beta'$$
, 1329—1336.  $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \sigma \tau \rho$ .  $\beta'$ , 1349—1356.

- I. U--U-U--U-
- 2. 0000-0000-
- 3. 0 -0 -0 -0 -0 -0- --
- 4. 😡 🔾 🗕 🗕
- 6. 0-0-0-0-0-0-
- 7. - - - -
- I (2). dochm. dupl.
- 3. a † tr. tetrap. † tr. penth.
- 4. tr. penth.
- 6. iamb. trim. (pure).
- 7. iamb. dim.

στροφη γ', 1337—1347. ἀντιστρ. γ', 1357—1368.

- 1. ă † 2 tr. trihem.
- 2. tr. heph.
- 3. iamb. trim. brach.
- 4. tr. trihem. + tr. heph.
- 5. dochm. dupl.
- 6. chor. † tr. heph.
- 7. dact. c. tr. trihem.
- 8 (9). iamb. trim.

All the resolved short syllables and dochmiac rhythms in these lyrics spoken by Oedipus betoken woe and anguish.

On the Anapaests see Commentary.

Note. Since the date of the metrical treatises of Hermann, Linwood, &c., Greek Metre, especially Lyric, has been largely investigated by German scholars, especially by Rossbach and Westphal, and by J. H. Heinrich Schmidt. The latter's Leitfaden on this subject have been translated by the two American professors, White and Riemenschneider, and a scheme of the choric metres in the Oed. T. (supplied by Schmidt) is printed as an appendix to Prof. White's edition of this drama. I shall not here exhibit or discuss Schmidt's theory, though I have had his Compositions-Lehre on my shelves since its publication in 1869, and have studied it to some extent. I disclaim any right (having no scientific knowledge of music), to disparage this theory on the mere ground of scepticism concerning it. Let classical students examine and appreciate it at some era in their educational career. But as a schoolmaster emeritus, I am bound to say that I would not overload and embarrass school-work of any grade with a metrical theory, applicable only to lyric poetry, requiring some musical

knowledge, with the mastery of a new and troublesome terminology, and a siglarium profuse and intricate. 'Non tanti est' for school teaching, is my clear and honest verdict. Whether the last new theory has superseded those of Westphal and others who have treated its subject, I leave for better judges than myself to decide. A sense of time, rhythm and harmonious language is an essential element of poetic faculty and poetic taste: but there is nothing in literary history leading me to think that scientific skill in music is equally essential. For my own part, I have enjoyed the lyric poetry of Pindar and the tragic writers, without knowing how it was sung: and, as this question lies outside my knowledge, I am unwilling to endorse a theory which I cannot appreciate. I have therefore been content to exhibit my conspectus of the choric metres in Oed. T. with the terminology to which I have been long accustomed.

## EXCURSUS XIV.

## NOTES ON SYNTAX OF THE OEDIPUS TYRANNUS.

### I. VERBS.

# A. The Verb Finite.

- I. Voices.
- (a) Active, used as Middle: 153 (πάλλων); 170 (ἀνέχουσι); 782 (κατέσχον); 968 (κεύθει).
- (δ) Middle sense of indirect agency: 131 (προσήγετο); 134 (ξθεσθε); 148 (ξξαγγέλλεται); 287 (ξπραξάμην); 309 (ξκπεμψαίμεθα); 312 (ἡῦσαι); 358 (προύτρέψω); 951 (ξξεπέμψω). The more proper middle sense, reference to self, appears in 146 (φανούμεθα); 147 (ἱστώμεσθα); 171 (ἀλέξεται); 240 (ποιεῖσθαι); 500 (φέρεται); 819 ἀνομάζετο; remarkably in 795 (ἐκμετρούμενος).
  - 2. Moods.
    - (a) Optative.
- (1) This mood, expressing a wish, as its name imports, may occur positively or negatively, without or with  $\epsilon l$ ,  $\epsilon l$   $\gamma d\rho$ ,  $\epsilon l \theta \epsilon$ .

Positive without particle: 150 (ἴκοιτο); 275 (ξυνεῖεν); 645 (ὀλοίμην); 832 (βαίην); 887 (ἔλοιτο); 930 (γένοιτο); 1183 (προσβλέψαιμι); 1349 (δλοιτο); 1438 (εὐτυχοίης); 1479 (τύχοι).

Positive with particle:  $81 \ (\epsilon l \ \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho ... \beta a l \eta)$ ;  $863 \ (\epsilon l \ \mu o l \ \xi \nu \nu \epsilon l \eta)$ .

Negative with  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  and without particle: 644 ( $\mu \dot{\eta}$  νῦν ὀναίμην); 830 ( $\mu \dot{\eta}$  δητ'...ἴδοι $\mu \dot{\iota}$ ); 904 ( $\mu \dot{\eta}$  λάθοι).

Negative with particle and  $\mu\dot{\eta}$ : 1068 ( $\epsilon\ddot{\iota}\theta\epsilon$   $\mu\dot{\eta}\pi\sigma\tau\epsilon$   $\gamma\nu o \iota\eta s$ ), but with indic. 1217 ( $\epsilon\ddot{\iota}\theta\epsilon$   $\sigma\epsilon$   $\mu\dot{\eta}\pi\sigma\tau$   $\epsilon\ddot{\iota}\delta\sigma\nu$ ).

(2) The Optative is used in oblique construction, after a principal verb of past time. (a) If the time referred to in the oblique clause is future, the Fut. Opt. is then used in *immediate* consecution, not otherwise. See 711—714 (χρησμός ήλθε Λαΐω.....ώς αὐτὸν ήξοι μοῖρα πρός παιδός θανείν, ὅστις γένοιτ' έμοῦ τε κἀκείνου πάρα), where ήξοι is in immediate consecution, but γένοιτο not, therefore not γενήσοιτο. See 538, 539, 555, 780 (ώς εἴην, γένος δ' | ἄτλητον ἀνθρώποισι δηλώσοιμ' ὀρᾶν, | φονεύς δ' ἐσοίμην τοῦ φυτεύσαντος πατρός): where it must be carefully observed, that all three clauses after  $\pi \rho$ .  $\lambda$ . are in *immediate* consecution to it  $(\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu ... \delta \grave{\epsilon} ... \delta \acute{\epsilon})$ ; but the first  $(\chi \rho \epsilon l \eta)$  relates to the then present time, because the necessity existed when the oracle was spoken; but the events δηλώσοιμι, ἐσοίμην belong to time future, and have therefore Fut. optative. 706 (ξφευγον ξνθα μήποτ' οψοίμην, I was flying to some place where I should never see). (β) 843 (ως νιν κατακτείνειαν), 1161 (εlmov ώς δείην), 1247 – 50 (θάνοι, lmol, τέκοι), are of past time, and rightly opt., but 1257 κίχη must be read instead of κίχοι, the time being future.

On 1271—74 see Comm. 1465 (ὅσων ἐγὼ ψαύοιμι...μετειχέτην). The true reading at 72 is ῥυσοίμην not ῥυσαίμην. See Exc. IV.

- (3) The opt. is used after a pres. construction to express indefinite generality. See 315, 917, 979.
- (4) It stands in dependence on Final particles (in order that) after a past tense 71 ( $\dot{\omega}s$   $\pi\dot{\nu}\theta$ 0100); 1006 ( $\ddot{\sigma}\pi\omega s$   $\dot{\epsilon}\bar{v}$   $\pi\rho\dot{\alpha}\xi\alpha\iota\mu\dot{\iota}$   $\tau\iota$ ); 948 ( $\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\kappa\tau\dot{\alpha}\nu$ 01). See 443 ( $\pi\rho\dot{\nu}$   $\dot{v}$ 001 $\mu$ 1).
- (5) With  $\epsilon i$  it stands in the protasis of a condition habitually, when  $\tilde{a}\nu$  with opt. or infin. is in the apodosis. See  $\tilde{a}\nu$ .
- (b) Conjunctive. This mood is used (1) interrogatively: 364 ( $\epsilon$ lπω τι δῆτα κάλλο;) (2) imperatively in 1st pers. pl.: 47 (μηδαμῶς μεμνώμεθα); (3) 147 after θέλω, 650: (4) in 2nd pers. prohibitively with μή, 283, 326, 329, 606, 860, &c. (see Imperative): (5) after Final particles and verb pres. or fut.: ώς 325; ὅπως 921; ἵνα 364, 1454; μή, lest, 329, 747, 767, 1011—12; (6) with conditional particles:  $\epsilon$ l 198, 874;  $\epsilon$ άν,  $\eta$ ν, 216; 321; 605; 748; 839; 1062; 1159; κάν 341; 461; (7) with particles of Time and Place: ὅταν 76; 422; 624; 656;  $\eta$ νίκ' ἀν 1492;  $\xi$ ως ὰν 835;  $\pi$ ρίν ἀν 1530;  $\xi$ νθ' ἀν 672, 1461; (8) with ώς ὰν 329; (9) with οὐ μὴ 328—9, 771; (10) with δς ὰν 281; 580; 725; (11) with relative only 1231. See Comm. on 1257 ὅπου κίχη.

(c) Imperative. The positive uses of this mood are too frequent and familiar to need citation;  $\mathring{\alpha}\gamma\epsilon$ ,  $\mathring{\alpha}\gamma\epsilon\tau\epsilon$ ,  $\epsilon i\pi\dot{\epsilon}$ ,  $\epsilon \ell \pi\alpha\tau\epsilon$ ,  $\ell \theta\iota$ ,  $\ell \tau\epsilon$ ,  $\ell \sigma \theta\iota$ ,  $\lambda \dot{\epsilon}\gamma\epsilon$ ,  $\phi \dot{\epsilon}\rho\epsilon$  and others, are of frequent occurrence, and present no difficulty. But, negatively, it must be observed that  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  is used with the 2nd pers. pres. imp. in this play eight times, 548, 608, 724, 740, 980, 1147, 1165, 1370, 1522, once with the 3rd pers. pres. 231 ( $\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\sigma\iota\omega\pi\dot{\alpha}\tau\omega$ ); once, exceptionally, with the 3rd pers. of the aor. pass. 1449  $\mu\dot{\eta}\pi\sigma\tau$   $\dot{\alpha}\dot{\xi}\iota\omega\theta\dot{\eta}\tau\omega$ . But never in this, rarely in any play, is it used with the aor. 2nd pers. imp., its use being with aor. subjunctive 2nd pers. in imperative sense. See note in Comm. on 1449.

### B. The Infinitive.

As a general rule, the Greek Infinitive (Verb-noun) is in dependence on other Verbs (including Participles), or in a minor degree on Adjectives; other instances are idiomatic, and comparatively rare.

The dependence on Verbs may be either immediate  $(\theta \ell \lambda \omega \lambda \ell \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu)$  so that the Infin. is objective, or with an intervening case  $(\theta \ell \lambda \omega \sigma \epsilon \lambda \ell \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu)$ ,  $\kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \ell \omega \sigma \epsilon \lambda \ell \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ , so that either the case is subject of the Infin., and that case-with-Infin. is object of the principal verb  $(\theta \ell \lambda \omega)$ ; or else so that the case, as well as the Infin., is object of the principal verb  $(\kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \omega)$ .

1. Immediate and objective dependence of Infin. on Verbs Active or Middle occurs about 60 times in Oed. Tyr., on Participles about seven times (12, 17, 266, 347, 400, 588, 616), on Passive Verbs three times (39, 292, 1450). In six of these examples the Infin. is future (272, 355, 368, 400, 402, 552).

In two places it is negatived by  $\mu\dot{\eta}$ : 6 (δικαιῶν  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  ἀκούειν), 1001 (χρήζων  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  εἶναι). Once  $\tau\dot{\delta}$   $\mu\dot{\eta}$  with infin. follows a negative: 1388 (οὐκ αν ἐσχόμην τὸ  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  ἀποκλήσαι). Twice  $\tau\dot{\delta}$   $\mu\dot{\eta}$  οὐ with infin. does so: 283 ( $\mu\dot{\eta}$  παρής  $\tau\dot{\delta}$   $\mu\dot{\eta}$  οὐ φράσαι), 1232 (λείπει  $\mu\dot{\epsilon}$ ν οὐδ' αν πρόσθεν ἤδεμεν τὸ  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  οὐ βαρύστον' εἶναι). Once  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  οὐ with infin.: 1065 (οὐκ αν πιθοίμην  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  οὐ τάδ' ἐκμαθεῖν).

If the princ verb is an Impersonal or  $\epsilon l\mu l$ , the infin. connected with it is subject, not object, of that verb: 377 ( $\mathring{\phi}$  ταδ'  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\pi\rho\mathring{a}\xi\alpha\iota$   $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\epsilon\iota$ ); 1253 (οὐκ  $\mathring{\eta}\nu$  τὸ κείνης ἐκθεάσασθαι κακόν). Cp. 394, 849. In such cases the infin. subj. may take τό. See 598, 624, 1390.

- 2. An Infinitive is dependent on Adjectives about 27 times in this play. See 55, 92, 316, 429, 440, &c. At 986 we find  $\delta\kappa\nu\epsilon\hat{\nu}\nu$  depending on a substantive  $\pi\hat{a}\sigma^{3}$   $d\nu\hat{a}\gamma\kappa\eta$ , which  $=\pi\hat{a}\nu\tau\omega_{3}$   $d\nu\hat{a}\gamma\kappa\alpha\hat{\nu}\hat{\nu}$   $e^{2}\sigma\tau$ . In 475, 542, 641, 837, 912, 1417, 1441, the Infinitives stand rather in apposition to various nouns than as grammatically dependent.
- 3. "Ωστε takes an Infin. 361, 595, 1045, 1131; ωστε μὴ 374, 1085, 1461. ως (= ωστε) 84. An epexegetic Infin. omitting ωστε occurs twice:

120 ( $\mu\alpha\theta\epsilon\hat{\nu}\nu$ ), 1482 ( $\delta\delta$ '  $\delta\rho\hat{\alpha}\nu$ ). Perhaps  $\delta\rho\hat{\alpha}\nu$  at 792 and  $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\lambda\epsilon\nu\sigma\sigma\epsilon\nu$  at 1376 may be so regarded. Absolute Infinitives are found in 82 ( $\epsilon l\kappa d\sigma\alpha\iota$ ) and 1220 ( $\tau\delta$ '  $\delta\rho\theta\delta\nu$   $\epsilon l\pi\epsilon\hat{\nu}\nu$ ). The following constructions are also specially notable: 1191–2 ( $\tau\sigma\sigma\sigma\theta\tau\sigma\nu$   $\delta\sigma\sigma\nu$   $\delta\sigma\kappa\epsilon\hat{\nu}\nu$  καὶ  $\delta\delta\xi\alpha\nu\tau$ '  $\delta\pi\sigma\kappa\lambda\hat{\nu}\nu\alpha\iota$ ), 1296 ( $\tau\sigma\iota\sigma\theta\tau\sigma\nu$   $\sigma\delta\sigma\nu$   $\delta\sigma\nu$  καὶ  $\sigma\tau\nu\gamma\sigma\theta\nu\tau$ '  $\delta\tau\sigma\iota\kappa\tau l\sigma\alpha\iota$ ).

- 4. Finally an Infinitive is used for Imperative at 462 (φάσκειν), and 1466 (μέλεσθαι).
- (a) An Accusative-subject with Infin. depending on a verb is called an Indirect Statement (enuntiatio obliqua). Examples in O. T. are 123 ( $\lambda \eta \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} s \kappa \tau \alpha \nu \epsilon \dot{\nu} \dot{\nu}$ ), 205, 248, 256–8, 270, 314, 349, 351–2, 362, 366–7, &c. &c. Here too the clause will be subjective if the verb is impersonal or  $\epsilon i \mu l$ , as 993 ( $\dot{\eta}$  où  $\chi l$   $\theta \epsilon \mu \iota \tau \dot{\sigma} \nu$  and  $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \sigma \nu$   $\epsilon l \dot{\delta} \epsilon \nu \alpha \iota$ ), where  $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota$  is, as often, understood. Cp. 314, 468.
- (b) But the instances are numerous in which the case before Infin. is effectively governed by the principal Verb, so that the Infin. is virtually a second object. Cp. 98, 130, 213, 226, 252, &c. In many of these the princ. verb is  $\chi\rho\dot{\eta}$  (or for it  $\chi\rho\epsilon\dot{\omega}\nu$ ) or  $\delta\epsilon\hat{c}$ .
- (c) In some Accus.-Infin. clauses the Infin. takes åν without a protasis. See 375, 385, 1228, 1456. Two have åν and εἰ with opt. protasis, 88 (τὰ δύσφορ' εἰ τύχοι...ἄν εὐτυχεῖν), 307 (ἔκλυσιν μόνην ἂν ἐλθεῖν εἰ...κτείναιμεν ἢ ...ἐκπεμψαίμεθα). Once ἄν with plup. infin. and εἰ with Indic. protasis: 691 (πεφάνθαι μ' ἄν, εἴ σ' ἐνοσφιζόμαν). We find in O. T. one instance of the Infin. clause with μὴ οὐ, 1091 (ἀπείρων οὐκ ἔσει, μὴ οὕ σέ γε...αὕξειν). The remarkable passage, 236-241, requires careful study. See Comm.

## C. The Participle.

The construction of Participles (which are both verbs and adjectives) is more various and complicated than that of the Infinitive, and if great attention be given to its varieties and to the best modes of representing them in English, students will find their trouble amply compensated by sound and rapid progress in knowledge of Greek.

1. When a Participle accompanies a Finite Verb, it is often equivalent to a Finite Verb and κal, and should be so rendered. Thus 28, σκήψας ἐλαύνει = σκήπτει καὶ ἐλαύνει, swoops down and ravages: 122 ληστὰς συντυχόντας κτανεῖν νιν, that robbers encountered and slew him. Cp. 35, 117, 217, 308, 386, 396, 431, 599, 603, 620, 641, 718, 728, 760, 782, 946, 967, 1025, 1069, 1121, 1270, 1276. So 861, πέμψω ταχύνασα may be rendered I will make haste and send, but more elegantly, I will send with speed: 1074-5 βέβηκεν ἄξασα, can be hath rushed off and gone; but more simply, hath rushed away; the whole stress being on ἄξασα.

A few places occur in which the Participle seems better rendered as a

second Finite Verb. So 227 φοβεῖται ὑπεξελών, is frightened and hath hidden away, i.e. hath hidden away in fright. 143 ωτασθε ἄραντες, arise and lift up: 702 ἐγκαλῶν ἐρεῖς, you shall speak and impute: 1510 ξύννευσον ψαύσας, consent and touch. In these examples the times of the verbs are so closely coincident that the poet may invert the usual order if it suits his verse to do so.

2. A Participle having the Article without a Substantive is usually equivalent to the Relative δs (or to ἐκεῖνος δs) with Finite Verb. Thus 8 ὁ καλούμενος = δs καλοῦμαι, Ι who am called: 139 ὁ κτανὼν = δs ἔκτεινε, he who slew: 293 τὸν ἰδοντα = ἐκεῖνον δs εἶδε, him who saw. Cp. 149, 245, 269, 277, 297, 308, 313, 401, 566, 597, 755, 784, 805, 820, 821, 835, 917, 1038, 1104, 1114, 1300, 1330, 1383, 1476. All these are similar to 139 or 293: but 735 οὐξεληλυθὼς refers to χρόνος, time: 1047 τῶν παρεστώτων refers to ὑμῶν: 988 τῆς ζώσης xefers to μητρὸς understood.

The following are of the same class as 293, and may be said to refer to man understood: but might also be called 'equivalent to a substantive': 248 τὸν δεδρακότα = τὸν αὐτόχειρα, 530 οἱ κρατοῦντες = οἱ δεσπόται, 618 οὐπιβουλεύων = οἱ ἐπιβουλευτής: τοῦ λέγοντος, the speaker: 999 τῶν τεκόντων = τῶν γονέων: 1007 τοῦς φυτεύσασιν = τοῦς γονεῦσι: 1012 do., 1176 do., 1019 δ φύσας = ὁ πατήρ, 1247 τὴν τίκτουσαν = τὴν μητέρα.

Participles neuter with article are used as substantives: sing. 74 ( $\tau o \hat{v}$  εἰκότος), 110 ( $\tau \delta$  ζητούμενον), 111 ( $\tau \delta$  ἀμελούμενον), 220 ( $\tau o \hat{v}$  πραχθέντος): plur. 604 ( $\tau \dot{\alpha}$  χρησθέντα), 770 ( $\tau \dot{\alpha}$  δυσφόρως ἔχοντα), 1057 ( $\tau \dot{\alpha}$  ρηθέντα): 1237 ( $\tau \dot{\omega} \nu$  πραχθέντων).

3. Participle with Article in conjunct agreement with substantive appears 75 (τοῦ καθήκοντος χρόνου). Cp. 278, 393, 397, 633, 781, 793, 879, 967, 971, 1213, 1375, 1386, 1425, 1477, 1514. Without Article it appears in conjunct agreement about 20 times: 14,  $\mathring{\omega}$  κρατύνων Οιδίπους, 300  $\mathring{\omega}$  πάντα νωμῶν Τειρεσία, 903  $\mathring{\omega}$  κρατύνων Ζεθ, 1061 ἄλις νοσοῦσ ἐγώ (= ἐγὼ νοσοῦσ ἀ εἰμι ἄλις). Cp. 1066, 1168, 1175, 1193, &c.

Participle without Article in adjunct agreement (ecthesis) supplies the largest class. I have counted 84, and this is probably below the total number. Such are 11 (δείσαντες ἢ στέρξαντες), 17 (σθένοντες), 19 (ἐξεστεμμένον) &c. &c. This Part. is introduced by ώς 97 (ώς τεθραμμένον), 353 (ώς δντι). Cp. 625, 955, 1095, 1219, 1290, 1423, 1526. In 955–6 we find the somewhat unusual form  $\pi \alpha \tau$  έρα τὸν σὸν ἀγγελῶν ὡς οὐκέτ ὅντα Πόλυβον ἀλλ ὁλωλότα. Observe also μὴ ξυνοικούντων in epexegesis 57; and μὴ with participles on account of context in 310 (imperative), 1110 (condit.), 1389 (τζ ἢ...κλύων μηδέν).

4. Some Participles have an Adjectival character, 317, φρονοῦντι  $= \sigma o \phi \varphi$ , 454 δεδορκότος=oculati, 616, 875, 1278. Some are Predicates

primary, 747, 904, 991, 1389, &c. tertiary or oblique, 31, 206, 213, 356, 368, 461 &c., 517, 539, 606, 626, 632, 642, 726, 797, 833, 922, 1031, 1140, 1188, 1215, 1529. More emphatically at 356  $l\sigma \chi \hat{v}$ ον, and at 906  $\phi \theta l \nu o \nu \tau \alpha$  is proleptic.

- 5. In many instances an ecthetic Participle without Article is equivalent to a Conjunction and Finite Verb.
  - (a) temporal: containing when, while, after, &c.
  - (b) conditional: containing if.
  - (c) causal: containing because, since, in that.
  - (d) admissive: containing although.

Under (a) come—when: 73, 114, 155, 295, 296, 306, 340, 539, 544, 600, 679, 746, 749, 773, 794, 824, 1058, 1391, 1487: while: 778, 952, 1105, 1254, 1453; after: 726, 1192, 1268, 1402, 1404.

Under (b) 326, 519, 570, 602, 829, 930, 1469 : with  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  77, 1158, 1368 : with  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  00 13, 221.

Under (c) because: 50, 515, 526, 704, 963, 1000-1, 1011, 1178-9: with ob, 1150: since: 1084.

Under (d): 37-8, 318, 330, 534, 1304.

Observe, however, that, in translating such clauses, great care must be taken to avoid inelegance: for it will very often happen that a temporal or causal clause may be more elegantly rendered without using any of the English conjunctions cited above.

For instance: in 798,  $\sigma \tau \epsilon i \chi \omega \nu$ , certainly temporal, is more elegantly rendered as I went, or on my way, than by using either when or while. In 399  $\delta o \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ , certainly causal, is more neatly rendered expecting, than by using either because or since. In 330,  $\xi v \nu \epsilon \iota \delta \hat{\omega} s$  où  $\delta \rho \delta \sigma \epsilon u s$  is better expressed, you know, but will not tell us, than though you know you will not tell. Students may usefully apply these remarks to the translation of such passages as 357, 399, 523, 933, 947, and others.

It may be observed that an aorist participle may often be more justly rendered by an Engl. present than past participle. For instance: 310,  $\phi \rho o \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma as$ , grudging; 811,  $\tau \upsilon \pi \epsilon ls$   $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$ ., receiving a smart blow from the staff in my hand, &c.; 1197,  $\kappa a\theta'$   $\dot{\upsilon} \pi \epsilon \rho \beta o \lambda \dot{\omega} \nu \tau o \xi \epsilon \dot{\upsilon} \sigma as$ , shooting far beyond the mark; 1265,  $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \beta \rho \upsilon \chi \eta \theta \epsilon ls$ , with a dreadful yell—are better versions than any which attempt to retain the past sense of the aorist.

6. Sometimes a Participle is equivalent to a Dative Infin. with  $\tau \hat{\varphi}$  = Latin gerund in do, and may be so rendered. Thus 43 ἀκούσας =  $\tau \hat{\varphi}$  ἀκούσαι, by having heard; 72 τι δρῶν ἢ φωνῶν =  $\tau \hat{\varphi}$  τι δρῶν ἢ φωνεῖν, by doing or saying what; 100-1 ἀνδρηλατοῦντας ἢ λύοντας =  $\tau \hat{\varphi}$  ἀνδρηλατεῖν ἢ λύειν, by banishing a man or expiating, &c. Cp. 105, 119, 141, 286, 323, 348, 387, 403, 450, 688, 705, 1080, 1150, 1517.

- 7. A Participle often stands absolutely with a Genitive Noun, twice with an Accus. in this play. Such a clause is usually a brachylogy for a Finite sentence of Time or Cause, the latter being generally accompanied with  $\dot{\omega}s$ , but not always. Thus genitives abs. of Time are  $\Omega = \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon$ πλησιαζόντων, while these men are near (in presence of these men); 126 Λατου όλωλότος, when Laius was dead. Cp. 250, 257, 565, 636, 685, 772, 1006. In 838 πεφασμένου we supply τοῦ ἀνδρὸs mentally from 837. In 506 with  $\mu \epsilon \mu \phi o \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$  the mind supplies  $\tau \iota \nu \omega \nu$ , and this clause might be called Conditional (=  $\epsilon l \tau \iota \nu \epsilon s \mu \epsilon \mu \phi o \iota \nu \tau o$ ) on account of  $a \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \phi \alpha l \eta \nu$ , but evidently  $\epsilon l$  and  $\dot{o}\pi \dot{o}\tau \dot{e}$  are in effect alike here. The same may be said of 629,  $\kappa \alpha \kappa \dot{\omega} s$ γ' ἄρχοντος. Absolute clauses of Cause are, without ώς, 106, τούτου θανόντος, 288, Κρέοντος είπόντος, and, with ώς, 11, 145, 242, also 1260 ώς ὑφηγητοῦ τινός, where the substantive = a participle  $\dot{v}$ φηγουμένου. So 966,  $\dot{w}$ ν ύφηγητών. The abs. accus. 101, ώς τόδ' αξμα χειμάζον πόλιν, is equally causal. But the passage 848, ώς φανέν γε τοὔπος ὧδ' ἐπίστασο, is on a different footing. There is no causality here. The Part. (instead of Infin.) is determined by the verb of knowing  $\epsilon \pi i \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma$ , and  $\dot{\omega}$ s is merely idiomatic ( $\dot{\omega}$ s  $\phi \alpha \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \gamma \epsilon = \dot{\omega}$ s  $\dot{\epsilon} \phi \dot{\alpha} \nu \eta$ ). See Excursus II. on  $\dot{\omega}$ s.
- 8. Instances of what is called a Nominative Absolute are two: 60 νοσοῦντες, 159 κεκλόμενος. But these are mere irregularities, ἀνακόλουθα, on which see Comm. on 150.
- 9. Participles, being Verbs as well as Adjectives, can govern cases of Nouns. Thus we find an Accusative governed by a Partic. 139 (ἐκεῖνον ὁ κτανών), cp. 149, 308, 433, 863, 886, 933, 1213, 1425: a Dative 136 (γŷ τŷδε τιμωροῦντα τῷ θεῷ θ' ἄμα), cp. 25-7: a Genitive 14 (κρατύνων χώρας ἐμῆς): cp. 932, 1104. On Infin. after Partic. see II. 1. (1).
- 11. We have now to enumerate classes of Verbs which take a Participle in construction after them and not an Infinitive. They are chiefly Verbs of knowledge, sight and appearance. Verbs of knowing show most examples: 346 ἴσθι δοκῶν, 433 οὐ γάρ τί σ' ἤδη μῶρα φωνήσοντα, cp.

66-7, 284, 659, 745, 848, 959, 1014, 1128, 1129, 1130, 1143, 1181. (In 689 a comma should stand after  $\delta \epsilon$ , making  $\delta \sigma \theta \iota \delta \epsilon$  parenthetic; for  $\pi \epsilon \phi \dot{a} \nu \theta a \iota \dot{a} \nu$  must depend on  $\epsilon \delta \tau \sigma \nu$ , not on  $\delta \sigma \theta \iota$ .)

Verbs of seeing: 759 κράτη σέ τ' εἶδ' ἔχοντα Λάϊν τ' ὀλωλότα, cp. 136, 176, 324, 338, 465, 1264, 1506. See Comm. 463.

Verbs of appearing and being found: 395 προύφάνης έχων, 839 ην εύρεθη λέγων, cp. 146, 457, 673, 790, 1008, 1184–5, 1397, 1485.

Λανθάνω, λέληθα 247, εἶς ὧν λέληθεν, cp. 366, 415. Special instances show this construction with the verb σημαίνω 79, ἐπαισχύνομαι 636, τηρέω 808, παραρρίπτω 1494, ἐξήκω 1515.

12. Periphrasis. Sophocles is especially fond of periphrastic verbal phrases, for which he uses, with Participles, the copulative verbs εἰμί, κυρέω, τυγχάνω, φῦναι, γίγνομαι, and, with past participles only, the verb ἔχω.

In Oed. T. the verb εἰμὶ is thus used 19 times, 90 προδείσας εἰμὶ, 126 δοκοῦντα ταῦτ' ἦν, 970 θανὼν ἀν εἴη, 1146 οὐ σιωπήσας ἔσει, 1374 ἔργ' ἐστὶ εἰργασμένα. Cp. 262, 274, 580, 621, 708, 747, 768, 801, 847, 991, 1045, 1285, 1369, 1393. Examples occur in his other plays, but in none so many.

κυρέω three times: 258-60, 594, 985. Examples occur in other plays. τυγχάνω four times: 88, 348, 759, 1479. Examples are found in five other plays; none in Philoctetes.

φῦναι, twice: 9, 587, once in Antigone 501, once in Philoctetes 1052.

γίγνομαι is not used in Oed. T. with a Partic., unless the reading σημήνας γενοῦ is right at 951. It occurs once in Aj. 588, once in Phil. 773.

ἔχω with aor. part. three times, 577 (γήμας ἔχεις), 699 (στήσας ἔχεις), 731 (λήξαντ' ἔχει), once with perf. part., 701 (βεβουλευκώς ἔχει). It is found in all his other plays.

Note.—Before quitting the Verb we may observe, that the most frequent ellipse in tragedy is that of the copulative  $\epsilon\sigma\tau t$ . As a sample, in the Prologos six instances may be cited: 55, 82, 84, 92, 99, 111; in the first Epeisodion nine: 230, 291, 315, 316, 376, 378, 379, 408, 429; in the second nine: 518, 545, 609, 617, 628, 754, 769, 834, 838; in the third twelve: 927, 928, 935, 941, 969, 987, 988, 993, 999, 1019, 1050, 1061, &c.  $\chi \rho \epsilon \omega \nu$ , needful, proper, always omits  $\epsilon \sigma\tau t$ . See 633, 1488, 1502.

## II. ADJECTIVES AND ADVERBS.

Little need be said of these Parts of Speech. The construction of Adjectives as epithets and complements agreeing with substantives are elementary matter, familiar to all who have construed any Greek.

- 1. Adjectives neuter, singular or plural, by assuming the Article, become virtually Substantives. Instances in this play are 356  $\tau \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon}$ , 722 τὸ δεινόν, 1158 τοῦνδικον 681 τὸ μη νοῦικον, 284 ταὐτά, 329 τάμά, 131 τάφανῆ, 916 τὰ καινά. See 595. The Article can give the same force to an Adverb or Adverbial phrase, 131 τὰ πρὸς ποσί, 668 τὰ πρὸς σφῶν, Antig. 612 τὸ τ' ἔπειτα καὶ τὸ μέλλον καὶ τὸ πρίν. But more often Article with Adv. of time or place=Adjective, as  $\mathbf{1}$  τοῦ πάλαι (=τοῦ παλαιοῦ), 564 τῷ τότ' ἐν χρόνῳ. See 268, 851, Antig. 75 τοῦς κάτω (οὖσι), τῶν ἐνθάδε (ὅντων).
- 2. An Adj. can also serve for a Subst., if it agrees with a Subst. manifestly understood: 311 μαντικής agrees with τέχνης understood. Cp. 462, 709. In 810 ίσην agrees with τίσιν understood. See 1399 (στενωπώς).
- 3. Neuter plural Adjectives can be used substantively without Article:  $\phi\rho\delta\nu\mu$ a, 690. They are often adverbial: 419  $(\delta\rho\theta\dot{\alpha})$ , 443  $(\mu\hat{\omega}\rho\alpha)$ , 483  $(\delta\epsilon\nu\dot{\alpha})$ . Any Adj. may have adverbial force. See 618  $(\tau\alpha\chi\dot{\omega})$ .
- 4. The predicative and semi-adverbial position of the Adj. (or Partic.) after Art. and Subst. is shown 356  $\tau d\lambda \eta \theta \dot{e}s$   $l\sigma \chi \partial v$ ον, 526  $\tau o\dot{v}s$   $\lambda \dot{o}\gamma ovs$  ψενδεῖs, where  $l\sigma \chi \partial v = o\ddot{v}\tau \omega s$  ωστε  $l\sigma \chi \dot{v} \epsilon v$ ν, ψενδεῖs  $= o\ddot{v}\tau \omega s$  ωστε ψενδεῖs εἶναι. Cp. two passages: (1) 671 τὸ γὰρ σόν, οὐ τὸ τοῦδ' ἐποικτείρω στόμα ἐλεινόν. Here it is not easy (on account of ἐποικτείρω) to say that ἐλεινόν  $= \ddot{\omega}\sigma \tau \dot{e}$  έλεινόν είναι, for which reason I have suggested that the verb may perhaps (parenthetically) be confined to τὸ τοῦδε as object, leaving τὸ σὸν (στόμα) ἐλεινόν ἐστι. If not, it would seem that the only alternative is to give ἐλεινόν a participial force: I am moved to ρίτγ by thy voice, not by Creon's, when it is doleful. (2) 1199 τὰν γαμψώνυχα παρθένον χρησμφδόν. The exitten τὰν γαμψώνυχα μουσικὰν παρθένον, which restores both grammar and metre. But, feeling no assurance that he did write so, I have not altered the text. And again I find my only resource in rendering χρησμφδον like a temporal participle (χρησμωδοῦσαν) when she sang her riddle.
- Comparatives and superlatives should be noted: 136 ἀπωτέρω (from ἀπό), 50 ὕστεροs, 858 ὕστερον (from ὑπό?), 1301 μάκιστος (from μῆκος), 663 πύματος (πυθμήν?). Homer has Od. δ' 685 ὕστατα καὶ πύματα.

### III. SUBSTANTIVES.

### A. Case.

- I. Accusative. Its ordinary use as object of transitive verbs needs no exemplification. It is also used
- (a) of place whither: 35 (ἄστυ); 153 (Θήβαs); 434 (οἴκους); 798 (χώρους); 912 (ναούς); 1178 (χθόνα). Observe 603 (Πυθώδ' ἰών).

- (b) of respect, with verbs and adjectives: 153 (φρένα); 216 (α); 371; 389 (τέχνην); 626 (τὸ ἐμόν); 627 (ἐμόν); 687 (γνώμην); 706 (τό γ' εἰs ἐαυτόν); 742 (κάρα); 785 (τὰ κείνοιν); 936 (τὸ ἔπος), &c.
  - (c) of time: 75; 558; 781; 1090.
- (d) cognate: 2 (θοάζειν ἔδρας); 67 (ὁδοὐς ἐλθόντα); 166 (θρόνον θάσσει); 192 (δράμημα νωτίσαι); 359 (λέγειν λόγον); 889 (κέρδος κεοδανεῖ); 1143 (θρέμμα θρεψαίμην); 1488 (βίον βιῶναι).
  - (e) in apposition: 1; 197; 212; 806, &c.: to sentence 603.
  - (f) after a compound verb: 208; 1300.
- (g) attracted from dependent clause to former sentence: 15  $(\eta \mu \hat{a}s)$ ; 224  $(\Lambda \acute{a}io\nu)$ ; 302  $(\pi \acute{b}\lambda i\nu)$ ; 449; cp. 740, 767, 842, 926, 1393.
  - (h) after oὐ for oὐ μά; 660; 1087.
  - (i) after  $\delta \rho \hat{a} \nu$  with adv.: 643.
  - (k) double accus.: 340; 639; 644; 1518.
- (1) accus. as subject of infinitive, and as standing between verb and infinitive. See Verb (Infinitive) above.
  - (m) as absolute with  $\dot{\omega}s$ . See Participle above, 7.

### 2. Dative:

The Dative as remoter object after Verbs and Adjectives, and the Dative (=Latin Abl.) of matter, manner, cause or instrument, are constructions of ordinary use; also the Dative 'commodi vel incommodi', for: 39; 42; 44; 86; 217; 261; 267; 269; 381; 701; 784 &c.

### Add to these:

- (a) the ethic Dative: the examples of which, are chiefly μοι, 2, 155, 169, 1011, 1459, 1512; πᾶσι, 8, 40, 596. Add 616 εὐλαβουμένψ, 735, τοῖσδε.
  - (b) of place: 20, 422; 818; 900, &c.
  - (c) of time: 156, 782.
  - (d) with compound verbs: 16; 113; 141; 175; 273, &c.
  - (e) with \(\epsilon \ilde{\epsilon}\mu l: 103; 295, 370, &c.
- (f) with δ αὐτός: 284, 840, &c.; with δμοῦ: 1007; with έξ ἴσου: 1019.
  - (g) by attraction: 351, 569.

Observe  $\mu\iota\dot{\alpha}\sigma\tau\rho\rho\iota$ , 353. Strictly this should be  $\mu\iota\dot{\alpha}\sigma\tau\rho\rho\alpha$ , in apposition to  $\sigma\epsilon$  in 350; but as  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{\epsilon}$  is nearer to it, the dative is used to avoid confusion, as if  $\sigma\iota\iota$  had been written after  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\nu\dot{\epsilon}\pi\omega$ .

## 3. Genitive:1

Sophocles may be said to luxuriate in the use of the Genitive case, which he extends to a very wide circle of meanings.

## (a) Genitive of Origin.

gen. of the place or point whence...

24  $(\beta \upsilon \theta \hat{\omega} \nu)$ ; 142  $(\beta \dot{\alpha} \theta \rho \omega \nu)$ ; 152  $(\Pi \upsilon \theta \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma s)$ ; 174  $(\kappa \alpha \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu)$ ; 270  $(\gamma \dot{\gamma} s)$ ; 271  $(\gamma \upsilon \nu \alpha \iota \kappa \dot{\omega} \nu)$ ; 313  $(\tau \circ \dot{\upsilon} \tau \epsilon \theta \nu \eta \kappa \dot{\sigma} \tau \sigma s)$ ; 474  $(\Pi \alpha \rho \nu \alpha \sigma \sigma \dot{\upsilon})$ ; 808  $(\ddot{\sigma} \chi \sigma \upsilon)$ ; 890  $(\dot{\alpha} \sigma \dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \omega \nu)$ ; 894  $(\psi \upsilon \chi \dot{\alpha} s)$ ; 1035  $(\sigma \pi \alpha \rho \gamma \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega \nu)$ ; 1063  $(\mu \eta \tau \rho \dot{\sigma} s)$ ; 1082  $(\tau \dot{\eta} s)$ ; 1200  $(\theta \alpha \nu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu)$ ; 1286  $(\kappa \alpha \kappa \dot{\sigma} \dot{\upsilon})$ ; 1521  $(\tau \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \nu \omega \nu)$ .

of comparison, excess, &c.:

75 (χρόνου); 176 (πυρόs); 272 (τοῦδε); 380 (τέχνης); 427 (σοῦ); 467 (ὅππων); 593 (ἀρχῆς, δυναστείας); 674 (θυμοῦ); 700 (τῶνδε); 764 (τῆσδε); 815 (ἀνδρός); 1018 (τἀνδρός); 1038 (ἐμοῦ); 1115 (μου); 1300 (τῶν μακίστων); 1365 (κακοῦ); 1374 (ἀγχόνης); 1514 (πατρός).

of cause and price:

48 (προθυμίαs); 185 (πόνων); 233—4 (φίλων, αὐτοῦ); 698 (πράγματοs); 701 (Κρέοντοs); 1346 (νοῦ, συμφορᾶs). 778 (σπουδῆς ἀξία); 972 (ἄξι' οὐδενόs); 1478 (ὀδοῦ). In 496 (θανάτων ἐπίκουροs) the adj. = ἀρωγὸς αυεηχεν.

after verbs of hearing, learning, receiving:

after κλύω, ἀκούω, μανθάνω, see 235; 305; 546; 547; 574; 708; 729; 841; 952; 1472. 333 (πύθοιό μου); 580 (ἐμοῦ κομίζεται); 1163 (ἐδεξάμην του). After λαμβάνω, see 1004; 1012; 1022.

# (b) Genitive of Possession (Subjective).

with Nouns (the most ordinary use, needing little exemplification) 36 (ἀσιδοῦ); 42 (του); 62 (ὑμῶν); 69 (Μενοικέωs); 70 (ἐμαυτοῦ), &c., &c.

after article elliptically: 224  $(\tau \delta \nu \ \Lambda \alpha \beta \delta \delta \kappa \sigma \nu)$ ; 488  $(\tau \hat{\omega} \ \Pi o \lambda \dot{\nu} \beta \sigma \nu)$ ; 498  $(\tau \hat{\alpha} \ \beta \rho o \tau \hat{\omega} \nu)$ ; 620  $(\tau \hat{\alpha} \ \tau o \hat{\nu} \delta \epsilon)$ ; 785  $(\tau \hat{\alpha} \ \kappa \epsilon (\nu o \nu))$ ; 977  $(\tau \hat{\alpha} \ \tau \hat{\eta} s \ \tau \dot{\nu} \chi \eta s)$ ; 1042  $(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ \Lambda \alpha \dot{\tau} \sigma \nu)$ .

after verbs of belonging, requiring, ruling:

after  $\epsilon$ lμί 279; 349; 394; 917; 1117; 1122; 411 (Κρέοντος γεγράψομαι). τυγχάνω; 423; 617; 1435; 1450; 1513 (βίου κυρῆσαι); κρατύνω, κρατέω, ἄρχω 14; 54–5; 409; 579; 1197.

# (c) Genitive of the Object.

with Nouns:

seven times after φονεύς, 459, 534, 703, 721, 793, 1001, 1357. Also, 38, 45, 104, 116, 121, 150, 201, 218, 266, 303, 518, 535, 565, 601, 603,

1 Observe that the functions of the Latin Ablative are divided between the Dative and Genitive in Greek: the Dative expressing matter, manner (cause), instrument: the Genitive origin, comparison, cause, price.

710, 727 (φρενῶν), 736, 911, 923, 978, 988, 1030, 1200 (πύργος θανάτων), 1206, 1246, 1294, 1318, 1375, 1387, 1418, 1447, 1524. In 980, 987, μητρός, πατρός may perhaps be subjective. Genitive objects after Verbs are not of frequent use; and the Verbs which take them are mentioned under heads (δ) (ε). Most of them can be resolved into ἔχω with accus., as ἔχειν κράτος, χρείαν, μνήμην, ὥραν, and the like.

The subjective and objective genitives may easily be distinguished by applying the test of construction with transitive verbs, in which the former will come out as a nominative, the latter as an accusative.

Thus  $\dot{\eta}$  τ $\hat{\eta}$ s ἀληθείας  $l\sigma\chi\dot{v}$ s (subj.) =  $\dot{\eta}$   $l\sigma\chi\dot{v}$ s  $\hat{\eta}$ ν ἔχει  $\dot{\eta}$  ἀλήθείας, τὸ τ $\hat{\eta}$ s ἀληθείας  $\mu\hat{s}$ σος (obj.) = τὸ · $\mu\hat{s}$ σος  $\dot{\psi}$   $\mu$ ισε $\hat{\iota}$  τις τ $\hat{\eta}$ ν ἀλήθείαν. Πολε $\mu$ ιων  $\phi$ ό $\beta$ ος could be subj. (πολέ $\mu$ ιοι  $\phi$ ο $\beta$ ο $\hat{v}$ νται  $\dot{\eta}$  $\mu$  $\hat{a}$ s) or obj. ( $\dot{\eta}$  $\mu$ ε $\hat{i}$ s  $\phi$ ο $\beta$ ο $\dot{v}$  $\mu$ εθα πολε $\mu$ ιονς).

# (d) Genitive of Description (Attributive).

with nouns only:

24 (σάλου); 25 (χθονός); 27 (γυναικῶν); 33 (βίου); 34 (δαιμόνων); 67 (φροντίδος); 99 (ξυμφορᾶς); 161 (ἀγορᾶς); 166 (πήματος); 170, 236 (γῆς τῆσδε); 239 (θεῶν); 480 (γᾶς), 520, 524, 533, 647, 681, 717, 718, 724, 727, 761, 797, 826, 830, 833, 864–5, 898, and many more.

Such is the phrase in Thucyd. i. 140 al ξυμφοραλ τῶν πραγμάτων, which is discussed in Excursus iii.

## (e) Genitive of Distribution.

after nouns and adjectives of multitude:

18  $(\dot{\eta}\theta \dot{\epsilon}\omega\nu)$ ; 123  $(\chi\epsilon\rho\hat{\omega}\nu)$ ; 179  $(\dot{\omega}\nu)$ ; 294  $(\delta\epsilon \dot{\mu}\alpha\tau\sigma s)$ ; 424  $(\kappa\alpha\kappa\hat{\omega}\nu)$ ; 500  $(\dot{\alpha}\nu\delta\rho\hat{\omega}\nu)$ ; 981  $(\beta\rho\sigma\tau\hat{\omega}\nu)$ ; 1190  $(\tau\hat{\alpha}s\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\nu}\dot{\alpha}\iota\mu\nu\nu\dot{\alpha}s)$ ; 1487  $(\tau\sigma\hat{\nu}\dot{\beta}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu})$ ; 1416  $(\ddot{\omega}\nu)$ .

after superlatives: 33 (ἀνδρῶν); 46 (βροτῶν); 315 (πόνων); 334 (κακῶν); 465 (ἀρρήτων); 776 (ἀστῶν); 875 (ὀρέων); 1125 (τοῦ βίου); 1149 (δεσποτῶν); 1223 (γῆs); 1230 (πημονῶν); 1234 (λόγων); 1237 (τῶν πραχθέντων); 1298 (πάντων); 1346 (βροτῶν); 1474 (ἐκγόνουν).

after Pronominal and Numeral words:

7ls interrog.: 437, 991, 1099, 1174, 1328, 1435, 1496.

τις enclitic: 42, 396, 645, 817, 1040, 1049, 1108, 1140, 1167, 1258. ὅστις: 61, 224. ὅσος, τοσοῦτος: 771, 836, 1339. τόδε: 125. οὐδείς, μηδείς: 119, 373, 415, 427, 862, 975, 1195, 1259, 1437.

after words of sharing: 630, 709, 1465. Of remembrance, regard, ignorance: 49, 219–20, 564, 724, 1060, 1226, 1401, 1462–6. Of touch or approach: 891, 1100, 1413, 1437. Of desire: 597, 891, 933. Of fulness and want: 4, 5, 57, 83, 394, 405, 448, 1148, 1292, 1379, 1396, 1509. This class includes compounds with a privative: 190 ( $\alpha \chi \alpha \lambda \kappa \sigma s$ ); 789 ( $\alpha \tau \mu \sigma \sigma s$ ); 885 ( $\alpha \phi \phi \delta \beta \eta \tau \sigma s$ ); 969 ( $\alpha \psi \alpha \nu \sigma \tau \sigma s$ ).

after Adverbs of place and manner: 108 (ποῦ γῆς;); 345 (ὡς ὀργῆς); 367 (Εὐ εἶ κακοῦ), 413, 1309; 1442 (ἵν' ἔσταμεν χρείας).

# (f) Genitive with Compound words:

117, 178, 192, 229, 307, 309, 385, 431, 514, 529, 640, 641, 670, 707, 743, 762, 809, 812, 851, 951, 1003, 1432, 1438, 1499, 1518. In writing  $\hat{\epsilon}\mu\beta\alpha\tau\epsilon\delta\epsilon\nu$   $\pi\alpha\tau\rho\delta\delta\sigma$  825, Soph. seems to have given that verb the sense and construction of  $\hat{\epsilon}\pi\iota\beta\alpha\ell\nu\epsilon\nu$ .

(Obs.) The attracted Gen.  $\tilde{\omega}\nu$  appears at 119, 148, 645, 788, 862; at 1464,  $\tilde{o}\sigma\omega\nu$ .

Note. Cases after Prepositions and Prepositional words:

The prepositions of which Soph. makes largest use in Oed. T. are  $\epsilon is$  ( $\dot{\epsilon}s$ ),  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$  ( $\dot{\epsilon}\xi$ ),  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ , and especially  $\pi\rho\delta s$ . Next come  $\dot{\alpha}\pi\delta$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi l$ ,  $\pi\alpha\rho\dot{\alpha}$ ,  $\sigma\dot{\nu}\nu$ .

'Aνά (acc.) is rare in Soph.; once in Oed. T., 477 (ἀνά τ' ἄντρα καὶ πέτρας, over caves and rocks i.e. perhaps cavernous rocks), after ὑπ' ἀγρίαν ὑλαν, beneath wild forest. The fugitive wanderer seeks the darkness of woods and the inaccessible heights and caves of mountains.

Els, és (acc.), to, 62, 70, &c. &c.; into, 744, 1527; on, 263; for, 1180; as to, 980. Special uses and phrases: 78 (εἰs καλόν, at a happy moment); 93 (ἐs πάντας, in presence of all); 524-6 (οὐκ εἰs ἀπλοῦν...ἀλλ' ἐs μέγιστον, to no simple issue, but to a most serious one); 700 (ἐs πλέον = πλέον alone); 705 (τό γ' εἰs ἐαυτόν, as regards himself); 771 (ἐs τοσοῦτον ἐλπίδων); 847 (εἰs ἐμὲ ῥέπον, pertaining to me); 975 (εἰs θυμὸν βάλης, fix your mind on, dwell on); 1351 (εἰs χάριν, to be thankful for); 1372 (εἰs "Αιδου i.e. δόμον); 1416 (ἐs δέον, for the needful purpose). The prep. ώs may be used for εἰs when a person is the object: 1481 (ώs τὰς ἀδελφὰς...χέρας).

'Eν (dat.), in, 98, 110, &c. &c.; among, 215, 752, &c.; in respect of, 1112. Special uses: 80 (ἐν τύχη γὲ τψ, having some good luck);  $_2$ 87 (ἐν ἄργοις πράσσεσθαι, to leave unsettled);  $_3$ 88 (ἐν τοῖς κέρδεσω);  $_5$ 62 (ἐν τῆς τέχνη);  $_5$ 13 (ἐν χρόνψ, in process of time);  $_5$ 52 (ἐν ὅρκψ μέγαν, see note);  $_5$ 56 (ἐν αἰτία βαλεῖν, accuse):  $_5$ 1131 (ἐν τάχει, quickly).

Observe  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$  σολ γάρ  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\mu\epsilon\nu$ , 314, and (with reference to the emendation  $\tau \dot{\sigma}$  γ'  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$  σοί, 697) compare also  $\tau \dot{\alpha}$  γ'  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$  σοί, 805, and Tr. 621, οὖ  $\tau \iota$   $\mu \dot{\eta}$  σφαλ $\hat{\omega}$  γ'  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$  σοί ποτε. 'Eν is adverbial 27, 182;  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\iota$  for  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\epsilon\sigma\tau\iota$ , 170, 598, 1239.

 $\Sigma \dot{\nu} \nu$  (dat.), with, occurs about twelve times in Oed. T. without any special peculiarities, 17, 55, &c.

'Aντί (gen.) occurs six times: 264 (ἀνθ' ων, for which reasons); 455 (πτωχὸς ἀντὶ πλουσίου, a beggar instead of wealthy); 543 (ἀντὶ τῶν εἰρημένων, in reply to what you have said); 1021, 1155 (ἀντὶ τοῦ, what for? why?); 1418 (ἀντὶ σοῦ, in thy stead); 1491, see note.

Πρό (gen.) occurs twice, meaning in behalf of; 10, 124.

'Aπό (gen.), from, frequent; without peculiarities. Note 758 (ἀφ' οὖ, und. χρόνου, from the time that). After its case, 712, 1131.

Έκ έξ (gen.), out of, often, 418, 632, &c.; from, usually, 230, 359, &c.; by, 225, 854, 1382, 1454. Special examples, έξ ίσου 61 and often; 132 (έξ ὑπαρχῆs, afresh); 454 (τυφλὸs ἐκ δεδορκότοs, blind instead of seeing); 1141 (ἐκ μακροῦ χρόνου, long ago); 1251 (ἐκ τῶνδε, under these circumstances). So in 528 ἐξ with the two genitives expresses the circumstances. See Aesch. Ag. 328 (οὐκέτ' ἐξ ἐλευθέρου δέρηs, with neck no longer free).

 $\Delta \iota \dot{\alpha}$ , through, occurs once with acc. 867 (δί αlθέρα); four times with gen., 344, 807 (δί ὀργῆs, in anger); 773 (διὰ τύχης τοιᾶσδ' lών, when passing through such fortune); 822 (δί ὧνπερ, through which).

Κατὰ has two cases. It occurs in Oed. T. (1) seven times with acc. 63 (καθ' αὐτόν, individually); 88, 695 (κατ' δρθόν, aright); 637 (κατὰ στέγας, to your dwelling); 1086 (κατὰ γνώμαν in respect of judgment); 1197 (καθ' ὑπερβολάν, in excess); 1447 (κατ' οἴκους, in the house); (2) once with gen-228 (καθ' αὐτοῦ, against himself).

 $M_{e\tau\dot{a}}$  has three cases, in Oed. T. gen. only, with, five times: thrice after its case, 247, 414, 990.

Περί has three cases: in Oed. T. gen. only, concerning, twice, after its case: 94, 743.

'Υπέρ has two cases, only gen. in Oed. T., in behalf of, about, seven times: four times after its case, 165, 187, 987, 1444. See 137.

'A $\mu\phi$ l has three cases: but in Oed. T. dat. only, once, 155 ( $\dot{a}\mu\phi$ l  $\sigma$ ol).

Παρὰ has three cases: (1) acc. 182, 983 ( $\pi$ αρ' οὐδέν, of no account); (2) gen. from, with person, 285, 704, 716, 935, 1039, 1280; (3) dat. at, with, 382, 612, 780, 972 ( $\pi$ αρ' "Αιδη, in the realm of Orcus). It follows its case (gen.) thrice, 95, 704, 714.  $\pi$ άρα is for  $\pi$ άρεστι, 1238.

 $\Upsilon\pi\delta$  has three cases: (1) acc. under 476; (2) gen. by, from, through, 29, 37, 728, 949, 1073, 1448; (3) dat. 202. Twice after its case (gen.), 728, 949.

'Eπὶ has three cases: (1) acc. 199 (ἐπ' ημαρ, during the day-time); to, 265, 455, 761, 899; against, 215, 469, 494; for, 555, 690; (2) gen. on, 113, 1049, 1109; (3) dat. on, at, against, &c., 21, 569, 820, 829, 1029, 1457. 'Eπὶ is adverbial 182.

Πρὸs has three cases: (1) acc. to, 115, &c., 746 (πρὸs  $\sigma\epsilon$ , at you); 766 (πρὸs  $\tau$ ί); 1027, 1099, 1174 (ώs πρὸs  $\tau$ ί χρείαs); (2) gen. by, 357–8, 374, 376, 949, 1037, 1094; from, 429, 493, 516, 668, 835; in the interest of, 1434; by the hands of, 292, 713, 722, 1488; πρὸs θεῶν, I adjure you by, 697, 1037, 1060, 1153, 1165, 1410; (3) dat. at, close to, 130, 730, 1126, 1169; in addition to, 1333; for, to effect, 1302.

1

Prepositional words which take a gen. are:

- (1) ἄνευ, without, 541, 590; without direction of, 1464; (2) ἔσω, εἴσω, within, 678, 1241, 1515; (3) ἔξω, 531, 1390, 1410; (4) κάτω, beneath, 968; (5) λάθρα, without privity of, 787; (6) οὔνεκα (=ἔνεκα, on account of), 383, 497, 858, 934, 997, 1010; (7) πέλας, near, 782, 803; (8) πέρα, beyond, 74; (9) πλην, except, 1415 (as conjunction 118–19); (10) χάριν, for the sake of, 147, 888; (11) χωρίς, apart, 1463.
  - B. Number.

A word signifying 'a house' or 'dwelling' is often plural,  $\delta \omega \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ,  $\delta \delta \mu \omega \iota$ ,  $o \ell \kappa \omega \iota$ ,  $\sigma \tau \epsilon \gamma \alpha \iota$ . This seems to arise from the fact that Greek houses were regarded as having distinct apartments for the sexes, the domestics, &c.

On the use of the masculine dual for women see Comm. on 1472.

(Obs.) The use of Abstract Noun for Concrete is seen I (τροφή for  $\theta$ ρέμματα);  $8_5$  (κήδευμα for κηδεστά); 128 (τυραννίδος for τυράννου); 1248 (παιδουργίαν for παιδουργόν); and elsewhere. See 313, 1426.

# IV. PARTICLES NOT PRONOMINAL (as used in the Oedipus Tyrannus)<sup>1</sup>.

A. The potential and conditional particle dv.

Homer used two such particles,  $\kappa\epsilon$  or  $\kappa\epsilon\nu$ ,  $\tilde{\alpha}\nu$ . Attic and Ionic writers dispensed with  $\kappa\epsilon$ , using  $\tilde{\alpha}\nu$  only. When without a preceding particle (and before the time of Plato, who often uses it for  $\tilde{\eta}\nu$ ), its function was to imply 'conditionality,' and to place words to which it was attached under that influence. Generally speaking, it belongs to the apodosis of a condition having a protasis either expressed by  $\epsilon l$ , or conveyed in some other form, or implied and left for the mind to furnish. But this implication is often so faint, that (as in  $\lambda\epsilon\gamma\omega\mu'$   $\tilde{\alpha}\nu$ ) the verb with  $\tilde{\alpha}\nu$  represents little more than a modest future: as Eng. I should say.

1 This section must be taken as a small fragment of a very large subject. On such Particles as καὶ...τε, μὲν...δέ, and on the negatives οὐ, μή, with their compounds, I have not dwelt with any fulness, but only touched upon a few salient points affecting passages in Oed. T., the interpretation of which play, not the doctrine of Greek Particles, is my subject here. And I must frankly confess that in this doctrine there are some questions upon which, with all the pains I have taken, my opinion still remains unsettled. Such are the distinct uses of νῦν and νυν, οὕκουν and οὐκοῦν, μὴ οὐ as compared with μή. When Soph. writes in one place (1232) λείπει μὲν οὐδ ἄ πρόσθεν ἢδεμεν τὸ μὴ οὐ βαρύστοῦ εἶναι, in another (1387) οὐκ αν ἐσχόμην τὸ μὴ ἀποκλῆσαι τοὑμὸν ἀθλιον δέμας, I can discern no such distinction between the two places as to make μὴ οὐ requisite in the first, while μὴ is sufficient in the second. I am obliged to suppose the poet free to write one or the other form, as M advig does in his Greek Syntax.

- 1. "A $\nu$  can stand with opt. indic. or infin. of imperf., aor., or pluperf., never with fut. in any mood.
- (a) With opt. it always refers to time fut. or present, and if its protasis has  $\epsilon l$ , the verb in prot. is opt. Of this form we have in Oed. T. 120 (ξν γὰρ πόλλ' ἄν ἐξεύροι μαθεῖν, ἀρχὴν βραχεῖαν εὶ λάβοιμεν ἐλπίδοs), and it is a remarkable fact that of this very normal form there is no second instance in the play. Most of the other instances of ἄν with opt. have no protasis at all: 95 (λέγοιμ' ἄν οῖ' ἤκουσα τοῦ θεοῦ πάρα). Cp. 139, 175, 205, 282, 333, 334, 339, 343, 503, 505, 599, 615, 816, 845, 857, 862, 925, 964, 977, 1004, 1032, 1053, 1058, 1065, 1172, 1182. Some have a participial protasis: 13 (δυσάλγητος γὰρ ἄν εἴην τοιάνδε μὴ οὖ κατοικτείρων ἔδραν). Cp. 77, 446, 570, 600, 602, 772, 828, 1084, 1469. In 216 ἐὰν θέλης is protasis of λάβοις ἄν, and in 830, ἢν εὐρεθἢ is protasis of ἀν ἐκπεφευγοίην: in 505 πρὶν ἴδοιμι (=εὶ μὴ ἴδοιμι) is a peculiar protasis.
- (i) With indic., ἀν always refers to time past, and its protasis is either  $\epsilon l$  with indic. past, or a participle or clause expressed or understood. 261 ( $\epsilon l$  κείν $\omega$  γένος μὴ δυστύχησ $\epsilon \nu$ , ἢν ἀν ἐκπεφυκότα); 349 ( $\epsilon l$  δ' ἐτύγχανες βλέπων καl τοὕργον ἀν σοῦ τοῦτ ἔφην εἶναι μόνου). Cp. 125, 403, 432, 573, 1438, 1512. The following show a participial or clausular protasis: 220, οὐ γὰρ ἀν μακρὰν ἔχνευον αὐτός, μὴ οὐκ ἔχων τι σύμβολον. Cp. 117, 1355, 1357, 1372, 1456. Reference to a suppressed clause must be assumed, 82 (οὐ γὰρ ἀν εἶρπε); 318, 434. Observe 969-70, εἴ τι μὴ τὼμ $\hat{\omega}$  πόθ $\omega$  κατέφθιθ', οὔτω δ' ἀν θανών εἴη 'ξ ἐμοῦ. The protasis of ἀν θανών εἴη lies in the adverb οὔτω. Now εἴη ἀν θανών = ἔθανεν ἄν, and οὔτω implies εἰ τὼμ $\hat{\omega}$  πόθ $\omega$  κατέφθιτο, which is therefore the real protasis, and ἔθανεν ἀν ἐξ ἐμοῦ is the real apodosis. On 513 see Comm.
- (c) "A $\nu$  with infin. has protasis with  $\epsilon l$  and opt. twice in O. R. 88 ( $\epsilon l$   $\tau \dot{\nu} \chi o \iota ... \dot{a} \nu$   $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \tau \nu \chi \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$ ); 307 ( $\dot{a} \nu$   $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu ... \epsilon l$   $\kappa \tau \epsilon (\nu a \iota \mu \epsilon \nu)$   $\dot{\eta} ... \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \epsilon \mu \psi a (\mu \epsilon \theta a)$ . In four other places it has no protasis: 375, 584, 1227, 1455. At 691,  $\dot{a} \nu$  with pluperf. inf.  $\pi \epsilon \psi \dot{a} \nu \theta a \iota$  has for protasis  $\epsilon l$   $\sigma'$   $\dot{\epsilon} \nu o \sigma \phi \iota \zeta \dot{o} \mu a \nu$ , where see Comm. On 11 ( $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda o \nu \tau o s \dot{a} \nu$ ) see Comm.
- (d) The repetition of  $\tilde{a}\nu$  in the same clause occurs eleven times in O. R., ten with Opt. 139, 339, 446, 505, 602, 772, 857, 862, 936, 1053: once with Indic., 261. See Mr Steel's note on p. 1445, and Rost's Greek Grammar, Synt. Cap. 3 § 120 Anm. 4.
- (e) On compounds of  $\tilde{\alpha}\nu$  and relatives with  $\tilde{\alpha}\nu$  which govern subjunctive, see Verb, moods.
  - B. Strengthening and limiting Particles:
- a.  $\Gamma_{\epsilon}$ , as a limiting enclitic, lends force to the word which it follows. In O. R. it is so used with

- (1) Substantives:  $"" \pi \nu \psi \gamma \epsilon = 65$ . Cp. 80, 257, 712, 778, 857, 1015, 1030, 1175, 1292, 1319, 1357, 1378.
- (2) Adjectives: σὐ δ' ἄθλιός γε, 372, 563, 800, 963, 987, 1035, 1159.
- (3) Pronouns, personal:  $\sigma \acute{\nu} \gamma \epsilon$ , 335, 565, 840, 1031, 1046, 1092, 1169, 1446; possessive: 852; demonstrative: 383, 442 (a\"νη  $\gamma \epsilon$  μέντοι), 849, 855, 980, 1171 (κείνου  $\gamma \acute{\epsilon}$  τοι δή), 1522; indef.: 369 (εἴπερ τί  $\gamma \acute{\epsilon}$  τοί); relative: 35 (ős  $\gamma \acute{\epsilon}$  ἐξέλυσαs), 342 (οὐκοῦν ἄ  $\gamma \acute{\epsilon}$  ἤξει), 858, 365 (ὄσον  $\gamma \epsilon$ ), 1239. Cp. 1455 (καίτοι τοσοῦτόν  $\gamma \acute{\epsilon}$  οἴδα).
  - (4) A Negative: 1132 (κοὐδέν γε θαῦμα).
- (5) The Article: 90  $(τ\hat{\varphi} \ \gamma \epsilon \ \nu \hat{\nu} \nu \ \lambda \delta \gamma \varphi)$ , 290  $(καl \ \mu \dot{η} \nu \ \tau \dot{\alpha} \ \gamma' \ \ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha)$ , 393  $(καl τοι \ \tau \dot{\delta} \ \gamma' \ \alpha \ddot{\nu} \nu \gamma \mu \alpha)$ ; 586, 735, 1326, 1377, 1440, 1448.
  - (6) Numerals: 845 ( $\epsilon ls \gamma \epsilon$ ).
- (7) Verbs: 105, 345 (καὶ μὴν παρήσω γ' οὐδέν); 571, 583, 771 (κοὺ μὴ στερηθῆς γε).
  - (8) Participles: 326, 680, 848, 1007, 1011, 1158.
  - (9) Adverbs: 363, 629 (ούτοι κακώς γ' άρχοντος), 994, 1386.
  - (10) Prepositions: 357 (οὐ γὰρ ἔκ γε τῆς τέχνης), 376, 380.
- (11) Conjunctions: 361 (οὐχ ὥστε γ' εἰπεῖν γνωτόν), 715, 1131 (καὶ μὴν...γε), 290, 345, 836, 987, 1004, 1066.

In a short speech, 848-60,  $\gamma\epsilon$  appears six times.

It is used in answering questions yes or no: but not often in this play. See 562-3, 962-3, 993-4.

- b.  $\Gamma \circ \hat{v} = (\gamma \epsilon \circ \hat{v})$ , at least, used thrice in Oed. T., 408, 626, 1425.

It is used with Participles and Adjectives as *although*, but only once in Soph., Phil. 1068.

- d. Δή, a strengthening particle, denotes exactness, occasionally in Oed. T. 66 (πολλὰ δακρύσαντα δή); 399 (δν δή); 493 (πρὸς ὅτου δή); 655 (φράζε δή); 582 (γὰρ δὴ καί). δήπου, surely, no doubt (assumes a truth), 1042.
- e. Δητα in Oed. T. enforces a question: 364 (ε $l\pi\omega$  τι δητα), 558, 964, 1014, used confirmatively 445 (κομιζέτω δητα). In earnest prayer 830 (μη δητα, μη δητ').
- f. Μήν, however, yet, indeed, is a particle always combining with some other, which it modifies. In Oed. T. we find 810 οὐ μήν, not however: 870 οὐδὲ μάν ποτε, and never in sooth. In all other places καὶ μὴν followed by γε after a word interposed: 290, 345, 836, 987, 1004, 1066.

Of these examples, the first and fifth would be rendered well!, the second nay then!, the third yes indeed!, the fourth and sixth and yet.

- g. 8μως, nevertheless, occurs 302, 628, 785, 859, 998, 1064, 1170, 1239, 1326, 1442.
- h. To, a slightly strengthening enclitic, which brings the matter home to the hearer: 442 ( $\gamma\epsilon$   $\mu\epsilon\nu$   $\tau\sigma$ , yet this fortune, let me tell thee). Cp. 778, 1292.  $\gamma\epsilon$   $\tau\sigma$   $\delta\eta$  (yes, thou must indeed know). 549, 551 ( $\epsilon$ l  $\tau\sigma$ ). 393, 855, 1455 ( $\kappa$ al  $\tau\sigma$ ), yet, thou must know), 629 ( $\sigma$ 0  $\sigma$ 10... $\gamma\epsilon$ ), 852 ( $\sigma$ 10  $\sigma$ 10  $\sigma$ 16  $\gamma\epsilon$ , never, be assured). Observe  $\tau$ ar for  $\tau\sigma$ 1 ar 1445, 1469.  $\tau\sigma$ 10 occurs 1067, 1167; well, let me tell thee. On  $\nu$ 0,  $\nu$ 0,  $\nu$ 0, see note in Comm. on 644.
- j. H, an earnest interrogative particle: 622, 943, 993, 1012. In the lines 1039—1045, Oed. uses it four times during his examination of the Corinthian messenger: see also 1120, 1168.  $\hat{\eta}$   $\gamma \hat{\alpha} \rho$  1000, 1039, 1173. In 429  $\hat{\eta}$   $\delta \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha$  shows double indignation.  $\hat{\eta}$   $\kappa \alpha l$  348, 757, 1045.
- k. <sup>\*</sup>Αρα, interrog. and intensive: 415, 540, 822-3, 828, 924, 1395, 1401. ἄρα, illative, 1444. ῥά do., 510.

#### C. Divisional Particles:

- a. 'Αλλά, besides its use as but after negation, (1) opens a sentence in reply, remonstrance, interrogation, or transition, 14, 78, 82, 132 and often.
  (2) before imperative, 9, 51, 66, and elsewhere. (3) before conjunctive, 861.
  (4) before opt., 929, 1478. (5) after voc., 1583. (6) ἀλλ' οὐ γάρ, 1409.
  (7) ἀλλά...γε, 848, 1440, 1519. (8) ἀλλά...δή, 1021, 1492. (9) ἀλλά... δήτα, 1375. (10) ἀλλά δηλαδή, 1501. (11) ἀλλ' ἐπεί, 1503. (12) ἀλλά... μὲν δή, 523. (13) ἀλλ' οὔ τι...γε, 363.
- b. Kal, and, in this its ordinary sense, as connecting words and sentences, is so frequent as to need no special exemplification. Again, its meanings also, even, are of frequent occurrence; 22, 44, 87, 94, 164, 234, 341-2, 409, 575, 682 and many more. In some places the emphatic sense of kal is more peculiar—in fact, particularly, especially. Such we see in 148, 582, 782, 851, 989, 1029. Combinations with kal are numerous: as kär, which is sometimes for kal år, sometimes for kal èl. kal  $\gamma$ áp, for indeed, 334, 1445, 1448, 1523 kal  $\mu$ ýr, well! well then, nay then, 749, 1013 kal  $\mu$ ýr... $\gamma$ e, 293, 345, 836, 987, 1004, 1066. kal  $\tau$ 01... $\gamma$ e, and yet, 313, 1463. See  $\gamma$ e,  $\epsilon$ l,  $\mu$ ýr above.
- c. Mév. The general divisional use of the particles μέν, δέ is well known, and need not be exemplified. But the peculiar idiom of Sophocles in sometimes repeating the same word with each must be noted: 4 (ὁμοῦ μέν...ὁμοῦ δέ), 25 (φθίνουσα μέν...φθίνουσα δέ), 66 (πολλὰ μέν... πολλὰς δέ), 219 (ξένος μέν...ξένος δέ), 268 (ἔχων μὲν...ἔχων δέ), 547 κακὸς

μὲν...κακὸς δέ), 1127 (ἦν μὲν...ἦν δέ). In 647 μάλιστα μὲν is only answered by ἔπειτα, but in 419 νῦν μὲν is followed by ἔπειτα δέ. Observe 603 τοῦτο μέν followed in 605 by τοῦτ' ἄλλο.

- d. Είτα, next, then, 452, after a Participle 1402. κάτα for καὶ είτα 544, 1023, 1500.
  - e. Πλήν, except.
    - (1) As conjunction 118 ( $\pi\lambda\hat{\eta}\nu$   $\epsilon\hat{l}s$   $\tau \iota s$ ), 370 ( $\pi\lambda\hat{\eta}\nu$   $\sigma ol$ ).
    - (2) As preposition with gen. once 1415  $(\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu o \hat{\nu})$ .

f. "H (1) or, 100, 309, 659, &c. η"...η", either...or, 145-6, &c. The alternative is often one of emphatic contrast; 11 (δείσαντες η στέρξαντες), 378, 536, 538, 555, &c. Sometimes it is alternative to πότερον, πότερα, 750, 960; (2) than, after comparative words 55, 94, &c., after ἄλλος 595, &c. (3) after πρόσθεν 736, 832. So after πρίν, but not in Oed. T.

## D. Conditional Particles:

El, if, particle belonging to the protasis of a condition, passim. It is used, commonly, with the indic or opt. of verbs. The subjunctive usually has èàv or  $\mathring{\eta}\nu$ , but  $\epsilon l$  is exceptionally used with it, as in this play 198 ( $\epsilon l$   $\tau l$   $\nu \mathring{\nu} \mathring{\xi} \mathring{\alpha} \mathring{\varphi} \mathring{\eta}$ ), 874. See 1063.— $\epsilon l$   $\kappa \alpha l$ , even though, although, 283, 302 (see  $\epsilon l$   $\kappa \alpha l$   $\mu \mathring{\eta}$  308), 408:— $\kappa \epsilon l$ , even if, although, 227, 669, 986, 1077:— $\epsilon l$   $\mu \mathring{\eta}$ , if not, unless,  $\epsilon l$   $\pi o \tau \epsilon$ , if ever,  $\epsilon l$   $\tau \iota s$ , if any, où  $\mathring{\delta}$   $\epsilon l$ , not even if, &c.  $\epsilon l \pi \epsilon \rho$ , if indeed, 54, 369, 903, 1060, 1086, 1118, 1225.  $\epsilon l \tau \epsilon$ , or if, or,  $\lambda \acute{\phi} \gamma o \iota \sigma \iota \nu \epsilon$   $\ell \nu \ell \gamma e \ell \nu \epsilon$  (for  $\ell \iota \tau \epsilon$ ), 517. In 92  $\ell \iota \tau \epsilon$  follows  $\ell \iota$ . Most usual is  $\ell \iota \tau \epsilon$ ...  $\ell \iota \iota \tau \epsilon$ , 42-3, 194-6, 246-7.

For  $\hat{\eta}\nu \epsilon \hat{\alpha}\nu if$ , see Verbs, Conjunctive.

#### E. Particles of Time and Place.

a. "Ετι,  $\pi\omega$ . Learners are apt to confuse the particles ἔτι and  $\pi\omega$ , because each may sometimes be rendered by English yet, as ἔτι μένει, he is staying yet; οὔπω οἴχεται, he is not yet gone. This confusion may be avoided by noting two things: (1) ἔτι points from present time forward, he is staying yet (and may stay on);  $\pi\omega$  points from the present time backward, (some time has passed and) he is not yet gone. (2) ἔτι can take a negative, οὐκέτι μένει, he is no longer staying, μηκέτι μείνης, stay no longer. But  $\pi\omega$  must take a negative, for πέφευγέ  $\pi\omega$  is nonsense—ov πέφευγέ  $\pi\omega$ , he has not yet fled; μήπω φύγης, do not fly yet, are grammatical.—Έτι occurs twenty times in Oed. T. See οὐκέτι, μηκέτι, no longer, 115, 897, 1368, 1290 (οὐδ' ἔτι μενῶν, intending to remain no longer) 1370 (μηδὲ συμβούλευ' ἔτι, and counsel no more). "Ετι strengthens a compar. 272 (κᾶτι τοῦδ' ἐχθίονι, and still more odious than this). For  $\pi\omega$ , see 105, 490, 731, 740 (μήπω), 1110 (μὴ ξυναλλάξαντά  $\pi\omega$ ). All these

places and others in Soph. have a negative with  $\pi\omega$ ; see  $overmalf{\sigma}\omega$ , 594. Hence we have written  $\pi overmalf{\sigma}$  for  $\pi\omega$  in 1130, where there is no negation.

- b. "Ενθα, where; ἔνθα μὴ τέλη λύη, 316, 414, 686, 1248 (ἔνθα... τέκοι, opt. oblique). ἔνθ' ἄν, wherever, with subjunctives 672, 1461. See moods. The different uses of οὐ, objective, and μή subjective negative are well brought out in the places of this play where ἔνθα is used with a negative: ἔνθα οὐ, where not actually, 879 (ἔνθ' οὐ ποδὶ χρησίμω χρῆται); all the other instances have μὴ as 316 above; 796 (ἔνθα μήποτ' ὀψοίμην), 1412 (ἔνθα μήποτ' ἐισόψεσθ' ἔτι), and in each instance μὴ shows that ἔνθα implies any place where, i.e. wherever. This applies to ὁ, ὅs and rel. particles, οὖ, ὅπου, &c. "Όσος, ὅστις, ὅταν, ἐἀν, ἥν, &c., being indefinitely general, cannot be used with οὐ, while ὅτι (conjunction) that, because, cannot be used with μή.
- c. "Ira has two uses: (1) as an adverb of place, where; (2) as a final conjunction, in order that.
- (1) where: 360, 413 (lv' εἶ κακοῦ, in what evil plight thou art), 687 (lv' ἤκεις what thou art come to); see 947, 953 (lv' ἐστέ, the position you are in!), 1311 (lv' ἐξήλου, the bound thou madest!), 1442 (lv' ἔσταμεν χρείας, in our present necessity), 1515 (lv' ἐξήκεις δακρύων, your excess of tears).
- (2) in order that, with subjunctive after present or future principal verb; with opt. (as a rule) after a past verb. But Soph. has only one instance of  $\mathcal{U}a$  with opt., and none in this play. See subjunctive 1454. But he has an instance with  $\mathcal{U}a$  of that peculiar idiom by which a past indic. follows a past principal verb; and immediately afterwards another, where  $\dot{\omega}s$  has the same construction. See 1386—1393 ( $\mathcal{U}v$ '  $\dot{\eta}...\dot{\omega}s$   $\xi\delta\omega_{\xi}$ ).
- d. Πάλαι, of old, long ago, for a long time: 289, 449, 949, 973, 997, 1043, 1067, 1112, 1161, 1214, 1245, 1477: with article 1, 268, 668, 916 = παλαιός.
- e. Πρὶν as an adverb (formerly) occurs four times; 259, 652, and with article, 1024, 1282. As a conjunction (before) it takes infin. 104; opt. (after opt. negative), πρὶν ἴδοιμι 505.—πρὶν αν with subjunctive (after presor fut. negative, in the last line of the play) πρὶν αν τέρμα τοῦ βίου περάση 1530. When time is def. and past, πρὶν takes aor. ind., 777.

## F. Illative Particles:

a. Γάρ, for, 87, 320, 333, &c. (affirm.) yes: for, 328, 338, 341, 433, 582, 731, 1024, 1117, 1516. (neg.) no: for, 118, 324, 376, 626, 1151. This word must be rendered as the place suggests; 346 lσθι γάρ, know then; 358 οὐ γάρ, certainly not, 939 ποιμὴν γὰρ ἢσθα, you were a shepherd,

then. Sometimes English idiom would omit it; 711, 779, 862, 994, 1039 (or, did you then), 1173 (or, did she then), 1241, 1259, 1268, 1496 (or indeed). It is in several places referred to a clause mentally supplied: 12, 82, 228, 317–8, &c. In the latter passage one  $\gamma a \rho$  closely follows another. So 472–5.

b. Οὖν, therefore, then, in fact, &c., 90 (οὔτ οὖν), 124, 568 (πῶς οὖν), 141, 271, 890 (μήτ' οὖν), 325 (ὡς οὖν μηδ' ἐγώ), 654 (οὖσθ' οὖν), 669 (ὁ δ' οὖν ἰτω), 834 (ἔως δ' ἄν οὖν), 1049 (ϵἴτ' οὖν), 1128, 1517 (οἶσθ' ἐφ' οἶς οὖν ϵἶμι), 1520 (φὴς τάδ' οὖν).

Are we to receive  $o\mathring{v}\kappa o\nu v$  or  $o\mathring{v}\kappa o\mathring{v}v$ , or sometimes one sometimes the other of these accentuations where this compound appears? In other words, does  $o\mathring{v}\kappa$  ever lose all its power in the word, or does it not? Since writing the note on 343 (which see) I have reconsidered the question, and having examined all the Sophoclean instances, I now lean strongly to Elmsley's and Dindorf's opinion, that Soph. has never used the word in such a way as to compel us to make  $o\mathring{v}\kappa$  impotent: that is, there is no passage appearing to do this, which may not be regarded as interrogative. Assuming this view to be right, how does it affect accent? Must  $o\mathring{v}\kappa o\nu v$  always be written, or  $o\mathring{v}\kappa o\mathring{v}\nu$  always, or the former when  $o\mathring{v}\kappa$  is categorical, the latter when it is interrogative? My feeling is that  $o\mathring{v}\kappa o\mathring{v}\nu$  (or  $o\mathring{v}\kappa$   $o\mathring{v}\nu$ ) is probably the right form always. Ouk is atonic, whether categorical or not;  $o\mathring{v}\nu$  is not an enclitic, like  $\pi\omega$ ,  $\tau o\iota$ . Why, then, should it resign its accent? Has it not an equal claim with  $\mathring{e}\tau\iota$ , which keeps its accent not only with the atonic  $o\mathring{v}$  (o $\mathring{v}\kappa\acute{e}\tau\iota$ ), but also with  $\mu\acute{\eta}$  ( $\mu\eta\kappa\acute{e}\tau\iota$ )?

#### G. The Negative Particles:

Οὐ, Μή. The distinctive uses of the two negative particles οὐ (objective and definite), μή (subjective and indefinite), involving those of their many compounds οὐδὲ μηδέ, οὖτε μήτε, οὐδείς μηδείς, οὖκέτι μηκέτι, οὖπω μήπω, οὖδαμῶς μηδαμῶς, and others, exhibit at once the subtlety of the Greek mind, and the exquisite refinement of the Greek language.

1. The objective negative οὐ, οὐκ, is of constant occurrence, 24, 31, &c. &c. As οὐχί, 137, 360, 421, 567. Observe 430 (οὐκ εἰς δλεθρον; οὐχὶ θᾶσσον;). οὐ=οὐ μά, 648, 1087. οὔπω, 594; οὔποτε, 1007. Cp. 335, 881, 1377, 1456. οὐδέ, nor, neither, 31, 38, 116, 312, 414; not even, 255, 287, 1062. οὐδέπω, 16, 731; οὐδαμοῦ, 565; οὐδείs, 37, 56, &c.; οὐδ ἀν εἶς, 28; οὔτε...οὔτε, 56, 171, 277, 322, &c.; οὔτε...οὔτ' οὖν, 89—90; οὐχὶ...οὔτε...οὔτε, 857–8. Cp. 1020.

On the use of  $\mu \hat{r}_1$  and its compounds: (1) with Opt., expressing a wish. (2) with Imperative and Conjunctive in prohibition: (3) as a Conjunction, lest, with Conjunctive and Optative: (4) with Relative Pronouns and

Particles governing a Subjunctive: (5) in Conditional Protases, conjunctional or participial: (6) with Infinitive: (7)  $\mu\eta$ , où with Infinitive and Participles:—examples will be found in the sections on the Verb and Participle: also under  $d\nu$ ,  $\ell\nu\theta\alpha$ ,  $\ell\nu\alpha$ ,  $\ell$  Où  $\mu\eta$ , a strong negation with aor. subj. (où  $\mu\eta$ )  $\ell\ell\nu$ ) is found 328-9, and also où... $\mu\eta$ , nonne, a strong remonstrance, with Fut. Indic. 637-8:  $\delta\pi\omega$ s  $\mu\eta$ , after  $\delta\ell\delta\omega$ s, with Fut. Indic.  $d\nu\alpha$ pp $\eta$  $\ell$  $\ell$  $\ell$  $\ell$ 00  $\ell$ 1074-5:  $d\nu$ 00  $\ell$ 1074-5:  $d\nu$ 1074-6:  $d\nu$ 10

## V. PRONOUNS AND PRONOMINAL PARTICLES.

These are important elements in Greek construction, and in the Greek of Sophocles they deserve special notice.

Pronouns are Nouns and Adjectives assignable to the following Categories:

Α.	Quiddity:	asking and	answering	the question	Who or What?				
В.	Quality:	,,	,,	,,	Of What Kind?				
ĮC.	Quantity: Quotity:	,,	,,	,,	How Great?				
(D.	Quotity:	,,	,,	,,	How Many?				
Pronominal Particles are assignable to the following Categories:									

E. Manner: asking and answering the question How?
F. Time: ,, ,, ,, ,, When?
G. Place: ,, ,, ,, ,, 1. Where?
2. Whither?

3. Whence?

with others.

Pronouns are classed as:

a. Interrogative: b. Indefinite: c. Personal (with d. Possessive: c. Reflexive): f. Demonstrative: g. Relative.

Their Particles are assignable to classes a. b. f. g. (not to c. d. e.).

The following Tables exhibit classes a. b. c. f. g. under the several Categories: words with asterisk are not found in Oedipus Tyrannus:

A. B. C. D.

a. τίς who? what? a. ποῖος of what kind, a. πόσος how great?

what? \*πόσοι how many?

b. τις some, any b. \*ποιός of some kind b. \*ποσός of some magnic. ἐγώ I

σύ thou

	Α.		В.		C. D.		
f.	ò ἡ τό that, the	f.	τοîos of such kind,	f.	τόσο <b>s</b> so great		
			such		τοσόσδε ,,		
	ὄδε this		τοιόσδε ,, ,,		τοσοῦτο <b>ς ,,</b>		
	ούτος ,,		auοιοῦτος $,,  ,,$		(pl. so many)		
	ėκεινος that, he &c.						
	κείνος ,, ,,						
g.	ös who, which	g.	-	g.	őσos how great		
	ὄσπερ ,, ,,		οΐός $\pi \epsilon  ho$ ,, ,,		*ὄσοσπερ ,,		
	őστις who, whoever,		ồποîos of whatever		òπόσοs how great		
	whatever		kind		soever		
					(pl. how many)		
	E.		F.		G 1.		
a.	πω̂ς λοτυ?	a.	* $\pi \delta \tau \epsilon$ when?	α.	ποῦ where?		
ь.	πως somehow	b.	ποτέ ever &c.	<i>b</i> .	που anywhere		
f.	ὧδε so, thus	f.	$\tau \delta \tau \epsilon \qquad then$		ἐνθάδε here		
	οὕτως ,,		τηνικαῦτα ,,		$\dot{\epsilon}$ ντα $\hat{v}$ θα ,,		
			*τοτηνίκα ,,		ěκεὶ there		
g.	ώs how, as	g.	$\ddot{o}\tau\epsilon$ when	g.			
	öπωs how, as		ήνίκα ,,		$*oi\pi\epsilon\rho$ ,,		
	ὥσπερ as		$\hat{\eta}\mu$ os "		ὄπου ,,		
	ὄπωσπερ ,,		$\epsilon \pi \epsilon i$ ,,		$\check{\epsilon} \nu \theta \alpha$ ,,		
	ωστε so as		ώς, ὅπως ,,		* $ epsilon v$ $ e$		
		* 8	πότε, *ὁπηνίκα, *εὖτε		ἴνα ,,		
	2.		3⋅		4.		
a.	ποî whither?	<i>a</i> .	πόθεν whence?	a.	πη̂ which way?		
ь.	*ποι anywhither	ь.	$*\pi\circ\theta\epsilon\nu$	b.	* $\pi\eta$ any way		
f.	$\hat{\omega}\delta\epsilon$ hither	f.	ἐνθένδε hence	f.	$τ \hat{\eta} \delta \epsilon \ this way$		
	δεῦρο ,,		<b>ἐ</b> ντεῦθε <b>ν</b> ,,				
	*ἐκεῖσε thither		* $\tau \acute{o} \theta \epsilon \nu$ thence				
	<b>*</b> κεῖσε ,,		* $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \nu$ , $\kappa \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \nu$ thence				
g.		$\mathcal{S}^{\centerdot}$	*öθεν whence	g.	* ŋ̂ which way		
	$*olne \epsilon \rho$ ,,		ὄθενπερ ,,		*ὄπη ,,		
	*ὅποι ,,		<b>*</b> όπόθεν ,,				
	οποιπερ whithersoever $\xi v \theta \epsilon v$ ,,						
Other series are .							

# Other series are:

- (1) Age or size: a. \*πηλίκος; f. τηλικόσδε (see 1463), \*τηλικοῦτος, of that age; g. ήλίκος, of what age. See 15.
- (2) Dual Interrog., a. \*πότεροs, which of two? Not in Oed. T. as Adj., but as Interrog. Adverb, πότερον 715, πότερα 112, 923.

- f. ἔτεροs, one or other of two, once in Oed. T. 747 θἀτέρ $\alpha = \tau \hat{y}$  ἐτέρ $\alpha$  ἡμέρ $\alpha$ , the next day. \*ἐκάτεροs each.
  - g. Rel. \*όπότερος.
- (3) Cause: α. τί why? f. ὅτι, οὕνεκα, ὁθούνεκα, because, ἐπεί, ἐπεί-περ, ἐπειδή since. "Οτι, οὕνεκα oftener mean that oblique. See 59, 500, 1133; 708. 'Οθούνεκα means that ten times in Soph. (twice in Oed. T. 572, 1271); seven times it means because (once in Oed. T. 1016). Οὕνεκα is also used for ἔνεκα, as a preposition.
- έπει, since, appears 9, 258, 316, 370, 376, 390, 438, 563, 649, 725, 985, 1147, 1503: ἐπείπερ 1332: ἐπειδή 412. Ἐπει, when, 1256.
- Obs. 1. The strengthened Relatives ὅστις, ὁποῖος, ὅποσος, ὅπως, ὅπου, &c. are used
- (1) Relatively with an indefinite tinge, τί δ' ὅντιν' εἶπε 1019, ὁποῖα χρήζει, 1076; τὸ δὲ πάθημ' ὁποῖον φὴς παθεῖν δίδασκέ με 544; see 1229. (2) as indirect Interrogatives, in which sense direct Interrogatives also, and (occasionally) even simple Relatives are used: εἰπεῖν ὅστις εἴργασταί ποτε 279; ὡς πύθοιθ' ὅ τι δρῶν ἢ τί φωνῶν τήνδε ῥυσοίμην πόλιν 71; ἄρ' οἶσθ' ἀφ' ὧν εἶ; 415; εἴθε μήποτε γνοίης δς εἶ 1068¹. See ἄρά μου μέμνησθ' ἔτι οἴ' ἔργα δράσας ὑμὶν εἶτα δεῦρ' ἰὼν ὁποῖ' ἔπρασσον αῦθις; do you still remember, after doing such things as I did within your view, what kind of things I vent on doing afterwards, when I came hither? 1401, where οῖα is rel. and ὁποῖα indirect interrog. dependent on μέμνησθε. Hence these forms in Aristophanes echo questions, καὶ πῶς; —ὄπως; (do you ask how?) Equ. 128.
- Obs. 2. A Relative, simple or strengthened, may become *universal*, by taking  $d\nu$ , expressed in English by -ever;  $\delta s$   $d\nu$  volvoever (so  $\delta \sigma \tau \iota s$   $d\nu$ ),  $\delta \delta s$   $d\nu$ ,  $\delta \sigma a$   $d\nu$ ,  $\delta s$   $d\nu$ ,  $\delta \sigma a$   $\delta \sigma a$

# A. CORRELATION OF QUIDDITY (τ/s; who or what?)

- a. The Interrogative Pronoun  $\tau$ is occurs in Oed. T. about 68 times, in eleven of which places its construction is indirect, as  $\phi\rho\dot{\alpha}\xi\epsilon$   $\delta\dot{\eta}$   $\tau$ i  $\phi\dot{\eta}$ s 653. Ti five times means why? 634, 964, 1000; and 1391,  $l\dot{\omega}$   $K\iota\theta\alpha\iota\rho\dot{\omega}\nu$ ,  $\tau$ l  $\mu$ '  $\dot{\epsilon}\delta\dot{\epsilon}\chi\sigma\upsilon$ ;  $\tau$ l  $\mu$ '  $\dot{\sigma}\dot{\nu}$   $\lambda\alpha\dot{\rho}\dot{\omega}\nu$   $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\tau\epsilon\iota\nu\alpha$ s  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\nu}\dot{\sigma}\dot{\nu}\dot{\sigma}$ ; so  $\dot{\alpha}\nu\tau$ l  $\dot{\tau}\dot{\sigma}\dot{\nu}$  what for? (=why?), 1021, 1155. Twice,  $\tau$ l is exclamatory,  $\tau$ l  $\dot{\delta}\dot{\eta}\tau\alpha$ ; 577:  $\tau$ l  $\dot{\delta}\dot{\epsilon}$ ; 941: how? what? The other examples are of direct interrogation;  $\tau\dot{\sigma}\dot{\nu}$  for  $\tau$ iνοs again occurring 357,  $\pi\dot{\rho}\dot{\nu}$ s  $\tau\dot{\sigma}\dot{\nu}$   $\dot{\delta}\dot{\nu}\dot{\alpha}\chi\dot{\theta}\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\nu}$ s; and  $\tau\dot{\omega}$  for  $\tau$ l $\nu\iota$  772.
- b. The Indefinite Pronoun  $\tau is$  occurs about 64 times; of which  $\tau ov$  is for  $\tau iv ds$  four times; 42, 396, 1107, 1163;  $\tau \psi$  for  $\tau iv d$  twice; 80,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Perhaps οἶσθ' ἀφ' ὧν εἶ; = οἷσθα ὧν ἀπὸ γονέων ἀφ' ὧν εἶ; and γνοίης δς εἶ= γνοίης ὧν δς εἶ.

1457: oŏris occurs twice, 819, 1333. In some places  $\tau \iota$  has an adverbial character, at all: 363, 410, 433, 736, 851, 969, 1066.

Personal Pronouns (with Possessive):

c. d. Έγώ, ἐμόs. The enclitic forms με, μου, μοι are, εο nomine, unemphatic, as compared with ἐμέ, ἐμοῦ, ἐμοί, and in some places a deliberate choice of the stronger form is noticeable, as 374 (μήτ ἐμὲ μήτ ἄλλον); 441 (εἶs ἔμὶ εὐρήσεις μέγαν), 376 (πρός γὶ ἐμοῦ πεσεῖν). See 12, 145, 250, 1516. But the stronger forms are not everywhere used with great emphasis, but are often due to the convenience of position. One proof of this is that they obtain more decided emphasis by taking γε, ἔγωγε, ἔμεγε, ἐμοῦγε, ἔμοιγε. The dual νῷ occurs 1504.

The possessive  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{\delta}s$  has no peculiarities: it forms frequent crasis with the article;  $\dot{\delta}\nu\dot{\delta}s$ ,  $\dot{\eta}\nu\dot{\eta}$ ,  $\tau\dot{\delta}\nu\dot{\delta}\nu$ ,  $\tau\dot{d}\mu\dot{d}$   $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$ .

 $\Sigma \dot{\nu}$ ,  $\sigma \dot{\kappa}$ . The oblique cases singular of  $\sigma \dot{\nu}$  are enclitic or emphatic, as the sense requires; and also gain force by taking  $\gamma \epsilon$ . The dual forms  $\sigma \phi \omega$ ,  $\sigma \phi \hat{\omega} \dot{\nu}$  appear 1488, 1495: plural  $\sigma \phi \dot{\epsilon}$  for  $\alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\kappa}$ , 1505.

The Possessive  $\sigma \delta s$  is freely used. Observe  $\tau \delta s$   $\sigma \delta \sigma \omega \omega \delta v = \tau \delta s$   $\sigma \delta \omega v = \delta \delta v = \delta v$ 

## [e. Reflexive Pronouns:

The Pronoun  $\sigma\phi$ è (= Homeric ê), οὖ, οὖ (Lat. se, sui, sibi) occurs; accus. ἐξικέτευσε...ἀγρούς σφε πέμψαι 761; gen. ἄρουραν οὖ τε καὶ τέκνων 1257\frac{1}{2}. See αὐτός. The Reflexive Pronoun Possessive & (Lat. suus) is used by Soph. five times: once in Oed. Τ. τοῖς οἶσιν αὐτοῦ (=τοῖς ἐαυτοῦ) 1248. See σὸς above.]

- f. Demonstratives in correlation with  $\tau$ is, who, what?
- 1. The Definite Article  $\delta$ ,  $\dot{\eta}$ ,  $\tau\delta$  (corresponding to Sanskrit sa) is the chief pronominal base, being in fact itself a demonstrative Pronoun. Italian speech did not acquire an article anciently; and this defect is among the chief causes which make the Latin language inferior to the Greek. Modern Italian, French and Spanish have supplied the want by forming an article (lo, le, la) from the second syllable of the pronoun *ille*.
- <sup>1</sup> The pronominal forms  $\tilde{\epsilon}$ ,  $o\tilde{v}$ ,  $ο\tilde{t}$ ,  $\mu\nu$ ,  $\nu\nu\nu$ )—with those of the 2nd and 3rd persons that begin with the letters  $\sigma\phi$ —cause great perplexity for these reasons: (1) the usages of Homer, Herodotus, and Attic writers differ in regard to them, and Attic authors differ from each other: (2) some of them have a twofold use, as reflexive, and as objectively personal. As yet we have nowhere found them thoroughly sifted. Confining ourselves here to the Reflexive forms in Soph.,  $ο\tilde{v}$  (for  $\epsilon\alpha\nu\tau\sigma\tilde{v}$ ) appears only as above,  $\sigma\phi\epsilon$  (for  $\epsilon\alpha\nu\tau\tilde{v}$ ) only twice, as above, and Trach. 166. Personally, it occurs in sing. sixteen times for  $\alpha\tilde{v}\tau\tilde{v}$  or  $\alpha\tilde{v}\tau\tilde{v}$ , and plur. four times, as 1505. Liddell and Scott cite  $\gamma\delta\tau$  as personal, but, as it seems to us, wrongly. Homer has one instance of  $\sigma\phi\epsilon$  plur. as reflexive: Il. xix. 265.

[The Definite Article stands:

- (1) immediately before its noun,  $\delta$  Λάϊος, 1169:  $\tau$  τ ξυμφορὰς  $\tau$   $\hat{\omega}\nu$  βουλευμάτων, 144 (which in prose might be  $\tau$   $\hat{\alpha}$ ς  $\hat{\nu}$   $\hat{\nu}$   $\hat{\nu}$   $\hat{\nu}$ .
  - (2) before epithet and noun, ὁ πυρφόρος θεός, 27.
- (3) before epithet following noun,  $\beta\omega\mu$ οῖσι τοῖς σοῖς, or before both, ἐν ταῖς ξυμφοραῖς ταῖς νῦν, 518. If the order is article subst. adj. or adj. article subst. the adjective is not epithet, but predicate: as 525

τούπος δ' ἐφάνθη ταῖς ἐμαῖς γνώμαις ὅτι πεισθεὶς ὁ μάντις τοὺς λόγους ψευδεῖς λέγοι,

where ψευδειs has a predicative position, which would be equally such if it were ψευδειs τοὺs λόγουs, whereas τοὺs ψευδειs λόγουs οτ λόγουs τοὺs ψευδειs or τοὺs λόγουs τοὺs ψευδειs make ψευδειs an epithet of λόγουs.

- (4) with many words between article and noun:
   χοὶ πάντες εὖ ξυνεῖεν εἰσαεὶ θεοί.
   275.
- (5) before an adjective or a participle (without noun); either of which thus acquires the power of a substantive: τὰ δύσφορα 87, τἀφανῆ 131, τἀμά, τὰ σά 329, τἀληθές 356, τὸ μὴ νοικον 684, τὸ δὲ ζητούμενον ἀλωτόν, ἐκφεύγει δὲ τἀμελούμενον 110, τὸν δεδρακότα 246, τοῖς μὴ δρῶσιν 269, τὰ χρησθέντα 604.
- (6) before an adverb, which is thus made adjectival: της πάρος προθυμίας, 48; τῷ γε νῦν λόγω, 90; τοῦ πρόσθε Κάδμου τοῦ πάλαι τ' ᾿Αγήνορος, 208; or if there is no noun, the phrase will form one: τὰ πάρος, 1420. So before a preposition and case: τὸ πρὸς ποσί, 130; τοῖς ἐν γένει, 1430; or a case, τὰ βροτῶν, 498; τὰ τῆς τύχης, 977; τανῦν often occurs as a merely strengthened form of νῦν ποτυ.
- (7) often as a demonstrative pronoun. So of μέν, oi δέ, some, others, 16, 17; of =οδτοι 108;  $\tau$ δν =  $\tau$ οῦτον or ἐκεῖνον, 200. So  $\tau$ ὰ δέ, 481; δ δέ, 669, 1264;  $\tau$ ῆς for  $\tau$ αύτης, 1082;  $\tau$ αῖν for  $\tau$ αύταιν, 1466. Sometimes it is for a relative:  $\tau$ ῶν for ὧν, 1379;  $\tau$ δ for ὅ, 1427. In 1229  $\tau$ ὰ δέ, others, implies  $\tau$ ά μέν, some, suppressed before it. Τὸν Λαβδάκου (und. viῶν), 224;  $\tau$ ῷ Πολύβου (und. viῷ) 489. The following places illustrate the power of the article: 371, 497, 668.]
- 2. "Οδε, οὖτος, are of constant occurrence. Either may occur before article and noun, or after both: τόδε τὸ ῥῆμα οτ τὸ ῥῆμα τόδε, τοῦτο τὸ ὅνειδος οτ τὸ ὅνειδος τοῦτο. Observe τῆδε, this way, 858, 1128; καὶ ταῦτα, and this too, 37; τοῦτο μὲν in the first place, 603; followed by τοῦτ' ἄλλο, 605.
- 3. Exerns or keins, that one yonder, that, he, &c. is derived from  $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon i$ , there (bringing to mind our vulgarism 'that there'). It occurs about

12 times; and its shortened form  $\kappa \epsilon \hat{\nu} v \sigma$  as many. The adverb  $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \hat{\iota}$  twice, 776, 940. Its cognates are  $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \hat{\iota} \sigma \epsilon$ ,  $\kappa \epsilon \hat{\iota} \sigma \epsilon$ , thither,  $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \hat{\iota} \theta \epsilon \nu$ ,  $\kappa \epsilon \hat{\iota} \theta \epsilon \nu$ , thence. Of these  $\kappa \epsilon \hat{\iota} \theta \epsilon \nu$  alone appears in this play, 758; but all are used by Sophocles.

[Obs. The Pronoun  $\alpha\dot{v}\tau\dot{v}s$  (= Lat. ipse) has a variety of sense and construction which makes it one of the most remarkable words in the Greek language; it is of all persons.

1. Its first meaning is *Self*, and this sense it can keep in all its cases: being (in this use) in apposition either to a pronoun personal or demonstrative, expressed or understood, or to a noun, with or without article, as:

```
αὐτὸς (ἐγὼ) ἐλήλυθα, I am come myself. αὐτὸς (σὺ) ἐλήλυθας, thou art come thyself. αὐτὸς (ἐκεῖνος, Οἰδίπους) ἐλήλυθε, he (Oedipus) is himself come. (ἡμεῖς) αὐτοὶ ἐληλύθαμεν, we are come ourselves. (ὑμεῖς) αὐτοὶ ἐληλύθατε, ye are come yourselves. (ἐκεῖνοι οἱ πολέμιοι) αὐτοὶ ἐληλύθατς they the enemies themse
```

(ἐκεῖνοι, οἱ πολέμιοι) αὐτοὶ ἐληλύθασι, they, the enemies themselves are come.

So  $a\dot{v}\tau\dot{o}s$   $\dot{o}$   $\Phi o\hat{\iota}\beta os$  or  $\dot{o}$   $\Phi o\hat{\iota}\beta os$   $a\dot{v}\tau\dot{o}s$ , Phoebus himself, which may be declined through all singular cases;  $a\dot{v}\tau ol$  of  $\Theta \eta \beta a\hat{\iota}ol$  or of  $\Theta \eta \beta a\hat{\iota}ol$   $a\dot{v}\tau ol$ , the Thebans themselves, which may be declined through all plural cases.

Examples: Nom. First Person S. οὐ γὰρ ἄν μακρὰν ἴχνευον αὐτόs, 220; ἐγὼ μὲν οὕτ' αὐτὸs ἰμεἰρων ἔφυν, 587, 591, 1364, 1381. Sec. Pers. S. ὤσπερ καὐτὸs εἰσορῷs, 22; αὐτὸs σὺ σοί, 379; κρῖν' αὐτὸs μαθών, 544; αὐτὸs οἶσθα, 574; αὐτόs μοι σὺ σημάντωρ γενοῦ, 957, 1039, 1447. Third Pers. αὐτὸs δ' ὁδε, 531, 704; αὐτόs θ' ὁ πρέσβυς, 805; αὐτὸs πάροιθεν ὤλετο, 856, 927; ὑφ' ὧν θάνοι μὲν αὐτόs, 1247; τοῦτ' αὐτὸ...μ' εἰσαεὶ φοβεῖ, 1013, 725; ἥξει γὰρ αὐτὰ, they will come of themselves, 341. Other cases: αὐτὸν εἴπατ' εἰ κάτισθ' ὅπου, tell me of himself, if ye know, where he is, 926 (κάτιστε is 2d pers. pl. of κάτοιδα). See 547, 548; Φοίβου γ' ἀπ' αὐτοῦ, 712.

A remarkable place in Oed. T. is 1169-70 οἴμοι πρὸς αὐτῷ γ' εἰμὶ τῷ δεινῷ λέγειν. κἄγωγ' ἀκούειν, where the Infinitives depend on τῷ δεινῷ, and after κἄγωγε the mind supplies again ἐπ' αὐτῷ γ' εἰμὶ τῷ δεινῷ.

2. The oblique cases of αὐτος compounded with the Reflexive Pronoun ξο (Hom. = οὖ) form:

```
\dot{\epsilon}αυτόν, \dot{\epsilon}αυτήν, which contracted are αὐτόν, αὐτήν; \dot{\epsilon}αυτοῦ, \dot{\epsilon}αυτης, ,, ,, αὐτοῦ, αὐτῆς; \dot{\epsilon}αυτ\dot{\varphi}, \dot{\epsilon}αυτ\dot{\varphi}, \dot{\epsilon}αυτ\dot{\varphi}, \dot{\epsilon}αυτ\dot{\varphi}, \dot{\epsilon}αυτ\dot{\varphi}, \dot{\epsilon}αυτ\dot{\varphi}, \dot{\epsilon}αυτ\dot{\varphi}, \dot{\epsilon}αυτ\dot{\varphi}, \dot{\epsilon}αυτ\dot{\varphi}.
```

So the plural cases become αὐτούς -άς, αὐτῶν, αὐτοῖς -αῖς, themselves,

The singular cases again compounded with  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{\epsilon}$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu o\hat{v}$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu ol$ ,  $\sigma\epsilon$ ,  $\sigma ov$ ,  $\sigma ov$ , form the pronominal words:

```
\epsilonμαυτόν—ήν, σεαυτόν—ήν, contracted into σαυτόν—ήν, \epsilonμαυτοῦ—\hat{\eta}s, \sigmaεαυτοῦ—\hat{\eta}s, \sigmaεαντοῦ—\hat{\eta}s, \sigmaεαντοῦ», \sigmaεντοῦ», \sigmaεντοῦν \sigmaε
```

Examples: (1) έαυτόν, 706, 1290. (2) αὐτὸν without αὐτός; μόνον καθ' αύτον, 63, 234; τον παρ' αύτῷ βίστον, 612; αἱ δὲ τοιαῦται φύσεις αὐταῖς δικαίως είσιν ἄλγισται φέρειν, 675; ἔπαισεν ἄρθρα τῶν αὐτοῦ κύκλων, 1276. (3) In correlation with αὐτός; αὐτὸς καθ' αὐτοῦ, 228; παισὶ τοῖς αὐτοῦ ξυνών άδελφὸς αὐτὸς καὶ πατήρ, 457; αὐτὴ πρὸς αὐτῆς, 1237. Ιη 133 άλλ' αὐτὸς αὐτοῦ we have an instance of αὐτοῦ put for ἐμαυτοῦ. In Oed. T. there is no example of  $\alpha \dot{\nu} \tau o \hat{\nu}$  for  $\sigma \alpha \nu \tau o \hat{\nu}$ , but this is equally feasible; as  $\epsilon l$   $\delta'$ αὐτὸς αὐτὸν ὧδε παιδεύεις, Trach. 145. (4) ἐμαυτόν. ἐγὼ οὕτ' ἐμαυτὸν οὔτε σ' άλγυν ω, 332; έγω δ' έμαυτον παίδα της Τύχης νέμων, 1080; Κρέοντ' έμαυτοῦ γαμβρόν, 70; ὑπέρ τ' ἐμαυτοῦ, 253; μητρὶ τἠμαυτοῦ, 995; ἐγω 'π' ἐμαυτῷ, 820, 833. (5) σεαυτόν, σαυτόν. ρυσαι σεαυτόν, 312; συ νυν άφεις σεαυτόν, 707. σαυτόν is not in Oed. Τ. See νῦν δ' αῖρε σαυτόν, Phil. 886. εἴπερ τι τοῦ σαυτοῦ βίου κήδει, 1060; εἰ διδοίης γ' ώς έγὼ σαυτῷ λόγον, 583. See (above) τοις οίσιν αὐτοῦ for τοις έαυτοῦ, τοις σοισιν αὐτοῦ for τοις σεαυτοῦ. So τοις έμοισιν αὐτοῦ could be used for τοις έμαυτοῦ. Thus in Latin, mea ipsius, tua ipsius, sua ipsius.

 The oblique cases of αὐτὸs (but not the nominative) are used as mere demonstrative pronouns, almost as ἐκεῦνον, ἐκείνον...but somewhat less emphatic.

In Oed. T. αὐτόν, him, 297, 469, 703, 842, 989, 1421; αὐτῷ, to him, 1258; ἀπ' αὐτῆς, from her, 1269; αὐτῶν, of them, 975; αὐτοῖς, to them, 270, &c. &c.

The accusative pronoun  $\nu\iota\nu$  (usually singular, sometimes plural) is for  $\alpha\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{o}\nu$ , 123, 248, 466, 642, 718, 763, 768, 843, 857, 889, 942, 1133, 1174, 1176: for  $\alpha\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\eta}\nu$ , 397, 1265; for  $\alpha\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\sigma}\upsilon$ , 868.  $\Sigma\phi\dot{\epsilon}$ ,  $\sigma\phi\dot{\alpha}\upsilon$ , are used for  $\alpha\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\alpha}\upsilon$ , 1505, 1508. See above (Refl. Pr.).

- 4. Aὐτὸs with the article before it means 'the same.'
- (1) δ αὐτός = αὐτός, 559, 1210.
   (2) τὸν αὐτὸν ἄριθμον, 844.
   (3) τὸ αὐτὸ = ταὐτό, ἐς ταὐτό, 734; τὸ αὐτὸν = ταὐτόν, 325; ἀνεῖτε ταὐτὸν σπέρμα,
   1405.
   (4) τὰ αὐτὰ = ταὐτά, 575; εἰ τά γ' αὐθ' ἔξει κράτη, 586.

In three places ταὐτὰ is constructed with a dative: ταὐτὰ Φοίβψ, the same things as Phoebus, 284; ἐκείνη ταὐτά, the same as she does, 579; σοὶ ταὐτά, the same that you do, 840].

١

- g. 1. The Relative  $\delta s$  is largely used, with the occasional variation  $\delta \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ , which occurs as Nom. S. 756; Acc. S. 1120; Dat. S. 351; Acc. Pl. neut.  $(\tilde{\alpha}\pi\epsilon\rho)$ , 251, 346, 575; Gen. Pl. 822. In  $\tilde{\alpha}\pi\epsilon\rho$   $\epsilon\tilde{\nu}\pi\tau\epsilon\rho\nu\nu$   $\delta\rho\nu\nu\nu$  176,  $\tilde{\alpha}\pi\epsilon\rho$  is for  $\tilde{\omega}\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$ . Os  $\gamma\epsilon$ , 35. The universal form  $\delta s$   $\tilde{\alpha}\nu$  appears 580, 740, as  $\tilde{\alpha}$   $\tilde{\alpha}\nu$ , whatever things.
- 2. "Οστις appears about 29 times: of these ὅ τί 71, 485, 664, 934, 1360; ὅτου for οὖτινος, 117, 493, 697, 932; ὅτψ for ὧτινι, 982, 1335; ὅτων for ὧτιτων, 414. Observe ὅ τι πύματον, to the very utmost, 664; ὅ τι τάχιστα, as soon as possible, 1340. See ποτέ.
  - B. CORRELATION OF QUALITY ( $\pi \circ \hat{i} \circ s$ ; OF WHAT KIND?).
- a. The Interrogative  $\pi o \hat{s}$ ; of what kind? may generally be rendered what, and in tragic use, differs little from  $\tau ls$ ; Like  $\tau ls$ , it is used in indirect as well as direct interrogation.

Hoîos, as direct Interrogative, is in Oed. T. 23 times: 89, 99, 102, 120 (τὰ ποῖον;), 128, 291 (τὰ ποῖα ταῦτα;), 359, 420, 421, 437, 559, 571, &c. Indirect: 1371.

- b. ποιδs indef. does not occur.
- f. Demonstratives in correlation with ποιος; τοιος 1414; τοιόσδε, twelve times, 13, 244, 295, 387, 435, &c.; τοιούτος, thirteen, 140, 339, 406, 441, &c.
- g. The Rel. ofos. 95, 403 (old  $\pi$ ερ); 701 (Κρέοντος, οld μοι βεβουλευκώς ἔχει, because of Creon's plots against me); 726 (an exclamation); 751 (ola=ώs, in the manner of); 763 (ol' ἀνὴρ δοῦλος, considered as a slave, see ώs); 803, 1224 (exclamation); 1395 (exclamation); 1488. In 303, 1272, 1402, it is indirect Interrog.

Note: olós  $\tau \epsilon$  (for  $\tau$ olos  $\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon$ ) able, 24, 1415.

'Oποίος occurs, 554, 1076, 1272, 1403, but in the last place alone it is an indirect Interrog.; in the rest it is Relative. (See above Obs. 1.) In 915 οποία is adverbial.

- C. D. CORRELATION OF QUANTITY AND QUOTITY (πόσος; πόσοι;).
- a. Πόσος; how great? interrog. occurs once, 533, nowhere else in Soph.
  - b. ποσὸs indef. does not occur.
  - f. Demonstratives:

τόσος, 345; τοσόσδε, 507, 664, 1173, 1282, 1313; τοσοῦτος, 569, 736, 801, 1146, 1410.

g. Relatives (which in plur. express quotity, i.e. number):

"Oσοs, 273, 347 (ὅσον μὴ χερσὶ καίνων, all but killing with the hands); 365 (ὅσον γε); 382 (exclam.); 1224 (exclam.); 1239 (ὅσον γε). Oblique

(ὅσων ἐγὼ ψαύοιμι) 1464. The universal Rel. ὅσ' ἃν occurs, 77, 1122, ὅσον τάχιστα, 1436. Ὁπόσοs is used as Rel. 1407 and in Antig. 214. In 1527 ὅσοs is used as indirect Interrog.

## Pronominal Particles:

- E. CORRELATION OF MANNER ( $\pi\hat{\omega}s$ ; How?).
- a.  $\pi \hat{\omega}_s$ ; how? 301, and fifteen times more.
- b. The Indefinite  $\pi\omega s$ , somehow, is often used by Soph. but not in Oed. T.
  - f. Demonstratives:

 $\omega\delta\epsilon$ , so, thus, 83, and ten times besides. hither, 7, 144, 298.

ούτως, ούτω, so; 129, and seven times more.

In 1444  $d\rho a$ , then, proves that  $o \ddot{v} \tau \omega s$  is not to be taken with  $d\theta \lambda lov$ .

- g. Relatives:
- (a) ús (Lat. ut) is the chief Relative of Manner.

This particle is notable as exhibiting more varieties of meaning and use than any other in Greek. As many as fifteen can be noted in this play. We may class them in seven groups:

- i. &s, Rel. particle of Comparison (as).
- ii. ,, ,, of Manner (how).
- iii. ,, ,, in Oblique Construction (that).
- iv. ,, ,, in Final Construction (in order that).
- v. ,, of Time (when, from the time when).
- vi. ,, ,, of Cause (since).
- vii. ,, Preposition (to a person).
  - i. ωs, as:
    - (1) with nouns and pronouns: 60, 583 ( $\dot{\omega}$ s  $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$ ); 923,
- 1260, 1422.
  (2) with finite verbs: 114, 242, 435, 940, 962, 1160.
  Note 1291 (ἀραῖος ὡς ἡράσατο).
  - (3) with Infin. 84.
- (4) with participles absolute or otherwise: 11, 97, 101, 145, 241-2, 353, 625, 848, 956, 1178, 1290-1, 1423.
  - (5) with particle 1174 ( $\dot{\omega}s \pi \rho \dot{o}s \tau i \chi \rho \epsilon i \alpha s$ ;).
  - (6) as if with verb: 538 (ώς οὐ γνωριοίμι).
- (7) idiomatic with noun (as=considered as, for) 1078
   (ώς γυνή); 1118 (ώς νομεὺς ἀνήρ); 1526 (ὥς τις κ.τ.λ.). See Exc. IX.
  - (8) idiomatic with gen. 345 (ώς δργης έχω, so angry am I).

- (9) idiomatic with adv. pos. or sup. (ώς τάχος) 945, 1154; (ώς τάχιστα) 1429, as soon as possible.
- (10) ωσπερ, as, 22, 54, 81, 276, 715, 1470; 1114 (ωσπερ έγνωκα, I seem to recognise), 1218.
  - (11) ώσπερεί, as if, 264.
  - ii. ω's, how:
    - (1) exclamatory: 316, 319, 439, 1187, 1348.
- (2) oblique: 547, 1172. Note 543 (οἶσθ' ὡς ποίησον); 329 (ὡς ἄν, howsoever). See Exc. VI.
  - iii. ωs, that (oblique):
    - (1) with indic. 1369.
    - (2) with opt. imperf. 555, 780, 791; aor. 730, 843, 1167;

fut. 713.

- iv. is, final (in order that):
  - (1) with subjunctive: 325, 359.
  - (2) with opt.: 1143, 1174.
  - (3) with indic. past: 1392.
- v. ωs, temporal:
  - (1) when (ωs ὁρậ), 807.
  - (2) from the time when, 115 (ώς ἀπεστάλη).
- vi. &s, since (causal), 44, 47, 54, 56, 365, 445, 922, 1050. Exc. III.
- vii.  $\omega$ s as a Prep. (to, **a** person) 1481 (ξλθετε  $\omega$ s τὰs ἀδελφὰs τάσδε τὰs ἐμὰs χέραs).
- (b)  $\ddot{o}\pi\omega s$ , the strengthened  $\dot{\omega}s$ , has many of its uses: as (partially): how (oblique): that (oblique): in order that: when.
  - i. as: 979, 1336 (ὅπωσπερ); ὅπως τάχιστα, 1410.
  - ii. how: 406, 1251, 1367.
- iii. that: 548, 1518. ὅπως μή lest 1075 (δέδοιχ' ὅπως μή 'κ τῆς σιωπῆς τῆσδ' ἀναρρήξει κακά, I fear lest evils will break forth from this silence).
  - iv. in order that: 921 ( $\delta \pi \omega s ... \pi \delta \rho \eta s$ ); 1006 ( $\delta \pi \omega s ... \epsilon \tilde{v} \pi \rho \Delta \xi \alpha \iota \mu \iota \tau \iota$ ).
- v. when: 1241 (ὅπως...παρῆλθ' ἔσω); 1244 (ὅπως εἰσῆλθε); 1265 (ὅπως ὁρῷ νιν).
  - (c)  $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\tau\epsilon$ , so that, so as:
    - i. with Indic. pres. 65; fut. 135, 411; aor. 534, 1036.
    - ii. with Opt. aor. and av, 857.
    - iii. with Infin. 361, 374, 595, 1045. (ωστε μή) 1085, 1460.

Note. For  $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\tau\epsilon$  the art.  $\tau\delta$  is used with Infin. 283, 1388. Cp. 1412, where  $\tau\delta$ ... is in apposition.

# F. CORRELATION OF TIME ( $\pi \delta \tau \epsilon$ ; WHEN?).

\* $\pi \delta \tau \epsilon$ , when? and correlates.

a. The Interrog.  $\pi \delta \tau \epsilon$  is not in Oed. T.; only once in Soph. Aj., 1185 (ès  $\pi \delta \tau \epsilon$ ). The question when? was asked and answered by various uses of the word  $\chi \rho \delta \nu \sigma s$ , time.

As to time past, comp. 558, 561. See Aesch. Ag. 254, ποίου χρόνου δὲ καὶ πεπόρθηται πόλις:

b. The Indefinite  $\pi o \tau \dot{\epsilon}$ , ever, formerly, hereafter, is in large use.

ποτέ, formerly, 103 (ἦν...ποτέ), 172, &c.; hereafter, 418 (ἐλᾳ ποτέ), 428, 486; ever: (1) strengthening Interrogatives: τίs, 2, 151, 803; πῶs, 1212; ποῦ, 1480; (2) strengthening ὅστις, 224, 279, 703; (3) after οὐ οτ μή, 335, 375, 573, 602, 852, &c.

- c. οὐποτε, never, 503, 511, 1009, &c.; μήποτε, 329, 1218, 1392.
- f. Demonstratives, then:

τότε, 52, &c., &c.; τηνικαῦτα, 76.

g. Relatives, when, numerous:

öτε, 382, &c.; ἐπεί, 1266; ἡνίκα, 1470; ἡμος, 1134 (see ώς, ὅπως). τυλεπευετ, ὅταν, 422, 618, 624, &c.

- G. CORRELATION OF PLACE (ποῦ; WHERE?).
- (1) Place where: ποῦ, and correlates.
- a. Interrog., ποῦ, τυλετε? οἱ δ' εἰσὶ ποῦ γῆς; ποῦ τόδ' εὐρεθήσεται
   κ.τ.λ., 108; ποῦ σὰ μάντις εἶ σαφής; 355, 606, 732, 1480.
- b. Indefinite, που, somewhere, anywhere, perchance, 43, 769, 1116, 1128, 1130, 1410, 1480.
  - f. Demonstratives:

here, ἐνθάδε, 452, 968, 1049; ἐνταῦθα, 582, 598, 752. there, ἐκεῖ, 776, 940.

g. Relatives: where.

οὖ, 732, 1263, 1513; ὅπου, 514, 924, 926, 1256, 1406; ἔνθα, 414, 686, 878, 1249, 1451; ἴνα, 680, 947, 953, 1311, 1515, 1732; ἴνα, with gen., τν' εἶ κακοῦ, 404, τν' ἔσταμεν χρείας, 1397. In every place where ἴνα is used in Oed. T. it means arriving at a point, evil or unpleasant; and so elsewhere in Soph., but not always in evil sense.

wherever,  $\tilde{\epsilon}\nu\theta$ '  $\tilde{a}\nu$ , 667, 1461; and  $\tilde{\epsilon}\nu\theta\alpha$   $\mu\dot{\eta}$ , 316, 796, 1412.

It must be noticed that Tra is also used as a final conjunction, in order that (to the point that) (1) with subjunc., 364, 1454; (2) with past Indic. after a past tense, Tr  $\hat{\eta}$   $\tau \nu \phi \lambda \delta s$   $\tau \epsilon \kappa a \kappa \lambda \dot{\nu} \omega \nu \mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ , 1389. See  $\dot{\omega} s$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \theta a$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon} \nu a$ .

- 2. Place whither,  $\pi \circ \hat{i}$  and correlates.
  - a. Interrog. ποῦ γᾶs; 1309.
- b. The Indefinite does not occur in Oed. T., and of the Relatives only ὅποιπερ, 1458.
  - f. Demonstratives:

hither, &δε, 144, 298; δεθρο, 532, 951, 1069, 1126, 1480.

- g. Rel. whither is not represented in Oed. T.
- 3. Place whence; πόθεν and correlates.
  - a. Interrog.  $\pi \delta \theta \epsilon \nu$ ; 1162.
  - b. Indef. does not occur.
  - f. Demonstratives:

ένθένδε, 1267; έντεῦθεν, 1516.

g. Relatives:

 $\ddot{\theta}$ θενπερ, 1498;  $\ddot{\epsilon}$ νθεν, 1179, 1286, 1485, 1490.

- 4. Which way?  $\pi \hat{\eta}$  and correlates.
  - a. Interrog.  $\pi \hat{\eta}$ ; 1310.
  - b. Indef. not in Soph.
  - f. Demonstr.,  $\tau \hat{\eta} \delta \epsilon$ , 858, 859, 1128.
  - g. Rel. none in Oed. T.

# INDEX OF WORDS.

IN using this Index, observe: (1) 'See' before a numeral (verse) implies a reference to its place in the Commentary: before Exc. to one of the Excursus. (2) Conjunctions, Prepositions, Pronouns and Pronominal Particles are not included here: they will be found in Excursus XIV. (3) Matters not given here (genders, declensions, conjugations, forms, &c.) must be sought in the Lexicon, when required. (4) Abbreviations used are: in Verbs: Voices, act. pass. m.; Moods, &c.: ind. imp. subj. opt.—inf. part.; Tenses: imperf. fut. (future), f. I, f. 2, f. 3 (paulo-post fut.); aor. (aorist); a. 1 (first aorist); a. 2 (second aorist); perf. (perfect); pl-pf. (pluperfect).

A, ha! 1147 "ABai, a town in Phocis. See 899 άβατος, inaccessible, untrodden, 719 άβλαβήs, uninjured, 229 άβουλος, inconsiderate, 634 äγaλμα, image, statue, 1379 ἄγαμος, unmarried, 1502. ἄγ. γάμος, unconnubial, i.e. impious, marriage. See 1214 äγav, very, 439; very much, 2, 767  $(\pi \delta \lambda \lambda' \, \tilde{a} \gamma a \nu, too much), 914$ αγγελος, messenger, 116, 305. See6, ἀγγέλων ἄλλων.—Hence ἀγγέλλω, to announce, 604, 955, with ώς οὐκ ἔτ' ὄντα κ.τ.λ. άγηλατέω. See 402 Αγήνωρ, father of Cadmus 268. See 1 ayvós, pure, 830.— αγνεία, purity, 864 àγνώs, ignorant, 677, 681 (vague), 1123 (oblivious) άγνωτος, unknown, 58 άγονος, unprolific, barren, 27 άγορά. See 20, 161 äγos, curse. See 1427, 931 aγριοs, wild, 477; fierce, 344; cruel, 1073, 1205, 1349 αγύρτης. See 338 άγχιστος, nearest, 919 αγχόνη, strangling. See 1374, 1365 αγω, lead, 298, 724; bring, 1063; convey, 756; hold, deem, 775. δυσφορως άγειν, see 784

άδάματος, invincible, 1315  $\dot{a}\delta\epsilon\lambda\phi\epsilon\dot{a}$  (= $\dot{a}\delta\epsilon\lambda\phi\dot{\eta}$ ), sister, 160 άδηλος, unknown, concealed, 475, 497; unproven, see 608 dei, always; the first syll. is doubtful. alév, 60, 905. eloael, for ever, 275, 1013 αελλάς. See 466 άζομαι. See άζόμενος, 155 'Aθάνα, the goddess Pallas. See 159  $\ddot{a}\theta\epsilon os$ , godless, 662.— $\dot{a}\theta\epsilon \omega s$ . See 254 åθλιος, wretched, 372, 789, &c.  $d\theta \rho \epsilon \omega$ , view, observe, 1305  $\dot{a}\theta \rho o i \zeta \omega$ , collect, 144 ἄθυμος, dejected, 319.—ἀθυμέω. aιγλη, splendour, 207.—Hence άγ- $\lambda a \delta s$ , splendid,  $152. - a \gamma \lambda a \omega \psi$ , brilliant, 214 aiδέομαι, revere, respect. See 1426 " Αιδης. See 30. 972, παρ' "Αιδη, in the realm of Hades; 1372, els "Aibou (und.  $\delta \delta \mu o \nu$ ) alθήρ, sky. See 866 αἰκίζομαι, ill-use. Aor. 1 ήκισάμην, αίμα, blood, 1279, 1406; bloodshed, 101. See 1450 αἴνιγμα, riddle, 393, 1525.—αἰνικτός, riddling, 439. See Exc. VI. αίρέω, take, aor. είλον, 396 (έλειν alμa, shed); 585 (ἐλέσθαι, choose); 887 (ξλοιτο, seize); 1522 (ξλη 2 p., take away)

αίρω, lift, 143, 270, 914 (ὑψοῦ αἴρει, excites); midd. 1225 (ἀρείσθε πέν- $\theta$ os, conceive, feel) αίσθάνομαι, perceive, aor. ήσθόμην. See 1271 άΙσσω, ἄσσω, aor. ήξα, rush, 1074 αἴσχιστα, most foully, sup. of aiσχρώs, 367 αίσχύνη, shame, 1284.—αίσχύνομαι, be ashamed of, 1079 alτέω, ask, pray, 216, 1518. midd. alτουμαι, do. 880.—ait ητόs, sued for, 384 aiτία, cause, 1236; crime, 109; accusation, 656 (Baleiv ev altia). **--αίτιάομαι, αςςτιse, 608** ἀκάλυπτος, unconcealed, 1427 ακάρπως καθέως. See 254 άκμή, time of life. See 741. finger, άμφιδεξίοις άκμαις. See 1243. 1034 ποδοίν άκμάς (ankles or insteps?) ακούω, hear, 7, 43, &c. ακουστέος, to be heard. See 1170. ἀκουστός, &c. 1312.—ἀντακούω, hear in reply, 544 άκρος, sup. ακρότατος, highest, 1106, 876 άκτή, coast, 177 ακων, unwilling, 1283; unintentional, 1230 άλάομαι, wander, 1506 άλγος, grief, pain, 62, 638, 1031.αλγεινός, grievous, 1530; άλγιστος, most painful, 675, 1238.—άλγύνω, pain, annoy, 332, 446, 1067 άλεξίμοροs, death-averting. See 163  $\hat{a}\lambda\eta\theta\dot{\eta}s$ , true, 299.  $\tau \dot{a} \lambda \eta \theta \dot{\epsilon} s$ , the truth, 299, 356. άληθές; ha really! 350.—ἀλήθεια, truth, 369 äλις, enough, 685, 1061, 1515 άλίσκομαι, be taken, 542, fut. άλώσο- $\mu$ αι, 576.— $\dot{\alpha}$ λωτός, that may be caught άλκή, help, succour, 42, 189, 218 αλλά, but, well! &c. See Particles.

άλλαγή, change, reverse, 1206  $\ddot{a}\lambda\lambda os^{1}$ . See  $\ddot{b}$ . 185 ( $\ddot{a}\lambda\lambda o\theta \epsilon \nu \ \ddot{a}\lambda\lambda a\iota$ , some here, some there);  $\alpha\lambda\lambda\omega s \tau \epsilon$ , moreover, 1414; αλλωs, in vain, 333, 1151 άλοξ, furrow, 1211 άλοχος, wife (young), 183 ἄλυπos, painless, 593 äμα, together, at the same time, 140, 471, 1317 άμαιμάκετος, invincible, 177 άμαξιτός, carriage road, 716, 730 άμαρτάνω, err, fail, perf.-p. ἡμάρτημαι, 621 *ἄμβροτος*. See 158-9 αμείνων, better, 1443, 1479, 1528  $\dot{a}$ μελέω, neglect, 111 äμορος, ill-fated, unhappy, 248 αμύνω, ward off, 894 άμφιπλήξ. See 417 'Αμφιτρίτη, wife of Poseidon αν. See Particles and Verbs, Exc. ανάγκη, necessity, strait, 877, 986. - ἀναγκάζω, compel, 280 avayvos, impure, 823, 1383 αναιρείν, aor. 2. m. ανειλόμην, I received, 1035 ανακηρύσσω, proclaim aloud, 450 ανακίνησις, agitation, quaking, 727 ἀναλίσκω, fut. ἀναλώσω, kill, 1174 αναμιμνήσκω, remind, fut. αναμνήσω, <sup>1133</sup> αναξ. See 80, 284, &c. άνάριθμος. See 167, 179 άνδρηλατέω, banish a man. See 100 άνευ. See 1464, also 541, 590  $\dot{\alpha}\nu\dot{\epsilon}\chi\omega$ . See 174 ανήκεστος, past cure. See 98 ανήρ. See 43 (Exc. III). τοῦδε τάνδρός (me), 534, 1018; so τοῦδ' ανδρός, 1464. See 449 ανθρωπος, man, 977ἀνίημι, send forth, 270, 1277, 1405 ανίστημι, άναστάς, arising, (a. 2), 1200

¹ Ἦτερος and άλλος differ as their Latin cognates alter, alius: the former meaning 'one (or the other) of two,' the latter 'another.' But in Oed. T. ἔτερος occurs once only 782, θάτέρα (for τῆ ἐτέρα ἡμέρα the next day). 

λλλος appears about 35 times: the most noticeable cases being (1) as distinguished from αὐτός, self: παρ' ἀγγέλων ὅλλων, see 7: cp. 63, 375, 589, 704, 1023, &c.; (2) in correlation with a second ἀλλος or some cognate, 175 (άλλον δ' ἀν ἀλλφ προσίδοις): 184 (ἄλλοθεν ἄλλαι); 230: (3) before ἡ than, 595, 819 (άλλος ἡ 'γω'); (4) observe 1085 (οὐκ ἀν ἐξέλθοιμ' ἔτι ποτ' ἄλλος); 1118 (εἰπεο τις άλλος πιστός ως νομεὺς ἀνήρ).

ανιστορέω, ask, inquire, 573 ανοίκτως, without a mourner, 182 aνορθόω, uplift, re-establish, 46, 51 aνορμος. See 422-3 άνόσιος, impious, 353 άντιάζω. See 192 αντιπέμπω, send back, 366 ανύω, bring to pass, cause. See 166, 720 aνω, above, 965 (in the air). But 416,  $\nu \epsilon \rho \theta \epsilon \kappa d\pi l \gamma \eta s \, d\nu \omega$ , beneath (the earth) and on earth above. Cp. 968,  $\kappa \epsilon \dot{\nu} \theta \epsilon \iota \kappa \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \delta \dot{\eta} \gamma \dot{\eta} s$ , is hidden now beneath earth, i.e. νέρθε ἐν "Αιδου άξιος, worthy, 931, 1004. With gen. 778, 972. With infin. 763, 769, 777. See 92 άξιόω, deem fit, require, 1449; consent, 944; deign, 1413 åπαυδάω, forbid. See 236. The negative with infin. after such verbs is a common Greek idiom απειμι (εἶναι), be absent, 75, 1238,1285.—ἄπειμι (lέναι), go away, 229, 431, 444, 447 ἀπείρων, unaware, 1088 (var. r. ä- $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho o s)$ aπευθύνω, direct, rule, 104 àπήνη, mule-chaise. See 753  $\dot{a}\pi\lambda o\hat{v}s$ , single, 606; simple. See  $a\pi o\delta \epsilon i\kappa \nu \nu \mu \iota, display, 1405$ άποικος. See 1518. Hence ἀποικέω. See 998. ἀποκλάω. See 1467. ἀποκλαύσασ- $\theta ai$ , to bewail mutually.  $\alpha \pi o \kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} \omega$ , to shut off, close up. See **1387 (τὸ μὴ ἀποκλῆσαι)** άποκρίνω. See 640 (άποκρίνας, giving choice of) ἀπόλλυμι, destroy, 1441. 1251 (άπόλλυται, pres. hist. he perished). See 1454 'Απόλλων, 80, &c. άπονοσφίζω. See 480 aπόξενος, stranger-shunning. See 196 απόπτολις. See 1000 (κεῖθεν ἦσθ' ἀπό- $\pi \tau o \lambda is$ : didst thou abandon that city?) αποπτος πλείστον, very far out of sight of, 762

äποροs, helpless. See 691, 877.— Hence  $\dot{a}\pi o \rho \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ , am at a loss, 486 ἀποσκεδάννυμι, disperse, dispel. Att. fut.  $d\pi o\sigma \kappa \epsilon \delta \hat{\omega}$ , 133 åποσκοπέω, look at steadfastly, 746 άποσπάω, tear off, 1268. See 1432 ἀποστέλλω. See 115 (ώς ἀπεστάλη)  $\dot{a}\pi \circ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \omega$ , deprive, 1381; withhold. See 323 ἀποστρέφω. See 1154. 431 (οὐκ ἀποστραφεὶς ἄπει; wilt thou not turn thee back and be gone?) απότιμος. See 215 ἀπότροπος. See 1313 ἀποφάσκω. See 485 ἀποφέρω, carry away, f. ἀποίσω, 1179  $d\pi\omega\theta\epsilon\omega$ , fut.  $d\pi\omega\sigma\omega$ , repulse, 234; drive out, 641, 670  $\dot{\alpha}\pi\omega\tau\dot{\epsilon}\rho\omega$  (adv. from  $\dot{\alpha}\pi\dot{\epsilon}$ ). See 137 (τῶν ἀπωτέρω φίλων, more distant friends) άρά, a curse, 295, 418, &c.—Hence apaîos, under a curse. See 276, 1291.— ἀράομαι, utter a curse, curse. See 251, 291 aράσσω, to tear, 874 dργόs, idle, 287 (οὐκ ϵν dργοῖs = οὐκ $d\rho\gamma\hat{\omega}s$ ) "Apns, the war-god (Mars), put for the pestilence, 190 αρθρον, joint, muscle, 713, 1032. See 1270 (ἄρθρα, pupils of the eyes) aριθμός, number. See 814 άριστος, best, 46, 257, &c. άριστα (adv.), best, 1046, 1369 άρκτέος. See 628 'Αρκτοῦρος ("Αρκτου οδρος, bear-ward), the brightest star in Bootes, near the Bears ("Αρκτοι), 1137 άρμόζω. See 902 ἄρρητος, unspoken, 301, 465 (ἄρρητ' αρρήτων, most horrible) άρτάνη, halter, 1268 ἄρτι, ἀρτίως, newly, lately, 742; 78, 243, &c. ἄρχω, to rule, 54, &c.—ἀρχή, rule, sway, 383, 593, &c. beginning, 121, 385.—apxaios, ancient, 1033. -άρχηγέτης, chieftain, 751 aρωγόs, helper, avenger, 127. See 206 (ἀρωγὰ προσταθέντα) ἀσαφής, unclear, obscure, 439. Exc. VI

 $\ddot{a}\sigma\epsilon\pi\tau$ os, impious, 800 άστεργής, disquieting, grievous, 229 αστυ, city, 35, &c.—dστόs, citizen, 222, &c. ἀσφαλής, safe. ἀσφαλῶς, safely, 613. –ἀσφαλεία, in strong security, 51 ἀσχάλλω, grieve, 937 ἄτεγκτος κἀτελεύτητος, unsoftened and ever impracticable, 336 äτη, woe, mischief, ruin (from delusion or guilt), 164, 1205, 1284 ἄτιμος, dishonoured, 657, 670. On this word and  $\dot{\alpha}\tau\iota\mu\dot{\alpha}\zeta\omega$ , see 340, 789 ἄτλητος, insufferable, 792. Nowhere found actively, but that it might be so used seems proved by the verb  $\dot{a}\tau\lambda\eta\tau\dot{\epsilon}\omega$ , be indignant, 515, and the analogy of  $\ddot{a}\pi\rho\alpha\kappa\tau$ os,  $\ddot{a}\psi$ aυστος, &c. αὐδή, voice, 1325. αὐδάω, speak, 93, 527, 731, 940 aὐθαδία, self-will, obstinacy, 540 aυθαίρετος, of voluntary act, 1231  $a\tilde{v}\theta \iota s$ ,  $a\tilde{v}$ , again, (often with  $\pi a\lambda \iota v$ but not in O. T.,) back, 230, 1373; 132, 359, 361, 1403. av is sometimes on the other hand; 233 αὔξω, exalt, 1092. αὔξομαι, grow, 173 αύριος, of the morrow, 1090 αὐτίκα, forthwith, 1229 αὐτός. See Pronouns, Exc. XIV. aυτωs, in like manner. See 931. αὐτοῦ, at that point, 688.—αὐτοέν- $\tau \eta s = \alpha \dot{\vartheta} \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \eta s$ , murderer. See 107. —αὐτόχειρ (=αὐτὸς τῆ χειρὶ δράσαs), perpetrator, 266, 1331 άΰω, shout, 1260 ἄφαντος ἔρρει, has disappeared. See 560 άφατος, unutterable. See 1314 αφίημι, dismiss, 320, 599; omit, 198, 860; resign, 1177; acquit, 707. aor.  $d\phi \hat{\eta} \kappa \alpha$ ,  $d\phi \epsilon s$ ,  $d\phi \hat{\omega}$ ,  $d\phi \epsilon ls$ άφικνέομαι, come (f. άφίξομαι, aor. άφικόμην, perf. άφιγμαι), 833, 920, 933, 935, 1005. See 265 (ἐπὶ πάντ' ἀφίξομαι, Ι will resort to every expedient) äφιλοs, friendless, 662 ἀφόβητος, fearless, 885 άχαλκος άσπίδων. See 191

äχος, grief, 1355 άψαυστος. See 969 άψορρος. See 431  $B\acute{a}\theta\rho\sigma\nu$ , step, 142 βαίνω (stem βα), a. ξβην, p. βξβηκα, p. part.  $\beta \epsilon \beta \eta \kappa \dot{\omega} s$  or  $\beta \epsilon \beta \dot{\omega} s$ . go, 125, 741, 832, 959, 1073.—come, 81, 148, 152, 772 βαιόs. See 750 Βάκχος, 211. Βακχείος, 1105 βάλλω. See 657 (ἐν αἰτία βαλεῖν), 975 (ἐς θυμὸν βάλης) βάξις, saying, imputation, 509 βαρύς. See 17, 546, 673.—βαρύνω, weigh on; part. a. 1. p.  $\beta \alpha \rho \nu \nu \theta \epsilon is$ , indignant, 781.—βαρύστονος, lamentable, 1233 βάσανος, touchstone, test, 510.—βα- $\sigma \alpha \nu i \zeta \omega$  (also  $\beta \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu \epsilon \dot{\nu} \omega$ ), prove, test, 493 βασιλεύς, king, 257  $\beta \epsilon \lambda os$ , dart, arrow, 205, 893 βία, force, 670. πρòs βίαν, perforce, 805.—βιάζομαι, enforce, part. a. p.  $\beta \iota \alpha \sigma \theta \epsilon i s$ , 524 βlos, life, 33, &c. mode of life, 1124.  $-\beta$ ίοτος, life, 612. $-\beta$ ιώναι, to live, 1488  $\beta$ λά $\pi$ τω, harm, 375.— $\beta$ λά $\beta$ η. See βλαστάνω, be born, aor. ἔβλαστον. See 1376.—βλάστη, pl. -ai, birth, βλέπω, see, 302, &c.—βλέφαρον, eyelid, 1276 βοή, cry, 420.—βοάω, shout, roar, 1252, 1287 βορά. See 1463 βόσκω, feed, 1425.—βοτήρ, herdsman, 837, 1044, &c. βουλεύω, advise, 1417; plan, plot (act. and m.), 537, 606, 619, 701, 1367.—βούλευμα. See 44, and Exc. 111. βούλομαι, wish, choose, 623, 1522; resolve, 1057, 1077 βούνομος, of pastured kine, 26 βραχύς, small, mere, 121

βροτόs, mortal, 46, &c.-βρότειοs,

βρυχάομαι, to roar, aor. part. βρυχη-

of mortals, 700

 $\theta \epsilon is$ , 1265

βυθός, depth, 24 βωμός, altar, 6.—βώμιος, of the altars, 184

Γαλα, land, 456.—γαιάοχος. See 160.
—γῆ, land, country, 54, &c., &c. (usually πατρίς οτ πάτρα). 113 (γῆς ἐπ' ἀλλης).—earth, 108, 415, 480, 898, 968, 1308 γάμος (often pl.), marriage, 825, &c.
—γαμέω, marry, f. γαμῶ, a. ἔγημα (of the man), 577, 1500.—γαμβρός, kinsman by marriage, brother-inlaw, 70 γαμψώνυξ, crook-clawed, 1198 γελαστής (lit. a laugher), insulting, 1422

γέμω, be fraught. See 4 γένεων, beard, chin, cheek, 1277 γέρων, old man, 402, &c.—γεραώς, aged, 9, 990, 1009.—γῆρας, old age. See 17, 1113.—γηράσκω, grow old, 872

γηθέω, rejoice, perf. part. γεγηθώς (=χαίρων), with impunity, 368 γῆρυς, voice, 167

γίγνομαι (γι-γενομαι, stem gan, gen), become, be, be born, f. γενήσομαι, a. έγενόμην, pf. part. γεγώς. (1) 53, 250, 600, 697, 721, 816. (2) 127, 845, 930, 957, 1058, 1408. (3) 714, 1168, 1181, 1393. a. I. éγεινάμην, begat, 1020, must be ascribed to the ancient form  $\gamma \epsilon \nu o$ μαι. A large tribe of words spring from this verb. First γέvos, family, 261, 791, 1070, 1383; descent, parentage, 1059, 1085; kin, 1016 (οὐδὲν ἐν γένει, nowise akin). See 1430-1 (τοις έν γένει). Hence the adjectives έγγενής, συγγενής. Connected are γενεά, generation, 1186. γένεθλον, do. 180. γενέτης, son, 470. Next (from yevva) yevvalos, noble, 1469, γεννάω, beget, whence, γέννημα, birth, one born, 1167, γεννητής, parent, 1015. Finally, yovos, son (sing. only), γονή, birth, 1469; offspring, 1495, γονεύς, parent, 436. With numerous compounds.

γιγνώσκω (stem gna, gno), know, f. γνώσομαι, a. 2 έγνων, γνοίην,

γνούς, ἔγνωκα, Ι know; 403, 613, 615, 1115-17, 1274, 1325, 1477. Hence γνώμη, judgment, opinion, purpose, 398, 524, 601, &c. 525, (ταῖς ἐμαῖς γνώμαις, ὑγνηνηίμενε.)—γνωτός, known, 58, 351, 396 γλήνη, eyeball, 1277 γλυκύς, sweet, 1390 γλωσσα, tongue, 635 γνωρίζω, recognise, Att. fut. γνωριώ,

γνωρίζω, recognise, Att. fut. γνωριώ,

γόος, wailing, tearful plaint, 30.
—γοάομαι, bewail, 1249
γοῦν. See Particles - Exc. XIV.

γοῦν. See Particles. Exc. XIV. γράφω, write, enroll, f. 3. p. γεγράψομαι, 411

γυνή, woman, wife, lady: sometimes, queen, 260, &c. See 1074 (ώς γυνή, for a woman).

 $\Delta$ αίμων, deity, 244; evil genius, 1301; fortune, fate, 823, 1194, 1311, 1479; δαίμωνες, deities, higher powers, 34, 886, 912, 1258, 1328, 1378. All θεοί (gods) are δαίμωνες (supernatural beings), but not every δαίμων would be called θεός. Human beings have a δαίμων attached to them (good or evil genius, angel, fate), whence the adjectives εὐδαίμων, 1197, δυσδαίμων, 1302

δακρύω, τυεερ, 66, 1486, 1515.—δακρυρροέω, do. 1473

δάμαρ, wife, 930  $\delta$ άπτω (lit. devour, rend), goad, sting,

682 δασμός, tribute, 36

Δαὐλία, or Δαθλία, a town in Phocis δεῖ (τινα), it behoves (one), δεῖ με, I must, with inf., 619, 825, 896, 958, 976; with gen. 406 (δεῖ δ' οὐ τοιούτων, ἀλλὰ...σκοπεῖν, τυε τυαπt not such things, but...to consider, &c.) imperf. ἔδει, 1185, 1273; with gen. 394; part. δέον, 1416.—δεῖσθαι, to require, with gen. 1148, 1293

δείκνυμι, f. δείξω, a. 1 ἔδειξα, show, 278, 614, 1294, &c. δείπνον, pl. -a, dinner, or supper, bangud, 779

 $\Delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o i$ , Delphi in Phocis, with temple, &c., 734.  $\Delta \epsilon \lambda \phi i s$ , fem. See 464, comm. δέμας, body, 1388 δέος, fear, also δειμα, 294.—δεισαι, to fear, 11, 234, &c., p. δέδοικα, 767, 1074.—δεινός, dreadful, terrible (often, see 1169, 1312); sad, 316, 1035; wonderful, clever, 545. δεινόν, δεινά, adverbial, 1260; 483, 1265. See 747 (δεινώς άθυμώ). δεινόπους. See 418.—δειλός, δειλία, cowardice, 536. δείλαιος, wretched (from fear), 1347 δέρκομαι, see, pf. δέδορκα, I see, 389, 413, 454  $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi \delta \tau \eta s$ , master, lord, 1132 δεῦρο, hither, this way! 148, &c. δεύτερος, second, next-best, 282  $\delta \epsilon \chi o \mu \alpha i$ , receive, 217  $\delta \dot{\eta}, \delta \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha, \delta \dot{\eta} \pi o v, \&c.$  See Particles, Exc. XIV. Δήλιος (Dor. Δάλιος), of Delos, 154 δηλος, manifest, 672, 1008.—δηλόω, show, manifest, 77, &c. See άδηδιάσσω, speed over, glide through, 208 διάτορος, perforated, pierced, 1034 διαφανής, clear, 754 διαφέρω, fut. διοίσω, carry through, perform, 321 διαφθείρω, destroy, 438.—διαφθορά. See 573 διδάσκω, teach, 564, fut. διδάξω, &c. -διδακτός. See 300 δίδωμι, give, aor. ἔδωκα, δοίην, δούς, 583, &c.—δόσις, gift, 1518  $\delta(\epsilon) \pi \epsilon \hat{v}$  (a. inf.), explain, distinctly state, 394. See 854 διέχω. See 717 δίκη, justice, 274, 885 (πρός δίκης, justly), 552 (just penalty).—δίκαιος, just, 280, 609, 614, &c. -ως, justly, 675. See 853. —δικαιόω, deem right, 6; claim (as right), 575, 640 διοίγω, open wide, 1295 διόλλυμαι, perish, be undone; a. διωλόμην. 225, 1159 διορίζω, define, determine, 1083 διπλοῦs, twofold, double, 809, 938, 1249, 1257, 1261, 1320; two, 288, 607, 1135

δis, truice, 363 δοκέω, seem, 346, 401, 402, 405, 435, 1191; seem right, seem true, 126, 282; think, 355, 368, 399, 584, 729, 1111, 1179, 1470; agree, 484. Hence δόκησις, fancy, 681; δόξα, opinion, idea. See 911 δόλος, deceit, treachery, 539, 960. —δόλιος, cheating, 608 δόμος, usually δόμοι, house, druelling, 422, &c.—δωμα, home, 29. δώματα, δόμοι, 71, &c. δοῦλος, slave, 410, &c. δράμημα. See 193 δράω, do, 77, &c. with two accus. See  $640 \cdot \epsilon \hat{v}$ ,  $\kappa \alpha \kappa \hat{\omega} s \delta \rho \hat{a} \nu$  with acc. See 642.—δραστέος, to be done, 1443 **δ**ρυμός, glade, 1399 δύναμαι, am able, 315, 979, 1212. - δυναστεία, power, 593 δύο, ττυο. See 640 δυσάλγητος, hard-hearted, 12 δυσγένεια, low birth, 1079 δυσμενής, ill-affected, 546 δύσμορος, ill fated, 665. δύσποτμος, do., 888, 1181 δυσούριστος, wafting evil, 1316 δυστάλας, υπhαρργ, 1236 δυστέκμαρτος, hard to trace, dim, OOL δύστεκνος. See 1248 δύστηνος, unhappy, miserable, evil, 790, &c. δυστυχέω, be unfortunate. See 262 δύσφορος ... εύτυχείν. See 87, 783 (δυσφόρως άγειν, to be sore displeased), 770 (τά γ' ἔν σοι δυσφόρως έχοντα, the grounds of thy distress, or, as I. well renders, what lies heavy on thy heart). Δωρίς (fem.), Dorian, i.e. Peloponnesian, 775 δώρον, gift, 1022.—δωρητός, given, 384 'Eâv, allow, leave, 256, 676, 1070. ἔα (monosyll.) 1451, ἐᾶ (do.) 1513 eyyevis, native, 452; of kin, kindred, 1168, 1430, 1506.—ἐγγενῶς, loyal-

ly, 1225

έγκαλέω, charge, impute. See 702

έγκαρπος, fruit-inclosing, 25

 $\epsilon \gamma \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \dot{\eta} s = \epsilon \nu \kappa \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \iota$ , in power, ruling, ϵγχϵίρημα, attempt, 540 ĕγχοs, sword, weapon, 170, 959 έζομαι, sit, 32. Hence έδος, shrine, image, 886. ἔδρα, ἔδραι. See 2, ἐθέλω, wish, 1203, a. 1 ἠθέλησα, 1348 είδέναι, to know, pf. pres. οίδα, I know (2 p. s. οἶσθα, 3 οἶδε, pl. ίσμεν, ίστε, ίσασι), part. είδώς, pl.-perf. = imperf.  $\eta \delta \eta$  or  $\eta \delta \epsilon \omega$ (ήδησθα, ήδει, ήδεμεν or ήσμεν, ήδετε, ήδειτε or ήστε, ήδεσαν), f.  $\epsilon l \sigma o \mu \alpha \iota$ . Imperative  $l \sigma \theta \iota$ ,  $l \sigma \tau \omega$ , ιστε. Compounded with διά, έξ, κατά, πρό, σύν εἰκάζω, guess. See 82, 404  $\epsilon$ ίκω, yield, 673. See 651 ( $\epsilon$ ἰκάθω) είμί, verb of being, I am. See Grammar, and Verbs in Exc. XIV. είμι, go, shall go, 637, 897; part. lών, 324, &c., imperat. ἴθι, ἴτω, ἴωμεν, ἴτε, go, 46, &c.  $\epsilon l\pi o\nu$ ,  $\epsilon l\pi \epsilon l\nu$ , say, aor. 2. See Lex.  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi$ os and  $\dot{\epsilon}\rho\hat{\omega}$  $\epsilon$ ίργω.  $\epsilon$ ργω,  $\epsilon$ έργω (Homer), confine, restrain, 129; midd. refrain, 890 εîs, μία, έν, one, single, alone. See 62, 113, 122, 247, 374, &c. είσαναβαίνω, aor. 2 είσανέβην, climb up to, 876 εἰσδέχομαι, entertain, 238  $\epsilon i\sigma \delta \dot{\nu} \omega$ , aor. 2  $\epsilon i\sigma \dot{\epsilon} \delta \nu \nu$ , enter, 1317 εἰσέρχομαι, aor. 2 εἰσῆλθον, pf. εἰσελήλυθα, 319, 1244είσοράω οτ ἐσοράω, 22, &c., f. είσόψομαι or ἐσόψομαι, aor. εἰσεῖδον or ἐσεῖδον, look on, behold, 1224, 1295, 105, &c.; 1263, 1303  $\epsilon l\sigma \pi a l\omega$ , burst in, 1252  $\epsilon i\sigma\pi\epsilon\mu\pi\omega$ , send in, 705  $\epsilon i \sigma \pi \lambda \epsilon \omega$ , aor.  $\epsilon l \sigma \epsilon \pi \lambda \epsilon \upsilon \sigma \alpha$ , sail in, 423 είσχειρίζω, place in the hands, 384  $\epsilon i\sigma \omega$ ,  $\epsilon \sigma \omega$ . See Prepositions, Exc. XIV. είτα. See Particles, Exc. XIV.  $\epsilon i \tau \epsilon$ , do. èκβάλλω, expel, 386, 399; cast off, 611; retract, 849 έκγονος, offspring, 1474. See 159. product, 172

ėκδημέω, travel, be abroad, 124 ėκδιδάσκω, instruct, teach, 38, 1370 ėκδίδωμι, give up, resign, 1040 έκει, &c., ἐκείνος, &c. See Exc. XIV. έκηβόλος, far-darting, 162 έκκαλέω. See 597 έκκινέω. See 354 ἐκκυλίνδω, roll out, 812 ěκλύω, loose off, make quittance, 35; release (midd.), 1003.—ἔκλυσις, release, delivery, 306.— ἐκλυτήριος, tending to deliver, 392 έκμανθάνω, learn from, 117, 575, 1439: learn fully, 286, 835, 1065, 1085. α. ἐξέμαθον, ἐκμαθ-. έκμετρέομαι. See 795 ἔκμηνος. See 1137  $\epsilon \kappa \pi \epsilon i \theta \omega$ , over-persuade, win over, 1024  $\epsilon \kappa \pi \epsilon i \rho \alpha \rho \mu \alpha i$ . See 360, and Lex.  $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \omega$ . See 309 έκπλήσσω, dismay, pf. p. έκπέπληγμαι, 922 **ἐκπράσσω, achieve, 377** έκρίπτω, fling forth, 1412 ěκστέλλω, deck out, adorn, dress, 1260 *ἐκστέφω*. See 3, 19 èκσώζω, rescue, save, 443, 756 έκτείνω. See 153 έκτόπιος, from the region, 166; from this place, 1340 έκτόs, out, forth, 676 έκτρέπω, turn aside, thrust off, 806; midd. diverge, 851 έκτρέφω, rear, 827, 1396  $\epsilon$ κτρίβω, wear out, 248 έκφαίνω, display; a. I έξέφηνα, 243, 329; f. m. ἐκφανοῦμαι, 1063 έκφεύγω, escape, 111. See 840 èκφοβέομαι (pass.), stand in fear, 989 ἐκφύω, beget, 437, 1017; a. 2 ἐξέφυν, sprang, 1499. See 1084. perf. ἐκπέφυκα. See 262 ἐκών for ἐκούσιος, voluntary. See 1230 έλαύνω, drive, 418, 805, 1139, 1160; drive out, 98; ravage, 28  $\xi \lambda \epsilon \gamma \chi os$ , test, proof,  $603.-\dot{\epsilon}\lambda \dot{\epsilon}\gamma \chi \omega$ , question, 333, 783 έλεινός, piteous. See 672 έλευθερόω, set free, 706 'Ελικωνίς (fem.), of mount Helicon

 $\epsilon \lambda \pi ls$ , hope, 121, 158, 835—6; expectation, 1432; pl. 487, 771 έμαυτοῦ. See Pron. Exc. XIV. έμός,  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\beta\alpha\dot{\nu}\omega$ , perf. part.  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\beta\epsilon\beta\dot{\omega}s$ , mountέμβατεύω, set foot on, 825 ėμμένω, abide by, 351 ěμπειρος, experienced, 44  $\epsilon\mu\pi$ i $\pi\tau\omega$ , rush into, 1262  $\epsilon \mu \pi \lambda \epsilon \kappa \omega$ , entwine, 1264 See 445  $\epsilon \mu \pi o \delta \omega v$ , in the way, 128.  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\pi$ oλάω, purchase, 1025 έμπορεύομαι, travel, 456 ἐμφανής τιμαῖς, visibly honoured, 909.  $-\hat{\omega}s$ , manifestly, 96, 534  $\epsilon\mu\phi\rho\omega\nu$ , rational, 436 ėμφύλιος, of one kin, 1406  $\epsilon \mu \phi \delta \omega$ , engender in,  $\epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \phi \delta \kappa \epsilon$ , is inborn, 299 ėναγήs, oath-bound, 656 ἐνάλλομαι, spring upon, 1261  $\epsilon \nu \alpha \rho \gamma \dot{\gamma} s$ , manifest, 535  $\epsilon$ ναριθμέω, count, reckon, 1188 ένδατέομαι. See 205 ëνδικος, just, honest, true, 553, 683. See 1158. - $\omega$ s, with justice, 135 ἔνειμι. οὐκ ἕνεστιν, is impossible. See ἔνι for ἔνεστι, 170, (οὖκ ἔνι, is not within reach,) 598 (ἐνταῦθ' ἔνι, depends on this), 1230  $\epsilon \nu \nu \epsilon \pi \omega$ , mention, 842, 1033, 1048; tell of, 1150; bid, command, 350, 1381 èvvoéω, understand, 559; purpose, 330. ἔννους ἀνήρ, a man of good sense, 916 ěvvoμos, lawful, 322 ένοικος, inhabitant, 1524  $\tilde{\epsilon}\nu o\pi\lambda os$ , armed, 469 ένταῦθα, ἔνθα, &c. See Exc. XIV. ἐντρέπομαι, pay regard, 724, 1056; feel regard, 1226 a. I. p. ενετράπην έξαγγέλλομαι, send notice, 148 έξαιρέω. See 907 and αίρέω ἐξάλλομαι, spring forth. See 1311 έξανύω, achieve. See 157 and Exc. v. έξεγείρω, arouse, 65  $\dot{\epsilon}\xi\epsilon\iota\pi\epsilon\hat{\imath}\nu$ , say, tell, 748. See  $\epsilon\hat{\imath}\pi\circ\nu$  $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \epsilon \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \chi \omega$ , convict, 297 έξερευνάω, search out, 258  $\dot{\epsilon}\xi\dot{\epsilon}\rho\chi$ oµaı (see  $\ddot{\epsilon}\rho\chi$ oµaı), turn out,

prove, 88, 1011, 1084; elapse, 735 (no other sense in O. T.) έξερῶ, will speak out, shall speak, fut. See  $\epsilon \rho \hat{\omega}$ , 219, &c. pf. pass. έξείρημαι, 984 έξεστι, it is lawful. See 817 ė̃ξευρίσκω, find out, discover, 304; aor. έξεῦρον, 120.— ἐξεύρημα, invention, 378 έξήκω. See 1182, 1515 and Lex. έξικετεύ**ω,** beseech, aor. έξικέτευσα, 760 έξισόω, make equal, level. έξισωτέος. See **40**8 ἔξοιδα, ἐξειδέναι, know, know to the full (see εἰδέναι), 37, 105, 129  $\epsilon \xi o \rho i \zeta \omega$ . See 192 and Lex. έξω. See Prepos. Exc. XIV. ξοικα, perf. of είκω, seem, 962, 1160. ώς ἔοικε, as it seems, seemingly; part. εἰκώς, likely, (τὸ εἰκός, likelihood, 74,) seemly, fitting, 256 έορτή, festival, 1490  $\epsilon \pi \alpha l \rho \omega$ , lift up, 1276; instigate, 1328; midd., arouse, 635  $\epsilon \pi \alpha \iota \sigma \theta \dot{\alpha} \nu o \mu \alpha \iota$ , perceive, 424 ἐπαισχύνομαι, be ashamed, 635 èπαιτέω, request, require, 1416 *ἐ*παιτιάομαι, *accuse*, 645 έπακούω, listen to, hear, 708, 704 ėπαξίως, right worthily, 133 ἔπαυλα, pl. stalls, 1138  $\epsilon \pi \epsilon l$ ,  $\epsilon \pi \epsilon l \pi \epsilon \rho$ ,  $\epsilon \pi \epsilon l \delta \dot{\eta}$ ,  $\epsilon \pi \epsilon l \tau \alpha$ . Exc. XIV. ἐπενθρώσκω, bound, leap onward, 460 ἐπέστη μοι, befell me, a. of ἐφίστημι, 772  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\dot{\nu}\chi$ oµaı, imprecate,  $\pi\alpha\theta\epsilon\hat{\nu}$ . See 249  $\epsilon \pi \iota \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega$ . See 1526 and Exc. IX. èπιβουλεύω, plot against, 618 ἐπίδημος φάτις, popular fame, 494 ἐπιέναι, part. ἐπιών, 393. τοῦ ἐπιόντος ἀνδρός, the first comer  $\epsilon \pi i \eta \rho \alpha$ . See 1094 ἐπιθυμίαμα, incense-offering, 913 ėπίκαιρος, seasonable, 875  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi i\kappa \lambda \eta \mu \alpha$ , charge, accusation, 227, 529  $\epsilon \pi i \kappa o \nu \rho o s$ . See 296 ėπιπλόμενος, coming on, assailing; sync. part. of ἐπιπέλομαι. See 1314  $\epsilon \pi i \pi o \delta i o s$ , on the foot, 1350

έπίπολος. See 1322 έπιρράσσω. See 1242 èπισκήπτω, urge upon, enjoin, 252, 1446 ἐπισκοπέω. See 1529 έπίσταμαι, κποω, 284, 589, 848. --èπιστήμη, knowledge, 1115 ἐπιστατέω, be in charge of, 1028  $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ , send order, enjoin, 106 ἐπιστεφανόω, enwreathe, fill with wreaths, 185  $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \circ \phi \dot{\eta}$ , attention, 134 (from  $\epsilon \pi \iota$ στρέφομαι)  $\epsilon\pi\iota\chi\omega\rho\iota\sigma$ , native, 939, 1045  $\epsilon \pi \sigma \kappa \tau \epsilon l \rho \omega$ , pity, 671, 1473.  $\epsilon \pi \sigma \kappa$ τίζω, do. 1296 ëπομαι, follow, 471 ἔπος, word, 296, 1419. δρθὸν ἔπος, see 504.  $\tau o \tilde{v} \pi o s$ , the answer, 89; the proposal, demand, 234; the language, 525, 848; the tidings, 036; the question, 1144. Pl. words, 216, 290, &c. €πόψιμος. See 1312 ėπώνυμος, namesake, 210 έραστής, lover, 601 ἔργον, deed, 559. τοὔργον, 347, &c. work, 1124. Pl. deeds, 517, &c. - έργάζομαι, do, εἴργασμαι (act. sense), 279, 347; part. είργασμένος (pass.)  $\epsilon \sigma \tau i$ , 1369, 1374.— $\epsilon \rho$ γάτης. See 850 έρευνα, search, inquest, 565.—έρευνάω. See 725 Epopuos, devoid, destitute, 57, 1509 ĕρνος, scion, 1216 ἔρομαι, f. ἐρήσομαι, ask, 749, 1166. —ἐρωτάω, do. 740, 1119, 1122  $\tilde{\epsilon}\rho\pi\omega$ ,  $\epsilon\hat{\iota}\rho\pi\omega$ . See 83 and Lex. (=L. repo, whence Eng. reptile)έρρω, be gone, be lost. See 560, 910, and Lex. ξρχομαι, come, α. ηλθον (part. <math>ϵλθών),p.  $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\dot{\eta}\lambda\upsilon\theta$ α. Its numerous compounds have the same tenseforms  $\epsilon \rho \hat{\omega}$ , will say, will speak, p.  $\epsilon l \rho \eta \kappa \alpha$ , p. p. είρημαι, part. είρημένος, all frequent. See Lex. Compounds  $(\dot{\alpha}\pi\epsilon\rho\hat{\omega}, \dot{\epsilon}\xi\epsilon\rho\hat{\omega}, \&c.)$  have the same forms.  $\epsilon l \pi o \nu$  (root  $\epsilon \pi$ -) is the aor. in use έσθλός, good, 611

έσκομίζω, convey in, 1420  $\ddot{\epsilon}\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho\sigma$   $\theta\epsilon\dot{\sigma}s$  (Hades or Pluto. See 178 and Lex. ἔσπερος), western god, (god of the sunset, or of darkness). έστία. See 965 ἔτερος. See ἄλλος and note ἔτι. See Particles. Exc. XIV. ἔτοιμος. See 92  $\epsilon \hat{v}$ , well, frequent with olda,  $\phi \rho o \nu \hat{\epsilon} \omega$ , &c., &c. εὐαγής. See 921 εὐδαίμων, blessed, 1197. — εὐδαιμονία, happiness, 1190. See δαίμων  $\epsilon \ddot{v} \delta \omega$ , sleep, 65, 586 εὐέπεια. See 932 εὐθύ, adv. straight, 1242; εὐθύς, forthwith, 1392 εύιος. See 211, 154 εὐκλέἄ poet. for εὐκλεᾶ, glorious. See 161 εὐλαβέομαι, be cautious. See 47, 616 εὐνή, pl. εὐναί, marriage-bed, marriage-union, 1249. — εὐνήτειρα. See 1102.—εὐνάζω, lull to sleep, 961 eurous, with kind feeling, 1003 εὐπλοία, fair voyage, 425 ευπομπος, ably wafting, 697 ευπτερος, fleet-winged, 176 εὐρίσκω, find, 68, 440, 1397, f.  $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$ , 441, a.  $\epsilon \ddot{\nu} \rho o \nu$ , 42, 1026, pf. εὔρηκα, 546, pf. p. εὔρημαι, 1050, a. 1 p. εὐρέθην, 839, f. εὐρεθήσομαι, 108 εὐσεβώς έχει, it is a pious duty, 1431  $\epsilon \ddot{v} \sigma \epsilon \pi \tau o s$ , holy, 864  $\epsilon \vec{v} \tau v \chi \dot{\eta} s$ , fortunate, 145.— $\epsilon \vec{v} \tau v \chi \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ , be fortunate, 88, 1478 εύχομαι, pray, 269, 1512; boast, 199,  $892.-\epsilon \dot{v}\chi\dot{\eta}$ , prayer, 239 ( $\epsilon \dot{v} \chi a l \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} v$ , prayers to the gods) εὐῶπα ἀλκάν. See 189 ἐφίεμαι (midd. from ἐφίημι), desire, 766, imperf. 1055 έφίμερος, desirable, 1375 έφυμνέω, repeat. See 1275 έχθρός, έχθίων, έχθιστος (έχθρότατος), hostile, hateful, 415, 272, 28, 1519, 1345.  $\dot{\epsilon}\chi\theta\rho$ oδαίμων, hateful to deities, 816 ἔχω, have, 221, &c. &c. f. ἔξω, imp. είχον, hold, 259, 566, 586, 726, 759, 942, 1470, 1477. be able,

119, 277, 1074. with gen. for μετέχω, 709. With adverbs, 345 (ως όργης έχω); 770 (δυσφόρως  $\epsilon \hat{t} \chi o \nu$ ); 879 ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} s \cdot \hat{\epsilon} \chi o \nu$ ); 1172 ( $\omega s \cdot \hat{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \iota$ ). With past participles, 577 (γήμας ἔχεις); 699 (στήσας έχεις); 731 (λήξαντ' έχει); 701 (βεβουλευκώς έχεις). See Exc. XIV, Verbs. ἐώρα. See 1264. εωs. See Exc. XIV, Particles. Ζεύγνυμι, yoke, f. ζεύξω, a. 2 p. έζ- $\dot{\nu}\gamma\eta\nu$ , 826  $Z\epsilon \dot{\nu}s$ , 200, 498, g.  $Z\eta\nu \dot{\nu}s$ , 18, or Διός, 151, 159, 188, 470 ζηλος, emulation. See 1526 and Exc. IX. ζημία, damage, 520  $\hat{g}_{\eta\nu}$ , to live, be alive, survive. See 45 and Exc. III. Also 482, 985, 988 ζητέω, seek, 266, &c., pass. part. 110.—ζήτημα, thing sought, question, 278 <sup>\*</sup>Η, η, ηδη, ημος, ηνίκα, &c. See Particles, Exc. XIV. ηβη. See 741 η γ εμών, ruler, 103ήδομαι. See 937, τάχ αν ήδοιο, thou mayest perhaps find pleasure in ήδύς, ήδίων, ήδιστος, sweet, pleasant. See 82.  $\dot{\eta}\delta u \epsilon \pi \dot{\eta} s$ , stueet-spoken, 151. ήδύπολις. See 510 ήθεος. See 18 ήκιστα, by no means, 623. οὐχ ηκιστα = μάλιστα, best. See 1053 ήκω, am come. See 687 (τὰ σέμν' ίν' ηκει κ.τ.λ. to what a pass the solemn oracles of the god are come); 1519. f. ηξω will come, 341-2, 1158, 1489. See 713 ήλίκος, 15. See Pronouns, Exc. ηλιος, Dor. άλιος, the Sun, 661, 1426 ημαρ, day. See 73, 199. ημέρα, do. 1283 ηρ, spring, 1137

ησυχάζω, stay quiet, 620 (ην ησυχά-

quietly)

ζων προσμένω, if I shall await him

θακέω, 20; θάσσω, 161; θοάζω, 2; sit. See 2 θάλαμος, chamber. See 195.—θαλαμηπόλος. See 1200 hetaαλάσσιος, on the sea, 1411  $\theta a \hat{v} \mu a$ , a wonder, 1132, 1319.— $\theta a v$ μάζω, to wonder, 777. See 289  $\theta \dot{\epsilon} a \mu a$ , spectacle, 1295.  $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \omega \rho \dot{\epsilon} a$ , do. 1491.  $\theta \in \lambda \omega$ , wish, be willing, 11, &c. &c. See 649, 651, 1356  $\theta \epsilon \mu \iota \tau \delta s$ , lawful. See 993 θεόs, a god, 27, 77, &c. &c.  $\theta \epsilon \hat{\iota} os$ , divine, 298. See 960, 1235.  $\theta \epsilon \dot{\eta}$ λατος, heaven-sent, 255, θεσπιέπεια, fem. heaven-inspired, prophetic. θέσπισμα, θέσφατον, oracle, 971, 1175. See 907.  $\theta \epsilon \omega$ ρόs, on sacred mission, 114. Exc. X. Θήβαι, Θήβη, Thebes. See 153, 1524 θηράω, hunt for, seek, 542 θητεία, hired service, 1029  $\theta_{i\gamma\gamma}\dot{\alpha}\nu\omega$ , touch, f.  $\theta_{i\xi}$ oual, a.  $\xi\theta_{i\gamma}$ ov, 760, 1413, 1469  $\theta \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$ , die, f.  $\theta \alpha \nu o \hat{v} \mu \alpha i$ , a.  $\xi \theta \alpha \nu o \nu$ , pf.  $\tau$ έθνηκα, 118, 106, 313, &c. θνητός, mortal, 868, 1424, &c. θάνατος, death, 942, 1284. θάνατοι, violent death, 497, 1200. θανάσιμος, 560, 959. θανάσιμον βεβηκότα, is dead and gone. θανατηφόρο**s,** death-dealing, 181 θρασύς, bold, 89.—θαρρέω, θαρσέω, be bold, be cheery, 1062 θρέμμα, nursling, offspring, 1143 θρόνος, seat, throne, 161 θυγάτηρ, daughter, 188. See 159 θυμός, mind, 914, 975. anger, 674. —θυμοῦμαι, fret angrily, 344 θυρών, vestibule, 1242  $\theta \dot{\nu} \omega$ , to sacrifice: whence  $\theta \hat{\nu} \mu \alpha$ ,  $\alpha$ sacrifice, 239.—θυμίαμα, incenseoffering, 4 'Iάλεμος, dirge. See 1219 laois, cure, 68 ἴδρις, skilful, 1086 ieρós, sacred, holy, 1379, 1428. leρεύs, priest, 18 (lepηs Att. n. pl.) lήιος. See 151, 1096 ίημι, 1242. ίετο (midd.), she hurried

ikavós, sufficient, 377

ικνέομαι, come, f. ίξομαι, a. Ικόμην,

76, 115 &c. Hence ἰκέτης, ἰκέτις, 920 (fem.).—ἰκετήρ or ἰκτήρ, suppliant, 143.— ικτήριος, supplicative, 3.—ἰκετεύω, supplicate, 4 ίμείρω, 59, 587, **ί**μείρομαι, 386, to desire ἴνα. See Particles. Exc. XIV 'Ιοκάστη, Jocasta, 622 &c. lού, alas, 1071 'Ισμηνός. See 21 ισος, equal, 409, 544, 845; same as before, 53, 677; ioov kal, 611. ἴσα καί, 1187; ἐκ τῶν ἴσων, 1498. ἴσον, equally, 1018, 1347.—ἴσον (μέροs) 579.—ἴσην (τἴσιν) 810. ἴσωs, perhaps, 1078, see 927. lσόταυρος, like a bull, 478.—lσόω, make equal, deem equal, 31, 581 "loτημι, place, station, make, &c. f. στήσω, a. ι έστησα, part. στήσας (all trans.). See στήσας έχεις, 699, a. 2 ἔστην, part. στάς, 50, pf. έστηκα, part. έστώς, 565. έσταμεν for  $\epsilon \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \kappa \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$ , 1442 (these are intrans.), midd. ἴσταμαι. See 143, 147. Pass. a. 1 ἐστάθην, 1463 ίστορέω, inquire, ask, 1144, 1150, 1156; know, 1484 "Iστροs, the river Danube, 1227  $i\sigma\chi\omega$  (= $\dot{\epsilon}\chi\omega$ ), 882. See 1031 *ἰσχύω*. See 356 ἴχνοs, foot-print, trace, 109. Hence lxveύω, to track, search for, 221, 476 iώ, a call; or an outcry of sympathy or woe, 162, 1186, 1207, 1216, 1311, 1313, 1321, 1391

Κάδμος. See 1. adj. Καδμεῖος, 29, 35, 223, 273, 1288. Once θη-βαῖος, 453 καθαρμός, purification, 99, 1228 καθήκων, regular, required. See 75 καθικνέομαι, smite (lit. 'come down on'). See 809 καθίστημι (see ἴστημι), whence καθέστατε, καθεστάναι, pf. See 10, 703 καί, καίτοι, κάν, κεί, κεῖθεν, κεῖνος. See Exc. XIV καινός, new, 916 καίνω, kill, 348

καιρός, time, occasion, season, 1513, 1516. See 325, 1050. Hence καίριος, in season, opportune, 631 κακός, evil, bad. This, perhaps the most largely used adj. in Greek, must be rendered by various words, all conveying the same idea of evil, whether moral or casual. See 78, 521 (criminal or traitorous) &c. Adv. κακωs often joined with the adj. See 248. Compar. κακίων, sup. κάκιστος. See 334, vilest of the vile. - Kaκούργος, knavish, 705 καλέω, call, 1245; invite, 432; twit, 780, pass. 8, 1201, 522 (KEκλήσομαι); 1359 (ἐκλήθην) κάλλος. See 1396 καλός, honourable, good, noble, 595, 1409, 1516. Comp. καλλίων, κάλλιστος, 55, 315. See 78 (είς καλόν). Adv. καλώς, well, 317, 616, 984, 986, 1367. See 600, καλώς φρονων, right-minded, 1008, καλώς  $\epsilon \hat{l}$   $\delta \hat{\eta} \lambda os$   $o \hat{l} \kappa \epsilon \hat{l} \delta \hat{\omega} s$ , thou very evidently knowest not κάλυξ, bud, 25 κάματος, pain, suffering. See 174 κάρα, head, 23, 742, 809. See 40, 1207, 1235. κρᾶτα (neut.) is used for κάρα, 263 καταισθάνομαι, discern, 422 καταισχύνομαι, respect (from shame), κατακοιμάω, lull to slumber, 860, κατακτείνω, kill, a. Ι κατέκτεινα, a. 2 κατέκτανον, 826, 856. See 843 καταμβλύνω. See 688 κατάρατος, accursed, 1344 κατασφάζω, murder, 730 κατάφημι. See 507 καταφθείρω, destroy, 33 καταφθίω, waste away, 970, κατέφκάπευγμα, votive offering. See 920 κατεύχομαι, imprecate. See 246 κατέχω. See 782 κατηγορέω, accuse, 514, 529 κατιδείν, discern, behold. See κατείδε, 117, 338

κάτοιδα, know clearly, 225, 926,

1041, 1048, 1134

κατοικτείρω, κατοικτίζω, pity, 1178. See 13, Exc. 11 κάτω γη̂ς. See Prepos. Exc. XIV κέαρ. See 688 κειμαι, lie, 182, 972, 1267. ἔκειτο, was existing. See 490 κεινός. See 8 κεκλόμενος. See 159 κέλευθος, road, 801, 1398 κελεύω, command, 226 κενός, empty, 55, whence κενόω, to empty, 29 κέντρον, goad. See 809; point, 1318 κεραυνός, thunderbolt, 202 κέρδος, profit, gain, 232, 595. Hence κερδαίνω, 889 κεύθω, hide, 1229; lie hid, 968 κήδομαι, care for, 1061, also κηδεύω. See 1324 (κηδεμών). Hence κηδευμα. See 85 κηλίς, stain, 833, 1384 κήρες. See 472 κήρυξ, herald, 753.—κηρύσσω, proclaim, 737.—κήρυγμα, proclamation, 350 Cithaeron, mountain-Κιθαιρών, range near Thebes, 421, &c. κικλήσκω, call, 210 κιν εω, stir, 636κιχάνω, find, a. 2 ξκιχον, 1257 κλάδος, a small branch or wand, 3 κλάω, weep, mourn.—κλάων, to your cost, to your sorrow, 401, 1152.κεκλαυμένος, in tears κλήζω, call, 48, 733, 1171, 1451  $\kappa\lambda\hat{\eta}\theta\rho\alpha$ . See 1262 κλίνω. See 1262 κλύδων. See 197, 1527 κλύω, hear, 84,&c.—κλυτός, famous, noble. See 172 κοιλος, hollow. See 1262 κοινός, common, 240, 261.—κοινή, in concert, 606 κολάζω, chide, 1147. Hence κολαστήs, a chider κομίζω, convey, conduct, 444-5, 678. κομίζομαι, reprieve, 580 κόρη, maiden, 1098 Kόρινθος, Corinth, 936, &c.; adj. Koρίνθιος, 774, &c.  $\kappa \rho \alpha \tau \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ , rule, sway, possess, 54, 409, 530, 973, 1197, 1522-3; also κρατύνω, 14, 903.—κράτος, pl. κράτη,

power, κράτιστος, most mighty, noblest κρείσσων, stronger, superior.—κρεῖσσον, adv. more strongly, 177; έργα κρείσσονα άγχόνης, deeds too bad for hanging to expiate, 1374 κρεμαστός, suspended, 1263-6 Κρέων, 70, &c., adj. Κρεόντειος, 400 κρίνω, judge, 34, 544, 829 κρύπτω, hide, κεκρυμμένος, 1398 κτάομαι, acquire, 1499.—κτήμα, acquirement, 549 κτείνω, kill, a. έκτανον, 123, &c. &c. κυβερνητής, steersman, 923 κυκλόειs, encircled. See 161 κύκλος, orbit, eye, 1270 κυρέω, am, 362, 594, 985; succeed, 398; obtain (with gen.) 1514. See Verbs. Exc. XIV κύριος, proper, assigned, 1453 κύων, hound, monster, 391 κωφόs, dull, stupid, stale, 290

496.—Λαβδακεῖος, 267, 1226 λαγχάνω, receive as lot, a. έλαχον. See 1366 λάθρα, secretly, without the knowledge of. See 618, 787 Aáios, father of Oedipus, 103, &c., always trisyll. Aaïeios, adj. of do. λαμβάνω, take, seize, catch, find, 1031, 1494, f. λήψομαι, p. είληφα, 643, a. ἔλαβον, part. λαβών, 121, 218, 641, 913, 1012, &c. &c.  $\lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \omega$ , shine, sound clearly, 187, 473. Hence λαμπρός, bright, 81, 1483 λανθάνω, lurk, be hid, a. έλαθον, p.  $\lambda \epsilon \lambda \eta \theta \alpha$  (used with partic., see 247, 366, 415), 904.—λήθω, be

Λάβδακος, 224.—Λαβδακίδαι, 489,

λαός, people, 144 λέγω, speak, say (frequent), f. λέξω, a. ἔλεξα, pass. λέγομαι. See 39, p. λέλεγμαι, a. 1 ἐλέχθην. Hence λόγος, word, speech, report: very frequent. See 219, 657

hidden from, 1325

λείπω, leave, a. ἔλιπον, 1247, pf. pass. λέλειμμαι, 1418, 1504. See λείπει, intrans. for ἐλλείπει, is wanting, 1232. Hence λοιπός.

See Lex. τδ λοιπόν, thereafter, 795, 1273. τὰ λοιπά, the residue, 1487 λέκτος. See 19  $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \rho o \nu$ ,  $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \chi o s$ , bed. The plurals  $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \rho \alpha$ ,  $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \chi \eta$  have the same sense. See 260, 821, 1243 λευκανθής. See 742 λεύσσω, see, 1524  $\lambda \dot{\eta} \gamma \omega$ , cease, 686, 731, 881 ληστής, robber, 422-4, 535, &c. λιμήν, haven, 428, 1208  $\lambda \iota \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \omega$ , beseech, 1435 λίσσομαι, pray, 650 λογίζομαι, consider, reckon up, 461 λοιμός, plague, 28 Λοξίας, Apollo's title as a deliverer of obscure (λοξά) oracles: used by Soph. in this play four times, 410, 853, 994, 1102: in El. once, λόχευμα, new-born child, 1107 λοχίτης, trooper, 751 Λύκειος, Lycean, epithet of Apollo. See 203. Λύκιος, Lycian, 208 λύπη, grief, 915, 1074.—λυπέω, grieve, pain, 74, 1231 λυσσάω, rave, 1258 λύω, loose, release, 1034, 1351; atone, expiate, 101; fulfil, 407; break up, unnerve, 880. — λύειν τέλη = λυσιτελείν, bring profit, 317. Hence λύσις, release, 921  $\lambda \dot{\varphi} \omega \nu$ , better, 1038, 1513.— $\lambda \dot{\varphi} \sigma \tau \sigma s$ , best, 1066-7

Máγos, conjuror, 387 Mairás, a Bacchanal (μαινομένη, maddened), 212 μακαρίζω, deem happy, 1295 μακραίων βίος, aged life, length of days, 513 μακρός, long, 561, &c. Hence μακράν, far, 16, 220, 998 μάλα, μάλ' αθθις, again and again. μᾶλλον, more, rather, better (frequent), μάλιστα, most (of all), primarily, especially, exactly: never means most often μανθάνω, learn, a. ξμαθον (frequent) μανία, madness, 1300 μάντις, seer, prophet. Hence μαντικός prophetic, 723; μαντική (τέχνη),

the prophetic art, divination, 311, 462, 709. — μαντεία, prophecy, 149, 394, 857.—μαντείον, oracle, 407, 481, oracular shrine, 243. μαντείος, oracular. See 21. μάντευμα, oracle, 946, 953, 992 μαραίνω, corrupt, waste, destroy, a.  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{a}\rho\bar{a}\nu a$ μαρτυρέω, testify, 1032 ματάζων, in rash folly, 891 ματεύω, seek, search out, 1052, 1061  $\mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta \nu$ , in vain, unwisely, 365, 609, 874, 1057, 1520 μέγας, great, 441, &c. μέγα φρονείν, to be proud-minded, tall, 742.  $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \alpha$ , greatly, 1023, 1343.  $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\zeta} \omega \nu$ , greater: see 1301. μέγιστος, greatest. μέγιστα, most greatly, 1223. ès μέγιστον, τὰ μέγιστα, in the highest degree  $\mu \epsilon \theta \eta$ , strong drink, 779  $\mu\epsilon\theta$ i $\eta\mu$ i, dismiss, 131, a. part.  $\mu\epsilon\theta\epsilon$ is, 784. τῶ μεθέντι τὸν λόγον, him who let drop the word μέλει, 377. ψ μέλει, whose care it is, 443. οὔ μοι μέλει, *I care not*, mid. μέλομαι, take care, with gen. See 1466.  $\mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon os$ , wretched, 479  $\mu \in \lambda \lambda \omega$ , delay, 678; be fated, 96. 1385, ξμελλον οραν, was I going to behold? μέμφομαι, blame, 337. See 506 μέν, μέντοι, μὲν οὖν, μήν, μή &c. &c. See Exc. XIV Μενοικεύs, father of Creon. See 1503 μένω, remain, stay, 295, 437, 1291 μέριμνα, care, anxiety, 723. Hence μεριμνάω, have the care of, 1124 μέρος, part, portion, 1294, 1509, πλην οσον το σον μέρος, save what concerns thee μεσόμφαλος. See 430 μέσος, middle, μέσον κάρα, full on the head, 808, 812 μέτειμι: κάμοὶ μέτεστι, I too have a share, 630 μετέχω, partake, 1465 μέτοικος. See 452, comm. μετρέω, measure. See 581 μήκιστος. See 1301

μήν, month, 1083

μηνις, wrath. See 600 μηνύω, indicate, show, 102. See 1384 μήτηρ, mother, &c., adj. 775, μητρώος, 1256 μίασμα, pollution, defilement, 97, 241, 813, 1012 μιάστωρ, polluter. See 353 μίγνυμι, f. μίξω, p. p. μέμιγμαι, a. 1 p.  $\epsilon \mu i \chi \theta \eta \nu$ , a. 2 p.  $\epsilon \mu i \gamma \eta \nu$ , mingle, couple, 791, 995 μικρός, for σμικρός, small, 1083 μιμνήσκομαι οτ μνάομαι, α. έμνησάμην, make mention, 564; p. μέμνημαι, remember, 1057, 1401. Hence μνήμη, memory, 431, 1239, 1246, 1328 μοιρα, fate, 376, 713, 864, &c. μολείν, a. 2 come, 35, 765, &c. μόλις, hardly, 782 μόνιμος, remaining, 1322 μόνος, alone, only, 614, &c.  $\mu$ υδών, clammy, 1278 μύσος, pollution, 138 μωρία, folly. See 586 μῶρος, foolish, 433, 436, 540

Nαίχι, yes, 684 valw, dwell, inhabit, 338, 414, 1105, 1451 vaós, shrine, 21, 899, 912 váπη, dell; vaπaîos, wooded, sylvan νεῖκο**ς,** quarrel, 490, 633 νεκρός, dead, 181, 1245 νέμω, hold, 201, 237; distribute, 240, 579; esteem, 1080 véos, young, 1145. New, see 155, Exc. v. modern, see 1  $\nu \epsilon \rho \theta \epsilon$ , beneath, i.e. in Hades, 416, opposed to  $\delta \nu \omega$ . νέφος, cloud, 1313 νήπιος. See 652 νίζω or νίπτω, wash, cleanse, 1228 viv, him, 123, &c., her, 397, 1265. See Pronouns, Exc. XIV νοέω, bear in mind. See 1054, midd. νοοῦμαι, 1487 νομίζω, think, consider, esteem, 516, 549, 551, 610, 859, pass. 39 νόμος, law, 855 νόσος, νόσημα, sickness, plague, 217, &c.  $vo\sigma \dot{\epsilon}\omega$ , am sick. See 60, &c.

νοσφίζομαι, put from me, forsake. See 693 νοῦs, mind, 371, 600, 1347; understanding, 550 Νύμφη, Nymph, 1108. νύμφη, bride, 1407; νυμφικός, bridal, 1242 νύμφιος, bridegroom, 1358. νύμφευμα, marriage, 980 ν θν, νυν, 644 νύξ, night, 198, 374, &c. νωμάω, ponder, contemplate, 300 νωτίζω, turn back. See 193 Zévos, stranger, foreigner. See 219, 'O,  $\delta s$ ,  $\delta \delta \epsilon$ ,  $\delta \sigma \tau \iota s$ , and other Pronouns and Particles. See Exc. XIV οδός, path, way, road, 116, 311, &c. See 1473. Hence όδοιπόρος, wayfarer, 292. οδοιπορέω, travel, 801 οδύρομαι, wail. See 1218 Οίδιπους. See 405, 1091. Οίδιπόδης, 495 οίκος, house, home, 115, &c., also plur. 249, 320, &c. Hence οἰκέω, dwell, 414.—oikeîos, your own, 1162.—οἰκέτης, οἰκεύς, domestic servant, 756, 1114.—οἰκητής, inhabitant.—οϊκοι, at home οίκτίζω, pity, 1508. οίκτρός, pitiable, 58, 1462 οίμαι, think, 1051, 1227 olvos, wine, 780 oivώψ, wine-visaged, ruddy, 211 olóζωνος, travelling alone, 846 οἴστρημα, prick, sting, 1318 olwvós, bird, 310, 395, 398 όκνηρός, fearful, 834 δκνος, dread, 1175. Hence δκνέω, to dread, fear, 746, 749, 922, 976, 986, 1000 öλβos, weal, prosperity, 1197, 1282. Hence δλβιος, blessed, 929  $\delta\lambda\epsilon\theta\rho$ os, destruction, 430, 1146, 659; adj. δλέθριος, 1343 ολλυμι, destroy, Att. f. ολω, 448, p. ὄλωλα, am killed, am dead, 126, &c., pass. perish, 179, 799, a. 2 ώλόμην, 645, 663, 822, 856, 1157,

1349

öλos, whole, entire, 1136

'Ολυμπία, Olympia in Elis, 900

"Ολυμπος, Olympus, 1088 öμαιμος, sister, 689 öμαυλος, consonant, in unison, 187 őμβρος, shower, 1279, 1428 ομιλέω, associate, cohabit, 367, 485. Hence ouilla, company, 1489 őμμα, eye, 81, &c. ομογενής, a common parent, 1362 ouolws, in like manner, 563 ομόσπορος. See 260, 460 δμόστολος, companion, 212 ομοῦ, at once, 4, 1276, 1278; alike, 1495; with, 337, 1007 ομφαλός, navel, centre, 893 öμωs, nevertheless, all the same, 302, &c. οναίμην. See 644 ονειδος, reproach, scandal, disgrace, 523, &c. Hence ὀνειδίζω, cast reproach, twit, 372, &c. ονείρατα, dreams (from a disused nom.), 981 ονομάζω, call (by name), mid. ώνομάζετό με παιδα, called me his son, 1021, pass. 1036, 1042 οπίσω. See 488 οράω, see, 15, &c. &c., f. όψομαι, 135, 776. See 1271, p. a. ὅπωπα, a. 1 p. ωφθην, 509, aor. 2 είδον...  $l\delta\epsilon\hat{\iota}\nu$ — $l\delta\omega\nu$  (frequent) compounded with els (es), επί, πρός, περί, κατά δργή, anger, 405. δι' δργής, in anger, 344, 807. Hence δργαίνω, οργίζω, 524, to anger, 335, 339, 364 ορθός, right, upright, stedfast, true. See 506, 528, 853, 1226, 1385. κατ' όρθόν, 88, 696. βλέποντα όρθά, 410. ὄρθ' ἀκούεις, 903. See 528. Hence  $\delta\rho\theta\delta\omega$ , upraise, 39.— $\delta\rho\theta\hat{\omega}$ s, rightly, 550, 1448 ορκοs, oath, 647, 653 ορμος, anchorage, roadstead, 196 őρνις, bird, 176; omen: see 52 όρνυμι, arouse, midd. όρνυμαι, arise, rush on, 165, part. ὅρμενος, speeding, 176 ορος, mountain, 208, 719, 1106, 1451. Hence operos, of the mountain, 1028 δρούω, hasten, hurry, 877 On où and its compounds see Exc. XIV

οὐράνιος, in heaven, 301, 866
οὐρίζω, waft, carry with fair breeze,
695
οὖς, ὧτ-, ear, 371, 1387
ὀφείλω, a. ὤφελον. See 1157
ὀφθαλμός, εγε, 1377. See 987
ὀφλισκάνω, f. ὀφλήσω. See 512
ὀχλέω, trouble, annoy, 446
ὄψις, sight, εγε, 1328

Πάγκαρπος, berry-laden, 83 παιάν, paean, prayer-song, 5, 187 Παιάν, for Παιών, the healer (Apollo),  $\pi$ aîs, child, son, &c., 60, &c.  $\pi$ aiδουργία. See 1248 παίω, strike, 807, 1270, 1332 πάλαι, anciently, of old. See 1. Used of 'a short time since' by an idiomatic exaggeration, 1161, 1277 πάλιν, again, back. See 156 (Exc. V.), in return, 100, 619. παλίσσυτος, retreating, 103 Παλλάς=the goddess Athene, 20. See 150 πάλλω, quiver. See 152 πανσέληνος, full moon, 1000 παντλήμων, most miserable, 1379 παραβώμιος, beside the altar, 184 παράγω, mislead, 974 παράδειγμα, pattern, 1193 $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \nu \epsilon \omega$ , advise, 913, 1512 παραμείβω, surpass, 504 παραστατέω, stand beside, 400  $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \tau \epsilon i \chi \omega$ , walk beside, 808 παραφρόνιμος, insensate, 601 πάρειμι, be present, πάρεστι, it ispossible, 766  $\pi$ αρέρχομαι.  $\pi$ αρηλθε, she entered, 1241  $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \chi \omega$ , 36, pay; 53, procure; 567, hold (inquiry); 1306, cause  $\pi \alpha \rho \theta \dot{\epsilon} vos$ , virgin, maiden, 1199, 1462 παρίημι, omit; 283, μη παρής τὸ μη φράσαι. See 346, 688, relax, unnerve παρίστημι, perf. part. παρεστώς, present, standing by, 633, 1047, aor. I pass. παρεστάθη, presented itself, 911

 $\pi$ άροιθε, πάρο**s**, formerly, 491, 856,  $\pi \acute{\epsilon} \tau \rho os$ , a stone, 334.  $\pi \acute{\epsilon} \tau \rho a$ , a rock, 1282, 1116; 48, της πάρος προ-478. See 464 θυμίας, for thy former zeal: 1421, τὰ πάρος, in my former conduct  $\pi$  as (for  $\pi$  as  $\tau$  is), every one, 506, 823. πâs ἄναγνος, wholly impure, 986. πασ' ανάγκη, absolute necessity. πασ' έδηλώθη, was made fully manifest, 476. πάντ' ιχνεύειν, make all search for (adverbial). 1230 The dat. pl.  $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota$  is ethic several times  $(=in \ universal \ esteem)$ , 8, 40, 596. πασι χαίρω, all give me 10γ. πάντα, all things, frequently.  $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \omega$ , suffer, 1272 (stems  $\pi \alpha \theta$ -,  $\pi \epsilon \nu \theta$ -); fut.  $\pi \epsilon l \sigma o \mu \alpha \iota$ , 223; perf.  $\pi \epsilon \pi o \nu \theta \alpha$ , 516; aor.  $\epsilon \pi \alpha \theta o \nu$  (frequent), 325, 403, &c.  $\pi \acute{a}\theta$ os, calamity, 840, 1331. πάθημα, injury, 554 πατήρ, father, passim. Hence πάτρα, country, father-land, 194, 1524. πατρίς, do., 641. πάτριος,  $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \hat{\omega} os$ , of a father, 996, 1394, 1450. πατριώτης, of the same country, 1001. πατροκτόνος, parricide παύω, put a stop to, put an end to, 397. Hence παυστήριος, queller, stauncher  $\pi \epsilon \delta \eta$ , fetter, 1340  $\pi \in \delta o \nu$ , ground, 181  $\pi \epsilon i \theta \omega$ , advise, 55; a. midd.  $\epsilon \pi \iota \theta \delta \mu \eta \nu$ , take advice, 321, 649, 1065; a. 1 pass.  $\pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon ls$ , persuaded, 526;  $\pi \epsilon \iota$ στέον, I must obey, 1516  $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \dot{a} \omega$ , attempt, 399  $\pi \epsilon \lambda as$ , near, 400, 565, 801, 1047.  $\pi \epsilon \lambda \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$ , draw near;  $\pi \epsilon \lambda \alpha \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha i$ , 213  $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \omega$ , am, 245  $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \omega$ , send, 71, &c.; midd.  $\pi \epsilon \mu$ ψασθαί τινα, to have some one sent  $\pi \ell \nu \theta$  os, sorrow, 94, 1225. Hence  $\pi \epsilon \nu \theta \epsilon \omega$ , mourn, 1320  $\pi \epsilon \rho \alpha$ , beyond, 74. Hence  $\pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\alpha} \omega$ , exceed, 674; pass, 1530 περιβόητος. See 192 περιϊδείν. See 1505

 $\pi$ ερι $\pi$ ολέω, walk about, 1254

περισσόs. See 841 and Lex.

 $\pi \epsilon \rho \delta \nu \eta$ , brooch, 1269

περιτελλομέναις ώραις. See 156

πεύθομαι, inquire, learn, 604 (also  $\pi \nu \nu \theta \dot{\alpha} \nu \sigma \mu \alpha \iota$ ); fut.  $\pi \epsilon \dot{\nu} \sigma \sigma \mu \alpha \iota$ , 1442; aor. ἐπυθόμην, 71, 1305; perf. p. πέπυσμαι, 513 πεύκη, pine-torch, 214 πημονή, injury, slander, 363; woe, πικρός, bitter, 1437  $\pi i \nu \omega$ , drink, aor.  $\xi \pi i o \nu$ , 1401  $\pi i \pi \tau \omega$ , fall, aor.  $\xi \pi \epsilon \sigma o \nu$ , 50, 129, 376, 616; perf. πέπτωκα, 146 πιστός, faithful, trusted, 385, 1118. πίστις, faith, 1445; pledge of faith, 1420. πιστεύω, believe, 625, 646  $\pi\lambda$ ávos,  $67.-\pi\lambda$ áv $\eta\mu\alpha$ , wandering, 727.—πλάνης, wanderer, 1029 πλάξ, tract, 1103 πλαστός. See 780 πλεκτός, corded, 1264  $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os. See 541  $\pi$ λησιάζω, be near, 1136  $\pi \lambda \hat{o} \hat{v} \tau \sigma s$ , wealth, 380, 541.  $-\pi \lambda \hat{o} \hat{\sigma} \sigma \sigma s$ , rich, 455, 1070 πόθοs, desire, 518; regret, 969  $\pi o \iota \epsilon \omega$ , do, 537. See 543. Midd. ποιούμαι, make, 240. See 918,  $0\dot{0}\delta\dot{\epsilon}\nu\dot{\epsilon}s\pi\lambda\dot{\epsilon}o\nu\pi o\iota\hat{\omega}, Ieffect nothing$ ποικιλωδός, riddling, 430 ποιμήν, shepherd, 1020, 1040. ποίμνη,  $\pi o(\mu \nu i o \nu)$ , flock, 761, 1125 πολιός, hoary, 103  $\pi \delta \lambda is$ , city, state, 22, &c.  $\pi \delta \lambda i \tau \eta s$ , citizen, 1164, 1526 πολλάκις, many times, often, 1275 Πόλυβος, 400, &c.πολύζηλος, of many rivalries, 381  $\pi \circ \lambda \circ s$ ,  $\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \acute{\eta}$ ,  $\pi \circ \lambda \circ \delta$ , much, many. Plur.  $\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \circ i$ , many (men).  $\pi \circ \lambda \lambda a$ , many things, 120, 501, 981, &c. Adv.  $\pi o \lambda \dot{v}$ , much, 743, 786. Obs.  $\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \gamma \in \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda \circ \nu$ , much more, 1159.  $\pi \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$ ,  $\pi \lambda \epsilon l \omega \nu$ , more, 75. Adv.  $\pi\lambda\epsilon$ ov, more, 93, &c.  $\pi\lambda\epsilon$ î $\sigma\tau$ os, most. Adv.  $\pi\lambda\epsilon\hat{i}\sigma\tau o\nu$ , 612.  $\hat{\omega}_{s}$ πλειστον, as much (far) as possible, 762. Obs. 1125, τὰ πλείστα τοῦ βίου, most of my life πολυστεφής, richly crowned, 83 πολύχρυσος. See 151 πόνος, toil, suffering, 185, &c.; labour, 315

προσαυδάω, address, 352 πόποι. See 167  $\pi o \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ , provide, aor. of a disused  $\pi \rho o \sigma \beta a l \nu \omega$ , come on, 1300 present, 921, 1255 προσβλέπω, look upon, 1183, 1486  $\pi \rho c \sigma \delta \dot{\epsilon} \chi o \mu a \iota$ , accept, entertain, 1428 πορεύομαι, gv, 787 προσειπείν, address, 1072 πόσις, husband, 459, 639, 934, 1209 ποτέ, πότερον, ποῦ, &c. See Exc.  $\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \rho \pi \omega$ , steal on, 559 XIV προσέρχομαι, approach, come; aor. $\pi \rho o \sigma \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta o \nu$ , 59 πότμος, fate, 271. δύσποτμος, illfated, 888, 1181 προσήγορος, addressing, or being addressed, 1437 (pass.)  $\pi o \dot{v}s$ , foot, 468, &c. πράσσω, act, 1417; do, 862, 1353. προσημαι, sit beside, 15  $\pi \rho \delta \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ,  $\pi \rho \delta \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$ , before, 268, 360, Obs. 74,  $\tau i \pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \epsilon i$ , how he fares &c.  $\pi \rho \delta \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \ \hat{\eta}$  (antequam) with (lit. rehat he is doing); 69, ταύτην ϵπραξα, on this I acted (i.e. I proindic., 736; infin., 832 ceeded to effect it).  $\epsilon \tilde{v} \pi \rho \tilde{a} \xi \alpha \iota \mu i \tau \iota$ ,  $\pi$ ροσθήκη, assistance, 38 I might have some good fortune. πρόσκειμαι, 232. προσκείσεται, shall Passive, 125, εἴ τι μὴ ἐπράσσετο, be credited to him besides προσκυνέω, bow before, 327 if some intrigue were not going on. προσκυρῶ, meet with; aor. προσέ-621, τὰ τοῦδε μὲν πεπραγμέν' έσται, that man's schemes will have κυρσα, 1299 προσλεύσσω, look upon, 1376 been effected. 1140, λέγω τι τούτων προσμένω, αιναίτ, 620  $\pi \epsilon \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$ ; is what I have been saying matter of fact? 1237, των  $\pi \rho o \sigma o \rho \acute{a} \omega$ , look upon; aor.  $\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon i \delta o \nu$ ,  $\pi \rho \alpha \chi \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ , the facts. See 220, 175, 1372  $\tau c \hat{v} \pi \rho \alpha \chi \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau o s$ , the procedure, i.e.  $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau \acute{a} \tau \eta s$ , patron, 411; champion, the inquest (on the murder of Laius). Hence  $\pi \rho \hat{a} \gamma \mu a$ , thing,  $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau i \theta \eta \mu i$ , attach, 820.  $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \rho o \sigma \theta \dot{\eta}$ , fact, 69, 255; business (where 70 do not undertake (aor. m.), 1460  $\pi \rho \hat{a} \gamma \mu a = \tau \hat{o} \pi \rho a \chi \theta \hat{\epsilon} \nu \text{ in 220}, \quad \pi \rho \hat{a}$ προστρέπομαι, supplicate, 1446. πρόστροπος, suppliant, 41 ξις, action, 895. πρακτέος, proper προσφιλής, friendly, 322 to be done, 1430  $\pi \rho o \sigma \phi \omega \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ , address, 238, 818  $\pi \rho \epsilon \pi \omega \nu$ . See 9 προσχρήζω, require, 1155  $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta vs$ , old man, 805, &c.  $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma$ βύτερος, graver, more serious, 1304  $\pi \rho \delta \sigma \omega \pi o \nu$ , face, 448, 533 πρίν. See Particles. Exc. XIV προτρέπομαι, instigate, 358  $\pi \rho o \beta άλλω$ . See 945  $\pi \rho o \dot{\nu} \chi \omega$  ( $\pi \rho o \dot{\epsilon} \chi \omega$ ), have the vantage προδείκνυμι. See 456 and Excursus of, 1116  $\pi \rho o \phi \alpha l \nu \omega$ . See 163, 395, 790 and VII  $\pi \rho \circ \delta \epsilon i \sigma \alpha s$ . See 90 Exc. VIII προδίδωμι, betray, 331 προφωνέω, proclaim, 223 $\pi\rho\hat{\omega}\tau$ os, first, 33, 958. Comp.  $\pi\rho\delta$ προειπείν, address, proclaim, 351 προθυμία, zeal, 48; hopeful thought,  $\tau \epsilon \rho o s$ , former, 164; sup.  $\pi \rho \omega \tau \iota \sigma \tau o s$ , first of all, 1439 see 838 πτυχή, dell, 1026 προίστημι. See 206 πρόκειμαι. See 865 (πρόκεινται, are  $\pi \tau \omega \chi \delta s$ , beggar, 455, 1506 πυθμήν. See 1261 set forth)  $\Pi \upsilon \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$ ,  $\Pi \upsilon \theta \dot{\omega} \delta \epsilon$ ,  $\Pi \upsilon \theta \iota \kappa \dot{\sigma} s$ ,  $\Pi \upsilon \theta \dot{\sigma} \mu \alpha \nu \tau \iota s$ .  $\pi \rho \circ \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \omega$ , foretell, 973 See 70, 152, 788, 965 πρόνοια, fore-knowledge, 978 πύλη, gate, door, 1244, 1261, 1294 προξενέω. See 1483  $\pi \rho o \pi o \nu \epsilon \omega$ . See 685 πύματος. See 663 προσάγομαι, force, 131 πυνθάνομαι. See πεύθομαι  $\pi \rho o \sigma ά \pi \tau \omega$ . See 664  $\pi \hat{v} \rho$ , fire, 177, 470 προσαρκέω, help, assist. See 12, 141 πυρφόρος. See 27, 200, 206

 $\pi\hat{\omega}$ ,  $\pi\hat{\omega}$ s, &c. See Exc. XIV πωλικόs, drawn by colts (or ponies?), 802. For Particles, Pronouns, &c., see Exc. XIV

'Pa. See Particles ράδιος, easy; adv. ραδίως, 72; sup. ράστα, 320, 98<u>3</u> ραψωδός, singing riddles, riddling,  $\dot{\rho}\dot{\epsilon}\pi\omega$ , incline (of a balance), 847. Hence  $\dot{\rho}o\pi\dot{\eta}$ , impulse, 961 ρήγνυμι, break out, 1076; perf. έρρωγα, 1280 ρητός, fit to be spoken, 993. Hence αρρητος, 466.—ρημα, word, speech, ρίπτω, fling, 719, 1290, 1436 δύομαι, rescue, 72, 312, 1352 ρώμη, strength (from ρώννυμι), 123, 1292 Σάλοs, surge, 24. Hence σαλείω (of a vessel), rock, toss, 23, 695 σαυτοῦ, σεαυτοῦ. See Pronouns, Exc. XIV σάφα, σαφωs, clearly, truly, 106, 604, 702, 846, 958, 1065, 1117, 1132, 1325. Sup. Adv. σαφέστατα, 286

σαφής, clear, true, 390, 973, 1011, 1182

 $\sigma \epsilon \beta as$ , majesty, 830; from  $\sigma \epsilon \beta \omega$ , to worship, respect, 700, 886, 898. Hence  $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \dot{\sigma} s$ , for  $\sigma \epsilon \beta - \nu \sigma s$ , holy, reverend, 953. See 556, σεμνόμαντις, holy seer, 556 σεύω, go forth, a. 1 pass. ἐσσύθην,

 $\sigma v \theta \epsilon ls$ , having departed, 446 σημάντωρ, reporter, 957 σημείον, sign, 710, 1059. σημαίνω,

give sign, declare, 79, 226, 933, 1056

 $\sigma\theta\dot{\epsilon}\nu\omega$ , be strong, be able, 17, 1486.  $\sigma\theta\dot{\epsilon}\nu\sigma$ , strength, 369.  $\sigma\theta$   $\epsilon\nu\alpha\rho$  os, strong, 468

σίγα, adv. in silence, 1212.—σιγή, silence, 341.—σιγάω, be silent, 569 σιωπή, silence, 1075. σιωπάω, be silent, 233

σκηπτρον, staff, 456, 811.—σκηψαs(from  $\sigma \kappa \dot{\eta} \pi \tau \omega$ ), swooping  $\sigma$ κληρόs, hard, cruel, 36

 $\sigma \kappa o \pi \epsilon \omega$ , regard, consider, 68, &c.;

midd. observe, respect, 964; fut. σκέψομαι, α. Ι έσκεψάμην, 584 σοφός, wise, 484, 509, 563, 568.σοφία, wisdom, 503 σπάνις, want, 1461 σπάργανα. See 1035 σπέρμα, seed, origin, 1077, 1405  $\sigma\pi\circ\delta\circ s$ , ash (for fire, altar), 21  $\sigma \pi o \upsilon \delta \dot{\eta}$  (from  $\sigma \pi \epsilon \dot{\upsilon} \delta \omega$ ), serious care, σταγών, drop (from στάζω), 1278  $\sigma \tau \alpha \theta \mu \dot{\alpha}$ , stalls, 1130 σταθμάομαι, form a judgment, 1111 στάσις γλώσσης, insurrection of the tongue, i.e. altercation, 634  $\sigma \tau \epsilon \gamma \eta$ , or plur.  $\sigma \tau \epsilon \gamma \alpha \iota$ , roof, dwelling, apartment, 533, 637, 1164, 1228, 1262, 1515 στείχω, go, 612, 798, 1521  $\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ , fetch, dispatch, 860. 198; midd. send for, 434 στέναγμα, groan, 5. στεναγμός, groaning, 30, 1284. στονόεις, groanful, 187 στένω, groan, 64 στενωπός, narrow pass (δδός), glen, 1399 στέργω, love, 1023; be content, be resigned. See II and Exc. II. Hence στερκτός, loveable, 1338 στερέω, deprive, aor. ι p. ἐστερήθην,77 I στεροπή, lightning, 470 στόλος. See 160 στόμα, mouth, speech, language, 426, 671. See 706 στρέφω, p. στρέφομαι, aor. p. ἐστρά- $\phi \eta \nu$ ,  $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \phi \epsilon is$ , turning aside, 728 στυγέω, hate, 672. See 1296. Hence στυγνός, usually hateful, but 673, full of hate, sullen

συγγενής, akin, kindred (adj.), 551, 1082. See 814 τι συγγενές, any kinship σύμβολον, sign, clue, 221 σύμμαχος, ally, 135, 245, 274 σύμμετρος, commensurate, agreeing, 1113; within reach, 84. συμμετρέω. See 73, 963

συμπαίζω, play with, 1109 συμπίπτω. See 113

συμπράκτωρ όδοῦ, fellow traveller, 116

συμφέρω, συμφορά. See Lexicon. Note and Exc. III.1 σύμφημι, agree, 553 συμφυτεύω, complot, 347 σύμφωνος, concordant, 42 I συνάδω, conspire, agree, 1113 συναλλάσσω, deal with, 1110, 1130. συναλλαγή, intervention, visitation, 34, 960 σύναυλος, in unison with, 1126 σύνειμι, be with, consort with, attend, 275, 457, 863. σύνεστιν (νόσω). is afflicted with συνέπομαι, αςсοπραηγ, 1523 συνέρχομαι, meet with, 572 συνέστιος (οίκοισιν), harboured in, συνετός, intelligent, all-knowing, 499 συνευνάζομαι, sleep with, 982 συνίημι, understand, 360, 623; allow, 988; think, 346

συννεύω, consent, 1510 σύνοιδα, be aware, be conscious, 250; have knowledge, 330, 704 σύνοικος, dwelling with, 1206. συνοικέω, dwell with, 57 συντίθημι, concoct, scheme, 401 σύντομος, brief, 710; adv. συντόμως, sharply, 810 συντυγχάνω, meet  $^{2}\Sigma\phi i\gamma\xi$  (throttler, from  $\sigma\phi i\gamma\gamma\omega$ )  $\sigma \chi \epsilon \delta \delta \nu$ , just (adv.) σχιστή όδός, a cross road, 733 σχολή, leisure. See 434, 1286 σώζω, save, 1180, 1457 σωμα τουμόν, my person, 643 σωτήρ, saviour, 48, 150, 304, 1030; saving (fem.), 81 σωφρονέω, be discreet, 580

Ταλαίπωρος, *unhappy*, 634 τάλας, do., 1211, 1265, 1363, 1373

1 συμφορά. See 45, 99 and the notes in these places. In studying Greek writers generally, but especially in studying the dramatists, learners should beware of the not unnatural tendency to suppose that the prevalent use of a word is that which is necessarily the true one in every place. Σνμφορό means calamity, misfortune, far oftener than anything else in Greek authors, but all scholars know that it does so only because it has the prior meaning 'event,' 'occurrence' (in which sense it is frequently used, and once or twice even of a joyful event, as Soph. El. 1230), and because the Greek disposition to avoid ill-omened words led them to call a misfortune by the word occurrence. The Romans did the same in using 'casus,' accident to signify calamity. A student should have in mind a clear conviction that a verbal substantive of the form φορά, συμφορά, is, a priori, capable of obtaining all the senses as a substantive, which its verb φέρω or φέρομαι, συμφέρω or συμφέρομαι, exhibits as a verb. Whether it does in extant Greek literature shew all (which is not probable), and which of them it shews in preference to others, are matters depending on various causes, and sometimes variant in different authors. If  $\phi \epsilon \rho \omega$ (φέρομαι) and φορά be thus compared in the Lexicon of Liddell and Scott, and in the Indices of different authors (as the Dramatists, the Orators, Plato and Thucydides) it will be found, for instance, that in Plato the prevalent meaning of popul is that of motion—but that others sometimes occur, while in the Orators and Historians the senses of payment, produce, and others occur oftener than that of motion. Then let συμφορά be compared with συμφόρω, συμφόρομαι in the same way and it will be seen that while the literal sense 'bring together' is the radical one, the active verb is far most often used in the sense of profiting, being expedient (see 875, Phil. 131, 627), while (as has been said) the prevailing use of the noun is that of 'misfortune.' Συμφέρομαι usually means, to concur, agree, comply. Our inference in the two places before us is that which our notes declare. We have not the very slightest doubt that συμφορά βουλευμάτων is that (substantively) which συμφέρειν βουλεύματα (in Aesch.) is as verb, —comparing counsels. And in the other place we have a strong belief that τίς ὁ τρόπος τῆς ξυμφορᾶς=τίς ὁ τρόπος τοῦ ξυμφέρεσθαι, what is the mode of compliance with the oracle? But we admit that the arguments for this interpretation are not so overwhelmingly conclusive as those which determine the meaning in 44-45.

<sup>2</sup> The Sphinx was a monstrous invention due to Assyrian and Aegyptian superstition. It was a huge colossal figure, having the body and claws of a lion, with a head and breast sometimes human (male or female), sometimes resembling a ram. These statues were placed before temples or palaces, and adored as guardian spirits. The Assyrian Sphinxes usually had wings. The Greeks became acquainted with them through Asia Minor, in which the coins of many cities represent this monster, and on a bas-relief of Tenos (see Overbeck, Heroische Bildw.) is shown a flying Sphinx carrying a youth its claws. So the Sphinx which figures in the Oedipodean myth is called µ¿Gran¢@evos.

ταράσσω, disturb, 483  $\tau$ άρβος, fear, 296.  $\tau$ αρβέω, to fear, 202  $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \rho os, bull, 478$ τάφος, tomb, 1453; sepulture, 1447 τάχα, soon, 84, &c. τάχ' ἄν, perhaps, 139, 523, 936, 1116.—τάχος, speed.—ἐν τάχει, 765, 1131.—ώς τάχος, with all speed, 1154.—ταχύνω, hasten, 861.—ταχύς, swift, 617-18.  $\theta \hat{a} \sigma \sigma \sigma v$ , faster (adv.), 430, sup. adj. τάχιστος, 1234. adv. τάχιστα, ώς τάχιστα, as quickly as possible, 142, 1429. So ὄτι τάχιστα, 1341; ὅπως τάχιστα, 1410; οσον τάχιστα, 1436 τέγγω, moisten, ἐτέγγετο, was streaming, 1270 Τειρεσίας, 235, 300 τέκνον, child, 158, &c.—τεκνόω. See  $\tau \in \lambda os.$   $\tau \in \lambda \eta \quad \lambda \circ \in \iota \nu$ , bring profit.—  $\tau \epsilon \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ , complete, perform, fulfil, 252, 1330, 1443, 465, 797.—pay, 232 .- count, am enrolled, 222.τελευταίος, last, 1528  $\tau \epsilon \rho \alpha \sigma \kappa \delta \pi o s$ , seer, 605 τέρμα, limit, goal, 1530  $\tau \epsilon \rho \pi \omega$ , to delight. See 785.— $\tau \epsilon \rho \psi \iota s$ , delight, 1477 τέχνη, art, skill, profession, 357, 380, &c. τίθημι. In O. T. only midd. a. 2  $\dot{\epsilon}\theta\dot{\epsilon}\mu\eta\nu$ .  $\ddot{\epsilon}\theta\epsilon\sigma\theta\epsilon$ , ye have paid, 134;  $\dot{\epsilon}\theta\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\theta\eta\nu$ , appointed, 1453.  $\theta$ o $\hat{v}$ , 1448.  $\epsilon \tilde{v} \theta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \alpha i$ , to settle  $\tau$ ίκτω, bear, bring forth, 173, 869, 1048.— $\dot{\eta}$  τίκτουσα,  $\dot{\eta}$  τεκοῦσα, mother, 1247, 985, 1497.—oi τεκόντες, parents, 999, 1176  $\tau\iota\mu\dot{\eta}$ , honour, 909.— $\tau\iota\mu\dot{\alpha}\omega$ , to honour, 563, 1202, 1223.—τίμιος, honourable, 895  $\tau \iota \mu \omega \rho \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ , avenge (with dat.), 107; wreak wrath on, 140  $\tau i\omega$  (or  $\tau i\nu\omega$ ), pay: see 810  $(\tau \lambda \eta \mu i)$  endure, aor.  $\xi \tau \lambda \eta \nu$ ,  $\tau \lambda \alpha i \eta \nu$ , 602, 1327 τόκος, labour (of childbirth), 26  $\tau \delta \lambda \mu \alpha$ , audacity, 125, 533 τόπος, place, region, 1127, 1134 τράπεζα, table. See 1464  $\tau \rho \epsilon \hat{i} s$ , three,  $\tau \rho i \pi \lambda o \hat{v} s$ ,  $\tau \rho i \sigma \sigma \delta s$ , do.

718, 1136, 1398; 716, 730, 800; 1399; 168. Tpiros, third, 283, 581, 1062. τρίδουλος, threefold slave, 1063  $\tau \rho \epsilon \mu \omega$ , tremble, 947, 1014 τρέφω, feed, nurture, maintain, train, &c. 356, &c. See 374, f.  $\theta \rho \dot{\epsilon} \psi \omega$ , a. I  $\ddot{\epsilon} \theta \rho \dot{\epsilon} \psi \alpha$ , 323 m.  $\dot{\epsilon} \theta \rho \dot{\epsilon}$ ψάμην, 1143, p. pass. τέθραμμαι, 97; aor. 2 p. ἐτράφην, 1123, 1380. —  $\tau \rho o \phi \dot{\eta}$ . See 1:  $\tau \rho o \phi \dot{o} s$ , nurse, 1092 τριβή, delay, 1160  $\tau \rho \delta \pi os$ , manner, 99; mood, 10  $\tau \nu \gamma \chi \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$ , obtain (with gen.), f.  $\tau \epsilon \dot{\nu} \dot{\xi}$ ομαι, 1519, aor. 2 ἔτυχον, 423, 677, 1435, 1450, 598. On its use with Participles see Verbs. Exc. τύχη, fortune, 80, &c. XIV. See 1080 τύπτω, strike, aor. 2 p. ἐτύπην τύραννος, sovereign, tyrant, king, 514, 799, 873, 925, 939, 1043; as adj. 588. — τυραννίς, monarchy, 121, 380, 535, 592.— $\tau \nu \rho \alpha \nu \nu \epsilon \omega$ , eign, be king, 408 τυφλός, blind, 371, 389, 412, 454, 1324, 1368, 1389 On Pronouns and Pronominals Tis, τις, τοῖος, &c., see Exc. XIV "Υβρι**s.** See 873 viós, son, 459 υμέναιος, bridal-song, wedding, 422  $\dot{\upsilon}\pi\alpha\rho\chi\dot{\eta}$ .  $\dot{\epsilon}\xi\dot{\upsilon}\pi\alpha\rho\chi\dot{\eta}s$ , starting afresh, 132 ύπείκω, yield, 625 ύπεξαιρέω, put away secretly, hide

132 ὑπείκω, γield, 625 ὑπείκω, γield, 625 ὑπείκω, γield, 625 ὑπείκω, ρut αιναγ secretly, hide αιναγ; αοτ. ὑπεξείλον, 227 ὑπερβολή, excess. See 1196 ὑπερμάχομαι, fight for, 265 ὑπέροπτος, αιτοιαπί, 1883 ὑπερπίμπλημι, fill to excess, αοτ. 1 p. ὑπερεπλήσθην, 779, 874 ὑπερφέρω, surγαss, 381 ὑπέρχομαι, steal upon, αοτ. ὑπῆλθον, 386 ὑπηρέτης, minister, ὑπηρετέω, minister to, help to stem, 217 ὑπνος, sleep, 65 ὑπομένω, endure, 1323

ὕπουλος, hiding a sore (or sores), 1396 ὑπτιος, supine, 811 ὕπτιος, supine, 811 ὕστερος, later, 50, &c. ὑφέρπω. See 786 ὑφηγητής, suggester, ὧν ὑφηγητῶν, on whose suggestions, 966 ὑφίημι. See 387. ὑφείς, having suborned ὑψίπους, sublime, 865 ὑψοῦ, aloft; ὑ. αἴρειν, to excite, agitate

Φαίνω, shew, display, midd. appear, pass. be shown, displayed, fut. a. φανώ, m. φανούμαι, aor. a. ξφηνα, perf. πέφηνα, perf. p. πέφασμαι, aor. I  $\dot{\epsilon}\phi\dot{\alpha}\nu\theta\eta\nu$ , a. 2  $\dot{\epsilon}\phi\dot{\alpha}\nu\eta\nu$ , fut. φανήσομαι. See 132, &c. 582, 686, 737, 692, 838, 1184, 743, 474, 848, 1063, 1231, 1383, 146, 336, 1437, 453, 457. φανερός, manifest, 527 φάσκω, say, 110, &c. 462, φάσκειν for φάσκε φάτις. speech: oracular speech, oracle, 151, 310, 1440 (the information sought from Teiresias is so called 323); public report, 495, 715 φένω, kill, aor. 2 έπεφνον, 1497  $\phi \epsilon \rho \omega$  (a verb of wide use), bring, 86, 1094: bear, suffer, 93, 168, 675, 983, 1211, 1293, 1320, 1415 : gain, receive, 500, 764, 1100, 501 (πλέον  $\phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ ): tend, 517, 520, 991 (εἰς βλάβην, εἰς ἀπλοῦν, ἐς μέγιστον, ès φόβον): maintain or exhibit, 863. φέρειν εls μέγα, exaggerate, 658. ποι γας φέρομαι; whither am I borne? 1309. The imper.  $\phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon$ is used as Engl. come now,  $\phi \epsilon \rho$  $\epsilon l\pi \dot{\epsilon}$ , 536, 1142: fut. olow, aor. ήνεγκα or ήνεγκον φοράδην, carried afar, 1310

φεύγω, fly, shun, with infin. 1010, fut. φεύξομαι, 355, αοτ. ἔφυγον, perf. πέφευγα, 118, 356, be banished, 623. Hence φυγή, flight, 468, exile, 659, φυγάs, an exile,

φήμη (Dor. φάμα), a divine voice, oracle, 158. See 43, 723 φήμι, say, 362, 866, 1471. Its forms are φήs, φησί, φασί, φῶ, &c. f. φήσω, imperf. ἔφην, &c. φαίην φθείρω, waste, fut. m. φθεροῦμαι, 272, aor. 2 p. ἐφθάρη 1502 φθίνω, pine away, waste (intrans.), 256, 666, 906; from another form φθίω, destroy, aor. I a. ἔφθίσα, 202, I198; perf. p. ἔφθίτα,

μαι, 962 φθόνος, επτιγ, 382. φθονέω, to enry, grudge, 310. See 624 and Exc.

φίλος, adj. and subst. dear, agreeable, 862, 1103, 1329, 1342, 1472; friend, 137, 233, 385, 522, 541, 582, 611, φίλτατος, dearest, 950, τὰ φίλτατα, 1474. See Common 366

φλέγω, inflame (trans.), 192. Hence φλόξ, flame, 166, 1425

φόβος, fear, 118, &c., φοβέω, frighten, 236, midd. φοβοῦμαι, to fear, 227, 977, 980. φοβερός, timid, 153

Φοίβος, Phoebus, 71, &c. φοιτάω, roam, walk up and down, 477, 1255

φονεύς, slayer, murderer, 362, &c. φονεύω, slay, 1411

φόνος, blood (from φένω), murder, 100, 113, 266, 450, 852. death, 100, 1351. blood, 1278. φοίνιος, bloody, red, deadly, 24, 466, 1276

φραγμόs, stoppage, means of closing, 1387

φράζω, explain, tell, say. See Exc.

φρήν, heart, 153; mind (also φρένες), 511, 528, 524, 727.  $\phi \rho \dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon s$ , understanding, 1511. Hence φρο $v \in \omega$ , know, have knowledge, 302, 316, 326, 328, 462, 569, 1038; purpose, 403, 1520; consider, judge, 550, 552, 600, 617, 626.  $\epsilon \hat{v} \phi \rho o \nu \epsilon \hat{v}$  has two senses (1) to judge well, be right-minded  $(=\partial\rho\theta\hat{\omega}s \phi\rho o\nu\epsilon\hat{\iota}\nu)$  as in the places cited: (2) to be loyal, affectionately minded, as in 570, 1066 (though with ambiguity perhaps designed), see Aj. 491. φρονείν μέγα, to have a proud mind. See 1078 and Comm.— $\phi_{\rho}$ ov $\eta\sigma_{is}$ , thought,

purpose (= φρόνημα), 664. - φρόνι-230, 736, 795, 939, 1178, 1290. μος, wise, rational, 692. - φροντίς,  $\chi\theta$ ονοστιβήs, treading earth, earththought, 67, 170, 1390 φρίκη, horror, 1306 χλιδή, luxury, pride, 888 φρουρέω, guard, 1479 χνοάζω. See 742 φυλάσσω, guard, keep in store, 382. χορεύω. See 896 Hence φύλαξ, guardian, 1418 χραίνω, pollute, 822 φῦλον. See 19 χράω, prophesy. See 604, τὰ χρησφύω, engender, beget, 436, 438, 1019, θέντα, the prophecies; χρησμός, 1404. Aor. (from form in  $\mu\iota$ )  $\xi\phi\nu\nu$ . See 9 and Comm. 435, oracle, 711, 797. χρησμωδός, singing riddles, 1199 440, 458, 587, 593, 601, 627, 822, χρεία, need, desire, 725, 1174, 1435, 1015, 1184, 1350, 1363, 1365. Hence  $\phi \dot{\nu} \sigma \iota s$ , nature, 335, 674, χρέος, object, thing, 157 869; stature, 740. φυτεύω, en- $\chi \rho \epsilon \dot{\omega} \nu \ (= \chi \rho \dot{\eta} \text{ in O. T.}), 633, 1488,$ gender, 793, 873, 1404, 1504. χρή, it behoves (must), 235, 342, 669, beget, 1514. οἱ φυτεύσαντες, pa-823, 1110. imperf.  $\dot{\epsilon}\chi\rho\hat{\eta}\nu$ ,  $\chi\rho\hat{\eta}\nu$ , rents, 1007, 1012. φυτουργός, who begat, 1482, τοῦ φυτουργοῦ 1184, opt. χρείη, 555, 791, infin. πατρός χρήναι, 854, 995 χρήςω, desire, require (as a needful Φωκίs, *Phocis*, N.W. of Boeotia φωνέω, speak (aloud), 10, 72, 1121. thing), 91, 365, 595, 622, 655,  $\phi \dot{\omega} \nu \eta \mu \alpha$ , speech, 324 933, 597; οι σέθεν χρήζοντες, they who have need of thee, 1076, ὁποῖα  $\phi \hat{\omega}$ s (contr. fr.  $\phi \hat{\alpha}$ os), light, 375, 1183, 1229, 1428 χρήζει, whatsoever will (or must) χρημα, thing, business, 1120 Χαίρω, rejoice, 1070. See οὔ τι χαί- $\chi \rho \nu \sigma \epsilon o s$ , golden, from  $\chi \rho \nu \sigma \delta s$ , gold, ρων, 363; νῦν πᾶσι χαίρω, 596 χρυσήλατος, gold-wrought, 1268; χάλαζα, hail. See 1279 χρυσομίτρης, having golden turχάρις, gratitude, grateful return, ban. χρυσόστροφος, gold-twisted, favour, 232, 764, 1004.  $\pi \rho \dot{o}s$ gold-spun, 203 χάριν, graciously, to oblige, 1152, χρωμαι (χράομαι), use, 873 (χρηται),els xápiv, thankworthy.-xápiv, 117  $\dot{\epsilon}\chi\rho\dot{\eta}\sigma\alpha\tau$ ο.  $\chi\rho\dot{\eta}\sigma\iota\mu$ ος, useful, used as a prepos. with gen. for 873. χρηστός, serviceable, virtuous, 610 the sake of, 147, 888 χειμάζω, afflict, 101  $\chi \omega \rho \alpha$ , land, 14, 97, 911, 1418 χειμών, winter, 1188 χωρέω, proceed, go, 619, 750 χείρ, hand. χειρί, with the strong χωρίς, without, apart, 608, 1463 hand, 107. See 140,  $\chi \epsilon \rho l$ , 1510.  $\chi \hat{\omega} \rho os$ , spot, place, 732, 798, 1126 έν χεροίν έμαίν, 821; σύν πλήθει χερων, 213; χειρων, 1022, 1400,  $\Psi \alpha \dot{\nu} \omega$ , touch, 1467, of 'taking to χέρας, 1154, 1481, χερσί, 348 and eat,' 1465 five times more. - χείρωμα, act of  $\psi \epsilon \gamma \omega$ , blame, 338 violence, 560. χειρόδεικτος, finger- $\psi \hat{\eta} \phi os$ , vote (lit. 'pebble'), 607 ψυχή, soul, 64, 666, 727; life, 94, pointed, 901. χέρνιψ, lustral water, 240 894 χέρσος, barren, 1502  $\mathring{\omega}\delta\epsilon$ ,  $\dot{\omega}s$ ,  $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\tau\epsilon$ , &c. See Pronomiχηρεύα, wander alone, be solitary, nal Particles " $\Omega \rho \alpha$ , season, 156, 467  $\chi\theta\dot{\omega}\nu$ , earth, 25, 172. land, 97,

.

