3

4

2

5

6

8

9

7

¥ 10

(6) \$\frac{1}{2} \text{13}

15

14

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

LaCharity

Witness Bellinger

Questions by: Senator Lucas

Commander Davis?

Admiral Bellinger: Very close.

Senator Lucas: Did Commander Davis discuss with you at any time the acceptance of any of these messages that came from the Chief of Naval Operations?

Admiral Bellinger: No, sir. I do not know that he knew about that.

Mr. Murphy: Will the Senator yield? I expect to go into the Davis matter and show that he did not know about that either.

Senator Lucas: What were the duties of General Davis?
The Chairman: Commander Davis.

Senator Lucas: I keep getting my Generals and Commanders mixed.

The Chairman: Go ahead. Pardon the interruption.

Senator Lucas: It is perfectly all right, sir.

What were the duties of Commander Davis?

Admiral Bellinger: He was the Aviation Aide on the Staff of Admiral Kimmel and his duties were assigned by Admiral Kimmel.

Senator Lucas: How often did you see him?

Admiral Bellinger: I would say I was in communication with him by telephone or saw him at least, I should say, on the average of every day.

Senator Lucas: Did he give you any direct orders as to what you should do with respect to the Air Force?

Admiral Bellinger: No, sir. He had no authority except by virtue of being on the staff of Admiral Kimmel.

Senator Lucas: What he was then was sort of a liaison man, is that it?

Admiral Bellinger: He was an Aide to Admiral Kimmel.

Senator Lucas: And Admiral Kimmel was the only one
who could give you orders as to what to do then?

Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir.

Senator Lucas: At no time, as I understand it, during the months of November and October did Admiral Kimmel talk to you about any messages that he might have received from Washington, D. C.?

Admiral Bellinger: He did not talk to me about them.

Senator Lucas: I call your attention to Exhibit 37.

As an example, page 1, the message of April 1, 1941, which was sent by Admiral Stark to Admiral Kimmel. It says:

"PERSONNEL OF YOUR NAVAL INTELLIGENCE SERVICE SHOULD
BE ADVISED THAT BECAUSE OF THE FACT THAT FROM PAST EXPERIENCE
SHOWS THE AXIS POWERS OFTEN BEGIN ACTIVITIES IN A PARTICULAR
FIELD ON SATURDAYS AND SUNDAYS OR ON NATIONAL HOLIDAYS OF
THE COUNTRY CONCERNED, THEY SHOULD TAKE STEPS ON SUCH DAYS
TO SEE THAT PROPER WATCHES AND PRECAUTIONS ARE IN EFFECT."

WARD & PAUL, WASHINGTON, D C

NGTON. D C

ň

WASHINGTON.

Are you familiar with that order?

Admiral Bellinger: No, sir, but I was familiar with the general situation in that respect. As a matter of fact, my Operations Officer wrote an article which was published in the Naval Institute, I think in 1936, which practically duplicated this estimate of the situation in regard to an attack on Pearl Harbor by the Japanese.

Senator Lucas: Yes.

Admiral Bellinger: So that this was not any news, particularly.

Senator Lucas: I see. It was no news at all? It was merely a reminder of something you already knew?

Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir.

Senator Lucas: Now, what was the condition of these planes that were destroyed by the Japanese on December 7 on the Saturday before? Were they in the same places, the same conditions, the same spots?

Admiral Bellinger: No, sir, I don't think so. I can't say for sure but I would be willing to bet they were not in the same places.

Senator Lucas: Were there more planes on hand at that particular time than there were during the other days of the week, on this particular Sunday morning? I presume your operation schedule would show exactly as to the dispersal

Witness Bellinger

of your planes during that week.

Questions by: Senator Lucas

ø

Admiral Bellinger: I believe there were more, perhaps, on the beach on Saturday than there were on Sunday.

Senator Lucas: Why was that? Was that payday?

Admiral Bellinger: Well, we had been working pretty

strong on the 2nd, 3rd, 4th and 5th, and you have got to do

something about easing up on personnel.

Senator Lucas: I appreciate that.

Admiral Bellinger: But every day was a working day.

That was started in my forces about 1 March, or maybe 1 April.

Senator Lucas: Well, it is a fact that there were more Naval officers and men at Pearl Harbor on the week-end than at any other time, is it not?

Admiral Bellinger: On week days?

Senator Lucas: No, on the week-ends, Saturdays and Sundays. That was the custom, wasn't it?

Admiral Bellinger: Not necessarily. As far as my outfit was concerned I tried to make a schedule that would hold water utilizing every day as a work day, Saturdays and Sundays the same as Tuesdays or Wednesdays. But there were certain combinations which did make a let-up at times maybe more than others.

As I said before, if you look at this schedule you will find on Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday and Friday there

2

3

4.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

15

16

(2)

18

17

19

21

20

22

23

25

Witness Bellinger Questions by: Senator Lucas was considerable activity and that was when we were having wing tactics.

Senator Lucas: Well, was that the regular operation schedule every week?

Admiral Bellinger: No, sir, not every week, but it was in our schedule of employment.

Senator Lucas: It shows that you were busy the first part of the week and then over the week-end these fellows were entitled to some rest and recreation.

Admiral Bellinger: Yes, but that doesn't follow necessarily all the way through.

Senator Lucas: Now, where were you when the attack took place?

Admiral Bellinger: I was taken sick with what they call acute laryngitis, I believe, a type of flu, on December 2, and on December 7, that was to be my first day up.

Senator Lucas: I see.

Admiral Bellinger: I got up very hurriedly.

Senator Lucas: You didn't wait for the doctor to tell you?

Admiral Bellinger: No, sir.

Senator Lucas: Who was in command while you were away?

Admiral Bellinger: I was still in command and in touch every day with my Operation Officer who was my second in command, at that time Commander Ramsey.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Witness Bellinger

Questions by: Senator Lucas

Senator Lucas: Were you in the hospital?

Admiral Bellinger: No, sir, I was at home. The first message that I received about the attack was from Commander Ramsey, now Captain, and I would say that it was probably a few seconds before 8:00 o'clock.

Senator Lucas: When did you first see Admiral Kimmel after the attack?

Admiral Bellinger: I talked to him on the telephone the day of the attack, over the telephone. I did not see him until a few days later.

Senator Lucas: When was the last --

Admiral Bellinger: As a matter of fact, I stayed in the office practically all the time.

Senator Lucas: When was the last time you talked to Admiral Kimmel before December 7?

Admiral Bellinger: I don't remember exactly but I think the latter part of November, probably the 26th or 27th.

Senator Lucas: Do you recall what you talked about? Admiral Bellinger: There was a conference, I remember, in connection with -- whether that was the last time or not I am not sure -- but I know I was over there in a conference with reference to making plans for these planes to be put on Wake and Midway.

Senator Lucas: There was nothing at that time said

0

15 16

17

18

18

20

21

22

23

24

4

5

6

8

9

10

Witness Bellinger

Questions by: Senator Lucas

about the imminence of war with Japan?

Admiral Bellinger: No, not with reference to any war warning or dispatches from Washington in connection with it.

Senator Lucas: I direct your attention again to Exhibit 37. Just take a cursory glance at the messages sent by the Chief of Naval Operations, particularly the one of October 16, which says:

"THE RESIGNATION OF THE JAPANESE CABINET HAS CREATED IF A NEW CABINET IS FORMED IT WILL A GRAVE SITUATION. PROBABLY BE STRONGLY NATIONALISTIC AND ANTI-AMERICAN. THE RONOYE CABINET REMAINS THE EFFECT WILL BE THAT IT WILL OPERATE UNDER A NEW MANDATE WHICH WILL NOT INCLUDE RAPPROCHMENT WITH THE U.S."

And so on. Are you familiar with that message? Admiral Bellinger: One minute until I find it. What page is it?

Senator Lucas: Page 18. Did you ever see that message? Admiral Bellinger: No, sir, I don't remember seeing that before December 7.

Senator Lucas: Have you read it since these hearings started?

Admiral Bellinger: Sir?

Senator Lucas: Have you read that message since these hearings started?

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Witness Bellinger

Questions by: Senator Lucas

Senator Lucas: You have seen them all?

Admiral Bellinger: I think so. Most of these were

Admiral Bellinger: I think I have seen them all.

Admiral Bellinger: I think so. Most of these were shown to me at various investigations on this subject. And, as a matter of fact, I didn't know that there was any message other than one message, the war warning message, until 1944.

Senator Lucas: You are familiar with all of these top secret messages that were sent?

Admiral Bellinger: No, sir, not familiar.

Senator Lucas: You have read them all?

Admiral Bellinger: I have read the testimony on a good many of them.

Senator Lucas: Directing your attention to the message of November 24, assuming Admiral Kimmel had given you that message, the Admiral has complained bitterly because Washington didn't give him all the information they had, I am wondering what you would have done had Admiral Kimmel given to you the message of November 24. It is found on page 36. That is the message that says a surprise aggressive movement is possible in any direction. What would that message have conveyed to you, if anything? Give us your best judgment on it now, although I appreciate it is hindsight.

Admiral Bellinger: Hindsight is one thing and foresight is another. This situation at Pearl Harbor was another. I

ARD &

Witness Bellinger Questions by: Senator Lucas

have been asked that question many times.

Senator Lucas: The reason I ask --

Admiral Bellinger: I wish I had seen it. I don't know what I would have done. I would rather have the people who know me think what I would have done. Only God knows what I would have done. I can't make any statement on that.

Senator Lucas: Well, the reason I ask the question is that you were in charge of, more or less in charge of the Air Forces there.

Admiral Bellinger: Patrol planes only.

Senator Lucas: Who had charge of the other planes?

Admiral Bellinger: Various commands of the air in the Fleet. For instance, there were utility planes headed by a wing commander. There were Marine planes headed by a colonel. There were carrier planes and organization headed by Admiral Halsey.

Senator Lucas: I see.

I suppose what you have said about this message would be true about the war warning message, too, of November 27?

Admiral Bellinger: If I would have gotten any of these messages I would have made an estimate of the situation, with my knowledge and understanding at the time, and taken action accordingly.

Senator Lucas: Do you believe now, Admiral, that you

2

3

5

6

8

8

10

11 # 12

13

n 15

16

Hook follows 17

19

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

were entitled to receive these messages from Admiral Kimmel in view of the position that you had there as Commander

Questions by: Senator Lucas

of the Patrol Fleet?

Witness Bellinger

Admiral Bellinger: I think that was Admiral Kimmel's business entirely. I can't answer for that.

Senator Lucas: Well, I was wondering what your position would be. You say that you would have liked to have seen the messages, you would have liked to have had them in your possession. You at least by implication say you would have had more insight into what was going on. I am wondering whether or not you thought it was the duty of Admiral Kimmel to pass this kind of message on to you under the arrangement that you had out there?

Admiral Bellinger: I certainly am not one to say what the Commander in Chief's duty was. I was under him.

hl fls AL Witness Bellinger Questions by: Senator Lucas

Senator Lucas: In other words, whatever he did was all right with you?

Admiral Bellinger: I wouldn't have been full of inhibitions, as I remember. I think that if I saw something that I thought I should have seen at the time, I think I probably would have brought the question up with him.

Senator Lucas: Well, that is what I am trying to ask you about, that is what I am trying to find out, whether or not you think you should have seen any of these messages. I am basing that now, primarily, on the contention that Admiral Kimmel has made in his case before the committee. He complains bitterly because Admiral Stark didn't send him information.

In view of the fact that you were a subordinate of Admiral Kimmel, I am wondering what you think of his failing to send you information, if he did.

Admiral Bellinger: I think your guess is about as good as mine. I can express an opinion. I can say that if he had shown me the messages and the situation did remain as is, why, I would be in a different situation at the present time.

Senator Lucas: Well, I don't know what that situation is, and I am not going to inquire into it.

Mr. Keefe: Will the Senator yield?

Senator Lucas Questions by: Witness Bellinger Mr. Keefe Senator Lucas: Yes. h2 Mr. Keefe: I want to make just this observation. I 2 think it is quite apparent that Admiral Bellinger at 3 that time was a Commander. Is that true? 4 Admiral Bellinger: No, sir, a rear admiral. 5 Mr. Keefe: A real admiral: 8 Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir. Mr. Keefe: But you were serving under the direct 8 orders of the Commander in Chief of the Pacific Fleet? 8 10 Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir. WARD & Mr. Keefe: You were not a member of his staff? 11 Admiral Bellinger: No, sir; I was a task force 12 13 commander under him. Mr. Keefe: You took orders from him? 14 Admiral Bellinger: Took orders from him, yes, sir. 15 Mr. Keefe: That is the way it works in the Navy 16 17 isn't it, Admiral? 18 Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir. Mr. Keefe: In the line of command? 19 Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir. 20 Mr. Keefe: Fellows down below don't usually dispute 21 22 the higher-ups, do they? Admiral Bellinger: No, sir. In good team work 23 24 opinions are expressed back and forth.

WARD

Witness Bellinger Questions by: Mr. Keefe Senator Lucas

Mr. Keefe: We have had some evidence of that before this committee, I think.

That is all.

The Chairman: Are you through, Senator?

Senator Lucas: One other question.

The only reason I raised these questions is that you raise it yourself. In other words, you must have attached some significance to the fact that you never saw any of these papers given to Admiral Kimmel until after the war was on, because you so state.

You state in your statement on page 8:

"I had no knowledge of any of the warning messages emanating from the Navy and War Departments, during October November and December. I never knew of any warning dispatches until a few days after the attack -- on the evening of about December 10, I think it was -- when I was told by one of my officers that he had just heard that there had been a warning dispatch received in the District Naval Intelligence Office, and that the local Intelligence officer of the Naval Air Station knew about it. I immediately sent for that Intelligence officer, and he confirmed this information. Several days after that, when I was working on some papers with Admiral Kimmel, I first saw one of the warning dispatches."

n4

Witness Bellinger Questions by: Senator Lucas

In other words, it apparently disturbed you at the time that you hadn't seen any of these messages, and you immediately contacted the District Naval Intelligence Office.

Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir, I did that. I could have recommended to him that we do start patrols.

Senator Lucas: That is right. That is the point I am trying to develop. You did have it within your power to make such a recommendation, that long distance reconnaissance be used. The point that I was hoping you would answer was whether or not if you had all of this information at hand, and had the chance to analyze it, whether or not it might have made a difference with you in respect to the recommendations that at least you might have made?

Admiral Bellinger: There is a possibility.

Senator Lucas: There is a possibility. Was Admiral Kimmel depending upon you for commendations as to whether or not the long distance reconnaissance would be made?

Admiral Bellinger: Not to my knowledge.

Senator Lucas: That is what I wanted to know about.

You were concerned about this. You wanted the committee
to know that you didn't receive any of these messages
after November 27 and before. I was trying to find out why
you wanted the committee to know about it.

Witness Bellinger

Questions by: Senator Lucas Mr. Murphy

Admiral Bellinger: I want everybody to know about it.

Senator Lucas: I see. I think that is all.

The Chairman: Mr. Clark.

Mr. Clark: I have no questions.

The Chairman: Senator George would be next, but he will inquire later.

Mr. Murphy.

The Chair would like to advise that he is advised that if possible without restricting any members' interrogation, that Admiral Bellinger is on an assignment that makes it important that he get away tonight, if possible; but I am just advising the committee of that so we may keep it in mind.

Mr. Murphy.

Mr. Murphy: Admiral Bellinger, there has been handed to the committee a statement on your career with the U.S. Navy covering the time from 1913 on.

I note in the sketch that was given to us that from 1914 on, you had a very active participation in the air activities of the U.S. Navy; that is a fact, is it not?

Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir.

Mr. Murphy: You were in the first Navy plane that was ever struck by an enemy bullet; isn't that right?

Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir.

24

20

21

22

23

Witness Bellinger Questions by: Mr. Murphy

Mr. Murphy: That was down at Vera Cruz?

Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir.

Mr. Murphy: Then you went to Pensacola, Florida in 1915 and in the following year -- rather, on January 21, you were designated Naval Air Pilot No. 4?

Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir.

Mr. Murphy: Thereafter, in 1915, you participated in the development of the use of the catapult; is that right?

Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir.

Mr. Murphy: Thereafter you piloted flying boat AB-3 and were on the first extended flight of this kind ordered and carried out?

Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir.

Mr. Murphy: In 1915 you made the American altitude record for a seaplane of 10,000 feet; on 1915 you participated in the first actual instance in the Navy where Navy aircraft spotted actual gun or mortar file; in 1916 you conducted live bomb dropping tests from a plane, the first test of this nature to be conducted by the Navy; in 1916 you participated in the first instance of spotting, and firing at regular targets at sea by the Navy.

Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir.

Mr. Murphy: In 1916, you conducted experimental tests with radio set in pontoon type of seaplanes; in 1917 you

. .

Witness Bellinger Questions by: Mr. Murphy made the first machine gun firing tests ever made in a Navy plane; in 1917 you conducted the first night seaplane flight in which floodlights were employed on the beach for illuminating the water, and that marks the beginning of night flying at Pensacola and of regular night flying instructions in the Navy.

Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir.

Mr. Murphy: In 1919, you participated in the first trans-Atlantic flight as commanding officer of the NC-1. You made a long overseas flight from Newfoundland to the vicinity of the Azores in May 1919.

In other words, on down through the years, were those I have outlined, together with others that follow, you had a very distinguished and outstanding career in the Navy, on which I want to congratulate you at this time.

And you were the type of man that was selected by Washington to be sent to Honolulu; that is right, isn't it?

Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir, but --

Mr. Murphy: At any rate, you were sent there?
Admiral Bellinger: I was ordered there.

Mr. Murphy: Now, then, after you got to Honolulu, you were also ordered to conduct a survey and to prepare a plan in conjunction with General Martin of the Army Air Corps; that is right too, isn't it?

Witness Bellinger Questions by: Mr. Murphy

Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir.

Mr. Murphy: And that plan which you developed, was in effect a chart of exactly what happened at Pearl Harbor on December 7, 1941, with the exception of a few details; that is right, too, isn't it?

Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir.

Mr. Murphy: Now then, you were placed under that plan in charge of certain operations which you did not have the authority to carry out until the means with which to carry it out were made available to you by higher authority; isn't that so?

Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir.

Mr. Murphy: The fact is that throughout this entire critical period, you were never shown any of these dispatches which in an official way showed the development of a tense and critical situation; that is true, isn't it?

Admiral Bellinger: That is correct.

Mr. Murphy: When Admiral Kimmel was on the stand I questioned him as to why he had not consulted you who were unquestionably an outstanding air expert, and he said that he consulted his own man, Commander Davis. I would now like to direct your attention to the fact that Commander Davis was called to testify before Admiral Hewitt

Witness Bellinger Questions by: Mr. Murphy and his testimony appears in the record as that of Rear Admiral Arthur C. Davis, commencing at page 96.

Mr. Masten: Pardon me? Is that the Hart or the Hewitt report?

Mr. Murphy: This is the Hart report. I beg your pardon.

And the same Rear Admiral Arthur C. Davis was the air man on the staff of Admiral Kimmel immediately preceding --

The Vice Chairman: The Air Aide.

Mr. Murphy: Was his Air Aide on the Staff of Admiral Kimmel immediately preceding December 1941.

Isn't that right, Admiral?

Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir.

Mr. Murphy: I would like to go to the testimony in question.

Admiral Davis, as I recollect it, did think that there could have been instituted a system of reconnaissance whereby you would use certain planes in the less critical areas and other planes in the more critical areas. Do you know of any such possibility? For reconnaissance purposes?

Admiral Bellinger: Actually, on December 7 we used planes of every type and description, some that could only go 200 miles. On the days following December 7, we used

Witness Bellinger Questions by: Mr. Murphy planes that were made available for the distances that they could go. That was to get information as far out as we could through all the various sectors surrounding Pearl Harbor.

Actually, in fact, for prevention of an air raid,
the further out you can get information the better. And
in order to figure on preventing an air raid in the early
morning and having this information, it was considered
that the patrol planes should go out between 7 and 8 hundred
miles.

Mr. Murphy: At any rate, Admiral, you were never confronted with the problem because you weren't taken into the confidence of those in command; isn't that right?

Admiral Bellinger: In general, yes, sir.

Mr. Murphy: And the best -- excuse me.

Admiral Bellinger: I was not asked or shown.

Mr. Murphy: The best we can do is to ask you as an air expert to speculate by way of hindsight what you would have done before December 7; that is right too, isn't it?

At any rate, you didn't see them, you weren't asked to pass on them; it wasn't your problem directly until you were consulted on them; isn't that true?

Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir.

Mr. Murphy: Now, I -- excuse me.

Witness Bellinger Questions by: Mr. Murphy

Admiral Bellinger: On December 7.

Mr. Murphy: I say, up to December 7, Admiral.

Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir.

Mr. Murphy: Up to December 7.

Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir.

Mr. Murphy: The fact is that up to the morning of December 7, you were a man sick in bed and pretty much concerned about improving the health of Admiral Bellinger, I assume. I didn't mean to go into the actual attack itself.

Now, then, on page 97, the question was asked -- you do not have this, Admiral -- page 97, the question was asked of Rear Admiral Davis, who was the Air Aide on Admiral Kimmel's staff:

"Q Admiral, available records indicate that you have knowledge pertinent to the Japanese attack on Pearl Harbor that occurred on 7 December, 1941. Please state the facts within your knowledge concerning the attack and the major events leading up thereto. It is especially desired that you cover the following, and a written copy of this question is handed you so that you may refer to it as you testify: -- "

Now, then, the Admiral testified for several paragraphs as to the question itself, and what it was looking for, and

€ . 10

c5

Witness Bellinger Questions by: Mr. Murphy then appears his answer, the last paragraph on the bottom of page 97:

"A My duty as Fleet Aviation Officer was primarily, if not almost entirely, concerned with technical training and logistics matters. As the case with the Staff as a whole, our primary interest formany months had been the improvement in strength and proficiency of the Pacific Fleet.

"As is no doubt well known, it had not been possible, for various reasons, including appropriations, to develop the Fleet to a point which, it is now known, was necessary. However, this fact made it all the more important to concentrate on all phases of materiel and training.

"I, myself, had little to do with considerations of attack possibilities, and I do not recall ever being directly consulted on such matters by the Commander in Chief.

Naturally, the subject was frequently discussed among members of the Staff and also by the Commander in Chief with the Staff at times when I was present.

"From these discussions, I can definitely state my opinion that it was the Commander in Chief's belief that it was vitally necessary to continue as long as possible with the training and other Fleet improvements, and that going into a defensive status would interfere with this

Witness Bellinger Questions by: Mr. Murphy
work, so that I am convinced it was his sincere intention
to accomplish all that could be done before hostilities
began and that he believed there was still time to keep
the work going.

"As to the imminent possibility of attack, I only occasionally saw or heard of warnings that may have been received by the Commander in Chief. I know that there had been many warnings of varying degrees of seriousness over a number of months, and I had the impression that it was within the Commander in Chief's discretion to determine how far to go in action with regard to such warnings.

"I believe his thought throughout was to take precautionary steps within reason but to regard the warnings as all the more reason for concentration on improving the Fleet's readiness.

"During the period of strain which finally led up to the events of 7 December, I am certain that the Commander in Chief gave the situation the carefulest possible consideration. I have to admit, however, that I was, myself concerned because of information that was available in the press and that I concluded that there must be other information which had not been shown me that influenced the decision to take no greater precautionary steps than were taken.

"As to advice with regard to precautions, I was asked

4 5

» 11.

Witness Bellinger Questions by: Mr. Murphy
not so much for an opinion as to whether or not the fullest
precautions should be taken, as for information with regard
to the practicability of comprehensive searches, and their
effect on training. Comprehensive and extensive air
searches were practicable, and I so stated. I also stated
the fact that this would very definitely interfere with
the progress in general in aviation training in the Fleet.

"This, as was the case in the Fleet as a whole, was important in view of the training demanded by the rapid expansion that was already beginning to take place.

"With respect to the surprise air attack, I naturally expressed the opinion that this was possible and that it could only be prevented by the most extensive searches and efforts to intercept at sea by air and surface vessels.

"I did not, however, realize to what a high degree of proficiency Japanese naval aviation had been developed. I do not believe that anybody else in the American Navy had any proper conception of this development either. Certainly I had never seen anything, either officially or unofficially that would lead me to suppose that Japanese naval aviation was so tremendously effective and well-developed as it turned out to be."

At that point I would like to ask you, did you in

書程

Witness Bellinger Questions by: Mr. Murphy
Hawaii, consider the ability of Yamamoto and his daring?

Admiral Bellinger: I was asked the question at one other hearing whether I was fully cognizant of Yamamoto's background. I am not sure whether I was conscious of it before December 7 or after. I think I was.

Mr. Murphy: Captain Layton -- Excuse me. Go ahead.

Admiral Bellinger: With reference to the ability of the Japanese, which they showed in their attack on December 7, it far surpassed my estimate of their ability.

Mr. Murphy: There was a book about which Captain

Layton testified in the Hart proceedings, and that book

seemed to discuss the question of a possible raid on

Pearl Harbor and the capabilities of the Japanese. Were

you considered and brought into those discussions, or given

the benefit of that?

Admiral Bellinger: No, sir.

Mr. Murphy: I would like to refer now particularly to the testimony of Captain Layton at page 214. At any rate you were not in those discussions about Yamamoto and this book that was published and the discussions about a possible raid on Pearl Harbor?

Admiral Bellinger: No, sir.

Mr. Murphy: Now, Admiral, there has also been testimony in this record that the north was not the most dangerous

Witness Bellinger Questions by: Mr. Murphy section. As I recall, reading the record of all the hearings, there seemed to be almost a unanimous opinion that the north was the most dangerous, and in your statement you so state, do you not?

Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir.

Mr. Murphy: Now, you give as the reason for feeling that it was the most dangerous, the wind conditions. Did you also take into consideration the fact that in the north, where they did come from, was the so-called Vacant Sea?

Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir.

Mr. Murphy: Did you also --

Excuse me. Let me add this, and then you can answer both. Did you also take into consideration the fact that whatever shipping there had been previously in that area, it had been eliminated prior to December 7?

Now, will you answer both?

Admiral Bellinger: I didn't know that it had been eliminated up there. I am not so sure that it was.

Mr. Murphy: Well, there is some testimony to that effect.

Admiral Bellinger: The question was where the Japanese were going to come from, and we were not conducting patrols from Palmyra, or Johnston Islands, as a regular proposition, and I presume that the Japanese would have known

в

Shef

Witness Bellinger Questions by: Mr. Murphy about it, so there was nothing to stop them from coming from that direction either; but it is a very serious proposition, a vital proposition to a carrier, in connection with the operation of planes.

It must head into the wind, and it must get up enough speed to compensate for the wind that is blowing in order to have a sufficient force of wind over the deck. So that the wind controls the direction of movement of the carrier, and I don't believe an attack of the kind that was made on Pearl Harbor where surprise was expected to be the major affair, or where they felt there might be considerable jeopardy would take place in a direction wherein the carrier had to take on her planes after having launched them, heading toward the Island.

Mr. Murphy: At any rate, Admiral, had you had any knowledge of the fact that all shipping had been directed to the south through the Torres Strait before December 7?

Admiral Bellinger: No, sir.

Mr. Murphy: That had been done since October, but you didn't know about that, did you?

Admiral Bellinger: I am practically sure, I didn't.

I don't recall any knowledge of it.

Shefner fls LaChar

3

3

ě

5

6

7

8

8

Witness Bellinger

Questions by: Mr. Murphy

Mr. Murphy: That is another of the dispatches in that period.

Now, there was a conference at which time the possibility of an air raid on Oahu or Hawaii was discussed, at which time Captain McMorris made a certain statement. That would be on November 27th or 28th. You were not present at that conference, were you?

Admiral Bellinger: No, sir.

Mr. Murphy: Admiral, you do believe that there could have been reconnaissance if the command had been issued to have it, isn't that so?

Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir. With the planes we had there could have been reconnaissance.

Mr. Murphy: I would like to direct your attention in that regard to the testimony of your Chief of Staff at page 595 of the record of the Court of Inquiry conducted by officers of the Navy. On page 596;

"Q That is a very clear explanation. However, will you please answer the question? We will put the question another way. Were there any planes at Pearl Harbor which could have been used and were not used for distance reconnaissance on the morning of December 7?

"A Yes, sir, there were planes that could have been used had such a search been ordered by higher

WARD & PAUL WASHINGTON. D.

15

10

18

21

20

33

23

24

Witness Bellinger

authority.

Questions by: Mr. Murphy

"Q How manyof these planes were inthat category?

"A For an emergency effort, approximately 60 planes oculd have been made available in four hours or less.

"Q Who would have ordered the distance reconnaissance and under whose authority would the directive have been made?

"A' For the full utilization of all aircraft, both Army and Navy, available on Oahu, the orders to us would have come from the Commander, Naval Base Defense Force.

"Q Wno is that?

"A The Commandant of the 14th Naval District.

Orders solely for the Navy planes would probably have come from the Commander-in-Chief, Pacific Fleet.

"Q Did you consider in these plans and orders which you had that the Commander of the Naval Base Defense Force was the one who would have originated the idea of distance reconnaissance and would have directed you or Admiral Bellinger to have sent planes out on this mission?

"A I would have assumed it would be the duty of any officer higher in the echelon of command above Admiral Bellinger to have taken action on receipt of the information indicating that action was necessary."

at that time, Admiral?

Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Ramsey

9

10

11

13

14

18

17

18

20

19

24

23

23

25

24

most probable direction?
"A Yes, sir, we had. We had great discussions on

it, and in view of the prevailing wind conditions and the presence of outlying islands and other factors, we had decided the northwest sector was the most likely line of approach, and in our drills the squadron in the highest degree of readiness was always ordered to take

Do you agree with those answers of your Chief of Staff?

Mr. Murphy: What was the name of your Chief of Staff

Admiral Bellinger: He was Commander Ramsey; Logan C.

Mr. Murphy: Yes. Now I direct your attention to the

"Q You stated that in your opinion there might be

or could be an air attack on Cahu. Had you ever thought

from what direction the air attack would come or the

questions at page 597 of the same record, question 110:

"Then, if you, with your limited number of planes, had sent out distance reconnaissance, you would have sent them to the northwest sector so as to cover that sector?

up that sector from 315 to 00.

[&]quot;For any single day, yes, sir."

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

Witness Bellinger

Questions by! Mr. Murphy

Question 115: "Why did you select that sector? "A "Because we had always decided that was the most likely direction of approach.

"Q But that sector was not based on the sighting of any Japanese planes?

"A No, sir, it was in accordance with our estimate and preconceived ideas. We always selected that sector, 315 to 00, as the first sector. The second sector was from 315 to around 270. We placed other sectors in their relative idea of importance."

I take it you agree with that statement or testimony because it is substantially what you yourself have stated.

Admiral Bellinger: I do.

Mr. Murphy: I would now like to refer to page 578 of the same record and the same witness, question 248

"Arriving at this estimate, did you consider any particular nation" -- he was speaking of the Martin-Bellinger report -- "did you consider any particular nation, or was this just a generality for any country -any enemy which might attack without a declaration of war?

"A It was obviously and solely Japan. I use the pre-war phraseology intentionally in trying to get myself into a pre-war frame of mind.

> 16 17

> > 18

10

なす

20

22

23

24

Witness Bellinger

Questions by: Mr. Murphy

"Q Then your ocnolusion was that if any attack at all were made on Oahu it would be by air and not by some other means?

"A That is correct,

"Q At the time you made this estimate of the situation, did you conclude from the international situation as it existed on that date, that Japan would attack the United States?

"A It is impossible for me to say at this late date, but I do recall having mentioned to Admiral Bellinger, half in earnest and half in pure speculation, that it was my belief that if the Japanese did attack us by an air raid, that the attack would probably come on Christmas Eve or New Year's Day."

Of course, that was just discussion over the table I assume, but the fact is, Admiral, that if you had been at a conference, - and now I am taking you back before December 7th, - and the discussion were to ome up as to the possibility of an attack on Pearl Harbor, would it not have been your opinion at that conference, being an airman, that the most likely danger was air rather than submarine?

Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir, that was my estimate throughout.

In other words, at the conferences that were Mr. Murphy:

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

15

16 17

18

20

19

13

23

24

23

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Witness Bellinger

Questions by: Mr. Murphy

held Rear Admiral Davis was not voicing too much of an opinion or asked for too many opinions and you were not consulted at all, the opinion of those at the conference was that there would be an attack on Hawaii but it would be a mass submarine attack and I take it you would have differed with that. You felt it would come from the air?

Admiral Bellinger: The attack most easily for the Japanese to make would be a submarine attack and a general submarine menace in and around the Pearl Harb or area. If they had contemplated an attack on Pearl Harbor, why, I certainly thought it would be air, an air attack. We suspected submarines to be out in the area, in the operating area, for some time. There were many contacts, sound contacts that were investigated but did not conclusively show any definite results, but there was a suspicion that their submarines were about, even before December the 7th.

Mr. Murphy: Aimiral, were you at any time between the 1st of December and the 7th of December acquainted with the fact that there was some definite uncertainty as to where the Japanese carriers were?

Admiral Bellinger: No, sir.

Mr. Murphy: So that between November 27th and December 7th you yourself or no one under you ordered any change in the status of alert of the planes under your command, isn't

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

83

23

24

3

4

5

в

7

8

8

10

11

12

13

14

Witness Bellinger

Questions by: Mr. Murphy

that right?

Aimiral Bellinger: No, sir.

Mr. Murphy: You mean 1t 1s right?

Admiral Bellinger: I mean the schedule was being carried out, to the best of my knowledge, in the activities connected with Fleet tactics that I referred to before. Theremay have been certain changes so far as readiness made in those squadrons and connected in that exercise, but not in connection with any security.

Mr. Murphy: I would like to now refer, Admiral, to page 583 of the Naval Court of Inquiry, question 44, to your Chief of Staff. (Reading)

"Q With the combined Navy-Army aircraft that were a vailable for operation between 27 November and 7 December 1941, could you have complied with a directive to conduct a long-range reconnaissance through 360 degrees?

"A. No. Using the most economical aircraft type of search that we could devise, a single plane going to 700 miles would only cover a sector of 8 degrees. fore, with 66 planes, only 50 per cent of which could be used continuously from a maintenance and pilot fatigue standpoint, only 264 degrees could be covered daily. 360 degrees could be covered only one day, possibly only two days as an emergency measure, but it could not be

15

148 17

15

20

10

24

22

24

23

3

4

5

6

7

8

0

10

11

13

13

44

Questions by Mr. Murphy

maintained. It would only cover about three-quarters of the circle day in and day out until the exhaustion point from not only of personnel but from the materiel standpoint as well was reached. The exhaustion period would have been reached in materiel before it was reached in personnel. As nearly as I could estimate the situation and in view of our almost total lack of spare parts for the PBY-5 planes, I believe that three weeks of intensive daily searches would have been approximately a 75 per cent reduction in material readiness of the entire outfit and we would have been placing planes out of commission and robbing them for spare parts to keep other planes going. The pilots, I believe, could have kept going approximately a six week period, but at the end of that time they would have all required a protracted rest period.

I take it you agree with that?

Admiral Bellinger: In general, yes. I think very probably the pilots could not have kept up that long. That is at least a fourteen to a sixteen hour flight. We have on occasions, particularly in the Battle of Milway I think, put pilots on patrol covering longer periods of patrol for consecutive days and any time I know of they were practically on their last legs at the end of it.

Mr. Murphy: Admiral, under the plan, the Martin-Bellinger

15

16

17

18

16

84

20

直沒

23

34

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

13

44

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Witness Bellinger

Questions by: Mr. Murphy

plan, in order for you to have any authority it was necessary for an emergency to arise. That is right, isn't it?

Aimiral Bellinger: Yes, sir. It had to be apparent.

Mr. Murphy: Right. It would be rather difficult for you who was to be apprised of the existence of an emergency to recognize the existence of one if you did not have this information, isn't that right?

Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir.

Mr. Murphy: Now, then, on page 584 the question was asked of your Chief of Staff:

"Had you heard anything about an Army condition of readiness designed to prevent sabotage?

"A I had heard indirectly and unofficially of various rumors of attempted sabotage and counter measures against sabotage, none of which appeared at the time to be of great importance."

My question to you is 111 you know what type of alert the Army was on?

I don't think so. I knew that at Aimiral Bellinger: some stage of events at that time there was a great leal of thought given to sabotage. I am not sure whether I knew that they were in a sabotage alert or not, but I to know that the subject was a live subject and I had done something about it in my force and various other naval forces were taking action

3

3

4

5

6

7

8

Witness Bellinger

Questions by: Mr. Murphy

of that kind and whether I knew the Army was actually in it or not I am not sure.

Mr. Murphy: Your Chief of Staff, of course, had no more information about these war warning messages than you had, 111 he?

Admiral Bellinger: No, sir. I questioned him on that. Mr. Murphy: You also, I take it, Admiral, had no information whatsoever to the effect that the Japanese were de-

Aimiral Bellinger: No, sir, I knew nothing of that.

stroying their codes and their systems?

Mr. Murphy: Nor any information about the Japanese consul at Honolulu destroying some of his systems or all of them, I take it?

Admiral Bellinger: No, sir, I did not know that. Senator Lucas: Will the Congressman yield? Mr. Murphy: Yes.

Senator Lucas; With respect to your not knowing the Army was alerted to sabotage, did you have occasion to see on the Saturday before the Sunday morning how the Army planes were lined up on their fields from wing tip to wing tip?

Admiral Bellinger: No, sir. I was in bed most of Saturday.

Senator Lucas: Oh, yes, that is right. And your did not receive any information from anyone that the Army was alerted

14 15

16

17

18

19

21

20

33

23

25

3

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

13

13

Witness Bellinger

Questions by: Mr. Murphy

to sabotage?

Adriral Bellinger: Not that I can say definitely. I may have known it; I am not sure.

Mr. Murphy: Are you through, Senator? Senator Lucas: 'Yes.

Mr. Murphy: I would like also to refer to page 99 of the Hart inquiry, Rear Aimiral Davis speaking:

"Although I did not feel that I had sufficient information as to the actual situation to undertake to question the Cormanier-in-Chief's policy as 7 December approached I was concerned about the general situation with respect to our outlying islands. For this reason I stressed the necessity for providing some form of air protection at Wake and Midway, which it would have been too late to attempt after actual emergency had arisen. Action was finally taken in this connection and that is why the attack on 7 necember found the ENTERPRISE task force on its way back, having landed Marine fighting planes at Wake, and the LEXINGTON task force on its way to land Marine aircraft at Midway."

Now, the question was asked of Admiral Davis, referring to the Martin Bellinger report, a question on page 99:

"Did you have that estimate at all in mind during the days which led up to ? December?

14 15

18

17

18

19

24

20

93

23

24

器も

Witness Bellinger

Questions by: Mr. Murphy

"A I 414.

"Q But I understand, from your testimony, that you made no particular estimate yourself along that same line, formal or otherwise?

"A No, sir, it was not that I made no estimate, or did not consider it; it was rather that this, like all of the other very comprehensive and thorough preparatory plans that were made, was contingent, as to its being placed in effect, on prior decision that the situation justified taking up what might be called a defensive deployment. As to whether or not it should, at any given point, have been taken up, I necessarily considered that the Commander-in-Chief's estimate was final.

- "Q And your advice on the point was not asked?
- "A No, sir.
- "Q Did you see the Navy Department's dispatch of 27 November, the one which has come to be known as the war warning (indicating Exhibit 8)?

"No, sir.

- "Q You never saw it prior to ? December?
- "A No, sir.
- "Q Admiral, did I understand you correctly earlier in your testimony to say that in your opinion a comprehensive air search could have been carried on at that time?

WARD & PAUL, WASHINGTON, D. C.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

13

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"A Yes, 1t could.

> Would you elaborate on that just a little bit, as to how a 360 degree distant reconnaissance could have been carried on with the material at hand at that time?

"A There were not enough planes and pilots to establish and maintain a long-range, 360 degree search indefinitely, or even for more than a limited time. There were, however, enough to approximate this by using relatively short-range planes in the least dangerous sectors, and by obtaining some assistance from available Army aircraft, so that I think it could have been undertaken, had it been considered essential, on the basis that reenforcements could have arrived before personnel and materiel fatigue set in. Unless reenforcements arrived, it could not have been maintained.

"Q You may proceed to the written question given you, passing on to the Army part.

"A Prior to 7 December I had relatively little detailed information regarding the Army Interceptor Command. I knew approximately the numbers and types and my recollection is that they had about 170 P-36's, P-39's, and P-40's, of which the greater number were P-36's and P-39's. Judged by modern war standards, there were enough air fields to operate them, but not enough to

Witness Bellinger

Questions by: Mr. Murphy

provide adequate dispersal and protection, nor were revetments and dispersal runways provided at the various fields."

In that connection, Admiral, as I understand your testimony you knew that the Interceptor Command was not properly functioning, or not? Do you recall what your state of mind was before December 7th?

Admiral Bellinger: It is my understanding that it was not functioning as a regular agency.

Mr. Murphy: You say what?

Admiral Bellinger: That it was not functioning as a regular continuous agency.

Mr. Murphy: Aimiral Kirrel testified that radar would give him coverage, at one time in one hearing, of two hundred miles and in this hearing of one hundred miles. Did you so understand 1t?

Admiral Bellinger: No, sir. I did not expect it to be that effective. As a matter of fact, it was not that effective to the fullest extent several months after December the 7th.

Mr. Murphy: Now, in connection with that and in corroboration of your feeling about it in connection with Airiral Kirrel's testirony, his airran said at page 100:.

"I did not feel, however, that it was yet ready for full effective employment."

WARD

3

3

4

5

6

7

8

14

13

13

14

15

16

17

18

10

20

24

君君

23

24

3

5

6

8

10

11

13

13

Witness Bellinger

Questions by: Mr. Murphy

That is Airiral Davis speaking.

Now, what was your information as to the ability of the Army to participate in or cooperate with you by way of help in the event you called on them? Did you feel the fliers were competent?

Admiral Bellinger: From my information from General Martin, he had difficulty in getting enough competent crews, air combat crews to man the planes he had and he was also confronted with a job of training personnel to man B-17's for further transfer to the Philippines. I know of this only because of conversations with General Martin, so that I know that he had problems of that nature which were of considerable importance.

Mr. Murphy: Now I would like to direct your attention to page 44 of the Hart inquiry, to the testimony of Vice Airiral Smith. Question 81:

"What do you know about their combat efficiency, particularly as regards personnel?

"Well, we didn't have a very high regard for it. That was based upon our observations during Fleet Operations, when their Flying Fortresses would come over at almost smoke-stack level, and showed an utter disregard for possible anti-aircraft fire. In the operations between our planes and theirs, our aviators, possibly

14 15

17

16

18

19

. 20

15

22

24

23

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

Witness Bellinger

Questions by: Mr. Murphy

prejudiced, expressed the opinion that they were not very goo 1. "

I was wondering if that feeling prevailed so that it would prevent calling on the Army to help in reconnaissance. It certainly did not with you, did it?

Admiral Bellinger: I knew that reconnaissance requires special training, it requires training which the Army had not utilized very much because it was not considered part of the job that they were going to do; at least, they had not under taken it. Therefore, I did not think that the Army could to very much in assisting in long-range recornaissance. It took some time after December the 7th for them to train their crews sufficiently to be really effective. That was shown up actually after December the 7th, when they 111 assist in the reconnaissance around Oahu.

Mr. Murphy: Did you know, Adriral, that when Kurusu was on his way to the States to participate in the conferences in Washington that his plane landed at Midway?

Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir.

Mr. Murphy: Did you believe that the plane had really broken down?

Admiral Bellinger: I don't know, but I was directed to have two planes to bring him to Oahu in case the Pan-American plane was not made ready in time.

23

24

23

Witness Bellinger

Questions by: Mr. Murphy

Mr. Murphy: You decided to let him wait there, didn't you? You did not use the planes, did you?

Admiral Bellinger: No, sir. He came finally by Pan-American.

Shef-Shack fls

都本

Shack (7) pm follows Shefner AL-1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

Witness Bellinger

Questions by: Mr. Murphy

Mr. Murphy: At any rate, on his way to America
Kurusu's plane appeared apparently to be disabled and did
land at Midway for some time, did it not?

Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir. I have been told Kurusu was kept in the hotel there, and I have also been told that the Marine guard, every time they moved, went around the building three times.

Mr. Murphy: Did you have called to your attention,
Admiral, the fact that the Army, on November 5, had a new
Operating Procedure Order? Admiral Kimmel apparently did
not know of it and I was wondering if you did.

Admiral Bellinger: The one with reference to 1, 2 and 3 alerts?

Mr. Murphy: Yes.

Admiral Bellinger: No, sir, I do not think I knew of that, or the details of it. I would not normally know of the details of it, so I do not think I did know of it.

Mr. Murphy: For a long time the Army had only one kind of an alert, and then they had three alerts and they sent copies to the Navy?

Admiral Bellinger: I do not think they sent me a copy. If I knew about it it was from conversation with General Martin.

Mr. Murphy: There has been some testimony here that

WARD & PAUL WASHINGTON, D

22

19

20

21

23

24

3

4

5

G

7

8

0

10

11

12

13

1.1

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

23

24

25

Questions by: Mr. Murphy Witness Bellinger the Japanese knew about the workings of our radars. The fact is that the radar at the Opana Station did detect the Japanese at distances of 132 miles. If the Japanese were aware of our radar functioning that morning, would they have been at a sufficient height in the air for radar to have detected them at 132 miles?

Admiral Bellinger: The high altitude bombing planes -and they were I assume between 8 and 10 thousand feet when they made their attack -- probably would have been detected. The torpedo planes, according to my information, assembled at very low altitudes and made their approach at a very low altitude, and they probably would not have been detected that far by radar.

Mr. Murphy: I would like to just ask one question. Do we have available any Navy exhibit showing the damage to the ARIZONA? I understood you to say this morning that the damage to the ARIZONA was from torpedoes.

Admiral Bellinger: This was the first attack on the ARIZONA, and I assume that there were three torpedoes that hit the ARIZONA, merely from seeing these three planes pass over the ARIZONA.

Mr. Murphy: I just wanted to check it.

Admiral Bellinger: And immediately afterward a tremendous explosion.

Witness Bellinger Questions by: Mr. Murphy

Mr. Murphy: I just wanted to check with the actual records so we will have it straight. I believe there were some bombs.

Admiral Bellinger: There may have been bombs in addition.

Mr. Murphy: I do not know. Do you have that, Counsel?

Let me ask two other questions, Admiral. You did

prepare, did you not, a report, which is in the record as

Exhibit No. 120, dated December 19, 1941, a memorandum for

information for Admiral Kimmel?

Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir, I have it right here.

Mr. Murphy: Did you prepare that report then at the request of Admiral Kimmel, as to what occurred on December 7 and immediately thereafter?

Admiral Bellinger: I am not sure whether it was made at his request or not, but I made it up for him.

Mr. Murphy: And that was your judgment, as of that date, as to what actually occurred at that time?

Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir, that was the situation as I knew about it on that day.

Mr. Murphy: Now, Admiral, in regard to the ARIZONA, the Navy report is that she was hit by one or more aircraft torpedoes and about 8 heavy bombs.

I have no other questions.

(8)

2

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Witness Bellinger

Questions by: Mr. Keefe

The Chairman: Senator Ferguson.

The Senator indicates that he is willing to yield to Congressman Keefe.

Senator Ferguson: I am willing to yield to Congressman Keefe. He is always on the end.

The Chairman: Congressman, the Chair takes great pleasure in recognizing you.

Senator Lucas: I want to remind the Congressman it is a quarter of 4.

Mr. Keefe: Do I understand this is just a temporary yielding or does that end the examination?

The Chairman: No, no, I cannot guarantee anything of that kind.

Senator Ferguson: I will take what is left.

Mr. Keefe: Well, you can take it all, because it will be very brief.

Admiral, I listened carefully to your testimony and I am interested in certain ultimate facts. From listening to your testimony I gained certain impressions, and I want to ascertain whether they are in accord with what you have testified.

You were a Task Force Commander prior to December 7, in charge of the reconnaissance planes, is that right? Admiral Bellinger: They were called patrol planes.

24

25

Witness Bellinger Questions by: Mr. Keefe

Mr. Keefe: We will call them patrol planes, then.

Admiral Bellinger: We expected to use them for anything and everything we could.

Mr. Keefe: As such you were not a member of the staff of the Commander in Chief, Admiral Kimmel?

Admiral Bellinger: I was not a member of his staff.

Mr. Keefe: And as such you were not given information as to the so-called warning messages that were sent to Admiral Kimmel from Washington?

Admiral Bellinger: I was not given those warnings, no, sir. Mr. Keefe: And you had no information concerning those until after the attack?

Admiral Bellinger: Not until after the attack.

Mr. Keefe: Am I correct in the assumption that you had sufficient planes at Pearl Harbor on December 7, and prior thereto, for a period of at least a week, to have enabled you to conduct long-range reconnaissance to the north for a period of a week?

Admiral Bellinger: I would say "yes" to that question.

Mr. Keefe: I understand that you, yourself, as a Task Force Commander, would not put into effect the provisions of the Martin-Bellinger plan for long-range reconnaissance without an order from the Commander in Chief, except in case of an emergency. Is that your testimony?

(9)

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

14

16

17

18

200

1E

2eti

333

233

部署

235

Witness Bellinger

Questions by: Mr. Keefe

Admiral Bellinger: That is correct.

Mr. Keefe: Therefore, do I understand your testimony
to be that the reason there was no long-range reconnaissance
in the sector to the north in the week preceding Pearl Harbor
is because you had received no order from the Commander in
Chief to effect or carry out such reconnaissance?

Admiral Bellinger: That is correct.

Mr. Keefe: I understand your testimony also to be -and you may correct me if I am in error -- that as an air
man familiar with the situation in Hawaii you were in agreement with Admiral Davis that the greatest possibility of
a successful air attack lay in an attack coming in from the
sector to the north because of the prevailing wind conditions, is that right?

Admiral Bellinger: That is practically correct, yes, sir.

You have got to utilize the conditions as you find them at
the time when you make the attack, and the prevailing wind
was normally about 65 or 70 degrees coming from that direction.

Mr. Keefe: I understand your testimony to be in order to recapture your planes you have to head into the wind.

Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: So if planes were launched down wind --Admiral Bellinger: (Interposing) Into the wind.

Mr. Keefe: They were launched into the wind?

,17

Witness Bellinger Questions by: Mr. Keefe

Admiral Bellinger: Into the wind.

Mr. Keefe: Now when they leave the carrier they would come down wind, would they not? I am not an air expert, but I have been following your testimony. When they come back on to the carrier they have to land into the wind, is that correct, or am I in error?

Admiral Bellinger: They take off and land with the carrier heading into the wind.

Mr. Keefe: Then the carrier turns around when they take off to head into the wind?

Admiral Bellinger: Yes.

Mr. Keefe: If the prevailing wind is down toward Oahu and they are assembled up to the northwest, then when they take off they take off into the wind and circle and come down; is that right?

Admiral Bellinger: Yes.

Mr. Keefe: So the best opportunity to get away is when the carriers are headed out away from Oahu and the planes can be recaptured by the carrier heading right into the wind?

Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: Is that right?

Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: That is, as I understood, your plan set out

Witness Bellinger Questions by: Mr. Keefe in the Martin-Bellinger report. You set that out, did you not?

Admiral Bellinger: No, sir, that is not in that report.

Mr. Keefe: Well, I got it from some place else. I would not be surprised if I misunderstood what the report might be. At any rate, whether it is in the report or whether it is not, that is a fact, isn't it?

Admiral Bellinger: That is a fact, yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: You do not make any complaint today because you were not made aware of the messages that were received by the Commander in Chief, do you, Admiral?

Admiral Bellinger: No, sir.

Mr. Keefe: My recollection of this war warning message is that at the end an injunction was laid upon the Commander in Chief to distribute it only to certain restricted essential officers. Do you remember that?

Admiral Bellinger: No, sir, I think it was left to his own discretion, but I know nothing about that.

Mr. Keefe: Isn't that in the record? I have forgotten.

Admiral Bellinger: I think you are correct on some messages, but I am not familiar with them enough to answer.

Mr. Keefe: I guess that is right. That would be the Army message. That was General Short.

Then am I to see this picture from your testimony to the effect that here is a task force commander in command of

ď

H.

patrol planes who isn't given any information at all as to what is going on in the international situation and in the relations with Japan except what you got from the newspapers, perhaps, while you were lying sick in bed four or five days before the 7th of December; that no long-distance reconnaissance is ordered at all, some people claiming that that could not be effected because of lack of personnel and lack of planes, but you are of the opinion that if there had been a utilization of the patrol planes that were available it could have been carried on for at least a week.

Admiral Bellinger: I think it could have, yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: And effectively covered the arc from which you, as an Air Force Commander, at all times believed an air attack on Hawaii would come. Do I so understand it to be your testimony?

Admiral Bellinger: It could have covered that particular arc, yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: Narrowing this matter down, if I interpret your testimony correctly -- and if I am in error you can challenge me, Admiral -- the failure to conduct a long-range reconnaissance which was, under the circumstances existant on December 7 at Pearl Harbor, practically the only way in which an attacking force of carriers could be discovered, rested entirely with the Commander in Chief and his staff,

4

5

6

7

2

(10)

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

мениетои.

15

17

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Keefe Questions by: Witness Bellinger Senator Ferguson and until an order came from the Commander in Chief you would not, as a Task Force Commander, control the planes

Admiral Bellinger: That is correct.

to effect such reconnaissance, is that correct?

Mr. Keefe: That is all.

The Chairman: Senator Ferguson.

Senator Ferguson: Admiral, did you have a conversation with Admiral Smith in relation to the Kurusu plane at Midway?

Admiral Bellinger: I am not sure whether it was Admiral Smith or who it was. I got the message from someone, from the Commander in Chief, Pacific, to have two planes out there in case they were needed.

Senator Ferguson: Let me refresh your memory. Admiral Smith was asked this question on page 52 of the Hart Board, No. 147:

"This particular dispatch (indicating Exhibit 8) is different from all other warnings received previously in that the words 'war warning' were used. What was your own reaction to those particular words?"

He answers this way:

"My reaction was we knew that negotiations were still going on; Mr. Kurusu had flown through a few days before; we were in great doubt as to what was happening.

WASHINGTON.

Witness Bellinger Questions by: Senator Ferguson Kurusu's plane broke down in Midway. Admiral Bellinger called up at night and asked permission to fly him on in PBY, and I said 'No, it may be that the plane was told by the administration to break down. They know more what's going on than we do. Let him stay there.

Did you have a conversation like that with Admiral Smith?

Admiral Bellinger: I do not remember that, no, sir.

I remember a conversation with reference to Kurusu and with reference to bringing him from Midway to Pearl. I do not remember that any of my organization suggested it in any way.

Now in connection with the two planes standing by to bring him, one being an escort plane, as I remember now, they were sent out there to stand by to bring him back.

The question was evidently whether to bring him or let him wait for the Pan-American plane which appeared to be about to be fixed.

Senator Ferguson: Why were you concerned about bringing him? Why did you want to get him to Washington?

Admiral Bellinger: I was not concerned about him at all, except I was told by the Commander in Chief, as I remember now, to furnish these planes to bring him. The question was whether they should sit there waiting for him to make

WARD

WASHINGTON.

Witness Bellinger Questions by: Senator Ferguson up his mind and wait for the Pan-American, or to bring him

right away. I had no reason to get him to Honolulu or any-

where.

Senator Ferguson: At least you did not fly the PBY?

Admiral Bellinger: No, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Now you have in your statement here something that I would like to have cleared up. You say:

"As pointed out in the Martin-Bellinger estimate, the problem of when to place the Naval Base Defense Air Force in a functioning status resolved itself into one of timing with respect to the current status of our relations with Japan, and required specific information as to the probability of an air attack within rather narrow time limits."

Now what do you mean by "rather narrow time limits"?

Admiral Bellinger: For instance, the question comes

up now could we have covered a sector or could we have

covered 360 degrees; "for how long could you cover 360 degrees,

and for how long could you cover a sector of about 90 degrees?"

The only definite assurance of early information of an

air attack is by covering 360 degrees, and ---

Senator Ferguson: Now take that answer --

The Chairman: I do not think he finished his answer, Senator.

Senator Ferguson: Did you want to go on?

Witness Bellinger

Questions by: Senator Ferguson

2

3

걮

5

в

7

8

9

10

(11)

11

12

13

14

15

10

17

18

19

20

22

21

23

24

25

Senator Ferguson: All right.

Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir.

Admiral Bellinger: So that if you were going to cover 360 degrees it is going to come down to a question of a very few days. If it is going to come to the question of a sector of 90 degrees even, that is going to cause a reduction in your forces sooner or later.

Senator Ferguson: Isn't it better, in case of an anticipated attack, to use what you have even though you are not able to use the full 360 degrees?

Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir, that is perfectly true.

Senator Ferguson: Then why consider the question of 360 degrees when we did not have enough planes for 360 degrees?

Admiral Bellinger: In an estimate of the situation you are trying to work this out so as to weigh all the situations. 360 degrees on an island is the only way you can make sure that there is not a force coming in. Actually for months after Pearl Harbor, December 7, we endeavored to have 360 degrees covered from Oahu.

Senator Ferguson: Is this the trouble, that we were trying to work from a war plan which said that the absolute way was to cover 360 degrees and if we could not work from the war plan we were not going to work at all?

Admiral Bellinger: Oh, no, sir, that is not the idea.

Witness Bellinger Questions by: Senator Ferguson

The estimate can only figure on a basis of 360 degrees, otherwise where is the attack going to come from? If you do not put the 360 degrees in what are you going to put in? The idea is to stop the raid.

Senator Ferguson: Isn't it a question of trying to figure out where he may come from? Isn't that part of your Intelligence system?

Admiral Bellinger: That is part of it, and that was the plan as devolved from this estimate later with reference to where we put the first available planes, and if we had no more, why, that was all.

Senator Ferguson: Now did you consider in any plan that if you did not have enough planes for 360 degrees -- and we were in that condition up to the time we were going to war -- that you would use the planes that you did have? Did we have any war plan on such a basis?

Admiral Bellinger: The war plans called for planes to be on Wake, Midway, Palmyra, Johnston, and Oahu.

Senator Ferguson: On Oahu?

Admiral Bellinger: Yes.

Senator Ferguson: Did you have any war plan that called for anything less, on long-distance reconnaissance, than 360 degrees, the entire circle?

Admiral Bellinger: Why, certainly. The Operating Plan

SS

Witness Bellinger

Questions by: Senator Ferguson

called for planes as they were available.

Senator Ferguson: Well, now, did you have a plan that said if war was imminent you would conduct a reconnaissance in the segment north, into the vacant sea?

Admiral Bellinger: I am not sure. I haven't a copy of this latest subsidiary plan that was gotten out over my signature. I do not know whether that is available or not. I was discussing the other day with my Operations Officer if he remembered whether or not that northwest sector was put down in that plan as a vital sector. He thought it was. I am not sure. That was a question in our minds anyway, if not definitely on paper.

Senator Ferguson: Now isn't this true, that your plan with General Martin covered a 360 reconnaissance?

Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: And it did not cover any particular segment in case you did not have enough to go on 360 degrees?

Admiral Bellinger: Well, that is down in black and white. If you have got something and haven't got enough you will do what you can with what you have got.

Senator Ferguson: All right. Did you have a plan to do what you could with what you had?

Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir, that is exactly what I read out this morning. The first sector was from north around

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Witness Bellinger

Questions by: Senator Ferguson

to west to be covered by the first available planes.

Senator Ferguson: All right. Then I will ask you this:

If you did not know where these carriers were, why did not

you send your planes up in that direction, with the first

planes that you got off the ground, to locate these carriers?

Admiral Bellinger: On December 7?

Senator Ferguson: Yes.

Admiral Bellinger: That is what was done.

Senator Ferguson: Will you get me the evidence that you sent them up north? You sent one up north.

Admiral Bellinger: It is in my statement this morning, I thought very clearly.

Senator Ferguson: Will you tell us what time you sent them up there, up to the north?

Admiral Bellinger: The three patrol planes 14 P-1, 2 and 3 on early morning security search were assigned a search sector between north and northwest. Those were the first ones available.

Senator Ferguson: What time was that?

Admiral Bellinger: That was about 8:00 o'clock, or 8:05 when they got the message, according to my information.

Senator Ferguson: 8:05, and the attack took place at 7:55.

Admiral Bellinger: Now there is a little hitch in

(12)

RD & PAUL WASHINGTON D

15

17

181

18

19

20

13

なな

23

24

25-

Witness Bellinger

Questions by: Senator Ferguson

that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

I want to get the hitch out of it. Senator Ferguson: Let us know what happened.

Admiral Bellinger: These three planes were assigned this north to northwest sector and proceeded on search. After the first phase of the attack Patrol Wing one reported two planes at Kaneohe available for immediate operation and was directed to send these two planes on a northwesterly sector.

Senator Ferguson: That is another search?

Admiral Bellinger: That is another besides those three?

Senator Ferguson: Yes.

Admiral Bellinger: One second. Before these could be dispatched another Japanese attack put them out of commission.

Senator Ferguson: Yes.

Admiral Bellinger: At about the same time communications between Kaneohe and Pearl were knocked out. Patrol Wing One on own initiative diverted the two planes then on that northerly sector, that is the 1 and 3, to cover a westerly sector because of the loss of the two planes originally detailed.

All right. Senator Ferguson:

In an effort to comply with instructions Admiral Bellinger:

14 15

16

17

18

19

21

20

88

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

D

10

44

13

Witness Bellinger

Questions by: Senator Ferguson

Senator Ferguson: Then they violated the war plan that you and Martin had drawn up, because your first one was to be to the north?

Admiral Bellinger: No, sir. They may have violated it but not with the idea of violating, because they were not controlling the plan. They were carrying out orders from the Patrol Wing to headquarters.

Senator Ferguson: But the headquarters policy was to send them in another direction. How do you account for the fact, if this map is correct, of these carriers, six of them, and their task force, as being 200 miles north of Hawaii, if you had these planes and if you did have them sent up there, that you did not see these carriers?

I think from the data we have now they were 200 miles out, that is where they were stationed when their planes took off.

Admiral Bellinger: This diversion of those two planes removed two planes from the sector where the Japanese task force was later determined to be near.

Senator Ferguson: Could I have that answer read? (The answer was read by the reporter.)

LaCharity

24

23

20

21

88

Lac fls Shack hl

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

13

13

14

Witness Bellinger

Questions by: Senator Ferguson

Senator Ferguson: Do I understand that someone at headquarters diverted the two planes and that if they hadn't been diverted, they would have located the task force?

Admiral Bellinger: I wouldn't say they would have, but it was in that area.

Senator Ferguson: Yes.

Admiral Bellinger: Yes.

Senator Ferguson: Now, who was the man that diverted those two planes?

Admiral Bellinger: The Patrol Wing One organization.

Senator Ferguson: What?

Admiral Bellinger: Over Kaneohe.

Senator Ferguson: What about the one plane that kept going up, how do you account for not seeing those planes going back to the carrier and landing?

Admiral Bellinger: They didn't see it go.

Senator Ferguson: Well, did you ever see this radar chart, where these planes came down and they caught them at 302, and they came straight down, and we found planes going straight back to the north?

Admiral Bellinger: No, sir, I never saw that before.

Senator Ferguson: Well -

Admiral Bellinger: I would like to elaborate on a

16

15

18

17

19

20

24

83

23

24

h2

Witness Bellinger Questions by: Senator Ferguson question of this morning, if I may, in connection with this general subject.

I understand that my Operations Officer' Captain
Ramsey, made a statement that he had telephoned and he
thought I had telephoned to the Army on December 7 with
reference to the radar detection on those planes going
north after they had left.

Now, in the plan for the carrying out of this Naval Base Defense Air Force in the event of a raid, there were planes assigned by the Army to follow the carrier planes back with the idea that this radar existed at this time, which it didn't, when this was made out. And so I was interested in trying to find out where these planes went to.

Commander Ramsey was also.

He says I telephoned over to Army headquarters to find out about it. I don't know whether I did or not. I don't remember doing it now, but I did ask him questions to find out where they went. We did not get information from the radar at that time that planes went north to the carrier.

Senator Ferguson: Did you have any communication, any means of communication to the radar station?

Admiral Bellinger: Only through the Army.

working that morning.

34

25

Questions by: Senator Ferguson Senator Ferguson: You had to go through headquarters? Senator Ferguson: It turns up that the Army had this Senator Ferguson: Did you know the radars were not Admiral Bellinger: I was surprised that they were Senator Ferguson: Why would you be surprised that Admiral Bellinger: Because I didn't think they were Senator Ferguson: Then, as I understand it, you Admiral Bellinger: Oh, I knew that the radar was Senator Ferguson: But you didn't know they had

h4

3

5

4

6 7

8

9

11

WARD &

WASHINGTON.

10

12

13 14

15

16

17

19

18

20

24

23

88

24

25

working before that?

Witness Bellinger

Questions by: Senator Ferguson

Senator Ferguson: At any time did you know it was

Admiral Bellinger: I knew that they were establishing their system and the radar had bean set up, and the individual radars were working, yes, but the system had to be set up to make it work intelligently, and they were in the process of putting that into effect.

Senator Ferguson: Well, that isn't quite an answer to my question. My question is, did you know prior to the 7th that radar was established on the Island?

Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Well, then, why didn't you get in touch with the radar stations to ascertain if they had picked up anything coming in or going out?

Admiral Bellinger: It would have been impossible to have gotten in touch with the radar station. You have got to go through the Army headquarters to get in touch with it.

Senator Ferguson: Well, did you have to call General Short?

Admiral Bellinger: General Martin, General Martin's office.

Senator Ferguson: Why didn't you call General Martin to find out what he had from his radar? That was one of

Witness Bellinger Questions by: Senator Ferguson the greatest instruments we had, wasn't it?

Admiral Bellinger: I am not positive I didn't do it.

I talked to Martin that day twice. I think I did, as a
matter of fact. I am not saying positively I did or not.

I could have also gotten that information from the Air Combat, the fighter commander. He had that -- General Davidson was the one that was in charge of the interceptor.

Senator Ferguson: Well, we come down to this, that you did not get any news about any radar on the 7th?

Admiral Bellinger: No, we did not; at least I did not.

Senator Ferguson: You we re in command?

Admiral Bellinger: I was in command of the long range reconnaissance, and this striking force.

Senator Ferguson: Now, part of the duty of the striking force would be to go out and get these carriers?

Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir; we wanted to know about that; that was our main effort then.

Senator Ferguson: The reconnaissance would be to locate them, so you had the most important force, as far as intercepting this task force was concerned; is that not true?

Admiral Bellinger: That is true.

Senator Ferguson: You don't remember now that you ever

Witness Bellinger Questions by: Senator Ferguson made any inquiry as to what radar showed?

Mr. Murphy: Will the Senator yield?

Senator Ferguson: Yes.

Mr. Murphy: If he had asked General Davidson, General Davidson couldn't tell him. He didn't know.

Admiral Bellinger: You are trying to get me to say something definite describing my action. I probably did. I don't know. I know I wanted that information. I took it up with my Operations Officer to see if he couldn't get it too. We were both trying to figure how we could get thatinformation.

Whether I talked personally, I am not sure. We were trying to get the information.

Senator Ferguson: How far would your headquarters be from where this information would be?

Admiral Bellinger: About six miles.

Senator Ferguson: And the first attack was at 7:55.
When was the last one?

Admiral Bellinger: It lasted about two hours.

Senator Ferguson: About two hours.

So you had two hours time there to try to locate where this task force was?

Admiral Bellinger: No, sir. If they have got the information coming in there is the question of getting the

h7

2:5

Witness Bellinger Questions by: Senator Ferguson information. It is two hours then, yes, but the getting of the information is only while the planes are in motion, coming from and going to.

Senator Ferguson: Well, is this true, that you had an untrained Navy there as far as getting Intelligence from radar? Is that true, that you didn't know how to use radar, you had it but you didn't know that it was operating and you didn't know whether it was operating that morning?

Admiral Bellinger: The radar installations, the whole set-up was an Army project.

Senator Ferguson: Then do we come --

Admiral Bellinger: The Navy did have radar on some of their ships. As a matter of fact that question has been discussed with reference to the use of that radar, when it could be used, and where it could be used.

Senator Ferguson: What was the answer?

Admiral Bellinger: There were certain sectors, certain places in Pearl Harbor where it could work.

Senator Ferguson: Would it work in the sector here (indicating chart)?

Admiral Bellinger: It would have to work in a sector to the south, to the southerd, on account of the hills, et cetera.

Senator Ferguson: You are talking about the ship radar?

Witness Bellinger Questions by: Senator Ferguson
Admiral Bellinger: Yes.

Senator Ferguson: I am talking about the Army radar.

Admiral Bellinger: What I meant was that the ship

radar augmented and could augment Army radar when it was in a place where it could be used.

Senator Ferguson: Now, did the Army have radio to the Navy airplanes and did the Navy airplanes have radio to the Army airplanes?

Admiral Bellinger: No, sir.

Senator Ferguson: In other words --

Admiral Bellinger: The communication to the Navy planes was by Navy and the Army planes by the Army, and if the Navy wanted to send information to any Army planes, the information was telephoned over and they sent it.

S hefner fls LaChar 4:20 PM 1

5

G

T

8

8

10

11

13

13

Witness Bellinger

Questions by: Sen. Ferguson

Senator Ferguson: Then I understand that if the Oppunications center had wanted to know, desired to know whether those were Army planes up to the north that morning the Army would have to get in touch with the Army, and if they lesired to know whether they were Navy planes they would have to call the Navy?

Admiral Bellinger: That is correct.

And you could not communicate --Senator Ferguson:

Aimiral Bellinger: There was no set-up at that time for the control of all planes to keep knowledge of that kind.

Senator Ferguson: Well, now, 111 we have such a system that the planes of the Navy had no communication with the Army radio? Is that where we stand on the 7th?

Admiral Bellinger: That is correct.

Senator Ferguson: Why was that true? Was that coordination?

Admiral Bellinger: That was the situation. The Army 111 not control Navy and Navy did not control Army.

Senator Ferguson: I understand.

Admiral Bellinger: And, as a ratter of fact, even up until about two months after December the 7th did we work out a situation whereby the Army planes on long-range scouting could be communicated with directly from my headquarters. Now, that had to be worked out and, as I say, it took about

24 15

18

18

13

19

20

88

21

23

24

Witness Bellinger

Questions by: Ben. Ferguson

two months to to that.

Senator Ferguson: All right. Was that due to lack of material and manpower?

Admiral Bellinger: That is a difficult thing to say. I would say no, it was not.

Senator Ferguson: What was it due to? Because the two departments did not coordinate?

Admiral Bellinger: Primarily that, yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Well, how to you account for the Army and the Navy expecting war and no cooperation to the extent that you could communicate from the ground to both the Army and the Navy planes in each of the Army and Navy set-ups?

Admiral Bellinger: Well, that is very easily explained. Senator Ferguson: All right, explain it.

Aimiral Bellinger: There was one and there was another. One force was working under the navy Department and one was working under the War Department. They were two separate entities.

Senator Ferguson: And do I understand that you thought that war was imminent?

Admiral Bellinger: I thought war was coming.

Well, how far away? Senator Ferguson:

Well, that was a question which I was Admiral Bellinger: very much interested in.

14 15

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

13

17

16

18

19

20

18

22

23

24

2

3

5

8

7

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

13

10

17

13

19

BO

21

23

23

24

25

Witness Bellinger

Questions by: Sen. Ferguson

Senator Ferguson: Well, you were out there on the ground. Adriral Bellinger: When I went out there in 1940 I felt that it was coming. It was a question how soon.

Senator Ferguson: All right. Now, you knew in 1940 that war was coming; it was a question of how soon. Then why didn't you get into a condition so that you would have one command on that small island?

Admiral Bellinger: I would like to ask you how I was going to to that.

Senator Ferguson: Then I will ask you. I won't answer you but I will ask you.

Admiral Bellinger: I brought that subject up, too.

Senator Ferguson: All right.

Admiral Bellinger: I mean in Oahu.

Senator Ferguson: Why couldn't it be done? You give me the facts.

Admiral Bellinger: I think you are in a much better position than I am. I have been watching this.

Senator Ferguson: It could be done. You did it after Pearl Harbor, didn't you?

Admiral Bellinger: Unity of command was placed in effect very shortly after Pearl Harbor.

Senator Ferguson: Well, now, could someone of the superlors in Washington in the Army and Navy caused that to be done?

8

3

4

5

6

7

8

- 9

10

11

13

13

14

15

16

17

13

10

20

24

都學

23

24

Witness Bellinger

Questions by: Sen. Ferguson

Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir, I should think so.

Senator Ferguson: There is no loubt about that, is there?

Admiral Bellinger: I don't think there is any doubt about it. There might have been some objections raised; I don't know.

The Chairman: May I ask there, Senator? Could that have been done in Oahu without referring it to Washington, under what they had as an agreement as to the cooperation between the Army and Navy forces out there?

Admiral Bellinger: I don't believe it could have been.

The Chairman: You do not?

Admiral Bellinger: At least I know I discussed this same subject with Admiral Kimmel.

Mr. Murphy: Will the Senator yield?

Senator Ferguson: I want to just --

Mr. Murphy: There is evidence in the record that it could be done in Oahu.

Admiral Bellinger: It could be?

Mr. Murphy: Yes, very definite evidence.

Senator Ferguson: I want to read his answer now.

Mr. Murphy: There is also evidence that they talked for four or five days on some little island.

Senator Ferguson: Were you asked this question:

"If a message had been relayed to the Army that an

Witness Ballinger

Questions by: Sen. Ferguson

enery subrarine had been sunk, would that have placed your air operating plan in effect?"

Now, this answer is not clear to me and that is the reason I ar going to read it. (Reading)

"I doubt it. I think it would have required some higher authority in the Army to place it in effect. Now, in order to amplify that statement, I would like to refer to an air raid drill which was planned by the Army subsequent to the joint estimate and orders issued setting up the air defense plan. During one night, prior to the operations for the next day, I received a message stating that the Bomber Command was no longer subject to the orders of Cormander, Patrol Wing Two. I wondered what was the ratter. I finally found out that the Army wanted to revert to the old 'Joint Action' wherein, if the Navy wanted the Army to assist, it was ne cessary for the Navy command to so request the Army. Therefore, in the early morning, at five o'clock, the Army Bomber Command asked if I was going to request the Army to assist. I informed him that I did not understand that that was necessary in our agreement, that the Commanier-in-Chief, Pacific, was the only one to ask the Army to assist. He stated he would like to participate in this drill. I

said I would give him the information and he could act as

3

5

6

7

8

0

24 \$3

17

18

19

20

23

25

5

6

8

9

11

12

13

Witness Bellinger

Questions by: Sen. Ferguson

he saw fit, and in accordance with his orders. After that I made an official report of same to the Commanderin-Chief and also the Commander, Naval Base Defense, and also prepared a letter for the Commander, Naval Base Defense Force, to General Short, trying to straighten this In other words, to place the plan for air defense into effect evidently required authorization from higher Army authority for each instance. My letter, just referred to, was designed to correct that situation. "

Did you ever correct the situation?

Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: When was this?

Admiral Bellinger: Within limitations. It did not actually bring about a coordination like was necessary or unity of command like was necessary, but we did not have that sare situation core up again.

Senator Ferguson: . When had this happened at five o'olock in the morning that you tried to get this straightened out? Do you know about what month or what part of the month?

Admiral Bellinger: It happened about July; July 1941.

Senator Ferguson: Now, you told us this morning that your Intelligence officer knew about these war warnings.

Admiral Bellinger: No. sir.

Senator Ferguson: Whose Intelligence officer?

10

14 15

16

18

17

19

20

24

23

23

24

Airiral Bellinger: An Intelligence officer of the Naval Air Station, who was attached to the District but he was on the air station.

Senator Ferguson: Diin't you have access to him?

Admiral Bellinger: I had access to him by sending for him and he came. He was not under me, not part of my command, no.

Senator Ferguson: When 111 you sent for him?

was or had been a warning message of some description and he was supposed to have known about it and he was the one that gave information to this officer who was in my command.

Senator Ferguson: Well, on the sixth you have told us that there was peace in Hawaii.

Admiral Bellinger: Peace with Japan.

Senator Ferguson: Yes. Well, between whom was the war going on in Hawaii?

Admiral Bellinger: Excuse me.

Senator Ferguson: You indicate then that there was war in Hawaii between some other people, not Japan. Who was it between?

Admiral Bellinger: I don't know exactly what you mean.

Senator Ferguson: Well, I took your answer when you said

"peace with Japan" --

WARD & PAUL, WASHINGTON, D. C.

福集

Witness Bellinger

Questions by: Sen. Ferguson

Admiral Bellinger: That is what I meant, what I was referring to. We were at peace with Japan, that is all.

Senator Ferguson: All right. And you did not anticipate any war that morning?

Airiral Bellinger: No, sir.

Senator Ferguson: It was the farthest thing from your mini probably, is that right?

Airiral Bellinger: Yes, sir.

Mr. Murphy: He was thinking about his sore throat.

Senator Ferguson: If you would have had more air fields in Hawaii -- you said something about you did not have space for your planes. Were you crowded for air space?

Admiral Bellinger: Let me go back to that. The planes that I am speaking about are seaplanes, great big two-motored seaplanes, which come out of the water, come up a concrete ramp and are pulled up a concrete platform and you have got to keep them on that or else you cannot handle them.

Senator Ferguson: Was the Navy realy for war on the 6th and 7th of December 1941 as far as Hawaii was concerned, -

Admiral Bellinger: Ready for war?

Senator Ferguson: Yes.

Admiral Bellinger: I would say "No", neither was any other place in the United States.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

13

14

15

16

17

18

10

20

24

83

23

24

25

Witness Bellinger

Questions by: Sen. Ferguson

Senator Ferguson: And you are definite that in that month, that we were not ready for war in Hawail?

Admiral Bellinger: When I say "ready for war" I mean in every way that they are supposed to be ready. I do not mean just merely the individual but I mean in the overall picture.

Senator Ferguson: Did you have enough of equipment?

Admiral Bellinger: No, sirp

Senator Ferguson: Then as I understand it you were not ready for war.

Admiral Bellinger: That is what I said; we were not ready for war.

Senator Ferguson: And you did not expect it?

Admiral Bellinger: I did not expect it then, no, sir.

Senator Ferguson: That is all.

Mr. Murphy: May I ask this question?

Admiral, we were still getting ready for war a month before and a day before the war ended, weren't we, still getting more prepared?

Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir, we were.

Mr. Murphy: Did you ever see a commander who felt that he had what he would have liked to have to fight he enemy and feel perfectly satisfied, fully satisfied?

Admiral Bellinger: No, sir, but there are times that you like to get at the enemy with what you have got.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

8

10

11

13

13

84

15

14

17

18

10

20

21

意思

23

24

26

Witness Bellinger

Questions by: Sen.Lucas

Mr. Murphy: Now, I would like to say this: The question was asked of you why didn't you call the Interceptor Command? The evidence in that reg ard is that General Short did not have this information at least until the day after, that "dmiral Kimmel did not know it until at least the day after and if you called General Davidson he would not know and if you had called the Interceptor Command, unless Lieutenant Tyler was there to tell you, you would not be able to find out. either him or McDonali.

Senator Lucas: May I ask one question?

The Vice Chairman: Were you through, Senator Ferguson? Senator Ferguson: No, I had a question, but go ahead, Senator.

Senator Lucas: Admiral Bellinger, what did you understand by the war warning message of November the 27th, 1941 sent by Admiral Stark to Admiral Kimmel when Admiral Stark advised Admiral Kimmel to "execute an appropriate defensive deployment preparatory to carrying out the tasks assigned in WPL-46?" What does that mean to you? What would that mean to you?

Admiral Bellinger: Of course, now it would mean a great deal different than it might have meant then and that is one of those questions that your guess is as good as mine now.

Senator Lucas: Well, what did the Navy Department have

WARDS

Questions by: Sen. Lucas

88

23

24

25

Witness Bellinger in mind when they said, "Execute an appropriate defensive deployment?" What did that mean to you as a part of the

force out there?

Well, "deployment" means to place un-Aimiral Bellinger: 1ts.

Senator Lucas: What would you do with your planes under that sort of an order?

(No response.)

Senator Lucas: You don't know?

Admiral Bellinger: That is a question, of course, that I could say I might have done anything, I could have done anything, but what does it mean now? I am not an individual that wants to say what I am going to do or what I could have done.

Senator Lucas: I understand.

Admiral Bellinger: I am perfectly willing to stand on what I to.

Senator Lucas: Assuring that you had seen General Marshall's message, which went to Admiral Kimmel, where they directed long-range reconnaissance in his message -- you are familiar with that?

Aimiral Bellinger: Yes.

Senator Lucas: Take Marshall's message and take Stark's message and construe them together. What would you have done Witness Bellinger

Questions by: Sen. Lucas

with your planes?

Admiral Bellinger: Well, it does say, "Make a reconnaissance." I have forgotten whether it said "long-range" or not.

Senator Lucas: Well, "make a reconnaissance," I think is what it says, "that you doer necessary." "Make such reconnaissance as you deem necessary", I think is the way it reals.

Now, take that message of General Marshall's and take the message of Admiral Stark's, which starts out, "This is a war warning and take appropriate defensive deployment", a combination of the two of them; What would that tell you as a man that had charge of the patrol and long-range planes? Don't you sofatch your head too hard over that one.

Admiral Bellinger: Well, I see you are trying to get me to make an answer which ---

Senator Lucas: No, 1f you cannot make an answer I don't want you to.

Admiral Bellinger: (Continuing) -- I don't think I can do. As I say, I am not going to make an answer as to saying what I might have done or what I would have done because this is four years since it happened. As I say, I would like to think I would have taken the appropriate action immediately.

Senator Lucas: Yes, I appreciate that it is a most

0

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

13

13

14

15

10

17

18

19

20

81

82

23

24

Witness Bellinger

Questions by: Sen. Eucas

Airiral Bellinger: I cannot answer it.

Senator Lucas: (Continuing) -- that I place that question before you, sir, is in view of the fact that you desired to have before the committee the fact that you did not have any of these messages and I presumed that you would want us to interrogate you just a little bit upon that fact because you told us that you did not see any of these messages and it would give me some indication that you thought somebody should have given them to you, otherwise you would not have told the committee that.

Admiral Bellinger: Well, I assured you would have asked me if I had not told you.

Senator Lucas: Well, that may be true.

Admiral Bellinger: As a matter of fact, I did not state that in any statement.

Senator Lucas: I will not press the question, sir.

Mr. Murphy: May I ask one question?

The Vice Chairman: Senator Ferguson has some questions to ask at this time.

Senator Ferguson: Admiral, I would like to read to you the last sentences

"You are directed to undertake such reconnaissance and other measures as you deem necessary, but these

Witness Bellinger

Questions by: Sen. Ferguson

me asures should be carried out so as not, comma, repeat not, comma, to alarm the civil population or disclose intent."

Would that have made any difference with that in it?

Admiral Bellinger: I think all modifications have a bearing on the general thought.

Shefner-- 8 Shack fls 9

D & PAUL WASHINGTON, D. C

9.1

Witness Bellinger Questions by: Senator Ferguson hl Senator Ferguson: One or two more questions. You Shack 2 fls got out a letter on November 19, a revised schedule for Shef 3 remainder of the second quarter fiscal year. Did that 4 carry through December, November and December? 5 Admiral Bellinger: That was going on in December, 6 yes. 7 Mr. Murphy: 1941? 8 Senator Ferguson: That is Exhibit 113-C. Now that 9 would cover November and December? 10 27 0 Admiral Bellinger: That covers a part of November, 11 and December. I believe I am correct in that. 13 Mr. Murphy: 1941? 13 Admiral Bellinger: It covers a part of November 14 and December. 15 The Vice Chairman: What year? 18 Admiral Bellinger: 1941. 17 Senator Ferguson: Admiral Kimmel approved that, 13 did he not? 19 Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir. 20 Senator Ferguson: He approved it on November 22, 21 1941? 23 Admiral Bellinger: Yes. 23 Senator Ferguson: Then we have charts in the back 24 of that indicating what you did with certain planes.

h2

1

3

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

13

43

13

47

16

18

19

20

B22

21

23

24

215

Witness Bellinger Questions by: Senator Ferguson

Admiral Bellinger: Yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Now, was there any alteration of that after you had it approved by Admiral Kimmel? Did you make any changes in the schedule?

Admiral Bellinger: Not to my knowledge, no, sir.

Senator Ferguson: There were no changes at all?

Admiral Bellinger: No, sir.

Senator Ferguson: That is all I have.

Admiral Bellinger: Except this; to be technically correct, patrol squadron 22 came back on the 5th of December.

Senator Ferguson: But that was under a specific order?

Admiral Bellinger: Yes.

The Vice Chairman: Mr. Murphy has a question.

Mr. Murphy: I was just going to say in conclusion, Admiral, that I am not asking you to place yourself in Admiral Kimmel's position with all of the material he had through the months, but, as I take it, since you were an air officer, and air-minded, if there was a discussion about the possibility of an attack on Hawaii, your mind would have been that it would be from the air and there was danger of the attack coming from the air?

Admiral Bellinger: If there was danger of an attack

2:5

Witness Bellinger Questions by: Mr. Murphy on Hawaii, I would expect it to come from the air.

Mr. Murphy: That is right.

The Vice Chairman: Does counsel have anything?
Mr. Richardson: No.

The Vice Chairman: Admiral, do you have any further information that you feel could be of assistance to this committee in considering the question here under consideration?

Admiral Bellinger: No, sir, I do not think I can add anything more to it.

The Vice Chairman: Do you have any other evidence that you desire to present?

Admiral Bellinger: No, sir.

The Vice Chairman: On behalf of the committee I want to thank you for your appearance, the information you have given the committee, and your apparent desire to be helpful to us in this inquiry.

Admiral Bellinger: Thank you very much. I appreciate the committee's consideration.

The Vice Chairman: You may now be excused with the thanks of the committee.

Admiral Bellinger: Thank you very much, sir.

The Vice Chairman: The committee will now adjourn until ten o'clock in the morning.

(Whereupon, at 4:40 p. m., the committee recessed until 10:00 o'clock a.m., the following day, Friday, February 1, 1946.)