





PART V:

FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION

MISCELLANEOUS DOCUMENTS

Title 10-Energy CHAPTER II-FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION

PART 213-OIL IMPORT REGULATIONS Allocations for the Period May 1, 1976 through April 30, 1977

On April 7, 1976, the Federal Energy Administration (FEA) issued proposed amendments to Part 213 of Chapter II, Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations, to provide for the commencement of the next allocation period on May 1. 1976, and to reduce accordingly the levels of fee-exempt allocations from 80% to 65% of 1973 import levels. FEA has now considered the public comments on these amendments, and hereby adopts the amendments as proposed.

The only major comment received was a request that fee-exempt allocations not be reduced, with respect to the person making the request, in accordance with the scheduled reduction specified in Section 8 of Proclamation No. 3279, as amended. However, since these reduc-tions are mandated by the Proclamation, and wholly outside FEA's discretion, FEA is unable to take any action in furtherance of this request. To the extent that payment of fees pose a burden to any person, that person may seek relief from the Office of Exceptions and Appeals in accordance with Subpart D of Part 205 of FEA regulations.

(Federal Energy Administration Act of 1974, P.L. 93-275; E.O. 11790, 39 FR 23185; Trade Expansion Act of 1962, P.L. 87-794, as amended; Proclamation No. 3279, as amended.)

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 213 of Chapter II. Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as set forth below effective May 1, 1976.

Issued in Washington, D.C., April 20, 1976.

> MICHAEL F. BUTLER, General Counsel, Federal Energy Administration.

§ 213.5 [Amended]

1. Section 213.5 is amended in para-graph (a) by deleting the terms "1975" and "1976" wherever they appear, and by substituting therefor the terms "1976" and "1977" respectively.

§ 213.7 [Amended]

2. Section 213.7 is amended by deleting paragraph (c) and by redesignating paragraph (d) as paragraph (c).

8 213.9 [Amended]

3. Section 213.9 is amended in paragraphs (a) and (b) by deleting the terms "1974," "1975," and "1976" wherever they appear and substituting therefor the terms "1975," "1976," and "1977" respectively, and by deleting the term ".80" wherever it appears and substituting therefor the term ".65," and by deleting paragraph (f).

§ 213.12 [Amended]

4. Section 213.12 is amended in paragraphs (a) and (b) by deleting the terms "1974," "1975," and "1976" wherever they appear and substituting therefor the terms "1975," "1976," and "1977" respectively, and by deleting paragraph (e) and redesignating paragraphs (f) and (g) as paragraphs (e) and (f) respectively.

§ 213.13 [Amended]

5. Section 213.13 is amended by deleting the terms "1974," "1975," and "1976" wherever they appear and substituting therefor the terms "1975," "1976," and "1977" respectively, and by deleting paragraph (e) and redesignating paragraphs (f) and (g) as paragraphs (e) and (f) respectively.

6. Section 213.15 is amended in paragraph (d) to read as follows:

8 213.15 Allocations of residual fuel oil-District I.

(d) For the allocation period May 1. 1976 through April 30, 1977, each eligible applicant under this section shall receive an allocation not subject to license fee of imports of residual fuel oil into District I to be used as fuel in District I computed according to the following formula:

"Applicant's average barrel-per-day allocation made pursuant to sec. 213.15 for the allocation" period May 1, 1974, through Apr. 30, 1975.

.

×1.885.000 bb1/d Average barrel-per-day allocations made pursuant to sec. 213.15 to all applicants for the alloca-tion period May 1, 1974, to Apr. 30, 1975.

§ 213.16 [Amended]

.

7. Section 213.16 is amended in paragraph (a) by deleting the terms "1975" and "1976" and substituting therefor the terms "1976" and "1977" respectively. and by deleting the term "16,000" and substituting therefor the term "13,000."

§ 213.20 [Amended]

8. Section 213.20 is amended in subparagraph (1) of paragraph (a) by deleting the term "15" and by substituting

therefor the term "11." and in subparagraph (2) of paragraph (a) to read as follows:

§ 213.20 Allocations of crude oil and unfinished oils-Puerto Rico.

(a) * * *

(2) For the allocation period May 1, 1976 through April 30, 1977, each eligible applicant under this paragraph shall receive an allocation not subject to license fee to import crude and unfinished oils into Puerto Rico computed according to the following formula:

(2) For the allocation period May 1.

Applicant's allocation pursuant to sec. 213.20(a) not subject to license fees of imports of crude and unfinished oils into Puerto Rico for the allocation period May 1, 1974, to Apr. 30, 1975, expressed in barrels per day. ×147,694 bbl/d Total allocations pursuant to sec. 213.20(a) not subject to license fees of imports of crude and un-finished oils into Puerto Rico for the allocation period May 1, 1974, to Apr. 30, 1975, expressed in barrels per day.

9. Section 213.21 is amended in subparagraph (2) of paragraph (a), and in subparagraph (2) of paragraph (b) to read as follows:

§ 213.21 Allocations of finished products-Puerto Rico. (a) * * *

1976 through April 30, 1977, each eligible applicant under this paragraph shall receive an allocation not subject to license fee to import finished products, other than residual fuel oil to be used as fuel in Puerto Rico, into Puerto Rico, computed according to the following formula:

.

Applicant's allocation pursuant to sec. 213.21(a) not subject to license fees of imports into Puerto Rico' of finished products (other than residual fuel oil to be used as fuel in Puerto Rico) for the allocation period May 1, 1974, to Apr. 30, 1975, expressed in barrels per day. × 934 bbl/d Total allocations pursuant to sec. 213.21(a) not subject to license fees of imports into Puerto Rico of finished products (other than residual fuel oil to be used as fuel in Puerto Rico) for the allocation period May 1, 1974, to Apr. 30, 1975, expressed in barrels per day.

(b) * * * 1976 through April 30, 1977, each eligible applicant under this paragraph shall re-

ceive an allocation not subject to license (2) For the allocation period May 1, fee to import residual fuel oil to be used as fuel in Puerto Rico, computed according to the following formula:

Applicant's allocation pursuant to sec. 213.21(b) not subject to license fees of imports into Puerto Rico of residual fuel oil to be used as fuel in Puerto Rico for the allocation period May 1, 1974, to Apr. 30, 1975, expressed in barrels per day.	×1.072 bbl/d
Total allocations pursuant to sec. 231.21(b) not subject to license fees of imports into Puerto Rico of residual fuel oil to be used as fuel in Puerto Rico for the allocation period May 1, 1974, to Apr. 30, 1975, expressed in barrels per day.	

10. Section 213.32 is amended in paragraph (d) to read as follows:

§ 213.32 Allocations of low sulphur re-sidual fuel oil-District V. .

(d) For the allocation period May 1, 1976 through April 30, 1977, each eligible following formula:

applicant under this section shall receive an allocation not subject to license fee to import low sulphur residual fuel oil into District V to be used as fuel in District V computed according to the

Average barr	el-per-day alioca	r-day allocation ma 30, 1975. tions made pursua			
L period May	•	• Apr. 30, 1975.	•		•

11. Section 213.34 is amended in paragraphs (b) and (e) to read as follows: § 213.34 Allocations of No. 2 fuel oil-

District I.

(b) For the allocation period May 1, 1976 through April 30, 1977, 32,500 barrels per day of imports of No. 2 fuel oil, which is manufactured in the Western Hemisphere from crude oil produced in according to the following formula:

the Western Hemisphere, will be available for allocations in District I to eligible persons having qualified terminal inputs of No. 2 fuel oil in this district.

(e) For the allocation period May 1, 1976 through April 30, 1977, each eligible applicant under this section shall receive an allocation of imports not subject to license fee into District I of No. 2 fuel oil

Applicant's average-barrei-per-day allocation of No. 2 fuel oil into district I made pursuant to sec. 213.84 or sec. 213.25 for the allocation period Jan. 1, 1973, through Dec. 31, 1973. Totai of all allocations expressed in barrels per day of No. 2 fuel oil into district I made pursuant to sec. 213.24 or sec. 213.26 for the allocation period Jan. 1, 1973, through Dec. 31, 1973. X32,500 bbl/d

§ 213.37 [Amended]

.

12. Section 213.37 is amended in para-graph (a) by deleting the term "26,000" and by substituting therefor the term "21,125," and in paragraphs (a) and (c)

٠

by deleting the terms "1975" and "1976" wherever they appear and substituting therefor the terms "1976" and "1977" respectively.

[FR Doc.76-11953 Filed 4-21-76;2:05 pm]

PROPOSED RULES

FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION

[10 CFR Parts 210, 211, 212 and 215] MANDATORY PETROLEUM ALLOCATION AND PRICE REGULATIONS

Exemption of No. 2 Heating Oil, No. 2–D Diesel Fuel and Other Middle Distillate; Proposed Rulemaking and Public Hearing

The Federal Energy Administration hereby gives notice that, following a preliminary analysis of the effects of regulation of middle distillate pursuant to the Emergency Petroleum Allocation Act of 1973, (EPAA), it has tentatively concluded that middle distillate, including No. 2 heating oil and No. 2-D diesel fuel, should be exempted from its Mandatory Petroleum Allocation and Price Regulations. Therefore, by this notice of proposed rulemaking, FEA is proposing amendments that would be submitted to the Congress in accordance with the provisions of Section 12 of the EPAA, to exempt middle distillate from the application of the Mandatory Petroleum Allocation and Price Regulations (10 CFR Parts 210, 211 and 212), thereby converting the EPAA to standby authority with respect to the pricing and the allocation of middle distillate. Authority delegated to the Governor of Puerto Rico with respect to the allocation of middle distillate would also be revoked. In addition, FEA proposes to revoke Part 215, the Low Sulfur Petroleum Products Regulation.

FEA also gives notice that it will hold a public hearing and receive written comments with respect to its preliminary findings and views concerning this proposed exemption and all other pertinent factors related to this proposal and the potential removal of FEA controls from middle distillate. If, after all comments received during the public comment period are fully considered, FEA concludes that its preliminary findings and views are correct, amendments to exempt middle distillates from regulation will be submitted to the Congress.

Because supplies of crude oil and refined petroleum products have generally been sufficient since the end of the 1973 oil embargo, and FEA's Old Oil Allocation (Entitlements) Program has largely reduced the competitive advantage of firms with access to large amounts of price-controlled oil, it has been apparent for some time that the complex regulatory program created for the embargo shortage period may no longer be necessary to assure that the original objectives of the program are achieved with regard to some or all products. On the basis of evidence available to FEA, the existence of the price and allocation regulations respecting middle distillate now tends more to create market distortions than to achieve the objectives of the EPAA.

Although supplies were generally adequate for most of 1975, the future of the EPAA and of U.S. energy policy was uncertain. In addition, the exemption procedures provided by former section 4(g) of the EPAA were mechanically cumbersome. Exemptions could apply, for example, to only one product at a time, and could remain in effect for only 90 days. Such limitations, together with the uncertain future of the EPAA, prevented FEA from formulating and implementing a rational decontrol program during 1975

However, the conclusion that market conditions may be appropriate for an end to price and allocation controls has been reached not only by FEA, but is shared by many members of Congress, who have urged FEA to commence the process of exempting products from regulation. In a letter to the Administrator of FEA dated November 4, 1975, for example Senators Kennedy, Durkin, Stafford, Muskie, Pastore, McIntyre, Brooke, Pell and Riblcoff stated:

As supplies of fuel oil and other petroleum products have returned to normal levels, fuel dealers are reporting to us that the price and allocation controls may be preventing the free play of competitive forces and thereby raising consumer prices. • • •

Since there is conflicting and complex evidence on this issue, we believe it is the best interest of all parties to air fully the options for action and the possible consequences of changing the allocation and price control system. We therefore feel that public hearings should be held by the Federal Energy Administration as provided by section 4(g)(2) of the Allocation Act of 1973 and the similar provision of S. 622-H.R. 7014, now in Conference. * *

As the hearing process is a lengthy one and must, of course, be followed by careful congressional review of the FEA's findings, the FEA should begin this process soon so that the Congress and the public will have full opportunity to consider this vital issue.

We therefore strongly urge that you issue the public notice necessary to the commencement of public hearings on the removal of allocation and price controls from retailers and wholesalers of fuel oil and other petroleum products.

On December 22, 1975, the President signed into law the Energy Policy and Conservation Act, which among other things, extended the EPAA, eliminated the cumbersome exception procedures of section 4(g)(2) and provided new and more flexible exemption procedures in section 455, which added section 12 to the EPAA. In the Conference Report to accompany S. 622, the conferees stated with respect to section 455 of the EPCA:

The United States still faces a critical energy problem. However, the dimensions of that problem are far different from those which existed in 1973 and were addressed by the EPAA. There is no longer a general shortage of either crude oil or refined petroleum products, with the possible exception of propanes. In many ways, the supply side of the market has returned to near the per-embargo conditions which prevailed in 1972.

In view of these changed conditions, a comprehensive regulatory structure • • • • may no longer be necessary.

Extension of the EPAA and its conversion to a standby authority offers, in addition, the potential for a smooth transition of petroleum markets from a closely regulated state to a large unregulated status subject to standby pricing and allocation authority. [Conference Report to Accompany S. 622, Report No. 94-516, p. 023-204, December 8, 1975.]

Section 455 of the EPCA provided somewhat more flexibility in the exemption of products. Certain products may be exempted in the same proceeding, for example, and an exemption is no longer limited to 90 days in length. FEA may propose to exempt an oil or product from the regulation prescribed under section 4(a) of the EPAA if it determines that the exemption is consistent with the attainment of the public policy objectives specified in section 4(b)(1) of the EPAA and that the regulation with the exemption provides for the attainment of those objectives, to the maximum extent practicable. An amendment exempting crude oil, residual fuel oil, or a refined pe-troleum product with respect to a class of persons or transactions with respect to any market level, must be submitted to the Congress before it takes effect. An exemption amendment may take effect if not disapproved by either House within 15 days under expedited Congressional review procedures.

Any amendment submitted to Congress which proposes to exempt crude oil (if permissible under and consistent with the requirements and limitations of section 8 of the EPAA), residual fuel oil, or any refined petroleum product from the allocation regulations must be accompanied by a finding that the oil or product is no longer in short supply and that the exemption would not have an adverse impact on the supply of any other oil or product.

Any proposed exemption with respect to price must be accompanied by a finding that (1) competition and market forces would provide adequate protection for the consumer, and (2) such amendment would not result in inequitable prices for any class of user.

Any exemption amendment submitted to the Congress must also be accompanied by an analysis of an FEA's views on the potential economic impact of such amendment.

Any oil or refined petroleum product which is exempted from the regulation under section 4(a) of the EPAA is subject to the reimposition of the controls if FEA determines that reimposition is necessary to attain and is consistent with the objectives specified in section 4(b)(1). Subsequent reexemption of that oil or refined petroleum product would not be subject to Congressional review.

FEA is considering the exemption of No. 2 heating oil, No. 2-D diesel fuel and other middle distillate from the Mandatory Petroleum Allocation and Price Regulations at this time because recent surplus supplies indicate that market conditions appear to justify an end to controls. For purposes of this proposal, middle distillate means middle distillate as defined in the Mandatory Petroleum Allocation Regulations (10 CFR 211.51):

"Middle distillate" means any derivatives of petroleum including kerosene, home heating oil, range oil, stove oil, and diesel fuel, which have a fifty percent bolling point in

Section 12(b) (2) of the EPAA requires that an exemption amendment apply to only one oil or one refined product category, and specifies that No. 2 heating oil and No. 2-D diesel fuel constitute a single product category. FEA is propos-ing the exemption of No. 2 heating oil, No. 2-D diesel fuel and other middle distillate fuels in a single notice of proposed rulemaking and has issued a single document containing its findings and views related to the exemption. Upon conclusion of the rulemaking, FEA will determine separately for the No. 2 oils and for the other middle distillate fuels whether an exemption should be adopted, and will, as required by section 12 of the EPAA, submit any exemption amend-ments for these product categories separately for Congressional review if FEA's views support such findings and exemptions

Comments are requested on whether the refiners' price rules should be amended in this proceeding to limit between now and the effective date of the exemption proposed herein the reallocation of increased product costs and increased non-product costs (including any unrecovered increased costs which have been banked for future recovery) which is currently permitted with respect to products proposed to be exempted.

The refiners' cost allocation formulae of § 212.83(c) provide that the portion of a refiners' total increased costs of crude oil and increased non-product costs which are incurred in a month of measurement and which are attributable on a proportionate volumetric basis to the quantity of exempt products produced from crude oil must be excluded from the amount of increased costs which may be passed through in prices charged for covered (i.e., non-exempt) products. Increased costs incurred with respect to purchases of exempt products are excluded from the total of increased costs of purchased product permitted to be included in maximum allowable prices charged for covered products. These exclusions effectively prevent increased costs incurred beginning with the month prior to the effective date of the exemption of a product and attributable to that exempt product from being passed through in prices charged for non-exempt products. However, in light of the substantial amounts of unrecovered increased costs currently allocable to maximum allowable prices for middle distillates, and in consideration of the fact that these costs could be reallocated under current price rules to maximum allowable prices for gasoline prior to the effective date of the exemption of middle distillates, FEA proposes to limit the reallocation of any such costs, effective as of the date of this

notice, if the proposed exemption of middle distillates is adopted. Although no specific amendment to § 212.83 is being proposed in this regard. FEA requests comments on both the extent and the effective date of this proposed limitation in light of the seasonal pricing patterns for gasoline and certain middle distillates and any other historic pricing practices relevant to this issue. Further conforming amendments to the Mandatory Petroleum Price Regulations, beyond the simple exemption proposed herein, may be necessary so that the price rules fully reflect the exemption of products pursuant to this proceeding.

FEA has determined on the basis of currently available data that such an exemption would be consistent with the attainment of the objectives set forth in section 4(b)(1) of the EPAA. Those objectives, as amended by section 451 of the EPCA, are:

(A) Protection of public health (including the production of pharmaceuticals), safety and weifare (including maintenance of residential heating, such as individual homes, apartments and similar occupied dwelling units), and the national defense;

Homes, apartments and the national defense; (B) Maintenance of all public services (including facilities and services provided by municipally, cooperatively, or investor owned utilities or by any State or local government or authority, and including transportation facilities and services which serve the public at large):

c) Maintenance of agricultural operations, including farming, ranching, dairy, and fishing activities, and services directly related thereto:

(D) Preservation of an economically sound and competitive petroleum industry; including the priority needs to restore and foster competition in the producing, refining, distribution, marketing, and petrochemical sectors of such industry, and to preserve the competitive viability of independent refiners small refiners, nonbranded independent marketers;

(E) The allocation of suitable types, grades, and quality of crude oil to refineries in the United States to permit such refineries to operate at full capacity;

(F) Equitable distribution of crude oil, residual fuel oil, and refined petroleum products at equitable prices among all regions and areas of the United States and sectors of the petroleum industry, including independent refiners, small refiners, nonbranded independent marketers, branded in-

dependent marketers, and among all users; (G) Allocation of residual fuel oil and refined petroleum products in such amounts and in such manner as may be necessary for the maintenance of exploration for, and production or extraction of—(1) fuels, and (11) minerals essential to the requirements of the United States, and for required transportation related thereto;

(H) Economic efficiency: and

(I) minimization of economic distortion, inflexibility, and unnecessary interference with market mechanisms.

FEA's preliminary conclusions, based on information currently available to FEA, are that since supplies of middle distillate are adequate, and should continue to be sufficient, continued mandatory price and allocation controls for middle distillate are unnecessary to:

Protect public health, safety, welfare, and national defense (section 4(b)(1)(A));

Maintain all public services (section 4(b)(1)(B)) and agricultural operations (section 4(b)(1)(C));

Maintain exploration for and production or extraction of fuels (section 4(b)(1)(G)); and

Insure equitable distribution of crude oil, residual fuel oil, and refined petroleum products (section $4(b)(1)(\mathbb{F})$).

Because the regulations issued pursuant to the EPAA are designed only to deal with shortage conditions, the proposed exemption is necessary to the attainment of the following EPAA objectives in the current period of ample supplies:

Preservation of an economically sound petroleum industry (section 4(b)(1)(D)); Economic efficiency (section 4(b)(1)(H));

Minimization of economic distortions, inflexibility and interference with market mechanisms (section 4(b)(1)(I)).

and

Further, the proposed exemption should not have an adverse effect on allocating suitable crude oil to U.S. refineries or providing for maximum use of refinery capacities (section 4(b)(1) (E)). FEA emphasizes that these conclusions are preliminary and invites specific data, views and arguments with respect to the relationship between the objectives of the EPAA and this proposal. Any information received with respect to the objectives will be considered by FEA in formulating its final conclusions.

FEA's specific preliminary findings and conclusions and the data and information in support thereof are set forth in a document entitled "Preliminary Findings and Views Concerning the Exemption of Middle Distillate From The Mandatory Petroleum Allocation and Price Regulations" ("Preliminary Findings"). Interested persons may obtain copies of the Preliminary Findings by writing FEA, Office of Communications and Public Affairs, Publications Distribution Center, Washington, D.C. 20461. Copies will also be available and may be picked up at the FEA Press Room, Room 3138, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W. Washington, D.C.

Tentative conclusions as set forth in the Preliminary Findings include FEA's conclusion that:

(1) Middle distillate is not in short supply.

(2) Exemption of middle distillate from the Mandatory Petroleum Allocation and Price Regulations would not have an adverse impact on the supply of any other oil or refined product subject to the EPAA.

(3) Competition and market forces are adequate to protect consumers following an exemption of middle distillate from regulation.

(4) Exemption of middle distillate from regulation will not result in inequitable prices for any class of user of middle distillate or other product.

(5) Exemption will not have adverse state or regional impacts.

(6) Exemption of middle distillates will not have an adverse effect on:

Governmental units.

Availability of consumer goods and services. The Gross National Product (GNP).

Competition.

Small businesses

The supply and avaiability of energy re-

sources as fuel or feedstock for industry. Consumer prices, the Consumer Price Index, or the implicit price deflator for the GNP,

and the rate of unemployment.

The Preliminary Findings also indicate FEA's tentative views concerning the potential economic impacts of exempting middle distillate from the Mandatory Petroleum Allocation and Price regulations. It is FEA's view at this time that there will be no adverse impacts as a result of the proposed exemption because in FEA's judgment there will be no middle distillate price increase as a result of the proposed exemption. However, in order to solicit as wide a range of comments as possible, the economic impact analysis in the Preliminary Findings includes a hypothetical analysis which assumes a one cent per gallon increase in middle distillate prices following the exemption of middle distillate from regulation. This hypothetical analysis indicates that even if FEA's conclusion that no price increase would occur as a result of exemption is incorrect, an increase of 1¢ per gallon would not result in significant adverse economic impacts. FEA specifically invites comments on the economic impacts projected on the basis of this hypothetical increase, as well as on FEA's judgment that the exemption will not lead to a price increase and therefore no economic impacts.

It is not anticipated that there will be any adverse state or regional impacts resulting from the proposed exemption. In fact, governmental units which use large quantities of middle distillate will find that exemption will permit them to use competitive bids more easily. In addition, FEA anticipates no adverse economic impacts on the availability of consumer goods or services, the gross national product, small business or the supply and availability of energy resources as fuel or feedstock for industry. FEA expects that the proposed exemption will have a positive effect on competition. The proposed exemption is likewise expected not to cause an adverse effect on employment or consumer prices. FEA's analysis of the effects of the proposed exemption on the rate of unemployment in the U.S., on the Consumer Price Index and on the implicit price deflator for the Gross National Product are also set forth in the Preliminary Findings.

FEA proposes an effective date of July 1, 1976, for this exemption, and specifically requests comments on how much lead time would be sufficient to permit those currently subject to the regulations to complete alternative supply arrangements. In addition, FEA specifically requests comments on the proposed exemption date in light of seasonal contracting practices, summer-fill programs and the possible termination of controls on residual fuel oil.

FEA recently held a public hearing and received written comments on the reevaluation of FEA regulations issued under section 4(a) of the EPAA in terms

of the objectives specified in section 4(b) (1). This reevaluation was required by section 11 of the EPAA which was added by section 454 of the EPCA. FEA requested that interested persons address six matters which the conferees believed should be considered in the reevaluation. including "* * an evaluation of the feasibility of removing controls from the retail and/or wholesale level. * * Information received in the reevaluation proceeding generally indicated that continued regulation of middle distillate and other petroleum products is no longer necessary or appropriate to attain the section 4(b)(1) objectives.

FEA is proposing to revoke Part 215, the Low Sulfur Petroleum Products Regulation, which prohibits sale or receipt of petroleum products for use for burning under certain power generators that were not so using a petroleum product on December 7, 1973, and which imposes certain reporting requirements when covered power generators which burn petroleum products switch to petroleum products of a lower specified sulfur content, by weight, than that used during November 1973. The proposed exemption of residual fuel oil included a technical conforming amendment to Part 215 to reflect the exemption. This proposed exemption of middle distillate would if adopted result in an end to the effectiveness of Part 215, since the middle distillate and residual fuel oil constitute the greatest part of the fuels subject to Part 215, FEA is therefore proposing the revocation of the Low Sulfur Petroleum Products Regulation.

On March 7, 1974, the Administrator of the Federal Energy Office (FEO) delegated to the Governor of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico all the authority delegated to the Administrator of FEO by section 3 (a) of Executive Order 11748 with respect to the allocation of various refined petroleum products, including middle distillate (Federal Energy Office Order No. 4, March 7, 1974, 39 FR 9506, March 11, 1974). Adoption of the exemptions of No. 2 heating oil, No. 2-D diesel fuel and other middle distillate proposed herein would result in a revocation of that delegation as to the petroleum products so exempted.

Public hearings in this proceeding will be held beginning at 9:30 a.m. on May 12, 1976, and will be continued, if necessary, on May 13 in Room 3000A, 12th and Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Washington, D.C., in order to receive comments from interested persons.

Any person who has an interest in the proposed amendment or who is a representative of a group or class of persons that has an interest in the proposed amendment, may make a written request for an opportunity to make oral presentation. Such a request should be directed to Executive Communications, FEA, Room 3309, Federal Building, 12th and Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20461, and must be received before 4:30 p.m. May 4, 1976. Such a request may be hand-delivered to Room 3309, Federal Building, 12th and Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, D.C., between .

the hours of 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday. The person making the request should describe briefly the interest concerned; if appropriate, to state he or she is a proper representative of a group or class of persons that has such an interest; and to give a concise summary of the proposed oral presentation and a phone number where he or she may be contacted through May 6, 1976. Each person selected to be heard will be so notified b ythe FEA before 4:30 p.m., May 6, 1976, and must submit 100 copies of his or her statement to Regulations Management, FEA, Room 2214, 2000 M Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20461, before 4:30 p.m., on May 11, 1976.

The FEA reserves the right to select the persons to be heard at these hearings, to schedule their respective presentations and to establish the procedures governing the conduct of the hearings. The length of each presentation may be limited, based on the number of persons requesting to be heard.

An FEA official will be designated to preside at the hearings. These will not be judicial or evidentiary-type hearings. Questions may be asked only by those conducting the hearings and there will he no cross-examination of persons presenting statements. Any decision made by the FEA with respect to the subject matter of the hearings will be based on all information available to the FEA. At the conclusion of all initial oral statements. each person who has made an oral statement will be given the opportunity, if he or she so desires, to make a rebuttal statement. The rebuttal statements will be given in the order in which the initial statements were made and will be subject to time limitations.

Any interested person may submit questions, to be asked of any person making a statement at the hearings, to Executive Communications, FEA, Room 3309, Federal Building, 12th and Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. before 4:30 p.m., May 11, 1976. Any person who makes an oral statement and who wishes to ask a question at the hearings may submit the question, in writing, to the presiding officer. The FEA or the presiding officer, if the question is submitted at the hearings, will determine whether the question is relevant, and whether time limitations permit it to be presented for answer.

Any further procedural rules needed for the proper conduct of the hearings will be announced by the presiding officer.

A transcript of the hearings will be made and the entire record of hearings, including the transcript, will be retained by the FEA and made available for inspection at the FEA Freedom of Information Office, Room 3116, Federal Building, 12th and Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, D.C., between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday. Any person may purchase a copy of the transcript from the reporter.

Interested persons are also invited to participate in this rulemaking by submitting data, views, or arguments with respect to the proposed regulations set

forth in this notice to Executive Com-munications, Room 3309, Federal Energy Administration, Box GT, Washington. D.C. 20461.

Comments should be identified on the outside envelope and on documents submitted to the FEA, Executive Communications, with the designation "Exemption of Middle Distillate." Fifteen copies should be submitted. All comments received by May 11, 1976, and all relevant information will be considered by the Federal Energy Administration before final action is taken on the proposed regulations.

Any information or data considered by the person furnishing it to be confidential must be so identified and submitted in writing, one copy only. The FEA reserves the right to determine the confidential status of the information or data and to treat it according to its determination.

The inflationary impact of this proposal has been considered by the FEA, consistent with Executive Order 11821, issued November 27, 1974.

As required by § 7(c) (2) of the Fed-eral Energy Administration Act of 1974, Pub. L. 93-275, a copy of this notice has been submitted to the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency for his comments concerning the impact of this proposal on the quality of the environment. The Administrator commented as follows:

As the proposed rulemaking indicates, FEA has prepared an environmental assessment for these proposed revisions to 10 CFR. EPA intends to review this assessment prior to commenting on the environmental impact of the proposed rulemaking. Should we identify any environmental concerns as a result of that review, we will provide comments to FEA during the general review period outlined in the draft notice of proposed rulemaking. (Emergency Petroleum Allocation Act of 1973, Pub. L. 93-159, as amended by Pub. L. 94-163; Federal Energy Administra tion Act of 1974, Pub. L. 93-275; E.O. 11790 (39 FR 23185).)

In consideration of the foregoing, it is proposed to amend Chapter II, Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations by revising Parts 210, 211 and 212 and by revoking Part 215, as set forth below.

Issued in Washington, D.C., April 21, 1976.

> MICHAEL F. BUTLER, General Counsel, Federal Energy Administration.

> > .

1. Section 210.35 is amended by adding paragraph (b) as follows:

§ 210.35 Exempted products.

.

. (b) (1) Middle distillate as defined in § 211.51 of this chapter is exempt from the provisions of Part 211 of this chapter.

(2) Nos. 1 and 2 heating oils, Nos. 1-D and 2-D diesel fuel and kerosene are exempt from the provisions of Part 212 of this chapter.

2. Section 211.1 is amended in paragraph (b) by adding a new subparagraph (5) to read as follows:

§ 211.1 Scope.

(b) Exclusions. * * *

(5) Notwithstanding the provisions of Subpart G of this part, middle distillate is excluded from this part.

3. Section 212.31 is revised in the definition of "covered products" by deleting the word "kerosene," and by deleting the phrase "No. 1 heating oil and No. 1-D diesel fuel, No. 2 heating oil and No. 2-D diesel fuel," and is also revised in the definition of middle distillates by deleting the phrase, "kerosene and avia-tion fuels" and inserting in lieu thereof the phrase "and kerosene."

4. Subpart C of Part 212 is amended by adding a new § 212.55 to read as follows:

§ 212.55 Middle distillates.

Nos. 1 and 2 heating oils, Nos. 1-D and 2-D diesel fuels, and kerosene are exempt from the provisions of this part.

5. Chapter II is amened by revoking Part 215.

[FR Doc.76-12024 Filed 4-21-76;4:47 pm]

FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION

NATIONAL UTILITY FUEL OIL ALLOCATION

Supplier Percentage Notice for May 1976

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 211.163(b)(2), 211.165 and 211.166(d) (2), the Federal Energy Administration (FEA) hereby provides notice of the volumes of residual fuel oil allocated to each utility and the percentage of such volumes required to be supplied by each supplier for delivery in May 1976. This information is set forth in the Appendix to this notice. Adjustments of certain supplier base period percentages have been made at the request of affected utilities and suppliers, pursuant to the criteria of 10 CFR 205.25 and are reflected in the Appendix.

The utility allocations were determined after review of the relative availability of supplies of residual fuel oil for allocation to both utility and non-utility uses. In calculating the allocation level for each utility the FEA considered all of the factors enumerated in 10 CFR 211.-163(b) (2) and also the following other factors:

Power Commission (FPC) Form 23B submitted by utilities;

2. FEA's prediction that the supply level of residual fuel oil is expected to generally equate to the total demand.

The amounts shown in the Appendix are the quantities of residual fuel oil to be delivered to the utilities listed during the month of May 1976. Some utilities will not receive any allocation for this month for various reasons including the fact that these utilities burn other fuels primarily and use residual fuel oil only for standby purposes.

The Appendix provides the names of the suppliers obligated to supply each utility and each supplier's percentage and volume of each month's allocation to a utility. The first column of the Appendix lists each utility with its suppliers. The second column sets forth the recommended FEA burn level. The third and fourth columns provide each supplier's respective percentage and volume share of a utility's allocated volume of residual fuel oil. The fifth column provides the total volume of residual fuel oil for each utility from all suppliers. Following the name of certain suppliers. an additional supplier is shown in parentheses. The supplier in parentheses is 1. The data contained in the Federal presumed, on the basis of the best in-

formation available, to be the supplier of the utility's supplier. This information is provided for the convenience of such suppliers and the FEA requests that any additions or corrections in this regard be forwarded to FEA Electrical Utilities Reports, Code 47, Washington, D.C. 20461.

It is contemplated that corrections or adjustments to delivery levels for certain utilities may be required during the month of May to avoid undue hardship. FEA will consider special circumstances such as unexpected outages which cause fuel consumption to exceed FEA burn levels in any month. Such corrections or adjustments shall be made pursuant to Subparts B and C of 10 CFR Part 205.

The utility residual fuel oil allocation program is based in part on the data derived from utilities' filings of FPC Form 23B. The timely submission of FPC Form 23B is expected whether or not residual fuel oil is decontrolled effective June 1, 1976, in accordance with FEA's March 29 proposal to Congress. Reports should be addressed to FEA, Electrical Utilities Reports, Code 47, Washington, D.C. 20461.

Issued in Washington, D.C., April 20, 1976.

> MICHAEL F. BUTLER. General Counsel.

APPENDIX

	Recommended FEA Burn	By Su Pct	Barrels	(Barrels)
1. NORTHEAST POWER COOR	DINATING COUNCI	L AREA (N	PCC)	*
CONNECTICUT				
United Illuminating Co.	481,000			481,000
Wyatt Inc. (Exxon) Texaco		6.00 94.00	28,860 452,140	
Northeast Utilities	924,000			924,000
H.N. Hartwell&Sons In	с.	1.00	9,240	
Wyatt Inc (Exxon)		10.00	92,400	
Amerada Hess Tad Jones Co. (Gulf)		68.00 21.00	628,320 194,040	
Tad Jones Co. (Guir)	•	21.00	194,040	
MAINE				-
Bangor Hydro Electric C	o. 10,119			10,119
Sprague		100.00	10,119	
Central Maine Power Co.	103,000		-	103,000
Texaco		100.00	103,000	
Maine Public Service Co	. 0			0
MASSACHUSETTS				
Boston Edison Co.	841,000			841,000
Sprague		12.00	100,920	
White Fuel (Texaco)		46.00	386,860	
Exxon		42.00	353,220	
Fitchburg Gas & Electri	c 3,000			3,000
Northeast Petroleum		100.00	3,000	
E.Util.Assoc.(Montaup &	98,000		•	98,000
Blackstone)		100.00	00.000	
Texaco		100.00	98,000	
Braintree Elec. Lt. Dep				15,893
C.K. Smith (Gold. Eag	le)	100.00	15,893	-
Holyoke Gas and Electri	c 5,752			5,752
Wyatt Inc. (Exxon)		100.00	5,752	

Pet Barrels (Barrels)	587,173		0	MAAC)		33,832 93,038	274,885 21,145	76,000		136,290 512,710		917,041 483,281 433,760		994,266 280,434	30,000	30,000
	100.00			REEMENT (8.00 22.00	65.00	100.00		21.00		52.70 47.30		78.00 22.00		100.00
FEA BULD	587,173		0	RDINATION AG		422,900		76,000		649,000		917,041		1,274,700	30,000	
	Niagara Mohawk Power Co. New England Petroleum	RHODE ISLAND	Newport Electric Corp.	2. MID-ATLANTIC AREA COORDINATION AGREEMENT (MAAC)	DELAWARE	Delmarva Power & Lt. Gulf Steuart Petroleum Co.	CONOCO Texaco	Dover, City of Texaco	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	Potomac Elec. Power Steuart Petroleum Co. Asiatic Petroleum Corp.	MARYLAND	Baltimore Gas & Electric Amerada Hess Exxon	NEW JERSEY	Public Service Electric Amerada Hess Exxon	Vineland, City of, Elec. Util.	. British Petroleum
TOTAL (Barrels)	0	139,000	623.000		1.262.000	• •	ł	376,000		794,150	3,630,000		1,476,000	1,041,974		68,163
(Supplier Barrels				528,304 94,696		757,200 1,262 503,538		98,888 277,112		794,150	1 661 660	809,490 755,040 413,820	1.476.000	116,701	311,550	20,244
Pot Sup		00.001		84.80		60.00 .10 39.90		26.30 73.70		100.00	15 50	22.30 20.80 11.40	100.00	11.20	29.90	29.70
Recommended FEA Burn	0	139,000	623.000		1.262.000			376,000		794,150	3,630,000		1,476,000	1,041,974		68,163
Re	Peabody Electric Lt. Dept.	Taunton Mun. Lt.	New England Gas & Flectric	New England Petroleum White Fuel (Texaco)		corp.	NEW HAMPSHIRE	Public Service of N.H. Sprague CONOCO	NEW YORK	Central Hudson Gas & Elec. Amerada Hess		Amerada Hess Exxon Texaco	Long Island Light Co. 1 New England Petroleum		Amerada Hess Asiatic Petroleum Corp.	Rochester Gas & Electric Allied Oil Company

ŝ

NOTICES

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 41, NO. 81-MONDAY, APRIL 26, 1976

•

a R	Recommended	BV SL	Bv Supplier	TOTAL		Recommended	Bv Su	Bv Supplier	TOTAL
1	FEA BUEN	Pct	Barrels	(Barrels)		FEA BUEN	Pct	Barrels	(Barrels)
Atlantic City Electric Co. Amerada Hess CONOCO	. 174,386	60.00 40.00	104,632	174,386	Jacksonville Elec. Auth. Ven Fuel Inc. New England Petroleum	718,773	· 82.60 8.70	593,707 62,533	718,773
GPO Integrated System Shipley Humble Amerada Hess	371,332	1.00	3,713	371,332	Key West Utilities Std. Oil Key West	67,000	100.00	67,000	67,000
	-	5.00	/ 9C / 8T		Lake Worth Util. Auth. Belcher Oil (Exxon)	4,614	100.00	4,614	4,614
Pennsylvania Power & Lt. Amerada Hess	. 17,300	100.00	17,300	17,300	Lakeland Light & Water Dept.	169,400	00 001	160 400	169,400
iládelphía Electric Co. New England Petroleum Amerada Hess	Philádelphia Electríc Co. 1,239,000 New England Petroleum Amerada Hess	2.10	26,019	1,239,000	Detunet (Stor. Old Ay.)	0	00.001	005 607	0
		28.50 9.00 14.90	353,115 111,510 184,611		Orlando Utilities Comm. Belcher	411,000	100.00	411,000	411,000
ELECTRIC	24.00 24.00 SOUTHEASTERN ELECTRIC RELIABILITY COUNCIL		297,360 (SERC)		Sebring Utilities Comm. Union Oil of Calif.	2,164	100.00	2,164	2,164
					Tallahassee, City of Union Oil of Calif.	118,880	100.00	118,880	118,880
Florida Power & Light Exxon Belcher Vil (Exxon)	2,418,000	15.00	362,700 2,055,300	000'918'7	Vero Beach Municipal Power Belcher Oil (Exxon)	47,563	100.00	47,563	47,563
Florida Power Corp. Amerada Hess	1,732,600	40.00	693,040	1,732,600	 Florida Keys Elec. Coop. Belcher Oil (Exxon) 	7,740	100.00	7,740	7,740
Exxon 1f Powet Co. Baker Service (Exxon)	32,751	100.00	32,751	32,751	. <u>GEURGIA</u> Georgia Power Company	92,172			92,172
Tampa Electríc Co. Western (New England Petroleum)	134,522	, 100.00	134,522	134,522	New England Petroleum Savannah Electric & Power Colonial Oil (Exxon)	315,700	100.00	92,172 315,700	315,700
Fort Pierce, City of Belcher Oil (Exxon)	58,500	100.00	58,500	58,500	IddISSISSIH				
Gainesville, City of Eastern Seaboard	104,157	100.00	104,157	104,157	MISSISSIPPI FOWER CO. Baker Service (Exxon) Ergon (Intl. Trading)	002,66	55.00 45.00	15,840	35, 200
					÷-				

• .

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 41, NO. 81-MONDAY, APRIL 26, 1976

NOTICES

17519

	<u>TOTAL</u> (<u>Barrels</u>) 51,190	204,300	00/ ****	0 8,547 0	4,000	0	0 143,760	1,360,000
	<u>By Supplier</u> Barrels 0 2,048 0 37,359 0 11,773	173,042 31,258	93,197 37,618 111,885	8,547	4,000		143.760	
	PCt BY	84.70 15.30	38.40 15.50 46.10	100.00	100.00		100.00	1.70 20.50 3.80 3.80 21.30 30.00 9.50
10	Recommended <u>FEA Burn</u> 51,190	204,300 242,700	0	0 8,547 0	4,000	0	0 143,760 1	1,360,000
	CTru Western Power Div. Carter Waters Amoco No. Amer. Petroleum	Kansas Gas & Electric Asphalt & Petro. Ind. Frontier Production Kansas Power & Light - Great Plaine	C1 Ch	Coffeyville Light & Power CRA Farmland Larned Water & Electric	McPherson Bd. of Pub. Util. National Coop. Refinery Ottawa Water & Light	<u>LOUISIANA</u> Central Louisiana Electric	ht	Middle South Services 1. E.L.Bride (OKC Ref) Tauber Oil Co Frgon Inc (Exxon) Reese Oil (Sun Oil) Shell Shell Exron Murphy Oil Corp
	TOTAL (Barrels) 31,309	•	0	1,138,000	1,744	••••• •	0 0	····· · · · · ·
	<u>By Supplier</u> Barrels 0 25,986 0 5,323		409,400	188,908 177,528 771,564		23,963	o	
	Pct 83.0		100.00	800		20.00	100.00	
	Recommended FEA Burn 31,309		409,400	1,138,000 OORDINATION C	1,744 119,814	0		
	South Mississippi_Electric Southland Oil Amerada Hess Normy Caparana	Carolina Power & Light Sourt Carolina S. Carolina Pub. Sary Anth	S. Carolina Elec. 6 Gas Co. Exxon <u>VIRGINIA</u>	Virginia Electric Power 1,138,000 Asiatic Petro. Corp. New England Petroleum 15. Exxon 67. 4. SOUTHWEST POWER POOL COORDINATION CONNC	<u>ARKANSAS</u> Jonesboro Water & Light Arkansas Elec.Coop. Logicon Inc.(Shell)	E.L.Bride (Texaco) <u>Colorado</u> CT&U, S. Colo. Power Div.	<u>KANSAS</u> Central Kansas Power Gr. Plains (CRA Farmland)	

5

NOTICES

Recommended FEA Burn	Pct	By Supplier Barrels	TOTAL (Barrels)		FEA BURN	BY Supplier Pct Barr	pplier Barrels	TOTAL (Barrels)
8,692	100.00	8,692	8,692	rexes cover a Light	0			2
0			0	West Texas Utilities Pride Refining Inc.	54,000	100.00	54,000	54,000
16,400	00 001	16 400	16,400	Austin City Elec. Dept. Tesoro	47,619	100.00	47,619	47,619
4,524	100.00	4,524	4,524	Bryan, City of Garland, City of	0 0		-	00
0			0	Lower Colorado River Auth.	0			0
0			0	San Antonio Pub.Serv. Tesoro	30,000	100.00	30,000	30,000
0			0	Brazos Electric Coop.	0	•		0
475,125			475,125	Medina Electric Coop.	0			0
	4.00	19,005	•	6. MID-AMERICA INTERPOOL NETWORK (MAIN)	NETWORK (MAI	(N)		
	16.10	76,495		TIONITI				
E	ELECTRIC RELIABILITY COUNCIL OF TEXAS (ERCOT)		c	Commonwealth Edison Co. Allied Oil Clark Oil & Ref.Corp.	238,000	98.00 2.00	233,240	238,000
				Illinois Power Co. Allied Oil	32,000	100.00	32,000	32,000
1.867			1867	MISSOURI Union Flactric	25.500			25.500
	100.00	31,867		Apex Oil Co.		100.00	25,500	

--

12

11

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 41, NO. 81---MONDAY, APRIL 26, 1976

NOTICES

.

17521

1	7	5	2	2

13

	FEA Burn	Pct By Supplier Bar	plier Barrels	TOTAL (Barrels)		Recommended	By Supplier	ι	TOTAL
WISCONSIN						ling us		Barrels	(Barrels)
Superior Water & Light	9,524			9.524	-NEBRASKA				
Wisconsin Elec. Dower	•	100.00	9,524		Central Nebraska Public Power	0			0
7. MID-CONTINENT AREA BELTABITITU CONTINUED	ABILITU COO			0	Fairbury Light & Water	0			
IOWA		KUINATION AG	REEMENT (MA	RCA)	Grand Island Electric E.L. Bride	20,352			20.352
Atlantic Municipal Utilities Macmillan Oil Co	6,700			6,700	Hastings Utilities Dept. Carter Waters	5,403	100.00	20, 352	5,403
Lamoni Municipal Utilities	0	. 00.001	6,700	c	Lincoln Electric System	0		504°c	c
Interstate Power Co.	0			5 0	Nebraska Public Power Dist.	0			0 0
MINNESOTA				5	Omaha Pub. Power Dist.	0			
Minnesota Power & Light Murphy Oil	10,300	100.00	10,300	10,300	WISCONSIN Lake Superior Dist.Power	c			•
Austin Utilities	0			0	8. EAST CENTRAL AREA RELIABILITY COORDINATION AGREEMENT (FCANN)	BILITY COORDI	NATION AGREEME	NT (ECAD)	0
				• • •	MICHIGAN			NT LECAR)	
Pairmont Water & Light	0			-	Clinton Light & Water	0			0
Marshall Municipal Utilities E.L. Bride	1,000	100.00	000 [. 1,000	Grand Haven Bd. of Lt.£ Power Osceola Refining	140	100.00	140	140
Owatonna Municipal Utilities 15,981 Gustafaon Oil Co. Northwestern Ref.		40.00	6,392 9 580	15,981	Hillsdale Bd. of Pub. Works Levis (Gladieux Refining) Consumers Power		100.00	935	935
Worthington, City of Allied Oil	1,702 1	100.00	1.702	1,702	Murphy-Miles Div. Amoco Enterprise Oil Co. Indust.fuel & Asphalt	670'051		44,918 44,918	748,629
Northern States Power E.L.Bride (Texaco Inc.)	4, 630	100.00	4,830	4,830	Rupp Oil Company Consumers Power Crude Boron Oil (Standard) Gladieny post	U 7	2.000 14,973 2.000 14,973 54.00 404,259 3.00 22,459	14,973 14,973 04,259 22,450	
					Lakelde Refining Co. Total Leonard Inc. Osceola Refining Co.	T		866 945 945	
			ı		••••••••				

NOTICES

TOTAL (Barrels)	000'616	896,000	47,300	102,000	61,000	679,000				1,506,000		117,543	4,756		
By Supplier Barrels	655,247 220,560 43,193	537,600 358,400	47,300	102,000	61,000	51.604	406,042	33,950	23,765	33,132 195,780	840,348 117,468 102,408 .216,864	117,543	1,731	956	
By Su Pct	71.30 24.00 4.70	60.00 40.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	7.60	59.80	5.00	3.50	2.20	55.80 7.80 6.80 14.40	100.00	36.40	20.10	
Recommended FEA Burn	616,000	896,000	47,300	102,000	61,000	679,000				1,506,000		117,543	4,756		
<u>R</u> CALIFORNIA	Pacific Gas & Elect. Co. ARCO Phillips Petroleum Union Oil of Calif.	San Diego Gas & Electric HIRI Tesoro	Burbank City Public Serv. ARCO	Glendale Public Services Powerine Oil Co.	Imperial Irrigation Dist. Crescent Ref. & Oil (Gulf)	Los Angeles Dept.of Water Petrobav	ARCO Edgington Oil Co.	Newhall Refining Co. Powerine Oil Co.	San Joaquin Ref.	Southern Calif. Edison 1 CONOCO Texaco	Standard Oil of Calif. Macmilan Pacific Resources Coastal States	Pasadena Power Co. Gold. Eagle	<u>COLORADO</u> Púb. Serv. Colorado CONOCO Ref. Corp.	Plateau Inc.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
TOTAL (Barrels)	546,866		5,310	20,041	68.392			9	165,017		79,000		198,589		
By Supplier Barrels	26,249 54,140 29,531	54,140	5,310	20,041		68,392			36,304	8,250 84,159 36,304	711 2,212 15,563 4,796	13,430 14,299 · 22,989	32,767 7,944 125,111	32,767	
Pct	4.80 5.40	00.0/	100.00	100.00		100.00	IL (WSCC)	•	22.00	5.00 . 51.00 22.00	2.80 19.70	17.00 18.10 29.10	16.50 4.00 63.00	16.50	
Recommended FEA Burn	546,866		5,310	20,041	202	765100	ATING COUNC		165,017		79,000		198,589		
α]	Detroit Edison Co. Enterprise Oil Co. Canadian Fuel Mktrs. Petroleum Products	sun gil Ltd. Marathon Oil <u>OHIO</u>	Cleveland Elec Illuminating Allied Oil (Ashland)	Toledo Edison Sun Oil	PENNSYLVANIA	Allied Oil (NEPCO)	9. WESTERN SYSTEMS COORDINATING COUNCIL	ARIZONA	Tucson Gas & Electric Co. Golden Gate Petroleum	Holland Oil (Tosco) So. Union Oil Navajo Refining	Salt River Project Gustafeon Oil Co. Douglas Oil Co. Little America	Macmillan Powerine Oil Co. San Joaquin Ref.	Arizona Public Service Co. 198,589 Pacific Southwest Basin Fuels Union Oil of Calif	San Joaquin Ref.	••••••

.

15

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 41, NO. 81-MONDAY, APRIL 26, 1976

NOTICES

17523

TOTAL (Barrels)	0		0 0	0	c	age c15	35,239	15,919	34,783	
<u>By Supplier</u> Barrels							712,398	15,919	34,783	
Pct		-		IL (ASCC)			100.00	100.00	100.00	
<u>Recommended</u> <u>FEA Burn</u>	•		0 0	0 NATING COUNC	c	APF . C 17	35,239	15,919	34,783	
	<u>UTAH</u> Utah Power & Light Co.	MASHINGTON	ruget sound rower & Light Seattle Dept. of Lighting	Tacoma Public Utilities 0 10. ALASKA SYSTEMS COORDINATING COUNCIL (ASCC)	ALASKA Cordova Town of	HAWAII Havaiian Electric Co.	Std. Oil Calif. Hilo Electric Lt. Std. Oil Calif.	Kauai Electric Std. Oil Calif.	Maui Electric Std. Oil Calif.	
TOTAL (Barrels)	0 0	0	43,100	0	a	0	200	C 15 31	101,400	
By Supplier Barrels			23,274	-			200		15,712 75,543 25,857	
Pct		•	54.00				100.00		100.00 74.50 25.50	
<u>FEA Burn</u>	0 0	0	43,100	0	0	0	200	15.212	101,400	
FI	Colorado Springs Ļt.é Power Lamar Light & Power <u>MONTANA</u>	a.	4					51	101	

NOTICES

17524

18

17

		'		
	Recommended FEA Burn	By S Pct	upplier Barrels	TOTAL (Barrels)
11. NOT OTHERWISE CLASS	IFIED (NOC)			•
UNKNOWN				
Guam Power Auth. - Guam Oil and Refining	168,380	100.00	168,380	168,380
UNKNOWN		-		
Puerto Rico Water Resources	1,701,129			1,701,129
Commonwealth Oil Puerto Rico Sun Oil Caribbean Gulf Ref.	•	50.00 30.00 20.00	850,564 510,339 340,226	
UNKNOWN		. ,	,	
St Croix, V.I. Water & Power	42,375			42,375
Amerada Hess UNKNOWN		100.00	42,375	
St Thomas, V.I. Water & Power	35,989		1	35,989
Amerada Hess		100.00	35,989	

[FR Doc.76-11874 Filed 4-21-76;9:14 am]

Public Papers of the Presidents

of the United States

Annual volumes containing the public messages and statements, news conferences, and other selected papers released by the White House.

Volumes for the following years are now available:

HERBERT HOOVER

1929_____ \$13.30

HARRY S. TRUMAN

1945	\$11.75	1949	\$11.80	
1946	\$10.80	1950	\$13.85	
1947	\$11.15	1951	\$12.65	
1948	\$15.95	1952-53	\$18.45	

DWIGHT D. EISENHOWER

1953		1957	\$14.50	
1954	\$17.20	1958	\$14.70	
1955		1959	\$14.95	
1956	\$17.30	1960-61	\$16.85	

JOHN F. KENNEDY

_ \$14.35

1963__

1961_

1962_____ _ \$15.55 _____ \$15.35

LYNDON B. JOHNSON

1963-64 (Book I) \$15.00	1966 (Book II) \$14.35
1963-64 (Book II) \$15.25	1967 (Book I) \$12.85
1965 (Book I) \$12.25	1967 (Book II) \$11.60
1965 (Book II) \$12.35	1968-69 (Book I) \$14.05
1966 (Book I) \$13.30	1968–69 (Book II) \$12.80

RICHARD NIXON

1969	\$17.15	1972	\$18.55
1970	\$18.30	1973	\$16.50
1971	\$18.85	1974	\$12.30

Published by Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration

Order from Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office Washington, D.C. 20402

