Rehabilitation Potential for the Henry Mountain Coal Field



SUMMARY



Department of Interior • Bureau of Land Management • EMRIA Report No. 15 Prepared by Utah State University • 1978 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT Library Denver Service Center

. .

855 051 D 88 86-

TD FS .575 E47 1015 Sum.

BLM LIBRARY SC-324A, BLDG. 50 DENVER FEDERAL CENTER P. O. BOX 25047 DENVER, CO 80225-0047

ENERGY MINERAL REHABILITATION INVENTORY AND ANALYSIS

HENRY MOUNTAIN COAL FIELD

Garfield County, Utah

Prepared by

Utah State University

and

Utah State University Foundation

Logan, Utah

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT Library Denver Service Center

(

FOREWORD

This report was prepared by staff members of Utah State University working as consultants to Utah State University Foundation. The professional team consisted of the following:

Cyrus M. McKell,	Plant Ecologist and Team Leader
Jerry Fuhriman,	Landscape Architect
Gerald F. Gifford,	Rangeland Hydrologist
Steven G. Richardson,	Plant Research Technician
Alvin G. Southard,	Soil Scientist
Gordon Van Epps,	Land Rehabilitation Specialist
J. Stewart Williams,	Geologist
Gar Workman,	Wildlife Specialist

Administrative support was provided by:

Kent	Henderson,	Manager, US	SU F	oundation
Paul	Hullinger,	Director, l	JSU	Foundation

Additional review of the report were by:

Don D. Dwyer,	Range Scientist
Roland Jeppson,	Civil Engineer
Wesley Maughan,	Rural Sociologist
Neil West,	Plant Ecologist
Glenn Wilde,	Editor

The purpose of this report was to assemble and summarize known baseline information relative to the Henry Mountain resource area and to present findings and recommendations from specific studies on suitability of geologic overburden and soils to support a rehabilitation program should the coal resource be developed.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	SUMMARY	
	 A. Introduction B. Local Information C. Climate D. Geology E. Coal F. Soil G. Vegetation H. Hydrology I. Wildlife J. Visual K. Present Land Use L. Objectives of Reclamation M. Recommendations for Reclamation 	2 5 7 7 9 9 9 10 11 11 12 12
II,	INTRODUCTION	16
	 A. Purpose B. Objectives C. Authority D. Responsibility E. Location F. Historical Perspective G. Socio-Economic Factors H. Developments in the Region I. Land Status J. Mineral Status 	18 19 19 21 24 27 29 31 33
II.	PHYSICAL PROFILE	35
	 A. Climate B. Physiography C. Topography D. Geology E. Coal F. Soils G. Vegetation H. Sedimentation I. Hydrology and Water Supply J. Wildlife K. Cultural L. Archaeology M. Visual Prominence 	36 41 42 45 74 80 102 108 128 138 141 141

I

ii

			iii
IV.	PRES	SENT LAND USE	153
	A. B. C. D.	Grazing Recreation Watershed Wildlife	154 161 163 163
۷.	QBJE	ECTIVES OF RECLAMATION	165
	A. B.	Legal Requirements Management Framework Plan, BLM,	166
	С.	Richfield District Limitations and Potentials in the Objectives for Reclamation	170
VI.	RECO	OMMENDATIONS FOR REHABILITATION	172
	А. В,	Potential for Rehabilitation Recommendations for Rehabilitation	173 176
VII.	LITE	ERATURE CITED	198
VIII.	APPE	ENDIX	204
	Α.	Detailed descriptions of geologic cores for holes 15, 3, 4, 5, 2, 1, and 7. Listed in order of location from West to East on the study site.	205
	Β.	Coal Quality Henry Mountains Field EMRIA Study Area by Joseph R. Hatch and Ronald H. Affolter U.S. Geological Survey, Denver, Colorado March 10, 1978.	218
	C.	Soil descriptions of units mapped by the Soil Conservation Service in their 1977 soil survey of the Henry Mountains coal resource area. Terms used in the following descriptions are defined in the report.	
	D.	Computer-created maps showing eye-level and 50-foot high visibility potential of various locations related to possible mining activities. (Figure 43 in report shows the relative positions of these points).	254
	E.	 Scientific and common names of plants referred to in the Henry Mountains coal field EMRIA study. 	274
		2. A hypothetical list of common and scientific names of fauna of the Henry Mountains taken from Durrant (1952), Behle et al (1975) and Steppins (1966).	275

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
٦.	Yearly visitation totals at selected national parks, monuments in the Western United States.	30
2.	Actual and predicted precipitation at various elevations in the Henry Mountains coal region. (Data from Henry Mts. Unit Resource Analysis, BLM).	37
3.	Monthly precipitation at various locations in the Henry Mountains Planning Unit (Computed by B.L.M. from U.S. Weather Bureau Data).	38
4.	Years with below or above average pre- cipitation at Hanksville, Utah (Eleva- tion 4300 ft.; 1931-42 and 1945-72).	39
5.	Monthly temperatures at various locations in the Henry Mountains Planning Unit (computed from U.S. Weather Bureau Data by BLM).	40
6.	Generalized section of sedimentary rocks exposed in the Henry Mountains region,	47
7.	Description of surface section of Pete Steele Bench escarpment as measured in two segments north of the road in SW 1/4 Sec. 19 (T 31 S R 9 E). Measurements by handlevel. Section starts on top of prominent white sandstone ledge in coal section. For particle size analysis of surface samples, see the following table.	56
8,	Particle size analysis of samples collected on surface section. Determinations were made in USU soils laboratory.	57
9.	Lithology of geologic cores according to percentage of rock types in cores. Order of location is from west to east at study site.	58
10.	Chemical analyses of drill core samples and soil surface samples from field sites.	67

		V	
Table			Page
11.	Top yields of Russian wildrye after 60 days growth in the greenhouse on crushed drill core samples and soils surface materials from the Henry Mountains coal field.		73
12,	Depth of sampled coal and thickness of sampled beds.		79
13.	Classifications of soils and map symbols used.		81
14.	Soil legend.		82
15.	Analytical data from major soils in the vicinity of Pete Steele Bench and Wildcat Mesa.		86
16,	A general assessment of the soils described in the Henry Mountains resource area soil survey for properties important to land rehabilitation.		87
17.	Suitability ratings of soils as plant growth media for distrubed land rehabilitation.		88
18.	Suitability of soils for rehabilitation (See Table 13 for explanation of suitability ratings).		90
19.	Percent survival of transplants on six field sites after five months (Planted April 14, 1977).		99
20.	A comparison of growth in greenhouse and field survival of Russian wildrye on surface outcrop materials and soils of the Henry Mountains coal field study area.		101
21,	Ground cover estimates for vegetation types adjacent to reclamation study sites within the Coal Lease Block Steele Butte Allotment Henry Mountain Resource Area, 1977. Data are from Bureau of Land Management, Allot- ment Management Plan.		105
22.	Water quality parameters in the Colorado River Basin evaluated from storet data.		110

		vi
Table		Page
23.	Nevada rangeland communities for which erosion rate estimates are available (Trieste 1977),	117
24.	Site characteristics for mined areas in Utah for which soil erosion data exist.	118
25.	Site characteristics for mined areas in Utah.	119
26.	List of locations of chained and unchained pinyon-juniper communities in central and southern Utah for which soil erosion estimates exist.	120
27.	Different geologic types for which soil erosion data exist.	121
28.	Records of selected wells that draw water from Navajo sandstone, Henry Mountains area.	132
29.	Chemical analyses of five wells in Henry Mountains showing the range of values reported.	134
30.	Infiltration rates (f) by geologic-soil types. 28 minute infiltrometer runs of 2 1/2 ft. ² plots, summer, 1975 in the Price River Basin, Utah.	137
31.	Range vegetation types and conditions on Steele Butte Allotment.	156
32.	Recreational use of National Parks Service parks and campgrounds in the Henry Mountain region (Data from Agency 1976 Recreation Reports.)	162
33.	Suitability of various plant species for rehabilitation of the Henry Mountains coal field.	184
B-1	USGS sample number, hole number, location, depth interval, and description of 13 coal samples from the Henry Mountain field, Garfield County, Utah,	223

Table		vii Page
B-2	Proximate and ultimate analyses, heat content, forms-of-sulfur, free-swelling- index and ash fusion temperature deter- minations for 13 coal samples from Henry Mountain field, Garfield County, Utah.	224
B-3	Proximate and ultimate analyses, heat content, forms-of-sulfur, free-swelling- index and ash fusion temperature deter- minations for 13 coal samples from Henry Mountain field, Garfield County, Utah Continued	225
B-4	Proximate and ultimate analyses, heat content, forms-of-sulfur, free-swelling- index and ash fusion temperature deter- minations for 13 coal samples from Henry Mountain field, Garfield County, Utah Continued	
B-5	Major and minor oxide and trace element composition of the laboratory ash of 13 coal samples from Henry Mountain field, Garfield County, Utah.	227
B-6	Major and minor oxide and trace element composition of the laboratory ash of 13 coal samples from Henry Mountain field, Garfield County, Utah Continued	228
B-7	Content of nine trace elements in 13 coal samples from Henry Mountain field, Garfield County, Utah.	229
B-8	Major, minor, and trace element composition of 13 coal samples from Henry Mountain field, Garfield County, Utah.	230
B-9	Major, minor, and trace element composition of 13 coal samples from Henry Mountain field, Garfield County, UtahContinued	231

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	~	Page
1.	General location of Henry Mountains coal field.	3
2.	View of Wildcat Mesa from the south end.	4
3,	Looking southwestward on Pete Steele Bench.	4
4.	Outcropping of 7 to 8 foot thick coal bed on Pete Steele Bench escarpment along Dugout Creek.	8
5.	General location of Henry Mountains coal field.	22
6.	General area of Henry Mountains coal field.	23
7.	Specific study area approximately 15 miles southeast of U.S. Highway 24.	25
8.	Coal permits on Henry Mountains coal field.	26
9.	Land status in the vicinity of the Henry Mountains coal field.	32
10.	Energy resources in the Henry Mountains coal field area. Shaded areas are coal fields. Dark circles are uranium deposits. Dark areas in circle are cliffs area.	34
11.	Elevations of the eight geologic core drill holes and their general topographic locations	43
12.	Topographic details of the study area as shown on the Notum and Mt. Ellen guadrangles.	44
13.	Surficial geology of the Henry Mountains region.	46
14.	Geologic sections - Fremont River Valley area,	48
15.	Surficial geology of the study area (with the exception of Section 22 and Plot F).	50

Figure		ix <u>Page</u>
16.	Log of holes and surface section projected on West-East line, with intervening distances approximate. Number at base of log indicates the core number assigned by the USGS.	59
17.	Correlation of biomass production to pH of Lithotype materials on which plants were grown.	75
18.	Correlation of biomass production to salinity (expressed as electrical conductivity, EC mmhos/cm) of lithotypes on which plants were grown.	76
19.	Correlation of biomass production to boron content of lithotype materials on which plants were grown.	77
20a.	Soil survey map of study area. Henry Mountains Resource area.	83
20b.	Continuation of soil survey map of study area. Henry Mountains Resource area.	84
21.	Top growth of Russian wildrye in the greenhouse in response to added nitrogen and phosphorus on growth media from 6 field sites.	91
22.	Revegetation study site A, Pete Steele Bench.	93
23.	Site E, Wildcat Mesa.	93
24.	Site F, Wildcat Mesa.	95
25.	Revegetation study site B, Pete Steele excarp- ment.	95
26.	Site C, Pete Steele Bench escarpment.	96
27.	Site D, Pete Steele Bench escarpment.	96
28.	Close-up view of study site D.	100
29.	Close-up view of study site B.	100
30.	Plant communities of the Henry Mountains coal field.	104
31.	Water quality STORET stations for Upper Colorado River Basins.	109

Figure		Page
32.	Water quality records available,	112
33.	Dirty Devil River near Hanksville suspended sediment concentration - 1946-48.	113
34.	Dirty Devil River near Hanksville suspended sediment discharge - 1946-48.	114
35.	Sediment delivery ratio variations with drainage area as reported in the 1972 Sediment Yield Workshop (U.S. Dept. Agr., Agr. Res. SErv. S-40, 1975).	115
36.	Scatter diagrams for universal soil loss erosion prediction equation using data from Nevada rangeland (Nev.) study pooled over all plots.	122
37.	Scatter diagrams for two erosion predicting equations using data from Nevada rangeland (Nev.) study pooled over all plots.	123
38.	Scatter diagram for universal soil loss erosion prediction equation using data from geologic type study pooled over all plots.	124
39.	Scatter diagrams for two soil loss predicting equations using data from geologic type study pooled over all plots.	125
40.	Scatter diagram for universal soil loss erosion prediction equation using data from pinyon- juniper (chaining) study pooled over all plots.	126
41.	Scatter diagrams for two soil loss equations using data from pinyon-juniper (chaining) study pooled over all plots.	127
42.	Known and probable groundwater resource in South Central Utah.	131
43.	Map illustrating observation point locations within the 300 square mile visual prominence assessment area.	144
44.	Observation point number 9: eye level viewing height.	146
45.	Observation point number 9: 50 foot viewing height.	147

Х

Figure		Page
46.	Observation point number 10; eye level viewing height.	149
47.	Observation point number 10: 50 foot viewing height.	150
48.	Observation point number 12; eye level viewing height.	151
49.	Observation point number 12: 50 foot viewing height.	152
50.	Steele Butte allotment showing animal unit months (AUM's) of grazing capacity for each section.	158
A-1.	Geologic log of drill hole no. 1	206
A-2.	Geologic log of drill hole no. 2	207
A-3.	Geologic log of drill hole no. 3	209
A-4.	Geologic log of drill hole no. 4	211
A-5.	Geologic log of drill hole no. 5	212
A-6.	Geologic log of drill hole no. 7	214
A-7.	Geologic log of drill hole no. 9	216
D-1.	Observation point number 1: 363 level viewing height.	255
D-2.	Observation point number 1: 50 foot viewing height.	256
D-3.	Observation point number 2: eye level viewing height.	257
D-4.	Observation point number 2: 50 foot viewing height.	258
D-5.	Observation point No. 3: eye level viewing height.	259
D-6.	Observation point No. 3: 50 foot viewing height.	260
D-7.	Observation point number 4: eye level viewing height.	261
D-8.	Observation point number 4: 50 foot viewing height.	262

xi

	xii
Figure	Page
D-9. Observation point number 5: eye level viewing height.	263
D-10. Observation point number 5: 50 foot viewing height.	264
D-11. Observation point number 6: eye leve- viewing height.	265
D-12. Observation point number 6: 50 foot viewing height.	266
D-13. Observation point number 7: 363 level viewing height.	267
D-14. Observation point number 7: 50 foot viewing height.	268
D-15. Observation point number 8: eye level viewing height.	269
D-16. Observation point number 8: 50 foot viewing height.	270
D-17, Observation point number 11: eye level viewing height.	271
D-18. Observation point number 11: 50 foot viewing height.	272

- I. SUMMARY
 - A. Introduction
 - B. Local Information
 - C. Climate
 - D. Geology
 - E. Coal
 - F. Soil
 - G. Vegetation
 - H. Hydrology
 - I. Wildlife
 - J. Visual
 - K. Present Land Use
 - L. Objectives of Reclamation
 - M. Recommendations for Reclamation



I. SUMMARY

A. Introduction

This Energy and Mineral Rehabilitation Inventory Analysis (EMRIA) of the Henry Mountain Coal Field is an assembly and summary of much of the known baseline information about the resource area occupied by the coal field. Furthermore, it presents findings of specific studies on the suitability of the overburden and soils to support a rehabilitation program should the coal resource be developed. A limited study area in Garfield County, Utah (Figure 1) consisting of sections 17, 18, 19, 20 and 21 (T 31 S R 9 E) plus section 22 and 23 (T 31 S R 8 E) was assigned for detailed study. However, a more general area of study includes Wildcat Mesa, Pete Steele Bench and adjacent areas (Figures 2, 3).

B. Local Information

The general area in which the Henry Mountain Coal Field is located is one of the more remote and undeveloped regions of the United States. Until 1962 Highway 24 was not paved from Capitol Reef to Hanksville. The study site is accessible only by a seldom-graded dirt road extending 25 miles south from Highway 24. The nearest town is Hanksville with a population of 181 in 1970. Other communities in the region are also small with limited economic activity. Agriculture and recreational activities form the basis for employment.

Potential for development of recreation industries is great and is based on the outstanding scenic qualities of the region, specifically recognized by the establishment of Capitol Reef National Park, Canyonlands National Park, Glen Canyon National Recreation Area and especially the

2





Figure 1. General Location of Henry Mountains Coal Field.





Figure 2. View of Wildcat Mesa from the south end.



Figure 3. Looking southwestward on Pete Steele Bench.



numerous public campsites managed by state and federal agencies. Development of electric power generating facilities which may utilize coal from the Henry Mountain Coal Field or other nearby fields is also a current consideration. A new community to service a large uranium mining operation at Ticaboo Mesa is currently being planned by Ticaboo Development Co.

Most of the land in the general study area is federally administered by the Bureau of Land Management. Two state sections are on the margin of the coal field study area. The only private lands are those occupied by the Sandy Ranch and King Ranch.

C. Climate

The climate of the study area is arid. The predicted annual precipitation of Wildcat Mesa and Pete Steele Bench is between 8-9 inches and is highly variable from year to year. In the 1976-77 growing season essentially no effective precipitation occurred. Peak precipitation usually occurs during the period from July to October, a time when least effectiveness is possible. The frost-free season ranges from 120 to 150 days. Summer maximum temperatures of 100° F are common while winter temperatures may be as low as -10° F. Winds are usually from the west and southwest: average wind velocity is from 10 to 20 miles per hour. High wind velocities may occur in the spring and early summer. Under this harsh temperature - precipitation regime plant establishment and growth is severely limited.

D. Geology

The Henry Mountain area is located in the west central portion of the Colorado Plateau. The plateau system contains high escarpments, deep canyons, bare rocky badlands and laccolithic intrusions. Geologic strata are essentially horizontal. The coal fields study area averaging 5800 ft in elevation lies between the Henry Mountains to the east and the Circle Cliffs upwarp farther west. Central to the study area is the shallow valley of Sweetwater Creek, a northward-flowing tributary of the Fremont River. East of the creek is Pete Steele Bench and Apple Brush Flat, and to the west is Wildcat Mesa. These plateaus are pediment surfaces developed by runoff from Mt. Ellen on whose flank these graded surfaces lie.

A generalized description of the geologic nature of eight cores through the coal overburden indicates a predominance of sandstone with minor inclusions of organic matter or claystone which make up over 70 percent of the overburden. Silt and claystone strata make up less than 30 percent. A cycle of deposition is repeated numerous times in the profile. Sandstone grades upward into siltstone, then claystone, then into carboniferous shale, and finally to coal.

A chemical analysis of the core fractions indicates that all lithotypes are extremely deficient in available nitrogen and phosphorus. Potassium contents averaged higher in clay and silt lithotypes than in the sandy ones. Values for pH were mostly near 8.0 but some extremes were noted. Electrical conductivities ranged from .3 to 12.5 mmho/cm, but the general average was between 1 and 2. Boron, iron, copper, manganese and zinc values were not in the phytotoxic range, except in an unusual situation.

A greenhouse bioassay of core samples from each lithotype showed that only 3 of 181 samples of the various lithotypes were toxic to the growth of Russian wildrye¹. Production decreases in the test grass were noted when the pH of a lithotype dropped below 7.0, when EC_e exceeded 4.0 mmho/cm and when boron exceeded 1.0 ppm.

1/ Scientific names of plants mentioned in this report may be found in the appendix.

E. <u>Coal</u>

The coal that may be mined in this area is part of the Emery Sandstone Tongue of the Mancos Shale formation; the overburden is the sandstone and shales of the Emery Tongue. Limited observations available from the 8 core holes drilled suggest that the coal overburden varies from 0 to 200 feet west of core hole 5 on Pete Steele Bench (see Figures 11, 16 in main report). At core hole 5 the overburden is 268 feet deep lying over a 2-foot coal bed. On Wildcat Mesa the overburden does not exceed 150 feet except under the butte of Masuk Shale. Thickness of various coal seams was not emphasized in the EMRIA study. Outcrops of the coal may be seen in numerous places (Figure 4).

Chemical analysis of the coal by the USGS indicates that it is medium in heat value (9,000 - 10,000 BTU/1b) and generally less than 1 percent sulfur.

F. Soil

Twelve soil classifications were identified in the soil survey of the study area. These units range in character from rock outcrops to sandy stony loams to silty clays. The most suitable soils for rehabilitation purposes in the vicinity of the study site are soil mapping units designated as FaB, HaB and HaC. These soils are deep, with little or no rock or gravel. They presently support stands of native grasses and shrubs. However they are mainly fine sandy loams which are subject to wind erosion.

The NaB, MaB and JaA soils are moderately deep but become increasingly gravelly and rocky with depth. The BaD, BbF, PaD and RaF are very shallow and/or rocky. The GaD and GaG soils are very saline, shallow, marineshale derived soils with little potential for supporting vegetation other than species of saltbush.





Figure 4. Outcropping of 7 to 8 foot thick coal bed on Pete Steele Bench escarpment along Dugout Creek.



G. Vegetation

Seven plant communities in the general area of the study have been mapped during previous land management surveys: grass, sagebrush, pinyonjuniper, saltbush, greasewood, desert shrub and half-shrub. These plant communities have a general tolerance to soil conditions and topographic features described in the soil survey. The composition of species, their limited density, and low percent cover reflect the general aridity of the region. These characteristics also serve as a guide to rehabilitation planning. Because of the adaptation of the existing species to the drought and salinity levels of the region they should be given highest priority for use in reestablishment. There are no identified endangered or threatened plant species on the study site or surrounding area.

Plant establishment studies on three major soil types and three geologic outcrops of the Pete Steele Bench escarpment indicate wide differences in plant survival under the extremely dry conditions of the 1976-77 growing season. Best survival on the outcrop types was obtained on a sandstone outcrop which occurs just above the coal seam at the base of Pete Steele Bench. The best survival among the soil types was a sandy soil on Wildcat Mesa.

H. Hydrology

Surface water in the study area, principally Sweetwater Creek and its tributaries, is supplied by snowmelt and springs at its headwaters, but below 5,000 feet elevation, the creek flows intermittently. The streams are generally dry except for short periods of flood immediately after local storms. At the present time, South and Dugout creeks are diverted for irrigation on the King Ranch. An estimate of the flows of these two creeks is 2 cfs of good quality water during spring and summer in years of normal precipitation. Subsurface water is postulated from eight waterbearing sandstones within the Henry Mountains area. The strata with the greatest potential is the Navajo Sandstone because of its thickness, better sorting and relatively better porosity than the other sandstones. Although there is some indication that the Navajo Formation may be saturated and under artesian pressure, the likelihood of obtaining any significant rate of flow from it is low because of a predicted slow release of water from the sandstone. Where fractures of the Navajo Formation occur, such as in the Salt Wash area proposed for use by the Intermountain Power Plant, a considerable flow volume has been tested.

Water quality may vary from a few hundred parts per million to tens of thousand parts per million of dissolved solids based on data obtained from wells in the Navajo Formation in other locations.

Sedimentation values for the study area are not available, nor are values from unreclaimed spoils. Sediment yields determined for the generalized Colorado region range from .2 to 1.0 acre feet/mile² per year. The generally low value for plant cover of the various plant communities, erosive nature of the soil and topographic features (slopes) of the study area may be expected to yield high sedimentation rates.

I. <u>Wildlife</u>

In spite of the generally dry nature of the study location and general area, topographic variation and numerous vegetation types provide for a diversity in wildlife. Important wildlife species are bison, deer, mountain lion, many species of birds, small mammals and invertebrates.

No endangered or threatened species of animals are known to exist in the Henry Mountains. The closest relevant sightings of endangered wildlife found near the study site is the black-footed ferret, 75 miles to the north, and a possible nest of the peregrine falcon in Capitol Reef National Park (Utah Division of Wildlife Resources, 1977).

J. <u>Visual</u>

Visual access to areas of possible mining activity from Capitol Reef National Park would be minimal. Roads causing the generation of dust could be seen although not with significant resolution. Areas of Dixie National Forest or the Henry Mountain Peaks were not evaluated for visual access to the possible mining sites because of the topographic enclosures created by the escarpments of Wildcat Mesa on the west and Pete Steele Bench to the east, plus the distance associated with possible view sights.

K. Present Land Use

Present land uses in the Henry Mountain Coal Field area, though numerous, are at a low intensity. Grazing is the principal use and is important to the economic base of Wayne and Garfield counties. Steele Butte allotment provided 3166 animal unit months (AUM) of grazing in the year 1973-74 on an area where 34 percent of the land is judged to be in a downward range condition trend and 75 percent is in an unsatisfactory ecological condition. Range improvement practices of chaining and seeding employed on Tarantula Mesa raised range carrying capacity from 26 to 29 acres/AUM to 2 acres/AUM during favorable years and 26 acres/AUM in unfavorable years.

Recreation has a high potential for increase in future years. As a non-consumptive use it brings economic benefits into the region. Touring and sight-seeing followed by camping and hunting are typical uses in the Henry Mountains Resource Area. An average count of 100 vehicles per day going south from Highway 24 on the Notom road has been reported. Watershed as a land use must be considered, although the water flow from Sand Creek and Sweetwater Creek to the Fremont River is very limited and intermittent. Water in the immediate study area is heavily depended upon by the Sandy and King ranches.

Wildlife use of the land, vegetation and water of the region is universal. The 200-head bison herd normally seeks the higher reaches of the Henry Mountains in summer but migrates to Tarantula Mesa and Cave Flat in winter months. They may use other areas depending on the nature of the weather and feed availability. Deer, mountain lion and numerous small mammals are residents of the various habitats in the general area of consideration.

L. Objectives of Reclamation

Objectives for land rehabilitation are covered in federal and state regulations. Applicable federal statutes include the following: National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (PL 91-190) Surface Mining and Control Act of 1977 (PL 95-87) Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1977 (PL 94-579) Utah State regulations for reclamation are covered in the Utah Mined Land Reclamation Act of 1975.

M. Recommendations for Reclamation

Given the harsh environment of the region, rehabilitation of disturbed land caused by surface mining would have to be accomplished with the greatest care and with appropriate methods. Existing methodologies may be inadequate to meet the harsh conditions of the area and will have to be modified. New methods or information may have to be developed through site-specific studies. The following general recommendations are provided: 1. The most suitable mix of land uses subsequent to mining should be planned as a guide to rehabilitation measures and needed research.

2. Mining activities should be conducted to permit utilization of favorable overburden strata individually or mixing of several strata for use in revegetation. Data from bioassay and chemical analyses should help to identify suitable overburden strata. Mining activities should also be kept localized and consider visibility aspects in construction and maintenance of roads.

3. Soil and overburden placement should emphasize the use of FaB HaB or HaC soils and/or overburden strata that meet specified criteria. Subsurface materials used under topsoil should not create problems of instability and toxicity.

4. Reconstitution of a soil profile for optimum plant growth should receive a high priority. Criteria for soil with favorable permeability, water holding capacity, fertility and texture characteristics should be established from existing information and/or in site studies.

5. Shaping of spoils should be compatible with surrounding topography to the extent practicable with necessary deviations to accommodate water harvesting potentials, habitat diversity, safe sites for plant establishment and aesthetic quality. Orientation of slopes to utilize favorable insolation possibilities is recommended.

6. Surface mulching with available material for improvement of soil characteristics as well as surface stabilization for water harvesting and dust control should be included in the rehabilitation plan.

7. Compaction of the soil-spoil surface should be avoided or ameliorated to promote infiltration and root penetration. Infiltration

characteristics of the reconstituted soil should be studied and specified for optimum land rehabilitation.

8. Vegetation establishment techniques should be tailored specifically for the harsh sites and climate that exist in the general area. Principal recommendations include:

- a. Mulch to increase soil moisture and fertility functions.
- b. Direct seed only if irrigation is used.
- c. Select mixtures of native plant species adapted to area.
- d. Give priority to transplanting of high quality bare-root or container grown native plants for preliminary rehabilitation phase.
- e. Avoid high density plantings to reduce competition for water and, where necessary, control competing annual plants.
- f. Maintain a high priority for natural aesthetics in time, space, shape and color in planning the seeding or planting arrangements.

9. Develop sources of irrigation water from the limited surface or ground water supplies. Consider the limitation imposed by inadequate water supply but develop information on the amounts of water that may be obtained from water harvesting, impoundment of surface waters (including purchase of rights) and drilling of a deep well.

10. Ameliorate impacts on wildlife by maintaining a compact mining operation, restrict travel to unused or future mining areas, provide water for wildlife, improve habitat carrying capacity for various species and seek cooperation with the Utah Division of Wildlife Resources in mitigating wildlife impacts. 11. Fertilize all areas to be seeded or planted with nitrogen, phosphorous, and potassium.

12. Avoid high structures and equipment in mining activities to reduce visual impacts.

13. Manage planted or seeded areas during establishment to avoid grazing by wildlife and livestock. Protection for an extended period may be necessary.

14. Studies to provide needed information about the site and serve as a basis for modification of current mining and reclamation techniques are recommended as follows (Priority listing is not intended):

- Drill a test well into the Navajo Sandstone to determine flow rate and water quality.
- Determine plant establishment methods suitable for the general area.
- c. Determine methods for control of small mammals to keep them from destroying new plantings.
- Assess mix of land use priorities suitable for the postrehabilitation period.
- e. Develop better ways for reconstruction of the soil profile from topsoil and geologic strata available in the area for optimal soil moisture conditions.
- f. Identify critical recreational view sites in locations adjacent to the mining area.
- g. Develop mining methods to improve selection and storage of desirable overburden strata or mixing of all strata.
- Develop criteria for optimum vegetation parameters that would be used in specifying revegetation standards.



. 1 ... n te stra Kasalanti

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT Library Denver Service Center



+