





Strayden, Underwood, Wales, and Whitcomb—21.
Navy—Messrs. Baldwin, Beaton, Chase, Davis
of Massachusetts, Dodge of Wisconsin, Irving,
Linn, and Ward of Ohio, and Witherspoon of
Virginia.
A message was received from the House, an-
nouncing the passage by that body of a joint res-
olution for the purpose of providing for the
presentation of Congress at 12 o'clock noon,
on Monday, September 10.

The House on Monday moved to strike out
"30th, and insert "32d."
After debate, Mr. Bulger moved that the res-
olution be referred to the present. And this
motion was rejected.
The question was then taken on the motion to
strike out "30th, and insert "32d."
The resolution was then adopted.

The following message was received from the
President of the United States, which was read
and ordered to lie on the table:
"TO THE SENATE:
I have the honor to inform you that the Hon.
Manuel Alvarez, Acting Governor, &c., of the
State of New Mexico, has transmitted to me
a bill for the purpose of providing for the
Territorial Government for New Mexico, I do
not deem it advisable to submit any recom-
mendation on the subject.

MILLARD FILMORE,
Washington, September 9, 1850.
I have the honor to inform you that the Hon.
Manuel Alvarez, Acting Governor, &c., of the
State of New Mexico, has transmitted to me
a bill for the purpose of providing for the
Territorial Government for New Mexico, I do
not deem it advisable to submit any recom-
mendation on the subject.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 11, 1850.
The House then proceeded to the consideration
of the bill for the purpose of providing for the
Territorial Government for New Mexico, which
was read and ordered to lie on the table.

Mr. McLane of Maryland arose for the purpose
of withdrawing the motion which was made
yesterday, and he stated that he had no objection
to the bill, and that he would support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Mississippi said he under-
stood that the bill was to be referred to the
Committee on the Territories, and he stated
that he would support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Pennsylvania moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Mississippi moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Pennsylvania moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Mississippi moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Pennsylvania moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Mississippi moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Pennsylvania moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Mississippi moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Pennsylvania moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

of the Union, and it was decided in the neg-
ative—yeas 101, nays 103.
The question before the House was then stated
as follows: "Resolved, That the bill for the
purpose of providing for the Territorial Govern-
ment for New Mexico, be referred to the
Committee on the Territories, and that the
Speaker be and he is authorized to inform the
Governor of New Mexico, that the bill has
been passed by the House of Representatives."

Mr. Thompson of Mississippi moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Pennsylvania moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Mississippi moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Pennsylvania moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Mississippi moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Pennsylvania moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Mississippi moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Pennsylvania moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Mississippi moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Pennsylvania moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Mississippi moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Pennsylvania moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Mississippi moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Pennsylvania moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Mississippi moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

repeated, a bill to define the boundary of
Texas. If it was in order to move a Territorial
bill, as an amendment, it would have been in
order to do so. The bill was then read, and
the Speaker moved to reconsider the motion
which was made yesterday, and he stated that
he would support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Pennsylvania moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Mississippi moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Pennsylvania moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Mississippi moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Pennsylvania moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Mississippi moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Pennsylvania moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Mississippi moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Pennsylvania moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Mississippi moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Pennsylvania moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Mississippi moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Pennsylvania moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Mississippi moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Pennsylvania moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Vinton inquired: What are the bills on
the table?
The Speaker: There is a large number of
bills on the table.
Mr. Boyd had no hesitation in expressing his
opinion. It was to reach the Fugitive Slave
bill, which was the subject of the debate.
The House resolved to suspend the rules—yeas
102, nays 98.

The Speaker signed the bill providing a Ter-
ritorial Government for Utah, and proposing
the selection of a Committee of the Whole to
prepare a bill for the purpose of providing a
Territorial Government for New Mexico.

Mr. Kaufman asked leave to offer a resolu-
tion—yeas 102, nays 98.
The House then proceeded to the consideration
of the bill for the purpose of providing for the
Territorial Government for New Mexico, which
was read and ordered to lie on the table.

Mr. Thompson of Pennsylvania moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Mississippi moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Pennsylvania moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Mississippi moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Pennsylvania moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Mississippi moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Pennsylvania moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Mississippi moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Pennsylvania moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Mississippi moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Pennsylvania moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Mississippi moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Thompson of Pennsylvania moved to re-
consider the bill, and he stated that he would
support it.
The question was then taken on the motion to
reconsider, and it was decided in the affirmative.

THE NATIONAL ERA SPEECH

HON. WILLIAM A. SAFFETT, OF NEW YORK.

Mr. Speaker: I wish to call your attention to the fact that the House of Representatives has passed a bill...

The House being in Committee of the Whole on the report of the Committee on the bill...

Mr. SAFFETT said: A bill has been introduced from the Senate...

A bill has been introduced from the Senate...

This bill, it is not fraud upon the provisions of the act...

Well, sir, I start with the proposition, and I intend to follow it up...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

Peris of this country have been settled nearly as early as those of Mexico...

The northern line of Texas began at a point near the mouth of the Colorado...

Robinson's map of Missouri, Louisiana, Texas, &c. This map makes the north line on the Missouri river...

These we see where the southern line of this country was fixed...

Both portions of the country, north and south of this line, have been in the possession of the same people...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

THE NATIONAL ERA

dependance, and those occasions were in 1838 and 1845...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

THE NATIONAL ERA

law of nations, free by the law that governs it...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

THE NATIONAL ERA

Yes, sir, but not only grants this extent of territory...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

THE NATIONAL ERA

found those as exact translations of *sois*, it is sufficiently apparent...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

CINCINNATI NATIONAL ERA AGENCY.

No. 239 High Street, from doors below west side of Third Street...

Subscriptions received for the present year, edited by J. W. ...

Agencies: ...

PARKVILLE HYDRO-PATHIC INSTITUTE.

A meeting of the Board of Managers of the Parkville Hydropathic Institute...

Other notices: ...

General Description of the Parkville Hydropathic Institute.

THE NATIONAL ERA.

TERMINES FOR THE PEOPLE.

It is the design of those who modeled our republican institutions...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...

It is not a question of territory, but of principle...