## THE MEDEA OF EURIPIDES.
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## PREFACE.

This school-edition of the Medea is based upon my larger edition (London: Macmillan and Co. 1881) and upon the works mentioned there in the Introduction. The notes have been completely re-written with a view to the present purpose: much has been added, and much also suppressed or modified. In particular, there will be found little discussion of the text. For this, and for a more full exposition of my own views, the teacher or the advanced student will not, I hope, object to be referred to the former work. The Analysis is long, but it has saved at least as many pages of notes, and may perhaps be not the least serviceable part of the commentary. The Grammatical Index has been constructed with a purely practical object, and does not of course pretend to completeness: those constructions only have been mentioned to which it seemed desirable to call attention.

## PREFACE.

I beg to return sincere thanks to the friends and critics who have favoured me with suggestions -I may mention the names of L. Campbell, Robinson Ellis, H. A. J. Munro, B. H. Kennedy, H. Gloël, N. Wecklein, and L. Schmidt ; to the last I owe an especial acknowledgment for the very careful recension of my previous book in the Philol. Anzeiger, No. 7, p. 3558. If my critics should find their views insufficiently recognised or discussed, it is to be attributed not to want of respect but to want of space.

Naturally not much that is new will be found here; something, however, is likely to appear, even upon the most familiar ground, in the course of five or six minute perusals. What there is, either my own or borrowed, may be seen chiefly in the Analysis and in the notes on 160, 181, 211, 214, 511, 707, 963, 1221, 1269, 1374.
A. W. V.
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${ }^{1}$ The plot. See the Introduction. $\delta о к \epsilon \hat{\imath}[\dot{j}$ Evj $\rho \pi i \delta \eta s]$.
 Dikaiarchos and Aristotle respectively.
${ }^{3}$ climax. See on 3.
${ }^{4}$ The plot is not found either in Aeschylus or in Sophokles.
${ }^{5}$ was represented.
${ }^{6} 431$ b.c.
7 Refers apparently to the last mentioned play, the Reapers.

## INTRODUCTION.

The legend of Medea, as dramatised by Euripides, was adapted with an important change from the traditions respecting a certain festival, annually celebrated by the Corinthians at the temple of Hera on the Cape ("Hpa 'Aкраía), which was probably not in Corinth proper but lay on the sea-coast over against Sikyon. In the play (see 1378) Medea founds this festival, to compensate the people of Corinth, through the spiritual dignity and other more material advantages connected with the celebration, for the stain of divine blood which she brings upon the land by murdering there her children, the descendants of Helios the Sun-god.

According to the Corinthian story, Medea, the daughter or grand-daughter of the Sun, a magician and prophetess, came to Corinth from the East, and acquired the sovereignty of the city. There her children were put to death, accounts differing as to the manner, but agreeing in this, that Hera, the patrongoddess of Corinth, was thereby offended, and punished the city, in the first place by a plague, and afterwards by a permanent annual tribute of seven boys and girls, who were dedicated for a year to the service of the goddess. It is more than probable that in this,
as in other cases, the symbolic offering had its origin in an actual sacrifice. The Greek Corinth with its patroness Hera absorbed and replaced an old Phœnician city, Ephyre, which must have worshipped the Phœnician moon-goddess after the Phœnician manner with human victims. The attributes of this moongoddess, her descent from the sun and her powers of magic and prophecy, are represented in the person of Medea, while the rites survived in a more civilized form and under the sanction of a Greek story invented to account for them. To the Greeks Medea was no longer the goddess of the moon, but a favourite and devotee of their own moon-goddess Hekate (see Med. 397). The deity of Ephyre, both as the moon and as a Phœnician, was naturally said to have come from the East, and accordingly the later legend had to find a similar origin for her representative. The chief legendary link between East and West was the expedition of the Argonauts; and a place in the Argonaut story was found for the Corinthian moonworshipper, who was identified with the daughter of Aietes, the son of Helios and king of Kolchis. According to that story, as worked out by a long succession of poets and followed by Euripides, when the Argonauts went to Kolchis, at the bidding of Pelias king of Iolkos, in Thessaly, to fetch the golden fleece, Medea fell in love with their leader Jason, and enabled him by her skill in magic to plough with a yoke of fire-breathing bulls, a feat imposed by her father as a condition for obtaining the object of the quest, and to escape the sleepless dragon by which it was guarded. Then, after murdering her brother

Apsyrtos to prevent pursuit, she fled with Jason to Hellas. They came first to Iolkos, where, to avenge Jason upon his usurping relative king Pelias, she caused the king's daughters to put him to death, persuading them by a successful experiment on a ram that by boiling his body with certain magic herbs he could be restored to youth. In consequence of this murder Jason and Medea fled from Iolkos to Corinth. (See the prologue 1-11, and the speeches of Medea and Jason 465-575.)

But with this ancient legend the play of Euripides deals only by way of allusion. The plot turns entirely upon the adventures of Medea at Corinth, and appears to have been invented for the stage, either by Euripides himself or, according to some authorities, by a preceding poet, Neophron of Sikyon. Starting from the tradition that at Corinth the children of Medea and Jason were put to death, the dramatist made the mother their murderess, and provided a motive for the crime in the unfaithfulness of Jason, who, deserting Medea, makes a new marriage with the daughter (not named) of Kreon, king of Corinth. To punish this ingratitude, Medea, having secured by magic aid the means of escape, and a place of refuge at Athens through the friendship of king Aigeus, contrives by poison the deaths of her rival and of Kreon, and after murdering her children by Jason with her own hands, departs in triumph with their bodies, leaving her husband to desolation and despair. In considering the drawing of character in this play it is important to remember that Medea is no civilized woman of Hellas (Med. 1339), but an Oriental
("barbarian") witch, half savage and half divinity. It was this peculiar character which attracted the dramatist, and led him to select her for the murderess in his plot. She is capable of entertaining such crimes, and capable of executing them.

The scene is laid before the house of Medea in Corinth, the decoration of the back-scene representing the house itself, and the orchestra an open space in front of it. The time is morning, the children having just quitted their exercises at the running-ground (46: see also 340,355 ). The Chorus are women of Corinth, who feel sympathy with the misfortunes of their neighbour and pay her a visit of condolence. Two actors would be sufficient for all the parts, as there are never more than two speakers upon the stage. According to the Greek method of constructing a tragedy, the story is told by a series of monologues or dialogues separated by lyric songs, sung by the Chorus and accompanied with music and dancing. Here and there the Chorus, represented for this purpose by its leader (кoрvфaios), assumes the part of an actor, conversing with the personages upon the stage or commenting upon what passes there. Some of their songs, in particular that which expresses their distress and agitation at the moment of the murder (1251-1292), appear to have been sung by single voices taking up the sentences in succession ${ }^{1}$.
${ }^{1}$ A careful discussion of this point by 0 . Hense will be found in the Rheinisches Museum, Neue Folge, 1876, p. 582.

## Analysis.

The play is divided as follows:
Prologue ( $\pi \rho$ ódo $\gamma o s$ ) 1-130.
An old female servant of Medea, who from her story and from the list of dramatis personce appears to have been her $\tau \rho 0 \phi{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ or attendant from childhood, wearied with vain endeavours to console her mistress, comes out of the house to relieve her feelings by complaining "to earth and heaven" (57). She describes with bitter regret the flight of Medea from her old home to Iolkos, and from Iolkos to Corinth, the recent marriage of Jason with the princess, and the effect of it upon Medea. She is anxious about Medea's health and much alarmed by her strange and menacing behaviour towards her children. Presently the children arrive, escorted by their $\pi \alpha \downarrow \delta \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma$ ós (see on 49). From the conversation of the two servants we learn that there are rumours in the city of a fresh misfortune. King Kreon is said to have resolved on the expulsion of Medea from Corinth. While they are speaking, Medea is heard within, uttering laments and imprecations, which are repeated at the sight of the children (113) as the $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \omega \gamma^{\prime}$ s, after warnings to keep his news to himself and be careful of his charges, conducts them into the house.

Entrance of the Chorus ( $\pi$ ápooos) 131-212.
The Chorus, women of Corinth, give an explanation of their arrival, a common feature in a Greek play, technically called $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho o \delta \iota \kappa \alpha$. They have heard the cries of Medea, which are repeated from time to time
xvi INTRODCCTION.
throughout the scene, and pitying her distress, have come to make enquiries. The nurse briefly describes the situation, and once more lets fall a vague hint of danger (171). The visitors suggest that if Medea could be induced to come out and talk with them it would be safer for the moment and might do her good. The nurse agrees to try her persuasions, wishing at the same time for a more powerful charm: music, she thinks (190), might hare helped in such cases, if musicians had not wasted all their skill upon unnecessary accompaniments to the pleasures of the table. When she has left the stage the voice of Medea is heard replying to her supposed expostulations with angry laments (see 204); after a time the sounds subside, Medea, as the sequel shows, having yielded. [The part of the Chorus in this scene is divided into a $\pi \rho o \omega \delta o ́ s$ or prelude ( $131-137$ ), a $\sigma \tau \rho \circ \phi \dot{\eta}$ and scoresponding ${ }^{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \sigma \tau \rho o \phi \dot{\eta}$ sung here as elsewhere by the halves of the Chorus respectively, and an $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \omega \delta \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ or conclusion.]

First Episode ( $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma o ́ \delta \iota o v)$ in three scenes or sections, (1) Medea and the Chorus-leader, (2) Kreon and Medea, (3) Medea (214-409).
(1) Medea attended by servants (see 820) is led from the house and apologizes to her visitors for her hesitation before meeting them (214-227). She describes her forlorn condition, and protests against the hard destiny of women, who are compelled to choose a husband in ignorance and stake their whole existence upon the choice (228-251). She feels that her friends, having happy homes, can only imperfectly sympathize with her, but is encouraged to hope that
if she forms a plan to avenge herself upon her husband, for the sake of the sex they will not betray it. To this they all consent (267), and are thus morally bound over to the part of acquiescence which they afterwards play, and which, as theatrical usage required their presence throughout the piece, it was practically convenient that they should play.
(2) Kreon, who is to be figured as a very old man, (see 1209), arrives accompanied by guards, and orders Medea to quit the city at once and under his own eyes (269-276). Medea humbly asks the reason (277-281) and is told that the king fears danger to himself, his daughter and Jason, from her skill in black arts and her reported menaces (282-291). Medea exclaims against the disadvantages of learning and the reputation of learning ( $292-305$ ), which yields no profit (296), and exposes the possessor to dislike in two forms, the contempt of the ignorant (298), and the jealousy of pretenders to knowledge (300). All this she has long known, but in Kreon she now finds the new hostility of fear ( $\sigma \grave{v} \delta^{\prime} \alpha \hat{v} \phi o \beta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \mu \epsilon, 306$ ). This fear is needless; with Kreon and his daughter she has no quarrel, and though she will not conceal her resentment against Jason, she knows her helplessness too well to think of revenge (307-315). Kreon replies that a dissembling enemy is even more dangerous than an open, and repeats his commands (316-323). Medea falls at his feet, and after the Greek manner of supplication lays her hands first upon his knees (324) and then upon his arm (339). She entreats and he insists, the dialogue becoming rapid, and almost passing into a personal struggle.

She then begs (336) for a single day to prepare. To this Kreon reluctantly consents (348-356), and with strict injunctions not to exceed the time, leaves her.
(3) The Chorus express compassion for her desperate situation (357-363). Medea, instantly changing her tone, answers them that the delay which the king has been fool enough to grant will be quite enough for her vengeance ( $364-375$ ). She deliberates upon open violence but decides at first (384) to employ the safer and more familiar method of poison. On second thoughts, she remembers that she has no home and therefore no motive for escaping (386), and resolves to wait a little for the chance of finding a refuge, but in the alternative (392) to seek death and revenge together. She clenches her resolution by recalling once more her dignity and her wrongs, and then addresses herself in earnest to the consideration of her plans (395-409). While she reflects, the Chorus sing the

First Stasimon (410-445). [The $\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \mu a ̀$ or $\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \mu \dot{\alpha} \mu \notin \lambda \eta$ are songs sung by the Chorus in position ( $\sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \iota s$ ) upon the orchestra. They are strophic (i.e. to a $\sigma \tau \rho \circ \phi \eta^{\prime}$ or stanza sung by one half of the Chorus answers a similar stanza or $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \sigma \tau \rho o \phi \eta \dot{\eta}$ sung ly the other half), and mark off the main divisions of


Nature (they sing) is out of course (410, 411). Treachery and perjury must, after the conduct of Jason, be attributed to the male sex (412, 414), while woman will have her turn of praise (415-420). Poets must give up their favourite theme, the faithlessness of woman; for had the women been poets,
the charge might have been retorted ; the past supplies abundant material to both sides (421—430).

Medea lost both home and father by her own fault, now she has lost by misfortune both home and husband, and is become an outcast. Truth and honour have, it seems, departed from Hellas (431445.)

Second Episode (446-626), Jason, Medea, and the Chorus-leader. Jason enters, and addresses Medea in a cool and somewhat contemptuous tone. Her obstinacy in provoking powerful enemies has cost her a comfortable home, and it is well for her that it is no worse; for his own part, he bears no grudge; he has done his best to save her from herself, and for the sake of old kindness is ready even now to supply her and the children with means for their journey (446-464). Medea replies with a torrent of invective. She is glad that his impudence in facing her has given her the opportunity of speaking her mind (465-474). She reminds him that she saved his life (475) and avenged his wrongs (483), in return for which he has broken his solemn oath and, though a father, deserted his wife and ohildren for the sake of indulging a new passion (488-498). With an ironical assumption of friendly confidence (499) she requests his advice as to her future course. Is not every home that she has had now closed against her by injuries done for his sake (499—508) ? Her exile will publish his gratitude and doubtless redound to his credit (509-515). Bad metal is proved by testing; why is there no touchstone for bad men? (516-519). The Chorus stand shocked and helpless, their leader re-
marking that the quarrel of old lovers is without remedy (520, 521). Jason, however, is at no loss for a reply. After a sneer at his wife's "plague of tongue" ( $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \alpha \lambda \gamma^{\prime} \alpha$ 525), he observes that if she saved his life it was because she could not do without him. His thanks are due therefore not to her but to Love (526-531). And, waiving that, she has been more than paid in exchanging a brutal and obscure existence among savages, who could not appreciate her, for renown and a decent life in civilized Hellas (532544). As for the charge that he has sacrificed her to lis new love-on the contrary, his conduct has proved that he can both use his wits (rodos) and control his inclinations ( $\sigma \omega{ }^{\prime} \phi \rho \omega v$ ) in order to obtain the power to protect her and his children (545-550). He is neither weary of Medea nor in love with the princess, but having though an exile had the happiness to please her, he has grasped the chance of raising himself, Medea and his family by means of the connexion from poverty to opulence. The prudence of this any ono might see ; but a woman, where her love is concerned, can see nothing else, and Medea is like the rest (551-575).

The Chorus-leader is staggered by Jason's pleading, and doubts her own judgment ( $\kappa \epsilon \dot{l} \pi \alpha \rho a ̀ \quad \gamma \nu \omega ́ \mu \eta \nu \dot{\epsilon} \rho \hat{\omega}$ 577), though she cannot admit that treachery is to be excused (576-578). But Medea is not to be embarrassed by subtleties. She does not agree with the common opinion that ingenuity in argument is useful to a villain. On the contrary, his confidence in his powers of misrepresentation makes him incautious in offending, and in the end exposes him to shame ( 579
-583). Let Jason, if he can, answer this-why, if his new marriage was so well-meant, he did not seek her consent to it? "Your present behaviour," replies Jason, "answers why." Medea repeats her charge, and Jason his denial, and arguments are exchanged for insults, till Jason (609) abruptly terminates the interview by repeating his offer of assistance; Medea indignantly refuses; Jason simply remarks that the responsibility is now hers and not his, and leaves without adieu, Medea flinging a taunt and a threat after him as he goes, and then relapsing into meditation (610-626).

Second Stasimon (627-662). The painful scene just past, the humiliation of Jason and the fury of Medea, suggests to the Chorus a prayer to be saved from the excesses of Love (627-642), and from the dangers of exile (643-652), of which they have before them a miserable example (653-656). They conclude with a curse upon ingratitude (657-662).

Third Episode in two scenes, (1) Aigeus and Medea, (2) Medea and the Chorus (663-823).
(1) Aigeus, king of Athens, arrives at Corinth lyy accident. He is on his way home from Delphi, where he has been consulting the oracle respecting his childlessness, and is going to Troizen, in the northeastern corner of Peloponnesos, to submit to the wisdom of Pittheus, king of Troizen, the answer he has received. Medea is well known to him (677, compare 539) and he salutes her with great respect. After a dialogue which discloses these facts ( $663-687$ ), Medea emphatically wishes him blessing and good-speed (688). Her tone awakens his attention; he notices the
xxii IVTRODUCTION.
signs of grief in her face and enquires the cause (689). In a rapid alternation of question and answer Medea acquaints him with her wrongs (690-708), and follows up the impression produced by imploring him, upon her knees, to give her an asylum in Athens. In return she promises by her arts to put an end to his childlessness (709-718). Aigeus, a kindly but cautious personage, thoroughly Greek in his prudent religion and benevolent selfishness (see 720 ), is tempted to consent, but gives Medea to understand that he will run no risk of offending his ally, the king of Corinth. Once in Athens, she would have (according to Greek "international law") the right of a suppliant, and her enemies could not complain if she were protected; but in getting to Athens she must shift for herself (719-730). Medea accepts the terms buthere a difficulty arises. Medea knows, though Aigeus does not, that she intends before leaving Corinth to murder this very ally and his daughter. She foresees the pressure which the Corinthians will put upon Aigeus to give her up, and desires to lay him under the strongest possible obligation not to do so, the obligation of an oath. At the same time she is unwilling to betray suspicion in the very act of invoking his generosity. She puts her request, there fore, in the form of a suggestion for his benefit. Her enemies are powerful, and it will be well for him as a man of honour to secure himself against yielding by providing himself beforehand with a good answer to them. He will be better able to resist, if he can say "I have sworn", than if he can merely say "I have promised" (734-740). Aigeus drily compliments her
on her "diplomatic foresight", but agrees that for him -and for her-it will be safer that he should swear (741-745), which accordingly he does, Medea dictating the oath (746-755), and then somewhat abruptly departs. The Chorus pray for his safe return and the fulfilment of his desires, and pronounce lim " a worthy man".
(2) Medea, having now secured the refuge for which (see 390) she was looking, exults in the near prospect of revenge ( $764-771$ ). She opens her plans to the Chorus, begging them, in her fierce impatience, to "take the tale unsweetened", or to use our English metaphor " unvarnished" $(773)$ : she will recall Jason, and by feigned submission obtain leave to send her children to the bride with a complimentary gift of a wreath and robe, under pretence of procuring, for them only, remission of the sentence of banishment. The gifts will be poisoned, and if the bride puts them on, she dies (774-789). Next, to complete the ruin of Jason's home, she will slay her own children and fly. Revenge is now her one possible good (798), and that she will have ( $790-810$ ). The Chorus-leader attempts to protest, but Medea (819) declines discussion, and despatches an attendant, probably the Nurse, to fetch Jason.
[An interesting question arises here as to the stagerepresentation of the play. When is the preparation of the poisoned wreath and poisoned robe supposed to take place? At 789 Medea distinctly speaks of it as
 (see the note on 963): at 950 it must be assumed that the gifts are lying in the house, easily ac-
cessible, and already charged with the deadly cosmetic.

Even if we neglect the words just quoted, or put a forced meaning on $\chi \rho i \sigma \omega \delta \omega \rho \eta \dot{\mu} a \tau a$, such as the gifts will be anointed, it is still impossible to suppose, either that Medea kept them always ready, or that she has prepared and laid them out before the commencement of the action. As to the first supposition, Medea would not long have retained her reputation for subtlety, if decorations, so tempting that no woman could refuse to put them on and so pernicious that the wearer must almost immediately die, had been commonly left in a place where a servant could find them at a moment's notice and without directions. Moreover the account of the death of the victims (11671219), marvellous enough in any case, is not even credible as fiction, unless the poison was and remained liquid; this is confirmed by the manner in which, from the circumstances and other evidence, we should infer that it was applied and concealed (see the note above-mentioned): and if so, it cannot have been applied very long before. By assuming that the preparation was performed in the early morning before the play commences, we no doubt avoid these objections, but it is difficult to believe that this is what the poet intended, when we find Medea, after the unforeseen sentence of banishment has forced her to action, still balancing the advantages of poison and dagger (376), and deciding for poison only after the unforeseen visit of Aigeus. It remains then to accept 789 in its plain sense, and we are then confronted with the necessity that between 789 and 950 Medea
must leave the stage. In the case of Sophokles' Trachinice a similar necessity is carefully provided for, and the time required for the operations of Deianira is assumed to elapse during a Choric song (Soph. Trach. 497-530) so constructed as not to demand her presence. If Euripides had arranged his Medea so as to make the story visibly impossible, we could scarcely have failed to hear of the blunder from Aristophanes, Aristotle and the other critics and satirists whom his popularity excited, and who pick or try to pick more than one hole in this very play. We conclude therefore that during the Third Stasimon (824-865), the only opportunity within the two limits, Medea retires to her " Colchian laboratory," as Horace has called it. But ëть ov биккро九 то́vo九-this seemingly simple solution has no small difficulties of its own. At the end of the Third Stasimon Jason evidently finds Medea already on the stage, and more than this, the latter part of the song, we might say the whole, is addressed to her. It is true that in the Fifth Stasimon, sung while Medea in the house is murdering the children,
 1258 ) is exchanged for the second ( $\mu \alpha ́ \tau \alpha \nu ~ a ̈ \rho a ~ \gamma \epsilon ́ v o s$ фídıov ${ }^{\prime} \tau \epsilon \kappa \epsilon s$ 1262), but there is an obvious difference between these exclamations and the urgent pleading of $\mu \eta$ خ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \gamma o v \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \sigma \epsilon \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \eta \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega s$ i $і \kappa \epsilon \tau \epsilon v \dot{\sigma} \mu \epsilon \nu$ тє́киа фоvєv́rŋŋs (855). Here the Chorus, it would seem, throw themselves before Medea's feet. It would be almost ludicrous to imagine that she is not to be seen.

It might perhaps occur for a moment that the infusion of the poison may take place by magic with-
out Medea's hand, but it is, I think, sufficient to raise the notion and dismiss it. So astounding a feat, performed and passing without remark, would throw the sudden miracle of the dragon-chariot (see hereafter) completely into the shade. Critics have not spared the chariot; but at least Medea has a time given her (1282-1316) in which to obtain it from Helios. Part of this interval (1282-1292), being mere musical moralizing with no dramatic import, we may, according to the stage-practice of Athens, suppose of any convenient length-all the three tragedians put whole battles into a few stanzas-and besides, who shall prescribe the rapidity of the Sungod?

It must be left then in doubt, a doubt in some ways more instructive than certainty, how the course of the story was here visibly represented. The fact is, and the closer we study our texts, the more plainly it will appear, that we know little of the practical arrangements of the Greek theatre. If a conjecture is to be hazarded, we might reasonably guess that the interval covered by the Third Stasimon comprised something more than the recitation of the song given in our texts, music perhaps or a dance, and that during this "something more" Medea was absent from the scene ${ }^{1}$ ].
${ }^{1}$ I have assumed throughout the above that the MSS. रpiow in 789 is, and I think the whole play shows it to be, correct. If we could suppose the reading to be $\tau \sigma \omega \hat{\sigma} \sigma \delta{ }^{\prime}$ é $\chi \rho \iota \sigma \alpha$ фариа́кos, it would be possille to place the poisoning in the First Stasimon (410-445), when Medea's presence on the stage does not seem to be absolutely required. But at that time

Third Stasimon (824-865). The prospect of Medea's flight to Athens gives an opportunity (useful to a poet desirous to please an Athenian audience) for introducing the praises of that city--the ancient splendour of her citizens, descended by two lines from two gods, Earth and the Athenian river Kephisos (824--835), concerning which last the Chorus relate a legend, signifying that Athens is the home of the Arts (836-845). How can such a city give hospitality to the murderess of her children (846-850)? They implore Medea to abandon her purpose (851—865,

Fourth Episode (866—975). Medea and Jason.
The servant returns bringing Jason, who asks what Medea has to say. She apologises humbly for her late peevish and unreasonable conduct. Cooler reflexion has shewn her the wisdom of the new marriage ( $872-883$ ), which is so much for her good that she ought to have rejoiced in it as her own (884-888). Jason must remember that she is a woman, and not be hard upon her ( $889-893$ ). [The speech is constructed with admirable skill as an appeal both to Jason's vanity and his better feelings. He is at once overcome, and shows it, as appears from the sequel, both by his manner and by his silence. It is plain that between 893 and 894 there is a 'tableau.' Probably Jason raises Medea into his arms.] She summons the children, who are brought by the $\pi a \iota \delta a-$ $\gamma^{\omega} \gamma^{\prime}$ s from the house, to witness the reconciliation,

Medea has not resolved upon the use of poison definitely, but only in the less probable of two alternatives.

## xxviii INTRODUCTION.

and at the sight of them bursts into tears-of emotion, she explains (894-905), the Chorus weeping with her ( 906 - 907 ). "Let bye-gones be bye-gones," says Jason (908-913), and begins to anticipate the restoration of the children to Corinth (914-921). At this Medea weeps again, much to Jason's surprise. She checks herself hastily and turns (932) to another subject. Will not Jason entreat the king that the children may remain? Jason hesitates (940); Medea, who has calculated on his reluctance, instantly suggests that he should send his bride to her father instead; Jason catches at the proposal (944) and observes jocosely that "with her he is likely to succeed." "No woman can resist you," replies Medea, adding, "and I shall reinforce you with a present." While the wreath and robe are being brought from the house she dwells, to avert suspicion, upon their peculiar value as being the gift of the Sun-god (946-955). Jason ridicules the needless waste, but Medea urges that a gift never comes amiss, and despatches the children, accompanied by Jason and the $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \alpha \omega \gamma$ ós (see 1003), upon their mission.

Fourth Stasimon (976-1002). [Between the Fourth and Fifth Episode some time, perhaps as much as half-an-hour, is supposed to elapse. During this the children go to the house occupied by Jason and the princess, where they are received with much delight by Jason's servants (see 1140), and conducted to the women's apartments. The princess is at first indignant, but overcome by the presents gives way and consents to prefer Jason's petition to her father. From 1002 it would appear that she actually does so
and prevails. Jason retires with the children, who are reconducted by the $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma$ ós to Medea. Whether Medea remains at her door the whole time, or retires and returns, does not appear from the text and is not important.]

The Chorus, in a very beautiful little song, lament the fatal deeds about to be accomplished.

Fifth Episode in five scenes (1) $\pi a \iota \delta a \gamma \omega \gamma$ ós and Medea, (2) Medea, (3) Interlude sung or recited by the Chorus-leader, (4) Medea and a Servant of Jason (ä ${ }^{*} \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \mathrm{\lambda os}$ ), (5) Medea(1003-1250).
(1) The $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma^{\prime}$ s entering with the children, announces the success of the embassy, and is surprised at Medea's manner of receiving the news, which however he attributes to her grief at separating from her children. She sends him in (1002-1020).
(2) Medea's farewell to her children (1021-1080). [This celebrated monologue is remarkable not only for the powerful representation of the contest between the desire of vengeance and maternal love, but also for the Attic subtlety of its double meaning, the language being applicable either to Medea's supposed intention of leaving her children in Corinth or to her real intention of murdering them. See the notes. At 1055 there is a 'fausse sortie': Medea orders the children in, and speaks as if on the very point to follow them and execute her purpose, but wavers again. Then, suddenly remembering the princess (1063), she embraces them passionately once more, and sends them hastily into the house (1076). At the conclusion of the speech Medea either remains on the watch, or perhaps leaves the stage in the direction
of Jason's house as if looking anxionsly for tidings, (see 1116).
(3) Here, at the crisis of the play, the stage being probably clear, the action is suspended by a solemn pause, during which the events narrated in $1167-1221$ are supposed to take place. The anapæstic recitative here delivered by the Chorus or their leader (1081-1115) is no part of the drama, and contains no allusion to the events of it, though the subject-the trials of parents and advantages of the childless-is vagucly suggested by the story.
(4) Medea suddenly returns. She sees a servant of Jason coming at full speed. In a moment he rushes upon the stage, shrieking to her to fly. Medea calmly asks the reason, and on hearing that Kreon and his daughter are dead, requests the astonished servitor to oblige her with a fuller version of his agreeable news (1134. The cause of her security appears in the sequel.) This introduces the $\rho \hat{\rho} \sigma$ os or narrative (1136-1221), usually found in the plays of Sophokles and Euripides. The personage delivering it was called technically the ${ }_{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \in \lambda$ os or reporter. The story, which comprises the visit of the children to the princess, her death, and that of Kreon, is, even for Euripides, remarkably graphic, but the conclusion (1222-1230) petty and pedantic. At 1230 the Servant leaves the stage.
(5) During the words of the Chorus-leader (1231 -1235) Medea is nerving herself for the murder of the children. She briefly announces her final resolution to the Chorus (1236-1241), spurs herself to the deed with a few sharp sentences, and then, with a cry
of desperation, enters the house and shuts the door (1250; see 1313).

Fifth Stasimon (1251-1292, probably distributed among single voices). The Chorus, overawed by Medea's mien and prohibition (see 1054), stand without, praying wildly for divine interference (12511260 ), and warning the murderess that she will repent hereafter (1261-1270). The cries of the children are heard within, first two voices, then a single voice. All being over, the Chorus moralize upon the unexampled horror of the act (1279—1292), observing (1282-1289) that in the only such murder hitherto recorded the murderess condemned herself by suicide.

Exodos. Exit or Conclusion, in two scenes (1) Jason and the Chorus-leader, (2) Medea and Jason (1293-1414).
(1) Jason enters in haste accompanied by servants (see 1314). He asks for Medea, but stops himself, observing that her punishment is in other hands (1302) and it will be difficult to save even his children from the relatives of the murdered king and princess. Hearing the appalling truth, which is twice told before he can comprehend $i t$, he is about to open the door when
(2) Medea appears above (1317), with the bodies of the children in a chariot drawn by dragons ${ }^{1}$, the gift of the Sun-god. She defies him, and he answers with cursing and reproach. Medea treats his invective with disdain (1351), triumphs over his helplessness, and
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retorts insult for insult (1361-1376). Finally she refuses his request for the restoration of the bodies (1377), for which she proposes to find a place of burial safer than Corinth (1378). Upon this the storm of mutual rage breaks out again, the verse changing (1389) to the anapæstic march with which the Chorus usually accompany their exits. Here the frightened women apparently steal away in silence, and in the wild imprecations of Jason the scene concludes ${ }^{1}$.

Such is the framework of this famous drama, which from its production to the present day has been studied and praised by an almost unbroken succession of admirers. This popularity must be attributed, certainly not to any charm in the characters,-for though drawn with much truth to nature they are, with the unimportant exceptions of Aigeus and the servants, all unpleasing,-nor to delight of the imagi-nation,-for there is a severe parsimony of 'poetic colour,'-but to the sustained effect of the dramatic situations and the unsurpassed finish of the language. Terseness point and elegance prevail throughout, and there is scarcely a rough verse or a slovenly phrase from beginning to end. At the commencement of the Peloponnesian war (the Medea was first exhibited in 431 b.c.), this sort of merit, then a new discovery, was fast coming to be considered at Athens as the highest in art, and for a few generations after his own the name of " the golden Euripides" was synonymous with literary perfection. The worship of this fresh enthu-
${ }^{1}$ The 'tag' at the end ( $1415-1419$ ), which is found in four other plays, has no connexion with this, and evidently does not belong to it.
siasm can never be rendered again, and will not even be fully comprehended just now. Our taste is perhaps rather for depth, any depth. In Euripides there is often both an upper current of meaning and an undercurrent, but both are transparently clear, and clearness is easily taken for shallowness. However, as long as men find interest in speech, so long, we may anticipate, will the masterpieces of Euripides continue to be, as they have been, among the first commended to the attention of the student. And "for unity of general effect no plays in the world excel the Hippolytus and the Medea."

## E؟PIMIDO؟ MHAEIA.

ТРОФ0ェ.
 Kód $\chi \omega \nu$ є́s aỉav кvavє́as $\Sigma v \mu \pi \lambda \eta \gamma a ́ \delta \alpha s$, $\mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$ є’v váтaıбı M$\eta \lambda i ́ o v ~ \pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon i ̂ v ~ т о т є ~$ $\tau \mu \eta \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \alpha \pi \epsilon \dot{\kappa} \kappa \eta, \mu \eta \delta^{\jmath}$ є’ $\rho \epsilon \tau \mu \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha \iota \chi$ Хє́ $\rho a s$









 ö $\tau \alpha \nu \quad \gamma v v \grave{\eta} \pi \rho o \grave{s}{ }_{\alpha}{ }^{\prime} \nu \delta \rho \alpha \mu \grave{\eta} \delta \iota \chi \sigma \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \hat{\eta}-$ $\nu v ิ \nu \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \not \chi \theta \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha, ~ к а \grave{\imath} \nu о \sigma \epsilon i ̂ ~ \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \phi i ̀ \lambda \tau \alpha \tau \alpha . ~$ $\pi \rho o \delta o u ̀ s ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ a i ́ \tau o v ̂ ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \kappa \nu а ~ \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi o ́ \tau \iota \nu ~ \tau ’ ~ ' є \mu \grave{\nu}$



 ті́ттьv $\mu \epsilon \gamma і \sigma \tau \eta \nu$, каї $\theta \epsilon о \grave{s} \mu \alpha \rho \tau и ́ \rho \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$

 тòv тávта бvvти́кочба $\delta \alpha \kappa р v ́ o \iota s ~ \chi р o ́ v o v, ~$







- каì $\gamma \alpha \hat{\imath} a \nu$ oı«ксvs $\theta^{\prime}$, ойs $\pi \rho о \delta$ ov̂ $\sigma^{\prime}$ ảфíкєто


- oiov $\pi a \tau \rho \underset{\sim}{a} a s ~ \mu a ̉ \pi o \lambda \epsilon i ́ t \epsilon \sigma \theta a l ~ \chi \theta o v o ́ s . ~$






ク̀ каì тúpavvov тóv $\tau \epsilon \gamma \eta \eta_{\mu} \alpha \tau \tau \alpha$ кта́vŋ,








## ПАІААГ $\Omega$ ГОЕ.





'TP. $\tau \epsilon ́ \kappa \nu \omega \nu$ ó $\pi \alpha \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \beta v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'Iáбovos,
$\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau o i ̂ \sigma \iota$ סov́дoıs $\xi v \mu \phi о \rho \alpha \alpha_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi о \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\kappa \alpha \kappa \hat{\omega}$ тítvov $\alpha a$ каì $\phi \rho \epsilon \nu \omega \hat{\nu} \alpha^{\prime} \nu \theta \alpha^{\prime} \pi \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$.









TP. $\mu \dot{\eta}$, $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \gamma \epsilon v \epsilon i o v, ~ к \rho v ́ \pi \tau \epsilon ~ \sigma v ́ v \delta o v \lambda o \nu ~ \sigma \epsilon ́ \theta \epsilon v . ~$
$\sigma \iota \gamma \grave{\eta} \nu \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho, \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \chi \rho \grave{\eta}, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma о \mu \alpha \iota \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \iota$.
ПА. ${ }^{\prime} \kappa о v \sigma a ́ ~ \tau o v ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma о \nu \tau о \varsigma, ~ o v ~ \delta о к \omega ิ \nu ~ к \lambda v ́ \epsilon \iota \nu, ~$ $\pi \epsilon \sigma \sigma o \grave{s} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu,{ }_{\epsilon} \epsilon \theta \theta \alpha$ ठخ̀ $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha i ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \iota$


छ̀̀v $\mu \eta \tau \rho \grave{~} \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda o i \quad \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta \epsilon$ коípavos $\chi$ Өovòs




ПА. $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \alpha ̀ ~ \kappa \alpha \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda \epsilon i ́ \pi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ к \eta \delta \epsilon \nu \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$,

TP. a’ $\pi \omega \lambda о ́ \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta^{\prime}{ }^{a} \rho^{\prime}, ~ \epsilon i, ~ к а к о ̀ \nu ~ \pi \rho о \sigma о і ́ \sigma о \mu \in \nu ~$


















## MHAEIA.

${ }^{i \omega}$,



кıлє краді́av, кıvєî ठє̀ дódov.
 100
 $\mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \in \grave{\epsilon} \lambda \theta \eta \tau^{\prime}, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda a ̀ \quad \phi u \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta^{\prime}$
 фрєиòs avi $\theta$ áoovs.



$\mu \epsilon і$ 'Sovı $\theta v \mu \hat{\varphi} \cdot \tau i \quad \pi о \tau^{\prime}$ єं $\rho \gamma \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$

$\psi v \chi \grave{\eta}$ ठ $\eta \chi \theta \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \alpha$ какоїбıv;
IIO
MH. aiââ,

$\vec{\alpha} \xi \imath \imath^{\prime}$ ódv $\rho \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \cdot{ }^{\cdot} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \rho \alpha \tau о \iota$


TP. i $\omega \dot{\omega} \mu o i ́ \mu o c$ $i \omega$ $\tau \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \mu \omega \nu$.


 $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{a} \tau v \rho \alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu \nu \omega \nu$ д ${ }^{\prime} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$, каí $\pi \omega s$
 120
$\chi^{\alpha \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega} \omega$ s ó $\rho \alpha$ às $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda o v \sigma \iota \nu$.

 ỏ $\chi v \rho \bar{\omega} s$ є $\iota \eta$ к $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \eta \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$.
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \mu \epsilon \tau \rho i ́ \omega \nu \quad \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ єỉสєîv
тоv้vоца vєк $\hat{a}, \chi \rho \eta \hat{\sigma} \theta \alpha i ́ \tau \epsilon \mu \alpha к \rho \hat{Q}$





## XOPOS.


тâs $\delta v \sigma \tau \alpha ́ v o v ~ K o \lambda \chi i ́ \delta o s \cdot ~ o u ̉ \delta ́ \epsilon ~ \pi \omega ~$
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MH. aiâ,


$\phi \epsilon \hat{v} \phi \epsilon \hat{v} \cdot \theta a v a ́ \tau \underset{\iota}{\omega} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda v \sigma \alpha i ́ \mu \alpha \nu$


ia $\alpha$ àv oíav á $\delta v ́ \sigma \tau \alpha v o s$
$\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \pi \epsilon \iota \nu v ́ \mu \phi a ;$
тís $\sigma o i ́ ~ \pi о т \epsilon ~ \tau \hat{\alpha} s ~ \alpha ̇ \pi \lambda a ́ т o v ~ 150$

$\sigma \pi \epsilon v ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota$ Өaváтov тє $\bar{\epsilon} \tau \tau \alpha ́$ -
$\mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \nu \tau о ́ \delta \epsilon \in\langle i ́ \sigma \sigma o v$.
єi סè $\sigma o ̀ s ~ \pi o ́ \sigma \iota s$
$\kappa \alpha \iota v \grave{\alpha} \lambda \epsilon ́ \chi \eta \quad \sigma \epsilon \beta i \zeta \epsilon \iota$,
кєívч то́dє $\mu \eta$ خ $\chi \alpha a ́ \sigma \sigma o v$.
Zєús $\sigma о \iota ~ \tau a ́ \delta \epsilon ~ \sigma v v \delta \iota \kappa \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota$.
$\mu \grave{\lambda} \lambda_{i ́ \alpha \nu}$
тákov סчронє́va бòv єv̉váтаv.
МН. $\hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda a$ Өє́ $\mu \iota$ ка̀̀ по́бıs, ${ }_{\alpha} \rho \tau \iota \mu \epsilon \quad 160$

є́ $v \delta \eta \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ v \alpha$ тòv катápaтоv

av่тоîs $\mu \epsilon \lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime} \theta \rho o \iota s \delta_{\iota} \alpha \kappa \nu \alpha \iota o \mu$ '́vovs,

$\hat{\omega}^{\beta} \pi \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \rho, \hat{\omega} \pi o ́ \lambda \iota s, \hat{\omega} \nu \hat{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \theta \eta \nu$
$\alpha i \not \sigma \chi \rho \hat{\omega} s, ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \epsilon ́ \mu o ̀ \nu ~ к \tau \epsilon i ́ v \alpha \sigma \alpha ~ к а ́ \sigma \iota \nu . ~$


$\theta \nu \eta \tau o i ̂ s ~ \tau \alpha \mu i ́ a s ~ v \in \nu o ́ \mu \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \iota ;$


XO．$\pi \omega \hat{\omega} \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu$ Є’s oै $\psi \iota \nu$ т $\grave{\alpha} \nu \quad$ á $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \rho \alpha \nu$



$\kappa \alpha i \lambda \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha \quad \phi \rho \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \theta \epsilon i ́ \eta$ ．
$\mu \eta ́ т о \iota ~ т о ́ ~ \gamma ’ ~ є ’ \mu o ̀ \nu ~ \pi \rho o ́ \theta \nu \mu о \nu$
фí入oıбıv ${ }_{\alpha} \pi \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \omega$ ．
${ }_{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha^{`} \beta \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha^{\prime} \nu \nu \nu$


$\sigma \pi \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma o \nu$ ठ́ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \pi \rho i ̀ \nu \kappa к \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha \iota$－
тò̀s ${ }^{\text {Eै }} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \omega^{\text {．}}$

TP．$\delta \rho a ́ \sigma \omega ~ \tau \alpha ́ \delta^{\prime} \cdot \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \grave{\rho}$ фóßos єỉ $\pi \epsilon i ́ \sigma \omega$
$\delta_{\epsilon} \sigma \pi \pi о \iota \nu а \nu$ є́ $\mu \dot{\eta} v$.

каíтоє тока́óos $\delta є ́ \rho \gamma \mu \alpha$ дєаívךs

$\mu \hat{\imath} \theta o \nu \pi \rho \circ \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu \pi \epsilon ́ \lambda \alpha s$ о́ $\rho \mu \eta \theta \hat{\eta}$ ．






グрєто $\mu о v \sigma \eta$ каі $\pi о \lambda v \chi о ́ \rho \delta о \iota s$

סeıvaí $\tau \epsilon \tau v ́ \chi \alpha \iota \sigma \phi a ́ \lambda \lambda o v \sigma \iota$ סó $\mu o v s$.

$\mu 0 \lambda \pi a i ̂ \sigma \iota$ ß 200 סаîtєऽ，тí $\mu \alpha ́ \tau \eta v ~ \tau \epsilon i ́ v o v \sigma \iota ~ \beta о \eta ́ v ; ~$


XO. iaxàv ăiov modúvtovov रówv,




'E入入áơ' ès àvтímopov
210
$\delta \imath{ }^{\prime}$ ä $\lambda a$ vúरıov ${ }^{\epsilon} \phi^{\prime} \dot{a} \lambda \mu \nu \rho a ̀ \nu$


 $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu o v ̀ s ~ \gamma \epsilon \gamma \omega ิ \tau \alpha \varsigma$, тov̀s $\mu \in \grave{\nu}$ oे $\mu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \pi о$,















 $\pi о ́ \sigma \iota \nu \pi \rho i ́ a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi о ́ т \eta \nu ~ \tau \epsilon \sigma \omega ́ \mu а т о s$







 $\pi o ́ \sigma \iota s ~ \xi v v o \iota \kappa \hat{\eta}, \mu \eta ̀$ ßía фє́ $\rho \omega \nu$ そ̧vòv,






$\zeta \omega \bar{\omega} \epsilon \nu$ кат’ оїкоvs, oi $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu \alpha ́ \rho \nu \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \iota ~ \delta о \rho i$, $\kappa \alpha \kappa \omega ̂ s ~ \phi \rho о \nu о \hat{v} \tau \epsilon \varsigma^{*} \omega^{\circ} \tau \rho i s{ }_{\alpha} \nu \tau \pi \rho^{\prime} \alpha \sigma \pi i \delta \alpha \quad 250$






 $\mu \epsilon \theta о \rho \mu i \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta^{\prime} \epsilon^{\epsilon} \chi о v \sigma \alpha \quad \sigma v \mu \phi \circ \rho \hat{\alpha}$.
тобоиิтоv ov̉v $\sigma o v \tau v \chi \alpha \dot{\nu} \epsilon \iota v$ 入خ́ $\sigma о \mu \alpha \iota$,



$\sigma \iota \gamma \hat{\alpha \nu} \nu \quad \gamma v \vee \grave{\eta} \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \tau \alpha \not \partial \lambda \alpha$ $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ фóßov $\pi \lambda \epsilon ́ \alpha$,


ои̉к ${ }^{\prime \prime} \sigma \tau \iota \nu \stackrel{a}{ }{ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \eta$ ф $\rho \eta ̀ \nu \mu \iota \alpha \iota \phi о \nu \omega \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha$.





## KPE $\Omega$ N.

$\sigma \grave{\epsilon} \tau \eta ̀ \nu \quad \sigma \kappa v \theta \rho \omega \pi \grave{̀} \nu$ каì $\pi o ́ \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \quad \theta \nu \mu о \nu \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta v$,















 тòv סóvта каì $\gamma \eta{ }^{\prime} \mu а \nu \tau а$ каі̀ $\gamma а \mu о \nu \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta \nu$

 $\ddot{\eta} \mu a \lambda \theta a \kappa \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon ́ \nu \theta^{\prime}$ v̈ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \mu \epsilon \tau a \sigma \tau \epsilon \in \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$.
MH. $\phi \epsilon \hat{v} \phi \in \hat{v}$.





$\phi \theta o ́ v o v \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a j \sigma \tau \omega \nu \quad \alpha \lambda \phi \alpha ́ \nu o v \sigma \iota ~ \delta v \sigma \mu \in v \hat{\eta}$.
$\sigma \kappa \alpha \iota \circ \imath \imath \sigma \iota \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ каıvà $\pi \rho о \sigma \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu ~ \sigma о ф a ̀$






 $\sigma \grave{v} \delta^{\prime} \alpha \hat{v} \phi o \beta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \mu \epsilon \mu \eta^{\prime} \tau \iota \pi \lambda \eta \mu \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ̀ s \pi \alpha ́ \theta \eta s$.





 $\nu v \mu \phi \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \tau^{\prime}, \epsilon \dot{\delta} \pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma о \iota \tau \epsilon \cdot \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu \delta \epsilon$ ठ̀ $\chi$ Өóva
 $\sigma \iota \gamma \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$, крєєббóv$\omega \nu \nu \iota \kappa \omega ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \iota$.315
 ó $\rho \rho \omega \delta i ́ a ~ \mu о \iota ~ \mu \eta ́ ~ \tau \iota ~ \beta о v \lambda \epsilon u ́ n s ~ к а к о ̀ \nu, ~$ $\tau о \sigma \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon \delta^{\prime} \hat{\eta} \sigma \sigma o \nu \ddot{\eta} \pi \alpha ́ \rho o s \pi \epsilon ́ \pi o \iota \theta \alpha ́ \quad \sigma o l \cdot$

ค̣á $\omega \nu$ фv入á $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ ทै $\sigma \iota \omega \pi \eta \lambda$ òs $\sigma o \not o o ́ s$.



MH. $\mu \dot{\eta}$, $\pi \rho o ́ s ~ \sigma \epsilon ~ \gamma o v a ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ v \epsilon о \gamma a ́ \mu о v ~ к o ́ \rho \eta s . ~$







MH. Z $\epsilon \hat{v}, \mu \dot{\eta} \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \theta o \iota \sigma \epsilon \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \delta \delta^{\prime}$ ös $\alpha і ̈ \tau \iota o s ~ к \alpha \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$.
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MH. $\phi \epsilon v \xi ์ o u ́ \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime} \cdot$ oủ $\tau о \hat{v} \theta^{\prime}$ iккє́ $\tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha$ $\sigma o \hat{v} \tau v \chi \epsilon \hat{v}$.



 оv่סย้̀ $\pi \rho о \tau \iota \mu \hat{\alpha} \mu \eta \chi^{\alpha} \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \tau \epsilon ́ \kappa \nu о \iota s$.

345
 кєívovs $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \lambda \alpha i ́ \omega$ छ̀v $\mu \phi о \rho \underset{\imath}{\hat{c}} \kappa є \chi \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s$.



 $\epsilon \ddot{\iota} \sigma^{\prime} \eta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \pi \iota o v=\sigma \alpha$ $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \grave{\alpha} s{ }^{\circ} \psi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \quad \theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$
$\kappa \alpha \grave{~ \pi} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \hat{\delta} \delta \alpha \varsigma ~ \grave{\epsilon} \nu \tau o ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta \epsilon \quad \tau \epsilon \rho \mu o ́ \nu \omega \nu \quad \chi$ $\theta o \nu o ̀ s, ~$



XO. $\delta \dot{v} \sigma \tau \alpha \nu \epsilon \quad \gamma v ́ v a \ell$, $\phi \epsilon \hat{v} \phi \epsilon \hat{\nu}, \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon^{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha^{\alpha} \chi \epsilon \omega \nu$. $\pi 0 \hat{\imath} \pi о \tau \epsilon \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \psi \epsilon \iota$; тiva $\pi \rho \circ \xi \in \in \nu i ́ \alpha \nu$,

 $\mathrm{M} \gamma \dot{\gamma} \delta \epsilon \iota \alpha, \kappa \alpha \kappa \omega \hat{\nu}$ є่ $\pi о ́ \rho \epsilon \cup \sigma \epsilon$.



 ठокєis $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\alpha} \nu \mu \epsilon \tau o ́ v \delta \epsilon \theta \omega \pi \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \alpha i ́ \quad \pi о \tau \epsilon$, $\epsilon i \mu \eta$ خ $\tau \iota$ кєр $\delta \alpha i ́ v o v \sigma \alpha \nu \ddot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \chi \nu \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu$;












סó $\mu$ ovs ím $\epsilon \rho \beta$ aívovба каì $\tau \epsilon \chi \nu \omega \mu \epsilon \prime v \eta$,
$\theta \alpha \nu 0 \hat{v} \sigma \alpha$ $\theta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$ тoîs $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \mu o i ̂ s ~ \epsilon ่ \chi \theta \rho o i ̂ s ~ \gamma \epsilon ́ \lambda \omega \nu . ~$
$\kappa \rho \alpha^{\prime} \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ тウ̀v $\epsilon \dot{\jmath} \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \alpha \nu$, रें $\pi \epsilon \phi \dot{v} к \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$
бофоì $\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha, ~ ф а \rho \mu а ́ к о \iota s ~ a v ̇ \tau o v ̀ s ~ € ̀ \lambda \epsilon i v . ~$
єiev.
каì $\delta \grave{\eta} \tau \epsilon \theta \nu \hat{a} \sigma \iota \cdot \tau i s \quad \mu \epsilon \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \xi_{\epsilon} \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \pi o ́ \lambda \iota s$;




סód $\omega$ нє́ $\tau \epsilon \iota \mu \iota \tau о ́ \nu \delta \epsilon$ каì $\sigma \iota \gamma \hat{\eta}$ фóvov,
 $\alpha v ̇ \tau \eta ̀ ~ \xi i ́ \phi o s ~ \lambda a \beta o v ̂ \sigma \alpha, ~ к \epsilon i ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \omega ~ \theta a \nu \epsilon i v$, $\kappa \tau \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega} \sigma \phi \epsilon, \tau o ́ \lambda \mu \eta s \delta^{3} \epsilon i \mu \iota \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ к а \rho \tau \epsilon \rho o ́ v . ~$
 395 $\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ каi $\xi \nu \nu \epsilon \rho \gamma o ̀ v$ єi入ó $\mu \eta \nu$,




 $\mathrm{M} \eta^{\prime} \delta \epsilon \iota \alpha, \beta$ оидє́vov $\sigma \alpha$ каì $\tau \epsilon \chi \nu \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$.

 тoîs $\Sigma_{\iota \sigma v \phi \epsilon i o \iota s ~ \tau o i ̂ \sigma \delta ' ~ ' I a ́ \sigma o v o s ~ \gamma a ́ \mu o ı s, ~}^{\text {, }}$ 405
$\gamma \epsilon \gamma \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \lambda o \hat{v} \pi \alpha \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ ‘ H \lambda i ́ o v ~ \tau ’ ~ a ̈ \pi o . ~$

 $\kappa \alpha \kappa \omega े \nu ~ \delta \grave{~} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ тє́кторєs бофஸ́татац.

- XO. ${ }_{\alpha} \nu \omega$ тот $\alpha \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \quad i \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \chi \omega \rho о \hat{v} \sigma \iota \pi \alpha \gamma \alpha \grave{\imath}, \quad \sigma \tau \rho . \alpha^{\prime}$. каі ठі́ка каі та́vта $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \phi є \tau \alpha \iota . ~$


 ${ }^{\prime} \rho \chi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \quad \tau \iota \mu \alpha{ }^{\prime} \gamma \nu \nu \alpha \iota \kappa \epsilon i ́ \omega \quad \gamma^{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \iota$.


$\tau \grave{\alpha} \nu$ द́ $\mu \grave{\alpha} \nu \quad \dot{v} \mu \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \iota$ ả $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \sigma \sigma$ v́vav.



 $\pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \quad \alpha \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha \nu$ à $\delta \rho \omega \bar{\nu} \tau \epsilon \mu 0 \hat{\rho} \rho \alpha \nu$ єimєîv.



vaíєıs $\chi$ Өovi，$\tau \hat{\alpha} \alpha^{\alpha} v a ́ v \delta \rho o v$
коíтаs ỏ入є́ $\sigma \alpha \sigma \alpha$ 入є́кт $\rho о \nu$ ，
435
$\tau \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \iota \nu \alpha, \phi v \gamma \dot{\alpha} \delta_{\epsilon} \chi^{\omega} \rho \alpha s$
äтццоs є̇ $\lambda \alpha$ и́vєє．


$\sigma o \grave{\delta} \delta^{\prime}$ ойтє $\pi а т \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta o ́ \mu о \iota, ~$
440
$\delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \alpha \nu \epsilon, \mu \epsilon \theta \circ \rho \mu i \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota$
$\mu o ́ \chi \theta \omega \nu \pi \alpha ́ \rho \alpha, \sigma \hat{\omega \nu} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \tau \rho \omega \nu$
ä $\lambda \lambda \alpha \beta \alpha \sigma i ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \alpha$ к $\rho \epsilon i \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$
סó $о \circ \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ є̇ $\pi \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \alpha$ ．
445


## $I A \Sigma \Omega N$ ．

ở v仑̂v катєîठоv $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau о \nu, ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ к \iota s$


 $\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu \mu a \tau \alpha i ́ \omega \nu$ ov̋vєк’ є่ктєбєî $\chi$ Өovós．
$\kappa \alpha ’ \mu o i ̀ ~ \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ ov́d̀̀̀v $\pi \rho \hat{\alpha} \gamma \mu a \cdot \mu \grave{\eta} \pi \alpha v ́ \sigma \eta$ $\pi o \tau \grave{\epsilon}$




 $\sigma \grave{v} \delta^{\prime}$ ov̉к a’víєıs $\mu \omega \rho i ́ a s, ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o v \sigma^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime} \epsilon i$ $\kappa к \kappa \omega ̂ s ~ \tau v \rho a ́ v v o v s \cdot ~ \tau о \iota \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \epsilon ̇ к \pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \hat{\imath} \chi$ Әovós．


 $\kappa \alpha \kappa \grave{\alpha}$ छ̀v̀v $\alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \hat{\eta}$. каi $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ єì бv́ $\mu \epsilon \sigma \tau v \gamma \epsilon i s$,


$[\gamma \lambda \omega ́ \sigma \sigma \eta ~ \mu ' є ́ \gamma ı \sigma \tau о \nu ~ \epsilon ' i s ~ a ̀ v a \nu \delta \rho i ́ a \nu ~ к а к o ̀ \nu] ~] ~$


ov゙тoı $\theta \rho a ́ \sigma o s ~ \tau o ́ \delta ’ ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau i ̀ \nu ~ o v ं \delta ’ ’ ~ \epsilon ป ่ \tau o \lambda \mu i ́ a, ~$










ठра́когта́ $\theta^{\prime}$, òs $\pi \alpha^{\prime} \gamma \chi \rho v \sigma о \nu$ à $\mu \pi \epsilon ́ \chi \omega \nu$ бє́ $\rho о s \quad 480$



$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ П $\eta \lambda \iota \omega \tau \iota \nu$ єis ' $\mathrm{I} \omega \lambda_{\kappa}$ òv iко́ $\mu \eta \nu$





 490



 є̇ $\pi \epsilon \grave{\iota}$ ǵvo




 ${ }^{\circ} \mu \omega \varsigma \delta^{\prime} \cdot{ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \rho \omega \tau \eta \theta \epsilon i s \gamma^{\grave{\alpha} \rho} \alpha i \sigma \chi^{i} \omega \nu \quad \phi \alpha \nu \epsilon \hat{\epsilon}$. $\nu v ̂ \nu \pi о \hat{\imath} \tau \rho \alpha ́ \pi \omega \mu \alpha \iota$ ；$\pi о ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha$ т $о$ òs $\pi \alpha \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta o ́ \mu o v s, ~$ ov̂s $\sigma$ о̀̀ $\pi \rho o \delta o v ̂ \sigma \alpha$ каі̀ $\pi \alpha \dot{\tau} \rho \alpha \nu$ ảфıко́ $\mu \eta \nu$ ；
$\ddot{\eta} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \alpha \lambda a i v a s ~ \Pi \epsilon \lambda \iota a ́ \delta \alpha s ; ~ к а \lambda \omega ิ s ~ \gamma ’$ à $\nu$ ồv



 тоьүа́р $\mu \epsilon \pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha i ̂ s ~ \mu а к \alpha \rho i \alpha \nu ~ ' E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu i ́ \delta \omega \nu ~$






 $\tau \epsilon \kappa \mu \dot{\eta} \rho \iota \iota^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \pi о \iota \sigma \iota \nu \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha s \quad \sigma a \phi \hat{\eta}$ ，


 ö $\tau \alpha \nu$ фí入o七 фí入oıбı $\sigma v \mu \beta \alpha{ }^{\prime} \lambda \omega \sigma^{\prime}{ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \rho \iota \nu$ ．
IA．$\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \mu$ ’，ws єैоькє，$\mu \grave{\eta}$ како̀̀ фи̂vaı $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，

 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \sigma \grave{\eta} \nu \sigma \tau o ́ \mu \alpha \rho \gamma o v, \stackrel{\oplus}{\omega} \gamma^{\prime} v \alpha \iota, \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \alpha \lambda \gamma i ́ a v$.






















 є̈ $\pi \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha \sigma \omega ́ \phi \rho \omega \nu$, єíта $\sigma o i ̀ \mu ' ́ \gamma \alpha a s$ фídos

є่ $\pi \epsilon \epsilon \grave{\imath} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \eta \nu \quad \delta \epsilon \hat{v} \rho$ ' 'I $\omega \lambda \kappa i a s ~ \chi$ Өovòs






 $\alpha \lambda^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime} \omega_{s}$, тò $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \mu_{\epsilon} \gamma \iota \sigma \tau о \nu$, оікоі̂ $\mu \epsilon \nu$ ка入 $\hat{\omega}$, $\kappa \alpha i ̀ \mu \grave{\eta} \sigma \pi \alpha \nu \iota \zeta о i ́ \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a, \gamma \iota \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega \nu$ öтє 560



 $\epsilon \dot{\delta} \delta \alpha \mu \mu о \nu \hat{\imath} \mu \epsilon \nu$. $\quad \sigma o i ́ \quad \tau \epsilon \gamma \hat{a} \rho \pi \alpha i ́ \delta \omega \nu \tau i ́ \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$;

 ov̉ $\delta^{’}$ àv $\sigma \grave{v}$ фаíns, єï $\sigma \epsilon \mu \grave{\eta}$ кvíלoı $\lambda \epsilon ́ \chi o s$.


 $\tau \alpha ̀ \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \tau \alpha$ каі ка́̀ $\lambda \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \iota \omega ́ \tau \alpha \tau \alpha$
 $\pi a i ̂ \delta a s ~ \tau \epsilon \kappa \nu о \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta a \iota, \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda v \delta^{\prime}$ ойк єîlaц $\gamma$ '́vos.




MH. $\hat{\eta} \pi о \lambda \lambda \propto$ ̀ $\pi о \lambda \lambda o i ̂ s ~ \epsilon i \mu \iota ~ \delta \iota \alpha ́ \phi о \rho o s ~ \beta \rho о т \hat{\nu} \nu$.
 $\pi \epsilon ́ \phi \nu \kappa \epsilon, \pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \sigma \tau \eta \nu \quad \zeta \eta \mu i \alpha \nu$ ỏф $\lambda_{\iota} \sigma \kappa \alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu \epsilon \iota \cdot$ $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \eta \gamma^{\dot{\alpha} \rho} \alpha \hat{v}^{\chi} \hat{\omega}^{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha ٌ \delta \iota \kappa$ ’ $\epsilon \hat{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$,


 $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu \sigma^{\prime}, \epsilon і ̈ \pi \epsilon \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta \alpha \mu \dot{\eta}$ како̀s, $\pi \epsilon i \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha ́ \quad \mu \epsilon$



$\tau о \lambda \mu a ̂ ̣ s ~ \mu \epsilon \theta \epsilon i v a \iota ~ к а р \delta i ́ a s ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma а \nu ~ \chi o ́ \lambda о \nu . ~$



$\gamma \hat{\eta} \mu a i ́ \mu \epsilon$ 入є́ктра $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega v$, ä $\nu \hat{v} v \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \chi \omega$,











MH. $\tau i ́ \quad \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha, \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \alpha \mu о \hat{v} \sigma \alpha$ каへ̀ $\pi \rho \circ \delta о \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon$;
IA. ảpàs $\tau v \rho \alpha ́ v v o u s ~ a ̉ v o \sigma i ́ o v s ~ a ’ \rho \omega \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta . ~$

1A. $\omega$ s ov̉ крıvov̂ $\mu a \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon ́ ~ \sigma o \iota ~ \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon i ́ o v a . ~$

$\pi \rho о \sigma \omega \phi \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \quad \chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ दे $\mu \omega \bar{\nu} \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon i v$,





 какои $\gamma$ à $\rho$ ảv $\delta \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta \omega ि \rho ’$ oै $\nu \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ ov̉к ${ }^{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \iota$.

 боі̀ $\delta^{’}$ оv’к $\alpha^{\prime} \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma к \in \iota ~ \tau \alpha^{\prime} \gamma \alpha^{\prime} \theta^{\prime}, a^{\prime} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \alpha v^{3} \theta \alpha \delta i ́ a$










$\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \gamma o \iota \delta \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \sigma \omega ф \rho о \sigma v ́ v a, \quad \quad$ àv $\tau . a^{\prime}$ ． $\delta \omega ́ \rho \eta \mu \alpha \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda_{\iota} \sigma \tau о \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \omega ิ \nu$. 636


 $\beta$ i＇̧ov ${ }^{\prime}$
ỏ $\xi^{\prime} \phi \rho \omega \nu$ крívo九 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \chi \eta ~ \gamma \nu \nu а \iota \kappa \omega ิ \nu$.
$\dot{\omega} \pi a \tau \rho \grave{\mathrm{~s}}, \vec{\omega} \delta \omega^{\prime} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \mu \grave{\eta} \quad \sigma \tau \rho . \beta^{\prime}$.
$\delta \hat{\eta} \tau^{\prime}$ äтодıs $\gamma \in v o i \mu a \nu$

оіктро́татор а́ $\chi$ є́ $\omega \nu$ ．

 $\theta \epsilon \nu$ グ $\gamma \hat{\alpha} \mathrm{s} \pi \alpha \tau \rho i ́ a s ~ \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$.

652
 $\alpha^{\prime} \nu \tau . \beta^{\prime}$ ．
$\mu \hat{v} \theta o \nu{ }^{\epsilon} \chi \chi$ ф $\phi \rho \alpha{ }^{\omega} \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota$ ．
654
 $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu o ́ t a \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \theta \epsilon \in \omega \nu$ ．

$\mu \grave{\eta}$ фí ${ }^{2}$


## AIFEXE.

 $\kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \iota o \nu$ ov̉ $\delta \epsilon i s ~ o i ̂ \delta \epsilon \pi \rho o \sigma \phi \omega \nu \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ фídovs.

Ai $\gamma \epsilon \hat{v} . \quad \pi o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu \quad \gamma \hat{\eta} s \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta^{\prime}$ '̇ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \omega \phi \hat{a} \pi \epsilon \in \delta \nu$;












AI. $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa о \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \pi \rho o v ้ \chi o \nu \tau \alpha \mu \grave{\eta} \lambda \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \iota \pi o ́ \delta \alpha$.













AI. $\tau i ́ \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \mu a$ $\delta \rho a ́ \sigma \alpha s ; ~ \phi \rho a ́ \zeta \epsilon ~ \mu о \iota ~ \sigma a \phi ́ ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho о v . ~$

AI. $\mu \eta^{\prime} \pi o v$ тєтó $\lambda \mu \eta \kappa^{\prime}{ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \rho \gamma о \nu$ аı̈ $\sigma \chi \iota \sigma \tau o \nu \tau o ́ \delta \epsilon ;$









AI. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̂ ; ~ \tau o ́ \delta ’ ’ ~ a ै \lambda \lambda o v ~ к \alpha \iota \nu o ̀ v ~ a v ̂ ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ ~ \gamma \epsilon \iota s ~ к а к o ́ v . ~ 705 ~$



$\alpha ̉ \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ä $\nu \tau о \mu \alpha i ́ \quad \sigma \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta \epsilon \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \iota \alpha ́ \delta o s$ үоváт $\omega \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$, iкєєía $\tau \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu о \mu \alpha \iota$,
оїктєє $\rho о \nu$ оїктєєро́v $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta v \sigma \delta \alpha i ́ \mu о \nu \alpha$,

 oṽ $\tau \omega s{ }^{\epsilon} \rho \omega s$ бoì $\pi \rho o ̀ s$ $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \phi o ́ \rho o s$

 $\pi \alpha v ́ \sigma \omega ~ \delta \epsilon ́ ~ \sigma ’ ~ o ้ v \tau ’ ~ a ̈ \pi \alpha \iota \delta \alpha, ~ к \alpha i ~ \pi \alpha i ́ \delta \omega \nu ~ \gamma о \nu \alpha ̀ s ~$

AI. $\pi о \lambda \lambda \omega \hat{\omega}$ є̀ккать т $\eta \quad \nu \delta \epsilon \sigma o \iota ~ \delta o v ̂ \nu \alpha \iota ~ \chi \alpha ́ \rho \iota \nu$, $\gamma v ́ v a \iota, ~ \pi \rho o ́ \theta v \mu o ́ s ~ \epsilon i \mu \iota, ~ \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha ~ \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$,
є̈ $\pi \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha \pi \alpha i ́ \delta \omega \nu$ ©ंv $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota$ रovás.


 тобóvסє $\mu \epsilon ́ v \tau о \iota$ боє $\pi \rho о \sigma \eta \mu \alpha i ́ v \omega, \gamma^{v} v a \iota$.

 $\mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon i \hat{s} \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \sigma v \lambda o s, \kappa o v ้ \sigma \epsilon \mu \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon \theta \hat{\omega}$ тוv८.
$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta \epsilon \delta^{\prime} \alpha v ं \tau \grave{\eta} \gamma \hat{\eta} s \alpha^{\prime} \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma o v \pi o ́ \delta \alpha$. ảvaítıos $\gamma$ à к каi そ́ध́voıs єỉvaı $\theta$ é $\lambda \omega$.












 $\sigma \kappa \hat{\eta} \psi i ้ \nu \tau \iota \nu$ ' є่ $\chi$ Ө





$\mu \eta^{\prime} \tau^{\prime}, \stackrel{a}{a} \lambda \lambda o s \stackrel{\eta}{\eta} \nu \tau \iota s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \mu \omega \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \chi \theta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \quad 750$

AI. ö $\mu \nu v \mu \iota$ Гaías $\delta a ́ \pi \epsilon \delta o \nu ~ ' H \lambda i ́ o v ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \phi \omega ิ s ~$






XO. à $\lambda \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \sigma^{\prime}$ o Maías $\pi о \mu \pi \alpha \hat{\imath} o s{ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu \alpha \xi$


$\gamma^{\prime} \in \nu \nu a i ̂ o s ~ a ̉ \nu \grave{\jmath} \rho$,

MH. ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \mathrm{Z} \epsilon \hat{v}$ Díкך $\tau \epsilon \mathrm{Z} \eta \nu o ̀ s{ }^{\text {'H }} \mathrm{H}$ íov $\tau \epsilon \phi \hat{\omega} \mathrm{s}$,

$\gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a$, кєі's ó о̀̀v $\beta \epsilon \beta \eta^{\prime} \kappa \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu^{*}$


$\lambda_{\iota} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu \pi \epsilon \in \phi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ द́ $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ßov $\lambda \epsilon v \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$.



$\lambda \epsilon ́ \xi \omega \cdot \delta \epsilon ́ \chi o v$ б̀ $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \eta ं \delta o v \eta ̀ \nu ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v s . ~$ $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi \alpha \sigma$ ' $\epsilon \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \iota \nu$ ' оікєє $\hat{\omega} \nu$ 'Іа́ $\sigma о \nu \alpha$
775


 каi そúpфор’ єivaı каi ка入ิิs є̇ $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ v a$. $\pi a i ̂ \delta a s$ ס̀̀ $\mu \epsilon i ̂ v a \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ̀ s ~ \grave{\epsilon} \mu o v ̀ s ~ a i t \eta ́ \sigma o \mu \alpha \iota$,





$\lambda \epsilon \pi \tau о ́ v$ тє $\pi \epsilon ́ \pi \lambda о \nu$ каі $\pi \lambda$ о́коv $\chi \rho v \sigma \eta ́ \lambda a \tau о \nu$. $\kappa \alpha ̈ \nu \pi \epsilon \rho ~ \lambda \alpha \beta o v ̂ \sigma \alpha ~ к о ́ \sigma \mu о v ~ a ̉ \mu \phi \iota \theta \hat{l}$ रрої,
 тоьо̂ббє $\chi р і ́ \sigma \omega ~ ф а р \mu а ́ к о ь s ~ \delta \omega р \eta ́ \mu а т а . ~$

є́vтаûӨa $\mu \in ́ v \tau o \iota ~ \tau o ́ v \delta ’ ~ a ̀ \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \omega ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v . ~ 790 ~$
 $\tau о \dot{v} \nu \tau \epsilon \hat{v} \theta \epsilon \nu$ خ̊ $\mu \hat{\iota} \nu$ • тє́кขа $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ катактє $\nu \hat{\omega}$
 Ко́роv тє $\pi а ́ v \tau \alpha ~ \sigma v \gamma \chi є ́ a \sigma$ ' 'Іáбоvos






Sópovs $\pi a \tau \rho \nprec ́ o v s, ~ a ́ v \delta \rho o ̀ s ~ " E \lambda \lambda \eta v o s ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o ı s ~$

 $\zeta \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \lambda o \iota \pi o ̀ \nu ~ o v ̋ \tau \epsilon ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ v \epsilon o \zeta v ́ \gamma o v$

 $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon i ́ s ~ \mu \epsilon ~ ф \alpha u ́ \lambda \eta \nu ~ к \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta} \nu о \mu \iota \zeta$ '́ть

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \tau о \iota o v ́ \tau \omega \nu$ є $\boldsymbol{v} \kappa \lambda \epsilon \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \tau o s \beta i ́ o s$.

 $\xi v \lambda \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha^{\prime} \nu o v \sigma \alpha, \delta \rho \hat{\alpha} \nu \sigma^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime} \pi \epsilon \nu \nu \epsilon \in \pi \omega \tau \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon$.
MH. ov̉к ${ }^{\prime}$ єт $\tau \iota \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega s^{*}$ бò̀ $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \sigma v \gamma \gamma \nu \omega \prime \mu \eta \lambda \epsilon \prime \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$



XO. $\sigma \grave{v} \delta^{’} \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \nu \gamma^{\prime}$ voıó $\gamma^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime} \theta \lambda \iota \omega \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta ~ \gamma v v \eta$.


${ }^{\epsilon} \varsigma \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \delta \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma o \grave{\imath} \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \chi \rho \omega \prime \mu \epsilon \theta$.


 $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu о \tau \alpha ́ \tau \alpha \nu$ бофíav, ailєi $\delta i a ̀ ~ \lambda а \mu \pi \rho о т а ́ т о v ~$
 є̇vvє́a Пıєрídas Mov́баs 入є́ $\gamma о v \sigma \iota$ $\xi^{\xi} \alpha \nu \theta \alpha{ }^{\nu}{ }^{\text {'A }} \mathrm{A} \rho \mu о \nu^{\prime} \alpha \nu \quad \phi \nu \tau \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \iota-$
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$\dagger \pi \omega \hat{s}$ ov̉v $i \in \rho \omega \hat{\nu} \pi о \tau \alpha \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$
$\sigma \tau \rho . \beta^{\prime}$.

по́ $\mu \pi \iota \mu o ́ s ~ \sigma \epsilon \chi{ }^{\omega} \rho \alpha$
$\tau \grave{\alpha} \nu \pi \alpha \iota \delta о \lambda \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \iota \rho \alpha \nu \ddot{\epsilon} \xi \epsilon \iota$,

$\sigma \kappa \epsilon ́ \psi \alpha \iota ~ \tau \epsilon \kappa \epsilon ́ \omega \nu \quad \pi \lambda a \gamma \grave{\alpha} \nu$,
$\sigma \kappa \epsilon ́ \psi \alpha \iota ~ \phi o ́ v o \nu ~ o i ̂ o v ~ a i ̂ p \epsilon \iota . ~$
$\mu \grave{\eta}, \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \gamma o v \alpha ́ \tau \tau \nu \quad \sigma \epsilon \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \varsigma$
$\pi \alpha^{\prime} \nu \tau \eta \theta^{\prime}$ ікєтє́vo $\mu \in \nu$,
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$\pi o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu \quad \theta \rho \alpha ́ \sigma o s ~ \hat{\eta} \phi \rho \epsilon \nu o ̀ s ~ \hat{\eta}$
$\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\prime} \nu \tau . \beta^{\prime}$.
$\chi \epsilon \rho \grave{\varrho} \dagger \tau \epsilon \in \kappa \nu \omega \nu \dagger \sigma \epsilon \in \theta \epsilon \nu$
кароíav тє $\lambda \eta \dot{\psi} \psi є$
$\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \alpha ́ v$ т $\rho \circ \sigma \alpha \alpha^{\gamma} о v \sigma \alpha$ тó $\lambda \mu \alpha \nu$;
$\pi \hat{s} \delta^{\delta}$ ö $\boldsymbol{\rho} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \rho о \sigma \beta a \lambda o v ̂ \sigma \alpha$
тє́күоья аैठак $\rho \nu \nu$ ноі̂рад
$\sigma \chi \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ фóvov; oú $\delta v v a ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota$, $\pi \alpha i ́ \delta \omega \nu$ iкє $\tau \hat{\alpha} \nu \pi \iota \tau \nu o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$, $\tau \epsilon \prime \gamma \xi \alpha \iota \chi^{\epsilon} \rho \alpha$ фоьvíav $\tau \lambda \alpha ́ \mu о \nu \iota \theta v \mu \hat{\omega}$. 865



MH. 'Iâ $\sigma o v$, aiтov̂ $\mu \alpha i ́ \quad \sigma \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ єip $\eta \mu^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$

 $\epsilon$ є $\gamma{ }^{\prime} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \mu a v \tau \hat{\eta} \delta_{\iota} \alpha^{\prime}$ 入ó $\gamma \omega \nu$ áфєко́ $\mu \eta \nu$, $\kappa \alpha ̉ \lambda о \iota \delta o ́ \rho \eta \sigma a \cdot \sigma \chi \epsilon \tau \lambda i ́ a, ~ \tau i ́ ~ \mu а i ́ v o \mu \alpha \iota$



 є́ $\mu$ оîs фvтєv́ $\omega v$; ov'к а’ $\pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \chi \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma о \mu \alpha \iota$ $\theta v \mu o \hat{v} — \tau i ́ \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \chi \omega ;-\theta \epsilon \bar{\omega} \nu \quad \pi о \rho \iota \zeta o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ка入 $\bar{\omega} s$;
 880


 $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ oûv $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \iota \nu \hat{\omega}, \sigma \omega \phi \rho о \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \tau \epsilon \in \mu \circ \iota$ סокєîs

$\hat{\eta} \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \alpha \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ßov $\lambda \epsilon \nu \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$





$\pi \alpha \rho \iota \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a$, каі́ фа $\mu \epsilon \nu$ какผ̂s фроvєîv



$\pi \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha \mu \epsilon \theta^{\top} \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \nu$, каi $\delta \iota a \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \chi \theta \eta \theta^{?}$ ä $\mu \alpha$

 $\lambda \alpha ́ \beta \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota \rho o ̀ s \quad \delta \epsilon \xi \iota \alpha$ s. ӧцоь как $\omega \nu$.
 900

 шंs $\alpha \rho \tau i ́ \delta а к \rho v ́ s ~ \epsilon i \mu \iota ~ к а i ~ ф о ́ \beta o v ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon ́ \alpha . ~ . ~$
 oै $\psi \iota \nu \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota v a \nu \tau \eta \prime \nu \delta \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha$. $\delta \alpha \kappa \rho v v^{\prime} \omega \nu$.
 каì $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \rho о \beta \alpha i ́ \eta ~ \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \zeta о \nu ~ \hat{\eta}$ тò vv̂v како́v.
 єiкòs $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ o’ $\rho \gamma \grave{\alpha} s$ $\theta \hat{\eta} \lambda v$ то七єî $\theta a l ~ \gamma \epsilon ́ v o s, ~$












aṽтך, тí $\chi \lambda \omega \rho o i ̂ s ~ \delta а к р v ́ o \iota s ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \gamma \gamma \epsilon \iota s ~ к o ́ p a s, ~$ $\sigma \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \psi \alpha \sigma \alpha$ 入єvкŋ̀ข ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \mu \pi a \lambda \iota \nu \pi \alpha \rho \eta i ́ \delta a$,



## EYPIIIIAOX

















MH. $\sigma \grave{v} \delta^{\prime}$ à $\lambda \lambda \alpha{ }^{\alpha} \sigma \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon v \sigma o v ~ \alpha i \tau \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi \alpha ́ \rho o s-~$










 $\kappa є \kappa \tau \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta \tau \epsilon$ ко́ $\sigma \mu о \nu$ ö $\nu \pi о \theta^{\prime}{ }^{\text {" }} \mathrm{H} \lambda \iota o s$






$\delta ө \kappa \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \chi \rho v \sigma o \hat{v} ; \sigma \hat{\omega} \zeta \epsilon, \mu \eta\rangle^{\prime} \delta i \delta o v, \tau \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon$.







 $\pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \nu$ '́́av $\gamma v \nu \alpha i ̂ \kappa \alpha, \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi o ́ \tau \iota v ~ \delta ’ ~ \epsilon ́ \mu \eta \grave{\nu}$, 970
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$\nu \epsilon \rho \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o \iota s \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\eta} \delta \eta \pi$ та́ра $\nu v \mu ф о к о \mu \eta \sigma \sigma \iota \cdot$


ov̉ $\chi$ ข่ $\pi \epsilon \kappa \phi \epsilon \dot{v} \xi \in \tau \alpha \iota$.
$\sigma \tau \rho . \beta^{\prime} .989$
 $\pi a \iota \sigma i \nu$ ov̉ катєє $\delta \omega$ 's
ő $\lambda \epsilon \theta \rho o v$ ß $\theta$ а́vaто⿱.

$\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ v o \mu \alpha \iota$ ठє̀ $\sigma o ̀ \nu$ ä $\lambda \gamma o s, \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \tau \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \iota v \alpha \pi \alpha i ̂ \partial \omega \nu$
$\mu \hat{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \rho$, ă фоvєv́ $\sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma$
$\tau \epsilon \in \kappa \nu \alpha$ vv $\quad \phi \iota \delta \delta^{\prime} \omega \nu \quad \stackrel{7}{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \nu \quad \lambda \epsilon \chi \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$, ä $\sigma о \iota \quad \pi \rho о \lambda \iota \pi \omega \nu$ аㄹó $\mu \omega \mathrm{s}$

1000

 каi $\delta \hat{\omega} \rho \alpha$ vv́ $\mu \phi \eta$ ßабı入is $\alpha \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta ~ \chi є \rho о i ̂ v ~$

MH. ${ }^{\prime} \alpha$.
ПА. $\tau i ́ \sigma v \gamma \chi v \theta \epsilon i \sigma^{\prime}$ '̈ $\sigma \tau \eta \kappa \alpha s$ ท̂víк’ єv่ $\sigma v \chi \epsilon \hat{\imath} s, \quad 1005$
$\ll\left[\tau i \quad \sigma \grave{\eta} \nu{ }^{\prime} \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \psi \alpha s{ }^{\prime \prime} \mu \pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu \pi \alpha \rho \eta i ́ \delta \alpha\right]$

MH. aiaî.



MH. $\eta^{\eta} \gamma \gamma \epsilon \iota \lambda \alpha$ oỉ $\ddot{\eta}^{\eta} \gamma \gamma \epsilon \iota \lambda \alpha s^{\cdot}$ ov̉ $\sigma \grave{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon ́ \mu \phi о \mu \alpha \iota$.

 $\kappa \alpha ’ \gamma \omega ’$ как $\omega$ s ф $\rho о \nu о \hat{v} \sigma^{\prime}$ є’ $\mu \eta \chi \alpha \nu \eta \sigma a ́ \mu \eta \nu$.
ПА. $\theta \alpha ́ \rho \sigma \epsilon \iota$ к ка́тє८ то८ каі̀ $\sigma \grave{v} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \kappa \nu \omega \nu ~ \epsilon ै т \iota . ~ I O I ~ 5 ~$

 кои́ф $\omega$ я $\phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota v$ र $\rho \eta ̀ ~ \theta \nu \eta \tau o ̀ v ~ o ̀ v \tau \alpha ~ \sigma v \mu \phi о \rho \alpha ́ s . ~$







$\pi \rho \iota \imath$ ~лга каі $\gamma v \nu а і ̂ к а ~ к а і ~ \gamma а \mu \eta \lambda i ́ o v s ~$
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 $\kappa \alpha \grave{i} \kappa \alpha \tau \theta \alpha \nu o \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \nu \quad \chi \epsilon \rho \sigma i \nu \quad \epsilon \hat{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$, $\zeta \eta \lambda \omega \tau \grave{\partial} \nu \alpha^{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \pi о \iota \sigma \iota^{\cdot} \nu \hat{v} \nu \delta^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \lambda \omega \lambda \epsilon \delta \dot{\eta}$






 $\gamma v \nu a i ̂ \kappa \epsilon \varsigma$, ${ }^{\circ} \mu \mu \alpha$ фаı $\delta \rho o ̀ v$ ws єỉdov тє́кv $\omega v$.




 каíтоє тí $\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \chi \omega$; $\beta$ ои́ $о \mu \alpha \iota ~ \gamma \epsilon ́ \lambda \omega \tau$ ' o’ $\phi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ є́ $\chi$ Ө





$\hat{\alpha} \hat{\alpha}$.









 $\nu v ́ \mu \phi \eta \tau u ́ \rho \alpha v v o s ~ o ̋ \lambda \lambda v \tau \alpha \iota, ~ \sigma a ́ \phi ’$ oì’ द̀ $\gamma \omega$ ．

каì тои́бסє $\pi \epsilon \in \mu \psi \omega$ $\tau \lambda \eta \mu о \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha \nu$ 光 $\tau$ ，









каì $\mu a v \theta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} v \omega$ $\mu \grave{\epsilon} v$ oìa $\delta \rho \hat{\alpha} \nu \mu \epsilon ̂ \lambda \lambda \omega$ кака́．


XO．тод入а́кıs グठך





$\pi \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \alpha \iota \sigma \iota \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ ov̉• $\pi \alpha \hat{v} \rho o \nu \delta \grave{\epsilon}-\tau i ́ \mu \eta^{\prime} ;-$


$\kappa \alpha i ́ ~ ф \eta \mu \iota ~ \beta \rho о т \omega ิ \nu ~ o i ̈ \tau \iota \nu \epsilon ́ s ~ \epsilon i \sigma \iota \nu ~$
1090

$\pi \alpha i ̂ \delta \alpha \varsigma, \pi \rho о \phi \in ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ єis єủ $\tau v \chi i ́ a \nu$
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$.

 1095



$\gamma \lambda v к є \rho о ̀ v ~ \beta \lambda а ́ \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ ', †о́рй $\dagger \mu є \lambda \epsilon \prime \tau \eta$
$\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \rho v \chi о \mu \in ́ v o v s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ a ̈ \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha ~ \chi \rho o ́ v o \nu$
1100




$\mu о \chi \theta o \hat{v} \sigma \iota$, тó $\delta^{\prime}$ є่ $\sigma \tau i ̀ v ~ a ̈ \delta \eta \lambda o v . ~$
èv $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \lambda o i ́ \sigma \theta \iota o v ~ \eta ै \delta \eta ~$
$\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu$ катє $\rho \hat{\omega}$ Өขךтоїб८ како́v.
$\kappa \alpha i ̀ \delta \eta ̀ \gamma \grave{a} \rho{ }^{\alpha} \lambda \iota s$ ßíoтóv $\theta^{\prime} \eta \hat{i} \rho o v$,






$\pi \alpha i ́ \delta \omega \nu \stackrel{\succcurlyeq}{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \nu$
$\theta \nu \eta \tau \circ \stackrel{i \sigma \iota}{ } \theta \epsilon \circ$ ̀̀s $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu ;$
MH. фí入ає, $\pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \iota ~ \delta \grave{\eta} \pi \rho о \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ v o v \sigma \alpha ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau v ́ \chi \eta \nu$
$\kappa \alpha \rho \alpha \delta о к \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha{ }^{\prime} \kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath} \theta \epsilon \nu$ о $\hat{i} \pi \rho о \beta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$.
$\kappa \alpha i ̀ \delta \eta ̀ ~ \delta є ́ \delta о р к \alpha ~ \tau о ́ v \delta є ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'I $\alpha$ 'боvos



## АГГEAOL.


$\mathrm{M} \eta{ }^{\prime} \delta \epsilon \iota \alpha, \phi \epsilon \hat{v} \gamma \epsilon \phi \epsilon \hat{\gamma} \gamma \epsilon, \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon$ vaî̀ $\nu$
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 тє́ $\rho \psi \epsilon \iota \alpha s$ и̂ $\mu \hat{\alpha} s, \epsilon i \quad \tau \epsilon \theta \nu \hat{a} \sigma \iota \pi \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha ́ \kappa \omega s$.
 $\sigma \grave{v} \boldsymbol{\pi} \alpha \tau \rho \grave{\iota} \kappa \alpha \grave{\iota} \pi \alpha \rho \bar{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \quad \nu v \mu \phi \iota к о \grave{s}$ סó $\mu о v s$, $\ddot{\eta} \sigma \theta \eta \mu \in \nu$ оїтє $\rho$ боîs єєка́ $\mu \nu о \mu \epsilon \nu$ какоі̂s





 $\pi \rho \grave{\imath} \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \tau \epsilon ́ \kappa \nu \omega \nu \quad \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon i \sigma \iota \delta \epsilon i v \quad \xi v \nu \omega \rho i ́ \delta \alpha$, 1145
$\pi \rho o ́ \theta \nu \mu \rho \nu$ є $i \hat{\chi} \chi$ ' ó $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu o ̀ v ~ \epsilon i s ~ ' I a ́ \sigma o v a ' ~$
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 $\lambda \alpha \beta o \hat{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \epsilon^{\prime} \pi \lambda$ дovs $\pi о \iota \kappa$ ílovs $\eta^{\eta} \mu \pi i^{\prime} \sigma \chi \epsilon \tau о$,








 $\chi \omega \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \mu о v \sigma \alpha$ к $\omega \lambda \alpha$, каі̀ $\mu o ́ \lambda \iota s \phi \theta a ́ v є \iota$



 $\chi \omega \rho о \hat{v} \nu \tau \alpha$ 入єvкòv $\dot{a} \phi \rho \grave{o} \nu, \dot{o} \mu \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \tau^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha} \nu \omega$






 $\tau \alpha \chi \grave{v}$ 今 $\beta \alpha \delta \iota \sigma \tau \grave{\eta} s \tau \epsilon \rho \mu o ́ v \omega \nu$ àv ${ }_{\eta}^{\prime \prime} \pi \tau \epsilon \tau о$,
 $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu o ̀ \nu ~ \sigma \tau \epsilon \nu \alpha ́ \xi \alpha \sigma^{\prime} \eta^{\circ} \tau \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \iota \nu \nu^{\prime} \eta \gamma \epsilon i ́ \rho \epsilon \tau \sigma$.





 $\sigma \epsilon i o v \sigma \alpha \chi^{\alpha} i ́ \tau \eta \nu \kappa \rho \hat{\alpha} \tau \alpha ́ \tau^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda о \tau^{\prime}$ ä $\lambda \lambda о \sigma \epsilon$,


 $\pi i ́ \tau \nu \epsilon \iota \delta^{\prime}$ '่s ov̂ $\delta a s \xi_{\nu \mu \phi о \rho \hat{\imath}}^{\hat{a}} \nu \iota \kappa \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$,II 95


 є้ $\sigma \tau a \zeta \epsilon$ кратòs, $\sigma v \mu \pi \epsilon \phi v \rho \mu \epsilon ́ v o \nu \pi \nu \rho i ̀$,

 $\delta \epsilon \iota v o ̀ v ~ \theta \epsilon ́ a \mu \alpha \cdot \pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota \delta^{\prime}{ }_{\eta}^{\eta} v$ фóßos $\theta \iota \gamma \epsilon i v$

 $\ddot{\alpha} \phi \nu \omega \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \lambda \theta \omega \nu \nu \delta \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha \pi \rho о \sigma \pi i ́ \tau \nu \epsilon \iota \quad \nu \epsilon \kappa \rho \hat{\omega}$.


 тís тòv $\gamma$ '́ $\rho о \nu \tau \alpha ~ \tau u ́ \mu \beta о \nu ~ о ’ \rho ф а \nu o ̀ v ~ \sigma \epsilon ́ \theta \epsilon \nu ~$
 1210 є่ $\pi \epsilon \grave{\iota}$ ठ̀ $\theta \rho \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$ каі̀ $\gamma^{\prime} \omega \nu$ є̇ $\pi \alpha v ́ \sigma \alpha \tau о$,
 $\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon i \chi \subset \theta^{\prime}, \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \kappa \iota \sigma \sigma o ̀ s ~ \not ้ \rho \nu \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu \quad \delta \alpha ́ \phi \nu \eta s$,
 ó $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \gamma^{\grave{\alpha} \rho}{ }_{\eta}{ }^{\prime} \theta \epsilon \lambda^{\prime}$ द́ $\xi \alpha \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$ زóvv, 12I5
$\dot{\eta}^{\dot{j}} \delta^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime} \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \zeta v \tau^{\prime} \cdot \epsilon i \quad \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \rho o ̀ s \beta i ́ a \nu$ ă $\gamma o \iota$,


 кєîvтаı ठє̀ vєкро̀̀ $\pi \alpha i ̂ s ~ \tau \epsilon к \alpha \grave{\iota} \gamma \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu \pi \alpha \tau \grave{\eta} \rho \quad 1220$





סокоv̂vтаs єíva८ каі̀ $\mu \epsilon \rho \iota \mu \nu \eta \tau \alpha$ 's $\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu$
тov́тovs $\mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau \eta \nu$ ऍ $\eta \mu i ́ a \nu$ ò $\left.\phi \lambda_{\iota} \sigma \kappa \alpha ́ v \epsilon \iota \nu\right]$
$\theta \nu \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ ov̉ $\delta \epsilon i ́ s ~ \grave{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ єv̉סaí $\mu \omega \nu$ ảv${ }^{\prime} \rho$.










${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta$ фovєv$\sigma \alpha \iota ~ \delta v \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a \quad \chi \epsilon \rho i$.











 $\sigma \tau \rho$.



 1255
${ }_{\epsilon} \epsilon \beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau \epsilon \nu, \theta \epsilon o \hat{v} \delta^{\prime}$ aí $\mu \alpha \tau \iota \pi i \tau v \epsilon \iota v$
фо́ßos $\dot{v} \pi{ }^{\prime}$ à $\nu \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$.
 $\gamma \epsilon, \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \pi \alpha \alpha \sigma \sigma o v,{ }^{\prime} \epsilon \xi \in \lambda^{\prime}$ оїк $\omega \nu \tau \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \iota-$ $v \alpha \nu$ фоvíav $\tau$ ' 'E $\rho \iota v \grave{v} v$ ín' ${ }^{2} \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau o ́ \rho \omega \nu . \quad 1260$


кvav $\epsilon \hat{\alpha} \nu \quad \lambda_{l} \pi \sigma \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \Sigma_{v \mu \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \alpha ́ \delta \omega \nu}$
$\pi \epsilon \tau \rho \hat{\alpha} \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \xi \epsilon \epsilon \nu \omega \tau \alpha ́ \tau \alpha \nu \quad \grave{\epsilon} \sigma \beta o \lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu$. $\delta_{\epsilon} \iota \lambda a i ́ \alpha, \tau i ́$ бoı $\phi \rho \epsilon \nu \omega ิ \nu \beta a \rho v ̀ s$
дóдоs $\pi \rho о \sigma \pi i т \nu \epsilon \iota, ~ к а i ~ \delta v \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \eta ̀ s$
фóvos á $\mu \epsilon i \not \beta \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$;
$\chi^{\alpha \lambda \epsilon \pi \alpha}{ }^{\alpha} \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ ßротоîs ó $\mu \sigma \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta} \mu \iota \alpha^{-}$


ПА. ой $\mu о \iota, \tau i ́ \delta \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \omega$; ПА. то̂̂ фv́ $\sigma \omega \mu \tau \rho o ̀ s \chi^{\prime} \epsilon \rho \alpha$;


$\sigma \tau \rho$.




 $\hat{\omega} \nu$ Ё $\tau \in \kappa \in S$ 1280



 $\delta \alpha ́ \mu \alpha \rho \nu เ \nu$ є’ $\xi \in \pi \epsilon \epsilon \psi \epsilon \quad \delta \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ä $\lambda \eta$.] 1285
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$\epsilon i \quad \mu \eta ̀ ~ \tau v \rho \alpha ́ v \nu \omega \nu ~ \delta \omega ́ \mu а \sigma \iota \nu ~ \delta \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ \delta i ́ к \eta \nu$.







XO. ${ }^{\hat{\omega}} \tau \lambda \hat{\eta} \mu o \nu$, оv̉к oí $\sigma \theta^{\prime}$ oî как $\hat{\nu} \nu \vec{\epsilon} \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda v \theta \alpha \varsigma$,






XO. $\pi v ́ \lambda a s ~ \alpha ’ \nu o i ́ \xi a s ~ \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \nu \omega \nu$ oै $\psi \epsilon \iota$ фóvov.
IA. $\chi^{\alpha \lambda \hat{\alpha} \tau \epsilon} \kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \delta \alpha s$ ผंs $\tau \alpha ́ \chi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha, \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \pi о \lambda о \iota$,








IA. $\hat{\omega} \mu \hat{\iota} \sigma o s, \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \iota \sigma \tau o v ~ \epsilon ́ \chi \theta i ́ \sigma \tau \eta ~ \gamma u ́ v a \iota ~$



каi $\tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$ $\delta \rho \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \alpha \sigma^{\prime} \ddot{\eta} \lambda \iota o ́ \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \pi \rho о \sigma \beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota \varsigma$


 $133^{\circ}$
"Е $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ ' є́s оіког ${ }^{\prime} \gamma о ́ \mu \eta \nu$, како̀v $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \alpha$, $\pi а \tau \rho o ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а i ̀ ~ \gamma \hat{\eta} s \pi \rho о \delta o ́ \tau \iota \nu ~ \ddot{\eta} \sigma^{\prime}$ є’ $\theta \rho \epsilon ́ \psi \alpha \tau о-$
 $\kappa \tau \alpha \nu o v ̂ \sigma \alpha$ $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \delta \grave{\eta}$ бòv ка́бьv $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \iota o \nu$,

$\eta ้ \rho \xi \omega \quad \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \quad \tau 0 \iota \omega \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon, \nu v \mu \phi \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \alpha$ ठє
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 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ov̉ $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \stackrel{้}{\alpha} \nu \quad \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \rho i ́ o \iota s$ ỏv $v i ́ \delta \epsilon \sigma \iota$

ё $\rho \rho$＇，aí $\chi \rho о \pi о \iota \epsilon$ каi тє́ $\chi \nu \eta \nu$ ццаıфóvє．

 ov̉ $\pi \alpha i ̂ \delta \alpha s$ oưs ${ }^{\prime} \phi v \sigma \alpha \kappa \mathfrak{a} \xi \epsilon \theta \rho \epsilon \psi a ́ \mu \eta \nu$






ov̉ $\delta^{\prime} \dot{\eta}$ тúpavvos oủ $\delta^{\prime}$ ó $\sigma o \grave{~ \pi} \pi \rho o \theta \epsilon i s ~ \gamma a ́ \mu o v s$
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \alpha v ̂ \tau \alpha ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ a \iota v a \nu, ~ \epsilon i ~ \beta o v ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota, ~ к а ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota, ~$


IA．каv̉тウ́ $\gamma \epsilon \lambda \nu \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \kappa \alpha \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ коıvшvòs єi．

IA．今̂ тє́кva，$\mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \omega ं s ~ к а к \eta ̂ s ~ \grave{\epsilon} \kappa v ́ \rho \sigma \alpha \tau \epsilon . ~$



IA．入éXovs $\sigma \phi \epsilon \kappa \eta ’ \xi i \omega \sigma \alpha$ s oűvєка ктаvєîv；




MH．Ї $\sigma a \sigma \iota \nu$ ö $\sigma \tau \iota s \hat{\eta} \rho \xi \epsilon \pi \eta \mu о \nu \hat{\eta} s \quad \theta \epsilon o i ́$ ．
IA．ї $\sigma \alpha \sigma \iota ~ \delta \eta ̂ \tau \alpha ~ \sigma \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu \gamma^{\prime}$ ả $\pi o ́ \pi \tau v \sigma \tau o v ~ \phi \rho \epsilon ́ v a . ~$


I 375





 $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \grave{\eta} \nu$ є́ортŋ̀v каi $\tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta$ $\pi \rho о \sigma \alpha ́ \psi о \mu \epsilon \nu$






 фоvía $\tau \in \Delta$ вікך.
MH. $\tau$ is $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \lambda v v_{\epsilon} \iota$ oov $\theta \epsilon o ̀ s ~ \forall ̂ ~ \delta \alpha i ́ \mu \omega \nu$, то仑ै $\psi \epsilon v \delta о ́ \rho к о v ~ к а і ̀ ~ \xi є \iota v a \pi a ́ т о v ; ~$
IA. $\phi \epsilon \hat{v} \phi \epsilon \hat{v}, \mu \nu \sigma \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \pi \alpha ı \delta o \lambda \epsilon ́ \tau \omega \rho$.

IA. $\sigma \tau \epsilon і \chi \chi \omega, \delta \iota \sigma \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma^{\prime}$ ä $\mu о \rho о$ о $\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu \omega \nu$. r 395


IA. кӓ $\pi \epsilon \iota \tau^{\prime}{ }_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \kappa \tau \alpha s ; ~ M H . ~ \sigma \epsilon ́ ~ \gamma \epsilon \pi \eta \mu \alpha i ́ v o v \sigma^{\prime}$.
IA. aiaí фi入íov $\chi \rho \eta ̣ ̂ \zeta \omega ~ \sigma \tau o ́ \mu \alpha \tau o s$ $\pi \alpha i ́ \delta \omega \nu$ ó $\tau \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha s ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \pi \tau v ́ \xi \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$.
MH. $\nu \hat{v} v \quad \sigma \phi \epsilon \pi \rho o \sigma \alpha v \delta \hat{a} \varsigma, \nu \hat{v} \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \zeta \epsilon$, то́т $\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\prime} \pi \omega \sigma \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu 0$. IA. סós $\mu$ о८ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \theta \epsilon \omega ิ \nu$ $\mu \alpha \lambda \alpha \kappa о \hat{v} \chi \rho \omega \tau o ̀ s ~ \psi \alpha \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \kappa \nu \omega \nu$.



$\kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \pi \alpha \iota \delta о ф o ́ v o v ~ \tau \eta ̄ \sigma \delta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \alpha i v \eta s ;$
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 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \sigma o \hat{v} \phi \theta \iota \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s ~ \grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \delta \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$.






## NOTES.

TPÓON. See the Analysis.

1. On the allusions in the Prologue see the Introduction.
'Apyoûs okáфos, the ship Argo, genitive of definition, the English 'city of London'. Sıantá $\sigma \theta a l$, aor. of $\delta \iota a \pi \epsilon \tau о \mu a l$, governs the acc. $\Sigma \nu \mu \pi \lambda$.
2. $\Sigma \nu \mu \pi \lambda \eta \gamma$ ádas. Rocks at the entrance of the Euxine, which according to the legend were moveable and clashed together ( $\sigma v \mu \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ ) before the passage of the Argonauts, after which they became fixed. The reason of their being called blue is uncertain, perhaps from the mist of distance. Homer (Od.12.75) says of them $\nu \epsilon \phi \epsilon \bar{\lambda} \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \iota \nu \dot{a} \mu \phi \iota \beta \xi \beta \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu \kappa v a \nu \epsilon \eta$.
3. $\Pi \eta \lambda$ lov. Pelion, a mountain in Thessaly, where the Argo was built. As the cutting of the pines is earlier in time than the voyage of the ship the transition from the one to the other has the effect of a climax, nay, that the pine had ne'er been cut.
 The timber supplies the hands with the oars which are made of it.
4. $\dot{\alpha} v \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ ảpı $\sigma \tau \epsilon \in \omega$, chieftains ( $\dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon$ ús), a familiar description of the Argonauts. dipiot $\omega \nu$, bravest, miss.
5. $\Pi_{\mathrm{e} \lambda(\mathrm{a}}$, for (at the bidding of) Pelias.
6. $\pi$ úpyous $\gamma \hat{\eta} \mathbf{s}$ 'I $\omega \boldsymbol{\lambda} \kappa$ las, Iolkos' towered town. In the language of poetry $\gamma \hat{\eta}$ and $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota s$ are scarcely distinguishable.
7. $\mu \grave{̀} \nu$ answers to $\delta \grave{\varepsilon}$ in 16. Lines 14 and 15 form a parenthesis.
8. The dative 'Iárovt depends both upon $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \alpha^{\prime} \nu o v \sigma a$ and
 appeared to have no object. $\pi \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \tau \boldsymbol{\omega} \nu$, if the reading is correct, is for $\pi$ o $\lambda$ icals, being attracted into the case of the relative $\hat{\omega}$ (pleasing by her exile the citizens to whose land).
9. $\eta \pi \pi \epsilon \rho$. The antecetent is $\tau \grave{o} \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ каi $\sigma \nu \mu \phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ supplied from the preceding sentence but the relative is made ly a Greek idiom to agree with the predicate $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a$.
10. vơê̂ rà $\phi \grave{\lambda}$ тata, dearest love proves frail. Cf. Eur.
 kinship proves frail. עóros in Euripides has the general signification of weakness.
11. ßoô, invokes, appeals to. öpkovs, Jason's oath of fidelity.
$\delta_{6} \xi \operatorname{cas}$, hands, that is the joining of hands, as a ceremony of promising. Another reading is $\delta \in \xi_{\xi}(a \hat{s}$ miotiv $\mu \in \gamma i \sigma \tau \eta \nu$. $\mu \dot{\imath} \nu$ ... 8 , often used where a word or an idea is repeated, as in

12. $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu^{\prime} \ldots{ }^{\prime} \lambda \gamma \eta \eta^{\delta o} \sigma \iota$, yielding herself to pain, i.e. prostrated by it. The aorist ( $\dot{v} \phi i \eta \mu c$ ) denotes that the astion is complete.
13. The object to $\sigma v \nu \tau \eta$ 位拧 ( $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$ ) is to be supplied from the previous line. Xpóvov $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \pi \varepsilon \mathrm{l}$, time since, acc. of duration. An alternative is to render $\sigma v \nu \tau \dot{\eta} \kappa o v \sigma a$ र $\rho o ́ \nu o \nu$, wasting (i.e. spending) the time.
 that she has been wronged. च̈नөєто, see ai $\sigma \theta a \nu о \mu a t$.
14. $\boldsymbol{\phi}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \omega \boldsymbol{v}$ depends on áкои́єь.
 ments, i.e. except that sometimes she laments, but the syntax is

15. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \boldsymbol{\mu} \mu \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\prime} \mathrm{n}$, laments heartily, freely, or without restraint, the preposition having the same force as in $\dot{a} \pi о \delta a \kappa \rho \dot{v} \omega$. The rendering weeps apart is also here possible.
16. $\epsilon^{\prime} \chi(\omega$ with the aorist participle expresses the continuance of the effect sirnified by the verb; here holds her in disl:onour, so in 90, $\bar{\epsilon} \rho \eta \mu \dot{\omega} \sigma a s$ є $\notin \epsilon$, keep them separated.
17. What a gond thing (lit. what kind of thing) it is not


18. ßapeía, dangerous. So in Herall. 4, $\sigma \nu \nu a \lambda \lambda \grave{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ Bapis, dangerous to deal with.
 cxpression is unusual in two ways: (1) because eidéval $\tau$ t is 'to know a fact,' not 'to know an object,' (2) because ö $\delta \epsilon$ in Euripides commonly signifies a person present or at least (see 368)

$\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\oplus} \delta a, \tau \hat{\eta} \delta \epsilon, \delta \epsilon \iota \mu a i \nu \omega$ тє́ $\nu \iota \nu$ ．Not thus，$I$ trow，will she submit to wrong，not，that is，without an effort for revenge．

40－43．Scraps from other parts of the play（see 288，379， 380）inserted here to give，for stage convenience，an anticipa－ tion of the plot．$\delta \iota^{\prime} \eta \eta \pi a \tau 0 s$, not being explained by the con－ text，is here unintelligible：the fears hinted in 37 are really （see 98）for the children．$\ddot{\omega} \sigma \eta$, see $\dot{\omega} \theta \epsilon \epsilon \omega$ ．
 antly．${ }^{\text {E }} \chi \theta \rho a \nu$ is the object to oí $\sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota$ as well as to $\sigma v \mu \beta a \lambda \dot{\omega} \nu$ ， and ка入入ірккон is a further predicate．Cf．Phoen．252，$\mu \dot{\alpha} \chi \eta \mathrm{s}$ d̈ $\nu$
 planations are（1）shall win a crown of victory，supplying $\sigma \tau \epsilon$＇－ $\phi a \nu \circ \nu,(2)$ shall sing a song of victo ${ }^{\prime \prime} y$ ，reading ${ }^{⿲ ㇒}{ }^{\prime \prime} \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota$ and sup－ plying $\dot{\varphi} \dot{j} \dot{\eta} \nu$ ．But where the substantive is thus omitted the adjective has the article－－тì ка，入入ivıко⿱．

46．то́х $\omega \boldsymbol{\nu}=\delta \rho \rho_{\mu} \mu \nu$ ，means both excrcises and cxercise－ ground．This $\tau \rho$ óxos must $^{2}$ be distinguished from rooरós，a

 Soph．El． 231.

49．maı $\delta a \gamma \omega \gamma$ ós．＂The oiffice of tutor in a Grecian family of rank and opulence was assigned to one of the most trust－ worthy of the slaves．The sons of his master were committed to his care on attaining their sixth or seventh year．．．He went with them to and from the school or gymnasiam（Plato，Lysis， p．208）；he accompanied them out of doors on all occasions； he was responsible for their personal safety．＂

The genitives oik $\kappa \nu$ and $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi o i \nu \eta s$ depend upon $\kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \mu a$ ，but oik $\kappa \nu$ more closely than $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi o i v \eta s$ ，making in fact a compound substantive，house－chattel．

50．A gentle reproach，＇why come to shrick over the sor－ rows alone，instead of sharing them with our mistress？＇The word $\theta \rho \epsilon$ ќopar is rare and consequently emphatic．

52． $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ov．The gen．depends on the sense of deprivation in $\mu \dot{\partial} \nu \eta \lambda_{\epsilon} \epsilon \tau \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta$ au．

54．$\xi v \mu \phi о \rho \alpha$ ，a grief，commonly＇a misfortune，＇but cf．
 to wake him．

55．как $\omega$ s тiтvovia，a metaphor from dice．
 a special case of the preceding maxim，the full implied sense being＇this is proved in my case，for \＆c．＇èк $\beta \dot{\epsilon} \beta \eta \kappa \alpha$ sеe $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \beta a(\nu \omega$ ．
57. $\mathfrak{v} \pi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta_{\epsilon}$, beguiled me. The expression is slightly apologetic; for the force of the compound cf. the vulgar English 'come over.'
58. $\mu \mathbf{0} \lambda_{0} \dot{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ (see $\beta \lambda \dot{\omega} \sigma \kappa \omega$ ) constructed as if with e.g. ${ }^{\prime} \mu \epsilon-$


 нıáбторı.
60. $\zeta \eta \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \epsilon$, either (1) elliptically for $\zeta \eta \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \tau 0 \hat{v} \nu o \hat{v}$, ' I envy your intelligence,' i.e. by irony, 'You are not very sharpwitted;' or better (2) I envy you, that is, 'you are the happier that you have not my reasons for knowing our mistress' true state.'
$\hat{\epsilon}^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon} v} \operatorname{ajp} \hat{n} \ldots \mu \in \sigma o t$. The mischief is in the first stage and is not yet at its middle. A medical metaphor. $\mu \epsilon \sigma \circ \hat{\imath}=\mu \epsilon \sigma o ́ \epsilon \iota$ 3rd pers. sing. pres. ind. of $\mu \in \sigma \dot{\sigma} \omega$.
61. $\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \mu \hat{\omega}$ pos. She is unreasonable in indulging her jealous feelings. Cf. 456 and $A n d r .938,{ }_{\epsilon} \xi \eta \eta \nu \epsilon \mu \dot{\omega} \theta \eta \nu \mu \omega \rho: a, I$ was puffed up with passion. $\mu \omega$ oia is specially used by Euripides of exaggerated sentiments, cf. Alk. 1093, aiv $\hat{\omega} \mu \grave{\varepsilon} \nu$ aiv $\hat{\omega}, \mu \omega \rho i a \nu \delta^{\prime}$ '́ф入ıбкávecs ( $=$ you go too far); see also 371, 457. The slave hesitates at the word as disrespectful.
64. $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \dot{\gamma} \nu \omega \nu$, I recall, cf. Thuk. 3. 40 , $\mu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau a \gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \nu a \iota \tau \grave{\alpha}$ $\pi \rho \rho \delta \varepsilon \delta \delta \gamma \mu \epsilon \mathcal{L} a$. The aorist is often used in Greek of a thing which has happened immediately before the time of speaking, where in English we use the present. So e.g. 213, $\epsilon \xi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta_{0} \nu, I$ come out, properly, I came out just this minute. Hence it is also used of a contemporaneous action, if it is complete in the moment of speaking, as in 272 єinov, I (hereby) bid you.
 an act of supplication: hence the adjurations $\pi \rho \bar{s} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \gamma \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} i o v$,
 keep the thing from your fellow-servant. Cf. the construction of the Latin celo.
67. oủ Soкติv к入v́sıv, pretending not to hear. Cf. IIipp.

68. The spring of Peirene, hallowed by its connection with the legend of the horse Pegasos who drank of it, or (according to others) produced it by his tread, was one of the most famous things in Corinth. An oracle in Herodotos
 oiкєĩ $\epsilon$, and Pindar (Ol. 13. 86) of the city as äarv II $\epsilon \rho \dot{\rho} \nu a s$. It appears from this passage that some place near the spring was called $\pi \epsilon \sigma \sigma o i$, but the reason is uncertain. The Scholiast explains it as the place where the game of $\pi \epsilon \sigma \sigma \sigma$, a sort of
draughts, was played, comparing the Athenian way of describing a market by the name of the thing sold there as $\epsilon \nu$ $\tau \hat{\varphi} o ̈ \not \psi \varphi, \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \nu$ тais $\mu \nu \rho \rho i v a l s$, at the fish-market, or myrtle-market. But this is probably a mere guess. It has been suggested that stone posts surrounding the fountain might be so called from their shape. $\pi$ a $\lambda$ airepol. The older men who went there for gossip, and perhaps for the game.
70. $\grave{\lambda} \lambda a ̂ v$, see è̀ $\lambda a u ́ v \omega$.
72. $\sigma a \phi \eta \dot{\eta}^{s}$, true.
73. Fov $\lambda_{0}^{\prime} \mu \eta \nu \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\wedge} \nu, I$ do hope. This is in form a modest wish, 'I should prefer,' converted probably by the tone of the voice into a strong protest. So in Hek. 319, $\tau \dot{u} \mu \beta{ }^{\prime}$ $\beta$ оидоí $\mu \eta \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} \dot{\xi} \iota o u ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu ~ \tau \grave{\partial} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \grave{\partial} \nu \dot{o} \rho \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota$ (In life little contents me) but I do hope that my tomb may be respected.
76. The genitive $\kappa \eta \delta \epsilon \nu \mu \alpha, \tau \omega \nu$ is governed by the comparative implicd in $\lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota=\ddot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \omega \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i$. Cf. Soph. Ai. 1357, $\nu \iota \kappa \alpha \grave{\alpha}$
 more than my enmity. $\lambda \epsilon$ imetal, are outrun (lit. left behinii) by.
77. оэк ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \tau \tau$, is not, i. e. is no longer.
 grief we must carry a new one besides ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma-$ ), lit. before we have pumped out (see äpr入os) the old, the metaphor being that of a ship filled by successive waves.
80. Supply $̇ \sigma \tau$.
81. ทं $\sigma$ ט́Xa̧̧. Be calm, i.e. hide your emotion. Cf. II.F.
 your face and check the children's tears.
83. ö入оเто $\mu \grave{\epsilon ̀ v} \mu \mathfrak{\eta}$. Curse him-I will not. A curse checked when half uttered. Cf. Soph. Trach. 383, b̈גovvтo $\mu$ '
 òoıvтo oi какоi, is corrected, as here it is suppressed.
84. како̀s, fulse. Cf. Or. 740, какòs є́ $\phi \omega \rho a \dot{\theta} \theta \eta$ (sec $\phi \omega \rho a ́ \omega)$ фìous.
85. Are you now for the first time learning this, that every man loves himself more than his neighbour, some with a righteous self-love, but others for greed's sake, as from passion the father of these children has ceased to love them? The conjunction $\epsilon i \ldots \gamma \epsilon$ (since) introduces a proof of the general principle before laid down, viz. that all men are selfish. For á $\rho \tau \iota$, now, that is, now only, see Alk. 940, áp $\rho \iota \frac{\mu a \nu \theta \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega, ~ I ~ s e e ~}{\text { a }}$
 schooling me now. oú $\sigma \tau \in \dot{p} \gamma \epsilon \iota$, is inconstant to, as $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$
often to le concinat to; so in Andr. 740, piad otepréte móals єúpáv. By icxaiws фi入єiv éaviòv is meant the reasonable and equitable self-love which does not prevent a man from recognizing the claims of others; the contrast between $\delta i \kappa \eta$ and $\kappa \epsilon \rho \delta o s$ is quite out of place hure, bat Euripiles has a fondness for subtlety.
89. $\epsilon \mathcal{U} \gamma \dot{\Delta} \rho$ 'torau, probably an error of the mss. for $\epsilon \hat{u}$ $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \ddot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \omega$ (cf. Aesch. Ag. 216, $\epsilon \hat{v} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon i \eta$, where, as here, the speaker is resolving upon a dangerous step) may all be for the best! In commanding the children to go in, the nurse recalls her fears (see 39).
90. See 33.

94. тріे катабкशियai тเva, till she strike down a viclim. The transitive sense of $\sigma \kappa \dot{\eta} \pi \tau \epsilon \nu$ is remarkable. An ancient commentator remembering $\sigma \kappa \eta \pi \tau o ̀ s, ~ a ~ t h u n d e r b o l t, ~ e x p l a i n s ~$
 the poet's meaning.

MHDEIA. See the Analysis.
96. $\mu \in \lambda$ éa $\pi \sigma^{\circ} \cdot \omega v$, lit. wretched in respect of sufferings. For the genitive cf. 995.
98. róó éxeivo. This is it, an idiom equivalent to the English Didn't I say so? See 20, 37, 92.

 working, set in motion, and so is setting her heart, her wrathful heart, to work.

 $\dot{a} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s(\tau \hat{\eta} s) \quad o i \mu \omega \gamma \hat{\eta} s$. In prose $o i \mu \omega \gamma \hat{\eta} s$ would have the article. It is plain that soon she will kindle with increased wrath the cloud now arising from its beginning, her cry, or in English order, 'Tis plain that her cry is the beginning of a clould which soon she will fire with increase of wrath. Medea's anger is compared to a thunderstorm; her ominous words (96) are the small beginning of the cloud, and the danger apprehended to her children is the lightning. Others read $\dot{\rho} \gamma \gamma \hat{\eta} s$ for $\alpha^{\circ} \chi \chi \hat{\eta} s$, 'tis plain that soon she will kindle with increased wrath the cloud of lamentation rising from her rage. Others $\tau a^{\prime} \chi \eta$ s ( $=\tau \alpha \rho a \chi \hat{\eta} s$ ) for $\tau^{\prime} \dot{a}_{\rho} \chi \hat{\eta} s$.
110. $\delta \eta \chi^{\theta \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \sigma a, ~ s e e ~} \delta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$.
112. This abrupt imprecation is called forth by the sight of the children.
118. $\mathfrak{v \pi \epsilon р а \lambda \gamma \omega . ~ T h e ~ p r e p o s i t i o n ~ s i g n i f i e s ~ o n ~ y o u r ~ b e h a l f , ~}$ the object ( $\dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ) being supplied.
119. Strange are the tempers of princes, and because perhaps they are seldom overruled and oftenest have their way, they violently/ change their moods. For $\chi a \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega \bar{s} \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta a \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$, cf. Hipp. 201, $\mu \dot{\eta} \chi a \lambda \epsilon \pi \hat{\omega} s \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\sigma} \beta a \lambda \lambda \epsilon \delta \dot{\epsilon} \mu a s$, and for the neatral

 equal temper exhibited by Medea, who, it must be remembered, was herself of royal blood (rípavyos), causes the nurse to reflect, in the philosophic tone of which Euripides is fond, upon the equability of the middle condition of life, which is praised by way of contarst to the changeable humous of rank and luxury.
122. For to have been trained to liie on equal terms is better than to encounter the temptations of a socicty of great inequalities. cieirdal, see $\dot{\epsilon} \theta_{i j}{ }^{j} \omega$.
 $\epsilon i \mu \dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \gamma \dot{\omega} \lambda \omega \mathrm{~s}$, but see next note.
125. For not only is the name of moderation better in sound (than greatness) but in use (the thing) is far best for mortal man.
 and $\lambda \hat{\varphi} \sigma \tau a . \quad v \kappa \hat{a}$, sc. $\tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \gamma \dot{\lambda} \lambda a$. The necessity of a context from which to supply these words justifies the correction $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ $\mu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha$ dots.
$\mu \grave{\varepsilon} \nu . . . \tau \epsilon$. As compared with the regular $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu . . \delta \delta \varepsilon$, this combination of parlicles has the effect of treating the first proposition as a mere preliminary to the second, upon which the emphasis is thas thrown. So again in 429, 430-But the length of time offers much doubtless to be said of our part (women), but much also of the men.
127. Irut over-greatness (lit. excessiveness) imports no blessing to man, but pays the penulty of a worse ruin when fortune is angered with the house, i.e. Too high a rise only leads to a deeper fall. кaцpòv, profit, good. Cf. Tro. 744, тò $\delta^{\prime}$ є́ $\sigma \theta \grave{\partial \nu}$
 profit you. סúvaral, is equivalent to, cf. Thuk. 1. 141, $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \rho$

 ment before arbitration of a claim by equals upon their neighbours, however large it may be or however small, is alike equivalent to their enslucencit. The latter part of the sentence may also be punctuated thus: $\delta \alpha i \mu \omega \nu$, öкоוs $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \delta \omega \kappa \in \nu$, in which case $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \in \delta \omega \kappa \epsilon \nu$ will mean inflicts retributively, but the sense is without example. It should be said that the peculiarity of
the language here, the fact that one ms. reads $\beta$ pooois for $\theta \nu \eta r o i s$, and the obscurity of the ancient commentaries suggest doubts as to the correctness of the text.

XOPOE. See the Introduction and Analysis.
134. $\gamma \in \rho a{ }^{\circ}$, cf. II. F. 447, 900 . The anapestic metre (see on 1396) is continued down to $\lambda \epsilon_{\xi}^{\prime} \xi \nu$.
135. dí $\dot{\phi} \pi u^{\prime} \lambda$ ou may be taken either as substantive or as adjective. In the first case, oíva must be supplied, being at the doorway of Medea's house. But the existence of the substantive is doubtful, and the participle ought not to be omitted. Connect therefore á $\mu \phi \iota \pi$ úגov $\mu \epsilon \lambda \dot{\alpha} \theta \rho o v$; jor toward the doublegated court within I heard, d'c., the $\dot{a} \mu \phi . \mu \bar{\epsilon} \lambda$. being the aú $\grave{\eta}$, or court of the house with its two doors to the rooms and to the street.
138. Tell me, dear, I pray, uhat has come to pass? mss.
 who take the reading $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} . . . \kappa \in \in \kappa \rho a \nu \tau a \iota$, translate it since it (the house) has come to be dear to me. But крaivect is not used iis any such sense or construction.
139. All that is over now.
141. Ga入ápots, the bower, inner apartments, properly be-
 away, lit. is wasting her life. See on 25.
 her heart take warmth at all from any friendly words. For the metaphorical $\theta \dot{d} \lambda \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$, to rouse, inspirit, see Soph. El. 887,
 tive of respect afier $\pi a \rho a 0 a \lambda \pi \sigma \mu \epsilon \in \nu \eta$.

## 145. 及aín, see $\beta$ aiv $\omega$.

148. $\mathfrak{\omega} Z \epsilon \hat{v} . . . \phi \omega \bar{s}$. The adjuration is merely a parenthetic expression of horror, aites being addressed by the members of



149. iaxáv. Here and in some other places, á $\chi \grave{\alpha}$, sound, Doric form of $\dot{\eta} \chi \dot{\eta}$ is sometimes read conjecturally for laxà , wail.
$\mu \hat{\lambda} \pi \pi \epsilon$. What a wail she sings. $\mu \epsilon \lambda \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ in a non-literal sense is extremely rare. There is an exact parallel in $A n d r$.
 The lament of the deserted wife is called with compassionate irony her 'song.'
150. tâs...кoítas, that awful lying-place, i.e. the bed of death. Others $\tau \hat{a} s \dot{a} \pi \lambda \dot{n} \sigma \tau o v$ koiras, Why yearnest thou for thy insatiate bed? Others again read $\tau i$ for $\tau i s$, and $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau$ d́v for $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon u \tau$ d́, making $\tau<\ldots \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \cup \tau$ á $\nu$; one sentence, Why should yearning for, de....hasten thy fatal end? mss. àm $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau 0 u$ (or $\dot{a} \pi \lambda \dot{\eta}-$ $\sigma \tau o u) . . . \tau \epsilon \lambda \in u \tau \dot{\alpha} \nu$.
151. $\mu \eta$ §'́tv. Adverbially, By no means. Similar in form
 roîoठ $\beta \beta a j v \nu \theta \epsilon i s$. It is probably a reminiscence.
152. Let not your anger for that fall upon him. кєiv $\varphi$ is by its position emphatic (see note on 316), so that the Chorus appear to be diverting Medea's anger from Jason, which can scarcely be their intention. This and the somewhat forced construction of the accusative make the text suspicious. Others кoıvò̀ $\tau \dot{\delta} \bar{\epsilon} \cdot \mu \dot{\eta} \chi$ वáár $\sigma o v$, the case is common, be not wounded.
153. та́коv, Doric form of $\tau \dot{\eta} \kappa о$.
154. mss. $\hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \gamma \dot{\lambda} \lambda a \operatorname{\theta } \epsilon \mu \iota$ каi $\pi \dot{\jmath} \tau \nu \iota$ ' "A $\rho \tau \epsilon \mu \iota$. As the nurse (169) expressly calls attention to the invocation of Zeus and Themis, and the invocation of Artemis is in itself not suitable to the occasion, it is cartain that this is corrupt. The correction in the text has been suggested to me by Mr H. A. J. Munro; it is at all events close to the mss. a ${ }^{\prime} \rho \tau \iota$ with $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \omega$ : Great Themis and husband (of Themis) see ye what I suffer now. äprı is emphatic-'Are my wrongs now enough to provoke your vengeance?' Hence its position: see on 316. The hus-
 another suggestion. Zeus and Themis (Justice) were held especially to sanction oaths.
155. aúrois $\mu \in \lambda$ á $\theta$ poss, them and their house together, lit. with their very house. For this use of aúros, cf. Hipp. 1340,



 à $\delta \mathbf{L k \in i ̂ v , ~ t o ~ w r o n g ~ f i r s t , ~ i . e . ~ u n p r o v o l e d . ~}$
156. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon v a ́ \sigma 9 \eta \nu$, see $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \nu a i ́ \omega$.
157. є ่̇ктaiav, invoked to sanction vows (ev̉xai).
158. Assuredly in no slight (blow) will my lady discharge her wrath.

159. кaramav́ $\epsilon$, literally, will bring it to a rest.
160. $\pi \hat{\omega} \mathrm{s}$ äv... Would that...



176．$\epsilon i \ldots \mu \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{ir}_{\mathrm{j}}$ ，if，by any means she may，\＆e．i．e．that so she may，dec．Tho mood naturally follows that of the principal verb ä̀ ठ́є́jatто．
入⿹勹䶹u，mood and pinit．See 119， 121.

177．ذрєvôv，genitive after $\mu \epsilon \theta \epsilon i \not \eta$ ．
178．ró $\gamma^{\prime}$＇̣ùv тpóvurov，my goodwill at last，even if it is ineffective．
 rome．This reading has been proposed to me by Prof．Robinson Elis．It gives unimpeachable sense，and I have placed it in the text for consiteration．
with a variation $\sigma \pi \epsilon \overline{0} \sigma \bar{y}$ ．In my larger edition I gave

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma \pi \epsilon \hat{\nu} \sigma o \nu \text { к. } \tau . \lambda .,
\end{aligned}
$$

lring her forth from the house，dear，since thus she speaks． （ $\phi_{i \lambda a}$ voc．fem．）．This has ccrtainly no advantage in sense over Prof．Ellis＇suggestion，but the corruption of it is more easily explained．In 183 it must be conceded to Wecklein and others that $\sigma \pi \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma o \nu$ looks like a correction，and that $\sigma \pi \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma a i$ is our true ms．reading．I am strongly disposed to accept his $\sigma \pi \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma a[\sigma a]$ ， which combines better with my version of 182 than with the old，and adopt

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \epsilon^{\prime} \xi \omega, \phi \dot{\lambda} \lambda \alpha, \epsilon i \tau \dot{a} \delta^{\prime} \text { a } \dot{u} o \hat{a}, \\
& \sigma \pi \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \alpha \sigma \alpha \pi \rho i r ~ \ddot{\eta} \kappa \alpha \kappa \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha \iota \text { к.т.入. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\pi \rho i \nu \ddot{\eta}$ Elmsley（H for TI）：the objection that $\pi \rho i \nu \ddot{\eta}$ does not occur in tragedy has never seemed to me weighty．The read－ ing so obtained is excecdingly close to the Mss．and the de－ flezions of the commonest sort．］

184．For now her grief is rising violently，and therefore threatens speedy mischief．óphãat suggests the image of a thing moving on，as a wave or line of battle．

185．фо́ßos（＇̇ $\sigma r i v) ~ \epsilon i, ~ I ~ d o u b t ~ w h e t h e r, ~ c f . ~ H e r a k l . ~ 791, ~$


186．$\mu$ óx bov，placed emphatically with a purpose，as for the trouble，the boon of it shall be given in，that is，in addition to（ $\epsilon \pi i-)$ what I hare done aready．
 them with (gives them back) the fierce look. $\delta \epsilon \rho \gamma \mu a$, accusative quasi-cognate.
190. One would not err in saying past generations were rude and had no art at all. $\sigma o \phi i a$ here is art or culture generally (cf. 829, 844). The Greek education consisted chietly of music (in the modern sense) and poetry, regarded as parts of one whole ( $\mu$ ovocki). Sce the Aralysis.
194. ßiou тeprive ákoàs, pleaures for the cars of the wealthy, lit. delightful sounds belonging to wealth. $\beta$ ios derives the meaning of good living or luxury from that of means or substance in which (see the dictionary) it is common. Cf. Ion
 luxury? For thy dress is rich: where fios is by the context sharply contrasted with mere $\tau \rho 0$ фो support of life, Supp. 450,
 $\pi \rho \grave{s} \dot{\eta} \delta o \nu a ̀ s ~ \mu o v \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \tau \rho a \pi \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\partial} ~ \mu a \lambda \theta a \kappa \grave{̀} \nu$ Biov, the soft
 $\dot{\eta} \sigma \theta \epsilon i$ : observe in the two last quotations the connexion of Bios with music. The genitive here has the force of an adjective. Others take $\beta i o v$ in the sense of life.
196. 入úmes $\eta$ üpsco $\pi$ cuvevv, found how to allay griefs.
197. $\vec{\xi} \xi \hat{\omega} v(\lambda \nu \pi \hat{\omega} \nu)$, in consequence of $d c$.
198. rúxal, stroles (such as madness or disease), the proper meaning of the word, though rare; so $\tau v \gamma \chi \alpha \nu \in L \nu$, to hit.

 кє́póos.
200. Where rich banquets are. The connexion of one substantive ( $\delta a i \tau \epsilon s$ ) with an adjective compounded of a synonymous substantive ( $\delta \epsilon i \pi \nu o \nu)$, is a common method of poetical decoration. Cf. $\nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \theta \eta \xi$ єüəvpros, the light wand, Bacch. 1157,
 havens, Tro. 124.
 it: cf. róvos, pitch.
204. See the Analysis. ditco for $\overrightarrow{j i c} \nu$, imperfect of $\dot{\alpha} i t \omega$.
205. Roầ äXєa тòv троסórav, she calls with woeful outcry upon the traitor. $\beta \circ \hat{a} \nu$ - ä $\chi \in \epsilon$ ' to cry woes' forms a compound verb governing тòv $\pi \rho \circ$ óórav just as the simple $\beta$ oâ $\nu$ might.
 bride the loud marriage-song, Or. 1383, $\sigma \tau \in \nu \omega-\mu \epsilon \lambda$ os $\sigma \epsilon$, Iph. A. 1468, є̇ $\pi \epsilon \nu ф \eta \mu \dot{\eta} \sigma a \tau \epsilon-\pi a\llcorner a ิ \nu a " A \rho \tau \epsilon \mu \nu \nu$.
 bed. то̀ ...какоучифор repeats the overheard words of Medea, ஸ...какбขvрфє. See the Analysis.
209. Themis brought Melea to Hellas inasmuch as she was tempted to accompany Jason by her reliance upon the promises which Them:s was invoked to sanction. ' $\beta^{\prime} \beta a \sigma \in v$ $\left({ }^{\xi} \beta \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu\right)$ transitive : see $\beta$ aiv $\omega$.
210. Hellas is àvimopos (on the other side of the strait) with regard to Asia.
 is the 'inlocked Propontis,' the modern sea of Marmora, $\mu v \chi i a$ $\Pi \rho o \pi o v \tau i s$ as it is called by Aeschylus (Pers. 875), across which Medea passed to the ocean-key, the uncoufiued, the 'E入入ńनтovтos àteip $\alpha \nu$ of Homer (Il. 24. 545).

Móvtov, the Main, the Euxine, so called as the most open sea with which the ancient Greeks were familiar. It has been suggested (L. Schmidt) that ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\prime} \lambda s v^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \chi \cos$ may also be the Euxine, from the prevalent gloom of its sky as compared with the Mediterrancan.

213-224. Medea, who must be conceived as showing in her aspect and manner the outward marks of the most violent mental agony, is at length led by her servant from the house. Addressing herself to speak, she apologizes for her reluctance to meet her visitors, which she begs them to attribute not to discourtesy, but to want of nerve (225). She has forced herself to come both for fear her reserve should be misunderstood (214-221), and also because as a foreigner she felt specially bound to receive with civility advances from natives of the place (222-224).
213. ${ }^{\prime} \xi \hat{j}_{j} \lambda \theta_{0} \nu \ldots \mu \dot{\mu} \mu \phi \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon$. We do not use the past definite tense of an action only completed in the moment of speaking: the Greeks did (cf. 64); but in that case as the aorist expresses the sense of a present-perfect (I am come) it can naturally be followed by the subjunctive in subordinate sentences. Observe the tense of $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \phi \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon$, fearing that you may be blaming me.

214-221. For Iknow that many get ill-repute by a reserved behaviour, some from the fault of their eyes-such, I mean, as so behave to those (they meet) out-of-doors-others by indolence and from a lazy foot. For there is no justice in men's eyes if, unprovoked, they hate at sight one whose heart they have not truly learnt: and it is a duty to meet the city, for a stranger in particular, though $I$ do not thereby commend the native who annoys other citizens with a selfish behaviour produced by ill-breeding.

The construction of the first clause (oioa ... $\dot{\rho} \neq v u i q)$ is slightly obscured by compression and by the substitution, which is not uncommon in Greek, of a finite verb for the participle in the second of the contrasted members of it. If the ellipses were filled up and the constraction simplified it would


 paraphrase thus. Distant manners make pcople unpopular; they arise from two different faults of character, first ( $\dot{d} \pi$ ' $\dot{j} \mu \alpha^{\prime} \tau \omega \nu$ ) from a habit of taking up dislikes at sight and without inquiry, which prevents a man, who goes into society, from making acquaintances, and secondly ( $\dot{a} \phi$ ' $\dot{\eta} \sigma \dot{v} \chi o v ~ \pi o \delta \dot{s}$ каi $\dot{j} q \theta u \mu i a)$ from mere indolence and sedentary ways, which keep him out of society altogether. It must be remembered that Greek houses were very small and the ordinary course of life was carried on in the agora, the palæstra, and other public places.
215. $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}$ vov́s. $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu o ́ \tau \eta s$ is the opposite of affability. Cf. Hipp. 93 foll., $\tau \grave{o} \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \grave{\partial} \nu$ кai $\tau \grave{~} \mu \grave{\eta} \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu \phi i \lambda o \nu$, where the $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \grave{o}$ s is contrasted with the $\epsilon \dot{v} \pi \rho o \sigma \eta$ रopos (affable man).

тov̀s $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v}$ ó $\mu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ámó. We must supply in thought both $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu o \dot{v} s \gamma \epsilon \gamma \hat{\omega} \tau a s$ and $\delta \dot{v} \sigma \kappa \lambda \epsilon L a \nu \kappa \tau \eta \sigma a \mu \epsilon \in \nu u s ;$ it is the eye, or its rash judgments, which causes both the unamiable bearing and the consequent dislike.
216. ̇̇v $\theta u p a i o u s . ~ A f t e r ~ \gamma i \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a i ~(o r ~ \epsilon i v a l) ~ \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu o ̀ s, ~ \theta \rho a \sigma \dot{s} s$, $\dot{v} \beta \rho \iota \sigma \tau \eta \dot{s}, \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \delta \mathrm{~s}$ and the like, in the sense of to behave distantly, boldly, insolertly, kindly, dec., the preposition $\epsilon \nu$ with the dative is used of the person towards whom the behaviour is shown (sce Soph. Ai. 1092, 1315, Ant. 661).
á $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ ' $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ र́xov mo8ós. This concrete symbol of indolence ( $\dot{\rho} a \theta v \mu l a$ ) is named in order to make a neat antithesis to $\dot{a} \pi$ ' $\dot{\dot{\jmath} \mu \alpha \tau \omega \nu .}$
217. pâvpia, causal dative.
219. $\beta \rho o \tau \omega \bar{v}$ ö $\sigma \tau 1 \mathrm{~s}$, the general relative with plural ante-
 he who desires a comfortable home is wont to show affection.
[The above explanation of this passage, which after much tribulation I really believe that I now understand, will be found to differ slightly from that given in my larger edition.
(1) I have adopted the correction of R. Meister, to which I was before strongly inclined, roùs $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad$ Oupaious for $\tau o u s \delta^{\prime} \hat{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu$ tupaiors. The antithesis made by the mss. reading between roùs $\left\langle\mu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu\right.$ ä ${ }^{\prime} \pi o$ and $\tau o \dot{s} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad$ gupaiocs, with which for want of
knowing better I did what I could, is unmanageable and blocks out the plain correlation between $\dot{\partial \mu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu}$ ä $\pi о$ and $\dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{\jmath} \pi o \delta o ́ s$.
(2) I have followed Prof. Kennedy (Studia Sophoclea, preface, p. xvi) as to the ellipse of $\delta \dot{\prime} \sigma \kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota a \nu ~ к \tau \eta \sigma a \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o u s . ~$ I cannot excuse myself for having forgoiten it.
(3) I have ventured a very small correction of my own, $\dot{\rho} q \theta v \mu i a$ for the mss. $\dot{\rho} q \theta v \mu i a v$. This both clears up the logic of the passage-the $\delta \dot{\sigma} \kappa \lambda_{\epsilon} \iota a$ being thus throughout the same, the ill-rcpute attaching to $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \dot{o} \tau \eta s$-and also removes the necessity for forcing $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma a \nu \tau o$ to bear two meanings at once: $\kappa \tau \eta \dot{\sigma} \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \kappa \lambda \epsilon t a \nu$ and $\kappa \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota \dot{\rho} a \partial v \mu i a \nu$ are both good in themselves, but they are not parallel expressions and should not be yoked together. The perception of this has led others to attack $\delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \kappa \lambda c \iota a \nu$, which, however, cannot be spared and has proved refractory against expulsion; neither ovoкo৯iav (!) nor even sírvouv can be called happy. The confusion of the dative $-\alpha \iota$ with the accusative $-\alpha \nu$, everywhere common, is here prepared for the copyist by the order of the words. For the



222-224. These lines contain the condemuation of $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu 0 l$ $\dot{\rho} q \theta v \mu i \underline{a}$, those who from self-indulgence (aivoadia) will not be at the trouble to make themselves pleasant to others, as 219-221 that of the $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu o \dot{d} \pi^{\prime} \dot{\partial} \mu \mu \dot{d} \tau \omega \nu$, those whose unpleasant behaviour arises from their hasty prejudices.
222. кápra emphasizes $\xi \in \notin \nu o v, ~ a ~ s t r a n g e r ~ i n ~ p a r t i c a l a r . ~$

 $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \chi \omega \rho \epsilon i \nu$, meet both in a literal and a metaphorical sense. Cf. our phrase to mect a pereon half-way.
 $\kappa \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau a)$ therely commend a native. In referring to words just spoken we use the present, the Greeks generally the aorist.
 $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i v$. $\dot{a} \mu a \theta i \dot{a}$ is properly the absence of training or discipline, and its results, either intellectual dullness, ignorance, or moral dullness, insensibility, want of feeling, the latter sense being in Euripides much more common. Thus it is the $\dot{\alpha} \mu a \theta \dot{\eta} s$ who ill-treats his offspring (H.F.347), áuä̀̀s övє $\partial \delta o s$ is an un-
 unfeeling pride, Herakl. 459. Nearest to the present passage is Phoon. 396, the exile must bear $\tau \dot{\alpha} s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \rho a \tau o \dot{\nu} \tau \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu a-$ Bias, the discourtesies of the powerful.
225. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \pi \epsilon \sigma$ òv, see $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \pi i \pi \tau \omega$.
226. Sı́́pөарка, see $\delta \iota a \phi \theta \epsilon i p \omega$. ol̉xoцaı metaphorical.
227. $\mu \in \in \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma a$, see $\mu \in \theta$ in $\mu \iota$.
 One, of whom to judge aright was all to me, my husbard, has,
 $\lambda \in \sigma \theta a \iota \nLeftarrow \nu \tau \iota \nu$, to be wrong or disappointed about. With návr' eivaı compare $\pi \dot{\alpha} y \tau^{\prime} \notin \chi \epsilon \iota \nu, 570$. The terrible importance to a woman of her final choice is the subject of the lines which follow 230-38.

## 231. фvтòv, creature.

 fers the customs of his own times to those more ancient times when in reality the husband paid for the wife.
234. For as for not accepting a husband at all, that is more grievous still. An answer to the question raised by the previous line, why the woman should accept a husband on such disadvantageous terms. For the emphatic position of the negative in antithesis, cf. 1230. The mss. give this line thus, $\lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon i v$, какой $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ т $\dot{\prime} \delta^{\prime} \dot{a} \grave{\alpha \gamma \iota o \nu}$ какóv, with several variations for $\tau o ́ \delta$, as $\tau 0 \hat{\tau} \tau \tau^{\prime}, ~ \tau o \hat{v} \tau o ~ \gamma ', ~ \tau o \hat{o} \delta \delta^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \tau^{\prime}$ dc. Another

 (rò $\pi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota \nu$ т ${ }^{\prime}(a \sigma \theta a \iota)$.
235. And the important issue lies (érciv) in taking a bad one or a good one. 芀 како̀ $\lambda a \beta \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \nu \ddot{\eta} \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \dot{\nu} \nu$ is in apposition to $\tau \hat{\varphi} \bar{\delta} \epsilon$.

238-42. The metaphorical phrases of this passage are taken from the riding-school, the husband being regarded as an unfamiliar creature whose ways and paces the wife has to learn. Note $\chi \rho \mathfrak{j} \sigma \theta a \iota$ to manage, cf. Xen. Symp. 2. 10, voui-

 nastic exercise, and фépol ̧úrov.


240. öтф, wherewith (so mss.), with what instrument or bridle, as it were. Others ö $\pi \omega$ s, which would be more usual. The dependent question ö ${ }^{\circ} \varphi \ldots \xi^{\ldots} \nu \nu \epsilon v \nu \epsilon \in \tau \eta$ is governed by $\mu \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \nu$
 the husband is whom she is to manage: but this in prose, and probably also in ordinary dialogue-verse, would require the article

241. є $\hat{v}$, patieutly, may be constructed either with $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \sigma_{-}$ rovutyaus or with $\xi v \nu o c k \hat{p}$. The rhythm favours the first.
242. Biá, reluctantly.
243. Y $\eta \lambda \omega \tau$ òs, worth caring for; j j $\lambda \lambda^{\prime} \omega$ signifies both $t_{1}$
 $\tau \not \mu a ́ s . ~ S u p p l y ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau i . ~$
246. A spurious line. The lengthening of the rowel by position before $\tau \rho$ in $\eta_{\eta}^{\prime \prime} \wedge \wedge \alpha$ $\tau \rho a \pi \epsilon$ is is not permissible.
 lit. up to shicld. The shields were held so as to make a continuous cover.
252. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \ldots \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$, formula for self-interruption. Cf. 1301. But (enough); for dec. aúròs к.т. $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$. the same language suits not. Cf. $\pi \rho о \sigma \eta$ ทีє $\epsilon$.
254. 及íov, either life or more probably (see on 194) wcalth, comfort, closely connected with the raтpós $\delta$ ó $\mu$ or of the preceding line.
256. $\lambda_{\epsilon} \lambda \eta \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta$. $\lambda_{\eta i j \omega}$ from $\lambda_{\eta}$ is spoil. It is the burden of Medea's complaint that she is treated by Jason as a mere captive, a piece of booty, without right or position.
 бvpфopâs, having no...kinsman [in whom] to jind harbour [of refuge] from this distress. The compounded $\mu \in \tau \alpha$-governs the genitive $\tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta \epsilon \sigma \nu \mu \phi \quad \rho a \hat{s}$, the preposition signifying change (as in $\mu \epsilon \tau a \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$, $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \gamma(\gamma \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \kappa \epsilon \epsilon \nu)$, and the genitive that from which the change is made.
258. $\sigma v \mu \phi$ рấs. So we speak of 'a ship in distress.'
 water-logged) in sore distress.
259. osiv connects this line in thought with 252. 'As you and I are so different in fortune that perfect sympathy is impossible-this much then I shall be content to reccive of you.'
 to be blessed without comprehending, and for the tense Soph.O.C.

 penalty) $\dot{\nu} \tau \tau \grave{\tau} \tau \nu \delta \epsilon \kappa \kappa \kappa \omega \hat{\nu}$. See $\tau i \nu \omega$.

262 is not good Greek, $\gamma \alpha \mu \hat{\omega}$ being used of the man, $\gamma a \mu o \hat{v} \mu a \iota$ of the woman only. Some read $\eta^{\prime} \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \eta \mu \tau \tau$, and her who married (him), but probably the line, like 42 , is interpolated.
263. $\sigma \iota \gamma \hat{\alpha} \nu$ in apposition to $\tau 0 \sigma o u ̂ \tau o \nu . ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon$ éa, see $\pi \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \omega$.
 explanatory infinitive) $\sigma i \delta \eta \rho o \nu$. Supply $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i$ here and in 263.
 wronged. This is the force of $\kappa v \rho \hat{\eta}$ (chances to be wronged); it contrasts the temporary and accidental fierceness with the usual
 túxoc, above all in the moment of his need, Soph. El. 794, עôv єن̀兀vðồ $a \mathfrak{\tau v \gamma \chi a ́ v e \iota s , ~ t h i s ~ i s ~ t h i n e ~ h o u r ~ o f ~ p r o s p e r i t y . ~}$

268. They accept with sympathy her apologies (see 225) for her reluctance to meet them. $\pi \epsilon v \theta \epsilon i v$ signifies to behave as a mourner, it being the custom in times of mourning not to receive strangers. See Alk. 751.
269. kal emphasizes the approach of the king as something new and important. Others $\dot{o} \rho \hat{\omega} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath}$, but $I$ see yonder.
272. єitrov. See on 64.
274. $\beta$ paßcis, umpire, or present judge. $\beta \rho a \beta \epsilon \dot{\text { jus, }}$, usually an umpire in athletic contests, signifies one who gives an immediate decision on the spot. Hence it is applied to one who awards a decision and sees it executed, as here, and sometimes, like the Latin arbiter, to a mere witness or spectator. Xóyov, genitive of respect, in this sentence.
278. $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi\llcorner\alpha, \ldots \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \omega \nu$, are now letting out all rope, i.e. are bearing down upon me full sail. Cf. Herc. F'ur. 837, é $\begin{aligned} & \text { auve } \\ & \text {, }\end{aligned}$
 $\kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \omega \nu \dot{\epsilon} \xi \iota \epsilon \in \nu a l ~ \sigma \epsilon \alpha u \tau o u ̂ . \quad \delta \dot{\eta}$, now.
279. And there is no approachable landing-place in distress.
 $\delta \nu \sigma \pi \rho o ́ \sigma o \iota \sigma \tau o s$, hard of access, repellent in manner. Etкßaoıs, properly the act and hence the place of 'stepping-out' or disembarking. It must not be translated by escape. ár practically the force of an adjective, belonging to (i.e. proper to be used in) calamity.
280. каl как $\omega$ s $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma$ хоvбa, though I am used cruelly, i.e. 'I will ask, though to seek reasons from cruelty is superfluous'.

 $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma о \mu a l$, is not necessary, but is often added after a concessive phrase.
282. тарайт'̇́xєьv $\lambda$ óyovs. Lit. 'to miswrap words', i.c. to deceive you with a cloke of words, mapà importing departure uside from the truth as in $\pi a \rho \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \hat{\nu}, \pi a \rho a \pi a \tau \hat{a} \nu$.
 fear, the genitive depending upon the partitive sense involved in the verb.
288. Regular construction would require $\tau \dot{\nu} \nu$ óvita ( $\theta v \gamma a \tau \notin \rho a$ єis $\gamma^{\prime} \mu, 0 \nu$ ) каi $\tau o ̀ \nu \gamma \dot{\eta} \mu a \nu \tau \alpha$ каi $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \nu \gamma \alpha \mu о \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu$.
290. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \chi{ }^{\text {®́ć }} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \theta a t$, sce $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \chi \theta \dot{\alpha} \nu о \mu a \iota$. Supply $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i$.
291. $\mu \in \tau a \sigma \tau \in \mathcal{v} \in \iota v$, repent, lit. 'sigh late'. For the repetition
 363, $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta a \lambda c i \nu$ á $\lambda \lambda a s$ रpaфás. Others, with the mss. $\mu \epsilon$ ' $\gamma a$ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \in \nu \epsilon \nu$, sigh loud.

292-306. Sce the Analysis.
294. apriф $\rho \omega v$, properly of equal-sided mind or as we say 'well-balanced'. Cf. ג́ $\rho \tau і \chi є \iota \rho, ~ \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau i \pi o u s, \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \iota \mu \star \eta$ 's, having the use of both hands, feet, limbs. The word is opposed here to $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \hat{\omega} \sigma o \phi o \dot{s}$, over-learned on one side ; cf. the contrast of áp$\tau \cos$ even and $\pi \epsilon p \iota \sigma \sigma o s$ odd (lit. one-sided) as applied to numbers.
295. ÉKióáoкєのヘ̂al, causative middle, have them taught. The adj. $\sigma o \phi o$ 's expresses the result of the process $\delta \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$.

296. Xwols...apyias, besides and beyond the umprofiableness which belongs to them. $\alpha^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \eta$ is supertluous and merely repeats the force of $\chi \omega \rho i s$ (see the Lexicon under á $\lambda \lambda o s$ ). dopias. Money or other property was said to be dopós ( $\dot{a}-\epsilon \rho \gamma \sigma^{\nu}$ ) idle when not invested or bringing in no return: $\dot{\alpha} \rho \gamma i a$ here has the same sense. $\eta_{i s}$ for $\ddot{\eta} \nu$, the relative being attracted into the case of - he antecedent. á $\rho \gamma i a \nu$ é $\chi \epsilon \iota \nu$, to have (the quality of ) unproductiveness $=\dot{\alpha} \rho \gamma \dot{o} s \in \hat{i} i^{\prime} a$. We do not use to hare in this way, but with é $\chi \in \iota \nu$ it is common.
 fetch (a price). The word is chosen to keep up the commercial metaphor implied in dipria, education being thus estimated merely by what it will 'fetch'. The tone of this passage, reflecting the views of the 'practical man', is bitterly ironical.
298. тробфє́ $\rho \omega \nu$, importing as a kind of merchandise. In the time of Euripides learning was chiefly diffused by travelling professors, the $\sigma o \phi \omega \tau a i$ or so-called 'sophists'.
299. The ignorant, not seeing the value of your new learning ( $\kappa a \nu \dot{a} \sigma o \phi \dot{a}$ ), and sceing only that you do not teach the learning which they recognize, will suppose you simply unpractical and unlearued. Cf. 305.
 special knowledge. $\tau$ ois $\delta \dot{\xi}=\tau \boldsymbol{\imath} \hat{\imath}$ oкaiors, the ignorant public, to others I am hard to please and not so very wise (after all), cf. 299. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \alpha ́ \nu \tau \eta \mathbf{s}=$ our borrowed French difficile. Cf. Xen. Apol.
 complain that the discoverer of new science is not satisfied
with 'what was good enough for our fathers'. Or, according to another view, $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta s=$ hard to deal with, disagreeable, cf. Hdt. vir. 160, $\pi \rho \circ \sigma a ́ \nu \tau \eta s$ 入óros, a difficult proposition. The rearding of 305 is taken from a paraphrase in an ancient commentary


[304 closely resembles 808, and can scarcely be genuine here as it stands; the exact reading of these lines $303-5$ is uncertain, but the text represents the sense, or something near it.]
 way', as we say, to offend.
310. ö $\tau \omega$, supply $\epsilon \kappa \delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \theta a \iota ~ a \dot{\tau} \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$.
314. ท่'டı $\eta \mu$ évol. The plural gives the expression a general bearing: $w e=1$ and mine. In such a case masculine adjectives are used, even if the speaker is a woman, the distinctiveness of the feminine not being appropriate to a mixed class of persons. Cf. бофоi 385.
315. крєเ $\sigma \sigma o ́ v \omega v$, genitive after the comparative implicd in $\nu \iota \kappa \omega \ddot{\omega} \mu \nu 0 \iota=\eta ँ \sigma \sigma \sigma \nu \epsilon s$ övтєs.
 are put out of their place for the sake of emphasis. In English this effect would be given by the voice.
ákov̂бal, explanatory infinitive after $\mu a \lambda \theta a \kappa \alpha ́$.

 quick temper than in a reserved. фu入á $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \downarrow$, explanatory infinitive after $\dot{\rho} \dot{q} \omega \nu$. Observe carefully that it is $\phi u \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \sigma \epsilon \nu$ not $\phi \nu \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$.
322. äpapє, see àрарібк $\omega$.
323. $\mu \in \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \mathrm{s}$, future (observe the accent). 'A device how you shall remain' $=a$ device whereby to remain.

325. Sometimes, particularly in dialogue of alternate lines ( $\sigma \tau \iota \chi \circ \mu v \theta i a$ ), the sentences of two speakers are grammatically continuous, the persons of the verbs and pronouns only being altered. So here Kreon might have said oủ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho a ̈ \nu \quad \pi \epsilon i \sigma a \iota s, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. This continuation of his words is thrown by Medea into the form of a question. So in the next line $\phi \nu \hat{\omega}$ خ $\dot{\alpha} \rho$ stands as if oúк aid $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \circ \mu a \iota$ had preceded-(I will), for, etc., and in 329, $\pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \gamma \dot{a} \rho$, as after $\mu \nu \epsilon \dot{\epsilon}^{a} \nu$ é $\chi \epsilon \iota$-(Doubtless) for, etc. $\pi \epsilon i \sigma a r s$ : the regular form in Attic prose and the usual form in Attic poetry of the 1st aor. opt. act., 2nd and 3rd pers. sing.
 from $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \lambda \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon s$ ：see $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \lambda a ⿱ u^{\nu} \omega$ ．
 thing）$\pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \nu \omega \nu{ }^{\epsilon} \mu \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \gamma \epsilon$ ．Kreon implies a censure on Medea＇s flight from Kolchis；in $\pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \nu \nu \nu$ he is thinking of his anxiety for his daughter．

330．＇＇$\rho \omega \tau \epsilon \mathrm{s}$ ，love in general．
331．The sentence is elliptical，and in full would be $\ddot{\eta}$ какоे
 $\kappa \alpha \kappa \grave{\eta} \ddot{\eta} \dot{a} \gamma a \theta \dot{\eta}$ ．Kreon is mentally comparing with the fate of Medea the prosperous love of his daughter and Jason；Medea understands him and invokes a curse on it．kal must be omitted；we say so also in comparisons，the Greeks either so also or as also．

332．Let not him，who is the author of these woes，escape thee．Observe that $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \ldots \kappa a \kappa \omega \nu$ is an adjectival sentence，not a dependent interrogative．
 trouble of forcing you away by going quietly yourself．

334．I have my own pains（ $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i$ is emphatic）and need no more．＇I have not so little trouble nor you＇，she implies，＇so much，that I can be expected to save you any at my expense＇． She wishes to mark the brutality of Kreon＇s tone，considering the relative positions of the two．

338．тоиิтo：accusative of respect（as to that）after $\tau v \chi$ eiv $\bar{\sigma} o \hat{v}$（ $=$＇to obtain your grace＇）．Or，according to others，accusa－ tive after $\tau \cup \chi \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu, \sigma o \hat{v}$ depending on iккє́тєuбa．
 my hand，to which（see Analysis）she is clinging．mss．$\chi \theta$ ovós． This emendation（Wilamowitz）has been brought to my notice since the publication of my larger edition．I have placed it in the text（though I do not consider it demonstrably right）for the relation of the verbs $\beta \iota \dot{\alpha} \zeta \epsilon \iota$ ко兀゙к $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota$ suggests，if it does not require，that they should be more closely connected in sense than in the uss．reading．

341．ท̂ $\phi \epsilon v \xi \circ \dot{\sim} \mu \epsilon \theta a$ ，the manner of our exile，cf．$\tau \hat{\eta} \delta \epsilon$ ，$\tau$ aúr $\eta$ ， in this manner；this phrase forms the object to the verbal phrase $\xi \nu \mu \pi \epsilon \rho \hat{\alpha} \nu a \iota-\phi \rho о \nu \tau i \delta a$ ．The future of $\phi \epsilon \dot{\prime} \gamma \omega$ is regularly $\phi \in ⿺ 廴 ⿱ ㇒ ⿺ 𠃊 ⺊ ⺂ 七 о \mu a \iota, ~ r a r e l y ~ \phi \in v \xi o v ̂ \mu a \iota . ~$

342．$\dot{\alpha} \phi \circ \rho \mu \grave{\eta} \nu=$ means to＇start＇upon（ $\dot{\rho} \rho \mu \dot{\alpha} \omega)$ ．So the capital used in carrying on a business was called the $\dot{\alpha} \phi \circ \rho \mu \eta^{\prime}$ ．
345. Probably an interpolation. єikjs, not $\epsilon i \kappa \dot{s} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$, is the use of Euripides. Supply $\epsilon \hat{\imath}$ art in 344 .

 form of the perfect of $\delta \iota a \phi \theta \in i \rho \omega$ (cf. 226), I have spoilt.
 $\epsilon \nu \nu \epsilon \in \pi \omega$.
352. $\theta$ єov̂-'Hスiou.
$\hat{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \pi$-ьoûбa, the after-coming or succeeding.
354. $\lambda e ́ \lambda \epsilon к \tau a l$. The verb $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega$ has two perfects passive, $\lambda \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \gamma \mu a \iota$ and $\epsilon i \lambda \epsilon \gamma \mu a \iota$, corresponding respectively to its two meanings say and collect. Observe that neither of these is the common prose Attic for have been said, which is expressed by єip ${ }^{\prime} \mu a l$, the perf. passive of the same verb which supplies the fut. active $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \hat{\omega}, I$ shall say; see 364 .
356. Supply in so short a time.

359. тiva $\pi \rho \circ \xi \in \boldsymbol{v}^{\prime} \alpha v$ к. $\tau . \lambda$. To what protection, etc. A verb governing these accusatives must be supplied from $\pi 0 \hat{\imath} \pi o \tau \epsilon$
 In the mss. $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \rho \dot{\jmath} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon s$, will you discover, an ill chosen word, is inserted after 360 to simplify the construction. Another reading is $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \xi \epsilon v i a \nu$.
360. $\sigma \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \rho a$, the fem. form is commonly $\sigma \omega \tau \epsilon \hat{i} \rho a, \sigma \omega \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} \rho a \nu$, but cf. El. 993, $\tau \mu$ às $\sigma \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \rho a s$.
365. Constr. $\tau a v ิ \tau a$ ou゙тı $\pi \dot{\omega}(\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota) ~ \tau a \dot{v} \tau \eta$, it is not yet by any means come to that, viz. desperation. So in Aristoph. Eq. 843,

368. $\theta \omega \pi \epsilon \bar{v} \sigma a \mathrm{a} \dot{\alpha} v$, together. $\tau$ óv $\delta \epsilon$. She points to the place where he stood.
370. X $\in \rho o i ̂ v$, instrumental dative.
371. $\mu \omega$ pias, weakiness, exaggerated sentiment; see on 61 .

373. $\dot{\alpha} \phi \hat{\eta} \kappa \in \nu$, remitted; others $\epsilon \notin \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \nu$, permitted.
375. Observe that this plan is modified in the sequel.
377. ÉyXє $\llcorner\rho \hat{\omega}$, deliberative subjunctive, I am to, etc. The tense is changed in $\dot{\dot{\psi}} \phi \dot{d} \psi \omega$ and ${ }^{*} \tilde{\omega} \sigma \omega$ ( $\dot{\omega} \theta \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ ) merely because the actions are instantaneous: iфárt $\omega$ would mean be about lighting.

$$
5 \cdots 2
$$

382．$\dot{v} \pi \in \rho \beta$ aivovora，overpassing，i．e．entering．
384．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \in \dot{\nu} \theta \epsilon i \alpha v$, supply óóv．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \ldots \dot{\partial} \delta o ́ \nu$ is in apposition to $\hat{\eta}$ ．．．è $\lambda \in i \bar{\nu}$ ．Best take the obvious way－to slay them，as we are most skilled to do，by poison．We，i．e．we Colchians or we de－ scendants of the Sun，hence the masc．oodoi．See on 314. mss．$\sigma o \phi a i$ ，which would refer the remark to the female sex； but it is then hardly true．Circe，the other＂daughter of the Sun，＂was，like Medea，a great фapuakis：the power of the sun in creating and distilling，as it were，the juices of plants is probably the origin of this legend．See on 963.

386．кal $\delta \dot{\eta} \tau \in \theta v a \hat{\sigma}$ ．Suppose them，then，dead．Lit．＇and now they are dead＇．

392．If a helpless misfortune exiles me，that is apparently ＇if when my exile comes I am without a plan＇．

394．то̀ картєро̀ то́ $\lambda \mu \eta \mathrm{s}$ ，the bold（path，supplied from $\epsilon i \mu l)$ of daring．She pursues the metaphor of the mo八入ai ci $\delta o i$ ， see 376， 391.
 pina Luna Diana＇，in her function as the moon，by whose light ＇Medea gathered the enchanted herbs，that did renew old Жson＇ （Merch．of Venice，v．1）．The association of the weird moon－ light with magical rites is easily understood．




400．кๆ̂ठos．Cf． 367.
403．＇＇pa＇＇́s tò $\delta$ cıvòv，go up to the peril，i．e．fuce it．ᄂิ̂v．．．


404．ó $\phi \lambda \epsilon \grave{\nu} \nu$ ，see $\dot{o} \phi \lambda \iota \sigma \kappa \alpha ́ \nu \omega$ ．
405．Suvuфєiors yárors．From Sisyphus，the founder of



 two senses，first as contracted with a princess of that house， and also as worthy of the к＇́ $\rho \delta \sigma \sigma \tau o s \tilde{a}^{\prime} \nu \delta \rho \omega \nu$ himself．So the crafty Ulysses is called by way of reproach $\tau \grave{o}$ 之 $\iota \sigma \dot{\prime} \phi \epsilon \iota \circ \nu \sigma \pi \epsilon^{\prime} \rho \mu \alpha$
 The dative $\gamma{ }^{\alpha} \mu o<s$ is causal．toî $\delta^{\prime}$ ．Mss．$\tau$ ois $\tau$＇．

410．See the Analysis．äv $\mathrm{x} \omega \mathrm{p}$ 人vat mayai，the fountain runs up，a proverbial expression for a complete change in the natural order of things，signifying here that the treachery com－ monly attributed to woman is transferred to man．Fountains
and rivers, with all other elemental things such as $\pi \hat{\imath} p, \gamma \hat{\eta}$, ${ }^{0} \mu \beta \beta \rho o s$, etc., bore the epithet iєpós, sacred or mystic.
411. Siка кal $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \mathrm{ta}$, order and the universe. סiкn, properly wont or custom, whence the phrase diкnע rivós, like (after the way of) a thing. The common (later) meaning, justice, does not seem appropriate here.
412. 'Tis men whose counsels are treacherous and their sacred oath no longer sure. ávסpáoı, emphatic, 'man, instead of woman'. Observe that $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ in this line is answered by $\tau \grave{\alpha} \nu$ $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon \mu, \dot{\alpha} \nu$, not by $\theta \epsilon \omega \bar{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon$. $\quad \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ riotis, the gods' pledge, i.e. the pledge taken by men in their name.
 $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota a \nu$ 't $\chi \in \iota \nu$, legend shall bring my estate into (convert it so as to be in) good repute, i.e. poets shall write in praise of woman's truth instead of inveighing against her treachery. By my estate
 see on 296.
417. $\tau \mu \mathbf{\alpha}$, compensation (lit. payment) for unjust reproach
 (reward) $\lambda a \chi \epsilon i \nu$; and elsewhere: cf. $\tau \iota \mu a \nu$ in 660.
418. ov̉кє́ть $\notin \xi \in \mathrm{G}$, will hold no longer, i.e. will let go.
420. It was the fashion of the ancient bards or reciters ( $\dot{\alpha} 0 \delta_{0} \hat{i}^{\prime}$, whose ill-treatment of women is here rebuked, to commence a poem by inviting the muses to 'begin' it, and to end by inviting them to 'cease from' ( $\lambda \eta \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu$ ) or 'close' it. See for in-

 different sense of 'abandon altogether' is a sort of parody, and for the same reason the Ionic contraction $\dot{v} \mu \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma a l$ (for $\dot{v} \mu \nu \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\sigma} \sigma a l$ ) is used instead of the regular Attic $\dot{\nu} \mu \nu \hat{i} \sigma a l$, as belonging to the dialect in which the poems of the doovoi (for example the Iliad and Odyssey) were written. The double construction of $\lambda \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ with the genitive and with the participle is also Homeric.
$\pi a \lambda a \iota \gamma \epsilon \nu^{\epsilon} \omega \nu$, long-descended, i.e. traditional. ípvevoat has a double sense, either 'celebrating in song', or 'repeating frequently'; cf. the English harping upon. We have a specimen of these invectives against women in Hesiod (Theog. 591).


 note), cf. Hom. Od. vimr. 498, ís äpo roc (to thee, the bard Dema-

 $\omega ̈ \pi \alpha \sigma \epsilon \nu$ aotoخ̀ $\nu$ must be supplied from the previous sentence. I
should have sung an answering strain to (that of) the male ireed, i.e. we women would have attacked men in poctry as they have attacked us.
428. Constr. $\epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \hat{i v}$, has much to say of, i.e. furnishes abundant material for attacking. $\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \tau \in \in p a v$ (sc. $\gamma v \nu a t-$ $\kappa \hat{\omega} \nu) \mu o \hat{\rho} \alpha \nu$, the women's division of the human race; $\mu \hat{\imath} \rho \bar{\rho}$ here $=\mu \hat{\ell} \rho o s$, with which it is connected in etymology. Cf. Supp. 244. For $\mu \dot{i} \nu . . . \tau \epsilon$ see on 125 .
431. Thou didst quit for a ship thy father's house. So Helen in Aesch. Ag. 690 flies with Paris quitting her silken cur-

432. opiaaбa, having parted off, i.e. left behind, or perhaps having divided, i.e. passed between. тє́tpas, see 2. тóvrov, the Main; see on 212.
435. Having lost the bed where thou liest husbandless, lit. of thy husbandless lying. It has been proposed to avoid the difficulty of this expression by reading $\chi \theta o v i \cdot \tau \hat{s}$ ávav $\delta \rho o s$, кoitas iไध $\sigma \alpha \sigma a \lambda \epsilon \kappa \kappa \tau \omega \nu$, where $\tau \hat{\alpha}$ is relative referring to $\chi \theta o \nu i$ and depending upon $\epsilon \lambda a \dot{v} v \epsilon$, and кoitas is plural.
438. There is probably an allusion in these lines to the disturbed state of Hellas at the time ( 431 в.с.) of the production of the play and the dissolution of political connexions which led up to and accompanied the Peloponnesian war. xápis, the spell or charm, i.e. the power to bind. $\quad \beta \epsilon \in \beta a \kappa \epsilon=\beta \epsilon \epsilon \beta \eta \kappa \epsilon$, see $\beta$ аiv $\omega$.
439. In all the breadth of Hellas. $\dot{\alpha} v \in \pi \pi a=\dot{\alpha} \nu \in \in \pi \tau \eta$, see д̀ $\nu a \pi \epsilon \tau о \mu а \iota$.
440. Constr. סó $\mu c \iota$ oú $\pi \alpha ́ \rho a(=\pi \alpha ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \sigma \iota) \mu \in \theta о \rho \mu i \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota \quad \mu o ́-$ $\chi \theta \omega \nu$. For the construction of the two last words cf. 258. $\pi \dot{a} p a$ (observe the accent) stands often for $\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota$, more rarely, as here, for the plural.

445. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \alpha=\dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \eta$, here a strict passive, was set over. Observe the use of this aor. and of $\alpha^{\prime} \nu^{\prime} \pi \tau \eta$ in 440 ; as in both cases the effect of the action still continues we should in English naturally use a perfect or present, is set over.
446. 'IA $\Sigma \Omega N$. See the story and Analysis in the Introduction.
447. ' óprìv, humour or temper (not passion), cf. 176.
448. $\pi$ apòv, acc. absolute, it being possible for you, i.e. when you might have, etc. In the same way are used $\delta \delta_{o v}$, троб $\hat{\eta} к \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ (it being right) and some other participles. Cf. $\bar{\epsilon} \xi \boldsymbol{\jmath} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ 372.
450. For the sake of foolish words, i.e. rather than forego the pleasure of uttering them.
453. Supply to a the antecedent $\tau$ oúr $\omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$ (for that) depending upon $\zeta \eta \mu \iota o v \mu e ́ v \eta$.

456. áфńpovv, used to check, cf. є่ $\lambda \epsilon i \nu$ in 385.
457. ávíts, contracted from $\dot{\alpha} \nu i \epsilon \epsilon s, ~ i m p f . ~ f r o m ~ a \dot{a} i \eta \mu$
 see on 61 .
 $\grave{\epsilon}_{\kappa} \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$, to expel, banish.

 $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu, \dot{a} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \pi \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu, \dot{a} \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \eta \kappa \epsilon \in \nu \alpha$, etc. $=$ to cry off, and so to give uay, be exhausted.
 as you know ( $\delta \grave{\eta}$ ), in your behalf. mss. tò $\sigma \grave{\nu} \nu \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ or tò $\sigma o ́ v \gamma \epsilon$.
466. This passage has been garbled. 466 is scarcely intelligible as it stands. It purports to be an explanation of тоиิто in 465 , for that (viz. таүка́кьбтє) is the greatest reproach that I can speak with my tongue against your unmanliness, but it is open to many objections, particularly the emphatic position of the useless word $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \eta$. No satisfactory correction has been proposed. The line is not necessary at all (the remark for that I may call you may be referred to Jason's permission in 452 of the particular epithet ка́кєбтоs which Medea uses), and is probably an interpolation.

## 467. $\gamma \in \gamma \omega$ s, see 215.

468. Interpolated from 1324.
469. It is possible to take $\theta \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma o s$ and cúto入uia either as words of praise (courage, bravery) contrasted with dual $\delta \in \iota a$, or as milder synonyms of avaidєia itself. This (conduct) is no mere assurance or hardihood, it is that worst of man's diseases, loss of shame. This latter way is favoured by the use of $\theta \rho$ á $\sigma$ os which has always either a neutral or a bad sense.

470 is not metrical, having no caesura. The quasi-caesura
 inserted to explain tój́є, as 466 to explain roûto.
475. On the allusions here see the story in the Introduction.
476. A verse unpleasant from the repetition of the letter $\sigma$. For this and other such Luripides was satirised by the contemporary comedians.
478. ب̧́viphalol $\tau$ av́pwy (a yoke of bulls) is constructed with $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta \nu$ as if it were a participle ( $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau a r \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \nu \tau a$, like $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \circ \hat{\nu} \nu \tau a)$ and this in turn depends upon $\pi \epsilon \mu \phi \theta \theta^{\prime} \nu \tau a$, sent as breaker, i.e. sent to break. ̇̇ $\pi \iota \sigma \sigma a \dot{\sigma} \eta \mathrm{n}$ was the technical term for a professional trainer or breaker-in of draught animals. Šee Plato, Apol. 20 A.
480. $\dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \epsilon \in \chi \omega v$, surrounding. Others $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \in \pi \omega \nu$, tending.
482. ávérxov may be either transitive raised, or intransitive rose. Probably the first. \$áos, metaphorical.
 comparative was probably colloquial and confined in writing to certain familiar phrases. Cf. Hdt. iII. 65, é $\pi$ oínoa $\tau \alpha \chi u ́ \tau \epsilon \rho a \ddot{\eta}$ бофы́тє $\rho$.
485. See the story in the Introduction. $\omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ is explained by $\pi$ aiôw $\dot{\text { ím }}$, aitoû.

 fow cleverly' I beguiled my father's fears by a false story. The genitive aiv $\left(\omega \nu\right.$, governed by $\xi_{\xi}^{-}$, must be supplied from $\pi a i \delta \omega \nu$. Others supply $\sigma o \hat{u}$.
438. $\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\omega} v$. She identifies the cause of her children with her own.

494. $\theta_{\epsilon \sigma \mu . \dot{d}, ~ i r r e g u l a r ~ p l u r a l ~ o f ~}^{\theta \epsilon \sigma \mu o ́ s: ~ c f . ~ \delta i ф \rho а, ~ к и ́ к \lambda a, ~}$



497. र $\rho \dot{\omega} \dot{\jmath} \epsilon \iota$, to touch the surface of a thing, feel, handle, here signifies in a contemptuous way the false and unmeaning action of the suppliant Jason (see on 65) making his vows of gratitude.
500. Although I do not think to obtain any kindness from you. The rhetorical question standing for the negative (What to I think? for I do not think, cf. 565) is in English possible only in the principal clause; in Greek it may stand also in a dependent clause, such as the participial $\delta о к о \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \ldots \kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega}$ here. $\gamma \epsilon$ throws an indignant emphasis upon $\sigma o \hat{u}$.
502. тра́төцац. See 377.
503. oús...кal márpav. For the order cf. 496.
$504 . \gamma^{\prime} \ldots 0$ ôv $=$ रoîv which introduces something as primâ facie evidence (here ironical) in favour of what precedes.

ธ07．oűs．．．$\delta$ pâv，the family of Pelias，whom Medea had no need to injure inasmuch as it was not her wrong but Jason＇s which she avenged upon them．

509．то入入ais $\mu$ ккарiav，blest in the opinion of many a woman．

510．Өavムaбтòv．．．кäสเซтov．A husband to wonder at，a husband not－ah，not indeed－to be believed．ämıaтos is here in the first place a synonym of $\theta a v \mu a \sigma \tau$ ós，incredibly good，too good to hope for：（see Soph．Phil． 807 тò $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i \delta \omega \nu \ddot{\prime} \ddot{a}^{\pi} \iota \sigma \tau 0 \nu$ and the Lexicon under ätıstos）．But the ironical use of it in this sense naturally suggests its literal truth in the other sense of false，faithless，and calls forth the exclamation $\dot{\eta} \tau a ́ \lambda a u \prime$＇$\quad \gamma \dot{\omega}$ ． A very similar point is made by the orator Andokides（9．32）， in his $\pi i \sigma \tau \iota \dot{\alpha} \pi \iota \sigma \tau o \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta$ ，an assurance beyond belief．
 remark that $\pi / \sigma \tau \grave{\partial} \nu$ is out of place，the context requiring a word of the same complexion as $\theta a v \mu a \sigma \tau \grave{\nu}$ ．His proposed sub－ stitute（ $\sigma \epsilon \pi r \dot{\partial} \nu$ ）has not found favour，for good reasons；and I therefore now offer my own．］

514．ка入òv（honourable or creditable，ironical）governs $\tau \hat{\psi}$ $\nu \nu \mu \phi i \varphi$ ．кало̀ $\partial \quad$ ócioos is in apposition to the idea contained in
 $\sigma \epsilon$ ．
 finite relative clause in Attic Prose would require $\ddot{\alpha} \nu \hat{\eta}$ ，but the simple subjunctive is frequent in Homer and occurs in the Attic

 amples in prose are rare and most of them doubtful．The thought is borrowed from Theognis（elegiac poet of Megara，




 and on $\sigma \dot{\epsilon} \mu a t \iota$.

521．$\phi$（ $\lambda \mathrm{lot}$ ，those near and dear；the word friend does not cover $\phi^{\prime}$ ios as used in poetry；lovers would be here much nearer．

522．како̀v $\lambda^{\text {éfecv }}$（explanatory infinitive，cf．264），together．
523．Borrowed from Aesch．Theb．62，$\sigma \dot{\nu} \dot{\delta}{ }^{\prime}$＂̈̈ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \nu a o ̀ s ~ \kappa \epsilon \delta \nu o ̀ s$ oiaкобтрофоs．$̈ \sigma \tau \epsilon, a s$ ，a puetical use．

524．a＇xpourı $\lambda$ al申ovs kpaonéסots，with the topmost edge of the canvas（only），i．e．with sails reefed up，to escape the
violence of the wind. Cf. Aristoph. Ran. 990, ovjrei入as, $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho о i ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \chi \rho \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$ оs $\tau$ oîs iotiols.
526. kal emphasizes the whole phrase 入iay $\pi \nu \rho \gamma \frac{1}{s} \chi^{\prime} \rho(\nu$, giving the effect of the English 'since you will exaggerate, etc.'

529 . At the word $\lambda \epsilon \pi \tau$ òs Jason interrupts himself, and the antithesis implied by $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ is not expressed. 'Though you have much wisdom, you have also', he was about to say, 'strong passions, and were led to save me simply by love'. But with an assumption of generosity ( $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \phi 00 \nu o ́ s ~ \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \lambda o ́ \gamma o s ~ \delta \iota \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{\epsilon})$ he cuts this narrative short.
j31. tógors d́фúkrots. The mss. vary between this and $\pi{ }^{\text {óv}} \boldsymbol{\nu} \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \phi \dot{\alpha} \kappa \tau \omega \nu$.
532. $\theta$ niбoual, reckon, as if he were taking an account between Medea and himself.
533. Literally, for in whatever way (ön $\eta$ oĩv) you served me, it is satisfactory, i.e. I am content with the lindness, howsoever done.
 tive, of my safety what you have gained is more than what you have given, i.e. in saving me your gain has been greater than

 depends upon this implied raíta and éкєiva. It is also taken either (1) as the genitive of compasison after $\mu \epsilon i j \omega$, upon which $\hat{\eta} \delta \epsilon \delta \delta \omega \kappa a s$ must of course also depend, you have received more than my safety (more, that is,) than you have given, or (2) as the genitive of price, in return for my safety. elinpas, see $\lambda \not \approx \mu \beta \dot{\nu} \omega$.
537. The prevalence among the Hellenes of the idea of law as the guide of men's lives instead of the irregular action of despotic force was the chief mark of their advance in civilisation beyond foreign peoples ( $\beta$ áp $\beta a \rho o \iota$ ), and they were justly proud of it.
538. $\tau \in$ couples $\delta i \kappa \eta \nu$ (morality) to the substantival infinitive $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \nu o ́ \mu o s s$ (how to live by law), and both depend upon érioтaбal. $\pi \rho$ òs ioxvos Xápıv, according to the pleasure of might.

 of view, as Hellas extended her bounds by maritime colonies only, the eastern extremity of the Euxine was literally 'the end of the world '.

512-544. 'I should not care for either riches or genius without fame'.
 mitted), to be supplied from the previous sentence.
 much more rarely of other words) may be elided after a long vowel preceding. This is called Prodelision.
545. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \bar{\epsilon} \mu \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o ́ v \omega \nu \pi \epsilon \in \rho$, i.e. concerning the Argonautic part of the story, which might be called móvol 'Iá⿱ovos, as the various enterprises of Herakles were called $\pi$ óvo $^{\prime}$ 'Нраклєоs. Jason implies that his modesty would not have allowed him to refer to it, if Medea had not compelled him.
548. $\epsilon \tau \tau \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon$, in this matter, to be taken with $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \omega$ 's. $\sigma o \phi o ́ s$ $\ldots \sigma \dot{\omega} \phi \rho \omega \nu \ldots \mu \dot{\epsilon} \gamma$ as $\phi \dot{\lambda} \lambda o s$, see the Analysis. $\mu \dot{\gamma} \gamma a s$, powerful.
 words is on the point of breaking into speech, as appears by her gestures. Jason coldly checks her.

 pronoun $\tau 0 \hat{\delta} \delta \mathrm{e}$ is explained by the clause with $\ddot{\eta}$, as it might be by the equivalent substantival form $\tau 0 \hat{v} \pi a \hat{\imath} \delta a \gamma \hat{\eta} \mu a \iota$.
555. $\hat{\mathfrak{n}}$, where. The antecedent is $\sigma \grave{\nu} \nu \ldots \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ v o s$, treated as one thought, not weary-your sore point-of you, and smitten \&c.
557. bent upon a rivalry in numerous offspring, i.e. anxious to surpass my existing family by a larger one.
558. Supply $\pi$ aîdés $\epsilon i \sigma \iota$.
562. $\theta \rho є ́ \psi \alpha \mu \nu$, see $\tau \rho \epsilon ́ \phi \omega$.
563. Jason proposes by comlining the families to give to his children by Medea the princely rank which his expected children would inherit from their mother.
 not according to our rules, is perfectly logical and was in Greek also conventionally grammatical. The participle refers to one only of the subjects to the plural verb, Jason. Cf. Aesch. Eum.

 sing. є $\dot{\delta} \delta a \iota \mu \nu \nu$ oin $\nu$ but the subsequent explanation ( $\sigma o i \tau \epsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \ldots$ $\dot{\epsilon}$, $o i(\tau \epsilon$ ) shows the plural to be correct.
566. тéxvoss, instrumental ; by means of.
558. ov̉סさ̀ $\sigma \dot{\imath}$, together.
569. '่s тобоіิтоข $\ddot{\eta}^{\prime} \kappa \epsilon \theta^{\prime} \omega ̈ \sigma \tau \epsilon$, this is the sum of $y$ ou, that, i.e. this is your scope or range of ideas, you have no thought for anything beyond. Literally, you come to so much, an arithmetical expression in which Greek and English coincide. Cf.
 she does not amount even to a mumber in my reckoning. Another way is to supply $\mu \omega \rho^{\prime} a^{\prime}$ or some such genitive after $\tau 0 \sigma o \hat{\tau} \tau \nu$, translating you are come to such a pitch (of licentiousness).
573. тiӨєбӘє, you reckon.
577. кєi $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \alpha^{\gamma} \gamma \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \nu$ є́ $\rho \hat{\omega}$, though I shall speak indiscreetly (in saying so). See the Analysis. For mapà $\gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta \nu$, lit. contrary to judgment, see Thuk. I. 70, каi $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{a} ~ \gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta \nu \quad \kappa \nu \nu-$ סuveutai, venturesome even to indiscretion.


 § $\eta \mu i a)$, i.e. is damaged by his eloquence. ' $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \delta^{\prime}$ oủk äyav ooфòs, is not so very wise after all; his cleverness proves to be folly.

584 may also be read and punctuated thus, ís кai oì $\mu \dot{\eta}$ v仑̂̀ к.т.入. Accordingly do not you in this case try your plausibility upon me. The text is, So is it with you: do not therefore, etc.
 $\sigma \epsilon$, a metaphor from wrestling. See $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \epsilon \dot{\prime} \nu \omega$.
587. $\mu \dot{\eta} \sigma เ \gamma \hat{n}$ $\phi i \lambda \omega v$, not hiding it from those who loved you. $\sigma \iota \hat{\eta}$ is dative of the manner, $\phi i \lambda \omega \nu$ objective genitive: $\sigma \iota \gamma \dot{\eta} \tau \iota \nu 0$ (masc.) answers to the verbal construction $\sigma \iota \gamma \hat{\alpha} \nu \tau i$ rıva, to conceal a thing from a person.
588. oîpaı, I trow or doubtless with ironical emphasis. Another reading is $\kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \bar{s} \gamma^{\prime}$ äd oîv $\mu, 0<$ where $\mu$ oc as well as $\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega$ depends upon $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \eta \rho \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \iota$. $\lambda$ ó $\gamma \varphi$, proposal.
590. тo $\lambda \mu \hat{̣} s$, can. $\mu \in \theta \leqslant i ̂ \nu a l$, see $\mu \in \theta i \eta \mu u$.
591. тоиิто, the belief that if consulted I should refuse
 à̇то̀... $\mathrm{H} \varepsilon p \iota \kappa \lambda \dot{\mu} \mu \in \nu o s$, but Pcriklymenos restrained his fury.
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} . . . \sigma o \mathrm{o}$. Either, looling to old age marriage with a forcigner began to appear discreditable, i.e. you thought that your passion for a foreigner, which was excusable in youth, would be differently regarded as you advanced in years: or, the foreign wife (another sense of $\lambda \epsilon$ '́os) was getting an old face (lit. passing into an old age) that did you no credit, i.e. as she lost her good looks you ceased to take pride in the possession of
her．According to the second view the construction is $\beta$ áp $\beta a \rho o v$

［The first rendering involves，as I think，an impossible rendering of $\epsilon \kappa \beta a i \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，which，on the other hand，is used by Plato（see Lexicon）for the passage from one time of life to another，e．g．$\grave{\epsilon} \kappa \beta \alpha i \nu \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\eta} \lambda t \kappa i a \nu \tau o \hat{v} \gamma \in \nu \nu \hat{a} \nu$ ．The construction suggested above seems simpler and better than that which I be－ fore proposed，$\pi$ рòs $\gamma \hat{\eta} \rho a s($ as she grew old） $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi \in \beta a \iota \nu \in \nu$ oủk $\epsilon \ddot{\delta} \delta o \xi o \nu]$ ．

599．kviço．In an optative sentence relative clauses follow the mood of the principal．English uses the indicative present．

600．oî $\theta$＇ws $\mu$＇́єev\}̧al; The construction (common in Euripides and Aristophanes，and occurring more rarely in Sophokles）is $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \cup \xi a \_-o \hat{\sigma} \theta \theta^{\prime} \dot{\omega}$ ；Change the prayer－do you know how？i．e．Change the prayer as $I$ will teach you．The following кai $\sigma 0 \phi \omega \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a ~ \phi a \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ is constructed as if for oîo $\theta^{\prime}$ is


604．$\phi \varepsilon v \xi 0 \hat{\mu} \mu a l$ ．This form of the future（for the common $\phi \in \dot{\xi}$ onal），regular in the Doric dialect，is occasionally used in Attic poetry．$\kappa \lambda a v \sigma \alpha \dot{\prime} \mu \epsilon \theta a$（ $\kappa \lambda a i \omega$ ）and $\chi \in \sigma o \hat{\imath} \mu a \iota(\chi \epsilon \bar{\xi} \omega$ ）were also used，and perhaps $\dot{\rho} \epsilon v \sigma o \hat{u} \mu a \iota ~(\rho \epsilon \in \omega), \pi \nu \in v \sigma o v ̂ \mu a l ~(~ \pi \nu \epsilon \epsilon \omega)$ ，and a few others．Curtias，Greek Verb，p．470，Eng．trans．

605．aitıิิ，see aitıáo $\mu a \iota$ ．
606．$\gamma \alpha \mu o v=\alpha$ ．The active（proper only to the man，$\gamma \alpha$－ но⿱亠䒑日at being said of the woman）is used in order to point the aim at Jason，＇Did I do to you as you did to me？＇Supply єiスóu $\eta$ ．

608．Your house too，maybe，is for my sake accursed． Jason having outraged in the person of Medea the sanctity of the family tie，she has become doaios dóuous，a curse to his house， and her presence is therefore a standing reproach to him． This，she says，and not the offence against Kreon upon which Jason insists（607），is the real cause of her expulsion．The king is not at all events（ $\gamma \epsilon$ ）the sole person to whom she is dangerous．The use of $\tau v \gamma \chi \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$ ov̂ $\sigma a, I$ am perchance，gives a mocking tone of insinuation to her remark．

603．$\omega$ s for $\left[i \sigma \theta_{l}\right]$ is understand that is common in Euni－ pides and gives a strong positive tone．кpıvov̂pal，will dispute， крíцє $\theta a<$ ，properly＇to have something parted between oneself
 i．e．the further matter（note the article）which you have yet to
 you ask the further question（which you were about to put）．
610. Another reading is $\sigma \alpha v \tau \hat{\eta} \phi v \gamma \hat{\eta} s$, where $\phi v \gamma \hat{\eta} s$ as well as $\chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ will depend on $\pi \rho \rho \sigma \omega \phi \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \eta \mu a$. See on 49.
612. Eтоноs: the omission of $\epsilon i \mu i$ with this adjective is regular, as also with $\phi \rho o \hat{\delta} \delta o s$ and $\epsilon i \kappa o ́ s ~(\epsilon i \kappa \omega ́ s) . ~$
613. $\xi u ́ \mu \beta o \lambda a$. tokens or tallies sent with a traveller to foreign friends ( $\xi^{\prime} \in \nu 0 u$ ) of the sender, and serving as a simple sort of letters of introduction. According to the ancient com mentary, the special kind of $\sigma \dot{u} \mu \beta \lambda_{0} \lambda_{o \nu}$ intended was made by dividing $\dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \rho \alpha ́ \gamma a \lambda a$ (bone-dice), each $\xi \in \notin \nu o s$ taking a part.
614. $\mu \omega \rho a v \in i$ is. See on 61 .

 $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \dot{\delta} \pi \iota o \iota \delta \dot{\prime} \mu \omega \nu, \delta \omega \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$ ёк $\kappa \eta \mu o s$, etc. Such periphrases are part of the poetic or tragic style, and the word $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\xi} \dot{\omega} \pi \iota o s$, in particular, seems to have been characteristic of Euripides, as it is introduced by Aristophanes (Thesm. 881) in a parody of his language.
 been said, i.e. if God will, a formula to avoid presumption in anticipating the future. Cf. $\sigma \dot{v} \nu \theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$ in S02, and $\sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \theta \epsilon o i ̂ s ~ i n ~$ 915.
626. $\gamma a \mu \kappa i s$. Second pers. sing. of the contracted future $\gamma a \mu \hat{\omega}$ ( $\gamma \alpha \mu \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ from $\gamma \alpha \mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \omega$ ). Cf. к $\alpha \lambda \hat{\omega}, \tau \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega}$, etc. You shall have such a 'wedding' as you would fain refuse. The 'wedding' is her vengeance called so by way of mockery; see on 957 and 1159.
627. See the Analysis.
vik̀p...ä $\gamma$ av: the idea of excess is repeated for emphasis, cf. Aesch. Pers. 794, $\tau o \dot{s} \dot{s} \dot{\pi} \epsilon \rho \pi \dot{o}^{\prime} \lambda \lambda o u s$ ä $\gamma a \nu$.
629. á $\rho \in \tau \dot{\alpha} v$ : here as often in poetry synonymous with $\epsilon \dot{v} \delta o \xi ̆ i a \nu$, renown. $\pi a p \notin \delta \omega \kappa \alpha v$, allow, permit ; an aorist may represent habitual occurrence (called 'gnomic' as being used in $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\nu} \hat{\omega} \mu a \iota$, proverbs); in English we ase the present.

 ing on oigtóv, an arrow from thy golden armoury. oiotóv is object both to $\epsilon \phi \epsilon i \eta s$ and $\chi$ рiбaбa.
635. $\sigma \tau$ '́you, cover, as with a shield; the metaphor of the arrow is still pursued. The mss. have $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \gamma o l$, a word unfit for the context.

 for another love, i.e. with desire for it.
641. But favouring peaceful wedlock, may she with discernment assort our marri, ges. крiveı has its original sense to divide or distribute. Aphrodite is implored to judge with care the dispositions of men and women, and by distributing them suitably to prevent quarrels and changes of affection.
645. tòv. The Greek 'article' has sometimes its original force as a demonstrative. So here, that hard and helpless life. $\delta v \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho a \tau o v:$ lit. hard to traverse. Others oiктротát $\omega v$ : for the double genitive $\dot{\alpha} \mu a \chi a v i a s . . . \dot{a} \chi \epsilon \in \nu$, see on 49.
648. $\delta \alpha \mu \epsilon i \eta v$, see $\delta а \mu a ́ \delta \omega . \quad \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a v$, metaph. for life.
653. The object to $\epsilon i \delta o \mu \epsilon \nu$ and ${ }^{\prime \prime} \chi \omega$ must be supplied from the previous sentence, viz. 'the fact that exile is the worst of ills'. $\mu \hat{v} \theta_{0} \nu$ is predicative. The literal rendering is $I$ have seen it, I may reflect upon it not as a tale from others, i.e. From my own eyes and not from the mouth of others have I learnt the

660. $\tau \mu \mu \hat{\alpha} v$, to requite, from $\tau \iota \mu \dot{\eta}$ in its proper sense of price or payment. See ou 415 .
katapàv. Where a genitive forms a sort of compound with the sabstantive on which it depends (as here $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \Omega a \phi \rho \in \nu \omega \bar{\omega}$ =heart-key) an adject.ve may agree in inflection with the principal substantive though in sense it belongs to the de-

 and refer in sense to $\phi \rho \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$, but it may also, perhaps better, be taken closely with $\dot{\alpha} \nu o i \xi \alpha \nu \tau a$, opening clear or clean, as каӨapà óós is an open road. Others käapâv, gen. plur. fem.
663. See Analysis.
665. Pandion, a former king of Athens. No special reason for the epithet $\sigma 0 \phi 0 \hat{0}$ appears to be known.
668. And why (i.e. for what enquiry) did you journey to the oracular centre of the earth? or according to others $\theta_{\in}$ $\sigma \pi \iota \omega \delta o ̀ v$ i̧̧ávets, Why did you sit upon, \&c. The ó $\mu \phi a \lambda$ ós was a sacred stone upon the floor of the temple of Apollo at Delphi, supposed (whence its name) to be the centre of the earth. Suppliants, and perhaps also persons consulting, sat upon it (Aesch. Eum. 40). í̧ávels is a 'historic' present corresponding to an English past tense. mss. either $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta$ or $i \kappa \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \epsilon$.
670. Yàp. See on 326 .
675. Literally, words more subtle than in proportion to a man to guess, i.e. words subtle beyond man's understanding.


676. $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ in an interrogative sentence implies that the speaker wishes or feels bound to assume an affirmative answer, and intends to pass on to another question or inference, as Medea does here in 678. Cf. 1129.
677. étel rol kal. 'Since as you see it is just a subtle wit that it needs'. The words in italics give the full force of rot and кai, the last throwing an emphasis upon all that follows it.
679. A symbolical injunction to observe chastity. $\pi \rho o u ̈ \chi \omega \nu$ ( $\pi \rho \circ \epsilon \in \chi \omega \nu) \pi$ ) $\dot{\nu} \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa o \hat{v}$ is the hanging foot of an animal's skin, out of which was made the neck of the leather bottle ( $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa o ́ s$ ).
684. єv̇бєß'́धтatos. A very pious man, not 'most pious

688. See the Analysis.
694. '่̇ ${ }^{\prime}$ ' $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath}$, succeeding to $m e$ : to make a second marriage was $\epsilon \pi r \gamma a \mu \epsilon i \nu$.
695. mss. $\ddot{\eta} \pi o u($ or $\hat{\eta} \pi o v)$ perhaps, which does not express the required surprise. Editors read either $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi o v$ (cf. Aesch. P. V. 247), or oü $\pi o v$, cf. Hel. 135.
696. то仑̂, see on 645 .

698-701. These four lines form two interrupted speeches, 698 being continuous with 700 , and 699 with 701 . From the first mention of Jason's treachery in 690, Aigeus follows the successive details with rising impatience and indignation (ob-
 $\lambda \hat{\sigma}^{\gamma}(\boldsymbol{\nu})$; and here, when Medea, after saying enough to show the drift of her answer to the alternative question of 697 , puts in by way of parenthesis the ironical interrogatory $\pi i \sigma \tau o s$ ouik
 for the rest hastens to a fresh question, in the midst of which Medea's sentence concludes. This dialogue (690-708) must be supposed to be delivered with extreme rapidity and excitement on both sides; in fact, as far as stage necessity permits, Medea and Aigeus here 'both speak at once'.
 repeating the apology of Jason (see 550-567 and compare 884-888) that his motive in marrying the princess was the advantage of such a powerful connexion ( $\kappa \hat{\eta} \delta o s$ ).
[In my larger edition I took $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \gamma a \nu{ }^{\epsilon} \rho \omega \tau \alpha$ ( $\dot{\epsilon} \rho a \sigma \theta \epsilon i(s) \pi \iota \sigma \tau o ̀ s$
 ambitious passion made him false to his old love, and I still
 \# $\phi v \phi(\lambda o t s)$, which leaves the latter clanse 'in the air'. But the supposed ellipse of the participle is ill-suited to the form
of the sentence, and the connexion now proposed smooths away bnth this offence and also the uncouth abruptness of 700. The point of Medea's parenthesis would be more obvious if we read

Is he not an incredible friend? ärtoros having the same expressive ambiguity as in 511: and the authority of the mss. is as good for this reading as for the other.]
699. This also is an incomplete sentence, afterwards finished by $\delta i \delta \omega \sigma \iota \delta^{\prime}$ aỉ $\tau \hat{\omega} \tau i s$; in 701 , where $\delta \grave{~ m a r k s, ~ a s ~ o f t e n, ~}$ not the beginning of a new sentence, but the begiming of the second part (apodosis) of the conditional sentence, єimef... како́s being the first part. If, says Aigeus, Jason really has the baseness to desert you, what father is willing to make himself an accomplice in the crime by giving him his daughter in marriage? An example of an interrupted sentence in $\sigma \tau \iota \chi 0-$ $\mu \nu \theta i a$, somewhat similar in the circumstances, will be found at Tro. 716-720.

KT $\boldsymbol{K} \boldsymbol{v v v}$. An exclamation expressing impatience and contempt, as in 798,819 . Others talie 699 as a complete sentence, Away with him, if he is as base as you say: but there is no authority for this rendering of $i^{\prime} \tau \omega$.
 too.
705. Here is yet again a fresh crime and another criminal! Literally a fresh crime of another. As Medea's wrongs are successively disclosed, the whole story appears to Aigeus like a monstrous and incredible conspiracy. At first Jason only is involved (690--692) ; the further detail of the new marriage brings into view the complicity of the bride's father; and now a third party appears in the author (not yet named) of the sentence of banishment. mss. ä $\lambda \lambda$ o. The expression ä ${ }^{2} \lambda o$ кauvò â̂, though apparently without example, is in itself defensible, as this species of tautology is common in Greek. But sce next note.
707. And does Jason allow it? I did not mean to commend that either.

It is important to distinguish between $\eta_{\nu} \nu \sigma \alpha$ or $\epsilon \pi \pi \eta \in \sigma \alpha$, used in reference to the present, and aiv $\hat{\omega}$ or $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \nu \nu \hat{\omega}$. The examples of the aorist may be classified in two main divisions.
(1) The use of the aorist instead of the present may signify that the feeling of approbation is, in the moment of speaking, past, and is already succeeded by a fecling of disapprobation. It thus implies that the praise is given with a
reservation, and may generally be rendered by It is very well. A further distinction may be taken as to the reservation implied:
(a) The reservation implied may be that the act is tardy. Examples are
 that you praise the god in this altered tone (thongh you should not have reviled him as in your folly you lately did).
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \notin \nu \partial 0 \theta \epsilon \nu \quad \chi \omega \rho o i ̂ \nu \tau o s$.
It is well that you are silent (just in time) for, de. Orestes has urged Electra to repress the effusion of her joy at his return, remembering that their vengeance is yet to be accomplished (see 1235, 1258, 1271 and especially 1288-1300). Electra vehemently professes her willingness to obey, and disobeys in the very act of professing (1301-1321). Luckily she comes to a pause before mischief has resulted, and Orestes, with some irony, commends her for controlling her tongue. See also Eur. Tro. 53.
(b) The reservation implied may be that the act commended is unseasonable, or at least that its goodness is not just now material, from the point of view of the person praising. This is the well-known $\ddot{\eta} \nu \epsilon \sigma a$ (or $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\eta} \nu \epsilon \sigma a$ ) as a formula of refusing or declinirg-'You are very good, but, \&c.' Examples are


In cases falling under (1), the use of the aorist is of course not necessary: its signification is that of the present with a slightly different shade, which might be otherwise conveyed by the tone of the voice. Thus in dlli. 1093, 1095 the present is first used and the aorist immediately afterwards substituted. But there is another class of cases to which this does not apply, for
(2) The aorist, not the present, must be used, when the speaker refers to something previously said by himself, which he desires to explain or correct. Thus I praised (or I did not praise) means liy what I said I meant (or I did not mean) to praise dec. Examples are

Med. 223, where see note.


To understand this it is necessary to go back to ibid. 764. 'The Chorus commence by praising the advantages of wealth
and rank (764-776), but explain that they do not mean an oppressive and invidious greatness (777-784). Then returning to their first point they say, in the words of the citation, The life I praised, the life I prefer is this-power in just measure, \&c.

Under one of these classes may be placed all the examples in trage ly of $\eta_{\nu}\llcorner\sigma a$ or $\ddagger \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \subset \sigma a$ describing a present feelingexcept this passage (Mcd. 705-707) as given in the mss. As explanation (1) is clearly out of the question, we should expect to find explanation (2) applicable, and the empluatic oúdè raû̃a points in the same direction (observe the emphasis on raútay in Androm. 785 and on $\dot{\alpha} \tau \tau o ̀ \nu$ in Med. 223). But if $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda o$ be read in 705 there is then no implied excuse for Jason's acquiescence, and nothing therefore to be corrected by ovं $\dot{\delta} \dot{\epsilon} \tau \alpha \hat{u} \tau^{\prime} \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \dot{\eta} \nu \in \sigma a$ in 707. The reader will see that the correction á $\lambda \lambda$ dou removes this dificulty: by attributing the sentence of banishment to another ( $\quad \lambda \lambda$ dos), Aigeus might seem to leave Jason's share in it out of view, and he now amends his verdict in that particular.
708. With oúxi must be supplied $\dot{\epsilon} \hat{a}$ from the previous line, He professes to olject, but inclines to resignation, lit. prefers to bear it (my banishment) patiently. 入óỵ in word is opposed to ${ }^{\prime \prime} \rho \gamma \varphi$ in fact. The last words are ironical, and describe not what Medea believes Jason to feel respecting her banishment, but what Jason professes to feel. He represents himself (455) as having submitted with regret and after many efforts to the sentence which Medea's rebellious temper had made inevitable. Medea believes that he is secretly glad, and this would be here implied by her manner and tone.
715. Oávors, because the presence of a man's children and the prospective maintenance of his race was in Greek view the special consolation of a happy death. Cf. Soph. O.C.1110,
 $\sigma \alpha \iota \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \mathrm{i}$, and see on 1350.
717. $\delta \grave{E}$, but (though you little know the treasure you have found) I the distressed suppliant have power to give you your wish.
718. $\quad$ Øí $\sigma \omega$, will cause or make.
722. For in respect of this (the producing of children) I am quite lost, i.e. I have no power. Or otherwise, upon this I am wholly bent; if the second is right, the sense of $\phi \rho o \hat{v} \delta o s$ is unusual.
724. Síkulos $\ddot{\omega} v$, with a right to do so. See the Analysis.
728. ov $\mu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \theta \hat{\omega}$. ou $\mu \dot{\eta}$ with the subjunctive expresses a strong future negative, I certainly will not let you go. $\mu \in \theta \omega \bar{\omega}$, see $\mu \epsilon \theta$ inul.
 also. See the Analysis.
 have (have received) everything satisfaciority from you, i.e. you would wholly content my wishes.

734-740. See the Analysis. [The reading of 737-739 is uncertain, though the argument is in the main clear. The version in the text, which in seme points has little or no critical probability, is that of several editions, and may be accepted provisionally. That of my larger edition, where a full discussion will le found, is
оن้к «̀ $\nu \tau \iota \sigma \hat{\sigma}$,
i.e. if you make with me a verbal compact not ratified by oath, you will be withoui defence and not on equal terms in negotiations (with my enemies).]
 when they propose to take me by force from the land. Observe that the present participle árovoı represents, as often, an action proposed and so far only going on. $\mu \in \theta \in i=\mu \in \theta \in i \eta s ;$ this contraction commonly occurs only in the plural of the tense $\mu \epsilon \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \mu \epsilon \nu, \mu \epsilon \theta \epsilon i \tau \epsilon$. Some read here $\mu \in \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$, i.e. $\mu \in \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$, the corresponding mood and tense of the middle voice, which (ii $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \mathrm{ov}$ be supplied) gives the same meaning,
 follows a negative compound adjective, as $\dot{\alpha} \chi a \lambda \kappa o s \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi i \hat{\delta} \omega \nu$, having no brazen shields. äzкєvos ö $\pi \lambda \omega \nu$, not equipped with arms, \&ic. фìnos: the object is still toitors, you may make friends with them and descrt me.
741. Your words show (è $\overline{\text { cescss}) ~ a ~ l o n g ~ f o r e s i g h t ~ i n ~ n e g o t i a-~}$ tion. The 'negotiation' which Nedea foresees is that beween Aigeus and the Corinthians respecting the surrender of her person. For this sense of $\lambda$ órot, cf. Soph. Phil. 1307, $\psi \in \cup \delta о \kappa r_{\text {- }}^{-}$


 è $\lambda \in \xi_{\zeta} \alpha s$.
744. The construction is éXovтa $\sigma \kappa \hat{\eta} \psi i \nu \quad \tau \iota \nu a, \delta \epsilon \iota \kappa \nu i \nu a \iota$ aviriv. For the explanation of this $\sigma \kappa \bar{\eta} \psi \stackrel{s}{ }$, or excuse, sea the Analysis.
745. And your case is more fixed, or, as we might say, stands the firmer. $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \eta \gamma \epsilon i \sigma \theta a t=$ to dictate a formula for another to repeat; $\theta$ oovs, the gods to be sworn by.
 фáos.
 the accent.
754. ti... $\pi$ áசo s ; The tense which would be used by Aigeus in invoking upon himself a penalty for perjury ( $\pi \dot{\alpha}$ $\theta$ ocul, may $I$ suffer), is adopted by Medea in the form of a question, which is therefore equivalent to, And what do you pray that you may suffer, dec.?
759. ©́..ávak. Hermes in his function of 'guide', from $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$, to escort. Maia, a daughter of Atlas, was his mother.
 ध̇ivolav [av่т $\hat{\nu} \nu$ ], where $\ddot{a}$ is accusative cognate to $\sigma \pi \epsilon \dot{v} \delta \epsilon \iota s$, that upon which thou art bent, holding firm the purpose to do it.
764. She inrokes the god of her race (Hclios) and the gods who were bound to protect her as the victim of perjury. ©iкך Zquós. Justice, daughter of Zeus.
765. The use of the plural here gives a sort of impersonal magnificence, as if it were her cause rather than herself that is triumphant. When she comes to the personal details she f:alls to the singular (772). On the gender of $\mu 0 \lambda \dot{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon s$ (771) see on 314.

768. री $\mu \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \dot{\alpha} \mu \nu \mu \in \nu$, where we were in the worst distress, the question of a refuge having been Medea's chief difficulty (see 386). кáuvel being the proper word for a ship liabouring, as we say, at sea (Aesch. Theb. 210) leads up naturally to the metaphor in the next line. $\tau \hat{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$ 及ov $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{u} \mu a ́ \tau \omega v$ may be taken either with $\lambda \iota \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ or with $\tilde{\eta}$ (the point in my deliberations where) and in fact belongs to both.
770. $\pi \rho \nu \mu \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \nu$, the rope from the stern by which the ship would be made fast to or on the shore. $\dot{\epsilon} \in$ rov̂ $\varepsilon_{\epsilon}$ : the Greeks say fasten from for our fasten to.
773. $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ тןòs $\dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \eta े \nu, ~ t o ~ s p e a k ~ w i t h ~ a ~ v i e w ~ t o ~ p l e a s i n g, ~$ was the established antithesis to $\lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \iota a \nu$, to speak frankly (Hlt. 7. 101). סéxov... 入óyous therefore =accept the unpalatable truth, $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \grave{\eta} \dot{\delta} o \nu \dot{\eta} \nu ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o u s ~ b e i n g ~ e q u i v a l e n t ~ t o ~ \lambda \epsilon \gamma o ́-~$ $\mu \in \nu a \mu \dot{\eta} \pi \rho o ̀ s \dot{\eta} \delta O_{0} \nu \nu$.
777. raûta, his new marriage and its consequences. To explain this which is intelligible from the context some one iuserted the clumsy line 778. Some omit also 779. The change of construction $\dot{\omega}_{3} \ldots \delta о к \epsilon \hat{\imath}, \ldots \epsilon \hat{\nu} a \iota$, both depending on $\lambda \epsilon \xi \xi \omega$, is common in oratio obliqua.

 would not (in any casc) from I will not. Mss. $\lambda$ unoṽa: others $\lambda i \pi \omega \sigma \phi \epsilon$.
782. This line is unnecessary (the object to $\lambda \iota \pi 0 \hat{\imath} \sigma a$ being supplied from 780), and probably interpolated fiom 1060, 1061.
785. If this line is retained, the words $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \delta \epsilon \mu \grave{\eta} \phi \in u ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$ $\chi$ Oóva must be explained as depending upon the notion of asking (ikєtev́ovtas) implied in $\delta \hat{\omega}_{\rho} \rho a$ фє́povtas. But such a construction is not possible, and the line is spuions or corrupt.
791. $̈ \ddot{\sharp} \mu \omega \xi \mathrm{a}$. See on 223 . She refers to what has just passed in her thoughts, or to an audible sigh or groan which accompanies the words.
793. тápi. Observe the strong emphasis given by position to this word. She hints that the children are hers to do with as she will. Jason has disorned them and shall not have them.
798. Zтw. See on 609. Here also the exclamation signifies impatience. The orioinal meaning seems to have been defiance, and the Jiteral sense, Let it come. We have no corresponding English interjection suited for ase in poetry, but in this place it might be nearly represented by Nay, nay. Cf. 819.
801. aubpis "Eien $\eta$ vos $\lambda$ óyoss. The name is mcant to
 the foreign view of the Hellenic character.
802. छ̇̀ेv $0 \in \hat{w}$, if God will. Sce on $\dot{U} 2 J$.
809. $\beta$ apsiav, dangerous: cf. 38.
810. Such was the ancient Greek ideal of morality, though at the time, and among the khilosophic circles, a higher and more gentle creed was gaining ground under the influence of civilisation and reflexion. The old view is summed up in very similar language by the poets of the sixth century b.c. in fragments attributed to Archilochos, Solon, and Theognis:



 teroual (Theogn. 869): and so also in the earlier part of the fifth century, Pindar Pyik. ir. 151. Of the personal feelings of Euripides on the subject we have no evidence.
814. $\notin \sigma \tau \iota \nu$, equivalent to $\epsilon \xi \epsilon \sigma \tau \tau \nu:$ it cannot be otherwise.
815. $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma$ xovad follows, notwithstanding the dative $\sigma o l$, the case of the accusative $\sigma \epsilon$ implied as the subject of $\lambda \epsilon \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \cdot{ }^{\prime}$.
817. $\delta \eta \chi$ Әeí , see дóк $\nu \omega$.
818. Sદ̀... $\gamma \leqslant$. Yes, but your case would be werse.
819. Vra. nearly as in 798, the mark of impationt interruption.
入ópol, intervening tali, intervening, that is, between resolution and execution.

820-823. To one of her servants, perhaps the tpopós.
821. Tì $\pi เ \sigma \tau \mathrm{a}$, employments of trust.
 vant: she uses the plural not because she refers to any other master beside herself, but to express the general idea 'a master as such', which in English is represented by the indefinite article. фpoveis єî. It may be noticed that the regular word in Greek for the English loyal applied to a subject or servant is cïvous. See the Analysis.

824-835. According to the punctuation given in the text these lines form one sentence, the outline of the con-


 though grammatically connected with the inain sentence, are in sense pareuthetic. The Athenians believed themselves to be descended from two divine ancestors, 一 $\overline{\text { g }}$ the Earth, the mother of their progenitor Erechtheus, and $\mathrm{K} \eta$ pıòs, the god of the river so called close to their city, whose grand-daughter Praxithea Erechtheus married. These two, Earth and Kephisos, are the $\chi$ 'ipa and the poì of the present passage. For cival ámó tuos to be descended from, cf. 406 and Hel. 275. Of the myth which traced the pedigree to the Earth, Euripides
 to the effect that the intellectual and artistic gifts ( $\sigma o \phi i \alpha$ ) of the race were due to the favourable climate of their habitation.

Another way of punctuating is to end the sentence at



826. émopórsov. The Athenians had inhabited from immemorial antiquity, without disturbance, the soil from which they believed themselves to have sprung, while the other Greek peoples had suffered violent territorial changes. This was the origin of their boast that they were aúróx ${ }^{\text {Oves, }}$, men of their own soil. See Thuk. r. 2.
830. $\beta$ aivovtes $\dot{\alpha} \beta \rho \omega \bar{s}$, moving delicately, as if enjoying the luxury of a climate favourable to their well-being.

832．The birth of the Muses in Attica is an allegory sig－ nifying that the hippy temper and combination（ $\dot{\rho}$ uovia）of the elements and climate，and the absence of disturbing causes， had fashioned the country for their habitation．According to another legend M M $\mu$ oóiv $\eta$ was the mother of the Muses．

836－840．There was at Athens a temple to Aphrodite in a place called K $\hat{\eta} \pi o \iota$ ，＇the Garden＇，with which temple， and with the use of the Kephisos for the purpose of irrigation， the lost legend（ $\kappa \lambda n_{n} \sigma^{\circ} \sigma \sigma \sigma \iota$ ）here mentionel was probably con－ nected．The reading and interpretation are uncertain．In the mss．text（above printed）the accusative $\chi$ 由́pav appears to depend upon кататעєî̃al aüpas，K＇phisos＇stream，whercof，they say，Aphrodite drew water and breathed gales over the land． But neither the construction nor the sense is now commonly approved：$\chi \omega \dot{\rho}$ рav should be $\chi$ ćpas（genitive），and there is no proper comnexion of ideas between áduббanévà and ката－ $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma a l$ ．There are also other difficultics．The reading adopted in my larger edition is，

 $\dot{\eta} \delta u \pi \nu o ́ o s s ~ o ́ a ́ p o r s, ~$
to draw whereof Aphrodite sailed，they say，to the land with gentle whispcrings of balmy winds．
$\boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\alpha} v$ ，here not the article but the relative，as constantly in Ionic，and not rarely in other poetry．It depends upon
 the previous sentence end at $\phi \quad \tau \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \sigma a l$（see note on 824）

 （accusative plural）$\dot{a} \pi \grave{o} \mathrm{~K} \eta \phi \iota \sigma \circ \hat{v}, \kappa a \tau a \pi \nu \in \hat{\imath} \sigma a \iota \kappa . \tau . \lambda$ ．
 $\ldots{ }^{\alpha} \nu \theta \dot{\epsilon} \omega \nu$ may be grammatically either the subject or the object of $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \nu \nu$ ，and ${ }_{\epsilon} \epsilon \rho \omega \tau a s$ may be either the object or the subject； in the first case it is Aphrodite who sends the Loves to Attica， in the second（which corresponds to the reading of 836－40 suggested in the previous note），it is the Loves who escort her thither．étrцßa入入opévav is middle voice（putting upon her own hair）if K $\dot{v} \pi \rho \iota \nu$ is the subject，passive if $\bar{\epsilon} \rho \omega$ тas is the subject，Aphrodite being crowned by the Loves．In Greek
 is as regular a construction as $\epsilon \pi \imath \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ тло́кор $\chi$ aí $\alpha \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ ，＇I put a wreath upon the hair of another＇．

84す．djןєrâs，excellence，particularly artistic excellence， not virtue in the more limited moral sense．This again is an allegory．＂Epos，the passion for the beautiful，assists in the
achievements of $\Sigma$ ooía, a large word which includes both ' wisdom' and 'art'. For the same reason the Loves are said to be $\tau \hat{a} \sigma o \phi i a q \pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \in \delta \rho o l$.

846-850. How then shall that city of sacred waters or that land hospitable to its friends contain thee the murderess of thy children, whose presence with others is unholy? For the transposition of the first $\tilde{\eta}$ from its natural place before iєp $\hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{cf} .856$

 -These lines are given as they are at present generally printed, following the reading of one divition of the mss. But it is certain that they are not right. There is no point in the distinction between the $\pi \dot{o} \lambda$ cs and the $\chi \omega^{\prime} \rho a$, and the hospitality of the city would be an aid and not a hindrance, as the words imply, to the reception of Medea. Still more doubtful is the expression $\tau \dot{\alpha} \nu$ oư $\chi \dot{\delta} \sigma i a \nu ~ \mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$. фíi $\lambda \omega \nu$. Adjectives in - $\iota$ os may govern an objective genitive. то́лтиноs, derived from $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ in the sense of bring in, bring home. Cf. Phoen. 984.
852. aip $\rho \sigma \theta a t$, to take up has the same metaphorical sense as our undertake.

856-859. The word $\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu \omega \nu$ is corrupt and has apparently replaced some noun in the accusative case answering to $\theta \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma o s$.
 $\tau \in \sigma^{\prime} \theta \in \nu$; Whence wilt thou find either courage of soul or...for thy hand and heart? the dots representing the corrupted word: $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu o s$ force and $\tau \epsilon \in \chi a \nu$ craft have been suggested. For the position of $\ddot{\eta}$ see 847 , and for the change of case between

 Among other suggestions $\ddot{\eta}$ र $\epsilon \epsilon \rho \hat{c} \tau \iota \nu \hat{v} \nu \quad \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu 0 s$ is most worth mention but does not appear very probable.
859. $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma a ́ y o v \sigma a$, supply $\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu 0 \iota s$ from $\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu a$ the object of the preceding sentence.
 a tear the doom of blood, i.e. not be arrested by tears in the execution of it.

 even though an enemy, you must not even so be refused this small boon. Observe $\gamma \epsilon$. oưк äv, mss.; others oú $\tau a ̈ \nu \nu$. тov̂Sc =either

871. Since ere now we have done each other much love. In $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \gamma \dot{\alpha} \xi \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$, to do beforehand, the preposition has the same force as in $\dot{v} \pi \alpha^{\rho} \rho \chi \epsilon \ell \nu$, to exist beforehand. Cf. Hipp. 504. The
preposition might also signify either secrecy, as in $\dot{\cup} \pi \circ \kappa \lambda \in \epsilon \pi \tau \in \nu$, or compliance, servicealleness as in úmppete. $\nu$.


 tragedy formed from a verb of motion ( $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \hat{i} \nu, i \leqslant \nu a \iota, \chi \omega p \epsilon i v$,
 фóvou, \&'c.)
874. مoudsuovow, advise : note the voice.
876. $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu$ depends on $\tau$ à $\sigma u \mu \not \subset о \omega ́ \tau a \tau a$.
877. Cf. 563 where Jason argucs to this effect. Mcdea pretends to have been convinced.
879. $\tau i \pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi^{\omega}$; which is best taken as a parenthetic exclamation, is a rebuke, signifying literally How an I affected? or in English idion What possesses me? Note carefully that $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \omega$ is not suffer, but is the passive corrolative of $\hat{\partial} \rho \hat{\omega}$, and means I have something done to me.
 the active form $\begin{gathered}\text { evon' } \sigma a \sigma a ; ~ t o ~ r e f i c c t, ~ t h i n k ~ w i t h ~ o n e s e l f ~ i s, ~\end{gathered}$ according to other examples in Euripiles, èvvoeivoat to invent

887. кà $\xi v \gamma \gamma a \mu \in i v$ ool, and ought to have joined in your bridal. $\sigma v \gamma \gamma a \mu \epsilon i v$ $\sigma o t$ is the reading of oue important ms.; the rest and most editions have ovikrepaivecv, chid ought to have helped to accomplish them (rà $\beta$ ounev́ $\mu a \tau a$ ), which has less point.
kai mapeorivan $\lambda$ éxsu, and to have countenanced the match. For tapiotarөac in the metaphorical sense of supporting, cf.
 I did not fail to support you but died on behalf of our house.
 rovl, to malie a match with. Translate, and should have been glad to ally myself by marriage with your bride, cf. 885. For the case of кそöevovaay see on $81 \%$. Others (reading with the mss. vún.фףv), and should have been glad to wait upon your bride. The objection to this is that k $\eta \delta \in v^{\prime} \in \ell \nu \tau \nu a$ means to nurse, as in sickness.
 of expressing something unpleasant is a favourite in Attic, cf.
 $\dot{\text { op }} \hat{\nu} \nu$ (maning but not openly saying I have no joy in seeing
 ö́т $\omega$ s $\bar{\beta} \beta \lambda a \sigma \tau \epsilon$ (born in that sad way), \&c.
 The maxim is general,--men ought not to copy the frailties of
women．kaxoîs，neuter．Others（the mss．Eiving several readings）$\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu \sigma^{\prime}$＇$\mu o t o \hat{v} \sigma a t$ ．

891．àvitcelvetv（sc．aúraîs）is intransitive，to straggle
 （childishness rgainst childishness or meeting childishness with childishness）is in apposition to the notion of an action implied in à à兀兀тєivetv．

892．maptefusoda．She speols as if for hersolf and her womanly partizans，the Chorus．Sce 576.

894．Sev̂te，not elsewhere used in Attic writers．
895．$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon i \pi a t \varepsilon$ ，say farcwell to：see 1060，1350．Here there is the same sort of ambiguity which is the key－note of Medea＇s fanewell（ 1021 foll．）；the children are to bid their father adicu，ostensibly for a temporary exile，really for ever．
 be reconciled from your old quarecl．She speaks of her children as one with herself and attributes to them also $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \rho o \dot{\sigma} \theta \epsilon \nu$ es фi入ous éx $\chi \rho a \nu$ ．

899．Take his right hand．opuot．．．кекриpusver．The ex－ clamation is involuntary and forced from Nedea by the painful thought of her purpose．The others do not understand it，and she hasteus（301）to cover it by an ordinary explanation．In the same way she trics to account for her tears．тà $\kappa є \kappa \rho v \mu \mu \notin \nu \alpha$ signifies to Medea her secret purpose，to Jason＇s ear the un－ certainty of fate．

902．She alludes to the solemn farewell gestare which the children ought to have made at that funeral of their father which they are never likely to celebrate．Cf．Alk．768，ou ${ }^{\circ}$ $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \epsilon \tau \epsilon \iota \nu a \chi \epsilon i p a$ ，and sce on 1350.
 that which has happened the instant before（English presents）， compare 708，751，\＆c．

906．$\chi^{\lambda}$ 入opòv Sókpu．A difficult epithet．Perhaps pale tear from the ciffect of weeping，$\chi \lambda \omega \rho$ oेs properly meaning yellow or pale green．So $\chi \lambda \omega \rho \dot{o} \nu \dot{\nu} \delta \omega \rho$ ，the pale water，Phoen．659，
 Soph．Trach．848．Others explain $\chi \lambda \omega \rho \dot{\nu} \nu$ dákpe as abundant tears，$\chi \lambda \omega \rho o \dot{v}$ by a metaphor taken from plants，passing from the sense of green to the sense of vigorous；cf．Homer＇s $\theta a \lambda \in \rho o{ }^{2}$ ба́крv．

908．tá $\delta^{\prime}$ ，her present submission．ésciva，her former re－ bellion．

900－10．For it is natural to the female sex to show ill－liumour against．a spouse，when he traffics in an alien
marriage (?). These lines are ungrammatical and otherwise incorrect. $\pi a \rho \epsilon \mu \pi о \lambda \omega \nu \tau 0 s$ should be a dative: the genitive absolute inserted between the noun $\pi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ and the verb which governs it is extremely harsh, and without any satisfactory example. $\dot{a} \lambda$ doios is not used by the Attic poets and, meaning simply of another kind, is not the right word for the place. The true reading is uncertain. $\pi \alpha_{\rho} \epsilon \mu \pi \Omega, \frac{\alpha \nu}{\nu}=$ to mis-traffic, smaggle, introduce as it were unlawful merchandise into the family.
 mind, literally decided the prevailing decision. For the ellipse of the substantive, cf. Xen. Anab. vi. i. 18, $\tau \dot{o} \nu^{\prime} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon_{\mu} \mu \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \theta \in$


 supposed gap some on $\epsilon$ ignorant of the use of $\dot{\eta} \nu \in \kappa \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha$ for $\dot{\eta}$ $\nu \iota \kappa \omega \bar{\omega} \alpha \gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta$ probably inserted 913.
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha_{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega}$ xpóv $\omega$, in the end, though late, literally at all events at last. For this use of $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ see 942 and 1073, Soph. Trach.
 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\varphi}$ र $\rho \dot{\nu} \nu \hat{y}$ тотє́ (now at least, if not before).
915. $\pi о \lambda \lambda \eta \geqslant \nu$ Є0 made you thoroughly safe. For the aorist see on 213 . The expression is too strong for the facts, considering that, whatever may be Jason's hopes and preparations, the children are actually about to go into exile. Others adopt the alternative reading of
 taken much forethought.
917. Tà $\pi p \omega \bar{\omega} \alpha$, the chief power or first in rank: see 563.
920. ท̈ßris тé̀os $\mu$ о入óvтas, matured to manhood, lit. arrived at the end-manhood, the genitive $\ddot{\eta} \beta \eta$ s defining $\tau$ 'dos.
922. aürๆ, vocative, you there! The expression, like the English $E h$, what? gives a certain air of sharpness and rebuke:


925-932. The numbers in the margin indicate the order in which the lines stand in the mss.; the connexion of thought appears to require the change.
 overnuch, i.e. for nothing.

 Several words of feeling, such as oiктєip $\omega, \theta a v \mu a ́ j \omega$, a $\gamma a \nu a \kappa \tau \omega \hat{\omega}$, are followed by $\epsilon i, i$, where their English equivalents take that. rade is intentionally vague; Medea means the intended murder
of the children，Jason understands her to refer to their exile．
 question depending on oik $\tau=s$ єīท̂̀ $\lambda \hat{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon$ ，I felt compassion at the doubt whether this will come to pass，citing Hec．186，$\delta \epsilon \epsilon \mu a i \nu \omega$ $\tau i \pi o \tau$＇à $\nu \sigma \sigma \tau$ ć $\nu \in i s ;$ But there is a difference between $I$ am alarmed why you sigh and pity seized me whether this will come to pass，so that it is safer to render oiktos $\epsilon i$ according to its regular meaning．Jason＇s affectionate prayer might naturally suggest sorrow that his children are going out of his pro－ tection：and see also on 901 and 1350 for the special signi－ ficance of the word $\zeta \hat{\zeta} \nu$ ．

926．єरे．日rí $\sigma \omega$ absolutely for I will make all right does not occur elsewhere，but ка入⿳亠丷厂s $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega\end{aligned}$ is common，as in Aesch．


кảmi Eaxpúors épu，and is born for tears，i．e．naturally prone to weeping．$\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ with the dative often describes a circumstance or condition of the act or occurrence stated，and sometimes the object of it，which is one of the circumstances．

933．$\mu \nu \eta \sigma$ ппбоцaь，will malie mention，to be distinguished from $\mu \epsilon \mu \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \circ \mu a l$, I will remember．Є่ $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ ．There is no apparent reason for the emphatic pronoun．

938．ajaipouєv．The present tense may perhaps be ex－ plained by regarding the thing absolutely determined as already in the course of being done．I go means＇it is settled that I go＇． Others substitute the future $\dot{\alpha} \pi a \rho o \hat{u} \mu \in \nu$ ．

941．Construction oủk oîó $\epsilon i$ $\pi \epsilon i \sigma a \iota \mu l ~ \dot{\alpha} \nu$ ．Observe care－ fully that this is not a hypothetical sentence but a dependent question，$\epsilon i$ meaning whether．$\pi \epsilon i \sigma a \iota \mu$ äd nearly $=\pi \epsilon i \sigma \omega$ ，but expressing more doubt，－whether there is a chance，de．

942．$\alpha \lambda \lambda d$（if you shrink from asking Kreon yourself），then
 бuдфоиє́vate（if you will not spare my daughter），then slay me with her．ma．pos，instead，in your place．Cf．Herakl．536，$\dot{\alpha} \delta \in \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$

 accusative，not a genitive，of the person asked．In 1154 mapal－ $\tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota \pi a \tau \rho o s$ the genitive is governed by the preposition $\pi a \rho \alpha$ ． $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{v}$ ，supply $\gamma \mathbf{\nu} \boldsymbol{a i k} \alpha$ ．Jason interrupts Medea．See the Anu－ lysis．
 the rest，lit．one of the rest，an expression not strictly logical， but easily intelligible in the sense of＇one that may be classed or counted with the rest＇．See the Analysis．
947. Construction $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \mu \psi \dot{\psi} \omega \pi a \hat{\imath} \delta a s ~ \phi \epsilon ́ \rho o \nu \tau a s ~ a u ̉ t n ̂ ~ \delta ~ ف ̂ p a . ~$
948. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, partitive genitive, among.
950. öбov тáxcs, with all speed.

955. $\delta i \delta \omega \sigma \iota \nu$, historic present $=$ English past. Cf. Hek.
 The possessive ös is not elsewhere used by Euripides in iambic dialogue, and the examples in lyric passages are doubtful.
956. $\phi \in p \vee d i s, d o w e r-g i f t s$, or gifts from friends of the bride.
957. $\mu$ aкapía is a touch of irony. In its obvious sense it is a common term of felicitation. But a dead person was also said to be $\mu$ ккар os (compare the Latin beatus, the German selig, our own entered into rest, etc.); so in Plato, Lats, 947 d , rò $\mu a \kappa \alpha \rho_{0} \gamma \in \gamma$ ovóta, the defunct. In the sight of Medea the receiver of her fatal gifts is in this sense also $\mu$ zкарía. See further, on 1159-1166. It is important to remember that gifts of this kind were presented at funcrals as well as at
 customs. Cf. All. 613.
958. $\mu \in \mu \pi \tau \alpha$, again a word of dcuble signification, ostensibly not contemptille (in vaiue), in the mind of Medea not contemptille in the sense of formidable, cf. Soph. O. C. 1036, ovidèv
 words are not to be despised.
959. кєvois, see $\kappa \in \nu \nu^{\prime} \omega$.
 simply $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{a} s$, for as sure as the lady holds me of some value, she will rate it (or me) above possessions. ض̀pas, properly the like


[Dr Ludwig Schmidt, in the review of my larger edition already mentioned, observes that it should have been noticed here that the use of $\chi \rho \eta \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$ as a description of precious ornaments is peculiar. It is perhaps unnecessary to assign to the word a more limited sense than objects of value generally, the comparison being between the influence of Jason and that of any material bribe. But if Dr Schmidt means that $\chi \rho \eta \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$ in the usual sense, though it gives a point, does not give the point which the context leads us to expect, I entirely agree with him.

The speech of Jason (959-963) is no answer to the speech of Medea. Medea is well aware that the value of her gifts, merely as so mach gold and stuff, is, if compared with the
position of the recipients, contemptible, and foresees that this fact is likely to excite suspicion. Accordingly in praising them she carefully ignores the wreath and robe, and insists upon the fact that the 'ко́ $\sigma$ os' is an heirloom in her family from the Sur-god. The true meaning of this las not, I think, been properly apprehended. Why should ' $\kappa$ ó $\sigma$ оs' of the Sungod be so manifestly 'the best in all the world', as Medea confidently asserts in 947 ? To answer this, we must consider more particularly what this кóo $\mu$ os is. These articles of dress are a complimentary gift sent to be worn upon a solemn occasion. Such presents were a common way of expressing sympathy in the graver insilents of domestic life, particularly marriage and death. Thus Pheres, as already noticed, in the Alkestis brings róruos to the funeral of his daughter-in-law; and in a similar spirit, Deianira in the Trachiniae states that she vowed if her husband came lome safe, to give lim a tunic in which to perform lis saciifice of thanksgiving. Both robe and wreath are perfumed with scented oil-of this we may be sure for several reasons. The use, and the liberal use, of such 'unguents' upon solemn or festive occasions was with the Greeks and Romans a matter of course: thus, when Alkestis, in the scene which affords so much illustration of this play (see the note on 1158), attires herself for death, she brings her $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta$ 'ns. (Medea's wreath and robe) and her кóveos from
 serve their fragrance (Alk. 160 ). The attiring of a bride in particular was considered a proper occasion for the use of the most costly cosmeties, as we see from Aristophanes (Plut. 530) where it is prophesied that, under certain circumstances, it will no longer be possible



(where, it should be noted by the way, i $\mu$ ítıa $\beta x \pi \tau \dot{c}$ may well mean not dyed but dipped, i.e. perfumed garments, the merit of the pattern being sufficiently given in $\left.\pi о \kappa \kappa \lambda о \mu \dot{o}^{\rho} \phi \omega \nu\right)$. That Medea did not forget the unguent we know, not only
 789), but because it is the express condition of her plans. It is the scented oil which is poisoned, and which prevents the suspicion which the appearance of the besmeared ornaments must otherwise have excited. The same custom enabled Deianira to apply the poisonous blood of Nessos to her tunic. As she says in Sophokles (Trach. 580), she added the poison in the process of dipping it:
亏̄̂̀ кєildos єitac.
 $\sigma \tau o \lambda \hat{\eta}$, as in the above-mentioned passage of the Alkestis, the ко́б $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ os is expressly distinguished from the garment, and appears actually to mean the cosmetic; in the Medea the ornaments and perfume together are so called. Now though the daughters of the Sun were not, so far as I know, remarkable in their wreaths or garments, they were specially famous for their scents and scented oils. Their very tears were fragrant and were, according to the legend, the material of scented amber. And we are told particularly of Circe (the aunt or sister of Medea) that she urit odoratam nocturna in lumina cedrum,
burns scented cedar (or oil of cedar for кє́ōpos has both meanings) to her nightly lamps (Verg. Aen. 7. 13). That the art of extracting vegetable perfumes should be held a gift of the Sun is easily understood. Indeed it would belong to the Sun and his family as much, and for the same reason, as the science of фápuaкa generally, the preparation of oil to hold the drug being a part of the secret. So Pindar relates that Medea gave to Jasou 'drugs preventive against pain, to anoint himself ( $\chi$ í $\sigma \theta a i$ ) withal, mingled with oil'. When, therefore, we find Medea vaunting the unsurpassable excellence of the кóves obtained from Helios, we can have little doubt that the virtue lies in the 'cosmetic' or scent; and this is confirmed when we see that it is to the ' $\kappa$ ó $\sigma$ os', that is, to the robe and wreath treated with perfume, that the mortal eifect is expressly attributed by Medea (see 786)-
$\kappa a ̈ \nu \pi \epsilon \rho ~ \lambda a \beta \cup \hat{v} \sigma a$ ко́ $\sigma \mu о \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \iota \theta \hat{n} \chi \rho \circ i \hat{\text {, }}$
$\kappa а к \hat{\omega s}$ òлєîтaц.

So much concerning Medea's commendation (947-958): let us turn now to Jason's reply. It is in substance this'A princess is not to be won with a little gold and raiment. Spare your gifts; my influence will do more than bribes'. How does this answer meet the point made by Miedea? She does not pretend that the robe and wreath are worth anything in themselves; she does not even mention them (for it is the better opinion, based upon grounds independent of the present argument, that 949 is an interpolation from 786). The temptation upon which she relies is the exquisite, the unpurchaseable кór $\mu$ os of Helios-and of this Jason says not a word. I think, therefore, that we should read not $\chi \rho \eta \mu a \dot{a} \tau \omega$ but $\chi$ рй $\dot{\boldsymbol{q}} \boldsymbol{\tau} \omega v$. The proper term for the application of cosmetics is $\chi$ piet, and for the cosmetics themselves $\chi$ pipata (see 789, Soph. Trach. 675 , etc. and the Lexicon at $\chi \rho i \omega, \chi \rho i \mu a)$. Jason's question, סoкeis... $\chi \rho \cup \sigma o \hat{\nu}$; is thus merely ironical-'Do you suppose that gold or garments are wanting at the palace? For as for your
vaunted gift of Helios, I warrant the lady will care more for me than perfumes'. This masculine contempt for a toiletbouquet, however rare and delicate, is natural enough.

I may remind the unprofessional reader that between $\chi \rho \hat{\rho} \mu \alpha$ and $\chi \rho \bar{\eta} \mu \alpha$ the authority of our mss. is practically nothing. The copyists who wrote them pronounced the two words alike, and, moreover, could scarcely recognize the classical forms
 time they had become хрí $\mu a$, кє́ $\chi \rho \iota \sigma \mu a l$, кє $\chi \rho \iota \sigma \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \circ$. (See Cobet, Variae Lectiones.)

This matter of the poisoned scent is not unimportant to the whole play, and will throw light particularly upon another passage, 983-4:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi \epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \iota \chi a ́ \rho \iota s \text { á } \mu \beta \rho o \sigma i ́ o v ~ \tau^{\prime} \text { av่ } \gamma \dot{a} \pi \epsilon ́ \pi \lambda o v \\
& \chi \rho v \sigma o \tau \epsilon \dot{U} \kappa \tau o v ~ \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a ́ \nu o v ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \theta \in \sigma \theta a \iota
\end{aligned}
$$

the charm and the light of the ambrosial robe and gold-wrought wreath will tempt her to put them, on. (The mss. give $\dot{a} \mu \beta \rho o ́ \sigma o s$, but this unparalleled 'Euripidean' feminine is probably a mere error.) The epithet 'ambrosial' here is much to our purpose, for $\dot{\alpha} \mu \beta \rho o \sigma i a$ is the name proper to the perfume of the Gods, and it is chiefly by their savour that 'ambrosial' things are known for such. Indeed it is very seldom that the word is used except of things delightful either to taste or to smell. The Lexicon informs us that 'songs', 'friendship', and what not were so called, but the reader should turn to the references. Thus in Pindar (Pyth. 4. 299) poetry ( $\neq \pi \eta$ ) is no doubt called $\dot{\alpha} u \beta \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \iota a$, but only when it is at the same time described as a fountain ( $\pi a \gamma^{\alpha}$ ) to which the epithet would be strictly applicable. If we duly distinguish meaning from derivation, we ought to say that $\dot{\alpha} \mu \beta \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma t o s$ in Greek does not mean immortal at all but sweet or fragrant, these ideas being always, or almost always, uppermost, when the word is applied. It is well worth notice that in the only other extant passage where Euripides uses the word (Hipp. 748 ; in Hipp. 136 it is nonsense and not genuine) it is associated with those legends of the far West, of Phaethon, the Hesperides, and Atlas, which are specially and distinctively solar, and is the epithet of the fragrant spring кр $\hat{\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu} \iota \dot{\alpha} \mu \beta \rho o ́ \sigma \iota a \iota$, which perfumed the banquethall of the gods, and supplied the Sun with a bath for himself and his weary steeds. For this reason, and also because 'light' is not a quality for which a robe would be remarkable, it is to be suspected that Euripides wrote not AYГA but AYPA, flavour, the word specially appropriate to the 'breath' of things fragrant, such as incense and morning (see the Lexicon under $a u ̈ \rho \alpha)$.

It must not be ignored, on the other hand, that when the
actual temptation comes to be described（1156）the princess is said not to＇smell＇the кó $\sigma$ 品，but to＇see＇it－

But this mere omission of a possible point is of little weight－ it might even be argued，as a matter of taste，that a more spe－ cific description，such as $\dot{\omega} \sigma \phi \rho \dot{\prime} \sigma a r o$ ，would have been somewhat crude．The sense of smell is a delicate theme for literary treatment and requires careful management．］
 A colloquial expression．$\mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \dot{v} \gamma \epsilon$ is used in the same way． Constr．入ó $\gamma o s(\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i) \delta \omega \hat{\rho} a \pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \iota \nu$ кai $\theta \epsilon o u ́ s$, It is a proverb that gifts tempt even gods．

965．Another proverb．$\lambda^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \omega v$ ，uords．
966．Hers is the＂enius（of the hour），hers the fortune that God is now exalting，she is young and a queen．These words explain the application of the first proverb（964）to the present case，as $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ldots \mu$ 曾ov that of the second（965）：but the reading is very uncertain．кєiva for $\tau \dot{a} \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \epsilon i \nu \eta$ s is an unusual phrase，and the series of short clauses without connecting particles is unlike Greek，especially the Greek of Euripides．каiцà（new fortunes） has been proposed for кєiva，and is certainly better；but as neither this nor any of the proposed corrections removes all difficulties，I leave the text as it stands．

968．$\psi v x \eta \eta_{s}$ ，life，genitive of price；barter their banish－ ment for life＝give life to buy it off．

## 969．$\pi \lambda \eta \sigma$ iovs．Other Mss．$\pi \lambda$ ovoiovs．

972．Medea＇s poison，in this also like that of Deianira in the Trachiniae，is extremely sensitive to light and warmth， which rapidly convert it into a devouring fire．Hence her anxiety that the gifts should be delivered directly into the hand of the intended victim．We must，no doubt，understand that the wreath and robe are brought from the house and conveyed by the children in boxes（ ${ }_{\xi} \rho \kappa \eta$ ；cf．Trach．615），and probably （see on 963）in кє́ठpor or boxes of cedarwood．
 dependent upon the substantival $\epsilon \dot{\cup} \dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \in \lambda o c) ~ \tilde{\omega} \nu \tau v \chi \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu \epsilon \in \hat{a}$ ．That which she＇yearns to have＇is，as Jason and the rest under－ stand，the granting of her request，but，for the Chorus and the audience，the acceptance of her present．${ }^{2} \theta^{\prime}=i \tau \epsilon$ ．

976．See the Analysis．Supply ciot．
978．$\delta \epsilon_{\xi} \xi \in \tau a l$ ，repeated to emphasize the pathos of the un－ conscious self－destruction to which the victim is to be lured．
 out of their natural order and placed prominently at the end of the clause.
981. Herself with her hands=with her own hands. mss. aủzà $\chi \in \rho o i ̂ \nu \lambda a \beta o u ̂ \sigma a$.
983. See end of the note on 963.

 dative of the remoter object, such as $\pi$ aioiv here, may depend upon a compound phrase already containing a similar dative. According to the simpler constructions of later language one of the datives would be replaced by a genitive, here by $\pi a i \delta \omega \nu$.
995. öซov mapoíxє1, 'How great is thy fall!' mapoíxoual or more commonly oix oual $^{2}=$ to be lost, undone. Others take $\mu$ oipas öбov mapoíरec together for how much art thou deceived in (gone astray from) thy doom! $\mu$ oípas, genitive of respect depending on $\delta \dot{\prime} \sigma \tau a \nu \epsilon$. See 96 .
996. $\mu \epsilon \tau a \sigma \tau \in ́ v o \mu a l ~ \delta غ ̇, ~ a n d ~ n e x t ~ I ~ l a m e n t . ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ́, ~ a f t e r . ~$
1000. $\sigma o l$, dative ' of the person interested'. Equivalent to English possessive, thy husband.
1002. áфعiv $\tau \alpha \iota$, see á $\phi i \eta \mu$.
 as to what comes from there, i.e. from that part (the princess and her family) the children have nought to fear. Éa. An exclamation of surprise.
1005. $\sigma v \gamma \chi v \vartheta \varepsilon i ̂ \sigma \alpha$, see $\sigma v \gamma \chi^{\epsilon} \omega$.
1006. An unmetrical line ( $\epsilon \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \psi$ as) interpolated from 923.
1007. Similar to 924.
1009. тúx $\downarrow$ v. Either circumstance, or in a bad sense blow as in 198.
 in my fancy of good news, i.e. in my belief that my news was good.
1012. катๆфغेs ő $\mu \mu a$. Supply є̀ $\sigma \tau i$. mss. катŋфєîs ő $\mu \mu a$.

1014. ${ }^{\dot{\epsilon}} \mu \eta \chi \alpha \nu \eta \sigma \alpha{ }^{\prime} \mu \eta \nu$. The conclusion in the singular brings into prominence the remorseful thought of her own revengeful obstinacy which is for the time uppermost in Medea's mind. The $\pi a \| \delta \gamma \omega \gamma$ ós of course understands her to be

thinking not of the intended murder of her children but of her own exile and consoles her accordingly.
1015. You too shall surely yet le restored by your children to Corinth from banishment. ка́тєt is 2nd pers. sing. from кáт$\epsilon \tau \mu \iota$, a compound of $\epsilon i \mu \iota$. $\epsilon i \mu \iota$ and its compounds serve as the future indicative of $\epsilon_{\rho} \neq \frac{\mu a \iota}{}$ and its compounds (it should be noticed that the future sense belongs specially to the indicative;
 sometimes future in oratio obliqua). катє́ $\rho \chi$ о $\mu \alpha$, fut. indicat. ка́тєıц, present inf. катьéval, aor. катй $\lambda \neq \nu$, signifies to come home, especially from exile, and, according to the Greek practice with respect to neuter verbs, may be treated like a passive, as here, and take a preposition ( $\pi \rho \dot{o}$ or $\dot{\iota} \pi \dot{o}$ ) and genitive of the agent. The corresponding active verb is кaтá $\epsilon \iota \nu$, to bring home, restore, and thus Medea's reply (1016) means ostensibly, 'I am as little likely to be restored as to have power to restore another'. But she herself means кãá $\xi \omega$ ( $\epsilon$ is "A ${ }^{\prime}$ ov $\delta \delta^{\prime}-$ mous) bring below, bring to death (cf. Alk.26), and is still thinking of the murder. mss. крateis.
1017. The commonplace of consolation, that 'Loss is

1021. See Analysis.
1025. $\sigma \phi \hat{\varphi} v$ oैvar0al, to have joy of you (as a mother). See obivqul. $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \pi \downarrow \delta \epsilon \hat{v}$, come to the sight of, live to see.
 repeated mention of the same thing, the marriage of her sons, by various names expresses the fond regret with which she dwells upon the idea.
1027. á $\gamma \hat{\eta} \lambda a \iota$ (see à $\gamma \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \omega$ ), grace, do honour to, Fr. fêter, Germ. feiern. The subject is $\mu \epsilon$.
$\lambda a \mu \pi \alpha \dot{0}$ as, with which the house of the bridegroom was lighted at the reception of the bridal procession. It was the Greek custom for the mother of the bride or bridegroom to
 similar complaint of another mother in Phoen. 344, $\bar{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega} \delta^{\prime}$ oü $\tau \epsilon$
 Iph. A. 732, $\tau$ is $\delta^{\prime} \dot{a} \nu a \sigma \chi \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ \phi \lambda o ́ \gamma a$; (if the mother is not at the wedding).
1028. aưقaסias. For the construction see 96,995 .
1032. $\pi 0 \tau \epsilon \in$ constr. with the future infinitives, as also $\hat{\eta} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu$.
 filial duties of supporting the parent in age and of serving personally in the rites of burial (in the Greek view almost equally

important from its connexion with the religious worship of ancestors) are similarly mentioned in Alk. 662, $\pi a i ̂ \delta$ oi $\gamma \eta \rho o-$


1042. Spá $\sigma \omega$, aor. subj. (not future) in the deliberative sense, What am I to do?
1045. énov̀s, emphatic by position, 'mine to take away if I please'. See 793.
1049. ti $\pi \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \mathrm{X} \omega$; What is coming to me? or What feelings are these? $\pi \dot{d} \sigma \chi \epsilon \omega=($ not to suffer but) to feel, experience. Hence $\pi \dot{\alpha} \dot{\theta}$ os fecling and in later Greek passion. Cf. 879.
1051. Nay, fie upon my weakness that I should even admit the soft suggestion to my mind! Genitives such as кáкךs here are closely similar to ai $\theta a \delta i a s$ in 1028, the effect of the adjective there ( $\delta v \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\lambda} \alpha \iota \nu a)$ being supplied by the tone of the voice: the accusative $\tau d \ldots \phi \rho \in \nu^{\prime}$ is in apposition to the exclamation, explaining and expanding it. Cf. Alk. 832, à àd $\sigma 0 \hat{v}$ тò $\mu \dot{\eta}$
 $=$ let into. 2 nd . aor. inf. mid. of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma i \eta \mu$. Others $\pi \rho о$ о́ $\sigma$ al... $\phi p \in v o ́ s$, let my thoughts betray themselves in weak words. The ass. give $\pi \rho \circ \epsilon \in \sigma a l$, and vary between $\phi \rho \in \nu i$ and $\phi \rho \in \nu o ́ s$.
1053. See Analysis. öтต... $\mu \in \lambda \eta \eta_{\eta} \epsilon \mathrm{L}$, and if any one is not fit to take part in my sacrifice, he must himself look to it. These words, a sort of parody of the formula with which a person about to sacrifice required the departure of impure or hostile bystanders, are addressed in a threatening tone to the Chorus, as an injunction not to attempt interference. Xєipa $\delta^{\prime}$ oú $\delta \mathrm{ca} \mathrm{\phi} \theta \in \mathrm{p} \omega$, I shall not spoil my handiworlk (stay my hand). Cf. $\delta \iota \alpha \phi \theta \epsilon i \rho \epsilon \iota \nu \gamma \nu \omega \dot{\mu} \eta \nu$, to drop a resolve, Hipp. 388.
1056. $\mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \dot{v} \gamma^{\prime}$. This is the reading of best authority, though the emphasis on $\sigma \dot{\nu}$ is without point. Other mss. $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \sigma \tau^{\prime}$. Perhaps $\mu \dot{\eta} \sigma v^{\prime} \mu^{\prime}$.
1057. фєīбal, see фєíסoцal.
1058. éкєi $\mu \in \theta^{\prime} \quad \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, i.e. at Athens; but from the sequel (1060-61) it appears that the course which Medea is here contemplating is that of leaving her children in Corinth. Probably there is an error in the text, for $\kappa \epsilon \dot{l} \mu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ (though separated from me) or the like.

1062-63. Interpolated from 1240, 41.
 i.e. the murder of the princess, involving as a consequence the impossibility of leaving the children in Corinth. Absorbed in ber own feelings Medea has forgotten the princess and the
gifts so far as to speak of leaving her children to the insults merely（ $\kappa \alpha \theta \nu \beta i \sigma a \iota$ ）of her enemies，instead of to their certain vengeance．The mention of the $\bar{\epsilon} \chi \theta$ poi recalls the facts with a sudden shock，marked by the change of subject in oن́к $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \phi \epsilon \dot{\xi} \epsilon \epsilon \tau a \iota$ ， she（the princess）will not escape．

1065．$\delta \grave{\eta}$, now．
1067．SSòv，ambiguous；the path of exile or of crime． $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \ldots . . \quad \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ marks as usual that the speaker stops abruptly and turns to another sulject．See 1301.

1068．Omitted by some，as consistent only with the real purpose not with the pretended．But it is scarcely more plain than $\phi \epsilon і \bar{\imath} \sigma a \iota \tau \epsilon \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$（1057）．The lines 1056－1068 are addressed rather to herself than to the children，and（even when the broad and inopportune frankness of 1062－1063 is removed） are less guarded than the rest of the speech．Moreover the $\tau \lambda \eta \mu o \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho a$ óós may be referred ostensibly to the unpro－ tected life which the children will lead when she is gone．

1069．тpooєimeiv，to say fareucll to．Cf．IIipp．1099．See on 1350 ．

1073．à入入＇èkєî，in another home（though not in this）．For d̀入入̀ see 912， 942 ．
cúdauovoîtov．The original endings of the dual in the ＇historic＇tenses of the indicative（impf．aor．and pluperf．） and in the optative appear to have been ．$\tau 0 \nu$（ 2 nd pers．） and $-\tau \eta \eta^{\prime}$（3rd pers．）．In Attic writers $-\tau \eta \nu$ is very frequently found in the 2nd pers．also，and some would make this a universal rule，correcting this and other such passages ac－ cordingly．

1074．$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \beta$ o ${ }^{2}$ ，contact or pressure of lip to lip and breast to breast．

1077．ov่кє́ $\tau^{\prime} \ldots \ldots \notin \theta^{\prime}$ ．For the repetition of＇̇＇$\tau \iota$ with the de－ pendent verb，following ouk $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \iota$ with the principal verb，cf．Pind．
 modoì．＇Tis not further possible for a mortal to reach upon his feet a further goal．mss．oïa $\tau$＇$\epsilon$＇$\dot{v} \mu a ̂ s$ or oia $\tau \epsilon \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ v \mu a ̂ s$ ．

1078．Mss．vary between $\delta \rho \hat{a} \nu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$ and $\tau 0 \lambda \mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$ ．
1081－1115．See the Analysis．
1082－1084．I have pursued subtler thoughts and faced greater questions than it behoves our sex to search．$\dot{\alpha} \mu i \lambda \lambda a s$, properly the contcsts of the mind debating with others or with itself．

1085．$\alpha \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ．The change of thought here indicated by
this phrase is not so strong as in 1068. This is but then, the other but now. The fact common to the two uses is the shifting of the point of view. The question $\tau i \mu \dot{\eta}$; why not? (cf. Soph. Ai. 668 etc.) is here parenthetic. It signifies naturally or surely. Surely among so many a small class (capable of culture) may well be found. тò $\gamma v v a i k \omega ̂ v$, woman, a not uncommon sort of periphrasis for $\gamma$ voaikes: literally the (quality) or (condition) of

 ( $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \tau$ ), is no alicn to the muse. mss. $\pi a \hat{u} \rho o \nu ~ o ̀ ́ \epsilon ~ \tau \iota ~ o r ~ \pi a ̂ ̂ p o \nu ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ $\delta \dot{\eta}$. Others read

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [ } \mu i \alpha \nu] \text { } \bar{\epsilon} \nu \pi o \lambda \lambda \alpha i ̂ s ~ \epsilon u ̈ \rho o u s ~ a ̈ \nu ~ i \sigma \omega s-~ \\
& \text { ои้к } \dot{\alpha} \pi o ́ \mu о v \sigma о \nu ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \gamma u \nu a \iota \kappa \omega ̂ \nu . ~
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\mu i a \nu \ldots i \sigma \omega s$ is a parenthesis, and the construction is $\pi a \hat{v} \rho o \nu$
 namely, being added as a further definition of $\gamma^{\text {tuos. }}$
 follows.
1093. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \leqslant เ \nu a \mu \Sigma ́ v \omega \nu$ depends on $\pi \rho \circ \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$. єis, in respect of.

 having begotten children because of their inexperience whether, etc. $\tau \in \kappa$ óvits, see $\tau i \kappa \tau \omega$, commonly used of the mother, but sometimes of the father. mss. $\tau v \chi$ óv $\tau \epsilon$.
 fill the place.
1102. $\beta$ ícтоу, substance.
1103. ék тои́тตv, after or beyond this, properly 'following out of'.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ ф $\lambda$ av́poss... $\mu \mathrm{x}$ Covorı, lit. they labour on the terms of (having) bad (children), i.e. bad children are the wages of their toil.
1107. kal $\delta \grave{\eta} \gamma \mathrm{a} \rho$, for be it supposed that, etc. Cf. 386.

1109-1111. This is very obscure, and the reading and punctuation uncertain. The text and rendering commonly adopted are
$\epsilon i \delta \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \nu \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$
$\delta \alpha i \mu \omega \nu$ ov̈ $\tau \omega s, \phi \rho o \hat{v} \delta o s$ ès $A \ddot{\partial} \delta \eta \nu$
$\theta \dot{\alpha} \nu a \tau o s \pi \rho о ф \epsilon \in \omega \nu$ $\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau a \tau \epsilon \in \kappa \nu \omega \nu$,
still if fortune so chance, death flies (фpô̂סós $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota$, a wrong
translation) to the lower world bearing the bodies of the children away. The general meaning must be 'after all the children may die'.
 fortune chance unfavourably, comparing for the euphemism Aesch. Suppl. 400, $\epsilon \grave{\iota}$ moú $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta}$ roîov $\tau u ́ \chi o \iota$. This is very attractive, but the difficulties of the sequel still remain.]
1112. $\lambda \hat{v} \epsilon \iota=\lambda v \sigma \iota \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\epsilon}$.
1114. $\pi \alpha^{i} \delta \omega \nu{ }^{\prime \prime} \downarrow \in \kappa \in \nu$, as the price of children. Cf. $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \mu \omega \nu$ є゙кать, 1235.
 rather invert the phrase and say that man should pay to the gods the $\operatorname{tax}(\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta 0 \lambda \dot{\eta}=$ imposition $)$ of this bitterest grief.
1116. See the Analysis.
1117. $\pi \rho \circ \beta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha l$, to what point events will go, or more probably oi ' $\pi \mathbf{\pi} \beta \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \epsilon \epsilon \boldsymbol{\tau} \alpha$, in what they will end. See $\pi \rho \circ \beta a i \nu \omega$, àmoßaiv $\omega$.
 к.т.入.
1122. $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \ldots \pi \in \delta o \sigma \tau \iota \beta \hat{\eta}$, 'leaving behind neither ship nor chariot', i.e. 'removing all means of pursuit', appears to be the meaning of the words. But the expression is ridiculously extravagant: and probably there is some defect in the text.
1129. $\mu \dot{\mathrm{c} v . ~ Y o u ~ a r e ~ n o t ~ m a d, ~ I ~ s u p p o s e ? ~ S e e ~ o n ~} 676$.
1132. тoî $\delta \epsilon$. мss. $\tau 0 \hat{\sigma} \sigma$ or $\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \gamma \epsilon$.
 $y o u, \tau \epsilon \in \kappa \nu \omega \nu$ being genitive of definition.
1138. $\eta^{\prime} \sigma \theta \eta \mu \epsilon$, see $\ddot{\eta} \delta о \mu a \iota$.
1139. $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ oík $\omega \nu$, the reading implied by the ancient para-
 ear.
1140. '̇ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a l$. See $\sigma \pi \epsilon \in \nu \delta \omega$, and the deponent $\sigma \pi \dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta o \mu a l$.
1142. $\mathfrak{\eta} \delta o v \hat{\eta} s$ v̈ro. The servant has to explain, for the purpose of the story, how he came to be in the women's part of the house ( $\gamma v \nu a t \kappa \omega \nu i \tau \tau s$ ) from which he would usually be excluded, and to see what took place there. He was so much occupied with the children and the joy at the supposed pacification as to forget his manners.
1143. $\sigma \tau$ '́yas, accusative of motion towards or to; the construction is poetical.
1144. $\theta a \nu \mu \dot{\beta} \rho \mu \in v$, revere. The selfish and frivolous behaviour of the bride is purposely dwelt upon in order to avert from her for the moment the compassion of the spectator.
1145. $\tau \in \dot{\epsilon} \kappa v \omega \nu \xi \nu \nu \omega \rho(\delta a$. The same metaphor occurs in Phoen. 1085 and 1618.
1149. $\mu v \sigma a x \theta \in i ̂ \sigma a$, see $\mu v \sigma a ́ \tau \tau o \mu \alpha l$.
1150. áфńpєt, tried to check. On this sense of aipé $\omega$ and its compounds see 372.
1151. ov $\mu \dot{\eta} . . . \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \in\llcorner$; The interrogative ou $\mu \dot{\eta}$ with the second persons of the future indicative is equivalent to a
 $=$ do not be..., but cease... Cf. Bacch. 343 ov $\mu \grave{\eta}$ $\pi \rho o \sigma o i \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ $\chi \epsilon i ̂ \rho a \beta a \kappa \chi \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \iota s \delta^{\prime}$ i ${ }^{\prime} \nu$; do not lay a hand upon me, but go and worship Bacchus. This form of imperative has always a tone of protest, and often, as here, that of an appeal to the sense of the person addressed: Jason coaxes his wife like a fractious child. The origin of the use is disputed. Some make oú $\mu \grave{\eta}$ $\pi o \iota \eta \sigma \epsilon t ;=$ will you not not-do? = will you not abstain from doing $?=$ do not do. The objection to this is that the second negative would then naturally be ov. Others, therefore, think that $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi o \iota \eta \sigma \epsilon \iota s$; here as elsewhere $=$ the Latin num facies? surely you will not do, will you? and that ou' was originally a negative out of construction = the English nay.
1153. ov̋ $\pi \epsilon \rho \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{d} v$. Supply $\nu о \mu i \zeta \eta$.
 pronoun is used objectively, as a favour to me, i.e. for my sake. ( $o m p a r e ~ t h e ~ o b j e c t i v e ~ g e n i t i v e . ~$
1156. $\eta^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \epsilon \sigma \chi \epsilon \tau 0$. $\dot{\eta} \nu-\epsilon-\sigma \chi \epsilon \tau 0$, with double augment, used as the aorist of $\alpha \nu \in \chi \chi$ дal resist.
1157. Before the father and children were a long distance off. $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \nu a l \ldots \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu=$ the prose $\dot{\alpha} \phi \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \in ́ \nu \alpha l \ldots \dot{a} \pi \delta \sigma \tau a \sigma \iota \nu$ (acc. cognate) to be removed a...distance. mss. $\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu a \sigma \epsilon \theta \epsilon \nu$, which of course will not scan. Others read mat $\epsilon \rho a$ kai $\pi a i ̂ \delta a s ~ \sigma \epsilon \theta \epsilon \nu$. Even so the words, however, would mean rather thy father and

 my husband from the union of me and his father, but the parallel to this would be $\pi a i ̂ \delta a s ~ \sigma \epsilon \theta \epsilon \nu$ кai $\pi a \tau \epsilon \in a$ ( $\alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ). A possessive pronoun may be supplied from any preceding noun, but the noun should precede.

Prof. Robinson Ellis writes, "May not the original here
 and then $s$ falling out before the initial $\sigma$ of $\sigma \epsilon \theta \epsilon \nu$. As soon as
$\tau \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \nu o \iota s$ became $\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu a, \sigma \dot{\nu} \nu$ was changed to каi." This deduction is not unsatisfactory, though it is not quite plain why the copyist who had before him $\sigma \dot{v} \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \nu \alpha \sigma \epsilon \in \theta \epsilon \nu$ did not make the obvious correction $\tau \epsilon \in \kappa 0, s$. But the proposed reading scarcely removes the difficulty.

1159-1166. This passage is a curious illustration of the 'irony' or double meaning so congenial to the Attic mind and so frequent in the Attic poets. It has been seen that Euripides did not forget the parallel betwecn the кó $\sigma \mu$ os presented at weddings and that presented at funerals, and is careful to make use of the fact that Medea's murderous offerings may be regarded in either aspect. See on 957 . It camnot, therefore, be an accident that the same suggestion is sustained throughout the whole of the present description. Every detail is carefully studied to foreshadow the close-impending doom ; every gesture of the delighted girl has a terrible significance. She attires herself in the fine robes and wreath of a corpse, doing unconsciously what Alkestis does consciously and in the foreknowledge of immediate death (Alk. 160); she smooths her hair, as if laying herself out, before the hand-mirror which, as we know from excavations, so young and beautiful a woman would have beside her in the grave; she beholds her 'breathless counterfeit' and smiles, not perceiving that it is a prophecy; she walks through her rooms to practise paces, when, had she known her fate, she would have been making the same round to pay her last devotions at her oratories (Alk. 170); she affects a languishing gait, and falls into a gesture ( $\dot{\alpha} \beta \rho_{0} \nu$ $\beta a i \nu \epsilon(\nu)$ characteristic of the mourners at a funcral ( $\dot{\alpha} \beta \rho o \beta \dot{a} \tau a l$,
 $\tau \iota \theta \in i \sigma a$, said of a woman who cleverly and for a purpose imitates them); she admires the fair skin and straight sincw (note this very peculiar expression) of her pointed foot, little knowing how soon its whiteness will be the whiteness of death and the sinew straightened for ever.
1169. She faints. $\mu \dot{\eta} \mathrm{X} \alpha \mu a \mathrm{l} \pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ expresses the result of
 time to escape falling on the ground. $\phi \theta \dot{a} \nu \epsilon \nu \pi o \omega \omega \nu \nu \tau=$ to do a thing sooner ; see the Dictionary under $\phi \theta \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \nu$.
1171. $\gamma \in \rho a \_d$, and therefore superstitious. mov, perhaps, probably.
1172. Mavòs ojpyds, a fit or transport from Pan. Particular affections of the senses or feelings were attributed by the Greeks to the influence of particular deities; and sudden seizures especially to Pan: hence our 'panic terror', тavıкòs фóßos. Like powers were ascribed to Hekate, Kybele, and other divinities.
1173. © $\left\langle\lambda 0 \lambda u ́ \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu, \dot{0} \lambda_{0} \lambda v \gamma \dot{\eta}\right.$, describe the cry with which women accompanied sacrifices and other religious acts: here it is intended to propitiate the god supposed to be passing.
1174. The turning of the eyes upwards is a frequent accompaniment of fainting. mss. ó $\mu \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu \tau^{\prime}$ à $\pi \grave{o}$ кópas $\sigma \tau \rho \notin \notin о$ -
 a compound verb (tmesis) cannot extend over two verses, and the sense is inappropriate.
1176. Construction- $\hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \nu$ (aor. of $\left.{ }^{\prime} \eta \mu\right) \mu$. к., à $\nu \tau i \mu о \lambda \pi o \nu$ $\dot{o}^{\circ} \lambda_{0} \lambda \gamma \hat{n}$, answering the cry with different note. Compare the construction of the genitive with such adjectives as évavios.

1181-4. The fainting of the princess lasted as long as it would take a quick walker to complete a stadion, that is a running-course of six plethra or 600 feet, which was the length of the stadion at Olympia. Take together $\eta \delta \partial \eta \ldots a ̈ \nu$ $\ddot{\eta} \pi \tau \epsilon \tau о \quad \dot{\eta}$ dè..., he vould have reached...and-we should say
 course, which was in shape like a hair-pin, two parallels joined by a semicircular tirn. To traverse the return-arm of the course
 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \kappa \omega \nu \kappa \hat{\omega} \lambda o \nu$ stands $\mathfrak{f o r} \kappa \alpha ́ \mu \pi \tau \tau \nu \kappa \hat{\omega} \lambda o \nu$.

The word $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\prime} \lambda \kappa \omega \nu$, dragging back, is, however, unsuitable and probably incorrect: $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \kappa \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ ( $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \kappa \lambda \dot{\alpha} \omega$ ), $\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$, and other corrections have been suggested.

Others take $\kappa \hat{\omega} \lambda o \nu$ to be the limb of the walker, and suppose $\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \lambda \kappa \omega \nu \kappa \hat{\omega} \lambda o \nu$, lifting leg, to signify stepping lightly. In this case $\delta \rho o ́ \mu o v$ depends upon $\tau \epsilon р \mu о \partial \omega \nu$.
 closed eye', i.e. from the condition of having her eyes closed. The preposition $\dot{\epsilon}_{\xi}$ is frequently so used. avaúyov, lightless, or lustreless (ävauvos from aj̉ ${ }^{\prime}$ ), is a conjectural substitute for avaviסov, speechless, the reading of the mss, which others retain, explaining speechless eye to be an eye which shows that the power of speech is lost.
1187. Zet, imperfect tense.
1190. Observe the difference of the tenses, ${ }^{2} \nu a \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha$, the momentary action, and $\pi v \rho o v \mu e ́ v \eta$, taking fire as she went. Others $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{a} \xi a \sigma^{\prime}$ from $\dot{\alpha} \nu a i ̈ \sigma \sigma \omega$, springing $u p$, a more picturesque word.
1193. $\sigma \dot{v} \nu \delta \epsilon \sigma \mu a$ may be either (1) the objective accusative
 $\epsilon i \chi \epsilon$, was firm as to its fastening (see Dictionary under $\left.\epsilon^{\ell} \chi \epsilon \nu\right)$. The second is the better as the fastening holds the gold, not
the gold the fastening. Others read $\chi \rho v \sigma \sigma \hat{\nu}$, making $\sigma \dot{v} \delta \sigma \sigma \mu a$ nominative to $\epsilon i \chi \epsilon$ (held), and supplying aüròv it (i.e. $\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \phi a \nu \nu \nu$ ) as the accusative.
1194. After $\mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ we must supply in thought such words as $\ddot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \dot{\omega} \lambda v \epsilon \tau \circ$ the fire, far from being checked, rather, etc. $\mu \hat{\lambda} \lambda \lambda_{0} y$ may also be taken with ${ }^{\prime \prime} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon$, as she shook her more violently.
 voured it twice as fast, the fire being compared to a tongue. Note the similar $\pi a \mu \phi \dot{\gamma} \gamma o v 1187$, é $\delta a \pi \tau o \nu 1189, \gamma \nu a \theta \mu \hat{i} s 1201$. $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \alpha{ }^{\mu} \pi \pi \epsilon \tau \mathrm{msS}$. ; $\lambda \alpha \dot{\mu} \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ means to be illuminated, and therefore $\pi \hat{v} \rho \lambda \alpha \dot{\mu} \pi \epsilon \tau a l$ is not a possible expression. Others $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \delta \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \tau \epsilon \tau 0$, or $\bar{\epsilon} \delta a i \epsilon \tau \sigma$.
 unrecognizable to see, or, as we should say, by sight.
1197. $\delta \hat{\eta} \lambda$ os, an irregular feminine, usually $\delta \dot{\eta} \lambda \eta$ : cf. $\mu \hat{\omega} \rho o s$ in 61 . The mss. of Euripides exhibit many such.
 tracing) of the eyes was no plain matter: it was not easy to say where or what like they had been. єúdvès, natural, also a medical term; it is in construction predicate, not epithet.
1200. $\pi \in$ v́кıvov $\delta$ árpp, tear of the pine, resin.
1203. We had the event to warn us (as a teacher).
1204. à $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma$ cia, causal dative constructed with $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \pi i \tau \nu \epsilon$.
1205. $\pi a \rho \epsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$, entering. See 1137. Mss. $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$.
1206. ס́́fas. Other mss. x́́pas. Either phrase is correct.
1209. т̀̀v ү'́povta $\tau$ úpßov, this old grave, that is, himself, so old as to be rather a living tomb than a man. Persons in extreme age were called $\tau v \mu \beta \circ \gamma \epsilon \rho \rho \nu \tau \epsilon s$, of which this is an exaggeration for pathos. So in Herakl. 166, $\gamma$ ќроутоs єїуєка $\tau \dot{\mu} \mu \beta o u$.
1213. $\pi$ робє'Хєто. Note the tense: 'he began to be held $=$ he found himself held.
1215. ' $\epsilon \xi \alpha v a \sigma \tau \eta ิ \sigma a \iota$, raise up, a word appropriate to $\delta \epsilon \mu a s$, 1212, but not to róve, and probably repeated by the copyist's mistake.
1216. The corpse, clinging to the old man by the poisonel robes, appeared to struggle against his efforts.


is used because the clause is frequentative，meaning＇so often as he pulled＇．

1218．ג̇ $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \beta \eta$ ，he sank，literally was quenched，aor．of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \beta \dot{\epsilon} \varphi \nu \nu \mu$ ．

1221．$\pi ⿰ 丿 ㇄$ one may well yearn to hear，a reproachful allusion to Medea＇s cruel eagerness for the description（1133）：$\delta \dot{\eta}$ implies here，as often，that the accompanying words are ironical．Literally， an event desirable to those hearing of it．In regular prose the article（ $\tau$ oìs $\kappa \lambda$ र́ovo $\iota$ ）would be required，but in poetry it is some－ times omitted．

Prof．Robinson Ellis has suggested to me the reading $\pi 0 \theta$ ． каi кли́ovбє $\sigma$ ．，a tale fit to make regrets even in a listener，much more in an eye－witness．The sense is excellent，but I cannot find authority for the rendering of $\pi 0 \theta \epsilon \iota \nu \eta^{\prime}$ ．$\pi 0 \theta \epsilon \epsilon \nu \rho^{\prime} s$ appears to signify causing desire，not causing sorrow．The English regret，regrettable，bear both meanings，but the ambiguity does not，so far as I know，extend to $\pi \circ \theta \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ós．
mss．$\pi о \theta \epsilon \iota \downarrow \grave{\eta} \delta a \kappa \rho \dot{v} о v \sigma \iota$ or $\delta a \kappa \rho \dot{\prime} \iota \iota \sigma \iota$ ．The first will not scan； the second has no meaning．

1222．As for thy prospect，that I leave（let that be）out of question．tò $\sigma \grave{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{v}$ ，thy case or part in the matter．

1223．$\gamma \boldsymbol{\omega} \omega \sigma \epsilon \downarrow$ av่งท̀，thou wilt thyself discover，i．e．it be－ longs to thee to discover．

1225－1227．And I will say without shrinking that men who pretend to be subtle and students of words greatly waste their pains：literally incur great waste or loss，cf．581．As these lines interrupt the simple connexion between 1224 and 1228，and as Euripides would scarcely lead up to a remark on the difference between the exact meaning of the two similar words，$\epsilon \dot{u} \tau v \chi \dot{\eta} s$ and $\epsilon \dot{\delta} \delta a i \mu \omega \nu$ ，by first observing that subtlety in words is a waste of pains，we may safely suppose that 1225－1227 do not belong to this place．They appear to be by Euripides，and were perhaps written in the margin of a copy of the Medea by a reader who thought，with justice，that the story of the messenger has a lame conclusion，so that in this case at least there is a＇waste of subtlety＇．Ancient mss．not unfrequently contain passages inserted in this way．
 jecture has no probability，and is not supported by the Euripidean use of $\mu \omega \rho^{\prime}$ ．］
 sumes the participial description roùs סокоîvтas к．т．入．；more
often it resumes a relative clause, such as öбос бокойб兀 к.т.入. would be here.

1228-1230. єv่ $\delta \alpha i \mu \omega \nu . . . \epsilon \dot{\lambda} \tau v x \eta^{\prime} s=$ happy ...fortunate. The accurate interpretation of words, together with all other linguistic study, was in the time of Euripides perfectly new to the Greeks. It was one of the sciences professed and developed by the $\sigma o \phi \iota \sigma \tau a i$, or public instructors, a class whose importance belongs to the period from 450 to 400 в.c. One of them, Prodikos of Keos, gave especial attention to the distinction of synonyms. The general interest excited by such enquiries, when novel, accounts for the introduction of the present quibble into a poetical work designed to catch the popular ear, but it is none the less a blemish. ó $\lambda \beta$ ov, material wealth.

1231. See the Analysis. Єокк, seems likely.
 and taken closely together, as appears from their relation and place in the sentence: the accumulation of the day's calamities is just as regards Jason (dative of the person interested), who has deserved his punishment. The Chorus imply by contrast regret for the fate of his innocent bride, which is more directly expressed in the following verses.
 rangement of cases; the usual construction is $\sigma \epsilon$ छ$v \mu \phi о \rho \omega \nu$ oiктєipouєv, we pity thee for thy fate.
1234. Só $\mu$ ovs or $\pi \dot{\prime} \lambda a s$, both ms. readings,
1235. $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \mu \omega \nu$ ёкать тิิv 'Iáбovos, as the price of ueddiny with a Jason, the name again bearing an indignant emphasis.
1236. There is a slight pause after the significant roü $\rho \gamma 0 \nu$. I am resolved upon the deed-to slay, etc.
1237. ктavov́ $\eta$...a'yovoav. Where the complement or indirect object ( $\mu_{0 \iota}$ ) of a principal verb ( $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \delta \circ \kappa \tau a \iota$ ) also supplies the unexpressed accusative-subject ( $\mu \epsilon$ ) to a dependent infinitive ( $\dot{\alpha} \phi о \rho \mu \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota$ or $\hat{\epsilon} \kappa \delta o v ̃ v a \iota)$, a participle combined with the dependent infinitive may agree either with the complement or with the unexpressed accusative; and sometimes, as here, the construction varies from one to the other. Cf. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \lambda a \beta o ́ v \tau \iota$

 to me best to take with me my mother and to give the willing Zeus my willing aid. In such cases the accusative participle is generally more closely connected in sense with the infinitive than the other; here for instance $\kappa \tau \alpha \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ and $\dot{a} \phi о \rho \mu \hat{a} \sigma \theta a$,
express separate actions, ${ }^{\prime} \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \sigma \chi o \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$ and $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \delta o \hat{\nu} \nu a \iota$ are two aspects of the same proceeding, by inaction to surrender.
1240. $\pi$ áv $\boldsymbol{\tau} \omega \mathrm{s}$, any way, whether I slay them or no. It is very important to observe that $\pi$ âs is a Greek equivalent for any as well as for every.
1241. í $\boldsymbol{j} \mu \mathrm{i}$ is. The plural, not the singular, is used, because it is the character (of parent), not the individual, which is in question. The same effect is given in English when the speaker puts, in the place of ' $I$ ', a description of himself or herself, as for instance here, The parent shall slay them who gave them birth.
1243. $\mu \eta \grave{\eta}^{\circ}$ ov่ $\pi \rho \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota v$. mss. $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$, but usage requires the double negative after the interrogative $\tau i \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$; and generally after all verbs signifying to abstain or be hindered from doing, when the principal sentence is negative or interrogative. I am prevented from entering is $\kappa \omega \lambda$ v́oual

 oűк єiotéval;

The junction of the opposed adjectives $\delta \in \iota \nu a ̀ . . . a \dot{a} a \gamma \kappa a i ̂ a$, horrible but inevitable, by the simple кai is unusual. So is the use of $\pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ какג, which commonly takes an accusative, as $\pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \omega$ є̀кєivov как̃á, I do him wrong.
1245. $\beta a \lambda \beta i \delta \alpha$ ßiov, a life-beginning, forms as it were a compound substantive in the accusative case, and hence the case of the adj. $\lambda u \pi \eta \rho \mathrm{a}^{2} \nu$ which belongs in sense equally to both. $\beta a \lambda \beta i$ is properly the starting-line of a race-course.
1249. ${ }^{\circ} \mu \omega \mathrm{s}$, not strictly necessary to the sense, forms, according to Greek usage, part of the concessive clausethough nevertheless being a stronger substitute for though. There is therefore no break of the verse after $\sigma \phi^{\prime}$.
1250. тє... $\delta \stackrel{\text { en }}{ }$. The substitution of $\delta \epsilon$ for the $\tau \epsilon$ or $\kappa \alpha i$, which we should expect, marks that the thought is interrupted and does not come to its natural conclusion. It would have
 But Medea, overcome by the violence of contending feelings, suddenly breaks off with a cry of misery and hastily quits the

 tone is changed for the peremptory As you are well resolved not to beseech, so-nay, I say thou shalt not bide in the land.

1251-1270. This passage consists of a strophe and antistrophe in dochmiac metre. The regular foot of this metre is --- - two iambi separated by a long syllable, e.g. $\tau \in \kappa \kappa o r s$
$\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \beta a \lambda \epsilon i \nu \chi \frac{\epsilon}{} \rho^{\prime}$ aivтоктóvov, but several variations are permitted,
 $\tau \dot{\alpha} \nu$, in which two short syllables take the place of one long, and others. With regard to the limits of these variations there is a dispute, with which it is not necessary to trouble the student at present. The passage is printed here with ms. readings throughout (except in 1269), and (with the same exception) is without obscurity. Those who maintain the necessity of a stricter correspondence between the strophe and antistrophe of a dochmiac chorus give various corrections; for example, a critic in The Athenaeum, Nov. 5, 1881, proposes
 1262, $\sigma \circ \iota \beta a \rho$ v̀s for $\sigma o \iota \phi \rho \in \nu \omega \bar{\omega} \beta \alpha \rho$ ùs 1265, каi $\sigma \epsilon \delta \nu \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \eta$ ท̀s for каi $\delta \nu \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \grave{\eta}$ 1266. The dochmiac metre is specially used to express agitation and excitement.
1251. The goddess Earth is adjured to prevent the crime meditated, because it will outrage her sacred presence; the Sun, for the same reason, and also because as an ancestor of Medea he may be expected to protect his descendants.
1253. ภủ入o ӧлдข $\lambda$.
1254. aúroktóvov. The prefix aùro- in aítoктóvos, aùtó$\chi \in \iota \rho$, aúroф'́vт $\eta$ s, etc. may express not only self-murder but also lin-murder. See 1269, 1281.
 $\rho \omega \nu$, divine blood is in fear (danger) of being slied by human
 the same construction as the equivalent passive. Here $\pi i \tau \nu \epsilon \iota$

 The epic $\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$ for $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ is used by the Attic dramatists in choric and other lyrical passages only.
 lloody and crucl. The construction of $\dot{v} \pi \dot{o}$ and genitive with these adjectives is very similar to the use with neuter verbs;
 petrators of great crimes, and particularly those who outraged their near relations, were pursued by evil beings who drove them to the commission of fresh crimes and at last brought them to punishment. Medea in betraying her father and murdeiing her brother had exposed herself to these d̀дá $\sigma$ ropes, as well as by the murder of Pelias. Cf. 1333.
 originally to have meant simply go, acquired like ofxouac the
 mately excluded the original.
1266. $\pi \rho \rho \sigma \pi i \tau \nu \epsilon \mathrm{~L}$. Here apparently assail governing $\sigma 0 \iota$. If so, the use is unique; $\pi \rho o \sigma \pi i \tau \nu \epsilon \nu$ commonly means to fall upon in the sense of to embrace and hence to supplicate: but see Aesch. Pers. 461 where it is used of arrows falling. фóvos a $\mu \epsilon(\beta \epsilon \tau a \iota, ~ M u r d e r ~ r e s p o n d s$, i.e. rises up in the soul at the bidding of Wrath ( $\chi$ ólos).

1268-1270. The mss. give
$\chi \alpha \lambda \epsilon \pi \dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ß $\rho о \tau o i ̂ s ~ \dot{o} \mu o \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta} \mu c a ́-$
$\sigma \mu a \tau$ ' $\overline{\pi i}$ रaîav av̇тoфóvzals $\sigma v \nu \varphi$ -
with variations $\xi v \nu \omega \delta \dot{\alpha}$ and $\sigma \dot{v} \nu o \Delta \delta a$. So written the words have neither construction nor sense, and are clearly in some way erroneous. The translation of the text adopted is For the pollution of kindred blood is dangerous to man, and I know that ever afresh ( $\epsilon$ erc) woes fall from heaven upon the murderous house. On this theory of divine punishment see 1260 and note. $x^{a-}$ $\lambda_{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\alpha}$, difficult to bear. aùтoфóvtaıoเv may be either an adjective agreeing with $\delta o ́ \mu o t s$, or a substantive for murderers; if a substantive it is dative of the person interested and would be rendered in English by the possessive, upon the house of the murderer:
[Dr I. Schmidt proposes $\mu a ́ \sigma \mu a \tau^{\prime} \ldots a i d a \prime$ ', i.e. aiavà from aiavòs, two short syllables being supposed to be lost before aián'.]
1271. The cries of the children are heard within.

1272 is probably spurious.
1273-1292. A second strophe and antistrophe in dochmiac metre, interrupted in three places (but see note on 1282) by iambic couplets.
1275. тapé $\lambda \omega \omega$; deliberative subjunctive, am $I$ to enter? English shall 1?
1276. Observe carefully that $\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu 0<s$ does not mean from the children, which would require $\tau \epsilon \kappa \kappa \omega \nu$, but is the favourite Greek dative of the person interested, literally to keep off death

入о $\sigma \iota \nu$.
 preceding imperative; you will aid where there is need, i.e. your aid is needed.
1278. а́рки́шv $\xi$ 'i申ovs, sword-net, a forced metaphor. An
 Fur. 729, but this is different. It is not improbable that 1278 is interpolated and that in reality only one child here speaks, the other being already slain.
1279. " ${ }^{\prime} \rho^{\prime} \eta \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta$. ${ }^{\prime} \rho \alpha$ with the imperfect marks the discovery of something true before but not observed; thou wastwe should say art--then.
1280. ätıs, causal relative, secing that thou; Latin qui with the subjunctive. $\tau \in \in v \omega \nu$ is 'attracted' to the case of the rela-
 that in which a thing consists; we may render in English wilt slay thy children, the seed that thou didst bear. Others of which would be represented by wilt slay the child-seed ( $\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu \omega \nu$ ăpotov) which thou didst bear.
1282. $\mu$ íav. Probably Themisto, whose story with that of Ino was dramatised by Euripides in a lost play bearing the name of Ino. Themisto, intending to murder the children of Ino, was deceived by Ino so as to murder her own, and on discovering the truth slew herself-flung herself into the sea, if we accept the allusion here. The iambic lines 1284, 1285, which refer the allusion to Ino, were probably inserted by the error of a commentator, as Ino did not murder her children: she and her husband Athamas were maddened by Hera ( $\dot{\eta} \Delta i o ̀ s$ óá $\mu a \rho, 1284$ ); Athamas slew their elder child, and Ino drowned herself with the younger.
1283. $\beta a \lambda \epsilon i v$ for the prose $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \beta \lambda \lambda \epsilon i v$, to lay upon, governing the dative $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \nu$ ocs. Cf. Phoen. 1535. The mss. readings $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$
 construction.
1285. $\neq \pi \epsilon \mu \psi \epsilon \downarrow$ ä $\lambda \eta$, sent in frenzy; the dative is used like the Latin ablative of circumstance. See 1295.
1286. Even Themisto, the only murderess of her children whom the Chorus can call to mind, did not do the act with knowledge, and condemned herself by suicide. $\pi i \tau v \in \iota$, fung herself. See 1170 and note on 1256. фóvẹ, causal dative, because of. Of. Bacch. 1120, $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \mu a i ̂ s ~ \dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho \tau i a \iota \sigma \iota ~ \sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \mu a ~$ бò̀ катактávps, do not slay thy offspring because of my transgression.
1287. This and the next line are metrically superfluous and probably have the same origin as $1284,1285$.
1290. ti... $\delta \epsilon \iota v o ́ v$; what after this ( $\left.{ }^{\prime} \tau \iota\right)$ can be strange? the climax of the horrible is already reached.
1292. $\beta$ poroîs 'éças, hast caused among (lit. for) men, not hast done to men, which would require $\beta$ potoús.
1293. Jason enters accompanied by servants (see 1314). He questions the women sternly as to the whereabouts of Medea. It must be remembered that he knows their sympathy, and may well divine their privity to the murder of the princess. It is this which gives meaning to the words who stand near this house. He implies that after what has happened the neighbourhood is suspicious.
 from describing the murder in plain words, and calls it this horrible thing.
 construction compare ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \eta, 1285$.
1296. In construction $\nu \nu \nu$ and $\gamma \hat{\eta} s$ depend upon $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$, while $\sigma \phi \epsilon \kappa \rho v \phi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota \kappa \alpha ́ \tau \omega$ is 'epexegetic' or explanatory; literally therefore, she needs the earth, for her to be hidden under, i.e. she need; the earth to hide under. For the construction $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ $\tau \iota \nu$ á $\tau \iota \nu o s$, see the Dictionary under $\delta \epsilon \epsilon \omega$. In the next clause the construction, as frequently happens in Greek, is slightly modified-or she must soar etc. $\beta$ áOos ai $\theta$ épos, translated by ' the azure deep of air'.
1301. $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$... $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ marks an interruption of thought. Cf. 1085, 1344.
1302. kak $\bar{s}$ belongs both to $\epsilon \delta \rho a \sigma \epsilon \nu$ and $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \rho \xi$ ovaı : the subject ( $\overline{\kappa \kappa \epsilon i v o l) ~ t o ~}{ }^{\epsilon} \rho \xi \sigma \sigma \sigma \iota \nu$ is to be supplied from the relative oűs.
1304. Lest the relations (of the murdered bride) do me them some harm, as an English writer might have said in the 16th century. The direct object of $\delta \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \omega \sigma \iota$ is aúrous supplied from $\pi a l \delta \omega \nu: \mu o t$ is dative of the person interested. $\tau \iota$, emphatic, often used in a bad sense.
1305. $\mu \eta \tau \rho \hat{\rho}$ ov, done by their mother.
1306. oi как⿳⺈ $\nu$, how far in misery: a partitive genitive, as in $\pi \mathrm{ov} \gamma \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$; where in the world?
1307. ov่..ảv $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \phi \theta \in ́ \mathrm{f} \xi \mathrm{\xi}$. In negative conditional sentences of this form the protasis (here $\epsilon i \eta \not \partial \eta \eta \sigma \theta a$ ) is frequently omitted.
1308. ท̂ mov ; Certainly it may be? or in English, Ah, doubtless, anticipates without surprise, and has here a sarcastic force. $\hat{\eta}$ mov is generally used in positive assertions, but there seems to be no reason why it should not be used with an interrogative tone in such a case as the present, which differs
widely from 695, where see note. It is possible, however, that we ought to read, $\ddot{\eta}$ пou Or perhaps.
1309. $\pi a i ̂ \delta \epsilon s$ $\sigma \in \theta \epsilon v$ together.
1310. $\tau i \lambda \epsilon \xi \in$ tis; In questions of this kind, expressing extreme horror and dismay, the verb is frequently put in the future (cf. Hel. 780, Hipp. 353, Hec. 511, 713, 1124, Ion 1113, Phoen. 1274) as if the speaker, unable to believe his ears, waited to be told again before grasping the sense.
1311. Literally, Think from this moment ( $\delta \dot{\eta}$ here nearly synonymous with ${ }^{\prime \prime} \delta \eta$ ) in the belief that thy children are no more, i.e. Thy children are not: take it for certain. is with the genitive absolute states a fact ascertained or presupposed.
1312. Jason is still half incredulous with amazement. Hence $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$, which in such questions often seems to imply a thought-it cannot be for, etc. In English we say Where then? How then? and the like.

 is modified in order to express more emphatically the purpose of revenge: both horrible things, the children dead, and hershe shall pay with her blood. Other mss. tiowual, depending on ws-that she may pay.
1317. From the old Greek commentary and introduction to the play we learn that Medea, with the bodies of the children, here appears in a chariot drawn by dragons ( $\epsilon \pi i$ äp $\mu a \tau o s$ $\delta \rho \alpha \kappa \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu \pi \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \tau \omega \nu)$, and no doubt raised above the stage by machinery. She is secure of her flight to Athens, and defies Jason in safety.
$\dot{\alpha} v a \mu o \chi \lambda є v \in \epsilon s$, unbarrest. $\mu \circ \chi \lambda$ ós is here the bar of the gate (not a lever). The preposition in à áa $\alpha 0 \chi \lambda \epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon \iota$ has a negative force as in $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \dot{v} \pi \tau \epsilon \epsilon \nu$.
1327. ${ }^{\text {¹ }} \lambda$ tóv $\tau \epsilon$ каil $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ aíav. See on 1251.
1329. The truth, which Jason now perceives but missed before, is set forth in 1333, the gods have directed upon me the avenging spirit of thy family; a wife laden with the curses of a father and brother could but bring ill to her husband.
1330. Sópov, $\beta$ ap $\beta \dot{a} \rho o v$ must be supplied.
1333. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$, masculine. oi $\sigma o t=t h y$ friends. $\tau \delta \nu \sigma \dot{o} \nu$ or $\tau \dot{\partial} \nu \sigma \dot{\partial} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ mss. On $\alpha \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \omega \rho$ see 1260 .
1334. ктavoîбa тapé⿱宀тьov, at the hearth, the place sacred to family union. According to another version of the story, Apsyrtos was slain upon the Argo.

1337．$\alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \rho\rangle \tau \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon=\dot{\epsilon} \mu o i$ ，and so frequently in all cases．
1340．$\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \nu$ ．The plural＇E $\mathrm{E} \lambda \eta \nu i \delta \omega \nu$ is to be supplied from the general＇E入入 $\eta \nu$ is，any Greek．

1341．к $\hat{\eta}$ Sos，in apposition not to $\sigma \epsilon$ but to the notion $\gamma$ ámov implied in $\gamma \hat{\eta} \mu a i=\sigma \epsilon$－in full，＇with whom to wed was an alliance etc．＇

1342．入éaıvav：we should choose tigress as the symbol of cruelty；but the lion and lioness had a less noble name to Greek ears．Tvpanviסos．This is geographically vague，as the Messenian strait associated with Scylla is not＇Tyrrhene＇or Tuscan in the usual sense．The point of the epithet here is to show that Scylla，like Medea，was barbarian．

1346．ai $\sigma$ ро being a professional murderess and poisoner（фариакis），allud－ ing to her successive victims，Apsyrtos，Pelias，his daughters， the princess，Kreon，and her own children．The termination －mooos belongs to trade－names，as $\lambda о \gamma \chi$ oтoós，spear－maker；$a^{\nu} \nu$－
 trade，lit．as to trade，pursuing the idea of aio $\chi \rho \circ \pi o t o$ s．tékv $\mu$ цalфóv $\operatorname{mss}$ ．，which the copyists supposed to mean murderess： of thy children，but the adj．plaıфóvos cannot govern a genitive．

1347．$\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho a=\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota$（observe the accent）．
 point of grammar equally possible：（1）$I$ shall not be able to， speak to my children alive；（2）－taking maîoas as the subject of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$ ，and $\pi \rho \subset \sigma \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \nu \zeta \hat{\omega} \nu \tau a s$ as explanatory of $\tilde{\epsilon} \xi \omega \pi \alpha a \hat{i} \delta \alpha s$ －I shall not have the children surviving to bid me farewell． rробє $\pi \epsilon i \nu$ has in addition to its general meaning the special sense of to give last greeting or bid adieu（see Hipp．1099，$\pi$ ， $\epsilon i \pi a \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{a} s$ кai $\left.\pi \rho о \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi a \tau \epsilon \chi \theta o \nu o ́ s\right)$ ，and was applied technically to the solemn farewell which formed part of the Greek ritual for the dead（see Alkest．609，$\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \quad \theta \alpha \nu o \hat{\tau} \sigma a \nu$ is $\nu о \mu i \xi \in \tau a \iota ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon i-$ $\pi a \tau \epsilon)$ ．According to Greek sentiment，to be buried by one＇s children was a matter of immense importance and one of the chief objects of marriage（see 1035 of this play，Alk．662，and many other passages）；and this gives much probability to the second interpretation，especially as the first，though apparently simpler，would rather require oúкє́ть instead of où，and makes ऽûvras superfluous．
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \epsilon \sigma \alpha$, mss．Others $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\omega} \lambda \epsilon \sigma a s$, which is more forcible． Jason would naturally end with a reproach．
 $\lambda$ árous，$\dot{\eta} \hat{\sigma} \iota \nu$ or the like must be supplied．It occurs also in Aesch．Ag． 916.
1353. How you have been done by and how you did by me. cf. 488. There is practically little difference here between the perf. $\pi \epsilon \pi \pi \sigma \theta a s$ and the aorist $\epsilon i \mu \gamma \alpha_{\sigma} \sigma$, but the perfect emphasizes the permanence of the undischarged debt of gratitude. It is important to remember that the Greek perfect, differing in this from the Enylish perfect, frequently expresses the present result of past actions; thus $\dot{\delta} \epsilon \dot{U} \pi \epsilon \pi o \nu \theta$ 'ंs means a person under olligation. єipyáбw. The form of the augment is exceptional, $\dot{\epsilon}$ - generally becoming $\dot{\eta}$ - in augmented tenses. The reason of this is that $\epsilon i$ - in $\epsilon i \rho \gamma a \sigma \alpha u \eta \nu$ is not really a temporal augment, but a contraction from $\dot{\epsilon} \cdot \epsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \sigma \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \nu$. The verb $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \dot{\text { ásoua }}$ once had an initial $F($ digamma $=$ English $w$ ) and therefore like other verbs beginning with a consonant, took the syllabic augment.
1356. тpoteis, offered. Soı. uss. mpoodeis, added, that is, gave you your second wife.
 infinitive, depending on $\ddot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon$ supplied.

135̈8. mpos $\tau$ avita, in face of this, a frequent formula of defiance, implying that the speaker will hold to what he has said. It may be rendered in English by So. kal $\lambda$ éaıvav, even lioness or other name that pleases you.

1359 inserted in imitation of 1342 to complete the construction of кai $\lambda$ éalvav, which was supposed to mean both a lioness... Tupoqvòv $\pi$ éסov. Scylla did not dwell on Tyrrhene ground, nor on any 'ground' (see on 1342), nor would Euripides have given this precision to his poetical geography.
1360. $\dot{\alpha} v \theta_{\eta} \psi \alpha^{\prime} \mu \eta \nu$, gripped, or, as we should say, wrung.
1362. $\lambda \hat{v} \epsilon \iota=\lambda v \sigma \iota \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\epsilon}$. ád $\lambda o s$, nominative. Another construction is also grammatically possible ( $\tau \dot{o} \sigma \epsilon \mu \grave{\eta}$ є̀ $\gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \hat{\alpha} \nu$ ) גúє arjos, it relieves the pain.
1364. vó $\boldsymbol{\omega}$, wealiness (moral).
1367. к $\hat{\xi} \xi i \omega \sigma a s=\kappa a i ~ \eta े ं i \omega \sigma a s$. The каi puts emphasis on the word-Was it worth to thee?
1369. бol...кака, in thy sight all is evil, i.e. thy evil mind turns all things to harm. Others $\sigma$ ov, partitive genitive; in thee all is evil, thou hast no virtue.
1370. Medea wisely changes the subject. $\gamma \dot{\text { à }}$ (I say this), for. In such cases we do not in our idiom use any conjunction, but the frequency of disconnected sentences (asyndeta) is one of the chief differences of English as compared with Greek.
1371. oif $\alpha \iota$, like the Latin opinor, often expresses not doubt but assurance, nay, surely or I trow. ass. oìpo九, ひैpol,
 à $\lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau 0 \rho \in s$.
1374. $\beta \alpha{ }^{\prime} \xi \iota v$. $\quad \beta \dot{a} \xi c s$ is known elsewhere only in two closely connected meanings, (1) rumour and (2) oracular voice: $\phi \dot{\eta} \mu \eta$ is a synonym in both. As neither is suitable here, it is probable that this is a dilferent word, derived not from $\beta a j \xi \varepsilon \nu$, to speak (if such a verb existed) but from $\beta \dot{a} \zeta \omega(=\beta \alpha \Delta \jmath \zeta \omega$, cf. $\kappa \rho a ́ j \omega$ and крav $\eta_{\eta}$ ), to bark or make the noise of a dog, which occurs in
 I shrick io the deaf and vainly bay (like a watch-dog) without effect to men asleep, and in Aesch. Pers. 5j0, ovं ${ }^{\prime}$ ' $\epsilon \tau \iota \gamma \lambda \omega \bar{\omega} \sigma \alpha$
 of mankind is no longer under a muzzle (or gaj), for the people. are released to vent their howling freely, and elsewhere. If so it should be properispomenc not $\beta \dot{\alpha} \dot{s} \iota s$ but $\beta \hat{\alpha} \xi_{c}$ (cf. $\kappa \rho \hat{\omega} \xi \iota s$, $\sigma \hat{\xi} \iota s, \gamma \rho \hat{\jmath} \xi(s)$. $\pi \iota \kappa \rho \grave{o} s$ is frequently used of unpleasant sounds. Translate, I am weary of thy harsh snarling.
[Dr Ludwig Schmidt, while allowing the general correctness of my remarks in the larger edition on $\beta \dot{a} j \omega$ in tragedy, suggests the rendering I loath thy hateful name ('wir möchten das Wort im Sinne von "Namen" fassen"), referring for illustration
 $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau \hat{\eta} \rho a, \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \pi о \lambda 0 \nu$ фóvov, where the translation, he gives ill names, is certainly most appropriate, though not necessary, as we may render he hools reproaches at him. In fact, if there were really two verbs, (1) $\beta$ ás $\omega$ meaning primarily to bark but applied in a large variety of metaphors to human language of an insolent, defiant, or angry tone, and (2) $\beta \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$, to speak, they must have tended to confusion in use, and it will inevitably be difficult for us to distribute the extant examples between them. But if $\beta a_{j}^{\prime} \omega$, to bark, will cover the field, $\beta \dot{\alpha} \dot{\xi} \omega$, to speak, has yet to prove its existence, nor can any proof be satisfactory which does not include a scientific derivation of the word from some root having that meaning. The Homeric examples of $\beta \dot{a} \zeta \omega$ prove, in my judgment, nothing either way, and the strongest argument for $\beta \dot{\alpha} \dot{\xi} \omega$, to speak, is the existence of $\beta \dot{\alpha} \dot{\xi} \iota s$ in its common meanings. Without pretending to speak positively, I still think it possible that both the rumour of a crowd and the crooning voice of an oracle were originally called $\beta a_{\xi}^{\prime} \iota_{s}$ from their sound, which suggested to the ear the prolonged whine of a dog. Of course this origin must have been wholly forgotten before $\beta a \dot{\xi} \iota_{s}$ could be used as we find it in literature, but this is a common phenomenon in the history of language.]
1375. pódóoy agrees in gender with the sense ( $\tau \dot{\partial} \dot{a} \pi \pi a \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma$ $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a t)$ expressed by $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda a \gamma \alpha i$, parting is easy. Cf. Phoen. $963, \delta \grave{\eta} \lambda o \nu$ oï $\gamma^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \mathrm{ol}$ 入ó $\gamma o 九$, at least what $I$ say is plain. Such
a concordance is $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$ кaтà $\sigma \dot{v} \nu \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$, 'a construction according to the sense.'
1377. к $\lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \hat{v} \sigma a \iota$, one ms., the majority каv̂бal. If каи̂бal be read the order of the words in $\theta \dot{\alpha} \psi a \iota ~ к а i ~ к а \hat{v} \sigma a l$ is the reverse of the order in time; or, as the Greek grammarians said, there is a $\dot{v} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu \pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu . \pi a ́ \rho \epsilon s$, see $\pi a \rho i \eta \mu$.
 Hera Akraia (Juno Acraea) or ' Hera on the Cape,' on a promontory over against Sikyon and therefore in the neighbourhood of Corinth (Liv. xxxir. 23). This is probably the temple here mentioned. Medea will not bury the children in Corinthian soil for the reason next given.
1380. aúrov̂, here, i.e. in Corinth. каӨvßрiбn may be taken absolutely triumph in riffing the graves, or may have for object either $\pi \alpha \hat{\imath} \delta a s$ (supplied) or $\tau \dot{u} \mu \beta o u s$. The first way is perhaps the best. mss. aùroùs or aù $\boldsymbol{\omega} \hat{\omega}$. The use of superfluous pronouns is not according to the style of Euripides.
1381. $\gamma \hat{n} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \dot{\prime} \phi o v$, Corinth, called from the founder of its royal house, as Athens is called raia 'Epex $\theta$ ' $\omega$ s. Cf. 405, and on the $\dot{\epsilon} o \rho \tau \eta \dot{\eta}$ see the commencement of the Introduction.
1386. Medea was a prophetess, as well as an enchantress; in Pindar's fourth Pythian Ode she is made to foretell the fortunes of one of the Argonauts whose descendants, according to the legend, colonized the island of Thera.
1388. vé $\omega \nu$. mss. ${ }^{\epsilon} \mu \omega \hat{\omega}$, but this does not give a point which Medea would care to make. It should be observed that this passage ( $1384-1388$ ) is of doubtful authorship.
1391. $\theta \epsilon$ òs $\eta$ そ $\delta a i ́ \mu \omega \nu$. The 'E $\rho \iota \nu u ́ \epsilon s$ were properly $\theta \epsilon o i$, $\Delta i \kappa \eta$ not precisely a goddess but a $\delta a i \mu \omega \nu$ or divine power.
1392. $\xi \in \iota v a \pi a ́ \tau o u$ properly 'false to one who befriended thee in a foreign land.' She refers to the relations between herself and Jason in Kolchis.
1393. фєv̂ фє仑̂. Fie upon thee! $\phi \in \hat{v}$ is an exclamation of anger as well as of grief, and must not everywhere be rendered by 'Alas!’
1396. This is not yet lamentation; wait till old age be added, literally wait for old age also. i.e. 'The measure of thy punishment and grief is not yet full.' When the father in the natural course would expect $\gamma \eta \rho o \beta o \sigma \kappa \epsilon i \sigma \theta a l$, he would feel more deeply the loss of children.

The anapaestic metre, in which 1389-1419 is written, consisting of dactyls (-৩-), spondees (--), and anapaests ( --- ), is

tinuous, $\sigma v v^{2} \pi \tau \omega$, to fit together) or continuity of the lines. Thus if one line ends with a vowel and the next begins with a vowel, the first vowel must be elided (1398), a long vowel or diphthong must be followed by a consonant in the next line (1392), and a short vowel followed by a consonant at the end of a line cannot be counted as long unless the next line begins with a consonant (1394)-in short the rules of scansion for the last syllables of lines are the same as for any other syllables. But in 1396 this rule is violated: $\gamma \hat{\eta} \rho a s$ is scanned as two long syllables, though the $a$ is short and the next line begins with a vowel. This might be rectified without much injury to the force of the expression by substituting $\gamma \dot{\eta} \mu a \sigma \kappa^{\prime}(\gamma \dot{\eta} \rho a \sigma \kappa \epsilon)$; but in Eur. El. 1333 there is an exception apparently not corngible. There, as here, the two lines belong to different speakers, and it is probable that in such a case the rule was not strictly observed.
1398. ёктаs, see $\kappa \tau \epsilon і \nu \omega$.
 (avioò) is a further explanation (epexegesis)-literally, I long for the lips of my children to kiss, i.e. I long to kiss etc. The construction is frequent with verbs of desiring, e.g. Herod. v.
 to find out a powerful alliance.
1401. mporavoạs. Now thou wouldst give them farewell and blessing. See on $\pi \rho 0 \sigma \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon i v 1350$. $\pi \rho 0 \sigma a v \delta \alpha^{\omega} \omega$ (in prose $\pi \rho \circ \sigma a \gamma o \rho \in \dot{v}(\omega)$ is the corresponding present tense. тó $\boldsymbol{\tau} \epsilon$, the regular Greek equivalent for the English before, in contrasts between past and present. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \omega \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \in v o s$, see $\dot{\alpha} \pi \omega \theta \dot{\epsilon} \omega$.

## 1408. $\pi \alpha \dot{\rho} \rho a=\pi \alpha ́ \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \tau$.

1413. The point of the wish lies, as often, in the participle, Would I had not begot them, to see them after all ( $\dot{\epsilon \pi} \pi$ )
 in anapaests, Aesch. Pers. 915. The omission of the augment is commonly admitted by the tragedians only in lyrical passages and in the narratives ( $\dot{\rho} \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \epsilon$ ) of messengers, such as 11361230 , in which the influence of the old epic language is stronger. ob $\phi \epsilon \lambda o \nu$, however, seems to ve an exception and appears even in later prose. See $\dot{o} \phi \epsilon i \lambda \omega$ and cf. 1.

1415-1419. This 'tag' appears at the conclusion of four other plays of Euripides (Alk., Hel., Bacch., Androm.), and another at the end of three (Iph. Taur., Orest., Phoen.). They were appended in acting without regard to the contents of the play, and sometimes, as lere, are not particularly appropriate.

## GRAMMATICAL INDEX.

The following table is intended not merely or chiefly to facilitate reference, but to assist the study of the text from the side of grammar. The student is recommended, after reading the play, to work carefully through the passages and notes indicated, especially if he is studying without other help.

1. Genitive Case. double genitive 49 (cf. 1245) genitive of definition 153, 394, 920
——. of respect after vocatives 358 , 995, 1028
-- of respect after exclamation 899, 1051
... after negative adjectives 673,737

- of comparison 300 , 443 , etc.
- after verbs signifying comparison 76, 315, 1093
——after adjective signifying comparison 765
- partitive after $\tau o \hat{v}$ то 56
- partitive after adverb of place 1306
- partitive after pronoun implied 534
genitive partitive after verb 302, 946 (cf. 284)
——of quality 304
- of price 968
- after verb or adj.ctive signifying deprivation 52 , 286, 334, 421, 498, 881 (cf. 1010)
——after $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu$ (cf. preceding class) 329
—— after passive ten-

——after verb of me. mory 1246
- objective 587
- governed by divtıin composition 1176
_ absolute after w's 1311

2. Dative Case.
double dative 991
dative of the person whose interest, view, or
opinion is in question 6, 2>3, 509, $580,730,1000,1221$, 1232, 1269
dative cansal 405, 432, 671, 1204, 12>6, 1364

- instrumental 196, 200, 218, etc.
- of accompanying circumstance 587
(compare with the three previousheads the dative $\phi i$ iocs 459)
-. of autós with substan. tive (resembling dative of accompanying circumstance) 164
--- of measure or quantity 318
-- after verb signifying anger 271
... after $\delta$ lá $\phi o \rho o s ~ \epsilon i ̂ v a l ~$ 579
——after $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota$ in composition 429

3. Accusative Case. accusative cognate 698,1041
-.- cognate with passive 840

- cognate of pronouns 158, 1409
quasi-cognate (i.e. cognate in meaning to the verb but not connected with it in etymology) 187, 1067, 1158
-- in apposition to action of verb 384, 514, 891, 1341
----- of part affected 8, 143
__ of place towards
which 7, 359, 771, 920
accusative describing the object of a dependent proposition 248, 447
-- double after $\delta \rho a ̂ \nu$ 1304
_- forming with verb a compound verb governing another accusative 205
-_ absolute of participles 372,448

4. Verbs, neuter with preposition and case of the agent (as $\theta a$ $\nu \epsilon i \nu \dot{\text { ünó }} \tau \iota \nu 0 s$ 487, 488, 500, 714,1015 (cf. the same with adjective 1260 )
5. Present Indicative. present for future 938

- historical 1141,1161, 1169 , etc. (ef. 668, 955)
(6. Perject Indicative.

Greek perfect of verb denoting process $=$ English present of verb denoting condition |  |
| :---: |
| $\sigma$ |
| $\eta \kappa \alpha$ |
| $51, \pi \epsilon$ |
| $\epsilon \epsilon$ | фика 294, оїда 300, тє́$\pi о \iota$ а 318, ä а́рає 322, єัогка 337, etc.

7. Imperfect Indicative.
imperfect in conditional sentence 541

- with ăv in apodosis 10, 491
- = the English continuous perfect have been doing $1: 31$
—— withä́pa 703, 1279

8. Aorist Indicative.
aorist of action just past, corresponding to English present 64, 214, 272, 791, 906, 1043 (cf. ${ }^{\eta} \nu \epsilon \sigma a, \dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\eta}-$ $\nu \in \sigma a 2 \cdot 3,707$ )

- of past action indefinite in time, corresponding to English perfect 293, 440, 652
- frequentative or 'gnomic' $130,245,629$
—— with ă $\nu$ in apodosis $6,370,1307$

9. Imperative with oi $\sigma \theta^{\prime \prime}$; oí $\sigma$ ' 's ; etc. 600
10. Subjunctive.
subjunctive with ${ }^{\prime} \nu$ in general temporal sentences dependent on primary tenses 129, 189, 244

- without ${ }_{a}^{\prime \prime} \nu$ in general relative sentence 516
- with $\underset{\text { cád of gene- }}{ }$ ral hypothesis 241
_- with $\begin{gathered}\text { ćá } \nu \text { of par- }\end{gathered}$ ticular future hypothesis 2:0
——. with ö $\pi \omega s$ ä $\alpha=$ according as 331
- withä after $\pi \rho i \nu$ (only where the principal sentence is negative and primary) 680
-- deliberative 502 , 1271, 1275

11. Optative.
optative expressing a wish $83,95,113$, etc.
—— with ày after the interrogative $\pi \hat{\omega} \mathrm{s}$ expressing a wish 97, 173

- in or. obl. for the corresponding indicative tense in or. recta 71
_ in dependentclause where the principal verb is optative 599 (but contrast 659)
—— frequentative after $\epsilon i 1216$

12. Infinitive.
infinitive as subject without article 543
_ with article as exclamation of indignant surprise 1052
——after є̇тiбтauaı $I$ know how to 538

- epexegetic or explanatory (the English 'good to eat ${ }^{\prime}$ ) 125, 126, 258, 264, 316, $320,430,442$, $530,1196,1400$
—— consecutive ( $=$ inf. with $\check{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ ) 415, 1170, 1296

13. Participle.
participle in singular with plural verb 565
_ - irregularity in case of 58

- as supplementary predicate 74, 216, 539, 54४
participle where English has finite verb and vice versa 1413
—— neuter accusative absolute 372,448
—— aorist with ä้ 781 - aorist with ${ }^{\epsilon} \chi \chi \omega$ 33, 90

14. Adjectives.
adjective as supplementary predicate 45, etc.

- neuter accusative as adverb 120, 329, 496, 9 テ̈2
- neuter predicate with plural sub. ject 1375
- possessive nenter with article $=$ personal pronoun 312, 346, 745 (cf. 1089), 1222
- possessive in objectivesense 400, 1155
- masculine, when referring to a speaker (masculine or feminine) who uses the first person plural 314, 385
_. feminine in -os contrary to rule 1197
- comparative after $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \ddot{\eta} 48 \check{5}$
- superlative irregularly for comparative 581

15. Pronouns.
relative attracted to antecedent 296, 401
antecedentattracted to relative 1280 (see also on 12)
ellipse of pronominal anteccdent 356, 454, 515, 753, 758
relative $\hat{\eta}$ with antecedent to be supplied from the general sense 384, 55.5, 768
article as relative 836
demonstrative pronoun in apposition to substantival clause 234,1104
oitos as vocative 922
16. Prepositions.
oca with accusative of motion through 211
eis in respect of 265, 547, 1092
cis towards (of persons) 495
$\epsilon_{\kappa} \kappa=$ English to 770
$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa$ followed by concrete description of condition = from the condition of, etc. 1183
ढ้̈ $\tau \iota \nu$ (masculine) to九ôtos $\gamma \in \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a l$, etc. 217, 22s
$\dot{\epsilon} \nu=$ in the matter of 741
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \ell$ with dative of the object or result with which an act is done 1103
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ in succession to ( $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \imath \gamma \mathrm{a}-$ $\mu \epsilon i \nu) 694$
$\kappa а \tau^{\prime}{ }^{2} \nu \partial \rho \rho a 675$
rapá with dative of person in judgment of 763
$\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \alpha=\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota, \pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \iota \sigma \iota 443$, 1.408

тapá contrary to 577
$\pi \rho o ́ s$ with genitive of agent 256, 297, 498, 705
$\pi \rho o ́ s$ with genitive in adjuration 324 , etc.
$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \chi a ́ p \iota \nu ~ \tau \iota \nu o ́ s ~ 538 ~$
$\pi$ рòs тайта 1358
rpós as adverb 407, 704

imo- in composition $=$ before 871
preposition put after and separate from its case 985
17. Adverbs.
adverbs in $-\theta \epsilon \nu$ where the sense of motion is expressed or implied in the context, but not by the verb with which the adverb is constructed (pregnant use) 239, 506, perhaps 1004
adverbs in $-\omega s$ with ${ }^{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ 533, 550 (?), 1192 (?): in the last two the text is doubtful
18. Conjunctions and Particles.

グ or misplaced 847, 856
$\hat{\eta} \pi o v$; in interrogations: doubtful. See 695, 1308
$\epsilon i$ whether followed by optative with ${ }^{\circ} \nu 941$
$\dot{\omega}$ s with ellipse of governing verb 609
$\stackrel{\omega}{\sigma} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ as $52 \ddot{3}, 1200$
$\delta \epsilon$ in apodosis 701
$\tau \epsilon \ldots \delta \in 1250$
$\mu \epsilon \nu$ in interrogations 676, 1129
$\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu . . . \tau \epsilon 125,430$
$\gamma \alpha \rho$ in interrogations 1312
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} . . . \gamma \alpha \dot{\rho} \rho 1301$ (see note)
रoû̀ 504
каi ón 386 (contrast 1065)
$\nu$ ขv 584,1365
19. Negatives.
ò after $\epsilon \boldsymbol{i} 88$
จйтє....o่ 1349
ov $\mu \dot{\eta}$ interrogative with second person future indicative 1151
oú $\mu \dot{\eta}$ with aor. subj. 728



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ We learn this from the ancient commentary and introduction to the play.

