HEADQUARTERS U.S. STRATEGIC BOMBING SURVEY (PACIFIC) C/O POSTMASTER, SAN FRANCISCO

INTERROGATION NO. (USSBS 462) NAV.NO 104

Tokyo Place:

26 November 1945 Date:

Division of Origin: Naval Analysis Division

Subject: The KON Operation for the Reinforcement of BIAK.

Personnel interrogated and background of each:

Captain SHIMANOUCHI, Momochio, has served in the I. J. Navy for 22 years. He was a member of the Personnel Office of Navy Department in TOKYO from December 1941 to March 1943; served on Staff 16th Cruiser Division from March 1943 to October 1944; served as Instructor Maval Academy at EDAJIMA from October 1944 to October 1945.

Where interviewed: MEIJI Building.

Interrogator: Commander T. H. Moorer, U.S.N.

Interpreter: Lieut. (jg) R. P. Brown, U.S.N.R. and Mr. SHIMA, I.

Allied Officers Present: None.

SUMMARY

Captain SHIMANOUCHI describes in accurate and complete detail the three phases of the KON Operation, devised for the purpose of reinforcing BIAK.

.



TRANSCRIPT of Interrogation (SHIMANOUCHI, Momochio, IJN)

The KON Operation

- Q. Who devised the plans for the KON Operation?
- A. The plans were devised by the Staff of the Commander in Chief of the Combined Fleet on about 29 May 1944.
 - . Explain the inception and objective of the KON Operation.
- A. With the progress of the Allied advance along the North Coast of NEW GUINEA it became extremely important for the defense of the HALMAHERAS and PHILIPPINES that we hold BIAK Island. Finally in the latter part of May the Allies began the invasion of BIAK. Although our defense forces put up a very brave and skillful fight we realized that unless fresh forces were thrown in regularly it would be impossible in the long run to hold the island. Herein arose the necessity of the KON Operation. This operation had as its main objective the transportation to BIAK by Navy combat ships and small craft of the No. 2 Amphibious Brigade (about 2500 troops), which was at that time in ZAMBOANGA. The secondary objective was a Naval bombardment of enemy land positions if the situation permitted.
- Q. Describe the command organization for the operation and list the ships assigned each unit.
- A. Because of the rapidly changing situation there were three consecutive organizations set up for the execution of the plan. Only the second organization was actually used. The three organizations were as follows:

Organization No. 1 (1 June to 6 June)

Transport Division

Sixteenth Cruiser Squadron	AOBA (FF))) KINU	
Nineteenth Destroyer Group	SHIKINAMI)) SHIGURE)	

Screening Units

	Screening on	- 05
No. 1	Fifth Cruiser Squadron	MYOKO (F))) HAGURO
	27th Destroyer Group	HARASAME) SHIRATSUYU))
No. 2	10th Destroyer Group	SAMIDARE FUSO (F) ASAGUMO KAZAGUMO)

Detached Force

ITSUKUSHIMA (F) TSUGARU 36th Sub Chaser Squadron 37th Sub Chaser Squadron 30th Picket Boat Group 127th Special Transport Group

At 2025, 3 June, the 5th Cruiser Squadron, FUSO and 10th Destroy r Group detached from above force and returned to DAVOA. (See track chart)

Organization No. 2 (7 June to 9 June)

Transport Division

19th	Destroyer	Group	SHIKINAMI (FF) URANAMI SHIGURE))
		Screening Unit		
27th	Destroyer	Group	HARUSAME (F) SHIRAKUYU SAMIDARE))

Detached Forces

No. 1	. 16th Cruiser Squadron	KINU (F))
		AOBA)

No. 2 ITSUKUSHIMA (F) TSUGARU

36th Sub Chaser Squadron 37th Sub Chaser Squadron 30th Picket Boat Group 127th Special Transport Group

NOTE: On 7 June the Commander of the Sixteenth Cruiser Squadron shifted his flag from the AOBA to the SHIKINAMI for the destroyer run in to BIAK, 8 June.

Organization No. 3. (10 June to 13 June)

Attack Division

First Battle Squadron

5th Cruiser Squadron

2nd Destroyer Squadron

10th Destroyer Group

YAMATO (FF)

MUSASHI

MYOKO

HAGURO

NOSHIRO

SHIMAKAZE

OKINAMI

ASAGUMO

Transport Units

No. 1

16th Cruiser Division

AOBA (F) (KINU (COUP)

19th Destroyer Group

4th Destroyer Group

YAMAGUMO (COUP)

YAMAGUMO (COUP)

NOWAKE

No. 2

ITSUKUSHIMA (F)

TSUGARU

36th Sub Chaser Squadron

37th Sub Chaser Squadron

30th Picket Boat Group

127th Special Transport Group

Supply Unit

MIYAKE (F)
HITAMA
EIXO MARU
EIHO MARU

NOTE: The Third Organization never functioned as a unit since the KON Operation was cancelled on 13 June in favor of the AGO Operation.

Q. Commencing with the time the troops left ZAMBOANGA, describe the operation in detail. I will interrupt you with questions if necessary.

A. I have here a track chart, (Appended hereto as Annex A) of the operation. Refering to organization No. 1, the Transport Division left TARAKAN on 30 May and arrived ZAMEOANGO 31 May where the 2 cruisers and three destroyers embarked about 1700 troops and continued on to DAVAO arriving on 1 June. The remaining 800 men were later transported direct from ZAMBOANGO to SORONG by the Detached Force. They arrived SORONG 2300 8 June. At 2330 on 2 June the Transport Division and screening units departed DAVAO for BIAK. The No. 2 Screening Unit took separate course to provide deception. On morning of 3 June the No. 1 Screening Unit sighted periscope and at 1100 the force was shadowed by two B-24s. At 2025 the KON Operation was temporarily halted. The 5th Cruiser Squadron, FUSO and 10th Destroyer Group returned to DAVAO. The Transport Division, AOBA flagship, continued on to SORONG. On the night of 3 June the

TRANSCRIPT of Interrogation (SHIMANOUCHI, Momochio, IJN)

Transport Division and the 5th Cruiser Squadron were bombed by large type planes. Bombing inaccurate, no damage.

- Q. Who made the decision to halt the first operation and what factors prompted him to do so?
- A. The decision was made by Commander in Chief Combined Fleet, in view of the submarine and aircraft contacts mentioned above and also the fact that a strong Allied force was reported east of BIAK, it was decided to alter the operation.
- Q. Please continue.
- A. The Transport Division arrived SORONG on 4 June and unloaded troops. The 19th and 25th Destroyer Goups proceed to AMBON for fuel and the AOBA and KINU anchor vicinity of WAIGEO Island. At this time orders were received reestablishing the KON plan according to Organization No. 2 and preparations were made on 5 June. At 1715, 6 June, the AOBA was heavily attacked in KOBUI BAY, WAIGEO Island by 20 B-24s. Although the attack lasted 2 hours the AOBA received no damage.

On the morning of 7 June the two cruisers and six destroyers rendezvoused north of MISOOL Island. Since according to Organization No. 2, only destroyers were to be used for run to BIAK, Rear Admiral SHIMOUNOUCHI shifted his flag to the SHIKINAMI. The Transport Division and Screening Unit composed of 3 destroyers each then proceeded to SORONG where each ship of the Transport Division loaded 200 soldiers and necessary equipment. The AOBA and KINU sailed for AMBON for supplies with orders to return as quickly as possible and standby in the vicinity of SALAWATI. No. 2 Detached Force was held in readiness in vicinity of HALMAHERAS. At 0000 8 June the Transport Division and Screening Unit headed for BIAK. After sunrise air cover provided by fighters of 23rd Air Flotilla. At 1245 a score of B-24s and 30 P-38s made low level strafing and bombing attacks; bearing 320° distance 80 miles from MANOKAWARI. As the result of a near miss the HARUSAME sank in about 5 minutes. Some flooding of SHIRATSUYU from hole caused by bomb fragment but navigation not impeded. Also some damage to SHIKINAMI and SAMIDARE from strafing. After rescue of HARUSAME crew, we proceeded again towards BIAK. At 1800 an aircraft report was received stating that an Allied Surface Force composed of 1 battleship, four cruisers and eight destroyers was east of BIAK, proceeding west at high speed. Nevertheless the operation was continued despite the fact that we were behind schedule. At 2230 we arrived off the North Coast of BIAK and prepared to enter KORIMU BAY and unload troops. However at 2240 one of the screening destroyers sighted 'the Allied force approaching and we quickly withdrew to the northwest. By 0040 9 June the Allied force had closed to within range and we were subjected to a terrifie shelling which we opposed with gunfire and torpedoes. SHIRATSUYU received hits but no serious damage. At 0345 contact was severed in vicinity of MAPIA Islands. During the melee the 19th and 27th destroyer Groups became separated. Because of rain no air attacks were received on 9 June. The 27th destroyer group, less HARASUME (sunk) proceeded direct to BATJAN while the 19th destroyer group proceeded to SORONG, disembarked troops, proceeded to SALAWATI arriving 1500 9 June, rendezvoused with AOBA and KINU, shifted flag back to AOBA, and then proceeded to BATJAN, arriving 10 June.

- Q. When was the plan involving ORGANIZATION No. 3 put into effect?
- A. Immediately after the above battle experience the KON units were organized into powerful forces as shown in ORGANIZATION No. 3. The YAMATO and MUSASHI plus the 2nd and 4th Destroyer Groups arrived in BATJAN from TAWI TAWI on 11 June. The 5th Cruiser Squadron and 10th Destroyer Group arrived from DAVAO about 9 June. By 11 June all forces were in BATJAN ready for operations, but on 13 June everything was cancelled in favor of the AGO Operation for the defense of the MARIANAS. The YAMATO and MUSASHI accompanied by the 5th Cruiser Division, the Second Destroyer Squadron, the 4th and 10th Destroyer Groups departed BATJAN for rendezvous with the Main Fleet in preparation for battle west of SAIPAN.
- Q. Why didn't the AOBA and KINU accompany the battleships?
- A. They were too slow, they were to join later.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

TRANSCRIPT of Interrogation (SHIMANOUCHI, Momochio, IJN)

Why were operations similiar to the KON operation not planned and executed previously, in order to stop Allied advance up the NEW GUINEA coast?

Two reasons: The AGO Operation was most important because we thought you would attack PALAU so all ships must be trained for that operation. This training was not completed until about June. Also prior to your arrival in BIAK we did not have proper bases for support of attacks on, say HOLLANDIA. If the KON Operation had been successful they were to be continued. We planned to use AMBON, BOBO, SORONG, MAU KAWARI, BATJAN as advance bases and support them from the PHILIPPINES and PALAU. Since the Allies would be forced to advance along single line up NEW GUINEA Coast we would have advantage. However after AGO Operation began, we had to abandon NEW GUINEA. We did not have enough ships for both operations.

R-E-S-T-R-I-C-T-E-D

HEAD OUARTERS U. S. STRATEGIC BOMBING SURVEY

Washington, D. C. January 1946.

Interrogation No. USSBS 462 - Sup. (Nav. No. 104)

Division of Origin: Naval Analysis Division.

Subject: The KON Operation for the Reinforcement of BIAK.

Interrogation of: Captain SHIMANOUGHI, Momochio.

Interrogation by: Commander T. H. Moorer, USN.

The attached chart(s) supplement Interrogation No. USSBS 462 (Nav. No. 104).

Enclosure: Annex "A"



