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WASHINGTON

# GEOGRAPHICAL PHASES OF FARM PRICES: CORN. 



## SCOPE OF SUBJECT OUTLINED.

Yields to the acre are a measure of physical limitations, and producers' prices reflect commercial factors.
Analysis of extreme sectional differences in prices paid to farmers discloses zones of uniformly high or low prices, between which prices graduate. This price advantage or disadvantage varies with each product.
The geography of farm prices constitutes a controlling element in local types of agriculture.

In the foreground of the present-day problems are those having to do with the prices of food products. The importance of geographic factors in producers' and consumers' prices is not apparent in the middle ground represented by average prices and price levels, for great differences usually prevail in the prices of a specific product in various sections of the country. The trend of this local variation differs for each commodity. The lowest wheat prices,
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for instance, occur in areas wherein relatively high prices prevail for corn. In the South lower production, higher prices, and smaller consumption of wheat and other cereals go hand in hand.

Such price differences are not accidental; they are traceable to fundamental conditions which in many instances are contrary to the general tendencies. Particularly is this true in the producers' price, or "farm price," which is the basic as well as the first and lowest price of food products.

The present inrestigation is confined chiefly to the influence of producing areas, trade routes, and consuming centers upon prices paid to farmers for corn and to a survey of the regional differences in such prices.

Existing types of agriculture are determined by a combination of physical and commercial factors. Physical limitations upon most agricultural products may be said to be measured by the arerage yield in bushels to the acre. High or low yields per acre decrease or increase costs of production. It is also apparent that commercial limitations on production are to a large degree reflected in the price obtained by the farmer.
When the rarying farm prices of a product are properly charted on a map they are seen to increase or decrease in determinate directions. The regions of lowest and of highest prices are thus shown clearly, also regions or zones of intermediate prices. The directions of the price movement rary with each product. Small areas appear where farm prices are higher or lower than in the surrounding territory or zone.

The "geography of farm prices" is thus a controlling element in crop selection. Climate and soil are, of course, the dominating physical factors which determine local types of agriculture. But the local farm price is an index of commercial conditions that are hardly less important. Given a sufficiently high price, obviously products could be grown profitably under unfavorable physical conditions.

## Data employed and method of treatment.

> "Isotimes," the term used for lines bounding areas of like price. Counties as basis of measurement, and a five-year average employed to distinguish normal from spasmodic price differences.

To the lines delimiting areas of like price the term "isotimes" (price lines) has been given, ${ }^{1}$ similar to "isotherms" and "isobars" as applied to lines running through points of like temperature or barometric pressure. Just as the course of climatic changes is outlined in the latter two so are local or general commercial disturbances reflected in the isotimes.
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The county has been used as the basis of measurement in this bulletin. It represents the smallest unit of area for which farm prices are to be had. The base figures were compiled from the annual reports of about 30,000 township reporters of the Bureau of Crop Estimates for December 1, 1910-1914, inclusive. Five-year averages were used instead of quotations for a single year, in order to represent more nearly normal rather than occasional conditions.

A tabular presentation of the prices of corn, by States and counties, is given in the Appendix (p. 45). Geographic variation of prices is depicted by means of maps and graphs.

## SURVEY OF BROAD REGIONAL DIFFERENCES IN CORN PRICES.

> Low prices prevail throughout the great corn States, from Ohio to Nebraska; the minimum price paid to producers of corn appears at the northwestern end of this section.
> This area of lowest price forms a price depression; prices attain constantly higher levels toward all points of the compass, at varying degrees of increase.
> Maximum prices are paid usually to growers in producing areas farthest from the corn belt-in the Southwest and Southeast.

Although the farm prices of corn will be seen to increase or decrease in definable directions, this movement is somewhat irregular. On Map 1 a 10 -cent price unit has been used to overcome minor local deriations and show more clearly the general trend of the price lerels. Blank spaces on this map indicate areas of little or no corn production, according to the 1910 census.

It will be observed that the difference in farm prices between the highest and lowest region exceeds 60 cents per bushel, or a variation of nearly 150 per cent. Under usual conditions the isotimes, or degrees of sectional price difference, as they are delimited on the map, prevail without regard to oscillations in the general price level of corn.

The lowest prices paid to corn growers occur within the areas of densest production-from western Ohio, across the corn belt, through the greater part of Nebraska. This zone of low prices includes substantially the heaviest producing sections of all the great corn States. It embraces the greatest agricultural region within the United States, with highest land values, highest aggregate value of all crops and live stock produced. The minimum price of 45 cents a bushel appears at its northwestern corner, within an irregular square formed by adjacent portions of Iowa. Nebraska, South Dakota, and Minnesota.

In this region of minimum farmers' price occurs the lowest price depression, away from which prices graduate upward in every direction, attaining, as a rule, steadily higher levels toward all points of the compass. The maximum prices prevail in the arid Southwest,
for the small quantities produced in the irrigated areas. Second only to those of this region are the high prices prevailing for corn in the Southeast and around the Gulf of Mexico. Very high price levels are as a rule attained near the seacoasts.

A varying degree of increase may be noted in the upward graduations, moving away from the described pivotal area of minimum price. Due eastward, throughout a large part of the corn States, bounded on the south by the Ohio River, prices are marked by comparative uniformity and rise only slightly; but when the Eastern States are reached the increases become more pronounced. Toward the West, where regions of scant production are not far distant from the pivotal area, prices ascend rapidly. Likewise the increases are more notable in all directions other than immediately eastward through the corn belt.

## PRICE LEVELS AND COMMERCIAL MOVEMENT OF CORN.

> Practically the entire domestic surplus, as well as nearly all the corn entering into general trade channels, originates within the area of low prices.
> The lowest price is found in that part of the surplus-producing region which is at greatest expense in reaching foreign and domestic markets.
> Farm prices rise in all directions, following the course of corn from the territories of surplus to those of deficient production.

The geographic trend in the price levels of wheat affords an illuminating comparison. The general level of American wheat prices is to a considerable degree influenced by the price received for the surplus or export wheat, which during the past 10 years constituted from 11 to 37 per cent of the production. Lowest prices for wheat are paid to farmers in the surplus-producing areas most distant from the important foreign and domestic markets-in the Northwest. The highest farm prices are found in the sections of deficient production which are farthest removed from the surplus-growing areas-in the Southeast. Prices rise in the direction of the trade currents to markets or territories of insufficient production; and these currents vary with each crop, according to the location of producing and consuming region, and according to its uses, characteristics, and distributive movement.

In like manner the farmers' price of corn may be seen in Map 1 to rise steadily in a broad general relation to the trade channels from exporting to importing sections. Widely diffused east of the Great Plains (see Map 2), and becoming by far the most important crop in acreage and aggregate value, corn production is yet concentrated within a relatively small area of dense production in the prairie region of the North Central section. This area comprises parts of nine States and forms a triangular section whose base may be seen in the eastern parts of Kansas, Nebraska, and South Dakota,
and its apex in western Ohio. Not only does this region produce the major part of the national crop, but it contributes also nearly all the corn which enters into general avenues of trade as well as practi-


Map 2.-Distribution of corn production, 1909 (T. S. Bureau of the Census).
cally the entire gross surplus of the country. All other sections produce less than their requirements. In the Great Plains and west-ward-nearly half the total land area-hardly 2 per cent of the national harvest is produced.

While low price levels rule throughout the surplus-producing area, cheapest corn has been observed within its northwestern corner. This part is most disadvantageously situated with regard to the important domestic and foreign markets, which lie to the East and South. From the centrally located corn belt the product moves to practically all points of the compass, since all other sections must supplement local crops by shipments from this territory. Likewise, prices mount in the direction of this flow, attaining steadily higher levels toward the areas which (subject to the modifications of local conditions) are most distant from the regions of surplus corn. Distance or length of haul in this sense is taken to represent differences in transportation costs, in which it is ordinarily the chief factor. Other items of distributive expense are usually measured in fractions of a cent per bushel, and vary but little with distance.

## PREDOMINANT TRADE ROUTES AND PRICE LEVELS, AND LOCAL VARIATION.

In the prevailing drift of the price levels a considerable degree of local variation may be seen. Such differences, which are to some extent observable on Map 1, are shown more clearly in a later detailed map. Thus the upgrade-from the pivotal area of lowest price in the corn belt-is slower in some directions than in others. It is slower throughout the sections where the traffic moves in greatest volume or where large local supplies are available-eastward across the North Central States; the upgrade becomes more rapid through regions of scant production or of relatively little consumption-westward, for instance. Aside from this irregular increase, many areas appear wherein prices paid to farmers are considerably higher or lower than in the surrounding regions.

The manifold uses of grain, plant and cob, as well as the conditions surrounding the distribution of the corn crop, result in a somewhat intricate commercial and price movement. To account for the drift of the price levels it is necessary to consider, briefly, a few international as well as domestic phases of the commerce in corn. To account for local variations it is necessary to consider such local factors as differences in costs of transportation by wagon, rail or water, rural roads, accessibility of markets, the volume and organization of the traffic as shown in elevating, and market facilities.

## general features of corn distribution.

The United States produces more than twice as much corn as the rest of the world combined, but consumes practically its entire crop. Its share of the foreign commerce in corn is relatively insignificant.
Available foreign supplies are small, hence diminished consumption, and not international supply, regulates prices in years of domestic shortage.

## american corn in relation to foreign markets.

Except in years of domestic shortage, the general level of American farm prices of corn is to some extent influenced by the prices prevailing at importing European markets, though to a much less


Fig. 1.-Corn : World production and commerce. Figures are averages for the five years 1909-1913, the latest available data showing usual conditions. They relate to all countries for which data are available, and represent, substantially, world production and exports.
marked degree than in the case of wheat, because of the lesser importance of corn exports. There exists, moreover, a degree of interrelation between corn, wheat, and rye prices.

The United States ordinarily produces over 70 per cent of the world's corn, more than twice the production of the rest of the world combined; yet our exports seldom exceed $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent of the domestic production. They averaged in 1909-1913 only about 15 per cent of the world's exports. On the other hand, Argentina, whose average crop is about one-sixteenth that of the United States, contributes nearly three times as much as the latter to the world commerce in corn-42 per cent of the total exports, or over half of its harvest. Practically all corn exports go to Europe.

Domestic consumption evidently absorbs practically the entire harvest of the United States. But even in our internal commerce
only about a fifth of the corn produced enters into trade channels. It is customary to say that the domestic corn is " marketed on the hoof," that the price of the United States corn crop affects the meat markets of the world, rather than the international prices of grain. The total consumption for human food, industrial uses and the feeding of work animals represents only a small share of the crop. Foreign harvests and prices do not enter as a regulating factor in years of domestic shortage since only relatively small foreign supplies are available. A surplus in adjoining countries would ordinarily have an effect upon domestic prices, as in the case of Canadian wheat and oats, but Canada and Mexico import corn from the United States.

## DOMESTIC DEMAND AND CONSUMPTION VARIABLE.

The high degree of elasticity in the quantities of corn consumed is a notable feature of its use. The annual variation in the United States consumption for the six years 1911 to 1916 ranged, roughly, from $200,000,000$ to $700,000,000$ bushels. This fact is suggestive when considered in connection with the comparatively stable demand for other farm products, such as wheat or cotton, under normal conditions. Market prices, not only of corn but of live stock and live-stock products, govern the extent to which corn is fed to live stock, is used for human consumption within the United States, or is exported. The prices of other feeds enter also herein. The quantity fed to live stock (about three-fourths of the total production) varies greatly according to market conditions affecting each class of stock, and the quality of the crop. Especially is this true with regard to swine, whose yearly consumption averages about $7,000,000$ bushels, and the number of which varies from year to year. High prices or a poor quality of corn result, in their first effect, in an unloading of hogs upon the market. The quantity used for industrial purposes is relatively stable, but represents a small fraction of the production.

The relative consumption in one section compared with another also varies greatly. By States, the per capita production varies from less than 1 bushel to 159 bushels. The per capita consumption for all purposes varies almost as widely. An average of nearly one and one-quarter billion bushels, or a little less than half the total production, is consumed in the North Central States for feeding purposes alone. Comparatively trifling quantities are consumed west of the corn belt. In general, outside of the corn States, a much smaller proportion is devoted to feeding purposes, because of deficient supply and relatively high price.

## STATISTICS: DISPOSITION OF AMERICAN CORN CROP.

Over four-fifths of the crop is consumed on farms; only one-fifth enters into general trade channels, part of which is shipped again to farms. About one-sixth of the crop is consumed in cities for industrial and other purposes.


Fig. 2.-Approximate disposition of the United States corn crop.
In Table 1 data have been assembled which bear upon factors mentoned in the preceding paragraphs. Only about 82 per cent of the crop, on an average, is of merchantable quality. The quantity shipped out of the counties where grown, constituting in 1911-1915 only 19.4 per cent of the aggregate production of the United States, may be said to represent the corn moving into general trade channels; in round numbers, only one-half billion out of the two and threequarter billion bushels. The balance remaining in the counties where grown, $\$ 0.6$ per cent, consists principally of the corn consumed on
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farms where it is produced, and a small percentage of sales to local feeders and local markets. The major part of the crop never leaves the farms on which it is raised. The census for 1909 reported 23 per cent of the corn crop as having been sold. In that year, therefore, 77 per cent of the corn grown was retained on the farms where it was produced. This fraction includes the sofi and unmerchantable corn. In the same year (1909) 18 per cent of the total harvest was shipped out of counties where grown; thus the difference between this 18 per cent and the 23 per cent sold off the farms represents local sales, or corn sold but not shipped out of counties where grown. This amounted in that year to but 5 per cent.

The farm consumption approximates 83.4 per cent; it consists of the small fraction constituted by corn shipped from markets and farms to farms, and, chiefly, of the home-grown product. Horses and mules, as one item, and swine, each absorb more than one-fourth of the total production, three-fourths of a billion bushels each. These items are highly rariable, as stated, depending upon size of crop, costs of other feeds and market prices of live stock.

The urban consumption is more stable. The items in Table 1, listed under corn disposed of in cities, total only 16.6 per cent of the national production, or about a half billion bushels. To obtain aggregate urban receipts, there should be added some quantities reshipped from market to farms, which are included in this statement under farm consumption.

The largest item in city consumption consists of the corn ground in merchant flour mills ( 180 million bushels or 6.5 per cent of the crop). In the Census for the year 1909, 80 per cent of the corn entering such mills was reported to be "manufactured chiefly for human consumption," and 20 per cent, or 42 million bushels, " manufactured chiefly for live stock." Additional quantities enter small custom mills, no recent data for which are available; this appears, however, to be included in the estimates of farm use. In the Census of 1909 it was reported to be 35 million bushels. Quantities fed to live stock in cities are of some importance, constituting about 5.4 per cent of production. Industrial uses absorb many million bushels, but amount to only a small percentage of the crop. Excepting its use in the manufacture of hominy, industrial demands furnish a market for poorer qualities of corn.

Table 1.-Corn: Approximate distribution of the United States crop.
[Figures are averages for the 5 years 1911-1915, where not otherwise noted.]

|  |  |  | Percentage of production. | Bushels. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Production <br> 2. Merchantable corn <br> 3. Shipped out of counties where grown (represents commercial movement to other than local markets) ${ }^{1}$ <br> 4. Remaining in counties where grown (mostly farm consumption, also local sales) ${ }^{1}$ <br> 5. Approximate consumption on farms (substantially item 4, plus shipments to farms). <br> 6. Urban consumption and exports. |  |  | 100.0 | 2,766,000,000 |
|  |  |  | 82.4 | 2, 279,000,000 |
|  |  |  | 19.4 | 536, 000, 000 |
|  |  |  | 80.6 | 2,230,000,000 |
|  |  |  | 83.4 | 2,307,000,000 |
|  |  |  | 16.6 | 459,000,000 |
| 7. Surplus over farm consumption of all States having such a surplus. <br> 8. Deficiency, under farm demands, of corn-deficiency States (shipments from markets to farms, and local sales to farms) |  |  | 19.8 | 546,000, 000 |
|  |  |  | 3.2 | 87,000,000 |
| Difference-urban consumption and exports (See Table 2A, p. 16.) |  |  | 16.6 | 459,000, 000 |
|  | Percentage of production. |  | Percentage of production. | Bushels. |
| Corn remaining in countles where grown (item 4). | 80.63.2 | Approximate farm consumption (item 5): 2 <br> Horses and mules |  |  |
| Gross deficiency of States whose production is under requirements for farm consumption (item 8) ${ }^{1}$.......... |  | Horses and mules Swime | . $\begin{aligned} & 27.0 \\ & 26.8\end{aligned}$ | $747,000,000$ $741,000,000$ |
|  |  | Milch cows | 8.6 | $238,000,000$ |
|  |  | Other cattle | 9.4 | $260,000,000$ |
| Total, approximately equal to total farm consumption........ | 83.8 | Poultry ........ | 3. 6 | 100,000,000 |
|  |  | Human beings. | 3.4 .8 | $94,000,000$ $22,000,000$ |
|  |  | Other or doubtful. | 1.6 | 44,000,000 |
|  |  | Total consumed on farms. | 83.4 | 2,307,000, 000 |
| Shipped out of counties where grown (item 3) ${ }^{1}$ <br> Deduct shipments to farms (item 8). <br> Balance, approximately equal to urban receipts and exports. | $\begin{array}{r} 19.4 \\ 3.2 \end{array}$ | Urban corn receipts and consumption (chiefly item 3, also some shipments from farms in same county): | - 6 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 16.2 | Ground in merchant flour mills (chiefly for human consumption, also for feed, etc). census of 1914 |  | 180, 000, 000 |
|  |  | Used in manufacture of glucose or starch. Census of 1914. | 1.6 | 44,000,000 |
|  |  | Used in manufacture of distilled spirits, fiscal year ended June $30,1915 . . .$. | 1.2 | 32,000,000 |
|  |  | Used in manufacture of fermented liquors, fiscal year ended June 30, 1915 <br> Exports. | . <br> 1.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 14,000,000 \\ & 39,000,000 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | Corn fed to live stock "not on farms," numbering (census of 1910): Horses, mules, asses, and burros, $3,470,000$; dairy cows, $1,170,000$; other cattle, 709,000; swine, $1,288,000$; sheep,391,000; goats, 115,000; estimated at. | , 5 | 150,000,000 |
|  |  | Total ofitems enumerated ${ }^{3}$ | $3 \quad 16.6$ | 459,000, 000 |

[^0]
## PRODUCTION, CONSUMPTION, AND COMMERCE, BY STATES AND SECTIONS.

The foregoing is indicatire of a few general phases in the disposition of the corn crop. Data for production, marketing, con-

sumption, and surplus, by geographic divisions, are shown in Tables 2 and 2A, and in figure 3.

The dominant share of the two North Central divisions in production, consumption, and commerce stands out in relief against the much smaller ratio of all items in the East and South, and the comparatively negligible quantities in the Mountain and Pacific

States. The East and South, however, draw the greater part of the corn shipments from the surplus-producing territory. In the Mountain and Pacific States the quantities produced and consumed are unimportant compared to the other sections, but the ratio of corn importation to production and the degree of deficiency are very high. Of the amount consumed on farms, about 57 per cent is apportioned to the North Central States, 35 per cent to the South, and 6 per cent to the North Atlantic States.

As indicated by figure 3, the North Central States, which comprise about 25 per cent of the total land area and less than a third of the total population, produce about $6 \overline{5}$ per cent of the crop, and consume on farms alone about three-fourths of the production of the two groups, or about half of the national crop. This section is first in the aggregate value of all live stock produced. After deducting the heavy local consumption, the remaining surplus, which is only about a fourth of the production of the two groups, still constitutes nearly seven-eighths of the amount entering trade channels. Of the 12 States in these two divisions only 7 produce a surplus; the northern 5 import considerable quantities. These "seven corn-surplus States," so called, ranked as to amount of surplus in 1911-1915, are: Illinois. Iowa, Indiana, Nebraska, Missouri, Ohio, and Kansas.

The South contains about 40 per cent of all land in farms in the United States and produced in 1911-1915 30 per cent of the corn crop. Practically the entire production is consumed locally, rery little entering into trade channels. Total requirements for consumption on farms, live stock in cities, mills, and industrial uses bring large quantities southward. It is well known that corn to some extent takes the place of wheat for food purposes throughout the greater part of the South. While cheaper than wheat, corn prices usually about equal the ordinary prices of wheat in the States to the North. High and irregular price levels prevail. Local conditions and the character of the distributive machinery make for irregularity in the price zones. The highest degree of corn deficiency in the South obtains in its most southern States, and the high prices prevailing there preclude extensive use for feeding purposes. The major part is grown in the northern tier of States.

The largest movement of corn is directed toward the North Atlantic Statesthe comparatively densely populated industrial section. Only a rery small fraction of the corn produced in these States enters trade channels. As the farm consumption is considerably in excess of production, shipments are sent here for this use as well as to fill urban requirements. An amount equal to half of production, and in most of the States largely in excess of production, passes through merchant mills alone. The deficit under combined requirements for farms and merchant mills is approximately 85 million bushels. The cities draw additional quantities for live stock and for export.

Mountain and Pacific divisions consume but 2 per cent of the national production, but even this consumption is several times the amount grown. Corn generally equals or exceeds wheat in price in these States. The percentage grown which is of merchantable quality is comparatively low.
Table 2.-Corn: Gcographic alignments-production, commercial movement and consumption.
[Figures are yearly averages for 1911 to 1915, unless otherwise noted.]

| States and geographic divisions. | Production. |  | Merchantable corn. |  | Shipped out of counties where grown (substantially the commercial movement). |  | Approximate consumption on farms and in merchant mills. |  | Approximate surplus or deficiency over consumption on farms and in merchant flour mills. ${ }^{1}$ |  | Relative importance of States and sections. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quantity. | Per capita. | Quantity. | Percentage of production. | Quantity. | Percentage of production. | Quantity. | Fraction of United States production. | Surplus. | Deficiency. | In production. | In shipments out of counties where grown. | In quan-titiesconsumed on farms. | In quantities ground in merchant mills. ${ }^{1}$ |
| United States | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bush. }(000 \\ & \text { omitted }) . \\ & 2,766,112 \end{aligned}$ | Bushels. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bush. }(000 \\ \text { omittcd }) . \\ \mathbf{2 , 2 7 9 , 2 9 8} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Per cent. } \\ \mathbf{8 2 . 4} \end{array}$ | Bush. 000 omitted). 535, 953 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Per cent. } \\ 19.4 \end{array}$ | Bush. 000 omitted). $2,487,116$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Per cent. } \\ \mathbf{8 9 . 9} \end{array}$ | Bush. (000 omitted). 278,996 | Bush. (000 omitted). | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Per cent. } \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Per cent. } \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Per cent. } \\ \mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Per cent. } \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ |
| New England | 9, 059 | 1. 3 | 6,895 | 76.1 | 36 | . 4 | 33,948 | 1.2 |  | 24,889 | . 3 | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | . 7 | 9.5 |
| Middle Atlantic | 91, 522 | 4.4 | 75, 358 | 82.3 | 6,759 | 7.4 | 150, 451 | 5.5 |  | 58, 929 | 3.3 | 1.2 | 4.9 | 21.2 |
| South Atlantic. | 263, 312 | 20.6 | 215, 981 | 82.0 | 18, 647 | 7.1 | 279, 769 | 10.1 |  | 16,457 | 9.5 | 3.5 | 11.4 | 9.5 |
| East North Central | 794, 838 | 41.9 | 662,003 | 83.3 | 229, 788 | 28.9 | 605, 178 | 21.9 | 189, 660 |  | 28.7 | 42.9 | 24.2 | 25.5 |
| West North Central | 992, 756 | 82.3 | 843, 761 | 85.0 | 228, 157 | 23.0 | 779, 679 | 28.2 | 213, 077 |  | 35.9 | 42.6 | 32.8 | 13.5 |
| East South Central | 298, 611 | 34.4 | 243, 273 | 81.5 | 22,435 | 7.5 | 282,856 | 10.2 | 15, 755 |  | 10.9 | 4.2 | 11.6 | 8.4 |
| West South Central | 298, 651 | 31.4 | 219,556 | 73.5 | 28, 141 | 9.4 | 314,864 | 11.4 |  | 16,213 | 10.8 | 5.2 | 12.8 | 10.8 |
| Mountain. | 13, 632 | 4.6 | 9,576 | 70.2 | 1, 583 | 11.6 | 34, 116 | 1.2 |  | 20, 484 | . 5 | . 3 | 1.4 | . 8 |
| Pacific | 3,731 | . 8 | 2,895 | 77.6 | 407 | 10.9 | 6,255 | . 2 |  | 2,524 | . 1 | . 1 | . 2 | . 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maine........... | 686 | . 9 | 559 | 81.5 | 6 | .9 | 5,499 | .2 |  | 4,813 3,335 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | $(2)$ $(2)$ $(2)$ | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ |  |
| New Hampshire | 973 | 2.2 | 735 | 75.5 | 1 | (2) 1 | 4,308 | .2 |  | 3,335 | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | $\left(\begin{array}{l}2 \\ (2)\end{array}\right.$ | . 1 |  |
| Vermont....... | 1,944 | 5.4 | 1,340 | 68.9 |  | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | 6,300 | . 2 |  | 4,356 | . 1 | (2) | . 1 |  |
| Massachusetts | 2,137 | . 6 | 1,638 | 76.6 | 4 | .$^{2}$ | 7,642 | (2) 3 |  | 5,505 | ${ }_{(2)} .1$ | ${ }^{2}$ | (2) ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| Rhode Island | 466 2,853 | .8 2.4 | 1359 2,264 | 76.8 79.4 | 7 18 | 1.5 .6 | 1,415 8,784 | ${ }^{(2)} .3$ |  | 949 5,931 | ${ }^{(2)} .1$ | $(2)$ $(2)$ | $\left.{ }^{2}\right) .3$ |  |
| Middle Atlantic: | 2,853 | 2.4 | 2,264 |  | 18 | . 6 | 8,784 | . 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New York. | 20,388 | 2.1 | 14,329 | 70.3 | $\begin{array}{r}359 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1.8 | 52,165 | 1.9 |  | 31,777 | . 7 | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | 1.4 |  |
| New Jersey .- | 10,495 | 3.8 | 9,082 | 86.5 | 1,426 | 13.6 | 18,447 | - 7 |  | 7,952 | . 4 | . 3 | . 7 |  |
| Pennsylvania | 60,639 | 7.5 | 51,947 | 85.7 | 4,974 | 8.2 | 79,839 | 2.9 |  | 19,200 | 2.2 | . 9 | 2.8 |  |
| South Atlantic: | 6,635 | 31.9 | 5,663 | 85.4 | 2,147 | 32.4 | 4,999 | . 2 | 1,636 |  | . 2 | . 4 | . 2 |  |
| Maryland | 24,080 | 18.1 | 19, 728 | 81.9 | 5,651 | 23.5 | 21,110 | . 8 | 2,970 |  | . 9 | 1.0 | . 9 |  |
| Virginia... | 49, 292 | 23.2 | 38, 826 | 78.8 | 4,323 | 8.8 | 49,927 | 1.8 |  | 635 | 1.8 | . 8 | 1.9 |  |
| West Virginia | 22,652 | 17.3 | 16, 716 | 73.8 | 919 | 4.1 | 27,500 | 1.0 |  | 4,848 | . 8 | . 2 | 1.1 |  |
| North Carolina | 55, 534 | 24.1 | 45, 532 | 82.0 | 2,083 | 3.8 | 54, 238 | 1.9 | 1,296 |  | 2.0 | . 4 | 2.2 |  |
| South Carolina | 35, 493 | 22.6 | 31,310 | 88.2 | 982 | 2.8 | 42,567 | 1.5 |  | 7,074 | 1.3 | . 2 | 1.8 |  |



Table 2A.-Corn: Production, consumption on farms and in merchant mills; surplus and deficiency.
[Figures are yearly averages for 1911-1915, unless otherwise noted.]

| State or geographic division. | Production. | Ground in merchant mills, 1914 census. | Consumed on farms (approximate). |  |  | Surplus or deficit over consumption on farms only. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Quantity. | Per cent of production. | Per cent of United States production. | Surplus. | Deficit. |
| United States | Thousand bushels.  <br> $\mathbf{2 . 7 6 6 . 1 1 2}$ $\mathbf{1 8 0 , 1 1 6}$ |  | Thousand bushels. $2,307,000$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Per cent. } \\ 83.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Per cent. } \\ 83.4 \end{array}$ | Thousand bushels. <br> ${ }^{1} 459,112 \mid \ldots \ldots$. |  |
| New England | 9,059 | 17,048 | 16,900 | 187.0 | 6 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 7,841 \\ 20,7: 8 \end{array}$ |
| Middle Atlanti | 91,522 | 38,151 | 112,300 | 123.0 | 4.0 |  |  |
| South Atlantic. | 203,312 | 17, 169 | 262,600 | 100.0 | 9.5 | ${ }^{7} 12$ |  |
| East North Central | 794, 838 | 45, 978 | 559,200 | 70.0 | 20.2 | 235,638 |  |
| West North Central | 992, 756 | 24, 379 | 755, 300 | 76.0 | 27.3 | 237,456 |  |
| East South Central | 298, 611 | 15, 056 | 267, 800 | 90.0 | 9.7 | 30,811 |  |
| West South Central | 298, 651 | 19,365 | 295, 500 | 99.0 | 10.7 | 3,151 |  |
| Mountain. | 13, 632 | 1,515 | 32,600 | 239.0 | 1.2 |  |  |
| Pacific. | 3,731 | 1,455 | 4,800 | 129.0 | . 2 |  | 1,069 |
| New England: <br> Maine. . <br> New Hampshire. <br> Vermont <br> Massachusetts. <br> Rhode Island <br> Connecticut | 6869731,9142,1374662,853 | 4,199 | 1,300 | 190.0 | .1 |  | 614 |
|  |  | 2,208 | 2,100 | 216.0 |  |  | 1,127 |
|  |  | 3,800 | 2,500 | 129.0 |  |  | 1, 556 |
|  |  | 4,042 | 3,600 | 168.0 |  |  | 1,463 |
|  |  | 815 | 600 | 129.0 |  |  | 134 |
|  |  | 1,984 | 6,800 | 238.0 | . 2 |  | 3,947 |
| Middle Atlantic: New York... | 20,388 | 21,065 | 31,100 | 153.0 | 1.1 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 10,712 \\ 5,505 \\ 4,561 \end{array}$ |
| New Jersey | 10,495 | 2,447 | 16,000 | 152.0 | . 6 |  |  |
| Pennsylvania | 60,639 | 14,639 | 65, 200 | 108.0 | 2.3 |  |  |
| South Atlantic: | 6,635 | 499 | 4,500 | 68.0 | . 2 | 2,135 |  |
| Maryland | 24, 080 | 1,610 | 19,500 | 81.0 | .7 | 4,580 |  |
| Virginia. | 49,292 | 5,127 | 44,800 | 91.0 | 1.6 | 4,492 |  |
| West Virginia | 22,652 | 3,300 | 24,200 | 107.0 | . 9 |  | 1,548 |
| North Carolin | 55, 334 | 2,538 | 51,700 | 93.0 | 1. 3 | 3,834 |  |
| South Carolin | 35, 493 | 267 | 42,300 | 119.0 | 1.5 |  | 6,807 |
| Georgia. | 59, 10 | 3, 666 | 59,800 15,800 | 101.0 | 2.1 |  | -399 |
| East North Central: | 10,225 | 162 | 15,800 | 155.0 | . 6 |  | 5,575 |
| Ohio............. | 153,991 | 9,545 | 118, 700 | 77.0 | 4.3 | 35,291 |  |
| Indiana | 180,926 | 14,053 | 122,900 | 68.0 | 4.4 | 58,026 |  |
| Illinois. | 343, 924 | 10,257 | 199, 500 | 58.0 | 7.2 | 144, 424 |  |
| Michigan. | 57, 226 | 5,395 | 59, 800 | 104.0 | 2.2 |  | 2,574 |
| Wisconsin <br> West North Central: | 58, 771 | 6,728 | 58,300 | 99.0 | 2.1 | 471 |  |
|  | 80,283 | 3,093 | 72,900 |  |  |  |  |
| Iowa. | 353, 619 | 3, 344 | 226, 700 | 64.0 | 8.2 | 126,919 |  |
| Missouri. | 186, 643 | 8, 532 | 151, 000 | 81.0 | 5.4 | 35, 643 |  |
| North Dakota | 10, 121 |  | 13, 500 | 133.0 | ${ }^{.} 5$ |  | 3,379 |
| South Dako | 73, 347 | -154 | 59,900 | 82.0 | 2. 2 | 13,447 |  |
| Nebrask | 167,928 | 2,248 | 124,300 | 74.0 | 4.5 | 43,628 |  |
| East South Central:Kentucky......Tennessee......Alabama......Mississippi..... | 120, 815 | $6,952$ | 107,000 | 88.0 | 3.9 | 13,815 |  |
|  | 96,623 <br> 84 <br> 8.599 | 4,7608,023 | 80,400 | 83.0 | 2.9 | 16,22315,499466 |  |
|  |  |  | 69,100 | 82.0 | 2.5 |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 57,066 \\ & 60,323 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,926 \\ \quad 347 \end{array}$ | 56,600 | 99.0 | 2.1 |  | - $1,3 \div$ |
|  |  |  | 61, 700 | 102.0 | 2.2 | 466 |  |
| West South Central:      <br> Louisiana................................. 38,258 597 38,500 101.0 1.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 242 \\ 16,655 \end{array}$ |
| Texas.. | 137, 145 | 8, 627 | 153, 800 | 112.0 | 5. 6 |  |  |
| Oklahoma | 72,983 | 5,577 | -56,800 | 78.0 | 2.0 | 16,183 |  |
| Arkansas. | 50, 265 | 4, 564 | 46,400 | 92.0 | 1.7 | 3,865 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| W yoming | $\begin{array}{r} 1,077 \\ 441 \end{array}$ | ${ }_{21}^{6}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,900 \\ & 4,200 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 548.0 \\ & 952.0 \end{aligned}$ | . 2 | ..... | 4,823 3,759 |
| Colorado | $\begin{aligned} & 8,431 \\ & 2,257 \\ & 2, \end{aligned}$ | 1,367 | 17,1003,200 | 203. 0 | .6.1 | ............ | 8,667 |
| New Mex |  |  |  | 142.0187.0 |  |  |  |
| Arizona | 2, 535 | 9 | 1,000 |  | (2) ${ }^{1}$ | ............. | 465 |
| Utah. | 33 | 35 | 400 <br> 100 | 114.0303.013.0 | $(2)$$(2)$$(2)$ | lo........ | 5067 |
| Nevad |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oregon. | $\begin{array}{r} 936 \\ 723 \\ 2,072 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 475 \\ 49 \\ 931 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,500 \\ & 900 \\ & 2,400 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 160.0 \\ & 124.0 \\ & 116.0 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{\left({ }^{2}\right)} .1$ |  | 564 <br> 177 <br> 328 |
| Californ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| United States | 2,766, 112 | 180, 116 | 2,307,000 | 83.4 | 83.4 | 546,334 | 87,212 |

${ }^{1}$ Net surplus over average farm consumption.
${ }^{2}$ Less than one-tenth of 1 per cent.
Data regarding farm consumption are based upon unpublished investigations made by N. C. Murray, Assistant Statistician, Bureau of Crop Estimates.

A brief anaiysis of the salient features of Tables 2 and 2A, by geographic sections, follows:

West North Central States.-This division leads in corn production with 35.9 per cent of the total; contains 17 per cent of the total land area and 13 per cent of the population. It is, however, second to the eastern division of the North Central States in the quantity, as well as in the percentage, of the crop which moves to markets. Freight rates on corn to the Atlantic seaboard or to the South are higher from the corn States west of the Mississippi. This places those States at a disadvantage in selling either for export or to important domestic markets, and consequently encourages in these western States the feeding of corn to live stock and the production of meat. Prices are lowest in this group. It ranks first in live-stock production and quantities consumed on farms. The per capita production ranges from 15 to 159 bushels, by States. The section is only third in the quantity of corn ground in merchant mills. Out of its seven States the three farthest north, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Minnesota, do not usually produce a surplus. Here higher prices prevail, increasing with distances from the area of surplus.

Eas'r North Central States.-Second in point of production, this division shipped in the five years under consideration the largest quantity and highest percentage out of counties where grown ( 42.9 per cent). Prices here are higher than in the corn States to the west, but lower than in other sections. A strategic position at the head of the Great Lakes, between the Ohio and Mississippi Rivers, with cheap water transportation and nearness to corn-deficiency States, gives this division more favorable conditions with regard to markets. It ships out a larger share of its crop than the corn States west of the Mississippi and feeds lesser proportions. This division is first in the quantities consumed by merchant flour mills. It is more densely populated than the western corn States, and its per capita production ranges from 20 to 66 bushels. In Michigan and Wisconsin, however, where production is less than consumption, prices are higher than in other States of this division.

South Central States.-The east and west south central divisions are about equal as to quantities produced; together they raise a fifth of the United States crop. Three out of the 16 States-Kentucky, Tennessee, and Texas-produce the major part of the crop of this group of States. The general conditions pointed out in the discussion of the Southern States with regard to coria deficiency and prices prerail here.

South Atlantic States.-In production, this section measured about 10 per cent of the national total. The three northern States (Delaware, Maryland, and Virginia) contributed about 65 per cent of the quantity entering trade channels from this group of States. The deficit under combined requirements of farms and merchant mills is around 16 million bushels; to this should be added demands for urban consumption and industrial uses, as well as the movement to the seaports for export. Farm prices of corn in the more southern States of this section are higher than in most other States except in the far southwest. The same general conditions obtain as were indicated for the entire southern group.

Middle Atlantic States.-Containing 3 per cent of the total land area and leading in point of population ( 21 per cent), this section produces only 3 per cent of the national corn. Here farm uses absorb substantially the entire local production, which is less than needs even for this purpose. It ranks second as to quantities ground in merchant mills. To requirements for the latter, which swell the volume of shipments to this group by 59 million bushels, should
be added about 10 million bushels more, on an average, moving to the seaports for export, also the requirements for urban consumption.

New England States.-The greatest relative deficiency of corn prevails in this section. Although production of other cereals has declined rapidly in these States, corn has retained its place. Local production is less than 1 per cent of the national crop and is consumed almost entirely on the farms. The farm consumption alone is about twice the local production. New England merchant flour mills receive about 17 million bushels of corn, or double the production of the division, but only about a half-million bushels of wheat. Seaports draw some additional quantities for export. A total of 81 glucose and starch factories, with an aggregate consumption of 40 million bushels of corn, were reported by the 1914 census. Of such factories, 51 were found in Maine alone and 58 in all in the entire division. Within recent years Argentine corn, to the amount of several million bushels annually, has been imported into this section for industrial uses.

Mountain States.-Colorado produces 60 per cent of the corn raised in these eight States, whose production constitutes only one-half of 1 per cent of the national crop. The figures indicate a flow of several million bushels westward for consumption, but in proportion to demands of other sections such shipments are unimportant. Corn as a rule exceeds wheat in price throughout a large part of this group. In its southwestern part the highest corn prices in the United States are found.

Pacific States.-Barley takes the place of corn in the Pacific division for feeding purposes and rice, to some extent, for brewing. Production and consumption are lowest here. Transportation expense from the distant corn-surplus States almost precludes its use, except in comparatively small quantities for industrial purposes. High prices prevail for the small quantity grown.

Details appear in Tables 2 and 2A. Figures of consumption on farms are approximate only. They should be regarded as showing in a general way the geographic alignments and are a rough measurement, particularly useful in showing the relative position of States and sections as to surplus or deficiency. With respect to shipments out of counties where grown, the figures should be regarded as indicating the corn moving into general trade channels. They do not usually indicate the surplus of a State because of intrastate shipments from counties producing surplus to those producing insufficient corn.

## DETAIL PRICE MAP AND LOCAL PRICE FACTORS.

In map No. 3 the geographic variation in farm prices of corn has been shown in greater detail. This map is based on the same data as map No. 1, but a $\check{5}$-cent price unit has been used (instead of 10 cents) to throw into relief local differences. A smaller unit has not appeared feasible, because of the irregularity of minor fluctuations, due to such causes as local harvest conditions and the quality of the crop.

Subordinate to the general trend of the price levels which have already been outlined, much local price variation is observable on this map. Prices rise more rapidly and irregularly in some directions, particularly in areas of insufficient production. A difference in price between sections of the same State amounting to at least 15 cents a bushel, may be seen in most States. The difference in corn prices between parts of Missouri, as an instance in point, is greater than the
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normal cost of shipping from St. Louis to some European markets. Considerable unevenness in the price levels occurs throughout the Appalachian and other mountainous regions and in general throughout the South. Corn prices in the Ozark Mountain section in Missouri are higher than in the surrounding territory.

## CITY AND FARM CONSUMPTION CONTRASTED.

In the trade channels of corn two distinct currents are apparent, each having a direct bearing upon the irregularities in the slope of the price levels. One relates to the farm consumption-of homegrown corn as well as of smaller quantities shipped in, the other to the morement to cities, either for concentration and reshipment or for consumption.

In the farm consumption such factors as availability of transportation facilities, condition of roads, length of hauls, and lack of marketing organization exert a marked influence on the price levels. The quantities of corn absorbed locally vary greatly with market conditions of grain and live stock, the size of the local harrest, and the ratio of corn to live-stock prices. Trade currents are apt to be irregular in quantity, sometimes in direction also, and farm practice varies with respect to feeding and selling to local or general markets.

The morement to large markets is aided by a highly organized commercial organization and excellent transportation facilities. Moreover, freight rates and competition among large markets tend to stabilize price differences between cities and their tributary territories.

## FARM CONSUMPTION.

> Economic conditions attending farm consumption make for price irregularity.
> Transportation and costs of hauling.

As farm consumption absorbs more than four-fifths of the production, the preponderant influence of farm conditions is evident. Farm conditions are such as to make for price irregularity. The average cost of hauling a few miles from or to shipping points in many regions is greater than the cost of shipping corn to European markets in normal times. An idea of sectional differences in costs of hauling may be gained from the following table showing the average costs as well as the hauling expense from most remote farms.
Table 3.-Average cost of hauling corn from farms to shipping points, 1906. ${ }^{1}$


| Western: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Colorado... | 4 | 24.0 | 2.1 | 3,375 | 6.17 | . 18 | 40.0 | 4.0 | 2,000 | 12.00 | . 60 |
| New Mexico. | 8 | 29.4 | 2.7 | 2,668 | 10.12 | . 38 | 80.0 | 5.0 | 2,000 | 15. 00 | . 75 |
| Arizona. | ${ }_{2}$ | 11.5 | 1.3 | 3,750 | 6. 82 | . 18 | 27.5 | 2.5 | 4,500 | 18.75 | . 42 |
| California. | 3 | 8.5 | . 9 | 3,133 | 3.82 | . 12 | 15.0 | 2.5 | 1,900 | 13.75 | . 72 |
| Geographic division: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North Atlantic.. | 29 | 5.9 | . 6 |  | 2.12 | . 07 |  |  |  |  |  |
| South Atlantic. | 136 | 7.8 | . 8 | $\stackrel{2}{2,479}$ | 2. 66 | .11 |  |  |  |  |  |
| North Central. | 531 268 | 7.1 11.0 | . ${ }^{.} 9$ | 2,758 $\mathbf{2 , 0 7 8}$ | 1.78 2.43 | . 06 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Western....... | 218 17 | 13.7 | $\begin{array}{r}.9 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2,214 | 2. 4 | .15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| States and territories represented... | 981 | 7.4 | . 6 | 2,696 | 1.78 | . 07 |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ From "Costs of hauling crops from farms to shipping points," by Frank Andrews. Bul. 49, U. S. Dept. Agri., Bureau of Statistics.
The figures for corn were based upon shelled corn, and costs refer to expense for round trips.

In the surplus-producing North Central States, costs of hauling will be observed to be lower than elsewhere and fairly uniform over large areas. The Southern and Western States are marked by long hauls and high hauling costs, as well as great irregularity in the price areas. Irregular price levels may be observed (Map 3) throughout mountain sections. Accessibility to markets, the existence or absence of good roads or of water transportation, is often reflected in material price variations within small areas. Thus, in a report of the Waterways Commission it is shown that farmers along navigable rivers in Tennessee receive several cents per bushel more for their corn than those in surrounding regions. In the more remote farms the cost of hauling corn to or from shipping points appears to be almost prohibitive. Such isolated areas have an almost entirely local market. In the western irrigated sections-distant from mar-kets-the price of alfalfa hay has been known to drop from around $\$ 20$ per ton in a year of local shortage to below $\$ 5$ in a year of local surplus.

To the causes making for price irregularity because of farm conditions may be added the preference for ear corn, the trade for which is local, and the added expense of shelling corn to lessen freight charges.

Local conditions are thus seen to depress farm prices of corn in regions of surplus production, influences of the character mentioned often causing deriations from the general geographic arrangement of prices. But in areas of insufficient production the described drawbacks incident to bringing in corn tend to increase the prices obtained by farmers. Map 2, showing density of production, should be compared with Map 3, which shows prices. The relationship is apparent, for prices drop wherever production is shown to be denser. In the regions of deficient production such areas as show notably heavy corn crops form price depressions. For instance, in Maryland, Delaware, and southeastern Pennsylvania production is greater and corn prices are lower than in surrounding territories; this contrasts with the tendency of corn prices to increase east and southward. In central Tennessee, also, lower prices and greater production will be noted than in the southwestern part of the State.

## URBAN MARKETS.

Market receipts, shipments, and consumption.
Urban markets have a stabilizing effect upon price differences.
The corn entering into trade channels has been seen to constitute about 19.4 per cent of the total during 1911-1915. Like other elements in corn distribution, this percentage varies from year to year. Practically all of such shipments move to urban markets, from which in turn approximately 3 per cent is shipped back to farms.

Demands for urban consumption constitute approximately 15 per cent of the total production. Additional quantities, however, are concentrated in the markets for reshipment to domestic and foreign markets. Although urban markets draw only a fifth of the domestic crop, they influence to a considerable degree the general level of farm prices, for the prices obtaining there represent market conditions and the available returns for corn as a cash crop.

Large markets, through their commercial organizations, credit and elevating facilities, tend to stabilize the geographic differences in farm prices. The comparative evenness of the price levels throughout the corn belt, wherein most of the great markets are situated, contrasts with the irregular price levels in areas where no points of large concentration appear. The difference between the prices at any two markets tends to be regulated in the long run by transportation expenses. The prices at markets in areas of insufficient production will generally be fixed by the prices at the nearest surplus point plus transportation expenses. Hence, market prices in cities tend to conform to the general zones for producers' prices, as shown in Maps 1 and 3.

In such farm products as wheat and meat products, which are for human consumption, the relation of production to population dominates the trend of price levels. But large centers of population do not so directly dominate the direction of price increases in such products as corn, which are not destined chiefly for human food but more for consumption by live stock.

Receipts, shipments, and apparent consumption of the largest markets are shown in Table 4. Half a dozen primary markets located in the corn States receive the major part of the commercial corn; i. e., "shipments out of counties where grown." Of these, Chicago is by far the most important. Into the 14 cities listed as primary markets are shipped 264 million out of the 500 million bushels entering into trade channels; the greater part of this comes from the North Central States, in which these markets are located. Although industrial uses, particularly important in such cities as Chicago, Indianapolis, St. Louis, and Peoria (Ill.), absorb large quantities, two-thirds of the total receipts in the primary markets are reshipped. Farm prices are naturally higher in regions near these primary markets than in more remote regions. (See Maps 2 and 3.)

TABLE 4.-Corn: Commercial movement to and from leading corn markets, and indicated consumption, in five-year averages (1911-1915).
[From unofficial returns. Allowance should be made for such duplication as intermediate markets crediting themselves with through shipments.]

${ }^{1}$ No data available.
To the abore figures should be added relatively insignificant quantities of corn meal. Largest receipts of corn meal appear at New York, 662,000 barrels, and Baltimore, 405,000 barrels. A few other markets show small receipts, none averaging over 50,000 barrels.

## FREIGHT RATES.

Freight rates constitute an important factor affecting differences in price between two regions. Corn frequently takes a lower rate than wheat, for not only is the weight per bushel slightly less but frequently a lower freight rate per 100 pounds applies. A detailed statement of freight rates is beyond the purpose of this publication, but a few of the more important phases may be noted briefly in their relation to differences in farm prices of corn.

Distance is an important element in freight rates, but they are not directly proportionate thereto. The following illustration will serve:

## Distance rates between points in Iova and Missouri, C. B. \& Q. Ry.

[Freight rates per bushel of corn (carlot shipments) in 1916.]

| Number of <br> miles. | Rate per <br> bushel. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 10 | $\$ 0.031$ |
| 100 | .071 |
| 200 | .088 |
| 300 | .102 |
| 400 | .115 |

Thus forty times the distance takes less than four times the ten-mile rate. The through rates from a point in the corn belt apply to all New England destinations; the rate to Baltimore applies equally to Richmond and Newport News.
Moreover, the sum of a series of local rates covering a given route is usually higher than the through rate over the same route. Also, export grain usually moves to the seaboard at lower rates than does grain for domestic use. A higher rate applies to grain products than to grain. Lower rates usually prevail at points possessing water transportation, which serves as a potential if not an actual competitive factor.

The influence of markets upon farm prices, as well as the tendency to concentrate the commercial corn in the large commercial centers, is affected by freight rates.

By means of the milling-in-transit rate, corn may be stopped en route, milled, cleaned, or dried, and the product moved on again at the original rate charged for a through corn shipment, instead of taking the local rate to the milling point and the higher rate for grain products to eventual destination. On some lines the rate for grain products is applied to such traffic.
It may be noted that the tendency to manufacture cereal products near sources of supply and lessen transportation costs is somewhat offset by this higher rate for grain products as well as by reshipping and milling-in-transit rates.
Rates on corn from the North Central States to the Southeast are considerably higher than to New England or eastern points; before the war they were higher even than transportation costs from points in the corn belt to British markets. This fact is suggestive when considered in connection with the higher prices paid to corn growers in the Southeast, where production is less than consumption.

The difference in freight rates between carload and less-thancarload lots represents still another factor in the price zones, affecting especially sections in which corn traffic is small. In the territory east of the Mississippi and south of the Potomac and Ohio Rivers the same rate applies to carload and less-than-carload lots of corn.

## COSTS OF PRODUCTION AND FARM PRICES, GEOGRAPHIC DIFFERENCES.

An analysis of the practical bearing of varying price levels on local types of agriculture is incomplete without consideration of cost to production. Two elements are involved here: (1) The cost of producing crops upon an acreage basis, and (2) the number of bushels produced to the acre.

$$
55995^{\circ}-18-\text { Bull. 696-4 }
$$

Figure 4 throws into relief the relationship of these factors. It will be observed that often areas of high price show minimum net returns, higher prices being offset either by high costs of production or low yields in bushels to the acre. Varying land rental or interest charges, also costs of commercial fertilizer, enter into the cost factor.


In the upper illustration on figure 4 prices and costs per bushel are given, and in the lower the data are upon an acreage basis.
The New England States stand out prominently with highest prices per bushel and highest production per acre. But these are offset by maximum costs of production (in which high values of commercial fertilizer enter), with a resulting low ratio of money returns.

The favorable combination of high price per bushel and lowest cost per acre obtains in the Southern States, but average yields are so low as to make the cost per bushel very high, with resulting minimum net returns. Highest net returns are indicated for the Mountain and Pacific States. Here, however, a relatively lower percentage of merchantable corn must be taken into consideration.

Details follow in Tables 5 and 5A. Relationships can best be observed in the percentages, based upon the United States as 100 per cent. By way of example: Iowa farm price per bushel, only 85 per cent of the average for the United States, but yield per acre 135 per cent; and combining the two in gross returns to the acre, 114 per cent. Costs of production in Iowa, however, are slightly above the a verage, being 101 per cent, and the ratio of returns to cost is 145 per cent.

Table 5.-Corn: Geographic differences in values and costs of production. ${ }^{1}$
PER ACRE.

| State and geographic divisions. | Av-eragegrossreturns,1911-1915(priceperbushel$\times$yield). | Cost of production, 1909. |  |  | Ratio of cost to gross returns ${ }^{2}$ $(\operatorname{cost}=$ 100 per cent). | Value of by-products, 1909. | Comparison of preceding differences in percentages of the United States average as base ( 100 per cent). |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cost, including land rental or interest. | Cost, exclud ing land rental or interest. | Com. mercial fertilizer in total cost. |  |  | Gross returns. | Cost, including land rental or interest. | Cost, excluding land rental or interest. |
| United States | Per acre <br> $\$ 15.60$ | Per acre $\$ 12.27$ | Per acre $\$ 8.52$ | Per acre $\$ 0.82$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Per cent } \\ 127 \end{array}\right\|$ | Per acre $\$ 1.20$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} \text { Per cent } \\ \mathbf{1 0 0} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Per cent } \\ \mathbf{1 0 0} \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Per cent } \\ \mathbf{1 0 0} \end{array}$ |
| New England | 36.96 | 30.98 | 27.77 | 7.80 | 120 | 7.78 | 237 | 252 | 326 |
| Middle Atlantic | 28.47 | 20.62 | 16.82 | 3.02 | 138 | 5.04 | 182 | 168 | 197 |
| South Atlantic. | 18.24 | 14.78 | 11.72 | 2.43 | 124 | 2.34 | 117 | 120 | 138 |
| East North Central | 20.88 | 14.60 | 10.14 | . 61 | 143 | 2.23 | 134 | 119 | 119 |
| West North Central | 14.04 | 10.52 | 7.22 | . 26 | 133 | . 94 | 90 | 86 | 85 |
| East South Central | 15.40 | 12.83 | 9.59 | 1.39 | 120 | 1.16 | 99 | 105 | 113 |
| West South Centra | 12.92 | 10.78 | 7.68 | . 58 | 120 | . 90 | 83 | 88 | 90 |
| Mountain. | 22.40 | 10.99 | 7.89 | . 12 | 204 | 1.80 | 144 | 90 | 93 |
| Pacific. | 25.92 | 14.64 | 10.18 | . 33 | 177 | 3.11 | 166 | 119 | 119 |
| New England: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maine .... | 35.70 | 37.05 | 34.55 | 10.67 | 96 | 5.50 | 229 | 302 | 406 |
| New Hampshir | 34.76 | 30.73 | 27.54 | 5.92 | 113 | 7.83 | 223 | 250 | 323 |
| Vermont. . | 33.60 | 27.68 | 24.20 | 4.48 | 121 | 9.02 | 215 | 226 | 284 |
| Massachusetts | 36.90 | -29.04 | 25.54 | 8.00 | 127 | 9.00 | 237 | 237 | 300 |
| Rhode Island. | 40.32 | 28.12 | 25.37 | 8.00 | 143 | 9.00 | 258 | 229 | 298 |
| Connecticut. | 39.48 | 33.26 | 29.43 | 9.70 | 119 | 6.33 | 253 | 271 | 345 |
| Middle Atlantic: | 28.86 |  | 18.15 |  |  |  |  | 178 | 213 |
| New Jersey | 27.74 | 22.08 | 17.91 | 4.26 | 126 | 5.91 4.96 | 178 | 180 | 210 |
| Pennsylvania. | 28.29 | 17.93 | 14.41 | 2.00 | 157 | 4.25 | 181 | 146 | 169 |

${ }^{1}$ Costs of production from a special inquiry of the Bureau of Crop Estimates ("Crop Reporter," April, 1911). Although the data were compiled in the year 1909, they were collated on a uniform and comparable basis, and for the present purpose of comparing average cost conditions in one State or section with another they possess particular value.
${ }^{2}$ Excluding by-products, which were considered as being offset, roughly, by values of farm manure applied.

Table 5.-Corn: Geographic differences in values and costs of production-Con.
PER ACRE—Continued.

| State and geographic divisions. | Av-eragegrossreturns,1911-1915(priceperbushelxield). | Cost of production, 1909. |  |  | Ratio of cost to gross returns (cost $=$ 100 per cent). | Value of by-products, 1909. | Comparison of preceding differences in percentages of the United States average as base ( 100 per cent). |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cost, includrental or interest. | Cost, excludingland rental or interest. | Com-mercial fertilizer in total cost. |  |  | Gross returns. | Cost, including land rental or interest. | Cost, excluding land rental or interest. |
| South Atlantic: | Per acre | Per acre | Per acre | Per acre | Per cent | Per acre | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent |
| Delaware. | \$19.47 | \$16.54 | \$13.04 | \$2.75 | 118 | \$4.00 | 125 | 135 | 153 |
| Maryland | 22.32 | 15.36 | 12.23 | 2.03 | 145 | 3.34 | 143 | 125 | 144 |
| Virginia | 18.50 | 13.90 | 10.87 | 1.86 | 133 | 2.20 | 118 | 113 | 128 |
| West Virsinia | 23.56 | 16.21 | 12.98 | 1.14 | 146 | 2.04 | 151 | 132 | 152 |
| North Carolina | 15.77 | 15.13 | 11.66 | 2.40 | 104 | 1.98 | 101 | 123 | 137 |
| South Carolina | 16.20 | 15.83 | 12.56 | 4.48 | 102 | 2.74 | 104 | 129 | 147 |
| Georgia. | 12.60 | 13. 26 | 10.18 | 2.44 | 95 | 1.90 | 81 | 108 | 119 |
| Florida. | 11.85 | 12.01 | 10.21 | 2.34 | 98 | . 53 | 76 | 98 | 120 |
| East North Central: Ohio $\qquad$ | 22.80 | 15.41 | 11.09 | . 99 | 148 | 2.39 | 146 | 126 | 130 |
| Indiana | 19.61 | 13.80 | 8.78 | . 74 | 142 | 1.09 | 126 | 112 | 103 |
| Illinois. | 18.15 | 13.25 | 7.59 | . 31 | 137 | . 67 | 116 | 108 | 89 |
| Michigan | 22.10 | 16.05 | 12.52 | . 66 | 138 | 3.85 | 142 | 131 | 147 |
| Wisconsin ........ | 21.35 | 14.48 | 10.73 | . 36 | 147 | 3.14 | 137 | 118 | 126 |
| West North Central: Minnesota | 16.83 | 12.04 | 9.23 | . 60 | 140 | 1.52 | 108 | 98 | 108 |
| Iowa. | 17.85 | 12.39 | 7.76 | . 21 | 145 | . 80 | 114 | 101 | 91 |
| Missouri | 15.25 | 10.45 | 6.81 | . 24 | 146 | . 62 | 98 | 85 | 80 |
| North Dako | 13.44 | 9.71 | 7.63 | . 27 | 138 | 2.02 | 86 | 79 | 90 |
| South Dakot | 13.23 | 9.91 | 7.05 | . 31 | 133 | . 68 | 85 | 81 | 83 |
| Nebraska | 11.73 | 10.01 | 6.33 | . 16 | 117 | . 41 | 75 | 82 | 74 |
| Kansas. | 10.62 | 9.16 | 5.74 | . 04 | 116 | . 55 | 68 | 75 | 67 |
| East South Central: Kentucky | 16.38 | 12.81 | 8.83 | . 77 | 128 | . 91 | 105 | 104 | 104 |
| Tennessee. | 16.25 | 12.41 | 8.86 | . 63 | 130 | .80 | 104 | 101 | 104 |
| Alabama | 13.43 | 13.59 | 11.04 | 2.65 | 99 | 1.44 | 86 | 111 | 130 |
| Mississippi | 13.68 | 12.52 | 9.62 | 1.50 | 109 | 1.50 | 88 | 102 | 113 |
| West South Central: Louisiana | 14.20 | 12.24 | 9.28 | 1.29 | 116 | 1.53 | 91 | 100 | 109 |
| Texas. | 14.40 | 10.29 | 7.05 | . 40 | 140 | . 55 | 92 | 84 | 83 |
| Oklahoma | 9.44 | 8.59 | 5.80 | . 01 | 110 | . 26 | 61 | 70 | 68 |
| Arkansas. | 14.40 | 12.01 | 8.59 | . 61 | 120 | 1.27 | 92 | 98 | 101 |
| Mountain: Montana | 20.72 |  |  |  |  |  | 133 |  |  |
| Wyoming | 16.33 | 9.95 | 7.08 | . 06 | 164 | 2.00 | 105 | 81 | $\ddot{8}$ |
| Colorado. | 11.97 | 8.97 | 6.39 | . 07 | 133 | 1.06 | 77 | 73 | 75 |
| New Mexico | 18.48 | 11.30 | 8.24 | . 00 | 164 | 2.04 | 118 | 92 | 97 |
| Arizona. | 33.48 |  |  |  |  |  | 215 |  |  |
| Utah | 25.84 |  |  |  |  |  | 166 |  |  |
| Nevada | 33.66 |  |  |  |  |  | 216 |  |  |
| Idaho. | 23.04 | 13.73 | 9.86 | . 33 | 168 | 2.10 | 148 | 112 | 116 |
| Pacific: Washington |  | 11.92 | 8.57 | . 72 |  | 4.55 | 138 | 97 | 101 |
| Oregon..... | 24.18 | 13.19 | 9.09 | . 28 | 182 | 3.50 | 155 | 107 | 107 |
| Californi | 32.56 | 18.82 | 12.87 | . 00 | 173 | 1.28 | 209 | 153 | 151 |
| United States | 15.60 | 12.27 | 8.52 | . 82 | 127 | 1.20 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Table 5A.-Corn: Geographic differences in farm prices and costs of production.
PER BUSHEL.

${ }_{1}^{1}$ Costs per bushel obtained by dividing costs per acre (see Table 5) by average yield per acre, 1911-1915.
Figures for cost per acre are comparatively stable.

Table 5A.-Corn: Geographic differences in farm prices and costs of productionContinued.

PER BUSHEL-Continued.

| State and geographic divisions. | Bushels produced per (aver-19111915) | Farm price (average, 1915). 1915). | Cost of production. |  | Ratio of cost to price (cost $=$ 100 per cent). | Comparisons in percentages of the United States average as base ( 100 per cent). |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Bush- <br> els per acre. | Farm price. | Cost of production. |  |
|  |  |  | land rental or interest. | land rental or interest. |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { In- } \\ \text { cluding } \\ \text { land } \\ \text { rental } \\ \text { or in- } \\ \text { terest. } \end{gathered}$ | Excluding land rental or interest. |
| Mountain: | Bushels. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cents } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { bushel. } \end{gathered}$ | Cents per bushel. | Cents per bushel. | Per cent. | Per cent. | Per cent. | Per cent. | Per cent. |
| Montana. | 28 | 74 |  |  |  | 108 | 123 |  |  |
| Wyoming | 23 | 71 | 43 | 31 | 164 | 88 | 119 | 91 | 95 |
| Colorado... | 19 | 63 |  |  |  | 73 | 105 | 100 | 104 |
| New Mexico | 24 | 77 | 47 | 34 | 164 | 92 | 128 | 100 | 104 |
| Arizona | 31 | 108 |  |  |  | 119 | 180 |  |  |
| Utah. | 34 | 76 |  |  |  | 131 | 127 |  |  |
| Nevada | 33 | 102 |  |  |  | 127 | 170 |  |  |
| Idaho. | 32 | 72 | 43 | 31 | 168 | 123 | 120 | 91 | 95 |
| Pacific: Washington | 28 |  |  |  | 180 |  | 128 |  |  |
| Oregon..... | 31 | 78 | 43 | 29 | 182 | 119 | 130 | 91 | 88 |
| California | 37 | 88 | 51 | 35 | 173 | 142 | 147 | 108 | 107 |
| United States. | 26 | 60 | 47 | 33 | 127 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

## CHARACTERISTICS OF PRICE ZONES.

A brief explanation of the characteristics of the apparent differences seems necessary to avoid error in the practical application of the detailed figures of the farm prices of corn by States and counties.
 therefrom.

To determine normal conditions the data forming the base of the maps were arranged and zones determined according to the five-year averages (1910-1914). Prices in a single year, of course, may be greater or less than the average. Unusual harvest or market circum-
stances which alter the ordinary course of the corn trade may alter price ratios between two localities. The quality of the local corn crop may be poor, or a local failure may occur and a territory usually producing a surplus may have to ship in corn.

As an instance in point: Kansas, usually a corn-exporting State, had to import large quantities of corn on account of the State's crop failure in 1913. The relatively high freight rates on corn into Kansas added to the disadvantage caused by the crop failure. Kansas corn prices, usually only a few cents higher than those of Minnesota, in that year averaged 25 cents per bushel higher; they were even higher than those of Pennsylvania-far to the east and usually on a considerably higher level.

## RETROSPECTIVE VIEW OF PRICES AND PRICE FACTORS, 1871-1915.

## TREND OF FARM PRICES.

A review of the trend of geographic differences in farm prices of corn for a series of years reflects sharply economic transformations within the United States, and particularly reduced costs of transportation and marketing. It also indicates present tendencies. Table 8 shows the trend of farm prices of corn and the sectional variations therein from 1871 to 1915 . An average of five years was



Fig. 6.-Illustrating trend of geographic differences in farm prices of corn, 1871-1915. Iowa: Example of corn-surplus State ; Louisiana: Example of corn-deficiency State.
employed to show predominant conditions at each period. Units of measurement are averages for States and geographic divisions.

Extreme price differences are indicated at the opening period, when farmers in some corn-deficiency States received fully four times as much for their corn as in certain surplus-producing States. Such price differences have narrowed notably, and only by including insignificant quantities raised in Nevada and Arizona can even 100 per cent rariation be found between two States. The geographic differences have been cut in two.

The minimum price has moved north and west; in 1871-1875 it appeared in Iowa, in 1882-1886 in Nebraska, and in 1911-1915 in South Dakota.

The general level of corn prices, that is, the average farm price for the United States, at first declined, reaching its lowest in 1891-1895, then rose to higher levels. But in the corn belt prices rose steadily throughout the whole period; in some cases they were doubled. In the corn-deficiency States a contrary tendency is evidenced; farm prices have fallen away in the States most highly deficient, as in New England and the far South; in others they have remained stationary, or have increased, as in the Middle Atlantic section and the northern tier of the Southern States, but in far less ratio than in the exporting or corn-surplus States.

The trend of geographic prices may be more clearly seen in the percentages; these are based upon the United States average as 100 for each period, to reduce the figures to a comparable standard. The price percentage in corn-deficiency States (the percentage of the United States arerage) has declined rapidly, but in the corn-exporting States it has risen steadily. Prices of corn in Iora and Louisiana have been plotted on figure 6. The difference in favor of the Louisiana grower at the beginning was 60 cents per bushel; at the latter period 20 cents. The Louisiana price declined from 86 cents to 71 cents, and the State's percentage of the United States arerage from 195 to 118 , while the Iowa price rose from 26 cents to 51 cents, and the price percentage from 59 to 85 .

Table 6.-Corn: Review of farm prices, 18\%1-1915, by States and sections, showing geographic trend in the price differences.

| State and geographic division. | Farm prices, per bushel, in fiveyear averages. |  |  |  |  | Measurement of tendencies in percent ages of the United States average as base. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 1911- \\ 1915 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1901- \\ & 1905 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1891- \\ 1895 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1882- \\ & 1886^{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1871- \\ & 1875^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1911- \\ & 1915 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1901- \\ & 1905 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1891- \\ & 1895 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1882- \\ 1886 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1871- \\ 1875 \end{gathered}$ |
| United States. | $\begin{array}{r} C t s . \\ 60 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} C t s . \\ \mathbf{4 6} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} C t s . \\ 38 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} C t s . \\ 39 \end{gathered}$ | Cts. $44$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Per ct. } \\ 100 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Per ct. } \\ 100 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Perct. } \\ 100 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Per ct. } \\ 100 \end{array}$ | Perct. 100 |
| New England | 84 | 72 | 65 | 77 | 87 | 140 | 157 | 171 | 197 | 198 |
| Middle Atlantic | 73 | 60 | 54 | 61 | 64 | 122 | 131 | 142 | 156 | 145 |
| South Atlantic | 76 | 63 | 52 | 58 | 67 | 127 | 137 | 137 | 149 | 152 |
| East North Centra | 58 | 45 | 38 | 40 | 40 | 97 | 98 | 100 | 103 | 91 |
| West North Central | 54 | 39 | 33 | 31 | 33 | 90 | 85 | 87 | 79 | 75 |
| East South Central | 70 | 57 | 45 | 51 | 85 | 117 | 124 | 118 | 130 | 193 |
| West South Central | 68 | 56 | 48 | 56 | 74 | 113 | 122 | 126 | 143 | 168 |
| Mountain. | 80 | 71 | 65 | 79 |  | 133 | 154 | 171 | 203 |  |
| Pacific | 81 | 66 | 57 | 74 | 94 | 135 | 144 | 150 | 190 | 213 |
| New England: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maine..... | 85 | 73 | 67 | 77 | 88 | 142 | 159 | 176 | 197 | 200 |
| New Hampshire | 79 | 71 | 65 | 79 | 87 | 132 | 154 | 171 | 203 | 198 |
| Vermont.. | 80 | 69 | 64 | 74 | 84 | 133 | 150 | 168 | 190 | 191 |
| Massachusetts | 82 | 72 | 63 | 77 | 85 | 137 | 156 | 166 | 197 | 193 |
| Rhode Island | 96 | 78 | 68 | 79 | 88 | 160 | 170 | 179 | 203 | 200 |
| Connecticut. | 84 | 72 | 64 | 74 | 91 | 140 | 157 | 168 | 190 | 207 |
| Middle Atlantic: New York. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 159 |
| New Jersey | 73 | 65 58 | 54 | 60 | 62 | 122 | 126 | 142 | 167 | 141 |
| Pennsylvania | 69 | 58 | 51 | 57 | 59 | 115 | 126 | 134 | 146 | 134 |
| South Atlantic: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delaware. | 59 | 50 | 44 | 47 | 53 | 98 | 109 | 116 | 120 | 120 |
| Maryland | 62 | 52 | 46 | 49 | 57 | 103 | 113 | 121 | 126 | 130 |
| Virginia. | 74 | 55 | 47 | 52 | 54 | 123 | 120 | 124 | 133 | 123 |
| West Virginia. | 76 | 60 | 52 | 50 | 52 | 127 | 130 | 137 | 128 | 118 |
| North Carolina | 83 | 64 | 49 | 58 | 59 | 138 | 139 | 129 | 149 | 134 |
| South Carolina | 90 | 73 | 60 | 65 | 86 | 150 | 159 | 158 | 167 | 195 |
| Georgia | 84 | 73 | 56 | 64 | 79 | 140 | 159 | 147 | 164 | 180 |
| Florida. | 79 | 75 | 65 | 77 | 98 | 132 | 163 | 171 | 197 | 223 |
| East North Central: Ohio.......... | 57 | 47 | 39 | 43 | 40 | 95 | 102 | 103 | 110 |  |
| Indiana | 53 | 41 | 35 | 37 | 35 | 88 | 89 | 19 | 95 | 80 |
| Illinois. | 55 | 41 | 33 | 35 | 32 | 92 | 89 | 87 | 90 | 73 |
| Michigan. | 65 | 50 | 44 | 45 | 49 | 108 | 109 | 116 | 115 | 111 |
| Wisconsin. | 61 | 47 | 38 | 41 | 44 | 102 | 102 | 100 | 105 | 100 |
| West North Central: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minnesota.. | 51 | 38 | 35 | 37 | 39 | 85 | 83 | 92 | 95 | 89 |
| Iowa... | 51 | 38 | 30 | 29 | 26 | 85 | 83 | 79 | 74 | 59 |
| Missouri. | 61 | 43 | 33 | 31 | 36 | 102 | 93 | 87 | 80 | 82 |
| North Dakota. | 56 | 42 | 37 32 | 38 |  | 93 | 81 | 87 | 97 |  |
| South Dak | 49 | 38 | 32 <br> 30 | 23 |  | 82 | 83 | 84 | 59 | 66 |
| Nebraska | 51 59 | 35 41 | 30 32 | 27 | 35 | 85 98 | 89 | 84 | 69 | 8 |
| East South Central: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kentucky.. | 63 | 50 | 39 | 41 | 40 | 105 | 109 | 103 | 105 | 91 |
| Tennessee. | 65 | 52 | 38 | 42 | 48 | 108 | 113 | 100 | 108 | 109 |
| Alabama. | 79 | 65 | 53 | 60 | 76 | 132 | 141 | 139 | 154 | 173 |
| Mississippi. | 72 | 62 | 50 | 59 | 80 | 120 | 135 | 132 | 151 | 182 |
| West South Central: Louisiana | 71 |  |  | 60 | 86 | 118 | 137 | 142 | 154 | 195 |
| Texas.. | 72 | 59 | 48 | 57 | 70 | 120 | 128 | 126 | 146 | 159 |
| Oklahoma | 59 | 45 |  |  |  | 98 | 98 |  |  |  |
| Arkansas. | 72 | 58 | 43 | 50 | 66 | 120 | 126 | 113 | 128 | 150 |
| Mountain: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Montana. | 74 | 72 | 74 | 93 |  | 123 | 157 | 195 | 238 |  |
| Wyoming | 71 | 64 | 62 |  |  | 119 | 139 | 163 |  |  |
| Colorado.. | 63 | 58 | 49 | 72 |  | 105 | 126 | 129 | 185 |  |
| New Mexic Arizona... | 77 | 75 | 69 | 82 |  | 128 | 163 | 182 | 210 |  |
| Arizona. | 108 | 94 | 76 |  |  | 180 | 204 | 200 |  |  |
| Utah... | 76 | 74 | 57 | 74 |  | 127 | 161 | 150 | 190 |  |
| Nevada | 102 |  |  | 70 |  | 170 |  |  | 179 |  |
| Idaho | 72 | 63 | 65 | 84 |  | 120 | 137 | 171 | 215 |  |
| Pacific: Washington | 77 | 61 | 58 | 78 |  | 128 | 133 | 153 | 200 |  |
| Oregon... | 78 | 62 | 57 | 72 | 88 | 130 | 135 | 150 | 185 | 200 |
| California | 88 | 75 | 57 | 72 | 99 | 147 | 163 | 150 | 185 | 225 |

[^1]THE DISPARITY IN PRICES OF CORN, WHEAT, AND OATS DECREASING.
As the price of one cereal affects that of the others to a considerable degree, their relationship is suggestive. The difference between corn and wheat prices has been steadily diminishing. At the beginning (1871-1875) the arerage farm price of wheat in the United States on December 1 was 58 cents more than that of corn (wheat $\$ 1.02$, corn 44 cents) ; at the end only 27 cents (wheat 87 cents, corn 60

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CENTS } \\ & \text { PERBUSHEL } \end{aligned}$ | 1871-1875 | 1882-1886 | 1891/1895 | 1901-1905 | 1911-1915 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 9 ${ }^{102 \pm}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 100 \\ 95 \end{array}$ | S |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 90 85 |  | 2 |  |  | p87d |
| 80 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 75 |  | 9788 |  | , |  |
| 70 |  |  |  | f72\% |  |
| 65 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 |  |  | 508 |  | 060\% |
| 55 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 | दat ${ }^{\text {col }}$ | P1 |  | 468 |  |
| 40 | 440 | $1{ }^{2}$ 396 |  |  |  |
|  | 36509 | s | -38\% |  | $\bigcirc 3056$ |
| 35 |  | $\underbrace{3 / 6}$ |  | -0334 |  |
| 35 |  |  | 298 |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |

DIFFERENCES PEP BUSHEL.
CORN $--\left\{\begin{array}{l}\frac{1871-1875}{58 \phi} \text { BELOW WHEAT } \\ 8 \not \subset \text { OVER OATS }\end{array}\right.$
OATS $\ldots\left\{\begin{array}{l}66 \not \subset \text { BELOW WHEAT } \\ 8 \not \subset \text { BELON CORN }\end{array}\right.$

1911-1915
27ఫ BELOW WHEAT $21 \not \subset$ OVEP OATS $48 \not \subset$ BELOW WHEAT 21¢ BELOW CORN

Fig. 7.-Course of farm prices of wheat, corn, and oats, showing lessening differences.
cents), the arerage wheat price dropping from tro and one-third times that of corn to only about one and one-half times. But as the price of oats has not increased as rapidly, the disparity between corn and oats has increased, between wheat and oats has narrowed; wheat has dropped from about three times the price of oats to a little over twice its level.

Another element which should be taken into consideration is the larger yield in bushels to the acre of corn and oats compared with wheat.

Figure 7 refers to the general level (the average for the United States). When the trend of farm prices of corn, wheat, and oats is compared for each State or section, it appears that in some the tendency pointed out is more marked, in others less so, and in still others a contrary trend appears. In Virginia the difference between wheat and corn prices dropped from 68 cents (1871-1875) to 28 cents (1911-1915). On the other hand, in South Dakota wheat was but 18 cents higher than corn in 1891-1895, and in 1911-1915 the difference increased to 33 cents per bushel.

## TREND OF YIELDS TO THE ACRE.

The trend of yields, which must be taken into consideration as qualifying price conditions, is given in Table 7 in absolute and relative figures. Changes can best be followed in the percentages.

The average sield for the United States (number of bushels produced to the acre) has remained about stationary during the half century under review. While increasing yields are shown in by far the greater number of States, a decided decline is in evidence in most of the great corn States. As the latter produce the greater part of the national crop, they have a strong depressing effect on the weighted general average.
Most pronounced adrances in rields to the acre are shown in the two divisions comprising the North Atlantic States. While vields lower than in other sections still prevail in the Southern States, more especially in those farthest south, it is in the latter that the increases are most notable.

The important exception to the general tendency toward larger sields appears in the newer States, particularly in the great corn States west of the Mississippi. Here a more or less decided decline is in evidence. Details follow.

Table 7.-Corn: Trend of yields per acre, 1871 to 1915, and geographic comparisons.
[Natural limitations, reflected in yields per acre, as qualifying price factors.]

| States and geographic divisions. | Yields to the acre, in 5-year averages. |  |  |  |  | Measurement of changes in percentages of the United States arerage as base (100 per cent). |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1911- \\ & 1915 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1901- \\ & 1905 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1891- \\ & 1895 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1882- \\ & 1886 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1871- \\ & 1875 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1911- \\ & 1915 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1901- \\ & 1905 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1891- \\ & 1895 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1882- \\ & 1886 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1871- \\ & 1875 . \end{aligned}$ |
| United States | $\underset{\mathbf{2 6}}{ }$ | $\underset{\mathbf{2 5}}{\text { Bush. }}$ | Bush. 24 | Bush. | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 27 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | P.ct. | $\begin{array}{r} P_{100}^{c t} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} P . c t . \\ \mathbf{1 0 0} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} P . c t . \\ \mathbf{1 0 0} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P.ct. } \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ |
| New England | 44 | 32 | 36 | 32 | 32 | 168 | 129 | 149 | 131 | 119 |
| Middle Atlantic | 39 | 32 | 32 | 30 | 36 | 149 | 129 | 132 | 124 | 133 |
| South Atlantic. | 24 | 19 | 16 | 15 | 18 | 92 | 78 | 69 | 63 | 65 |
| East North Central | 36 | 32 | 29 | 29 | 32 | 138 | 129 | 119 | 120 | 120 |
| West North Central | 26 | 26 | 24 | 30 | 33 | 102 | 105 | 99 | 124 | 122 |
| East South Central. | 22 | 19 | 19 | 18 | 20 | 84 | 75 | 79 | 75 | 75 |
| West South Central | 19 | 18 | 19 | 18 | 20 | 73 | 74 | 78 | 75 | 73 |
| Mountain. | 28 | 25 | 22 | 23 |  | 108 | 98 | 93 | 98 |  |
| Pacific | 32 | 26 | 25 | 26 | 32 | 123 | 103 | 104 | 108 | 120 |
| New England: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maine...... | 42 | 33 | 37 | 33 | 28 | 162 | 132 | 154 | 138 | 104 |
| New Hampshi | 44 | 29 | 36 | 32 | 37 | 169 | 116 | 150 | 133 | 137 |
| Vermont.. | 12 | 31 | 39 | 33 | 36 | 162 | 124 | 162 | 138 | 133 |
| Massachusetts | 45 | 34 | 38 | 31 | 34 | 173 | 136 | 158 | 129 | 126 |
| Rhode Island | 42 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 28 | 162 | 124 | 129 | 125 | 104 |
| Connecticut. | 47 | 35 | 34 | 30 | 30 | 181 | 140 | 142 | 125 | 111 |
| Middle Atlantic: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New York. | 37 38 | 28 34 | 32 32 | 29 30 | 33 <br> 38 | 142 | 112 | 133 | 121 | 141 |
| Pennsylvania | 41 | 35 | 31 | 30 | 37 | 158 | 140 | 129 | 125 | 137 |
| South Atlantic: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 36 | 33 | 24 | 23 | 24 | 138 | 132 | 100 |  | 89 |
| Viryinia.. | 25 | 23 | 18 | 16 | 21 | 96 | 92 | 75 | 67 | 78 |
| West Virginia | 31 | 25 | 23 | 23 | 28 | 119 | 100 | 96 | 96 | 104 |
| North Carolina | 19 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 15 | 73 | 56 | 54 | 50 | 56 |
| South Carolina | 18 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 69 | 40 | 42 | 38 | 37 |
| Georgia. | 15 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 58 | 44 | 50 | 46 | 41 |
| Florida. | 15 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 58 | 40 | 42 | 38 | 37 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ohio........... | 40 | 33 | 29 | 31 | 37 | 154 | 132 | 121 | 129 | 137 |
| Indiana | 37 | 33 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 142 | 132 | 125 | 129 | 119 |
| Illinois.. | 33 | 34 | 30 | 27 | 30 | 127 | 136 | 125 | 112 | 111 |
| Michigan. | 34 | 31 | 27 | 29 | 32 | 131 | 124 | 112 | 121 | 119 |
| Wisconsin. | 35 | 30 | 27 | 26 | 31 | 135 | 120 | 112 | 108 | 115 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minnesota... | 33 35 | 27 30 | 26 30 | $\stackrel{29}{28}$ | 33 35 | 127 | 108 | 108 | 121 | 122 |
| Missouri | 25 | 28 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 96 | 112 | 121 | 121 | 111 |
| North Dakota | 24 | 23 | 20 |  |  | - 92 | 92 | 83 |  |  |
| South Dakota. | 27 | 25 | 17 | 25 |  | - 104 | 100 | 71 | 104 |  |
| Nebraska | 23 | 28 | 22 | 35 | 33 | 88 | 112 | 92 | 146 | 122 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kentucky. | 26 | 25 | 26 | 24 | 29 | 100 96 | 100 | 108 | 100 | 107 81 |
| Tennessee | 25 17 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 96 | 88 52 | $\begin{array}{r}92 \\ 54 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 88 54 | 81 52 |
| Mississipp | 19 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 16 | 73 | 60 | 62 | 58 | 59 |
| Mississippi........... 19 15 15 14 16 73 60 62 58 <br> West South Central:          <br> S          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Louisiana... | 20 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 77 | 64 | 67 | 67 | 59 |
| Texas..... | 20 | 18 | 21 | 18 | 20 | 77 | 72 | 88 | 75 | 74 |
| Oklahoma | 16 | 22 |  |  |  | 62 | 88 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wyomin | 23 | 28 | 24 |  |  | 88 | 112 | 100 | 108 |  |
| Colorado | 19 | 20 | 20 | 28 |  | 73 | 80 | 83 | 117 |  |
| Mew Mex | 24 | 25 | 22 | 20 |  | 92 | 100 | 92 | 83 |  |
| Arizona | 31 | 22 | 20 | 21 |  | 119 | 88 | 83 | 88 |  |
| Utah. | 34 | 26 | 21 | 23 |  | 131 | 104 | 88 | 96 |  |
| Nevada | 33 |  |  | 24 |  | 127 |  |  | 100 |  |
| Pacific: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Washington. | 28 | 22 | 19 | 26 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 88 \\ & 96 \end{aligned}$ | 79 104 |  |  |
| Oregon.. | 31 | 24 31 | 25 31 | ${ }_{27}^{25}$ | 28 37 | 119 142 | 96 124 | 104 129 | 1112 | 104 137 |
| California | 37 | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 |

## TREND OF GROSS RETURNS TO THE ACRE.

The correlation between geographic differences in price per bushel and the number of bushels grown to the acre has been developed in table 8 .

It has been seen that cheapening transportation and marketing costs have reduced greatly the price adrantage of corn growers in States of insufficient production, but that a corresponding increase has taken place in the surplusproducing States. It was pointed out, on the other hand, that in the latter, yields to the acre have generally remained stationary or declined, although a marked improvement in yields is shown in the deficiency States.
In combining these two elements it appears that the general average of gross money values to the acre (price $\times$ yield) has increased, and so also have the figures for each State-some slightly, others greatly; but that, in relation to the mounting United States average, either steadily dwindling or rising ratios are shown.

Comparing the progress of the States producing insufficient corn for their needs with the advances in the United States average, the South Atlantic division alone shows an increasing ratio of returns to the acre; the North Atlantic and East South Central divisions are about stationary compared to the general level, and the Mountain and Pacific sections show a notable and steady decline in such a comparison.

With respect to the two surplus-producing divisions, the East North Central States show a marked improvement in relative advantage as seen in the percentage column, but the West North Central States (with the single exception of Iowa) show declines more or less pronounced. Kansas, for instance, dropped from 100 per cent to 68 per cent of the United States average.

Table 8.-Corn: Gross returns per acre (yield per acre $\times$ price per bushel).
[A review, br States, of the trend of returns per acre of corn, 1571 to 1915.]

| States and geographic dirisions. | Gross returns per acre. |  |  |  |  | Measurement of changes in percentages of the average for the United States as base (100). |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1911- } \\ & 1915 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1901- \\ & 1905 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1891- \\ & 1595 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \Sigma \Omega 2- \\ & 1 \Sigma 50 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1571- \\ & 1575 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1911- \\ & 1915 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1901- \\ & 1905 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1591- \\ & 1595 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1852- \\ & 1856 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1871- \\ & 1575 . \end{aligned}$ |
| Tnited States. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dolls. } \\ & 15.60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dolls. } \\ & 11.50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dolls. } \\ \mathbf{9 . 1 2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Dolls. } \\ \mathbf{9 . 3 6} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dolls. } \\ & \text { 11. } 8 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} P_{i} . c t . \\ 100 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} P_{1} . c t . \\ 100 \end{array}$ | $P_{100}^{c t .}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { P.ct. } \\ \mathbf{1 0 0} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} P . c t . \\ 100 \end{gathered}$ |
| New England | 36.96 | 23.04 | 23.40 | 24. 64 | 27.84 | 237 | 200 | 257 | 263 | 234 |
| Middle Atlanti | 25.47 | 19. 20 | 17.25 | 15.30 | 23. 04 | 132 | 167 | 159 | 196 | 194 |
| South Atlantic | 15. 24 | 11. 97 | S. 32 | 8. 0 | 12.06 | 117 | 104 | 91 | 93 | 102 |
| East North Central | 20. 5 | 14.40 | 11.02 | 11. 60 | 12. 50 | 134 | 125 | 121 | 124 | 108 |
| West North Central | 14. 04 | 10.14 | -. 92 | 9.30 | 10.89 | 90 | 85 | 87 | 99 | 92 |
| East South Central | 15. 40 | 10. $氵 3$ | 8. 55 | 9.18 | 17.00 | 99 | 94 | 94 | 95 | 143 |
| West South Centr | 12. 92 | 10.08 | 9.12 | 10. 08 | 14.80 | 83 | $\bigcirc$ | 100 | 105 | 125 |
| Mountain | 22. 40 | 17.75 | 14.30 | 18.17 |  | 144 | 154 | 157 | 194 |  |
| Pacific | 25. 92 | 17. 16 | 14.25 | 19.24 | 30.08 | 166 | 149 | 150 | 206 | 253 |
| Ner England: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maine ........ | 35. 70 | 24.09 | 24. 79 | 25.41 | 24.64 | 229 | 209 | 272 | 271 | 207 |
| New Hampshir | 34. 76 | 20. 59 | 23. 40 | 25.25 | 32.19 | 223 | 179 | 25 | 270 |  |
| Vermont. | 33.60 | 21. 39 | 24.96 | 24.42 | 30.24 | 215 | 156 | 274 | 261 | 255 |
| Massachusetts | 36. 90 | 24.48 | 23. 94 | $23.8{ }^{-1}$ | 25. 90 | 237 | 213 | 263 | 255 | 243 |
| Rhode Island | 40.32 | 24.18 | 21. 08 | 23. 70 | 24. 64 | 258 | 210 | 231 | 253 | 207 |
| Connecticut. | 39.48 | 25.20 | 21. 76 | 22.20 | 27.30 | 253 | 219 | 239 | 237 | 230 |
| Middle Atlantic: New York. | 28. 86 | 18. 20 | 1S. 24 | 18.85 | 23.10 | 185 | 158 | 200 | 201 | 194 |
| New Jersey | 27.74 | 19. 72 | 17.28 | 15.00 | 23.56 | 178 | $1: 1$ | 159 | 192 | 198 |
| Pennsrlrania | 28. 29 | 20.30 | 15. 81 | 17.10 | 21. 83 | 181 | 177 | 173 | 153 | 184 |
| South Atlantic: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delaware. | 19.47 | 14. 50 | 9.65 | 8. 46 | 11.13 | 125 | 126 | 106 | 90 | 94 |
| Marrlan | 22. 32 | 17.16 | 11. 04 | 11. 27 | 13. 65 | 143 | 149 | 121 | 120 | 115 |
| Virginia | 13. 50 | 12. 65 | 8. 46 | 8. 32 | 11. 34 | 115 | 110 | 93 | 89 | 95 |
| West Virginia | 23. 56 | 15. 00 | 11. 96 | 11. 50 | 14. 56 | 151 | 130 | 131 | 123 | 123 |
| North Carolina | 15.7 | §. 96 | 6.37 | 6.96 | §. 55 | 101 | 78 | 70 | 74 | 74 |
| South Carolin Georgia..... | 16.20 | 7.30 | 6.00 | 5.85 | S. 60 | 104 | 63 | 66 | 62 | I2 |
| Georgia | 12. 60 | 8. 03 | 6. 92 | 7.04 | ऽ. 69 | 81 | 70 | it | 75 | 73 |
| Florida. | 11.85 | 7.50 | 6.50 | 6.93 | 9. 80 | 76 | 65 | 71 | 7 | \$2 |
| East North Central: | 22.80 | 15. 51 | 11.31 | 13.33 | 14.80 | 146 | 135 | 124 | 142 | 125 |
| Indiana | 19.61 | 13. 23 | 10.50 | 11.47 | 11. 20 | 126 | 115 | 115 | 123 | 94 |
| Inlinois | 1s. 15 | 13. 94 | 9.90 | 9.55 | 9.60 | 116 | 121 | 109 | 101 | 81 |
| Michigan | 22. 10 | 15. 50 | 11. 88 | 13.05 | 15. 65 | 142 | 135 | 130 | 139 | 132 |
| Wisconsin | 21.35 | 14.10 | 10.26 | 10.66 | 13.64 | 137 | 123 | 113 | 114 | 115 |
| West North Central: Minnessta | 16. $¢ 3$ | 10.26 | 9.10 | 10.73 | 12.57 | 103 | \$9 | 100 | 115 | 108 |
| İwa. | 17. 35 | 11. 40 | 9.00 | S. 12 | 9.10 | 114 | 99 | 99 | 87 | 7 |
| Missouri | 15. 25 | 12. 04 | 9.54 | 8. 99 | 10.80 | 98 | 105 | 105 | 96 | 91 |
| North Dako | 13. 44 | 9. 66 | 7.40 | 9.50 |  | 86 | 84 | 81 | 101 |  |
| South Dak | 13. 23 | 9. 50 | 5.44 | 9. 50 |  | §5 | 83 | 60 |  |  |
| Nebraska | 11. 73 | 9. 50 | 6. 60 | 8. 05 | 9. 57 | 75 | §5 | T2 | 86 | S1 |
| Kansas. | 10.62 | 9.02 | 7.04 | 8. 64 | 11.90 | 68 | -5 | $\pi$ | 92 | 100 |
| East South Central: Kentucky | 16.38 | 12. 50 | 10.14 | 9.84 | 11.60 | 105 | 109 | 111 | 105 | 98 |
| Tennessee | 16. 25 | 11.44 | 8. 36 | S. 82 | 10. 50 | 104 | 99 | 92 | 94 | 89 |
| Alabama | 13. 43 | 8.45 | 6. 99 | 7. 80 | 10.64 | 86 | 73 | 76 | \$3 | 90 |
| Mississippi | 13.68 | 9.30 | 7.50 | 8. 26 | 12. $\mathrm{s}^{0}$ | Es | S1 | \$2 | 85 | 108 |
| West South Central: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Louisiana. | 14.20 | 10.08 | 8. 64 | 9. 60 | 13.76 | 91 | SS | 95 | 103 | 116 |
| Texas... | 14. 40 | 10.62 | 10.05 | 10.26 | 14.00 | 92 | 92 | 111 | 110 | 118 |
| Otlahoma | 9.44 | 9.90 |  |  |  | 61 | 86 |  |  |  |
| Arkansas. Mountain: | 14.40 | 10.44 | 8.17 | 10.00 | 15.18 | 92 | 91 | 90 | 107 | 123 |
| Mountain: Montana. | 20.72 | 16. ว60 | 19. 24 | 24.15 |  | 133 | 144 | 211 | 258 |  |
| TV roming | 16.33 | 17.92 | 14. 58 |  |  | 105 | 156 | 103 |  |  |
| Colorado. | 11.97 | 11. 60 | 9. 80 | 20.16 |  | 7 | 101 | 107 | 215 |  |
| New Mex | 18.45 | 18. 75 | 15. 15 | 16. 40 |  | 115 | 153 | 166 | 175 |  |
| Arizona | 33. 48 | 20.68 | 15. 20 |  |  | 215 | 110 | 167 |  |  |
| Utah | 25. S4 | 19. 24 | 11.97 | 17.02 |  | 166 | 167 | 131 | 152 |  |
| Nevad | 33. 66 |  |  | 16. 80 |  | 216 |  |  | 179 |  |
| Idaho. | 23.04 | 17.64 | 15.60 | 15.48 |  | 148 | 153 | 171 | 197 |  |
| Pacific: Washington | 21. 56 | 13. 42 | 11. 02 | 20.28 |  | 138 | 117 | 121 | 217 |  |
| Oregon.... | 24.15 | 14. 35 | 14. 25 | 15. 00 | 24. 64 | 155 | 129 | 153 | 192 | $20 \%$ |
| Califurnia | 32.56 | 23.25 | 17.67 | 19.44 | 36.63 | 209 | 202 | 194 | 208 | 305 |

## GEOGRAPHIC CHANGES IN SOURCES OF CORN SUPPLY AND OTHER PRICE FACTORS.

In conclusion, a statistical review from 1871 to 1915 is appended in Table 9, showing geographic changes in sources of the domestic corn supply, relation of production to population, and other factors.

Since 1871-1875 corn production has nearly trebled, but the fraction as well as quantity of corn exported has declined, likewise the percentage of shipments out of counties where grown. Consequently, the farm consumption has increased. Per capita production, however, has declined since 1882-1886 ( 31 bushels per capita against 28.5 in 1911-1915).

Notwithstanding the fact that the a verage production has increased from about 1 billion bushels at the first period to 23 billions at the last, the percentage of corn in the total improved land has fallen off. Increased crops are due to new areas which have been brought under cultivation rather than to an increased proportion of farm land deroted to corn.

Of the two corn-surplus divisions, the West North Central section has nearly quadrupled its production, while the crop of the East North Central States has less than doubled. The fraction of the national crop produced in the East North Central States has dropped from 38.8 per cent in 1871-1875 to 28.7 per cent in 1911-1915, while in the West North Central part in the same periods it has risen from 25.2 per cent to 35.9 per cent. A similar reversal obtains in hog production, which absorbs the largest quantity of corn in these two divisions. But, while the per cent of the total improved land occupied by corn has increased slightly in the older section, it has declined notably in the newer or western half. Moreover, in proportion to population (per capita production) in the more recent periods diminishing ratios are shown.

By far the highest percentage of increased production is shown in the Mountain States, wherein production has increased 347 per cent in the last decade (although per capita production has risen only from 2 bushels to 4.6 bushels). Secondary only to those of this section are the increased crops in the corn-deficiency States farthest to the south and north; on the south from South Carolina to Louisiana, and on the north from Washington to the Dakotas.

| Table 9.-Corn: | statistica abs | al revicu solute an | from 18 relative | 'y 1 to 19 figures, | $15, b y$ trend of | $\begin{aligned} & \text { es } \\ & r c a \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & g r a \\ & d u \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & i c \\ & n, \end{aligned}$ | visi |  | of | ov | $\operatorname{lana}$ | $c o$ |  |  | corn |  | in |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Goograph | ic distribu five | tion of co year avera | orn produc ges. | ction-in | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aver- } \\ \text { age } \\ 1911- \end{gathered}$ | Ratio | $\begin{gathered} \text { of co } \\ \text { po } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rn pro } \\ & \text { pulatio } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { oductic } \\ & \text { on. } \end{aligned}$ | no | Distri tota tota |  | of corn of the | Unoduct | on, in States | $\begin{gathered} \text { P'er } \\ \text { lan } \end{gathered}$ | nt of occu | illimb | roved corn. ${ }^{2}$ |
| State and geographie division. | 1911-1915 | 1901-1905 | 1891-1895 | 1882-1886 | 1871-1875 | $\begin{gathered} 1915 \\ \text { ascom- } \\ \text { pared } \\ \text { with } \\ 1901- \\ 1905 \\ (100 \\ \text { per } \\ \text { cent }) . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1911- \\ 1915 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1901- \\ 1905 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1891- \\ 1895 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 1882- \\ 1886 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1871- \\ 1875 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1911- \\ & 1915 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1901- \\ 1905 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1891- \\ 1895 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1882- \\ 1886 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1871- \\ 1875 \end{gathered}$ | 1909 | 1899 | 1889 | 1879 |
| United States. | 2,766,112 | 2,293,164 | 1,734,468 | 1,713,047 | 1,037,622 | Per cent. 121 | ${ }_{28.5}^{\text {Num }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & b e r ~ o f ~ \\ & 28.4 \end{aligned}$ | bushels | per ca | 24.7. | 100.0 | 100.0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cr cent } \\ & \mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 20.6 | ${ }_{22.9}$ | ${ }_{20.2}^{\text {cni. }}$ | 21.9 |
| New England | 9,059 | 6,764 | 7,043 | 8,136 | 7,613 | 134 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 1.5 | . 8 |
| Middle Atlant | 91,522 | 78, 145 | 67,022 | 73, 798 | 68,615 | 117 | 4.4 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 6.5 | 7.4 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.9 | 4.3 | 6.6 | 7.4 | 7.9 | 6.4 | 7.5 |
| South Atlantic | 263,312 | 191,384 | 159,028 | 143, 646 | 100, 232 | 138 | 20.6 | 17.4 | 17.0 | 17.7 | 15.7 | 9.5 | 8.3 | 9.2 | 8.4 | 9.7 | 23.5 | 26.1 | 23.1 | 26.8 |
| East North Central | 791,838 | 645, 200 | 437,952 | 481,211 | 402,855 | 123 | 41.9 | 38.7 | 30.8 | 39.7 | 41.3 | 28.7 | 28.1 | 25.2 | 28.1 | 38.8 | 24.6 | 24.9 | 21.3 | 23.7 |
| West North Central | 992,756 | 900, 726 | 709,528 | 674,864 | 261, 115 | 110 | 82.3 | 83.9 | 75.8 | 92.9 | 57.4 | 35.9 | 39.3 | 40.9 | 39.4 | 25.2 | 21.9 | 26.2 | 26.7 | 29.0 |
| East South Central. | 298, 611 | 217, 989 | 213,030 | 207, 983 | 143, 677 | 137 | 34.4 | 27.9 | 31.5 |  | 30.2 | 10.9 | 9.5 | 12.3 | 12.1 | 13.9 | 25.8 | 29.1 | 26.8 | 31.0 |
| West South Central | 298, 651 | 246,615 | 134, 130 | 117,430 | 50,665 | 121 | 31.4 | 34.2 | 25.4 | 30.1 | 20.9 | 10.8 | 10.8 | 7.7 | 6.9 | 4.9 | 25.6 | 28.1 | 18.3 | 23.8 |
| Mountain | 13, 632 | 3,928 | 3,563 | 2,116 | 13 | 347 | 4.6 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 2.4 |  | .5 | .2 | .2 | .1 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 2.9 | 1.9 | 3.0 | 3.6 |
| Pacific | 3,731 | 2,413 | 3,172 | 3,863 | 1,490 | 155 | . 8 | . 8 | 1.6 | 2.7 | 1.8 | . 1 | . 1 | . 2 | . 2 | . 1 | . 4 | . 4 | . 5 | . 6 |
| The Territ |  |  |  |  | 1,347 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 1 |  |  |  |  |
| New England: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maine..... | 686 | 445 | 623 | 1,005 | 1,051 | 154 | . 9 | .6 | -9 | 1.5 | 1.7 | ${ }_{(3)}^{3}$ | $\stackrel{3}{3}_{3}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | . 1 | ${ }^{1}$ | ${ }^{.} 6$ | . 7 | .4 | . 9 |
| New IIampshire | ${ }_{9}^{973}$ | 812 | 1,008 | 1,238 | 1,368 | 120 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 3.4 5.9 | 4. 2 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | .1 | .1 | $\cdot 1$ | 2.1 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 1.6 |
| Vermont... | 1,944 | 1,821 | 1,841 1,657 | 1,957 1,820 | 1,759 | 141 | 5.4 .6 | 1.2 .5 . | 5.5 .7 | 5.9 .9 | 5.3 .9 | . 1 | .1 | .1 | 1 | 1 | 3.6 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 2.5 |
| Rhode Island | 466 | 312 | ${ }^{1} 308$ | , 384 | 1294 | 149 | . 8 | .7 | . 8 | 1.3 | 1.3 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | (3) | 5. 4 | 4.3 | 2.8 | 4.0 |
| Connecticut. | 2,853 | 1,858 | 1,606 | 1,732 | 1,665 | 154 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 3.0 | . 1 | . 1 | .1 | . 1 | . 2 | 5.3 | 4.5 | 2.9 | 3.4 |
| Middle Atlantic: New York. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New York. | 20,388 10,495 | 17,736 9,463 | $\begin{array}{r}17,324 \\ 9,374 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 21,250 10,256 | 18,193 10,428 | 115 | 2.1 3.8 | 2.3 4.6 | 2.7 5.9 | 3.9 8.2 | 4.0 10.7 | . 7 | . 8 | 1.0 .6 | 1.2 .6 | 1.7 1.0 | 3.5 14.7 | 4.22 | 13.0 | 4.4 16.4 |
| New Jersoy, Pennsylvania | 10,495 60,639 | 9,463 50,946 | 9,374 40,324 | 10,256 42,292 | 10,428 39,994 | 111 | 3.8 7.5 | 4.6 7.6 | 5.9 7.2 | 8.2 9.0 | 10.7 10.7 | 2. 2 | 2. 2 | $\begin{array}{r}1.6 \\ \hline 2\end{array}$ | . 2.5 | 1.0 3.9 | 14.7 10.9 | 14.9 11.2 | 13.4 9.5 | 16.4 10.2 |
| South Atlantie: | 6,635 |  | 4, 4,495 |  |  | 120 | 31.9 | 29.0 | 26.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delaware. | 6,635 24,080 | 5,511 20,793 | 4,495 15,545 | 3,900 16,086 | 3,186 11,383 | 120 | 31.9 18.1 | 29.0 17.0 | 26.0 14.3 | 25.0 16.4 | 24.1 13.8 | .2 .9 | . 2 | . 2 | $\stackrel{.2}{1.0}$ | 1.1 | 26.5 19.3 | 25.5 18.7 | 17.2 | 19.9 |
| Virginia. | 49, 292 | 41, 681 | 32, 318 | 31, 377 | 19, 485 | 118 | 23.2 | 21.8 | 18.8 | 20.0 | 14.9 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 18.8 | 18.9 | 17.5 | 20.8 |
| West Virgin | 22,652 | 19, 283 | 15,315 | 14,428 | 9,723 | 117 | 17.3 | 18.6 | 18.6 | 21.3 | 19.6 | 8 | . 8 | . 9 | . 9 | . 9 | 12.2 | 13. 2 | 13.0 | 14.9 |
| North Carolin | 55, 534 | 37,032 | 32,463 | 29, 373 | 22,061 | 150 | 24.1 | 18.6 | 19. 1 | 19.8 | 18.9 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 27.9 | 32.7 | 30.2 | 35.6 |
| South Carolin | 35, 493 | 18, 433 | 17,291 | 13,511 | 9,824 | 193 | 22.6 | 13.2 | 14.3 | 12.8 | 12.4 | 1.3 | .8 | 1.0 | . 8 | 1.0 | 25. 7 | 30.7 | 25.6 | 31.5 30.9 |
| Georgia | 59,401 10,225 | 42, 5 5,927 | 36,363 5,238 | 31,103 3,868 | 22,507 2,063 | 139 | 21.7 12.4 | 18.3 9.9 | 18.6 12.1 | 18.7 12.2 | 17.4 9.7 | 2.1 .4 | 1.9 <br> .3 | 2.1 .3 | 1.8 .2 | 2.2 .2 | 27.5 33.6 | 32.8 37.7 | 26.9 33.1 | 30.9 38.0 |



## SUMMARY.

Local types of agriculture are established by a combination of physical and commercial limitations. Yields to the acre may be said to reflect limitations of climate and soil; the farm or producers' price is a result of commercial factors which vary with each item of farm production in a section. Moreover, such factors are dynamic in character.

Extreme geographic rariations prevail in the farm price of a product throughout the United States. Prices rise in the direction taken by the flow of a commodity from the regions of surplus to those of deficient production. A region of high prices for one product may have decidedly low prices for another. Such variations are usually consistent and may be illustrated in corn prices.

Lowest prices are paid to producers of corn in the corn belt, from. eastern Nebraska to western Ohio. The minimum price is found in the northwestern corner of this section, in adjoining parts of Iowa, Minnesota, South Dakota, and Nebraska. This area of minimum price forms a depression, moving away from which prices attain constantly higher levels to all points of the compass. The degree of price increase is unequal; slowest across through the corn belt, but more pronounced when the eastern States are reached. Westward and northward, where areas of scant production are close at hand, and where corn moves in smaller volume, price levels rise rapidly. This is also true farther to the south. The maximum prices are found in the Southwest and Southeast, in the sections producing insufficient corn which are farthest from the corn belt.

Within the territory of low corn prices are comprised the areas of greatest corn and live-stock production. They contribute almost the entire gross corn supply of the country and substantially all the corn entering trade channels. The minimum price obtains in the part of the corn belt which is most disadvantageously located with regard to important markets. All other sections produce less than their requirements and must supplement local crops by shipments from the surplus-producing country.

Prices rise irregularly in the direction of this distributive morement, which is somewhat complex. The trade currents are influenced by the manifold uses of corn, conditions in foreign and domestic live stock and grain markets, and the flexible character of the demand as expressed by variations in annual corn consumption. In tracing the geography of corn production in relation to prices, consumption, and commerce, notable regional differences are encountered. The bulk of the crop is consumed where it is produced-in the corn beltfor live-stock production; in the western half of the country hardly 2 per cent of the nation's crop is produced; and here, as in the
most southern States, its high price and comparative scarcity limit its use for live-stock production. Throughout the country large local consuming centers of an industrial character have sprung up; in the New England States many million bushels are consumed in glucose and starch manufacture; a strong local demand for corn existed in Chicago, Indianapolis, St. Louis, and Peoria for use in manufacture of corn products and in distilling and brewing.

While a strongly defined greographic trend prevails in the price levels, much local unevenness is observable, as well as irregularity in the degree of increase. This is particularly manifest in regions which are mountainous, with inadequate transportation facilities or otherwise not well situated as to markets. It is also seen in areas wherein corn traffic is in smaller volume. In the midst of sections of insufficient production localities raising a surplus appear occasionally; the level of prices there is lower than in surrounding territory.

The outstanding feature of the distributive movement of corn is the local character of its markets, for only a fifth of the crop enters the national trade channels. Farm consumption absorbs over fourfifths of the crop; hence local conditions are partly responsible for much unevenness in the trend of the price levels. In some localities costs of hauling from farms to shipping points appear to be greater in time of peace than rail and ocean freight charges to some European markets. Diverse elements enter into local prices, such as the condition of roads, accessibility of markets, availability of cheaper water transportation, and the character of the local demand.

Although urban consumption disposes of only about a sixth of the crop, a considerably larger fraction is concentrated in urban markets for local use and reshipment. The largest markets are in the corn belt; they reship two-thirds of their receipts. Unlike wheat, markets for corn in other sections of the country are of minor importance.

Freight rates constitute the most important single element in price disparities. While distance is an important factor, rates are not directly proportional thereto. Competition between trade routes and markets, and volume of traffic, tend to lower rates in the sections affected.

In aligning sectional differences in farm prices with costs of production it is necessary to take into account yields to the acre. In the main, low farm prices are offset by high costs of production, and the converse also is true. High prices and high yields in bushels to the acre result in low money returns in the industrial East, because of high costs of production; high prices and low costs of production, but also low yields to the acre, result in relatively low money returns in the South.

Specific application of the maps and tables of this publication should take cognizance of the characteristics of the data. Counties are the units of measurement, and the figures represent customary conditions as determined by a five-year average. Prices reflect dynamic conditions. However, an unusual harvest or a market condition upsetting the ordinary course of business in a given product, will also disturb the price zones.

The normal price ratios, too, are slowly changing, in accord with economic conditions, of which they constitute an index. A review of price factors from 1871 to 1915 serves to indicate the present trend. While the United States average farm price of corn has risen, the geographic differences have been approximately cut in two, coinciding with decreasing transportation and marketing costs. In relation to the general average, the prices in corn-exporting States have risen rapidly and steadily; in corn-deficiency States the ratio has dropped even more notably. In some cases, notwithstanding the general rise in price levels, specific sections show declining prices as well as price percentages.

Corn and wheat prices are rapidly drawing closer. A 60-cent disparity in 1871-1875 has dwindled to 20 cents in 1911-1915. On the other hand, price disparity between corn and oats has widened because of the more rapid rise of corn prices.

The ramifications of price factors involve the entire economic structure. The charting of concrete geographic differences has possibilities of practical use. Presentation of only a few of the general bearings of the price conditions has been attempted here, for it has been manifestly impossible in this inquiry to enter into specific local surveys. It is also evident that no one factor determines the price zones, but each more or less determinate element is affected by others.

## APPENDIX.

## AVERAGE FARM PRICE OF CORN, BY COUNTIES, 1910-1914.

| Alabama : | Arizona : |
| :---: | :---: |
| 65 to 69 cents- | 95 to 99 cents- |
| Jackson. | Cochise. |
| Lauderdale. | Graham. |
| Limestone. | Greenlee. |
| Madison. | Maricopa. |
| 70 to 74 cents- | Pima. |
| Colbert. | Pinal. |
| Dekalb. | Santa Cruz. |
| Franklin. | \$1 and over- |
| Lawrence. | Apache. |
| Marshall. | Gila. |
| Morgan. | Navajo. |
| 80 to 84 cents- | Yavapai. |
| Baldwin. | Arkansas: |
| Blount. | 60 to 64 cents- |
| Bullock. | Benton. |
| Butler. | Boone. |
| Calhoun. | Carroll. |
| Cherokee. | Madison. |
| Choctaw. | Marion. |
| Clarke. | Washington. |
| clay. | 65 to 69 cents- |
| Cleburne. | Baxter. |
| Conecuh. | Clay. |
| Crenshaw. | Craighead. |
| Cullman. | Crawford. |
| Dallas. | Franklin. |
| Escambia. | Fulton. |
| Etowah. | Greene. |
| Greene. | Johnson. |
| Hale. | Lawrence. |
| Lowndes. | Mississippi. |
| Marengo. | Newton. |
| Marion. | Randolph. |
| Mobile. | Searcy. |
| Monroe. | Sharp. |
| Montgomery. | Stone. |
| Perry. | 70 to 74 cents- |
| Sumter. | Ashley. |
| Talladega. | Chicot. |
| Washington. | Cleburne. |
| Wilcox. | Conway. |
| Winston. | Crittenden. |
| 85 to 89 cents- | Cross. |
| Autauga. | Desha. |
| Barbour. | Drew. |
| Pibb. | Independence. |
| Chambers. | Izard. |
| Chilton. | Jackson. |
| Coftee. | Logan. |
| Coosa. | Montgomery. |
| Covington. | Perry. |
| Dale. | Poinsett. |
| Elmore. | Pope. |
| Fayette. | St. Francis. |
| Geneva. | Scott. |
| Henry. | Sebastian. |
| Houston. | Van Buren. |
| Jefferson. | Yell. |
| Lamar. | 75 to 79 cents- |
| Lee. | Arkansas. |
| Macon. | Clark. |
| Pickens. | Cleveland. |
| Pike. | Faulkner. |
| Randolph. | Garland. |
| Russell. | Grant. |
| St. Clair. | Hempstead. |
| Shelby. | Hot Spring. |
| Tallapoosa. | Howard. |
| Tuscaloosa. | Jefferson. |
| Walker. | Lafayette. |

Arkansas-Contd.
75 to 79 cents-
Lee.
Lincoln.
Little River.
Lonoke.
Miller.
Monroe.
Phillips.
Pike.
Polk.
Prairie.
Pulaski.
Saline.
Sevier.
White.
Woodruff.
80 to 84 cents-
Bradley.
Calhoun.
Columbia.
Dallas.
Nevada.
Ouachita.
Union.
California:
80 to 84 centsKings.
Monterey.
San Diego.
San Luis Obispo
Santa Cruz.
85 to 89 cents-
Colusa.
Fresno.
Glenn.
Lake.
Los Angeles.
Marion.
Mendocino.
Napa.
Orange.
Sacramento.
San Joaquin.
Santa Barbara.
Sonoma.
Tulare.
Ventura.
Colorado :
60 to 64 cents-
Logan.
Phillips.
Sedgwick.
Yuma.
65 to 69 cents-
Baca.
Bent.
Cheyenne.
Kiowa.
Kit Carson.
Lincoln.
Morgan.
Prowers.
Washington.
70 to 74 cents-
Adams.
Arapahoe.
Boulder.
Custer.
Denver.
Douglas.
Elbert.

Colorado-Continued.
70 to 74 cents-
El Paso.
Gilpin.
Huerfano.
Jefferson.
Larimer.
Las Animas.
Otero.
Pueblo.
Washington.
Weld.
90 to 94 cents-
Delta.
Mesa.
Connecticut :
80 to 84 cents-
Hartford.
Middlesex.
New Haven.
New London.
Tolland.
Windham.
85 to 89 cents-
Fairfield.
Litchfield.
Delaware:
60 to 64 cents-
Kent.
New Castle.
Sussex.
Florida:
80 to 84 cents-
Calhoun.
Columbia.
Franklin.
Gadsden.
Hamilton.
Jackson.
Jefferson.
Leon.
Liberty.
Madison.
Suwanee.
Wakulla.
85 to 89 cents--
Alachua.
Escambia.
Holmes.
Lafayette.
Levy.
Marion.
Putnam.
St. John.
Santa Rosa.
Taylor.
Walton.
Washington.
90 to 94 cents-
Baker.
Bradford.
Citrus.
Clay.
De Soto.
Duval.
Hernando.
Hillsboro.
Lake.
Manatee.
Nassau.
Orange.
Osceola.

| Florida-Continued. | Georgia-Continued. | Illinois-Continued. | Illinois-Continued. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pasco. | Muscogee. | 5 Dewitt. |  |
| Pinellas. | Newton. | Douglas. | Monroe. |
| Polk. | Paulding. | Edgar. | Perry. |
| Sumter. | Pike. | Edwards. | Randolph. |
| Volusia. | Pulaski. | Ford. | Washington. |
| Georgia: | Rockdale. | Gallatin. | Williamson. |
| 75 to 79 cents- | Schley. | Grundy. | Indiana: |
| Catoosa. | Spaulding. | Hancock. | 50 to 54 cents- |
| Dade. | Stephens. | Henderson. | Adams. |
| Fannin. | Sumter. | Henry. | Allen. |
| Gilmer. | Talbot. | Iroquois. | Bartholomew. |
| Murray. | Taylor. | Jasper. | Benton. |
| Rabun. | Tift. | Kankakee. | Blackford. |
| Towns. | Troop. | Knox. | Boone. |
| Union. | Turner. | La Salle. | Brown. |
| Walker. | Twiggs. | Lawrence. | Carroli. |
| Whitfield. | Upson. | Lee. | Cass. |
| 80 to 84 cents- | Wilcox. | Livingston. | Clay. |
| Baker. | Wilkinson. | Logan. | Clinton. |
| Bartow. | 90 to 94 cents- | McDonough. | Daviess. |
| Brooks. | Appling. | McLean. | Decatur. |
| Calhoun. | Baldwin. | Macon. | Dekalb. |
| Chattooga. | Bryan. | Marshall. | Delaware. |
| Cherokee. | Bulloch. | Mason. | Elkhart. |
| Clay. | Burke. | Menard. | Fayette. |
| Colquitt. | Butts. | Mercer. | Fountain. |
| Dawson. | Camden. | Morgan. | Franklin. |
| Decatur. | Charlton. | Moultrie. | Fulton. |
| Dougherty. | Chatham. | Ogle. | Gibson. |
| Early. | Clarke. | Peoria. | Grant. |
| Floyd. | Columbia. | Piatt. | Greene. |
| Forsyth. | Effingham. | Putnam. | Hamilton. |
| Gordon. | Elbert. | Richland. | Hancock. |
| Grady. | Emanuel. | Rock Island. | Hendricks. |
| Habersham. | Glascock. | Sangamon. | Henry. |
| Lee. | Glynn. | Scott. | Howard. |
| Lumpkin. | Greene. | Shelby. | Huntington. |
| Miller. | Hancock. | Stark. | Jasper. |
| Milton. | Hart. | Tazewell. | Jay. |
| Mitchell. | Jackson. | Vermilion. | Jennings. |
| Pickens. | Jasper. | Wabash. | Johnson. |
| Polk. | Jeff Davis. | Warren. | Knox. |
| Quitman. | Jefferson. | White. | Kosciusko. |
| Randolph. | Jenkins. | Whiteside. | Lagrange. |
| Stewart. | Johnson. | Woodford. | Laporte. |
| Terrell. | Jones. | 55 to 59 cents- | Madison. |
| Thomas. | Laurens. | Adams. | Marion. |
| Webster. | Liberty. | Alexander. | Marshall. |
| White. | Lincoln. | Bond. | Miami. |
| Worth. | McDuffie. | Boone. | Monroe. |
| 85 to 89 cents- | McIntosh. | Brown. | Montgomery. |
| Banks. | Madison. | Calhoun. | Morgan. |
| Ben Hill. | Montgomery. | Cass. | Newton. |
| Berrien. | Morgan. | Clay. | Noble. |
| Bibb. | Oconee. | Effingham. | Owen. |
| Bleckley. | Oglethorpe. | Fayette. | Parke. |
| Campbell. | Pierce. | Fulton. | Porter. |
| Carroll. | Putnam. | Greene. | Posey. |
| Chattahoochee. | Richmond. | Hamilton. | Pulaski. |
| Clayton. | Screven. | Hardin. | Putnam. |
| Clinch. | Taliaferro. | Jackson. | Randolph. |
| Cobb. | Tattnall. | Jersey. | Rush. |
| Coffee. | Telfair. | Jo Daviess. | St. Joseph. |
| Coweta. | Toombs. | Johnson. | Shelby. |
| Crawford. | Walton. | Kane. | Starke. |
| Crisp. | Ware. | Kendall. | Steuben. |
| Dekalb. | Warren. | McHenry. | Sullivan. |
| Dodge. | Washington. | Macoupin. | Tippecanoe. |
| Dooly. | Wayne. | Marion. | Tipton. |
| Douglas. | Wheeler. | Massac. | Union. |
| Echols. | Wilkes. | Montgomery. | Vermilion. |
| Fayette. | Idaho: | Pike. | Vigo. |
| Franklin. | 65 to 69 cents- | Pope. | Wabash. |
| Fulton. | Canyon. | Pulaski. | Warren. |
| Gwinnett. | Latah. | Saline. | Wayne. |
| Hall. | Nez Perce. | Schuyler. | Wells. |
| Haralson. | Illinois: | Stephenson. | White. |
| Harris. | 50 to 54 cents- | Union. | Whitley. |
| Heard. | Bureau. | Wayne. | 55 to 59 cents- |
| Henry. | Carroll. | Will. | Clarke. |
| Houston. | Champaign. | Winnebago. | Dearborn. |
| Irwin. | Christian. | 60 to 64 cents- | Dubois. |
| Lowndes. | Clark. | Clinton. | Jacluson. |
| Macon. | Coles. | Cook. | Jefferson. |
| Marion. | Crawford. | Dupage. | Lake. |
| Meriwether. | Cumberland. | Franklin. | Lawrence. |
| Monroe. | Dekalb. | Jefferson. | Martin. |

Indiana-Continued 55 to 59 centsOhio.

## Pike.

Ripley.
Scott.
Spencer.
Switzerland.
Vanderburg.
Warrick.
60 to 64 centsCrawford.
Floyd.
Harrison.
Orange.
Perry Washington.
Iowa :
45 to 49 centsAudubon.
Boone.
Bremer.
Buena Vista.
Butler.
Calhoun
Carroll.
Cerro Gordo.
Cherokee.
Chickasaw.
Clay.
Crawford.
Dallas.
Dickinson.
Emmet.
Floyd.
Franklin.
Greene.
Grundy.
Guthrie.
Hamilton.
Hancock.
Hardin.
Harrison.
Humbolt.
Ida.
Jasper.
Kossuth.
Lyon.
Marshall.
Monona.
O'Brien.
Osceola.
Palo Alto.
Plymouth.
Pocahontas.
Polk.
Sac.
Shelby.
Sioux.
Story.
Webster.
Winnebago.
Woodbury.
Worth.
Wright.
50 to 64 cents-
Adair.
Adams.
Allamakee.
Appanoose.
Benton.
Blackhawk.
Buchanan.
Cass.
Cedar.
Clarke.
Clayton
Davis.
Decatur.
Delaware.
Des Moines.
Fayette.
Fremont.
Henry.
Howard.
Iowa.

Iowa-Continued.
50 to 64 cents-
Jefferson.
Johnson.
Keokuk.
Lee.
Linn.
Louisa.
Lucas.
Madison.
Mahaska.
Marion.
Mills.
Mitchell.
Monroe.
Montgomery.
Muscatine.
Page.
Pottawattamie.
Poweshiek.
ringgold.
Scott.
Tama.
Taylor.
Union.
Yan Buren.
Wapello.
Warren.
Washington.
Wayne.
Winneshiek.
55 to 59 cents-
Clinton.
Dubuque.
Jackson.
Jones.
Kansas:
55 to 59 cents-
Allen.
Anderson.
Atchison.
Bourbon.
Brown.
Clay.
Cloud.
Coffey.
Crawford.
Dickinson.
Doniphan.
Douglas.
Franklin.
Geary.
Jackson.
Jefferson.
Jewell.
Johnson.
Leavenworth.
Linn.
Lyon.
Marshall.
Miami.
Morris.
Nemaha.
Neosho.
Osage.
Phillips.
Pottawatomie.
Republic.
Riley.
Shawnee.
Smith.
Wabaunsee.
Washington.
Wilson.
Woodson.
Wyandotte.
60 to 64 cents-
Barber.
Barton.
Butler.
Chase.
Chautauqua.
Cherokee.
Cheyenne.
Clark.
Comanche.

Kansas-Continued. Kentucky-Contd.
60 to 64 cents-
Cowley.
Decatur.
Edwards.
Elk.
Ellsworth.
Ford.
Graham.
Gray.
Greenwood.
Harper.
Harvey.
Hodgeman.
Kingman.
Kiowa.
Labette.
Lincoln.
McPherson.
Marion.
Meade.
Mitchell.
Montgomery.
Norton.
Osborne.
Ottawa.
Pawnee.
Pratt.
Rawlins.
Reno.
Rice.
Rooks.
Russell.
Saline.
Sedgwick.
Seward.
Sheridan.
Sherman.
Stafford.
Stevens.
Sumner.
Thomas.
65 to 69 cents-
Elis.
Finney.
Gove.
Grant.
Greeley.
Hamilton.
Haskell.
Kearny.
Lane.
Logan.
Morton.
Ness.
Rush.
Scott.
Trego.
Wallace.
Wichita.
Kentucky:
55 to 59 cents-
Ballard.
Caldwell.
Carlisle.
Crittenden.
Daviess.
Fulton.
Graves.
Hancock.
Henderson.
Hickman.
Hopkins.
Livingston.
Lyon.
McCracken.
McLean.
Union.
Webster.
60 to 64 cents-
Adair.
Allen.
Barren.
Boone.
Bourbon.
Boyle.

60 to 64 cents-
Breckinridge.
Bullitt.
Butler.
Calloway.
Carroll.
Casey.
Christian.
Clark.
Clinton.
Cumberland.
Edmonson.
Estill.
Fayette.
Gallatin.
Garrard.
Grayson.
Green.
Greenup.
Hardin.
Hart.
Jessamine.
Larue.
Lewis.
Lincoln.
Logan.
Madison.
Marion.
Marshall.
Meade.
Mercer.
Metcalf.
Monroe.
Muhlenberg.
Nelson.
Ohio.
Rock Castle.
Russell.
Scott.
Simpson.
Spencer.
Taylor.
Todd.
Trigg.
Trimble.
Warren.
Washington.
Woodford.
65 to 69 cents-
Anderson.
Bath.
Boyd.
Bracken.
Campbell.
Carter.
Elliott.
Fleming.
Franklin.
Grant.
Harrison.
Henry.
Jefferson.
Johnson.
Kenton.
Lawrence.
Mason.
Menifee.
Montgomery.
Nicholas.
Oldham.
Owen.
Pendleton.
Powell.
Robertson.
Rowan.
Shelby.
70 to 74 cents-
Bell.
Breathitt.
Clay.
Floyd.
Jackson.
Knox.
Laurel.
Lee.

Kentucky-Contd.
70 to 74 centsMcCreary. Magoffin.
Martin.
Morgan.
Owslez.
Pulaski.
Wavne.
Whitley.
Wolfe.
75 to 79 cents-
Harlan.
Knott.
Leslie.
Letcher.
Perry.
Pike.
Louisiana:
65 to 69 cents-
Ascension.
Assumption.
Avorelles.
Iberia.
Iberville.
Lafarette.
Pointe Coupee.
St. Landry.
St. Martin.
St. Mary.
West Baton Rouge
West Feliciana.
70 to 74 cents-
Catahoula.
Concordia.
East Baton Rouge.
East Carroll.
East Feliciana.
Franklin.
Grant.
Jefferson.
Lafourche.
La Salle.
Livingston.
Madison.
Morehouse.
Orleans.
Plaquemines.
Richland.
St. Bernard.
st. Charles.
St. Helena.
St. James.
St. John.
Tensas.
Terrebonne.
West Carroll.
75 to 79 cents-
Acadia.
Bossier.
Caddo.
Caldwell.
De Soto.
Evangeline.
Natchitoches.
Ouachita.
Rapides.
Red Rirer:
St. Tammany.
Tangipahoa.
Vermilion.
Washington.
Tinn.
80 to 84 cents-
Allen.
Beauregard.
Bienville.
Calcasieu.
Cameron.
Claiborne.
Jackson.
Jefferson Davis.
Lincoln.
Sabine.
Union.
Vernon.
Tebster.

Maryland:
60 to 64 cents-
Baltimore.
Caroline.
Carroll.
Cecil.
Dorchester.
Frederick.
Harford
Howard.
Kent.
Montgomery.
Queen Annes.
Somerset.
Talbot.
Washington.
Wicomico.
Worcester.
65 to 69 cents-
Anne Arundel.
Calvert.
Charles.
Prince George.
St. Marys.
75 to 79 centsAllegany.
Garrett.
Massachusetts :
80 to 84 cents-
Berkshire.
Essex.
Franklin.
Hampden.
Hampshire.
Middlesex.
Norfolk.
Suffolk.
Worcester.
S5 to 89 centsBarnstable.
Bristol.
Dukes.
Nantucket.
Plymouth.
Michiqan:
5. 5 to 59 centsBerrien.
Branch.
Cass.
Hillsdale.
Kalamazoo.
Lenawee.
Monroe.
st. Joseph.
Van Buren
60 to 64 centsAllegan.
Barry.
Benzie.
Calhoun.
Clare.
Clinton.
Eaton.
Gratiot.
Ingham.
Ionia.
Isabella.
Jackson.
Kent.
Lake.
Livingston.
Manistee.
Mason.
Mecosta.
Missaukee.
Montcalm.
Osceola.
Shiwassee.
Washtenaw.
Tayne.
Texford.
65 to 69 centsAlcona.
Alpena.
Antrim.
Arenac.
Bay.

Michigan-Contd.
65 to 69 cents-
Crawford.
Genesee.
Gladwin.
Grand Traverse.
Huron.
Iosco.
Kalkaska.
Lapeer.
Leelanau.
Macomb.
Midland.
Muskegon.
Newaygo.
Oakland.
Oceana.
Ogemaw.
Otsego.
Ottara.
Roscommon.
Saginaw.
St. Clair.
Sanilac.
Tuscola.
70 to 74 cents-
Charleroix.
Cheborgan.
Emmet.
Minnesota:
45 to 49 cents-
Bigstone.
Blue Earth.
Brown.
Chippewa.
Cottonwood.
Faribault.
Freeborn.
Jackson.
Kandirohi.
Lac qui Parle.
Le Sueur.
Lincoln.
Lron.
McLeod.
Martin.
Murras.
Nicollet.
Nobles.
Pipestone.
Redwood.
Renville.
Rice.
Rock.
Sibley.
Steele.
Swift.
Waseca.
Watonwan.
Yelloy Medicine.
50 to 54 cents-
Anoka.
Benton.
Carrer.
Dakota.
Dodge.
Douglas.
Fillmore.
Goodhue.
Grant.
Houston.
Isanti.
Meeker.
Mower.
Olmsted.
Ottertail.
Pope.
Scott.
Sherbourne.
Stearns.
Stevens.
Traverse.
Wabasha.
Wilkin.
Winona.
Wright.

Minnesota-Contā.
55 to 59 cents-
Becker.
Chisago.
Clay.
Hennepin.
Hubbard.
Kanabec.
Mille Lacs.
Morrison.
Norman.
Pine.
Ramser.
Todd.
Wadena.
Washington.
60 to 64 cents-
Aitkin.
Cass.

Kittson.
Marshall.

Roseau.

Adams.
Amite.

Jefferson.

Wilkinson.

Benton.
Bolirar.

Hinds.
Holmes.
Lincoln.
Madison.
Marshall.
Pike.
Sunflower.
Tippah.
Tnion.
Yazoo.
Attala.
Calhoun.

Choctaw.
Clay.

Leake.
Lee.
Marion.

Panola.

Rankin.
Scott.

Tate.
Tunica.
Pi

$$
1
$$


-

Beltrami.
Clearwater.
Crow Wing.
Mahnomen.
Pennington.
Polk.
Red Lake.
Mississippi :
65 to 69 cents-

Claiborne.
Franklin.
Issaquena.
Sharkey.
Warren.
70 to 74 cents-
Alcorn.

Copiah.
De Soto.

Prentiss.

Tishomingo.
Washington. 75 to 79 cents-

Carroll.
Chickasar.

Coahoma.
Grenada.
Itawamba.
Jefferson Daris
Lafarette.
Lawrence.

Leflore.
Montgomery.
Oktibbeha.
Pontotoc.
Quitman.

Simpson.
Tallahatchie.
.
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a-Contā.
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| Mississippi-Contd. | Missouri-Continued | Nebraska-Contd. <br> 50 to 54 cents- | New Jersey-Contd |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Webster. | Dade. | Adams. | 70 to 74 cents- |
| Winston. | Greene. | Buffalo. | Morris. |
| Yalobusha. | Henry. | Butler. | Salem. |
| 80 to 84 cents- | Jasper. | Cass. | Somerset. |
| Clarke. | Jefferson. | Cherry. | Union. |
| Covington. | Johnson. | Clay. | Warren. |
| Forrest. | Lafayette. | Custer. | 75 to 79 cents- |
| George. | Lawrence | Dawson. | Atlantic. |
| Greene. | Lincoln. | Douglas. | Bergen. |
| Hancock. | McDonald | Fillmore. | Cape May. |
| Harrison. | Madison. | Franklin. | Cumberland. |
| Jackson. | Moniteau. | Frontier. | Essex. |
| Jones. | Morgan. | Garden. | Ocean |
| Kemper. | Newton. | Gosper. | Passaic. |
| Lamar. | Perry. | Grant. | Sussex. |
| Lauderdale. | Pettis. | Greeley. | New Mexico : |
| Lowndes. |  | Hall. | 75 to 79 cents- |
| Monroe. <br> Neshoba. | St. Charles. | Hamilton. | Curry. |
| Newton. | St. Francois. | Hooker. | Quay. |
| Pearl River. | Saline. | Howard. | Roosevelt. |
| Perry. | Stoddard. | Jefferson. | 85 to 89 cents- |
| Smith. | Stone. | Johnson. | Chaves. |
| Missouri : | 65 to 69 cents- | Lancaster. | Colfax. |
| 50 to 54 cents- | Camden. | Lincoln. | Eday. Guadalupe. |
| ${ }_{55}$ Atchison. to 59 cents- | Carter. | Logan. | Lincoln. |
| 55 to 59 cents- Adair. | Cole. | McPherson. | Mora. |
| Andrew. | Dallas. | Nemaha. | Otero. |
| Audrain. | Dent. | Nuckolls. | Taos. |
| Barton. | Douglas. | Otoe. | Torre |
| Butes. | Franklin. | Pawnee. | 95 to 99 cents- |
| Caldwell. | Gasconade. | Phelps. | Bernalillo. |
| Cape Girardeau. | Iron. | Richardson. | Dona Ana. |
| Carroll. | Laclede. | Saline. | Luna. |
| Chass.ton. | Maries. | Sarpy. | McKinles. |
| Clark. | Miller. | Saunders. | Rio Arriba. |
| Clay. | Oregon. Osage. | Seward. | Sandoval. |
| Clinton. | Ozark. | Thayer. | Santa Fe . |
| Daviess. | Ripley. | Thomas. | Sierra. |
| Dunklin. | St. Louis. | Valley. | Socorro. |
| Gentry. | Taney. | Webster. | Valencia. |
| Grundy. | Washington <br> Wayne. | 55 York. 9 cents- | New York: |
| Harrison. | Webster. | Boxbutte. | 70 to 74 cents- |
| Holt. <br> Howard. | Wright. | Chase. | Allegany. |
| Jackson. | 70 to 74 cents- | Cheyenne. | Broome. |
| Knox. | Howell. | Dawes. | Cattaraugus. |
| Lewis. | Pulaski. | Dundy. | Chemung. |
| Linn. <br> Livingston. | Reynolds. | Furnas. | Cortland. |
| Macon. | Shannon. | Harlan. | Erie. |
| Marion. | Nebraskas. | Perkins. | Livingston. |
| Mercer. | Uebraska 45 to 49 cents | Redwillow: | Niagara. |
| Mississippi. Monroe | 45 to Antelope. | Sheridan. | Orleans. |
| New Madrid. | Blaine. | 60 to 64 cents- | Schuyler. |
| Nodaway. | Boone. | Kanner. | Steuben. |
| Pemiscot. | Boyd. | Kimottsbluff. | Tomplinins. |
| Pike. | Brown. | Scottsbluff. Sioux. | Wyoming. |
| Putnam. | Cedar. | New Hampshire : | 75 to 79 cents- |
| Ralls. | Colfax. | 75 to 79 cents- | Cayuga. |
| Randolph. | Cuming. | Hillsboro. | Chenango. |
| Ray. | Dakota. | Rockingham. | Delaware. |
| Schuyler. | Dixon. | 80 to 84 cents- | Franklin. |
| Scotland. | Dodge ${ }^{\text {Garfield. }}$ | Carroll. | Lewis. |
| Shelby. | Holt. | Cheshire. | Madison. |
| Sullivan. | Keyapaha. | Coos. | Monroe. |
| Vernon. | Knox. | Grafton. | Oneida. |
| Worth. | Loup. | Merrimack. | Onondaga. |
| 60 to 64 cents- | Madison. | Strafford. | Ontario. |
| Barry. | Nance. | Sullivan. | Orange. |
| Benton. | Pierce. | New Jersey : | Oswego. |
| Bollinger. | Platte. | 70 to 74 cents- | Rockland. |
| Boone. | Rock. | Burlington. | St. Lawrence. |
| Butler. | Stanton. | Camden. | Seneca. |
| Cedar. | Whurston. | Gloucester. | Walivan. |
| Christian. | Wayne. | Mercer. | Westchester. |
| Cooper. | Wheeler. | Middlesex. | Yates. |

Nem York-Contd.
80 to 84 cents-
Albany.
Clinton.
Columbia.
Dutchess.
Essex.
Fulton.
Greene.
Hamilton.
Herkimer.
Kings.
Montgomery.
Nassau.
Otsego.
Putnam.
Queens.
Rensselaer.
Richmond.
Saratoga.
Schenectady.
Schoharie.
Suffolk.
Clster.
Warren.
Washington,
North Carolina:
75 to 79 cents-
Alexander.
Buncombe.
Burke.
Caldwell.
Darie.
Harwood.
Iredell.
McDowell.
Madison,
Yadkin.
so to 84 cents Cherokee.
Clay.
Graham.
Henderson.
Jackson.
Macon.
Polk.
Rutherford.
Swain.
Transylvania.
85 to 89 cents-
Alamance.
Alleghany.
Ashe.
Arery.
Beauîort.
Bertie.
Cabarrus.
Camden.
Caswell.
Catamba.
Chatham.
Chowan.
Clereland.
Craren.
Currituck.
Dare.
Daridson.
Durham,
Edgecombe.
Forsyth.
Gaston.
Gates.
Grancille.
Greene.
Guilford.
Halifax
Hertford.
Hrde.
Lenoir.
Lincoln.
Martin.
Mecklenburg.
Mitchell.
Northampton.
Oranye.
Pamlico.
Pasquotank.
Perquimans.
Person.

North Carolina-Con. Ohio-Continued.
85 to 89 cents- 50 to 54 cents-
Pitt. Franklin.
Randolph.
Rockingham,
Rowan.
Stokes.
Surry.
Tyrrell.
rance.
Warren.
Tashington.
Watauga.
Wayne.
Wilkes.
Yancr.
90 to 94 cents-
Anson.
Carteret.
Cumberlana.
Duplin.
Franklin.
Harnett.
Hoke.
Johnston.
Jones.
Lee.
Montgomers.
Moore.
Nash.
Onslow.
Sampson.
stanly.
Union.
Wake.
Wilson.
95 to 99 cents-
Bladen.
Brunswick.
Columbus.
New Hanover.
Pender.
Richmond.
Robeson.
Scotland.
North Dakota:
50 to 54 cents-
Cass.
Ransom.
Richmond.
Sargent.
55 to 59 cents-
Barnes.
Burleigh.
Caralier.
Dicker.
Emmons.
Grand Forks.
Kidder.
Lamoure.
Logan.
McIntosh.
Nelson.
Pembina.
Ramser.
Steele.
Stutsman.
Towner.
Traill.
Walsh.
60 to 64 cents-
Adams.
Dunn.
Hettinger.
McLean.
Mercer.
Morton.
Oliver.
stark.
Ohio:
50 to 54 cents-
Allen.
Auglaize.
Champaign.
Clark.
Darke.
Deffance.
Delamare.
Fayette.

Fulton.
Greene.
Hancock.
Hardin.
Henry.
Logan.
Madison.
Marion.
Mercer.
Miami.
Paulding.
Preble.
Putnam.
Shelby.
Union.
Van Wert.
Williams.
Wrood.
Wrandot.
55 to 59 cents-
Ashland.
Butler.
Clinton.
Crawford.
Fairfield.
Highland.
Huron.
Knox.
Licking.
Lucas.
Montgomery.
Morrow.
Ottara.
Pickaway.
Pike.
Richland.
Ross.
Sandusky.
Senaca.
Warren.
60 to 64 cents-
Adams.
Brown.
Clermont.
Coshocton.
Erie.
Gallia.
Hamilton.
Hocking.
Holmes.
Jackson.
Lawrence.
Lorain.
Medina.
Muskingum.
Perry.
Scioto.
Vinton.
65 to 69 cents-
Ashtabula.
Athens.
Belmont.
Carroll.
Columbiana.
Cuyahoga.
Geauga.
Guernser.
Harrison.
Jefferson.
Lake.
Mahoning.
Meigs.
Monroe.
Morgan.
Noble.
Portage.
Stark.
Summit.
Trumbull.
Tuscarawas.
Washington.
Oklahoma: 55 to 59 centsBeckham.
Blaine.

Oklahoma-Contd.
55 to 59 cents-
Caddo.
Custer.
Derrer.
Ellis.
Roger Mills.
Washita.
60 to 64 cents-
Adair.
Alfalfa.
Bearer.
Canadian.
Cherokee.
Cimarron.
Clereland.
Comanche.
Cotton.
Craig.
Delaware.
Garfield.
Garrin.
Grady.
Grant.
Greer.
Harmon.
Harper.
Jackson.
Kar.
Kingfisher.
Kiowa.
Logan.
Mcclain.
Major.
Mayes.
Noble.
Nowata.
Oklahoma.
Osage.
Ottara.
Pawnee.
Rogers.
Stephens.
Texas.
Tillman.
Tulsa.
Wagoner.
Washington.
Woods.
Woodward.
65 to 69 cents-
Carter.
Creek.
Haskell.
Hughes.
Jefferson.
Lincoln.
McIntosh.
Murrar.
Muskogee.
Okfuskee.
Okmulgee.
Payne.
Pontotoc.
Pottawatomie.
Seminole.
Sequorah.
70 to 71 cents-
Atoka.
Bryan.
Choctar.
Coal.
Johnston.
Latimer.
LeFlore.
Lore.
Marshall.
Pittsburg.
75 to 79 cents-
McCurtain.
Pushmataha.
Oregon:
70 to if cents-
Clackamas.
Marion.
75 to 79 cents-
Douglas.
Jackson.
Jackson.

Oregon-Continued.
75 to 79 cents-
Lane.
Linn.
Fennsylvania :
65 to 69 cents-
Adams.
Berks.
Center.
Chester.
Clinton.
Columbia.
Crawford.
Cumberland.
Dauphin.
Erie.
Franklin.
Juniata.
Lancaster.
Lawrence.
Lebanon.
Lycoming.
Mercer.
Mifflin.
Montour.
Northumberland.
Perry.
Snyder.
Cnion.
Warren.
York.
70 to 74 cents-
Armstrong.
Beaver.
Bedford.
Blair.
Bradford.
Bucks.
Butler.
Clarion.
Delaware.
Elk.
Fulton.
Huntingdon.
Indiana.
Jefferson.
Lehigh.
McKean.
Montgomery.
Northampton.
Philadelphia.
Potter.
Somerset.
Susquehanna.
Tioga.
Venango.
Westmoreland.
75 to 79 cents-
Allegheny.
Cambria.
Cameron
Carbon.
Clearfield.
Fayette.
Greene.
Lackawanna.
Luzerne.
Monroe.
Pike.
Schuylkill.
Sullivan.
Washington.
Wayne.
Wyoming.
Rhode Island:
85 to 89 cents-
Briston.
Kent.
Newport.
Providence.
\$1 and over-
Washington.
South Carolina:
85 to 89 cents-
Calhoun.
Charleston.
Colleton.
Dorchester.
Greenville.

South Dakota-Con.
85 to 89 cents-
Lexington. Oconee.
Orangeburg.
Pickens.
Richland.
Spartanburg.
90 to 94 cents-
Abbeville.
Aiken.
Anderson.
Bamberg.
Barnwell.
Beaufort.
Berkeley.
Cherokee.
Chester.
Clarendon.
Edgefield.
Fairfield.
Greenwood.
Hampton.
Jasper.
Kershaw.
Lancaster.
Laurens.
Lee.
Newberry.
Saluda.
Sumter.
Union.
York.
95 to 99 centsChesterfield.
Darlington.
Dillon.
Florence.
Georgetown.
Horry.
Marion.
Marlboro.
Williamsburg.
South Dakota: 45 to 49 centsAurora.
Beadle.
Bon Homme.
Brookings.
Brule.
Charles Mix.
Clay.
Davison.
Deuel.
Douglas.
Grant.
Gregory.
Hanson.
Hutchinson.
Jerauld.
Kingsbury.
Lake.
Lincoln.
McCook.
Miner.
Minnehaha.
Moody.
Sanborn.
Tripp.
Turner.
Cnion.
Yankton.
50 to 54 centsBrown.
Buffalo.
Clark.
Codington.
Day.
Hamlin.
Hand.
Hyde.
Lyman.
Marshall.
Roberts.
Spink.
55 to 59 centsCampbell. Edmunds. Faulk.

South Dakota-Contd. Tennessee-Contd.
55 to 59 cents-
Hughes.
McPherson.
Potter.
Sully.
Walworth.
65 to 69 cents-
Butte.
Custer.
Fall River.
Harding.
Lawrence.
Meade.
Pennington.
Perkins.
Tennessee:
55 to 59 cents-
Dyer.
Lake.
60 to 64 cents-
Bedford.
Cannon.
Coffee.
Crockett.
Decatur.
Dekalb.
Franklin.
Gibson.
Giles.
Hardin.
Henry.
Hickman.
Humphreys.
Lauderdale.
Lincoln.
Marshall.
Maury.
Montgomery.
Moore.
Perry.
Rutherford.
Stewart.
Warren.
Weakley.
Williamson.
65 to 69 cents-
Benton.
Bledsoe.
Carroll.
Cheatham.
Chester.
Clay.
Daridson.
Dickson.
Greene.
Grundy.
Hamblen.
Hancock.
Hawkins.
Haywood.
Henderson.
Houston.
Jackson.
Jefferson.
Lawrence.
Lewis.
McNairy.
Macon.
Madison.
Marion.
Overton.
Pickett.
Putnam.
Robertson.
Sequatchie.
Smith.
Sumner.
Tipton.
Trousdale.
Van Buren.
Wayne.
White.
Wilson.
70 to 74 centsAnderson.
Blount.
Bradley.

70 to 74 cents-
Campbell.
Claiborne.
Cocke.
Cumberland.
Fayette.
Fentress.
Grainger.
Hamilton.
Hardeman.
James.
Knox.
Loudon.
McMinn.
Meigs.
Monroe.
Morgan.
Polk.
Rhea.
Roane.
Scott.
Sevier.
Shelby.
Sullivan.
Union.
Washington.
75 to 79 cents-
Carter.
Johnson.
Unicoi.
Texas:
55 to 59 cents-
Gray.
Hemphill.
Lipscomb.
Roberts.
Wheeler.
60 to 64 cents-
Armstrong.
Briscoe.
Childress.
Collingsworth.
Donley.
Hale.
Hall.
Swisher.
65 to 69 cents-
Cottle.
Crosby.
Floyd.
Foard.
Hardeman.
Motley.
Wichita.
Wilbarger.
70 to 74 cents-
Archer.
Atascosa.
Bandera.
Bastrop.
Baylor.
Bell.
Bexar.
Blanco.
Burnet.
Caldwell.
Clay.
Collin.
Colorado.
Comal.
Cooke.
Coryell.
Delta.
Denton.
De Witt.
Dickens.
Falls.
Fannin.
Fayette.
Gillespie.
Gonzales.
Grayson.
Guadalupe.
Hamilton.
Hays.
Hopkins.
Hunt.
Karnes.
d
$\qquad$
.
.
.




| Texas-Continued. | Texas-Continued. 75 to 79 cents | Virginia-Continued 70 to 74 cents- | Washington-Contd 70 to 74 cents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70 to 74 cents- |  | 70 to 74 cents- | 70 to 74 cents- |
| Kerr. | Victoria. | Matherws. | Walla Walla. |
| King. | Waller. | Middlesex. | Whitman. |
| Knox. | Whashington. | Northumberland. | to 79 cents- |
| Lampasas. | Wise. | Orange. | Adams. |
| Lavaca. | Wood. | Prince William. | Douglas. |
| Medina. | Young. | Rappahannock. | Grant. |
| Montague | Anderson. | Rockbridge. | Spokane. |
| Travis. | Angelina. | Rockingham. | Yakima. |
| Williamson. | ${ }_{\text {Borden }}$ Cheroke | Scott. ${ }_{\text {Shena }}$ | West Virgin |
| to 79 cen | Coke. | Spotsylvania. | Berkeley. |
| Aransas. | Coleman. | Stafford. | Cabell. |
| Austin. | Concho. | Warren. | Jefferson. |
| $\stackrel{\text { Bee. }}{\text { Bosque. }}$ | Hardin. | 75 to 79 cents- | Wayne. |
| Bowie. | Henderson. | Amelia. | to 74 cents- |
| Brazoria. | Houston. | Amherst. | Brooke. |
| Brazos. | Howard. | Appomattox. | Jackson. |
| Burleson. | Jefferson. | Campbell. | Marshall. |
| Calhoun. | Jones. | Charles City. | Ohio. |
| Callah | MeCu | Cumberland. |  |
| Cass. | Mitcheli. | Elizabeth City. | Wetzel. |
| Chambers. | Nacogdo | Gluvanna. | to 79 |
| Comanche | Nolan. | Hanover | Calhoun. |
| Fastland. | Orange. | Henri | Grant. |
| Fllis. | Polk | Louisa. | Hardy. |
| Fort Bend. | Sabine. | Nansemond. | Kana wha. |
| Franklin. | San Augustine. | Nelson. | Lincoln. |
| Freestone. | San Jacinto. | Nowfolk. | Mineral. |
| Goliad. | Shelby. | Powhatan. | Mingo. |
| Gregg. | Smith. | Prince Edward. | Morgan. |
| Grimes. | Tom Green | Warwick. | Ritchie. |
| Harrison. | Trinity. | York. | Roane. |
| Haskell. | Tyler. | Alleghany. | 80 to 84 cents |
| Hood. | Vermont : | Bath. | Barbour. |
| Jack. | to 79 cents- | Charlott | Braxton |
| Jim Wells. | Caledonia. | Craig. | Clay. |
| Tohnson. | Chittenden. | ${ }_{\text {Dinwiddie. }}$ | Dodiridge. |
| Kaufman. | Essex. | Franklin. | Gilmer. |
| Kleberg. | Grand Isi | Giles. | Greenbrier. |
| Lee. | Lamoille. | Greenesv | Lewis. |
| Leon. | Orleans. | Hen | McDowell. |
| Limestone. | Windsor. | Highland. | Mercer |
| Live Oak. | 0 to 84 cents- | Lunenburg. | Monongalia. |
| McLennan. | Rentland. | Mecklenburg. | Monroe. |
| Madison. | Washington. | Nottoway. | Pendleton. |
| Marion. | Virsiniad ${ }^{\text {Windem. }}$ | Pittsylvania. | Pocahontas. |
| Matagorda. | 60 to 64 cents- | ${ }_{\text {Prince }}$ Pulaski George. | Preston. |
| Mills. | Accomac. | Roanoke. | Randolph. |
| Montgomery. | Northampton. | Smyth, | Summers. |
| Navarro. | Clarke. | Surthampto | Tucker. |
| Nueces. | Fauquier. | Sussex. | Upshur. |
| Panola. | Frederick. | Washington. | Webster. <br> W yoming |
| Parker. | 70 to 74 cents- | 85 to 89 cents- | Wisconsin: |
| ${ }_{\text {Red River }}$ | Alexandria. | ${ }_{\text {Buand. }}$ Buanan. | 50 to 54 cents- |
| Refugio. | Augusta. | Carroll. | Eau Claire. |
| Robertson. | Bedford. | Dickenson. | Pepin. |
| Rockwall. | Botetourt. | Grayson. | Pierce. |
| San Patricio. | Culpeper. | Patrick. | ${ }_{55}$ Trempealeau. |
| San Saba. | Essex. | Tazewell. | Adams. |
| Shackelford. | Fairfax. | Wise. | Burnett. |
| Somervell. | Gloucester. | Washington: | Chippewa. |
| Stonewall. | King and Queen. | 0 to 74 cents- | Columbia. |
| Tarrant. | King George. | Columbia. | Dane. |
| Throckmorton. | King William. | Ferry | Dunn. |
| $\stackrel{\text { Titus. }}{\text { Upshur }}$ | Lee. | Garfield. | Grant. <br> Green. |

Wisconsin-Contd. 55 to 59 centsGreen Lake. Iowa. Jackson. Juneau. La Crosse. Lafayette. Marquette.
Monroe.
Polk.
Richland.
Rock.
Rusk. St. Croix.

Wiscoisin-Contd.
55 to 59 cents-
Sauk.
Vernon.
Walworth.
60 to 64 cents-
Barron.
Clark.
Dodge
Fond du Lac.
Jefferson.
Kenosha.
Milwaukee.
Outagamie.
Ozaukee.

Wisconsin-Contd.
60 to 64 Cents-
Portage.
Racine. Shawano.
Sheboygan.
Washburn.
Washington.
Waukesha.
Waupaca.
Waushara.
Winnebago.
Wood.
65 to 69 centsBrown.

Wisconsin-Contd.
65 to 69 cents-
Calumet.
Door.
Kewaunee.
Manitowoc.
Marinette.
Oconto.
70 to 74 cents-
Langdale. Marathon.
Wyoming:
70 to 74 centsCrook. Laramie.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ These figures (items 3 and 4) show the gross movement and do not take into account the intrastate corn shipments between surplus and deficiency sections of the same State.
    ${ }^{2}$ Data regarding farm consumption are based upon investigations made by N. C. Murray, assistant statistician, Bureau of Crop Estimates.
    ${ }^{3}$ Additional quantities marketed in cities are reshipped to farms, and included in consumption on farms (above).

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Five-year average 1882-1886 used, because of availability of statistics for a larger number of States beginning 1882 .
    2 Values reduced to gold basis.
    Prices are based upon shelled corn, at 56 pounds per bushel.

