

---

**The Facts On The Compilation Of The Bible  
From Authorised Vatican Sources! The Ultimate  
Answer For The Unbiased Enquiring Modern Mind!**

---

**CONTENTS**

**BRIEF BIOGRAPHY OF S. I. IBRĀHĪM**

**INTRODUCTION**

**'THE HOLY BIBLE'**

**ORIGINATION OF THE NAME 'CHRISTIAN'**

**BIRTH OF THE 'JEWISH NATION'**

**WHO WAS CONSTANTINE ?**

**JEWISH REJECTION OF THE 'TRINITY'**

**HISTORY OF THE 'VULGATE'**

**FIRST 'CATHOLIC BIBLE'**

**'TEXTUAL CRITICISM'**

**AUTHORS OF THE 'NEW TESTAMENT'**

**MISSING VERSES FROM THE N. W. T. BIBLE**

**WHO ARE THE 'AUTHORS OF THE BIBLE'?**

**ABSURDITIES AND CONTRADICTIONS IN THE**

**COMMENTS BY AUTHORS**

**URI AVNERY - 'MUHAMMAD'S SWORD'**

**REFORMATION- MARTIN LUTHER-LATIN**

**PHOTOCOPIES FROM ORIGINAL CATHOLIC**

**BIBLES AND BIBLE DICTIONARIES-**

**AUTHORISED**

**RESPONSE TO JAY SMITH**

A.Y. Moosa- 2008

**(PLEASE NOTE THAT THE PHOTOS REFERRED TO IN  
THE BOOK ARE NOT ON THIS FILE. THE FULL BOOK  
CAN BE DOWNLOADED FROM THE IPCI WEBSITE:  
WWW.AHMED-DEEDAT.CO.ZA)**

## **A Brief Biography of S. I. Ibrâhîm**

S. Ibrâhîm was born in Cape Town on the 28<sup>TH</sup> February 1938. He schooled at Scotcheskloof Primary School; Habibia Kokaney Educational Institute and Harold Cressey School. His late father, Mr. Ismail Ibrâhîm, sent him to do Islamic studies to four different Islamic Scholars daily, where he gained a good understanding of the Islamic basics. His teachers were: Professor Kajeesaheb, Professor Dardekar, Sheikh Achmad, and Moulana Mujibur-Rahman. Thereafter he permanently joined the family business of operating taxis and became a qualified motor mechanic. He continued his study of the Arabic Glorious Qur'ân to understand its message correctly. During his studies he gradually realised that the Arabic Glorious Qur'ân was the perfect message of the Creator to humanity, and consequently he initiated dialogues between Christian theologians, scholars, priests and missionaries of different Christian Denominations and himself. In those discussions he proved to them logically that their beliefs of Trinity and redemption in the blood of Jesus were contradictory from their own Scriptures. The consequence was that they refused to have further debates with him. He has a library of books that are decades old and includes a Bible printed in **1896**. A member of the Apostolic Church offered him R10, 000.00 (ten thousand rands) for it many years ago but he refused the offer knowing full well that their objective was to destroy it for the information it contains. There are some rare books in his collection!

**Sulaimân Ibrâhîm's reasons for writing this TREATISE**

He has only one aim in life, and that is to expose the truth of the Arabic Glorious Qur'ân as the Final Revelation to humankind from ALLÂH. His philosophy is simple:

1. Study the history of any subject, religious or secular before accepting or rejecting it.
2. In discussing any topic, produce evidence and ask for evidence; not to make statements nor accept any statements without verifiable support.

After a number of years, on the 22 October 2007, a debate took place between Mr. Alan Profitt (*Christian missionary and Lecturer at a Bible College in Cape Town*) and Sulaimân Ibrâhîm. In this debate Mr. Profitt was assisted by two other Protestant missionaries- Mr. David Foster (*from Durban*) and Mr. David Norton (*from Cape Town*).

Sulaimân Ibrâhîm proved successfully the fact that the Bible is not the word of God with solid evidence from Vatican sources<sup>1</sup>, and consequently the Christian beliefs in trinity and redemption through the blood of Jesus are untrue.

## INTRODUCTION

Throughout history the Creator has sent Messengers (peace and blessings of ALLÂH be upon them all) to humankind in order to convey HIS Message. (*ALLÂH is the proper name of the Creator. A careful research will reveal that the word 'God' is a pagan term*). The essence of these messages contained Truth and was consistent although it was upgraded all the time. Unfortunately, human beings acted negligently and did not preserve the Divine Messages as they were revealed. History bears testimony that human beings were responsible for the distortion and destruction of parts of the Message. Thereafter human beings set about reconstructing

---

1 : Sulaimân Ibrâhîm wants to state emphatically at the outset, that he is not condemning any person, religious sect or organization, but is stating facts from genuine Christian sources. I.E: the Vatican Church, Protestant and New Apostolic Church documents. If any person takes offence at the facts presented, then they should approach the Catholic and Protestant hierarchy.

the Message according to their own understanding of what they thought they remembered of the revelation.

In spite of this feeble attempt by human beings to recreate Creator's Message – the Creator in His wisdom continued to send Messengers in order to propagate the Truth consistently until His Message was completed and the way of life as He prescribed for humankind was finalised for their guidance. That final completed message from the Creator which cannot be changed, distorted or destroyed is the Glorious Arabic Qur'ân, which is existence for more than 1,425 years.

All the arguments concerning the Bible emanate from the following verse of the **Arabic Glorious Qur'an** which requests Muslims to inform non-Muslims to come to *sawâ-in* (*equitable*) terms under the following command:

**Chapter 3, Verse 64:** "Say: O People of the Book, come to *sawâ-in* (i.e. an *equitable*<sup>2</sup>, or a *just*<sup>3</sup>, or *right*<sup>4</sup>, or *proposition*<sup>5</sup>) word between us and you, that we shall serve none but Allâh and *that we shall not associate aught with Him*, and *that some of us shall not take others for lords besides Allâh*. But if they turn away, then say: Bear witness, we are Muslims. (64<sup>a</sup>)

**64a:** These are the very words occurring in a letter which the Prophet wrote to Heraclius in the year 6 A.H. (*After Hijrah- refers to the Islamic Calendar, which began after the emigration of the prophet to Madinah from Makkah*). Similar letters were written to several other potentates<sup>6</sup> among who was Muqauqis, the king of Egypt. In this verse the Jews and

**2** : That is: *Law* valid in equity as distinct from law. (Equity here means: the application of the principles of justice to correct or supplement the law.

**3** : That is: according to true accepted principles.

**4** : That is: justifiable, true or correct as a fact.

**5** : That is: a matter to be dealt with that makes sense.

**6** : That is: Rulers or Monarchs.

the Christians are called upon to accept the broad principles of the faith<sup>7</sup> of nabî Ibrâhîm, which are the basic principles of Islâm. The reference in the sentence *some of us shall not take others for lords* refers to the practice prevailing now, both among Jews and Christians, and at present mainly among the Christians. (*Today, a small number of Muslims take various religious leaders (pîrs) as invested with basic doctrine*).

Truth can be understood by human beings through the use of the faculties of reason in pursuit of acquiring knowledge. **Knowledge of the Truth is the ultimate purpose for human existence.** Knowledge of the Truth is not the exclusive right of any single human being. Therefore, all religious Scriptures which lay claim to be of Divine origin have to be critically examined regarding its history, authenticity, name and contents, as they exist today. One could only determine what Divine Guidance ought to be for humankind, if this information is contained in an unambiguous book, which conforms to the Laws of God, nature and existence. The **Arabic Glorious Qur'ân** preaches Unity of the Almighty Allâh as its most important doctrine and rejects all additions which are hereunder classified as three:

**1:** Worshipping others than Divine Being, which are more clearly enunciated in **Q: 9:31: "They take their doctors of law and their monks for lords besides ALLÂH"**. The verse under discussion lays down the basis of the study which, if done on the basis of truth, then all people will come to the same conclusion. Anyone who studies Islâmic literature will find that the basic doctrines of Islâm are based on truth

<sup>7</sup> : Please note that the word *faith* must not be seen in the light of the common English meaning which includes blind faith *without proof*; what is meant here is that after one has **investigated and established** the truth about the message of Prophet Abraham (Ibrâhîm), only then one should follow it.

which cannot be disputed. The doctrine of Unity as taught by Islâm affords an illustration. All higher religions should be based on the principles of Divine Unity which ought to have been common to all. However, all other religious systems have their own peculiarities unknown to Islâm. Islâm alone is free from all innovations, inventions and additions to the unity of ALLÂH. All prayers by Muslims are addressed directly to ALLÂH and to no-one else. There is no such a thing as an intermediary to ALLÂH in Islâm!

**2:** Associating anything with Him which consists in ascribing Divine attributes to others. This sin is never forgiven as stated in the Arabic Glorious Qur'ân in Chapter 4 verse 48.

**3:** Taking others as Lords besides ALLÂH which consists in rendering to others the perfect obedience which is due to Allâh alone. There are no idols, no human gods, nor any incarnations of the Divine Being, no sons of God, and finally no *pîrs* (spiritual guides) priests, padres and popes to be followed blindly. The appeal made in the above verse clearly directs one **not to associate any partners with ALLÂH**. The reason ought to be clear as two or three Creators cannot function as ONE, because then Unity cannot prevail. If it was possible for two or three Creators to exist alongside each other, then there would have been the Christian theory of Trinity!

A clear-cut point has great conflict in creation. No rational person can understand has been made by a learned Christian, Alan Profitt, when he referred to Matthew 4: 1-11 and said: “... **basically for me as a Christian I struggled to see even why Jesus was tempted – but is tempted ...**”<sup>8</sup> this brings home the

---

**g :** This was said on the 22th of August 2007, we have the DVD of the debate available. (Before Pastor Estes from the USA reverted to Islam, he says: ‘ I challenged a Muslim to prove logically the existence of God, as I, a Christian Pastor could not do so’! From this one can deduce

absurdity of the Biblical teaching when a human being is given the status of the Divine Being. When the various scenarios concerning the Trinity are analyzed then one will be able to understand the absurdity of such irrational pagan theories! Therefore, the above Qur'ânic verse **orders** us to rationally analyse the various false theories in order to move towards the truth about the nature which human beings are capable of understanding the existence of the **ONLY CREATOR!** When the command of the above Qur'ânic verse has been complied with, then the consequence is that it forces one to analyze the following verses. **Chapter 3: Verse 78.**

“And there is certainly a party<sup>9</sup> of them who lie about the Book<sup>a</sup> that you may consider it to be (a part)<sup>10</sup> of the Book **while it is not (a part) of the Book**; and they say, It is from ALLÂH, while it is not from ALLÂH; and they forge a lie against ALLÂH whilst they know”. (*Al-Qur'ân 3: 78*)

---

*that the Christian faith is based on blind faith! Islamic faith is based on the law of the Qur'ân, which demands investigation and understanding before stating that one believes. And this belief must be able to be proven logically.*

**9** : During the period of the revelation of the Arabic Glorious Qur'ân there was no written Bible in existence. It appears from history that one would not be able to pin point who the actual destroyers of the Scriptures were that was brought by Prophet (nabi) Mûsâ and the prophets that followed him; the Scripture brought by nabi 'Îsâ seems to have been destroyed during the period when the Greek mythology and Roman paganism were strong ideologies, but it seems that the main re-construction of the new Christian ideology happened during (320's C.E.) Constantine's reign. The words 'a party' refers to a part of the People of the Book who are known today as the Jews and Christians who re-constructed the Bible to make it appear to be similar to what is contained in the **Arabic Glorious Qur'ân**, although there are many great distinct differences between them.

**10** : The reason for inserting the words 'a part' is because all the different messengers each received the same Divine Message right from the beginning, but the Jews and Christians re-produced by inventing their own which may appear very similar to that which is contained in the **Arabic Glorious Qur'ân**, but it is not the same, as during the period from the beginning of the tenth century the new version began to take a new form which was only completed in the years **1740-1741. (1,740 years after Jesus)**

Meaning: 78a. *Lawwâ lisâna-hû bi-kadhâ*, lit., *he twisted the tongue with a thing implies he lied about and forged a narration* (*Al-Mufradât fî Gharîb al-Qur'ân* (Dictionary of Qur'ân), by Shaikh Abu-l-Qâsim Al-Husain al-Râghib al-Isfahânî). And *alwâ hi-l-kalâm* means *he turned it* (i.e. *a speech or saying*) *away from its drift or altered or changed it altogether* (*Lisân al-'Arab* (Dictionary), by 'Allâmah Abu-l-Faḍl Jamâl al-Dîn Muḥammad ibn Mukarram, *Tâj al-'Arûs* (Dictionary), by Imâm Muḥibb al-Dîn Abu-l-Faiḍ Murtaḍâ). *Al-Tafsîr al-Kabîr* (Commentary), by Imâm Fakhr al-Dîn Râzî quotes the following comment on the words of this verse from Ibn 'Abbâs: ***What is implied is the reading of the false book.*** And he says that in Arabic you use different words for an action according to the good or evil of it is to be expressed, and then cites 2:79, which shows that the book which they read was not the book revealed by ALLÂH, but one which they had written with their own hands. The concluding words of this verse also point to the same conclusion: ***"They forge a lie against ALLÂH"***. When the analysis of the accusation in chapter 3 verse 78 is fully realized as a result of research, then the truth should unfold. Let us investigate. What is the truth?

**The Catholic Church states:**

---

**"Bible, Manuscripts of the.** Copies of the Biblical text, written by hand. The text of the Bible has been handed down to us through handwritten and printed copies of the original writings and through translations into various ancient and modern languages. **None of the original manuscripts written by the inspired authors themselves (autographs) is known to exist, but there are many ancient copies of the originals.**" <sup>11</sup>

<sup>11</sup> : J. P. O'Connell, et al. The Holy Family Bible Holy Family Edition of the Catholic Bible, from a Practical Dictionary of Biblical and General Catholic Information, Virtue and Company Limited: London, 1959, p. 30.

**However, the Protestants kill TWO BIRDS with one bullet:**

---

**“Since no autograph of any book of the Bible has survived,** textual criticism plays an important part in Bible study. The material on which *textual critics of the Bible work* includes not only manuscript copies of the books of the Bible in their original languages<sup>12</sup> but also ancient translations into other languages and quotations of biblical passages by ancient authors” [our emphases].<sup>13</sup>

Let us analyze the above statement made by the Protestants in their official New Bible Dictionary, 1978, page 151.

Ø: The first sentence makes an unequivocal bold statement **“that no autograph of any book has survived”**. This means without doubt that there are no original books of the Bible.

Ø: They claim that **“textual criticism plays an important part in Bible study”**. Suffice to say here that the books of the Bible were compiled by conjecture. ‘Textual criticism’ is addressed in detail further on.

Ø: The Protestants claim that the ‘manuscripts are in their original languages’. This statement is completely false and should be rejected with contempt. Suffice to say at this point that the manuscripts that are in possession of the (Catholic) church are in Greek and Latin. The question that needs a truthful answer is: Was Jesus a Greek to have spoken the Greek language; and was Jesus a Roman to have spoken the Latin language? Or was Jesus an Israelite prophet who spoke the language of his own people? The answer is obvious!

Ø: We have addressed this language

---

<sup>12</sup> : This is a deliberate lie as no originals are in existence, and even the language that Jesus spoke is unknown. Refer to the photocopy of the Catholic Bible which states these facts further on.

<sup>13</sup> : New Bible Dictionary, 1978, p. 151.

issue in detail further on as well.

The above important information reveals firstly that the words of chapter 3 verse 78 are the absolute truth about all the books of the Bible<sup>14</sup>! Secondly, it reveals that after the revelation of the **Arabic Glorious Qur'ân**, another **reconstruction** must have taken place which altered their "books" which was followed either in verbal form or in "written form". The important point to understand is the fact that no Bible contains divine words and the evidence submitted by the Protestants clearly reveals that the *Textual Critics* are the ones who **RECONSTRUCTED** the Bible! This truth which the main Christian groups have submitted, must be used in order to understand the following claim of the **Arabic Glorious Qur'ân**.

*Subsequently we are told in chapter 5, verse 68 of the Arabic Glorious Qur'ân the following:*

**Verse 68:** "Say: O People of the Book, *las-tum 'alâ shay-'in* (i.e. you have nothing of [guidance]) till you observe **the Taurât and the Injîl** and that which is revealed to you (meaning the Qur'ân) from your *Rabb*<sup>15</sup>. And surely that which has been revealed to you from your *Rabb* will make many of them increase in inordinacy and

---

14 : There may have been some oral knowledge of the fact that Prophet Îsâ had preached a message, but that there was no New Testament Bible in written form anywhere. In the 15<sup>th</sup> century the reformers translated the Latin Bible into German and other languages. We hold the view that only after the pagans were exposed to the knowledge of the Arabic Glorious Qur'ân did they put their Bible into written form by plagiarizing from the Qur'ân, but by not understanding the rules governing the two types of verses mentioned in the Qur'ân, they translated the words literally and copied the verses. (Qur'ân chap 3 v 7) This was done 800 to 900 years after the revelation of the Arabic Glorious Qur'ân.

15: It means: 'The One Who nurtures a thing in such a manner as to make it attain one condition after another until its goal of completion' Mufradât alfâz al-Qur'ân by ar-Râghib al-Îsfahâni.

disbelief; so grieve not for the disbelieving people.” The first point which one has to understand is the truth of the Qur’ânic statements which are supported by Biblical history! Hence, the rhetorical request is to bring the truth to them. They cannot refer to both books mentioned in the verse (i.e. the *Taurât* and the *Injîl*), as they are not in existence. Hence, all the *People of the Book* (and specifically here the *People of the Book* means the Jews and Christians) have only one alternative and that is to follow the guidance of Al-Qur’ân, which contains everything that is needed today! Let us go on to quote another part of chapter 5:

**Verse 44:** “Surely We revealed the *Taurât*, having guidance and light. By it did the prophets who submitted themselves (to ALLÂH) judge those who became Jews, and the rabbis and the doctors of law, because they were required to guard the Book of ALLÂH and they were witnesses thereof. So fear not the people and fear Me, and take not a small price for My messages. And whoever judges not by what Allâh has revealed, those are the wrongdoers.

**Verse 45:** “And We prescribed to them in it that life is for life, and eye for eye, and nose for nose, and ear for ear, and tooth for tooth, and for wounds retaliation. But whoso forgoes it, it shall be expiation for him. And whoever judges not by what Allâh has revealed those are the wrongdoers.

**Verse 46:** And We sent after them in their footsteps ‘Îsâ, son of *Maryam*, verifying that which was before him of the *Taurât*; and We gave him the *Injîl* containing guidance and light, and verifying that which was before it of the *Taurât*, and a guidance and an admonition for the dutiful.

**Verse 47:** And let the People of the *Injîl* judge by that which ALLÂH has revealed in it. And whoever judges not

by what ALLÂH has revealed those are the transgressors.

Verse 48: And We have revealed to thee the Book with the truth, verifying that which is before it of the Book and a guardian over it, so judge between them by what ALLÂH has revealed, and follow not their low desires, (turning away) from the truth that has come to thee. For every-one of you We appointed a law and a way. And if ALLÂH had pleased He would have made you a single people, but that He might try you in what He gave you. So vie one with another in virtuous deeds. To ALLÂH you will all return, He will inform you of that wherein you differed;

Verse 49: And that thou shouldst judge between them by what ALLÂH has revealed, and follow not their low desires, and be cautious of them lest they seduce thee from part of what ALLÂH has revealed to thee. Then if they turn away, know that ALLÂH desires to afflict them for some of their sins. And surely many of the people are transgressors.

Verse 50: Is it then the judgment of ignorance that they desire? And who is better than ALLÂH to judge for a people who are sure?"

The abovementioned verses contain no information which could be construed to mean that there was an authentic divine Bible during the time of the revelation of the **Arabic Glorious Qur'ân**, as it simply does not refer to a Bible, nor can history support that there was a Bible in existence. The only point made clear is the fact that there was revelation from Allâh to the prophets of the Children of Isrâ'îl, which is lost forever – however if they desire salvation then they have to accept and follow the Final Revelation, which is Arabic Glorious Al-Qur'ân!

*This book is a gift to:* \_\_\_\_\_

*Given by:* \_\_\_\_\_

*Date:* \_\_\_\_\_

Permission is granted to any person or organization who may wish to print this book without any changes; either for free distribution or for sale. No royalties or any other payment is required. For pdf file contact: Imraan Adam. (Dpb Printers - Cape Town)  
E-mail: [info@islamictextbooks.com](mailto:info@islamictextbooks.com)

---

**ISEEKTHEASSISTANCEOFALLÂH<sup>16</sup>,THEBENEFICENT  
CREATOR THE MERCIFUL BOUNTY GIVER.**

---

**"THEN WOE TO THOSE WHO WRITE THE BOOK WITH  
THEIR OWN HANDS, AND THEN SAY: "THIS IS FROM  
ALLÂH," TO TRAFFIC WITH IT FOR A MISERABLE  
PRICE!"... AL-QUR'ÂN CHAPTER 2: VERSE 79**

**The Prophet Muhammad (pbuh) is reported to have said:**

**"Most Certainly, Knowledge Is The Cure For Ignorance"!**

**{Hadîth}**

---

**16** : The name Allâh is not a combination of two words as some ignorant people think: "al-Ilah or Allâh, lit. 'The God' - see Islamic Sciences by S. Waqae Ahmed Husaini 2002 Good-word Books page 1. The translation does not convey the accurate meaning.

## INTRODUCTION

---

### ORIGIN OF THE BIBLE, (OLD AND NEW TESTAMENTS) THROUGH HISTORICAL EVIDENCE FROM THE VATICAN CHURCH AND OTHER VERIFIED SOURCES.

---

Muslims believe<sup>17</sup> that the Arabic<sup>18</sup> Glorious Qur'ân is the Original verbatim words of ALLÂH revealed to the Prophet Muḥammad (pbuh) by ALLÂH more than 1,425 years ago. In the Arabic Glorious Qur'ân ALLÂH has promised: **“Surely We (Allâh) have revealed the Reminder (al-Qur'ân) and surely We (Allâh) are its Guardian.”**<sup>19</sup>

---

17 : Belief for a Muslim is not the blind belief that Christians have. Muslims' belief is through investigation and understanding as the Qur'ân demands this.

18 : “This is Arabic language, pure and clear” (Al-Qur'ân 16: 103) “Indeed, there has come to you from Allâh, a Light and a clear Book.” (Al-Qur'ân 5:15) “These are the verses of revelation, of a Qur'ân that makes things clear.” (Al-Qur'ân 15:1) “Therein (in the Qur'ân) is made clear every affair full of wisdom.” (Al-Qur'ân 44:4) “These are the verses of the Book that makes (things) clear.” (Al-Qur'ân 26:2) “We have made the Qur'ân easy in thy tongue (Arabic) that they may be mindful.” (Al-Qur'ân 44:58) “And certainly We have made the Qur'ân easy to remember, but is there any one who will mind?” (Al-Qur'ân 44:59) It is IMPORTANT to understand that every word of the Arabic language has a number of meanings. *Even the Arabic letter 'waw' - a one letter word- which is commonly translated as 'and' has many meanings. Some meanings are: 'together with', 'by' 'and' ... Refer to Lane's Lexicon for additional meanings. Another point to note is that every language has some Arabic words in them, and that is why Arabic is the Mother of all Languages.*

19 : Al-Qur'ân 15:9. *This verse should always be kept in mind, as it makes it clear that Allah is the Guardian of the Glorious Arabic Qur'ân.* Fact- that if all the written copies of the Glorious Arabic Qur'ân were to be destroyed from the face of this earth, yet the Qur'ân will be preserved by memorization in the minds of people as is the case since it was revealed to the Prophet. There have been thousands that have memorized the Qur'ân during the life time of the Prophet, and since then (1,425 years ago) till today it is memorized in Arabic by millions around the world of every nationality. An example is of **Imâm Abdullah ibn Kadî 'Abdus-Salaam** popularly known as TUAN GURU, a prince from TIDORE- TRINATE ISLANDS, Indonesia- who was brought to South Africa by the Dutch pagans as a prisoner on the 6<sup>th</sup> April 1780. The Dutch banned every religion except Christianity. He was a Hafiz

**Hostile critics of Islam like Sir. William Muir have stated unequivocally already in the 1850's that the Arabic Qur'ân is preserved authentically as it was revealed to Prophet Muhammad in the 7<sup>th</sup> century C.E!** Also, the many challenges contained in the Arabic Glorious Qur'ân to disprove its claim of being a divinely revealed Book have never been met by friend or foe alike! The challenges are here yet even today, and anyone who wishes to disprove the Arabic Glorious Qur'ân can yet do so in this day and age with the best of technologies.

*A man-made fabricated book cannot be based on the understanding that the author has absolute knowledge of every subject - whereas The Almighty Allâh alone has absolute knowledge of every subject and everything created, whether it is known or unknown to human beings.*

Therefore, we have in the Arabic Glorious Qur'ân a *challenge* to 'produce a chapter like it'; as it states in **chapter 2: verse 23:<sup>20</sup>** [see also: 10-38 / 11-13 / 17/88]

**"And if you are in doubt as to that which We have revealed to Our servant, then produce a chapter like it and call on your helpers, besides Allâh if you are truthful."**

The reason no one is capable of producing even one chapter<sup>21</sup> comparable to that of the Arabic Glorious Qur'ân is that its contents are based on the *absolute knowledge* of everything. A simple demonstration will reveal this fact: In every country governments are continually changing legislation in order to eliminate discrepancies or to improve it. Even the evolution of modern science has led to many "new discoveries"

---

of the Qur'ân, and from memory he taught others and wrote the entire Qur'ân. The Arabic Qur'ân written by him from memory is preserved in Cape Town.

<sup>20</sup> : See also 10:38; 11:13 and 17:88.

<sup>21</sup> : Chapters 103 & 108 have only 3 verses.

by human beings, yet at some stage they must admit that certain of their initial findings were wrong or incomplete. However, many of the true “discoveries” are not new to the ‘*guidance*’ of the Arabic Glorious Qur’ân’s contents. This will only become known if a study is made of the Arabic Glorious Qur’ân’s contents and from the few examples arising out of the Arabic Glorious Qur’ân, which we will be quoting below. However, in order to protect the Arabic Glorious Qur’ân from untruths, there exists in it *stringent criteria* for distinguishing truth from untruths. *Therefore, it is important to realise the significance of an Arabic Qur’ân and to appreciate that its own unique and distinct method of interpretation as defined in it.*

### **Divine Nature of the Arabic Glorious Qur’ân**

**The Arabic Glorious Qur’ân contains information which is far beyond the knowledge of humankind. It also reveals the unknown.** There are hundreds of examples of this in the Arabic Glorious Qur’ân; however here we shall only present just a few:

**1. “Glory be to Him Who created all the pairs, of that which the earth grows, and that of themselves, and of that which they know not”. *Arabic Glorious Qur’ân. Chapter: 36.v36.***

Could anyone have known that the plant life had male and female species 1,400 years ago? This was discovered only in the 20<sup>th</sup> century using sophisticated equipment by scientists. This information was revealed 1,400 years ago by the One who created the plant life and everything that exists!

**2. “But this day We shall save thee (Pharaoh) in thy body that thou mayest be a sign to those after thee. And**

surely most of the people are heedless of Our signs.”<sup>22</sup>  
This statement refers to the preserved body of Pharaoh (Rameses ii)<sup>23</sup> who ruled during the time of *nabi*<sup>24</sup> *Mûsâ* (pbuh) *whose body was discovered some twelve hundred years after the Arabic Glorious Qur’ân was revealed among the mummies in Egypt.*<sup>25</sup> *Human beings can uncover the unknown only if they start from that which is known.*

**Before continuing it is important to make the following clear:**

It should be known that all spoken Arabic, English or any other languages’ *commentaries of the Arabic Glorious Qur’ân are not the undisputed true meaning of it.* For example, the interpretations by Abdulla Yusuf Ali, Marmaduke Pickthall, Zamakhshari, Tabari, Baiḍâwî etc., *are in accordance with their knowledge and understanding of it in their time.* The interpretations or translations are the opinions of the interpreters or the translators; - **the Arabic Glorious Qur’ân remains the Qur’ân in its original Arabic revealed form. The original ARABIC Qur’ân is available EXACTLY as revealed. Muslims and non-Muslims can verify word for word any copy that is printed with the original Qur’ân. NO OTHER REVEALED SCRIPTURE CAN BOAST OF THIS FACT. Unesco, a body of the United Nations, [a secular Organisation] has verified the authenticity of the Qur’ân that**

---

22 : Arabic Glorious Qur’ân chapter 10: verse 92.

23 : Encyclopaedia Britannica, 9th edition volume xvii, page 22, under the heading “Mummy”. The Bible claims that Pharaoh’s body was not preserved as ‘*there remained not so much as one of them*’ (King James Version. Exodus 14:28).

24 : The term *nabi* means prophet and *Mûsâ* is known also by the Jews and Christians as Moses.

25 : Please note - it does not matter if a new discovery reveals that the Pharaoh of Moses was only now discovered, as some are now claiming- the fact is that Pharaoh’s body is preserved and not as the KJV Bible contradicts in Exodus 14:28.

it is unchanged, and that it is 1,400 years old by conducting scientific tests on the material it is written on. UNESCO's certificates stating this fact are displayed next to each Qur'ân. *(There are two Qur'âns in the world today dating back 1,400 years. One is in the Topkapi Museum in Turkey, and the other in Tashkent, in Uzbekistan. Both are identical in every letter and word. Read Mr. Ian Mc Millan's article on the Qur'ân in Tashkent further on).*

### **Investigation of the Bible's History**

The above verses of the Arabic Glorious Qur'ân made it obligatory on Sulaimân Ibrâhîm who has studied the Qur'ân and the Bible over the last *five decades* to undertake a detailed study of the compilation of the Bible (OT & NT). His discoveries are *summarized* in this book to determine the legitimacy of the Christians claims that the Bible is the Word of God in the light of the Arabic Glorious Qur'ânic statement that the Bible is a forged book written by the hands of men! In hundreds of discussions that Sulaimân Ibrâhîm<sup>26</sup> has had over the last *five decades* with Christian Theologians, Academics, Missionaries<sup>27</sup> and the laity, the most striking feature that he has noticed is:

---

**26** : *The duty of conveying the message of Islam is a duty upon all Muslims as Allâh warns in the Arabic Glorious Qur'ân: "Say-If it be that your fathers, your sons, your brothers, your mates, or your kindred; the wealth that you have gained (and amassed); the commerce in which you fear a decline; or your dwellings in which you take delight are dearer to you than Allâh or His messenger, or the striving in His cause; then wait until Allâh brings about His decision; and Allâh guides not the rebellious". (Q. Chap 9 v. 24)*

**27** : As stated earlier this encounter was with three Christian Missionaries {1. -Mr. Alan Profit, (Missionary and Lecturer at a Bible College in Cape Town) -2. Mr. David Foster, (Durban) and -3. Mr. David Norton (Cape Town)} on the 22<sup>nd</sup> August 2007. In this debate Sulaimân Ibrâhîm comprehensively shattered their arguments on the authenticity of the Bible as well as of the Trinity. The DVD is available from Sulaimân Ibrâhîm or Ahmed Moosa at a nominal cost. Contact either: Sulaimân Ibrâhîm: email: [sulaimane@yahoo.com](mailto:sulaimane@yahoo.com). / Ahmed Moosa: email: [aymoosa4954@yahoo.com](mailto:aymoosa4954@yahoo.com).

**‘A person can be very well qualified academically in most fields of research, but if Christianity captures and enslaves one’s mind, then one loses direction of the path to truth’.**<sup>28</sup>

There have been persistent claims by the common followers of Christianity for centuries that the Bible is the word of God, *although the impartial learned Scholars of Christianity themselves have never made such rash claims.* This wrong belief of the Bible by the masses can be attributed to two reasons (a) they have never read the entire Bible themselves (*the most likely reason*) and (b) that their system of deriving the truth is not part of their method to investigate the history of the Bible, and so the Pastors and Priests indoctrinate the gullible with their own interpretations and opinions, which they accept blindly.

**“The truth that makes men free is for the most part the truth which men prefer not to hear.” -- Herbert Sebastien Agar - (1897-1980) Source: *The Time for Greatness, 1942***

Anyone who is interested in the **truth**<sup>29</sup> is encouraged to read this book and to follow up on all given references. Authentic verifiable references are given so that the claim that the Bible is the word of God or not can be clearly understood by the readers. This brief exposition is to uncover

---

**28** : Quotation: “When a well-packaged web of lies has been sold gradually to the masses over generations, the truth will seem utterly preposterous and its speaker a raving lunatic.”  
~ James Dresden.

**29** : Sulaimân Ibrâhîm has met dozens of Pastors, and preachers of various denominations who will without hesitation forge lies against Islam and Muslims. It seems that falsehood and deceit are part of their stock in trade. One of the latest liars in bamboozling Christians is a person who claims to have been a Muslim by the name of Mark A. Gabriel, has written a book titled “Jesus and Muhammad “ in 1984. On pages 226/7 of his book, he writes brief biographies of the Gospel writers and portrays the view that the Gospels they wrote were in the language that “Jesus” spoke as well as the view that they had met “Jesus” when he knows very well that both suggestions are not true. This is another phenomenon of the enslavement of the Christian minds in believing ‘redemption in the crucifixion’. The bottom line is that he is making money with these lies.

the facts of how the Bible was compiled by the Church.

This book is certainly not for dogmatic Christians who say: *“My mind is made up, don't confuse me with the facts.”* If closed This book is for those who appreciate truth being revealed and *then to follow* the path of truth and guidance of the **Arabic Glorious Qur'ân.**

### **No Original Sources Exist Of Any Version of the Bibles**

As we go along with our analysis, it will become clear as to why we make this statement. What the reader should know is that in an exhaustive research of the Biblical history made us to discover that **no original sources exist of any version of the Bibles** - starting from the oldest information of Biblical history right up to the year 916 C.E. (Christian era) when the Massoretic text of the Hebrew<sup>30</sup> Bible appeared. *This fact that no original sources exist of any version of the Bibles is irrefutable!*

One of the important sources from which the origin of Christianity becomes obvious, is the book of the renowned scholar, *Dr Miranda Green who traces many of the Christian beliefs from 'The Sun-Gods of Ancient Europe' which is also the name of her most important and informative book*<sup>31</sup>.

### **Religion in Peoples Lives**

Most people follow their parents blindly without any investigation of what they are taught to believe as the truth. Majority of them think it must be the truth because their parents followed it. We call it an 'accident of birth'<sup>32</sup>.

**30** : The word 'Hebrew' is a Greek word. Refer to the Oxford dictionary.

**31** : If one wants to know the truth about Christianity then her book is a must to read! Other recommended books to read: (1) renowned author, Karen Armstrong **'The Bible' 'The Biography'** printed in 2007, by Atlantic Books. (2) Enver Masud - **'The War on Islam'**; printed by Wisdom Fund, USA. (email- wisdom@twf.org).

**32** : Later in life some seek for the truth by studying various religious scriptures. And not unexpectedly many of them accept Islam after studying the Qur'ân.

Contrary to Jewish and Christian dogma, Muslims deem that it is only when an understanding has been developed that human beings can be in a position to discover truth that will instill the confidence and trust in a person in order for them to have genuine faith! To first understand before believing is an order of the Qur'ân.

### The Truth Must Be Revealed

We must **emphasize** that our intention is not to offend or cause hurt to anyone when we reveal the truth. Nevertheless, TRUTH must be uncovered. Therefore we request that when we state that the Biblical teachings are in fact paganism, please do not take offence, but rather examine the facts presented. **We shall present crystal clear evidence from authentic Christian and Jewish sources to prove our arguments, as well as photocopies from where the evidence was gathered from!** Any person who will refuse to accept the facts presented will fall into the category of those mentioned in the Arabic Glorious Qur'ân in:

*Chapter 2 v 16: "These are they who purchase error at the price of guidance..."; and also in Chapter 2 v 18: "Deaf, dumb and blind; and they return not:".*

From the contents of Chapter 2 verse 79 of the Arabic Glorious Qur'ân<sup>33</sup> referred to above, **all religious books** are included. For example, the Bhagavad-Gita, Rigved, the Message of Buddha, the Old Testament, and the New Testament are all included, *as men wrote them long after the departure of the prophets (p.b.u.them) who brought the original message of God in their respective languages to their peoples.*

---

<sup>33</sup> : "Truth has come and falsehood vanished. Surely falsehood is ever bound to vanish. And We reveal of the Qur'ân that which is a healing and a mercy to the believers, and it adds only to the perdition of the wrongdoers." *Al-Qur'ân 17: 81/82.*

## THE FACULTY OF REASON AND ITS NATURE

It is important that we have a cursory glance at the objectives of human reasoning. *Reason is the main distinction between human beings and the rest of creation.* Reason is the tool which humankind uses, either constructively or destructively to make sense of God's creation. But what is meant by creation? Creation functions in accordance with the Divine Will of God. The scientific laws, sociological life patterns and environmental occurrences are all subjected to the Divine will of God. And to reason about these issues of creation should not be antithetical to the Divine teachings of God. In this way, reason would maintain its validity and persuasive character. Therefore, from time to time, God has sent Messengers (p.b.u. them) to ensure that human reasoning remains in conformity with the absolute teachings of the Creator. In other words, revelation became the guiding principle in terms of which human reasoning was organised. Hence, reasoning without revelation creates space for uncertainty, doubt, mystery, confusion, and most of all blasphemy. It is in this context that we shall analyze the history of the Bible to ascertain if reasoning and revelation formed the guiding principles according to which the Biblical text was written.

Wereiterate that the references cited are extracts from authentic Jewish and Christian sources in support of our main claims. Let us now briefly allude to the era wherein Divine Guidance seemed to have been separated from Jewish teachings. In this way, we wish to point out from the outset the uncertainty regarding the Jewish texts we are about to use in our analysis. The following explanatory translated verse demonstrates that

at the time of the prophets Yaḥyâ, ‘Îsâ and the Holy Prophet Muḥammad (p.b.u.them) [the]<sup>34</sup> *at-Taurât* was no longer in existence - but that it originally possessed the truth. And from history we learn that those who became Jews (*hâdû*)<sup>35</sup> were “*required to guard the Book of ALLÂH*” because they accepted to follow the teachings of the Israelite prophets.

**“Surely We (Allâh) revealed (the) *at-Taurât*, having guidance and light. By it did the prophets who submitted<sup>36</sup> themselves (to ALLÂH) judge those who became Jews (*hâdû*), [were judged by prophets such as Yaḥyâ and ‘Îsâ<sup>37</sup>, whom Allâh informed what He revealed to the Children of Israel. As at that time of the last Israelites was prophet ‘Îsâ, (the) *at-Taurât* was already destroyed}.**<sup>38</sup> **And the Rabbis and the Doctors of Law, because they were required to guard the Book of ALLÂH,**

**34 :** Please note that the Arabic word ‘*at*’ in front of the word *Taurât* means: The. We shall include the word (the) to make it easy to understand for those who are unfamiliar with Arabic.

**35 :** The Secrets of the Dead Sea Scrolls by John Allegro (Printed secretly in Lebanon). [Please note that this book is not available in South Africa. It was shown to Sulaimân Ibrâhîm during the 1990’s when he was a member of the South African Parliament and was at the time analyzing ‘The Dead Sea Scrolls’ documents.] He has now discovered that chapter 5 verse 44 clears all doubt concerning the Jews by the simple word **Hâdû, meaning –those who became Jews. What is meant is that all the Israelite prophets were not Jews, but were from the Children of Israel. This is very important to know, as many ignorant people misunderstand this and say that ‘Îsâ was a Jew.**

**36 :** The Qur’ânic term for a person who submits himself /herself to Allâh is MUSLIM.

**37 :** The reason that we refer to Yaḥyâ and ‘Îsâ (p.b.u.them) is because by then the new Jewish nation was established. Verse 46 makes it clear that (the) *at-Taurât* was lost. Hence, (the) *al-Injîl* was given to nabî ‘Îsâ (p.b.u.h.). *At-Taurât* and *Al- Injîl* are Pure Qur’ânic Arabic words. The Christian missionaries have stolen these words to make them appear to be Hebrew or Greek words to confuse Muslims. Their Bible (OT & NT) does not even have a name for their holy books that they have to ‘steal’ words from the Arabic Glorious Qur’ân.

**38 :** “None of the original manuscripts written by the inspired authors themselves (autographs) is known to exist...” (J. P. O’Connell, et al. The Holy Family Bible Holy Family Edition of the Catholic Bible, from a Practical Dictionary of Biblical and General Catholic Information, Virtue and Company Limited: London, 1959, p. 193 - hereafter the following abbreviation will be used: C.B).

**and they were witnesses thereof. So fear not the people and fear Me, and take not a small price for My messages. And whoever judge not by what ALLÂH has revealed, those are the wrong doers.”** *Al-Qur’ân Chapter 5: V- 44.*

The understanding that we have projected is further explained in the following explanatory translated verse of *chapter 11, verse 110, Al-Qur’ân*

**“We certainly gave the Book to Muûa ... but it became a victim of interpolation and contamination (in its text).”...<sup>39</sup>** *(Translation by Dr. Kamal Omar)*

### **‘THE HOLY BIBLE’**

Let us now clarify the genuineness about the book called the “Holy Bible.” In this analysis we will primarily discuss the Protestant Christian Bible, and at times also refer to the others. Our main concern is the Protestant’s Bible. **This does not detract from the fact that the foundation of all the Bibles of every denomination all have the same inherent faults, which is that there are no originals.** From the start we must declare that it is difficult to refer to the Protestant Bible as “Holy”, because it is not the same book as that of its founders, the Catholics. One has to understand **‘that the Protestants are actually apostates according to the Roman Catholic Church’.** The Protestors turned their back on the original Church and changed the Bible. They created a book with *less books and verses* than that of the founders *i.e.* the Roman Catholic Church. Their Old Testament contains only 39 books compared to the Catholics 46 Books. **Their version of the Bible began only in the sixteenth century onwards. That is**

---

<sup>39</sup> : Read his book ‘Deep into the Qur’ân’, p.318.

**1,553 years after the Catholics.** They have also created new ideologies different to that of the original Church. Hence, we find it very difficult to call their book “Holy” as their Bible is a plagiarized and forged book from the Catholics, and is a version which was created from 1553 onwards. **It cannot be called an original book, but rather an invented Book.** To have a truthful understanding of the new Protestant Christians, one has to draw from the Roman Catholic Church’s information as theirs is the legacy from which the Protestants stole<sup>40</sup>, - meaning they did not get consent to do so!

### **HOW DID THE NAME ‘CHRISTIAN’ ORIGINATE?**

To answer this question one has to know the background of Herod the pagan murderer. Read his background history in the Encyclopædia Britannica 14<sup>th</sup> Edition 1929, from pages 510 to 511: “...He reigned 4 B.C.-C.E. 39. In the gospels he is mentioned as Herod, and he it was who was called a “fox” by Christ (Luke xiii. 32). **He is erroneously spoken of as a king in Mark vi. 14.** It was to him that Jesus was sent by Pilate to be tried” [our emphasis]

Be that as it may. **The ‘fox’ was the formulator of the name Christ.** It appears as follows in the King James Version of the Bible, created by a person who followed those who changed the Christian Law of Divorce. It states: “he demanded where *Christ should be born*” [Our emphases]. (*Matthew 2:4*) In other words, the ‘fox’ was the first to call his enemy *Christ*. What did the pagan ‘fox’ mean when he called Jesus: ‘*Christ*’? The meaning of the Greek term *Christ* according to the New Testament is as follows.

It is reported under the heading “*Jesus Anointed by a Sinful*

---

**40** : The reason for using the word ‘stole’ is because they changed the ideology which was developed by the Roman Catholic Church into something different to that of the Roman Catholic Church. Yet they falsely claim that theirs is the original Bible.

Woman”

*“You did not put oil on my head, but she has poured perfume on my feet.”<sup>41</sup>*

The above is the meaning of ‘*Christ*’ according to the New Testament, which is based on the Greek religious methodology *or rather pagan methodology*. ‘*Christ*’ as defined by Christians in the Bible is not the equivalent of **Al-Masîh** as used in the Arabic Glorious Qur’ân. Hence, the pagan ‘fox’ meant that *Christ* means:

*‘A sinful woman must pour perfume on his feet’.*

It is claimed by ignorant people that the Arabic title used for nabî ‘Îsâ (p.b.u.h)<sup>42</sup> which is **Al-Masîh** as used in the **Arabic Glorious Qur’ân** means *Christ*. This is incorrect as the Qur’ânic word **Al-Masîh** means:

**‘The one who journeys or goes about much for the sake of devotion, or as a devotee’<sup>43</sup>**

Therefore, there is nothing holy about the name *Christ*! It must be for this reason that the early Jews rejected the so-called *Christ* completely! We must conclude that the name *Christian* must be related to what the pagan ‘fox’ intended. Hence, we find in the English dictionaries which state: **‘Christian... based on the teachings of Christ...’<sup>44</sup>**- Hence, the name ‘*Christ*’ given by the pagan ‘fox’ is the root

**41** : Luke 7:46 ‘New International Version’.

**42** : Please note that nabî ‘Îsâ (p.b.u.h) is not Jesus. The Christian Jesus’s message is in Greek as the Church claims in the Bible Authorized by King James in 1896, page 3, under the heading “The Language of the New Testament is in Greek”. However we shall use the name Jesus for simplicity. (Please note: We have all the reference Books that we quote from; excluding only the book by John Allegro. Anyone wishing to see the other books can contact Sulaimân Ibrâhîm).

**43** : Edward Lane’s Lexicon. (an Englishman).

**44** : Oxford Advanced Learner’s Dictionary. 1998.

for the word Christian or Christians and Christianity. It is claimed by Christians that there is an original Bible in its original languages. They claim that the Old Testament is in Hebrew and the New Testament is in Greek. **This claim must be analysed.**

Tom, Dick, Harry and John can claim whatever they like, but it is our duty to research the history of the Bible in order to establish the truth. Therefore, we need to go back into the history of the European pagans who went naked to Palestine. One of the trademarks of the European pagans is the irrefutable fact that they rape women<sup>45</sup> of other nations indiscriminately wherever they went. This evil satanic character of the European pagans left their offspring as a completely 'new nation' if one could call them a nation. (*Yes! We know that you want to say they were Christians and not European pagans, but patience please, we will make the picture clear later on!*) Yet they failed to classify the offspring of the women of the Children of Israel whom the European pagans left behind pregnant after raping them. They did not know that one day the Dead Sea Scrolls would reveal their sins!

In South Africa, the Dutch pagans did precisely the same thing with the indigenous black women, and so we have the ***Coloureds***. ***This satanic action of these pagans left the black women pregnant and destitute and deprived the children ever inheriting in their rapists fathers' estates.*** The Oxford Advanced Learner's Dictionary labels them '***a person of mixed race***'. ***In reality they are 'bastards'.***

---

**45** : We have witnessed this phenomenon even today in the 1990's when the Pagan Serbs raped the innocent Bosnian Muslim women, and as the American dogs of war are doing the same in Afghanistan (2001) and Iraq (2003 ) based on falsehood.

## Important Background

*Our investigation is to uncover the truth of the Bible!*

However, without knowing the contribution of the European pagans, the Bible would not be understandable. Therefore, it is *essential* we give some information regarding their activities which form the basis of the Christian mind today.

As regards the Dead Sea Scrolls, we find that it refers to the 'Sons of Darkness'. *Who are they?* The other point that needs clarity is why the Children of Israel left their place of birth and went to hide themselves in the caves? Then we find those who are called the Jews. *Who are they?* Another point of concern is why do we find two sets of documents, that is, (1) the Biblical documents that are different to those that we have, and (2) the non-biblical documents? These questions are very problematic for the Christians and Jews as the truth hurts when it is exposed. Let us try to answer these questions without doing too much harm; we know that this is not very easy to do, since the truth cannot be avoided.

### **Birth and identity of the Jews / Jewish Nation**

*Firstly:* The 'Sons of Darkness' mentioned in the Dead Sea Scrolls are the offspring of the Greeks who raped the women of the Children of Israel. The question relating to the reason as to why the Children of Israel left their place of birth and went to hide themselves in the caves is that they did not regard the 'Sons of Darkness' as the People of the Book, and that they could no longer tolerate the pagan Greeks. They had to depart from Palestine eventually to save their lives and dignity. After the Greeks caused the rejection of those people

who came into existence as a result of their raping, who were known as the '*Sons of Darkness*'; then came *the Romans - another pagan nation of the Europeans*, who then also raped the women of the '*Sons of Darkness*', and their offspring became known as the '*Jews*.' (One ought to be tempted to ask why they did not rape the pagan Palestinian women. The answer is that they did rape some of the pagan Palestinian women, but they hated the Children of Israel, as they were religious people. Therefore, they were actually trying to destroy the Children of Israel. Hence, the Children of Israel eventually left Palestine and went to the east where they found the Promised Land).<sup>46</sup>

The two documents of the Dead Sea Scrolls without doubt prove that the Children of Israel were trying to restore the Scriptures, but they could not do so under their conditions. The main reason was that without divine guidance -that is without a prophet to guide them - the compilation of revelation as revealed was not possible. One of the most significant points of note is the fact that many of the statements in the non-biblical documents are of the same view as that of the laws in the **Arabic Glorious Qur'ân**. From the '*Biblical documents*' information that was printed thus far, one can easily understand the manipulations that are being done to it. The present day Bibles are not the same as that of the Dead Sea Scrolls' Biblical documents. This fact is clear from Biblical history. The most important fact of the above explanation is that these factors are the main reason as to why most of the Dead Sea Scrolls<sup>47</sup> are still not released to the world! Just ponder over the fact that they were quick to report that the '*Ancient manuscript of Judas Gospel unveiled*', in spite of the

**46** : Refer: 'Jesus lived in India' by Holger Kersten 1986.

**47** : It is now more than Fifty years since they were discovered! *Question*: why have they not released all of them yet?!

fact that it is not the original words of Judas or Jesus but that it technically (?) makes the New Testament's contents not true.

**We now need to clear some of the reasons as to why we find Christians all over the world, and the reasons for their thinking and actions as we know them. In order to reveal these important factors, one must have some knowledge of the activities of Constantine, the creator of the Bible. After one compares all the European pagan rulers during their rule of Palestine, then one has to admit that Constantine had more vision than all of the others put together! Before we give the reasons for his 'greatness', we have to give some of his historical background.**

**LET US INTRODUCE CONSTANTINE, THE GREAT PAGAN MASTERMIND: CREATOR OF CHRISTIANITY AND THE CHRISTIAN BIBLE<sup>48</sup>**

"Constantine 1, known as "The Great" (288? 337), Roman emperor, who was born on February 27, probably C.E. 288, at Naisus (The modern Nish) in upper Moesia (Serbia). He was the *illegitimate*<sup>49</sup> son of Constantinus 1 and Flavia Helena (described by St. Ambrose as an innkeeper)."<sup>50</sup>

***The following information of the Bible's founder is as follows:***

---

**48** : 1. 'The rabbit is a pagan symbol' -The Catholic Encyclopedia 2. 'Elements of the customs connected to Halloween can be traced to a Druid (ancient Celtic priesthood) ceremony in pre Christian times. The Celts had festivals for two major gods- a sun god and a god of the dead...The festival of the dead was gradually incorporated into the Christian ritual". Encyclopedia Americana 3. New Year celebrations. -'The Roman ruler Julius Caesar established January 1 as New Year's Day in 46 B.C. the Romans dedicated this day to Janus. The god of gates... The World Book Encyclopedia (Historian W. Durant : "Christianity did not destroy paganism; it adopted it)

**49** : Constantine was an illegitimate child who became the chief architect of Christianity. ((For the record: Pope John XI (931-936) was the illegitimate son of Pope Sergius and his teenage mistress) (*Sunday Times April 10<sup>th</sup> 2005*)).

**50** : Encyclopaedia Britannica, (1929) Vol. 6, Fourteenth Edition, p. 297.

“In the spring of 312 Constantine crossed the Alps, before Maxenius had completed his preparations. The force he commanded was of uncertain strength; according to his panegyrist (who may have underrated it) it consisted of about 25,000; according to Zonaras of nearly 100, 000 men. He stormed Susa, defeated Maxenius’ generals at Turin and Verona, and marched straight for Rome. This bold move, which contrasted strongly with Constantine’s usual caution, was, it would seem, the result of an event which, as told in Eusebius’s Life of Constantine, takes the form of a conspicuous miracle - the Vision of the Flaming Cross - which appeared in the sky at noonday with the legend ‘EV ROVTW VIKΑ (“By this conquer”), and led to Constantine’s conversion to Christianity. Eusebius professes to have heard the story from the lips of Constantine; but he wrote after the emperor’s death, and it was evidently unknown to him in the shape given above when he wrote the Ecclesiastical History. The author of the De mortibus persecutorum was a well-informed contemporary, and he tells us that the sign was seen by Constantine in a dream; and even Eusebius supplements the vision by day with a dream in the following night. In any case, Constantine adopted the monogram (borne on the labarum [q.v.]) as his device.”<sup>51</sup>

**One can see that this act is the beginning of the way of life set by Constantine, which formed the basis of Christian thinking and action. It also formed the basis of Christian belief.** Paganism played the greatest role in the formation of Christianity. The foundation of this new religion that came into being during 325 AD was the “emblem-the cross”. The Roman pagan Emperor Constantine was a sun-worshipper, he wanted a new state religion so that he could have full control

over his entire Empire. A Cape Town newspaper of South Africa, The Cape Argus, reported on the 5<sup>th</sup> September 1991: **Cross 'was pagan symbol many years before Christ'**

**"London - Christianity's most potent emblem - the cross - was a religious symbol for 2000 years before Christ. Dr Miranda Green, a pre-history lecturer at the University of Wales, has concluded that the cross was the emblem of sun worship throughout Europe from the early Bronze Age onwards. She concludes that this tradition may well have been a factor in securing public acceptance of the cross as the Christian symbol. In a five year survey, Dr Green collated data on hundreds of prehistoric cross symbols. The earliest use of the cross as a religious symbol was in Ireland in the early second millennium BC, when it was inscribed on a gold disc representing the sun. The cross as a symbol of a solar religion occurs in Bronze Age rock art from northern Italy, Sweden and Denmark; in Bronze Age metal work from Hungary and France; in Iron Age pottery from Poland and Bavaria. In Roman Europe it was a popular pagan symbol in France, Germany and Britain. Even in ancient Greece cruciform amulets were worn by warriors as good-luck charms. In a new book, The Sun-Gods of Ancient Europe, Dr Green points out how the solar and Christian religions merged in the fourth century AD. In AD 312 the Roman Emperor Constantine had a vision of a cross superimposed on the sun. He adopted Christianity as the empire's official religion, although he remained a sun worshipper. The Pope retains the title Pontifex Maximus, originally used to describe the head of Roman paganism"**

## THE ORIGIN OF THE CROSS

*In order to understand the origin of the belief in the cross, reference can be made to a statement by Dr Miranda Green who says:*

“The motif of the cross is at its simplest a sign which is evocative of space and radiation of light. The arms of a cross reach out in four directions; it commands space, and it has quite clear links with the sun in its radiating lines. Two kinds of cross concern us here: the vertical/horizontal equal - armed cross, and the diagonal or St Andrew’s cross. Both may embody solar significance, and there is frequently **associated evidence to suggest such a symbolism in the pagan world**” [our emphases].<sup>52</sup>

**Thus, the cross symbolizes paganism.**

What is the link between the Cross-and Christianity? *Green says:* “Constantine, like his immediate imperial predecessors, worshipped the Unconquered Sun. In AD 312, as he marched to the Milvian Bridge to fight Maxentius for the Empire, Constantine had a vision of a cross superimposed on the sun, and he heard the words ‘Triumph in this’. He promptly adopted this double motif for the shields of his soldiers and won his victory. But it should be recalled that, even after **Constantine’s ‘conversion’ to Christianity**, he retained his old allegiance to the sun, and the cult only gradually merged with that of Christ. In AD 321, the seventh day of the week was pronounced a day of rest because it was Sunday” [our emphases].<sup>53</sup>

Hence, if the cross is associated with paganism and Christianity became symbolised by the cross, then it follows that Christianity creates space for Pagan practices. This ought

<sup>52</sup> : The Sun-Gods of Ancient Europe. 1991, p. 49 - hereafter the following abbreviation will be used: T.S.G.

<sup>53</sup> : T.S.G., pp. 49-50.

to be very disturbing for Christian theologians, to know that Christianity bequeathed practices associated with unbelief. Is this the kind of faith, which Christians are expected to adopt, i.e. one commensurable with falsehood and decadence?

**The statement concerning what Constantine saw is the birth of Christian Paganism:**

“...The Vision of the Flaming Cross - which appeared in the sky at noonday with the legend ‘EV ROVTW VIKA (“By this conquer”), and led to Constantine’s conversion to Christianity.”

**This is the foundation on which Constantine built his newfound religion.**

History proves that his courage and desire to conquer and to rule people gave birth to the new idea which became a Christian desire, a phenomenon of the white people who were very staunch Christians originally.

**Pagans of the USA & Europe of today are Materialists**

The pagans of the USA and Europe are using different strategies to subjugate the masses in Africa, South America and Asia as their forebears did in the past. In the Middle East they are using Israel, their off-spring, the Zionist state as their proxy. Today we are still witnessing this insane desire to conquer through economic strangulation (sanctions in Iraq), exploitation using the I.M.F and World Bank to destroy the economies of the mineral rich countries of the world, through the bondage of interest for decades and decades; and directly slaughtering the indigenous population with the most sophisticated armaments in pursuit of their greed of those minerals. These pagan nations use Christianity as an excuse but in reality worship materialism.

These godless Judeo-Christian nations have replaced

gold and silver, (which has intrinsic value) which was money for thousands of years, with worthless paper money that has no intrinsic value at all. In this way they are manipulating money by devaluating the third world countries currencies and destroying the poor countries' wealth as they wish, and keep them perpetually in bondage.

*(More details of their nefarious activities are stated further on)*

**Since the U.S. Invaded Iraq in 2003, -1,129,698 innocent people have been slaughtered by Bush's swarm machine. (Statistics from the International Clearing House website up to December 2007).**

Bush's<sup>54</sup> pagan (godless) Christian crusade activities have killed and slaughtered babies, women and old people among them- with the deliberate orchestrated lies about the WMD in Iraq<sup>55</sup>; and in Afghanistan where over 600,000 innocent people have been massacred with the **unproved allegations** of Osama bombing the Twin Towers<sup>56</sup> . *(Afghanistan Statistics up to June 2007)*

For those who know South African history ought to understand the Christian brutality. The Truth and Reconciliation

---

**54** : Let us not forget the atrocities committed by the Bush/Cheney/Rumsfeld and company in Abu Garieb Prison in Iraq, as well as those in Guantanamo Bay concentration camp in Cuba. There are still hundreds of prisoners there even now who have not been charged **6 years** after they were illegally apprehended because they have no proof, but want to continue to torture and punish them as is the innate (Constantine) quality of Bush and company.

**∆∆** : Another observable fact of this type of pagan Christians is that they claim they want to bring about peace and stability which is a lie. This is what the Arabic Glorious Qur'ân has to say of these type of people: Chap 2 v 11/12: **"And when it is said to them, make not mischief in the land, they say: we are but peacemakers. Now surely they are the mischief-makers, but they perceive not"**. *Examples: Palestine, Iraq, Sudan, Kashmir, Somalia and Afghanistan).*

**56** : Please watch the DVD titled **"The 9/11 Investigation-Confronting the Evidence"** by Jimmy Walters (walden3.org) in which USA Military and Civilian experts from various fields furnish evidence to prove that this attack was done by USA security agencies under the orders of the Pentagon. (Contact A. Moosa for a copy)

Commission's Report is sufficient evidence to understand the pagan Christian activities throughout the world wherever they went. Raping, stealing, killing, demoralizing of the indigenous peoples and perpetually possessing other people's property are normal Christian activities by the majority of them! (*A small percentage who claim that they are Christians are decent people*)

*Constantine was very successful as depicted in the Encyclopedia Britannica:*

**"Constantine now reigned as sole emperor in East and West, presiding at the council of Nicaea in 325."<sup>57</sup>**

This documentation quoted above illustrates and exposes the reason as to why Constantine became a Christian. Constantine must have given a similar description which we find in the Bible to Jesus. That is, regarding the first message Jesus received. To understand what is meant, we quote:

Matthew 3:17: *"... This is my beloved Son, in whom I am well pleased."*

Then in Mark 1:11: *"... Thou art my beloved Son; in thee I am well pleased."*

In John, 1:32 there is no mention of what the *dove*<sup>58</sup> said. The conversion of Constantine is similar "to the first experience of Jesus" in the Bible with God. It is said that he, (Constantine): "was preparing to lead his army in person, when he was taken ill and after a vain trial of the baths at Helenopolis, died at Ancyrona, a suburb of Nacomedia on May 22, having received Christian baptism shortly before at the hands of Eusebius. He was buried in the church of the Apostles at Constantinople." One of the reasons must have been the fighting spirit that

---

<sup>57</sup> : Encyclopaedia Britannica, (1929) Vol. 6, Fourteenth Edition, p. 298.

<sup>58</sup> : *Note*: a speaking dove! What gibberish! If it was a parrot it may have worked. Therefore, kindly inform the Textual Critics of our advice!

made him a Christian. Although it has been reported that:

**“It has been said by Stanley that Constantine was entitled to be called “Great” in virtue rather of what he did than of what he was; and it is true that neither his intellectual nor his moral qualities were such as to earn him the title. His claim to greatness rests mainly on the fact that he divined the future which lay before Christianity, and determined to enlist it in the service of his Empire.”<sup>59</sup> (our emphasis)**

If it should be argued that the afore-mentioned is not true, then we must first analyse to see what the cause was of this fundamental controversy that led to the COUNCIL OF NICAEA in 325 AD, which led to the greatness of Constantine.

It was at this meeting that Constantine called all the pagans of different ideologies together, with the intention to make them as one religious group under the banner of Christianity, *but it was Arius<sup>60</sup> who opposed Constantine*. We read in the Encyclopedia Britannica, Vol. 2, op. cit, and pp. 358 to 359, the following, under “ARIUS”. *This is how it is reported:*

“The cause of the controversy with which the name of Arius is associated lay not in any personalities but in a fundamental difference of doctrine which had far reaching religious and philosophical implications. “Is the Divine,” says Harnack, “which appeared on earth and made its presence actively felt, identical with the supremely Divine that rules enter into a close and permanent union with human nature, so that it has actually

<sup>59</sup> : Encyclopaedia Britannica, (1929) Vol. 6, op. cit., pp. 298-299.

<sup>60</sup> : Arius believed in ONE GOD as was taught by ‘Īsā and all the previous prophets, but as this was in conflict with the pagan beliefs of Constantine, Arius was killed. All his followers were also hunted down and murdered systematically over the next sixty years, right up to 385 C. E. Only then could Constantine make up a Bible with the Pagan beliefs of his for political expediency.

transfigured it and raised it to the plane of the Eternal?" "*In spite of the above, the following statement states:* "Our knowledge of Arius is scanty, and nothing certain is known of his birth or of his early training."<sup>61</sup>

*They still maintain that:*

"His doctrinal position is explained in his letters to his patron Eusebius, bishop of the imperial city of Nicomedia, and to Alexander of Alexandria, and in the fragments of the poem in which he set forth his dogmas. From these writings it can even nowadays be clearly seen that the principle object which he had in view was firmly to establish the unity and simplicity of the eternal God. However far the Son may surpass other created beings, **he remains himself a created being**, to whom the Father before all time gave an existence formed "out of nothing." "<sup>62</sup> (*our emphasis*)

The indisputable fact is that it remains an **insurmountable problem** for the Church to find out what was the true Gospel Jesus himself had brought to the Children of Israel as it is non-existent.

*What made Constantine so great? The following could give some idea:*

**"It reached even the ears of Constantine. Now sole emperor, he saw in the one Catholic Church the best means of counteracting the movement in his vast empire towards disintegration; and he at once realised how dangerous dogmatic strife might prove to its unity".<sup>63</sup>**

**"Constantine had no religious understanding concerning the question at issue" but had more political knowledge and knew how to resolve it in the best interest of his pagan Empire. Therefore, no course was left but to**

---

61 : Encyclopaedia Britannica, (1929) Vol. 6, Vol. 2, op. cit., p. 358.

62 : Ibid -op. cit., p. 359.

63 : Ibid- op. cit., p. 359.

summon a general or ecumenical council, which was convened in Nicaea in 325. "After various turns in the controversy, it was finally decided, against Arius, that the Son was of the same substance ... with the Father, and all thought of his being created or even subordinated had to be excluded. Constantine accepted the decision of the council and resolved to uphold it." *It says further:*

"... Under the auspices of Throdosius The Great, recognised the Nicene doctrine as the only orthodox one"<sup>64</sup>

**The Above Facts Of How Divinity Was Conferred Upon Jesus Prove That There Was No Bible Or New Testament Before 325 Up To 381 C.E. Therefore, All Christians Must Accept The Fact That Constantine Became Great For The Reasons As Stated By Stanley As Recorded In Encyclopaedia Britannica, (1929) Vol. 6, Fourteenth Edition, P. 298. Before This, It Was Impossible For Them To Write Their Books. It Must Be Remembered That The Divinity Of Jesus Was Only Settled In The Year 381 AD By Constantine's Handpicked Cohorts.**

"Jerome made contact with those baptised by the Arians, and Jerome joined the fray. He was guided by the practice of Rome and the West. Having discovered what the Western practice was, he set tongue and pen to work with his usual bitterness. It was after 382 AD that Jerome was given the work 'to revise the "Old Latin" translation of the Bible; and to this task he henceforth devoted his great abilities."<sup>65</sup>

It should be remembered that we have the history of the oldest copy of the Old Testament dated only in the year 916 AD. What happened before, no one knows as that period belongs to the Dark ages.<sup>66</sup> (Until the Dead Seascrolls were discovered in 1947)

64 : Ibid-op. Cit. (1929) Vol. 2, op. cit., p. 359.

65 : Encyclopaedia Britannica, (1929) Vol. 13, op. cit., p. 2.

66 : Dark ages refer to the period when the English and Europeans were uncivilized, and

## **Those Who Claim To Believe That The Bible Is The Word Of God Must Also Consider The Following Arguments:**

Let us assume that prophet Mûsâ (p.b.u.h) could have spoken Hebrew or even Aramaic,<sup>67</sup> then, we must also agree that Pharaoh would never have spoken with him in Hebrew or Aramaic, which must have been the slave languages, as the Children of Israel were the slaves of Pharaoh at that time. *Therefore, it stands to reason that prophet Mûsâ (p.b.u.h) and Pharaoh must have communicated in the Egyptian Arabic language or any other Egyptian language.* Which means that God's revealed words were communicated to Pharaoh in one of the Egyptian languages so that he would have had no excuse to understand the message, is it not true?

When we analyse the book called '*The International Critical Commentary - A Critical and exegetical commentary on The Book of Isaiah by George Buchanan Gray, D.D., D.Litt.* (First Impression January 1912 - Latest Impression January 1980)<sup>68</sup> then, one will understand the significant nonsense translations can produce, especially about the so-called prophecy about Jesus as claimed to be in the Book of Isaiah according to the Christians!

**Therefore, translations can never take the place of the original.** One must remember copies in those days were not like verified copies of today; they had to be written by hand.<sup>69</sup>

If (the) Al-Injil, the revelation given to 'Îsâ (not the Bible, as 'Îsâ are referred to as *barbarians* by John William Draper in his book: "The Intellectual Development of Europe". (Recommended reading)

67 : If it was possible that Mûsâ (p.b.u.h) spoke the Aramaic language, then we must ask: Is the dialect in which the Biblical documents of the Dead Sea Scrolls which were found the same as that that Mûsâ spoke? Secondly, can that be proven unequivocally?

68 : Printed in Scotland By Morrison and Gibb Limited Edinburgh and London for T. & T. Clark Ltd., Edinburgh.

69 : I.e., countless mistakes must have been made, many times deliberately.

never brought a book called 'Bible' or the 'New Testament') had been memorised in the same way as that of the **Arabic Glorious Qur'ân**, then the arguments would have fallen away!

Jesus of the Bible cannot be the same person as Nabî 'Îsâ (p.b.u.h). The reason for this conclusion is that **Jesus in the Bible is being portrayed as a pagan god**. Therefore, this distinction must always be kept in mind. Another important point to keep in mind is the fact that all Gospel writers claim that his story is in the Greek language, which supports the conclusion that Jesus was a Greek person who did not get married; he is also reported to have said to the men around him who followed him, that he will make them: "fishers of men" (Matt. 4:19). What this statement means is what we see happening in the world today. '**Catch the fish (humans) and 'eat' them, meaning to kill them**'.

*Consider the following comments by learned Christian Scholars:*

**HENRY CHADWICK** writes in his book titled 'THE EARLY CHURCH': Constantine who was the chief architect of the doctrine of the Trinity was not a Christian. Constantine, like his father, worshipped the unconquered sun; ...his conversion should not be interpreted as an inward experience of grace...it was a military matter..."

**HISTORIAN W. DURANT:** "Christianity did not destroy paganism; it adopted it".

**SIEGFRIED MORENZ** notes in his book-'Egyptian Religion': "The trinity was a major preoccupation of Egyptian theologians ...in this way the spiritual force of Egyptian religion shows a direct link with Christian theology".

**JOHN DAVENPORT** writes in his book titled 'Apology to Muhammad and the Qur'ân' regarding the trinity: - This is why blind faith was demanded, and this is why 12,000,000 (twelve million) (Unitarian) Christians were put to death by the Church as heretics in the notorious Church 'Inquisitions'!

*Another erudite Christian scholar, Kenneth Cragg, the Anglican Bishop of Jerusalem, says on page 277 of his book, "The Call of the Minaret":* «Not so the New Testament . . . There is condensation and editing; there is choice, reproduction and witness. The Gospels have come through the mind of the Church behind the authors". The above statements need to be reflected upon.

The problem of the language that prophet Mûsâ (p.b.u.h) spoke is the secret why the Old Testament<sup>70</sup> can never stand the test of authenticity. Could the reason for the following claim be to eliminate the investigation of what language nabî Mûsâ (p.b.u.h) spoke with Pharaoh so they 'created' the following false claim: "The Egyptian language offers an unusual philological history of about 5,000 years; its earliest records begin with Dyn. I, and the language, in one form or another, lived on continuously until Coptic, its lineal descendant, became practically extinct as a spoken language in the 16th century C.E."<sup>71</sup>

Never mind the Old Covenant's problem, (as Christianity is not really based on it<sup>72</sup>) we have the same problem with the 70 : It is an acknowledged fact that the Jews hold the Talmud (*a book written by Jewish Rabbis*) more in importance and reverence than the Torah, which proves that they do not accept the Torah as being original.

71 : 'The Westminster Dictionary of The Bible' by John D. Davis. Revised and Rewritten by Henry Snyder Gehman, 1944, p. 149.

72 : The reason Christians cannot use the Old Testament as the Jews do is because Christianity is based on the pagan ideas of Constantine. I.e., God being born as a helpless baby and growing up into a man god who ate food etc and was killed.

New Testament. According to the Catholic Bible (1959), the language of Jesus is said to be Aramaic, which was the spoken language in Galilee at that time.<sup>73</sup> Already, one can see that much confusion exists about the mother tongue (language) of Jesus, since: “...no contemporary literary remains of this dialect, [Aramaic] remains we cannot determine precisely the dialect he (Jesus) spoke” [our emphases].<sup>74</sup>

The evidence produced by the oldest Church in the world, nullifies those words of Aramaic in the New Testament as deception!

**This means that the language of Prophets Mûsâ and ‘Îsâ could not have been the same - that is the “Galilean” Aramaic. At this point, we must emphasize the fact that the dialect of Aramaic spoken by Jesus is unknown as stated in the Catholic Encyclopedia, (see photocopy of page further on) and so one can already realise the daunting and impossible task the Textual Critics face in completing the N.T, which at this point in time remains a “vast and unfinished” task. *This was said in 1978: (1,978 years after Jesus)***

**“Thus the task of New Testament textual criticism is vast and unfinished.”<sup>75</sup>**

**If one is truthful and forthright, then it ought to be clear that these two points about prophet Mûsâ and prophet ‘Îsâ is sufficient evidence not to believe any Christian or Jew that there are copies of the original books for both groups. The jugglery with words cannot assist them to find an original Old Testament or an**

C.B. - J. P. O’Connell, et al. The Holy Family Bible Holy Family Edition of the : 73  
Catholic Bible, from a Practical Dictionary of Biblical and General Catholic Information,  
.Virtue and Company Limited: London, 1959, p. 30

74 : C.B., p. 30.

75 : New Bible Dictionary. 1978, p. 1269.

**Original New Testament! These facts are undeniable!  
Let us analyze "Holy Bible: John 21-25"**

John: Chapter 21 verse 25: "And there are also many other things which Jesus did, the which, if they should be written every one, I suppose that even the world itself could not contain the books that should be written. Amen".

*This statement brings home the fact that the writer John could not have been an inspired person to write an account of the doings and sayings of Jesus; as it is self evident that he claims that the Bible is incomplete! And furthermore, that he could not reveal the entire truth of Jesus which makes many of the guidance and teachings of Jesus which was not revealed to the world as a waste of time, which should have been made known!*

**Therefore, the above words are in simple words: "Deception and Nonsense! Nay, it is pure paganism"!**

### **A Few Verses from the Bible**

*New Testament St. John 8:32: "Know the truth and the truth shall set you free"*

*Comment: Christians do not pay any attention to the above words. They should have known that this statement is a common fact, even to atheists. Had they known this fact they would have understood that the following statement in Matthew could not have been the words of Jesus, as the Gospel of Matthew, which Matthew is supposed to have written is non existent. Evidence is presented further on from Virtue's Catholic Encyclopedia authorized by the Pope.*

*New Testament St. Matthew. 28:19-20:*

**"Go ye therefore, and teach all nations, baptizing**

**them in the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost: teaching them to observe<sup>76</sup> all things whatsoever I have commanded you: and, lo, I am with you always, *even* unto the end of the world. Amen."**

From another point of view the first statement could be understood to be one of the reasons Christians think that if they only have to know the "truth"<sup>77</sup> in **Greek**, then they will be free.

In South Africa all those who are white Christians, atheists, Jews or who have deserted Christianity, know full well that they are in possession of the property of the indigenous peoples, but because they are indoctrinated by Christianity and the European *modus operandi*, when they know the "truth", then they are free to keep other peoples properties. {*In other words: 'whatever you steal 'will be forgiven' as Jesus will take the rap for that immoral sin of robbery on himself and the rest also follow this Christian doctrine!*}

This second lot of verses that were supposed to have been written by Matthew, **but were actually written by Mark<sup>78</sup>**, is another idea based on paganism. *The first point that reveals paganism is the words: 'the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost<sup>79</sup>'.*

Monotheism is not based on the principle of three gods, although *they say* that they are not three but one. The second part which states: 'Teaching them to observe all things whatsoever I have commanded you: and, lo, I am with you always, *even* unto the end of the world.' These words are not the words of

---

76 : There is no observance of any law by the Christians that Jesus observed. They follow Paul who taught them the bogus doctrine of 'redemption through the blood of Jesus'.

77 : "Truth" for Christians means to believe in the fictitious New Testament.

78 : Virtue's Catholic Encyclopedia, Vol 1, pp141-142-Printed under the direction of the Pope Paul VI See further on for a photo copy of said page.

79 :  $1 + 1 + 1 = 3$  - no matter what jugglery Christians try, it will remain **3 separate entities**.

a messenger of God or anyone connected to God, but they are the words of one who follows paganism. **In any case, Mark never heard nor saw Jesus, he is writing from imagination or these words were formulated by those who formulated the New Testament according to their pagan ideology.**

### **The Jewish Rejection of the Trinity**

It is however, significant to note that the prominent Jewish editor, Dr. J.H.Hertz, (*Late chief Rabbi of the British Empire*) in his works '**The Pentateuch and Haftorahs**', 1961, refer to it as the 'Bible'- which includes the Torah (Pentateuch) and Haftorahs [Haftorahs = the prophets].

***"Belief in Trinity": "In the same way, the Shema excludes the trinity of the Christian creed as a violation of the Unity of God. Trinitarianism has at times been indistinguishable from tritheism; i.e. the belief in three separate gods. To this were added later cults of the Virgin and the saints, all of them quite incompatible with pure monotheism. Judaism recognizes no intermediary between God and man, and declares that prayer is to be directed to God alone, and to no other being in the heavens above or on earth beneath."***<sup>80</sup>

It is known in history that the original name of the book, which was revealed to the early Jews long before 250 B C, is lost and there is also no divine evidence from which the Jews and Christians can produce their own source, which says what their book was called.

**Fortunately for them the name of Mûsâ's book was revealed to the Prophet of Islam (the final Prophet sent by God) and is referred to in the Arabic Glorious Qur'ân as *at-Taurât*,**

---

<sup>80</sup> : Hertz., p. 921. , Dr. J.H.Hertz, (*Late chief Rabbi of the British Empire*) in his works '**The Pentateuch and Haftorahs**', 1961, refers to it as the 'Bible'- which includes the Torah (Pentateuch) and Haftorahs [Haftorahs = the prophets].

**which sounds very similar to the word “Torah”. Were it not for this reference the Jews would have had no divine evidence that their holy book of Moses was called *Torah*.**

This view must be accepted and only if any divine evidence to the contrary is presented can anyone be convinced not to regard it as such. The Jews follow the Christians concerning the name of the Bible. However, it appears that the Jews do not agree with the Greek word Pentateuch, as they believe that ‘it is far more than a code of law: it is the Torah.’<sup>81</sup> This shows that the Jews are not in agreement with the Christians’ translation of it, although it is from Christian origin.

This submission by Jews can only mean that they never understood nor can accept how one God could become three or that God would descend down to earth in the form of a man. *Jews and Christians differ seriously on this point*<sup>82</sup>. *The Christian belief in Trinity was, and still is, and will always be totally deplorable to the Jews*. The Jews know that they are not the descendants of Isaac, but the illegitimate (bastard<sup>83</sup>) offspring of the pagan Greeks and Romans, hence the ‘lost sheep of Israel’. However, the Jews found the doctrine of Trinity unacceptable and not true, as no previous Israelite prophet ever introduced this irrational doctrine. No Jew believed that the book of Isaiah or any other book in their “Old Testament” or “Torah” could contain such a pagan doctrine of God becoming a man and dying on a cross next to thieves. **One has to keep in mind that the oldest copy of the**

---

81: Hertz, p.1.-1961

82 : “And the Jews say, The Christians follow nothing (good), and the Christians say, the Jews follow nothing (good) while they recite the (same) Book”. Arabic *Glorious Qur’ân* chapter 2 verse 113.

83 : ‘Bastard’ - according to the Oxford Dictionary means: ‘a person born of unmarried parents’.

Old Testament only dates from 916 AD, that is 300 years after the revelation of the Arabic Glorious Qur'ân. There exists no proof that an earlier copy was found. The works of Jerome are also lost.

We have given the background of events, so that it could easily be understood why **the Council of Nicaea 325 AD is regarded as the 'highest event' in the history of Christianity.** It would be more palatable to Christians if we rather quote from the Encyclopedia Britannica, 1929, Vol. 16, and op. cit. p. 410:

**"The Council of Nicaea - (AD. 325) - Is An Event Of The Highest Significance In The History Of Christianity. Its Convocation By Constantine And Its Cause Illustrate The Radical Revolution Which The Position Of This Religion, Within The Confines Of The Roman Empire, Had Undergone In Consequence Of The Edict Of Milan."**

*"Constantine did a great disservice to Christianity"*, was the comment by Pastor Alan Profitt in an inter-faith discussion that was held at the Cornerstone Bible College, (Lansdowne in Cape Town where he lectures) at his invitation on the 8<sup>th</sup> October 2007. We have recorded the whole program on DVD.

The main problem that arose was how they resolved these conditions created by man. The result of the Council of Nicaea caused the following questions of the doctrine:

1. **Trinity** - God, Holy Ghost, and Christ Jesus are three distinct persons or parts of the Godhead. These three are equal to one another in divinity and eternity. Yet, these three form one God instead of three. This they call unity in the Trinity. *Let us examine this:* (a) Are these three distinct from each other in their existence and personality or not? If they are distinct, **they form**

**three separate persons and not one.** To call them **one** is wrong and misleading. Simply stated, it is a blatant lie.

(b) Do the three together form a self existing God or does each of the three forms a separate God? In the first instance, to refer to each one as God is wrong, as neither God is God, nor is the Holy Ghost God, nor is Christ Jesus God. Neither of the three could be God independent of the other; otherwise the unity of God cannot exist.

(c) When Christ Jesus is referred to as the Son of God, **there exists priority of person** and time between the Father and the Son. **Now, think of the time when God was not the father of Christ Jesus. Was He then God or not? If He was so before that time, then the belief that three together is one God is wrong, as before this, He was God. If He was not God before that time, then also Christ cannot be God. When the Father himself was not God, how was it then possible for Christ Jesus to become God? These facts are undeniable!**

The above are the questions that ought to have caused the Council of Nicaea in the year 325 AD to sit and declare Constantine as Great. In the light of these statements, a new religion was born in the year 381 AD. **All pagans found a new home in this new formed religion.** They also had the power to make all subservient under them. However, power needs to be protected. Therefore, religious theories had to be planned.

Ernst Haeckel attempts to establish the origin of Trinity. The closest information to that effect is revealed in his remarks:

“The “Trinity” is not an original element in Christianity; like most of the other Christian dogmas, it has been borrowed from other religions. Out of the sun-worship of the Chaldaean magi was evolved the Trinity of Ilu, the mysterious source of the world; its three manifestations were Anu, primeval chaos, Bel,

the architect of the world, and Aa, the heavenly light, the all-enlightening wisdom. In the Brahmani religion the Trimurti is also conceived as a “divine unity” made up of three persons - Brahma (the creator), Vishnu (the sustainer), and Shiva (the destroyer). It would seem that in this and other ideas of a Trinity the “sacred number, three,” as such - as a “symbolical number” - has counted for something. The three first Christian virtues - Faith, Hope, Charity - form a similar *triad*.”<sup>84</sup>

*The ‘mystic ordinance’ that could have led to the belief in Trinity.* Constantine, who established Christianity as a state religion is reported to have said:

“You are bishops whose jurisdiction is within the Church: I also am a bishop, ordained by God to overlook those outside the Church.”<sup>85</sup>

**The above facts clearly reveal two versions about Jesus’ story.**

Let us explain the first claim by starting with the dictionary meaning of the word **ORIGINAL**: “**Origin**, *n.* the rising or first existence of anything: that from which anything first proceeds: the fixed starting-point or point from which measurement is made (*math.*): source: derivation. - *adj.* **Original**, pertaining to the origin or beginning: existing from or at the beginning: being such from the beginning: innate: standing in relation of source: not derived, copied, imitated, or translated from anything else: originative: novel: originating or having the power to originate in oneself...”<sup>86</sup>

For the point of the subject, *let us assume* that there is an

---

<sup>84</sup> : The Riddle of the Universe, 1950, p. 227.

<sup>85</sup> : A New Eusebius edited by F. Stevenson 1957, p. 390.

<sup>86</sup> : Chambers Twentieth Century Dictionary.

original Hebrew Old Testament in the language which prophet Mûsâ (p.b.u.h) spoke, and an original New Testament in the language prophet 'Îsâ (p.b.u.h) spoke. It is a historical fact that the prophet Mûsâ (p.b.u.h) grew up in the house of Pharaoh where he got the best Egyptian education, which was in the Egyptian language. However, his mother did breast-feed him, therefore, it could be that he might have learnt the Children of Israel's language from her (that is if she knew it [287]). We also know from history that the Children of Israel had to switch languages many times. Therefore, they did not always speak "Hebrew".

The New Bible Dictionary states: **"A further implication is that Moses would have an Egyptian education, one of the best available in his day."** *The Protestant New Bible Dictionary 1988, p. 305.*

*Be that as it may, Pharaoh would never have spoken with him in the language of the slaves!* Even if we want to agree that prophet Mûsâ (pbuh) spoke Hebrew, then we must also agree that the communication with Pharaoh must have been in the **Egyptian language.**

**Therefore, there is no ORIGINAL Old Testament in the world!** One must keep in mind that prophet Mûsâ's brother Harûn (p.b.u.them) must also have spoken the Egyptian language.

The Children of Israel were the slaves of the Pharaohs for more than **400 years**<sup>88</sup>. Consequently, it could therefore have

---

87 :There is no evidence that she spoke Hebrew.

88 : An example is in South Africa, when the 'new nation' - Coloureds' -born of the raping of the Koisian women by the Dutch pagans learnt to speak the language of the Dutch rapists i.e. Afrikaans. The same happened in some South American countries where Spanish is now the spoken language due to the pagan Spaniards conquering there. The same happened in many African countries by the French and Italians pagans.

been possible that they could no longer have spoken their own mother tongue, which could have been the reason that they had to speak some language of the Egyptians. *It should be known that Hebrew is a Greek word<sup>89</sup> and not a word belonging to a language of the Children of Israel*, therefore it should be understood that because everyone is referring to it as Hebrew, we will retain the word as Hebrew; this in no way means that we say Hebrew is the correct word or language. This leaves us with the question, as to whether prophet Mûsâ (p.b.u.h) could have been the author of a part of the Hebrew Old Testament. **These points are sufficient to reject that there is an ORIGINAL Old Testament!**

According to the Catholic Bible (1959), the language Jesus spoke is **ARAMAIC** which was the spoken language in Galilee at that time.<sup>90</sup>

“...No contemporary literary remains of this dialect, [Aramaic] remains, **wecannotdeterminepreciselythedialectHespoke.**”<sup>91</sup>

This means that there cannot be any **ORIGINAL** words in any book, which Jesus spoke! **Consequently, the claim which reads as follows is false:**

“They are the interpretations of the scholars from the original text, whether it’s Hebrew or Greek. Technically speaking, these, and any other translated literature are interpretations of the original from which they are translated.”

**Bluntly stated: The above is a blatant lie!!!** There are no **ORIGINALS** of any of the books *i.e.* Old or New Testaments!!!

<sup>89</sup> : See Peake’s Commentary on the Bible 1919, p. 34.

<sup>90</sup>: (J. P. O’Connell, et al. The Holy Family Bible Holy Family Edition of the Catholic Bible, from a Practical Dictionary of Biblical and General Catholic Information, Virtue and Company Limited: London, 1959, p. 30.

<sup>91</sup> : C.B., p. 30.

**We must never forget the fact that any Bible can never be a true Christian document, never mind an original book! We have given sufficient crystal clear evidence already of this.**

*We will now address our second claim:*

The definition of the word **revision** according to The New Collins Concise Dictionary, 1985 edition, means: **“To change, or amend, or prepare a new story.”**

It should therefore at all times be borne in mind that whenever Christians or Jews refer to a **‘revision’** of the Bible, it means that *they refer to the revision of the first reconstructed version of the Bible*<sup>92</sup>. Namely, the Septuagint of which its original copy according to history is lost or was destroyed, therefore no one can refer to it. It is essential to mention here, that after *a new version of the Bible was re-constructed*, and it has always remained **subjected to constant re-re-re-revision** throughout the centuries, and will continue to be revised by ordinary men as time goes on, in order to comply with the ideologies of the day.

**‘Version’** means: ‘an account of a matter from a certain point of view, as contrasted with others: his version of the accident is different from the policeman’s.’<sup>93</sup>

**When Christians and Jews refer to translations concerning the Bible, it is clear from history that they do not refer to translations from the original divine manuscripts but translations of revised versions, constructed and re-re-re-constructed dozens of times by them.** When reference is made

---

<sup>92</sup> : Exactly as stated in the Arabic Glorious Qur’ân 1,400 years ago- that they are writing their own Book and calling it the Word of God. (Refer Chap 2 v 79 of the Arabic Glorious Qur’ân ).

<sup>93</sup> : The New Collins Concise Dictionary, 1985 edition.

to the languages of the Bible, it could refer to “Hebrew”<sup>94</sup>, ‘Aramaic, Greek and Latin’. Yet, historically, from a Jewish and Christian viewpoint, “Hebrew” and “Aramaic” appear to be the only languages spoken by the Israelite prophets, (descendants of Isaac - p.b.u.them) though not according to their history.

**The Greek or Latin Languages Were Never Spoken By Any of The Israelite Prophets (P.b.u.them). It Was Also Not A Divine Instruction For The Greek Or Latin Languages To Be Used For The Recording Of The Israelite Prophets’ (P.b.u.them) Divine Messages. That Is An Undeniable Fact!**

Moreover, from the evil Europeans’ historical evidence it is clear that the languages of the Israelite prophets (the descendants of Ishâq - p.b.u.them) could have been Egyptian, “Hebrew” and Aramaic. The “Hebrew” and Aramaic languages were also of an unknown dialect in different periods of time. The first Scriptures of Moses should have been recorded in both languages, that is, the Egyptian and Aramaic.

God would never reveal Divine information to His messengers (p.b.u.them) in a language foreign<sup>95</sup> to them. However, the original works should have been translated into different languages so that various nations could understand them. An examination of Biblical history would reveal that this is

**94** : Hebrew is a Greek word. Hebrew was only completed as a written language in the 10<sup>th</sup> century, **916 years after Jesus and approx 300 years after the revelation of the Arabic Glorious Qur’ân to Prophet Muḥammad (pbuh)**. So foolish claims by ignorant Christians that Prophet Muḥammad copied from the concocted Bible should be rejected with the utmost contempt it deserves. These stupid statements prove their ignorance of their own Bible!

**95** : “And Allâh sent no messenger but with the language of his people, so that he might explain to them clearly.” (Al-Qur’ân 14:4) *Arabic is the perfect language to preserve the final revelation of God Almighty as it is easy to memorize by anyone from any nationality even if one cannot speak Arabic. No other language can compare with it. That is why Allâh in His wisdom chose the Arabic language for His final message to humankind.*

not the case, but surprisingly the Septuagint (Greek version) appears to be the first recording according to Christian information. **However, only the name of it (Septuagint) is known but no original book of it was ever found.** For the Jews and the Christians, the Septuagint was known to be the oldest of the Scriptures, although it is lost. However, the Massoretic text of 916 CE was the oldest before the Dead Sea scrolls were discovered in 1947. (*According to some Vatican sources, the full text will only be translated and released around 2050- i.e. 100 years after discovering them. Question: Can a few dozen manuscripts take so long a time to decipher? Please note: it is reported that the Egyptian language could have originated from the first pagan dynasty:* "The Egyptian language offers an unusual philological history of about 5,000 years; its earliest records begin with Dyn. I, and the language, in one form or another, lived on continuously until Coptic, its lineal descendant, became practically extinct as a spoken language in the 16th century C.E."<sup>96</sup> *We maintain our claim there can never be any translation of the Old or New Testaments from the originals because:* **"Since no autograph<sup>97</sup> of any book of the Bible has survived,<sup>98</sup> The Virtues Catholic Bible (1959) states that: "None of the original manuscripts written by the hands of the inspired writers (autographs) have come down to us."<sup>99</sup>**

*Firstly,* if there are no original surviving autographs of any book, how can a translation be verified? In order to verify the authenticity of any

<sup>96</sup> : "The Westminster Dictionary of the Bible, by John D. Davis. Revised and Rewritten by Henry Snyder Gehman, 1944, p. 149.

<sup>97</sup> : Meaning: handwritten scriptures.

<sup>98</sup> : The Protestant New Bible Dictionary, 1978, p. 151.

<sup>99</sup> : C.B., p. 30.

document, one must compare it with the original. *Secondly*, no one has ever memorised the Bible so that it could be preserved and verified. *Thirdly*, the language problem, which makes it impossible for anyone to claim that there are copies in existence.

*Fourthly*, to state: *“They are the interpretations of the scholars from the original text, whether it’s Hebrew or Greek”* is also an unashamed lie to mislead their followers!

**The fact that the oldest Biblical documents are supposed to be the Biblical documents of the Dead Sea Scrolls, and which are supposedly written in Aramaic and not in Hebrew or Greek languages, proves the fallacy of the claim.**

The first paragraph is another revealing fact of Christian paganism. The important point to take note of is the following:

**“...witnessing to them the greatest message ever”, that is: “Jesus saves and fills seeking hearts with the Holy Spirit.”**

This is absolute nonsense! *Can a pagan message created by Constantine the pagan be ‘the greatest message ever’?* One must be clearly informed that *Constantine the pagan used a gang of pagans with his beliefs in order to put Christianity together.*

Ponder over the next part of the irrational statement which is: **“Jesus saves and fills seeking hearts with the Holy Spirit.”**

How can a human being like Jesus who ate food and answered the call of nature, be in a position to help others, when it was not possible for him to help himself? <sup>100</sup>Now think rationally: what is the Holy Spirit? Human beings are logical creatures and can only understand things that can make sense to them. Nobody can rationally explain what

---

<sup>100</sup>: Refer Matt 27:46 – *‘and about the ninth hour Jesus cried with a loud voice, saying, Eli, Eli, lama sabachthani? (That is to say) My God, my God, why hast thou forsaken me?’* If Jesus was God, then to which God was he asking for help from?

is a Holy Spirit or Holy Ghost. **These are pagan terms that were introduced by the pagans long before Constantine;** he used it so that all the pagans together could have a new home. Therefore, no rational discussions can really take place between rational Muslims and irrational pagan Christians.

The very fact that Jesus did not personally collect the Gospel into book form and verify it, is a clear indication that the Gospel was for a certain time period only, and what is now recorded are men's inventions<sup>101</sup> and fabrications.

Jesus brought a message, which was in the Galilean Aramaic dialect, but it is lost. The Gospel, as it is known today, finds its basis in paganism. Many pagans wrote Gospels, the other day we saw a new one coming to the fore, and it is going to make a huge impact and a new contribution to the incomplete story of the Gospels.

*What are now recorded are fabricated stories written by: (1) men who never saw him while he walked on this earth, (2) as well as Jesus never ordered it to be put in written form so that he could verify it. Those are the facts of the matter!*

**A Divine message revealed by God is unlike a novel which can be altered as human beings like. From the above it appears that Christians do not regard the words of God in the Bible as something which human beings cannot produce! The above argument suggests that there is nothing in the Bible which has information of God, which informs human beings about God's creation of which they have no knowledge<sup>102</sup>. Therefore, they**

---

101 : "You only worship idols besides Allâh and you invent a lie. Surely they whom you serve besides Allâh control no sustenance for you; so seek sustenance from Allâh and serve Him and be grateful to Him. To Him you will be brought back." *Al-Qurân Chapter 29 verse 17.*

102 : Any Book claiming to be from God has to give guidance on moral, spiritual, economic, and political fields etc, as well as information in uncovering the unknown from

*can boastfully claim that it is necessary to revise the Bible continuously. Whether it is from the reconstructed Bibles or the Hebrew Bible of which the language was only finalised in the tenth century C.E. and from Greek which contains pagan stories of Jesus that were written long after his departure. These are historical facts which no one can dispute!*

We have to clearly inform the readers that it is impossible for us as Muslims to regard the Bible as a divine book; so also we cannot forge a lie by saying that there is an original Bible in the world! The only divine original revelation in existence in the world is the Arabic Glorious Qur'ân and it has many challenges in it to prove this claim unequivocally of its divineness.

**Refer to Al-Qur'ân chapter 10 v 92: the facts stated in this verse was only verified 1,200 years after its revelation (in the 1800's). Therefore the challenge contained in the other two verses, i.e chapter 10 verse 38, as well as chapter 11 verse 13 is impossible for human beings to take up as they cannot reveal the unknown!**

It is not like the Christian claims of prophecies which Tom, Dick and Harry can interpret long after the events as they like and all differ in their interpretations!

Even Nostradamus made many prophecies. *The Qur'ânic challenges are based on scientific facts.*

**How can Greek words be the original words of Jesus,**

information recorded in the revealed Book. That is exactly what the Qur'ân does in all of the abovementioned fields as well as in the fields of science and technology. The Qur'ân has more than 1,000 verses pertaining to science that were unknown at the time the Qur'ân was revealed in the 7<sup>th</sup> century to the Prophet Muḥammad who was unlearned. Over the centuries scientists from east and west have uncovered the true facts about those verses and each one was perfect in its information. *Some of them were discovered as recently as ten years ago. This knowledge could have come only from the Creator as it was too advanced for humankind in the 7<sup>th</sup> century! Many scientists have agreed with this conclusion.* Prominent scientists have reverted to Islam when they understood the significance of their discoveries.

Go to [www.irf.net](http://www.irf.net) and click on Qur'ân & Science.

**when Jesus was not a Greek and never spoke Greek?**

Even if we want to argue that there was no other New Testament except the Greek one, then this would mean that the New Testament in Greek is the original. *But it cannot be regarded as the original words of Jesus as Jesus was not a Greek! This is proof in itself that these are not the words of Jesus!* Therefore we cannot accept that the Greek story is divine words.

Under the circumstances of the foregoing, we have to conclude that Jesus and Moses served only their own peoples for a period of time with their oral message, as their original words and messages were not preserved for future generations.

**Furthermore, we know that the Roman Catholic Bible does not comprise of the same number of verses as that of the Protestant Bible, and we also know that the numbers of Biblical books are also not the same number.** It is an acknowledged historical fact that the Bible's custodians are the Roman Catholic Church who created it. If they (*the Catholic Church*) declare that the Protestant's Bible is an unholy book because they made changes to it, then what right has anyone else have to say that it is not so?

One must be made aware of the fact that the Protestors only became *apostates* during the sixteenth century. *This means that their brand of Christianity is a brand new religion and it is only about 453 years old. They have concocted / fabricated a new scripture about 1,553 years after Jesus.* Can a scripture concocted 1,553 years after Jesus be original when there was never an original in the first place? Can anyone with common sense believe that the Protestants did not **EDIT OR REVISE** the Bible?

## HISTORY OF THE VULGATE

In the year 384 C.E. Damasus died, "and was succeeded by Siricius, who did not show much friendship towards Jerome. He found it expedient to leave Rome, and set out for the East in 385."<sup>103</sup> The journey took Jerome to Antioch: "There he was joined by two wealthy Roman ladies, Paula, a widow, and Eustochium, her daughter, one of Jerome's Hebrew students. They came accompanied by a band of Roman maidens who vowed to live a celibate life in a nunnery in Palestine. Accompanied by these ladies Jerome made the tour of Palestine..." *Paula built four monasteries, of which three were for nuns and the fourth for monks.*

"Jerome resided over the fourth monastery. Here he did most of his literary work, and throwing aside his unfinished plan for a translation from Origen's Hexaplar text, translated the Old Testament directly from the Hebrew, with the aid of Jewish scholars. He mentions a rabbi from Lydda, a rabbi from Tiberias, and above all Rabbi Ben Anina, who came to him by night secretly for fear of the Jews. Jerome makes the synagogue responsible for the accuracy of his version: "Let him who would challenge aught in this translation," he states, "ask the Jews." "The result of all this labour was the Latin translation of the Scriptures, which afterwards became the Vulgate or authorised version; but the Vulgate as we have it now suffered a good deal from changes made under the influence of the older translations; the text became very corrupt during the middle ages, and in particular all the apocrypha books (*meaning doubtful books*),

---

103 : Encyclopaedia Britannica, Vol. 13, op. cit., p. 2.

except Tobit and Judith, which Jerome translated from the Chaldee, were added from the older versions.”<sup>104</sup>

The Encyclopaedia Britannica clearly and boldly states:

**“The text became very corrupt”**

The first secret of Jerome and the Jewish Rabbis, which was claimed to have been caused “by fear”, needs to be explained. How are we going to do this? If there were other Old Testaments, where are they today? Where is the copy from which they made the Vulgate? On the other hand, what was the reason for the Rabbis to come secretly at night in fear because they knew that Jerome and they were creating something new?

**The First Catholic Bible (Translated On Instructions of Pope Damasus)**

Approximately in 381 A.D the Roman State succeeded in suppressing the Unitarians (under the leadership of Arius) beliefs that at that time was the closest to the true teachings of Nabî ‘Îsâ (p.b.u.h) [whom the Christians claim was Christ Jesus, the Greek]. Two years later, approximately 383 AD, not having destroyed the Unitarian beliefs completely, the state introduced a Bible which would conform to its ideology. Pope Damasus who was the “Pontifex Maximus” for the state entrusted this task to St. Jerome. The following statement bears the information from which this inference is drawn:

**“The Vulgate is the translation of the Bible into Latin by St. Jerome (340-420). About 383 A.D, at the request of Pope Damasus, he began revising the Old Latin version of the Gospels according to the Greek. He probably revised the other books of the New Testament at the same time. Beginning about 387 in Bethlehem, he revised the Latin**

version of the protocanonical books... of the Old Testament according to the Greek in the Hexapla of Origen. About 390 Jerome began a new Latin translation of the whole Old Testament (except 5 deuterocanonical books) from the original Hebrew. The Vulgate is made up largely of Jerome's work in these three revisions and versions. Thus in the Vulgate, the New Testament is his first revision according to the Greek. The Psalms are from his revision of the Old Testament according to the Greek in the Hexapla of Origen. The protocanonical books of the Old Testament are from Jerome's translation of the Hebrew. The five deuterocanonical books omitted by Jerome (Wisdom, Sirach, Baruch, 1 Machabees, 2 Machabees) are from the Old Latin version" [our emphases].<sup>105</sup>

St. Jerome began his task by revising the Old Latin version of the 4 Gospels "according to the Greek." As reported above, St. Jerome probably revised the Old Latin version to conform to the Greek version. If the Old Latin or Greek versions were divine books, there would not have been a necessity for St. Jerome to revise them, as it would have been a relatively simple task merely to translate them from one language to another. It is clear that St. Jerome did not engage himself merely in the translation but was engaged in the revision thereof. The only conclusion that one can draw from the above event is that he must have been instructed to revise the 4 Gospels. The reason could have been that the story of Jesus in the 4 Gospels did not concur with what they wanted it to project. **For this reason it had to be amended in order to conform to Constantine's state constitution of paganism!** After this revision was completed, the remaining 23 books

---

105 : C.B., p. 29. Kindly note: 3 different languages and Jesus did not speak any of them.

(which form the canon or regulations of Christian ideology) - *according to the state* - had been drawn. This might have been the sequence of events that could have taken place. That is why the amendments are referred to as *revisions* and not translations.

The New Testament that was now *invented* posed serious problems to the Old Testament, which now also had to be *revised* (re-invented) to be compatible with that of the New Testament. The problem was that the sequence of events did not follow in the proper chronological order. What ought to have happened was that the Old Testament had to be *translated first*, and *then* the New Testament to ensure its harmony. This was not done as the above statement clearly indicates. *They were revised in reversed order!* (*Putting the cart before the horse*)

The proper meaning of the word *revise* according to The New Collins Concise Dictionary 1985, is "*to change or amend*", "*or to prepare a new story*". According to the above statement, St. Jerome did the most unforgivable sin when he began to change or amend, or prepare a new story of the 4 Gospels. Thereafter he changed or amended, or prepared a new story of the remaining 23 books. After 4 years, in 387 C.E he began to change or amend, or prepare a new story of the Old Latin version of the protocanonical books ('meaning the inspiration of the books which was never questioned') of the Old Testament according to the Greek language in the Hexapla of Origen. After these *revisions* were completed, the stories were adjusted to conform to the constitution of the state's ideology. Approximately in the year 390 C.E, Jerome began the new translation of the whole Old Testament ('except the five the deuterion-canonical books'), 'from the original Hebrew'. The statement, which refers to 'the original Hebrew', could only have referred to the Hebrew in the Hexapla

of Origen as it did not contain vowels. It was derived from various copies of copies and it included the Septuagint together with the entire works of the Hexapla of Origen. **Jerome's work is therefore non-divine.**

**PROTESTANT VIEW POINT THE VULGATE**  
Protestant viewpoint: As has been mentioned earlier, Jerome was assigned to revise the Bible:

**“He was commissioned by the then Pope to produce a Latin rendering of the whole of the Bible, and he began by revising for the NT and the Psalter the existing translations into Latin, the so-called Old Latin texts, to bring them into line with the LXX [Septuagint]”.**<sup>106</sup>

Hence, the Vulgate of Jerome became his original work, which means that no other Vulgate existed before his time. It could also mean that the original Vulgate could have existed between 340 and 420 C.E and therefore had to be translated from a non-vowel text if there was one, as the vowel system only emerged in the 7th century C.E or during “C.E 500 to 1000”. However, the point in question is that the Vulgate of Jerome had to be “*in line with the LXX*”. If this is so, then the Vulgate of Jerome is also an unreliable source as the Septuagint is said to have been the work of ‘**ignorant**’<sup>107</sup> scribes. We therefore deduce that “the existing translations” had to be translations from *various* Septuagints. Since the latter were written in two distinct periods, viz. 284/5-246/7 BC and 250-100 BC, how do we know whether the Vulgate was “in line” with any of the Septuagints, as so much confusion prevails regarding its (Septuagint) date of origin and its contents?

---

<sup>106</sup> : Peake's Commentary on the Bible, 1962 edition, 1962, 58c, p. 75.

<sup>107</sup> : Peake's Commentary on the Bible, 1962 edition, 49c, page 63.

Instead of following the western mind by branding a person a blatant liar, we think it is better to call one an *Ignoramus, Jaa'hil* (Arabic) or *Jung'li* (Urdu) especially when a person is ignorant of the facts and make bold statements which they know are false.  
*Next we deal with Textual Criticism*

## TEXTUAL CRITICISM

A study of Textual Criticism is necessary to understand the significance and impact with the most recent information at hand, namely the Dead Sea Scrolls had on Biblical history and understanding. The Catholic explained it as follows: *We quote:*

---

***"The science that seeks to determine as nearly as possible the original biblical text as it was written by the authors themselves. This science applies to other literature besides the Bible, for example, to the Latin classics such as the works of Horace or Cicero, or to the plays of Shakespeare. In each case, all the available evidence is gathered to determine the history of the transmission of the text, and then compared to establish what seems to be the original text. There are two kinds of evidence which the textual critic uses in order to determine the text: external (documents) and internal (conjecture). As regards external evidence for both the Old and New Testaments, there are thousands of Hebrew and Greek manuscripts which have been preserved through the centuries. Besides, there are many copies of the old translations of the Bible, such as the Greek Septuagint, the Latin Vulgate, and the Syriac Peshitto. All these versions are important because they tell us about the state of the Biblical text at a time not long after the original text was written.***

---

Hence the textual critic must master all these Languages in order to use these sources, and then by comparison of text

and translations he strives to reach the original reading. Some parts of the Bible have been corrupted (i.e., the original reading has been lost) during the course of its history. If the corruption occurred very early, it may be impossible for the textual critic to arrive at the original by use of documents. Then he must resort to conjecture: taking into account the context, and various possibilities of error in the script, he strives to restore the text as he conceives it was originally written. For example: "Return, O Lord, you who ride upon the clouds," in Numbers 10:36 is a conjectural emendation of a corrupt Hebrew text. While the substantial integrity of biblical text has been preserved by the providence of God; there is still a place for textual criticism, as the Church recognizes. In the Divino afflante Spiritu... Pope Pius XII said that the art of textual criticism is "quite rightly employed in the case of the sacred books...to ensure that the sacred text be restored, as perfectly as possible, and be purified from the corruptions due to the carelessness of the copyists..." [our emphases].<sup>108</sup>

In essence what it really means is that Textual Criticism forms the basis of Biblical establishment and compilation. In other words, the Bible has no solid foundation at all. (The persons who have written the Bible have no second names and those who are referred to as 'textual critics' are **unknown**, as no names are mentioned in the Bible or the Bible Dictionaries!)

From the afore-mentioned, we deduce that the authors or Textual Critics mastered the languages of copies or at least the translated copies of an unknown Bible. What pertinence can be embodied in the works of the Textual Critics if no knowledge about the original text exists? Is it possible to accredit constructive significance to a text, which is said to

be near to the original without the original being available?

Since when can a piece of work based on a copy of some book, which is claimed to be a copy of the lost original in translated form, project valid support in favour of the meaning of the original? It is bizarre to refer to the term “corrupted” as “the original reading has been lost,” again implying that corruption became due to “carelessness of the copyists.” The corruption could only be due to the reproduction of verses which were non-existent.

Moreover, science can be proven as it is exact! Can guesswork and forging claiming it to be the word of God be termed as science? In the case of ‘Textual Criticism’ that is exactly what it means: surmising and conjecturing and passing it off as the word of God. This is treason of the highest order in the spiritual realm<sup>109</sup>! The Catholics conceded that this conjectural emendation (correct and revise) of this corrupt Hebrew text is unacceptable.

However, it is not as the Pope stated that textual criticism can restore a text which was corrupted due to the carelessness of the copyist; because the copyist knew what the contents of the original text was comprised of, and still changed it to something other than the written one. Therefore, it is wrong to assume that it was copied wrongly.

*Quote:*

**“There are the thousands of manuscripts that contain variants.”**

In other words, there are huge numbers of

---

<sup>109</sup> : *Example:* If a person forged a letter claiming it to be from the President of his country, what will be the consequences of his action when he is found to have forged it? Will anyone believe the letter to be true? Will that person be trusted again?

'variants' parts in the Bible due to the fact of Textual Criticism. The statement, which says:

"THESE VARIANTS ARE CAUSED BY PARTLY DESTROYED MSS AND NOT BECAUSE OF DIFFERENT RECORDED VERSIONS", supports our claim. When the 'destroyed MSS' were re-written by the Textual Critics then the Bible must contain a different version to that of the original statement. *That is a fact.*

Let us take the example of a Shakespearean play (*the same example the Church uses*) translated from English into a foreign language like *Japanese*, and thereafter the English one is destroyed or lost for good. The *Japanese* translation is subsequently also damaged extensively and is found in small fragments decades later - **thereafter unknown 'textual critics' centuries later** begin the task of translating it back into English without having recourse to the original English as it was lost, and not even having the complete *Japanese* translation; then one will realize that **it is impossible to reconstruct the original** play of Shakespeare as it was in the original English! This is exactly what the implication is regarding the 'reconstruction' of the Bible, as: **"The thousands of manuscripts that contain variants"** means in actual fact that the Bible contains primarily the Textual Critic's words and not that of Jesus or any other Prophet!

## AFTER THE DARK AGES

*Summarized: The Forerunners of the Reformation*

*Reformation' according to the S.A. Oxford Dictionary - 2006- states: "A Religious Movement in Europe in the 16<sup>th</sup> Century intended to reform certain teachings and practices of the Roman Church, resulting in the establishment of*

## the Reformed and Protestant Churches<sup>110</sup>”.

Before details are provided of the ‘*Forerunners*’, it is vital that the following important submission about the Bible is analyzed:

**“In those days only a few wealthy people possessed a Bible, which existed in no other language but Latin, for the Bibles had to be copied by hand as the printing press had not yet been invented. Consequently a Bible cost an enormous sum of money. Moreover not many were able to read at that time. Therefore the only way the common people could hear about the Gospel teaching was through itinerant preachers.”<sup>111</sup> [Our emphases]**

The first point to clearly understand is the fact that the Bible ‘**existed in no other language but Latin**’.

The *second* fact is that the people only heard the message from the preacher. They had no other means to verify the truth. The *third* fact is that, even if they thought that the preacher had made a mistake, they were unable to obtain a Bible from anyone other than the rich as only the very rich were in possession of it. It is also known that the poor and the rich at that time did not see eye to eye. There was no way in which they could dispute what the preacher said, as they were not in possession of a Bible. One wonders whether they were able to read at all.

The forerunners of the Reformation therefore, could only have been the rich, as the poor had no means to afford a Bible.

---

<sup>110</sup> : It must be clearly understood that ‘Reformation of the Church in Europe’ did not lead to one set of united beliefs among the reformers. Each country came to its own diverse understanding of the Catholic Bible and preached its own brand of Christianity. *Examples*: Germany called it ‘Protestant’; Scotland called its new brand Christianity ‘Presbyterian’; the French called it ‘Huguenots’ and so on. (History of the Kingdom of God, vol. 1, New Apostolic Church. 1<sup>st</sup> Edition printed in Germany in 1971)

<sup>111</sup> : N.A.C., op. Cit, pp. 98-99. (1<sup>st</sup> Edition. History of the Kingdom of God, vol 1. – Printed 1971)

*“Peter Waldo founded a “Community of Brothers and Sisters”, the aim of which was to spread the Gospel of repentance,”*<sup>112</sup>

### **Is it not true that Christ Jesus never spoke Latin?**

Even if we want to say that the Latin Bible was translated from the **Greek**, it makes no difference, as it is still a Catholic version. The fact that the “**Greek Bible**” was not in existence substantiates the view that the Latin version of the Bible is the Catholic one. This means that they have constructed it to convey what they thought the Bible should project. The question that should be answered is, ‘Are the other Bibles or doctrines which others have produced, the truth? Or, is it not true that only the Catholic version should be their Bible – and their doctrines alone should be correct according to their ideology?’

According to the Christian history of the New Apostolic Church, Wiclif translated his own English Bible:

*“Somewhere about the year 1350 John Wiclif was lecturing at Oxford University in England. After having studied the Holy Scripture most attentively he was struck by the discrepancy between the Church of his time and the teaching of the Bible, which findings he passed on to the large audience attending his lectures as well as to the congregations that followed his sermons. He objected to the worshipping of saints and of relics, to the imposing of celibacy on priests, to auricular confession and a lot of other things. In the end he translated the Holy Scripture into English and sent itinerant preachers all over the country to preach to the people the Gospel as he understood it...When he grew older Wiclif left the University and took on an incumbency in the country; he died there in 1384 greatly venerated by all who knew him. Yet the hatred*

---

112 : N.A.C, p. 99.

of his antagonists pursued him even beyond the grave. Forty years after his death, in 1428, his body was exhumed and burnt, then the ashes were scattered over a river.”<sup>113</sup>

The important fact to be analyzed is that Wiclif could only have translated the Bible into English from the **Latin version**, as at that time already no other original Bible was in existence. The next point, which is contained in the words: “*After having studied the Holy Scripture*”, could only refer to the **Latin** version that was used in the Church. Was this “Holy Scripture” not the same **Latin Bible of the Catholics**? The statement “*as he (Wiclif) understood it*”, could only mean that he made an interpretation of the **Latin** Bible, so that it could conform to his own idea of what he thought it should have meant. The New English Bible of Wiclif could not therefore have been regarded as divine, and consequently its contents must have contained a new or different message. It appears that the next important person in the non-Catholic Church’s history was John Hus. According to the history of the New Apostolic Church, it is reported that Hus was convinced that Wiclif was correct. The following quotation supports this view:

“He became increasingly convinced that Wiclif’s views were right and he spread them among his compatriots, all the people in Bohemia.”<sup>114</sup>

This act proved that Hus could not have understood the truth if he agreed with Wiclif, because Wiclif produced his own view, which he thought the Bible projected, whilst in the full knowledge of the fact that no authentic Bible existed nor did the **only Latin** Version project the truth. However, it is stated:

---

<sup>113</sup> : Pp. 100-101. N.A.C.

<sup>114</sup> : N.A.C., p. 101.

## **“On 6 July 1415 Hus was burnt alive in public.”<sup>115</sup>**

The history, as quoted, must clearly be the reason as to how the different denominations came into existence. The people were also misguided; the reason being that the only available Bible known at that time was the **Latin** version.

The Italian, Girolamo Savonarola who also deviated from the Catholic dogma, lead him to the gallows:

“He was put into jail, tortured and then on 23 May 1498 he was hanged on the gallows together with two other monks, after which his body was burnt.”<sup>116</sup>

In the Netherlands a group known as the “Brothers of Common Life” also copied the ‘Holy Scripture’. It is said:

“The best-known of them was *Thomas a Kempis* (a designation that refers to his birthplace Kempen, near Dusseldorf) who wrote a book on the imitation of Christ. This devotional book is still used by a large number of Roman Catholics; a special edition of it has remained popular with many Protestants down to our times. At one time this book was said to have an edition second only to the Bible.”<sup>117</sup>

Any new interpretation or whatever new concepts they may have attempted to introduce could only have been extracted from the Latin Bible, which was used by them.

### **The Greeks influence on the Bible**

It appears obvious that the Greeks also had a Bible, which was translated from the *Latin* version, as there was no other Bible available at the time. According to the New Apostolic Church:

---

115 : *ibid*, p. 102.

116 : *ibid*, p. 103.

117 : *ibid*, p. 104. N.A.C.

“When printing was invented by *John Gutenberg* in Mainz in 1444, and when the Greek language became better known after some Greeks had fled from Constantinople when that town was conquered, the main obstacles were removed.”<sup>118</sup>

The question is: what were the ‘obstacles’? If the Latin version of the Bible was correct, then it could not have been one of the ‘obstacles’. Were all the other interpretations of the Bible not correct? Or, were the new interpretations one of the ‘obstacles’ before the invention of printing? The answers to these questions must be that all the interpretations were derived from the **Latin** Bible, although it was translated into other languages. If it is not so, then what were the ‘obstacles’? The only conclusion could be that one of the ‘obstacles’ was that the Gospels were said to have been recorded in Greek. Knowing that **Jesus never spoke Greek** and it was only later translated into **Latin**, in spite of the fact that there were no original **Greek** Bibles in existence. An attempt to remove one of the main obstacles is to say that the Gospels were written in Greek, so that no one is in a position to ask for the original Gospel of Jesus, which should have been recorded in **Aramaic**. Therefore, it is reported in such a manner that it may appear to project the idea that the Greeks produced their own Greek Bible from Constantinople. Nay, an attempt was made to remove the main obstacle, that is: the method that was applied was to produce a story as to how the Greek Bible came into existence.

That is why they produced a Greek Bible so that it could be claimed that the first Gospels were written in Greek. By this implication a fictitious argument comes about and tantamount to a claim that the Roman Catholics understood it all wrong. The statement says:

---

118 : *ibid*, p. 104.

“From that time it was possible to translate the Bible easily and also to provide the common people with copies of it - nothing could delay a reformation of the Church any longer.”<sup>119</sup>

Although the Latin word Reformation may mean “restoration” “i.e. a renewal of the Church or a removal of imperfections within the Church.”<sup>120</sup> The only difference are the numbers of the books that the Bible contain, and some words are changed but the doctrine of Trinity remains, which is the main imperfection within the Church.

### **Martin Luther (1483-1546)**

He grew up in a small mining town called Mansfeld, in Germany. He attended a *Latin* school. It is said of him:

“One day when he was approaching the town gates he was surprised by a thunder storm. Lightning struck the ground just in front of him. He was terrified to death and shouted: “Help, holy Anna, I will become a monk!” ...He felt obliged to keep this vow made in a moment of panic when he saw the lightning strike.”<sup>121</sup>

From the statement it appears as if he was a superstitious person. The question is, did the *Latin Bible*, of which he was a follower of in his youth, contain superstition? However, it is stated that he ‘became a monk and later on a priest’.<sup>122</sup> It is very important to take notice of the words “*I will become a monk*”, as this means that the ‘vow’ became very important as far as his sincerity was concerned. If the “*Lightning*” represented a sign, and his response was the vow, then his own actions will demonstrate if he believed in the

---

119 : p. 104. N.A.C.

120 : P. 104 ibid

121 : ibid, p. 105. N.A.C., op. Cit, pp

122 : ibid. N.A.C., op. Cit, pp

truthfulness of his own vow, and if it was a true sign. It is said:

“He felt obliged to keep this vow made in a moment of panic when he saw the lightning strike. This resolution was strengthened by other tragic events that impressed him deeply, such as an outbreak of the plague in *Erfurt* that brought sudden death to several of his fellow students. Above all, however, it was concern for the state of his soul that made him enter a monastery.”<sup>123</sup>

Throughout this period ‘he devoted himself assiduously to the study of theology and read with great interest the whole Bible, written in *Latin*, which he had been given when he entered the monastery’.<sup>124</sup> In 1511 Luther soon found out “that Rome was by no means a holy city, for he observed even among the priests living there much corruption and immorality and only few signs of genuine piety.”<sup>125</sup>

### **‘The mysterious historical part of Luther’s life’.**

According to the New Apostolic Church:

“*Luther* began to translate the Bible, an enormous undertaking. He completed his translation of the New Testament from Greek into German at this place [Wartburg] of refuge. After his return to Wittenberg, he added to this the Old Testament translated into German from the original Hebrew. *Luther’s* friends, in particular the linguist *Philip Melancthon*, aided him in this work. That is how the German Bible came to be written, the text of which is still used as the basis of religious instruction and edification in German-speaking Churches today, including our Church” [our emphasis].<sup>126</sup>

<sup>123</sup> : p. 105-106. N.A.C.

<sup>124</sup> : p. 106. N.A.C.

<sup>125</sup> : p. 108. N.A.C.

<sup>126</sup> : p. 113. N.A.C.

It is an historical claim that the oldest Hebrew Bible is claimed to be the 916 AD Massoretic version before the Dead Sea Scrolls was discovered. It would be interesting to find out where Luther obtained his so-called 'original Hebrew' version from.

### **John Calvin (1509-1564)**

Although Calvin was a Frenchman, he wrote "for the press his *Institutes of the Christian Religion*. The work was dedicated to the king, and Calvin says he wrote it in **Latin** that it might find access to the learned in all lands."<sup>127</sup> It seems as if he also had to rely on Luther:

"His indebtedness to Luther is of course great, but his spiritual kinship with Martin Bucer of Strasbourg is even more marked."<sup>128</sup> At the age of 26, his book *Institutes of the Christian Religion* "we find a complete outline of the Calvinist theological system. Nor is there any reason to believe that he ever changed his views on any essential point from what they were at the period of its first publication."<sup>129</sup>

It appears from history that in spite of the fact that Christianity was now about 1537 years old, the doctrines of this religion was still not properly understood by the people. The Catholics are also rejected for not being able to produce the truth, just as the people were in the time of Calvin. Therefore, in an attempt to save the situation, it is stated:

"To obviate the evils thence resulting, Calvin, in union with Farel, drew up a condensed statement of Christian doctrine consisting of 21 articles."<sup>130</sup>

---

<sup>127</sup> : *ibid.*, Vol. 4, op. cit.,

<sup>128</sup> : *ibid.* Vol. 4, op. cit.,

<sup>129</sup> : *ibid.*, p. 632. Vol. 4, op. cit.,

<sup>130</sup> : *ibid.* Vol. 4, op. cit.,

These articles had to be accepted on oath:  
“The citizens were summoned, in parties of ten each, to profess and swear to as the confession of their faith.”<sup>131</sup>

These were the proceedings to get the people to accept the Christian doctrines which were really new to the first Church. Studying the works of these so-called reformers, it becomes clear that all of them must have been confronted with the fact that there did not exist any original biblical documents from which they could have worked. They all had to find means and ways to overcome this great difficulty; this is why the theory of “**faith**” became the most important aspect of their new religion.

In conclusion it is very important to mention that the Muslim account of the religious principles and laws of all the prophets (p.b.u. them) which are given in the Arabic Glorious Qur’ân, is related in such a manner that it in no way contradicts itself. On the contrary, it clarifies *previous messages*, which might not have been understood or have not been supported by evidence. Unlike as in the case of Christianity, a complete new religion was brought into being as the biblical theory developed at the hands of the new reformers. *Encyclopedia Britannica supports the Arabic Glorious Qur’ân:*

**“GREEK MSS. - The original autographs of the New Testament books have long since perished, and (except for a few fragments, all from upper Egypt) the same fate has overtaken all the MSS. used by Christians in the ante-Nicene period. When in the 4th century the empire became Christian and the Church established, copies of the Scripture were multiplied in a substantial form”** [our emphases].<sup>132</sup>

---

<sup>131</sup> : The Encyclopedia Britannica, Vol. 4, op. cit.,

<sup>132</sup> : Encyclopaedia Britannica, Vol. 3, op. cit., p. 515.

## THE AUTHORS OF THE NEW TESTAMENT

In the following argument we shall point out a discrepancy that evolved from the teachings of the four authors, viz. Matthew, Mark, Luke and John relating to Jesus. **The Catholics contend that none of the *'original'* manuscripts of the N.T exists.** Hence, only copies of an unknown N.T should exist. It is from these copies that the four authors informed us about the information about Jesus, and it is from these Gospels that they obtained their Biblical information. *Let us now refer to the following verses pertaining to the same subject as it appears in the four different Gospels:*

---

MATTHEW: N.I.V. *Matthew 27:37:* Above his head they placed the written charge against him: **"This is Jesus the King of the Jews"**

MARK: N.I.V. *Mark 15:26:* The written notice of the charge against him read: **"The King of the Jews"**

LUKE: N.I.V. *Luke 23:38:* There was a written notice above him, which read: **"This is the King of the Jews"**

JOHN:N.I.V.*John19:19:*Pilatehadanoticepreparedandfastened to the cross, it read, **"Jesus of Nazareth, the King of the Jews"**.

It is clear that all four authors describe the charge against Jesus as a *'written'* notice. What this means, is that the charge against Jesus was put in writing. Therefore, such a *'written'* charge can only contain the charge in a *grammatical sentence, containing certain distinct words.* The *'written'* notice was in "Aramaic, Latin and Greek."<sup>133</sup> However, in the King James Version 1984, John 19:19 states:

**"This title then read many of the Jews: for the place where Jesus was crucified was nigh to the city: and**

**it was written in Hebrew, and Greek, and Latin".** This means that three of the authors copied or translated the 'written' notice incorrectly, and as a result it means that the three languages must have been translated incorrectly! *The question is:* who copied or translated the '*written*' notice incorrectly? A one line written notice was translated incorrectly by 3 or all 4 of the Bible writers, hence it is unreliable; then could any of the hundreds of words spoken by Jesus **orally** be remembered and written correctly??

In essence, disagreement amongst these authors on the same subject elicited serious doubts about their ability to copy or translate divine texts. Our concern is that if the authors could copy or translate the N.T manuscripts incorrectly in relation to a (*one*) particular subject, is it not possible that hundreds if not thousands of similar contradictions are present in the current N.T? It is important moreover, especially in the light of what is contained in the statement made in the N.B.C., p. 3, which relates to what Christ Jesus spoke and whether when such words spoken can be regarded as having divine authority when it was obtained **second hand-not directly from the mouth of Jesus.**

Furthermore, we understand that the role of Textual Criticism is to bring about consensus in the written N.T text. It appears that the N.I.V.'s Textual Critics have failed to remedy the text regarding the "written notice" above the head of Jesus. **In other words, they did not weigh the words of different authors against each other and hence, never reached consensus about what the "written notice" should have been. In this sense, the authors of the N.I.V. failed to produce a text devoid of contradiction in such a basic recording of just one written sentence!**

In the 'New World Translation of the Holy Scriptures', Revised Version 1970- C.E Bible , (and the Revised Edition of 1984) published by the **Watch Tower Bible & Tract Society of Pennsylvania** there are a number of verses missing. They have just put a **dash (-)** next to the verse number without any text. *Question:* Can God reveal His word in a manner that leaves **blank spaces** in His scriptures?

Reference	Chapter	Verse
Mark	7	16
Mark	11	26
Luke	23	17
John	5	4
Acts	8	37
Acts	15	34
Acts	24	7
Acts	28	29
Romans	16	24

*Question:* How many more contradictions, **missing in action verses**, deliberate and not so deliberate mistakes there are in the Bible? In the Awake Magazine dated September, 1957 it is boldly written that there are **50,000 (fifty thousand) errors** in the Bible!!

---

***The Arabic Glorious Qur'ân unequivocally states: "Then Woe To Those Who Write The Book With Their Own Hands, And Then Say: This Is From ALLÂH, To Traffic With It For A Miserable Price"! Al-Qur'ân Chapter 2: verse 79***

Any historian of repute will be familiar with the fact that wherever one finds White Christians and Jews in the world, and whether some of them today are Atheists or not, they are sitting in possession of stolen property. Take the United States of America, every White person of British or European decent that are in that country, is as a result **of slaughtering** the indigenous people. In South Africa<sup>134</sup>

<sup>134</sup> : South Africa became a democratic country in 1994. Thereafter a Truth and Reconciliation Commission was set up to investigate the atrocities committed by the Christian White Apartheid Govt. Some of the most bizarre and unbelievable acts of barbarism was commit-

in the twenty-first century, the Codesa Agreement is an example of Christian robbery. They have produced the so-called most advanced Constitution in the world, which gives the White Christians and Jews everything they have stolen from the indigenous people of our Land, as if it is their legitimate properties. Think carefully why we say: *'...unfortunately he cannot free himself from,'* meaning the *'natural way of robbing, being immoral and untruthful, having brutal tendencies, lying, and other bad habits, which has developed as an innate nature of Christians and Jews'.*

The following extracts prove our allegations of the Pagan Nations to use the method of **'Christianizing'<sup>135</sup> to enslave** the indigenous peoples of other countries. So when one finds a European, American or British person in a country not belonging to them, then one ought to understand why they are there! *8<sup>th</sup> September 2007 from International Clearing House- "We could not leave them to themselves -- they were unfit for self-government- and they would soon have anarchy and misrule over there worse than Spain's was. There was nothing left for us to do but to take them all, and to educate the Filipinos, and uplift and civilize and Christ-ianize them."*

*By William McKinley - (1843-1901) 25th US President - Source: 1899, on the Filipinos, following the U.S. invasion of the Philippines*

ted by the White Christian Security Policeman upon the black indigenous peoples. A few examples: {1} These white Christian security policemen knocked nails into sticks and then pushed it up the anus of the 'terrorist', and then pulled it down so hard that it tore the inside of the person and he died a most painful and lingering death. Many were killed in this way. {2} Throwing down people from 10 storey buildings (Ahmed Timol) {3} Poisoning (Frank Chikane) {4} Murder (Imâm Hârûn) and Steve Biko etc. These are supposed to be all recorded in the Truth and Reconciliation records. The list goes on and on.

**135: Christianizing means:** Mass slaughtering of the innocent indigenous populations, enslaving and robbing them of their natural resources etc for centuries: examples-India, many African countries (Morocco, Libya, Algeria, Namibia, Angola, Sudan Mozambique etc ) and in Australia, Iraq and Afghanistan till today.

in 1898. During the invasion and occupation, U.S. forces killed an estimated 200,000 Filipino civilians. [**Address to the Methodist Episcopal Church**; cited in Olcott, *The Life of William McKinley* (1916), v. 2, p. 110; estimate of civilian casualties from U.S. Library of Congress, "The World of 1898: The Spanish-American War,"] Today there are 190 nations in the world and we have 130 military bases on this planet in other nations: If we do not have a WAR department, why do we need so many bases? We call the military-the Department of Defense-yet how can this be considered a Defensive Department - when so many of our bases are still located in 130 other countries"? "We're Dealing with a Christian Taliban"  
**-By Jim Kirwan-Interview with Mikey Weinstein**

---

September 2007- the Pentagon pulled the plug on a plan to dispatch so-called "freedom packages" to U.S. troops in Iraq that included Bibles, proselytizing materials in English and Arabic, and an apocalyptic computer game in which "soldiers for Christ" battle satanic "Global Community Peacekeepers".  
**Some of the atrocities committed by the pagan Americans and Europeans.**

---

**U.S. economy built on slavery and genocide:**  
By Penny Hess 17<sup>th</sup> December 2007. <<http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/>> ICH» -- --  
Since African human beings were first abducted at gunpoint from Africa, turned into a commodity and transported to America as well-insured cargo, stacked on pallets in the holds of ships, the Western world has gotten its economic stimulus from the oppression of others. Today we talk about oil prices and fluctuations in the stock market, but there were whole centuries when the price of an

African was the most important topic at businessmen's lunches in New York and London. The Wall Street stock exchange sits on the site of New York auction blocks and slave ship docks. The African cemetery found under a high rise building on Wall Street is the perfect metaphor for this country: America's wealth resting literally on the bodies of African people. <http://www.uhurunews.com/>

**Read Omali Yeshtela** books. <http://www.burningspearuhuru.com/books.html#oys> > <http://www.burningspearuhuru.com/books.html#OAON> > One Africa! One Nation!

Europe was a cold, barren, impoverished and war-like place in the Middle Ages. It was characterized by oppression, plague and feudal serfdom when it set out to rescue itself by ravaging Africa. Henry the Navigator of Portugal sent ships out to the coast of West Africa around 1420, and by the year 1500 Europe had already extracted 81,000 African people and 700 tons of gold from Africa.

Around the same time Columbus began the process of massive genocide of the Indigenous people of the Americas and the theft of their land and resources.

We are taught ridiculous myths that somehow Europe worked hard, saved its money and thus became the dominant economic and military power in the world. But an honest look at history shows that the development of wealth and power in Europe parallels its assault on Africa and other peoples every step of the way. In the 1500s the Spanish government monopolized the trade in African human beings, even as the governments of Holland, England and France were waiting in the wings. They would all go to war for a piece of this most valuable commodity, just as oil wars are being fought today.

Independent businessmen<sup>136</sup> also wanted some of this loot, financing their own ships as pirates or «privateers» under the banner of «free trade.» Entrepreneurs like Jean Lafitte raided the state-owned slave ships laden with human cargo and made a fortune selling Africans off the coast of New Orleans at discount rates.

As Yeshitela again points out, the trade in African people did far more than make southern plantation owners wealthy. The plantations are long gone but the wealth of African enslavement has been compounded in the overall economy of America a million times over.

What part of Europe's and America's economy did not get started on the human trade? Banking, insurance, ship building, industry, universities, tourism, railroads, housing, hotels, law firms, the garment industry, retail sales, Wall Street itself were all spawned by African enslavement. We're taught that Africans became «free» after the official enslavement ended in 1865 in the U.S. In reality other forms of African exploitation were found to be more lucrative for the Western economy.

In Africa Europe imposed direct colonialism. There was no word for «genocide» when Europe and America were slaughtering millions of African people on the continent as they ripped out diamonds, rubber, ivory, gold, and other precious resources that further consolidated Western wealth and power. Rarely discussed, but extremely important to America's wealth, is the system of convict leasing. For more than 70 years thousands of African people were rounded up under Jim Crow laws, kept in work camps and leased out by state governments to plantations, limestone

---

**136** : Like the Bushes, Cheney's, Rumsfeld's, Halliburton's, and Blackwater of the USA of nowadays that are raping Iraq and Afghanistan.

and phosphorus mines, road gangs and logging teams. The brutal system of convict leasing rebuilt the economy of the southern states following the Civil War. In the late 19th century more than 80 percent of the revenue of Alabama came from convict leasing. I have read that Hitler modeled work camps on the convict leasing system, which was known to be worse than slavery. **The white people's motto was, «One dies, get another.»**

European immigrants coming to America were pretty clear that American «opportunities» came to them because of African enslavement and the genocide against the Indigenous people.

Throughout most of the 19th century street gangs made up of white workers in northern cities functioned as a terrorist force against African people who had escaped to the north. Lynching was the popular pastime of white America for a hundred years. These chilling festivals of violence had the avid participation of the whole white family. Children were dressed up and posed for photographs in front of the lifeless bodies of African people. This public torture and murder of African people was accompanied by music, dancing and food vendors.

White people terrorized Africans who were prospering in independent economic communities. Tulsa, Oklahoma and Rosewood, Florida are only the most famous examples of this. All over the country Africans banded together, buying land and setting up collective economic ventures that were quite successful, but these were destroyed one after another. White people would never allow Africans to become more prosperous than they.

Similarly, the media tell us the reason Africa is poor today is because its leaders are «corrupt.» But every time an African

leader rises up, demanding that the resources of his country benefit the people, the leader has been assassinated or overthrown by America or Europe—from Patrice Lumumba to Kwame Nkrumah to Thomas Sankara. It's not corruption; it's the U.S. policy of neocolonialism, which ensures that Africa's resources stay in the pocket of Western powers. I have read that more than 80 percent of all the mineral resources the U.S. needs to function are in Africa. This is the basis for the U.S. militarization of Africa under AFRICOM.

**In the USA, after the leaders of the Black Power Movement of the 1960s were assassinated or imprisoned by the government, the U.S. began flooding African communities with drugs: heroin and later crack cocaine. This is well-documented from many sources.**

We cannot underestimate the importance of this illegal drug trade to the U.S. economy. Said by the United Nations to be worth more than \$500 billion a year, illegal drugs constitute the third largest commodity in the world, behind oil and arms.

Clearly those billions of narco-dollars are not floating around in African communities, but rather buy the cars, mansions and private jets of the Wall Street elite. They also benefit white society as a whole. Since the late 70s drug money has funded real estate, car dealerships, jewelry stores, restaurants and more.

**Penny Hess is author of "Overturning the Culture"<sup>137</sup>**  
< <http://www.burningspearuhuru.com/books.html#ocov> > of Violence and the chair of

---

<sup>137</sup> : The pagan vultures of today mentioned above are using more sophisticated means to subjugate the masses. The trend is to make films and indoctrinate them with sex, alcoholism, violence and the blatant exploitation of women. One will notice that no matter what is sold, a naked or semi naked woman is in the advert. Due to the continuous bombardment of these type of actions the masses become used to seeing and experiencing this sort of filth. They think it is the norm when it is not.

the African <<http://www.apscuhuru.org/>>

\*\*\*\*\*

*Study the history of the world with truthful analysis (past and present) and see what they are doing to other innocent peoples in the world! And so it is with the Bible (NT & OT); they have destroyed the messages of the Prophets and continue to mislead their followers.*

\*\*\*\*\*

**The question whether the whole Bible is the word of God or not needs a constructive answer. The fact that nabî Mûsâ (p.b.u.h) had to speak the Egyptian language with Pharaoh is sufficient reason to understand that the greater part of the Bible (O.T) cannot be divine words. The lesser part of the Bible (N.T) which is supposed to contain the words of nabî 'Îsâ (p.b.u.h) are definitely not his words as its "original" are in the Greek language which makes it a plain pagan story book. In short, the Bible is an invention of pagans.**

\*\*\*\*\*

---

### A Few Quotations and Comments

*"HolymenofGodspakeastheyweremovedbytheHolyGhost."*

*What is a 'Holy Ghost'?* How can one substantiate it with evidence when the term comes from paganism? Examine the following words carefully in order to find if there is any truth in the statement:

**"The stories, history, and events mentioned therein are true recordings because God is inspiring the scribes to write accurately from their memories, historical events, etc."**

What are the foundations on which one must believe these stories when it contains unexplainable bizarre fiction? Compare the recordings of these scribes<sup>138</sup> and

---

**138** : I quote Mr. David Patrick Moore, from Cape Town, "The Bible itself was written over a long period, from earliest scriptures to the Book of Revelation, and was written by

one finds complete contradictions in the most basic happenings! Yet the Church makes these preposterous and absurd claims of scribes being 'inspired'.

Reflect a little on the claim: "*a Bible believing Christian*". Does this mean that he or she believes in the Bible of the Mormons, or The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints' holy words? Or, does it mean that such a person believes in the Roman Catholic Holy Bible which has more books than the **rejecters / apostates (Protestants)** of the First Christian Church? Alternatively, does it mean that such a person believes in the Jehovah<sup>139</sup> Witness version of the Bible based on their understanding thereof? Do not forget Peake's Commentary of the Bible, which has the following comment, under the following heading: **Confusion concerning the "divine" message in the Bible:**

Reference is made to some problematic quotations, which may contain aspects not adequately discussed in the Christian and Jewish literature. The first conflict that is referred to can be found in the following quotation: "For the Pentateuch we have Targum Jerusalem I (or Palestinian Targum), which has also been called Pseudo - Jonathan (the abbreviation T.J. having been wrongly tacked

---

a number of different people, thieves and adulterers among them, who had opposing views to those who had written before. Close scrutiny of the Bible shows that it can, in places, contradict itself" ... 2 Nov 2007 (*Timothy wrote in the Greek language*, which was never spoken by any Israelite prophet)

**139** : One must understand that the so called Jehovah Witness Christians are a very new religion /cult. They only date back to the 1870's. The Catholic Church has branded them as APOSTATES, which means that they have abandoned the belief of the *Catholic Church*. *Also all the other sects, like the Baptists, Methodists, Latter Day Saints, Pinkster Kerk, The Mormon Church, Jesus is King Church, Sowers of the Wood, Saint this or that, Ruach Ministries, Shekinah Ministries, Christ Centered Ministries, Presbyterian, Calvary Baptist Mission, Church of Emmanuel, Glorified Revival Ministries, Bread of Life Ministries etc all fall into the same category that the Catholic Vatican Church calls Apostates.*

on to a well-known Targumic personality). It contains a text to which later reference will be made, and a considerable amount of paraphrase, popular stories and marginal notes, though how much is later accretion is rightly suspected when we find that it includes **in Gen. 21:21 the names of a wife and daughter of Mohammed**" [our emphases].<sup>140</sup>

It is obvious that the Textual Critics in their reconstruction of certain passages of the Bible, which could have been missing due to the mutilation or destruction of the Scrolls, may have used the Targums to formulate the present Bible. **These Targums comprise of non-divine information, which have been used hitherto in the revision of the present day Bibles.** It can be that the issue dealing with '*the names of a wife and daughter of Mohammed*' can be justified in terms of historical evidence. This statement does not purport to create an argument that this is the portion that should have been included in the various versions of the Bible. It merely points out that inappropriate information (please analyse 'The problem of Esther' in various commentaries) could have been included in the Bible and pertinent information could have been omitted as a result.

The first Bible that appeared in the world *between two covers* was in 1740-41. The Bible by Tarndale which is claimed to be around the 1500's is also not to be found. Even if for the sake of argument we agree that Tarndale's Bible was available, it was approximately 800 years after the Qur'ân was revealed, preserved perfectly by memory and in written form. It was in circulation in the Muslim Empire, the greater part of Europe including Spain. The English Revised Standard Version -1980, on page iii. states:

---

140 : Peake. 1962, 66e, p. 84.

“The first English version of the Scriptures made by direct translation from the original Hebrew and Greek, and the first to be printed was the work of William Tyndale. He met bitter opposition. He was willfully perverting the meaning of the Scriptures, and his New Testament were ordered to be burned as “untrue translations.” He was finally betrayed into the hands of his enemies, and in October, 1536, he was publicly executed and burned at the stake. **Yet Tyndale’s work became the foundation of subsequent English version**” [our emphases].

If Tyndale’s work was burnt and rejected as “untrue”, the question remains what was left. Assume the remains of his work were preserved then, it means the English version of the New Testament is based entirely on parts of his work. Our concern is that it would be extremely difficult to ascertain what an author articulated in his research if only a section of the research was used. Hence, if the English version of the New Testament is based entirely on a piece of incomplete work, how valid can such a version be? On the other hand, if none of his “untrue translations” could be retrieved, on what is the English version of the New Testament based?

*Some pastors & priests pose the question: How can we account for similarities in certain verses between the Bible and the Qur’ân, though there is a difference of languages?*

The answer is really quite simple. As explained above, the **barbarians of Europe** were civilized by the Muslims. In the seventh century, Muslims entered Spain and brought such high standards of civilization<sup>141</sup> to the barbarians, that the barbarians for the first time in their lives experienced

---

141 · Refer to : *John William Draper’s book: ‘The History of the Intellectual Development of Europe’* {M.D., LL.D., Professor of Chemistry and Physiology in the University of New York}

such sophistication that it was like a *miracle* to them. In fact they looked upon the Muslims as 'gods'. When Muslims read the Arabic Glorious Qur'ân, and taught them the meaning of the words they realized that here was a miraculous Book. It spoke about all branches of sciences<sup>142</sup> -astronomy, embryology, botany, physics, zoology, medicine, hydrology, etc- and the Muslims were laying the foundations and advancing in all these sciences.

However, unfortunately for these barbarians they failed to realise that the Arabic Glorious Qur'ân has two types of verses: allegorical and fundamental, and miserably for them they interpreted even the allegorical verses literally, thereby attributing divinity to Jesus on the basis of certain allegorical statements, and also without heeding the fundamental principles established by the earlier prophets.

**The two types of verses in the Arabic Glorious Qur'ân are unequivocally stated in Surah Al-Imraan, Chapter 3 verse 7. "He it is Who has revealed the Book to you, some of its verses are *Muhkamât* (absolutely clear and lucid, decisive); they are *Ummul-Kitâb* (the core of the original foundation**

**142 : *The stark contrast between the Arabic Glorious Qur'ân and the Bible in the information of science is unbelievable!* The Arabic Glorious Qur'ân is perfectly in line with the most recent discoveries in every field, whereas the Bible is not! Take the chapter of Genesis: the information regarding the creation of the world and vegetation is so foolish that it makes one embarrassed to read it. It says that vegetation was created before the sun, the universe was created in 6 x24 hour days, the world stands on pillars and is flat, the sun is stationary, and the moon gives off its own light, and if one climbs the tallest tree then one can see the entire world and so on. **Contrast that with the Arabic Glorious Qur'ân statements that the universe was created in 6 epochs of time, the light of the moon is reflected light (25:61) , the sun revolves and is moving in its own orbit(36:38 & 21:33), the earth is spherical (79:30), the planets revolve around in their own orbits (36:40) the detailed stages of a fetus in the womb (23- 12/14) and hundreds more scientific facts, all absolutely correct and in line with the latest scientific discoveries. All this information could only have come from the Creator. NOT ONE SCIENTIFIC FACT IS WRONG! Proof that the Arabic Glorious Qur'ân is the ultimate guidance from the Creator as revealed!****

of all revelation; the Essence of Allâh's Will and Law. The basis of the Book and also its protector, hence the '*mother of the Book*'); and others are *Mutashâbihât* (allegorical). Then those in whose hearts is perversity follow the part of it, which is allegorical, seeking to mislead and seeking to give it (their own) interpretation. (*What it means is that one is not searching for its hidden meanings*). And *mâya'lamu* (none can exhaust its): *ta'wîlahu 'illallâh* (*ta'wîlahu* = the discovering, detecting, revealing, developing or disclosing or the explaining, expounding or interpreting of which a thing is or may be reduced or that which it may come to be, [within the framework of the Qur'ânic teachings]), save Allâh. And those firmly rooted in knowledge, they say- 'We believe in it, it is all from our *Rabb*.<sup>143</sup> And none will grasp the message except people of understanding."<sup>144</sup>

The Arabic Glorious Qur'ân unmistakably states that there are two types of verses in it. The first type of verses are the **Muhkamât** (decisive) verses, which means having the power of deciding; showing decision; final; positive; and these are the foundation of the Book.

The second category of verses is that which are called **Mutashâbihât**: (allegorical). Allegorical means:

(1) '**A figurative representation conveying a meaning other than and in addition to the literal. ... The etymological meaning of the word is wider than which it bears in actual use. An allegory is distinguished from a metaphor by being longer sustained and more fully carried out**

<sup>143</sup> : According to Ar-Râghib al-Isfahâni, it means 'to nurture a thing in such a manner as to make it attain one condition after another until its goal of completion' Mufradât alfâz al-Qur'ân by ar-Râghib al-Isfahâni. Please note that the word has different meanings when it does not refer to the Creator.

<sup>144</sup> : Al-Qur'ân 3:7.

in its details, and from analogy by the fact that one appeals to the imagination and the other to reason. ..."<sup>145</sup>

(2) "Yes, through the allegorical verses delusion is overcome by reason, imagination is compelled to submit to thought, the hidden is made present, the disparate are brought together, the various are blended, the sundered connected, and the defenseless armed. The hidden is made present, the abstract made tangible, and the meaning made palpable..."<sup>146</sup>."

In other words the statements made in allegorical words are subject to different meanings, *the interpretation of which must be in consonance with the other decisive parts of the Book.*

In fact this is true of every writing. When a certain law is laid down in a book in unmistakable words, any statement carrying a doubtful significance or one which is apparently opposed to the law so laid down must be interpreted *subject to* the principle enunciated. Thus reading various passages in the light of each other, they discover the true significance of Mutashâbihât passages. Hence such people are spoken of as *knowing* the true interpretation of allegorical verses. The second type of people are: "*Then those in whose hearts is perversity follow the part of it which is allegorical*" are those that do not care to seek the real interpretation, which can only be found by referring to the principles laid down in the decisive verses of the Arabic Glorious Qurân.

We also have to point out that according to historical evidence; there was no written Bible in existence in Europe at the time when Muslims settled in Spain and the Caucasus. It was only after the Muslims had moved into

---

145 : The Encyclopædia Britannica 14th edition 1929, Vol. 1, p. 645.

146 : Explanation by a great scholar of Islam, *Bediuzzaman Said Nursi from Turkië (Turkey)* in his book: "*Signs of Miraculousness*" - page 122

these areas that the barbarians had access to the Arabic Glorious Qurân and then they formulated a written Bible by plagiarizing from the Arabic Glorious Qurân. In this process of writing the Bible they made many unforgivable errors, the most important one as pointed out earlier about the decisive and allegorical verses. The other errors being:

Ø **Translating proper (nouns) names.** This is very dangerous and unforgivable. It gives a complete wrong representation of the person. Take the example of a person whose name is Mr. White. This name will immediately bring a mental picture of a white person of European descent. Translate that name into Zulu, which is Unumzane (Mister) Umhlope (White) and the mental picture is of a black person. If the name is Mr. Green, and you translate it into Unumzane (Mr.) Uhlaza (Green), again the mental picture changes to that of a black man. Translate it into any other language and the person becomes a person of a different nationality. The translators in this way destroyed the identity and nationality of the persons.

Ø The people who plagiarized from the Arabic Glorious Qurân did not understand the rules of how to translate as contained in the Arabic Glorious Qurân and were incompetent in all the different fields of knowledge, so the meanings that they extracted of the different scientific knowledge stated in the Arabic Glorious Qurân was incorrect. *As an example:* A translator can be a good linguistic, but may not be an expert in Medicine, or Astronomy, or Botany or Physics, or Geology, or Physiology or in any of the other scientific knowledge that is contained in the Arabic Glorious Qurân, and so the meanings they extracted was not in keeping with the subject matter contained in those particular verses. Take

the example of the verses in the Arabic Glorious Qurân<sup>147</sup> relating to the various stages of the fetus in the womb and one will realize that a translator without the guidance of an embryologist will not be able to extract the correct meanings so that the understanding of those verses can be properly understood. No one person can be competent enough to have the knowledge of all the different branches of sciences.

That is why the Arabic Glorious Qurân states in chapter 16 v 43; and chapter 21 v 7, **that if you do not know then ask of those who know**. It is an command of the Arabic Glorious Qurân to have a group of people of different persuasions of knowledge to be able to understand and translate it as correctly as possible. And even then one cannot guarantee that it is 100% correct as nobody has absolute knowledge of any subject except the Creator. (But at least the meaning extracted will be better understood than without those experts)

**That is unfortunately the deficiency of translations!**

### **Who are the authors<sup>148</sup> of the Bible?**

We begin with «GENESIS» – the first book of the Bible.

The Bible Scholars have this to say about its «*Author*»: «**One of the <five books of Moses>.**»

Note the words «**five books of Moses**» are written in inverted commas – this is a *subtle way* of admitting that

<sup>147</sup> : Chapter 96 v 1-2; chap 86 v 5-7; chap 32 v 8; chap 76 v 2; chap 53 v 45-46; chap 75 v 37-39; chap 39 v 6; chap 23 v 12-14; chap 82 v 7-8 and many more.

<sup>148</sup>: I quote a Mr. David Patrick Moore, from Diep River in Cape Town, who it seems has studied the history of the Bible and has made this comment in a letter to the Cape Times newspaper dated the 2 Nov 2007. I quote: ...“**The Bible itself was written over a long period, from earliest scriptures to the Book of revelation, and was written by a number of different people, thieves and adulterers among them, who had opposing views to those who had written before. Close scrutiny of the Bible shows that it can, in places, contradict itself” ... We ask: Would God allow thieves and adulterers to write His book?**

this is *what people say* – that it is the book of Moses – meaning Moses was its author, ‘but we (the 32 scholars) who are better informed, do not believe that tittle-tattle’.

<b>Book</b>	<b>Name of Author</b>
<b>2<sup>nd</sup> Samuel</b>	<b>Unknown</b>
<b>1<sup>st</sup> King</b>	<b>Unknown</b>
<b>2<sup>nd</sup> King</b>	<b>Unknown</b>
<b>1<sup>st</sup> Chronicles</b>	<b>Unknown, Probably Collected And Edited By Ezra</b>
<b>2<sup>nd</sup> Chronicles</b>	<b>Likely Collected And Edited By Ezra</b>
<b>Genesis</b>	<b>One Of 5 Books Of Moses</b>
<b>Exodus</b>	<b>Generally Credited To Moses</b>
<b>Leviticus</b>	<b>Generally Credited To Moses</b>
<b>Numbers</b>	<b>Generally Credited To Moses</b>
<b>Deuteronomy</b>	<b>Generally Credited To Moses</b>
<b>Joshua</b>	<b>Major Part Credited To Joshua</b>
<b>Judges</b>	<b>Possibly Samuel</b>
<b>Ruth</b>	<b>Not Definitely Known, Perhaps Samuel</b>
<b>1<sup>st</sup> Samuel</b>	<b>Unknown</b>
<b>Erza</b>	<b>Probably Written Or Edited By Ezra</b>
<b>Esther</b>	<b>Unknown</b>
<b>Job</b>	<b>Unknown</b>
<b>Psalms</b>	<b>Principally David, Though There Are Other Writers</b>
<b>Ecclesiastes</b>	<b>Doubtful, But Commonly Assigned To Solomon</b>
<b>Isaiah</b>	<b>Mainly Credited To Isaiah. Parts May Have Been Written By Others</b>
<b>Jonah</b>	<b>Unknown</b>
<b>Habakkuk</b>	<b>Nothing Known Of The Place Or Time Of His Birth</b>
<b>THE ABOVE INFORMATION ABOUT THE AUTHORS IS TAKEN FROM THE 1971 COLLINS REVISED STANDARD VERSION (PAGES -12-17)</b>	

Is it not extraordinary that without proper names of the authors of any of the books of the Bible the Church

ascribes it to God? And just as odd is that the so called 'intelligent' people follow Christianity blindly!

Quote: "An unexpurgated Bible might get an X-rating from some censors",  
The PLAIN TRUTH October 1977

*I am sure that now one can understand why we say: 'A person can be very well qualified academically in most fields of research, but if Christianity captures and enslaves one's mind, then one loses direction of the path to truth'.*

We now turn to the authors of the Four Gospels which make up the most important segment of the Bible!

*We take the following information from the most authentic source of Christendom which is: Virtue's Catholic Encyclopedia-Vol 1, 1965. Authorised by Pope Paul VI. Editor: Mabel Quin Printed by Virtue & Company Ltd; Ocean House, Little Trinity Lane, London, E.C.4. (PLEASE NOTE THAT THE PHOTOS REFERRED TO IN THE BOOK ARE NOT ON THIS FILE. THE FULL BOOK CAN BE DOWNLOADED FROM THE IPCI WEBSITE: [WWW.AHMED-DEEDAT.CO.ZA](http://WWW.AHMED-DEEDAT.CO.ZA))*

MARK- WROTE HIS Gospel in A.D. 60- that is 60 years after Jesus departed. *He never met Jesus*

(1) Mark copied his Gospel from Matthew's which was supposed to be in Aramaic, which no longer exists. It is lost! However, Mark copies it (a lost Gospel?) and translates it and writes it in Greek! Also, he is supposed to have written it about A.D.60.

(2) Mark wrote his Gospel in A.D.60! Matthew's Gospel was written five years before Mark's (that means it was written in A.D. 55). Mark had copied his Gospel

from Matthew! Matthew is supposed to have written his "Second Gospel" in A.D.65 in Greek. Now Matthew copies from Mark, what he (Matthew) had written five years before Mark's, as his (Matthew's) Gospel was "lost"! Now his 'new' "Second Gospel" is dated 65 A.D and Mark's is A.D. 60! (*Intriguing to say the least!*)

*Already we see many contradictions between these two Gospels which tell us that these Gospels are totally unreliable, and they cannot be believed, and have to be rejected because of lies! The following are serious questions that need serious answers:*

1. How could Matthew forget what he had written just five years previously, so that he now has to copy his own work from Mark?
2. Why did he rewrite his own second Gospel?
3. Could he not have re-written it in Aramaic again from memory? Why rewrite his own Gospel into a foreign language which Jesus never spoke (Greek)? Did he forget the Aramaic language in those five intervening years that he had to plagiarize from Mark his own Gospel and re-write it in Greek?
4. What were the ages of these two writers when they wrote the Gospels as they wrote them 55, 60 and 65 years after Jesus? We urge readers to ponder deeply on the above points!!

*Now let us deal with the other two Gospel writers from exactly the same source: Virtue's Catholic Encyclopedia - Vol 1, 1965. Authorised by Pope Paul VI. Editor: Mabel Quin Printed by Virtue & Company Ltd; Ocean House, Little Trinity Lane, London, E.C.4. LUKE-wrote his Gospel in A.D.70- That is 70 years after Jesus departed. Paul was Luke's mentor!*

*Page 142: Luke's Gospel was composed about A.D.v.. His attempt was to present Christ to the rapidly increasing Greek members of the early Church. His focus was mainly on what Mark and Matthew omitted (?) like the stories of: Magdelene, Zacheus, the Good Thief, the Good Samaritan, the Lost Sheep, and the Prodigal Son. His Master was St. Paul.*

*(Refer page 142 Virtue's Catholic Encyclopedia-Vol 1, 1965. Authorised by Pope Paul VI)*

*The Damascus episode of Paul. If one reads the relevant verses in the Bible, one will see that all those that were with him did not see or hear or fall down as he did. Remember, he never met Jesus, and he was an avowed enemy to Jesus according to the Bible!*

***John's Gospel. Written in 100 A.D. (100 years after Jesus).***

***Page 143: John wrote his Gospel almost 100 years after Jesus! In all probability John was not even born when Jesus walked the earth! According to the **Catholic Encyclopedia** (1965) Vol 1, John chose to write events and miracles that the other Gospel writers neglected! Examples: Good Shepherd, Raising of Lazarus, Blind man at Siloe and the Disputes with the Pharisees.***

*Again we come to very serious questions that need clear answers about these two Gospel writers:*

### **1. Luke's master was Paul<sup>149</sup>. We know from the**

**149** : When Paul went to Palestine to preach his pagan doctrine of the 'son of god'; he was set upon by the other disciples and nearly killed because he was preaching a plurality of gods, something that was never known at the time of Jesus as Jesus never preached such blasphemy. It was through the intervention of Barnabas (*his Gospel is expunged because it states the coming of Prophet Muhammad by name*) that he was saved. Kindly contact the Dawah

**Bible Paul was a bounty hunter who trapped Jews and sold them to the Romans for money. He was an enemy to Jesus although he never met Jesus during his time on earth. Paul was the one who introduced the concept of 'son of god'.**

**2. How is it possible that LUKE, never having met Jesus, know 70 years later events that took place in the life of Jesus when none of those events were recorded by Mark and Matthew who wrote 65 to 60 years after the time of Jesus? I mean miracles are supposed to be so important that no-one will forget them. Yet according to the Vatican Encyclopedia, the other 3 Gospel writers forgot to write them, and Luke remembers and records them 70 years later in his Gospel. Can those stories be accepted as divinely inspired? Remember, he was not born during the time of Jesus and definitely he never saw the miracles. *Question: Can Luke's Gospel be true???***

**3. The raising of Lazarus from the dead was an important event; to bring a dead man back to life: *Question: How come Mark and Matthew forgot to report it???***

**4. According to the Catholic Encyclopedia, JOHN wrote his Gospel 100 years after Jesus departed! Yet he is credited with writing about miracles of Jesus which the other Gospel writers neglected! John definitely never witnessed any of the miracles. *Believable??***

***We remind readers of this crucial fact: None of the Gospel writers-Mark, Luke, John, Matthew or even Paul had ever met Jesus! We have given all the above facts from the MOST AUTHENTIC SOURCE OF CHRISTIANDOM! THE VATICAN APPROVED CATHOLIC ENCLYCLOPEDIA!!!!***

*Quote from the book: "Life of a Bengal Tiger" by "Major Yeats Brown" pertaining to the Christian belief of the 'original sin'.*

**"No heathen tribe has conceived so grotesque an idea involving as it does the assumption that man was born with a hereditary stain for which he was not responsible; and it was to be atoned for, and that the Creator of all things had to sacrifice His only 'son' to neutralize this mysterious curse."**

*Quote from a wise person: "It is easier to believe a lie that one has heard a thousand times than to believe a fact that no one has heard before"!*

---

***Note: As Muslims we can never accept that the Bible has any divine content. We believe in (the) Al-Injîl which was preached orally by nabi 'Îsâ (pbuh). This revelation is no longer in existence as has been proved overwhelmingly from the official Vatican Church Evidence, as well as from Historical Evidence. Both these sources unknowingly confirm the verse of the Arabic Glorious Qur'ân (revealed more than 1,425 years ago) that the Bible has been forged by scribes and is not the Word of Allâh!***

---

**What's more, this religion called 'Christianity' is a new invented religion as it rejects each and every Prophet's teachings and by extension rejects the prophets themselves. The core teaching and message of each and every prophet was that there is only One Creator without any partners. Allâh is beyond imagination and could never have a son as He is above all the needs of a human being. 'Christianity' has introduced the Creator as having: (1) human needs, (2) to worship a baby god that was born of a human being and this baby god had to be breastfed and cleaned as he was so helpless that he could not do anything for himself. (3) Mary became god's mother and so on. These**

**Christian doctrines in the belief of God are pure paganism.** That is why no Muslim can accept such a belief. Muslims believe in the Arabic Glorious Qur'ân and it clearly warns us that the message to all the prophets from Âdam to Muḥammad is the same. The message is clear: "Human beings, the belief in One Creator are the foundation of the message that has been sent to you from the very beginning through every prophet... Allâh forgives all sins except the one that sets up a partner with him."

We quote a few of the **contradictions**<sup>150</sup> and absurdities in the Bible with references: The textual critics were supposed to reach consensus on what was supposed to be in the 'original Greek text'. However, one will notice that it makes a mockery and gibberish of the textual critics' efforts.

<i>Exodus 15:3-</i> The Lord is a man of war; the Lord is his name	<i>Roman 15:33-</i> Now the God of peace be with you all. Amen
<i>Matt 1:16-</i> Joseph's father is Jacob	<i>Luke 3:23-</i> Joseph's father is Heli
<i>Who was at the Tomb? 3 versions of 3 "According to's" of who was at the Tomb:</i> <i>Matt 28:1-</i> 2 Marys' were at the tomb	<i>Mark 16:1-</i> Mary Magdalene, Mary the mother of James, and Salome were at the Tomb. <i>John 20:1-</i> Only Mary Magdalene came alone

---

150 : *Qur'ân: Chapter 4 verse 82:* "Will they not then meditate on the Qur'ân? And if it were from any other than Allah, they would have found in it many a discrepancy/ contradiction" This challenge is even yet here today; try and disprove the Arabic Glorious Qur'ân by finding **even just one contradiction**. Examine the hundreds of contradictions that are in the Bible, (a very small number are quoted in this book) and ask yourself: *Can this Bible be the word of God with all these contradictions, absurdities, chopping of wives hands for helping to save the lives of their husbands; God killing 50,070 people for looking in a box etc??*

<p><i>Is God good to all or a few?</i>  <i>Psalms 145:9-</i> and James 5:11- The Lord is good to all, and his tender mercies are over all his works.</p>	<p><i>Jeremiah 13:14-</i>And I will dash them one against the other, even the fathers and the sons together, saith the Lord: I will not pity, nor spare, nor have mercy, but destroy them</p>
<p><i>Is it folly to be wise or not?</i>  <i>Ecc 1:18-</i> For much wisdom is much grief; and he that increaseth knowledge increaseth sorrow.</p>	<p><i>Proverbs 4:7-</i> wisdom is the principal thing; therefore get wisdom, and with all thy getting get understanding.  <i>Also in 1 Cor. 1:19</i></p>
<p><i>The sins of the father</i>  <i>Isaiah 14:21-</i> Prepare slaughter for his children for the iniquity of their fathers; that they do not rise, nor possess land, nor fill the face of the world with cities</p>	<p><i>Deut. 24:16-</i>The fathers shall not be put to death for the children, neither shall the children be put to death for the fathers: every man shall be put to death for his own sin</p>
<p><i>KJV - Mark-16. Has got 20 verses</i></p>	<p><i>RSV -Mark-Chap 16 has got only 8 verses</i></p>
<p><i>1 Chronicles -20: v 11-12</i> So Gad came to David, and said unto him, Thus saith the LORD, Choose thee - <u>Either three years' famine;</u></p>	<p><i>2 Samuel -v 13</i> So Gad came to David, and told him, and said unto him, Shall <u>seven</u> years of famine</p>
<p><i>2 Samuel 24:1.</i> And again the anger of the LORD was kindled against Israel, and he moved David against them to say, Go, number Israel and Judah.</p>	<p><i>1 Chronicles 21: v 1:</i> And Satan stood up against Israel, and provoked David to number Israel.</p>

<p><i>John 1 v 18: No man hath seen God at any time... Also in the following verses it states that no man can see God-Exodus 33:20; 1 Timothy 6:16; John 5:37: Ye have neither heard His (God's) voice at any time, nor seen His shape</i></p>	<p><i>Exodus 33 v 11: And the LORD spake unto Moses face to face, as a man speaketh unto his friend. The exact opposite is stated in : Genesis 32:20; Exodus 33:11; 33:23; 24:9,10; Amos 9:1; Genesis 26:2; John 14:9; Same Bible, same John, same Exodus- says God can be seen</i></p>
<p><i>God Tempted: Genesis 22:1-... "God did tempt Abraham "</i></p>	<p><i>God does not tempt- James 1:13- God does not tempt any man</i></p>
<p><i>How did Judas die? Acts 1:18- Now this man (Judas) purchased a field with the reward of iniquity; and falling headlong, he burst asunder in the midst, and all his bowels gushed out.</i></p>	<p><i>Matt 27:5- And he (Judas) cast down the pieces of silver in the temple, and departed, and went and hanged himself. Note: There is no clarity even on how Judas died.</i></p>
<p><i>2 Kings 8:26: Ahaziah was <u>22 years</u> old when he began to reign</i></p>	<p><i>2 Chronicles 22:2: Ahaziah was <u>42 years old</u> when he began to reign</i></p>
<p><i>Matt 8:28: Jesus was met by 2 men possessed by devils</i></p>	<p><i>Luke 8:27: Jesus was met by 1 person possessed by devils</i></p>
<p><i>Matt 27:3-5 &amp; 1 Cor 15:5 12 disciples</i></p>	<p><i>Matt 28:16 11 disciples</i></p>
<p><i>Luke 3:23: Jesus was 30 years old when he departed</i></p>	<p><i>John 8:57: Jesus was 50 years old when he departed</i></p>
<p><i>2 Chronicles 9:25: Solomon has 4,000 stalls of horses</i></p>	<p><i>1 Kings 4:26 Solomon had 40,000 stalls of horses</i></p>
<p><i>2 Chronicles 4:5: Solomon had 3,000 baths</i></p>	<p><i>1 Kings 7:26 Solomon had 2,000 baths</i></p>

2 Kings 24:8: Jehoiachin was 18 years old when he became king	2 Chronicles 36:9: Jehoiachin was 8 years old when he became king
Luke 23:36: Simon of Cyrene carried the cross	John 19:17 Jesus carried his own cross
Psalms 121:4: Behold, He that keepeth Israel shall neither slumber nor sleep	Psalms chap 44:23 Awake Oh Lord! Why do you sleep

*There are just too many contradictions to list all. Please refer to the following chapters and verses for more contradictions:*

2 Chronicles 6:36	& 1 John 3:9
2 Samuel 24:1	& 1 Chronicles 21:1
1 Samuels 28:6	& 1 Chronicles 10:13-14
Acts 9:7	Galatians 6:2 & Galatians 6:5& Acts 22:9
Proverbs 18:22	& 1 Corinthians 7 (see 1,2,27,39,40)
Matt 5:16	& Matt 6:3-4
Mark 16:5	& Luke 24:4
Proverbs 26:4	& Proverbs 26:5

*Mentioned below are a few versions of different Bibles that all claim to be the 'word of God'-*

Roman Catholic Douay Version	New World Translation
King James Version-1604	New King James Version-1979
Teen Study Bible-Revised Edition (NIV)	New World Translation
Darby Translation	American Standard Version
Revised Standard Version	New International Version
New American Standard Bible	The Book of Mormon Jimmy's Swaggart's Bible

### **Violence<sup>151</sup> in the Holy Bible**

**Deut 20:16-** ... you will spare nothing that breathes...

---

<sup>151</sup> : **Adolf Hitler** said during World War II that he was a practicing Christian. He claimed that he was inspired to kill, bomb and destroy from the Bible as he said that there are hundreds of such barbaric acts recorded in the Bible so he found nothing wrong in his actions. (A few of those acts are mentioned in this book) **George Bush** also gets his inspiration from the Bible to kill millions of people as he claims to be a practicing Christian.

*Numbers 31:17-* ...Kill all males, females except virgins, male babies.... (*Can virgins be examined without violating them?*)

*1 Samuels 15:1-7:* ...Saul destroyed all the people with the edge of the sword...

*Joshua 8:25* -...so Joshua burnt Ai (*a town*) and made it forever a heap of ruins, as it is to this day<sup>152</sup>...

*Psalms 137:9-* Happy is he who dashes little babies against the wall.

*Dashing babies against walls and being happy???*

*Deut 25:11-* "When men strive together one with another, and the wife of the one draweth near for to deliver her husband out of the hand of him that smiteth him, and putteth forth her hand, and taketh him by the secrets: *Deut 25:12* Then thou shalt cut off her hand, thine eye shall not pity her"<sup>153</sup>.

*Can God really order this? The wives of Christian fundamentalists<sup>154</sup> better take note!*

*Read about the whoredoms of two sisters: Ezekiel16:28; & 23:1-49; and Hosea4:12 & 6:10 & 9:1 in the New World Translation. No person with a little bit shame will read these verses to his wife, daughters,*

<sup>152</sup>: **Joseph Stalin**-the evil dictator of Russia, was responsible for the genocide (killing of his own people) of more than FORTY TWO MILLION PEOPLE during his reign of terror according to statistics released by the Russian Govt in 1962. Initially he was studying to be a priest, and during his studies he came across Darwin's book on the Theory of Evolution, called "Origin of Species (1859)". This changed his entire life because he became an atheist. In later years he always referred to the Bible's stories of mass murder, rape, incest and the like, and said that he did not find anything wrong in his actions as it was in conformity with the Bible and his ideology of atheism and evolution according to the Darwinism concept of this world's life! **So we find that two of the worst mass murderers in modern times referred to the Bible for justifying and supporting their actions!**

<sup>153</sup>: In the NATAL MERCURY, of K.Z.NATAL of February 12 1988, an Irish woman, Anne Spicer, had asked the censors in Ireland to **BAN THE BIBLE** for "glorifying violence and sex". This lady has read the Bible from cover to cover so she knows what is written inside. *Muslims salute her!*

<sup>154</sup>: According to the South African Oxford School Dictionary -Printed by the Oxford University Press, U.K. 2006 Edition- it means: *noun* "Strict observation of traditional beliefs of any religion, and a belief that a religion's holy writings should be followed literally".

*sisters and mother, or any decent female. Please refer to the Bible!*

Jeremiah 8:8: "How can we say we are wise and the law of the Lord is with us? Behold, the false pen of the scribe has made it into alie".

### **Women to keep quiet in the Church**

1. **1-Cor 14:34** Let your women keep silence in the churches: for it is not permitted unto them to speak; but they are commanded to be under obedience as also saith the law.

2. **1-Cor 14:35** And if they will learn anything, let them ask their husbands at home: for it is a shame for women to speak in the church.

The following are a small selection of absurdities in the Bible with references: again we see clearly the mockery of the textual critics' efforts:-

3. **Lev 12:2.** Speak unto the children of Israel, saying, if a woman have conceived seed, and born a man child: then she shall be unclean seven days; according to the days of the separation for her infirmity shall she be unclean.

4. **Lev 12:5.** But if she bear a maid child, then she shall be unclean two weeks, as in her separation: and she shall continue in the blood of her purifying threescore and six days. (*The time factor to become clean after child birth is double for a female baby than that of a male child-*)

5. **Lev. 14:52.** And he shall cleanse the house with the blood of the bird, and with the running water, and with the living bird, and with the cedar wood, and with the hyssop, and with the scarlet: (*Science has proved today that blood is a carrier of diseases and viruses like aids. One of the most dangerous is bird flu, and ebola virus in the 20<sup>th</sup> & 21<sup>st</sup> centuries*)

6. **Job 25:6.** How much less man that is a worm? and the son of man<sup>155</sup>, which is a worm?

**155** : Jesus calls himself a 'worm' according to the Bible. Christians claim that he is God / son of God- then does this mean that God and the Holy Ghost are also worms?

7. *Numbers 22:27-28*- A talking ass
8. *Lev 11:20*- four footed fowls
9. *Revelation 13:12*. A seven headed leopard
10. *2 Kings 18:27 & Isaiah 36:12*. To eat shit and drink piss
11. *Malachi 2:3*. Dung on your faces
12. *Ezekiel 4:12-15*. To eat cake with shit
13. *Exodus 21:7*. Man can sell his daughter
14. *Genesis 3:16*. Husband to rule over his wife

### Rape, Adultery and Incest in the Bible

1. *Genesis 19:30*- Lot committed incest with his daughters
2. *Genesis 35:22*- Reuben commits adultery with Jacob's concubine
3. *Genesis 38: 1-30* - Judah committed incest with his daughter-in-law<sup>156</sup>
4. *Judges 16:1*- Samson commits adultery with a prostitute
5. *2 Samuels 16:22*- rape and incest between son and mother -Absalom sleeps with his father's concubines-wives -see Keturah in the same index)
6. *Ruth 3:4-15*. Ruth Cohabits with Boaz in a barn
7. *1 Kings 11:1-3*. David Sleeps with a young virgin
8. *The word Bastard occurs three times in the Bible (Deut 23:2; Zechariah 9:6; Hebrews 12:8)*
9. *2 Samuel 13: 5-14* Rape and incest between brother and sister
10. *Ezekiel Chapter 23*: This chapter was published as "Candid Outburst" in South Africa and was banned under

---

<sup>156</sup> : According to the Bible Jesus' forefathers descended from this incestuous relationship! Can anyone believe this utter rubbish? *As-Tagfirullah!* We ask for Allah's pardon in writing this!

the Publications Control Act. There were two Dominees (Afrikaner Priests) on the Board of the Publications Control Council and they agreed with the banning. It was only later when they were learned that it was part of their Bible that they got a shock of their lives. Even the 'Dominees' have not read the whole Bible. How much more so the ordinary Christian?

*Note:* The Qur'ân exonerates all the Prophets from any wrong doing!

### **Crazy Descriptions of God in the Bible!**

1. *1 Samuel 6:19*- God murders 50,070 people for looking in a box;
  2. *2 Samuel 22:11*- God riding a cherub;
  3. *2 Samuel 22: 7-13*- 'God was angry...smoke came out of His nostrils...'
  4. *Isaiah 5:26*- & *Zechariah 10:8*- ...a hissing God;
  5. *Isaiah 42:13* & *Jeremiah 25:30*- ...a roaring God;
  6. *Isaiah chapter 7:20*- 'God is described as a barber'
  7. *Genesis chapter 2: 1-3*- 'God rested from all the work He had done'.
  8. *Genesis chapter 6:6* - 'the Lord repented because of humans' sins and actions'.
  9. *Genesis chapter 32:24*- 'Jacob wrestled with God'
  10. *Exodus chapter 33: 20-23*- 'Moses (pbuh) saw the back part of God'
  11. *Psalms chapter 44:23*- 'Awake Oh Lord! Why do you sleep?'
  12. *Psalms chapter 78:65*- 'God woke up as from sleep like a man wakes up from the stupor of wine'
  13. *Jeremiah 20:7*- God deceives Jeremiah;
- Dreadful accusations against the prophets of God**
1. *1 Samuel 19:24*. Saul naked day and night
  2. *Isaiah chapter 20:2-3*- 'God ordered Isaiah to

**go around naked and barefoot'**

**3. Genesis 9:21. Noah was drunk and lay naked**

**4. 2 Samuel 6:20. David was naked before his hand maidens**

---

Imam Ghazzali, 1,000 years ago *explained beautifully the concept of God in his book, "The Revival of the Religious Sciences" Vol 1-page 130: "God has got no length and breath as these are attributes of a body which is an originated thing. Its Creator existed from before it. So how would He enter in a body, as He existed by Himself before all originated things and there was nobody along with Him? He is an All Knowing, Almighty, Willing Creator. These attributes are impossible for a body. He exists by Himself without the substances of a body. He is not like any worldly thing, rather He is ever living, everlasting, and nothing is like Him. Where is the similarity of the Creator with the created, the Fashioner with the fashioned? Hence it is impossible that anything can ever resemble Him!"*

---

\*\*\*\*\*

**Letter Written by Uri Avnery on the 23th September 2006. He is an atheist Jew by his own admission. We quote verbatim. Muhammad's Sword?????**

"Since the days when Roman Emperors threw Christians to the lions, the relations between the emperors and the heads of the church have undergone many changes. Constantine the Great, who became Emperor in the year 306 - exactly 1700 years ago - encouraged the practice of Christianity in the empire, which included Palestine. Centuries later, the church split into an Eastern (Orthodox) and a Western (Catholic) part. In the West, the Bishop of Rome, who acquired the title

of Pope, demanded that the Emperor accept his superiority. The struggle between the Emperors and the Popes played a central role in European history and divided the peoples. It knew ups and downs. Some Emperors dismissed or expelled a Pope; some Popes dismissed or excommunicated an Emperor. One of the Emperors, Henry IV, “walked to Canossa, standing for three days barefoot in the snow in front of the Pope’s castle until the Pope deigned to annul his excommunication.

But there were times when Emperors and Popes lived in peace with each other. We are witnessing such a period today. Between the present Pope, Benedict XVI, and the present Emperor, George Bush II, there exists a wonderful harmony. Last week’s speech by the Pope, which aroused a world-wide storm, went well with Bush’s crusade against “Islamofascism”, in the context of the “**Clash of Civilizations**”.

In his lecture at a German university, the 265th Pope described what he sees as a huge difference between Christianity and Islam: while Christianity is based on reason, Islam denies it. While Christians see the logic of God’s actions, Muslims deny that there is any such logic in the actions of Allâh.

*As a Jewish atheist, I do not intend to enter the fray of this debate. It is much beyond my humble abilities to understand the logic of the Pope. But I cannot overlook one passage, which concerns me too, as an Israeli living near the fault-line of this “war of civilizations”.*

In order to prove the lack of reason in Islam, the Pope asserts that the prophet Muhammad ordered his followers to spread their religion by the sword. According to the Pope, that is unreasonable, because faith is born of the soul, not of the body. How can the sword influence the soul? To

support his case, the Pope quoted - of all people - a Byzantine Emperor, who belonged, of course, to the competing Eastern Church. At the end of the 14th century, the Emperor Manuel II Palaeologus told of a debate he had - or so he said (its occurrence is in doubt) - with an unnamed Persian Muslim scholar. In the heat of the argument, the Emperor (according to himself) flung the following words at his adversary: "Show me just what Mohammed brought that was new, and there you will find things only evil and inhuman, such as his command to spread by the sword the faith he preached."

**These words give rise to three questions:**

(a) Why did the Emperor say them? (b) Are they true? (c) Why did the present Pope quote them?

When MANUEL II wrote his treatise, he was the head of a dying empire. He assumed power in 1391, when only a few provinces of the once illustrious empire remained. These, too, were already under Turkish threat. At that point in time, the Ottoman Turks had reached the banks of the Danube. They had conquered Bulgaria and the north of Greece, and had twice defeated relieving armies sent by Europe to save the Eastern Empire. On May 29, 1453, only a few years after Manuel's death, his capital, Constantinople (the present Istanbul) fell to the Turks, putting an end to the Empire that had lasted for more than a thousand years.

During his reign, Manuel made the rounds of the capitals of Europe in an attempt to drum up support. He promised to reunite the church. There is no doubt that he wrote his religious treatise in order to incite the Christian countries against the Turks and convince them to start a new crusade. The aim was practical, theology was serving politics. In

this sense, the quote serves exactly the requirements of the present Emperor, George Bush II. He, too, wants to unite the Christian world against the mainly Muslim "Axis of Evil".

Moreover, the Turks are again knocking on the doors of Europe, this time peacefully. It is well known that the Pope supports the forces that object to the entry of Turkey into the European Union.

### **Is There Any Truth In Manuel's Argument?**

The pope himself threw in a word of caution. As a serious and renowned theologian, he could not afford to falsify written texts. Therefore, he admitted that the Qur'an specifically forbade the spreading of the faith by force. He quoted the second Sura, verse 256 (strangely fallible, for a pope, he meant verse 257) which says: **"There must be no coercion in matters of faith"**. How can one ignore such an unequivocal statement? The Pope simply argues that this commandment was laid down by the prophet when he was at the beginning of his career, still weak and powerless, but that later on he ordered the use of the sword in the service of the faith. Such an order does not exist in the Qur'an. True, Muhammad called for the use of the sword in his war against opposing tribes - Christian, Jewish and others - in Arabia, when he was building his state. But that was a political act, not a religious one; basically a fight for territory, not for the spreading of the faith.

Jesus said: "You will recognize them by their fruits." The treatment of other religions by Islam must be judged by a simple test: How did the Muslim rulers behave for more than a thousand years, when they had the power to spread the faith by the sword"? **Well, they just did not!**

For many centuries, the Muslims ruled Greece. Did the Greeks become Muslims? Did anyone even try to Islamize them? On the contrary, Christian Greeks held the highest positions in the Ottoman administration. The Bulgarians, Serbs, Romanians, Hungarians and other European nations lived at one time or another under Ottoman rule and clung to their Christian faith. Nobody compelled them to become Muslims and all of them remained devoutly Christian. True, the Albanians did convert to Islam, and so did the Bosniaks. But nobody argues that they did this under duress. They adopted Islam in order to become favorites of the government and enjoy the fruits. In 1099, the Crusaders conquered Jerusalem and massacred its Muslim and Jewish inhabitants indiscriminately, in the name of the gentle Jesus. At that time, 400 years into the occupation of Palestine by the Muslims, Christians were still the majority in the country. Throughout this long period, no effort was made to impose Islam on them. Only after the expulsion of the Crusaders from the country, did the majority of the inhabitants start to adopt the Arabic language and the Muslim faith - and they were the forefathers of most of today's Palestinians.

**There is no evidence whatsoever of any attempt to impose Islam on the Jews.**

As is well known, under Muslim rule the Jews of Spain enjoyed a bloom the like of which the Jews did not enjoy anywhere else until almost our time. Poets like Yehuda Halevy wrote in Arabic, as did the great Maimonides. In Muslim Spain, Jews were ministers, poets, scientists. In Muslim Toledo, Christian, Jewish and Muslim scholars worked together and translated the ancient Greek

philosophical and scientific texts. That was, indeed, the Golden Age. How would this have been possible, had the Prophet decreed the spreading of the faith by the sword"? What happened afterwards is even more telling. When the Catholics re-conquered Spain from the Muslims, they instituted a reign of religious terror. The Jews and the Muslims were presented with a cruel choice: to become Christians, to be massacred or to leave. And where did the hundreds of thousands of Jews, who refused to abandon their faith, escape? Almost all of them were received with open arms in the Muslim countries.

The Sephardi ("Spanish") Jews settled all over the Muslim world, from Morocco in the west to Iraq in the east, from Bulgaria (then part of the Ottoman Empire) in the north to Sudan in the south. **Nowhere were they persecuted.** They knew nothing like the tortures of the Inquisition, the flames of the auto-da-fe, the pogroms, the terrible mass-expulsions that took place in almost all Christian countries, up to the Holocaust.

WHY? Because Islam expressly prohibited any persecution of the "peoples of the book". In Islamic society, a special place was reserved for Jews and Christians. They did not enjoy completely equal rights, but almost. They had to pay a special poll-tax, but were exempted from military service - a trade-off that was quite welcome to many Jews. It has been said that Muslim rulers frowned upon any attempt to convert Jews to Islam even by gentle persuasion - because it entailed the loss of taxes.

**Every honest Jew who knows the history of his people cannot but feel a deep sense of gratitude to Islam, which has protected the Jews for fifty generations, while the Christian world persecuted the Jews and tried many**

times “by the sword” to get them to abandon their faith. The story about “spreading the faith by the sword” is an evil legend, one of the myths that grew up in Europe during the great wars against the Muslims - the reconquest of Spain by the Christians, the Crusades and the repulsion of the Turks, who almost conquered Vienna. I suspect that the German Pope, too, honestly believes in these fables. That means that the leader of the Catholic world, who is a Christian theologian in his own right, did not make the effort to study the history of other religions.

**Why did he utter these words in public? And why now?**

There is no escape from viewing them against the background of the new Crusade of Bush and his evangelist supporters, with his slogans of “Islamofascism” and the “Global War on Terrorism” - when “terrorism” has become a synonym for Muslims. For Bush’s handlers, this is a cynical attempt to justify the domination of the world’s oil resources. Not for the first time in history, a religious robe is spread to cover the nakedness of economic interests; not for the first time, a robbers’ expedition becomes a Crusade. The speech of the Pope blends into this effort. Who can foretell the dire consequences?”

### END OF LETTER ####

\*\*\*\*\*

A few quotations (translated into English) from the only Divine Book-The Arabic Glorious Qur’ân:

**“Woe then to those who write the Book with their hands then say, this is from ALLÂH; so that they may take for it a small price. Woe to them for what their hands write and woe to them for what they earn”.** *Al-Qur’ân chapter 2 v79*

**“Those who conceal aught of the Book that ALLÂH has revealed and take for it a small price, they eat nothing but fire into their bellies, and ALLÂH will not speak to them on the day of Resurrection, nor will He purify them; and for them is a painful chastisement. Those are they who buy error for guidance and chastisement for forgiveness; how bold they are to challenge the Fire! That is because ALLÂH has revealed the Book with truth. And surely those who disagree about the Book go far in opposition. *Al-Qurân Ch. 2: verses 174-176***

**“And We Sent No Messenger But With The Language Of His People, So That He Might Explain To Them Clearly.” *Al-Qur’ân Chapter 14: verse 4***

**“Vision comprehends Him not, and He comprehends (all) vision; and He is above all comprehension, the aware.” *[Al-Qur’ân 6:4]***

**“There is nothing like unto Him” *[Al-Qur’ân 42:11] also 112:4***

**“And say: The Truth has come and falsehood vanished. Surely falsehood is ever bound to vanish. And We reveal of the Qurân that which is a healing and a mercy to the believers, and it adds only to the perdition of the wrongdoers. *Al- Qur’aan: 17:81-82***

**“That the best of Arab witnesses have never succeeded in producing anything equal to the merits in the Qur’aan... to compose such revelations at will, was beyond the power of the most expert literary artist.” *(Encyclopedia Britannica)***

**“So We have made it easy in thy tongue only that thou should give good news thereby to those who guard against evil, and should warn thereby a contentious people”. *Al-***

***Qur’ân Chapter 19:97***

**“Do you then hope that they would believe in you, and a party from among them indeed used to hear the word of ALLÂH, then altered it after they had understood it, and they know♦ (this)”. *Al-Qur’ân Chapter 2: verse 75***

♦ That the Israelites did not preserve their sacred books in their purity is a constant charge laid by the Holy Qurân against the Jews. **In fact, the alteration and corruption of the various books of the Bible is now proved beyond all doubt from the evidence in this book from the Christian and Jewish sources.** Since centuries the Jews have been mischief makers-rejecting Î’sâ (Jesus) and Muhammad, and causing them harm. ♦  
\*\*\*\*\*

*William Blum, author of “Killing Hope: U.S. Military and CIA Interventions Since World War II,” and “Rogue State: A Guide to the World’s Only Superpower”*

“If I were the president, I could stop terrorist attacks against the United States in a few days. Permanently! I would first apologize to all the widows and orphans, the tortured and impoverished, and all the many millions of other victims of American imperialism. Then I would announce, in all sincerity, to every corner of the world, that America’s global interventions have come to an end, and inform Israel that it is no longer the 51st state of the USA but now -- oddly enough - a foreign country. We would then reduce the military budget by at least 90% and use the savings to pay reparations to the victims. There would be more than enough money. **One year’s military budget of US\$330 billion dollars is equal to more than US \$18,000 an hour for every hour since Jesus Christ was born.** That’s what I’d do on my first three days in the White House. **On the fourth day, I’d be assassinated.”**

(November 2007- from the International Clearing House)

\*\*\*\*\*

### Comments by the Authors

(1) If any unbiased Christian checks the references given in this book, they will have no hesitation in accepting the undeniable fact that any Bible of any language from any denomination can never be accepted as the Word of Allâh; and they will also have to accept the fact that the Arabic Glorious Qurân is the only verbatim Word of the Almighty Allâh on this earth preserved as revealed in Arabic to the Prophet Muḥammad (pbuh).

(2) It is patently obvious that Islâm does not impose itself on any person because of the command of the Arabic Glorious Qurân in many verses. We quote two: **Chapter 2: verse 256 - "There shall be no compulsion in [acceptance of] the religion (Dîn is the way of life as prescribed by Allâh). The right way is indeed clearly distinct from error. So whoever disbelieves in tâghût<sup>a</sup> (false objects of worship, such as idols, heavenly bodies, evil notions, human beings, etc.) and believes in Allâh has grasped the most trustworthy handhold with no break in it. And Allâh is Hearing and Knowing."**

**256a.** *Tâghût* is derived from *taġhâ*, meaning *he was inordinate or exorbitant*, and is variously explained as meaning *"the exorbitant in pride or corruptness or disbelief, of the people of the Scriptures, or any head or leader of error, or he who turns from the good, or the idols, or whatever is worshipped to the exclusion of Allâh, or the devil"* (Arabic-English Lexicon by Edward William Lane). As the word *devil* is freely used for most of the significances of *tâghût*. But it is not a proper name, as it accepts the definite article *al*. It is used as a

plural here, though it has a separate plural form *tawâghît*.  
*Chapter 18 verse 29: "And say: "The Truth is from your Rabb; so whoever wills - let him believe, and whoever wills - let disbelieve"..."*

**To summarize:**

It is very important to mention that the Muslim account of the religious principles and laws brought by all the prophets (p.b.u. them) which are given in the Arabic Glorious Qur'ân, is related in such a manner that it in no way contradicts itself. On the contrary, it clarifies the previous messages of all the Prophets which have undergone many severe changes; for which there exists no evidence to support the changes. However, in the case of Christianity, Constantine first developed a complete new religion and thereafter it went through more extreme changes, as there were no original Biblical Scriptures with which to correct the false theories which were developed at the hands of the new reformers.

What is important to realise is the fact about the new claims Christians have produced. Today, every Christian believes that the original Scriptures of theirs are preserved in the original revealed language, which they are taught to believe that Jesus spoke. **Therefore, without any truthful investigation they are made to believe that it was the Greek language that he spoke and therefore their Scriptures are in Greek. However, their own information is the source that a truthful investigator will discover that the established claims are not the truth! Every denomination of Christians has its own claims. Yet, collectively they seem to make the equivalent claim about the Greek Scriptures!** After one has studied the formation of the first Church by Constantine, then it ought to be clear that it was impossible to retain the original Message

brought by the Israelite Prophet (p.b.u.h) because his Message did not concur with what Constantine wanted for his Empire.

Therefore, if the original Message was still in existence at the time when Constantine began to develop his new religious ideology, then it must have been destroyed at that time. It could be that Arius (the leader of the Unitarians) had access to the original Message which led him and his people to oppose Constantine, but it was kept a close secret by the Christians. The reason for this assumption is clear as the various denominations own different versions of Biblical history which reveals that the Bibles did not originate from one source. Nor did the Bible translations originate from one source. The point about the divinity of Jesus says it all, which began in the year 381 AD which made him the 'son of God'!!!

From the above analysis it is clear that from the time of *the reformation* which led to the establishment of the Reformed and Protestant Churches only in the 16<sup>th</sup> century, the Catholics had only one Bible in the *Latin language*. This admission means that there was neither a Greek Bible nor the 916 AD Massoretic text from which the teachings of the Bible could be developed, as there were no original sources from which they could develop their teachings, hence their claim that the Greek version of the Bible is the original source is not true!!!

*Let's again reiterate their own admission:*

**"In those days only a few wealthy people possessed a Bible, which existed in no other language but Latin, for the Bibles had to be copied by hand as the printing press had not yet been invented. Consequently a Bible cost an enormous sum of money. Moreover not many were able to read at that time. Therefore the only way the common people could hear about**

**the Gospel teaching was through itinerant preachers.”<sup>157</sup>**

The whole *reformation* of trying to *reform* the Roman Catholic Church is based on a false foundation, as the original Scriptures did not exist in order to bring everyone back to the original Message of the Creator. It was for this reason that it was so easy to produce new denominations and no one had the right to contradict what each one of them produced. All sorts of claims came into being and no one had the right to object as they all knew that their own concoctions had no legs to stand on!!! What ought to be clear is the fact that the entire Christian history is a much later concoction that was created in order to make it look like real.

*One can now understand how true the Qur’anic statement is in chapter 17, verses 81/82, which states:*

**(81) “And say: The Truth has come (i.e. the Qur’ân) and falsehoods vanish. Surely falsehood is ever bound to vanish. (82) And We send down of the Qur’ân that which is a *healing* and a *mercy* to the believers, and it adds only to the destruction of the wrongdoers.”**

**The Hidden Story of Jesus: Video - Broadcast UK - Channel 4 - Dec 26, 2007**

Theologian Dr Robert Beckford investigates amazing parallels to the Christ story in other faiths, some of them predating Christianity by thousands of years. The Hindu god, Krishna, was conceived by a virgin and his birth was attended by angels, wise men and shepherds. Buddha was also the result of a miraculous birth and visited by wise men bearing gifts. Beckford attempts to unravel the mystery of why there are so many versions of the Christ

---

<sup>157</sup> : N.A.C., op. Cit, pp. 98-99.

story across the world and asks which the real one is.  
<http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article18973.htm>

### **Jay Smith the Fâsiq has openly declared his hatred for Islam many times**

During 2007, a 'kaffir' 'Fâsiq' (*unbeliever and an evil person*) by the name of Jay Smith<sup>158</sup> was invited by a Christian organization of Cape Town with the sole intention to attack Islam. He made extremely serious allegations against Islam, the Prophet and the Arabic Glorious Qur'ân in lectures in many cities of South Africa and on the radio stations. His main object of hatred is the Arabic Glorious Qur'ân and the personage of the Holy Prophet. He also accuses Islâm of slavery and genocide<sup>159</sup>. Let us respond very briefly to his flawed arguments and spurious allegations.

The readers will by now have read this treatise on the compilation of the Bible. Jay Smith, the missionary stakes his belief on this concocted Bible so profoundly and emotionally, that he is prepared to die for it. This fâsiq is deluded into believing in a book that is pure paganism and fiction, has numerous cockeyed scientific facts, and contradicts itself thousands of times, and makes a mockery of God. He also believes in a **Greek** person called Jesus who is a son of God. His beliefs are similar to the Greeks of old times that worshipped the sun, moon, a god of love, god of death and the different planets. So for him to worship a 'baby god born

---

**158** : *Al-Qur'ân: Chapter 3 verse 69* –“**A party of the People of the Book desire that they should lead you astray; and they lead not astray but themselves, and they perceive not**”.

**159** : Please refer to the article on who were the main slave traders written by Penny Hess. Facts on genocide: Hitler, Stalin and the USA - Facts on forced conversion to Islam by Uri Avnery.

of a woman' (through a union between a 'holy ghost' and a woman) is quite acceptable. It makes no difference to him that this 'god' drank his mother's breast milk, messed himself and depended on his mother to wash and clean him. This baby 'god' of Jay Smith's was supposed to speak the language of his mother when he grew up; but he spoke Latin and Greek and not the language of his mother. This 'god' cried for help on the cross to his 'father god' and the 'Holy Ghost' but was refused help and was crucified as a condemned person next to two thieves. Nothing matters to this sick man as long as he believes that he is saved by the blood of 'Jesus the Greek'.

For us Muslims it is not necessary to refute his hate propaganda as it has no foundation whatsoever, as is proved in this treatise so conclusively. What we find reprehensible is that he quotes out of context, quotes part of a verse of the Arabic Glorious Qur'ân and makes accusations without evidence. We find that this is the norm amongst these types of perverted persons.

As a devoted Christian missionary he knows very well that the Arabic Glorious Qur'ân poses the greatest threat to Christianity. The Arabic Glorious Qur'ân has brilliantly exposed the fraudulent claims of all the Churches in the world that the Bible is not a divine revelation. The Arabic Glorious Qur'ân has so effectively exposed their lies that it leaves him and his breed no option but to make false accusations against the Arabic Glorious Qur'ân and the person of the Prophet; otherwise their false preaching will be exposed to their followers. However those who have the courage to read the translation of the Qur'ân will realize that it is not a man-made storey book like the Bible or any other book. In fact it appeals to their intellect so strongly that many accept it as the word of the Creator and become Muslims.

*FACT!* The Arabic Glorious Qur'ân is the most read and memorized Book in the world. It was memorized by hundreds during the life time of the Prophet 1,400 years ago, and since then tens of millions have memorized it in Arabic from all over the world, irrespective of nationality or age. Children of 5 years old to 80 years old, male and female have memorized it for centuries.

On the other hand barely any Christians have read their Bibles completely even once in their lifetimes. The Bibles can never be memorized by even just ONE person who is the most learned and knowledgeable Christian scholar or priest in the whole world. And it will never be able to be memorized by even one person till the end of time. We can confidently make this statement knowing full well that the Bible is changed regularly to try and conform to the ideologies of the time, so it will be impossible for anyone to keep on memorizing it. This is another proof of the Bible being a man made storey book.

Islâm is the fastest growing religion in the world. This is no exaggeration as has been testified by the Western Media who are the confirmed enemies of Islâm.

CNN, FOX NEWS, BBC, NBC, ABC, READERS DIGEST, and the PLAIN TRUTH of the Jehovah Witnesses have all testified to this fact. After the 9/11 bombing in New York, more Christian Americans accepted Islâm in the four months subsequent to the bombing than at any other time<sup>160</sup>. These are facts from the above *enemy* networks.

Jay Smith has every right to refute the valid information taken from Vatican sources in this treatise with solid

---

<sup>160</sup>: Reports say up to 34,000 Americans have reverted in just 4 months. Hundreds of priests have also reverted to Islâm. See website details in this book.

evidence. In fact we would welcome such a debate as long as he presents evidence and not vitriolic hate speeches for which he is well known. He prides himself with the gutter language that he speaks to attack Islâm.

*To Jay Smith and his ilk who still refuse to acknowledge the truth of the Arabic Glorious Qurân, we challenge them with the following challenge:*

**1<sup>st</sup> Challenge of the Arabic Glorious Qur'ân: "If anyone disputes in this matter with thee, now after (full) knowledge has come to thee, say: "Come! Let us gather our sons and your sons, our women and your women, ourselves and yourselves: then let us pray, and invoke the curse of Allâh's on those who lie". (Al-Qur'ân: 3:61).<sup>161</sup>**

*Muslims are prepared to settle the issue by accepting this challenge, but is Jay Smith willing to accept this challenge?*

If he refuses to take up the challenge of the Arabic Glorious Qur'ân then to demonstrate his genuine belief as a true Christian he should take up the 'trinity' of challenges from his own Bible to confirm he is a genuine Christian: **First 2 challenges from his Bible:**

**Mark 16:17-**And these signs shall follow them that believe; in my name shall they cast out devils; they shall speak with new tongues; **Mark 16:18- They shall take up serpents;**

---

**161 :** *For the record:* A. Moosa challenged Mr. Michael Mahomed from Eldorado Park (Johannesburg) to sign this protocol as he had made similar allegations as Jay Smith. A. Moosa signed his and posted it to Michael on the 21<sup>st</sup> December 2005. Since then (2 years ago) A. Moosa has never heard from him, and neither received Michael's signed protocol, although A. Moosa has sent him a number of reminders. Michael's postal addresses that he supplied are: P.O.Box 64, Eldorado Park. 1813; & P.O.Box 2955 Florida. 1710. S.A. Can Jay Smith sign such a protocol? We do not have his email or postal address so we cannot contact him. His website does not have an email address either. Our details are in this book. We await his response.

and if they *drink any deadly thing*, it shall not hurt them; they shall lay hands on the sick, and they shall recover.

3<sup>rd</sup> challenge from their own Bible:

*Matthew 17:20-* And Jesus said unto them, ...*If ye have faith as a grain of mustard seed, ye shall say unto this mountain, Remove hence to yonder place; and it shall remove; and nothing shall be impossible unto you.*

So far we have not met a genuine Christian as defined in the Bible i.e. verses of Mark and Matthew. So we challenge Jay Smith: Can you perform the 'trinity' of challenges to demonstrate that you are a true Christian and prove the writers of this book wrong?<sup>162</sup>

\*\*\*\*\*

### Reverts to Islâm

The following people are *just a few high* profile persons that have accepted Islam. There are millions more not so well known, but nonetheless they have also reverted to Islâm *only through reading the translations of the Arabic Glorious Qur'ân*. That is the reason why the *kuffar fâsiq* missionaries akin to Jay Smith are so intolerable of Islâm since it is unstoppable all over the world. This conquering of the hearts and minds of people is a promise of ALLÂH in the *Arabic Glorious Qur'ân* in many verses. We quote one here:

“HE it is WHO has sent HIS messenger with the guidance and the religion of Truth that HE may make it prevail over all religions, though the polytheists are averse.” *Al -Qur'ân chapter 61 verse 9 (The same message is repeated in the Al-Qur'ân in chapter 9 verse 33; and in chapter 48 verse 28)*

<sup>162</sup> : Kindly contact the writers if any Christian wants to take up the challenge. Email: [sulaimane@yahoo.com](mailto:sulaimane@yahoo.com).

*(We are told that all attempts to annihilate Islâm will fail, and they did fail. It is affirmed that Islâm will be made the predominant religion, the truth of which was witnessed by Arabia in the lifetime of the Holy Prophet. Attempts are still being made to annihilate Islâm, and the Divine promise is that all these attempts shall be brought to naught; while the predominance of Islâm over all the religions of the world would in time be established, as clearly as it was in Arabia. Polytheists (pagans), it should be noted, include the Christians, for they adopted the polytheistic doctrine of Trinity)*

1. Cat Stevens (UK) (Yusuf Islam) used to be a pop singer
2. Cassius Clay (USA) - (Muhammad Ali)- former world heavy weight champion
3. Mr. Estes (USA) (Yusuf Estes)- former chaplain in the US Army
4. Jeff Lang (Math's Prof) (U.S.A)- turned atheist at age 16- read the Qur'an at the age of 28, and became Muslim.
5. Khalid Yasin (USA)- Was adopted at an early age and lived with 8 different Christian families all of whom were of different sects while growing up- (USA- Scholar) Accepted Islam and is one of the top comparative lecturers on Islam and Christianity
6. Professor Colin Turner (UK). University of Durham, United Kingdom.
7. Mr. Yusuf Chambers (Irish) TV personality
8. Dr. Maurice Bucaille (French) (Scientist) - Author of "The Bible & The Qur'an & Science"
9. Yvonne Ridley (UK). Well known news reporter of major newspapers in the UK. Captured by the Taliban in Afghanistan. The beautiful manner in which she was treated, made her to read the Qur'an and accept Islam.
10. Mrs. Naledi Pandor. Present Minister of Education in the South African Government (2007)
11. Siraj Wahaj. (USA) Comparative Religious Scholar

12. Abdur Rahim Green. (UK). T.V. personality. Used to be a U.K. Banker stationed in Egypt
13. Marmaduke Pickthall. Lord in House of Lords U.K. Translated the Glorious Arabic Qur'ân into English
14. Lord Headley. Also in the House of Lords (U.K)
14. Yusuf Yohanna. Pakistani national team batsman
15. Professor Tagatat Tejasen, Chairman of the Dept of Anatomy at Chiang Mai University in Thailand.
16. Yusuf Hamza Hanson (USA). Scholar in Comparative Religion
17. Captain Jacques Yves Cousteau (French) - Co-inventor of the Aqualung. Great undersea explorer and researcher. Made many wonderful under sea films of marine life.
18. Ovidio Salazar. (Spanish) Film Maker. Al-Gazali is one of his more memorable ones.

\*\*\*\*\*

### **Words of Wisdom:**

**"The truth that makes men free is for the most part the truth which men prefer not to hear." -- Herbert Sebastien Agar - (1897-1980) Source: *The Time for Greatness*, 1942**

**"The key to wisdom is this: constant and frequent questioning ... for by doubting we are led to question and by questioning we arrive at the truth." -- Peter Abelard (1099-1142) Source: *Sic et non*, c. 1120**

**"Facts do not cease to exist because they are ignored." - Aldous Huxley - (1894-1963) (Author)**

**"Make the lie big, make it simple, keep saying it, and eventually they will believe it" - Adolf Hitler<sup>163</sup>**

**"People do not believe lies because they have to, but because they want to" - Malcolm Muggeridge**

\*\*\*\*\*

---

**163** : George Bush is following Hitler's advice to the letter! Remember 9/11? All lies.

**Extract of article by Ian Mac William -BBC News.  
Tashkent's hidden Islamic relic**

"The Othman Koran is the oldest in the world. In an obscure corner of the Uzbek capital, Tashkent, lays one of Islam's most sacred relics - the world's oldest Koran. It is a reminder of the role which Central Asia once played in Muslim history - a fact often overlooked after seven Decades of Soviet-imposed atheism....

"There are approximately 20,000 books and 3,000 manuscripts in this library," said Ikram Akhmedov, a young assistant in the mufti's office. "They deal with mediaeval history, astronomy and medicine. There are also commentaries on the Koran and books of law. But the oldest book here is the Othman Koran from the seventh century. **This priceless Koran is kept in a special glass-fronted vault built into the wall of a tiny inner room.**

"The Koran was written on deerskin," said Mr. Akhmedov... It is said that Caliph Othman made five copies of the original Koran. A Koran now in the Topkapi Palace in Istanbul is said to be another of these original copies....

**As a result, its greatest treasure, the world's oldest Koran, continues to sit quietly in the medieval quarter of old Tashkent".** <http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/asia-pacific/4581684.stm>

*Recommended: websites:*

- (a) [www.Quran.nu](http://www.Quran.nu)      (b) [www.fatwa-online.com](http://www.fatwa-online.com)  
(c) [www.understand-islam.net](http://www.understand-islam.net).      (d) [www.bridges-foundation.net](http://www.bridges-foundation.net).  
(e) [www.islamtomorrow.com](http://www.islamtomorrow.com).

***To read the stories of priests accepting Islam<sup>164</sup> visit:***

**164 :** *The following 9 are just a few of the pastors and Priests of various Churches that have already embraced Islam: Chaplain Yusuf Estes (USA); Minister and Elder of the Pentecostal Church (USA) Mr. Kenneth L. Jenkins; Dr. Gerald F. Dirk-Former Minister of the United Methodist Church (USA); A Lady Minister-Sue Watson, Missionary -Profes-*

---

• **Language Jesus Spoke, Is Non Existent<sup>165</sup>, As Well As Other Important Facts Falsifying The Bible!**

*Kindly present this book to your Reverends, Priests, Elders and Pastors to disprove the facts stated in this book! We have given references from Authentic (Vatican) Christian Sources<sup>166</sup> and we welcome dialogues and debates regarding the authenticity of the Bible as long as one can back up one's arguments with evidence! Was Salaam!*

\*\*\*\*\*

**The authors make an earnest supplication to Almighty Allâh, the Most Kind, Most Merciful, and Most Compassionate to accept this work for the propagation of His deen, Al-Islam, and to forgive all of us who were involved in this publication; and to forgive all Muslims who submit to His Deen-Al-Islam.**

**We further beseech and thank the Almighty Allâh, the** sor, Master's degree in Divinity (USA); Martin John Mwaipopo former Lutheran Archbishop of Tanzania; Mr. Raphael Narbaez, former Jehovah's Witness Minister (USA); Mr. George Anthony former Catholic Priest (Sri Lanka); Archbishop Viacheslav Polosin (Russia);

**165 :** This is internal evidence from the Bible that they are fabricated, concocted and revised versions of the previous invented fabricated books! It is a fact that there are hundreds of Perverted Christian Fundamentalists' who steal, mutilate and destroy the abovementioned types of books in the libraries all over the world to conceal the truth. These types of people are criminals as their intentions are evil as they want to deliberately mislead people from the truth. They know that their Bibles are a fabrication and they want to cover up this fact. *Please contact Sulaimân Ibrâhîm to inspect any of the above-mentioned books to verify the authenticity of them.*

**166 :** This is in contrast to what the opponents of Islam do. They make false allegations, distort the facts and do not give the references to their allegations. Again we come to the same conclusion : 'A person can be very well qualified academically in most fields of research, but if Christianity captures and enslaves one's mind, then one loses direction of the path to truth'.

*Most Compassionate:* "All praise is due to ALLÂH, Who guided us to this (al-Islâm)! And we would not have found the way if ALLÂH had not guided us". "Our *Rabb*, pardon us! And grant us protection! And have mercy on us! Thou art our Patron, so grant us victory over the disbelieving people. Our *Rabb* Thou art the Originator of the heavens and the earth, Thou art our Friend in this world and the Hereafter. Make us die in submission and join us with the righteous". *Ameen!*

A.Y.Moosa & Sulaimân Ismâ'îl Ibrâhîm Nabîbukhsh.

November 2008

\*\*\*\*\*

*Thanks and acknowledgements:*

Mrs. Hajiera Moosa

M.S. Adams - Cover Design

I. Adam -DPB Printers - Final Layout and Printing

Sheikh Burhanuddin Abbas- Advice and Articles

Mustacq Abdulla of Rosmead Supermarket- Articles

Dr. Imran Hosein from Trinidad & Tobago - Lectures