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## PREFACE

The text of this edition is mainly that of Stein in his edition of 1884 , and wherever I have deviated from this I have quoted Stein's reading at the foot. The most important conjectures and readings, or at least those which seemed to be most important for my purpose, have been added in the critical apparatus, but I have not attempted to give anything like a conspectus lectionum. That will be found in the editions of Stein and Holder.

In regard to the dialect I have felt unable to do anything at all satisfactory, but readers who are interested in this side of the text will find it very carefully treated in Prof. Strachan's excellent edition of Book vi.

My notes are chiefly intended for historical studentsfor whom also the excursuses have been written. To make the book as useful as possible in this respect I have not hesitated to quote at length accounts given by other authors of the events narrated by Herodotus. I have. of course, made constant use of the editions by Krüger and Stein, which are indispensable helps in the study of Herodotus.

I hope, in the course of time, to be able to complete the whole of Herodotus on a similar plan.

EVELYN ABBOTT.
Oxford:
October, 1892.

## ADDENDA ET CORRIGENDA

V. 1. 5. Add to the note Cf. vii. II3 ímepoukevtas $\delta$ t̀ tò Márүauov $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \beta o \rho \epsilon ́ \omega ~ a ̉ \nu \epsilon ́ \mu о v ~ \Pi a i o v a s ~ \Delta o ́ ß \eta \rho a ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а i ̀ ~ П a t o ́ \pi \lambda a s ~ \pi a \rho \epsilon \xi ̆ \iota ̀ ̀ \nu ~$ $\kappa$. $\tau, \lambda$.



V. 49. 20. Add to the note Cf. infr. 88. I.
 хре́ $\omega \nu$ vtaı.
V. 99. 5, note. For '(? end of the seventh century)' read' (? end of the eighth century.)'
V. 108, 113. The names Onesilus and Philocyprus occur in the inscription of Idalium; the first in the name of a physician, the second of a public magistrate: cf. Collitz, Sammlung, p. 29.
1'. 159. This advantage of tyranny was however perceived by Plato. Cf. Laws, 710.
P. 16I. Archidamus and his two sons Agis and Agesilaus held the throne of Sparta from 469 B.C. to 361 B.C.
VI. 9. 22, note. After 'outrages' add 'in insulting terms'. (кат' є́тท'pєเav Thuc. i. 26 :
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## ANALYSIS

BOOKS V, VI.

## THE IONAV REVOLT AND THE BATTLE <br> OF MARATIION.

V.

The Persiays in Europe: cc. $1-27$.
cc. I, 2. Megabazus subdues the Pcrinthians and Thracians.
cc. 3-8. The Thracians, their customs and deities.
c. 9, ro. Countries beyond the Danube.
cc. II-I3. Darius at Sardis; rewards of Coes and Histiaeus ; the Paconians and their sister.
ce. I4-16. Megabazus transports a number of Paeonians to Asia; customs of the dwellers on Lake Prasias.
cc. $\mathbf{1}_{7}^{-22}$. The Persians in Macedonia; slaughter of the envoys; Amyntas and his son Alexander.
ce. ${ }^{23-25}$. On the advice of Megabazus, Darius recalls Histiacus from Thrace, and after appointing Artaphernes satrap of Sardis, and Otanes general in the room of Megabazus, returns to Susa.
cc. 26,27 . Conquests of Otanes in the Bosporus and Troad; Lemnos and Imbros become subject to Persia.

## The Iontan Revolt down to the Visit of Aristagoris <br> to Sparta: cc. 28-38.

cc. 28, 29. Account of Naxos and Miletus; prosperity of the cities. (Story of the Parians at Miletus.)
cc. 30 , 31. Naxian exiles ask the help of Aristagoras of Miletus, who
applies to Artaphernes; a Persian expedition arminst Naxos arranged. c. 32-34. Two hundred Persian ships sent against Naxos under the command of Megabates and Aristagoras; owing to a quarrel between the commanders, the Naxians are warned, and the expedition fails.
cc. $35-37$. Aristagoras resolves to revolt from Persia, and is urged to take the step by Histiaeus. (Story of the message sent by Histiacu; from Susa.) Hecataeus attempts to dissuade him but in vain ; seizur ${ }^{-}$ of the Persian captains at Myus; Aristagoras lays down his power in Miletus, and deposes the tyrants throughout Ionia.
c. $3 \S$. Aristagoras visits Sparta to solicit help.

## Aristagoras at Sparta: cc. 39-54.

cc. $39-48$. State of Sparta at the time.
cc. $39^{-41}$. Anaxandridas and his wives; birth of Cleomenes, of Doriens, Leonidas, and Cleombrotus.
cc. $42-48$. Account of Doricus, who refused to remain in Sparta when Clenmenes became king; his colony on the Cinyps in Libya; his voyage to Sicily, and supposed participation in the war between Sybaris and Croton; his dcath in Sicily. (End of Euryleon the companion of Dorieus, who ber came tyrant of Sclinus; and of Philippus of Croton.)
cc. 49-54. Aristagoras and Cleomenes.

- 49. Aristagoras urges Cleomenes to aid the Ionians, and shows him a map ; description of the nations betwcen Ionia and Susa.
cc. 50,51 . Cleomenes refuses, on hearing that Susa is three months' distance from the sea. Aristagoras attempts to bribe him, but fails and leaves Sparta. (Story of Gorgo.)
cc. $5^{2-54}$. Account of the road from Sardis to Susa; and from Ephesu; to Sardis.

Aristagoras at Athens: cc. 55-97.
c. 5 ล. Aristagoras leaves Sparta for Athens.

> ce. 55-96. History of Athens.
i. cc. 55-6r. Assassination of Hipparchus; vision of Hipparchus; his death; origin of the Gcphyrcans, to whom Harmodius and Aristogciton belonged; Phocnicians in Boeotia; the Greek alphabet derived from them; ancient inseriptions at Thebes.
ii. cc. 62-65. Expulsion of the Peisistratidae. Tyranny of Hippias, and unsuccessful attempt of the Alcmaeonidac to expel him. The Alcinaconidae win over Delphi by their liberality in rebuilding the temple, and bribe the oracle to urge the Spartans to join in the liberation of Athens; first unsuccessful expedition under Anchimolius; sccond under Cleomenes; the Peisistratidae compclled to lcave the country; they retire to Sigeum; their origin.
iii. cc. 66-73. Factions at $A$ thens; Cleisthenes and Isagoras.
cc. 66-68. Cleisthenes, the Alcmaconid, finding Isagoras too strong for him, wins over the pcople. His popular reforms. (Account of Cleisthencs, the tyrant of Sicyon, and the changes which he introduced, changes which Cleisthenes of $\lambda$ thens, his grandson, imitated.)
cc. 69-73. Isagoras summons Cleomenes of Sparta to his assistance; the 'Accursed '; Cleisthenes retires from Athens, and Cleomenes visits the city with a small force; 700 families exiled; an attempt to reform the senate fails, and Cleomenes is compelled to withdraw ; return of Cleisthenes; the Athenians seek the aid of Persia.
iv. cc. 74-87. Attacks on Athens.
a. cc. $74-76$. Cleomenes invades Attica, and reaches Eleusis, but the allies refuse to follow him; Demaratus also, the second king, withdraws. Cleomenes is compellcd to retire. Four invasions of Attica by Dorians.
b. cc. 77,78 . The Boeotians and Chalcidians who had attacked Athens in concert with the Spartans (c. 74), are defeated; 700 Boeotians are taken prisoners; 4000 Athenians are settled at Chalcis; fetters of the prisoncrs at Athens, and a chariot commemorating the victory. Excellence of democratic institutions.
c. cc. 79-81. The Boeotians summon the Aeginetans to their aid in obedience to an oracle ; the Aeginetans first send the Aeacidac, then attack Athens without proclamation of war. (cc. 82-88. Origin of the ill-feeling between Athens and Aegina. The Epidaurians allowed to make statues of Athenian olive-wood on certain conditions; the Aeginetans, previously dependent on Epidaurus, steal the statues and refuse to observe the condlitions. Disastrous attempts of the Athenians to recover the statues; Athenian account; Aeginetan account; Argive account. Cruelty of the Athenian women to the sole survivor; changes in the dress of Athenians, Aeginetans, and Argives. Origin of Greek dress.)
c. 89. The Athenians, after consulting the oracle, are about to retaliate on the Aeginetans, when they are prevented by the Lacedaemonians.
v. cc. 90-96. Attempt of the Spartans to restore Hippias.

The Lacedaemonians, finding that the priestess of Delphi had been bribed to advise the expulsion of the Peisistratidae, send for Hippias from Sigeum, and summoning their allies, suggest his restoration; speech of Socles the Corinthian, who dwells on the iniquities of Cypselus and Periander, the tyrants of Corinth. The allies follow the Corinthians; Hippias returns to Sigeum (account of the war between Athens and Mytilene for the possession of Sigeum), and excites Artaphernes of Sardis against Athens; second embassy of the Athenians to Artaphernes, who insists that they must take Hippias back.
c. 97. Aristagoras at Athens. Owing to the state of feeling in the city Aristagoras induces the Athenians to send twenty ships to the help of Ionia; these ships the source of evil to Greeks and barbarians.

The Ionian Revolt to tile Death of Aristagoras: cc. 98 - i2 6. c. 98. Aristagoras, on his return to Miletus, induces the Paeonians, whom Megabazus had brought into Asia, to return to Europe.
cc. 99-102. Burning of Sardis, and defeat of the Greeks. The Athenian ships, joined by five Eretrian vessels, sail to Miletus ; they proceed to Ephesus; and thence to Sardis; which is burnt. The Greeks then retire ; but are overtaken, and defeated at Ephesus; the Athenians return home.
cc. $\mathrm{IO}_{3}, \mathrm{IO}_{4}$. The revolt spreads through Caria, and to the island of Cyprus; Onesilus of Amathus.
ce. $105^{-107 . ~ D a r i u s ~ i n f o r m e d ~ o f ~ t h e ~ r e v o l t ~ ; ~ h i s ~ i n d i g n a t i o n ; ~ h i s ~ i n t e r-~}$ view with Histiaeus; Histiaeus returns to the coast.
ce. 1o8-116. Suppression of the revolt in Cyprus. Battles by land and sea ; the Ionians are vietorious at sea, but the Cyprians are defeated on land owing to treachery. (Story of Artybius and his horse, and of the head of Onesilus.)
e. II7. Suppression of the revolt in the Hellespont, by Daurises.
cc. IIS-I2I. The Persians in Caria. The Carians are twice defeated with severe loss, but on the third attempt they entrap and defeat the Persians, slaying their generals.
ce. 122, 123. Suppression of the revolt in the Troad and Aeolis.
ec. 124-126. Flight and death of Aristagoras. On hearing of the capture of the eities, Aristagoras summoned his partisans, and proposed to retire to Sardinia or to Myrcinus in Thrace. Hecataens advises him to fortify Leros, but he decides for Myreinus, where he is slain by the Thracians.

## V1.

## Final Suppression of the Revolt: CC. 1 -42.

ce. 3-5. Histiaeus returns to the eoast ; and being suspected by Artaphernes, leaves Sardis for Chios, whence he attempts to raise a revolt at Sardis ; he attempts in vain to enter Miletus, and retires with eight Lesbian ships to Byzantium.
ee. 6-17. Battle of Lade. The Persians collect their forees round Miletus; approach of the Phoenician fleet, with the Cyprian and Egyptian ; the Ionians assemble to meet them. Overtures of the tyrants, which are rejected; attempt of Dionysius of Phocaea to train the Greeks ; treason of the Samians; battle of Lade and defeat of the Ionians ; disaster of the Chians; Dionysius sails to the west.
cc. 18-21. Fall of Miletus, as predicted by an oraele; the Milesians conveyed to Ampe; their eity taken by the Persians; sympathy with Miletus at Athens. (Story of Phrynichus.)
ce. 22-25. Samos and Caria. Those Samians who had refused to be traitors sail for Calè Actè in Sicily, and establish themselves at Zancle, on the way; Seythes of Zancle, and Hippocrates of Gela.) Aeaces restored to Samos as tyrant. Caria submits.
cc. 26-30. End of IIistiaeus. II earing of the fall of Miletus, Histiacus sails for Chios, whieh he eaptures. Warnings given to the Chians of the ealamities which were about to overtake them.) From Chios IIistiaeus sails to Thasos, but hearing of the approach of the Phoenicians, he returns to Lesbos, and lands in Atarneus, to obtain supplies.

Here he is defeated by Harpagus, carried to Sardis, and executcd. Honours paid to him by Darius.
cc. 31-32. Final suppression of the revolt in the islands and in Ionia; Chios, Lesbos, Tenedos captured ; this was the third enslavement of Ionia.
c. 33. Suppression of the revolt in the Hellespont. Fire and sword carried to Byzantium, Chalcedon, and the cities of the Propontis, cxcept Cyzicus. The Phoenicians in the Chersonese.
cc. 34-40. The Chersonese; colonization by Miltiades, the son of Cypselus, at the request of the Doloncians; fortunes of Miltiades; his capture by the Lampsacenes, and liberation at the request of Croesus. He is succeeded by Stesagoras, the elder son of Cimon, who is slain by a Lampsacene, and succeeded by his brother Miltiades. Miltiades establishes himself as tyrant, keeps 500 guards and marries Hegesipyle. (Invasion of the Chersonese by the Scythians.)
cc. $4^{\mathrm{I}}, 4^{2}$. Hearing of the approach of the Phoenicians, Miltiades learcs the Chersonese for Athens; his son Metiochus is captured and sent to Darius, who, notwithstanding the advice given by Miltiades to the Ionians to break the bridge on the Danube, cstablishcd him on an estate in Persia.

Hostilities arc now at an end, but Artaphernes makes a frcsh assessment of Ionia, and enforces submission.

## Invasions of Greece by Persia.

i. cc. 43-45. Invasion of Mardonius.

Mardonius, the son-in-law of Darius, is appointed general-in-chief, all the rest being superseded ; he assembles a host in Cilicia, and passing by Ionia, where he establishes democracies in the cities, crosses the Hellespont and advances by land and sea towards Greecc. He subjugates Thasos, but his fleet is destroycd off Athos ; and after completing the subjugation of Macedonia, after some reverses on land, he returns to Asia.
cc. $4^{6,} 47$. Thasos. The Thasians being suspected in spite of their submission are compelled to pull down their walls by Darius, and take their fleet to Abdera. Wealth of the Thasians and mines in the island.
cc. $4^{8}$, 49. Darius sends envoys to demand earth and water from the Greek cities. Many give it, among the rest the Aeginetans, of whosc conduct the Athenians complain at Sparta.
ce. 50, 51. Cleomenes, king of Sparta, visits Acgina, but he is compelled to retire owing to the schemes of the second king Demaratus. His remark to Crius of Aegina.
cc. 52-60. The Lacedaemonian kings. Descent of the two kings; origin of
the double monarchy ; the twin sons of Aristodemus; kings of the Dorians in part Egyptian.

Privileges of the Lacedaemonian kings in war and peace. Honours paid to them at their burial; similarity of Lacedaemonian and oriental customs. cc. 6x-72. Cleomenes and Demaratus. Birth of Demaratus; his father Ariston, and his wife; suspicion of the legitimacy of Demaratus, who is attacked by a younger member of the same line. The matter is referred to the Pythia, who is bribed to decide against Demaratus. Demaratus is deposed, and after an interview with his mother leaves Sparta for Persia, where Darius receives him hospitably. Leotychidas succeeds to the throne of Sparta, but at a later time he suffered the due penalty of his misdeeds, being convicted of bribery and expelled from Sparta.
c. 73. Cleomenes visits Acgina a second time, with Leotychidas. He takes hostages, whom he deposits with Athens.
cc. 74-84. End of Cleomenes. His fraud being discovered, he leaves Sparta for Thessaly. Thence lee returns to Arcadia, and begins to form a confederation against Sparta, upon which he is recalled to Sparta. His madness and death. Various causes of his madness are suggested, among others his sacrilege at the grove of Argus.

Invasion of Argolis by Cleomenes; his difficulty at the Erasinus; ships are furnished by Aegina, and Sicyon; deception and dcfeat of the Argives ; Cleomenes at the grove of Argus, and at the Heraeum. He fails to take Argos, is put on his trial but acquitted. Desperate condition of Argos.

Another suggested cause of the madness was the drinking of unmixed wine, a habit contracted from the Scythians who visited Sparta.
cc. 85,86 . Leotychidas at Aegina. The Spartans, on hearing of the fraud of Cleomenes and Leotychidas, are willing to surrender Leotychidas to the Aeginetans, but the Aeginetans eventually decline to receive him. Upon this, Leotychidas and the Aeginetans visit Athens to demand the surrender of the hostages. The Athenians refuse to give them up. Story of Glaucus of Sparta.
cc. 87-93. War between Athens and Aegina. The Aeginetans retaliate by seizing an Athenian mission ship at Sunium. The Athenians now arrange with Nicodromus for the betrayal of Aegina, but the arrangement fails because the Athenians, having to borrow ships from the Corinthians, do not appear at the appointed time. Popular rising in Aegina, which is suppressed with impious cruelty by the oligarchs. Defeat of the Aeginetans by the Athenians. Argive volunteers arrive at Acgina, and a second battle is fought, in which the Athenians are defeated with a loss of four vessels.
ii. cc. 94-120. Invasion of Datis and Artaphernes.
cc. 94-99. Mardonius is removed from the command, and a fresh army collected under Datis and Artaphernes. It sails across the sea to Naxos, which is devastated and enslaved. Delos is treated with honour.
(Earthquake at Delos, significant of the disasters which overtook Greece in the reigns of Darius, Xerxes, and Artaxerxes.) The Persians, levying forces as they go, land at Carystus; which is compclled to submit.
ce. Ioo, iol. The Persians at Eretria. Athenian hclp sent but recalled. After six days Eretria is traitorously surrendered.
cc. $102-105$. The Persians at Marathon. Hippias leads the Persians from Euboea to Marathon, as the best field for cavalry. The Athenians march out to meet him. Miltiades, the son of Cimon, is chosen general.

Account of Cimon ; his victories at Olympia, with his mares; he is assawsinated by the order of the Peisistratidac. Miltiades, his son, after escaping to Athens (c. 4i) had been brought to trial for his tyranny, but escaped.
cc. $\mathbf{1 0}_{5}$, 1 о6. The Athenians send to Sparta for help ; story of lheidippides. The Spartans cannot march out till after the full moon.
c. Io7. Dream of Hippias, which bodes ill for the success of the Persians.
cc. 108-111. The Athenians are joined by the Plataeans. (Origin of the friendship between Plataea and Athens; conflicts between Athens and Thebes, and readjustment of the borders.) Division of opinion among the Athenian generals. Miltiades wins over Callimachus, the polemarch, and it is decidcd to give battle. Order of the line.
cc. 112-117. Battle of Marathon; rapid advance of the Athenians; the Greek centre is broken, but the wings are victorious. Rout of the Persians, who embark and sail to Phalerum, where they find the Athenians encamped near the city. The signal shield. Numbers of the slain; legend of Epizelus.
cc. 118-120. Datis and Artaphernes return to Asia; Datis and the image of Apollo. The Eretrians placed at Ardericca. The Lacedaemonians, 2000 strong, arrive at Athens after the full moon.
cc. 121-124. The Alcmaconidae. Did they display the signal shield? Their conduct belies the accusation ; they hated tyrants even more than Callias (story of Callias) and were greatly esteemed by the people at Athens.
cc. 125-131. Rise of the family. Alcmaeon and Croesus; Alcmaeon in the treasury at Sardis; Megacles and Agariste, the daughter of Cleisthenes of Sicyon.
The wooing of Agariste.
cc. 132-136. End of Miltiades. Miltiades makes a secret attack on Paros; he is unsuccessful, and returns to Athens disabled; (story of the visit to the temple of Demeter); he is put on histrial for deceiving the people, and fined fifty talents; he dies of his wound, but the fine is paid by his son Cimon.
cc. $\mathrm{I}_{37}-\mathbf{1} \ddagger \mathrm{o}$. Miltiades had acquired Lemnos for Athens. The Pelasgi being driven out of Attica to Lemnos, carried off some Athenian women from Brauron. These women and their children they subsequently put to death. In consequence, their land, wives and flocks became barren, and they weradvised to offer compensation to Athens. The Athenians demanded their country, which the Pelasgians consented to surrcnder when the Athenians could sail to it in a day. This feat Miltiades accomplished by sailing from the Chersoncse, which was occupied by Athenians, to Lemnos. In this manner Lemnos became Athenian.
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## ADDITIONAL CORRECTIONS.

Page xvi, line 13. For VINDOBONENSIS, read Vinibobonensis, " 129, note 2. Add, and so also Tamos (viii. 87), who is described as v̋тapरos 'I $\omega \nu^{\prime} \alpha a s$ in viii. 31.
,, 165, note. For Eleventh read Fourth and for Teiresias rad Proteus
" 221, note to V1. 57. 11. Omit the reference to Thuc. v. 43 .
,. $2^{8} 9$, note to VI. 136. 12. For were treated as read were in some cases treated as
,. 303. line 5 from foot, for Crete read Rhocles

# HPOAOTOヘ IZTOPIHミ 

## E.

Thrace. bic. $515($ ? $)$; OI. 66. 2.

## 








1. i. of $\delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$, к.т. $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$. The story is resumed from iv. 143, 144 . On his return from Scythia (515 B.C.?), Darius left Megabazus in Europe, with an army of 80,000 men, under orders to reduce the sea-board of Thrace. This Megabazus is to be distinguished from the son of Megabates (vii. 97); whether he is the same as Megabyzus, is uncertain.
2. Meplveious. Perinthus was a Samian colony in the Propontis, founded in $599-598$ в.с. The word 'Hellespontian' is often used by Herodotus in a wide sense to include all the Greeks from the Pontus to the Aegean ; cf.infr. 103.7; vi. 26, 33, etc. In the so-called 'Tribute lists' (e.g. 443 B.c., Hicks, Hist. Inscr. p. 41) Perinthus, and even Byzantium and Chalcedon, were placed in the Hellespontian district. Cf. also Strabo, vii. frag. 58.
 were Paeonians on the upper Strymon: Agrianes, Laeaeans, and Graaeans (Thuc. ii. 96 ) ; and also on the lower river: Paeoplae, Siriopaeones, and Doberes (inf. 13-15, Thus. ii. gs. The article on the Paeonians in Pauly's Realencycl. is excellent). The date of this attack is unknown, but it is obvious that the paean would not be sung in Perinthus before the town was occupied by Greeks.
3. rove $\theta \in 0$ vi. Perhaps the god Dyalus (Dionysus) is meant, who is mentioned as a Paeonian deity in the lexicon of Hesychius. The Paeonians on the lower Strymon would also be in the neighbourhood of the oracle of the Thracian Dionysus (see infra, c. 7).
 'summon,' 'call on them'; not

Thrace. bic. 5 I 5 (?); OI. 66. 2.
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'challenge.' The mention of the name (Paeonians) is all-important: cf. Thus. iii. 52 クु $\rho \dot{\omega} \tau \omega \nu$ vè av̉rov̀s

13. $\sigma v v^{\prime} \beta a \lambda^{\prime}$ vv, ' matched'; transitive, a sense which does not appear to be allowed in Attic. ouv $\beta$ ad$\lambda_{\text {ovto : }}$ middle, of the purely mental act ; 'put two and two together,' as we say, and so, 'infer.'
 reads with $A B C$, but perhaps wrongly. The common idiom of épyov with the possessive pronoun in the sense of 'my, your duty or business' is not found elsewhere in Herodotus, though we have an apbroach to it in i. 17.12 $2 \ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \delta \delta \rho \eta s$ $\mu \grave{\eta}$ єîval Éprov tท̣̂ $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau i!\eta$. On the other hand the reading of $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{sv})$
 and the more difficult reading. Cf. Thus. ii. 81 ̇̀vópıбаข aủtoßoєì adv

$\gamma^{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\epsilon} \epsilon \theta$ al. Herod. vi. 120 ult.; viii. 102. 8 ; ix. 102. 10.
 ii. 54.9. Cobet would alter ánó into $\dot{v} \pi \delta^{\prime}$, on the ground that $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa$ and $\pi \rho o{ }^{\prime}$ can be used for the agent, but $\dot{\alpha} \pi{ }^{\prime}$ is always a mistake for $\dot{v} \pi u^{\prime}$. Against this it may be urged, (I) that the sense with $\dot{a} \pi \dot{\prime}$ is not the same as the sense with $\dot{\text { u no }}$ (info.: 98. 6); and (2) that the use is too widely spread to be due merely to error. In Thur. iii. 36, we have ánó with $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \in \sigma \theta a t$ (cf. Classen, ad lac.), in ib. vi. 28 with $\mu \eta \nu v \in \tau \alpha a$, in Arist. Pol. i. $5 \cdot 3=1254 a 27$ we find $\tau \grave{o} \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \tau \epsilon-$ $\lambda o v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o v$ ámò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \beta \in \lambda \tau t o ́ v o v$, where
 used in preference to $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\prime}$, because its signification is more comprehenside - the source rather than the agency,' etc., and quotes Eucken to the effect that in the genuine works of Aristotle ảnó is never

Thrace. bic. 515 (?); OI. 66. 2.







 $\kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \omega ่ \nu \tau o ́, ~ a ̆ \mu \alpha \chi o ́ \nu ~ \tau ’ ~ a ̈ \nu ~ \epsilon i ้ \eta ~ к а i ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda \widehat{̣}$ кра́тьбтоע
 The Thracans. Their customs, etc.


 $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \epsilon S$ Х $\rho \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ кат⿳亠 $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha, \pi \lambda \eta ̀ \nu$ Г $\Gamma \tau \epsilon \in \omega \nu$ каi T T $\alpha \nu$ -

found in the sense of $\dot{i} \pi$ or $^{\text {with the }}$ passive.
7. тav́ tn, i.e. in the direction which he took, which was by the sea-coast. The northern part of Thrace had been subjugated by Darius on his march to the Danube; iv. 93 .

таи̂та үáp . . . Op ךíкŋv ката$\sigma \tau \rho \dot{\epsilon} \phi \in \sigma \theta a l$. For tov̂to referring to what comes after, cf. infr. 39. S; 33. 12 ; Plato, Apology, 19 E.
3. In the opinion of Herodotus, the Danube flowed for a considerable part of its course from N. to S., corresponding to the Nile. Hence, in his view, Thrace has a large extension to the north. For the Indians, cf. iii. $94{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathbf{I} \nu \delta \omega \nu \quad \delta \dot{\epsilon}$
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i s \not \approx \delta \mu \epsilon \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \omega \nu$, and the description in iii. 98 ff. Thucy-
dides, whether consciously differing from Herodotus or not, gives (ii. 97) the first place to the Scythians, both in Europe and Asia.
4. ad $\lambda \lambda$ de үáp, к.т. $\lambda$. 'But this is impracticable and impossible that it should ever take place.' ad $\mu \dot{\prime} \mathbf{X}^{\text {avow }}$

 in reading $\hat{\epsilon} \nu \boldsymbol{\nu} \gamma^{\epsilon} \nu \eta \tau a l$ (after A BC); but the compound is right, in the sense 'come to pass,' 'get on foot.'
6. кaтג̀ тоขิто, ' on this account.' катà $\mathrm{X} \omega$ pas, distributive : the meaning is that the Thracians have many names, because the inhabitants of each tract of territory have a different name. Herodotus mentions nineteen Thracian tribes; Strabo puts the number at twenty-two. See Smith, Dict. Geogr: sub vole.

Thrace. b.c. 515 (?); Ol. 66. 2.
$4 \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$ каi т $\hat{\omega} \nu$ катíтє $\rho \theta \epsilon$ K $\quad \eta \sigma \tau \omega \nu \alpha i ́ \omega \nu$ оiкєóvт $\omega \nu$. тоv́-















4. 2. The Gctae, who dwelt between the Haemus and the Danube, maintained that they did not die, but went away to dwell with a spirit Zalmoxis, in a kind of Valhalla, an opinion whieh greatly astonished Herodotus ; cf. iv. 94, 95. On the word áOavari乡єtv see Purves, Sel.from Plato, p. 23 I. Cf. Strabo, p. 298, who notes that the Getae were at once polygamous and superstitious, conneeting the two qualities: äravtes $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \tau \hat{\eta} s$
 fuvaîkas (ib. 297).

The Trausi perhaps dwelt on the eastern slope of Rhodope; aeeording to IIesyehius they were a Scythian tribe, and Stephanus Byz. identifies them with the Agathyrsi. The eustom mentioned is noted by Euripides, frag. $45^{2} \mathrm{~N}$.
4. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi เ \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon$ ouct. Cobet would read $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \in v_{0} v \sigma t$, the usual word for
praetising a eustom; $\dot{\epsilon} \pi l \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{i v}$ is properly to perform a religious rite, iii. 8. 10, or to eomplete a work.
5. àтоүьvó $\mu$ evov. Cf. Thue. ii.
 There is no reason to rejeet the present tense in favour of the aorist, whieh has less authority.
7. à $\boldsymbol{\eta} \gamma \epsilon$ о́ $\mu \epsilon \boldsymbol{v o l}_{0}$, 'enumerating,' not merely stating or relating, Pind. Nem. 10. 19 ; Isth. 5.56 d̉v. á $\rho \in \tau$ ás.
5. The Crestonaeans dwelt on the sourees of the Eeheidorus, between the Axius and the Strymon : cf. vii. 124, where Xerxes passed through their country on his way from Therma to the Eeheidorus, which in faet rose in Crestonaea, cf. ib. 127. Their northern neighbours may have been the Maedi, or the Sinti, see Thue. ii. 9S; Leake, Northern Grecce, iii. 44 S.
4. фì $\omega v$, friends of the various wives.



 $\theta \alpha ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ \tau \hat{\varphi}$ ar $\nu \delta \rho i ́$. ai $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ ar $\lambda \lambda \alpha \iota \quad \sigma \nu \mu \phi \circ \rho \eta े \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \eta \nu$

 $\lambda \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \iota \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \in \kappa \nu \alpha$ ' $\pi^{\prime} \epsilon \in \xi \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \hat{\eta}$. $\tau \grave{\alpha} s \delta^{2} \epsilon^{a} \pi \alpha \rho \theta \epsilon \in \nu o u s$ ova $\phi v$ - The rest of
 $\mu i ́ \sigma \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha l \cdot \tau \alpha ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ रvvaîkas i $\sigma \chi \nu \rho \bar{\omega} s$ фu入á $\sigma \sigma o v \sigma \iota$, каîb the Theaclans: marriage.




${ }^{\text {a }} \delta \dot{́}$ om. Gompertz, Van Herwerden. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ kail om. r, Comp., Van H. Cf. Cob., MTnem. xii. 135. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ tàs $\gamma$ vuaikas sech. St.

6. крı $\theta \hat{\mathfrak{n}}$ кaì $\tau \mu \eta \theta \hat{\eta}$, 'is selected and receives the distinction,' каi $\tau \iota$. are added to define $\kappa p \iota \theta \hat{\eta}$, quasi тav́т $\eta$ s $\tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta}_{s}$ ảkia. Cf. viii. 22. 19.
7. $\sigma u \mu \phi \circ \rho \eta े \vee ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda \eta v$ molєर̂vтal, 'take it much to heart,' cf. info. 35 . 19. This custom of the Thracians is mentioned by Cicero, Tusc. Dish. v. ${ }_{2}^{2}$, and for a similar custom among the Indians see Iiodorus, xix. 33, 34 . -Observe that all the three customs here mentioned have reference to a belief in a continued existence after death; and this an individual personal existence, not a mere metempsychosis. There is some reason to suppose that it was from a combination of the worship of the Thracian Dionysus with that of Demeter that the belief in mm-mortality-such as it was-passed into the Eleusinian mysteries. Cf.
the Scythian customs mentioned in iv. 71,72 .
8. 3. av̉тaì $\beta$ ov́入ovtal. They are not allotted to any one, but choose for themselves. Cf. i. 93, of the Lydian women. Bähr quotes evidence to show that some similar customs prevail at the present day in these districts.
1. $\epsilon$ vj $\boldsymbol{\epsilon v \in \epsilon \in s , \text { a mark of good birth }}$ dj ${ }^{\text {evvés, }}$ a mark of low birth. to
 ar $\sigma$ тıктov masc. sc. тıvá. The learn from Clearchus, frag. 8 Müller, Frag. Hist. Graec. ii. p. 306), that the Thracian women were tattooed as well as the men. [Stein, supplying $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i$, takes ar $\sigma \tau \iota \kappa \tau э \nu$ with $\tau \dot{\text { ut }}$ as $=$ an abstract noun, or in a collective sense (like $\tau \grave{o} \mu a ́ \chi \iota \mu \nu \nu$, etc.) "what is not tattooed.']

Thrace. bic. 515 ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ); OI. 66. 2.



 $\pi \circ \lambda \iota \eta \tau \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu, \sigma \epsilon \in \beta o \nu \tau \alpha \iota{ }^{\circ} E \rho \mu \epsilon ́ \eta \nu \quad \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ $\theta \epsilon \bar{\omega} \nu$ каi on $\mu \nu v$ 'ova $\mu$ о̂̂vov тov̂тov, каì $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o v \sigma \iota ~ \gamma \epsilon \gamma o v \epsilon ́ v a \iota ~ \alpha ̀ \pi o ̀ ~ ' ~ E \rho \mu \epsilon ́ \omega ~ 5 ~$





7. Thracia is the land of Ares; cf. 17. I 3 . 3 or. Diomed the Thracian king was the son of Ares; the Thracian Amazons his daughters. Sophocles, Ant. 968, speaks of Ares in Thrace, and in the same ode mentions the Thracian Dionysus. There was an oracle of Dionysus among the Satrae (Bessie, cf. Herod. vii. III. 6) ; and compare the story of Lycurgus, the Thracian king, which is as old as the Iliad (vi. 130). Artemis was worshipped by the Edonians as Cottyto, or Cotys, with ecstatic and sensual rites, and indeed Cotys seems to have been the female counterpart of Dionysus, see Strabo, p. 47o. The Thracian Artemis was also called Bendis, under which name she was worshipped at the Peiraeus in the time of Pericles. Bendis was a moon-goddess.
3. $\pi \alpha ́ p \epsilon \xi \tau \omega ิ v a ̈ \lambda \lambda \omega v \pi o \lambda เ \eta \tau \epsilon \in \omega v$, 'separately from the mass of the people.' The use of the word $\pi \circ \boldsymbol{\lambda} \iota \eta \tau \epsilon \in \omega v$ is curious; but cf. $\pi \in \pi \circ \lambda \iota \sigma \mu^{\prime} \nu \eta, i n f r$. 13. 10; по入ı ${ }^{2}$ т al, 16. 9 ; i. 120. 29. Of the Thracian Hermes nothing
certain seems to be known ; but Marcurius (Hermes) is the name given by the Romans to the principal deity of the Celts and Germans. In these cases he is identified with Wotan; Grimm, Teuton. Myth. p. I49.
8. 3. The custom of the funeral feast is known to Homer; Il. xxiii. 29 ; and xxiv. end ; Od. iii. 309, etc. For similar customs see iv. 73 ; i. 216. Two kinds must be distinguished, (I) those in which the dead join-or even form a part of the meal; (2) those which are merely commemorative.
4. Өámтovot, 'perform sepulchral rites.'
${ }^{a} \lambda \lambda \omega \mathrm{~s}$, 'merely,' i.e. without



5. $\chi^{\omega} \mu \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ хє́avтєs, к.т. $\lambda$. Compare with this the funeral of Patroclue in the Iliad, in which we have the feast, the burning of the dead, the gathering of the ashes, the cairn, and the games. The purchase of wives is another custom common to

Thrace. bic. 515 (?); OI. 66. 2.





 beyond the Danube: the Sigynnae.


 $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha$ є́ $\pi i \quad \pi \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \epsilon$ ठактú入ous тò $\beta \dot{\alpha} \theta o s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \rho \iota \chi \hat{\omega} \nu$,




 c $\tau a ̀ .$. . "I $\sigma \tau \rho o v$ sell. St., $\tau \alpha ́$ et $\eta \not \partial \eta \eta$ om. AB C.

Homer and the Thracians. $\chi^{\epsilon} \neq \nu \tau \epsilon s$ $=\chi \dot{\omega} \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s$, Homericè.
 the greatest prizes are given for single combat, as is reasonable.' For кaтà $\lambda_{\text {óyov in this sense, cf. }}$ viii. III. io sard dó $\begin{gathered}\text { on ápa } \sigma a \nu\end{gathered}$ ai 'A $\theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma$ á̀at. Or, taking катd̀ $\lambda$ óyov in the sense of 'in proportion to,'- ' in which the greatest prizes arc given for single combat, in proportion' (to its difficulty), i.c. the prizes vary in amount, according to the contest, the greatest being given for single combat. For this sense of катà $\lambda$ ú $\gamma o v$, cf. i. I 34 . I 3 roùs ar $\lambda \lambda$ nous
 For the $\mu$ av vo $\mu a \chi$ i $\eta$ of the Thracians, see Yen. Ahab. vi. 1. 5, 6.
9. 3. ausT $\dot{1}$. The language is not grammatical, but Herodotus may have written so. The sense is clear. Cf. ad' aùv $\bar{s} s$ in iv. $3^{8}$.
4. äretpos, ie. the northern limit
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ o v ̉ \delta \alpha \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ фаขєрŋ́ $\grave{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota ~ \gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa o-$

 In iv. 16 we are told that nothing is known of the country north of Scythia: cf. iii. II 5, II 6; and info. 10. 2.
6. roîซı . . . rival, a common form of attraction in Herod.

Eifúvras. Strabo, p. 520, speaks of a nation of Siginni dwelling in the Caucasus, who, like these Sigynnae, have little shaggy horses, which cannot bear a rider, and are yoked in fours. The name has been connected with Zigeuner, gypsy. What we learn from the text is this: (I) that the tribe came from the East, which is in no way improbable; (2) that they were known as pedlars as far west as the Eneti.-The dress of the Medians was distinct: it was

Thrace. b.c. 515 (?); Ol. 66. 2.
$\tau \omega \nu$ roùs oưpous $\dot{\alpha} \gamma X \circ \hat{v}{ }^{\prime} E_{\nu \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ '่ $\nu \tau \hat{Q}$ ' $A \delta p i ́ \eta$. $\epsilon \hat{i}-$




 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o v \sigma \iota, \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \sigma \alpha \iota$ катє́ $\chi$ оv $\sigma \iota ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \rho \eta \nu$ тồ "I $I \sigma \tau \rho o v$, каı̀





 є́ $\pi о$ íє $\epsilon$.

borrowcd by the Persians ; see vii. 61,62 ; i. I 35.2 etc.
12. 'Eveтûv. In Homer the Eneti arc Paphlagonians (Il. ii. 852 ) ; but Herod. i. 196. 3 calls them an Illyrian tribe. The Celtic Teneti of Cacsar's time were different.
${ }_{\text {1 }}$. $\gamma^{\prime}$ volto $\delta^{\prime}$ ăv, к.т. . Herodotus looks back on an illimitable past ; cf. ii. II. IS, where he spcaks of 20,000 years as a comparatively short period in the world's history.
16. äva, 'inland,' i.e. in the mountains. Kǘtpıot, к.т.入. Cf. Arist. I'oet. 21 tò $\begin{aligned} & \text { Giरvool Kutpious }\end{aligned}$ $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \kappa \dot{\text { úpor }}$
10. 3. ínò routémv, 'owing to these.' Cf. iv. ©. 16 oìr oỉa $\tau \epsilon$
 ${ }_{\kappa} \epsilon \chi \nu \mu \dot{\epsilon} v \omega v$. Herodotus rcgards the ends of the earth as impassable: cf. iv. 3 r init., 40. 8,45 init. ; iii. 115. 13. The story in the text may
have had its origin in the number of mosquitos and gnats which infest Wallachia and Moldavia but bees are also numerous in those regions: see Bähr's note.
5. סúrpıra, 'very chilly,' i.e. impatient of cold. Sce Arist. Hist. $A n$. viii. 25 (of mules).

〒à ínò $\tau \mathfrak{j} v$, к.т.. ., ' the country stretching bencath,' etc. Herodotus uscs the article (sing. and plur.) with words and clauscs, sometimes adrcrbially, sometimcs as a substantive. Cf. suppr. 9. 3 rà $\pi \epsilon \rho p \eta$ adverbial; 10. I đà $\pi \epsilon \rho \eta \eta$ substantival.
 infr. 29.9. Coes iv. 97.8) adviscd Darius not to destroy the bridge over thc Danube, after hc had passed over it ; and Histiacus (iv. 137. 5) preventcd those lcft in charge from destroying it at the request of the Scythians.





 $\kappa \tau i ́ \sigma \alpha \iota$. oû̃os $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ ठ̀̀ $\tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta \nu \alpha i \rho \epsilon ́ \epsilon \tau \alpha l$, ò $\delta \grave{\epsilon} K \omega ́ \eta s$, oîá







 The Paconians at Sardis.

7. Múpkıvav т $\grave{v} v$ ' $\mathrm{H} \delta \omega \mathrm{v} \omega \mathrm{v}$ : sc. $\chi \dot{\omega} \rho \eta \nu$. For the district see the Map. The selection certainly does credit to the sagacity of Histiaeus.
9. $\delta \eta \mu$ ótク̨s. Coes is described in iv. 97.8 as 'general of the Mytilenaeans.' The city at this time was in the hands of a moderate government, as arranged by Pittacus, but since the days of Cambyses Lesbos had been in some way subject to Persia.
 'repaired to the objects of their choice.' The words refer to the departure of Histiaeus and Coes to Myrcinus and Mytilene.
3. 'єrivup ${ }^{2} \sigma a r$, ' conceived a wish.'
 violent transportations were characteristic of Persian despotism, and caused it to be dreaded; cf. vi. 3. 6.
5. ク๋v . . . oit. Cobet would remove these words on the ground that $\hat{\eta} \nu$ is merely a repetition of the syllable $-\eta \nu$ from 'A $\sigma$ ' $\eta \nu$, which in the variant
 comes last in the sentence. Fut $\bar{\eta} \nu$ is found in all the MSS. ; the order from which it arose (on this hypothesis) in a section only. $\hat{j} v$ begins a sentence, infr. 71. 1 , and 10.4. 3 . For the singular see ix. 57 . 10 iva $\mu$ خे
 $\lambda \dot{\chi} \chi \cap s, a ̉ \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ củtôv $\mu \dot{e} \nu \omega \sigma_{t}$,

## Darius,

 Histianeus and Coes.$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ $\nu$
$\qquad$

Sardis. b.c. 5 FI (?); Ol. 66. 2.


 €́Xov

















 a $\kappa \lambda \omega ิ \sigma a \nu \mathrm{ABC}$.
9. $\pi \rho о к а т ь \zeta^{\prime} \mu \in v o v$, i.e. in order to hear and decide causes. Cf. i. 14. ${ }^{1}+$, where we are told that Midas dedicated at Delphi the royal throne
 i. 97.4 of Deioces. The 'suburb' of Sardis no doubt extended into the plain, through which flowed the Hyllus, and Pactolus, and other rivers (i. So).
${ }^{15}$. тpòs $\tau \omega ิ v, ~ \kappa . \tau . \lambda ., ~ ' l i k e ~ a n y ~$ of the Asiatics.' $\pi \rho o{ }^{\prime} s$ means
'characteristic of,' 'coming from.' A similar story to that in the text is told by Nicolaus Damascenus (Frag. 71. M.) of a Thracian woman, who came to Sardis from Mysia in the time of king Alyattes:







Paeonia. b.c. 515 (?); Ol. 66. 2.












PaEonia: Transportation of the Paeonians into Asia.



 Пaıoví $\eta . \quad \pi v \theta o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota$ ס̀̀ oi Пaíovєs rov̀s Пє́ $\rho \sigma \alpha s$ є́ $\pi i ̀ 15$


 c $\beta \iota \beta \lambda$ ío Libb., corr. St.
$\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \delta \epsilon \delta \epsilon \tau \sigma$. Alyattes sent envoys to the king of Thrace (Cotys), begging that a number of these industrious Thracians might be transferred to his country.
 For the Paeonians, see note on c. i. The word $\pi \epsilon \pi \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{1} \sigma \mu^{\prime} \dot{\prime} \boldsymbol{v} \eta$ is elsewhere used by Herodotus of a single city,
 $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota s ~ \pi \epsilon \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a \iota, \mathrm{Xen}. \mathrm{Anab}. \mathrm{vi} 6.$.
 of a country containing $\pi u ́ \lambda \epsilon t s$.
12. єïךซav $\delta \frac{\text { è Teukpêv, к.т.入. In }}{}$ vii. 20. Ir ff. Herod. speaks of a
great immigration of the Tetucrians and Mysians from Asia into Europe, before the Trojan war. This immigration not only brought Asiatic nations into Europe, but drove European nations into Asia, as e.g. the Bithynians (vii. 75), who formerly dwelt on the Strymon, and perhaps the Phrygians.
${ }^{\text {I }}$. aủrov̂ $\gamma$ áp, к.r. $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$., 'for this was the very point which they had in view in arranging the whole matter.'
15. 2. Two routes led from Thrace (i. e. from the country of the Sapaci

Paeonia．b．c． 515 （\％）；Ol．66． 2.
 Sov $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ́ \pi \iota o ́ \nu \tau \alpha ~ \epsilon ́ \rho u ́ к \epsilon \iota \nu . ~ o i ~ \delta ’ ̀ ~ \Pi \epsilon ́ \rho \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \pi v \theta o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \iota ~ z ~$ $\sigma v \nu \alpha \lambda i ́ \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \Pi \alpha i ́ o v a s ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \eta s ~ \epsilon ُ \sigma-$












 oıpotaúves，sive－aíoves Libb．c каi．．．＇Oঠoдávtovs secl．St．
and the mouth of the Nestus）to the Strymon：（r）the route through the＇Pierius Sinus，＇south of Mount Pangaeum，which was taken by part of Xerxes＇army ；（2）the route to the north of Pangaeum，down the valley of the Angites．This was the route taken by Megabazus．See Leake， Northern Greces，iii． 183.

6．ouva入ioӨat ．．．фu入áa $\sigma o v t a s . ~$ The same variation occurs，viii． 40.10 f ． 13．Eıpıotaíoves，к．т．入．＇It was very natural that Megabazus should have subdued the Siriopaeones，who possessed the most fertile and ex－ posed part of the Strymonic plain， while the Odomanti，who were secure in a higher situation，and still more the Agrianes，who dwelt at the sources of the Strymon，were able to
resist him as well as the Doberes and the other Paeonians of Mt． Pangaeum，and the amphibious inhabitants of Lake Prasias，＇Leake， N．G．iii．p．2Io，who identifies Lake Prasias with Lake Cercinitis． Siris lay on an eastern affluent of the Strymon，not far above the lake，in a large and fruitful plain．The name survives in the modern Serres． Leake，l．c．p． 200.

16．2．кai $\Delta o ́ \beta \eta p a s$, к．т．$\lambda$ ．The reading is doubtful；why should the Paeonians be described as dwelling near the Doberes and Agrianians who were themselves Paconians？ The general sense is probably right． The Odomanti were Thracians， dwelling between the Nestus and Strymon，on the mountains．
Abbutis Herod otus

Reduced Arom the Alustrian Staff Map.

Paeonis. b.c. 515 (?); Ol. 66. 2.










 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \lambda i ́ \mu \nu \eta \nu$. $\tau \alpha ̀$ 就 $\nu \dot{\eta} \pi \iota \alpha \pi \alpha \iota \delta i ́ a ~ \delta ́ \epsilon ́ o v \sigma \iota ~ \tau o \hat{v} \pi o \delta o ̀ s ~ \sigma \pi \alpha ́ \rho-$




 $\pi \eta \kappa \tau \hat{\eta}_{s}$ Wesseling, катєпакт $\hat{\eta}_{S}$ St. d катьєí Schaefer, катiєє Libb., sed vid. Schwgh. ad i. I80.
5. катотк $\eta \boldsymbol{\mu}$ 'vous $\dot{\omega} \delta \epsilon$ are to be taken together.
9. $\pi \mathrm{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \stackrel{\eta}{\text { tal. }}$. See the note on c. 7.

1x. Orbelus is the mountain on the left bank of the Strymon. Arrian, Exp. Alex. i. 1. 5, remarks that Alexander in marching from Amphipolis to the Nestus had Philippi and Mount Orbelus on his left.
15. кататактท̂s is a doubtful word. If from $\pi \dot{\eta} \gamma \nu v \mu$ we should expect каталךкт $\bar{\eta}$. катєпакт $\bar{\eta} s$ (Stein) would be correct from катєпá $\boldsymbol{\omega}$, and as $\epsilon \pi a ́ \gamma є \iota \nu \quad \theta \dot{v} p a \nu$ is used of closing an ordinary door, so katє$\pi a ́ \gamma \in \iota y$ might be used of a door closing downwards.


Wesseling quotes Athenaeus, 345 E oîठa каì toìs $\pi \epsilon \rho \hat{\imath}$ Múouvov tîs
 ßaд入ouévous aútois e's tàs фátvas. For the fishery see Leake, l.c.p. I85: "The fishery is said to produce annually about 40,000 brace of large ecls, besides the smaller and other fish.' On the subject of lake dwellings, see Tylor, Cuarterly Keview, Oct. IS68, and Fortmishtly Review, Nov. 1866 ; and Keller, 'Lake Dwellings,' etc. Without further information it is impossible to say whether we have here a 'survival' of a very primitive form of civilization, or an adaptation to peculiar circumstances.

Macedonia. b.c. 515 (?); O1. 66. 2.

入є́оvб८ $\pi \alpha ́ \pi \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon к \alpha \grave{~ \tau i ̀ \lambda \omega \nu \alpha s . ~}$


Macedonia: The Persian envoys at the court of Amyntas.






 v̋ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ тои́т $\omega \nu$ тá $\lambda \alpha \nu \tau o \nu$ ả $\rho \gamma \nu \rho i ́ o v ~ ' ~ A \lambda \epsilon \xi ́ \alpha \nu \delta \rho \varphi ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \eta s$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{\text {a }} \text { '̇ } \kappa \text { тov̂ Struve, sed vid. Stein, Einleeit. p. lvii. }
\end{aligned}
$$

 oaro it would appear that the cnvoys were sent to Macedonia after the conquest of the Paeonians; yet infr. c. ${ }^{2} 3$, Megabazus retums with the Paeonians to Asia. His immediatc departure was probably the reason why it was left to Bubarcs to investigate the fate of the envoys.
7. oúvropos, sc. údós. So $\pi n \lambda u$ ú$\phi \eta \mu o s$ is used for a meeting, infr. 79. 4, whereas in Homer we have ú $\quad$ орà то入úф $\eta \mu$ оs.

Maкє suppose Herodotus to have rcferred not so much to the Maccdonia of the reign of Amyntas, when Megabazus invaded Paeonia'-at which time Macedonia was confincd between the Axius and Haliacmon'as to the extent of the kingdom in the time of his grandson Perdiccas,
which was that of the historian himself, when Mygdonia, Bisaltia, Anthemus, and Crestonia had been added to the kingdom; it then becomes credible that Alexander I wrought some mines in the Bisaltian mountain, which is separated from Mt. Pangaeum by the pass of Amphipolis, and that the further continuation of that mountain towards the modern Sokhó may have been the ancient Dysorum.' Leake, l.c. 212, For the coins of Alexander sce Head, Hist. Num. 193.
11. ن́тtя $\beta$ ávтa єival. There is no grammatical construction; a word must be supplied to account for the accus. and infin. Abicht reads ímєpßávтl, conuparing i. 104. 4 тои̂то ס̀̀ $\pi а р а \mu \epsilon \iota \beta o \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \iota \sigma t ~ \epsilon i ̄ v a t ~ e ̀ \nu ~$ $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{M} \eta \delta \kappa \kappa \hat{\eta} . \quad$ See also Thuc. ii. $9^{\sigma}$ toùs ítepßávtı Aí $\mu 0 \nu$ Г'́t

Macedonia. b.c. 515 (?); Ol. 66. 2.







 $10 \tau \grave{\alpha} s$ кovpıסías $\gamma v \nu \alpha i ̂ k \alpha s ~ \epsilon ́ \sigma \alpha ́ \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha l ~ \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \delta \rho o v s . ~ \sigma ́ v ~ \nu v \nu$,













$$
\text { \& } \Delta a \rho \epsilon^{\prime} \varphi \text { secl. St., Van H. }
$$

18. 6. Cf. ix. 16. 3 és $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ àmò Sєímvov $\hat{\eta} \sigma a \nu, \delta \iota a \pi \iota \nu o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. The meal was at an end, but the drinking went on; Starivovtes implies heavy drinking, to which Macedonians and Persians were addicted. Cf. Aelian, Var. Hist. xii. I $\dot{\omega} s \delta_{\epsilon}$


 $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \gamma^{\alpha} \rho \tau \grave{\partial}{ }^{\prime} \mu \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a i \quad \tau \rho o \phi \hat{\eta} s$ oi

§ovбıv, ஸ́s про̀s àvтima入ov тòv nóтov ảmoঠvó $\mu \in \nu 0 \iota$ : infr. l. 24.
1. $\tau$ às кouplঠías $\gamma$ uvaîkas. This is denied by Plutarch, Conviv. Disp. i. I; Conjug. Praccep. 16. Greek feeling drew a very broad line between the married and unmarried woman on such occasions; cf. Isaeus, iii. I4 (16) oủסєे ai $\gamma a \mu \epsilon \tau a \grave{\imath}$ रuvaîkєs $\check{\epsilon} \rho \chi \chi^{\nu} \tau \tau a \iota \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$
 $\mu \in \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ дorpí $\omega \nu$.

Macedonia. b.c. 515 (?); Ol. 66. 2.


 $\pi \lambda \epsilon o ́ v \omega s$ oiv $\omega \mu$ '́vol, каì кои́ $\tau \iota \varsigma$ каi $\phi \iota \lambda \epsilon \in \epsilon \iota \nu$ ध́ $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\alpha} \tau о$.


Alexander the son of Amyntas.



 $\tau \alpha \dot{\delta} \epsilon^{\text {. " }} \hat{\omega} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \rho, \sigma \grave{v} \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \hat{i} \kappa \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa i ́ \eta \dot{\alpha} \pi \iota \omega \dot{\nu} \tau \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha-$













 ${ }^{\text {a }} \pi \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ A B C, St.
25. oía $\pi \lambda \epsilon$ óvws oivw $\mu$ '́vol. Cf. i. 133. I2 oi้ฯ ка́рта тробкє́aтаt
 Bov入єúє $\sigma \theta \alpha$ тà $\sigma \pi o v \delta \alpha \iota \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \alpha ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\pi \rho \eta \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$. Plato, Lazis, 637 D .
19. 6. єi̋kє тท̂̀ $\grave{\eta} \lambda \iota k i ́ n,{ }^{\prime}$ indulge your years' ; $\dot{\eta} \lambda \iota k i \eta$ is used both of agre or youth 'vii. IS. S).
 the drinking.' So Stein, who regards the dative as local, but? 'persevere with the drinking.'
9. vєढ́тє $\rho a$, 'violent.'
20. I. Xpךíras toút $\omega v$, 'having made this request.' The genitive as infr. 30. 25.

Macedonia. b.c. 515 (?); Ol. 66. 2.








 $\mu \epsilon \nu$, каі $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \alpha ̀ ~ o i ̂ \alpha ́ ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \hat{\eta} \nu ~ \epsilon ُ \xi \in v \rho o ́ \nu \tau \alpha s ~ \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \iota \nu, \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu \quad \pi \alpha ́ \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota$, каi $\delta \grave{\eta}$ каi $\tau o ́ \delta \epsilon ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \mu ́ ́ \gamma \iota \sigma \tau o \nu, ~$
 $\mu \epsilon \theta \alpha \quad \dot{v} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu$, $\dot{\omega} s \quad \pi \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega s$ $\mu \alpha ́ \theta \eta \tau \epsilon \quad \tau \iota \mu \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \iota \quad \pi \rho o ̀ s$
 $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi \alpha \nu \tau \iota \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \epsilon i ́ \lambda \eta \tau \epsilon \dot{\omega} s \dot{\alpha} \nu \eta \rho^{\prime \prime} E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu M \alpha \kappa \epsilon \delta o ́ v \omega \nu \nu v^{\prime \prime} \pi \alpha \rho-$





 Assassination of the


[^1]16. кaì $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ ~ o i ́ a ́ ~ \tau \epsilon . ~ \pi p u ́ s ~ i s ~$ adverbial, $\tau \alpha ́=\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ ä, and oỉa $\tau \epsilon$ is plural for the more usual singular
 oía $\tau \epsilon \chi \omega \rho \bar{\epsilon} \epsilon t \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i ́)$.
18. $\in \omega v t \hat{v} v$, of the first person.
20. $\tau \hat{\omega} \mathrm{v}=\tau$ то́тоเ $\sigma \iota \mathfrak{\omega} \nu$.

2I. ǐvท̀p "E $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu . \quad$ Cf. infr. e. 22. In ix. 45. 7 Alexander is represented as saying aủrús $\tau \epsilon \gamma$ à $\rho$ "E $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ 子'́vos $\epsilon i \mu i ̀ t \omega ̉ \rho \chi a i ̂ o \nu, ~ к . \tau . \lambda . ~$

He was always exceedingly anxious to stand well with the Greeks. Ma$\kappa \in \delta o{ }^{2} \omega \boldsymbol{v}$ vitap̌os, i. e. ruler of the Macedonians under Persia. i̋napхos is used both of the great satraps of the Persian system (ep. infra e. 25), and of less important governors, e.g. Mascames at Doriseus vii. 105. 4.




Macedonia. b.c. 515 ; Ol. 66. 2.


 Spos катé $\lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \sigma о \phi i ́ \eta, \chi \rho \eta ́ \mu \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \alpha \epsilon$ סov̀s $\pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$


 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \Pi_{\epsilon} \rho \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$ тои́т $\omega \nu$ $\theta_{\alpha}^{\prime} \nu \alpha \tau o s$ oưт $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \phi \theta \epsilon i s$ є́ $\sigma \iota-$

The Macedonian Kings Hellenic.






${ }^{n} \tau \hat{\varphi} \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \hat{\varphi}$ Valckenaer: $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{Libb}$.<br>b 'Eג $\lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \omega \nu \mathrm{r}$ (sv), Bähr, Van H. post Wess. et Valck.




 $\tau \in \kappa a i ̀ ~ \epsilon \mathcal{U ̃}$ द̀ $\sigma \kappa \epsilon v a \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta \nu$; ix. So. 5 ff .
7. kai, 'and yet,' 'and nevertheless.' $\sigma \phi \in a s$, i.e. the men sent to make enquiries.
8. катє́ $\lambda a \beta \epsilon$,'stopped,' 'checked'; cf. ix. 2. 2 of $\Theta \eta$ ßaiol катє $\lambda \alpha ́ \mu \beta a v o \nu$ тù̀ Mapoóvov. ooфín,'by subtlety': infr. c. 23 à $\nu \delta \rho i ́ \tau \epsilon{ }^{\prime \prime}$ E $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu \iota$ $\delta \epsilon i \nu \hat{\varphi} \tau \epsilon \kappa a i \quad \sigma о \phi \hat{\varphi}$.
10. Boußápn. Cf. viii. 136. 5. In vii. 21. 6 Bubares, the son of Megabazus, is one of the overseers of the work at the canal of Athos; and, no doubt, the person herc mentioned is the same man. At the time of this search Alcxander had perhaps succeeded his father on the throne of Macedon.
22. 2. For the Macedonian account of the origin of the Macedonian kings, sec viii. 137 ff. Another legend derived them from Caranos, the younger brother or son of Pheidon of Argos. See Abel, Makedonien, p. 9I ff., who thinks that the Argos of the legend was not the Peloponnesian Argos, but Argos Oresticum in 'upper' Macedonia. Yet he allows a close conncction between the Dorians and Macedonians (Macednians, Herod. i. 56 ; viii. 43); Clinton, Fasti Hellenici, ii. 272.
7. ${ }^{\text {' }}$ E $\lambda \lambda \eta$ quosícal. The judges at the Olympian games; $\begin{gathered}\text { énca §ov } \delta \grave{\varepsilon} \text { toîs }\end{gathered}$

 порфирі́бוン (Bekker, Anecd. p. 248 ; see also Hermann, Gottesdienst. Alt. p. $32 \%$ ). The number varied with the number of the Elean tribes: Paus. v. 9. 4.

Sardis．b．c． 515 （？）；Ol．66． 2.










 Sardis． Darius recalls Histiaens from Thrace ；



 Іо $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{v}$ ，коîov тı $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$ є́ $\pi о i ́ \eta \sigma a s, \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho i ̀ " E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \iota \quad \delta \epsilon \iota \cdot$

${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \delta \omega \rho \in \mathfrak{\eta} \nu \nu$ vel del．vel transp．Dobree，secl．St．：$\mu 山 \sigma \theta i \nu \nu$ del．Schäf．
8．＇גout＇vov，＇electing，＇‘deciding＇：than the other rendering，＇was in this sense the word is generally found with $\dot{2} \nu \tau i$ or $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o v$ グ．＇Id est quum mallet，et sententia postulat， quum vellet；revoca igitur scrip－ turam librorum veterum A B．＇ Cobet．But it is unlikely that any－ one should alter Bov入o $\mu^{\prime}$ évov into édoبévov．кataßávтos may mean ＇coming from Macedonia to Olym－ pia，＇or＇entering the lists．＇
 a dead heat＇；＇came out equal with the first．＇Cf．viii．I23． 8
 rpivoveधs．The word，which is used of votes or opinions，is here applied to the candidate，to whom the decision relates．This is better placed by lot in the first pair＇； for it is not certain that the com－ petitors ran in pairs，or were allotted in pairs．Pausanias，vi．I3．2，in－ forms us that they ran in fours，
 naturally refer to the actual contest． For the imperfect cf．infr．＇i． 22
 $\pi!\pi \tau \epsilon$ ．

23．5．סupeinv．The word is ont of its place；we should expect $\delta a p e i, \nu$ $\mu \iota \sigma \theta^{\prime} v$（the gen．фu入akins depends on $\left.\mu_{L} \sigma \theta \dot{\prime} \nu\right\rangle$ ．There is also the difil－ culty that $\delta \omega \rho \epsilon \xi^{\prime} \nu$ which is generally adverbial＇as a gift，＇is here used $=$ the thing given．Cf．infr． 124 ult． Yet $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ requires $\delta \omega \rho \epsilon \epsilon_{i} \nu$ ．

Sardis. b.c. 515 (?); Ol. 66. 2.



















 $\gamma \epsilon \Delta \alpha \rho \epsilon \hat{l o s} \tau \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon$. " "I $I \sigma \tau \alpha \hat{\imath} \epsilon$, $\epsilon^{\prime} \gamma \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon \mu \psi \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ -





 a city in.' The word does not occur elsewhere except in Plut. Alex. Fort.
 Bápoıs eै $\theta \boldsymbol{\nu} \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ é $\gamma \kappa \tau i \sigma \alpha s$. liut there seems no reason why IIerodotus should not have used it. Є่ $\gamma \kappa \tau \eta$ n.
oaaəal-which Wesseling suggested -does not suit the context so well, and infr. 24. 20 we have $\nu \epsilon$ úrтьбтov,

24. I5. '̇v ßpaxét, 'brevi,' 'protinus,' Schweigh. 'so schnell,' Stein. Before és $\lambda$ óyous $\sigma \epsilon$ must be supplied.






 25








[^2]17. т́́ тor, к.т. $\lambda$. ., 'qualities both of which I can testify from experience ( $\sigma v v \notin\llcorner\delta \omega \mathbf{s}$ ) that I have found in jou ( $\mu a \rho \tau v p$ étเv $\tau 01$ ) with reference to my affairs.'

I9. єũ үàp . . .ảmtкópevos, 'it was kind of you to come to me.'
21. ' $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ ó $\mu \in \mathrm{vos}$, ' attending me'; cf. ä $\mu \alpha$ á $\dot{u}^{\prime} \mu \in \nu=$ infr. $25.3 ; 75.9$.
22. $\sigma \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma$ เтоS $=\dot{\delta} \mu о \tau р а ́ \pi \epsilon \epsilon$ Sos iii. 132. 3 ; ̇́ $\mu$ о́бıtos vii. II9. I4. To be admitted to the king's table was one of the greatest honours which a Persian could receive: cf. iii. l.c.
25. 2. о́ $\mu$ та́тргоv, i. e. by the father's side only. For the relative position of the satraps and generals, see Appendix I.
5. ミıのá $\mu \vee \eta$ v. Distinguish from Sisamnes, the son of Hydarnes, vii. 66.3 .
6. Tิิv $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \eta i \omega v$ §ıкaनтt' $\omega \mathrm{v}$. Herod. iii. 31. Io f. oi ì $\beta$ a $\sigma \iota \lambda$ ท̀tot




 $\theta \in \sigma \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ भivovtaı, каi mávтa ès тoítous ùvarє́єtal. Cf. Esther i. I4; Joseph. Antiq. xi. 6. I rois é $\pi$ rd
 $\gamma \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ ' $\chi \circ v \sigma \iota \pi a \rho$ ' avitois. In iii. I4. I8 they decide on the punishment of the Egyptians for destroying the Mytilenaean vessel ; ib. 31 they are consulted by Cambyses about marriage with a sister. For another case of punishment, see Sandoces, vii. 194. 6.
 also says that $\sigma \pi a \dot{\alpha} \dot{\xi} \xi$ is the bark of the root of the $\pi \rho \bar{\nu} \nu o s$ or maple. If this is right there is some tautology in the text. Stein translates, 'having tanned' or 'cured' (from the meaning 'bark').
appoints Otanes general ; Artaphernes satrap; and carries Histiaeus to Susa.

The Hellespont. b.c. 515 (?); Ol. 66. 2.

 $\epsilon \hat{i} \nu \alpha \iota \quad \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \grave{\imath}$ то̂ $\sum_{\iota} \iota \sigma \alpha \mu \nu \epsilon \omega$, тòv $\dot{\alpha} \pi о к т \epsilon i ́ v a s ~ \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon ́ \delta \epsilon \iota \rho \epsilon$,


 pont.
 $\kappa \alpha \grave{i} K \alpha \lambda \chi \eta \delta o \nu i ́ o u s, ~ \epsilon i \lambda \lambda \epsilon \delta_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime} A \nu \tau \alpha \nu \delta \rho o \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \in \in \nu \tau \hat{\eta} T \rho \omega-$









9. évétetve, k.т.入., 'made (lit. stretched) out of them the seat,' etc. Leather straps were used to support the seats of chairs, beds, etc. ; cf. ix. IIS. 2.
26. 3. Ariston, the tyrant of Byzantium was with Darius in Scythia. Thougl Darius crossed into Europe over the Bosporus (iv. 85. 13), he returned over the Hellespont (iv. 143. I) probably owing to the defection and hostility of the cities.
5. $\pi a \rho \grave{\alpha} \Lambda \epsilon \sigma \beta i \omega v$. Their fleet was in the king's servicc. The years $514-$ 497 в. С. may represent the time during which Lemnos and Imbros were under Persian rule. They were Athenian for a year or two after 496 b.C., but from 493 to 479
again fell under Persian rule. The presence of 'Pelasgians,' i.e. of a non-Greek people, is proved by an inscription recently discovered in Lemnos; cf. infr. vi. I37 ff.
27. 2. ảvà $\mathrm{xpóvov}=\tau \tilde{\varphi}$ र $\chi$ óvơ, Kriiger. This chapter is obviously faulty; the second half of it refers to the action of Otanes, not of Lycaretus. Stein considers that the
 ко $\mu \zeta \dot{\zeta} \mu \epsilon \nu \nu \nu$ were intended to follow at the end of c. 26, but (1) the author added as a subsequent note the words, of $\mu \epsilon \grave{\nu} \nu \bar{\eta}$. . . Tє $\lambda \in u \tau \underset{a}{a}$; and when these got into their prescnt place in the text (2) the words airín . . . кatєбт $\rho \epsilon \phi \epsilon \tau o$ were inserted to improve the construction. Other editors suppose that some

Naxos and Miletus. bic. soy; O1. 69.4.

















 фо $\nu \tau 0$ тò oưvo $\mu \alpha$ тov̂ $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi$ ótє $\omega$ тov̂ $\alpha \gamma \rho \circ \hat{v}$. $\delta \iota \epsilon \xi \in \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon S$
 c ${ }_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\nu} \nu$ add. Reiske.
words have been lost after $\boldsymbol{\tau} \epsilon \boldsymbol{\lambda} \epsilon \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{T}$. For Lycaretus, see iii. 143 .
28. 2. adverts is a correction for the unintelligible adv $\frac{a}{}$ c as of the MSS. How long a time is covered by the 'respite' is unknown. See Appendix, $I_{3}$, 'On the chronology of the Ionic Revolt.'
7. $\pi$ ро́бхचра, ' glory,' ' pride,' as in Soph. El. 682, where the Pythian games are the $\pi \rho^{\prime} \sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha$ of Hellas. катútє $\boldsymbol{\theta} \theta$, 'previously,' of time. The first half of the sixth century в. с. is meant. The ferocious cruelties of the rival factions are described
in Athenaeus, p. 524. It may have been in this period that the Milesian resolved to place half his property with Glaucus of Sparta (vi. $86 a$ ) ; and no doubt the tyranny of Thrasybulus was connected with these $\sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon$.
 ' in the general desolation of the country.' Others translate, 'in the upland part of the country,' where the land would be less fertile; so Schweigh. and Kruger. But how is this consistent with $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \eta ̀ \nu \chi \dot{\omega} \rho \eta \nu$, which implies that they went through the whole territory of the city?

## Naxos and Miletus. b.c. 5oi ; Ol. 69. 4.

ठ̀̀ $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \chi^{\omega} \rho \eta \nu$ каi $\sigma \pi \alpha \nu i ́ o u s ~ \epsilon \dot{v} \rho o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon S$ тov́тous a,







30 Revolution at Naxos: expulsion of the oligarchs,
who apply to Aristagoras of Miletus for help.













> a totoúrous? St.
 descent, but merely approach to the
 тáxıбтa. Schweigh. maintains that these words, when used together, mean 'as rapidly as possible' in Herodotus, who always places a word between them, when used in the sense 'as soon as possible'; cf. supr.i i. i. So also Van Herwerden, Mnem. xiii 'hic igitur requiritur, ws «aтéßクбav тáхıбта.'
$\dot{d} \lambda i \eta v$. The word, which is common in Herodotus, is otherwise quoted from inscriptions only.
b iva Valck.: ǐva [rai] Cob.
10. v'ॄ $\mu \mathrm{\epsilon Lv}$, 'manage,' cf. infr. 7I. 6.
 tive is used as if with $\dot{\text { unaracouety or }}$ some word implying inferiority, obedience to ; cf. infr. 33. 19.
30. 3. $\tau \hat{\omega} v \pi a x \notin \omega v$, 'the substantial.' The word is used of the Chalcidian Hippobotai (infr. 7 - 1 13); of the Aeginetan oligarchs (vi. 9r. 2); and of the oligarchs of Megara in Sicily (vii. 156. 11). For the state of affairs in Naxos at this time see History of Grecee, ii. 4 .
9. $\pi \rho^{i} \mathrm{i}=\pi \rho \dot{\partial} \tau \epsilon \rho \rho \nu$.

Naxos and Miletus. b.c. 5ox ; Ol. 69. 4.





 $\epsilon i ̂ \nu \alpha \iota ~ к \alpha \grave{\imath} \pi \lambda o i ̂ \alpha ~ \mu \alpha к \rho \grave{\alpha} \pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha \cdot \mu \eta \chi \alpha \nu \eta ́ \sigma o \mu \alpha \iota$ ס̀̀ $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu$





 $\sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ oi $N \alpha ́ \xi \iota o \iota \pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \in \theta \epsilon \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \widehat{\varphi}{ }^{\prime} A \rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \gamma o ́ \rho \eta \pi \rho \eta \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$
 $\delta \alpha \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \eta \nu$ $\tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \iota \hat{\eta}$ ف̀s $\alpha u ̛ \tau o i ̀ ~ \delta \iota \alpha \lambda v ́ \sigma o \nu \tau \epsilon s, ~ \epsilon ̇ \lambda \pi i ́ \delta \alpha s$







 hoplites.' The number is as large as that of the Spartans in the time of Leonidas (vii. 234), and nearly as large as that of the Athenians at the battle of Marathon. Beloch, Bevölkenung, p. 1 SI thinks it impossible unless Naxos had command of the forics of the Cyclades.
26. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma^{\prime} \theta \epsilon \epsilon \sigma a v, ~ ' u r g e d, ' ~ ' b a d e . ' ~$

Hence there is a slight tautology in éxé $\lambda_{\text {єvov. }}$
31. $\tau \hat{\omega} v \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ v $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \omega v, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. Naxos was not acquired by the Persians till 490 b.c.
31. 3. $\mu \in \gamma$ á $\theta \in i ̈ \ldots$... oủ $\mu \in \gamma \dot{d} \lambda \eta$. Naxos is the largest of the Cyclades: nineteen miles by fifteen ; but it is small in comparison with Euboea or Cyprus. It was celebrated for its wine, and at the present time the

Naxos. b.c. 500 ; Ol. 70. r.
and receives promises of help.







 $\nu \alpha s$. $\epsilon \nu \theta \epsilon \hat{u} \tau \epsilon \nu$ ס̀̀ ó $\rho \mu \omega \prime \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s ~ \epsilon \dot{\pi} \pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \omega s$ є̀ $\pi \iota \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \alpha \iota$ Ev̉ßoín,



 $\gamma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \hat{\omega} \nu$, , каi $\tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha \in \hat{v} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \iota \nu \epsilon ́ \epsilon \iota S \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha, \pi \lambda \eta ̀ \nu$




Persian expedition against Naxos: 500 в.C.






* $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \eta \gamma \eta \tau \eta \eta^{\prime}$ Madv., Van H.
island is said to produce 'corn, oil, winc, and fruit of the finest deseription.' Smith, Dict. Geogr. Head, Hist. Nium. $4^{16}$ speaks of the 'massive archaic silver money' of Naxos.

9. $\tau$ ถी $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau เ ท ิ$ with $\tau \omega ิ v$ d̉vator$\mu \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega v$, ' what is expended on the army ' ( $\epsilon$ is $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota a ́ \nu$ Attic).
 Nothing has been recorded of this supremacy of Naxos over the
neighbouring islands, but it is reasonable to attribute it to Lygdamis, who would have the support of Peisistratus on the one hand, and Polycrates on the other.
10. ${ }^{\prime} \xi \eta \eta \eta \eta{ }^{\prime} \boldsymbol{n}^{\prime}$ is properly an 'expounder,' as in iii. 3I. I3; here it means a 'proposer.' Hence $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \sigma \eta \gamma \eta \tau \eta$ 's has been suggested. oikov тòv $\beta$ aбt$\lambda$ '́os, 'the king's house,' i. e. family: sovi.g.İ: vii. 194.II and clsewhere.

Naxos. b.c. 500 ; Ol. 70 . 1.
$\tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i$ $\tau \omega \bar{\omega} \nu a ̈ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \quad \sigma \nu \mu \mu \alpha ́ \chi \omega \nu, \quad \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \eta \gamma o ̀ \nu$ dè $\tau о u ́ \tau \omega \nu$





 тòv $\pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha}$ тò ${ }^{\prime} A \rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \gamma o ́ \rho \epsilon \alpha$. $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\nu} \nu$ סѐ ó $M \epsilon \gamma \alpha-33$












32.8. T $\hat{\omega} v{ }^{\text {' }} \mathbf{A x a \mu} \mu \epsilon \mathrm{v} \delta \delta^{\prime} \omega v$, i. e. of leum, in order that Artabazns and the royal family to which both Hystaspes and Cyrus belonged, i. 125: see Stemma in Appendix 2.
 dotus evidently did not believe that Pausanias was as bad as he was painted by other historians; cf. viii.

 тò̀s ^ake $\delta a \mu \mu \nu i ́ o u s$. The account here given differs from that in Thucydides, who says that Pansanias wished to marry the daughter of Xerxes (he quotes his letter to the King), and that Megabates was removed from his satrapy at Dascy-

Pausanias might lay their plans: Thuc. i. 128. For discrepancies between Herod. and Thuc. see vi. App.i.
33. 3. трóфaбvv, adverbial.
5. Kaúkaca. The exact position is unknown, but no doubt the harbour lay on the south sidc of Chios.
6. oủ $\gamma$ àp $\frac{\text { é } \delta \epsilon \epsilon \text {, 'it was not fated.' }}{}$ So i. 8 Х $\rho \grave{\eta} \nu$ वà Kav $\delta \alpha u ́ \lambda \eta \gamma \quad \gamma \in \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota$
 $\kappa a \kappa \omega ิ s \gamma^{\prime} \nu^{\prime} \epsilon \theta a \iota$ and often.
 intensifies the force of $\delta \epsilon \in \nu^{\prime} v$, as is often the case.
12. $\theta a \lambda a \mu i \eta s$. The port-hole of the lowest rank of oars; join with


 Quarrel of ó $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \lambda \theta \grave{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \iota \tau \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \tau$ тòv Пє́ $\rho \sigma \eta \nu, \tau v \gamma \chi \alpha ́ \nu \omega \nu$ $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ oủthe commanders.










The Naxians are warned; and the expedition fails.





 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi \epsilon \phi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o u s ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \phi є ́ \rho о \nu т о ~ к \alpha \grave{~ \epsilon ́ \pi о \lambda ь о ́ \rho к є о \nu ~}$

${ }^{\text {b }}$ kaтà $\tau$ áxos Dietsch-Kallenberg, quos vid. ad loc. : Éqpágavтo Höger.
 'dividing him in this way.' The body was not of course divided, but as half was within, and half without the ship, it might be so spoken of. Wesseling suggested $\delta_{t \in \lambda} \omega \nu \tau a s$. Stein proposes $\delta$ ié $\lambda$ кovtas, Herwerden prefers $\delta$ iévaas, thinking the aorist necessary, and removing motєv̂vtas, which is very improbable.
21. $\tau i \operatorname{mo\lambda \lambda à} \pi \rho \eta j \sigma \sigma \in ⿺ s ;$ 'why do you meddle?'
34. 1. ov́ $\delta \grave{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{v} \pi \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{a} v \tau \omega \mathrm{~s}, \text { ' }}$ not in the
least,' cf. oủ $\pi a ́ v v$.
 'strengthened'; (2) from €̇ $\sigma a ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$
 бıtia, and viii. 20. 3 : in this case кaí must be omitted before $\tau \in i \chi o s$. 'Non facile reperietur, qui praeter Hero-
 $\pi \rho о є \sigma \alpha ́ \xi a \sigma \theta a \iota '$ 'Valck. Dietsch will not allow $\sigma a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a<$ in the sense 'repair,' or the middle aorist of $a^{\gamma} \epsilon \epsilon \nu$. He refers $\dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \sigma \dot{\alpha} \xi a \nu \tau o(f r o m ~ \sigma a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a l)$ to the food, in the sense, 'lay up stores of.'
 10 oi $\Pi \epsilon ́ \rho \sigma \alpha \iota, ~ \tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha ~ к \alpha \tau \epsilon \delta \epsilon \delta \alpha \pi \alpha ́ \nu \eta \tau o ́ ~ \sigma \phi \iota, ~ к \alpha i ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau \widehat{Q} \tau \hat{Q}$ 'A $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \gamma o ́ \rho \eta \quad \pi \rho о \sigma \alpha \nu \alpha \iota \sigma i \mu \omega \tau o \quad \pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha$, то̂ $\pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{v} \nu o ́ s \quad \tau \epsilon$






 Aristagoras resolves to raise Ionia.
Message of




 $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ ov̉ $\delta \alpha \mu \hat{\omega} s \quad \epsilon \hat{i} \chi \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi \alpha \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega s$ $\sigma \eta \mu \eta \hat{\nu} \alpha \iota \quad \ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \quad \phi \cup \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma o \mu \epsilon-$





 $\sigma \tau i \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ є́ $\sigma \grave{\eta} \mu \alpha \iota \nu \epsilon$, $\dot{s}$ каì трóтєрóv $\mu 0 \iota$ є’ $\rho \eta \tau \alpha \iota$,

12. тєixєa, 'fortresses,' from which they could attack the enemy-such as that on Istone, occupied by the nobles in the great Corcyrean sedition.
35. 7. ovvéтレттє, 'it happened'; here with the additional notion of happening at the same time with something eise (see 36. 2). tòv éotiү $\mu$ évov. IIerodotus speaks as if the story of the slave were already
known to his readers. oqpaivovta $=$ $r \in \lambda \epsilon v v^{\prime} v \tau a$, hence the construction.
 infir. 52.8 .
 story is repeated by Aulus Gellius, xvii. 9.18 ff., who adds that the slave had long suffered from a disease of the eyes, which the shaving was sul?posed to cure.

Miletus．b．c． 500 ；Ol．70． 1.



 $\gamma i\} \in \tau o$.


Advice of Hecataeus．





 $\lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Пє $\rho \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$ д̀ $\nu \alpha \iota \rho \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ ，кат $\alpha \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu \tau \alpha ́$





 ${ }^{n}$ r$̂ \mathrm{~g} s$ $\theta a \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \eta s$ om．Kriug．：vavкрáтopes sine $\tau \hat{\eta} s \theta$ ．Cob．

36．2．$\sigma v v_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \pi เ \pi \tau \epsilon \ldots$ ．．$\sigma u v \epsilon \lambda$ Өóv $\tau a$ ， ＇all these things happened to come togcther at the same time．＇

7．＇Eкатаîos．Hecataeus of Mile－ tus is the only prose author mentioned by name in Herodotus，who also notices his journey to Thebes（ii． 143）；his advice to Aristagoras after the failure of the revolt（infr． 125 ， 126）；and his account of the Pelasgi at Athens（vi．137）．For an account of him，see Smith＇s Dict．Biog．
 which Herodotus does not use．

8．ката入́ $\hat{\gamma} \omega v$ ，к．т．入．as we might expect from the greatest historian of
his time．
 The phrase is remarkable；（I） vavkpar＇єs is only found here；（2） $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ Өa入á $\sigma \sigma \eta s$ scems otiose．We should expect cither $\nu$ avkpáropes（vi． 9．7）without $\tau \hat{\eta} s \theta a \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \eta s$（Cobet， Van H．）or $\theta a \lambda a \sigma \sigma o \kappa p a ́ r o p e s ~(i n f r . ~$ 83．7）．Yet we may compare such redundant compounds as ắ $\sigma \kappa \in \frac{\text { os }}{}$ à $\sigma \pi_{i} \delta \omega v$, ảnaıs $\gamma^{\prime} v o v, ~ e t c . ~$

12．＇̇vopâv＇̇́ór $\mu$ кvov，＇saw that this would be．＇i．123． $4 \dot{\alpha} \pi$＇$\in \omega u$ rồ ov̉k

 o $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta \nu$ ．










 $\lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \epsilon ́ \alpha$ каі̀ 'I $\quad \tau \downarrow \alpha i ̂ o \nu ~ T थ ́ \mu \nu \epsilon \omega ~ T \epsilon \rho \mu \epsilon \rho \epsilon ́ \alpha ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ K \omega ́ \eta \nu ~ ' E \rho-~$
 ${ }^{3}$ тoî $\sigma \iota$ add. St. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ 'Ißav'́лдıos A B C, St.
15. The temple of Apollo at Branchidae lay in the territory of Miletus, at a short distance from the coast, with which it was connected by a 'Sacred Way,' 'bordered on either side with statues on chairs, of a single block of stone, with the feet close together, and the hands on the knees, an exact imitation of the avenues of the temples in Egypt.' Leake, Asia Minor, p. 239. For the offerings of Croesus, see i. $4^{6.13 ;} ; 9^{2}$. S; and for the connection with Egypt, see ii. 159.9 ; 178 . ult. The temple was destroyed by the Persians.
 in his Lydian history, i. 92. Herodotus often refers to parts of his book as $\lambda$ ó $\gamma$ os or $\lambda$ ó $\gamma o t$, but this is the only passage in which he speaks of a definite order. We do not find
 $\kappa . \tau . \lambda$. , though we have $\hat{\epsilon} \nu$ voíot ${ }_{\sigma} \pi \iota \sigma \theta \in \lambda \dot{\gamma} \gamma^{\prime} \sigma_{\iota}(s u p r .22 .5)$. Of course this division has nothing to
do with the nine books of our modern arrangement.
21. Myus lay on the Maeander, near the mouth of the river. The generals were, for the most part, the tyrants of the various cities; by arresting them Aristagoras and his party deprived the cities of those who led them in the interest of Persia.
37. 2. Ibanolis: see infr. 12I. 8 . The name is Carian, like Aridolis, vii. 195, and the well-known Mansolus. Mylasa was the most important of the cities of Caria; the seat of the two great temples of the race, Zetus-Osogo, and Zeus of Labranda, a village close to Mylasa; see Smith, Dict. Geogr. Herod. i. I7I. 26 ; infr. II9. S.
3. Tymnes is also a Carian name. In the tribute lists of the next century we find a number of Carians united under the command of a certain Tymnes: Kápes $\dot{\omega} v$ Tú $\mu \nu \eta s a_{\rho} \rho \chi \in \iota$ C. I. $A$. i. 240 .

Arrest of the Persian generals.

Miletus．b．c． 500 ；Ol．7o． 1.



Suppres－ sion of the tyrants in Ionia．

Aristago－ ras visits Sparta．















 $\hat{\epsilon} \xi \in \varphi \rho \epsilon \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$ ．

8．ioovouinv is a word which is consistent with an oligarchical or a democratical form of government：
 （cf．lбoкратí v． 92 a 4）；（2）$\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta_{0}$

 80． 26 （cf．iб $\eta \gamma \circ \rho i \eta$ v．ヶ8．2）．Ari－ stagoras would hardly establish a democracy，－that was done later by Mardonius－but he put the power into the hands of those who ruled the cities before Persia sct up tyrants in them．Compare the action of Maeandrius at Samos，as described in iii． 142 ．I5．

38．1．Kúqı．Coes was recently
established as tyrant ；and was more－ over of humblc origin（ $\delta \eta \mu$ ór $\eta$ s sut ra，

 ment would have been different，had he belonged to the higher classes．

7．oт $\rho a \tau \eta \gamma 0$ v́s，＇gencrals＇in the sense in which the word was used at Athens in the time of Periclcs； i．e．not merely laders of the army， but executive officers，acting under authority，holding yearly office，and responsible for their conduct．



9．そ̇єє үа́р，к．т．入．Two construc－ tions seem to be confused：（I）és $\ddagger \in \in$

Sparta. Circa bic. 560; OI. 55. т.




 The wives of Anaxandridas.











$\sigma v \mu \mu \alpha \chi i \eta s$, and (2) 'er $\delta \in \epsilon \sigma v \mu \mu \alpha \not \subset i \eta \nu$ $\hat{\epsilon} \xi \in v \rho \epsilon \theta \hat{\eta} v a \imath$.
 The negative has to do duty twice, with participle and with verb, unless we prefer to say that $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \grave{\omega} \nu$ ' $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon v \epsilon$ make up one notion: 'survive on the throne.' The date of the accession of Cleomenes cannot be fixed precisely, but it must have been about 520 B.C.; see Appendix 3. The history of Anaxandridas is partly given in i. 67 f. -a passage with which the reader is supposed to be acquainted ; cp. the reference to Book i. in c. 36 . 18 .
6. kara $\theta$ vain $\eta=\theta v \mu a \rho \eta$ 's of Homer. This exercise of supervision by the ephors over the king is evidence of the growing authority of that office, which may have received fresh
powers in the time of Chilon (about 570 B.C.?), who would be contemporary with Leon, the father of Anaxandridas. With this marriage of a niece, cf. the marriage of an aunt in vi. 71. Leonidas married the daughter of his half-brother Cleomenes.
9. Eủpuotéveos. Eurysthenes was the elder of the sons of Aristodemus, cf. vi. 52. 39.
II. ${ }^{〔} \xi \in \in$. So Schäfer for the $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \sigma \in \epsilon_{0}^{\prime}$ of the MSS. The word is not elsewhere found in the sense required, but info. 40. 6 we have
 is used for the same thing. For the variation of the voice and preposition, see $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \boldsymbol{v}$ i. 59. II, and $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \pi \epsilon \mu \psi \dot{\mu} \mu \in \nu 0$ vi. 63. 2.

Sparta．After b．c．560；Ol．55．1．
 $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon ́ \phi \epsilon \rho о \nu ’ A \nu \alpha \xi \alpha \nu \delta \rho i ́ \delta \eta \tau \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon$ ．＂$\epsilon \pi \epsilon i$ тоívvv тоו $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon-$



 ö $\sigma \alpha \nu v \nu \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \iota s \pi \alpha ́ \rho \epsilon \chi \epsilon$ каì ä $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \alpha v ́ \tau \eta ~ \epsilon ́ \sigma \alpha ́-$
 $\rho \eta \sigma \epsilon$ ó＇$A \nu \alpha \xi \alpha \nu \delta \rho i ́ \delta \eta s, \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ ठ̀̀ $\gamma v \nu \alpha i ̂ k a s ~ \epsilon ' ~ Є \omega \nu ~ \delta v ́ o ~ \delta \iota-~$


Jirth of Cleome－ ves；of 1 Doriens， Leonidas and Cleom－ brotus．

 $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \in \quad \Sigma \pi \alpha \rho \tau \iota \eta \tau \eta \sigma \iota \quad \alpha \pi \epsilon ́ \phi \alpha \iota \nu \epsilon$ ，каi $\grave{\eta} \pi \rho о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \eta$ үvvخ̀


${ }^{\text {a }}$ ひ̈ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$ é $\pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \circ \hat{v} \sigma a \mathrm{St}$.

40．1．oi é $\neq$ opol kai oi $\gamma$＇́portes． These formed a supreme court；at any rate we read（Paus．iii．5．3） that Pausanias，the ling，on his return to Sparta from Athens in 403 B．C．was brought to trial by his enemies：$\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ ठt $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ पakt－



 $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \dot{̀} s \tau \hat{\eta} s$ é $\tau \in f p a s$.

4．$\dot{u} \lambda \lambda \circ i \hat{o} v=\nu \in \omega ́ \tau \in \rho \circ \nu, \nu \in o \chi \mu \dot{\nu} \nu$ ．
8．rav̂тá кŋ．$\kappa \eta$ modifies $\tau a \hat{\tau} \tau a$ ， and eonveys the impression that the conversation is imaginary ；ef．i． 97 zult．where Herodotus puts words into the mouth of the friends of Deioces．Jigamy was unknown in Hellas，though kigamons arrange－ ments were by no means uneommon
at Sparta（see Plut．Lycurg．I5 with the objeet of securing a sound and healthy progeny．Polybius even asserts that polyandry existed among the Spartans，xii．6．万 пátptov 解 каi

 ả $\delta \in \lambda \phi o$ òs ürras．He also adds，каi
 रuvaîká tive têv фídav，kàòv kai $\sigma v v \hat{\eta} \theta \epsilon s$ ．［Cf．De Coulanges，Nou－ willes Recherches，17．72．］

41．2．＇̇vúctepov．The use is unique，the word being elsewhere used for what has still to happen； sec eritienl note．
 apparent＇；one who would take the place of the reigning king．

6．ouvtuxin，к．т．入．，＇sueh was her ill fortune＇：－－to have a child

Sparta. Circa bic. 515; OI. 66. 1.


















 ${ }^{a}$ to sech. St.
after the second wife; cf. $\sigma \nu \mu \phi \circ \rho \dot{\eta}$

10. ouvtá $\mu$ vovtos, 'coming on.' Time is spoken of as a person hastening on his way ( $\sigma v \nu \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$, sc. Tク̀v $\dot{\delta} \delta u ́ v$, as in vii. 123. 2).
15. [тò ] $\delta \in$ út $\epsilon$ gov. It seems necessary to omit the article, for rò $\delta .=$ ' a second time'; Asch. Ag.
 $\tau \in \rho \circ \nu$, but $\delta \in \dot{\prime} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ is = 'afterwards,' cf. i. I $8_{5}^{5}$. I $\dot{\eta} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \delta \grave{\eta} \delta \in \dot{\delta} \tau \epsilon \rho 0 \nu \gamma \epsilon \nu \rho \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$

16. Demarmenus was apparently a son of the famous Chilon; see vi. 65.7 .
 Cf. ix. 55. I2 $\mu$ аıvó $\mu \in \nu о \nu$ каi on $\phi \rho \in \nu_{\eta}^{\prime} \rho \in a$. The analogy of some compounds of $\alpha^{k} \kappa \rho o-$ would lead us to translate $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho о \mu a \nu \eta$ 's, 'very mad'; but from vi. 75. 5 we see that it is $=\dot{i \pi m o \mu a \rho \gamma u ́ \tau \in \rho o s, ~ a n d ~ i t ~}$ was only in his last year that Cleomenes broke out into furious insanity. $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho о-$, then, is $=$ 'on the surface,' 'slightly.'
 according to the invariable custom of colonists ; see Hist. Greece, i. p. 361. Stein quotes Cicero, Divin.i. 3 quam recto Graccia coloniam mist








Failing on the Cinyps,
 $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \mu \hat{\omega} \nu^{\prime} H_{\rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \epsilon i \eta \nu}{ }^{\mathrm{d}} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \epsilon{ }^{\prime} \nu \Sigma^{\prime} \iota \kappa \epsilon \lambda i ́ \eta \kappa \tau i \xi \epsilon \epsilon \nu, \phi \grave{\alpha} \varsigma \tau \grave{\eta} \nu^{\prime \prime} E \rho v-$

${ }^{a}{ }^{a} \Lambda \iota \beta v ́ \eta \nu$ St. : $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ Kívváa r: Kívvaa cett.<br> $\pi$. Van H.<br>

in Aeoliam, Ioniam, Asiam, Siciliam, Italiam sine Pythio ant Dodonaeo, ant Hammonis oraculo?
12. Aıßúnv is Stein's emendation for Kivvaa. It is awkward to have the precise situation of the colony followed by the general description és $\Lambda \iota \beta \dot{\prime} \eta \boldsymbol{\nu}$ in the next line. Hence this emendation is better than Van Herwerden's, see critical note. Through their colony of Cyrene, founded about $\sigma_{30}$ B.c., the Theraeans would be well acquainted with the African coast. Thera also was claimed as a colony by Sparta; see iv. I47 ff. The river Cinyps flowed from a wooded hill called the hill of the Graces, through the country of the Macae to the sea. The land round it was the most fertile in Libya. The river was about midway betwcen Cyrenc and Carthage, and it is interesting to find the Carthaginians supporting the natives against the colonists.
${ }^{15}$. As the Macae were themselves Libyans, we must either insert
$a ̈ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ after $\kappa \alpha i ́ l$ (MSS.) or omit raí.
43. 2. Eleon was a small town in Boeotia, a land famous for oracles and prophets. Among these last Bacis held a prominent place, see viii. 20. 77 etc. Hence Valckenaer suggested Bákıסos here, while Dobree would read ムáбov (cf. vii. 6.16). The supposed oracles of Laius are those collected by or given to L. As a Boeotian Antichares may have been acquainted with them.
3. There is much doubt what is meant by 'Heraclea in Sicily,' for there was no city of that name in the country of Eryx, Heraclea Minoa lying on the south coast of the island. Yet the article implies an existing city, which Dorieus is to make a Greek colony. Stein suggests the insertion of $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$ before $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$. On the story see Freeman's Sicily, ii. 85 ff ., and for Heraclea in Sicily, ib. 209 ff ; 504 ff . (Heracles, in this context, is no doubt the Tyrian Melkart.) Eryx was the city and

Sicily. Circa bic. 5xo; OI. 67.3.




 $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ ' I \tau \alpha \lambda ı ́ \eta \nu . ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \chi \rho o ́ v o \nu ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ \tau o \nu, ~ \omega ́ s ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o v \sigma \iota ~$

 44
stopping on the way


 $\tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \alpha \mu^{\prime} \nu \nu v \nu \quad \Sigma \cup \beta \alpha \rho i ̂ \tau \alpha \iota ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o v \sigma \iota ~ \pi o \iota \eta ิ \sigma \alpha \iota \quad \Delta \omega \rho i \epsilon ́ \alpha ~ \tau \epsilon$



stronghold of the Elymi in the north-west of Sicily.
 the same ground the Dorians attempted to justify their occupation of the Peloponnesus. Even at a much later date the Athenians gave out that the land round Amphipolis, which they wished to possess, had been the dowry of Phyllis, the wife of Demophon, son of Theseus (Schol. Aeschin. De falsa Leg. § 31 ).
 the narrower sense $=$ the southern part of the peninsula from Tarentum onwards; see Hist. of Greece, ii. p. 473. $\pi$ a $\alpha \dot{\prime}=$ 'along the coast of.'
44. 1. тòv Xpóvov toûtov, i.e. about $510 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{C}$. if we may venture to fix the date by the fall of Sybaris.
2. Telys is called $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon$ 's here and túpavvos below. Busolt would
explain the difference by the fact that we have two different accounts compared; the Sybarite and the Crotoniate, the same man being Baal $\lambda \epsilon$ uss in the one and túpavyos in the other (Gesch. Gr.ii. 238, note; see Freeman, Sicily, ii. 434, 435). But cf.

 $\mu$ á $\lambda \iota \sigma \tau a, 4^{6}$. 10, II, where $\tau v p a \nu v i$ is varies with $\mu \circ \nu \alpha \rho \chi$ є iv, and supt. 35 5. In the account given by Diodorus Telys is a demagogue who, having obtained supreme influence in Wybaris, persuades the Sybarites to banish 500 of the leading citizens and confiscate their property (xii.9).
7. ミußapital $\lambda$ '́́yovar, cf. 1. 2. Herodotus may have heard the story from the Sybarites who were settled in Thurii.
10. The Iamids were the foremost race or clan of prophets in Elis.
sails to Sicily,

Sicily. Circa b.c. 5io; Ol. 67.3.




 $\mu \epsilon \nu$ ós $\tau \epsilon$ каì $\nu \eta o ̀ \nu$ є́óvта $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \xi \eta \rho o ̀ \nu ~ K \rho \alpha ̂ \theta \iota \nu ~ b, ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~$ ípúб $\alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \sigma v \nu \epsilon \lambda o ́ \nu \tau \alpha ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \Delta \omega \rho \iota \epsilon ́ \alpha ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o v \sigma \iota ~ ' A \theta \eta$ -















They officiated as seers at the altar of Zeus at Olympia; cf. in. 33. 5. The story of Iamus is told by Pindar, Ol. vi.
13. є́tri Kpótava. The dative is more common, as in $37 \cdot 7 \pi \hat{a} \nu \hat{\epsilon} \pi \bar{l}$


45. 3. Tòv $\xi$ そpoòv Kpâetv, the dry bed of the Crathis. The city of Sybaris lay between the Crathis, a river of considerable size, and the Sybaris. When they destroyed the city, the Crotoniates turned the
waters of the Crathis upon the site, so as to destroy every restige, and by this means it was diverted from its old bed; Strabo, p. 263. For Sybaris see Lenormant, La grande Grìce, i. p. 223 ff.; 290 ff.
 Taken literally, the words would imply that Dorieus left some descendants behind him, but this is doubtful: for though we hear of a Euryanax, son of Doricus (ix. io), we do not know that this is our Dorieus ; infr. c. $4^{8 .}$

Sicily. Circa b.c. 5 ro Ol. 67. 3.

 $\sigma v \gamma к \tau i ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \iota \quad \sum \pi \alpha \rho \tau \iota \eta \tau \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu, \Theta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \lambda o ̀ s$ каi Параıßáтךs

 46 Dorieus slain in Sicily : Euryleon at Selinus.
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma v \gamma \kappa \tau \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \omega \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \nu \epsilon \tau о$ тои́тov то̂ $\pi \alpha ́ \theta \epsilon \sigma s$. $\sigma v \lambda-$





 à үopaíov $\beta \omega \mu$ о́v.

 Philippus of Croton.



46. 5. Фoıvíк $\omega v$ каil ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \sigma \tau а i ́ \omega v .}$ The Phoenicians of Motye joined with the Elymians of Segesta in resisting the new settlement, and in fact, these two barbarian nations were always ready to join against the Greeks.
8. Minoa lay on the south coast between Selinus and Agrigentum. Originally a Phoenician settlement, it was colonized subsequently by the Greeks; perhaps it now received the name Heraclea. See Freeman, Sicily, ii. 96. Euryleon was no doubt invited by the enemies of the tyrant Peithagoras, of whom, however, nothing is known. The

Selinuntians were colonists of the Megarians.
47. I. That Philippus should be banished from Croton for his suit to the daughter of Telys is a proof of the animosity of the Crotoniates to the tyrant or demagogue; and that he sailed to Cyrene, and returned in time to join Dorieus, proves that the animosity existed for some time before the final outburst.
 Cleinias at Artemisium, òs $\delta a \pi a ́ v \eta \nu$

 viii. I7. 6 .

Sparta. b.c. 500 ; Ol. 70. i.


 $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \tau 0 \hat{v} \tau \alpha ́ \phi o v ~ \alpha u ̛ \tau o v ̂ ~ \eta ̀ \rho \omega ́ \iota o \nu ~ i \delta \rho \rho \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota ~ \theta v \sigma i ́ \eta \sigma \iota ~ \alpha u ́-~$ тòv i入র́бкоขтаı.







Arista.
goras at Sparta. His interview with Cleomenes.


 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \theta \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha ́ ~ \tau \epsilon \pi \alpha ิ \sigma \alpha ~ к \alpha \grave{~} \pi о \tau \alpha \mu о \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \epsilon S$. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \iota \kappa \nu \epsilon o ́ \mu \epsilon-5$


^ $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \beta a \sigma i ́ \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon$ Kriig. : $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \beta a \sigma i ́ \lambda \epsilon v \epsilon \mathrm{Libb}$.

 hero-worship see Appendix 12 . This admiration of beauty is seen in the treatment of the corpse of Masistius, ix. 25 . 8 , which was carried in a cart along the Greek lines at Plataea, that all might see it.
48. 4. Cleomenes must have reigned till 49 I b. C., if not later (see Appendix 3); his reign therefore lasted about thirty years. But he was succeeded by his brother Leonidas, who was younger than Dorieus. Had Dorieus left any descendants, they would have been heirs to the throne, unless we suppose that he lost his right to the succession by settling in a foreign country, which Heracleids were forbidden to do on pain of death (Plut. Agis, II).

Yet Dorieus has the permission of the Spartans for his colony, c. 42. S.
49. 4. The oldest map known to the Greeks was that made by Anaximander of Miletus. On the subject see Strabo, p. 7, who quotes Eratosthenes, the great geographer of Alexandria, to the effect that the first geographers of note, after Homer, were two, Anaximander and Heca-

 $\kappa а т а \lambda \iota \pi \epsilon i ̀ \nu \gamma \rho a ́ \mu \mu a$, тьбтои́ $\mu \epsilon \nu о \nu$ ѐнєі́-
 Whether Hecataeus constructed a map is therefore not quite certain; Agathemerus, however, stated that he greatly improved the map of
 $\pi \rho \hat{\gamma} \gamma \mu a$ (Agath. i. I quoted by Stein).

Sparta．bic．500；OI．70．i．






 є́ $\sigma \tau$ i．oưтє $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ oi $\beta \alpha ́ \rho \beta \alpha \rho o \iota ~ \alpha ̉ \lambda \kappa \iota \mu o i ́ ~ \epsilon i \sigma \iota, ~ \dot{v} \mu \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \tau \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$









8．т̀̀ kaтŋ̆кovтa，＇what has come upon me，＇i．e．my circumstances ；cf． $\kappa а т а \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \epsilon \epsilon \nu, \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \chi \in \epsilon \nu$ in vi． 40. $2,10$.
 The Spartans had been acknow－ ledged heads of Greece since the time of Croesus ；cf．i． 56,69 ；i． 152. 14，where Latrines is sent to Sardis to convey to Cyrus $\Lambda a \kappa \varepsilon \delta a \mu \mu v i a v$

 оұо $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$ ．

13．$\chi \omega \rho$ е́tev，＇succeed＇；info． 89 ．

16．$\mu \dot{\alpha} \times \eta$ ，＇mode of fighting．＇For the armour of the Persians see vii． 61．Aristagoras does not mention that the best armed wore coats of mail（cf．ix．22． 12 ；viii．113．Io， where Mardonius selects Пє $\rho \sigma \sigma^{\prime} \omega \nu$ roùs $\theta$ wp ${ }^{\prime}$ кoфópovs̀，Bowmen and
javelin－men（àкоутıбтаí）were found in the Grecian armies；the Ache－ xians，for instance，had a force of IS oo archers in 431 b．C．，and see ix． 22.3 ：yet their strength lay in the heavy armed；and the contrast be－ tween the two nations is the contrast between the spear and the bow；cf． Asch．Perv．${ }^{2} 39,{ }^{2} 40$ AT．$\pi i ́ \tau \in \rho a$ रà $\rho$ rogov入kìs ail $\chi \mu \grave{\eta}$ סıà $\chi \in \rho o i ̂ v ~ a u ̀-~$


17．áva乡upíias．For the Greek view of these garments see Eur． Cycle． 182 roùs 日u入ákous toìs not－ кídous $\pi \epsilon p i ̀$ toî̀ $\sigma \kappa \epsilon \lambda$ iv．
$\kappa_{v p} \beta a \sigma$ ias．Cf．vii． $6_{4} .5$ kv－
 we have tıápas ка入єoн́̌vous míhous da $\pi a \neq$ éas（soft）．The Greeks wore helmets．

20．àmò xpuซov̂ ảpgaцévotrl，＇be－





 describes Asia from a map.













 ${ }^{\text {a }} \sigma \chi$ оí $\eta \tau \epsilon$ ? St. : $\sigma \chi$ oĩt $\operatorname{Van}$ H.
ginning with gold.' The dative is to be taken with $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i$ in the samc sense as in Soph. O. T. Gí ra入 $\hat{\text { w }}$

22. $\theta v \mu \hat{\varphi}$ ßou入ó $\mu \in v o l$, 'if only you set your hearts upon them.'
25. тодvapүuрஸ́тaтol, with rcference no doubt to the wealth of Pactolus, though this river, strictly speaking, brought down gold. The riches of the Lydian kings were of coursc proverbial, and the Spartans had themselves received gold from Crocsus, i. 69. IS.
29. $\pi \circ \lambda \cup \pi \rho \circ \beta a \tau \omega \tau \alpha \tau о$. For the riches of Phrygia in sheep cf. Strabo, 578 . In $I l$. iii. 184 the land is called ${ }^{\alpha} \mu \pi \epsilon \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha$.
38. $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ इov̂ซa $\tau \alpha$ v̂тa, lit. 'the Susa of which we speak.' Susa as the king's residence was the place of chief interest. Aristagoras does not point to it on the map, as he has done hithcrto, or we should have $\tau a ́ \delta \epsilon$.
 $\gamma$ tious. The translation of this scntence is uncertain: (i) $\mu$ áxas ávaßá $\lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ may be $=$ 'pugnas sus-

Sparta．b．c．500；Ol．7o．i．

 víous $\notin o ́ \nu \tau \alpha s ~ i \sigma o \pi \alpha \lambda \epsilon ́ \alpha s ~ к \alpha i ~ ' A \rho к a ́ \delta \alpha s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к \alpha i ' A \rho \gamma \epsilon i ́ o u s, ~$














 $\mu \eta \tau 0$ 入є́ $\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\tau} \tau \hat{\eta} S$ ódô，$\epsilon \hat{i} \pi \epsilon$＂$\hat{\omega} \xi \in \hat{\imath} \nu \epsilon$ Mi入 $\eta \sigma \iota \epsilon$ ，


[^3]cipere，nisi à àa入a $\beta$＇́ $\sigma \theta a u$ legendum．＇ So Schwgh．and Liddell and Scott， who suggest＇risk a battle，＇and Kriiger，who thinks that ${ }^{\alpha} \nu \alpha \beta$ ．may here＝àvalpeír $\theta a u$ ；（2）the meaning may be＇put off，＇＇defer＇；cf．just
 The interrogative must then be translated：＇Ought you not to de－ fer？＇
42．oũt $\mathrm{xp} \mathrm{\eta} \sigma \tau \hat{\mathrm{~s}}$ ，＇very good，＇ so good that you should fight about it．Wars with the Messenians had ceased for a century，and the nation
could not now be said to be equal to the Spartans；but the struggle had been one of the most memor－ able in Spartan history（first war， $743^{-724}$ B．c．；second war， $645-$ ？ в．с．）．
 In vi． 86 ．$\beta$ ． 12 we have d̀va $\beta$ á $\lambda \lambda$ o－

 крivarөat，where Cobet reads ìто－ $\kappa \rho \nu \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \theta a u$ ；cf．vi．2． 5 note，and infr．1о6． $3^{1}$ ．
50．6．ठıаßà $\lambda \lambda \omega \mathrm{v}$ ，＇deceiving．＇



Third interview : Gorgo.
















 тара̀ $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \alpha$.


I3. ЄúєT'є́a, 'pleasant to the ear,' ' acceptable.' It is remarkable that Cleomenes dismisses Aristagoras without any referenee to the ephors whatever ; yet in the parallel ease of Maeandrius he ealls in the power of the ephors, iii. I48. 14. Does this imply that in the interim he had acquired greater authority?
51. 3. iкєтךрiŋv, 'a suppliant's braneh,' i.e. a branch of olive wreathed with wool ; ef. vii. 14I. 5, where, after the first unfavourable answer at Delphi, the Athenian
envoys were advised by Timon to take a iкєт $\quad$ pí $\eta$ and approach the god as " $k \in \tau \alpha$, and also the opening seene of the Oedipus Tyrannus and the Iliad. The prayers of a suppliant must at least be heard, if not answered, and his person was safe.
6. Gorgo subsequently became the wife of Leonidas ; see vii. 239. 22.
 in any greater detail.
52. I. This royal road from East to West, was but one of a number which united the various

Sparta. bic. 500; OI. 70. s.













provinces of the Persian empire with the capital (Susa). $\sigma \pi \alpha \theta \mu$ oi are 'stations,' or guardhouses ; ката$\lambda u ́ \sigma t \in s$ (кaтaүळүaí) are caravanserais, but the two were combined (11. 18, 34).
2. $\delta$ id oíкєo ${ }^{\prime}$ év $\eta$ s. The road did not follow the shortest route, but took a course which had previously been traced by commerce. Another road, considerably shorter, from Susa to Ephesus, is described by Strabo (p. 663, from Artemidorus). The stages in the road as given by Herodotus are:
I. Sardis to the Halls . $94 \frac{1}{2}$ Pe's.
2. The Hays to Cilicia 104
3. Cilicia to Euphrates. $15 \frac{1}{2}$
4. Armenia . . . . $56 \frac{1}{2}$
5. Matiene . . . . I37
6. Cissia • • • $\frac{42 \frac{1}{2}}{450}$

The direct distance from Sardis to the Halls at a point near Ancyra is

89 Parasangs, which corresponds fairly to the $94 \frac{1}{2}$ given by Herodotus ; for Cappadocia 104 P. are given, for Cilicia $I_{5 \frac{1}{2}}$, making the whole distance from the Halys to the Euphrates II9플 P., but the direct distance to the usual place of crossing the Euphrates at Melitene is not roo P . The difference must be explained by some extension of the route to the north, an extension due to the (prehistoric) importance of the city of Pieria (i. 76 ). Kiepert considers that it ran northwards to Comana, in the valley of the Iris, where it united with the road from Sinope and Pteria; thence it passed the range of Antitaurus to Meli-tene.-The Cilicia of Herodotus extends much further to the north than the Cilicia of later times; it reached the upper course of the Halys, and thence to the Euphrates; see i. $7^{2}$. In later times (Strabo, 527) the Euphrates divided Cappadocia and Armenia.

Sparta. b.c. 500 ; Ol. 70, i.
єíб८ $\sigma \tau \alpha \theta \mu \circ i ́, \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \alpha \dot{\gamma} \gamma \alpha \iota$ ठ̀̀ $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i ́ \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha$ каì ${ }_{\eta}^{\prime \prime} \mu \iota \sigma \nu .15$











 St., qui et $\tau \hat{\eta} s^{\prime} \mathrm{A} \rho \mu \in \nu \dot{\nu} \eta^{\prime} s$ seelusit.


 part of the road lay north of the Masian range between the Euphrates and the point where the two arms of the Tigris unite. The distance is fairly eorrect at $56 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{P}$.
 $\tau ' \in \sigma \sigma \in \rho \in \mathbf{s}$. By transferring this sentenee, whieh stands in the MSS. after трı $\boldsymbol{\kappa o \sigma i a s ~ ( 1 . 3 0 ) , ~ a n d ~ a d d i n g ~}$ the words каi трínкорта... èккатúv to $\tau \dot{\prime} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \rho \epsilon s$, Stein has removed the greatest diffieulty in the deseription of the road-the enormous extension given to Armenia compared with the distance assigned to this part of the route (see Bunbary, Geogr. i. 253, and Sayee, Herod. xxvii.). That some part of the distance is omitted in the traditional text is clear from the faet that Herodotus gives the totals as III stathmi and 450 P .; whereas the items only amount to

8I stathmi and $3{ }^{13} \mathrm{P}$. The direct distance from the southern border of Armenia to Susa is 165 P .; which agrees well enough with the I $79 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{P}$. of Herodotus, when we remember that two mountain ranges had to be erossed: (I) the range on the Upper Tigris ; (2) the chain between the Diala and the Choaspes.
 rodotus here gives the name Matiene to the streteh of eountry between Armenia and Cissia. The usual name for it, or the largest part of it, was Assyria. Xenophon ealls it Media, Anab. ii. 4. 27, ete. Other Matieni are found on the right bank of the Halys, i. $7^{2}$; others again on the sourees of the Araxes, i. 202. 15. On the road, see Kiepert, ap. Stein, and Ramsay, Historical Geograpliy of Asia Minor, p. 27 ff .

Sparta. b.c. 500 ; Ol. 70. i.

















$$
{ }^{\text {a }} \pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu \text { St. } \quad \text { b } ̈ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu \text { Libb. corr. St. } \quad \text { c } \Gamma \dot{v} \nu \delta \eta \nu \text { Krüig. }
$$

53. 5. rà Mєцróvia. Memnon, the son of Eos, the ally of Priam, who was slain by Achilles, is mentioned twice in the Odyssey, iv. I 88 ; xi. $\mathbf{5}^{22}$. His story was made the theme of an epic by Aretinus, and was also represented on the earliest works of art : the chest of Cypselus, and the throne of Apollo at Amyclae. In later historians he appears as a real hero, who brought the forees of the East to aid Priam (Diod. ii. 22). IIe was thought to have built Susa, which was no doubt in existence before the Persians aequired the territory from the Elamites. So Strabo, p. 728 and Pausanias iv. 31. 5, x. 31. 7, who gives an aecount of Meminon's
mareh westwards. Herodotus seems to have known nothing of the Memnonium in Egyptian Thebes, or of the vocal statue of Memnon. See Pauly, Realencycl. sub voc.
 9 two hundred stades ( 25 miles) are allowed for a day's journey, and infr. next chapter, only three days are allowed for the 540 stades from Ephesus to Sardis. Hultsch, Metrol. p. $\mathbf{5}^{27}$, and ed., remarks, in reference to this road, that Herodotus must have calculated the distance on a shorter stadium than that of 600 Attic feet $=\frac{1}{8}$ of the Roman mile; but this is not Kicpert's riew, and indeed it rests on no other ground than the difference between

Athens. b.c. 514 ; Ol. 66. 3.



Distance from Ephesus to Sardis. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ K \lambda \epsilon о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \epsilon \alpha ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \Lambda \alpha к \epsilon \delta \alpha \iota \mu o ́ v \iota o \nu ~ \epsilon i ̂ ̀ \alpha \iota ~ \tau \rho \iota \omega ิ \nu ~ \mu \eta \nu \omega ิ \nu$







 $\tau \omega$ т $\rho \iota \sigma \grave{\imath} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \eta \sigma \iota \quad \mu \eta \kappa \tilde{\prime} \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \quad \grave{\eta} \tau \rho i ́ \mu \eta \nu 0 s$ ò óós.
 Aristagoras visits Athens.

the direct distance from Sardis to Susa and the distance given by Herodotus, a difference which obviously depends on the route taken by the road.
54. 5. $\mathfrak{\epsilon ̇ \xi}$ 'E申́́́oou. Ephesus was the great starting-point for the interior of Asia. Thus, infra 100 , the expedition leaves Miletus for Ephesus, when preparing to attack Sardis; cf. Strabo, p. 663 кoเv ${ }^{\prime}$ Tts

 The action of Cleomenes in rejecting the appeal of Aristagoras is condemned by Duncker (Gesch. Alt. vii. 39 f.), who considers that if strongly supported the Asiatic Greeks could have shaken themselves free from the Persians, in which case the Ionian fleet would have been in the service of Greeee. The way over the Aegean would then
have been rendered difficult, if not impossible, and the Persians would have been compelled to renounce their recent conquests in Europe. But, on the other hand, it is not clear that the Ionian revolt was in any sense a national movement (cf. vi. 3 ), and Herodotus condemns it, as Hecataens had done before him. Nor could the Spartans cross the Aegean in any force without more ships than they possessed, while, if they crossed in the ships of their allies, they would be dependent on them. And again, the absence of a large body of Spartans at a great distance might have led to grave complications at home.
55. I. The story of the rise of Peisistratus is told by Herodotus in i. 59-64. Cf. Arist. Athen. Pol. c. 13.

Athens. b.c. 514; Ol. 66. 3.
Є́ $\pi \epsilon \iota$ " $I \pi \pi \alpha \rho \chi o \nu$ тòv $\Pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \iota \sigma \tau \rho \alpha ́ \tau o v, ~ ' I \pi \pi i ́ \epsilon \omega$ dè $\tau 0 \hat{v} \tau v$ - Athens,











 Thuc. i. 20 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i \omega \nu$ $\tau \grave{o} \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta o s$
 'Apıбтоүєítovos тúpavvov ờvта ảmo-


 $\sigma \alpha \lambda \grave{s}$ à $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o \grave{\eta} \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu$ aủrov̂. The mistake was probably due to the famous scolion in which Harmodius and Aristogeiton were spoken of as tyrannicides; öтє $\tau \grave{\nu} \boldsymbol{\tau}$ úpavขov кта-
 $\sigma a ́ \tau \eta \nu$ (as a matter of fact they did neither!).
4. $\tau \hat{\varphi}$. . . $\pi \dot{\alpha} \theta \epsilon i$. These words are generally taken with $\mathcal{\epsilon} \nu \alpha \rho \gamma \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \alpha_{-}$ $\tau \eta \nu$, but the construction is very doubtful. Stein and others would omit them. '̇va $\rho \gamma{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} s$ is 'clear,' ' unmistakeable'; cf. Plato, Crito 44 B.
8. $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda \frac{1}{n} \hat{\eta} \pi p o ̀ ~ r o ̂ ̀ . ~ T h u c . ~ v i . ~$ $59 \tau 0 i \hat{s} \delta^{\prime}$ 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i o t s \chi^{\alpha \lambda} \epsilon \pi \omega \tau \epsilon \in \rho \alpha \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha$ то仑̂тo $\grave{\eta}$ रupavvis катé $\sigma \tau \eta$. Arist. Athen. Pol. 19 $\mu \epsilon \tau d \grave{\text { dè }}$ тâ̂ta

$\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \tau u \rho a \nu v i \delta \alpha$. The 'tyranny of Hippias' was remembered; cf. Aristoph. Wasps 502; Lysistr. 618; ibid. 1151 ff. ; infr. c. 62. 5.
56. 2. тติv חava日ŋvaícv. The great Panathenaea were celebrated every fourth year, during four days ( $25-28$ Hecatombaeon). The great day of the festival was the fourth, on which the scarlet robe (peplus) of Athena was brought in procession ( $\pi 0 \mu \pi \dot{\eta}$ ) to the Acropolis; see Dict. Ant.
 'spoke these riddling words.' Cf. Soph. $A j$. $15^{8} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \eta \eta \geqslant \downarrow \xi \xi^{\alpha} \mu \eta \nu$; As Stein remarks, the words are not less obscure after the fulfilment of the prediction than they were before it. It is difficult to see how they can be made to refer to Hipparchus; they may however refer to the Athenian people ( $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu, \lambda \epsilon \omega ́ s)$, who are bidden to expect that vengeance will overtake the oppressor, or more especially to Harmodius-if he could
how freed from tyrants.

## 56

Dream of Hipparchus. chus.

Athens. b.c. $5^{14}$; Ol. 66. 3.
 $\tau \iota \theta \epsilon \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s$ ỏ $\nu \epsilon \iota \rho о \pi o ́ \lambda o \iota \sigma{ }^{\circ} \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ ס̀ $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \iota \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ oै $\psi \iota \nu$


The Gephyraeans.

 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \pi v \nu \theta \alpha \nu o ́ \mu \in \nu o s \in \dot{\nu} \rho i ́ \sigma k \omega, \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu$ Фоívlkєs $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \grave{v} \nu$



 ${ }^{n}$ seel. St., Van II.
be in any way said to be 'a lion.' Hipparchus would be conscious of his à $\delta$ हkía towards Harmodius.
8. வ் $\pi \epsilon \iota T$ á $\mu \mathrm{\epsilon vos}$, ‘dismissing from his thoughts.'
 vi. 57 , speaks of Hippias as more partieularly engaged with the pro-


 проiévai', Hipparchus being in the city at the Leocorion, but in ib. i. 20 we are informed that IHipparchus also was arranging the procession
 $\sigma \mu 0 \hat{v} \nu \tau \iota$.$) The aecount of Aristotle,$ Ather. Pol. c. 18. 3, speaks of Hippias as being in the Aeropolis,

 $\pi \eta \%$
57. 4. Фoivices. Whether the Gephyracans were Phoenieians or not, there is no reason why Phoeniecians should not have settled at Thebes in lioeotia, and the evidence, sueh as it is, is in favour of such a
settlement. In any case Bocotia was inhabited by various tribes before the immigration of the Boeotians from Arne in Thessaly; Thuc. i. 12 Botoutoì тìv vûv Botwtià трótєрov ठє̀ К $\alpha \delta \mu \eta i \delta a \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda o v \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu \underset{\varphi}{\kappa} \kappa \iota \sigma \alpha \nu$;
 $\sigma \alpha \nu t \in s$ (when founding Plataea).
7. т $\eta$ v Tavaүpıкŋ̀v $\mu$ oîpav, i. c. the extreme south of the country, on the Asopus. The Tanagraeans were called Gephyraeans (Strabo, p. 404), but probably this word means no more than 'bridgemakers,' pontifices, and such might be found at Eretria as well as Tanagra. See, however, Toepffer, Attische Genealogic, 293 f., who thinks that the aecount of Merodotus rests on the connection of Phoenix (the tutor of Achilles) with Eleon, a town in the district of Tanagra. When Phoenix was read as 'Phoenician' and conneeted with Cadmus, the Tanagraeans beeame Phoenicians!
8. іт' 'Aрyєíwv, ef. infr. c. Gr. ठєútepa, 'afterwards', ef. supr. 4I. ¿.

Athens. B.c. 514 ; Ol. 66. 3.



 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \iota \kappa o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \iota, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu$ oi $\Gamma \epsilon \phi \cup \rho \alpha i o \iota, ~ \ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \tau \epsilon \pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ oi-

 58 The Phoenicians brought letters into Greece.

 $\nu \hat{\eta}$ $\mu \epsilon \tau \in ́ \beta \alpha \lambda о \nu$ каi тòv $\rho \cup \theta \mu o ̀ \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu . ~ \pi \epsilon \rho เ o i ́-~$


The invasion of the Epigoni was almost contemporaneous with the Trojan war (in the traditional chronology); the immigration of the Boeotians took place sixty years after it: Thuc. i. 12.
ro. éxi p̀ $\eta$ roîcl, ' on certain speci-
 $\gamma^{\prime}$ раби.
II. $\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} v . . . a ̉ \xi เ a \pi \eta \gamma \eta \eta^{\tau} \omega v$, 'a good many privileges, but not worth mentioning.' The expression is awkward, but a parallel is found in Pausanias, an imitator of Hero-
 ${ }_{\alpha} \xi \neq \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega$ (i. 9. 3). Stein suggests that in this exclusion may be found the reason why the sister of Harmodius was not allowed to take part in the Panathenaic procession, Thuc. v. 56. No doubt the privileges from which the Gephyraeans were cxcluded were of a religious or ceremonial nature.
 Boeotia.
$\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa a ́ \lambda \iota a, \quad$ lessons' $=\mu a \theta \dot{\eta}-$ $\mu a \tau a$, but this sense seems to be unique.
4. үра́ $\mu \mu \boldsymbol{\tau} a$. That the Greeks received their alphabet from the Phoenicians admits of no doubt; the form, the order, and the names of the letters prove this. But they altered the alphabet which they received, especially in regard to the vowels and sibilants, developing the first and cutting down the others. They also added letters, such as $v$, $\phi, \chi, \psi, \omega$; and used Greek names or epithets for them, sigma, e-psilon, o-mcga, etc. See on the subject, Franz, Element. Epigr. III, and Roberts, Epigraphy p. 4. That they received them from the Phoenicians in Bocotia, as Herodotus asserts, cannot be proved ; the oldest inscriptions are those of Thera and Crete (Dorian islands) and the Ionian inscriptions found in Egypt.
7. ròv $\mathfrak{\rho u \theta \mu o}$, 'the form,' or 'sweep.' Schweigh. quotes Alexis, the comedian (Frag. 59, Koch), who speaks of a drinking cup as $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota о \tau \alpha ́ \tau \varphi \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ö $\psi \iota \nu$, ой $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \nu \beta \lambda i \notin$
 $\dot{\rho} v \theta \mu o i v$. In this sense the word is also used by Democritus, a con-

Athens．b．c． 514 ；Ol．66． 3.












 $\tau \rho \iota \pi o ́ \delta \omega \nu$＇̇ $\pi \iota^{\prime} \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha{ }^{\epsilon} \chi \chi \in \iota$
${ }^{a} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \chi \hat{\omega} \rho \omega \nu$ del. Krüg., secl. St. : $\chi \omega \rho i ́ \omega \nu$ Wess.
b $\tau \rho \iota \sigma i$ Dobree, Van H.
temporary of Herodotus：$\delta<a \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \in$ үáp фа⿱⺌兀 тò ồ $\hat{\rho} v \sigma \mu \hat{\varphi}$ каi $\delta \iota \alpha \theta \iota \gamma \grave{\eta}$ каì тротఫ̂ $\mu$ óvov．тоút $\omega \nu$ óè ó $\mu$ èv $\hat{\beta} \sigma \mu \dot{\nu} s \quad \sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha ́ \quad \grave{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu, \dot{\eta} \delta_{\epsilon} \delta_{\iota \alpha} \theta_{\iota} \gamma \grave{\eta}$
 Met．i．4．985b 4．Herodotus does not refer to the direction of the writing．So below $\mu \in \tau \alpha \rho \rho u \theta \mu i \sigma \alpha v \tau \epsilon S$ $=$＇changing the shape．＇－Herodotus is of opinion that the Ionians used letters before the Dorians（see，how－ ever，supr．1．4）and accounts for the fact by pointing out that the Ionians lay nearest the Phoenician immigrants in Boeotia．He would consider the inhabitants of Attica Ionians，and perhaps those of Euboea．
 them the name，＇lit．＇pronounced that they have the name．＇
${ }^{13}$ ．The word $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ ouvcinıa is used as a substantive for＇letters，＇e．g．，in the Teian inscriptions quoted by Roberts，
 ＇to erase letters．＇$\tau$ às $\beta \dot{\beta} \beta \lambda_{\text {ous，}}$ ＇sheets of byblus，＇i．e．sheets of the papyrus．Hcrodotus believes that the Greeks used skins for writing upon before the introduction of papyrus from Egypt，for which cf． ii．92．I9 f．，100，Xen．Anab．vii． 5. 14，and the article Papyrus in Pauly＇s Realencycl．

59．4．тoîđl＇I Icvıкoîct．For the Ionic letters in general，see Roberts， Epigrathy，p．386．We may perhaps take the letters of the inscription of Italicarnassus， $453 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{C}$. ，given by Roberts，l．c．，p．175，as a specimen of the Ionic letters best known to Herodotus．

Athens. b.c. 514 ; Ol. 66. з.
' $A \mu \phi \iota \tau \rho v ́ \omega \nu \mu$ ' $\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon ́ \theta \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu$ '́ $\omega \nu$ a ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \pi o ̀ ~ T \eta \lambda \epsilon \beta o \alpha ́ \omega \nu$.

 тóv@ $\lambda \epsilon \in \notin \iota$
$\Sigma_{\kappa \kappa \alpha \hat{o}} \pi v \gamma \mu \alpha \chi^{\prime} \omega \nu \mu \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \eta \beta o ́ \lambda \omega{ }^{\prime} A \pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \iota$


 токо́ $\omega \nu \tau о$, $\dot{\eta} \lambda \iota к i ́ \eta \nu$ кат $\alpha$ Oi̊̊ímovv тòv 人aïov. трíтоs 61




 St., alia alii.
${ }^{\text {b }}{ }^{\text {téiv }}=\tau \hat{n} \delta \epsilon \operatorname{Van}$ H. (et mox 61. 4), Bergk, Rh. Mus. 1883, p. 539 .

¿aủtós Schwgh.: aủtóv Libb.: an aủtóo' ? St.
6. €̇ف̀v ảró. The reading, and therefore the interpretation, is doubtful ; ćév can hardly be right. Stein suggests $\dot{\alpha} \nu \nu^{\prime} \theta \eta \kappa \epsilon \quad \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega}$, in which ease ánó is 'out of'; other suggestions are $i \dot{\omega} \omega \nu, \nu \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu, \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \dot{\omega} \nu$. The Teleboae were a tribe living in Acarnania, which invaded Mycenae in the reign of Electryon, Alcmene's father and uncle of Amphitryon. Before Alcmene would marry Amphitryon, who had to fly to Thebes owing to the involuntary murder of Electryon, she demanded that he should take vengeance on the Teleboae.
60. 2. тóvต, 'rhythm.' So in i. 174 .

4. $\tau \in i v$, Doric for $\sigma o \iota$.
5. Hippocoon was the half brother of Tyndareus; his race, therefore,
lelonged to the Peloponnesus, not to Thebes.
7. katà Oi $\delta i$ ítouv. The chronology is, of course, fixed by genealogies. Amphitryon and Laius are contemporaries, and therefore their sons Heracles and Oedipus are contemporaries. The same holds good with regard to Tyndareus and Hippocoon, and of their sons, who are also eontemporary with the Thebans. Thus Heraeles and the Dioscuri are eontemporaries, and so too are Scaeus and Oedipus. Nevertheless the Theban genealogy is a little in advance of the others, for Heracles is to be put before the Trojan war, while Helen, the sister of the Dioscuri, is contemporary with it. See Append. 4.

Athens. b.c. 514; Ol. 66. 3.





 óp $\gamma \iota \alpha$.


The
Afcmago-
NidAE
attempt to
depose
Hippias.


 $\theta \epsilon \rho \omega \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ 'A $\theta \eta \nu \alpha i ̂ o \iota . ~ ' I \pi \pi i \epsilon \omega ~ \tau v \rho \alpha \nu \nu \epsilon$ v́ovтоs каi $\quad \epsilon \mu-5$
 ' $A \lambda \kappa \mu \epsilon \omega \nu i ́ \delta \alpha \iota ~ \gamma \epsilon ́ \nu o s ~ \epsilon ’ o ́ v \tau \epsilon s ~ ' A \theta \eta \nu \alpha i ̂ o \iota ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \phi \epsilon u ́ \gamma o \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ \Pi \epsilon \iota-~$
61. 6. At the close of his life, owing to domestic troubles, Cadmus with his wifc Harmonia left Thebes and wandered into Illyria, where he became the ruler of the tribe of Enchcleis, Strabo, p. 326 év $v o i ̂ s$
 ảrórovot $\widehat{\eta} \rho \chi o \nu$, каi $\tau \alpha{ }_{\alpha} \mu \nu \theta \epsilon v o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a$

 the Cadmeians were driven from Thebes, they also went northwards to Illyria, expelling on their way the Dorians from Hestiaeotis, i. 56; Paus. ix. 5. 13 ; Apollod. iii. 7. 3.

iI. 'AXauíns $\Delta \dot{\eta} \mu \eta \tau \rho o s$. Cf. Aristo-

 epithet was popularly derived from the woe, á $\chi o s$, which Demetersuffered by the loss of her daughter, but the scholiast on the passage gives another
explanation. The worship of the goddess under this title was known in Boeotia, Plutarch, De Isid. 69; the existence of it at Thespiae is proved by an inscription, and the passage quoted from Aristophanes shows that it was domiciled in Athens; sec Toepffer, Attische Genealogie, p. 296. oैp ${ }^{\text {ofa }}$ implics secret worship. Cf. Aristoph. Ranae $3^{8} 4 \Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau \in \rho, \alpha \gamma \nu \hat{\varepsilon} v$
 tarch, l.c., compares the worship of Demeter Achaea and Demeter Thesmophoros, 'A $\theta \dot{\eta} \nu \eta \sigma \iota \nu \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \circ v \sigma \iota \nu$

 रatâs $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma a \rho a ~ \kappa \iota \nu o v ̂ \sigma \iota v, ~ ' \epsilon \pi a \chi \theta \hat{\eta} ~ \tau \eta े \nu$



62. 7. 'А $\lambda \kappa \mu \epsilon \omega v i ́ \delta a l ~ \gamma \in ́ v o s ~ \epsilon ́ o ́ v \tau \epsilon s ~$ 'A $\begin{aligned} & \text { } \\ & \\ & \text { vaîol. In the opinion of Hero- }\end{aligned}$ dotus the Alcmaeonidae were a

Athens. b.c. 514-510; Oi. 66. 3, 67.
 $\phi v \gamma \alpha ́ \sigma \iota \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu 0 \iota \sigma \iota \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau o ̀ ~ i \sigma \chi \nu \rho o ̀ \nu$ ov $\pi \rho о \epsilon \chi \omega \rho \epsilon \epsilon[\kappa \alpha ́-$

 Пaıovinsb $\tau \epsilon \iota \chi^{i} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s$, ${ }^{\epsilon} \nu \theta \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ oi ' $A \lambda \kappa \mu \epsilon \omega \nu i \delta \alpha \iota \quad \pi \hat{\alpha} \nu$ Leipsy-


${ }^{\text {b }}$ חáp $\rho \eta \theta$ os Wess. post Kusterum.
family of native Athenian origin, i. e. neither Ionian (see infr. c. 69 ), nor Pylian, like the Peisistratidae, and Medontidae. Later writers, however, rcpresent them as derived from Pylus. See Appendix 5.

 $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ '̇Tvpávvєve 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i ́ a \nu$, 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i ́ \omega \nu$


 years for the third despotism of Peisistratus (see Arist. Athen. Por. p. 39 with Kenyon's note), the Alcmaeonids had now been in exile about twenty-five years.
11. $\Lambda \in \iota \psi$ úóplov. The prccise position of the stronghold is not known, but it lay on the slopes of Parnes, not far from Decelea. See Smith's Dict. Gcogr. Attica, 26. Aristotle Athen. Pol. c. 19 has $\Lambda$. тò vint̀ $\rho$ חápvŋөos-wwhich Kuster and Wesseling read in the text. The defeat of the Alcmaeonids was commemorated by a popular scolion or drinking song:

Aiaî, $\Lambda \in \iota \psi u ́ \delta \rho \iota o \nu \pi \rho o \delta \omega \sigma$ є́тац $\rho o \nu$, oíous äv $\delta \rho a s$ ả $\pi \dot{\omega} \lambda \in \sigma a s, \mu a ́ \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ ả $\gamma a \theta$ oús $\tau \epsilon$ каì єỉmaтpídas,
 татє́ $\rho a \nu$ є̋бац.

See Aristoph, Lysistr. 665 and Schol., Aristotle, l. c.
13. тар' 'А $\mu \phi \iota \kappa \tau v o ́ v \omega v$. The Amphictyonic council was charged with the care of the temple at Delphi. When the temple was burnt in 548 в.c. the rebuilding of it was made a national matter, subscriptions being collected from all parts of Greece, and even from Amasis of Egypt ; ii. i8o 'A $\mu \phi เ \kappa \tau v o ́ \nu \omega \nu \nu$ §è $\mu / \sigma$ -



 ßа入入є тєтарт $\eta \mu \dot{\rho} \rho เ o \nu ~ \tau o \hat{v} \mu l \sigma \theta \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau о s$ $\pi a \rho a \sigma \chi \epsilon i v . \quad \pi \lambda \alpha \nu \omega ́ \mu \mu \nu 0 \iota$ ठè oi $\Delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o i$
 gave them 1000 talents of alum; the Greeks in Egypt twenty minae. There seems to have been great delay in the rebuilding of the temple. The Alcmaeonids were exiled from Athens in 537 в.c. but if Herodotus is right in putting the contract after the attempt at Leipsydrium, the rebuilding began after $5^{1} 4$ B. C. unless the Alcmaeonidae merely finished what others had begun. Aristotle, l.c., puts the events in the same order. Cf. Philochorus, in Schol. Pind. Pyth. vii. 9, who asserts that the Alcmaeonidae did not complete the

Athens．bic．510；OI．67．3．

Their in－ fluence at Delphi．
$\nu \omega \nu$ đòv $\nu \eta o ̀ \nu$ $\mu \iota \sigma \theta o \hat{v} \nu \tau \alpha \iota ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \nu ~ \Delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o i \sigma t, ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \nu \hat{v} \nu$


 $\lambda \iota o \nu \tau \alpha ́ \quad \tau \epsilon$ ar $\lambda \lambda \alpha$ каi $\sigma v \gamma \kappa \epsilon \iota \mu \notin \nu o v$ b $\sigma \phi \iota \pi \omega \rho i v o v \lambda i ́ \theta o v$



The
Spartans support them ： Anchimo－ linus sent to Athens．








temple till they had been restored to Athens．

 є้ $\tau$ ．

17．$\tau o ́ v \tau \in v \eta o ́ v$ ．Kruger omits $\tau \epsilon$ which eertainly is not wanted，for it leads us to suspect that the Ald－ maeonidae did more than rebuild the temple in this handsome manner． Stein，who retains $\tau \epsilon$ ，would replace
 the use of finer material with the adoption of a more handsome plan than that given out．
$\pi a \rho a ́ \delta \epsilon \iota \gamma \mu a$ refers to some sketch or model given out with the contract．

IS．$\sigma v \gamma к \in \notin \mu^{\prime} v o v$, ＇when it had been agreed upon，＇in the terms of the contract．Kriiger and Cobet read $\sigma v \gamma \kappa \epsilon \in \mu \in \nu o \nu$ as in the common ip $\quad \mu^{\prime}$ ย́vov．

$$
\pi \omega p i v o v \lambda i \theta o v=\text { 'tufa-stone.' }
$$

19．Mapiov，sc．$\lambda i \theta_{0} u$ ．The temple at Olympia was built of tufa，which is a kind of limestone lighter and less close in grain than marble．

द́ $\xi \in \pi \pi o i \eta \sigma a v$ ，＇finished，＇＇com－ pleted．＇The reputation of the Ald－ maeonidae for this act was widely spread；Ping．Myth．vii．¡ má⿱ alt
 ar $\sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, ＂Aто入入ov，ồ тєóv $\gamma \in$ סóuov Пиөิิขı סía Өaŋт

63．I．of＇A $\begin{aligned} & \text { quaîor．So in vi．}\end{aligned}$ I 37 we have an Athenian account of the expulsion of the Pelasgi from Athens；and in viii． 94 of the be－ haviour of the Corinthians at Salamis． The remarkable feature in this pas－ sage is that the＇Athenian account＇ imputes a discreditable action to the great Athenian family．Hence Schweighäuser proposed oi $\Lambda a \kappa \epsilon \delta a \iota-$ но́vıo．


Athens．b．c．510；Ol．67．3．



 $\alpha \alpha_{\epsilon} \beta \eta \sigma \epsilon$ ，oi ठє̀ $\Pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \iota \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau i \delta \alpha \iota ~ \pi \rho о \pi \nu \nu \theta \alpha \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota ~ \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$
 $\sigma \phi \iota \sigma v \mu \mu \alpha$ Хíך $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \alpha u ̛ \tau o u ́ s . ~ \Theta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \lambda о i ̀ ~ \delta \epsilon ́ ~ \sigma \phi \iota ~ \delta є о \mu \epsilon ́-~$


> ^ छ彑єívous Schäf.

8．$\xi$ tuvious．If the reading is sound，the adjective is here used for the substantive；but cf．infr．
 Schäfer was probably right in read－ ing $\xi \in$＇ivous．

9．тà $\gamma$ àp тoû $\theta \in o v ̂, ~ к . \tau . \lambda . ~ C f . ~$ ix．7． $3 \pi \epsilon \rho i \pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau o v \delta^{\prime} \hat{\eta} \gamma o \nu(o i \Lambda$ ．） $\tau \grave{a} \tau o \hat{v} \theta \epsilon o \hat{v} \pi o \rho \sigma v ́ v \epsilon \iota \nu$ ．It was some－ times thought that these religious scruples were more politic than real， but here and in the dclay in sending troops to Marathon（vi．Io6．II） they scem genuine．Plutarch，De mal．Herod． 23 denies that the priestess was bribed to give these

 ßo入 $\left.\eta_{\nu}, \kappa . \tau . \lambda.\right)$ ，but the subsequent action of Cleomenes depends on this bypothesis．In Aristotle＇s Athen． Pol．c．19，the bribery is not specifi－ cally mentioned，though the Alc－ maeonidae are said to have won the oracle by their liberality： $\bar{\eta} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \Pi v \theta^{\prime} \alpha$ тро́́фєрєv（see text l．4）aíci тoîs $\Lambda \alpha$－
 $\theta \in \rho o \hat{v} \nu \tau a ̀ s$＇A $\theta \eta \dot{\eta} v a s, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$ ．He adds that the Spartans were influenced by the friendship existing between the Peisistratids and Argos：cf．infr． 94.

7 ；and i．6I．2I．
II．Фá入ŋpov．Phalerum was at this time the port of Athens．

14．Eefoadol．The alliance was no doubt made in the lifctime of Peisistratus，who called one of his sons Thessalus．In his exile he visited the north of Greece，and acquired property in Thrace：$\kappa a i$



 Arist．Athen．Pol．c． 15.

15．кoเvท̂ $\gamma v \omega \dot{\mu} \eta$ ．．．тòv $\beta$ aテi入éa． The Thessalians，i．e．the leading families，such as the Aleuadae and Scopadae，acted in common on this occasion，and apparently sent a general－in－chief to command the army．The title＇King of the Thessalians＇is given by Thucydides， i．inf，to Orestes，the son of Eche－ cratidas of Pharsalus，and in Hero－ dotus（vii．6．8）the Aleuadae are so called．But in ix．I the title is modified to＇lcaders of Thessaly，＇ and in Thucydides ii． 22 we read that the Thessalian allies of the Athenians came from various cities in Thessaly：Larisa，Pharsalus，

Athens．b．c．5ro；Ol．67．3．




 $\tau \epsilon \pi$ то入入oùs $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ И $\alpha \kappa \epsilon \delta \alpha \iota \mu о \nu i ́ \omega \nu$ каi $\delta \grave{\eta}$ каi тòv ’ $A \gamma \chi^{\iota}$－






Second invasion under Cleomenes．
 $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon \xi \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ß $\beta \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \in \alpha$ K $К \epsilon о \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \alpha$ тòv＇$A \nu \alpha \xi \alpha \nu \delta \rho i ́ \delta \epsilon \omega$ ，оúкє́ $\tau \iota$





${ }^{\text {a }}$ Kvtıvaîo ？St．：「ovvaîov，Wachsmath，Ab．


Cranon，Pyrasus（？），Gyrton，Pherae：



 $\pi$ о́八єts áp $\chi^{o v \tau \epsilon \epsilon . ~ I n ~ T h u c . ~ i v . ~} 78$ we are told that the Thessalians were governed by a $\delta v \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \epsilon i a$ ，i．e． a rule of families，rather than ioo－ voцia．The conclusion seems to be that there was no constitutional king of Thessaly，though a tajós or Baoticús might be elected when required to lead the army．In the various cities the great families ruled in the extreme form of oligarchy
known as $\delta \nu \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon i \alpha . ~ X i \lambda i \eta v \tau \epsilon$ ĩ $\pi \pi 0 v$ ．So Aristotle l．c．19 Kıvéav


16．Koviaîov is wrong；there was no Thessalian town Conium，and Cineas was certainly a Thessalian．

24．тaфaí，＇grave．＇The Cyno－ sarges was a temple of Heracles and a gymnasium outside the eastern wall of Athens，between Mt．Lyca－ bettus and the Ilissus．The gym－ nasium is famous in eonnection with Themistocles，who as a vótos or half－breed，could exercise there only： see Smith＇s Dict．Geogr．i． 313 b； History of Greece，ii． 106.


 ס́́v $\tau \iota \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega s$ à $\nu$ ' $\xi \in \epsilon i \lambda o \nu$ тov̀s $\Pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \iota \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau i ́ \delta \alpha s$ oi $\Lambda \alpha-$









 $\mu \epsilon i \grave{j} \omega$ катdे $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$, ìs देध $\pi \epsilon \hat{i}$ roìs $\tau \omega ิ \nu$


 Пєлабүוкòv тєíXos, к.т.入. We also hear of tò $\Pi \in \lambda a \sigma \gamma i \kappa<v$, Thuc. ii. 17

 оікєiv. Cf. infr. vi. 137. 6 ff., where we have (1) a piece of land under Hymettus eultivated by the Pelasgians; (2) a wall built by them round the acropolis. The precise meaning of the terms is very obscure, but there seems no reason to doubt that the Pelasgic fortress was some part of the acropolis, for in Aristotle, l. c., Hippias is shut up into the Pelasgic fortress but surrenders the acropolis. The 'Pelasgic ground' which is mentioned by Thucydides is certainly to be distinguished from the fortress, which was destroyed in the Persian invasion and never rebuilt, and from the ground under Hymettus, which lay outside the
walls. Curtius in his recent work on the History of the city of Athens, pp. 47, 6I, explains the 'fortress' as a wall running round the base of the acropolis (see Herod. vi. 137. 7) and the ground as the space between the wall and the rock. It is worth observing that neither Herodotus nor Aristotle speak of any walls of the city; the acropolis only is fortified, or at any rate garrisoned.
 They had no intention of blockading the fortress, and after a few days' siege they would have retired, \& ${ }^{\circ}$. Cf. Arist. Athen. Pol. c. I9 пpoo-






 रovoos. For the children, cf. Thuc. vi. 55 , where we are told that Hippias alone had any legitimate children; he had five by his wife Myrrhinċ (Bupoivps $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ ' $\mathrm{I} \pi \pi i o v$, Aristoph. Kınights, 449).

Expulsion of the Peisistratidae from Athens.

Athens. b.c. 510; Ol. 67. 3.




The Peisistratids a Pylian family.








 $\mu$ í $\nu$.
${ }^{n}$ đủvtó: $\tau$ ó maluit St.
13. Eíyelov. Cf. infr. c. 94;


 Hippias was subsequently brought to Sparta, infr.91. S, but he returned to Sigetm (94.4). We hear of him afterwards at Marathon, vi. 102 f .
 Arist. I'ol. v. $12=13^{1} 5$ b. $3^{1}$ gives
 $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o s ~ \tau v \rho a \nu \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \nu^{*} \quad \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \tau^{\prime} \quad \grave{\epsilon} \nu \quad$ '̈ $\tau \epsilon \sigma \iota$


 трıа́коута «аі тє́vтє. Herodotus reckons the tyranny of Hippias at four ycars (c. 55. 77), whereas it really extended over threc years and something more (Thuc. vi. 59). Aristotle again (Athen. Pol. с. 17) puts the tyranny of Peisistratus at ninetecn ycars, and that of his sons at 'nearly seventeen' (c. 19) ; but such slight discrepancies are of little
importance. In Thucydides, l.c., we are told that the battle of Marathon took place in the twentieth year after the expulsion of Hippias. Assuming that IIipparchus was murdered at the Panathenaea of August, 514 B.c., three years and a half bring us to the beginning of $510 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{C}$. for the expulsion of Hippias, which agrees fairly with the statement of Thucydides. éóvtes $\delta$ è kaì oûtor, к.т.入. Cf. Pausanias ii. 18. 9 oi ठ̀̀ N$\eta \lambda \epsilon i ̂ i o a \iota, ~ \pi \lambda \eta ̀ v ~ \Pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \iota \sigma \tau \rho a ́ т o v, ~$ тov̂tov $\gamma$ à $\rho$ oủk oîठa паa’ oú $\sigma \tau \iota v a s$
入оıтоí.
19. 'İттокрárns. Cf. i. 59. 4. 'Owing to this fact, Iippocrates gave his son the same name in remembrance, viz. Peisistratus, so calling him after the son of Nestor.' $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \mu \nu \eta \mu o ́ v \in v \sigma \epsilon \theta^{\prime} \sigma \theta \theta a l$, 'gave in remembrance' is said by Krüger to be 'unusual': lit. the words mean 'called to mind so as to give.'



 $\sigma \phi^{\epsilon} \omega \nu$ ßoŋ $\theta^{\prime} \epsilon \iota \nu, \tau \alpha \hat{\nu} \tau \alpha \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha$ фр $\alpha \sigma \omega$.
' $A \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$, є́ov̂ $\alpha \alpha \iota$ каì $\pi \rho i ̀ \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \iota, \tau o ́ \tau \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \chi \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath}-$


 theses



68. I. kail $\pi$ riv. In the time of Peisistratus and his sons, Athens was connected with Thrace, Thessally and Macedonia (info. 94); the tyrants were on good terms with Sparta and Argos; and with Legdames, the tyrant of Naxos, who formed a link between them and Polycrates of Samos (i. 64. 8). The magnificence of Athens as a city also dates from their time.
3. Є̇סuváo $\epsilon \epsilon$ vol. The same word is used of Miltiades, the son of Cypselus (vi. $35 \cdot 3$ ). Cf. vi. 66. 7 ; ix. 2 ult. Thucydides, speaking of Thebes in $480 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{C}$., says-through the mouth of a Theban- $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$





 по́ $\lambda \iota \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. (iii. 62).
5. Tıoávסpov. This Tisander is of course quite distinct from the

Tisander of vi. 128. 12, who traced his descent from Ajax. The gens of Isagoras worshipped the Carian Zeus, which was an indication of Carian origin. (For the Carians in Greece, see Hist. Greece, i. 32.) Plutarch, De mal. Her. c. 23, is very severe on this suggestion, which he compares with the attempt to trace Harmodins and Aristogeiton to a Phoenician origin: $\epsilon v \rho v \theta \mu o ́ s \gamma \epsilon$ кад
 ais Kâpas, ̈̈ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \epsilon$ є is rópaкая, ảподєа-


 $\lambda a u ́ v \epsilon \iota$.
7. éroaciáav. The removal of the tyrants allowed the old factious spirit to reappear, but in a different form. At the head of the anti-Peisistratid movement stood Cleisthenes and the Alcmaeonidae (cf. Aristotle Athens. Pol. c. 20, who also mentions a certain Kedon as attacking the tyrants before the Alcmaeonids).

Isagoras at Athens.

Sicyon. Circa b.c. 590; Ol. 47. 3.









CleisTHENES of SICION;



The party of Isagoras was the old oligarchical party, once led by Lycurgus (i. 59), but with an inclination towards tyranny, which the old party did not possess (Arist. l. c. describes him as a ' 'friend of the tyrants,' and he was prepared to be tyrant himself, infr. 74). Isagoras trusted to his oligarchical supporters; Cleisthenes, whatever his original aims, whether oligarchical or tyrannical, now made the people his 'club' (Cf. 71. 3, and Athen. Pol. 1. c.

 Isagoras, who was elected archon in 508 в. с., retorted by calling in Cleomencs, but the popular feeling was too strong for him.
9. $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho a \notin \dot{\text { úlous, }}$ к.т.入. For the four tribes, see Appendix 6.
 Athen. Pol. c. 21 raîs סè фu入aîs

 The ten werc Erechthens, Aegeus, Pandion, Leos, Acamas, Oeneus, Cccrops, Hippothoon, Ajax, Antiochus: see Demosth. Epitaph. 27-3I for an
account of these heroes.
67. 2. тòv $\mu \eta \tau \rho о \pi \alpha ́ \tau о \rho a . ~ C f . ~ v i . ~$ I35. 5. тòv Eıkuต̂vos тúpavvov. Cleisthenes was the last of the Orthagoridae, of whom Aristotle says, Pol. v. $12=1315$ b. $12 \pi \lambda$ eĩ $\tau 0 \nu$


 ${ }^{\epsilon} \mu \in \tau \in \nu \quad$ ératóv. The reason of this long continuance was to be found in the mildncss of the tyranuy, the regard shown to the laws, and the military genius of Cleisthenes, who also paid great court to the people. The date of Cleisthenes is somewhat uncertain, but his reign of thirty-one years probably came at the beginning of the sixth century.
 fortunately know nothing of this war; we can only conjecture that Argos in some way endeavoured to assert her ancient supremacy, and that Clcisthencs was strong enough to resist. In legend Sicyon was made a vassal kingdom of the Pelopid kings of Argos, and it was also conquered by the Dorian in-

Sicyon. Circa bic. 590; OI. 47.3.








 ova $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \delta i ́ \delta o v, \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \lambda \theta \grave{\omega} \nu$ óní $\sigma \omega$ '́ $\phi \rho o ́ \nu \tau \iota \zeta \epsilon \mu \eta \chi \alpha \nu \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \tau \hat{\eta}$


vader of the Peloponnesus. See Hist. Greece, i. 102.
5. Tิิv 'О $\mu \eta \rho \in i \omega v$ é $\pi$ 't $\omega v$ єĩveka. Argos would take a more prominent place in the Theban epics (Thebais and Epigoni) than in the Trojan, but it is doubtful whether they are meant here, for Herod. iv. 32. 6 declares against the Homeric origin of the Epigoni. The constant mention of the Greeks as Argives in Homer, and the position of Agamemnon, who was said to have reduced Sicyon to dependence on Argos, are reasons enough why Cleisthenes should dislike 'Homer.'
7. Adrastus began the connection between Argos and Sicyon. When expelled from Argos by Amphiaraus, he went to Sicyon, where he married the daughter of Polybius (his grandfather), and inherited his kingdom. At a later time he returned to Argos ; cf. Plus. ii. 6. 6; Schol. Mind. Nom. ix. 30.
12. $\lambda \epsilon \cup \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \rho a$ is explained by

Hesychius as a 'man who slays by stoning,' and in Suidas as 'one worthy of stoning.' The active sense agrees better with the form of the word.-With this change of one hero for another we may compare the substitution of Brasidas for Magnon at Amphipolis, Thuc. v. II kaì rò 入oımòv oi 'A $\mu \phi \iota \pi o \lambda i ̂ t a l$, $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \in ́ \rho \xi a \nu \tau \epsilon s$ aủтov̂ тò $\mu \nu \eta \mu \epsilon i o v$,


 $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \in \theta \in \sigma a \nu$, , $\alpha a \tau \alpha \beta a \lambda o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ \tau d ̀ ~ ' A \gamma \nu \dot{\omega}-$

 oiki $\sigma \epsilon \omega s$ тєр $\epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta a l, k . \tau . \lambda$. As the Prytaneum was the town hall, the temenos (1. 17) in this case can mean littlemorethan the space immediately round the shrine. Schweigh. would construe 'altar.' Cf. info. 89. I7

 "íputaı.

Sicyon. Circa b.c. 590; Ol. 47. 3.












 इıкvळ́vtol $\epsilon \tau i \mu \omega \nu$ тòv ${ }^{*} A \delta \rho \eta \sigma \tau o \nu ~ к \alpha i ~ \delta \grave{\eta} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́-$





29. $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \dot{\alpha} \theta \in a$ av่тov̂, i.e. in the expulsion from Argos by Amphiaraus, and in the two expeditions against Thebes.
 This is the first recorded instanee of a tragic as opposed to a dithyrambic chorus, but even hcre we find that Dionysus has already been set aside for the national hero.
32. à $\pi \epsilon \delta \omega \omega \kappa$, 'gave them back.' Thc tyrants seem to have been peculiarly inclined to favour the worship of Dionysus. It is in the reign of Peisistratus that we first hear of dramatic representations at Athens, and Arion was a favourite at
the court of Periander. On the severance of the chorus from Dionysus, cf. Zenob. Proverb.v. $40 \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \delta \grave{\eta} \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ Хор $\bar{\nu} \nu$





68. 2. This action of Cleisthenes was due to the fact that he belonged to the non-Dorian population of Sicyon, i. e. to the Aegialeis who originally possessed the southern shore of the Corinthian gulf; ef. vii.
















a ơvov каì $\chi$ o! pov H. Sauppe.



 ヨov́Oov ${ }^{2} \mathrm{I} \omega \nu \in \mathrm{s}$.
5. kaì oैvov. Sauppe is perhaps right in inserting каì $\chi$ оípov after ôvov.
6. aú $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ = $=$ óva. Only the termination was changed; the words remained unmistakeable.

I i. кaì ékeívov $\tau \in Ө v \epsilon \hat{\omega} т о s, ~ к . \tau . \lambda . ~$ Of the history of Sicyon in the two generationsafter Cleisthenes we know nothing, but it is clear that during this period the city cannot have been in any close connection with Sparta.
 implies some kind of reconciliation with the Dorians, perhaps from a desire to join the Spartan confederacy, of which the Sicyonians became members before the time at which Cleomenes invaded Attica, i.e. in 508 , or $507 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{c}$. Cf. infr.

13. $\Delta v \mu a v a ́ r a s, ~ e l s e w h e r e ~ D y-~$
manes. The nature and origin of the Dorian tribes are discussed at length by Lachmann, Die Spartanische Staatsverfassuns, 183 f . He is of opinion that the Hylleis were the real Dorians; the Dymanes were the same as the Macednians (Herod. i. 56. I5 ; viii. 43. 7), while the Pamphyli first came into existence in the Peloponnesus. In support of this last view he points out that there were only four towns in Doris, and in the Tetrapolis (Attica), whereas in Asia there were six. An account of this work will be found in Thirlwall's Hist. Greece, vol. iv. app. I (Cab. Ed.).
14. $\tau \epsilon \tau \dot{a} \rho \tau$ ous $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$, к.т.入. 'And as a fourth they added to them the Aegialeis, to which they gave this name in honour, etc.' The language would lead us to believe that this fourth tribe had not been called Aegialeis previously-though it had certainly been in existence (1.8).

His contemptuous treatment of the Dorian tribes.

Athens. b.c. 509-508; Ol. 67. 4, 68. т.
 $\sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ Aiyı $\alpha \lambda \epsilon \alpha$ s.

 of Cletsthenes at Athens.







${ }^{\text {a }} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ s. Kriig., Gaisf. : $\pi \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \omega \nu, \tau o ́ \tau \epsilon$ Schäf. : an $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \delta \iota \delta o u ́ s ?$ St.
69. 2. 'AӨŋvaîos . . . . vintpi $\delta$ ©̀v
${ }^{*}$ I $\omega$ vas. For the ethnology of Attica,





 'A $\theta \eta \nu \alpha i ̂ o \iota ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \omega \nu о \mu a ́ \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu, ~ " I ~ i \omega \nu o s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$

 ${ }^{*} \mathrm{I} \omega \nu \epsilon \mathrm{s}$. According to this the Pelasgians, Ionians, and Athenians are the same; but in another passage Herodotus distinguishes the Pelasgians from the Athenians ; cf. i. 57. 8 f. with vi. 137. And he also distinguishes the Ionians, who came into Attica from Peloponnesus, from the Athenians who had always dwelt in the same country (cf. i. I43 ff, with vii. I6I. 20). Some distinction of this kind is meant here. (Cf. i. I43. 9 oi $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \nu v \nu$ ă $\lambda \lambda o \iota^{*} \mathrm{I} \omega \nu \epsilon \epsilon_{\mathrm{s}}$ кai of ' $\mathrm{A} \theta \eta$ -
 ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{I} \alpha \nu \epsilon \mathrm{s} \kappa \epsilon \kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. The same contempt is expressed in Thuc. vi.

77, vii. 5 by Dorians.)
7. $\pi \rho \frac{́}{\tau \epsilon \rho \circ v a ̉ \pi \omega \sigma \mu ' ́ v o v ~ \tau o ́ \tau \epsilon ~ \pi a ́ v-~}$ $\tau \omega v$. The words can hardly be construed as they stand. Either тót $\epsilon$ and $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ must change places (Schäfer), or $\tau \dot{\tau} \tau \epsilon$ must be omitted. 'Previously shat out from all privileges,' seems to be the meaning. Krüger reads $\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu \dot{a} \pi \omega \sigma \mu \in \dot{\epsilon} \nu o \nu$ тót $\epsilon \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau a$, ‘which had previously rejected him,' the rest going with $\pi \rho \circ \sigma-$ $\epsilon \theta \dot{\eta} к а \boldsymbol{\tau} о$. Stein suggests that $\dot{\alpha} \pi \omega \sigma$. $=$ 'rejected,' 'disregarded' (pass.) and that $\mu \epsilon \tau a \delta \delta \delta o u$ ús has been lost after $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$. But he gives the rendering which I have adopted, as an alternative. Schwgh., Lex. s.v. $\dot{a} \pi \omega \theta \epsilon^{\prime} \omega$, is in similar hesitation. $\mu$ oîpav, 'party,' $=\sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\sigma} \iota \nu$.
8. $\tau$ às фu入ás, к.т.入. For the constitution of Cleisthenes, see App. 7 .
9. фú $\lambda a \rho x$ o. The phylarchs were the subordinate commanders of horse at Athens: cf. Athen. Pol. c. 61
 $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ ф $\quad \lambda \bar{\eta} s, \tau \grave{\partial} \nu \quad \eta \quad \eta \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \in \nu O \nu$ ( $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$


Athens. b.c. 509-508; Ol. 67.4, 68. 1.


 70











a $\delta \in ́ \kappa \alpha$ ס́́ del. Kriig., Madv. : каi $\delta \bar{\eta}$ Bake, Cob. ${ }^{\text {b }} \kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \nu \epsilon є \mu \epsilon$ : St. $\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \nu \in \mu \epsilon$.
$i \pi \lambda \iota \tau \omega \hat{\nu}$. Herodotus here uses the word for the officers whose proper name was $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu \in \lambda \eta \tau a i ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \phi \nu \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$. It must be remembered that Herodotus was not an Athenian, and that a word which was used in a special sense at Athens might be more widely used elsewhere.
10. Séka סè kaí. Krüger and Madvig would expunge the words ס́́кка סє́. Cobet (and Bake before him) suggested каì ঠ̀̇ for $\delta \in ́ \kappa \alpha, \delta \in ́$. If the text is kept, we must suppose that there were 100 demes. As to the grammar, either $\delta_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha$ is $=\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ̀$ ס'́ra, 'by tens,' or és ràs qu入ás is $=\dot{\epsilon} s \dot{\varepsilon}^{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \eta \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \phi u \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$. For this last see Thuc. i. 18 каı̀ $\tau \omega \hat{\nu}$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$

 é $\chi$ '́pouv. In the Athen. Pol. the number of demes is not mentioned

 the 3 rd cent.B.C.there were 174 demes. See Smith's Dict. Geogr. Attica.
70. 5. фoเтâv, к.т. त. Plut. De mal. Her. ${ }^{2} 3$ 'I $\sigma a \gamma o ́ p a \nu ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ т $\hat{\mathrm{\eta}} \mathrm{~s}$ $\gamma \alpha \mu \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta}_{s} \dot{v} \phi \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ K $\lambda \in \epsilon \mu \epsilon \in \nu \epsilon \iota$ фоاт $\omega \nu \tau \iota$ $\pi a p '$ aù $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$. This however is more than Herodotus says.
8. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi\left\llcorner\lambda^{\prime} \hat{\gamma} \omega v\right.$, (I) adding in his description, or (2) 'choosing,' for the more usual middle, as in iii.
 $\dot{i} \mu \lambda i \eta \nu$. The first is probably right. Krüger quotes i. 214. 18 入v $\mu a \iota v o-$


Io, II. rov̂ фóvou roúrov, i.e. the bloodshed which brought about the curse. aủrós, sc. Isagoras.
71. I. ท̄v Kú $\lambda \omega v$, к.т. $\lambda$. See Appendix 8. The Olympian victory of Cylon, in the stadium, is placed

Athens. b.c. 509-508; O1. 67. 4, 68. т.

Story of Cylon and the ' Accursed.'






by Africanus in 640 B. c. $=$ Ol. 35 . The date of his attempt on the city is uncertain; it probably came beforc the laws of Draco. [So at least we should conclude from the opening words of the Constitution of Athens, which refor to the áyos, if they did not confound earlier and later
 $\nu \in \kappa \rho \circ i \mu \dot{\jmath} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau a ́ \phi \alpha \nu \dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \beta \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$,


 Plutareh it was c.t the suggestion of Solon that the $\in v a \gamma \in i=1$ submitted to judgment; and Epimenides is said to have visited Athens in 596 B. C. Hence the words quoted refer to events long subsequent to Draeo, though followed in the treatise by an aecount of Draco's legislation. But it is clear that some years intervened between the äyos and the punishment of the guilty, for in the interim some had died.] As we know from Thuc. that the attempt took place in an Olympie year, wo may perhaps take 632 в. C. as the date.
2. ' $ฺ \pi i$ тupavvíठı '́кó $\mu \eta \sigma \epsilon$, 'set his cap at a tyranny' may give the sense. For the word cf. Aristoph.
 єivat $\pi \rho о \sigma \pi о \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath}$;
3. 氏์тaıpŋíqv. See c. 66. 7 note.
5. Tpòs тò ấ $\gamma a \lambda \mu a$. The statue of Athena Polias in the Erechtheum ; cf. infr. 72. 16. тои́тоus, к.т. $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$. cf. Thuc. i. 12I, Plutarch, Sol. r2, quoted in Appendix 8.
6. oi $\pi \rho u \tau a ́ v l e s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} v$ vaukpáp $\omega v$. This is contradicted by Thucydides, who represents the nine archons as the persons engaged, and adds: $\tau \circ \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau$
 $\alpha^{\alpha} \rho \chi o \nu \tau \epsilon s{ }^{\ell} \pi \rho \rho a \sigma \sigma o \nu(i .126)$. But as we are also told that the people flocked mav $\eta \mu \epsilon i$ from the country to the siege, the prytanes of the naucraries (which were local divisions) may have been in charge as well as the arehons. [As there was only one vaúкрароs to a vauкрарía it is probable that vavкра́p $\omega \nu$ in the text is a mistake for vavкрар: $\omega \bar{\nu}$, unless wc suppose that the prytanes here mentioned were a seleet few of the forty-eight nanerari. There were twelve naucraries in each tribe (of the four tribes), and the office was mainly conccrned with finance: $\tau \in-$ таүرє́vך $\pi \rho o ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \epsilon i \sigma \phi о р a ̀ s ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ s ~$

 тоі̀s vaukpápovs єiбтра́ттєєข каi ảva-
 Arist. Athen. Pol. c. 8. Others connect the office with the fleet: vav́крарои oi tàs vâ̂s тарабкєvá§оvтєs каi трı7рарХоиิvтєs kai $\tau \hat{\omega}$

Athens. b.c. 508 ; Ol. 68. 1.




 72 Cleomencs at Athens ; he is compelled to retire.











 Anecd. 283. 20.]
 thenes thought that his own retirement would satisfy the demands of Cleomenes, trusting to fortune and the antityrannical feeling for his return

5. à $\eta_{\eta} \lambda a \tau$ ' $\epsilon \mathrm{ct}$, ‘drives out as though polluted.' Cf. Soph. O. T. 100
 $\lambda \dot{v} o v z a s$. The word is preserved in the account given in the Athen. Pol.; see Appendix 7 - ímiotia, 'homes,' families (oikias). It is not likely that $\gamma$ oo families were concerned in the murder of the Cylonians, so that the object of the expulsion was plain.
7. Tìv $\beta$ oudív. Cf. Arist. Athen.


rocious $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ фí $\lambda \omega \nu \mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ aủ $\boldsymbol{v o v}$ кupious
 ảvtıotáaŋs, к.т. $\lambda$. It was the old council of 400 , for the new council of 500 had not yet been elected, that is, if Aristotle's account is chronologically exact. Notice that Cleomenes here attempts to establish an oligarchy with Isagoras at the head; later, he wishes to make Isagoras tyrant ; c. 74. 6. The number 300 has probably no special significance, yet we observe that 300 was the number of the council convened to judge the 'Accursed' (Plut. Sol. 12).
 vtot, i.e. the Lacedaemonians made a truce to save themselves, regardless of their allies. For a similar case, cf. Thuc. iii. Iog. Isagoras, however, contrived to escape.

Athens. b.c. 508; Ol. 68. т.






 $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \Lambda \alpha \kappa \epsilon \delta \alpha \iota \mu о \nu i ́ \omega \nu$ ' тoùs $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ ä $\lambda \lambda o v s$ ' $A \theta \eta \nu \alpha i ̂ o l$




Return of Cleisthenes : embassy to Sardis.





${ }^{\text {a }} \Delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o ́ v$ Palmer : ả $\delta \in \lambda \phi \epsilon \subset ́ \nu$, Libb.

16. тò ăठutov тท̂s $\theta \in 0$ v̂. Recent cxcavations show that there was a 'IIecatompedon' on the Acropolis before the Persian invasion. This seems to be mcant here and viii. 53 . In supr. 71.5 ; infr. 57.20 ; 82. 14; Herod. refers to the Erechtheum (cf. viii. 55.3 f.). ©́s тробєр́ $\omega v$. So Cleomenes sacrificed at the shrine of Hera in Argolis, vi. S2.9, meeting with opposition there, as here.
г7. '̇̊ $\mathfrak{k}$ тov̂ $\theta$ póvov. Her seat would be just within the door.
17. $\pi \dot{d} \lambda \iota v \chi \dot{\omega} \rho \epsilon \epsilon$. This was the $\phi \dot{\eta} \mu \eta$ (omen) which was fulfilled by the repulse of Cleomencs ; cf. supr. i. 14.

2I. 'Axatós. He claimed to be a descendant of Heracles, who countcd as an Achaean of Argos.
24. Tท̀v émi Өaváqu, i.e. 'im-
prisoned them for execution,'sub.

 vi. 8. 6, tells us that there was a statue of Timesitheus the Delphian at Olympia, the work of Ageladas (of Argos, teacher of Pheidias). He was victor in the pancratium twice at Olympia, and three times at the Pythia.
73. 4. This embassy to Persia is the first attempt to bring the power of the Great King into Greece, a policy of which the final result was the Peace of Antalcidas, in 387 в.c. The Athenians may have counted on the fact that Sparta had sent a message to Cyrus asserting the independence of the Greeks in Asia, which would tend to crcate an illfeeling between Sparta and Persia.

Athens. b.c. 508 ; Ol. 68. 1.








 $150 i \quad \delta \grave{\epsilon} \quad \dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda 0 \iota \quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \sigma \phi \epsilon ́ \omega \nu \quad \alpha u ̛ \tau \omega \nu \quad \beta \alpha \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota \quad \delta \iota \delta o ́ v \alpha \iota$









${ }^{\text {a }} \sigma \phi \iota$ Libb. : $\pi \rho o ́ s ~ d e l . ~ S c h w e i g h . ~ b ~ к о и ̂ ~ S t . ~: ~ \pi o ̂ ̂ ~ L i b b . ~$<br>c $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i \not \omega \nu$ St. : $\tau o ̀ \nu ~ ' A \theta \eta \nu a i ̂ o \nu ~ A ~ B ~: ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ ' A \theta \eta \nu a i ́ \omega \nu$ cett.

6. [ $\pi \rho o ́ s]$. Pape, Lex., takes ék $\boldsymbol{\kappa} \pi \epsilon-$ $\pi 0 \lambda \in \mu \omega \bar{\omega} \theta$ al as impersonal, in which case $\pi \rho o ́ s ~ m a y ~ b e ~ r e t a i n e d . ~ S c h w g h . ~$ would remove it, taking $\boldsymbol{\Lambda} . \tau \in$ кai $\mathbf{K} \lambda$. as the subject of the infinitive.
ir. ảпєкори́фov, 'answered in brief,' ' put the matter in a nutshcll.'
 'at their own risk': cf. infr. io6.
 phrase is common in Herodotus. It must be carefully distinguished from Bád $\lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$ és $\theta \nu \mu o ́ v$, èv $\nu \rho \epsilon \sigma i \nu=$ 'to ponder over.'
 'thinking,' a meaning common in

Herodotus. Cleomenes had been allowed to retreat uninjured, but the Athenians had utterly disregarded his wishes by recalling Clcisthenes, and proceeding with the reforms.-This is the first occasion on which we find the Spartan king at the head of all Peloponnesus; and we may observc that he acts as a military despot, not even acquainting the army with his wishes. The Spartans were pledged to follow the king, vi. 56. 4 ; Cleomenes assumes and expects that the allies will do so also.
5. द́s $\tau o ́=\epsilon$ द́s ö $\tau \tau$.

## 74

Cleomenes organises a new attack on Athens.

Athens. b. C. 507; Ol. 68. 2.








The Corinthians abandon it: dissension between the Spartan Kings. $\sigma v \nu \alpha ́ \psi \epsilon \iota v \tau \grave{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau o ́ \pi \epsilon \delta \alpha$ Є́s $\mu \dot{\alpha} \chi \eta \nu$, Kopív $\theta \iota o l ~ \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \pi \rho \bar{\omega}-$
 $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau o ́ \quad \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma o \nu \tau 0, \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ ס̀ $\quad \Delta \eta \mu \alpha ́ \rho \eta-$



 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ סíkaıa St. : тà סíkaıa Libb.
9. '́s 'Eגєvoiva. The allies must have been aware that the expedition was directed against Athens, but the object of it-the setting up of a tyrant-was concealed from them. oi Boıwtoì ámò ouv0ípatos. This is the first instancc of common action on the part of the Spartans and the Boeotians. The cause of the agreement is given in vi. ro8, viz. the alliance of Athens and Plataca, which was due to the advice of Cleomenes and the Lacedaemonians: oủ karà $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ є $\dot{v} \nu o i ́ \eta \nu$

 $\sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \hat{r a s}$ Botwtciot.
io. Oenoe commanded the road from Plataea and Thebes to Athens on the Athenian side of the ridge of Cithaeron. It was attacked, unsuccessfully, by Archidamus in his first invasion of Attica (Thuc. ii. 18).

Hysiae was also on the high road from Athens to Thebes, but on the northern slope of Cithaeron. Though mentioned here as in the limits of Attica it probably belonged to Plataea; it never became, like Oenoe, an Attic deme. Cf. ix. 15. 14; vi. roS. 32.
 extremity of the northern boundary of Attica, near Oropus.
 tracted between the attacks on thcir northern boundary and at Eleusis.
75. 2. $\sigma v v a ́ \psi \epsilon \epsilon v$ тà $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ́ \pi \epsilon \delta \delta a$ és $\mu$ áx $\eta$. The more usual constructions are $\sigma v \nu . \mu a ́ \chi \eta \nu$, or $\sigma v \nu$. without any aceusative whatever.
 the next century, we are told (Xen. IKell. v. 3. Io) that the Phliasians considered themselves safe from the attack of Agcsilaus when Agesipolis

Athens. bic. 507 ; OI. 68. 2.





 $\mu \alpha ́ \chi \omega \nu$ тoús $\tau \epsilon \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \in a s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Laкє $\delta \alpha \iota \mu о \nu i ́ \omega \nu$ оủk on $\mu 0-$





Dorian invasions of Attica.




was absent from Sparta: oủ $\delta^{\prime}$ à $\nu$

 info. vi. 50. 9, Cleomenes is compelled to retire from Aegina because the second king is not with him (cf. vi. $65.4 ; 73.5$ ), and in 418 B.c. Pleistoanax and Agis are both absent from Sparta (Thuc. v. 75) though Pleistoanax returns immediately on hearing the news of the victory at Mantinea.
9. ${ }^{\prime} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \sigma a \mathrm{a}$, i.e. 'go out with'; the kings always went at the head of the army: cf. vi. 56 .
II. $\pi$ ipa $\lambda v_{0} \mu{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} v o v, ~ i . e . ~ ' r e l e a s e d ~$ from command.'
12. $\tau \hat{\omega} v$ Tvvסapı $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon \omega v$, ie. the Diascurl. Hitherto it may have been the custom to take the images of these heroes out with the army: cf. the Aeacidae, infr. c. So, and at the battle of Salamis (viii. 64, $8_{3}, 8_{4}$ ).

See also Rob. Smith, Religion of the Semites, i. 38 , who quotes I Sam. iv. 7 ff.; 2 Same. 2 I. Either the custom was now discontinued, the heroes being merely invoked, or new images of the heroes were made, for the ordinary images did not admit of separation; Plutarch, De frat. am. I $\tau \grave{a} \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha ı a ̀ ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \Delta ı o \sigma \kappa o u ́ \rho \omega \nu ~ a ̉ \phi t \delta \rho u ́-~$ $\mu a \tau a$ oi $\sum \pi а \rho т ı a ̂ \tau a \iota ~ \delta o ́ к a v a ~ к а \lambda о \hat{v} \sigma \iota$.




 тov́т $\omega \nu$ : Aelian, V. HY. i. 30 (Kriiger). Cf. Pausanias' story of the Dioscuri in the Messenian war; iv. 16. 5, 9; 27.3 .
 expedition might properly be called (the expedition which took place) when Codrus was king of the Ache-




$77 \Delta \iota \alpha \lambda u \theta \in ́ \nu \tau o s ~ \hat{\omega} \nu$ тôv $\sigma \tau o ́ \lambda o v ~ \tau o u ́ \tau o v ~ \dot{\alpha} \kappa \lambda \epsilon \hat{\omega} s, ~ \epsilon ่ \nu \theta \alpha \hat{v}-$ and in Boeotia.







 $\sigma v \mu \beta \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda о v \sigma \iota$ каì то̂̂бь X $\alpha \lambda \kappa \iota \delta \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \iota, \nu \iota \kappa \eta ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon S$ §̀̀ каì го


${ }^{n}$ roùs $\beta$ on $\theta$ oús r (sv), Gaisf., Kruig., Van. H.

nians.' Cobet proposed to insert
 which would give a different sense. Kriiger translates: ' might properly be put in the reign of,' but the precise meaning seems to be, 'might properly be called the Codrusexpedition' (Stein). Cf. Van Herwerden, Mnemosyne xiii. 22. In the oldest period Megara was Ionian, and a part of Attica; in the days of Codrus the Dorians invaded it, and though Codrus by his heroic death saved Attica, Megara was lost: see Smith, Dict. Gcogr. Megara. The passage in the text appears to have becn written before $44^{6}$ B. c., when Pleistoanax invaded Attica.
 certain number of Athenians scem to have been with Cleomenes at

Eleusis: Schol. ad Aristoph. Lysistr.




 ย̈ $\sigma \tau \eta \sigma a v$ èv $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \epsilon$ ाapà tòv à $\rho \chi a \iota o ̀ v$ $\nu^{\prime} \epsilon \omega$.
77. I. к入ๆpoúxous, i.e. Athenians who received grants of land out of the conquered territory. There is reason to suppose that Salamis had already been so occupied by the Athenians. The $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho \rho o u \chi i ́ a$ was
 approached much nearer to the Roman system of colonisation. It was greatly developed at a later time by Pericles, see Hist. Grecce, ii. 386 f., and naturally found favour with the lower classes at Atheus.







 ${ }_{20} \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha ́ \rho o v ~ \tau o ̂ ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ € \in \sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \eta \nu ~ \tau \epsilon \tau \rho \alpha \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v . ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ \lambda u ́-~$ $\tau \rho \omega \nu \quad \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha ́ \tau \eta \nu \quad \alpha \nu \in ́ \theta \eta \kappa \alpha \nu \quad \pi о \iota \eta \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota \quad \tau \epsilon \in \theta \rho \iota \pi \pi о \nu$

 oi $\tau \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon$ ．
a＇es $\pi \epsilon ́ \delta a s ~ s e c l . ~ S t . ~: ~ ̇ ̇ v ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \delta a ı s ~ A ~ 2 ~(s v), ~ S c h a ̈ f . ~$

Arist．Nub． 204 MA．$\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \nu a \mu \epsilon \tau \rho \epsilon \hat{i}-$ $\sigma \theta a \iota$ ．aTP．$\pi<́ \tau \epsilon \rho a \tau \eta ̀ \nu \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o v \chi \iota \kappa \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu$ ； 12．oi immoßótal．See Hist． Greece，ii．20，Strabo，p．447，speak－ ing of the colonies of Chalcis says：



 тı $\mu \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ar $\nu \delta \rho \epsilon s$ à $\rho \iota \sigma \tau о \kappa р а т \iota \kappa \omega ̂ s$ ar $\rho-$ moves．A horse was an expensive luxury in Greece，which only rich men could afford ；cf．$\tau \in \theta \rho \iota \pi \pi о \tau \rho o ́ \phi o s$ oikía（vi．35）etc．Aristotle Pol．iv． $3=1298 b 39$ quotes the Chalcidians and Eretrians as instances of oligar－ chis which maintained their power by their cavalry．

IF． $\begin{aligned} & i \mu v \in \omega s, ~ c f . ~ v i . ~ 79 . ~ 5 . ~ P r o f . ~\end{aligned}$ Goodwin，American Phil．Trans． 1883，puts the value of the Euboic talent of silver at $£ 200$ ，and of the Aeginetan at $£ 276$ ，assuming that silver is worth $52 \frac{7}{10} d$ ．an ounce（a talent weighed $57{ }^{3}$ pounds avoirdu－
pois）．At this rate the Euboic mina is $£ 3$ Iss． $4 d$ ．and the Aeginetan $£ 412 \mathrm{~s}$ ．od．，so that the ransom would be $£ 76 s$ ． $8 d$ ．on one calculation，and $£ 94 s$ ．od ．on the other．If we con－ sider a drachma a day as good pay in Greece as $3^{s}$ ． $4^{d}$ ．now we must multiply these sums by five to get a tolerable modern equivalent．

18．$\tau \in \leq X^{\epsilon} \omega \boldsymbol{\omega}$ ．The north wall of the acropolis is meant，at the point opposite the westernmost chamber of the Erechtheum．

19．MíSov．Cf．info．c．Iou．
21．т＇́日pıтттov Xá入kєov．Cf．Paws． i．28．2，in whose time the chariot was still to be seen in situ．

23．＇es $\tau$ à $\pi \rho \circ \pi$ ú入 ala．These may or may not be the Propylaea of Mnesicles，which were finished in 432 B．C．；－if they were，the passage would be much later than c．76． 7 ， see note ；but in any case，the offer－ ing can hardly have been set up before the Persian invasion，or it

## Athens. b.c. 507 f.; Ol. 68. 2 f.

${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \theta \nu \epsilon \alpha$ Bоь $\omega \tau \omega \nu$ каi $X \alpha \lambda \kappa \iota \delta \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu \delta \alpha \mu \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s$



 cracy.









The
Thebans attack the Athenians.


would have perished in the general conflagration. Fragments of an inscription have been discovered, which seem to form a part of this epigram ; sce C. I. A. i. 334. From the form of the letters Kirehhoff concludes that the inseription belongs to the time of Pericles;-after the conquest of Euboea in $44^{6}$ b. с. If this is right, Iferodotus has either confounded the earlier and later conquest of Euboea, or both were commemorated in one inseription. [We are not told that Pericles took any eaptives in $44^{6}$; and our epigram is said to be the work of Simonides who died in $4^{6} 9$ B.c. Others, however, ascribe it to Agron. Cf. Aristid. ii. $3^{12}$, Dind. with the sehol.].
23. T⿳⺈r. We should expeet àm $\grave{\partial}$ т $ิ$ v. Cf. supr. 59. 6.
78. 2. ionyopin, ‘equal freedom,’ equal right to speak, 'especially of
speaking and voting in matters of state': Pape, who quotes Xen. Ath.


 rò̀s à $\sigma \tau o u ́ s$. 'Universe ion $\quad$ popia significare videtur jus libere loquendi apud aliquem.' Bake, in Dind.'s Xen. p. xlix. (Oxford ed.).
6. éte $\lambda$ дokák $\epsilon$ ov, 'would not do their best' (cf. viii. 22. 14), a common word in Herodotus. Cf. Hom. Od.


 is represented as maintaining the opposite view, vii. 103.20 úǹ̀ $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$





79. 2. द́s $\theta$ tóv, i.e. Apollo at

Athens. bc. 507 f.; OI. 68.2 f.
 ${ }_{5} \lambda \epsilon v \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ar $\gamma \chi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ $\delta \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \lambda \theta o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ̂̂̀ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon 0-$

 $\epsilon \hat{i} \pi \alpha \nu$ oi $\Theta \eta \beta \alpha i ̂ o l ~ \alpha ́ к о v ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ \tau о u ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ " ~ о u ̉ к \omega ิ \nu ~ a ̈ \gamma \chi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ~$









Delphi. The article is omitted as with mó $\lambda \iota s, \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon u_{s}$ ( $=$ King of Persia).
4. $\pi$ оди́ф $\eta \mu \mathrm{ov}$. The word is no doubt taken from the actual responge: in Home. Od. 2. I 50 we have $\dot{\alpha} \gamma о р \eta ̀ \nu \quad \pi о \lambda \dot{\jmath} \emptyset \eta \mu о \nu=$ the meeting place for discussion. Cf. iv. 163 . $12 \tau \eta े \nu$ ar $\mu \not \subset \rho \rho \nu \tau o \nu$ (also in an oracle).
6. ${ }^{\prime} \xi \xi \in \phi \in \rho o v$, i. e. the authorities at Thebes. Tanagra lay east, Thespiae west of Thebes-between her and the sea: Coronea was to the north-beyond Haliartus, which is here passed over. It is interesting to find that Thespiae is spoken of as a warm friend of Thebes. Their amicable relations came to an end with the Persian invasion.
8. ar $\gamma x$ เбта . . . oiḱ́ovot. The Thebans confuse local proximity with nearness of kin.
 more probably this is not the meaning of the oracle.' This is the first
example of a construction very common in Plato, used also by Aristotle, and found once in Demosthenes, in which $\mu \dot{\eta}$ with the subjunctive expresses a suspicion that something may be or may prove to be true, and $\mu \grave{\eta}$ ova with the subjuncfive a suspicion that something may not be true.' W. W. Goodwin, Syntax of Greek Moods, p. 92.
80. 1. '̇ $\pi \iota \lambda \in \gamma \circ \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega v$, sc. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\Theta_{\eta} \beta a^{\prime} \omega \nu$.


3. 'A $\sigma \omega \pi$ ow, к.т. $\lambda$. Cf. Pindar, Isth. vii. 16f. There was a Boeotian Asopus and a Peloponnesian Asopus (near Sicyon), and perhaps one in Aegina, Mind. New. 3.4. In legend Asopus of Phlius was the father of numerous daughters. Dod. iv. $7_{2}$ enumerates twelve, among them Aegina, Thebe, Tanagraf; cf. Pats. ii. 5. 2, 12. 5.

 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ o u ̉ ~ \gamma \alpha ́ \rho ~ \tau i S ~ \gamma \nu \omega ́ \mu \eta ~ \tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta S ~ \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon i ́ \nu \omega \nu ~ \epsilon ́ \delta o ́ к є \epsilon ~ ф \alpha i ́ \nu \epsilon-~$





The Acginetans aid the Thebans.
 $\phi \theta \hat{\epsilon} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ن́ $\pi$ ò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ' $A \theta \eta \nu \alpha i ́ \omega \nu, \alpha \hat{v} \tau \iota s$ oi $\Theta \eta \beta \alpha \hat{i o l} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \mu \psi \alpha \nu-$


 $\nu \alpha i ́ o v s, ~ \tau о ́ т \epsilon ~ \Theta \eta \beta \alpha i ́ \omega \nu ~ \delta є \eta \theta ' є \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \pi о ́ \lambda є \mu о \nu ~ \dot{\alpha} к \eta ́ \rho \nu к т о \nu$



 є́ $\sigma$ ívovтo. ${ }^{\text {b }}$

 est Stein, quum crederet $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \sigma \iota \kappa \nu$ '́ovto sanum esse et significare pungebant,' Cob.
9. тovis Aiaxíbas, i.e. the figures of the Aeaeidae ; see supr. e. 75 . ir. Under the name are meant Aeaeus and his deseendants Telamon and Teucer.
81. 4. Tîv $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ àv $\delta \rho \hat{\omega} v$. Stein remarks oi $\delta \epsilon$ ' would be more in harmony with the usage of IIerodotus, but acquiesees in the use of the article, quoting infr. I3. I5
子uvaikas. Kriuger denies that $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ is the article, and explains: 'asked them for men'-a double genitive. For the prosperity of Aegina, see infr. S3. In ix. So. 12 Herodotus seems to dcrive the great wealth
of the Aeginetans from the harvest whieh they reaped by eheating the Ilelots at Plataea; but he may be speaking there of the wealth of the great families of the eity, who appear in Pindar. The commereial greatness of the island reaehes baek to a far earlier time, as is elear from the antiquity of the Aeginetan coins, measures, etc. The $\theta a \lambda a \sigma \sigma о к р а т і ́ a$ of the Aeginetans is plaeed in the teal years ending 482 13.c. by Eusebius.
6. Є̇xoúoŋns '̇s, ef. vi. 2. 19.
 the usage of more eivilised Greeee ; see IIermann, Staatsalt. 10. 3.
12. Stein reads $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma \iota \kappa \nu \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ in the

Athens. bic. 507 f.; OL. 68. 2 f.

 $\gamma \hat{\eta} \kappa \alpha \rho \pi o ̀ \nu$ out $\delta \in ́ \nu \alpha \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \delta i ́ \delta o v$. $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \alpha u ́ t \eta s$ in $\nu \tau \hat{\eta} S \sigma v \mu-$
 5 in $\sigma \phi \in ́ \alpha s$ '̇кє́ $\lambda \epsilon v \epsilon \Delta \alpha \mu i \not \eta s$ тє каi $A \dot{v} \xi \eta \sigma i \not \eta s \quad \alpha \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$






sense of 'pungebant,' 'afflicted,' quoting Asch. Suppl. 556 єiouvขov-

 adopted by Kruger and Cobet.We may observe that Herodotus persistently depreciates the Aeginetans. It is Lampon the Aeginetan who proposes to Pausanias to crucify the body of Mardonius, a still greater outrage on the laws of Greek warfare than that recorded in this chapter (ix. 78). The Aeginetans cheat the Helots by buying gold from them as brass (ix. 80). They refuse to pay the fine imposed upon them by Argos for supplying ships to Cleomenes- $\bar{\eta} \sigma a ́ \nu ~ \tau \epsilon a v ̉ \theta \alpha-$ $\delta \in ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ$ : vi. 92 . I3 (cf. inf. 83. 5 ar $\gamma \nu \omega \mu \sigma \sigma v ́ v \eta$ Х $\chi \eta \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \in \nu o t$ ). Their expulsion from the island by the Athenians is but the penalty of their cruelty and sacrilege when suppressing the popular outbreak, vi. 9 I. 5 .
82. 2. Cf. i. 167 , and iv. 151 , in which cases also Delphi was consulted.
5. Damia is obviously the goddis of cultivation; cf. $\delta \bar{\eta} \mu o s$, 'cultivated land'; Auxesia is the goddess of increase. Pans. ii. 30. 4 gives a summary of the account of Herodotus, adding: $\epsilon i \delta o ̛ o v \tau \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$
 тà aủrà käà $\delta \grave{\eta}$ kail 'E入єvaivl $\theta v \in \epsilon \nu$ voдi§ovaıv. At Troezen, where the goddesses were also worshipped, a different account was given of them: Plus. ii. 32. 2 'es $\delta$ हे $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$
 § $\eta \nu^{\prime} i o t s ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau เ \nu ~ a u ̉ \tau \omega ิ \nu — o v ̉ ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ a u ̉ t o ̀ v ~$

 K $\rho \dot{\eta} \tau \eta$ s. They were stoned to death and for this reason the festival in their honour was called $\lambda_{\imath} \theta \circ \beta \dot{\prime} \lambda_{\imath} a$. At Athens the names of the Charites were Auxô and Hegemone; the names of the Horae, Karpô and Thallô, Plus. ix. 35. 2.
 є̀кє่́入єvє.
10. ipwráras. The so-called uopial at Athens were considered sacred, and were strictly protected

Ancient quarrel between Athens and Aegina.
Damian and Auxesis.

Athens．b．c． 507 f．；Ol．68． 2 f．









Epidaurus and Aegina



a $\tau \dot{v} \nu \quad$ om．St．qui et $\dot{\omega}$ s ante $\kappa$ caí interponere volebat．
by law ；see especially Lysias，$\pi \in \rho \lambda$ $\sigma \eta \kappa о \hat{v}$ ．In the legend the olive was the gift of Athena to Attica；hence the view that only in Attica were olives to be found．The Bretas of Athena in the Erechtheum was of olive wood，and indeed the most ancient statues of deities were generally of wood of some kind． See Paus，viii． 1 \％． 2 тoís $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ à $\nu \theta \rho^{\prime}$－ тоıs тò àpХaîov，ímó⿱㇒日 каi $\grave{\eta} \mu \in i ̂ ̀$

 $\kappa u \pi \alpha ́ \rho \iota \sigma \sigma o s, ~ a i ̀ ~ r \epsilon ́ \delta ̄ \rho o l, ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \delta \rho v i ́ v a, ~ \grave{\eta}$ $\mu i \lambda a \xi$ ，ó $\lambda \omega \tau o ́ s$ ．The statue of Cyllenian Hermes was of Qúos．
 arrangements with Erythrae and Brea，the Athenians require that contributions shall be made to the Panathenaea at Athens；see C．I．A． i． 9,3 I．

14．Athena and Erechtheus were worshipped from very early times in one temple on the aeropolis；cf． 17 ． ii． 54 ＇，where Athena enters＇the
firm－built house of Erechtheus．＇Ac－ cording to one lcgend Erechtheus was the son of Athena and Hephaestus； according to another he was the god Poseidon．See viii．44， 55 ．

83．2．Síkas סıaßaívovtes，к．т．入． Here again we have a precedent for the subsequent conduet of Athens towards her allies．But in this case the custom was due to the fact that the Aeginetans－after the Dorian conquest of the island－were Dorians from Epidaurus：viii． $4^{6}$ ．
 $\mu 0 i ̂ \rho a$＇A $\rho \gamma \epsilon i ́ \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$＇Eтídavpov $\delta \mu o \hat{v}$





4．véas $\tau \in \pi \eta$ gápevor．The time cannot be fixed with any certainty， but $\nu$ éas $=\nu$ ．дакрás（supr．Si．9，
 be pressed，the date is subsequent to the introduction of the trireme $=$ cir．$\% 00 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{C}$ ．

Athens. b. C. 507 f ; Ol. 68. 2 f .
${ }_{j} \dot{\alpha} \gamma \nu \omega \mu \sigma \sigma v ́ \nu \eta \quad \chi \rho \eta \sigma \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \iota \quad \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \pi o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad$ ' $E \pi \iota \delta \alpha v-$











 $\tau \omega \nu \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \lambda \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ oi ' $E \pi \iota \delta \alpha \dot{\nu} \rho \iota o \iota ~ \tau o i ̂ \sigma \iota ~ ' A \theta \eta$ -




10. Bursian, Gcogr. Griech. ii. 84, ventures to identify Oea with l'alaeachora, about half way between the city and the ruins of the great temple of Athena, but this is merely a guess.

I3. Xopoî́r $\gamma$ vvalkทiour $k \in p$ тopiotor, lit. 'abusive choruses of women.' Cf. the Egyptian feast at

 Pol. vii. $1_{7}=133^{6} \quad b \quad 17$ Aristotle speaks of certain deities oís kaì $\tau \grave{\nu} \tau \omega \theta a \sigma \mu \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{a} \pi о \delta i \delta \omega \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ ó vóposthese were especially Demeter and Dionysus. Aristoph. IWasps i362
ì' $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \tau \omega \theta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \omega \nu \epsilon a \nu \kappa \hat{\omega} s$, oïoıs $\pi о \theta^{\prime}$ oûTos $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \mu \grave{\epsilon} \pi \rho_{\grave{\prime}} \boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\omega} \nu \mu v \sigma \tau \eta \rho i ́ \omega \nu$.
á $\pi 0 \delta \epsilon \iota \kappa v u \mu$ év $v v$, i. e. by the state.
 a female festival, like the Thesmophoria at Athens, but the choruses were organised and provided for through the state, as in the Dionysia at Athens.
17. $\sigma$ क 1 , i. e. the Aeginetans. The abusive side of the performance was only the external side; therc were mysteries connccted with the worship. Compare the account of the Thcsmophoria in ii. IJI.

Athens．b．c． 507 f．；Ol．68． 2 f．






The
Athenians and the －Aeginetans．



 ＇́ $\xi \alpha \nu \alpha \sigma \pi \hat{\alpha} \nu$ ，ìva $\sigma \phi \in ́ \alpha ~ \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha к о \mu i ́ \sigma \omega \nu \tau \alpha l ~ o ̛ ̀ ~ \delta v \nu \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v s ~$





a סírato Bekk．，Yan H．

84．8．$\pi \rho \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma$ al，sc．тois＇A $\theta \eta$－ paious．

Io．$\sigma \phi i \sigma \iota \ldots \pi \rho \hat{\gamma} \mu \mu a$ ，‘ They and the Athenians had noihing to do with one another．＇Cf．Eur．Med． $45^{1}$＇̇ $\mu 0 \grave{i}$ oủdè̀ $\pi \rho a ̂ \gamma \mu \alpha$ ．Dem．De
 каì Фı入ímп̣ $\pi \rho \hat{a} \gamma \mu a$ ．

85．3．ảmò тoû koเvô̂，＇publico nomine，＇Schwgh．：cf．viii． 135.8
 тov̂ $\kappa \circ เ \nu 0 \hat{v}$ ，and vi． $9^{2}$ ． 14 ảnò $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ $\delta \eta \mu u \sigma i o v$ ．In order to mark the con－ trast of the Athenian and Aeginetan accounts，two points are brought for－ ward：（I）that but one ship was sent； （2）that the Athenians had no inten－ tion of making an armed attack on the Aeginetans．
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}{ }_{\pi \epsilon \iota \rho a ̂ \nu} \mathrm{ABC}$ ．

9．той трıŋрitas．The same word，which is rare，is used of the envoys sent by Athens to Segesta in 415 в．С．：Thuc．vi． 47 idía $\xi \in \nu i \sigma \epsilon t s$ $\pi о \iota o v(\mu \in \nu 0 \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \tau \rho \iota \eta \rho \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．In this case it can hardly mean the rowers， and perhaps Merodotus wishes us to distinguish between the crew of the vessel and the commissioners．

10．à入入офроvŋ̂ซal，＇lost their wits，＇and so failed to recognize their friends．［ $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda 0 \gamma \nu \omega \bar{\omega} \sigma a l$ ，which in Hippocrates means＇to go mad，＇ is used by Herodotus， $\mathrm{i} .8_{5}$ ． 12 ，in the sense＇failing to recognize．＇In $7 l$ ． 23． 698 ả入入офроу́évта is＝＇stunned，＇ as here，but in Od．io． 374 it mcans ＇with thoughts elsewhere，＇and so in Herod．vii． 205 zlt ．］

Athens. Be. 507 f.; OI. 68. 2 f.










 $\nu \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \tau \rho \alpha ́ \pi \epsilon \epsilon \theta \alpha \iota \quad \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$, out $\delta v \nu \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o u s \mathcal{C}^{\epsilon}$




12. ảvakouroӨท̂vat aủtóv, 'was conveyed back alone,' sc. by the rowers in the vessel-instead of conveying back the images, iva $\sigma \phi^{\prime} a$ àvакодібшутаı (sup.).
86. 2. $\mu$ av, 'For even if they (the Aeginetans) had had no ships of war, they could easily have beaten off one ship, and more than one, if only a few more.'
6. out vaupaxท̂ซal. This fact was agreed upon. The Aeginetans had to meet the question: Why, if the Athenians' attack was hostile, was there no sea fight? They reply that either they had not sufficient ships to meet the enemy, or they wished to entrap them on shore.
8. кaтà точ̂тo, 'for this reason,' as super., c. 3 .

If. ${ }^{\text {époi }} \mu^{\prime} \mathrm{v} \boldsymbol{v}$, к. т. $\lambda$. For instances
of similar incredulity see iv. 5, where Herodotus will not believe that Targitans was the son of Zeus and the daughter of the Borysthenes; iv. $4^{2}$, that the sun was seen on the right hand by those who circumnavigated Africa; iv. 25 , that there are goat-footed men; iii. in 6 , or oneeyed men: see also iv. 36 ; viii. 120; i. 182. Herodotus is slow to believe anything which confounds the human and divine natures; or anything which seems to contradict the laws of nature, whether physical or biological.
${ }^{15}$. $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \phi\llcorner$, 'before them.' The statues were no doubt represented as kneeling, and this was the story told to account for their unusual position.

Athens. b.c. 507 f. ; Ol. 68.2 f.


 $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon \dot{\cup} \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$, є́ $\tau o i ́ \mu o u s ~ ' A \rho \gamma \epsilon i ́ o v s ~ \pi o \iota \epsilon ́ \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$. тoús $\tau \epsilon \delta \grave{\eta}$





 women.












 ${ }^{a} \gamma^{\prime} \rho \mathrm{B}^{2} \operatorname{Pr}: ~ a ̆ \rho a \operatorname{ABC}$, St.
19. Éroíhous 'Apүєíous moté $\epsilon$ 'Өal, lit. 'got Argives in readiness,' i. c. sought the aid of the Argives. So, at a later stage in the long conflict of Aegina and Athens, Argives come to the aid of Aerina, vi. 92. On the other hand, Argos and Epidaurus were never on very friendly terms; see Thuc. v. 53 .
23. $\tau \grave{o}$ á $\pi \grave{o} \tau \hat{\omega} v v \in \omega \hat{\omega}$, i.e. their
communications with the ships.
87. 3. ${ }^{\text {éva }} \mu$ nov̂vov is the predicate to $\gamma \in v^{\prime} \sigma \theta a \mathrm{l}$.
 $\nu$ âol.
II. $\pi$ ' $\rho\llcorner\xi$, 'in their midst'; adv.,
 monly a preposition in Herodotns. For a similar act of ferocity on the part of the Athenian women, cf.ix. 5 .









16. $\begin{gathered} \\ \\ \lambda\end{gathered} \omega$ may either be taken with ö $\tau \epsilon \omega$, or being $=\ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda_{0}$ it is attracted into the case of the relative.
 Thucydides, i. 6, who says of the


 Comparing Thucydides and Herodotes we conclude that the Athenians first wore the woollen Dorian dress : then the Carian or Ionian linen dress, from which they returned to the Dorian. In Homer the epithet t $\lambda \kappa \epsilon \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda o t$ is given to the Trojan women, and in 17.13 .685 the Ionians arc called 'Táoves èikexiraves: cf. Homer, Hymn Atoll., in Thus. iii. roc. We must distinguish two types of Greek female dress (i) The Dorian or old Hellenic, a square woollen cloth, of which the upper edge was folded down -it being longer than the body was high. It was folded round the body and pinned over the shoulder, so that one side was closed, the other open or closed partially with pins; at a later time the open side was partially,

or wholly, sewn up. This is the $\pi \dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \pi \lambda$ os, and is sometimes called íá́тıov, being in fact the only garment worn by Dorian women. It is a developed form of it which appears in the frieze of the Parthenon, and the Caryatids of the Erechtheon. (2) The Ionian or Carian linen Chiton. This was originally a long oblong garment, with holes for the neck and arms $(A, B, C)$,
 and neither pin nor brooch $C$ was required. The word $\chi_{t}$ $\tau \omega \nu$ is said to be Phoenician; and it is possibile that this linen garment was brought by Carians and Chocnicians to the Attic coast. Cf. Studniczka, Bcitrüge auer Gesch. der altgriechischon Tracht, Wien, 1886 (p. 6 ff., 13 ff., 29 f.).
19. T̂ी Kopıvin. Nothing is known of the special type of Corinthian dress, which these words suggest.

Athens．b．c． 505 f．；OI． 68.4 f．




 $\tau \iota \kappa o ̀ \nu ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu \eta ́ \tau \epsilon \tau \iota$ वै入入o $\pi \rho о \sigma \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ i \rho o ̀ \nu ~ \mu \eta ́ \eta \epsilon \epsilon$






 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \grave{\alpha} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \mu \iota \mu \nu \eta \sigma \kappa o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota$ oi


$$
{ }^{a} \text { кaí: } \delta \delta \overline{b c u t} \text { ? St. }
$$





88．4．тоîбl \＆è＇Apyeíolot．．． кaì $\pi \rho$ òs тav̂тa，к．т．$\lambda$ ．There is no construction for $\pi o \iota \eta \sigma a l$ as the text now stands，or for the dative． Krüger proposed to omit $\tau \dot{\delta} \delta \epsilon$ $\pi o \iota \eta \bar{\eta} \sigma \iota$ and $\pi a \rho \grave{a} \sigma \phi i ́ \sigma \iota$ ，constructing the acc．and infinitive（ $\nu \dot{\prime} \mu o \nu$ єîval） with $\lambda \epsilon$＇$\gamma \in \tau a l$, c． $8 \%$ ．Or there may he a lacuna after Aiyıvítnot．The general sensc is，of course，quite clear．
 have used the temple at Acgina． Stein surggests the temple at Troezen （sutfr．82）．But what had the Argives to do with Troczen？

10．к＇́papov．The Attic pottcry
was known all over the Grecian world for its excellence at a very early time．The exclusion from Aegina may point，as Stein suggests， to a rivalry betwcen the Aeginetan and Attic manufactures，but of course exclusive rulcs of this kind were common enough．The Halicarnas－ sians werc excluded from the Dorian Hexapolis（i．I 44 ；the Lampsacencs from the games in the Chersonesus （vi． $38^{\prime}$ ；the Eleans from the Isth－ mian Games（Paus．v．2．2．f．；vi．3． 9；vi．16．2）．

II．a $\mathbf{v}$ тó $\theta_{r}$ ，i．e．in the temple．
89．I．＇́k qóqov．＇From that time．＇





 $\epsilon \in \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \omega \nu \tau \alpha \iota, \pi o \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \mu^{\prime} \nu \quad \sigma \phi \epsilon \alpha \varsigma$ '̇ $\nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \xi \grave{v} \tau \sigma \hat{v}$











 $\nu \omega \nu$, каì öт८ $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ тоıท̆ $\sigma \alpha \sigma \iota \chi^{\alpha} \rho \iota s$ ov̉ $\delta \epsilon \mu i ́ \alpha ~ \epsilon ́ \phi \alpha i \nu \in \tau o$

## 90

The Lacedaemonians summon an assembly of their allies with the view of restoring Hippias.

Io. èmıбXóvтas àmó . . . трıク̆коvтa ётєa к.т..入. The Athenians were to remain inactive for thirty years: i. e. for a whole generation. a $\delta$ rióov, 'outrage,' is only found elsewhere in the legal language of Athens, in which a $\delta i k \eta$ diouriou was a form of process. For ámó =' 'after'

if. Aiak ${ }^{\text {a }}$, the hero of Aegina, who was by this process to be won over to the side of Athens. So the Spartans acquired the bones of Orestes before they were victorious over the

Tegeatae.
I4. $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \mu \hat{\epsilon} v$, к.т. $\lambda$. As a fact the war did linger on from this date $507-506$ в. с. to 4 Si в. с., when the quarrel was made up on the approaching invasion of the Persians. It was renewed in 459 , and after a long siege Aegina submitted-456? In 43 the Aeginetans were expelled by the Athenians; see vi. 91. 6.
90. 2. $\pi \rho \hat{\not} \gamma \mu \mu$, 'hindrance,' 'trouble.'
 See suppr., c. $\sigma_{3}$.

Sparta. b.c. 505 f.; Ol. 68. 4.












 $\mu \alpha \theta$ óvтєS ס̀̀ тои́т $\omega \nu$ ढ̈́кабта $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi о \nu \tau о$ ' $I \pi \pi$ íq $\nu$ тò $\nu$
9. '̇vท̂yov, 'stimulated,' 'ineited.' oi xp $\eta \sigma \mu \mathrm{oi}$. Cf. supr. 43, where the oracles of Laius are quoted to the Spartan Dorians. In the same manner the Spartans have an oracle whieh speaks of the Medes and Athenians as likely to conquer Peloponnesus (viii. IfI). For the use which the Peisistratids made of oracles, see vii. 6 , and infr. 93, where Hippias is said to have known the oraeles more accurately than any one else. Oracles were a well-known means of influencing the people in the Peloponnesian war: see esp. Aristoph. Kinights 1000 ff .
II. $\tau \hat{\omega} v$, i. e. $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$.

16. ávé $\lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon$, lit. 'recovered,' as if lost, or misplaced, beeause they referred to Sparta.

1. The Lacedaemonians had
no doubt hoped to establish an oligarchy in Athens, and by this means to bring the eity within the Peloponnesian league. When the oligarchy was impossible, they were willing to restore the tyranny, whieh, if maintained in power by them, would have been to some degree subservient. Along with this went the feeling-so strong among the Greeks-that a people when not selfgoverned were ineapable of energy. Stein, on supr. ts quod vid.) quotes a striking passage from Hippocrates, $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ a ̀ \rho \rho .23$ ai $\gamma a ̀ \rho \psi v \chi a i ̀ ~ \delta \epsilon \delta o u ́ \lambda \omega \nu$.
 ध́кiv

 $\lambda \omega \nu, \pi_{f} \circ \theta v \mu \epsilon \hat{u} \nu \tau a t$ ékóvтєs kaì є̀s т̀े



Sfarta. b.c. 505 f.; Ol. 63. 4.

 Іо ка入єо́ $\mu \epsilon \nu$ оs $\hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon, \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \pi \epsilon \mu \psi \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota ~ к \alpha \grave{\iota} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \quad \sigma v \mu-$
















I4. ảvaঠexo $\mu$ évous, к.т. $\lambda$. We do not know of any such arrangement between the Peisistratidae and the Spartans. No doubt Isagoras and Cleomenes were quite at one in the matter.
19. $\pi \epsilon \mathrm{plv}$ рíaas. Cf. supr.74.3. סógav фúбas, 'having got a spirit,' i.e. a high opinion of themselves : almost like the slang expression, ' fancying themselves.'
 ápaptóvrєs. This is, it is true, against the facts, for the Boeotians and Chalcidians had not made such
a mistake as the Spartans, yet, as Kriiger says, they had failed to see how dangerous Athenshad become by the expulsion of her tyrants. Stein, however, would supply $\delta$ ógav $\phi$ v́ $\sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ $\alpha v \grave{\xi} \dot{\nu} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ with $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \mu \epsilon \mu a \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \alpha \sigma \iota$.
 whether we should not read with
 omitting ríaa⿱Өar. $\sigma \phi \in a s$ should then be changed into $\sigma \phi \in \alpha$ (' these evils') Eltz. So Kriiger and Cobet.
24. róvóє. Hippias being present in the assembly.

Sparta. b.c. 505 f. ; Ol. 68. 4.
 $\mu \epsilon \nu \tau \grave{\alpha}$ к $\alpha \grave{\imath} \alpha{ }^{\prime} \pi \epsilon \iota \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$."









 $\lambda \iota s$, $\alpha u ̛ \tau o i ̀ ~ \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau 0 \iota ~ \tau \cup ́ \rho \alpha \nu \nu 0 \nu ~ к \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \in \nu 0 \iota ~ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \sigma \phi i ́ \sigma \iota ~$
${ }^{\text {a }}{ }^{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \omega \kappa \lambda \epsilon$ '́ $\mathrm{s} \operatorname{Pr}$ (sv) et infra.
92. a. I. Cf. Archilochus Frag. 5 I. P., who is speaking of an eclipse: 'Eк $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ tov̂ $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \pi a ́ v \tau \alpha ~ \kappa \dot{a} \pi i \epsilon \lambda \pi \tau \alpha$ $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha, ~ ' A \nu \delta \rho a ́ \sigma \iota v$ ' $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon i s$ " $\epsilon \theta$ ' $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$



 $\eta^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime}$ lov $\delta^{\prime}$ ö́pos. Also Eur. El. 720.
3. vouóv, 'habitation.'
4. iookparias. The Corinthians, being themselves an oligarchy, would not use the word $\delta \eta \mu$ ократias. And indecd few of the cities in the Peloponncsian confedcracy would wish for anything beyond an ívóvo $\mu$ os ì $\lambda$ ıरархia. iбократia implics cquality of any kind, even of men and women; sec iv. 26. ult. The Spartans had the credit of being bitterly opposed to tyrants, not only in their own statewherc the attempt to establish the royal prerogative was crushed at a vcry early time, cf. Arist. Pol. v.
$12=1316$ a $3+\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota$ тupavvis єis ápıбтократiav ̈̈бтєр $\dot{\eta}$ Xapı $\lambda$ áov ${ }^{\epsilon} \nu \Lambda \Lambda a \kappa \epsilon \delta a i \mu o \nu l$-but in the other cities also. Plut. De mal. Herod. 21 каíto


 instances of tyrants expelled by them, he gives the Cypsclids of Corinth and Ambracia, Lygdamis of Naxos, the Peisistratids of Athens, Aeschines of Sicyon, Symmachus of Thasos, Aulis of Phocis, Aristogenes of Miletus, and the two Thessalian princes whom Leotychidas suppressed Aristomedes and Angelus-but wc have no details except in the casc of the Peisistratids.
6. тov̂ ov้тє, к.т.入. 'Than which thing.' Sec the famous description of tyranny in iii. So.
9. тapà $\sigma$ фíбь av̉тoîбᄂ, second person, cf. supr: c. 20, I8 € $\epsilon \omega v \tau \omega ̃ \nu$ (first person).












12. $\pi a \rho a x p \hat{a} \sigma \theta \epsilon,{ }^{\text {' }}$ you regard it as a light matter,' sc. that the cities should be governed by tyrants. Cf. Schwgh. Lex. s. v. 's, 'in regard to.'
14. $\sigma u \mu \beta a \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a r$, 'contribute.' Cf. viii. 6I $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu \gamma \alpha ̀ \rho \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \Theta \epsilon \mu \sigma \tau о \kappa \lambda \epsilon \in \alpha$
 $\sigma v \mu \beta \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota($ cf. i. I. I3).
 history of Corinth, see Hist. of Greece, i. 100, 34.4. At the Dorian conquest Aletes the Heracleid became king of the city, and the monarchy continued till the time of Telestes, 745 B.C. But owing to the eminence of Bacchis, the fifth king, the royal family were no longer called Heracleidae, but lacchiadae. When the monarchy came to an end, a yearly Prytanis was chosen from the Bacchiadae, who, with a council of eighty members, governed the city. This oligarchy lasted ninety years, till $\sigma_{55}$ B. C. Strabo says of this family rail oi Baıxtá $\delta a \iota ~ \tau v p a \nu \nu \eta ́ \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s, \pi \lambda o v ́ \sigma t o \iota$
 ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \tau \eta \quad \sigma \chi \epsilon \delta o ̛ \nu \quad \tau \iota \kappa a \tau \epsilon \in \sigma \chi o \nu \quad \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \eta \eta^{\prime}$

 є̇тขрávขєขбє, к.т.入., p. 37 8.
3. $\in \delta i \delta o \sigma a v$ for $\mathfrak{e k} \xi \in \delta i \delta o \sigma a v$; cf. ii. 47, of the swine-herds in Egypt,

 viii. er, of the democrats at Samos,



7. Petra is perhaps to be placed to the south of Corinth, on the slopes of the Argive mountains ; so Smith, Dict. Gcogr. i. 685 a. $\delta$ ท̇́ $\mu$ vv $\dot{\mathbf{e x}} \boldsymbol{\kappa}$ ח'́ $\boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\eta} \mathrm{s}$. The formula is the same as that used in describing an Athenian, egg. ix. $73 \Sigma \omega \phi \dot{\alpha} \nu \eta s$ ćàv $\delta \dot{\eta} \mu o v \Delta \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \in \eta \theta \epsilon \nu$, but we must not conclude that there was any arrangemont of demes at Corinth like that at Athens. Petra was merely the place where Eetion (or Action in the Doric form ; cf. aiєđós below) lived.

Story of Cypselus, tyrant of Corinth.

Sparta. b.c. 505 f.; Ol. 68. 4.





 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \iota \mu о \nu \nu \alpha ́ \rho \chi \circ \iota \sigma \iota, \delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota$ ठ̀ $K^{\prime} \rho \iota \nu \theta_{0 \nu}$.


 то̂̀ 'Hєтím


$\tau \alpha u ̂ \tau \alpha ́ \nu \nu \nu ~ \epsilon \hat{ن} \phi \rho \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$, Kopí $\theta \iota o \iota$, oî $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ к \alpha \lambda \eta \nu$

S. $\Lambda a \pi i \theta \eta s$ каì Fialveíqs. 'A Lapith and (among the Lapithae) a son of Caeneus.' Caeneus, the most famous of the Lapithae, was slain at the marriage of Peirithous, in Thessaly. How Eetion derived his race from him is not stated. Aceording to Pausanias, the ancestor of the Cypselids was Melas, the son of Antasus, who, in spite of the warning of an oracle, was brought from his home at Gonoessa, near Sieyon, to Corinth by Aletes; see Duneker, HIist. Grecce ii. 345 f. ; Paus. ii. 4. 4 ; r. I8. 7, 8.
 no children ly this or any other woman.' Cf. i. 215 . 11 बLiónp̣ $\delta$ è oủ $\delta^{\prime}$

 ov̉ $\delta \epsilon \nu \grave{c} c u ̉ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$. In these cases the negative is repeated; and a closer parallel
is furnished by Aristoph. Birds 694 $\gamma \hat{\eta} \delta^{\prime}$ oủ $\delta^{\prime}$ à̀p oủ $\delta^{\prime}$ oủpavòs $\hat{\eta} \nu$.
12. 'Hetíwv . . . tíct, a play on words, as in alєtòs év $\pi \epsilon \in \tau \rho \eta \eta \sigma$ below.
13. ỏ ${ }^{2}$ ooítpoxov. Cf. viii. 52. 10
 रovs àmícoav.

 ${ }^{\text {'Hpódoros: }}$ Suidas, s. v. ठınaıồv.
17. ä $\sigma \eta \mu o v$, ' conveyed no meaning,' like a piece of money without any stamp on it. Cf. Soph. Ant.

22. For Peirene, see Smith's Dict. Geog. i. 68o. Corinth is called by Pindar the eity of leirene (Ol. xiii. 86). Sec also Bacdeker's Cricchonland, p. ${ }^{2}+1$. ódpuófvta is a common epithet of Corinth derived from the situaticn of the city, which lay

Sparta. b.c. 505; Ol. 68. 4.










## His escape

 when a child. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \iota \kappa о i ́ a \tau о, ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \delta о к є ́ о v \sigma \alpha ́ ~ \sigma \phi \in \alpha s ~ \phi i \lambda о ф \rho о \sigma u ́ \nu \eta s ~ \tau о \hat{v} \pi \alpha-$



 $\epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \lambda \alpha \sigma \epsilon$ тò $\pi \alpha \iota \delta i ́ o \nu, ~ к \alpha i ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ ф \rho \alpha \sigma \theta \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \alpha ~ \tau о и ̂ т о ~ o i ̂ к т o ́ s ~$




 $\ddot{\alpha}^{\prime \prime} \pi \tau о \nu \tau о$ к $\alpha \tau \alpha \iota \tau \iota \omega ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota$ каì $\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ то仑̂ $\pi \rho \dot{\omega} \tau о v ~ \lambda \alpha-$



at the foot of the towering Acrocorinthus, on an elevated plateau.

ү. I4. mpogovoíoat, 'to dash to the ground.'
17. î $\sigma \chi \in L$ àmokтєival, 'restrains from killing.'
18. $\delta \iota \epsilon \xi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon$, sc. $\tau \grave{̀} \pi a \iota \delta i o y$. Ni-
colaus of Damascus, a contemporary of Augustus, has an account of Cypselus, which differs in some degree from that of Herodotus: Fray. 58-6o; see Appendix 9.
24. Xpóvov érywvo $\mu$ évov, 'after an interval.'
 vov Kopív $\theta$ ¢ к ккк人̀ $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau \epsilon i \nu$ ．ì $\Lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta \delta \alpha$ $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$















${ }^{\text {a }} \mathfrak{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \circ \hat{\sigma} \sigma_{\iota} \operatorname{Pr}$（sv̀，Cob．

5．＇̇s тò àфрабтóтатоv，i．e．ès тойтo тù à $\phi \rho$ ．＇into a plaee whieh， as she thought，they would never gues．＇

є．3．ả à̀ $\tau \hat{\imath} \mathrm{i} \mathrm{s} \kappa v \psi$ é $\lambda \eta \mathrm{\eta}$ ．Thename Cypselus is found elsewhere－e．g． Cypselus，father of Miltiades，the coloniser of the Chersonese，vi． 35． 3 ：Cypsela，the name of a town in Areadia，Thue．5．33．The legend may very well have arisen out of the name，but however this may be，the Cypselids commemo－ rated the ineident by dedieating a large ehest，supposed to be this ehest at Olympia，which was one of the most famous works of art
in antiquity，and is deseribed in full by Pausanias，r．I 7 －I 9 ．See FFistory of Greece，vol．i． 386.

5．ả $\mu 申 \delta \in ́ \xi$ เov，＂＇ambiguous，＂a word unknown to Attic prose；how far the oraele was ambiguous is not elear，＇Kriiger．＇Ambidexter，am－ liguous，＇Sehwgh．S．ein does not aecept this．＇The oraele is elear and definite so far as coneerned Cypselus and his son，and finds its fulfilment．＇He considers that $\dot{\alpha} \mu$－ $\phi \delta \delta \epsilon \xi \cos$ should be taken in the sense ＇doubly $\delta \in \xi \in o ̛$ s，＇and as $\delta \epsilon \xi c i$＇s is used of happy omens，the $\dot{a} \mu \phi \iota \delta \dot{\in} \xi t o v$ र $\rho \eta \sigma \tau \eta \rho \circ o v$ is a doubly favourable oracle．

Sparta．b．c． 505 ；Ol．68． 4.

 $\alpha u ̉ \tau o ̀ s ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \pi \alpha i ̂ \delta \epsilon s, \pi \alpha i ́ \delta \omega \nu \gamma \epsilon \mu \hat{\iota} \nu$ оủкє́ть $\pi \alpha i ̂ \delta \epsilon s$.
 $\psi \in \lambda o s ~ \tau o l o u ̂ t o s ~ \delta \dot{\eta} ~ \tau i s ~ \hat{\eta} \nu ~ \dot{\alpha} \nu \eta ́ p ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda o u ̀ s ~ \mu \grave{~} \nu$ Ko－


 סıádoxós oi $\tau \bar{\eta} s ~ \tau u p a \nu \nu i ́ \delta o s ~ o ́ ~ \pi \alpha i ̂ s ~ \Pi є р i ́ a \nu \delta p o s ~ \gamma i v e \tau \alpha l . ~$


Cypselus
becomes
tyrant，
$655-625$
в．с．

Periander the son of Cypselus． 625－585 в．с．
Bekk．，Van．H．

9．＇Hєтíŋ§s．Homer is sometimes compelled to uise $\Delta \epsilon v \kappa a \lambda i \delta \eta s$ for the ＇son of Deucalion＇；the termination －$\omega v i \delta \eta s$ could not be brought into hexameter verse．
ßaci $\lambda \epsilon$ ús．So the oracle politely puts it，and Cypselus was at any rate a descendant of the old royal family．

10．$\pi a^{\prime} \delta \omega v \gamma \in \mu^{\prime} \varepsilon$, ，к．$\tau . \lambda$ ．The sons of Periander died before him：see History of Grecee，i．389．Psamme－ tichus，the son of his brother Gorgus， succeeded him，but only held the throne three years and some months； Arist．Pol．v． $12=131_{5}^{b} 23$ ．

II．тuparvévas．For the mode in which he acquired the tyranny， see Appendix 9 and cf．Arist．Pol．v． $10=1310 \quad b \quad 29$ where Cypselus is classed with Peisistratus and Dio－ nysius as having acquired lis power $\hat{\epsilon}_{\kappa} \kappa \delta \eta \mu a \gamma \omega \gamma i a s$ ．Aristotle in another passage，v． $12=13{ }^{1} 5 b 27$ ，speaks of him as $\delta \eta \mu a \gamma \omega \gamma$ ós，and continuing throughout his reign without a body－ guard．

14．$\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\varphi} \delta_{\epsilon} \epsilon \tau \pi \lambda \epsilon$ íatous．So Krüger suggested，and Stein sup－ ports the reading by iii． $116 \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\varphi}$
 $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau a$ ．The MSS．read $\pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\varphi} \delta^{\prime}$
 Cobet would retain this and sub－ stitute $\pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{v} v a s$ for $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau o v s$ ．With $\pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\varphi} \quad \tau \iota$ cf．$\pi a \nu \tau a ́ \pi a \sigma i \quad \tau \iota$ and Purves，Sel．from Plato，p． $3^{84}$ ．

乌．2．тріŋ́коvтa．The tyranny of the Cypselids lasted in all seventy－ three years and six months．So Aristotle states in general terms，but his items do not agree：Kí $\psi \in \lambda o s$
 Пєрíà $\delta \rho о s ~ \delta \grave{~} \tau \epsilon \tau \tau а р а ́ к о \nu \tau а ~ к а і ̀ ~ т є ́ \tau-~$
 （Гóprov）тpía є̀тт，Pol．l．c．

Stam入́kavtos．Alcman，Frag．Iz．



4．ที่тเம́тєроs，к．т．入．That Peri－ ander was rendered more morose in the later years of his life by his misfortunes and disappointments is probable enough，but we need not

Sparta. b.c. 505; 01. 68. 4.

 $\phi о \nu \omega ́ t \epsilon \rho o s$. $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi \alpha s$ $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ т $\alpha \rho \grave{\alpha} ~ \Theta \rho \alpha \sigma v ́ \beta o v \lambda о \nu ~ к \eta ́ \rho v к \alpha ~$








P'eriander and Thrasybulus.


 $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \iota ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ к \eta ́ \rho \cup к а . ~ \nu о \sigma т \eta ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau о s ~ \delta ̊ є ~ \tau о \hat{v}$ ки́рикоs є’s



aseribe the change to the influence of Thrasybulus.
5. Өрaбvßoúd $\omega$. See i. 20 ff. In Arist. Pol. iii. $13=128+626$ the story is reversed: tov̀s 廿'є́ $\gamma o v \tau a s$





 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \tau \alpha \chi v ́ \omega v$ í $\mu a \lambda \hat{v} v a \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta} v$ ápovpav.
 $\gamma^{\iota \nu} \mu^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \nu$ ov $\boldsymbol{\tau}$

 ävipas ävalpeiv. Cf. ih. v. $10=1311$ a. 20. Aristotle goes on to obscric that oligarehies and democraeies hare done the same. In Livy i. 54 ,
the story is told of Tarquinius Superbus and his son Sextus.
12. ávamosi'̧ $\omega v$, lit. 'cheeking him in his progress.' 'Going back to the subjeet with.' 'Repetcre jubens praeeonem eausam cur ad se Corintho adrenisset.' Schwgh. So Aesehin.


 'with referenee to.'
16. ßa甘v́razov. It is a little doubtful whether $\beta a \theta \dot{\text { itatov }}$ means 'tallest,' which suits the eontext, and may be supported by tò مáधos $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \rho \iota \chi \hat{\omega} v$, sufr. e. 9, or 'richest,' 'most abundant': ef. Homer, Ol.
 cxpression $\pi \lambda$ גoútov $\beta$ ß́́ 0 os.

21, 22. aútov̂ =Periander: $\mu u v=$

Sparta b. C. 505 f. ; O1. 68. 4.
$\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi \epsilon \iota \epsilon$, $\dot{\omega} s \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \gamma \alpha ́ \quad \tau \epsilon$ каì $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Є̀ $\omega v \tau o \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu \alpha ́ \mu \omega \rho o \nu$,






 тои̂ $\gamma v \nu \alpha i ̂ \kappa \alpha ~ M e ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu$. $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi \alpha \nu \tau \iota ~ \gamma \alpha ́ \rho ~ o i ~ \epsilon ́ s ~ ~ \Theta \epsilon \sigma \pi \rho \omega-~$







$$
{ }^{\text {a }} є i \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{sv}), \mathrm{Cob} ., \text { Van } \mathrm{H} .
$$

the cnvoy. $\sigma$ เvá $\mu \omega \rho o v$, 'waster.' Cf.
 $\sigma \tau \nu \alpha \mu \omega \rho \in \epsilon \epsilon \nu$, viii. 35. 4. In Attic the words have a different meaning: Aristoph. Clouds, io70 $\begin{gathered}\text { vùे } \\ \text { ova- }\end{gathered}$ $\mu \propto \rho o \nu \mu$ é $\eta$, with the Schol.
ๆ. I. тà $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \Theta p a \sigma v \beta o i ̀ \lambda o v ~$
 by Thrasybulus.'
8. Médıơav. Cf. iii. 50. According to Diog. Laert. i. 7. I, her real name was Lyside, and the name Melissa was given to her by Periander. It was not uncommon: see Pape, Lex. of Propcr names.



 povaias diupns. Leake, Northern Grecie, i. ${ }_{2}{ }^{4}$ I describes the valley
l'eriander
of the Acheron as ' one of the darkest and ceepest of the glens of Greecc.'




 ă $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ поí $\eta \sigma \iota \nu$ àmото入 $\mu \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \grave{\iota} \nu$
 $\mu 0 \hat{s}$ àm̀ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ Єє $\Theta \pi \rho \omega \tau i \delta_{l} \partial_{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a u$. Cf. History of Grecce, i. ic.
vєкvopavтílov. For oracles of the dead, see Schömann Alt. ii. I. 340. We hear of one at Heraclen on the Pontus, which was visited by Pausanias on his expulsion from Byzantium, Plutarch, Cim. 6.
 510 f . of Hector, єủ入ai ếovтa، $\gamma \nu \mu$ -



Sparta. b.c. 505 f.; Ol. 68. 4.






 סopvфópovs á $\pi \epsilon \in \delta v \sigma \epsilon ́ \quad \sigma \phi \epsilon \alpha s ~ \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha s ~ o ́ \mu o i ́ \omega s, ~ \tau \alpha ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \epsilon ̉ \lambda \epsilon v-$








The Corinthians will not restore a tyrant.




$$
{ }^{\text {a }} \tau \dot{\prime} \tau \epsilon \text { Bekk. }
$$



 $\sigma \epsilon a \iota ~ a u ̉ \tau o i ̂ s, ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ T \rho \omega ́ \omega \nu ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~$
 the clothes even though burned are of no use to Hector, because he is not folded in them. See Van Herwerden, Alnemos. xiii. p. 136 .
17. тьбтóv, к.т.入. According to another story the mother of Periander hanged herself owing to her incestuous passion for her son. Plut. Sep. Sap. Conviv. 2.
19. és tò "Hpatov éstcévar. Cf. Xen. Hell. iv. 5. 5 ; Smith, Dict.

Geogr. i. 685 b. The Heraeum lay at some distance from the city on the headland opposite Sicyon, and commanding the entrance to the bay of Lechaeum. [But can this be meant, and not rather the temple mentioned by Pausanias, ii. 4. 7 , on the slope of Acro-Corinthus, at the west end of the city?]
23. 'ंs ôpuү $\mu$. As offerings to the dead, see the scene in Hom. Od. xi. 25 ßó $\theta \rho o \nu$ ö $\rho \nu \xi$ ’ ö ó $\sigma о \nu \tau \epsilon \pi v \gamma o v)^{-}$


Schömann, l. c. ii. 247.
32. $\theta \in 0$ ùs rov̀s 'E $\lambda \lambda \eta$ rious. Cf.

 35 KopıvӨíovs $\gamma \epsilon$ oz $\sigma v \nu \alpha \iota \nu$ є́ovтаs."
 'ITтíns ס̀̀ $\alpha u ̛ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \alpha ’ \mu \epsilon i ́ \beta \epsilon \tau o ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \epsilon ́ \pi \iota к \alpha \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma \alpha s ~ \theta \epsilon o u ̀ s ~$
 $\Pi_{\epsilon \iota \sigma \iota \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau i ́ \delta \alpha s, ~ o ̈ \tau \alpha \nu} \sigma \phi \iota \quad \stackrel{\eta}{\eta} \kappa \omega \sigma \iota \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha \iota$ ai $\kappa \dot{v} \rho \iota \alpha \iota ~ \dot{\alpha} \nu \iota \hat{\alpha}-$








$$
{ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{I} \mathrm{I} \pi \pi i \eta \nu \text {; Krüg. }
$$

super. 49, ix. 7. I2, and viii. I44. I5, Aristoph. Knights, $1253{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda a ́ \nu \iota \epsilon$ $\mathrm{Z} \in \hat{\nu}$, $\sigma \dot{\Delta} \nu$ тò $\nu \iota \kappa \eta \tau \eta \dot{p} \rho \iota \nu$, when Cleon is defeated by the sausage-seller. Some of the worst iniquities of Periander are not mentioned, cf. iii. 48 . The speaker confines himself to those actions by which the inhabitants of Corinth suffered.


 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \chi \dot{\omega} \rho \eta \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda .:$ i. II, 59. What we should place in a hypothetical clause, 'if you will not,' etc., is here placed in an independent clause. Kruger softens the asyndeton by reading the clause as a question; but see Gaisford's note on i. II. I7 Voculam our it a usurpat Herodotus in sentential bimembri, ut alterum membrum sine conjunction
b 'En ${ }^{2} \eta \nu i \delta a \operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{sv}), \mathrm{Cob}$.
subjiciat, ad quod proprie spectate $\widehat{\omega} \nu$, gum negation ad prius referenda sit.
93. 4. ávıâoӨal. At this time the Corinthians were on excellent terms with the Athenians (see vi. 89 фí入ou es $\tau \grave{a} \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha)$, and we find them attempting to put an end to the quarrel between Boeotia and Athens (vi. IoS). Afterwards the feeling changed: Themistocles and Adeimantus are bitter enemies in 480 ; at a later time the reception of the Megarians by the Athenians led to a most violent hatred (Thus. i. IO3). After the conclusion of the thirty years' peace Corinth supported Athens in her treatment of Samos, but this may have been due to hatred of the Samians rather than friendship to Athens. Then followed the affairs of Epidamnus and Potidaea, which added fuel to the fire.

Failure of the attempt to restore Hippias.

Athens. b. C. 505 f.; Ol. $68.4-$


Hippias retires to Sigeum : the conquest of Sigeum.







${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ тóv secl. St.
94. 2. 'A $\mu$ úviŋๆ. See suppr. IS f. Anthemus lay near Mygdonia, which Amyntas had taken from the Edonians. Leake, $N$. Greece, iii. $45^{\circ}$ ' As Thucydides shows the territory of Anthemus to have bordered upon Bisaltia, Crestonia, and Mygdonia, there seems no situation in which it can be placed but to the southeast of Crestonia.' Thuc. ii. 99. 100. We have no other evidence of a connection between the Peisistratidae and the Macedonians, but we know that Peisistratus had possessions on the Thermaic gulf, which may have induced Amyntas to make the offer (supr. $6_{3}$. 14).
3. © $\epsilon \sigma \sigma a \lambda o i ́ . ~ S e e ~ s u p r . ~ 63 . ~ 14 . ~ . ~$ Iolcus was in the extreme south of Thessaly, and suitable for a maritime power.
 $\kappa . \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\lambda}$. For the chronological difficulties in which Herodotus involves himself, see Appendix II, 'On the chronology of the sixth century.' It is difficult to understand how Pcisistratus can have taken the lead in a war which was brought to an end before the death of Periander in $5_{5} 8$ в. c., for Peisistratus died in ${ }_{527}$, fifty-eight years after Periander.

There is of course no doubt that the Athenians and the Mytilenaeans were at war at the beginning of the sixth century, but Peisistratus had probably as little to do with this war as with the war about Salamis before the archonship of Solon. The Athenian commander on this occasion was Phrynon, who was slain in single combat by Pittacus; see Strabo, p. 599 f. тoûto $\delta \underset{\text { E (sc. } \tau \text { ò }}{ }$


 סóv $\tau \iota$ т $\hat{s} s \quad \sigma \nu \mu \pi a ́ \sigma \eta s$ Tpwádos . . Пıттакòs $\delta$ ' $\delta \mathrm{M} \nu \tau \imath \lambda \eta \nu a i o s, ~ \epsilon i ' s ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu$


 (Alcaeus now lost his shield)-ひ̈ $\sigma \tau \epsilon-$

 $\sigma \kappa \epsilon \nu \eta\rangle \nu \quad \sigma v \nu \epsilon \delta \delta \rho \alpha \mu \epsilon$ (quasi retiarins), $\kappa \alpha i ̀ \tau \hat{\varphi} \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ ả $\mu \phi \iota \beta \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau \rho \varphi \quad \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \in ́ \beta a \lambda \epsilon$,
 rai áveine. The war went on till Periander was chosen by both sides to arbitrate.
7. vó $\theta$ ov, because the son of an Argive mother, Timonassa. The word does not exclude marriage between the parents (though it may

Athens. b.c. 505 f.; Ol. 68.4.






mean this), but it implies that the mother was not an Athenian. Yet it is difficult to understand why vó $\begin{gathered}\text { os } \\ \text { in this sense should be applied }\end{gathered}$ to a son of Peisistratus, if born in wedlock, for it is never used of Cleisthenes or of any of the descendants of Megacles and Agariste of Sicyon (vi. 130); or of Cimon the son of Miltiades and the Thracian Hegesipyle. The account in Arist. Ather. Pol. c. 17 is confused : $\bar{\eta} \sigma a \nu$








 on the one hand a contrast between the $\gamma a \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\prime}$ and the Argive woman; yet $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \gamma \eta \mu \in \nu$ is the word used of the union with the Argive, and without a marriage the connection would hardly have led to an alliance with Argos.
 Achilleum was the fortress of the Mytilenaeans, Sigeum the Athenian base of operations. Strabo, l. c.,

 (the stones of Ilium) tò Eíctiov







 $\tau \omega \nu$ oủ $\delta{ }^{\circ}$ vinò тov̂ חєpiávopov . . .


11. ámalt'́ovtєs. The region was occupied with Lesbian colonies, but nevertheless the Athenians claimed the Troad. Cf. Aesch. Eum. 397. After the revolt of Lesbos in 427 B. C. the Athenians took away the cities in the Troad from the island, Thuc. iii. 50 mapé-


 'Aөךvaíav. Cf. ib. iv. $5^{2}$; Herod. i. ${ }^{151 .}$
 ' not acknowledging their claim, but.' $\lambda$ '́yఱ by arguments, deduced from the Homeric poems, such as were employed to prove that Salamis belonged to Athens.

I3. $\mu$ â $\lambda \lambda$ ov . . . ท̉ oủ: cf. iv. il8

 $\gamma$; Thuc. ii. 62. 3 ; iii. 36 ктєivelv тoùs $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha s ~ \mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu \eta$ ท̂ oủ $\tau o$ òs aitious,

Athens. b.c. 505 f.; Ol. 68. 4.
 95 М $\epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega$ $\tau \grave{\alpha} s{ }^{\text {' } E \lambda \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s ~ \alpha ́ \rho \pi \alpha \gamma \alpha ́ s . ~ \pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \delta ' \epsilon ~} \sigma \phi \epsilon \omega \nu$















 $\gamma \epsilon ́ \lambda o u s$, oủk $\epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \epsilon$ тoùs $\Pi$ '́ $\rho \sigma \alpha s ~ \pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ ' A \theta \eta \nu \alpha i ́ \omega \nu$ ${ }^{\text {a }} \sigma v \nu \epsilon \xi \in \pi \rho \eta_{\eta}^{\prime} \xi a \nu \tau o$ ? Krüg., Cob., Van H.
a remarkable instance owing to the absence of any preceding ou. The negative appears to be merely redundant.
 by flight,' Aristoph. Achar. 1 if $\delta \in i$

7. '̇ $\pi เ \tau \bullet \theta \in i ̂$, 'sends,' cf. iii. $4^{2}$. ult.
 Strabo, p. 6oo, 入є́ $\gamma \subset \ell$ סè (Alcaeus)


 iєр̀̀v ${ }^{2} \nu \epsilon \kappa \rho \epsilon ́ \mu a \sigma \alpha \nu$ 'A $\tau \tau t \kappa o$ '.
96. I. Zíyєlov, к.т.入. This was
true of the first conquest; but there must have been a second conquest later in the sixth century (about 530 B. C.), when Peisistratus established his son there, perhaps after the conquest of the Lesbians by Polycrates, iii. 39 .
4. $\delta$ La $\beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \omega v$. . . $\pi \rho o ́ s$, ' setting Artaphernes against'; 'maligning them to.'
S. oủk '̇ढ̄vtes, 'wishing to prevent.' Hippias was quite willing to subjugate Athens to Persia if he could recover his place as tyrant: cf. supr. 91. I.t.

Athens. b.c. 500 ; Ol. 70 . 1.



 П'́ $\rho \sigma \eta \sigma \iota ~ \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i ́ o u s ~ є i \nu \alpha \iota$.





 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha \alpha^{\alpha} \theta \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ '่ $\nu \tau \hat{\eta} ’ A \sigma i ́ \eta ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau о \hat{v} \pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu о v ~ \tau о \hat{v} \Pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \iota-$







 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ тav̉ $\tau \alpha ́$ Schwgh.: $\tau a v ̂ \tau \alpha$ Libb.
97. We naturally gather from the context that the embassy had been sent not long before the arrival of Aristagoras, i.e. not long before 500 B.C. Artaphernes had been satrap of Sardis since $5^{1} 5$ b.c.
5. '́tit tòv $\delta$ r̂puov, 'before the public assembly.' Compare the scene at the beginning of the Acharnians, where the King's Eye is introduced to the assembly.
7. тоиิ то入є́rov. Cf. supr. 49. ${ }^{15} \dot{\eta} \mu a ́ \chi \eta$, and note.


Sourl, i.e. have neither the heavy shield nor the long spear of the
 $\nu o \mu i \zeta \epsilon i v$ is used in the sense of $\nu_{0} \mu^{\prime}-$ $\zeta \epsilon \ell v \quad \theta \in o v s^{\prime}$, etc. The shield and spear were given to each citizen, at Athens, by the state, and were consequently of uniform size and length.
10. каì oikós . . . $\mu^{\text {ér }}$ a. $\sigma \phi \in a s$ refers to the Milesians, Suvapévous to the Athenians.
 7. Aristoph. Eccl. 1132 по入ıт $\omega \nu$






The Paeonians （c．15）re－ turn to Europe．











 The force which went out to Mara－ thon was not more than ro，000 at the utmost－if so many．But the assembly included the Thetes who did not serve as heavy－armed．The poorer citizens were no doubt by far the larger mass of the popula－ tion．Aristot．Ath．Pol．c． 24 speaks of more than 20,000 as subsisting on state pay in one form or another， and Aristoph．Wasps，7o8，proposes this number for billeting on the subject cities－though，l．c．，he puts the whole population at somewhat more than 30,000 ．The heavy－armed of the ordinary military age at the beginning of the Peloponnesian war were 13,000 ；the old and young
with the metoeci made up i6，000 more，giving a total of 29,000 with the metoci－not to mention the horse．

19．ג̉pXףे какヘ̂v．Plut．De mal． Her． 24 д́ $\rho \chi \epsilon \kappa \alpha ́ \kappa о и s ~ r o \lambda \mu \eta ́ \sigma \alpha s ~ \pi \rho о \sigma-~$

 $\rho \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \dot{a} \pi \grave{\delta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \beta \alpha \rho \beta \alpha ́ \rho \omega \nu$ ．But this was not the view of the Ionians：cf． vi．3．And though we cannot say that the Persians would not have invaded Greece without this provo－ cation，they were glad to have the excuse．For the expression cf．



98．6．ن́trò Meүaßágov，supr． c． 15.

Miletus. b.c. 500; Ol. 70. 1.









 öк $\kappa$ s à $\nu$ ó $\pi i ́ \sigma \omega$ à $\pi \epsilon \in \lambda \theta o \iota \epsilon \nu$. oi dè Пaíoves $\tau o u ̀ s ~ \lambda o ́ y o u s ~$




 oì ov $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ' $A \theta \eta \nu \alpha i ́ \omega \nu \chi^{\alpha} \rho \iota \nu$ '̇ $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon v ́ o \nu \tau o ~ \alpha ’ \lambda \lambda \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \eta े \nu ~ \alpha u ́-~$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu M \iota \lambda \eta \sigma i \omega \nu$, ó $\phi \epsilon \iota \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$ 人 $\sigma \phi \iota \quad \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \delta \iota \delta o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \mathcal{S}^{*}$ oi $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$


The
Eretrians send five slips to aid Miletus.
12. $\pi a \rho \not{ }^{\prime} X \in \mathrm{i}$ intrans. If a colon is placed at aúvêv the text contains a rather awkward asyndeton. Bettcr to place a comma with Krïger and Bekker, and make av́roiot ipiv the dative after $\pi a \rho \in ́ \chi \not \subset$ 。.
 The Persians having no ships at hand could not do more than send orders to Chios. In what follows we have orews and optative instead of the usual infinitive (i. 77.12; iv. 200.3), and $a b v$ added to the optative, as in a modified final sentence.
24. $\Delta$ opírkov. It is difficult to
understand why Doriscus should be chosen. It was not near the Strymon, which was the home of the Paeonians, and it was moreover the place which Darius had selected to occupy with Persian troops.
99. 3. T $̀$ v 'A ${ }^{2} \eta \eta$ vaíwv xápıv. As a rule the article is not used with $\chi$ ápts (in the adverbial sense), except with the possessive pronouns, e.g. $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \sigma \eta ̀ \nu \chi \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \nu$.
 For an account of this war see Duncker, G.Hist. ii. 165. 200; Thuc.


Sardis．b．C． 499 ；Ol．70． 3.




 $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \eta \gamma o v ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ ä入入ous $\alpha \pi \epsilon \in \delta \epsilon \xi \epsilon M_{i \lambda \eta \sigma i ́ \omega \nu} \epsilon \hat{L} \nu \alpha \iota$ ，$\tau \grave{o} \nu$

 ${ }^{2} E \phi \epsilon \sigma o \nu \pi \lambda o i ̂ \alpha ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ к \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \iota \pi o \nu ~ \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ K o \rho \eta \sigma \hat{Q}$ $\tau \hat{\eta} s$＇E $\phi \epsilon \sigma i ́ \eta s$,





${ }^{a}{ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu a^{\prime} \lambda \lambda o \nu \mathrm{~A} \mathrm{~B} \mathrm{C}:{ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, cett．


 $\sigma \nu \mu \mu a \chi i ́ a \nu$ éraтє́ $\rho \omega \nu \delta_{i \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \eta}$ ．Strabo， p． $44^{8}$ тঠ̀ $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ oừ $\pi \lambda \epsilon \in о \nu \dot{\omega} \mu 0 \lambda u ́ \gamma o v \nu$
 ＾ŋ入ávtov $\delta \iota \epsilon \nu \in \chi \theta \epsilon i ̂ \sigma a l ~ o u ̉ \delta ' ~ o u ̈ \tau \omega ~$




 $\chi \rho \bar{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota$ т $\eta \lambda \in \beta$ únos．The Chalci－ dians were in the end victorious． That Samos and Miletus took op－ posite sides，was probably due to trade jealousies．About this time （？end of the seventh century）the Chalcidic cities of Euboea would form a channel through which the Greeks of the East could trade with the West．

100．2．ধ̇v Kopךのヘ̂．Coresus was a hill about five miles from Ephesus
on the south bank of the Cayster and near the mouth．Down to the time of Croesus the Ephesians seem to have chiefly lived in the neigh－ bourhood of this mountain．The town at the foot was regarded at a later time as part of Ephesus．As Ephesus did not share in the revolt， we may suppose that the object of the expedition was concealed with as much care as possible from the Ephesian authorities．For this reason also the invaders did not march by the great road which connected Ephesus and Sardis，but along the river and the slopes of the mountains．

6．इápoıs．Cf．i．So．The city lay at the north foot of Mt．Tmolus， near the Hermus．The acropolis was a jutting spur of the mountain which rose above the town，inac－ cessible on every side but the south．

Sardis. b.C. 499; Ol. 70.2.








 Є่ $\nu \hat{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \iota, \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma o \lambda \alpha \mu \phi \theta \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \epsilon \pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau o \theta \epsilon \nu$ ढ̈ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon-$








 $\epsilon \epsilon \xi \alpha \nu \epsilon \chi \omega \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ $\delta \epsilon i ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ o ̛ p o s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ T \mu \hat{\omega} \lambda o \nu{ }^{\mathrm{b}} \kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon o ́-$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{\mathrm{b}} \tau \grave{\mathrm{~T}} \mathrm{~T} \mu \omega \bar{\omega}{ }^{2} \nu \text { Aldus, Krüg., Kallenberg. }
\end{aligned}
$$

101. 2. тó $\lambda_{i v}$. . й́ $\sigma \tau v$. There is no distinction here between these words ; both are intended to exclude the acropolis.
1. ̇̇v тท̂ $\pi$ ódı. Stein construes 'the acropolis,' but were there any Lydians in the acropolis? It means, I think, the whole city-acropolis
 ă $\sigma \tau v$ just below is the lower city. Thosc who were in the lower city
were driven to the open space of the market-place, and the banks of the Pactolus; and here they were finally joined in their resistance by the Persians from the acropolis.
 See the next chapter. For a similar anticipation of a fact subsequently related, sec supr. 37 Tùv $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \gamma-$ $\mu$ ย́vov.

Asiatic Coast. b.c. 499 ; Ol. 70. 2.






Defeat of the Ionians at Ephesus.






102. 2. Kvßそß $\beta$ 万s. More commonly Cybele in later authors. She was the great native goddess of the Phrygians and Lydians, whom the Greeks, as usual, identified with more than one of their own deities; sometimes with Rhea, as the mother of the gods; sometimes with Aphrodite; sometimes with Demeter; or again with Artemis as the lady of the wild woods ; Strabo, p. $4^{6} 9$ of







 $\beta \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta \nu$. IIer worship was known at Athens in the time of Pindar and Sophocles: Pind. Dith. Frag. бoi $\mu \grave{v} \nu \kappa a \tau \alpha ́ \rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu, \mu \hat{\alpha} \tau \in \rho \mu \epsilon \gamma \dot{\lambda} \lambda a$, $\pi \alpha \rho a ̀$

 $\pi \epsilon$ úkaus. Soph. I'hil. 39 I ठ оє $\sigma$ тє́ $\rho a$ $\pi \alpha \mu \beta \hat{\omega} \tau l \gamma \hat{a}, \mu \hat{a} \tau \epsilon \rho$ aùtô $\Delta i o ́ s, a ̂ ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ \nu$


то̀ $\sigma \kappa \eta \pi \tau о ́ \mu \in v o \iota, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. The temple of Branchidae was burnt vi. 19; the temples in Naxos vi. 96 ; and

 IOI; also the temple at Abre, viii. 33 ; and at Athens, viii. 53. Cf. viii.

 Yet the Persians respected Delos (vi. 97), and had evidently eonsiderable feeling about Delphi, ix. $4^{2.13}$.
4. voцoùs éXovтєs means apparently no more than 'stationed in.' Artaphernes was a satrap; but the other generals mentioned in the suppression of the revolt, Daurises, Hymaees and Otanes, were not. See Appendix I.
 words no doubt refer to victories in the great games, Olympia, Pythia, ete., in which the prize was a crown, and nothing more: cf. viii. 26. 7. IIerodotus is always careful to record distinctions of this kind: cf. supr. 47. Simonides of Ceos composed Epinicians no less than Pindar.

Asiatic Coast. b.c. 499; OL. 70. 2.

 $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \kappa \in \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\alpha} \varsigma \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \alpha s$.

 $\sigma \phi \epsilon ́ \alpha s ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha ̀ ~ \delta i ' ~ a ̉ \gamma \gamma \epsilon ́ \lambda \omega \nu ~ ' A \rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \gamma o ́ \rho \epsilon \omega, ~ o u ̉ k ~ \epsilon ' ф \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu$ $\tau \iota \mu \omega \rho \eta \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ b $\sigma \phi{ }^{\text {. }}$ "I $\omega \nu \epsilon s$ ठ̀ $\tau \hat{\eta} s{ }^{\text {' }} A \theta \eta \nu \alpha i ́ \omega \nu \quad \sigma \nu \mu \mu \alpha \chi i \eta s$










103. 7. Bú̧ávtıov. Byzantium was of course a Dorian colony-in no way connected with Ionia. But it had recently shown that it would be willing to be rid of Persian rule; c. 26. At what time Byzantium first fell into the power of Persia is not clear; it must have been before the expedition of Darius to Scythia, for Ariston of Byzantium is one of the tyrants who accompanied him to the Danube ( 5 I5 b. C.) iv. I 38 . Hellespont is here used in the same wider sense as supr.c. I.
 leave them free choice, but compelled them to revolt.
 $\sigma \pi \sigma^{2} \%{ }^{2}$. In this curious construction $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \lambda$. $\begin{gathered}\epsilon \\ \xi\end{gathered} \omega$ seem to be regarded
as one word $=\dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \lambda \ell \pi \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \nu \pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon_{0} \nu \tau \epsilon \epsilon$. So Kriiger: see infr. Io4. $9 \dot{\xi} \xi \in \lambda \theta \dot{\iota} \nu \tau a \tau \delta$
 $\pi \lambda \epsilon \in a \nu$.
II. $\tau \grave{\eta} v$ Kaûvov. The accusative is no doubt due to a change in construction. We expect $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon \kappa \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma a \nu \tau o$, but as Caunus came in willingly, the sentence ends differently, and the grammar is in disorder. The Caunians were not Greeks at all: cf. i. I72 oi $\delta$ è Kaúvıot aủrú $\chi$ $\theta o v \in s$
 Kрウ́тŋs фaбì єìval. In language they resembled the Carians, but their customs were neither like the Ca rians, nor any others. They fought bravely for their freedom when attacked by Harpagus, i. 176. I 4 .

Spread of the revolt. Byzantium, Caria.

Cyprus. b.c. 498; Ol. 70. 3.


Cyprus joins the revolt, 498 1.c.



 $\mu \grave{\nu}$ каi тро́тєрод тòv Гópyov тарทүорє́єто $\alpha \pi i ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$





 $\lambda \alpha \mu i ̂ \nu o s ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \epsilon \theta \epsilon ~ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha s ~ K v \pi p i ́ o u s ~ \sigma v \nu \alpha \pi i ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$.
 $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu o u s ~ o i ~ \pi \epsilon i ́ \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi о \lambda \iota о ́ \rho к \epsilon \epsilon \pi \rho о \sigma к \alpha \tau \eta ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о$.
104. Cyprus had come into the Persian Empire along with the subjugation of Phoenicia and Egypt, of which Amasis had made it a dependency, iii. 91: see infr. IIo. Amathus lay on the south coast of the island. Of the Kings of Salamis Ierodotus gives us the following names:-
i. Euelthon.
2. Siromus.
3. Chersis.

Gorsus. Onesilus. Philaon (viii. II).
As Euelthon is mentioned in iv. 162, in connection with Arcesilaus III of Cyrene, whose date is circa 530 3. C., we should expect his grandson at the most, certainly not his great-grandson, to be on the throne
in 498 b. c. We also find Siromus (Hiram) as the name of a king of Tyre 551-532 B.c., and the conjecture has been made that this ling of Tyre, or some relation of the same name, is for some reason included in the list of the kings of Salamis. The chronology of the kings of Soli presents exactly the opposite difficulty: see infr. II3. I3.

12. $\epsilon \notin \epsilon \cup \gamma \epsilon$ és Míסous. Herodotus uses the title ' Medes' to express the Persian Empire; cf. vii. 136, where Xerxes is addressed as 'King of the Medes.' The Persians had borrowed the dress and armature of the Medes, i. 135 ; vii. 62 ; vi. II 2.
13. ávє́ $\pi \epsilon \iota \theta \epsilon$, 'urged'; ảvє́ $\pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \epsilon$, 'persuaded.'

Susa，b．c． 497 ；Ol．70． 4.


 $\tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \sigma v \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \hat{\eta} s \quad \omega ̈ \sigma \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ $\sigma v \nu v \phi \alpha \nu \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ M \iota \lambda \eta$－
 $\theta \epsilon \tau \circ \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ ，＇I $\omega \nu \omega \nu$ oư $\epsilon \in \nu \alpha$ 入óyov $\pi о \iota \eta \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu, \epsilon \hat{\dot{v}} \epsilon i-$

 $\tau \eta ̂ \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau o ́ \xi o \nu, \lambda \alpha \beta o ́ v \tau \alpha ~ \delta ̀ ̀ ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \epsilon ́ \pi \iota \theta \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \alpha ~ o ̉ \iota \sigma \tau o ̀ \nu ~ a ̈ \nu \omega ~$













$$
{ }^{2} \tau \dot{\partial} \nu-\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o ́ v \text { secl. St. }
$$

105．4．Tท̂s $\sigma u \lambda \lambda o \gamma \eta ิ s$ ，＇the coalition，＇i．e．the combination of Athenians and Ionians．$\check{\boldsymbol{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon}$ is used more widely in Herodotus than in Attic：cf．supp． 92 a． 7 тои̂то $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ ：vi．5．I1 $\pi \epsilon \dot{\prime} \theta \epsilon \iota \nu \ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ ．

8．$\mu \in \tau \grave{\alpha} \delta \dot{\delta} \epsilon$ ，к．т．入．The action was intended，no doubt，to attract the attention of the deity，who was lord of the sky．Cf．i．I3I tòv кúк入ov пávта тô̂ oủpavoû Día $^{\prime}$
$\kappa \alpha \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \neq \nu \tau \epsilon s$, of the Persians．
II．éк $\boldsymbol{\gamma \in v} v^{\prime} \sigma \theta a \mathrm{l}$ ．The infinitive expresses a wish；supply $\delta$ ós or the like．＇May I succeed in．＇

13．＇́s tois，＇non semel，sed non plus quam ter，＇Schwgh．

14．$\mu \dot{\prime} \mu \nu \in 0$ ，＇pro $\mu \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \eta \sigma o$ ，quasi a praet．$\mu^{\prime} \epsilon \nu \eta \mu a \iota$ novum verbum $\mu \epsilon^{\prime} \mu$－ voual fuisset formatum．＇Schwgh．
 circ．515－497．























$$
{ }^{a} \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \tau^{\prime} \rho \eta \kappa \epsilon \mathrm{r}(\mathrm{sv}), \mathrm{Cob} ., \text { Van H., Kall. }
$$


 infr. II6. 2.
év airin $\sigma$ Xn̂s, 'have to blame yourself,' i. e. get yourself into trouble, if it should be proved the revolt was due to him.

I6. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \sigma \epsilon ́ o, ~ w i t h ~ a ̀ \xi t є \hat{u} \mu \alpha$.

supr. $73 . \mathrm{I}_{5}$.
 ठє̀ ov̉סє̀ тоиิто ők $\delta \rho \in s$ ф́́ovтaı.
23. ảváatraotov, supr. 12, vi. 32 of removing persons from their home.
26. ãv . . . vitєкívŋбєє, 'would have stirred, in the least.' Arist. Fross,
 " $\delta \eta$ s (flinching).

Cyprus. b.c. 498-497; OI. 70. 3, 4.










 бíh $\omega$ ' $A \mu \alpha$ Oovoíous $\epsilon \in \xi \alpha \gamma \gamma \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ \nu \eta v \sigma i ̀ ~ \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau i \eta ̀ \nu ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda \eta ̀ \nu$






$$
\text { a } \epsilon \kappa \delta \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \text { Krüg., Cob., alii. }
$$

3r. éxסv́gacӨau. Cobet would read ès $\boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\delta} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ with Naber and Krüger, (1) because the aorist is indefensible; (2) because $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \delta \dot{\delta} \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota$ is barbarous, $\delta \hat{v} v a \iota$ being the classical form. Cf. vi. 2. 5 note. But see Goodwin, Syntax § 136 for the aorist. The middle form of $\dot{a} \pi o \delta$. occurs in Plato and Lysias. Schwgh. suggests that $k \iota \theta \omega \dot{\omega}$ here is $=\theta \dot{\omega} \rho \eta \xi$, comparing vii. 6I кı$\theta \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon s$ Хєıрı $\delta \omega \tau o l$

33. v $\eta$ бoov $\tau \grave{\eta} v \mu \in \gamma^{i} \sigma \tau \eta v$. Cf. i. 1 7 o. 8 where Sardinia is described as $\nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \sigma \dot{\epsilon} \omega \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma \dot{\prime} \sigma \tau \eta \nu$. The seven largest islands were Sardinia, Sicily, Cyprus, Crete, Euboea, Corsica, Lesbos (Strabo, p. 654); some
authorities placed Sicily first, others Sardinia; as a fact Sardinia is slightly the larger : see Smith, Dict. Geogr. sub voce. The island was regarded as the most desirable object of colonising energy ; cf. i. l. c.; infr. 124. 8. Herodotus seems to have heard nothing of the bad climate, which was well known to later writers.
108. I. द̇v ※ֻ, i.e. between 499 B.c. and 497 b.c. Histiaeus cannot have reached the coast before the departure of Aristagoras from Miletus, which occurred shortly before his death in 497 в. с.
ri. kai oi Mépoal, к.т. $\lambda$. The Phoenicians conveyed the soldiers

 $109 \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \kappa \rho \eta \nu \alpha \hat{\imath} \kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon \hat{v} \nu \tau \alpha \iota K \lambda \eta \hat{i} \delta \epsilon s$ $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ Kúmpov. тои́тov
 $\sigma v \gamma \kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'I $\omega \nu \omega \nu$ тò̀s $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \eta \gamma 0 u ́ s$, " $\alpha \nu \delta \rho \epsilon S$








 ${ }^{*} I \omega \nu \epsilon s$ т




from Cilicia to Cyprus, and then prepared for action at sea. The army was apparently landed on the north coast of the island ; the ships then sailed round the N.E. promontory, Cape St. Andrea, to join it off Salamis, to which the Persians marched across the island. The ' Keys' appear to have been strietly the name of two small islands off the promontory ; so Strabo, p. 682. Pliny ealls the promontory Dinaretum ; Ptolemy 'the ox-tail.' See Smith, Dict. Gcogr. Cleides.
109. 2. oi $\tau$ úpavvol $\tau \hat{y}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{K}$ Kúmpov,
 The princes of Cyprus differed from
the thorough-going Greek 'tyrant' in the fact that they were hereditary monarchs; but Herodotus is not preeise in these matters: in vii. ${ }_{5} 5$ Gelo is a túpayvos, in ib. IGI he is
 So Telys at Sybaris, supr. 44. 2. note.
7. $\tilde{\omega}_{\rho} \eta=$ каи $\rho o ́ s$, viii. $144 \cdot{ }^{29} \pi \rho i \nu$

 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ Botwrínv.
13. тò kotvóv is no doubt the representative body of the Ionians assembled at the Panionion; ef. 10S. io "I $\omega \nu \in s$ ßou $\lambda \in v \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o l$.


 $\psi \alpha \nu \tau 0^{\circ} \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ ס̀̀ $\dot{\eta} \kappa o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ є́s $\tau \grave{o} \pi \epsilon \delta i ́ o \nu$ тò $\sum \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \nu \nu i ́ \omega \nu$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \Pi_{\epsilon \rho \sigma \epsilon ́ \omega \nu, ~ \delta \iota \epsilon ́ \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma o \nu ~ o i ~} \beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu K \nu \pi \rho i ́ \omega \nu$, тoùs







 $5 \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau о \hat{\tau} \tau о \nu$ " $\pi \nu \nu \theta \dot{\alpha} \nu о \mu \iota \iota$ тòv 'A


110. The most important cities in Cyprus were Salamis, Citium, Amathus, Curium, Marium, Solis, Lapcthus, Ceryneia, and Paphos. Of these Salamis and Coli were supposed to be closely connected with Athens; Salamis being founded by Teucer, the half-brother of Ajax, whose descendants were kings of the city (the Teueridae), and Soli being founded by Phalerus and Acamas (Strabo, 683), and refounded under the auspices of Solon (cf. Slut. Sol. 26). Curium was a colony of Argos; info. 113. Amathus was the last home of the aborigines, and more firmly attached than any other city to Persia. See Scylax, ion, Steph. B. 'A $\mu$ a $\theta$ ouse, Theopomp. frag. inri. The plain of the Salaminians is the large plain which occupies
the centre of the island from east to west, traversed by the river Pe daieus.
111. For the bravery of the Carians, see info. II 8 f.
5. $\pi v v \theta$ ávopal. This conversation of Artybius and his shield-bearer is an extreme instance of the habit of throwing the narrative into the dramatic form, which is so common with Herodotus. We may compare with it the conversation of Darius and Oebares, iii. 85 . Other conversations in this book whieh are probably due to the dramatic genius of Herodotus are that of Cleomenes and Aristagoras; of the Ionians and the Cyprians; of Darius and Histiaeus; and the scenc at the court of Amyntas of Macedonia.
6. $\pi \rho$ òs $\tau$ óv $=$ toûtov $\pi \rho$ òs tóv.

Cyprus. b.c. 498-497; Ol. 70. 3, 4.
 $\alpha u ̛ \tau o ̀ \nu ' A \rho \tau र ́ \beta \iota o \nu$." єîmє $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha ~ o ̀ ~ o ̉ \pi \alpha ́ \omega \nu ~ \alpha u ́ \tau o v ̂ ~ " ~ \hat{\omega}$












battle of Salamis: treachery and defeat of the Cyprians. $\mu \iota \sigma \gamma \epsilon \quad \tau \grave{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau o ́ \pi \epsilon \delta \alpha \quad \pi \epsilon\left\langle\hat{\eta} \hat{\eta}\right.$ каì $\nu \eta v \sigma i ́ . \quad \nu \eta v \sigma \grave{\imath} \mu^{\prime} \epsilon \nu \nu v$








a $\pi \rho о ф \epsilon$ fé $\epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu \mathrm{~s}$, Schäf.
${ }^{1} \sigma \nu \nu \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta o \nu \mathrm{r}$ (sv) Krüg., Van II. c $\sigma v \mu \pi \epsilon \sigma o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~s}$ St.
13. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \phi \in \rho \in \notin \tau \epsilon \rho o v$. Stein retains this reading, translating 'more serviceable to.' But might not the word mean, 'more suitable to,' 'in accordance with'?
 quotes Virg. Aen. x. $8_{29}$ Hoc tamen infelix miseram solabere mortem, Aencae magni dextra eadis.
112. 2. v $\eta v \sigma i \quad \mu^{\prime} \in v$ groes with ขiтєрєßá入ovтo.
5. $\sigma v v \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon$. . . ̇́ $\mu$ áxovтo. The variation may mark the difference between the mass of the armies, and the men fighting separately; but ef. II3. 8.
8. $\kappa a \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau a ́=\kappa a \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau a u ̂ \tau a ~ \tau \alpha ́ . ~$

Cyprus. bic. 498-497; OL. 70. 3, 4.

 $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \eta \gamma o ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \Pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$ of $\mu o \hat{v} \quad \tau \hat{\varphi}$ in $\pi \pi \omega$ $\pi i \pi \tau \tau \in \iota \quad \alpha u ̛ \tau o \hat{v}$

 $5 \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\iota}$ є́ $\omega v \tau o ̀ \nu$ oủ $\sigma \mu \iota \kappa \rho \eta \eta^{\bullet}$ oi ס̀ Kovpléєs oîtol $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o \nu \tau \alpha \iota$


 $\pi \epsilon ́ \rho \tau \epsilon \rho o \iota \quad \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu$ oi $\Pi \epsilon ́ \rho \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Kv $К \rho i ́ \omega \nu$. $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \alpha \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu c v$
 'Oעи́б८入ós $\tau \epsilon$ ó X'́ $\rho \sigma \iota o s$, os $\pi \epsilon \rho \tau \grave{\eta} \nu K v \pi \rho i ́ \omega \nu$ ar $\pi o ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$



$$
\text { a è } \pi o i ́ \epsilon o \nu \operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{sv}) .
$$

ii. $\delta \rho \in \pi a ́ v ต ุ$. . The scythe formed part of the armour of the Carians ; vii. 93 . 5 .
113. 5. oi $\delta \mathfrak{e}$ Kouplées, к.т. $\lambda$. Cf. Strabo, 683. Stephanus Byz. on the other hand speaks of the city as named after Cureus the son of Cinyras, which would point to a Phoenician or Oriental origin. At the time of the decline of Tyre, and perhaps owing to the Philhellenic policy of Amasis of Egypt, the Greeks had far greater power in Cyprus than afterwards-and some cities of Phoenician origin may have then fallen into Greek hands.
7. $\pi о \lambda є \mu \tau \sigma \tau \mathfrak{j} \rho \iota a$ ar $\rho \mu a \tau a$. Warchariots are used in Homer, but this is the only trace of them in historical times in Greece. We hear of sixty chariots in a procession at Eretria (Strabo, p. 448), but were
these war-chariots? Themistocles receives as a present the finest chariot (o ́Xos) at Sparta (Herod. viii. 124. II), where war-chariots were never in use. Xenophon in the Cyropaedeia has much to say about war-chariots, and in the oracle, Xerxes comes $\Sigma v \rho \iota \eta \gamma \in \nu$ ès äp $\mu \alpha \delta_{i} \omega$ $\kappa \omega \nu$ (vii. 140. 10). In Ken. Anal. i. 7. 10 scythe-chariots are mentoned.
13. Tòv $\Sigma o ́ \lambda \omega v, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. The story is told in Plat. Sol. 26. On his return from Egypt, where he had visited Amasis, Solon arrived at Cyprus and was hospitably entertaine by Philocyprus, iss $\epsilon i \chi \in \nu$ out
 $\phi \hat{\omega} \nu t o s(? A c a m a s$, see ilo) tow

 $\chi \in \rho \in ́ \sigma \iota$ каї фаú入ots $\kappa \in \iota \mu \in \neq \eta \nu$. On

Cyprus. в.c. 498-497: OL. 70. 3,4 .






 $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ oi ' $A \mu \alpha \theta o v ́ \sigma \iota o \iota, ~{ }_{\epsilon} \mu \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \theta \eta \quad \sigma \phi \iota \quad \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \quad к \epsilon \phi \alpha \lambda \eta \nu \nu$



 $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho \eta \dot{\gamma} \mu \mu \tau \alpha$ $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ 'O $\nu \eta \sigma i ́ \lambda o v$ $\delta \iota \epsilon \phi \theta \alpha \rho \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \alpha$ каi $\tau \grave{\alpha} s \pi o ́ \lambda \iota s$


Solon's advice he removed the city to a more commodious site in the plain, and set it out on a larger scale. Solon commemorated his visit in some elegiac lines. But if this occurred in $590-580$ в.c., is it likely that the son of Philocyprus would be on the throne in 497 b.c.? This, like many facts in Herodotus, points to a later date for Solon's visit to Egypt, etc. See Appendix 1 I.
114. We may perhaps compare with this chapter the story of the hero Cleomedes of Astypalaea which is told by Paws. vi. 9. 5 ff. Cleomedes killed his opponent Iccus in the boxing match ( 7 2 nd Olymp. $=49^{2}$ в. C.), for which he was deprived of his prize. In his rage he went mad, and returning to Astypalaea, he pulled down a pillar which supported the roof in a room where were a number of boys (about sixty).

He then took refuge in a chest in the temple of Athena, and the Astypalaeans, being unable to open the chest, broke it, but found no trace of Cleomedes dead or alive. On consulting the oracle at Delphi, they received the answer: vistas

 évota. In this case, as in that of Onesilus, a man is worshipped as a hero, though he lias done great harm to those who worship him. For the worship of heroes, see Appendix 12.
 be more strictly correct. Cf. ii. 44. 22 каì т̣̂ $\mu \grave{̀} \nu \dot{\omega} s$ dàaváta

 offering to the hero was burnt; that to the god was eaten: see Pays. ii. ${ }^{10}$. .

$$
\text { E. (v.) } 113^{-117}
$$

Hellespont. bic. 498; OI. 70. 3.
 $\lambda \alpha \mu \nu \nu i ́ o u s ~ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta o ́ v \tau \alpha s, ~ \alpha u ̛ \tau i ́ k \alpha ~ \mu \alpha \theta o ́ v \tau \epsilon s ~ o i ́ ~ " I \omega \nu \in s ~ \tau \alpha \hat{v}-$


 го $\Pi_{\epsilon} \rho \sigma \alpha \iota$.















 the situation of Coli, which made the mine more feasible, the note on c. 113.13 .
116. 2. $\Delta$ aupíaŋs. Cf. super. c. 102.
 among themselves.' The arrangement by which Daurises went to the Hellespont and to Caria, where he joined Amorges and Sisamaces (info. 12I , Hymaces to the Propontis, and Otanes to Ionia and Aeolis, appears
to have been merely temporary. We cannot eonelude from it that Daurises and Otanes were satraps in the ordinary sense of the word. In fact Oebares and Artaphernes were the satraps at this time: suffr. 25 ; vi. 33. 18. See Appendix 1.
 day': supt. e. 53.8. The words imply that he not only took each town on one day, but that the days were successive, 'one a day': so in ii. 168.10 , iv. 112.4.

## Caria. b.c. 498 ; Ol. 70.3.




The Persians in Caria: at first victorious, they are finally defeated.












${ }^{\text {a }} \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon$ ? St.
118. 4. This Carian Marsyas must be distinguished from the Phrygian river of the same name, vii. 26 , which had its sources in the marketplace of Celaenae. Both rivers flow into the Maeander, the Carian nearly opposite to Tralles; the Phrygian a little below Celaenae. See Smith, Dict. Geogr. The modern name of this river is Tshina. The 'White Pillars' appears to have been the meeting place of the Carian 'community.' Strabo, p. 660 'i $\gamma \gamma$ ùs $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi_{0} \lambda \epsilon \omega \omega$ (Stratonicea) $\tau \grave{c} \tau o \hat{v} \chi \rho v$ -
 єis ל̂ бvvíaбı $\theta \dot{v} \sigma o \nu \tau \epsilon ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а \grave{~ \beta o v \lambda \epsilon v-~}$ $\sigma o ́ \mu \in \nu 0 \iota \pi \in \rho i \tau \omega \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$. (The town of Stratonicea was founded by the Macedonians on the site of Idrias.)
6. ápíбтך $\gamma \epsilon$ : ' $\gamma \epsilon$ belongs to
 Stein.
7. The Mausôlus here mentioned was no doubt an ancestor of the king of Halicarnassus, $377-353$ B. c., whose memory has been rendered famous by the tomb which his widow erected. This Mausôlus had a brother Pixôdarus; Strabo, 656 . The termination olus is Carian; cf. Ibanolis, supr. 37 .
8. Syennesis was the title of the kings of Cilicia : in i. 74 we hear of a Syennesis who was reigning in the early part of the sixth century B.c. The Syennesis here mentioned is probably the same who in vii. 9 S is enumerated among the commanders of the fleet: Syennesis, the son of Oromedon. A third Syennesis was contemporary with Cyrus the younger: Xen. Anab. i. 2. 21, etc.; Hell. iii. I. I.

Carta. b.c. 498 ; Ol. 70.3.

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \Pi_{\epsilon \rho \sigma \epsilon ́ \omega \nu} \gamma^{\prime} \varphi \eta \tau \alpha \iota$ каi $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \sigma \omega \theta \epsilon \epsilon \omega \sigma \iota ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \quad \sigma \nu \mu \beta о \lambda \hat{p}$, $\dot{\varsigma}$








 ${ }^{10} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ท̀ $\mu \epsilon i \hat{s}$ í $\delta \mu \in \nu$ Kâpєs єíai oì $\Delta i i \quad \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau i ́ \varphi ~ \theta v \sigma i ́ a s$






```
    a \(\lambda o \gamma \iota \zeta o ́ \mu \in \nu 0 \iota\) (vel simile aliquid) \(\delta \eta \lambda a \delta \eta^{\prime}\) St.
b \(\lambda \alpha ́ \beta \rho \alpha v \nu \delta a \mathrm{~A} \mathrm{~B}: \lambda a ́ \beta \rho \alpha \nu \delta \alpha \mathrm{C}: \lambda \alpha ́ \beta \rho v \nu \delta a \operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{sv})\).
```

 The Carians marched down the river from Idrias, but not so far as the Maeander. The battle probably took place on the left bank of the river below Alabanda. After their defeat, they retired into the district of Mylasa. Labraunda was a village about sixty stades from Mylasa, and connected with it by a sacra via, along which processions went from the town to the temple : see Strabo, p. 659. (In Carian $\lambda \alpha ́ \beta \rho u s$ meant 'axe,' and Zeus Labraundeus is Zeus of the battle-axe?) Strabo dis-
tinguishes three temples of Zeus: one at Mylasa, of Osogo ; a second of Zeus Labrandenus, or Zeus Stratius, at Labraunda. These two temples were confined to Carians. The third temple, also at Mylasa, was that of Carian Zeus, common to all the Carians, Mysians and Lydians: cf. supr. 37. 2, i. 171.27. Distinct from these temples in the district of My lasa was the temple of Zeus Chrysaoreus (c. II8. 4 note) though this may have been the same deity as Zeus Stratius and Labrandenus.
120. 2. Mı入ウ́бьot. No attempt

## Caria．b．c．498；Ol．70． 3.










 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu, \Delta \alpha v \rho i \sigma \eta s$ каi＇A $\quad$ о́р $\gamma \eta s$ каi $\sum_{\iota \iota \sigma \alpha \mu \alpha ́ к \eta s ' ~ \sigma \grave{\nu} \nu}$


[^4]had as yet been made by the Persians on Miletus，which was apparently left till the more outlying districts had been subdued．The Persians in this case followed up their victory and pursued the Carians to Mylasa， between which and Alabanda this second battle must have taken place．
 $\lambda \hat{\omega} v$ ．＇In the nom．$\epsilon \in \pi \in \sigma 0 \nu$ oi $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s$ по入入oi，＇Kriiger，which is surely better than Stein＇s suggestion that $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \omega \nu$ is partitive．
 ávé $\lambda a \beta o v$. （i）We may take $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ̀$ $\delta '$ adverbially，and translate the rcst＇they made good this disaster＇ cf．viii．109． 9 àvaцáұє $\sigma \theta a i ́ \tau \epsilon \kappa а i$
 or（2）connect $\tau 0 \hat{\tau} \tau o ~ \tau \grave{\partial} \tau \rho \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha$ with $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ́$ and translate dévé $\lambda a \beta o \nu$＇re－ covered themselves．＇The words $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\iota} \tau \rho \hat{\omega} \mu a \tau o v ̂ \tau o$ are so connected
in iv． 160 ． I $_{5}$ ．The rhythm of the sen－ tence is in favour of（2），but there is no other example of àvàaرßávetv intransitive in Herod．Krüger refers to Stallbaum on Plato Rep． 467 B， where $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \hat{v}$ is taken intransi－ tively．
 fortunately the site of Peclasum or Pedasa is uncertain．Strabo，p．6ry says $\grave{\epsilon} \nu$ ס̀̀ $\tau \hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon \sigma o \gamma a i ́ a ~ \tau \hat{\omega} v$＇A入ıкар－

 $\delta a \sigma i s ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota:$ and again $\Pi \dot{\eta} \delta \alpha \sigma o \nu ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$
 $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ．On the other hand，part of the territory of the Milesians is given to the Carians of Pedasum（vi．20）， which implies that it was not far from that city．Perhaps Pedasa and Pedasum were distinct places．

7．Múpoos ó 「úyec．The names remind us of the monarchs of Lydia ：
 $\lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \epsilon$ и́s.

















${ }^{a}$ Sone $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\mathrm{\eta}} \mathrm{~s}$ Mvóíns? Mall.

Candaules, 'whom the Greeks call Myrsilus,' i. 7, and Gyges. It is possible he was a Carian of Pedasum who took the Persian side, and that it was owing to his assistance that the inhabitants of Pedasum were rewarded with part of the Milesian land.
122. 4. For Clos, see Strabo, p. 564. It was the place where Hylas disappeared from the Argonauts, and the city was founded by Clos on his return from Colchis: kail $\nu \hat{v} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ ' $\epsilon \tau \iota$
 $\epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \iota \nu$ (the later name of Clos) каi


 " $\xi 0 \delta o v$. Strabo fixes the boundaries of Mysia at the river Aesepus (west) and the Lake Ascania (east).
8. Aiohéas, к.т.入. Cf. i. isis, where the cities on Ida are treated as a separate section of the Aeolians ; and supr.c. 94. II. For the Gergithes, see vii. 43. I3. Strabo, 589 : $\mathfrak{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \nu \delta_{\grave{\epsilon}} \tau \hat{\eta}$





 ムарíббך.


Suppression of the revolt in the Propontis; \&c.123
$\qquad$

 goras in alarm retires to Myrcinus, where he is slain.








 таíov $\mu \in ́ \nu$ vuv $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ ' $H \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha ́ \nu \delta \rho o v, ~ \alpha ̀ \nu \delta \rho o ̀ s ~ \lambda o \gamma o \pi o \iota o v, ~$








 סté $\delta \in \xi \epsilon$ is probably impersonal : 'as was clear.' Schwgh. quotes ii. I 34 . 16 $\dot{\omega} s \delta_{t} \in \delta \delta \xi \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} \delta \epsilon$ oủk $\ddot{\eta}_{\eta}^{\prime \prime} ル \sigma \tau a$, iii. 82. 16.
2. Kriiger would omit oैs to avoid the anacoluthon, but in any case the sentence is not well constructed. The statement is that Aristagoras contcmplated flight ; and for this two reasons are given: (1) his cowardice: (2) his feeling that the power of Darius was too much for him.
3. Є̇үкєраба́ $\mu є$ vos $\pi \rho$ и́үната $\mu \epsilon-$ $\gamma^{\alpha} \lambda a$, 'having stirred up great confusion.'

9. Múpkıvov. Cf. suptr. c. 11.
125. 1. Ekaraíov. See supr. c. 36.
3. ${ }^{\epsilon} \phi \in \rho \varepsilon \dot{\eta} \gamma v \omega ́ \mu \eta$, as in 118. 9. $\sigma \tau^{\prime} \hat{} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota v$ is intransitive.
6. Teos lay to the south-west of Miletus, of which city it was a colony and a dependency. In the tribute lists it is treated as a part of Miletus.
 An exact parallel does not scem to occur in Herodotus, who, however, has тav́т! $\pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \tau o s ~ \gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta \nu \quad \epsilon i \mu i ́ i$. 120. 19; and тav́т $\eta$ каì $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu ~ \tau \hat{\eta}$


Thrace. be. 497 ; OI. 70. 4.


 'Aрıбтаүо́рךs каì ò $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau o ̀ s ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau о \hat{v}, \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota к \alpha \tau \eta ́ \mu \epsilon-$

7. ámó $\lambda \lambda$ vital. The Thracians Thucydides iv. Io 2, who puts sixty offered to come out on terms, and on receiving permission, they came out and fell upon Aristagoras and his army, who were not prepared for an attack. No details are known. The Thracians were the Edonians: the town may have been Ennea Hodoi. The date is fixed by
years between this attempt of Aristagoras and the colonisation by Hagnon. The colony at Amphipolis is placed in 437 B.C.(Diod.), so that the death of Aristagoras would fall in 497 B.C. See Clinton, Fast. Hell., sub anna 4.3.

## A P P ENDIX

## EXCURSUS I.

V. 25.

SATRAPS AND GENERALS.
(i) In the enumeration of the satrapies of the Persian empire, given in iii. 90 , Herodotus assigns the territory in Asia west of the Halys with the Cappadocians to three satrapies, of which

The first includes the Ionians, Magnesians in Asia, Aeolians, Carians, Lycians, Milyae, and Pamphylians ;
The second includes the Mysians, the Lydians, Lasonians, Cabalians, and Hygennians;
The third includes the Hellespontines in Asia, the Phrygians, Thracians in Asia, Paphlagonians, Mariandynians, Syrians (i. e. Cappadocians, who are beyond, i.e. eastward, of the Halys) ;
and in iii. 127 these three satrapies are spoken of as the Ionic, Lydian, and Phrygian respectively. But though these three satrapies were thus clearly distinguished in description, it is difficult to recognise the distinction in the events of history. (a) The residences of the second and third were Sardis, and Dascyleum, on the shore of the Propontis; but the residence of the first is unknown. (b) In iii. 120 we are told that Oroetes was satrap of Sardis, in ib. 122 he is at Magnesia (in the Ionian satrapy), and in $\mathbf{1} 26$ he slays Mitrobates, the satrap of Dascyleum, after which, in c. 127 , he appears in possession of the three satrapies. But we never hear that he removed any one from the Ionian satrapy, and indeed he seems to have had control
of the Ionian satrapy from the first, or why should Mitrobates reproach him with the independence of Samos-an Ionian island (iii. 126)? (c) In the Peloponnesian war we hear of two principal satraps only: Pharnabazus of Dascyleum and Tissaphernes of Sardis, ' whom Darius the son of Artaxerxes had appointed to be general of the forces on the coast of Asia.' Thuc. viii. 5.
(2) On the other hand in v. 102 Herodotus informs us that the generals who had districts ( $\nu 0 \mu \circ$ i) within (i. e. west of) the Halys, united their forces and marched to the relief of Sardis. These generals are three in number, Otanes, Hymaees, and Daurises. In this case we seem once more to be carried back to a triple division of the territory west of the Halys. But it is improbable that these generals-whatever is meant by the three vouoi-were satraps. In the first place Artaphernes, who is vinapरos $\Sigma a p \delta i \omega v$, is being besieged in Sardis at the time when the three generals march to his assistance, and Otanes, one of the three generals, had been nominated captain of the forces on the sea-board at the time when Artaphernes was made satrap of Sardis (v. 25). In the second place the three generals after pursuing the Greeks to Ephesus and defeating them there, divide the revolted cities among them. Daurises takes the Hellespont, which he leaves subsequently for Caria, where he is slain; Hymaees takes the Propontis, and on hearing that Daurises had gone to Caria, moves to the Hellespont, and dies in the Troad (v. 122). Otanes joins Artaphernes in attacking the cities of Ionia and Aeolis (v. 123). This 'division' would not have taken place if Daurises and Hymaees had had definite districts already assigned to them as satraps; on the contrary, when making the division, they were evidently about to move into districts different from those already allotted to them. Hence Daurises cannot be the satrap of Phrygia as is sometimes supposed ${ }^{1}$. It is not so clear that Otanes is not satrap of Ionia, but it may be said (r) that he is never entitled $\tilde{i} \pi a \rho \chi o s$, which is the usual term for satrap; (2) that he has a special title as 'general

[^5]of the forces on the seacoast ${ }^{1},(3)$ that Artaphernes settles the tribute of the Ionians.

Krumbholtz, De Asiae Minoris Satrapis Persicis (p. 23), is of opinion that Otanes was satrap of Phrygia. For this there is little to be said, for why did he not go to suppress the mutiny in what, on this hypothesis, was his own satrapy? After the conquest of Cyme and Clazomenae in concert with Artaphernes, he disappears from view.

So far therefore as our evidence goes, we cannot point to any clear proof of the existence of an Ionian or Aeolian satrap, independent of the satrap of Sardis. This satrapy seems to have formed a part of the more important Lydian satrapy, though it was, for financial purposes, regarded as distinct ${ }^{2}$.
(3) The relations of the generals and the satraps cannot be made clear. In v. 25 Artaphernes is satrap of Sardis, and Otanes is general $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a \rho a \theta a \lambda a \sigma \sigma i \omega \nu a ̀ \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$; yet in ib. 30 Artaphernes is
 $\pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$ кaì $\pi о \lambda \lambda a ̀ s{ }^{\nu}$ véas. In the attack upon Naxos we never hear of Otanes; Aristagoras applies to Artaphernes, who communicates with Darius, and appoints Megabates to the command of the expedition. Yet no sooner has the revolt broken out than Otanes appears once more. We also hear of Harpagus as a Persian general in Atarneus, who acts in concert with Artaphernes, but to what degree, if any, he is subordinate to him, we cannot tell. Lastly, when Mardonius appears upon the scene, all the other generals are removed, and even Artaphernes is reduced to the second place. At any rate we find Mardonius making changes at his pleasure in the cities of Ionia, as Artaphernes had done before him.

The general result to which we come is that there were prac-

[^6]tically two satrapies in Asia, west of the Halys, and that the cities of the coast from Cyme southwards were controlled from Sardis. But at times the satrap was allowed an extraordinary degree of power, as seems to have been the case with Artaphernes (Herod. v. 30) and Tissaphernes (Thuc. viii. 5) ; at others an independent officer was sent down to supersede him, with plenipotentiary powers ; such officers were Mardonius and Cyrus. As a rule the satrap was a civil rather than a military officer ; and the forces necessary for the control of the empire were placed under generals who were independent of him. Perhaps the districts of the satraps and those of the generals were designedly intended to cross one another, or the generals were moved from place to place. See on the subject Krumbholtz, l. c., where all the evidence bearing on it is collected.
EXCURSUS II.
STEMMA OF THE ACHAEMENIDS. V. 32.

$\varepsilon$ denotes a daughter or wife, whose name is unknown.

## EXCURSUS III.

## V. 39 .

## NOTE ON THE CHRONOLOGY OF THE REIGN

 of CLEOMENES.From Herod. i. 67 we learn that Anaxandridas, the father of Cleonenes, was on the throne of Sparta in the time of Croesus, i.e. circ. $450 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{c}$; ; and as we may conclude from the story of his childless wife that his children were born to him late in life, we may fix $\grave{a}$ priori 420 B.c. as a probable date for the accession of Cleomenes, who would be quite young at the time.

If we accept the date given by Thucydides iii. 68 for the beginning of the alliance of Plataea and Athens, i.e. $9^{2}$ years before the destruction of the city in 427 B.c., we can at once fix $5^{\text {r }} 9$ в. c. as falling within the reign of Cleomenes. But this date, as is well known, is contested on the ground that we can give no reason why Cleomenes should have been in Boeotia in 5 I9 в. с.; and we know from Herodotus that he was in Boeotia when the Plataeans applied to him (vi. ro8). And what is more important still-for we do not know all the movements of Cleomenes-the Spartan king had no reason in 5 r9 в. с., when the Peisistratids were still on the throne of Athens, to embitter the Thebans against the Athenians, unless indeed we suppose that this was a move to counteract the friendship between the Argives and the Peisistratids. But from other evidence it is pretty clear that Cleomenes was on the throne as early as 519 b.c. It is to him that Maeandrius applies for help after his expulsion from Samos (iii. $\mathrm{r}_{4} 8$ ). Now Maeandrius was expelled soon after the death of Polycrates, which occurred in

522 B.c., and by 515 B.c.-i.e. at the date of the Scythian expedition-Syloson, who was restored to Samos by Darius, after the expulsion of Maeandrius, had been succeeded on the Samian throne by his son Aeaces. There is therefore no reason why Cleomenes should not have succeeded Anaxandridas in 520 or cven a little earlier.

Among the carlier events of his reign we must place the attempts of his half-brother Dorieus to found colonies in Africa and Sicily. If the voyage of Dorieus to Sicily coincided with the destruction of Sybaris, we have a date for it: 5 ro b.c. Before this he had been in Libya, where he remained two years (v. 42). This brings us to $5^{12}$ B. c. at the latest for the first colony. But from the fact that Dorieus took out these colonies in vexation that his brother Cleomenes had been allowed to succeed (v. 39, 42), we should suppose that the first attempt, at any rate, was made soon after the accession of Cleomenes, and as 512 b.c. is too late for this, wc may suppose that somc time elapsed between the voyage to Libya and the voyage to Sicily. The first may be placed three or four years earlier than $512 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{c}$.

The invasion of Attica in order to expel the Peisistratidae took place in $510 \mathrm{~b} . \mathrm{c}$.; the restoration of Isagoras to power in 508 в. с., which is the date of the archonship of Isagoras; the attempt to establish Isagoras as tyrant was in 507 or 506 ; and the meeting of the allies to discuss the restoration of Hippias may be placed three or four years later--allowing time for Cleomenes to discover the fraud which had been practised at the Delphian temple by the Alcmaeonidae. In 500 b. c. Aristagoras arrived at Sparta.

After the visit of Aristagoras we hear no more of Cleomenes till the arrival of the envoys of Darius in Greece, which falls in 491 в. c. The events recorded in connection with this are as follows :-
r. Cleomenes visits Acgina alone and is repelled by Crius.
2. Cleomenes brings about the deposition of Demaratus and the accession of Leotychidas-bribing the Pythia for this object.
3. Cleomenes proceeds with Leotychidas to Aegina, receives hostages, and places them with the Athenians.
4. The fraud of Cleomenes being discovered, he retires to Thessaly.
5. Cleomenes returns to Arcadia, and begins to combine the Arcadians against Sparta. He is recalled to the throne.
6. Madness and death of Cleomenes.

The period occupied by these events can only be guessed at. It seems pretty clear that Cleomenes was not at Sparta in 490 , or we should have heard of him ; but whether he was dead or absent from the city is uncertain. The date therefore of the death of Cleomenes cannot be precisely fixed: it is not before 490 B. c. nor long after.

On these calculations the reign of Cleomenes lasted thirty years, $520-490$ B.c., at the least. Yet Herodotus says oủ $\tau \iota v a$
 used in reference to the succession of Dorieus, who, if he had patiently bided his time, would have succeeded Cleomenes. And this is true ; for Leonidas, the younger brother of Dorieus, was king of Sparta for some years after Cleomenes-488?-480 в. с. : and after the death of Leonidas his younger brother was regent for a time. Moreover Cleomenes came to an untimely end, and he did not live out the natural term of life.

It remains to discuss the most important military event of the reign of Cleomenes, his invasion of Argos. Pausanias (iii. 4. I) puts this immediately after his accession, i.e. soon after 520 в.c. But in Herod. vii. 148 , the Argives in 48 I в. с. excuse their action in regard to the defence of Hellas, by affirming that they have recently suffered a severe defeat at the hands of the Spartans. This is inconsistent with a defeat thirty-nine years before. Again Herodotus connects the defeat of the Argives with the fall of Miletus (which occurred in 494 B. c.) saying that in an oracle which was given to Miletus there was also a prediction concerning Argos (Herod. vi. 19, 77) and from this we should gather that the defeat of Argos and the fall of Miletus were more or less coincident. On this ground we might follow Grote in fixing 495 B. c. as the date of the
expedition to Argos ${ }^{3}$. We must remember that the defeat of the Argives was so overwhelming that the 'slaves' became masters of everything (vi. 83). Before an end could be put to this state of affairs, a new generation had to grow up; and even after this the Argives and the 'slaves,' who established themselves at Tiryns, were engaged in a long war, in which the Argives were at length, but not without difficulty, successful.

For these reasons we may fairly assume that Argos was in a state of weakness and humiliation for a number of years after her great defeat. And if this occurred in 495 b. c. she might plead it as a cause for inaction in 48 r в. с.

But on the other hand we find that a band of a thousand Argives came to the help of the Aeginetans against Athens at some time after 49 I в. c. but not long after (Herod. vi. $9^{2}$ ), and it is difficult to see how this is consistent with an overwhelming defeat in 495 в. с. and with the consequences which Herodotus attributes to that defeat. The date of the Argive expedition must therefore remain uncertain ; it was not after 494 в. c. and probably not before 510 в. $\mathrm{C}^{2}$.

The visit of the Scythians to Sparta in the reign of Cleomenes, if it occurred at all, is perhaps to be placed in connection with the advance of the Scythians, which caused Miltiades to retire from the Chersonesus, about 496 в. с.

[^7] SACURSUS IV.
THEBAN AND
theban kings.


* Date of Trojan war.



## EXCURSUS V.

V. 62.

## THE ALCMAEONIDAE.

In Pausanias the Alcmaeonidae are derived together with the Paeonidae and Medontidae from Neleus, the King of Pylus, in






 (Paus. ii. 18.8). So much of the legend as refers to Melanthus is as old as Hellanicus (see Frag. io M), but what views Hellanicus may have held about the origin of the Alcmaeonids is unknown.

On the other hand Herodotus, while allowing a Pylian origin to the Peisistratidae and to Melanthus and Codrus, speaks of the Alcmaeonidae with emphasis as Athenians. As Athenians they are distinct from the Ionians, and therefore, we should presume, from other immigrants (Herod. v. 62, 69). And in Suidas -whatever his notice may be worth-we find: 'A $\lambda_{\kappa} \mu \epsilon \omega \nu i \delta a{ }^{\circ}$.
 been observed that Alcmaeon is a name quite unknown to Messenian legend ${ }^{1}$. It is obvious that we have here two
${ }^{1}$ Toepffer, Attische Genealogie, p. 227. Toepffer thinks that the Messenian origin of the Athenian royal race is a fiction intended to support the Athenian claim to the Ionian colonies in Asia, which were largely the work of Messenians. His remarks, if not convincing, are interesting.
different accounts of the origin of the family, and all that can be said is that the Alcmaeonidae, in their desire to stand on an equal footing with the royal race at Athens, and with the race which had ruled as tyrants, might very well put forward a claim to the same origin.

Another question which has been asked about the Alcmaeonidae is this: Were they or were they not Eupatrids? The question has arisen out of a passage in Isocrates, in which the son of the great Alcibiades is made to say: $\delta$ रà $\rho$ narì $\rho$







 interpreters this passage has been taken to mean that the Alcmaeonidae were not Eupatrids, the two races being here opposed to one another ; by others, again, the Alcmaeonidae are thought to be contrasted with the Eupatrids, not as a different race, but as the most eminent among them. This, which is the view of Vischer, is probably right, for that the Alcmaeonidae were Eupatrids is clear from the fact that Megacles was archon at the time of Cylon, when the archons were chosen only from the ranks of the Eupatrids ${ }^{1}$. It is also possible that the word Eupatridae is used in a double sense: r. to denote men of noble birth, in which sense the Alcmaeonidae were certainly Eupatrids ; 2. to denote a special family, in which sense the Eupatridae and the Alcmaeonidae may have been distinct ${ }^{2}$.
3. Whether the Megacles and Alcmaeon who meet us in the list of the life archons at Athens were Alcmaeonidae or not cannot be decided. Of course, if we could trust the tradition that

[^8]the royal office at Athens was restricted to the Medontidae, this question could not be raised; the names, if names of the Alcmaeonidae, must have been brought into the Medontid race by marriage or otherwise. But the account given in the Athenian Constitution of the early history of Athens is so far removed from the traditional account, that we feel ourselves in doubt on the whole matter. Setting this aside, we first hear of the family on the occasion of the affair of Cylon, when Megacles was archon. His conduct on this occasion brought upon his family the stain of sacrilege. In his ruthless determination to crush the attempt at tyranny, he slaughtered men who were under the protection of the altars; and from this time the Alcmaeonidae were known as the 'accursed.'

The sacrilege of which Megacles was guilty was the cause of much disquiet in the city, and, after an interval of strife and disturbance, the Alcmaeonidae were persuaded to submit themselves to the judgment of a council of three hundred notables. The sentence was severe. The living members of the family were banished, the bones of the dead were taken up and removed across the borders of the country. The date of this punishment is uncertain, but the revenge taken on the dead may imply that it fell on the generation after Megacles, and if Plutarch is right in connecting it with Solon, it cannot be placed long before 600 в. с. The severity of it points to a strong feeling among the notables against the Alcmaeonidae, of which traces appear in the later history of Athens.
4. Next we hear of Alcmaeon as the commander of the Athenian forces in the First Sacred War ${ }^{1}$, which came to an end in 586 в.c. As the war lasted ten years, we are driven to the conclusion that the banishment of the Alcmaeonidae cannot have lasted long: Alcmaeon must have been general of the Athenian forces by 590 в. c., and he cannot have been general while an exile. This election of Alcmaeon to command the forces of Athens in the sacred war may have been the beginning of that connection with Delphi which was to be of such importance to the family.

[^9]5. In the party struggles which divided Athens about the year 560 b.c. Megacles, the son of Alcmaeon, is the leader of the Parali, or Shore. He had already been enriched by his marriage with Agariste, the daughter of Cleisthenes of Sicyon, and, according to Herodotus, Alcmaeon had already received from Croesus the handsome present which enabled him perhaps to be the first Athenian who won with a chariot at Olympia (see Isocr. l.c.), and to send his son to Sicyon as a fit match for the great heiress of Greece. (For the chronological difficulties see Exc. on the Chronology of the Sixth Century.) In putting themselves at the head of the Shore, which was the moderate party, the Alcmaeonidae were probably decided by the events of the previous generation. They were naturally hostile to the old aristocracy, after the sentence which had been passed upon them, while the more democratic party was patronised by Peisistratus. And there is nothing to show that at this time the Alcmaeonidae were inclined to support a democracy. When they came back to Athens, they came as the opponents of tyranny-the same part which they played in the days of Cylon-and it was not till Cleisthenes found his position untenable that he 'made the people his club,' to meet the associations upon which Isagoras relied to oppose him.
6. The result of the final establishment of Peisistratus on the throne was the renewed exile of the Alcmaeonidae-not as 'accursed,' but as personal opponents of the tyrant family, between whom and Megacles, owing to the conduct of Peisistratus towards his daughter, there was an irreparable breach. What we know of the Alcmaeonidae during their exile is related by Herodotus. Setting the chronological difficulties aside, we have first the attempt to establish a footing in Attica at Leipsydrium, which was apparently the old home of the Alcmaeonidae; and on the failure of this, the negotiations at Delphi which ended in the expulsion of the Peisistratidae from Athens by the Spartans. It is perhaps worth notice that the Alcmaeonidae seem to have made no attempt to return during the life of Peisistratus himself.
7. When Cleisthenes returned to Athens, the demos were with-
out a leader, but the old oligarchical party were as far as ever from wishing to see the Alcmaeonidae at their head. Cleisthenes however quickly made it clear that he did not intend to allow those who had treated his family so severely to have the undisputed control of affairs. If he did not wish to be a tyrant, he wished to be at least the head of the foremost family in the city. The oligarchs fell back on Sparta-promising no doubt that Athens should be a subservient member of the Spartan league which was precisely what Cleomenes wished. The old cry of the 'accursed' was again raised, and Cleisthenes found it well to retire. The subsequent conduct of Cleomenes and Isagoras showed that Athens under their rule would be a strict oligarchy. The people took alarm; the new régime came to an end as quickly as it began; and Cleisthenes was once more recalled. His course was now clear: if he wished to retain his place in Athens, if Athens was to be independent of Sparta, he must become the champion of the demos. Hence the constitution of Cleisthenes was the beginning of the thorough-going democracy at Athens, though, as compared with the later development, it appeared an oligarchy.
8. With Cleisthenes the Alcmaeonidae disappear from view as leaders in Athens, at least in the male line. But the eminence and also the policy of the family were perpetuated through the females. Xanthippus, the husband of Agariste (the niece of Cleisthenes) was the leader of the demos at the time of the battle of Marathon; the son of Agariste was Pericles. From Cleisthenes in a direct line was descended Deinomache, the mother of Alcibiades. In both these men we may discern the characteristics of the race to which they belonged. Pericles was not less remarkable for his aristocratic bearing than for his democratic sympathies; like Cleisthenes he saw that 'aristocracy' was at an end, and threw in his lot with the demos. Alcibiades was willing to lead the demos or the oligarchs, but a leader he must be, and this was in brief the history of his race ${ }^{1}$.

[^10]
## EXCURSUS VI.

$$
\text { V. } 66 .
$$

## THE FOUR TRIBES.

i. That the four tribes, which were in existence at Athens before the time of Cleisthenes were Ionic-not Attic-is the universal tradition of antiquity. In addition to the passage in Herodotus, v. 66-which is the earliest mention of them-we have Eurip. Ion, 1575 ff.

$$
\text { oi тои̂סє } \gamma \text { à } \rho
$$

 $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\omega} \nu v \mu o \iota ~ \gamma \hat{\eta} s$ кảmıфv入íov $\chi$ Өovòs




The same statement is repeated in the Lexicographers, etc.: Pollux, viii. 109 ; Steph. Byz. s. v. Aizıкópєьs.

We also learn that the tribes were in existence at Cyzicus and Teos-Ionian cities: Boeckh, C. I. G. ii. pp. 670 , 919 ff .
2. No ancient author attempts to connect the four tribes with any quadruple division of the country, though Plato, Crit. p. iro C, when speaking of the castes in ancient Athens,



 the accounts of the factions of the sixth century, which are
distinguished by local interests, we never find a hint that any of the three factions was connected with a tribe, which if the tribes had been settled in divisions of the country locally distinct would have been inevitable.
3. There is some little doubt about the precise form of the names. Aegicoreis and Hopletes are pretty certain, but Euripides has Teleon where Herodotus has Geleon, and another variant is Gedeon. So for Argadeis we find Ergadeis, see Plut. Sol. 23, quoted below, Steph. Byz. l. c. The best attested forms appear to be Geleon and Argadeis.
4. The meaning and significance of the names have given rise to much controversy. The ancients themselves saw in them the names of occupations or lives. Thus Plutarch, Sol. 23 кaì



 Bovras. Strabo, though he does not identify the names in the same precise manner as Plutarch, tells us that Ion first divided the people into four tribes and then into four lives: $\pi \rho \bar{\omega} \tau o \nu \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$

 тoùs фú入akas. In this enumeration we observe that the ieporoooi are introduced, who are not found in Plutarch's enumeration; and on the other hand the shepherds, whom Plutarch identifies with the Aegicoreis, are omitted.
5. At some remote period when the Ionians were still in the tribal condition, the names may have indicated occupations, though it is also possible that the very forms of the words which seem to indicate occupations are due to confused etymology. A word which is unintelligible is naturally brought into resemblance to a more familiar word, and it may then be interpreted by the word to which it has been assimilated. Moreover the very meaning of the names is by no means certain. Aegicoreis is, of all the four, that which seems to bear the plainest significance. But Strabo, as we have seen, has no 'life' to correspond to this meaning of the word; and the root
ai $\gamma$ seems to be connected with other meanings than goat in Aegae, Aegaeon-words connected with Poseidon or the sea, and carrying us in quite another direction. Of Geleon no satisfactory explanation has ever been given; Herodotus and Euripides put the name first in the enumeration; but Plutarch interprets it as 'husbandmen.' Hopletes may be warriors, yet some interpret it as 'makers of implements,' handicraftsmen, $\delta \eta \mu$ ovpyoi. Argadeis may be either labourers in the field or artizans. In this uncertainty it cannot be said that the interpretation of the words as signifying 'castes' is well made out.
6. That the tribes-whatever their original significancenever signified castes or occupations in Attica may be assumed as certain. In the constitution of Solon 100 members were chosen from each tribe into the Council ; each tribe, therefore, was equal with the rest. But this could not have been the case if all those who bore arms were in one tribe. Nor is there any evidence to show that the priests ever formed a class apart, but, on the contrary, all the evidence which we have goes to show that such a priesthood was quite alien to Greek feeling. The names as we know them are merely names of four divisions of the Athenian people, regarded as Ionians, in which apparently all the citizens were collected ${ }^{1}$.

[^11]
## EXCURSUS VII.

V. 69.

## THE CONSTITUTION OF CLEISTHENES.

Herodotus is the only writer of the fifth century, b.c., who mentions the way in which Cleisthenes rose to power, or the reforms which he introduced into the Athenian constitution; and till recently we had nothing better from the fourth century than the rhetoric of Isocrates on the one hand, and on the other, fragmentary and obscure statements in the Politics of Aristotle, or quoted from that author's Athenian Constitution, from Cleidemus, and Androtion, statements which were the more confusing because Aristotle and Cleidemus are sometimes at variance.

But the discovery of the Constitution of Athens has put us in a far better position. We have now a second account of the rise of Cleisthenes to power, which, while agreeing-at times verbally-with the account of Herodotus, yet gives us a more connected and complete account of the reforms of Cleisthenes than we have hitherto been able to put together. If we compare this account with that of Herodotus, we shall find that in one crucial point, the number of the demes as arranged by Cleisthenes, Aristotle is silent, but that he adds many details which Herodotus has omitted. His account is as follows:-



${ }^{1}$ Words printed in heavy type are common to Herodotus and Aristotle.
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Arist. Athen. Pol. cc. xx-xxii.
Notes.-XX. 1. The chronology is much clearer in the account of Aristotle than in that of Herodotus. The reforms of Cleisthenes did not come in till his triumph over Isagoras was assured, though he won the people by promises, and from c. xxi. I we see that matters came to a crisis in $508-7$ в.c.three years after the expulsion of the tyrants.

XX. § 5. K $\eta$ б $\delta \omega v$. This is a fact hitherto unknown. Observe that nothing is said of the embassy to Persia mentioned by Herodotus.
XXI. § г. äpxovtos. There may be a lacuna here, as Kaibel and Wilamowitz suggest. If there is no lacuna oûv is not required. Nothing is here said of the composition of the tribes of Cleisthenes, of which we are told (Arist. Pol. iii. 2. 3
 see infr. § 4 тov̀s vєomo入íras.
§ 3. тóтє, i.e. down to Cleisthenes' time. The old Solonian senate consisted of 400 , one hundred from each of the four tribes.
§4. Of this division Herodotus says nothing. It was however known to us from Psellus $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\iota} \delta \kappa \kappa \omega \nu$, quoted by Hermann,





§ 5. каi үà $\rho$ тоùs $\delta \eta$ ク́pous, к.т. $\lambda$. Cleidemus differed from this,



§ 6. тà $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} v \eta$, к.т. $\lambda$. From this passage we are at length able to see that in Pol. vi. 4. 18, 19 $=1319 \mathrm{~b}$. 19 f . we must take part of the statement only as referring to Athens and





 $\delta u \zeta \zeta \epsilon \chi \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$ ai $\pi \rho o ́ t \epsilon \rho о \nu$.

Aristotle says nothing of the Apodectae who, according to Androtion, were established by Cleisthenes in the place of (àvti) the Colacretae (Harpocration, s. v. à $\pi о \delta \epsilon \in \kappa \tau a \iota$ ). [The statement of Androtion is only partially true, for whether Cleisthenes established the Apodectae or not, he did not abolish the Colacretae.]

Aristotle mentions the fact that the names of the ten tribes were partly chosen by the oracle at Delphi, a fact which is recorded by Pausanias, x. ıо. I, and Pollux, viii. ェо.

Aristotle and Herodotus regard the constitution of Cleisthenes as a great step in the direction of democracy. But at a later time, in the days of extreme democracy and afterwards, this was not the case. The constitution of Cleisthenes was then regarded as very limited. Cf. Ath. Pol. xxix. § 3 ws où $\delta \eta \mu o \tau \iota \kappa i \nu \nu a ̉ \lambda \lambda a$
 Cim. I5 even speaks of the 'aristocracy' of Cleisthenes, and this is practically the view taken by Isocrates.

The constitution was an attempt to put an end to $\sigma \tau a ́ \sigma \iota s$.

With this view politics were separated as much as possible from family life and influence. 'The old 'phratries' and $\gamma^{\epsilon} \omega \eta$ were disregarded; everything was managed through the demes, which were purely local units. And, in order to prevent local influence from becoming prominent, the demes were arranged in ten tribes, in a manner which separated deme from deme, at least to a large extent ${ }^{1}$. Both tribes and demes were kept together by the worship of heroes, and they were under the control of special officers. Lastly, the expedient of ostracism was adopted as a safeguard against anyone who seemed to be acquiring a power which threatened the balance of the state, or to be aiming at a position inconsistent with the constitution. And the institution was perhaps of some value so long as there was a danger of a man thrusting himself on the state by the assistance of a foreign city, as Hippias and Isagoras had endeavoured to do, though we must allow that it was quite useless to prevent a citizen from obtaining almost absolute power with the help of the people. It was owing to ostracism that Themistocles and Pericles rose to a position of almost regal authority.
${ }^{1}$ Whether the demes of Attica were distributed by Cleisthenes among the tribes in the manner described by Aristotle is more than we can say, for we have no record of a time when the demes were only 100 in number. Of the nineteen demes known to have belonged to the Hippothoontid tribe we find four in the Diacria, four in the plains of Eleusis and Athens, and four in the city and the Paralia, while the position of seven remains unknown.

## EXCURSUS VIII.

## THE AFFAIR OF CYLON.

V. 7 I .





























 $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota$.

Thucydides, i. 126.


















See J. H. Wright, Op. cit. (supr. p. 141).

## EXCURSUS IX.

## THE TYRANTS OF CORINTH.

$$
\text { V. } 92 .
$$

## Extracts from the Seventh Book of Nicolaus of Damascus ${ }^{1}$.

## ı. OF CYPSELUS.












 $\tau \alpha \chi \grave{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ à $\sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ є̀ $\nu$ roîs $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a$ ả $\gamma a \sigma \tau o ̀ s ~ \hat{\eta} \nu$, ả $\nu \delta \rho \epsilon$ iós тє кaì $\sigma \dot{\omega} \phi \rho \omega \nu$
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## 2. OF PERIANDER.












## 3. OF THE SUCCESSOR OF PERIANDER.
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 ßоט入ウ̀ $\kappa a \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \xi \epsilon \nu$ á $\nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \theta^{\prime} .{ }^{2}$
[Cf. Aristotle, Pol. v. 9. 22, where Psammetichus the son of Gordias is said to have been the last Cypselid tyrant.]

[^13]
## EXCURSUS X.

## ON THE TYRANTS.

## V. 92.

Tyrants and tyranny have met with severe treatment at the hands of Greek historians and philosophers. The word, which originally meant no more than 'monarch,' and is so used by the Greek tragedians, has never shaken off the associations of injustice and cruelty which have gathered round it in Greek literature. In Herodotus tyranny is the negation of government ; it is the supremacy of one man who owns no law civil or moral, and uses the fortunes and lives, the industry and honour of his subjects to gratify the caprice of the moment. This general description is illustrated by the story of Polycrates, who put to death one of his brothers, and turned the other out of Samos; who robbed on every hand, saying that he gratified a friend more by restoring what he had taken, than if he never took it at all ${ }^{1}$; of Cypselus, who slaughtered and despoiled the Corinthians ${ }^{2}$; and above all of Periander, whose cruelty extended to women ${ }^{3}$. Thucydides passes by the tyrants of Sicily, who were the wonder of the Grecian world, in a single sentence, with the contemptuous remark, that though they were the greatest of the tyrants, they achieved no remarkable deeds, and their wars, which were the most important events of their lives, were quite unimportant. Xenophon ${ }^{4}$ and Plato ${ }^{5}$ dwell on the misery of the tyrant as a man without domestic happiness or political

[^14]```
2 Id. v. 92 \epsilon.
4 Xenophon, Hiero.
```

${ }^{3}$ Ibid. $\eta$.
${ }^{5}$ Plato, Republic, ix. 577 f.
security ; a master in the midst of slaves, whose slave he must in time become ; a lonely friendless being, whose only trust is in a strong arm, and ever watchful eye; while Aristotle ${ }^{1}$ draws a picture more effective perhaps than any, by putting before us the plain and simple facts of the rise and fall of tyrannies in Greece. Modern writers who have treated of the subject, are for the most part content to take up the tale, and without asking whether the picture is true or not, delight in darkening the shadows of it.

Yet however bad the case of the tyrant may be, we must not allow it to go by default. There is something to be said on the other side. (I) If we consider the sources from which our knowledge of the tyrants is derived, we may reasonably doubt whether the accounts of them are strictly true, and still more whether we have the whole truth before us. And again (2) if we consider the acts of the tyrants as they are written large on Greek history in imperishable letters, we cannot agree that their power was contemptible or used entirely for selfish purposes; and thirdly (3) if we consider that monarchy, in any shape, was almost unintelligible to the Greeks, we shall understand why tyranny was, in their eyes, without any redeeming features.
I. In most cases, at any rate in Greece proper, the tyrants were men belonging to the higher or privileged class in the city, who rose to power by enlisting on their side the unprivileged demos and breaking down the oligarchical government. This was certainly the case at Athens, Corinth, and Naxos, and even where it was not the case as with the Orthagoridae of Sicyon, the power of the tyrant was nevertheless felt most by the higher classes ; they were his rivals, his enemies, who felt his position to be an outrage on the equality of their order. To the populace it was either a matter of indifference whether they were ruled by one man or many; or an advantage to have a ruler who defended them from their old oppressors. The situation was also more hopeful when there were two forces which could be matched one against the other ; or when power was monopolised

[^15]by one man, whose life could at least be taken by a successful conspiracy. A solid oligarchy was what the people had most to fear.

It was among the oligarchies that the stories of the tyrants arose -at any rate the Corinthian stories, which are the worst of all-and they naturally bore the mark of their origin. The Corinthians who had suffered at the hands of Cypselus or Periander, when living in exile at Sparta or Corcyra, were not likely to treat the memory of their opponent with justice. When we turn from Corinth to Sicyon we find little or nothing said to the discredit of the tyrants, though the hand of Cleisthenes fell heavily enough on his Dorian subjects. At Athens the oligarchs delighted to blacken the memory of Peisistratus and his sons, but the common people took a different view of the matter. To them the rule of Peisistratus was an age of gold ${ }^{1}$. Nevertheless the verdict of the oligarchs outweighed that of the demos, partly because the oligarchs had a greater command of literature, and partly because, at a later time, the people themselves were in constant fear of losing their liberties, or the tyrants became more oppressive.

For there were tyrants who ruled, not because they were sure of the support of the people, but by sheer strength. Such were the tyrants of Syracuse from the beginning. Gelo thought the 'people ' an 'unpleasant companion,' and would not admit them into the city. He held his own by the wealth which enabled him to support a power of 10,000 mercenaries and by the wisdom of his rule. Yet the Sicilian tyrants, or at any rate the best of them, were those who were in the highest repute throughout Greece; their praises were sung by poets, the 'people' who might have given us a very different impression of them being without a mouthpiece.

The conclusion is that whether tyrants are blamed or whether they are praised we must be cautious in believing what we read about them.
2. That the tyrants were chiefly concerned in maintaining

[^16]their own power, is no doubt true ; this was their first thought ; and selfish enough it was, for their power represented nothing but personal dominion. They had no legal place in the constitution of their cities; they were not the representatives of a party. But many of them exercised this selfish domination in a manner which promoted the public good. Polycrates, for instance, kept his island independent of Persia; Thrasybulus prevented the Lydians from acquiring Miletus. The victory of Gelo at Himera was almost as important an event in the history of civilisation as the victory of Salamis, for, if the Carthaginians had conquered Sicily, the history of Rome would probably have taken a different course. The victory of Cyme secured the trade of the Greeks in the Tyrrhenian sea. In Greece proper there was probably a nearer approach to unity in the days of the tyrants-though doubtless a superficial unity-than at any later time. The tyrants 'renewed' the national games, thus bringing the Greeks together, and causing them to be acquainted with each other-a matter most essential to unity, as Plato saw ${ }^{1}$; they promoted agriculture, favoured the sports and pastimes of the people, such as the Dionysia, and preserved peace. In the reign of Peisistratus the influence of Athens extended from Macedonia to Sparta and Argos, while Thebes and Naxos, and perhaps Samos also, were friendly powers. With extraordinary insight he established settlements near the Strymon and at Sigeum-the two points which, a century later, were found to be most vital to Athenian interests. And if there was no war in his day which would compare with the Peloponnesian war, the Greeks were not the worse off on that account.
3. The centuries which have elapsed since Aristotle have taught us many facts about monarchy which the Greeks could not know, and though it is perhaps not untrue to say that there was more political life in a single generation of a Greek city than in five or six centuries of mediaeval monarchy, yet there have been despotisms which have given a new vigour

[^17]to a nation. Government by one man is after all a form of government, and at times a necessary form. An oligarchy may become corrupt, or a democracy may become unmanageable, and under these circumstances a despotism may be a wholesome corrective. Or a few years of despotic rule may bring to the birth a change of which centuries have been in labour. It was so with Alexander, who turned the tide of civilisation eastward ; it was so with Napoleon; and in a less degree with Caesar. But these were phenomena out of the range of Greek speculation. The Greeks had little idea of progress. They could not understand that a nation, in order to be progressive, must break with the past in one way or another, and that the breach is often made most rapidly and effectually by a despotism.

We may further notice, as not without an effect on the Greek views of tyranny, ( r ) that the Greek character was marked by an intense personal ambition, and an intense personal jealousy. Any eminent man was a possible tyrant, and everyone suspected him of the wish to become one. (2) The Greek tyrannies were also on such a small scale, that private and public life were confounded. In this respect they resemble the Italian despotisms, and the results were similar. But in tyranny on a large scale we can separate the private life of the despot from his public actions. The domestic iniquity of Napoleon may gratify a taste for scandal, but we can leave it out of sight in estimating his place in the history of the world.

## EXCURSUS XI.

## NOTE ON THE CHRONOLOGY OF THE SIXTH CENTURY.

$$
\text { V. } 94
$$

1. The traditional date of Solon's archonship is 594 b.c., and the traditional story relates that he left Athens for ten years after his legislation in order to avoid the necessity of altering his laws, owing to the complaints of his citizens. This datewithin a year or two-and this story are confirmed by the newly discovered treatise of Aristotle, in which we are told that Solon did leave Athens for the reasons mentioned (cc. II, $\left.{ }_{13}\right)$; and that the first tyranny of Peisistratus, which fell in the archonship of Comeas ( 560 в.c.), was established thirty-one years after the legislation of Solon, which therefore fell in 591 b.c. (c. 14) ${ }^{1}$. The difference between 594 and 591 may be due to an oversight, or to the fact that Solon's legislation occupied more than one year, which is by no means improbable.

On this calculation the ten years of Solon's absence from Athens would fall in $591-58$ 1 в.c. But Herodotus tells us that in the years of absence after his legislation Solon visited Croesus


 usual date given for the fall of Sardis is 546 в.c., and as Croesus reigned fourteen years, his accession falls in 560 в.с., twenty years after the period in which Solon was absent from Athens.

[^18]
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Again, Solon is said to have obtained his law of ápyía-i.e. his law compelling every citizen to show how he obtained his living-

 reign of forty-four years died shortly before the conquest of Egypt by Cambyses, in $5^{2} 5$ b.c. The accession of Amasis therefore cannot be put earlier than 570 в.c., which is nearly ten years after the limit allowed for Solon's travels. And, moreover, the legislation of Solon was completed not later than 59 I B. c., i.e. twenty years before the accession of Amasis.

Once more, Solon is said to have visited Philocyprus, the prince of Soli, and to have aided him with his counsel in refounding his city. If this visit is to be placed in the years of travel after the legislation, it falls of course within $59 \mathrm{I}-58 \mathrm{I}$ в.c. But we find Aristocyprus, the son of this Philocyprus, on the throne of Soli at the revolt of Cyprus from Persia in 497 в.c. This requires about ninety years for the two reigns, and we do not know how long Philocyprus had been on the throne at the time of Solon's visit, or how old Aristocyprus was when he fell in battle against the Persians. The period is not impossible : a prince might succeed at the age of twenty and reign sixty years; his son might be thirty at the date of his death and reign for forty years after him. In such a case we should have a century for the two reigns, but such a case is not very probable.

In each of these three instances we have reason to believe that Herodotus is not following the traditional date of Solon. To justify his synchronisms the date of the travels must be brought some twenty years nearer to the middle of the century.
2. Remembering that Herodotus is our oldest authority for the events of the sixth century, we might feel inclined to reconstruct our chronology upon his statements, but when we follow the subject further we find that this is impossible.
(a) In speaking of the rise of Peisistratus Herodotus tells us that he owed his influence with the people in a large measure to the renown which he had won in connection with the conquest of Nisaea (i. 59). As Herodotus gives no date for the conquest,
we need not suppose that he is referring to the war between Athens and Megara which preceded the legislation of Solonand there is the less reason for this because Aristotle, who gives the same account of the rise of Peisistratus, points out the difficulties which attend the story that Peisistratus was already a general at the beginning of the sixth century (Ath. Pol.c. $I_{7}$. see Kenyon's note on p. 37). On the other hand, we might maintain that in this case, as in the others, the Solonian legislation has to be brought down twenty years, and it then becomes quite possible that Peisistratus, who died an old man in 527 B. .., took part in a war with Megara bcfore Solon's archonship, even though he may not have been general, as Herodotus says.
(b) But when we turn to another event in the life of Peisistratus we find Herodotus in complete confusion. In v. 94 Peisistratus is said to have taken part in a war between Athens and Mytilene for the possession of Sigeum, which he captured and placed under the command of Hegesistratus, his son by an Argive woman. Hegesistratus was subsequently inrolved in conflicts with the Mytilenaeans-conflicts in which Alcaeus the Lesbian poet lost his shield-and the war was finally brought to an end by the intervention of Periander. As Periander died in 585 b.c., and Hegesistratus was not born till after 560 b.c.--for not till his tyranny did Peisistratus enter into relations with Argos- it is obvious that Herodotus has here made a great mistake. A war in the first twenty years of the century is confounded with a war in the second half of it. Two generations are thrown togcther: the generation in which Pcisistratus was a youngr man, and that in which his son was a young man. With such a blunder before us we bcgin to doubt whether we can construct chronology on the statements of Herodotus.
(c) A similar blunder is found in the story of Alcmaeon the father of Megacles. We are told in vi. 125 that the Alcmareonidae reached a greater position than cver owing to the successes of Alcmaeon and his son Megacles. Megacles married Agariste the daughter of Cleisthenes of Sicyon, but. in the 'generation before' this, Alcmaeon had been enriched by Croesus for befriending the Lydians who came to consult
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the oracle of Delphi at the bidding of the Lydian monarch. This order of events is very puzzling. As we have seen, Croesus did not ascend the throne till 560 в.c.; but Megacles the son of Alcmaeon, who married Agariste, has a daughter old enough to become the wife of Peisistratus, at the time of his second tyranny circ. 550 b.c.! His marriage then cannot have been later than 565 , if so late. It is therefore very difficult to see how the enrichment of Alcmaeon can have preceded the marriage of his son by a generation, while it is impossible to bring down the date of the marriage owing to the relations between Megacles' daughter and Peisistratus.
(d) Again, in iii. 48, we are told that the Samians put an insult on the Corinthians about the time of the theft of a certain bowl by the Samians. This bowl was sent by the Lacedaemonians to Croesus, shortly before the fall of Sardis in 546 b.c., but the 'insult' spoken of must have occurred in the reign of Periander, i.e. before 585 b.c. The confusion is made worse by the fact that the Samians, just before they stole the bowl of the Lacedaemonians, stole a corslet which Amasis was sending to the Lacedaemonians. As we know, Amasis did not ascend the throne of Egypt till 570-fifteen ycars after the death of Periander.

From these examples it seems clear that Herodotus was not in possession of any accurate chronology for the sixth century ; and it would therefore be a mistake to attempt to reconstruct the traditional chronology on the basis of his statements. On the other hand we have the authority of Thucydides (ri. 59) for asserting that the Peisistratidae were expelled from Athens in the 20 th year before the battle of Marathon, i.e. in the year 5 IO B.C., and the authority of Aristotle for asserting that the tyranny continued forty-nine years. This brings us to the year $560-559$ for the first tyranny of Peisistratus. The legislation of Solon is placed more than thirty-one years before this date (Ath. Pol. c. 14), which brings us to 591 b.c. for Solon's archonship. It is true that the various accounts of the tyranny involve us in some difficulties, but this chronology seems to be correct within a year or two.

## EXCURSUS XII.

## THE WORSHIP OF HEROES.

V. 104.
r. Nothing satisfactory can be said about the derivation of the word $\eta$ クpos. The ancients connected it with Eros (Plato, Crat. $39^{8}$ D), or with ${ }^{\epsilon} \rho a$ (earth), or with Hera as goddess of the earth. Modern etymologists naturally point to the similarity to the German word Herr (Hermann, Gott. Alt. § 16. 7).

In Homer the word seems to be used in a general sense to denote the freeman as opposed to the slave. Thus we have the
 the áyopá is the meeting-place of the 'heroes' (Il. xix. 34; Od. vii. 44). The word is applied specially-but why, we cannot say-to Demodocus the minstrel (Od. viii. 443), and Mulius the herald ( Od. xviii. 423 ). There is no proof that the word has any connection in sense with $\delta \iota o \gamma \epsilon \eta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} s$ or $\dot{\eta} \mu i \theta$ eos, though it is not, of course, inconsistent with those epithets. Nor is there any trace of the use of the word in the feminine.
2. When we turn to Hesiod, we find that the use of the word has been greatly modified. In the Works and Days $I_{5} 6$ ff. the heroes form a definite age in the declining series, by which mankind have passed from the golden age to the age of iron. They are the fourth in order, though not in merit ; they are those who fought at Troy for Helen, and at Thebes for the flocks of Oedipus, a just and warlike race, superior to the men of bronze who preceded them. If we may take Hesiod's words strictly, the heroes fall into two classes: some were subject to death; but
others were carried away to the limits of the earth, apart from men and gods, to the Islands of the Blest, where, thrice in the year, the earth brings forth her increase for their use ${ }^{1}$.

Though immortal the heroes of Hesiod are not said to be objects of worship, or to exercise any influence on the living. In this respect they are sharply distinguished from the men of the golden age, whose spirits after death become סaipoves, 'guardians of men,' ' watchers over good and evil,' 'givers of wealth.' Nor are the heroes in any way connected with the under world; the men who are $\mu$ íxapes ínoұЄóvot $\theta \nu \eta \tau o i ́, ~ a n d ~$ receive their due honour, are the men of the silver age.

In the poetry of Pindar the 'heroes' are brought into relation with the life after death, and retribution for evil:


à $\nu \delta i \delta o i ̂ ~ \psi u \chi a ̀ s ~ \pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu$,

 калєขิขта.
In this conception heroes inherit the souls which have undergone purification; they form a class apart, distinguished by some bodily or mental excellences for which they are honoured in life and after death. Thus we approach the later conception of the word, but Pindar gives us no hint that the hero exercised an influence on the fortunes of the living after his own death.
3. It is in Herodotus that the worship of heroes is first clearly brought before us. In his time the custom is firmly established, and common throughout Greece ; he draws attention to the fact that the Egyptians have no heroes (ii. 50) ; and distinguishes carefully between the hero and the god in the worship of Heracles (ii. 44). Among those whose worship as heroes is mentioned in his pages we may distinguish:
(a) The mythical heroes. Such are Adrastus, the hero of
${ }^{1}$ Such an existence is of course known to the poet of the Eleventh Odyssey, in which Teiresias promises Menelaus that he will be conveyed withont death to the Elysian plain 'because he is the husband of Helen and son-inlaw of the gods'; but there is no mention of 'heroes' in this connection,

Sicyon (v. 67); Astrabacus, the hero at Sparta (ri. 69); Autonous and Phylacus, the heroes of Delphi (viii. 39) ; Cyrnus, the hero worshipped at Elea (i. ${ }^{6}{ }_{7}$ ) ; the heroes of Troy (vii. 43).
(b) The men who have received heroic honours. Such are Miltiades, the hero of the Chersonese (vi. 38); Onesilus, the hero of Amathus (v. II4); Philippus of Croton (v. 47); Artachaes of Acanthus (vii. I 17 ); Timesius of Abdera (i. 168). ()f these some have received divine honours as the founders of cities, as Miltiades and Timesius, though the latter was expelled from the city which he founded. Others were worshipped owing to some striking bodily characteristic: Philippus for his beauty ; Artachaes for his magnificent stature ; Onesilus because bees swarmed in his skull. They were worshipped by those who were their enemies in life, and generally at the command of an oracle.
4. In what light Herodotus regarded the worship of heroes it is difficult to determine. The worship involves the conception of a continued personal existence after death-a conception which the historian seems to treat with contempt. Certainly he would deny that any hero, who had been born a man upon earth, ever became a god, thus drawing a sharp line of distinction between the human and superhuman, the mortal and immortal. On the other hand no Greek was ever more sensitive to eminent personal qualities, or more careful to record them.
5. The worship of heroes was a worship of the dead-of underground powers. The words appropriate to it- $\dot{\epsilon} \nu a \gamma i \xi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$, t̀vaiopara-are distinct from those appropriated to the worship of the gods, though the distinction is not always strictly pre-


 if more than drink-offerings ( $\chi$ oai'), were burnt, not eaten ; there was no feast connected with the sacrifice (cf. Paus. ii. ro. I


offered after midday, when the powers of darkness might be supposed to be in the ascendant over the powers of light (Hermann, Golt. Alt. § 16. 2).
6. Other evidence from the age of Herodotus, which points to the importance attached to the worship of the dead, and the influence which the dead exercised on the fortunes of the living, will be found in the Choephoroe of Aeschylus, and the Electra of Sophocles, in which offerings are brought to the tomb of Agamemnon, and his aid is invoked by both contending parties. In the sphere of practical politics we find the Spartans bringing the bones of Orestes to Sparta about the middle of the sixth century; and the Athenians bringing the bones of Theseus to Athens, soon after the beginning of the fifth century, both so far as we can tell with a view of strengthening the position which they were anxious to take up-the Spartans as leaders of the Peloponnesian confederacy, the Athenians as leaders of the Delian League ${ }^{1}$.

[^19]
## EXCURSUS XIII.

## CHRONOLOGY OF THE IONIAN REVOLT.

501-490 в.с.; Ol. 69. 4-72.3.
i. Chronological Abstract.
B. C.
501. The Naxian oligarchs apply to Aristagoras, who enters into negotiations with Artaphernes.
500. Spring. The Persian fleet at Naxos. Four months' siege. Aristagoras discusses the question of revolt. Arrest of the Persian generals at Myus, \&c. Aristagoras at Sparta and Athens.
499. March upon Sardis: battle of Ephesus: return of the Athenians. The revolt spreads through Asia Minor.
498. Revolt of Cyprus: measures for crushing the revolt in Acolis, Ionia, and Caria.
497. The revolt of Cyprus at an end. Death of Aristagoras. Histiaeus comes down from Susa to the coast.
496. Battle of Lade and siege of Miletus. Aeaces in Samos.
495. Siege of Miletus.
494. Fall of Miletus. Subjugation of Caria.
493. Death of Histiaeus. Subjugation of Chios, Lesbos, and Tenedos. The Phoenician fleet in the Northern Aegean and the Hellespont. Miltiades returns to Athens. Artaphernes arranges the tribute in the cities of Ionia.
492. Mardonius made general. His disaster off Athos.
491. Preparations for a new invasion. Earth and water demanded from the Greeks by the heralds of Darius. Difficulties at Aegina. Subjugation of Thasos.
490. Battle of Marathon.
2. Those who wish to consult recent works on the chronology of the Ionian revolt, will find tables slightly differing from this in Stein, Herod. v. 33; Duncker, Gesch. Alt. vii. p. 30, n. 2; Kaegi, Kritische Geschichte des Spartanischen Staates, Leipzig, 1873. Busolt, G. G. ii. 26, n. 2, agrees with Stein. Curtius, vol. i. $6 \mathrm{I}_{5} \mathrm{ff}$., differs from other writers in minor details, but especially in putting the battle of Lade in 494 в.c.

Our sole authority is Herodotus, who is sometimes clear, and sometimes almost hopelessly obscure. He mentions a sequence of years once or twice, and then disregards chronology altogether ; see vi. $3^{1}, 46,95$.

Assuming that the battle of Marathon was fought in 490 B. c., we have to work back from this to the beginning of the revolt. In vi. 95 , we are told that preparations were made for the invasion in the year preceding the battle, i.e. in $49^{1}$ b.c., and that the expedilion sailed across the Aegean to avoid the disaster which had befallen Mardonius in the year before the preparations began, i.e. in 492 в.c. (if this is the meaning of $\tau \bar{\varphi} \pi \rho \circ \tau \epsilon \in \rho \varphi \stackrel{\iota}{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \iota$ in vi. 95 , end). We are also told that Darius had received the reports of his messengers before ordering the campaign of 490 в.c., i.e. they returned to him in 491 b.c.; vi. 94. They were sent in the same year that Thasos was reduced; and in the same year orders were given for the preparations against Greece (vi. 48), i.e. in 49 I b.c. This was the year after Mardonius' disaster, which therefore took place in 492 b.c. Mardonius set out in the spring (vi. 43), but did not reach Athos till late. In the year before Mardonius' campaign, Artaphernes had arranged the tribute, \&c., in Ionia (vi. 42), an arrangement partly undone by Mardonius. This, then, was in 493 b.c. In the same year the Phoenician fleet carried fire and sword to the islands and Northern Aegean (vi. 31 ff.), and as this year is described as the year after the fall of Miletus (vi. $3^{1}$ ), we may assume that Miletus fell in 494 b.c. Herodotus tells us that Miletus fell in the sixth year of the revolt, so that the date of the revolt is 499 в.с. Whether Herodotus dates from the attack on Sardis, or from the arrest of the Persian generals,
is not clear. I have assumed the former, as being the first act which roused the resistance of the Persians.

We may assume that Aristagoras was killed at Myrcinus in 497 b.c. (Thuc. iv. 102 ; sixty years before the founding of Amphipolis in 437 в.c.). This event is mentioned by Herodotus in the last chapter of the fifth book. Between it and the end of 494 b.c. we get the following incidents:-

Histiaeus comes to Sardis, whence he retires to Chios, and attempts to get up a conspiracy at Sardis. Failing in this, he attempts to enter Miletus, but is repulsed; he goes back to Chios, thence to Mytilene, and finally establishes himself at Byzantium with some Lesbians, and blockades the strait, vi. r-5.

Then follows the account of the battle of Lade, vi. 6 -16; and in c. 18, the account of the capture of Miletus, which is taken in the sixth year of the revolt. In c. 26 Histiaeus returns to Chios, and with the aid of the Lesbians, visits his wrath on the Chians (he has heard what has happened about Miletus), and from Chios he goes (c. 28) with other Aeolians and his Lesbians to Thasos. From this place, hearing of the advance of the Phoenicians, he retires to Lesbos; thence to Atarneus, where he is taken captive (493 в.c.).

We seem to have here the events of three years, 496, 495, and 494 b.c., but Herodotus creates confusion by speaking in the same breath of the battle of Lade and the capture of Miletus. In vi. 25 we are told that Aeaces was taken to Samos after the 'sea-fight about Miletus'; and in the same chapter that Caria was subdued after the 'capture of Miletus.' He tells us, indeed, that Miletus held out after the battle, but he does not say how long the siege lasted. His language in vi. 18 would lead us to suppose that it did not last long (hence Curtius puts the battle of Lade in 494 в.c.). But in vi. 6 we are told that the fleets gathered at Miletus about the time when Histiaeus went to Byzantium, i.e. not long after his return to the coast, which, again, is soon after the death of Aristagoras in 497 B.c.; and that the Cyprian fleet joined the Persians after its defeat at Cyprus (vi. 6). Now the Cyprians enjoyed freedom for a year. The latest date at which we can put their revolt is 498 в.c.,
for it followed very soon after the battle of Ephesus in 499 B.c. (v. 104; we may allow some months for the plans and efforts of Onesilus); hence they were crushed in 497 в. c. The battle of Lade, then, would seem to have been fought in $496 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{C}$., and the siege of Miletus lasted through the whole of 49.5 into 494 B.c. The Phoenician fleet did not leave the Southern Aegean till the spring of 493 в.c.

Miletus, then, fell in 494 b.c.; the revolt, i.e. the burning of Sardis, took place in 499 B.c. It seems reasonable to suppose that the Athenians sent their ships in the spring, not in the late autumn, and as there was an interval between his leaving Athens and the despatch of the ships (v. 98), Aristagoras was at Athens in the year before the burning of Sardis, i.e. in 500 b.c., in the same year as the failure at Naxos. Finally, we know that the Persian fleet was sent to Naxos in the spring after Aristagoras made his application to Artaphernes, v. 3 r. Hence 501 в.c. is the date of the application of the oligarchs to Aristagoras.

These dates are not improbable, but it is obvious that from the year 494 b.c. upwards, with the possible exception of the death of Aristagoras, we have nothing fixed but the interval between the fall of Miletus and the outbreak of the revolt-and even here it is not quite certain from which point the outbreak is dated.

## HPOAOTOヘ IミTOPIHミ

## $Z$.

Ionia．в．c． 497 （？）；OI． 70.4.















1．2．＇Ítiaîos．Cf．v．Io．
4．＇Aртафрévŋs，к．т．入．Cf．v． 25.

5．кaтd̀ koîóv au．Cf．v．3． 6 кат̀̀ тоиิтo，infr．3． 2 кaт’ ö $\tau \iota, 44$ ult．

9．$\tau 0 \iota=\sigma \circ$ ．
10．With the simile cf．v． 105.4
 $\check{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha \sigma v \nu v \emptyset a \nu \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota \tau o ̀ \nu M_{\iota} \lambda \eta$－


 phrases for＇touching on，＇＇bearing on，＇＇relating to＇（info．c．42．2）．

3．vino Tiv，к．т．入＇in the night next following．＇Herodotus often uses $\dot{v} \pi o ́$ in this context ；cf．ix． 51 ． 19 únò $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \nu$ vúrта $\tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta \nu$ ．

4．$\Delta$ apeîov ．．7．$\Delta$ ape îov．For the repetition of the proper name，see infra． 23 ． 16 and note．

Histiaeus at Chios.

Iomia. B.c. 497 (\%); Ol. 70.4.










${ }^{\text {a }} \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \nu \mathrm{PR}$; cf. v. Io6 ult. b катєрүа́ $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ P. Krüg.
5. इap $\delta$ ஸ́, к.т.入. Cf. v. Io6 ult., note.
 Krüger rcads $\kappa a \tau \epsilon \rho \gamma \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$. The same question arises in i. 24 . 16,
 катєрүа́бабөal, Krïger, катєрүа́бє$\sigma \theta a i$. In these cases the change of a letter makes the difference between the aorist and future. In cases where the tenses are more distinct, the evidence is strongly in favour of the


 $\sigma \chi \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$. The temptation to read the future in erery case is therefore very strong. Nevertheless it is probably right to allow both aorist and future, for the same variation occurs with verbs of similar meaning, e.g. $\grave{\epsilon} \nu \nu \nu^{\prime} \notin{ }^{\epsilon} \in \in \epsilon \nu$ is found with the prescrit, aorist and future infinitive. See Goodwin, Sy'ntax, §§ 100, 1,6.


 $\pi \rho o ̀ s . ~ F o r ~ t h e ~ d o u b l e ~ g e n i t i v e ~ c f . ~$
infr. 67 . I $\Delta \eta \mu a \rho a ́ \tau о v ~ т \grave{v}$ катáтаvбıv $\tau \hat{\eta} s \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \eta i \eta s$, etc.
9. ' $\mathbf{\kappa}$, ' at the instigation of,' viii.
 M $\eta \delta \omega \nu$.
ròv mávтa $\lambda$ ógov, 'the whole truth.'
3. 3. какò̀ тобои̂тov, к.т.入. Herodotus regards the revolt as a

 каì Bapßápoıбı. And as a fact the Ionians were never again so prosperous. Under the Athenian empire Chios and Lesbos, which sent 1 So ships to Ladc, seem to have had but 50 ships or a few more between them ; and the intellectual eminence of the Ionian cities disappears entirely.
4. $\tau \grave{\eta} v \quad \gamma \in v o \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta \nu$ aici $\eta v=\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ द̇ỗal aitinv cf. infr. 50.12, v. 1of. 22.
av̉тoiot, with $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi \in \dot{\xi} \notin a, v \epsilon$. For the order of words cf. infr. 50. 7 ävev रá $\mu \nu \nu \Sigma \pi a \rho \tau \iota \eta \tau \epsilon \in \omega \nu$ тoû koıvov̂. oủ $\mu a ́ \lambda a=$ 'not at all.' So oủ $\pi \alpha ́ \nu v$. The qualifying adverb often follows,

Ionia. bic. 497 (?); OI. 70.4.



 $\lambda \epsilon v \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ \nu 0 v$ '́ $\delta \epsilon \iota \mu \alpha ́ \tau o v ~ \tau o u ̀ s " I \omega \nu \alpha s .{ }^{\text {a }}$







 $\delta \in \iota \mu a \tau \omega \hat{\nu}$ Krüg.
 ка́рта 42. 3, тодло̀v ка́ $\rho \tau \alpha$ 43. 4, $\xi \in \iota v^{\prime} o v s ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a ~ v . ~ 63 . ~$
5. ס $\delta$ è $\neq \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon$, к.т. $\lambda$. Cf. i. 185 , iv. 204, v. 14 and super. c. 20. By occupying Ionia with Phoeniclans, Darius would secure for himself absolute command of the Anatolian coast ; and on the other hand, the Ionians, if placed in Phoenicia, would be beyond the reach of their countrymen.
 optative follows somewhat loosely on ' $\bar{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \boldsymbol{\gamma} \dot{\omega} s$ and is used as in oration obliqua. oúס́́v $\tau \iota \pi a ́ v \tau \omega s$, cf. v. 65. 1; 92. 9, 14 and note, ' in no way whatever.' The asyndeton here is certainly harsh, and a number of emendations have been proposed (see crit. note), but similar harshness is found, inf. 21. 7, 52. 16.
 'Epuintrou. The unusual phrase is
supported by viii. I 34 ס $\iota d \quad \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \eta \rho i \omega \nu$ $\pi о \iota \in \dot{\prime} \mu \in \nu \circ$.
2. Atarneus was a tract of land on the continent opposite Lesbos. It was given to the Chian by Cyrus, as a reward for surrendering Pactyes, Herod. i. 160. Histiaeus was captoured there; info. 28 f .
3. iss $\pi \rho \circ \lambda \in \lambda \in \sigma \chi \eta v \in \nu \mu \in ́ v \omega v$. The word is masculine, not neuter; and es does not imply doubt, as in w's $\delta \hat{\eta} \theta \in \nu$ 1. 6, but gives the reason for the messages being sent. For the construction, in which a dative is followed by a genitive, both cases referring to the same subject, cf. i. 3.
 $\rho \epsilon \iota \nu \sigma \phi \iota \mathrm{M} \eta \delta \epsilon i \eta s \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \rho \pi a \gamma \eta \nu$, where $\pi \rho o \iota \sigma \chi$. and $\sigma \phi t$ refer to the same persons. $\lambda \in \sigma \times \eta v \in \dot{v} \in \sigma \theta$ al is a word found in Ionic writers of the fifth and sixth centuries (Heraclitus, Democritus, Hippocrates) and in later authors (Appian).

Miletus. b. C. 499 ; Ol. 71. r.


 $\delta^{\circ}{ }^{\prime} A \rho \tau \alpha \phi \rho \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$.



## enter

Miletus, he seizes Byzantium.





 $\tau \iota \tau \rho \omega ́ \sigma \kappa \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ тòv $\mu \eta \rho o ̀ \nu$ vitó $\tau \epsilon v \tau \bar{\omega} \nu M i \lambda \eta \sigma i \omega \nu$. ó $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$


 $\theta \epsilon \quad \Lambda \epsilon \sigma \beta i ́ o v s ~ \delta o v ̂ \nu \alpha i ́ ~ o i ~ \nu ' \epsilon ́ \alpha s . ~ o i ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ o ̉ k \tau \grave{\omega}$
 a $\begin{gathered}\epsilon \\ \kappa \\ \tau \hat{\eta} s \\ \text { Pr. }\end{gathered}$
9. тoút $\omega v$ 就 $\gamma \in v o \mu \in ́ v \omega v$ фavepâv. Neuter rather than masculine, 'Als auf diese Weisc die Sache offenkundig geworden war.' Bähr.
5. 2. кarŷyov, imperfect of the attempt, which failed, infr. Io. karais idiomatic in the sense of 'returning home,' cf. kartál infr. l. 7, 22. 5, 41. 3, I7, but Herodotus some-
 27. 5, àmє $\lambda \theta \dot{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ v. 79. 5, ánıтє infr. 97. II.
7. Є̇ாєьрâto кatเóv. The common construction in Herodotus, cf. infr. 9. 16; 84. 9.
9. ámeotós, a word used by So-

10. $\epsilon \pi \in เ \theta \epsilon$, just below $\epsilon \pi \pi \in เ \sigma \epsilon$, the
difference of tenses, marking the difference bctween failure and success. For $\pi \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \theta_{\epsilon \text { tv }} \tilde{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ see v. 105 . 4. We have no mcans of ascertaining the rclations which prevailed between the Asiatic cities at this timc, but from the context we should conclude that the Lesbians were jealous of the Chians and Milesians. Moreover they were Aeolians, while the Chians were Ionians. So in the battle of Lade, the Lesbians are treacherous; the Chians arc patriotic. Yet we arc astonished to find that the Lesbians gain nothing by their conduct. Was their complicity with Histiaeus remcmbered against them?

 $\mu o \iota \epsilon \hat{i} \nu \alpha \iota \pi \in i \hat{i} \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$.

 $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau o ̀ s ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \delta o ́ \kappa \iota \mu о s^{\bullet}$ $\sigma v \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \phi^{\prime} \nu \tau \epsilon s$ र̀े $\rho$ oi $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \eta \gamma \circ i$
 The Persian fleet assembles at Miletus.
 $\sigma \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \iota$. то仑̂ ठє $\nu \alpha \nu \tau \iota \kappa o \hat{v}$ Фоívıкєs $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu \pi \rho о \theta \nu \mu o ́-$







a yavtıкós $\tau \in$ St.

15. ö $\sigma o l$ aủrôv, i.e. the owners of the ships, or the nations from which they came. The blow was aimed at the Milesians, who traded greatly with the Pontus; see infr. 26. 3 .
6. 3. oi arparnyoí. Artaphernes, Otanes, and perhaps Harpagus; cf. v. 123 ; infr. 28.
7. 3. $\pi \rho \circ \beta$ oúdous. 'Commissioners.' In the same manner in the autumn of 48 I B. C.the patriotic Greeks sent $\pi \rho \circ \dot{\beta} \beta$ oudo to the Isthmus of Corinth to discuss the best means of defence, vii. $17^{2} .5{ }^{\text {ct }} \boldsymbol{\nu} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\varphi}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{I} \tau \theta \mu \hat{\omega}$ $\hat{\eta} \sigma a \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \nu o l ~ \pi \rho o ́ \beta o v \lambda o l ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s{ }^{\text {' }}$ E $\lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}-$
 Quite distinct are the Probouli
sometimes chosen to administer the affairs of a city; cf. Thuc. viii. I



4. és Пavíviov. The Panionium lay on the northern slope of Mycale, three stades from the sea, on the way from the Samian Strait to Ephesus, Strabo, p. $6_{39}$. It was in the keeping of the Prienians, who made the arrangements necessary for the meetings, and for the festival which was celebrated there to Poseidon. Cf. Smith, Dict. Geog. sub voc.
6. à àdà. . . Mı M $\eta \sigma$ oious. These words are a kind of parenthesis, after which the previous subject is

Miletus. b.c. 496; Ol. 7x. i.
 $\pi о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v s ~ \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \mu i ́ \alpha \nu \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu, \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha s \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \quad \sigma \nu \lambda \lambda \epsilon ́-$



The Ionian fleet at Lade. 8 Mı





 $\sigma$ í $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \tau o u ́ t o l \sigma \iota ~ ' E \rho v \theta \rho a i ̂ o i ́ ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \epsilon ́ \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma o \nu \tau o ~ к \alpha i ~ \Phi \omega-~$







 alii.
resumed. But instead of the dative ( Bov $\lambda \epsilon$ ยо $\mu^{\prime}$ 'votol) we have the accusative (ímo $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\text {เто }}$ évous), because what is said is part of the resolution and goes with the infinitive $\pi \lambda \eta p o u ̂ v$.
10. The island of lade is now joined to the mainland by the alluvial deposits of the Maeander. In $4^{12}$ B. C. it formed the station of the Athenian fleet, at the time when Miletus revolted. In 334 B. C. it was occupied by Alexander (Arrian, An. i. 18. 4) ; in the time of Strabo
it had become a nest of pirates (p. 635).
 Aeolian cities on the mainland had been crushed, see v. 123. So also Clazomenae, ibid.; Ephesus, Colophon and Lebedus, of the Ionic cities, took no part whatever in the revolt.
12. $\pi$ ávт $\omega v$ тov́т $\omega v$, the masc. refers to the crews which manned the ressels; cf. aùtôv supr. 5. 15 . There is no need to read $\pi a \sigma \sigma^{\prime} \omega \nu$ тоит $\epsilon \alpha \nu$.

Miletus. b.c. 496; Ol. 71. т.
 $\sigma \phi \iota \quad \ddot{\alpha} \pi \alpha s \quad \pi \alpha \rho \bar{\eta} \nu, \stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \nu \theta a \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$ oi $\Pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \nu \quad \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \eta \gamma o i ̀ \pi v$ -



















 The preceding negative is necessary; at least we find no instance in which it does not occur. Otherwise $\mu \eta$ oủ seems $=\mu \eta$. Goodwin, Syntax, § 818, says ' $\mu \eta$ خ̀ ov is occasionally used with participles in negative sentences in place of the simple $\mu \dot{\eta}$ to express a negative condition.' Besides IIerod. and Soph. (O. T. 12, 220 ; O. C. 359), Plato, Lys. 212 D, Demosth. 5S. I3, Philem. Fr. 213 are the passages quoted.
 v. 37 f. and infr. 43. 2.
II. $\epsilon \phi \epsilon u \gamma o v$, not merely 'went over to,' but 'remained in exile with.' Hence the imperfect, cf.
 Mídous. On the use of the word cf. v. l. c. note. In iii. 136. Io Phoenician ships, with Persians on board, are nevertheless M M $\delta ı \ldots a i$.
17. $\pi \rho \circ$ ö̈ $\sigma$ о́ $\mu \in \nu o l$, sc. $\tau \eta ̀ \nu$ àmó$\sigma \tau a \sigma \iota \nu$. The proposal to abandon the alliance was to be accompanied with promises, etc.
19. oúס́̇ $\beta$ 人alóтєpov, к.т. $\lambda$. This does not mean that they had been previously oppressed; translate, ' they should not be oppressed, any more than they had been previously'; i. e. 'should be treated as before.'

Persian overtures refused by the Greeks.

Miletus. b.c. 496; Ol. 7r. i.










 $\sigma \alpha s{ }^{\epsilon} \xi \beta \gamma \gamma \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$.

 of Phocaea.


${ }^{a} \mu \dot{\eta} \mathrm{P}$ R.
b $\tau a \hat{v} \tau \alpha \mathrm{P}$ R.
20. $\mathfrak{\text { fi }}$. . . oủ. See Goodwin, Syn$\operatorname{tax}, \S 384$ f., esp. $\S 387$. When the negation is more important than the condition there is a tendency to substitute oủ for $\mu \dot{\eta}$. Cf. vii. IO. $\theta$. Ir, vii. I6. I5, infr. I 33 . 10.
22. тd́ $\pi \in \rho$, к.т.入., 'which will assurcdly overtake them.' ' $\in \mp \rho \rho \in a_{-}^{-}$乡ovtes is here thought to have the unusual sense of 'threatening'; but may it not mean 'stating the outrages '?
24. ávámá $\sigma$ tous, sc. $\pi о \nmid \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \mu \in \nu$, infr. 32. Bactria is mentioned as being a remote province.
10. 2. $\tau \alpha ́ \delta €$ rcfers to what goes beforc, ' as often in Herodotus,' Stcin.


quest'; cf. vii. I. 6 ' $\pi \eta \gamma \gamma^{\prime} \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau о \pi \epsilon \in \mu \pi \omega \nu$ á $\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ '́ $\lambda o u s$. The word $\dot{\epsilon} \pi a \gamma \gamma$. points to the person to whom the message is sent; $\dot{\xi} \xi a \gamma \gamma$. to the source from which it comes, infr. 1. 7, 26. 3 .
4. ả $\gamma v \omega \mu \circ \sigma u ́ v \eta ~ \tau \epsilon \delta \iota \in \chi \rho \epsilon \in \omega v \tau 0$, 'persisted in their resolution.' The same words are used of the Aeginetans in their conduct towards Epidaurus, v. 83.5 . Herc they can hardly be intended to convcy much blame, for the opposite coursc implied treachery (mpoסooinv). Yet, as we have said, Iferodotus had no sympathy with the Ionian revolt.
 the territory of Miletus: so Athens is put for Attica, v. $7^{6}$ ult. Cf. vii. I. 4. 4. ท̉yopówvro. The epic form, if

Miletus. bic. 496; OI. 71. i.










 $\sigma \gamma o \nu \tau \alpha s ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \mathrm{~b}$." $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \kappa о \hat{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon S$ oi 12



${ }^{a} \delta \iota a \chi \rho \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \mathrm{P}$ R, Kruig., alii. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \mathrm{P}$ R, Krüg., eli.

correct, may be used with special reference to the Ionians: but cf. iv. Iq. 4 ко $о \dot{\omega} \omega \sigma$.
 pression is as old as Homer, Il. x.
 Theognis, 557 P rivóvvós to u $\mathfrak{e} \pi i$ §voô ïбтатaı $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \mu \hat{\eta} s$. Herodotus seems to be unique in substituting
 Ant. 1140 ßıaías é $\chi \notin \tau \alpha \iota$ èmi vóvov.
 the expression cf. supt. IO. 5. The use of $\epsilon i$ with the subjunctive in future conditions is of course Homeric; and instances are found in the Attic drama : e.g. Soph. O. C. 1443 ai $\sigma o v \sigma \tau \epsilon p \eta \theta \hat{\omega}$. In Thus. vi. 21 we have $\epsilon i \nsucceq v \sigma \tau \omega \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$ ai $\pi \dot{\prime} \lambda \epsilon t s$, where $\ddot{\eta} \nu$ is found in inferior MSS. only. There is therefore no need to deny the construction to Herodotus.

Yet in almost every instance the MSS. vary, A B C supporting the subjunctive, while in PRs $\epsilon i$ is changed to $\eta^{\prime} \nu$, or the future is substituted for the subjunctive. Cf. Kallenberg, Comm. Crit. p. 6.
 same phrase occurs info. 109. 26. Compare the striking remark in

 тò Пєрб九кóv. In these passages Herodotus conceives of a divine power which is supreme over the deities of the various nations.
12. 3. ex $\pi i$ k' $\quad$ pas. For the accurative cf. iv. 67.4 , vi. III. I5 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ rágıas $\dot{d \lambda i \gamma a s, ~ a n d ~ t h e ~ n o t e ~}$ there. The Attic rule requires the genitive, ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi i} \pi \epsilon \in \rho a s$. The ships sailed out in single file, but they appear to have been arranged

Miletus．в．c．496；OI． 7 r． t ．








Inactivity of the Ionians． є่ $\omega v \tau o \imath ̀ s ~ \tau \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon$ ．＂$\tau i v \alpha ~ \delta \alpha \iota \mu o ́ \nu \omega \nu ~ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta \alpha ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ \tau \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon ~ \alpha ̉ \nu \alpha-$




 סoछo兀 тడ́vтò тоûтo $\pi \epsilon i ́ \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha i ́ ~ \epsilon i \sigma \iota, ~ \pi \rho o ́ ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \tau о u ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$


in two rows，which subsequently fronted each other，and went through their exercises．on $\kappa \omega \mathrm{s}$ ，＇whenever．＇

4．ס̌є́ктлоov．This manocurre consisted in breaking the enemy＇s line by charging at full speed where an opening offered between two ships．The charge，if successful， not only damaged the oarage of the enemy＇s vessels，but enabled the charging ships to take the line in the rear．［Classen describes the matter differently－＇brcaking the enemy＇s line by a wedge，＇and then＇wrap－ ping up＇the two separated for－ tions（cf．Nelson＇s attack at Sra－ falgar），Thus．i．49．］

5． $\boldsymbol{\delta} \pi \lambda$ íбєLє，＇caused them to be
on deck in full armour．＇The weight of the armour would be very op－ pressie in the heat．

7．$\mu^{\prime}$ е́ pl $\mu$ év vv，к．т．$\lambda$ ．It is not quite certain from the context that the days were consecutive；if they had been，one would rather
 т $\rceil$ た．

11．tiva $\delta$ alpóvar trapaßávtes； The meaning is clear，＇quo numine lacso？＇but the expression is an extraordinary refinement on $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$－ ßаívєı $\nu$ ӥркоу．ảvaтi $\mu \pi \lambda а \mu \epsilon v$ ，cf． v．4． 7.
 iii．I55． 13 we find $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \lambda \omega \dot{\omega} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\phi \rho \in \nu \omega \nu$ ，cf．supr．5．9．var．1．infr．97．7．

Miletus．b．c．496；Ol．71．i．
${ }_{20} \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\eta} \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \sigma ⿱ ㇒ ⿻ 二 亅 ⿱ 一 𫝀 口 \eta ~ \sigma v \nu \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ ．$\quad \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ ，$\tau 0 \hat{v} \lambda o \iota \pi o \hat{v}$











 $\nu 0 \iota$＠̀s єi каi тò $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon o ̀ \nu ~ \nu \alpha u \tau \iota \kappa o ̀ \nu ~ \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \beta \alpha \lambda о i ́ \alpha \tau о$［ $\tau \grave{o} \nu$


20．$\sigma v v_{\text {éX }} \in \sigma$ Oal．Plato，Gorg． 5 I 2 A ảvเáтoıs voбч̛́ $\mu a \sigma \iota ~ \sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \chi o ́ \mu \in \nu 0 s$.

2I．$\pi \epsilon \epsilon \dot{\theta} \mu \epsilon \theta a$ aủтov̂．$\pi \epsilon \hat{i} \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ takes the genitive on the analogy of ітпакои́єєv，cf．v．29．14，33．19，i．I 26. 20．Such constructions are not un－ common in Herodotus：e．g．$\pi a \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma-$ $\theta a c$ takes the genitive on the analogy of $\gamma \in \dot{v} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ，ii．47．II ；iv．I86． 6 ； see infr．I4．Io．

22．$\sigma \tau \rho a \tau i \eta$ ，＇an army．＇
13．The connection is oi $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o l$


 motives of the Samians are still further stated in the sentence $\pi \rho o-$ фáбıos ．．．í íla．Herodotus seems anxious to excuse the Samians，yet he makes no attempt to conceal the
fact that the trcachery began with them．A similar anacoluthon，or resumption of the nominative，will be found in v． 76 ．

5．ópūvтєs，к．$\tau . \lambda$ ．How far the Samians themselves were guilty of the dं $\tau a \xi \xi^{\prime} \eta$ ，which is pleaded on their behalf，we cannot say．

7．áסúvata，plur．for sing．，as nearly always in this word，in Herod．

10．$\pi \epsilon \tau \tau a \pi \lambda$ jotov．This is，of course，an exaggeration ；the Persian fleet now numbered 600 vessels，and the fleet with which Xerxes invaded Hellas，even with the Greek con－ tingents，amounted to 1407 ships only．$\pi \rho о \phi$ ácıos，i．e．making the want of order and training of the Ionians their pretext．

















15. Syloson was the brother of Polyerates, the famous tyrant of Samos; he was expelled by Polycrates, and when in exile at Memphis he secured the favour of Darius, then in the body-guard of Cambyses, by a gift of a searlet cloak (iii. 39 . 139f.). When Darius eame to the throne, Syloson reminded him of this gift, and Darius in return restored him to Samos (iii. I44 ff.). This was at the time of the revolt of Babylon, i. e. quite at the beginning of the reign of Darius. Before the Scythian expedition, Syloson had been sueceeded by his son Aeaces (iv. 138). Aeaces must therefore
have been seventeen or eighteen years on the throne when he was expelled with the rest of the Ionian tyrants by Aristagoras, v. 37 .
14. 3. '̇mi kípas. Though the ships set out in line, they must, before coming into aetion, have faced the enemy, and it is when they had thus ehanged front that the places of the various contingents on the right and left could be described. Supr. 12. 3 .
 т $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ yoior. The dative is due to ana-
 cf. supr. 12. 2 I.
II. đò kotvóv. The community;

Miletus. bic. 496; Ol.71. i.
 $\nu \alpha \iota \pi \alpha \tau \rho o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu$ ف̀s $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \iota \quad \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \circ \imath \imath \sigma \iota \quad \gamma \in \nu 0 \mu \epsilon ́ \nu 0 \iota \sigma \iota, \kappa \alpha \grave{ }$


 $\alpha u ̛ \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ठ̀ $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \epsilon \iota \nu \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ '̀ $\nu \tau \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha v \mu \alpha \chi$ in 15





 $\kappa \alpha к о i ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \alpha v ̉ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ on $\mu о \iota \iota \iota$, $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \quad \mu \epsilon \tau$ ' on $\lambda i ́ \gamma \omega \nu \quad \sigma \nu \mu \mu \alpha ́ \chi \omega \nu$



cf. info. 50. ร $\sum \pi \alpha \rho \tau \iota \eta \tau \in ́ \omega \nu$ nov kolvô̂ v. IOS. I3 To kouvòv $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'I $\omega$ ' $\nu \omega \nu$. We cannot precisely fix the time at which this was done, but from infer. 22.2 we learn that it was the oligarchical partyat Samos which refused to join in the treachery, so that the pillar in their honour would be set up when the island was once more in the hands of the oligarchy, i. e. after 479 B . C.
13. $\pi a \tau p o \theta_{\epsilon v}$. The name of the father was only added when especial significance was given to a person. Thus, in Dittenberger, Syll. no. 3, we have a list of names of men belonging to the Erechtheid tribe who fell in various actions in the year $459 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{C}$. , all without any addition. On the other hand, cf. ibid. no. 335





 Stein quotes $1 l$. x. $68 \pi a \tau \rho o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu$ є่ $\kappa$
 too, Thus. vii. 69. 2 Nicias $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \rho \iota \eta$ -

 каì фu入そ́v, cf. Herod. iii. I. 22.
15. 5. тєббєрáкоvтa. This was more than usual. On the Persian ships in 480 B. C., there were thirty epibatae ; on the Athenian ships at Salamis, eighteen, of whom four were archers ; cf. vii. I84. In, Plat. Them. I4. Later, the average mumber was ten; see Arnold, Thuc.iii. 95.
7. тробьóov $\quad$ 'playing the traitor'; cf. v. 1I3. 4.

Miletus. b.c. 496 ; Ol. 71. 1.

Disasters of the Chians.












 in the West.

Miletus. b.c. 494 ; Ol. 7r. 3.
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therefore difficult to escape the conclusion that Milctus was besieged for nearly two years.
3. ن́mopúarovtes. Cf. v. 115. 9; iv. 200 where the mines at Barca are detected and met by counter-mincs. Another method of attacking a city was by $\pi \rho \dot{c} \sigma \chi \omega \sigma \tau s$, i. 168.
4. кат' áкрŋs, 'utterly.' A Homeric cxpression.
6. $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon i v, ~ ' a g r e e ~ w i t h, ' ~ i . ~ e . ~$ confirm ; cf. $\sigma v \nu a ̣ ́ \delta \epsilon \iota \nu, \sigma v \nu \nsim \delta^{\prime} \dot{s}$.
19. I. This was on the occasion of the attack of Cleomencs ; see infr. 76 f . Why the oracle should combine a prediction to Miletus with a prediction to Argos, especially as the Milesians were not present, it is
difficult to understand. Cf. however iv. $150.8 \chi \rho \epsilon \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \omega{ }^{\prime} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\varphi} \Gamma \rho i \nu \nu \varphi \tau \hat{\varphi}$
 $\dot{\eta} \Pi v \theta i \eta \pi \tau i \zeta \epsilon L \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \Lambda: \beta v i \eta \pi \dot{\partial} \lambda \iota \nu$.

5. катவ̀ тоиิто, к.т.入. I. e. in c. 77.
8. Here, as in the case of Cnidus i. $17+$, we see the Delphian oraclc on the side of the oppressor. The oracle at Didyma was of the samc mind; cf. i. I $5^{8 .}$
II. $\Delta$ ifúpors, for the dative ef. Soph. Elcct. 573 vv̀v $\delta^{\prime}$ ảभpoî̃ $\tau v \gamma \chi \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon เ$. Herod. just below, 15 , has $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \nu \Delta$., and Cobet would read $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in-$ pou' $\nu$ here.
12. óко́тє. Krüger suggests ö $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$.












${ }^{15}$. ipóv is the whole temple of which $\nu \eta$ ós and $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \iota \frac{1}{}$ are separate parts. Stein quotes Strabo, p. 634 , where the temple is described; speaking of the later temple he says


17. $\pi \mathrm{o} \mathrm{\lambda} \mathrm{\lambda ákıs}, \mathrm{twice}, \mathrm{i}. 9^{2} .8$; v. 36. 15.
20. 2. Cf. infr. 119. 7.
3. By the Red Sca the Persian Gulf is meant ; cf. iv. 39 where the 'Rcd Sea' is distinguished from the Arabian Gulf (which we call the Red Sea). Strabo, p. $7^{6} 5$, makes thic following distinctions: raúr $\eta \mathrm{s}$ ( $\tau \bar{\eta} s$


 'A $\rho a ́ \beta \iota o s$, тò $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ vótıov $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \eta$ $\theta a ́-$
 äтабау 'Epvөpàv калойбוv. Various reasons are given for the name, Strabo, p. 779. Some thought it
was so called from the reflection of the sun, or of the mountains reddened by the heat ; Ctesias thought the water was reddencd by a spring. The Persians had other legends. In Smith's Dict. Geog. sub voe. Kubrum Mare, we are told that 'the thick wall-like masses of coral which form the shores or fringing reefs of the eleft by which the watcrs of the Indian Ocean advance through the straits of Bab-el-Mandeb, with their red and purple hucs, were no doubt the original source of the name.'
6. rò $\pi \epsilon \delta i o v$, the plain of the Maeander.
7. Kapoi ח $\eta \delta a \sigma \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \iota$. It is strange that the Carians should be thus treated after their strenuous resistance; but cf. infr. ${ }^{25}$. For Pcdasa or Pedasum cf. i. 175
 'A入єкар $\nu \eta \sigma \sigma o \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \sigma$ '́ $\quad \alpha \iota \alpha \nu$. Abicht distinguishes between חíjaбa here and








 ${ }^{a} \dot{d} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda o \iota \sigma \iota$ A pr. B ${ }^{1}$ P pr. R.

Пभ́סaбov in v. 121 ; but cf. Smith's Dict. Geog.
21. 2. т $\grave{v} v$ in coin $\eta v$, i. e. 'equal re-
 were colonies ofthcSybarites. Laosthe name was given to the river, city and bay-is described by Strabo, p. ${ }^{2} 53$, as the last city in Lucania, 400 stadia from Velia. Of Scidrus the position is unknown. Coins of Laos occur which bear a certain resemblance to those of Sybaris.
 в. c. Sec v. 44 ff.; Greek IFist.ii. 4 Er.
4. $\tilde{\eta}_{\beta} \beta \delta \delta o$ o i. e. all the citizens of

 Strachan well quotes Heraclid. Fr.

 ката入ıтєiv, so also Did. 3. 54
 Strictly the word means ' after thc manner of the youth.'
 229. İ $\mu \hat{\eta} \nu \nu \nu \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \theta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a u$. Kruger reads $\pi \rho \rho \in \theta$ ض́каитo translating 'reranstalteten öffentlich,' but for this there seems no reason.
6. $\pi$ od les $^{2}$ rad ait al. Similar friendships are noted between Cnidus
and Tarentum, iii. I3S; Eretria and Miletus, v. 99; Samos and Chalcis, ibid.; Samos, Thera and Cyrene, iv. 152. We may suppose that the Milesian traded with Sybaris via Eretria. Sybaris took the wool of Miletus, and in return supplied the Asiatic city with the products of the distant west. See Lenormant, Grand Grèce, i. c. 5 .
 was the conduct of the Athenians.' For the asyndeton, see supp. 3. 8.

 Kruger quotes Thus. iii. 64. I $\delta \bar{\eta} \lambda o v$

9. Tท̂ $\tau \epsilon \not \approx \lambda \lambda_{\eta} \pi \quad \pi \lambda \lambda a x \hat{n}$. This appears to be an unique instance of the use of $\tau \hat{\eta} \not{a} \lambda \lambda \eta$ in any but a local sense. For $\pi$ o $\lambda \lambda a \chi \hat{n}$, , on many orcasons,' see i. $4^{2} .5$.
Io. $\Phi \rho$ pix $\boldsymbol{x}$. For the dative, cf.
 $\kappa a \tau \epsilon i \lambda o v$. This is the first instance, so far as we know, of a historical drama in Greece. Subsequently ( $47^{6}$ b. c.) Phrynichus dramatized the overthrow of Xerxes in his Phoenissue, as Aeschylus did four yeats later in his Persae.

Sympathy with Miletus; Phrynichis.

Sicily. b.c. 494 ; Ol. 7r. 3.





The loyal Samians invited to colonise Kale Acte in Sicily,










[^20] Miletus was, of course, a colony of Athens, so that her misfortunes were oik $\quad$ ía to the Athenians.
12. $\chi$ เ $\lambda$ ín $\sigma \iota \delta$ рах $\mu \hat{n} \sigma \iota$,about $£ 35$.
 implies that it was already the custom for the plays of famous poets to be brought on the stage by others than the author, when no new play of sufficient excellence was forth-coming-a custom which we hear of in the fourth century in reference to the three great tragedians, Aesehylus, Sophocles and Euripides. This difficulty, if it is one, is avoided if with Dobree we omit $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\varphi}$ ('use this,' i.e. the capture of Miletus, 'as a subject for a drama').
 Miletus is again in the possession of the Ionians; cf. ix. 99. In the parallel case of Samos, iii. I 49, the exiles were brought back. but we are not told
that this was the case at Miletus.
2. rô̂'í $\tau$ l éXovor. Stein seems to distinguish oí $\tau \iota$ ' 'XOVTєs from oi ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\prime}$ OVVtes as individual persons of means from the class of the rich, and this view is supported by Van Herwerden: 'fieri potest ut scriptor eam voculam de industria addiderit, quo significaret non solum divites, sed omnino eos quibus aliquid esset pretiosi, novas sedes petivisse'; IIncmos. xiii. 29.
5. áтькє́ $\sigma \theta$ al, 'return'; cf.supr.5.2.
 the usual Greek attraction ; cf. infr.

8. tòv xpóvov roûtov. So infr. 27. 7 ; 5I. 1, v. Io6. 3 .
9. Ka入ף̀ ć $\kappa \tau$ خ́ was a district on the north shore of Sicily, a part of the island whieh was not occupied by Greek colonies. See Freeman, Hist. of Sicily, i. I43.
10. 'I' $\boldsymbol{v} \omega \mathrm{v}$. The inhabitants of

Sicily. b.c. 494; Ol. 71. 3 .


















Zancle were themselves originally of Chalcidic and Ionian origin. But the arrival of the Dorians from Messenia introduced a strong Dorian element into the colony. The Ionians may have wished to strengthen their position by establishing their kinsmen in the neighbourhood.
12. (1) The construction is $\stackrel{\ell}{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$
 being in 'apposition' to $\Sigma_{\iota \kappa \epsilon \lambda \omega} \nu$, and both depending on K $\alpha \lambda \grave{\eta} \dot{\mu} \kappa \tau \eta$. So Wesseling and Schaefer; infr. 27. 8, 47. 5. (2) The genitive $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ Eike $\lambda$ íns may be taken closely with the preceding words; cf. i. $\$_{4}$. $17{ }^{\ell}{ }^{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota$
 $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon \in \nu=\nu \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} s \pi u ́ \lambda \iota o s$, and perhaps Soph. Ajax, 659 raías ủpúgas évoa $\mu \eta \tau \iota \mathscr{\prime} \ddot{\sim} \psi \in \tau a l$. The first is right.
13. $\mu$ oûvot 'I'vav. The expression is qualified by what follows, and apparently it should be qualified still more ; cf. Thuc. vi. 5 ímò
 (oi Zayкдaîot) oî Mńסovs фєú $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \in ́ \beta a \lambda o \nu \Sigma$ ミı $\kappa \in \lambda i ́ a$.
14. $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \mathrm{V} \stackrel{\Psi}{\Psi}$, 'in which transaction.'
23. 3. © $\beta$ act $\lambda \epsilon$ ús. Below, 1. 15, he is called $\mu$ ov́rapXos, and so 24.1 ; cf. v. 44. 2 note. The son of this Scythes, Cadmus, seems to have recorered his father's throne for a time; cf. rii. 163 .
5. For Anaxilaus see Greek Hist. ii. $49^{8}$. At a later time he acquired Zancle for himself.
12. Hippocrates was tyrant of Gela 49 8-491 в. C ; cf. vii. I 54 f.

Sicily. в.c. 494; OI. 7r. 3.


 ò 'ITтокра́тךs $\pi \epsilon \delta \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha s$ каi $\tau o ̀ v ~ a ̉ \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \epsilon o ̀ v ~ \alpha u ̛ \tau o v ̂ ~ \Pi u \theta o-~$
 $Z \alpha \gamma к \lambda \alpha i ́ o u s ~ к о \iota \nu о \lambda о \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о s ~ \tau о i ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \sum \alpha \mu i o \iota \sigma \iota ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ o ̋ p к о и s ~$












a "Ivvea St. : "Ivvaov Libb.
${ }^{15}$. ís ảmoßa入óvтa. Hippocrates regarded Scythes as a vassal king who held Zancle for him, or under his authority ; cf.vii. I 54 where Callipolis, Naxos, Zancle, and Leontini are all said to have been enslaved by him.

I6. © ' $\mathbf{I} \pi \pi$ токрár $\eta$ s is redundant to a degree remarkable even in Herodotus ; but cf. v. 1, 9, 62. 7, 12, 83. 1, 4, suppr. 13. 5, 6. It is easy to omit these repeated words, but not so easy to account for their presence.
$\pi \epsilon \delta \dot{\eta} \sigma a s$. Kriiger and Naber (Annemos. iii. $4^{88}$; xiii. 72) object to this word, and suggest $\hat{\epsilon} v \pi \pi^{\prime} \delta \eta \sigma_{t}$
$\delta \dot{\eta} \sigma a s$ which is no doubt good Herodotean Greek ; but Sophocles Ajax, 676 has $\lambda v \in \iota \pi \in \delta \dot{\eta} \sigma a s$.
17. 's "Ivuka ródıv. The town lay on the river Hypsas in the S.W. of the island. Plato calls it $\chi \omega$ piov пávv $\sigma \mu \kappa \rho o ́ v$, but nevertheless Hippias acquired twenty minae there (Hipp. maj. 282 E ) ; cf. Aelian V. H. viii. $1_{7}$; Smith, Dict. Geog. s.v. ; Freeman, Hist. of Sicily, i. $49^{6}$.
24. 4. In like manner Cadmus, the son of Scythes, was remarkable for his honesty, vii. 164. Contrast the conduct of Democedes, iii. ${ }^{1} 35$ f.

Sамоя. в.с. 494 ; Ol. 7 r. 3.



 ıо $\boldsymbol{Z} \alpha \alpha^{\gamma} к \lambda \eta \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \beta \epsilon \beta \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \alpha \tau$.



 Aeaces restored to Samos. Caria.





 26









 age,' not $\nu$ ov́ $\sigma \omega$.
10. $\pi \epsilon р \iota \beta \epsilon \beta \lambda \epsilon ́ a \tau о$, 'acquired.' For the pluperf. cf. infr. IIO. 3 .
25. 3. ©s $\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \circ \hat{v}, ~ к . \tau . \lambda ., ~ i . e . ~ b y ~$ inducing the Samians to revolt in the battle oí Lade.
4. Hoúvolot. Cf. supr. 5. Io, note.
7. Kapiŋv. The Carians had previously made a stubborn resistance; see v. I2I.
9. тàs $\delta$ è . . . тробпүүáyovтo. For this return to the finite verb, cf. I3. 5 f. $\delta р \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$. . . ä $\mu \alpha$ ठ̀̀ катєфаivєто ... €ủ $\delta \in \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota$.
26. 3. Cf. supr. c. 5.

Cf. supr. 19. 5, 2. I note, infr. 42. 2. Hellespont is here used in the same wide sense as in v. I. 3 ; see the note there.

Hecataeus leaves Byzantium and attacks Chios.

Ionia. b.c. 494; OI. 7x. 3.





 tunes of
the Chians. $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau о u ́ \tau \omega \nu ~$
$\sigma \eta \mu \dot{\prime} \iota \alpha$
$\mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha ~ \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon ́ \nu \epsilon \tau o . ~ \tau о u ̂ \tau o ~$ ย́v $\sigma \phi \iota$ $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi \alpha \sigma \iota$ є́s $\triangle \epsilon \lambda \phi o u ̀ S ~ \chi o \rho o ̀ \nu ~ \nu є \eta \nu \iota \epsilon ́ \omega \nu ~ \epsilon ́ к \alpha \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \delta u ́ o ~ \mu о v ̂ \nu o \iota ~$

 $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \tau о u ̂ \tau o \nu ~ \chi ~ م o ́ v o \nu, ~ b \lambda i ́ \gamma o \nu ~ \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̂ S ~ \nu \alpha v \mu \alpha-~$




S. The position of the 'Hollows' is not known, but doubtless the place was somewhere on the western coast of the island. Cf. viii. 14.
10. és т $\uparrow \mathrm{p}$ vauraxí $\eta \mathrm{g}$. The battle of Lade.
1I. Polichne-diminutive of $\pi \delta \delta^{\prime} / \iota$ -is a name which frequently occurs; no definite site can be fixed.
 It is easy to supply $\delta \theta$ cós as the nominative to $\phi \iota \lambda \epsilon \in t$, and this would agree with the sentiments which Herodotus elsewhere expresses; e.g. infr.l. Io. On the other hand words like $\delta \eta \lambda o i ̂, \delta \epsilon i \xi \epsilon \iota$ are sometimes used in an impersonal and intransitive sense as e.g. in Aristoph. Frogs, 126i $\delta \in \notin \xi \in \iota \delta \eta \tau_{\alpha} \alpha \chi a$, Herod. ix. 68. I

 and this may be the construction
here. Cf. v. I24. I.
 and carried off,' like a wind or storm, of which the word $\dot{i} \pi o \lambda a \beta \in \hat{\imath}$ is frequently used by Herodotus. Cf. infr. I. II, and C. 75. 4.
7. тòv aủtòv toûtov Xpóvov, supr. 22. 8.
ir. és yóvv ${ }^{\ell} \beta a \lambda$. Cf. Aesch.

 of this attack on Chios is not clear, unless it was mere personal revenge on the part of Histiaeus for the refusal of the Chians to give him ships; supr. 5. 1o. Was Histiaeus now intending to play the part of an ally of Darius, and to secure his restoration to favour? In itself such a conjecture is not impossible, but it can hardly be reconciled with the events related in the next chapter.

Ionia. b.c. 493 (?) ; Ol. 7x. 4 .

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ є่ $\pi o \iota \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \tau \sigma$.
 ă $\gamma \omega \nu$ ' $I \omega \prime \nu \omega \nu$ каi Aio $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$ $\sigma \nu \chi \nu o v ́ s . ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota к \alpha \tau \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega$ Histiaeus














 29 in Atarneus; his capture and death.




${ }^{\text {a }} \lambda_{\iota} \mu a \iota \nu 0 v \sigma_{\eta}$ Reiske : $\delta \epsilon \iota \mu a \iota \nu 0 v \not \sigma \eta s$ Libb.
 supr. 4. 2. The district belonged to the Chians. The plain of the Caicus is described by Strabo, p. 624 , as almost the best part of Mysia.
29. 3. бuvéqтacav, 'were engaged in conflict'; cf. i. $208 \gamma_{\nu \hat{\omega} \mu a t ~ a u ̃ t a t ~}^{\text {a }}$
$\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \bar{\omega} \tau a \mathrm{~s}$.
5. тò épyov то仑ิто, 'this achievement'; cf. ix. 102. Io örcus tevutêv
 $\nu i \omega \nu$, v. ı. I6. Gaisford and Krüger read $\tau$ ót $\epsilon$ with some MSS.
8. філо廿uxíŋv . . . ảvalpíєtas.

Ionia. b. C. 493 (?); Ol. 71. 4.













 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ 'I I $\tau \iota \alpha i ́ o v ~ \lambda o v ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к \alpha i ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ \lambda \alpha \nu \tau \alpha s ~ \epsilon \hat{v} ~ Є ้ ~ \nu \epsilon-~$
 $\sigma \eta \sigma \iota \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \omega$.

## ${ }^{\text {a }} \mu \in \tau \tau \epsilon \in \mathrm{i}$ R (sv).

'Suscipere cupiditatem vitae, amore vitae capi,' Schwgh. So we have $\dot{\alpha}^{2} \nu a \iota \rho^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \quad \gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta \nu$, etc.
9. катє入ацßávєто . . .катацрєó$\mu$ vvos, ' overtaken,' ' being seized or pulled down,' $\dot{\text { is }} \delta \bar{\epsilon}$ ov̀ $k a \tau \epsilon \in \lambda a \beta o v \mathrm{v}$. $9^{8.21}$.
30. 3. àmîkє, sc. ó $\Delta a p \epsilon i o ̄ s, ~ c f . ~ i . ~$


 (Croesus).
4. aủtติv $\tau \epsilon$ тоút $\omega v$ єîvєкa, i. e. to prevent his reaching Susa.
7. тò $\mu$ ̀̀v aủтov̂ $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$ av̉тov̂ тau่тท. Both Stein and Abicht retain this reading; for aủtov̂ тav́т $\eta$, cp. supr. 16. 4. Stein supports by numerous examples both the position of autov
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ ả $\nu \eta \chi^{\prime} \chi \eta$ Bredow : $\bar{\eta} \lambda \theta \in$ Krüg. before $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$ and the repetition.
10. It was a principle of the Persian Kings, according to Herodotus, to weigh the good and evil which an officer had done to the kingdom, and to decide by the balance; i. 137

 $\gamma \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$, oút $\omega \tau \hat{\varphi} \theta \nu \mu \hat{\varphi} \chi \rho \hat{\alpha} \tau \alpha \iota$.
12. $\pi \epsilon \rho 1 \sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ \lambda a v \tau a s ~ \epsilon$ ủ. Cf. Soph.


I3. $\dot{\epsilon} \omega v \tau \hat{\varphi}$ with $\epsilon \mathfrak{v} \epsilon \rho \gamma \in \tau \in \omega$. The same construction is found in Euripides (Herc. Fur. 1252 ). Cf. supr. v. $3^{\text {J. 8. For } \epsilon \boldsymbol{\cup} \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon ́ \tau \eta s \text { of. viii. } 85}$



 $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau o ̀ s ~ o ́ ~ \Pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu \quad \chi \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon \rho i \sigma \alpha s$ $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ Mí入 $\eta \tau о \nu, \tau \widehat{\varrho} \delta \in v$ - The

 Aegean,


 $\tau \eta ̂ S ~ \chi \in \iota \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ́ k ~ \theta a \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \eta s ~ \tau \eta ̂ S ~ \beta o \rho \eta i ́ \eta s ~ \epsilon ́ \pi i ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \nu o \tau i ́ \eta \nu ~$










31. 2. тஸ̂ b.c. The year of Herodotus is somewhat vague: apparently it begins with the spring. Cf. viii. I30.4, I3I, and ix. II7. 2 with ix. 121. 3 and Thuc. i. 89.
1. áv $\in \pi \lambda \omega \sigma \epsilon$, 'set out.'
2. $\lambda \dot{\beta} \beta o \iota, ~ s c . ~ o ̀ ~ v a v \tau ı k o ̀ s ~ \sigma \tau p a \tau o ́ s . ~$
 them one by one': i.e. employing the whole force on each. Cf. i. II4.

 account is of course an exaggeration; it would be quite impossible to 'net' the mountainous islands in the manner described. Cf. iii. I49. (In this instance the object was to
 are not told that Chios, Lesbos, or Tenedos were denuded of their in-
habitants). Plato, Aienex. 240 B , says of the Eretrians, when conquered by the Persians, $\delta \iota \eta \rho \epsilon \nu \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma a \tau 0$ ò̀ $a \dot{\jmath} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \chi^{\omega} \rho \alpha \nu$, i"va $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon i s \alpha_{\alpha} \pi \sigma \phi v^{-}$

 aủroû, èk Өa入átтךs єìs Өá̀aтtav ठıa $\sigma \tau \alpha ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s, ~ \sigma u \nu a ́ \psi a \nu \tau \epsilon s$ tàs $\chi \epsilon i ̂ p a s$

 $\phi \in \nu \gamma \grave{\omega} s \epsilon i \eta$.
II. kãà taủrá, 'in the same way.' Cf. infr. 42 ult.
 good.' Cf. supr. 9.20 ff .
3. Ėvavtia. Elsewhere Herodotus uses the adjective tevavaios in a metaphorical sense, but as he has
 there is no reason to correct the text.






33 ' $A \pi o ̀ ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ 'I $\omega \nu$ íns $\alpha \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s$ ò vavtıkòs $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha-$
and in the Hellespont.






$$
{ }^{\text {a }} \text { toîot om. Bekk. b roî } \quad \text { om. A B C. }
$$

5. $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\xi} \notin \dot{\tau}$ тapvov. Passages such as these enable us to realize what oriental despotism meant; cf. the story of Hermotimus of Pedasa in viii. 104 ff , and the Corcyraean boys, iii. 48. In iii. 92 we are told that Babylon and Assyria supplied an-
 court of Darius.
6. civci cival. For the omission of the article cf. i. 210.8 ; vii. 170. I4.
7. aủtoî̃ toîol ipoîol. The omission of the article would be more regular ; and it is omitted in Herodotus, e. g.iii. 126 ult. But in iii. 45 ult. we have aúroîat roîot


 om. P R); infr. 93.3. The article is undoubtedly found in Attic in this idiom.
8. $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \xi \xi_{\hat{s}}$, i. e. without any other conquest coming between. The first conquest was by Cyrus. In a
similar manner Herodotus enumerates the Dorian invasions of Attica v. 76, and in ix. 104 ult. we have:
 $\Pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \epsilon \in \omega \nu$ ả $\pi \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \eta$.
9. 2. '̇ $\sigma \pi \lambda$ '́ovtl. So Thuc. i.

 iii. 90. 9 .
1. aútoîol. The Persians are opposed to the Phoenicians in the fleet. Cf. v. 117, 122 and supr. 6. ' Had been rendered subject by the Persians themselves (by their own action).' The periphrasis $\bar{\eta} \nu \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma}-$ $\nu \omega$ s occurs below, 37.6 etc.
2. ai . . . aï $\delta \epsilon, \pi u ́ \lambda_{t} \in s$ or $\chi \hat{\omega} p a t$ must be supplied, and on this the genitive тov̂ 'E $\lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \pi$ тóvтov depends. 'These are the lands (cities) of the Hellespont in Europe.'
3. тó入ıєs ouxvai. Herodotus mentions Cardia, Pactya, Sestos, Madytus, Elaeus.
4. Perinthus ; cf. v. I. $\tau \grave{\mathrm{a}}$

Hellespont. b.c. 493 ; Ol. 71.4.


 ro ${ }^{\text {és }} \boldsymbol{\tau}$











 tified positions held by the Greeks in Thrace. These were Leuce Acte, Teiristasis, Heraclea (Perinthus), Ganus, Neon Teichos, Daminon Teichos, and Selymbria, which Herodotus mentions separately.
7. Selymbria (town of Selys, Bpía meaning town, cf. Mesambria, Brea; Strabo, p. 319) was a colony of the Megarians, more ancient even than Chalcedon.
10. Mesambria was also a colony of the Megarians.
II. oíкךбаv, not oïкьба⿱, for the city had been founded previously.
14. tàs émidoitrous. The more inland or the stronger cities, Agora, Sestos, may be meant.
17. є̈тl $\pi \rho$ о́тєроv, 'even before.'

18. Oißapei. This Oebares was
apparently the brother of the Bubares mentioned in v. 2 I ult. Dascyleum, near the shore of the Propontis, was the capital of the Phrygian satrapy, in which were included the Greeks on the right hand of the Hellespont, iii. 90 ; cf. Thuc. i. I29. The cities thus subdued were kept in subjugation by a number of fortresses held by Persian governors. Such were Eion, at the mouth of the Strymon; Doriscus, at the mouth of the Hebrus, Sestos, Cardia. Cf. vii.







 ข̈тарХоs 'Артаі̂́ктךs, vii. 78.

Athens．b．c． 560 ；Ol．55．i．




The Cher－ sonese： how colonised l，y the Athenians．

 єै $\pi \epsilon \mu \psi \alpha \nu$ тov̀s $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \alpha s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \imath ~ \tau o ̂ v ~ \pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu о v ~ \chi \rho \eta \sigma o \mu \epsilon ́ v o u s . ~$





 $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau o s, \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \grave{\alpha} \rho$ द́ $\delta v \nu \alpha a ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon v \epsilon ́ ~ \gamma \epsilon{ }^{a}$ каì Mı入тıádךs ò $K v \psi \in ́ \lambda o v$ ${ }^{n} \gamma \in$ Reiske ：$\tau \in \mathrm{A}$ B C ：om．R（sv）．

34．4．Kvué入ov．This name points to some connection with Cypselus of Corinth．We know from Herod． infr．i2 8 ult．that Hippocleides the son of Tisander，one of the suitors of Agariste，was connccted with the Cypselids，and in the life of Thucy－ dides by Marcellinus we have the following genealogy quoted from Pherecydes，in which Miltiades and Hippocleides are connected ：

 ＇Eпíluros，тồ $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$＇Aké $\sigma \tau \omega \rho, ~ \tau o u ̂ ~ \delta \grave{~}$






$\Delta$ ó ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\prime}}$ окко．Nothing is known of this tribe except in connection with this incident．We hear again of the Apsinthians in ix．II9．

7．rov̀s $\beta$ aruléas．The kings of the various cities：cf．infr．39． 11 $\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ \chi \theta \eta \sigma a \nu$ ảm̀ $\pi a \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda i ́ a \nu$ oi $\delta v \nu a \sigma t \in v_{0} \nu \tau \epsilon$ ．

8．The advice of the oracle amounted to a recommendation that the various princes of the Chersonese should submit to a central authority．

Io．lóvres takes up ámtóvtas，＇as they went on their way．＇The envoys seem to have come back into Boeotia along the sacred way which led from Delphi to Thebes，but sulse－ quently they diverged from it and reached Athens by another route （е̇ктра́тогта⿱）．

35．2．т $\eta$ vicaûta．As the incident happened during the reign of Crocsus，and apparently before he was involved in difficulties in the East，we must place it in the first tyranny of Peisistratus，i．e．not long after 560 в．c．

Athens. b.c. 560 ; Ol. 55. 1.












 $\sigma \tau \eta ́ \rho \iota o \nu \in i ́ \pi o \iota o i ́ \eta ~ a ~ \tau \alpha ́ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho ~ a u ̉ \tau o v ̂ ~ o i ́ ~ \Delta o ́ \lambda о \gamma к о \iota ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \delta є ́ o \nu-~$


$$
\text { ^ } \pi o \iota \hat{\eta} \text { Dobr. : } \pi o \iota \epsilon ́ \eta \text { Hermann. }
$$

4. $̇$ è̀ेv oíkíŋs $\tau \in \theta \rho เ \pi \pi o \tau \rho o ́ \phi o v . ~$ Cf. infr. $3^{6,103,125.26 . ~}$
5. Aiүivŋs, i.e. the nymph. tà $v \in \omega \dot{\omega} \epsilon \rho a$ is opposed to $\tau \dot{a}$ ávéka $\theta \in v$, as in ix. 26. 7 тò $\nu$ ข́o ${ }^{\prime}$ is opposed to тò $\pi$ a入acóv.
6. Cf. Plutarch, Sol. io. When Megara and Athens were disputing for the possession of Salamis $\Sigma \dot{\prime} \dot{\lambda} \omega \omega \alpha$







7. The deme of Laciadae, in which lay the property of Miltiades the son of Cimon, was close to Athens, N.W. of the city, on the way to Eleusis (Paus. i. 37. 2 ; Plut.

Cim. 4), but we do not know that the elder Miltiades lived in this deme. The word énтрámovtal implies that the envoys left the Sacred Way leading from Delphi to Athens (by Thebes and Eleusis), and reached Athens by another route.
13. $\mu$ vv is not required; but cf. i. 141. I4 aủtov̂ Kúpov $\delta \in \eta \theta_{\epsilon} \nu \tau o s$
 Kpoíqou.
17. $\boldsymbol{\text { i }}$ आooloi $\eta$. The optative with $\epsilon i$, in indirect deliberative questions after a historical tense, is found in
 v. 124. 9 єït ă $\mathbf{\gamma o l}$, viii. 67 ult. $\epsilon i$ тоו'́oıto. In all these cases, Dobree introduced the subjunctive, but the change is unnecessary; see Goodwin, Syntax, § 677 ; Cavallin, De modis, etc., p. 39 .
 in the Chersonese; $\tau \epsilon \theta \rho i \pi \pi \Pi$, $\tau o ́ \tau \epsilon \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\omega} \nu$ ' $A \theta \eta \nu \alpha i ́ \omega \nu$ $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha$ $\tau o ̀ \nu$ ßov-

 $\rho \alpha \nu \nu o \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau \grave{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau o$. ò $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau \sigma \nu \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon i ́ \chi \iota \sigma \epsilon \tau \grave{o} \nu$






 tions with the Lampsacenes and Croesus; $\dot{\omega} \sigma \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \varsigma, \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \lambda о \iota \pi \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi \rho \omega ́ \tau о \iota \sigma \iota \quad$ є $\pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu \eta \sigma \epsilon \quad \Lambda \alpha \mu$ $\psi \alpha \kappa \eta \nu o i \sigma \iota^{\circ}$ каí $\mu \iota \nu$ oi $\Lambda \alpha \mu \psi \alpha к \eta \nu o i ̀ ~ \lambda о \chi \eta ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ \alpha i-~$


 Elsewhere in Herod. 'O $\lambda v \mu \pi \iota a ́ \delta a \dot{\alpha} \nu$. infr. 70.15 , 103. 6.
 Miltiades was sent out by Peisistratus with a view to securing the Chersonesus for Athens, is more than we can affirm. But no doubt the tyrant would gladly see an opponent out of the city, and was willing that any disaffected persons should go with him. At a later time the importance of the Chersonese was recognised, and Miltiades the son of Cimon was conveyed thither by the Peisistratidae who were themselves in possession of Sigeum.
 from sea to sea. Cf. Plut. Per. 19
 каì $\pi \rho о \beta \lambda \eta \lambda^{\prime} \mu a \sigma \iota \nu$ és $\theta a \lambda a ́ \tau \tau \eta s$ єis $\theta$ á-
$\Theta \rho \not \subset \kappa \omega ิ \nu$ (circ. 453 B. C.). In $39^{8}$ в. С. the wall was again restored by Dercyllidas, Xen. Hell. iii. 2. 8.
37. 3. The inhabitants of Lampsacus, on the other side of the Hellespont, would not view with any pleasure the rise of a considerable power in the Chersonese. The competition between the cities in this part of the Aegean was keen; cf. i. 165, where the Chians refuse to sell the Oenoussae to the Phocaeans,

 ${ }_{\kappa} \lambda \eta, \sigma \theta \hat{\eta}$.
 been observed by,' 'noted by.' Apart from any personal relations which may have existed between the two, Croesus would feel that the presence

Chersonese. b.c. $5 \times 8$ (?); Ol. 65. 3.
$\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha, \pi \in ́ \mu \pi \omega \nu \quad \pi \rho о \eta \gamma o ́ \rho \epsilon v \epsilon$ то̂̂б८ $\Lambda \alpha \mu \psi \alpha \kappa \eta \nu о \iota \sigma \iota \quad \mu \epsilon-$
 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon і \lambda_{\epsilon \epsilon} \quad \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \rho i ́ \psi \epsilon \iota \nu . \quad \pi \lambda \alpha \nu \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ ठ̀̀ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \Lambda \alpha \mu \psi \alpha \kappa \eta-$



 $\mu \epsilon \tau i \epsilon \iota \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha \nu \omega ́ \lambda \epsilon \theta \rho o s ~ \dot{\epsilon} \xi \alpha \pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \nu \tau \alpha \iota$. $\delta \epsilon i ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ̂̀ $\nu$ oi






 éóvtos $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \Lambda \alpha \mu \psi \alpha \kappa \eta \nu o u ̀ s ~ к \alpha i ~ \sum \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \gamma o ́ \rho є \alpha ~ к а \tau e ́ \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon ~$




of a Greek in the Chersonese would at least prevent the Thracian tribes from approaching the Troad.
Io. év roícl $\lambda$ óyoort, ' in their discussion.'
 old name of Lampsacus is said to have been Pityussa, Charon. Lamp. Frag. 6 M .
38. I. $\tau \in \lambda \in u \tau \underset{a}{\text { an }}$. The date is unknown.
3. оноиๆтрiov. Cypselus and Cimon must have married the same woman.
4. oikเซข̂̂. Compare what Thucydides says of the grave of Brasidas
at Amphipolis, v. II кaì tò גotiòv of



 тробध́धєбаи.
 vehement, though his vehemence was concealed.' This seems to be the sense of the compound, for $\dot{v} \pi 0-$ can hardly have the usual diminishing sense here. The man was not slightly but excessively vehement. Even in infr. 75.5 it is doubtful whether íróaрягоs does not mean 'mad beneath the surface.' This is the

## 38

he is succeeded by
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


ceeded by Miltiades 11. $\tau \iota \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon \alpha$ тòv Kíp $\omega \nu o s, \Sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \gamma o ́ \rho \epsilon \omega$ ס̀̀ $\tau v \hat{v} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \tau \eta ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau о s$






 $\pi \nu \nu \theta \alpha \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \iota \quad \tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha \quad \sigma v \nu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \in \chi \eta \eta \sigma \nu$ ả $\pi o ̀ ~ \pi \alpha \sigma \epsilon ́ \omega \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega \nu}$
 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \iota \kappa o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota$ @ंs $\sigma v \lambda \lambda \nu \pi \eta \theta \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota ~ \in ́ \delta \in ́ \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \quad \dot{v} \pi$ ' $\alpha \dot{\tau} \tau о \hat{v}$.



common meaning of $\boldsymbol{i} \pi \boldsymbol{o}$ - with words signifying material things, c.g. іло́тєтрог, і̇по́трохоs, \&c.
Kriiger, following Wesseling, translates, 'etwas $z u$ hitzig,' the $\dot{v} \pi \sigma-$ modifying the force of the comparative. In other explanations both the comparative and the into- are regarded as modifying the scnse of $\theta \in \rho \mu \dot{o}^{\prime}$ (Abicht. Strachan) but this does not secm to be consistent with the facts. [ $\tau \bar{\varphi}{ }^{\ell} \uparrow \rho \gamma \varphi$ marks the anti-

39. 5. of Пєєбьттратíau. Peisistratus was therefore dead ( 527 B.c.) The Peisistratidae sent out Miltiades in a trireme in order to pay him peculiar honour (but cf. also supr. 36.2). So Hipparchus is said to have sent a penteconter to bring Anacreon to Athens ; Plato, Hipparch. 228 C.


For the expression, supr. 19. 5.
 can only mean 'paying additional honours to,' whereas $\grave{\epsilon} \pi l \tau \tau \mu a ̂ \nu$ means to 'reprove,' 'reproach.' Cobet, following Valckenaer, reads $\pi \epsilon \nu \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \nu$. But as we find $\dot{\epsilon} \pi া \tau \tau \mu \hat{\nu} v$ in the sense of 'raising the price,' Diphil. Emp.

 may we not take $\tau(\mu \dot{\eta}$ in a differcnt scnse (of honour, not price), and translate the word, 'raising the honours of,' 'specially honouring?'
 94. 6 бv $\lambda \lambda v \pi \epsilon v ่ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota \tau \hat{\varphi} \pi a ́ \theta \epsilon \iota$. For the form, cf. supr. II. I6; Plato, Rep. $4^{6} 2 \mathrm{E}$, has $\sigma \nu \lambda \lambda v \pi \dot{\eta} \sigma є \tau а \iota$.
 is perhaps contemptuous; cf. i. 44.8


Chersonese. b.c. 493 ; OI. 71.4 -

## 










a $\delta \dot{\eta}$ Krïg. b $k a \tau \epsilon \chi \chi^{\circ} \nu \tau \omega$ P R (sv), Krïg. alii.<br>c $\pi \rho o ́$ add. St.<br>${ }^{\mathrm{d}} \dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{\partial} \chi \in \rho \sigma o \nu \dot{\prime} \sigma o v \mathrm{AB}$.

40. 3. тต̂v кaтa入aßóvt $\omega \mathrm{v} \pi \rho \eta \gamma$ $\mu a ́ \tau \omega v$. These words are by some supposed to mean the troubles which beset Miltiades on his first arrival in the Chersonese (see Strachan, who, however, reads $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ катєđúvтш $\pi \rho \eta \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu)$. Against this it may be argued that we have no account of such 'troubles,' for the imprisonment of the princes in the cities can hardly be considered as such. Moreover the words $\tau \rho i \tau \propto \underset{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \tau \in i \ddot{i}$ at the end of the chapter make such a rendering difficult, for in that case we should have to suppose that the Scythians kept Miltiades out of the Chersonese from the third year after his arrival ( $515^{-2}=513$ b. C.) till the third year before the Phoenicians sailed north $(493+2=495$ B. C.), i. e. for sixteen years or more. (2) Another rendering connects the words with the approach of the Phoenicians in 493 b. C. In this case $\pi \rho o ́$ is required before roítav in l. 4 [though Cobet denics this, and also Abicht,

can mean 'in the third year before this']. ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \theta^{\prime}$ óvia refers, not to the first arrival of Miltiades, but to his return from absence (caused by his action at the Danube), about two years before the approach of the Phoenicians. That he could remain in the Chersonese after his conduct at the Danube (iv. 137), conduct which Histiaeus and others would make known to Darius (infr. 4I. 14), seems incredible. But Herodotus takes no note of this, beyond mentioning the fact that Miltiades had recently come to the Chersonese in $495^{\text {B. C. This rendering, it is true, }}$ rcpresents the Scythians as waiting for their revenge nearly twenty years (from 515 B. C. to 495 B. C.), but this objection may be answered to some extent by the fact that the Ionic revolt would perhaps appear to the Scythians to be a suitable time for revenging themselves; and moreover Miltiades had only recently returned to the Chersonese.
1. oi vopádes. Cf. infr. S4. 5 .

Miltiades is driven from the Chersonese by the






and again by the Phoenicians,




 $\sigma \epsilon \rho \sigma \iota \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \nu \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \phi \epsilon u ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$ 'ss " $I \mu \beta \rho o \nu$, $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \quad \delta \epsilon \in$ oi












10. тติv тóтє $\mu$ เv катєXóvтడv, i. e the approach of the Phoenicians.
41. 3. $\omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ for $\dot{\omega} s$. Kapסì $s$. Cardia was the only city which the Phoenicians did not destroy, supr. 34. I.
6. ти̂бı vทuoi, 'with their ships,' not ' on his ships.' Cf. 43. 19 $\delta$ кaBávтєs тท̂бı v $\eta v \sigma i ́$.
14. $\gamma v \dot{\omega} \mu \eta v$ à $\pi \epsilon \delta \epsilon \in \xi \propto \tau$. Cf. iv. 137.
18. émoí $\eta \sigma \epsilon$ какòv oủ $\delta$ ív. Similar gracious treatment was shown in the case of Demaratus, the exiled king of Sparta (vii. 104), whose descendants
held estates in Persia at the beginning of the fourth century (infr. jo. 11), and Gongylus of Eretria, cf. Xen. Hell. iii. 1. 6. Compare also the fortunes of Scythes, supr. 24 ; Gillus, iii. 138 ; Democedes, iii. 129 ff. ; Dicaeus, viii. 65. When Themistocles appeared at the Persian court, the king is said to have prayed that Areimanius, the spirit of evil, would always put it into the minds of the enemies of Persia to send their best men to the Persians (Plut. Them. 28).

Ionia. b.c. 493; Ol. 7r. 4.







 settlemen of by Artaphernes.






22. ${ }^{\prime} I \mu \beta$ pov. At what time Imbros was taken by Miltiades is not clear. Cf. infr. 140 .
42. 2. '̇s veîkos ф'́pov. Cf. supr. 2. I.
 tion of $\kappa$ ápra, see supr. 3. 4.

4. $\mu \in \tau \alpha \pi \epsilon \mu \psi a ́ \mu \in v o s \quad$ á $\gamma \gamma^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime}$ ous. According to Diodorus, x. 25.4 , Hecataeus of Miletus was one of the envoys. 'Eкатаîos \& Mi $\lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \iota o s ~ \pi \rho \in \sigma$ -






 тàs $\pi$ ó入єis Méporaus єن̉voov́бas. àmo-



10. The arrangement of Artaphernes may have provided an example to Aristeides when fixing the pópos of the Delian League.
ô̂ karà хడ́pŋv, к.т.入. These words imply that in the time of Herodotus the Asiatic cities were still liable to taxation by Persia. Whether the taxes were collected is another matter. Cf. Thuc. viii. 5.5 ímò $\beta \alpha$ -

 ov̂s $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ 'A $1 \eta \nu$ aíous $\dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{\delta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ' $\mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu i \delta \omega \nu$ $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$ ov̉ $\delta v \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ s \pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$ ' $\pi \omega \omega-$ $\phi \epsilon i \lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon$ (of Tissaphernes). The amount of the tribute paid by each satrapy was fixed by Darius (iii. 90), but Artaphernes assigned to each city the proportion which it should pay. Probably the tyrants in the various cities quarrelled much about this. It is difficult to see how the permanence of this arrangement can be

Ionia．b．c． $49^{2}$ ；Ol．72．t．





Mardonius appointed greneral to invade Greece． ${ }_{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ кат $\alpha \lambda \epsilon \lambda \nu \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu{ }^{\mathrm{b}} \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \eta \gamma \bar{\omega} \nu$ є́к $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \in о \varsigma, M \alpha \rho-$ סóvlos ó Гoß














reconciled with the existence of the so－called Cimonian peace．

13．$\kappa a \tau \grave{~} \tau \alpha u ̛ \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \alpha ́=\kappa a \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau a u ̋ \tau \grave{\alpha}$ катà тá，Kruiger，who quotes ii． 30. ${ }^{1} 3$（катà тaủtà ．．．wis）．

43．ı．ă $\mu$ а $\delta$ è $\tau \hat{̣}$ ĕapl， $49^{2}$ в．C．
2．ката $\lambda \in \lambda u^{\prime} \hat{e}^{\prime} v \omega v$ ．The usual word is mapa $\lambda \dot{v} \epsilon i v$ ．Van Herwerden， quoting v． 75.11 ，vi． 94.8 ，vii． 38 ． ${ }_{13}$ ，remarks＇ката入v́єєン dicitur т ̀̀v
 тoìs $\nu o ́ \mu o u s$, et de regibus et tyrannis，
 $\lambda$ eías，т $\hat{\eta} s$ tupavvíoos．＇There is no－ thing in Herodotus quite similar to the text．

in strictness imply the presence of the leader，are used somewhat loosely of the commander in chief，by land and sea．

7． $\mathfrak{\epsilon} v \tau \hat{n}$ Kı入ıкin，i．e．in the Aleian plain；cf．infr．95．3．This was the great gathering place of the Persian forces for operations in the western part of their dominions．The Aleian plain，in the stricter sense，lay be－ tween the rivers Pyramus and Sarus． 13．Cf．iii． 80.
14．тov̀s $\gamma$ à $\rho$ tupávvous．We are therefore to suppose that in the in－ terval between $49^{6}$ and 493 B．c．the tyrants had been restored to their cities．The deposition here men－

Thrace. b.c. 492; Ol. 72. i.





 $\tau \rho \iota \alpha \nu \kappa \alpha i ̀ ' A \theta \eta ́ \eta \alpha s$. $\alpha \hat{u} \tau \alpha \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \phi \iota \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu 44$






tioned was certainly not lasting. Strattis, who was tyrant of Chios at the time of the Scythian expedition, was tyrant in 48 ob . C. (viii. ${ }^{1} 32$ ), and the system of tyrannies was fully approved. by Xerxes, who appointed Theomestor to be tyrant of Samos for his services at Salamis. Mardonius seems to have aimed at bringing the Persian power into direct communication with the $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu o s$ in the various Greek cities. Curtius remarks that 'he wished to show himself as a friend and supporter of popular freedom; he belonged to a party which one may call the Philhellenic.' Gesch. Gr. I', p. 63o. But this view, even if it were supported by evidence, anticipates the growth of democratic feeling in Greece. Mardonius was probably determined by the conduct of the Samians, whose tyrant had proved useless, whose oligarchs had opposed the Persians to the last, whose demos
had saved themselves and their island by desertion at Lade.
44. 6. évrós, i. e. from the Persian point of view ; hence = east of. In i. 6. 2 rà évrò̀s "Advos mota $\mu \hat{v}$ are the nations west ofthe Halys, i.e.' 'within' from the Greek point of view. The precise boundaries of Macedonia can hardly be fixed. In its widest extent the Macedonia of Herodotus extends from Mount Dysorum in the neighbourhood of Lake Prasias (v. $\mathrm{I}_{7}$ ) to Tempe (vii. 173). But this, at any rate so far as regards the north-eastern limit, is probably the later Macedonia. Yet from viii. 127 we learn that Bottiaea had been conquered by the time of Xerxes' invasion. The original Macedonia lay between the Axius and Haliacmon. The country was divided into an upper Macedonia (the original abode of the monarchs, viii. 137 ; vii. 128) and a lower (vii. 173). Cf. v. 17. 7.

Thrace. bic. 492 ; OI. 72. 1.



His diaster off Mount Athos;









and with the Bragi.





8. Staßa入óvтєs, sc. tàs עéas.
 9 where the same wind is called


 Greece, iii. 145, writes : 'Such is the fear entertained by the Greek boatmen of the strength and uncertain direction of the currents around Mount Athos, and of the gales and high seas to which the vicinity of the mountain is subject during half the year, that I could not, as long as I was on the peninsula, and though offering a high price, prevail upon any boat to carry me from the eastern side to the western.' On Oct. 26 Leake was 'detained by a violent gale of wind from the north.'

ẳторos is lit. 'unmanageable,' from which no $\pi$ ópos can be found; cf. ix. 49. $8 \pi \rho о \sigma \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ ă äropot (of the mounted archers of the Persans). Compare the use of the neuter, e. g. Aeschylus, P. V. $90_{4}$ äтора то́рциоs.
45. 3. Bpúyor. In vii. 73 we


 Soot. Whether the Briges and Bragi were the same is not quite certain, but both seem to have been Thracians dwelling near the Macedonians, so that the identification is probable. Strabo has no doubt; he identifies Briges, Bryges, Brygi, and Phryglans, p. 295, 330. 25, 550.


 $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \in \psi \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s \dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \hat{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \iota \grave{\eta} \nu$ ó $\pi i \sigma \omega, \ddot{\alpha}^{\prime} \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\varphi}$



 Өaбíovs，$\delta \iota \alpha \beta \lambda \eta \theta^{\prime} \nu \tau \alpha s$ ن́mò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau v \gamma \epsilon \tau o ́ \nu \omega \nu$＠ंs $\alpha \pi o ́-$








 $\alpha v ่ \tau \hat{\eta} ~ \Theta \alpha ́ \sigma \omega ~ \epsilon ُ \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \omega ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \tau o v ́ \tau \omega \nu, ~ \sigma v \chi \nu \grave{\alpha} ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ ov́т $\omega$ ढ̈ $\sigma \tau \epsilon$


－oủ $\mu$ èv oủ $\delta$ é R （sv），Krüg．

12．$\dot{\alpha} \pi a \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ x \theta \eta$ ．Elsewhere Hero－ dotus uses the active in this context，
 änj̇入入aほॄ．Schwgh．suggests that the use of the passive here is due to the fact that the army had escaped great dangers（in which sense the passive is used）．Cf．ii．120．17
 $\sigma \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ ，Soph．Ant． 400 סíкаио́s єiци $\tau \omega ิ \nu \delta{ }^{\prime}$ àm $\eta \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \chi \theta a \iota ~ \kappa а к \hat{\nu} \nu$ ．
 next year，i．e．49I B．c．

2．áotuyeltóvav．Their neigh－
bours on the main land are meant． They were doubtless jealous of the encroachments of the Thasians．

5．Útò＇Iotiaiov．Cf．supr．c． 28.
 had a number of settlements on the mainland，Stryme，Galepsus，Oesyme， Datum，Scapte Hyle．Insome of these there were mines；from others the Thasians received tolls or profits of trade（Thuc．i．I00）．

13．карти̂v árє $\lambda^{\prime} \sigma$ l．They paid no tax on the value of their pro－ perty，and even the products were

The Thasians are com－ pelled to pull down their walls by Darius．




Mines in Thasos. $\alpha u ̛ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \theta \omega \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \omega \tau \alpha \tau \alpha$ $\tau \alpha$ oi $\Phi_{0 i ́ \nu l \kappa \epsilon S}$ à $\nu \in \hat{v} \rho o \nu$ oi $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$










Darius sends envoys into Greece, and prepares for invasion.




 pous $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \alpha s ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} s ~ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma i ́ o v s, ~ к \epsilon \lambda \epsilon u ́ \omega \nu ~ \nu$ véas $\tau \epsilon \mu \alpha-$
 ${ }^{a}{ }^{\epsilon} \pi i f$ P (sv), Kriig., Cob., Kall. Comm. Crit. p. Ir,
free. $\kappa \alpha \rho \pi \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \hat{s}$ is equivalent to ò̀ $\tau \epsilon \in \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \kappa \alpha \rho \pi \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda o \tilde{v} \nu \tau \epsilon s$, i. e. paying no part of the fruits of their land as tithes or taxes, the genitive with ảrє入خ́s being commonly used of the tax which is not imposed, as e.g. i. 192. $21 \kappa \hat{\omega} \mu a \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ ' $\epsilon \hat{\sigma} \hat{\sigma} \sigma \alpha$ àrє $\lambda^{\prime} \epsilon \in$.
47. 3. $\eta_{\tau} \tau$ เs. The use of örtis for ors in Herodotus is established (see Stein on iv. 8. 4) ; supr. 13. If we

 can only mean 'obtained and still has,' or 'got the name which it now

 would read $\epsilon \in \pi i ́ h e r e ; ~ A b i c h t ~ h a s ~ e ́ m i ~$ with the note, 'statt des gewöhnlichern ámó,' rcferring to v. 6 . 20 ; see Kallenberg, Comm. Crit. p. II, who remarks: 'uticumque libri
 malim.'] For the Phocnicians at Thasos, see ii. 44. I4. Earlier names of the island were Odonis and Aeria.
8. т $\varphi$ ßaoidél. Cf. supr. 21. 1o for the dativc. The walls were rebuilt before the expedition of the Athenians to Thasos in 465 B.c.

Aegina. b.c. 49r; Ol. 72. 2.









 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta \nu ~ \delta ¢ ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ к \alpha \tau \eta \gamma о \rho i ́ \eta \nu ~ K \lambda є о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s ~ o ́ ~ ’ A \nu \alpha \xi \alpha \nu-$






The envoys at Aegina.

## 50

Cleomenes
of Sparta visits Aegina, but is thwarted by Demaratus. $\rho о \nu \tau \alpha$ Aí $\iota \nu \eta \tau \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$. ả $\nu \epsilon \nu \quad \gamma^{\alpha} \rho \quad \mu \iota \nu \quad \sum \pi \alpha \rho \tau \iota \eta \tau \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu \tau 0 \hat{v}$



 $\tau ' \epsilon \omega v$, i.e. 'agreed to the request which he preferred.' Cf. supr.9. 16, for $\pi \rho o t=\chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$.
7. 'Aөquaior. We are not told here what befel the envoys sent to Athens and Sparta, but cf. vii. 133. émì $\sigma \phi$ íal '̇ $\pi$ éxovtas, 'aiming at them.' The Aeginetans and Athenians were on the worst of terms owing to the unprovoked attack which the Aeginetans had made on Attica in support of the Thebans. See v. 8i-89.
10. є́s $\tau \grave{\eta} v \Sigma^{2} \pi a ́ \rho \tau \eta v$. Not only
was Sparta recognised as the head of Greece, but Aegina was a member of the Peloponnesian confederacy, and she had recently provided Sparta with ships to convey soldiers for the invasion of Argolis, in spite of the ancient connection of the city with Argos.
50. 9. ä $\mu a$ үà̀ ấv $\mu เ v$, к.т. $\lambda$. Yet the rule has already been passed that one king only should be absent from Sparta at a time. Cf. v. 75.8

 $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi o \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o u s ~ r o v ̀ s ~ \beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon ́ a s ~ द ̀ \xi \imath o v ́ \sigma \eta s$

## Sparta.













$\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau i \eta ̂ s$. It may, of course, be said that, on the present occasion, there was no 'expedition,' or that the Aeginetans were ignorant of the rule, or that Demaratus falsely suggested the objection.

 'óv, and supr. 3. 4, 37. 12.
14. $\sigma$ vvoloó $\mu \in \mathrm{vos}$, ' about to come into conflict with.' As the word is not used elsewhere in Herodotus in this sense, Sehwgh. suggests, 'qui in eo est ut ingens in malum incidat.' The ordinary word is $\pi \rho \rho \sigma \phi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \in \sigma \theta a u$. Crius was among the Aeginetans subsequently carried off as hostages to Athens (infr. 73) ; his son fought at Salamis (viii. 92). In a fragment of Simonides (Frag. 13. 55 P ) we

 т́́ $\mu \in \nu=$ о.
51. 2. $\delta \mathbf{L}$ ' $\beta a \lambda \lambda \epsilon$, 'maligned,', 'got up a feeling against'; sce infr. 6I. 3 .
5. тov̂ aủtov̂, i.e. Aristodemus. $\boldsymbol{\kappa} \omega \mathrm{s}$ implies that the precedence in birth was not so much a matter of ascertained fact as of opinion. The origin of the dual monarchy at Sparta is unknown. We may conjecture: (r) That the story of the twins is true ; (2) that the Spartans chose to have two supreme magistrates as the Romans chose to have two consuls; (3) that two states coalesced into one, each monarch retaining his position. This last conjecture is supported to some degree by the fact that each of the royal families had separate burying grounds in separate parts of the city of Sparta. Another difficulty connected with the subjeet is the fact that though the two sons of Aristodemus were Eurysthenes and Proeles, the royal houses were commonly ealled Agidae and Eurypontidae.
 In the ordinary legend Aristodemas died before his arrival in l'elopon-

Sparta.








 $\pi \alpha i ́ \delta \omega \nu$ тòv $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \hat{v} \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu \pi о \iota \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$. oưк $\omega \nu$ ঠ́n $\sigma \phi \in \alpha s$


 $\gamma \iota \nu \omega ́ \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$. єídvîal $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ каi тò ка́pта $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ таи̂т $\alpha$,


nesus (cf. Plato, Laius, 683). What poets Herodotus had in his mind is uncertain ; the only epic known to deal with this subject is the Aegimius. Local tradition was often at variance with poetry.
9. тєкєiv $\delta i \delta v \mu a$. For the neuter

' $\epsilon \pi \downarrow \delta o ́ v \tau a, ~ ‘ s e e i n g ~ i n ~ h i s ~ l i f e-t i m e . ' ~ ' ~$ Papa quotes Sen. Sect. 6. Iva ${ }^{\epsilon} \tau^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \dot{E} \phi^{\prime}$
 $\sigma a v, A n a b$. vii. 1. 30.
II. tov̀s toot éóvias. The words, though grammatical, can hardly be genuine, unless we suppose that Herodotus made a slip.
13. о́ко́тєроч ё̀шvтa. The deliberative subj. is usually retained in
orat. obliqua (info. 11. 19, 23). See supt. 35. 17. ঠцоíwv like in appearnance, " $\sigma \omega \mathrm{v}$ like in size. The words are generally combined in the reverse order to imply equality in measure and quality.
16. каi тò кápтa, with єíduîav, 'very well indeed.'
17. The order is tav̂ra $\lambda_{\epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu}$ (repeating фávaı) ধiìvĩav $\mu^{\epsilon} \nu$ (sc. ото́тєроs єĭך of трótєроs), ßоu入o-
 ing the text untenable, suggests ad $\rho \nu \in v \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta \nu$, or something similar, 'denying, in order to try whether,'
 Cobet also proposes out $\beta$ ova $\quad$ opév $\nu \eta$


The twin sons of Aristodemos.

Sparta origin the dual monarchy.

Sparta.

 $\pi \alpha \iota \delta i ́ \alpha ~ \grave{\eta} \gamma \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ a $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \in \alpha \varsigma, \tau \iota \mu \hat{\alpha} \nu$ ठ̀ $\mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ тòv $\gamma \epsilon-$


 $\sigma \eta \nu^{\prime}$







 $\xi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \mu \eta \tau \epsilon \in \rho \alpha \hat{\omega}_{\nu}$ 'A $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o \delta \dot{\eta} \mu o v \pi \alpha i ́ \delta \omega \nu \quad \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$

 ס̊̀ $\tau o ̀ ~ \pi \alpha \iota \delta i ́ o \nu ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \iota \mu \omega ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \eta ̂ S ~ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta S ~ \oplus ́ s ~$

${ }^{\text {a }} \sigma \pi \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota$ Cob.
 where however $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \beta$ ßov $\lambda \epsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon \tau o$ helps the construction.

2I. tòv $\gamma \in \rho a i t \in \rho o v$. The word is really ambiguous, meaning 'the more worthy of honour,' but it is equivalent to ' elder.'
24. âvסpa MeGớquıov. So in ix. 9 Chileus of Tegea gives advice to the Spartans. Such incidents are remarkable in a community so much given to secrecy, and so jealous of strangers as the Spartans.


 $\pi \nu \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \sigma \theta a \iota$.
 gests $\kappa \in \lambda \in \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \nu$, and thus the infinitive is here to be translated, 'They must take another way.'

38. '̇v $\tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \eta \mu \circ \sigma^{\prime} \varphi$ seems to refer to some public place in which the child was brought up. Stein renders 'under special protection, and at the cost of the state,' referring to infr. 92. 14, where ámò $\tau 0 \hat{0} \delta \eta \mu \sigma \sigma i o v$ may have that sense; cf.infr. 57.8 , where

## Sparta.











we have è $\kappa$ tồ $\delta \eta \mu o \sigma i o v=$ 'from the community,' or 'at the public cost.' But in vii. I44. $3 \hat{\epsilon} v \tau \hat{\varphi} \kappa o w v \hat{\varphi}$ means in the public chamber, or treasury. The story, as here told, explains the fact that one of the lines of kings received honours above the other, supr. c. 5 I , as well as the existence of the dual monarchy
 бı入є́as).
40. Staфópous cival. So the sons of Oedipus quarrelled; so too Atreus and Thyestes.
53. i. $\lambda \in$ youat, i. e. in their local story. Cf. what is said of Penelope in Arist. Poet. 1461 b 4. (25. 16)


 $\mu \alpha \chi o \nu$ av̉ $\tau \hat{\omega}$ єis $\Lambda \alpha \kappa \epsilon \delta a i ́ \mu о \nu \alpha$ é $\lambda \theta o ́ v \tau \alpha$.
 $\phi \alpha \sigma \iota \nu . \pi a \rho ’ a u ̉ \tau \omega ิ \nu \gamma a ̀ \rho \gamma \hat{\eta} \mu a \iota \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma o v \sigma \iota$
 oủk 'Ikáptov. In Arcadian story Penelope is the mother of Pan (cf. Herod. ii. 145. 16) by Hermes, or the Suitors.
4. $\tau 0 \hat{\theta} \theta \in 0 \hat{v}$ ảméóvтos. Herodotus will not believe that any man is the
son of a god, or that a god has taken the form of man ; cf. iv. 5. 4 тô̂ $\delta$ è Tapүıráov toútov toùs toкéas


 See esp. ii. 43-45, 142-146. The conviction seems to rest on the assertion of the Egyptian priests that within 341 generations no god had appeared in human shape. In the case of Heracles it compels Herodotus to assume two distinct persons, a hero, the son of Amphitryon, and a god, the son of Zeus.
 rectly enrolled by the Greeks,' i.e. the list is correctly made out from father to son.
 The same phrase is used of the Pelasgians at Athens in ii. 51. 7 . In these instances it implies the ranging of foreigners among Greeks, with the consequent change of language, a change which Herodotus does not explain. Infr. 108. 26, the phrase is used of the political combination of the cities of Boeotia.

Sparta.











 $\pi$ тious.

 did not go further back in the series'; with étaßov supply roìs $\pi$ poozóvovs. Herodotus cannot trace Perseus to a human father, and therefore the line stops with him. Who the father of Perseus was, Herodotus does not undertake to say. In Homer the line is carried back to Acrisius only ; Il. xiv. 320.
9. $\bar{\eta} \delta \eta \boldsymbol{\omega} \mathbf{\omega}$. . . $\mu \mathrm{ol}$, i. e. 'it is now clear that I had good reason for saying "correctly as far as Perseus!"'
13. Aíүúntrlol iӨaүєvéєs, 'trueborn Egyptians,' i.e. descendants of Danaus on the mother's side and Aegyptus on the father's, according to the common story. The list up to Perseus is: Hyllus, Heracles, Amphitryon, Alcaeus, Perseus; and above Perseus: Danae, Acrisius, Abas, Lynceus. On the connection of Greece and Egypt in early times see Appendix 2.
54. 2. ঠ́ $\pi a \rho d$ Пєрбє́ $\omega v$ 入óүos. So the Persians have a special account of Io, i. I. Another form of the story is quoted in vii. 61,150 , according to which Perseus visited Cepheus, the son of Belus, the king of the Cephenes (Persians), and married his danghter Andromeda, by whom he had a son Perses, the eponym and ancestor of the Persians; see also ii. 9 I.
4. тov̀s $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ 'Aкрıбíou $\gamma є$ пат́́pas, i. e. $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o v \sigma_{t}$ oi $\Pi_{\epsilon} p$ рад.
55. I, 2. ö tı, ' why,' qua de causa ;
 Herodotus is not quite consistent; he has said that the kings of Argos became or were Greeks in the time of Perseus, yet he now speaks of the Heracleids as Egyptians at the time when they became kings of the Dorians, though it was not till the adoption of Myllus by Aegimius (long after the time that they had become
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 סaípo os каi $\Delta i o ̀ s ~ o v ̉ \rho \alpha \nu i o v, ~ к а i ~ \pi o ́ \lambda є \mu о \nu ~ \epsilon ́ к ф \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu ~$


The Spartan Kings : their privileges in war;




Greeks) that the Argive stock were connected with the Dorians.
4. кate入áßovтo. The word is suspected owing to the middle and to the aorist. Cobet would read катє́ $\lambda a \beta$. Whether Herodotus is referring to other historians or to poets is uncertain. Stein suggests Hecataeus, Charon of Lampsacus, and Pherecydes.
56. 2. Bios $\Lambda a k \in \delta a i ́ \mu o v o s . ~ T h e ~$ eponymous hero of a country is often regarded as a Zeus. In the legend, Lacedaemon was the son of Zeus and husband of Sparta. He was supposed to have founded a temple to the Graces, Phaenna and Cleta, on the banks of the Tiasas, between Sparta and Amyclae, and to have given them those names. His shrine was at Alesiae, between Therapne and Taygetus. The Ourania are mentioned in C. T. G. n. 1241. 58,76 ; 1420. 21, 24 .
3. є̇кф'́рє tv, i. e. $\delta \in \delta \dot{\sigma} \kappa \kappa \alpha \sigma \iota$. So Cleomenes takes out the expedition against Athens: oủ $\phi \rho a ́ s \omega \nu$ és rò $\sigma u \lambda \lambda \epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon$ v. 74. 5. And in the Poloponnesian war, Agis does not inform the allies of the object of the expedi-
timon which he is leading, though he may have been acting in consort with the ephors. It would seem, however, that the consent of both kings was required. See v. 75 , and supp. 50. 9. At a later period the community declared war ( $\phi$ poupàv ${ }^{\prime \prime} \phi \eta$ vav), the kings merely acting as generals. For the power of the kings in the field, see ix. 80 ; Thur. vi. 65 . f., 7x, 73. Yet we find remarkable instances of insubordinaion at Plataea (Amompharetus, ix. 55. 6) and Mantinea (Thuc. v. $7^{2}$ ).
5. 'tv $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ ar $\gamma \in i$. rệ is added because a special curse is meant, which was recorded in the law. Cf. Aeschin. 3. I75 ${ }^{\text {en } \nu}$ roîs aủroîs èmırı$\mu i ́ o s s$ évé $\chi \in \sigma \theta a$.
6. $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau є ข о \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega v$, к.т.入. Cf. Ken.

 $\nu \omega \mu \in \nu O L$ i $\pi \pi \epsilon \epsilon$ is.
7. Éxatóv. The usual number seems to have been 300 , if, at least, Herodotus means the so-called Hippeis. Cf. Thus. v. $7^{2} \boldsymbol{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho \delta$

 Spartans instituted a body of cavalry

Sparta．

 $\nu \omega \nu \pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \grave{\alpha} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \rho \rho \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \quad \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \grave{\iota} \tau \grave{\alpha} \quad \nu \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha \quad \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \varphi \epsilon \nu$ ıо

and in peace，at festivals， ete．







a $\theta v \sigma i \not \eta \nu$ et $\delta \eta \mu o \tau \epsilon \lambda \hat{\eta} \mathrm{~A}$ B C，Gaisf．，sed vid．Kall．
Comm．Crit．p． 13.
${ }^{\text {b }} \pi \rho \dot{u} \tau \omega \nu$ malebat Reisk．c àvà $\pi a ́ \sigma a s B^{2} \mathrm{P}$（sv），Kriug．，Ab．，Van H．
for the first time in the Peloponne－ sian war．

8．$\sigma \tau \rho a \tau เ \eta ิ s=\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta i \eta s . \pi \rho \circ \beta \alpha ́-$ rotrt．Sacrifices were required for the $\delta \iota a \beta a \tau \eta$ pıa，for no Spartan would venture to cross the boundary，if the omens were against him ；Thuc．v． 54 ；infr．76． 7.

9．$\tau \hat{\omega} v \quad \theta \nu \mu^{\prime} v \omega v$ ，i．e．of the victims slain in these sacrifices for military purposes．The perquisites remind us of Homer，Od．iv． 65.
 public sacrifices were offered by the kings；Xen．Rep．Lac．xv． 2
 ঠпио́бıа äта⿱亠та．

3．＂ちยเv is intransitive．
 attendants．For similar honours cf． Thuc．i．${ }_{2} 5$ oür $\operatorname{Kopı\nu \theta í\varphi ~ad\nu \delta \rho ì~\pi \rho o-~}$ катарХо́ $\mu \in \nu о \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ i \in \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$.
$\delta \iota \pi \lambda \eta \dot{\sigma} \tau a$ ，ò̉ $\chi$ ̌va $\delta \iota \pi \lambda a ́ \sigma \iota a$ ката－



Xen．l．c．Infr．vii．Io3，Xerxes makes an allusion to this Spartan custom，saying to Demaratus，$\sigma \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \gamma^{\prime}$

 toùs í $\mu \in \tau \in \mathfrak{\epsilon} \rho o u s$ ．

6．otrovoapxias．Cf．Hom． 11. xii． 310 f．Г $\lambda a \hat{v} \kappa \epsilon$ ，$\tau i \eta \delta \eta\rangle \nu \omega ̂ i ̈ ~ \tau \epsilon \tau \iota \mu \eta \eta_{-}$



7．vєou $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ vías．Herodotus some－ times omits the prepositions àvá or катá with the accusative（cf．vii． 50.
 б́ $\mu \in \theta a$ ，ib．203． 6 тробঠо́кє $\mu$ о $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a \nu$ $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \eta \nu$ ，and supr．22．8）or even uses the case to express a point of time（viii．15．6）．See Stein on vii．50．22，Kallenberg，Comm． Crit．p．i 3，Böttcher，Der Gebrauch der Casus，p．20．But with $\pi$ âs， d̀á is generally used（vii．203． 6 is an exception），and the meaning here seems to require it．Krüger， Abicht，and others may therefore

## Sparta．



 $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \tau о ⿱ 亠 乂 s ~ a ̀ \nu ~ \dot{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon ́ \lambda \omega \sigma \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，каi $\Pi \nu \theta$ íous




i，right in choosing the alternative reading．

9．$\mu$ é $\delta$ ¢ $\mu v o v$ ．The Lacedaemonian medimnus was half as much again as the Attic，and the Attic medimnus was equal to one and a half English bushels．Hence two and a quarter bushels English＝the Lacedaemon－ ian medimnus．Each Spartan con－ tributed this amount monthly to his syssition．He also contributed eight choes of wine，and perhaps this is the amount here meant by the Laco－ nian＇quart．＇
 proxeni in the usual sense，i．e．citi－ zens of a foreign city，who undertook to watch over the interests of the community of which they were the proxeni，as e．g．Callias was the proxenus of Sparta at Athens；but officers of the Spartan state，who entertained or attended to foreign envoys when in Sparta．See Herm． Staatsalt．§ 24．9．That there were proxeni in the usual sense at Sparta is clear from Thuc．v．43， Plato，Lazes，642，but such $\pi \rho 0 \xi \in$ víal $^{2}$ were hereditary．

13．The connection of Sparta with Delphi was more than ordinarily close，and Sparta was moreover influenced by oracles and responses
to an unusual degree ；in Herodotus we find the Spartans persuaded by Delphi to expel the tyrants；and they are influenced（ 1 ）by the oracles which warn them that Athens，when freed from tyrants，will be a trouble－ some neighbour（v．90．10）；（2） which warn them that unless a Spartan king falls，Lacedaemon will be ravaged by the Medes（vii，220）； （3）which foretell that the Medes and Athenians will join in the inva－ sion of Peloponnesus（viii．141）．

14．бוтєо́ $\mu \in \mathrm{vol}$, к．т．$\lambda$ ．Xen．Rep． Lac．xv． 5 є́ $\delta \omega \kappa \epsilon \delta^{\prime}$ a $\mathfrak{v}$（Lycurgus）

 There seems to be no reason to refer the words，as Stein does，to the public sacrifices．

 ô Baбt
 $\tau \underline{\varphi} \delta \epsilon i \pi \nu \varphi \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \tau i \mu \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$.

16．Súo xoívskas，к．т．入．The pri－ soners at Sphacteria were allowed two Attic choenices of meal and two cotylae of wine，their servants receiv－ ing half this amount．The prisoners at Syracuse received for eight months a cotyle of water，and two cotylae of food．The Attic cotyle was

## Sparta．





 as judges．






little more than half a pint；the Lacedaemonian would probably be half as much again，i．e．three quar－ tars of a pint．Hultsch puts the cotyle at 0.2736 litre；and the chocnix at 1.094 litre．

18．тต̈vтò то仑ิтo with $\tau \mu \hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta a l$.
19．$\mu$ av т ${ }^{\text {ias }, ~ к . \tau . \lambda . ~ S o ~ C l e o m e-~}$ mes brought away the oracles of Laius from Athens，v． 90.

21．$\mu$ oúvous，i．e．without the as－ sistance of the ephors or $\gamma^{\prime}$ popes
 тр́́s $\alpha$－a representative of a family； see Append．8．If no arrangement had been made by the father，a woman in this position would legally become the wife of her next of kin， who would by this marriage pass into her family，and so continue it．But as the degree of kinship might be open to doubt，more than one client would appear，and between these it would be necessary to decide．Similar cases at Athens were in the charge of the first archon．In the case of a poor woman who was the represen－ tative of a family，it might be neces－ sary to compel the next of kin to
marry her；cf．the law of Charondas quoted in Did．xii．14．The Doric term for such an heiress was $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi / \pi \dot{\alpha}$－ $\mu \alpha \nu$ or $\pi \alpha \mu \hat{\omega} \chi o s$, whence Cobet would read here $\pi \alpha \mu o v \chi^{\prime} o v$ ．＇es tòv iкvé tai éxtw，＇ad quem pertinent illam ha－ bore，＇Schwgh．；the phrase occurs without＇s in ii． 36.4 ，ix． 26.

 position would，in this case，be made by will．

ס $\delta \hat{\omega} \mathrm{v}$ ．Law suits might arise about these in so far as they formed boundaries of estates，and moreover they must not be obstructed or in－ jured．

24．$\theta \in \tau o ́ v$, ＇adopted．＇Adoptions were much more common in Greece than with us；see on the subject， Demosthenes，in Leocharem．A－ doption before the king would at least secure publicity for the act．

25．тapi彡ctv．The word implies that the kings were not originally members ex officio of the council． See Prut．nyc．6；Pans．iii．5． 2.

27．тov̀s $\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda_{1} \sigma \tau a$, к．т．入．There were of course Heracleids at Sparta

## Sparta.

 $\tau \rho i ́ \tau \eta \nu \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \eta े \nu \epsilon^{\circ} \omega v \tau \omega \hat{\omega}$.

beside the kings; see Plut. Lysand. 24 ; and there wcre junior families in the royal houses, as we see from the story of Leotychidas and Demaratus.
28. $\delta$ vio $\psi \mathfrak{i} \phi$ ous. To this passage Thucydides may perhaps be referring in i. 20 , when he asserts that the Greeks have a mistaken notion that the Spartan kings have two votes each, whereas they have but one; but if so he misunderstood it. The words in the text do not state this. They are however somewhat ambiguous; for it is not clear whether one relative gives two votes for both. kings, one for each, or whether two relatives vote separately, each giving one vote for one of the kings. The second view would be certainly adopted were it not for the addition rpír$\eta \nu$ $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ $\dot{\epsilon} \omega v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$. The meaning is that there were two votes given for the two absent kings, and thus the vote of the relative, if he voted for both kings, would be a third vote, but it was really only a second vote, for the same person could not be nearest relative to both kings, the two houses being only related by a fictitious descent, and neither intermarrying into the other. This fact was probably overlooked by Herodotus when he wrote the text.-If we compare this account of the Spartan kings with the description of the royal power of Agamemnon, as given in the Iliad, we find much that is parallel-but also some
differences. (1) Nothing is said in Homer about oracles, etc., though Chalcas is attached to the army as a seer; (2) the civil jurisdiction of the king is more developed at Sparta; (3) the relations of the king and the council are better regulated. At Sparta also the community was far more powerful than we find it in the case of Agamemnon, and in this respect the monarchy at Ithaca presents a closer parallel; yet at Sparta the monarchy is more strictly hereditary than at Ithaca, so far as we can form an opinion. Of the domains of the Spartan kings Herodotus says nothing; but see Xen. Rep. Lac. xv.




58. 1. SéSotal '̇k toû kolvov̂. Though the monarchy is hercditary the kings hold their privileges from the people, or the community. In Homer, Agamemnon and Menelans use language which implies that they have large domains, which are absolutely their property, e.g. Agamemnon offers seven citics, 'the last in sandy Pylos,' to Achilles, and Menelaus could establish Odys-
 ầ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \nu a u \epsilon r a ́ o v \sigma \iota-$ but we find nothing of this in history, except in Thessaly and Macedonia (the Aleuadae offer Iolcus to Hippias on his expulsion: Amyntas offers Anthemus).

## Sparta.

Funerals of the Spartan Kings.










 $\kappa \in \delta \alpha i \mu о \nu o s, \chi \omega \rho i s$



4. $\lambda^{\prime} \beta \eta \eta$ ra. There is no other instance of $\lambda^{\prime} \beta_{\eta}{ }^{\prime}$ s in this sense, i.e. as a possible bell. In the Iliad it is a vessel for cooking; in the Odyssey for washing.
6. катацнаivєo甘al, 'to mourn,' i. e. rend the garments, tear the hair and throw dust on the head and clothing, cf. Il. xviii. 23 , of Achilles on hearing of the death of Patroclus,



 к.т. $\lambda$.
12. ėк आá iv. 14. 4 mentions among the duties imposed on the Messenians this:





I3. ảpı $\theta \mu \hat{\text {, }}$, 'by number,' i. e. in a fixed number. These lamentations were apparently uttered in the case of the kings only, who were regarded as 'heroes' (Xen. Rep. Lac. xv. ult.) ; for private persons a much simpler funeral was required. Cf. Plut. Inst. Lac. x. $18 \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \boldsymbol{\pi} \lambda \epsilon$ (sc. ó $\Lambda v k o v ̂ p \gamma o s) ~ \delta e ̀ ~ r a i ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \mu l \alpha-~$





 $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \nu \theta \eta$ raì toùs ódup $\mu o u ́ s$. For wailings at Oriental funerals cf. ix. 24. 4. Lycurgus also ordained that the dead should be buried within the city, not as in other cities in the suburbs.

## Sparta.


 $\mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega$, тои̂тov ס̀̀ $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ ápı $\sigma \tau o \nu$. òs



 $\tau \grave{\alpha} s \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha s$.




 $\tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \iota \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \eta \sigma \iota$. $\sigma \nu \mu \phi \epsilon ́ \rho о \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ ס̀̀ каi тádє Aiyv- 80 $\pi \tau i ́ o \iota \sigma \iota ~ \Lambda \alpha к \epsilon \delta \alpha \iota \mu o ́ \nu \iota o l . ~ o i ~ к \eta ́ \rho v к \epsilon s ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \alpha u ̉ \lambda \eta \tau \alpha i ̀ ~$

 Thuc.ii. 34. The body was brought home if possible. So of Agesipolis who died in Thrace ${ }^{\epsilon} \nu \nu{ }^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \iota \tau \iota$
 $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda t \kappa \eta$ रो $\tau a \phi \hat{\eta} s$, Ken. Hell. v. 3 . 19; and of Agesilaus, Plot. Ages. 40 oi $\pi a \rho o ́ v \tau \epsilon s$ इ̇̃aptıâтal $\kappa \hat{\eta} \rho o \nu$ '̇ $\pi \iota \tau \eta \eta_{-}$
 ai $\pi \hat{\eta} \gamma o v$ є is $\Lambda a k \in \delta a i \mu o \nu a$. In Plat. Apophth. Lac. Ages. 79, we are told that Agesilaus forbade any image of







22. For private persons mourning was allowed for eleven days, when
it came to an end with a festival to Ceres, Plat. Lyc. 27.
59. 5. Tòv $\pi \rho \circ \circ \phi \in i \lambda$ of $\mu \in v o v$ фópov, 'owing from time past'; cf. v. 82. x.
60. 2. oi кทppuxes. Such were the Talthybiadae, see vii. 134. au $\lambda \eta$ tai would be specially important because the Spartan army marched into battle to the sound of the flute; cf. Thus. v. 70. The cooks again were, to a certain degree, public officers, being required for the $\phi$ initio and for the public festivals. Athenaeus speaks of statues set up by the cooks in the Hyacinthian way, 173


 Mát java rail Kєрá $\omega v a$ únò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'tv



Sparta. Circa b.c. 535 ; Ol. 61. 2.
 $\tau \eta ́ s \tau \epsilon \alpha u ̉ \lambda \eta \tau \epsilon \in \omega$ 耳ívє $\tau \alpha \iota$ каì $\mu \alpha ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \rho o s ~ \mu \alpha \gamma \epsilon i ́ \rho o v ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ к \hat{\eta} \rho v \xi$
 $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \eta i ́ o v \sigma \iota, \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \tau \rho \iota \alpha$ є́ $\pi \iota \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \in о v \sigma \iota$.







Ariston and his wife.








Such cooks apparently accompanied the king in campaigns; see ix. 82.
 i. I. vavtı入ípбı $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota$ є่ $\pi \iota \tau i \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$.
61. 3. $\pi \rho о є \rho \gamma \alpha \zeta_{0} \boldsymbol{\mu}$ єvv. The $\pi \rho о-$ is not temporal, but $=$ 'for,' ' in behalf of.' Cf. ii. I58. $26 \tau \hat{\varphi}$ ßapßápq $\pi \rho о є \rho \gamma \dot{ }{ }^{\prime} \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$. So in $\pi \rho o ́ \mu a \chi o s$ $\pi \rho o v a v \mu \alpha \chi \epsilon \hat{\imath}$, etc. $\delta$ Ĺ́ß $\beta a \boldsymbol{\lambda}$, $\pi \rho o ̀ s$ Aiүıйтas, Stein ; cf. 50. 7.
6. émißacıv, 'attack.' ButSchwgh. suggests ' footing.' Cf. Plato, Rep. vi. $5^{1 I} \mathrm{~B}$, wherc the word is joined with $\dot{\delta} \rho \mu \mathrm{a}$ i in a sense which favours



7. Ariston was a contemporary of Anaxandridas, c. 550 b.c.
 so in v. 86. 7 , and elsewhere; but this use is peculiar to Herodotus (Krüger).
II. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \boldsymbol{\kappa} \epsilon \in \tau 0$, 'was attached,' as we say.
13. кai tav̂ta $\mu$ évtol, 'and not only so, but.' Stein compares the Platonic Eryxias, p. $400 \mathrm{~A} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \bar{\epsilon}$

 $\sigma \iota \delta \eta$ pov. The use is different infy. 137. 20.

Sparta. Citca b.c. 535 ; Ol. 61. 2.
 ${ }^{15} \epsilon \hat{\delta} \delta o s$ ф $\lambda \alpha u ́ \rho \eta \nu \quad \dot{\eta}$ т $\rho 0 \phi o ̀ s ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau \eta ̂ s, ~ o i ̂ \alpha ~ \alpha ̉ \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \tau \omega \nu ~ \tau \epsilon ~ o ̉ \lambda-~$
 $\sigma \alpha$ тoùs $\gamma o \nu \in ́ \alpha s ~ \sigma u \mu \phi o \rho \eta ̀ \nu ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \epsilon i ̂ d o s ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \pi o \iota \epsilon u \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o u s, ~$
















 a $\delta \in \hat{\xi} \xi a \iota$.
14. '̊ov̂ซav үáp $\mu \mathrm{\imath v}$. Stein regards the accusative as resumed in av̉r $\eta \nu, 1.18$; Kriiger would join it with $\mu a \theta o v \sigma \sigma$, or would substitute oikrijováa for éovoav. Van H. would replace кai $\delta v \sigma \epsilon i \delta e ́ a ~ b y ~$ ${ }_{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \epsilon 0 \hat{v} \sigma \alpha$.
19. Helen and Menelaus were buried, such was the legend, in a grave at Therapne, S.E. of Sparta. Over the sepulchre a temple was raised in which they were worshipped. The shrine of Phoebe lay
in the plain below, Therapne being on an elevated platform. Paus. iii.
 $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s, ~ Ө \epsilon \rho a ́ \pi \nu \eta s$ ov̉ $\pi о \lambda \grave{~ c ̧ ~} \phi \in \sigma \tau \eta \kappa o ́ s$.



25. кaì $\tau \eta \dot{\eta}$, the nurse ; $\tau \grave{\eta} v \delta \dot{\epsilon}$, the goddess; тท̀v $\delta \in \in$, the nurse ; $\tau \grave{\eta} v \delta^{\prime}$ (28), the goddess.
31. к $\alpha \lambda \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon v \in \epsilon \epsilon$. Sparta being the land of fair women, since Homer's day.

Sparta. Circa b.c. 535 ; Ol. 6r. 2.








 $\tau \omega \bar{\nu}$ кєı $\mu \eta \lambda i ́ \omega \nu$ т $\hat{\nu} \nu$ ’A







Birth of Demaratus;



62. r. Êкvı̧ $\epsilon$,lit. 'irritated,' 'would not let him rest.' Wesseling quotes


6. $\tau \mathfrak{\eta} v \dot{\delta} \mu \mathrm{oi} \eta \mathrm{v}$, sc. $\dot{\alpha} \mu o t \beta \dot{\eta} \nu$, 'the equivalent,' infr. 1. II ; supr. 21 . Herodotus often uses feminine adjectives without a subs. In this instance the adj. may be connected with $\delta \alpha \tau^{\prime} i-$ $\nu \eta \nu$, which, however, is better taken adverbially, as i. 69 ult.
 Cf. i. 146. I5 $\sigma$ фíat av̉兀ṇot öptovs दो $\pi \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu$.
 Cf. Thuc. i. 34 ámár $\eta \pi \alpha \rho a ́ \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$.
63. 2. á $\pi о \pi \epsilon \mu \psi а ́ \mu \epsilon v o s . ~ S e e ~ n o t e ~$ on v. 39. it év $\delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ oi. Herodotus places the enclitic pronouns after such connecting particles as $\gamma \alpha{ }^{\alpha} \rho, \delta \epsilon ́$, etc. In v. $4^{6}$. II we have oi $\gamma$ áp $\mu \nu \nu$, ib. $92 \beta .8$ ćк $\delta$ '́ of tavirךs. So каí $\mu \nu \nu$, oí $\mu i v$, ró $\sigma \phi l$, etc., and after ris, $\mu^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\prime}$, etc., infr. 63. 4.
 3 oi $\delta$ è $\theta \epsilon o i ̀ \theta \hat{\omega} \kappa o ́ v \delta \epsilon ~ \kappa a \theta i \zeta a \nu o \nu$. The story implies a considerable separation of home and public life at Sparta; and in this respect we may contrast with it the story of Cleomenes and Gorgo in v. 5 I , but cf. infr. 69. 5 .

Sparta. b.c. 49r; Ol. 72. 2.

 $\sigma \nu \mu \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s$ тov̀s $\mu \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha s, \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \quad \alpha \quad \alpha \pi о \mu o ́ \sigma \alpha s$ "ov̉к ${ }^{\alpha} \nu$







 64







 aitiŋ̀. 'Nonne sufficit $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau a ́ \delta \epsilon \cdot ~ K \lambda \epsilon о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \epsilon і ̈ ? ' ~ V a n ~ H . ~$
8. ảmo $\mu$ óvas. Cf. infr. 65. 17 . The àmo- implies swearing that a thing is not.
 'took no heed,' ' paid no regard.'
ir. $\mu \in \tau \in \mu \in \lambda \in$. In Attic we should have had $\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \in \lambda \epsilon$ impersonal, with a genitive.
14. ís ávסpi, к.т.入. Yet Herodotus says not a word of his exploits, nor have we any information elsewhere which enables us to supply the deficiency.
16. áp $\eta=\epsilon \dot{v} \times \eta \dot{\eta} \nu$. Cf. the name Arete in the Odyssey.
64. 5. Stà tá. If we begin a fresh clause with $\delta \iota \alpha$ $\tau \alpha$ in the sense of ' on which account,' the meaning is not good, for the attack on Cleomenes by Demaratus was not due to any doubts about his own legitimacy. It is also doubtful whether $\delta \iota a ̀ \tau \alpha ́$ can bear the meaning given (though Schwgh. takes the words in this sense, for which $\delta i^{\prime} \alpha{ }^{\circ}$ are required). The sense is given in Stein's Eid тoinvסє aitiŋv or Van Herwerden's \&ıà rádє. Cf. infr. 65.6.
6. $\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ$. See v. 75.


Leotychidas claims the throne.
















${ }^{\text {a }} \Delta \eta \mu a p a ́ r o v ~ P R s, K r u ̈ g . ~$
65. 2. From Theopompus we have two lines in the Eurypontid family; cf. viii. I3I.
Theopompus Archidamus Zeuxidamus Anaxidamus Archidamus Agasicles Ariston Demaratus.

Theopompus Anaxandridas
Archidamus
Anaxilas
Leotychidas
Hippoclidas Agesilaus (Agis)
Menares

Leotychidas.
That it should have been necessary to go back so many generations to find a collateral branch is very remarkable.
6. àp $\boldsymbol{\text { a }}$


7. We may perhaps construct the following stemma :Chilon I
 claration against.' The dative is used as with $\kappa a \tau v \beta \rho^{\prime} \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu, \kappa a \tau a \gamma \in \lambda \hat{\alpha} \nu$, cf. Kall. Comm. Crit. 2 I .

I3. oủk iкvєo $\mu$ '́vตs, 'without




Sparta．b．c．49i ；Ol． 72.2.








 $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s$ Kó $\beta \omega \nu \alpha$ тò $\nu$＇$A \rho \iota \sigma \tau о \phi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau o v$ ，ひ̈ $\nu \delta \rho \alpha$＇̇ $\nu \quad \Delta \epsilon \lambda \phi 0 і ̂ \sigma \iota$
 $\pi \rho o ́ \mu \alpha \nu \tau \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \iota$ т⿳亠 $K \lambda \epsilon о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s$＇่ $\beta$ оúлєто $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$



 $\kappa \lambda \epsilon i \eta s$ ，etc．；Soph．O．C． $189 \in \dot{v} \sigma \in$ Bias $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \_\beta a i v \omega \nu$ with allusion to the ori－
 16，ix．95． 4 the word is used of taking up a false ground for action， and perhaps so here；cf．61． 6.

68．2．vєıкє́ $\omega v$ ，i．e．trials at law， or before a court．

4．＇̇к $\pi$ тоvoí $\eta \mathrm{s}=$＇by the（previous） arrangement of．＇
 prophetess at Delphi was of course the woman who sat on the sacred tripod in the innermost recess or cave of the temple，and gave her utterances under the influence of the mephitic vapour，which was assisted by eating laurel leaves，and drinking from the sacred spring．In Eur． Ion， $\mathbf{I}_{32}{ }^{2}$ ，she is spoken of as $\pi a \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\Delta \epsilon \lambda \phi_{i}^{\prime} \delta \omega \nu$＇̇ $\xi a i p \epsilon \tau o s$ ，and Plutarch， Pyth．orac．22，mentions one who
was nobly born but brought up $\bar{\epsilon} \nu$ oikía $\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} \tau \omega \nu$ ．The $\pi \rho \circ \phi \dot{\eta}-$ $\tau \eta$ s（Herod．viii． $3^{6}$ ）announced the oracle to the enquirer．There were also five öaıo（Plut．Quaest．Gr． 9
 $\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{a}$ т $\hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi \rho \circ \phi \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$
 vaı סокоиิขтєs ảmò $\Delta \epsilon v \kappa a \lambda i ́ \omega \nu o s)$ and two priests．Cobon may have been one of the ajpıтєis of whom we hear at Delphi ；cf．Hermann，Gott．Alt． § 40.

10．$\mu$ ๆ．ov̉k would have been more correct，but $\mu \dot{\eta}$ is found not only after $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \omega$ ，when a command or wish is conveyed，e．g．Thuc．ii． 5
 but also after $\nu o \mu i \xi \omega$ ，when an opinion is expressed，Thuc．vi． 1 о2 voцíav－
 $\boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon \chi \chi \tau \mu \dot{\prime} \nu$ ．On the other hand， in expressing a fact strongly ou takes the place of $\mu \dot{\eta}$ as in Soph．Ant． $37^{8}$

Demaratus is deposed．

Sparta. b.c.49r; Ol. 72. 2.

 $\epsilon \pi \alpha v ́ \sigma \theta \eta \tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta} s$. and his mother.















 $\sigma \pi \lambda \alpha ́ \gamma \chi \nu \omega \nu$ катєкє́ $\tau \epsilon \cup \epsilon, \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ тo九á $\delta \epsilon$. " $\hat{\omega} \mu \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon \rho, \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$

 үóvŋข.
67.5. The Gymnopaediae was one of the three great festivals of Sparta, the other two being the Carneia and Hyacinthia. It is said by Eusebius to have been founded in Ol. 27. 3=630 B.c. It took place in the hottest part of the year, Plato



 few occasions when strangers were
freely admitted to Sparta (Plut. Ages. 29). For an account of the festival, see Athen. xiv. 630 f .
8. $\lambda_{\text {á }} \theta_{\mathrm{\eta}}$, 'mockery,' 'insult.' äpXecv, 'to be a magistrate.'
12. $\mu$ vpi $\eta \mathrm{s}$ єنंסaupvíns. The words are added for the sake of the contrast ; cf. vii. $8 \gamma$. ult.
${ }^{\text {I }}$. катакади廿á $\mu$ кvos, 'obvoluto capite,' a sign of dejection and distress in Greece as at Rome. Cf. Od.

15. $\tau \hat{\varphi} \Delta u$ i. Cf. 68. 4 .
68. 4. кататто́ $\quad$ єvos, 'appealing

Sparta. bic. 49y ; OI. 72. 2.
$5 \Delta i o ̀ s ~ \tau o \hat{v} \delta \epsilon, \phi \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha l ~ \mu o l ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ả $\lambda \eta \theta \epsilon i ́ \eta \nu$, тís $\mu \in \nu$ Є́ $\sigma \tau i$















to'; cf. viii. $65.35 \tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$ er $\lambda \in \gamma \epsilon, \Delta \eta \mu \alpha-$ рáтоv тє каĭ ar $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \mu \alpha \rho \tau и ́ \rho \omega \nu$ кататто́$\mu \in \nu O S$. In this case the word may have its original sense of 'touching,' ' laying hold of,' for $\tau 0 \hat{\delta} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ implies that there was a statue of Zeus Herkeios at hand. Zeus Herkeios was at once the god of the "'pros or enclosure, and of the family as a group of relations; cf. Soph. Ant. $4^{87}$.
 treat you by the gods.' Cf. the use of iкvov̂paı, Soph. Sj. 588, O. C. 275 . $\pi \rho \rho^{\prime}$ is usual with the genitive in these constructions, but we also find the genitive only ; cf. Od. ii. 68 di $\sigma \sigma o \mu a \iota$


12. $\mu$ ov́vๆ $\delta \dot{\eta}$, к.т.入. The views taken of the Spartan women differ
widely in Greek writers; Anistote formed a very poor opinion of them, Pol. ii. 9. $6=1269$ b. 22 ; on the other hand, Plutarch, $L y c$. ${ }^{15}$, represents the Spartans as denying the existence of adultery among them; cf. Id. Apophth. Lac. Nyc.

 $\pi a \rho$ ' aủraîs. See also Isocrates, Panath. 259, which is however a highly rhetorical passage (De Conlanges, Nouvelles Recherches, p. 72 ; Newman on Arist. Pol. 1. c.).
69. 3. 'es $\sigma^{\prime}$, 'for you to hear,' implying more openness and publicity than oof. Cf. Soph. O. T. 93
 $\lambda \in \gamma o ́ \mu \in \nu a \iota$ aitial, etc., info. 129.7.
5. This story also implies that the king was much absent from home,
 'A


 Өóvта каi $\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \nu \nu \eta \theta^{\prime} \nu \tau \alpha$ סôvvaí $\mu 0 \iota$ тoùs $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \alpha ́ \nu 0 v s$.
 єٌך тò $\pi \rho \hat{\eta} \gamma \mu \alpha$. каi тои̂то $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ oi $\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \phi \alpha \nu 0 \iota ~ \epsilon ́ \phi \alpha ́ \nu \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$











and the separation of men and women was no doubt more marked at Sparta than elsewhere in Greece ; cf. 63. 5 .

Iз. ${ }^{\prime} \mu a \theta \epsilon$, 'perceived,' as often. Cf. Soph. $A j .294$ ка̉ $\gamma \grave{\omega} \mu a \theta o v \sigma^{\prime} \epsilon \notin \eta \xi \alpha$.
 i. e. the door of the court, opening into the street. Sce Blumner, Die Griech. Privatalt. 148. n. 4, who quotes Theophrastus, Charact. is $\dot{\eta}$

 and especially $O d$. xxi. 240, $3^{89}$ f. In Lysias, De caede Eratosthenis, § 17 , the $a v ้ \lambda \epsilon \cos \theta \dot{v} \rho a$ is distinguished from the $\mu$ '́тav入os.
16. Astrabacus. Cf. Paus.iii. i6.


 вакоя каі̀ 'А $\lambda \dot{\omega} \pi \epsilon к о$ ко oi " $\mathrm{I} \rho \beta$ оу той 'A $\mu \phi \iota \sigma \theta$ '́vous тồ 'А $\mu \phi ı \kappa \lambda$ '́ovs тô̂
 парєфро́vךбау, к.т.入. The shrine lay near the temple of Lycurgus, Paus. l.c. § 6. The word Astrabacus was thought to be connected with à $\sigma \tau \rho a ́ \beta \eta$, a pack-saddle ; hence the suggestion in c. 68. 7 .
 tains an answer to the suggestion in 68. 7.

Sparta. b.c. 49i ; Ol. 72. 2.




















${ }^{\text {a }}$ ảvoíp Valck.
28. Rationem quare octavum mensem premat Herodotus, prodit Creuzerus, p. 240 : ' Nimirum Herodotus ejusque aequalis Hippocrates applicuerant se ad vulgarem sententiam, quae partum octavo mense aut edi posse negaret, aut certe vitalem fore.' Gaisford.
70. Ir. We hear of Demaratus at the Persian court in vii. 4 ; he also sent an intimation of the invasion to Sparta, vii. ult., and accompanied

Xerxes on his march. The cities given to him were Pergamum, Teuthrania and Halisarna, which remained in the possession of his descendants in the fourth century; Xen. Anab. ii. 1. 3 ; vii. 8.17 ; Hell. iii. 1. 6 .
13. Макєठаниoviotor. Here again (cf.supr.63.14) IHerodotus is alluding to something which is quite unknown to us, so far as $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \alpha$ are concerned ; and the specimens which Plutarch (Apophth. Lac. Demarati)

Sparta. bic. 49; Ol. 72. 2.





Leotychidas and his children ;


 $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \Lambda \epsilon v \tau v \chi i ́ \delta \epsilon \omega$ र̀े $\rho \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \hat{\alpha}$, $\lambda \iota \pi \grave{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha i ̂ \delta \alpha$ ' $A \rho \chi i ́ \delta \eta \mu o \nu .5$






gives of the $\gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \mu a \iota$ of Demaratus incline us to think meanly of Spartan wit or Spartan judgment. The dative is =' in the opinion of,' as though with $\pi a \rho \alpha ́$ or ${ }^{\epsilon} \nu$.
16. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \in\{\beta a \lambda \epsilon$. The word perhaps implies that he recorded the victory in the name of the state, not in his own name, but it is sometimes used in the simple sense of ' conferred on'; cf. i. 136 ult. ä $\sigma \eta \nu \pi a \tau \rho i ̀ \pi \rho o \sigma-$ $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta$, and it may be so used here.
71. 3. The grand-daughter of Zeuxidamus was called Cynisca; cf.
 каі $\theta v \gamma a ́ т \eta \rho$ övоца $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ Кvvíбка,


 'О $\lambda v \mu \pi \iota \kappa \grave{\nu} \nu \pi \rho \omega ́ \tau \eta$, к.т.入. Plutarch, Ages. 20, says that this was done at the suggestion of her brother Agesilaus, in order that the Spartans
might believe that such victories were a mere matter of expense, not of ajp $\in \tau \dot{\eta}$. Another Spartan of the name is mentioned by Xen. Anab. vii. I. I3.
10. Sovtòs aủtê̂ $\Lambda \epsilon v \tau u x i \delta \epsilon \omega$. By this arrangement Leotychidas prevented any rivalry between the families of the daughter and the grandson.
72. 2. тíavv тoıŋ́vסє. Herodotus has much to say about riots, in which he includes not only vengeance for offences against any deity, or for breaches of settled compact, but for thoseagainst the just treatment of man by man. Cf. i. I3 (Candaules) ; i. 86, viii. Io (where we are told of Hermotimus $\tau \hat{\varphi}$
 $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i ̂ s ~ ¿ \delta \mu \epsilon \nu)$, iii. I26, 128 end (of Oroetes and Polycrates), infr. $8_{4}$, vii. 134 f. etc.

Sparta, b.c. 49r; Ol. 72. 2.
$\dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \epsilon \tau \iota \sigma \epsilon$. $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} \eta \sigma \epsilon \Lambda \alpha \kappa \epsilon \delta \alpha \iota \mu о \nu i ́ o \iota \sigma \iota$ '́s $\Theta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \lambda i \neq \nu$, his expedi-

















(cf. Van H.) : $\dot{\omega} \rho \theta \dot{\omega} \theta \eta$ s, Krüg.
c таратí $\theta$ нтaı A B C.
3. és ©єбба入ínv. The date of this expedition is uncertain, but it probably took place in 476 B.C. See Hist. of Greece, ii. 265.
4. ' $\delta \omega \omega \rho о \delta o ́ к \eta \sigma \epsilon$. There appears to be little reason to doubt the corruption of the Spartans. Kings and ephors are equally implicated, infr. 82 ; viii. 5 ; Plut. Them. 19; Per. 22 ; Thuc. v. 16. Arist. Pol. ii. g. $26=1271$ a. 3 (фаívovтає $\delta$ è каì
 $\tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s \tau a v ́ \tau \eta s)$ for the Gerontes, and for the ephors $i b, 1270 \mathrm{~b}$. 9 ff.
 would be more of a bag or sleeve than our glove is.
 manner Tegea was the refuge of Hegesistratus when escaping from Sparta, though Herodotus observes that Tegea and Sparta were not on good terms at the time, ix. 37.24; and at a later date Pausanias the king retired to Tegea.
73. 2. $\dot{\omega} \delta \dot{\omega} \theta \eta$. Cf. iv. 139. $12 \tau \grave{\alpha}$

4. Є̈ $^{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}$ котор $=$ но́тор, infr. 133.5 .
5. аं $\mu$ отє́ $\rho \omega \mathrm{v}$. Cf. supr. 50. 9.

 in Arcadia.












 ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ ínò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Wess. : $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{A} \mathrm{B} \mathrm{:} \mathrm{in'} \mathrm{P} \mathrm{R}$.
74. 3. Cf.vii. 213 . 7, where Ephialtes takes refuge in Thessaly.

 swear them'; the words do not imply that he actually did so. Cf. Plato, Euthyph. 14 B où rpóvvués $\mu \in \in \hat{i} \delta t \delta \dot{\delta} \xi \alpha a$. The accusative ( $\mathbf{v} \delta \omega \rho$ ) is remarkablc. Krüger explains it on the analogy of ou $\mu \nu \dot{v} v a \iota \theta \in o ́ v$, and even closer is $I l$. xiv. ${ }_{2}^{7} \mathrm{I} \nu \hat{\nu} \nu \mu o t$

 the district of this city.' No doubt the custom of swearing by the Styx was one of the national customs of Arcadia. In Homer and Hesiod the water is used as the most solemn pledge, Il. xv. 37, Hes. Theog. 785. Two reasons may perhaps be given for the legendary powers of the strcam, which is moreover the only
waterfall of importance in Greece:
(1) The water being icy cold is dangerous to drink, and for this reason it may have been used as a sort of ordeal ; (2) though the water is gathered in a basin, this basin cannot be seen except on a near view ; from a distance the fall disappears behind rocks, and thus might be said to penetrate the carth to the under world. The waterfall is situated in Mt. Chelmos, and the stream is an affluent of the Crathis; sce Hist. of Greece, i. I I, and what is quoted therc.
${ }_{3} 3$. $\pi$ pòs $\Phi \in \varepsilon \epsilon \hat{Q}$, Pheneus being the nearest town of importancc. The river Pheneus flows through Katavothra into the Ladon and Alpheus, but the Crathis flows through Achaea to the Corinthian Gulf.
75. 3. Seigavtes. A combined

Sparta. b.c. 488 (?); Ol. 73. x.

 his inadness and death.





 ( $\hat{\eta} \nu \nu \grave{\alpha} \rho \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \iota s \in i \lambda \omega \tau \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$ ) סıסoî oi $\mu \alpha ́ \chi \alpha \iota \rho \alpha \nu$. K $К \epsilon о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s$








${ }^{\text {a }} \mu$ avins $\mathrm{B}^{2} \mathrm{P}$ Rs: $\mu$ aviás Cob.<br><br>d $\gamma_{\epsilon \nu \delta \prime \mu \epsilon \nu a}$ ante $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ P R : om. Gompertz: $\lambda \in \gamma^{\prime} \dot{\mu} \epsilon \nu a$ Reiske.

Arcadia would have been a serious danger to Sparta. The foundation of Megalopolis in the fourth century b.c. realized to some extent the project of Cleomenes, and even in the fifth century we hear of a war between Sparta and all Arcadia, except Mantinea (ix. 7).
5. viтоцарүóтєроv. The word is only used by Herodotus, and always in the comparative. He applies it to Cleomenes (here), to Cambyses (iii. 29), and the brother of Macandrius (iii. 145). For $\dot{\text { únó in com- }}$ position, see supr. 38. 12 note, and with the comparative, which expresses 'inclined to madness,' cf. $\theta \eta \lambda v \delta \delta \rho i \not \eta s$ каì $\mu \mathrm{a} \lambda a \kappa \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ$ (vii. ${ }^{1} 53$

 (infr. 92. 13).
8. ${ }^{\epsilon} v \xi \dot{\xi} \dot{u} \lambda \varphi$, ' in the stocks.' Cf. ix. 37.11 .
11. aũtıs = єi $\sigma a \hat{v} \theta \iota s$. Cf. vii. 10 ס. 3.
12. $\tau \omega ิ v$ tis $\epsilon \mathfrak{i} \lambda \omega \tau \epsilon \epsilon \omega v$. Cf. inffr. So. 3 .
17. катахор $\delta \epsilon$ vi $\omega v$. 'cutting into strips' $=\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \dot{a} \mu \nu \omega \nu \kappa a \tau a ̀ \mu \hat{\eta} \kappa о$.
19. $\gamma \in v o ́ \mu \epsilon v a$. The position of this word varies in the MSS., some placing it after $\lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \epsilon \nu$, some before. It is much better away altogether. The meaning required is, 'to say what she said about Demaratus,' but if $\gamma \in \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a$ is retained we must

Argos. b.c. 495 (?); Ol. 7 1. 2.







Cleomenes: hisinvasion of Argos. "A




 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ o u ̛ ~ \gamma \alpha ̀ \rho ~ \epsilon ́ \kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda \iota \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \epsilon ~ o u ̉ \delta \alpha \mu \omega ิ S ~ \delta \iota \alpha \beta \alpha i \nu \in \iota \nu \mu \iota \nu$, ä $\gamma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$




translate, 'to advise what was done about Demaratus.'
20. $\mu$ ouvol. So the Athenians have a special account, unknown to the rest of the Greeks, about the conduct of Adeimantus in the battle of Salamis (viii. 94).
és 'Enevoîva. Cf. v. it
2I. $\tau \bar{\omega} v \quad \theta \in \omega \hat{v}$, i. e. Demeter and Persephone. Cf. ix. 65 ult. тù ipòv тò èv 'Eגєvaî̀ àvaktóprov.
23. катаүvé $\omega v$. Schweigh. and Kriiger understand the preposition to imply 'bringing home,' because Cleomenes gave out that he was accepting a ransom for thc captives (infr. 79.4). Stein suggests a local meaning, the grove of Argus bcing on a hill.
76. 3. The lake of Stymphalus lies at the foot of Mount Cyllene, and
the water passes away under Mount Apelauron, reappearing at the foot of Mount Chaon, in the river Erasinus. Cf. Baedeker, Griechenland, p. 30 r .
6. The river Erasinus was at this time regarded as the southern boundary of Argolis, and for this reason, when Cleomenes reached it, he sacri-
 mauner; cf. Thuc. v. 54.
8. oú éka $\lambda \lambda$ l'f́pte, impersonal, 'the sacrifices were (not) favourable'; cf. ix. 38. 5 ஸ́s oủk èra入入téf $\rho \in$
 the middle voice of this word see infr. S2. 9 .
II. Thyrea was within the limits of Spartan territory (cf. i. 82), and near the shore (Thuc. iv. 57).
12. raûpov. So in the Odyssey

Argos．B．C． 495 （？）；Ol．7x． 2.







 каì Mı入ךбíoıбь，$\lambda \epsilon ́ y o \nu ~ \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon$ ．





${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ à $\nu$ tion $\mathrm{A} \mathrm{B} \mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{C}}$ ．
we find the Pylians sacrificing rav́－ pous $\pi a \mu \mu$ étavas èvooí $\not \theta o \nu$ киavo－ $\chi_{\text {aírn（iii．6）．The boats were sup－}}$ plied by Sicyon and Aegina，in spite of the friendship existing between Argos and Aegina，and the sover－ eignty which Argos claimed over both cities（infr．c．92）．
77．4．＇Hoitcta．So Stein，but the reading is uncertain ；other MSS． have इímela or इíntela．Nothing is known of the place，and Arist．Pol． v． $3=1303$ a． 6 ，speaks of the battle

8．éríkova，adverbial．
9．Mu入ךбiourı．Cf．supr．c． 19. On the chronology，see Bk．v．Ap－ pendix 3 （p．I34）．
1o．The meaning of the oracle is obscure．The Argives seem to have regarded the victory of the female over the male as indicating a victory won by craft and subtlety over
courage and strength（though this leaves $\bar{\xi} \xi \in \lambda$ á $\sigma \eta$ unexplained）．Later authors interpreted the lines by a victory won by Telesilla，the Argive poetess，over Cleomenes who，after burning the grove of Argus，went on to attack the city；Paus．ii．









 к．т．入．Cf．Plut．De Mul．Virt． ＇Apreiau．［Van Herwerden suggests that $\dot{\eta}$ 向дєєa refers to Hera，cf． infr．c．82．］

12．à $\mu \phi \delta \delta \rho u \not{ }^{\prime} a ́ s$ ．Cf．Il．ii． 700.

Argos. b.c. 495 (?); Ol. 7r. 2.

Battle of Hesipeia.
















${ }^{\text {b }} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \iota \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{sv}): \delta^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \tau \iota \mathrm{P}: \delta \epsilon^{\prime} \mathrm{A} \mathrm{BC}$.
14. oैфıs. The serpent was the symbol of Argos. Soph. Ant. 125 .
áéliktos, 'without coils.' The various reading $\tau \rho \iota \in ́ \in \iota \kappa \tau о s$ is 'thrice enfolded,' i. e. with three coils.
15. $\sigma v v \in \lambda$ Óvta. Cf. v. 36. 3.
 obey the orders given by him as though he were their own $\kappa \hat{\eta} \rho \cup \xi$.
78. 1. Cf. Polyaenus, i. 14 К $\lambda \epsilon о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s, ~ \Lambda a k \epsilon \delta a \iota \mu о \nu i ́ \omega \nu$ ßaбı $\lambda \epsilon u ́ s$,

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \delta \rho \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ тоîs $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i \neq t s^{*}$ каi



 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon \xi \eta \eta^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma a \nu$, àvanavo $\mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu \dot{a} \nu \tau a \nu \epsilon-$

$\gamma \in \lambda \mu a$ є́ $\delta \omega \kappa \epsilon \nu$, öта⿱ àpı $\sigma \tau о \pi о \iota \in \hat{i} \sigma \theta a \iota$




 Apophth. Lac. Cleom. 2, supplies what is apparently a different account of the same battle: duo $\chi$ d̀s ठè é $\oint \theta \theta \eta \mu$ épous $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ' A \rho \gamma \epsilon i o v s ~ \pi o ı \eta \sigma a ́-~$

 $\sigma \pi o \nu \delta a i ̂ s, \quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi \pi^{\prime} \theta \epsilon \tau o^{\circ}$ каi тò̀s $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \in \kappa \tau \epsilon \iota \nu \epsilon$, $\quad$ oùs $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ aỉ $\mu \mu \lambda \omega ́ \tau o v s$ $\epsilon \quad \lambda a \beta \in \nu$.
 supr. 69. 11 b̀ $\lambda i \gamma \varphi \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau$.
79. 2. $\pi u v \theta a v o ́ \mu \epsilon v o s ~ \tau о v ๋ \tau \omega v, ' ~ l e a r n-~$ ing from these,' the names of those who were in the grove of Argus.

Argos. b.c. 495 (?); Ol. 71. 2.

















 "Hpalov $\theta \dot{v} \sigma \omega \nu$. ßou入ó $\mu \epsilon \nu \circ \nu$ dè $\alpha u ̛ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \theta v ́ \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \epsilon ́ \pi i ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \beta \omega \mu o v ̂ ~$

Cleomenes at the Heraeum.
5. Súo $\mu v$ éar. Cf. v. 77. ${ }_{5}$.
6. катд̀ $\pi \epsilon v \tau \mathfrak{\kappa} \kappa о v \tau \alpha=$ 'about fifty.' Stein reads és ésáarovs, but no doubt $\dot{\omega}$ s éka came out singly.
 came of them.
ry. $\delta$ év $\delta$ pos. This appears to be an unique instance of this form in an early writcr. $\delta \epsilon \varepsilon \delta \rho \epsilon \sigma \nu$ is the Ionic form, and the only form known in Homer. $\delta_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \nu \delta \rho o \nu$ is used in Attic, except in dat. plural, where $\delta_{\epsilon} \varepsilon \delta \rho \rho \in \sigma_{l}$ is more common than $\delta^{\prime} \nu \delta \rho o t s$.
80. 2. Obscrve the careless repe-
tition of $\tau \grave{o}$ ä $\lambda \sigma \sigma$, in ll. 2, 3, 4. The second might be omitted without loss to the sense.
3. $\tau \hat{\omega} v \tau เ v a$ aú $\tau \circ \mu o ́ \lambda \omega v$. For this order of words, cf. suppr. 75. 12; 37. 12. It is the normal order in Herodotus, though we also find $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\delta} \boldsymbol{T} \tau \boldsymbol{v}$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{M}_{\iota} \lambda \eta \sigma^{i} \omega \nu$, supr. 5. 7.
7. $\sigma v \mu \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda о \mu a$. Cf. v. I. I4. With $\begin{gathered}\xi \\ \xi \\ \eta \\ \kappa \epsilon เ v, ~ c f . ~ S o p h . ~ O . ~ T . ~ 1182 ~\end{gathered}$


81. 3. tov̀s ủplotéas $=$ roùs ả $\rho^{\prime}$ atous.
4. ßou入ó $\mu$ єvov $\delta$ è aủzóv. The acc.,

Argos．в．c． 495 （？）；Ol．71． 2.








 $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \mu o ́ \nu \cdot \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ oủ $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \circ \hat{\nu} \nu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\alpha} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi o ́ \lambda \iota o s$,




 ${ }^{2} \pi \rho i v{ }^{2}$ そ̆ Kriig．
which seems to be unique，is no doubt due to the analogy of $k \in \lambda \in \epsilon \in \epsilon \nu$ ． The Heraeum lay north－east of Argos，between that city and Mycenae，on a spur of Mount Euboea．The temple here men－ tioned was burnt down in 423 b．c．It appears to have belonged originally to Mycenae，and was connected with that city by a sacred road．See Baedeker，Griechenland，p． 284.

5．© ipeús．The temple was in charge of a priestess，but doubtless there were subordinate servants about，one of whom was seized by the helots．
 pous．Cf．supr． $7^{2}$ ．7，where we have inù Sıra

3．$\mu \nu=\tau \dot{\Delta}{ }^{\circ}$ A $\rho \gamma \sigma$ s．
8．$\pi \rho i v \gamma \epsilon \delta \dot{\eta}$, к．т．$\lambda . \pi \rho i ́ v ~ d o e s$
not take $\alpha{ }^{2} \nu$ or $k \epsilon$ with the subjunc－ tive in Homer or Hesiod；the con－ struction with äv occurs first in The－ ognis， 963 ，and then becomes the regular form after negatives；cf． Goodwin，Syntax， 639 ff．But in Herodotus $a \not v \nu$ is sometimes omitted with $\pi \rho i v$ ，cf．iv．157．II，and with $\pi \rho i v$ 号 this is the rule．［ $\operatorname{In~i.~} 3^{2} .25$ ， 82． $3^{6}$ ；iii．1o9． 6 the MSS．vary be－ tween $\pi \rho^{i} \nu$ and $\pi \rho i ̀$ ă $\nu, \mathrm{R}$（sv）inclin－ ing to the Attic use．］Cf．Kallenberg， Crit．Comm．p．7；Kriiger，Griech． Sprach．54．17．9．
9．кад入ıєрєч voice of this verb is used in the sense ＇to sacrifice for good omens＇；for the active，see sufr．₹6．8．
12．aipéte．Cf．v． 43 ． 6 ；iii．155．17． Stein takes aip＇$\epsilon \ell$ to be future indica－ tive，supporting his view by the ana－

Sparta．b．c． 496 （\％）；Ol．71．i．



 $\delta \iota \omega к о \nu \tau \alpha$ s．






 ＇Aркаסíns＇ô̂тos тoùs סoú入ovs $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta \epsilon \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \tau о і ̂ \sigma \iota ~$



 is the only form known to classical Greek．Cf．Aesch．Ag． 125 xpúvẹ ai $\rho \in i$, ，for the present．
 put shortly for＇I should have per－ ceived that I was destined to take．＇

14．ó $\theta$ cós，both here and above （1．8）is merely＇the divinity＇；the deity meant is Hera．As the flame appeared in the middle of the statue， Cleomenes was to be content with half a victory；had it appeared at the head，it would have portended a complete conquest of Argos（ $\boldsymbol{\kappa a r}$＇ äкр $\quad$ рs）．

83．I．＇$x \eta \rho \omega^{\prime} \theta \eta$ ．The number of slain is given by Herodotus at 6000 ， vii．148．I $;$ by others at 7777．See Busolt，G．G．ii． 50 n．
of $\delta$ oûdol．Not slaves in the
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ oũт A B C ：aủroí R （sv）．
stricter sense，but serfs，or possibly perioeci．Cf．Arist．Pol．v． $3=1303$

 $\imath_{i} \nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \pi a \rho \alpha \delta^{\prime} \xi \xi \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \tau \hat{\iota} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota-$ oik $\omega$ vivas．Plutarch attacks Hero－ dotus for this statement：De Mul．
 $\tau \grave{̀} \nu$ b̉入ı子avסpíav oủ $\dot{\omega}$ s＇Hpódoтos

 $\sigma v \nu \not ̣ \prime \kappa \iota \sigma a \nu \tau a ̀ s ~ \gamma u v a i ̂ k a s . ~ B u t ~ H e r o-~ . ~$ dotus says nothing of any connubium．

5．Who were the inhabitants of Tiryns at this time we cannot say， and as the date of the capture is quite uncertain，we do not know whether it was the $\delta o \hat{\lambda} \lambda o t$ ，or the original inhabitants，who sent a contingent to Plataea in 479 B．C．

1o．The final capture of Tiryns by

Sparta, b.c. 488 (?); Ol. 73. i.


Spartan account of the madness of Cleomencs.

The Scythians at Sparta.



 $\chi^{\omega} \rho \eta \nu, \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon \mu \nu \nu \epsilon ́ \nu \alpha \iota \mu \nu \tau i \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota, \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha s \delta^{\prime} \epsilon$









${ }^{2}{ }_{\pi \epsilon \rho} \hat{\alpha} \nu$ Krüg. deleto $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu$.

Argos seems to have taken place about the time when Argos destroycd Mycenac, which is put by Diodorus in 468 B. C. See Hist. of Greece, ii. 277. We learn from Herodotus that some of the Tirynthians retired to Halieis, vii. J37. 12.
84. 4. а̉кр $\boldsymbol{\text { то то́т } \eta \text { ข. The Greeks }}$ of course drank their wine diluted with water, unmixed winc being only used for libations. In Athenaeus, 36 B , we find some lines from a poct,

 $\tau \omega \nu$. Cf. Arist. Prob. 3. 5; Athen. $4^{27}$ B, infr. 1. 16.
5. тov̀s vouádas. The whole nation is meant, as in iv. II, not the particular section described in iv. 19; cf. supr. 40. 5 .
6. $\mu \in \mu$ оу'var, 'set their hearts on.' The present tenses in the next line indicate proposals on the part of the Scythians.
9. $\pi$ etpâv. Elscwhere Herodotus uses the middle in this sense; hencc Krüger would read $\pi \epsilon \rho a \hat{v}$, omitting $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$. But $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho a \hat{\nu}$ with the infinitive is found clsewhere, scc $\mathbf{v}$. 85.5 ; Soph. O.T. 399 ; O. C. 1276 , quoted by K. The idea of the Scythians invading Media by way of the Phasis seems to refer to the possibility of passing along the eastern end of the Black Sea; ef. iv. 12.9.
13. тov̂ iкveopévov,' than what was


I5. $\zeta \omega \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o v$, a Homeric word;
 sage which gave offence to critics,

Sparta．b．c． 488 （？）；Ol．73．у．





 Aeginetans













${ }^{n} \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \beta a ́ \lambda \omega \sigma \iota \mathrm{P}$ R（v）；vid．Kallenberg，Comm．Crit．ad loc．： $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \sigma \beta a \lambda \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \iota$ Krüg．
as we see from Arist．Poetics， $25.9=$
 à入入à өâtтоע．

16．＇̇ $\pi$ тбкú $\theta$ loov，＇pour in Scythian style．＇In a similar manner áno－ $\sigma \kappa v \theta_{i}^{\prime} \zeta \epsilon \tau$ was used of shaving the head．See the story in Clearchus， Frag． 8 M．Cf．Plato，Laws， 637 D 入є́ $\gamma \omega$ ठद̀ oủs ol̆vov $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{l}$

 Хри̂̀таı каì Пє́ $\rho \sigma \alpha \iota, \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon \in о \nu$, каì єєть КарХךסóvıо каі Kє入тоі каі＂I $\beta \eta \rho є \varsigma$




 aủтоí，каì катà ти̂ע iцатiav катахєó－
 ढ̀ $\pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon \dot{\prime} \epsilon เ \nu \nu \in \nu о \mu i \kappa a \sigma \iota$.

85．4．ठıкабтท́pıov бuvaүаүóvтєs． Cf．supr．72． 7.

12．öк $\omega \mathrm{s}$ ．．．$\mu \grave{̀}$ ．．．$\epsilon^{\epsilon} \mu \beta a ́ \lambda \omega \sigma$ ． This is the only instance in Hero－ dotus of the independent use of of $\pi \omega s$ s $\mu$ with the subjunctive to ex－ press a＇desire to avert something．＇ See Goodwin，Syntax，278，280； cf．v．79． 12 and note．

Leotychi－ das accom－ panies them to Athens．












Story of Glaucus and the Milesian．








86．3．трофá⿱亠䒑as єi入коv．The phrase is found again，Aristoph． Lysist．726．The meaning here is apparently＇to linger cut excuses，＇ though Pape suggests＇to drag in by the hair of the head，＇which suits Aristoph．Cf，＂̈ $\lambda \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$ ả้ $\omega$ кá $\tau \omega$ тois גórous Plato，Theaet． 195 C ；Crat． 386 E．

5．Cf．supr．50． 9.
a．7．$\tau \rho i ́ \tau \eta v \gamma \in \tau \in \epsilon_{i}^{\prime} v$, i．e．about $55^{\circ}$ B．C．，a time of great disquiet at Miletus owing to internal dissension， and the advance of the Persians ；cf． v．28， 29 ．
 the first rank．＇The construction may be the same in vii． $16 \tau \grave{a} \sigma \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha \grave{l}$
 ддл入íaı $\sigma \phi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o v \sigma \iota$.

II．＇́v Xpóve ikvєupíve，＇at the proper time．＇See supr．S4．Iz． With the story here related may be compared that given in Conon，Narr． $3^{8}$ ，in which a man of Miletus，whose country is in danger，owing to Ilar－ pagus，the son of Cyrus（！），goes to Tauromenium to lodge his money with a banker there．
 Thuc．iii． $57 \pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa_{\epsilon} \psi \alpha \sigma \theta \epsilon \in \tau \epsilon$ ö $\tau \iota \nu \hat{v} \nu$ $\mu \grave{e} \nu \pi \alpha \rho a ́ \delta \epsilon \iota \gamma \mu a$ тoîs $\pi о \lambda \lambda o i ̂ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ ' E \lambda-$ $\lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \omega \nu \dot{a} \nu \delta \rho a \gamma a \theta i a s ~ \nu o \mu i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$（of the Spartans）．On the other hand，com－ pare the contemptuous remark of


Athens. b.c. 488 (?); Ol. 73. 1.


















 c oủ $\delta$ ́́ $\mu \epsilon$ Bekk. d $\delta$ ́́? Krüg.


 It is not easy to see how the praise of the Milesian or the scorn of Cyrus would refer to men to whom all trade was forbidden.
 form is $\dot{\epsilon} \xi$ ap $\begin{aligned} & \text { poi } \sigma a t ; ~ c f . ~ T h u c . ~ v i i i . ~\end{aligned}$ 81, with Arnold's note.
24. ảmo These infinitives are generally found (in Herodotus) before, or after, or in some connection with an imperative ; cf. v. 23.19 ; iii. 134. 23 ; 155. 27.
ß. 6. $\tau \grave{\alpha} \sigma \dot{v} \mu \beta$ o $\lambda a$. 'The credentials,' 'proofs of the agreement.' Some visible test of the agreement is meant, such as would be afforded by two halves of a broken ring or coin. See Pape's Lex., who compares the use of the word in Plato's Sympos. 191 D , for the two parts of the composite human creature.
8. $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \phi \in ́ \rho \epsilon t$. The only parallel to this use of the word seems to be Plato, Lach. $180 \mathrm{E} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \phi \in ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \delta \epsilon \in \tau^{\prime} s \mu \epsilon$ $\kappa \alpha i ̀ \mu \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \eta$ ă $\rho \tau \iota \tau \omega ิ \nu \delta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \gamma o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$. $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota-$ $\phi \epsilon \in \epsilon \in \nu$ is active, 'brings me round'; not redit mihi in memoriam, but refert me in memoriam.

$$
\text { Athens. в.c. } 488 \text { (?) ; OI. 73. х. }
$$













 $\sigma \nu \mu \mu \alpha ́ \rho \psi \alpha s$ ỏ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma \eta \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \eta ̀ \nu$ каi оîko $\nu \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$. $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta " ~ \epsilon ن ُ o ́ \rho к о \nu ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \grave{\eta} \quad \mu \epsilon \tau о ́ \pi \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon i \nu \omega \nu$.





II. vó $\boldsymbol{\mu o r \sigma}$, к.т.入. The words do not contain a threat, but imply an intention to make a public and legal disavowal of the bargain; cf. infr. $\gamma$. 4. 'Laws of the Greeks' are laws observed in Ionia and Sparta equally.
12. кupడ́бєเv. Cf. v. 49. 49.

ү. 5. $\lambda \eta i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$, ' to appropriate.' So Hesiod, Op. et D. 322 ámò $\gamma \lambda \omega \dot{\omega} \sigma$ $\sigma \eta s \lambda \eta i \oint^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma \theta a t$, and Herod. iii. 47. 9, etc. $\mu \in \tau^{\prime} \rho \boldsymbol{\rho} \in \tau a \iota$; cf. infr. 1. 10 ; supr. 69. 2.
9. ӧркои máıs. 'The child of oath' is, of course, a personification of the punishment which awaits perjury; cf. üßpıs нáтךp kúpov Pind. Ol.
 Herod. viii. 77. 8. In Hesiod "Opкos
is the avenger of perjury; Theog.


 Homer there are deities which punish perjury in the under world; $I l$. iii.

 For perjury among the gods, cf. Hesiod, Theog. $7^{8} 3$.
12. The line is taken from Hesiod, Op. et D. 285. In the original the comparative ( $\mathfrak{a} \mu \epsilon i \nu \omega \nu$ ) is contrasted with $\dot{a} \mu a v \rho o t \epsilon ́ \rho \eta$ (of the issue of the perjured), but here it is out of place ; cf. Aesch. Agam. 762 áv $\delta \rho \omega ิ \nu \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{v}$ -

14. It was a maxim of the Athe-

Athens. b. c. 487 (?); Ol. 73. 2.
 $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ ठ̀̀ $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \pi \epsilon \mu \psi \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s$ тoùs Mi入ך




 $\gamma \in \ddot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \iota \tau \epsilon o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \delta \iota \delta o ้ \alpha \alpha, . "$












 $\mu \in \nu o v$ Andoc. De Myst. § 94 .



 favourite word with Herodotus to express an 'intention,' e. g. iv. I 43 . 6

5. $\tau \operatorname{lt}$ is not to be taken with ámóyovov but with the negative which it intensifies, 'at all.' Cf. v. 92 t. 14 .
8. à $\pi a l \tau \epsilon o ́ v \tau \omega v$, sc. $\tau \hat{\omega} v$ кupí $\omega \nu$,
'those to whom it belongs.'
87. I. The dative is used with '̇のaxov́єเv, as it would be with $\pi \epsilon \mathfrak{i}$ $\theta \in \sigma \theta a l$. So with $\grave{\epsilon} \pi a \kappa o v \in \iota \nu$ in iv. 141. 5 .

7. $\pi \epsilon v \tau \epsilon \tau \eta \rho i ́ s$, sc. $\dot{\text { ipr }} \boldsymbol{j}$.
8. Tìv $\theta \in \omega$ pída véa is the ship which conveyed the $\theta \in \omega \rho o i$ from Athens to Sunium.
 vactar. Cf. supr. 2.5 ; v. 49 ult. тò $\pi$ âv. Herodotus has both тò $\pi \hat{\alpha} \nu$ and $\pi \hat{\alpha} \nu \quad \mu \eta \chi a \nu a ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota$. In Attic the article is generally omitted in similar phrases.

War between Athens and Aegina.

Athens．b．c． 487 （？）；CI．73． 2.
 mus leads a conspiracy of the people at Aegina．










 $\gamma \alpha ́ \rho$ бфı тои̂тov $\tau \grave{o} \nu$ X $\rho o ́ v o \nu ~ \phi i ̀ \lambda o \iota ~ \epsilon ́ s ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} ~ \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha, ~ ' A \theta \eta \nu \alpha i ́-~$





${ }^{n} \sigma_{\phi i} \sigma_{l}$ St．：$\sigma \phi \iota$ Libb．

${ }^{\text {b }} \delta \omega \tau i \nu \eta \nu \mathrm{R}$（sv），Krüg．

3．KıoíӨou ка $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \epsilon$ ó $\mu \in$ vos，‘ $k n o w n ~ a s ~$ the son of Cnocthus＇；cf．vii． 143 таîs ठ̀̀ Nєoкдє́os ṫfa入є́єto（of Themistocles）；Thuc．viii． $6{ }^{3}$ Ev $\delta$ oos


4．¿̇vท̀p Sóxıцos．Probably he was an oligarch who had some quarrel with his order．

7．фрá⿱㇒木s，к．т．入．，i．e．фрáбas тク̀v
 $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ ．IIe fixed the day on which he would himself rise，and also that by which the Athenians must appear．
 part of the city of Aegina may be meant，or some other town，such as Oea，for which see v．\＄3．10．Nicodro－
mus may well have arranged to seize some point in the interior at the same time that the Athenians at－ tacked the Aeginetans by sea．

4．oủ үàp ধ̈тuxov，к．$\tau . \lambda$ ．Cf．Thuc．
 $\pi о \tau \epsilon \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ A i \gamma ı \nu \eta T \omega ิ \nu ~ i ́ \pi \epsilon ̀ \rho ~ \tau a ̀ ~$
 $\nu a u ̂ s \dot{\text { è }} \lambda \alpha \dot{\beta} \beta \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ ．

9．＇̇v $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ vó $\mu \varphi$ ，＇by their law＇；cf． Pind．Pyth．i． 62 ＇ $\mathrm{r} \lambda \lambda$ ídos $\sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \theta \mu a s$ èv ขú $\mu$ os．

11．The history of the Athenian fleet down to this period is unknown． We are told in the handbooks that each of the forty－eight naucrariae furnished a ship，but this appears to

Athens. b.c. 487 (?); OI. 73. 2.












Sacrilege of the Aegine-
tans.



rest on the evidence of grammarians only, and may be due to a false etymology (vaûs vavisapía). Cf. Herm. Staatsalt. § 98. 3. In Arist. Athen. Pol. c. 8 there is nothing to connect the naucraries with the ships. The statement that Cleisthenes raised the number of naucraries to fifty comes from Clidemus, the contemporary of Aristotle (Herm. l.c. i I I. 9), but here also there is no mention of ships. The idea that after Cleisthenes the Athenian navy nominally consisted of fifty ships seems to rest on the correspondence of the fifty ships mentioned here with the fifty naucraries ascribed to Cleisthenes. Infr. c. 132. 3 seventy ships are mentioned, and apparently these are not the whole Athenian flect ( 490 B.C.).
91. у. vi $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \mathrm{p}$, after 490 в.c.
2. oi $\pi$ axtes. See the note on $v$. 30. 3 .
 Cf. Eurip. Frag. ${ }_{555}$ tiva $\delta \in i ̂$

àvanaú入av; This sense appears to be confined to the middle voice, the active $\tilde{\epsilon}_{\kappa} 6 \theta v^{\prime} \epsilon \nu$ being merely 'to sacrifice completely'; see Jebb on Soph. El. 572 , and L. S. sub v. Cf. Thuc. ii. 27 à $\nu \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \sigma a \nu$ dè rà̀

 raì maîosas rai yvvaîkas, on the ground that they had been the cause of the war. Herodotus explains the matter in a manner more consonant to his own modes of thought, cf. infr. 135 . 12, of Miltiades. Even Aristotle seems to look on the destruction of Sybaris by Croton as the expiation of an act of impiety. Herodotus can hardly have known of the extirpation of the Aeginetans by the Athenians in $4^{2}+$ b.c. or he would have mentioned it. [Lysias, vi. r, has a story of a man who died of hunger in the midst of plenty in consequence of an act of impiety towards Demeter.]

## Athens. b.c. 487 (?); Ol. 73.2.



 $\delta \epsilon \sigma \mu \grave{\alpha}$ кат $\alpha \phi \in \tilde{v} \gamma \epsilon \iota \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi \rho o ́ \theta v \rho \alpha ~ \triangle \eta ́ \mu \eta \tau \rho o s ~ \delta \epsilon \sigma \mu о \phi o ́ \rho o v$,










Argos and Aegina.









${ }^{a}{ }_{\omega}^{\omega} \nu \sigma \phi \iota$ om. P R (sv), vid. supr. 63. 2.
92. 4. тоѝs каi $\pi \rho o ́ т \epsilon \rho o v$, see $v$. 86. 19. For the chronological difficulties involved in this account, see v. App. 3 ' On the chronology of the reign of Cleomenes' (p. 135).
10. By what right did Argos inflict this fine? Did she still claim to be head of the 'lot of Temenus'? See IHist. of Greece, i. 226. Later in the fifth century she claimed the right of fining the Epidaurians,
but did so as custodian of the temple of Apollo. For Sicyon and Argos, see v. 67 f.; the city must have greatly changed since the days of Cleisthenes, before she consented to follow the lead of Dorians and pay a fine to Argos.
 impudent.' Cf. supr. 75. 5 for the comparative; and v. 81. 12 for the Aeginetan character.

Asin. в.c. 490; Ol. 22. 3.











 $5 \delta \iota \alpha \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ' $A \theta \eta \nu \alpha i ́ o v s, ~ \not ̈ \mu \alpha ~ \delta \grave{\eta}$ ßov入ó $\mu \in \nu 0 s$ ò $\Delta \alpha \rho \in i o s$






[^21]
 ing practised the pentathlon,' is = 'having conquered in the pentathlon.' In ix. 75. 4 Eurybates is called $\pi \in \nu \tau$ á $\theta \lambda$ os áv $\nu \rho$. Just below
 single combat,' i.e. engaging his enemy in it; cp. $\sigma o \nless i ́ a \nu, ~ \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ є̇ $\pi a \sigma \kappa \epsilon \hat{\nu}$.
21. For Sophanes, see ix. 74, 75 .
93. 3. véas $\tau$ '́ $\sigma \sigma \in \rho a s$, к.т.入. Here the account of the war ends, but from vii. 145.8 we know that hostilities went on till 48 I b.c.

on with his work.' $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon=\ddot{a} \tau \epsilon$.
 $\mu \iota v$, 'reminding him to bear in inind.' Cf. v. 105.
4. See v. $9^{6}$; vii. 6.
5. ßoudó $\mu \in \mathrm{vos}$. The grammar returns to the nominative, because o Hépo $\eta$ s and $\dot{\delta}$ sapeios are the same person.
7. тov̀s $\mu \grave{\eta}$ Sóvias. Cf. supr. 48 f. As the words refer to a past act, they cannot denote an indefinite class, and therefore ov̉ would be more correct than $\mu$ '. See supr. 66. 1о.
io. M $\hat{\eta} \delta o v$. Other instances of Medes in the service of the Persians

Asia. B.c. 490 ; Ol. 72. 3.





 $\tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \epsilon \hat{\nu} \quad \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \kappa \epsilon v \alpha \sigma \mu \epsilon \in \nu o \nu, \dot{\epsilon} \nu \theta \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau o \pi \epsilon \delta \in \cup o \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \iota \sigma \iota$







Datis and Artaphernes at Naxos,







are Harpagus and Tabalus who were employed by Cyrus against the Greeks and Lydians.
95. 2. See suptr. 43. 7. The army did not of course march by the great road described in v. 52, but by one which led from the Euphrates, through the 'Cilician Gates' to Tarsus. It was by this route that the younger Cyrus marched into Mesopotamia.
5. ठ̀ є̇тıтах $\theta$ єís, supr. 48.

It. $\epsilon$ ixov, 'directed'; Homeric.
13. mapá $\tau \epsilon$ 'Ikápıov, which is
found in the MSS., can only mean ' past the Icarian Sea,' which is not what the context requires (96. 2), and the omission of the article is remarkatle. Hence "Ikapoy is perhaps right.
 be right, though Clinton ingeniously defends the text, by supposing that Herodotus is speaking of Attic years. If Mardonius was wrecked off Athos in the autumn of $49^{2}$, an expedition leaving Asia before July 490 would be in the ycar after his disaster, if we rechon the years from July to

Delos. в.c. 490; Ol. 72.3.







 ä $\lambda \lambda \alpha s \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma o u s \alpha^{\alpha} \nu \eta ́ \gamma o \nu \tau o$.


 $\tau \grave{\alpha} s \nu \epsilon ́ \alpha s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \Delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o \nu{ }^{\mathrm{b}} \pi \rho \circ \sigma o \rho \mu i \varrho \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$, ${ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \eta \nu$




${ }^{\text {a }}$ lacunam indicavit St. : $\pi \rho o \tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega \nu \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{sv})$.
$\mathrm{b} \delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o \nu \mathrm{~B}^{2} \mathrm{P} \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{s}): \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma o \nu$ cett.

June. But there is nothing to show that Herodotus is speaking of Attic years; his years as a rule seem to run from spring to spring, sutpr. 31. 2.
96. 3. द̇ $\pi$ єìxov, 'intended.'
4. $\tau \hat{\omega} v \pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o v$, i.e. the successful resistance to the Persian fleet under Aristagoras, v. 34. Plut. De malig. Her. 36 , informs us that Darius was repulsed from the island, after he had laid waste some part of it, but Naxos was certainly subject to Persia from the time of the battle of Marathon.
97. 5. Rhenaea is an island about half a mile distant from Delos. It is much larger than Delos, to which however Polycrates made it an
appendage. The sacred associations of Delos did not extend to it (Thuc. iii. Io4).
7. oủk ėmเงท่ $\delta \in a$. . . $\mathfrak{k} \mu \in \hat{v}$, 'forming an unjust,' lit. unsuitable, 'opinion against me.' The repetition of kazá is remarkable, for elsewhere we find the genitive only; see Kallenberg, Comm. Crit. p. 2I, where the whole subject of prepositions in compo-
 tồ vóov supr. 12. 12, and note; ä $\pi \omega \sigma \tau_{o ́ s}^{5} 5$. 9 , and $v . l$.
 ' my own understanding extends so far'; $\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \pi i \boldsymbol{l}$ roбoûto is common with $\lambda_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \%$ iv. 199. 12 ; and in v. 50. 2


Delos．B．c． 490 ；Ol． 72.3.



 $\dot{v} \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha \alpha \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ каì $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma o \nu \quad \nu \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ．＂$\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$




Earth－ quake at Delos．


 ＇́ $\mu \epsilon \hat{v} \quad \sigma \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \alpha$ ．каi то仑̂то $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu$ коv $\tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha s ~ \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi о \iota \sigma \iota{ }_{5}$






9．oit Sv́o $\theta \in o i ́$, Apollo and Arte－ mis．

11．äтtтє，＇go baek＇；ef．supr．5．2．
14．＇่̇ $\pi \grave{\imath} \tau 0 \hat{v} \beta \omega \mu \mathrm{ov}$ ．The altar of Apollo in Delos．

98．2．kail ${ }^{\prime}$ Itwas，к．т．入．The same remark is made ii．I． 5 ；iii．I． 3．It may be added here to mark the faet that the Greeks of Asia and the islands were now eompelled to fight against their kinsmen in the peninsula．

4．каi $\pi р \hat{\omega} \tau a$, к．т．$\lambda$ ．See Appen－ dix 1 ．Thuc．ii． 8 ，speaking of the


 $\mu_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \mu \nu \eta \nu \tau a i$ ．Each author would seem to be denying the oeeurrence of the earthquake mentioned by the other．We eannot explain the con－
tradiction，but we may conjecture that the Asiatie and European Greeks had different traditions，each supported by some supposed evidenee from Delos．

5．T＇́pas，к．т．入．Supr．27．I，and note．

7．Darius reigned from 521 to 485 B．c．；Xerxes was slain in $4^{65}$ ； Artaxerxes died in 424 ．Here，as supr．91．6，Herodotus seems igno－ rant of events subsequent to 426 в．c．

9．є́mi єǐkoo兀ı，к．т．$\lambda$ ．Twenty generations $=667$ years．This would cover the interval between the acees－ sion of Darius and the Trojan war， or at any rate the Dorian migration ； for Herodotus seems to place the Trojan war about 1260 B．C．，and the migration took phaee eighty years later．

Carystus. b.c. 490; Ol. 72.3.












 5 Ká $\rho v \sigma \tau o \nu$, ov̉ $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ $\delta \dot{\eta} \sigma \phi \iota$ oi K $К \rho v ́ \sigma \tau \iota o l ~ o u ̛ \tau \epsilon ~ \dot{o} \mu \eta ́ \rho o u s$
 ${ }^{\text {a }} \kappa \alpha \mathfrak{i}$ év . . $\mathfrak{\epsilon} o \hat{o} \sigma a \nu$ om. A B C, I. Gronovius.

12. ảeıkés, 'strange,' 'extraordinary,' = oủk єikús.

I3. kaì '̇v... éov̂qav. These lines are found in one class of MSS. only,
 $\gamma_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime} \alpha \mu \mu$ 'vov is not Herodotean ; we

 $\lambda o ́ \gamma \iota u ́ v \tau \iota \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ aù $\bar{\eta} \bar{s}, \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma o \nu \dot{\omega} \delta \epsilon$. Delos was at one time a wandering island, till Leto visited it ; see Pindar, Frag. 65.
16. Sívatal . . . ka $\lambda$ folev. These words are but slightly connected with the context, and look more like a note, whether added by Herodotus or another, than a part of the text ; see on v. 27. 2. $\Delta a p \in i=s$ is the Greek form of Deirayavush from dar 'to hold,' 'possess,' to which 'epgins or
 imperfectly. $\Xi^{\prime} \rho \rho \xi^{\prime} \eta s$ is probably $=$ Kshayârsâ, 'mighty man;' 'A pro$\xi \in \rho \xi \eta s$ is Artakshatrq ('cxalted king'), so that àp ${ }^{\prime}$ 'os and $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma a s$
 gested) correspond fairly to the last two names; see infr. p. 330 .
99. 2. रàs vท'rous, i. e. the Cyclades, etc., and Euboea, in which lay Carystus. The Carystians were Dryopians, and were known for their spirit and bravery. Yet they learned a lesson now which they remembered in 480 b. C., when they joined the invaders. See Hist. of Greece, ii. pp. SI, 202.
 Dobree preferred $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon \dot{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, bui the change is not necessary, for ouk




and at Eretria,

 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ є́ $\pi \iota \kappa o u \rho i ́ \eta \nu, \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ тov̀s $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \alpha \kappa \iota \sigma \chi \iota \lambda i ́ o u s ~ \tau o u ̀ s{ }^{b}{ }^{b} \kappa \lambda \eta$ -










${ }^{\text {a }}$ бтратєن́ $\epsilon \sigma \theta a l$ Dobrec. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ тov́s add. Krüg.
€'фабav is 'refused '; ef. v. 79. 4 ; So ult. ; and also infr. 132. 5.
 10 's $\hat{\epsilon}$ кai oṽto $\tau a \rho \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \sigma a \nu$, and often.
100. 4. то̀̀s $\tau \in \tau \rho a \kappa \iota \sigma$ Xidious. Cf. v. 78. II.
6. ŋ̉v ápa =' was, as the event proved '; the idiomatic sense of ápa with the imperfect. Cf. Soph. Trach. 1169 f .

خ̈ $\mu 0 t$. . . . .

 $\kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} s$.
 ${ }_{\epsilon} \epsilon \mu$ '́.
7. 'iүıés, 'sound,' 'honest,'sanum.
 were divided in their intentions.'
¿ $\delta^{\prime}$ á is 'form' or 'kind,' lit. 'shape.' 'Their thoughts took two shapes.' Cf. infr. ing. ı 1 фр́є́a тò

9. ék $\lambda_{\iota \pi \epsilon \mathrm{itv}}^{\text {. . .és, 'to leave their }}$ city for,' 'to retire to.' The 'whole of the southern end of Euboea is filled by a mass of mountains,' the highest point being Mount Ocha ( $4744^{5}$ feet) on the summit of which are the ruins of an ancient temple; see Smith, Dict. Geog. Euboca.
13. $\tau$ à $\pi p \hat{\omega} \tau a$, ef. ix. $7^{\text {S. }} 2$ ムá $\mu \pi \omega \nu$
 and Lucretius, i. $\delta 6$ ductores Danaum delecti, prima virorum.

Eretria．b．C．490；Ol．72． 3.
${ }_{15} \sigma \theta \alpha i ́ \quad \sigma \phi \in \alpha s$＇́s $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \sigma \phi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \rho \eta \nu$ ，ív $\nu \mu \eta े \pi \rho о \sigma \alpha \pi o ́ \lambda \omega \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ ．
















15．＇és $\tau \eta ̀ v \sigma \phi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \rho \eta v$ ．It is not quite clear from the narrative， whether the Athenians were residing on the Chalcidian territory，but as nothing is said of sending them out to Eretria，we may assume that this was the case．
 infr． 4 катa⿱丷天́ures is＇having got posscssion of．＇

3．кaтd̀ T＇́ $\mu \in v o s$, к．т．入．These were，no doubt，places in the Ere－ trian territory，but nothing more is known of them．For T＇ $\boldsymbol{\mu} \mu \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{0}$ Wessc－ ling proposed Taúvas，which Strabo mentions as a city in the Eretrian country（p．448）．Sec also Harpo－ cration，sub voce ；Aesch．2．169， 3. 86 ；Demosth．21．162，39．16．But Herodotus clsewhere mentions places which are not otherwise known，e．g．

Ccos and Cynosura in connection with Salamis．

4．aủtiкa ïmтovs，к．т．$\lambda$ ．Sec infr． 102． 5.

 with almost any abstract noun as a periphrasis for a vcrb，e．g．$\lambda$ úm $\boldsymbol{v}_{\nu}$ $\pi о \iota \epsilon i ̄ \sigma \theta a \iota=\lambda \nu \pi \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota, \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \eta े \nu \quad \pi о \iota \epsilon \hat{-}-$ $\sigma \theta a \iota=\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ，etc．

8．Evixa．The imperfect is re－ markable，but cf．viii．9． 2 Ł̇víка порєи́є $\sigma \theta a$.
 and especially vii． 8 ．I I ，viii．143．11． The view that the Persian war was a religious war is discussed at length by Wecklein，Die Tradition der Per－ serkrieger， 1876, p． 263 ff ．In the pre－ sent passage the historian seems to draw a distinction between the com－
 тous $\eta \nu \delta \rho \alpha \pi о \delta i ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau о$ кат̀̀ $\tau \grave{\alpha} s ~ \Delta \alpha \rho \epsilon i ́ o v ~ \epsilon ُ \nu \tau о \lambda \alpha ́ s . ~$
102








Athexs. Miltiades is chosen one of the generals.
 бтратךүоì ठє́ка, т $\hat{\nu} \nu$ ò סє́катоs $\hat{\eta} \nu M \iota \lambda \tau \iota \alpha ́ \delta \eta s, ~ \tau о \hat{v} ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~$



mands of Darius and the unauthorized act of the invaders; cf. supr. 94. 12. 102. 2. кате́pyovт's $\tau \in \pi$ mod óv. See critical note. If the text is kept, we must translate 'in great haste,' taking the word intransitively, for it is impossible to follow Schweigh. in supplying rov̀s'A $\begin{gathered}\text { quaiovs, and render- }\end{gathered}$ ing 'in angustias cogentes.' The word kat'́ $\hat{\gamma \epsilon \epsilon \nu}$ occurs v. 63 . ${ }^{2} 3$, wherc also it gives rise to difficulties.
4. Mapatav. The word is here used for the district, not merely the hamlet or deme of Marathon. Attica as a wholc was dangerous ground for

 land round Phalerum is prepared for the Thessalian horse. Nearly fifty years before the present expedition, Hippias had accompanied his father Peisistratus on a similar voyage from Eretria, and on that occasion the landing had been in every way successful;
see Hist. of Greece, ii. 83 .
5. '̇vırmtev̂ral. As we have seen, the Persian horse were landed in Euboea, and we must presume that they were landed in Attica; yet we never hear of them in the battle; see Appendix 3.
103. 3. $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma$ oi $\delta$ ќка. Arist. Athen. Pol. c. 4, speaks of $\sigma r \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o$ í under the constitution of Draco, but he does not fix the number. In c. 22 he tells us that in the twelfth year before the battle of Marathon $=501$ B. C. roùs atpar $\eta \gamma$ ò̀s pipoûvro кarà

 дархоs. ¿ סéкатоs, i. e. tenth in the order of the tribes. In this year, therefore, the Oeneid tribe, to which Miltiades belonged, was tenth; cf. infr. IIt. 6 .
4. катє́ $\lambda a \beta \epsilon$, impersonal, as inf $r$. l. 12.

Athens. b.c. 490 ; Ol. 72.3.









(Story of Cimon.) $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon$ óvтos aủtov̂ Пє८б८бтра́тov• ктєívovaı ס̀̀ oûtoí $\mu \iota \nu$ ${ }^{1}$ § $\kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \rho \nu \tau \alpha \nu \eta ́ \iota o \nu \nu v \kappa \tau o ̀ s ~ v i \pi \epsilon i ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon S$ ä $\nu \delta \rho \alpha s$. $\tau \in \epsilon \alpha \pi \tau \alpha \iota$






6. 'Oג $\nu \mu \pi เ a ́ \delta a, ~ f o r ~ ' O \lambda v ́ \mu \pi \iota a ~(36 . ~$ 2 ; víкךข can be supplied ; cf. supr. 70. 1 ミ, infr. 125 ult.
7. $\tau \omega$ v่тò $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi \in \mathrm{\varepsilon} \epsilon$ íkaodal, 'to win the same honours with.' Each of the half-brothers was $\tau \in \theta \rho \iota \pi \pi o r ~ \rho u ́ \phi o s, ~ c f . ~$ supr. 36.
 claimed as victor'; supr. 70. I6.
II. ப́móvitovסos. Though allowed to return, he was regarded as an enemy ; cf. Thuc. vi. 59 é $\chi \dot{\omega} \rho \in t$ ínó$\sigma \pi o \nu \delta o s$ ès Eírelov (of Hippias). The past was not forgotten.
 after $5^{2} 7 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{C}$.
 Stadtgeschichte Ath. p. 244, considers that the prytancum was in the south of the city at this time ; the new
prytaneum, which lay on the north of the acropolis, not being built till Macedonian times. íteíqavtes üvסpas, i. e. 'by hired assassins.' Cf.
 $\mu \eta \chi^{\text {avoppáфov, Herod. v. } 92} 9^{2}$. 2 I ímoбтท́бas roùs $\delta o \rho \cup \not \subset o ́ \rho o u s . ~$
16. $\pi \rho$ ó, ' $^{\text {just outside ' } ; ~ c f . ~ i x . ~} \mathbf{5}_{2}$. 9; viii. 37.4. 'The Hollow' was probably the depression between the Nymph's Hill and the hill of the Pnyx. On the one side of the road was the grave of Cimon, on the other side the horses were buried. The tombs were close to the Melitian gate of the city. Marcellinus, vit. Thuc. It $\pi$ ò̀s $\gamma$ à $\rho$ taîs Me入ltiol
 $\kappa \alpha \lambda о и ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a$ К $\iota \omega ́ \nu \iota \alpha \mu \nu \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau \alpha$.

Athens. b.c. 490 ; Ol. 72.3 .

















${ }^{\text {a }} \phi \iota \lambda \iota \pi i \delta \eta \nu \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{sv})$, veterum testimonia, Wess.

2]. $\tau \bar{\varphi}$ Kípwr. The dative is possessive, a use which is generally confined to the pronouns ; cf. supp.
 катєі̀ло.
${ }^{25}$. Midrádins. For the nom. see Túvons v. 52. 29.
104. 2. Cf. supr. c. 4 I.
7. і̇тобє $\xi$ á $\mu \epsilon \mathrm{vot}$, ' taking him up,' $=\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \in \xi \in \dot{\prime} \mu \in \nu 0 \iota$, but with the additional idea of secresy.
8. Ésíngav tupavvíos. The rule of Miltiades, the son of Cypselus, had been founded with the consent of Peisistratus, and Miltiades, the son of Cimon, had acted in an arbitrary and tyrannical manner on succeeding
his brother Stesagoras in the Chersonese. He was also sent out by the Peisistratidae. Hence the enemies of the tyrants at Athens might hope to excite the people against Miltiades, notwithstanding the fact that his family had left Athens owing to their hatred of Peisistratus, and that his father had been put to death by the Peisistratidae. Cf. App. 7. 2.
105. 2. \$єi $\delta \iota \pi \pi i \delta \eta v$. This is the form of the name in the best MSS., but elsewhere we find $\Phi_{i} \lambda i \pi \pi i \delta \eta \nu$, a form which in this passage is supported by the second class of the MSS. of Herodotus ; see critical note. With his performance we may compare

## Athens．b．c．490；Ol．72． 3.

$\nu \alpha i ̂ o \nu ~ \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \quad \ddot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \alpha$ ，ä入入 $\omega$ s $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho о \delta \rho o ́ \mu \eta \nu \quad \tau \epsilon$ каi $\tau о \hat{\tau} \tau о$

















${ }^{\text {a }} \sigma \phi \iota \eta \not \partial \eta$ St. : $\sigma \phi i \sigma t \eta{ }_{\eta} \eta \eta \mathrm{A} \mathrm{B} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{:} \ddot{\eta} \delta \eta \sigma \phi i \sigma \iota \mathrm{PR}$ (sv).
${ }^{\text {b }} \sigma \phi \iota$ St. : $\sigma \phi i \sigma \iota$ Libb.
that recorded of Euchidas，in Plut． Aristid．20，who ran from Plataea to Delphi and back in a day．

5．$\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~ \tau}$ т̀ Map日＇́viovôpos．There was a temple of Pan on the moun－ tain（Paus．viii．54．6）．
 home the question，why．＇Paus．

 $\chi \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \nu$ ．

12．ข์mò tn̂ c̉кротó $\lambda_{\mathrm{l}}$ ．For a view of the grotto of Pan see Cur－ tius and Kaupert，Atlas von Athen， Bl．ix．2．It lies on the north－west side of the Acropolis．

14．$\lambda a \mu \pi \dot{\alpha} \delta \iota$ ，＇torch－race．＇
106．3．$\delta \in v \tau \in p a i o s . ~ T h e ~ d i s t a n c e ~$ is given by Isocrates，Panathen．24， at 1200 stades $=150$ miles；this is perhaps a little longer than the route taken by Pheidippides，which， however，can hardly have been less than $120-130$ miles．

4． $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \pi \mathrm{i}$ i tov̀s ä $\rho$ xovtas，i．e．the ephors；cf．ix．7． 8 ＇̇ $\pi \epsilon \lambda \theta$ óvrєs $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \grave{\imath}$ тoìs é $\phi$ ópovs．


 ＇E $\lambda \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$ ．

7．Sou入oaúvn $\pi \epsilon \rho เ \pi \epsilon \sigma \circ$ v̂бav $\pi \rho$ ós，

Pheidippi－ des sent to Sparta．

Athens. b.c. 490 ; Ol. 72.3.
$\beta \alpha \rho \beta \alpha ́ \rho \omega \nu$ ' каі $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \quad \nu \hat{v} \nu$ 'E $\rho \in ́ \tau \rho \iota \alpha ́ \quad \tau \epsilon \quad \eta \quad \eta \delta \rho \alpha \pi o ́ \delta \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \iota$









 $\sigma v \nu \epsilon v \nu \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$. $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \beta \alpha ́ \lambda \epsilon \tau о$ فे $\nu$ є’к $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ ỏ $\nu \epsilon i ́ \rho o v ~ к \alpha \tau \epsilon \lambda \theta \grave{\omega} \nu 5$





'brought into slavery by.' This is the passive of $\pi \in \rho \iota \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ with the dative, as in the common phrase $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu \quad \sigma \nu \mu \phi о \rho \alpha i ̂ s$.

I3. íctapévov tov̂ $\mu \eta$ vòs єivátŋ, $\boldsymbol{\kappa . \tau . \lambda , ~ ' t h e ~ n i n t h ~ d a y ~ i n ~ t h e ~ r i s e ~}$ of the month,' the month being divided into three parts, the rise, the full ( $\mu$ єбov̂vtos), the fall ( $\phi$ Өivovtos). Herodotus can hardly mean that the Spartans expected the full moon to fall on the ninth of the month, for that would imply a calcndar in grcat disorder; his meaning apparently is that the Spartans could not go out on the ninth or on any day betwcen the ninth and the full monn. It is commonly supposed that the month Carneius is meant, of which nine days $(7-15)$ werc occupied by
the Carneia, during which no Dorian could go out on a military expedition; but this is not stated by Herodotus, nor did Plutarch so understand his words. Cf. Plut. De malig. Her. 26 ov̉ $\gamma$ à $\rho$ بóvov ä $\lambda \lambda a s$ $\mu v \rho i ́ a s ~ e ́ \xi ́ j o ́ o v s ~ n a i ~ \mu a ́ \chi a s ~ \pi \epsilon \pi o i ́ \eta \nu \tau a l ~$ $\mu \eta \nu o ̀ s ~ i \sigma \tau a \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v, ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \mu \epsilon i \nu a \nu \tau \epsilon s$ $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \pi \alpha \nu \sigma \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta \nu o \nu, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha{ }_{\alpha} \kappa a \grave{\imath}$ таúv $\eta s$

 Whether Plutarch is right in contradicting Herodotus about the date of the battle is doubtful ; see $A_{p}$ pendix 3 B .
14. $\mu$ ท̀ oủ. Scc supr. c. 9.
 i. e. in the night before landing at Marathon.
10. Styra lay on the S.W. of

Athens. b.c. 490; Ol. 72. 3.
 таs $\tau \epsilon$ 'ُs $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$ тoùs $\beta \alpha \rho \beta \alpha$ áous dlє́ $\alpha \sigma \sigma \epsilon$. каí oi $\tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$
 $\theta \epsilon \epsilon$. oîa $\delta \epsilon ́$ oi $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \nu \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \varphi ~ \epsilon ’ o ́ v \tau \iota ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ o ̉ \delta o ́ v \tau \omega \nu ~ o i ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{v}-$





 o ó ò $\omega \nu \mu \tau \tau \bar{\chi} \in \iota$."






Euboea, and, like Carystus, it was a Dryopian town.
11. oũtos. Cf. v. 3. 7.
16. vimò $\beta$ í $\eta \mathrm{s}$, with éx $\kappa$ á $\lambda \lambda \epsilon$.
 Marathon, but the preeise position of the preeincts seems to be unknown. It is, however, pretty eertain that Marathon was the modern Vrana. The Greeks may have been encamped in Avlona (see map), or in the valley of Vrana, or in both. The last is more probable, for they would wish to command the ehief road to Athens, and yet they must protect themselves from attack through Avlona.
3. $\pi a v \delta \eta \mu \mathrm{E}$ i. They were 1000 strong aecording to Ephorus.
4. $\grave{\epsilon} \delta \in \delta \dot{\omega} \kappa \in \sigma a v$. Aceording to Thuc. iii. 68, this took place ninety-
two years before the destruetion of the eity in 427 B.C., which brings us to 519 b.c. Grote doubted this date because no reason is known why the Spartan army should be in Boeotia in 519 B.C., whereas we know that it was in Attiea under the eommand of Cleomenes in 508 B. C., and moreover the ineident in this text agrees better with the state of affairs after the expulsion of Hippias than during his reign. In this he has been generally followed, and the date brought down by ten years ; yet it is dangerous to alter a distinct statement of such an author as Thueydides without better knowledge of the circumstances.
5. đóvous . . . ouxvov́s, к.т.入. Of these nothing is known.

Athens. в. С. 490 ; Ol. 72.3 .






 ن́ $\mu \hat{\imath} \nu$ סov̂val í $\mu$ '́as aủ



 $\mu^{\prime} \nu \nu \nu \nu$ П $\lambda \alpha \tau \alpha \iota \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \iota$ $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \beta o v ̃ \lambda \epsilon v o \nu$, oi $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ oủk



 $\lambda o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ס̀́ $\sigma \nu \nu \alpha ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \mu \alpha ́ \chi \eta \nu$ Kopívөloı ở $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon i ̂ \delta o \nu$,


n ảvapaı ${ }^{2}$ áato Bekk.: ảvaıpéato R (sv) : ảvaıpéovzo eett.

 iii. 5.5 , who confirms the statement in the text.
II. $\phi \theta$ aí $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau}$. . . $\geqslant \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\tau} เ v a$. Elsewhere Herodotus uses $\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu \eta{ }_{\eta}$ or $\pi \rho i \nu \eta \eta^{\eta}$.
17. $\sigma v v \in \sigma \tau \in \omega \hat{\omega} \alpha$, s, 'in eonflict with.' Cf. supr. 29. 3.

20. $\beta \omega \mu$ óv. Cf. Thue. vi. 54, who informs us that this altar of the twelve gods was dedieated in the market-plaee of Athens by Peisistratus, the son of IIippias,
when archon. After the expulsion of the tyrants it was enlarged in such a manner that the inseription placed upon it by the dedieator was hidden. It formed in a sense the eentre of the eity, for, on the one hand, the distances between Athens and important places were marked upon it (Herod. ii. 7. 5 and C.I. A. ii. $107^{3}$ ), and, on the other, all processions passed round it (Pindar, Frag. 45, Xen. Mipparch. iii. 2).
$25 . \epsilon \in \hat{a} v$, 'to let alone.'










 $\epsilon \hat{i} \nu \alpha \iota \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \iota \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\eta} M \dot{\eta} \delta \omega \nu[\sigma v \mu \beta \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu])^{\text {a }}, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha \grave{\iota} M \iota \lambda$ - The Athe-








${ }^{\text {a }} \sigma v \mu \beta \dot{\beta} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ secl. St.
32. 'Xorás. See v. 74. io note. From this passage we gather that the territory of Hysiae was now extended to the Asopus ; cf. also ix. 15,25 .
 In the Athen.Pol. we are told (c. 22.5)


 $\pi \rho \circ \kappa \rho \stackrel{\theta}{\theta} \dot{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ í $\pi \grave{o} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \eta \mu \circ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha-$ кобíav то́тє $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ т $̀ \nu \quad$ тvpavvída
 aipetoí. The passage presents some
difficulty, on which see Kenyon's note, but it states clearly that the archons were elected (aipєtoí) at the time of the battle of Marathon. Aristotle then and Herodotus are at variance. [In the time of Solon the
 but the practice seems to have been changed in the time of the tyranny.]
7. Tò тa入atóv. Afterwards of course the Polemarch had nothing to do with the management of the army.
nians divided in opinion.

Miltiades and Callimachus.

Marathon. b. c. 490; Ol. 72. 3.




 $\dot{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \iota s$, oï $\tau \epsilon \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i ̀ \pi \rho \omega \tau \eta ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad$ ' $E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu i ́ \delta \omega \nu \pi o \lambda i ́ \omega \nu$




 $\beta \alpha ́ \lambda \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$, ${ }^{\epsilon} \lambda \pi \pi \rho \mu \alpha \dot{́} \tau \iota \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \iota \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \eta \nu$ ס $\iota \alpha \sigma \epsilon i ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ ' $\epsilon \pi \pi \epsilon-$
 $\sigma v \mu \beta \alpha ́ \lambda \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \quad \pi \rho i ́ v$ т८ каi $\sigma \alpha \theta \rho o ̀ \nu$ ' $A \theta \eta \nu \alpha i ́ \omega \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \xi \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ - 25
 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \nu \mu \beta 0 \lambda \hat{\eta}$. $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ ஸ̂̀ $\pi \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \tau \alpha$ 's $\sigma \epsilon ̀ \nu \hat{v} \nu$







```
a \mu\nu\eta\muó\sigmav\nuov P R (sv`,. b oia H. Stephanus: oiov Libb.
    c \lambda\epsilonímov\sigma\iota secl. St.
```


iz. és tòv . . . $\beta$ íov, i. e. 'so long as mankind exists.' Cf. v. 9. I5, Soph. Phil. 306 द̇v т т̣̂ $\mu а \kappa р \hat{̣}$. . .

13. Like Callimachus, Harmodius and Aristogeiton were members of the deme of Aphidna, which lay in the neighbourhood of Decelea.
' break into two parties.'
 foot among'; cf. v. 3. $5 \cdot$
29. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \hat{n}$. Cf. Soph. O. C.
 єivaı кра́тоs.
31. $\epsilon \bar{\lambda} \lambda \eta$, sc. $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \gamma^{\nu} \dot{\omega} \mu \eta \nu$, but see critical note.

Marathon. b.c. 490; Ol. 72. 3.
$\gamma \nu \dot{\mu} \mu \eta S$ є́кєки́ $\rho \omega \tau о \quad \sigma \nu \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$. $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ סє̀ oi $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \eta \gamma о \grave{\imath}$
 5 є́үìєто $\pi \rho v \tau \alpha \nu \eta i ́ \eta ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \eta s, ~ М \iota \lambda \tau \iota \alpha ́ \delta \eta ~ \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \delta i ́ \delta o \sigma \alpha \nu$.











${ }^{\text {a }} \gamma^{\alpha} \dot{\rho} \rho$ secl. St.

110. 3. є́кєкข́рато. The pluperfect means 'it was finally determined.' Cf. infr. 130 ult.; so
 supr. 24 ult.
1. It is not clear what was done by the generals who voted against the attack. From the context we should gather that they, as well as the others, gave up their turn of command to Miltiades. As Miltiades is called the tenth general (supr. 103. 3) he apparently waited till the tenth day to attack, and as the discussion began after the generals reached Marathon, the Greck army was apparently idlc there for ten days at least (App. 3. II a).

 $\mu \alpha \rho \chi i ́ a ~ \delta \iota \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau t \nu a ̀ s ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~$
 [ $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu] \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \grave{\nu} \nu{ }^{\nu} \mathrm{I} \omega \nu \alpha \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi \alpha \nu \tau o$

Хрєías катадаßov́бךร. In ancient times the king commanded the right wing.
6. むs ápi $\theta \mu \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ оvто. There were two arrangements of the tribes at Athens; (I) the fixed arrangement, in which they stood in the following order: Erechtheid, Aegeid, Pandionid, Leontid, Acamantid, Oeneid, Cecropid, Hippothontid, Aeantid, Antiochid; (2) the order in which they were allotted for the year. As we are told (Plut. Quaest. Cons. i. 10. 3, Aristid. 5) that the Aeantid occupied the right, while the Leontid and Antiochid stood together in the centre ; and as the tribe of Miltiades, which was the Oeneid, was the tenth in order, the first arrangement cannot be meant here (see infr. p. 313).
9. The Great Panathenaea are probably meant, though we have

Miltiades delays the attack.

Marathon. bic. 490 ; OI. 72.3 .
$\tau \epsilon \tau \eta \rho i \sigma \iota \quad \gamma \iota \nu 0 \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \alpha s, \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \dot{\chi} \chi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ ò $\kappa \hat{\eta} \rho v \xi$ of 'A $A \eta \nu \alpha i ̂ o s ~ r o$
 $\tau \alpha \iota \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \iota$. $\tau о ́ \tau \epsilon$ ठ̀̀ $\tau \alpha \sigma \sigma o \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad$ ' $A \theta \eta \nu \alpha i ́ \omega \nu$ '̀ $\nu \tau \hat{\varphi}$





 $\beta \alpha \rho \beta \alpha ́ \rho o u s$. ग̉ $\sigma \alpha \nu$ ठ̀ $\sigma \tau \alpha ́ \delta \iota o \iota ~$ oủk є̀ $\lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma o \nu \epsilon s$ тò $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha i ́-$



already had a five-yearly festival mentioned as taking place at Sunium (supt. 87. 7).
II. tà áraod́. The article is idiomatic in this context; it defines either the blessings which the gods will bestow, or those which the suppliant desires.
12. $\tau a \sigma \sigma \rho^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \omega v$, к.т. $\lambda$. Herodotue seems to regard as the result of an accident, what was really due to the arrangement of the commanders, who, fearing to bc out-flanked, drew out as wide a line as possible, and made the wings stronger than the centre. Such a disposition of the forces could only have been ascidental if the numbers in the tribes in the centre had been less than those in the tribes in the wings. It is also possible that as they marched down the valley of Vrana, the wings held to the slopes of the hills, while the centre extended so as to cover the ground between them. But even
this would have been done under orders.
13. тò $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ́ \pi \epsilon \delta o v$. There is no verb, the nominative being divide into $\tau \grave{\partial} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \mu_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \sigma o \nu$ and $\tau o ̀ \delta \grave{\varepsilon}$ répas.
 five is the rule in Attic, yet we find the accusative in Thucydides (iv. 93) and Xenophon (Anal. iv. 8. II). Cf. supt. 12. 3.
112. 2. ảmeiӨך lav, ' were let go.'
$\delta \rho o ́ \mu \varphi$ ívvтo. Much is made of this statement, some tacticians declaring that it is an absolute impossibility for an army to run a mile and then deliver an effective attack. See especiallyDelbrïck, DiePerserkriege, 1887. But (1) we do not know exactly what is meant by $\delta \rho o ́ \mu \varphi$; (2) Greek soldiers though wearing heavy armour were lightly clad; (3) they were more accustomed to gymnastic exercises than modern soldiers.


$$
\text { Marathon. b.c. } 490 \text {; OL. } 72.3 .
$$






 $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \in M \eta \delta \iota \kappa \eta ̀ \nu$ ó $\rho \in ́ \sigma \nu \tau \epsilon s$ каì $\tau o u ̀ s ~ a ̈ \nu \delta \rho \alpha s{ }^{\mathrm{b}} \tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta \nu \quad \eta \sigma \theta \eta$ -











8. $\tau \circ \xi \in \nu \mu a ́ \tau \omega v=\tau o \xi o \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$. By 479 B. C. this defect was remedied; see ix. 22. Plutarch also informs us that archers were on board the Athenian ships at Salamis ; cf. supr. 15.5.
12. $\bar{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \tau a, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. The Persians had borrowed the Median dress ; cf. supp. v. 104, 12 and Plut. De Alex. M. Fortuna, i. 8 ov̉ $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ द̇ $\sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \tau a$

 $\lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \in \rho a \nu$ o $\hat{\hat{u} \sigma a \nu \text {. There is of course }}$ some exaggeration in the statement of Herodotus, for the Asiatic Greeks, and even the Greek colonists in Thrace, must have been familiar
with the Persians and their dress. But this was the first appearance of the dreaded enemy on the shores of Greece. The impression made by the invaders is seen in many passages of Greek literature, e.g. Xenophanes, Frag. 9 P $\pi \eta \lambda i к o s$ 昘 $\sigma \theta^{\prime}$ ö $\theta^{\prime}$ ó M $\hat{\delta} \delta o s$ áфiкєто; Theognis, 764, 775.
113. 4. Zákat. The Sacae were neighbours of the Bactrians, but of Scythian race ; cf. vii. 64, where also their armour is described.
8. бuvaүaүóvтєs, к.т.入. The precise movement is not clear. Herodotus appears to mean that the two wings faced about and took the Persians in flank.

Athens. B.c. 490 ; Ol. 72. 3 .










A shield displayed.








The Athenians return to Athens.



a roovúx $\varphi$ A BC.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ aitín $\mathrm{B}^{2} \mathrm{R}$ (sv).
c $\epsilon \nu$ om. PR (sv).

1о. The incidents of the battle were depicted in detail in the Stoa Poecile at Athens; sce Append. 3 . $12 b$.
114. 4. Cynegeirus was the brother of Aeschylus, the tragedian, who, according to tradition, himself fought in the battle of Marathon and caused the fact to be recorded on his tomb as the great distinction of his life. Another brother, Ameinias, distinguished himself at Salamis.
 ing off from shore to the open sea.'
6. airiñ $\neq \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma \in$, impersonal, 'the charge was madc.'
116. 3. каi $\left.\begin{array}{c}\epsilon \\ \theta \\ \eta\end{array}\right)$ distance from Marathon to Athens by road is about twenty-two miles; the distance by sea round Cape Sunium is from sixty to seventy miles. The royage, according to the calculation given in iv. $\delta 6$, would occupy a whole day and the march by land not much less. Later writers (Plutarch) assert that the Grceks rcached home on the cvening of the day of the battle, just in time to anticipate the arrival of the fleet.




 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ' $A \sigma^{\prime} \eta \nu$.



 ${ }_{5} \nu$ '́ $\sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \tau o \iota o ́ \nu \delta \epsilon, ~ ' A \theta \eta \nu \alpha i ̂ o \nu ~ a ̈ \nu \delta \rho \alpha ~ ' E \pi i ई ŋ \eta \lambda o \nu ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ K o v-~$ фаүópє由 'ُ $\nu$ т $\hat{\eta} \sigma v \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \iota ~ \mu \alpha \chi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o ́ \nu ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к \alpha i ~ \alpha ้ \nu \delta \rho \alpha ~ \gamma \iota \nu o ́-~$ $\mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu$ a’ $\gamma \alpha \theta o ̀ \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ó $\mu \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \quad \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$ oư $\tau \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \gamma^{\epsilon} \nu \tau \alpha$






 ${ }^{1} 5 \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \iota \nu$.





Herodotus does not state this precisely, if he means it. The army might have reached Athens in the night, the fleet appearing off the coast in the morning.
117. 3. Recent excavations have at last proved that the so-called Soros, in the Plain of Marathon, is
the grave of the Athenians who fell in the battle; see Joumal of Hellenic Studlies, xii. 390.
 jured by stroke or cast.'
118. 2. Myconus lay a little to the N.E. of Paros.

Cissia, b.c. 490 ; Ol. 72.3.





 тò $\delta^{\prime}$ Єै $\sigma \tau \iota ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i ̀ ~ \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \eta ~ X \alpha \lambda к i ́ \delta o s ~ к \alpha \tau \alpha \nu \tau i ́ o \nu . ~ \Delta \hat{\alpha} \tau \iota s$












 $\rho \alpha ́ к о \nu \tau \alpha$ ס̀̀ $\alpha \pi o ̀ ~ \tau o ̂ ̂ ~ ф \rho \epsilon ́ \alpha \tau о s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \tau \alpha l ~ \tau \rho \iota ф \alpha \sigma i ́ \alpha s ~$


$$
{ }^{a} \dot{\epsilon} k \text { tô̂ Struve, Van H. }
$$

10. For the temple of Delium see Thucydides, iv. 76.
 afterwards.'
11. 6. àmax日évтas. Cf. Soph. Phil. 1029 тi $\mu^{\prime} \dot{a} \pi \alpha \dot{\gamma} \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta$;
S. rฑ̂s Kı $\sigma \sigma i \eta s$ x $\omega$ р $\eta \mathrm{s}$. Cf. $\mathrm{v} \cdot 5^{2}$. 31; 49. 37.
1. $\sigma \tau a \theta \mu \hat{\varphi}=$ 'station,' in the sense in which we use the word in speak-
ing of a colony ; ‘settlement.' 'Ap $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}$ pıкка. We must distinguish this place from the Ardericca on the Euphrates which is mentioned in i. 185.13 .
2. ¿®́éas. Cf. supr. 100. 8. ápúgrovtai. The word is doubtful; see critical note. Stein would support it by such forms as áqú $\sigma \sigma \omega$, $\pi \iota v \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \omega$, ctc.

Athens. b.c. 490 ; Ol. 72.3.















 тò ${ }^{\epsilon} \rho \gamma о \nu \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \sigma \nu \tau о$ ỏ $\pi i ́ \sigma \omega$.
 $\kappa \mu \epsilon \omega \nu i ́ \delta \alpha s$ ä $\nu$ котє $\alpha \nu \alpha \delta ́ \epsilon \xi \alpha \iota ~ \Pi \epsilon ́ \rho \sigma \eta \sigma \iota ~ \epsilon ́ \kappa ~ \sigma v \nu \theta \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau о s$

[^22]14. ítoтúqas. Cf. ii. 136. 23 коעт $\widehat{\omega}$ ivmotúntovtes ès $\lambda i ́ \mu \nu \eta \nu$, iii.


I5. ávt六 $\epsilon$ t. 'The collective singular is especially common in descriptions of customs, officers, sacrifices, arts ; so i. 195.4 , 197. 2 f., 216. 7, ii. 38. 3, 47. 19, 65. 19, 70. 3, etc.' Stein.
16. The second receiver had three outlets by which the different elements in the oil were separated. 120. 2. ката入aßeiv, lit. 'to over-
take,' i. e. to arrive before the battle was fought.
4. $\tilde{\sigma} \sigma \tau \rho \mathrm{pot}$. According to Plato, Menex. 240, Laws 698, they arrived on the day after the battle, in which case the battle must have taken place on the second or third day after the full moon; see supr.c. 106 .
121. I. 'А $\lambda \kappa \mu \epsilon \omega v i \delta$ as. Cf. supr. in5. The same story is alluded to by Pindar, Pyth. vii. I8 (489 B.c.).
 Cf. infr. 124.5 .

## The

## Athens. b.c. 490; OI. 72. 3.

The Alc- $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi i ́ \delta \alpha$, $\beta o v \lambda o \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s ~ \dot{v} \pi o ̀ ~ \beta \alpha \rho \beta \alpha ́ \rho o \iota \sigma i ́ ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \epsilon i v a l ~ ' A \theta \eta \nu \alpha i ́-~$ matonidae and the signal shield.


















$$
{ }^{a} \text { Ka } a \lambda i \epsilon \omega .
$$

8. บinò $\tau 0$ v̂ $\delta \eta \mu \circ \sigma$ iov, i. e. by the public slave (auctioneer).
9. The genuineness of this chapter has been called in question and not without reason. As a whole it is unlike the style of Herodotus, and it contains expressions which he does not use elsewhere.
I. $\pi$ o $\lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} x^{\prime}=$ ' on many occasions,' but the word is unnecessary, with mávea rwá following, and Herodotus uses the form $\pi o \lambda \lambda a \chi \hat{\eta}$.
10. $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho \circ \lambda_{\epsilon} \lambda_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mu \hat{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{va}$, к.т. $\mathrm{\lambda}^{\text {. The }}$ grammatical construction of these words is vague, and $\pi \rho \circ \lambda \in \lambda \in \gamma$. means 'said before the event,' not 'already
said'; which is the sense here required.
11. $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} v$. This is more than Herodotus has said.
12. Múөla, к.т.入. These words are added in a loose illogical way, as though they were a part of what Callias had done at Olympia ! ध́фavєp $\dot{\theta} \theta \eta$ can only mean $\phi$ avєpòs
 is the word required.
13. $\delta \omega \rho \epsilon \eta v$ is either used metaphorically or in the sense of 'dowry'; in either case incorrectly, and not less incorrect is the use of the pronoun ékєívnor.

Athens．b．C．490；Ol．72．3．






 रрí $\omega \sigma \alpha \nu$ тoùs vimo入oímous $\Pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \iota \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \iota \delta \epsilon \epsilon \omega$＂$I \pi \pi \alpha \rho \chi$ о












 $\mathrm{b}_{\text {ă }}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{om}$ ．A B．

123．4．ぞєєчүov．See v．62．7． The Alemaeonidae were in banish－ ment during the whole time from the third tyranny of Peisistratus till the expulsion of his sons．

7．Cf．v． 55.5 ．
${ }^{13}$ ．тробпраívetv．Cf．v． 63.4 ．
124．2．According to Aelian，V．H． xiii．24，Cleisthenes was ostracised， and to Aristotle，Athen．Pol．22， Megacles，the son of Hippocrates， was ostracised in $4^{87}$ B．C．These instances indicate an alienation of
the Athenian people from the Alc－ maconidae，but on the other hand Xanthippus，who was connected with the family by his marriage with Agariste，was the leader of the popu－ lar party at Athens in 489 B．C．，and as such secured the condemnation of Miltiades．Yet he also was ostra－ cised in $4^{8} 3$ B．c．

4．oủ8̇̀ $\lambda o ́ \gamma o s$ aipítl．＇It is not even reasonable．＇

7．ös $=\stackrel{\circ}{\sigma} \sigma t \iota$ ，as often，cf．v．74．5， vi．37． 10.

Sardis.

 the Ale-
 story of Alcmaeon.








 $\gamma \alpha \nu$ каі̀ ко́ $\lambda \pi о \nu \beta \alpha \theta \grave{v} \nu$ кат $\alpha \lambda \iota \pi о ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о$ т то仑 кıӨิิขоs, ко-







 ${ }^{n} \tau \epsilon$ reddidit St. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ тov̂ $\chi \rho v \sigma \hat{v}$ secl. St.

125. 2. $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho o$ í, к.т.入. Cf.v. 62. ${ }^{15}$. Megaeles, the father of Alemaeon, was arehon at the time of the suppression of the Cylonian eonspiracy; see v. 71. 7 .
5. Ł̇v $\Delta \in \lambda \phi o i ̂ \sigma l$. The Alemaeonidae may have retired to Delphi when banished from Athens in 537 B. C., hut by this time Croesus had long ceased to be king of Lydia, and moreover Megraeles, the son of Alemaeon, was now leader of the
family and Alemaeon was probably dead. If we suppose that Herodotus is referring to an earlier period, when Alcmaeon was leader of the Athenians in the 'Saered War,' we shall have to substitute Alyattes for Croesus. Cf. Bk. v. Appendix 11 (p.162).
 instrument.
12. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma$ є́ $\phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon$, 'applied,' 'brought to bear on.'

## Sicyon.




















26. тєӨрьттотроф $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \sigma \mathrm{s}, \kappa, \tau . \lambda$. Асcording to Isocrates, $\pi \in \rho i \zeta \epsilon \cup \dot{\gamma} \gamma .{ }^{25}$, Alcmaeon was the first of the Athenians to win a victory with four horses at Olympia; cf. Pindar, Pyth. vii. 14.
126. I. $\gamma \in \downarrow \in \mathfrak{\eta}$ ठєบтє́คท. Here again the chronology is wrong, for Megacles cannot have married Agariste much (if any) later than 570 B . C., ten years before the accession of Croestus, who is supposed to have enriched Alcmacon.
5. тov̂ 'Avסp' $\omega$. This seems to be the same person as the Orthagoras
of Aristotle and other anthorities; cf. Appendix 5 .
7. $\pi \rho o \sigma \theta$ eival, 'to assign to,' so
 of the wedding of Agariste is in many points unhistorical, but there is of course no reason to doubt that the tyranny at Sicyon ended with Cleisthenes, whose daughter if unable to inherit his throne could inherit his wealth. There may have been some poetical version in existence of the marriage of the great heiress. The date may be $572 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{C}$.

The wedding of Agariste.

## Sicyon.


 of Agariste.











${ }^{a}$ mais om. R (sv), Van II.
 128. 3.
 very purpose,' i. e. the entertainment of his guests.
127. On this ehapter see Zuihlke, De Agaristes nuptis, Zusterburgi, 1880.

1. 'Itadiŋs. Italy is the southwestern part of the peninsula, south of a line drawn from Tarentum to the west; cf. iii. i36 where Tarentum is said to be in Italy, and i. 167 . I 5 where Elea is in Oenotria. For Smindyrides see Athenaeus, who tells us, p. 273 , that he had not seen the sun rise or set for twenty years, and p. 541, that 1000 cooks attended him to Sicyon. In Diodorns, viii. 19, he is said to have surpassed in splendour, not only all his rivals, but even Cleisthenes himself.
2. єis àvinp. These words merely intensify the superlative ; ef. Aeseh.
$\pi а р \alpha \sigma \chi \dot{\omega} \nu$.
3. इıpirqs. For Siris see Hist. of Grecee, ii. 5 OI.
4. Thueydides, i. 24, also plaees Epidamnus in the Ionian Gulf, by whieh he, like Herodotus, seems to mean the Adriatie ; cf. Smith, Dict. Geog. Ionium Mare. Epidamnus was founded bythe Coreyraeans in 627 в.c.
5. Tirópuov. In Aelian, V. II. 12. 22, Titormus is assoeiated with Milo, the athlete of Croton, whom he is said to have surpassed in strength. As Milo lived about 520 B. C., Titormus and his brother Males must have belonged to a generation later than that of the daughter of Cleisthenes.
6. For Pheidon see Appendix 6. A Lacedes is mentioned by Pausanias, ii. 19. 2, among the kings of Argos, as the twelfth in descent from Temenus (i.e. circa 703 B. c.).

## Sicyon.



















[^23]




 $\nu \epsilon \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \iota$, каi $\tau o ́ \quad \gamma \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \iota \sigma \tau o \nu$, '่ $\nu \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma v \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \circ \hat{\imath} a ~ \delta \iota \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota-$

[^24]16. Trapezuswas in S.W. Arcadia; Paeus in the N.W.
23. Hippocleides derived his descent from Ajax on the one hand, and Caeneus, the Lapith, on the other. Thus he was remotely connected with the Cypselidae of Corinth, and perhaps the connection
had been renewed at a later time, for he would seem to be the brother of the Cypselus mentioned, supr. 34 . 4. See Append. 4.
128. 5. Tทุs ó $\rho \gamma \hat{\mathrm{y}}$, ' their temper.'
8. '̇v $\tau \hat{n}$ guvєのтồ. This word is formed like $\hat{\eta} \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \sigma \tau \dot{\omega}$ i. $8 \mathbf{j}$. 3. Stein suggests $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\eta} \sigma v \nu \iota \sigma \tau i ́ \eta \sigma \iota ~ \grave{~} \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\tau} \tau о$.

They are entertained for a year by Cleisthenes.

Sicyon.


 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \iota \gamma \mu \epsilon \in \nu 0 \iota$, каi тои́т $\omega \nu \quad \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda о \nu$ ' $I \pi \pi о \kappa \lambda \epsilon i ́ \delta \eta s ~ o ̀ ~ T \iota \sigma \alpha ́ \nu-~$


 decision.

Hippocleides; his dances








 $\pi \rho \eta \hat{\gamma} \mu \alpha$ ن́ $\pi \omega ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \nu \epsilon$. $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ ठє̀ $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \chi \grave{\omega} \nu$ on 'I $I \pi \pi о \kappa \lambda \epsilon i ́ \delta \eta S$



9. $\pi$ adv $\tau a$, sc. $\tau a \hat{v} \tau \alpha$.
ir. ク̀pé́oкovтo. The pronoun oi, which the sense requires, is no doubt omitted for the sake of euphony.
13. ékpiveto, 'was selected'; cf. v. 5.6 .
129. 2. $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} \tau \epsilon \ldots$. . $\mathfrak{a} \mu \mathrm{ov}$. These words are explained by commentstors as 'the marriage festival'; cf.
 $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu a$, ix. 16 .

$\therefore$. є́s to $\mu$ érov, i. e. stories and anecdotes told for the amusement of all. Cf. info. 130.2 , where 'ss $\mu$ '́धov
means 'for all to hear,' and supp. 69.3 , vii. 8 . 7.
8. кaт'́X $\omega v$, 'holding' as with a charm ; cf. Odyssey, xiii. $2 \kappa \eta \lambda \eta \theta \mu \hat{\psi}$ $\delta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \sigma \chi o \nu \tau o$. Others, however, translate 'surpassing.'
9. $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon$ 'i $\eta v$. The Emmeleia was strictly a tragic dance, but here the word means no more than a dance-tune.

If. $\sigma$ Xt $\mu$ átıa. Cf. Aristoph. Pax, $322 \mu \eta \delta \alpha \mu \hat{\omega} s \pi \rho o ̀ s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \Pi \rho a ̂ \gamma \mu \alpha$ $\kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \iota \sigma \tau o \nu \delta \iota a \phi \theta \epsilon i \rho \eta \tau \epsilon \delta \iota \grave{~} \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \eta \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau a$. $a ̈ \lambda \lambda a$, in the idiomatic sense ('others that were Attic ').

## Sicyon.






 $\tau \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \iota \nu \delta \cup \nu \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s \in \hat{i} \pi \epsilon$ " $\hat{\omega} \pi \alpha \hat{\imath} T \iota \sigma \alpha ́ \nu \delta \rho o v, \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \omega \rho \chi \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \alpha o ́$


"Hippocleides doesn't care."










 є́ $\gamma \gamma v \hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota M_{\epsilon \gamma \alpha к \lambda \epsilon ́ о s ~ є ́ к є к и ́ \rho \omega т о ~ o ́ ~ \gamma ́ ́ \mu о s ~} K \lambda \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon ́ \nu \epsilon і ̈ . ~$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { a }{ }^{a} \nu \text { om. A BC, Cob. }
\end{aligned}
$$

 with horror the thought that he would be the husband of his daughter.'
 dance well, but nevertheless ( $\gamma \epsilon \mu^{\prime} \boldsymbol{v}$ ) your dancing has lost you your marriage.
130. I. óvoцá̧́єтal, 'is proverbial.’
9. $\tau \hat{\jmath} \mathrm{s}$ ảgtwotos. Cf. supr. 126. 9. 10. ${ }^{〔} \xi \xi \in \notin \in \hat{v}$, i. e. 'into my family.'
12. vó $\mu$ orcı, к.т. $\lambda$. These words imply that marriage with an alien was at that time permitted by Athenian law.
131. 2. '‘ُ $\beta \dot{\omega} \sigma \theta \eta \sigma a v$, ' became famous'; cf. viii. 124.

Megacles of Athens is chosen.

The descendants of Megacles.
 $\phi \cup \lambda \alpha ̀ s ~ к \alpha i ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \delta \eta \mu о к р а т i ́ \eta \nu ~ ' A \theta \eta \nu \alpha i ́ о \iota \sigma \iota ~ к а т \alpha \sigma \tau \eta ́ \sigma \alpha s, ~$







 Miltiades : bis expedition to Paros.










[^25]132. 1. тò тр $\omega \mu \mathrm{a}$, ' the disaster,' i. e. of the Persians.
 S9. II.
 of the intention. Compare the use of the infinitive in supr. 99. 6.
7. Paros appears to have been a very wealthy island, and at one time it was certainly exceedingly populous. The fact that the Parians were chosen to settle the affairs of Miletus (supr. v. 29) is sufficient proof of the prosperity and good government of the
island. Nevertheless it became in some way subject to Naxos (v. 3 r ). It was more accessible than Naxos, for the island consists of a single mountain, surrounded by a maritime plain; and in this fact may lie the explanation of both its prosperity and its subjugation. See Bent, Cyclades, p. $37^{2}$.
133. For other accounts of this expedition see Ephorus, Frogs. ro7 M, and Nepos, Milt. 7, quoted in Appendix 7 .

Athens. b.c. $489($ ? $)$; Ol. 72.4.


















3. $\mathfrak{\text { un }} \boldsymbol{\eta} \rho \xi$ av. Cf. vii. 8 ย̇ $\pi \hat{\eta} \rho \xi a \nu$ ä $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha$ $\pi о \iota \epsilon \hat{v} \nu \tau \epsilon s$, ix. 78. There is no

5. ${ }^{\epsilon}$ 〒котоv. ${ }^{\epsilon}$ ' $\gamma к о т о \nu$ is often a substantive in Herodotus, supr. 73.4.
7. 'Xסápvea. This Hydarnes is no doubt the same person who is mentioned in vii. 135 as general of the forces on the sea coast, and probably the same man as the leader of the Immortals in the great invasion. He may have succeeded Otanes as general after the capture of Clazo-
menae and Cyme (cf. v. 123), and as such have been brought into connection with Miltiades. $\epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi^{\prime} \eta_{\eta} v \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \pi \lambda_{\epsilon \epsilon,}$

 and Kallenberg, Comm. Crit. p. 6.

14, 15. кaì ти̂ $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a, ~ к . \tau . \lambda ., ~$ 'and above all, wherever there was on each occasion (i.e. after each attack) a breach or weak place in the wall.' '̇mífaxov is used like
 $\tau \in i x \in o s$, the genitive depends on $\tau \hat{n}$.

134
Failure of the attempt, and disaster of Miltiades.

<br><br>${ }^{\text {d }} \mu \nu \nu$ oủ A B C : $\mu \dot{\eta}$ oi P R (sv), e ảp $\quad$ vpiov oủ $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \mathrm{P} \mathrm{R} \mathrm{(sv)}$.<br>



```
                            e ả\rho\gammavpiov oư\deltaév P R (sv).
```

Athens．b．c． 489 ；Ol．72． 4.




His visit to the temple of Demeter．







 тòv $\mu \eta \rho o ̀ v ~ \sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha l$ oi dè aù $\tau o ̀ \nu ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \gamma o ́ v v ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \pi \tau \alpha i ̂-~$

 роу $\pi \rho о \sigma к \tau \eta \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о s, ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ то入ьорки́баs $\tau \epsilon$ êछ кай


 $\theta \epsilon o \pi \rho o ́ \pi o u s \quad \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \pi o v \sigma \iota$ és $\Delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o u ́ s$ ，äs $\sigma \phi \in \alpha s$ خ̀ $\sigma v \chi$ ín




134．9．$\delta$ เєpxó $\boldsymbol{\mu \varepsilon v o v . ~ T h e ~ w o r d ~ i s ~}$ doubtful，for Herodotus does not use the present participle of ${ }^{\epsilon} p \chi o \mu a \iota$ ． Krüger reads ám九九ó $\mu \in \nu=\nu$ ，and so Van H．，after P R（sv）．

15．тло́катє，＇forthwith＇；cf．i．III． 26 ；viii． 65.9 ； 135.10 ．The word is formed from $\pi \rho^{\prime}$（cf．aủtika），and $\tau \epsilon$ is added to the word thus formed．
 The construction is remarkable；per－
 Oopeiv or of катаßaiveıv．For com－ pounds with kavá in Herodotus see Kallenberg，l．c．p． 22 ；supr．5．2， 16． $11,97.7$.

135．8．єi катахрク̆бшvтal，cf． supr．If． 10.

 $\tau \omega \nu, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \delta \epsilon i \nu \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho M i \lambda \tau \iota \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon \alpha \quad \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \hat{\alpha} \nu \quad \mu \grave{\eta} \epsilon \hat{\nu}, \phi \alpha \nu \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha i ́$








 $\mu \epsilon \nu 0 s$ тov̀s $\Pi \epsilon \lambda \alpha \sigma \gamma o u ̀ s ~ \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \delta \omega к \epsilon$ ' $A \theta \eta \nu \alpha i ́ o \iota \sigma \iota . \quad \pi \rho о \sigma \gamma \epsilon \nu 0-$
 $\tau o v, \zeta \eta \mu t \omega \sigma \alpha \nu \tau o s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ кат̀̀ $\tau \eta ̀ \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \iota \kappa i ́ \eta \nu \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta ́ \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha ́ \nu-$ $\tau \circ \iota \sigma \iota, M \iota \lambda \tau \iota \alpha ́ \delta \eta S$ $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$ $\sigma \phi \alpha \kappa є \lambda i \sigma \alpha \nu \tau o ́ s \tau \epsilon \tau 0 \hat{v}$ ${ }_{15} \mu \eta \rho \circ \hat{v}$ каi $\sigma \alpha \pi \epsilon ́ \tau \tau о s ~ \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\alpha}, \tau \grave{\alpha}$ ठє̀ $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta ́ \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$

a єīXov? Krüg.
${ }^{\text {b }} \boldsymbol{\tau} \in \Lambda \hat{\eta} \mu \nu \geqslant \nu$ maluit St.
 according to Pausanias, had persuaded the Athenians to throw the envoys sent by Darius to Athens into the Barathron, and for this reason the wrath of Talthybius descended upon him. Cf. Paus. iii. 12. 7 .

фavฑ̂var, 'appeared,' 'was divinely sent.'
136. 4. Өavátov, i. e. on a charge involving the penalty of death.
íтаүаүஸ́v. Cf. supr. 72. 7, 82.
10. Tทे่ aïpeatv. For the acc. cf. viii. 66. 16. The preceding gen.
( $\mu \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \not \eta s$ ) is due to the insertion of $\pi o \lambda \lambda a ́$, cf. ii. 3 т $\delta^{\prime} \hat{a}^{\nu} \quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \omega$ aủt $\omega$ ข.
12. The guilt of a criminal and the amount of the penalty were treated as separate questions in Athenian law, and decided by separate votes. Miltiades was found guilty of deceiving the people, but the penalty of death proposed by Xanthippus was reduced to a fine of fifty talents ( $f 10,000$ ), which was perhaps proposed by the friends of Miltiades.

кará $=$ ' in respect of.'

## Athens.

137
'The l'elasgians at Athens.

















${ }^{\text {a }}$ Пє $\lambda a \sigma$ रoí $\sigma \iota$ add. St.<br>${ }^{\text {b }} \boldsymbol{\tau} \in$. . . $\pi a i ̂ \delta a s ~ d e l . ~ S c h a ̈ f . ~$

137. 4. 'Екатаі̂оs. Cf. v. 36. 7.
1. є́фףбє . . . 入є́ $\gamma \omega v$ ả $\delta i ́ \kappa \omega s . ~ C f . ~$ supr. 53. Io. Hecataeus used the word $\dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa \kappa \omega s$ in his work.

 etc.
2. IIє $\lambda a \sigma \gamma 0$ iot. The name is omitted in the MSS., but it is required by the sense. These Pelasgi came from Boeotia according to the legend (Strabo, p. 40I), and must be distinguished from the Pelasgi, who, according to Herodotus, were the original population of Attica. Cf. v. 69. 2 and note.
3. тoû teíxeos. Cf. v. 64 ult. note.

Io. Eival. The mood of the relative clause is attracted into the infinitive, as often. Cf. v. 9. 5 ; supr. 52. 6. So $i \delta \epsilon i v$ just above.
16. 'Evvєáкрочvov. Cf. Thucydides, ii. І 5 каі $\tau \hat{\eta} \kappa \rho \eta \nu \eta$ т $\hat{\eta} \nu \nu \hat{\nu} \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ $\tau \omega ิ \nu$ ти́ра $\nu \nu \omega \nu$ ойт $\omega \kappa \epsilon v a \sigma a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ' $\mathrm{E} \nu$ -
 фаขєр $\hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \eta \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu$ ov̉ $\sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{K} a \lambda \lambda \iota \rho \rho o ́ \eta$
 $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau$ ảmò тô̂ ápХaíov трó тє үацuŝ̂v каi
 $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a i$. From the present passage it is clear that the Enneacrunus lay between the city and Hymettus.
17. тоиิтov тòv Xpóvov, к.т. $\lambda$. As the Pelasgians were driven into

Athens.
 тoùs $\Pi \epsilon \lambda \alpha \sigma \gamma o u ̀ s ~ v ́ \pi o ̀ ~ v ̌ \beta \rho l o ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к \alpha i ~ o ̉ \lambda ı \gamma \omega \rho i ́ \eta s ~ \beta ı \hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta \alpha i ́$







 रoval. oi ठ̀̀ $\Pi \epsilon \lambda \alpha \sigma \gamma o i ̀$ ô̂тol $\Lambda \hat{\eta} \mu \nu 0 \nu$ тóтє $\nu \epsilon \mu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota$ $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \beta o u \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ ' A \theta \eta \nu \alpha i ́ o u s ~ \tau \iota \mu \omega \rho \eta ́ \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota, ~ \epsilon \hat{u} \quad \tau \epsilon$ ' $\xi \in \pi \tau \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota \tau \grave{\alpha} s$ ' $A \theta \eta \nu \alpha i ́ \omega \nu$ ó $\rho \tau \alpha ́ s, \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \kappa о \nu \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o u s ~ к \tau \eta$ -
 $5 \tau \grave{\alpha} S \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ' $A \theta \eta \nu \alpha i ́ \omega \nu \quad \gamma v \nu \alpha i ̂ k \alpha s, ~ \in ̇ \nu \theta \epsilon \hat{v} \tau \epsilon \nu$ ס̀̀ $\dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { b aủtoîoı Libb., corr. St. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Attica by the emigration of the Boeotians into Boeotia, we may fix the date at two generations after the Trojan war. What ground Herodotus had for saying that the Greeks at this time had no slaves is unknown. In the Homeric poems we find the Greeks in possession of slaves both by purchase and conquest. On the other hand, in parts of Greece where primitive conditions prevailed, as in Phocis, we are told that there were no slaves; and similar conditions were perhaps thought to have prevailed throughout the whole of Greece in very early times.
 ortas, but see critical note.

фavīval, 'were detected.'
 We hear of Pelasgi in Samothrace (ii. 5 I), in Lemnos and Imbros (v. 26), in Placia and Scylace (i. 57). For Lemnos, cf. Pauli, Eine Vorgriechische Inschrift. auf Lemnos, an inscription which is by some regarded as Tyrrhenian (Etruscan).
138. 4. Bpaupêvt. Brauron lay on or near the eastern coast of Attica, between Steiria and Halae Araphenides. Orestes and Iphigenia are supposed to have landed there, and to have brought with them the statue of Artemis from Taurus. See Smith, Dict. Geog. Attica, p. 330.
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They are driven ont to Lemnos.

Lemnos.






Their cruclty and its punishment.















13. кaì ठท̀ кaì ăpXєเv. Compare what is said of the boyhood of Cyrus, i. IIf. This story (like many others) was invented to justify the Athenians in their appropriation of territory.
16. $\delta \in \iota v o ́ v ~ \tau \iota=\delta$ éos. $\quad \sigma \phi \iota$. So $\hat{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ is used with the dative in iii. $I_{5}$. 42. $\epsilon \boldsymbol{i} \delta \dot{\eta}, \kappa, \tau . \lambda$. 'If these boys resolved to assist each other against the children of the wedded wives, and attcmpted forthwith to be masters of them.' The optative is of course due to oratio obliqua.
23. Góavtı. According to the legend, the Lemnian women put to death all the men in the island, Hypsipyle alone saving her father Thoas; sce Apollod. i. 9. 17. Herodotus would seem to follow another version, in which Thoas was slain with the rest. Cf. Aesch.
Choeph. 63 I



тò $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu o ̀ \nu a \hat{v} \Lambda \eta \mu \nu i ́ o \iota \sigma \iota ~ \pi \eta ́ \mu a \sigma \iota y$.

## Athens.










 тoùs $\Pi \epsilon \lambda \alpha \sigma$ оò̀s $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ Х $\omega \rho \eta \nu$ $\sigma \phi i ́ \sigma \iota ~ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \iota \delta o ́ v \alpha \iota ~ o v ̃ \tau \omega ~$











Lemuos acquired by the Athenian:


[^26]139. 3. See supr. v. 82. 2.
11. ©́s cixov, 'as they were able.'
 єīरण.
14. ப́mo入a $\beta^{\prime}$ óvtes, 'retorting'; stronger than $\dot{i} \pi о \kappa р ı \nu^{\prime} \mu \in \nu o$.
16. є́mเoтá $\mu \in$ vol. Cf. v. 74. 3 .
140. The date of the incident would probably be, according to Greek reckoning, some 500 years
before the Ionian revolt. At what time Lemnos was conquered by Miltiades is doubtful ; apparently we should fix the capture in the early years of the Yonian revolt ; but ef. supr. 41 and v. 26. 27.
 prevalence of the Etesian winds,' which blow from the N. E. throughout July, August and September.

Lemnos. b.c. 496 (?) ; Ol. 7r. r.



 Mı $\lambda \tau \iota \alpha ́ \delta \eta s$.
8. 'Hфalottits. There were two north, and Myrina in the west of cities in Lemnos, Hephaestia in the the island.

## A P P ENDIX

## EXCURSUS I.

VI. 57.

## HERODOTUS AND THUCYDIDES.

Among the legends of Greek authors, there has come down to us one which directly connects Thucydides with Herodotus. We are told that Olorus, the father of Thucydides, carried his son while yet a child to Olympia to hear Herodotus repeat a portion of his history, and so deep was the impression made by the recital, that the boy burst into tears. In such an incident, there is nothing highly improbable, for it was the manner of those times for an author to recite his works in public, and Herodotus may have paid a visit to Greece, while Thucydides was yet in his boyhood. But though not improbable, the story is too dramatic to be accepted without better evidence than we can produce in its favour, and if we wish to answer the interesting question, whether the work of Herodotus was known to Thucydides, our answer can only be obtained from a comparison of the writings of the two historians.

In the introduction to his work, Thucydides more than once expresses himself in a manner which would lead us to suppose that he had carefully studied the written literature of his country. Not only does he quote Homer and criticise what he quotes, but he points out in general terms the untrustworthy nature of the evidence to be derived from poets. He calls attention also to the carelessness and inaccuracy of the current accounts of past Athenian history. He intimates that the writers who had hitherto
obtained reputation as historians, had written not so much with a desire to instruct as to astonish and amuse their audience ; above all, in one remarkable passage, he speaks of the writers who preceded him as bestowing attention either on the Persian Wars or the period antecedent to them; Hellanicus alone having sketched the growth of the Athenian empire after the battle of Salamis. A passage as definite as this would certainly lead us to believe that Thucydides had not omitted to study the work of one whom he could hardly fail to recognize as the greatest of the historians of the Persian Invasion. It is true that he does not mention Herodotus by name, but this is a matter of no moment, for whether borrowing from them or criticising their statements, the prose writers of the fifth century b.c. rarely mention their predecessors by name.

On general grounds, therefore, it is probable enough that Thucydides was acquainted with the work of Herodotus, and the probability becomes stronger still, when we turn to evidence of a more minute character. In a number of instances we find that the statements of Herodotus are either directly or implicitly contravened by the statements of Thucydides: such instances are the following.
(I) In vi. ${ }_{57}$ Herodotus informs us that when the kings of Sparta were absent from the Gerousia, their votes were given for them by the next of kin. His language is not very clear, and though we are not absolutely compelled to believe that each kinsman gave two votes for the absent king, he speaks of each kinsman as giving his own vote as a third. See note ad loc. On the other hand Thucydides, i. 20, mentions, as one of the misconceptions current in his time on contemporary history, the prevailing idea that the Spartan kings had two rotes each, whereas as a fact, they had but one.
(2) In ix. 53 Herodotus speaks of Amompharetus as being in charge of the lochus of the Pitanensians in the Spartan army at Plataea, but Thucydides l.c. denies emphatically the existence of such a lochus. [Pitana, of course, was one of the villages which composed the township of Sparta, and if as we know the inhabitants of Sciris always formed a separate company in the

Spartan army, there is no reason why the inhabitants of Pitana should not have been formed into a separate troop, at any rate on the occasion of the battle of Plataea, even if there were no standing division of the Spartan army known as the Pitanensian lochus.]
(3) In v. 3 Herodotus speaks of the Thracians as the greatest nation in the world next to the Indians; on the other hand, Thucydides, ii. 97, gives the first place to the Scythians both in Europe and Asia, placing the Thracians far behind them, but expressing no opinion as to the relation of the Scythians and the Indians.
(4) In vi. 98 Herodotus informs us that after the departure of Datis from Delos ( 490 в.c.) the island was shaken by an earthquake, which was the first and only earthquake that had occurred there down to his time. On the other hand Thucydides, ii. 8, tells us that a little before the outbreak of the Peloponnesian war in 43 I в. с. an earthquake occurred in Delos, the first that had been known in the island within the memory of the Greeks.
(5) In v. $3^{2}$ Herodotus states as a current report, of the truth of which he has however some doubt, that Pausanias the son of Cleombrotus proposed to marry the daughter of Megabates the Persian, who was satrap of Phrygia at the time, with a view to making himself tyrant of Greece : on the other hand Thucydides i. 128 quotes verbatim the letter from Pausanias to Xerxes, in which, while offering to bring Greece into subjection to Persia, he proposes himself as a suitor to the daughter of the Great King,-and it may be added that this contradiction in the facts is but a small part of the wide difference between the views which the two historians take of the character of Pausanias.
(6) The statements which Thucydides makes about the tyrants of Sicily seem intended to correct the exaggerated ideas which historians had formed of their power and aclievements. For while Herodotus places the 'Tyrants of Syracuse' at the head of all the potentates of Greece, and describes in glowing terms the resources of Gelo, and the victory which he won at Himera in the cause of Hellas and freedom, Thucydides
though allowing that the tyrants of Sicily attained a higher degree of power than any others, speaks of them as men occupied with personal interests only, who achieved nothing of importance, unless perhaps in some war with their neighbours.
(7) When Herodotus and Thucydides are narrating the same events, the two accounts do not entirely agree. From Herod. ix. in4 we learn that the Peloponnesians under Leotychidas accompanied the Athenian fleet as far as Lectum, in the Troad; from Thuc. i. 89 we should infer that they went away immediately after the battle of Mycale. Herodotus again speaks of the formal reception of the islanders into the alliance after Mycale, but Thucydides calls the Ionians and Hellespontians 'allies' of the Athenians. Once more, Herodotus, ix. I3, asserts that Mardonius burnt Athens and levelled with the ground any wall, house, or temple, that was left standing (by Xerxes); but Thucydides, i. 89, tells us that a few houses, in which the principal Persians lived, were allowed to remain, and there were also some fragments of the city wall.

These instances do not of course supply us with anything which amounts to a proof that Thucydides had read the work of Herodotus, but of some of them it may be said that they touch on matters which Thucydides was not compelled to mention; and therefore the fact that he does mention these matters implies that they had for some reason a peculiar interest for him. The theory that he was correcting the mistakes of his great predecessor provides at least an explanation of this peculiar interest.

## EXCURSUS II.

VI. $53,54,55$.

## ON THE CONNECTION OF GREECE AND EGYPT IN EARLY TIMES.

When Herodotus tells us that the ancient kings of Argos down to Acrisius were true-born Egyptians, he is no doubt thinking of their legendary descent from Danaus and Aegyptus. This legend, though nothing is said of it in Homer, appears to be as old as Hesiod. Like that of Cadmus in Boeotia, it is obviously mythical in many details; but recent discoveries in the literature and antiquities of Egypt, taken in connection with the results of excavation in Greece, make it clear that in much that is false there is still an element of truth, and that Greece and Egypt were closely connected in very early times.

The most certain indications of this connection are found at Mycenae and at Gurob in Egypt. In his recent essay, Journal of Hellenic Studies, xii. p. 199 ff., Mr. Petrie has done good service by comparing the objects discovered in the Mycenaean tombs with similar objects discovered in Egypt, and known to belong to a certain period of Egyptian history. His view is that the civilisation of Mycenae is an independent development of the knowledge derived from Egypt.

He ends thus:-'Was the Mycenaean culture an isolated culture? Or was it part of a wide-spread intercourse? Certainly to Egypt a great deal must be attributed, if not indeed all the elements of importance. The main feature of decoration is the spiral pattern, often elaborately evolved. And the very elaborations that we find are exact copies of Egyptian decorations. For instances see the painting on the ceilings of tombs
at Thebes (copied by Prisse, republished in Perrot's Egypt, fig. 541). Here is the crossing twist (No. 3), the interlinking spiral (No. 5), and the flamboyant spiral ( 7 and 8), giving the peculiar curves found at Tiryns (Tiryns, Pls. vi and xii). On the Egyptian ceilings are also the rosettes and the keyfret which are so frequent in Greece; and the palmetto is almost identical with a wooden panel bearing a derived lotus pattern of about I 300 в.c., which I found at Gurob. The work of the inlaid daggers has long been recognised as inspired from Egypt ; but we must note that it is native work, and not merely an imported article. The attitudes of the figures and of the lions, and the form of the cat, are such as no Egyptian would ever have executed ${ }^{1}$. To make such things in Greece implies a far higher culture, and a more intimate intercourse with Egypt, than merely to import them. The same remark applies to the glazed pottery. Much of it might have been made in Egypt, but the style of some is not Egyptian ; and especially a tall vase with spiral patterns in slanting bands is clearly a product of the same class as the Mycenaean architectural ornament. Here then the Mycenaeans were capable of elaborate technical work, and imitated rather than imported from Egypt. Another analogy with Egyptian work is seen in the grandly embroidered square sails painted on the frescoes at Mycenae (Ephemeris, ${ }_{1877}$, Pl. xii). The horizontal bands of embroidery, the square form and suspension from the mast, are all like Egyptian sails of the Ramesside age ; but yet these sails are not from Egypt, as the decoration is distinctly Mycenaean, and without any Egyptian influence.'

To a certain degree evidence like this is to be relied upon, but we must not allow it to carry us too far. It is beyond reasonable doubt that wares ornamented in the Egyptian manner are found in Greece. It is equally clear that wares resembling those found at Mycenae are found in Egypt, and to this extent

[^27]a close connection is proved to have existed between Greece and Egypt in early times. But this is all that is clear. We are, as yet, quite ignorant of the ethnology of these early Mycenaeans; we may call them Danai or Achaeans, but these names really mean nothing. The Danai do not exist outside the epic poems ; and among the historical Achaeans (in Achaea Phthiotis and in northern Peloponnesus) no relics have have been found of the so-called Achaean civilisation. The princes of Mycenae may have dwelt in Mycenae without being Greeks; they may have been some oriental invaders holding the same position towards the inhabitants of the Peloponnese, which the Romans held in Britain, and like them leaving traces of their sojourn though they were entirely driven out of the country. The assertion of Herodotus that the early kings of Argos were Egyptians cannot of course be proved to be true, but the evidence in support of it is growing. And these kings may have been displaced by another alien (Aegean) race from Asia Minor, the Pelopids of legend ${ }^{1}$.

More precarious is the evidence derived from Egyptian monuments, for this rests entirely on the identification of names which occur in Egyptian inscriptions with the names of certain nations in the West; and even among professed Egyptologists there seems to be considerable doubt as to the reading and interpretation and identification of these names.
(a) Among the tribes which bring tribute to Tuthmosis III

[^28]are the Tanai, which have often been identified with the Homeric Danai. This identification is assumed to be correct by Dr. Birch, but on the other hand Wiedemann is by no means inclined to accept it; and as we find the Tanai bringing as offerings to Tuthmosis a silver jug of Cyprian fabric, with vases of ironstone and four silver hands, there is much to be said for Wiedemann's doubt ${ }^{1}$.
(b) In another inscription of Tuthmosis III we have mentioned among the nations conquered or supposed to be conquered by Tuthmosis 'those who belonged to the isles in the midst of the Great Sea' and the 'isles of the Tena.' Dr. Birch gives as various readings of the word Tena, Uten Danai, or Dauni ; Wiedemann has Tenau; Meyer, however, has Utentin; the last-named authority definitely abandons the reading 'islands of the Tenau,' and the identification of the Tenau and Danai ${ }^{2}$.
(c) Under Merenpthah, the successor of Ramses II, there was a great invasion of Egypt by a number of tribes, partly from Libya, partly from other regions. The names of the tribes recorded are these : - Lebu, Kehak, Mashuash, Akauasha, Tulsha or Turisha, Leku, Sharten or Shardana, and Shekelsha. Of these names E. de Rougé identified the Akauasha (Akaiwasha) with Achaeans; the Shardana with Sardinians; the Turisha with Etruscans (Tyrrhenians); the Shekelsha with Siculi; the Leku with Lycians. And this identification has been adopted by later writers, such as Birch and Petrie, and in part by Meyer ${ }^{3}$.

On the other hand, Wiedemann strongly contests the identification proposed by de Rougé. He points out that the Lebu are undoubtedly the Libyans, and that the Mashuasha are the Maxyes of Herodotus. The Shardana, so far from being Sardinians, must have lived near Egypt, as is

[^29]shown by the fact that Ramses II took 1900 of them captive, which Wiedemann considers to be a number impossible of a mere band of invaders coming over the sea. Moreover, the name Shardana appears elsewhere in connection with Mashuasha, which would seem to imply a local contiguity. Nor will Wiedemann allow the Akauasha to be Achaeans; the Egyptians, he says, were precise in transcribing names, and as they have a $\chi$, they would not put $\kappa$ in the place of $\chi$; nor would the Greek $s$ be rendered by sh. We may also add that the tribes joining in the expedition would not be spoken of in the singular but in the plural, so that $s$ would not appear in the Greek form of the name. Nor again can we suppose that the whole of the western Mediterranean was so highly organised that Lycians and Sardinians, Siculi and Etruscans, would join in an invasion of Egypt. Lastly, we are told that ' in armour, clothing, and mode of fighting, as well as in the various names of the leaders which have been preserved, these nations correspond completely to the Libyan opponents of the Egyptians, the so-called Teḥennu and Tameḥu ${ }^{\text { }}$.
(d) Another great invasion took place under Ramses III; an invasion which was finally defeated in a battle by sea. Among the nations concerned in this are mentioned 'the Danauna from their islands' (Meyer), or 'Tanaiu' (Wiedemann ${ }^{2}$ ). Here again the reading slightly differs, and we can hardly venture to identify this island population with the Danai of Argos, though it is only just to add that in Greek legend Danaus, or his daughters, founded the temple of Athena Lindia in Crete ${ }^{3}$.

At present, therefore, we are not in a position to maintain that the Greeks took part in these great invasions of Egypt, or that any names occur in Egyptian inscriptions which can with certainty be ascribed to the inhabitants of the Peloponnese ${ }^{4}$.
${ }^{1}$ Wiedemann, l.c. p. 476.
${ }^{2}$ Wiedemann, l.c. p. 499; Meyer, l.c. p. $3^{12 .}$
${ }^{3}$ Diod. v. 58 ; Herod. ii. 182.

* Mr. Petrie takes a different view in his essay quoted above, but see Steindorff, l.c.


## EXCURSUS III.

## VI. 109.

## A. ON THE BATTLE OF MARATHON.

Essays innumerable have been written on the battle of Marathon, partly with an endeavour to clear up the difficulties in the story of Herodotus, and partly in the hope of giving a more detailed account of the battle, with the help of later authorities. But the result has been very small. On the one hand, the difficulties cannot be removed, without altering or rejecting the text of Herodotus, who is our oldest authority; on the other hand, conjectures of what may have happened are not history; nor can we really help ourselves by piecing together a description of the battle from the contradictory accounts of writers who were separated from each other by a century or many centuries.

In place, therefore, of attempting another essay, I prefer to collect the passages of ancient authors, other than Herodotus, which bear upon the subject, omitting, of course, those passages which are merely rhetorical and contain no definite statement of fact ${ }^{1}$.
${ }^{1}$ On the battle, see Finlay, in Transactions of the Royal Society of Literature, iii. 373; Leake, Demi of Attica, ii. 203 ff.; Thirlwall, Hist. Greece, ii. App. 3; and the essays in Rawlinson, and Strachan's editions of Herod. Book vi. Readers of German may add: Curtius, Gesch. Griech. ii. 2If.: 812, and the references there given (6th edition); Duncker, vii. 120 f ., and the same author's Behandlungen; Delbriuck, Die Perserkriege und die Burgunderkriege, p. 53; Holm, G. G. ii. 29. The chief difficulties are thesc: (I) the absence of the Persian horsemen from the battle (vi. II3, where nothing is said of their presence, though










Andocides, De Mysteriis, § ro7.
 Lysias, ii. 2 I.
3. Lycurgus, § ro9, quotes the epigram :-















Marathon was chosen as being suited for cavalry); (2) the long delay of the Persians extending apparently from the 6th to the 17 th of the month (vi. I 20 with note, Duncker, G. A. vii. I 34 note) ; (3) the rapid embarkation of the Persians, and the signal shield (Herod. vi. II5, cf. Plutarch, De Malig. Herod. c. 27); (4) the possibility of giving an effective charge after a mile of march at the double (vi. II2 note). It seems pretty clear that Vrana, and not Marathona, was the ancient Marathon, but the precise position of the armies cannot be determined.




Isocrates, Panegyr. §§ 86, 87.
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Aeschines, In Ctesiph. § 186.














Plato, Laws, 698 C-E.
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Plato, Menexenus, $240 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{C}$.
8. Darius autem, cum ex Europa in Asiam redisset, hortantibis amicis, ut Graeciam redigeret in suam potestatem, classem quingentarum navium comparavit eique Datim praefecit et Artaphernem hisque ducenta peditum, decem milia equitum dedit, causam interserens, se hostem esse Atheniensibus, quod eorum auxilio Iones Sardis expugnassent suaque praesidia interfecissent. Illi praefecti regii classe ad Euboeam appulsa celeriter Eretriam ceperunt omnesque eius gentis cives abreptos in Asiam ad regem miserunt. Inde ad Atticam accesserunt ac suas copias in campum Marathona deduxerunt. Is est ab oppido circiter milia passuum decem. Hoc tumultu Athenienses tam propinquo tamque magno permoti auxilium nusquam nisi a Lacedaemoniis petiverunt Phidippumque, cursorem eius generis, qui hemerodromoe vocantur, Lacedaemonem miserunt, ut nuntiaret, quam celeri opus esset auxilio. Domi autem creant decem praetores, qui exercitui praeessent, in eis Miltiadem. Inter quos magna fuit contentio, utrum moenibus defenderent an obviam irent hostibus acieque decernerent. Unus Miltiades maxime nitebatur, ut primo quoque tempore castra fierent: id si factum esset, et civibus animum accessurum, cum viderent de eorum virtute non desperari, et hostes eadem re fore tardiores, si animadverterent auderi adversus se tam exiguis copiis dimicari. Hoc in tempore nulla civitas Atheniensibus auxilio fuit praeter Plataeenses. Ea mille misit militum. Itaque horum adventu decem milia armatorum completa sunt; quae manus mirabili flagrabat pugnandi cupiditate. Quo factum est, ut plus quam collegae Miltiades valeret. Eius ergo auctoritate impulsi Athenienses copias ex urbe edux-
erunt locoque idoneo castra fecerunt. Dein postero die sub montis radicibus acie regione instructa non apertissima proelium commiserunt (namque arbores multis locis erant rarae), hoc consilio, ut et montium altitudine tegerentur et arborum tractu equitatus hostium impediretur, ne multitudine clauderentur. Datis, etsi non aequum locum videbat suis, tamen fretus numero copiarum suarum confligere cupiebat eoque magis, quod, priusquam Lacedaemonii subsidio venirent, dimicare utile arbitrabatur. Itaque in aciem peditum centum, equitum decem milia produxit proeliumque commisit. In quo tanto plus virtute valuerunt Athenienses, ut decemplicem numerum hostium profligarint adeoque perterruerint, ut Persae non castra, sed naves petierint. Qua pugna nihil adhuc exstitit nobilius. Nulla enim umquam tam exigua manus tantas opes prostravit.

Cuius victoriae non alienum videtur quale praemium Miltiadi sit tributum docere, quo facilius intellegi possit eandem omnium civitatum esse naturam. Ut enim populi Romani honores quondam fuerunt rari et tenues ob eamque causam gloriosi, nunc autem effusi atque obsoleti, sic olim apud Athenienses fuisse reperimus. Namque huic Miltiadi, quia Athenas totamque Graeciam liberarat, talis honos tributus est, in porticu, quae Poecile vocatur, cum pugna depingeretur Marathonia, ut in decem praetorum numero prima eius imago poneretur isque hortaretur milites proeliumque committeret.

Cornelius Nepos (Ephorus), Miltiades, cc. 4-6.
9. Hippias regno pulsus in exilium agitur, qui profectus in Persas ducem se Dareo inferenti Atheniensibus bellum, sicut supra significatum est, adversus patriam suam offert. Igitur Athenienses audito Darei adventu auxilium a Lacedaemoniis, socia tum civitate, petiverunt, quos ubi viderunt quadridui teneri religione, non expectato, instructis decem milibus civium et Plataeensibus auxiliaribus mille adversus sescenta milia hostium in campis Marathoniis in proelium egrediuntur. Miltiades et dux belli erat et auctor non expectandi auxilii: quem tanta fiducia ceperat, ut plus praesidii in celeritate quam in sociis duceret. Magna igitur in pugnam euntibus animorum alacritas
fuit, adeo ut, cum mille passus inter duas acies essent, citato cursu ante iactum sagittarum ad hostem venerint. Nec audaciae eius eventus defuit: pugnatum est enim tanta virtute, ut hinc viros, inde pecudes putares. Victi Persae in naves confugerunt, ex quibus multae suppressae, multae captae sunt. In eo proelio tanta virtus singulorum fuit, ut cuius laus prima esset, difficile iudicium videretur. Inter ceteros tamen Themistoclis adulescentis gloria emicuit, in quo iam indoles futurae imperatoriae dignitatis apparuit. Cynegiri quoque militis Atheniensis gloria magnis scriptorum laudibus celebrata est, qui post proelii innumeras caedes cum fugientes hostes ad naves egisset, onustam navem dextra manu tenuit nec prius dimisit quam manum amitteret: tum quoque amputata dextera navem sinistra conprehendit, quam et ipsam cum amisisset, ad postremum morsu navem detinuit. Tantam in eo virtutem fuisse, ut non tot caedibus fatigatus, non duabus manibus amissis victus, truncus ad postremum et velut rabida fera dentibus dimicaverit. Ducenta milia Persae seu proelio sive naufragio amisere. Cecidit et Hippias, tyrannus Atheniensis, auctor et concitor eius belli, dis patriae ultoribus poenas repetentibus. Justinus, ii. 9. 7-2 I.




Theopompus, Frag. (MI.) 107.
































Plutarch, Camill. 19.













Plutarch, Quaest. Conviv. i. 10. 3.
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Plutarch, De Malig. Herod. c. 26.







Plutarch, l. c. c. 27.3.
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Suidas.

## B. DATE OF THE BATTLE OF MARATHON.

That the battle of Marathon occurred ten years before Salamis is stated by Plato, Laws, 698. The Parian marble puts it in the archonship of Phaenippus, 227 (226) years before the date of the marble, i.e. $226+264=490$, and this is the date given in the Athen. Pol. c. 22. Jerome, on the other hand, puts it in 492 в.c. $=$ Ann. Abrah. ${ }_{5} 5^{2} 5=$ Ol. 72. 1 ; see Schöne, Euseb. ii. p. 103. The day of the battle is fixed at Boedromion 6 by Plutarch (Camill. 19 ; De Glor. Ath. 7. Cf. De mal. Herod. 26). Unless the calendar was in great disorder, we cannot reconcile this date with the account given by Herodotus. He tells us that the Spartans set out after the full moon (i.e. on the 16 th of the month, which was probably Metageitnion); and reached Athens on the third day, i.e. on the 18th. But this was the day after the battle (Plato's Menex. 240). The battle, then, was fought on the $17^{\text {th }}$ day of the moon, and if this was Metageitnion, the day will correspond to Sept. I2, the new moon falling, in this year, on August 26. On the other hand the author of the 'Malignity of Herodotus' treats the story of the full moon as unworthy of credit; cf. vi. 107. I3 note, supr. II $d$.

The argument of Boeckh that the battle must have been fought in the first 'prytany' of the year, because the Aeantid tribe was still in office at the time, and the Aeantid came first in this year, rests on the assumption that the Aeantid tribe was on the right wing at the battle because it was the first in the order for the year; whereas the only reason that we have for
saying that the Aeantis was first in this year is the fact that it was on the right wing in the battle. And as Callimachus belonged to the Aeantid tribe, and custom demanded that he, as Polemarch, should be on the right wing, his tribe may have been stationed there, whatever its position in the order for the year. Nor need we assert that the month in question was the Spartan month Carneius, for the Spartans may have had festivals on other months, which prevented them from going out to battle between the ninth and the full moon. The day of the battle-and even the month-must, therefore, be left uncertain. [It is a curious coincidence that in the year 410 b.c. the Aeantid tribe came first in order, and the Leontid and Antiochid tribes came together (sixth and seventh). See the table given in Boeckh, Staatsh. ii. $25 \cdot]^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ On this subject the reader will find a long discussion in Lügebil, Zur Geschichte der Staatsverfassung von Athen, p. 625 ff. Plutarch (supr. II c) implies that the Aeantid was on the right because the battle took place while it was the $\pi \rho v \tau a \nu \epsilon v v^{\prime} v \sigma a \phi u \lambda \eta$, but it does not follow that it came first in order for the year, if indeed Plutarch's surmise is worth anything.

## EXCURSUS IV.

## GENEALOGIES.

## I. THE PHILAIDAE.



See Toepffer, Attische Gencalogic, p. 320.

EXCURSUS IV.

## 2. THE ALCMAEONIDAE.



## EXCURSUS V.

VI. 126.

## THE ORTHAGORIDAE OF SICYON.
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Arist. Pol. 1 $3{ }^{1} 5$ I 2 f .






































Nicolaus Damascenus, Frag. 6i M.






Diodorus, viii. 24 .
4. The following seems to be the genealogical table of the Orthagoridae:-

${ }^{1}$ Victor in the chariot race, Ol. 33, 648 b.c. Paus. vi. Ig. 2.

## EXCURSUS VI.

VI. 127 .

## PHEIDON OF ARGOS.

i. The following are the principal dates given in ancient authorities for Pheidon of Argos.
(a) The Parian marble, epoch 30, puts Pheidon 631 years before the date of the table, i.e. $631+264=895$ в. c. In the marble Pheidon is said to be the seventh descendant of Temenus (inclusive). In Theopompus also, Frag. 30 MI ., he is said to be the sixth from Temenus.
(b) Jerome puts Pheidon in anno Abr. 1220, i.e. 20 years before Olympiad $\mathrm{I}=796$ в.с.
(c) Eusebius, Chron. Arm. Vers.(Schöne, i. 277), puts Caranus, the brother of Pheidon, before the first Olympiad, but the total amount of the reigns of the Macedonian kings from Caranus to Philip II amount to $39^{8}$ years, and this brings us to 757 for Caranus $(398+359=757)$. Syncellus again, p. 373 . 13 , places Caranus, whom he calls the brother of Pheidon, 17 years before Olymp. i, i.e. in 793 b.c., a date which agrees with Jerome, but he also speaks of him as the seventh from Temenus and eleventh from Heracles, in which he agrees with the Parian marble.
(d) Ephorus in Strabo, p. $35^{8}$ (Frag. $\mathrm{I}_{5}$ M.) speaks of Pheidon as the tenth from Temenus: this, if we assume that $1090^{1}$ b.c. is Ephorus's date for Temenus, would give us

[^30](ro90-333) 757 B. c., a date which agrees with that resulting from the total reigns of the Macedonian kings.
(e) Pausanias vi. 22. 2 states precisely that Pheidon celebrated the eighth Olympiad with the Pisatans, having expelled the Eleans: this would bring us to 748 в.c. That Pheidon did so celebrate an Olympiad is stated by Herodotus, vi. 127 , and the eighth Olympiad is mentioned by Pausanias as one of the three which the Eleans removed from the list, as wrongly celebrated (the other two being Ol. 34 and 104).
$(f)$ Herodotus, l.c., informs us that the son of Pheidon was a suitor for the hand of Agariste, the daughter of Cleisthenes the king of Sicyon, whose wedding cannot be placed much earlier than 570 в.c.
(g) Numismatists (see Head, Hist. Num. Introd. § 8, and p. 33 I f.) inform us that the oldest Aeginetan coins cannot go back beyond 700 в.с.; if therefore Pheidon was the first to coin them, we cannot put his date earlier than 700 в. с.
2. Of these dates the last two appear to rest on very slight foundation. The story which Herodotus tells of the wedding of Agariste cannot be accepted as true in all its details. To take a single instance. It is chronologically impossible that Alcmaeon could have been enriched by Croesus in the manner so graphically described by Herodotus, before 560 в.c.-the date of the accession of Croesus--and yet the marriage of Agariste cannot have taken place after 570 в.c. (see supr. p. 162). But if in order to save the chronology we assume that Croesus is here wrongly put in the place of Alyattes, we have at the same time surrendered the right to insist on the verbal accuracy of the assertion that the Leocedes who came to Sicyon, circ. 570 b.c., was the son of the great Pheidon. Here, as in the case of Croesus, the most prominent name may have been put in the place of the true name.

The numismatic evidence is vague and uncertain. It does not appear that we have any coins of Aegina, which can with certainty be carried back to Pheidon, so that we are not in a position to speak with accuracy about the oldest coins of Aegina. And though it is probably true that the coins of

Lydia preceded those of Aegina (with which, however, they do not stand in any connection, the standards of the two systems being different, and the material of the coins different), there is nothing to prove that the coinage of Lydia began with Gyges, as Head assumes (Hist. Num. p. xxxiii).

Of the remaining dates those of the chronologists, Ephorus and Pausanias, are not really inconsistent. They all point to the half century between 795 and 745 , and as the date of Pausanias is the only one with which a definite fact is connected, a fact registered by the removal of the Olympiad from the list, it merits the first place, unless it can be shown to be on other grounds impossible ${ }^{1}$. To what combination the higher date given in the Parian marble and required by the legend which places Pheidon sixth or seventh in descent from Temenus is due, is uncertain (see Müller, Herod. p. 578, Didot). The marble speaks of Pheidon as the eleventh from Heracles, and, as we have seen, Syncellus makes him seventh from Temenus and eleventh from Heracles, but gives him a date which is inconsistent with this order. Can there then have been some confusion by which tenth or eleventh from Temenus has been changed into eleventh from Heracles (Weissenborn, Hellen, p. 7)?

Nevertheless the date given by Pausanias has been often rejected since Weissenborn endeavoured to show (Hellen, p. r ff.) that a later date was more consistent with the facts which are recorded of the reign of Pheidon. Weissenborn relies on the following
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From these passages he draws the conclusion that the Pisatans never attempted to acquire the management of the Olympian games in the first 26 Olympiads, so that Pausanias is in error in speaking of the 8th as an 'Anolympiad,' and that the help which the Spartans rendered to the Eleans in subjugating the Pisatans belongs to a period not far removed from the end of the Second Messenian War. This last he confirms by an
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 $\gamma \in \nu$ é $\sigma \theta$ au.
4. Once more, we learn from Africanus that the 28th Olympiad was the first celebrated by the Pisatans, the Eleans being engaged in a war with Dyme at the time, and that when the Pisatans had thrown off the yoke of the Eleans, they celebrated the 30 th and following 22 Olympiads. This is a contradiction of Ephorus (Strabo) and Pausanias, and Clinton would remove the contradiction, in part at any rate, by supposing that for 22 Olympiads the Pisatans and Eleans managed the festival in common, though in Olympiad 34 the Eleans were allowed to have no part in it.

On these grounds Weissenborn proposed to alter the 8th Olympiad of Pausanias into the 28 th, i.e. 748 в.c. into 668 B. c., which according to the dates given by Pausanias would fall immediately after the end of the Second Messenian War. It would also coincide within a year with the great victory of the Argives over the Spartans at Hysiae ( 669 в.c.), a victory which Weissenborn ascribes to Pheidon, though Pausanias states that Democratidas was king of Argos at the end of the Second Messenian War. After the end of the Messenian War (such is Weissenborn's view), Elis and Sparta were able to combine their forces against the Pisatans and Pheidon, and put an end to his ambitious projects ${ }^{1}$.
${ }^{1}$ For the chronology of the Messenian Wars see Müller, Frag. Chron. p. I37, Ap. Herod. Didot.

On the other hand, we have to remember (I) that the date 668 в. с. for Pheidon is not supported by any ancient testimony whatever; it does not agree with Pausanias nor with the statement that Pheidon was tenth in descent from Temenus, nor with the chronologists; it does not help in regard to Agariste, for the son of a man who was reigning at Argos in 668 в. c. could not be a suitor for the young princess in 570 в.c. (2) The situation of affairs in 668 в.c. in the Peloponnese depends on the date ascribed to the Second Messenian War, which was apparently a generation later than the date which Pausanias ascribed to it (see Hist. Greece, i. 272). (3) The events with which legend connects Pheidon and Corinth belong to the eighth and not to the seventh century, for they must fall before the colonization of Syracuse (see Hist. Greece, i. 228). (4) The account of Africanus is inconsistent with that of Pausanias, and the picture which Pausanias gives does not support the equality of the two nations which we should assume to exist between them if they managed the festival in common. (5) The 28 th Olympiad is not registered as an Anolympiad. These objections to Weissenborn's theory seem to me more than sufficient to justify us in retaining the traditional date for Pheidon.
5. With regard to the weights and measures and coinage we may observe that the story which connects Pheidon with these, like many others in antiquity, becomes more precise as it goes on. Herodotus says nothing of coinage, vi. 127 Фeiôcuos tov


 'Apıqтот' $\lambda \eta s \lambda^{\prime} \hat{\prime} \gamma \epsilon \mathrm{t}$. But Ephorus in Strabo, p. 376, says definitely:


 that the oldest authorities do not ascribe the striking of coins to Pheidon. Is it then possible that coins struck on his

[^32]
## PHEIDON OF ARGOS.

standard were ascribed to him without sufficient cause? We may also observe that no good reason has ever been given why Pheidon should have struck coins at Aegina rather than Argos; we know that Aegina was once subject to Epidaurus, and that Argos once claimed rights of sovereignty over her but Epidaurus was generally on ill terms with Argos, and Aegina refused to recognise the claim (v. 82 f. ; vi. 92.10 ). Of any closer connection between the island and the city there is no proof.

## EXCURSUS VII.

## VI. 132 .

## THE EXPEDITION TO PAROS.
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2. Post hoc proelium classem Lxx navium Athenienses eidem Miltiadi dederunt, ut insulas, quae barbaros adiuverant, hello persequeretur. Quo imperio plerasque ad officium redire coëgit, nonnullas vi expugnavit. Ex his Parum insulam opibus elatam cum oratione reconciliare non posset, copias e navibus eduxit, urbem operibus clausit omnique commeatu privavit; dein vineis ac testudinibus constitutis proprius muros accessit. Cum iam in eo esset, ut oppido potiretur, procul in continenti lucus, qui ex insula conspiciebatur, nescio quo casu nocturno tempore incensus est. Cuius flamma ut ab oppidanis et oppugnatoribus est visa, utrisque venit in opinionem signum a classiariis regis datum. Quo factum est, ut et Parii a deditione deterrerentur, et Miltiades, timens, ne classis regia adventaret, incensis operibus, quae statuerat, cum totidem navibus, atque crat profectus, Athenas magna cum offensione civium suorum
rediret. Accusatus ergo est proditionis, quod, cum Parum expugnare posset, a rege corruptus infectis rebus discessisset. Eo tempore aeger erat vulneribus, quae in oppugnando oppido acceperat. Itaque, quoniam ipse pro se dicere non posset, verba fecit frater eius Stesagoras. Causa cognita capitis absolutus pecunia multatus est, eaque lis quinquaginta talentis aestimata est, quantus in classem sumptus factus erat. Hanc pecuniam quod solvere in praesentia non poterat, in vincla publica coniectus est ibique diem obiit supremum.

Hic etsi crimine Pario est accusatus, tamen alia causa fuit damnationis. Namque Athenienses propter Pisistrati tyrannidem, quae paucis annis ante fuerat, omnium civium suorum potentiam extimescebant. Miltiades, multum in imperiis magnisque versatus, non videbatur posse esse privatus, praesertim cum consuetudine ad imperii cupiditatem trahi videretur ${ }^{1}$. Nam in Chersoneso omnes illos, quos habitarat, annos perpetuan obtinuerat dominationem tyrannusque fuerat appellatus, sed iustus. Non erat enim vi consecutus, sed suorum voluntate, eamque potestatem bonitate retinebat. Omnes autem et dicuntur et habentur tyranni, qui potestate sunt perpetua in ea civitate, quae libertate usa est. Sed in Miltiade erat cum summa humanitas tum mira communitas, ut nemo tam humilis esset, cui non ad eum aditus pateret, magna auctoritas apud omnes civitatis, nobile nomen, laus rei militaris maxima. Haec populus respiciens maluit illum innoxium plecti quam se diutius esse in timore. Cornelius Nepos, AFiltiades, cc. 7, 8.

Other versions may be found in the Scholia to Aristides, and in Libanius, but they may be passed over as the work of rhetoricians.

[^33]
## EXCURSUS VIII.

VI. 57 .

The $\pi a \tau \rho o \hat{\chi} \chi{ }^{\circ}$ s $\pi a \rho \theta$ évos.
The following passage from a recently published work of the late Fustel de Coulanges puts the position of the $\pi a r \rho o i ̂ \chi o s ~ \pi \alpha \rho \theta^{\prime}$ 'vos so clearly and precisely that I venture to quote it ${ }^{1}$.

On voit, en effet, dans le peu qui nous est resté du droit de Sparte, que la fille unique y était, aussi bien qu'à Athènes, l'objet d'une législation particulière. Cette fille était dite êmikגךpos ou $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \pi a ́ \mu a \tau \iota s^{2}$, ce qui signifiait, non pas qu'elle fût héritière, mais qu'elle était à côté de l'héritage et qu'elle s'ajoutait à lui. Elle n'héritait pas de son père, au moins directement, car Aristote dit en termes très nets que, si un père était mort sans faire de testament et ne laissant qu'une fille, c'était un autre qu'elle qui était l'héritier, et que cet héritier, à titre de maître et tuteur de la fille, lui choisissait un mari ${ }^{3}$. Deux siècles avant Aristote, c'étaient les rois de Sparte qui désignaient un époux à la fille épiclère, si le père n'avait pas de son vivant fait ce choix ${ }^{4}$. On remarquera que cette attribution des rois de Sparte était la même qui appartenait à l'archonte d'Athènes. Nous ne devons pas croire d'ailleurs que le choix d'un mari pour la fille unique fût arbitraire; les textes ne nous disent pas formellement quelles règles la loi avait fixées; du moins Aristote nous apprend que le père lui-même n'avait pas la faculté de donner sa fille épicière à

[^34]qui il voulait ${ }^{1}$. A plus forte raison les rois ne pouvaient-ils agir ici d'après leur seul caprice, aussi Hérodote les présente-t-il comme des juges, qui se contentent de prononcer à qui l'épiclère doit appartenir d'après la loi ${ }^{2}$.

Tout cela s'explique si l'on songe aux vieilles règles de la famille grecque. L'héritage, de même que le culte et l'autorité domestique, passait toujours aux mâles ; si les fils faisaient défaut et qu'il n'y eût qu'une fille, l'antique principe voulait que celle-ci n'héritât pas, mais l'usage admettait qu'elle passât avec l'héritage au plus proche parent, c'est-à-dire qu'elle l'épousât. C'est ainsi que nous trouvons dans Hérodote l'exemple d'une fille épiclère qui épouse son oncle ${ }^{3}$. Si le père mariait ou fiançait sa fille de son vivant, apparemment il ne pouvait le faire qu'en la donnant au plus proche parent, ou bien encore en la donnant à un fils adoptif; mais l'adoption elle-même ne pouvait se faire qu'en présence des rois, ce qui implique qu'elle était soumise à des règles ${ }^{4}$. S'il mourait sans avoir pris ces dispositions, le plus proche parent se présentait pour prendre à la fois l'héritage et la fille, et s'il y avait contestation entre plusieurs parents, c'étaient les rois qui prononçaient. Ainsi, les principes étaient les mêmes qu'à Athènes. Il est possible qu'il y eût quelques différences dans l'application, mais l'absence de textes ne nous permet pas de les apercevoir.
${ }^{1}$ Aristote rappelle cette ancienne règle qui avait disparu de son temps, quand il dit: 'Mais, de nos jours, il peut donner sa fille à qui il veut ' (Aristote, Politique, ii. 6. II).


${ }^{3}$ Hérodote, vii. 205. Plutarque, Agis, II, cite une fille épiclère qui c.it épousée par un parent. Cf. Hérodote, vi. 7 I .
${ }^{4}$ Ibidem, vi. 57.

## ADDITIONAL NOTE ON VI. 68. ェб.

By the kindness of Professor Tylor I have received the following communication from Professor Darmesteter, in reference to the names Darius, Xerxes and Artaxerxes:-
' I ${ }^{0}$. Darius est en perse Dârayavau c.a.d. Dârayaza(h) qui signifie "qui tient les biens" (par suite, sans doute, "qui les possède et qui les donne" : quelque chose comme le $\delta$ orì̀ ćácu; voau est identique à la base d' éáwv). Dâraya s'emploie aussi au sens d'arrêter: de là, la traduction grecque $\tilde{\kappa} \kappa \tau \omega \rho$.
 des princes" ("le plus fort":-arsha="̈роך - a fourni un nombre de noms propres perses; Arsháma, 'A $\rho \sigma a ́ \mu \eta s$, et le nom du fondateur de la dynastie parthe Arsakes). Khshayârsha transcrit en hébreu a donné Akhashyarash, d'où par confusion de " y avec iv la forme Akhashverosh.
' 3 . Artaxerxes est Artakhshathra "qui a royauté parfaite," "le bon roi." Arta, le zend asha, est l'expression la plus haute du bien.
' Artakhshathra 'Aptas $\epsilon \rho \xi \eta s$ est devenu plus tard Ardashîr.'

## INDICES TO THE NOTES.

## 1. INDEX OF MATTERS.

Abuse at festivals, v. 83. 13.
Accusative, of time, without kaтá, vi. 22. 8 .
without àvá, vi. 57. 7.
with verbs-
dппа $\gamma о р \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon t \nu$, vi. 81. 4.
$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \lambda \omega \dot{\omega} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s{ }^{\epsilon} \xi \omega, v .103 .9$.
$\dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \lambda \theta \dot{\prime} \nu \tau \alpha$, v. 104. 9.
є'ॄоркои̂v, vi. 74. 7.
$\pi \epsilon \rho \stackrel{\eta}{\prime} \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$, vi. 86. 8 .
with èmi, for genitive, vi. 12. 3 ; III. 15.

Achaea, epithet of Demeter, v. 6r. II.
worship of, ibid.
Achaean, Cleomenes an, v. $7^{2 .} 21$.
Achaemenids, v. 32.8. App. 2.
Acheron, the river, v. 927.9 .
Achilleum, the, v. 94.9.
Acropolis, temples on the, v. $7^{2}$. 16.

Additions made by Herodotus to his work, v. 27. 2 ; vi. 98. 16.
Adoptions at Sparta, vi. 57. 24.
Adrastus, v. 67. 7 .
Adultery at Sparta, vi. 68. 12.
Aeacids, the, v. 80. 9.
Aegialeis, a tribe at Sicyon, v. 68. 14.

Aegina, prosperity of, v. 81. 4.
settled by Dorians of Epidaurus, v. 83. 2.

Aegina, women's festival at, v. 83. 15.
excludes Athenian pottery, v. 88. 10.
war with Athens, v. 8i f.; 89 f.; vi. 87 ff . ; 93. 3 .
revolution at, vi. 89 f .
the ' old city,' vi. 89. 2.
and Argos, vi. 92. 10.
Aeginetan war, continuance of the, v. 89. 14 .

Aeginetans, Herodotus depreciates the, v. $8 \mathrm{I} . \mathrm{I} 2$.
cruelty of the, vi. 91.4 .
expelled from their island, vi. 91.4. their character, x . Si. 12 ; vi. 92 . 13.

Aeolian cities, the, vi. 8. 2.
Aeolians, the, on Ida, v. I22. 8.
Agrianes, v. 16.
Ajax, sons of, in Attica, vi. 34. 5 ; 35. 6.

Alcmaeon and Croesus, vi. 125. 2, p. 162.

Alcmaeonidae, an Athenian family, v. 62. 6-1 3 .
their supposed treachery, vi. 121, 125 f .
Aleian plain, the, vi. 43.7.
Alexander, son of Amyntas of Macedon, v. 20. 21 .
Alphabet, the Greek, v. 58. 4.

Altar of the twelve gods at Athens, vi. 108. 20.

Amasis contributes to the rebuilding of the temple of Delphi, v. 62. ${ }^{2} 3$.
Amathus in Cyprus, v. IIo.
Amphictyons, the, and the temple of Delphi, v. 62. I3.
Amphitryon, v. 59. 6.
Amyntas, king of Macedon, offers Anthemus to Hippias, v. 94. 2.

Anacoluthon, v. 88.4 ; 103. 11 ; 124. 2; vi. 7.6; 111. 13.
Analogy in the government of cases, vi. 12. 21 ; 14. 10; 81. 4.

Anaxilaus, vi. 23.5 .
Anaximander, his map, v. 49.4.
Andrcas of Sicyon, vi. 126. 5.
Anthemus, v. 94. 2.
Antithesis, words added for, vi. 67 . 12.

Aorist infinitive, after $\in \pi \dot{\sigma} \mu \nu v \mu, \quad$ v. 106. 3 I.
after ímoঠéко $\mu$ аı, vi. $2.5 ; 88.2$.
Arcadia, attempt to combine, vi. 75 . 2.

Archers in Greek armies, v. 49. I6; vi. II2. 8.

Ardericca, vi. II9. 9.
Ares in Thrace, v. 7.
Argos and Sicyon, v. 67.3.
and Aegina, v. 86. I9.
and Miletus, combined oracle to, vi. 19. I.
invaded by Cleomenes, vi. 77 f .
inflicts a fine on Aegina, vi. $9^{2}$. 10.
and on Sicyon, ibid.
condition of, after her defeat by Cleomenes, vi. 83 .
Aristagoras, deposes the tyrants in Ionia, v. 37. 8.
death of, v. i26.7.
Armenia, v. $5^{2 .} 17$.
Armour of the Persians, v. 97. 8.

Artaphernes and Athens, v. 97.
his taxation of Ionia, vi. 42. 10.
Artaxerxes, reign of, vi. 98. 7.
meaning of the name, ibid. 16.
Artemis in Thrace, v. 7.
Article, with words and clauses, $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ $\pi \epsilon \rho \eta \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda ., ~ v . ~ 10.4$.
omitted with $\theta \in o ́ v$, v. 79. 2.
omitted with infinitive, vi. $3^{2}$. 6 .
Asiatic cities, relations between, vi. 5. 10.

Asopus, the river god, v. 80. 3 .
Astrabacus, vi. 69. 16.
Asyndeton, vi. 3.8; 2 1. 7.
Atarneus, vi. 4. 2; 28. 8.
Athena Polias, temple of, at Athens, v. 71. $5 ; 7^{2}$. 16 .

Athenian assistance to Ionia, Herodotus' view of, v. 97. I9.
envoys at Sardis, v. 97.
fleet, the, vi. 89. II ; I 32.3 .
Athenians and Ionians, v. 69. 2.
Athens under Peisistratus, v. 66. I.
and Corinth, v. 93.4.
and Mytilene, v. 94, 95.
and Persia; Athens bidden to take back Hippias, v. $9^{6}$.
population of, v. 97. I5.
her fleet, vi. 89. I I.
and Sparta, distance between, vi. 106. 3 .
antiquity of, vi. 106. 6 .
and Plataea, vi. ioS. 4.
and Thebes at war, v. 74. 10; vi. 108. 5 .
altar of the twelve gods at, vi. io8. 20.

Athos, currents off Mt., vi. 44. I1.
Attica, ethnology of, v. 69.2.
invasions of, v. 76. 7.
Attraction of a relative clause, vi. 137. 10.

Auxesia, v. 82. 2.

Bacis, v. 43. 2.

Bees, north of Thrace, v. 1o. 2 ; nature of, ibid. 4.
Bendis, v. 7.
Bigamy at Sparta, v. 40.8.
Boeotia in league with Sparta, v. 74 . 9.

Branchidae, temple at, v. 36. r5.
Brauron, vi. I38. 4.
Bribery at Sparta, vi. 72. 4.
Bubares, v. 21 . 10.
Bulls, sacrifices of, vi. 76. ı2.
Burning of clothes with corpses, v. $92 \eta$. 4.
Byzantium, v. 26. 3 ; ro3. 7 .

Cadmus ( 1 ), in Illyria, v. 6I. 6.
Cadmus (2), son of Scythes, vi. 24.4 .
Caeneus, v. $9^{2} \beta .8$.
Calè Actè, vi. 22.9.
Calendar, the, vi. 106. I3.
Callias, the father of Hipponicus, vi. 12 I .

Caria, reduction of, v. IIr, r18, In9; vi. 25.7 .

Carian Zeus, temples of, v. Ir9. 3 .
Carians in Greece, v. 66.5.
Carystus, vi. 99. 2 f.
Cases, analogy in the government of, vi. 12. 2 I ; r4. 10 ; 8I. 4.
Castration in Persia, vi. 32.5 .
Caunus, v. 1o3. ir.
Chalcedon, v. 26. 3 .
Chalcis and Eretria, war between, v. 99.5.

Chariot on the Acropolis of Athens, v. 77.21 .

Chariots of war, v. II3. 7.
Chersonese, cities of the, vi. 33.5 .
colonized from Athens, vi. 35. 2 ; 36. 3 .
wall across, vi. 36. -.
Chilon, vi. $65 \cdot 7$.
Chios, disasters of, vi. 27. r.
Choruses of women, v. 83 . 13 .
Chronology, of Heracles and Tyndareus, v. 60. 7 .

Chronology, of Herodotus at fault, vi. 18 ; 125 ; see Bk. v. Appendices, 3 , $\mathrm{II}, \mathrm{r} 3$.
'Cimon's horses, vi. Io3, and grave, ibid.
Cinyps, the, v. 42 . 12.
Cleisthenes, of Athens, v. 66. 7.
his reforms, v. 69.
of Sicyon, v. 67. 2 f.
Cleomenes and the Ephors, v. 50. 12.
at Athens, v. 72.5 f .
and the Peloponnesian army, v. $74 \cdot 3$.
at Eleusis, Athenians with, v. 76 . I 3.
attempts to combine Arcadia against Sparta, vi. 75.2.
death of, vi. 75 .
invades Argos, vi. 77. 10.
Cleruchi, Athenian, in Euboea, v. 77. II ; vi. 100. 3.

Coes, v. 38. r.
Comparative, vi. 75. 5; 92. 13.
Compensation, principle of, among the Persians, vi. 30. 10.
Conjunctives, deliberative, vi. $5^{2}$. I3.
Cooks at Sparta, vi. 60. 2.
Coresus, v. ioo. 2.
Corinth, early history of, v. 92 B. 2.
and Athens, v. 93.4 ; vi. 89. 4.
Cottyto, v. 7.
Council, the, at Athens attacked by Cleomenes, v. 72. 7 .
kings in the Spartan, vi. 57. 25 .
Court, supreme, at Sparta, v. 40. r.
Crathis, the river, v. $45 \cdot 3$.
Crestonaeans, the, v. 5 .
Croesus and Alcmaeon, vi. 125. 2, see Alcmaeon.
Curium in Cyprus, a colony of Argos, v. IIo; II 3.

Cybele, v. 102. 2.
Cyclades, the, dependent on Naxos, v. 3 I . II.

Datis in, vi. 99. 2.

Cylon, v. 71. 1, and Appendix 8.
Cynegeirus, vi. II4. 4.
Cyniscus, vi. 71. 3 .
Cynosarges, the, v. 63.24.
Cyprus, v. 104.
kings of, ibid. Io9.
suppression of the revolt in, v . 108.
cities of, v. ifo.
Cypselus of Corinth, v. 92.
father of Miltiades, vi. 34.5 .
Damia, v. 82. 5.
Dancing, vi. 129.9 .
Danube, course of the, v. 3 .
Darius, at Sardis, v. 12 ff .
reign of, vi. 98. 7 .
meaning of the name, vi. 98. 16.

Datis, march of, vi. 95. 2.
Dative, 'absolute'? vi. 21. 103; 17.8.
with adj., $\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \alpha \dot{\theta} \theta \epsilon \epsilon \mathfrak{\epsilon} \nu \alpha \rho \gamma \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta \nu$, v. 55. 4 .
with aủrós, vi. 32. 8 .
local ( $\Delta t \delta \dot{\prime} \mu o t s)$, vi. I9. II.
possessive, vi. 103. 2 I.
with substantive, v. 3 I. 9 ; vi. 30 . 13.

خク́paï, vi. 24 . 8 .
with verbs-
$\lambda_{l \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon i v, ~ v . ~ 19 . ~}^{7}$.
$=$ ' in the view of,' v. 49. 20.
$\bar{\epsilon} \sigma \pi \lambda \epsilon \in \neq \nu \tau \iota$, vi. 33. 2.
$\kappa \alpha т о ́ \mu \nu \nu \sigma \theta a t$, vi. 65. 12.
द̀ $\sigma \alpha \kappa о$ úєıv, vi. 87. I.
$\sigma \phi t=$ 'before them,' v. 86. I5.
Day's journey, a, v. 53.7.
Dead, offerings to, v. $9^{2} \eta \cdot 23$.
Delium, temple of, vi. ir8. Io.
Delos, earthquake at, vi. 98. 4 .
Delphi, rebuilding of the temple, v. 62. 13 f .
bribery of the priestess, v. 63.9 .
and Sparta, vi. 57. I3.
the prophetess at, vi. 66. 7 .

Delphian oracle, consulted by colonists, v. 42. 9 .
on the side of the oppressor, vi. 19. 8 .

Demaratus in Persia, vi. 7o. in.
Demarmenus, v. 41.16 ; vi. 65.7.
Demes in Attica, v. 69. 10.
Democracy, good of, v. 78. 2. in Ionia, vi. 43. 14.
Didyma, temple at (see Branchidae), vi. I9. I5.

Dionysus, oracle of, in Thrace, v. I. $6 ;$ v. 7.
worship of, favoured by tyrants, $v$. 67.32.

Divine interference, vi. II. I4.
Doberes, v. 16.
Doloncians, the, vi. 34. 6.
Doors of the house and court, vi. 69. 15 .

Dorian, tribes, v. 68. I3.
invasions of Attica, v. 76. 7.
Dorieus, descendants of, v. $4^{8 .} 4$.
Dramas at Athens, vi. 2 I. 13 .
Dress, Greek, v. 87. 17.
Dyalus, a Paeonian god, v. r.
Dymanatae, v. 68. i3.
Dysorum, Mt., v. 17 .

Earthquake at Delos, vi. 98. 4 .
Eetion, v. 92 B. 8.
Egyptians and Greeks, vi. 53. 13 . App. 2.
Election by lot, vi. Io9. 16.
Eleon, v. 43. 2.
Encheleis, the, in Illyria, v. 61. 6.
Enclitic pronouns, position of, vi. 63.2.

Ends of the earth impassable, v. io. 2.

Eneti, v. 9. 12.
Enneacrunus, vi. 137. i6.
Envoys, Persian, at Athens, vi. 49. 7.
Ephesus, the great oriental port, v. 54. 5; 100. 2.

Ephors, and kings at Sparta, v. 39 . 6 ; $4^{\text {o. }}$ I.
and Cleomenes, v. 50. 13 .
Epibatae, number of, on a ship, vi. 15. 5.

Epidaurus and Aegina, v. 82. 13; 83.2.

Epigoni, the, v. 57. 8.
Epigram on a chariot, v. 77. 25 f.
Erasinus, the, vi. $7^{6.6}$.
Eretria and Chalcis, war between, v. 99.5 .

Persian attack on, vi. 100, 101.
Etesian winds, the, vi. 140. 4.
Ethnology of Attica, v. 69. 2.
Euelthon, v. 104.
Europe, the northern limit unknown, v. 9.4 .

Persian fortresses in, vi. 33. I8.
Euryleon, v. 46. 8.

Factions at Athens after the expulsion of the Peisistratidae, v. 66. 7.

Famines, v. 82. 2.
Feminine adjectives without substantives, vi. 62.6 (cf. vii. 62. 1).

Festival of women at Aegina, v. 83. 15.

Finite verb, return to, from participle, vi. 25.9.
Fish, in Lake Prasias, v. ı6. i6. given to cattlc as food, ibid.
Fleet, the Persian, vi. ı3. го.
of Athens, vi. $89.4,1$ I.
Flute-players at Sparta, vi. 60. 2.
Funeral feast, v. 8.5 .
games, ibid.
Funcrals of Spartan kings, vi. 58 . 13.

Future infinitive, v. 49.49 ; vi. 2. 5.
Garments burnt with corpses, v. 92 $\eta$. 14.
Gender, masculine, ri. $5.15 ; 8.12$.

Generals, Persian, v. 37. 2.
in Asia Minor, v. in6. 7, and Appendix I .
established by Aristagoras, v. $3^{\text {R }}$. 7.
at Athens, vi. 103.3 .
the Athenian, at Marathon, vi. 110. 5.

Generations, twenty, between Darius and the Trojan War, vi. $9^{8 .} 9$.
Genitive with $\delta \in \dot{\tau} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \mathrm{s}$, vi. $4^{\delta}$. I. (C. 40. 3.)
and infinitive with ${ }^{\epsilon} \delta \epsilon \epsilon$, v. 38. 9 .
with $\mu \in \tau \epsilon \epsilon_{\rho} \rho \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, vi. 68. II.
with $\pi \epsilon i \theta \in \sigma \theta a t$, v. 29. I4.

with $\chi$ लिpaı supplied, vi. 33. 4 .
double, vi. 2. 6.
Gephyraeans, the, v. $57.4,7,11$.
Gergithes, v. 122. 8 .
Gerontes, the, at Sparta, v. 40. I.
Gestation, period of, vi. 69. 28.
Getae, their belief, v. 4. 2.
Glaucus, the Spartan story of, vi. 86 a. f.
Gorgo, v. 5 I. 6.
Grammar, want of, v. 9. 3. Cf. Anacoluthon.)
Greeks in Persia, vi. 41. 18.
and Egyptians, vi. 53. 6, 13 .
Gymnopaediae, vi. 67. 5 .

Hebdomè, battle of, see Hesipeia.
Hecataeus, v. 36. 7 .
his map, v. 49. 4.
an envoy to Artaphernes, vi. $4^{2}$. 5.
his account of the Pelasgi, vi. 137 .
Hegesistratus, son of Peisistratus, v. 94. 4 .

Hellenodicae, the, v. 22.7.
Hellespont, use of the word, vi. 26. 4.

Hellespontian, use of the word, v .1 .3 .
Hephaestia in Lemnos, vi. 140.8.

Heraclea in Sicily, v. 43. 3.
Heracleids at Sparta, vi. 57. I7.
Heracles, date of, v. 60. 7. precincts of, at Marathon, vi. 108. 2.

Heraeum, the, at Corinth, v. $9^{2} \eta$. I9. at Argos, vi. 8 r. 4.
Heralds at Sparta, vi. 60. 2.
Hermes in Thrace, v. 7.
Hero worship, v. 47. 7 ; 67. 12, II4. See Appendix 12.
Herodotus and Thacydides, v. 3 ; 7I. 6, and Bk. vi. Appendix I. divisions of his history, v. 36. 19. incredulity of, v. 86. I4 ; vi. 53.4. his dramatic genius, v. III. 5 .
Hesipeia, battle of, vi. 77. 4.
Hipparchus, assassination of, v. 56 . 9.

Hippias, tyranny of, v. $55.3,8$.
his children, v. 65.3 .
in Persia, v. 96.
Hippocoon, v. 60. 5.
Hippocrates, vi. 23 . 12.
father of Peisistratus, v. 65 . 19.
Histiaeus, v. II.
story of his slave, v. 35 . II.
his attack on Chios, vi. 27. II.
'Hollow,' the at Athens, vi. Io3. 16.
'Hollows,' the, in Chios, vi. 26. 8.
Homeric poems, the, v. 67.5 .
Honours in games, v. IO2. II.
Horse, Persian, in Euboea, vi. Iol. 4. at Marathon (?), 102. 5 .
Horses in Grcece, v. 77. 12.
House, the Greek, vi. 69.15.
Hydarnes, vi. I 33.7.
Hysiae, v. 74. Io ; vi. 108. 32.

Iamids, the, v. 44. Io.
Ibanolis, v. 37. 2.
Icarian Sea, the, vi. 95 . I3.
Imbros, v. 26. 5. See Lemnos.
Immortality, belief in, v. 5. 9 .

Imperfect of $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \epsilon \pi i \pi \tau \epsilon i \nu$, v. 22. 13. conative, vi. 5. 2.
̇̇víra, vi. ioI. 8.
Impersonal use of verbs, vi. 27.1 ; see $\delta \iota^{\prime} \delta \epsilon \xi \epsilon$.
Incredulity of Herodotus, v. 86. i4.
Indians, the, the greatest nation, v. 3 .
Infinitive, the article omitted with, vi. $3^{22} 6$.
for imperative, v. 23 . 18 ; vi. 86 a. 24 .
in a jussive sense, vi. $5^{2,} 3^{2}$.
in relative clauses, vi. I 37.10.
aorist after, v. Io6. 3 I ; vi. 2.5 .
$\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \mu \nu \eta \mu \dot{v} \nu \in v \sigma \epsilon \theta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a l$, v. 65 . 19.
є́фáтı $\sigma \alpha \nu$ кєє $\lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$, v. 58 . II.
Inyx, vi. ${ }^{23}$. 17.
Iolcus offered to Hippias, v. 94. 3.
Ionia, settlement of, vi. $4^{2}$.
Ionian revolt, should it havc been supported? v. 54.5 .
the, Herodotus' view of it, vi. $3 \cdot 3$.
Ionians, the first to use letters, v. 5 S . 6. and Athenians, v. 69. 2.
Ionic letters, v. 59. 4 .
Isagoras, v. 66. 5.
and Cleomenes, v. 72.7.
Italy, v. 43.8 ; vi. 127. I.
Judges, the royal, in Persia, v. 25. 6.
Kin, marriage of, at Sparta, v. 39. 6 ; vi. 71. 3 .

King of Thessaly, v. 63.15.
Sparta, at the head of the Peloponnesian army, v. 74. 3.
and people, vi. 58. I.
Kings of Sparta, not to be absent together, v. 75.8 ; vi. 50.9 .
origin of the dual monarchy, vi. 51.2.
their privileges, etc., vi. 56 .
their vote, vi. 57.27 .
funerals of, vi. 58. I3.
list of, vi. 65.2 .
'Knights' at Sparta, vi. 56. 7.

Labraunda, in Caria, v. II9, 3.
Labraundeus, Zeus, temple of, $v$. II9. 3.
Laciadae, deme of, vi. 35.8.
Lade, island of, vi. 7. IO.
Lake dwellings, v. 16.
Lampsacus, vi. 37. 3 .
Laus, vi. 2I. 2.
Law-courts at Sparta, vi. 72. 7; 85. 4. (Cf. Court.)

Legends, used to justify the possession of country, v. 43. 4 .
Leipsydrium, v. 62. II.
Lemnos, v. 26. 5 .
Pelasgian, vi. I 37. 26.
appropriated by Athens, vi. 138 f . women of, 138 .
Lesbian colonies in the Troad, v. 94. II.

Lesbos, v. 26. 5.
Local traditions, vi. 53. I.
Lot, election by, vi. Io9. 6.
L.ydian kings, their wealth, v. 49 . 25.

Macedonia, Persian envoysin, v. 17 f. extent of, v. Iヶ; vi. 44. 6.
Macedonian kings, origin of, v. 22. 2.

Map of Anaximander, v. 49. 4 . of Hecataeus, v. 49. 4 .
Marathon, plain of, vi. IO2. 4 . battle of, vi. III. I2; II3. 8.
and Athens, distance between, vi. II6. 3 .
Mardonius in Ionia, vi. 43. I 4 .
Marriage of relations at Sparta, v. 39. 5 ; vi. 71. 3. App. 8.

Marsyas, the, in Caria, v. II8. 4 ; II9. 3.
Matiene, v. 52. 20.
Mausolus, v. II8.7.
'Medes,' the, v. 104. I2; vi. 9. II. in the service of Persia, vi. 94. IO.
Median dress, the, vi. II2. I2 (vii. II6. 2).

Medimnus, the Spartan, vi. 57. 9.
Megabazus, at Perinthus, v. I. I.
in Paeonia, v. $I_{5}$.
returns to Asia with the Paeonians, v. 23.
Megara, once Ionian, v. 76. 7.
Melissa, wife of Periander, v. $92 \eta$. 8.

Memnon, v. 53. 5.
Mesambria, vi. 33. 10.
Messenian, a, at Sparta, vi. 52. 24.
Middle future for passive, vi. I7. 4.
Migrations from Asia into Europe, v. I3. II.

Miletus, state of, v. 28.7.
Miletus, and Eretria, v. 99. 5.
date of the capture of, vi. I 8 .
and Argos, combined oracle to, vi. I9. I.
and Sybaris, vi. 2 I. 2.
inhabitants of, vi. 22. I.
Miltiades and the Peisistratids, vi. 36. 3 ; 39. 5.
in the Chersonese, vi. $4^{0.3}$.
general at Athens, vi. IO3. 3 .
put on his trial for tyranny, vi. 104. 8.
his expedition to Paros, vi. I 33 f .
brought his disaster on himself, vi. I 35.13.

Mina, value of the, v. 7\%. I 5.
Mission ship of Athens to Sunium, vi. 87.8 .

Motye and Segesta, v. 46.5 .
Mourning, public, at Sparta, vi. 58 . 6, 12.
private, ibid. 23 .
Myconus, vi. II8. 2.
Mylasa in Caria, v. II9. 3.
Myrcinus, chosen by Histiaeus, v. II.

Aristagoras slain at, v. I26.7.
Myrina in Lemnos, vi. I 40.8.
Mytilene, govermment of, v. II. 9 .
and Athens, v. 94, 95 .
Myus, v. 36. 21 .

Naxos, v. 30.3, 18 ; 31. 2 ; vi. 96. 2. her supremacy over the Cyclades, v. 3 I . 10.

Negative, does duty twice, with verb and participle, v. 39. I.
omitted, v. $92 \beta .8$.
redundant, $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \hat{\eta}$ oủ, v. 94. I3. $\mu \eta{ }^{\prime}$ oủ, vi. 9. 7.
$\epsilon i$ oủ, vi. 9. 20. Cf. $\mu \eta$, où.
Nicodromus of Aegina, vi. 88. 3.
Nominative, vi. 103. 25 .
Oaths, vi. $86 \gamma .9$.
Odomanti, v. 16.
Oea in Aegina, v. 83. ro.
Oebares, vi. 33. 18.
Oedipus, date of, v. 6o. 7.
Oenoe, v. 74. io.
Olives at Athens, v. 82. Io.
Onesilus of Salamis, v. I io ff.
Optative, in indirect questions, vi. 35. 17.
in orat. obl., vi. 3. 8.
Oracle, obscure, v. 56.4.
combines predictions, vi. I9. I.
given in common to Argos and Miletus, vi. 19. I ; 77. 9.
Oracles, brought from Athens to Sparta, etc., v. 90. 9.
influence of, in Sparta, vi. 57. 13.
Orbelus, v. i6. го.
Orthagoridae, the, v. 67,2 ; vi. 126 , p. 317.

Ostracism, vi. 124. 2.

Paeonian woman, story of the, v. I 2. parallel from Nicolaus Damascenus, ibid.
Paeonians on the Strymon, v. i. at Sardis, v. I 2 f .
invaded by Persia, v. I5. return to Europe, v. 98.
Paeoplae, v. 15.
Pan, appears to Pheidippides, vi. Io5. temple of, at Athens, vi. 105. I2.
Panathenaea, the Great, v. 56. 2.

Panionium, the, vi. 7. 4 .
Papyrus, v. 58. 12.
Paros, vi. I32. 7.
Miltiades' expedition to, vi. 133 f .
settles disputes at Miletus, v. 29.
Participle and finite verb, vi. 25.9.
Past, the, illimitable, v. 9. I4.
Pausanias, Herodotus' account of, v. $3^{2}$. 10.

Pedasa, vi. 20. 7.
Pedasum, v. I2I. 4.
Peirene, v. $92 \beta .22$.
Peisistratidae, length of theirtyranny, v. 65.14 .
and Sparta, v. 91. 14.
and Miltiades, vi. $36.3 ; 39.5$.
Peisistratus in Thrace, v. 63.14 .
Pelasgi, the, at Athens, vi. I37.
Pelasgic fortress, the, v. 64.9.
Perialla, vi. 66. 7 .
Periander and Thrasybulus, v. $9^{2} \zeta$. 5.
and his mother, v. $9^{2} \eta$. I 7 .
reconciles Athens and Mytilene, v. 95.

Perinthus, v. I.
Perseus, vi. 54. 2.
Persia, embassy sent to, from Athens, v. $73.4 ; 96.8$.

Persian envoys in Macedonia, v. if. armour, v. 49. I $^{-17}$ -
generals in Asia Minor, v. i16. 7.
court, cruelty of, vi. 32.5 .
treatment of Greeks, vi. 41. I8.
accounts of Greek heroes, vi. 54 . 2.

War, regarded as a religious war, vi. ioi. If.
possessions in Europe, vi. 33. 18.
Persians in Thrace, v. I f.
in Paeonia, v. I4. I5.
addicted to drinking, v. I8. 24.
Phalerum, v. 63 . in.
Pheidippides, vi. I05, 106.
Pheneus, vi. 74. I3.
Philippus of Croton, v. 47. I.

Phoebe, shrine of, vi. 6I. I9.
Phoenicians at Thebes, v. 57.4.
Phrygia, wealth of, v. 49. 29.
Pityussa, vi. 37. I2.
Plataeans at Marathon, vi. I08. their relations with Athens, ibid.
Play on words, v. $92 \beta$. 12.
Pluperfect, vi. 24 . Io; iro. 3 .
Plural for singular, olá $\tau \in$, v. 20. I6. rафаи́, v. 63.24.
$\kappa a \tau^{\prime}$ àp ג́ás, v. 62. $4 .^{\text {. }}$
Plural and singular ( $\sigma v \nu \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \in \ldots$ є́ $\mu$ а́ $\chi$ оуто), V. І I 2.5.
Polemarch, the, his position, vi. Io9. 6 ; III. 5 .
Polichne in Chios, vi. 26. II.
Polygamy, Getae, v. 4. 2.
the tribe above the Crestonaeans, v. 5 .
the Paeonians, v. I 5 .
Polyandry at Sparta, v. 40.8.
Ponies, shaggy, described, v. 9. 6.
Population of Athens, v. 97.15.
Pottery, Attic, excluded from Aegina, v. 88. 10.

Prasias, Lake, v. I5. I6.
 5 ; infin. vi. 99.6 ; see vi. S2. I2.
Princes, the, of the Chersonese, vi. 34. 8.

Professions, hereditary at Sparta, vi. 60. 2.

Prognostication, vi. 27. I.
Pronouns, enclitic, position of, vi. $63.2 ; 80.3$.
Prophecies, care of, at Sparta, vi. 57. 13, 19.
Prophetess, the, at Delphi, vi. 66. 7.
Propylaea, the, at Athens, v. 77. 23.
Froxeni at Sparta, vi. 57. I I.
Prytaneum, the, at Athens, vi. 103. I5.
 10 ; see vi. 35.17.

Ransom (two minae per man), v. 77 . I 5 ; vi. 79. 2.
Red Sea, the, vi. 20. 3.
Redundancy, repetition of words, vi. ${ }^{23}$. 16 ; vi. 80. 2.
Rhenaea, vi. 97. 5.
Road, the Great, from Ephesus to Susa, v. 52. I f.
Roads, public, at Sparta, vi. 57. 23 .
Runners in the Stadium, v. 22. I3.
Sacae, the, vi. II 3. 4.
Sacred Way, the, vi. 34. Io.
Sacrifices, offered by the Spartan kings, vi. 56. 8.
public at Sparta, vi. 57.
Salamis in Cyprus, v. IIo.
Samians, Herodotus' feeling towards, vi. 13 .

Samos and Chalcis, v. 99. 5.
Sardis, Darius at, v. i2.
the Paeonians at, v. I3.
Athenian envoys at, v. 97 .
position of, v. 100. 6.
Scidrus, vi. 21. 2.
Scythes, vi. 23 . I5.
Scythians, the, and Miltiades, vi. 40.3.
their habit of drinking, vi. 84. I6.
at Sparta, vi. 84.
Segesta and Motye, v. 46. 5.
Selymbria, vi. 33. 7.
Sepeia, battle of, see Hesipeia.
Serpent, the, emblem of Argos, vi. 77. I4.

Sicyon, tyrants at, v. 67.2 ; vi. 126. App. 5.
and Argos, v. 67.3 ; vi. 92 . 10.
changes made by Cleisthenes, $v$. 68. 2.
tribes at, v. 68. II, I4.
Sigeum, taken by Peisistratus, v. 94. 4.

Sigynnae, v. 9. 6.
Singular and plural (verb), v. II2. 5.
collective, vi. II9. I5.
Sirio-Paeones, v. I5.

Sisamnes, the royal judge, v. 25.5 . 'Slaves,' the, at Argos, vi. 83. I. Soli, in Cyprus, v. $110, \mathrm{II}_{3}, \mathrm{II}_{5}$. Sophanes of Decelea, vi. 92. 21.
Soros, the, at Marathon, vi. 117.3.
Sovereign and subordinate city, v. 82. 13 ; 83. 2.

Sparta, and Athens, v. 91.
opposed to tyranny, v. $9^{2}$ a. 4.
kings of, vi. $5^{6} \mathrm{f}$; see Kings of Sparta.
and Delphi, vi. 57. I3.
women of, vi. 68. 12.
marriage of kin at, v. 39. 6; vi. 71. 3. App. 8.
bribery at, vi. 72.4 .
Sparta's position in Greece, vi. 49. 10.

Spartan kings, quarrel between, v. 75.8 .
not to go out together, ibid.
honours at sacrifices, vi. 57 .
receive double portions, ibid.
their meals, vi. 57. I4.
amount of food given to them, ibid. 16.
Spartans, the, leaders of Greece, v. 49. 10.
their regard for religion, v. 63.9.
besiege Hippias, v. 65.3.
character of, vi. $86 a .14$.
will not march out before the full moon, vi. 106. 13 .
arrive too late for Marathon, vi. 120. 4.

Stade, length of the, v. 53.7 .
Statues made of wood, v. 82. 10.
Stoa Poecile, vi. II3. Io.
Strategy, Greek, vi. 78. I.
Stymphalus, the lake of, vi. 76. 3 .
Styra, vi. 10\%. 10.
Styx, the, vi. 74. 8.
Subject, change of, vi. 30. 3 .
Subjunctive with $\mu \bar{\eta}$ oú, v. 79. 12.
ti, vi. 1 I. 10 ; 135 . 9.
$\pi \rho^{\prime} \nu \gamma \in \delta \dot{\eta}$, vi. 82. 8 .

Subjunctive with öк $\kappa \omega \mathrm{s} \mu$ ', vi. 85. 12 . ov, vi. 133. 10.
Sunium, festival at, vi. 87. 8.
Susa, v. 53.5.
Sybaris and Miletus, vi. 2 1. 2.
Syennesis, v. if8. 8.
Syloson, vi. I3. I5.

Table companions of the Great King, v. 24. 22.
Tanagra, Gephyraeans at, v. 57. 7.
Tattooing in Thrace, v. 6. 6.
Taxation of Ionia, vi. $4^{2}$. 10.
Tegea, vi. 72. 8.
Teleboae, v. 59. 6.
Telesilla, vi. 77. 10.
Telys, v. 44. 2.
Temenos in Euboea, vi. 101. 3.
Temples on the Acropolis, v. 7 I. 5 ; 72. 16.

Teucrians, the Paeonians are colonists of, v. 13 .
Text, corruption of, v. 27 ; vi. 98. I3; 122. (See Anacoluthon.)
Thasians, the, and their neighbours, vi. 46. 2 .
their settlements on the mainland, ibid. 9.
revenue of, ibid.
Thebes and Thespiae, v. 79. 6.
and Athens at war, v. 79 ; ri. 108. 5.

Thesmophoria at Ephesus, vi. 16. 8.
Thespiae and Thebes, v. 79. 6.
Thessalians, the, and the Peisistratids, v. 94. 3.
allies of the Athenians, v. 63. 14.
their king, ibid. 15 .
Thessaly, Leotychidas in, vi. $7^{2 .} 3$. Cleomenes in, vi. 74. 3.
Thrace, subjection of, v. 2 .
extent of, v. 3. 6.
customs of, v. 4-6.
Greek fortresses in, vi. 33. 6.

Thrasybulus and Periander, v. $92 \zeta$. 5.

Thyrea, vi. 76. II.
Timesitheus, v. 72. 24 .
Tiryns, inhabitants of, vi. $83 \cdot 5 \cdot$ capture of by Argos, ibid. io.
Tisander, (1) v. 66. 5 ; (2) vi. 127. 23.

Towns, names of, used for territories, vi. II. I.

Trade, rivalry in, vi. 37.3 .
Traditions, various, of historical events, vi. 75. 20.
Tragic choruses, v. 67. 29.
Transportation, Persian method of, vi. 20. 2 .

Trausi, their belief, v. 4; and origin, ibid.
Trial at Athens, vi. I36. I2.
Tribes of Athens (four), v. 66. 9 f., Appendix 6; (ten) v. 69. 8, Appendix 7.
their names, v. 66. II.
order of, vi. 103. 2 ; III. 6.
at Sicyon, v. 68. 11, 14.
Troad, Lesbian colonies in, v. 94. 11.

Trojan war, date of, vi. 98. 9 .
Tymnes, v. 37-3.
Tyndareus, date of, v. 60. \%-

Tyndaridae, the, v. 75. II.
Tyranny, Miltiades on his trial for, vi. 104. 8.

Tyrants deposed by Sparta, v. $9^{2} a$. 4.
in Ionia, vi. 43 . I4.
Veiling of the head, vi. 67. 13.
Vote of the Spartan kings, vi. 57. 27.

Wall at Athens, v. 64. 9.
White Pillars, the, in Caria, v. II 8 . 4.

Wife, immolation of a, v. 5.9.
Wine, mixed with water, vi. 84. 4.
Women in Thrace, v. 6.
at entertainments, v. i8. 9 .
choruses of, v. 83. 13.
cruelty of, at Athens, v. 87. II. of Sparta, vi. 68. 12.
Wood for statues, v. 82. ro.
Xerxes, reign of, vi. 98.7.
Year, the, in Herodotus, vi. 3 I. 2.
Zancle, inhabitants of, vi. 22. 10.
Zeus, temples of, in Caria, v. II9. 3. Lacedaemonian, vi. 56. 2.

## 2．INDEX OF WORDS．

ả $\boldsymbol{q}^{\boldsymbol{a}} \mathrm{a}$ á，тá，vi．III．II．
ả $\gamma \eta \lambda a \tau \epsilon ́ \epsilon \ell$, v．72． 5.
ả $\nu \omega \mu \circ \sigma v ́ \nu \eta$ $\delta \iota a \chi \rho \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta a \iota$, vi．10．4．

ảঠıкíov，v．89．Io．
ảסv́vara，plural，vi．I3．7．
ảסúvaтoı，＇disabled，＇vi．16． 2.
ḋєıкє́s，vi．98．I2．
ảӨavatí̧єıv，v．4． 2.

aiví $\sigma \sigma \in \sigma \theta a \iota$, v．56． 4 ．
aiṕ́єє（fut．？），vi．82．I2．
$\lambda o ́ y o s, ~ v i . ~ 124 . ~ 4 . ~$

ảкŋ́риктоs（по́ $\lambda \in \mu о s$ ），vi．81．7．
а̉крŋтото́т $\eta$ s，vi．84． 4 ．
адкро $\mu \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\eta} s$, v．42． 2.
व̊ $\lambda^{\prime} \eta, ~ v . ~ 29.9 . ~$
ả $\lambda \lambda o \hat{\imath} 0 \nu=\nu \epsilon \omega ́ т \epsilon \rho o \nu$, v．40． 4 ．
ả入入офроข ${ }^{2} \sigma \alpha \iota, ~ v . ~ 85 . ~ 10 . ~$
${ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \omega$ ，v．8． 4.
ä $\mu$ a á $\gamma \dot{\prime} \mu \in \nu 0$ ，vi． 43.4 ．
а̉ $\mu \dot{\eta} \chi а \nu о \nu \mu \eta$ ，v．3． 5.

$\alpha^{\alpha} \mu \phi \iota \delta \in ́ \xi \iota \circ \nu$, v． $9^{2}$ є． 5 ．
$a^{2} \nu$ omitted，vi．82． 8 ．
ảvà Xpóvov，v．27．2．
ảעаßá入入єбөaı $\mu a ́ \chi a s, ~ v . ~ 49 . ~ 43 . ~$
àvaßá入入о $\alpha \iota ~ v ̊ \pi о к р ı \nu є ́ \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha, ~ v . ~ 49 . ~ 49 . ~$
ảvaı $\rho \in ́ \in \sigma \theta a l$ ，＇suscipere，＇vi．29． 8.
d̉va入a $\mu \beta \dot{a} \nu \epsilon \iota \nu(\tau \rho \hat{\omega} \mu a)$ ，v．I21．I．
＇recipere，＇v．9I．I．
ảขaплє́єเข，vi． 31.3 ．


ฉ̇váбтaбтоу，v．IOG． 2 孔．

ảvaбпáбтovs，vi．9． 24.
ảvঠрапоঠıєíтаı，vi．I\％． 4 ．
â้ขє $\sigma เ s ~ \kappa а \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu, ~ v . ~ 28 . ~ 2 . ~$
ảขє $\sigma \tau \eta \kappa v i ́ \eta ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \eta \chi^{\omega} \rho \eta$ ，v．29． 6.
ảע $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{\prime}} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \in \sigma \theta a i$, v．4．7．
ảעךкоvбтє́єเv，with dative，vi．14．IO．
ả $\xi \iota \iota \hat{v} \nu=\nu 0 \mu i\langle\epsilon \iota \nu$, vi．87．5．
ảma入入á $\theta \eta$ ，vi，45． 12.
ả $\pi \epsilon \iota \pi a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0$ ，5．56． 8.
ảтєкори́фоv，v．73．II．
ả $\pi \epsilon \mu \nu \eta \mu о ́ v \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon$, v．65． 18 ．

ảmó，with $\gamma \epsilon \bar{\varphi} \sigma \theta \alpha 4$ ，etc．，v．2．I．
in composition，vi． $5.2 ; 63.8$.
ảmo ${ }^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\nu} \in \sigma \theta a \iota=$＇to depart life，＇v．4．5．
ảтотє $\mu \not \subset$ аи́́vous，vi．63． 2.
ảторХף́ $\sigma a \sigma \theta a u$ ，vi．129． 22.
ảто́ $\sigma$ то入оs，v． 38.9.
ảтобтuर́є́ $\omega \nu$ ，vi． 129 ． 18.

á ámatos，vi．5． 9.
á $\rho \eta_{\eta}=\epsilon \cup^{\chi} \chi \dot{\eta}$ ，vi．63．I6．
á $\rho \iota \theta \mu \hat{\varphi}$ ，vi．58．I 3 ．
áp $о б \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota, ~ v i . ~ 65.6 . ~$
ă $\rho \xi a \sigma \theta a \iota$ ảmó，v．49． 20.
a้ $\sigma \eta \mu \circ \nu$, v． $9^{2} \beta$ ． 17.
áбтıктоу，v．6． 7.
dंтє $\epsilon \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ карт $\omega \hat{\nu}$ ，vi． 46 ．I3．
aủ $\theta a \delta ́ \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \iota$ ，vi． 92 ． 13 ．
av̉ $\tau \dot{a}=\mu$ óva，v．68． 6.
$a \hat{\top} \tau \iota \varsigma=\epsilon \mathfrak{i} \sigma a \hat{v} \theta \iota \varsigma$ ，vi．75．I．

93． 2.
aย̉тоิิ тav́тŋ，vi．30．7；16． 4.

Ba日úтатор，v． $9^{2}$ 乌． 16.

Ba入civ cis róvv，vi．27． 1 I．

Baot入єús and túpavvos，v．44． 3 ； 109． 2.
and $\mu$ ov́vapxos，vi．23． 3.
Bорє́ $\eta \mathrm{s}$ ă $\nu \in \mu \circ s$ ，vi．44． 1 I．
Bó $\sigma \kappa \omega \nu$ ，vi．39．I5．
Boú $\lambda \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ єi，vi．52．17．
Bpaxús，èv Bpaxєî，v．24． 15.
रav̂入ot，vi．17． 6.
$\gamma \in \rho a i ́ \tau \in \rho о \nu, \tau o ́ v$, vi．52． 21 ．
$\gamma \nu \dot{\mu} \mu \eta$ ，aù $\frac{\hat{\omega}}{} \boldsymbol{\pi} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \eta \dot{\eta} \gamma^{\nu} \omega \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \nu$, v．126． 2.

耳óvv，ès fóvv $\beta a \lambda \epsilon i v$, vi． 27.11 ．
$\delta \epsilon \iota v o ́ v \tau_{\imath}=\delta$ éos，vi．138．г6．
$\delta_{\text {е́к } \alpha}=$＇by tens，＇v．69．іо．
$\delta^{\prime} \nu \quad \delta p o s$, vi．79．I I．

$\delta \in v ́ \tau \in \rho o s$, with gen．，vi．46．I．
$\delta \hat{\eta} \mu \mathrm{os}$ ，in Corinth，v． 92 B． 7.

v́nò tov̂ $\delta \eta \mu \sigma \sigma i ́ o v, ~ v i . ~ 121 . ~ 8 . ~$
$\delta_{\text {a }} \alpha \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \epsilon เ v$, v． 50.5 ；vi． 5 I． 2.
סıaßa入óvтєs，intrans．，vi．44．8．
סıamivetv，v．ı8． 6.
ঠıaплє́кєเv，v． 92 乌． 2.
$\delta_{i} \delta a \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda i \alpha=$ lessons，v． 58.3 ．
$\delta i \epsilon ́ \delta \epsilon \xi \epsilon$ ，v． 124. I．（Cf．vi． 2 \％．I．）
סlékrıлoos，vi．12． 4.
$\delta \iota \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i v$, v．33． 12 ．
$\delta_{\ell \in \rho \chi}{ }^{\circ} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu$, vi．I $3+9$.
ठぃкนо仑ิv，v． 92 ． 14 ．
$\delta_{i \mu \nu \epsilon \omega s, ~ v . ~ 77 . ~ 15 . ~}^{\text {．}}$
סógav фú $\sigma a s$, v．91． 19.

$\delta v \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon เ \nu$, v．66． 3.
${ }^{\epsilon} \delta \epsilon \epsilon \iota$ ，with gen．and inf．，v． $3^{8 .} 9$.
$\dot{\epsilon} \delta i \delta \delta \sigma \alpha \nu=\dot{\epsilon} \xi \epsilon \delta i \delta o \sigma \alpha \nu$, v． $92 \beta .3$.
＇́ $\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \nu^{\prime} \epsilon \in \theta a l$ ，vi．Io9． 26.
є่ $\gamma \gamma v \alpha ิ \nu$ ，vi．57． 23 ．
є́үкотоь，vi．73． 4.

${ }^{\epsilon} \theta \in$ єокакє́єเข，v． 78.6.
$\epsilon i$ oủ，vi．9． 20.
$\epsilon i$ ，with optative in deliberative questions，vi．35．I7
with subjunctive，vi．II．10； 135 ． 8.
atter $\beta$ ov́ $\lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha i$, vi． $5^{2}$ ． 17.
$\dot{\epsilon} K=$＇at the instigation of，＇vi．2．9．

ஸ́s ёкабтоv，vi．79． 6.
${ }^{\text {és }} \kappa \theta \dot{v} \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha t$, vi．91． 4.
$\epsilon ้ \kappa \pi \epsilon \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \hat{\omega} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$, v．73． 6.
$\hat{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha l{ }^{\prime} \kappa \kappa \tau o u ̂ \nu o ́ o v$, vi．12． 12.
éктра́тоутаи，vi． 34 ult．

＇E入入ウ́viot $\theta \in o i ́$, v． $9^{2}$ ク． 32.

${ }^{\star} \mu \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \not \eta \nu$ ，vi．129． 9.
${ }^{\epsilon} \nu$ airín $\sigma \chi \epsilon i \nu$, v．Io6． 1 I．
＇้̇ $v o p a ̂ v$ ，with partic．，v．36． 12.


є́ॄapyupoûv，vi． 86 a． 21.
${ }^{\prime} \epsilon \xi \in 0$ ，＇divorce，＇v．39． 16.
є́єєขєікабөal，vi．Io3． 6.


द́छоркойv，vi．74． 8.
ćóv，tò द́óv，vi． 50 ．i2．
＇̇табкєiv，vi．92．17．
${ }_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime} \pi \epsilon \delta \rho o \nu$, v． 4 I .2.

＇゙ $\pi \in \sigma \theta a l$ ，v．24． 21 ；75．9．
＇̇ $\overline{\pi \eta \rho \epsilon \alpha ́ ̧ o \nu \tau \epsilon s, ~ v i . ~ 9 . ~} 21$.
＇ $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\pi}$＇，with acc．，＇against，＇v．44．I3． тágıas，vi．III． 15 ；see $\kappa$ ŕ́pas．
with genitive of naming after，$v$ ． 65．18；vi．47． 3.


є̇ $\pi \iota \beta a \tau \epsilon \cup \cup \omega \nu$ ，vi． 65 ．I 8 ．
＇̇ $\pi \iota \kappa a \lambda \epsilon \in \sigma \omega \nu \tau \alpha \iota$, v．I． 7.

${ }_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \iota \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \omega \nu$, v． 70.8 ．

＇̇тเ $\pi \tau \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s=$＇feeling，＇v．74． 3.

і̇ $\pi i \sigma \tau \iota a$, v. 72.5 .
द̀ $\pi เ \tau \epsilon \lambda$ éovol, v. 4.4.
'̇ $\pi เ \tau \imath \theta \in \sigma \theta a l$, vi. 60.5 .
'̇тเтıӨєi, 'sends,' v. 95. 7.

$\dot{\epsilon} \pi{ }^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mu} \nu v \mu$, with aorist infin., v. 106. 31.
${ }^{\epsilon} \rho \gamma$ оу, v. ı. 16.
' achievement,' vi. 29. 5.
's $\sigma$ ' $\epsilon$, 'for you to hear,' vi. 69. 2:

'̇бásavтo, v. 34. 5 .

̇̇ $\sigma \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$, v. 41. 2.



évavtía, vi. 32. 3 .


${ }^{\epsilon}{ }^{\epsilon} \chi \in I \nu$, ' to direct,' vi. 95. II .
i $\pi i$ i $\sigma \phi i \sigma \iota$, vi. 49. 7.
'ss, v. 81. 6 ; vi. 2. 1.
$\pi \in \rho i$, vi. 26. 4 .
€์avt $\omega \hat{\nu}$, of the first person, v. 20. 17.
§ผро́тєрор, vi. 84. 15.
${ }_{\eta} \beta \eta \delta o ́ v$, vi. 21. 4.
च̀лєкіך, v. 19. 6.
$\hat{\eta} \nu$ (sing. for plur.), v. 12. 5. Cf. i. 26. 6 , etc.
ov̉ $\delta \hat{\omega} \sigma t$, vi. 133. 10.
$\theta a \lambda a \mu i \eta$, v. 33. 12.
$\theta_{\theta}{ }^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \iota$, v. 80.2.
$\theta \in$ Tós, vi. 57. 24.
$\theta v \mu \hat{\omega}$ ßоидó $\mu \in \nu 0 t$, v. 49. 22.
$\theta \bar{\omega} \kappa о s=\beta o v \lambda \eta$, vi. 63.5 .
iб́́a, vi. 100. 8 ; 119. 12.
l'́val, with fut. part., ${ }^{\prime \prime} \mid a \lambda^{\prime} \xi \xi \omega \nu$, v. 62.4.
iкєт $\eta$ рí , v. 5 1. 3.
 iкขєо $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\epsilon} \nu \omega$, vi. 65.13 .
immoßótal, at Chalcis, v. 77. I1.
iб $\eta$ रорí $\eta$, v. 78. 2.
iбократía, v. 92 a. 4.
iборо í $^{\boldsymbol{\eta}}$, v. 37. 8.
$\kappa \alpha a^{\prime}=$ ' and yet,' v. 21. 7.
$\kappa а \lambda \epsilon о ́ \mu \in \nu$ оs, vi. 88. 3 .
$\kappa а \lambda \lambda_{t} \epsilon \rho \epsilon \in \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$, vi. 82. 9.
$\kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda_{l} \epsilon \rho \epsilon \in \epsilon \tau \nu$, vi. 76.8 .
$\kappa \alpha \rho \pi \hat{\omega} \nu$ à $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \in \sigma \iota$, vi. 46. 13 .
$\kappa a \tau a ́=$ ' on account of,' v. 3. 6.
distributive, v. 3. 7 .
in composition, vi. 5. 2; 16. 11 ;
75. 23 ; 97. 7.

кoî́n $\tau t$; vi. I. 5.
$\lambda$ д́́ov, v. 8. 6.
тaủてá, $\tau$ á, vi. 42. 13 .
тои̃то, 'for this reason,' v. 86. 8.
with тpań́ $\sigma \theta a t$, v. 12. 2.
катаßаivetv, v. 22. 8; 29.9.
катаүıүขผ́бкєเข ката́, v. 97. 7.
$\kappa a т a \gamma เ \nu \epsilon \in \epsilon \nu$, vi. 75.23 .
катабо́gаขтєs, vi. 16. i1.
катаөри́бкєเข, with acc., vi. I.З. 16.
$\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \theta \nu \mu i ́ \eta$, v. 39. 6.
катацрєо́ $\mu \epsilon \nu 0$, vi. 29. 9.
$\kappa а \tau \alpha ́ \kappa \lambda \iota \sigma \iota s \gamma \alpha ́ \mu o v$, vi. 129.2.
$\kappa a \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \in i v$, impersonal, vi. 103. 4 .
каталаßє́ $\sigma \theta a \iota$, vi. 55. 4.
$\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta a ́ v \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, vi. 29. 8.
$\kappa а т а \lambda a \mu \beta a ́ v \epsilon i \nu$, v. 21. 8.
$\kappa а т а \lambda \in \lambda \nu \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$, vi. 43. 2.
катадขө́́vtєs, vi. 9. Іо.
катацıаірєбөaı, vi. 58. 6.
кататакти́, v. 16. 14.
ката́ттєбөaı, vi. 68. 4.
катаХор $\delta є \dot{\prime} \omega \nu$, vi. 75. 17.
катє́ $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ оутєє под入óv, vi. 102. 2.
$\kappa а т \in ́ \chi \in \iota \nu$, vi. 129. 8.
катйкоута, тд́, v. 49. 8.
Kav́каба, in Chios, v. 33. 4.
$\pi \epsilon ́ \rho a s, ~ \grave{\epsilon} \pi i$ rє́́pas, vi. 12. 3 ; 14. 3 .
$\kappa \iota \theta \dot{\omega} \nu=\theta \dot{\omega} \rho \eta \xi$, v. 106. 32.
$\kappa \lambda \eta \rho \circ \hat{\chi} \chi \circ$, v. 77. I.
$\kappa \nu i \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu, ~ v i .62$. 1.
$\kappa о \mu \hat{a} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi i, ~ v .7$. 2.

Koviaîov，v．63． 16.
rрivetv，＇to select，＇vi．128． 13.
кирßабias，v．49．17．
$\kappa \imath \psi \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta$ ，v． $9^{2}$ €． 3 ．
$\lambda \dot{\theta} \sigma \theta \eta$ ，vi．67． 8.
$\lambda \in ́ \beta \eta s$ ，vi． $5^{8 .} 4$.
$\lambda \epsilon v \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \rho a$, v．67．12．
$\lambda \eta i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a u$ ，vi． $86 \gamma .5$.
$\lambda \iota \pi a \rho \epsilon i v$, with dative，v．19． 7 ．
лоүотоtós，v． 36.7 ．
入óros，$\lambda$ ó $\boldsymbol{\gamma o t}$, in Herod．，v． $3^{6 .}$ 19．
$\mu \alpha \theta \epsilon i v$, ＇to perceive，＇vi．69． 13.
$\mu a ́ \chi \eta$ ，＇mode of fighting，＇v．49． 16.
$\mu_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \mu \nu \epsilon 0$, v．IO．5． 14.
$\mu \epsilon \tau а \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ，vi． 63 ．I I．
$\mu$＇，for ov̉，vi．66． 10 ；94．7．
$\mu \eta े$ ov，with participles，vi．9．7； 106． 14.
with subj．，v．79． 12.
$\mu \nu$ ，superfluous，vi． 35.13 ．
neuter，vi．82． 3 ．
$\mu о i ̂ p a \nu=\sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma t \nu$, v． 69.7 ．
 vi． 23.3 ．
моиขоиахї，v．8．6．
vaúкрароs，v．71． 6.
vauィратє́єs тท̂s $\theta a \lambda \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \eta s$, v．36． 1 I．
$\nu \epsilon i \kappa o s=$＇trial at law，＇vi．66． 2.
$\nu \epsilon \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o s, ~ ' v i o l e n t, '$ v．19． 9.
$\nu$ vóOs，v．94． 7.

$\nu o ́ \mu o s,{ }_{\text {ċv }} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \nu \quad \nu o ́ \mu \varphi, ~ v i . ~ 89 . ~ 9 . ~$

$\xi \in i v i o u s(\xi$ fivous ），v． 63.8 ．
§иро̂̂ ảкцף́，vi．II． 5 ．
छú入ov，＇stocks，＇vi．75． 8.
ö $\tau t$ ，＇why，＇vi． 55. I．
$\% \delta \epsilon$ ，referring back，vi．10． 2.

oikเбтク＇s，honour paid to，vi． $3^{8 .} 4$ ．
ӧксыs＝öть，v．106． 20.
$\mu \eta^{\prime}$ ，with subj．，vi．85． 12 ．



o$\nu о \mu a ́ \zeta \epsilon \tau \alpha l, ~ ' i s ~ p r o v e r b i a l, ' ~ v i . ~ ェ з о . ~$
＂Oркои тáts，vi． 86 र． 9.
öprous ém $\boldsymbol{\prime} \lambda a \sigma a \nu, ~ v i . ~ 62.8 . ~$
тробá $\boldsymbol{\epsilon \iota \nu \text { ，vi．74．} 5 .}$
$\dot{\delta} \rho \mu \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota$ ，vi． 86 8． 4.
$\%_{o}^{\prime \prime}=o ̈ \sigma \tau t s$, vi．124．7．
ö $\sigma$ оข $\tau \ell$ ，vi．52． 29.
ö́ctis for ös，vi．47．3．
ov̉ ．．．$\mu a ́ \lambda a$ ，＇not at all，＇vi．3． 4.
ov̉ $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda \frac{1}{n} \hat{\eta}$ ov̉，v．94． 13 ．
oú，with ${ }_{\eta} \nu$, vi．133． 10 ．
oủ ．．．Tє，v．42．I．
oủ $\delta \grave{c} \nu \quad \pi a ́ v \tau a s$, v．34．I．
ouv $\kappa \omega \nu$ ，with future，v． 92 ๆ． 33 ．
อข้тє $\tau \iota$ ，vi． 86 8． 4.
ovivos，referring to what comes after－ wards，v．2． 7.

$\pi \alpha ิ \nu, ~ \tau o ́, ~ v i . ~ 88 . ~ 2 . ~$
$\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu, \pi \epsilon \sigma o ́ v \tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega \hat{\nu} \nu$,
v．120．6．（Cf．21．4；67．6．）
параßаíveıv（ $\delta$ аí $\quad$ ova），vi．12． 11 ．
пара́ $\delta є \iota \gamma \mu a$, v．62．17．
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \rho \bar{\sigma} \sigma \theta \epsilon$, v． $9^{2} \alpha$ ． 12.
$\pi \alpha \rho i ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，intrans．，v．18． 24.
$\pi \hat{a} \sigma a$, ท̀，$\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta}, ~ v . ~ 21 . ~ 4 . ~$
$\pi \alpha \tau \rho \dot{\theta} \theta \in v$ ảvarpaф$\hat{\eta}_{\nu} a$ ，vi．14． 13 ．
$\pi a \tau \rho o v ̂ \chi o s ~ \pi a \rho \theta \in ́ v o s$, vi．57．22．Ap－ pendix 8.
$\pi a \chi^{\prime} \epsilon \in$ ，oi，vi．91． 2.
$\pi \epsilon \delta \dot{\eta} \sigma a s$, vi． 23.16.
$\pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \iota \nu$ ఱ̈ $\sigma \tau \epsilon$ ，vi．5．1о．
$\pi \epsilon i \theta \in \sigma \theta a u$ ，with gen．，v．29．14；vi． 12． 21 ．
$\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\rho} \nu$, vi． 84.9.
$\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta a \iota$ ，with participle，vi．5．§．
$\pi \epsilon ́ \nu \theta o s \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \tau i \theta \in \sigma \theta a l$ ，vi．21． 5 ．

$\pi \epsilon \pi о \lambda \iota \sigma \mu_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu \eta$ ，v．I3．II．


$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon t \nu$, vi．30． 12.
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota 申 \in \rho \in \iota(\mu \nu \eta \dot{\prime} \mu)$ ，vi． $86 \beta .8$.
$\pi o l \epsilon \in \sigma \theta a l$ ，with abstract substantives， vi．IOI． 7 ．

жо $\lambda \lambda \alpha ́, \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha े ~ \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha, ~ v . ~ 67.6 . ~(C f . ~$ $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu$.
$\pi o \lambda \lambda a \chi \hat{\eta}$ ，＇on many occasions，＇vi． 2I． 9 ．

$\pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\varphi} \tau \iota$, v． 92 є． 14 ；vi．78． 7 ．
поли́фๆ $о \nu$ ，v．79． 4.
$\pi \rho \hat{\eta} \gamma \mu a$ ，$\epsilon i \nu a l$ ，with dative，v． 84 ult．
＇hindrance，＇v．90． 2.
$\pi o \iota \eta=a \sigma \theta a l$ ，vi． 63.9 ．
$\pi \rho i v \gamma \in \delta \dot{\eta}$ ，with subjunctive，vi． 82. 8.
$\pi \rho o ́=$＇ just outside，＇vi．103． 16.
$\pi \rho o ́ \beta o v \lambda o l, ~ v i . ~ 7.3 . ~$
$\pi \rho o \delta i \delta \delta \dot{v} a$, ，to play the traitor，＇vi． ${ }^{15} 7$.
$\pi \rho о i ́ \sigma \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ ，vi． 9.17 ；49． 3 ； 86 a． I3．
$\pi$ ро́катє，vi．I34．I 5.
трокат८ऽо́ $\mu \in \nu о \nu$, v．12． 9.
$\pi \rho o \lambda \in \lambda \in \sigma \chi \eta \nu \in \nu \mu \in ́ \nu \omega \nu$ ，vi． 4.3 ．
троофєє入о́ $\mu \in \nu$ оs，v．82．I ；vi．59． 5.
$\pi \rho o ́ s$, with gen．，v．I2．I 5.
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \beta a \lambda \epsilon i v$, vi． 70.16.
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \epsilon i v a l=$＇urged，＇v．30． 26.
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau i \theta \in \sigma \theta a \iota \pi \epsilon ́ \nu \theta o s$, vi．21． 5.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \phi \in \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau a \tau o \nu, ~ v . ~ I I I . ~ I 3 . ~$
$\pi \rho о ́ \sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha$ ，v．28． 7.
$\pi \rho о \tau \epsilon \in \rho \varphi, \tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \rho о \tau \in ́ \rho \varphi \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \ell$ ，vi． 95.15.
трофа́бıas є̈ $\lambda \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，vi．86． 3 ．

$\hat{\rho} v \theta \mu o ́ s$, ＇form，＇v．58．7．
oíquvval，v．9． 6.
$\sigma \pi a \delta i \xi a s$, v．25． 8.
$\sigma \pi o \nu \delta a \rho x i a l$ ，vi．57． 6.
$\sigma \tau a \theta \mu o ́ s$, vi．I 19． 9 ．
$\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \eta=\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta i \eta$ ，vi． 56.8 ．
бvג入oү＇，＇coalition，＇v．105．4．
$\sigma v \lambda \lambda v \pi \eta \theta \eta \sigma \sigma \rho \mu \in \nu 0 l$ ，vi．39．13．
$\sigma \nu \mu \beta \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ，act．，v．I．I3．
$\sigma v \mu \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$ ，v．1． 14 ； $92 a$ ． 14. $\sigma v ́ \mu \beta o \lambda a(\tau \alpha ́)$ ，vi． 86 ． 6.
$\sigma v \mu \pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon i v$ ，＇to agree with，＇vi．I8． 6.
＇to coincide，＇v．35． 7 ；36．1．
$\sigma v \mu \phi о \rho \grave{\nu} \nu \pi t^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$ ，v．5． 9.
$\sigma v \nu \epsilon \pi \pi i \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，with dat．，v．22． 13 ．
бvváлtтєเข＇̇s $\mu a ́ \chi \eta \nu, ~ v . ~ 75 . ~ 2 . ~$
бvעє $\sigma \tau a ́ v a l$, vi．29． 3.
$\sigma v \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha}$, vi．128． 8.
бvvo九бó $\mu \in \nu 0$ s，vi．కo．I4．
$\sigma v \nu \tau \alpha ́ \mu \nu \epsilon t \nu$ ，of time，v．4I．IO．
бv́vтороs，v．17．7．
бvvтvхí $\eta$ ，v．41． 6.
бv́ $\sigma \sigma$ เтos，v．24． 22.
$\tau \grave{~} \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha \pi \epsilon \rho \stackrel{\eta}{\kappa \epsilon \epsilon \nu}$ ，vi． 86 a． 8 ．

тафаí，v．63． 24.
$\tau \in \theta \rho \iota \pi \pi о т \rho o ́ \phi o v$, vi．35． 4.
т́́ $\theta \rho \iota \pi \pi o s(\chi a ́ \lambda \lambda \kappa \in \circ$ ），v．77． 21.
$\tau \in i ̀ v=\sigma o i ́, ~ v .60 .4$ ．
тє́ $\mu \in \nu 05$, v．67． 18.
$\tau \hat{\eta}$ ád $\lambda \eta$（not local），vi．21． 19.
$\tau \iota$ ，intensive，v．33． 9.
with negative，vi． 86 8． 4.
with $\pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\varphi}$, v． $9^{2}$ є． 14 ；cf．vi． 69．II．
tiots，vi．72．2．
тò коเขóv（ảmò тô̂ кotvov̂），v．85． 3 ； vi． 14 ． 11.
Tóvẹ，＇rhythm，＇v．60． 2.
трıпрітаı，v．85．Іо．
túpavvos and $\beta a \sigma t \lambda \in u ́ s$, v．44． 3 ； 109． 2.
$\hat{v} \pi a \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon \nu$ ，of bringing into court，vi． 82． 2.
ข̈ாapХos，v．20． 2 I ；p． 128.


$\dot{v} \pi \in \rho \theta \in \mathfrak{i v} \alpha u$, v． $3^{2 .} 3$ ．
$\dot{v} \pi \delta^{\prime}$ ，with gen．，of an obstruction，v． 10． 3 ．
with acc．，temporal，vi．2． 3 ．
ข̇тоб＇́кодац，with aor．inf．，vi． 2.5 ．
$\dot{v} \pi 0 \theta \in \rho \mu о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o v$, vi． 38.9 ．

## INDEX OF WORDS.

imoxiveiv, v, 106. 26.
ن́то入аßผ́v, vi. 27. 6.
і́тонарүо́тєроs, vi. 75. 5 .
ย̇пори́б $\sigma \epsilon \nu$, vi. 18. 3 .
ínóбாovסos, vi. 103. II.
ímoти́nteเข, vi. II9. I4.
$\phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon t \nu$ ès $\nu \in i ̂ k o s, ~ v i . ~ 42 . ~ 2 . ~$
$\phi \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \epsilon t$, impersonal (?), vi. 27. 1. $\phi \iota \lambda о \psi v \chi i \not \eta \nu$ àvaıpéє $\sigma \theta a \iota$, vi. 29. 8.
 фроעєî̀ द̇ாì тобоûtov, vi. 97. 8. фúлapХol, at Athens, v. 69. 9.
$\chi \alpha{ }^{\rho} \rho \nu$, with the article, v. 99. 3.
$\chi \in \iota$ ís, vi. 72. 6 .
$\chi p \eta^{\prime} \zeta \epsilon t \nu$, with gen. of thing, v. 20. 1.
$\chi \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha \chi^{\prime} \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$, v. 8.5.
$\chi \omega \rho^{\prime} \epsilon \in \downarrow=$ ' succeeds,' v. 49. 13.
$\psi \eta \phi \iota \delta o ф о ́ \rho o s, ~ v i . ~ I 09 . ~ 6 . ~$

む̈ $\rho \eta=\kappa \alpha u \rho o ́ s, ~ v . ~ 109.7 . ~$
és táxı $\sigma \tau \alpha$, v. II. I; 29. 9.
$\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \in \rho$ for $\dot{\omega} s, ~ v i .4$ I. 3 .
$\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon=a ̈ \tau \epsilon$, vi. 94. ${ }^{2}$.
after $\pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \tau \nu$, vi. 5. II.

THE END.

## $\mathbb{C l a r e n d o n} \mathbb{P r e s s}$, Orford.
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Anson. Principles of the Hall. International Law. English Law of Contract, and of Agency in its Relation to Contract. By Sir W. R. Anson, D.C.L. Sixth Edition. 8vo. 10s. 6\%.
——Larv and Custom of the Constitution. 2 vols. 8 vo.
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Part II. The Crown. $14^{s}$.
Baden-Powell. Land-Systems of British India; being a Manual of the Land-Tenures, and of the Systems of Land-Revenue Administration prevalent in the several Provinces. By B. H. Baden-Powell, C.I.E. 3 vols. 8 vo. $3^{\text {l. }} 3^{s}$.

Digby. An Introduction to the History of the Law of Real Property. By Kenelm E. Digby, M.A. Fourth Edition. 8vo. 12s. $6 d$.
Grueber. Lex Aquilia. The Roman Law of Damage to Property : being a Commentary on the Title of the Digest 'Ad Legem Aquiliam' (ix. 2'. By Erwin Grueber, Dr. Jur., M.A. Svo. 10s. $6 d$. By W. E. Hall, M.A. Third Edition. 8vo. 22s. 6r.
Holland and Shadwell. Select Titles from the Digest of Justixian. By T. E. Holland, D.C.L., and C. L. Shadwell, B.C.L. 8vo. 14 s .
Also sold in Parts, in paper covers, as follows:-
Part I. Introductory Titles. 2s.6d. Part II. Family Law. is.
Part III. Property Law. 2s. 6d.
Part IV. Law of Obligations (No. I). 3s. $6 d$.
Part IV. Law of Obligations (No. 2). 4s. 6d.
Holland. Elements of Jurisprudence. By T. E. Holland, D.C.L. Fifth Eaition. 8vo. 10s. $6 d$.
——The European Concert in the Eastern Question; a Collection of Treaties and other Public Acts. Edited, witl Introductions and Notes, by T. E. Holland, D.C.L. 8vo. I2s. $6 \pi$.
——Gentilis, Alberici, De Ture Belli Libri Tres. Edidit T. E. Holland, I.C.D. Small 4to, halfmorocco, $21 s$.

Holland. The Institutes of Justinian, edited as a recension of the Institutes of Gaius, by T. E. Holland, D.C.L. Second Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. 5 .

Markby. Elements of Law considered with reference to Principles of GeneralJurisprudence. By SirWilliam Markby, D.C.L. Fourth Edition. 8vo. 12s. 6 d .
Moyle. Imperatoris Iustiniani Institutionum Libri Quattuor; with Introductions, Commentary, Excursus and Translation. By J. B. Moyle, D.C.L. Second Edition. 2 vols. 8 vo . Vol. I. i 6 s . Vol. II. 6 s .

Contract of Sale in the Civil Law. By J. B. Moyle, D.C.L. 8vo. 10s. $6 d$.

Pollock and Wright. An Essay on Possession in the Common Law. By Sir F. Pollock, M.A., and R. S. Wright, B.C.L. (Now the Hon. Mr. Justice Wright). 8vo. 8s. 6d.
Poste. Gaii Institutionum Juris Civilis Commentarii Quattuor ; or,

Elements of Roman Law by Gaius. With a Translation and Commentary by Edward Poste, M.A. 8vo. 18s.

Raleigh. An Outline of the Law of Property. By Thos. Raleigh, M.A. $8 \mathrm{vo} . \quad 7 \mathrm{r} .6 \mathrm{~d}$.

Sohm. Institutes of Roman Law. By Rudolph Sohm, Professor in the University of Leipzig. Translated by J. C. Ledlie, B.C.L. With an Introductory Essay by Erwin Grueber, Dr. Jur., M.A. 8vo. $18 s$.

Stokes. The Anglo-Indian Codes. By Whitley Stokes, LL.D.

Vol. I. Substantive Law. 8vo. 30 s.
Vol. II. Adjective Law. 8vo. 35s. First and Second Supplements to the above. 8 vo .6 s .6 d.

Separately, No. 1, 2s.6d.; No. 2, 4s.6d.
Twiss. The Law of Nations considered as Independent Political Communities. By Sir Travers Twiss, D.C.L. Part I. On the Rights and Dutties of Nations in time of Peace. New Edition. Svo. ${ }^{15}$ s.

## 3. HISTORY, BIOGRAPHY, ETC.

Arbuthnot. The Life and Works of John Arbuthnot, M.D. By George A. Aitken. 8vo, cloth, with portrait, $16 s$.
Baker's Chronicle. Chronicon Galfridi le Baker de Swymebroke. Edited with Notes by Edward Maunde Thompson, Principal Librarian of the British Museum. $4^{\text {to }}$, stiff covers, I8s.; cloth, gilt top, 21 s .
Bentham. A Fragment on

Government. By Jeremy Bentham. Edited with an Introduction by F. C. Montague, M.A. Svo. 7s. $6 d$.

Boswell's Life of Samuel Johnson, LL.D. Edited by G. Birkbeek Hill, D.C.L. In six volumes, medium 8vo. With Portraits and Facsimiles. Half-bound, 3l. 3s.

Calendar of Churters and Rolls preserved in the Bodleian Library. 8vo. 1l. 11s, 6d.

Carte's Life of James Duke of Ormond. 6 vols. Svo. il. 5 s.
Casaubon (Isaac). I559-J6I4. By Mark Pattison. 8vo. 16 s .
Clarendon's History of the Rebellion and Civil Wars in England. Re-edited from a fresl collation of the original MS. in the Bodleian Library, with marginal dates and occasional notes, by W. Dunn Macray, M.A., F.S.A. 6 vols. Crown 8vo. $2 l .5$ s.
Earle. Handbook to the LanclCharters, and other Saxonic Documents. By John Earle, M.A., Professor of Anglo-Saxon in the University of Oxford. Crown Svo. 16 s .
Finlay. A History of Greece from its Conquest by the Romans to the present time, B. C. 146 to A. D. 1864. By George Finlay, LL.D. A new Edition, revised throughout, and in part re-written, with considerable additions, by the Author, and edited by H. F. Tozer, M.A. 7 vols. 8vo. 3l. 10s.
Fortescue. The Governance of England: otherwise called The Difference between an Absolute and a Limited Monarclıy. By Sir John Fortescue, Kt. A Revised Text. Edited, with Introduction, Notes, \&c., by Charles Plummer, M.A. 8vo, half-bound, i2s. $6 d$.
Freeman. The History of Sicily from the Earliest Times.

Vols. I. and II. \&vo, clotlı, 2l. $2 s$.
Vol. IIf. The Athenian and Carthaginian Invasions. 8vo, cloth, 2.4 s .
-History of the Norman Conquest of England; its Causes and Results. By E. A. Freeman, D.C.L. In Six Volumes. Svo. 5l. 9s. 6r.

Freeman. The Reign of William Rufus and the Accession of Henry the First. 2 vols. Svo. Il. 16 s.
— A Short History of the Norman Conquest of England. Second Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6 d .
French Revolutionary Speeches. (See Stephens, H. Morse.)
Gardiner. TheConstitutional Documents of the Puritan Recolution, 1628-1660. Selected and Edited by Samuel Rawson Gardiner, M.A. Crown 8vo; 9 s.
Gascoigne's Theological Dictionary ('Liber Veritatum'): Selected Passages, illustrating the Condition of Chureh and State, 1403-1458. With an Introduction by James E. Thorold Rogers, M.A. fto. 10s. 6 d .
Greswell. History of the Dominion of Canada. By W. Parr Greswell, M.A. Crown Svo. With Eleven Maps. 7s. 6d.
——Geography of the Dominion of Canada and Newfoundland. Crown Svo. With Ten Maps. 6s. -- Geography of Africa South of the Zumbesi. With Maps. Crown 8vo. 7s. $6 d$.
Gross. The Gild Merchant; a Contribution to British Municipal History. By Charles Gross, Ph.D. 2 vols. Svo. 248 .
Hastings. Hastings and the Rohilla Wer. By Sir John Strachey, G.C.S.I. Svo, cloth, Ios. 6 d .

Hodgkin. Italy ancl her Inreders. With Plates and Maps. By T. IIodgkin, D.C.L. Vols. I-IV, A. D. $376-553$. Svo. 3l. 18s.

Vols. I. and II. Second Edition. 2l. 2 s .
Vols. III. and IV. 17.16 s . The Dynasty of Theodosins; or, Seventy Years' Struggle with tho Barbariaus. By the same Author. Crown Svo. 6 s .

Hume. Letters of David Hume to William Strahan. Edited with Notes, Index, \&c., by G. Birkbeck Hill, D.C.L. 8vo. I $2 s .6 \mathrm{~d}$.

Johnson. Letters of Samuel Johnson, LL.D. Collected and edited by G. Birkbeck Hill, D.C.L., Editor of Boswell's 'Life of Johnson' (see Boswell). 2 vols. half-roan, 28 s .

Kitchin. A History of France. With Numerous Maps, Plans, and Tables. By G. W. Kitchin, D.D. In three Volumes. Second Edition. Crown 8vo, each ios. $6 d$.

Vol. I. to 1453. Vol. II. 1453${ }^{1624}$. Vol. III. $1624^{-1} 793$.
Luttrell's (Narciss'us) Diary. A Brief Historical Relation of State Affairs, $1678-1714.6$ vols. Il. $4^{\text {s. }}$

Lucas. Introduction to a Historical Geography of the British Colonies. By C. P. Lucas, B.A. With Eight Maps. Crown Svo. 4s. 6 d .
Lucas. Historical Geography of the British Colonies:

Vol. I. The Mediterranean and Eastern Colonies (exclusive of India). With Eleven Maps. Crown Svo. 5 s.
Vol. II. The West Indian Colonies. Witl Twelve Maps. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6 d .

Machiavelli. Il Principe. Edited by L. Arthur Burd, M.A. With an Introduction by Lord Acton. 8vo. Cloth, 148.
Ralegh. Sir Walter Ralegh. A Biography. By W. Stebbing, M.A. 8vo. Ios. 6 d.

Ramsay (Sir J. H.). Lancaster and York. I Century of English

History (A.d. ${ }^{3} 399^{-1485}$ ). By Sir J. H. Ramsay of Bamff, Bart., M. A. With Maps, Pedigrees, and Illustrations. 2 vols. 8vo. $36 s$.

Ranke. A History of England, principally in the Seventeenth Century. By L. von Ranke. Translated under the superintendence of G. W. Kitchin, D.D., and C. W. Boase, M.A. 6 vols. 8vo. 3l. $3^{s .}$.

Rawlinson. A Manual of $f$ Ancient History. By George Rawlinson, M.A. Second Edition. 8vo. I4s.

Rhŷs. Studies in the Arthurian Legend. By John Rhŷs, M.A. Svo. I2s. $6 d$.

Ricardo. Letter's of Davicl Ricardo to T. R. Malthus (1810-1823). Edited by James Bonar, M.A. 8vo. 10s. $6 d$.

Rogers. History of Agriculture and Prices in England, A.D. 12591702. By James E. Thorold Rogers, M.A. 6 vols., 8vo. 7l. 28.
——First Tine Years of the Bank of England. 8vo. 8s. 6d.
——Protests of the Lords, including those uhich have been expunged, from 1624 to 1874 ; with Historical Introductions. In three volumes. 8vo. 2l. 2s.

Smith's Wealth of Nations. With Notes, by J. E. Thorold Rogers, M.A. 2 vols. 8 vo. 21 s.

Stephens. The Principal Speeches of the Statesmen and Orators of the French Revolution, 1789-1795. With Historical Introductions, Notes, and Index. By H. Morse Stephens. 2 vols. Crown 8ro. $21 s$.

Stubbs. Select Charters and other Illustrations of English Constitutional History, from the Earliest Times to the Reign of Edward I. Arranged and edited by W. Stubbs, D.D., Lord Bishop of Oxford. Seventh Edition. Crown 8vo. 8s. $6 d$.

The Constitutional History of England, in its Origin and Development. Library Edition. 3 vols. Demy 8vo. 2l. 8s.

Also in 3 vols. crown 8 vo. price I2s. each.

## -_Seventeen Lectures on

 the Study of Medieral and Modern History, delivered at Oxford 1867-1884. Crown 8vo. 8s. $6 d$.- Registrum Sacrum Anglicanum. An attempt to exhibit the course of Episcopal Succession in England. By W. Stubbs, D.D. Small 4 to. $8 s .6 d$.

Vinogradoff. Villainage in England. Essays in English Mediaeval History. By Paul Vinogradoff, Professor in the University of Moscow. 8vo, half-bound. i6s.

Wellesley. A Selection from the Despatches, Treaties, and other Papers of the Marquess Wellesley, K.G., during his Government of India. Edited by S. J. Owen, M.A. 8vo. 1l. $4^{s}$.

Wellington. A Selection from the Despatches, Treaties, and other Papers relating to India of Field-Marshal the Duke of Wellington, K.G. Edited by S. J. Owen, M.A. 8vo. Il. $4^{s .}$

Whitelock's Memorials of English Affairs from 1625 to 1660 . 4 vols. 8vo. Il. ios.

## 4. PHILOSOPHY, LOGIC, ETC.

Bacon. The Essays. With Introduction and Illustrative Notes. By S.H. Reynolds, M.A. 8vo, halfbound. I2s. 6 d .

Novum Organum. Edited, with Introduction, Notes, \&c., by T. Fowler, D.D. Second Edition. 8vo. ${ }^{15 s}$.

## Novum Organum.

Edited, with English Notes, by G. W. Kitchin, D.D. 8vo. 9s. $6 d$.

Novum Organum. Translated by G. W. Kitchin, D.D. 8vo. 9s. 6d.
Berkeley. The Works of George Berkeley, D.D., formerly Bishop of Cloyne ; including many of his writings hitherto unpublished. With Prefaces, Annotations, and an Account
of his Life and Philosophy. By Alexander Campbell Fraser, LL.D. 4 vols. 8vo. 2l. i8s.

The Life, Letters, \&c., separately, I 6 s.
Bosanquet. Logic ; or, the Morphology of Knowledge. By B. Bosanquet, M.A. 8vo. 2 Is.
Butler's Works, with Index to the Analogy. 2 vols. 8vo. IIs.
Fowler. The Elements of Deductive Logic, designcd mainly for the use of Junior Students in the Universities. By T. Fowler, D.D. Ninth Edition, with a Collection of Examples. Extra feap. 8vo. $3^{s .}$. $6 d$.
_- The Elements of Inductive Logic, designed mainly for the use of Students in the Unicersities. By the same Author. Fifth Edition. Extra feap. 8 vo. 6 s.

Fowler. The Principles of Morals. (Introductory Chapters.) By T. Fowler, D.D., and J. M. Wilson, B.D. 8 vo , boards, 3 s. 6 d .

The Principles of Morals. Part II. By T. Fowler, D.D. 8 vo . Ios. 6 d .
Green. Prolegomena to Ethics. By T. H. Green, M.A. Edited by A. C. Bradley, M.A. 8vo. 12 s .6 d .

Hegel. The Logic of Hegel; translated from the Encyelopaedia of the Philosophical Sciences. With Prolegomena by W. Wallace, M.A. Crown 8vo. New Edition in the Press.
Hume's Treatise of Human Nature. Edited, with Analytical Index, by L. A. Selby-Bigge, M.A. Crown 8vo. 9s.

Locke's Conduct of the Understanding. Edited by T. Fowler, D.D. Third Edition. Extra feap. 8vo. 2s. 6 d.
Lotze's Logic, in Three Books; of Thought, of Investigation, and of Knowledge. English Translation; Edited by B. Bosanquet, M.A. Second Edition. 2 vols. Cr. 8vo. 12 s .

- Metaphysic, in Three Books; Ontology, Cosmology, and Psychology. English Translation ; Edited by B. Bosanquet, M.A. Second Edition. 2 vols. Cr. 8vo. 12 s .
Martineau. Types of Ethical Theory. By James Martineau, D.D. Third Edition. 2 vols. Cr. 8vo. $15 s$. A Study of Religion: its Sources and Contents. Second Edition. 2 vols. Cr. 8 vo. 15 s.


## 5. PHYSICAL SCIENCE.

Aplin. The Birds of Oxfordshire. By O. V. Aplin. 8vo. 10s. 6 d .
Chambers. A Handbook of Descriptive and Practical Astronomy. By G. F. Chambers, F.R.A.S. Fourth Edition, in 3 vols. Demy 8vo.

Vol. I. The Sun, Planets, and Comets. 21 s.
Vol. II. Instruments and Practical Astronomy. $21 s$.
Vol. III. The Starry Heavens. 14 s.
De Bary. Comparative Anatomy of the Vegetative Organs of the Phanerogams and Ferns. By Dr. A. de Bary. Translated and Annotated by F. O. Bower, M.A., F.L.S., and D. H. Scott, M.A., Ph.D., F.L.S. Royal 8vo, half-morocco, 1l. 2 s .6 d .

## Comparative Mor-

 phology and Biology of Fungi, Mycetozoa and Bacteria. By Dr. A. de Bary. Translated by H. E. F. Garnsey, M.A. Revised by Isaac BayleyBalfour, M.A., M.D., F.R.S. Royal 8vo, half-moroceo, il. 2s. 6 d .

De Bary. Lectures on Bacteria. By Dr. A. de Bary. Second Improved Edition. Translated by H. E. F. Garnsey, M.A. Revised by Isaac Bayley Balfour, M.A., M.D., F.R.S. Crown 8 vo. $6 s$.

Fisher. A Class Book of Elementary Chemistry. By W. W. Fisher, M.A., F.C.S. Crown 8vo. 4 s .6 d .

Chemistry in Space. By Van't Hoff. Translated and edited byJ.E. Marsh, B.A. Crown 8vo. 4s. $6 d$.
Goebel. Outlines of Classification and Special Morphology of Plants. A new Edition of Sachs' Text-Book of Botany, Book II. By Dr. K. Goebel. Translated by H. E. F. Garnsey, M.A. Revised by Isaac Bayley Balfour, M.A., M.D., F.R.S. Royal 8vo, half-morocco, il. $1 s$.

Sachs. Lectures on the Plyysiology of Plants. By Julius von Sachs. Translated by H. Marshall Ward, M.A., F.L.S. Royal 8vo, half-moroceo, 1l. ins. $6 d$.

- A History of Botany. Translated by H. E. F. Garnsey, M.A. Edited by I. Bayley Balfour, M.A., M.D., F.R.S. Crown Svo. 10s.
Fossil Botany. Being an Introduction to Pelceophytology from the Standpoint of the Botanist. By II. Graf zu Solms-Laubach. Translated by H. E. F. Garnsey, M.A. Edited by I. Bayley Balfour, M.A., M.D., F.R.S. Royal 8vo, half-moroceo, iss.

Annals of Botany. Edited by Isaac Bayley Balfour, M.A., M.D., F.R.S., Sydney H. Vines, D.Sc., F.R.S., W. G. Farlow, M.D., and W. T. Thiselton-Dyer, C.M.G., M.A., F.R.S. ; assisted by other Botanists. Royal 8vo, half-morocco, gilt top.

Vol. I. Parts I-IV. il. 16 s .
Vol. II. Parts V-VIII, 2l. 2 s .
Vol.III. PartsIX-XII. 2l. 12 s .6 d .
Vol. IV. Parts XIII-XYI. 2l. 5 s .
Vol. V. Parts XVII-XX. 22. 10s.
Vol. VI. Part XXI. I2s. ; Part XXII. 11 s .

Biological Series. (Transtations of Foreign Biological Memoirs.) I. The Physiology of Nerre, of Muscle, and of the Electrical Organ. EditedbyJ.Burdon-Sanderson, M.D., F.R.SS. L.\&E. Medium 8vo. Il. Is.
II. The Anatomy of the Frog. By Dr. Alexander E.cker, Professor in the University of Freiburg. Translated, with numerous Annotations and Additions, by G. Haslam, M.D. Med.8vo. 2 Is.
III. Contributions to the History of the Physiology of the Nerrous System. By Professor Conrad Eckhard. Translated by Miss Edith Prance. In Preparation.
IV. Essays upon IHeredity and Kindred Biological Problems. By Dr. A. Weismann. Translated and Edited by E. B. Poulton, M.A., S. Schönland, Ph.D., and A. E. Shipley, M.A. Second Edition. Crown Svo. 7s. 6d.
Vol.II. Edited by E. B. Poulton, and A. E. Shipley. Crown Svo. 5s. Just Published.
Prestwich. Geology, Chemical, Physical, and Stratigraphical. By Joseph Prestwich, M.A., F.R.S. In two Volumes.

Vol. I. Chemical and Plyysical. Royal 8vo, il. ${ }^{5} s$.
Vol. II. Stratigraphical and Physical. With a new Geological Map of Europe. Royal 8vo. 11. i 6 s .
New Geological Map of Europe. In case or on roller. $5 s$.
Rolleston and Jackson. Forms of Animal Life. A Manual of Comparative Anatomy, with descriptions of selected types. By George Rolleston, M.D., F.R.S. Second Edition. Revised and Enlarged by W. Hatchett Jackson, M.A. Medium 8vo. 11. I6s.

University of California SOUTHERN REGIONAL LIBRARY FACILITY 405 Hilgard Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90024-1388

Return this materlal to the library from which lt was borrowed.


:


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The MSS. of Herodotus practically fall into two classes; the first of which is represented by \& B C, the second by $R$ ( $r$ in Book $V$, which is not found in $R$ ) s $x$. $P$ occupies a position between the two. The MSS. of the first class are older and better than those of the second, but those of the second frequently supply a better reading.

[^1]:    ${ }^{\wedge} \hat{\eta} \gamma \in \mathrm{A} \mathrm{B} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{St}$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{\text {a }} \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \epsilon \in \eta \nu$ Dindorf: $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \eta_{i} \eta \nu$ Libb.

[^3]:    

[^4]:    
    

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ In vi. 33 Ocbares, the son of Megabazus, is satrap at Dascyleum, and makes terms with the Cyzicenes in that capacity.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ This title does not however exclude a satrapy, for Tissaphernes of Sardis is both satrap and general, as was Cyrus after him.
    ${ }^{2}$ In Herod. vii. 194 we hear of Sandoces the $\ddot{v} \pi a \rho \chi o s ~ K \dot{u} \mu \eta s$, and Stein sees in this a trace of the third satrapy. But at the time of the Ionian revolt Aristagoras is tyrant of Cyme, and at the time of the Scythian expedition, though he is not called 'tyrant,' he is in command of the ships of Cyme. Sandoces may have been no more than a temporary governor. In Thuc. viii. I6 Otages is said to be $\ddot{v} \pi a \rho \chi$ os Tı $\sigma \sigma \alpha \phi \in \rho v o u s$.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ Hist. Greece, iii. 275.
    ${ }^{2}$ Clinton, Fast. Hell., ii. p. 19 puts the floruit of Telesilla, who is said to have defended Argos against Sparta, in 510 B. C.

[^8]:    ${ }^{\text {E }}$ W. Vischer, Ueber der Stellung d. Gesch. d. Alkmaioniden, Kl. Schrift. i. pp. $38_{5}, 389$, etc.
    : See Toepffer, l.c. I 75 ff .

[^9]:    

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ See J. H. Wright, The dato of Cylon, Boston, U.S.A., p. 2 Sff ., p. $\mathrm{\Sigma}_{2} \mathrm{ff}$.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Hermann, Staatsalt. § 94 ; Bähr's Excursus to Herod. V. 66; Grote, Hist. Greece, ii. 263 ff. (I862) ; Schömann, Antiquities of Greece, E. T. 317 ff. ; Thirlwall, Hist. Greece, ii. App. I.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ т $\rho$ с́ккодта.

[^13]:    ${ }^{1} \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \dot{\sim}$
    

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ Herod. iii. 80.

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ Aristotle, Politics, Bk. v.

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ Athen. Pol. c. 16.

[^17]:     aủtoùs aítoîs cîval, к.t. 入.

[^18]:    ${ }^{2}$ See Kenyon, ad loc.; in Kaibel's edition the numeral is corrected.

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ On the subject, see Hermann, Gott. Alt. § 16 ; Pauly, Real-Encycl. sub zoce. The establishment of the heroes of the tribes by Cleisthenes shows how strongly felt was the necessity of combining an institution with a name, even when there could be little in common between the two.

[^20]:    

[^21]:    

[^22]:    
    

[^23]:    128

[^24]:    ${ }^{a}{ }^{2} \sigma v \epsilon \sigma \tau o \imath ̂ \mathrm{R}: \sigma v \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau i \eta \mathrm{~A}$ B C, St. : $\sigma v \nu ı \sigma \tau i ́ \eta$ Bredow.

[^25]:    

[^26]:    a $\delta_{t \kappa a} \omega \hat{\omega} \sigma_{t}$ Cob.

[^27]:    ${ }^{1}$ This assertion is perhaps too strong. In the tomb of Aa Hotep (belonging to the end of the seventeenth and the beginning of the eighteenth dynasty $=1700-1600 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{C}$.) a dagger has been found which closely resembles the decorated poniards of Mycenae.

[^28]:    ${ }^{1}$ We must allow that the pottery of Mycenae is not Egyptian. - To speak of the Mycenaeans as a pure Greek race seems to me rash, and when Dr. Leaf quotes Herodotus in support of the assertion that the Ionians were Pelasgians Hellenized by the Achaeans (Companion to the Iliad, p. 6), it is well to remember that Herodotus never mentions the Achaeans in this connection.

    On the traces of Mycenaean (Aegean) civilisation in Egypt see Petrie's account of his excavations at Gurob (summarized in Ten Years of Digging in Egypt, p. 128 ff .) ; also Steindorff, Archacol. Anz. 1892, p. 13 ff. At Mycenae the name of Amenophis has been found on a porcelain vase, and a scarabaeus with the name of his wife, Queen Ti. See Winter, Archacol. Anz. 1891, p. $3^{88}$, and for the difference between Egyptian and Mycenaean art, Perrot in Bulletin de Correspondance 1891, p. 496 ff . (These references I owe to the kindness of Mr. A. Lang and Professor Gardner.)

[^29]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Records of the Past, vol. ii. p. 46; Wiedemann, Aegyp. Gesch. p. 353. The date of Tuthmosis is $\mathbf{I}_{4}{ }^{80-1} 430$ b.c.
    ${ }^{2}$ Records of the Past, vol. ii. p. 27; Wiedemann, l.c. 372; Meyer, Gesch. Aegypt. pp. 245, 230 n. 2.
    ${ }^{3}$ Wiedemann, l.c. p. 474 ; Records of the Past, vol. iv. p. 42; Meyer, l.c. p. 304 (the date of the expedition is about 1230 b. c.); Petrie, l.c.

[^30]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ephorus seems to have placed the return of the Heracleids, with which his work began, $75^{\circ}$ years before the siege of Perinthus in 34 I B.c., with which it ended. See Diod. xvi. 26, Muiller, Hist. Gr. Frag. I. lix.

[^31]:    ${ }^{1}$ It is also supported by the story which connects Pheidon with Melissus and Archias of Corinth; Schol. Apol.Rhod. iv. 1212 ; Plut. Amat. Narr. 2 ; see Hist. Greece, i. 228.

[^32]:    
    
    

[^33]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Herod. vi. $10+$.

[^34]:    ${ }^{1}$ Nouvelles Recherches sur quelques Problemes a"histoire, 1891, pp. 97, $9^{8 .}$
    ${ }^{2}$ Hesychius, au mot ${ }^{\epsilon} \pi เ \pi \alpha \mu a ́ \tau \iota \delta a$. On disait dans le même sens $\pi a \tau \rho o \hat{\chi} \chi o s$ (Pollux, Onomasticon, iii. 33).
    
    
    ${ }^{4}$ Hérodote, vi. 57.

