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## LXXXII. Objervations upon Fatber Kircher's

 Opinion concerning the burning of the Fleet of Marccllus by Archimedes. By James Parfons, M. D. F. R.S.Read June 13, TWHEN Marcellus befieg'd the city 1754. V of Syracufe, it is well known, by the authority of thofe great hiftorians Livy, Polybius, and'Plutarch, that the incomparable 1 kill and devices of Archimedes were the only obftacles to his fucceeding in his enterprize, much fooner than he did. Thefe authors tell us of his having invented machines, with which he threw ftones of an enormous weight, into the fhips of the befieger; with fhowers of darts, flints, pieces of timber, and fuch like; and had fo prepar'd his engines, as to be proper for any diftance the veffels might lie at, in the harbour. And they are minute in their defcriptions of fome of them; particularly, in his having deftroy'd the Sambuca, a machine contriv'd by Marcellus. Nor does it appear that the forces, invefting the city by land, far'd any better than thofe by water; for it is faid he gall'd them in all quarters. And tho' the machines, as defrrib'd by thefe great authors, were wonders, furpaffing the comprehenfions of the generality of mankind, yet I believe their accounts have credit with the candid part of the learned, who delight in hiftory and antipuities.

But what was moft difcredited, was Archimedes's fetting fire to the fhips, by a burning fecculum. Indeed fo diftinguifh'd a genius, if he could not de-
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ftroy them in that manner, muft know, that he might have thrown combuftible matter, fufficient to burn the galleys, from his projectile machines: For we cannot imagine that he was ignorant of every kind of thefe, and not even of the wildfire of the Greeks. But, however, to account for his burning the fleet, by a fpeculum, was the difficult point.

When philofophers began to increafe their catoptrical experiments, which they did very early, they found the focus, of every rpeculum that was concave, fo fhort, that they were eafily inclin'd to conclude, that Archimedes could not fet fire to the fleet by a fpeculum; and hence the fact became intirely difcredited, till the famous Kircher, and his pupil Schottus, whofe characters and works the learned world are well enough acquainted with, refoiv'd to confider not only the ftory of Archimedes, but alfo that of Proclus, who is faid to have deftroy'd a fleet at Conftantinople in the fame manner.
Kircher, however, notwithftanding the incredulity that appear'd every-where among the learned of his time, concerning thofe facts, was not deterr d from giving great attention to the matter himfelf; which led him to make innumerable experiments, in order to fee whether it was poffible to be done or not, before he would give any opinion about it ; and at length, when he had commended the parabolical fpeculum, which he, and others, were inclin'd to think the moft likely to fucceed in fuch an enterprize; he was inclin'd to think, Archimedes made ufe of fuch a fpeculum.
But, foon after, he was difcontented with this notion, and began to make new eflays; and, being happy
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happy in his invention, he fell upon one, which leffen'd his former good opinion of the parabolical fpeculum, and made him more fenfible of the inconveniencies attending it, or thofe of any other form, that had any great degree of concavity ; and, in a word, engag'd him intirely in favour of his new thought, which was put in execution in the following manner:

He erected a frame, on which he placed five plane fpecula, of equal given dimenfions, with fuch inclinations as made them all throw their reflected rays upon the fame place, at more than one hundred feet diftance. When he had fet the firft fpeculum, he went and laid his hand upon the place, whereupon he caus'd the rays to fall, and found it warm ; when he added thofe of the fecond, the heat was doubled; the third increas'd the heat in the fame proportion; and the fourth being added, the heat was fcarce to be borne; but the fifth made it intolerable. From whence he concludes, that, by multiplying thofe fpecula, the heat might be fo increas'd, as to fet fire to combuftible matter at greater diftances, according to the number apply'd.

Now becaufe I think it a matter of fome confequence, in the learned world, to afcertain to every author the praifes due to his labours and difcoveries, and to fhew this author's application of the invention to the confirmation of this Archimedean fact; I think it alfo incumbent on me to give the Society his own words upon it, which he himfelf has reduced to a problem.

Problema
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## Problema IV.

## Machinam ex Speculis planis conftruere ad centum pedes ©̛o ultra urentem.

" Suppono igitur primo, fpeculum planum tanto " majorem lucem reflectere, quanto illud majus fu" erit ; ita pedale fpecilum in vicino pariete, lucem " pedalem, in remoto, ad centum pedes lucem tan"tam, quanta pars quarta pedis eft, projiciere, expe"rientia comperi. Süpponendum fecundo infinitos "radios, ex fingulis fpeculi punctis, reflexos, hanc " lucem confituere. Si itaque aliud fpeculum pla" num ita conifituas ut reflexa lux duplicatæ paulo " ante luci congruat, dico \& lucem \& calorem tri" platum iri, \& fic in infinitum procedendo. Sup" ponendum tertio, lucem \& calorem hujufmodi fpe"culorum reflectione, in unum fpatium reflexum, " pro multitudine fecculorum multiplicari; quem" admodum fure oftendimus lib. $2^{\circ}$ de Actinobolifmis, " part. $1^{1}$. Ego certe hujus rei in quinque fecculis " experimentum fumpfi; \& prima quidem lux, a "luce directa, diverfum calorem habebat; duplata " lux notabile caloris augmentum jam fufcipiebat; "triplata calorem ignis praférebat; quadruplicata "calorem utcunque tollerabilem adhuc praftabat; " quintuplicata, pene intolerabilem; unde certo $\&$ " indubitate conclufi, multiplicatis fpeculis planis, \& "ea ratione collocatis, ut omnia, reflexam folis lucem,
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" in unum spatium cogant, futurum, ut non tantum " majorem uftionis effectum, quam quælibet uftoria " parabolica, hyperbolica, elliptica, præfent; fed \& " in multo majus fpatium, radiofam lucem reflectant, " quemadmodum me in quinque fpecalis ad fpatium "centum \& amplius pedum, experientia docuit." Schottus gives the fame account of Kircher's experiment. He accompanied him in all his trials, as well as in his journey to Syracufe, after he had brought his plane mirrours to anfwer his purpofe; and, upon viewing the place, they both concluded, the galleys of Marcellus could not be farther than thirty paces from Archimedes. And yet Schottus declared, that if a concave fpeculum could be conftructed, as large as the rotunda, it could not have a fufficient focus to effect what both Archimedes and Proclus are faid to have done.

Thus we fee Kircher had fcientifically eftablifh'd the problem, for the conftruction of a burning machine, confifting of any number of plane fpecula; which was afterwards farther confirm d by the ingenious Monfieur de Buffon, a worthy member of our Society, at Paris; as it appears in two letters, one from Mr. Needham, fellow of the Royal Society, to me; and the other from the marquis Nicolini to our late worthy prefident ; both read before this Society in April 1747, and fince printed in thefe Tranjactions; in which Monfieur de Buffon is faid to be the inventor*. If fo, we cannot fuppofe he coul have feen what either Kircher or Schottus had wrote about it.

[^1]
[^0]:    * Kircheri Ars magna lucis \& umbrex, lib. X. pars III. cap. i. diftinctio 3 .
    " in

[^1]:    * Since this paper was committed to the prefa, the author has,found, that Monf. de Buffon, at the clofe of his difcourfe on this fubject, printed in the Memoirs of the Royal Academy of Sciences, has mentioned that himfelf had folved the problem, before he knew that it had been done by Kircher.

