Air Quality Impact Analysis Of The Hermit Project


## AIR QUALITIY IPPACT ANALYSIS OF THE HICRMIT PROJECT

Prepared for:

Energy Fuels Nuclear, Inc. One Tabor Center, Suite 2500

1200 Seventeenth Street
Denver, Colorado 80202

Prepared by:

EnecoTech Inc.
243 East 19th Avemue, Suite 300
Denver, Colorado 80203

February 2, 1987

## TABLE OF COMTENTS

Page
1.0 Project Overview ..... 1
2.0 Site Characteristics ..... 5
2.1 Climatology ..... 5
2.1.1 Precipitation ..... 5
2.1.2 Temperature ..... 7
2.1.3 Winds ..... 7
2.2 Air Quality ..... 10
3.0 Emissions Inventory ..... 12
4.0 Modeling Parameters ..... 16
4.1 Model Selection ..... 16
4.2 Input Meteorology ..... 17
4.3 Emissions Input ..... 17
4.4 Modeling Grid ..... 18
5.0 Dispersion Modeling Results ..... 20
5.1 Air Quality Standards ..... 20
5.2 Annual Results ..... 20
5.3 24-Hour Results - "Worst-Case" Analysis ..... 22
5.3.1 Project Area ..... 22
5.3.2 Haul Roads ..... 23
5.4 Cumulative Inpacts ..... 25
6.0 Inpacts on Sensitive Receptors ..... 29

## IIST OF FIGURES

Figure Number ..... Page
1 Location Map ..... 22 Project Area Emission Sources3 Wind Rose3
8
4 Emission/Modeling Grid ..... 19
5 Annual Average Concentration ..... 21
6 "Worst-Case" 24-Hour Impact ..... 24
7 Haul Road Configuration ..... 26
IIST OF TABTES
Table Number ..... Page
1 Climatological Summary for Fredonia, Arizona ..... 6
2 Frequency of Winds by Direction and Speed ..... 9
3 TSP Summary from the Arizona Strip Project ..... 11
4 Enissions Inventory Summary ..... 13
5 Emission Factor ..... 14
6 ISC Particle Size Classes ..... 16

### 1.0 PROJECT OVERVIEA

Energy Fuels Nuclear, Inc. (Energy Fuels) is proposing to develop an underground uranium mine on unpatented mining claims on the Kanab Plateau approximately 22 miles south-southwest of Fredonia, Arizona and, at its closest point, approximately seventeen miles north of the boundary of the Grand Canyon National Park. The Project Area is situated at an elevation of 4,885 feet MSL and the relief in the immediate vicinity of the Project Area is relatively flat. The actual location of the proposed mining project, known as the Hermit Project, is shown in Figure 1.

The proposed mining schedule calls for two shifts per day (from 7:00 a.m. to 11:00 p.m.) working five days per week, 52 weeks per year. Over the life of the mine, the Hermit Project is expected to support the removal of an average of 300 tons per day of uranium ore. Because the pertinent air quality standards address pollutant concentrations averaged on an annual, or more frequent basis, a longer or shorter project life does not affect this air quality analysis. Therefore, if maximum pollutant concentrations are assessed during "worst-case" operating conditions, as has been done in this study, it can be realistically assumed that pollutant concentrations in other operating years will be less.

Access to the ore body will be by a 1100 foot vertical shaft. To provide mine ventilation and an escape route, a second shaft, eight feet in diameter, will be drilled approximately 300 feet east of the mine portal. Figure 2 shows the surface locations of the shafts. The mine ventilation shaft will be capped with a 200,000 CFM fan to exhaust air, thereby ensuring adequate air flow throughout the mine workings.

While actual mining occurs underground, certain surface structures and activities will be required to support the mining project. A total of 23.6 acres will be disturbed to support these surface activities. Figure 2 presents the configuration of surface facilities within the Project Area.

Several of these surface facilities and/or activities could result in the release of pollutants into the atmosphere. These facilities and activities include:

- Mine vent;
o Wind erosion from ore, waste and top soil stockpiles and disturbed areas;


- Loading and unloading of stockpiles;
- Ore and waste handiling; and
- Ore transport.

By far, dust (particulates or TSP) will be the primary pollutant released as a result of the Project. (This analysis is not intended to analyze the potential radiological impacts resulting from the Project and, thus, they are excluded from further discussion). Other pollutants, specifically carbon monoxide ( CO ), oxides of nitrogen $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)$ and sulfur dioxide $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right)$ will be emitted in very small amounts. These pollutants will result I exclusively from vehicles involved in the Project activities. Since Energy Fuels will provide and encourage bus transportation for its employees to and from the Project Area, employee vehicle emissions will be below the diminimus levels. An average of oniy twelve haul trucks per day are proposed for ore transport, and therefore, haul truck exhaust emissions will also be below the diminimus level.

Since emissions of $\mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ resulting from the Project will be well below the diminimus levels, an attempt to quantify their potential impact on the local air quality would not be justified. Consequently, the contribution of the proposed Project with respect to these emissions will not be further analyzed in this study.

One of the larger sources of on-site particulate emissions will be stockpile loading and unloading and the resultant potential wind erosion. Ore and waste rock will be brought to the surface and dumped in their respective stockpiles. It is anticipated that 150,000 tons of barren waste rock will be generated through the course of the Project. This barren waste rock will be stored on the surface in two waste rock disposal areas. Top soil removed during construction activities will be stored in inactive stockpiles for use in subsequent reclamation activities. Location of the respective stockpiles is also shown on Figure 2.

No ore processing or milling is planned on-site. Instead, ore will be transported by haul truck to an uranium processing mill near Blanding, Utah. To accommodate the planned mining rate of 300 tons per day, up to twelve haul trucks will be employed daily (five days per week) to transport ore. Ore haulage from the Project Area will be via unpaved roads for approximately the first twelve miles (approximately 1.2 miles will be along the new project access road and approximately eleven miles will be on Mt. Trumbull Road), after which travel will be on paved roads. The haul trucks will be covered with tarpaulins to reauce the possibility of ore spillage and to minimize windblown emissions.

### 2.0 SITE CHARACTERISTICS

### 2.1 Climatology

The general Project region is classified as a semi-arid continental climate. As such, it is typified by cool winters, warm summers and light precipitation. Winter temperatures in the area commonly drop below freezing at night, while temperatures in the sunmer months routinely rise above $90^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. Annual precipitation in the area averages less than fifteen inches and the summer and winter months are typically the wettest. Winter precipitation is primarily in the form of snow; summer precipitation is the result of thunderstorm activity which at times can be heavy. Specifics of the area's precipitation, temperatures and wind patterns are presented below.

### 2.1.1 Precipitation

Twenty-three years of meteorological data have been collected and sumnarized at the Fredonia, Arizona weather observation station located approximately 22 miles northeast of the Project Area. Data from this station is representative of the Project Area. A summary of these data is presented in Table 1.

The data collected at the Fredonia station show that the annual average precipitation for the area is approximately 10.1 inches. Spring is usually the driest season, while the winter is usually the wettest. Winter precipitation, which usually occurs as snow, results primarily from Pacific storms passing over the area. Snowfall from year to year is quite variable in both frequency and amount, but averages 23.3 inches annually. At least a trace of frozen precipitation can be expected in every month from October through April with Jamuary normally the snowiest.

While the winter season is typically the wettest season, August is usually the wettest month, averaging 1.27 inches of precipitation. Summer and fall precipitation in the area is most commonly the result of locally induced thunderstorm activity.

The maximum recorded daily rainfall recorded in the area was just over two inches in a 24 hour period. Daily precipitation amounts of 0.10 inches or more should occur on the average of 28 days a year.

TABLE 1

CLTMATOLOGICAL SUMAARY FOR FREDONIA, ARTZONA ${ }^{1}$

| Month | Mean Monthly | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Temperature }\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right) \\ & \text { Mean Mean } \\ & \text { Daily Daily Extremes } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Precipitation (in.) |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { \# Days } \\ \text { Precipitation }{ }^{2} \\ \geq .1^{\prime \prime} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Totals |  | Wfal1 |  |  |
|  |  | Maximum | Minimum | High | LOW | Mean | Maximum | Mean | Maximum ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| JAN | 32.7 | 46.0 | 19.4 | 66 | -18 | 1.17 | 3.28 | 8.1 |  |  |
| EEB | 36.2 | 50.6 | 21.7 | 71 | -15 | 1.17 | 1.28 | 8.1 | 13.6 | 4 |
| MAR | 42.4 | 58.6 | 26.2 | 79 | 5 | 1.09 | 3.56 | 4.2 4.2 | 14.5 | 3 |
| APR | 50.7 | 68.7 | 32.7 | 86 | 10 | 1.09 | 1.56 1.87 | 4.2 .7 | 14.5 | 2 |
| MAY | 58.0 | 77.0 | 39.0 | 94 | 20 | . 44 | 1.37 | 0 | 2.0 | 1 |
| JUN | 66.5 | 86.7 | 46.2 | 104 | 26 | . 32 | 1.33 .96 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| JUL | 73.8 | 92.8 | 54.7 | 105 | 37 | . 69 | 1.88 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| AUG | 72.1 | 90.1 | 54.1 | 104 | 33 | 1.27 | 2.68 | 0 |  | 2 |
| SEPT | 65.1 | 84.6 | 45.6 | 99 | 26 | 1.04 | 2.88 | T | 0 | 4 |
| OCT | 53.8 | 72.4 | 35.4 | 96 | 17 | 1.88 | 3.08 | . 3 | 1.5 | 2 |
| NOV | 41.6 | 58.3 | 24.9 | 76 | 0 | . 88 | 3.08 | . 3 | 1.5 | 2 |
| DEC | 34.6 | 48.5 | 20.7 | 70 | -15 | 1.00 | 2.30 | 4.6 | 6.0 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.30 | 4.6 | 6.0 | 2 |
| ANN | 52.3 | 69.5 | 35.1 | 105 | -18 | 10.09 | 3.56 |  |  |  |

Source: Climatography of the United States NO. 86-2 Arizona.

1. Unless otherwise specified, based upon period of record 1937-1960.
2. Period of record 1951-1960.

### 2.1.2 Temperature

Table 1 also presents a summary of temperature data from the Fredonia station. These data show that the average monthly maximum temperatures range from $46.0^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ in January to $92.8^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ in July. Average monthly minimums range from $19.4^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ to $54.7^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ also occurring in January and July, respectively. The mean annual temperature is $52.3^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.

The Table 1 data show that the daily maximum is normally above $90^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ in July and August and daily minimum temperatures are normally below freezing fram November through March. Temperature extremes recorded at Fredonia show a maximum of $105^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ and a minimum of $-18^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.

### 2.1.3 Winds

Iong-term wind data are limited in the vicinity of the Project. However, to better define the wind patterns of the Arizona Strip Area, in 1983, Energy Fuels contracted with Fox Consultants Inc., an independent consultant, to measure wind patterns of the area. As a result, a one year data set was collected from a meteorological station located near Sunshine Point approximately seven miles south of the Project Area. Figure 1 shows the location of this meteorological station.

Wind data at this station were collected from March 1983 to March 1984 and, because of the similarities in terrain and the close proximity of the meteorological station to the Project Area, the resultant meteorological data are very representative of the Project Area. Figure 3 presents the graphical annual wind rose from the station and Table 2 presents the tabular wind rose which also presents wind speed data. These data show that the prevailing wind direction at the Project Area is from the southsouthwest, with south-southeast through southwest winds clearly dominating the wind patterns of the Area. (Nearly 40 percent of all winds blew from the south-southeast through southwest sectors). On the other hand, easterly component winds are the least frequently occurring at the Project Area, with east-southeast occurring less than 1.0 percent of the time.

As shown in Table 2, wind speeds averaged $3.4 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}(7.6 \mathrm{mph})$ throughout the one year monitoring period, with higher average wind speeds more often associated with southerly component winds. However, high wind speeds were not common as wind speeds in excess of $11 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$ ( 24.6 mph ) occurred only 0.32 percent of the time.

## Percent Occurrence Of Winds By Direction March 1983-March 1984. <br> Arizona Strip Station



FREqUENCY OF WINDS BY DIRECTION AND SEEED
FOR
MARCH 1983 THROUGH MARCH 1984
ENEREGY FUEIS - ARIZONA SIRIP AIR STATION MONITORING

SPEED CLASS INTERVALS (M/S)

| DIRECTION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1<1.5$ | $1.5<3$ | $3<5$ | $5<8$ | $8<11$ | >11 | ALJ | SPEED |
| N | 0.31 | 2.10 | 1.41 | 0.35 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 4.21 | 3.0 |
| NNE | 0.29 | 2.18 | 2.89 | 1.05 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 6.56 | 3.6 |
| NE | 0.39 | 2.89 | 1.61 | 0.47 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 5.46 | 3.0 |
| ENE | 0.19 | 1.53 | 1.46 | 1.10 | 0.19 | 0.04 | 4.51 | 4.0 |
| E | 0.31 | 1.45 | 0.75 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.69 | 2.7 |
| ESE | 0.17 | 0.64 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.97 | 2.2 |
| SE | 0.44 | 2.06 | 0.63 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.22 | 2.3 |
| SSE | 0.32 | 4.26 | 2.76 | 0.87 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 8.27 | 3.0 |
| S | 0.79 | 4.30 | 2.90 | 1.85 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 9.88 | 3.3 |
| SSW | 0.56 | 5.00 | 3.22 | 2.09 | 0.56 | 0.05 | 11.49 | 3.6 |
| SW | 0.63 | 3.30 | 2.78 | 2.61 | 0.49 | 0.07 | 9.8 | 4.0 |
| WSW | 0.23 | 2.70 | 1.42 | 1.32 | 0.19 | 0.04 | 5.90 | 3.7 |
| W | 0.49 | 3.41 | 1.76 | 1.10 | 0.21 | 0.04 | 7.01 | 3.4 |
| WNW | 0.45 | 2.28 | 2.20 | 1.30 | 0.09 | 0.03 | 6.35 | 3.6 |
| NW | 0.32 | 2.81 | 2.73 | 1.08 | 0.12 | 0.04 | 7.09 | 3.5 |
| NNW | 0.20 | 1.66 | 2.49 | 0.96 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 5.51 | 3.8 |
|  | 6.07 | 42.58 | 31.16 | 16.42 | 2.44 | 0.32 | 98.99 | 34 |

CALM (less than one meter per second) $=1.0$ PERIOD MEAN WIND SPEED $=3.4 \mathrm{M} / \mathrm{S}$

EnecoTech Inc. WIND4 12/03/85

### 2.2 Air Quality

Associated with the Arizona Strip meteorological monitoring program, a Total Suspended Particulate (TSP) monitoring program was also conducted to establish the background TSP concentrations in the relatively remote and undisturbed Arizona Strip region.

Figure 1 shows the Iocation of the Arizona Strip Air Quality Station. Data from this station were collected from March 1983 through March 1984 in accordance with EPA monitoring and quality assurance guidelines. As part of the QA procedures employed on this monitoring program, colocated samplers were operated to assess the precision of the TSP measurements.

Summaries of the 1983-1984 TSP data collected at the Arizona Strip Air Quality Station are presented in Table 3 and a listing of the individual sample results is included for reference in Appendix C. These data show that the annual geometric mean at this location was $13.7 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. The highest 24 -hour concentration measured in the sampling period was $59 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. Because of the close proximity of the Arizona Strip monitoring station to the Project Area, the similarities in climatology and the absence of nearby major industrial sources, these data represent the baseline conditions at the Project Area.

TABLE 3
TSP SURMARY FROM THE ARTZONA STRDP ATR MONITORING STATION* March 1983 - March 1984

Concentration ( $\mu \mathrm{gg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ )

|  | Spring | Summer | Fall | Winter | Annual |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Arithmetic Mean | 19.3 | 27.3 | 12.0 | 8.1 | 16.6 |
| Geametric Mean | 17.4 | 25.5 | 11.2 | 6.3 | 13.7 |
| First 24-hr Max | 32 | 59 | 23 | 16 | 59 |
| Second 24-hx Max | 30 | 46 | 20 | 14 | 46 |

* Data collected on EPA one day in six schedule.


### 3.0 ENLSSIONS INVENTORY

For use in the assessment of the potential "worst-case" air quality impacts from the Project, an emissions inventory for the Project was developed by quantifying all operations and activities to be conducted in the Project Area, during maximum production, that could potentially result in the atmospheric release of pollutants. Further, as part of this "worst-case" assessment, with the exception of covered haul trucks, no emission controls nor mitigation techniques were assumed to be in effect on any potential source.

The only pollutant to be released in any measurable amount into the atmosphere, as a result of the Project, will be particulates (TSP). Further, these TSP emissions are almost exclusively comprised of dust. While the EPA distinguishes between process related particulates (fugitive emissions) and non-process dust (fugitive dust emissions) in its delineation of major emission sources, these emissions have not been segregated in this study to provide a basis for the analysis of "worst-case" impacts.

A summary of the expected TSP emission sources and the calculated emission rate in tons per year (based upon maximum activity) for the Hermit Project is presented in Table 4. While haul road activities, in reality, are offsite emissions (occurring miles from the Project Area), they have been included in the Project emissions inventory so that their potential impact on the local air quality can also be assessed. The individual emission rate calculations for each source, identified in Table 4, are presented in Appendix B of this report.

Table 5 presents a summary of the emission factors used in generating the emissions inventory. The emission factors presented in Table 5, and used in the enissions calculations, are those recommended by the EPA for this type of Project. In cases where the EPA has not recommended a specific emission factor for an individual emission source, currently accepted emission factors are used. With respect to haul road emissions, there have been a number of studies conducted to attempt to quantify dust emissions generated from various sized haul trucks traveling at various speeds on different types of umpaved road surfaces (soil, gravel, etc.). These studies show a wide variance in the predicted emission rates from haul road traffic. Upon discussion with EPA and in keeping with the desire to evaluate the potential "worst-case" impacts under the most restrictive conditions, the emission factor presented in Table 5 was used

## Project Area:

Ore loaciout to stockpile 1.56
Ore loading from stockpile to haul trucks 1.95
Waste rock disposal area
0.20

Wind erosion, disturbed area and stockpile Mine vent
25.60
15.00

Project Area Total
44.31

Product Transport:
Haulroad Emission (per mile)
49.92
(assuming $16.0 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{VMT}$ @ 12 round trips per day)

## EMSSION FACTOR <br> HERRMIT PROJECT

Source Type
Haul road, unpaved

Enission Factor
$\mathrm{k} * 5.9(\mathrm{~s} / 12)(\mathrm{S} / 30)(\mathrm{W} / 3) * * 0.7 *$ (w/4)**0.5[(365-p)/365]

Ore, rock, loadout
Ore, rock load to truck
Wind erosion
Mine vent
$0.04 \mathrm{lbs} /$ ton EPA
$0.05 \mathrm{lbs} /$ ton EPA
a*I*C*K*L*V
0.002 grains/SCFM

Reference
EPA

EPA
AMAX 1980*

```
where: s is the silt content (12%)
    S is the vehicle speed (25 mph)
    p is the number of days with 0.01 inches or more of precipi-
        tation (95)
    a is the fraction of material that remains suspended (0.025)
    k is the fraction of material below 30 microns (0.80)
    I is the soil erodibility (38 ton/acre)
    C is the climatic factor (1.0)
    K}\mathrm{ is the roughness factor (1.0)
    L is the field width factor (1.0)
    V is the vegetative cover factor (1.0)
    W is the average vehicle weight (15 tons)
    W}\mathrm{ is the number of wheels (10)
```

AMAX 1980 - State of Colorado air permit for Mount Enmons.
Factor derived from stack tests on AMAX's Henderson underground Molybdeum mine vent in Henderson, Colorado. During testing this mine's annual production was a factor of 10 higher than the proposed Hermit Project's annual production. Consequently, this factor is believed to be much higher than what would be expected at this Project, but is used here for lack of better data.
to calculate haul road emission rates. Knowingly using this factor results in higher emission rates than are currently cited by other federal and state agencies; it was used to approximate "worst-case" conditions.

As shown in Table 4, during a maximum production year a total of 44.31 tons per year of TSP emissions could potentially be released from the Project Area. The primary source of TSP emissions within the Project Area will be wind erosion of disturbed areas and stockpiles. These emissions account for over one-half of all the Project Area's TSP emissions.

Also from Table 4, it is shown that haul road traffic has, as a maximum, the potential to release 16.0 pounds per vehicle of TSP for each mile traveled on unpaved haul roads. Since haul trucks will be tightly covered with tarpaulins, haul road emissions will result exclusively from natural dust from the road surface.

As shown in Table 5 and Appendix Table B-1, TSP emissions from haul roads are dependent upon the number of haul trucks, vehicle speed, number of wheels, vehicle weight, the silt content of the road surface and the number of natural precipitation occurrences. Based on the factors expected for the Project, the resultant dust emissions from each one mile section of umpaved haul road is calculated to be 49.92 tons per year.

With the exception of the mine vent, all Project Area and haul road emissions will be surface released. Enissions from the mine vent will be an elevated release due to the mechanical buoyancy caused by the ventilation fan.

### 4.0 MODELTNG PARAMETERPS

### 4.1 Model Selection

To assess the air quality impacts resulting from the Project Area sources, the Industrial Source Complex (ISC) dispersion model was used. The longTerm version (ISCLT) and the Short-Term version (ISCST) of ISC were used to calculate the annual average and 24 -hour "worst-case" concentrations, respectively.

The ISC dispersion model is a state-of-the-art, EPA generated and approved air quality dispersion model. Because the model can accomnodate a large number of point (elevated or stack) and area emission sources, and the resultant concentrations can be computed at selected distances from the emission sources, it is routinely utilized in impact analyses such as this one.

The ISC model contains particulate deposition and settling algorithms which more closely approximate particle dispersion by allowing the larger particles to settle out (fall to the surface). This is done by dividing the emissions into particle size classes, each with its own settling velocity, mass fraction and reflection coefficient. The three particle size classes used in the ISC model runs are presented in Table 6 immediately following.

TABLE 6

ISC PARTICLIE SIZE CLASSES

| Particle <br> Diameter** | Mass Mean Diameter | Mass Fraction | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Settling } \\ & \text { Velocity } \end{aligned}$ | $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4-10 mm | $7.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | 0.22 | 0.004 | 0.80 |
| 10-20 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ | $15.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | 0.44 | 0.018 | 0.74 |
| 20-30 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ | 25.3 mm | 0.34 | 0.048 | 0.62 |

[^0]The same method described in the ISC User's Manual was used to calculate the various parameters. The particlesize distributions were obtained from the report entitled "Survey of Fugitive Dust From Coal Mines" (EPA 1978). The report presents particlesize distributions for most mining activities. From this report particle size distributions were examined for the various mining activities present at the Project Area and a composite particle size distribution was used for all sources.

### 4.2 Input Meteorology

ISCLT utilizes, as input, meteorological data (specifically wind speed, wind direction and atmospheric stability) in the standard Joint Frequency Distribution (JFD) format. The input JFD was obtained from the hourly meteorological data collected at the Arizona Strip Air Monitoring Station from March 1983 through March 1984 (see Section 2.0).

The observations taken at the Arizona Strip Station consisted of wind speed and wind direction. Concurrent hourly sigma theta (a stability indicator) values were abstracted from the continuous wind direction strip chart trace. These hourly values, in turn, were converted to standard atmospheric stability classes using the Mitchell-Timbre technique. From the hourly wind speed, wind direction and atmospheric stability, a Joint Frequency Distribution was generated for the one year data set. The JFD used for the modeling analyses is presented in Appendix A.

ISCST requires as input sequential hourly meteorological data consisting of wind speed, wind direction and stability values. For the ISCST model runs, the sequential hourly data collected from the Arizona Strip Air Monitoring Station were used for the ISCST modeling analysis.

### 4.3 Emissions Input

Emission source locations and emission rates are required imput to the ISC model. Figure 2 shows the expected locations of each emission source within the Project Area. The emission rates were calculated using the emission factors described in the previous section. All emissions, except the mine vent and the off-site hauling of the ore, are represented by area sources.

The mine vent is located to the east of the main shaft (see Figure 2) and, in the modeling analyses, is represented by a point source. While the
mine vent is shown to be approximately 300 feet to the east of the main shaft, relocating the mine vent would only minimally affect the modeling results presented in Section 5.0. The vent's exit velocity was calculated given the ventilation rate and the mine vent size (Table B-1, Appendix B). The temperature was assumed to be ambient and, as a result, the plume was assumed to have no thermal buoyancy.

For modeling, the haul roads were considered to be a line source with an emission rate of 49.92 tons per mile.

### 4.4 Modeling Grid

The ISC modeling or receptor grid is presented in Figure 4. The receptor grid is basically a 1000 meter rectangular grid around the Project Area. To allow assessment of concentrations at the property boundary, the origin of the receptor grid has been situated just southeast of the southern point of the Project Area. (See 0,0 point in Figure 4.)
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Mohave Co., Arizona
8CALE: $1^{\circ}=1000$ METERS

### 5.0 DISPERSION MODELING RESULITS

### 5.1 Air Quality Standards

As stated earlier, only particulates are expected to be emitted from the proposed Project in noticeable enough quantities to result in an air quality impact. The National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for particulates are $260 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ for the 24 -hour average and $75 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ for the annual geometric mean; and since the State of Arizona has adopted these same standards, modeling was conducted to address these standards.

Because the proposed Project is located approximately seventeen miles north of the closest boundary to the Grand Canyon National Park, it is extremely doubtful that Project related emissions could impact the Park, a mandatory Class 1 area. However, to confirm this contention an analysis was performed to assess whether or not emissions from the Project potentially could result in a significant air quality impact in the Park. For use in this assessment the EPA's designated levels or concentrations of significance, as established for Prevention of Significance Deterioration evaluations, were used to define the are of impact. The levels of significance, as established for particulates, are $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ for an annual average and $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ for a 24 -hour average. Modeling was conducted to determine the location of these levels, and thus, to determine if any significant air quality impact could potentially occur within the Grand Canyon National Park.

Computer printouts of each model run are presented in Appendix A.

### 5.2 Annual Results

The Project emissions as presented in Table 4, including the haul road emissions from proposed new access (haul) road, and the one year Arizona Strip meteorological data (see Section 2.0 ) were input into the ISCLT model. The results of the annual ISCLT computer model run are presented graphically in Figure 5. The predicted particulate concentrations resulting from the Project Area are shown as lines of equal concentration or isopleths. The maximum concentration is predicted to be north of the Project Area with a concentration of $13.9 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. This concentration is due primarily to the proposed new haul road which runs to the northwest of
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the Project area to the Mt. Trumbull Road. As can be seen from Figure 5, the concentration decreases very rapidiy dropping off to less than $1 \mathrm{\mu g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ within 3000 meters ( 1.9 miles ).

As discussed in Section 2.0, the annual particulate background in the vicinity of the Project is, at a maximum, approximately $14 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. Even adding the background concentration to the modeled impact, the resulting concentrations are predicted to be quite low, with a maximum impact of no more than $28 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. This is well below the applicable state and federal standards.

Figure 5 also shows that the $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ significance level isopleth, at its furthest distance in the direction of the Grand Canyon National Park, extends about 1000 meters from the Project Area. Thus, there should be no impact from the Project on the air quality of Grand Canyon National Park which is more than seventeen miles away.

### 5.3 24-Hour ResuIts - "Horst-Case" Analysis

### 5.3.1 Project Area

To assess the short-term, or 24-hour, air quality impacts which might result from operations at the Project Area, potential maximum emission releases, including emissions from the proposed new haul road, were input into the ISCST (short-term) version of the ISC model and resultant pollutant concentrations were computed for each day (24-hour period) contained in the 1983-1984 Arizona Strip meteorological data set. That is, the ISCST modeling analysis used actual meteorological data and computed the individual daily particulate concentrations that would result if the proposed Hermit Project were in full operation during each day of the 1983 - 1984 data set. By using actual meteorological data in conjunction with the expected emission releases from the various project emission sources, a realistic assessment of the potential air quality impacts from the project can be made. These impacts, in turn, can be compared to the applicable state and federal standards to determine if the proposed Project may pose a threat to air quality of the area.

In addition, in the modeling analysis project emissions were conservatively assumed to be continuous throughout the one year meteorological data set, notwithstanding the fact that actual mining activities are scheduled for only two eight hour shifts per day, five days per week. Thus, concentrations computed by the ISCST model will be higher than
would realistically occur. The purpose of allowing emissions to be released continuously in the modeling analysis was to establish the outside limits or "worst-case" of any air quality impact potentially resulting from the Project.

The "worst-case" day (24-hour period) particulate concentrations computed in the ISCST modeling analysis are presented graphically in Figure 6. In this Figure, the predicted 24 -hour particulate concentrations resulting from the Project Area are shown for each receptor point and are plotted as isopleths.

From Figure 6 it can be seen that the maximum off-site particulate concentration occurring on the actual "worst-case" day was $29 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ and the $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ level of significance extended, at its furthest point, to just over 2500 meters ( 1.5 miles) east of the Project Area. The predicted "worst-case" maximum of $29 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ is well below the state and federal particulate standard of $260 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ even when the highest 24 -hour background concentration of $58 ~ \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, as presented in Section 2.0 , is added. Thus, this modeling study which employed actual meteorological data and higily conservative Project emissions assumptions show that there will be no significant air quality impact resulting from the Project.

Again, the $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ level of insignificant is reached within 1.5 miles of the Project Area, well short of the Grand Canyon National Park. Thus, operation of the Project should not result in any measurable impact on the Park.

### 5.3.2 Haul Roads

While the haul roads and, consequently, haul road emissions will primarily be outside of the Project Area, it is useful to determine what impact, if any, the haul road emissions would have on the area's air quality. To do this, haul road emissions were modeled using actual "worst-case" meteorological conditions as obtained from the one year meteorological monitoring program (see Section 2.0).

Ore haulage from the Project will involve traveling over about twelve miles of unpaved road. Immediately from the Project Area, haul trucks will traverse the approximate 1.2 miles of proposed new project road running to the northwest from the site and connecting to Mt. Trumbull Road. Haul truck traffic will then travel north on Mt. Trumbull Road for
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about eleven miles to State Route 389. From this point on, ore haulage will be via paved roads. Figure 7 shows the proposed haul road route from the Project Area.

The particulate emissions resulting from haul traffic on the proposed access road were modeled as part of the Project Area impact analyses (Section 5.2 and 5.3.1). These emissions were included as part of the Project Area analyses so that the combined "worst-case" effect of the direct Project-related emissions and haul road activity could be computed. Results of the long-term (annual) and short-term (24-hour) analyses are presented graphically in Figures 5 and 6, respectively. As discussed in the previous sections the combined impact of the direct Project-related emissions and the access road emissions are so low that it can be concluded that they do not pose any threat to the particulate standards.

To assess the maximum impact of the Hermit mine's haul road traffic on Mt. Irumbull road, the particulate emissions resulting from this approximate eleven mile haul road segment were input into the ISCST model and the 24-hour particulate concentrations were computed for each day in the 1983 - 1984 meteorological data set. However, to be consistent with the conservative approach of this analysis, haul road traffic was assumed to continue from 7:00 a.m. until 11:00 p.m., seven days a week, at a rate of twelve round trips per day. In other words, no adjustment was made in the modeling analysis for weekend shutdowns. The particulate emission rate of 16.0 pounds per vehicle mile traveled (as presented in Section 3.0) was used throughout the modeling analysis.

The maximum or "worst-case" day particulate concentrations computed by ISCST show that the maximum 24-hour particulate concentration resulting from actual meteorological conditions and full haul road activities was $20 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. This value is well below the allowable state and federal standards of $260 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ and, thus, poses no threat to the local air quality.

### 5.4 Cumulative Impacts

At the time activities at the Hermit Project begin, in either May or June of 1987, mining activities at the Hack Canyon Mines (Hack 1, 2 and 3) will have ceased. Consequently, once activities at the Hermit Project begin, there will be only three other operating mining projects in the Arizona Strip District. The closest operation to the Hermit Project is the Kanab North Project located approximately six miles to the east. The Pigeon


Project is located approximately thirteen miles to the east-northeast and the recently approved Pinenut Project is approximately thirteen miles south of the Hermit Project.

Each of the operational and proposed mining projects are approximately the same size and have or will have relatively the same production rates and emissions. Further, the ore haulage rates and schedules are or will be similar - namely ten to twelve haul trucks per day (five days per week) on the average.

The impact analysis results for the Hermit Project presented in Sections 5.2 and 5.3 .1 show that the particulate concentrations resulting from the proposed Hermit Project are well below the applicable standards. Further, these results show that the Project Impact Area does not extend beyond 3000 meters ( 1.9 miles) in any direction around the Project. (Resuitant particulate concentrations fall below the level of significance within 3000 meters). Thus, with the extremely small impact area associated with the proposed Hermit Project and the relatively great distances between the other existing and planned mining operations in the area, there is virtually no potential for overlap of impacts from the Hermit Project Area.

However, since the Kanab North, Pinenut and Hermit Project will utilize common segments of Mt. Trumbull Road for ore haulage, there is a potential for cumulative impacts from ore haulage on these common segments. As currently planned the Kanab North, the Pinenut and the Hermit Projects will utilize a common seven mile section of Mt. Trumbull Road for ore haulage. Ore haulage from the Pigeon Mine does not involve Mt. Trumbull Road and the Hack Mines will be shut down prior to initiating ore haulage from the Kanab North, Pinenut and Hermit Projects.

Since the Kanab North, Pinemut and Hermit Projects each expect ore haulage rates to average ten to twel ve haul truck trips (round trips) per day, five days per week, during the period when all three mines will be in the ore production phase of operations (1990-1993), there is a potential for a total of 72 haul trucks ( 36 round trips) to traverse the common segment of Mt. Trumbull Road each day.

To assess the potential cumulative air quality impact resulting from the concurrent ore haulage on the common segment of Mt. Trumbull Road, dispersion modeling was conducted using ISCST. A haul road emission rate of 16.0 pounds per vehicle mile traveled (this emission rate assumes no emission controls) was input into the model and the 24 -hour particulate
concentrations were computed for each day in the 1983-1984 meteorological data set. Again to be consistent with the conservative approach used throughout this impact analysis, haul road traffic was assumed to continue from 7:00 a.m. until 11:00 p.m., seven days a week, at a rate of 36 round trips per day, even though current plans do not anticipate a seven day per week hauling schedule.

The maximum or "worst-case" day particulate concentrations computed by ISCST show that the maximum 24-hour particulate concentration resulting from actual meteorological conditions and 36 round trips was $60 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. This value is well below the allowable 24 -hour standard. In fact, when carrying the analysis further the modeling shows that even doubling the haul road traffic on the common road segment to 72 round trips per day would only result in a maximum 24 -hour concentration of $120 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. This value is still well below the allowable 24 -hour standard of $260 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$;

Thus, it can be concluded that the cumulative impacts resulting from the concurrent utilization of Mt. Trumbull Road poses no threat to the local air quality even if haulage is substantially increased.

### 6.0 IMRACIS OA SIENSITIVE RECEPPIORS

The closest sensitive receptor to the proposed Hermit Project is the Grand Canyon National Park - a mandatory Class 1 area. At its closest point, the proposed Hermit Project is approximately seventeen miles north of the Park boundary.

The "worst-case" impact analyses presented in Section 5.0 show that the maximum area of impact, as defined by the EPA concentrations or levels of significance, affected by the Hermit Project is at a maximum 3000 meters ( 1.9 miles) surrounding the Project Area. This is over fifteen miles short of the nearest Park boundary. Further, since all associated haul roads run northerly away from the Project Area, their impact areas will even be further away from the Park.

With such a small area of impact and with such a great distance to the Park boundary, it can be concluded with a great degree of certainty that the proposed Hermit Project will have a negligible air quality impact on the Grand Canyon National Park and no detectable impact on the visibility within the Park.


## COMPUTER LISTINGS

ANNUAL AVERAGE CONCENTRATIONS FROM THE PROJECT AREA - ISCLT
MAXIMUM PROJECT AREA IMPACT - ISCST
MAXIMUM 24-HOUR HAUL ROAD IMPACT - ISCST

```
*** Energy Fuels - Mt. Trumbull Road - Hermit MAX E Road - 16 hou ***
```

CALCULATE (CONCENTRATION=1, DEPOSITION=2)
RECEPTOR GRID SYSTEM (RECTANGULAR=1 OR 3, POLAR=2 OR 6)
DISCRETE RECEPTOR SYSTEM (RECTANGULAR=1,POLAR=2)
terrain elevations are read (yes $=1, \mathrm{NO}=0$ )
CALCULATIONS ARE WRITTEN TO TAPE (YES $=1, \mathrm{NO}=0$ )
LIST ALL INPUT DATA ( $N O=0, Y E S=1$, MET DATA ALSO $=2$ )
COMPUTE AVERAGE CONCENTRATION (OR TOTAL DEPOSITION)
WITH THE FOLLOWING TIME PERIODS:

```
    HOURLY (YES=1,NO=0)
    2-HOUR (YES=1,NO=0)
    3-HOUR (YES=1,NO=0)
    6-HOUR (YES=1,NO=0)
    6-HOUR (YES=1,NO=0)
    8-HOUR (YES=1,NO=0)
    12-HOUR (YES =1,NO=0)
    24-HOUR (YES=1,NO=0)
PRINT 'N'-DAY TABLE(S) (YES =1,NO=0)
```

print the follohing types of tables whose time periods are
SPECIFIED BY ISH(7) THROUGH ISW(16):
DAILY TABLES (YES $=1, \mathrm{NO}=0$ )
HIGHEST \& SECOND HIGHEST TABLES (YES $=1, N 0=0$ )
MAXIMUM 50 TABLES (YES $=1, \mathrm{NO}=0$ )
METEOROLOGICAL DATA INPUT METHOD (PRE-PROCESSED $=1$, CARD=2)
RURAL-URBAN OPTION (RURAL $=0$, URBAN MODE $1=1$, URBAN MODE $2=2$ )
WIND Profile exponent values (defaut $3=1$,user enters $=2,3$ )
VERTICAL POT. TEMP. GRADIENT VALUES (DEFAULTS $=1$, USER ENTERS $=2,3$ )
SCALE EMISSION RATES FOR ALL SOURCES ( $N O=0, Y E S>O$ )
program Calculates final plume rise only (yes $=1, \mathrm{~N} O=2$ )
PROGRAM ADJUSTS ALL STACK HEIGHTS FOR DOWNWASH (YES $=2$, NO $=1$ )
NUMBER OF INPUT SOURCES
NUMBER OF SOURCE GROUPS ( $=0$, ALL SOURCES)
TIME PERIOD INTERVAL TO BE PRINTED ( $=0$, ALL INTERVALS)
NUMBER OF $X$ (RANGE) GRID VALUES
NUMBER OF Y (THETA) GRID VALUES
NUMBER OF DISCRETE RECEPTORS
NuMger of hours per day in meteorological data
NUMBER OF DAYS OF METEOROLOGICAL DATA
SOURCE EMISSION RATE UNITS CONVERSION FACTOR
ENTRAINMENT COEFFICIENT FOR UNSTABLE ATMOSPHERE
entrainment coefficient for stable atmosphere
HEIGHT AGOVE GROUND AT WHICH WIND SPEED WAS MEASURED
LOGICAL UNIT NUMBER OF METEOROLOGICAL DATA
allocated data storage
required data storage for this problem run

```
ISW(1) = 1
ISW(2) = 1
ISW(3)=1
ISW(4) = 0
ISH(5) = 0
ISW(6) = 1
```

$\operatorname{ISH}(7)=0$
$\operatorname{ISW}(8)=0$
$\operatorname{ISW}(9)=0$
$\operatorname{ISW}(10)=0$
$\operatorname{ISW}(11)=0$
$\operatorname{ISH}(12)=0$
$\operatorname{ISW}(13)=0$
$\operatorname{ISH}(16)=1$
$\operatorname{ISW}(15)=0$
$\operatorname{ISW}(16)=1$
$\operatorname{ISH}(17)=1$
$\operatorname{ISW}(18)=1$
$\operatorname{ISW}(19)=2$
$\operatorname{ISH}(20)=0$
$\operatorname{ISW}(21)=1$
$\operatorname{ISH}(22)=1$
ISW(23) $=0$
$\operatorname{ISW}(26)=1$
$\operatorname{ISW}(25)=1$
NSOURC $=21$
NGROUP $=0$
IPERD $=0$
NXPNTS $=10$
NYPNTS $=5$
NXWYPT $=0$
NHOURS $=16$
NDAYS $=3$
TK $=.10000 \mathrm{E}+07$
BETA1 $=.600$
BETA2 $=.600$
$Z R=10.00$ METERS
IMET $=2$
LIMIT $=10000$ WORDS
MIMIT $=5081$ WORDS

```
        *** Energy Fuels - Mt. Trumbull Road - Hermit MAX E Road - 16 hou ***
```

*** UPPER BOUND OF FIRST THROUGH FIFTH WIND SPEED CATEGORIES *** (METERS/SEC)
1.54, $3.09,5.14,8.23,10.80$,
*** WIND PROFILE EXPONENTS ***

| STABILITY | WIND SPEED CATEGORY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CATEGORY | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
| A | $.10000 E+00$ | $.10000 E+00$ | $.10000 E+00$ | $.10000 E+00$ | $.10000 E+00$ | $.10000 E+00$ |  |
| B | $.15000 E+00$ | $.15000 E+00$ | $.15000 E+00$ | $.15000 E+00$ | $.15000 E+00$ | $.15000 E+00$ |  |
| C | $.20000 E+00$ | $.20000 E+00$ | $.20000 E+00$ | $.20000 E+00$ | $.20000 E+00$ | $.20000 E+00$ |  |
| D | $.25000 E+00$ | $.25000 E+00$ | $.25000 E+00$ | $.25000 E+00$ | $.25000 E+00$ | $.25000 E+00$ |  |
| E | $.30000 E+00$ | $.30000 E+00$ | $.30000 E+00$ | $.30000 E+00$ | $.30000 E+00$ | $.30000 E+00$ |  |
| F | $.30000 E+00$ | $.30000 E+00$ | $.30000 E+00$ | $.30000 E+00$ | $.30000 E+00$ | $.30000 E+00$ |  |

*** VERTICAL POTENTIAL TEMPERATURE GRADIENTS ***
(oegrees kelvin per meter)

| STABILITY | WIND SPEED CATEGORY |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CATEGORY | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| A | . $00000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $00000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $00000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $00000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $00000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $00000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 8 | . $00000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . O0000E+00 | . $00000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $00000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $00000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 00000E +00 |
| C | . $00000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $00000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $00000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $00000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $00000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $00000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| D | . $00000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $00000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $00000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $00000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $00000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $00000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| $\varepsilon$ | .20000E-01 | .20000E-01 | . 20000E-01 | . 20000E-01 | . 20000E-01 | . 20000E-01 |
| F | . 35000E-01 | .35000E-01 | . $35000 \mathrm{E}-01$ | . $35000 \mathrm{E}-01$ | . $35000 \mathrm{E}-01$ | . 35000E-01 |

** X-COORDINATES OF RECTANGULAR GRID SYSTEM **
(METERS)
$-200.0, \quad-130.0, \quad 130.0, \quad 200.0, \quad 500.0, \quad 1000.0, \quad 1500.0, \quad 2000.0, \quad 3000.0, \quad 4000.0$, *** $Y$-COORDINATES OF RECTANGULAR GRID SYSTEM ***
(METERS)
$-600.0,-200.0, \quad .0, \quad 200.0, \quad 600.0$,

| EMISSION RATE | TEMP. | EXIT VEL. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TYPE $=0,1$ |  |  |


| 1 | 10 | 3 | . $37800 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 0 | -1000.0 | 1.0 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 46.00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 10 | 3 | . $37800 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 0 | -900.0 | 1.0 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 46.00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
| 3 | 10 | 3 | . $37800 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 0 | -800.0 | 1.0 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 46.00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
| 4 | 10 | 3 | . $37800 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 0 | -700.0 | 1.0 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 46.00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
| 5 | 10 | 3 | . $37800 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 0 | -600.0 | 1.0 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 46.00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
| 6 | 10 | 3 | . $37800 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 0 | -500.0 | 1.0 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 46.00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
| 7 | 10 | 3 | . $37800 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 0 | -400.0 | 1.0 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 46.00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
| 8 | 10 | 3 | . $37800 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 0 | -300.0 | 1.0 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 46.00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
| 9 | 10 | 3 | . $37800 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 0 | -200.0 | 1.0 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 46.00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
| 10 | 10 | 3 | . $37800 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 0 | -100.0 | 1.0 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 46.00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
| 11 | 10 | 3 | . $37800 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 0 | . 0 | 1.0 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 46.00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
| 12 | 10 | 3 | . $37800 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 0 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 46.00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
| 13 | 10 | 3 | . $37800 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 0 | 200.0 | 1.0 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 66.00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
| 14 | 10 | 3 | $.37800 \varepsilon+00$ | . 0 | 300.0 | 1.0 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 46.00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
| 15 | 10 | 3 | . $37800 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 0 | 400.0 | 1.0 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 46.00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
| 16 | 10 | 3 | . $37800 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 0 | 500.0 | 1.0 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 46.00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
| 17 | 10 | 3 | . $37800 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 0 | 600.0 | 1.0 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 46.00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
| 18 | 10 | 3 | . $37800 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 0 | 700.0 | 1.0 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 46.00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
| 19 | 10 | 3 | . $37800 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 0 | 800.0 | 1.0 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 46.00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
| 20 | 10 | 3 | . $37800 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 0 | 900.0 | 1.0 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 46.00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
| 21 | 10 | 3 | . $37800 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 0 | 1000.0 | 1.0 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 46.00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |

```
*** Energy Fuels - Mt. Trumbull Road - Hermit MAX E Road - 16 hou ***
* SOURCE-RECEPTOR COMBINATIONS LESS THAN 1OO METERS OR THREE BUILDING
    HEIGHTS IN DISTANCE. NO AVERAGE CONCENTRATION IS CALCULATED *
```

| - - receptor location - - |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOURCE | or range | OR DIRECTION | BETWEEN |
| NUMBER | (METERS) | (DEGREES) | (METERS) |
| 6 | -130.0 | -600.0 | 65.11 |
| 6 | 130.0 | -600.0 | 65.11 |
| 7 | -130.0 | -400.0 | 31.10 |
| 7 | 130.0 | -400.0 | 31.10 |
| 8 | -130.0 | -600.0 | 65.11 |
| 8 | 130.0 | -600.0 | 65.11 |
| 8 | -130.0 | -200.0 | 65.11 |
| 8 | 130.0 | -200.0 | 65.11 |
| 9 | -130.0 | -200.0 | 31.10 |
| 9 | 130.0 | -200.0 | 31.10 |
| 10 | -130.0 | -200.0 | 65.11 |
| 10 | 130.0 | -200.0 | 65.11 |
| 10 | -130.0 | . 0 | 65.11 |
| 10 | 130.0 | . 0 | 65.11 |
| 11 | -130.0 | . 0 | 31.10 |
| 11 | 130.0 | . 0 | 31.10 |
| 12 | -130.0 | . 0 | 65.11 |
| 12 | 130.0 | . 0 | 65.11 |
| 12 | -130.0 | 200.0 | 65.11 |
| 12 | 130.0 | 200.0 | 65.11 |
| 13 | -130.0 | 200.0 | 31.10 |
| 13 | 130.0 | 200.0 | 31.10 |
| 16 | -130.0 | 200.0 | 65.11 |
| 16 | 130.0 | 200.0 | 65.11 |
| 14 | -130.0 | 600.0 | 65.11 |
| 14 | 130.0 | 600.0 | 65.11 |
| 15 | -130.0 | 600.0 | 31.10 |
| 15 | 130.0 | 400.0 | 31.10 |
| 16 | -130.0 | 600.0 | 65.11 |
| 16 | 130.0 | 600.0 | 65.11 |

* oaily 16-hour average concentration (micrograms/cubic meter)
* ENOING WITH HOUR 16 FOR OAY 103 *
* Froh all sources *
* For the receptor grio *

|  |  | * maximum value equals |  |  | 60.44895 ANO OCCURRED AT $($ |  | 200.0) * |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Y-AXIS |  | X-AXIS (METERS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (METERS) | 1 | -200.0 | $-130.0$ | 130.0 | 200.0 | 500.0 | 1000.0 | 1500.0 | 2000.0 | 3000.0 |
| 400.0 |  | . 00000 | . 00000 | 5.36414 | 60.46890 | 31.95974 | 15.44960 | 9.71071 | 7.46860 | 6.84705 |
| 200.0 |  | . 00000 | . 00000 | 5.34414 | 60.44895 | 32.12696 | 17.81814 | 10.74590 | 7.72844 | 5.17612 |
| . 0 |  | . 00000 | . 00000 | 5.34414 | 60.64895 | 32.13263 | 18.98085 | 12.10262 | 8.13763 | 5.27139 |
| -200.0 |  | . 00000 | . 00000 | 5.34614 | 60.44895 | 32.13272 | 19.27472 | 13.12567 | 8.79609 | 5.38404 |
| -400.0 |  | . 00000 | . 00000 | 5.34414 | 60.46895 | 32.13033 | 19.10911 | 13.57481 | 9.57818 | 5.66073 |


*** Energy Fuels - Mt. Trumbull Road - Hermit MaX $\varepsilon$ Road - 16 hou ***

* daily 16-hour average concentration (micrograms/Cubic meter) *
* ENDING WITH HOUR 16 FOR DAY 103 *
* FROM ALL SOURCES *
* FOR THE RECEPTOR GRID *
* MAXIMUM VALUE EQUALS 60.44895 and OCCURRED AT ( 200.0, 200.0) *

Y-AXIS
4000.0

- isclit input oata -

```
NUMBER OF SOURCES = 15
NUMBER OF X AXIS GRIO SYSTEM POINTS = 11
NUMBER OF Y AXIS GRIO SYSTEM POINTS = 11
MUMBER OF SPECIAL POINTS = 0
NUMBER OF SEASONS = 1
NUHBER OF HINO SPEEO CLASSES = 6
NUMBER OF STABILITY CLASSES = 6
NUMBER OF WINO OIRECTION CLASSES = 16
FILE NUMBER OF OATA FILE USED FOR REPORTS = 1
THE PROGRAM IS RUN IN RURAL MOOE
CONCENTRATION (OEPOSITION) UNITS CONVERSION FACTOR = .10000000E +07
ACCELERATION OF GRAVITY (METERS/SEC**2) = 9.800
HEIGHT OF MEASUREMENT OF HINO SPEEO (METERS) = 10.000
ENTRAINMENT PARAMETER FOR UNSTABLE CONOITIONS = . }60
ENTRAINMENT PARAMETER FOR STABLE CONOITIONS = . }60
CORRECTION ANGLE FOR GRIO SYSTEM VERSUS OIRECTION DATA NORTH (OEGREES) = .000
OECAY COEFFICIENT =.00000000E+00
PROGRAM OPTION SWITCHES =1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 3, 2,2,3,2,2,0,0,0,0, 0, 0, 1, 1,0,
ALL SOURCES ARE USED TO FORM SOURCE COMBINATION 1
DISTANCE X AXIS GRIO SYSTEM POINTS (METERS )= -3000.00, -2000.00, -1500.00, -1000.00, -500.00, .00,
    500.00, 1000.00, 1500.00, 2000.00, 3000.00,
DISTANCE Y AXIS GRID SYSTEM POINTS (METERS ) = -3000.00, -2000.00, -1500.00, -1000.00, -500.00, .00,
    500.00, 1000.00, 1500.00, 2000.00, 3000.00,
                            - AMBIENt AIR tEmperature (OEgrees XELVIN) -
        STABILITY STABILITY STABILITY STABILITY STABILITY STABILITY
        CATEGORY & CATEGORY 2 CATEGORY 3 CATEGORY & CATEGORY 5 CATEGORY 6
SEASON 1 283.0000 283.0000 283.0000 283.0000 283.0000 283.0000
- mixing layer height (meters) -
SEASON 1
HiND SPEED HIND SPEED HIND SPEED HIND SPEED HIND SPEED HIND SPEEO CATEGORY 1 CATEGORY 2 CATEGORY 3 CATEGORY \& CATEGORY 5 CATEGORY 6 STABILITY CATEGORY \(1.100000 E+06.100000 E+04.100000 E+04.100000 E+04.100000 E+04.100000 E+04\) STABILITY CATEGORY 2 . 100000E \(+06.100000 \mathrm{E}+06\). \(100000 \mathrm{E}+04.100000 \mathrm{E}+06\). \(100000 \mathrm{E}+04.100000 \mathrm{E}+04\) STABILITY CATEGORY \(3.100000 E+04.100000 \mathrm{E}+04.100000 \mathrm{E}+06.100000 \mathrm{E}+04.100000 \mathrm{E}+04.100000 \mathrm{E}+04\) STABILITY CATEGORY \& . \(500000 \mathrm{E}+03.500000 \mathrm{E}+03.750000 \mathrm{E}+03.100000 \mathrm{E}+06.100000 \mathrm{E}+04.100000 \mathrm{E}+04\) STABILITY CATEGORY \(5.100000 \mathrm{E}+05.100000 \mathrm{E}+05.100000 \mathrm{E}+05.100000 \mathrm{E}+05.100000 \mathrm{E}+05.100000 \mathrm{E}+05\) STABILITY CATEGORY \(6.100000 \mathrm{E}+05.100000 \mathrm{E}+05.100000 \mathrm{E}+05.100000 \mathrm{E}+05.100000 \mathrm{E}+05.100000 \mathrm{E}+05\)
```


## - frequency of occurrence of wind speed, direction and stability -

SEASON 1

## STABILITY CATEGORY 1

WIND SPEED WIND SPEED HIND SPEED WIND SPEED WIND SPEED WIND SPEED CATEGORY 1 CATEGORY 2 CATEGORY 3 CATEGORY 4 CATEGORY 5 CATEGORY 6 DIRECTION ( .7500MPS)( 2.5000 MPS$)(4.3000 \mathrm{MPS})(6.8000 \mathrm{MPS})(9.5000 \mathrm{MPS})(12.5000 \mathrm{MPS})$ (DEGREES)

| .000 | .00013000 | .00279994 | .00172996 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22.500 | .00026999 | .00319993 | .00132997 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 65.000 | .00039999 | .00332993 | .00079998 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 67.500 | .00000000 | .00106998 | .00026999 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 90.000 | .00013000 | .00212996 | .00106998 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 112.500 | .00026999 | .00079998 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 135.000 | .00079998 | .00399992 | .00199996 | .00013000 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 157.500 | .00106998 | .00612987 | .00159997 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 180.000 | .00066999 | .00652986 | .00345993 | .00052999 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 202.500 | .00092998 | .00585988 | .00172996 | .00013000 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 225.000 | .00092998 | .00385992 | .00332993 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 267.500 | .00000000 | .00212996 | .00132997 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 270.000 | .00079998 | .00439991 | .00212996 | .00026999 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 292.500 | .00039999 | .00172996 | .00305994 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 315.000 | .00013000 | .00678990 | .00292994 | .00013000 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 337.500 | .00039999 | .00185996 | .00159997 | .00013000 | .00000000 | .00000000 |

## SEASON 1

## STABILITY CATEGORY 2

hind speed wino speed wino speed wino speed hind speed hind speed CATEGORY 1 CATEGORY 2 CATEGORY 3 CATEGORY 4 CATEGORY 5 CATEGORY 6 DIRECTION ( .7500 MPS$)(2.5000 \mathrm{MPS})(6.3000 \mathrm{MPS})(6.8000 \mathrm{MPS})(9.5000 \mathrm{MPS})(12.5000 \mathrm{MPS})$ (DEGREES)

| .000 | .00066999 | .00132997 | .00106998 | .00026999 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22.500 | .00052999 | .00166997 | .00159997 | .00026999 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 65.000 | .00106998 | .00252995 | .00052999 | .00039999 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 67.500 | .00052999 | .00132997 | .00066999 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 90.000 | .00000000 | .00199996 | .00079998 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 112.500 | .00026999 | .00132997 | .00052999 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 135.000 | .00079998 | .00319993 | .00166997 | .00013000 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 157.500 | .00039999 | .00692986 | .00359993 | .00013000 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 180.000 | .00092998 | .00319993 | .00345993 | .00106998 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 202.500 | .00106998 | .00439991 | .00225995 | .00159997 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 225.000 | .00066999 | .00132997 | .00212996 | .00079998 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 267.500 | .00052999 | .00132997 | .00092998 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 270.000 | .00000000 | .00092998 | .00225995 | .00092998 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 292.500 | .00052999 | .00039999 | .00212996 | .00106998 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 315.000 | .00013000 | .00199996 | .00279994 | .00119998 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 337.500 | .00000000 | .00119998 | .00106998 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 |

- ISCLT InPut data (CONt.) -
- freouency of occurrence of hino speeo, oirection and stability -

SEASON 1

## STABILITY CATEGORY 3

hino speeo hino speed hind speeo hino speeo wino speed hino speeo CATEGORY 1 CATEGORY 2 CATEGORY 3 CATEGORY 4 CATEGORY 5 CATEGORY 6 direction ( .7500 MPS$)(2.5000 \mathrm{KPS})(6.3000 \mathrm{MPS})(6.8000 \mathrm{MPS})(9.5000 \mathrm{MPS})(12.5000 \mathrm{MPS})$ (oEgrees)

| .000 | .00039999 | .00185996 | .00079998 | .00026999 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 22.500 | .00052999 | .00185996 | .00199996 | .00099998 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 65.000 | .00066999 | .00305994 | .00172996 | .00026999 | .00013000 | .00000000 |
| 67.500 | .00039999 | .00332993 | .00119998 | .00026999 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 90.000 | .00052999 | .00172996 | .00106998 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 112.500 | .00079998 | .00106998 | .00013000 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 135.000 | .00146997 | .00385992 | .00079998 | .00039999 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 157.500 | .00166997 | .00798983 | .00518989 | .00166997 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 180.000 | .00079998 | .00518989 | .00492990 | .00305996 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 202.500 | .00069999 | .00458991 | .00412991 | .00252995 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 2255000 | .000529999 | .00185996 | .00305994 | .00252995 | .00013000 | .00000000 |
| 267.500 | .000269999 | .00169997 | .00159997 | .00166997 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 270.000 | .00079998 | .00052999 | .001999996 | .00159997 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 292.500 | .00052999 | .00106998 | .00252995 | .00259995 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 315.000 | .00052999 | .00132997 | .00252995 | .00239995 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 337.500 | .00000000 | .00119998 | .00252995 | .00079998 | .00000000 | .00000000 |

## SEASON 1

## stability category 4

hino speeo hino speed hino speed hino speeo wind speed hino speed category 1 category 2 category 3 category 4 category 5 category 6 OIRECTION ( .7500 MPS$)(2.5000 \mathrm{MPS})(4.3000 \mathrm{MPS})(6.8000 \mathrm{MPS})(9.5000 \mathrm{MPS})(12.5000 \mathrm{MPS})$ (OEGREES)

| 0 | . 00199996 | . 00825983 | . 00478990 | . 00252995 | . 00039999 | 00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22.500 | . 00166997 | . 00518989 | .00785986 | . 00758984 | . 00119998 | . 00000000 |
| 65.000 | . 0019999 | . 00518989 | . 00385992 | . 00399992 | . 00052999 | . 00013000 |
| 67.500 | . 00092998 | . 00385992 | . 0037299 | . 0102597 | . 00132997 | . 00039999 |
| . 000 | . 00119998 | . 00292996 | . 0017 | . 0015999 | . 00000000 | . 00000000 |
| 112.500 | . 00039999 | . 00159997 | . 00026999 | . 00000000 | . 0000000 | . 00000000 |
| 135.000 | . 00132997 | . 00412991 | . 00079998 | . 00013000 | . 0000000 | . 00000000 |
| 157.500 | . 00172996 | . 01544968 | . 01158976 | . 006659 | . 00052999 | . 00000000 |
| 180.000 | . 00252995 | 146497 | . 012269 | . 01186975 | . 00026 | . 00000000 |
| 202 | . 00252995 | . 01611966 | . 0136497 | . 0147796 | . 0039999 | . 00052999 |
| 225.000 | . 00212996 | . 01164976 | . 01278973 | . 02037958 | . 003859 | . 000 |
| 267.500 | . 00106998 | . 00825983 | . 0057298 | . 01091977 | 0146997 | . 000 |
| 270.000 | . 00166997 | . 01051978 | . 00772986 | . 00705985 | . 00185996 | . 00039999 |
| 292.500 | . 00119998 | . 00652986 | . 00598988 | . 00905981 | . 0005299 | . 00026999 |
| 315.000 | . 00132997 | . 00825983 | . 00798983 | . 00612987 | . 00066999 | . 0003 |
| 37.5 | 0003 | . 006259 | . 006129 | . 00785986 | . 00159997 |  |

## SEASON 1

## STABILITY CATEGORY 5

|  | WIND SPEED <br> CATEGORY 1 | WIND SPEED CATEGORY 2 | WIND SPEED CATEGORY 3 | WIND SPEED CATEGORY 4 | WIND SPEED CATEGORY 5 | WIND SPEED CATEGORY 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { DIRECTION } \\ & \text { (DEGREES) } \end{aligned}$ | . 7500 MPS ) | 2.5000 MPS ) | 4.3000MPS) | 6.8000MPS) | 9.5000 MPS | 2.5000 MPS ) |
| . 000 | . 00132997 | . 00492990 | . 00305994 | . 00000000 | . 00000000 | . 00000000 |
| 22.500 | . 00212996 | . 00825983 | . 01464970 | . 000000000 | . 00013000 | . 00000000 |
| 45.000 | . 00279994 | . 01038979 | . 00638987 | . 00000000 | . 00000000 | . 00000000 |
| 67.500 | . 00052999 | . 00545989 | . 00772984 | . 00000000 | . 00000000 | . 00000000 |
| 90.000 | . 00132997 | . 00265998 | . 00225995 | . 00000000 | . 00000000 | . 00000000 |
| 112.500 | . 00066999 | . 00079998 | . 00013000 | . 00000000 | . 00000000 | . 00000000 |
| 135.000 | . 00185996 | . 00172996 | . 00013000 | . 00000000 | . 00000000 | . 00000000 |
| 157.500 | . 00132997 | . 00732985 | . 00265994 | . 00000000 | . 000000000 | . 00000000 |
| 180.000 | . 00452991 | . 01051978 | . 00399992 | . 00000000 | . 00000000 | . 00000000 |
| 202.500 | . 00359993 | . 01704965 | . 01011979 | . 00000000 | . 00000000 | . 00000000 |
| 225.000 | . 00558988 | . 01118977 | . 00665986 | . 00000000 | . 00000000 | . 00000000 |
| 247.500 | . 00172996 | . 01104977 | . 00412991 | . 00000000 | . 00000000 | . 00000000 |
| 270.000 | . 00385992 | . 01611971 | . 00239995 | . 00000000 | . 00000000 | . 00000000 |
| 292.500 | . 00319993 | . 01118977 | . 00678986 | . 00000000 | . 00000000 | . 00000000 |
| 315.000 | . 00166997 | . 01118977 | . 00838983 | . 00000000 | . 00000000 | . 00000000 |
| 337.500 | . 00159997 | . 00718985 | . 01104977 | . 00000000 | . 00000000 | . 00000000 |
|  |  |  | SEASON 1 |  |  |  |

## STABILITY CATEGORY 6

WIND SPEED WIND SPEED WIND SPEED WIND SPEED WIND SPEED WIND SPEED CATEGORY 1 CATEGORY 2 CATEGORY 3 CATEGORY 6 CATEGORY 5 CATEGORY 6

## DIRECTION

 (DEGREES)| .000 | .00146997 | .00265994 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 22.500 | .00092998 | .00305994 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 45.000 | .00159997 | .00399992 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 67.500 | .00106998 | .00092998 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 90.000 | .00172996 | .00212996 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 112.500 | .00092998 | .00013000 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 135.000 | .00185996 | .00172996 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 157.500 | .00079998 | .00119998 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 180.000 | .00332993 | .00159997 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 202.500 | .00159997 | .00159997 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 225.000 | .00185996 | .00225995 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 247.500 | .00146997 | .00212996 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 270.000 | .00172996 | .00305994 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 292.500 | .00172996 | .00185996 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 315.000 | .00185996 | .00332993 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 |
| 337.500 | .00092998 | .00185996 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 | .00000000 |

- ISCLT INPUT DATA (CONT.) -
- vertical potential temperature gradient (degrees kelvin/meter) -

WIND SPEED WINO SPEED WIND SPEED WIND SPEED WIND SPEED WINO SPEED CATEGORY 1 CATEGORY 2 CATEGORY 3 CATEGORY \& CATEGORY 5 CATEGORY 6 STABILITY CATEGORY $1.000000 \mathrm{E}+00.000000 \mathrm{E}+00.000000 \mathrm{E}+00.000000 \mathrm{E}+00.000000 \mathrm{E}+00.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ STABILITY CATEGORY $2.000000 E+00.000000 \mathrm{E}+00.000000 \mathrm{E}+00.000000 \mathrm{E}+00.000000 \mathrm{E}+00.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ STABILITY CATEGORY 3 . 000000E $+00.000000 \mathrm{E}+00.000000 \mathrm{E}+00.000000 \mathrm{E}+00.000000 \mathrm{E}+00.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ STABILITY CATEGORY $4.000000 \mathrm{E}+00.000000 \mathrm{E}+00.000000 \mathrm{E}+00.000000 \mathrm{E}+00.000000 \mathrm{E}+00.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ STABILITY CATEGORY 5 , 200000E-01 .200000E-01 .200000E-01 .200000E-01 .200000E-01 .200000E-01 STABILITY CATEGORY 6 .350000E-01 .350000E-01 .350000E-01 .350000E-01 .350000E-01 .350000E-01

- WIND profile power law exponents -

WIND SPEED WIND SPEED WIND SPEED WIND SPEED WIND SPEEO HIND SPEED CATEGORY 1 - CATEGORY 2 CATEGORY 3 CATEGORY 4 CATEGORY 5 CATEGORY 6 STABILITY CATEGORY $1.100000 \mathrm{E}+00.100000 \mathrm{E}+00.100000 \mathrm{E}+00.100000 \mathrm{E}+00.100000 \mathrm{E}+00.100000 \mathrm{E}+00$ STABILITY CATEGORY $2.150000 \mathrm{E}+00.150000 \mathrm{E}+00.150000 \mathrm{E}+00.150000 \mathrm{E}+00.150000 \mathrm{E}+00.150000 \mathrm{E}+00$ STABILITY CATEGORY $3.200000 \mathrm{E}+00.200000 \mathrm{E}+00.200000 \mathrm{E}+00.200000 \mathrm{E}+00.200000 \mathrm{E}+00.200000 \mathrm{E}+00$ STABILITY CATEGORY $4.250000 \mathrm{E}+00.250000 \mathrm{E}+00.250000 \mathrm{E}+00.250000 \mathrm{E}+00.250000 \mathrm{E}+00.250000 \mathrm{E}+00$ STABILITY CATEGORY $5.300000 \mathrm{E}+00.300000 \mathrm{E}+00.300000 \mathrm{E}+00.300000 \mathrm{E}+00.300000 \mathrm{E}+00.300000 \mathrm{E}+00$ STABILITY CATEGORY $6.300000 \mathrm{E}+00.300000 \mathrm{E}+00.300000 \mathrm{E}+00.300000 \mathrm{E}+00.300000 \mathrm{E}+00.300000 \mathrm{E}+00$


- SOURCE INPUT DATA (CONT.) -

| C T SOURCE SOURCE | X | Y | EMISSION BASE / |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A A NUMBER TYPE COORDINATE | COORDINATE | HEIGHT | ELEV- / |  |
| RP | (M) | (M) | (H) ATION / |  |
| DE |  |  |  | (M) / |

$\begin{array}{rlrrr} & 9 \text { VOLUME } & -32.80 & 782.80 & 1.00 \quad .00 \\ & & \\ & \\ \text { STANDARD DEVIATION OF THE CROSSWIND SOURCE DISTRIBUTION }(M)=10.00 \\ 5.00\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}X & 10 \text { VOLUME } & -174.20 & 926.30 & 1.00\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll} & 11 & \\ \text { VOLUME } & -315.70 & 1065.70 & 1.00\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}X & 12 \text { VOLUME } & -657.10 & 1207.10 & 1.00\end{array}$

- particulate categories -

FALL VELOCITY (MPS) . 0040 . 0180 . 0480
MASS FRACTION . 2200 . 4600 . 3400
REFLECTION COEFFICIENT . 8000 . 7400 . 6200

- SOURCE STRENGTHS ( GRAMS PER SEC ) -

SEASON 1 SEASON 2 SEASON 3 SEASON 4 1.79500E-01
. OO STANDARD DEVIATION OF THE CROSSWIND SOURCE DISTRIBUTION (M) $=10.00$ STANDARD DEVIATION OF THE VERTICAL SOURCE DISTRIBUTION ( $\mu$ ) $=5.00$

- particulate categories -

FALL VELOCITY (MPS) . 0040 . 0480 . 0480
MASS FRACTION . 2200 . 6400 . 3400
REFLECTION COEFFICIENT . 8000 . 7400 . 6200

- SOURCE STRENGTHS (GRAMS PER SEC ) -

SEASON 1 SEASON 2 SEASON 3 SEASON 4
1.79500E-01
. OO STANDARD DEVIATION OF THE CROSSWIND SOURCE DISTRIBUTION $(M)=10.00$ STANDARD DEVIATION OF THE VERTICAL SOURCE DISTRIBUTION $(M)=5.00$

- particulate categories -

123
FALL VELOCITY (MPS) . 0040 . 0180.0480
MASS FRACTION . 2200 . 6400 . 3400
REFLECTION COEFFICIENT . 8000 . 7400 . 6200

- SOURCE STRENGTHS (GRAMS PER SEC ) -

SEASON 1 SEASON 2 SEASON 3 SEASON 4
1.79500E-01
. 00 STANDARD DEVIATION OF THE CROSSHIND SOURCE DISTRIBUTION $(M)=10.00$ STANOARD DEVIATION OF THE VERTICAL SOURCE DISTRIGUTION (M) = 5.00

- particulate categories -

FALL VELOCITY (MPS) . 0040 . $0180 \quad .0480$
MASS FRACTION . 2200 . 4400 . 3400
REFLECTION COEFFICIENT . 8000 . 7400 . 6200

- SOURCE STRENGTHS ( GRAMS PER SEC ) -

SEASON 1 SEASON 2 SEASON 3 SEASON 6
1.79500E-01

** ANNUAL GROUNO LEVEL CONCENTRATION ( MICROGRAMS PER CUBIC METER ) FROM ALL SOURCES COMBINED

- GRID SYSTEM RECEPTORS -
- X AXIS (OISTANCE, METERS) -

|  | -3000.000 | -2000.000 | -1500.000 | -1000.000 | -500.000 | . 000 | 500.000 | 1000.000 | 1500.000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Y AXIS (DISTANCE | MEtERS ) |  | - CONCENTRATION - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3000.000 | . 156322 | . 378256 | . 598347 | . 911966 | 1.173769 | 1.253367 | 1.261547 | 1.048814 | . 921459 |
| 2000.000 | . 148275 | . 295638 | . 623563 | 2.732063 | 3.999588 | 3.000382 | 2.401556 | 1.883574 | 1.282024 |
| 1500.000 | . 192584 | . 410255 | . 784549 | 5.113924 | 12.529500 | 5,490071 | 3.808425 | 2.463339 | 1.572774 |
| 1000.000 | . 246098 | . 527905 | 1.058403 | 2.471028 | 7.338008 | 13.881150 | 7.367954 | 3.296376 | 1.708664 |
| 500.000 | . 307253 | . 668150 | 1.055702 | 1.786715 | 3.298178 | 12.564150 | 4.361539 | 3.728064 | 1.775740 |
| . 000 | . 317789 | . 616529 | . 925453 | 1.460685 | 2.621234 | 4.620816 | 5.215021 | 2.730411 | 1.590411 |
| -500.000 | . 316508 | . 613332 | . 831389 | 1.133185 | 1.601266 | 1.925251 | 1.798789 | 1.568757 | 1.158046 |
| -1000.000 | . 330200 | . 532312 | . 702331 | . 921726 | 1.030704 | 1.040899 | . 959728 | . 962345 | . 866673 |
| -1500.000 | . 307128 | . 685102 | . 611109 | . 657342 | . 761610 | . 668390 | . 649878 | . 655270 | . 583159 |
| -2000.000 | . 291162 | . 439931 | . 472589 | . 515747 | . 532099 | . 687182 | . 475408 | . 649685 | . 462903 |
| -3000.000 | . 262265 | . 304197 | . 319964 | . 338604 | . 309088 | . 298324 | . 293428 | . 278390 | . 283429 |

> - GRID SYSTEM RECEPTORS -
> - X AXIS (OISTANCE, METERS) -

|  | 2000.000 | 3000.000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Y axis (OISTANCE | METERS |  |
| 3000.000 | . 714707 | . 694773 |
| 2000.000 | . 965434 | . 697773 |
| 1500.000 | . 954025 | . 556864 |
| 1000.000 | 1.073309 | . 552262 |
| 500.000 | 1.149789 | . 626684 |
| . 000 | 1.004321 | . 554960 |
| -500.000 | . 854040 | . 527731 |
| -1000.000 | . 711993 | . 653030 |
| -1500.000 | . 561362 | . 399999 |
| -2000.000 | . 419651 | . 365512 |
| -3000.000 | . 281060 | . 254576 |

*** Energy Fuels Main facility - Hermit MAX E OF FACILITY ***

```
CALCULATE (CONCENTRATION=1,DEPOSITION=2)
RECEPTOR GRID SYSTEM (RECTANGULAR=1 OR 3, POLAR=2 OR 4)
DISCRETE RECEPTOR SYSTEM (RECTANGULAR=1,POLAR=2)
TERRAIN ELEVATIONS ARE READ (YES=1,NO=0)
CALCULATIONS ARE HRITTEN TO TAPE (YES=1,NO=0)
LIST ALL INPUT DATA (NO=0,YES=1,MET DATA ALSO=2)
```

COMPUTE AVERAGE CONCENTRATION (OR TOTAL DEPOSITION)
HITH THE FOLLOWING TIME PERIODS:
HOURLY (YES $=1, N 0=0$ )
2 -HOUR (YES $=1, N O=0$ )
3-HOUR (YES $=1, \mathrm{NO}=0$ )
4-HOUR (YES $=1, N O=0$ )
6 -HOUR (YES $=1, N O=0$ )
8-HOUR (YES $=1, N 0=0$ )
12-HOUR (YES $=1, N 0=0$ )
26-HOUR (YES $=1, N 0=0$ )
PRINT ' $N$ '-DAY TABLE(S) (YES $=1, N 0=0$ )

PRINT THE FOLLOWING types of tables whose time periods are SPECIFIED BY ISW(7) THROUGH ISH(16):
OAILY TABLES (YES $=1, \mathrm{NO}=0$ )
HIGHEST \& SECOND HIGHEST TABLES (YES $=1, \mathrm{NO}=0$ )
MAXIMUM 50 TABLES (YES $=1$, N $0=0$ )
METEOROLOGICAL DATA INPUT METHOD (PRE-PROCESSED $=1$, CARD=2)
RURAL-URBAN OPTION (RURAL $=0$, URBAN MODE $1=1$, URBAN MODE $2=2$ )
hind profile exponent values (defaults $=1$, user enters $=2,3$ )
VERIICAL POT. TEMP. GRADIENT VALUES (OEFAULTS $=1$, USER ENTERS $=2,3$ )
SCALE EMISSION RATES FOR ALL SOURCES ( $N O=0, Y E S$ ) $O$ )
PROGRAM CALCULATES FINAL PLUME RISE ONLY (YES $=1, N 0=2$ )
PROGRAM AOJUSTS ALL STACK HEIGHTS FOR DOWNWASH (YES $=2$, NO $=1$ )
NUMBER OF INPUT SOURCES
NUMBER OF SOURCE GROUPS ( $=0$, ALL SOURCES $)$
TIME PERIOD INTERVAL TO BE PRINTED ( $=0$, ALL INTERVALS)
NUMBER OF $X$ (RANGE) GRIO VALUES
NUMBER OF Y (THETA) GRID VALUES
NUMBER OF DISCRETE RECEPTORS
NUMBER OF HOURS PER DAY IN METEOROLOGICAL OATA
NUMBER OF DAYS OF METEOROLOGICAL OATA
SOURCE EMISSION RATE UNITS CONVERSION FACTOR
Entrainment coefficient for unstable atmosphere
ENTRAINMENT COEFFICIENT FOR STABLE ATMOSPHERE
height above ground at which wind speed was measured
LOGICAL UNIT NUMBER OF METEOROLOGICAL OATA
allocated data storage
reouired data storage for this probley run
$\operatorname{ISW}(1)=1$
$\operatorname{ISW}(2)=1$
$\operatorname{ISH}(3)=1$
$\operatorname{ISW}(4)=0$
$\operatorname{ISW}(5)=0$
$\operatorname{ISW}(6)=1$
$\operatorname{ISW}(7)=0$
$\operatorname{ISH}(8)=0$
$\operatorname{ISW}(9)=0$
$\operatorname{ISW}(10)=0$
$\operatorname{ISH}(11)=0$
$\operatorname{ISH}(12)=0$
$\operatorname{ISW}(13)=0$
$\operatorname{ISH}(14)=1$
$\operatorname{ISW}(15)=0$

```
ISH(16) = 1
ISW(17) = 1
ISH(18) = 1
ISW(19)=2
ISW(20) = 0
ISH(21) = 1
ISW(22) = 1
ISH(23)=0
ISW(24)=1
ISW(25) = 1
NSOURC = 15
NGROUP = 0
    IPERD = 0
NXPNTS = 10
NYPNTS = 9
NXWYPT = 0
NHOURS = 24
    NDAYS = 5
        TK =.10000E+07
BETA1 = . 600
BETA2 = .600
            ZR = 10.00 METERS
    IMET = 2
LIMIT = 10000 WORDS
MIMIT = 4075 WOROS
```

* SOURCE-RECEPTOR COMBINATIONS LESS THAN 100 METERS OR THREE BUILOING heights in distance. no average concentration is calculated *
-     - RECEPTOR LOCATION - -
$X$ Y (METERS) DISTANCE
SOURCE OR RANGE OR DIRECTION BETHEEN
NUMBER (METERS) (DEGREES) (METERS)
$500.0 \quad 500.0$
8.30

＊＊＊Energy Fuels Main Facility－Hermit max E of Factifity
れれむ
＊＊＊SOURCE DATA＊＊＊


| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $.43200 E+00$ | 450.0 | 365.0 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 273.00 | 20.00 | 2.40 | .00 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | $.27300 E+00$ | 108.6 | 641.4 | 1.0 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 10.00 | .00 | .00 |
| 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | $.27300 E+00$ | -32.8 | 782.8 | 1.0 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 10.00 | .00 | .00 |
| 6 | 1 | 0 | 3 | $.27300 E+00$ | -174.2 | 924.3 | 1.0 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 10.00 | .00 | .00 |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | 3 | $.27300 E+00$ | -315.7 | 1065.7 | 1.0 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 10.00 | .00 | .00 |
| 6 | 1 | 0 | 3 | $.27300 E+00$ | -457.1 | 1207.1 | 1.0 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 10.00 | .00 | .00 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | 3 | $.27300 E+00$ | -598.5 | 1348.5 | 1.0 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 10.00 | .00 | .00 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 3 | $.27300 E+00$ | -739.9 | 1689.9 | 1.0 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 10.00 | .00 | .00 |
| 9 | 1 | 0 | 3 | $.27300 E+00$ | -881.4 | 1631.4 | 1.0 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 10.00 | .00 | .00 |
| 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | $.89800 E-05$ | 215.0 | 365.0 | 1.0 | 3.00 | .00 | 75.00 | .00 | .00 |
| 11 | 2 | 0 | 0 | $.89800 E-05$ | 182.0 | 304.0 | 1.0 | 3.00 | .00 | 75.00 | .00 | .00 |
| 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 | $.51100 E-06$ | 320.0 | 365.0 | 1.0 | 3.00 | .00 | 75.00 | .00 | .00 |
| 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | $.51100 E-06$ | 330.0 | 550.0 | 1.0 | 3.00 | .00 | 35.00 | .00 | .00 |
| 16 | 2 | 0 | 0 | $.10000 E-07$ | 182.0 | 365.0 | 1.0 | 3.00 | .00 | 35.00 | .00 | .00 |
| 15 | 2 | 0 | 0 | $.67600 E-05$ | 150.0 | 275.0 | 1.0 | 3.00 | .00 | 330.00 | .00 | .00 |
| 100 | .00 | .00 | .00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

DAILY: 103
24-HR/PD 1
SGROUP\# 1
*** Energy Fuels Main Facility - Hermit max e of facility
2 $2 \%$

* DAILY 24-HOUR AVERAGE CONCENTRATION (MICROGRAMS/CUBIC METER)
* ENDING WITH HOUR 24 FOR DAY 103 *
* FROM ALL SOURCES *
* for the receptor grid *
* maximum value equals 32.59062 and occurred at ( $-500.0,1500.0$ ) *

|  | Y-AXIS 1 | X-AXIS (METERS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (METERS) / | -2000.0 | -1000.0 | -500.0 | . 0 | 500.0 | 1000.0 | 1500.0 | 2000.0 | 3000.0 |
|  | 2000.0 / | . 00000 | . 00000 | . 03397 | . 82708 | . 91949 | 1.05711 | 1.08765 | 1.07001 | 1.07572 |
|  | 1500.0 / | . 00000 | . 00000 | 32.59062 | 9.50707 | 5.94730 | 6.21244 | 3.25352 | 2.77357 | 2.28842 |
| - | 1000.0 / | . 00000 | . 00000 | . 00104 | 28.60751 | 13.21285 | 8.38229 | 6.63242 | 5.35837 | 3.54615 |
|  | 500.01 | . 00000 | . 00000 | . 00000 | . 03088 | 14.32567 | 19.98986 | 12.03195 | 8.27043 | 4.69292 |
| F | . 01 | . 00000 | . 00000 | . 00000 | . 00000 | . 41527 | 9.75253 | 5.63178 | 6.58933 | 3.83071 |
|  | -500.0/ | . 00000 | . 00000 | . 00000 | . 00000 | . 00008 | . 32091 | 3.58435 | 3.01546 | 2.26258 |
|  | -1000.0 / | . 00000 | . 00000 | . 00000 | . 00000 | . 00000 | . 00071 | . 28279 | 1.80009 | 1.47717 |
| I | -1500.0 / | . 00000 | . 00000 | . 00000 | . 00000 | . 00000 | . 00000 | . 00282 | . 26966 | 1.45468 |
|  | -2000.0 / | . 00000 | . 00000 | . 00000 | . 00000 | . 00000 | . 00000 | . 00002 | . 00714 | . 73319 |

* ENDING WITH HOUR 24 FOR DAY 103 *
* FROM ALL SOURCES *
* FOR THE RECEPTOR GRID *
* MAXIMUM VALUE EQuals 32.59062 and OCCurred at ( -500.0 , 1500.0) *

| 2000.0 | $/$ | 1.08464 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1500.0 | 1.59391 |  |
| 1000.0 | $/$ | 2.77515 |
| 500.0 | 3.23001 |  |
| .0 | 2.93767 |  |
| -500.0 | 1.47618 |  |
| -1000.0 | 1.28236 |  |
| -1500.0 |  | .88589 |
| -2000.0 | 1.03466 |  |

## EMISSION INVENTORY

## TABLE

```
B-1 HAUL ROADS, PRODUCT TRANSPORT
B-2 ORE LOADOUT TO ORE STOCKPILE
B-3 ORE LOADING FROM STOCKPILE TO HAUL TRUCK
B- 4 WASTE ROCK DUMPING
B-5 WIND EROSION - ALL SOURCES
B-6 MINE VENT
```

ENERGY FUELS NUCLEAR HERMIT PROJECT

```
Source Description: Haul road, product transport on unpaved road
    Process Rate: 12 round trips per day
    Emission Factor: \(E=k * 5.9(s / 12)(\mathrm{S} / 30)(\mathrm{W} / 3) * * 0.7 *\)
                                    (w/4) **0.5 ((365-p)/365)
    Where: \(s=\) silt content (12\%)*
        \(\mathrm{S}=\) vehicle speed \((25 \mathrm{mph}) * *\)
        \(p=\) average number of days with 0.01 or greater
                inches of precipitation (60)
        \(\mathrm{W}=\) average vehicle weight (15 tons)
        \(\mathrm{W}=\) number of wheels (10)
        \(\mathrm{k}=\) percent of material less than 30 microns ( 0.8 )
Control Efficiency: None
Emission per Vehicle Mile: E(lbs/vmt) =
                        \(0.8 * 5.9(12 / 12)(25 / 30)(15 / 3) * * 0.7 *\)
                            (10/4)**0.5 ([365-60]/365)
                            \(=16.0\)
        Emission Rate:
            Daily: 16.0 * 12 trips * \(2(R T)=384.0 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{day} / \mathrm{mile}\)
    Annual: \(384.0 * 260=49.92\) tons/mile/year
```

[^1]
## TABLE'B-2

## ENERGY YUELS NUCLEAR HERMIT PROJECT

# Source Description: Ore loadout to ore stockpile Process Rate: 300 ton per day, 260 days per year Emission Factor: $E=0.04$ pounds/ton 

Control Efficiency: None

Emission Rate:
Daily: 0.04 * 300 tons/day $=12.0$ pounds $/$ day Annual: 260 day * 12.0 pounds/day $=1.56$ (TPY)

## TABLE B-3

ENERGY FUELS NUCLEAR HERMIT PROJECT

# Source Description: Ore loading from stockpile to haul trucks <br> Process Rate: 300 ton per day, 260 days per year Emission Factor: $E=0.05$ pounds/ton 

## Control efficiency: None

```
Emission Rate:
    Daily: 0.05 * 300 tons/day = 15.0 pounds/day
    Annual: 260 day * 15.0 pounds/day = 1.95 (TPY)
```


## TABLE' B-4

ENERGY FUELS NUCLEAR HERMIT PROJECT
Source Description: Waste rock dumping to waste rock stockpileProcess Rate: 25000 tons maximum mine life or 10000 tons maximumper year maximum
Emission Factor: $E=0.04$ pounds/ton
Control Efficiency: None
Emission Rate:
Annual: $0.04 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{ton} * 10000$ tons $=0.20$ ton/yr

ENERGY FUELS NUCLEAR HERMIT PROJECT

```
Source Description: Wind erosion from distributed areas (includes ore, waste rock and topsoil stockpiles)
Process Rate: Entire disturbed area, 27.0 acres
Emission Factor: \(E=a * I * C * K * L * V\) (Universal soil loss equation)
```

```
where: a is the amount remaining suspended 0.025
```

where: a is the amount remaining suspended 0.025
I is soil erodibility ( }38\mathrm{ tons/acre/year)
I is soil erodibility ( }38\mathrm{ tons/acre/year)
C is climate factor (1.00)
C is climate factor (1.00)
K}\mathrm{ is roughness factor (1.0)
K}\mathrm{ is roughness factor (1.0)
L is the field width factor (1.0)
L is the field width factor (1.0)
V}\mathrm{ is the vegetative cover factor (1.0)

```
    V}\mathrm{ is the vegetative cover factor (1.0)
```


## Control Efficiency: None

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Calculations: } & 0.025 * 38 * 1.0 * 1.0 * 1.0 * 1.0 \\
& =0.95 \text { tons/acre/yr }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Emission Rate:

Annual: 0.95 tons/acre/year * 27.0 acres $=25.6$ tons/year

## TABLE B-6

## ENERGY FUELS NUCLEAR HERMIT PROJECT

Source Description: Mine vent
Process Rate: 200,000 standard cubic feet per minute (SCFM) exit flow rate from vent
Emission Factor: 0.002 grains per SCFM

Control EfEiciency: None

```
Emission Rate:
    Daily: 0.002 * 200,000 * 1440 = 82.3 lbs/day
    Annual: 82.3 lbs/day * 365 = 15.0 tons/year
```


## APPENDIX C

## TSP CONCENTRATIONS

Second Quarter 1983
Third Quarter 1983
Fourth Quarter 1983
First Quarter 1984

Fnecalㄹㅏㅐ

|  | SAMPLER | COLOCATED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATE | A | SAMPLER B |
| 3/13/83 | 13 | 12 |
| 3/19/83 | 5 | MSG |
| 3/30/83 | MSG | MSG |
| 3/31/83 | 25 | 25 |
| 4/ 6/83 | 14 | MSG |
| 4/12/83 | 25 | 26 |
| 4/18/83 | 21 | 20 |
| 4/24/83 | MSG | MSG |
| 4/30/83 | MSG | MSG |
| 5/ 6/83 | 32 | 28 |
| 5/12/83. | 13 | 13 |
| 5/18/83 | MSG | MSG |
| 5/24/83 | 23 | 24 |
| 5/30/83 | MSG | MSG |
| Arithmetic Mean: | 19.0 | 21.1 |
| Geometric Mean: | 16.9 | 20.1 |
| Standard Deviation | 7.8 | 5.9 |

[^2]|  | SAMPLER | COLOCATED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATE | A | SAMPLER B |
| $9 / 3 / 83$ | 16 | 17 |
| $9 / 9 / 83$ | 14 | 15 |
| $9 / 15 / 83$ | 16 | 19 |
| $9 / 21 / 83$ | 20 | 23 |
| $9 / 27 / 83$ | 10 | 10 |
| $10 / 3 / 83$ | 7 | 9 |
| $10 / 9 / 83$ | 8 | 8 |
| $10 / 15 / 83$ | 14 | 14 |
| $10 / 21 / 83$ | 16 | 15 |
| $10 / 27 / 83$ | 16 | 20 |
| $11 / 2 / 83$ | 9 | 10 |
| $11 / 8 / 83$ | 7 | 7 |
| $11 / 14 / 83$ | 14 | 75 |
| $11 / 20 / 83$ | 7 | 7 |
| $11 / 26 / 83$ | 6 |  |
|  |  | 13.1 |
| Arithmetic Mean: | 12.0 | 12.1 |
| Geometric Mean: | 11.2 | 5.2 |
| Standard Deviation | 4.5 |  |

[^3]
## ARIZONA STRIP PROJECT

THIRD QUARTER 1983
TSP CONCENTRATIONS

| DATE | SAMPLER A | COLOCATED SAMPLER B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6/ 5/83 | 36 | 33 |
| 6/11/83 | 36 | 46 |
| 6/17/83 | 59 | 56 |
| 6/23/83 | 24 | 23 |
| 6/29/83 | 26 | 29 |
| 7/ 5/83 | 30 | 29 |
| 7/11/83 | 22 | 23 |
| 7/17/83 | 29 | 21 |
| 7/23/83 | 19 | 20 |
| 7/29/83 | 20 | 20 |
| 8/ 4/83 | 20 | 19 |
| 8/10/83 | 17 | 18 |
| 8/16/83 | MSG | 14 |
| 8/22/83 | 14 | 11 |
| 8/28/83 | 30 | 20 |
| Arithmetic Mean: | 27.3 | 25.5 |
| Geometric Mean: | 25.5 | 23.4 |
| Standard Deviation | 11.3 | 11.9 |

[^4]
## ARIZONA STRIP PROJECT <br> FIRST QUARTER 1984 <br> TSP CONCENTRATIONS

|  | SAMPLER | COLOCATED <br> DATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $12 / 2 / 83$ | ASG | SAMPLER B |
| $12 / 8 / 83$ | 4 | MSG |
| $12 / 14 / 83$ | 5 | 5 |
| $12 / 20 / 83$ | MSG | 4 |
| $12 / 26 / 83$ | 6 | $M S G$ |
| $1 / 1 / 84$ | 6 | 6 |
| $1 / 7 / 84$ | 9 | 1 |
| $1 / 13 / 84$ | 11 | 10 |
| $1 / 19 / 84$ | 7 | 8 |
| $1 / 25 / 84$ | 8 | 6 |
| $1 / 31 / 84$ | 16 | 7 |
| $2 / 6 / 84$ | 13 | 16 |
| $2 / 12 / 84$ | 3 | 12 |
| $2 / 18 / 84$ | 5 | 4 |
| $2 / 24 / 84$ | 12 | 6 |


| Arithmetic Mean: | 8.1 | 7.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Geometric Mean: | 7.8 | 6.3 |
| Standard Deviation | 3.9 | 4.3 |

* Concentrations are adjusted to standard temperature and pressure.


## APPENDIX D

Joint Frequency Distributoin From Airzona Strip Station Monitoring March 1983 - March 1984

|  | ```FREQUENCY OF HINDS BY DIRECTION AND SPEED FOR STABILITY CLASS A DATA RECORDED FROM MARCH 1983 THROUGH MARCH 1984 GRAND CANYON - ARIZONA``` |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SPEED CLASS INTERVALS (KNOTS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DIRECTION | 1, <3 | 3, <6 | 6,<10 | 10,<16 | 16,<21 | >21 | ALL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEAN } \\ & \text { SPEED } \end{aligned}$ |
| N | 0.15 | 2.81 | 1.93 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.89 | 5.6 |
| NNE | 0.30 | 3.56 | 1.48 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.33 | 5.3 |
| NE | 0.44 | 3.70 | 0.89 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.04 | 4.9 |
| ENE | 0.00 | 1.19 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.48 | 5.0 |
| E | 0.15 | 2.37 | 1.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.70 | 5.4 |
| ESE | 0.30 | 0.89 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.19 | 4.0 |
| SE | 0.89 | 4.44 | 2.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.41 | 4.9 |
| SSE | 1.19 | 6.81 | 1.78 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 9.78 | 4.7 |
| S | 0.74 | 7.26 | 3.70 | 0.59 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 12.30 | 5.6 |
| SSW | 1.04 | 6.22 | 1.78 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 9.19 | 4.9 |
| SW | 1.04 | 4.30 | 3.56 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.89 | 5.6 |
| WSW | 0.00 | 2.37 | 1.48 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.85 | 5.8 |
| W | 0.89 | 4.89 | 2.22 | 0.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.44 | 5.5 |
| WNW | 0.44 | 1.93 | 3.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.63 | 6.2 |
| NW | 0.15 | 5.19 | 3.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.44 | 5.7 |
| NNW | 0.44 | 1.93 | 1.78 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.30 | 5.8 |
| ALL | 8.15 | 59.85 | 30.52 | 1.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 99.85 | 5.4 |
| Calm (less than one knot) $=0.1 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Period mean wind speed $=5.4$ knots |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percen | occur | rence | or A st | bility | lass | .3\% |  |  |


|  | DATA | REQUENC RECORD | or WIN FOR ST D FROM GRAND | DS BY DI ABILITY MARCH 19 CANYON | RECTION CLASS B 83 THROU ARIZONA |  | ED <br> 1984 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SPEE | CLASS | INTERVAL | S (KNOTS |  |  |  |
| DIRECTION | 1, <3 | 3, <6 | $6,<10$ | 10,<16 | 16,<21 | >21 | ALL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEAN } \\ & \text { SPEED } \end{aligned}$ |
| N | 0.88 | 1.58 | 1.41 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.22 | 5.8 |
| NNE | 0.70 | 1.93 | 2.11 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.10 | 6.0 |
| NE | 1.41 | 3.34 | 0.70 | 0.53 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.98 | 5.0 |
| ENE | 0.88 | 1.76 | 0.88 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.51 | 4.8 |
| E | 0.18 | 2.81 | 0.70 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.69 | 5.0 |
| ESE | 0.35 | 1.76 | 0.70 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.81 | 5.1 |
| SE | 1.05 | 4.04 | 1.93 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.03 | 4.8 |
| SSE | 0.35 | 8.96 | 4.57 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.06 | 5.6 |
| S | 1.23 | 4.04 | 4.04 | 1.23 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.54 | 6.3 |
| SSH | 1.41 | 5.80 | 2.81 | 2.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 12.13 | 6.2 |
| SH | 0.88 | 1.76 | 2.64 | 1.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.33 | 7.0 |
| HSW | 0.70 | 1.76 | 1.41 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.87 | 5.3 |
| W | 0.00 | 1.23 | 2.28 | 1.23 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.75 | 7.9 |
| WNW | 0.70 | 0.53 | 2.81 | 1.41 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.45 | 7.8 |
| NW | 0.18 | 2.46 | 3.51 | 1.41 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.56 | 7.2 |
| NNW | 0.00 | 1.58 | 1.41 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.99 | 6.0 |
| ALL | 10.90 | 45.34 | 33.92 | 9.84 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 6.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Period mean wind speed $=6.1$ knotsPercent occurrence for B stability class$7.8 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

ENECOTECH INC.
SBWIND (1.0) 12/ $2 / 84$

Eneca

| DIRECTION | ```FREQUENCY OF WINDS BY DIRECTION AND SPEED FOR STABILITY CLASS C DATA RECORDED FROM MARCH }1983\mathrm{ THROUGH MARCH }198 GRAND CANYON - ARIZONA``` |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SPEED CLASS INTERVALS (KNOTS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1, 33 | 3, <6 | 6, <10 | 10, <16 | 16, <21 | >21 | ALL | MEAN SPEED |
| N | 0.38 | 1.75 | 0.63 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |
| NNE | 0.50 | 1.63 | 1.88 | 0.88 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 4.88 | 5.3 |
| NE | 0.63 | 2.88 | 1.63 | 0.25 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 5.50 | 5.9 |
| ENE | 0.38 | 3.13 | 1.13 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.88 | 5.3 |
| E | 0.50 | 1.63 | 0.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.88 | 4.7 |
| ESE | 0.75 | 1.00 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.88 | 4.0 |
| SE | 1.38 | 3.63 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.00 | 4.0 |
| SSE | 1.25 | 7.38 | 4.13 | 1.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.00 | 5.7 |
| S | 0.75 | 4.75 | 4.50 | 2.88 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 12.88 | 7.0 |
| SSW | 0.63 | 4.25 | 3.50 | 2.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 11.13 | 7.1 |
| SW | 0.50 | 1.75 | 2.63 | 2.50 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 7.50 | 8.2 |
| WSW | 0.25 | 1.38 | 1.50 | 1.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.38 | 8.2 7.4 |
| W | 0.75 | 0.50 | 1.88 | 1.38 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.50 | 8.0 |
| WNW | 0.50 | 1.00 | 2.25 | 2.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.88 | 7.9 |
| NW | 0.50 | 1.25 | 2.38 | 2.13 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 6.38 | 8.1 |
| NNW | 0.00 | 1.13 | 2.38 | 0.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.25 | 7.9 |
| ALL | 9.63 | 39.00 | 32.00 | 18.88 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 99.88 | 6.7 |
| ```Calm (less than one knot) = 0.1% Period mean wind speed = 6.7 knots Percent occurrence for C stability class 11.0%``` |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

ENECOTECH INC.
$\operatorname{SBWIND}(1.0) \quad 12 / 2 / 84$

# FREQUENCY OF WINDS BY DIRECTION AND SPEED FOR STABILITY CLASS D data recorded from march 1983 through March 1984 GRAND CANYON - ARIZONA 

| DIRECTION | SPEED CLASS INTERVALS (KNOTS) |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEAN } \\ & \text { SPEED } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1, <3 | 3, <6 | 6,<10 | 10,<16 | 16,<21 | >21 | ALL |  |
| N | 0.48 | 2.03 | 1.24 | 0.65 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 4.50 | 6.8 |
| NNE | 0.34 | 1.34 | 1.93 | 1.99 | 0.31 | 0.00 | 5.91 | 8.9 |
| NE | 0.52 | 1.31 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.17 | 0.03 | 4.02 | 7.6 |
| ENE | 0.24 | 1.00 | 0.89 | 2.61 | 0.34 | 0.10 | 5.19 | 10.3 |
| E | 0.31 | 0.76 | 0.45 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.89 | 6.5 |
| ESE | 0.10 | 0.38 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.55 | 4.5 |
| SE | 0.34 | 1.10 | 0.17 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.65 | 4.4 |
| SSE | 0.41 | 3.75 | 2.75 | 1.10 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 8.15 | 6.8 |
| S | 0.58 | 3.68 | 3.06 | 2.92 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 10.31 | 7.6 |
| SSW | 0.58 | 4.13 | 3.40 | 3.75 | 1.03 | 0.14 | 13.03 | 8.9 |
| SH | 0.55 | 2.96 | 3.16 | 5.19 | 1.07 | 0.17 | 13.10 | 9.8 |
| WSW | 0.28 | 2.06 | 1.38 | 2.85 | 0.41 | 0.10 | 7.08 | 9.4 |
| $W$ | 0.34 | 2.61 | 1.89 | 1.79 | 0.48 | 0.10 | 7.22 | 8.4 |
| WNW | 0.31 | 1.62 | 1.48 | 2.23 | 0.17 | 0.07 | 5.88 | 8.9 |
| NW | 0.34 | 1.99 | 1.99 | 1.44 | 0.14 | 0.07 | 5.98 | 7.9 |
| NNW | 0.10 | 1.62 | 1.51 | 1.99 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 5.50 | 9.2 |
| ALL | 5.84 | 32.31 | 26.37 | 29.94 | 4.71 | 0.79 | 99.97 | 8.5 |

Calm (less than one knot) $=0.0 \%$
Period mean wind speed $=8.5$ knots
Percent occurrence for D stability class 39.9\%

FREQUENCY OF KINDS BY DIRECTION AND SPEED FOR STABILITY CLASS E data recorded from march 1983 through march 1984 GRAND CANYON - ARIZONA

SPEED CLASS INTERVALS (KNOTS)

| DIRECTION | 1,13 | 3,<6 | 6,<10 | 10,<16 | 16,<21 | >21 | ALL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEAN } \\ & \text { SPEED } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | 0.52 | 1.73 | 1.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.31 | 5.3 |
| NNE | 0.84 | 3.20 | 5.72 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 9.81 | 6.2 |
| NE | 1.10 | 3.99 | 2.41 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.50 | 5.2 |
| ENE | 0.21 | 1.94 | 2.94 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.09 | 6.5 |
| E | 0.47 | 1.00 | 0.89 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.36 | 5.2 |
| ESE | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.58 | 3.7 |
| SE | 0.73 | 0.68 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.47 | 3.4 |
| SSE | 0.47 | 2.68 | 0.94 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.09 | 5.1 |
| S | 1.63 | 4.04 | 1.47 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.14 | 4.7 |
| SSW | 1.31 | 6.66 | 3.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 11.65 | 5.3 |
| SW | 2.20 | 4.30 | 2.57 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 9.08 | 4.9 |
| WSW | 0.68 | 4.20 | 1.52 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.40 | 4.8 |
| W | 1.52 | 5.30 | 0.94 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.76 | 4.4 |
| WNW | 1.26 | 4.35 | 2.52 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.13 | 5.1 |
| NW | 0.58 | 4.35 | 2.99 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.92 | 5.5 |
| NNW | 0.63 | 2.78 | 4.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.71 | 6.2 |
| ALL | 14.43 | 51.47 | 34.05 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 5.3 |
| Calm (less than one knot) $=0.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Period mean wind speed $=5.3$ knots |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

ENECOTECH INC.
$\operatorname{SBWIND}(1.0) \quad 12 / 2 / 84$

# FREQUENCY OF UINDS BY DIRECTION AND SPEED <br> FOR STABILITY CLASS $F$ <br> DATA RECORDED FROM MARCH 1983 THROUGH MARCH 1984 <br> GRAND CANYON - ARIZONA 

SPEED CLASS INTERVALS (KNOTS)


Calm (less than one knot) $=0.0 \%$
Period mean wind speed $=3.4$ knots
Percent occurrence for F stability class $5.8 \%$

# FREQUENCY OF WINDS BY DIRECTION AND SPEED <br> FOR STABILITY CLASS ALL DATA RECORDED FROM MARCH 1983 THROUGH MARCH 1984 GRAND CANYON - ARIZONA 

SPEED CLASS INTERVALS (KNOTS)

| DIRECTION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEAN } \\ & \text { SPEED } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1, <3 | 3, 66 | 6, <10 | 10,<16 | 16, <21 | >21 | ALL |  |
| N | 0.59 | 2.11 | 1.13 | 0.32 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 4.19 | 5.9 |
| NNE | 0.58 | 2.35 | 2.77 | 0.92 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 6.76 | 7.9 |
| NE | 0.88 | 2.87 | 1.35 | 0.47 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 5.66 | 7.0 |
| ENE | 0.37 | 1.59 | 1.35 | 1.07 | 0.14 | 0.04 | 4.56 | 7.8 |
| E | 0.51 | 1.36 | 0.66 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.68 | 5.2 |
| ESE | 0.34 | 0.56 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.02 | 4.2 |
| SE | 0.82 | 1.91 | 0.51 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.28 | 4.4 |
| SSE | 0.65 | 4.46 | 2.32 | 0.59 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 8.07 | 5.9 |
| S | 1.25 | 4.20 | 2.76 | 1.63 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 9.87 | 6.4 |
| SSH | 1.02 | 5.05 | 3.09 | 1.98 | 0.41 | 0.05 | 11.60 | 7.1 |
| SH | 1.21 | 3.24 | 2.76 | 2.43 | 0.44 | 0.07 | 10.15 | 7.8 |
| WSW | 0.51 | 2.65 | 1.36 | 1.28 | 0.16 | 0.04 | 6.00 | 7.2 |
| W | 0.88 | 3.35 | 1.59 | 1.00 | 0.19 | 0.04 | 7.06 | 6.5 |
| WNW | 0.78 | 2.32 | 2.02 | 1.24 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 6.45 | 6.9 |
| NH | 0.55 | 3.08 | 2.40 | 0.92 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 7.04 | 6.6 |
| NNY | 0.34 | 1.96 | 2.27 | 0.89 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 5.57 | 7.3 |
| ALL | 11.27 | 43.07 | 28.44 | 14.93 | 1.94 | 0.32 | 99.96 | 6.7 |

Calm (less than one knot) $=0.0 \%$
Period mean wind speed $=6.7$ knots
Percent occurrence for ALL stability classes 100.0\%


```
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[^0]:    Particle size in microns ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ).
    Settling velocity in meters per second.
    Reflection coefficient taken from ISC User's Manual.

[^1]:    * Silt content of $12 \%$ is standard EPA default value.
    ** Average speed expected on haul roads.

[^2]:    * Concentrations are adjusted to standard temperature and pressure.

[^3]:    * Concentrations are adjusted to standard temperature and pressure.

[^4]:    * Concentrations are adjusted to standard temperature and pressure.

