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THE COLLECT FOR ST LUKE'S DAY.
Almighty God, who calledst Luke the Physician, whose praise is in the Gospel, to be an Evangelist, and Physician of the soul; May it please thee, that, by the wholesome medicines of the doctrine delivered by him, all the diseases of our souls may be healed; through the merits of thy Son Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen.


## PREFACE.

This edition is intended chiefly for use in Schools, at the same time I am not without hope that in some points it may be of service to other students. Certainly, after a careful examination of the Revised Version, I am justified in saying that there are some passages in the Acts the meaning of which is not generally understood even by scholars. It may suffice to refer to the unintelligible renderings given of such important passages as i. $16-22$ and $\mathrm{x} .34-39$, to the less obvious but clear errors pointed out in the note on $\mu \grave{\epsilon} v o^{\circ} v$ ii. 41, and to the direct violation of the laws of language in the translation of xix. 2 and xxvii. 12.

That there is room for a useful School edition of the Acts, and indeed of any portion of the New Testament, few with any experience in teaching will deny. Schoolboys are for the most part grievously ignorant of the subject. For this two main reasons may be assigned.
(1) Being conversant with the English version they are able to translate the Greek with fatal facility, and fall into the common error of supposing that they understand the meaning of words, the sound of which has been familiar to them from infancy.
(2) Most commentaries are quite unadapted for practical work with boys. Their fault is this. The editors do not confine themselves chiefly to explanation of the text, which is the first and strictly the only duty of a commentator, but encumber their notes with doctrinal discussions and moral reflections. Such additions are at best out of place, even where the doctrinal arguments are not wholly one-sided and the moral disquisitions not trite and mediocre : in a scholar they usually create irritation; schoolboys soon cease to read the notes altogether.

In the present edition the notes with some few exceptions-which will I hope justify themselvesare confined to explanation and illustration of the text. By thus limiting myself I have been enabled to make the notes comparatively short and at the same time fairly thorough. I have moreover carefully studied brevity: it would have taken me half the time to write twice as much. In one point too much space has been saved. Passages of the Bible referred to are rarely quoted. This is done deliberately. I know that as a rule boys will not look up references. This is only natural where the references are to a variety of books, and in such cases passages referred to should usually be quoted; but to read the Greek Testament without a Bible at hand is useless, and it is most important that boys should become accustomed to working with it and examining passages referred to in it.

Allusion has been made not unfrequently to the views of other commentators. The limits however of my work preclude any but a brief examination of
conflicting opinions except in important cases. Perhaps it is well that this is so. The number of commentators is immense, and there is no possible or impossible view of even simple passages which has not found advocates. To have overloaded the notes throughout with a discussion of the views, which seemed to me plainly erroneous, would have made them useless for their purpose. Indeed even now, after cutting out all that seemed possible, I much doubt whether they are as simple as they should be. Certainly some of them can be of little use to any but advanced boys, but I trust that this may be due rather to the complexity of the subject than to a lack of clearness or brevity on my part: at any rate I do not hold that even in a school-book difficult passages should be slurred over, exactly because they are difficult.

I have not written an 'Introduction'. It would be fairly easy to compile one similar to those which are to be found in many English editions. The problems however presented by the Acts are so many and so complex, that an Introduction worthy of the name would require a separate volume and a capacity immeasurably exceeding mine.

It is right however that I should refer to my personal opinions on one or two points. One is that I consider that on critical grounds the writer of the Acts is to be identified with the writer of the third Gospel, and that I see no reason whatever why he should not be St Luke. Another point is that I regard the writer as an honest writer, and my notes are written on that supposition: they are an endeavour
to make clear the meaning of a writer, who is, I consider, endeavouring honestly to lay before his readers certain facts which he himself believes. This declaration is, I think, required from me in this preface, for it is clear that the whole character of my notes would be altered if I started from the supposition that the writer either wilfully misrepresented facts or was influenced by such a strong bias or tendency as to render his narrative continually open to suspicion. Beyond this, however, I do not think it necessary for a commentator to go in expressing his personal opinions: if he does, he passes from exegesis into criticism, and these two subjects should, where possible, be kept entirely apart. I will endeavour to illustrate my meaning by two instances.
(1) It does not seem to me that it lies within my province to discuss the exact details and evidence of the miracles related in the Acts. That miracles are impossible or, under certain circumstances, improbable, cannot logically be asserted except from the premises of pure materialism; a priori they are exactly as possible as any act of human volition. Moreover they form an integral part of Christianity; the claims of Christianity as a religion essentially rest on the miraculous. It is obvious however that the miracles related in the Acts stand on a different footing to those ascribed to Christ: it would be perfectly reasonable to fully accept the latter and at the same time hold that some of the former are related on insufficient evidence or are based on exaggerated reports. The examination, however, of such questions is entirely without the range of my duty as a commentator: my
duty is only clearly to point out that the writer is describing a miracle, when I judge from his words that he is doing so.
(2) In dealing with the argument of certain speeches I have endeavoured to bring out the meaning of the text. In doing so I have at times spoken of the argument as 'clear' or 'telling', but this does not imply or require that I should hold any special views as to the method of Messianic interpretation of the Old Testament which is employed, but only that, the legitimacy of that method being presupposed, the argument founded on it appears to me valid.

Moreover, all questions about the exact nature of inspiration seem to lie outside my work. I have commented on the Acts as on a work written by a man for men, that is to say, produced in accordance with the laws of human thought and to be examined and understood by human intelligence. Nor indeed is there any clear ground of reason or authority for any other supposition. That the preservation of an adequate record of the life of Jesus and the foundation of the Christian Church would be ensured by God, may be safely maintained by any believer, and that record is justly regarded with a reverence such as can attach to no other human writings: on the other hand the assertion of higher claims serves no necessary end and involves many difficulties.

Lastly I may add that I have not attempted in any way to use my notes to support any particular form of dogmatic teaching. Legitimate exegesis has no concern with the opinions which may be founded on the results at which it arrives. It is the duty of a
commentator to examine the facts before him, and to decide upon them with judicial impartiality. To the easy triumphs which await the impassioned advocate of a popular cause he has no right: if he makes them his aim, he may indeed gain the cheap applause of partisans but he will forfeit the esteem of sober seekers after truth.

On these points I have endeavoured to express myself clearly. It is distinctly not my wish that any one should use my notes without knowing the principles on which I have proceeded. I have therefore thus far been personal and possibly controversial. From this point, however, I believe that the reader will find little to which those adjectives can justly be applied. My one object has been to elucidate the text: occasionally I have had to express a decided opinion that certain views were erroneous, but nowhere have I willingly written a word except in charity.

Of the text which I am enabled to employ it would be impertinent in me to speak critically. This much I may say, that, as is the case with everything of real excellence, its merits are clear even to one who is not an expert.

A list of the works principally used by me will be found facing the notes. References to such works will frequently be found in the margin as nearly as possible parallel to that part of the note which is borrowed from them or in agreement with them. It must not be assumed however that the commentators so referred to are (except when marks of quotation are given) in exact accord with my notes: it is rather my object to indicate to those, who wish to examine more fully the
grounds on which a note is based, in what books they will find similar views maintained. As I am ignorant of Hebrew, explanations given of Hebrew words or phrases are in no case original.

I owe my best thanks to my friend the Rev. C. C. Tancock for looking over the proofs of the notes, but he is in no way responsible for any errors or opinions to be found in them.

T. E. PAGE.

Charterhouse, Godalming.

The following explanation of the notation employed in the text is copied from the smaller edition of the Greek Testament by Drs Westcott and Hort, pp. 580-3.
"The primary place in the text itself is assigned to those readings which on the whole are the more probable, or in cases of equal probability the better attested. The other alternative readings occupy a secondary place, with a notation which varies according as they differ from primary readings by Omission, by Addition, or by Substitution.

A secondary reading consisting in the Omission of words retained in the primary reading is marked by simple brackets [ ] in the text.

A secondary reading consisting in the Addition of words omitted in the primary reading is printed at the foot of the page without any accompanying marks, the place of insertion being indicated by the mark ${ }^{T}$ in the text.

A secondary reading consisting in the Substitution of other words for the words of the primary reading is printed at the foot of the page without any accompanying mark, the words of the primary reading being included within the marks ${ } 77$ in the text.

Wherever it has appeared to the editors, or to either of them, that the text probably contains some primitive error, that is, has not been quite rightly preserved in any existing documents, or at least in any existing document of sufficient authority, the marks $+\dagger$ are placed at the foot of the page, the extreme limit of the words suspected to contain an error of transcription being indicated by the marks $\ulcorner 7$ in the text. Where either of two suspected extant readings might legitimately have been printed in the text, one of them is printed as an alternative reading between the $\dagger+$ : where there is no such second reading entitled to be associated with the text, the $\dagger \dagger$ are divided only by dots. All places marked with $\dagger \dagger$ are the subject of notes in the Appendix to the larger edition."
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 6 ท̀ $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho a s$.
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 $\mu \eta \tau \rho i ̀$［тои̂］＇I $\eta \sigma o v ̂ ~ к a i ̀ ~ \sigma u ̀ \nu ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ a ̉ \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o i ̂ s ~ a u ̉ \tau o v ̂ . ~$

KAl EN TAIE HMEPAI乏 tav́zats ảva⿱二小àas Hétpos is














kaí

 $\phi \theta_{\eta}$ à $\phi$ ’ $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, $\mu$ ápтvpa $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ àva $\sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ à̀тov̂ $\sigma \grave{v} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu}$














 $5 \pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a$ є́ف̀íòov àmoф$\theta$ '́ $\gamma \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ av̉roîs. 'H $\mathrm{H} a \mathrm{\nu}$













7 Oix
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 єíaiv．





 то̂ $\pi \rho \circ \phi \eta{ }^{\prime} \tau o v$＇$I \omega \eta$＇$\lambda$


 ҮंM $\widehat{N}$ ，
kal ol neaníckoi Ýmên ópáceic óчontal，
kai ol mpecBÝtepoi Ýmôn ẻnymníoic énymniaceŕ－ CONTAI－
 moY
én taîc hंmépaic ékeínaic ékxeĉ ảmò tô̂ mneý－ MATÓC MOY， каі̀ $\pi \rho о ф \eta \tau \epsilon$ v́ $\sigma$ оvбıข．
Kaì $\Delta \omega ́ c \omega$ tépata én ṭ̂ ởpaṇ̂ äv $\omega$
кal $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i ̂ a ~ \in ̇ ד i ~ т H ̂ c ~ Г H ̂ c ~ к a ́ т \omega, ~$
aima kai TŶp кal átmída katnnôं．
ó Н゙лıос，метастрафн́cetal єíc скótoc
Kגì H́ ceAH́NH Eíc dîma
mpin ${ }^{\top}$ é $\lambda \theta \in i ̂ n ~ f i m e ́ p a n ~ K y p i o y ~ t i ̀ n ~ m e r a ́ d h n ~$ kai émiфanĤ．
Kai éctal mâc o̊c éàn émika入échtal tò ónoma an Kypíoy c co日f́cetal．










 Hं 「 $\lambda \omega$ ccá moY，



28 ė「NÚpIcác MOI ódờc zwĤc，

coy.











 тoùs oủpavov́s，$\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \in \iota$ ס̀̀ aủtós

Eitien Kýpioc ṭ̂ кypị́ moy KáӨoy ék $\Delta \in そ ı \omega ̂ n$ moY
 mod $\omega$ N coY．








 тоîc eic maкрàn ócoyc ần троскдлéchtal KÝpioc





 т $\rho o \sigma \epsilon v \chi a i$ s.
'Еүі́vєтo ס̀̀ $\pi a ́ \sigma \eta \eta \psi v \chi \hat{\eta}$ фóßos, 43






 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ o ̈ \lambda o \nu ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \lambda a o ́ \nu . ~ o ̀ ~ \delta e ̀ ~ к u ́ \rho ı o s ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \tau i ́ \theta \epsilon \iota ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \sigma \omega \zeta о \mu \epsilon ́-~$









 6 єỉtev ס̀́ Пét









 K $\rho a-$
















 ठ̀à $\sigma \tau o ́ \mu a \tau o s ~ \pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \pi \rho о ф \eta \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \pi a \theta \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \chi \rho \iota \sigma \tau o ̀ \nu ~$


 тò $\pi$ т
 $\lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ó $\theta \epsilon o ̀ s$ ठic̀ $\sigma \tau o ́ \mu a \tau o s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ á $\gamma i \omega \nu$ ảm' aî̀vos av̉rô̂







 $\theta \epsilon \tau о$ тро̀s тoùs $\pi a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a s{ }^{\text {「 }} \dot{v} \mu \omega \bar{\nu}$ ', $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ' A ß \rho a a ́ \mu ~ K a i ̀ ~$




























 каі̀ 'І $\omega$ ávov, каі̀ каталаßó $\mu \in \nu о \iota ~ o ̋ \tau \iota ~ a ̈ \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi о \iota ~ a ́ \gamma р a ́ \mu \mu a \tau о i ́ ~$







 $\lambda a o ́ v, ~ a ̉ \pi \epsilon \iota \lambda \eta \sigma \omega ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$ aủтoîs $\mu \eta \kappa \epsilon ́ \tau \iota ~ \lambda a \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \pi i ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega}$ ỏvó $\mu a \tau \iota$







 22 є́ $\delta$ óģa
 ${ }_{23} \tau \hat{\eta} s$ lá $\sigma \epsilon \omega s$.



 tòn ớpanòn kà tùn rûn kal th̀n Oádaccan kaì


＊Ina tí éфpýdzan égnh
kai 入dol éme入éthcan kená；
mapécthcan ol Baci入eíc tĥc rûc
kal ol ăpXontec cyníx日hcan émì tò aỷtò katd̀ tô̂ kypíoy kaì katà tồ Xpıctồ aỷtô̂．












Tô̂ ठє̀ $\pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta}$ Oovs $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon v \sigma a ́ v \tau \omega \nu ~ \eta ̉ \nu ~ к а \rho \delta ঠ i ́ a ~ к а i ̀ ~ \psi v \chi \grave{\eta} 32$























 7 є̈Өa廿av.
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r EN $\triangle \mathrm{E}$ TAI乏 HMEPAI乏 $\tau a \cup ̛ \tau a \iota s ~ \pi \lambda \eta \theta v \nu o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu$



[^0]












 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ íє $\epsilon \in \omega \nu$ vinŋ́kovoע $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota$.







 каі̀ тov̀s $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o v s ~ к a \grave{\imath}$ тov̀s $\gamma \rho a \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon i ̂ s, ~ k a i ̀ ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota \sigma \tau a ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s ~$









Eỉ̃è I



 aỶTÓN ${ }^{*} E Z \in \lambda \theta \in$ ék TĤc ГĤC COY Kaì TĤC CYTTENEÍac

















 aÝtب̂ Xápin kai ooфíà énantíon Dapà̀ Bacı入éwc Airýmtoy, kai katécthcen aỷtòn нi「ớmenon éri Aï-
 é $\phi$ ’ ö öhn th̀n AÏrymton kaì Xanad́n кaì $\theta \lambda i \not \psi \iota s$.










 mapà tên Yí̂̃n＇EMM



















 Katécthcen ăpXonta kai dıkactìn ém＇Himên；Mì áne－ 28入єîn me ç̀ $\theta$ é $\lambda \in I c$ ôn tpótron áneî\ec éx $\theta$ èc tòn Ai－






ó $\theta \epsilon o ̀ c ~ t \omega ̂ n ~ m a t e ́ p \omega n ~ c o y, ~ o ̛ ~ \theta \epsilon o ̀ c ~ ' A B p a d ̀ m ~ k a i ~ ' I c a d ̀ k ' ~$






 єitóvtes Tíc cè katécthcen ăpXonta kai dıkactín,











 Өєoү̀c oî mpottopєýcontal fimôn ơ ràp MaYcĥc








kai ản€ $\begin{aligned} & \text { áBete th̀n ckhnìn tồ Mo入óx }\end{aligned}$


 P.










＇O ởpanóc mol 日pónoc，
 тоîon oÌкоn oíкодоми́cєтé mol，$\lambda$ е́гєı Kर́ploc， Aै Tíc тómoc tûc кatamaýcé́c moy；

ởx̀̀ н x xíp moy émoíhcen taŷta mánta； 50



















 इav̂入os


 катà тàs $\chi \omega ́ \rho a s ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ ' I o v \delta \alpha i ́ a s ~ к а \grave{\imath}$ इapapías $\pi \lambda \eta ̀ \nu \tau \omega ิ \nu$


 $\nu 0 s, \sigma u ́ \rho \omega \nu \tau \epsilon a ̈ \nu \delta \rho a s ~ к a i ̀ ~ \gamma v \nu a i ̂ k a s ~ \pi a \rho \epsilon \delta i ́ \delta o v ~ \epsilon i s ~ \phi u \lambda a \kappa \eta ̀ \nu . ~$
 5 免












入єías тov̂ $\theta \epsilon o v ̂ ~ k a i ̀ ~ r o v ̂ ~ o ̉ \nu o ́ \mu a \tau o s ~ ' I \eta \sigma o v ̂ ~ X \rho \iota \sigma \tau o ̂ ̂, ~ \epsilon ’ ß a \pi t i-~$












































 ＇En Tị̂ tatteinúceı нi kpícic aỷtô̂ hैp $\theta$ н－ TH̀n 「ENEÀN dỶTOŶ Tíc DıHГH́cetal；













 барíav．

1

 $\pi a \rho^{\prime}$ av̀rov̂ émıбтo入às єis $\Delta a \mu a \sigma \kappa o ̀ v ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \sigma v \nu a \gamma \omega y a ́ s, ~$


＇$\quad \nu \delta \bar{\epsilon}$










 ov̉סє̀ ढ̈ $\pi \tau \epsilon \nu$.



〒 $\eta \sigma o \nu$ èv oikía 'Iov́óa इaû̀ov ỏvóuatı Tapनéa, iôoù yà $\rho$
























 рat iкаעаí, $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \beta о v \lambda \epsilon v ́ \sigma a \nu t o ~ o i ~ ' I o v \delta a i ̂ o \iota ~ a ̉ \nu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ a u ̉ t o ́ v . ~$






 $\gamma \in \nu$ т



 $\tau \epsilon \kappa a \grave{~ \sigma v \nu \epsilon \zeta \eta ̆ ́ \tau \epsilon \iota ~ \pi \rho \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ ' E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \iota \sigma \tau a ́ s ' ~ o i ~ \delta ̀ ̀ ~ \epsilon ’ \pi \epsilon \chi \epsilon i ́ \rho o v \nu ~}$







32 ETENETO $\triangle \mathrm{E}$ METPON $\delta \iota \epsilon \rho \chi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$ $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~$





 oïrıves é $\pi \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon 廿 a \nu$ è $\pi \grave{\imath}$ тòv кưpıov.






























 7 خa



$\mathrm{T} \hat{\eta}$ ठè $\epsilon \in \pi a v ́ p ı \nu \nu$ óol-
















 ${ }_{9}$ Өáסє $\xi_{\epsilon} \epsilon i \zeta \epsilon \tau a \iota$. Tov̂ ठє̀ Пє́т























































 44 av̉rô̂ тávтa тò̀ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon$ v́ovta єis av̉róv. "Eтє

















































$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ ' E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \iota \sigma \tau a ́ s, ~ \epsilon v ̉ a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \iota \zeta o ́ \mu \in \nu o \iota ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \kappa u ́ p \iota o \nu ~ ' I ~ I \eta \sigma o v ̂ \nu . ~$











 $\mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \iota a \nu o v{ }^{\prime}$ ．

27 EN TAYTAI乏 $\triangle \mathrm{E}$ TAI乏 HMEPAI乏 $\kappa a \tau \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta o \nu$ ảnò



 ஸ́pı
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o v s$ 8ià $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho o ̀ s ~ B a \rho \nu a ́ \beta a ~ к a i ̀ ~ \Sigma a v ́ \lambda o v . ~$
























 ảm' av̉rov. кaì ó Пє́т
















| 6 троауаүєîข | 11 Kúpios | $13 \pi \rho o \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta e$ | 15 ¢imav |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



 ảvaкрívas roùs фú入akas Є́кє́ $\lambda \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon \nu \dot{a} \pi a \chi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$, каì катє $\lambda \theta \grave{\omega} \nu$

${ }^{5} \mathrm{H} \nu$











 є̇льклך $\theta$ є́ $\nu \tau а$ Ма́ркоу.

1











 $6 \nu \eta \nu$ vi $\pi \eta \rho \epsilon ́ \tau \eta \nu$. $\Delta t \epsilon \lambda$ Óóvтєs $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ ö $\lambda \eta \nu \tau \eta े \nu \nu \eta ิ \sigma o \nu$ ă $\chi \rho \iota$ Пáфov єن̄pov ä $\nu \delta \rho a$ тıvà $\mu a ́ \gamma o \nu \psi \in v \delta o \pi \rho \circ \phi \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \nu$ 'Iov-

[^1]


 $\nu \in v ́ \epsilon \tau a \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ o ̋ \nu o \mu a ~ a v ̉ \tau o v ̂, ~ \zeta \eta \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ \delta i \iota a \sigma \tau \rho \in ́ \psi a \iota ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \nu \theta v ́ \pi a \tau o \nu ~$







 $\sigma \tau \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \in \kappa \pi \lambda \eta \tau \tau o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s$ є่ $\pi i ̀ \tau \hat{\eta} \delta \iota \delta \alpha \chi \hat{\eta}$ то̂̂ кขрíov.

















 ф $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau}$





















 єis 'I $\epsilon \rho \circ v \sigma a \lambda \eta$ ' $\mu$, oit

 $\rho \omega \kappa \in \nu$ тоîs тє́к








 P.





 NícӨнте,

 Ү́mîN.















Té $\theta \in!к a ́ ~ c e ~ \epsilon i ́ c ~ \phi \omega ̂ c ~ e ́ \theta n \omega ̂ n ~$
tô̂ einaí ce eic chthpían énc ècxátoy tĥc ГĤc.


 $\chi \omega ́ \rho a s$. oi $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ 'Iovסaîo $\pi a \rho \omega ́ т \rho v \nu a \nu$ тàs $\sigma \epsilon \beta о \mu \epsilon ́ v a s ~ \gamma v \nu a i ̂-~ 50 ~$




















 9 av̉тov, o̊s ov̉סє́тотє $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \pi a ́ \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$. ov̂tos クुкоvєע тov̂ Пav́-















kaì tHin $\theta a ́ \lambda a c c a n ~ k a i ~ m a ́ n t a ~ t a ̀ ~ e ́ n ~ d Y ̛ T o i ̂ c . ~ o ̂ s ~ e ́ v ~ t a i ̂ s ~ i 6 ~$


 картофо́роvs，$\epsilon^{\epsilon} \mu \pi \iota \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ т $\rho \circ \phi \hat{\eta} s$ каі єv̉ф $\rho \circ \sigma v ́ \nu \eta s$ тàs кар－


＇Е $\pi \eta \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta a \nu$ ס̀́ à ànò 19










 $\nu \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu} \nu \pi a \rho \epsilon \in \theta \epsilon \nu \tau o$ aủrov̀s $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ кขрị́ єis ồ $\pi \epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \cup ́ \kappa \epsilon \iota-$






 хро́vò oủk ỏ入íyov $\sigma u ̀ \nu$ тoîs $\mu a \theta \eta \tau a i ̂ s$.

KAI TINE $\Sigma$ KATEA日ONTE ${ }^{2}$ ảjò T $\bar{\eta} s$＇Iovóaias x





 ${ }_{3}$ тоข์тov.

Oî $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ oủע $\pi \rho o \pi \epsilon \mu \phi \theta \epsilon \in \nu \tau \epsilon s$ v่ $\pi o ̀ ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̂ S ~$



 $\sigma \tau o ́ \lambda \omega \nu$ каі т $\omega \hat{\nu} \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$, ả $\nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \gamma \gamma \epsilon \iota \lambda a ́ \nu \tau \epsilon$ ő $\sigma a$ ó $\theta \epsilon o ̀ s$

 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ av̉тò̀s $\pi a \rho a \gamma \gamma \epsilon ̂ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \tau \eta \rho \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ тò $\nu \quad \nu o ́ \mu о \nu$ M $\omega v \sigma \epsilon \in \omega$.
 $7 \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ тov̂ $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v ~ т о u ́ \tau o v . ~ \Pi o \lambda \lambda \eta ̂ s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \zeta \eta \tau \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s ~ \gamma \in \nu o \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s$





 ıо $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi i ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota ~ к a \theta a \rho i ́ \sigma a s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ к а \rho o ̂ i ́ a s ~ a v ̉ \tau \omega ̂ \nu . ~ \nu v ̂ \nu ~ o u ̉ \nu ~ \tau i ́ ~ \pi \epsilon \iota \rho a ́-~$










r $\omega \bar{\nu} \pi \rho \circ \phi \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu, \kappa a \theta \hat{\omega} s$ үє́ $\gamma \rho a \pi \tau a \iota$

 mTHKYîan
 кal ánopө $\omega$ c $\omega$ aỶтH́N,
 THN TÒN KÝPION,


$\lambda \epsilon ́ \Gamma \epsilon I$ KÝpioc moiĉntâ̂ta Гnwctàảm' aîwnoc. ı8


















 каì $\Sigma i \lambda \lambda a \nu$, каì av̉roùs סıà $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v ~ a ̉ m a \gamma \gamma e ́ \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau a s ~ \tau a ̀ ~ a v ̉ т a ́ . ~$


 סıaтך





 $35 \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ a ̀ m o \sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ \lambda a v \tau a s ~ a v ̉ т o u ́ s . ~$ Пavidos ס＇

 курíov．






 ठढ̀ $\pi a \rho \circ \xi v \sigma \mu o ̀ s ~ \omega ̈ \sigma \tau \epsilon ~ a ̉ \pi o \chi \omega \rho \iota \sigma \theta \eta ̄ \nu a \iota ~ a v ̉ \tau o v ̀ s ~ a ̉ \pi ' ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda \eta ́ \lambda \omega \nu$ ，



 у бías． Kaтウ́ $\nu \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ סє̀ каі̀ єis $\Delta \epsilon ́ \rho \beta \eta \nu$ каі̀ єis
 2 vius $\gamma v \nu a \iota \kappa o ̀ s ~ ' I o v \delta a i ́ a s ~ \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta ̄ s ~ \pi a r p o ̀ s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ " E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu o s, ~ o ̂ s ~$






 ноьs.







 тıs ${ }^{\jmath} \nu \nu$ €́ $\sigma \tau \omega ่ s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \pi а р а к а \lambda \omega ิ \nu ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ к а i ̀ ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu ~ \Delta ı a ß a ̀ s ~$















 ท̂дâs.







































[^2]

 $\nu \eta s$ ảmé $\sigma \tau \epsilon i \lambda a \nu$ oi $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o \grave{\imath}$ roùs jீaßోoúXovs $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o \nu \tau \epsilon s$
 $\sigma \mu о \phi v ́ \lambda a \xi$ rov̀s $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \Pi a v ̂ \lambda o \nu, ~ o ̈ т \iota ~ ' А \pi \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau a \lambda-~$









 ảठє $\lambda$ фоѝs каì $\epsilon \mathfrak{\xi} \xi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta a \nu$.

















3 Xpıбтòs 'Iクァoûs


 9 тas tav̂тa, кaì 入aßóvтєs тò íkaעòv тapà тô̂ 'Iáoovos кaì

 $\epsilon i s$ Bépolav, oïтıves $\pi a \rho a \gamma \epsilon \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \sigma v \nu a \gamma \omega \gamma \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \omega \nu$












 aủтò̀ є’छท่ยєбav.



















 $\theta$ còc ó mo।











Tồ $\gamma$ à $\rho$ кaì $\gamma \in ́ \nu o s ~ \epsilon ่ \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu . ~$
耳є́vos oủv vitáp



































 ${ }_{12}$ aùroîs rò̀ $\lambda$ órov тov̂ $\theta \in o v . \quad$ Гa $\lambda \lambda i \omega \nu o s ~ \delta ̀ e ̀ ~ a ̀ \nu \theta v-~$









[^3]






 $\lambda \iota \pi \epsilon \nu$ aủrov̂，av̉тòs $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \grave{\omega} \nu$ єis $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \sigma \nu \nu a \gamma \omega \gamma \eta ̀ \nu ~ \delta \iota \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́-$

 Пá入ıv ảvaká $\mu \psi \omega$ т $\rho o ̀ s ~ v i \mu a ̂ s ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \theta \epsilon o v ̂ ~ \theta є \lambda o \nu \tau o s ~ a ̉ \nu \eta ́ \chi \theta \eta ~$



 $\mu a \nexists \eta \tau a ́ s$.









 ôs $\pi a \rho a \gamma \epsilon \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о s$ $\sigma v \nu \epsilon ß$ á入єтo $\pi о \lambda ̀ ̀ ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \pi \epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon v к o ́ \sigma \iota \nu ~$
 $\chi \epsilon \tau o \delta \eta \mu \circ \sigma i ́ a ̣ ~ \epsilon ่ \pi \iota \delta \epsilon \iota \kappa \nu v ̀ s ~ \delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \gamma \rho a \phi \omega ̂ \nu ~ \epsilon i ̂ \nu a \iota ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \chi \rho \iota \sigma \tau o ̀ \nu ~$

${ }_{25} \mathrm{~K}$ vpiou










 8 ä $\nu \delta \rho \epsilon s$ ผ $\sigma \epsilon i \delta^{\delta} \omega^{\prime} \delta \epsilon к а$ ．






 ＇Aбíà ảkov̂бal тò̀ 入ójoע тov̂ kvpíov，＇Iovóaiovs $\tau \epsilon$ каì


 Өıa кai ảma入入á $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ a ̉ \pi ’ ~ a v ̉ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \nu o ́ \sigma o v s, ~ \tau a ́ ~ \tau \epsilon \pi \nu \epsilon v ́-~$





 тoîs Tò $[\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu]$＇I $\eta \sigma o v ̂ \nu ~ \gamma เ \nu \omega ́ \sigma к \omega ~ к а \grave{~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \Pi a ̂ ̀ \lambda o \nu ~ \epsilon ́ \pi i ́ \sigma \tau a-~}$

















 ढ́ $\pi \epsilon ́ \sigma \chi \epsilon \nu$ र








































 $\lambda v \sigma \epsilon \nu \tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ ढ̇кк $\lambda \eta \sigma i a \nu$.


$40 \dagger . . \dagger$
P.
ó Haû入os тoùs $\mu$ аӨךтàs каì таракале́баs à $\sigma \pi \alpha \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s ~$



































 кобтท̂s $\gamma \in \nu$ é $\sigma \theta a \iota ~ \epsilon i s ~ ' І є р о \sigma o ́ \lambda v \mu a . ~$






























 4-2



 сме́noic mâcin. ảp































 pas $\pi \lambda \epsilon i ́ o u s ~ к а т \eta ̂ \lambda \theta \epsilon ́ \nu ~ \tau \iota s ~ a ̀ ~ a ̀ o ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ ' I o v \delta a i a s ~ \pi \rho o ф \dot{\eta} \tau \eta s$


 కढ́vך aṽ̃ך oưt






 $\theta$ é $\lambda \eta \mu a \quad \gamma \iota \nu \dot{\nu} \sigma \theta \omega$.









 $\epsilon i \sigma i \nu$ ढ̀ $\nu$ roîs 'Iovòaious т $\hat{\nu} \nu \pi \epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon v \kappa o ́ \tau \omega \nu$, кà $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s$



















































 $2 \mu o v ~ \tau \eta ̄ s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ v i \mu a ̂ s ~ \nu v \nu ̀ ̀ ~ a ̉ m o \lambda o \gamma i ́ a s . ~-~ a ̉ k o v ́ \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ o ̈ t \iota ~$








 עos $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ a ̉ \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o v ̀ s ~ \epsilon i s ~ \Delta a \mu a \sigma к o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ́ \pi о р є v o ́ \mu \eta \nu ~ a ̉ \xi \omega \nu ~$




























 фávov тô̂ $\mu a ́ \rho \tau v \rho o ́ s ~ \sigma o v, ~ к a i ̀ ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ s ~ \eta ้ \mu \eta \nu ~ Є ่ \phi \epsilon \sigma \tau \omega ̀ s ~ к a i ~$






 ó $\chi \iota \lambda i ́ a \rho \chi o s ~ \epsilon i \sigma a ́ \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta a t ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \pi a \rho є \mu \beta o \lambda \eta ́ \nu, ~ \epsilon ̋ l \pi a s ~$




































[^4]




 $\lambda \eta$ 向。














































 є́ $\pi \epsilon \mu \psi a$ тлòs $\sigma \epsilon ́$, тара $\gamma \gamma \epsilon i \lambda a s$ каì тоîs катךүópoıs $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$









 av̉тóv.













 $\mu \epsilon \nu$ av̉тои̂. $\quad \sigma v \nu \epsilon \pi \epsilon \in \theta \epsilon \nu \tau о$ ठє̀ каі̀ oi 'Iovסaîol фа́бкоעтєs 9





























Mєтà ס̀̀







 ${ }^{27}{ }^{\omega} \mu i \lambda \epsilon \iota$ av่̉ $\varphi$.











 $\sigma \tau \omega \sigma a \nu$ aùroû.
$\Delta a a t \rho i \psi a s$ סè èv av̉roîs ì $\mu$ épas



[^5]


































 ${ }_{22}$ тòv $\pi \rho o ̀ s \mathrm{Kai} \sigma a \rho a$. 'A $\gamma \rho i \pi \pi \pi a s ~ \delta \grave{̀} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ r o ̀ \nu ~ \Phi \eta ̂ \sigma \tau o \nu ~ ' \mathrm{E} ß o v-$



















 $3 \gamma \epsilon \hat{\iota} \sigma \theta a \iota, \quad \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \quad \gamma \nu \omega ́ \sigma \tau \eta \nu$ ö $\nu \tau \alpha \quad \sigma \epsilon \pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ катà















 катà тáoas тàs $\sigma v \nu a \gamma \omega \gamma a ̀ s ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda a ́ k ı s ~ \tau \iota \mu \omega \rho \omega ̄ \nu ~ a v ̉ r o v ̀ s ~$

























 ${ }_{23}$ ф $\hat{\eta} \tau a \iota$ é $\lambda a ́ \lambda \eta \sigma a \nu ~ \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda o ́ v \tau \omega \nu ~ \gamma i \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ к a i ̀ ~ M \omega v \sigma \eta ̂ s, ~ \epsilon i ~ \pi a \theta \eta-$











































 $\tau 0 \hat{v} \pi \lambda o i ́ o v ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \kappa a i ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu, \psi v \chi \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ $\neq \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$



 עаıуто катаעтท́бадтєs єis Фоí̀ıка тараХєıцáбаı, 入ıцє́va





















 $\sigma \tau \eta ̂ \nu a \iota$, каì ídov̀ кє $\chi a ́ \rho \iota \sigma \tau a i ́ ~ \sigma o \iota ~ o ́ ~ \theta \epsilon o ̀ s ~ \pi a ́ \nu \tau a s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon ́ o \nu-~$
 ${ }^{26}$ öть ойт







 тои̂ $\pi \lambda$ oiov кaì $\chi a \lambda a \sigma a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \sigma к a ́ \phi \eta \nu ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \theta a ́ \lambda a \sigma \sigma a \nu ~$


































 $\sigma v \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \psi a \nu \tau o s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ тov̂ חav́入ov $\phi \rho v \gamma a ́ \nu \omega \nu$ ть $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os каì 3

















 тà $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \chi \rho \epsilon i ́ a s . ~$









 16 ё̀лаßе Өápбos.

 боขтı aủтòv. $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega ่ \tau \eta$.























 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \pi a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a s ~ v i \mu \omega ิ \nu ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu ~$

Topeýधнті mpòc tòn 入aòn tô̂ton kal elmón ＇Акоث̣̂ áкоチ́cete kai ở mH̀ çnĤte，
 émaxýN日H 「àp सُ kapdía tô̂ ddô̂ tớtoy， кal toîc $\omega c i n ~ B a p e ́ \omega c ~ н ้ к о ү C a n, ~$
 MH́ поте Y̌ кal тоîc ふ̉cin d̉koýc由cin
 kai lácomal aỷtớc．




 ＇I I $\sigma o \hat{v} \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau o \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \tau a ̀ ̀ ~ \pi a ́ \sigma \eta s ~ \pi a \rho \rho \eta \sigma i a s ~ a ̉ k \omega \lambda u ́ \tau \omega s . ~$
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## THE

## ACTS OF THE APOSTLES.

## CHAPTER I.

 St Luke', which also commences with a formal inscription to Theophilus, Luke i. 1-4.
$\lambda o ́ y o s$ ('treatise' A. and R.V.) is a very general term applied to any 'narrative' or 'account'. Plato contrasts it with $\mu \hat{v} \theta_{o s}$ 'a (fictitious) tale', Phaedo 61 в $\pi$ осєîv $\mu$ ú $\theta_{o u s, ~}^{\text {on }}$
 and less formal than the ioropins $\alpha \pi \sigma \delta \epsilon \iota \xi / s$ of Herod. . . 1 , or the $\xi v \nu \in \gamma \rho a \psi \epsilon$ of Thuc. I. 1.
$\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o v$ by a natural inaccuracy $=\pi \rho \delta \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu . \mu \epsilon \nu$ has nothing formally to answer to it: Luke glides imperceptibly into 'the second narrative'.
 thet крá $\tau \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon$ which is applied to Felix twice, xxiii. 26, xxiv. 3, and to Festus xxvi. 25, it has been inferred that Theophilus held some high official position.
$\left.\hat{\omega}^{v}\right]$ by attraction for $\hat{a}$, a very frequent idiom, cf. e.g.


ท้p ${ }^{2}$ ато $\pi 01 \in i v$ ] The work which Jesus 'began' on earth is regarded as continued by the Apostles with the aid of Jesus in heaven. Luke marks his second narrative as a natural and necessary sequel to his first.

Others say that the use of $\neq \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ with the inf. (which occurs 28 times in Luke) is only a slightly more 'vivid and m. dramatic' way of putting the simple verb: but a careful examination of the passages (e.g. Luke iii. 8, xi. 29; Acts ii. 4, xi. 4 , xi. 15 , xviii. 26) will shew that, although apxo$\mu a \iota$ is not always emphatic as here, where the context throws emphasis upon it, yet it never entirely loses its meaning or degenerates into a mere auxiliary verb.
2. ảxpl $\hat{\eta} s \hat{\eta}_{\mu}$.] by attraction for ${ }^{\text {al. }} \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\epsilon} \rho a s{ }_{\eta}^{\eta}$. Cf.

$\boldsymbol{\xi} \xi \in \lambda \in \xi=10]$ a word frequently used of the 'choosing' of
 also of the 'choosing' of Israel, xiii. 17 n .: and Christians are often called 'chosen', $\begin{gathered}\epsilon \\ \\ \\ \epsilon\end{gathered} \kappa \tau o i$.
3. $\pi a \rho \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu . .$.$] e.g. on the mountain in Galilee,$ Matt. xxviii. 16, to the eleven as they sat at meat, Mark xvi. 14, at the sea of Tiberias, John xxi. 1-23.
$\pi \alpha \theta \in \hat{i v}]$ So absolutely of 'the passion' xvii. 3 , xxvi. 23.
тєкцทрfors] 'infallible proofs' A.V., 'proofs' R.V. тєк-

 only. At the flood 'it rained upon the earth forty days', Gen. vii. 4; Moses was in the mount forty days, Ex. xxiv. 18; Jesus fasted forty days, Matt. iv. 2.
ómтavóuєvos] 'being seen' A.V.; but R.V. rightly 'appearing'. The word only occurs here in N.T., and seems to describe 'transitory appearances attended with miracu-
H. lous circumstances', cf. the use of $\boldsymbol{i \pi \tau a \sigma i a}$ ' $a$ vision' xxvi. 19; Luke i. 22, xxiv. 23.
 15 times in Mark, but Matt. almost always has $\dot{\eta} \beta a \sigma$. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ouvav $\omega \mathrm{v}$. It represents that kingdom which the Messiah. was sent to establish. The meaning attached to it has naturally varied with the belief held as to the person and purpose of the Messiah. The Jews looked for a restoration of their empire as it had been in the days of David. The same feeling was entertained by the first disciples, cf. ver. 6, Matt. xx. 21, and only gradually disappeared. On the other hand, in their widest sense, the words may include (1) the spiritual kingdom which our Lord came to establish upon earth, (2) His kingdom in heaven.
 them' A. and R.V. The marg. gives 'eating with them' and $V$. convescens, but this derivation of the word from ä $\lambda s$, 'salt', is without authority, and probably due to a comparison of passages such as Luke xxiv. 41; John xxi. 12, where the risen Jesus is described as 'eating' with His disciples.
 'promise of the Father' is the Holy Spirit, cf. ii. 33. тồ ratpos is the subjective gen.; the Father gives the promise: on the other hand ii. 33 тoû $\pi \nu$ évparos is the objective gen.; it is that to which the promise refers.
érarye入la is regularly used in N:T. of 'divine promises' (cf. ii. 39, vii. 17, xiii. 23) which are not promises made. under an agreement ( $\dot{v} \pi o ́ \sigma \chi \in \sigma \iota s$ ) but voluntary offers; $\dot{\epsilon} \pi a \gamma$ $\gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota=$ ultro offerre.
 me'. Transition to direct speech, cf. Luke v. 14.

 (Matt. iii. 11).
ov̉ $\mu \in \tau d . .$.$] At Pentecost (see ch. ii.), 10$ days after the Ascension. In the Church Calendar Ascension Day is the 40th day after Easter, and Whitsunday the 10th day after Ascension Day.
6. oi $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ oûv...] 'So then they (the eleven) having come together...'. At this point the regular narrative of the Acts begins, viz. with an account of the Ascension. oûv connects it with the brief Introduction and Summary of vv. 1-4, which in its turn connects the Acts with the Gospel.
R.V. rightly here commences a fresh paragraph.

кúpıє] кúpıos=(1) 'having strength', 'power', (2) 'master', 'lord', dominus; frequently applied to men, e.g. xvi. 30 ; Matt. xxi. $30{ }^{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega},{ }^{\prime}$, кúpıt,' 'I go, Sir'; to an angel x. 4; but especially in LXX. to God, cf. Gen. ii. 15 кúpoos $\dot{\delta} \theta$ és ' the
 used in prayer to the Father, e.g. i. 24, iv. 29 ; it is however especially applied in N.T. to Jesus 'the Master', cf. xix. 5 , $10,13,17$; and in prayer to Him; vii. 59.
$\epsilon i]$ The use of $\epsilon l$ after phrases like oúk ot $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \alpha$ in classical Gk. $=$ 'whether' is well known. Hence its use in N.T. to express a direct question in the form of a doubt which the utterer desires to have solved, ef. vii. 1, xix. 2, xxi. 37, xxii. 25 ; Matt. xii. 10; Luke xiii. 23.

Ėv тஸ̂ Xpóve rov́rw] Emphatic. 'Is it now' that thou dost re-establish?' The resurrection of Jesus and His subsequent words about 'the kingdom of God' (ver. 3) had re-kindled their hopes of the immediate re-establishment of an earthly Jewish empire.
7. Xpóvovs ท̉ kalpoìs] Usually distinguished as 'periods' and 'points (i.e. critical moments) of time'. The distinction cannot however be maintained, of. кaipoús (xvii. 26) of long periods of national existence, and the common phrase ò $\nu \hat{v} \nu \kappa$. = 'the present life': see too iii. 19, 21 n .
$\chi \rho \delta \nu 0 s=$ 'time', 'period of time' merely; кaı $\rho \delta$ s, 'a period of time' not with reference to its length, but regarded as fixed upon, marked out, or adapted for some end.

ย $\theta \in \tau 0 \ldots$...] An absolute monarch may 'place' certain affairs 'in the hands of his ministers': others he may ' place within (or 'subject to') his own personal authority': these latter he would be said $\tau l \theta \in \sigma \theta a \iota \epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\eta} l \delta$. $\epsilon \xi$ ovoiac. The phrase is an emphatic one, lía being as much stronger than $\dot{\epsilon} a v \tau o \hat{0}$ as proprius than suus, and $\epsilon \xi$ ovoia expresses full and uncontrolled authority (cf. v. 4).
8. kal $\left.{ }^{\prime} \sigma \in \sigma \theta \epsilon \ldots \tau \eta \hat{s} \gamma \hat{\eta} \mathrm{~s}\right]$ The Acts themselves form the best commentary on these words, and the words themselves might be given as the best summary of the Acts.

We have first the preaching of the gospel 'in Jerusalem' until the martyrdom of Stephen; then the dispersion throughout Judaea and Samaria, viii. 1; Philip going down to Samaria, viii. 5 ; and afterwards Peter and John, viii. 14 ; then the conversion of Paul 'the Apostle of the Gentiles' and the vision of Peter; finally a full account of the missionary labours of Paul and others, culminating in the establishment of the gospel in the capital of the world.
$\mu$ á $\rho т \cup \rho \in s]$ ' witnesses': doctrinâ et sanguine, B. Notice the first duty of an Apostle and cf. iv. 33, x. 39, xiii. 31.
9. $\left.\boldsymbol{v} \pi \in \boldsymbol{\lambda} \alpha \beta_{\epsilon v}\right]$ 'received him' so that He seemed to be supported by it. $\quad \chi \chi \eta \mu a \beta_{\iota} \lambda_{\iota \kappa} \delta \nu$, Chrysostom.
10. ditcv[̧ovtєs] A. and R. V. 'looking stedfastly'. The word (from a intensive and $\tau \epsilon \ell \nu \omega$ ) occurs 10 times in the Acts and describes a somewhat strained, earnest gaze, cf. iii. 4, 12, vi. 15, vii. 55.
cis $\boldsymbol{\text { tò }} \boldsymbol{v}$ oúpavòv] Notice the quiet emphasis of these words four times repeated.
 The simplest method of representing two events as happening together is to place them side by side, and this method, very common in Homer, is fairly frequent in classical
v.Stallb. Curtius, Gk Gr pp. 213, 8 c. Gk, e.g. Plat. Symp. 220 c, $\eta^{\delta} \delta \eta \quad \mu \in \sigma \eta \mu \beta \rho i a \neq \eta \nu$ каl ă $\nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \%$

 and the use of atque in simul atque. Hence even where a temporal particle, e.g. $\dot{\omega}$ s, is used we often find, as here, a
pleonastic kai added to mark that the two events happened exactly together.
dvopes] Cf. Luke xxiv. 4 : so too an 'angel' is called 'a man' x. 30. Cf. xi. 13.

12. 'Enatwิvos] 'Olivet', V. Olivetum, 'the olivegarden' (cf. $\left.\dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \epsilon \lambda \omega^{\prime} \nu\right)$; only here, usually $\tau \dot{\delta}$ ópos $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \alpha \omega \hat{\omega} \nu$. The Mt of Olives is a ridge about one mile long, running N. and S., on the E. of Jerusalem, separated from it by the narrow ravine of the Kidron.
 his place, let no man go out of his place on the seventh day'. This special command had been made by the Rabbis the basis of a general rule fixing the distance which might be lawfully traversed on the Sabbath at ' 2000 cubits' (about six furlongs), the space kept between the ark and the people in the wilderness Josh. iii. 4, the distance to which the suburbs of a Levitical city extended, Numb. xxxv. 5, and the traditional distance which separated the tabernacle from the furthest part of the camp.

EXov is not $=\alpha \pi \epsilon \chi \circ \nu$ : the distance is regarded as a quality possessed by the mountain. Many consider that B.W.A. Luke here describes the Ascension as taking place at some spot on the Mt of Olives distant a sabbath day's journey from Jerusalem, and the present Church of the Ascension is on the central peak of the mountain, which is at about that distance. But this view does not agree with Luke xxiv. 50 where it is said that 'Jesus led them out to over against ( ${ }^{\prime} \omega s \pi \rho \delta s$ ) Bethany', which is a village on the E. slope of the Mt of Olives 'fifteen furlongs' (John xi. 18) from Jerusalem. Probably therefore Luke here gives the distance of the Mt of Olives from Jerusalem for the information of his Gentile readers and does not fix the exact spot of the Ascension, which took place amid 'the wild uplands stanley, which overhang Bethany, in a seclusion which would perhaps nowhere else be found so near the stir of a mighty and $\begin{gathered}\text { a }\end{gathered}$ city'.
 xiv. 15, Luke xxii. 12, where the Last Supper took place. The $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \hat{\varphi} 0 \nu$ in a house was a large room suitable for gatherings, cf. xx. 8.
®̃ $\tau \in$ Пе́троs...] The following table gives the four lists of the Apostles to be found in the N. T.

Matthew x. 2. Mark iii. 16. Luke vi. 14. Acts i. 13.

|  | Simon | Peter |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Andrew | James | - Andrew | John |
| James | John | James | James |
| John | Andrew | John | Andrew |
|  | Phi | lip |  |
| Bartholomew | Bartholomew | Bartholomew | Thomas |
| Thomas | Matthew | Matthew | Bartholomew |
| Matthew | , Thomas | Thomas | Matthew |
|  | - James ó | тov̂ 'A入ф人iov |  |
| Thad | daeus | Simon | ò Z $\eta \lambda \lambda \omega \tau$ i, |
| Simon | i Kavavaîos | 'Judas | of James, |
| Ju | das Isca | riot | Vacant |

In each list the twelve names fall into three groups of four, each group headed by the same name. The first two groups are identical in their composition. In the third it is necessary to identify Thaddaeus (or Lebbaeus, for the readings vary) with 'Judas of James'. For the use of double names cf. ver. 23 n .
$\left.\delta \eta_{\eta} \lambda \omega \tau \eta \dot{s}\right]$ The Greek equivalent of the Chaldee Kavayaîos (not Xavavaîos = 'inhabitant of Canaan'). Simon belonged to the seet of the Zealots who were noted for their fierce advocacy of the Mosaic ritual, and who assume so prominent a position in the siege of Jerusalem.
'I. 'Iak'ß is 'Iov́ $\delta a s \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \dot{d}$ ' $I a \kappa$ '́'ßov of Jude i. 1. R. V. rightly gives the natural rendering 'son of James'. He is referred to as 'Judas not Iscariot' John xiv. 22. Nothing else is known of him.
14. $\delta \mu 0 \theta u \mu a \delta \delta \nu \bar{v}]$ Eleven times in the Acts: not elsewhere in N. T. except Rom. xv. 6.
oùv रuvauklv.kal :M.] 'with women and (noteworthy among them) Mary'. Such women might be Mary Magdalene, Joanna, Susanna, Luke viii. 2, 'Mary the mother of

James and Joses', and Salome the 'mother of Zebedee's children', Matt. xxvii. 56.
toîs dSeldoîs] Cf. Matt. xii. 46; Mark iii. 31; Luke viii. 19, 'his mother and his brethren'; mentioned with 'his mother' and 'his sisters', and their names given ' James and Joses and Simon and Judas' Matt. xiii. 56; Mark vi. 3 ; 'his brethren' John vii. 9 ; ' James the Lord's brother' Gal. i. 19.

The fact that they are invariably termed $\dot{d} \delta \in \lambda \phi 0 l$, and so often mentioned, as here, with 'his mother', seems to make it certain that they were actually His brethren the sons of Mary. No other meaning can naturally be given to the words.

A strong desire however to make Jesus the only son of 'the Virgin' has given rise to many theories, of which the two chief are:
(1) A theory advanced first by Jerome 4.d. 383 that they were 'cousins' of Jesus. To assign such a meaning to $\dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \sigma_{s}$ is distinctly contrary to its biblical usage (its application to a ' nephew' Gen. xxix. 15 being exceptional, and its frequent metaphorical use, e.g. i. 15, being quite distinct). The theory is built upon a series of assumptions of which the first is that Mary had a sister also called Mary (a most improbable view and only supported by a very doubtful punctuation of John xix. 25), and that this Mary is identical with 'Mary the mother of James and Joses', Matt. xxvii. 56. It is sufficiently disproved by ExcurLightfoot.
(2) A theory held in very early times and strongly advocated by Epiphanius bishop of Constantia 4.D. 367, that they were the sons of Joseph by a former wife. According to Epiphanius Joseph was eighty years old when betrothed to Mary. This theory being purely suppositional admits no proof or disproof. It is advocated by Lightfoot who refers to the fact that the dying Jesus commended His Lightmother to John (John xix. 26, 27) who took her 'unto his own home', as a 'fatal objection' to her having had sons of her own.
15. є̇v тaîs ท̊ $\mu$. тav́raıs] i.e. between the Ascension and Pentecost.
dंvouárшv] A. V. 'names'; R. V. rightly 'persons'. For this Hebrew use cf. Numb. i: $2,18,20$; Rev. iii. 4.
èml rò av̉rò] of place 'gathered together', cf. ii. 1, iii. 44 ; Luke xvii. 35.
16. äv $\delta \rho \epsilon \mathrm{s} . .$.$] The clear and telling argument of this$ speech is so obscured in both A. and R.V. that it needs careful attention.

In it Peter brings forward a Messianic prophecy to shew (1) that a certain event in the past was necessary, viz. the betrayal of Jesus by an Apostle, (2) that thereby a necessary duty is imposed upon them in the present, viz. the selection of a successor. This connection is emphatically marked by the prominent $\varepsilon \delta \delta \epsilon$ the first word of the speech, and the equally prominent $\delta \in \hat{\imath}$ (ver. 21) the first word of the second half.

With regard to the first division of his speech the method Peter adopts is not to give the prophecy first and the corresponding facts afterwards, but to give the facts first and the prophecy afterwards.
(a) He states that the prophecy had to be fulfilled which was spoken concerning Judas, and argues that its application must be to Judas because Judas was an Apostle. (It will be seen that the prophecy refers to one who held an 'overseership', so that the fact of Judas being an Apostle is the proof of its reference to him.)
( $\beta$ ) He then proceeds (v. 18) further to prepare the way for the quotation of the prophecy by referring to another remarkable fact, viz. the purchase by Judas of a field and (i) his suicide in that field, (ii) the consequent pollution of the field, which became ' $a$ field of blood' and uninhabitable.

Then he brings forward the prophecy which accurately tallies with these facts, ( $\beta$ ) (i) as invoking a curse on the betrayer, (ii) as referring to an Ëmavics he possessed ( $=\chi \omega$ plov $\hat{0} \hat{e} \kappa \kappa \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma a \tau o$ ) which is to be desolate and uninhabitable, and (a) as mentioning the betrayer as holding an 'overseership'.

E $\delta \mathrm{E} \mathrm{\epsilon}]$ ' It was necessary'. Throughout the Acts Jesus is regarded as the Messiah whom the Jewish scriptures foretold. The circumstances of His life and death must therefore necessarily fulfil the prophetic passages of Scripture. It is the constant endeavour of the Apostles to shew that the life and works of Jesus do accurately correspond with these prophecies.
$\tau \grave{1} \mathrm{v}$ ypaфウ̀ $\nu$ ] 'the passage of scripture', i. e. the one he is about to quote, ver. 20. A. V. wrongly refers in margin to Gal. iii. 22. Ps. xli. 9.

- The singular $\gamma \rho a \phi \eta$ in the N. T. always means a particular passage of Scripture'.
$\eta ँ \nu \pi \rho о є i \pi \epsilon \ldots]$ The Psalmist spoke of his own troubles, but through his instrumentality ( $\delta \iota$ á) the Holy Spirit foretold the sufferings and betrayal of the Messiah.

тои̂ $\gamma \in \nu$. ó $\delta \eta \gamma \circ$ v̂] Cf. Matt. xxvi. 47.
17. öтt] 'that', 'in that', 'seeing that', 'because'. H. gives ö $\tau \iota=$ 'although'-a typical instance of mistrans. lation intended to save, and in fact ruining, the sense.
k $\lambda \hat{\eta} p o v$ ] (1) 'a lot', (2) 'a thing assigned by lot', (3) 'allotment', 'portion'. Hence clerus $=$ ' the clergy'.
18. oûтos $\mu$ ย̀v ov̂v...Aㅆนaтos] Marked off in R. V. as a parenthesis, (and so in the text,) and generally regarded as inserted by the historian. But it has been rightly remarked that ( 1 ) such an insertion of a historical notice is A.M.La. unnatural, (2) the use of $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ oû $\nu$ (a formula of transition $=$ 'so then') to introduce a parenthesis is unknown, (3) the whole verse is rhetorical not narrative in style, cf. ovitos, $\mu \iota \sigma \theta \circ \hat{v} \tau \eta \hat{s}$ á $\delta \iota \kappa l a s$, $\epsilon \lambda \alpha \alpha_{\kappa} \eta \sigma \epsilon \mu \hat{\epsilon} \sigma o s$, and beyond all (4) the words are absolutely necessary to Peter's argument.

On the other hand in ver. $19 \tau \hat{\eta} \delta \iota a \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \tau \varphi$ av̉т $\omega \hat{\nu}$ and $\tau o u \tau^{\prime}$ є $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ X $\omega$ pion Aíparos are clearly explanations insertedperhaps awkwardly but very naturally-by Luke writing in Greek for Greek readers who would not have understood the word 'Aкє $\bar{\delta} \alpha \mu a ́ \chi$.
éктท́бато X...] 'acquired (i. e. made a $\kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$ or possession) a field from the reward of his guilt', i.e. from the ' 30 pieces of silver' which the chief priests had 'covenanted' to give him, cf. Matt. xxvi. 14-16, and xxvii. 3-8, where the account given differs considerably from that given here. Attempts to reconcile the two passages by translating $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \eta^{-}$ oaro 'gave occasion to the purchase of' involve a perversion of the plain meaning of the Greek.
$\left.\pi \rho \eta \nu \eta े{ }^{\prime} . ..\right]$ 'having fallen face-foremost'. The words indicate suicide by jumping or falling from a height of some sort, and the suicide is clearly referred to as connected with the field. Matt. has $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\eta} \gamma \xi \bar{\gamma} \alpha 0$.
é $\lambda a ́ \kappa \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$, from $\lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \omega$, always of sound, is here used of bursting accompanied with sound, cf. frango, fragor; ' crack'.
19. Tî $\delta \iota a \lambda$ ék $\tau \omega$ av̉ $\frac{1}{\omega} \nu$ ] inserted by Luke from the point of view of himself and Theophilus who used Greek.
$\delta \iota a ́ \lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau o s$, from $\delta \iota a \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, 'to converse', ='language' not 'dialect', cf. ii. 6, xxi. 40.

Xopiov Aiparos] Matt. has ápods aimaros, adding that its former name was $\dot{o} \dot{\alpha} \gamma . \tau o \hat{v} \kappa є \rho a \mu \epsilon \in \omega s$.



David and his kingdom are types of the Son of David and His kingdom．Hence words used of his own enemies by David are applied to the enemies of the Messiah，or referred

H． So M． with Chrys． specially to one such enemy as here．＇The 69th Psalm is often quoted in St Matt．and St John and seems to have been regarded as peculiarly prophetic of the Messiah＇．

## ย̈rav入ıs］Clearly parallel to $\chi \omega \rho$ iov．

 the derived word＇Bishoprick＇，but R．V．＇office＇and in the margin＇overseership＇．
 habitual daily intercourse，cf．ix．28；Ps．exxi．8； 1 Sam． xxix．6；John x． 9.

22．тov̂ $\beta a \pi \tau$ ．＇I＇$\omega$ ávov］which immediately preceded the public ministry of Jesus，cf．Luke iii．

тоข́т $\omega \nu$ ］Deictic，and emphatic by position．
23．そँテтŋनav］i．e．the whole company did so．
${ }^{\text {＇I }} \mathrm{I} \omega \sigma \eta \dot{\dagger}$ ．．．］Nothing is known of either．
Joseph＇s regular name（cf．калои́ $\mu \in \nu \circ \nu$ ）was Joseph Barsabbas，i．e．son of Sabbas，it being common thus to dis－ tinguish men by adding the name of the father，cf．Matt．xvi． 17 Simon Barjona，Acts xiii． 6 Barjesus．To this name was often added an additional name，a sort of＇surname＇（cf． $\epsilon \quad \pi \epsilon \kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \eta$ ），sometimes expressing some personal characteristic （cf．iv． 36 ＇ $\mathrm{I} \omega \sigma \dot{\eta} \phi$ ó $\epsilon \pi \iota \kappa \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon$ is $\mathrm{B} \alpha \rho \nu$ á $\beta$ as，i．e．＇son of con－

v．F．frequently Latin in form，for use no doubt in dealing c．19，s．f．with non－Jews，and often similar in sound to the Hebrew name，as here Joseph Justus；cf．xiii． 9 Saul，Paul．

Ma日送汶］Short for Mattathias（＝Theodorus），a common Jewish name．

24．кapסгоүvผ̄бтa］Emphatic．He＇who knows the heart＇must judge right．The same adj．applied to God xv .8.
 є̈тє́pous $\dot{\epsilon} \beta \delta \delta_{\circ} \mu \eta \dot{\kappa} о \nu \tau а$ ．

25．cis tòv tótov tòv［＇Siov］Euphemism．The phrase is a strong antithesis to $\tau \dot{\nu} \nu \tau \delta \pi 0 \nu \ldots \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau 0 \lambda \hat{\eta}$ ；he was chosen for the place of an Apostle，he had chosen his own place
for himself. In Numb. xxiv. 25 'Balaam returned to his place' ( (тò тómov aủroû) was interpreted by the Rabbis of Gehenna.

Not only is the adj. \%oloos a strong one, cf. i. 7 n ., but it is emphasized by its position, as always, when the adj. is thus placed after the noun and preceded by the article, cf.

 $\tau \grave{y} \nu \tau 0 \hat{v} \theta$., xiii. $10 \tau$ d̀s òooùs $\tau$ d̀s ci $\theta$ eías.
 them'. Decision by lots is very frequent in O.T. The scape-goat was chosen from two by lot Lev. xvi. 7-10, Moses ordained (Numb. xxxiv. 13) that the inheritance of the 12 tribes should be assigned by lot, and Joshua so assigned it, Josh. xiv. 2, xviii. 6-an instance which would naturally be considered here in filling up the number of the twelve Apostles, who represent the twelve tribes (cf. Luke xxii. 30).

The two names would be written on small tablets and cast into a vessel (or 'the lap', cf. Prov. xvi. 33) and then shaken (cf. $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$, $\left.\pi \alpha{ }^{\prime} \lambda o s\right)$ until one fell ( $\notin \pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ) out.
$\sigma v \nu \kappa a \tau \epsilon \psi \eta \phi[\sigma \theta \eta]$ The word is exactly $=\sigma v \gamma \kappa a \tau \alpha \rho \iota \theta \mu \epsilon \in \omega$, 'reckon in along with', 'number with': $\psi \hat{\eta} \phi \circ \iota$, calculi, were regularly used in counting. Cf. $\sigma v \nu \in \psi \eta \dot{\eta} \phi \iota \sigma \alpha \nu$, xix. 19.

## CHAPTER II.

1. év $\tau \hat{\omega} \sigma v v \pi \lambda \eta p o v ิ \sigma \theta a l . .$.$] lit. 'on the day of P$. being fulfilled'. Pentecost was a festal day looked forward to as completing the period of harvest: hence, when it arrives, it can be spoken of as 'being fulfilled', 'filled up', 'added to the now full tale of days'.

 the days of his taking up being completed (filled up to the proper number) he set his face......
$\tau \eta$ § $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \kappa \circ \sigma \tau \eta$ § $\quad$ A feast, as its name implies, held on the fiftieth day from the second day of the Passover, on which day a sheaf of the firstfruits was 'waved' before the Lord, whereas at Pentecost a sacrifice was made for the completion of the harvest, cf. Lev. xxiii. 15-21. The Passover, Pentecost, and the Feast of Tabernacles were the three great feasts of the year, on which all males were 'to appear before the Lord in the place that he shall choose', Deut. xvi. 16. It is also called the 'feast of weeks', from
the 'numbering seren weeks'. Whitsunday, on which the sending of the Holy Spirit is commemorated, is the 10th day after Ascension day, and so 50 days from the day when 'Christ our passover was sacrificed for us'.
$\pi a ́ v \tau \epsilon$ ] All the believers in Jesus.
2. $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho]$ Note that it is not 'the sound of a blast' but 'of as it were a blast': so too $\dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \boldsymbol{\pi} v \rho$ ós.
 is not an adj., but a present part.) but 'distributing themselves', i.e. one to each, a meaning which is necessary to account for the singular verb $\epsilon \in \kappa \dot{\theta} \theta \iota \sigma \in \nu$, the nom. to which is $\gamma \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \sigma a$, which can be naturally supplied after the $\gamma \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma$ oac have been referred to as 'distributing themselves', but not otherwise. V. has dispertitae, and cf. $\delta \iota \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \rho \varsigma 0 \nu$ ver. 45.

For the resting of a flame on the head as a sign of divine favour cf. Virg. Aen. II. 683,

> Ecce levis summo de vertice visus Iuli Fundere lumen apex.

So too Ov. Fast. vi. 635 flammeus apex, and Hom. Il. xviri. 214.
4. $\lambda a \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \tau \in ́ p a ı s, \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma a ı s]$ 'to speak with tongues different to their own', for '̈ $\tau \epsilon \rho \frac{1}{}$ expresses something different (cf. ver. 13), not merely, like ${ }^{2} \lambda \lambda$ dos, something additional.

With regard to this event nothing is known but what Luke tells us. From his words it is clear (1) that he describes the speakers speaking in languages they did not know before, and the hearers understanding them, vv. 8 and 11, (2) that the event is described as exceptional and accompanied by great excitement, ver. 13, (3) that it is connected not with teaching but with praise and adoration, ver. 11. Compare x. 46-48 where 'speaking with tongues' is also spoken of as an exceptional event and connected with 'glorifying God', and xix. 6 where it is again exceptional and distinguished from 'prophesying' or preaching.
'Speaking with tongues' seems to have been used as a regular form of worship in the Corinthian Church. St Paul describes the practice at length 1 Cor. xiv., but it is clear that as in use there it differed from what is described here, for he dwells on the fact that the utterances could not be understood without an interpreter: he also distinctly deprecates the practice : he speaks of it as inferior to preaching ( $\pi \rho \circ \phi \eta \tau \epsilon \cup ́ \epsilon \iota \nu$ ) and adds ver. 19 ' I would rather speak five words with my understanding ( $\tau \hat{\psi} \nu 0 \hat{t}$ ), that I might
instruct others also, than ten thousand words in a tongue ( $\bar{\nu} \nu \gamma \lambda \omega^{\prime} \sigma \sigma \eta$ ) :

Mark xvi. 17, where to 'speak with new (кaıvaîs) tongues' is promised by Jesus, is a doubtful passage, and the reading кaıvais is also extremely uncertain.
 utterance, cf. ver. 14 and xxvi. 25 , the only other passages where it is found in N.T. Lucian (Paras. 4) uses it of the 'ring' of a vessel when struck.
5. катоцкоиิvтєs] 'dwelling' A. and R. V. The word certainly usually describes residence in a place, cf. iv. 16 , vii. 2,4 , ix. 22, 32 , but should here perhaps be taken in a fairly wide sense, to include those who were 'dwelling' in Jerusalem temporarily for the feast, as well as those who had returned to reside there, either hoping for 'the consolation of Israel', like Simeon (Luke ii. $25 \& \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi$ os єủ入aßทis), or desiring to die and be buried in the Holy City.
 noised abroad', which would require $\phi \eta \mu \eta s$, 'a rumour', 'report': R.V.rightly 'when this sound was heard', referring to the $\eta \chi$ os of ver. 2 ; cf. $\phi \omega \nu \dot{\eta}$, of the sound of the wind, John iii. 8; of an instrument, 1 Cor. xiv. 7, 8, 10.
$\sigma v v \in \chi$ ú $\eta$ ] mente confusa est. V.
7. Ta $\left.\lambda_{\llcorner } \lambda_{\alpha} \hat{i n}^{\prime}\right]$ All the Apostles and many of the disciples 'came from Galilee, the chief scene of Jesus' labours. The word is used here in its simple geographical sense: as Galilaeans their natural language was Aramaic, not the language of any of the nations about to be named.

There is no trace of contempt in the word here, although Galilee was looked down upon (cf. John vii. 52), nor is there any reference to the Galilaean 'accent' (cf. Mark xiv. 70), for $\delta \iota a ́ \lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau о s$ is not='dialect', cf. i. 19 n . The Gibbon, contemptuous application of Galilaei by Julian to the Chrisc. 23. tians is of course wholly distinct from the use of the word here.
9. Iáp $\left.0_{0} . ..\right]$ i.e. Jews who had settled and become naturalized in those districts. They were known as 'Jews of the dispersion' ( $\delta \iota a \sigma \pi \circ \rho a \dot{)}$; cf. too xxi. $21 \tau o{ }^{\prime} s \kappa a \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \dot{\alpha} \notin \theta \nu \eta$ 'Iovoalous. They may be divided into four divisions :
(1) The Eastern or Babylonian, originating in the carrying away of the ten tribes by Shalmaneser B. c. 721, 2 Kings xviii. 11, and of Judah and Benjamin under Nebuchadnezzar b. c. 588,2 Kings xxiv.
(2) The Syrian, due to the removal of Jewish colonists by Seleucus Nicator (b.c. 312-280) from Babylon to the
west. Antiochus the Great also removed 2000 Jewish families from Judaea to Lydia and Phrygia.
(3) The Egyptian, due to the Jewish settlements established in Alexandria by Alexander and Ptolemy I., where at the Christian era Jews formed two-fifths of the population. Cf. xviii. 24 n .
(4) The Roman Jews brought to Rome by Pompey after his occupation of Jerusalem в. с. 63 and settled in the transTiberine region.

The text corresponds to these four historical and geo-



The Cretes and Arabians are mentioned last-somewhat awkwardly-as not falling into any group.

MápӨol] Put first as most important : their empire at this time extended from India to the Tigris.

M $\hat{\eta} \delta o \iota]$ A name strictly applied to a people of Western Asia, who were merged with the Persians into one powerful empire by Cyrus the Great (b.c. 559). They were subdued by Alexander the Great, and after his death soon absorbed by the Parthians. The Greek writers use the word vaguely $=$ 'Persians', ' the inhabitants of the Persian kingdom'.
'Eגaueîral] 'inhabitants of Elam', a district S. of Assyria and E. of Persia, with Susa (Shushan) for its capital.

Meooтота $[\alpha v]$ Between the Euphrates and Tigris.
'Iovסaíav] Clearly not Judaea as distinguished from Samaria (cf. i. 8) but the 'land of the Jews', i.e. Palestine and perhaps some part of Syria. It is naturally placed at the head of the second group with which it is geographically connected.

Cappadocia extends from Mt Taurus northward to Pontus, which extends to the Euxine; Phrygia lies inland W. of Cappadocia ; Pamphylia on the S. coast E. of Cilicia.
$\left.\tau \eta{ }^{2} v^{\prime} A \sigma i a v\right]$ As throughout the Acts=the Roman province of Asia comprising Mysia, Lydia and Caria, with Ephesus for its capital.
10. тd $\mu \in \rho \eta \eta_{\text {...] The singularly fertile district to the W. }}^{\mathrm{W}}$. of the Greater Syrtis known as the Cyrenaic Pentapolis, the modern Tripoli. 'Simon a Cyrenian' is mentioned Matt. xxvi. 73.
'́ $\pi\llcorner\delta \eta \mu \mathbf{0} \mathbf{v} v \tau \epsilon$ ] Used generally of temporary stay in a foreign place, cf. xvii. 21 oi $\epsilon \pi \iota$. $\bar{\xi} \in \nu 0 c$. The word is not however here contrasted with катоскои̂̀тєs (ver. 5), but seems added to shew that ' $\mathrm{P} \omega \mu \mathrm{aioc}$ is used in a geographical sense
='from Rome', and not, as often, in a political sense $=$ ' Roman citizens', cf. xvi. 21, 37, 38.
 defining all the preceding proper names, and not merely M. La. ' $\mathrm{P} \omega \mu \mathrm{a} \hat{\mathrm{o}}$ 。. This would have been perfectly clear had it not been for the addition of the 'Cretes and Arabians', apparently as a sort of afterthought, to what was already a complete and symmetrical list.
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \eta^{\prime} \lambda \nu \tau=5$ (from $\pi \rho \rho \sigma \epsilon \in \rho \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota=$ 'one who joins') is used in LXX. to render the Hebrew word for which A. V.
 Instances in the O.T. are Uriah the Hittite and Araunah the Jebusite. Matt. xxiii. 15 refers to the zeal shewn for 'making proselytes'; cf. Hor. Sat. 1. 4. 142 ac veluti te| Judaei cogemus in hanc concedere turbam. For the number of Jews and Jewish proselytes in Italy cf. Tac. Ann. Ir. 85, who refers to a decree made by Tiberius (A. D. 19) ut quattuor millia libertini generis ea superstitione infecta...in insulam Sardiniam veherentur...ceteri cederent Italia. The division into Proselytes of the Gate, who were not bound by circumcision and the other special laws of the Mosaic code, and Proselytes of Righteousness, who were circumcised and carried out the full Judaic ritual, seems later than this period.

## 

13. ydev́kovs] A. and R. V. 'new wine', somewhat unwisely, as Pentecost came before the vintage. From Lucian Ep. Sat. xxir. it is clear that it is raw young wine with fermentation still going on, indigestible and intoxicating, served to poor guests, while the rich man drinks oivos
 vos. The use of the word clearly implies contempt, cf. Juv. iII. 292 cujus aceto...tumes? where aceto is contemptuous.
14. $\sigma$ ra0els $\delta E$....] Peter's object is to explain and justify what has occurred, his whole argument being from the fulfilment of prophecy. Signs and wonders were to accompany the coming of Messiah, and the speaking with tongues is one of these signs. Moreover that Jesus is Messiah is shewn by His works (ver. 22) and especially by His death and resurrection, which exactly fulfil the words of David.
 ductory words mark the importance of the speech. This pictorial use of $\sigma \tau a \theta \epsilon \in$ is a marked peculiarity of Luke, being used by no other writer in N. T. Cf. Luke xviii. 11, 40, xix. 8 ; Acts v . 20 , xvii. 22 , xxvii. 21.

тov̂ro］explained by oủ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho .$. ．below ：＇this，namely that these men are not．．．＇．

15．oûtol］Deictic．
wopa $\tau \rho[\tau \eta]$ The Jews，like the Romans，divided the time between sunrise and sunset into 12 equal parts，which would vary in length according to the time of year．At the equinoxes the＇third hour＇would be 9 a．m．It was the first hour of prayer，and the time of the offering of the morning sacrifice in the Temple．＇Before it no pious Jew might eat or drink＇．

16．тои̂т＇́ é $\sigma \tau \iota v ~ \tau \grave{~ \epsilon i p \eta \mu e ́ v o v . . .] ~ i . e . ~ ' t h i s, ~ w h i c h ~ i s ~ h a p-~}$ pening，is identical with＇or＇the fulfilment of what was spoken．．．＇．

The quotation is from Joel ii．28－32 and closely follows the LXX．

17．Ėv raîs éซXátaıs $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu$ ．］Joel has merely $\mu \in \tau \alpha \grave{\alpha} \tau a \hat{\tau} \tau a$ ， but himself proceeds to define the time as $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ p a i s ~ e ̇ \kappa \epsilon i v a i s ~ a n d ~$ $\dot{\eta} \mu$ ．Kvpiov（Joel ii．29，31），and so Peter，interpreting the prophecy as foretelling Messiah＇s kingdom，naturally substi－ tutes for $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau a u ̂ \tau \alpha$ the well－known phrase＇the last days＇， which is frequently used to indicate the time of Messiah＇s coming（e．g．Is．ii．2；Micah iv．1），and which Peter would naturally use of the time when he was speaking，for the Apostles regarded themselves as living＇in the last days＇ and looked forward to the coming of Christ in glory in the near future．（Cf．Heb．i． $2 \epsilon \in \pi^{\prime} \epsilon \in \sigma \chi \dot{a} \tau \omega \nu \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \dot{\eta} \mu$ ．$\tau$ oú $\tau \omega \nu$ ．）
ópá⿱㇒日⿰㇇⿰亅⿱丿丶丶⿱⿰㇒一乂心，＇visions＇：this word，for which Luke always employs öра $\mu a$ ，indicates something clearer than＇a dream＇． Matt．xvii． 9 describes the appearance（ $\dot{\omega} \phi \theta \eta \sigma a \nu$ ）of Moses and Elias at the transfiguration as ő $\rho \alpha \mu \alpha$ ，cf．vii． 31 where the＇burning bush＇is $8 \rho a \mu \alpha$ ：its clearness is marked x． 3 $\epsilon^{2} \nu \quad$ ó $\rho \dot{\mu} \mu a \tau \iota \phi a \nu \epsilon \rho \hat{s}$ ．On the other hand it may occur to a person＇in an ecstasy＇，xi． 5 ，or＇in the night＇，xvi． 9 ，xviii． 9 ；and xii． 9 it is marked as something which the beholder knows to be unreal．

18．Tov̀s Soúdovs $\mu \mathrm{ov}$ ］A． $\mathrm{\nabla}$ ．in Joel，following the He－ brew，has＇the servants and the handmaids＇，i．e．actual servants．Peter here follows LXX．
 seem to be introduced to make the antithesis between what should happen in heaven and on earth clearer．In heaven there are to be $\tau \hat{p} p a \tau a$ ，＇marvels＇，＇prodigies＇，such as changes in the sun，on earth $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i \alpha$ ，＇signs＇，events which symbolize some great change．The next words illustrate these $\tau \epsilon \in \rho \alpha \tau \alpha$ and $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \alpha$ in inverse order（per Chiasmum），
and therefore ai $\mu a \kappa \alpha i \pi \hat{v} \rho \ldots$ must be taken as ='bloodshed and devastation by fire', and not 'bloody and fiery appearances'. Cf. the prediction by Jesus, Matt. xxiv. 6, 29, of wars on earth and portents in heaven.
20. ทi $\mu$. Kvplov...] The second coming of Messiah in glory. For the adj. emphatic by position cf. i. 25 n . $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota-$ $\phi a \nu \hat{\eta}$ (in the Heb. 'terrible'; A. and R.V. 'notable'; V. manifestus) describes a day which will be 'clearly visible' to all in its occurrences and meaning.
 ii. 8; 1 Tim. vi. 14. We use the term 'Epiphany' of the 'manifestation' to the Gentiles: cf. Collect for the Epiphany ' O God, who by the leading of a star didst manifest thy only-begotten Son to the Gentiles'.
22. 'Iŋनov̂v tòv Nay̧wpaîov] The names applied to our Lord in the Acts deserve careful study.
(1) Jesus is His name as a man. It is an ordinary name, and is the Gk form of Joshua (cf. vii. 45 'brought in with Jesus'), which is contracted from Jehoshua $=$ 'the help of Jehovah' or 'Saviour', cf. Matt. i. 21.
'Jesus of Nazareth' is used when reference is made to Jesus as a man needing thus to be identified (cf. carefully John xviii. 5, 7, xix. 19; Acts vi. 14, xxvi. 9, and the very interesting instance xxii. 8 with note). In the absence of surnames this addition of their birthplace is one of the best known methods of identifying individuals.

In the healing of the impotent man, iii. 6 and iv. 10, Paul twice speaks of 'Jesus Christ of Nazareth', thus (1) clearly identifying the person, (2) giving Him the title in virtue of which the act of healing is performed.
(2) Christ, $\dot{o}$ रpiotos, 'the anointed one', is a rendering of the word 'Messiah' (cf. John i. 41), the name applied by the Jews to the Great Deliverer whom the Prophets foretold. He is described as 'the anointed one' because priests and kings were anointed, and He was to be the Priest and the King. The descent of the Holy Spirit at His baptism is regarded as the 'anointing' of Jesus, cf.
 $\mu a \tau \iota \dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\prime} \varphi$.
(3) Jesus Christ has not in the Acts yet become a mere name, but involves a statement, viz. the identity of the man Jesus with Messiah; 'Jesus Messiah' may be compared as an appellation with 'Caesar Imperator'. To prove the claim of the man Jesus to the title 'Christ' is one main purpose of the Acts. As ascribing the title Messiah to Him the name 'Jesus Christ' is very frequently assigned to our Lord when authority, power, or dignity is
ascribed to Him, e.g. ii. 38, iv. 10 'in the name of Jesus Christ', ix. 34 'Jesus Christ healeth thee'.

The following passages afford instances of the use of रpıoтós as applied to Jesus:-
 Jesus (to be) Messiah'.
iii. $20 \tau \delta \nu \ldots \chi \rho \iota \sigma \tau \delta \nu$ 'I $\eta \sigma \circ \hat{\nu}=$ 'the...Messiah' and then is added in explanation 'Jesus'; so too v. 42.
 Messiah even Jesus'.
 the Messiah is Jesus'; so too xviii. 28.

In xxiv. $24 \tau \hat{\eta} s \epsilon i s \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \delta \nu$ 'I $\eta \sigma o u ̂ \nu$ $\pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s}$ is somewhat doubtfully supported and probably wrong, being contrary to the entire usage of the Acts.
 is a strong one, 'clearly shewn', 'pointed out specially', 'apart from others', cf. 1 Cor. iv. 9: it also, while thus expressing clearness, suggests certainty, cf. xxv. 7.

ảmò $\tau 0 \hat{1}$ 日eov̂] divinitus; not 'by', but 'from God', from whom he received His mission and authority; cf. Gal. i. 1 oviк $\dot{a} \pi{ }^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \dot{\pi} \pi \omega \nu$. Notice how Peter marks the divine origin of the mission of Jesus by emphatic repetition
 33), ó $\theta$ єós (ver. 36).

סvvá $\mu \in \sigma \iota, \tau \epsilon \rho \rho a \sigma \iota, \sigma \eta \mu \epsilon[0 เ s]$ The same acts viewed in different lights, (1) as indicating power in the person doing them, (2) as exciting wonder in the persons seeing them, (3) as being signs from which inferences can be drawn.
23. Tov̂tov] recalls attention with emphasis to the person described, a very frequent use.
ßov入ท̂] 'will', 'purpose', 'counsel'; cf. Hom. Il. I. 5

$\pi \rho \circ \boldsymbol{\nu \omega} \sigma \epsilon \mathrm{\epsilon}]$ 'praescientiâ' $\nabla .$, 'foreknowledge' A. and R.V. The death of Jesus is described as willed and known beforehand by God, and for that reason alone possible.

Sta $\chi$ etpòs $\alpha{ }^{2} v o ́ \mu \omega v$ ] through the instrumentality of lawless men, i.e. the Roman soldiers. The Jews did not actually crucify Jesus, although they caused His crucifixion.
$\pi \rho o \sigma \pi \eta \prime \xi \alpha v \tau \epsilon$ ] A graphic and realistic word, used designedly.
ajv ${ }^{(\lambda a \tau \epsilon]}$ 'made away with', 'destroyed'; a word very frequent in the Acts of putting to a violent or unjust death, cf. v. 33, vii. 28 , ix. 23,29, x. 39 , and ávaipeoıs viii. 1 ; Luke xxii. 2.
24. $\lambda$ v́бas $\tau$ às...] The 'pangs of death' had 'laid See M. hold' of Jesus (cf. кратєīбөal, and its use iii. 11, xxiv. 6; Luke viii. 54); from this 'hold' God had 'loosed' Him. For pain or disease described as 'confining', 'holding prisoner', cf. xxviii. $8 \delta v \sigma \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \rho^{\prime} \nmid \psi \sigma v \nu \epsilon \chi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu$; Plat. Rep. 574 a $\omega ் \delta \imath \sigma \iota \quad \sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \chi \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$.

The phrase $\dot{\omega} \delta$. $\theta$ avárou is from LXX. Psalm xviii. 4, exvi. $3 \pi \epsilon \rho i \epsilon \sigma \chi o ́ \nu \mu \epsilon \dot{\omega} \delta i \nu \epsilon s$ $\theta a \nu a ́ \tau o v$, where the Hebrew however gives 'snares' or 'traps of death', death being compared to a hunter. Having regard to the sense of $\lambda \dot{\sigma} \sigma a s$ and кратєî$\sigma \theta \alpha$, and to the fact that Peter was not speaking in Greek but to Jews, it is not improbable that he used the word 'snares' and that Luke has replaced it by the wellknown LXX. rendering.
25. троорஸ́ $\mu \eta \nu .$.$] Almost verbatim from LXX. Psalm$ xvi. 8-11. Peter argues that the words of David could not all have been spoken of himself alone, especially ver. 27, and should rather be regarded as applicable (cf. $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \epsilon i s$ avi $\tau \nu \nu$ ) to Messiah in His hour of trouble: he therefore interprets them of Messiah, who is regarded as uttering them as an expression of His trust in Jehovah, even when 'held' by the 'pangs of death'.
$\pi \rho о о \rho \omega ́ \mu \eta \nu=$ 'I saw before me', i.e. present: A. V. gives 'foresaw', obscuring the sense. кúpıo =Jehovah.
ék $\delta \in \xi \in \omega \nu]$ In the position of a defender: the advocate M. stood at his client's right hand, cf. Psalm cix. 31.
26. $\quad$ 'itl $\delta \grave{\text { k }}$ kal] Emphatic. 'Nay more my flesh (i.e. my actual body) shall dwell awhile (i.e. in the grave) supported upon hope ( $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \pi^{\prime} \epsilon \in \lambda \pi \delta \iota \iota$ ).

For катабкทขш์єь, 'pitch a tent', 'rest', 'dwell awhile', cf. Matt. xiii. 32 'the birds of the air come and lodge (ката-
 $\dot{\eta} \mu i ̀ \nu$.
27. єis $\not \approx \delta \eta v]$ Constructio praegnans. A.V. has 'in hell', R.V. 'in Hades', i.e. 'the unseen world'. $\neq \delta \eta s$ represents the Hebrew sheol, 'the grave' (e.g. Gen. xxxvii. 35), a very negative word, 'the place not of the living but of the dead'. It is often used locally as the opposite of 'heaven', e.g. Job xi. 8, and cf. Matt. xi. 23; Luke x. 15. Neither it, nor ${ }^{\circ} \delta \eta \rho$, denotes a place of punishment; even in Luke xvi. 23 'in hell ( $\left.{ }^{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \ddot{\alpha} \delta \eta\right)$ he lift up his eyes', the marked addition of the words $\dot{v} \pi a ́ \rho \chi \omega \nu \quad \epsilon \nu \quad \beta a \sigma \alpha \nu o o s ~ s h e w s$ that the idea of torment is in no way involved in the word. 'Death' and 'Hades' are strictly parallel terms: he who 'is dead' is 'in Hades': the word is used four times in Rev., and always with $\theta$ ávazos, 'death'.

The 'in Hades' of R.V. is not a translation but a mere transliteration, and does not to an ordinary reader convey the simple meaning of the Greek, viz. 'in the grave', while to an educated reader it is useless.

From this passage are derived the words of the Apostles' Creed 'He descended into hell'. The English word 'hell' is derived from 'helan', to hide. The bad sense which attaches to it is partly due to its having been employed not only as a rendering of $\ddot{q} \delta \eta s$ but also of $\gamma \epsilon \epsilon \nu \nu a$, e. g. Matt. จ. 22.
 rising from the dead : so too the next words describe His ascension and position in heaven enjoying the presence of Jehovah.
29. $\left.{ }^{d} v \delta \rho \epsilon \epsilon{ }^{2} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \circ<\right]$ The addition of the word áv $\delta \rho e s$ in addressing an audience is respectful and therefore necessary in any speech that is in any way formal, cf. the wellknown ävঠ̀es $\delta ı \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau a l$, 'A $\theta \eta \nu a \hat{o} o l$, \&c., and cf. i. 11, ii. 14, ii. 22 , vii. 2. It may often be rendered 'men', but in the present phrase we are compelled to omit it and translate 'brethren', thus losing the distinction between this more formal phrase and the affectionate $\alpha \delta \delta \lambda \phi o l$ of $i i i .17$ and the Pauline epistles.
$\left.{ }^{\xi} \xi \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} v\right]$ sc. $\begin{gathered}\text { E } \sigma \tau l .\end{gathered}$ 'I may speak freely to you about David', for you are Israelites and know (1) the facts of his death and burial, (2) his hopes and predictions about his descendant, the Messiah.
marpuápxov] Usually applied to Abraham, or the twelve sons of Jacob (ef. vii. 8) as the great 'original fathers' of the race. Here to David as head of the family from which Messiah was to come.
$\tau \grave{~} \mu \nu \eta ̂ \mu a$ av̉тov̂...] Cf. 1 Kings ii. 10; Neh. iii. 16. Jos. The sepulchre is said to have been opened and robbed by Ant. vil. Hyreanus b.c. 134 and again by Herod.
15. 3.
30. iváp ${ }^{\omega} \omega \nu$ ] Strictly 'to be originally', 'in the beginning', 'to start with'; hence $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ i íтápxovтa, 'property', iv. 32 , and often in Luke, and $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\rho} \xi \in s$ ii. 45. It is a favourite word with Luke (never found in Matt., Mark, or John), and though perhaps never quite losing its strict meaning, it becomes often almost $=$ ' to be', e.g. vii. 55 . Hence the use of $\pi \rho о u \pi \hat{\eta} \rho \chi \in \nu$ viii. 9 when the sense of 'before' has to be clearly expressed.

орккч...] Cf. 2 Sam. vii. 16 with Ps. exxxii. 11.
кa0ifar] Active, 'set', 'cause to sit'. There is no acc. after it, for the words 'from the fruit of his loins' practi-
cally contain the object of the verb, viz. 'a son', 'descendant'.
32. тov̂tov ròv 'I.] Emphatic, bringing home and summing up the argument. 'David foretold that Messiah should rise from the dead: this man Jesus (cf. ver. 22) God did raise from the dead : therefore Jesus is Messiah'.
ov̉ = ' of which fact'.
 Throughout Peter emphasizes the action of God. The renderings 'at' or 'to the right hand' are impossible, but Bengel's dextra Dei exaltatus est ad dextram Dei is a fair deduction from the Greek, especially when compared with ver. 34.
oiv] 'therefore', ' and so': 'God's raising Him to heaven is the natural sequel to His raising Him from the grave.
$\left.\tau \eta{ }^{2} v \tau \epsilon \ldots, . \lambda a \beta \omega v\right]$ i.e. having received the Holy Spirit which had been promised, cf. i. 4.
 non, which you have just witnessed, which was an 'outpouring of the Holy Spirit'.
34. ov̉ $\gamma \mathrm{d} \rho . .$.$] Dilemma. Propheta loquitur aut de se$ aut de Messia. Non de se v. 29, ergo de Messia. B.
 sharer of my throne and power. This is a common Eastern expression'.
$\kappa_{\alpha} \theta_{0} v$ is conversational and late Gk for the classical $\kappa \dot{d} \theta \eta \sigma o$ imperative of $\kappa \dot{d} \theta \eta \mu a$.
35. $\epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$ äv $\theta \hat{\omega}$...] Indicating complete subjugation, of. Josh. x. 24.
36. $\dot{\text { d. }} \sigma \phi$ a $\hat{\omega}$ s oủv...] Summary of the argument introduced emphatically by $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi a \lambda \omega \bar{\omega}$.
av̉ròv.... $\tau$ ovtrov тòv 'I.] 'him...even this Jesus', a strong assertion (for the second time, cf. ver. 32) of the identity of Messiah and the man Jesus, introducing also the powerful contrast between Messiah whom God glorified and Jesus 'whom you crucified'.
 words. Aculeus in fine, B. ; cf. кaтev'́rך $\sigma a \nu$.
37. katevúyñav] They felt the sting of his words, felt compunction (compungo). The same metaphor in the
 є̀ $\lambda \pi \epsilon \epsilon$ тois $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho о \omega \mu$ évols.
38. $\beta a \pi \tau \tau \sigma \emptyset \eta^{\prime} \tau \omega \ldots$...] In accordance with the command of Jesus, Matt. xxviii. 19. The same phrase is used x. 48,
 does not give the form of words used in baptism by the Apostles, but merely states the fact that they baptized those who acknowledged Jesus as Messiah or as Lord.

The Church has made the words of Jesus ( $\beta a \pi \tau i \xi o v \tau \epsilon s$ єis
 into a baptismal formula: 'I baptize thee in the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost'.
cis $\left.{ }^{\alpha} \phi \epsilon \sigma เ \nu\right]$ of the object or aim of the baptism.
$\tau \grave{\nu} v \delta \omega \rho \epsilon \alpha \nu \tau 0 \hat{v} . .$.$] The Holy Spirit is itself the gift,$ cf. viii. 17 n .
39. $\dot{\eta} \dot{e} \pi a \gamma \gamma \in \lambda[a]$ The promise contained in the passage quoted from Joel, ver. 18.
$\pi \alpha \hat{\sigma} \iota$ тoîs cis $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho d \nu . .$.$] i.e. to the whole heathen world,$ cf. ver. 21, a verse which Paul (Rom. x. 13) quotes as proving that there is to be no distinction between Jew and Gentile ; cf. Eph. ii. 13, where he speaks of the Gentiles as ö $\pi$ отє ठбขтєs $\mu а к р \alpha ́ \nu . ~$
A. La. The command of Jesus (Matt. xxviii. 19) is clear, 'Go and teach all nations' ( $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}$ è $\epsilon \nu \eta$ ) ; and Messiah's kingdom was generally expected to be universal: Peter expresses this belief, but (cf. ch. x.) it had not yet been revealed to him that the Gentiles as such, i.e. without becoming proselytes to Judaism, were to be included in it.
40. $\delta \iota є \mu a \rho \tau v ́ \rho a \tau о] ~ A . ~ a n d ~ R . V . ~ ' t e s t i f i e d ', ~ a n d ~ s o ~$ throughout the Acts. In classical $\mathrm{Gk} \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \epsilon \omega={ }^{\prime} \mathrm{I}$ am a witness', 'testify'; $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \dot{\prime} \rho о \mu \alpha \iota,=$ 'I call to witness' (often invoking God), 'I protest', and this distinction is maintained in N.T., cf. n. on $\mu \alpha \rho \tau$ и́ро $\mu a \iota \mathrm{xx}$. 26. It seems unreasonable therefore to translate $\delta \iota a \mu \alpha \rho \tau$ и́po $\alpha a \iota$ 'testify'.
L. \& Scott, S.V. Its exact sense is 'to protest solemnly', especially in the case of falsehood or wrong, and it is accurately used in the Acts of the witness borne by the Apostles to Jesus, viewed as a protest against the false view of Him held by those they are addressing, cf. x .42 , xviii. $5, \mathrm{xx} .21$. Cf. also its use in Luke xvi. 28 of a warning, protesting message; Acts xx. 23 of a warning spiritual voice. In 1 Tim. v. 21, סıa $\alpha a \rho \tau u ́-$
 'I conjure you': so too 2 Tim. ii. 14, iv. 1 .
$\sigma \kappa 0 \lambda i a ̂ s]$ pravus ; opposite of $\epsilon \dot{\theta} \theta \dot{\prime} s($ (xiii. 10 ), rectus.
 then they indeed.......and were......but fear fell'.

The use of $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ o $\hat{v} \nu$ should be carefully noticed: it is a formula of transition very frequent in the Acts. oîv connects with what precedes; $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ points forward to an anti-
thesis to follow. Here $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ov̂ $\nu$ introduces a brief statement of the immediate effect of Peter's speech and prepares the way for the general account of the condition of the Church given in $\mathrm{VV} .43-47$.

The statement introduced by $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ oû need not consist of only one clause, but may consist of several clauses parallel to or subordinate to the first clause; such clauses may be introduced by $\delta \epsilon \in$ (as for instance the clause $\hat{\eta} \sigma a \nu \delta \epsilon \in . .$. here), and it is therefore important not to confuse these with the real antithesis to the $\mu \dot{\nu} \nu$ clause, which must be discovered by attention to the sense. It is by no means necessary that the first $\delta \epsilon$ which follows it should be the antithesis to $\mu \epsilon \cdot \nu$.

Simple instances of the use of $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ov̂v are v. 41, viii. 4,25 , ix. 31 , xi. 19 , xvi. 5 . Instances where several clauses intervene between the $\mu \dot{\jmath} \nu$ clause and the clause with the antithetical $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ are xii. 5 , xiii. 4 , xiv. 3 , and the very important xvii. 17, in all of which R.V. is entirely at fault; also xxiii. 31, xxv. 4.
42. тŷ koเvตvia] 'fellowship', i.e. in daily intercourse and also in mutual sharing of goods, cf. ver. 44 , and the use of $\kappa о \iota \nu \omega \nu i ́ a=$ ' contribution', Rom. xv. 26 ; Heb. xiii. 16.
 The 'breaking of bread' at common meals, which was practised by the other believers, cf. ver. 46, is mentioned as the third point in which these new converts observed the same practices.

At a meal he who presided first blessed and then broke bread, cf. Luke xxiv. 30; Acts xxvii. 35. This act Jesus

 Supper, and had by a solemn command added to it a special significance. Thenceforth with the disciples that special significance attached to the 'breaking of bread' at their common meals. It so attaches to the 'breaking of bread' in the Holy Communion. At first, however, "and for some time till abuses put an end to the practice (cf. 1 Cor. x. xi.), the Holy Communion was inseparably con- A. and nected with the dyá $\pi \alpha{ }^{\text {c }}$ or 'love-feasts' of the Christians and so B .

[^6]unknown as a separate ordinance". Cf. ver. $46 \kappa \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ...á $\rho \tau o \nu, \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \alpha ́ \mu \beta a \nu \circ \nu \tau \rho \circ \phi \hat{\eta} s$, and xx .7 , xxvii. 35.

To simply explain $\tau \hat{y}$ к $\kappa d \alpha \sigma \epsilon \tau$ vô áp $\rho o v$ as = 'The Holy Communion', is to pervert the plain meaning of words, and to mar the picture of family life, which the text places before us as the ideal of the early believers.

Before $\tau \hat{\eta} \kappa \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \iota$ T.R. has $\kappa \alpha l$, which does not alter the sense: in the text the four things are put in two pairs.
taîs $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon u^{\prime} \alpha i$ is] For the regular hours of prayer cf. iii. 1 n .

Vv. 43-47. A brief general description of the position of the Church (1) as regarded by the people with a certain 'fear' due to the wonders wrought by the Apostles, (2) as being a brotherhood or 'single family' living in common and regarding all they had as belonging to the common stock, (3) as increasing in numbers.
44. èmi тò aúтò] of place, 'being together', cf. ver. 47, and i. 15.

єโर०v äтavтa коเvá...] The text clearly describes the early believers as treating individual property as subject to the claims of all members of the community; cf. iv. 32. It may be remarked, however, that (1) the rule was not absolute even at first, cf. v. 4, and the special mention of the 'alms' of Dorcas ix. 36 ; (2) it is nowhere mentioned except in the church at Jerusalem.
45. ктท́naтa] landed property; vind $\rho \xi \in \iota s$, other property. For the sense cf. Luke xii. $33 \pi \omega \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \tau \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \dot{v} \pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi{ }^{\rho} \nu \tau \alpha \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\kappa \alpha i \begin{aligned} & \delta o ́ \tau \epsilon \\ & \epsilon \\ & \epsilon \\ & \epsilon \\ & \mu\end{aligned} \sigma \sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \eta \nu$.
aủгd] 'them', i.e. the price received for them.

Herm. ad Vig., p. 820 .

каӨо́ть ăv...єโХєv] Cf. iv. 35. каӨठ́ть= ‘just as'; каӨóть $a ̈ \nu$ is more indefinite, ' non certo quodam tempore, sed quotiescunque occasio ita ferret'.
46. кaт' oโкov] 'at home', opposed to $\epsilon ่ \nu \tau \hat{\varphi}$ i $\epsilon \rho \hat{\varphi}$, cf. v. 42.
 'straightforward', e.g. Dem. 1489, 10 áфє入̀̀s каi $\pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma i a s$ $\mu \epsilon \sigma \tau o ́ s\left(a ̉\right.$ priv. and $\phi \in \lambda \lambda \epsilon u{ }^{\prime} s$, 'stony ground').
47. Tov̀s $\sigma \omega \check{\sim}$. $\mu$ évovs] A. V. wrongly, 'such as should be saved': R.V. rightly, 'those that were being saved', i.e. those who joined the new Church (cf. $\sigma \dot{\omega} \theta \eta \tau \epsilon$ ver. 40), and so came to be on the road to salvation.

## CHAPTER III.


 IÍ́t $\quad$ os....

Hétpos kal 'I $\omega$ áv $\eta \mathrm{s}]$ Frequently mentioned together: as partners Luke v. 10; sent to prepare the Passover Luke xxii. 8; running to the sepulchre John xx. 2-5, See too Acts viii. 10.
dंvéßaıvov] The temple stood probably on Mt Zion, Smith's the 'holy hill' (Ps. ii. 6), to the E. of Jerusalem.
 $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \eta \nu$ : the adj. is often thus added after the noun to make clear or definite some point as to which there might otherwise be doubt, cf. ver. $2 \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \lambda \epsilon \gamma \circ \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu$.

There were three hours of prayer, the 3rd, the 6th, and the 9th the time of the evening sacrifice, cf. Dan. vi. 10; Ps. 1v. 17.
2. kal $\tau$ rs divìp...] A. and R.V. wrongly place a full stop before kal: the sentence is 'they were going up...and a lame man was being carried', cf. i. 10 n .

т $\dot{\eta} v$ 0úpav $\tau \mathfrak{\eta} v . .$.$] Not named elsewhere. It is perhaps$ the gate of Nicanor on the E. side of the outermost court of the temple looking towards Kidron, which Josephus, B. J. after describing the other nine gates overlaid with gold and v. 5 . silver, describes as $\mu i \alpha \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta} \xi \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$ тồ $\nu \epsilon \dot{\omega}$ Kopı $\nu \theta i o v \quad \chi \alpha \lambda \kappa о \hat{v}$,


тov̂ aiteîv] gen. of purpose, or the thing aimed at: very common, cf. ix. 15 тov̂ $\beta a \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \iota, ~ x x . ~ 30 ~ \tau o u ̂ ~ a ̉ \pi o \sigma \pi \hat{\nu} \nu$.
é $\lambda \in \eta \mu \circ \sigma$ v́v $\eta \nu$ ] 'that which is given in pity' (é $\lambda \in o s)$. Hence our word 'alms'; Germ. Almosen.
3. ท่рáтa...] 'in me benefac tibi' is a form of asking alms quoted from the Rabbis.
 'when he marked how', 1 Tim. iv. 16 ย $\pi \epsilon \epsilon \chi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon a v \tau \hat{\psi}$. In classical Gk much more frequently $\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \chi \chi \omega$.
6. ${ }^{\epsilon} v \tau \uparrow \hat{\varphi}$ ỏvó $\mu a \tau \iota \ldots$... 'in the name...': the healing power is in that name, and so by it the power is exercised. So

 10, ix. 27, xvi. 18.


The 'name of Jesus' is a phrase frequent in the Acts. It should be borne in mind that in Hebrew 'name' often does not "mean a definite appellation but denotes office, rank, dignity. The 'Name of God' in the O. T. denotes the Divine Presence or the Divine Majesty, more especially as the object of adoration and praise. To praise the name, to bless the name, to fear the name of God are frequent ex-

Light. ad Phil. ii. 9 . pressions. Gesenius defines the 'name of God' (Thes. p. 1432) as 'Deus quatenus ab hominibus invocatur, celebratur'."

In the Acts the 'name of Jesus' comprehends the idea of His person, power, and dignity as acknowledged to be Messiah and Lord; it sums up the cause which the Apostles advocated; hence such expressions as $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \in \rho ~ \tau o \hat{v}$ ob $\bar{\delta} \mu a \tau$ оs $\dot{\alpha} \tau \iota-$ $\mu a \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$ v. $41, \pi \alpha \theta \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ ix. 16, $\pi \alpha \rho a \delta \epsilon \delta \omega \kappa \delta \sigma \iota \tau$ tàs $\psi v \chi$ às $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \hat{\rho} \rho$

7. тарахр $\eta$ ра] A strong word. 'Parallel to' or 'along with the act', i.e. of Peter's taking him by the hand; 'then and there'. The word occurs ten times in Luke, six times in the Acts, twice in Matt., and nowhere else in N. T.
ai $\left.\beta \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \mathrm{s}\right]$ ] 'things to go upon', 'feet', as in Plat. Tim. 92 s.

тd̀ $\sigma \phi v \delta \rho a ́$ (T. R. $\sigma \phi u \rho a ́) ~ ' a n k l e-b o n e s ', ~ ' t a l i ' . ~ P r o-~$ pric locutus est medicus Lucas. B.
 the lame man leap as a hart'.
' $\sigma \sigma \tau \eta$ ) one single act; $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \pi a ́ \tau \epsilon \iota$, 'kept walking about'.
10. é $\pi \epsilon \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{i} \omega \sigma \sigma \kappa \%$ ] A. V. 'knew'; R. V. rightly 'took knowledge of'. The word indicates the direction of the perceptive powers towards an object, often producing consequent recognition. Cf. iv. 13, xii. 14, xix. 34, xxvii. 39.
$\theta \dot{\alpha} \mu \beta$ ovs] amazement mingled with awe, cf. Luke iv. 36, v. $9 \theta \dot{\alpha} \mu \beta$ os $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon ́ \sigma \chi \epsilon \nu$ aúróv. So often in classical Gk, e.g.

ék $\sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}]$ The condition of one who is no longer in his ordinary state of mind. Like the frequent $\dot{\epsilon} \xi i \sigma \tau a \sigma \theta a \iota$ (cf. ii. 7, 12) it often describes amazement, as here, and Mark v. 42. But x. 10 , xi. 5, xxii. $17=$ ' $a$ trance'.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \tau \hat{\varphi} \sigma \nu \mu \beta$.] $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ with the dat. is very frequently used of that which is the ground or foundation upon which some feeling or act is based. Here the miracle is the ground of their amazement.
 ing on faith as the foundation; iv. $9 \dot{\alpha} \nu a \kappa \rho \iota \nu \dot{\partial} \mu \epsilon \theta a \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{u} \epsilon \rho-$ $\gamma \in \sigma i$, , of the subject-matter of the inquiry; $\lambda a \lambda \epsilon i \nu \quad \in \pi i \quad \tau \hat{\varrho}$
${ }^{6} \nu \delta \mu a \tau \iota$ making the name the basis of the preaching, and v. 28, 40 , xv. 31, xx. 38.
11. kparoûvтos...tòv II.] 'holding', and so naturally with acc. Where the sense of 'winning', 'gaining possession of' prevails the gen. is more common, cf. xxvii. 13,


 temple. It was said to be an original work of Solomon xx. 9. 7. which had survived from the former temple.



12. dंтeкplvato] 'answered', i.e. not any expressed question, but their obvious desire for information, cf. v. 8 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \kappa \rho i \theta \eta$, where Sapphira had probably come desiring information about her husband; cf. too x. 46; Luke iii. 16; and Matt. xi. 25.
$\left.\hat{\eta}_{\mu}^{\mu i v}\right]$ Emphatic by position, and so parallel to ioía: 'Why gaze on us? It is not by our own power...'.

 the inf. (cf. iii. 2 n .) becomes increasingly frequent in later Gk , and the idea of purpose passes often, as here, into that of result : or rather it may be said that the gen. gives the contents of the action described by the verb, cf. xxvii. 1 $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \rho i \theta \eta \tau$ ồ $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ where the gen. gives the contents of the
 $\dot{v} \pi$. gives the contents of the $\gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta$ : 'the determination' was 'to return'), and vii. $19 \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \dot{\alpha} \kappa \omega \sigma \epsilon \ldots$... $\frac{\hat{v}}{\pi \pi o \hat{\epsilon} \nu} \nu$, where the gen. gives the act in which the кג́кшJıs consisted. Cf. also ix. 1 n .
13. © $\theta$ és...] Ex. iii. 6; Matt. xxii. 32; Acts vii. 32. For ò $\theta$. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega \nu$ cf. v. 30 ; 1 Chron. xii. 17.

E $\delta^{\prime}$ gactv] 'glorified', i.e. by means of the miracle just So La. wrought, which was wrought through faith in Jesus (ver. M. 16) and set forth His 'glory'; and this is no cause for wonder, Peter urges, but is in accordance with Jehovah's clear declaration of Jesus as Messiah, as shewn in raising Him from the dead (ver. 15) and receiving Him into heaven (ver. 21).

Tòv $\pi a i ̂ \delta a]$ R. V. 'his Servant', adding in margin 'or Baum. Child', and so throughout the Acts. The phrase 'servant and of Jehovah' is specially applied to Messiah in the second Cheyne, part of Isaiah. Cf. Is. xlii. 1-7, slix. 1-9, lii. 13-liii. Essay t.
12. Cf. too Matt. xii. 18, where Is. xlii. I 'Behold my servant ( $\pi a \hat{i}$ ) whom I have chosen' is referred to Jesus.

The term $\pi a$ îs $\theta$. is also frequently applied to Israel, cf. Luke i. 54 'I $\sigma \rho a \grave{\eta} \lambda$ тauıдs aủrov̂; it is used of David, Luke i. 69; Acts iv. 25. The term for 'Son of God' is vids $\theta \in o v$. . The Apostles do not call themselves $\pi a \hat{i} \hat{\delta} \epsilon s$. but $\delta o \hat{\imath} \lambda o c ~ \theta .$, cf. iv. 29; Tit. i. 1, IIav̂रos $\delta 0 \hat{1}$ los $\theta$.
ípeis $\mu \dot{\text { èv}} . .$.$] Nothing formally answers to \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$. The real



ท่ $\rho v \eta \eta^{\sigma a \sigma \theta \epsilon] ~ ' d e n i e d ', ~ i . ~ e . ~ t h a t ~ h e ~ w a s ~ M e s s i a h, ~ L u k e x x i i i . ~}$
Baum. 2; John xix. 15. ทंpvクंбato is the word used by all four Evangelists of Peter's 'denial'.

крivavtos] Luke xxiii. 13-22.
ékeivov] Not aúrov̂, and so pointing the antithesis between them and him.

 is applied continually to Jehovah in Isaiah, e.g. xliii. 3, Jesus is also called ó óka $\frac{1}{}$ vii. 52 , xxii. 14.

## ävסpa фovéa] Luke xxiii. 18, 19.

 not as a matter of right or justice: it is used accurately here and xxv. 11, xxvii. 24.
 and in margin 'Author'. For ápx $\eta$ yós applied to Jesus cf. v. 31, á $\rho \chi$. каi $\sigma \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \rho a$; Heb. ii. 10 á $\rho \chi$. $\tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i ́ a s ; ~ x i i . ~ 2 ~$


In classical Gk the word is used='founder' of a race (Soph. O. C. 60) or city (Plat. Tim. 21 m ), and also ='a general', Aesch. Ag. 259; Thuc. 1. 132 ' $\mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \omega \nu$ á $\rho \chi$. of Pausanias.

It seems here (and v. 31) rather to mean 'Prince' or 'Leader of life', i.e. he who leads to life and salvation: the idea seems that of a chief who leads his followers to win life. The words are in strong contrast to $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \kappa \tau \epsilon \dot{\nu} \alpha \tau \epsilon$.
 the objective gen. The name of Jesus is that to which their belief is directed: they believe that He is Messiah and so has power to heal. Observe the emphatic repetition of $\pi i \sigma \pi / s$ and $\tau \grave{\partial}$ ठ̈ $\nu о \mu a$.
$\dot{\eta} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \sigma \tau$ тs $\mathfrak{\eta} \delta \iota^{\prime}$ avंтoû] 'the faith which comes through Him': Jesus gives the faith, and is also the object of it.
 $\tau \in \lambda \omega ิ$. таút $\boldsymbol{\tau} v$ : deictic.
17. кalv仑ิv] Favourite particles of transition in speeches in the Acts; cf. vii. 34, x. 5, xxii. 16. So too кal $\tau \dot{\alpha} \nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ iv. $29, \mathrm{v} .38$, xx. 32, xxvii. 22 . кai $\nu \hat{v} \nu$, ijoú not only connects a new statement with what precedes, but gives marked emphasis to it, cf. xiii. 11, xx. 22.
 ii. 29 n. Appellatio comitatis et misericordiae plena. B.
katà äүvotav] Luke xxiii. 34.
18. $\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \pi$.] Luke xxiv. 27; Acts x. 43. That Jesus is the Messiah, to whom all prophecy points, is the argument of all speeches addressed to Jews in the Acts.
ma0єîv т $\dot{\partial} v$ Xpıoròv] 'that the Messiah should suffer'; cf. xvii. 3 and xxvi. 23, $\epsilon i \pi a \theta \eta \tau \delta$ s ó $\chi \rho$. To Peter's hearers the words would be an immense paradox. They looked for Cheyne, a triumphant Messiah : a crucified Messiah was an idea they could not reconcile with their hopes, a 'stumblingblock' as Essay 5. Paul calls it 1 Cor. i. 23 Xpıбтò $\begin{gathered} \\ \sigma \\ \end{gathered}$ $\sigma \kappa a ́ \nu \delta a \lambda o \nu$. Cf. too Peter's own use of $\tau$ à $\tau 0 \hat{v} \mathrm{X} \rho$. тäŋ́ $\mu a \tau a$ 1 Pet. iv. 13, v. 1. In all these cases no version can reproduce the force the words originally had.
19. $\left.\mu \in \tau \alpha \nu \circ \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \tau \epsilon \ldots\right]$ Change of mind is to produce change of attitude. They are to 'turn' from $\sin$ (cf. ver. 26) and look 'towards (i.e. keep before them as their object) the

 xxvi. 18; absolutely xxviii. 27; Luke xxii. 32.
' $\xi$ ga入ı $\phi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota]$ 'blotted out', and so commonly in classical Gk, e.g. Dem. 791, $12 \epsilon \xi \xi \lambda \dot{\xi} \lambda \iota \pi \tau \alpha \iota \tau$ ò $\partial \phi \lambda \eta \mu \alpha$. Lit. $=$ 'smear out', of obliterating the writing on a wax tablet.
20. ö $\pi \omega$ s åv...] 'in order that so'; R.V. 'that so'. ö $\pi \omega$ s Jelf, $G k$ ${ }_{\alpha} \nu \nu$ differs from ö $\pi \omega \omega$ in making the end spoken of somewhat Gram. more conditional or dependent upon something else happening; here it gives the sense 'repent that so (i.e. when you have repented) times \&c.' The words convey the idea that the 'times of refreshing' can only follow the repentance.

Cf. carefully xv. 17, and Luke ii. 35 ö $\pi \omega$ s ä̀ $\dot{\alpha}$ ãока$\lambda \nu \phi \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$.
kalpol divaษ.] These words are defined by the words which follow ( $\kappa$ al $\dot{a} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \epsilon i \lambda \eta \ldots$ ) as referring to the second coming of Messiah in glory. This was the тapáк $\lambda \boldsymbol{\eta} \iota \varsigma$ тoû ${ }^{-}$I $\sigma \rho a \eta$ ทn $A$ Luke ii. 25.
 'elected') for you as Messiah, even Jesus'. For $\pi \rho \circ \chi \in \iota-$ $\rho l \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ cf. xxii. 14, xxvi. 16.

Text אBDEP.
T.R. reads'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{\nu} \mathrm{X} \rho$.-an excellent specimen of ignorant correction.
21. oủpavòv $\mu \mathrm{e} v$ ] The acc. before the verb. Take away $\delta \epsilon i ̂$, and the statement is ôv oủpavòs $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \epsilon_{\xi} \xi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota . .$. Nothing formally answers to $\mu \dot{\nu} \nu$, but the real antithesis is clear. Jesus shall remain in heaven 'until the restoration of all things', then He shall return in glory to earth.
 The phrase describes the period of Messiah's reign in glory,
 to the return from captivity, and by Philo to the restitution of inheritances in the year of jubilee'. The phrase 'restoration of all things' seems to have been used specially with reference to the Messianic time, cf. Matt. xvii. 11 ' $1 \lambda$ Las $\mu \dot{\nu} \nu$

$\bar{\omega} \nu$ ] with $\chi$ ро́ $\nu \omega \nu$; the words $\dot{\alpha} \pi о к а \tau . \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ form a single idea, and if the reference were to them $\hat{\eta}^{s}$ would be needed.

סLà ovópaтos] as the channel or instrument through which God's words were communicated.
22. M $\omega v \sigma \hat{\eta} s \mu \hat{\mathrm{v}}$ ] Peter justifies his reference to 'all the prophets' (1) by quoting from Moses, the greatest of them, (2) by referring to 'the prophets from Samuel' who also all (кai $\pi \alpha \dot{\prime} \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ) foretold Messiah. The same division into 'Moses' and 'all the prophets' is made xxvi. 22; Luke xxiv. 27.
$\pi \rho \circ \phi \eta ; \tau \eta \nu$ ] Quoted again vii. 37; taken with slight variations from LXX. Deut. xviii. 15-19. For $\pi \rho \circ \phi \dot{\eta}\ulcorner\eta \nu$ cf. xi. 27 n .
©́s $\epsilon^{\prime} \mu \epsilon$ '] A. and R.V. 'like me', but R.V. in margin 'as he raised up me', which is the only rendering the Gk will bear.

For Moses as a type of Christ cf. Stephen's speech chap. vii. The Jews regarded him as the greatest of the prophets (cf. Deut. xxxiv. 10), with whom alone 'the Lord spake face to face, as a man speaketh to his friend', Ex. xxxiii. 11.
av่тồ] Emphatic. வ்кои́ $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta$, 'hear' with the combined idea of 'hearken', 'obey', cf. iv. 19 өєov̂ áкои́єเข.
 $\epsilon \xi$ aùrov, 'I will require it of him', i.e. exact punishment from him. For this Peter substitutes a phrase very common M. (e.g. Gen. xvii. 14) in LXX. 'that soul shall be cut off
( $\epsilon \xi 0 \lambda \epsilon \theta \rho$.) from his people', which indicates (1) separation from among the chosen people, (2) sentence of death.
24. aitò $\Sigma$. kal т $\hat{\nu}$ кa0.] 'from $S$, and those that succeeded him', a slightly inaccurate but very clear expression $=$ 'all the series of prophets beginning with S.' For the absence of prophecy before Samuel cf. 1 Sam. iii. 1: it is in his time that we first hear of the 'schools of the prophets'.
 words marks the argument. 'You are the...therefore to you first....'

Sıa0́ńkๆs] Cf. vii. 8n. For the covenant cf. Gen. xii. $1-3$. The quotation is from Gen. xxii. 18. In Gal. iii. 16 the words $\tau \hat{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \mu a \tau l$ $\sigma o v$ are definitely referred to Christ. 'In LightHim the race was summed up. In Him it fulfilled its pur- foot, $\begin{aligned} & \text { ad loc. }\end{aligned}$ pose and became a blessing to the whole earth'.
26. триิтov] Praevium indicium de vocatione gentzum. B. It must not however be supposed that Peter as yet had a complete idea of the universality of Messiah's kingdom. Like the Jews generally he believed that other nations would share its blessings, but he was as yet ignorant that they could do so otherwise than by accepting Judaism.
áva $\sigma \tau \mathfrak{\eta} \sigma a s]$ as in ver. 22.
c̀v $\tau \hat{\omega} . . . .$. ] 'the turning away each of you' is that in which the blessing has its place of action.

## CHAPTER IV.

1. oi cipx.] v. 24 n .
o $\sigma$ трar. тоv̂ iєpov̂] The temple was guarded by 24 bands of Levites, one band being on duty at a time. The commanders of these bands are the $\sigma \tau \rho a r \eta \gamma o i$ of Luke xxii, 4 roîs à $\rho \chi \iota \in \rho \in \hat{v} \sigma \iota$ каi $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma 0 i ̂ s$, and some think that $\dot{\delta}$ o $\sigma \rho a \tau$. here $=$ 'the commander of the band then on duty', but from the prominent position assigned him v. 24 it is perhaps better to regard him as the captain of the whole body.
kal oi $\Sigma \alpha \delta \delta$.] Naturally 'sore troubled', because they denied a resurrection, cf. Matt. xxii. 23 इa $\delta \delta$. $\lambda \in ́ \gamma o \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ \mu \eta े ~$ єìvaı ává $\sigma \tau a \sigma \iota \nu$; Acts xxiii. 8. They were the opponents of the Pharisees (1) as denying that an oral law had been given to Moses in addition to the written law, (2) as denying a resurrection because it is not mentioned in the written law.
2. ${ }^{\text {en }} v \tau \hat{\omega}$ ' $I \eta \sigma$.] 'in', i.e. in the person, or in the case of Jesus. Unico exemplo refutabantur penitus. B.
3. i $\left.\gamma \in \in \eta^{\prime} \theta \eta\right]$ i.e. the total number of believers was made by this addition about 5000 .
4. $\left.\sigma v v^{\theta}{ }^{\theta} \hat{\eta} v a l . ..\right]$ i.e. a meeting of the Sanhedrin. Little is known of it, except that it numbered 70 or 72 members. The Rabbis referred its institution to Numb. xi. 16, 17, but its Gk name ( $\sigma v \nu \delta \delta \rho \iota o \nu$ ) shews its late origin. It may be the same as the $\gamma \in p o v \sigma i \alpha \tau \hat{\nu} \nu$ 'Iov $\delta a i \omega \nu 2$ Macc. i. 10 , iv. 44 , xi. 27.

Before it Jesus was brought, cf. Matt. xxvi. 3; Mark xiv. 53 , and Luke xxii. 66, where it is also called $\tau \grave{o j} \pi \rho \in \sigma \beta u \tau \epsilon ́ \rho t o \nu$ $\tau 0 \hat{v} \lambda \alpha o \hat{\text {; }}$; its members are described as 'chief priests' ( $=0$ i dip $\rho \subset \nu \tau \epsilon s$ here), 'elders' and 'scribes'-qui conspicui erant imperio, consilio, doctrina. B.

тov̀s $\gamma \rho a \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon i s]$ Sopherim, 'writers'. A body of men who rise into importance during the Captivity, probably owing to the growing necessity of carefully preserving the sacred writings. Ezra (vii. 12) was 'a scribe of the law of the God of heaven'. Gradually, as Hebrew ceased to be the language of daily life, they became the expounders of scripture. The comments and expositions of their great teachers or 'Rabbis' were handed down by 'tradition', and began to be treated as more authoritative than the original text, the plain sense of which they frequently altered, cf. Matt. xxiii. These 'traditions' were, subsequently to the Christian era, embodied in the Talmud. At this time the scribes were practically the religious teachers of the Jews.
6. kal"Avvas] R.V. rightly supplies 'was there'. He was high-priest A.D. 7-14, and was then deposed by the Roman governor. Five of his sons became high-priests. Caiaphas was his son-in-law and legally high-priest at this time, having held the office A.D. 25-37. The influence of Hannas was clearly great among the Jews: Jesus was led away 'to Hannas first', John xviii. 13; he was to them still 'the high-priest' though no longer legally so: Luke (iii. 2) mentions both 'Hannas and Caiaphas' as being the highpriests, the one being so in the opinion of the Jews, the other by Roman law.

Of John and Alexander nothing is known, but no doubt they were prominent members of the 'kindred of Hannas' ( $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\dot{\epsilon}} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ оs $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \iota \epsilon \rho a \tau \iota \kappa o ́ \nu$ ), which is clearly described as forming a considerable portion of the Sanhedrin.
H. w .
7. '̇v $\tau \hat{\varphi} \mu \dot{\mu} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \omega]$ The council sat in a semicircle.
év $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ oiac...] Cf. iii. 6 n. Luke represents the Sanhedrin as ignorant, or ignoring, that they were disciples of Jesus, cf. ver. 13. Both the use of $\pi o i o s$ and the position of $\dot{v} \mu \in \hat{i} \varepsilon$ mark contempt.
8. $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \theta \in l s \pi v$. áyiov] Cf. Luke xii. 11, 12. See too xix. 1 n .
9. $\epsilon$ i] 'if', used with great rhetorical skill here, instead M. of $\epsilon \pi \epsilon i$ : ‘if...for a good deed... we are being tried'.
 enquiry to see whether an action would lie. Here, xii. 19, and Luke xxiii. 14, of examination by a judge.
 factors.

Ev $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ [vc] 'wherein' or 'in whom', i.e. in what or in whom is the healing power which has made him whole, cf. iii. 6 n . and xi. $14 \dot{\rho} \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau \alpha$ èv oís $\sigma \omega \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta$; Soph. Ajax 519 ध̇ $\nu$

$\sigma \epsilon \sigma \omega \sigma \tau a l]$ The word can bear a double meaning, cf. ver. $12 \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a$ and $\sigma \omega \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$. The bodily healing is the work of Him, who also heals the soul. Cf. the Collect for St Luke's Day, 'that by the wholesome medicine of the doctrine delivered by him, all the diseases of our souls may be healed'; Matt. i. 21 'Thou shalt call his name Jesus, for he shall save (av́zòs $\sigma \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ ) his people from their sins'.
10. $\left.\delta v \ldots \delta{ }^{\circ} \cdot ..\right]$ The repeated word emphasizes the antithesis.

Ėv rov́re] After ôv...ôv and before oũ ginning of the next sentence, clearly masculine $=$ 'in Him'.
 סouov̂vtєs, oitos є́ $\gamma \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} \theta \eta$ єis кє $\phi$. $\gamma \omega \nu$ ias. Jesus had used this quotation of Himself Matt. xxi. 42 ; cf. 1 Pet. ii. 7. The 'head of the corner' was the highest corner-stone, of great importance in supporting the roof.
12. ทi $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho[a]$ Absolutely, 'the salvation', i.e. the promised salvation which Messiah is to bring, cf. Mal. iv. 2.
 Salvation is not to be found 'in any besides, for indeed there does not even exist a second name...'.
13. mapp ${ }^{\text {(aiav] 'frank', 'free', 'fearless speech', cf. }}$ vv. 29, 31. $\pi a \rho \rho \eta \sigma \iota a ́ \zeta \in \sigma \theta a$ is also frequently used of the preaching of the Apostles, cf. ix. 27, 28, xiii. 46, xiv. 3.
iठıผ̂tal] A. and R.V. 'ignorant', which conveys a wrong idea. The word in classical $\mathrm{Gk}=(1)$ a private person, (2) one who is without special or professional knowledge of a subject, 'a layman', e.g. Thuc. in. 48. That it does not F. M. mean 'ignorant' cf. Plat. Legg. $830 \mathrm{~A} \dot{\alpha} \nu \partial \bar{\rho} \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \circ \phi \hat{\omega} \nu, i \delta \iota \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Lat. $\tau \epsilon \kappa$ каl $\pi о \iota \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$. Here, like $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \rho \dot{\alpha}, \mu \mu \alpha \tau o s$, it describes men who
had never studied in the rabbinic schools, and had no special knowledge of rabbinic teaching.
$\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \gamma(\nu \omega \sigma \kappa \circ v$ ] 'began to take notice of them', 'began to recognize who they were'.
16. öт $\llcorner\mu \dot{\imath} \nu$ ] answered by $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ ver. 17.
$\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau o ̀ v]$ A. and R.V. 'notable'. $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \sigma \frac{\grave{\nu} \nu}{}$ is opposed to $\delta 0 \xi a \sigma \tau o \nu \nu$ (e.g. Plat. Rep. 479 D ), as that which is the object of knouledge to that which is the object of opinion. The healing was a fact about which definite knowledge was attainable; it was not a matter of mere conjecture.
17. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \omega \dot{\mu} \epsilon \theta a \ldots \mu \eta \kappa \in ́ \tau \iota \lambda a \lambda \epsilon i v]$ 'Let us threaten

Text NABD. them', i.e. 'order them with threats, no longer...'. T. R. has $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \iota \lambda \hat{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \iota \lambda \eta \sigma \omega \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \theta a$ 'straitly threaten'.

тои́тఱ] non dignantur appellare nomen Iesu, cf. v. 28. B. It is "an instance of that avoidance of the name of Christ,
F. which makes the Talmud refer to Him most frequently as Pelonî - 'so and so'."

 quent in O.T. The phrase expresses a belief in the immediate presence of Jehovah as viewing, judging, or attesting something; cf. vii. 46 , viii. 21 , x. 33 ; hence it is used in
 $\psi \in u ́ \delta o \mu a \iota$, and adjurations, e.g. 2 Tim. ii. 14 бıa $\alpha \rho \tau \dot{v} \rho o \mu a \iota$ $\epsilon \downarrow . \tau o \hat{v}$ кvplov. Here that which is 'just in the sight of God' is appealed to as something higher than human justice.
II. With Peter's answer cf. Socrates' words (Plato Apol. 17),
 $\delta \epsilon \tau \hat{\varphi} \theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi} \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \hat{\eta} \dot{v} \mu \hat{i} \nu$.
 are explanatory of the positive part of $\mu \eta \delta \grave{\iota} \nu$ : 'not finding anything, i.e. any means of punishing them...', cf. xxii. 30 n .

They asked themselves $\pi \hat{\omega} s \kappa 0 \lambda a \sigma \omega \hat{\mu} \epsilon \theta a \alpha$ átoús; to that question they could find no answer 'owing to the people', i.e. because they feared an outbreak of indignation.
24. opoovurasov] A strong word, ' with one impulse', 'with united eagerness': cf. v. 12, vii. 57, xv. 25. It is frequent in the Acts, but only used once elsewhere in N.T.
M. Eimav] 'they said'. The words which follow are clearly l:aum. not a general and fixed form of prayer, but refer definitely to the special circumstances. We may therefore suppose them to be uttered by some one Apostle, the rest of those present assenting to them, and possibly audibly joining in the well-known words of the Psalm.
$\Delta \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \pi$ tota $]$ The word seems to imply the ascription of supreme power and authority. It describes the relation of a master to servants or slaves, cf. סoúlous ver. 29, Luke ii. $29 \nu u ̂ \nu$ ámo入úєıs tòv $\delta o u ̂ \lambda o ́ v ~ \sigma o v, ~ \delta e ́ ́ \sigma \pi о т а ; ~ 2 ~ P e t . ~ i i . ~ 1 ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~$ aropáбavтa aúroùs. $\delta \in \sigma \pi o ́ t \eta \nu ~ a ̀ \rho \nu o u ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o l . ~ I t ~ i s ~ o n l y ~ u s e d ~$ elsewhere of God in Jude 4 and Rev. vi. 10.
 $\nu \grave{\nu} \nu \alpha i \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$, and for the full phrase Ex. xx. 11.
25. ó tov̂ $\pi a \tau \rho \dot{s}$...] T.R. omits $\tau 0 \hat{u} \pi a \tau \rho \grave{s} \dot{\eta} \mu \omega ̂ \nu$ and $\pi \nu . \dot{a} \gamma i o v$. The text given 'doubtless contains a primitive Westerror'. 'A confusion of lines ending successively with $\Delta \left\lvert\, d \begin{gathered}\text { cott and } \\ \text { Hort }\end{gathered}\right.$ $\overline{\Delta d \Delta} \Delta \mid \Delta$ may have brought $\pi \nu$. áyiov too high up, and Westcaused the loss of one $\delta \iota a^{\prime}$, a view supported by R.V. which cott. gives 'by the Holy Ghost by the mouth of...'.
$\Delta$ avelס] Throughout the Acts the Psalms are spoken of as written by David, in accordance with the common usage of speaking of them as 'the Psalms of David', he having been the great psalmist and the author of very many of them.

The reference is to Ps. ii. 1.
'ффpúa̧̧av] (1) 'to neigh eagerly' or 'violently' of horses, (2) 'to be proud', 'haughty'.
$\left.{ }^{\prime} \theta v \eta \ldots\right]$ The explanation is given in ver. 27. $\quad{ }^{\prime} \theta \nu \eta=$ the Romans; $\lambda$ aoi $=$ the Jews (commonly called in LXX. o $\lambda$ aós, the plural in ver. 27 perhaps referring to the 12 tribes); $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i s=$ Herod; ${ }^{2} \rho \chi{ }^{2} \nu \tau \epsilon s=$ Pilate.

The distinction between $\epsilon \theta \nu \eta=$ 'the nations', gentes, all non-Jewish peoples, 'Gentiles', and $\lambda$ aós = 'the people', 'the chosen people', must be most carefully noticed in the Acts. Cf. the use of populus ='the Roman people' opposed to gentes Lucan Phars. 1. 82, 83.
 (Luke xxiii. 12) mentions that in this matter Herod and Pilate 'were made friends together, for before they were at enmity'.

Herod Antipas was son of Herod the Great and tetrarch of Galilee and Peraea (xiii. 1). See Mark vi. 14-28; Luke iii. 19,20 , ix. 7 , xiii. 31,32 . He was subsequently persuaded by his wife Herodias to go to Rome to obtain the title of king, but was opposed by his nephew Herod Agrippa, who had great influence with Caligula, and banished to Lugdunum a.d. 39. He died in exile.

Pontius Pilatus was appointed A.D. 25 sixth procurator of Judaea, which on the deposition of Archelaus, A.D. 6, had been attached to the province of Syria. A.D. 36 he was sent to Rome by Vitellius, governor of Syria, to answer a
charge brought against him by the Samaritans. His name Pontius suggests a connection with the great Samnite family of the Pontii, and Pilatus is perhaps =pileatus ('wearing the pileus' or cap worn by manumitted slaves) and so may indicate that he was a libertinus.
§v E'Xpıras] i.e. at His baptism, cf. ii. 22 n. § 2.
28. $\pi 0 เ \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \mathrm{l}$ ] Inf. of purpose. The sentence is somewhat concisely put: they came together to bring about the death of Jesus, and so (unwittingly) 'to do all that thy hand...', cf. ii. 23 n.
29. $\quad \ell \pi / \delta \epsilon]$ occurs only twice in N.T., but is specially used in classical Greek of the gods: it signifies to 'regard with attention', either with a view to bless (cf. Luke i. 25 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \hat{i} \delta \epsilon \nu)$ or to punish. Cf. Hom. Od. xvif. $487 \theta \epsilon o l \ldots a \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega}-$

 thou stretchest forth'. Better 'in stretching out' (or, as A.V. 'by'); God gives His protection in stretching out His arm.

The phrase 'with a stretched-out arm', $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \beta \rho a x i o v t$ $\dot{v} \psi \eta \lambda \hat{\psi}$, is common in O.T., e.g. Ex. vi. 6; Ps. exxxvi. 12


кal $\sigma \eta \mu \in \hat{a} a . . . \gamma[\nu \in \sigma \theta a \iota]$ Subordinate to $\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \nu \hat{\varphi}$, and so closely connected with $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \chi$. $̇$ кктєiveıv: the miracles are the visible proof of the outstretched arm. A. and R.V. put a stop after $\tau a \sigma \iota \nu$ and make $\gamma i \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ depend on $\delta \dot{s} s$.
31. ' $\sigma \alpha \lambda \epsilon v \dot{\theta} \eta$ ] Used of the effect of an earthquake xvi. 26: for 'earthquake' as a sign of the Divine Presence cf.
 See too Virg. Aen. iri. 90.
 the fulfilment of their prayer in the very words of the prayer ver. 29 . Note the emphatic position of $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \pi a \rho \rho \eta$ oias, and cf. xxviii. 31.
32. kapठía kal $\left.\psi v \times \eta \eta^{\mu} \mu \mathrm{i}\right]$ in credendis et agendis. Egregius character. B. So too others distinguish between карঠia, the seat of thought and intelligence, and $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$, the seat of the active affections and impulses. Such distinctions are however hard to maintain, cf. 1 Chron. xii. 38 'the rest of Israel were of one heart ( $\psi v \chi \grave{\eta} \mu i a)$ to make David king'; 2 Chron. xxx. 12 'the hand of God was to give them one heart ( $\kappa \alpha \rho \delta i a \nu \mu i \alpha \nu)$ to do the commandments'.

The expression, with emphatic fulness, describes complete unanimity of thought and feeling, resulting naturally, and not as a matter of enforced rule, in their considering
all believers as brothers, who could have no separate interests in heaven or on earth.
ou'EĖ cis] Much stronger than oúocis. For the fact cf. ii. 44 n .
' $\quad$ є $\epsilon \epsilon \tau$ ] 'said', i.e. 'reckoned' or 'considered'.
33. ade $\pi$ (Sovv] 'gave', A. and R.V. inadequately. The word indicates 'giving back', 'duly delivering' something entrusted to you. It is used for 'paying' a debt, Matt. xviii. 25 ; Luke vii. 42, for 'duly rendering' an account, Matt. xii. 36. The 'witness of the resurrection' was the special charge entrusted to the Apostles: they were bound 'duly to deliver' it.
 $\tau 0 \hat{v} \kappa v \rho$. 'I $\eta \sigma$. In the text the second gen. explains and defines the first: their testimony was 'of the Lord Jesus' that is, of 'his resurrection'.

Xápıs] Clearly=gratia Dei, as vi. 8 and St Paul passim. The proof of its presence is given in the next words 'For none was in want'. In the similar summary ii. $47 \chi$ ápos is definitely described as 'favour with the people', but that cannot affect it here, where it is used absolutely.
35. Tapà тov̀s $\pi$ ó $\left.\delta a_{s}\right]$ To be taken literally, for teachers among the Jews (cf. xxii. 3 n .) and magistrates among the Romans sat on a raised seat, cf. Cic. pro Flacco c. 28 ante Quoted pedes praetoris in foro expensum est auri pondo centum. At by A. the same time the words convey the ideas of submission and deference.
36. 'I $\omega \sigma \dot{\eta} \phi]$ T. R.' $I \omega \sigma \hat{\eta} s$. The case of Joseph Barnabas is specially mentioned because of the important position Barnabas subsequently takes in the Acts, but the fact of its being mentioned at all shews that there can have been no absolute rule as to the sale of property.

For $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \pi \iota \kappa \lambda \eta \theta$ єís cf. i. 23 n.
Yiòs Пapak $\lambda$ r' $\sigma \epsilon \omega$ s] A. V. 'son of consolation'; R. V. more accurately 'son of exhortation'. The sense of 'encourage', 'exhort' is certainly the first and most usual sense of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ and $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ́ \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota s$. The phrase here clearly describes one who was remarkable in speaking for his power of 'encouraging', 'cheering', or 'exhorting'; cf. xi. 23 where $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda_{\epsilon!}$ ' exhorted' is used of Barnabas, and 1 Cor. xiv. 3, where it is said that a preacher speaketh either 'instruction' (oiкодо $\eta^{\prime} \nu$ ) or 'exhortation' ( $\left.\pi а р а ́ к \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu\right)$ or ' consolation' ( $\pi a \rho a \mu v \theta i a \nu)$.
$\pi \alpha \rho a ́ \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma$ เs is also used ix. 31, xiii. 15, xv. 31, and both A. and R.V. give three different renderings, viz. 'comfort', 'exhortation' and 'consolation', though in all three cases the word 'encouragement' would be suitable. In 2 Cor. i. 3-7 the sense of 'encouragement' is clearly marked, e.g. тарака入єîv тov̀s $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \quad \theta \lambda i \psi \epsilon$. In Luke ii. 25
 couragement of Israel', i.e. by the coming of Messiah.

The verb $\pi а р а к а \lambda \epsilon i \nu ~ i s ~ v e r y ~ f r e q u e n t ~ i n ~ t h e ~ A c t s ~ a n d ~ d ~$ means either to 'invite', ' beseech', e.g. xvi. 9 or 'to encourage', e.g. xx. 12.

тара́клךбıs ubi desides excitat est hortatio, ubi tristitiae medetur est solatium. B.
A. II.
$\Lambda \in v \in[\tau \eta \mathrm{~s}]$ Levites were not allowed to hold land (Numb. xviii. 20), but the Mosaic laws about the division of the land seem to have been neglected after the Captivity.

 phrase indicating their place of birth.

## CHAPTER V.

1. 'Avavias] The Hebrew name of Shadrach (Dan. i. 6, iii. 13), found in the Benedicite,$=$ 'God is gracious'. $\Sigma a \pi \phi \epsilon i \rho \eta$ probably = 'beautiful'.
2. évoc申lбaro] 'set apart', not to be handed over with the rest. The same word is used of Achan taking for himself some of the dedicated spoil of Jericho, cf. Josh. vii. 1, where 'committed a trespass in the accursed thing' is in

3. © Laravâs] A Hebrew word='adversary'. For
 and John viii. 44 'he is a liar and the father of it'.

The question addressed to Ananias implies that he might have resisted.
$\psi \in v ́ \sigma a \sigma \theta a i ́ \sigma \epsilon]$ 'so that thou shouldest cheat'; cf. ver. 21 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \in \tau \tau \epsilon \lambda a \nu . . . \dot{\alpha} \chi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota ~ a v ่ \tau o u ́ s$.
 in $M$. 'to cheat' or 'deceive a person'; $\psi . \tau \iota \nu i$ is 'to lie' or ' cheat in the presence of a person', suggesting the idea of an insult or outrage against him.

тò $\pi v \in \hat{\imath} \mu a$ тò äץ.] i. e. as present in the Apostles. The Holy Spirit had been given them to guide them 'into all
truth' (John xvi. 13); an attempt to deceive them is an attempt to deceive Him, or, as in ver. 9, 'to make trial' of Him.
4. É $\xi$ ovoiq] i. 7 n .
 The form of question expresses some astonishment or indignation.
5. $\left.{ }^{\prime} \xi \in \in ́ \psi v \xi_{\xi} \in \nu\right]$ Only here and xii. 23 in N. T., apparently connoting a violent, not peaceful death. Classical Gk has $\dot{\alpha} \pi \boldsymbol{q}^{\psi} \mathbf{v}_{\chi} \boldsymbol{\omega}$ animam agere.
kal é $\gamma \in ́ v \epsilon \tau 0$ о́́ßos...] Emphatically repeated ver. 11.
toùs dkov́ovtas] Probably as at ver. 11 'those who heard of it': had the phrase referred only to those present we should expect 'those who saw it'.
6. oi $\nu \epsilon \omega \dot{\tau} \epsilon \rho \circ]=$ oi $\nu є a \nu i \sigma \kappa \circ \iota$ ver. 10 , the younger mem. bers of the congregation.
$\sigma v \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda a v]$ A. V. 'wound him up', R.V. 'wrapped him round'. The word means 'draw together', 'pack up', and here describes the 'putting together' of the extended limbs and 'wrapping up' of the body for carrying it out. The regular word for 'arranging' a corpse for burial is $\pi \epsilon \rho เ \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, compono.
' $\xi \in \in \in ́ \gamma \kappa \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ] ' having carried him out', a regular word in connection with burial, which with the Jews, as with the Greeks and Romans, took place outside the city walls-a rule founded partly on a reasonable regard for health, partly on fear of ceremonial defilement (Numb. xix. 11).
 -an interval of about three hours-and his wife came in'. We should say 'it happened that'.

That $\delta \iota \dot{a} \sigma \tau \eta \mu a$ is not the nom. to $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \epsilon \tau о$ but parentheti-


8. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \mathrm{kp}(\theta \eta]$ iii. 12 n . Peter answers her expectant looks with a question.
 'thou'.
9. oi $\pi o ́ \delta \epsilon \mathrm{~s}]$ A dramatic form of expression, cf. Is. lii. 7 'How beautiful upon the mountains are the feet of him...'. Alford remarks that the young men were probably barefooted and would not be heard coming.
11. т $\boldsymbol{\eta} v$ ékк $\eta \boldsymbol{i}$ iav] Here first used in the Acts of the 'assembly of believers'. The word is only found twice in
the Gospels: Matt. xvi. 18 'I will build my church', and xviii. 17. It was however a well-known term for the 'congregation' of Israel, cf. vii. 38 n . In the Acts it is used of any ' assembly', as xix. 32, but usually of the body of believers in any one place or town.

12-16. A brief description of the state of the Church (viz. rapid growth and increasing influence due to the miracles wrought by the Apostles), introduced to explain the strong and decisive action of the high-priest and rulers described ver. 17.

That this is the connection is clear from the use of the imperfect tense vv. 12-16, contrasted with the dramatic àvactás of ver. 17 and subsequent aorists. The imperfects describe a state of things during a period of some duration: the aorists express the single action which resulted from that state of things.

The paragraph describes,
(1) The miracles wrought by the Apostles.
(2) The gathering of all believers in Solomon's porch.
(3) ${ }^{1}$ The fact that, though none of the rest (i.e. the priests and rulers) dared to join them, yet the people magnified them.
(4) The great increase of believers, naturally resulting ( $\omega \sigma \pi \tau)$ in a great public manifestation, viz. the placing sick folk in the streets by the inhabitants of Jerusalem and even the bringing them in great numbers from neighbouring cities.

It was this public manifestation which at last roused the ' envy' of the rulers.
12. тávтєs] i.e. all the believers, cf. ii. 1.

13. $\left.\tau \hat{\omega} v \delta \hat{\varepsilon} \lambda_{0} \iota \pi \omega \hat{v}\right] \delta \epsilon \in$ is not adversative, but merely a particle of transition here. So too $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \delta \hat{\varepsilon}$, and $\sigma v \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \rho \chi \in \tau \circ$ $\delta e ́$ ver. 16.
w. $\quad \lambda o เ \pi \omega \hat{\omega}$ is not opposed to the believers mentioned before; but to $\lambda a$ aós which follows. The opposition of the rulers and the $\lambda a b$ 's has already been referred to iv. 21. Others, who contrast $\lambda o \kappa \pi \hat{\omega} \nu$ with $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s$ and make it $=$ 'nonbelievers', are driven to render ко八л $\hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta a \iota$ 'obtrude on them', 'interfere with them', whereas it always denotes close friendly intercourse, cf. ix. 26, x. 28, xvii. 34.
15. $\pi \lambda a r \epsilon[a s]$ sc. ojoús.

[^7]kpaßárc $\omega \geqslant]$ grabatus, said to be a Macedonian word= 'a camp-bed'.
 struction in the text is that which is common with ö $\pi \omega$ s in classical Greek.
$\kappa \grave{a} \nu=\kappa a i \notin \dot{d} \nu$; the sentence fully expressed would be, 'that the shadow-even if only the shadow-of Peter...',= 'that at any rate the shadow...'.
16. oxx ${ }^{2}$ ovpévovs] The same word as 'vexed'; the root carrius. expresses 'restless movement'.
17. duavTds A pictorial word representing the commencement of vigorous action. It is frequent in Luke and the Acts and is sometimes to be taken literally, e. g. ver. 34, ix. 11 àváa $\tau \alpha$ торє́vं $\eta \tau \iota$, sometimes, as here, metaphorically,
 àvacràs $\beta$ ántıoal; in both cases it vividly depicts action. The similar use of $\dot{\alpha} \dot{\nu} \epsilon \tau \eta \nu$ is also very common.
$\left.\pi \alpha^{2} v \tau \epsilon \mathrm{E}\right]$ i.e. those mentioned iv. 6 and other Sadducees.
âpeढ ls$]=(1)$ ' $a$ choosing', (2) ' $a$ set of philosophic or religious principles chosen', (3) as here, 'those who have so chosen certain principles', 'a school', 'a sect'. It is applied to the Pharisees, xv. 5, xxvi. 5. The Christians were regarded as 'a sect' by the Jews, xxiv. 14, xxviii. 22. The word does not in itself imply condemnation as 'heresy' does, but a bad sense naturally soon attaches itself to the word as implying division and disunion, cf. its use by Tertullus xxiv. 5 and Paul's objection to the word xxiv. 14.

ఢŋं $\lambda o v]$ A.V. 'indignation', R. V. 'jealousy'. The word (from $\zeta \epsilon \omega$ ) indicates 'eager action', and according to the context bears a bad sense $=$ 'jealousy', or a good sense $=$ 'emulation'.
18. ${ }^{\text {è } \nu ~ \tau \eta \rho . ~} \delta \eta \mu \circ \sigma[a]$ ] 'in the public prison': not as A.V. 'the common prison', giving a somewhat wrong idea. Cf. Thuc. v. 18 тo $\delta \eta \mu \delta \sigma \iota \circ$.
20. $\sigma$ тa0́v́ves] cf. ii. 14 n . The word is not only pictorial but suggests the idea of firmness. Cf. too the emphatic clearness of $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} l_{\epsilon \rho} \hat{\varphi}$ and $\pi \alpha \dot{d} \tau \alpha$.
$\tau \eta ̂ s ~ \zeta \omega \eta ̂ s ~ \tau a v ́ \tau \eta s]$ Cf. xiii. 26 ó $\lambda \delta ́ \gamma o s \tau \eta ̂ s \sigma \omega \tau \eta p l a s ~ \tau a u ́ \tau \eta s . ~$ 'This life', 'this salvation'= the life, the salvation, which Jesus came to give and which it is the duty of the Apostles to preach. Here the word 'life' suggests an antithesis to the denial by the Sadducees of a life after death.
21. incò tòv oैp $\theta_{\rho o v]}$ sub lucem.
P.
 Senatus of Rome are well known. The word $\gamma$ fpovola is used in 2 Mace. of the Sanhedrin, which is also called $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma$ ßutéptov, cf. iv. 5 n . But here, as the Sanhedrin has been specially mentioned, probably the word does not describe any official body but is used generally to indicate men of age and experience who, though not members of the Sanhedrin, may have been summoned to its meetings on occasions of importance.
24. oi ${ }^{2} p \chi$ เepeis] The heads of the twenty-four courses of priests, and possibly the relatives of the high-priest, cf. iv. 6. The word is used loosely, cf. xix. 14.
 (' what will be the end' or 'result of this') put obliquely.
26. ov $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \beta$ las] Emphatic by position, R. V. therefore rightly, 'but not with violence'.
28. $\pi \alpha \rho a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda[a \underset{.}{\pi} \pi a \rho$.] cf. vii. 34 n .
érayayєiv...] i.e. to make them responsible for His death, cf. Matt. xxvii. 25 ; and for the expression 2 Sam . i. 16; Matt. xxiii. 35.

тои̂ d̉vӨpผ́тоu тоv́тov] cf. iv. 17 n .
 emphasis of these words.
30. ${ }^{2} \gamma є \iota \rho \epsilon \nu$ ] Without $\epsilon \in \kappa \quad \nu \epsilon \kappa \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ (like $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\iota} \sigma \tau \eta \mu \iota$ iii. 22, $26)=$ 'raised up', 'brought forward', cf. Luke i. 69 ท̋ $\gamma \epsilon \iota \rho \epsilon$
 The reference is to His birth and coming forward as Messiah. The order of events is this : God raised Him up; you crucified Him; God exalted Him.
 and injustice: 'made away with violently'. The words $\kappa \rho \epsilon \mu . \epsilon \pi i \xi \xi^{\prime} \lambda o u$ pointedly call attention to His treatment as a malefactor and accursed man, cf. Deut. xxi. 23 кєкат $\eta \rho a \mu \notin-$

31. áp $\chi \eta \gamma^{\circ} v$ ] iii. 15 n , Here clearly 'Prince'.
( $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ ) $\delta 0 \hat{v}$ val] 'to give', i. e. that He may give, in His character of Prince and Saviour. The passage must be compared with Luke xxiv. 47, 48, where Jesus gives His parting injunctions to the Apostles and orders that there be proclaimed as by heralds ( $\kappa \eta \rho v \chi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$ ) 'repentance'-the condition He imposes as a Prince, and 'remission of sins' -the reward He offers as a Saviour. He then adds $\dot{v} \mu \in i \hat{s}$
 $\dot{\rho} \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ то́́ $\tau \omega \nu$ accurately corresponds. Finally He says, 'and behold I send the promise of my Father (i.e. the

Holy Spirit) upon you', and so here Peter speaks of the Holy Spirit as actually sent and present in them, joining them as a 'witness' to the events they relate.
32. p $\eta \mu$ át $\omega \nu$ ] Not 'sayings' but ' things expressed in words', 'history', 'story'; cf. Luke ii. 15 т $\dot{\rho}\lceil\eta \mu a ~ \tau \grave{\gamma} \gamma \in \gamma 0-$ עós, and the important instance Acts x. 37.
34. Фapıraios]= 'separated', the name of an important Jewish sect ; they believed (1) that an oral law had been given to Moses in addition to the written law, and had been handed down by tradition; (2) that the actual law needed to be supplemented by the explanations of the great doctors, which established ' $a$ hedge round the law' and enjoined an immense number of minute ritual observances ; (3) in opposition to the Sadducees, that there is a future life.

Ta $\mu$ a 1 เ $\lambda \lambda$ ] Grandson of the great teacher Hillel; afterwards president of the Sanhedrin; known as 'the glory of the law'; one of the seven Rabbis to whom the higher title of Rabban was given: teacher of St Paul, xxii. 3.
vоцобіба́бкалоs] So voцкко́s Matt. хxii. 35. A teacher or expounder of the Mosaic law.
$\pi a \nu \tau l ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \lambda a \omega \hat{]}]$ Ethic dat. ='in the opinion of', cf.

 would certainly not call them 'Apostles': the reading of the text is much more vigorous and real.
36. ©ev8as] Gamaliel clearly speaks of the revolt of Jos. Ant. Theudas as preceding that of Judas. On the other hand xx. 5. 1, Josephus describes a revolt very similar to this one and $\begin{gathered}\text { given in } \\ \text { full in }\end{gathered}$ headed by a Theudas in A.D. 44 , and therefore subsequent to A. this speech. Commentators therefore either (1) assume a historical error here, (2) or, not unreasonably, consider that among the many risings which took place in Judaea about the time of the birth of Jesus, there may have been another w. insurgent leader of that name.

 nom. after the verb being more classical. The use of 'somebody' $=$ 'some great person', as opposed to 'a nobody', is common in many languages. From the use however of almost the same phrase of Simon Magus (viii. 9) it would seem that Theudas is described as having claimed to be more than human, possibly to be the promised Messiah.
37. 'Iov́סas ס $\Gamma a \lambda$.] In Josephus called 'Iov́ס. ¿ Tav- xviri. 1. $\lambda a v i \tau \eta s$, having been born in the city of Gamala in Gaulani- in A .
tis. His insurrection was in connection with 'the taxing', and he maintained that God alone was the king of Israel. His followers known as Gaulonites seem to have passed into the well-known Zealots.
èv rais...] i.e. the celebrated 'registration' or 'enrolment' with a view to taxation referred to Luke ii. 2, which took place A.D. 6 under the prefecture of P. Sulpicius Quirinus.
 if it is'. $\epsilon l$ with ind. represents a thing as less conditional and more possible than $\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ with subj. It must not be inferred however that Gamaliel indicates the second alternative as more likely to be true; the change of construction only indicates that he puts forward one of two alternatives, as the one the possibility of which he wishes to be considered, as being the foundation of his argument.
 0८б $\iota \mu a \chi$ о $\mu \eta \nu$.

то仑̂ oैv́́ $\mu a \tau \mathrm{os}]$ iii. 6 n .
42. кат' oโкоv] ii. 46 n .

єủaүर. тòv xpıбтòv 'I $\eta \sigma$.] 'preaching the Messiah, even Jesus'.

## CHAPTER VI.

1. $\gamma^{\circ} \gamma \gamma \cup \sigma \mu$ òs] Imitative reduplicated word $=$ 'murmuring'.
 manners, customs, or language of the Greeks' [cf. $\Lambda \alpha \kappa \omega \nu i \zeta \omega$, $\mathrm{M} \eta \delta i \xi \omega$, 'Iov $\delta a i \xi \omega$ (Gal. ii. 14), $\Phi \iota \lambda \iota \pi \pi i \xi \omega]=$ those Jews who, having settled out of Palestine, habitually spoke Greek, and probably adopted many foreign customs, whereas ${ }^{\prime}$ E $\beta \rho a \hat{i} o l=$ those Jews who, continuing to live in Palestine; spoke Syro-Chaldaic, and were more strict in their observance of the laws of Moses.
2. ov̉k ảpєбтóv є́ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu]$ non placet, a somewhat authoritative phrase, cf. ver. $5, \eta \rho \rho \sigma \in \nu$, placuit, of the adoption of the proposition by the assembly.

Slakoveîr tpartegas] Note the emphatic position of т part́jacs. The Apostles do not object to 'serve', but to 'serve tables': they desire to confine themselves to the


The seven men here appointed are usually called ' the seven Deacons', but there is no authority for this in N. T., where they are only alluded to as 'the seven' (cf. xxi. 8). Their ' ministry' is distinctly opposed to the 'ministry of
the word', and it therefore seems clear that they are not to be identified with that class of Christian ministers called סıáкovoc ( 1 Tim. iii. 8; Phil. i. 1) after whom 'Deacons' are named.
 Gospels, usually of ministering to bodily or temporal wants, e.g. Matt. iv. 11 ; Luke x. 40 , (2) in the Acts and Epistles, usually of ministering to spiritual wants, e.g. Paul calls
 used in a special sense of a definitely appointed minister $=$

$\tau p a \pi$ éscus] Used with some indignation $=$ ' food', 'eating and drinking'. $\tau \rho \alpha^{\prime} \pi \epsilon \zeta a$ is also used of the table of a moneychanger (e.g. Matt. xxi. 12), and $\tau \rho a \pi \epsilon \xi i \tau \eta s=‘$ a banker', but here the connection with $\delta$ oakoveiv precludes the explanation 'tables at which the alms were distributed in small $I$. coins'.
3. $\mu$ apropovpévovs] i.e. of acknowledged good life and character, cf. x. 22, xvi. 2, xxii. 12.
ov's kaтaбт门'боцєv] 'whom we may appoint', A. and R. V. rightly. The future describes the second action as subsequent to and dependent on the first; cf. the use of ö $\pi \omega$ s and iva with the fut. ind. To render 'whom we will appoint' would convey a wrong idea, cf. vii. 40 oi $\pi \rho o \pi o \rho e \dot{\prime}-$ боута.
5. $\Sigma_{\text {té }}$ Qavov...] Notice with reference to the cause of their appointment that all bear Greek names. Seven is a sacred number. Of none except Stephen and Philip (cf. viii. 5, xxi. 8) is there anything further mentioned in N.T. Nicolaus has been identified with the founder of the sect of 'the Nicolaitans' mentioned Rev. ii. 6, 15, but this seems conjectural.
 blessing, ef. Gen. xlviii. 14-20; Matt. xix. 13; at the appointment of Joshua, Numb. xxvii. 18, and in healing by Jesus, Mark vi. 5. The act seems symbolical of the transmission of some divine power, cf. Acts viii. 17, ix. 17, xiii. 3, xix. 6, xxviii. 8. It is employed in the Church of England at Confirmation and Ordination.

8. xápıros] as iv. 33. Gratia Dei סúvauı efficit: סúva$\mu \nu \nu$ demonstrant $\tau \in ́ \rho a \tau a$ каі б $\eta \mu \epsilon i ̄ a$.
9. $\sigma v v a y \omega y \hat{\eta} s$ ] 'place of meeting'. The institution of Fders'synagogues' dates from the Captivity; They were so $\frac{\mathrm{hem}, \mathrm{I}}{431-450 .}$
arranged that the congregation turned towards Jerusalem, and at the end opposite them was an ark or chest containing the Book of the Law. Towards the middle was a pulpit in which the reader stood and the preacher sat.
 Luke iv. 20), and was under the management of a college of elders ( $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \dot{\jmath} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ$ Luke vii. 3 ; á $\rho \chi \iota \sigma v \nu a ́ \gamma \omega \gamma$ or Acts xiii. 15 ), with a president $\dot{o} \dot{d} \rho x$ ббvvá $\gamma \omega \gamma$ os. There was a fixed liturgy, a reading of a first lesson from 'the Law' and a second from 'the Prophets' (cf. xiii. 15), and afterwards the Derash or exposition. It is said that there were 480 synagogues in Jerusalem, but the number is untrustworthy.
$\left.\Lambda_{\iota} \beta \epsilon \rho \tau i v \omega \nu\right]$ libertinorum. Probably descendants of the Jews taken to Rome as captives by Pompeias, who had there gained their freedom, and perhaps also proselytes of the freedman class, cf. ii. 10 n .

Three synagogues seem to be described: (1) of the Libertini, (2) of the men of Alexandria and Cyrene, both African cities, (3) of the men of Cilicia and Asia, who are joined together, as $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \pi \dot{\partial}$ clearly shews.
$\sigma v v^{〔} \eta \tau 0 \cup \hat{v} \tau \epsilon s$ ] Used of the 'questionings' of the Pharisees and Scribes (Mark viii. 11, ix. 14): cf. too ix. 29.
$\tau \omega \hat{\nu}$ aj $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ K Kı.] Therefore probably including Paul. Tarsus is the capital of Cilicia.
10. divtio
 ...àvтıбтท̂̀aı.
11. ivé $\{\alpha \lambda 0 \nu]$ subornarunt. The word indicates putting forward in an underhand way for purposes of fraud.
dंкๆкóaцєє...] As in the case of the false witnesses against Jesus (Matt. xxvi. 60, 61), the falsehood of these witnesses would consist in misrepresenting what Stephen had actually said. He had doubtless spoken of the transitory nature of the Mosaic law and the Temple worship, and this they distort. Hence they are distinctly spoken of as 'false' or 'lying' ( $\psi \in v \delta \epsilon i s$ ), because ' $a$ lie that is half the truth is ever the blackest of lies'.
13. тоv̂ тóтои тov̂ áy.] i.e. the Temple, cf. xxi. 28. The emphatic position of the adjective marks the special holiness of the place, cf. i. 25 n .
14. ס Na̧. ov̂tos] ovitos is contemptuous, cf. vii. 40, xix. 26.

ката入úбєь] The word used Matt. xxvi. 61 סúvapal ката-
 ' dwelleth not in (buildings) made with hands'.

тарé $\delta \omega \kappa \epsilon \downarrow]$ tradidit, cf. Juv. xiv. 102 Tradidit arcano quodcunque volumine Moses.
15. €โ̇av...] Cf. Ex. xxxiv. 30; 2 Cor. iii. 7. Tennyson, The Two Voices, 'God's glory smote him on the face'.

## CHAPTER VII.

The speech of Stephen must be considered in reference to the twofold charge (vers. 13,14) to which it is an answer. The argument is throughout from Scripture, and is twofold, but the two threads are not kept distinct, but interwoven.
(1) He meets the charge of 'speaking against this Holy Place'-a charge no doubt founded on the fact of his having taught that worship in the Temple was not essential to the worship of God-by shewing that the worship of God is not confined to Jerusalem or the Jewish temple, this being proved by reference,
a. to His dealings with the patriarchs and people when in foreign lands, in Mesopotamia (ver. 2) and in Egypt (vers. 9-28);
b. to His appearing to Moses 'in the desert of Sinai' (ver. 30) ;
c. to the fact that all places are holy where God is (ver. 33) ;
d. to the 'church in the wilderness' (ver. 38);
$e$. to the fact that it was not until Solomon's time that the Temple was built, and that even that was not the real dwelling of the Most High (ver. 47), as is shewn by a quotation from Isaiah (vers. 48, 49).
(2) As regards the charge of changing 'the customs which Moses delivered', he points out that God had had many dealings with their fathers before the giving of the law (e.g. in the covenant of circumcision ver. 8), and that, far from contradicting Moses, Jesus is the very successor whose coming Moses had foretold (ver. 37). He describes Moses at length in words which clearly point him out as the type of Jesus: he was the divinely appointed redeemer of Israel (ver. 35), their saviour (ver. 25); the manner in which the Israelites again and again rejected him (vers. 25, 27, 35, 39) is typical of their rejection of Jesus. As he dwells on this theme the speaker, who began with calm and sober narrative, becomes gradually (as he remembers that his accusers are the children and representatives of those who consistently rejected Moses and the prophets) more argumentative and passionate, until at ver. 51 he breaks out into indignant invective and arraigns his accusers on the very charge which they were bringing against
himself-'Not I, but you, you are the men who received the law and did not keep it'.

The speech however is not wholly apologetic, but also constructive. Stephen prepares the way for Paul: he grasps the idea of a religion not exclusive but universal: he anticipates the final declaration of Paul in the Acts, viz. that the Jews will reject and the Gentiles accept the truth offered to them.
2. © $\theta$ eòs $\tau \mathfrak{\eta} s$ סóg $\eta \mathrm{s}]$ i.e. the God who reveals Himself in Glory. 'Glory' $=$ the Shechinah, a visible radiance, which indicated the presence of God, and was believed to rest especially on the mercy-seat between the cherubim; cf. ver. 55 ; Luke ii. 9 ; Ex. xxiv. 16. By commencing with these words Stephen at once refutes the charge of vi. 11.
$\mathrm{M} \epsilon \sigma о \pi о т а \mu[\alpha]=\gamma \hat{\eta} \mathrm{X} \alpha \lambda \delta a i \omega \nu$ ver. 4, used loosely for the district beyond the Euphrates. In Gen. xi. 31 it is ' Ur of the Chaldees'-a district of Mesopotamia N.E. of Haran.

Xappáv] 'Haran' Gen. xi. 31; Carrae, an ancient town in Mesopotamia not far from Edessa. Here Crassus met his death b.c. 53 after his defeat by the Parthians, cf. Luc. 1. 104 miserando funere Crassus | Assyrias Latio maculavit sanguine Carras.
$\ddot{\omega} \phi \theta \eta \ldots \pi \rho l \nu . . . k a l \in\{\pi \epsilon \nu \ldots]$ The quotation is verbatim from LXX. Gen. xii. 1, where however the revelation is said to have been made in Haran. In several instances however Stephen refers to traditions not identical with the statements in our present Pentateuch. In Gen. xv. 7; Neh. ix. 7 the removal of Abraham from Ur is clearly referred to divine direction.
 norat Abram quae terra foret, Heb. xi. 8. B.
4. $\mu \in \tau \grave{\alpha}$ тò $\alpha$ dimo日aveiv...] In Gen. xi. 26-xii. 4 it is stated that Abraham was born when Terah was 70 years old, and that he left Haran when 75, Terah dying in Haran at the age of 205 , and therefore 60 years after Abraham's deii. parture. 'Stephen therefore follows an independent tradition'.

5. ov̉k $\left.{ }^{\text {en }} \delta \omega \kappa \kappa \downarrow . ..\right]$ A perfectly natural expression: the 'burial-ground ' which Abraham acquired (cf. ver. 16) could hardly be reckoned 'an inheritance'.

The rendering of oủ $\epsilon$ है $\delta \omega \kappa \epsilon \nu$ 'had not yet given' is downright mistranslation. To lay stress on $\bar{\epsilon} \delta \omega \kappa \epsilon \nu$ and explain B. and non ex donatione divina accepit Abram, quia emit implies a so de W. singularly narrow view of God's gifts.

For $\beta \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$ тоठós cf. Deut. ii. 5 ; Cic. ad Att. xiti. 2 pedem ubi ponat in suo non habet.

є̇ $\pi \eta \gamma \gamma \epsilon$ โ $\lambda$ aro...] Gen. xvii. 8, xlviii. 4.
6. é $\lambda a ́ \lambda \eta \sigma \in \nu . .$.$] A free quotation of LXX. Gen. xv. 13,$ 14 which ends with the words $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ ò̀ $\tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha \dot{\epsilon} \xi \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon v^{\prime} \sigma o \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ $\hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \pi о \sigma \kappa \epsilon v \hat{\eta} s \pi_{0} \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta} s$. The words каi $\lambda \alpha \tau \rho, \mu 0 \iota \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\omega}$ $\tau \delta \pi \omega \tau$ oút $\varphi$ (i.e. in Canaan) seem to be a reminiscence of
 The addition is natural, for in Gen. xv. 14 it is clear that 'they shall come out' refers to a coming out into Canaan, although the $\hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon$ of LXX. is not represented in the Hebrew.
$\pi$ ápoıkov] 'sojourning'. The word indicates residence in a country which is not of a permanent character nor attended with full rights of citizenship. Cf. vii. 29, xiii. 17;

 oiкєiol; this life is 'a sojourning' 1 Pet. i. 17; we are all 'sojourners' 1 Pet. ii. 11.

ย̇ $\tau \eta \tau \in \tau \rho a \kappa o ́ \sigma \iota a]$ So too in round numbers Gen. xv. 13. The exact period of 430 years is given Ex. xii. 40 ; Gal. iii. 17. This includes the whole period from the giving of the promise to the Exodus (the period from the going down of Jacob into Egypt being 215 years), the 'sojourn' of the patriarchs in Canaan as well as of their descendants in

 $\lambda о \tau \rho i ́ q$.
7. крเขต̂ é $\gamma \omega$ É] Emphatic. Ego-Deus.
8. $\delta\llcorner a 0 \eta \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau о \mu \hat{\eta} s]$ A covenant of which circumcision was to be the outward sign. Gen. xvii. 9-14.

In classical Greek $\delta \iota a \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta$ is almost always 'a testamentary disposition', 'a will', $\sigma v \nu \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta$ being 'a covenant' or 'agreement'. On the other hand in LXX. and N. T. $\delta \iota a \theta \eta^{\prime} \kappa \eta$ is regularly $=$ ' $a$ covenant', and from its being rendered into Latin as 'testamentum' we have our curious phrases 'The Old' and 'The New Testament' meaning the Old and New Dispensation or Covenant. 'The LXX. trans- Light. lators and New Testament writers probably preferred $\delta \iota a-$ all Gal. $\theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta$ as better expressing the free grace of God than $\sigma \nu \nu \theta \eta ं \kappa \eta$ '.
ovitcs] 'thus', i.e. after this covenant had been made, and as an earnest of its fulfilment.

For the facts cf. Gen. xxi. 3, xxv. 26, xxix. 31-xxx. 21, xxxvii. 28.

 Kúpıos $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ ' $\mathrm{I} \omega \sigma \hat{\eta} \phi$.
10. Cf. Gen. xli. 37 et seq.

Фapaw ßar. Aly.] Pharaoh is not a name but a title borne by the kings of Egypt. It corresponds with the P-RA or PH-RA of the hieroglyphics, which means 'the sun'.

Grotius.
ท่ $\gamma \circ$ ú $\mu \in \nu \circ v$ ] 'vice regis cuncta regentem. Gen. xli. 43 '.
11. Xортá $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha]$ 'Fodder for their cattle' (cf. $\chi 0 \rho \tau \alpha ́ \zeta \omega$ ), the word used in LXX. Gen. xxiv. 25, 32, xlii. 27 and transgreat owners of flocks and herds like the patriarchs.
 ̇̀ $\nu$ Alqú $\pi \tau \varphi$.
14. '̇v $\psi v \times a i ̂ s . . . .$.$] 'in', i.e. consisting in. The Heb;$ text Gen. xlvi. 26 gives the number who 'came with Jacob' as 66, and then ver. 27, reckoning in Jacob and Joseph with his two sons, gives the whole number as 70. The LXX. in ver. 27, reckoning in some grandchildren of Joseph, gives the number as 75.
16. $\mu \in \tau \epsilon \tau \in ́ \theta \eta \sigma a v]$ sc. aủ $\delta \partial s$ кal oi $\pi \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon s ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$. According to Gen. xlix. $30,1.13$, Jacob was buried 'in the cave of the field of Machpelah which is before Mamre' ('the same is Hebron in the land of Canaan' Gen. xxiii. 19): Joseph was embalmed (Gen. 1. 26), taken away at the Exodus (Ex. xiii. 19), and ultimately buried at Shechem (Josh. xxiv. 32). Of the other patriarchs Scripture records nothing as to their burial.
※. ${ }^{\omega} v$. ' $A \beta p$.] Gen. xxiii. 3-20. Abraham bought a burying-place at Hebron from Ephron. Jacob (Gen. xxxiii. 19) bought a field, not a burying-place, 'at Shalem, a city of Shechem' 'at the hand of the children of Hamor, Shechem's father'. 'The two accounts are certainly here confused'.
rıuฑ̂s dंpyupiov] Gen. xxiii. 16 'four hundred shekels of silver, current money with the merchant': Gen. xxxiii. 19 (of Jacob's purchase) 'an hundred pieces of money'.
17. kaө̄ิs] 'as', not 'when': as the time drew near so the people....

т $\uparrow$ s $่ \pi \pi a \gamma \gamma \in \lambda$ (as] Cf. vers. 6, 7; and ii. 33 n .

M. referring to Knobel s. Ex. i, 8.
18. ős ov̉k ท̋ $\delta \epsilon\llcorner$ тòv 'I.] i.e. who knew nothing of the history and services of Joseph. 'The previous dynasty had been that of the Hyksos: the new king was Ahmes who drove out the Hyksos'.
 means＇is intrans．but like many verbs（cf．кататодєî̀ ver． 24，кaтaסvvaбтєú $\mathbf{x}$ ．38）becomes trans．when compounded with $\kappa a \tau \alpha ́=$＇to injure by using subtlety＇．In Ex．i． 10 it is rendered＇let us deal wisely with them＇．
 ing their children to be exposed＇．The genitive describes that in which the ко́к $\omega \sigma \iota \iota$ consisted（cf．iii． 12 n ．），its purpose being expressed in the words $\epsilon i s \tau \delta \mu \grave{\eta} \xi$ ．乡woyovєío $0 \alpha=$


20．á $\sigma \tau \in \hat{c} 0$ т $\tau \hat{\varphi} \theta \in \hat{\varphi}]$＇fair（in appearance）to God＇，i．e． ＇before＇or＇in the sight of God＇，$\theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$ being an Eth．Dat． Cf．Aesch．Ag． 352 өєoîs à a $^{\prime \mu \pi \lambda \alpha ́ к \eta \tau o s ~ ' g u i l t l e s s ~ i n ~ t h e ~ s i g h t ~}$ of heaven＇．A．and R．V．render＇exceeding fair＇，treating the phrase as a Hebrew method of expressing extreme fairness，cf．Jon．iii． $3 \pi \delta{ }^{2} \lambda \iota s \quad \mu \in \gamma{ }^{\prime} \lambda \eta$ $\tau \hat{\varphi} \theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$ ；Gen．x．9； 1 Sam．xvi． 12 áratòs ópá $\sigma \epsilon \iota$ Kvplu，＇of a beautiful coun－ tenance and goodly to look to＇．
dं $\sigma \tau \in \hat{1} 0$ lit．＇belonging to the city＇，urbanus；＇witty＇； then＇elegant＇，＇pretty＇，lepidus：it is applied to Moses LXX．Ex．ii．2，and Heb．xi． 23 ＇a proper child＇aं $\sigma \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ таибор．
 Ex．ii．10．The succeeding quotations up to ver． 35 are from the same chapter and the beginning of c．iii．
 тоьєîv，exponere．

22．$\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \eta \sigma o \phi$. Aif．］which was proverbial，cf． 1 Kings iv．30；Her．II． 160 тoùs $\sigma о \phi \omega \tau \alpha ́ \tau o u s ~ a ̀ \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu ~ A i \gamma v \pi \tau i o u s . ~$ The priestly caste were especially renowned for their m． knowledge of Natural Science（and Magic），Astronomy， Medicine and Mathematics．

Svvaròs év $\lambda$ 人ó ．kal＇épyois］The phrase used of Jesus Luke xxiv．19．$\delta v \nu$ ．è $\nu$ 入ó $\gamma$ ．must not be taken as referring to＇rhetorical skill＇or＇eloquence＇（cf．Ex．iv． 10 ＇I am slow of speech and of a slow tongue＇），but to the weight and wisdom of the matter of his words，spoken or written．

23．тєббєракоขтаєтท่s xpóvos］The life of Moses is given as divided into three periods，each of 40 years．His first appearance before Pharaoh（Ex．vii．7）is 40 years after this， and his death 40 years later，when he was＇an hundred and twenty years old＇（Deut．xxxiv．7）．
éml kapdíav d．vé $\beta \eta$ ］Same words 1 Cor．ii． 9 ：a LXX．M．

 but also connoting care, consideration, or regard for those 'visited', cf. xv. 36; Luke i. 68; Matt. xxv. 36.
24. Є̇ $\pi 0$ í $\eta \sigma \in \nu$ ék $\delta i \kappa \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ ] 'wrought an avenging', 'avenged'. $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \delta \ell \kappa \eta \sigma \iota \nu \pi$ тоєєіे, $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \delta \iota \kappa \kappa \epsilon \bar{\nu}$ are common in N.T. in this sense,
 Rom. xiii. 4.
$\tau \hat{\uparrow}$ кататоvovนévఱ] Present: 'the man who was on the point of being overcome'.
ròv Ai $y v \pi \tau$.] As in the use of aúroîs ver. 26, a familiarity with the facts of the story is assumed in his hearers.
 Stephen's, who is drawing a parallel to the similar rejection of Jesus. Note the rhetorical power of oi $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ ov $\sigma \nu \nu \hat{\eta} \kappa \alpha \nu$, and cf. ver. 53 каl оủк $\dot{\epsilon} \phi \cup \lambda \AA \xi a \tau \epsilon$.
av่̇ois] Ex. ii. 13 'two among the Hebrews'.
26. $\sigma v \nu \eta$ ' $\lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \in v$ ] Imperfect: A. and R.V. 'would have set them at one again'.
29. Ma $\alpha$ เá $\mu$ ] Probably the peninsula on which is Mount Sinai.
viov̀s 8v́o] His father-in-law was Jethro Ex. iii. 1; his wife Zipporah Ex. ii. 21; his sons Gershom and Eliezer Ex. ii. 22, xviii. 4.
30. $\Sigma \iota v d]$ Ex. iii. 1 'Horeb'. Both were probably peaks of one mountain range. The names are used almost indifferently. "Horeb is probably 'the Mountain of the Dried-up Ground'; Sinai 'the Mountain of the Thorn'."
$\beta$ átov] "the wild Acacia (Mimosa nilotica), under the name of 'sŭnt', everywhere represents the 'seneh' or 'senna' of the Burning Bush".
a' $\gamma \gamma \in \lambda$ os] but ver. 31 the voice is of 'Jehovah', and ver. 32 the presence of 'God' is asserted. So too in Ex. iii. 2-4.
33. $\lambda \hat{v} \sigma 0 v . .$.$] So too Josh. \nabla$. 15. The priests who ministered in the temple were bare-footed. Moslems still enter their mosques bare-footed. Cf. too Juv. vi. 158 ob servant ubi festa mero pede sabbata reges.

Note carefully the importance of these words for Stephen's argument as to 'the holy place'.
34. iठ $\dot{\omega} v$ є $โ \delta \circ v$ ] rendered in Ex. iii. 7 'I have surely seen'. The Gk represents the Hebrew idiom, cf. Heb. vi.
 'I waited patiently'. Repetition or reduplication is one of the earliest and most universal methods of expressing emphasis: the particular emphasis must be judged from the
context. Cf. Plat. Symp. 195 в фєú $\boldsymbol{\omega} \nu$ д $\phi \gamma \hat{\eta}$; Soph. 231 в

 $\pi \alpha \rho a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda i ́ a \pi a \rho \eta \gamma \gamma \epsilon i \lambda \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$ 'we straitly charged you'; xxiii.
 $\theta v \mu i ́ a ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \epsilon \theta \dot{v} \mu \eta \sigma a$.
35. тоขิтоข...тоขิтоv...] Note the emphatic and rhetorical repetition; and ou์ros...ovitos...ovitos vers. 36, 37, 38. The object is to place the personality of Moses as the divinely appointed saviour of Israel in marked contrast with the treatment he reeeived. The parallel thus drawn between Moses and Jesus is clear; cf. too $\eta \rho \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau$ with $\eta \rho \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma a \sigma \theta \epsilon$ iii. 13, and $\lambda \nu \tau \rho \omega \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ with $\lambda u ́ \tau \rho \omega \sigma$ เs 'redemption' Luke i. 68, ii. 38 ; Heb. ix. 12.

Note also the contrast in the clauses,


$\eta$ ทिरท' $\sigma a \nu \tau \circ$ ] with ref. to ver. 27. Note the plural and cf. ver. 41 є́ $\mu \sigma \sigma \chi о \pi о \grave{\eta \sigma a \nu . ~ U n i u s ~ h o m i n i s ~ d i c t a ~ e t ~ f a c t a ~ a d-~ B . ~}$ scribuntur etiam illis qui eodem sunt animo.
38. $\tau \hat{n}$ ékk $\lambda$.] v. 11 n . The reference is to the assembly held for the giving of the commandments, Ex. xix.
 as an intermediary between them; he received ( $\epsilon \delta \epsilon \xi a \tau 0$ ) the law from the angel to give ( $\delta o \hat{v} \nu a l$ ) to their fathers. So Moses is called o $\mu \in \sigma i \tau \eta s$ 'the mediator' Gal. iii. 19; and Jesus is крєítтovos $\delta \iota a \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta s \mu \epsilon \sigma i \tau \eta s$ Heb. viii. 6.
 belongs 'to later theology'.
$\zeta \omega \hat{\nu \tau a}]$ 'living', i.e. possessing vitality and force, not
 This answers the charge of speaking 'against the law'. See too Soph. O. T. $481 \tau \dot{\alpha} \delta^{\prime} \dot{a} \epsilon \grave{\zeta} \zeta \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \frac{\tau}{\alpha} \tau \alpha \iota$ of oracles which remain in force and effectual ; Ant. 457 ऽर̂ $\tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$ of laws.
40. єimóvtes] Ex. xxxii. 1, 4. For the pillar of fire that had hitherto gone before them, cf. Ex. xiii. 21.
oi $\pi \rho о \pi о р \epsilon v ́ \sigma o v \tau \alpha l] ~ A . V . ~ r i g h t l y ~ ' t o ~ g o ~ b e f o r e ~ u s ' . ~ C f . ~$ vi. 3 n . R.V. alters to ' which shall go before us', without reason.
ó $\gamma$ àp IM. ovitos...ov̉k oi' $\delta \alpha \mu \in \nu . .$.$] ov่̉ os, contemptuous, cf.$ vi. 14 n . Note the vigorous change of construction; cf. Aesch. S. c. Theb. 678


41. $\left.{ }^{\prime} \mu \sigma \sigma \times \frac{\pi}{0 i \eta} \sigma a v\right]$ The Egyptians worshipped the bull Apis at Memphis, regarding him as the symbol of Osiris, the Sun. Cf. too the golden calves set up by Jeroboam 1 Kings xii. 28: and the winged bulls discovered at Nineveh.

Aaron made the calf, but it was at the people's request: hence the plural, cf. Ex. xxxii. 35 ' the Lord plagued the people, because they made the calf, which Aaron made'.


A. $\lambda a \tau \rho \in \cup ́ \epsilon เ ข . ..] ~ ' T h i s ~ f a c t ~ i s ~ n o t ~ m e n t i o n e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ P e n t a-~$ teuch. In after times we have frequent traces of starworship, e.g. 2 Kings xvii. 16, xxi. 3, 5. See also Deut. iv. $19{ }^{\prime}$.
 into 'the Law, the Prophets, and the Psalms' (or Hagiographa), Luke xxiv. 44, or less accurately into 'the Law and

Smith's
Dict. of the Bible.

La. W.
11. the Prophets', cf. xxiv. 14, xxviii. 23. 'The Law'=the five books of Moses. 'The prophets' are thus enumerated: Elder $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Joshua, } \\ \text { Judges, } \\ 1 \text { and } 22 \text { Samuel, } \\ 1 \text { and } 2 \text { Kings. }\end{array}\right.$
$\begin{cases}\text { Greater } & \left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Isaiah, } \\ \text { Jeremiah, } \\ \text { Ezekiel. }\end{array}\right. \\ \text { Lesser } & \begin{array}{l}\text { The twelve } \\ \text { minor prophets. }\end{array}\end{cases}$

The Hagiographa includes the rest of the Hebrew Canon. The quotation here is from Amos v. 25, and apparently the Rabbis regarded the twelve minor Prophets as a single book, so that probably the words ' the prophets' should be taken here in this narrower sense ; cf. xiii. 40 where a quotation from Habakkuk is referred to as 'in the prophets'.
$\mu \dot{i} . ..] \mu \dot{\eta}$ interrogative expects the answer, No. 'Did ye offer me...? No. Ye actually ( $\kappa \alpha i$ ) took up....'
43. aंvє $\left.{ }^{\prime} \beta \epsilon \tau \epsilon\right]$ 'took up', i.e. after each halt, to carry it with you instead of the tabernacle of Jehovah.
$\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta ̀ v$ ] 'tent', used as a moveable temple: the word is frequently applied to 'the tabernacle', cf. ver. 44.

Monóx] The Hebrew here gives 'your king'. Molech (as the name is elsewhere rightly spelt) means 'king'. He was an Ammonite deity to whom children were offered. The image is said to have been ox-headed, with arms outstretched (in which the children were placed) and hollow so as to be heated underneath: hence perhaps the phrase 'pass through the fire to Molech', Lev. xviii. 21, xx. 2; 2 Kings xxiii. 10.
 'Chiun' has been considered to mean 'Saturn'. Among Egyptian divinities however two are found of foreign origin, Renpu and Ken: they occur together and form a pair, being male and female. The names so curiously correspond to 'Rompha' and 'Chiun' that it would seem that in some reference to them is to be found the explanation of the remarkable variation of the Hebrew and LXX.

тò áनтpov probably refers to some symbol or type (cf. ти́тоиs) under which the god was worshipped.

Baßv入へิvos] $\Delta a \mu a \sigma \kappa o \hat{v}, ~ L X X$. with Heb. The date of the 'removal to Babylon' is 588 в.c. in the reign of Nebuchadnezzar.
 tabernacle of Moloch' seems to suggest the mention of the real 'tabernacle', but the connection of thought is loose : a fresh division of the speech begins here: Stephen passes on from the conduct of the Israelites to his other argument that God is not necessarily worshipped in a particular spot.

The tabernacle is called 'the tabernacle of the testimony' because it contained 'the ark of the testimony' (Ex. xxv. 22), which contained the two 'tables of testimony' (Ex. xxxi. 37), or ' witness' to God's government of Israel.


45. 'I $\eta \sigma \circ$ v̂] ii. 22 n .
 Canaan) having received it in their turn...up to the days of David', a slightly careless but perfectly clear phrase $=$ 'received it and brought it into Canaan, where it remained up to the days of David'.
 possession of the nations'. For the 'nations' cf. Ex. iii. 8; Josh. iii. 10.
46. ท่าท'ซaro] 'asked', but did not obtain permission, 2 Sam. vii. 2 et seq.
 1 Kings vi. 14.
 prayer at the dedication of the temple, 1 Kings viii. 27: cf. too Acts xvii. 24. Note the emphatic position of ov, and the use of $\dot{o} v \ddot{v} \downarrow \sigma \tau o s$ for God in contrast with $\chi \in \iota \rho \circ \pi o i \eta \tau \alpha-$ 'conveniens appellatio. Hunc nulla moles capit'.
§ $\pi \rho \circ \phi$.] Is. lxvi. 1, almost verbatim.
49. (moiov] Not 'what', but 'what manner', 'what sort of house?' The word expresses scorn, and is so used fred quently in classical Greek. Cf. iv. 7 and $\pi$ róa $\chi$ ápıs Luke vi. 32, 33, 34.
51. $\sigma к \lambda \eta \rho о т \rho a ́ x \eta \lambda$ оь...] There is no need to suppose 'an interruption from the audience' to account for this outburst: the growing warmth of the speech naturally leads up to it.

Both the epithets used are frequently applied to the Israelites in O.T., e.g. Ex. xxxii. 9; Lev. xxvi. 41. 'Circumcision' as a sign of purification and dedication to God can naturally be used metaphorically of the heart.
ípeis] Emphatic. 'You, not I'; cf. immediately afterwards $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu, \dot{v} \mu \epsilon i \hat{i}, \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu, \dot{v} \mu \epsilon i \hat{s}$.
$\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \nu \epsilon \dot{\jmath} \mu a \tau \iota \ldots]$ Apparently a recollection of Is. lxiii. 10
 ( $=$ in adversum ruitis. B.) is a very strong word, not found elsewhere in N.T., but used of Israel Numb. xxvii. 14.
52. $\tau i v a \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \circ \phi \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu . .$.$] Cf. Luke xi. 47$.
mpoSóral] as the accomplices of Judas. фoveis as urging on Pilate.
53. oítเvєs] 'yes, you who'. 'The use of oitıves instead of oi so very frequent in the Acts and Epistles, occurs when the clause introduced by it contains a further explanation of the position or classification of the person or persons alluded to, and not when the relative serves for simple identification'. Cf. viii. 15, ix. 35, x. 41, 47.
 ordinance of angels'; $\epsilon i s=$ 'for', 'so as to be', 'as'. Cf. Heb. xi. $8 \lambda a \mu \beta \alpha \downarrow \epsilon \epsilon \nu \epsilon i s \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o \nu o \mu i a \nu$. The expression is distinctly intended to glorify the law and so enhance their guilt in not keeping it. It was no human ordinance but received by them to be treated as an 'ordinance of angels'. In the O.T. the law is spoken as given directly by God, cf. the first verse of chapters xi.-xxvii. in Leviticus ; 'And the Lord spake unto Moses, saying'. The mention of angels in connection with it is first found in the poetical passage Deut. xxxiii. 2, but occupied a very prominent place in later rabbinical speculation. In Gal. iii. 19 Paul refers to the law as $\delta \iota a \tau a \gamma \epsilon i s \iota^{\prime}$ ' ${ }^{\gamma} \gamma \gamma^{\epsilon} \lambda \omega \nu$ 'ordered through the medium of angels'. This substitution of 'angels', where the O.T. speaks directly of God, seems due to an artificial idea of reverence similar to that which forbade the use of the actual name of Jehovah.
So A.
The only other possible rendering of this passage is 'received the law into the administration of angels', which might be considered a condensed phrase = 'received from
angels the law which was given them to administer'. The words $\delta \iota a \tau a \gamma \eta$ ', $\delta \iota a \tau a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ do not however describe 'administration' by an intervening agent, but distinctly 'ordering' by a superior, cf. Rom. xiii. $2 \tau \hat{\eta}$ тô̂ $\theta \epsilon o \hat{u} \delta \iota a \tau a \gamma \hat{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta$ $\kappa \in \nu$; Luke viii. $55 \delta \iota \epsilon \tau a \xi \in \nu$ aủ $\frac{\hat{\eta}}{} \delta o \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota ; ~ A c t s ~ x v i i i . ~ 2, ~$ xxiii. 31, xxiv. 23.
55. 'I $\eta \sigma 0 \hat{v} v$ é $\sigma \tau \omega ิ \tau a . ..] ~ c f . ~ M a t t . ~ x x v i . ~ 64 ~ ' s i t t i n g ' ; ~$ Mark xvi. 19 'sat on the right hand of God'. Gregory the Great is happy in his comment: 'Stephanus stantem vidit quem adjutorem habuit'; cf. Coll. for St Stephen's Day: ' O blessed Jesus, who standest at the right hand of God to succour all those that suffer for Thee'.
56. $\theta \in \omega \rho \hat{\omega}]$ a strong word, implying clear vivid vision ; cf. viii. 13 n.

тòv viò̀ $\tau 0$ û ávӨрш́тrov] This name for the Messiah (cf. A. I. Dan. vii. 13) is often applied by Jesus to Himself, but never La. in N.T. applied to Him by any one else, except here, where there seems to be a reference to His own promise Matt. xxvi. 64.
58. ${ }_{\xi}^{\xi} \xi \omega \tau \eta \hat{\eta}_{\mathrm{S}}^{\operatorname{mon}}$.] Lev. xxiv. 14, and for the law as to stoning for blasphemy, ver. 16.

We know too little about the Sanhedrin to decide whether they were acting within their legal rights or not, but cf. John xviii. 31. Probably the exact limits of their authority as opposed to that of the Roman Procurator were ill-defined and variable.
oi $\mu$ áprvpєs...] In order to cast the first stones, cf. Deut. xvii. 7.

इaúdov] "Like Theaetetus means 'asked" (of God)". F. Note the effect of the repetition of $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \iota \theta \circ \beta \dot{\beta} \lambda$ ouv.
59. émıка入ov́ $\mu \in v o v]$ Regular word for calling upon a god for aid. Translate, 'calling upon (the Lord Jesus) and saying 'Lord Jesus...'. The only acc. that can be grammatically supplied after є̇тькад. is тঠ̀̀ кúp. 'I $\eta \sigma o u ̂ \nu . ~ B e n t l e y ' s ~$ conjecture that $\overline{\theta N}$ is lost after the final $O N$ is unnecessary. For the 'invocation' of Jesus cf. ix. 14, xxii. 16.
60. $\mu \eta{ }^{2} \sigma \tau \eta \sigma_{\eta}$ s av́тoîs...] 'do not establish (make fixed, irremoveable) for them (Eth. Dat.) their sin'. iorávac $\tau \iota \nu i$ $\dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho \tau i a \nu$ is the opposite of $\dot{a} \phi_{\iota} \in \mathcal{\nu} a \iota \tau \iota \nu i \dot{a} \mu a \rho \tau i a \nu$. For the prayer cf. the dying words of Jesus (Luke xxiii. 34) $\pi \dot{d} \tau \epsilon \rho$, ä $\phi \epsilon s$ aủroîs.
ékoц $\mu \eta^{\prime} \theta \eta$ ] cf. xiii. 36. The metaphor is common to all languages, but the word is used here in striking contrast with the scene just described. Note too the cadence of the w. on word expressing rest and repose, and cf. the last word of the xxviii. Acts, $\dot{a} \kappa \omega \lambda u ́ \tau \omega s$.

## CHAPTER VIII.

1. Kav̂גos $\delta$ è...] Rightly appended to the preceding narrative in R.V., and not cut off from it as in A.V. The historian leaves our eyes fixed on him who is from this point to be the central figure of the narrative. That this is done purposely is marked by the reference to Saul vii. 58 , and the repeated reference to his activity which immediately follows here, इav̂̀os $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \in \lambda \nu \mu a i \nu \in \tau о . .$.
év Exelvท тท̂ $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu$.$] 'on that day' R.V.; not 'at that$ time', as A.V. The persecution took place ( $\dot{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \dot{\ell} \nu \in \tau o$ ) then and there. Non differebant adversarii. B.

Eapapias] The district between Galilee on the N., and Judaea on the S. Its capital was Samaria, 'the watchmountain', built by Omri в.c. 925 ( 1 Kings xvi. 24). It was afterwards named Sebaste by Herod the Great in honour of Augustus ( $\Sigma_{\epsilon \beta a \sigma \tau o ́ s) . ~ M o s t ~ o f ~ t h e ~ i n h a b i t a n t s ~ h a d ~ b e e n ~}^{\text {a }}$ carried away by Shalmaneser b.c. 721 (2 Kings xvii. 6) and afterwards by Esarhaddon, who replaced them by settlers from Babylon, Hamath, \&c. (2 Kings xvii. 24). The mixed race (dं $\lambda \lambda 0 \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon i s$ Luke xvii. 18) which subsequently grew up were regarded by the Jews with peculiar hatred, John iv. 9 et seq.



єv่入aßєis $]$ 'devout', 'god-fearing'. The word only occurs in N.T. three times elsewhere; Luke ii. 25 (of Simeon) ; Acts ii. 5, and xxii. 12, and in each case is applied to Jews. It is certain that the word here indicates that Jews as well as Christians took part in the burial. Had Christians alone been meant, $\mu a \theta \eta \tau a l$ would have been used. It is not however necessary to confine the word here to Jews
 a general dispersion; many Christians were left, cf. ver. 3.

котєтós] Lamentation, consisting chiefly in beating the breast, cf. planctus ( $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \gamma \nu \cup \mu \iota)$.
3. é $\lambda \nu \mu a(v \in \tau 0]$ A very strong word, implying not only injury but insult. Frequent in Demosthenes. Only here in N.T. but found Ps. lxxx. 13 of a wild boar destroying
 $\pi \mathrm{o} \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \mathrm{s}$.
$\sigma$ úp $\omega \nu$ ] ' haling', A. and R.V. (=hauling). Cf. Plaut. Poen. in. 5. 45 collo obtorto ad praetorem trahor.

Text NAB.
 omits $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$; if so, we should render 'a city of (the district of) Samaria', and the words could not refer to the capital.
 distinguish words too minutely. Of the various words however used for ' preaching',
$\lambda a \lambda \epsilon i \nu$ rò $\lambda$ 入orov merely expresses without emphasis the utterance of the word;
 ter of the word (1) as a message conveying news, (2) as conveying good news. It is distinctly a missionary word and, as such, very frequent in the Acts.

кпри́ $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \nu$ calls attention to the character of the speaker as ' a herald', and suggests the idea of some great person he is charged to proclaim. Hence the special use of the word in Matt., Mark, and Luke, of John the Baptist, and its use in the Acts here followed by $\tau \grave{\nu} \chi \rho \rho$. ; by $\check{2} \nu$ ' $I \eta \sigma$. ix. 20 , xix. 3 ;

$\delta i \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \nu$ is the word specially used of Jesus in all the Evangelists, and suggests His special name $\dot{\delta} \delta \delta \dot{\delta} \dot{\sigma} \kappa a \lambda o s$. It certainly implies authority in the speaker. Cf. Matt. vii. 29.
$\Phi\left[\lambda_{1 \pi \pi}\right.$ os] the deacon; called 'the Evangelist' $x x i .8$, clearly from his special power of ' preaching'.
 ' attended to'.
7. $\pi$ o $\lambda \lambda$ oi $y \dot{a} \rho \ldots$...] T.R. $\pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$, an obvious correction. Text The construction is loose, 'For many of those having ${ }^{\text {ABBCE}}$ unclean spirits, shouting...they (the spirits) went out'. The nom. is perhaps due to an unconscious tendency in the writer to make this clause strictly parallel with the next, which begins $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o l$ ot $\epsilon$.

тapa $\lambda \in \lambda \nu \mu \dot{v} \nu \circ$ ] 'palsied', i.e. paralysed; lit. 'loosened at the side', i.e. having no power to contract and so exert the muscles which regulate the limbs.
9. $\Sigma(\mu \omega \nu]$ Usually called 'Simon Magus'. There are See $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$. many legends about him but nothing is really known; e.g. Justin Martyr relates that he subsequently went to Rome, performed miracles and had a statue erected to him with the inscription Simoni Deo Sancto; but in this he was undoubtedly mistaken, as a stone found in the Tiber A. D. 1574 bears the inscription SEMONI SANCO DEO FIDIO SACRUM, Sancus being a Sabine name for Hercules, and $S$ Smo $=$ Semihomo ( $\dot{\mu} \mu l \theta \epsilon \mathrm{c}$ ) ' $a$ hero'.
$\mu a y \in \dot{\omega} \omega \nu]$ The Magi were the priestly class under the Median and Persian empires. The founding of their order is ascribed to Zoroaster. Their influence and learning were very great. Hence the word is used in a good sense,

Matt. ii. 1, 'There came wise men ( $\mu$ ájoc) from the East'. But, as their scientific knowledge was most frequently used to impose on the vulgar, the word has generally a bad sense in Greek, as here and xiii. 6 and in our ' magic'.
 marked repetition clearly indicates that the 'amazement' produced by Simon on the Samaritans was exactly the same effect which was produced on him by Philip. The 'belief' spoken of ver. 13 is the result of this amazement not of any real conversion.
10. $\alpha \pi \dot{\partial} \mu$ цкрои̂...] cf. xxvi. 22 ; Heb. viii. 11.
 Milman, at this time to have been a belief current in Oriental speculation that certain 'powers' ( $\delta \nu \nu \alpha \mu \epsilon \tau s)$ or emanations of The Godhead were revealed or became incarnate in the person of men. The 'power' described in the text is marked with emphatic clearness as 'the one which is called Great'- the one which beyond all others was considered to mark divinity. This being so, it seems that Simon is described as supposed to be little less than himself divine. With this later traditions about him agree, for Jerome (in Matt. c. 24) states that Simon said 'Ego sum sermo Dei,...ego omnipotens, ego omnia Dei'.

The theory of divine emanations rises to considerable importance in later Gnostic speculations. $\delta 仑 ́ v a \mu c s$ is used of 'a being endowed with power' parallel to $\alpha \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda o s$, Rom. viii. 38.
13. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa \alpha \rho \tau \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} v \tau \hat{\varphi} \Phi$.] i.e. persistently clinging to, or keeping with Philip; cf. x. 7.
$\theta \in \omega \rho \omega \bar{v}]$ This word, which is frequent in the Acts, always seems to describe clear vision (cf. vii. 56 n .), whether physical (as here, iii. 16, xvii. 16), or mental (xxvii. 10), or a combination of both (iv. 13, xvii. 22).
14. Пé́por kal 'I $\omega a ́ v \eta v] ~ S e e ~ i i i . ~ 1 n . ~ S o ~ J e s u s ~ s e n t ~$ out the Apostles 'two and two' (Mark vi. 7), and also the Seventy (Luke x. 1). Cf. xiii. 2 'Barnabas and Saul'; xv. 22 'Judas and Silas'; so too xv. 39 Paul takes with him Silas, and Barnabas Mark.
15. кataßávтes] 'having come down'; partly of the actual descent from the high ground of Jerusalem (Mt Zion is 2535 feet above the level of the Mediterranean), partly with the idea of going down from the centre or capital of a country to a provincial town; cf. ver. 5 катє $\lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$; катаßai$\nu 0 v \sigma \alpha \nu$ ver. 26 ; $\alpha \nu \epsilon \beta \eta$ єis' $1 \epsilon \rho \circ v \sigma \alpha \lambda \hat{\eta} \mu$ xi. 2; кат $\hat{\eta} \lambda \theta o \nu$ xi. 27.
ö $\pi \omega \mathrm{s} \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\beta} \omega \sigma \iota \nu \pi \nu$. $\dot{\alpha} \gamma$.] For the words $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu \alpha$ ä $\gamma \iota 0 \nu$ cf. xix. 1 n. Clearly here, and elsewhere in the Acts, this
' receiving the Holy Spirit' is described as accompanied by certain signs obvious to eye and ear-singularia dona, qui- Calvin bus Dominus initio Evangelii quosdam esse praeditos voluit in A. ad ornandum Christi regnum. Cf. i $\delta \omega \dot{\omega} \nu$ ver. 18; and the effects mentioned, as for example $\lambda a \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu \gamma \lambda \omega \prime \sigma \sigma a \iota s$ x. 44-48; $\pi \rho о \phi \eta \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \nu$ xix. 6.
16. For $\beta \in \beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu$ évol... cf. ii. 38 n .
18. тробท่vєүкєv XPท́भaтa] Hence our word 'Simony' applied to trafficking in things sacred.
20. тò ápyúplóv rov...] Not necessarily a curse on Simon, who may repent (ver. 22) and possibly be pardoned. Grammatically the words may fairly be regarded as a brief and vehement expression, which put more carefully would be, 'Thy money perish, even as thou art now perishing', 'art now treading the path that leads to perdition'. The words are not 'Perish thou', or 'Perish thou with thy money', but 'Perish thy money with thee'.
cì $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ is] A pregnant construction: 'go to destruction and stay there', cf. ver. 23 єis $\chi 0 \lambda \grave{\nu} \nu . . .6 ้ \nu \tau \alpha$ 'hast fallen into and art now in'; vii. 4 єis $\hat{\eta}^{\nu} \nu \kappa а \tau о \kappa \epsilon i \tau \epsilon ; ~ L u k e ~ x i . ~ 7 \epsilon i s ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~$ коіт $\eta$ є $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \nu$.

$\kappa \tau \alpha \hat{\sigma} \theta$ al] 'to acquire', 'gain possession of': кє́кт $\eta \mu \alpha \iota=$ 'I possess', 'own'.
21. લ̇v тヘ̣̂ 入óyఱ тоúтఱ] A. and R.V. 'in this matter'- Ast in ipsa causa de qua disceptatur.
 $\epsilon \dot{v} \theta \epsilon i \hat{a} a \mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ aùtov̂. $\epsilon \dot{v} \theta \dot{v}$ 's passes from the meaning of mathematically straight, cf. ix. 11, to that of moral uprightness or 'rectitude'. Cf. xiii. 10, where it is to be seen in a transition state.
22. $\epsilon$ ảpa] 'if haply'; 'to see if possibly'; si forte. The expression indicates that the possibility is small. Cf. Mark xi. $13{ }_{\eta} \hat{\lambda} \theta \epsilon \in \epsilon l$ ä $\rho a \epsilon \dot{u} \rho \eta \eta_{\sigma \epsilon} \tau \iota$. A still stronger form is $\epsilon l a ̆ \rho \alpha \gamma \epsilon$ xvii. 27.
 each case defines and makes clear the metaphorical word. The 'gall' or 'poison', with which he is filled, is defined as $\pi \iota \kappa \rho i a^{\prime}$ 'a bitter, malignant disposition' (cf. Rom. iii. 14 ; Heb. xii. 15) into which he has fallen, and the 'fetters' which bind him are his own ' unrighteousness' or 'iniquity'.
 xxix. 18. $\quad \sigma v v \delta$. ád. Is. lviii. 6.
24. ípeis] Emphatic.

25．к心́ $\mu$ as $\epsilon \cup ̉ \eta \gamma \gamma$ ．］The verb is allowed to take an acc． from the general sense of＇instructing＇containedinit．Cf． xiv．21，xvi． 10.

26．kard $\mu \in \sigma \eta \mu$ ．］＇toward the south＇A．and R．V．；he was to proceed＇with his face to the south＇，cf．xxvii． 12 n ．

Tágav］＇The Strong＇city，at the extreme S．W．of Palestine towards Egypt，tivo miles from the sea；in the portion of Judah，but soon taken by the Philistines，and made one of their five cities；taken by Alexander the Great after a siege of five months；destroyed by the Jewish king Alexander Jannaeus b．c．96，and re－built by Gabinius b．c． 56 ； is now known as Ghuzzeh and has 15,000 inhabitants．
av̈r $]$ ］＇This（i．e．the particular road you are to take） is desert＇，i．e．leads through the desert．aüt $\eta$ refers to the principal noun of the sentence，$\delta \delta \delta \dot{s}$ ，not to $\Gamma a ́ \zeta a v$ ，and the words are part of the angelic direction to Philip，pointing out to him which of the roads to Gaza he was to take，viz． ＇the desert road＇．

If aü $\eta$ refers to $\Gamma$ cá ${ }^{2}$ ，the words must be treated as a parenthetical remark of the writer，perfectly unnecessary， and also，as regards the condition of Gaza，untrue．

27．ává ver．27］Specimen obedientiae．

Ai日lo屯］Ps．lxviii．31．єv̉vov̂xos：frequently employed by Eastern sovereigns in high posts．

Kav\＆ákทs］Like＇Pharaoh＇，＇Caesar＇，this was not a name，but the title borne by the queens of Aethiopia．Their capital was Meroe on the upper Nile．

үágఇs］A Persian word used of＇the royal treasure＇： common in Latin，gaza．
ôs $\mathfrak{e ̉ \lambda}$ ．$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa v \nu \eta{ }^{\eta} \sigma \omega \nu$ eis＇I．］cf．John xii．20．He was clearly already a convert to Judaism．

28．$\alpha v \in \mathfrak{i}(\nu \omega \sigma \kappa \epsilon \nu$ ］In its proper sense＇reading aloud＇， cf．$\eta$ そкou $\epsilon \boldsymbol{\nu}$ below．It would probably be from the LXX． version，naturally well known in Egypt．

30．ápá $\gamma \epsilon$ ］＇Dost thou really？＇implying that he does not．
$\gamma เ \nu$. á ávaүเฉш́ซкєьs；］quae legis，ea intellegis？－a play on
F．W．words．Cf．the famous saying of Julian with reference to
M．\＆c．the Christian writings $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \nu \omega \nu$ ，$\epsilon^{\prime} \gamma \nu \omega \nu$ ，к $\alpha \tau \epsilon \in \gamma \nu \omega \nu$ ，and the


31．$\left.\pi \omega \hat{s} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho{ }^{\circ} \nu . ..\right] ~ \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ，elegans particula，hoc sensu： quid quaeris？B．＇You need not ask，for how should I be able？＇The sentence in its first half expresses hopelessness： a gleam of hope and possibility comes in with the words ċd $\nu \mu \dot{\eta} \ldots$.
 passage (of Scripture) which...'. For roa申' ' 'a passage (of La. de Scripture)' cf. i. 16 n . 'Where the reference is to the Light. sacred writings as a whole the plural roaфal is universally Gal. iii. found'. Therefore the Vulgate, locus scripturae quem legebat, and A.V. ' the place of the scripture', cannot be right.
is $\pi \rho \dot{\prime} \beta a \tau 0 \nu . .$.$] Is. liii. 7, 8. The quotation is from$ LXX. which differs considerably from the Hebrew.
A.V. gives :
'He was taken from prison and from judgment :
And who shall declare his generation?
For he was cut off out of the land of the living:
For the transgression of my people was he stricken'.
This should be thus rendered and explained: He (i.e. Cheyne, Jehovah's Servant) was taken away (=cut off, i.e. by a andoc. violent death) through oppression and judgment (i.e. R.V. through an oppressive judgment), and as for his generation (i.e. contemporaries), who considered that he was cut off ...that for the transgression of my people he was stricken? (i.e. no one of his contemporaries meditated on the truth that the Divine Envoy's life was cut short for the sins of the people.)

This explanation of the Hebrew gives enough light to make clear the general meaning of the Greek, viz.: 'he was humiliated, but who can describe (the wickedness of) his A. La. contemporaries, in that he was put to death ?'

The words $\dot{\eta}$ крícs aútô $\hat{\eta} \rho \theta \theta \eta$ cannot possibly however be brought into conformity with the Hebrew. The meaning seems to be, ' by his humiliation, his sentence (i. e. to death) was done away with', i.e. because he humbled himself to So La death he is now exalted and the sentence of death has been


35. ávoí̧as тò $\sigma$ тó $\mu \alpha]$ used only to introduce some weighty utterance, cf. Matt. v. 2, before the Sermon on the Mount, and below x. 34.
$\epsilon \dot{\jmath} \eta \gamma \gamma$. $\tau \grave{o} v$ 'I $\eta \sigma o v ̂ v]$ i. e. described the life of Jesus, and pointed out its correspondence with the account of Messiah given in Isaiah.

 $\theta \epsilon o v ̂ ~ \epsilon โ \nu a \iota \tau \grave{\partial} \nu$ 'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{\nu} \nu \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \delta \nu$.
' The insertion seems to have been made to suit the for- A. mularies of the baptismal liturgies'. The phrase còv'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{\nu}$ X $\rho / \sigma \tau \delta \nu$ could not have been written by Luke, see ii. 22 n .
38. katéß. 's $\tau \mathbf{\tau}$ ì v̋ $\omega_{\rho}$ ] Literally, actual immersion being practised, see the account of the baptism of Jesus (Matt. iii. 16) and the rubric in the Baptismal Service, 'if... the child may well endure it, he (the Priest) shall dip it in the water discreetly and warily'. The Teacling of the Twelve Apostles c. 7 prescribes that it shall be if possible 'in running water' (év vidacı j $\bar{\omega} \nu \tau \iota$ ), failing that in other water, cold if possible, but if not in warm : only as a last alternative may water be 'poured thrice on the head'.
39. $\pi v \in \hat{v} \mu a$ Kvplov...] 'the Spirit of the Lord...'. Clearly a miraculous removal of Philip is described, cf. 1 Kings xviii. $12 \pi \nu \in \hat{\imath} \mu a$ K $\nu \rho \neq 0$ d̀ $\dot{\rho} \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \epsilon, 2$ Kings ii. $16 \mu \dot{\eta} \pi$ отє $\hat{\eta} \rho \epsilon \nu$ aivò̀ $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \mu a$ K $\nu \rho \neq v$ : for $\bar{\eta} \rho \pi a \sigma \epsilon \nu=a b r i p u i t$, cf. 2 Cor. xii. 2; 1 Thess. iv. 17.

Xa[ $\rho \omega \nu]$ Note its position.
40. єivéén cis "A乌.] Pregnant construction. 'Was carried to and found at A.'

Azotus, Ashdod, is 60 miles W. of Jerusalem, 35 N . of Gaza, and was one of the five cities of the Philistines and noted for the worship of Dagon, 1 Sam . v.

Kairapiav] 'the city of Caesar', called C. Palaestinae to distinguish it from other cities of the same name (e.g. C. Philippi), originally Turris Stratonis, but largely improved by Herod the Great and called Caesarea in honour of Augustus. It is 55 miles N.W. of Jerusalem, on the coast S. of Mt Carmel. It possessed a fine harbour made at great cost by Herod. It was the chief city of Palestine (Judaeae caput Tac. Hist. in. 79), and the residence of the Roman Procurator (cf. xxiii. 23, xxiv. 27).

## CHAPTER IX.

1. èvavécul àm. kal фóvov] The genitives indicate that in which the 'breath' consisted: it was 'a breath of threatening and murder'. So in the Anthology $\pi 6 \theta 0 v$, é $\rho \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \nu$, $\chi \alpha \rho i \tau \omega \nu \pi \nu \epsilon i v$. The cognate acc. is more common in classical Greek, e.g. $\pi \hat{\nu} \rho, ~ \phi o ́ v o \nu, ~ \kappa b \tau o \nu ~ \pi \nu \epsilon i ̂ . ~$
H. refer- 2. $\quad$ émborodd.s] 'By decrees of Julius Caesar and Augusring to Biscoe, c. 6 , pt. 2 tus the high priest and Sanhedrin at Jerusalem had jurisdiction over Jews resident in foreign cities'.
$\Delta a \mu a \sigma k \dot{\nu}$ ] About 150 miles N.E. of Jerusalem; one of the oldest cities in the world, situated in a singularly fertile plain watered by the Barada (Abana, 2 Kings $\mathbf{v}$. 12) on the direct line of traffic between Tyre and the East. First mentioned Gen. xiv. 15 : taken by David but lost by Solomon, and the capital of a great Syrian power until taken
by Tiglath-Pileser king of Assyria (2 Kings xvi. 9) в.c. 740. It was soon rebuilt, but its greatness was eclipsed by that of Antioch. At this time it was in the possession of Aretas v. w. (2 Cor. xi. 32) an Arabian prince tributary to the Romans, ad loc. who may have been favourable to Jewish authority. It was ${ }_{i x}$ and on taken in A.D. 634 by the Mahometan Arabs and became the metropolis of the Mahometan world. It has still 150,000 inhabitants. Josephus mentions that 10,000 Jews were B. J. butchered in it by Nero.
II. 25.
 by God, which leads through faith in Christ to salvation. So xix. 9, xxii. 4, and xvi. 17 ó $\delta$. $\sigma \omega \tau \eta p l a s ;$ xviii. 25 ód. кขpiov. It is opposed to alpeб८s xxiv. 14 q. v.
2. $\left.\mathfrak{\epsilon} v \delta \delta^{\prime} \tau \hat{\omega} \ldots\right]$ Paul's conversion is described by himself xxii. 6-12, and xxvi. 12-19. The variations in the three accounts are considerable and relate (1) to the words spoken by Jesus, (2) to the effect produced on Paul's companions.
(1) In c. xxvi. very much more is said to have been spoken by Jesus, but it is not improbable that Paul there in his speech unites to the words actually heard by him the fuller explanation of them subsequently divinely communicated by Ananias and in other visions.
(2) As regards his companions,
(a) iot $\dot{\kappa} \kappa \epsilon \sigma a \nu \quad \dot{\nu} \epsilon \circ \ell$ here has been contrasted with xxvi. $14 \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \tau a \pi \epsilon \sigma o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ єis $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$, but the points of time referred to are different. Here the position of Paul's companions after the vision is described in the words 'they were standing speechless': in xxvi. 14 their act on the first appearance of the vision is described - 'they and Paul ( $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ) fell to the ground'; the act is parallel to the $\pi \epsilon \sigma \omega^{\nu} \nu$ of ver. 4 in this account.


 ever that there is no real inconsistency. What Paul hears and sees is definite: what they hear and see is indefinite. They heard the $\phi \omega \nu \dot{\eta}$, ' the utterance', but did not hear ' the utterance of him who spake to me', i.e. the actual words which Paul heard. 'They 'saw the light' but saw 'no person' ( $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \nu a)$, whereas Paul saw Jesus.

In any case the variations are a proof of the honesty of the writer. Variation in a repeated account is natural, but the artificial introduction of this natural variation with a view to deceive is very hard to imagine.

Paul himself refers to thisevent as establishing his claim to be an Apostle, i.e. one who had seen the Lord and
received his commission directly from Him. 1 Cor. ix. 1, xv. 8, 9; Gal. i. 12, 16.
$\pi \epsilon \rho \stackrel{\eta}{\sigma} \sigma \rho a \psi \epsilon \nu$ ф̄̄s] It was 'about mid-day' (xxii.6) and the light was 'above the brightness of the sun' (xxvi. 13). $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau$. indicates that the light flashed around him suddenly and unexpectedly like lightning.
 tine in $M$. tremely hazardous to draw the distinction that $\dot{\alpha} \kappa$. $\phi \omega \nu \dot{\eta} \nu=$ ' to hear and understand', $\dot{\alpha} \kappa . \phi \omega \nu \eta=$ ' $=$ ' to hear' merely. For
 $\eta{ }_{\eta} \kappa о \cup \sigma a \phi \omega \nu \grave{\eta} \nu \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \gamma$., shewing that the constructions are simple equivalents.

इaoúd $\Sigma a o v i \lambda]$ The Hebrew form of the word (cf. xxvi. 14) used in all three accounts and by Ananias (ver. 17, xxii. 13) but not elsewhere. The repetition of the word expresses solemn emphasis ; cf. Matt. xxiii. 37 ' O Jerusalem, Jerusalem'; Luke x. 41 'Martha, Martha'.
$\mu \mathrm{f}$ ] Jesus identifies Himself with His followers, cf. Luke x. 16. Caput pro membris clamabat..
5. Є̀ $\left.\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\prime} . . . \sigma \dot{\imath}\right]$ Very emphatic antithesis, lost in English.

Scókєเs] Here T.R. adds ' without the authority of any de Greek codex' $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \delta \nu \quad \sigma 0 \iota \pi \rho o ̀ s \kappa \in ́ v \tau \rho a \lambda i a \kappa \tau i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ (from xxvi. 14). Wette.

As $W$.
C. \& H . and so Stanley,


7. iortiketซav] 'were standing'; it is used as the imperfect of $\epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \alpha{ }^{\text {'I }}$ istand' (cf. i. 11, xxvi. 6). The word certainly indicates an upright position, and could not refer to men prostrate on the ground: to explain 'had halted', ' had ceased to move forward', and so to reconcile it with xxvi. 14, is impossible and needless.

## 11. ó кúptos] Jesus, cf. ver. 17.

คं $\mu \eta v \tau \eta \dot{\eta} v: .$.$] . "We are allowed to bear in mind that the$ thoroughfares of Eastern cities do not change, and to believe that the 'straight street',. which still extends through Damascus in long perspective from the Eastern gate, is the street where Ananias spoke to Paul".
¢ $u ́ \mu \eta$, here merely 'street' as xii. 10; Matt. vi. 2, though Luke xiv. $21 \pi \lambda a \tau \varepsilon$ ias'кal $\dot{\rho} .=(\mathrm{broad})$ 'streets and lanes'.
$\Sigma a v ̂ \lambda o v$ óvópact T.]. 'one S. by name', a man of Tarsus'. Tarsus on the Gydnus, 12 miles from its mouth, was the capital of the Roman province of Cilicia. It ranked with Athens and Alexandria as a celebrated schcol of philosophy and literature. It was an urbs libera, i.e. a city enjoying
the right of local self-government. Paul himself speaks of

12. duvaß入éqท] 'see again', 'recover his sight'. A. and R.V. 'receive his sight'.
13. áy'oss] The same word as 'sanctus' 'saint': here first used $=$ 'Christians'. Very common in St Paul's Epistles.
 strument of choosing', i.e. 'chosen vessel'. The object for which the vessel is to be used is expressed in tov $\beta \alpha \sigma \tau \dot{d} \sigma a \iota$ 'to carry my name...'.
$\dot{\epsilon} \theta \nu \omega ิ v$ ] Cf. iv. 25 n. Gentes primo ponuntur: nam 1. Paulus gentium apostolus.
ßaनı入é $\omega v$ ] Agrippa (xxvi. 2) : Nero.
 (without fear)...for I will shew him what he must himself suffer' (so that you need not fear that he will do injury to you). This brings out the clear contrast between ö $\sigma \alpha$ какג
 remembered that $\pi o \iota \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$ and $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ are strongly antithetical words. Patitur Paulus quae fecerat Saulus. For 'the things he suffered' cf. 2 Cor. xi. 23-28.
$\dot{v} \pi 0 \delta \epsilon \in\{\omega]=$ to point out beforehand, especially by way of warning. Cf. Matt. iii. 7; Luke iii. 7 тis viré $\delta \epsilon \iota \xi \in \nu \dot{v} \mu i \nu$ $\phi v \gamma \epsilon i \nu ;$ Luke xii. 5.
18. ai $\pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \sigma a v . . . \omega$ s $\lambda \epsilon \pi(\delta \epsilon s]$ ' there fell from his eyes as it were scales'. The Gk does not indicate that 'scales' or 'something like scales' actually fell from the eyes, but that what Paul experienced was the 'falling away' of 'a sort of scale' or 'film', which had previously obscured his vision.

For $\lambda \epsilon \pi i \delta \epsilon s$, cf. Tobit xi. $13 \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \pi i \sigma \theta \eta \ldots \dot{a} \pi \dot{d} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\partial} \phi \theta a \lambda \mu \omega \hat{\nu}$ т̀̀ $\lambda \epsilon \cup \kappa \kappa \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau \alpha$, 'the white film peeled from his eyes', and Pope, Messiah, 39
'He from thick films shall purge the visual ray
And on the sightless eyeball pour the day'.
19. $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu$ ćpas tıvás] A short period, cf. x. 48, xvi. 12, xxiv. 24. Luke apparently knows nothing of the journey into 'Arabia' which Paul tells us (Gal. i. 16) followed 'immediately' after his conversion, he returning from it to Damascus, and only going up to Jerusalem 'after three years'. It would seem also that Luke was not aware of the length of this interval, as the phrase $\dot{\eta} \mu$. iкадаi (ver. 23) is a very vague one (cf. ver. 43 n .), though not absolutely inconsistent with the existence of a considerable interval.

Cf. 1 Kings ii. 38 where the words ' many days' are in the next verse referred to as 'three years' (though LXX. gives $\tau \rho l a \notin \tau \eta$ in both places).

Paul's own account of this period Gal. i. 13-24 is to be carefully compared, and it should be borne in mind, (1) hand', the Epistle to the Galatians is written by an 'eyewitness and actor in the scenes which he describes', and (2) that the object of the two writers is different: Luke desires to give a historical narrative of the outward facts of Paul's career, Paul to explain the facts of his inward spiritual history. 'The two accounts are not contradictory, but the impression left by St Luke's narrative needs correcting by the precise and authentic statement of St Paul'.
21. $\left.\delta \pi 0 \rho \theta \eta \sigma_{\text {as }}\right]$ The word similarly used of himself
 military word.
22. $\left.\sigma v v \beta \iota \beta a^{\prime} \xi \omega v\right]$ Just as $\sigma v \nu i \eta \mu l$ 'to put together' means 'to comprehend', 'understand', so $\sigma v \mu \beta \iota \beta \alpha\} \omega$ 'to bring together' is used of bringing several facts together and deducing the logical inference, 'proving'. Thus:

It was foretold that Messiah should do certain things; Jesus has done these things;
Therefore Jesus is Messiah.
The word exactly describes the method of argument continually employed by the Apostles, cf. xvii. 3.
24. $\pi a \rho є \tau \eta \rho \circ \hat{v} v$ т ] i.e. the Jews. Cf. 2 Cor. xi. 32,
 governor of Aretas the king (of Arabia)' : the Jews probably applied for and obtained the assistance of the governor.
25. Sıà tov̂ $\tau \epsilon l$ Xous] 2 Cor. xi. 33 סıà Ouplóos... $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau o ̂ ̂ ~$ $\tau \epsilon i \chi o u s$. Paul was let down through the window of a house standing upon the town wall: cf. Josh. ii. 15, where Rahab aids the spies to escape from Jericho, 'she let them down by a cord through the window : for her house was upon the town wall, and she dwelt upon the wall'.
$\left.\sigma \phi v p i \delta_{\imath}\right]$ T.R. $\sigma \pi v \rho i \delta \iota$; the word used Matt. xv. 37; Mark viii. 8: the Latin sporta, whence sportula. a plaited basket for holding provisions.
27. '̇ $\pi \uparrow \lambda a \beta o ́ \mu \in \nu \circ s]$ Pictorial, cf. xvii. 19 : 'having taken him by the hand'.
 he went up 'to visit' or 'become acquainted with (i $\sigma \tau 0 \rho \eta$ $\sigma a \iota$ ) Cephas' with whom he abode fifteen days, and adds 'other of the Apostles saw I none save James the Lord's brother'. Luke clearly possesses only inexact knowledge of this period.
 clearly means 'he was with them in Jerusalem going in and ${ }_{P}^{A}$. out with them', i.e. in close personal intercourse with them, cf. the use of $\epsilon i \sigma \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$ кai $\bar{\epsilon} \xi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$ i.21. The words $\hat{\eta} \nu \epsilon i s$ go together, and the use of $\epsilon i s$, in preference to $\epsilon \nu$, is probably due to the intervention of the verbs expressing motion.

Paul (Gal. i. 22) says that he was 'unknown by face to the churches in Judaea', and it is to be observed that Luke describes the present visit as abruptly terminated, and strictly confined to Jerusalem itself.
 Caesarea, used absolutely, clearly refers to the best-known Caesarea on the coast. The whole phrase indicates a voyage by sea to Tarsus, though $\bar{\xi} \xi \alpha \pi \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \epsilon i \lambda a \nu$ does not by itself imply 'a sending off by sea'; it is a favourite word of Luke As A. (e.g. xi. 22, xvii. 14) = 'send away' whether by sea or land.
 इuplas кal $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ Kıлıкias, but it is not therefore necessary to state. assume that he travelled by land through Syria to Cilicia and Tarsus. He merely states that the next period of his life was spent in the district which he describes as that of 'Syria and Cilicia', the name of Syria being probably placed first on account of its greater importance as a province.

Paul next visited Jerusalem 'after fourteen years'. Gal. ii. 1 .
 40) troubles enough of their own in connection with the decision of Caligula to place his statue in the Holy of Holies. Cf. Tac. Hist. v. 9 Jussi a Caio Caesare effigiem ejus in templo locare, arma potius sumpserunt: quem motum Caesaris mors diremit.
oikoठо $о$ о $\mu$ é $\eta$ ] This interesting word is used in the N. T. (1) in its literal sense 'to build', (2) metaphorically, (a) as here, of the 'building' of a non-material fabric, such as the Church, (b) in the more secondary sense of spiritual 'instruction', 'advancement', 'strengthening', which attaches to the words 'edify' and 'edification', which we have derived from it through its Latin rendering aedifico.

It occurs eleven times in Luke and always in its literal sense : the only passage in the Gospels where it is not so used is Matt. xvi. 18, where it is used by Jesus, as here, of
 It is used in its third sense Acts xx . 32. It and olкоおo $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{\eta}}$ are frequent in St Paul's Epistles (cf. 1 Cor. xiv.), always in a metaphorical and often in a secondary sense.

The use of this and similar words with a gradually developing meaning deserves attention, as serving possibly to throw valuable light on the comparative order in point of time of the books of the N.T. Certainly the use of oiко$\delta o \mu \hat{\omega}$ points to an early origin of the Synoptic Gospels or their common source.
$\tau \hat{\mu}$ ф́́ $\beta \varphi$ ] The dat. expresses the rule or standard by which they regulated their course, cf. xxi. $21 \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a \tau \epsilon i \nu$ $\tau 0 i \hat{s}$ ध $\theta \in \sigma$.

тท̂ $\pi a \rho a \kappa \lambda \eta$ ทं $\sigma \epsilon \ldots$...] cf. iv. 36 n . The 'encouragement' of the Holy Spirit is described as aiding or guiding them in their progress.

The term $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \eta \tau o s$ is applied to the Holy Spirit only by St John (xiv. 16, 26, xv. 26, xvi. 7) and is there rendered ' Comforter', the margin in R.V. however giving 'Helper' or 'Advocate'.
32. $\Lambda$ ú $\delta \delta \alpha v]$ In O.T. Lod (1 Chron. viii. 12) near Joppa; afterwards Diospolis.
34. lâtal $\sigma \epsilon$ 'Iךซoûs] Grata Lucae medico paronomasia.
 x. 38 'I $\eta \sigma \circ$ ûs ôs $\delta \iota \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$ l $\omega$ $\mu \epsilon \nu 0 s$.
$\sigma \tau \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma o v \sigma \epsilon a v \tau \varphi \hat{]}]$ Note the aorist. Now, forthwith, do thyself what others have hitherto done for thee.
35. тòv $\left.\sum a \rho \omega \hat{\nu} a\right]$ Not a place, but, as the article shews, the district called Sharon ( $\dot{\delta}$ ¿́a $\rho \omega \nu$, Is. xxxiii. 9), the famous plain extending along the coast from Caesarea to Joppa, cf. Sol. Song ii. 1.
oitıves] 'and they', or 'who also', cf. vii. 53 n .
36. 'Ió $\pi \pi \eta]$ Now Jaffa, the port (cf. 2 Chron. ii. 16) of Jerusalem on the Mediterranean.
M. Taßeө日á] An Aramaic word='a gazelle', a term often used of women in Oriental poetry. $\Delta о \rho к a ́ s ~ i s ~ s t r i c t l y ~ a ~ f e m . ~$ $a d j .=$ 'the creature with the beautiful look' or 'eyes' from бє́рконаг.
 кal $\eta^{\prime \prime} \lambda \epsilon \iota \psi a \nu \lambda\left(\pi^{\prime} \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \lambda a l \varphi\right.$; Virg. Aen. vi. 219 corpusque lavant frigentis et unguunt.
 R.V., but 'displaying', 'exhibiting'. The word conveys the idea of 'shewing with pride', 'satisfaction', v. Lex.

Xıт $̂$ vas кal $i \mu a ́ \tau \iota \alpha]$ The two great divisions of clothes; the $\chi \iota \tau \omega \nu$, an under garment fitting close, the i $\mu a ́ \tau \iota o \nu$, an outer garment loose and flowing. In Latin tunica and toga.

## öra] Not merely $\mathfrak{~}$ ' which', but 'all which': they were numerous.

 of the raising of Jairus' daughter by Jesus Luke viii. 54 is misleading, as the insertion there of the words $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \dot{\omega} \nu$ ${ }_{\xi}{ }_{\xi} \xi \omega \pi$ dávtas $\kappa a i$ is without authority.
43. ikavds] This adj. is very frequent in the Acts. It is employed to describe (1) time, (2) number, (3) size. Derived from iкцеєopat it indicates that which 'does not fall short', 'is adequate', 'sufficient', and it has a purely relative value. For example, when applied to time it might describe ten days, ten months, or ten years: it merely describes the time as not out of relation to what you would expect, though it certainly suggests rather a long than a short time. Here for instance iк. $\dot{\eta} \mu$. might refer to a month and so xviii. 18; but viii. 11 iк. $\chi$ póv $\varphi$ could hardly be so short a period, and Luke viii. $27 \chi \rho \dot{\chi} \nu \varphi$ iк. might mean ' many years'. So too when applied to numbers e..g. xi. 24, 26 , xiv. 21 , the adj. must be considered in relation to the number of inhabitants in the cities mentioned. As applied to size the vagueness of $\phi \omega \hat{s} i k$. xxii. 6 is obvious.
$\beta v p \sigma \in i]$ Classical Greek $\beta v \rho \sigma o \delta \epsilon \in \psi \eta s$. The trade was v. F. held unclean by the Jews. The word seems added inten- c. 15 . tionally and emphatically at the end, in connection with the event which follows.

## CHAPTER X.

1. Kopv $\lambda^{\lambda}$ ıos] Probably the descendant of some freedman of the great Cornelian family.
exarovrápxทs] The centuria is one of the oldest divisions of the Roman army, and its officer was centurio 'leader of a hundred': the 'full legion' (justa legio) at this time consisted of 60 centuries or 10 cohorts.
'The Roman centurions in the N. T. always appear in a H . favourable light. See Matt. viii. 5; Luke vii. 2, xxiii. 47; Acts xxvii. $3{ }^{\text {'. }}$.
$\sigma \pi \epsilon$ ip ${ }^{\prime}$ s] Like globus $=$ ' $a$ band of men', probably here 'a cohort', as xxi. 31.
 Italians, not troops levied in the Provinces. Such a cohort would naturally be stationed at Caesarea, the seat of the Roman governor.
2. кal фоß. тòv 0.] The addition of these words to the general epithet ' pious' seems to shew that they are intended m .
to particularize Cornelius as not merely a god－fearing man， but as＇fearing God＇，i．e．the God of the Jews（cf．xiii．16， 26），and so a Proselyte of the Gate，i．e．uncircumcised．Cf． too the use of $\sigma \epsilon \beta \sigma \mu \epsilon$ vos clearly in the same sense xvii．4， 17.
$\tau \hat{\omega}$ 论 $]$ i．e．the Jews（cf．iv． 25 n．），and so confirming the view that $\tau \partial \nu \theta$ ．before and $\tau 0 \hat{v} \theta$ ．after it must refer to Jehovah．Moreover $\tau 0 \hat{v} \theta$ ．ver． 3 is certainly Jehọvah．

4．dééß $\eta \sigma a v$ ］＇rose＇or＇went up＇，i．e．like the smoke or savour of an offering or incense．For the metaphor， applied to prayer cf．Ps．cxli．2，and to alms Phil．iv． 18.

єis $\mu \nu \eta \mu \mu^{\sigma} \sigma v v_{0}$ ］＇so as to be a memorial＇，so as to remind God of thee．$\mu \nu \eta \mu \delta \sigma v \nu_{0} \nu$ is used in LXX．Lev．ii．2，9， 16 of the portion of the meat－offering which was actually burnt．

6．$\pi$ apd 0 ádarбav］Outside the town and near the sea， on account of his trade．
 тàs Nealpq tóтє $\pi \rho о \sigma к а р т є \rho о ⿱ 亠 䒑 \sigma a s . ~$

9．Tiी Emav́pıov］1st day they set out；2nd about noon reach Joppa；3rd return with Peter ；4th reach Caesarea again．Joppa was 28 miles from Caesarea． House．
ávé $\beta_{\eta}$＇̇ $\pi \grave{l}$ тò $\left.\delta \hat{\omega} \mu a\right]$＇went up on to the house＇，i．e．on to the roof of the house．The flat roofs of Oriental houses were used for many purposes，e．g．drying corn，hanging up linen，as places of recreation in the evening and as sleeping places at night（I Sam．ix．25，26； 2 Sam．xi．2，xvi． 22 ； Prov．xxi．9）；as places of devotion and even idolatrous worship（2 Kings xxiii．12；Jer．xxxii．29）．

10．aut $\hat{\omega} \nu$ ］i．c．the people of the house，those whose business it was．
ék $\sigma \tau a \sigma เ s]$ The word represents a state in which a man， to a greater or less extent，ceases to be under the control of conscious reason andintelligence ：he＇passes out of himself＇ （ $\epsilon \xi\{\sigma \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \iota$ ）and needs＇to come to himself＇again（ef．xii． 11 $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \alpha \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \gamma \epsilon \nu \dot{\partial} \mu \in \nu 0 s)$ ．It may describe the effect of awe and amazement（cf．iii． $10 \theta \dot{\alpha} \mu \beta$ os каl є̈к．，viii． $9,11,13$ ），or fear （Mark xvi． $8 \tau \rho \delta \mu$ оs каi ${ }^{\epsilon} \kappa$ ．），or as here and xxii． 17 a com－ plete loss of outward consciousness，＇a trance＇．

## 11．$\theta \in \omega \rho \in \hat{\imath}]$ Graphic present．

 （being lowered）by four corners＇lit．＇beginnings＇．In English we say＇ends＇not＇beginnings＇．

Alford says that this would certainly require the article -'the four corners'. He is wrong : a sheet so let down has not necessarily four ends or corners : it might be lowered by $3,5,6$ or any number of ends, and therefore you can say 'by four ends' or 'corners' without adding the article. His rendering 'a rope-end' is impossible: $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \grave{\eta}$ cannot mean the 'end of a rope', except where a rope has been already mentioned, e.g. Eur. Hipp. $772 \dot{\pi} \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu \dot{a} \rho \chi \alpha ́ s$.

## 13. ávaotás] v .17 n .

14. $\mu \eta \delta \alpha \mu \omega \bar{s}]$ not oú $\delta a \mu \hat{s}$ : a protest, not a refusal. Cf. the similar conduct of Peter, Matt. xvi. 22 incés $\sigma o \iota$,
 $\pi \delta \delta$ as $^{\mu} \mu \mathrm{v}$.
ov̉ס́́ $\pi \mathbf{\pi} \boldsymbol{\tau} \ldots \pi \hat{\alpha} v$ ] A Hebraism common in N.T., e.g. Matt. xxiv. 22 oủк $\hat{\alpha} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \dot{\omega} \theta_{\eta} \pi a \hat{\sigma} \alpha \sigma^{\alpha} \alpha \xi$. Cf. Teaching of the


коıvòv] Identical with $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \alpha ́ \theta a \rho т о \nu, ~ c f . ~ M a r k ~ v i i . ~ 2 ~ к о \iota \nu a i ̂ s ~$ $\chi \in \rho \sigma i, \tau 0 \hat{\tau} \tau$ ' $\epsilon_{\sigma} \sigma \tau \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu i \pi \tau o c s$. That which is 'common', 'shared by all', is opposed to that which is 'peculiar', 'possessed by few'; hence the word acquires the contemptuous sense of 'ordinary', 'vulgar', or, as here, is applied to food not specially pronounced 'clean'. For 'unclean' animals cf. Lev. xi.

For the sense of this passage and the use of kouv $\omega \boldsymbol{\omega}$ and кaөapi弓ш ef. carefully Mark vii. 18, 19, where Jesus distinctly asserts that ' nothing that goeth into a man can defile ( $\kappa \circ \iota \omega \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha \iota$ ) him', and Mark (who is said to have derived much information from Peter) adds the remarkable comment 'This he said, making all meats clean ( $\kappa \alpha \theta a-$ pi$\left.\zeta^{\prime} \omega \nu\right)^{\prime *}$.
15. á ó $\theta$ tòs...] 'what God made' or 'deolared clean', i.e. by thus offering them to thee.
 Gen. xli. 32.
17. $\delta \downarrow \eta \pi$ ópєь- $\delta \iota \epsilon \downarrow \theta \nu \mu \circ \cup \mu \in ́ v o v]$ ver. 19. Luke is singularly fond of verbs compounded with $\delta \iota$, cf. $\delta \iota \eta \pi \frac{\rho}{}$ oûvto ii. 12; $\delta \iota a \chi \lambda \epsilon \nu \alpha \zeta_{0 \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ i i . ~ 13 ; ~ \delta \iota a \pi о \nu о и ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota ~ i v . ~ 2 ; ~ \delta \iota a \tau \eta-~}^{\text {in }}$

 others. The preposition strengthens and emphasizes the verb: it adds the idea of thoroughness.
 expresses more doubt and uncertainty than $\tau i \epsilon^{\prime \prime \eta} \eta$, 'what it was'.
20. Slakpıvónevos] This verb in the middle is consistently used in N.T. (e.g. Matt. xxi. 21; Rom. iv. 20, xiv. 23 ; James i.6) of 'being divided in mind', 'being in doubt', 'wavering', cf. Tennyson 'hither and thither dividing the swift mind', Virg. Aen. iv. 285 animum nunc huc nunc dividit illuc.

In xi. 12 where these words are repeated the active is used, $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu$. $\delta$ aca $\rho l \nu a \nu \tau a$, and R.V. substitutes for 'nothing doubting' the rendering 'making no distinction', in accordance with the regular usage of the active, e.g. xv. 9 o $\theta \in \delta s . . .0 \dot{\delta} \delta \dot{e} \nu \delta \delta \epsilon \in \kappa \rho \nu \nu \in \nu$. The difference is curious, and though in xi. 12 the reading is uncertain, some mss. giving $\mu$. $\delta \iota \alpha-$ крьvб䒑evov, others omitting the words, still the preponderance of authority for $\mu$. סıakpivavia is very considerable, and it is not easy to get rid of the words as an interpolation due to an incorrect recollection of the present passage.

Perhaps we may say that here the idea dwelt on is Peter's own internal doubt, whereas in xi. 12 the result of such doubt in his active conduct to others is contemplated. Here the command is 'Go, without letting the distinction between Jew and Gentile perplex your mind'; in xi. 12 it is 'Go, without letting that distinction cause you to hesitate in action'.
22. $\mu$ артvpoúpevos] ef. vi. 3 .

тov̂ "êvovs $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'I.] Not $\lambda$ aov, for the speaker is not a Jew.
èxp $\ddagger \mu a \tau i \sigma \theta \eta]$ Also of a divine communication Luke ii.
 $\pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota \quad \chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \epsilon \in \mathfrak{N} \hat{N} \epsilon$.
24. Tov̀s davak. \$(Xovs] So in Latin necessarius, and necessitudo of close, intimate friendship.
 regard the gen. as inexplicable. It appears however that the genitive gives the contents of the action contained in the verb: it is parallel to, though more difficult than, the constructions commented on iii. 12, vii. 19. The thing which took place is defined as consisting in Peter's entry.
$\epsilon l \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \in i \nu$ here of entering the house; ver. 27 elo $\hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$ of entering a particular room.
$\left.\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \kappa ⿱ ́ v \eta \sigma_{\mathrm{Ev}}\right]$ Adoravit: non addidit Lucas, 'eum'. Euphemia. B.

The word does not necessarily imply worship, but is often used of Oriental prostration, e.g. Herod. vir. $136 \pi \rho 0 \sigma-$ $\kappa_{v \nu \ell \in \epsilon \nu \nu}^{\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \in ́ a} \pi \rho \rho \sigma \pi i \tau v o \nu \tau a s$. Such prostration would how-
ever be unnatural in a Roman centurion，and Peter clearly regards the act as implying worship．

Jesus accepts such worship，e．g．Matt．viii． 2.
27．$\sigma v \nu \circ \mu \nu \lambda \omega \nu]$ A．and R．V．＇as he talked with them＇． $\dot{\dot{o}} \mu c \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega$ is only found in N．T．four times（Luke xxiv．14，15； Acts xx．11，xxiv．26），and always in the sense of＇converse＇． Cf．our word＇Homily＇．

28．©́s á日́́pıто⿱亠䒑．．．］There is no such prohibition in the Mosaic law；it seems due to the teaching of the Rabbis exaggerating the danger of defilement．＇Any contact with a heathen might involve such defilement，that on coming from the market an orthodox Jew would have to immerse＇． Though Though no doubt frequently modified in practice，especi－p． 15. ally outside Palestine，yet there is abundant evidence of Jewish exclusiveness，cf．Juv．xiv． 103 non monstrare vias eadem nisi sacra colenti；Tac．Hist．v． 5 adversus omnes alios hostile odium，separati epulis，discreti cubilibus．
 close intimacy and is much stronger than $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \rho \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ ． What was forbidden was not merely＇intimacy＇，but any ＇coming near＇a Gentile．

кá $\mu$ ol i $\theta . .$. ．］A．V．straightforwardly gives＇but＇；R．V． has＇and yet＇，which is the same thing in a roundabout way．The only possible rendering of каl here is＇and＇． Possibly we should explain：＇Ye know that．．．，and to $m e$ it was God who shewed．．．＇，i．e．I know the Jewish law as well as you do，and a divine revelation alone explains my conduct．

M ，and nearly so $A$ ．
 $\mu \eta \chi a \nu \circ \pi о \iota o \hat{v} \kappa \alpha \tau a ф \rho о \nu \in i ̂ s ;$
 day up to this hour I was during the ninth hour praying＇， or as R．V．＇I was keeping the ninth hour of prayer＇；i．e． four days ago（quarto abhinc die）reckoning up to this hour（at which I am speaking to you）I was keeping．．．＇．

A person speaking at 3 p．m．on Friday would refer to
 ing to the Jewish method of reckoning in both days．Cf． ver． 9 n ．

T．R．has $\ddot{\eta} \mu \eta \nu \nu \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\omega} \omega \nu \kappa \alpha i ̀ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu . \dot{\omega}^{\prime} \rho . \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon \nu \chi b \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s$.
$\lambda a \mu \pi \rho \underset{i}{]}$ cf．i．11；Mark ix．3．The epithet indicates a heavenly radiance．

33．ка入へ̂s è $\pi$ оínoas］A formula of expressing thanks．

 $\kappa a \lambda \hat{\omega} s \pi o \iota \eta \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \dot{\prime}$ ，＇that E．said he was much obliged for the information＇．
34. divoļas...] viii. 35 n .
 now see that all men, without distinction of nation, are acceptable to God (i.e. He is willing to accept or welcome them). His message on this subject ( ( $\delta \nu \nu$ $\lambda$ ( $\gamma 0 \nu$ ) He sent to the children of Israel proclaiming peace (to be obtained) through Jesus the Messiah, yes, Jesus who is Lord (not of one nation only but) of all men. You ( $\dot{\mu} \mu \epsilon \hat{s}$ ) know the story of the events which took place ( $\tau \dot{\partial} \gamma \epsilon v \delta \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu$ ค $\hat{\eta} \mu a$ ), how Jesus of Nazareth was 'anointed' by God and so declared to be Messiah, His commission being demonstrated by the works which He performed (vers. 37,38 ), and we (кal $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \hat{i}$ ) are the witnesses to that life, and to the facts of His death and resurrection (vers. 39-41); we are commanded to proclaim Him as the universal judge, even as Him to whom all the prophets bear witness, that He is the Saviour of all who believe on Him'.

 Saviour of all men-this is the essence of the whole speech. Jesus est Christus, Christus est omnium Salvator.
H. M. w. La. and so A.v. and R.v.

Light. ad Gal. ii. 6 . Lange.
 'I $\eta \sigma 0$ ôv all governed by or $\delta a \tau \epsilon$ and in apposition, the words ovitós...кúplos, which contain the gist of the speech, being placed in a parenthesis. This is extremely harsh as regards grammar, ignores the marked difference between $\lambda$ ó ${ }^{\prime}$ os and $\dot{\rho} \eta \hat{\eta} \mu$, and yields no satisfactory sense.
$\Delta \hat{\nu} \nu$ is omitted in AB and may easily be a repetition of the final ov in $\lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu$. Even if it is retained, the stop after aút $\hat{\psi}$ $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \nu$ should be removed, and $\lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu$ be governed by ката$\lambda а \mu \beta a^{\prime} \nu \mu a \iota$-'I apprehend that all...are acceptable, the message which...'

каталацßávоцаь] Exactly 'I apprehend', i.e. 'seize hold' or 'grasp with my mind'. Certain words had only been words before to him: now he really grasps their meaning.
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \pi \sigma \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \tau \eta \mathrm{s}$ ] Only here; but cf. Luke xx. 21 oú $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta a ́ \nu \epsilon \iota s \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi o \nu$; Gal. ii. 6 т $\rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi о \nu \quad \theta \epsilon o ̀ s ~ a ̉ \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \pi o v ~ o u ̉ ~$ $\lambda a \mu \beta a ́ \nu \epsilon \iota$. In Deut. x. 17 God ov̉ $\theta \alpha \nu \mu a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi$ ov oủdè ov̉ $\mu \grave{\eta} \lambda \alpha ́ \beta \eta \delta \hat{\omega} \rho o \nu$, and so 2 Chron. xix. 7; Ps. Ixxxii. 2 of evil judges $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi \alpha \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda \omega \hat{\omega} \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \tau \epsilon$. The phrase indicates paying regard to the external circumstances or accidental qualities of a man as opposed to his intrinsic character. To shew special favour to a Jew, merely because he was a Jew, would be $\pi \rho \delta \sigma \omega \pi о \nu \lambda \alpha \mu \beta a ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$.
35. Sєктòs] A.V. 'accepted with'; R.V. 'acceptable to'. The word can = not merely acceptabilis but acceptus,

36. тòv $\left.\lambda^{\prime} \hat{\gamma}^{\circ} v . ..\right]$ A recollection of Ps. cvii. $20 \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \sigma \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon$ тд̀ $\lambda$. aúrov̂ кal láбato aúrov́s. 入óros is the regular word for the divine message which was delivered by Jesus, e.g. $\dot{\delta} \lambda . \tau 0 \hat{u}$
 xiii. 26 ; ó $\lambda$. $\tau \hat{\eta}$ s $\chi$ ápıтos xiv. 3 or simply ó $\lambda o ́ \gamma o s ~ x v i . ~ 32 . ~$


$\delta$ id 'I.] 'through Jesus', i.e. as the messenger.
ov̂ros] 'He, yes he'-very emphatic. If any one will place a comma after X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau 0 \hat{u}$ and substitute ôs for oûios, he will at once see the emphatic force of ovitos. The four words oū ós $\epsilon \sigma \tau \tau \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$ кúplos contain the very gist and essence of Peter's argument. Their strong simplicity is admirable merely as an instance of rhetorical power. Printed as an unmeaning parenthesis in our English Version their whole power is lost.
 that was enacted' would fairly express the meaning.
 is so frequently used adverbially that possibly it is used here as a pure adverb or else Luke may have commenced to write 'beginning with Galilee...how Jesus (nominative) was anointed and then went about'.

ả $\pi \grave{2} \tau \eta(\mathrm{Ta} \mathrm{\lambda}$.] Luke iv. 14.
38. 'I $\eta \sigma 0$ ûv...av่тóv] The acc. thrown prominently forward and then the pronoun inserted pleonastically after the verb. Great emphasis is thus thrown on 'I. rò $\nu$ Na乡., it being Peter's object to emphasize the fact that Jesus, the man 'Jesus of Nazareth', was declared to be the Messiah.

катабvขaбтєvo $\mu$ ย́vovs] vii. 19 n .
 $\delta \iota \alpha \beta \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \omega ; \delta \iota \alpha \beta 0 \lambda \eta$.
39. kal $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \epsilon \hat{i} \mathrm{~s}]$ answering to $\dot{v} \mu \in i ̂ \mathrm{~s}$ ver. 37. The historical part of Peter's speech is an appeal (1) to what his hearers know; (2) to what the Apostles are witnesses of. For $\mu$ á $\rho \tau v \rho \in s$ cf. i. 22 n.
$\alpha$ ब $v \in i \lambda \alpha \nu . .$.$] ii. 23$ n.: v. 30 n.
41. ทீ $\mu \uparrow \uparrow v$, oitıvєs...] 'even to us, for we (vii. 53 n .)...' For the 'eating and drinking' cf. Luke xxiv. 41, 43.
42. $\left.\pi \alpha \rho \eta \eta^{\prime} \gamma \epsilon \iota \lambda \epsilon \nu\right]$ i.e. ó $\theta \epsilon$ ós, clearly. Throughout it is the action of God which is dwelt on: God sends Jesus the Messiah, and commands the Apostles to proclaim and bear
witness to the fact. Moreover oûtos (i.e. Jesus) could not refer to the nom. of $\pi a \rho \dot{\gamma} \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \overline{ }$. T. R. reads avirós, thus probably making 'Jesus' nom. to $\pi a \rho$.: in which case the reference would be to the command of Jesus Matt. xxviii. 19.
43. $\pi\llcorner\sigma \tau \epsilon$ viovia cis avitóv] Of the person towards whom the belief is directed, in whose existence and personality it

 distinction is usually drawn between these phrases and $\pi \iota \sigma \tau e$ é $\omega$ бo九 which is said to $=$ credo tibi 'I believe' or 'trust you', but the distinction cannot be maintained, cf. v. 14, xvi. 34 , xviii. $8, \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}, \tau \hat{\varphi} \kappa v \rho \ell \varphi$.
45. oi $\left.{ }^{2} k \pi \epsilon \rho เ \tau \circ \mu \hat{\eta} S \pi เ \sigma \tau 0 l\right]$ 'those who from circumcision had believed', i.e. those who, having been Jews, had become Christians. Cf. xi. 2; Gal. ii. 12 тoùs $\grave{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau о \mu \hat{\eta} s$ of the Judaizing party. They believed that as a preliminary to being accepted as Christians, i.e. believers in the Messiah, it was necessary to be circumcised and accept the Mosaic Law.
$\tau \mathrm{\alpha}$ ê $\theta v \eta]$ ] the Gentiles': ab exemplo ad omnes concluditur. B.
46. ג̇тєкрi $\theta_{\eta}$ ] cf. iii. 12 n . The 'answer' was not necessarily to their openly expressed questions, but to their visible doubt and amazement.
47. $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \iota$ тò v̈ $\delta \omega \rho \ldots$...] 'Surely no one can withhold the water...?' For $\mu \dot{\eta} r \iota ~ c f$. Luke vi. $39 \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \iota \iota o ́ v a \tau a \iota ~ \tau v \phi \lambda \grave{s}$


Note the article, 'the water'. Hitherto the 'gift of the Holy Spirit' had followed the human act of baptism as a visible sign of God's presence in those baptized (cf. ii. 38, viii. 16, 17). Now the conditions were reversed: God's presence had been made clear; it therefore rested with them to do their part. Of the two requisites of baptism, water and the Spirit (cf. xi. 16), the Spirit had been given, the water could not be withheld.
$\kappa \omega \lambda \hat{v} \sigma a i]$ Cf. Luke vi. 29 ròv $\chi \iota \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \alpha \mu \eta \grave{\eta}^{\kappa} \omega \lambda \hat{v} \sigma \eta s$.


## CHAPTER XI.


2. $\delta$ iekpivovio] The idea of the word is ' division' and

3. ävסpas ákp. éxovzas] Not ${ }^{\epsilon} \theta \nu \eta$ : the phrase is contemptuous, cf. the use of the adj. 'uncircumcised' in O.T., e.g. 1 Sam. xvii. 26 ; 2 Sam. i. 20.
$\left.\sigma \nu \varepsilon^{\prime} \phi a \gamma \in \nu\right]$ So involving the danger of eating some 'unclean' animal, or meat improperly killed. 'To this day $\mathbf{F}$. orthodox Jews submit to any inconvenience rather than touch meat killed by a Gentile butcher'.
 of $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \tau i \theta \epsilon \tau 0$, and of $\kappa \alpha \theta \epsilon \xi \hat{\eta} s$ (Luke i. 3), and the full repetition of the whole narrative, part of it being indeed given Baum. three times (x. 3-6, 30-32, xi. 13, 14), make it clear that Luke attaches much importance to it. The case of Cornelius was a test case of primary importance. The question, whether to become a Christian it was necessary first to accept the Mosaic law, was the first great difficulty of the early Church, cf. xv. 1 and Gal. passim.
6. кaтєvóovv kal єiסov] 'I was considering it (i.e. trying to understand what it was) and then I saw (aorist)'.
12. Sıaкрivavta] Cf. x. 20 n.
13. тòv ả $\gamma \gamma \in \lambda o v$ ] Peter would probably say 'an angel', but Luke who knows that his readers are already acquainted with the story, not unnaturally writes 'the angel'.
15. ėv $\alpha \rho \times \hat{n}]$ Originally, i.e. at the first outpouring of the Spirit at Pentecost.
16. 'I $\omega$ áv $\left.{ }^{2} \mu^{\mu} \mathrm{v} \nu . ..\right]$ Cf. i. 5 n . The point of the quotation is this : Jesus promised to us as a special gift baptism with the Holy Spirit; that gift He has visibly bestowed on the Gentiles exactly as it was bestowed on us; surely we are bound to admit to the external rite those who have received the reality of baptism.
17. av่гoîs... $\pi เ \sigma \tau \in$ v́ $\sigma a \sigma เ \nu]$, 'to them as to us having believed', or 'because of belief'. Both in grammar and sense $\pi \iota \sigma \tau$. seems properly taken both with aủrois and $\dot{\eta} \mu i ̂ v:$ 'belief' in both cases was the condition of receiving the gift. A. and R.V. give 'when we believed'.
'่ $\gamma \omega$ c ris...] Really a double question (1) 'Who was I
 (2) 'was I able to...'. Observe the emphatic position of é $\gamma \omega$ heightening the contrast and emphasizing the argument: it is not ' who was I?' but ' $I$, who was I?'
18. ทंनúxaбav] Negative: their opposition ceased.

ápa] ergo, 'then', 'so then': the word draws an infer-
 peire, and äpa oû̀ commonly in St Paul at the beginning of a sentence or clause (e.g. Rom. v. 18), a position which àpa cannot occupy in classical Greek.
$\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \mu \epsilon \tau$ ávotav $\operatorname{\epsilon is}$ §.] 'the repentance (which leads) to life', the repentance which it was the special mission of Jesus to offer, cf. v. 31 n.
19. oi $\mu \mathrm{e} v$ oûv Sıa⿱Tapévits] Luke markedly connects this with viii. 4 oi $\mu \epsilon ̇ \nu$ oũv $\delta \iota a \sigma \pi a \rho \epsilon \in \nu \tau \epsilon s \delta \iota \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta o \nu$. From that point we have the description of one set of incidents, which arose out of the dispersion and persecution consequent on the death of Stephen : here our attention is definitely recalled to the same point, that we may trace the same cause acting in another direction, viz. in the spread of the Gospel to Antioch, the first great scene of St Paul's labours and the centre from which he commences those missionary journeys, the record of which composes the chief part of the remainder of the Acts.
$\theta \lambda i \psi \epsilon \omega s]$ From $\theta \lambda\{\beta \omega$ (akin to $\tau \rho i \beta \omega$ ); cf. tribulum whence 'tribulation'.
é $\pi \grave{\imath} \Sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a ́ v \varphi]$ ' about S. ', as the original cause of it, cf.
 piocs.

Фoเvik $\eta \mathrm{s}]$ A plain 120 m . long by 15 broad, on the seacoast to the W. of Lebanon, its chief cities being Tyre and Sidon.
A. F.
'Avrioxelas] On the Orontes, 15 m . from its port Seleucia, one of the most important cities of antiquity. It was built b.c. 301 by Seleucus Nicator, and became the capital of Syria and residence of the imperial legate. Afterwards one of the five patriarchates with Jerusalem, Rome, Constantinople and Alexandria.
20. Kvp甲 $\frac{1}{}$ aiol] ii. 10 n .
 as well as to the Jews mentioned ver. 19. But this is not sense, for the term 'Iovסaios includes 'E $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu \iota \sigma \tau \eta$ ' $s$.
v. A. M.

La. W.
 given in R.V., Eus., Chrys., Grotius, Lachmann, Tischendorf,
M. A. N. La. F. \&c.

The readings demand attention.
каl is found in NAB but omitted in DEHLP.
${ }^{\circ} E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu a s$ is found in A (which however reads "E $E \lambda \eta \nu a s$ wrongly ix. 29), $\mathrm{D}^{1}$ (where it has been altered to ${ }^{\text {E }} \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \iota \sigma \tau$ ás) and $\aleph^{3}$ as a correction of $\epsilon \dot{u} a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{s}$.
${ }^{\text {' }} \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \iota \sigma \tau$ ás is found in $\mathrm{BD}^{2} \mathrm{EHLP}$.
The objections to ${ }^{\text {E }} \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \iota \sigma \tau$ ás, notwithstanding its great mss. authority, are almost insuperable, for (1) the Hellenists (vi. 1 n .) had always been accepted as members of the church, see the list in ch. ii., and preaching to them would certainly not be mentioned specially or have given occasion to the sending of Barnabas; (2) they were 'Iovoaioc and cannot be opposed to them as they are here: the opposite of ' $E \lambda \lambda \eta$ $\nu \iota \sigma \tau \eta$ 's is ${ }^{\text {E }} \mathrm{E} \beta \rho a i ̂ o s$.

The reading' E $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ́ s$ seems due to a belief that the conversion of Cornelius was the first case of the conversion of a Gentile, whereas, if "E $\lambda \lambda \eta$ vas be read here, we seem to have a prior instance of such conversion, for the words which describe the commission and conduct of Barnabas are $\mathbf{A}$. thought to indicate that what had happened at Antioch startled and surprised the Church at Jerusalem. But (1) although the case of Cornelius was first in importance (as Luke clearly indicates by the position and length of his narrative) it is not necessary to assume that it was first in point of time; (2) even assuming that the case of Cornelius was already known, it would surely be natural to send a commissioner to examine and report on the working of the newly-accepted principle in practice.

It is also urged against "E $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu a s$ that xiv. 27 when Paul and Barnabas return to Antioch they bring back news that ' God had opened a door of faith to the Gentiles', and that there would be no need to proclaim this if the same thing had happened at Antioch itself, It will be observed however that the words in xiv. 27 are not the announcement of a new principle, but of a new fact, viz. successful missionary work among the Gentiles of Asia Minor, which would naturally be good news to the Gentile converts in Antioch.

As a proof that ' $E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \iota \sigma \tau d$ s is a correction, observe that all the mss. which read it omit кai except B, which retains $\kappa \alpha i$. This is remarkable. Any one altering"E $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ as to ${ }^{\circ} E \lambda \lambda \eta$ vı $\sigma$ ás would naturally omit кai, for 'also to the Grecians' is obviously not sense (see above). How then is it possible to account for the reading of $B$, except on the supposition that каi $\pi \rho \dot{\text { òs }}$ roùs 'E $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu \iota \sigma \tau$ 's is an incomplete correction from кal $\pi$ рòs тoùs" $E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu a s$ ?
21. Xєip Kvpiov] 'the hand of Jehovah', i.e. His visibly exerted strength; cf. iv. 30, xiii. 11; Luke i. 66 каi $\chi \in i \rho$ K. $\hat{\eta}^{\nu} \mu \in \tau^{\prime}$ au่тoû. The phrase is graphic, and common in O.T., e.g. Ex. ix. 3; Is. lix. 1.
22. $\pi \epsilon \rho \mathfrak{\rho}$ avi $\omega \bar{\nu}]$ i.e. the new converts.
égané report. The narrative does not seem to indicate surprise or opposition, as when the case of Cornelius was reported, v. N. but rather describes the conduct of those, who regarded with natural interest the practical working on a large scale of a principle already accepted.
23. Xápıv...éxáp $\left.{ }^{2}\right]$ Suavis paronomasia; grace brings gladness. Cf. Luke i. 28 Xaî $\rho$, кє $\chi \propto \rho \iota \tau \omega \mu \dot{\iota} \nu \eta$.

тท̀v тov̂ $\theta$ єov̂] Emphatic, cf. i. 25 n.
$\pi a \rho \epsilon к \alpha ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota]$ iv. 36 n .
$\pi \rho 0 \theta_{\text {é } \sigma \in \mathrm{el}]}$ 'purpose', cf. xxvii. 13.
 believers) in the church'.
w. Xp $\quad$ цatlซal] ‘(1) negotiari, (2) ita ut nomen inde adipiscaris, (3) denominari: cf. Rom. vii. $3 \mu o<\chi \alpha \lambda$ is $\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau i \sigma \epsilon \iota^{\prime}$.
v. H. W. Xeıotiavovs] About this word two points are clear:

La.
(1) It was not employed by the Christians of themselves, being only found in N.T. here, Acts xxvi. 28 (contemptuously), and 1 Pet. iv. 16. The 'believers' are of $\mu a \theta \eta \tau \alpha i$, ol ä ä $\iota \circ \iota$, oi $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o l$, ol à $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o l$.
(2) It was not invented by the Jews, who would not apply the term 'followers of the Messiah' to those who they maintained were the followers of the man Jesus, who was not the Messiah. The Jews called them 'Nazarenes' or 'Galilaeans'.

The word is formed on the analogy of Pompeiani, Caesariani, 'H $\rho \omega \delta \iota a \nu o l$ (Matt. xxii. 16), and so means 'partizans' or 'followers of Christus'. It is found Tac. Ann. xv. 44 quos vulgus Christianos appellabat. Auctor ejus nominis Christus.... It was also spelt Chrestiani, and Suet. Claud. 25) has Chrestus, apparently connecting it with $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau 6 s$, and cf. Fr. chrétien = chrestien.

It is a remarkable word, being 'written in Hebrew and Greek and Latin', for it refers to the Hebrew belief in a Messiah, it is a Greek word, and it is formed as a Latin adjective.
27. ėv tav́taıs...] i.e. during the stay of Paul and Barnabas at Antioch.
karî $\lambda$ Oov] Jerusalem being regarded as the central point; viii. 15 n .

троф $\left.\mathrm{\eta}_{\text {тal }}\right]$ Both in N. T. and O. T. the word 'prophet' is not limited to its modern sense of one who 'foretells the future', but is used in a wider sense of one who 'declares' or 'forth tells the will of God', and refers either to the present, past, or future. . In N. T. it is clearly applied to persons possessing some special inspiration. In 1 Cor. xiv. 'prophecy' is distinguished from $\gamma \lambda \omega \dot{\omega} \sigma \sigma \alpha / s \lambda \alpha \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ as being intelligent and intelligible, and (ver. 3) its objects are
 term is frequent in the Acts, cf. xiii. $1 \pi$. каl $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \alpha_{\sigma} к а \lambda о \iota ;$


J. Ant. $\lambda_{\mathrm{xx}} \mathbf{5}$. 2 òv...] We have no knowledge of any universal xx. 5. 2 famine in the reign of Claudius, but Josephus speaks of 'the great famine' in Judaea A.D. 44, and describes how pro-
visions were purchased for the Jews from Egypt by Izates king of Adiabene and his mother Helena, who were Jewish proselytes. Famines in various places however characterized the reign of Claudius, and Suet. Claud. 10 speaks of assiduas sterilitates; cf. too Suet. 18; Tac. Ann. xir. 43.
$\lambda$ ıцós fem. is Doric, from which dialect many forms $W$. passed into Hellenistic Greek. Cf. xii. $4 \pi$ tá $\sigma a s$ for $\pi t$ té $\sigma$ as.

K $\lambda_{\text {av8iov] }}$ Roman emperor 41-54 A.d.; born в.c. 10; son of Drusus the brother of the Emperor Tiberius; predecessor of Nero.
29. $\tau \omega ิ \nu \delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu a 0 \eta \tau \omega ิ \nu]$ Strict grammar would require oi $\mu \alpha \theta$. as nom. to $\omega$ © $\rho \sigma \alpha \nu$, but the gen. is due to $\tau \iota s$ occurring
 disciples according to every one's means determined each of them to send with a view to help...'.

The complexity of the sentence is due to Luke's desire to insert so much, viz. (1) the general determination, ©̈p $\omega \sigma \alpha \nu$, (2) the rule which determined the amount of the contribu-
 ย̇кабтоs av่т $\omega \hat{\nu}$.
30. тov̀s $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta$ vтє́povs] Here mentioned for the first time. They probably occupy a similar position in the church to the 'elders' in the Jewish synagogue. The 'elders' naturally become marked off in all communi-
 tus, $\gamma \in \rho o v \sigma i a$, alderman, $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta v \tau \epsilon \in \rho \iota o \nu x i i .5)$, and the 'elders' were treated with especial reverence by the Jews and spoken of as a separate body, v. O. T. passim. The word soon begins to indicate not so much superior age as superior position. The Apostles appointed 'elders' in every city xiv. 23: they ranked next to the Apostles (xv. 2, 4, 6), and are also called $\epsilon \pi$ iбкотоє 'overseers' (xx. 17 compared with xx. 28).

From the word our 'priest' is derived, being, like the French prêtre, a contracted form of 'presbyter'; some confusion has arisen from the fact that 'priest' is the ordinary rendering of iєpeús, which is quite distinct in meaning from $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \dot{\tau} \tau \epsilon \rho о$.

Eav́lov] St Paul in Gal. does not mention this visit.

## CHAPTER XII.

1. кar' ékeivov...] i.e. about the time of the mission of Barnabas and Paul.
é $\pi \in ́ \beta a \lambda \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{X}$.] to be taken literally: 'laid his hands on', как $\hat{\sigma} \alpha \iota$ giving the object of his doing so. It is not merely $=\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \chi \epsilon i \rho \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$, 'attempted', cf. iv. 3, v. 18.
' Hp $¢ \delta{ }^{\eta} \eta \mathrm{s}$ o $\beta$.] Herod Agrippa, son of Aristobulus and Berenice, grandson of Herod the Great, educated at Rome. Caligula at his accession (A.D. 37) gave him the tetrarchy of Trachonitis and the title of king, subsequently adding Galilee and Peraea. He was at Rome at the death of Caligula, and aided Claudius in acquiring the empire; in return for his services Samaria and Judaea were added to
J. Ant.
XIX. 7. 3. his government. He is described by Josephus as a pleasant, vain man, very anxious to secure popularity with the Jews, whose rites he scrupulously observed ( $\tau \grave{a}$ тátpıa каӨapês є́т $\eta \rho \in \iota$ ).
2. 'Iák $\omega$ ßov] Son of Zebedee, Matt. iv. 21. Specially chosen with John and Peter to be present at the raising of Jairus' daughter (Mark v. 37), at the transfiguration (Matt. xvii. 1), and the agony in the garden (Matt. xxvi. 37). Of him and John Jesus had specially said 'Ye shall indeed drink of my cup' (Matt. xx. 23). He is the only Apostle whose death is mentioned in N.T.
maxaipn] By beheading; cf. the case of John the Baptist Matt. xiv. 10.
W. 3. троб' $\theta \in \tau 0$ $\sigma v \lambda \lambda a \beta \epsilon i v]$ 'A Hebraism. See Luke xx .
 $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \in \theta \in \tau \circ$ тои̂ $\gamma \nu \omega \hat{\nu} a \iota$.
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \zeta \tilde{v} \mu \omega \nu$ ] 'bread not made with yeast' ( $\zeta \boldsymbol{\xi} \epsilon \omega$ and 'yeast' being from one root). It was to remind the Israelites of the haste with which they left Egypt and ate the first passover (Ex. xii. 34, 39). It was eaten for seven days after the eating of the passover lamb on the 14th of Nisan (Ex. xii. 14; Lev. xxiii. 6).
3. тé $\sigma \sigma a \rho \sigma เ \nu ~ \tau \epsilon \tau \rho$.] Four bodies of four men, each of which would be on duty for six hours out of the twentyfour. The Romans divided the night into four 'watches' (vigiliae), and so perhaps each 'quaternion' would take one 'watch' of the night. Two of the soldiers were chained to Peter, and perhaps two kept watch outside the cell, but it As M.A. is an error to identify these with the $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \tau \eta \quad \phi \quad \lambda a \kappa \eta$ кai $\delta \epsilon v-$ do. $\quad \tau \epsilon \in \rho a$ of ver. 10 , as the words $\phi \cup \lambda a \kappa \eta$ and $\delta \iota \epsilon \lambda \theta \delta \nu \tau \epsilon s$ do not admit this.
$\mu \in \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \mathbf{~ o ̀} \pi$.] Clearly not merely the 14th of Nisan, the day of the eating the passover lamb, but the whole passover week, for the reference to ai $\dot{\eta} \mu$. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \zeta$. and the imperfect $\grave{\epsilon} \tau \eta \rho \epsilon i ̂ \tau o ~ v e r . ~ 5 i m p l y ~ a ~ d u r a t i o n ~ o f ~ s e v e r a l ~ d a y s, ~$ and Luke himself defines $\tau \dot{\delta} \pi \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \chi \alpha$, cf. Luke xxii. $1 \dot{\eta} \dot{\varepsilon} \circ \rho \tau \grave{\eta}$

M. W.A. Non judicant die festo was a Jewish rule.
avayayєiv] Herod would take his place on the raised
judgment－seat（ $\beta \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$ ，tribunal）and the prisoner be led up to it，and there condemned in the presence of and for the pleasure of the Jews（ $\tau \hat{\omega} \lambda \alpha \hat{\varphi}$ ；Ethic Dat．embracing both these ideas）．Cf．John xix．13－16．

5．$\delta \mu$ èv ov̂v II．．．．］＇So then P．was being guarded．．． and prayer was being made．．．．．．but when Herod was about．．．＇．

The clause $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon v \chi \grave{\eta} ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ . .$. is parallel to oo $\mu \dot{\nu} \nu$ oû̀ $\Pi$ ．．．．， the antithesis to which is ö $\tau \epsilon \delta \epsilon . .$. ．Both A．and R．V．are in error here；cf．ii． 41 n ．

6．$\delta \in \delta \epsilon \mu \in ́ v o s ~ a ́ \lambda . ~ \delta v \sigma[\nu]$ cf．$x x v i i i .16,20$ ．The prisoner was chained by the wrist usually to one soldier，here for more security to two．Cf．Sen．Ep． 5 eadem catena et mi－ litem et custodiam copulat．
 suddenness．Cf．Luke ii． $9{ }_{a}{ }^{\prime} \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda$ os Kvpíou $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \eta$ ．The 2nd aorist of $\dot{\epsilon} \phi l \sigma \tau \eta \mu \iota$ occurs seven times in Luke，eight times in the Acts，and nowhere else in N．T．
oiкท́ $\mu a \tau$ г］＇a room in a house＇，＇chamber＇，but fre－ quently used euphemistically＝＇a cell＇，＇a prison＇，e．g． Dem． 890.

8．$\} \hat{\omega} \sigma a l]$＇put on thy girdle＇，worn round the Che－Eders－ thoneth（ $\chi \iota \tau \dot{\omega} \nu)$ ．＇It was not a hasty escape as in Hor．Sat．heim，I I．2． 132 Discincta tunica fugiendum est ac pede nudo＇．${ }^{622}$ ． Cf．too ǎj ${ }^{\circ} \omega \sigma \tau o s=‘ h u r r i e d ’$ Hes．Op． 343.

10．Ste入Өóvtes．．．］＇when they were past the first and second ward＇，A．and R．V．rightly．

The word $\delta \iota \epsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\sigma} \nu \tau \epsilon s$ suggests＇traversing a place＇，and so seems to necessitate rendering фu入aкๆ，＇ward＇：other－ wise it might＝＇guard＇，＇body of men on guard＇．
 $\tau \alpha \iota ~ \delta \grave{~ \pi u ́ \lambda \alpha \iota ~ \mu u ́ к о \nu ~ o u ̉ p a \nu o u ̂ . ~}$

11．ėv є́avtê $\gamma \in v$ ．］Peter had hitherto regarded the impressions he had received as visionary and unreal，as similar to those received x． 10 when he was in an＇ecstasy＇； now having＇come to himself＇，i．e．finding himself in his or－ dinary everyday waking state，he perceives that they are real．

12．$\sigma v \nu 1 \delta \omega \nu$ ］cf．xiv．6；＇having viewed and compre－ hended the case＇，having grasped the situation；so Dem．


Mapias］Otherwise unknown．In Col．iv． 10 Mápкоs ó àvє廿ı̀̀s Bapvá $\beta a$ is rendered in A．V．＇sister＇s son to B．＇， thus making Mary sister of Barnabas，but the rendering of ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu \in \psi(o ́ s$ is quite arbitrary，and R．V．rightly gives＇cousin＇．
'John surnamed Mark' is generally identified with Mark the Evangelist. As with Saul, so with him, his Hebrew name ceases to be used generally, cf. xiii. 5, 13 'John', but xv. 39; 2 Tim. iv. 11; ${ }^{\circ}$ Philem. 24 'Mark'. Notwithstanding his desertion of Paul recorded in the Acts he was with him during his first imprisonment at Rome, cf. Col. iv. 10. Ancient testimony agrees in making him the $\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \mu \eta \nu \epsilon v \tau \eta \dot{\eta}$ of Peter, cf. 1 Pet. v. 13 Mápкos ó viós $\mu$ оv.
13. крои́бavтos, v́ $\pi a \kappa к$ v̂бal] Both classical in this sense.

$\tau \eta ̀ v$ Өúpav тov̂ $\pi v \lambda \omega \hat{v o s}$ ] From this phrase it is clear that $\pi v \lambda \omega^{\prime} \nu$ 'gateway', 'gate', is a more inclusive term than日úpa 'door': the words are often however used indifferently, cf. ver. 14.
15. Malvn] cf. xxvi. 24.

Suoxvpifero] Classical. A very strong word, 'kept confidently affirming'.
$\delta$ a'y $\gamma \in \lambda o s$ ] It was a popular belief among the Jews that each man had 'a guardian angel'. Cf. the genius of the Romans, and Hor. Ep. ir. 2. 188

Genius, natale comes qui temperat astrum, naturae deus humanae, mortalis in unum quodque caput, voltu mutabilis, albus et ater. And Pind. Ol. 13. $148 \delta \alpha!\mu \omega \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \theta \lambda \iota o s$.

Matt. xviii. 10 is important as regards the validity of this belief.
17. катaбєlбas] cf. xiii. 16, xix. 33, xxi. 40, of a speaker, who indicates by a downward movement of the hand a desire for silence.

For
James v. F . ad xv. 13.
v. Lightfoot, ad loc.
'Іакќß $\omega$ ] cf. xv. 13, xxi. 18, president of the Church at Jerusalem; specially mentioned by Paul (Gal. i. 19) as seen by him and 'a brother of the Lord', and an 'Apostle' (but not therefore one of the Twelve, or to be identified with 'James of Alphaeus').
18. ov̉k ỏ őǐyos] Litotes; cf. xix. 11 oủ ràs $\tau v \chi o v ́ \sigma a s$. The phrase occurs eight times in the Acts; e.g. xv. 2.
$\tau$ đ apa...] 'what could have become of P.'; $\tau i$ äpa expresses much more doubt and astonishment than $\tau \ell$; cf. Luke i. 66 тí ảpa тò $\pi \alpha \iota \delta i o \nu ~ \tau о u ̂ \tau o ~ Є ै \sigma \tau a \iota ; ~$
 $=$ 'to be'led away to execution'; cf. Matt. xxvii. $31 a \pi \dot{\eta}$ -
 $\notin \nu \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha$. So too duci, cf. Plin. ad Traj. 96 (of the Christians) Confitentes iterum ac tertio interrogavi, supplicium minatus: perseverantes ducijussi.
20. $\theta u \mu \circ \mu a x \omega \bar{\omega}$ ] Late $\mathrm{Gk},=$ 'to fight passionately', but de W.M. Herod could have no power to go to war with Tyre and Sidon, and so here ='have an angry quarrel with'. A. and R.V. 'was highly displeased with'.

Tupios kai $\Sigma$.] Both cities are on the coast; Tyre is 20 m . S. of Sidon, of which it was a colony, though it became more important than the mother city; it was almost the first commercial city of the ancient world. The main part of the town was on an island half a mile from the shore. It was taken by Alexander (b.c. 322) after a siege of seven months, and never regained its former consequence.

т̀̀v $̇$ é $\pi l$ тоv̂ коьтต̂vos] praefectus cubiculo, cubicularius, ' chamberlain'-an officer who from his opportunities of personal access might naturally obtain great influence with a monarch.

Sıà тò $\tau \rho \in ́ \phi \epsilon \sigma \theta a l . .$.$] They were large commercial cities$ with an amount of territory not proportioned to their population, and so looked largely to Palestine for their foodsupply, cf. 1 Kings v. 9; Ezek. xxvii. 17. Herod might render this importation of food very difficult.
21. тактn̂...] Josephus gives a full account of Herod's Ant. death. The occasion he describes as a festival held in honour of the emperor: on the second day Herod having $A$. put on a robe all of silver tissue ( $\sigma \tau 0 \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \nu \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s \bar{\epsilon}_{\xi} \xi$
 break, and, the rays of the sun striking full on this robe, it gave forth a marvellous radiance ( $\theta a v \mu a \sigma i \omega s$ ám $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\prime} \sigma \tau \iota \lambda \beta \epsilon \nu$ ) striking awe and terror into the beholders. Thereupon flatterers hailed him as a god with the words Euj $\mu \in \nu \grave{\eta} s$ elins. He did not rebuke them, but shortly afterwards observed an owl ( $\beta$ oo $\beta \hat{\omega} \nu a$ ) perched on a rope above his head and at
 $\kappa \alpha \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ є $i \nu a \iota)$; he was presently seized with pain in the pit of his stomach and died ( $\gamma a \sigma \tau \rho \bar{s} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \gamma \dot{\eta} \mu a \sigma \iota \delta \iota \in \rho \gamma a \sigma \theta \epsilon i s$ ) five days later after continuous suffering.
 place in the theatre, which was often used for public assemblies (cf. xix. 29). $\beta \hat{\eta} \mu a$, originally the platform in the Pnyx at Athens from which the orators spoke, is frequently used for the raised seat or platform (suggestus, tribunal) of a Roman magistrate or officer; cf. Matt. xxvii. 19 ; Acts xviii. 12, xxv. 6.
és $\eta \mu \eta \gamma o ́ \rho \epsilon \iota]$ Contionabatur: he addressed the ambassadors in a 'public harangue' before the assembly.
 ... $\pi a \tau \alpha ́ \xi ̆ a s: ~ o b s e r v a n d a ~ a n t i t h e s i s . ~$

For the 'angel of Jehovah', cf. 2 Kings xix. 35, of the destruction of Sennacherib, $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma . \mathrm{K}$. каi $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\alpha} \dot{\tau} \alpha \xi \in \nu$; also 1 Chron. xxi. 15.
v. F. ad loc.
$\sigma \kappa \omega \lambda \eta \kappa o ́ \beta p \omega \tau o s]$ Apparently this disease, whatever it was, was regarded as a divine judgment on the pride of tyrants. Cf. 2 Macc. ix. where the death of Antiochus Epiphanes is described, the disease being spoken of as
 ̇̇к $\tau 0 \hat{v} \sigma \omega ́ \mu a \tau o s ~ \sigma \kappa \omega ่ \lambda \eta \kappa \alpha s ~ a ̀ \nu \alpha \zeta \epsilon i v, ~ a n d ~ i t ~ i s ~ e m p h a t i c a l l y ~ d e-~$ scribed as a punishment of his pride and cruelty. Herod the Great according to Josephus died of $\sigma \hat{\eta} \psi / s \quad \sigma \kappa \omega \lambda \lambda \kappa \kappa \alpha$
 $\epsilon_{\xi} \xi \in \xi^{\prime} \in \sigma \epsilon \nu$, where it is mentioned as a divine punishment.

At this point begins the history of St Paul's three missionary journeys, and the rest of the Acts is confined to an account of his life. 'Each journey is commenced from Antioch and ends with a visit to Jerusalem, each is illustrated with a speech, the first before Jews (xiii. 16-41), the second before Gentiles (xvii. 22-31), the third before Christians (xx. 18-35)'.

## CHAPTER XIII.

1. $\pi \rho \circ \phi \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha \iota \kappa \alpha l$ бı $\delta$.] xi. 27 n .

इupєஸ̀v ... Mavaŋ̀v] Unknown. Mavańv = Menahem (2 Kings xv. 14).

бט́vтрофоs] V. collactaneus; R.V.'foster-brother'. A.V. 'brought up with'. There are no grounds for deciding between the two senses.
So M.
ö $\tau \epsilon$ Bapváßas...kai $\Sigma a v ̂ \lambda o s] ~ T h e ~ m a r k e d ~ i n s e r t i o n ~ o f ~$ the other names between these two names, hitherto mentioned in close connection (e.g. xii. 25), is curious. It would seem that Luke before describing their special 'separation' for their missionary work, is desirous to point out that previously they were not 'separated' from, but only two among the other 'prophets and teachers' at Antioch.
${ }^{\text {'HpúSov] iv. }} 27$ n.
2. $\lambda_{\epsilon เ \tau ช \cup \rho \gamma o u ́ v \tau \omega v] ~ I n ~ c l a s s i c a l ~ G r e e k ~ ' t o ~ u n d e r t a k e ~}^{\text {a }}$ the performance of one of those public services.' ( $\lambda$ ( $\iota \tau$ ovprial) such as the equipment of a trireme, which fell in turn on all wealthy Athenian citizens: in LXX. used of ' ministering' in the temple, e.g. 1 Sam. ii. 11; Ex. xxviii. 39 (43), cf. Heb. x. 11: here apparently of 'worship' generally, cf. our word 'liturgy', and 'service' in the phrase 'Morning Service'.
'Fasting' and 'prayer' are continually connected, cf. x. 30; 1 Sam. vii. 5, 6; Dan. ix. 3 .

ふфорiбarє $\delta \dot{\eta}] \quad \delta \dot{\eta}$ gives vigorous emphasis to the command. Cf. xv. 36 ; Luke ii. $15 \delta \iota \in \lambda \theta \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \delta \eta^{\prime}$, and the frequent use of $\alpha \gamma \epsilon \delta \dot{\eta}, \phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \delta \dot{\eta}$, \&c.

Paul speaks of himself (Rom. i. 1) as $\dot{\alpha} \phi \omega \rho \iota \sigma \mu$ évos $\epsilon$ i's $\epsilon \dot{a} a \gamma \gamma^{\epsilon} \lambda \iota \circ \nu \theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$.
${ }^{6}$ ] $\epsilon i$ is to be repeated before the pronoun, cf. ver. 39.
 $\lambda \nu \mu \epsilon \nu \notin \pi \epsilon \rho$ каl $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha ́ \nu o \mu \epsilon \nu-n o n ~ i t e r a t u r ~ p r a e p o s i t i o ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ m o r e ~ . ~$ loquendi paene legitimo; Soph. O. C. 749.
 ii. 41 n .
vimò $\tau 0 \hat{v} \mathfrak{a} \gamma . \pi v$.] Note this repeated reference to the source of their authority, and ef. ver. 9.
$\Sigma \in \lambda_{\text {evkiav] }}$ Seleucia 'by the sea', as it was called to distinguish it from other cities of the same name, was founded в.c. 300 by Seleucus Nicator at the mouth of the Orontes.

Kímpov] The birthplace of Barnabas, cf. iv. 36. The F. number of Jews was so great there, that A.D. 116 they rose upon the native inhabitants and massacred, it is said, 240,000.
5. Sa入apiva] The principal town of the island, on the E. coast in what is now the harbour of Famagousta; said to have been built by Teucer, son of Telamon king of Salamis, whom his father refused to receive home after the death of Ajax, and to have been called after that famous island, ef. Hor. Od. 1. 7. 29 ambiguam tellure nova Salamina futuram.

катท́ $\gamma \gamma \in \lambda \lambda 0 v . .$.$] It was Paul's regular practice to enter$ the synagogues and preach, cf. xiv. 1, xvii. $2 \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\delta}$ єi $\omega \theta_{o ́ s,}$ xviii. 4,19 , xix. 8. His rule in preaching the Gospel was, 'to the Jew first and also to the Greek', Rom. i. 16 ; cf. Acts xiii. 46 ن́ $\mu \hat{\nu} \nu \pi \rho \omega ิ \tau o \nu$.

Jesus in the same way preached after reading the lesson, cf. Luke iv. 20. For the synagogue worship, vi. 9 n.

ข่ $\pi \eta \rho \in \in ́ \tau \eta \nu]$ Probably in the same sense as Luke iv. 20, where it is used of the 'minister' (Chazzan) or 'assistant' of the synagogue, cf. vi. 9 n .
6. Máфov] New Paphos on the W. coast, 8 m . N. of the old Paphos celebrated for the worship of Venus.
$\mu \alpha ́ \gamma o v]$ viii. 9 n .
Bapıŋбoûs] 'son of Jesus' or 'Joshua', cf. i. 23 n.
ávӨuாátఱ] 'proconsul'. Under the emperors the provinces were divided into two classes, imperial and senatorial.

The former were usually such as needed the presence of a military force and were governed by a military officer （legatus）immediately subordinate to the emperor ：for the

W．F． from Dio Cassius， hiII． 13. Dio Cas－ sius，LHI． 12.

La，from ＇Cesno－ la， Cy － prus，its Ancient Cities． London， 1877＇． latter the governors were nominally appointed by the senate and termed proconsuls，that term being applied to them ＇though they had only been praetors＇．

Cyprus had been an imperial province but had been restored to the senate by Augustus in exchange for Dal－ matia．General Cesnola discovered an inscription in Cyprus with the words

## EIIIIAY $10 \Upsilon . .$. <br> rmator

the letters ANӨ being probably to be supplied．
7．$\sigma v \nu \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega}]$ from $\sigma v \nu i \eta \mu \iota$（＇I put together＇，＇grasp＇， ＇understand＇）indicates the possession of sound sense and sagacity．Amid the decay of belief in their own mythology many inquiring minds at Rome turned their attention to the consideration of foreign religions，and especially that of the Jews．Hence the influence obtained even with＇sensible＇ men by such impostors as Barjesus，cf．Juv．vi． 543 Arca－ nam Judaea tremens mendicat in aurem｜interpres legum Solymarum．

E．g．Je－ rome， Augus－ tine，B． Baum．

8．＇Eגv́pas］Probably an Arabic word＝$\mu$ áros＇the wise man＇：still found in the Turkish title Ulemah．

סıaбтрé母al］＝＇to pervert＇，＇turn aside＇，or into a wrong direction some one who is taking the direct road to an
 $\mu \epsilon \nu \eta$ ．

9．ס кal Mav̂入os］Up to this point the Apostle has always been called by his Hebrew name $\Sigma \alpha \hat{v} \lambda o s$ ，hencefor－ ward he is always called by his Roman name，חav̂入os．It is clear that S．Luke notes the change of name as important and marking an epoch；it would seem that his non－Jewish name is thus introduced at the commencement of his mis－ sionary labours as the Apostle of the Gentiles，in order to indicate that the narrative is no longer concerned with a comparatively unknown Jew，but with one who，under the name of Paul，was to win a wider and universal fame．

Many connect the name with Sergius Paulus（ob tam magnae insigne victoriae，Aug．），but in the text no such con－ nection seems hinted at，and the similarity of the Latin name to the Hebrew one is probably its real origin（cf．i． 23 n．）．It may have been always borne by the Apostle， though hitherto，while among Jews，not generally used．

Augustine remarks nomen elegit ut se ostenderet parvum， but as a proper name the word rather suggested the glories
of the Aemilian family, and even to us recalls the name of Hor. od. another Paulus, who was 'lavish of his noble life'.
10. $\pi \alpha \nu \tau o ̀ s . . . \pi a ́ \sigma \eta s . . . \pi a ́ \sigma \eta s]$ Note the emphasis.
 ciple', cf. $\rho \dot{q} \delta \iota o u ́ \rho \gamma \eta \mu \alpha$ xviii. 14.

тds ósov̀s... $\tau d s$ cù $\theta$.] The adj. emphatic by position. The opposite expression is 'crooked ways', Ps. exxv. 5; Prov. ii. 15. Isaiah had specially foretold of Messiah that 'the crooked shall be made straight' (Is. xl. 4, xlii. $16 \tau \dot{a}$ бко入ıà єis єنُقєîav; cf. Luke iii. 5).
11. Xelp Kvpiov] xi. 21 n . Cf. Job xix. $21 \chi \in i \rho \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \mathrm{~K}$. $\dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \psi a \mu \notin \nu \eta \eta \mu o v ́ \epsilon \sigma \tau \tau \nu$.
12. $\bar{\epsilon} \pi i \tau \hat{n} \ldots$...] For $\epsilon \pi i$ cf. iii. 10 n. кupiov is the objective gen.: 'teaching about the Lord'.
13. a'vax $\theta$ év $\boldsymbol{\text { ces }}$ ] 'having put out to sea', classical, and thirteen times in the Acts, cf. too Luke viii. 22 à $\nu \dot{\prime} \chi \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$. The Greeks regarded the coast line as lying low: from it you go up inland (ajaßaivecv) or up on to the 'high seas' ( $\dot{\alpha} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ), the opposite words being катаßаìєє $\nu$, катá$\gamma \in \sigma \theta a l$.
oi $\pi \epsilon \rho$ II.] 'Paul and his companions': so Plat. Crat. 440 c oi $\pi \epsilon \rho$ l 'Hр́́клєєтоע. Note that now Paul becomes the main figure, and contrast his secondary position ix. 27, xi. 30 , xiii. $1,2$.

חépyทv] On the river Cestrus $7 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~m}$. from its mouth. Pamphylia lies along the coast between Cilicia on the E. and Lycia on the W.
${ }^{3}$ Iẃavŋs...] Cf. xv. 38.
14. 'Avrióxєtav] Like the great Antioch built by Seleucus Nicator and named after his father; made a 'free city' 189 в.c., and a colony by Augustus, who called it Caesarea.
$\tau \omega \hat{\nu} \sigma a \beta \beta a ́ \tau \omega v]$ Heb. word='day of rest', cf. Gen. ii. 3.
15. трофทтడิv] 'The Prophets were not read in the H. from synagogues till в. c. 163, when they were substituted for the Brown's Law, which was prohibited by Antiochus Epiphanes. After $\begin{gathered}\text { Ant. of } \\ \text { Jews, }\end{gathered}$ the removal of that prohibition by the Maccabees, both Law i. 610. and Prophets were read'.
'On the Sabbath at least seven persons were called on to Edersread successively portions of the Law'.
$\lambda o ́ y o s ~ \pi a p a k \lambda \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s]$ iv. 36 n . Any Rabbi or distinguished stranger might be called on to preach: ordination was not requisite. Cf. the case of Jesus, Luke iv. 16 et seq.

The outline of Paul's discourse is this: as God has shewn special care for Israel in the past (16-22), so He has now
sent to Israel the promised Saviour-Jesus (23). The message of this salvation, long promised to our fathers, has been sent to us (26). The Jews at Jerusalem did not recognize Jesus as the Messiah but crucified Him as had been foretold. God has however proved His claims by raising Him from the dead (27-30), and of this fact we, the Apostles, are witnesses, and accordingly preach to you that Jesus is the Saviour whom the Scriptures foretell (31-37), and warn you to give heed to our message (38-41).
16. kal oi фоß. т. $\begin{aligned} & \text { éóv] Cf. x. } 2 \text { n.; not Israelites, }\end{aligned}$ but proselytes, as is clearly shewn by comparing ver. 43.
17. тоv́тov] deictic.

 $\mu o v . ~ I s r a e l ~ w a s ~ ' t h e ~ c h o s e n ' ~ p e o p l e . ~ . ~$
M. v̋ $\psi \omega \sigma \epsilon v$ ] 'raised', i.e. increased in numbers, strength, and dignity,-the last especially in connection with their miraculous delivery. So the sojourn in Egypt and delivery from it are referred to with grateful pride, Ps. cv. 23-38. A. and R.V. 'exalted'.

тароккia] vii. 6 n .
 $\dot{v} \psi \eta \lambda \hat{\varphi}$.
18. kal $\dot{\omega} . .$.$] This reading makes \dot{\omega} s=$ 'when', but the alternative reading is much simpler, placing a кal before $\kappa \alpha \theta \epsilon \lambda \omega \prime \nu$ and making cis = 'about', as in A. and R.V.
èтротофо́р $\eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ] 'suffered he their manners' A. and R.V. For the meaning of the word cf. Cic. ad Att. xiri. 29 In hoc



There is a distinct reference to Deut. i. 31 т $о о \pi о ф о \rho \eta \dot{\sigma \epsilon \iota}$
 av̉тô, кãà $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\delta} \delta \dot{\delta} \nu . .$.

трот. in $\mathrm{KBC} \mathrm{C}^{2}$ DHLP. троф. in AC ${ }^{1}$ E. єंтроф. is given in A. M. H.B. W. F. de w.

The original Hebrew word means simply 'to bear' ('carry'; or 'endure' 'be patient with'), and both in the LXX. and here érpoфофóp $\eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ is also read. Whether it means merely 'nourished' ( $=* \theta \rho \in \psi \in \nu$ Hesych.), or 'bare them as a nursing father' (R.V. in margin), '̇r $\quad$ офооф ${ }^{\circ} \rho \eta \sigma \in \nu$ seems clearly required by the sense here (and also in Deut. i. 31), for the Apostle is dwelling not on the perversity of Israel but on the care and affection of God for them: Érpo$\pi \circ \phi \circ \rho \rho \eta \sigma \nu$ distinctly is out of place.

Tulit Deus populum Israeliticum in deserto beneficentissima, eaque plane singulari ratione, quae proprie illi conveniret aetati tenellae, qua populus non ipse se tulit, ut homo
adultus，sed Deus eum，ut parvulum necdum sibi suppetentem， gestavit．B．Cf．Is．lxiii．9；and especially Num．xi． 12.

19．$\left.ย \in \pi \tau \alpha{ }^{\ell} \theta \nu \eta \ldots\right]$ Cf．Deut．vii． 1.
катєк $\lambda \eta p o v o ́ \mu \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu]$＇gave（them）as an inheritance＇： T．R．without any authority катєк入 $\eta \rho o \delta o ́ т \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$＇divided to them by lot＇．

20．©s $\left.{ }^{\prime} \tau \in \sigma \iota \ldots\right]$ The text seems to mean that the whole Textin $\mathcal{K}$ of the events from God＇s＇choosing＇Israel，i．e．from His covenant with Abraham up to the entrance into Canaan， took place in＇about 450 years＇．For the dat．cf．viii． 11 R．V．


T．R．places these words after $\mu \in \tau \grave{\alpha}$ rav̂ra，thus making Cf．Jos． the period of the Judges 450 years．This was the popular chronology，and the figure is apparently obtained by adding together the dates assigned in the O．T．to the various Judges including Eli；this method of calculation however does not take into account that several Judges may have been con－ temporaneous，and is inconsistent with 1 K ．vi．1，where Solomon＇s temple is said to have been begun 480 years after the departure from Egypt．

Many consider that the reading given in the text is a e．g．F．A． correction，and that the reading of T．R．（following E，G，H）N．de W． is right，Paul having used the popular，though probably inaccurate，chronology．

 ix． 1 et seq．
＇є $\tau \eta \tau \in \sigma \sigma \in \rho a ́ k o v \tau a]$ The time is not given in O．T．； Josephus however tells us that Saul reigned 18 years during Ant．vi． the lifetime of Samuel，and 22 after his death．

22．$\left.\mu \in \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \sigma_{\mathrm{s}}\right]$＇having removed him from his office＇， i．e．by the sentence of deposition recorded 1 Sam．xv． 23. Cf．Luke xvi． 4 öта⿱ $\mu \in \tau \alpha \sigma \tau a \theta \hat{\omega} \tau \hat{\eta} s$ oiкороцlas．

єن̂pov．．．］A combination of Ps．Ixxxix． 20 є $\hat{v} \rho o \nu$ Daviঠ




23．тои́тоv］Emphatic．＇Of this man from the seed．．．＇ кar＇$\left.e^{\pi} \pi a \gamma \gamma \in \lambda l a v . ..\right]$ The promise is to be found 2 Sam． vii．12；Ps．cxxxii．11，both passages being Messianically interpreted．

The verb ${ }^{\circ} \gamma \omega$ is found in Zech．iii． 8 of the sending of $\eta$ クayev
 has $\eta^{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \rho \epsilon \nu$ ．
24. трокๆри́gavтos] As a herald before a king.
$\pi \rho \delta ̀ ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \omega ́ \pi<v]$ 'before', 'in front of', with a recollection of Mal. iii. 1 (the passage quoted Mt. xi. 10) $\epsilon \xi a \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$

25. $\mathrm{\omega}_{\mathrm{s}} \delta \dot{\text { è é }} \boldsymbol{\pi} \lambda \eta$ ńpov...] Paul's favourite metaphor from the race-course, cf. xx. $24 ; 2 \mathrm{Tim} . \mathrm{iv} .7$ т $\boldsymbol{\nu} \nu \delta \rho o ́ \mu \rho \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \kappa а$;

Corpus
Insc. Gr. iII. 209, No. 4437. Gal. ii. 2. An inscription found at Tarsus refers to the completion of the stadium there. Note the imperfects.
oủk єipl' '́ү由'] A. and R.V. rightly 'I am not he', i.e. He whom you expect, the Messiah. Cf. the question put to

${ }^{\mathfrak{a}} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ iSov̀...] John i. 27.
So LaM. 26. $\boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \hat{v} v$ ] 'To us': emphatic. The message of this salvation, of the salvation brought by Jesus the Saviour (cf. ver. $23 \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho \alpha$ 'I $\eta \sigma o v ̂ \nu$ ), long promised to our fathers (cf. ver. 32), has been sent to $u s$, in our day (cf. n. on $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ver. 32). The clause which follows, viz. oi $\gamma \dot{d} \rho . .$. , does not give the reason why this is so, but tells how it is so. rá introduces the narrative which explains the message.

## $\eta^{\mu} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$

 KAD and so R.V.T.R. has $\dot{v} \mu i v$, thus drawing a contrast between the Jews of Antioch and the Jews dwelling at Jerusalem-'we come to you wlth this message because the Jews at Jerusalem rejected it'. But it seems impossible that Paul at this emphatic point in his speech, marked as emphatic by the ropeated personal address (ă $\nu \delta \rho \in s$ d $\dot{\alpha} . .$. ), should explain why he was preaching to the Jews of Antioch and not to those of Jerusalem. Nowhere else is such a distinction drawn between the two classes, nor is it drawn here, for Paul pointedly addresses his hearers as viol $\gamma^{\epsilon} \nu$ ous 'A $\beta \rho a a ́ \mu$, i.e. as in common with all other Jews, children of the promise now fulfilled. The introduction of such a distinction between two classes of Jews mars the whole meaning of the speech, which is not a justification of Paul's presence in Antioch but a great argument that Jesus is the Messiah.
27. тоv̂тov] i.e. Jesus the Saviour, implicitly referred to in $\tau \hat{\eta} s \sigma \omega \tau$. тav́r $\eta$ s and the subject of the whole discourse.
djүvoท́бavtєs] A mild word, purposely chosen, as suggesting unwilling error, cf. iii. 17. It governs both roûtov and $\tau \grave{s} \phi \omega \nu \grave{s} s($ as A. and R.V.) : they failed to recognize Jesus and they failed to recognize the prophetic utterances about v. A. a suffering rather than a triumphant Messiah. Others take

28. $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon \mu\{a v$ airiav...] Cf. Pilate's words Luke xxiii. 22


є́póvtєs] etsi quaesiere B. pointedly, but wrongly: it is not Paul's object to suggest the guilt of the Jews at Jerusalem.
32. ن́ $\mu$ âs] acc. after $\epsilon \dot{u} a \gamma \gamma$ as a verb of teaching, of. viii. 40; $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda$ la $\nu$ is the second acc. of the thing taught, 'we teach you the promise, how, that is, God has fulfilled it...'.
$\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu]$ T.R. aút $\omega \hat{\nu} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu$, rightly as regards sense, but without any authority. 'It can hardly be doubted that W.\& I. $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ is a primitive corruption of $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu$ '. Indeed $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu$ is necessary to the sense: 'the promise made to the fathers, that (promise) God has fulfilled to the children, having for us raised up...', or 'to the children, even us, by raising up...'.
avaotท' $\sigma$ as 'I $\eta \sigma o v ิ v$ ] as iii. 22, vii. 37; certainly not As A.M. 'having raised him from the dead', for the Psalm imme- Euther, diately quoted, which refers to the sending of Messiah, mus, \&c. would have no relevance. Paul refers to the 'raising up of Jesus' i.e. the sending of Him as Messiah as fulfilling one prophecy, and the 'raising Him from the dead' as fulfilling another. The two are separate acts, though forming part of one divine purpose, and the one is the natural and necessary complement of the other, as the use of the same verb seems to indicate (cf. below the repeated words $\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \omega, \delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$; $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ ö $\sigma \iota a, \tau \delta \nu \nu$ ö $\sigma \iota \nu)$.
33. viós...] Verbatim from Ps. ii. 7. For $\delta \epsilon v \tau \epsilon \rho \varphi$ D has So F. M. $\pi \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \tau \varphi$, which is not improbably right, the first Psalm being $\frac{\mathrm{de} \text { W. } \mathrm{W} \text {. }}{\text { Tischen- }}$ regarded by the Jews as introductory, and the second one dorf. counted as 'the first'.
34. $\mu \eta$ кє́ть $\mu$ é $\lambda$ 入оvтa...] Cf. carefully Rom. vi. 9 'Christ being raised from the dead dieth no more'.
 öб $\iota a$ पavid $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ́$. Translate 'I will give to you (the Israelites) the holy promises of David that are sure'. $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ ö $\sigma \iota \alpha$ is the noun, $\tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{a}$ the predicate, marked by its position as emphatic. What 'the holy promises of David' are is immediately made clear by the second quotation, which refers to 'the Holy One', i.e. Messiah, the great Son of David.
35. Stótı...] 'Because...': Paul immediately justifies his application of $\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{o} \sigma \iota \alpha \Delta$. to the Messiah by referring to Ps. xvi. 10 which, he says, shews that the 'holy promises of David' cannot merely mean that David was God's holy one, for the Holy One spoken of is described as 'not seeing
corruption', and so cannot be David who died, but must be the Son of David who had been raised from the dead.
36. $\gamma \in \nu \in \underset{Q}{\hat{Q}}$ iठiạ...] Of the various ways in which these words may grammatically be taken, the clearest sense is obtained by rendering, 'Having served his own generation, by the will of God fell asleep...and saw corruption'. Thus the words are taken in their natural order and we have a double distinction marked between David and Jesus, for (1) Jesus 'is of service' to all generations, and (2) 'by the will of God He fell asleep' but did not 'see corruption'.

тробєт $\epsilon \theta \eta$...] 'An expression arising from the practice of burying families together'. Cf. Gen. xv. 15; Judges ii. 10 .
 'to make' or 'declare righteous': סıкaьov̂tal á $\pi \dot{d} \ldots . .=$ 'is justified (by being set free) from...' The believer receives 'remission of sins', and so is freed from them and 'made righteous'.

Opposed to Jesus in whom (ėv roútu) is to be found justification from all sin, is the law of Moses in which ( $\epsilon^{\nu} \nu$ $\nu \delta \mu \psi)$ no justification is possible, according to Paul's constant argument (e.g. Gal. iii. 11) that no man can keep the Law or be 'declared righteous' under it, but that for thus being made 'righteous' forgiveness of sin through Jesus is needed.
40. $่ v \tau$ тois $\pi \rho \circ \phi \eta \dot{\tau} \alpha$ as] vii. 42 n . The quotation is almost verbatim from LXX. Hab. i. 5. The immediate reference of the prophecy is to the Babylonian captivity. For 'ye despisers' the Heb. has 'among the nations'.
42. av̉тติv] i.e. clearly Paul and Barnabas, the nom. to mapeкádouv being 'those in the synagogue'.
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'Iovoai $\omega \nu, \pi a \rho \epsilon \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda o v \nu$ тà ${ }^{*} \theta \nu \eta$. The correction is due to some one who considered that the Jews were opposed to the Apostles from the first, whereas the reverse is described as being the case.
eis тò $\mu \in \tau a \xi \geqslant \quad \sigma$.$] 'the following sabbath', a late use of$


43. $\lambda u \theta \epsilon$ 㙁s...] Apparently subsequently to the departure of Paul and Barnabas.
46. ทึv divaүкaîov...] Cf. the command of Jesus i. 8. See too iii. 26 n ., xiii. 5 n .

крlvєтє є́avтoùs] Emphatic, 'ye judge yourselves': it is your own choice.
47. ov̋ $\tau \omega \gamma \dot{d} \rho \ldots]$ The quotation almost verbatim from LXX. Is. xlix. 6.

Paul states that this Messianic passage (1) declares that Messiah is sent not only to the Jews but to the Gentiles, (2) is therefore a direct injunction from God as to their conduct in preaching.
 $\epsilon \in \nu \omega \omega \nu$.
48. őซol ท̂ซav tєтaүpévol...] 'as many as were ordained' A. and R.V. The word $\tau \in \tau a \gamma \mu \epsilon \nu_{0}$ o is distinctly passive, $=$ 'placed in a certain position' or 'order'. To the Jews, who of their own choice rejected God's word, are opposed the Gentiles who believed; but, as the term $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ é $\theta \nu \eta$ La. was too wide, the historian adds a correcting and limiting phrase, 'such of them, that is, as had been appointed', 'marshalled' 'placed in the ranks of those who were on the road toward ( $\epsilon i s$ ) eternal life'.

Luke is simply recording a fact; he describes certain Gentiles as $\tau \epsilon \tau a \gamma \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota \epsilon i_{s} \zeta$. al.; he uses a participle passive to describe their position, but there is no shadow of an indication that the question of 'predestination' was before his mind. He could not have used simpler language. Certain men were in a certain position: he states that they were in that position, but by whom placed there, or why, or how, he does not say. Cf. the use of the passive forms


For the use of $\tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \omega$ cf. xviii. $6 \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma o \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$; Luke

 $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v} \tau \epsilon \tau a \gamma \mu \epsilon \in \nu a \iota$ єíalv.
V. has praeordinati, unfairly: Aug. destinati, a much too strong word: A. 'disposed', an ambiguous term : H. 'who had set themselves to attain that great end ', cf. $x x .13$.
50. tds $\sigma \in \beta \frac{\mu \varepsilon ́ v a s ~}{\gamma . . . .]}$ Proselytes of distinction, as xvii. 12. For $\sigma \epsilon \beta \frac{\mu \notin \nu a s ~ c f . ~ x . ~}{} 1$ n.
51. ékтเva\}á $\mu \in \nu \circ$ l] Cf. xviii. 6 and the command of Jesus, Mt. x. $14 \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \iota \nu \alpha \dot{a} \xi a \tau \epsilon \tau \grave{\partial} \nu \kappa о \nu \iota o \rho \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi \circ \delta \omega \hat{\nu} \nu \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$. It is a sign of the rejection of all intercourse.
'Ikóvıov] Four or five days' journey S.E. of Antioch, on the table-land of Lycaonia, at the meeting-point of several Roman roads. It was reckoned at various times as in Pisidia, Lycaonia, or Phrygia. Still a large town Konieh with 30,000 inhabitants.
52. of $\tau \epsilon \mu a 0 \eta \tau a i .$. ] 'Another joyful peroration; like $\mathbf{W}$. and a calm after a storm. See viii. 4, ix. 31, xii. 24'.

## CHAPTER XIV.

 BC. much better, ='those who disbelieved' i.e. when Paul preached. R.V. gives 'that were disobedient'. No doubt strictly $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \theta \epsilon \epsilon \omega=$ 'disobey', $\dot{\alpha} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega=$ 'disbelieve'; but these distinctions cannot be accurately maintained; cf. xix. $9 \dot{\eta}$
 the opposite of $\dot{o} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\omega} \omega \nu \epsilon i s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu \nu i b \nu$ is $\dot{o} \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \theta \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\nu} v i \hat{\varphi}$, 'he that disbelieveth'. In the Greek language 'belief' and 'obedience' were regarded as almost the same thing and represented by a single word- $\pi \epsilon$ ใOouat.
3. ikavòv $\mu \grave{v} v$ oűv...] The antithesis is not $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \chi i \sigma \theta \eta \delta \dot{\xi}$ (as A. and R.V.) but $\dot{\omega} s \bar{\delta} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \gamma^{\dot{\epsilon} v \epsilon \tau 0, ~ c f . ~ i i ., ~} 41 \mathrm{n}$. The sense is clear. 'For a considerable time they stayed, God giving them clear witness and the multitude being divided so that they were not actually molested, but when they found that they were going to be attacked...they took refuge'.
emil] iii. 10 n.
Text $\delta \iota \delta o ́ v \tau \iota]$ T.R. kal $\delta \delta \delta \delta \nu \tau \iota$ marring the sense. The Lord ABDEP. 'bears witness to the word of His grace by granting': $\delta \iota \delta \delta \nu \tau \iota$ is subordinate to $\mu$ артирои̂̀tı.
v. Lightfoot, Gal. Excursus.
A. M. A. N.
4. тоîs á тобто́ ${ }^{\text {4.s.s] i.e. Paul and Barnabas (cf. ver. 14), }}$ here so called for the first time. The term 'apostle' was not confined to 'the twelve', whom our usage designates 'The Apostles'. The word was in use among the Jews to indicate any one sent on a mission by some central authority, and was especially applied to those who were sent from Jerusalem to collect the temple tribute. To be an 'apostle of Jesus', a direct commission from Him would be needed; this Paul had received, and probably Barnabas. Moreover to have seen the risen Jesus was essential to their first duty; cf. i. 8 n .
5. ©́s é $\mathbf{y}$ évero oppu'] 'when there was an assault made' A.V.; 'onset' R.V. It is clear however from ovviobveєs катє́申vyov that no 'assault' or 'onset' took place; i $\rho \mu$ ' therefore is better taken with the infinitives = 'eagerness' or 'impulse to outrage'; cf. Thuc. rv. 4 $\sigma \chi 0 \lambda a ́ \zeta o v \sigma \iota \nu$ ó $\rho \mu \eta ̀$
 ס́́olta $\pi$ olєîv.

тoîs ảpXovoเv av̉т $\omega$ v] Not roîs ăp $p$ ovocv absolutely (cf. xvi. 19) 'the magistrates', for they would not take part in such a proceeding, but, as the addition of aúr $\hat{\nu} \nu$ shews, 'the rulers of the Jews'. Cf. xiii. 27 oi äp $\quad$ ovtєs aủrôv; as it had been with Jesus, so it was with His followers.
$\left.\lambda_{1} \theta_{\circ} \beta \circ \lambda \eta \hat{\eta}^{\prime} \alpha_{l}\right]$ Cf. vii. 58 n .
6. $\sigma u v\llcorner$ סóvtєs] xii. 12. кa日éфvyov: confugerunt, cf. the command of Jesus Mt. x. 23.
^ukaovias] A high table-land, ill watered, bleak, but suited for sheep pasture. Both Lystra and Derbe are S.-E. from Iconium but their exact site is unknown. Lystra was probably the birthplace of Timothy, cf. xvi. 1.
8. éká日 1 тo] 'used to sit', probably in some public place regularly: cf. ${ }^{\eta} \kappa o v e '$ 'used to listen', i.e. to Paul when speaking to the people.
9. átevioas] i. 10 n . Of Paul, xiii. 9, xxiii. 1.
$\pi<\sigma \tau \iota v \tau 0 \hat{\sigma} \sigma \omega \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota]$ The gen. describes not only the aim but the result of the faith. Faith to be healed is the cause of healing, cf. iii. 16; Lukevii. $50 \dot{\eta} \pi i \sigma \tau \iota s \sigma_{0 v} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \omega \kappa \epsilon \in \epsilon$.
$\sigma \omega \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a c$ (cf. iv. 10 n .) primarily of bodily healing, but also conveying the idea of spiritual healing.
10. $\left.{ }^{\eta} \lambda a \tau 0\right]$ 'he leapt up' suddenly, and 'was walking'.
11. Nukaoviनil] lit. 'in Lycaonian fashion', i.e. in the Lycaonian speech. So 'End $\eta \nu \iota \sigma \tau \ell \sigma v \nu \iota \in ́ v a \iota '$ 'to understand Gk', and $\Delta \omega \rho \iota \sigma \tau i, \Phi \rho v \gamma \iota \sigma \tau i, \Lambda v \delta \iota \sigma \tau i$ applied to styles of music.

What the Lycaonian speech or dialect was we do not know. Living in a mountainous and secluded district they probably only used Gk in their intercourse with strangers, as Welshmen might use English. It is clear that the Apostles did not understand the cry that was raised, or they would have protested at once.
oi $\theta$ eol...] One of the oldest of beliefs, cf. Hom. Od. xvil. 484


It was in the neighbouring country of Phrygia that Philemon and Baucis were fabled to have entertained the two divinities here mentioned, Jupiter and Mercury, cf. Ov. Met. vili. 626.
12. Tòv B. $\Delta$ ía] Perhaps from his more imposing N. appearance. Paul (2 Cor. x. 10) says of himself $\dot{\eta} \pi a \rho o v \sigma i ́ a$ $\tau \circ \hat{u} \sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau o s \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \nu \eta \eta_{s} ;$ and cf. 2 Cor. xii. 7 є́ $\delta o ́ \theta \eta ~ \mu o \iota ~ \sigma \kappa o ́ \lambda о \psi ~$ $\tau \hat{\eta} \sigma a \rho \kappa l ;$ Gal. iv. 14. Tradition, probably exaggerating these references, describes him as $\mu \iota \kappa \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\varphi}$ $\mu \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \theta \epsilon \iota, \psi \iota \lambda \grave{o} s$ Acta $\tau \eta \grave{\nu} \kappa є \phi a \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu, \dot{\alpha} \gamma \kappa u ́ \lambda o s ~ \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ к \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \mu a \iota s$, but it is clear from the comparison of him to Hermes that the writer had no idea of his presence being mean or contemptible, for Hermes, the herald of the gods, is a beautiful figure.
ó ทํ. тov̂ $\lambda$ óyov] Hermes was the inventor of speech, cf. ̇̇p $\rho \eta \nu \in \varepsilon^{\prime} \omega$; Hor. Od. 1. 10. 1 Mercuri...... | qui feros cultus hominum recentum $\mid$ voce formasti catus.
13. тоv̂ ővтоs...] The god is identified with his temple, which was 'before the city'.
$\sigma \tau \in \mu \mu a \tau \alpha]$ Regularly used in sacrifices: worn by the priests, carried by the attendants, placed on the victim.
A. W.

тov̀s $\pi v \lambda \omega \hat{\nu} a s$ ] Some say 'the gates of the house where the Apostles were', but if so the plural is strange, cf. xii. 13. It can only be conjecture, but it seems better to refer to the gates of the temple of Zeus, the altar being in front of the
v.Renan. temple: this gives great force to $\tau$ oú $\tau \omega \nu$ in ver. 15 , which thus becomes deictic and vigorous.
14. Sıappท́\}avtes тd i $\mu$ átıa] A sign of grief and horror, cf. 2 Kings xviii. 37, xix. 1; Matt. xxvi. 65.
15. о $\mu о \iota \sigma \pi \alpha \theta$ eis] 'of like passions' A. and R.V., the word 'passions' being used in a technical sense as in Art. I., where God is described as 'without body, parts or passions'. The rendering here is however hardly happy, as the ancients always represented the gods as influenced like men by love, anger, hate and those feelings which are usually termed 'passions'. The word $\dot{\circ} \mu o \iota o \pi a \theta \eta$ 's is of far wider meaning, and describes one who is in the possession of a like nature, and therefore has like feelings or sensations, is affected in the same way by the same things, as heat and cold, pleasure and pain, disease and death.

The word may be well illustrated from Shakespeare, Merch. of Ven. III. 1, 'Hath not a Jew eyes? Hath not a Jew hands, organs, dimensions, senses, affections, passions? fed with the same food', \&c.
ámò тои́ $\tau \omega \nu . .$.$] Cf. 1$ Thess. i. 9 Є̇ $\pi \omega \sigma \tau \rho \notin \psi a \tau \epsilon \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~$

$\tau 0 v \sigma^{\tau} \omega \nu$ is deictic. Paul points to the heathen temple and its altar and images, and calls them 'these vain things', i.e. things which do not represent reality, cf. 1 Cor. viii. 4 oi' $\delta a \mu \epsilon \nu$ ö $\tau \iota \epsilon \ell \delta \omega \lambda o \nu$ ovं $\delta \in \nu$.
Text AB
$\theta \epsilon o ̀ v$ gิ̂v $\frac{1}{}$ ] 'a living God', opposed to the 'vain', nonexistent gods of the heathen. T.R. has $\tau \grave{\partial} \nu \theta$. $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \cdot \zeta \bar{\omega} \nu \tau a$, probably as seeming more precise and emphatic. Paul however very frequently has $\theta \epsilon \grave{s} \zeta \omega \hat{\nu}$, e.g. 2 Cor. vi. 16; Rom. ix. 26, where he is quoting from Hos. i. $10 \kappa \lambda \eta \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma o \nu \tau a \iota$ viol $\theta \in o \hat{v}$ § $\hat{\nu} \nu \tau o s ;$ and so regularly in LXX. with no article. Cf. too in illustration of the phrase the well-known Hebrew method of confirming an oath, 'Jehovah liveth', e.g. Hos.





It will be observed that to the men of Lycaonia, though

Paul quotes O.T., he does not rest his argument upon it, as when addressing Jews, but on the witness that nature bears to God. For this cf. the fine passage Cic. Tusc. 1. 28, and Rom. i. 20 ; Ps. xix. 1.
 participles, the second subordinate to and explaining the first, and the third the second, 'He gave witness of Himself by doing good, that is, by giving rains, \&c., in that way filling....'
ovjpavó日єv] Not otiose: caelum sedes Dei. B.
verovis] It has been pointed out that the district was A. one which was liable to suffer from drought, and that the wells were of unusual depth.

єv̉фробט́vๆs] Specially used in Gk of the cheerfulness which attends a banquet-'good cheer'.
18. тov̂ $\mu \dot{\eta} \theta \dot{v} \epsilon\llcorner\nu]$ gen. dependent on $\kappa a \tau \epsilon \pi \pi \alpha v \sigma a \nu$, 'made to cease from sacrificing', $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ being redundant as commonly after verbs containing a negative idea like forbidding or stopping.
19. '́ $\pi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta a \nu \delta \in ̇ . .$.$] Probably after some interval; the$ narrative is here very brief.
$\left.\lambda_{1} \theta^{\alpha} \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~S}\right]$ Cf. 2 Cor. xi. 25 , ä $\pi a \xi$ è $\lambda \iota \theta \dot{d} \sigma \theta \eta \nu$. The attack is evidently an irregular proceeding, for a formal or quasi-formal stoning for blasphemy would have been outside the city, cf. vii. 58.
20. ávartàs...] Luke clearly describes a sudden, miraculous recovery.
$\Delta \epsilon \in \beta \eta \nu]$ The exact site is unknown, but it was probably to the E. of Lycaonia, near the pass called 'the Cilician gates'. Their return over the same road by which they had come must have been intentional, for their natural road to Antioch would have been by the Cilician gates, or a similar pass over Mt Taurus, the very road taken by Paul in passing from Antioch to Derbe at the commencement of his second missionary journey, cf. xv. 41-xvi. 1.
22. kal öтl...] 'and saying that...'; Luke draws special attention to this point in their exhortation, which he gives verbatim, the quotation being marked by öt $\iota$, cf. xv. 1.
$\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{s}$ ] 'we': the speakers are subject to the same conditions as their hearers: 'we' is more sympathetic than 'you'. It is quite unreasonable to infer the presence of Luke from As A. the use of 'we' here.
23. Xєцротоขท' $\sigma \boldsymbol{\nu} \tau \in \mathrm{s}$ ] lit. 'having voted for', strictly of election by show of hands in a public assembly, but here used generally: 'appointed': cf. x. $41 \pi \rho о к є \chi \epsilon \iota \rho о \tau о \nu \eta \mu$ ย́voıs.
av̇roîs：Ethic Dat．For＇presbyters＇xi． 30 n ． кат＇$\epsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma$ lav］катd is distributive．

 $\pi a \rho a \kappa a \tau a \tau(\theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota=$＇to place a deposit（ $\pi \alpha \rho a к \alpha \tau a \theta \dot{\jmath} \kappa \eta$ ）with any one＇，＇give to be taken care of＇，e．g．of money with a banker；hence generally＇to entrust＇．

24．＇Atra入lav］W．from Perga at the mouth of the river Catarrhactes，near the borders of Lycia；at this time the capital of Pamphylia；built by Attalus II．king of Per－ gamus．

27．öra］＇all the things that＇，implying that they were many．
 $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \varepsilon i \mu l$ ．The phrase expresses the presence and implies the assistance of God．

 oúpav qoû $\lambda$ brov．The phrase expresses in a vivid and pictorial form the result of their first missionary journey．

Oúpav $\pi[\sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}]$ i．e．a full opportunity of belief and so of entrance or admittance into all the blessings attending on that belief．

28．oủk ỏ入〔̌yov］cf．xii．18，implies a considerable time， e．g．a year or more．

## CHAPTER XV．

1．kal twes．．．］This visit of Paul to Jerusalem is usually identified with the one he describes Gal．ii．1－10， fourteen years after his conversion．

The question，whether for admission to the Christian Church it was necessary first to accept the Jewish Law，and especially，as a sign of that acceptance，to submit to cir－ cumcision，might appear to have been settled by the case of Cornelius and the discussion which ensued upon it；but it would seem that many still regarded that case as exceptional and by no means clearly establishing a general principle． The question revived again in full vigour，even after the present decision，and is the cause of the Epistle to the Galatians，in which Paul establishes the freedom of the Gentiles．It must be remembered，with regard to the great importance which this question assumed，that the Jews considered themselves a peculiarly privileged people， and even those who acknowledged Jesus as the Messiah
may not unnaturally have held that those Gentiles who accepted the Jewish Messiah were also bound to accept the Jewish Law.

 $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$. Gal. ii. 4.
é $\delta(\delta a \sigma \kappa o v]$ Note the imperfect, and also that the word implies a definite purpose.
${ }^{0}$ otr] Introducing their very words, cf. xiv. 22.
 of the rule by which.
2. $\sigma \tau \dot{a} \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s}]$ A strong word, used='uproar', 'riot' xix. 40 : 'sedition' Luke xxiii. 25. Here 'a division between two opposing parties', cf. xxiii. 7. It is the well-known classical word for an outbreak between the democratic and oligarchical parties in a state.
'̈ $\tau \alpha \xi \xi a v]$ sc. oi $a \dot{\delta} \epsilon \lambda \phi o l$ from ver. 1. Paul says (Gal. ii. 2) ${ }_{a}^{\dot{a} \nu} \in \beta \eta \nu \quad \delta \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \dot{a} \pi о \kappa \dot{\alpha} \lambda \nu \psi \iota \nu$ : Luke gives the external, Paul the internal history.

кal tıvas ä入入ous] e.g. Titus; Gal. ii. 13.
3. $\pi \rho о \pi \epsilon \mu \phi \theta$ '́v $\tau \epsilon$ ] The verb signifies 'to accompany some one setting out on a journey a part of the way' as a mark of affection and honour. Cf. xx. 38, xxi. 5.
4. тape $\delta$ éx $\theta \eta \sigma a v . .$.$] The narrative is by no means$ clear, but seems to mark three main points:
(1) A public reception by the whole Church of Paul and Barnabas.
(2) The raising in a definite form by 'certain converts from among the Pharisees' of the question of circumcision, but whether at the first reception or later is not clear.
(3) A subsequent meeting of the whole (vers. 12, 22) Church to decide the question.

Paul's own account is (Gal. ii. 2) кai à $\nu \epsilon \theta \epsilon \in \mu \eta \nu$ av̉roîs $\tau \grave{\partial}$



Alford fairly observes, 'Paul did not lay before the so N. whole assembly the Gospel which he preached among the Gentiles, viz. the indifference of the Mosaic Law to their salvation (Gal. i. 7-9), for fear of its being hastily repudiated and so his own work hindered ( $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \omega$ s...). But he did so in private interviews with the chief Apostles'. Cf. his conduct xxi. 18.
$\tau \eta$ § ékк. кal...] The words каl $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi$ обт. каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta$. are added because the 'Apostles and elders' would naturally take a prominent part in the reception, and their
presence is therefore specially noted．So ver． 6 they are mentioned without the Church，because the decision of the question would naturally be left with them，though as we see from vers．12，22，＇the Church＇was present and agreed in the decision．

5．av่rov่s］The converted Gentiles．
6．тô̂ 入óyov тov́тov］The subject under consideration， cf．viii． 21 n ．

7．Hétpos］Here last mentioned in the Acts．
 seems to refer to the＇early days＇of the Church，cf．xi．15， A．M．$\quad \epsilon^{\nu} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta}$ referring to Pentecost；xxi． $16 \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \varphi \mu a \theta \eta \tau \hat{\eta}$ ．It seems designedly chosen to indicate that the acceptance of Gentiles was no new，novel principle．

9．кäapioas］Markedly recalling the word used x． 15. God had taken away the＇uncleanness＇of their hearts．

10．èrreitval］The inf．completes the notion of the verb by explaining wherein the＇tempting＇consists：the $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho a \sigma \mu \partial े s$ is defined as $\epsilon \pi \iota \theta \epsilon \hat{\nu} v a l \ldots$ ；it is an extension of the epexegetic inf．and may fairly be rendered＇tempt by placing．．．＇：cf．ver． $14 \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon \not \psi a \tau o \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ ，where the＇regard＇ is defined as＇$a$ taking．．．＇；Luke i． 25 效 $\pi \epsilon \hat{\delta} \delta \epsilon \nu$ dं $\phi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \bar{\nu}$ ．

For $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho a ́ \zeta \epsilon \tau \epsilon \tau \delta \nu \theta$ ．cf．Ex．xvii． 7 （where＇Massah＇is in LXX．$\Pi \epsilon і \rho a \sigma \mu b s)$ ；Deut．vi．16；Matt．iv．7； 1 Cor．х． 9. The phrase expresses acting without trust in God，refusing to follow His guidance till He has been tried or tested by some overt proof，such as the sending water from the rock in Horeb，or the vision sent to Peter at Joppa．
§uyòv］i．e．the burden of fulfilling the Law；juyòv סou入elas Gal．v．1．Opposed to it is the＇easy yoke＇（ऽuरoेs $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau$ ós Matt．xi．30）of Jesus．

11．Sıd тท̂s Xáp．т．к．＇Iŋซ．］With $\sigma \omega \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$ not with $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \rho \mu \epsilon \nu$ ．The words are thrown forward for emphasis： ＇not through the Law，but by grace．．．＇．

12．Bapvá $\beta a$ кal II．］Notice the reversion to this order， possibly because the events take place in Jerusalem，where as yet Barnabas was better known than Paul．So too ver． 25.
＇$\xi \xi \eta \gamma \circ v \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v \omega \nu$ ö $\sigma \alpha . .$.$] Paul and Barnabas simply report$ the facts．The Council discuss and decide．The triple repetition of $\delta \sigma \alpha \dot{\epsilon} \pi \sigma o i \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu \dot{\delta} \theta \epsilon o s$（xiv．27，xv．4）is note－ worthy．Throughout Paul does not argue，but appeals to the facts as a visible proof of God＇s presence．

13．ȧtєкpi $\theta \eta$ ］＇answered＇，i．e．spoke in reply to the
general expectation of a closing opinion from the president after the debate.
14. $\Sigma v \mu \omega \omega \nu$ ] Found also 2 Pet. i. 1; a by-form of Simon. James uses Peter's Hebrew name.
é $\pi \in \sigma \kappa$ ќquaro $\lambda a \beta \in \hat{\imath}]$ ] A. and R.V. 'did visit the Gentiles to take...'; but the sense of 'regard', 'consideration' is
 'visit'. It is ='shewed regard' or 'consideration in taking'.
 Israel is no longer to be alone 'the people': from 'the nations' God may take Himself a people-the Israel of God, not after the flesh but after the spirit.
$\tau \hat{\text { © }}$ òv. avirov̂] i.e. to be called 'God's people', 'the Israel of God' (Gal. vi. 16). Cf. ver. 17.
15. oi $\lambda$ óyou] Plural: 'the utterances', of which he proceeds to select one.
16. $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ tav̂тa...] From LXX. Amos ix. 11, $12 \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta}$




The passage of Amos refers in the first instance to the restoration of the Davidic empire (instead of the 'house of David' it speaks poetically of 'the fallen tent'): secondly, it refers to the Messiah's kingdom ('the throne of David his father' Luke i. 32).
 A.V., following the Hebrew, has 'that they may possess the remnant of Edom', referring probably to the slaughter M. already inflicted on Edom by Amaziah (2 Kings xiv. 7).

Certainly, though the general Messianic reference of the F. and so passage be undisputed, the Hebrew text 'that they (the even $\begin{gathered}\text { evaum. }\end{gathered}$ Jews) may possess' is 'much less apposite to the purpose of the speaker' than the LXX. version.
' $\phi^{\prime}$ ' ou's...] A Hebrew expression, for those who acknow- M. ledge Jehovah as Lord, 'God's people', cf. Deut. xxviii. 10; Is. 1xiii. 19.
 'saith Jehovah, making these things known from of old'. By omitting the article before $\pi$ otw $\omega$ and adding the words omit o $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau \grave{\alpha} \dot{a} \pi \pi^{\prime}$ aî̀vos James incorporates with the quotation ${ }^{N 1 B}$. certain explanatory words of his own, intended to shew that the extension of Messiah's kingdom to the heathen 'is not a chance occurrence or trivial, but much rather God's Baum. r. determined act'.


desire to make the words $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau \dot{d} \dot{d} \pi^{\prime}$ al. intelligible: not being found in Amos they would be regarded as a separate sentence needing some addition to give sense.

For $\dot{a} \pi$ ' aî̀े $o s$ cf. iii. $21 \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma\left(\omega \nu \dot{a} \pi^{\prime}\right.$ al $\hat{\omega} \nu o s \pi \rho o \phi \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, and Luke i. 70, and for the whole phrase Is. xlv. 21.
19. '̇y $\kappa \frac{1}{}(\nu \omega]$ Ego censeo. Not 'my sentence is', as A. V., but 'I judge' ('my judgement is' R.V.): James expresses his own 'judgment', not the 'sentence' or 'decision' of the assembly, which is given ver. 22.
 ' aim' or the 'contents' of the 'letter'. For $\epsilon \pi \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \hat{\imath} \lambda a \iota ~ c f$. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau 0 \lambda \eta \eta^{\nu}$ ver. 30, and $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota \lambda \alpha$ ن̀ $\mu \hat{\imath} \nu$ Heb. xiii. 22. It is safer to render $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \hat{\lambda} \lambda a \iota$ 'write' and $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau o \lambda \eta$ ' letter' (as A. and R.V.), but the sense of 'enjoin', 'injunction' strongly attaches to both words, cf. our use of 'message' and 'mandate'.
$\left.\tau \omega ิ \nu{ }^{2} \lambda . \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \in \dot{i} \delta \omega \dot{\lambda} \omega \nu\right]$ By a comparison with ver. 29, xxi. 25 clearly $=\epsilon l \delta \omega \lambda \lambda_{0} \theta v \tau \alpha$ 'meat sacrificed to idols'. Lit. ' the pollutions of idols' from the late Greek ${ }^{\top} \lambda \iota \sigma \gamma \epsilon i \nu$, ' to pollute'. With the ancients sacrifice was always accompanied by feasting: the parts not burnt on the altar or taken by the priests afforded the materials for a feast or were sold. Paul in 1 Cor. viii. clearly lays down his view with regard to eating or not eating such meat: in no case will he 'make a brother to offend', though such meat is really but as other meat.

The four things specially prohibited are those referred to as 'defiling' in Lev. xvii. and xviii. and forbidden not only to Jews but to 'strangers that sojourn in the land',
M. de W. Baum. and it is probable that the same prohibitions were enforced on all 'proselytes of the gate'.
(1) $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha \lambda$. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \in \ell \delta \dot{\omega} \lambda \omega \nu$, cf. Lev. xvii. 1-9. Of all beasts killed the blood was to be offered to the Lord, and the offering to devils was prohibited.
(2) and (3) тồ $\pi \nu \iota \kappa \tau o \hat{v}$ каl $\tau o \hat{v}$ aḷ. cf. Lev. xvii. 1016. No blood was to be eaten, and consequently no flesh of anything of which the blood was not 'poured out'.
(4) $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ mopveías, of. Lev. xviii, 1-18. All forms of uncleanness forbidden.

With regard to the classing of a moral offence apparently on an equal footing with other merely ritual offences, it should be remembered (1) that mopveia was hardly looked upon as wrong by the ancient Gentile world, (2) that to the Jews the distinction between moral and ceremonial offences was hardly as clear as it is to us; they regarded all the offences mentioned as direct violations of divine laws.
21. $\left.M \omega v \sigma \hat{\eta} s \gamma_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{p}\right]$ i.e. they are to abstain because their
conduct would give offence. The prohibitions enforced by Moses on 'strangers', and consequently by the Jews on all proselytes, were so well known to all their Jewish brethren that to neglect them would cause offence. They are a minimum with less than which the Jewish Christians could not be satisfied- $\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \pi \alpha \nu a \gamma \kappa \epsilon s$ ver. 28. Some explain the words as an apology to the Jews present for requiring so little : nec est metuendum ne Moses antiquetur, habet enim ille....

> Erasmus, mand son. Baum.
 decrees.
 tes] An instance of loose sense construction. The first participle is attracted from the dat. into the acc. by the proximity of the inf., and the second is in the nomin. by a reversion to the logical nom. of the sentence ( $\epsilon \delta 0 \xi \in \tau 0 i s$ $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau_{o} \lambda_{0}(s=$ ' the Apostles determined ').
'Iov́סav] Not otherwise known. Silas is an abbrevia- v. w. tion for Silvanus (cf. Lucas=Lucanus, Epaphras=Epaphroditus \&c.) as he is called 1 Thess. i. 1; 2 Cor. i. 19 : he accompanied Paul in his second missionary journey, cf. xv. 40.
23. кal oi $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma$. $\alpha \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \circ]$ T.R. has кal oi $a \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi$ ol with poor Text $\kappa A$ authority. The embassy had been sent to 'the Apostles and BCD. elders' (ver. 2); it is answered by ' the Apostles and elders', and in the reply the word $\dot{\alpha} \delta \in \lambda \phi o l$ is added to emphasize the unity of feeling which the letter was intended to produce; it is from 'brethren to brethren', from Jewish Christians at Jerusalem to Gentile Christians at Antioch. Translate 'The Apostles and elders, brethren to the brethren...' R.V. gives 'The Apostles and elder brethren'-a meaningless phrase.




For $\chi$ ai $\rho \epsilon \iota \nu=$ salutem at the beginning of a letter, cf. xxiii. 26; James i. 1.
24. àvarkevá̧ovtes] Only here in LXX. and N.T. It is the opposite of катабкєvájєєข 'to equip', 'furnish', and = 'unfurnish', 'destroy', 'subvert' (as A. and R.V.) ; it is especially used as a rhetorical term of 'destructive arguments', cf. Ar. Rhet. II. 24. 4 тдे $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ к а \tau а \sigma к є v a ́ ̧ \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \eta ̋ ~$ ג̇ขaбкєvá̧єเข; Quint. II. 4.18 opus destruendi confirmandique quod àvaбкєv́ et катабкєчй vocatur.

The rendering 'turning up the foundations' is totally Given by wrong, cf. Thuc. I. 18, iv. 116, and Poppo ad loc.
A. F. M.
ois ov̉ $\left.\delta \iota \in \sigma \tau \in \lambda a \mu^{\prime} \in \theta a\right]$ Observe the forcible brevity:
weakened in A.V. 'to whom we gave no such commandment'. R.V. omits 'such'.
26. $\pi a p a \delta \epsilon \delta \omega \kappa$ óv $]$ ] 'hazarded' A. and R.V. The word $=$ 'to give' or 'place out of your own power into that of another'. By their actions they had 'placed their lives out of their own power'. Cf. Thuc. v. $16 \tau u ́ \chi \eta$ aítò $\pi \alpha a \rho \alpha \delta i \delta \omega \sigma \iota \nu$. Optime rem commissam curant, qui suam vitam non curant.
v่ $\pi$ èp тov̂ ỏv.] iii. 6 n .
27. av̉тov̀s Sıd גóyov] Personally, and by word of mouth.
28. $\epsilon \delta 0 \xi \epsilon \nu . .$.$] The words express a distinct belief that$ the Holy Spirit was present and inspired their resolution (cf. John xvi. 13), and there seems also a reference to the fact that the right of the Gentiles had been proved by the 'gift of the Holy Spirit', cf. ver. 8, x. 47, xi. 15.
29. $\left.\epsilon \mathcal{~} \pi \rho \alpha \xi^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \epsilon\right]$ A.V. 'ye shall do well', an ambiguous rendering, as 'do well' may $=$ 'act rightly' or 'fare well', whereas $\epsilon \hat{v} \pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ can only mean the latter, and so R.V. rightly 'it shall be well with you'. Cf. Plato Protag. 333d
 $\pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \omega \nu$.

E'pp $\omega \sigma \theta \epsilon$ Valete.
31. тท̂ mapak $\lambda \eta \sigma \in \iota]$ Contained, that is, in the letter; this 'encouragement' the bearers of the letter repeat and increase by their spoken words ( $\delta \iota \alpha ̀ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v \pi . \pi a \rho \epsilon \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \alpha \nu$ ).



Text follows NA BEHL P.

As F .

After ver. 33 T.R. with very poor authority inserts $\begin{gathered}\text { E } \\ 0 \\ \xi \\ \epsilon\end{gathered}$
 ver. 40. But the $\mu \in \tau \alpha ́ \tau \iota \nu a s \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a s$ of ver. 36 allows for a considerable interval during which he may have returned from Jerusalem.
36. $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \delta \epsilon ́ . ..] ~ C o m m e n c e m e n t ~ o f ~ P a u l ' s ~ s e c o n d ~ m i s-~$ sionary journey.
 tandi) cf. xiii. 2 n . Note the vigour of the repeated $\epsilon \pi \iota-$.
 ' thought right'; but probably there is no real difference of meaning between the two verbs, $\eta \xi$ 说... $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ being simply $=$ 'refused', 'objected'. To note 'characteristic mildness' in the one and 'characteristic vehemence' in the other is imaginative.

37, 38. $\sigma v \mu \pi \alpha \rho a \lambda a \beta \epsilon i v, \mu \eta े ~ \sigma v v \pi \alpha \rho a \lambda \alpha \mu \beta a ́ v є เ v]$ simul assumere, non simul assumere. Contradictio sententiarum vivide expressa. B.

Note too the emphasis with which routov is added pleo－ nastically at the end．Its force is best felt by reading over the Greek without it．Even in the indirect form in which the historian gives it，the refusal is instinct with energy－ ＇One who deserted us before，and went not on with us！ No，I will not take him＇．

Mark was subsequently reconciled with Paul；Col．iv．10； 2 Tim．iv．11；Philemon 24.

39．$\pi a \rho o \xi ้ v \sigma \mu \mathrm{~d}$ ］＇irritation＇；the word is a strong one，

 other hand 1 Cor．xiii． 5 д́үá $\eta \ldots$ ．．．ò $\pi a \rho o$ g̀véval．

40．mapaסo日eis］Cf．xiv． 26.
41．Sıńpxeтo．．．］His way would be by the Gulf of La．f． Issus through＇the Syrian gates＇，a narrow road between steep rocks and the sea，and then inland，probably past Tarsus and over Mt Taurus by the＇Cilician gates＇．

## CHAPTER XVI．

1．Truódeos］Probably a native of Lystra（to which $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ must refer），not of Derbe，as has been wrongly inferred from xx．4．His mother＇s name was Eunice 2 Tim．i． 5. He had probably been converted on his former visit by Paul，
 1 Cor．iv． 17.

2．é $\mu a \rho \tau u \rho \in i ̂ r o] ~ v i . ~ 3 n . ~$
3．$\lambda a \beta \omega ̀ \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \rho ⿺ 𠃊 ́ \tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu$ ］To be taken literally：any Israelite might perform the rite．

סıd rov̀s＇Iovסaiovs．．．］Clearly not Christian Jews（for them the Jerusalem＇decrees＇referred to ver． 4 would have sufficed），but Jews generally．Paul saw that in preaching to the Jews，if Timothy was to be of any use to him，he So M．La． must be circumcised：they would not have associated with one who was uncircumcised or allowed him to preach in the synagogues．He circumcised him＇because of the Jews，for they all knew that his father was a Greek＇，and therefore that he was not circumcised．

The refusal of Paul to circumcise Titus（Gal．ii．3）is quite different．Titus，who was a Greek，had gone up with Paul on the mission referred to in the last chapter．A de－ mand had been made that he should be circumcised．To have complied would have been fatal to the cause of Gentile freedom，which Paul was sent to represent．Idem non est semper idem．

4．mape8i8ooav］＇they kept handing over＇：the decrees had been entrusted to them；it was their duty to＇pass them on＇，＇deliver them＇．

6．$\delta \leqslant \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \circ v \delta \dot{\text { el．．．］＇＇They went through the Phrygian }}$ and Galatian district having been hindered（i．e．because they had been hindered）from preaching in Asia＇．

Had they kept on in the direction in which they were going，following the great line of traffic to the West，they would have reached Ephesus，the chief city of proconsular Asia（ii．9，vi．9），but their progress was＇barred＇by a divine prohibition and they turned off either to the N．or

ठ८ทิ入 $\theta$ ov
※ABCD
E．
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Light－ foot．
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Light－
foot．$F$ ．
A．W．N． N．W．T．R．has $\delta \iota \epsilon \lambda \theta o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s \delta \epsilon ̀ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \Phi$ ．каl $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ Г．$\chi \dot{\mu} \rho \alpha \nu$ obscur－ ing and probably altering the sense；cf．A．V．

Tทे $\boldsymbol{v} \Phi_{\rho v y i a v ~ k a l ~ T a \lambda . ~}^{\text {．}}$ ．］Not two districts（as reading of T．R．makes it）but one：it was＇the country which could be termed indifferently Phrygia or Galatia．It was in fact the land originally inhabited by Phrygians but subsequently occupied by Gauls＇．See however xviii． 23.

Galatia is the land of the Ta入átal，Ké deal $_{\text {a }}$ or Galli，the same race who в．c． 390 sacked Rome，and in в．c． 279 poured over Greece：a portion of this latter body，instead of proceed－ ing S．，turned E．and crossed the Hellespont into Asia， where they finally occupied the territory called Galatia．It was made a Roman province b．c． 25.

The Churches of Galatia to which Paul addressed his Epistle were probably founded during this journey，though Luke gives no hint of it．It may be noted however that the narrative here is extremely brief，the writer being clearly anxious to pass on to the preaching of Paul in Europe．
e．g．Others consider that Paul uses the word＇Galatians＇
Lange， M． in an official sense＝＇inhabitants of the Roman province of Galatia＇and that the Epistle is addressed to the Churches of Lycaonia，which formed part of that province．

7．kaid̀ т $\grave{v} v$ M．］R．V．rightly＇over against＇：with it opposite them．

т $\boldsymbol{\pi} \pi v \in \hat{\nu} \mu a{ }^{\text {＇}}$ I $\left.\eta \sigma 0 \hat{v}\right]$ T．R．with poor authority omits ＇Inoov．R．V．gives the＇Spirit of Jesus＇．The exact ex－ pression occurs nowhere else，but cf．Phil．i． $19 \tau 0 \hat{\pi} \pi \nu$. ＇I $\eta \sigma o \hat{v}$
 R．V．gives＇Spirit＇not＇spirit＇．

8．тарє ${ }^{\text {月óvtєs］A．and R．V．＇passing by＇，which may }}$ either mean＇neglecting＇or＇passing along the edge of＇．

It is certainly natural to take the word literally and M．La．A．not metaphorically，as merely describing their route（cf．
 neglect－ of Mysia＇，＇skirted it＇，keeping it，that is，on their right．In
this case Mysia must = Mysia Minor which belonged to Bithynia, whereas Mysia Major was part of the province of Asia.

Tpwáda] Alexandria Troas, S.W. of Troy on the seacoast, a Roman colony.
9. őpaua] Hitherto they had been simply 'hindered', 'forbidden' to approach certain places; now there is a positive direction.
10. ' $\left.\} \eta \eta \tau \eta^{\prime} \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu\right]$ 'We sought'. The introduction of the first person plural, in a writer with such considerable literary skill as Luke, cannot be set down to the inartistic incorporation of some narrative written by an actual companion of Paul, but clearly indicates the presence of Luke himself. The use of it ceases xvii. 1 when Paul leaves Philippi, and is resumed six or seven years later (xx.5) on his sailing from Philippi, and continued to the end of the Acts.

11. єن่ $\left.\theta \cup \delta \rho о \mu \eta^{\prime} \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu\right]$ expresses a straight run with the wind well astern: the journey from Neapolis (xx. 6) took five days.

इauoӨрáкๆv] A small island opposite the mouth of the Hebrus, distant about 38 m .; celebrated for the worship of the Cabiri.

Néav Mó $\left.{ }^{\prime} \iota v\right]$ Just opposite Thasos; at this time be- M. La. longing to Thrace, but from Vespasian's time to Macedonia.
12. $\Phi\left(\lambda \iota_{\pi} \pi\right.$ ovs] Founded by Philip, father of Alexander the Great, on the site of the old Krenides, 'Wells'; near the sources of the river Gangites or Gangas, which flows into the Strymon, about 30 m . distant. On the intervening plain took place the battle of Philippi b.c. 42. The city lies on the great Via Egnatia (see xvii. 1 n .). Augustus, as Philip had done, recognized the strategical importance of its position and founded a Roman colony there, Colonia Augusta Julia Philippensis.

ท̋rıs...] 'for it is, first in the district, a city of Macedonia, a colony'.

Whatever the exact meaning of these words, it is clear solightthat the clause, introduced by the explanatory $\ddot{\eta} \tau c s$, is in foot (q. tended to call attention to the fact that Paul had reached ${ }^{\text {v.), La. }}$ the place to which he had been specially directed in ver. 9 .

It seems plainer to take $\tau \hat{\eta} s \mu \epsilon \rho i \delta o s ~ M .=$ 'that portion' or 'district of Macedonia' than to make $\mu \in \rho i \delta o s=$ 'province' and render 'the province Macedonia'. 'Macedonia' is the Roman province of that name (including Macedonia proper,

Illyricum, Epirus, and Thessaly) which had been divided after its conquest 168 b.c. by Aemilius Paulus into four districts, of which one, Macedonia Prima, between the Strymon and the Nestus, had Amphipolis for its capital, but whether this district still existed and is referred to by the words $\tau \eta{ }^{\rho} s \mu e \rho / \delta o s$ is doubtful.

The word $\pi \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \eta$ cannot $=$ 'chief city', as it otherwise naturally should, for Amphipolis was the chief city of that So Light- district and Thessalonica of the whole of Macedonia. It foot. remains therefore to explain it with Erasmus: prima occurrit a Neapoli petentibus Macedoniam. Passing from Neapolis the traveller has to cross the lofty ridge of Symbolum before entering Macedonia, and the first city he comes to is Philippi.

It must be admitted however that the use of $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o s$ in this sense without any words to make it clear is unexampled.

ко $\lambda \omega v i[a]$ colonia. A colony consisted of a body of Roman citizens publicly sent out to occupy some town, usually important on military grounds, who in their new home still continued to enjoy the full rights of Roman citizens. Cicero calls colonies propugnacula imperii, and Aulus Gellius (xvr. 13) describes them as 'offshoots' or 'miniature copies of the Roman people'-quasi propagatae...pop. Romani quasi effigies parvae simulacraque. The name is still found in 'Lincoln', 'Cologne' ( = K̈̈ln).
 v. reff. in $\chi \boldsymbol{\eta}$ is applied to any 'place of prayer', whether a building, A. or open space as here (cf. $̇ \nu \rho \mu(\zeta \rho \mu \epsilon \nu)$. It was a well-known term, cf. the sneer in Juv. III. 296 in qua te quaero proseucha? The choice of a spot by a river had probably reference to Jos.Ant. ceremonial washings. A decree of Halicarnassus allowed xiv. 10. 23.
 $\pi \alpha ́ \tau \rho \iota o \nu$ ै $\theta$ os. Cf. Ps. cxxxvii. 1, 'By the waters of Babylon...'.
 La. F. dum B., and so others speak of it as 'informal conversation'; but to sit was customary for a preacher (vi. 9 n .), and $\lambda a \lambda \epsilon i{ }^{\nu}$ is a regular word for preaching, e.g. xviii. 25, and by no means denotes 'conversation', 'talk', in N.T. The words clearly describe preaching.
14. Avסla] Probably so called from her birthplace being in Lydia, though 'Lydia' was a common female name.
A. $\pi$. $0 \rho \phi u p o \sigma^{\pi} \omega \lambda$ ıs] 'The guild of dyers at Thyatira have left inscriptions still existing'. The celebrity of the purple dyeing of the neighbourhood is as old as Homer, cf. Il. Iv.
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non sic decus ardet eburnum Lydia Sidonio quod femina tinxerit ostro.
©varel $\rho \omega \nu$ ] In N. of Lydia on the river Lycus: one of the seven Churches mentioned Rev. ii. 18.

Sıńvol\}єv] A strong word, such as might be used of opening folding-doors and throwing them wide back. Cf.
 times in Luke, three times in the Acts, once elsewhere in N.T.
15. $\pi เ \sigma \tau \grave{\eta} v \tau \hat{\varphi}$ кvp.] 'believing on the Lord', one who La. really believes on Jesus as Lord. A. and R.V. 'faithful to'an ambiguous rendering.
$\pi a \rho \epsilon \beta$ เá $\sigma a \tau 0]$ Cf. Luke xxiv. 29 каі $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \beta \iota a ́ \sigma a \nu \tau о$ aúróv;
 in all three cases of gentle, hospitable constraint.

єis $\tau \eta \geqslant \nu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon v \chi \eta े \nu]$ i. e. the $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon v \chi \dot{\eta}$ already mentioned. T.R. omits $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ : 'as we went to prayer' A.V.
$\pi \nu \in \hat{\mu} \mu a \pi u ́ \theta \omega \nu \alpha]$ In apposition: T.R. חú $\theta \omega \nu \frac{}{}$ was the name of the serpent slain by Apollo, whence his אABCD. name of IÍvioos, and the prophetess of Apollo at Delphi (anciently $\Pi \nu \theta \dot{\omega}$ ) was called $\Pi \nu \theta i a$.

Plutarch however tells us that in his day ( $50-100 \mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{D} . ?$ ) de defec-
 $\kappa \lambda \epsilon$ 'as or 'ventriloquist prophets', and so too Hesychius p. 414. explains the word. It is also used in LXX., cf. Lev. xix. 31
 A. and R.V. give 'that have a familiar spirit'; and of the witch of Endor 1 Sam. xxviii. 7 रuvaîкa è $\gamma \gamma$.

The derivation of the word is unknown. Bengel (as the ancients probably thought) says ex quo $\pi \dot{v} \theta \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ datur. Lange gives the root budh, 'depth', whence $\beta \dot{v} \theta o s$, puteus; Curtius doubtfully the same root as pus, putidus.
17. Eкраఢєข...] So too the unclean spirits recognize Jesus, e.g. Mark i. 24, iii. 11.
 of this repetition, not reproduced in A. or R.V. Render, 'departed'.
19. $\epsilon[\lambda \kappa v \sigma a \nu]$ denotes violence. Cf. Plaut. Poen. IIr. 5. 45 obtorto collo ad praetorem trahor.

єis $\tau \mathfrak{\eta} v$ ajyopàv] i.e. into the forum, near which would be the courts.of law.
rov̀ üpxovras] 'the magistrates', a general term; the actual magistrates before whom they were brought are immediately specified as $\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o i s$, which is the Gk rendering of praetor. Colonies were actually governed by duumviri, who occupied a position similar to that of the consuls at Rome, but we learn from Cicero that at Capua cum in ceteris coloniis Duumviri appellentur, hi se Praetores appellari volebant, and it is probable that the same vanity was not uncommon, cf. the contemptuous Lusco praetore Hor. Sat. I. 5. 34.
20. ov์тol of ${ }^{\circ} v \theta \rho \omega \pi$ ol] Contemptuous.
ėkтapáのбоvбเv...] Suberat utilitas privata, publica ostenditur. B.
'Iovסaîo vimápx.] Used to excite prejudice in strong opposition to ' $\mathrm{P} \omega \mu \mathrm{alocs}$ ovi $\sigma \iota \nu$. For the unpopularity of the Jews cf. xviii. 17, xix. 34; Tac. Hist. v. 5 Caetera instituta sinistra, foeda, pravitate valuere....Apud ipsos fides obstinata...adversus omnes alios hostile odium, and cf. Juv. xiv. 96-106 and Mayor ad loc.

It will be observed that their accusers speak of them as Jews, and it is most probable that the Christians were for some time confused with the Jews in the general estimation.
21. ${ }^{v} \theta \eta \ldots$...] The exact nature of this the first charge made against Christians before a Roman magistrate should be noted. It is that they preach 'unlawful customs', i.e. the practice of things unlawful. No Roman magistrate would deal with abstract theological questions (cf. xviii. 15) : religion only became a subject for the magistrate, when it (1) might tend to create a breach of the peace (cf. $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma-$ $\sigma o v \sigma \iota \nu),(2)$ or tend to the encouragement of illegal acts, especially to the formation of secret sects, organizations, $\& c$.

Brissonius, $d e$ Formulis.
H.
 might be the order. Cf. Liv. II. 55 Consules spoliari hominem et virgas expediri jubent. Provoco, inquit, ad populum, Volero... Quo ferocius clamitabat, co infestius circumscindere (cf. $\pi \epsilon \rho\left\llcorner\rho \eta \eta^{\prime} \xi\right.$ ) et spoliare lictor. So Dion. Halic. has $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \kappa a \tau a \rho \rho \eta \hat{\xi} a \iota$ in this sense. $\quad \rho a \beta \delta l \xi \in \iota v=v i r g i s ~ c a e d e r e . ~$
24. ท่ $\sigma \phi a \lambda$ ( $\sigma a \tau 0]$ Cf. $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi a \lambda \hat{\omega} s \tau \eta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ above.
 Eq. 366), Rome (Plaut. Capt. III. 70 nam noctu nervo vinctus custodibitur)'.

 (Shadrach, Meshech and Abednego) $\pi \epsilon \rho i \epsilon \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau o v \nu \in \nu \mu \epsilon \sigma \omega \tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\phi \lambda o \gamma \delta{ }^{\circ} \dot{v} \mu \nu 0 u ̂ \nu \tau \epsilon s \tau \delta \nu \theta \epsilon 6 \nu$.

Crus in nervo cor in caelo. W.
 especially of listening to a recitation, music or the like: $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \kappa \rho$. also suggests the idea of attention. Cf. ג́кои́ $\omega=$ 'hear', є̇ $\pi \alpha к о и ̆ \omega=$ 'hear attentively'.
27. €́avtòv ảvaเpeîv] It was near Philippi that Brutus committed suicide. For the fact that it was so frequent as to become almost a 'national usage' under the empire cf. Merivale c. 64.

For the punishment of those who had allowed a prisoner to escape of. xii. 19.

30, 31. Kúpıol... $\boldsymbol{\text { òv }}$ кúpıov] Non agnoscunt se dominos. B.
$\sigma \omega \theta \hat{\omega}]$ In the same sense as $\dot{\delta} \delta \partial \nu \nu \omega \tau \eta \rho$ ias ver. 17 , and $\sigma \omega \oint \omega$ throughout the Acts. The keeper was acquainted with the purport of their preaching.
31. бù kal ó oikós oov] These words are added to supplement and make more correct (per Epanorthosin) the statement $\pi i \sigma \tau$. кai $\sigma \omega \theta \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \eta$. Cf. xi. 14.
R.V. rightly, 'Believe... and thou shalt be saved, thou and thy house'.
A.V. wrongly, Believe...and thou shalt be saved, and thy house'.
 є̀ $\lambda \frac{0}{}$


Both acts would perhaps take place at a well in the courtyard, cf. $\pi \rho \circ \alpha \gamma a \gamma \dot{\omega} \nu$ है $\xi \omega$.
34. avaүay由v] Perhaps his house was over the prison.
 $\theta \epsilon \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \in \eta \kappa \epsilon \tau \rho a \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \alpha \Delta \nu$. The tables being small and easily moveable, were actually placed before the guest.
$\pi \alpha \nu o \iota k \in l]$ Not classical, but ef. $\pi$ ауоккทбia (Thuc. iI. 16, III. 57), $\pi \alpha \nu \delta \eta \mu \epsilon l, \pi \alpha \nu \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \underline{̣ ̂ .}$
$\pi \epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau . \tau \hat{\varphi} \hat{\theta} \hat{\varphi}]$ Hitherto he had been a heathen. The words could not have been used of a converted Jew.
35. тov̀s poßßoov́xovs] = 'fasces-bearers', regular Gk for lictor. Cicero appears to suggest that the lictors of the de Lea. duumviri should have carried baculi not the fasces-Ayr. 34 'anteibant lictores, non cum baculis, sed, ut hic praetoribus anteeunt, cum fascibus duobus'.
37. Sєipavres...] Note the rhetorical power of this verse. First the statement of the wrong done ( $\delta \epsilon i \rho a \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ): then the threefold aggravation of it by the circumstances under which it was done, (1) $\delta \eta \mu \sigma \sigma i \underline{\text {, ( }}$ (2) d́катакрiтous, (3)
${ }^{\alpha} \nu \theta .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P} \omega \mu a i o u s \dot{v} \pi$.: then the wrongful imprisonment; and lastly the enhancement of the injury by the inadequate reparation offered, which was (1) $\lambda \dot{\alpha} \theta \rho q, \operatorname{not} \delta \eta \mu \sigma \sigma i q,(2)$ not offered personally, cf. aủzoi $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\xi} a \gamma a \gamma \epsilon \in \tau \omega \sigma \alpha \nu$, (3) without courtesy, cf. $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o v \sigma \iota \nu$.

סеโрavtes] Cic. in Verr. v. 66 Facinus est vincire civem Romanum, scelus verberare; v. 57 illa vox et imploratio, Civis Romanus sum, quae saepe ultimis in terris opem inter barbaros et salutem tulit.

This immunity was secured by the Lex Valeria b.c. 500 and the Lex Porcia b.c. 248.

The praetors do not question Paul's claim, nor does Claudius Lysias xxii. 27. A false claim might be punished with death, cf. Suet. Claud. 25 civitatem Romanam usurpantes...securi percussit.
'P $\omega \mu$ alovs $\mathrm{v}^{\pi}$.] Cf. xxii. 28. How Paul was 'free-born' we do not know; certainly not merely as citizen of Tarsus, which was only an urbs libera. Of Silas we know nothing.
éx $\beta$ á入入ovaเv] 'cast us out', a strong word; cf. ' $\xi$ §aүay'́$\tau \omega \sigma \alpha \nu$, 'conduct us out'.
oủ $\left.\gamma \alpha{ }^{\rho} \rho\right] \gamma \alpha \rho$ is the $\gamma \alpha \rho$ so frequent in answers: the question it answers here is implied in the indignant protest which precedes. 'They propose to do this ! Shall they do it? No, indeed'. So too oủ $\gamma$ à $\rho$ oû $\nu$.
av่rol] In person, not by sending their attendants.
39. тарєкá $\lambda \epsilon \sigma a v]$ Cf. v. 15 ; Luke xv. 28 : 'invited', 'besought', its earlier sense. In ver. 40 as usual 'encouraged', 'exhorted'.
40. 'E૬ŋ̂ $\lambda \theta a v$ ] 'they went out': not Luke.

## CHAPTER XVII.

1. Sıoסєv́бavtes] The óoós was the via Egnatia, so called from Egnatia, on the coast of Apulia, where the Appian road reaches the sea. It passes from that point to Brundisium, and then leads from Dyrrhachium to Byzantium. It was the great road from the W. to the E.
${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} A \mu \phi(\pi 0 \lambda เ \nu]$ On the left bank of the Strymon, just below L. Cercinitis, 3 m . from the sea: the river flows almost round it, whence its name. On account of its situation it was an important town in Gk history, several times colonized by the Athenians and recaptured by the Thracians. Acquired by Philip B.c. 358. An urbs libera and capital of Macedonia Prima.
'A $\pi$ o $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ lav] About $30 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{S}$. -W. of Amphipolis, halfway to Thessalonica.

O $\epsilon \sigma \sigma a \lambda 0 \nu$ in $\left.^{2} \nu\right]$ Originally Therma, at the head of the Thermaic Gulf; Cassander largely increased it and called it Thessalonica after his wife, the sister of Alexander the Great. It is still the second city of European Turkey, with 70,000 inhabitants, and called Saloniki.
$\left.\hat{\eta} v \sigma^{2} v a \gamma \omega \gamma \dot{\eta}\right]$ T.R. $\hat{\eta} \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma v \nu$. In either case the town Text is distinguished from the other towns mentioned, in which KABID. there was no synagogue. This implies the existence of but few Jews in Macedonia.
 rest of N.T. The word originally indicates an interchange of words, conversation; it is then used of reasoning or arguing by means of question and answer-the 'dialectic method' as illustrated in the Dialogues of Plato. In Luke however the word has lost this meaning, and does not imply discussion, though sometimes conveying the idea not merely of 'reasoning' but 'arguing', 'disputing', as perhaps here; ver. 17, xviii. 19, xxiv. 12. In other places it is simply $=$ 'discourse', as $x x .7,9$.
 the Apostolic method of teaching, cf. ix. 22 n.

Paul first 'expounds' ( $\delta \iota a \nu o i \gamma \epsilon \iota$ xvi. 14 n .) and 'krings forward' ( $\pi a \rho a \tau i \theta \in \tau a l)$ passages of 'the Scriptures', i.e. of the O.T., to shew that the Messiah (o $\begin{gathered} \\ \text { of }\end{gathered}$ certain things. He then shews that Jesus did these things, and so draws his conclusion 'that this man is the Messiah (öть oưtos $\dot{o} \chi$.), even Jesus whom I preach to you'.

For таратьөє́ $\mu \in \nu о s=$ 'bring forward', 'quote as evidence',

 $\pi a \theta \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \chi \rho \iota \sigma \tau o ́ \nu ;$ and cf. iii. 18 n .
4. $\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \omega ́ \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu]$ Strictly passive, 'were allotted to', but A. and R.V. probably rightly 'consorted', cf. n. on $\tau \epsilon \tau a \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu$ о xiii. 48.
5. т $\hat{\nu} \nu$ áyopai $\omega v$ ] The ajopá was the natural resort of those who had nothing to do, ef. Matt. xx. 4. Hence áropaîo七='idlers', 'good-for-nothing fellows', cf. Plat. Prot. 347 с $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ фaú $\lambda \omega \nu$ кal ảropaí $\omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\theta} \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$; Xen. Hell. vi. 2.23
 put side by side with $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \mu$ o入ó ${ }^{\circ}$ os (cf. xvii. 18 n .). So subrostrani, subbasilicani.
'Iárovos] A common name in Thessaly; or possibly he was a Jew and it is here a Gk form of Joshua or Jesus, as in 2 Macc. i. 7.
els $\tau \grave{\delta} \boldsymbol{\delta} \delta \hat{\eta} \mu \mathrm{ov}$ ] Thessalonica was an $u r b s$ libera, retaining its own government (ef. $\tau \delta \nu \delta \hat{\eta} \mu o \nu$ ) and its own magistrates (cf. Toùs $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \alpha ́ \rho \chi a s)$.
6. тov̀s $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau a ́ p x a s]$ It is noteworthy that this word,

'Now in the British Museum '. Cook . in Aeneas Tacticus), is found in the verbal form in an inscription of about the date 69-79 A.D. found on an arch at Thessalonica, which begins $\pi$ олєเтархойvт $\omega \nu \Sigma \omega \sigma \iota \pi \dot{\alpha} \tau \rho o u . .$. and names seven such politarchs.

The word literally ='burgomasters', and is formed on the analogy of Boı $\omega \tau \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \alpha \iota$, 'A $\sigma \iota \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \alpha \iota$.
oi $\tau \mathfrak{\eta} v$ oik...] Note, in this the second instance of accusation before non-Jewish magistrates, (1) the exaggeration, (2) that the charge is not made on religious grounds but for disturbance and treason. Cf. xxiv. 5.
7. oűs vi $\pi \circ \delta \in \delta_{\epsilon \kappa \tau a l}$ 'I.] Added because he is the prisoner, and they are justifying their apprehension of him. $\dot{v} \pi o \delta \epsilon$ $\chi \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ is especially used of 'receiving with hospitality', cf.

kal ov̉rol...] A charge against all Christians as guilty of treason. Under the emperors to accuse any one of treason (majestatis deferre; laesae majestatis accusare) was the surest method of procuring a conviction. To acknowledge allegiance to another king would be treason and render the offender liable to the Lex Julia de MFajestate (cf. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \bar{\nu} \delta o \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ Kaloapos). The Jews here bring the same charge against His disciples which they had brought against Jesus, cf.
 $\chi \rho \iota \sigma \tau 亠 \nu \nu \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ a \epsilon \tau \nu \alpha \iota$.

Although the emperors never ventured to assume the title rex at Rome, in the Eastern provinces they were regularly termed $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon$ ús.
'єтєpov] 'different' i. e. from Caesar.
9. $\lambda a \beta$ óvtes $\tau \grave{\text { ò }}$ ikavòv] The Roman law would be in force even in an urbs libera, and this is clearly the Gk for the legal Roman phrase satis accipere or exigere 'to take security', the opposite of satis dare, 'to go bail', 'give security'. Probably security for the departure of Paul was required.
10. Bépolav] About 60 m. S.W. from Thessalonica, near Pella.
A. 11. єv่\}єvé $\sigma$ тєpol] that is, in disposition: 'stirred up not to envy but to inquiry'.
$\pi \rho o \theta \nu \mu$ las] 'readiness of mind' A. and R.V., but the word is stronger $=$ 'heartiness', 'eagerness'.


ávakplvovtes] implies careful and often judicial examination into facts, e.g. Thuc. І. 95 Пavбavíà àvaкрıขои̂дтєs $\hat{\omega}^{\nu} \pi \epsilon \in \rho \in \dot{\epsilon} \pi v \nu \theta \dot{\alpha} \nu o \nu \tau o . ~ C f . ~ i v . ~ 9 n . ~$

For the sense cf. John v. 39 є́pevvâtє ràs रpaфàs...
тav̂тa] Paul's statements: oṽт $\omega \mathrm{s}$, as he stated them.
13. oi àmò $\Theta \in \sigma \sigma$. 'I.] Const. praegnans. They are regarded not merely as 'the Jews in Thessalonica' but as 'the Jews who went from Thessalonica to Beroea'.
$\boldsymbol{\kappa a} \kappa \epsilon i]$ with $\sigma a \lambda \epsilon v_{0} \boldsymbol{\nu} \tau \epsilon s$, 'stirring up the people there too'i.e. as they had done at Thessalonica.
 does not imply that it was a feint, Paul actually going by ${ }_{\text {NB }} \mathrm{NB}$, land (as A.V. seems to think, 'to go as it were to the sea'), HLP, but $\dot{\omega}$ merely expresses their intention or thought. E'ws omit 1 . seems a correction, wis being misunderstood.

He would probably reach the sea at Dium.
15. kaӨıoтávovtes] so classical of 'conducting to' a
 هíov.
¿va...è $\lambda \omega \omega \sigma เ v]$ Cf. xviii. 5; they joined him at Corinth.
16. $̇ v \delta(\underset{~}{\text { t }} \tau a i ̂ s ~ ' A \theta$.] Athens had been captured by Sulla B.c. 86 and suffered greatly. Her renown was however great as a place of education : she was 'the classic university Meriof the ancient world'.
$\pi а р \omega \xi \check{v} \in \tau \div] \times \mathrm{xv} .39 \mathrm{n}$.
кaтe $\delta \delta \omega \lambda$ ov] 'wholly given to idolatry' A.V., but R.V. rightly, 'full of idols', i.e. statues, \&c. For the formation



For the fact cf. Xen. de Rep. Ath. where he describes La
 xLv. 27 alludes to simulacra deorum hominumque omni genere et materiae et artium insignia.
 is lost in A.V., and is not clear in R.V. or in the text as punctuated.

Before coming to the special event which he wishes to narrate at length, Luke by means of several parallel clauses, loosely connected, describes the general condition of things preceding it. These clauses have their verbs in the im.
 special event being markedly introduced by two aorists ( $\left.{ }^{\epsilon} \pi \iota \lambda \alpha \beta \circ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota \eta^{\eta} \gamma a \gamma \circ \nu\right)$.

While he was thus waiting in Athens Paul's spirit was gradually provoked by the number of idols he saw. In consequence of this (1) he used to discourse not only in the synagogue but in the market-place with those who came up. (2) Among those ( $\tau \nu \nu \dot{\prime} \dot{\delta} \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ kal) who thus entered into conversation with him Luke notes that there were some philosophers. (3) The general opinion of him was contemptuous. But at last 'they (i.e. the people generally) took him and conducted him...'.

It is to be noted that the antithesis to $\delta \epsilon \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \circ \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ is



* 'Ein sehr fluchtiger Pinselstrich im ganzen Bilde', F. Overbeck. almost parenthetical ${ }^{*}$ (cf. xii. 5, xiii. 5 for similar clauses with $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$, intervening between $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ and the antithetical $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ ), and in no case are 'the philosophers' to be regarded as the people described ver. 19 as 'taking hold of Paul', for Paul's speech was certainly not addressed to 'the philosophers', who could not possibly be called $\delta \epsilon \iota \sigma \iota a \iota \mu \nu=\sigma \tau \epsilon \in \rho o u s$ or have had the remarks in $v>.24,29$ addressed to them, but was made to the 'men of Athens' generally.
èv $\tau \hat{y}$ áyopâ] S.W. of the Acropolis, between it and the Areopagus and the Pnyx. Especially in the forenoon (the time known as $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta_{0}$ ov $\sigma a \dot{a} \gamma o \rho d$ ) it was a place of general resort, both for business and pleasure. It was here that Socrates 'argued' ( $\delta \iota \dagger$ 'є́ $\gamma \epsilon \tau 0$ ) or 'reasoned' with all who came up to

v. Ritter and ${ }_{\text {Preller }}$

18. 'Enckovpi $\omega \boldsymbol{\nu} . .$.$] The Epicurean and Stoic schools$ were at this time the two great rival systems-sharply contrasted both in reality and in popular opinion.

The Epicureans, so called from Epicurus ( $342-270$ в. c.), taught at Athens in the famous gardens of Epicurus.

They considered (1) that the world was created by the fortuitous combinations of indestructible atoms; (2) that the aim of life was pleasure, defined as mental calm or freedom from passion ( $\dot{a} \tau \alpha \rho a \xi i a)$, and that the soul perishes with the body; (3) that the gods lived an existence of eternal calm not troubling themselves about men. Lucretius has embodied their philosophy in the greatest of Latin poems, and in a popular form it permeates Horace.

The Stoics were founded by Zeno (360-260 в. с.), who lectured in the $\sigma \tau о \alpha$ токкь $\lambda \dot{\eta}$; but Chrysippus ( $280-207$ в. с.) was held to have really established the system by his great ability. He was, it may be noted, of Soli in Cilicia, and his* successor was Zeno of Tarsus.

Their principal doctrines were (1) a theory that the Diog. L. world was due to the transition of a 'constructive fire' ( $\pi \hat{v} \rho$ vil. 156. $\tau \epsilon \chi \nu I \kappa \delta \nu)$ or 'breath' through air into water and so into
solids; (2) that $\dot{a} \rho \in \tau \boldsymbol{y}$ was the one thing desirable, and that
 $\left.\mu^{\epsilon} \nu \omega \bar{\zeta} \zeta_{\eta} \nu\right)$, all other things, e.g. pleasure, pain, health, wealth, being 'indifferent' ( $\dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \phi o \rho a)$; (3) that God was a Diog. L.
 $\tau \hat{\eta}$ v̈̀ $\eta$ 入órov), a sort of natura naturans, and was known to men by many names, e.g. єí $\alpha \rho \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta, \nu o u ̂ s, ~ Z \epsilon u ́ s . ~$

кal tives ${ }^{\prime \prime} \lambda_{\text {equov...] Probably not the philosophers but }}$ generally some of those who heard Paul.
$\tau \ell a ̊ v$ 0é $\lambda o l]$ Cf. x. 17 n . The phrase suggests that possibly, but only possibly, there was a meaning in his words.
$\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \mu 0 \lambda$ óyos] (1) 'a rook' or 'crow', cf. Ar. Av. 578 то́тє


(2) Eustath. on Od. v. 940 says that the Athenians

 and so it is used of toùs où $\delta \neq \nu o s ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o u ~ d ं s i o u s, ~ ' h a n g e r s-o n ', ~ ; ~, ~$ 'good-for-nothing fellows'.

Dem. 269 calls Aeschines $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \mu$ о入ó $\quad$ os, $\pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \rho \iota \mu \mu \alpha$ ả $о \rho \hat{a ̂ s, ~}$ $\delta \lambda \epsilon \theta \rho o s ~ \gamma \rho a \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon u$ 's, where the meaning is clearly not 'babbler' but 'parasite', 'hanger-on', also with a hit at his voice (as throughout the speech) and method of speaking.
 too explains the word by $\phi \lambda v a \rho o{ }^{\prime} s$.

So here it means (1) a man who hangs about to pick up odds and ends in the market-place; (2) one who was all sound without meaning.
$\xi \in \in \omega \nu \delta a \iota \mu \nu \nu i \omega v]$ The first count against Socrates was Xen.
 Mem. 1.
The plural is generic: Paul preached 'Jesus and His rising from the dead'; the Athenians considered this to be an account of some new Eastern divinity ( $\xi \in \mathcal{E}^{\prime} \nu \nu \delta a \iota \mu$.), and immediately classed Paul among the 'preachers of new divinities'.

Many commentators explain the plural by saying that e.g. the Athenians imagined that 'A $\alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota s$ was the name of a Chrys. goddess, but Luke cannot have meant this, as those for Baum. whom he is writing could not possibly so understand the word, for he has already used it several times of 'the rising. again' of Jesus.

кaтaүүє $\lambda \epsilon$ ís] Cf. ver. 23, катаү $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$.
19. é érıaßó $\mu \in \nu 01]$ Not by any means implying violence,
 т $\boldsymbol{\phi} \boldsymbol{\phi} 0$ иे.

Tòv "Apeiov Máyov] A.V. 'unto Areopagus', adding in the margin 'It was the highest court in Athens'. No doubt

Dem.
721. 14. the court of Areopagus ( $\dot{\eta} \beta o v \lambda \grave{\eta} \dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \xi$ ' $A \rho$. $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma o v$ ) did especially deal with religious offences, but there is not the slightest indication of any judicial hearing here, indeed the polite interrogation $\delta \nu \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \theta a \gamma \nu \omega ิ \nu a c$ at the outset precludes it.

The hill is W. of the Acropolis, N. of the Agora, accessible from it by a flight of steps cut out of the rock. Perhaps Paul was taken there for a quiet hearing.
20. $\xi \in \nu[\xi o v \tau a]$ Cf. 'E. $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu i j \omega$ \&c., 'act as a stranger', 'to be strange', 'unusual'. тiva 0é̃ $\in$ l... cf. ii. 12.
21. 'Aөŋvaîol $\delta દ$....каเvóтєpov] Explanatory remark of the writer.
 was much frequented, not only as a town of historical interest, but also as a university by young Romans. Cf. Cic. de Off. r. 1 Quamquam te, Marce fili, annum jam audientem Cratippum idque Athenis abundare oportet praeceptis...

## M. $\quad \eta$ ỏkalpovv] Late $\mathrm{Gk},=$ vacare alicui rei.

каเขóтєроข] The Greeks frequently use the comparative, where there is no direct comparison, merely to indicate that the quality described by the adj. is present in an unusual degree: cf. next verse $\delta \epsilon \iota \sigma \iota \delta a \iota \mu \nu \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o u s ;$ xxiv. $4 \epsilon \pi i \pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{i} o \nu ;$
 xxv. 14. With kalv's however and $\nu \notin o s$ their fondness for using the comparative is quite singular, and scems to illus-

See also Stallb. Euthyphro, $s u b \mathrm{in}$. trate the restless desire for novelty so characteristic of the Athenians and often referred to, e.g. Thuc. inf. 38; Dem.


Nova statim sordebant, noviora quaerebantur. B.
22. $\sigma$ rafeis $\delta \bar{E} . .$.$] Cf. ii. 14 \mathrm{n}$. Observe the dramatic power with which Luke calls attention to the great actor ( $\sigma \tau a \theta \epsilon i s \delta \bar{\epsilon}$ II.) and the great stage ( $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu \mu \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \varphi \ldots \ldots$...) on which he appears. Amplum Theatrum. B.
'The temple of the Eumenides was immediately below him :...eastward was the temple of Theseus...he beheld the Propylaea facing him and the Parthenon fronting him from above. The temple of Victory was on his right...Above him towering over the city... was the bronze Colossus of Minerva, armed with spear, shield, and helmet, the champion of Athens'.
 word, and can be used (1) in a good sense = 'god-fearing', 'reverent', or (2) in a bad sense $=$ 'fearful of the gods', 'superstitious'.
A.V. gives 'too superstitious', R.V. 'somewhat superstitious', and V. superstitiosiores. These renderings are however misleading. Paul certainly does not commence with words of rebuke: he makes the unusual regard which the Chrys.a. Athenians paid to religions matters the point d'appui of his Baum. address. It seems clearly right therefore to take the word M. N. H. in a good sense $=$ 'very god-fearing' or 'reverent'. For this reverent spirit of the Athenians, cf. Pausanias i. 17. 1



At the same time the choice of the peculiar word here (cf. too its use xxv. 19) seems not unintentional. To the writer of the Acts the 'reverence' of the Athenians was 'superstition', and, assuming that we have only the substance of Paul's speech, it is possible that Luke, writing for Christians, chooses a word to describe the religious feeling of the Athenians which at any rate suggests the idea of superstition.
23. $\left.{ }^{\alpha} v a \theta \epsilon \omega \rho \omega \bar{\nu}\right]$ 'passing in review’. $\sigma \epsilon \beta a ́ \sigma \mu a \tau a$, 'objects of reverence', e.g. temples, altars, \&c.
 $=$ inscriptum erat.
$A \Gamma N \Omega \Sigma T \Omega \Theta E \Omega]$ 'to (i.e. dedicated to) an unknown god'. The Greek gods had each their own rights and privileges (e.g. one guarded the sea, another the air; one was a god of healing, another of war): when therefore some occasion of prayer or thanksgiving necessitated the dedication of an altar, it was important to dedicate it to the proper deity, for otherwise there was grave danger of incurring the anger of the deity who was thus defrauded of his rights.

So Epimenides in a pestilence, which could not be Diog. attributed to the anger of any special deity, advised the Laert. sacrifice of a sheep $\tau \hat{\psi} \pi \rho о \sigma \dot{\eta} к о \nu \tau \iota \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega}$, and to this fact is assigned the existence at Athens of $\beta \omega \mu \circ i \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega \dot{\nu} \nu \mu o c$.

So too the chorus in great perplexity Aesch. Ag. 155
 his existence, but not knowing by what title properly to address him under the circumstances, and so asking him to accept an ambiguous address.

Pausanias 1. 1. 4 says that in Athens there are $\beta \omega \mu$ ol $\theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu} \nu \dot{o} \nu \circ \mu \alpha \zeta_{0} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \omega \nu$, i.e. altars dedicated to a god not with any definite name but nameless or 'unknown'.



ajpoovivies] The keynote of the speech: God unknown hitherto, now revealed. Instead of your needing to appeal to a god one among many and unknown, I proclaim to you God who is (1) the God, and (2) is revealed, (a) by His works, (b) by the special revelation of Jesus.
24. кúpıos] dominus, here clearly 'owner', 'absolute possessor'.
oủk év Xeเpotoıท'roเs] Cf. vii. 48 n . The thought is not Fragm. uncommon in heathen writers, cf. Eur.

Leg. ir. So too Cicero says that Xerxes is reported to have burnt the 10.

TIpian in La. temples of the Greeks, quod parietibus includerent deos .... quorum hic mundus omnis templum esset et domus.
25. vimd $X \in\llcorner\rho \omega ิ \nu . .$.$] Cf. Ps. 1. 9$ seq. 'I will take no bullock...'
 $\pi \rho \partial{ }^{2} \tau \delta \tau \epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon \iota \nu$. This exactly describes the popular conception of the gods as needing for their full happiness the offerings of men. On the other hand the Epicureans asserted that the divine nature was self-complete; cf. Lucr. II. 650 Divom natura...ipsa suis pollens opibus nihil indiga nostri.
$\pi \hat{a} \sigma_{\imath}$ ] 'to all', carefully not 'to all men'. In vv. 24, 25 God is described as the Creator of 'the universe, the heaven and the earth, and all that is in it'; in ver. 26 as the Creator of men and their governor.

## So

26. '̇ $\pi 0\lceil\eta \sigma \in \nu$ ] Clearly in its emphatic position 'He made' A.\&R.V. i.e. created, parallel to $\dot{\circ} \pi \sigma^{\prime} \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma a s$ ver. 24 . Others give $\epsilon \pi$ oi $\eta$ So A. M. $\sigma \epsilon \nu$ катоккeì 'He caused to dwell'; cf. Mark vii. 37 тоц's к $\omega$ -


катоккєiv] Inf. of purpose or result, 'so that they should dwell'; cf. below گทTєiv, which is not joined with кal because it is not parallel to кaтoккєì, but somewhat subordinate in sequence of thought: not 'so as to dwell...and seek', but 'so as to dwell...so as to seek'.
 27. єi ápa $\gamma \epsilon]$ viii. 22 n .
$\psi \eta \lambda a \phi \eta \dot{\sigma} \epsilon 1 a \nu . . \mathrm{kal}$ єüpotev] The two verbs form one compound idea, 'if haply they might by groping find Him': it is not the searching that is problematical but the finding. For $\psi \eta \lambda a \phi \dot{a} \omega$, cf. Phaedo 99 B , where it is used of vague guesses at truth.
kal $\gamma \in \ldots$...] and that though He is...'
28. ่̇v aư่Tஸ̂ yáp...] Proving that He is not far from us, and therefore to be taken literally, 'for in Him...', but also including the meaning 'by Him'. As air is everywhere, and in it and by it we live, so in a fuller sense we live in God and by Him.
$\zeta \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu, \kappa เ \nu \circ \cup ์ \mu \epsilon \theta a, \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \mu \hat{\varepsilon} \nu \bar{\nu}]$ Lange remarks that these words So too M. by themselves are in a descending scale; life is more than movement, movement than existence: but taken in their connection here they form an ascending scale and produce a climax; not only our life but movement, not only movement but existence is in God.
tเvés...] Aratus of Soli in Cilicia (flor. b.c. 270) in his
 $\mu \in \sigma \theta a$, has these exact words. Cleanthes, the Stoic philosopher ( $300-220$ в.c.), in his Hymn to Zev̀s l. 5 has éк $\sigma o \hat{v} \gamma$ à $\rho$ $\gamma^{\prime} \nu 0 s{ }^{\prime} \sigma \mu \mathcal{t}^{\prime} \nu$. In both cases Z $\epsilon \dot{v}^{\prime}$ is used in a Pantheistic sense, see note on the Stoics ver. 18.

Paul quotes a Gr. poet again in 1 Cor. xv. $33 \phi \theta \varepsilon i \rho o v \sigma \iota \nu$
 Oŋpla, $\gamma a \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \in s$ àp $\gamma a l$.
$\gamma^{\prime}$ vos...] Thrown forward to connect the argument: being His offspring we ought to know that He cannot be like an image, to which we are ourselves unlike and superior.
29. oủk ỏфє $\left.{ }^{2} \lambda_{0 \mu \epsilon \nu}\right]$ Clemens locutio praesertim in prima persona plurali. B.

Xpvoஸ̂...ঠ̋~o七ov] The same protest against anthropomorphism is not uncommon in antiquity, e.g. Xenophanes has


Xара́ $\gamma \mu \alpha \tau 1$ ] 'a thing graven', from $\chi \alpha \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \omega$.

rò $\theta$ eiov] Not 'God', because Paul is referring to their ideas of 'the Divine'.
30. тov̀s $\chi \rho$. $\tau \mathfrak{\eta} s$ áyvolas] The time previous to the sending of the 'message' by Jesus which Paul 'proclaims', and also with reference to $\dot{a} \gamma \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \tau \varphi, a^{\gamma} \nu \nu 0 \hat{\imath} \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ver. 23.
ímepiઠف̀v] A.V. ‘winked at'; R.V. 'overlooked’. Both words suggest an idea of 'pardon', not contained in $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho-$ ¿ $\delta \in i \hat{\nu}$. The word is the opposite of $\grave{\epsilon} \phi о \rho \hat{a} \nu$ 'to regard', and only occurs here in N.T., but is found in LXX. in the clear

 Deut. xxii. 1. The word here however must not be pressed,
as though it contained any positive statement as to God's treatment of men in the past: it has merely a negative force, serving to bring out more clearly the contrast between the past and God's present definite revelation. One part of an antithetical statement should never be taken alone.
$\mu e \tau a v o \varepsilon i v$, кaÓ́tl...] 'to repent, according as...': the warning to repent is in accordance with the declaration of judgment.
 סıкаєобúvทŋ.


$\pi[\sigma \tau เ \nu \pi a \rho a \sigma \chi \omega \cdot \nu]$ 'having afforded' or 'brought forward proof' (i.e. of this appointment) by having raised...'.
$\pi \alpha \rho \in ́ \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$, regularly in Dem. of 'bring forward evidence'.
32. ává $\tau \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \nu \in \kappa \rho \hat{\nu}$ ] 'a resurrection of dead men'; not 'the resurrection of the dead', as R.V. Paul had said nothing about the resurrection of the dead generally, but only spoken of the resurrection of Jesus: his hearers immediately refuse to listen any more to a man who talks about 'a rising again of dead men'. The plural 'men' represents their scornful generalization.
33. oṽ $\omega \omega$...] 'Then (cf. vii. 8, xxvii. 44, xxviii. 14) Paul went forth from their midst'. The graphic vigour of these words deserves notice.

> Euseb. Hist. i11.
> 34. $\Delta \iota$. ó 'Арєот.] According to tradition ordained 4. Bishop of Athens by Paul.

## CHAPTER XVIII.

1. Kópıvov] Taken and destroyed by L. Mummius в.c. 146: rebuilt and made a 'colony' by Caesar: capital of the province of Achaia and residence of the proconsul: the chief commercial city of Greece.
2. 'Touסaiov] 'a Jew', not 'a disciple', and therefore probably not at this time a Christian: the reason assigned for Paul's residence with him is not that he was a Christian but that he was 'of the same trade'.
'Aкúגav] Aquilam. For Jews of Pontus cf. ii. 9 n . Aquila and Priscilla went with Paul to Ephesus and stayed there (vv. 18, 26; 1 Cor. xvi. 19), but are referred to as again at Rome, Rom. xvi. 3.

Прі́ккı $\lambda$ да⿱ $]$ dimin．of Prisca，ef．Livilla，Drusilla．She is called $\Pi \rho i \sigma \kappa a \nu$ Rom．xvi． 3 （where T．R．wrongly חрifkı入－ $\lambda a \nu)$ ．

Sıà rò Sıarєтaxéval．．．］Claudius was Emperor 41－54 A．D．Suetonius says Judaeos impulsore Chresto assidue Claud． tumultuantes Roma expulit．These ambiguous words may ${ }^{25}$ ． refer（1）to riots at Rome headed by some one actually called＇Chrestus＇（ $\chi$ p $\eta \sigma$ óós），or（2），much more probably， to disturbances due to disputes among the Jews about＇the Christ＇（Suet．having mistaken the name and its meaning）， and possibly in connection with the new teaching that Jesus was＇the Christ＇．

The edict（like the other edict of Claudius against astrologers（Tac．Ann．xir．52）cannot have been strictly enforced，for Jews were numerous in Rome very shortly after this，ef．e．g．xxviii． 15.

3．опо́техข०v］The Rabbis enjoined that every father should teach his son a trade，that he might always be able to earn his own bread．The Rabbi Judah says＇He that teacheth not his son a trade，doth the same as if he taught him to be a thief＇．

For Paul earning his own living，cf．xx． 34 ； 1 Thess．ii． 9； 2 Thess．iii．8．The Teaching of the Twelve Apostles ch． 12 distinctly asserts that those who claim to be prophets or preachers shall earn their own living．
ok $\kappa$ Votorol］＇tent－makers＇．It is suggested that the word may refer to＇making the material for tents＇；the manufacture of a substance called cilicium，much used for tents，was much practised in Cilicia，the goats in that region possessing specially thick hair．There is however no au－ thority for rendering $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu 0 \pi o \iota$＇s＇maker of material for tents＇．
＇The fertile plain on which Tarsus stands is，in harvest cook time，still studded with these hair－cloth tents＇．

5．$\sigma v y \in \dot{\prime} X \in \tau о \tau \hat{\varphi}$ 入óyต］T．R．with poor authority $\tau \hat{\omega}$ $\pi \nu \in \dot{\mu} \mu a \tau \iota$ ．

R．V．renders＇was constrained＇，as though the arrival with ref． to Beau－ of Silas and Timothy had caused this increased vigour， whereas the imperfect clearly expresses that when they ar－ rived＇they found Paul wholly occupied with the word＇．

 word expresses a firm hold．

6．ėктเvaśá $\mu \in \nu 0 s]$ Cf．xiii． 51 n．Neh．v． 13.


（1）a warning，（2）a solemn disclaimer of responsibility，cf． xx．26；Ezek．xxxiii．8，9； 2 Sam．i． 16.
cis $\left.\tau \dot{\alpha}{ }^{\text {en }} 0 v \eta\right]$ i．e．here at Corinth，cf．ver． 19.
11．7．ekeiӨєv］from the synagogue．The house of Justus he enters probably for the purpose of preaching．There is no indication of his leaving either his residence or his work with Aquila．

Titiou＇Iov́ctov］mss．vary much；some give Titov， others omit the word．Perhaps the word is due to the final
v．A．M．$\tau \iota$ in $\delta \nu \delta \mu a \tau \iota$ being written twice and $\tau \iota$ taken as a contrac－ tion for Titus，Justus being elsewhere only a second name， cf．i． 23 ；Col．iv． 11.

8．Kplomos］ 1 Cor．i． 14.
9．$\mu \eta{ }^{2} \phi \circ \beta \circ$ v̂］Is．xliii． 5.
$\lambda \alpha^{\prime} \epsilon_{\epsilon} \mathrm{kal} \mu \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \omega \pi \eta^{\prime} \sigma \eta_{\mathrm{s}}$ ］The double form expresses
 T $\delta \nu \eta$ グ $\lambda \iota o \nu$ ．

10．$\delta$ ю́ть є́үต́．．．］Cf．x． 38 ；Judg．vi．16；Jer．i． 8.
$\lambda a o ́ s] ~ C f . i v . ~ 25$ n．，not Jews but＇a chosen people＇，i．e． chosen from among the heathen．
 ＇remain＇，＇tarry＇．The two Epistles to the Thessalonians are generally assigned to this period．

12．「a入入 $\omega \boldsymbol{\omega}$ os］brother of Seneca and uncle of Lucan； his original name was M．Annaeus Novatus until he was adopted by the rhetorician Gallio．Seneca describes him as possessing comitatem et incompositam suavitatem，and adds，Nemo enim mortalium uni tam dulcis est quam hic omnibus．So too Statius Silv．11．7． 32 dulcem Gallionem．
d́vӨvтátov］xiii． 6 n ．Achaia had been a senatorial province：Tiberius made it an imperial one（Tac．Ann．I．76）， but Claudius gave it back to the senate（Suet．Claud．25）．
＇Axalas］By Homer the Greeks generally are termed ＇A auol，but in classical times the name is confined to the inhabitants of a narrow strip of land to the N．of Pelopon－ nesus；the Achaeans however become again important with the formation of the Achaean league b．c．281，and on the final reduction of Greece в．c． 146 the term was applied to the Roman province，which embraced the whole of Greece proper．Beyond it was＇Macedonia＇，cf．xvi． 12 n．
$\beta \hat{\eta} \mu a]$ xii． 21 n ．
13．mapd tòv vó $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ］The Jews designedly use an ambiguous phrase＇contrary to the law＇desiring to induce Gallio to put Paul on his trial：he however rightly distin．
guishes between Roman law, which he was bound to administer, and Jewish law ( $\nu \dot{\delta} \mu o v ~ \tau o \hat{v} \kappa a \theta^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu a ̂ s$ ), with which he had nothing to do. Observe the emphatic position of the words.
 (which it is not)...but if they are (as they are)'.
d $\delta i к \eta \mu a]$ injuria, a general word for anything which La. b. would form the ground of civil or criminal proceedings.


кãà $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v]$ Opposite of $\pi a \rho \grave{~ \lambda}$ 入órov.
aveซxó $\eta \downarrow$ ] Judaeos sibi molestos innuit. B. Cf. the contemptuous plural $\zeta \eta \tau \eta \dot{\mu} \mu \tau a$, and 'Iovòaioo without duv $\delta \rho \epsilon$.
15. $\lambda$ óyov kal b́vopát $\omega \nu$ ] words not facts; cf. the old Roman principle-facta arguebantur, dicta impune erant (Tac. Ann. т. 72).

The sentence $\chi \rho \iota \sigma \tau$ òs ó 'I $\eta \sigma o u$ us would give rise to 'questions about a statement ( $\lambda$ órov) and names (oेvoùt $\omega \nu$ )'.
 cp. Matt. xxvii. 4 ब̀े oै $\psi \epsilon \epsilon$. So airds $\gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ Plat. Gorg. 505 c.
17. $\pi$ óvres] i.e. the bystanders. T.R.adds oi" $E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \epsilon s-~ o i{ }^{\circ} E \lambda \lambda$. a correct gloss.

DEHL P. text
 ver. 8. Anyhow he seems to have been prominent in bringing forward the charge against Paul.
 farewell'.

кєьра́цєvos...] Without doubt referring to Mav̂גos the main subject, the words каl $\sigma \dot{v} \nu$ aủr $\hat{\varphi} . .$. 'Aки́v̀as being parenthetical. Nor is it an objection to this that Aquila is named after his wife, as, for some unknown reason, the same order is found ver. 26 ; Rom. xvi. 3.

The incident seems referred to in order to call attention to Paul's personal obedience to the Jewish Law.

What the cause or nature of the vow was is not known. Abstinence from wine and allowing the hair to grow were common accompaniments of a vow, ef. xxi. 24,26 , and the description of the vow of the Nazarites, Numb. vi. Shaving the head would mark the completion of the vow, and strictly could only be performed in the Temple, the hair being burnt with the offering. Wordsworth however draws a $\mathbf{v}$. w. distinction between кєєра́ $\mu \in \nu$ оs 'having polled', 'cut shorter', ad loc.


one who had taken a Nazarite vow in foreign lands might so poll his hair, provided that he kept the hair for burning when the head was finally shaved.

Roman sailors made similar vows, cf. Juv. xir. 81 gaudent ubi vertice raso $\mid$ garrula securi narrare pericula nautae.

K $\in \chi$ रpeais] The Eastern of the two ports of Corinth (bimaris Corinthi Hor. Od. 1. 7. 2), 70 stades from the city: Lechaeum was the W. port.
19. ка́kєívovs кarềıtєv aủrov̂] i.e. Aquila and Priscilla. The words somewhat anticipate Paul's actual departure, but Luke is desirous to pass on to the action of the central figure (cf. aủtós).
 $\pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \nu \quad \delta \dot{\epsilon} . .$.
22. ávaßàs] Clearly to Jerusalem, cf. viii. 15 n .
23. $\left.\epsilon^{\xi} \xi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \in v\right]$ Commencement of the third missionary journey, ending at Jerusalem (xxi. 16). For $\dot{\eta} \Gamma \alpha \lambda . \chi \omega ́ \rho \alpha$, which is here distinguished from Phrygia, see xvi. 6 n .
24. 'A $\operatorname{le\xi }$ av $\delta$ pev̀s] Alexander founded Alexandria в. c. 332, and himself planted a colony of Jews there; at this time they formed a third of the population. It was the great meeting-point of Judaism and Hellenism, and it was here that the IXX. version of the Old Testament was produced. For Apollos, cf. 1 Cor. i. 12, iii. 5, iv. 6.

## See

 quot. in W.

$\lambda$ óycos] A.V. 'eloquent'; R.V. 'learned' with 'eloquent' in margin. The word can bear either meaning, but, as the words $\delta \dot{v} \nu . \dot{\omega} \nu$ év $\tau$ ais $\gamma$. describe learning, it seems preferable to render 'eloquent'. Moreover when it means 'learned' it specially means 'learned in history' ( $\dot{\delta} \tau \hat{\eta} s$ iбroplas ${ }^{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \epsilon \rho o s$, Hesych.), a sense not required here.
25. кaт $\ \chi \eta \mu$ évos] lit. 'orally instructed', cf. Luke i. 4. But, as oral instruction was almost the only method used, it $=$ merely 'instructed '.
 or 'ferment'. Cf. Rom. xii. $11 \tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \nu \epsilon \dot{\nu} \mu a \tau \iota$ S'́ov $\tau \epsilon$ s, and the comparison of the kingdom of God to 'leaven' ( $\check{\prime} \mu \eta)$ Luke xiii. 21.
dंкpı $\beta \hat{s}$ ] A.V. 'diligently' and in next verse 'more perfectly'; R.V. 'carefully' and 'more carefully': but as in ver. 26 the word is clearly objective, referring not to the greater care they took but to the greater accuracy of their information, we should perhaps render 'accurately' in both cases. The accuracy of Apollos' teaching was only com.parative and needed supplementing. Like his master John,
he knew mueh but not all (cf. the account of John's uncertainty about Jesus being the Messiah, Matt. xi. 2; Luke vii. 18).

тò $\left.\beta a ́ \pi \tau \tau \iota \sigma \mu{ }^{\text {' }} \mathrm{I} \omega a ́ v o v\right]=\beta a ́ \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu a \quad \mu \epsilon \tau a \nu o l a s ~(x i i i . ~ 24$; xix. 4), baptism of which repentance was the condition as a preparation for the coming of the Messiah; opposed to it is
 $\pi v \rho \ell($ Matt. iii. 11; Mark i. S; Luke iii. 16).
27. $\pi \rho \circ \tau \rho є \notin a ́ \mu \in \nu 0 L . . . \epsilon ้ \gamma p a \psi a v]$ Clearly 'encouraged him and wrote' as li.V., not 'wrote exhorting the disciples' as A.V. He was eager and they urged him on: currenti addi- Calvin derunt calcar.

тoîs $\pi \in \pi\llcorner\sigma \tau \epsilon \cup \kappa o ́ \sigma เ v] ~ R i g a v i t ~ A p o l l o ~ n o n ~ p l a n t a v i t . ~ B . ~$. Cf. 1 Cor. iii. 6.
 emphasis: his success was due to 'grace': so Paul ascribes his success to the same cause 1 Cor. xv. 10.
28. єv่róvos] Classical, and Luke xxiii. $10=$ intentis nervis.
 expresses 'proof', but $\kappa a \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \gamma \chi$. $=$ 'overpower by proof', 'confute', and סıaкатє $\lambda .=$ 'thoroughly confute'.

## CHAPTER XIX.

1. $\left.\tau \grave{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} v \omega \tau \epsilon p \iota \kappa \alpha{ }_{\alpha} \mu \hat{\rho} \eta \eta\right]$ the inland part of Asia Minor, see xviii. 23.
2. $\pi เ \sigma \tau \epsilon v ́ \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s]$ A.V. 'since ye believed': R. V. rightly 'when ye believed'. The question asked is whether when they became believers they 'received the Holy Spirit', i.e. clearly the special visible gift of the Holy Spirit as described ch. ii., x. $44-46$, and ver. 6 here.
 did not even hear whether there is a Holy Spirit'; i.e. at our baptism (cf. aorist $\dot{\eta} \kappa \circ \dot{v} \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$ ) so far from receiving a Holy Spirit we did not even hear of the existence of a Holy Spirit.
so F . 'ignorant of the very name'.

This, the only possible rendering of the Greek, gives a clear sense. John preached $\beta \dot{a} \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu a \quad \mu \in \tau \alpha \nu o i ́ a s ~ \epsilon i s ~ a ̈ \phi \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ $\dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho \tau \iota \omega \nu$; this baptism these men had receivel: he also proclaimed a coming Messiah, who should baptize év | $\boldsymbol{\tau} \nu \epsilon U^{\prime}-$ |
| ---: | :--- | $\mu a \tau \iota \dot{\alpha} \gamma i \varphi$; of this baptism these men had not heard. It is on this point that Paul specially supplements their know: ledge, 'John's baptism of repentance', he says, 'was preparatory to a belief on one who should come after him, viz., Jesus'.

R.V. gives, 'Nay, we did not so much as hear whether the Holy Ghost was given', a rendering which in several ways misrepresents the Gk.
(1) The words $\pi \nu \in \hat{e} \mu a$ ä $\gamma$ oo may be used as = (a) The Holy Ghost, ( $\beta$ ) 'the holy spirit' or 'inspiration' which He produces by His presence, ( $\gamma$ ) 'a spirit of holiness', without any special reference to the Holy Ghost. The rendering 'Holy Ghost' is on the other hand far more definite.
(2) The Gk has no article, and though in Paul's question to render 'Did ye receive the Holy Ghost' gives the true sense, because he is definitely referring to the Holy Ghost; yet in the reply to render $\pi \nu . a \not a \gamma$. 'the Holy Ghost' is to assume that the words were understood in the sense in which Paul used them.
(3) The rendering 'was given' cannot be supported

 where A.V. renders oüT $\omega$ in $\nu$ ' was not yet given'. Jesus there had been telling of a great gift which He had to bestow, and the writer adds, 'This He said with reference to the spirit which they were about to receive: for as yet the spirit was not'. The words ov̋ $\pi \omega \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\eta} \nu$ are explanatory of ovi ${ }^{z} \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda o \nu \lambda \alpha a \mu \alpha \dot{\nu} \nu \omega \nu$. John writing long after the spirit had been given, thinks it needful to explain the phrase 'which they were about to receive' by recalling to his hearers the fact that 'as yet the spirit was not', i.e. was not received. 'They were about to receive it' he says 'for as yet it was not (received)'. The addition of the word 'given' in A.V. is legitimate though unnecessary, and the addition of the word 'received' would have been much better.

Here however $\notin \tau \tau \iota$ is totally unconnected with é $\lambda \dot{a} \beta \epsilon \tau \varepsilon$, so that it is impossible to supply $\epsilon i \lambda \eta \mu \mu \epsilon \boldsymbol{v}_{0} \nu$. The two verbs that are connected are $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \dot{\beta} \beta \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ and $\dot{\eta} \kappa \sigma \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \mu \epsilon \nu$, and they are in marked antithesis ( $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ o $\left.\dot{\delta} \delta \bar{\delta}\right)$. The addition of the word 'given' destroys the sense. This is at once made clear by altering the form, but not the sense, of the question. 'When you became believers', Paul asks, 'was the Holy Ghost given you?'; to this the revisers make the men reply 'Nay, we did not even hear that the Holy Ghost was given'. The strong antithesis vanishes.
(4) As has been already pointed out, to assume that these men were acquainted with John's Messianic preaching is to assume that they knew exactly what Luke describes Paul as proceeding to teach them: the revisers quit the necessary rendering to destroy the necessary sense.
$\Delta \mathrm{s}$ B. sc. ${ }^{-}$Lastly, lest it should be assumed that, as Jews, they must have heard of $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \mu a$ äyov, it should be remembered that the phrase only occurs three times in the O.T. and is never
used absolutely as here. Cf. Is. 1xiii. 10, 11 (and Cheyne ad loc.), Ps. li. 13.

It is perhaps necessary to add that in any case the 'was given' of R.V. is a grammatical error; it should have been 'is' or 'has been given', or, if accuracy be desired, 'has been received'.
3. Eis $\tau$ [] 'into what?' Their answer was 'into John's baptism', i.e. into repentance. They are now baptized 'into the name of the Lord Jesus', i.e. into an acceptance of Jesus as the Messiah.

єitev] Clearly the words given are but a summary of Paul's argument shewing the merely preparatory nature of John's teaching, which only had a meaning in as far as it pointed onward to his successor. Notice $\epsilon$ is $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ ' $\rho \chi \chi^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu \nu \nu$ $\mu \epsilon \tau$ ' aúróv placed with great emphasis before ìva $\pi \iota \sigma \tau$.
5. єis тò oैvoua...] ii. 38 n .
9. átroбтd̀s...] As he had done at Corinth, xviii. 7.
$\sigma$ Xo $\hat{n}]$ 'school', ludus. (1) Originally='time not occupied by business', (2) then, as such leisure was frequently employed by educated Greeks in philosophic or literary discussion, the word is applied to such discussions, (3) the place in which they are held, 'a lecture-room', ' $a$ school'.
 as $\tau \rho \iota \epsilon \tau i a \nu$; here the three months of ver. 8 are to be added, and perhaps the period referred to in vv. 21, 23. Anyhow т pıetià need not mean more than 'a part of three years', cf. the famous 'after three days' Matt. xxvii. 63.
$\omega ̈ \sigma \tau \epsilon \pi a ́ y \tau \alpha s]$ To this visit may be referred the foundation of 'the seven churches' in Asia, Rev. i. 11.
11. où $\tau$ às $\tau u x$ ov́бas] Litotes, cf. xxviii. 2. In classical Gk. ó $\tau v \chi \omega$ ' $\nu=$ ' one who meets one by chance', 'any chance', or 'ordinary person'.
12. $\sigma$ ov́סapıa $\eta$ そ $\sigma \iota \mu \kappa i v \theta \iota a]$ Sudarium, 'a napkin' or 'handkerchief' ; the word used Luke xix. 20; John xi. 44, xx. 7. Semicinctium, perhaps a linen apron worn by servants Baum. or workmen, and Paul did manual work at Ephesus, cf. xx. notes 34.

These words, transliterated from Latin, are interesting historically; the Roman conquest could not have been superficial when such words as these had passed into the ordinary language of Greek-speaking countries.
 exorcism by the Jews on those 'possessed' cf. Matt. xii. 27. App. 16.

Ant. Josephus relates traditions as to the skill of Solomon in exvii. 2. 5. pelling demons who caused various diseases, and says that his formulae and words of exorcism were known in his day.

 after the verb, $\tau \delta \nu$ 'I $\eta \sigma \circ 0 \hat{\nu}$ the cognate accusative giving the words of the adjuration (ӧркоs) with which the person. adjures (оркiґ $\omega$ ).
14. ápXเєpé $\omega \mathrm{s}]$ 'a chief-priest', cf. v. 24 n.
 As w. rightly. It is easy, but unsafe, to say that $\gamma \iota \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \kappa \omega={ }^{\prime}$ ac-
and others. knowledge', i.e.as recognizing His power, whereas émi $\sigma \tau a \mu a \iota$ $=$ 'know' merely expresses acquaintance with a fact. The change of the word seems due to a natural tendency to variation, cf. xx. 15, where $\tau \hat{\eta} \epsilon \in \pi \iota o v ́ \sigma \eta, \tau \hat{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \in \rho a, \tau \hat{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \chi \circ \mu \hat{\varepsilon} \nu \eta$ $=$ ' on the next day'.
$i \mu \in i \bar{s}]$ Thrown forward contemptuously.

Text NABD.
16. $\alpha \mu \phi \circ \tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega \nu]$ T.R. aú $\hat{\omega} \nu$, an obvious correction to suit $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \dot{\alpha}$ above. Two sons only would seem to have been present on this occasion.

SoA.La. 13aumg.
18. T $\hat{\omega} \nu$ тєाเбтєvкóт $\omega v$ ] 'believers', absolutely, cf. xviii. 27, xxi. 20, 25. They had embraced Christianity but had not hitherto given up their evil practices. Not 'those
As M. who believed owing to the event', which would rather require the aorist.
$\pi \rho a ́ \xi \in \epsilon s]$ 'deeds' A. and R.V., but rather 'dealings', viz. with the magicians.
19. ikavol $\delta \underset{\text { e....] Apparently contrasted with the }}{ }$ 'many believers' who confessed their dealings with magicians are the 'considerable number of those who dealt in magical arts', i.e. actual magicians, who burnt their books as a sign of relinquishing their art.

т $\alpha^{2} \pi \rho[\epsilon p \gamma a]$ i.e. things better left alone, not medaled

 iii. 23.
v. A. F. $\quad \beta \nless \beta$ 人ovs] i.e. magical books. Pieces of parchment containing words copied from a mysterious inscription on the figure of Artemis were well known under the name of 'Eф'́ $\quad$ гa $\gamma \rho a ́ \mu \mu a \tau a$, and supposed to act as charms.
ápyvplov］i．e．50，000 drachmae，the drachma being the standard silver coin（so now a＇shilling＇，＇franc＇，＇mark＇） representing the Latin denarius＝eightpence or ninepence．

20．kard kpáтos］Only here in N．T．A frequent mili－ tary term in classical Greek，e．g．Thuc．viil． $100 \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon i \nu$ катд̀ кра́тоя．

21．$\delta \epsilon \uparrow \mu \in \kappa \operatorname{kil}{ }^{\prime} P \omega \mu \eta \nu$ i $\left.\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu\right]$ The emphasis of this almost v．Baum， rhythmical phrase is distinct．The Apostle sees before him ${ }^{\text {ii．}}{ }^{27 .}$ the final goal of his labours．Cf．xxiii． 11 n ．For his desire to visit Rome cf．Rom．i．13：the Epistle to the Romans was probably written from Corinth shortly after this．

22．＂Epartos．The same name 2 Tim．iv．20；Rom． xvi． 23.
eis rìv＇Ariav］＇in Asia＇，A．and R．V．But from the fact that the words are not＇in Ephesus＇it would seem that the phrase implies movement from Ephesus into other parts of Asia，or at any rate that his stay had reference to parts of Asia outside Ephesus．Cf，xxii． 5 n．

24．vaoùs］Apparently small models of the temple， portable，and placed in houses or even worn as amulets． Wordsworth refers to similar Пa入入ódıa $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota a v t o ́ \phi о р a$.

Ephesus，near the mouth of the Cayster，was the capital of the province of Asia，and at this time the mostimportant

## Athens

 and Attica， c． 16 m． city of Asia Minor．The temple of Artemis，built in the 6 th century b．c．，was burnt down by Herostratus on the night of Alexander＇s birth（Oct．13－14 b．c．356），but was restored and reckoned one of the wonders of the world．The Ephesian Artemis（quite distinct from＂A $\rho \tau \epsilon \mu / s=$ Diana）was an Asiatic deity：the image（see below ver．35） was swathed like a mummy，and mo入ú $\mu a \sigma \tau o s$, probably symbolizing the fructifying powers of nature．

26．̇̇ Пav̂גos oûtos］Contemptuous，cf．vi． 14.
oúk єiซiv $\theta_{\text {eol．．．］One of the most striking differences }}$ between the Jews and most lieathen nations as regards religion was that the latter used＇images＇or＇idols＇，which the common people certainly identified with the gods them－ selves，whereas to the Jews the making of an idol was most strictly forbidden，cf．Ex．xx． 4 ；Ps．cxxxv．15－18 and the description of the＇making of a god＇（cf．oi $\delta \iota \alpha \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \iota \nu \nu$ ．） Isaiah xliv．9－17．Tac．Hist．v． 6 Judaei．．．nulla simulacra urbibus suis nedum templis sinunt．

27．$\mu$＇́pos］＇part＇，＇part assigned one＇，and so＇trade＇， ＇business＇．＇i $\mu \hat{\imath} \nu$ dat．incommodi，＇there is risk of our find－ ing our trade．．．．＇
di入d kal] sed etiam. Efficax sermo, quem utilitas et superstitio acuit. B.
d $\pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mu \mathrm{o} \nu \mathrm{V}$ ] lit. 'rejection after being examined'; R.V. 'disrepute'.



La. referring to W ood. Text אABE.
 found at Ephesus in 1877 she is styled $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau \eta$ $\theta$ cós.
$\tau \eta ̂ s ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda \epsilon$ cớ $\eta \tau 0 s]$ T.R. has acc. The gen. seems partitive, 'there is likely to be overthrown of her magnificence',

 should even be deposed from her magnificence'.
w. $\quad \eta{ }^{\circ}$ ö $\left.\lambda \eta \ldots\right]$ Cf. Apuleius 2, Diana Ephesia, cujus nomen unicum, multiformi specie, ritu vario, nomine multijugo, totus veneratur orbis.
29. cis tò 0éarpov] The theatre at Ephesus was co-

La. and
M. referring tollood. ossal and capable of containing 56,000 spectators. 'On inscriptions of Ephesus discovered in 1877 the theatre appears as the well-known place for public meetings...In it were many statues and inscriptions referring to the worship of Artemis'. For a similar use of a theatre, cf. Tac. Hist. II. 8 Antiochensium theatrum ingressus, ubi illis consultare mos est.

Taiov] Gaium; the same name xx. 4. For Aristarchus cf. xx. 4, xxvii. 2 ; Col. iv. 10 ; Philem. 24.
v. Kuin. in W .

Ephesian Insc. in $\mathbf{A}$.

Text אabe. $\pi \rho о є \beta$. HLP.
31. T $\hat{\omega} v$ 'A $\boldsymbol{A} เ a \rho \chi \hat{\omega} v$ ] Ten officers elected by the various cities in the province of Asia, whose duty it was to celebrate at their own cost the public games and festivals. The games in honour of Artemis were held in May, which was
 of the Asiarchs here makes it probable that this disturbance took place, as it very naturally might, in that month.

Sov̂val] A. and R.V. 'adventure': the word suggests hazard.
33. $\sigma v v \in \beta(\beta a \sigma \alpha v]$ T.R. $\pi \rho o \epsilon \beta i \beta a \sigma \alpha \nu$, an obvious correction. The nom. is certainly the same as that of єкра -'so then they (i.e. the various members of the ${ }^{\prime} \chi$ 入os) kept crying (imperfect)...but at last they pushed forward (aorist) Alexander....'

What the narrative seems to describe is this. The excitement of the multitude had inspired fear among the Jews at Ephesus (unpopular there as at Philippi and Corinth, cf. too ver. 34), for the multitude would not distinguish between Paul and other Jews, and the special teaching with regard
to idols, which had caused the riot, was wholly Jewish. They therefore tried to put forward ( $\pi \rho \circ \beta a \lambda o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ) one of their number, Alexander, in the hope that he might get a hearing and make a defence ( $\dot{a} \pi$ oरoyєional), shewing that they had nothing to do with Paul. The result was that the people 'joined in pushing him out of the throng', i.e. forced him out of the mob on to some raised place, from which he could address them.
$\sigma v v \epsilon \beta<\beta a \sigma a v$, from $\beta<\beta \alpha{ }^{\prime} \zeta \omega$ the causal of $\beta a l \nu \omega=$ ' make to go', seems a graphic word accurately describing the way in which a mob, when their attention had been directed to a man, would join in pushing him forward, 'thrust' or 'squeeze' him out. For this use of $\beta \iota \beta a \xi \omega$ in compounds
 $\beta \iota \beta a \zeta$ ̧ó $\mu \in \nu 0 \nu=$ ' made to go together' Col. ii. 2, 19.

Meyer renders 'instructed', cf. 1 Cor. ii. 16, and so R.V. in margin, but this yields no sense.
 $=‘$ having learned...they shouted'. T.R. ${ }^{\prime} \pi \iota \gamma \nu{ }^{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ with no authority.
35. © $\gamma \rho a \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon$ ús] ' $^{2}$ town-clerk', a very important officer, keeper of the public records, whose duty it was to draw up official documents and read them in the public assembly. Often named on Ephesian inscriptions. The tone of his speech is 'decidedly legal'.

Baum.
tis ráp...] Explaining his conduct: 'I have tried to quiet you and there is no reason for disturbance, for...'.
$\boldsymbol{\nu} \epsilon \omega \kappa$ б́pos (1) 'temple-cleaner', (2) 'guardian of a temple', See A. (3) frequently applied as a title of honour especially to Asiatic cities, and so found on coins and inscriptions, the Ephesian people being described on two inscriptions as o $\nu \epsilon \omega \kappa o ́ \rho o s ~ \delta \hat{\eta} \mu o s$, and also $\nu$. $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ 'A $\rho \tau \epsilon \in \mu \delta o s$.

тои̂ $\delta$ เo $\pi \in \tau 0 \hat{s} s$ ] 'the image that fell from heaven'; A. and R.V. 'that fell from Jupiter', giving a distinctly wrong impression, for $\delta$ oo- merely describes 'the bright sky' (of which no doubt Z cus is king) from the root $\delta \iota F$ found in dies \&c., and should no more be translated 'Jupiter' than in the phrase sub dio. $\delta u \pi \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta} s$ is applied to rivers as being fed by rain 'fallen from heaven', Hom. Il. xvi. 174; Od. iv. 477.

The same tradition attached to the statue of Artemis at
 the Palladium of Troy, the Minerva Polias of Athens


37. $\gamma \mathrm{d} \rho$ ] explains $\pi \rho o \pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ 's-'headstrong, as your conduct has been, for...'
ov̈тє $\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu$ ûvras] Apostoli non collegerunt multa absurda ex mythologia sed proposuerunt veritatem Dei. B. rightly. On the other hand Chrysostom тoûto $\psi \in \hat{\text { evi oos, }}$, aûta $\mu e ̀ \nu \pi \rho o े s ~ \tau \delta \nu ~ \delta \hat{\eta} \mu \nu \nu$.
 the law-courts in Rome and other towns were near the forum or áyopá, the adj. becomes='having to do with the law-courts', e.g. forense genus dicendi, 'the oratory of the bar'.

Translate 'court-days are kept', i.e. at certain regular periods, not in any degree implying that at that particular time court-days were being kept; for in that case 'and there is the proconsul' ought to follow, and the plural àvótator could not stand, as at no particular time was there more than one proconsul. So too the statement 'there are proconsuls' is gencral, = there is always a proconsul, not always the same, but always one.

In the provinces the proconsul passed round the principal towns, administering justice, much as the judges in our Cic. assize towns. Such 'holding assizes' was conventus agere; 2 Verr. v. 11. 28. W. which seems=áropalous äret .
'The following inscription of the age of Trajan from an aqueduct of Ephesus happily illustrates the accuracy of St Luke's language. $\dot{\eta} \phi \iota \lambda о \sigma \epsilon \beta a \sigma \tau o s{ }^{\prime} E \phi \epsilon \sigma i \omega \nu \quad \beta o \nu \lambda \eta$, каi $\dot{o}$

 б ${ }^{\prime} \mu \boldsymbol{}$ ' .
39. ei $\delta \in ́ \tau \iota \pi \in \rho a \iota \tau \in ́ \rho \omega . .$.$] i.e. charges of illegal conduct$ $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega$
B. should have been brought before the regular magistrates,
$\pi \in \rho$ ёteon
E.
$\pi \epsilon \rho i$

KADHL P.
40. $\sigma \pi$ di $\sigma \omega \mathrm{\omega} . .$.$] The text here is very uncertain and$ the Greek awkward, but the general sense is clear.


M. but 'if you want anything furtber' (cf. Plat. Phaed. 107. 8
 on the subject which is exciting you, then that can be settled at the regular meeting of the assembly. The use of ${ }_{\epsilon} \nu \nu o ́ \mu \varphi$ suggests the irregularity of the present assembly. $\dot{\eta} \sigma \dot{\eta} \mu$. Matt. xi. 23), for if $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ be taken as governing $\sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \omega$ (R.V. 'concerning this day's riot') not only is its position very unusual in N.T. but the town-clerk is represented as calling what had happened by the strong word
$\sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma t s$, which he would naturally not do, but rather refer to it as $\sigma v \sigma \tau \rho \circ \phi \eta$ ' $a$ gathering', as he does immediately after.
$\pi \epsilon p l$ oĩ] 'and as touching it' R.V. The relative has no grammatical antecedent, but refers to the subject before the speaker's mind, and is immediately defined by the ex-
 after oiv.
ȧrodoûval 'to give an account when called upon', rationem reddere.
'There was nothing on which the Romans looked with F. such jealousy as a tumultuous meeting. Qui coetum et concentum fecerit, capitale sit, Senec. Controv. 3. 8'.

## CHAPTER XX

 embrace which accompanied either arrival or departure (cf. xxi. 6, 7, 19); here the latter.
2. $\tau \grave{\text { à }} \mu$ ép $\eta$ ékeîva] i.e. Macedonia; aútoùs the Christians there. From Rom. xv. 19 (written shortly after this) it is inferred that he almost reached Illyricum. The 2nd Ep. F. to the Corinthians is assigned to this period.
 the official name. Only here in N.T.
 construction, like xix. 34. He would naturally .reach KABE. Corinth, to which he had recently sent his two Epistles, and from which he could most easily 'put to sea for Syria'.


тоv̂ $\dot{\sim} \pi 0 \sigma \tau \rho \dot{\xi} \phi \epsilon เ v]$ The genitive gives the contents or substance of the $\gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta$.
 Trophimus went to Jerusalem, xxi. 29, and Aristarchus to Rome, xxvii. 2; Col. iv. 10.

Sopater, Gaius, and Secundus are unknown. $\Sigma$ éxovvoos = ADEHL Secundus, ov representing Latin $u$ and our $\overline{00}$ (as in 'boot').
'Aflavol] ex Asia stricte dicta. B. Tychicus (for the meaning of name cf. Eürvхos ver. 9 and Faustus) was a close companion of Paul, cf. Eph. vi. 21; Col. iv. 7; Tit. iii. 12; 2 Tim. iv. 12. Trophimus, cf. xxi. 29; 2 Tìm. iv. 20.
5. ท̂ $\mu \hat{\alpha} \mathrm{s}]$ xvi. 10 n .
 about April 4, A.D. $58^{\prime}$.
M.
axpl $\eta \dot{\eta} \mu . \pi \dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \epsilon]$ The phrase expresses the time up to which their voyage lasted. Cf. Luke ii. $37 \chi \hat{\eta} \rho \alpha{ }^{\varepsilon} \epsilon \omega s \notin \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ó $\gamma \delta о \eta \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha \tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha ́ \rho \omega \nu$. D has $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \tau \alpha$ iol, a correct explanatory gloss. For the journey, ef. xvi. 11.
7. $\tau \hat{1} \mu\llcorner\underset{1}{\tau} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma a \beta \beta a ́ \tau \omega \nu]$ 'the first day of the week', cf. Luke xxiv. 1; John xx. 1: $\pi \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \eta \eta \sigma \beta \beta$ árov Mark xvi. 9: opposed to $\sigma \dot{d} \beta \beta a \tau \alpha$ Matt. xxviii. 1: the day of the resurrection: $\dot{\eta}$ кvрıакो $\dot{\eta} \mu$. Rev. i. 10: specially selected for alms-giving 1 Cor. xvi. 2. This is the first place in which there is any reference to a special observance of the day, it being here clearly marked as a fit day for an á $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \pi \eta$.

The use of the cardinal for the ordinal numeral is a Hebrew idiom.
${ }^{k} \lambda$ ácal äprov] ii. 42 n . 'The breaking of bread in the Holy Communion was at this time inseparable from the
 day's work was ended) and at the end of the assembly after the preaching of the word'.
La. M. 8. ท̄ซav $\delta \hat{k} . .$.$] Pictorial description, natural in an$ eyewitness.

9. $\mathfrak{e} \pi l$ $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ Oupi(8os] 'on the window-seat', the window being without glass or frame-work. They sometimes had latticed-doors, as in French houses, to keep out the sun.

Ahaziah met his death in this way, cf. 2 Kings i. 2 'fell down through a lattice in his upper chamber'.
 being borne down', utterly hiding the force of the participles: the one expresses the gradual stealing of drowsiness upon him, the other the moment when sleep wholly overpowered him and he fell.


$ข ๊ \pi v \varphi \beta a \theta \epsilon i]$ Not 'deep sleep' i.e. sound sleep, but 'strong drowsiness' which ends in sound sleep.
Cook. 10. kataßás] 'by the outside staircase usual in the East'.
ė $\pi \in \in \pi \in \sigma \in \nu$ aủrஸ̂] Like Elijah, 1 Kings xvii. 21, and Elisha, 2 Kings iv, 34.


 Jesus asks $\tau i \theta o \rho v \beta \in i \sigma \theta \epsilon$; These passages shew that $\theta o \rho v$ -
$\beta \epsilon i \hat{\sigma} \theta a l$ describes the loud and ostentatious lamentation common in the East. 'Make ye no ado' R.V.
 mistaken in supposing him dead. Luke distinctly describes a miraculous restoration to life; cf. the opposition ${ }_{\eta} \rho \theta \eta$

11. k入áras тòv d̈prov] They had came together 'to break bread'; this would have taken place naturally at the end of Paul's discourse but for the interruption; he now therefore resumes the interrupted order of the meeting by 'breaking the bread'.
$\gamma_{\varepsilon \in \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon v o s] ~ i . e . ~ h a v i n g ~ e a t e n ~ a ~ m e a l, ~ t o ~ s a t i s f y ~ h u n g e r, ~}^{\text {a }}$ as x . 10 .
$\left.\delta \mu \lambda \lambda_{\eta}^{\prime} \sigma a s\right] \mathrm{x} .27 \mathrm{n}$. 'conversed'. The word is much less formal than $\delta \iota \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \tau 0$ above.
ovitws] cf. xxvii. $17=$ tum demum : the word sums up all the preceding participles, 'having done all these things, then, and then only, he departed'. A very frequent classical usage.
13. "A $A \sigma \circ$ v] A seaport in Mysia, S. from Troas, opposite Lesbos.

Sıaтєтаүнंधos] perf. pass. in sense of middle; cf. for the use of the middle 1 Cor. vii. 17 סıard́ббo $\alpha \iota$; xi. $34 \delta \iota a \tau \dot{d} \xi 0-$ $\mu a$.
$\mu e \lambda \lambda \omega \nu . .$.$] The distance is about 20 \mathrm{~m}$., and there was La. then a Roman road between Troas and Assos. Teq̧evevv 'go afoot' A.V., but the word only means 'go by land' R.V.
14. Mıru入 $\eta \nu \eta \nu$ ] Capital of Lesbos on the E. coast.
15. Karض ${ }^{2} \eta^{\prime} \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$ ] as xvi. 1 , xviii. 19, 24 'arrived'.
ävтukpus $\mathbf{X}$. $=$ 'opposite' or 'off Chios', where they would anchor for the night. Chios is an island about 30 m . by $10,8 \mathrm{~m}$. from the opposite peninsula of Clazomenae.
 $\gamma v \lambda \lambda i \varphi$ and omits $\delta \bar{\epsilon}$ after $\tau \hat{\eta}$. Trogylium is on the main- אABCE land about 5 m . from Samos. The omission of the reference to Trogylium may be due to an idea that $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda о \mu \epsilon \nu$ є. $\Sigma$. means 'put in to Samos' i.e. to pass the night, in which case they could not have 'stayed at Trogylium'. But cf. Thuc. mir. $32 \pi \alpha \rho a \beta a \lambda \epsilon i \nu$ els 'I $\omega \nu$ iav 'to cross over to Ionia', which is the meaning of $\pi a \rho \in \beta \alpha \lambda^{\prime} о \mu \epsilon \nu$ here.

In crossing from Chios to Samos they would 'sail past' Ephesus.
$M \lambda_{\eta \tau o v}$ At one time the most important city of the Ionian Greeks, but at this period quite eclipsed by Ephesus.

About 28 m . S. of Ephesus. Its site is now several miles from the sea owing to the silting-up of the Maeander.

Lightfoot, Ex. ad Phil. i. 1.
A. foot, ad loc.
 and 'bishops' being in apostolical times interchangeable words.
18. єircv] A great 'Apology' at the close of his three missionary journeys.

In this speech occur many words and phrases also found in Paul's Epistles: these are noted as they occur, and deserve careful attention.
ajò...'Aciav] The clause is thrown forward for emphasis, and goes not with $\epsilon \pi i \sigma \tau a \sigma \theta \epsilon$ but with $\pi \hat{\omega} s \in \gamma \in \nu \delta \mu \eta \nu$.
' $\pi \epsilon^{\prime} \beta \eta \nu$ ] 'set foot in' R.V.; pedem intuli B.
$\pi \omega \bar{s}$ '̇үєvó $\mu \eta \nu$ ] He describes 'how' vv. 19-21. For the


19. Sov $\lambda \epsilon$ v́ $\omega \nu$ ] "With the sole exception of the assertion 'of our Lord, 'Ye cannot serve God and Mammon' (Matt. vi. 24 ; Luke xvi. 13), the verb $\delta$ ouncúw for 'serving God' is used by Paul only, and by him six times, e. g. Rom. xvi. 18 and cf. Phil. i. 1; Gal. i. 10'".

татєเขофробúvŋs] Favourite Pauline word, e.g. Phil. ii. 3. "In heathen writers $\tau a \pi \epsilon \iota \nu \delta$ 's has almost always a bad meaning, 'grovelling', 'abject'.... It was one great result of the life of Christ to raise 'humility' to its proper level; and, if not fresh coined for this purpose, the word $\tau a \pi \epsilon \iota \nu-$ фоoбúv $\eta$ now first becomes current through the influence of Christian ethics".

Sakpúwv] cf. ver. 31; 2 Cor. ii. 4 ; Phil. iii. 18. 'Tears' under strong emotion, whether sorrowful or the reverse, were common with the ancients.
 back' or 'conceal': $\dot{\operatorname{m}} \pi \frac{\sigma}{} \boldsymbol{\tau} \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ is the opposite of $\pi a \rho \rho \eta$ -
 $\pi \epsilon \pi a \rho \rho \eta \sigma i a \sigma \mu a \iota$; so too 415. The word describes 'caution'
As F.w. and 'reserve', cf. Gal. ii. 12. To describe the word as a 'nautical' metaphor is erroneous.

тоиิ $\mu \grave{\eta} . .$.$] Probably the gen. expresses that in which$ the 'concealment' would have consisted, viz. in 'not proclaiming...', and so we should render 'by not proclaiming...'; cf. iii. 12 n., vii. 19 n.

Others make $\tau \hat{1}$ the direct gen. after $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota \lambda a \dot{\mu} \eta \nu$ in the sense of 'shrinking', 'withdrawing', and regard $\mu \grave{\eta}$ as a pleo-
nastic repetition of the negative sense of the verb-'I shrank not from declaring' R.V.
22. [Soú] Purely adverbial, and so singular, cf. Matt.

$\delta \epsilon \delta \epsilon \mu$ '́vos $\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi v \in \hat{\prime} \mu a \tau \iota]$ 'bound in the spirit', i.e. in my spirit, feeling an inward constraint: so with reference to

 not 'bound by the Holy Spirit' (alligatus Spiritu V.), for 'the Holy Spirit' is specifically mentioned immediately. Not that the sense is affected; Paul looks on the Holy Spirit as directly influencing his spirit; cf. Rom. viii. 16 т | $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a$ |
| ---: | :--- | $\sigma v \mu \mu \alpha \rho \tau \nu \rho \in \hat{\imath} \tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \nu$. $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$. The rendering of $\tau \grave{o} \pi \nu$. . $\grave{o}$ ä $\gamma \omega \nu$ 'Holy Ghost' is a distinct loss in this passage.

24. oú $\delta \epsilon v o ̀ s ~ \lambda o ́ y o v . ..] ~ A ~ c o m b i n a t i o n ~ o f ~ t w o ~ c o n s t r u c-~$
 $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \alpha \nu \tau \hat{\omega}$.
 $\tau \tau \mu . \epsilon \mu$.
©s $\tau \in \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \omega \omega$ ] 'in order that I may accomplish'. For the metaphor, cf. xiii. 25 n .
T.R. has $\dot{\omega} s ~ \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \sigma a \iota=$ ' as to accomplish', i.e. in com- omit parison with accomplishing. It also adds $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \chi \alpha \rho a ̂ s ~ a f t e r ~ \mu e \tau \grave{\alpha} \chi$. т $\partial \nu \quad \delta \rho \phi \mu о \nu \mu$ оv.
kal тì̀v $\delta$ гak.] Explanatory of tòv $\delta \rho \delta \mu o \nu$, 'even my CEHLP. ministry'.
25. oisa ötc...] In two letters written from Rome (Phil. ii. 24; Philem. 22) Paul expresses his hope of quitting Rome and travelling to the east, but we do not know that he ever did so. Luke certainly here seems to regard this parting as final. In any case oiio does not express more than Paul's personal conviction: cf. its use xxvi. 27. To lay great emphasis on $\pi$ d́vecs is to pervert the plain sense.
 not merely the Ephesian elders are meant: in addressing them Paul regards them as representatives of all those in that region among whom he had laboured as a missionary.
 where in N.T. except in Paul's Epistles, viz. Gal. v. 3; Eph. iv. 17; 1 Thess. ii. 12.

It means 'I protest', i.e. I assert as in the presence of Lighta witness. The word signifies properly 'to call to witness', foot, and is never, except perhaps in very late Gk, equivalent to $\mu a \rho \tau v \rho \bar{\omega}$ 'I bear witness'. See too ii. 40 n .
 that I shall see you．kaӨapòs．．．cf．xviii． 6 n ．
 through Jesus the Messiah．The sense is not＇all the counsel of God＇absolutely，but＇all His counsel as far as it refers to my work＇．

28．тробе́хєтє Éautois］The same phrase v． 35 ；Luke xii． 1 ，xvii． 3 ，xxi． 34 and nowhere else in N．T．Paul how－
 iii． 8 ，iv． 13.
 $\nu \iota o \nu$ ，and John xxi． $16 \pi$ rol $\mu \alpha \iota \nu \in \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho \dot{\beta} \beta a \tau \alpha ́ \mu o v$.

е̇тьoкóтovs］It will be observed that the use of the word here does not necessarily prove that the $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \dot{v} \tau \epsilon \rho 0 \iota$ （as Luke elsewhere always terms them）were regularly called $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \sigma \kappa о \pi о \iota$ ：they are so called here not officially but with reference to the special charge laid upon them of＇watch－ ing over＇and tending the flock committed to them．At the same time there would be special point in the use of $\epsilon \pi i \sigma \kappa \sigma \pi o c$ here in connection with $\pi o \iota \mu \nu i \varphi$ ，if the word were
v．Light－ foot， ad loc．

## $\theta$ eov̂

NB，
Vulg．
Syr． кupíov
ACDE，
Copt． Arm． кupiov каi $\theta$ eoṽ HLP． For $\theta \in o v \hat{}$ cf．W． and H ． Appen－ dix．

So W． beginning to be applied to＇the elders＇as a title，as it cer－
 кб⿱亠䒑ots．
 the reading here；the mss．are divided between $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ and кupiou with some preponderance for the latter．
（1）It is urged for $\theta \epsilon \circ \hat{v}$ that $\epsilon \kappa \kappa . \tau . \theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ occurs 11 times in Paul＇s epistles，whereas $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa . \tau$ ．киpiov does not occur else－ where，nor does＇the church of Jesus＇．Judging therefore from his regular practice it is argued that Paul must have said＇church of God＇．（For this cf．Alford．）

The value of this argument depends however on the opinion that may be formed as to how far Luke gives the very words of a speaker．

Moreover it may be maintained in reply that $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ is a correction to make the passage fit with the well－known phrase，and that the reading кupiov кai $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ points to the introduction of $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ as a gloss．
（2）It is said for $\theta$ eov that，if $\theta$ ．be read，the passage would contain a reference to $\tau \grave{\partial}$ a $\tilde{\tau} \mu a \tau o \hat{v} \theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ and that this would perplex many readers and cause copyists to alter the phrase．

But though such a phrase as $\tau \dot{\delta} a^{i} \mu a \alpha$ тô $\theta \in o \hat{v}$ is certainly contrary to apostolic usage，yet it is well known later and is found e．g．in Ignatius and Tertullian，so that there
is no reason against a copyist introducing it. Moreover there would be a strong tendency to read $\theta \in o \hat{v}$ at and after the Arian controversy from a desire to see Jesus called $\theta \epsilon$ ós.
(3) It is also said for $\theta \in o \hat{v}$ that a comparison with Psalm lxxiv. 2, to which there is a reference, points to the nom. to $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \pi o \iota \eta \sigma a \tau o$ being God. But the reference to the Psalm ( $\left.\mu \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \theta \eta \tau \iota \tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \sigma v \nu a \gamma \omega \gamma \hat{\eta} s \sigma o v \hat{\eta}^{\prime} \epsilon^{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \omega \dot{\alpha} \pi \pi^{\prime} \dot{a} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s\right)$ is slight, and hardly justifies the inference.

Reading кypoov, as is certainly preferable, the sense is very simple, 'the Church of the Lord' or 'Master which He purchased with His own blood' and the words $\tau 0 \hat{v} \kappa v \rho i o v ~ \eta ٌ \nu . . . ~$ have great force as assigning a special reason why the elders should be careful guardians of the Church. And for the Church being called the 'Church of the Lord' cf. Jesus' own reference to 'My Church', Matt. xvi. 18.

Reading $\theta \epsilon 0 \hat{v}$, it is certain that $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}=$ God the Father. 'The supposition that by the precise designation tov̂ $\theta \in o \hat{v}$, Dr Hort. standing alone as it does here, with the article and without any adjunct, St Paul (or St Luke) meant Christ, is unsupported by any analogies of language'.

This being so we must render ' the church of the Father which He purchased through the blood that was His own', i. e. the blood of Jesus; and the conception of the death of Christ as a price paid by the Father is in strict accordance with St Paul's own language (Rom. v. 8, viii. 32) ; and cf. The Prayer for those that are to be admitted into Holy Orders 'Almighty God, our heavenly Father, who hast purchased to Thyself an universal Church by the precious blood of Thy dear Son'. It must be allowed however that such a rendering is forced, and not justified even by the peculiar position of $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ ioiov. It is suggested that the $\mathbf{W}$. and passage contains some primitive error, and that the position of roû iסiou may be accounted for by supposing YIOX to have dropped out after TOTIDIOT.



$\lambda u ́ k o l] ~ F o r ~ t h e ~ m e t a p h o r ~ c f . ~ J o h n ~ x . ~ 12 . ~ T h e ~ ' w o l v e s ' ~$ are false teachers, for whose presence at Ephesus cf. 1 Tim. i. 20 ; Rev. ii. 2.

30. Sเєттра $\mu \mu \in ́ v a]$ xiii. 8 n .
31. үр $\eta$ үорєіттє] verbum pastorale. B. трıєт $\AA \alpha \nu$ xix. 10 n . vov $\theta \in \tau \hat{\omega} v$ ] A.V. 'warn': R. V. rightly 'admonishing'. The word implies authority on the one side and wrong
 $\nu_{0} \quad \theta \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$. Only here, and seven times in Paul's Epistles.
32. $\pi a p a \tau[\theta \in \mu \alpha l]$ xiv. 23 n .

So all editors. e.g. Vulg. Luther, B

кvpl $\varphi$ only in B , and $\theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$ is decidedly preferable.
$\tau \hat{\varphi} \delta v \nu a \mu \hat{v} \nu \omega]$ ' which is able' A. and R.V. Many refer this to $\tau \hat{\psi} \kappa v p i\left(\varphi\right.$, considering the words $\kappa a l \tau \hat{\psi} \lambda 6 \gamma \omega \tau \eta \hat{\eta}^{s} \chi$. a. explanatory, and that it is God only who can be spoken of as 'able to build up...', but in reality the whole phrase is one; ' the Lord and the word of His grace' are regarded as one in their action.
$к \lambda \eta \rho o v o \mu i a v . .$.$] There is a comparison between the$ kingdom of Canaan, which was given as an inheritance to Israel, and the kingdom of Jesus the Messiah, which is the inheritance of all the saints.
$\kappa \lambda \eta \rho o \nu o \mu i \alpha$ is frequent in LXX. of Canaan, and of Israel as God's inheritance. The Israelites are termed of $\dot{\eta} \gamma \iota a \sigma \mu \hat{\epsilon}$ $\nu_{0}$ Deut. xxxiii. 3.

The expression is Pauline, cf. Eph. i. $18 \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o \nu o \mu l a s$ aủrov̂ èv toîs ajrlocs.
33. i $\mu a \tau / \sigma \mu \mathrm{ov}]$ Oriental wealth largely consisted in costly raiment. Cf. 2 Kings v. 5 ; Gen. xxiv. 53 ; Ps. xlv. 13, 14 ; Matt. vi. 19, where 'treasure' is referred to as corrupted by the ' moth'.
34. aṽโaı] Deictic; cf. xxvi. 29, xxviii. 20. Callosae, ut videbant. B.
35. тávta] R. V. 'in all things'; for which cf. 1 Cor. x. $33 \kappa \dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\omega} \pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha \pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho^{\prime} \sigma \kappa \omega$; Eph. iv. 15. It is certainly equally natural to place the full stop after $\pi$ ávza, and commence the next sentence with the forcible $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \delta \epsilon \iota \xi \alpha$-'an example I set you (by doing so) that...'.

For $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \delta \epsilon \iota \xi a=$ 'shewed by pattern' or 'example', cf. $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \iota-$ $\pi \epsilon i ̂ v, \dot{u} \pi \sigma \gamma \rho a ́ \phi \epsilon \iota \nu$.
 $\pi \alpha \iota \delta o$ à avtou: ' to take hold of (so as to afford support, both moral and material) to those who are weak (i.e. either in health, wealth, or religious knowledge)'. The phrase is perfectly general.

Cic. $d e$ Nat. D. I. 5. 10 .

Westcott, 1nt. to Gospels. Ap.

aủròs $\in โ \pi \in \nu]$ ' He himself' or 'The Master said'. Cf. the answer of the Pythagoreans when asked for a proof of their opinions, aủтòs |  |
| :---: |
|  |
| $\eta$ | , Ipse dixit.

uakáptov...] This is the only saying of Jesus recorded in N.T. not in the Gospels. The best known traditional saying of Jesus is $\gamma(\nu \in \sigma \theta \epsilon \tau \rho a \pi \epsilon$ Sĩal סóкıцио.

For $\mu a \kappa \alpha ́ p \iota o \nu$ cf. Matt. v. 3-11. For the sense Atrist.
 $\beta$ áv $\epsilon \nu \quad 8 \theta \epsilon \nu \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$-but the reason he assigns is the pleasure of feeling superiority. Sen. de Ben. Qui dat beneficia, deos imitatur, qui recipit, foeneratores.
37. катєф (גоvv] A strong word 'were covering with kisses' : used also Matt. xxvi. 49.
38. $\pi \rho о$ е́ $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi т о \nu]$ xv. 3, xxi. 5.

## CHAPTER XXI.

1. aimootaao日́vias] avulsos, non sine desiderio magno, cum vi, B., but cf. Luke xxii. 41 ä $\pi \epsilon \sigma \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \theta \eta$ merely $=‘ \mathrm{He}$ was parted from them', and so R.V. here 'He parted from them'.

K $\hat{\omega}$ ] Now Stanchio, an island opposite Halicarnassus.
'PóSov] The famous island off the S. coast of Caria; at this time a great commercial centre with a university; the Colossus was not at this time standing, having been overthrown by an earthquake.

Пárapa] A seaport of Lycia near the mouth of the Xanthus, possessing an oracle of Apollo (Patareus Apollo Hor. Od. III. 4. 64).
2. єن́póvtes] Hitherto the voyage had been apparently in a small hired boat, at the disposition of Paul and his companions, in which they crept along from island to island, after the fashion of the early Gk mariners, not venturing out into the open sea at all. This they now dismiss and become passengers on board a larger merchantman, which, instead of hugging the coast, was going to stand straight across ( $\delta \iota \alpha \pi \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ ) for Tyre.
3. ảvaфávavtes $\tau \grave{\nu} v$ K.] lit. 'having made C. rise up out of the sea', i.e. having sighted Cyprus. The opposite
 III. 291 Phaeacum abscondimus arces; III. 275 formidatus nautis aperitur Apollo.
 cargo'. $̇ \kappa \epsilon і ̈ \sigma \epsilon$ because of the idea of movement and carrying into the town contained in 'unlading'; the words do not describe the destination of the vessel, which they clearly wait for and proceed in to Ptolemais.
4. aivєvpóvtes] suggests 'looking for': they were probably few in a large city.
 Paul the same warning of danger. Affection therefore urges them to detain him; duty impels him to go.
5. 'é $\alpha \rho \tau[\sigma \alpha \mathrm{l}]=j u s t u m$ numerum explere, 'fulfil', 'make up an exact number'. The days referred to are 'the seven days' probably required for the unloading of the ship and taking in fresh cargo.
6. cis $\tau \AA$ ¿ Y\&ıa 'to their homes', cf. John xix. 27

 ' when we had finished our course from Tyre'), for the short journey to Ptolemais would not be specially referred to as
M. La. de $W$. 'fully completed' (סıavúбavтєs). It is better rendered 'but we, having (thereby) completed our voyage, came from Tyre to Ptolemais'.
$\Pi \tau 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu a t \delta a]$ A bay surrounded by mountains; the best harbour on the coast. Called Accho Judges i. 31; afterwards Ptolemais from one of the kings of Egypt; in the time of the Crusades St Jean d'Acre, or Acre.
 came'i. e. clearly by land.
 A. dition due 'to the commencement of a lesson' in Church services at this point.
 $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \iota \sigma \tau 0 \hat{v} \mathrm{cf}$. . Eph. iv. 11, from which it appears that the word
N. de w. M. had a special sense and is not merely = 'preacher'. Probably as the word implies (=one who carries good tidings), they were 'travelling missionaries'. The English 'Evangelist' $=$ 'writer of a gospel'.
10. кarฑ̂ $\lambda \theta \in \in v$ тıs... $\pi \rho \circ \phi$. ỏv. "Aү.] mentioned as though not already referred to xi. 28.
11. äpas $\tau \grave{\eta} v \zeta_{\omega} \omega \eta \nu$ ] Symbolical acts are frequently employed by prophets in O.T., e.g. 1 Kings xxii. 11, Zedekiah 'made him horns of iron'; Is. xx. 2. For the particular symbol cf. the prophecy of Jesus about Peter, John xxi. 18. For $\varsigma \omega ́ \nu \eta$ cf. xii. 8 n .
$\tau$ тá $\delta \epsilon \lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota . .$.$] An authoritative formula, frequent in$ LXX. e.g. тáde $\lambda \in \neq \epsilon \iota$ кúpıos ò $\theta \epsilon$ òs...Ex. v. 1.

тapaסడ́ซovбเข...] Cf. the words of Jesus about Himself




$\sigma \nu v \theta \rho u ́ \pi \pi \tau 0 \nu \tau \epsilon s]$ stronger than $\theta \rho u ́ \pi \tau \sigma \nu \tau \epsilon s$, lit. 'break in pieces', but almost always ='make weak', and especially 'enervate', 'unman': they were 'unmanning' or 'weakening Paul's heart, 'i.e. determination. R. V. 'breaking my heart' hardly gives the sense.
14. тov̂ кupíov...] Perhaps with reference to $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ кupiov La. M. 'I $\eta$ oov just before; and so кupiou= Jesus. On the other hand the expression seems a perfectly general one of acquiescence in the Divine will='God's will be done', ef. Matt. vi. 10 н.

15. é ėเซкєuaซá $\mu \in v o \iota]$ 'having packed up'; A.V. 'we took up our carriages'; Geneva Version 'trussed up our fardels'.
16. d’yovtes...] A. and R.V. 'bringing with them Mnason with whom we should lodge', which assumes that Mnason was at Caesarea.

Others, perhaps more accurately, take the Gk as = M. La.B.
 of Mnason, with whom we should lodge'.
dpxalఱ $\mu$ a0.] R.V. 'an early disciple', cf. xv. 7 n. or H. possibly 'one of the original disciples' converted at Pentecost, cf. xi. $15 \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta}$.
 is peculiar to Luke in N.T. KABCE
18. $\left.\sigma \grave{v} v \dot{\eta}_{\boldsymbol{\eta}} i \hat{v}\right]$ Note that Luke is personally present.
$\pi \rho d s^{\text {'Iák }} \omega$ ßov $]$ Probably to his house. It would seem, from the absence of all reference to them, that none of the apostles were in Jerusalem at this time. The presence of 'all the elders' is noted (as at the council xv. 6), and the observations (vv. 20-25) are throughout in the plural.
 adverbial expression $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ ' $\neq \nu$ as a direct acc. after the verb is classical, e.g. Dem. $1265 \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \notin \nu a \ldots a ̈ \gamma o \nu \tau \epsilon s$.
20. $\theta \in \omega \rho \in i$ is] The word indicates actual 'seeing', 'beholding'.
$\pi$ тó $\sigma a l$ $\mu v p \iota \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon s]$ A. and R. V. 'thousands', regarding uvpiás as used indefinitely for any large round number, as


Whether Paul reached Jerusalem by Pentecost (cf. xx. 16) or not is doubtful, but the city was still full (cf. ver. 27) of Jews from a distance: and there is no reason for not
including these Jews of the dispersion among the 'many myriads of zealots' whom Paul is said to 'behold', for from ver. 27 we see that 'the Jews of Asia' were chief among those who accused Paul as teaching contempt of the Law to the Jews of the dispersion.
 used in a general sense, as it is used by Paul speaking of himself before his conversion Gal. i. $14 \zeta \eta \lambda \omega \tau \eta \dot{s} \dot{v} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \omega \nu$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a \tau \rho \iota \kappa \bar{\omega} \nu \mu 0 v \pi a \rho a \delta o ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$.
21. кaт $\left.\bar{\chi} \eta^{\theta} \theta \eta \sigma a \nu\right]$ cf. xviii. 25 n . The word certainly describes Paul's opponents as acting with deliberate purpose, and suggests that they were in a position of authority and 'teachers'.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi о \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma[\alpha v]$ A strong word: classical Greek, $\dot{\alpha} \pi \delta \sigma \sigma \pi a \sigma \iota s$. тov̀s kardi $\tau d . .$.$] The Jews of the dispersion, cf. ii. 9 \mathrm{n}$.
$\mu \eta\rangle \pi \epsilon \rho เ \tau \in \mu \nu \epsilon เ \nu . .$.$] Circumcision was the most distinctive$ rite of the Mosaic law, and the sign of obedience to it. The charge therefore of teaching Jews 'not to circumcise their children' involved the further charge of teaching them 'not to walk by the customs' of the Mosaic law. The term 'uncircumcised' was used by the Jews with the bitterest contempt, e.g. 1 Sam. xvii. 26 'this uncircumcised Philistine'.
 ver. 24.

Text $\mathrm{BC}^{1} \mathrm{Sy}$ Copt. Arm. Aeth. Insert $\chi^{-1 C^{2}} \mathrm{DE}$ HLP.
22. $\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \omega s . .$.$] T. R. has \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \omega s ~ \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta o s ~ \sigma v \nu \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i v{ }^{-}$


In any case the sense is that Paul is requested to mark in a public and noticeable manner his regard as a born Jew for the Law.

The question of heathen converts obeying the Mosaic law had been settled, see ch. xv.; the question here is whether Paul teaches Jewish converts to despise the Law: his action clearly marks the reverse. Cf. his own statement of his rule of conduct 1 Cor. ix. $19 \hat{\epsilon}^{2} \gamma \in \nu o ́ \mu \eta \nu$ roîs


23. єủxทेv '゙Xovтєs...] This vow seems clearly'to have been the Nazarite vow, for which cf. Numb. vi. 1-21. It was a vow of 'separation ( $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \nu \iota \sigma \mu$ 's) unto the Lord,' marked (1) by abstinence from wine, (2) by not allowing the hair to be cut. Its completion was marked by several costly sacrifices (Numb. vi. 13-15) in the Temple, and the shaving of the head and burning of the hair upon the altar.

It was considered a work of piety to relieve needy Jews from the expenses connected with this vow, as Paul does here. Josephus mentions that Herod Agrippa so paid the expenses of very many ( $\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \sigma v \chi \nu o u ́ s$ ) Nazarites.
24. ajvioण $\boldsymbol{j} \tau \mathrm{L} . .$.$] Paul does not merely pay the ex-$ penses of the men, but takes the vow and 'becomes a Nazarite with them'. The word $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \nu i \sigma \theta \eta r \iota$ seems to shew this: it is rendered in A. and R.V. 'purify thyself', but it is the word used in IXX. of those who actually take the Nazarite vow, and there rendered 'separate', cf. Numb. vi. 1 ös äv єט̈亏ŋт
 $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \nu i \sigma \mu o v$. Lange however considers that $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \nu i \sigma \theta \eta \tau \iota$ is not used here in a special sense $=$ 'take the Nazarite vow', but quite generally $=$ 'perform some ceremony of purification', and this would much simplify the whole passage.
¿va छvpí⿱oveal] A construction unknown to class. Gk but not uncommon in N.T. Cf. the classical use of ö $\pi \omega$ s with fut. ind.

बтotxeis] 'to walk by a line' or 'rule'; cf. Gal. vi. 16
 is 'the Law'.
25. $\pi \epsilon \rho \stackrel{\delta \epsilon ̇ . . .] ~ A n t i c i p a t i n g ~ a n ~ o b j e c t i o n: ~ ' t h i s ~ o n l y ~}{\text { a }}$ with regard to Jews, with regard to Gentile converts...'.
 $e i \mu \eta$.
26. SLayүe $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu . .$.$] A.V. 'to signify the accomplish- Insert$ ment of the days of the purification', which is misleading as suggesting that the vow was finished.

The Gk states that Paul, the day after he took the men into his company ( $\pi a \rho a \lambda a \beta \dot{\omega} \nu$ ), 'joined them in their separation' (i.e. took upon himself the vow of separation which they had already upon them), and went into the Temple 'to report the fulfilling of the days of separation', naming, that is, the day 'on reaching which ( $\epsilon^{\prime} \omega \mathrm{s}$ ovi) the sacrifice was offered'.

It was ordained that he who was under a Nazarite vow should bring the sacrifice ( $\pi \rho \dot{0} \sigma o i \sigma \epsilon \iota$ Numb. vi. 13) on the day when he had fully completed the days of his vow
 would report to the priests what would be the day when the vow would come to an end, which, adds the historian,' was the day on which the sacrifice was offered', of which naturally the priests might require notice.

The past $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \eta \nu \epsilon \chi \theta \eta$ is best explained by regarding the words $\epsilon \in \omega s$ o $\hat{i} . . . \eta \dot{\eta} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \phi \circ \rho \alpha \alpha^{\text {a }}$ as an explanatory addition of the deW.,M. historian.
27. ai $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\eta} \mu$.] 'the seven days' can only naturally refer to the seven days for which Paul had taken the vow, and we must assume that when he joined the four men seven days of their vow were still unfulfilled. Luke's reference to 'the seven days', though he has not previously mentioned the number, is parallel to his use of the word $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi o \tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega \nu$ xix. 16, where we have no hint previously that only two sons of Sceva were present. The Mishna names 30 days as the least period for which a vow can be taken,

As W thinks. but our knowledge on this point is very uncertain. Nor can we determine whether this vow has any connection with the one referred to xviii. 18: Luke certainly marks no connection except the dubious reference to his coming up to Jerusalem 'for sacrifices', xxiv. 17. The whole passage remains very perplexed.
28. Boŋ $\theta$ eitc] 'Help', 'To the rescue', as though an outrage were being committed.

кaгג̀ тov̂ $\lambda \alpha o \hat{v}]$ This part of the charge differs from that against Stephen (vi. 13): Paul's teaching is represented as ' an attack on the chosen people', doing away, that is, with their special privileges.

ย้ть $\tau \in$ кal] i.e. not contented with teaching, he has moreover actually brought Greeks....
"Eג ${ }^{\text {E }}$ ทuas] Malevolent generalization : he was supposed to have brought one, cf. ver. 29.
cis rò iepóv] Clearly, that is, beyond the court of the Gentiles into the court of the Israelites. On the wall which divided them inscriptions in Greek and Latin warned all Gentiles that the penalty of entering was death.

Athenаеит, July 8 , 1871: cf. M. F.

Such an inscription was found by Clermont-Ganneau built into the walls of a mosque in the Via Dolorosa:




кєко[ขшкєv] cf. x. 14. For Trophimus cf. xx. 4.
29. Ėvó $\mu \mathrm{Y}$ 〔ov] Putabant. Zelotae putantes saepe errant. B.
30. ék $\lambda_{\epsilon i \sigma \theta \eta \sigma a v \ldots]}$ i. e. by the Levites, to avoid the pollution of the Temple by Paul's murder.
31. ad $v^{\prime} \beta \eta \eta$ ] 'went up', literally, the Roman guard being stationed (and on festivals kept under arms, cf. $\epsilon \xi \alpha u v \hat{\eta} s$ ) in the turris Antonia, a fort built by Herod on a rock at the N.W. corner of the Temple, commanding the Temple and connected with it by stairs (ávaßaO $\mu$ oús ver. 35).
$\tau \hat{\omega}$ Xı入เápX↔...] ' to the tribune of the cohort (stationed there) ', i.e. Claudius Lysias, cf. xxiii. 26. Each legion had six tribunes, and as the legion with its full complement (justa legio) numbered 6000 men , each tribune had 1000 men : hence the Greek word formed on the analogy of є่катоутáp $\eta \eta$. For $\sigma \pi \epsilon i ̂ \rho \alpha$ cf. х. 1 n.
33. $\delta \in \theta$ ๆ̂val] cf. xii. 6. The tribune considered Paul to be a leader of assassins.

тís $\epsilon^{\prime} \eta$ kal $\left.\tau i ́ \epsilon \in \tau \tau \nu . ..\right]$ Probably the change of construction is merely for the sake of variety: $\tau i \epsilon^{\prime} \eta \eta \pi \epsilon \pi \sigma \circ \eta \kappa \omega$ s after tis ein $\eta$ would be very ugly. Most editors draw a distinction: 'he was uncertain who he was, but assumes as certain that Text he is a malefactor'. T.R. has $\tau i s a ̈ \nu \in i \eta \eta$.
 its use here cf. Luke xxiii. 21 є̇ $\pi \epsilon \phi \dot{\omega} \nu o v \nu \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o \nu \tau \epsilon s, \Sigma \tau a v ́ p o v$, $\sigma \tau a u ́ \rho o u$ aúróv and Acts xii. 22.

тท̀v $\left.\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \mu \beta 0 \lambda \eta{ }_{\eta} \nu\right]$ xxii. 24, xxiii. 10, 16, 32 : ‘barracks', the soldiers' quarters inside the fort.
36. aịpє av̉тóv] Cf. Luke xxiii. 18 aip $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ тồtov. The full phrase Acts xxii. 22.
37. єi $\left.\epsilon_{\xi} \epsilon \sigma \tau เ \nu . ..\right]$ A simple question, 'May I...?' cf. i. 6 n . Bengel remarks modeste alloquitur, straining the Greek.


#### Abstract

  4 qui Graece nesciunt.


38. ov̉k äpa $\sigma v \begin{gathered}\text { © [...] 'Thou art not then (as I sup- }\end{gathered}$ posed) the Egyptian....' The sentence asks a question in fact though not in form. oúk is emphatic: the centurion hearing Paul speak Greek says, 'I was wrong it seems in taking you for the Egyptian'. A. and R.V. 'Art thou not then the Egyptian?' which would certainly require ¿ap’ oűk $\epsilon \tau \tau \dot{u} . .$. ;
ó Aiyútrios...] One of the many impostors who, like Theudas (v. 36 n .), arose during this unsettled period. Josephus tells us that he collected 30,000 people on the B.J. ri. Mount of Olives to see the walls of Jerusalem fall down 13. 5. before him, and that he was attacked by Felix with great ${ }_{8}$ Ant. xx. loss, but escaped himself.

The discrepancy between 30,000 and 4000 need not M.dew. trouble us, as Josephus contradicts himself, in one passage describing 'the majority' of the 30,000 as killed or wounded, in the other stating that 400 were killed and 200 wounded.
rov̀s $\tau \epsilon \tau$.] 'the four thousand'; referred to as well known.
B. J. If. 13. 3.

Edersheim I. 10.130 .
$\sigma$ ккap $[\omega v]$ sicariorum ; R.V. 'assassins'. Josephus refers to the number of the banditti, to be found even in Jerusalem, wearing concealed daggers (sicae) and committing murders with impunity.
 of $\mu \epsilon \nu$ : 'I ( $\epsilon \gamma \omega$ ' $)$, as regards your question to me, am a man (á $\left.\nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \frac{\alpha}{} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu\right) \ldots$, but, as regards my question to you, I ask ( $\delta \dot{\epsilon} о \mu a \iota \iota \dot{\epsilon} . .$. )'.


$\pi \boldsymbol{\pi}^{\lambda} \epsilon \omega s$ $\pi 0 \lambda(\tau \eta s]$ Effective assonance, adding to the emphasis on $\pi$ o $\lambda i \tau \eta$.
 For the scene cf. Virgil's famous lines Aen. x. 148-152 ac veluti magno in populo..., and for кaтє́ $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \epsilon \ldots$... Pers. iv. 7 calidae fecisse silentia turbae |majestate manus.
$\tau \hat{\eta}{ }^{\prime}$ Eßpatsı $\delta$.] Aramaic or Aramaean.

## CHAPTER XXII.

1. Two points should be noticed in Paul's speech, (1) his argument that the strength of his former zeal for Judaism gives a measure of the strength of the conviction which had induced him to adopt the course he had takena conviction based on direct and repeated revelation; (2) the way in which, while developing this argument, he answers the charge of enmity to 'the people', 'the Law', and 'the Temple', by referring to his Jewish birth and strict Jewish training in the law of their fathers, ver. 3, by his description of Ananias as an observer of the Law, ver. 12, by his reference to the 'God of our fathers', ver. 14, to his prayer 'in the Temple', to his earnest desire to remain and preach in Jerusalem, vv. 19, 20.
á8e入фоl кal тaт.] So vii. 2. Amoris et honoris nomina.
2. $\pi a \rho d$ т. $\pi$. Гap.] Some would join these words with $\pi \epsilon \pi a \iota \delta$. but the commencement of each clause seems marked by a participle, $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o s$, à $\nu \alpha \tau \epsilon \theta \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o s, \pi \epsilon \pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon v \mu \epsilon \in \nu 0 s$. Moreover 'brought up in this city at the feet of G.' is one complete fact, 'educated in the strictness of our ancestral law' another.

The Rabbis sat on raised seats, their pupils on low F. benches or on the ground: it was at the age of 13 that a Jewish boy destined to become a Rabbi entered the school of some great teacher.

Paul gives exactly the same account of his early life, Gal. i. $13,14$.
ákp $\langle\beta$ elav] The word expresses 'mathematical accuracy': on this rigid accuracy in observance of the Law the Pharisees prided themselves, cf. xxvi. 5 dं $\kappa \rho \iota \beta \in \sigma \tau a ́ \tau \eta \nu$ aľ $\rho \in \sigma \nu \nu$; Jos. Ant.

 $\nu \quad \mu \mu \mu$.


5. $\delta$ dep.] Saul had asked for a commission from 'the high priest' (ix. 1), who at that time was Theophilus. That he was still alive is inferred from this passage, although Ananias was the actual high priest (xxiii. 2).
$\tau \grave{~} \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \circ v]$ i.e. the Sanhedrin probably, cf. iv. 5 n.
тov̀s èkê̂oc övtas] Constructio praegnans: those who had fled to Damascus on the prosecution after the death of Stephen, and were in Damascus. This traditional explanation is however very unsatisfactory. It seems better to say that the use is deictic, and almost pictorial: the word represents the speaker as directing his thoughts and the attention of his hearers to Damascus. Cf. the otherwise inex-
 22 n.
 $\phi \omega v \eta_{i} v$ ver. 14] Cf. ix. 4 n .
8. 'Inoov̂s ó Nağpaîos] But ix. 5, xxvi. 15 'Jesus' only. It is clear that Paul adds the explanatory words $\dot{o}$ Najwpaios here because, mentioning Jesus for the first time (in ch. xxvi. Jesus had been already referred to as 'Jesus of Nazareth') he finds it necessary to do so for the sake of clearness. Such an addition would be perfectly natural. The instance however shews how hard it may often be to separate ipsissima verba from explanatory additions, and it is important to bear this in mind when we come to consider the account of the conversion ch. xxvi.

 sight...I looked upon him'. The same verb is used ix. 17, 18, where it distinctly is ='receive sight again', and it should be so rendered here, du $\in \beta \lambda \epsilon \Psi a$ eis aủróv being put shortly for 'I received my sight (and looked) upon him', as R. V. gives in margin.

Of course ávaß入є́ $\pi \omega$ can mean＇look up＇，as John ix． 11，but here the meaning of $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} \beta \lambda \epsilon \psi 0 \nu$ absolutely determines the meaning of $\alpha \nu \epsilon \beta \lambda \epsilon \psi \alpha$ ．

14．tòv $\delta$（katov］Jesus，cf．iii． 14.
16．$\beta$ ámтьбal］Middle：＇cause thyself to be baptized＇． For кal áró入ovбal тàs ápaprlas ef．the Baptismal Service＇$O$ God．．．who didst sanctify water to the mystical washing avay of $\sin$＇，and cf． 1 Cor．vi． 11.
 For similar carelessness of style in Hellenistic Gk cf．xv． 22 n.

18．$\sigma \pi \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma o v]$ He only stayed 15 days，cf．Gal．i． 18.
19．au̇тol＇̇ $\pi$［ $\sigma \tau a v \tau \alpha \mathrm{~L} . .$.$] ＇they themselves know．．．＇：$ Paul＇s reply expresses this argument：the Jews must believe that my conversion was due to a miraculous and divine revelation，because they themselves know how previously I hated the Christians．
v．H．20．$\left.\mu \alpha \alpha^{\rho} \tau \tau \rho o s\right]$ A．V．＇martyr＇；R．V．＇witness＇；V．
 $\tau \dot{v} \rho \omega \nu$＇I $\eta \sigma o \hat{v}$ ，where it certainly is＝＇martyrs＇．The word is here seen in a transition state，no longer merely meaning ＇witness＇，but not yet specifically describing one who had borne witness by his death－＇a martyr＇．
Text 21．eis＇ $60 v \eta]$ Emphatic．The＇mission to the Gentiles＇ KHBCD．is the＇word＇or＇utterance＇up to which the Jews listen．

22．каө̂̀кєv］T．R．каө̂̀ко with no authority．The imperfect is vigorous，expressing impatience，＇it was not fitting＇i．e．he ought long ago to have been put to death．


23．pıாтоv́v $\omega \omega$ ］＇threw off＇R．V．；＇cast off＇A．V．，for
 men about to make an attack．Here however there is no sign of an attack，and the participle is in the present and the verb frequentative（ $\dot{\rho} \iota \pi \tau \in \epsilon \omega$ jacto，$\dot{\rho} i \pi \tau \omega$ jacio？），so that A．La．M．it is better to explain＇tossing about their garments＇as a deW．H．symbol of excitement and abhorrence．So Chrys．̇̇кт兀vaб－ ซóvt $\omega \nu$ ．Cf．Ov．Am．inr．2． 74 jactatis signa dedere togis．

кovเopтòv．．．］ 2 Sam．xvi．13；Job ii． 12.
24．ávєтá\}є大日al] This commencing an 'inquiry' (cf. Digest L．＇Inquisition＇）by torture was contrary to Roman law．Non Tit．18．esse a tormentis incipiendum，Divus Augustus statuit．
25. ís $\delta \underset{\text { è }}{\pi \rho o ́ e ́ \tau \epsilon L v a v . . .] ~ ' w h e n ~ t h e y ~ h a d ~ b o u n d ~ h i m ~}$ (leaning) forward with thongs', i.e. so that his back was exposed to the lash. R.V. in marg. 'for the thongs', but this needlessly makes $i \mu \hat{a} \sigma \iota \nu$ exactly $=\mu a ́ \sigma \tau \iota \xi \iota \nu$.

тòv $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \omega ิ \tau a$ ékar.] The inferior officer appointed to carry out the tribune's orders. So at the crucifixion Matt. xxvii. 54.

 $\pi о є \epsilon i \nu$; contain a warning = 'Be careful'; hence $\gamma$ áp. T.R. öpa $\tau i \mu$. $\pi$. gives the right sense but is less vigorous.
27. $\left.\sigma \grave{v}{ }^{~} P \omega \mu \alpha i ̂ o s ~ \epsilon i ं ;\right] ~ \sigma u ́ ~ e x p r e s s e s ~ a s t o n i s h m e n t ~ a n d ~$ presupposes contempt.
28. кєфалаíov] Classical = 'principal' as opposed to 'interest', caput; or 'a large main sum' (e.g. Dem. 834 тò


The sale of the Roman citizenship was resorted to by the emperors as a means of filling their exchequer, much as James I. made baronets.
$\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \mathfrak{L} \boldsymbol{\nu}=j u s$ civitatis.
 getic of $\tau \dot{d} \dot{a} \sigma \phi a \lambda$ '́s-'the exact facts, viz. what accusation
 Soph. O. C. 529.
 'to come to him', whereas $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i \nu=$ 'assemble', probably KABE , in their ordinary place of meeting. That they did not ed $\begin{gathered}\text { EEiv } \\ \text { HLP. }\end{gathered}$ 'meet' in the Turris Antonia is clear from кavaray $\omega$, and Copt. that it was not inside the Temple is shewn by the presence Aeth. of Lysias.

## CHAPTER XXIII.

1. ${ }^{2} \tau \in \nu[\sigma a s . . . \tau \hat{\varrho}$ $\sigma v \nu \in \delta \rho[\omega]$ Same construction iii. 12. The words indicate that he confronted them boldly, cf. xiii. 9 .

Note too $\dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \epsilon \phi \phi$ without the usual (cf.iv. 8, vii. 2, xxii. 1) and respectful $\kappa a i \pi \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon s$.
 Peter's, not elsewhere in N.T.
$\pi \epsilon \pi 0 \lambda(\tau \epsilon \nu \mu a \iota ~ \tau \hat{\varphi} \theta$ 0.] The ideal Jewish state was a state under the direct government of God-a theocracy. Paul says 'You accuse me of speaking against the Jews, the Law, \&c.; I answer that in the sight of God, the ruler and lawgiver of the Jewish nation, I have acted as a good citizen'.

For the metaphor of. Phil. i. 27 destcos rô̂ eủaryèiov $\tau o \hat{v}$
 imáp $x \in$.
H. M.
2. 'Avavías] Son of Nebedaeus, nominated to the office by Herod, king of Chalcis, A.D. 48: sent to Rome A.d. 52 by Quadratus, prefect of Syria (predecessor of Felix), to answer
Jos. 13 .
J. II. 17.
9. a charge of rapine and cruelty made against him by the Samaritans, but honourably acquitted. He was murdered about ten years after this.
$\tau \mathfrak{\tau} \pi \tau \epsilon เ \dot{ข}$ av̉тov̂] Cf. the treatment of Jesus, John xviii. 22.
3. тv́ттєเv $\sigma \epsilon \ldots$...] Note the indignant emphasis of the position of $\tau \dot{u} \pi \tau \epsilon \epsilon \nu$. Cp. carefully the reply of Jesus.
 where the following words explain the phrase. It seems to have been a proverbial expression.

Edersheim II. 320.

The stone which marked a grave was 'kept whitened, to warn the passer by against defilement'.

кal $\sigma \grave{v} . .$.$] кal at the commencement of a question ex-$ presses indignation or astonishment. 'Dost thou indeed sit...?', or 'What! dost thou sit...?' Cf. кal $\pi \hat{\omega}$; \&c.
5. oủk ท̋סడเv...] 'I did not know...', the only possible rendering of the Greek.


 $\pi о \lambda \lambda \omega \hat{\nu} \kappa \alpha l$ є́ $\tau \epsilon \dot{\rho} \rho \omega \nu$.

This explanation is the only natural one. Others argue (assuming too much) that Paul must have recognized the High Priest from his position, attire, \&c. But Lange points out (1) that the High Priest, not being engaged in the service of the Temple, would not necessarily be distinguishable by his dress; (2) that the assembly was an irregular one, summoned by Lysias, and he may not have been presiding.

Calvin took the words ironically-' $a$ man who so acts could never I thought be the High Priest': others render B. N. W. non reputabam, and make the words apologetic-'I forgot it was the High Priest'. Both explanations do violence to the Greek. Others have conjectured that Paul was nearsighted, giving an unnatural and forced meaning to $\dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \nu i \sigma a s$ in ver. 1.
$\gamma^{\prime} \hat{\gamma} p a \pi \tau \alpha l$ रàp] 'I did not know, otherwise I should not have spoken as I did, for...', cf. Ex. xxii. 18 äp $\quad$ ov $\tau \alpha$ тoû $\lambda a o u ̂$ Jov oủ каки̂s $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon i ̂ s$.
6. $\gamma$ vov̀s $\delta \underset{\text { te...] Luke here in a marked manner points }}{ }$ out that it was Paul's purpose to introduce dissension into the assembly.

Some regard Luke's account as unsatisfactory and un- M.Reuss authentic.

Many approach very near to the dangerous assertion that the end justifies the means, pointing out that Paul And so saw no other way of securing the liberty necessary for preaching the Gospel: e.g. Alford, 'he uses in the cause of Truth the maxim so often perverted in the cause of falsehood, divide et impera'.

Farrar distinctly condemns Paul's conduct, and takes this. xxiv. 21 as a virtual confession of error. In the absence of adequate data for forming a fair judgment, we may note (1) that this act of Paul's stands by itself, and is without any parallel in his life or writings; (2) that to found on it such a comment as bellum haereticorum pax ecclesiae is to violate the whole teaching of the N.T.

It will be observed that xxiv. 21 where Paul refers to this event he makes no reference (1) to the motive described
 It is therefore possible that Luke has here assigned a motive for Paul's words, or even amplified his description of the occurrence, because he desires to explain to his Gentile readers (cf. the explanation ver. 8) how it was that a statement about 'the resurrection' should have caused so great a diversion in Paul's favour. Paul, in xxiv. 21, refers definitely to his 'one utterance', viz. 'about the resurrection of the dead I am on my trial', and it is certainly natural to assume that the words there given accurately represent the fact, for it was distinctly his belief in the resurrection and consequently in the Messiahship of Jesus which distinguished Paul from other Jews, and it is to the resurrection that he constantly appeals as the very centre of his faith; cf. his speech at Athens and 1 Cor. xv. throughout.

Éy凶̀ Sap....] This sentence (subject to the above remarks) must be taken as a whole. The words 'I am a Pharisee' are immediately limited and defined by what follows-'I am a Pharisee for I believe in a resurrection'.

Paul and Pharisaism seem to us such opposite ideas that we often forget that to Paul Christianity was the natural development of Judaism. Luke throughout describes him as a pious Jew: see too his own emphatic as-
 Sapıбaîos. kal $\nu \hat{v} \nu . .$.$) . He differed from the Jews as re-$ gards facts perhaps rather than principles. They looked for a Messiah; he said Jesus is the Messiah. The Pharisees
asserted a resurrection of the dead; Paul said Jesus has risen from the dead.
vids $\Phi_{\text {apıoai } \omega \nu \text { ] 'Perhaps refers rather to his teachers }}$ Lightfoot Phil. iii. 5. than his ancestors, being a Hebraism like 'the sons of the prophets'; cf. Amos vii. 14.'
 resurrection'.
7. $\sigma \tau \alpha \alpha^{\sigma}$ s] xv. 2 n. The Sadducees, iv. 1 n .


Text NABCE. rection made in order to join $a_{\gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda o \nu}$ and $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \mu \alpha$ together and so make $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \dot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \rho a$ formally accurate, as it is perfectly accurate in fact, the denial of the existence of 'either angel or spirit' being a single tenet.
For $\quad \pi \nu \in \hat{v} \mu \alpha$ is 'any spiritual incorporeal being', but here as Jewish Angelology v. Edersheim App. 13.
 KABCE. v. 39. As punctuated the sentence is generally regarded as an instance of aposiopesis, 'But if an angel spoke to him (what then?)', putting a hypothetical case which deserves consideration.

Surely however $\epsilon i$ is interrogative (i. 6 n .) and a question should be marked, 'But did an angel speak to him?', referring to Paul's own statement xxii. 6 ff .

## 11. ó кv́pıos] Jesus.

 tant to notice these two emphatic phrases. It is clear that Luke looks on Paul's preaching at Rome as the crowning point of his narrative. Paulus Romae, apex Evangelii B.
12. $\pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu]=\pi t \epsilon i ̂ \nu$.
 they shall have killed'; cf. ver. $21 \epsilon^{\text {E }} \omega \mathrm{s}$ oṽ $\alpha \nu \epsilon \lambda \omega \sigma \iota \nu$.
13. $\pi \lambda \epsilon$ lovs $\tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma \epsilon \rho a ́ к о \nu \tau a] ~ C f . i v . ~ 22 \pi \lambda \epsilon \iota o \nu \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma \epsilon \rho$.;

 annos.
14. ảva日́ $\mu \alpha \tau \iota \alpha v \in \theta \in \mu a \tau i \sigma \alpha \mu \in \nu]$ Emphatic repetition of the word. Cf. vii. 34 n .
$\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} \theta \eta \mu a$ is used in classical Gk of 'a thing set up in a temple', ‘dedicated’-an offering; cf. Luke xxi. 5 qiva日 $\dot{\eta} \mu a \sigma \iota \nu$ $\kappa є \kappa о ́ \sigma \mu \eta \tau \alpha \iota$, the only place where it occurs in N.T.

But a thing may be 'dedicated' in a good or bad sense (cf. auri sacra fames Virg. Aen. III. 57; devota arbos Hor.

Od. rir. 4. 27), and so the by-form $\dot{a} \mathrm{a} \dot{\mathrm{a}} \theta \epsilon \mu a$ came to be used in Hellenistic Gk in a bad sense $=$ ' a thing accursed', cf.
 ${ }^{*} \sigma \tau \omega$ and $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \theta \epsilon \mu a \tau i \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu=$ 'make accursed', 'curse', cf. Mark


Here the sense is that they solemnly declared themselves dंvá $\theta \epsilon \mu a$, i.e. 'an accursed thing', if they did not kill Paul before eating or drinking.
15. ípeis] Emphatic: it anticipates $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i \hat{s} \delta \bar{\delta}$.
éभфаvícarє...] 'make a statement' or 'declaration to the tribune to induce him ( $\partial \pi \omega \mathrm{s}$ ) to bring Paul down to you, on the plea that you propose ( $\omega$ 's $\mu \in \lambda \lambda$ дovtas)...'. The words
 made to the tribune.
A. and R.V. 'signify to the chief captain that he bring him', a rendering which suggests that authority in the matter belonged to the chief priests, whereas $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \phi a \nu i \bar{\xi} \epsilon \nu$ in no way indicates the possession of authority by the person making the declaration, but rather that the person to whom the declaration is made is himself in authority (cf. xxiv. 1, xxv. 2, 15).
rov̂ deveitiv] Gen. of purpose, or the thing aimed at.
16. $\delta$ viòs...] Quite unknown except here.
 tion. It is possible however to take $\pi a p a \gamma$. with what precedes, 'having heard...having come upon them'; but this is not so simple.
18. ó $\delta \in ́ \sigma \mu \operatorname{los}$ II.] Probably now in custodia militaris (cf. xxviii. 16), a prisoner, but with free access to him, as had been the case since $x x i i .30$; a relief from the 'two chains' (xxi. 33).
20. $\dot{\omega} \mu \mu \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ ] This must refer to the tribune, and is inconsistent with ver. 15. So R.V. 'bring down Paul... as though thou wouldest inquire': but this cannot be right, for it describes the tribune as giving a reason for bringing Paul down, whereas it is clear that the Jews should give a reason for asking him to do so, as in ver. 15. Moreover Luke could not repeat ver. 15 with so absurd an alteration.

The reading $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \hat{\lambda} \lambda o \nu$ (in agreement with $\sigma v \nu \bar{\varepsilon} \delta \rho \iota o \nu$ ) seems to account for the other readings best.
23. tıvàs $\delta$ v́o] Cf. Luke vii. 19 т $\rho о \sigma к а \lambda \epsilon \sigma а ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ \delta u ́ o ~$ $\tau \tau \nu$ às $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu a \theta \eta \tau \omega \bar{\nu}$, 'certain two'; Thuc. viII. 100 т $\tau \nu$ ès $\delta \dot{v}$ óo $\nu \hat{\eta} \epsilon \mathrm{s}$. The expression indicates that they were not specially chosen. Two centurions naturally 'got ready two hundred men'.
 the three varieties of troops which formed a Roman army, and which would be found in every fraction of an army, e.g. in the cohort of Lysias. The $\sigma \tau \rho a r \iota \omega$ atal were the heavyarmed legionaries; the $i \pi \pi \epsilon$ îs a portion of the alae equitum attached to every legion, and the $\delta \epsilon \xi \iota o \lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta$ oı formed one of the many varieties of troops which composed the auxilia or supplementary troops, not Romans, but foreigners armed with their native weapons, e.g. Balearic slingers, Cretan archers.

What $\delta \epsilon \xi$. exactly means is unknown. A. and R.V. 'spearmen', and V. lancearii. A has $\delta \epsilon \xi$ ૬ьoßó入ous, perhaps= 'slingers': Syr. has dextra jaculantes.

The size of the escort points to the unsettled nature of the times, and so perhaps does the night start.
24. ктท́vך $\tau \epsilon \pi a p a \sigma \tau \eta=\alpha \iota]$ Change to indirect speech, 'and (he ordered them) to provide...'.

ктทŋvๆ] jumenta, beasts of burden or for riding: asses or horses, not war-horses.
$\Phi{ }_{\eta} \lambda_{\iota к}$ ] Felicem. Antonius Felix, procurator of Judaea, who succeeded Cumanus about A.D. 52, was brother of Pallas the notorious freedman and favourite of Claudius. TaHist.v.9. citus says of his government, per omnem saevitiam et libidiAnn.xir. nemjus regium servili ingenio exercuit, and Judaeae impositus, 54. et cuncta malefacta sibi impune ratus tanta potentia subnixo.

Jos.
Ant.
xx. 8. 9. He was recalled by Nero about 60 or 62 A.d. on the complaint of the Jews, but protected by the influence of Pallas.
 of the facts, when a charge was referred to a superior magistrate, was technically termed elogium.

тúтоv] Like exemplum in Latin (cf. Cic. ad Att. ix. 6. 3 literae sunt allatae hoc exemplo: Pompeius mare transiit...) of the 'purport', 'contents' of a letter. So 3 Macc. iii. 30

 $\Pi \iota \lambda \dot{\alpha} \tau \varphi \tau \hat{\varphi} \hat{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \dot{\nu} \nu \iota$. -The word is general='governor', not defining the particular rank of the governor. Xalpeเv: xv. 23 n.
27. ' $\xi \xi \in \iota \lambda \alpha \mu \eta \nu, \mu a \theta \omega \dot{\omega}]$ 'I rescued him, having learned', i.e. when I had learned: Lysias clearly leaves the inference open that he rescued Paul because he was a Roman, though he did not discover this until after he had rescued him and was about to scourge him-a point about which he is also silent (de verberibus tacet. B.).
$\mu a \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$ cannot possibly $=\kappa a{ }^{\epsilon}{ }^{\xi} \mu a \theta \circ \nu$ as Grotius and others take it.
 xvii. $14,15 \mathrm{n}$.
 bination of two constructions, (1) $\mu \eta \nu v \theta \epsilon i \sigma \eta s . . . \epsilon \in \pi \imath \beta o v \lambda \hat{\lambda} s . .$.

 ing 'before a judge', cf. xxiv. 20 Є̇ $\pi i$ roû $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \delta \rho i ́ o v ; ~ x x i v . ~ 21$ $\dot{\epsilon} \phi ' \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$; xxv. 26, xxvi. 2; so in Latin apud, e.g. apud judices, apud senatum. T.R. adds $\epsilon \rho \rho \omega \sigma$, Vale.
31. oi $\mu \epsilon ̀ v$ oûv $\sigma \tau \rho$.] The antithesis to $\mu \epsilon \in \nu$ is probably xxiv. $1 \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ dé...; 'so then the soldiers, \&c. \&c...., but after five days....' See n. on xxiv. 11.

סıà vukтòs] They could not reach Antipatris that night, but their march continued 'through the night'.

Antipatris, formerly Capharsaba, had been rebuilt by Herod the Great and named after his father: it was 42 m . from Jerusalem and 26 from Caesarea.
33. oitcves] Not the same people as the nom. to $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon$ $\psi a \nu$, but 'the cavalry' to be supplied from $\tau 0$ 's $i \pi \pi \epsilon i \hat{s}$. The sense must override strict grammar, as often in late Gk.

ỏvaסóvтєs] Classical Gk ảmoסóvrєs, quum reddidissent.
34. érapxéas] Provinciae. The object of the question of Felix is not clear. It is said that Cilicia was included in the province of Syria and that Felix was desirous of learning whether the case was within his jurisdiction, but it is not easy to see how, even though Cilicia was under the governor of Syria, Felix, who was only procurator of Judaea, would have jurisdiction over it. Luke seems merely to indicate that Felix contented himself for the time with some personal inquiries about Paul.
35. Sıaкov́бoual] Of a full hearing, as contrasted with the present brief interrogatory. The rule was: qui cum

Digest elogio mittuntur, ex integre audiendi sunt.
év т仑̂ $\pi$ трaıт. тоv 'H.] The palace built by Herod the Great and used as a residence by the Roman governor.
$\pi \rho a \iota \tau \omega \rho i \omega=$ praetorio, (1) originally the general's tent in a camp, (2) the residence of a governor or prince, cf. Mark
 sedet ad praetoria regis.

## CHAPTER XXIV.

1. $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta$. $\tau เ \nu \hat{\omega} \nu]$ T. R. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \in \sigma \beta$. 'the elders': in Text any case it can only have been a deputation.
¢ $\eta$ ๆороs...] R.V. rightly 'an orator, one Tertullus'. In classical $\mathrm{Gk} \rho \dot{\rho} \dot{\gamma} \omega \rho=$ a public speaker in the assembly;
in Latin rhetor is ' $a$ teacher of rhetoric' and is often opposed to orator, one who actually speaks in court or elsewhere. Here however $\dot{\rho} \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \omega \rho$ is clearly=orator or causidicus, 'a barrister'.
i. 'The provincials being themselves unacquainted with the law of their rulers, employed Roman advocates to plead for them'. This practice in the provinces (usus provincialis, Cic. pro Cael. 30) was regarded as good training for young men. Tertullus is a dimin. from Tertius, as Catullus from Catius, Lucullus from Lucius.
2. $\lambda$ é $\gamma \omega v$ ] Obviously a summary of the speech. The statement of the case vv. 5-8 could not possibly have been made so briefly.
$\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \hat{\eta} \mathrm{\eta}$...] The speaker begins with the regular captatio benevolentiac. See Quint. Inst. Or. iv. 1. So too Paul ver. 10.
eipývns] Tacitus (Ann. xir. 54) does not give this description of the government of Felix, but describes him as secretly encouraging banditti and sharing the plunder, and he was shortly after this accused by the Jews at Rome, cf. xxiii. 24 n .

Anyhow to refer to the 'peace' of a district would be La. acceptable and usual flattery to a governor. Cf. Ulpian de officio praesidis: Congruit bono et gravi praesidi ut pacata sit provincia.

Text NABE.

סьop $\theta \omega \mu \alpha \alpha^{\tau} \omega \nu$ ] 'corrections', 'reforms'. T.R. като $\theta \omega \mu \alpha$ $\tau \omega \nu=$ recta, recte facta, a philosophic term; A.V. 'worthy deeds'.
So II. B. $\quad \pi p o v o[a s]$ 'providence' A. and R.V., evidently regarding A. it as used in flattery and representing the Latin providentia, Providentia Caesaris being common on coins, and providentia being used of God in post-Augustan Latin. But surely so $\quad \pi \rho o \nu o i a$ is only = prudentia, 'wise forethought', 'care'. Cf.
Lumby. e.g. 2 Macc. iv. 6 ä $\nu \in v$ ßaбı入ıкท̂s $\pi \rho o \nu o i a s ~ a ̉ \delta u ́ v a \tau o \nu ~ \tau v \chi \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~$
 for the flesh', and $\pi р о \nu о є i \sigma \theta \theta a l ~ ' t a k e ~ t h o u g h t ~ f o r ' ~ o r ~ ' p r o-~$ vide' Rom. xii. 17; 2 Cor. viii. 21 ; 1 Tim. v. 8.
3. $\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \eta ~ \tau \epsilon ~ K a l ~ \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha X 0 \hat{v}]$ The rhetorical balance of the sentence is increased by taking these words with $\delta \operatorname{cop} \theta$. $\gamma \iota \nu \circ \mu \in ́ v \omega \nu$. The words which record the virtues of Felix begin with $\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta} s$ and end with $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \eta \tau \epsilon \kappa a i$ тavтaхо仑: it is for 'great peace and improvements everywhere' that, says the orator, we must begin by expressing our thanks to-day.
R.V. 'we accept it in all ways and in all places': but surely the speaker in using $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \delta \epsilon \chi \delta \mu \epsilon \theta a$ expresses not their 'acceptance in all places', but their acknowledgment that
day and in that place of benefits they had in all ways and in all places received from Felix. Moreover, 'we accept in all ways, in all places, with all thankfulness' is an exaggeration of style which verges on the absurd.
4. е̇vкóтт ${ }^{2}$ ] The opposite of $\pi \rho о к о ́ \pi \tau \omega$, used of pioneers 'clearing a way',=(1) impede a way, (2) delay, interrupt.
$\sigma v \nu \tau \delta \mu \omega s]$ 'concisely'; strictly of speakers, then naturally of hearers, 'to hear briefly' or 'in a few words'.
 reasonable man', 'one who makes reasonable concessions': v. 10 , often opposed to סíkalos, 'one who insists on his strict Plat.Leg. rights'.
5. єúpóvtes yàp...] Here follows a summary of the charges against Paul. There is no verb, and it bears the stamp of a résumé of the points urged by Tertullus.

Note the charge of inciting to disorder put strongly forward, cf. xvi. 20 n .

 Cic. pro Sest. 14. 33 illa furia ac pestis patriae (of Clodius).

катळे тทेv oik.] xvii, 6 n .
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{Na} ̧ \omega \rho a[\omega \nu]$ Here only in the plural and used contemptuously, cf. John i. 46. 'Christians are still called w. by this term by Jews and Mahometans'. Elsewhere in N.T. the adj. merely describes the birthplace of Jesus.
aipé $\sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{v} .17 \mathrm{n}$.
6. ė $\pi \in(\rho a \sigma \in v]$ verbum aptum ad calumniam. B. It had been alleged that he had profaned the Temple (xxi. 28) but this could not be proved.


 rópous aủroû $\epsilon \rho \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \epsilon \in \pi \imath \sigma \epsilon$-an insertion due to the speech of Tertullus seeming incomplete.
8. $\pi a \rho^{\prime}$ oiv] i.e. from Paul. Examine him, they say, La. M. and he will not be able to deny it: Paul (ver. 20) rejoins de W. 'let them say if they can what crime I have committed'.

The suggestion of a quaestio per tormenta is quite un- w.Corm. warranted. In T.R. oú refers to Lysias.
à Lapide. Grotius.
9. $\left.\sigma v \varepsilon_{\epsilon \pi \in} \theta_{\epsilon} \in \tau \tau\right]$ ' joined in the attack'; a strong word.
10. $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ ] About seven. For $\epsilon \theta \nu \epsilon \iota=J e w s ~ x x v i i i . ~ 19 n$.
11. Svvapévov oov...] i.e. I address myself with confidence to a judge of your experience, because you will find
out that the crime charged against me was committed only twelve days ago, and therefore as an experienced judge you can easily discover the exact truth.

The days may be thus reckoned: 1, arrival in Jerusalem; 2, meeting with James, xxi. 18; 3, taking of the vow, xxi. $26 ; 7$, arrest in the temple $\dot{\omega} \frac{\xi}{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda o \nu$ ai $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\eta} \mu$. $\sigma v \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \sigma-$ $\theta a \iota ; 8$, taken before the Sanhedrin xxii. 30; 9, conspiracy of Jews xxiii. 12 ; 10, arrival at Antipatris; 11, at Caesarea; 13, the day when Paul is speaking.

It will be noted that this reckoning makes the 'after five days' of xxiv. 1 refer, not to Paul's arrival in Caesarea, but to his despatch from Jerusalem by Lysias; the difficulty of doing so is much relieved by observing that $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ in xxiv. 1 is the antithesis to $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ xxiii. 31 (v. n.).
13. тарабтทิбаl] 'present', 'shew', 'prove'; so classical, cf. Plato Rep. 600 d тoîs $\bar{\epsilon} \phi$ ' $\dot{\epsilon} \alpha u \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \nu a \iota ~ \omega ่ s . . . ~$
14. $\delta \mu о \lambda о \gamma \omega \bar{\omega} \delta \dot{\xi} . .$.$] Paul, having denied the charge of$ disturbance, now proceeds to answer the charge of being ' $a$ ringleader of the sect of the Nazarenes'. This he does by declaring that Christianity is not a deviation from Judaism but the fulfilment of it.
 v. 17 n . Paul objects to the term al $\rho \in \sigma \iota s$ employed by Tertullus (ver. 5), which he himself elsewhere uses in a bad sense, e.g. Gal. v. 20, where among the 'works of the flesh' are $\delta i \chi o \sigma \tau a \sigma i \alpha l$, aip $\epsilon \sigma \epsilon \iota$. The distinction he draws here is between the path which is marked out for a man by God (via divinitus prescripta B.) and a self-chosen course, which a man marks out for himself. Cf. the same distinction in Newman's hymn: 'I loved to choose and see my path, but now Lead thou me on'.
$\tau \hat{\omega} \pi a \tau \rho \dot{\omega} \omega \omega_{0}$ 0.] The adj. emphasizes the argument: cf.

 who look for its fulfilment. Cf. Tit. ii. $13 \pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta \epsilon \chi$ о́ $\mu \epsilon \nu \circ \iota$ $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ макаріал є̀ $\lambda \pi i \delta \alpha$.

In using the words aútoi ovito Paul points to his accusers, not regarding them as probably for the most part Sadducees who denied a resurrection, but as representatives of the Jewish nation and religion.
A.V. gives 'hope...which they allow', apparently avoiding the difficulty about the Sadducees.
16. év тоúтษ̨] 'herein', referring to his whole declaration of belief vv. 14,15 .
kal av̉ròs] 'I also', as well as my accusers and the Jews whom they represent. 'In all the points just mentioned I also endeavour to live without offence to God or man'.
áтрóбкотог] A.V. excellently 'void of offence', for the word may have two meanings:
(1) 'not stumbling' i.e. not offending, upright-in this

(2) 'not stumbled against', i.e. not causing offence-in this sense Paul seeks to be $\dot{\alpha} \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \kappa о \pi$ os $\pi \rho o$ 's $\tau$ ò $\dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \nu \rho \omega \dot{\mu} \pi o u s$.

The word only occurs twice elsewhere in N.T., both times used by Paul: viz. in its first meaning Phil. i. 10 iva
 meaning 1 Cor. х. 32 àтро́бкотоь каl 'Iovסаloเs $\gamma^{i \nu \epsilon} \epsilon \theta \epsilon$ каi "E $\lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \iota$.
 Paul's rule of conduct in dealing with his difficulty between Jews and Gentiles.
17. $\delta \iota$ ' $\mathfrak{e} \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \nu \pi \epsilon \epsilon$ óv $\omega \nu$ ] 'after an interval of several years'. His last visit was on his return from his second missionary journey, xviii. 22.
ė $\lambda \epsilon \eta \mu$ обúvas] For this collection for the poor in Jerusalem cf. 1 Cor. xvi. 1-4; Rom. xv. 26.
$\pi \rho o \sigma \phi \circ \rho a ́ s]$ 'offerings', 'sacrifices', i.e. in the Temple. The same word xxi. 26.
18. E'vais] 'in which', i.e. in connection with the offer- Text ing of the sacrifices. T.R. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ois 'herein', i.e. in connec- $\underset{\epsilon}{\dot{\epsilon} \nu \mathrm{D} \text { ois }}$ tion with these matters generally.

HLP.
 to $\epsilon \hat{v} \rho o \nu-a n$ obvious correction.

The sentence as given in the text is broken off after the words $\pi \rho \dot{\delta} s \epsilon^{\epsilon} \mu$ '́: 'they (my accusers here present) found me, I grant, in the Temple performing a religious duty, not creating a disturbance, but certain Jews from Asia, who ought to have been present to-day to support any charge they had against me-or let these men here (turning to his accusers) state what illegal act they found me guilty of when I was arraigned before the Sanhedrin'.
$\delta \epsilon \in$ after $\tau \iota \nu \epsilon \in s$ is opposed to the emphatic ov $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ ó $\chi \lambda 0 v$ oú $\delta \grave{\text { e }} \mu$. $\theta$. - 'I was not creating disturbance, but certain Jews from Asia (brought an accusation to that effect)'. Before stating what the accusation was Paul proceeds parenthetically to comment on the absence of his accusers as indicating the falsity of their charge. Strictly he ought to have gone on to state what the accusation was, instead of doing so however he breaks off, and, turning to the San-
hedrists, says 'or let these men say what I was proved guilty of', the force of 'or' being this-'The absence of my original accusers shews that they had no case, or, if this inference is objected to, then let these men themselves (though their evidence is only second-hand) say what...'.

The passage as it stands is instinct with life, and seems to exhibit the abruptness so characteristic of the Pauline Epistles. Cf. xxvi. 9 n.
20. $\tau i \ldots \eta=\tau l{ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \lambda \ldots \ldots{ }^{\prime}$, 'what...except'.
A.
21. Éкє́краद̆ $]$ Reduplicated aorist. Cf. Numb. xi. 2 $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \rho а \xi_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ and 'almost always in LXX.'

Brissonius, de Formullis. hearing the judge AMPIIUS promutiabat of Cic Brut 22 hearing the judge AMPLIUS pronuntiabat, cf. Cic. Brut. 22 cum consules re audita AMPLIUS de consilii sententia pronuntiassent.
 Felix put off the case, just as the next participle eirras assigns the reason he alleged for so doing, viz. the need of Lysias' evidence.
Lange. He did so 'because he had more exact knowledge of the Way', the comparative indicating a more than usually exact knowledge, such as was not unnatural in one who had been so long governor and had a Jewish wife. His knowledge enabled him to see that Paul could not be condemned by a Roman tribunal on the religious question, and he accordingly 'put off' the case, alleging the need of further evidence. He might have acquitted Paul, but he may have wished not to offend the Jews (cf. ver. 27) and trusted that time would afford some chance of getting out of the difficulty, or he may have hoped for a bribe from Paul (cf. ver. 26).

Some say $\epsilon i \delta \omega{ }^{\prime}=$ 'now that he had learnt', i.e. he put them off because he had learnt from Paul's speech to understand more clearly what Christianity was. But $\epsilon i \delta \omega{ }^{\prime} s$ cannot naturally = certior factus.
23. ${ }^{\text {dlvertv] lit. 'relaxation'; R.V. 'indulgence'-the }}$ opposite of strict confinement; the next words serve to explain it.
 28) calls Felix trium reginarum maritum aut adulterum; one was a daughter of Juba, king of Mauretania, the third is unknown. Drusilla was a daughter of Herod Agrippa (xii. A. 1), who killed James; Felix had persuaded her to leave her former husband Aziz, king of Emesa. She perished with her son in an eruption of Vesuvius in the reign of Titus.

## isía $\mathrm{BC}^{2}$,

The mss. authority for $i \delta i(\alpha$ is not strong, and it is perhaps safer to render merely 'his wife', but the reading is
remarkable considering the history of Felix and Drusilla omit and the description given of Paul's discourse.
$\mathrm{C}^{1} \mathrm{HLP}$, тทุ่ $\gamma$.
 view was held on her account as taking a natural interest in EN. Paul and his teaching.

єis Xpıбтòv 'I $\eta \sigma 0$ v̂v] Probably wrong, cf. ii. 22 n. 'I $\eta$ $\sigma o \hat{\nu} \nu$ found in NEL; omitted $\aleph^{2}$ AHP.
25. Є́үкратєías] 'temperance'. Strictly
$\sigma \dot{\omega} \phi \rho \omega \nu=$ one who has got the mastery over his passions. $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \eta$ 's $=\ldots \ldots \ldots$ is getting $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho a \tau \dot{\eta} s=\ldots \ldots \ldots$ is losing а́кó $\lambda \alpha \sigma \tau$ оs $=\ldots \ldots .$. has lost
 'for the present'.
26. Xрท́naтa] He knew that Paul had gone up to Jerusalem with money collections (xxiv. 17). Lex Julia de Digest
 conjiciendum, vinciendum...exve vinculis dimittendum... 11.71] aliquid acceperit. Such laws are of course often a dead in H. letter.
27. По́ркьоข $\Phi ฑ$ ฑтог] Porcium Festum. He died after about two years.
xápıта ката日éгӨal] Lit. 'to deposit a favour with', i.e. to do a favour which may as it were stand to your credit, on which you may at any time draw. The verb is strictly used of 'depositing with a banker': its metaphorical use is classical, e.g. Thuc. I. 33 sub in. $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a}$ áєı $\mu \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma \tau о \cup \mu a \rho \tau v \rho i ́ o v ~$


## CHAPTER XXV.

1. Tท̂ émapx $\epsilon$ [́q] Cf. xxiii. 34 n . Strictly Judaea was not a 'province', but a department of the province of Syria, but the term is used loosely. Syria was under a legatus H. I.a. Caesaris, Judaea under a procurator ( $\epsilon \pi i \tau \rho \circ \pi \circ s)$.
2. oi $\pi \rho \omega \bar{\tau} \circ \mathrm{l}]$ Not identical with but including oi $\pi \rho \in \sigma$ $\beta$ úrepol ver. 15. All 'the chief Jews' would attend to pay their respects to the new governor.
3. © $\mu \hat{̀} v$ oủv $\Phi$.] Answered by $\delta \iota a \tau \rho i \psi a s ~ \delta \varepsilon ̇ . . . v e r . ~ 6 . ~$ Cf. ii. 41 n.

т $\eta$ рє $\hat{\sigma} 6 a \iota]$ A.V. 'should be kept'; R.V. rightly 'was kept': the words seem to contain a rebuke of their unusual request. 'Paul is in custody at Caesarea', said Festus, " and I am going there soon: you had better go there too'.

єis Kaloaplav] ef. xxii. 5 n , on $\begin{gathered} \\ \kappa \epsilon i \sigma \epsilon .\end{gathered}$

5．Suvarol］A．V．＇them．．．which are able＇；R．V．rightly ＇which are of power＇．Festus orders the proper authorities to go．
 ＇nothing amiss＇．So in LXX．

7．aitc由́ $\mu a \tau a]$ What they were，is seen from Paul＇s reply；cf．too xxiv． 5.

8．cis Kaloapa］An offence against Caesar would ren－ der liable to the penalties of majestas，＇treason＇．Nero was ＇the Caesar＇A．d．54－68．＇＇Caesar＇is originally a family name．Augustus was so called as the adopted son of Julius Caesar．It soon became a title＝Emperor（cf．its derivatives Kaiser，Czar）．Nero was the last emperor who had any hereditary claim to be so called．

9．＇่̇ $\pi$＇＇̇ $\mu \mathrm{ov}$ ］These words literally mean only＇in my presence＇，but in connection with крıө̂ŋpac certainly imply ＇in my presence as judge＇，cf．xxiii． 30 n ．It is clear how－ ever that Festus means Paul to be tried really by the San－ hedrin，for otherwise（1）what favour would he do the Jews？ （2）what is the point of Paul＇s reply＇I stand at Caesar＇s judgment－seat＇？

Festus certainly seems to be laying a snare for Paul． So B．，Hoc Festus speciose addit．

10．$\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \omega े s . .$.$] i．e．I am a Roman citizen before a Roman$ tribunal．Festus is the representative of Caesar：the tribu－ nal of Festus is the tribunal of Caesar．

Ulpian in M．

As La． M．de W．

Quae acta gestaque sunt a procuratore Caesaris，sic ab eo comprobantur，atque si a Caesare ipso gesta sunt．

кá入入ıov］＇very well＇A．and R．V．：not at all implying that he understood the point better than he pretended to， but rather perhaps a polite use of the comparative，cf．xvii． 21 n ．，and 2 Tim．i． $18 \beta \in \lambda \tau \iota \nu \sigma \dot{v} \gamma \iota \nu \omega ́ \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota$ ．
 says＇of offences against the Jews I am not guilty，as you know，and therefore refuse to be sacrificed to a Jewish court： if I am guilty of any crime against Roman law，let it go before a Roman court＇．

тараıтоиิ $\mu a \iota]$ deprecor．
Sv́varal］＇is able＇，that is，legally．

12．Kalбapa є́тька入оиินat］Caesarem appello．In capital cases the Roman law had always allowed an appeal to the people（provocatio ad populum）．This right of appeal from any magistrate was confirmed by the Lex Valeria et Horatia
в.c. 449 and by the Lex Valeria b.c. 300. The emperor represented the Roman people, and so the appeal passed to him.

Cf. Pliny's conduct with regard to the Christians in Epist. Bithynia: quos, quia cives Romani erant, adnotavi in urbem $\times$.97. remittendos.

тov̂ $\sigma v \mu \beta o v \lambda$ iov] Probably the chief officers and personal retinue of the procurator. These constantly acted as a council of assessors to a Roman governor. They were technically known as cohors, cf. Hor. Sat. I. 7. 23 laudat Brutum laudatque cohortem; Epist. III. 6; Cic. ad Q. Fr. 1. 1. 4 quos aut ex domesticis convictionibus aut ex necessariis apparationibus tecum esse voluisti, qui quasi ex cohorte praetoris appellari solent.
13. 'Aүpimmas $\delta \beta a \sigma$.] Son of Herod Agrippa I., brother of Drusilla and Bernice; the last of the Herods; only 17 when his father died, A.D. 44, and too young to receive his father's kingdom, Cuspius Fadus being sent as procurator to Judaea. He was made king of Chalcis A. D. 48; received the tetrarchies of Philip and Lysanias (Luke iii. 1) A.D. 53. He was governor of the temple and appointed the high priest. His title was only a courtesy title, as he was really a vassal of the Roman empire. He endeavoured to dissuade the Jews from their great rebellion in 66 A.d., and afterwards retired to Rome, where he died A.D. 100.
 beauty; she had originally married her uncle Herod of Chalcis, but after his death lived with Agrippa. She became Suet. Tit. afterwards the mistress of Titus.
dं $\left.\sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha{ }^{\prime} \mu \in \nu \circ 1\right]$ So N゙ABEHLP. T.R. $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi a \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota$ 'to salute', which certainly gives a preferable sense.

Agrippa visited the new governor to pay his respects and to indicate his acknowledgment of Roman supremacy, much as an Indian prince might do to a new Governorgeneral.
14. divé日єтo] R.V. 'laid Paul's case before': the verb is used of communicating something with a view to consultation or receiving an opinion. Cf. Gal. ii. $2 \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \theta \epsilon \epsilon \eta \nu$ aúroîs $\tau \grave{o ̀} \epsilon \dot{u} a \gamma \gamma^{\hat{1}} \lambda \iota 0 \nu$...of Paul laying before the Apostles at Jerusalem an account of the gospel he taught among the Gentiles.

Festus would do this on account of Agrippa's acquaintance with the Jews and the Jewish religion, cf. xxvi. 3, 27.
 into oblique narration. Direct speech would be $\pi \rho i \nu a ̈ \nu . . . \epsilon \not \chi \chi \eta$.

то́тоv = locum, 'opportunity'.

Text 18. airiav $\left.{ }^{E} \phi \epsilon \rho \circ v\right]$ T.R. $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \phi \epsilon \rho o \nu$, which is more classical; cf. Thuc. vi. 76 aitiav $\epsilon \dot{v} \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \hat{\eta} \ell \pi \epsilon \nu \epsilon \gamma \kappa \delta \nu \tau \epsilon s$.
 serious charge (1) from the strong feeling shewn by the Jews, (2) from the length of Paul's imprisonment.
 dering 'superstition' is certainly wrong: Agrippa, whom Festus addresses, was at any rate professedly a Jew. Festus says 'their own religion' because as such it did not concern a Roman magistrate.
'ффабккv] 'alleged', suggesting that the statement was
 өךгav.
 $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$. But $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ opeī̀ $\theta a \iota$ can take a direct acc. e.g. Plat. Crat.
 reason Festus assigns differs from that assigned to him ver. 9.
 form of the direct question $\epsilon l$ $\beta$ ov̀ $\lambda \epsilon l$; 'dost thou wish?'-'I said to him, did he wish...'.
 to be kept'. The 'making appeal' involved a request: hence the infinitive.

тov̂ $\Sigma e \beta a \sigma \tau o \hat{\imath}]$ The Gk rendering of Augustus. OctaMerivale vian assumed this agnomen в.c. 27. The adj. had never c. xxx. been used as a name before, but was applied to things venerable and sacred, cf. Ov. Fast. i. 609 sancta vocant augusta patres, augusta vocantur templa. By his successors it was assumed as a title.
ávamé $\mu \psi \omega]$ ] send up' to a central authority, or from a ${ }_{\text {Text }}^{\text {KABCE. }}$ province to the metropolis. T.R. $\pi \epsilon \mu \psi \omega$.
22. 'ßou入ó $\mu \eta \nu$ ] 'I was wishing'. The expression is a polite request which Festus immediately grants; 'I was wishing to hear him myself too. To-morrow you shall hear him'. The imperfect is due to a tendency, common to many languages, to soften the direct ' I wish' or 'I want'. The imperfect is less direct than the present: 'I was wishing' says Agrippa courteously, and the imperfect implies that, if the wish is inconvenient, it may be taken as withdrawn and no longer existing.

It is usual to quote in illustration Gal. iv. $20 \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \theta \in \lambda o \nu \delta \varepsilon$
 in those passages the imperfect is put for the imperfect
with $a^{\prime} \nu$, and ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu$ is omitted to make the svish more emphatic and unconditional-in fact the exact opposite of the form of wish here.
23. фаvтa⿱ias] 'pomp', 'parade'; cf. Herod. vir. $10 \tau \grave{\alpha}$

áкроатทрроv] auditorium, 'hall of audience'. The hearing was semi-judicial, to obtain materials for a statement of the case to the emperor, cf. ver. 26.
26. T仑̂ киріॄ] Domino. Dominus='master' or 'owner' and, as being specially applied to the relationship of a master to his slaves, the appellation was repudiated by Augustus and Tiberius, but it soon began to be regularly applied to the emperors by the servility of a later age. It is still to be found as a title on the degree lists of the University of Cambridge.
ávaкpíध $\epsilon$ s] In cases of appeal the judge who allowed the appeal had to send what were termed litterae dimissoriae or apostoli, containing a statement of the case. So Marcianus: Post appellationem interpositam litterae dandae sunt ab eo, a quo appellatum est, ad eum qui de appellatione cogmiturus est, sive principem sive quem alium. Quas litteras dimissorias sive apostolos appellant.

## CHAPTER XXVI.

1. ékteivas tท̀̀v Xeîpa] An oratorical gesture.
 єiós's with no authority. R.V. gives the right sense, 'especially because I know thee to be expert...'. The acc. is governed by the sense of 'thinking' or 'considering', which is the main idea of the sentence.
 num, in theoreticis. B.
2. Tท่้ $\mu \hat{v} v$ oűv...] vv. 2 and 3 contain the preliminary captatio benevolentiae. Paul now passes on with the transitional particles $\mu \dot{\iota} \nu$ oûv (ii. 41 n .) to the narratio or statement of his case: ' with regard then to my mode of life...'. But at ver. 6 the account of his life is interrupted by a parenthesis, the speaker being desirous of pointing out that his new belief is not opposed to his old belief when a Pharisee, but a legitimate development of it. The account of his life is then markedly resumed, ver. 9 , with $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ oû $\nu . .$. .

For a very similar instance cf. Dem. $945 \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu \mu e ̀ \nu ~ o u ̂ \nu$


ミヘNӨHKAI．ai $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ oûv $\sigma v \nu \theta \hat{\eta} \kappa \alpha \iota . .$. Here and ver． $9 \mu^{\prime} \nu$ ， as frequently when introducing a narrative，has nothing to answer to it．In ver． 9 A ．and R．V．render $\mu \grave{̀} \nu$ oûv by an ambiguous＇verily＇．

5．Sapıбaîos］Emphatic by position．
6．kal vv̂v］＇and now＇．As I was in my youth a Pha－ risee，so now also it is because I have shared the Pharisees＇ hope of a Messiah and belief in a resurrection that I am accused．
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi\left[\delta_{L}\right]$ The hope is the ground or basis of the charge．The＇promise．．．＇is the Messiah，as xiii． 32.

7．cis $\mathfrak{\eta} v$ ］＇into which＇，i．e．into the fulfilment of which．

тd $\delta \omega \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha ́ \phi u \lambda o v]$ Only here，but cf．James i． 1 taîs $\delta \omega ́ \bar{\sigma} \epsilon \kappa \alpha$ фu入aîs $\tau a i ̂ s ~ \epsilon ' \nu ~ \tau \hat{\eta}$ $\delta \iota a \sigma \pi \circ \rho \hat{̣}$ ．The term comprises the whole of the Jews throughout the world，and expresses a certain national pride，and sense of national unity in spite of dispersion．
év Ékтevela．．．］Cf．the instances of Simeon and Anna， Luke ii．25－38．
vird＇${ }^{\prime}$ Iovסal $\omega v$ ］Indignantly last．－A Jew for expecting Messiah accused by Jews！

8．$\tau \backslash$＇ả $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \circ \vee . .$.$] Suddenly turning from the king（cf．$ $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{v}$ ）to the whole audience（cf．$\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{i} \nu$ ）Paul answers their unexpressed objection by referring to the resurrection as a proof that Jesus is the Messiah．They would have urged ：Jesus is not the Messiah，for He was crucified，and is dead；Paul replies：Jesus is risen，and why not？Is it incredible that God raises the dead？
äтьттov єi］Possibly merely＇incredible that＇，as $\theta a v \mu a \sigma$－ $\tau \delta \nu \epsilon l$ continually；but it is better to render $\epsilon l$＇if＇，for Paul is putting the case as a hypothesis which is not incredible．

9．${ }^{\text {E } \gamma \omega} \mu \mathrm{c} \boldsymbol{\nu} \nu$ oűv．．．］Resuming the narrative．The parenthesis of vv．6，7， 8 seems to possess great vividness and reality，and to be such a parenthesis as a powerful and passionate speaker might introduce naturally arising out of the statement of facts．The argument of the abrupt ques－ tion in ver． 8 is not expressed with smooth fulness，but Paul＇s arguments often seem abrupt；like a powerful mathematician he omits several steps in rapidly reaching his conclusion． R．v．

Others take $\epsilon^{\prime} \gamma \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \nu$ oû... as an answer to the question contained in ver．8，explaining＇and indeed there was a time when I thought the same and persecuted Christianity＇．

But (1) such a use of $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ô̂ in reply needs justification, (2) the words do not answer the question of ver. 8 at all; they say 'I did everything to injure the name of Jesus'; (3) the question in ver. 8 is rhetorical and needs no answer.
 fallitur.
'Iŋбov̂ тov̂ Na̧.] The distinctively human name of Jesus. Paul speaks from the point of view he held before his conversion: to him then Jesus was 'Jesus the Nazarene', not 'Jesus the Messiah'.
10. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma(\omega \nu]$ Used in the same connection ix. 13.

катท́vєүка $\psi \eta \hat{\eta} \phi$ р ] Not found elsewhere: R.V. 'I gave my vote against them'. The phrase is much more vivid
 course the gen. absolute, but it also supplies the genitive which has mentally to be supplied as the object of кат $\dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \kappa$ a


Others render катク̣́vє $\gamma \kappa a$ 'I deposited', i.e. in the urn or M. A. ballot-box, a rendering which takes all force from the Vulg. passage.

It is clear that Paul must have been a member of the tiam. Sanhedrin.
 blaspheme'; R.V. rightly 'I strove to make them blaspheme'. The imperfect sense is not due however to the AsA. $\mathrm{H}_{\text {. }}$ verb being in the imperfect, for the imperfect merely indi- Cook. cates that Paul's efforts were repeated, but to the fact that $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \gamma \kappa \alpha \oint \omega$ merely means 'to bring compulsory (not voluntary) motives to bear', and does not indicate whether the compulsion is effective or not; cf. Gal. vi. 12 àa $\alpha \kappa \alpha ́ \zeta o v \sigma, ~$ $\pi \epsilon р \iota \tau \epsilon \mu \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, 'they try to make you be circumcised'.
$\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ i.e. $\epsilon l s$ 'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{\nu} \nu$ : the word is the opposite of $\epsilon \dot{\cup} \phi \eta \mu \epsilon \hat{v} \nu$.

Cf. Pliny, Ep. x. 97, where he writes to Trajan that he compelled certain men accused of being Christians maledicere Christo, and adds quorum nihil cogi posse dicuntur qui sunt revera Christiani. Ergo dimittendos putavi.

12. $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} v$ ois $]$ i.e. in connection with their leaving Palestine and my following them beyond it.
 commission'. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \rho o \pi \dot{\eta}$ is any office or duty entrusted ( $\dot{\pi} \pi \iota^{-}$ $\tau \rho \epsilon \pi \omega)$ to a person, $\epsilon \xi=0 \sigma$ ia the authority attaching to one holding official position and power.
13. oủpavó $\theta \in ข . .$.$] ix. 3$ ф̂̂s ảmò $\tau 0 \hat{0}$ oủpavoû, xxii. $6 \phi \hat{\omega}$ s iкavóv. For the variations in the three accounts, cf. ix. 4 n . It is clear that Paul here interweaves with the words of Jesus others spoken to him subsequently by Ananias, or communicated to him in subsequent visions (cf. ver. $16{ }_{\omega}{ }^{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \mathrm{v}$ $\tau \epsilon \dot{\delta} \phi \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma o \mu a i \quad \sigma o \iota$, or which seem necessary to explain the exact meaning of the divine call to his hearers.

Doubtless Paul, believing that from his conversion his divine Master had been in frequent direct communication with him, never thought of critically distinguishing the message conveyed at one time from the similar or fuller message conveyed at another, or the fuller understanding of it which came later. Luke certainly saw nothing unreasonable in giving the words of Jesus here differently, or he would not have left such variations in his three accounts. Deliberate forgery would certainly not have left them as they stand. On the other hand the variations are a direct warning to those who found dogmatic statements on isolated words or phrases of Scripture.
 ral addition, as Paul is probably speaking in Greek.
$\sigma к \lambda \eta \rho o ́ v$ бot...] Only here, its introduction in the other accounts being without authority. The proverb is common
 cf. Ter. Phorm. 1. 2.27 quae inscitia est! advorsum stimulum calces! It is taken from an ox that being pricked with a goad kicks and receives a severer wound. The application here is to Paul's resistance referred to in ver. 9.
16. áváoт $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\iota}$ kai $\left.\sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \theta_{l}\right]$ Emphatic assonance. Cf. Eph. vi. 13 (quoted below, ver. 22 n.). The latter part of the phrase is from Ezek. ii. 1 Yiè $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi a v, \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \theta \iota \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ roùs módas $\sigma 0$.

 seen (the present vision) and of the visions in which I shall (hereafter) be seen by thee'. For such visions cf. xviii. 9, xxiii. 11; 2 Cor. xii. 2.
$\dot{\omega}^{\hat{\omega}} \boldsymbol{\nu} \dot{\delta} \phi \theta=\hat{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu \hat{\alpha} \dot{o} \dot{o} \phi \theta$., where $\hat{a}$ is acc. plural, such a use being very common with the neuter plural of pronouns even after intransitive verbs, and $\dot{\alpha} \dot{o} \rho \hat{\omega} \mu a i \quad \sigma o l=$ exactly 'the visions in which I am seen by you'. Cf. Soph. Oed. T. 788
 phrase is due to a desire to bring out the agency of God.
deW.II. 17. É $\xi$ aıpov́ $\mu \in \operatorname{vos}]^{\prime}$ 'choosing', not 'delivering' as A. and R.V. The use of $\tau 0 \hat{u} \lambda \alpha o \hat{v}$ shews this: when the Jews are
referred to as enemies they are 'Iovoaioc (cf. vv. 7, 21); $\lambda a b$ 's is their name of honour,-Paul is chosen from the chosen people and from all the world. Cf. ix. 15 ; where he is called $\sigma \kappa \in \hat{i} 0 s{ }_{e} \kappa \kappa \lambda o \gamma \hat{\eta} s$, in special reference, as here, to his conversion.

Elsewhere in the Acts (vii. 10, 34 , xii. 11, xxiii. 27) the word means 'deliver'. It can bear either meaning: the context must in each case determine the right one. Nor is the reference to Jer. i. 8 and 1 Chron. xvi. 35 sufficiently definite to fix the meaning here, where 'delivering' spoils the sense.


 is subordinate to it (='that then they may turn'), rô $\lambda a \beta \epsilon i v$ being again subordinate to this and expressing the final result.aimed at.
 words and the special emphasis of the words $\tau \hat{\eta}$ єis $\bar{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\epsilon}$.
19. $\left.{ }^{*} \theta \in v\right]$ i.e. as the conclusion of all this which has been described.


20. $\pi \hat{i} \sigma \alpha \nu]$ So NAB omitting $\epsilon i s$; but surely $\epsilon$ is is needed and the preceding ois may account for its omission. In the text the acc. must represent the space over which their teaching extended.


22. '̇orךка] sto, a picturesque word, expressing im-




нарттро́цєvos] 'pratesting', NABHLP. T.R. $\mu$ артvpov́$\mu \in \nu \quad$ s, which means 'of good repute', ef. vi. 3.
oư̇èv êkròs...] Again reverting to his main point, that Christianity is the fulfilment of the Jewish religion, Jesus the Jewish Messiah.

 who declared God's will'. Cf. iii. 22 n.
23. $\epsilon i]$ 'whether', not that Paul considered it question- So B. able, but because it was the question at issue with the Jews.
 Plut. Pelop. $16 \tau \grave{\delta} \theta \nu \eta \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \kappa a l \pi a \theta \eta \tau \delta \nu$, but, from the wellknown use of $\pi \alpha \theta \epsilon i \hat{\nu}$ in connection with 'the Passion' (e.g. i. 3) it here specially means 'liable to be put to death'.
A.V. 'should suffer', R.V. 'must suffer', and Beza fuisse passurum, giving a good sense but neglecting the Greek.

єi $\pi \rho \omega \hat{\tau} \sigma \mathbf{s} . .$. ] A.V. mistranslates and mars the sense, 'should be the first that should rise from the dead, and should shew light...': R.V. better, 'that he first by the resurrection of the dead should proclaim light...'. A more accurate rendering would be 'that he first by $a$ resurrection from the dead...'

Others Paul believed to have risen from the dead, as for instance Lazarus, but Christ 'first by a resurrection from the dead proclaimed light', because, while they died afterwards, Christ's rising again was the first instance of that of which it is the earnest, viz. a resurrection to life everlast-


$\phi$ ŵs: cf. ver. 18, and Luke ii. 32 ф̂̂s єis ámoкádvұı» $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \theta \nu \omega \hat{\nu}$.
 it was the latter portion of Paul's discourse which provoked the exclamation of Festus.
$\mu a(\nu \eta]$ "Thou art mad'. The word does not in any way describe 'insanity'. It merely represents the opinion which practical common-sense men often form of the speculations of an enthusiast: it is immediately defined by its opposite, he who 'is mad' does not speak words 'of reality and soberness'. An Athenian would have used the word of any abstruse philosopher.

Cf. the description of a philosophic 'madman' Plato Phaedr. 249 D $\epsilon \xi \xi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i \nu \omega \nu \quad \sigma \pi 0 \nu \delta a \sigma \mu d \tau \omega \nu$


$\tau \alpha ̀ ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ ~ \sigma \epsilon ~ \gamma \rho a ́ \mu \mu a \tau a] ~ N o t e ~ t h e ~ r e m a r k a b l e ~ p o s i t i o n ~$ of $\sigma \epsilon$ : multae illae te litterae: 'that great learning of thine'. The word $\gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \mu \mu a \tau a$ no doubt refers to knowledge of the Hebrew Scriptures (ai ypaфai), cf. John vii. $15 \pi$ wes ovitos

La. 25. ${ }^{3} \lambda_{\eta} \theta_{\epsilon}$ ias kal $\sigma \omega \phi \rho$. $\rho_{\eta} \eta$.] The first gen. is objec. tive, the second subjective: the words describe the exact facts, and are uttered by one in full possession of a controlled reason.

A．and R．V．give $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \sigma$ ívns $=$＇soberness＇，but note that $\sigma \dot{\omega} \phi \rho \omega \nu$ is from $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mathrm{S} \phi \rho \dot{\nu} \nu$, sobrius from so（ $=s e$ ）－ebrius．
 NAB；T．R．with EHLP $\gamma \in \nu \in \sigma \theta a u$ ，which seems a correction for the more difficult $\pi 0<\hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha$ ．
${ }_{\epsilon} \nu \quad \delta \lambda i \gamma \omega$ is clearly $=$＇with little（trouble，effort）＇，$\epsilon \nu$ being instrumental，its sense being determined by the use of the phrase in Paul＇s reply ${ }^{\epsilon} \nu \bar{y} \lambda \lambda$ ．кal $\dot{\epsilon} \nu, \mu \epsilon \gamma \dot{d} \lambda \omega=$＇with little or

 not mean＇in much time＇．

The words seem spoken chiefly with reference to Paul＇s brief final appeal directly to Agrippa，and to be a light in－ different dismissal of the subject＇not in a jeering tone but La． without real earnestness＇－＇With little art thou persuading me（so as）to have made me a Christian＇．It should be noted that $\pi \in l \theta \epsilon$ es does not express the actual effecting of persuasion，but only＇the effort to persuade＇．So R．V． rightly gives＇wouldest fain make＇．

A has the reading $\pi \epsilon l \theta \eta$ ，and this certainly improves the sense and gives $\pi$ oı $\hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$ a more intelligible construction． ＇With little art thou persuaded（cf．ov $\pi \epsilon \ell \theta \circ \mu a \iota$ ver．26）to have made me a Christian＇．

The use of Xpıorcavos is certainly not as＇an offensive appellation＇：the whole discourse of Paul had been about o xpırб6s；he had shewn why he believed that the Messiah had come，and Agrippa not，unnaturally characterizes one who holds such a belief as Xpıc⿱亠䒑avós，‘a Messiah－follower＇． Agrippa was a Jew，and＇Messiah－follower＇would not be used by a Jew as＇an offensive appellation＇．

On the other hand it is impossible to take Agrippa＇s M．de words as sober earnest，＇With thy few words thou art per－ suading me to become．．．${ }^{\text {．}}$ ．The emphasis on $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\partial} \lambda \hat{\lambda} \gamma \omega$ is too marked to allow this；it also involves the acceptance of $\gamma \in \nu \in \epsilon \theta a l$ ，and then neglects the aorist（＇to have become＇， not＇to become＇）．

29．kal év $\mu \in \gamma \alpha \dot{\lambda} \omega$ ］＇or with great＇，so dis kal $\tau \rho i s$ ，terque 13 ． quaterque．

31．mрáбनє1］agit egitque．Non de una actione sed de tota vita Pauli loquuntur．

32．ésúvaro］áv is often omitted with simple verbs such
 instead of posset，deberet．

## CHAPTER XXVII.

1. èкрî̀ $\eta$ то仑̂ $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} v]$ The gen. gives the purport of the decision, cf. iii. 12 n., xx. 3 n.
2. єis $\Sigma\llcorner\delta \hat{v} v a] ~ 67$ miles.
 $\phi \iota \lambda \iota \kappa \hat{s} \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota$; Dem. 1286. 23 نं $\beta \rho \iota \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \mathrm{s} \chi \rho$.
3. $\dot{\tau} \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu]$ Cf. ver. 7, and ver. 16 v่ $\pi \circ \delta \rho \alpha \mu \delta \nu \tau \epsilon s$; II.V. 'sailed under the lee of Cyprus', i.e. so that Cyprus was between us and the wind, on the left hand, and we were 'sailing through the sea off Cilicia and Pamphylia'. The direct course would have been straight to Patara keeping to the S. of Cyprus (as Paul had come from Patara, xxi. 1-3), but the Etesian winds from the N.W. were still blowing and would have been dead in their teeth.

On the coast of Cilicia 'they might expect to be favoured by the land wind, which prevails there during the summer months, as well as by the current, which constantly runs to the westward, along the S. coast of Asia Minor'.
5. Múppa] An important town $2 \frac{1}{2}$ miles from the sea: its port Andriace had an excellent harbour.
 tion was, like London, mainly dependent on imported corn, the supply from Egypt being especially large. It seems probable that this ship was a corn-ship, cf. ver. 38 n ., and its presence at Myrra would be accounted for by the unfavourable winds. Such ships were usually employed for conveying passengers (e.g. Titus returned to Rome in one after the capture of Jerusalem), and a cargo of corn would

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Suct. } \\
& \text { Tit. }{ }_{5} .
\end{aligned}
$$ in no way interfere with this. If the number 276 in ver. 37 be correct it is calcalated that the ship must have been of 500 tons burden.

 monian colony of great importance, on the promontory of Triopium in Caria; it had two harbours, that on the E. being especially large. It was the scene of the naval defeat of Pisander by Conon b.c. 394.
$\mu \eta$ п $\pi \rho 0 \sigma \epsilon \hat{\omega} v \tau 0 s]$ Up to this point in spite of the continuous N.W. winds they had worked along by tacking, though slowly ( $\beta \rho a \delta v \pi \lambda_{\lambda o o i v \tau \epsilon s) ~ a n d ~ w i t h ~ d i f f i c u l t y ~(~}^{\mu} \dot{\delta} \lambda \iota s$ ), but now 'they lost the advantage of a favouring current, a C. \& II. weather shore and smooth water, and were met by all the force of the wind from the westward'. At this point therefore the wind stopped their further course ( $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o s$ ); they could not hold on in the direct course, which would Smith, have been 'by the north side of Crete, through the Archi- p. 73. pelago, W. by S.' They therefore made for Salmone, the E. promontory of Crete, S.W. by S. from Cnidus.

The rendering $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \omega \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o s$ 'permitting us to enter the harbour' seems unreasonable, for (1) Why did they wish to stop at Cnidus? (2) What reason was there for not being able to enter its southern harbour? The word is a ${ }^{\prime \prime} \pi a \xi$ $\lambda \in \gamma \delta \mu \in \nu \circ \nu$.
8. $\pi a \rho a \lambda \epsilon \gamma o ́ \mu \in v o l]$ So legere oram in Latin commonly.
$\mu o ́ \lambda \iota s]$ because the same difficulties would occur as in
8. $\pi a \rho a \lambda \epsilon \gamma o ́ \mu \in v o l]$ So legere oram in Latin commonly.
$\mu o ́ \lambda \iota s]$ because the same difficulties would occur as in coasting along to Cnidus.

Kadò̀s $\Lambda$ úévas] At C. Matala the land 'trends suddenly to the N . and the advantages of a weather shore cease, and their only resource was to make for harbour'. Just E. of Cape Matala is such a harbour, still known as Kalus Smith, p. 75.

Smith, App. Limeónas, open to the E. and S.E. and a fair harbour, but not fit to winter in, cf. ver. 12. About a mile to the E. (and also 3 or 4 m . to the E.) ruins have been discovered, which may be those of Lasea, one of the 'hundred cities' of Crete. .
 tion ceased in winter. Hesiod fixes the time at the setting
of the Pleiades (about Oct. 20), others fix the latest day at Nov. 11. 'The fast' which is referred to is 'the one fast in the Jewish calendar', i.e. the great Day of Atonement (see Lev. xvi. 29 to end), on the 10th day of the month Tisri, about the time of the autumnal equinox, so that their arrival in Rome, before the period when sailing ceased, was extremely doubtful.
10. ${ }^{v} \beta p \in \omega s$ ] R.V. 'injury'. The word is a strong one, and indicates violent injury: it is the legal word for 'violent personal assault', and describes what the ship would suffer from the battering and buffeting of the waves.

ס̈tc...... $\left.\mu \in \lambda^{\wedge} \lambda_{\epsilon} เ \nu\right]$ Change of construction, accounted for by the number of words intervening.
11. vavk $\lambda \eta \tilde{\eta}^{\rho} \omega$ ] The word usually = 'captain and owner'.
12. $\epsilon^{l} \pi \omega s$ Súvaเvтo...] Almost giving in oblique narration their very words, $\epsilon \dot{d} \nu \pi \omega s \delta \nu \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \theta a$; 'we vote for going... on the chance that we may be able...'.
$\Phi \circ \imath \nu \iota \kappa a]$ Phoenix, 'the town of palms' ( $\phi 0 \hat{\imath} \iota \xi$ ): several towns of Crete have palm-trees on their coins.
 Vulg.
w. B. H.
M.

Smith. dering, apparently due to a belief that the harbour referred to must be the harbour of Lutro, which answers that description, and is said to be the only good harbour on the coast.

Two explanations of this rendering are given, which however obtain the desired result by directly contradictory methods :-

1. Taking калà $\lambda<\beta a$ каl к. $\chi$. rightly, but $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi о \nu \tau \alpha$ F and so wrongly: it is said that the explanation is to be found in the c. \& H. 'subjectivity of sailors', who 'speak of everything from their
own point of view', that is to say, a sailor entering the harbour $A B C$ would speak of 'the harbour' (not of 'its sides')

'looking N.W. and S.W.' because the side $A B$ runs from $A$ to $B$ towards the S.W. and $C B$ towards the N.W.

But (i) although sailors naturally speak of certain phenomena of motion from their own point of view (see xxi. 3 n .) as we talk of 'the sun rising', yet to talk of a harbour as looking landwards because they are sailing into it is most unnatural: a harbour can only look seawards. A harbour which you sail into from the E. cannot be said 'to look F. says towards the W.' Has any sailor ever described Torbay as it cani. 'looking towards the W.'? Where is the proof of this startling 'subjectivity of sailors' or anyone else? When you enter a cathedral walking northwards does the door you enter by 'look towards the N.'?
(ii) Assuming that it is conceivable that any one sailing into a harbour from the E. should describe the harbour as 'looking west', yet it is inconceivable that he should describe 'the harbour' (not 'its sides') as 'looking N.W. and S.W.', for the double point of view is only possible for any one placed, or mentally placing himself, at the point $B$, and such a person can only describe the sides $B A, B C$ as looking N.E. and S.E.
 as indicating the way the harbour faces from the point $B$ Alford. looking seaward: it is urged that $\kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \lambda(\beta a=$ 'in a N.E. direction' because the wind from the S.W. blows towards the N.E. and кaтd indicates the line of motion, which is N.E. So too $\kappa . \chi \omega \bar{\omega} \rho o \nu=$ 'in S.E. direction'.
 common enough, and mean 'in the direction of a stream, wind, \&c.', i.e. down the line of motion of the stream or wind; they cannot however be used except of an object in motion, e.g. a ship, and in reference to a stream or wind actually in movement. Now a harbour does not move and must look $\kappa a \tau \dot{\alpha} \lambda i \beta a$ whether $\lambda i \psi$ is blowing or not: more-
over, if $\lambda l \psi$ and $\chi \hat{\omega} \rho o s$ represent, not points of the compass, but winds in motion, then кат $\lambda i \beta \alpha \kappa \alpha i \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \chi \hat{\omega} \rho о \nu$ involves the assertion that two winds are blowing at the same time.
(ii) $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \nu \kappa u \tau \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau$ can only be used of that which is opposite you, which you face; cf. Luke's own use of кат ,
 in front of you'; катà $\tau \eta \dot{\eta} \nu \mathrm{K} \nu(\delta \boldsymbol{\nu}$ (xxvii. 7) 'off' or 'opposite Cnidus'; торєv́ov катà $\mu \in \sigma \eta \mu \beta$ pía (viii. 26) 'go with your' face towards the south'. If $\beta \lambda \epsilon \in \pi \omega$ кагd̀ $\lambda i \beta \alpha$ can mean 'The S.W. wind is blowing on my back', language must
 mean 'I go to the S.W.' The only case where кагà $\lambda<\beta a$ could mean 'to the N.E.' would be in such a sentence as $\nu \alpha \hat{s} \kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \lambda i \beta a \phi \epsilon \in \rho \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$, where $\lambda i \psi$ is distinctly referred to as in movement and the cause of movement.

It will be observed that Luke never reached Phoenix, and that his remark is a mere obiter dictum derived from

$$
\text { PHINEKA } \int_{\int}^{\text {LTATRO }}
$$

Smith, p. 94.
report, and quite possibly an error. Moreover there is marked on the map a harbour opposite Lutro which does look S.W. and N.W. and has the name Phineka ${ }^{1}$.
13. $\mathbf{v} \pi \circ \pi v \in$ v́ $\sigma a v \tau o s]$ í $\pi$ ó in composition $=$ 'slightly', cf.
 viтотарá $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ : and $\varepsilon u b$ as in subagrestis, subaccusare.

т $\uparrow$ s $\pi \rho 00$ é $\sigma \epsilon \omega$ ] viz. to reach Phoenix; after passing C. Matala a S. wind would enable them to reach any harbour such as Lutro. кєкратŋкќval='to have got within their
 $\theta \epsilon \in \sigma \epsilon \omega$.
ápavtes] A.V. 'loosing thence'; lit. 'having started' or 'moved'; B. moventes. R. V. has 'having weighed anchor', as though the word meant 'to lift', and 'anchor' had to be supplied, but even in classical Gk the word means simply 'to move', and is used transitively or intransitively, and of movement either by sea or land, cf. Thuc. I. 52

 ' $A \chi \alpha \rho \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$, and II. 98 of land marches.
dंनoov] 'nearer', 'closer to the shore', an adv. comparative of ${ }^{\prime} \gamma \chi \iota$. Long mistaken for a proper name; cf. V. cum sustulissent de Asson.

1 The whole of this note was originally written independently of Wordsworth's note, with which it remarkably agrees.
14. $\left.{ }^{*} \beta a \lambda \epsilon \nu\right]$ 'dashed' or 'beat', intransitive, or rather



кat' av่रฑิs] 'down from it', i.e. from Crete; for the con-
 $\kappa \alpha \tau$ ' 'I $\delta a i \omega \nu \nu \quad \dot{\rho} \rho \epsilon{ }^{\prime} \omega \nu$ 'down from'.
aủ $\hat{\eta} s$ naturally refers to $\mathrm{K} \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \eta s$, and the wind did actually blow 'down from Crete'; see next note.
$\kappa \alpha \tau$ ' aút $\hat{s}$ cannot be 'down on her', i.e. the ship, supply- As A.v. ing $\nu \in \omega$ 's, for the ship is $\pi \lambda o i ̂ \nu$ throughout, and only $\nu \alpha \hat{\jmath} s$ ver. 41.
a̋vє ${ }^{\prime}$ os...Ev̉paкú入 $\omega v$ ] Whether Euraquilo or Euroclydon represent the right reading, the wind referred to was certainly Euraquilo, 'the N.E. wind', or 'E.N.E. wind', for (1) the wind struck the vessel when off C. Matala and drove her under Cauda, which is about 20 m . S.W. of that point, (2) the sailors feared to be driven on to the Syrtis,

Eìpakú$\lambda \omega \nu$ кAB. Ev̀po$\kappa \lambda \dot{v} \delta \omega \nu$ T.R. with and that is exactly where a N.E. wind would have taken them.

The wind would descend from Mt Ida, which was just Sir C. above them, 'in heavy squalls and eddies and drive the now helpless ship far from the shore'. The words in Italics exactly represent $\tau v \phi \omega \nu \iota \kappa \frac{s}{s}$, which describes the character of the gale, just as Ev̉pakú入 $\omega \nu$ gives its direction.

Evंроклúd $\omega \nu$ seems to represent a corruption of Euraquilo, possibly by Gk sailors, suggesting a false but tempting etymology from $\kappa \lambda u ́ \zeta \omega \kappa \lambda u ́ \delta \omega \nu$ (cf. our 'Charter House 'cray-fish', 'lanthorn', and other false etymologies).

Euraquilo will be a wind lying between Eurus and Aquilo (cf. Euronotus in Pliny), but, as Aquilo may not be a wind blowing from due N. but is placed by Pliny inter septentrionem et exortum solstitialem, it may be rather a wind from E.N.E. than from N.E.
15. ávто $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu \in \tau v]$ 'face the wind' R.V. The violence of the N.E. gale prevented her getting her head (or 'eyes') enough to the wind to make Phoenix. Great eyes were often painted on the prows of vessels.
èmıסóvтєs '́фєрó $\mu \in \theta a]$ 'giving (ourselves) up (i.e. to the wind), we were being carried along', i.e. scudded before the gale. Cf. Hom. Od. v. $343 \sigma \chi \epsilon \delta i \eta \nu$ à $\nu \epsilon \mu \circ \iota \sigma \iota \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \mid \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda-$ $\lambda \iota \pi \epsilon$.
16. Kav̂ $\delta a]$ or K $\lambda a \hat{v} \delta a$, now Govdo. They took ad- Spratt, vantage of the comparatively smooth water under the lee of in La. Cauda, (1) to get the boat on board, (2) to undergird the vessel.
$\mu$ odts] Doubtless owing to the sea being only comparatively smooth and the boat almost full of water. For
H.

Smith, p. 105.
H.

So W. who is very good. Smith,
H.

## See

C. \& H. $\sigma \kappa \alpha ́ \phi \eta$ 'a small boat', usually towed behind, cf. Cic. de Invent. II. 51 funiculo qui a puppi religatus scapham annexam trahebat.
17. 及oŋ日eiaıs] What these 'helps' were the participle
 round the hull of the ship, and tightly secured on deck, to prevent the timbers from starting, especially amidships, where in ancient vessels with one large mast the strain was very great. Cf. Hor. Od. т. 14.6 ac sine funibus | vix durare carinae | possint imperiosius | aequor ; Plat. Rep. 616 c. cess has only been rarely employed since the early part of the century, owing to improvements in shipbuilding.
$\left.\tau \eta \geqslant \Sigma \sum_{0} \rho \tau เ \nu\right]$ Clearly the Syrtis Major, which derived its name from the dangerous sand-banks ( $\sigma \dot{v} \rho \tau \iota s$ from $\sigma \dot{v} \rho \omega$ ) in it. Cf. the description of the fleet of Aeneas in the same seas, Virg. Aen. 1. 111 tres Eurus ab alto | in brevia et syrtes urget.
ék $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ ér $\omega \sigma \iota \downarrow$ ] Classical Gk for a ship being driven out of her course in the deep seas (cf. ab alto urget above) on to shoals, rocks, \&c. Cf. Xen. Anab. vii. 5. $12 \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \pi 0 \lambda \lambda a i$

 ver. 30) the gear'. One point seems clear, viz. that the neut. sing. oкev̂os denotes a single thing, or a single thing and its accompaniments, so differing from the collective oxevn' (ver. $19)=$ supellex. Cf. the use of the word ix. 15, x. 11, and Luke viii. 16. Moreover the definite article describes something which was specially 'the gear' or 'equipment' of the ship, and this can hardly have been anything but the mainyard and the mainsail attached to it. It is objected to this, (1) that to have thus struck sail would have involved their drifting straight towards the Syrtis; (2) that the vessel would have rolled and pitched in the most dangerous manner. But (1) by striking the mainsail they would immensely diminish their speed in the direction of the Syrtis; (2) they had still other sails (e.g. the artemon ver. 40) with which to keep the ship steady.

The following explanation is a priori very probable, but does not immediately arise from the text. To avoid being driven by Euraquilo right on to the Syrtis the ship would be laid with her head as near as possible to the wind, i.e. within about 7 points of the wind ${ }^{1}$. Enough sail would be

1 That is to say, a line drawn in the direction of the ship's length
kept 'to keep the ship steady, and by pressing her side down into the water prevent her from rolling violently, and also to turn her bow in the direction of the wind'. Under these conditions, thus close-hauled, a ship drifts in a direction between that of the wind and that in which her bow points, and it is said that 36 miles in 24 hours would be an average distance to drift. Moreover with 'a wind E.N.E. a ship would drift W. by N.' Now, if of 'the 14 days' (ver. 27) one was taken up with reaching Cauda, we have $13 \times 36=468$ miles of drift in a direction W. by N. from Cauda, and as a matter of fact Malta is about 480 miles from Cauda, in exactly that direction.

The explanation is very tempting and the result striking, but it will be observed that it assumes a somewhat even gale steadily blowing in one direction, and hardly agrees with $\delta \iota a \phi \in \rho о \mu \in ́ \nu \omega \nu$, ver. 27.
18. éкโo入ñv] jacturam. The object was to lighten the
 $\kappa о \cup \phi \iota \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota \dot{\alpha} \pi^{\prime} a \dot{u} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$. The $\epsilon \in \kappa \beta \circ \lambda \dot{\eta}$ here would be of part of Sor.v. the cargo, which is the regular use of the word, ef. Aesch. Ag. 1008, S. C. Th. 755, where the reference is to a merchant flinging overboard part of his cargo to save the rest. Moreover the $\epsilon \kappa \beta 0 \lambda \eta$ ' on this day is opposed to the 'flinging-out of the furniture' on the next.
érooov̂vio] Notice the imperfect. The process of lightening the ship was commenced by this throwing overboard of some of the cargo, and completed the next day (cf. Epiqay aorist) by flinging out the furniture.
F. asserts that the aorist épu\&av must describe a single act, and so the flinging overboard a single object, e.g. the great mast. But $\sigma \kappa \epsilon v \eta$ is a collective word = 'furniture', i.e. all the heavy articles of tackling, \&c. which were not absolutely necessary.
 $\chi \in \iota \rho \in$, which seems as if it should mean 'with our hands', NABC. indicating that on the next day the need was so urgent that Luke and Paul took part in the task. The word is however only one of the graphic words, so common in the Acts, used by the writer to make his readers vividly realize the danger.
20. $\mu \eta \dot{\prime} \tau \epsilon \in \mathrm{E} . .$.$] And so without a compass they would,$ be ignorant of their course. Cf. Virg. Aen. 1. 85; ini. 195.

גoımòv] Neut. adj. used adverbially, 'as regards the future'.
would form an angle of $\frac{7}{8}$ of a right angle with the direction of the wind: if the wind were $\frac{8}{\text { E.N.N.E. the ship's head would be turned N. }}$ by W., the point which lies between N.N.W. and N.
meptnpeito] A very vivid word: 'was being gradually stripped from us', v. Lex.
21. ácıtias] Not 'want of food', for there was corn on board (ver. 38), but 'going without food' (jejunatio, V.) owing to want of fires to prepare it with, \&c.
ota0eis] Mark the force of this pictorial word amid such a scene; cf. xvii. 22. It is impossible not to recall the Od.mi.3. vir justus et propositi tenax, whom Horace depicts unmoved 1. amid the storms of 'unreposeful Hadria'.
 you did not listen' are omitted in courtesy.

кєр $\delta \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \mathrm{L}]$ Does $\mu \dot{\eta}$ pass on to $\kappa \in \rho \delta \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$, or is the construction $\begin{gathered} \\ \delta \\ \\ \\ \tau \in \kappa \in \rho \delta \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota \text { ? }\end{gathered}$
R.V. carries on $\mu \dot{\eta}$, rendering. 'and have gotten this injury and loss'. But surely $\kappa \epsilon \rho \delta \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$ § $\eta \mu i \alpha \nu$ is a strange phrase if it means merely 'suffer loss', for $\kappa$ ќ $\rho \delta o s$ is the direct opposite of j $\eta \mu$ ia (e.g. Arist. Eth. v. 4) and could hardly be thus joined with it without any antithetical force.
So M. A. It is better not to carry on $\mu \eta$ ': 'you ought not to have La. H. de $\mathbf{W}$. put to sea, and (you ought by so not putting to sea) to have gained this loss', i.e. not suffered it. A person is said in Gk 'to gain a loss' when, being in danger of incurring it, he by his conduct saves himself from doing so. A merchant, who being in danger of losing 1000l. manages to avoid doing so, 'makes a gain of the $1000 l$.

 12 lucretur indicia veteris infamiae; and in Pliny lucrifacere injuriam.
 'from God...an angel'.
24. $\kappa \in \chi a ́ p เ \sigma \tau a l] ~ i i i . ~ 14 ~ n ., ~ a n d ~ f o r ~ t h e ~ g e n e r a l ~ s e n s e, ~$ Gen. xviii. 26.
27. is $\delta \underset{\text { è }}{\tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma a p .] ~ ' w h e n ~ t h e ~ f o u r t e e n t h ~ n i g h t ~ c a m e ~ a s ~}$ we continued to be driven to and fro' (present part.). The fourteenth night is clearly reckoned from the time when they began to be so driven, i.e. from the evening of the day when they left Fair Havens.
A.

S $a \phi \in \rho о \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu]$ certainly describes 'drifting to and fro',
 $\alpha \nu \epsilon \mu \omega \nu \delta<a \phi \epsilon \rho o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu$. Smith says 'being driven through the sea of Adria', but the Gk hardly justifies this. F. gives 'tossed hither and thither', but adds 'so it would appear to those on board'-an easy but unsatisfactory explanation.
$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\omega}$ 'A $\delta \rho[q]$ Not merely 'the Adriatic', but the whole sea between Italy and Greece. Strabo II. p. 123 i 'Ióvios H.

m $\rho \circ \sigma a ́ \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \nu]$ Intransitive, v. Lex., $=$ 'was approaching'. 'Lucas optice loquitur nautarum more'. Cf. Virg. Aen. inI. Kypie. 72 Provehimur portu, terraeque urbesque recedunt. So we talk of 'the sun rising'. The sailors would detect the sound of breakers, and fear a rocky coast ( $\tau \rho a \chi$ еis $\boldsymbol{\text { tótous). }}$
29. $\left.\mathrm{E} \mathrm{k} \pi \rho v v_{\mu \nu \eta} . ..\right]$ The ordinary practice was and is to anchor by the bows; cf. Aen. III. 277 anchora de prora jacitur. With a view to running the ship ashore anchoring from the Smith, stern would, it is said, be best.

At the Battle of Copenhagen (April, 1801) the fleet so anchored, and Nelson is reported to have stated 'that he C.\& H. had that morning been reading the 27th chapter of the Acts'.
30. $\pi \rho \circ ф \dot{\sigma} \sigma \mathrm{\epsilon}]$ Dat. used adverbially, cf. Luke xx .47 kai
 סбavoía $\delta \epsilon$.....
éketivelv] They would carry out the anchors until the cable was taut. It is contrasted with $\dot{\rho}(\psi a v \tau \epsilon s$, ver. 29.
 promissa salus.
33. äxpl $\delta$ è ovi...] 'up to the time when day was about to dawn'-during the interval before dawn. Paul urged them to use this interval for the purpose of taking food, and so being ready for action at dawn.
34. $\pi \rho \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{s}} \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} \mathrm{v} \mu$. $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \mathrm{p}\{\mathrm{as}]$ lit. 'from the side of', 'in the interests of ', Cf. Thuc. III. 59 ovं $\pi \rho \dot{o} s \tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\nu} \mu \in \tau \epsilon \in \rho a s ~ \delta o ́ \xi \eta s ;$
 re publica facere.
oủסévos yd̀...] A proverbial expression, cf. Luke xxi. 18; 1 Kings i. 52.
 the careful way in which Luke refers to each act here it would seem that he describes something more than the acts of 'an ordinary pious Jew' when beginning a meal. M.dew. See ii. 42 n ., where it is remarked that since the 'Last Supper' the ' breaking of bread' had received for believers a special significance as a remembrance and a hope.
 so R.V. ©ंs rests 'on the single evidence of B', and Alford M. marks it as due to the $\omega$ of $\pi \lambda 0 i \omega$ and $\sigma(=200)$ of the numeral.
 lighten the ship，so that she might be run as far on to the land as possible．

That rò fitov refers to the cargo of corn and not to AsM．A．mere＇provisions＇is certain．The casting overboard of ＇provisions＇could not have been of much service，and the fact that the ship was a trader from Alexandria to Rome removes all doubt．

39． $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{[\nu \omega \sigma \kappa \circ \nu] ~ ' r e c o g n i z e ', ~ i . e . ~ a s ~ b e i n g ~ M e l i t a . ~ C f . ~}$ xxviii． $1 \epsilon \pi \epsilon \gamma \nu \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ ．
aiyıa入òv］＇a beach＇，R．V．Cf．Matt．xiii． 2 ó b $\chi$ 入os $\epsilon \pi \pi i$
 rocky coast＇．Dr Field aptly quotes Xen．Anab：vı．4． 4

 ＇drive the ship up on to it＇．

40．тєрıе入óvtєs］lit．＇having taken away all round＇； strictly used，as there were four anchors，which would be in a sort of semicircle round the stern．
$\epsilon ้ \omega \nu$ єis т $\grave{v} v$ Oáda $\sigma \sigma a v]$ Not＇they committed themselves unto the sea＇as A．V．，but＇they left them in the sea＇． The notion of＇discarding＇，＇abandoning＇，is strong in $\epsilon i \omega \nu$ and accounts for $\epsilon i s$ with the acc．
 Romans，like those of the early Northmen，were not steered by means of a single rudder，but by two paddle－rudders，one on each quarter＇．．．＇the hinged rudder does not appear on any of the remains of antiquity till a late period in the middle ages＇．These paddle－rudders had been＇hoisted up and lashed＇while the ship was at anchor，＇to prevent them fouling the anchors＇．They were now required to steer the ship straight ashore．

тòv ápтé $\mu \omega \nu a]$ R．V．＇foresail＇，and so Smith，who gives illustrations of two coins of Commodus with a corn－ship on the obverse with mainsail and foresail set．Cf．Juv．xir． 68 cucurrit｜vestibus extentis et，quod superaverat unum，｜velo prora suo，where the Scholiast explains artemone solo veli－ v．Smith，caverunt．The word does not occur elsewhere in Greek，but App．is found in Italian and French，though the meaning varies．

41．$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \epsilon \sigma o ́ v \tau \epsilon s(1 \in . .$.$] The words describe something$ unexpected which befell them．＂They were making for the beach（cf．кaтeīov imperfect），but came upon（aorist）a $\tau \delta \pi$ os $\delta i \theta d \lambda a \sigma \sigma o s$ and ran the vessel aground＇．They never reached the beach but unexpectedly grounded，and to reach
the beach was a matter of great difficulty (cf. vv. 42-44). That what happened was unexpected is also clear from the obviously sudden resolution of the soldiers to kill their prisoners: if what happened was what they expected, why did they not propose to kill them before leaving their anchorage?
$\delta i \theta \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda \sigma \sigma o s$ is usually applied to a neck or tongue of land lying between two seas or portions of sea, a reef. Dion Chrys. 5, p. 83, mentions among the dangers of the sea $\tau \rho a \chi^{\epsilon} \alpha$
 reefs), kal ralvıat (sand-banks), and so Virg. Aen. I. 108 refers to saxa latentia . . . dorsum immane mari summo . . . brevia et syrtes, and certainly, if we only regard the text, we should render rómov $\delta \delta \theta$. here 'a ridge' or 'reef running out into and dividing the sea', or a 'bank', on to which they ran the ship, because being at the time wholly covered by the water they did not see it (cf. n. on è $\lambda$ úє

A fairly strong case is however made out for the shipwreck having taken place in what is known as 'St Paul's Bay', a little to the N.W. of Valetta. It is pointed out that a ship drifting W. by N. might pass very close to land off Koura Point (where the sailors would hear breakers, ver. 27), and that just beyond the soundings are 20 fathoms, and just beyond 15 fathoms, with a good anchorage. Here they

would be just off the little island of Salmonetta, but could not tell that it was an island, it being only separated from the mainland by a channel 100 yards wide. It is considered that this channel is the tótos $\delta, \theta$. of Luke, and that they

So Lumby.
ran on some mudbank due to the currents caused by the channel. This is the account of Smith, who however, assuming his view generally to be right, seems clearly wrong in describing the vessel as driven on to the beach, a mistake in which he is followed by A., F., C. and H., \&c. For the sense he assigns to тóтos $\delta \iota \theta$. Smith quotes Strabo II. 5. 12 who calls the Bosporus $\delta \iota \theta \dot{\alpha} \lambda a \tau \tau o s ~ \tau \rho o ́ \pi o \nu ~ \tau \iota \nu \alpha ́ . ~$
épe[ซara] cf. ver. 14 n . A strong word, 'having planted itself firmly'.
èvécro] Notice the imperfect. For the description cf. Virg. A.ẹ. x. 303,
sed non puppis tua, Tarchon. Namque inflicta vadis dorso dum pendet iniquo, Anceps sustentata diu fuctusque fatigat, Solvitur.
 $\kappa \nu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$, certainly improving the sense.
42. โva тov̀s $\delta \epsilon \sigma \mu \omega ́ \tau a s . .$.$] 'Soldiers were responsible$ with their own lives for their prisoners'; cf. xii. 19.

 relative is common in later Greek.

## CHAPTER XXVIII.

1. Medırท́v $\left.{ }^{2}\right]$ This is the reading of $B$ only, and seems due to a clerical error. 'The scribe had written $\mathrm{M} \epsilon \lambda \iota \tau \eta$ $\nu \eta \sigma o s$ for $\mathrm{M}_{\epsilon} \lambda_{\iota} \tau \eta \eta \nu \eta \sigma$ os omitting the article: but perceiving his mistake, expunged $\overline{\nu \eta}$ and began again thus $M_{\epsilon} \lambda \tau \tau \bar{\nu} \eta \eta-$ $\nu \eta \sigma o s$ ' Dr Field.
T.R. Me入ív; Melite, Malta. That the island was Malta is shewn not only from the name and general probability, but from the fact that a ship of Alexandria (ver. 11) on the way to Puteoli had put in there for the winter, and from the reference to Syracuse (ver. 12) as the first port touched at after leaving it.

Meleda, an island off Illyria, near Ragusa, has been suggested, from a mistaken view of xxvii. 27.
2. of $\beta$ ápßapoı] Diod. Sic. v. $12 \notin \sigma \tau \iota \delta \epsilon ̀ \dot{\eta} \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma o s a u ̈ \tau \eta$
 Punic. The word $\beta$ áp $\beta$ apos is not in the least = 'barbarous' in the modern sense; it is an imitative word and ='speaking unintelligibly', cf. 1 Cor. xiv. 11. The Greeks applied it to all non-Greek-speaking peoples, cf. Rom. i. 14 "E $\lambda \lambda \eta \sigma$ i $\tau \epsilon$ кal $\beta$ ap $\beta$ ápors, and so well known was the use that Plautus,
speaking of a translation of his from Philemon, says Plautus Pl. Trin. vortit barbare, i.e. into Latin. Here it probably = ' not speaking Greek or Latin', the two great languages of the civilized world at that time.

## 3. бvбтр́́ষavtos тov̂ $\Pi$.] Exemplum aủrovprias. B.

$\phi \rho v \gamma a ́ v \omega v \tau \iota \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta o s]$ A. and R.V. 'a bundle of sticks'. The term фpúravò can be used of anything useful for kindling a fire. Wood is now very scarce in Malta and there are no vipers, but it is now densely populated and carefully ' 1200 to cultivated, and the effect of changed conditions on the existence of plants and animals in a district is well known.
 viper lay among the sticks or brushwood in a state of torpor. T.R. with very poor authority reads $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \kappa$.
kaӨ $\left.\hat{r}_{i} \psi \epsilon\right]$ 'laid hold of', i.e. bit. Cf. the promise of Jesus Mark xvi. 18.
4. фovev́s] They knew that he was a prisoner being taken to Rome on some grave charge, and inferred that the charge was murder.
$\mathfrak{\eta} \delta i k \eta]$ Like the Latin Justitia, an abstraction per-w. sonified.
oủk єไaテєv] Note the past tense. Jam nullum putant esse Paulum. B.
6. $\pi i \mu \pi \rho a \sigma \theta \alpha \mathrm{l}]$ A. and R.V. 'would have swollen'. The word combines the ideas of 'inflammation and swelling'. $\pi i \mu \pi \rho \eta \mu \iota$ and $\pi \rho \dot{\eta} \theta \omega$, both having aorist $\xi^{\prime} \pi \rho \eta \sigma a$, seem connected, though $\pi i \mu \pi \rho \eta \mu \iota$ is usually $=$ 'burn', and $\pi \rho \dot{\eta} \theta \omega=$ 'blow up,' 'cause to swell'. Cf. $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \rho=(1)$ a flash of lightning, (2) a hurricane, (3) a venomous snake, the bite of which caused both 'inflammation' and 'swelling'; cf. Lucan Ix. 790 Percussit Prester: illi rubor igneus ora |succendit tenditque cutem.

кататiттєเข...] Cp. Shakespeare Ant. and Cleop. v. 2, of H. Charmian bitten by an asp, 'Tremblingly she stood, and on the sudden dropped'.
äтотоv] 'extraordinary'.
$\mu \in \tau \alpha \beta a \lambda o ́ \mu \in v o l . .$.$] Cf. the opposite change at Lycaonia$ xiv. 11 and xiv. 19.
 which was under the government of a deputy of the praetor ${ }_{4.18}^{\text {Ver. II. }}$ of Sicily. The title is not elsewhere referred to, but is found on an inscription from the island Gaulus, close to




Corpus Graec. no. 5754 .

Пот $\boldsymbol{\lambda}(\omega]$ Publio. The Gk represents no doubt a common pronunciation of the name. So publicus on inscriptions, often poblicus or poplicus, being from populus $=$ populicus.
$\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{s}]$ Not the whole crew (which would be $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau a s \dot{\eta} \mu a ̂ s$, as ver. 2) but, as throughout the narrative, Paul and his companions, including the writer, cf. ver. 10.
8. тupetois] 'attacks of fever'; cf. Dem. $1260 \pi$ тирєтol $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \chi \epsilon i s$. Note the medical terms in connection with Luke.

катакєі̂-Өaı] = cubare (Hor. Sat. 1. 9. 18).
So too w. gives 'presents'; comparing Eeclesiasticus xxxviii. 1 тi $\mu a$
 the phrase 'honoured us with many honours', though no doubt among those 'honours' were included actual gifts, such as 'the needful things' immediately referred to as placed on board, it being a common practice so to 'honour' a parting guest; cf. Virg. den. I. 195 Vina bonus quae deinde cadis onerarat Acestes | litore Trinacrio dederatque abeuntibus heros.
11. $\mu \in \tau \alpha \pi \rho \in i \hat{s} \mu \eta$ vivas] i.e. in the early part of February. Navigation began with spring (Hor. Od. 1. 4. 2), and spring commenced on Feb. 9 (Ov. Fast. II. 149).
Smith, $\pi \alpha \rho a \sigma \eta \mu \omega]$ That this is a noun is proved by an
Preface, inscription found near Lutro, which refers to one Dionysius p. 7. of Alexandria, Gubernator navis parasemo Isopharia. Dıoкoúpors is in apposition to $\pi а \rho a \sigma \eta \dot{\mu} \varphi$, describing what the $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \eta \mu \nu \nu$ was; cf. the construction $\delta \nu o ́ \mu a \tau \iota$ Пот $\lambda i \notin$ ver. 7. Others take the word as an adj.

For Castor and Pollux as guardians of ships cf. Hor. Od. 1. 3.2 sic fratres Helenae, lucida sidera (te, navis, regant). In thundery weather a pale-blue flame may sometimes be .seen playing round the masts of ships, due to the tendency of 'points' to produce a discharge of electricity. This was taken to indicate the presence of the Dioscuri and the safety of the ship. Italian mariners call it the fire of St Elmo.
12. $\Sigma$ vpakov́бas] 80 m . from Malta, the chief city of Sicily, founded в. с. 734.
Text 13. тєрLe入óvtes] R.V. in margin 'cast loose', ef. xxvii. NB as M. 40, but there seems no authority for this absolute use of the word. T. R. has $\pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \epsilon \lambda \theta$ óvtєs, which can hardly mean 'having gone round (Sicily)', but must='having made a
circuit' (A.V. 'having fetched a compass'), i.e. having been unable, owing to the winds, to steer direct for Rhegium : the opposite of $\epsilon \dot{U} \theta \dot{\delta} \rho \circ \mu \dot{\eta} \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s$.
'P $\left.{ }^{\prime} \gamma{ }^{\prime} \circ \mathrm{v}\right]$ i. e. 'the place where the land breaks off' ( $\rho \dot{\eta} \gamma-$ $\nu u \mu \iota$ ), at the extreme S.W. of Italy in Bruttium, opposite Messana.
é $\pi เ \gamma \in \nu o \mu \in ́ v o v]$ The word might mean 'came after', 'suc- As A. ceeded', i. e. the hitherto adverse wind, but more probably describes a wind that 'blew from right astern' (ventus secundus). The distance is 182 m ., which would take 26 hours at seven knots or so an hour.

Motódovs] Puteoli, Pozzuoli ('Wells'), N. of the bay of Naples, a little E. of Baiae. It was at this time the chief port of Rome, and the regular harbour for the corn-fleet from Alexandria. Portions of the great mole are still visible.
 of these words is marked. Paulus Romae captivus: triumphus unicus. Luke regards Paul's visit to Rome as the crowning point of his career, cf. xix. 21, xxiii. 11. It is this eagerness to reach Rome which makes him slightly anticipate the narrative, which in the next verse reverts to events which happened before their arrival.

It would make the narrative much clearer if the end of a paragraph were marked at $\eta \not \lambda \theta a \mu \epsilon \nu$. The events related in vv. 15, 16 are regarded not as part of Paul's journey, but as part of the action of the Roman community with which Luke closes his narrative vv. 15-28.

It is hardly safe to draw distinctions between $\tau \grave{\eta}^{\prime} \mathrm{P}$. here and ' $\mathrm{P} \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \nu \quad$ ver. 16, as Bengel does ( $\tau \grave{\nu}{ }^{\text {' } \mathrm{P} \dot{\omega} \mu \eta \nu \text { empha- }}$ $\sin$ habet, ut notetur urbs diu desiderata), for xviii. 2 we have $\tau \hat{\eta} s^{\prime}$ ' P . where there is no emphasis, but xix. 21, xxiii. 11 no article where there is strong emphasis.

Paul would go from Puteoli to Capua (for the coast road to Sinuessa was made later by Domitian) and there join the great Appian road, constructed by the censor Appius Claudius b.c. 312 . From there the distance is about 125 m . He would pass Sinuessa, Minturnae, Formiae, Anxur, and at Templum Feroniae might either proceed by road or by the canal which ran parallel to it through the Pomptine Marshes, to Forum Appii, and from there to Tres Tabernae, Lanuvium and Aricia, entering Rome by the Porta Capena.

See for the route Hor. Sat. 1. 5, and full information in C. and H., F., \&c.

Appii Forum is about 40 m . from Rome, and Tres Tabernae about 30 .
 nius.
16. кa日' éavtòv] 'by himself', i.e. not with the other prisoners in some public prison. In ver. 23 there is reference to $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \xi \in \nu i a \nu$, 'his lodging', and in ver. 30 to $\delta \delta \iota \nu \mu i \sigma \theta \omega \mu a$, 'his own hired room' or 'dwelling'. These two last phrases probably refer to the same thing, it being specially described in ver. 30 as $\mathrm{t} \delta \iota o \nu \mu i \sigma \theta$. because Luke is there dwelling strongly
C. \& II. on the comparative freedom enjoyed by Paul. Others argue that $\xi_{\epsilon v i}$ ia implies 'residence with friends', but this is unduly pressing the Greek.
Omit NABI. Insert IILP.

Tac. ${ }_{2}{ }^{2 n n .1 v .}$ 2. $\delta \epsilon \sigma \mu$ lous $\tau \hat{\varphi} \sigma \tau \rho a \tau o \pi \epsilon \delta \alpha ́ \rho \chi \eta, \tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \epsilon \in \Pi . .$.
$\sigma \tau р a \tau 0 \pi \epsilon \delta$ áp $\chi \eta s=$ Praefectus praetorio. The praetorian cohorts (a select body of household troops, about 10,000 in number, who served on the body-guard of the emperor) had been collected into one camp by Sejanus, A.d. 23, outside the Porta Viminalis. Afranius Burrus was their commander A.d. 51-62. Before and after him there were two 'prefects', but no argument as to date can be drawn from the use of the singular here, as the singular might merely represent the one on duty. They had charge of
Plin. Ep. prisoners sent to Rome; cf. the rescript of Trajan to Pliny, x. 65 . vinctus mitti ad praefectos praetorii mei debet.
17. бvvка入́̇ $\sigma a \sigma \theta a 1 . .$.$] Here, as throughout, Paul first$ appeals to the Jews. As he cannot go to the synagogue, he summons them to him. He desires to make it clear that his appeal to Caesar was forced upon him, and does not arise from any desire to accuse his nation.
$\pi a p \epsilon \delta o ́ \theta \eta \nu]$ Practically, though not technically. The violence of the Jews had compelled the Romans to interfere.
La - 19. toû $\ell 8 v o v s \mu o v]$ Not $\lambda \alpha o v ̂$, because he is not considering them as God's people, but as his own nation, whom he would not wish to accuse before a foreign tribunal.
20. тapeká $\epsilon_{\epsilon \sigma a}$ ] R.V. 'did I intreat you', but A.V. rightly 'I called for you', i.e. invited you here, as xvi. 15.

 cf. Heb. v. 2, $\pi \in \rho \ell \kappa \epsilon \iota \tau a \iota ~ a ̀ \sigma \theta \in \dot{\nu} \epsilon \iota a \nu$ 'is compassed with infirmity', and so commonly, v. Lex. The acc. is due to the active sense of 'wearing', 'having on', contained in the verb.

As F . states.
21. ग $\boldsymbol{\eta} \mu$ ês...] The Jews do not assert that they had never heard of Paul, which would be 'inconceivable'; what they state is, that with reference to the charge against Paul just mentioned by him they had received na letter or report;
 statement must be so limited, and does not express general ignorance of Paul and his views; moreover they immediately acknowledge that they are aware that his views are 'everywhere spoken against'. It was almost impossible that they should have had knowledge of Paul's appeal to Caesar, for how could the news have reached Rome before Paul?
25. тov̂ חaúdov] The personal name instead of the pronoun for emphasis. Note, too, the strong emphasis of $\dot{\rho} \eta \mu \alpha$ 号 $\nu$ : he had appealed to them 'from morning until evening': he had appealed to the Jews elsewhere continually in vain: he has now but 'one word' more to say.
ka入ตs] So placed first with strong indignation: cf.
 Mark vii. 6.
$\dot{\imath} \mu \omega \bar{\omega}]$ So NAB. T.R. $\dot{\eta} \mu \omega \hat{\nu}$.
26. $\pi \mathbf{\pi} \boldsymbol{\rho} \in \dot{v} \theta \eta \tau$ L...] Accurately from the LXX. Is. vi. 9, the famous passage which describes the vision and call of Isaiah. It is the passage quoted by Jesus (at length, Matt. xiii. 14, and partially Mark iv. 12; Luke viii. 10) when explaining why He taught the Jews in parables. It is also quoted John xii. 40, where he refers to the disbelief of the Jews in Jesus.
 to strengthen the contrast with their 'not understanding' and 'not seeing (i.e. perceiving)'.

Cf. for the sense Dem. 797, 3 ópêvtas $\mu \grave{\eta}$ ò $\rho \hat{\nu} \nu$ каl áкоv́ov-


oủ $\mu \mathfrak{\eta}]$ Strong negation: 'surely ye shall not understand'.
 the negligence and indifference to religion produced by pro-


éкá $\mu \mu \nu \sigma \alpha \nu$ ] The Heb. has 'their eyes besmear' (cf. Is. Cheyne xxix. 10, xliv. 18), i.e. seal up.
kal láбoцal av́тov́s] T.R. iá $\sigma \omega \mu a \iota$. The future ind. Text after $\mu \dot{\eta}$ represents the action of the verb as more vividly realized as possible and probable than is the case when the subj. follows. The change from the subj. to the ind. here is very forcible and vigorous: it represents the 'healing', which the Jews refused, as something clearly apprehended by them to be the purpose of God, and so enhances the guilt of their refusal.

Cf. Plat. Rep. 451 А фоßєрд̀ каі $\sigma \phi а \lambda \epsilon \rho \partial ̀ \nu \ldots \mu \dot{\eta} \ldots к \epsilon і \sigma о \mu а \iota$ and Stallb. ad loc.


aúrol kal ákov́боvтal] aúzol is vivid and antithetical: 'They will also hear (as opposed to your 'hard hearing' and 'not hearing', ver. 27). Cf. Luke vi. 11 aúrol $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \pi \lambda \eta$ ń $\sigma \theta \eta \sigma a \nu$ àpolas.

 climax.
30. Sıєт[av] In this period were written the Epistles to the Philippians, Colossians, Ephesians, and to Philemon.
 W. secus, $\alpha \kappa \omega \lambda$. sine impedimento, extrinsecus. B. The rhythmic cadence of the concluding words is marked (cf. vii. 60 n.). Cf. the end of St Luke's Gospel, кal ${ }_{\eta} \sigma a \nu$ dia $\pi a \nu \tau o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ं \nu ~ \tau \hat{\varphi}$ $i \epsilon p \hat{\varphi} \epsilon \dot{\lambda} \lambda o \gamma o v ิ \nu \tau \epsilon s \tau \delta \nu \theta \epsilon \delta \nu$; also the last words of Dem. de Cor. каi $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi a \lambda \hat{\eta}$.

Various arguments have been deduced from the fact that Luke ends his narrative at this point and leaves us without any account of Paul's subsequent history. Some have in consequence regarded the Acts as an unfinished work. It is clear, however, that Luke regards Paul's preaching at Rome as the crown and goal of his career. Rejected by the Jews, the Gospel is for 'a whole two years' preached to the Gentiles in Rome, the capital of the world, Urbi et Orbi-this is the climax of the Acts. Moreover the whole style of vv. 25-31 marks a concluded narrative: notice the distinctive $\tau \circ \hat{v} \Pi a v ́ \lambda o v$, the emphatic $\dot{\rho} \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha \not \subset \nu$, the indignant $\kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \bar{s}$, the full quotation of Isaiah's famous prophecy, the clear $\delta \iota \epsilon \tau i a \nu$ ö $\lambda \eta \nu$, the description of Jesus as $\tau 0 \hat{0}$ кvpiov 'I $\eta \sigma \circ \hat{v} \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \circ \hat{v}$, and, lastly, the closing cadence of $\mu \in \tau \dot{\alpha}$. $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \eta \eta_{s}^{\pi} \pi \rho \rho \eta \sigma$ ias $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \omega \lambda u ́ \tau \omega s$.
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[^0]:    

[^1]:    $24 \theta$ eoû $\quad 25$ †és 'I 1

[^2]:    19 Kai ioóvess
    23 то入入ás тe
    32 кupiou

[^3]:    3 ทำүá̧eто
    6 غ̀үш̀ дंтั̀
    

[^4]:    
    6 є่үш่
    7 єїтоутоя| ѐтє́тєєєь
    $8 \mu \grave{̀} \nu$

[^5]:    у ѐmapxeị́

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Matt. xxvi. 26 says 'while they were eating'. Edersheim describing the Paschal ritual says that the 'Head of the Company' would at the commencement of the meal "break one of the unleavened cakes, of which half was put aside for after supper and called the Aphikomon or 'after-dish'." The Aphikomon is again broken and eaten at the close of the meal in connection with the third cup, or 'cup of blessing'. Although this custom only commenced when the Paschal Lamb ceased to be offered, Edersheim considers that the 'breaking of bread' by Jesus during the meal is to be connected with it. II. 510.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ I do not understand the punctuation in the text, and adopt the usual punctuation, removing the colon after aúrois and placing it after daós.

