# ROYAL SOCIETY OPEN SCIENCE

#### royalsocietypublishing.org/journal/rsos

#### (cc) BY





**Cite this article:** Arai H, Watada M, Kageyama D. 2024 Two male-killing *Wolbachia* from *Drosophila birauraia* that are closely related but distinct in genome structure. *R. Soc. Open Sci.* **11**: 231502. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.231502

Received: 3 October 2023 Accepted: 11 December 2023

Subject Category: Genetics and genomics

Subject Areas: evolution/genomics/microbiology

#### Keywords:

male killing, evolution, *Wolbachia*, genome rearrangement

#### Authors for correspondence:

Hiroshi Arai e-mail: dazai39papilio@gmail.com Daisuke Kageyama e-mail: kagymad@naro.go.jp

Electronic supplementary material is available online at https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.c. 6991843.

THE ROYAL SOCIETY

# Two male-killing *Wolbachia* from *Drosophila birauraia* that are closely related but distinct in genome structure

# Hiroshi Arai<sup>1</sup>, Masayoshi Watada<sup>2,3</sup> and Daisuke Kageyama<sup>1</sup>

 <sup>1</sup>National Agriculture and Food Research Organization (NARO), 1-2 Owashi, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0851, Japan
<sup>2</sup>Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Ehime University, Matsuyama, Ehime 780-8857, Japan
<sup>3</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, Tokyo Metropolitan University, 1-1 Minamiosawa, Hachioji, Tokyo 192-0397, Japan

HA, 0000-0001-9912-3489; MW, 0000-0002-9917-7783; DK, 0000-0002-9026-9825

Insects harbour diverse maternally inherited bacteria and viruses, some of which have evolved to kill the male progeny of their hosts (male killing: MK). The fly species Drosophila biauraria carries a maternally transmitted MK-inducing partiti-like virus, but it was unknown if it carries other MK-inducing endosymbionts. Here, we identified two male-killing Wolbachia strains (wBiau1 and wBiau2) from D. biauraria and compared their genomes to elucidate their evolutionary processes. The two strains were genetically closely related but had exceptionally different genome structures with considerable rearrangements compared with combinations of other Wolbachia strains. Despite substantial changes in the genome structure, the two Wolbachia strains did not experience gene losses that would disrupt the male-killing expression or persistence in the host population. The two Wolbachia-infected matrilines carried distinct mitochondrial haplotypes, suggesting that wBiau1 and wBiau2 have invaded D. biauraria independently and undergone considerable genome changes owing to unknown selective pressures in evolutionary history. This study demonstrated the presence of three male-killers from two distinct origins in one fly species and highlighted the diverse and rapid genome evolution of MK Wolbachia in the host.

# 1. Background

Insects often harbour endosymbiotic microbes that are transmitted from the female host to the offspring [1]. Males are an evolutionary

© 2024 The Authors. Published by the Royal Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/, which permits unrestricted use, provided the original author and source are credited.

dead-end for maternally transmitted microbes, and the lack of transmission through male hosts often leads to the evolution of reproductively parasitic traits [1–3]. In some cases, this is manifested in the evolution of male-killing (MK) phenotypes, in which male offspring of infected mothers are killed during development [1–4]. MK is thought to be advantageous for the maternally transmitted microbes, and indeed various microbes such as bacteria, microsporidia and viruses induce MK in respective insect species [3,4]. In addition, multiple male killers sometimes infect the same host species [5,6].

The endosymbiotic bacterium, *Wolbachia* (Alphaproteobacteria), is present in at least 40% of all insect species, making it one of the most widespread endosymbionts [7–9]. *Wolbachia* is maternally transmitted but is considered to have experienced host shifts repeatedly in its evolutionary history. The high prevalence of *Wolbachia* in arthropods is partly due to *Wolbachia*-induced host manipulations, such as cytoplasmic incompatibility (CI), parthenogenesis, feminization and MK [7,8,10]. *Wolbachia* inducee MK in particularly diverse host species, however, how *Wolbachia* acquired and maintained their MK abilities on an evolutionary timescale remains largely unknown [11–13].

Genomic changes that lead to phenotypic changes and adaptation to new hosts are critical for the evolution of *Wolbachia* [14–18]. For example, the MK *Wolbachia* strain, *w*Hm-t, is thought to have evolved from its closely related non-MK strain, *w*Hm-c, in the tea tortrix, *Homona magnanima*, by acquiring an MK-associated prophage region [18]. By contrast, *Ostrinia furnacalis* and *Ostrinia scapulalis* harbour closely related MK *Wolbachia* strains that show an extremely high degree of genomic similarity to several inversions [19]. The MK *Wolbachia* strains in *Ostrinia* moths are thought to have descended from their common ancestral hosts and have maintained a stable genome structure throughout their evolution [19]. In the highly host-dependent symbiotic bacterium, *Wolbachia*, substantial changes in the genome structure can disrupt phenotypic expression and intergenic interactions, posing major risks to *Wolbachia* fitness. However, large-scale genome changes can also drive bacterial evolution because they can alter gene expression and phenotypic outcomes in ways that point mutations cannot [20,21]. The *Wolbachia* genome is an intriguing subject for exploring the evolutionary interactions between facultative endosymbionts and their hosts.

In this study, we identified two novel, closely related MK *Wolbachia* strains (*w*Biau1 and *w*Biau2) infecting *Drosophila biauraria*. We analysed and compared their genomes with other *Wolbachia* strains identified from diverse insects to elucidate the evolutionary history of the MK *Wolbachia* strains. Further, we compared their genomes with the partiti-like virus DbMKPV1, which induces MK during the late embryonic stage (i.e. early MK) in *D. biauraria* [22,23], to clarify the evolutionary origin of MK in this fly species.

# 2. Methods

#### 2.1. Collection and rearing of Drosophila biauraria

*Drosophila biauraria* samples were collected from the Field Science Center for Northern Biosphere, Hokkaido University, Tomakomai, Hokkaido, Japan in 2015 and 2017. Flies were collected by sweeping and banana traps. The collected females were individually maintained at 19°C with the standard banana medium [22]. The sex ratios of the lines derived from field-collected females were determined at the adult stage. The normal sex ratio (NSR) isofemale line SP11-20 [23] was maintained for more than 70 generations. The all-female matrilines (W1 and W2), each derived from a single female, were maintained by crossing with males of the SP11-20 line. *Wolbachia* and the MK partiti-like virus DbMKPV1 infections were detected by PCR, as described previously [22,23].

## 2.2. Tetracycline treatment

All-female matrilines (W1 and W2) were reared on tetracycline-containing banana medium (0.05% [w/v]) [22] for two generations.

## 2.3. Egg-hatching rates

Egg-hatching rates were estimated by counting the number of hatched and unhatched larvae. A total of 50–100 females of either W1 or NSR (SP11-20) were allowed to oviposit on grape juice agar medium for 1 day [23]. The eggs were collected and maintained in phosphate-buffered saline with Tween 20 (PBST; 137 mmol  $1^{-1}$  NaCl, 8.1 mmol  $1^{-1}$  Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 2.68 mmol  $1^{-1}$  KCl, 1.47 mmol  $1^{-1}$  KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 0.02% Tween 20, pH7.4) for 4 days. The number of hatched larvae and remaining embryos were counted manually under a microscope. This treatment was repeated at least four times.

3

## 2.4. Sex determination of embryos and hatchlings of D. biauraria

We determined the sex of embryos and hatchlings by PCR targeting a male-specific Y chromosome marker. Briefly, each embryo and hatched larvae was squashed in 20 µl of PrepMan Ultra Sample Preparation Reagent (ThermoFisher). Samples were then incubated at 100°C for 10 min, vortexed for 15 s, centrifuged at 20 000 × g for 2 min, and finally subjected to PCR. A Y chromosome-linked male-specific marker for D. biauraria [24] was amplified using a pair of primers, DbY\_c52202\_F2 (5'-ACCGAGCG CGAAATCATAAAACCAGCATC-3') and DbY\_c52202\_R2 (5'-CTCATATCACTTCATGTATCCCAC ACTTTTAACAG-3'). Db-actin5C-68-F (5'-GGCCATCCAGGCCGTGCTCTC-3') and Db-actin5C-68-R (5'-GCGCTCGGCAGTGGTGGTGAAG-3') were used to amplify actin-5C to confirm proper D. biauraria genomic DNA extraction. These markers were amplified using the Emerald Amp Max Master mix (TaKaRa) at 94°C for 3 min; the cycling conditions were as follows: 35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 s, annealing at 55°C for 30 s, and extension at 72°C for 30 s, followed by a final extension at 72°C for 7 min. Of the actin-positive samples, those that were positive for Y-markers were classified as male. Those that were negative for Y-markers were classified as female.

#### 2.5. Genome sequencing of flies and constructions of Wolbachia genomes

For genome sequencing of fly lines W1 and W2, high molecular weight DNA was extracted from 0.1 g adult females (approximately 100-200 individuals) by using Nanobind Tissue Big DNA Kit (Circulomics Inc., Baltimore, MD, USA) and was used for library construction using Ligation Sequencing Kit v14 (Oxford Nanopore Technologies, Oxford, UK) following the manufacturer's protocol. The constructed libraries were sequenced using the ONT MinION flow cell (R 10.4) (Oxford Nanopore Technologies). The extracted DNA was also subjected to Illumina paired-end 150 bp sequencing (PE-150) at the Bioengineering Lab. Co., Ltd. (Japan). The obtained nanopore reads were assembled using Flye 2.3 [25] in Galaxy Europe (https://usegalaxy.eu/). Homologies between the assembled contigs of W1 and W2 and all Wolbachia genomes available in the NCBI database were assessed using BLASTn searches. Contigs showing homology to known Wolbachia genomes were designated as candidate contigs of Wolbachia strains in D. biauraria. The raw data of W1 and W2 were mapped to Wolbachia-like contigs using minimap2 v2.17-r941 [24], and the mapped reads were extracted using SAMtools v.1.9 [26] and assembled using Flye 2.3 [24]. The circularity of the Wolbachia wBiau1 and wBiau2 genomes was confirmed using Bandage v0.8.1 [27]. Circular Wolbachia genomes were polished against Illumina data using minimap2 [24] and Pilon v. 1.23 [28]. The polished closed genomes of the wBiau1 and wBiau2 strains were annotated via the DFAST web server [29]. Prophage regions were annotated using the PHASTER web server [30]. Insertion sequence (IS) elements in Wolbachia genomes were further annotated using ISEScan [31].

*Wolbachia* genes *wmk* [32], *cifs* (*cifA* and *cifB*) [32–34], and *oscar* [18,35] were used to identify homologues in the *w*Biau1 and *w*Biau2 genomes using local BLASTn and BLASTp searches (default parameters). Motifs in the *wmk*, *cifA*, *cifB*, and *oscar* gene homologues were surveyed using InterPro (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro/) and HHpred (https://toolkit.tuebingen.mpg.de/tools/hhpred). Phylogenetic trees of *Wolbachia wsp* and *MLST* genes were constructed based on maximum likelihood with bootstrap re-sampling of 1,000 replicates using MEGA7 [36].

## 2.6. Phylogenetic analysis of mitochondrial CO1

The mitochondrial CO1 of *D. biauraria* lines was amplified using HCO and LCO primer sets targeting the CO1 gene [37]. Amplicons were purified with Wizard SV Gel and PCR Clean-Up System (Promega), which were subjected to sequencing using BigDye terminator v3.1 (Applied Biosystems) with the following conditions: 96°C for 1 min, followed by 25 cycles of 96°C for 10 s, 50°C for 5 s, and 60°C for 4 min. A phylogenetic tree of *CO1* was constructed based on maximum likelihood with bootstrap re-sampling of 1,000 replicates using MEGA7 [36].

#### 2.7. Statistical analysis

The sex ratio of the adult flies was assessed using Fisher's exact test. Egg hatching rates were analysed using the Wilcoxon test. All analyses were performed using the R software v4.0 (https://www.r-project.org/). P values <0.05 were considered significant.

4

## 2.8. Data accessibility

The sequence read data were deposited in the DDBJ under the accession numbers PRJDB16258 (BioProject), SAMD00634859–SAMD00634860 (BioSample), and DRA016759 (DRA). *Wolbachia* genomes are available in the DDBJ database under the accession numbers *w*Biau1 (AP028655) and *w*Biau2 (AP028656). Any additional information required to reanalyse the data reported in this paper is accessible from the Dryad Digital Repository: https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.j9kd51cjh [38].

## 3. Results and discussion

## 3.1. Wolbachia induces MK in Drosophila biauraria

We collected 124 matrilines of D. biauraria (figure 1a) from Tomakomai, Hokkaido, in 2015 (n = 55) and 2017 (n = 69). Of these, 118 matrilines showed normal sex ratios (approximately 1:1, male: female), whereas six matrilines were all female. Six all-female matrilines were negative for DbMKPV1 [23], but positive for Wolbachia (table 1). Males were restored by tetracycline treatment, which was performed on two Wolbachia-infected all-female matrilines, TM15-28 (referred to as W1) and TM17-F3 (referred to as W2) (figure 1b). The egg hatching rates examined for W1 were significantly lower (28.9%, five replicates, n = 2535 in total) than those of the normal sex ratio line SP11-20 (45.5%, four replicates, n = 1895 in total) (Wilcoxon test, p = 0.01, figure 1c). The low hatching rates in both lines may partly be explained by the high rate of unfertilized eggs derived from inbreeding depression. Furthermore, sex-determination based on PCR detection of the male-specific Y chromosome in the W1 matriline showed that sex was significantly male-biased in unhatched embryos (75.8% male: 44 males and 14 females, binomial test, p = 0.0002, figure 1d) and significantly female-biased in hatched larvae (84.4%) female: 7 males and 38 females, binomial test, p = 0.004). Exceptionally, some adult males emerged from a few vials during fly maintenance, but none emerged during our experiment. Thus, the Wolbachia-infected male hatchlings appear to die before reaching the adult stage. Similarly, in the DbMKPV1-infected D. biauraria strain (although no adult males were ever observed within it), a few male larvae occasionally hatch but die before reaching adulthood [23]. These results suggest that MK occurs primarily during embryonic development (early MK), but the effect of MK is continuously active at later stages of D. biauraria.

# 3.2. *Wolbachia* strains *w*Biau1 and *w*Biau2 are closely related but have a high level of genome rearrangements

Genome sequencing of W1 and W2 flies using both the Nanopore and Illumina platforms generated circular closed Wolbachia genomes (table 2). Other than Wolbachia, no known MK bacteria (Spiroplasma, Rickettsia, Cardinium and Arsenophonus) or microsporidia were identified from the genome read data, and all the bacterial reads were considered to be derived from gut symbionts or environmental bacteria (electronic supplementary material, table S1). This suggests that Wolbachia is the cause of MK in both W1 and W2 lines. The W1 and W2 matrilineal Wolbachia were closely related but had different nucleotide sequences in Wolbachia typing genes (wsp and MLST) (figure 2a). Therefore, we designated the Wolbachia strains as wBiau1 (W1 line) and wBiau2 (W2 line). Both wBiau1 (1178058 bp circular genome) and wBiau2 (1183391 bp circular genome) belonged to supergroup A-type Wolbachia (figure 2a and table 2) and shared most genes with high similarity (figure 2b and electronic supplementary material, table S2). Despite their high similarity in genetic components, wBiau1 and wBiau2 showed a high degree of genome rearrangement (i.e. many genomic shifts and inversions) (figure 2c). This high level of genomic rearrangement was not observed between the closely related supergroup A Wolbachia strains: wMel in Drosophila melanogaster (1,267,783 bp, NZ\_CP046925.1) and wAu in Drosophila simulans (1,268,461 bp, LK055284.1; figure 2d). Compared with wMel, both wBiau1 and wBiau2 showed high levels of genomic rearrangement (figure  $2e_f$ ). This was further supported by the comparisons of the wBiau strains with wRi from D. simulans (1,445,873 bp, CP001391.1), which is more distantly related to wMel (figure 2a and electronic supplementary material, figure S1). In supergroup A, even moderately divergent strains wAu and wRi in the identical host, D. simulans showed a certain degree of synteny (figure 2g). In supergroup B, the closely related strains wMa (1,273,535 bp, CP069054.1) and wNo (1,301,823 bp, CP003883.1) in D. simulans also showed a certain degree of synteny (figure 2h). Furthermore, the distantly related Wolbachia strains, wHm-t



**Figure 1.** Sex ratio distortion in *Drosophila biauraria* matrilines. (*a*) Morphology of *D. biaurara* female adult (W1 line). (*b*) Sex ratio of adult flies in *Wolbachia*-infected W1 and W2 matrilines with and without tetracycline treatment. Each treatment had two replicates. Sample sizes (number of individuals examined) are given in parentheses. (*c*) Hatchability of W1 and uninfected matrilines (NSR, SP11-20). The total number of replicates, hatched and unhatched individuals, are shown below the whisker plot. The horizontal bar within the box represents the median. The upper and lower hinges of the box indicate the upper and lower quartiles, respectively. Different letters indicate significant differences between groups (Wilcoxon test, p < 0.05). (*d*) Sex ratio of hatched larvae and unhatched embryos examined by PCR assays targeting the male-specific Y chromosome. Sample sizes (number of examined individuals) are given in parentheses. NSR, normal sex ratio.

Table 1. Frequencies of all-female lines among iso-female lines established for Drosophila biauraria.

	all-female lines			
year	Wolbachia	DbMKPV1	normal sex ratio lines	total
2015	3	0	52	55
2017	3	0	66	69

Table 2. General characteristics of *Wolbachia* genomes. GC, guanine-cytosine; CDS, coding sequences; tRNA, transfer RNA; rRNA, ribosomal RNA; MK, male killing.

strain	wBiau1	<i>w</i> Biau2
supergroup	A	A
phenotype	МК	МК
contigs	1	1
genome length (nt)	1,178,058	1,183,391
GC content (%)	35.0	35.2
CDS	1251	1,233
tRNA	34	34
rRNA	3	3



**Figure 2.** Genomic similarities between *Wolbachia* strains *w*Biau1 and *w*Biau2 and phylogeny of the host *D. biauraria*. (*a*) Phylogenetic tree of concatenated *Wolbachia wsp* and *MLST* sequences constructed based on maximum likelihood with bootstrap re-sampling of 1,000 replicates using MEGA7 [37]. Homologs of *Wolbachia* strains were quoted from the *Wolbachia MLST* database. (*b*) Protein clusters conserved within *w*Biau1 and *w*Biau2 strains. (*c*–*j*) Dot plots showing conserved syntenies between *Wolbachia* strains. *w*Biau1 and *w*Biau2 in *D. biauraria* (*c*), *w*Mel and *w*Au in *D. melanogaster* and *D. simulans*, respectively (*d*), *w*Biau1 and *w*Mel (*e*), *w*Biau2 and *w*Mel (*f*), *w*Au and *w*Ri in *D. simulans* (*g*), *w*Ma and *w*No in *D. simulans* (*h*), *w*Hm-t in *H. magnanima* and *w*No (*i*), and *w*Pip in *C. pipiens* and *w*No (*i*), were compared. (*k*) Phylogenetic tree of mitochondria COI sequences of *D. biauraria*. *Drosophila auraria* [GenBank AB669695.1] was used as an outgroup.

(1,542,158 bp, AP025638) in *Homona magnanima* and *w*Pip (1,482,455 bp, AM999887.1) in *Culex pipiens* shared more collinear genomes with the *w*No strain (figure 2*i*,*j*) than with the combination of *w*Biau1 and *w*Biau2. The *Wolbachia* strains *w*Biau1 and *w*Biau2 harbour two and three prophage candidate regions, respectively (electronic supplementary material, figure S2). In addition, *w*Biau1 encoded 88 IS elements in its genome (covering 10.1% of the genome), and *w*Biau2 encoded 72 IS elements (7.74%), which were similar to other *Wolbachia* strains (e.g. *w*Mel: n = 69, 7.05%; *w*Au: n = 71; 7.60%; wRi: n = 128; 12.3%; wHm-t: n = 136; 11.2%) (electronic supplementary material, table S3).

Bacterial chromosomes are dynamic structures shaped by long evolutionary histories [21,39,40]. Compared with a free-living lifestyle, a host-restricted lifestyle may impose different selective forces on endosymbiont genome evolution [40]. Endosymbiotic bacteria typically exhibit highly reduced AT-rich genomes acquired through a combination of genomic rearrangements and the accumulation of nucleotide

substitutions/deletions [40-44]. This is thought to be a consequence of the intracellular lifestyle of endosymbionts, in which bacteria experience severe bottlenecks during host reproduction, leading to reduced selection against deleterious mutations. The degradation process is further facilitated by the stability of the nutrient-rich cellular environment in which endosymbiotic bacteria reside, allowing gene loss without reducing the fitness of endosymbionts during long coevolutionary relationships [45,46]. For example, the endosymbiotic bacterium, Buchnera, shows many chromosomal rearrangements and deletions compared with its free-living enteric relatives, suggesting that the early stages of its evolutionary transition to a host-restricted lifestyle are highly dynamic [47]. However, the Buchnera genome structure has been extraordinarily stable over the past 100 million years of diversification between aphid species, despite high levels of divergence in gene sequence [48,49]. Wolbachia strains have relatively stable genome structures, although they are more dynamic than Buchnera strains [18,50,51]. In this study, we could not identify plausible features that could have driven the genome diversity of the wBiau strains (cf. the number of transposable elements such as prophages and IS elements were comparable to other Wolbachia strains), but there may be some unknown mechanism that allows exceptionally high rates of genome arrangement in wBiau1 and wBiau2. Despite the substantial and rapid changes in the genome structure, it appears that the wBiau strains did not experience gene loss that would eliminate MK expression or persistence in the host population.

#### 3.3. wBiau1 and wBiau2 do not encode the MK gene of DbMKPV1

To clarify whether the *Wolbachia* strains encoded the MK gene of the Partiti-like virus DbMKPV1 in *D. biauraria* [23], we assessed the homology of their genes using a BLAST search, which revealed that they did not contain any genes with high homology (electronic supplementary material, table S4). As suggested by *Homona* moth, which harbours three different male killers (*Wolbachia*, *Spiroplasma* and a Partiti-like virus OGV) [18,52,53], MK *Wolbachia* and DbMKPV1 are likely to induce MK in *D. biauraria* via different mechanisms (causative genes) and have acquired these mechanisms independently through different evolutionary processes.

## 3.4. wBiau1 and wBiau2 harboured MK- and CI-associated genes of Wolbachia

We found that wBiau1 and wBiau2 encoded one (WBIAU1 10910 [154 aa]) and two (WBIAU2 11720 [123 aa] and WBIAU2\_11740 [74 aa]) wmk homologues encoding single helix-turn-helix (HTH) domain, respectively (BLASTp searches, electronic supplementary material, table S4). Of these, WBIAU1\_10910 and WBIAU2\_11720 genes showed high homology (identity: 99.2%, e-value: 0, bit score: 671, BLASTn, electronic supplementary material, table S5), but no homologue of WBIAU2\_11740 was identified in the genome of wBiau1. The adjacent gene of the wmk homologues (wBiau1: WBIAU1\_10920 [298 aa]; wBiau2: WBIAU2\_11710 [298 aa] and WBIAU2\_11730 [53 aa]) also showed partial homology to the wmk of wMel (electronic supplementary material, table S4), but the proteins encoded by the genes lacked the HTH domain. Some wmk genes are known to induce male lethality in Drosophila melanogaster [18,33]. In addition, some tandemly arrayed wmk homologues show combined actions to induce male lethality when overexpressed in *D. melanogaster* [32]. While the *wmk* homologues found in the *wBiau* strains were relatively smaller than that found in wMel (302 aa), the adjacent wmk homologues may be involved in MK in D. biauraria. By contrast, neither wBiau1 nor wBiau2 carry the oscar gene, which induces MK in Ostrinia moths, where the Oscar protein degrades the host's male-determining factor, masculinizer (masc) [35]. Interestingly, Oscar is not conserved among MK Wolbachia strains and does not function in D. melanogaster which lacks the masc gene [18]. Thus, MK mechanisms (causative genes) in D. biauraria are likely to be different from those in Ostrinia moths.

We also found that both *w*Biau1 and *w*Biau2 harboured adjacent *cif* genes, *cifA* (WBIAU1\_10970 [491 aa] and WBIAU2\_11670 [474 aa]) and *cifB* (WBIAU1\_10980 [1179 aa] and WBIAU2\_11660 [1173 aa]) (electronic supplementary material, figure S3 and table S4). The *w*Biau strains showed very high homology in the *cifA* (identity: 98.5%, e-value: 0, bit score: 2372, BLASTn) and *cifB* (identity: 96.7%, e-value: 0, bit score: 5856) genes between the strains (electronic supplementary material, table S5). The CifB proteins of both *Wolbachia* strains encoded a deubiquitinase domain and were classified as the type I CifB as found in the *w*Mel strain [32]. The *cif* genes (CifA and CifB) are causative factors of *Wolbachia*-induced CI, in which the offspring of infected males and uninfected females are lethal during development [32,34]. This phenotype allows *Wolbachia* to spread rapidly throughout the host populations [11]. However, the MK phenotype induced by *w*Biau1 and *w*Biau2 does not allow CI expression, which requires infected males. Note that the production of infected males by the nuclear

suppressors against MK should result in CI expression [54]. However, suppressors against MK are not selectively favoured when the prevalence of MK microbe is low [55], as in the case of *D. biauraria* (table 1). Therefore, the potential CI ability possessed by *w*Biau1 and *w*Biau2 is not selectively favoured. The fact that the *cif* genes remained intact despite substantial genome rearrangements may suggest that *cifs* have unknown pleiotropic functions in addition to CI that are adaptive for *Wolbachia* (e.g. host protective phenotypes or MK).

## 3.5. Evolutionary history of Wolbachia infection in D. biauraria

The mitochondrial CO1 sequences of MK lines TM15-28 (W1), TM17-F3 (W2) and SP12F), were distinct (figure 2*k*). While the mitochondrial haplotype of DbMKPV1-infected SP12F was identical to that of the normal sex ratio line TM15-41, the haplotypes of the *Wolbachia*-infected W1 and W2 differed from those of the DbMKPV1-infected and uninfected hosts and were located at the basal branches in the two distinct clades. Although we were unable to assess the *Wolbachia* genomes and mitotypes of the other four MK matrilines collected in 2015 and 2017 (table 1) due to the loss of fly stocks during laboratory maintenance, our data suggest that the invasion of *w*Biau1 and *w*Biau2 occurred earlier than the divergence of the two clades.

# 4. Conclusion

In summary, our study highlights the diverse and rapid evolution of the MK *Wolbachia* genome through its interactions with host insects. The two *Wolbachia*-infected matrilines of *D. biauraria* carried distinct mitochondrial haplotypes; therefore, we postulate that the evolutionary history of the MK *Wolbachia* genome is shaped by the independent invasion of *D. biauraria* by wBiau1 and wBiau2.

This study is limited in that it could not establish the selective pressures that have driven *Wolbachia* genome changes. Further comparative genomics of closely related *Wolbachia* strains in a host species and experimental evolutionary assays, such as studying genome changes after *Wolbachia* transfer into a new host, may shed light on their genome evolution dynamics as well as the evolutionary interactions between *Wolbachia* and host insects.

Ethics. This work did not require ethical approval from a human subject or animal welfare committee.

**Data accessibility.** The sequence read data were deposited in the DDBJ under the accession numbers PRJDB16258 (BioProject), SAMD00634859–SAMD00634860 (BioSample), and DRA016759 (DRA). Wolbachia genomes are available in the DDBJ database under the accession numbers wBiau1 (AP028655) and wBiau2 (AP028656). Any additional information required to reanalyse the data reported in this paper is accessible from the Dryad Digital Repository: https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.j9kd51cjh [38].

Supplementary material is available online [56].

Authors' contributions. H.A.: conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, funding acquisition, investigation, validation, visualization, writing—original draft, writing—review and editing; M.W.: conceptualization, funding acquisition, investigation, project administration, resources, writing—review and editing; D.K.: conceptualization, funding acquisition, investigation, methodology, project administration, resources, supervision, writing—review and editing.

All authors gave final approval for publication and agreed to be held accountable for the work performed therein. **Conflict of interest declaration.** We declare we have no competing interests.

**Funding.** This work was supported by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) Research Fellowships for Young Scientists [grant number 21J00895], JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research [grant Numbers 15K07189, 18K06383, 22K14902 and 23H02229], and the Cabinet Office, Government of Japan, and the Cross-ministerial Moonshot Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries Research and Development Program [grant number JPJ009237].

**Acknowledgements.** We thank Dr Takehiro K. Katoh (Department of Biological Sciences, Tokyo Metropolitan University) for his kind help with fieldwork.

# References

- Hurst GD. 2017 Extended genomes: symbiosis and evolution. *Interface Focus* 7, 20170001. (doi:10.1098/rsfs.2017.0001)
- 2. Hornett EA, Kageyama D, Hurst GDD. 2022 Sex determination systems as the interface between

male-killing bacteria and their hosts. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **289**, 20212781. (doi:10.1098/ rspb.2021.2781)

 Hurst GDD, Majerus ME. 1993 Why do maternally inherited microorganisms kill males? *Heredity* **71**, 81–95. (doi:10.1038/ hdy.1993.110)

 Kageyama D, Narita S, Watanabe M. 2012 Insect sex determination manipulated by their endosymbionts: incidences, mechanisms and

royalsocietypublishing.org/journal/rsos R. Soc. Open Sci. 11: 231502

9

implications. *Insects* **3**, 161–199. (doi:10.3390/ insects3010161)

- Takamatsu T, Arai H, Abe N, Nakai M, Kunimi Y, Inoue MN. 2021 Coexistence of two male-killers and their impact on the development of oriental tea tortrix *Homona magnanima*. *Microb. Ecol.* 81, 193–202. (doi:10.1007/s00248-020-01566-x)
- Majerus ME, Hurst GDD. 1997 Ladybirds as a model system for the study of male-killing symbionts. *Entomophaga* 42, 13–20.
- Stouthamer R, Breeuwer JA, Hurst GDD. 1999 Wolbachia pipientis: microbial manipulator of arthropod reproduction. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 53, 71–102. (doi:10.1146/annurev.micro. 53.1.71)
- Werren JH, Baldo L, Clark ME. 2008 Wolbachia: master manipulators of invertebrate biology. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 6, 741–751. (doi:10.1038/ nrmicro1969)
- Zug R, Hammerstein P. 2012 Still a host of hosts for *Wolbachia*: analysis of recent data suggests that 40% of terrestrial arthropod species are infected. *PLoS ONE* 7, e38544. (doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0038544)
- Charlat S, Hurst GDD, Merçot H. 2003 Evolutionary consequences of *Wolbachia* infections. *Trends Genet.* **19**, 217–223. (doi:10. 1016/S0168-9525(03)00024-6)
- Hurst GDD, Jiggins FM. 2000 Male-killing bacteria in insects: mechanisms, incidence, and implications. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 6, 329. (doi:10. 3201/eid0604.000402)
- Hornett EA, Charlat S, Duplouy AMR, Davies N, Roderick GK, Wedell N, Hurst GDD. 2006 Evolution of male-killer suppression in a natural population. *PLoS Biol.* 4, e283. (doi:10.1371/ journal.pbio.0040283)
- Arai H, Lin SR, Nakai M, Kunimi Y, Inoue MN. 2020 Closely related male-killing and nonmalekilling *Wolbachia* strains in the oriental tea tortrix *Homona magnanima*. *Microb. Ecol.* 79, 1011–1020. (doi:10.1007/s00248-019-01469-6)
- Woolfit M et al. 2013 Genomic evolution of the pathogenic Wolbachia strain, wMelPop. Genome Biol. Evol. 5, 2189–2204. (doi:10.1093/gbe/ evt169)
- Lindsey AR, Rice DW, Bordenstein SR, Brooks AW, Bordenstein SR, Newton IL. 2018 Evolutionary genetics of cytoplasmic incompatibility genes cifA and cifB in prophage WO of *Wolbachia. Genome Biol. Evol.* 10, 434–451. (doi:10.1093/gbe/evy012)
- Newton IL, Clark ME, Kent BN, Bordenstein SR, Qu J, Richards S, Kelkar YD, Werren JH. 2016 Comparative genomics of two closely related *Wolbachia* with different reproductive effects on hosts. *Genome Biol. Evol.* 8, 1526–1542. (doi:10. 1093/gbe/evw096)
- Chrostek E, Teixeira L. 2015 Mutualism breakdown by amplification of *Wolbachia* genes. *PLoS Biol.* 13, e1002065. (doi:10.1371/journal. pbio.1002065)
- Arai H et al. 2023 Combined actions of bacteriophage-encoded genes in Wolbachiainduced male lethality. *iScience* 26, 106842. (doi:10.1016/j.isci.2023.106842)
- Muro T, Hikida H, Fujii T, Kiuchi T, Katsuma S. 2023 Two complete genomes of male-killing

*Wolbachia* infecting *Ostrinia* moth species illuminate their evolutionary dynamics and association with hosts. *Microb. Ecol.* 86, 1740–1754.

- Campo N, Dias MJ, Daveran-Mingot ML, Ritzenthaler P, Le Bourgeois P. 2004 Chromosomal constraints in Gram-positive bacteria revealed by artificial inversions. *Mol. Microbiol.* 51, 511–522. (doi:10.1046/j.1365-2958.2003.03847.x)
- Raeside C et al. 2014 Large chromosomal rearrangements during a long-term evolution experiment with *Escherichia coli*. *mBio* 5, e01377–14. (doi:10.1128/mBio. 01377-14)
- Kageyama D, Yoshimura K, Sugimoto TN, Katoh TK, Watada M. 2017 Maternally transmitted non-bacterial male killer in *Drosophila biauraria*. *Biol. Lett.* **13**, 20170476. (doi:10.1098/rsbl. 2017.0476)
- Kageyama D, Harumoto T, Nagamine K, Fujiwara A, Sugimoto TN, Jouraku A, Tamura M, Katoh TK, Watada M. 2023 A male-killing gene encoded by a symbiotic virus of *Drosophila*. *Nat. Commun.* 14, 1357. (doi:10.1038/s41467-023-37145-0)
- Li H. 2018 Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics* 34, 3094–3100. (doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/ bty191)
- Kolmogorov M, Yuan J, Lin Y, Pevzner PA. 2019 Assembly of long, error-prone reads using repeat graphs. *Nat. Biotech.* 37, 540–546. (doi:10.1038/s41587-019-0072-8)
- Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin R. 2009 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup. The sequence alignment/map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* 25, 2078–2079. (doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352)
- Wick RR, Schultz MB, Zobel J, Holt KE. 2015 Bandage: interactive visualization of de novo genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics* 31, 3350–3352. (doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/ btv383)
- Walker BJ *et al.* 2014 Pilon: an integrated tool for comprehensive microbial variant detection and genome assembly improvement. *PLoS One* **9**, e112963. (doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0112963)
- Tanizawa Y, Fujisawa T, Nakamura Y. 2018 DFAST: a flexible prokaryotic genome annotation pipeline for faster genome publication. *Bioinformatics* 34, 1037–1039. (doi:10.1093/ bioinformatics/btx713)
- Arndt D, Grant JR, Marcu A, Sajed T, Pon A, Liang Y, Wishart DS. 2016 PHASTER: a better, faster version of the PHAST phage search tool. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44, W16–W21. (doi:10.1093/ nar/gkw387)
- Xie Z, Tang H. 2017 ISEScan: automated identification of insertion sequence elements in prokaryotic genomes. *Bioinformatics* 33, 3340–3347. (doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/ btx433)
- LePage DP et al. 2017 Prophage WO genes recapitulate and enhance Wolbachia-induced cytoplasmic incompatibility. Nature 543, 243–247. (doi:10.1038/nature21391)

- Perlmutter JI, Bordenstein SR, Unckless RL, LePage DP, Metcalf JA, Hill T, Martinez J, Jiggins FM, Bordenstein SR. 2019 The phage gene wmk is a candidate for male killing by a bacterial endosymbiont. *PLoS Pathog.* **15**, e1007936. (doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1007936)
- Beckmann JF, Ronau JA, Hochstrasser M. 2017 A Wolbachia deubiquitylating enzyme induces cytoplasmic incompatibility. Nat. Microbiol. 2, 1–7. (doi:10.1038/nmicrobiol.2017.7)
- Katsuma S et al. 2022 A Wolbachia factor for male killing in lepidopteran insects. Nat. Commun. 13, 6764. (doi:10.1038/s41467-022-34488-y)
- Kumar S, Stecher G, Tamura K. 2016 MEGA7: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 33, 1870–1874. (doi:10.1093/molbev/ msw054)
- Folmer O, Black M, Hoeh W, Lutz R, Vrijenhoek R. 1994 DNA primers for amplification of mitochondrial cytochrome C oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates. *Mol. Mar. Biol. Biotechnol.* 3, 294–299.
- Arai H, Watada M, Kageyama D. 2024 Two male-killing Wolbachia from Drosophila birauraia that are closely related but distinct in genome structure. Dryad Digital Repository. (doi:10.5061/dryad.j9kd51cjh)
- Brüssow H, Canchaya C, Hardt WD. 2004 Phages and the evolution of bacterial pathogens: from genomic rearrangements to lysogenic conversion. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 68, 560–602. (doi:10.1128/MMBR.68.3.560– 602.2004)
- Moran NA, Plague GR. 2004 Genomic changes following host restriction in bacteria. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* 14, 627–633. (doi:10.1016/j.gde. 2004.09.003)
- Wernegreen JJ. 2002 Genome evolution in bacterial endosymbionts of insects. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 3, 850–861. (doi:10.1038/nrg931)
- Moran NA, McCutcheon JP, Nakabachi A. 2008 Genomics and evolution of heritable bacterial symbionts. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* 42, 165–190. (doi:10.1146/annurev.genet.41.110306.130119)
- Gómez-Valero L, Rocha EP, Latorre A, Silva FJ. 2007 Reconstructing the ancestor of Mycobacterium leprae: the dynamics of gene loss and genome reduction. *Genome Res.* 17, 1178–1185. (doi:10.1101/gr.6360207)
- Andersson SG, Kurland CG. 1998 Reductive evolution of resident genomes. *Trends Microbiol.* 6, 263–268. (doi:10.1016/S0966-842X(98)01312-2)
- Moya A, Peretó J, Gil R, Latorre A. 2008 Learning how to live together: genomic insights into prokaryote–animal symbioses. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 9, 218–229. (doi:10.1038/nrg2319)
- Bordenstein SR, Reznikoff WS. 2005 Mobile DNA in obligate intracellular bacteria. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 3, 688–699. (doi:10.1038/ nrmicro1233)
- Moran NA, Mira A. 2001 The process of genome shrinkage in the obligate symbiont *Buchnera* aphidicola. Genome Biol. 2, 1–12. (doi:10.1186/ gb-2001-2-12-research0054)
- Tamas I, Klasson L, Canback B, Naslund AK, Eriksson AS, Wernegreen JJ, Sandström JP,

Moran NA, Andersson SG. 2002 50 million years of genomic stasis in endosymbiotic bacteria. *Science*. **296**, 2376–2379. (doi:10.1126/science. 1071278)

- Van Ham RC *et al.* 2003 Reductive genome evolution in Buchnera aphidicola. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **100**, 581–586. (doi:10.1073/ pnas.0235981100)
- Rousset F, Solignac M. 1995 Evolution of single and double Wolbachia symbioses during speciation in the Drosophila simulans complex. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 92, 6389–6393. (doi:10.1073/pnas.92.14.6389)
- 51. Duplouy A *et al.* 2013 Draft genome sequence of the male-killing *Wolbachia* strain wBol1

reveals recent horizontal gene transfers from diverse sources. *BMC. Genom.* **14**, 1–13. (doi:10. 1186/1471-2164-14-20)

- Arai H, Takamatsu T, Lin SR, Mizutani T, Omatsu T, Katayama Y, Nakai M, Kunimi Y, Inoue MN. 2022 Diverse molecular mechanisms underlying microbe-inducing male killing in the moth *Homona magnanima. Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 89, e02095–22.
- Arai H, Inoue MN, Kageyama D. 2022 Malekilling mechanisms vary between *Spiroplasma* species. *Front. Microbiol.* 13, 1075199. (doi:10. 3389/fmicb.2022.1075199)
- 54. Hornett EA, Duplouy AM, Davies N, Roderick GK, Wedell N, Hurst GDD, Charlat S. 2008

You can't keep a good parasite down: evolution of a male-killer suppressor uncovers cytoplasmic incompatibility. *Evolution* **62**, 1258–1263. (doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.2008. 00353.x)

- Hornett EA, Engelstädter J, Hurst GDD. 2010 Hidden cytoplasmic incompatibility alters the dynamics of male-killer/host interactions. J. Evol. Biol. 23, 479–487. (doi:10.1111/j.1420-9101.2009.01872.x)
- Arai H, Watada M, Kageyama D. 2024 Two male-killing Wolbachia from Drosophila birauraia that are closely related but distinct in genome structure. Figshare. (doi:10.6084/m9. figshare.c.6991843)

10