Thursday June 5, 1980

Part III

Department of Health and Human Services

Health Care Financing Administration

Medicare and Medicaid Programs; Schedule of Limits on Home Health Agency Costs Per Visit

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Health Care Financing Administration

Medicare and Medicaid Programs; Schedule of Limits on Home Health Agency Costs Per Visit

AGENCY: Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA), HHS. ACTION: Final notice.

SUMMARY: This Notice sets forth a schedule of limits on home health agency (HHA) costs that may be reimbursed under the Medicare program. Limits are expressed as costs per visit. Although separate limits are established by type of service, limits are applied to each home health agency as a single "aggregate" limit, based on the agency's number of visits for each type of service. This is an annual update of the schedule and replaces the schedule published in the Federal Register on June 1, 1979 (44 FR 31814). It applies to the entire cost reporting period of a HHA whose cost reporting period begins on or after July 1, 1980.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION, CONTACT: Carl Slutter, 301–594–9344.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Section 1861(v)(1) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395x(v)(1)) authorizes the Secretary to set prospective limits on allowable costs incurred by a provider of services that will be reimbursed under Medicare, based on estimates of the costs necessary in the efficient delivery of needed health services. The limits may be applied to direct overall costs or to the costs incurred for specific items or services furnished by the provider. This provision of the statute is implemented by regulations at 42 CFR 405.460.

Under this authority, initial limits on home health agency per visit costs by type of service were published June 1, 1979, and became effective July 1, 1979. These limits were based on: (1) a classification system of HHAs according to their location within a Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area (SMSA), a New England County Metropolitan Area (NECMA) or a non-SMSA; (2) limits set at the 80th percentile; and (3) an inflation factor based upon estimates by the Office of Financial and Actuarial Analysis, HCFA, of increases in the average per visit interim reimbursement and the application of a formula established by the Council on Wage and Price Stability. The initial limits were

applied on an aggregate basis, i.e., the Medicare allowable costs for all services are totaled and compared to an overall limit computed for each agency by multiplying the number of Medicare visits for each service by the respective per visit cost limit.

Summary of Changes

A proposed revised schedule of limits on home health per visit costs was published in the Federal Register on February 15, 1980 (45 FR 10450). It included several changes from the previous schedule of limits that was effective July 1, 1979 including provisions for:

(a) a classification system based on whether a HHA is provider-based or free-standing; (b) a market basket index developed from the price of goods and services purchased by HHAs; (c) a wage index developed from hospital wages; (d) a cost of living adjustment for Alaska and Hawaii; and (e) limits applied by type of service; and (f) limits set at the 80th percentile.

Five of these proposed changes are retained in this final notice. The proposed change we are not adopting is the application of separate limits by type of service. Thus, this new schedule of limits on home health agency costs provides for:

1. A classification system based on whether a HHA is provider-based or free-standing. (A "provider-based" HHA is one that participates in Medicare as part of a hospital, skilled nursing facility, or rehabilitation facility.) In addition, agencies are classified according to whether the HHA is located within a SMSA, a NECMA, or a non-SMSA.

2. A market basket index, developed from the price of goods and services purchased by HHAs. Its purpose is to account for the impact of changing wage and price levels on HHA costs. This index is used to adjust HHA cost data from July 1, 1980 to the midpoint of the cost reporting periods to which the limits will apply.

3. A wage index, developed from hospital wages, used to adjust the wage component of the limits to reflect differing wage levels among the areas in which HHAs are located. The wage and salary portion of the market basket index (64.99 percent), plus a factor representing the wage portion of contract services (4.79 percent), is used to determine the wage component (69.78 percent) for all group limits.

4. A cost of living adjustment applied to the non-labor portion of the limit for Alaska and Hawaii.

5. Limits set at the 80th percentile.

6. Application of the limits in the aggregate after the provider's actual costs are reduced by the amount of individual items of cost that are found to be excessive under Medicare principles of provider reimbursement and of reimbursable costs that are not included in the limitation amount.

Discussion of Major Comments

Comments and suggestions concerning the proposed schedule were received from a number of national and State organizations, home health agencies, and individuals including the American Hospital Association, National League for Nursing, and National Association of Home Health Agencies. Our responses to the most significant comments follow.

Establishment of Separate Limits for Provider-Based and Free-Standing Agencies

1. Most commenters objected to the adoption of separate limits for providerbased and free-standing agencies. Representatives of free-standing agencies objected to the separate category for hospital-based agencies claiming that separate limits would threaten the continuance of their operation by enabling more hospitals to enter the market and to compete with free-standing agencies in the provision of home health services. Representatives of provider-based agencies favored separate limits because those limits take into account the influence of required Medicare hospital cost allocation on the hospital-based agency.

As explained in the proposed notice, separate limits for provider-based agencies are not intended to jeopardize free-standing agencies; but rather to assure that the limits will not cause an arbitrary disallowance of costs among existing provider-based agencies, solely as a result of a provider's compliance with Medicare rules of cost reporting.

As a result of experience in implementing the initial schedule of limits on home health agency costs, we analyzed cost reports of provider-based HHAs to determine the effect of cost allocation on costs of provider-based agencies. From this analysis, we determined nationwide that approximately 26 percent of the total cost of hospital-based agencies represent costs allocated from the parent hospital. Program instructions require most providers to use a stepdown method of cost finding. This methodology results in allocation of both direct and indirect costs from general service cost centers to those revenue-producing cost centers that receive services. Provider-based HHAs

must develop home care costs in their step-down or other cost finding procedures and report them as a separate cost center for the home health care department on the hospital/skilled nursing facility cost reporting forms. These accumulated home health center costs including overhead allocations from the parent institution, are then transferred to the required home health reporting form. As a result of this transfer, the hospital-based agency reports a share of the costs from the hospital's overhead accounts not directly commensurate with costs incurred by free-standing agencies. Considering these circumstances, cost limits applied equally to provider-based and free-standing agencies would contain an automatic presumption that the provider-based agencies are more likely to be inefficient regardless of their performance, because they would not recognize the transfer of costs from the provider institution.

2. A number of commenters suggested revising the method used to determine costs in a provider-based agency rather than establishing separate limits for provider-based agencies.

In order to develop cost limits, we have needed to rely on existing cost data that reflects the cost-finding methodology now in effect. Although methods for determining cost can be changed, we do not believe a change in cost finding could be implemented retroactively. Therefore, we do not believe this suggestion represents an immediate alternative to separate recognition of provider-based home health agencies. However, we do plan to study the existing cost reporting/cost finding requirements for hospitals to determine if allocation procedures should be changed in the future.

In granting authority to establish Medicare cost limits, the Congress recognized that costs could vary among institutions as a result of identifiable factors not directly related to actual inefficiency or excessive service.

Committee reports accompanying the legislation indicated that limits would be applied to appropriate classes of providers. As indicated in the discussion of comment 1, we believe separate limits are in appropriate means of recognizing the result of cost allocation, a circumstance not necessarily indicative of inefficiency or excessive service.

Although we believe that establishing separate limits as a means of recognizing overhead costs allocation of provider-based agencies is reasonable based on our analysis of Medicare cost data, we will continue to investigate all identifiable factors that may contribute to higher costs in provider-based

agencies. We will address any findings and refinements in an updated schedule of limits. In the interim, we will not penalize the provider-based agencies and continue with combined limits.

3. Some commenters also suggested that the exceptions process be used to acknowledge provider-based agencies overhead allocation instead of separate limits. (42 CFR 405.460(f)(2) permits HCFA to grant an exception to the limits if the HHA can show that it incurred higher costs due to extraordinary circumstances beyond its control.) The respondents contended that higher limits for provider-based agencies may lead to rapid proliferation and duplication of these agencies.

We view the exceptions process as a system designed for limited use in dealing with isolated or unpredictable circumstances. In adition, we do not believe the provider should be subject to a burden of proof (as required in the exceptions process) as a result of following accepted methods of cost finding. The limits as set forth reflect a modification of an evolving system. Our experience with this system may produce additional factors warranting consideration. We are continuing to evaluate the factors contributing to differences in the costs of the providerbased and free-standing agencies, and as information becomes available refinements may be made. Therefore, we do not believe that the exceptions process should be the means for recognizing hospital overhead allocation.

Market Basket Index

1. Most commenters approved the introduction of an HHA market basket as a measure of inflation; however,

some responses indicated a basic misunderstanding of the background and formulation of the market basket. Most of the commenters objecting to the market basket index were concerned about using the hospital wage index rather than an index developed specifically for HHA employees. Commenters were equally divided in suggesting that hospital wages and benefits are either too low or high a measurement for predicting HHA rates of inflation. Additionally, several commenters felt that benefits/wages in large hospitals are frequently less costly than in smaller agencies because the individual cost decreases as the number of employees increases.

The initial schedule of home health limits used actuarial projection of changes in HHA interim reimbursement rates for inflation adjustments. We believe that the actual increase in a market basket of goods and services specifically related to the particular industry is a more accurate measure of inflation than any actuarial projection. Through our analysis of expenditures of HHAs, we identified nine major categories of cost and used these to develop the market basket published in the proposed notice. We identified the nine categories through analysis of Medicare cost reports and other available home health industry surveys. The categories and the relative weights reflect characteristics peculiar to the home health industry. The price variables are econometric measures that are related to the cost category and produced broadly and frequently enough to support actual inflation increases. For further explanatory purposes, we have included an updated market basket

Home Health Agency Input "Price" Index: Cost Categories, Weights, Forecasters and "Price" Variable Used

index.

		Use	O .
Cost category	Relative ¹ weight 1978	Forecaster	"Price" variable used
Wages and Salaries	64.99	***************************************	A. Historical—Payroll expenses per full-time equivalent worker employed by community hospitals.
		DRI-CFS	Source: American Hospital Association Panel Survey, B. Projections—For the period calendar year 1979 average hourly earnings of production and nonsupervisory workers on private nonagricultural payrolls, service industry. For the period calendar year 1980 and thereafter—Percentage change in average hourly earnings of hospital industry workers (sic 806).
			Source: U.S. Dept. of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Employment and Earnings, Table C-2.
Employee Benefits	8.61	******************	A. Historical—Employee benefits per full-time equivalent worker employed by community hospitals. Source: American Hospital Association, National Hospital Panel Survey.
		DRI-MM	B. Projection—Supplements to wages and salaries per worker in nonagricultural establishments. Source: For supplements to wages and salaries—U.S. Dept. of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, Survey of Current Business, (monthly) Table 7 (1.13) July issue has detailed components. For total employment—U.S. Dept. of Labor, Bureau of Labor
T	4 70	00, 000	Statistics, Employment and Earnings, Table B-4.
Transportation	4.73	DRI-CFS	Transportation component of the consumer price index, all urban.

Home Health Agency Input "Price" Index: Cost Categories, Weights, Forecasters and "Price" Variable Used—Continued

Cost category	Relative ¹ weight Forecaster 1978	"Price" variable used		
			Source: U.S. Dept. of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Monthly Labor Review, Table 2.	
Office costs	2.78	DRI-MM	Services component of consumer price index, all urban. Source: U.S. Dept. of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Monthly Labor Review, Table 2.	
Rent	1.30	4504004486486646444	Residential rent component of Consumer Price Index, all urban.	
			Source: U.S. Dept. of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Monthly Labor Review, Table 23.	
		DRI-MM, HCFA-DHEW	B. Projection—Historical relationship of rental component of consumer price index, all urban, for 1977 and 1978 to all item consumer price index, all urban, projected to subse- quent years.	
Nonrental space occupancy costs.	1.16	DRI-MM	Composite fuel and other Utilities index. Source: DHEW- HCFA, Community Hospital Input Price Index.	
Medical nursing supplies and rental equipment.	2.69	***************************************	A. Historical—1978: Medical equipment and supplies component of the consumer price index. Prior to 1978: commodities component of consumer price index, all urban.	
			Source: U.S. Dept. of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Monthly Labor Review, Table.	
		DRI-CFS	 B. Projection—Medical commodities component of the con- sumer price index, all urban. 	
			Source: U.S. Dept. of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics Monthly Labor Review, Table 23.	
Miscellaneous	6.95	DRI-MM	Consumer price index for all items, all urban. Source: U.S. Dept. of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics Monthly Labor Review, Table 23.	
Contract services	6.87	DHEW-HCFA		
Total	100.00			

¹Relative cost weights for 1978 were derived from special studies by the Health Care Financing Administration using primarily data from the Council of Home Health Agencies and Community Health Services and data from HCFA Medicare cost reports. A laspeyres price index was constructed using weights and "price" variables indicated in this table. In calendar 1978 each "price" variable has an index value of 100.00. The relative cost weights change each period in accordance with "price" changes for each "price" variable. Cost categories with relatively higher "price" increases get relatively higher cost weights and vice versa.

Sources: DRI-MM refers to Data Resources, Inc., Macro Model; 29 Hartwell Ave., Lexington, Massachusetts 02173, Control 042379. DRI-CFS refers to Data Resources, Inc., Cost Forecasting Service, 1750 K St. NW., Washington, D.C. 20006, CFS-792.

Wage Index

 Many commenters criticized the use of a wage index based on hospital data from the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS).

Our position is that data from currently available sources would not permit further breakdowns within occupational categories or computation of an index specifically related to salaries in the home health industry. Therefore, in response to suggestions following implementation of the initial schedule of limits that an adjustment should be made for area wage differentials, we adopted the hospital wage index as the best available proxy.

2. Several commenters also questioned the validity of the wage index, suggesting that it ignores the impact of unionization on salary ranges of both union and non-union agencies. Other commenters suggested that the wage index does not recognize areawage differences.

We have previously considered the contention that union contracts impact wages and benefits. We continue to believe that labor contracts reflect not only union demands, but also management's awareness of the many

methods of tying labor contracts to the cost of living adjustment and of management's negotiating ability. The updated wage index, which is applied to the portion of the cost limit attributable to wages, is based on the most current data available and recognizes differences in area wages. The impact of wages escalating as a result of union contracts now being negotiated will be reflected in future BLS data.

3. A few commenters suggested development of a wage index based on salary data from Medicare cost reports.

The employment statistics needed to develop a wage index are not available from the cost reports.

A wage index specifically related to HHA experience may eventually become possible through our continued evaluation of HHA data or development of additional sources of wage and salary information. The wage index published in the proposed notice was based on data for 1977, which were the latest available data. We stated in the proposed notice that, should more current data become available, we would update the index. In the interim, data for 1978 have become available; consequently, the wage index published

in this notice utilizes 1978 data and changes in the basic service limits reflect use of these more current data.

It should be noted that the basic service limits published in this notice do not represent a change in the level at which the limits are set. The basic service limits represent the 80th percentile of costs in each provider group after deflation for the effect of wage differentials. These basic limit amounts are adjusted by the appropriate area wage index prior to application. The wage indices based on 1978 wage data differ from those published in the proposed notice (usually the 1978 index value is greater). This difference in the wage indices accounts for the change in the basic service limits contained in this schedule.

Constraints inherent in the use of the BLS data prevented derivation of a wage index applicable to Puerto Rico, and none is included in the list of indices. However, a wage-index value of 1 will be assumed for applying the limits in Puerto Rico, effectively making no adjustment relative to the national average.

Other Comments

1. We received several comments that the proposed notice did not contain actual percentages of the cost of living adjustment for HHAs located in Alaska and Hawaii.

The proposed limits contained a provision to increase the limits that apply to Alaska and Hawaii by the amount of the Office of Personnel Management cost of living differential for those States. Analysis of the impact of the limits on these States has convinced us that the provision should be retained; however, through further analysis, we have determined that this adjustment should apply only to the non-wage portion of the limit. The wage portion of the limit is adjusted by the wage index which reflects differing wage levels among the areas in which HHAs are located; consequently, if the cost of living adjustment is applied to the total limit, the labor portion would receive a second adjustment of the same cost differential. To prevent any disadvantage to HHAs located in Alaska and Hawaii, we will contine to adjust the non-wage portion of the limit by the cost of living adjustment. The areas affected by the differential are surveyed and updated yearly, therefore, the rate may be adjusted up or down. The figures in the footnote to Table II reflect the most recently determined cost of living differential.

2. Several commenters indicated that setting limits by type of service represented an improvement to the

aggregate methodology; however, many questioned the timeliness of establishing per visit costs by type of service, in view of variations in curretn cost reporting methods. These commenters suggested that the aggregate methodology be continued until the single method of cost finding and apportionment is implemented and sufficient data are available upon which to set valid per visit limits by type of service.

We agree that the aggregate methodology should be continued at the present time. However, we believe this method allows providers to offset some cost of inefficient delivery of services and it is our objective to move to a per discipline limit as soon as possible. Waiting until the single method of cost finding and cost apportionment is implemented and data are available is one method, as pointed out in comments, but we will be studying the issue carefully to see if a conversion to a per discipline limit can be accomplished earlier. The Department intends to publish a final rule requiring a uniform method of cost-finding and costapportionment to be effective for cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 1980 (see notice of proposed rulemaking, 45 FR 10382, February 15, 1980)

3. Some comments were received that questioned the statement contained in the proposed notice that methods of reimbursement for HHAs under Medicaid are determined by the individual State agencies and that, therefore, Medicare limits do not apply to payment rates for HHA services under Medicaid. These commenters questioned this statement in relation to HCFA Action Transmittal 79–59, which stated the proposed schedule of HHA limits would also apply to Medicaid payments for these services.

We do not have specific regulatory authority for applying Medicare cost limits to Medicaid payments to HHAs. Because of differing reimbursement methods and the absence of clear regulatory authority to apply Medicare cost limits to HHA services under Medicaid, Action Transmittal 79–59 was rescinded by Action Transmittal 80–16 on March 18, 1980. Therefore, Medicare cost limits for HHAs will apply only to Medicaid payments in those States that choose to incorporate the limits into their plans for payment for home health services.

4. Several commenters requested further clarification of the definition of a provider-based agency under the cost limits.

An HHA is determined to be hospitalbased when it is an integral and subordinate part of a hospital and is operated with other departments of the hospital under common licensure, governance, and professional supervision; all services of both the hospital and the HHA are fully integrated. Specifically, an HHA is hospital-based if the following conditions are met:

The HHA and hospital are subject to the bylaws and operating decisions of a common governing board.

The HHA and hospital are financially integrated as evidenced by the cost report, which must reflect allocation of hospital overhead to the HHA through the required step-down methodology, and by common billing for all services of both facilities. (See § 2326 of the Provider Reimbursement Manual, HIM 15–1.)

The existence of either (1) an agreement between an HHA and a hospital with respect to the referral of patients or (2) a shared service arrangement (a common arrangement recognized by both Medicare and Medicaid) does not mean an HHA is hospital-based and is not considered in determining the status of the facility.

5. Commenters requested further clarification concerning parent agency/subunit relationship, manner of filing cost reports, factors determining applicable cost caps, and characteristics

of branch offices. State health department home health agencies with subunits are permitted to file a single combined cost report under the 7800 series of provider numbers. For these official agencies, location of the parent agency determines the applicable cost cap. A home health agency operating as a branch office (see 42 CFR 405.1202) of a parent agency, although located in a different area, is still classified according to the geographic location of the parent office. Branch offices are included in the cost report prepared by the parent agency since branch offices by definition are dependent on the parent agency for administrative, supervisory and other services and therefore are not independently certified.

Except as noted above, subunits of private agencies and providers in a chain organization, and other groups of providers, operating informally under a shared service agreement, must file separate cost reports. These agencies are classified according to their actual location.

6. Some commenters also asked for further explanation of the application of the basic service limit, as it was their impression that each component of the limit represents a separate limit.

Although the basic service limit contains two components, i.e., labor and

non-labor, the sum of these two components is the limit for a specific service. To account for area wage differentials, the basic service limit is divided into its two components, and the labor portion is adjusted by the applicable wage index. The adjusted limit that will apply to a HHA visit will be the sum of the adjusted labor component plus the non-labor component.

7. Several commenters expressed concern that the three-year exemption for newly established HHAs would promote rapid proliferation of agencies.

The regulations make a distinction between exemptions from application of the cost limits and exceptions from the particular cost limit for a facility. 42 CFR 405.460(e)(2) provides that an exemption is available only to facilities serving inpatients, i.e., hospitals and skilled nursing facilities. If a provider of inpatient services receives an exemption, it is not affected at all by the cost limits and is reimbursed under Medicare principles according to the lower of its reasonable cost or customary charges. 42 CFR 405.460(f)(7) (published June 1, 1979 44 FR 31803) provides an exception for newly established HHAs (those in operation less than 3 full years). If an agency receives an exception, it is reimbursed on the basis of the cost limit, plus an incremental sum for the reasonable costs warranted by the circumstances that justified its exception. The purpose of the three year exception for newly established HHAs is to provide reasonable reimbursement for those agencies experiencing increased costs per visit in initial years of operation as a result of lower utilization.

Since the exception for HHAs does not exempt them totally from the cost limits and since each HHA applying for this exception must prove its case, we do not believe the provision will encourage the establishment of unnecessary new agencies.

Methodology for Determining Cost Per Visit Limits

1. Data. The limits were determined by using cost per visit data obtained from the latest Medicare cost reports for periods ending on or before September 30, 1978. We adjusted the data from the midpoint of each provider's cost reporting period to June 30, 1980, using factors developed from actual historical increases in home health agency reimbursement. The market basket index factors will be used to project costs from July 1, 1980, to the midpoint of the first cost reporting period to which the limits will apply. The annual

percentage increases for this projection

Calendar Year	Percent increase
1977	7.3
1978	6.9
1979	9.1
1980 (1/1/80-6/30/80)	9.9
1980 (market basket, 7/1/80-12/31/80)	10.2
1981 (market basket)	9.9
1982 (market basket)	9.8

The projected rate of increase in the market basket index will be adjusted to the actual inflation rate if the actual rate of increase is more than ¼ of 1 percentage point above the estimated rate. We will publish the actual rate of increase in the Federal Register and use it to adjust a home health agency's cost limit at time of final settlement.

2. Deflation by Wage Index. Each HHA's per visit costs are divided into wage and non-wage portions. The wage portion of costs is determined by using the 69.78% routine wage factor derived from the market basket weight (64.99%) for employee wages and salaries, plus a wage percentage (4.79%) of contract services. This wage portion is then divided by the wage index applicable to the HHA's location to arrive at an adjusted wage cost. (See table IV.) This adjusted wage cost is then added to the non-wage cost to obtain the per visit cost used to calculate the basic service limit.

The current hospital wage index was developed from data for the year 1978 supplied by the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) for the "hospital industry", a standard BLS reporting category. Data for 1979 will not be available until late in 1980.

To develop the hospital wage index, we first computed the national SMSA, or NECMA average hospital wage. We then divided this average into the average hospital wage for each SMSA (or NECMA). For non-SMSA areas, we developed the index by computing the national non-SMSA average hospital wage and then divided this average into the average hospital wage for all non-SMSA counties in a State. The results are expressed as index numbers which are used to adjust the labor-related components of the limits.

3. Basic Service Limit. A basic service limit equal to the 80th percentile of the array was calculated for each type of service, according to the provider-based and free-standing classification and the urban or non-urban location of the

4. Computing the Adjusted Limit. the basic limit for each type of service is divided into its wage and non-wage components. The cost weight (69.78)

percent) representing wage and salary expenditures is used to determine the wage component of the cost limit. The wage component of the basic service limit is then multiplied by the wage index. (See Table IV.) The adjusted limit which applies to each service group is the sum of the non-wage component of the basic limit, plus the adjusted wage component.

Example—Calculation of Adjusted Limit.

Limit from Schedule—\$42.67 Labor portion—\$29.77 Non-labor portion—\$12.90 Wage Index—\$1.2504.

Computation of Adjusted Limit

\$29.77×1.2504 (wage index)=\$37.22— Adjusted Labor Portion. \$37.22+12.90=\$50.12—Adjusted per visit limit for this HHA.

5. Adjustment for Reporting Year. If a HHA has a cost reporting period beginning on or after August 1, 1980, the adjusted per visit limit for each service will be revised upward by a factor of .825 percent for each elapsed month between July 1, 1980, and the month in which the HHA's cost reporting period starts. (The figure .825 is one twelfth of the calendar year projected market basket increase of 9.9 percent.) This factor is developed by dividing the projected increase in the market basket index by 12 and is used to account for inflation in costs that will occur after the date on which the limits become effective.

Example—HHA A's cost reporting period begins October 1, 1980. The adjusted per visit limit for A's group is \$50.12.

Computation of Revised Group Limit

Adjusted Per Visit Limit—\$50.12, Plus Adjustment for 3-month period. $3 \times .825 = 2.475$ percent $1.02475 \times $50.12 = 51.36

In this example, the revised adjusted per visit limit applicable to A for the cost reporting period beginning October 1, 1980, is \$51.36 per visit.

If a HHA uses a cost report period that is not 12 months in duration, a special calculation of the adjustment factor must be made. This results from the fact that projections are computed to the midpoint of a cost reporting period and the factor of .825 is based on an assumed 12-month reporting period. For cost reporting periods other than 12 months, the calculation must be done specifically for the midpoint of the cost reporting period. The HHA's intermediary will obtain this adjustment factor from HCFA.

Schedule of Limits

The schedule of limits set forth below applies to the 12-month cost reporting period beginning on or after July 1, 1980. The adjusted limits (using the wage index published in Table IV) will be computed by the fiscal intermediaries and each HHA will be notified of its applicable limit.

The limits also include the cost of medical supplies routinely furnished in conjunction with patient care. However, the costs of medical appliances and supplies that are not routinely furnished in conjunction with patient care visits and that are direct identifiable services to an individual patient are excluded from the per visit limit amounts. The reasonable costs of these items will be reimbursed without regard to the schedule of limits. Routinely furnished medical supplies are defined in the instructions of the New Medicare home health agency cost report that were made available for public comment earlier this year.

The limit is determined for each home health agency by multiplying the number of Medicare visits for each type of service furnished by the provider by the respective per visit cost limit. The sum of these amounts is compared to the home health agency's aggregate allowable cost.

Example: Home Health Agency A, a freestanding agency located in Ann Arbor, Michigan, made 5,000 skilled nursing, 1,000 physical therapy and 1,000 home health aide covered visits to Medicare beneficiaries during its 12-month cost reporting period beginning July 1, 1980.

The aggregate cost limit would be determined as follows:

Type of Visit	Visits	Limit	Adj. limit	
Skilled				
Nursing Physical	5,000	42.67	43.62	\$218,100
Therapy.	1,000	42.42	43.37	43,370
Home Health				
Aide	1,000	32.26	32.98	32,980
Aggregate C	ost Limit	0 1 2 4 0 7 2 770 0 770 0 770 7 100	Portugues	294,450

Before the limits are applied at cost settlement, the provider's actual costs will be reduced by the amount of individual items of cost (e.g., administrative compensation, contract services) that are found to be excessive under Medicare principles of provider reimbursement. In this regard, the fiscal intermediaries would review the various reported costs against such screens as the cost guidelines for physical therapy (see 42 CFR 405.432) and against the limitation on costs that are substantially

out of line with those of comparable agencies (see 42 CFR 405.451). The provider's cost would also be reduced by the amount of reimbursable costs that are not included in the limitation amount (e.g., medical appliances). HCFA will also examine the feasibility of applying additional screens to various types of costs incurred by HHAs.

A home health agency operating as a branch or subunit (not independently certified for Medicare participation) whose main office, as of the effective date of the schedule of limits, is located in a SMSA (or within a NECMA, if in New England) will be classified as metropolitan. (SMSA and NECMA counties are listed in Table III.) A home health agency whose main office is not located in a SMSA (or NECMA) will be classifed non-metropolitan. (See 42 CFR 405.1202 for definition of branch office.)

Table I—Per Visit Limits for Provider-Based Home Health Agency's

Type of visit	Limit for SMSA location	Labor portion (67.78%)	Non-labor portion (30.22%)
SMSA	(NECMA) Le	ocation	
Skilled nursing care	54.17	37.80	16.37
Physical therapy	47.87	33.40	14.47
Speech pathology	47.52	33.16	14.36
Occupational therapy	49.94	34.84	15.10
Medical social services.	54.54	38.06	16.48
Home health aide	47.36	33.05	14.31
Nor	-SMSA Loca	ation	
Skilled nursing care	47.23	32.96	14.27
Physical therapy	46.37	32.36	14.01
Speech pathology			
Occupational therapy	•	•	
Medical social services.			
Home health aide	42.95	29.97	• 12.98

*Insufficient data—Use basic services limits for free-standing non-SMSA agencies.

Table II—Per Visit Limits for Free-Standing Home Health Agency's 1

Type of visit	for SMSA location	Labor Portion (69.78)	Non-labor portion (30.22%)
SMSA	(NECMA) Lo	cation	
Skilled nursing care	42.67	29.77	12.90
Physical therapy	42.42	29.60	12.82
Speech pathology	44.04	30.73	13.31
Occupational therapy	45.24	31.57	13.67
Medical social services.	48.79	34.05	14.74
Home health aide	32.26	22.51	9.75
Nor	-SMSA Loca	tion	
Skilled nursing care	44.75	31.23	13.52
Physical Iherapy	49.62	34.62	15.00
Speech pathology	48.35	33.74	14.61
Occupational therapy	57.30	39.98	17.32
Medical social services.	43.46	30.33	13.13
Home health aide	31.49	21.97	9.52

Non-labor portion of limits for HHAs located in States of Alaska and Hawaii will be be increased by the following cost-

ining adjustment.	
laska:	25
awaii (island)	
Oahu	12.5
Kauai	15
Molokai	15
Maui and Lanai	10
Hawaii	10

Table III—SMSA Constituent Counties

City, State, and County

Abilene, TX; Callahan, Jones, Taylor Akron, OH; Portage, Summit Albany, GA; Dougherty, Lee Albany, Schenectady, NY; Albany, Montgomery

Troy, Rensselaer, Saratoga, Schenectady Albuquerque, NM; Bernalillo, Sandoval Alexandria, LA; Grant, Rapides Allentown, Bethlehem, Easton, PA-NJ; Warren, NJ, Carbon, Lehigh, Northampton Altoona, PA; Blair Amarillo, TX; Potter, Randall

Anaheim, Santa Ana, Garden Glove, CA;

Orange Anchorage, AK; Anchorage Anderson, IN; Madison Ann Arbor, MI; Washtenaw Anniston, AL; Calhoun

Appleton, Oshkosh, WI; Calumet, Outagamie, Winnebago

Asheville, NC; Buncombe, Madison Atlanta, GA; Butts, Clayton, Cherokee, Douglas, Cobb, Fayette, Forsyth, DeKalb, Henry, Newton, Fulton, Paulding, Gwinnett, Rockdale, Walton

Atlantic City, NJ; Atlantic Augusta, GA-SC; Columbia, GA, Richmond, GA, Aiken, SC

Austin, TX; Hays, Travis, Williamson Bakersfield, CA; Kern

Baltimore, MD; Anne Arundel, Baltimore, Baltimore City, Carroll, Harford, Howard Baton Rouge, LA; Ascension, East Baton

Rouge, Livingston, West Baton Rouge Battle Creek, MI; Barry, Calhoun Bay City, MI; Bay

Beaumont, Port Arthur, Orange, TX: Hardin. Jefferson, Orange Billings, MT; Yellowstone Biloxi, Gulfport, MS; Hancock, Harrison,

Stone Binghampton, NY-PA; Broome, Tioga,

Susquehanna Birmingham, AL; Jefferson, St. Clair, Shelby. Walker

Bismarch, ND; Burleigh, Morton Bloomington, IN; Monroe Bloomington, Normal, IL; McLeah Boise City, ID; Ada

Boston, Lowell, Brockton, Lawrence, Haverhill, MA; Essex, Middlesex, Norfolk, Suffolk, Plymouth, Rockingham, NH Bradenton, FL; Manatee

Bridgeport, Stamford, Norwalk, Danbury, CT; Fairfield Brownsville, Harlingen, San Benito, TX;

Cameron Bryan, College Station, TX; Brazos Buffalo, NY; Erie, Niagara Burlington, NC; Alamance

Caguas, PR; Caguas, Gurabo, San Lorenzo Canton, OH; Carroll, Stark

Cedar Rapids, IA; Linn Champaign, Urbana, Rantoul, IL; Champaign Charleston, North Charleston, SC; Berkeley, Charleston, Dorchester

Charleston, WV; Kanawha, Putnam Charlotte, Gastonia, NC; Gaston, Mecklenburg, Union

Chattanooga, TN-GA; Catoosa, Dade, Walker, Hamilton, Marion, Sequatchie Chicago, IL; Cook, DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will Cincinnati, OH-KY-IN; Dearborn, Boone, Campbell, Kenton, Clermont, Hamilton, Warren

Clarksville, TN; Montgomery Hopkinsville, KY; Christian Cleveland, OH; Cuyahoga, Geauga, Lake, Medina

Colorado Springs, CO; El Paso, Teller Columbia, MO; Boone

Columbia, SC; Lexington, Richland Columbus, GA, AL; Russell, Chattahoochee, Columbus City

Columbus, OH; Delaware, Fairfield, Franklin, Madison, Pickaway

Corpus Christi, TX; Nueces, San Patricio Dallas, Fort Worth, TX; Collin, Dallas, Denton, Ellis, Hood, Johnson, Kaufman, Parker, Rockwall, Tarrant, Wise Davenport, Rock, IA; Henry

Island, Moline, IL; Rock Island, Scott Dayton, OH; Greene, Miami, Montgomery, Preble

Daytona Beach, FL; Volusia Decatur, IL; Macon

Denver, Boulder, CO; Adams, Arapahoe, Boulder, Denver, Douglas, Gilpin, Jefferson

Des Moines, IA; Polk, Warren Detroit, MI; Lapeer, Livingston, Macomb, Oakland, St. Clair, Wayne

Dubuque, IA; Dubuque
Duluth, Superior, MN, WI; St. Louis, Douglas

Eau Claire, WI; Chippewa, Eau Claire Elkhart, IN; Elkhart Elmira, NY; Chemung

El Paso, TX; El Paso Enid, OK; Garfield Erie, PA; Erie

Eugene, Springfield, OR; Lane
Evansville, IN, KY; Gibson, Posey,
Vanderburgh, Warrick, Henderson
Farco Moorhead, ND, MN; Clay, Cas.

Fargo, Moorhead, ND, MN; Clay, Cass Fayetteville, NC; Cumberland Fayetteville, Springdale, AR; Benton, Washington

Flint, MI; Genesee, Shiawassee Florence, AL; Colbert, Lauderdale Fort Collins, CO; Larimer

Fort Lauderdale, Hollywood, FL; Broward Fort Myers, FL; Lee

Fort Smith, AR, OK; Crawford, Sebastian, Le Flore, Sequoyah

Fort Wayne, IN; Adams, Allen, De Kalb, Wells

Fresno, CA; Fresno Gadsden, AL; Etowah Gainesville, FL; Alachua

Galveston, Texas City, TX; Galveston Gary, Hammond, East Chicago, IN; Lake, Porter

Grand Forks, ND, MN; Grand Forks, Polk Grand Rapids, MI; Kent, Ottawa Great Falls, MT; Cascade

Greeley, CO; Weld Green Bay, WI; Brown

Greensboro, Winston-Salem, High Point, NC; Davidson, Forsyth, Guilford, Randolph, Stokes, Yadkin

Greenville, Spartanburg, SC; Greenville, Pickens, Spartanburg Hamilton, Middletown, OH; Butler

Hamilton, Middletown, OH; Butler Harrisburg, PA; Cumberland, Dauphin, Perry Hartford, New Britain, Bristol, CT; Harford, Middlesoy, Tolland, Litchfield

Middlesex, Tolland, Litchfield Honolulu, HI; Honolulu

Houston, TX; Brazoria, Fort Bend, Harris, Liberty, Montgomery, Waller Huntington, Ashland, WV, KY, OH; Boyd, Greenup, Lawrence, Cabell, Wayne Huntsville, AL; Limestone, Madison, Marshall Indianapolis, IN; Boone, Hamilton, Hancock, Hendricks, Johnson, Marion, Morgan, Shelby

Iowa City, IA; Johnson Jackson, MI; Jackson Jackson, MS; Hinds, Rankin

Jacksonville, FL; Baker, Clay, Duval, Nassau, St. James

Janesville, Beloit, WI; Rock

Jersey City, NJ; Hudson
Johnson City, Kingsport, Bristol, TN, VA;
Carter, Hawkins, Sullivan, Unicoi, Washington, Bristol City, Scott, Washington

Johnstown, PA; Cambria, Somerset Kalamazoo, Portage, MI; Kalamazoo, Van

Kankakee, IL; Kankakee Kansas City, MO, KS; Johnson, Wyandotte, Cass, Clay, Jackson, Platte, Ray Kenosha, WI; Kenosha

Killeen, Temple, TX; Bell, Coryell Knoxville, TN; Anderson, Blount, Knox,

Kokomo, IN; Howard, Tipton La Crosse, WI; La Crosse Lafayette, LA; Lafayette Lafayette, West Lafayette, IN; Tippecanoe Lake Charles, LA; Calasieu

Lakeland, Winter Haven, FL; Polk Lancaster, PA; Lancaster Lansing, East Lansing, MI; Clinton, Eaton,

Ingham, Ionia Laredo, TX; Webb Las Cruces, NM; Dona Ana Las Vegas, NV; Clark Lawrence, KS; Douglas Lawton, OK; Comanche

Lewiston, Auburn, ME; Androscoggin Lexington, Fayette, KY; Bourbon, Clark, Fayette, Jessamine, Scott, Woodford Lima, OH; Allen, Auglaize, Putnam, Van

Wert Lincoln, NE; Lancaster

Little Rock, North Little Rock, AR; Pulaski,

Long Branch, Asbury Park, NJ; Monmouth Longview, TX; Gregg, Harrison Lorain, Elyria, OH; Lorain

Los Angeles, Long Beach, CA; Los Angeles Louisville, KY, IN; Clark, Floyd, Bullitt, Jefferson, Oldham Lubbock, TX; Lubbock Lynchburg, VA; Amherst, Appomattox,

Campbell, Lynchburg City

Macon, GA; Bibb, Houston, Jones, Twiggs Madison, WI; Dane Manchester, Nashua, NH; Hillsboro,

Merrimack Mansfield, OH; Richland

Mayaguez, PR; Anasco, Hormigueros, Mayaguez

McAllen, Pharr, Edinburg, TX; Hidalgo Melbourne, Titusville, Cocoa, FL; Brevard Memphis, TN, AR, MS; Crittenden, DeSoto,

Shelby, Tipton Miami, FL; Dade Midland, TX; Midland

Milwaukee, WI; Milwaukee, Ozaukee, Washington, Waukesha

Minneapolis, St. Paul, MN, WI; Anoka, Carver, Dakota, Chisago, Hennepin, Ramsey, Scott, Washington, Wright, St. Mobile, AL; Baldwin, Mobile Modesto, CA; Stanislaus

Monroe, LA; Ouachita Montgomery, AL; Autauga, Elmore, Montgomery

Muncie, IN; Delaware Muskegon, Norton Shores, Muskegon Heights, MI; Muskegon, Oceana

Nashville, Davidson, TN; Cheatham, Davidson, Dickson, Sumner, Robertson, Rutherford, Wilson, Williamson

Nassau, Suffolk, NY: Nassau, Suffolk New Bedford, Fall River, MA; Bristol New Brunswick, Perth Amboy, Sayreville, NJ; Middlesex

New Haven, West Haven, Waterbury, Meriden, CT; New Haven

New London, Norwich, CT; New London New Orleans, LA; Jefferson, Orleans, St. Bernard, St. Tammany

New York, NY, NJ; Bronx, Kings, New York, Putnam, Queens, Richmond, Rockland, Westchester, Bergen

Newark, NJ; Essex, Morris, Somerset, Union Newport News, Hampton, VA; Hampton City, Williamsburg City, Newport News City, Glouster, York, James City, Poquoson

Norfolk, Virginia Beach, Portsmouth, VA, NC; Chesapeake City, Norfolk City, Portsmouth City, Suffolk City, Virginia Beach City, Currituck

Northeast Pennsylvania, PA; Lackawanna, Luzerne, Monroe

Odessa, TX; Ector Oklahoma City, OK; Candian, Cleveland, McClain, Oklahoma, Pottowatomie Omaha, NE, IA; Pottawattamie, Douglas,

Sarpy Orlando, FL; Orange, Osceola, Seminole

Owensboro, KY; Davies Oxnard, Simi Valley, Ventura, CA; Ventura

Panama City, FL; Bay Parkersburg, Marietta, WV, OH; Washington, Wirt, Wood

Pascagoula, Moss Point, MS; Jackson Paterson, Clifton, Passaic, NJ; Passaic Pensacola, FL; Escambia, Santa Rosa Peoria, IL; Peoria, Tazewell, Woodford Petersburg, Colonial Heights, Hopewell, VA;

Colonial Heights City, Dinwiddie, Hopewell City, Petersburg City, Prince

Philadelphia, PA, NJ; Burlington, Camden. Gloucester, Bucks, Chester, Delaware, Montgomery, Philadelphia

Phoenix, AZ; Maricopa Pine Bluff, AR; Jefferson Pittsburgh, PA; Allegheny, Beaver, Washington, Westmoreland

Pittsfield, MA; Berkshire Ponce, PR; Juana Diaz, Ponce, Villalba Portland, ME; Cumberland, Sagadahoc, York

Portland, OR, WA; Clackamas, Multnomah, Washington, Clark Poughkeepsie, NY; Dutchess

Providence, Warwick, Pawtucket, RI; Bristol, Kent, Providence, Washington, Newport Provo, Orem, UT; Utah. Pueblo, CO; Pueblo

Racine, WI; Racine Raleigh, Durham, NC; Durham, Orange, Wake Rapid City, SD; Pennington, Meade Reading, PA; Berks Reno, NV; Washoe

Richland, Kennewick, WA; Benton, Franklin

Richmond, VA; Charles City, Chesterfield, Coochland, Hanover, Henrico, New Kent Co, Powhatan, Richmond City

Riverside, San Bernardino, Ontario, CA; Riverside, San Bernardino

Roanoke, VA; Botetourt, Roanoke, Craig, Roanoke City, Salem City Rochester, MN; Olmstead:

Rochester, NY; Livingston, Monroe, Ontario, Orleans, Wayne.

Rockford, IL; Boone, Winnebago Sacramento, CA; Placer, Sacramento, Yolo Saginaw, MI; Saginaw

St. Cloud, MN; Benton, Sherburne, Stearns St. Joseph, MO; Andrew, Buchanan

St. Louis, MO, IL; Clinton, Madison, Monroe, St. Clair, Franklin, Jefferson, St. Charles, St. Louis, St. Louis City

Salem, OR; Marion, Polk Salinas, Seaside, Monterey, CA; Monterey Salt Lake City, Ogden, UT; Davis, Salt Lake, Tooele, Weber

San Angelo, TX; Tom Green San Antonio, TX; Bexar, Comal, Guadalupe San Diego, CA; San Diego

San Francisco, Oakland, CA; Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, San Francisco, San

San Jose, CA; Santa Clara San Juan, PR; Bayamon, Carolina, Canovanas, Catano, Guaynabo, Loiza, San Juan, Toa Baja, Trujillo Alto

Santa Barbara, Santa Maria, Lompac, CA; Santa Barbara

Santa Cruz, CA; Santa Cruz Santa Rosa, CA: Sonoma Sarasota, FL; Sarasota

Savannah, GA; Bryan, Chatham, Effingham Seattle, Everett, WA; King, Snohomish Sherman, Denison, TX; Grayson Shreveport, LA; Bossier, Caddo, Webster Sioux City, IA, NE; Woodbury, Dakota Sioux Falls, SD; Minnehaha

South Bend, IN; Marshall, St. Joseph Spokane, WA; Spokane Springfield, IL; Menard, Sangamon Springfield, MO; Christian, Greene

Springfield, OH; Champaign, Clark Springfield, Chicopie, Holyoke, MA; Hampden, Hampshire

Steubenville, Weirton, OH, WV; Jefferson, Brooke, Hancock Stockton, CA; San Joaquin

Syracuse, NY; Madison, Onondaga, Oswego Tacoma, WA; Pierce Tallahassee, FL; Leon, Wakulla

Tampa, St. Petersburg, FL; Hillsborough, Pasco, Pinellas Terre Haute, IN; Clay, Sullivan, Vermillion,

Vigo Texarkana, TX, AR; Little River, Miller;

Toledo, OH, MI; Monroe, Fulton, Lucas,

Ottawa, Wood Topeka, KS; Jefferson, Osage, Shawnee Trenton, NJ; Mercer

Tucson, AZ; Pima

Tulsa, OK; Creek, Mayes, Osage, Rogers, Tulsa, Wagoner

Tuscaloosa, AL; Tuscaloosa Tyler, TX; Smith

Utica, Rome, NY; Herkimer, Oneida Vallejo, Fairfield, Napa, CA; Napa, Solano Vineland, Millville, Bridgeton, NJ; Cumberland

Waco, TX; McLennan

Washington, DC, MD, VA; DC, Charles,
Montgomery, Prince Georges, Alexandria
City, Arlington, Fairfax City, Fairfax, Falls
Church City, Loudoun, Prince William,
Manassas City, Manassas Park City
Waterloo, Cedar Falls, IA; Black Hawk
West Palm Beach, Boca Raton, FL; Palm Beach

Wheeling, WV, OH; Belmont, Marshall, Ohio Wichita, KS; Butler, Sedgwick Wichita Falls, TX; Clay, Wichita Williamsport, PA; Lycoming Wilmington, DE, NJ, MD; New Castle, Cecil,

Salem Wilmington, NC; Brunswick, New Hanover Worchester, Fitchburg, Leominster, MA; Worchester

Yakima, WA; Yakima York, PA; Adams, York Youngstown, Warren, OH; Mahoning, Trumbull

Table IV A.-Wage Index for Urban Areas

SMSA areas	Wage index
Abilene, TX	.6471
Akron, OH	1.0308
Albany, GA	.7833
Albany-Schenectady-Troy, NY	1.0322
Albuquerque, NM	1.1007
Alexandria, LA	1.0357
Allentown-Bethlehem-Easton, PA-NJ	1.0490
Altoona, PA	1.0878
Amarillo, TXAnaheim-Santa Ana-Garden Grove, CA	.9891
	1.1626
Anchorage, AKAnderson, IN	
Ann Arbor, MI	
Anniston, AL	
Appleton-Oshkosh, WI	
Asheville, NC	
Atlanta, GA	
Atlantic City, NJ	
Augusta, GA-SC	
Austin, TX	.9079
Bakersfield, CA	1.0743
Baltimore, MD	1.1333
Baton Rouge, LA	.924
Battle Creek, MI	1.226
Bay City, MI	1.043
Beaumont-Port Arthur-Orange, TX	
Billings, MT	.894
Biloxi-Gullport, MS	1.057
Binghamton, NY-PA	.924
Birmingham, AL	
Bismarck, ND	
Bloomington, IN	.828
Bloomington-Normal, IL	1.083
Boston-Lowell-Brockton-Lawrence-Haverhill,	1.003
MA-MH	1,133
Bradenton, FL	
Bridgeport-Stamford-Norwalk-Danbury, CT	
Brownsville-Harlingen-San Benito, TX	.905
Bryan-College Station, TX	.782
Buffalo, NY	
Burlington, NC	. 861
Canton, OH	.914
Cedar Rapids, IA	892
Champaign-Urbana-Rantoul, IL	
Charleston-North Charleston, SC	. 1.017
Charleston, WV	. 1.032
Charlotte-Gastonia, NC	
Chattanooga, IN-GA	
Chicago, IL	. 1.214 . 1.089
Cincinnati, OH-KY-INClarksville-Hopkinsville, TN-KY	826
Cleveland, OH	. 1.170
Colorado Springs, CO	914
Columbia, MO	
Columbia, SC	
Columbus, GA-AL	
Columbus, OH	
Corpus Christi, TX	910
Dallas-Fort Worth, TX	943
Davenport-Rock Island-Moline, IA-IL	917
Dayton, OH.	1.151
Dayton, OH	946
Decatur, IL	935

.9461 .9357

Midland, TX.

Table IV A.-Wage Index for Urban Areas-

Table IV A.—Wage Index for Urban Al Continued	Table I\	
SMSA areas	Wage index	
Denver-Boulder, CO	1.1140	Milwaukee, WI
Des Moines, IA	1.0621	Minneapolis-St
Detroit, MI	1.1769	Mobile, AL
Dubuque, IA	.9002	Modesto, CA
Duluth-Superior, MN-WI	.8073 .8419	Monroe, La
El Paso, TX	.9345	Montgomery, A Muncie, IN
Elkhart, IN	.7965	Muskegon-Nor
Elmira, NY	.8010	MI
Enid, OK	.8312	Nashville-David
Erie, PA	.9700	
Eugene-Springfield, OR	.9591 1.0204	Nassau-Suffoll
Fargo-Moorhead, ND-MN	1.0048	New Redford-I
Fayetteville, NC	1.1267	New Brunswic
Fayetteville-Springdale, AR	.8734	New Haven-W
Flint, MI	1.1314	New London-N
Florence, AL	.7955	New York, NY
Fort Collins, CO	.6229	Newark, NJ
Fort Myere El	1.1327 .9611	Newport News
Fort Smith, AR-OK	.8401	Norfolk-Virginia
Fort Wayne, IN	.9026	Northeast Pen
Fresno, CA		Odessa, TX Oklahoma City
Gadsden, AL	.8987	
Gainesville, FL	1.1171	Omaha, NE-1/
Galveston-Texas City, TX	.9935	Orlando, FL
Gary-Hammond-East Chicago, IN	1.1579	Owensboro, K Oxnard-Simi V
Grand Forks, ND-MN		Panama City,
Grand Rapids, MI		Parkersburg-N
Great Falls, MT		Pascagoula-M
Green Bay, WI		Paterson-Clift
Greensboro-Winston-Salem-High Point, NC	.8974	Pensacola, FL
Greenville-Spartanburg, SC		Peoria, IL
Hamilton-Middleton, OH		Petersburg-Co
Harrisburg, PA	1.0520	Philadelphia, I
Hartford-New Britain-Bristol, CT		Phoenix, AZ
Honolulu, HI	1.1668	Pine Bluff, AR
Houston, TXHuntington-Ashland, WV-KY-OH	1.0308	Pittsburgh, PA
		Pittsfield, MA
Huntsville, AL		Portland, ME. Portland, OR-
lowa City, IA		Poughkeepsie
Jackson, MI		Providence-W
Jackson, MS		Provo-Orem,
Jacksonville, FL		Pueblo, CO
Janesville-Beloit, WI	8371	Racine, WI
Jersey City, NJ	. 1.0712	Raleigh-Durha
Johnson City-Kingsport-Bristol, TN-VA		Rapid City, SI
Johnstown, PA		Reading, PA
Kalamazoo-Portage, MI	1.1351	Reno, NV Richland-Ken
Kankakee, IL	9591	Richmond, V
Kenosha, WI		Riverside-San
Killeen-Temple, TX	1.0588	Roanoke, VA
Knoxville, TN		Rochester, M
Kokomo, IN	9330	Rochester, N
La Crosse, WI	6532	Rockford, IL.
Lafayette, LA		Sacramento,
Lafayette-West Lafayette, IN		Saginaw, MI
Lake Charles, LA		St. Cloud, MN
Lakeland-Winter Haven, FL		St. Joseph, N
Lancaster, PA		St. Louis, MO
Lansing-East Lansing, MI	. 1.0488	Salem, OH Salinas-Seasi
Las Cruces, NM		Salt Lake City
Las Vegas, NV		San Angelo,
Lawrence, KS		San Antonio,
Lawton, OK	8740	San Diego, C
Lewiston-Auburn, ME	6724	San Francisco
Lexington-Fayette, KY		San Jose, CA
Lima, OH		Santa Barbar
Lincoln, NE		Santa Cruz, C
Little Rock-North Little Rock, AR		Santa Rosa,
Long Branch-Asbury Park, NJ		Sarasota, FL. Savannah, G.
Lorginew, TX		Seattle-Evere
Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA		Sherman-Der
Louisville, KY-IN		Shreveport, L
Lubbock, TX		Sioux City, IA
Lynchburg, VA		Sioux Falls, S
Macon, GA	9170	South Bend,
Madison, WI		Spokane, WA
Manchester-Nashua, NH		Springlield, IL
Mansfield, OH	8706	Springfield, N
McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg, TX	7825	Springfield, C
Melbourne-Titusville-Cocoa, FL		Springfield-Cl
Memphis, TN-AR-MS		Steubenville- Stockton, CA

Table IV A.—Wage Index for Urban Areas— Continued

dex	SMSA areas	Wage index
140	Milwaukee, WI	1.0154
621	Minneapolis-St. Paul, MN-WI	.9923
769	Mobile, AL	.8911
0002	Modesto, CA	.9527
073	Monroe, La	.9022
3419	Montgomery, AL	.9923
345	Muncie, IN	.9149
7965	Muskegon-Norton Shores-Muskegon Heights,	
3010	PVII. ***********************************	.9837
3312	Nashville-Davidson, TN	1.0555
700		
9591	Nassau-Suffolk, NY	1.3079
204	New Redford-Fall River, MA	.9665
0048	New Brunswick-Perth Amboy-Sayreville, NJ	1.0678
1267 3734	New Haven-Waterbury-Meriden, CT	1.1519
1314	New London-Norwich, CT	1.0957
7955	New Orleans, LA	.9929
3229	New York, NY-NJ	1.4451
1327	Newark, NJ	1.2785
9611	Newport News-Hampton, VA	1.0425
3401	Norfolk-Virginia Beach-Portsmouth, VA-NC	.9660
9026	Northeast Pennsylvania	1.1027
1454	Odessa, TX	.8788
3987	Oklahoma City, OK	
1171	Omaha, NE-IA	.9549
9935	Orlando, FL	
1579	Owensboro, KY	.7235
8739	Oxnard-Simi Valley-Ventura, CA	1.4074
9088	Panama City, FL	.8592
8888	Parkersburg-Marietta, WV-OH	
8215	Pascagoula-Moss Point, MS	
9398	Paterson-Clilton-Passaic, NJ	1.0851
8974	Pensacola, FL	.9132
8864	Peoria, IL	1.0520
0650	Petersburg-Colonial Heights-Hopewell, VA	.8909
0520	Philadelphia, PA-NJ	
0720	Phoenix, AZ	
1668	Pine Bluff, AR	
0308	Pittsburgh, PA	
9505	Pittsfield, MA	
8280	Portland, ME	
0486	Portland, OR-WA	
3012	Poughkeepsie, NY	1.2004
9828	Providence-Warwick-Pawtucket, RI	
8981	Provo-Orem, UT	8969 861
9324 83 7 1	Racine, WI	824
0712	Raleigh-Durham, NC	
9512	Rapid City, SD	
9977	Reading, PA	991
1351	Reno, NV	1.246
9591	Richland-Kennewick, WA	985
9882	Richmond, VA	
0441	Riverside-San Bernadino-Ontario, CA	
0588	Roanoke, VA	
8505	Rochester, MN	
9330	Rochester, NY	1.081
8532	Rockford, IL	1.074
8521	Sacramento, CA	1.201
8907	Saginaw, MI	1.145
8526	St. Cloud, MN	
8476	St. Joseph, MO	909
0410	St. Louis, MO-IL	976
.0488	Salem, OR	. 1.070
8372	Salinas-Seaside-Monterey, CA	. 1.210
7806	Salt Lake City-Ogden, UT	851
1837	San Angelo, TX	807
8376	San Antonio, TX	
.8740	San Diego, CA	. 1.125
.6724	San Francisco-Oakland, CA	. 1.380
.0316	San Jose, CA	. 1.375
.9421	Santa Barbara-Santa Mana-Lompoc, CA	. 1.027
.0107	Santa Cruz, CA	
.1015	Santa Rosa, CA	
.0585	Sarasota, FL	
.7922	Savannah, GA	
.9870	Seattle-Everett, WA	1.005
.2905	Sherman-Denison, TX	777
.0112	Shreveport, LA	1.029
.8434	Sioux City, IA-NE	
.8611	Sioux Falls, SD	
.9170	South Bend, IN	881
.0238	Spokane, WA	
.8699	Springlield, IL	
	Springfield, MO	
.8706		
.8706 .7825	Springfield, OH	
.8706	Springfield-Chicopee-Holyoke, MA	1.034
.8706 .7825		1.034 982

Table IV A.—Wage Index for Urban Areas —Continued

SMSA areas	Wage index
Tacoma, WA	1.0397
Tallahassee, FL	.8494
Tampa-St. Petersburg, FL	1.0374
Terre Haute, IN	.8608
Texarkana-TX-Texarkana, AR	1.0364
Toledo, OH-MI	1.095
Topeka, KS	1,1339
Trenton, NJ	1.1293
Tucson, AZ	1.072
Tulsa, OK	.922
Tuscaloosa, AL	1.0304
Tyler, TX	.914
Utica-Rome, NY	.8669
Valleio-Fairfield-Napa, CA	1.536
Vineland-Millville-Bridgeton, NJ	.9370
Waco, TX	1,176
Washington, DC-MD-VA	1.2749
Waterloo-Cedar Falls, IA	.8478
West Palm Beach-Boca Raton, FL	.9374
Wheeling, WV-OH	.900
Wichita, KS	1.037
Wichita Falls, TX	.806
Williamsport, PA	
Wilmington, DE-NJ-MD	
Wilmington, NC	
Worcester-Fitchburg-Leominster, MA	
Yakima, WA	.894
York, PA	
Youngstown-Warren, OH	

Table IV B .- Wage Index for Rural Areas

Non-SMSA areas	Wage index
Alabama	9246
Alaska	1.5107
Arizona	1.0963
Arkansas	.8294
California	
Colorado	
Connecticut	
Delaware	
Florida	
Georgia	
Ha waii	
idaho	
Minois	
Indiana	
owa	
Kansas	
Kentucky	
Louisiana	
Maine	
Maryland	
Massachusetts	
Michigan	1.0998
Minnesota	889!
Mississippi	.838
Missouri	. 968
Montana	9994
Nebraska	. 807
Nevada	1.048
New Hampshire	. 1.0673
New Jersey	
New Mexico	1.028
New York	
North Carolina	
North Dakota	
Ohio	
Oklahoma	
Oregon	
Pennsylvania	
South Carolina	
South Dakota	
Tennessee	
Texas	
Utah	
Vermont	
Virginia	
Washington	
West Virginia	
Wisconsin	
Wyoming	1.094

There is good cause to make this Notice effective July 1, 1980 since early implementation may benefit the home health agencies because the schedule is relieving certain restrictions through a system that is fairer to provide based agencies, there is an adjustment for area wage rates and an improved method for updating the limits based upon inflation.

(Secs. 1102, 1814(b), 1861(v)(1), 1866(a), and 1871 of the Social Security Act; 42 U.S. 1302, 1395f (b), 1395x (v) (1), 1395cc (a) and 1395hh) (Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 13,773, Medicare—Hospital Insurance)

Dated: May 28, 1980.

Earl M. Collier, Jr.,

Acting Administrator, Health Care Financing, Administrator.

Approved: June 2, 1980.

Patricia Roberts Harris, Secretary. [FR Doc. 80–17085 Filed 6–4–80: 8.45 am] BILLING CODE 4110–35–M

Reader Aids

Federal Register

Vol. 45, No. 110

Thursday, June 5, 1980

INFORMATION AND ASSISTANCE

Questions and requests for specific information may be directed to the following numbers. General inquiries may be made by dialing 202-523-5240.

Federal Register, Daily Issue:

202-783-3238	Subscription orders and problems (GPO) "Dial-a-Reg" (recorded summary of highlighted documents appearing in next day's issue):
202-523-5022	Washington, D.C.
312-663-0884	Chicago, Ill.
213-688-6694	Los Angeles, Calif.
202-523-3187	Scheduling of documents for publication
523-5240	Photo copies of documents appearing in the
	Federal Register
523-5237	Corrections
523-5215	Public Inspection Desk
523-5227	Index and Finding Aids
523-5235	Public Briefings: "How To Use the Federal Register."

Code of Federal Regulations (CFR):

-	5	2	3-	-3	4	1	9	
	_	_	-	-	-		_	

523-3517

523-5227 Index and Finding Aids

Presidential Documents:

523-5233	Executive Orders and Proclamations
523-5235	Public Papers of the Presidents, and Weekly
	Compilation of Presidential Documents

Public Laws:

523-5266	Public Law Numbers and Dates, Slip Laws, U.S.
-5282	Statutes at Large, and Index
275-3030	Slip Law Orders (GPO)

Other Publications and Services:

523-5239	TTY for the Deaf
523-5230	U.S. Government Manual
523-3408	Automation
523-4534	Special Projects
523-3517	Privacy Act Compilation

FEDERAL REGISTER PAGES AND DATES, JUNE

37175-37396	2
37397-37680	3
37681-37800	4
37801-38022	5

CFR PARTS AFFECTED DURING JUNE

At the end of each month, the Office of the Federal Register publishes separately a list of CFR Sections Affected (LSA), which lists parts and sections affected by documents published since

the revision date of each title.	
1 CFR	14 CFR
46537397	3937179-37181, 37805-
3 CFR	7137811
Administrative Orders:	9737181
Presidential Determination:	24937812
No. 80–19 of	Proposed Rules 39 37221, 37222
May 28, 198037681	7137858
5 CFR	15 CFR
Proposed Rules	37037183
Ch. 1	37337415
	37437415
6 CFR	37637415 37937183, 37435
70537397	38537415
7 CFR	38637183
10 37175	39937415 Proposed Rules
71137398	Ch. I
90837801	Ch. II
91037683 94737178	Ch. III
170137399	Ch. VIII
Proposed Rules	1937374
76037453	46 050
149337854 170137454	16 CFR
	1337686 46037674
8 CFR	150837418
20737392	Proposed Rules
20837392 20937392	70537386
24537392	17 CFR
9 CFR	24037687
3 37616	18 CFR
	14137420
10 CFR	26037812
7337399 9537410	27137185
14037410	28237185
20537683	20 CFR
44537685	Proposed Rules
Proposed Rules 21137697	Ch. I
21237697	Ch. V
	Ch. VI37648
12 CFR	Ch. VII37648
20437410 21737685	21 CFR
22937412–37415	10137420
34137179	10337422
34337179	51037424 52237425
1204 37801–37803	558
13 CFR	Proposed Rules
Proposed Rules	31037455
Ch. III	22 CFR
12037454	208

Proposed Rules	07456
6	37456
171	
	000
24 CFR .	
Proposed Rules	
115	37697
25 CFR	
221	37688
28 CFR	
42	37620
29 CFR	
102	37425
Proposed Rules	
Subtitle A	.37648
Ch. IV	
Ch. V	
Ch. XVII	
Ch. XXV	
30 CFR	
250	
Proposed Rules	3/010
Ch. I	37648
Ch. VII37223,	37697
31 CFR	
	07040
103	27699
33337073,	37000
32A CFR	
Proposed Rules	
Ch. VI	.37972
33 CFR	
147	.37186
165	.37187
34 CFR	
5b	27426
30	
73	
100	
104	
106	.37426
37 CFR	
Proposed Rules	
Ch. I	. 37972
39 CFR	
	07407
111 37426	-3/42/
40 CFR	
52 37188, 37192,	37428-
37430, 37689	
62 80	
418	
423	37432
426	37198
432	37198
Proposed Rules	37466
5137224	. 37699
80	37225
180	37700

41 CFR	
Ch. 10137199, 37432	
3–4	
101–1737433	
101-1837199	
101-1937199	
101-4537693	
42 CFR	
59 37433	
Proposed Rules	
405	
441 37858 447 37466	
43 CFR	
Public Land Orders: 572637438	
572737439	
5728 37837	
44 CFR	
6437207	
6537442, 37694 6737208–37209	
6737208-37209	
20537440 Proposed Rules	
67 37226, 37227, 37861	
45 CFR	
74	
100c37442	
Proposed Rules	
6337700	
105037867	
46 CFR	
000	
28337442	
52737694	
52737694 Proposed Rules	
52737694	
527	
527	
527	
527	
527	
527	
527	
527	
527	
527	
527	
527	
527	
527	
527	
527	
527	
527	
527	
527	
527	
527	
527	
527	

Ch. VI......37972 661.....37870

AGENCY PUBLICATION ON ASSIGNED DAYS OF THE WEEK

The following agencies have agreed to publish all documents on two assigned days of the week (Monday/Thursday or Tuesday/Friday).

This is a voluntary program. (See OFR NOTICE FR 32914, August 6, 1976.)

Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday
DOT/SECRETARY	USDA/ASCS		DOT/SECRETARY	USDA/ASCS
DOT/COAST GUARD	USDA/APHIS		DOT/COAST GUARD	USDA/APHIS
DOT/FAA	USDA/FNS		DOT/FAA	USDA/FNS
DOT/FHWA	USDA/FSQS		DOT/FHWA	USDA/FSQS
DOT/FRA	USDA/REA		DOT/FRA	USDA/REA
DOT/NHTSA	MSPB/OPM		DOT/NHTSA	MSPB/OPM
DOT/RSPA	LABOR		DOT/RSPA	LABOR
DOT/SLSDC	HEW/FDA	,	DOT/SLSDC	HEW/FDA
DOT/UMTA			DOT/UMTA	
CSA			CSA	

Documents normally scheduled for publication on a day that will be a Federal holiday will be published the next work day following the holiday. Comments on this program are still invited. Comments should be submitted to the Day-of-the-Week Program Coordinator. Office of

the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, Washington, D.C. 20408

Rules Going Into Effect Today

Note: There were no items eligible for inclusion in the list of Rules Going Into Effect Today.

List of Public Laws

Last Listing June 4, 1980

Note: No public bills which have become law were received by the Office of the Federal Register for inclusion in today's List of Public Laws.

CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS

(Revised as of January 1, 1980)

Quantity	Volume	Price	Amount
	Title 7—Agriculture (Parts 300 to 399)	\$5.50	\$
nu sililingi e e e	Title 11—Federal Elections	4.75	t A till spaniss i makkenskrikklinnin
	Title 12—Banks and Banking (Parts 200 to 299)	9.00	
	Title 12—Banks and Banking (Part 300 to End)	11.00	
		Total Order	\$

[A Cumulative checklist of CFR issuances for 1980 appears in the back of the first issue of the Federal Register each month in the Reader Aids section. In addition, a checklist of current CFR volumes, comprising a complete CFR set, appears each month in the LSA (List of CFR Sections Affected).]

PLEASE DO NOT DETACH

MAIL ORDER FORM To: Superintendent of Doo	uments, Government Printing Office		FOR USE OF SUPT. DOCS.
	check or money order) or charge to my Dep		To be mailed
Please send me copies		1	Subscription
trease sena me topies	0].		Refund
PLLASE FILL IN MAILING LABEL	Name		Postage
Briow	Street address		Foreign handling,
	City and State	ZIP Code	1
SUPERINTENDENT OF DOCUME	T SHIPMENT, PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ADDRESS		GE AND FEES PAID
U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING O	FICE	U.S. GOVERN	MENT PRINTING OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20102			375
OFFICIAL BUSINESS		SPECIAL.	FOURTH-CLASS RATE BOOK
	Name		
	Street address		

