DOC. 2070 A Evid

Folder 2

(45)

2070-A

Vol. 73

Congress of the United States

Report of Proceedings

Hearing held before

Joint Committee

on the
Investigation of the Pearl Harbor Attack
S. Con. Res. 27

May 31, 1946

Washington, D. C.

14722 to 14765

Pages:

WARD & PAUL

NATIONAL 4266 4267 4268 OFFICIAL REPORTERS

1760 PENNSYLVANIA AVE., N. W.
WASHINGTON, D. C.

U

S. Con. Res. 27

Friday, May 31, 1946

Joint Committee on the Investigation
of the Pearl Harbor Attack,

Washington, D. C.

The joint committee met, pursuant to call, at 10:00 o'clock a.m., in Room 312 Senate Office Building, Senator Alben W. Barkley (chairman) presiding.

Present: Senators Barkley (chairman), George, and Lucas.

Representatives Cooper (vice chairman), and

Keefe.

Also present: Seth W. Richardson, General Counsel;

John E. Masten, Logan J. Lane and E. D. Morgan of counsel,

for the joint committee.

3

4

5

6

8

10

12

13

17

15

16

17

18

10

20

21

22

23

24

25

WARD & PAUL, WASSINGTON, D. C.

The Chairman: Admiral, will you come around.

Admiral Stark.

TESTIMONY OF ADMIRAL HARCLD R. STARK - (Recalled)

The Chairman: Gentlemen, I want to explain for the record why I called this meeting. It was called rather suddenly. I regret that it had to be that way because some of the members are away on account of Memorial Day and haven't returned.

Senator Ferguson and Senator Brewster are both away.

Congressman Murphy, Congressman Clark. Congressman Gearhart is out in California. Congressman Keefe is here. He told me to go ahead, that he would come over as soon as he could, but not to wait for him.

The reason I called this meeting is that two or three days ago Admiral Stark called over to the Capitol and gave me a letter, which I will read for the record:

"26 May, 1946

Hon. Alben W. Barkley, Chairman

Joint Committee on the Investigation of the

Pearl Harbor Attack, (S. Con. Res. 27)

United States Capitol,

Washington, D. C.

Dear Senator Barkley:

"I have tistified before the Committee that the President did not call me on the night of 6 December (preceding the attack on Pearl Harbor on 7 December). I also

15

0

8

()

10

13

13

11

15

1 (3

17:

1 25

10

20

01 .

22

Questions by: Mr. Richardson Witness Stark stated that it was of course possible that I might be mistaken on this after over four years lapse of time, but that I recalled no such call.

"I am now informed that I did talk to the President over the telephone on 6 December, and hasten to put this before the Committee in order to set the record straight.

"The circumstances are as follows: Last night (Saturday 25 May) Capt. H. D. Krick, USN, now on duty in the Bureau of Ordnance (Capt. Krick had been my Flag Lieutenant in my last sea command) and his wife made a social call on Mrs. Stark and me. During the course of the evening Capt. Krick asked me if I recalled the evening of 6 December - when I replied 'no' he recalled that he and his wife had dinner with Mrs. Stark and me at my quarters, and that we had then the four of us - gone to see the Student Prince.

"Capt. Krick also recalled that on returning to my quarters after the theatre to pick up his car they, the Kricks, had come into the house for a while and that I was told by one of the servants that the White House had called me; that I then went up stairs to talk to the President (the White House phone was in my house on the second floor). Krick further stated that when I came down stairs after the phone call I said to him in substance that the situation with Japan was very serious.

"I felt I should put this matter before the Committee

23

witness Stark cuestions by: Mr. Richardson 725 immediately. I have again searched my memory for this phone call and I can only repeat that I do not recall it.

Very truly yours,"

The Chairman: Now, Mr. Richardson, you may proceed.

I might state before you start that Admiral Stark advises me that he is leaving this afternoon for a long delayed important engagement in London and that he will be gone three or four weeks; therefore it seemed desirable that we get this in the record before he leaves, in order to accommodate him as well as the committee.

Mr. Richardson: Admiral, your arrangements for this trip abroad long antedated the discovery of the knowledge of Lieutenant Krick of this episode of Saturday evening, December 6, did it not?

Admiral Stark: Yes; months ago.

Mr. Richardson: So that your trip away has no reference whatever to any of the transactions in connection with Pearl Harbor?

Admiral Stark: Not the slightest. I had been asked for this trip last October and I refused, not being able to leave at that time, and the date was then set the latter part of June (?) which I accepted months ago.

Mr. Richardson: Does this episode which the Lieutenant refers to in your letter refresh your recollection at all today, Admiral?

WARD & PAUL WASHINGTON, D C

3

6

13

8

O

10

11

12

13

1 1

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

23

1

5

0

7

8

9

10

11

13

13

1.1

15

10

17

IB

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

14,726

Witness Stark Questions by: Mr. Richardson Admiral Stark: No, sir, it does not.

Mr. Richardson: You still have no recollection whatever of any events of that evening?

Admiral Stark: No, sir.

Mr. Richardson: And you have no recollection of going upstairs and using the White House phone in response to any report made to you by any of your servants?

Admiral Stark: No, I do not.

Mr. Richardson: The evidence, as you know, indicates here that at an earlier time on that evening, when the President was made familiar with the first 13 parts of this now celebrated 14-part message, he characterized the message and then sought to get in touch with you, received a report that you were at the theater, and said he would contact you later.

From that if we assume that he wished to talk to you about this 13-part message, it would be reasonable that any message to you from the White House that night would have communicated to you the existence of that message, wouldn't you think so?

Admiral Stark: That is correct.

Mr. Richardson: You will recall the last time you were on the stand you suggested that your visit to your office on Sunday morning was in accordance with your practice to go to your office on Sunday morning, while you were uncertain as to the precise time, being of the opinion yourself that it might have been a little later because it was Sunday morning --

WARD & PAUL, WASHINGTON, D. C.

1.4

Witness Stark

Questions by: Mr. Richardson

14,727

Admiral Stark: Yes.

Mr. Richardson: (continuing) -- that you didn't go to your office for the purpose of seeing a 13-part message because you had no recollection of having known there was such?

Admiral Stark: That is correct.

Mr. Richardson: And the first time you saw the message, or the fact that it existed came to your attention, is when you found it in your office when you went there that morning?

Admiral Stark: That was my recollection.

Mr. Richardson: This discussion, with your letter, the detailed report he gives you, doesn't refresh your recollection with reference to any of those particulars?

Admiral Stark: It does not.

I might say in that connection that I talked very frequently with the President. I think you will all be surprised to know how much, how minutely he was following every detail, and how fully I kept him acquainted with anything going on that was of any interesst that came to my attention in connection with naval matters and international matters at that time. I frequently called the President along about a quarter after six in the evening, which I knew was about the time he was likely to be finished signing his mail, and I frequently called him, and I mean frequently, not only at that time but after the war was on, in the late evening, perhaps around half pest eleven, after I had gone over the contents of

TARD & PAUL, WASHINGTON, D. C

(S) MIC

my brief case, because at that time the President was likely to be free. I would call and ask if he were free and talk to him over any items of interest.

That went on continuously. So there was nothing unusual in my talking to the President that time of night, not the slight-I can only assume that when the President called me that he mentioned this note that we had received from the Japanese, that he did not, certainly did not, impress me that it was anything that required action; I took none. I am certain that he gave me no directive or I would have carried it out. It would have been the simplest matter in the world for me to have called up the Department and said to send out that message if it had been anything that I should have seen. The President, of course, knew that I was going to the office the next morning; I was always there; he called me up Sunday mornings, and I called him up. And in connection with that also it is my recollection that every witness who testified as to the material which the President had before him that evening, the 13-points, has stated that it was nothing but a rehash and nothing which required any action.

I think that was the testimony of both Army and Navy. I remember Ingersoll's particularly, and in talking to him about it later, that there was nothing to it that required any action. I am certain that nothing was indicated to me.

3

5

8

7

8

8

10

31

12

13

14

15

10

17

15

18

20

31

22

23

24

Witness Stark Questions by: Mr. Richardson
I also testified that when I did see that message, that had I
seen it the night before I would have taken no action on it.
That was in response to a question by one of the members of the
Committee. Not only the 13 points but even the 14th point,
which was different from the rest, and which struck me in
particular because it was almost a paraphrase of what I had
included in our message of the 27th and confirm __on of what
I had sent.

The President was familiar with every move we had made.

I kept him fully informed. We were all intensely aware of the seriousness of the situation, watching it just as closely as we could. Even on the 6th we had sent a message authorizing destruction of certain codes to the commanders in the Pacific, stating "Hold on to some", in winding up the message, "until the last minute." Everything we had seen, in our opinion had indicated the tenseness of the situation, that anything might break at anytime. In fact, we said so specifically.

Mr. Richardson: Now, Admiral, you remember when you were last on the stand the question was asked you whether if you had received any intimation from the President that he thought the 13-part message meant war, that would have been a very important statement to you and one that would have stirred you into action, based on the President's statement?

Admiral Stark: Yes, sir, if he had said anything to me

about the imminence or anything new, or indicated any action, it would have stirred me into immediate action.

Mr. Richardson: Well, now, the testimony indicates that when the President read this message he said "This means war", or used equivalent language. Would you, from your knowledge of the President and the detail, as you say, with which he followed the events which were transpiring, would it be your presumption now that the President made no such statement to you if he talked to you on the night of December 6th?

Admiral Stark: It would be, decidedly. We had, of course, and I think my letter of the 25th indicated that, both the President and Mr. Hull had stated in my presence that they would not be surprised if the Japanese attacked at anytime, and I think if the President had made any such statement to me on the night of the 6th that I would have recalled it.

I also remember Beardall's testimony when the 14th point was presented the next morning and in which, I believe, he testified to the best of his recollection that the President merely said, well, it looked like a rupture of negotiations. That 14th point, of course, was in much stronger, more definite language than the preceding 13.

Mr. Richardson: Now, the subject of this message and any discussion with reference to it would be within the limitations of magic, would it not?

WARD & PAUL, WASHINGTON, D. C.

Admiral Stark: Yes, sir.

Mr. Richardson: So that any discussion between you and the White House, if Captain Krick is correct, having been about magic, there would be no possibility of discussing with Captain Krick any of the details of your conversation?

Admiral Stark: Oh, no; no, sir.

Mr. Richardson: So that when he told you that you stated that our affairs with Japan were in a very critical condition, or something of that sort, that would be as much comment as you could make, from such a conversation?

Admiral Stark: Yes, I think it would. I had made that statement to others.

Mr. Richardson: Then, the fact that you didn't say anything to Captain Krick as to the details of your conversation would be entirely in accord with the way you would have to handle any information that had anything to do with magic?

Mr. Richardson: Was Captain Krick one of those who would have any knowledge of magic or transactions that were passing, magic interceptions?

Admiral Stark: I think not.

Admiral Stark: Yes, sir.

Mr. Richardson: Well, it turned out, did it not, Admiral, that this 14-part message was a very significant and important message?

of hindsight --

2

Admiral Stark: Yes, sir.

3

4

Mr. Richardson: And there were significances with respect to it which if fully appreciated made it of startling importance?

5

e previously that we considered Japan likely to attack at anytime

Admiral Stark: Well, we had come to the conclusion

in any direction. I wouldn't say that it changed any of our

7

previous conceptions. It was a confirmation, if anything.

9

8

Mr. Richardson: Would you include in that estimate any of the significances of the use of the 1 p.m. date?

10

Admiral Stark: The 1 p.m. date, and again in the light

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Mr. Richardson: Yes, I realize that.

D & PAUL WASHING

Admiral Stark: (continuing) -- was different. It set a time and, as you will recall, I was discussing the matter when General Marshall called me, and my first reaction was that we had sent so much, and we had assumed that what we had sent out there was, I previously testified, enough to have everybody fully alerted, it was questionable whether to send anything more, including the message, you remember, which I recalled we sent on the 6th; then, after only a little further reflection I told Marshall to go ahead and send it and to be sure that our people were notified.

23

25

I put that in a different category because I think there was nothing in the 14-point message which in one way or another

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

of'

Questions by:

we had not previously covered.

Mr. Richardson: Then, it would be your conclusion as Chief of Naval Operations, Admiral, that the fact that the President made no mention to you that in his opinion this message meant war, deducing that -- he couldn't have, because of the fact you have no such recollection in your memory --

Admiral Stark: That is the assumption, yes.

Mr. Richardson: Plus the fact that when Admiral Wilkinson saw the message, General Miles saw the message, and Beardall saw the message --

Admiral Stark: Admiral Ingersoll.

Mr. Richardson: Beardall saw the message the night before, I mean.

Admiral Stark: Yes.

Mr. Richardson: And Secretary Knox saw the message the night before. The fact that nothing immediately was done with reference to that message is because you feel that the message itself was simply a rehash of information which had come before and of which you were already aware?

Admiral Stark: I feel that very definitely, yes, sir.

Mr. Richardson: And that if any of those high officers had any different view there would have been a different attitude toward this message?

Admiral Stark: Yes, sir; I think we all felt the same

Questions by: Mr. Richardson

about it.

в

Mr. Richardson: Then, the first expression of anything unusual about this message in point of action came with the suggestion of General Marshall with reference to a message that he proposed to send?

Admiral Stark: 'Yes, sir.

Mr. Richardson: Did you have any, when you were examining the message, when you went to your office -- I have forgotten your testimony, I am sure you testified on it -- were you informed as to any of the possible significance of this 1 o'clock date, from either Kramer's report or the report of any of your aides?

Admiral Stark: No, I was not.

Mr. Richardson: And as soon as Captain Krick told you of this incident, of his knowledge of this situation, you immediately prepared this letter to the Chairman of this Committee in order to inform him of this much light, at least, on what happened on Saturday night?

Admiral Stark: I did, yes, sir. When Captain Krick first mentioned this to me, about our having been to the theater that night together, and about my having gone upstairs and talked to the President, which was the natural way for it to have followed through, that the White House had called, my first thought was, well, I can't add anything to this testi-

Witness Stark Questions by: Mr. Richardson mony, it doesn't bring up anything which I haven't covered so far as I can, goodness knows I have racked my brains on that evening, why report it, and the more I thought about it the more I realized that this indicated that my testimony was incorrect, the impression of it, and it was quite definite that I had not talked to the President that night; the more I thought of it the more disturbed I became that the committee should have this, the record should have it straight, and I got up around 2 or 3 in the morning, thinking this thing over, and wrote this letter in longhand and had it typed the following day, I sent it for typing on Sunday, got it Monday, and brought it up to Senator Barkley Monday.

of course, I am extremely glad it came up. If it had come up after everything was over I never would have felt comfortable about it, I wouldn't have known how to get it before the committee, and I would have felt I couldn't rest until I squared this away.

You may recall -- I think you were not here on my first hearing -- when I stated that if anything whatsoever came up with regard to Pearl Harbor, that I thought of anything of interest to this committee, that I would report it immediately. That was on the conclusion of my hearings. And this is the only thing that has come up which I have thought any different from what I have previously testified to.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

8

12

13

14

15

18

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Richardson: Admiral, if the President had told you in his talk with you that night, assuming that you talked to him, and had told you that it was his opinion that this 13thpart message meant war, thereby impressed you with his very serious estimate of it, what would have been, in accordance with your custom, the action for you to have then taken, with that information?

Admiral Stark: I don't know, sir, that I would have, that we would have sent anything more. I think that I should have gotten in touch with Ingersoll and with Turner. We had had a conference a few days previously, going over the seriousness of the situation, if there was anything more we could have sent, and, as I say, we practically repeated this 14th point, repeated, some days earlier we had sent the same thing. We thought, and the President knew every move that we had made, that we had sent everything possible, on that premise, that war was in the immediate offing.

I don't know that I would have done anything. I couldn't say.

Mr. Richardson: Would there have been any customary acceleration of getting the completed message? The evidence indicates that the message was completed around 4 or 5 o'clock in the morning; it then lay without attention until Kramer came down about 7:30, and it passed out of Kramer's hands along about

Questions by: Mr. Richardson

9 or 9:30, somewhere in there.

Admiral Stark: At 10:30 -- Well, the 1 o'clock part.

Mr. Richardson: What I am wondering is whether if you had been apprised it would have proper and usual to have taken steps to see to it that someone was waiting and ready to receive the full message for the purpose of effectuating such action as might be necessary.

Admiral Stark: Well, I think it might of, sir, if I had had any feeling as you have just represented, I think I should myself.

Mr. Richardson: Admiral, let me ask you another odd question. Are you able to state from your recollection of Saturday the 6th that there was not at the White House an extensive conference between the heads of the Army and the heads of the Navy in discussion of matters and things which in part had to do with this 14th-part message?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Witness Stark

Questions by: Mr. Richardson

Admiral Stark: I never had heard of such a conference, I know of nothing now regarding such a conference, I was not present at 1t, I had never even heard anyone suggest such a thing until it was mentioned here in previous hearings.

My honest opinion is that nothing of the sort took place. It was a complete surprise to Marshall that even the question came up. It was to me. I am certain that I didn't leave the house after the Kricks left. I just can't think of any such thing as happening. Certainly I was not present, and Colonel Knox never mentioned any such thing to me.

Mr. Richardson: And despite your inability to recollect detail, such a conference at the White House, under those circumstances, would be so extraordinarily unusual, that don't you think that would at least stand out in your memory, even though you don't remember the details of this evening?

Admiral Stark: I think so, unquestionably.

Mr. Richardson: That is all the questions I have.

The Chairman: Admiral, had Captain Krick ever said anything to you about this matter that he mentioned last Saturday night, until last Saturday night, when his wife and he were guests of Mrs. Stark and you?

Admiral Stark: No sir, never. It was out of a clear sky to me.

You realize that it might seem strange that

17

18

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

4

5

8

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

18

17

18

19

20

21

23

23

24

25

Questions by: The Chairman Witness Stark a thing like that would escape your memory altogether. What is your explanation or interpretation of the reason why, if all this happened as he said, that it still doesn't register in your mind?

Admiral Stark: Well, lapse of time, I would say, was certainly an important factor. The immediately following events, which stand out crystal clear, as to some other events; and an awfully busy time since then probably has simply wiped it out.

And I might say there was nothing unusual about the Kricks being with us for any entertainment or otherwise. One's Flag Lieutenant gets very close to one, and we had been together afloat just previous to my coming ashore for a counte of years, attending many, many functions together, and coming ashore about the same time, and they were often with us.

The Chairman: Would the fact that you had, as you have testified, frequent White House talks over your direct line with the President, maybe night after night for a period, or as often as several times a week, would that have anything to do with your ability to identify this particular night as against any other night when you had a conversation with the President over the telephone?

Admiral Stark: I think so, because it wasn't something unusual which might stand out. I mean, my talking to the White

Witness Stark

Questions by: The Chairman

House. I would pick up the phone and talk just as freely to

the President as I would, almost, pick it up and call my own

home.

The Chairman: Any questions?

Mr. Keefe: Mr. Chairman, if I may interrupt at this point. As I told you on the phone when I called I had just then received notice that this meeting was to be held, just a few minutes before 10 o'clock, and I hurried to get here.

The Chairman: I explained the reason for the sudden call was that Admiral Stark is leaving tonight for London to fulfill a long-delayed engagement, and upon the receipt of this letter I felt that whatever pertained to it ought to go in the record and not simply have the letter filed with the Committee,

Mr. Keefe: I raised the question because I had understood that the hearings had, by action of the committee, been closed, and that the testimony had been closed, and I want to keep the record clear, in the absence of my colleagues, none of whom are present here this morning.

I assume that the Chairman felt that it was due propriety that the action heretofore taken by the committee in closing the testimony in this matter should be vacated and set aside in order to permit this testimony to go in, but I wonder if we have established a precedent now that may plague us in the future, because I understood very definitely that the

3

4

.5

8

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

17

18.

19

20

21

22

23

24

6

Witness Stark

testimony in this case was closed, definitely closed by vote and action of the committee.

Now, if it is to be reopened for this purpose, it may be perfectly proper to reopen it for some other purpose, and this committee will go on and on.

I want the record to show that I have raised this question. I do not know, I haven't had any notice of action by the committee vacating the previous action closing the testimony, but it seems to me that we ought to keep our record clear, Mr. Chairman.

I don't know whether this testimony amounts to anything or not. I didn't hear the first part of it. I don't know hat it is except what I heard since I came in, and that doesn't seem to cast very much light on the testimony as it heretofore appears.

Unless Admiral Stark knows when he talked to the President and what the President told him, what they talked about, I don't know that it makes much difference to now confirm the fact that he attended the Student Prince that night.

The Chairman: All you say is true now, Congressman, that the hearing were closed

Mr. Keefe: I am not criticizing the Chairman.

The Chairman: This situation was brought suddenly to my attention. The Admiral was on the verge of leaving the country

Witness Stark

for three or four weeks, and I felt that in view of what Captain Krick had said to him about it, and will say here in a few minutes when he is called, that it was the part of wisdom to get the committee together, even though all of them couldn't be here, and make this matter of record by public testimony, instead of simply filing Admiral Stark's letter with the committee.

I don't think it sheds any light on it, but Admiral Stark felt, having been told this by Captain Frick as late as last Saturday night, that he ought to bring it to the attention of the committee, and he having brought it to my attention, I felt whatever was done about it ought to be done publicly and not just hand the letter in to the committee and simply file it.

Mr. Keefe: I take it, Mr. Chairman, that if the testimony means anything it means that Admiral Stark now says his memory has or has not been refreshed by reason of his conversations with Captain Krick.

Now, if his memory has not been refreshed by reason of his talks with Captain Krick, his whole testimony, it seems to me, is utterly inconsequential and immaterial.

I am not interested in the fact that Captain Krick comes in and now says that he was at the dinner with Admiral Stark and that they went to the theater and saw the Student Prince, and then went home and had a lunch and Admiral Stark went to

WARD & PAUL WASHINGTON, D C

B

Witness Stark

the telephone and talked to someone, and then he left. I don't see that that is of any particular probative value unless those facts related to him will recall to Admiral Stark's mind those facts and the fact that the President did talk to him and what the President said and what was the discussion.

I understand that it doesn't click with him, he doesn't remember any such situation. So under those circumstances, I feel compelled to object to any further repetitious testimony going into this record on a matter that is not of any probative force or value, in view of the fact that the committee has definitely voted to close the testimony, and it would establish a precedent which would clearly offer the opportunity for my colleague, Mr. Brewster, or Senator Ferguson, or somebody else, to plague the committee with offers of testimony that will continue this thing on and on and on.

I have made my statement. That is the way I feel.

The Chairman: The Chair appreciates your statement and cannot controvert it. I mean, all you have said about the matter is undoubtedly accurate.

Senator Lucas: Mr. Chairman, I want to subscribe to the statements made by the Congressman from Wisconsin. He has said exactly what I was about to say. As he has said, if we are going to continue hearings on this matter, there is no question but what somebody will continue to bring

WARD & PAUL WASHINGTON, D C

something forward, and cause delays.

I know that the Chairman has been tremendously busy
with legislation on the Senate Floor, and I think merhaps
I am not criticizing him but I think if we had discussed

this in executive session we might have accomplished something without this testimony.

I didn't know that we were going to have hearings this morning.

The Chairman: I will state this, that I conferred with counsel of the committee in respect to the proceedings that ought to be had in view of the Admiral's letter to me. If we had had all the time that might be available we might have had an executive session and decided it wasn't worth while to even put the letter in the record.

The Admiral felt commelled to address the letter to me, in order not to be in a position of holding back something that somebody had told him, and I called the hearing this morning because the Admiral is leaving the city and might not be back until we have made our report, or were on the verge of doing so.

Mr. Richardson: Might I make this suggestion, Mr. Chairman. Suppose we take the Lieutenant's testimony, which will be very brief, and then if the committee later decides not to use any of this testimony, all right; on the other hand, if they allow it to go in, we have it.

fols.
Riley

(7)

15

0

8

0

10

12

13

1-1

15

16

17

18

10

20

CL

22

23

Witness Stark

I would like to state for the record that one of the issues that I think is involved in the record is the fact that about 9:30 on Saturday evening the President sought to get in touch with Admiral Stark. He was unable to do so. There, up to this morning --

The Chairman: If you will permit, it was about 10:30 when Commander Schultz delivered the message.

Mr. Richardson: All right. Whenever that time was.

It, therefore, would stand upon the record as though
the President paid no more attention to contacting Admiral
Stark, because Admiral Stark remembered nothing of any message
from the White House that evening. Apparently, from Lieutenant
Krick's testimony, it now becomes definite that there was a
communication after Admiral Stark came home from the theater,
between Admiral Stark and the President on that evening.

So that, so far as the President is concerned, his statement that he would later contact Admiral Stark was accomplished.

I advised the Chairman that I thought that that fact should be in the record.

Senator Lucas: Well, it just shows one thing, that the President was more alert than anybody else.

Mr. Keefe: Does Admiral Stark recall what the conversation was?

The Chairman: No.

WARD & PAUL, WASHINGTON, D C

24

Senator Lucas: He doesn't recall a thing.

Mr. Keefe: He doesn't recall that they even had a conversation, or that he was called, or anything else?

Senator Lucas: That is right.

The Chairman: Nor that he went to see the Student "rince.

Senator Lucas: I don't see how Admiral Stark can go to London this afternoon, in view of the fact that this has been reopened, and in view of the fact that Senator Brewster and Senator Ferguson are not here, they are the individuals who voted not to close the hearings, and they are the two individuals who are going to have much to say if when they return they don't have a chance to examine Admiral Stark, notwithstanding the fact that he doesn't know anything about the conversation.

I have observed Mr. Brewster, and I say this not because he is absent, but from beginning to end, trying to make -well --

The Chairman: I might say that I asked Senator Ferguson after the vote in the Senate Wednesday at 5:00 o'clock if he would be here this morning. He was rushing to catch a plane. And he said he would be here. I learned from his office that he wouldn't be here until this afternoon. And Senator Brewster may be here this afternoon.

The committee can do what it wants to do. I did what I

0

6

8

0

10

12

13

11

15

10

17

10

1.9

10

21

23

24

21.65

thought was my duty in calling the meeting, under the circumstances. If the committee wants to postpone the meeting in order to get all the members present, to let them examine into this matter as much as they please, it suits me. I did what seemed to me to be the best thing to do. I didn't feel like, with Admiral Stark on the verge of leaving for London for three or four weeks, that the matter should be withheld from the committee.

Mr. Keefe: Mr. Chairman, I have not talked to Captain Krick, I don't know what he is going to say, but from what has been stated, and what appears in Admiral Stark's letter, there must have been conversation between Admiral Stark and Captain Krick which would inform Captain Krick that the President had called and that the telephone conversation was between Admiral Stark and the President,

Admiral Stark: That is right.

Mr. Keefe: Now, then, Admiral Stark doesn't remember a thing. He can recall nothing. Therefore the statement of Captain Krick hasn't prodded his recollection at all. I don't see how any great help is being given to the record to have Captain Krick testify that he was out at Admiral Stark's house and that Admiral Stark went to answer the telephone and came back and said "I talked with the President", or something of that kind.

WARD & PAUL, WASHINGTON, D C

15

17

8

0

10

12

13

1.2

10

(1)

20

1.

22

23

24

Admiral Stark: Mr. Chairman, I may state that my feeling is that I couldn't add anything to the record, except to show, as counsel has suggested, that I did talk to the President that night. The record as left showed that, too.

The Chairman: You mean you assume you talked to him from what Captain Krick said?

Admiral Stark: Yes, sir.

The Chairman: If you don't remember anything about it you are no better off than you would be if Captain Krick didn't say that.

Admiral Stark: No, sir.

The Chairman: But you are assuming that you talked to the President inasmuch as he, Captain Krick, did say that to you?

Admiral Stark: Yes, sir. And I thought that that fact should be in the record, along the line of thought which counsel has suggested. I don't know of another thing that I could give the committee.

Mr. Keefe: Mr. Chairman, I shall have to insist upon my point, because it seems to me perfectly obvious that it is so unfair to the other members of this committee, in view of the action heretofore taken by the committee, to now reopen this case, without the other members of the committee being present and given an opportunity to ask any questions

WARD & PAUL, WASHINGTON, D. C.

13

3

9

10

11

12

13

1.

1.5

16

17

13

10

20

20

that they see fit to ask.

The Chairman will recall, as will the other members, that it has been my purpose to close these hearings and to get on with the report and finish our obligation, and I don't think that this little bit of second-hand testimony would be, on the part of Captain Krick, very important.

The Chairman: Assuming that, and I don't dispute it,
I am sure that you will agree, all members will agree, that
having received this letter from Admiral Stark, if I had
stuck it in my pocket and suppressed it and not brought it
to the attention of the committee, I would have been pilloried
not only by certain members of the committee but by others
for withholding something that the committee was entitled to.

I have presented the letter. The committee may take such action as they see fit. If it wants to have another meeting, maybe this afternoon, when the other members can be here -- I can't assure that.

Senator George. Mr. Chairman, I think the suggestion made by counsel is not an unwise one, that we might have Captain Krick now make a statement and subsequently, in executive session, decide whether any of it would go into the record, beyond the bare letter of the Admiral, if he wishes it to go into the record.

The Vice Chairman: I agree with that statement, Mr.

(8)

WARD & PAUL, WASHINGTON, D

8

0

10

11

12

13

1-1

10

16

1.7

20

10

21

22

25

8

6

7

8

Ð

10

11

12

13

11

15

10

12

16

113

20

21

23.5

23

24

WARD & PAUL, WASHINGTON, D. C.

Witness Stark

Questions by: Senator Lucas
The Chairman

Chairman. I think that probably would be the best course,
to hear what Captain Krick has to say, and just suspend the

The Chairman: Captain Krick is here in the Department in Vashington. He can be obtained if the committee wants him at any time.

question until a full attendance of the committee can be had.

Is that agreeable?

Admiral, we thank you for your appearance.

Senator Lucas: May I ask the Admiral one question before he leaves?

The Chairman: Senator Lucas.

Senator Lucas: Admiral, do I understand now from your conversation with Captain Krick that it appears you had dinner together that night?

Admiral Stark: Yes, sir.

Senator Lucas: Then following the dinner you went to the show?

Admiral Stark: Yes, sir.

Senator Lucas: Then from there you went home?

Admiral Stark. Yes, sir. Captain Krick drove his car to my quarters, we went to the show in my car, and he came back to my quarters to get his car.

The Chairman: Do you remember any of that or are you saying what he told you?

L

8

10

13

13

Dis.

15

11

17

113

2.13

20

31

23

23

24

25

Witness Stark .

Questions by:

Admiral Stark: I am saying what he told me. That would be the normal course.

Senator Lucas: You don't remember anything of that kind? Admiral Stark: I do not remember that evening and I have stated so. My original impression was that I was home, which I know to be incorrect, but I do not recall it. I stated that I recalled having seen a revival of the Student Prince but I did not connect it with the night of December 6. I still do not.

Senator George: You have no independent recollection except what you have given the committee?

Admiral Stark: That is right.

The Chairman: You mean you have no independent recollection?

Admiral Stark: I have not. I don't have an independent recollection. My sole purpose was the fact that a conversation took place that should be in the record.

The Chairman: Thank you, Admiral.

Well, if it is agreeable we will let Captain Krick make his statement and then the committee can decide what course it wishes to take.

Admiral Stark: May I ask whether or not I shall be free to go on?

Senator Lucas: What time do you leave, Admiral?

35

8

0

10

111

12

1.1

1.1

155

16

17

15

10

20

- 1

22

23

Witness Stark

Admiral Stark: I am sailing tomorrow morning. Have to aboard ship between 8:30 and 10:30.

Senator Lucas: You will leave here tonight for New York?

Admiral Stark: I was leaving this afternoon. I could

leave on a midnight train and make it.

The Chairman: Well, Admiral, it is rather difficult for me to say. If the other members wish to be called into session I would be glad to call a session this afternoon and let them decide.

Mr. Keefe: Mr. Chairman, I can't see any conceivable possible statement that Admiral Stark can give the committee that he hasn't already given them and he could go to London to perform his service and perhaps be performing a greater service than sitting around here and telling us that he doesn't remember anything.

Admiral Stark: I quite agree.

Mr. Keefe: That is in substance the picture as I see it.

Admiral Stark: I thought of that when I wrote the letter, that I couldn't add anything, but I couldn't leave without giving you this letter.

The Chairman: Is it the feeling of the committee, in view of the situation and suggestion, that Admiral Stark need not delay his trip?

Mr. Keefe: That is my opinion.

WARD & PAUL, WASHINGTON, D

G

8

9

10

12

13

13

15

16

17

113

10

20

21

23

23

24

Witness Stark

The Vice Chairman: I agree.

Senator George: That is my opinion.

Senator Lucas: I will agree but you will hear plenty about it afterwards.

The Chairman: Well, we can't help that.

Thank you, Admiral.

Admiral Stark: I am grateful to you, sir, for permitting me to say what I have.

Senator Lucas: Don't find any more friends, please. When friends come in say nothing about Pearl Harbor.

(Witness excused)

The Chairman: Come up, Captain Krick.

TESTIMONY OF CAPTAIN HAROLD D. KRICK, U.S.NAVY (Having been first duly sworn by The Chairman) Mr. Richardson: State your full name, Captain.

Captain Krick: Harold D. Krick, U. S. Navy.

Mr. Richardson: How long have you been in the Navy, Captain?

Captain Krick: I will be in the Navy 28 years on the 7th of June 1946.

Mr. Richardson: How long have you known Admiral Stark? Captain Krick: I have known Admiral Stark since 1933 when he commanded the U.S.S. WEST VIRGINIA, to which ship I was attached.

Mr. Richardson: What was your last assignment with him? Captain Krick: My last assignment with Admiral Stark was as his Flag Lieutenant when he was Commander of the cruisers of the Battle Force.

Mr. Richardson: When did you come to Washington to live? I came to Washington to live in July 1939. Captain Krick:

Mr. Richardson: Since your coming to Washington has there been a continuation of social relations between your family and Admiral Stark's family?

Captain Krick: Yes, sir, there has been a very close relation.

Socially? Mr. Richardson:

8

0

10

11

12

13

113

15

10

17

133

19

20

21

21

23.

5

8

10

13

13

10

15

10

17

123

133

20

22

23

24

25

STREETS EVEN

Witness Krick Questions by: Mr. Richardson

Captain Krick: Socially.

Mr. Richardson: Frequently at his house?

Captain Krick: Yes, sir, frequently at his house.

Mr. Richardson: Were you familiar, from your acquaintance with his house, whether Admiral Stark had a White House phone in his house?

Captain Krick: Yes, sir, Admiral Stark did have a White House phone in his house.

Mr. Richardson: What floor was it on?

Captain Krick: It was on the second floor, in his study.

Mr. Richardson: Do you recall your whereabouts on Saturday night, December 6?

Captain Krick: On Saturday night, December 6, 1941?

Mr. Richardson: That is right.

Captain Krick: I was with Admiral Stark and Mrs. Stark.

Mr. Richardson: Who was with you?

Captain Krick. My wife.

Mr. Richardson: Just the four of you?

Captain Krick: Yes, sir.

Mr. Richardson: When did you meet that evening first?

Captain Krick: We assembled at Admiral Stark's house about 6:30 or 7:00 o'clock in the evening.

Mr. Richardson: Did you have dinner?

Captain Krick: We had dinner at the Stark residence.

4

5

0

7

8

9

10

17

12

1:1

1 4

15

16

17

18

119

20

21

211

23

24

Captain Krick: No other guests.

Mr. Richardson: Where did you go after dinner?

Captain Krick: After dinner we attended a performance,
I believe it to be the Student Prince, at the National Theater
in Vashington, sir.

Mr. Richardson: Did you stay for the entire performance? Captain Krick: Yes, sir.

Mr. Richardson: Where did you go after the performance?

Captain Krick: Subsequent to the performance we returned to the Stark residence in order to pick up my transportation.

Mr. Richardson: Whose car did you go to the theater in, and from?

Captain Krick: In the Admiral's car.

Mr. Richardson: And you left your car at the Stark house? Captain Krick: That is correct, yes, sir.

Mr. Richardson: And you returned for it after the theater?

Captain Krick: Yes, sir.

Mr. Richardson: Did you go in the Stark residence upon your return?

Captain Krick: Yes, sir, we did go into the Stark residence, as was the custom, for a few moments, before returning to our home.

Mr. Richardson: To get something to eat and drink?

WARD & PAUL, WASHINGTON, D.

. 5

G

10

11 '

12

133

1+

15

115

17

TH.

10

20

27

23

23

24

of that sort, sir.

Questions by . Mr. Richardson Witness Krick Captain Krick: There were usually refreshments; that was the usual custom. Mr. Richardson: Do you recall the occasion of going into the house on that evening? Captain Krick: Yes, sir, I do recall that evening. Mr. Richardson. What occurred when you went in the house? Captain Krick: One of the Admiral's servants advised the Admiral that --Mr. Richardson: What did he say? Captain Krick: That there had been a White House call during the evening, sir. Mr. Richardson: What happened then? Captain Krick. The Admiral excused himself and retired to his study on the second floor and returned. Mr. Richardson: How long was he there? Captain Krick: I would say approximately between 5 and 10 minutes. Mr. Richardson: Did he come downstairs again? Captain Krick: Yes, sir, he did come downstairs. Mr. Richardson: Did he say anything to you? Captain Krick: Only to the extent that the conditions in the Pacific were serious; that was the substance of it,

that conditions with Japan were in a critical state, something

Witness Krick

Questions by: Mr. Richardson

Mr. Richardson: Did he say anything to you, as near as you can recall, that he had had a telephone message, on the second floor?

Captain Krick: That is my inference. There is absolutely no doubt in my mind about it, sir. But I do not recall the exact statement. I do not recall that he stated "I have talked with the President of the United States". But I heard, of course, the statement of the servant that there had been a White House call, and the Admiral retired immediately, and he may have stated that he was going to call the White House; but I have the distinct impression that the conversation was with the White House.

PAUL, WASHINGTON

(10) Riley

1.7

-24

Questions by: Mr. Richardson

Mr. Richardson: Do you have any impression that upon his return from upstairs Admiral Stark made any statement then that his talk had been with the White House?

Captain Krick: My impression very definitely was that, yes, sir.

Mr. Richardson: That was the end of the conversation?

Captain Krick: That was the end of the conversation.

The Admiral never talked over any of his affairs in connection with me, sir.

Mr. Richardson: How long did you stay after that statement?

Captain Krick: Approximately not more than 15 minutes.

Mr. Richardson: Then, you and your wife went home?

Captain Krick: Yes, sir; I would say that it would be not later than 11:30 on the night of the 6th.

Mr. Richardson: When did you first relate to anyone the details of what you have just told us?

Captain Krick: That was the night, I believe, of the 25th of May, 1946, at the Admiral's home; this conversation came up very casually.

Mr. Richardson: Who was there?

Captain Krick: The Admiral and Mrs. Stark and my wife.

Mr. Richardson: And yourself?

Captain Krick: That is correct.

Questions by: Mr. Richardson

Mr. Richardson: What was the conversation then?

Captain Krick: The substance of the conversation was referring back to the night before Pearl Harbor on the 6th of December, 1941, that I had noticed a headline to the effect that the Admiral hadn't known where he was, I had not followed this case closely, and I then informed the Admiral that we were his guests that night, and I informed him what I have just said, to the effect that as usual he had left with his boy the number of the National Theater, so that he could be called in the event any calls came in.

Mr. Richardson: Wait just a moment. Was there any such conversation in your presence that evening before you went to the theater between Admiral Stark and any of his servants?

Captain Krick: I am quite sure that there was, sir.

Mr. Richardson: And what was the admonition which he gave to his servants?

Captain Krick: The custom was, sir, to give to the boy a slip of paper with the number of the telephone where the Admiral could be contacted.

Mr. Richardson: Are you of the opinion that occurred that evening?

Captain Krick: Yes, sir, I am quite sure of it.

Mr. Richardson: When you reached the theater what was done with respect to advising the theater officials?

Questions by: Mr. Richardson

Captain Krick: As I recall it, either the Admiral or I notified the head usher in that resp;ect, where the Admiral was sitting, where we would be during the entire evening, and no call came for the Admiral during that time.

Mr. Richardson: What statement did the Admiral make when you told him what had happened on the night of December 6th?

Captain Krick: The Admiral was very disturbed. He said, in effect, "You realize that I have testified to the contrary," and I told him that I hadn't followed his testimony in the newspapers, and that I, therefore, didn't realize what he had done, and he implied that it should be laid before the committee, which has now been done, sir.

Mr. Richardson: Did you tell this incident to anyone else before you recalled it to Admiral Stark?

Captain Krick: Not to my knowledge.

Mr. Richardson: When this hearing was going on and the newspapers were referring to Admiral Stark's knowledge, had you made any statement to anybody that you had any knowledge with reference to where Admiral Stark was on the 6th?

Captain Krick: Possibly only conversation in the family, Certainly, to no one about the 6th. sir.

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

17

18

10

20

21

22

23

Senator Lucas: Yes.

3

4

5

8

9

Witness Krick

Questions by: Senator Lucas

Captain Krick: I would say in the neighborhood of 7:00 or 7:15, sir, at dinner. He came in from the office, as I recall, about that time, sir.

Senator Lucas: Did you have any conversation with him as to where he might have been previous to the time you saw him?

Captain Krick: No sir, I did not, sir.

Senator Lucas: Did he say anything to you at that time about having any conference of any kind or character with the President and Cabinet officers before that dinner took place?

Captain Krick: No sir. As I previously testified the Admiral never made any mention of his business at the office in my presence, sir.

Senator Lucas: So you were with him from about 7:00 o'clock, then, until about 11:00 that night?

Captain Krick: 11:00 or 11:30, yes sir.

Senator Lucas: That night?

Captain Krick: Yes sir.

Senator Lucas: Now, when he came away from the telephone after having this purported conversation with the President, was there anything unusual about his appearance or demeanor, as a result of that conference?

Captain Krick: Absolutely not, sir, he was very much as he always is, apparently not disturbed, there was nothing

18

17

18

19

21

20

22

23

25

Witness Krick

Senator Lucas: I am glad we found out where Admiral Stark

Captain Krick: Yes sir.

Quastions by: The Chairman

was.

The Chairman: I thought until now I was the only man in the United States who knew where he was on Saturday night, the 6th of December, but I find that I am not. I know where I was and why I was there.

Senator Lucas: Even though Admiral Stark doesn't know.

The Chairman: Anything further?

Senator George: No.

The Chairman: Mr. Cooper.

The Vice Chairman: No.

The Chairman: I believe you stated, Captain, that having served with Admiral Stark as his Flag Officer, that you were friends, and you and your wife were frequent visitors at their house?

Captain Krick: That is correct, sir, particularly was that true from 1939 until the Admiral left for London in 1942, the spring of 1942, sir.

The Chairman: I don't think there is anything further. Thank you very much.

(Witness excused.)

The Chairman: The committee will adjourn, subject to call.

(Whereupon, at 11:15 a.m., the hearing was adjourned.)

25

24

23

23

- 65

