Def. Doc. No. 6/34/1 R 6/6 Participation of HATSUOKA, Yosuke)
Sugems Prison, Tokyo.

## 12 March 1946

- the words non-aggression treaty, to whom or to which nation do you think the beneficial implication of this term non-aggression accrued? To Japan or to Russia?
- A. Chiefly to Japan, because we would never encroach upon Russia. We would never be aggressor toward Russia.
- or somewhere in that period of time, your government entered into a treaty or pact, so-called, the Anti-Comintern Pact, including your nation, Germany and Italy, and a number of other nations who subsequently joined in this Anti-Comintern Pact?
- A. Yes. Italy, I think, joined later.
- O. Now, isn't it true that according to your testimony, or questions are answers made here yesterday, you made representation that in your visit to the Pope at Rome, and also that you restated your conversation with the Pope to Hitler, in which you outlined that Communism in the Fer East, and its spread in China and Manchuria was the basis of the initiative taken by Japan in that area to stabilize her interests and that of the peoples of that region?
- A. "hat initiative?
- O. The question now is did you not represent in your conversation with the Pope, when he requested that you do what you could to bring about peace, did you not say that the peace of the For Tast was disturbed by the spread of Communism in the areas outlined in the previous question?
- A. Disturbed, yes.
- Q. "hat would you say?
- A. We were trying our best to prevent the spread of Communism.
- O. Where?
- A. In the Fer East.
- Q. And by trying to prevent the spread, what do you mean? That did you do or what did your government do?
- A. We employed meny means available.
- this representation was made to attempt to bring peace to the world, isn't that true? Now then, is it not true that the Japanese government and the hussian Government had a basis upon which Japan was opposed to the Russian Government as such in its initiative, so-called, in the spread of Communism in Manchuria and China. Is that true?

  A. That is rather a positive question but this anti-Comintern was against Communism. It doesn't directly oppose Russia and Lussia herself said that they were not distrustiful of Communism. Soviet Russia and Communism are two different things. They themselves claimed and we never questionabout it.

問

九

六 年 昭

B

た

弘

Ė

飽

5

れ

3

共

石 大 法 内 功 Ŧ 貴 容 域 原 を 5 君 を K 因 訪 を 0) 日 E 問 證 " な 極 た 東 て 際 は 日

な

加

思

3 浴 主 だ 今 E 变 H 功 君 云 T 彍 手 VC 3 SOX を 行 す 極 昨 法 3 起 VC を一本 於東 B 及 K Di CF る 力 た 同 共 東調、 產 維 地 な on L 法 2 T 0) 域 主 持 平 た 王 巡 2 淺 0 K n 和 時 屯 辞 5 及 た 貴 0 3 た 园 間 15 君 5 民 2 中す は 詁 質 図 前 中 君 利 及 産 依 問 平 功立 高 盃 主 n VC 和 述 法 を洲 錢 IT U 述 王 保 K 0) ~ 15 全 た 於 貴す たら と告 す け響 君 地 げるる あ をは りた目そ 强

2 育

京 份

す 話 で

约

0

擴

調

至

質

間

Wi

此

0

反

共

5

は

共

接

1

T

反

對

す

\$

信

用

す

君 は 何 言 は 0) す

は 共 主 丧 0) 大 止

10

於

問 用 を 3 止

京

10

は

E.

う

云

意

問 2. 읦 所 招 嗣 來 府 1.73 本 は VC 主 10 挺 な 2 陳 9 基 先 で 盤 ZOS は を T 3 尚 左 持 2 至 T E 75 12 加加加 Z